# A CRITICAL AND EXEGETICAL COMMENTARY

.

ON

# THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN

BY

R. H. CHARLES, D.Litt., D.D.

VOLUME II

.

THE INTERNATIONAL CRITICAL COMMENTARY

.

# A CRITICAL AND EXEGETICAL COMMENTARY

ON

# THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN

WITH INTRODUCTION, NOTES, AND INDICES

ALSO

THE GREEK TEXT AND ENGLISH TRANSLATION

BY

## R. H. CHARLES, D.Litt., D.D.

ARCHDEACON OF WESTMINISTER FELLOW OF THE BRITISE ACADEMY

(IN TWO VOLUMES) Vol II

### NEW YORK

CHARLES SCRIBNER'S SONS

The Rights of Translation and of Reproduction are Reserved.

.

# CONTENTS.

-

## VOLUME II.

BAGHE

						PAGES
Addenda et Corrigenda	• •	•	•	•	•	vii-viii
Commentary on Chapter	15 xiv. 1–11, 1	420	•	•		126
<b>30 30</b>	XV	٠	•	•	•	26-40
<b>99 9</b> 0	xvi. 1-4, 8-	21 .	•	•	٠	40-53
50 PO	xvii	•	•	•	•	54-75
	Additions	l Note o	n xvii.	•		7 <b>6-87</b>
<b>13 13</b>	xviii	•	•	٠	٠	87-113
<b>10</b> 33	xiz. I-4, xv	i. 5°-7, :	xix. 5-2	ю.	•	113-140
310 <b>31</b> 0	XX. I-3.	•	•	•	•	140-143
99 99	XX. 4-XXII.	Genera	d Intro	duction	and	
	Recons	truction	•	•	•	144-154
<b>10</b> 11	<b>xxi. 9-xx</b> ii.	2, 14-1	5, 17	•	٠	154-180
PD 99	XX. 4-I5	•	•	•	•	180-200
33 <b>3</b> 9	xxi. 5ª, 4 <sup>4</sup> , 1	5 <sup>6</sup> , I-4 <sup>6</sup>	<sup>b. e</sup> , xxi	i. 3-5	•	200-211
, Epilogue	xxi. 5°, 6°8	; xxii. 6	-7, 18	, 16, 13,	12,	
	10, [11,	188-19	<b>, 8-9, 2</b>	0-21	•	211-22 <b>6</b>
The Greek Uncials and	Cursives .	•	•	•	٠	227-232
MSS collated for this edi	ition : Version	s: Abb	reviatio		•	233-235
Greek Text with Appara	utus Criticus	•	•	•	•	236-385
English Translation with	Critical Note	s.	•	•	•	386-446
Four Papyrus and Vellus	n Fragments	٠	•	•	•	447-45I
Additional Note on xiii.	11 <sup>b</sup> .	•		•		452
n the I	Latin Versions	-	•	•		453-455
	Millennial Kin	-	•	•		456-457
		<b>-</b>				
Index I. to the Greek we				•	•	459-473
,, II. to Hebraisms u	-			•	•	473
" III. Passages in our Author based on the O.T., Pseud-						
	and the N.T.	•	•	٠	•	474
» IV. to the Introduc	tion, Comme	ntary and	l Notes	٠	٠	474-497
		•				

## ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA.

#### **VOLUME II.**

Page 10, line 1. See Abbott, Notes on N.T. Criticism, p. 104, for passages in Origen and Clement of Alex. in which παρθάνοι are applied to men, and in Ignatius (Smyrn. 13) to widows. But nothing that he says can explain the application of of μετὰ γυναικῶν οἰκ ἐμολύνθησαν to women.

Page 27, line 9. For "Only the faithful . . . fifth seal" read "The faithful suffer physical martyrdom, but their prayers become an instrument of wrath in the hands of God." See vol. ii. 403, note 2.

Page 49, line 7 ab imo. Transpose the subject-matter of the fifth and sixth beatitudes. See vol. ii. 445, note 1.

Page 72, line 3 ab imo. Delete "Again we should expect  $\kappa a \theta l_{\alpha} \ldots$ . iii. 21." Our author only uses the participle of  $\kappa a \theta \eta \sigma \theta a$ , but his sources (xvii. 9, 15, xviii. 7) use the pres. ind. In the LXX the pres. and imperf. forms of  $\kappa a \theta l_{\alpha} b$  have disappeared, their place being taken by  $\kappa a \theta \eta \mu a a$ ,  $\delta \kappa a \theta \eta \mu \eta r$ . See Thackeray, Gram. 271 sq.

Page 75, line 8. After "first" add "either in Dan. iv. 34 (LXX), beds 7. beŵr sal súplos 7. suplar sal Basileds 7. Basiléar, or (rather in the pre-Maccabean section of 1 Enoch-see my second edition, p. lii sq.)."

Page 90, lines 25-27. *Delets* "*káthypai*... iii. 21; and." See note above on p. 72, l. 3.

Page 168. On the twelve precious stones see British Museum Guide to . . . Minerals mentioned in the Bible, 1911.

Page 203, line 4 *ab imo*. The line "[*nal*  $\lambda 6\gamma m$  . . . elsur] should *not* be bracketed, but read immediately before xxi. 6<sup>b</sup>-8. See vol. ii. 379, 444, where it is restored to its right place. Page 219, line 3 ab imo. After "world" add "of the living." Christ judges the living: God Himself judges the dead according to our author's view.

Page 234, line 18. Add "Where no reading of Tyc is quoted, Tyc agrees with vg."

Page 234, line 20. Add "From 20<sup>1</sup> to 21<sup>5</sup> (nova facio omnia) Pr in his comm. has copied verbatim the work of Augustine (*de Civ. Dei*, xx. 7-17), retaining Augustine's Italian text. In the *App. Crit.* these verses are quoted without any mark of distinction.

Page 240, ver. 11, line 2. After 2020: add yxovoryo 181:

,, ,, ,, 11, ,, 11. For post Guarcep. pon read tr after Ovarcep. Similarly elsewhere.

Page 256, ver. 7, line 30. Delete (+ kau oudeus avoifet Or<sup>4</sup>), and Or<sup>4</sup> in next line. Or<sup>4</sup> conflates A 025 and 046 here. See vol. i. p. clxxvi.

Page 279, ver. 2, lines 5, 8, 9. Delete et N°. Similarly elsewhere.

Page 288, ver. 12, line 11. Delete Or<sup>a</sup>. Or<sup>a</sup> conflates 046 al and AN 025: and reads neu to tritor author  $\mu\eta$   $\phi a \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a$  (so 046 al) neu  $\eta$   $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \mu \eta \phi a \eta \eta$  to tritor (recaptor A) author (se AN 025).

.

## THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN.

#### CHAPTER XIV.

#### INTRODUCTION.

#### § 1. Character and Object of this Chapter.

The entire chapter is *proleptic* in character. That is, the orderly development of future events as set forth in the successive visions is here, as in two sections heretofore, abandoned, and all the coming judgments from xvi. 17 to xx. 7-10, are summarized in xiv. 6-11, 14, 18-20. To this summary is prefixed a short description of the blessed (who are identical with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8) in the Millennial Kingdom established on the earth with Mount Zion as its centre, xiv. 1-5. Thus we have in this chapter a general introduction to xvi. 17-xx. 1-10. It is therefore of the nature of an *intermesso*.

The object of xiv. is to encourage the faithful to endurance in the face of impending universal martyrdom. Hence in xiv. 1-5the veil is lifted for a moment from the future and to the Seer is disclosed a vision of the martyrs enjoying the blessedness of the Millennial Kingdom on Mount Zion in attendance on their Lord. We have here a later stage of their blessedness than that depicted in vii. 9-17, where the martyrs are represented as having arrived or arriving in heaven straight from the scene of martyrdom. See Chapter vii., Introduction, § 10.

But the faithful are further encouraged to endurance and loyalty by the proclamation of the doom of the proud antichristian power, xiv. 8-11, and of all the heathen powers whether confederate with it or not, xiv. 14, 18-20.

This chapter <sup>1</sup> thus contains three visions, which are all proleptic.

<sup>1</sup> xiv. 12-13 belong to xiii., and are read immediately after xiii. 18 in this edition. They are from the hand of our author.

VOL. 11.----I

1. xiv. 1-5. Vision of the glorified martyrs with the Lamb on Mount Zion during the Millennial reign. Cf. xx. 4. The greater part of xiv. 4-5 is interpolated.

2. xiv. 6-11. Vision of the judgment on the antichristian Roman Empire and its adherents and their everlasting torment. Cf. xvi. 17-xviii.

3. xiv. 14, 18-20. Vision of the judgment executed by the Son of Man on the heathen nations. Cf. xix. 11-21 and xx. 7-10 (?). The paragraph xiv. 15-17 is an interpolation. See pp. 19-21.

§ 2. This chapter, with the exception of certain interpolations (cf. xiv. 4-5, 15-17), is from the hand of our author; for (1) the order of the words is Hebraic: (2) the diction and style are decidedly his.

The first question needs no discussion : the fact is so obvious. Hence we shall limit our consideration to (2).

(2) The diction is that of our author except in xiv. 15-17, which in the first place is a doublet of xiv. 14, 18-20 and in the next exhibits three constructions, which are against the usage of our author (see notes on  $\tau \hat{\psi} \kappa a \theta \eta \mu \acute{e} \psi \dot{e} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \epsilon \phi \acute{e} \lambda \eta s$  in xiv. 15,  $\delta \kappa a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu os \dot{e} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \epsilon \phi \acute{e} \lambda \eta s$  and  $\check{e} \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ . . .  $\dot{e} \pi i \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$  in xiv. 16) Of xiv.  $3^c-5$  only of  $\eta \gamma o \rho a \sigma \mu \acute{e} \nu o \dot{a} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi a \rho \chi \eta \tau \tilde{\psi}$  $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\psi} \cdot \ddot{a} \mu \omega \mu o \acute{e} \dot{c} \sigma \nu$  seems to be original. The interpolations in those verses are, however, in the style of our author, except, perhaps,  $\delta \pi o \nu \dot{a} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota$  in xiv. 4, but the thought conveyed is wholly against the context.

I will now enumerate some of the phrases characteristic of our author, though not, except in a few cases, peculiar to him.

1. και είδον και ίδου. See iv. 1, note. γεγραμμένον έπι των μετώπων αὐτῶν. See vii. 3, note. 2. φωνην ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ, x. 4, 8, x1. 12, xiv. 13. Δε φωνήν δδάτων πολλών; cf. i. 15, xix. 6. φωνήν κιθαρωδών. Čf. xviii. 22. 2-3. In καθαριζόντων . . . καί άδουσιν we have the familiar Hebrew idiom reproduced already in i. 5-6, ii. 2, 9, etc. (see note in loc.). α້δουσιν ως ώδην καινήν; cf. v. 9. ενώπων τοῦ θρόνου; cf. iv. 5, 6, etc. 4. The use of άπαρχη = "sacrifice," though not found elsewhere in our author, is in keeping with his frequent use of Greek words in the same sense as in the LXX. 5. The asyndetic addition of the clause auouoi elow (cf. xvi. 6). 6. meróperor ér pessouparhpart. Already in viii. 13. With εδαγγελίσαι έπι τους κατοικούντας (Α., καθημένους, «CPO); cf. x. 7. The enumeration πων έθνος και φυλήν κτλ. (see note on v. 9) and the grammatical irregularity  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega v$  in 7 instead of λέγοντα are both characteristic of his style. 7. λέγων έν φωνή μεγάλη. Cf. xiv. 9. & is used also before φωνή μεγ. after κηρύorew (v. 2), but after κράζειν only in passages from another hand (xiv. 15, xviii. 2). On the other hand it is absent after  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$  in this phrase (v. 12, viii. 13), after κράζειν (vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, x. 3), after  $\phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  (xiv. 18). Hence our author varies in his use of this phrase in connection with  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$ . See note on x. 2.  $\phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$  rov  $\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ . So xv. 4, xix. 5 (cf. xi 18,  $\phi \circ \beta \circ \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma \tau \delta$  $\delta \nu \circ \mu \epsilon$  adrag dofs. Cf. xvi. 9, xix. 7—also in the incorporated source, xi. 13.  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$   $\delta \rho a \tau \eta s$   $\kappa \rho \epsilon \sigma s \sigma s$ . Cf. similar phrases : vi. 17,  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$   $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \dots \tau \eta s$   $\delta \rho \gamma \eta s$   $a \nu \tau \omega \nu ;$  xi. 18,  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$  $\ldots \delta \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \delta \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota ;$  xviii. 10,  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$   $\kappa \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \upsilon :$ also in interpolated section, xiv. 15.  $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \nu \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon$ , c dat. of God : cf. iv. 10, vii. 11, note, xi. 16, xix. 10, xxii. 9, whereas it takes the acc. of  $\tau \delta \theta \eta \rho \epsilon \nu r \epsilon \rho \delta \sigma \tau \omega \nu \epsilon c viii. 10, xvi. 4.$ 

8. οίνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ποργείας. So xviii. 3. See note in loc. 9. προσκυνεί το θηρίον. See note on 7. λαμβάνει χάραγμα. Cf. xiv. 11, xix. 20, xx. 4. But we should probably read to xápayua. See note in loc. ent rou μετώπου. We should most probably read eni ro mérumor, since the context clearly comes from our author's hand. See note on vii. 3. N reads the dative. Ent the yeipa. Cf. xx. 1, 4. See note on xiii. 16. 10. Kai autos mietai. For the use of καί see note on xiv. 10. βασανισθήσεται έν πυρί και beiw. Bagavilu is found four times elsewhere in our author, while  $\beta a \sigma a \nu \sigma \mu \omega \phi$  (see next verse), which occurs four times, is not attested elsewhere in the N.T. With  $\pi \nu \rho \lambda$  kal  $\theta \epsilon \omega \phi$  cf. xx. 10, xxi. 8, xix. 20. 11.  $\delta$  καπν $\delta \phi$  cf. . .  $\delta \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \delta \omega \phi$  cf. viii. 4, ix. 2, ούχ έχουσιν ανάπαυσιν κτλ. Already in iv. 8. οί προσκυxix. 3. rourres to Oppior. Characteristic of our author both as to grammar and diction. 12. 206 ή ύπομονή κτλ. Cf. xiii. 10. των άγίων . . . oi moournes tas érrolas. For the phrase cf. xii. 17. The irregularity is characteristic of our author. 18. Lével to aveuua. Cf. ii. 7, etc., xxii. 17. 14. και είδον και ίδου. See IV. I, note. έπι την redelny kalnuevor. Characteristic of our author: see note on iv. 2, and contrast the non-Johannine constructions of this phrase in 15, 16. Suorov vide defpánov. This unique construction is found only in our author. It has already occurred in i. 13, and, as we have seen in the Additional Note on i. 13, vol. i. p. 36, might be regarded as a further development of other linguistic constructions, to which attention is called in that note.

Interpolation—15-17. In this short section there are four constructions which are foreign to our author's use. These are given in the note on 15-17, p. 21. Other grounds for regarding 15-17 as interpolated are given in the note on 14-20, p. 18 sq.

18-20. These verses are in keeping with the diction and style of our author. They contain, it is true, several words not found elsewhere in our author φωνεῖν, τρυγᾶν, βότρυς, αμπελος, ακμάζειν, σταφυλή, χαλινός, but these help to delineate his subject.

18. ἐφώνησεν φων<sub>i</sub> μεγάλη. Though φωνείν is not found elsewhere in our author the construction is always that which he uses with κράζειν, and in two cases out of four with λέγειν. πέμψον σου τὸ δρέπανον. The vernacular use of the pronoun here is elsewhere in our author not infrequent. 19. ἔβαλεν . . . εἰς τὴν γῆν. See note *in loc.* ληνόν τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ. Cf. xix. 15. ἐπατήθη ὁ ληνός. Cf. xix. 15. ἔχρι τῶν χαλινῶν. ἄχρι occurs six times in our author as a preposition and five times as a conjunction, but not once in the other Johannine writings in the N.T.

§ 3. Interpolated passages,  $3^{c}-4^{c}$  ( $d\pi\partial$   $\tau\eta s$   $\gamma\eta s$  . .  $\eta\gamma\rho\rho\delta\sigma\eta\eta\sigma a\nu$ ),  $4^{c}$ , kai  $\tau\psi$   $d\rho\nu\ell\psi$ , and probably 5, kai  $\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\psi$   $\sigma\tau\ell\mu a\tau\iota$   $a\tau\nu\omega\nu$ oùx  $\epsilon\nu\rho\ell\eta$   $\psi\epsilon\nu\delta\sigma s$ . See the grounds for this conclusion *in loc.* 15-17. That these verses are an intrusion is manifest on many grounds. See the general grounds in the note on 14-20, p. 18 sq., and the grammatical grounds in the note on 15-17, p. 21.

**XIV.** 1-5. A proleptic vision of the 144,000 with the Lamb on Mount Zion—*i.e.* of the risen martyrs with Christ during the Millennial reign. That these are the same as the 144,000 in vii. 4-8, *i.e.* the spiritual Israel, the entire Christian community, alike Jewish and Gentile, which were sealed to protect them from the demonic woes, that are to follow speedily, we hope to prove in the course of our criticism of verses 1-5 (see also vol. i. p. 199 sqq.). In vii. 9-17 this same body of the faithful is represented as arriving in heaven during the great final tribulation, or as already assembled there at its close. Here they are represented as having at a later stage come down to earth for the Millennial reign (cf. xx. 4). The vision is therefore proleptic. There is a progressive note in each vision.

1. και είδον και ίδού. See note in iv. 1.

rd aprior. The Lamb is here set over against the Beast in xiii., and the followers of the Lamb with His name and that of His Father over against the followers of the Beast with his mark on their forehead.

From O.T. times Mount Zion was associated in the minds of the faithful with divine deliverance. Thus Joel ii. 32 (iii. 5) writes: "And it shall come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be delivered; for in Mount Zion and Jerusalem shall be those that escape, as the Lord hath said." In the 8th cent. B.C. there existed for a time the belief that Jerusalem could not be destroyed. In later times it was held that a special blessing attached to residence in Palestine. It alone was to escape the woes that would befall the rest of the earth : cf. 2 Bar. xxix. 2 (where see my note), xl. 2, lxxi. 1; 4 Ezra xiii. 48, 49, vi. 25. This idea was revived in Talmudic literature.

This appearance of the Messiah with a mighty multitude on

Mount Zion was a Jewish expectation, as we see in 4 Ezra xiii. 35, 39, 40, "But he shall stand upon the summit of Mount Zion... And whereas thou didst see that he summoned and gathered to himself another multitude which was peaceable, these are the ten tribes." In 4 Ezra ii. 42 (Christian or Christian recast of Jewish material) we have a close parallel to our text: "I Esdras saw upon Mount Zion a great multitude which I could not (dst. c, 'no man could') number, and they all praised the Lord with songs. 43. And in the midst of them there was a young man of high stature, taller than all the rest, and upon every one of their heads he set crowns ... 44. So I asked the angel and said: Who are these, my Lord? 45. He answered and said unto me: These be they that have put off the mortal clothing and put on the immortal, and have confessed the name of God."

This last work was probably written about 200-250 A.D., and therefore forms an early testimony to the right interpretation of the 144,000 on Mount Zion in our text; for it appears to identify the multitude described in vii. 9-17 and that in our text. In accordance with vii. 9-17 the writer of 4 Ezra ii. 42-47 represents them as having confessed and stood out stoutly for the name of God, and so as now clad in the garments of immortality, and in accordance with xiv. 1-5 of our text they stand on Mount Zion with the Messiah.

čκατον τεσσεράκοντα κτλ. The answer to the question as to the identity of the 144,000 that accompany the Lamb has in part been given in the Introduction to Chap. vii. where we have found them to be the same as the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and the great multitude in vii. 9-17. But, though the constituents of the multitude are the same, the circumstances are different. In the vision before us the scene is upon earth (cf. ver. 2). The blessed faithful follow the Lamb on Mount Zion. And yet they have already passed through the gates of death, and have been presented as an offering (åπαρχή) to God (xiv. 4). Hence we have here a momentary vision of the saints, who have returned to earth to share in the Millennial reign. (Cf. xx. 4-6.)

But the above identification of the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and xiv. 1-5 is apparently rejected by every modern scholar, save Alford, who has clung fast to it, although unable to surmount the chief difficulty that stands in its way. A minor difficulty, *i.e.* the absence of the defining article to identify these 144,000 with those spoken of in vii. 4, he treats as negligible on the ground that the reader was meant to identify the two hosts, seeing that they consist of the same number and are both marked on the forehead as God's own possession.

Alford may be right in ignoring the absence of the article (cf. xv. 2, where the expected article is missing, ώς θάλασσαν

**XIV.** 1.

instead of the balassar, and xiv. 9, where to is wanting before χάραγμα), but the present writer is of opinion that the real explanation is that it was excised by the interpolator of the introductory sentences in xiv. 4-5, who sought by his manipulation of the text to destroy the identity of the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and the 144,000 in the present passage, and to transform them into a body of monkish celibates. Having thus explained the absence of the article, there is another and greater difficulty, which stands in the way of this identification, and this is that the 144,000 are described as "first-fruits" to God and to the Lamb. If these 144,000, as the present writer holds, are identical with the entire body of Christians living in the last days, who have been sealed with a view to their protection against the demonic woes, how is it that they are designated as "first fruits"? It is the interpretation set on this word  $d\pi a \rho_X \eta$  by all scholars in the past that has misled them into differentiating the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and in xiv. 1-5. This word has hitherto been taken universally to mean "first fruits" in this passage. That in the Pauline Epistles and in St. James it bears this meaning is indubitable. But this is by no means the case in the LXX, although Grimm's Lexicon and Thayer's enlarged edition of Grimm state that  $\dot{a}\pi a\rho_{\chi}\dot{\eta}$  is generally the equivalent of ראשית. So far is this from being the case that it is generally not the equivalent of this word. Thus whereas amapy occurs about 66 times in the LXX, it is a rendering of ראשיה only 19 times. In the remaining 47 times, it is once a rendering for the Hebrew word for "tithe," 4 times of In (="fat," in which case it means "the best of"), and 40 times a rendering of תרומה (="offering" or "oblation"), and once of הנופה (= "offering"). Thus we see that in the LXX oftener than twice out of three times it means "an offering." In Sirach it occurs four times, but only once with the meaning of "first fruits," while in the other three passages it signifies either an "offering" or "gift." Hesychius also notes that one of its Greek meanings is mpoor popa. It is clear, then, that in the Greek Bible of Judaism amapyn meant "offering," " sacrifice," or "gift" nearly 3 times out of 4.1 Now

<sup>1</sup> The above note was written before the publication of Moulton and Milligan's Vocabulary of the Greek Testament. Though these editors have not corrected the misstatements in Grimm's and Thayer's lexicons, to which they give their *imprimatur* in their preface, they have shown from the Magnesian inscriptions (ed. Kern. 1900), that  $d\pi a \rho \chi \eta$  is very commonly used as=a "gift" to a deity, and that this use occurred as early as the 6th cent. B.C. in Athens (Syll. Inscr. Grace.<sup>2</sup>, Dittenberger, 1888-1901). They conclude that in the N.T. "we are perhaps at liberty to render 'sacrifice' or 'gift' where it improves the sense," though they do not specify any individual passage save Rom. viii. 23. The Magnesia above referred to was in the neighbourhood of Ephesus. Hence the local and *xourf* use of  $d\pi a \rho \chi \eta'$  confirms the conclusions arrived at above. it is just this meaning that our text requires. The faithful, whether as martyrs or confessors, are sacrifices to God. As such they are offered on the heavenly altar, vi. 9. A further sacrificial reference is discoverable in the epithet in xiv. 5, where they are said to be  $\delta\mu\mu\mu\rho\sigma$ , that is, "unblemished," sacrificially perfect.

έχουσαι τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ καὶ τὸ ὅνομα τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ κτλ. With this clause we might compare ini. 12, where a threefold inscription on the foreheads of the faithful is mentioned. But, if we compare xxii. 4, where the name is simply said to be that of God, and 4 of the present chapter, where καὶ τῷ ἀρνίψ appears to be an interpolation, it is possible that ἀντοῦ καὶ τὸ ὅνομα is also an interpolation. The seal consists in the name of God inscribed on the brow. This inscription declares that the person so inscribed is God's own possession: it is at the same time evidence that his character is such as befits a servant of God.

2.  $\phi \omega r \eta \nu \epsilon \pi r 0 \hat{i} \sigma 0 \rho a \nu 0 \hat{i} \pi \lambda$ . The singers are the angel choirs in heaven and not the 144,000 in Mt. Zion, but the new song is intelligible to the 144,000 and to them alone owing to their fellowship with Christ.

Most of the phrases of this verse occur elsewhere in our author, see p. 2.

κιθαρψδών κιθαριζόντων... 8. καὶ ἄδουσιν. These words should be rendered: "harpers harping... and singing." It is another instance of the literal reproduction in Greek of a familiar Hebrew idiom, which we have found already in i. 5-6, ii. 2, 9, 20, vii. 14, xv. 3. Thus the style is very characteristic of our author. Here the new song is at first sung not by the redeemed (as in xv. 3; 4 Ezra ii. 42), but by angelic choirs before the throne.

8. καὶ α̈́δουσιν = καὶ α̈́δόντων. See preceding note.

ώς ψδην καινήν. See note on v. 9.

ένώπιον τοῦ θρόνου. See Introd. to Chap. xiv. § 2.

oi  $\eta\gamma\rho\rho\alpha\sigma\mu\epsilon'\nu\alpha$   $d\pi\partial$   $\tau\eta$ s  $\gamma\eta$ s. The diction and thought here have already occurred in v. 9, 10. That passage is of supreme importance in dealing with the text immediately before us. Now the leading thought in v. 9, 10 is that the faithful are bought by Christ for God, and consecrated to His service as kings and priests. Here also, whether we retain or omit the disturbing clauses  $d\pi\partial$   $\tau\eta$ s  $\gamma\eta$ s  $ovroi \epsilon i\sigma i\nu$  or  $\ldots$   $\eta\gamma\rho\rho\alpha\sigma\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$ , the idea is of a like nature. The 144,000 have been bought to be an offering or sacrifice ( $\dot{a}\pi a\rho\chi\eta$ , xiv. 4 : see note on 1) unto God: cf. vi. 9.

This verse, with the exception of the words  $d\pi\partial \tau \hat{\omega} r d\nu d\rho \hat{\omega} \pi \omega r$ άπαρχή τῷ θεῷ ΟΙ ήγοράσθησαν από των ανθρώπων απαρχή τῷ θεῷ, seems to be a later addition due to the incorporation of a marginal explanatory gloss. In support of the aorist we might adduce our author's usage elsewhere : see v. o,  $\eta \gamma \delta \rho a \sigma a \sigma \tau \hat{\psi} \theta \hat{\psi}$ ... ἐκ πάσης φυλής κτλ. If, on the other hand, we adopt the have, if the latter clause is original, another instance of our author's reproduction in Greek of a Hebrew idiom : see ii. 2, 9, 20, vii. 14, xiv. 2-3. This combination of the perfect and aorist is found often in our author: cf. ii. 3, 5, iii. 2, 3, 17, xvi. 6. That καὶ τῷ ἀρνίψ is an addition appears to be clear from the fact that the 144,000 have been bought by the Son for the Father; and not by the Son for the Father and for Himself: The Church is the bride of the Lamb: cf. xix. 7, 8; cf. v. o. Eph. v. 27, not an offering presented to Him. If the above clauses are interpolated, the original of 3°-4 may have run as follows : οι ήγορασμένοι από των ανθρώπων απαρχή τω θεω. We shall now deal with the clauses, which for the time being we have assumed to be interpolations.

But let us waive for the moment the question of the authenticity of these clauses and study them in themselves and with regard to their immediate context. Now, first of all, it is admitted, so far as I am aware, on all hands that the 144,000, whether identical with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8, or representing the élite of the saints composed of Christian ascetics (Bousset, Moffatt), must embrace both men and women. That παρθένοι can be used of men is of course acknowledged. So far all is clear. But when we start from these premises and try to explain obroi είσιν οι μετά γυναικών ούκ εμολύνθησαν we are plunged into hopeless difficulties. For, if we take these words literally, it is obvious that they cannot be used of women. Nor indeed can they be applied to women in any intelligible sense, whatever the metaphorical meaning may be that we attach to the words. Had the writer wished, he could easily have found a phrase applicable literally and metaphorically alike to men and women, such as of  $i \tau \eta$ πορνεία ούκ εμολύνθησαν. πορνεία is used metaphorically in xiv. 8, xvii. 2, 4, xviii. 3, xix. 2, and πορνεύω in xvii. 2, xviii. 3, 9 in the sense of idolatrous worship. Such a clause could be used both of men and women, in a literal or metaphorical sense, and the same idea could have been expressed in other ways. Hence we conclude either that men alone are referred to in the text, or that this passage is interpolated. Since we cannot accept the former alternative, we are forced to adopt the latter, and the task devolves upon us to settle, so far as we may, the ground of the interpolation, its extent and meaning.

Now the chief ground for this interpolation is most naturally to be discovered in the misunderstanding of the word  $d\pi a \rho_X \eta$  as "first fruits." The monkish interpolator, convinced that the highest type of the Christian life was the celibate, naturally identified the 144,000, who form the "first fruits" (or best portion of the Christian Church), with the celibates. The superiority of the celibate life, though un-Jewish and un-Christian, was early adopted from the Gnostics and other Christian heretics. Thus Saturninus and Basilides declared that "marriage and generation are from Satan" (Iren. Adv. Haer. i. 24), while Tatian (Eus. H.E. IV. xxix. 3) pronounced marriage to be "corruption and fornication." Marcion (Hipp. Phil. vii. 17-19) established churches of celibates, while the Encratites claimed a self-restraint in advance of that of the Christians. Similarly the religions of Isis and Mithra had their celibates throughout the Roman Empire, as Buddhism in the far East, certain orders of the Aztec priesthood in Mexico, the Vestal Virgins in Rome, and the "Virgins of the Sun" in Peru. The pressure of such ideas from without early made itself felt, not in the N.T. but in early Christianity, as we see from Polycarp, Ad Phil. ii. iv. v.; Hermas, Vis. 11. 2, 3, Sim. ix. 11 (see Hastings, Encyc. of Ethics and Religion, iii. 271-273, from which the above facts are drawn). The interpolation was probably made by John's editor.

It is, of course, possible that the interpolated passage appeared first as a marginal gloss on the passage, and that it was subsequently incorporated into the text with a necessary change or two.

As regards the *extent*, it appears to begin with  $d\pi \partial \tau \eta s \gamma \eta s$ and end with  $\eta \gamma o \rho d\sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v$ . The repetition of the  $d\gamma o \rho d \zeta w$  with two different adverbial phrases is remarkable. That  $d\pi \partial \tau w v$  $d\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi w v$  is to be preferred to  $d\pi \partial \tau \eta s \gamma \eta s$  seems clear from v. 9, where we have  $\eta \gamma \phi \rho a \sigma a s$ ... is  $\pi d \sigma \eta s \phi v \lambda \eta s$ .

The meaning of the interpolation we have already gathered from the foregoing criticism of the passage. The glosser or interpolator, as the case may be, took the passage to refer to celibates, and, as the peculiar clause (of mera yuranian our dialation  $\theta_{1}$ ) proves, made it refer to male celibates. They were the "first fruits" of the entire Christian Church (for such, of course, he conceived the meaning of  $d\pi a \rho_X \eta$ ).

This word as used by the interpolator carries with it the degradation of marriage—an idea inadmissible in the N.T. The use of the aorist here shows that their life on earth regarded as a discipline belongs to the past.

4. παρθένοι. The word παρθένος was applied to men also: cf. Life of Asenath, 3. έστιν δὲ οὖτος δ Ἰωσὴφ ἀνὴρ θεοσεβὴς . . . καὶ παρθένος. 6. διότι καὶ αὐτὸς παρθένος. In Suidas II is applied to Abel: ᾿Αβὲλ οὖτος παρθένος καὶ δίκαιος ὑπῆρχε. Cf. also Epiph. i. 385 C. παρθενεύω IS used of males in Just. Frag. 1577 A.

outor of drohoutourtes ath. These words can hardly fail to be an echo of our Lord's: cf. Mk. ii. 14, x. 21; Luke ix. 59; John i. 43, xxi. 19. For another echo cf. 1 Pet. ii. 21, iva enaκολουθήσητε τοις ίχνεσιν αυτού. In vii. 17 it is said that the Lamb will be the shepherd of the blessed described in vii. 14-15. This means according to oriental conceptions that the blessed follow Him. Thus to follow Christ is characteristic of the faithful, whether on earth, on which they were called to follow Him even unto death (Matt. x. 38, xvi. 24, 25), or in the Millennial kingdom, or in heaven. But it would be possible to take anolover as referring to the past, and the subsequent words as implying that in such following of the Lamb they underwent martyrdom. Cf. vii. 14, xii. 11. But the context does not favour this interpretation. onou av indyer. onou elsewhere in the Apocalypse means "where," but with verbs of motion it was used as the equivalent of ono. Cf. John vii. 21, 22, xiii. 33, 36. The av in this connection is impossible in classical Greek. In viii. 1 we have orav hvoitev, and in Mk. vi. 56 dv occurs after  $\delta \pi ov$  with the past imperfect indicative. The construction seems to imply an action of indefinite frequency: cf. Robertson, Gram. 958.

άπὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων. This phrase summarizes the full enumeration given in v. 9. ἀπαρχή = "sacrifice" or "offering." See note on 1. [καὶ τῷ ἀρνίῳ.] An addition. See note on 4.

5. καὶ ἐν τῷ στόματι αὐτῶν οὐχ εὐρέθη ψεῦδος This clause recalls most nearly Żeph. iii. 13, καὶ οὐ μὴ εὐρεθῆ ἐν τῶ στόματι αὐτῶν γλῶσσα δολία, and Isa. liii. 9. In 1 Pet. ii. 22 we have the latter reproduced : οὐδὲ εὖρέθη δόλος ἐν τῷ στόματι αὐτοῦ. Cf. John i. 47. It must, however, be confessed that this clause follows weakly after οἱ ἡγορασμένοι . . . ἀπαρχὴ τῷ θεῷ.

äμωμοί είσιν. This sentence introduced asyndetically is in the style of our author: cf. xvi. 6. äμωμος [= [[[αστ]]] describes best the character of the åπαρχή; in the LXX it has three times out of four a sacrificial reference, and affirms the flawlessness of the victim. It is that which is unblemished, sacrificially perfect. In I Pet. i. 19 Christ Himself is described as aμνοῦ ἀμώμον, and in Heb. ix. 14 as offering Himself as an unblemished sacrifice unto God (ἐαυτὸν προσήνεγκεν ἄμωμον τῷ θεῷ). In the present context the 144,000 who had been offered in sacrifice to God (åπαρχὴ τῷ θεῷ) are likewise described as ἄμωμου. In the five other passages, where it occurs in the Pauline Epistles and Jude, the clause has an ethical meaning and connotes "blameless-ness."

Note on xiv. 1-5.—This section has been an occasion of great difficulty to scholars. I have sought to show that much of this difficulty arose from misconception of the word  $d\pi a \rho_{\chi n}$ . But. as we have seen, there are other difficulties, which cannot be got rid of save by the excision of certain clauses. Völter (iv. 38 sq., 139 sq.) excises xiv. 4-5 and a phrase in xiv. 1, and then identifies the 144,000 here with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8. Wevland excises xiv. 1, 4-5, Erbes xiv. 4<sup>sb</sup>, and a phrase in 4<sup>d</sup>. Spitta is the most drastic of all. He changes to ovoua . . . πατρός αύτοῦ into τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ ζώντος: excises 2<sup>c</sup>-3 and reduces 4-5 to the following form : obroi hyopá  $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v a \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} v a v \theta \rho \hat{\omega}$ πων απαρχή τώ θεώ. (See pp. 144 sq., 147 sq., 536.) Wellhausen recognizes the fact that the text shows undoubted signs of interpolation. Bousset admits the possibility of a source underlying xiv. 1-5, but he thinks it impossible to recover it. He therefore takes the text as it stands and interprets the 144,000 to be a body of Christian ascetics and, therefore, different from the 144.000 in vii. 4-8. He thinks, however, that there are signs in the Apocalypse that these two bodies were originally identical.

In the above study of xiv. 1-5 I have attempted to show that xiv. 1-3 comes from the hand of our author (see also Introd. p. 2) save probably one phrase ( $\tau \delta$   $\delta \nu \sigma \mu a$   $a \delta \tau \sigma \delta$   $\kappa a \ell$ ) in xiv. 1. As regards xiv. 4-5 the case is different. Here the diction is not much of a guide to us, but the ideas and the irregularity of the order of the sentences are. Thus of the various descriptions of the 144,000 in 4-5 it is clear from the earlier chapters that the essential one is, without doubt, that which describes them as a sacrifice to God.

At the close of 5 this idea recurs in the words,  $\ddot{a}\mu\omega\mu oi$  eior, but a purely ethical description intervenes—kai èv  $\tau \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau \ldots$  $\psi \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \delta \sigma s$ . This appears irregular, but greater difficulties have already emerged in connection with 4<sup>a</sup>, where the un-Jewish and un Christian idea is presented, that the very élite of the blessed consists of ascetics, and that, too, male ascetics. On these and other grounds we have excised certain clauses and concluded that, before the monkish glosser went to work, our author's text read as follows in xiv. 3<sup>c</sup>-5, oi  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\rho\rho a\sigma\mu \acute{e}\nu oi a \pi \delta \tau \ddot{\omega}\nu a\nu d\rho \dot{\omega}\pi\omega\nu$  $\dot{a}\pi a\rho\chi\dot{\eta} \tau \ddot{\psi} \theta \epsilon \dot{\omega}^{c} \ddot{a}\mu\omega\mu oi elow$ . But kai èv  $\tau \ddot{\psi} \sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau \ldots$   $\psi \epsilon \ddot{\upsilon} \delta \sigma$ s may be original. To the interpolator of the above clauses we may owe also the removal of the article before the 144,000, which identified this 144,000 with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8.

6-11. Vision of the judgment to be executed on the Roman Empire and its worshippers, in which three angels make proclamation. The first proclaimed to all men an eternal Gospel, the burden of which was that all men should worship the one God who had created heaven and earth, for that the hour of judgment had come (6-7). The second announced, as though already accomplished, the fall of Rome, which had made all the nations to drink of the wine of her fornication (8). The third proclaimed that those who submitted to the mandates of Rome would share in the everlasting torment that awaited her (9-11).

6. ällow äyyelow. The presence of the allow occasions some difficulty. It is supported by the best textual authorities. If it is original, we might, perhaps, with Düsterdieck explain the word as used in contradistinction to the angels that had appeared in earlier scenes. He compares x. I where allow äyyelow has already been mentioned, who, as in the present instance, proclaims the impending end of the world. Erbes and J. Weiss suppose we have here a reference to viii. 13, where the phraseology is certainly similar: ivos derov  $\pi eropievou$  in dllow dyrelow algorithm of the suggests that in dllow dyrelow as dllow difficulty. The second of the second of the suggest that it is a corruption of dllow alerow. Cf. viii. 13. It seems best to explain dllow. The difficulty recurs in 8, 9.

neróµeror dr µerouparíµarı. Cf. viii. 13, xix. 17. In the O.T. the angels are not represented with wings save in its latest books : cf. Dan. ix. 21.

eðayyéhor alárror. This phrase is found here only in the Johannine writings, whereas the cognate verb occurs here and in x. 7. eðayyéhor here is not to be translated as if it were  $\tau \delta \epsilon \delta a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda to r$ . Its character is defined by its present context and x. 7. It is a proclamation of the impending end of the world and of the final judgment, which, while it is a message of good tidings to the faithful, constitutes for all nations a last summons to repentance.

In x. 7 it was made known to His servants the prophets, here it is proclaimed to all the world. This gospel is termed alwrow because possibly our author wishes to emphasize its unchangeable validity for all eternity.

τούς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς (A and some cursives).  $\aleph CPQ$ read τ. καθημένους ἐπὶ τ. γῆς. The textual evidence is here indecisive. Difficulties beset both readings. The second reading is against the normal usage of our author. We should expect ἐπὶ τ. γῆν = after the καθημένους. But ἐπὶ τ. γῆς is the universal construction in our author after κατοικοῦν. Hence if we could discover any good ground for the change of κατοικοῦντας into καθημένους, we shall have little hesitation in recognizing A as right. Now, though the scribes of NCPQ were occasionally doubtful as to our author's constructions after ἑ καθήμενος, τοῦ καθημένου, τῷ καθημένφ, they could have had no doubt as to the meaning of the phrase of κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς (always so except in xiii. 12, xvii. 1, 2, where these constructions are found in sources) elsewhere in our author, *i.e.* iii. 10, vi. 10, viii. 13, etc. And since this meaning was always bad in all other passages, and since it could not bear such a meaning here, some early scribe may have been led to substitute καθημένους for κατοικοῦντας and thus give the phrase the neutral colour it required here. But, if this hypothesis of the origin of καθημένους is right, its presence here can only call for condemnation. It is against our author's usage wholly in this construction : indeed, according to his usage it could only mean "those who sat on the earth."<sup>1</sup>

If, then, we accept the reading of A, we must in this one passage attach a purely neutral or geographical sense to the phrase, such as it bears not infrequently in I Enoch (see xxxviii. 5, note). See note on xi. 10.

παν έθνος και φυλην κτλ. See note on v. 9.

 $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \omega \nu}$ . The grammatical irregularity is characteristic of our author. See iv. 1, xi. 1.

7. λέγων ἐν φωνῆ μεγάλη. See Introduction to this Chapter,
 § 2 : also note on x. 2.

φοβήθητε τὸν θεὸν κτλ. This gospel is based on a purely theistic foundation. But, when the last hour has come, a man's chief concern is not dogmatic fullness or correctness of creed, but only self-humiliation before and self-surrender to the Lord of all. With this announcement we might compare the gospel as preached by our Lord in Mark i. 15, μετανοείτε καὶ πιστεύετε ἐν εὐαγγελίφ.

But a really excellent parallel is to be found in St. Paul's speech at Lystra, Acts xiv. 15: εὐαγγελιζόμενοι ὑμῶς ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν ματαίων ἐπιστρέφειν ἐπὶ θεὸν ζῶντα ὅς ἐποίησεν τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ τὴν γῆν καὶ τὴν θάλασσαν κτλ. On the creation idea, cf. x. 6 of our text.

The clause  $\phi_0\beta$ .  $\tau$ .  $\theta_{\epsilon 0} r$  is found in Eccles. xii. 13, and dore air $\phi_0 \delta_0 \delta_0 r$  in Josh vii. 19; 1 Sam. vi. 5; Isa. xlii. 12; Jer. xiii. 16, but they both belong to our author's phraseology: see Introd. to Chap. xiv., p. 3.

ήλθεν ή ώρα τῆς κρίσεως aðroû. The diction and form of this sentence are characteristic of our author, but are of still more frequent occurrence in the Fourth Gospel. Cf. ii. 4, iv. 21, 23,

ישרים על הארץ, אשרים על הארץ, איז is a rendering of אשרים על הארץ, and this is the normal rendering of it in the LXX. In a few cases in the LXX of Jeremiah only do we find al *кавищево етг*,  $\gamma i p \circ \sigma \tau$ ,  $\gamma i p \circ \tau$ ,  $\gamma i$ 

v. 25, 28, vii. 30, viii. 20, xii. 23, xiii. 1, xvi. 2, 4, 21, 25, 32, xvii. 1.

προσκυτήσατε τῷ ποιήσαττι. This is the usage of our author: contrast 9 where this verb takes the acc. of το θηρίον—also the usage of our author. See note on vii. 11.

**προσκυτήσατε τῷ ποιήσαττ τὸν οὐραν**ὸν κτλ. Since God has created the world, man's allegiance is due to God. The fact of God as creator has already been brought forward in iv. 11, x. 6, but in both these passages  $\kappa \tau i \zeta e u$  and not ποιεῦν has been used. References to the creative activity of God are rare in the N.T. but frequent in the O.T. See note on iv. 11.

8. The second angel proclaims the fall of Rome.

äλλos δεύτερος äγγελos. So the best MSS. But we should rather expect simply äγγελos δεύτερος or äλλos äγγ. δεύτ.; for when our author uses another adjective in addition to äλλos it is added after the noun: cf. vi. 4, x. 1, xiv. 9, xv. 1: *i.e.* "another angel, a second one."

ëπεσεν, ëπεσεν βαβυλών. These words are already found in Isa. xxi. 9. (ΕΔΕ Ι ΕΔΕ Ι

ή ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου [τοῦ θυμοῦ] τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς πεπότικεν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη. This very extraordinary form of speech, which recurs in xvii. 3, can hardly be original. The text as it stands combines two wholly disparate ideas. The first is ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς—a phrase which occurs in xvii. 2—*i.e.* "the wine of her fornication," which Babylon has made all the nations to drink. This wine symbolizes the intoxicating power, the corrupting influence of Rome. The second is ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου τοῦ θυμοῦ (τοῦ θεοῦ)—a phrase which occurs in xiv. 10—*i.e.* "the wine of the wrath of God," which He will give Babylon to drink. This latter phrase recurs in a fuller form in xvi. 19, xix. 15, τοῦ οἴνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ὀργῆς aὐτοῦ. But in the O.T. it is God Himself that presents through His prophet this wine of wrath to the nations : cf. Jer. xxv. 15, which seems to have been in the mind of our author, λάβε τὸ ποτήριον τοῦ οἴνου τοῦ ἀκράτου τοῦτου ἐκ χειρός μου

14

καὶ ποτιεῖς πάντα τὰ ἔθνη. In xxviii. (li.) 7 Babylon is said to be a cup in the hand of the Lord whereof the nations have drunk and become mad : ποτήριον χρυσοῦν Buβυλὼν ἐν χειρὶ κυρίου μεθύσκονπῶσαν τὴν χῆν. `Απὸ τοῦ οἶνου αὐτῆς ἐπίοσαν ἔθνη. διὰ τοῦτο ἐσαλεύθησαν (<sup>15</sup>).

As we study the above facts two ways of dealing with the text appear to be open to us. I. Excise row θυμού as an interpolation due to the occurrence of the phrase του οίνου του θυμού in 10. The extraordinary divergence of the MSS in xviii. 3, where the phrase recurs, points either to an interpolated or a very difficult text. 2. Since our author appears to have had Jer. xxv. 15 sq. in his mind, and since the text there has mind, (="the wine which is wrath," i.e. wine of wrath), it is possible that he took non in the sense of "poison," which it sometimes bears (cf. Deut. xxxii. 24, 33; Ps. lviii. 5, cxl. 4). The fact that it maddens the nations (Jer. xxv. 16, li. 7; Hab. ii. 15) might be taken to favour this meaning. If this be right, then our text would mean "the wine of the poison of her fornication." But it seems best to regard rov θυμού as an inter-The nations, having drunk of the wine of the fornicapolation. tion of Babylon, have really therein drunk the wine of the wrath of God.

9. The third angel proclaims a doom of everlasting torment for adherents of the Imperial cult. This forms a counter proclamation to that in xiii. 15, 17.

έν φωνή μεγάλη. See note on x. 2.

προσκυνεί το θηρίον. See note on 7.

† λαμβάνει χάραγμα †. Cf. xii. 11, xix. 20, xx. 4. On χάραγμα, see xiii. 16, note. The absence of the article before χάραγμα is suspicious. The context leads us to expect it. First it immediately follows the definite mention of the Beast (το θηρίον), whose mark it is. Next this mark has already been twice mentioned in xiii.—in the first instance in xiii. 16, without the article because mentioned for the first time, and again in xiii. 17 with the article. Finally the angel would not speak in this indefinite way of this brand of hell. Contrast xx. 4. All mankind knew "the mark." We must suppose the angel knew so also. Hence we should read το χάραγμα or excise και λαμβάνει ... χείρα αὐτοῦ as an interpolation.

† ἐπὶ τοῦ μετώπου †. This construction of ἐπὶ with μετώπου is against our author's usage We should expect ἐπὶ τὸ μέπωπον. See notes on vii. 3, xiii. 16. When we combine these two irregularities just dealt with, we are forced, it seems, to infer either that the text is corrupt or that we have in καὶ λαμβάνει . . . χείρα αὐτοῦ a marginal gloss. The closing words of 11 support the former conclusion. Hence we should probably read καὶ λαμβάνει τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον αὐτοῦ ἢ ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ.

10. Rai airds wisras, "he too (the man who has received the mark) shall drink." To Rome herself finally this cup is given in xvi. 17. The *sal* introduces the apodosis as in x. 17 (iii. 20 KQ)

έκ τοῦ οἴνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ κεκερασμένου ἀκράτου ἐν τῶ ποτηρίω της δργής. Parallel expressions to ποτήριον της δργής avroù are found in Isa. li. 17, 22; Jer. xxv. 15, and in xviii. 6 in our text. The subject has in part been discussed under ver. 8 above. The only real difficulty lies in the words row of vov row θυμοῦ... τοῦ κεκερασμένου ἀκράτου. The source of the expression appears to be Ps. lxxv. 9, ότι ποτήριον έν χειρί κυρίου, οίνου מגράτου πληρες κεράσματος. Here the Mass. has מקר מלא מסר for the last four words. We should also compare Jer. xxxii. I (XXV. 15) דט אסד אסד יסט סניס ערט אסט אס אסד אסד אסד (XXV. 15) אבום היין החכוה הואת . We have still another parallel in Pss. Sol. viii 15, διà τοῦτο έκέρασεν αύτοις δ θεός πνεύμα πλανήσεως επότισεν αύτους ποτήριον οίνου ἀκράτου εἰς μέθην. From the last passage it follows that there can be no inconsistency between exeparer and apparer. The verb refers to the mingling of this wine with elements (as the literal wine with spices) that will not weaken it but render it noxious or poisonous, whereas the adjective (apparov) states that it is unmixed with water. For this use of asparos cf. 3 Macc. v. 2; Galen (in Wetstein): οίνον ακρατον είναι λέγομεν, ψ μή μέμικται το ύδωρ  $\dot{\eta}$ ...  $\dot{\delta}$ λίγον μέμικται. In Ps. lxxv. 9 the same explanation of the LXX would hold good, though in that case we have to read יין חמר. In Jer. xxxii. I (xxv. 15) מגףמיסט therefore implies mand not the Massoretic reading given above. On the other hand, it must be stated that modern scholars, though they accept החמר as the reading in Jer. xxv. 15, do not attribute to it the same meaning either here or in Ps. lxxv. o as the LXX.

From the above authorities we conclude that the passage is to be explained "the wine of the wrath of God which is mingled sheer in the cup," etc. But for the close parallels given above we might accept the proposal of Ewald, Alford and others that from the almost universal custom of mixing wine with water the common term for preparing wine came to be  $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\nu\nu\mu\mu$ . Thus Eustathius says on Od. v. 93 that in  $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\sigma\sigma\epsilon \geq \epsilon\nu\epsilon\rho$  for the verb  $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\sigma\sigma\epsilon = \epsilon\nu\epsilon\chi\epsilon$ . A further suggestion may be offered. Our author, we know, was better acquainted with Hebrew than with Greek. It is possible, therefore, that judging from the LXX he took  $\delta\kappa\rho a\sigma\sigmas$  to be a right rendering of  $\neg \sigma \pi$  just as the author of Pss. Sol. xvi. 11 took  $\delta\lambda_{i\gamma\sigma}/\nu_{i}\chi_{i\alpha}$  (which really means "faintheartedness") to be a right rendering of  $\neg \sigma \pi$  (= "impatience"), a misrendering that is also found in the LXX. If this be so, then we might assign to  $d\kappa\rho aros$  the meaning of  $\eta \alpha r$  and render "the wine of the wrath of God which is mingled foaming in the cup." The "foaming" or "fermentation" is still going on; for God has just mingled this cup of judgment for the nations.

**Basaristhformal iv rupl kal deim.** The imagery goes back to the torments to be inflicted on Edom: Isa. xxxiv. 8-10. The punishment of brimstone and fire appears first in connection with Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen. xix. 24). As Anderson Scott writes *in loc.*, "it is instructive to trace the development of the symbolism springing from the circumstances of an event in history, providing the traditional features, first of any great judgment, then of the day of the Lord, and, finally, of the judgment of Christ." Our author uses this symbolism again in xix. 20, xx. 10, xxi. 8.

ἐνώπιον τῶν ἀγγέλων. The idea has occurred already in I Enoch xlviii. 9 in another form :

- "And I will give them over into the hands of Mine elect :
  - As straw in fire, so shall they burn before the face of the holy:
  - As lead in water, so shall they sink before the face of the righteous,

And no trace of them shall any more be found."

In 1 Enoch xxvii. 2, 3, xc. 26, 27, 4 Ezra vii. 36, as in the passage before us, the sufferings of the wicked form an everpresent spectacle to the righteous; but not so in the Parables of I Enoch. There Gehenna and its victims form only a temporary spectacle. Then they vanish from the presence of the righteous for ever, as in the later sections of the Apocalypse. See I Enoch xlviii. 9, note, lxii. 12, 13. This is not due to any moralisation of the idea but to the conception of a new heaven and a new earth, which exclude the possibility of the Gehenna conception. In Luke xii. 9 the wicked are to be disowned by Christ in the presence of His angels. This idea of Gehenna as an ever-present spectacle over against Paradise arose through a mistaken etymology of the phrase דראון עולם in Isa. lxvi. 24 and Dan. xii. 2. In the 1st cent. B.C. or as early as the close of the 2nd cent. B.C. Jewish scholars regarded rear as derived from Thus the LXX of Isa. lxvi. 24 renders this word evoral eis opaour.

κal ἀνώπιον τοῦ ἀρνίου. Many critics remove this phrase as a gloss owing to the position after τῶν ἀγγέλων. If it is original it is best to render the phrase: "even before the Lamb." Bousset suggests that the phrase "before the angels" is a late Jewish periphrasis for "before God." Cf. Luke xv. 10, xii. 8, 9;

VOL. 11.--2

and Bousset's *Rel. des Judentums*, 308, but in the present context this is unlikely.

11. καὶ ὁ καπνὸς τοῦ βασανισμοῦ αὐτῶν ... ἀναβαίνει. Cf. xviii. 9, xix. 3, xx. 10; Isa. xxxiv. 10, ἔσται ἡ γῆ αὐτῆς ὡς πίσσα καιομένη νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας, καὶ οὐ σβεσθήσεται εἰς τὸν alῶνα χρόνον, καὶ ἀναβήσεται ὁ καπνὸς aὐτῆς ἄνω. The word βασανισμός is used here as denoting the condition of those in torment, and similarly in xviii. 7, 10, 15, whereas in the gloss (?) in ix. 5 it has an active meaning. In Luke xvi. 23, 28 (Wisd. iii. 1; 4 Macc. xiii. 15) βάσανος is used to denote passive suffering.

ody έχουσιν ἀνάπαυσιν κτλ. Cf. iv. 8, where the same Greek clause occurs, but there it means the deliberate and willing surrender on the part of the Cherubim of their entire time to the praise of God, whereas here it denotes the involuntary endurance of ceaseless torment on the part of those who worship the Beast: cf. I Enoch lxiii. 6.

> "And now we long for a little rest but find it not: We follow hard upon and obtain it not."

εί τις λαμβάνει = οί λαμβάνοντες.

**XIV. 12-18.** These verses do not belong here but have in this edition been restored to their original position after xiii. 15. Just as at the close of xiii. 10 our author enforced the need of patience and faithfulness under the persecutions described in xiii. 10<sup>abc</sup>, so after he has foretold universal persecution and martyrdom for the saints in xiii. 15, and in xiv. 12 has enforced the need of patience on the part of the saints, a voice from heaven is heard declaring the blessedness of those who die in the Lord. For a full treatment of these verses see the section that follows immediately after xiii. 15, vol. i. pp. 368-373.

14, 18-20. A proleptic vision of the preliminary Messianic judgment executed by the Son of Man on the heathen nations, which is described in detail in xix. 11-16, and further apparently in xx. 7-10, and under another form in xvii. 14. Although nearly all scholars have taken 14-20 to be the work of one hand, it is clear, as Wellhausen has already recognized, that in 14-20 there are doublets. Wellhausen takes these to be 14-16 and 17-20, but a study of the text and context shows that this analysis of the passage cannot be sustained. No more can that of Bousset, who regards xiv. 14-20 as originally from a foreign source, like xi. 1-13, and considers xiv. 17-18 as the addition of a reviser. For (1) since in xiv. 15-17 there are constructions (see notes on 15-17, p. 21) which are against our author's use, we conclude that these verses are an intrusion here, and that xiv. 14, 18-20 represent the original text. (2) Again the phrase  $a\lambda \lambda os ayy (\lambda os (15))$  is noteworthy. It shows

that the interpolator failed to recognize the "One like a Son of Man" in 14 as Christ, and took Him to be simply an angel, and hence assigned a mightier rôle to this second and unnamed angel. But to place beside the Son of Man a second figure, and that merely an angelic one as the judge of the earth, is hardly intelligible from any point of view.

(3) When 15-17 are removed, the text describes the Son of Man reaping the vintage of the earth just as in xix. 11-16, where He treads the winepress of the wrath of God. This fact is also against Wellhausen's analysis which would connect the Son of Man with the harvesting of the earth and an angel with the gathering in of the vintage of the earth. (4) Again, neither θερίζω (Matt. xxv. 24, etc.) nor any of its derivatives (Matt. ix. 37 sq., xiii. 30, xxv. 24; Mark iv. 29; John iv. 35 sug.) is used elsewhere in the Apocalypse in regard to divine judgment, whereas in xix. vintage terms are applied metaphorically as in xiv. 18-20 to this judgment. (5) Again, instead of to δρέπανόν σου in 15 we should expect σου to δρέπανον τὸ ὀξύ, as rightly in 18, seeing that the δρέπανον is already described as off in 14. (6) Finally, when the intrusive doublet (15-17) is removed, we understand why it is that the angel from the altar conveys the command to the Son of Man to gather in the vintage of the earth. The angel of the altar has had to do with the souls of those who had been martyred, and whose souls had cried in vi. 9 from beneath the altar to God for judgment on the inhabitants of the earth. This act of Messianic judgment is thus connected with the prayers of the martyrs : cf. Luke xviii. 7. 8.

14. There can be no question as to the identity of the divine figure seated on the cloud. He is described as "One like a Son of Man." The phrase δμοιον νίον ανθρώπου is a solecism so far as regards form, and is found only in our author here and in i. 13. The O.T. source of this expression is undoubtedly Dan. vii. 13, "I saw in the night visions, and behold, there came with the clouds of heaven one like unto a son of man (LXX, us vius  $dv\theta\omega\pi\sigma v$ )." But the expression, though identical in both works, so far as language goes, is dissimilar in meaning. According to the interpretation of the angel in Dan. vii. 18, 22, 27, the phrase denotes the saints of the Most High. But this is not all. In apocalyptic visions, where men or bodies of men are symbolized by beasts (as in Daniel, I Enoch, Testaments of the XII Patriarchs, etc.), angels and supernatural beings are symbolized by men. If, therefore, the expression "Son of Man" is to be taken strictly in Daniel, it undoubtedly suggests a supernatural being or body of such beings-supernatural beings but not angels; for the form of the phrase excludes this possibility. In the apocalyptic vision an angel is simply designated "a man." Hence the words "like a man" = "like an angel," *i.e.* a being who is of a supernatural character but not an angel. Thus in Daniel we are to infer that the faithful remnant in Israel are to be transformed into supernatural beings as in I Enoch xc. 38 (161 B.C.). That this is the meaning of the text is proved by the adjoining clause, "there came with the clouds of heaven." This clause implies beyond question supernatural authority.

Thus in Daniel the phrase is a collective designation of the righteous Israelites after they have undergone a heavenly transformation.

But a further development was necessary before we arrive at the conception conveyed by this phrase in our author, and this development was reached first, so far as existing literature goes, in I Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi., the author of which interpreted "one like a son of man" of an individual, *i.e.* the Messiah, and by so doing rose to the conception of a supernatural Messiah. Thus the way was prepared for the N.T. designation "the Son of Man" ( $\delta v \partial s \tau \sigma \tilde{u} \delta r \theta \phi \pi \sigma v$ ) which in the Gospels has thrown off its distinctive apocalyptic form—"*like* unto a Son of Man" ( $\delta s v \partial \theta \phi \pi \sigma v$ ), a form, however, which has been retained in the Apocalypse. On this use of  $\delta s$  in apocalyptic see additional note on i. 10, vol. i. p. 35 sq. For a like transition inside our author, cf. xv. 2, where we have first  $\delta s \theta \delta \lambda a \sigma \sigma a v \delta \lambda i v \eta v$  and then  $\tau \eta v \theta \delta \lambda a \sigma \sigma a v \tau \eta v \delta a \lambda i v \eta v$ .

In 4 Ezra xiii. 3 (before 70 A.D.?) we find the very same expression. The Latin here is wanting, but the Syriac = is  $\delta\mu oi\omega\mu a$  vioù  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$ , where, however, the Syriac is only a paraphrastic rendering of  $\delta\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma$  as in the Peshitto of Ezek. i. 5, 22, 26, x. 1; and of Rev. i. 13, xiv. 14. See Gwynn, *Apocalypse of St. John*, i. 13, note. Thus the Syriac of the Peshitto in Rev. i. 13, xiv. 14, and 4 Ezra xiii. 3 is exactly the same, and both presuppose  $\delta\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$  vidr (*i.e.* viŵ)  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma$ . Hence 4 Ezra xiii. 3 should be rendered as follows:

"And I beheld and lo! the wind caused to come up out of the heart of the seas one like a son of man. And I beheld and lo! this son of man flew with the clouds of heaven." The Ethiopic version supports this rendering.

Spotor vior. See the last note but one.

לאו דאי איפאלאאי אמאאשירים. Cf. Dan. vii. 13. See note on i. 7.

στέφανον χρυσοῦν. We have here the golden wreath but not the διαδήματα, which he wears in xix. 12. Even in the Apocalypse the στέφανος has many associations. Probably it carries with it here the idea of victory as in ii. 10, iii. 11, vi. 2.

[15-17. We have seen already in note on p. 18 that these

verses are a doublet of 14, 18-20. We have found that 14, 18-20 come from the hand of our author and form a uniform picture, the unity of which is broken up by the interpolated verses 15-17. This doublet was probably suggested by the poetic parallelism in Joel iii. 13.

"Put ye in the sickle, for the harvest is ripe: Come, tread ye, for the winepress is full."

In 15-17 the judgment is represented as a harvesting of the earth. This figure is used both in the O.T. and in the Gospels in relation to the last judgment: cf. Matt. xiii. 30, 39, but not in the Apocalypse, save in the present interpolated passage, where the figure is worked out fully and vividly. The interpolator of 15-17 has, of course, imitated the phrasing and diction of the Apocalypse, but he betrays his ignorance in four constructions, *i.e.* in 15,  $\kappa\rho\dot{a}\langle\omega\nu \dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\phi}\omega\nu\hat{j} \mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\eta$ , whereas our author would have written  $\kappa\rho\dot{a}\langle\omega\nu \dot{\phi}\omega\nu\hat{j} \mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\eta$  (see Introd. to this Chap. § 2);  $\tau\hat{\psi}$  $\kappa a\theta\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\psi \dot{\epsilon}n\dot{\tau}\hat{\eta}s$  reqé $\lambda\eta s$  (whereas our author would have written  $\dot{\epsilon}n\dot{\tau}\hat{\eta}$  reqé $\lambda\eta s$ : see vol. i. p. 112 sq.): in 16,  $\delta \kappa a\theta\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma \dot{\epsilon}n\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}s$  $reqé\lambda\eta s$  (whereas our author would have used  $\dot{\epsilon}n\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\nu$  reqé $\lambda\eta v$ ); and  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta a\lambda\epsilon\nu \ldots \dot{\epsilon}n\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\nu\gamma\hat{\eta}\nu$  (whereas our author would have written  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta a\lambda\epsilon\nu \ldots \dot{\epsilon}s$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\gamma\hat{\eta}\nu$ ).

15.  $d\lambda\lambda os$   $d\gamma ye\lambda os$ . The attempt to explain the  $d\lambda\lambda os$  here as looking back to 6 or 9 can hardly be justified, since 6-11 and 14-20 are quite distinct visions. Even the use of  $\kappa a\lambda \epsilon l\delta ov \kappa a\lambda \delta ov$  in xiv. 14 (see note on iv. 1) is sufficient to prove that this is so.

It is most important to distinguish the different meanings of άλλοs in xiv. 8, 9, 15, 17, 18. In xiv. 8, 9 there is no difficulty. άλλοs is used idiomatically as in classical Greek, and the phrase = "another, the second angel," etc. But in xiv. 15, 17, 18 there is this use and another. In 15, 17 we have the ordinary use, where the phrase = "another angel." For the interpolator of xiv. 15-17 regarded the Son of Man in xiv. 14 merely as an angel, since in xiv. 17 he makes an angel hold joint authority with Him in the Messianic Judgment and discharge in xiv. 19-20 the duty assigned to the Messiah in xix. 11-16. Hence in xiv. 15  $d\lambda \delta s d\gamma \epsilon \delta s = "another angel." But in xiv. 18 the$ phrase is to be rendered differently. There, on the excision of xiv. 15-17 as an interpolation, the άλλος in άλλ, άγγ, refers back indeed to the Son of Man in xiv. 14, but at the same time it distinguishes this angel from the Son of Man, as a different kind of being; for nowhere throughout our author is the Son of Man conceived of as an angel. Hence  $a\lambda\lambda$ .  $a\gamma\gamma$ . = "another, an angel." Cf. the use of erepos in Luke xxiii. 32.

k τοῦ raoῦ. *I.e.* the heavenly temple. See note in vol. i. p. 111 sq.

κράζων ἐν φωνη μεγάλη. Our author does not insert the ἐν: cf. vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, x. 3, xix. 17, where we have κράζειν φωνη μεγάλη. It is true that in xviii. 2 we have κράζειν ἐν ἰσχυρα φωνη. But this latter passage is from another source.

τῷ καθημένω ἐπὶ τῆς νεφέλης. Here and in the next verse the construction is against the usage of our author, though it is the more usual in classical Greek. See note on iv. 2.

In the three lines beginning with  $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \sigma \tau \delta \delta \rho \epsilon \pi a v \delta \sigma \sigma w e$ have apparently a paraphrase of Joel iv. 13, ישלחו מול בי בשל קציר, the first line being an expanded translation of the first two words in Joel, and the second and third lines being probably duplicate paraphrastic renderings of the last three words, though, like the LXX and the Targum, they presuppose a text differing from the Massoretic. Thus line two, ότι ηλθεν ή ώρα θερίσαι, presupposes by  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$  some Hebrew verb = "has come" as also does the LXX by παρέστηκεν (cf. Mark iv. 20, αποστέλλει το δρέπανον, ότι παρέστηκεν ό θερισμός) and the Targum by NOD. In line three, δτι † έξηράνθη † δ θερισμός της γής, έξηράνθη presupposes "בש'. Is this a corruption of בש'? ξηραίνω is unexampled in the sense of "to ripen," so far as I am aware, but might be explained as a rendering of a corrupt text. ξηραίνω means "to dry up," as in xvi. 12, or "to wither" when used of plants (cf. Matt. xiii. 6, etc.) or of crops (cf. Joel i. 17, έξηράνθη oîros), but not "to ripen." It will be observed that the writer of 15-17 uses some form of the Hebrew text and not the LXX.

τό δρέπανόν σου. We should observe two things here. First, we should expect the addition of τὸ ởξύ here, since the epithet is already attached to δρέπανον in 14. In 18, which we hold to be the original sequel to 14, we find, as we should expect, πέμψον σου τὸ δρέπανον τὸ ởξύ. Next, in 15 we note the position of the σου after its noun, in which case the emphasis is laid on the pronoun, whereas in 18 the σου stands before its noun, in which case the emphasis is laid on τὸ δρέπανον τὸ ởξύ—a fact which points to the thoroughness with which the vintage is carried out in 19-20.

**ωρα θερίσαι.** For the construction cf. v. 5, ix. 10, x1. 6, xvi. 9.

16. This verse is modelled on 19, the judgment being referred to under the figure of a harvest instead of a vintage. But, as I have already pointed out on 15, the construction  $\delta \kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon v os \epsilon \pi i$  $\tau \eta s \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta s$  is against the usage of our author.  $\epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon v \ldots \epsilon \pi i$  $\tau \eta v \gamma \eta v$  is unexampled in our author. In such a construction  $\epsilon s$  not  $\epsilon \pi \ell$  is always used by him. See note on 19.

17. roû vaoû êr rê odparê. See note in vol. i. p. 111 sq.]

18. We here resume the original vision into which 15-17 were interpolated. In this verse  $\delta \lambda \lambda \sigma s$  dyye $\lambda \sigma s$  is to be rendered

"another, an angel." See note on 15 above. The phrase  $\delta \, \tilde{\epsilon}_{XWV} \, \tilde{\epsilon}_{\bar{e}} \delta \, \tilde{\epsilon}_{XWV} \, \tilde{\epsilon}_{X$ 

αγγελός . . . εκ τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου. When the disturbing interpolation, 15-17, is removed, the rôle of the angel, who came forth from the altar, is at once intelligible. The number of the martyrs is at last complete, and now their prayer (vi. 10) from beneath the altar can be fulfilled, and so the angel, who has to do with the souls of the martyrs beneath the altar, is entrusted by God with the task of carrying to the Son of Man the command to undertake the judgment of the earth-to thrust in the sickle and reap the vintage and tread the winepress of the wrath of God (cf. xix. 15). This judgment is the Messianic judgment that precedes the Millennial reign of the Messiah. In xvi. 7 the altar itself proclaims the truth and righteousness of God's judgments. In ix. 13 a voice from the altar commands the letting loose of the first demonic woe against those who had not the seal of God in their foreheads. On this-the one heavenly altarsee note in vol. i. p. 224 sq. Only one angel is mentioned here in connection with the righteous martyrs beneath the altar. But in contemporary and earlier apocalyptic many angels were said to keep guard over the souls of the departed righteous : cf. 1 Enoch c. 5; 4 Ezra vii. 85, 95.

δ έχων έξουσίαν κτλ. See note at the beginning of this verse.

έφώνησεν φωνη μεγάλη φωνείν is found only here in our author. For the entire phrase cf. Mark i. 26; Acts xvi. 28.

τό δρέπανον τὸ ὀξύ, i.e. the sharp sickle mentioned in 14.

πέμψον σου τὸ δρέπανον. Here (cf. 15) we have a tristich, of which the first and third lines are largely a reproduction of Joel 1V. 13. The first line consists simply of the words in Joel, with the addition of the epithet "sharp," which the context requires (cf. 14 ad fin.), while the third line is in part a translation and in part a transformation of the clause in Joel, vir  $\nabla \mathfrak{P}$ ; for  $\eta \kappa \mu a \sigma a \nu$  is a good rendering of  $\partial \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \delta s$  ar  $\sigma \tau a \phi \nu \lambda a$ avr $\eta s$  is simply substituted instead of  $\theta \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \delta s$  and drives home in each line with ever-increasing force the thought of the Seer, who does not quote but simply transforms an O.T. couplet to serve his present purpose. What a contrast it presents to the feebleness of the tristich in 15! It should perhaps be mentioned here that some scholars have taken 15-16 to refer to the ingather-

ing of the saints, and 17-20 to the judgment that befalls the wicked, and thought that there is a delicate propriety in the fact that the former is assigned to the Son of Man, and the latter to a nameless angel. But there is no support for this view in our author; for in xix. 11-16 it is this very treading of the winepress of the wrath of God that is especially the rôle of the Son of God. Moreover, in the rest of the N.T. the words  $\theta \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \nu$  and  $\theta \epsilon \rho i \sigma \mu \delta s$ are used of reaping harvests good or bad, alike as regards man (cf. Matt. xxv. 24, 26; Gal. vi. 7, 8; I Cor. ix. 11) and the angels, who are termed the  $\theta$ eolorias (see Matt. xiii. 30, 39 : cf. Mark iv. 29). As a man sows good or evil, he reaps accordingly. Similarly in the judgment, the angels gather alike the tares and the wheat in the last great harvesting (Matt. xiii. 30, 39). The word *ouros*, "wheat," as a symbol of the righteous is not found in our author. Finally-to resume once more-in xiv. 6-20 we have a proleptic summary from the divine standpoint of the Messianic judgments which are represented on a larger canvas in xvi. 18-xviii. and xix. 11-21, xx. 7-10. Thus there is no ground for the attempt to differentiate and justify the occurrence side by side of what are really doublets. 15-17 are, from whatever standpoint we regard them, a disturbing element in the text.

19.  $\vec{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu$ ...  $\epsilon is$   $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ . Our author uses either  $\epsilon n \tau \tau \eta s$  $\gamma \eta s$ , v. 3, 10, 13, vii. 1, x. 2, 5, 8, xvi. 18, xviii. 24, or  $\epsilon is \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ , v. 6, vi. 13, viii. 5, 7, ix. 1, 3, xii. 4, 9, 13, xiii. 13, xvi. 1, 2.  $\vec{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu$ ...  $\epsilon n \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$  is found only in the interpolated passage, xiv. 15-17.  $\vec{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu$  is here another rendering of  $\pi \nu \mu$  in Joel iv 13.

[6 äγγελos]. An interpolation due to the hand that inserted 15-18. The Son of Man is never described as an angel. See note on 15.

την ληνόν... τον μέγαν. This irregularity is probably to be explained by the fact that  $\lambda \eta \nu \delta s$  in classical Greek is sometimes masculine (Winer, § lix. 4, p. 661; cf. Kautzsch's Gesenius' *Heb. Gram.* for similar anomalies in Heb.: § 110 k, 135 o, 144a, 145 p, t, u). Analogous solecisms are characteristic of our author: cf. xxi. 14, το τείχος ... έχων.

ληνόν τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ. Here and in xix. 15 only in the N.T. The phrase τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ is rather frequent : cf. xv. 1, 7, xvi. 1, 19, xix. 15.

**90.** Though the Messianic judgment as executed by the Son of Man is here described in awful terms, it is not the final judgment, which is regarded by our author as the prerogative of the Father: cf. xx. 11 sqq. The diction appears to be influenced by Isa. lxiii. 3, where Yahweh declares, "I have trodden the winepress alone," and in 1 Enoch c. 3, "And the horses shall walk up to the bleast in the blood of sinners, And the charlot

shall be submerged to its height." This phraseology reappears in Talmudic writings in connection with the carnage at Bether in the time of Hadrian: see my note in I Enoch c. 3: also 4 Ezra xv. 35, erit sanguis a gladio usque ad ventrem equi; 36, et femur hominis et poplites cameli.

if ωθεν τῆς πόλεως. There can be no question as to the identity of "the city." It is not Rome (for its destruction has already been announced in the hearing of the Seer in 9), but Jerusalem. It is, moreover, most probably not the earthly Jerusalem but the heavenly Jerusalem which is to descend from heaven to be the centre of the Kingdom of Christ for the 1000 years. For in the notes on xx. 1-6 we shall see that the heavenly Jerusalem described in xxi. 9-xxii. 2 is in reality the city that is to come down from heaven to take the place of the old Jerusalem and become the capital of Christ's kingdom for the 1000 years. If xiv. 14, 18-20 is a proleptic summary of xix. 11-21 only, then the city referred to might be the historic Jerusalem, or rather its ruined site: but if this is a summary of xix. 11-21 and also xx. 7-10, then the city can be none other than the city that came down from heaven—the seat of the Messianic Kingdom.

Jewish tradition had long associated the neighbourhood of Jerusalem with the scene of the judgment of the Gentiles. According to Joel iii. 2, 12, God was to assemble and judge the Gentiles in "the valley of Jehoshaphat," a phrase which Theodotion renders by  $\tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho a \nu \tau \eta s$   $\kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ . According to the Midrash Mishle, 68<sup>d</sup>, God was to judge the whole world in this valley. It is referred to in 1 Enoch liii. 1 (where see my note). Zechariah speaks also of the judgment of the Gentiles, who laid Jerusalem desolate, being executed on the Mount of Olives (xiv. 2 sqq., 12 sq.). In our author it is all the heathen nations that are to be similarly judged.

έξηλθεν αίμα κτλ. See preceding notes.

άπὸ σταδίων κτλ. = "to a distance of 1600 furlongs." This peculiar use of ἀπό is found also in John xi. 18, xxi. 8 (ἀπὸ πηχῶν διακοσίων). Abbott (Gram. p. 227) describes it as "a natural transposition arising from the desire to give prominence to the notion 'distant,' as in our 'distant two hundred cubits,' and then illogically allowing the preposition that signifies distance to govern 'cubits.'" Similar transpositions occur in the case of πρό, as in John xii. 1, πρὸ ἐξ ἡμερῶν τοῦ πάσχα; LXX of Amos i. 1, iv. 7, Hippocrates, πρὸ τριῶν ἡμερῶν τῆς τελευτῆς (this last is quoted from Moulton, Gram. 101, note): and also in the case of μετά: cf. Test. Reub. i. 2; Test. Zeb. i. 1; Plut. Coriol. 11. These idioms are not Latinisms but of Greek origin.

As regards the number itself various explanations have been offered, but none is absolutely convincing. Some have found in 1600 the idea of completeness, and therefore inferred that the deluge of blood swept over the whole earth (as Victorinus—per omnes mundi quattuor partes), the holy city alone being exempt. Others have conceived that Palestine only was designed by the number, since according to Jerome (Ep. 129, *Ad Dard.*) the length of Palestine from Dan to Beersheba was 160 miles. But 160 miles = only 1280 stades. A nearer approach to the number in our text is to be found in the *Itinerarium* of Antoninus, according to which Palestine was said to be 1664 stades from Tyre to El-Arish.

Of the two above interpretations I am inclined to adopt the former. The more we study xiv. 14, 18-20 the more clear it becomes that it deals with the same subject as xix. 11-21, for xiv. 18-19 clearly state that the judgment is of the whole earth. Hence it is not merely the heathen nations that had submitted to the antichristian empire of Rome that are destroyed in xix. 11-21, but likewise all the remaining heathen nations that rebel against the kingdom of Christ (xx. 7-10) after the lapse of the 1000 years. Hence the slaughter is commensurate with the inhabited world.

άχρι τῶν χαλινῶν τῶν ἴππων. These words apparently refer to the heavenly horsemen who are mentioned definitely (xix. 14<sup>\*</sup>) in another vision dealing with the same events, *i.e.* xix. 11-21.

# CHAPTER XV.

# § 1. Character and object of this Chapter.

The chronological order of events in the Seer's visions of the future is here resumed. In other words, this chapter, so far as chronological order goes, follows immediately on xiii.; for, as we have seen (see p. 1: cf. 32), xiv. broke away from this order and was wholly proleptic, passing over as it did the first six Bowls and summarizing the divine judgments from the seventh Bowl (xvi. 17) to xx. 7-10. In order, therefore, to recognize the connection between xiii. and the present chapter, we have only to remember that in xiii. 15 the second Beast caused all that refused to worship the first Beast to be put to death. Thus all the faithful were put to death and the roll of the martyrs was complete with the close of xiii. ; and when we come to xv. we find that xv. 2-4 looks upon the destruction of the entire body of the faithful as already an event of the past (see note on xv. 2-4), and represents the entire martyr host as standing on the sea of glass before God and singing a song of praise to the Lord God of Hosts. xv. 1 is an interpolation (see § 7). In xv. 5-xvi. 1 the Seer has a vision of the appointment of seven angels with seven Bowls to execute the last series of cosmic woes upon the earth. It is to be observed that in these three series—the Seals, the Trumpets, and the Bowls—there is a distinct development and not a mere recapitulation as has hitherto either wholly or in part been assumed by students of the Apocalypse. This will be clear as we advance.

For to the first four Seals and the sixth all men-saints and sinners, Christians and heathen alike—are subject. Only the faithful are the victims of the fifth Seal. The sixth Seal describes in hyperbolic language the signs which precede the end, which, however, is not so near as the unbelievers apprehend. But there are worse things to come, the fifth, sixth and seventh, or rather the first, second, and third, Trumpets,<sup>1</sup> that is, the three demonic Woes, viii.-ix., xi. 14<sup>b</sup>, 15, xii.-xiii. In vii. the faithful are sealed in order to secure them from these Woes. Hence these Woes did not affect the faithful, but only those that were without the seal of God. When we come to the Bowls we have arrived at a fresh stage of development. Since the martyrdom of the faithful is complete in xiii. and all the martyrs are represented as already in heaven in xv. 2-4, it is clear that only the heathen nations, that form the Roman Empire or hold aloof from it, survive.

On this heathen world, limited in the fifth Bowl to the empire of the Beast, are poured down the plagues of the seven Bowls. Whilst the Seals and the Trumpets or Woes could be regarded as having a disciplining effect on the faithful, however they might affect the unbelievers, the Bowls cannot be regarded in any other light than that of punishments, though such expressions as those in xvi. 9, 11, which refer to the refusal of men to repent notwithstanding these plagues, point to the fact that repentance was still possible for them. That the plagues, which are universal and not local (except the fifth), do not annihilate the heathen nations is clear from the fact that the eternal gospel (xiv. 6) is to be preached to the surviving nations after the close of the seven Bowls and the Messianic judgment (xix. 11-21).

§ 2. Relation of xv. to xiv. and xvi.-xx. In xiv. our author has given three proleptic visions—the first portraying the blessedness awaiting the martyrs in the Millennial kingdom, while the second and third describe the judgments about to befall Rome and the heathen nations. Thus xiv. summarized the outstanding events from the close of the universal martyrdom of the faithful to the final judgment. From this prelude the Seer now returns to describe in detail the events thus briefly foreshadowed, and first of all the blessedness into which the martyred faithful enter immediately on death, xv. z-4, and the subsequent

<sup>1</sup> We have seen that the first four Trumpets are an interpolation in the text (see p. 219 sqq ).

Millennial reign on earth, xx. 4-6 (cf. xiv. 1-5). Next we have the vision of the seven last Plagues, which are to descend on the heathen world, from which all the faithful had already been removed, xv. 5-xviii. (cf. xiv. 6-11). The doom of antichristian Rome, which does not come to pass till the seventh Plague, is portrayed with great fullness in xvii.-xvii. On the destruction of Rome there follow thanksgivings in heaven, xix. 1-8. The next act of judgment is that executed by the Son of Man on the heathen nations, xix. 11-21 (cf. xiv. 14, 18-20).

§ 3. This chapter consists of two visions. The first, xv. 2-4, deals with the triumphal song sung by the martyrs as they stand round the sea of glass in heaven. It is a song of unmixed praise and thanksgiving; for the last martyrdom on earth 15 over and the ranks of the martyrs in heaven are now complete. The second vision relates to the Seven Angels who come forth from the heavenly Temple and are thereupon furnished with the seven Bowls which are full of the wrath of God.

§ 4. The diction of xv. 2-8 is, except in the case of one phrase in 6, that of our author. This will be apparent as we proceed.

1. This verse could be assigned to him in point of diction but not the phrase dyythous that Exorras alayds that, because of the context. As I shall show in § 7 ad fin., our author could not use this expression here or in 6. Otherwise the language recalls that of our author, but yet in certain respects with a difference. Kai ellow is, of course, attested throughout the book. on usion. This word has already been used in a like (and yet not altogether like) connection in xii. 1, 3. A perfect parallel to its present usage would have led us to expect it in vui. 13. μέγα καὶ θαυμαστόν: cf. xv. 3. dyyέλουs έπτά. This is the right order for the numeral in our author. Tas egyátas seems borrowed from xxi. q. อาน 24 ลมาลโร 276600 หาง. : ct. 8 But the subjectmatter is open to objection (see note in loc.).

**9.**  $r_{ik}\hat{\omega}r_{as}$  ék. A pregnant construction. Though  $r_{ik}\hat{\omega}r$  is a favourite verb with our author the construction here is unique in Greek. To  $\hat{\upsilon}$  hopiou kal . . . Tris elkoros a  $\hat{\upsilon}$  to  $\hat{\upsilon}$  kal . . . To  $\hat{\upsilon}$  de  $\theta_{\mu}$  o  $\hat{\upsilon}$  to  $\hat{\upsilon}$  favourite verb with our author the construction here is unique in Greek. To  $\hat{\upsilon}$  here  $\hat{\upsilon}$  is  $\hat{\upsilon}$  to  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  a  $\hat{\upsilon}$  to  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  a  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  a  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  a  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  a  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  for  $\hat{\upsilon}$  a Hebraism.

8. καὶ α̈δουσιν. Here, as in xiv. 3, καὶ α̈δουσιν is to be taken as καὶ α̈δοντας. In fact this is the reading of  $\aleph$  Prim. Vg. and S<sup>1</sup>. See note on xiv. 3. κύριε ὁ θεὸς ὁ παιτοκράτωρ. A familiar title of God in our author: cf. i. 8, iv. 8, xi. 17, xvi. 7, xix. 6, xxi. 22. δίκαιαι καὶ ἀληθιναί recurs in converse order in xvi. 7, xix. 2. 4. δσιος. Used only in xvi. 5, as here of God, but not in the rest of the N.T. πάντα τὰ ἔθνη . . . προσκυνήσουσιν. Cf. xiv. 7, where all the nations are bidden προσκυνήσουτε τῷ ποιήσαντι. ἐφανερώθησαν. Cf. iii. 18. 5. καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα είδον. A clause used in our author introducing a new vision : cf. iv. 1 n. Hence 1 cannot be right, since it has already introduced the vision. ἡνοίγη ὁ ναός . . . ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ Cf. xi. 19.

6. Exorres Ta's Entra nAnya's. This phrase descriptive of the seven angels, as also in xv. 1, 1s against the usage of our author as well as against the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou  $\lambda(\theta_0r \ldots \kappa \alpha)$  repression from the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou  $\lambda(\theta_0r \ldots \kappa \alpha)$  repression from the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou  $\lambda(\theta_0r \ldots \kappa \alpha)$  repression for the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou  $\lambda(\theta_0r \ldots \kappa \alpha)$  repression for the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou  $\lambda(\theta_0r \ldots \kappa \alpha)$  repression for the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou  $\lambda(\theta_0r \ldots \kappa \alpha)$  repression for the context. See below, § 7. Erosebupérou for the context. See below, § 7. Er

# § 5. Strongly Hebraic character of xv.

This chapter is Hebraic in character. I. The writer translates in xv. 4 the Hebrew of Jer. x 7, where the LXX is lacking:  $\delta \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \vartheta s$ ...  $\tau i s$  od  $\mu \eta$   $\phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tilde{\eta} ;$  Here Theodotion and Aquila render  $\tau i s$  od  $\mu \eta$   $\phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \eta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \delta \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \vartheta \tau \tilde{\omega} v \ell \theta v \tilde{\omega} v ;$  It is true that the words  $\pi \alpha \tau \tau a \epsilon \theta \tau \eta$ ...  $\ell v \omega \pi i \delta \tau \sigma \omega$  in 4 agree *verbatim* with the LXX of Ps lxxxvi. 9, save that it omits or  $\alpha \epsilon \pi o i \eta \sigma a s$  after  $\ell \theta \tau \eta$ . But there is nothing distinctive in the LXX rendering.

2. As regards the order this is also Hebraic. The verb nearly always begins the clause or follows immediately on the subject as in 4, 7, 8. In 4 the position of  $\epsilon \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$  serves to gives emphasis.

3. There are some Hebraisms. Thus in 2-3 we have rods אוג מידמק = τ. νενικηκότας . . . after the model of the Hebrew participle : έστώτας καὶ ἀδουσι = ἐστώτας . . . καὶ ἀδοντας (see in loc.): and κιθάρας τοῦ θεοῦ = בנרות האלהים. Possibly νικώντας ἐκ may be due to a Hebraism.

4. In 5, 6 there are two phrases which apparently cannot be satisfactorily explained except on the hypothesis that  $\delta$  rades  $\tau \eta s$   $\sigma \kappa \eta v \eta s$   $\tau o \hat{v} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i o v$  in 5 is a translation from a Hebrew text, and that a corrupt one, and that the second phrase in 6 is due to the translator taking  $\tau v v$  to mean  $\lambda i \theta o v$ , whereas in this context it could only =  $\beta v \sigma \sigma v \sigma v$ . See text in locis.

§ 6. xv. 2-8 appears to be a translation by our author from a Hebrew source.

Since xv. 2-8 is from the hand of our author (§ 4), and since, according to § 5, xv. 2-8 is not only strongly Hebraistic but appears to imply a Hebrew source; and, finally, since in xv. 5, 6 the text can be best explained on the hypothesis of a corrupt Hebrew source, this section appears to be a translation by our author from a Hebrew source.

§ 7. xv. I appears to be an interpolation, the chapter having originally begun with xv. 2: further, for oi  $i\pi\tau a$   $a\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda oi$   $i\xi\alpha\sigma\tau\epsilons$  $\tau as$   $i\pi\tau a \pi\lambda\eta\gamma as$  in 6 we should read simply  $a\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda oi$   $i\pi\tau a$ . (Cf. xv. 7, xvi. 1.) The question has naturally been asked, how can the Seer have seen the angels in xv. 1, before they came forth from the Temple, which was not opened till xv. 5? This fact has caused much difficulty, and, as we should expect, a variety of explanations has been offered. Volter in his last works assigns xv. 5-6 to the Apocalypse of Cerinthus and xv. 1-4, 7 to an editor in Trajan's time. Weyland ascribes xv. 1, 6-8 to an editor and the remaining verses to two different sources. Spitta traces xv. 1 and fragments of 2, 3, 5 and 7 to an editor : Erbes, xv. 1, 5-8 to an editor of the year 80 A.D., and J. Weiss, xv. 1-4, 6-7 to an editor of the year 95 A.D.

None of these solutions of the difficulty has gained acceptance, and so Bousset thinks there is no need to excise any part of the text, and that xv. I is simply to be regarded as a superscription. But this explanation is, if anything, less satisfactory than the preceding. For (1) a superscription should not be introduced by the words rai cloor, but rather be something of the nature of  $\dot{\eta}$  opaous two  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\dot{a}$  dyy $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\nu$  kth. (2) If it were a superscription it ought to have been inserted immediately after A and not before 2, seeing that 2-4 constitute an independent and absolutely different vision. (3) That a new and important vision is introduced by xv. 5 is shown by the use of the clause μετά ταῦτα είδον. See iv. I n. (4) Again, as I have shown below. the Seven Angels are wrongly described in this verse as "seven angels having seven plagues-the last." Their right description would be "seven angels having seven bowls." (5) The clause ότι έν αύταις έτελέσθη ό θυμος του θεού is unjustifiable. The wrath of God cannot be conceived as coming to an end till sin is at an end or adequately punished. And this does not take place till the final judgment. (6) The scene of the Seer's vision is wrongly represented as having been experienced on the earth— $\epsilon loor a \lambda \lambda o \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i or \tau \psi o v \rho a v \psi$  (cf. xii. I, 3)—whereas it was undoubtedly in heaven : cf. xv. 5 sqq. Accordingly there appears to be no other way of solving the difficulty than to suppose either that xv. I is due to a marginal gloss subsequently incorporated in the text, or that it was an early interpolation by a scribe. Hence the chapter really begins with the vision of the triumphant martyrs in heaven, xv. 2-4, while xv. 5 introduces the vision of seven angels coming forth from the Temple in heaven. In xv. 6 instead of oi intà ayyelou oi ixovres tàs intà πληγάς we should simply read αγγελοι έπτά. The change was

made when xv. I was incorporated in the text. The interpolator, moreover, made a very inept addition. He did not know his text. For, as is clear from xv. 7, the Seven Angels did not receive "the bowls full of the wrath of God" till after they had left the Temple, whereas in 6 they are represented as having the plagues before doing so. Again, these Seven Angels, when they are mentioned subsequently, as they are four times, are never described as "the seven angels having the seven plagues," but simply as "the seven angels," xv. 7, xvi. I, or "the seven angels which had the seven bowls," in xvii. I, xxi. 9. Each bowl contains a plague, and so the seven plagues, xv. 8, result respectively from the pouring forth in succession of the seven Bowls.

1. This verse cannot be original, as we have already seen in the Introd. to this Chapter, § 7. It is true that the style resembles that of our author, but there are strong grounds against its being from his hand. The first is that the Seer cannot have seen the angels in 1, seeing that the Temple is closed till 5, and the angels do not emerge from it till then. But there are other and more radical grounds for the rejection of this verse. (1) First, as we are aware (see note on iv. 1) the clause καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα εἶδον (xv. 5) always introduces a new and important section in the Apocalypse ; but, if it had already been introduced in xv. I, then this clause would be out of place. Moreover, in xv. I the clause kai eldor is used by our Seer to introduce the less important sections. The interpolator of xv. 1 does not seem to have been aware of this usage. If we excise xv. I and remember that xiv. is wholly proleptic in character, referring as it does to events subsequent to xvi. 17 (see p. 2), then the blessedness of the martyred faithful is set forth in contrast to their terrible plight in xiii. (2) The expression ayyέλους έπτα έχοντας πληγὰς έπτά is not that used by our author elsewhere, save in 6 where it appears also to be an interpolation, though it may have been suggested by the last clause in xv. 8. For the last three words we should have exortas  $\phi_i a \lambda a s \in \pi \tau a$ : cf. xv. 7. xvii. 1, xxi. o. See also 8. The phraseology throughout xvi. also supports this objection. (3) The words ras eoxáras (probably derived from xxi. 9) are generally explained as the last plagues in contrast to the plagues mentioned in ix. 20; but that this is not the meaning of the writer of this verse is clear from the clause which follows, with which we shall now deal. (4) The clause just referred to-ori iv avrais iredior o ounos  $\tau_{00}$   $\theta_{c00}$ —cannot be given any satisfactory explanation. It manifestly states that the wrath of God will be consummated in these seven Bowls, whereas the last and most terrible of the divine judgments do not take place till after their close. The right expression is found in xv. 8,  $\tilde{a}_{\chi\rho\iota} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \ell \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota v a i \epsilon \pi \tau a \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a i,$ which is probably the source of the interpolator's phrase. These seven Plagues can be described as wholly consummated in xvi.-xvii., but not so the wrath of God.

On the above grounds we cannot but regard xv. I as an interpolation. When this interpolation was once effected,  $d_{\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\alpha\iota}$   $\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{a}$  in 6 was changed into oi  $\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{a}$   $d_{\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\alpha\iota}$  oi  $\epsilon\chi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon_5$   $\tau\dot{a}_5$   $\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{a}$   $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\dot{a}_5$  in order to adapt the context to the text thus interpolated. The incongruity of the addition oi  $\epsilon\chi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon_5$   $\tau$ .  $\epsilon\pi\tau\dot{a}$   $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\dot{a}_5$  is very glaring, seeing that the seven angels do not receive the plague-bearing Bowls till the next verse.

Ral eldor. See notes on iv. 1.

καl είδον . . . ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ. This clause seems to have been suggested by xii. 1.

άλλο σημείον ἐν τῷ οἰρανῷ. Cf. xiı. 1, 3, where this phrase implies that the Seer is on earth. But clearly in the vision of the Seven Angels he is in heaven : see xv. 5, xvi. 1. This fact the interpolator failed to recognize.

μέγα καὶ θαυμαστόν. Suggested (?) by the phrase μεγάλα καὶ θαυμαστά in 3. ἀγγέλους ἑπτὰ . . τοῦ θεοῦ. This passage has already been dealt with above. See also note on 7.

**2-4.** With this vision the march in the development of future events is resumed. The line of advance was abandoned for the time being in xiv., which, as we have seen, is wholly proleptic, and summarized all the coming judgments from the seventh Bowl to the immediate advent of the final judgment. Hence xv 2-4 is to be taken in close connection with xin. In xiii. 15 the second Beast is represented as exterminating the entire body of the faithful, and xv. 2-4 looks upon that extermination as already an event of the past. The roll of the martyrs is at last complete, and no longer are any of the faithful to be found on the earth, but only the heathen nations, which are either devoted followers of the Antichrist or occupy a neutral position. xv. 2-4 thus forms a companion picture to vii. 9-16. In both these the roll of the martyrs has just been completed or is on the eve of completion in heaven. The final judgment is still in the distance; for the conversion of the nations (cf. the announcement of the glad tidings in xiv. 6) is foretold (xv. 4) as an event of the future. In xiv. 1-5 and xx. 4, which depict a later stage, the martyrs have descended with Christ to the earth to share with Him the Millennial reign.

2.  $\delta_5$  (see Additional Note, i. p. 35 sq.)  $\theta \delta \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu \delta a \lambda i \nu \eta \nu$  (= $\Box \Box$ ). This sea has already been referred to in iv. 6. The absence of the article is what we expect in this Hebraistic expression, which = "the likeness of a sea of glass."

 $\mu \epsilon \mu i \gamma \mu \ell \nu \eta \nu$  mupi. This description is not attached to the heavenly sea when it is mentioned in iv. 6. But the difference of context is a sufficient explanation. In iv. 6 the vision is one of peace, whereas here it is one of judgment. This phrase, therefore, may refer either to the sea as glowing with the wrath of God, or as reflecting the lightnings that proceeded from the throne of God, though there is here, it is true, no mention of these lightnings. In 2 Enoch xxix. 1-2 there is a passage that may be quoted, though it does not throw any real light on the text. "From the gleam of My eye the lightning received its wonderful nature, which is both fire in water, and water in fire."

τούς νικώντας έκ τοῦ θηρίου. This is a very difficult phrase. There is no difficulty with the participle. Here vikovras = The great tribulation is over, and the martyrs have νενικηκότας. triumphed over the Beast by proving faithful unto death. As our author thinks in Hebrew, his use of the Greek participle reflects that of the Hebrew participle, which, as we are aware,  $can = vik \hat{\omega} v \tau as$ , veviky kóras or viky  $\sigma o \mu \epsilon v \sigma v \sigma$  according to the context. Here vikortas is to be taken as a perfect participle. The roll of the martyrs is at last complete, but it has only just been completed. The Antichristian powers have not yet been judged. nor has the Millennial Kingdom been as yet established. When this kingdom is established the martyrs shall descend and reign (v. 10) with Christ for 1000 years (xiv. 1-5, xx. 4), and all the nations of the earth, which had not been leagued with Rome, shall come and worship before God (xv. 4, xxi. 24-26).

As Swete (in loc.) has pointed out, the martyrs show themselves as conquerors up to the moment of death : cf. Ep. Smyrn. 19, διὰ τῆς ὑπομονῆς καταγωνισάμενος τὸν ἄδικον ἄρχοντα καὶ οὐτως τὸν τῆς ἀφθαρσίας στέφανον ἀπολαβών. Passio S. Perpetuae, 18, "illuxit dies victoriae illorum, et processerunt de carcere in amphitheatrum quasi in caelum, hilares et vultu decori." But our Seer follows them into the life beyond and sees the exultant host celebrating not their own victory but the praises of God.

έκ τοῦ θηρίου καὶ ἐκ τῆς εἰκόνος κτλ. Cf. xiii. 1, 14, 17, xiv. 9, 11, x1x. 20, xx. 4.

VOL. 11.---3

έστῶτας ἐπὶ τὴν θάλασσαν. This phrase could mean "standing on" (cf. x. 5, 8, xii. 18, xiv. 1) or "standing by" (cf. iii. 20). This scene of the victors standing on the heavenly sea with harps in their hands and praising God recalls Israel's song of triumph over Egypt on the shore of the Red Sea. The phraseology is unusual. In x. 5, 8 ίστημι is used with ἐπὶ τῆς θαλάσσης. Further, our author prefers generally the phrase ἐπὶ τῆς θαλάσσης to ἐπὶ τὴν θάλασσαν : cf. vii. 1<sup>b</sup>, x. 2, 5, 8. But the fact that ίστημι ἐπί is in the case of all other nouns followed by the accusative (iii. 20, vii. 1<sup>b</sup>, viii. 3, xi. 11, xii. 18, xiv. 1) may have led to the use of the accusative (instead of the gen.) of θάλασσα in the present instance after ἐστῶτας ἐπί.

κιθάρας τοῦ θεοῦ = "harps belonging to the service of God." The omission of the article before κιθάρας is a Hebraism. On this idea cf. v. 8, xiv. 2. With this phrase we might compare I Thess. iv. 16, ἐν σάλπιγγι θεοῦ, and I Chron. xvi. 42; 2 Chron. vii. 6, = "instruments to accompany the songs of God."

8.  $\kappa \alpha i \, \vec{q} \, \delta o \nu \sigma \omega$ . These words are to be taken as  $= \kappa \alpha i \, \vec{q} \, \delta o \nu \tau \alpha s$ and the passage to be rendered: "I saw . . . standing on the sea . . . and singing." This Hebraism has occurred several times already: see note on xiv. 2-3.

[την ψδην Μωυσέως τοῦ δούλου τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ] την ψδην τοῦ ἀρνίου. Now that the martyr host is completed, and are already standing before God, they sing a song of praise to God, but not one of triumph over their enemies—a fact which differentiates this song from that in Ex. xv. The first words, "the song of Moses the servant of God," recall Ex. xiv. 31, xv. 1, where Moses and the children of Israel sang a song of thanksgiving to God, but still more a paean of triumph over the Egyptians and of joy at their destruction (Ex. xv. 2-19).

As that song was sung on earth, on the shore of the Red Sca by Israel after the flesh, so this song is sung in heaven by the Sea of Glass before God by the spiritual Israel.

The expression  $\tau \eta \nu \psi \partial \eta \nu$  Movoréws ...  $\kappa a \iota \tau$ .  $\psi \partial \eta \nu \tau \sigma \vartheta d \rho \nu \ell \sigma \upsilon$ creates insuperable difficulties. To excise (as most editors have done)  $\kappa a \iota \tau$ .  $\psi \partial \eta \nu \tau \sigma \vartheta d \rho \nu \ell \sigma \upsilon$  as an interpolation would only aggravate the difficulty in the present context. For, since it was through the Lamb that the Christian martyrs triumphed, if the song that followed was associated with any name, that name must have been that of the Lamb. Hence the difficulty does not originate in connection with this phrase, but rather with  $\tau$ .  $\psi \partial \eta \nu$  Movoréws. How then are we to explain the collocation of the two phrases? Bousset is of opinion that the repetition of the phrase suggests that the victors sang first the old Song of Moses (Ex. xv. 2-19) and then a new song—that of the Lamb, which is then given. This apparently is the view of Swete.

34

"St. John does not write r. yôn Mauréus και τοῦ ἀρνίου, for the notes are distinct though they form a harmony." This view can hardly be regarded as satisfactory. That the old dispensation is superseded is the essential belief of our author, and it can no less have been his conviction that in heaven the faithful members of the old dispensation would accept the teaching of the new. Moreover, if our author deliberately omits all reference to the Law throughout the Apocalypse, it would be surprising if he referred here to its author Moses, and placed him before the This being so, we can hardly suppose that our author Lamb. implies that the song of Moses, given in Ex. xv. 2-19, was actually sung by a body of Christian martyrs before the throne. We, therefore, expect that, if the text is original, the song given in our text, which makes no definite mention of either Moses or of the Lamb, was sung alike by the martyred faithful of Judaism and Christianity, and that too led by the Lamb, as the song in Ex. xv. was led by Moses. But the form of the text is against this conclusion, and implies that the song or songs are led by Moses and the Lamb.

Thus there seems to be no way out of the difficulty save by assuming that the words  $\tau$ .  $\psi \delta \eta \nu M \omega \upsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s \tau \sigma \vartheta \delta \delta \delta \delta \upsilon \tau \sigma \vartheta \theta \epsilon \sigma \vartheta$ originated in a marginal gloss, which was subsequently incorporated in the text. Moreover, the nature of the song supports this assumption, since it is not a song of triumph, but simply a paean of thanksgiving, which the martyrs sing, when in the first perfect unclouded vision of God they wholly forget themselves and burst forth into praise of the Lord God of Hosts, who alone is holy, whose works are great and marvellous, whose ways are righteousness and truth, and to whom all the nations shall do homage, because of the coming manifestation of God's righteous judgments.

Not until this stage does the Seer behold the complete spiritual transformation of the faithful in heaven. At the same time by its mention of divine judgments to come, it prepares the way for the advent of the Seven Angels with their plagues. This song, therefore, though sung by the victorious Christian host of martyrs, could not be more fittingly conceived. Its sole theme is God; for, in the perfect vision of God, self is wholly forgotten, and so far as there is a reference to the earth from which they have been delivered, it is one of hopefulness: "the nations shall come and worship before Thee."

The gloss τ.  $\sqrt[4]{\delta \eta \nu}$  Μωυσίωs κτλ. in this context is probably due to the fact that the triumph of the actual Israel over the Egyptians at the Red Sea was certainly regarded by the Christians of the first century as prefiguring the triumphs of the spiritual Israel, as we see from the Pauline Epistles. This song of Moses in Ex. xv. had already been incorporated in the Temple Services. Thus it was sung at the evening sacrifice on the Sabbath (Edersheim, *The Temple*, p. 188), and in the benediction that followed the Shema there is a reference to it: "A new song did they that were delivered sing to Thy name by the seashore" (*Encyc. Bib.* iv. 4954). According to Philo (*De Vita Contempl.* xi.) this song was sung by the Therapeutae, the men forming one chorus and the women the other, while in the *De Agricultura*, xvii., he writes: "the chorus of men will have Moses for their leader and that of the women will be under the guidance of Miriam. . . . Accordingly all the men sing the song on the seashore. . . . Moses being the leader of their song; and the women sing—Miriam being their leader."

The Martyrs' Song is formed almost wholly of O.T. expressions.

μεγάλα καὶ θαυμαστὰ τὰ ἔργα σου. The first epithet as describing the works of God is found in Ps. xcii. 5, cxi. 2, and the second in Ps. xcviii. 1, cxxxix. 14; 1 Chron. xvi. 9.

κύριε, ό θεος ό παντοκράτωρ. See Amos iv. 13, but the phrase occurs several times elsewhere in our text (see note on i. 8).

δίκαιαι καὶ ἀληθιναὶ αἱ όδοί σου. Cf. xvi. 7, xix. 2; Ps. cxlv. 17, δίκαιος κύριος ἐν πάσαις ταῖς ὁδοῖς αὐτοῦ: Deut. xxxii. 4, ἀληθινὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ.

ό βασιλεύς τῶν ἐθνῶν. 4. τίς οἰ μὴ φοβηθῆ. These clauses are a rendering of Jer. x. 7, which, though present in Aquila and Theodotion, are wanting in the LXX. The title "king of the nations" is here peculiarly appropriate, since it is God's dealings with the nations alike in the way of condemnation and of mercy that is the theme of this song and of the chapters that follow.

καὶ δοξάσει τὸ ὄνομά σου. Cf. Ps. lxxxvi. 9, δοξάσουσιν τὸ ὄνομά σου; see also 12; Mal. i. 11, τὸ ὄνομά σου δεδύξασται ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν. μόνος ὅσιος. Cf. 1 Sam. ii. 2, ὅτι οὐκ ἔστιν ἄγιος ὡς κύριος. With the expression μόνος ὅσιος cf. Rom. xvi. 27, μόνω σοφῷ.

ότι πάντα τα έθνη ήξουσιν . . . Ενώπιόν σου. From Ps. lxxxvi. 9.

τὰ δικαιώματα κτλ. Cf. Ps. xcviii. 2. δικαιώματα here means the judicial sentences of God in relation to the nations either in the way of mercy or condemnation. On Rome and all the adherents of the Empire will be manifested the judgments of God; whereas during the Millennial period the rest of the nations will experience His mercy. Cf. xiv. 6-7, which refer to the Millennial period. On the other hand, some scholars take δικαιώματα in the sense of "righteous deeds"—a meaning which it undoubtedly possesses in the gloss in xix. 9 But xvi. I, φιάλαs τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ, xvi. 7, δίκαιαι al κρίσεις σου, and other analogous expressions are in favour of the interpretation given above.

та́гта тд йогл јбоюли ктд. These words point forward to the conversion of the nations during the Millennial reign: cf. xxi. 24 sq., xxii. 2. In xiv. 7 the nations are exhorted to repent and worship God. Of course it is only the nations that survive the judgments in xvi.-xix. that are so converted.

ön . . . ἐφανερώθησαν. This clause gives the ground for the clause that immediately precedes : "for Thy righteous judgments shall have been made manifest."

**XV. 5-XVI. 1.** The commission of the Seven Angels with the Bowls.

5. Kai µerd raura eldor. As has been shown on iv. I, this phrase is never used except at the beginning of an important section. Thus the insertion of xv. I, which already deals with this subject, is wholly against the usage of our author. See also above on I.

ήνοίγη 6 ναδς . . . έν τῷ οὐρανῷ. So in xi. 19.

ό rads † της σκηνής του μαρτυρίου †.

This designation of the heavenly Temple is certainly strange —"the temple of the tabernacle of the testimony." It appears either to be an interpolation or the rendering of a corrupt Hebrew source. If we translate the phrase into Hebrew it is clear that vaós must be rendered by היבל אוהל (occasionally by אוהל), and  $\mu a \rho r v \rho v \rho$  y מער (or possibly by by by מער און the timust certainly be confessed that (עראון). But it must certainly be confessed that שיל אולין.

The phrase in the text cannot be a rendering of משכן אהל (cf. Ex. xl. 2, 6, 29, since vao's is never used to translate כשכן).

Žuilig thinks that this expression is used in order to recall the fact that, when the Tabernacle with all its holy vessels was brought up to Jerusalem by the order of Solomon, the Ark was removed from it and set up in the most holy place in the Temple (2 Chron. v. 5; I Kings viii. 4), and that from that time forward the temple could also bear the name of the Tabernacle, and that in fact it is called *mishhkan* (JDD) in Ps. lxxxiv. 2, cxxxii. 5, and *ohel* (MRG) in Ezek. xli. I. Hence he would, as Bousset, render the entire phrase as "the temple, that is, the tabernacle of the testimony." The genitive would in this case be one of apposition. But against the identification of the vaós and oraprí in our text there is the very great objection, that in Apocalyptic, from the vision in Isa. vi. to the latest times, it is *the Temple*, whether in heaven or on earth, and not the Tabernacle, that is referred to as the scene of apocalyptic vision. See note on viii. 3. Hence, since throughout the rest of the Apocalypse this usage is followed, the natural inference is that it is followed here. If this be so, then it is to be concluded that  $\tau \eta s$  $\sigma \kappa \eta v \eta s \tau o \tilde{v} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho lov$  is an addition. If we might here assume a Hebrew original, we could dispose of the difficulty. Thus  $\delta$  vao's  $\tau \eta s \sigma \kappa \eta v \eta s \tau o \tilde{v} \mu a \rho \tau v \rho lov e v \tau \tilde{w} o \delta \rho a v \varphi = \rho v \sigma \delta r \delta v$  which might easily be a corruption of  $\sigma v \sigma \delta r \delta v \sigma \delta$  $\theta c o \tilde{v} \delta \delta v \tau \tilde{w} o \delta \rho a v \tilde{\varphi}$ —the very phrase that is found in xi. 19, with the same verb in both cases, *i.e.*  $\eta v o (\gamma \eta)$ .

6. oi έπτὰ ἄγγ. oi έχ. τ. έπτὰ πληγάς. As we have already seen on I, we should excise oi έχ. τ. έπτὰ πληγάς as an addition from the hand that added I and read simply ἄγγελοι έπτά.

ένδεδυμένοι  $\dagger \lambda$ ίθον  $\dagger \ldots$  περιεζωσμένοι  $\ldots$  ζώνας χρυσάς. See note on the diction in i. 13.

ένδεδυμένοι + λίθον + καθαρόν λαμπρόν. AC, a few Cursives, and the MSS of the Vulg. read  $\lambda i \theta ov$  for  $\lambda i v ov$ , which is found in the lesser authorities. That  $\lambda i \theta o \nu$  would more readily be changed into  $\lambda$  ivor and not vice versa is evident in itself. Besides, though the best Codd, of the Vulg, have lapide the official text has linteo. WH adduce Ezek. xxviii. 13, πάντα λίθον χρηστον ενδέδεσαι in support of  $\lambda i \theta o v$ . Further,  $\lambda i v o v$  (= flax) is not used as the equivalent of  $\lambda_{ivo}\hat{v}$  (= a garment made of flax) except in a few passages throughout Greek literature : cf. Homer, Il. ix. 661, Od. xiii. 73, 118; Aesch. Suppl. 120, 132, Etym. Magn. The evidence, therefore, is strongly in favour of  $\lambda i \theta o v$ . But, notwithstanding the advocacy of WH,  $\lambda i \theta_{0\nu}$  cannot be right. We must, therefore, assume either that, despite the very great improbability, *\lambdallow* is a primitive corruption of the all but unexampled word  $\lambda i \nu o \nu$  (="linen garment"-a most unusual meaning), or that we have here a mistranslation from the Hebrew. ενδεδυμένοι λίθον = το ... But in, as we know, means either  $\beta \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma s$ , Gen. xli. 42; Ex. xxviii. 35 (39), or  $\lambda \dot{\iota} \theta \sigma s$  (cf. Esth. i. 6) or  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \rho \sigma s$  (Cant. v. 15). In fact, in later Hebrew it generally means "alabaster" or "marble." In the Epistle of Jeremy 71 the same mistranslation, as Ball has shown, occurs: "Ye shall know them to be no gods by the purple and the marble ( $\tau \eta s$   $\mu a \rho \mu a \rho \sigma v$ ) which rotteth upon them." Here  $\mu a \rho \mu a \rho \sigma s = v v$ , which should have been rendered by τοῦ βυσσίνου = " fine linen." "Marble doesn't rot," as Ball remarks. Now returning to our text, if we may assume a Hebrew original, then instead of evdeduction libor rabapor λαμπρόν we should read ενδεδυμένοι βύσσινον καθαρόν λαμπρόν. To confirm this conclusion we have only to turn to xix. 8, where we find περιβάληται βύσσινον λαμπρον καθαρόν, or to xix. 14, where we have the clause that should be in our text. evocounterou βύσσινον λευκόν καθαρόν. Hence we render "clothed in fine linen pure bright." On the significance of this expression see note on 1ii. 5.

περιεζωσμένοι κτλ. Cf. i. 13.

7. Er in two reordpour Lyon, i.e. one of the Cherubim. See note on iv. 6. The Cherubim in the Apocalyptic of the 1st cent. A.D. have come to be the chief order of angels. It is fitting therefore that one of them should act as an intermediary between God and the Seven Angels of the Bowls. Even in Ezek. x. 7 it is one of the Cherubim that hands over to one of the seven angels of judgment coals of fire to be sprinkled on the earth.

έπτὰ φιάλας χρυσᾶς. On the position of έπτά before its noun see note on vini. 2.

ψιάλας . . . γεμούσας τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ. It is highly probable that the Greek word ψιάλη was adopted into both Hebrew and Aramaic as early as the beginning of the Christian era: see Levi's two Lexicons *in loc*. It is noteworthy that it is used in connection with the same idea as in our text in the Targum on Isa. li. 17, where the Hebrew ומסון (= "the cup of His wrath") is explained by שיל כוא דלומא לו במא דרומרי שיל כמא דרומרי by במי המחיל שיל במא דרומרי שיל המחיל שיל במא דרומרי we have או דיל שיל שיל שיל שיל הי לו א דרנוא we have הו שיל הי שיל א דרנוא wrath). The word thus appears to have had the same idea associated with it as in our text. With the present passage cf. xiv. 8, 10.

τοῦ ζώντοs eis τοὺs aiŵras. See note on iv. 9.

8. έγεμίσθη δ rads καπνοῦ ἐκ τῆς δόξης τοῦ θεοῦ. γεμίζω belongs to the vocabulary of our author but is not used in this connection in the LXX. The first four words recall the statement in Isa. vi. 4, where in connection with the great vision of Isaiah it is said that "the house (i.e. the Temple) was filled with smoke" (δ olkos ενεπλήσθη καπνου-LXX). The combined ideas of the Temple being filled with smoke and with the glory of the Lord are found in Ezek. x. 4, "The house (i.e. the Temple) was filled with the cloud, and the court was full of the brightness of the Lord's glory": Ex. xl. 35, "Moses was not able to enter into the tent of meeting because the cloud abode thereon, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle." See also I Kings viii. 10. The glory of God is spoken of as filling the temple in Ezek. xliv. 4; 2 Chron. vii. 2-3. During such manifestations of God's presence no one could enter the earthly temple. In all the O.T. passages above cited the presence of God is a mark of His gracious purposes. Hence the inability of humanity to approach God in these passages was due to the infinite transcendence of God and His unapproachableness by merely finite creatures. But that cannot be the meaning of the clause in our text.

 $d\kappa$  r $\eta$ s  $\delta$ urdµews. This attribute of God is here set parallel with His glory. It is to be manifested in the plagues that follow.

oideis idévato clochdeir  $\kappa \tau \lambda$ . As we have seen in the first note on this verse, none could enter the earthly Temple in the O.T. during special manifestations of God's presence therein. But, since this cannot hold of the heavenly Temple, inasmuch as in heaven the heavenly hosts are constantly represented as standing in God's immediate presence, it seems necessary to attach a figurative meaning to the clause oidéis idévato clochdeir  $\kappa \tau \lambda$ , and to interpret these words as meaning that, until the plagues were accomplished, none could avert by prayer the doom about to befall the earth through these plagues. At the close of these judgments God's gracious purposes with regard to the nations would take effect: see xiv. 6, xxi. 24, 26.

## CHAPTER XVI.

#### § 1. The object of this Chapter.

The object of this chapter is to set forth the last series of plagues that are to befall the earth. These plagues are symbolized under the seven Bowls which are poured forth by Seven Angels.

In these seven Bowls we have no mere repetition of the divine visitations in the Seals and Woes. Not only are they different in themselves from the Seals and Woes, but they differ further in respect of time and the people affected by them. Although these facts have been brought forward in the Introduction to xv., it is necessary here to emphasize them anew, since the prevailing view is that in the Bowls we have not advanced chronologically, but that in the Seals, Trumpets (Woes), and Bowls the same great principles of God's government are displayed under different aspects. Even scholars, like Bousset, who are opposed to the Recapitulati n Theory, are disposed to acknowledge in some degree its validity in regard to the Seals, Trumpets, and Bowls. Though the reader should consult the Introduction to xv., I will here repeat the two chief differentiae between the Bowls and the Seals and Woes. These are that the people affected in each series is different and that their order is alike logical and chronological. Under the Seals (save the fifth to which only the Christians were subject) Christian and heathen alike suffer. Then after the sealing of the faithful takes place, all those who had not been so sealed are exposed to the three demonic Woes. In the course of the third Woe the last of the faithful are martyred, and at its close the world is inhabited only by the heathen nations. Then follows the last series of cosmic and other plagues, which are all embracing in their incidence with the exception of the fifth Bowl, which is confined to the kingdom of the Beast, just as the fifth Seal affected only the faithful.

## § 2. State of the Text.

The text of this chapter has suffered much through faulty transcription and deliberate alteration. xvi. I has  $\mu \epsilon \gamma \delta \lambda \eta s \phi \omega \nu \eta s$ —an abnormal order of words in our author. Both the context and the diction of xvi. 2°, and certain clauses in 13-14 are against their authenticity, as is shown in the notes on these passages. The apparently meaningless interchange of  $\epsilon is$  and  $\epsilon \pi i$  after  $\epsilon x_X \epsilon \omega$  is strange. xvi. 10 has sustained the loss of several clauses. xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7, which belongs to xix., has been restored in this edition to its original position after xix. 4; xvi. 15, which belongs to iii., has been restored after iii. 3<sup>e</sup>.

#### § 3. Diction and Idiom.

When the interpolated clauses in xvi. 2, 13-14 are removed, the entire phraseology and constructions are those of our author, with the exception of xvi. 1. There are, as was to be expected, phrases not found elsewhere in our author, but these are not against any established usage on his part.

(a) Diction.

1.  $\eta$ κουσα . .  $\phi$ ων $\eta$ s έκ : cf. iii. 20, xiv. 13, xxi. 3. Elsewhere in our author  $\phi$ ων $\eta$ ν after  $\eta$ κούειν. τοῖς έπτα ἀγγέλοις : cf. xv. 6, 8, xvii. 1, xxi. 9. ὑπάγετε κ. ἐκχέετε : cf. x. 8, ὑπαγε λάβε. ἐκχέετε . . . εἰς : cf. 2, 3, 4, but ἐκχ. . . . ἐπί in 8, 10, 12, 17. τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ : cf. xiv. 10, 19, xv. 7.

2.  $d\pi\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ ...  $\kappa\alpha\lambda$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\epsilon\nu$ : cf. v. 7,  $\ddot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$   $\kappa\alpha\dot{\lambda}\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\phi\epsilon\nu$ : xvii. 1, xxi. 9 (a Hebraism). Cf. John v. 15, ix. 7, 11, xii. 36.  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\tau\sigma$  $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\sigma\varsigma$ ...  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ . Here only in our author. On the interpolation robs  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\sigma\sigma\tau\alpha\varsigma$ ...  $a\dot{v}\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ , see note *in loc*.

 $5^{b}$ -7. See Introd. to xvi.  $5^{b}$ -7 on p. 120 sqq.

9. καῦμα : cf. vii. 16. ἐβλασφήμησαν τὸ ὄνομα : cf. xiii. 6. δοῦναι αὐτῷ δόξαν : cf. iv. 9, xi. 13, xiv. 7, xix. 7.

10.  $\tau \partial v$  θρόνον τοῦ θηρίου: cf. xiii. 2. ἐσκοτωμένη: cf. ix. 2, where the word refers to the same phenomenon. ἐκ τοῦ πόνου: cf. 11, xxi. 4. Only once elsewhere in N.T., *i.e.* Col. iv. 13.

11. τόν θεόν τοῦ οὐρανοῦ : Cf. xi. 13 (a source). οὐ μετενόησαν ἐκ τ. ἔργων αὐτῶν : Cf. 11. 21, 22, ix. 20, 21.

12. έτοιμασθη. A frequent word in our author.  $d\pi \partial dra \tau o \lambda \hat{\omega}r$  $\eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \omega$ : cf. vii. 2.

18. τοῦ δράκοντος . . . τοῦ θηρίου : cf. xiii. 4. τοῦ ψευδοπρο-

φήτου: cf. xix. 20, xx. 10. πνεύματα τρία: such is our author's order: see note on viii. 2.

14. τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης: cf. iii. 10, xii. 9. συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τ. πόλεμον: cf. xx. 8, where the same words recur: see also xix. 19. τῆς ἡμέρας τ. μεγάλης: cf. vi. 17. τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ παντοκράτορος: cf. i. 8, iv. 8 π., xi. 17, xv. 3, etc.

15. This verse should be read after iii. 3<sup>a</sup>. Every phrase of it has its parallel in iii. See notes *in loc*.

16. τον καλούμενον: cf. i. 9, xi. 8, xii. 9, xix. 11. <sup>•</sup>Εβραϊστί: cf. ix. 11.

17.  $\phi \omega r \eta$  . . .  $\epsilon \kappa$  to  $\tilde{\nu}$  and  $\delta \pi \delta$  to  $\tilde{\nu}$   $\theta \rho \delta rou$ : cf. xx. 2, 10 for this combination of prepositions. Elsewhere we have  $\phi \omega r \eta \delta \pi \delta \tau$ .  $\theta \rho \delta r \omega r$  :  $\theta \rho \delta r \omega r$ 

18. άστραπαι και φωναι και βρονταί : cf. viii. 5, xi. 19. σεισμός . . . μέγας. Cf. vi. 12, viii. 5, xi. 19, xvi. 18.

19. το ποτήριον τοῦ οἴνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ὀργῆς: Cf. xiv. 8, 10, xix. 15.

20. έφυγεν, καί . . . ούχ εύρέθησαν: cf. xx. 11.

21. χαλάζα μεγάλη: cf. xi. 19. έβλασφήμησαν: see above on 9.
 (b) Idiom.

1.  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\delta\lambda\eta s \phi\omegar\eta s$ : the order of the words in this phrase is unique in our author. It is certainly abnormal and is corrected in  $\Lambda P$  into  $\phi\omega r\eta s$   $\mu\epsilon\gamma\delta\lambda\eta s$ . But there are a few instances where the adjective precedes its noun in our author: cf. i. 10, iii. 12 (in both passages, however, between art. and noun), and  $\delta\lambda i\gamma \sigma s$ in three cases.

2.  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon}$ : unique in our author.

**3.** alμa ώς νεκροῦ, *i.e.* alμa ώς alμa νεκροῦ : see Additional Note in vol. i. p. 35.

8. εδόθη αὐτῷ cum inf. : cf. vi. 4, vii. 2, etc.

9. ἕχοντος την έξουσίαν ἐπί: cf. note on ii. 26 on this idiomatic use of art. with έξουσίαν. οὐ μετενόησαν . . δοῦναι : cf. xi. 18, ηλθεν . . δ καιρὸς . . δοῦναι, xvi. 19, ἐμνήσθη . . δοῦναι.

1.  $\mu\epsilon\gamma d\lambda\eta s \phi\omega\eta s$ . In every other instance of this phrase, in the Apocalypse, i. 10, v. 2, 12, vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, viii. 13, x. 3, xi. 12, 15, xii. 10, xiv. 7, 9, 15, 18, xvi. 17, xix. 1,  $\tau7$ , xxi. 3, the noun  $\phi\omega r\eta$  precedes the adjective. This "great voice," as that in 17, seems to be that of God Himself and not of an angel. According to xv. 8 none could enter the Temple till the plagues were accomplished. But it is possible that it is the voice of the angel of the altar, as in xiv. 18.

ἐκχέετε τὰς ἐπτὰ φιάλας κτλ. Cf. Dan. ix. 11, Jer. x. 25, xlii. 18, xliv. 6, on this Semitic use of the verb יש כתר ( ἐκχέω occurs repeatedly in this chapter and not elsewhere in the Apocalypse.

42

έκχέετε (see Winer, § 13, 23. Blass, p. 41, would read ἐκχέατε) . . eis τ. γήν. So also in 2. Cf. ἐξέχεεν εἰs τ. θάλασσαν in 3, and the same verb with εἰs τοὺs ποταμούs in 4. On the other hand, we have the same verb with ἐπὶ τ. ποταμόν in 12, and with ἐπὶ τ. ἦλιον (8), ἐπὶ τ. θρόνον (10), and ἐπὶ τ. ἀέρα (17).

τὰς ἐπτὰ ϕιάλας τοῦ θυμοῦ. On the first four Trumpets, which can hardly on any hypothesis be regarded as the work of our author, see Introd. to viii., vol. i. p. 219 sq.

2. This plague recalls the sixth Egyptian plague: Ex. ix. 10-11; Deut. xxviii. 35.

έγένετο έλκος . . . πονηρόν. The first two words we have in Ex. ix. 10, וְיָהִי שָׁהָץ, and the two latter in Deut. xxviii. 35 and Job ii. 7, בשחין רע. In the last two passages the LXX renders έλκει πονηρώ.

έγένετο ... ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους. In Ex. ix. 10 we have ἐγένετο ... ἐν τοῦς ἀνθρώπους (*i.e.* ΣΑ). Thus our author is independent of the LXX. For the construction, Luke i. 65, iii. 2 are generally quoted. ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, "upon men," *i.e.* on all mankind : cf. xvi. 8, 21.

[τ. έχοντας το χάραγμα τοῦ θηρίου καὶ τοὺς προσκυνοῦντας τῆ εἰκόνι αὐτοῦ.] Cf. xin. 17, xiv. 9, 11, xiv. 20.

This clause has been assigned by Spitta (p. 163) to the final editor of the Book, though he does not specify the grounds. There are, however, good reasons for regarding them as a gloss. I. The fourth Plague is universal in its incidence as regards the sun, and also the second so far as those on the sea are concerned. That the third is so likewise as regards all fresh waters is clear. Such also is the sixth and the seventh as regards the Euphrates and the air. Hence it is natural to expect that the first Plague is of the same character as in the second, third, and fourth, *i.e.* universal in its incidence. 2. The construction rows *mpoorkuvowras rŷ* elkóvi awrow is against our author's usage. See note on vii. II.

If the above conclusion is not valid, then we must assume that only the adherents of the Roman Empire, and not the rest of the heathen, are affected by the first Plague. In this respect the first and fifth Plagues would have the same incidence. But not only are the followers of the Beast subject to certain physical evils, but they alone are susceptible to the deceitful signs wrought by the false prophet ( $x_i :. 20$ ). With this susceptibility to evil influence we should contrast the security against demonic influences enjoyed by those who were sealed by God in vii. 4–8, ix. 4-But all the faithful have already been removed from the earth (see Introd. to xv. p. 26).

8. This Plague recalls the first Egyptian plague, Ex. vii. 17-21, though in the latter the Nile alone is smitten. eyéreto alμa. Cf. Ex. vii. 19, D. ......

תּמּשׁ חִיחִיה, (Cf. כּל נפֹשׁ החיה, Gen. i. 21, or היה, נפשׁ חִיה, i. 30.) דע לי דון סטאלססקן. In viu. 9 only a third of the things in the sea perished. Here the destruction is complete.

4. εἰς τ. ποταμούς καὶ τ. πηγάς τῶν όδάτων. See note on viii. 10. εἰγένετο alμa, *i.e.* the fresh waters became blood.

5. [ $\kappa al$   $\ddot{\eta}\kappa ou \sigma a$  roû  $d\gamma q \ell hou$  rŵr  $\delta \delta d \tau \omega r \lambda \ell \gamma o r \tau os$ .] An interpolation to introduce xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7, which originally followed after xix. 4, and to which context it is restored in this edition. Cf. I Enoch lxvi. 2. "Those angels were over the powers of the waters." In vii. 1 of our text the angels who had control of the winds are referred to, and in xiv. 18 the angel over fire, though the latter appears to be a gloss. On the various classes of angels see the Index to Charles' *The Apocr. and Pseudep.* vol. ii.; Bousset, *Rel. des Judenthums*, 317, also *Jewish Encyc.*, art. "Angelology."

5<sup>b</sup>-7. This passage has been restored after xix. 4, where the grounds are fully stated for this restoration.

8. The fourth Bowl takes effect on the sun, and causes a plague of excessive heat.

έξέχετεν . . . ἐπὶ τὸν ῆλιον. On the use of ἐπί here instead of εἰs as in the preceding verses, see note on 1. The construction ἐδόθη αὐτῷ is a frequent one in our author : see Introd. to Chap. vii., vol. i. p. 191.

9. ἐκαυματίσθησαν . . . καῦμα. (See Blass, Gr. p. 91 sq.) Contrast vii. 16, οὐδὲ μὴ παίση ἔτι (emended) αὐτοὺς ὁ ἥλιος οὐδὲ πῶν καῦμα.

ἐβλασφήμησαν τὸ ὅνομα τοῦ θεοῦ. Cf. xiii. 6 ; Isa. lii. 5 ; Rom.
ii. 24 ; I Tım. vi. I ; Jas. ii. 7.

έχοντος την έξουσίαν. See note on ii. 26 on the significance of the article with έξουσίαν. Bousset brackets here with the inferior MSS the article. This is strange, since he is aware that when έξουσία is accompanied by the art. it connotes full authority or power, and this is certainly the meaning in the present passage.

od perevónoar. Cf. ix. 20, 21, xvi. 11. In xi. 13 the Jews repent owing to the earthquake.

δοῦναι αὐτῷ δόξαν. Cf. xi. 13, xiv. 7, xix. 7.

10-11. The fifth Bowl. If we compare this Bowl with the first Woe it is clear that they are developments of the same tradition, though they refer to different periods, the Christians being still upon the earth during the Woes, but not during the Bowls. Thus both affect only the adherents of the beast (xvi. 10: cf. ix. 4). In xvi. 10 the kingdom of the beast is darkened; in ix. 2 the sun is darkened by the smoke issuing from the pit, and from this smoke issued the demonic locusts. In xvi. 10-11

men gnawed their tongues through pain and blasphemed the God of heaven; in ix. 5-6 men were tormented by the locusts and sought death but could not find it.

Similarly we shall find that the sixth Bowl agrees closely with the second Woe (see note on xvi. 12-16), and the seventh Bowl with the third Woe (see note on xvi. 17-21). These facts will help us in the elucidation of the difficulties affecting the fifth Bowl.

But there is another point worthy of consideration. If we compare the seven Bowls with the Seven Seals, we find that, whereas only the faithful were the victums of the fifth Seal, only the followers of the beast are affected by the fifth Bowl.

10. The visitation on the kingdom of the Antichrist.

τόν θρόνον τοῦ θηρίου, *i.e.* Rome. Cf. xiii. 2, ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ (*i.e.* τῷ θηρίῳ) ὁ δράκων . . . τὸν θρόνον αὐτοῦ. The first four Bowls had affected the world at large; the fifth assails only the kingdom of the Beast.

ή βασιλεία αὐτοῦ ἐσκοτωμένη. Owing to this clause the fifth Plague has been taken to be one of darkness recalling the Egyptian one, Ex. x. 21 sqq. But this interpretation cannot be upheld. A plague of darkness would be wholly insufficient to explain the agony experienced by the adherents of the Beast after the pouring out of the fifth Bowl. Hence something else than the darkness that ensued on the pouring out of the fifth Bowl must be presupposed as the cause of this agony. Now, if we turn to the first Woe of which the fifth Bowl is in some sense an abbreviation, we can explain both these statements. There we find that the sun was darkened by the smoke that issued from the pit (ix. 2). There is every reason for supposing that we have here the true explanation of the darkening of the kingdom of the Beast. Further, the cause of the torments endured by the adherents of the Beast (xvi. 10, έμασῶντο τὰς γλώσσας αὐτῶν ἐκ τοῦ πόνου) is to be traced to the demonic locusts which issued from the smoke that ascended from the pit. Men were so tortured by the scorpionlike stings of these locusts (ix. 10) that they longed for death to end their agony (ix. 5-6). Hence we infer that after iokorwpin several clauses have been lost, in which the causes of the darkness and the sufferings of mankind were given. The hypothesis that certain clauses of the nature suggested originally stood after έσκοτωμένη is established by a clause in 11, i.e. και έκ των έλκων aυτών. These sores could not have been caused by the darkness. Spitta and, so far as I am aware, Spitta alone (p. 171) has recognized this fact that the fifth Bowl originally treated of demonic locusts as the first Woe does. But he rejects (171, 576) τον θρόνον τοῦ θηρίου καὶ ἐγένετο ἡ βασιλεία αὐτοῦ ἐσκοτωμένη as an addition from the hand of the final editor on the ground that the throne of the beast is not to be identified with Rome but with the abyss as in ix. 11, and that accordingly the place on which the fifth Bowl is to be poured should be named, where the plague in question is to be developed, analogously with the rest of the plagues (xvi. 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 17). He also excises the clause  $\kappa a i \epsilon \pi \bar{\omega} v i \lambda \kappa \bar{\omega} v a i \pi \bar{\omega} v$  to the weakening of his own hypothesis. But I have already shown above that  $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a a i \sigma \tilde{\upsilon}$  $\epsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \eta c$  can be fully justified. Nor can any valid objection be taken to  $\tau \partial v \theta \rho \delta v \sigma v \tau \sigma \tilde{\upsilon} \theta \eta \rho \delta \sigma v$ , seeing that already in xii. 2 the Dragon had given his throne to the Beast. Thus it is only necessary here to suppose a lacuna in the text.

έμασῶντο κτλ. In the LXX only in Job xxx. 5.

11. Their sufferings drove the followers of the beast to fresh blasphemy instead of to repentance.

τόν θεόν τοῦ οὐρανοῦ. See xi. 13.

καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἐλκῶν αὐτῶν. This phrase proves that the sufferings of the subjects of the Beast are not intelligible from the text as it stands after the loss of the clauses referred to in the note on 10. Some scholars explain it as referring to the phrase ἔλκος κακόν in 2.

où meterónoar ék tŵr épywr. So already in ii. 21, ix. 20.

12-16. The sixth Bowl-at all events xvi. 12-is related to the second Woe, ix. 13-21. In the latter passage we have an account of the demonic horsemen from the Euphrates, whose objective is the heathen unbelieving world. In the present context the river Euphrates is dried up in order that the way may be prepared for the kings coming from the East under Nero redivivus, and their objective is Rome, the throne of the Beast. This forecast reappears in xvii. 12-13, 17, 16. The powers of the Beast are therefore at variance. On the other hand, the gathering together of the kings of the earth to Armageddon is a distant echo of the onslaught of the forces of Gog in Ezekiel on Jerusalem. This expectation has undergone many developments in the interval, and reappears in a duplicated form under the actual designation of Gog and Magog in xx. 7-10, where it represents the last uprising of the powers of evil before their final destruction by fire from heaven. But the present context (xvi. 13-16) reproduces an earlier form of this expectation, and this form of it is referred to twice elsewhere in the book-in xiv. 14, 18-20, and xix. 11-21. In these three passages, which refer to a universal insurrection of the heathen nations at the instigation of the demons and the Beast and the False Prophet, before the Messianic Kingdom, the forces of evil are destroyed by the Messiah, and the Beast and the False Prophet cast into the lake of fire, whereas in the final insurrection of the heathen nations due to the direct instigation of Satan at the close of the Messianic Kingdom they are annihilated

46

by fire from heaven, and Satan himself cast into the lake of fire, where already were the Beast and the False Prophet.

Further, it is to be observed that the uprising of the kings of the East against Rome is only the preparatory step to their conflict with the Lamb, as we see in xvii. 12-17. Hence their combination here (xvi. 12) with the kings of the whole earth (xvii. 14) to resist the Lamb.

12. The march of the kings of the East against Rome, which is described more fully in xvii. 12-13, 17, 16.

τόν ποταμόν . . . Εύφράτην. Cf. 1x. 14.

έξηράνθη το ύδωρ αυτού. For the idea cf. Ex. xiv. 21; Josh. iii. 13-17; Isa. xi. 15 sq., xliv. 27, li. 10; Jer. xxviii. (li.) 36; Zech. x. 11; 4 Ezra xiii. 43-47.

έτοιμασθή. Cf. Isa. xl. 3, ετοιμάσατε την δδόν. For the use of this verb in the second Woe, cf. ix. 15. It is a favourite with our author : cf. viii. 6, ix. 7, xii. 6, xix. 7, xxi. 2.

13,  $14^{bc}$ , 16. The gathering together of all the kings of the earth to war against God and Christ. Cf. Ps. 11. 2. See note above on 12-16.

13. Three unclean spirits from the Dragon (*i.e.* Satan: cf. xii. 3, 9), the Beast, and the False Prophet (*i.e.* the second Beast) go forth to call together the kings of the earth. Cf. I Kings xxii. 22. Contrast the three angels in xiv. 6 sqq.

τοῦ ψευδοπροφήτου. Here for the first time the second Beast (xiii. 11 sqq) is so designated.

πνεύματα . . . ακάθαρτα. Cf. Matt. x. 1; Mark i. 23, etc.

[is Bárpaxol.] First we observe that the construction is unique in the Apocalypse. According to the universal usage outside the present passage we should here have us Barpáxous, as indeed **\*** and many cursives actually do read. But the best authorities support the abnormal text. However, as we shall see on the next verse, the context requires the excision of us  $\beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \alpha \chi o \iota$  . . .  $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \dot{a}$  as a marginal gloss subsequently incorporated in the text. As regards the use of the phrase, it may be observed that frogs were regarded in the Zend religion (see S.B.E. iv. 171, note) as the source of plagues and death. In Hermas, Vis. iv. 1. 6, locusts of a fiery colour are seen by the Seer coming forth from the mouth of a great monster: 1800 βλέπω θηρίον μέγιστον . . . και εκ του στόματος αυτου ακρίδες πύριναι έξεπορεύοντο. This conception combines the ideas underlying ix. 3 and xvi. 13. Frogs were regarded as the agents of Ahriman: cf. Plut. de Iside, 46 (Moffatt).

14. [εἰσὶν γὰρ πνεύματα δαιμονίων ποιοῦντα σημεῖα.] There are difficulties attaching to this clause. 1. It has been taken parenthetically by Bousset and Holtzmann as an explanatory remark of our author : "there are, to wit, demonic spirits, sign workers" (as their masters, xiii. 13, 14). The phrase  $\pi v \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau a \delta a \mu \rho v (\omega v should$ be rendered "spirits, that is, demons,"*i.e.*"demonic spirits," as in $Luke iv. 33, <math>\pi v \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \delta a \mu \rho v (\omega v d \kappa a \theta d \rho \tau ov = "a spirit, that is, an$ unclean demon." The genitive is one of apposition or defini $tion: cf. ii. 10, <math>\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a v os \tau \tau \gamma s \zeta \omega \eta s$ . Demons have no spirits, but are themselves spirits. According to I Enoch xv. 8, 9, 11, xvi. 1, xix., xcix. 7, the demons were the spirits which went forth from the antediluvian giants on their destruction, these giants being the children of the fallen angels and the daughters of men (cf. Gen. vi. 1). These demons were not to incur punishment till the final judgment: cf. Matt. viii. 29; I Enoch xvi. 1; Jubilees x. 5-II. They were subject to Satan as in our text: cf. Matt. xii. 24-28. 2. Or it may be rendered: "they are, to wit, demonic spirits, sign workers." But however we take this olause it is of the nature of a gloss.

† a εκπορεύεται †.--This-the best attested text-is wholly unsatisfactory. N\* and several cursives read interpretier flat. Though this is less unsatisfactory it is against our author's usage as well as against Greek idiom. The context undoubtedly requires expropendation since without this participle the construction and meaning are both defective. For the preceding words είδον έκ του στόματος του δράκοντος ... πνεύματα τρία are incomplete in both respects without the participle exposed The phrase in τ. στόματος . . . inπορεύεσθαι is of frequent occurrence in our text: cf. i. 16, 1x. 17, 18, xi. 5, xix. 15, 21. Thus we should read είδον έκτ. στόματος τ. δράκοντος . . . πνεύματα τρία . . . ἐκπορευόμενα (cf. i. 16 for the same separation of  $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ . στόματοs and the verb), and translate: "and I saw from the mouth of the dragon . . . three unclean spirits going forth." The present text είδον έκ τ. στόματος . . . πνεύματα τρία without a participle, which at once explains the  $\epsilon \kappa$  and completes the sentence, is, so far as I am aware, unexampled, and yet it has been thoughtlessly accepted by every grammarian and student of the Apocalypse, perhaps in many cases from the idea that any construction is possible in this Book.

Hence, since for å  $i \kappa \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ , we must read  $i \kappa \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \nu \alpha$ and connect it with  $i \delta \sigma \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$ , we conclude that  $i \sigma \delta \nu \gamma \lambda \rho \rho$ ...  $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha$  is a marginal gloss, and likewise  $\delta \kappa \beta \delta \tau \rho \alpha \chi \sigma \alpha$  if this is the earliest form of this phrase. Thus  $\delta \kappa \beta \delta \tau \rho \alpha \chi \sigma \alpha$ ...  $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha$  was originally a marginal gloss which on its incorporation into the text brought about the change of  $i \kappa \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha$ .

τής οἰκουμάνης δλης. Cf. iii. 10, xii. 9, for the same phrase and the same thought connection.

συναγαγείν αύτούς κτλ Cf. xx. 8.

τῆς ἡμέρας τῆς μεγάλης τοῦ θεοῦ. This is the great day of Yahweh's reckoning with the ungodly nations. Cf. 2 Pet. iii. 12,

XVI. 14-16.] XVI. 15 TO BE RESTORED BEFORE III. 3<sup>h</sup> 49

ή τοῦ θεοῦ ήμερο. See Charles' Eschatology<sup>2</sup> (see Index), also the authorities quoted in the note of vi. 17 of our text.

rol beol rol marrospáropos. A divine title frequently found in our author: cf. i. 8, iv. 8 (note), xi. 17, xv. 3, xvi. 7, xix. 6, 15, xxi. 22.

15. With Könnecke (*Emendationen zu Stellen NT*. 35-37, whose work I have not seen; the reference I owe to Moffatt) this verse is to be taken as an intrusion here. Originally either it stood between iii.  $3^{a}$  and  $3^{b}$ , where it would complete the  $i\delta o'$  series of ii. 22, iii. 9, 20, or it should with Beza be transposed before iii. 18. I therefore bracket it with most modern critics in its present context.

What Konnecke's arguments are I do not know, but the cogency of his suggestion manifests itself on a comparison of 15 with iii. 2-4. To see how fitly it comes in after iii. 3° we have only to compare idoù é $p\chi o\mu a\iota$  with the series of verbs so introduced in ii. 22, iii. 9, 20: 5°  $\kappa\lambda\ell\pi\tau\eta s$   $\mu\alpha\kappa\alpha\rho s$   $\delta$   $\gamma\rho\eta\gamma o\rho\delta v$   $\kappa\alpha\iota \tau\eta\rho\delta v$  rà  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\iota\alpha$  autroi (xvi. 15<sup>ab</sup>) with  $\gamma\prime\nu\sigma\nu\gamma\rho\sigma\delta v$  in iii. 2 and the voir  $\mu\eta\gamma\eta\gamma\rho\eta\sigma\eta\eta s$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}\xi\omega$  5°  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\eta s$  in iii. 3<sup>b</sup> (observe also the use of  $\tau\eta\rho\epsilon\delta v$  though without an expressed object in iii. 3<sup>a</sup> and oùr  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\alpha}\lambda\nu\sigma v \tau \lambda$   $\dot{\mu}\mu\dot{\sigma}\tau\iota\alpha$  autroi v  $\mu\epsilon r$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\rho\delta$   $\dot{\epsilon}v\kappa\rho\delta s$  in iii. 4, and the remaining words  $\kappa\alpha\lambda$   $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega\sigma v \tau \tau r a do th vert <math>\kappa\lambda$   $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\eta s$  and  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\eta\sigma\eta\sigma$   $\dot{\eta}\kappa$   $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\eta\eta$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\sigma$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma$ 

This verse implies that the sixth Bowl will take the world by surprise. But it is hard to see how the elaborate preparations of the kings of the East followed by those of all the kings of the world could do so. Finally, the utter inappropriateness of 15 in its present context is further evident from the fact that all the faithful have already been removed from the earth.

This verse forms one of the seven beatitudes in the Apocalypse: i. 3 (iii. 3) (xiv. 13), xix. 9, xx. 6, xxii. 14, 7. When xvi. 15 is restored to its original context, we find a special appositeness in their order: first beatitude (i. 3) deals with those who read the prophecy; the second (iii. 3, *i.e.* xvi. 15) with those who watch and keep their garments clean: the third, xiv. 12-13 (to be read after xiii. 15), with those who die in the Lord in the last persecution: the fourth, xix. 9, with those who are invited to the marriage supper of the Lamb: the fifth, xx. 6, with those who had washed their garments and had permission to eat of the tree of life during the Millennial Kingdom: the seventh, xxii. 7, with those who keep the words of this Book.

16. This verse should follow immediately on 14, and 15 be transferred to its original context between iii. 3<sup>a</sup> and iii. 3<sup>b</sup>.

VOL. II.---4

**XVI. 16.** 

συνήγαγεν. Neuter nouns in the plural are followed either by the singular (viii. 3, xiii. 14, xiv. 13, xvi. 14, etc.) or plural verb in the Apocalypse, but mostly by the latter.

τόν καλούμενον. Cf. i. 9, xi. 8, xii. 9.

'Εβραϊστί. See ix. 11.

Ap Mayebor. No convincing interpretation has as yet been given of this phrase, which should probably be translated "the mountains of Megiddo." The city Megiddo was notable as the scene where Barak and Deborah overthrew the forces of Sisera by "the waters of Megiddo" (Judg. v. 19-21), and Pharaoh Necho defeated and slew Josiah, i.e., in "the plain of Megiddo" (2 Kings xxiii. 29, 30; 2 Chron. xxxv. 22; Herod. ii. 159). But the phrase "mountains of Megiddo" is not found elsewhere. Owing to this fact it has been proposed by Hilgenfeld to take "Ap Mayedow as = עיר מנדק, where, עיר שיר city of Megiddo." Volter regards "Ap as = ", "land." But both these conjectures have failed to meet with acceptance. It is best at present to regard the first element in the phrase as = "mountains"; for the final conflict in Ezek. xxxviii. 8, 21, xxxix. 2, 4, 17-a passage which influenced our author in xx. 8-11-was to take place on "the mountains of Israel." In Dan. xi. 45 the writer expected that Antiochus would meet his end "between the sea and the glorious holy mountain." Since Megiddo is not associated with any eschatological expectation, it is possible some corruption underlies this word. Hence the phrase in Daniel, "glorious holy mountain" (=Mt.Zion), as well as the expression in Ezekiel, "mountains of Israel," may give some support to the conjecture cited by Cheyne (Encyc. Bib. i. 311) that "Ap Mayεδών = הר מנהו, his "fruitful mountain." This would associate the battle scene with Jerusalem as in Joel iii. 2; Zech. xiv. 2 sqq.; I Enoch lvi. 7, xc. 13-19. As Rome was to be laid desolate by the kings of the East, xvi. 12, xvii. 16-17, so (after the destruction of the kings of the East-see xix. 13 n.) the kings of the whole earth were to be destroyed in the neighbourhood of Zion. Now, since xvi. 14, 16 and xx. 8-10 are both ultimately derived from Ezek. xxxviii.-xxxxix., and since in xx. 8-10 the scene of the last great struggle at the close of the Millennial Kingdom is placed in the neighbourhood of the Heavenly Jerusalem (which has taken the place of the Old), it is possible that Ap Mayedúv may be a corruption either for הר מגרו היה fruitful mountain," as above suggested, or for ער־חמרה, "the desirable city " (i.e. Jerusalem : cf. ארץ חמרה, "the desirable land," i.e. Palestine, Jer. iii. 19; Zech. vii. 14). The latter suggestion derives some countenance from xx. 9,  $\tau \eta v \pi \delta \lambda v$ την ηγαπημένον, which is there surrounded by the hostile armies of Gog and Magog. But everything connected with the text and meaning of the phrase is uncertain. Hence Gunkel, followed by Cheyne and Bousset, conjectures in his Schopfung und Chaos, 263-266, that we have in this mysterious phrase a survival of some ancient myth—no longer intelligible to our author—which associated the final conflict of the gods with some ancient mountain. Hommel's suggestion that the phrase goes back to  $\forall D = 0$ (Isa. xiv. 13) = "the mountain in the north where the gods meet," springs from the same view of the passage. Hence Bousset concludes that the context here goes back to an ancient myth which described the assault on the holy mountain of the gods by an army of demons mustered by certain evil spirits. To this myth our author in Bousset's opinion gave an historical character by connecting it with the Parthians. See Nestle's art. in Hastings' D.B. ii. 304 sq.

17-21. The seventh Bowl. Just as the fifth and sixth Bowls showed undeniable affinities with the first and second Woes, so the seventh Bowl appears to be slightly related to the third Woe, xi. 14-19. In xvi. 17 a voice from heaven declares that the punishment of the heathen and of the great city of Rome is now completed in the pouring out of the seventh Bowl with the results about to be recounted. In xi. 18<sup>h</sup> it is said that the time has come for "destroying those who destroy the earth": cf. xix. 2. This connection is indeed slight in itself, but there are others, for the "earthquake and great hail" in xi. 19 are described at some length in xvi. 18-21.

έξέχεταν ... ἐπὶ τὸν ἀέρα. On this visitation on the air cf. ix. 2. The construction with ἐπί here is extraordinary: see note on 1.

έκ τοῦ ναοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θρόνου. A divine voice was heard coming from the Temple in xvi. 1. Here the place whence it comes is more nearly defined. Throughout the Apocalypse the throne is connected with the Temple, though at times it is impossible to visualize the vision. But, as we have seen in the note on iv. 2, the combination of the Temple and throne scenery goes far back into Judaism.

φωνη ... ἀπὸ τοῦ θρόνου. So also in xix. 5. It is noteworthy that in exactly a similar connection our author uses ἐκ τοῦ θρόνου in xxi. 3.

yévorer. Cf. xxi. 6. The great voice from the throne, which had commanded these plagues, xvi. 1, now proclaims that they are at an end.

18. άστραπαὶ καὶ φωναὶ καὶ βρονταί. See notes on iv. 5, viii. 5.

σεισμός . . . μέγας. Cf. viii. 5, xi. 19.

οίος ούκ έγένετο άφ' ου ανθρωποι έγένοντο έπι της γης. The

phraseology is borrowed from Dan. xii. 1. Cf. Theod.  $\theta\lambda i\psi s$ , oia où yéyovev à d' is yeyévŋraı ëθνος èv rỹ yỹ (ἐπὶ rỹς yỹs, AQ), and the LXX oia où cèyevýθη ả d' où èyevýθησαν. Here the èni rỹs yỹs is found both in our text and Theod.—a fact which may point to the presence of range in the text of Daniel in the 1st cent. A.D. Our text is clearly an independent rendering of Dan. xii. 1. Cf. Ass. Mos. viii. 1, "ira quae talis non fuit in Ilis."

19. [καὶ ἐγένετο . . . εἰς τρία μέρη] καὶ οἱ πόλεις τῶν ἐθνῶν ἔπεσαν. I have bracketed the first clause; for εἰς τρία μέρη is against the usage of our author, who would have written εἰς μέρη τρία: cf. xvi. 13, xxi. 13 (four times). Moreover, there is no hint in xvii.-xviii. that Rome had suffered from a violent earthquake. Rome is dealt with in the words which follow-καὶ Baβυλῶν κτλ. These words prepare the reader for xvii.-xviii.

eyévero . . . eis. Cf. Acts v. 36. In viii. 11 of our author the text is corrupt.

ή πόλις ή μεγάλη. Here as in xiv. 8 (see note) it is Rome, not Jerusalem, that is designated as "Babylon the Great." Jerusalem had already been in part overthrown by an earthquake in xi. 13: 7000 of its inhabitants had been thereby destroyed and the rest had repented. Here, according to the interpolator, it is Rome that is visited by an earthquake, and that an earthquake such as had never yet been experienced on earth, and yet in xvii. 3-4 Rome appears not to have suffered in this earthquake in the least degree. Its final overthrow and destruction are yet to come in xvii.-xviii. This judgment and that of the great hail do not lead men to repent: rather they blaspheme the more: cf. ver. 21. To identify Babylon here with Jerusalem, as is done by J. Weiss, Moffatt, and some other scholars, is against the whole context and the right conception of xvii.-xviii.

έμνήσθη δοῦναι. The passive use of μνησθήναι is found in Ezek. iii. 20 (οὐ μὴ μνησθῶσιν aἰ δικαιοσύναι αὐτοῦ), xviii. 22, 24. It is found also in Acts x. 31 and elsewhere. As regards the construction we should compare xi. 18, ἦλθεν ὁ καιρὸς . . . δοῦναι, xvi. 9, οὐ μετενόησαν δοῦναι. In Ps. cix. 16 and ciii. 18 we find the infinitive in Hebrew after גובר

τὰ ποτήριον τοῦ οἶνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ἀργῆς aðτοῦ. See notes on xiv. 8, 10. The expression τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ὀργῆς recurs in xix. 15. In Isa. vii. 4; Jer. iv. 26, xxv. 16 (xlıx. 37), xxxii. 23 (xxv. 37); Lam. i. 12, ii. 3, iv. 11; Ezek. xxiii. 25; Hos. xi. 9; Nah. i. 6, we find the combination ὀργὴ θυμοῦ (= μη). The order θυμὸς ὀργῆς is infrequent in the LXX but it is found: cf. Isa. ix. 18.

20. πασα νήσος έφυγεν. Cf. vi. 14, παν όρος και νήσος . . . Εκινήθησαν. ούχ εύρέθησαν. Cf. v. 4, xii. 8, xiv. 5, xviii. 21, xx. 11 (ἔφυγεν η γη . . . καὶ τόπος οὐχ εὐρέθη)—a familiar Hebrew expression (ἀκ τατκιί) : cf. Ps. xxxvi. (xxxvii.) 36.

öρη ούχ εδρέθησαν. This disappearance of the mountains is one of the signs of the end of the world: cf. vi. 14; also Ass. Mos. x. 4, "And the high mountains shall be made low, and the hills shall be shaken and fall," also I Enoch i. 6. In later Christian Apocalyptic this idea is found not unfrequently: cf. Sibyl. Or. viii. 234, 236, ὑψώσει δὲ φάραγγας, ὅλεῖ δ΄ ὑψώματα βουνῶν, ὕψος δ΄ οὐκέτι λοιπὸν (so Hase) ἐν ἀνθρώποισι φανεῖται. Ισα δ΄ ὅρη πεδίοις ἔσται καὶ πῶσα θάλασσα οὐκέτι πλοῦν ἔξει.

A Latin translation of viii. 217–250 is given in Augustine, De Civitate Dei, xviii. 23:

"Dejiciet colles, valles extollet ab imo. Non erit in rebus hominum sublime vel altum. Tam aequantur campis montes et coerula ponti Omnia cessabunt."

Lactantius, *Div. Instit.* vii. 16, 11, "Montes quoque altissimi decident et planis aequabuntur, mare innavigabile constituetur."

The idea underlying these passages is to be carefully distinguished from that which appears in the Zend religion to the effect that the mountains, being the work of the evil spirit Ahriman, would disappear with him, and the new earth would be "an iceless, slopeless plain; even the mountain whose summit is the support of the Kinvat bridge they keep down, and it will not exist," Bund. xxx. 33 (S.B.E. v. 129 sq.). The object of the earth being made a smooth plain was, as Boklen states (*Eschatologie*, p. 133), to make intercourse easy for the renewed humanity. In this connection, cf. Sib. Or. iii. 776 sqq.

Yet another idea underlies the use of analogous phrases in Isa. xl. 4; T Bar. v. 5-9; Pss. Sol. xi. 5.

21. χάλαζα μεγάλη. So also in xi. 19. Probably the Ξ. of Ex. ix. 24—the seventh of the Egyptian plagues.

ώς ταλαντιαία. A talent (τάλαντον = Ξ) weighed something between 108 and 130 lbs. The word ταλαντιαίος is found in Polybius and Josephus, as Swete points out.

έβλασφήμησαν... τὸν θεών. As in xvi. 9, 11 so here the effect of the judgment is only to harden the hearts of the heathen nations. This attitude of theirs stands in contrast with that of the Jews in xi. 13.

σφόδρα. Here only in our author. It stands last like τρ in Hebrew, not only here but elsewhere in the N.T. Cf. Matt. ii. 10, xxvi. 22; Mark xvi. 4, etc. But in all such cases σφύδρα follows immediately after the adjective it qualifies, whereas here, as occasionally in the case of the Hebrew אָאָר, the adjective and adverb are sundered.

## CHAPTER XVII.

## § 1. Contents and Authorship.

This chapter begins with a promise on the part of an angel to the Seer to show him "the judgment of the Great Harlot," but throughout the chapter this subject is not referred to save once (in xvii. 16), and alike the vision in xvii.  $3^{b}-6$  and its interpretation by the angel are concerned with the Beast, which according to the present form of the text symbolizes the demonic Nero, or Nero returning from the abyss to lead the Parthian powers against Rome. The judgment of the Great Harlot is given at length in xviii.

But if we are to give the subject the fuller treatment it demands, we soon recognize that xvii, cannot be treated apart from xviii. Thus in xvii. I an angel summoned the Seer to show him "the judgment of the Great Harlot," and transported him in the spirit (xvii. 3) to the wilderness, where he had a vision of the woman and of the scarlet Beast, whereon she sat, with its seven heads and ten horns. Now the woman was magnificently arrayed in purple and scarlet and adorned with gold and precious stones. and on her forehead she bore the name of Babylon, the mother of fornication and abomination, and she was drunken with the blood of Christian martyrs (xvii. 4-6). And when the Seer was filled with amazement at what he saw, the angel turned aside from his original purpose of showing him the judgment of the Great Harlot, and proceeded to explain the hidden meaning of the woman and the Beast with the seven heads and ten horns. The Beast, said the angel, "was and is not and is about to come up out of the abyss and goeth to destruction," and all the faithless upon earth shall marvel at his return after his death (xvii. 7-8), but they that are wise will not do so; for they will see that the seven heads are seven kings, of whom the sixth is at present reigning. This sixth would in due course be succeeded by the seventh, who would reign but a short time. And on the death of the seventh king would follow an eighth, who was in reality the Beast-and yet he would not be the eighth king, since he was actually one of the seven (xvii. 9-11). (The Beast is clearly here the demonic Nero returning to earth from the abyss.)

So much for the seven heads. As for the ten horns, these are ten subordinate kings who will shortly come into their royal authority, and with one accord will, through the ordinance of God, place all their power at the disposal of the Beast, and the Beast and these kings will hate the Harlot, and destroy her by fire (xvii. 12-13, 17, 16). And having destroyed the Harlot they will go to war with the Lamb, but they will be overcome by the Lamb and His followers (xvii. 14, a conquest implied by xix. 13). Now the Harlot is none other than the city Rome (xvii. 18), whose doom is described in the vision that follows.

So much for the thought of the chapter as it stands. But the order of events is strange and unexpected. Though the Seer has promised in xvii. 1 a vision of the judgment of the Great Harlot, in all the verses that follow there is not a reference to this subject save in xvii. 16. The promise, in fact, is not redeemed till xviii., for the single mention of this judgment in xvii. 16 cannot be regarded as a fulfilment of it. xviii. is necessarily introduced by the technical phrase  $\mu erà \tau a \hat{v} ra e \hat{l} \delta \sigma v$ , since other weighty subjects have intervened between xvii. 1 and its fulfilment in xviii.

The irregular character of this chapter prepares us for the conclusion which a detailed study of it makes manifest, i.e. that our author is here using sources which for convenience' sake are here designated as A and B.  $A = 1^{\circ}-2$ ,  $3^{\circ}-6^{\circ}$ , 7, 18, and some clauses in 8-10. B is fragmentary: 11-13, 17, 16 (see § 5). The order of the words in A is Semitic, but not in B, and whereas the diction and idiom in both show indubitable traces of our author's hand, they just as indubitably contain idioms which are against his usage (§ 3). Again, though the thought underlying the present form of the chapter is that of our author, even the most superficial criticism makes it clear that this thought is superinduced, and that the meaning of the symbol "the Beast" has been transformed by additions to the text. Thus in A the Beast symbolized the Roman Empire, a meaning which still survives in xvii. 3, whereas in B it symbolized the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian kings in order to destroy Rome (§ 4). By certain additions in xvii. 8, 11 the Beast has come to symbolize Nero redivivus or the demonic Nero coming up from the abyss-an expectation prevalent from 90 A.D. onwards in many Christian communities.

There are certain dislocations of the text. Thus xvii. 17 should be transposed before xvii. 16, and xvii. 14—an addition of our author—should be placed after xvii. 16, since it deals with the destruction of the Beast and his Parthian allies, who in xvii. 17, 16 have already destroyed Rome. There are two glosses, one in xvii. 9, which gives an alternative and wrong interpretation of the seven heads in xvii. 9, and another in xvii. 15, which was originally a marginal gloss on  $i\pi i i\delta a \tau \omega v$  in xvii. 1 and has got wrongly thrust into its present position (§ 4). In the Introd. to xviii. we shall find grounds for regarding A and xviii. as derived from one and the same source.

## § 2. The order of the Words.

So far as the order of the words goes, this chapter falls into two parts. xvii. 1-10 is Hebraic as to order. Thus the verb precedes the object three times in xvii. 7, twice in xvii. 3, and once in xvii. 1, 6. In xvii. 8 the verb precedes the subject twice, and once in xvii. 1 and 2. In xvii. 4-5 there are only substantive sentences.

In xvii. 11-17 the order is decidedly non-Semitic. Only once, i.e. in xvii. 17, does the verb precede the subject, whereas the object, and also the subject where expressed, precede the verb twice in each of the verses xvii. 12, 13, 16 (*i.e.* six times in three verses). Again, in each of xvii. 14, 16, 17 the order subj. vb. obj. occurs once, and in each of xvii. 14, 15 the order subj. and vb. It is true that in some of these cases the order is quite good Semitic, inasmuch as its unusualness serves to mark emphasis, opposition, or the like : but in respect of order xvii. 11-17 is, as a whole, non-Semitic and differs in this respect from xvii. 1-10. Such a fact can hardly be accidental, and must be accounted for. The linguistic character of xvii. 11-17 is almost without parallel in the rest of the book save in xi. 1-13. which on these and other grounds we were obliged to attribute to a Greek or Aramaic source (see i. p. 270 sqg.). Any theory as to the authorship of xvii. should account for these facts. So far as these facts go we are predisposed to assign xvii. 1-10 and xvii. 11-17 (or the original forms of these; for they exhibit undoubted marks of revision, as we shall see presently) to different sources, the latter apparently to a Greek source.

#### § 3. The diction and idiom of this Chapter show manifest traces of the hand of our author (in the way of revision, as we shall see later), but they are frequently against his usage.

(a) Traces of the hand of our author, especially in xvii. 1-9, 14 (which verse is wholly from his hand).—καὶ ἡλθεν . . . δείξω in xvii. 1, recurs in xxi. 9: indeed every word and phrase of xvii. 1 are from our author. Thus εἶς ἐκ is his universal usage. On ἐλάλησεν . . . λέγων and δείξω σοι see iv. 1 n. In xvii. 3, ἀπήνεγκεν . . . ἐν πνεύματι recurs in xxi. 10. The constructions καθημένης ἐπὶ ὅδάτων, xvii. 1<sup>1</sup> and καθημένην ἐπὶ θηρίον in xvii. 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If, as we shall seek to prove, part of xvii. I has come from a source; then the form of the phrase  $\kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon' \eta \eta \epsilon' \eta \iota' \delta \delta \pi \omega \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$  seems to come from our author; for the phrase is clearly derived from Jer. Ii. (xxviii.) 13, and since  $\kappa a \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega$  is never used as a translation of 12 $\nu$  which is in the original here,

are also his idiom (see iv. 2, note). περιβεβλημένη C. aCC. Xvii. 4 (see iii. 5, note); also ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον αὐτῆς, Xvii. 5 (see vii. 3 π.); also οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, Xvii. 8<sup>1</sup> (see i. p. 336, and contrast οἱ κατοικοῦντες τὴν γῆν, Xvii. 2); also ῆν καὶ οἰκ ἔστιν καὶ μέλλει ἀναβαίνειν . . . ὑπάγει and ὅτι ῆν . . . πάρεσται in Xvii. 8; ὅδε . . σοφίαν (cf. Xii. 18) in Xvii. 9; δ ῆν . . . ἔστιν and καὶ εἰς ἀπώλειαν ὑπάγει in Xvii. 11, and οἴτινες (=οἶ) in Xvii. 12. xvii. 14 is wholly from his hand: with οὖτοι . . . νικήσει αὐτούς cf. xiii. 7, which is his; with κύριος . . βασιλέων cf. xix. 16 while πιστός is often used by him. In xvii. 16 we have an instance of an idiom used by our author; *i.e.* ἡρημωμένην ποιήσουσιν αὐτήν: cf. xii. 15, xxi. 5. In xvii. 17 with τελεσθήσονται cf. x. 7, xv. 8, xx. 3, 5, 7.

(b) Idiom and diction against his usage.—In xvii. 2, oi katoikoûrtes tîr yîr conflicts with the universal usage of our author: see vol. i. p. 336, and note on xi. 10. yéµorta dróµata, xvii. 3 (3<sup>b</sup>) —elsewhere c. gen. See n. on xvii. 3. In xvii. 8 yéµpantai êti tò βιβλίον is against his usage; for in this phrase we have always  $\gamma páφεσθαι εν τῷ βιβλίφ elsewhere: cf. (i. 3) xiii. 8, xx. 12, xxi. 27$  $[xxii. 18, 19]. In different phrases <math>\gamma páφειν επί cum acc.$  is found: cf. 11. 17, επί τὴν ψῆφον . . .  $\gamma εγραµµμενον:$  iii. 12,  $\gamma páψω επ' αὐτὸν$ tò ὄνοµa: cf. also x1x. 16. The order in aὐτὸν δει is against his usage, xvii. 10—elsewhere δει precedes: cf. x. 11, xx. 3, xi. 5: εκ τῶν επτά, xvii. 11—elsewhere in Apoc. always εἰs εκ: cf. v. 5, vi. 1 (bis), vii. 13, ix. 13, xiii. 3, xv. 7, xvii. 1, xxi. 9.

In xvii. 8, which like xiii. 8 is a rendering of the same Hebrew source, the split relative is not reproduced in the Greek, though it is in xiii. 8. In xvii. 15 où is used, though our author uses  $\delta \pi \sigma v$ always elsewhere (but this verse is a gloss on xvii. 1).  $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$  in xvii. 13, 17 is not found elsewhere in the Apoc., and the form of the enumeration in xvii. 15 is not that of our author. Here  $\delta \chi \lambda o \iota$  is substituted for  $\lambda a o \iota$ : see note *in loc*. Finally, in xvii. 17 axp<sub>i</sub> is followed by the indicative (by the subjunctive in inferior MSS) but elsewhere in our author by the subjunctive : see ii. 25, note.

From ( $\delta$ ) we see that this chapter exhibits many constructions, which are against our author's usage elsewhere in the Apocalypse.

On the other hand, (a) just as decidedly exhibits his handiwork. Since the thought underlying the *present* form of the text is that of our author, the obvious hypothesis is that he is making use of sources, which he revises and recasts to suit his

<sup>1</sup> Since this verse has been recast by our author, this form of this phrase instead of that in xvii. 2 may be due to him.

κατασκηνούσηs (as in the LXX) would be the natural rendering. But our author could not use this latter participle, since it is reserved by him for dwellers in heaven; see note on xvii. 1. Hence we have καθημένης έπι υδάτων instead possibly of κατασκηνούσης έπι υδάτων.

own purpose. In other words, the evidence of this section tends to prove that not only is xvii. 11-17 based on a source, as we inferred in § 2, but also xvii. 1-10, 18.

Further research will define more closely the extent and character of these sources. But first of all we must show that the conclusions provisionally arrived at from the form of the text are confirmed by the subject-matter. Hence we shall now proceed to prove that the chapter as it stands is of a composite nature.

#### § 4. The text is deranged and composite—being based on two Sources.

The text is deranged, however we may account for it. For whereas in xvii 7 the angel promises to disclose the mystery of the woman and the Beast (which the Seer had already seen in xvii. 3) and in this order, he at once proceeds to tell the mystery of the Beast, and there is no mention of the woman till xvii. 18. Hence the natural position of xvii. 18 is immediately after xvii. 7. Again, xvii. 17, which gives the explanation of xvii. 13, can hardly have been read unless in immediate connection with xvii. 13: *i.e.* 13, "These have one mind, and they give their power and authority unto the beast. 17. For God did put into their hearts to do His mind [and to come to one mind], and to give their kingdom unto the beast," etc.

The chapter is also composite. We have already seen in § 3 that whereas certain parts of the chapter show clear traces of the hand of John the phraseology of certain other parts is decidedly against his usage. We have also seen in § 2 that the order of the words in xvii. 1-10 is Semitic, whereas that in xvii. 11-17 is not so. Now, if with these facts we combine the further one that, whereas xvii, 1-10 culminates in a prediction of the death of Titus (xvii. 10), the other (xvii. 11-17) culminates in a prediction of the destruction of the Harlot City (xvii. 16), we can hardly evade the conclusion that behind these two sections there were two independent sources.<sup>1</sup> But there is another indication of the independence of these two sections. In xvii. 3, 7 the Beast can only be the Roman Empire, whereas originally in xvii. 11-13, 17, 16 the Beast was not the Roman Empire (as originally in xvii. 3-10), but the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian kings.

That our author, therefore, has laid two sources under contribution is to be concluded from the above phenomena, in the first of which the Beast represented the Roman Empire,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I have thus on largely independent grounds arrived at the same conclusions as Wellhausen (*Analyse*, 26-29) on the original sources of this chapter.

whereas in the second it represented the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian hosts (cf. xvi. 12).

To the above evidence of the compositeness of this chapter we might add the twofold explanation of the seven heads in xvii. 9-10 as symbolizing seven hills and seven kings: the glaring contradiction between xvii. 16, where the ten horns are represented as God's agents in destroying Rome, although they had themselves been *already* destroyed by the Lamb and His followers in xvii. 14,<sup>1</sup> and the belated gloss in xvii. 15, which has no raison d'être in its present position but was obviously added by a stupid scribe originally in the margin opposite xvii. 1 as an explanation of  $\tau \eta_S \pi \delta \rho \eta_S \dots \tau \eta_S \kappa \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta_S \epsilon \pi i ide to we have$ 

#### § 5. The two Sources A and B, and their dates.

In the determination of these two sources I agree on the whole with Wellhausen.<sup>2</sup> A consists, according to this scholar, of 3 (with the exception of the phrase "and ten horns")-4,  $6^{b}$ -7 (with the exception of "and the ten horns"), 9 (excluding all but the words "the seven heads"), 10 (omitting the initial "and"). He thinks that 18 may have originally stood after 7 but was omitted by the redactor, who, however, out of a feeling of conscientiousness added it at the close of B! B consists, he holds, of 11-13, 16 (omitting "and the ten horns which thou sawest and the beast"), 17. A and B were bound together by a redactor and revised. In A, 6 is a Christian addition, and in B 14. xvii. 1-3 may, with the exception of xvii. 1<sup>a</sup>, have belonged either to A or B.

I am unable to accept Wellhausen's hypothesis in all its details, but, as we have already seen (§§ 2, 3), the evidence of the order of the words and to some extent the idioms point to two sources, and these, like Wellhausen, I designate as A and B.

(a) A consisted originally of 1° (beginning with  $\tau \partial \kappa \rho i \mu a \tau \eta s \pi \delta \rho \nu \eta s \dots )-2$ , 3<sup>b</sup> ( $\kappa a \partial \epsilon i \partial \delta \nu \dots )-6$  (om.  $\kappa a \partial \epsilon \kappa \dots \partial i \eta \sigma \sigma \partial \partial \eta$ , 7, 18, 8 (om.  $\eta \nu \kappa a \partial \sigma \nu \kappa \dots \partial \tau \pi \delta \gamma \epsilon u$  which addition has displaced a clause : om. also  $\delta \tau i \eta \nu \dots \pi \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau a u$ , 9 (om.  $\delta \delta \epsilon \dots \sigma \sigma \phi i a \nu a d \epsilon \pi \tau a \dots a \vartheta \tau \omega \nu$ ), 10 (om. the first  $\kappa a \ell$ ).

In this oracle the beast is the Roman Empire, its seven heads are the Roman emperors, five of whom belong to the past, one is, *i.e.*, Vespasian, whereas the seventh, Titus, as the

<sup>1</sup> This, however, is no doubt due to an accidental displacement. When it is read after 11-13, 17, 16 this contradiction disappears. In the text as it stands the demonic Nero returning from the abyss is the Antichrist, and therefore must be destroyed by the Christ.

<sup>3</sup> Bousset regards xvii. 1-7, 9-11, 15-18 as an original Jewish source of Vespasian's time, while he assigns xvii. 8, 12-14 and certain clauses in 6, 9, 11 to the last editor of the Apocalypse. destroyer of Jerusalem, would speedily perish. The date is thus fixed and the authorship may have been Jewish Christian.

(b) B = II (om. 8 hv rai our forth rai and rai eis an  $(\Delta r)$ 12-13, 17, 16. In this second oracle the Beast is Nero returning from the East, and not the Roman Empire as in A. The order of the words, as we have shown (§ 2), differs in B from that in A. This source is fragmentary. Preceding ver. 11 there must have been some account of the seven heads, but not that in A, since it identified the Beast with the Roman Empire and not with Nero.<sup>1</sup> Since the eighth is spoken of, *i.e.* Nero returning from the East, we may conclude with great probability that it was written during the reign of Titus. The oracle may be regarded as written by a Jew; for whereas the hatred of the Jews was fierce against Rome in the time of Vespasian and Titus, it was not so on the part of the Christians. The Christians, moreover, could not have had any sympathy with Nero. Their expectation is best expressed in the addition of John, i.e. ver. 14, where Nero and his allies attack the Lamb.

We have already observed that 15 was originally a marginal gloss on ver. 1,  $\tau\eta s \pi \delta \rho \nu \eta s$ . .  $\epsilon \pi \lambda \delta \delta \delta \tau \omega \nu$ . Ver. 14 is clearly from the hand of John, 16 manifestly stood originally after 17.

# § 6. Our author's editing of A and B and the new meaning given thereby to the whole.

Now that we have determined the extent of A and B, we have next to show the use our author made of them. First of all, the introductory words in xvii. 1, και ηλθεν είς έκ . . . Δεύρο δείξω σοι, are clearly from his hand. Then follow the words from A, to kpiµa . . . the moprelas auties, which form the title of xviii. But though  $\pi \circ \rho v \eta s$  is preceded by the article—another fact pointing to a source-the Harlot has not been mentioned as vet. The original vision of the Harlot consisted of the source A, in which the Beast was the Roman Empire on which the woman (Rome) was seated. This source our author introduces by 3\* καὶ ἀπήνεγκέν με εἰς ἔρημον ἐν πνεύματι. In  $3^{b}-7$  he makes no change save by the insertion (?) of kai képara déka in 3, in order to prepare for B (i.e. 11-13, 17, 16), and by the addition of the clause 6<sup>b</sup> kai ik toù aluatos . . . 'Inooù. In 8-10 he has recast the text. For the original form of 8 see the note in loc. (p. 67 sq.). His additions, he kai ouk toriv . . . Unayer and ori he . . . πάρεσται, transform the meaning of the verse, which, though it originally identified the Beast with the Roman Empire, signifies in its present form the demonic Nero returning from the abyss.

<sup>1</sup> The Beast is the supporter of the woman, *i.e.* Rome (xvii. 3), in A, whereas in B the Beast is the destroyer of Rome, xvii. 12, 16.

In 9,  $\delta\delta\epsilon \delta vois \ldots \sigma optiav$  is from his hand, while  $\delta\pi r \delta \delta \rho \eta \ldots \delta\pi^* a \delta r \delta v r s$ . . . .  $\sigma optiav$  is clearly the gloss of an ignorant scribe. Ver. 18, which originally stood in A after 7, was transposed to its present position in order to introduce the great chapter xviii. on the doom of Rome.

Having utilized A our author now proceeds to incorporate B of which only 11-13, 17, 16 survives in our author's work. The introduction, as we have already pointed out (§ 5 ( $\delta$ )), has been omitted and its place is now taken by 10, which belongs to A. Two clauses have been introduced by our author into 11. i.e. δ ην και ούκ έστιν and και είς απώλειαν υπάγει. By their introduction the expectation of a living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthians is transformed into an expectation of a demonic Nero, as in xvii. 8. 12-13 are apparently as they stood in the original oracle, but 14-15 had no place there. 15 is, as we have elsewhere observed, a gloss, which stood originally in the margin opposite ver. I (της πορνής . . . έπι ύδάτων), while 14 comes from our author's hand; but, since it could only properly follow 16, as it deals with the destruction of the Beast and his Parthian allies, who in 16 destroy Rome, it has most probably got displaced. Thus 11-17 should be read in the following order: 11-13, 17, 16, 14.

Thus by his editorial changes and additions our author has transformed the original meaning of his sources except in ver. 3, where the Beast is still the Roman Empire. Throughout the rest of the chapter, however, the Beast has become none other than the demonic Nero.

§ 7. A (=xvii. 1°-2, 3<sup>b</sup>-6<sup>a</sup>, 7, 18, and certain clauses in 8-10) was probably derived from the same source as xviii.

See Introd. to xviii. § 7.

# § 8. A, though found by our author in a Greek form, was most probably translated from a Hebrew source.

In §§ 6, 3 I have shown that, although there are indubitable signs of our author's revision of A, yet some idioms and constructions survive, which are contrary to his usage—such as of κατοικοῦντες τὴν γῆν (xvii. 2), γέμοντα ὄνόματα (xvii. 3), γέγραπται ἐπὶ τὸ βιβλίον (xvii. 8). It thus appears that the Greek form of A is not due to our author.

But, further, there are signs that A was originally written in Hebrew. Thus, if  $\pi \circ \rho r \hat{\omega} r$  is the original text in xvii. 5, the context ( $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v r \mu a \tau \omega r$ ) suggests that we should here have not "harlots" but "harlotry." This, as I have shown in the note in loc., could have arisen from a misreading of ונוח (= harlotry) as הוא = "harlots."

But the strongest evidence is that found in ver. 8, which is a doublet of xii.  $3^\circ$ , 8. These two passages cannot, so far as I can discover, be explained except as independent Greek renderings of one and the same Hebrew original, the Greek rendering of xiii.  $3^\circ$ , 8 being that of our author and the Greek of xviii. 8 being that of some unknown scholar. This question is fully dealt with in the Introd. to xiii. § 4, vol. i. p. 337.

 καὶ ἦλθεν εἶς ἐκ τῶν ἐπτὰ ἀγγέλων τῶν ἐχόντων τὰς ἐπτὰ φιάλας, καὶ ἐλάλησεν μετ ἐμοῦ λέγων Δεῦρο, δείξω σοι τὸ κρίμα τῆς πόρνης τῆς μεγάλης τῆς καθημένης ἐπὶ ὑδάτων πολλῶν,

2. μεθ' ης ἐπόρνευσαν οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς, καὶ ἐμεθύσθησαν οἱ κατοικοῦντες τὴν γῆν ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς.

1.  $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} s$ ...  $\delta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ . One of the angels of the Bowls acts as the angel of interpretation. The words  $\kappa a \lambda \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} s$ ...  $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \omega$ σοι recur in xxi. 9. On  $\epsilon \lambda a \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau' \bar{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{\upsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$  see iv. 1 *n*.  $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \omega$  σοι has already occurred in iv. I;  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\upsilon} \rho o$ , as we have seen, recurs in xxi. 9.

τὸ κρίμα τῆς πόρνης . . . πορνείας αὐτῆς. These words form the title that should be prefixed to chap. xviu. They prepare us for a vision of the judgment of the Great Harlot, but there is none such in xvii. 3-18: only a prediction of it in xvii. 16. But in xviii. we have an elaborate vision of this judgment, and it is therefore to this chapter that these words form a title. And lest there should be any doubt on this head we find that the greater part of the title xvii. 2 is repeated in xviii. 3, though the order of the clauses is reversed and the diction slightly changed, and that the words τὸ κρίμα reappear as ἡ κρίσις in reference to her in xviii. 10. Cf. also xvii. 8, 20. But since the Great Harlot has not hitherto been mentioned, another vision is necessary to her identification. In this vision (xvii. 3-6) she appears riding the Beast with seven heads and ten horns—elements which are duly interpreted in xvii. 9, 12.

τὸ κρίμα τῆς πόρνης. The Harlot is the city of Rome. This word is applied to Nineveh by Nahum (iii. 4), and to Tyre by Isaiah (xxiii. 16, 17). In 5 it is named Babylon. The doom of Babylon has already been pronounced twice, xiv. 8, xvi. 19. Rome is already known by this name in 1 Pet. v. 13; 2 Bar. lxvii. 7, "The King of Babylon will arise who has now destroyed Zion"; and the Sibylline Oracles, v. 143, 159.

τῆς καθημένης ἐπὶ δδάτων πολλῶν. This is an independent translation of Jer. li. (LXX—xxviii.) 13, דאבנרוי על מים רבים. The LXX has here κατασκηνοῦντας (κατασκηνοῦσα, Q) ἐφ ὕδασι πολλοῖς. κατασκηνοῦν or σκηνοῦν is the natural rendering of שכן here, but our author reserves this word for dwellers in heaven : cf. vii. 15, xii. 12, xiii. 6. Rome cannot be rightly described as "sitting on many waters," but the description of Babylon, which stood for the personification of wickedness in the O.T., is here simply taken The idea of security may underlie the phrase: Babylon over. felt safe owing to the many waters on which it was situated-the Euphrates which flowed through it and the morasses and canals by which it was surrounded (see Cornill on Jer. li. 13). Yet this fact that Rome did not sit on many waters was a difficulty to a later writer and led to the gloss in xvii. 15, that the many waters are many peoples. Bousset thinks that a still older tradition lies behind this figure of a woman seated on many waters, and compares Sibyll. Or. iii. 75-77, v. 18, viii. 200. Gunkel (Schopfung, 361) finds in the "many waters" a reference to the abyss which was the dwelling of Tiamat. But, however this may be, there was no consciousness of the Babylonian myth in the mind of the writer.

2. ἐπόρνευσαν οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς. Cf. xviii. 3, 9 for the recurrence of this diction. The πορνεία of which the kings of the earth are guilty is set down to the account of all the nations in xiv. 8. They have all shared in the vices and idolatries of Rome. With ἐμεθύσθησαν cf. Jer. xxviii. (li.) 7, ποτήριον χρυσοῦν Βαβυλῶν ἐν χειρὶ κυρίου, μεθύσκον πῶσαν τὴν γῆν. It points to the result of πεπότικεν in xiv. 8 : cf. also xviii. 3. οἱ κατοικοῦντες τὴν γῆν. On this construction, found here only, see note on xi. 10, and § 4 of the Introd. to xiui, vol. i. p. 336. On οἶνου πορνείας cf. xiv. 8, xviii. 3. We should observe that the relative construction is broken off in the sentence, καὶ ἐμεθύσθησαν . . . aὐτῆς. This is good Hebrew. It is also good Greek : see W.-M. sect. xxii. p. 186.

It is noteworthy that in the two clauses  $\mu\epsilon\theta'$  is  $\epsilon \pi \delta \rho \nu \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \ldots \gamma \eta$ 's and  $\kappa \alpha i \epsilon \mu\epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \ldots \alpha \upsilon \tau \eta$ 's of this verse and in xviii. 3, the same thought and largely the same language recur, but in the reverse order.

8. καὶ ἀπήνεγκέν με εἰς ἔρημον ἐν πνεύματι. καὶ είδον γυναῖκα καθημένην ἐπὶ θηρίον κόκκινον, γέμοντα ὀνόματα βλασφημίας, ἔχων κεφαλὰς ἐπτὰ καὶ κέρατα δέκα.

This clause introduces a new vision. See note on iv. 2. The Seer has the vision of Babylon in the wilderness: of the Heavenly Jerusalem from a lofty mountain top, xxi. 10, where see note. The contrast is significant. Many scholars think that the wilderness as the scene of the vision was suggested by Isa. xxi. 1, where to the vision of the fall of Babylon is affixed the heading, "The oracle of the wilderness of the sea" (מַשָּׁא מִדבּרִיָם). Here the LXX has simply to opaµa tips ἐρήμου.

is undoubtedly the Roman Empire. On its power the Harlot reposes. The scarlet colour indicates the luxury and ostentatious magnificence of the empire, and refers probably not to the Beast itself but to its covering. Swete quotes Juv. iii. 283 sq., "Cavet hunc, quem coccina laena Vitari iubet et comitum longissimus ordo." The word is a rendering in the LXX of אָלָעָה , הוֹלְעָה שׁני, הָיָנָי,

In this vision of the Harlot we may have an indirect contrast to the woman clothed with the sun in xii. 1-6. There is no real contradiction between the description of the woman in 1 as sitting on many waters and here sitting on the beast. The former is a traditional epithet of Babylon used descriptively by the angel, the latter represents the actual appearance in the vision.

γέμοντα δνόματα βλασφημιάς. The construction is κατὰ σύνεσιν. In xiii. I names of blasphemy are only on the seven heads, *i.e.* the seven deified emperors. Here they cover the entire body, and may refer to the innumerable deities of her own and subject countries which Rome recognized. γέμειν c. acc. once in xvii. 4<sup>d</sup>, but always elsewhere in Apoc. c. gen. iv. 6, 8, v. 8, xv. 7, xvii. 4<sup>d</sup>, xxi. 9.

έχων κεφαλàs έπτά. Probably the original text. On the κεφαλàs έπτά see note on xiii. 1.

4. περιβεβλημένη . . . μαργαρίταις. Almost the same phrase recurs in xviii. 16.

πορφυροῦν καὶ κόκκινον. "Purple and scarlet." These colours symbolize the luxury and splendour of imperial Rome. The two colours are nearly alled, for the  $\chi \lambda a \mu i \delta a$  κοκκίνην of Matt. xxvii. 28 is called πορφύρα in Mark xv. 17, 20, and  $i \mu a \tau i \sigma r$ πορφυροῦν in John xix. 2, 5. But the colours are distinct. See Ex. xxv. 4, xxvi. 1.

κεχρυσωμένη χρυσίω καὶ λίθω τιμίω. λίθω τιμίω is generally said to depend on κεχρυσωμένη by a zeugma, "from which the reader must mentally supply some such participle as κεκοσμημένη" (Swete). But χρυσοῦν appears to be used in a loose way in the LXX as = "to cover or adorn"; for χρυσοῦν χρυσίω (2 Chron iii. 10), καταχρυσοῦν χρυσίω (Εx. xxv. 11, 13; 2 Chron. iii. 4, ix. 17), περιχρυσοῦν χρυσίω (1 Kings x. 18) are renderings of ΞΥ (= to overlay) when followed by ユָהָב Similarly χρυσοῦν χρυσίω of הָםָ followed by גָהָב Chron. iii. 7, 8. When followed by היד is actually rendered καταχαλκωμένα χαλκῶ in 2 Chron. iv. 9, and when followed immediately by τοι μα Chron in 6, by κοσμεῖν. Hence we should render κεχρυσωμένη here by "covered."

χρυσίψ . . . και μαργαρίταις. Cf. T. Jud. xiii. 5, και εκόσ

μησεν αὐτὴν ἐν χρυσίω καὶ μαργαρίταις. These words are said of the father of Bathshua who so adorned her in order to seduce Judah.

ξχουσα ποτήριος χρυσοῦν ἐν τῆ χειρὶ αὐτῆς. These words are modelled on Jer. xxviii. (li.) 7, ποτήριος χρυσοῦν Βαβυλῶν ἐν χειρὶ κυρίου, μεθύσκον πῶσαν τὴν γῆν ἀπὸ τοῦ οἶνου αὐτῆς ἐπίοσαν ἔθιη.

γέμον βδελυγμάτων καὶ τὰ ἀκάθαρτα. Here καὶ τὰ ἀκάθαρτα is either to be taken with R.V. as "even the unclean things of her fornication," or as governed by ἔχουσα, just as the words that follow—καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον—are dependent on this participle. Cf. Cebes, Tab. V., ὁρậs οὖν παρὰ τὴν πύλην θρόνον τινὰ κείμενον κατὰ τὸν τόπον . . ἐφ᾽ οὖ κάθηται γυνή, πεπλασμένη τῷ ἦθει καὶ πιθανὴ φαινομένη, ἡ ἐν τŷ χειρὶ ἔχει ποτήριόν τι; ὁρῶ, ἀλλὰ τίς ἐστιν αὖτη; ἔφην. ᾿Απάτη καλεῖται, φησίν, ἡ πάντας τοὺς ἀνθρώπους πλανῶσα. εἶτα τί πράττει αὖτη; τοὺς εἰσπορευομένους εἰς τὸν βίον ποτίζει τὴν ἑαυτῆς δύναμιν. τοῦτο δὲ τί ἐστι τὸ ποτόν; πλάνος, ἔφη, καὶ ἄγνοια (from Jerram's text).

5. καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον αὐτῆς ὅνομα γεγραμμένον. Roman harlots wore a label with their names on their brows: cf. Seneca, *Controv.* i. 2 (quoted by Wetstein), "Stetisti puella in lupanari . . . stetisti cum meretricibus . . . nomen tuum pependit a fronte"; Juv. vi. 122 sq., "Tunc nuda papillis Constitit auratis titulum mentita Lyciscae."

μυστήριον. This word indicates that the following name is not to be taken literally, but to be interpreted πνευματικῶs (xi. 8). This can be done only by the initiated. Babylon is the mystical name for Rome. Many scholars take it as part of the inscription.

ή μήτηρ τῶν † πορνῶν †. As we see from the critical note, the Vg. and Prim. read πορνῶν, i.e. πορνειῶν. This is not improbably the original reading. At all events it forms an excellent parallel to  $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v \gamma \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega v$ . If the text is derived from a Hebrew source, then πορνῶν = rhif, which is a wrong punctuation for rang = πορνείαs.<sup>1</sup> Thus Rome is the mother of harlotry and the world's idolatries. With this statement we might compare Tacitus, Ann. xv. 44, where he speaks of Rome as the city "quo cuncta undique atrocia aut pudenda confluent celebranturque."

6. καὶ εἶδον τὴν γυναῖκα μεθύουσαν ἐκ τοῦ αίματος τῶν ἀγίων καὶ ἐκ τοῦ αίματος τῶν μαρτύρων Ἰησοῦ.

The text refers to the Neronic persecution described so vividly by Tac. Ann. xv. 44, "Igitur primum correpti qui fatebantur, deinde indicio eorum multitudo ingens haud perinde in crimine incendii quam odio humani generis convicti sunt. Et pereuntibus addita ludibria, ut ferarum tergis contecti laniatu canum

<sup>1</sup> It is noteworthy that Wellhausen mistranslates  $\pi o \rho r \hat{\omega} r$  as "harlotries." It was a right instinct, however, that led him to this mistranslation.

VOL. II.--5

interirent aut crucibus affixi, aut flammandi, atque ubi defecisset dies, in usum nocturni luminis urerentur." This verse, either in part or as a whole, is from the hand of our author, who thus gives a Christian character to an originally Jewish source and transforms an oracle of Vespasian's date into a prophecy of the destruction of Rome in the last days (see Introd. § 5).

μεθύουσαν έκ τοῦ αίματος. This conception of a nation drunk, not with wine but with blood, was familiar to the literature of Thus Josephus (Bell. v. 8. 2) writes of his the ancient world. infatuated countrymen besieged by the Romans : eri yap maphy έσθίειν έκ των δημοσίων κακών και το της πόλεως alua πίνειν. The metaphor is also found in a fragment of Euripides preserved in Philo, Leg. Alleg. iii. 71, εμπλήσθητί μου | πίνουσα κελαινόν αίμα: in Cic. Phil. ii. 29, "gustaras civilem sanguinem vel potius exsorbueras"; Suet. Tib. 59, "Fastidit vinum quia jam sitit iste cruorem : Tam bibit nunc avide quam bibit ante merum," and in a form more closely related to our text in Plin. H.N. xiv. 22, 28, "(Antonius) ebrius jam sanguine civium." But in the LXX we find the best analogies : cf. Isa. xxxiv. 5, inclusion . . . h mayanpa μου, xxxiv. 7, μεθυσθήσεται ή γη ἀπὸ τοῦ αίματος : also li. 21; Jer. xxvi. (xlvi.) 10.

kai ék roî aïµaros rûr µaprúper 'Iŋroî. This clause is regarded by the majority of critics as an addition to the original Jewish source. If it is from the hand of our author, his intention seems to have been to give his source a Christian character, though this was hardly necessary when once the source was incorporated in his work. If the äyioi are Christian saints, the two clauses are tautologous. The äyios who is martyred is of necessity a µáprus. On this word see note on ii. 13. It is possible, but improbable, that the äyioi represent the Jewish martyrs who fell in the war of 66-70. This was the meaning of the clause in the original source.

7. καὶ ἐθαύμασα ἰδῶν αὐτὴν θαῦμα μέγα. καὶ ἐἶπέν μοι ὅ äγγελος Διὰ τί ἐθαύμασας; ἐγῶ ἐρῶ σοι τὸ μυστήριον τῆς γυναικὸς καὶ τοῦ θηρίου τοῦ βαστάζοντος αὐτήν, τοῦ ἔχοντος τὰς ἑπτὰ κεφαλὰς καὶ τὰ δέκα κέρατα. The Seer is naturally astonished at the fearful vision he has just seen, just as the inhabitants of the earth will be astonished when they see the reality, xvii. 8. In xvii. 1-2 he was promised a vision of the *judgment* of the Great Harlot. This vision is given in xviii., but since the Great Harlot had not heretofore been mentioned, the mystery of the Great Harlot is beheld by the Seer in a vision, xvii. 3-6, and interpreted in xvii. 18, which originally followed on xvii. 7. See Introd. to this Chap. § 6.

For a linguistic parallel to το μυστήριον τῆς γυναικός cf. i. 20; and for a like dialogue between the angel and the Seer, cf vii.

13-14. We should observe here that the angel promises an interpretation of the woman and the Beast with seven heads and ten horns, and in this order. But the mystery of the woman is not explained till xvii. 18, and the angel at once proceeds to set forth the meaning of the Beast. Our author is here using sources, and has freely recast them to suit his own purpose. In our text the Beast is Nero redivivus, but in the sources used by our author we have seen that this was not so (see Introd. § 4). In the source behind xvii. 3-10 the Beast was originally the Roman Empire, as it still is in xvii. 3 (see note in loc.). In the second source, xvii. 11-17, the Beast was obviously Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian kings in order to destroy Rome. But our text as it stands represents the expectation of Nero returning as a demonic king from the abyss. This interpretation is indubitably set forth in xvii. 8, which is a recast of the older tradition identifying the Beast with the Empire, and in xvii. 14 which comes directly from our author.

8-18. An interpretation of the vision, in the course of which the older materials of the source are recast with additions in order to depict the expectation of the Neronic Antichrist who was to come up from the abyss.

8. τὸ θηρίον ὃ είδες ἦν καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν καὶ μέλλει ἀναβαίνειν ἐκ τῆς ἀβύσσου, καὶ εἰς ἀπώλειαν ὑπάγει καὶ θαυμασθήσονται οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, ῶν οὐ γέγραπται τὸ ὄνομα ἐπὶ τὸ βιβλίον τῆς ζωῆς ἀπὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου, βλεπόντων τὸ θηρίον ὅτι ἦν καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν καὶ πάρεσται.

Thus the verse ran originally:  $\tau \delta \theta \eta \rho \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \ldots$  (original lost) και θαυμασθήσονται οι κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, ῶν οὐ γέγραπται τὸ ὄνομα ἐπὶ τὸ βιβλίον τῆς ζωῆς ἀπὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου, βλεπόντων τὸ θηρίον. In the original form of this verse the Beast symbolized the Empire, as it still does in xvii. 3, and in xiii. 3–10 originally. But here our author has omitted the description of the Beast which came after είδες, and substituted ἦν καὶ οὖκ ἔστιν ... ὑπάγει, and again after βλεπόντων τὸ θηρίον added ὅτι ἦν ... πάρεσται in the place of quite a different clause that stood in the source, as we shall see presently.

But not only has some description of the Beast at the beginning of this verse been displaced by the additions of our author, but something has also been lost or displaced at the close of the verse by another of his additions. For, as it stands, 8 simply states that the faithless inhabitants of the earth shall marvel when they behold the Beast. But there is nothing surprising in this fact; for the Seer marvels in the preceding verse. Hence, since the context implies that they will do something which would be the natural outcome of their ungodliness, we conclude that a clause to the effect that they would worship him has been dislodged by the addition "which was and is not and shall come." Now, if we turn to xiii.  $3^{\circ}$ , 8 we find the very clause we are in search of, kai *προσκυνήσουσιν αύτον πάντε*. When this clause is restored, the mystery of the beast in the source is sufficiently disclosed. The Beast is clearly the Roman Empire. It is on the Beast, *i.e.* the Empire, that the woman, *i.e.* Rome, is seated. But the changes introduced by our author have transformed the significance of the Beast. The Beast now means the demonic Nero returning from the abyss, and it is clearly the intention of our author that II should be taken in this sense.

In the Introd. to xiii., vol. i. p. 337, I have, I think, proved that this verse is a doublet of xiii.  $3^\circ$ , 8—and that both are Greek versions of the same Hebrew original. Since xvii. 8 in some form belonged to xvii. 3-10, it is from this Hebrew source that xiii.  $3^\circ$ , 8 is derived. When our author incorporated his Greek version of this source in xiii.  $3^\circ$ , 8 he added  $\tau o\hat{v}$  apviou  $\tau o\hat{v}$ isopayµévou. Bousset is of opinion that a redactor in close dependence on xiii. 8, or the same writer who wrote xiii. (in the opinion of Bousset our author), composed xvii. 8; but all the evidence when closely examined points in a different direction.

βλεπόντων where we expect  $\beta\lambda$ έποντες may be due to  $\omega\nu$ , or to a not unnatural rendering of  $\Box$ .

9-10. ώδε δ νοῦς ὁ ἔχων σοφίαν ai ἐπτὰ κεφαλαὶ ἐπτὰ [ὄρη εἰσίν, ὅπου ἡ γυνὴ κάθηται ἐπ' αὐτῶν. 10. καὶ] βασιλεῖς [ἐπτά] εἰσιν οἱ πέντε ἔπεσαν, ὁ εἶς ἔστιν, ὁ ἄλλος οῦπω ἦλθεν, καὶ ὅταν ἔλθη ὀλίγον αὐτὰν δεῖ μεῖναι.

9.  $\delta \delta = 0$  vois  $\kappa \tau \lambda$  = "here is needed the intelligence which is wisdom." It relates to what follows, as in xiii. 18, and comes from the hand of our author.

δρη ... αδτῶν καί.
 This is an obvious addition to the text, but it appears to be a very ancient one and may have gone back to the Johannine school, as its Hebraic character shows:*i.e.*δπου ... αὐτῶν. Bousset (p. 416) suggests that our author himself made this addition, when he found that he could discover no historical interpretation of xvii. 10-11. This addition, however, is wholly unsuitable; for the seven heads do not belong to the woman (*i.e.*Rome) but to the Beast. But the gloss*interprets*  the heads as if they were an adjunct of the woman, whereas they belong to the Beast. This absolute misconception of the text is fatal to the genuineness of these words. Again our author in the genuine sections uses  $\kappa a \theta \eta \sigma \theta a$  only in the participle (see note on iii. 21) and  $\kappa a \theta l \zeta e w$  in the finite tenses and infinitive. But there is another objection; for it is clear that, of the two conflicting explanations given in immediate connection, only one can stand—in this case the latter. The idea conveyed of the gloss was a familiar one. "The city of seven hills" was a familiar expression in classical writers: cf. Horace, Carm. Sec. 7, "Di, quibus septem placuere colles"; Virg. Aen. vi. 782, "Septemque una sibi muro circumdabit arces," Georg. ii. 534; Martial, iv. 64, "septem dominos montes"; Cicero, Ad Att. vi. 5,  $\xi\xi$  aoreos  $\xi\pi ra\lambda \delta \phi \sigma w$ : Propertius, iii. 10. See Wetstein in loc.

10. βασιλεîs [έπτά<sup>1</sup>] κτλ. For βασιλεîs as applied to Roman emperors see 1 Pet. ii. 13, 17; 1 Tim. ii. 2. We have here a very clear intimation of the date of this source. Five emperors have already fallen, one is, and another is yet to come. This source was probably written, therefore, under the sixth emperor. Before we can ascertain who this emperor was, we must decide whether we shall include or exclude in our reckoning Galba, Otho, and Vitellius, and with what emperor we shall begin. First of all we may safely exclude the above three emperors from our consideration. Suetonius (Vesp. i.) describes their reigns as "rebellio trium principum." Next, though Suetonius, Josephus, and 4 Ezra xi. 12, 13, xii. 14, 15 (see Box, p. 262 sq.), begin with Cæsar, it seems clear here that our text begins with Augustus, as does Tacitus. The first five emperors are Augustus, Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius, Nero. The emperor who "is" is Vespasian, 69-79 A.D., and the one who "is not yet come," Titus, 79-81. Titus thus fulfilled the prediction. orav έλθη όλίγον κτλ.

örar iλθη  $\deltaλiγον$  κτλ. The ground for this expectation is most probably that assigned by Wellhausen (*Analyse*, 28). "Titus is assumed to be the coming seventh and last: he as the destroyer of Jerusalem will be overtaken by vengeance after a short reign."<sup>2</sup>

But what are we to make of this reckoning in its present

<sup>1</sup> On the order of the numerals see note on viii. 2. When the gloss  $\delta \rho \eta$ ...  $\epsilon \pi' a \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i$  was incorporated in the text,  $\epsilon \pi \tau a$  was of necessity added after  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} s$ 

<sup>2</sup> Another explanation of this prophecy is that the writer of this source knew of the hopeless condition of Titus' health: cf. Suetonius, *Titus*, 7; Dio Cas Jus, lxvi. 26. 2; Plutarch, *De tuenda sanitate praecepta*, c. 3, p. 123 D (quoted from Bousset). Another is that there was a traditional view that the empire must have seven emperors before its destruction. As the sixth was now living, the Seer necessarily predicts a seventh. context? Our author has taken over this source and that which follows, but he is writing in the reign of Domitian. If he took xvii. 10 seriously, Domitian must have been for him the sixth emperor, and he could only have justified this view, as Bousset points out (p. 416), by a very artificial method of reckoning, *i.e.* by beginning with Galba, the successor of Nero: Galba, Otho, Vitellus, Vespasian, Titus, Domitian. But we may safely reject this reckoning as impossible, and assume that here, as frequently elsewhere, our author has taken over material that in some one or more respects served his purpose, though in others it was unsuitable. Owing to its unintelligibleness from the historic point of view, some scribe added a geographical explanation in xvii. 9.

11-17. On the source behind these verses see Introd. § 5.

11. καί τό θηρίον, ό ην καί οὐκ ἔστιν, καί αὐτὸς ὄγδοός ἐστιν καί ἐκ των ἐπτά ἐστιν, καί εἰς ἀπώλειαν ὑπάγει.

This verse presents some difficulty. We have already sought to show (Introd. § 4-5) that xvii. 11-17 is a new source used by our author, referring to the return of Nero from the East at the head of the Parthian kings. Only the latter part of this source is preserved in our text, and this is edited and brought up to date by the addition of 8 m και ούκ εστιν and και εις απώλειαν υπάγει in xvii. 11 (see similar addition in xvii. 8), and other changes subsequently. In the original source the Beast was the living Nero returning from the East: in our text the Beast has become Nero redivivus, as in xvii, 8. This is the view accepted by such scholars as Ewald, De Wette, Hilgenfeld, Vischer, Völter, Spitta, Holtzmann, Weizsacker, Bousset. On the other hand, it has been maintained recently by J. Weiss and Swete and Moffatt that the Beast is to be identified with Domitian. Moffatt regards this verse as "a parenthesis added by John to bring the source up to date . . . since the death of Titus had not been followed by the appearance of the Neroantichrist" . . . "Domitian, the eighth emperor, under whom he writes, is identified with the true Neronic genius of the empire." (Cf. Eus. H.E. iii. 20; Tert. Apol. 5: "portio Neronis de crudelitate." De Pallio, 4, Subneronem. To these we might add Juv. iv. 37 sq., "Calvo serviret Roma Neroni"; Mart. xi. 33, etc. Moffatt seeks to explain the words in two into by showing that Domitian was closely associated with the imperial power already (Tac. Hist. iii. 84, iv. 2, 3; cf. Jos. Bell. iv. 11. 4, etc.), and points out that whereas it was said of the Neronic Antichrist in xvii 8. άναβαίνειν έκ της άβύσσου, no such expression is used here. Thus Moffatt recognizes the true Nero redivivus in xvii. 8, 14, and a second Nero in the person of Domitian in xvii. 11, and maintains that they are not to be identified. That the juxtaposition of Domitian as a second Nero and Nero redivivus is awkward, Moffatt admits, but says it is "inevitable under the circumstances." But his arguments are unconvincing. The  $\delta \bar{\eta}\nu \kappa a \delta \delta \kappa \ \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu$  taken together with  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \delta \nu \ \bar{\epsilon}\pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$  admits of only one interpretation. The person so described "was and is not" ( $\bar{\eta}\nu \kappa a \delta \delta \kappa \ \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu$ ). But Domitian  $\epsilon \sigma\tau i\nu$ . Of him our author cannot say  $\delta \delta \kappa \ \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu$ . But Domitian  $\epsilon \sigma\tau i\nu$ . Of him our author cannot say  $\delta \delta \kappa \ \bar{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu$ . Moreover, the pre-existence ascribed to Domitian in  $\delta \ \bar{\eta}\nu$  is also inexplicable. Nor can he in any intelligible sense be described as  $\epsilon \kappa \ \tau \delta \nu \ \bar{\epsilon}\pi\tau \dot{\alpha}$ . Finally, if we interpret xvii. 12–17 of the Parthian invasion, there is no ground in comparative religion or history for representing Domitian as in any sense its leader. The addition of  $\kappa a \ \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon a \ \delta a \beta \delta i \sigma \sigma i$  has the same force as the like expressions in xiii. 3, 12, 14.

εἰς ἀπώλειαν ὑπάγει. The issue of the impending conflict is certain. The Antichrist, though he thinks he is accomplishing his own purposes, is accomplishing the purposes of God, and is all the time marching to his own destruction, which is also the purpose of God.

12-13, 17-16, 14. The destruction of Rome by Nero *redivivus* and his Parthian allies (12-13, 17, 16), and the destruction of the latter by the Lamb (14).

12. καὶ τὰ δέκα κέρατα ἁ είδες δέκα βασιλεῖς εἰσίν, οἴτινες βασιλείαν οὖπω ἕλαβον, ἀλλὰ ἐξουσίαν ὡς βασιλεῖς μίαν ὥραν λαμβάνουσιν μετὰ τοῦ θηρίου.

The kings are symbolized by the horns, and are thus differentiated from the emperors who are symbolized by the heads of the Beast. Who are these kings? Various answers have been given. 1. They are said to be unknown powers belonging to the future which as confederates of the returning emperor will arise and overthrow Rome (Weizsacker and Holtzmann). Swete's interpretation belongs partly to this class. "The ten kings . . . represent forces which arising out of the empire itself ... would turn their arms against Rome and bring about her downfall." 2. The governors of the senatorial provinces who held office for a year (µίαν ώραν). So Ewald, Volkmar, Hilgenfeld, Hausrath, Mommsen, B. Weiss, Briggs, Selwyn. Bousset states that the expressions την δύναμιν και την έξουσίαν αυτών τώ θηρίω διδόασιν, xvii. 13, and the parallels in xvii. 17 are against this view; but this is not necessarily so. These governors possessed a certain delegated authority (ώς βασιλεῖς), and only for a year (µíav upar). But again this interpretation has not the support of xvi. 12 or of the universal expectation that was then current in the East and in the Roman Empire. The phrase δοῦναι τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτῶν τῷ θηρίω shows that these have actual kingdoms, and so the text could not apply to Roman officials. 3. The

Parthian satraps (Eichhorn, De Wette, Bleek, Bousset, J. Weiss, Wellhausen, Scott, Moffatt). According to xvi. 12, the Parthians had several kings or satraps. It is stated that there were as many as fourteen, but the number ten here is not to be pressed. According to the current belief of the generation that followed the death of Nero, it was held that Nero had escaped to the East, and that he would return against Rome at the head of the Parthian hosts. That this belief was taken seriously is proved by the fact that three pretenders appeared between 69-88 A.D. under Nero's name as claimants of the imperial throne. For the evidence see App. to this chapter (p. 80). Since this belief had firmly established itself both in the Gentile and Hellenistic Jewish worlds within the first decade after Nero's death, since, further, it is attested actually in our text in xvi. 12. there can be little doubt that the source in xvii. 12-17 is to be explained thereby. But in the present context, in which Nero is a demon from the abyss, it is possible that these kings are, as Bousset suggests, regarded by our Seer as demonic powers.

δέκα βασιλεῖς. Cf. Dan. vii. 24, καὶ τὰ δέκα κέρατα αὐτοῦ δέκα βασιλεῖς ἀναστήσονται (Theod.).

βασιλείαν οῦπω ἐλαβον. These words referring to the Parthian satraps are intelligible from the standpoint of the world empire of Rome. They hold a quasi-kingly power (ώς βασιλείς) for a brief span (μίαν ὥραν), since the Antichrist's power will speedily be brought to an end.

13. οδτοι μίαν γνώμην έχουσιν, και την δύναμιν και έξουσίαν αότῶν τῷ θηρίφ διδόασιν. The Greek structure of this verse is still more manifest than that which precedes.

μίαν γνώμην έχουσιν—a good Greek idiom. Cf. Thuc. ii. 86, γνώμην έχοντες . . . μη έκλιπείν, Herod. i. 207; ii. 56, etc.

The unanimity of the Parthian kings is explained in xvii. 17.

15. [καὶ «ἶπεν μοι Τὰ ὕδατα ἁ «ἰδες, οῦ ἡ πόρνη κάθηται, λαοὶ καὶ ὅχλοι «ἰσὶν καὶ ἔθνη καὶ γλῶσσαι.]

This is a gloss explanatory of xvii. 1, where the Harlot City is said to sit initial  $i\delta\delta d\tau \omega \tau \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu$ . But since it was not Rome but the literal Babylon that was so situated, the glosser, after the analogy of Isa. viii. 7, Jer. xlvii. 2, interprets the many waters here as referring to the peoples over which Rome ruled. In xvii. 1 the phrase initial  $i\delta\delta d\tau \omega \tau \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu$  is simply taken over from Jer. See note *in loc.* The style is not that of our author. He never uses ov but  $o\pi \sigma v :$  cf. ii. 13 (*bis*), xi. 8, xii. 6, 14, xx. 10. Nor is the enumeration  $\lambda aol \kappa \tau \lambda$  that of our author. See note on v. 9. He uses  $\phi v \lambda ai$  instead of  $\delta \chi \lambda \omega$ . Again we should expect  $\kappa a \theta i \zeta \epsilon i$  in our author and not  $\kappa a \theta \eta \tau a \epsilon$ . See note on 9 and on iii. 21. 17. δ γάρ θεός έδωκεν είς τάς καρδίας αύτων ποιήσαι την γνώμην αύτοῦ, [καὶ ποιήσαι μίαν γνώμην] καὶ δοῦναι την βασιλείαν αὐτῶν τῷ θηρίῳ, ἄχρι τελεσθήσονται οἱ λόγοι τοῦ θεοῦ.

This verse explains the remarkable unanimity of these kings. It was due to God, not to any mere earthly policy, and it would last till the oracles of the prophets regarding Rome were accomplished, and the Antichrist and the kings met in the last great battle with the Lamb, xvii. 14. Even the wrath of men is made to praise Him. There is no real dualism in the universe. The very powers of evil ultimately subserve the purposes of God and are then destroyed. (Cf. xvii. 14.) Since the Beast, which in the source meant the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthians, has become in our author the demonic Nero, it is probable that his attendant hosts are also to be regarded as of demonic origin.

[καὶ ποιῆσαι μίαν γνώμην.] I have, with Alford, bracketed this clause as an early gloss from xvii. 13. It is superfluous after ποιῆσαι τὴν γνώμην αὐτοῦ, which is really explained by καὶ δοῦναι κτλ.

releage ήσονται οἱ λόγοι τοῦ θεοῦ. In their present context these prophecies must relate not only to the destruction of Rome by Nero and the Parthians as in the source, but to the overthrow of the power of the Beast and his Parthian allies.

16. καὶ τὰ δέκα κέρατα ἅ είδες καὶ τὸ θηρίον, οῦτοι μισήσουσιν τὴν πόρνην, καὶ ἠρημωμένην ποιήσουσιν αὐτὴν καὶ γυμνήν, καὶ τὰς σάρκας αὐτῆς φάγονται, καὶ αὐτὴν κατακαύσουσιν ἐν πυρί.

I have restored this verse to the place which it had originally in the source and in our author, *i.e.* after xvii. 17, which in its turn followed immediately on xvii. 13. The Harlot City was to be destroyed by the forces of evil themselves. As the Beast is demonic and the horns are conceived as part of him, these kings appear also to have a demonic character in their present context.

The author of this source must have had Ezek. xxiii. 25-29 before him, but not the LXX. He reproduces the thought but not the form of the Hebrew. Thus μισήσουσιν την πόρνην is a free rendering of xxiii. 29, רעשו אורך בשנאה, which the LXX translates literally. Next with ήρημωμένην ποιήσουσιν αὐτην καὶ γυμνήν cf. xxiii. 26, רעשון אורעבורן ארבנרין (ἐκδύσουσίν σε τὸν ἰματισμόν σου, and xxiii. 29, ראבל באש (ἐκδύσουσίν σε τὸν ματακαύσουσιν ἐν πυρί cf. xxiii. 25, ראבל באש All these statements are made by Ezekiel with regard to Jerusalem, which at one moment is spoken of as a woman stripped of her garments and left naked, and at another as a city burnt with fire. The writer here uses the same figures of Rome.

**η**ρημωμένην ποιήσουσιν. For construction, see Introd. § 3, p. 67.

τὰς σάρκας αδτῆς φάγονται: cf. xix. 18, Ps. xxvii. 2, τοῦ φαγεῖν τὰς σάρκας μου. M1c. iii. 3, κατέφαγον τὰς σάρκας τοῦ λαοῦ μου: 2 Kings ix. 36, καταφάγονται οἱ κύνες τὰς σάρκας ἰεζάβελ· σάρκες denotes the fleshy parts of the body.

**κατακαύσουσιν ἐν** πυρί: cf. xviii. 8; Jer. vii. 31; Nah. iii 15. These words can only refer to the city whom the woman represents. Death by fire was not the punishment of the harlot, unless she were a priest's daughter : cf. Lev. xxi. 9.

14. οῦτοι μετὰ τοῦ ἀρνίου πολεμήσουσιν, καὶ τὸ ἀρνίον νικήσει αὐτούς, ὅτι κύριος κυρίων ἐστὶν καὶ βασιλεὺς βασιλέων, καὶ οἱ μετ' αὐτοῦ κλητοὶ καὶ ἐκλεκτοὶ καὶ πιστοί.

This verse is manifestly added by our author to the source he is using. But this verse could not have been inserted in its present position by our author; for it treats of the destruction of the forces appointed by God for Rome's destruction, which they effect in 16. In xvii. 17, 16, according to the MSS text, the ten horns and the Beast are represented as executing a divine judgment on the Harlot City and as destroying Rome after they had already themselves been destroyed (xvii. 14). Hence this verse belongs rightly after 16. I have restored it accordingly. With μετά του άρνίου πολεμήσουσιν . . . νικήσει αυτούς compare xiii. 7, which is from his hand. For κύριος . . . βασιλέων cf. xix. 16. The subject of this vision, *i.e.* the Parthian kings and their destruction (12-13, 17, 16, 14), has been in part referred to in xvi. 12, and is regarded as already accomplished in xix. 13, where the words περιβεβλημένος ιμάτιον βεβαμμένον αίματι speak of the vesture of the Divine Warrior as already dipped in blood (i.e. in that of the Parthian kings) before the Messianic campaign against the kings of the earth in xix. 11-21.

The concluding line describes the armies who followed the Lamb, *i.e.* "the called, elect, and faithful." That these should crush hostile nations we learn from ii. 26, 27, and their descent from heaven to do so is seen in a vision in xix. 14. Hence they are a martyr host of warriors. It was a well-known Jewish expectation that the righteous would take part in the destruction of the wicked : cf. I Enoch xxxviii. 5, xc. 19, xci. 12 for the period of the sword, when the wicked are given into the hands of the righteous, *op. cit.* xcv. 7, xcvi. 1, xcviii. 12, xcix. 4, 6; Wisd. iii. 8. The martyrs are not here engaged on a mission of revenge, but in the fulfilment of a righteous retribution.

74

In xv. 4 the vision—which is in reality a prophecy—shows that the thought of revenge has wholly passed from the minds of the glorified martyrs. But the nations there referred to are those that are contemporary with the Millennial Reign. See the third note further on.

κύριος κυρίων... βασιλέων. This title recurs in xix. 16. In both instances it is used of the Son. The combination of these titles as applied to God is found first in 1 Enoch ix. 4, δ θεὸς τῶν θεῶν καὶ (ἱ) κύριος τῶν κυρίων καὶ ὁ βασιλεὺς τῶν βασιλευόντων. (So the two Greek versions in Syncellus, whereas the Ethiopic implies βασιλέων for βασιλευόντων.) It is worth observing that I Tim. vi. 15 has βασιλευὸς τῶν βασιλευόντων. These titles occur often separately as applied to God, κύριος τῶν κυρίων, Deut. x. 17; ἱ βασιλεὺς τῶν βασιλέων : I Enoch lxiii. 4, lxxxiv. 2; 2 Macc. xiii. 4. See Bousset's *Rel. d. Judenthums*, 306.

The use of such titles in reference to Marduk in Babylonian literature is noted by Zimmern, K.A. T.<sup>8</sup> 373 sq., 390. Marduk is actually named "Lord of Lords, King of Kings." "King of Kings" was a designation of the Babylonian and Persian kings : cf. Ezek. xxvi. 7 ; Ezra vii. 12 ; Dan. ii. 37 : of the Egyptian kings, Diod. Sic. i. 55. 7,  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v s \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon w \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma m \sigma \sigma w \Sigma \epsilon \sigma \delta \omega \sigma i s$ . But this title is far outbid by those given to Domitian : "Dominus et deus noster." Suet. Domit. 13: cf. Mart. v. 8.

οί μετ' αὐτοῦ κλητοὶ κτλ. κλητοὶ and ἐκλεκτοί occur only here in the Apocalypse. We are to understand πολεμήσουσιν and νικήσουσιν from what precedes. The followers of the Lamb who have been called and chosen will manifest their loyalty and share in the Lamb's victory (cf. xii. 11). According to this context those who answer the call are elected and prove their loyalty : cf. 2 Pet. i. 10, σπουδάσατε βεβαίαν ὑμῶν τὴν κλῆσιν καὶ ἐκλογὴν ποιεῖσθαι. But these loyal followers of the Lamb belong already to the heavenly hosts; for they accompany Him from heaven : cf. xix. 14. They are called πιστοί as their Leader is called πιστός (i. 5).

18. καἶ ή γυνη ην είδες ἔστιν η πόλις η μεγάλη η ἔχουσα βασιλείαν ἐπὶ τῶν βασιλέων τῆς γῆς.

Our author knows at last the interpretation of the chief figure in the vision. The woman is the city Rome, the empress of the entire world.

This verse belonged to the source A : see Introd. to Chap., § 5, and had its place immediately after xvii. 7, but was transferred to its present position in order to introduce the great chapter of the downfall of Rome.

On the phrase  $\eta \pi \delta \lambda s \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma \delta \lambda \eta$ , see note on xi. 8.

#### Additional Note on XVII.

# The Antichrist, Beliar,<sup>1</sup> and Neronic Myths, and their ultimate Fusion in early Christian Literature.

This question bristles with problems. Many of these, it is true have been solved and others are on the way to solution. Nevertheless, many lie still in the background and have not as vet vielded up their secret to research. The chief workers in this field have been Gunkel and Bousset. While the services of the former have been at times brilliant, they have at the same time showed a lack of sound judgment. In the latter respect Bousset in his Antichrist Legend (translated from the German, 1896) and in the Offenbarung Johannis<sup>5</sup>, 1906, has made an admirable contribution, and proved that outside Daniel and Revelation there was an independent tradition of the Antichrist myth coming down from ancient times and diffused through many lands. А study of such articles as Creation, Dragon, Leviathan, Serpent in the Encyc. Biblica will show that the Creation Story passed through a long development within the domain of Hebrew and Jewish thought, and further study proves that such an expression as "the great dragon, the ancient serpent, who is called the Devil and Satan" (Rev. xii. 9), finds one of its sources ultimately in the myth that underlies the Creation story. But the present study cannot take account of the manifold traces of this development discoverable in the O.T. (see Gunkel, Schöpfung und Chaos-a book full of suggestion, but in many of its conclusions, especially as regards Revelation, demonstrably wrong). It must be strictly limited to the ideas of the Antichrist and kindred conceptions that prevailed within Judaism and Christianity from 200 B.C. to 100 A.D. or thereabouts.

In a study of the present subject in 1900 (see Ascension of Isaiah, pp. li-lxxiii) I pointed out that, whilst Bousset's and Gunkel's works (above cited) were most helpful and stimulating in many directions, they did not deal satisfactorily with the relations of Beliar and the Antichrist, and that their account of the fusion of the latter with the Neronic legend was wanting in lucidity and consistency. This defect Bousset has from his own standpoint partially remedied in *Rel. d. Judentums im Neutest. Zeitalter<sup>2</sup>*, 1906, and his article on "Antichrist" in Hastings' *Encyc. of Religion and Ethics*, i. 578 sqq. Here he has vastly improved on his earlier studies, and removed many of the defects to which I took objection in 1900. But, notwithstanding these advances on Bousset's part, I feel constrained to republish here the main part

<sup>1</sup> This is the form that Belial takes in Jubilees, Testaments XII Patriarchs, the Sibylline Oracles, Martyrdom of Isaiah.

of my study of 1900 with such additions and improvements as the work of the intervening years has naturally brought with them.

If we can succeed in establishing with approximate accuracy the dates when the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths originated and became fused together, we acquire means for determining the dates of the fragments of such myths as have secured an entrance into the work of our author.

The aim, therefore, of the present note is to touch briefly on the history of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths, before the fusion of any one of them with another, or of each with all: and next to give the passages from Jewish and Christian literature where such fusion is attested and their approximate dates. Thus I shall deal with—

- I. The independent development of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths.
- II. The fusion of the Antichrist myth with that of Beliar, and subsequently and independently with the Neronic myth.
- III. The fusion of all these myths together.

I. The independent development of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths.

i. The Antichrist myth.—The term "Antichrist" is comparatively late though the idea signified by it is early. Thus it is not attested till far on in the first century of our era; for it is found in the N.T. only in the Johannine Epistles—I John ii. 18, 22, iv. 3; 2 John 7. The idea, however, can be traced back to the second century B.C., and appears first in the Book of Daniel. This conception takes two forms: (a) the individual Antichrist, and (b) the collective Antichrist.

(a) A God opposing individual.—In Daniel we find the individual Antichrist (the king of the North, xi. 40) appearing at the head of mighty armies, with which he crushes certain nations and preserves others, persecutes the saints (vii. 25), putting numbers of them to death (viii. 10), sets up in the Temple "the abomination that maketh desolate" (i.e. the heathen altar over the altar of burnt-offering, viii. 13, ix. 27, xi. 31, xii. 11), "magnifies himself above every god" (xi. 36: cf. 2 Thess. ii. 4), and after a reign of three and a half years (vii. 25 sq.) meets his end (xi. 45). The historical figure here referred to was Antiochus iv. Epiphanes (i.e. (God) made manifest). The idea, which may in part have existed already and which became impersonated in Antiochus disassociated itself from the historical figure of Antiochus, and through its enlargement and enrichment in the Book of Daniel established itself as a permanent expectation in Judaism. In the earliest literature, therefore, where the idea appears, it implies a being of human origin (though claiming divine prerogatives), whereas Beliar, who came subsequently to be identified with the Antichrist, was originally a superhuman or Satanic being.

The next historical character to whom epithets belonging to the Antichrist are applied, is Pompey the Great, who committed the unpardonable act of profaning the Temple by entering the Holy of Holies after his conquest of Jerusalem. Thus in the Pss. of Solomon (70-40 B.C.), Pompey is called "the Dragon" (δ δράκων, ii. 29). There may be here an unconscious allusion to the Dragon myth (see Cheyne's art. "Dragon" in the Encyc. Bib. i.). He is described as "the sinner," ii. I (δ άμαρτωλός), the personification of sin (cf. 2 Thess. ii. 3, & avdpwmos rigs auaprias -so the inferior Uncials) : "the lawless one," xvii. 13 (b avoµos), an attribute of Beliar (cf. 2 Thess. 11. 3, & avonos rijs avonias, **xB**). But since his soldiers are designated "the lawless ones" (xvii. 20, oi avopoi), the epithet may mean no more than heathen, as in 1 Cor. ix. 21; 2 Cor. vi. 14; Acts ii. 23. The epithet "lawless," if technically used, is proper to the Beliar myth.

This expectation may have been influenced by the action of the emperor Caligula (37-41 A.D.), when he ordered the governor Petronius to erect his statue in the Temple. If he had persisted in this act of profanation, the Jews would undoubtedly have regarded it as a fulfilment of the prediction of the setting up of "the abomination of desolation" in the Temple. This phrase was, as we are aware, first applied to the heathen altar set up by Antiochus in the Temple (I Macc. i. 54), and probably also to the image of Olympian Zeus beside it (cf. Taanith iv. 6). Bousset suggests that "the ever recurring expectation of later times, that Antichrist would take his place in the Temple of Jerusalem, dates . . . from this period."

The next reference to the Antichrist is to be found in 2 Bar. xxxvi. 5, xxxix. 3, xl. 1, 2, according to which the head of the Roman Empire was to be brought before the Messiah and destroyed, and still another in 4 Ezra v. 6, where the reign of the Antichrist is foretold: "Et regnabit quem non sperant, qui inhabitant super terram."

(b) A God-opposing power, or the collective Antichrist.—So far we have cited our authorities as testifying to a single individual Antichrist. But with the expectation of an individual Antichrist that of a collective Antichrist, (a) secular, or ( $\beta$ ) religious, is often involved.

(a) Thus in Dan. vii. 7 sqq., 19 sqq. the Fourth Empire (*i.e.* the Greek or Macedonian) is the collective Antichrist. The identity of the Seleucidae or Greek rulers of Syria with the Fourth Kingdom appears in the Sibylline Oracles, iii. 388-400 (before 140 B.C.). But at the close of the first cent. B.C. or the beginning of the first cent. A.D. the prophecy of Daniel was reinterpreted, and, since Syria had now ceased to be a world power, the Fourth Empire was identified with the new world power Rome. This is first seen in the Assumption of Moses (7-30 A.D.), where the overthrow of Rome by Israel is predicted :

 x. 8. "Then thou, O Israel, shalt be happy, And thou shalt go up against the eagle, And its neck and wings shall be destroyed."1

Lest his contemporaries should misunderstand Dan. vii. 17-19, 23 sqq. as referring to the Greek Empire, the Seer in 4 Ezra xii. 11-12 expressly states that this passage refers to the Roman Empire. This is the universal view of the first century A.D. Cf. 2 Bar. xxxvi.-xl.; 4 Ezra v. 3-4, xi. 40 sqq. It is attested in the N.T.: see the Little Jewish Apocalypse incorporated in Mark xiii. (especially 14 = Matt. xxiv. 15 = Luke xxi. 20), and in the sources behind xiii. 1-10 (see § 8 in the Introd. to xiii.), xvii. 3-10, where it is symbolized by the Beast in our Apocalypse. But in our text the meaning of the symbol has been changed : it stands only in part for the Roman Empire, but mainly for Nero redivivus, the demonic Antichrist coming up from the abyss, in xiii. 3 and similarly in xvii. 1-10; but the original meaning of the symbol still survives in xiii. 1-2, xvii. 3. In the Ep. Barn. iv. 4-5 (100-120 A.D.) the Fourth Kingdom is Rome: so also in Hippolytus (220 A.D.), and in the Talmud-Aboda Zara, 1<sup>b</sup>.

( $\hat{\beta}$ ) The collective Antichrist of a religious origin. In the Johannine Epistles of the N.T. (1 John ii. 18, 22, iv. 3; 2 John 7) the Antichrist is the collective name for the false teachers who have gone forth from the bosom of the Church as deceivers ( $\pi\lambda\dot{a}\nu\omega$ ). This conception is not to be confounded with that of pseudo-Christ ( $\psi\epsilon\upsilon\delta\delta\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma$ ) of Matt. xxiv. 24; Mark xiii. 22. The individual Antichrist of the religious type is probably referred to in John v. 43, "If another shall come in his own name, him ye will receive."

Again the original source lying behind xiii. 11-14, 16-17

<sup>1</sup> Here the words "its neck and wings" have been transposed from line 2. The transmitted text runs :

"And thou shalt go up against (*i.e. אלית על*) the necks and wings of the eagle,

And they shall be destroyed "

(where "implebuntur" of the  $MS = \sigma v \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \pi a$ , which should have been rendered "delebuntur" here). We have here an early form of the Eagle Vision such as we find in 4 Ezra xi,

TXVII.

was a Jewish Apocalypse directed against the individual Antichrist in the form of the False Prophet (see Introd. to Chap. xiii. § 8, vol. i. pp. 342-344). In our text it has been transformed into a collective Antichrist, i.e. the heathen imperial priesthood, and designated the second Beast in subordination to the first in xiii. 1-10. Originally this Antichrist was conceived as independent and without any Antichrist beside him.

ii. In the O.T. Beliar does not appear as a proper name (see "Beliar" in the Bible Dictionaries). Beliar first attains to personality in the second century B.C. Thus, according to the Test. XII Patriarchs, Beliar rules over souls that are constantly disturbed (T. Dan iv. 7), or which yield to the evil inclinations (T. Ash. i. 8), but flees from those that keep the law (T. Dan v. 1). The Messiah will make war on Beliar and take from him the souls he had led captive (T. Dan v. 10), and Behar will be bound (T. Levi xviii. 12), and cast into the fire (T. Jud. xxv. 3), and the spirits subject to him will be punished (T. Levi iii. 3). This conception is very like that of Satan-a fact which becomes clearer still in Jubilees i. 20, where Beliar (like Satan: cf. 1 Chron. xxi. 1; 1 Enoch xl. 7; Rev. xii. 10) is said to be the accuser of the faithful before God. This identification of Beliar and Satan appears in the Christian pseudepigraph, The Questions of Bartholomew (ed. Bonwetsch, 1807), iv. 25. In 2 Cor. vi. 15, Beliar seems a synonym for Satan. Hence we may conclude that towards the close of the second century B.C. Beliar was regarded as a Satanic spirit, and as naught else, until the Beliar myth coalesced with that of the Antichrist.

iii. The Neronic myth in its earliest form.-Here our task is simply to show that soon after the death of Nero the myth became current that (a) Nero had not really died, but was still living; and (b) that he would soon return from this far East to take vengeance on Rome.

(a) When Nero with the help of a freedman committed suicide and was cremated (Suet. Nero, 49), so great was the public joy that the people thronged the streets in holiday attire (op. cit. 57). All, however, did not share in the belief of Nero's death. Thus Tacitus (Hist. ii. 8) writes that there were many who pretended and believed that he was still alive; and Suetonius (Nero, 57) declares that edicts were issued in his name as though he were still alive and would return speedily to destroy his enemies. As early as 69 A.D. an impostor appeared under his name and headed a rebellion against Rome (Tac. Hist. ii. 8, 9).

(b) That Nero had taken refuge in the East probably formed a constituent of the myth from the outset-a point on which evidence will be furnished later. Predictions had been made during Nero's lifetime that the East would be the scene of his future greatness: some of these represented Jerusalem as the seat of his empire; others promised him the sovereignty of the world (Suet. *Nero*, 40). Probably such vaticinations as these, combined with the fact that Nero had already established friendly relations with the Parthian king Vologeses 1. (Suet. *Nero*, 57), led Nero, as the end drew nigh, to think of fleeing to the Parthians (op. cit. 47).

In conformity with this expectation we find that a second pseudo-Nero appeared under Titus on the Euphrates, about 80 A.D., and was recognized by the Parthian king Artabanus (Zonaras, xi. 18). Finally, about 88 A.D. a third pretender came forward among the Parthians and all but succeeded in hurling Parthia against Rome (Tac. Hist. i. 2; Suet. Nero, 57). This Nero myth, thus firmly rooted in the Gentile world, passed over to the Jewish. The Jewish source, lying behind Rev. xvii. 12-17 (i.e. xvii. 11<sup>a</sup>, 12-13, 17, 16) and written probably in the reign of Titus, embodies this expectation and predicts the destruction of Rome by the Parthians under the leadership of Nero, who is there called "the beast." This expectation of a Parthian invasion of the West is explicitly stated in xvi. 12. With these passages Rev. ix. 13-21 should be compared, though here we have a demonic form of the myth. The Sibylline Oracles, v. 143-148 (71-74 A.D.-so Zahn and Bousset), prove that this myth had established itself in the eschatology of Hellenistic Judaism. According to the passage just referred to, the flight of Nero from Rome to the Parthians is mentioned, and in v. 361-364 his return to destroy Rome. Early in the next decade we find other testimonies to the prevalence of this myth: see Sibyll. Or. iv. 119-122, where Nero is described as a fugitive to Parthia, and iv. 137-139, where he is described as returning to assail the West at the head of a vast host.

It is possible that the statement in the Talmud (Yoma, 10<sup>\*</sup>), to the effect that Rome would be destroyed by the Persians, is an echo of this early expectation.

II. The fusion of the Antichrist myth (i.) with that of Beliar before 50 A.D.; and (ii.) independently with that of Nero redivivus, 88-100 A.D.

i. As a result of this fusion the Antichrist is regarded as (a) a God-opposing man armed with miraculous powers—this appears to have been effected on Christian soil before 50 A.D.; (b) a purely Satanic power before 70 A.D.

(a) 2 Thess. ii. 1-12, according to the usual interpretation, presents an indubitable instance of this fusion. Thus, on the one hand, we have Beliar. "The man of lawlessness"

VOL. 11.---6

XVII.

( $\delta \, \ddot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma s \, \tau\eta s \, \dot{a}\nu\sigma\mu i a s)$  is all but certainly a translation of Beliar; for  $d\nu\delta\mu\eta\mu a$  is the LXX rendering of it in Deut. xv. 9, and  $d\nu\sigma\mu i a$  in 2 Kings xxii. 5, and  $\pi\alpha\rho a\nu\sigma\mu\sigma s$  is frequently found as its equivalent, when it is used as an epithet: Deut. xiii. 13; Judg. xix. 22, xx. 13; 2 Kings xvi. 7, etc.

In the next place it is Beliar appearing as the Antichrist; for the words "he that opposeth himself... against all that is called God" ( $\delta$   $\delta \pi rike(\mu evos \dots e \pi i \pi \delta ria \lambda eyó(\mu evor <math>\theta e \delta r)$  form an excellent definition of the Antichrist. Since 2 Thess. is now generally (and certainly by the present writer) regarded as an authentic writing of St. Paul, we have here the earliest evidence for the fusion of these ideas (*circ.* 50 A.D.), and also for *the* humanization of the Beliar myth through its fusion with that of the Antichrist; for hitherto Beliar had been conceived as a Satanic or superhuman being. The Antichrist thus comes to be conceived as a God-opposing man armed with Satanic powers.

We should next observe that in 2 Thess. ii. I-I2 the myth appears to have a purely *religious* significance and not a *political* one, as in Rev. xiii. I-I0, xvii. Thus in 2 Thess. ii. 6, 7 the Roman Empire is referred to as the power which checks the manifestation of the Antichrist, whereas in Rev. xiii. I-I0 it is the Roman Empire that stood originally in the source of this passage and that still stands in the background as the Antichrist, while the demonic Nero stands in the foreground as this being. In no case could 2 Thess. ii. I-I2 have been written after 70 A.D. This section is a Christian transformation of a current Judaistic myth.

Another phase of this expectation appears in Rev. xiii. 11-17. In the source of this passage the Antichrist was conceived similarly to that in 2 Thess. ii. But by our author this conception was recast and interpreted of the priesthood, which was attached to the cultus of the Caesars, and had the chief seat of its activities in the province of Asia. This Antichrist-in our author symbolized by the second Beast-is a false teacher and Hence this conception is akin to that which prevails prophet. in the Johannine Epistles: I John ii. 18, 22, iv. 3; 2 John 7. Though both in the Epistles and Rev. xiii. 11-17 the Antichrist is human, in the latter passage he is armed with Satanic powers and "deceiveth them that dwell on the earth by reason of the signs which it was given him to do in the sight of the beast" His task is to make the inhabitants of the earth (xiii. 14). worship the first Beast (i.e. the Beliar Nero), whose death-stroke had been healed (xiii. 12). This subordinate Antichrist is designated as "the false prophet" in xvi. 13, xix. 20, xx. 10. Thus a conception which had originally grown up in Jewish and Christian circles, and, referring to a Jewish Antichrist, had a

purely religious significance, was recast by our author and reinterpreted of a heathen corporation, the Imperial priesthood, which was in part religious and in part political in its aims.

Sibylline Or. ii. 167 sq. (*circ.* 200 A.D.) should probably be cited under this head, where it is said that Beliar will come and work many portents before men.

(b) The Beliar Antichrist = a purely Satanic power before 70 A.D. (or 30 A.D.).

This stage of the myth is attested in Rev. xi. 7, where as the Beast from the abyss he makes war with and kills the witnesses. The Antichrist in this passage makes his advent *in Jerusalem* (xi. 8), and therefore before 70 A.D. This phase of the myth was *originally* independent of that which appears in Rev. xiii. and xvii., where it has been fused together with the Neronic myth. But in its present context in xi. it is treated as identical with the conception in xiii. and xvii. and is used proleptically in reference to it.

We should probably not be wrong in recognizing in the Assumption of Moses x. 1, 2 an instance of this compound conception.

1. "And then His kingdom shall appear throughout all His creation,

And then Satan shall be no more,

And sorrow shall depart with him.

 Then the hands of the angel shall be filled Who has been appointed chief, And he shall forthwith avenge them of their adversaries."

If this passage comes rightly under this head, then the fusion of the ideas of Beliar and Antichrist must be anterior to 30 A.D.

ii. Fusion of the Antichrist myth with that of the Nero redivivus.—This fusion could not have taken place before the first half of Domitian's reign, when the last Neronic pretender appeared. As soon, however, as the hope of the return of the living Nero could no longer be entertained, the way was prepared for this transformation of the myth. The living Nero was no longer expected, but Nero restored to life from the abyss. This expectation appears in Rev. xiii., xvii. But it is questionable if this classification is right, and the very much conflated conceptions of the Antichrist in these chapters had best been reckoned under III. The simple Neronic myth needs some infusion of the Beliar myth in order to develop the expectation of Nero redivivus, or Nero as a demonic power.

III. Fusion of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths in various degrees and forms.

From this fusion the myth emerges in three forms, which

owe their diversity in the main to the three variations of the Neronic myth which enter into and affect the combination. These are: (i.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero still conceived as alive. The Antichrist has here a political significance, and is human. (ii.) Incarnation of Beliar in the form of the dead Nero. The Antichrist is here a Satanic being. (iii.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero redivious.

(i.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero still conceived as living-before on A.D.-We have seen above from documentary evidence that before 80 A.D. the myth had gained wide circulation both among Gentiles and Jews, that Nero was still living in the East, and would speedily return to avenge himself on Rome. We have further seen that long before 80 A.D. the minds of both Jews and Christians were familiar with the expectation of the Antichrist pure and simple, and of the Antichrist possessing the attributes of Beliar or Satan, and so denoting a God-opposing man armed with miraculous powers, or a truly Satanic being. So strong was the tendency of such mythical currents to merge in a common stream that it is not surprising to find this coalescence achieved in Sibvil. Or. iii. 62-This passage is unhappily of uncertain date, though no 74. doubt before 90 A.D., since Nero is still regarded as alive. Its significance, however, cannot be mistaken. Beliar comes as Antichrist and is descended from Augustus (in Se Baornvŵv). That this descendant of Augustus is Nero there seems no room for doubt. The lines are :

> ἐκ δὲ Ξεβαστηνῶν ήξει Βελίαρ μετόπισθεν καὶ † στήσει † ὀρέων ὕψος, στήσει δὲ θάλασσαν... καὶ νέκυας στήσει καὶ σήματα πολλὰ ποιήσει... ἀλλ' ὀπόταν μεγάλοιο θεοῦ πελάσωσιν ἀπειλαί, καὶ δύναμις φλογέουσα δι' οἶδματος εἰς γαῖαν ήξει, καὶ Βελίαρ φλέξει καὶ ὑπερφιάλους ἀνθρώπους πάντας, ὅσοι τούτω πίστιν ἐνεποιήσαντο.

It is possible, however, that the  $\sum \beta a \sigma \tau \eta v o'$  are the inhabitants of  $\sum \beta a \sigma \tau \eta$ , *i.e.* Samaria. In that case the text would come under II. i. ( $\delta$ ).

ii. Incarnation of Beliar as Antichrist in the form of the dead Nero.—In due time the belief that Nero was still alive in the East began to die. The time of its extinction must naturally have varied according to temperament and locality. It is accordingly difficult to assign definite dates. Since, however, the latest pretender to the Neronic rôle came forward in 88 A.D., we may not unreasonably infer that from that year the belief began to lose its grip on the common folk, and to decline steadily till it finally disappeared. No doubt during the next twenty years or more it crops up sporadically, but even during that period its place has been taken by two rival and stronger forms of the same myth.

These new forms may have already been evolved in the later years of Vespasian. At all events they are not later than  $go-100 ext{ A.D.}$  Now that the belief that Nero was still alive had already been abandoned, there were two courses of development open for this myth, in case the Neronic element was still to be retained. Either Beliar must come in the form of the dead Nero, or Nero must be recalled to life by a Satanic miracle as in (iii.). The first course is adopted by the writer of the Ascension of Isaiah, the second by our author in xiii., xvii. The passage in the Ascension, iv. 2-4, is as follows:

"And after (the age) is fulfilled, Beliar, the great ruler, the king of this world, will descend, who hath ruled it since it came into being; yea he will descend from his firmament in the likeness of a man, a lawless king, the slayer of his mother, who himself (even) this king 3. Will persecute the plant which the Twelve Apostles of the Beloved have planted. Of the Twelve one will be delivered into his hand. 4. This ruler in the form of that king will come, and there will come with him all the powers of this world," etc.

(iii.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero redivivus. —The chief authority attesting this expectation is Rev. xiii., xvii. in their present form as they left our author's hand. But we shall first deal shortly with others in the Sibylline Oracles. In Sibyll. Or. v. 28-34 (written in the reign of Hadrian) the description of the Antichrist involves all the above elements. Thus it is Nero redivivus that is described; for the author of the lines is writing two generations after Nero's death. In the next place he is called in semi-mythological language "the serpent" (herein we have the Beliar element), and finally he makes himself equal to God. The lines bearing on our subject are v. 28-29, 33-34.

> πεντήκοντα δ' δτις κεραίην λάχε, κοίρανος έσται, δεινὸς ὄφις . . ἀλλ' ἔσται καὶ ἄϊστος ὀλοίιος εἶτα ἀνακάμψει ἰσάζων θεῷ αὐτόν.

v. 214-227 belongs more clearly to this division. According to this passage, Nero is to return aloft, upborne by the Fates. His achievements are portrayed in 219-225. In Book viii., of which lines 4-429 belong to the close of the second century, the various myths have so thoroughly coalesced that Nero is no longer regarded as a man but as a Satanic monster. He has become the Dragon (viii. 88,  $\pi \circ \rho \phi \circ \rho \circ \delta \rho \circ \kappa \circ \nu$ ), and assumed the monster's form (157,  $\theta \circ \rho \rho a \mu \circ \gamma a \nu$ ).

It is needless here to pursue the ramifications of this myth further in this and later literature than to state, that so thoroughly did the Neronic element in the composite Antichrist conception gain the upper hand in the East, that in Armenian the word Nero became and remains the equivalent for Antichrist.

We shall now return to the most important testimonies of this subject, *i.e.* in Rev. xiii. xvii. We need not here deal with them in detail, since they are fully discussed already. Here we have the most vigorous and illuminating conception of the Antichrist in all literature, although, as we have seen in our study of these chapters, our author was to a considerable extent indebted to existing sources in their composition. But though the elements of the Antichrist were drawn for the most part from disparate sources, the result is no mere mosaic, no laboured syncretism of conflicting traits, but a marvellous portrait of the great God-opposing power that should hereafter arise, who was to exalt might above right, and attempt, successfully or unsuccessfully for the time, to seize the sovereignty of the world, backed by hosts of intellectual workers,<sup>1</sup> who would uphold his pretensions, justify all his actions, and enforce his political aims by an economic warfare,<sup>2</sup> which menaced with destruction all that did not bow down to his arrogant and godless claims. And though the justness of this forecast is clear to the student who approaches the subject with some insight, and to all students who approach it with the experience of the present world war, we find that as late as 1908, Bousset in his article on the "Antichrist" in Hastings' Encyclopædia of Religion and Ethics, writes as follows: "The interest in the (Antichrist) legend . . . is now to be found only among the lower classes of the Christian community, among sects, eccentric individuals, and fanatics."

No great prophecy receives its full and final fulfilment in any single event or series of events. In fact, it may not be fulfilled at all in regard to the object against which it was primarily delivered by the prophet or Seer. But, if it is the expression of a great moral and spiritual truth, it will of a surety be fulfilled at sundry times and in divers manners and in varying degrees of completeness. The present attitude of the Central Powers of Europe on this question of might against right, of Cæsarism against religion, of the state against God, is the greatest fulfilment that the Johannine prophecy in xiii. has as yet received. Even the very indefiniteness regarding the chief Antichrist in xiii. is reproduced in the present upheaval of

<sup>1</sup> This is the second Beast in xiii.—the false prophet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The measures described in xiii. 16-17,

evil powers. In xiii. the Antichrist is conceived as a single individual, i.e. the demonic Nero; but, even so, behind him stands the Roman Empire, which is one with him in character and purpose, and is itself the Fourth Kingdom or the Kingdom of the Antichrist-in fact, the Antichrist itself. So in regard to the present war, it is difficult to determine whether the Kaiser or his people can advance the best claims to the title of a modern Antichrist. If he is a present-day representative of the Antichrist, so just as surely is the empire behind him, for it is one in spirit and purpose with its leader-whether regarded from its military side, its intellectual, or its industrial. They are in a degree far transcending that of ancient Rome "those who are destroying the earth" (Rev. xi. 18).

## CHAPTER XVIII.

### § 1. The Contents and Character of this Chapter.

This chapter, which deals with the doom of Rome, opens with a prophetic prelude, in which the Seer looks far forward and sees the destruction of Rome as already accomplished, and the earth's proud capital as the haunt of every unclean thingboth demonic and belonging to this world.<sup>1</sup> This prelude, described as an angelic utterance from heaven (1-3), is proleptic, since in the rest of the chapter various stages in the actual destruction are described.

In 4-8 there follows another voice addressed to the faithful<sup>2</sup> (4-5), and to the ministers of God's wrath,<sup>8</sup> who were assembled for the destruction of Rome.

We now come to the three threnodies pronounced respectively over burning Rome by the kings (9-10), by the merchant princes of the earth (11-13, 15-16), and by the shipowners and sailors of all the world (17-19). Each in turn bewail the doom of the great city in whose wantonness and luxury and wealth they had all shared.

The chapter closes with a song of doom preluded by a symbolic action on the part of a strong angel. This dirge is uttered by the Seer who wrote the Oracle, which John has utilized here for his own purposes. At its close he has added 20, 23<sup>f</sup>-24, in which he appeals to heaven and to the martyrs,

<sup>1</sup> As John had not the opportunity of revising his great Apocalyse, several traces of the expectations belonging to the Vespanianic period survive in this Jewish source. According to John's own view, the smoke of Rome was to go up till the world's end (xix. 3), but not so in this source (xviii. 2). <sup>3</sup> Another element testifying to the origin of the source in Vespanian's

time. The faithful had all been removed from the earth at the close of xiii,

<sup>8</sup> In the original source-Nero and the Parthians.

apostles and prophets already there, to rejoice over the destruction of Rome. This appeal is answered in xix. This last part of the chapter was evidently found by our author in a very confused condition. It should be read as follows (as we have shown in § 6): 21, 14, 22<sup>abcd</sup>, 23<sup>cd</sup>, 22<sup>efgh</sup>, 23<sup>ab</sup>, 20, 23<sup>f</sup>, 24.

As we have already stated, John has here used a source belonging to the Vespasianic period, and written soon after the destruction of Jerusalem. It was apparently written originally in Hebrew, and found by John in a Greek translation. The grounds for these statements are given in the sections that follow. To the same Vespasianic source xvii. 1<sup>b</sup>-7, 18, 8-10 (in part) originally belonged.

# § 2. The Diction, Idiom, and Style of xviii. 2–23 is not that of our author.

The style of this chapter has none of our author's characteristic abnormal constructions (see 2). It has, on the other hand, constructions which are wholly against his usage (see 3). This chapter contains a great many  $a\pi a\xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$  so far as the rest of the N.T. is concerned (see footnote on 1), and also peculiar usages of certain words (see 5) not only unknown in the rest of the N.T. and the LXX, but almost unknown elsewhere. The style is most carefully elaborated, and in this respect different from that of our author. Our author is, of course, a stylist, but with him style is a wholly secondary consideration. His theme had wholly gained possession of him, and being the greatest of all themes it naturally expresses itself in great and noble words. But the writer of xviii. 2-23 is no less conscious of the claims of form than he is of the subject-matter of his vision. He is a conscious stylist. Moreover, the order of his words is less Semitic than that of any other chapter in the Apocalypse from our author's hand. Thus the verb frequently follows after the subject or the object, or both combined: cf. 3, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 17. In xvii. 1<sup>e</sup>-2, 3<sup>b</sup>-7, 8-10, the earlier part of this source, the order is Semitic, but this seems owing to the revision it has undergone at the hands of our author before he incorporated it in his text.

Finally, this source has influenced our author (see 7).

1. Duttion.—The source begins with 2. It is introduced by 1, every phrase of which is from our author. Thus  $\mu\epsilon ra ra ra ra constant action is a characteristic phrase : eldor <math>a\lambda\lambda or a\gamma re\lambda or ra back row constant action of the source act$  The diction is in the main different. I have added a list of phrases and clauses common to xviii, and the rest of the book. Now from this list must be withdrawn those given under 20, 23, 24, since either originally or in their present form they are from our author's hand. Next, those given under 3<sup>b</sup>, 10, 16 are repeated from the earlier part of the same source, xvii. 1-10, but not found elsewhere in the Book. Again, this old Vespasianic source has not unnaturally influenced our author's diction : hence the clauses given in 2°, 3° are the source of xiv. 8, and the rare use of Buoginov in 12 appears to be the source of our author's use of it in xix. 8, 14. Thus the clauses with a diction akin to that in our author are those given under 4 (8), 9, 21 (below). But the clauses which in these verses are common to this source and our author are not distinctive. On the other hand, xviii. has a large number of  $\delta \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma 0 \mu \epsilon \nu a}$ , so far as the rest of our author and the N.T. are concerned.<sup>1</sup>

**2.** επεσεν, επεσεν Βαβυλών ή μεγάλη: cf. xiv. 8, which, however, appears to be borrowed from this source.

3. ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου [τοῦ θυμοῦ] τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς πεπότικεν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη—the source of xiv. 8°. οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς μετ' αὐτῆς ἐπόρνευσαν: cf. 9, xvii. 2, where the clause has already occurred.

4. ῆκουσα ἄλλην φωνήν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ λέγουσαν: cf. x. 4, 8, xi. 12, xiv. 2, etc.

8. διὰ τοῦτο: Cf. vii. 15, xii. 12. ἐν πυρὶ κατακαυθήσεται: Cf. xvii. 16. 9. κόψονται ἐπ' αὐτῆ: Cf. i. 7.

10. ή πόλις ή μεγάλη: cf. 16, 19, xvii. 18—all belonging to the same source.

12.  $\beta$ urgeirou: cf. 16, xix. 8, 14, where this use of  $\beta$ úggirou as a noun appears derived from the use in this source.

16. ή περιβεβλημένη . . . μαργαρίτη : repeated with slight variations from xvii. 4—both belonging to the same source.

17. έστησαν. Our author would have used έσταθησαν or είστήκεισαν. See vol. i. p. 272.

20.  $\epsilon \dot{o} \phi \rho a(rou: cf. xii. 12. o \dot{o} \rho aré. Our author uses this word in the sing. oi <math>\ddot{a}_{100}$   $\kappa$ . oi  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o} \sigma \tau o \lambda oi \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau a.$  The order is unusual: contrast xi. 18, xviii. 24.  $\ddot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \iota r \epsilon v: cf. xvi. 6.$ 

21. ε<sup>1</sup>s (cf. viii. 13, xix. 17) άγγελος ίσχυρός: cf. v. 2, x. 1. ξβαλεν εἰς: very frequent. οὐ μη εὐρέθη ἔτι: cf. 22, 24, xii. 8, xiv. 5.

23. endargenoar nárta tà éern : cf. xx. 3, 8, xii. 9, xiii. 14.

<sup>1</sup> Thus we have φυλακή in xviii. 2: στρήνους in xviii. 3 (cf. στρηνιάω in 7, 9, also άπ. λεγ ), διπλώσατε, and διπλά and διπλοῦν as nouns in 6, σιρικοῦ, θύινον, ελεφάντινον, μαρμάρου in 12, and in the same verse κοκκίνου as a noun (cf. 16, xvii. 4), κυνάμωμον, άμωμον, σεμίδαλιν [βεδῶν, σωμάτων in this sense] in 13, ἀπώρα, λιπαρά in 14, πορφυροῦν as a noun in 16 (xvii. 4), ἐργάζονται (in this sense) in 17, τιμιότητος in 19, μύλινον, ὀρμήματι in 21, μουσικῶν, σαλπιστῶν in 22. 24. προφητών και άγίων: cf. xi. 18. εσφαγμένων: cf. v. 6, 9, 12, vi. 9, x11i. 8.

2. The style of xviii. 2-23 exhibits *none* of the abnormal constructions<sup>1</sup> so frequent in our author, is far more normal than that of our author, and is comparatively good Greek. In fact the writer of this source was a conscious stylist.

3. Whilst this source has none of our author's characteristic abnormal constructions, it contains constructions which are wholly against his usage. Thus oval cum nom. in 10, 16, 19, whereas it appears in our author only cum acc. is is young our in 2 is both as regards the epithet and the order in this phrase unexampled in our author (see note in loc.). avrns al auaorías in 5 is an example of the unemphatic position of airos not elsewhere in our author save in one Uncial (A) in xxi. 3 (see vol. ii. p. 208, footκράζειν εν . . . φωνη in 2 is against our author's usage, note). who never inserts the *ev* here: cf. vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, x. 3, xix. 17. In xviii. 4 the order έκ των πληγων αυτής ίνα μη λάβητε (NACO) is unparalleled in our author. Hence some later authorities transpose έκ τ. πληγών αὐτῆς after λάβητε. Again, ή μεγάλη πόλις in 21 is unparalleled in our author in this phrase (see note in loc.). The attraction of the relative in xviii. 6, ποτηρίφ ψ ἐκέρασεν, is against his usage: cf. i. 20. Even the title of Babylon in xviii. 10,  $\frac{1}{2}\pi \delta \lambda_{15}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \delta \chi_{10} \rho a$ , is against our author's use, who calls it ή μεγάλη in xiv. 8, xvi. 10, a title which appears also in this source in xvii. 5, xviii. 2, 10, 21. Finally, in xviii. 7 we find κάθημαι where our author would have used rabitu: see note on iii. 21; and ou µή, xviii. 14, with ευρήσουσιν, where he would use ευρωσιν.

4. The accumulation of participles is a frequent characteristic of this source—without any real parallel in the rest of the Book. Thus in xviii. 9–10 we have of . . . πορνεύσαντες καὶ στρηνιάσαντες ὅταν βλέπωσιν . . . ἀπὸ μακρόθεν ἐστηκότες . . . λέγοντες, all dependent on the subject of the principal verb. In 15, of πλουτήσαντες . . . κλαίοντες καὶ πενθοῦντες, λέγοντες, similarly dependent on the subject of the principal verb: in 18, βλέποντες . . . λέγοντες: in 19, κλαίοντες καὶ πενθοῦντες, λέγοντες, similarly dependent on the subject of the principal verb: in 18, βλέποντες . . . λέγοντες: in 19, κλαίοντες καὶ πενθοῦντες, λέγοντες. The same accumulation of participles is to be found in the earlier fragment of this source, *i.e.* xvii.  $1^{\circ}$ -2,  $3^{\circ}$ -7, 18, 8–10. Thus in xvii. 3 we have γυναῖκα καθημένην ἐπὶ θηρίον . . . γέμοντα . . . ἔχων: in 4, περιβεβλημένη . . . καὶ κεχρυσωμένη . . . ἔχωνσα: in 7, τοῦ βαστάζοντος, τοῦ ἔχοντος: in 8, οἱ κατοικοῦντες . . . βλεπόντων.

5. The use of neuter adjectives in the sing. as nouns is

<sup>1</sup> Thus the syntax is carefully observed as regards gender and number. Even  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega r$  ( $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega r \epsilon s$ , etc.) always agrees with the noun on which it depends; contrast our author's use: i. 11, iv. 1, v. 12, 13, ix. 14, x. 8 (*bis*), xi. 1, 15, xiv. 7, xix. 1, 6. characteristic of this source: *i.e.*  $\beta \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \nu =$  "fine linen" in xviii. 12, 16. This usage occurs only once in the LXX in Dan. x. 5°. Occasionally  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \dot{\nu}$  is used in this sense in the LXX. Similarly  $\pi o \rho \phi \nu \rho \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$  in xvii. 4, xviii. 16,  $\kappa \dot{\sigma} \kappa \kappa \nu \sigma \nu$  in xvii. 4, xviii. 12, 16,  $\sigma \iota \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} \nu$ , xviii. 12, and  $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$  in xviii. 6, are used as nouns, although, save in the case of  $\sigma \iota \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} \nu$  and  $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ , such a use of these words in the sing. seems unattested elsewhere.  $\sigma \iota \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} \nu$  is found in Arrian and Strabo as a noun, and  $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ appears to be used similarly in the LXX in Ex. xxii. 7, 9. For certain adjectives employed in this way in the rest of the N.T. see Robertson, *Gram.* 653 sq., who, however, as the rest of the N.T. grammarians, fails to notice most of the above words.

6. The order of this source is less Semitic than that of our author: see above.

7. This source appears to have influenced our author.-As regards xviii. 2-23, it has become clear that it is not our author's production, as we have found also with regard to xvii. 1°-2. 3<sup>b</sup>-7, 18, 8-10. Now this source, dating from the time of Vespasian, had been in our author's hands and was apparently laid under contribution by him. Thus xiv. 8 is composed simply of xviii. 2<sup>b</sup>, 3<sup>a</sup> put together. Again our author's peculiar use of Búggivov in xix. 8, 14 as a noun appears due to this same use in xviii. 12, 16 (see 5. above). The fact that this use of Buorivor is characteristic of this source and borrowed by our author gains support by its use of  $\pi o \rho \phi u \rho o \hat{u} v$  (xvii. 4, xviii. 16), κόκκινον (xvii. 4, xviii. 12, 16), σιρικόν (xviii. 12), and διπλούν (xviii. 6) as nouns, although, save in the case of the  $\sigma_{i\rho_i\kappa_i}$  and  $\delta_{i\pi\lambda_0}$ , such a use seems unattested elsewhere.  $\delta_{i\pi}\lambda\hat{a}$  and  $\tau\hat{a}$  kokkiva are found elsewhere. Since, therefore, our author appears to have been influenced by this source in the above respects, it is possible that he may have been also influenced by it in his use of logupos, which occurs 4 times in xviii. and 5 times in the rest of our author. Three of these five times it occurs in the phrase ayyelos iorχυρόs found also in xviii. 21. But ούκ . . . έτι, which is 6 times in xviii, occurs o times in the rest of our author and belongs to his vocabulary. His use of διà τοῦτο, vii. 15, xii. 12, is not to be traced to xviii. 8, seeing that it is a very common phrase, being found 15 times in the Johannine Gospel and 3 times in the Epistles.

§ 3. The Greek appears to be a translation from a Hebrew source.

The evidence for the hypothesis is not conclusive. It will be found in the notes on 8, 19, 22 in connection with the words and phrases  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta os$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta s \tau \iota \mu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \tau os$ , and  $\mu o \upsilon \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ . The use of  $\delta \upsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \omega s$  in 3 may suggest  $\gamma = \pi \omega = \omega t$ . § 4. The text has suffered great dislocations—in some degree comparable to those in xxii. Translation of xviii. 21–24 in its reconstructed order.

One of these dislocations—that of 14—was observed by early scholars like Beza and Vitringa, which they restore after 23<sup>d</sup>. But the present writer thinks that 14 should be read immediately after 21: 20 he finds is also out of place. It should be replaced after 23<sup>ab</sup>. The various elements of 22-23 have been disarranged, as is shown in the notes.

21-24 should be read in the following order : 21, 14, 22<sup>a-d</sup>, 23<sup>cd</sup>, 22<sup>c-b</sup>, 23<sup>ab</sup>, 20, 23<sup>f</sup>, 24.

21. And a strong angel took up a stone as it were a great millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying:

"Thus with violence shall be cast down, Babylon the great city, And shall no more be found.

(The Seer's dirge over Babylon.)

- 14. And the fruits which thy soul lusted after Are gone from thee :
  And all the dainties and the splendours Are perished from thee.
  [And men shall find them no more at all].
- 22<sup>a-d</sup>. And the voice of the harpers and singers
  < Shall be heard no more in thee >,
  And < the voice > of the flute players and trumpeters
  Shall be heard no more in thee.
- 23<sup>od</sup>. And the voice of the bridegroom and the bride Shall be heard no more in thee:
- 22<sup>ef</sup>. And no craftsman of whatsoever craft Shall be found any more in thee:
- 22<sup>gh</sup>. And the voice of the millstone Shall be heard no more in thee:
- 23<sup>ab</sup>. And the light of the lamp Shall shine no more in thee.

(The Seer's appeal to heaven and its inhabitants to rejoice over the doom of Rome.)

20. Rejoice over her, thou heaven, And ye saints and ye apostles and ye prophets; For God hath judged your judgment upon her;

- 23<sup>f</sup>. For with her sorcery had all the nations been deceived :
- 24. And in her was found the blood of the prophets and saints

And of all that had been slain upon the earth."

§ 5. xviii. was written in the time of Vespasian.<sup>1</sup>

This statement can be proved by means of 2, 4, 6-8.

(a) For first of all 2 presupposes the fires of Rome to have been long extinct, and its ruins to have become the abode of every unclean spirit, bird, and beast. Now such a supposition even in a vision was not possible for the Seer writing in 95 A.D. He was then looking forward to the destruction of Rome as one of the *last* great acts in the judgment of the world. Moreover, the fires which should consume Rome, xviii. 9, 15, 18, were *never*, so *long as the earth lasted, to be extinguished*, xix. 3. Hence, however we explain xviii. 2, it was written at an earlier date than the Apocalypse as a whole. But, whereas the prophecy in 2 is merely proleptic and therefore not at variance with xviii. 8, 15, 18, it is really irreconcilable with xix. 3, which declares that the smoke of Rome's ruins will go up till the world's end. The former gives the expectation of a Jewish Seer in Vespasian's time, the latter that of our author John in 95 A.D.

(b) In the note on 4 I have shown that the presupposition underlying it runs counter to the expectation of our Seer, that after chap. xiii. all the faithful had been put to death. But in this verse a considerable body of the faithful is presumed to be actually present in Rome. Such a presumption would be justifiable in Vespasian's time after the fall of Jerusalem, to which period xviii. can most reasonably be assigned.

(c) In 6-8 the same Vespasianic standpoint is transparent. We have such an expectation here as would be naturally entertained by a zealous Jew after the destruction of Jerusalem.

§ 6. xviii. preserved in a corrupt condition and adapted by our author to his own purpose.

The dislocation of 14 and 20 and of several clauses in 21-24from their original contexts shows how profoundly the original source has suffered (see § 4). There is no reason to suppose that these dislocations were due to our author. Either they were already present in his source, or they are due to accidental disarrangement subsequently. It should be borne in mind that, if the present writer's hypothesis is sound as to the death of John when he had completed xx. 3, we are to regard i.-xx. 3 as never having undergone a final revision at his hands. In fact we have in i.-xx. 3, the first sketch of a great work, portions of which

<sup>1</sup> On a variety of grounds Sabatier, Rauch, Spitta, Weyland, Bousset, J. Weiss, Wellhausen, and Moffatt accept the Vespasianic date of xviii.

have been most carefully worked out from the visions of many years, while others show not a few inequalities and inconsistencies that a final revision would have removed.

As regards the corruptions in the text we have already (§ 3) sought to explain those in 8, 19, 22 by means of a Hebrew background. The ungrammatical clause ( $\kappa \alpha i \ i\pi\pi\omega\nu \kappa\tau\lambda$ .) in 13 is merely a gloss. 23°, if it belonged to the original source, is at all events in its wrong context where it stands. 24 is from the hand of John as well as the phrase  $\kappa\alpha i$  oi  $\frac{i}{3}\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\sigma\lambda oi$  in 20.

§ 7. xviii. and xvii. 1°-2, 3°-7, 18, 8-10 are a Greek translation of one and the same Hebrew source.

We have already come to the conclusion that xvii. 1<sup>c</sup>-2, 3<sup>b</sup>-7, 18, 8-10, and xviii. 2-24 are of a Vespasianic date, and that the Greek of these sections is apparently a translation (not made but revised by John) from a Hebrew original. Since xvii. 1<sup>c</sup>-2, 3<sup>b</sup>-7, 18, 8-10, and xviii. 2-23, which are closely connected by their peculiar and in some respects unique diction, deal with the same subject and belong to the same date, we conclude that they are from the same hand. The former served as an introduction to the latter. xvii. I gives the title of xviii.  $\tau \delta$  κρίμα της πόρνης της μεγάλης της καθημένης έπι υδάτων πολλών. Next, xvii. 2 (μεθ' ής επόρνευσαν οι βασιλείς της γης, και εμεθύσθησαν οι κατοικούντες την γην εκ του οίνου της πορνείας αυτής) is repeated in substance and in part verbally in xviii. 3, «κ τοῦ οἶνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς πεπότικεν πάντα τα έθνη, and 23, έν τη φαρμακία σου επλανήθησαν πάντα τὰ έθνη. Next, clauses from xvii. 4 (περιβεβλημένη πορφορούν 1 και κόκκινον, και κεχρυσωμένη χρυσίω και λίθω τιμίω και  $\mu$ apyapírais) are repeated almost word for word in xviii. 16,  $\frac{1}{2}\pi\epsilon\rho i$ βέβλημένη . . . πορφυρούν και κόκκινον και κεχρυσωμένη . . . λίθω τιμίω και μαργαρίτη. Again, ποτήριον . . . έν τη χειρί αυτής γέμον βδελυγμάτων in xvii. 4 is recalled by έν τῷ ποτηρίω ῷ ἐκέρασεν in xviii. 6; Βαβυλών ή μεγάλη in xvii. 5 by a kindred clause in xviii. 10; and  $\eta \pi \delta \lambda_{15} \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma \delta \lambda_{\eta}$  in xvii. 18 by the same phrase in xviii. 10, 16, 19.

Hence xvii.  $1^{\circ}-2$ ,  $3^{\circ}-7$ , 18, 8–10, and xviii. appear to be derived from one and the same Hebrew source.<sup>2</sup> With this he has combined another source, xvii. 11-13, 17, 16, which foretold the destruction of Rome by Nero and the Parthians. xvii. 2 gives the title of the Vision in xviii., *i.e.* the Doom of Rome; this judgment is preceded by a vision of Rome before its overthrow m

<sup>1</sup> It is important to observe that  $\pi o \rho \phi \nu \rho o \hat{\nu} r$  used as a noun seems to occur only in xvii. 4 and xviii. 16, that  $\kappa \delta \kappa \kappa \nu r \sigma r$  is used as a noun in the sing. in xvii. 4<sup>b</sup>, xviii. 12, 16—a most rare use, though it is found in the LXX and elsewhere as a noun in the plural. See § 2. 5 above.

<sup>2</sup> The order of the words in xviii. while in the main Semitic, is not as decidedly so as in xvii. 1<sup>a</sup>-2, 3<sup>b</sup>-7, 18, 8-10. The latter has been thoroughly revised by our author.

94

xvii. 3-7, 18, 8-10, and by a *prophecy* of the coming destruction of Rome by fire at the hands of Nero and the Parthians, *i.e.* xvii. 12-13, 17, 16. It is not till we come to xviii. that the promise of the Angel of the Bowls in xvii. 1,  $\delta e \ell_w \sigma o \tau \partial \kappa \rho \ell \mu a$  $\tau \eta s \pi \delta \rho \nu \eta s$ , is fulfilled. xviii. is a vision of Rome's doom, which is foretold in xvii. 16.

1-3. The proclamation of the doom of Babylon by the first angel. This proclamation is proleptic. The angel's words regard Rome's doom as already accomplished far in the past.

From  $2^{cde}$  it appears that the fires that consumed it have long since been quenched, and that it has become the abode of unclean birds and demons. See the note on these clauses below.

1. άλλον äγγελον. This angel is distinguished from the angel mentioned in xvii. 1, 7, who is the angelus interpres.

ή γη έφωτίσθη ἐκ τῆς δόξης αὐτοῦ. This is a direct rendering of Ezek. xliii. 2, הארץ האירה מכברו, where the LXX has ή γη ἐξέλαμπεν ὡς φέγγος ἀπὸ τῆς δόξης. Here the Targum has ארעא ארעא שליא. Thus the brightness of God's glory is here attributed to an angel. See further on this "brightness" in note on xxi. 23. On this use of ἐκ = "by reason of," cf. viii. 13, xvi. 11.

2. ἔκραξεν ἐν ἰσχυρậ φωνĝ. The diction in this phrase is unexampled in our author: the order is most exceptional. See note on x. 3.

έπεσεν ἕπεσεν Βαβυλών ή μεγάλη. This clause has already occurred in xiv. 8 (see note). The Greek here, with the exception of the epithet, is an independent rendering of Isa. xxi. 9.

 $2^{cde}$ . These three clauses are to be taken proleptically in reference to 9, 15, 18: otherwise they occasion difficulty; for in 9, 15, 18, Rome is seen in the Seer's vision to be consumed by fire: whereas these clauses presuppose the fires of Rome to have been long extinct, and the ruins to have become the hold of unclean birds and demons. xiv. 11 refers not to the city Rome, but to the eternal torment of the worshippers of the Beast in the next world. On the other hand it is impossible to reconcile  $2^{cde}$ with xix. 3, which represents the smoke of her burning as going up for ever and ever, *i.e.* to the end of the world. This last is our author's own expectation. Here that of his source conflicts with it: see Introd. to this Chap., § 5.

έγένετο κατοικητήριον δαιμονίων... μεμισημένου: cf. Isa. xiii. 21-22 (δαιμόνια ἐκεί ὀρχηθήσονται); Jer. li. 31, "Babylon shall become . . . a dwelling for jackals"; I Bar. iv. 35, κατοικηθήσεται ὑπὸ δαιμονίων. In Isa. xxxiv. 11, 13<sup>b</sup>, 14-15, Jer. l. 39, there is a list of unclean birds and beasts that are to inhabit Edom or Babylon given: cf. Zeph ii. 14. The δαιμόνια are the **D'''**, or more probably the **U''**(Isa. xiii. 21, xxxiv. 14). δρνέου ακαθάρτου : cf. Deut. xiv. 12-19.

**8.** The nations as a whole, the rulers of the earth and its merchants, were involved in the sin of Rome.

čκ τοῦ οἶνου [τοῦ θυμοῦ] τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς. I have here with much hesitation bracketed τοῦ θυμοῦ, although it has the support of the best MSS. But the extraordinary diversity among the authorities points to some corruption in the above text. See notes on xiv. 8, xvii. 2. In the latter passage we have an exact parallel to xviii.  $3^{ab}$ ; for  $3^{a}$  (cf.  $23^{d}$  ὅτι ἐν τῦ φαρμακία σου ἐπλανήθησαν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη) corresponds to ἐμεθύσθησαν οἱ κατοικοῦντες τ. γῆν ἐκ τοῦ οἶνου τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς.  $3^{b}$  corresponds almost perbatim with xvii.  $2^{a}$ , μεθ ῆς ἐπόρνευσαν οἱ βασιλεῦς τῆς γῆς.

oi βασιλεîs τῆs γῆs. Their lamentation over Rome is given in 9-20, as that of the merchants in 11-13, 15-16.

πεπότικεν. This reading, supported by a few cursives, appears to be the true one, though in the cursives only a happy conjecture. It explains the impossible readings of NACQ. It is also required by the context: otherwise Rome is represented only as passively evil. πέπτωκαν though originating in a scribal error seemed to derive support from έπεσεν. As Babylon fell, so did the nations.

oi  $\epsilon\mu\pi\sigma\rhooi$   $\tau\eta$ s  $\gamma\eta$ s. This phrase, which is peculiar to this chapter in the N.T. (cf. 11, 15, 23), is significant. All the merchants of the world are involved in the overthrow of Rome. The long list of merchants who traded with Tyre, according to Ezek. xxvii. 9-25, was in the mind of our author.

έκ . . . αὐτῆς ἐπλούτησαν: Cf. 15.

τῆς δυτάμεως = "wealth"—a meaning which is found also in the LXX of Deut. viii. 17, Ruth iv. 11, where δύναμις is a rendering of  $\forall$ π. In Isa. lxi. 6 it is rendered by  $i\sigma_X v_S =$  "wealth." This meaning is to be found in Xen. Cyr. vii. 4. 34, etc., 2 Cor. viii. 3, and the Papyri.

τοῦ στρήνους að τῆς = "of her wantonness." Here and in 2 Kings xix. 28. Cf. στρηνιậν in 9, and καταστρηνιậν τοῦ Χριστοῦ, "to wax wanton against the restrictions prescribed by Christ," in 1 Tim. v. 11.

4-8. The second voice, which comes from heaven itself. 4-5 are addressed to the faithful, and 6-8 to the ministers of God's wrath who were seen by the Seer to be assembling for the work of destruction.

4. No justifiable meaning can be attached to this verse as it stands. As we have repeatedly seen heretofore (cf. also ver. 20), the presupposition of the Seer is that after xiii. all the faithful had been put to death. In xv. 2-4 the army of martyrs is seen in its completeness in a vision before the throne of God, while the Seven Bowls are poured down on a wholly heathen world. In the present verse, therefore, indeed in the present chapter, we have a document that belongs, as a large body of modern scholars have recognized, to the time of Vespasian. This oracle dealt with the destruction of Rome. Volter (*Offenbarung Johannis*, 1904), J. Weiss and Erbes ascribe this oracle to a Christian source written respectively about 60, 70 and 80 A.D. On the other hand, Vischer, Schoen, Weyland, Spitta trace it, and rightly in the opinion of the present writer, to a Jewish source. Whether Jewish or Christian originally, it is partially adapted to its present context by the mention of the "apostles" in 20, and by the addition of 24.

άλλην φωνήν. The words that follow— $\delta$  λαός μου—seem to suggest that it is God that speaks. But 5 is against this conclusion. Cf. xvi. I for a like difficulty. It may, however, be Christ that speaks.

There are, it is true, many parallels in the O.T. to the above clause in our text. Cf. Jer. xxvii. (l.) 8, ἀπαλλοτριώθητε ἐκ μέσου Βαβυλῶνος . . . καὶ ἐξέλθατε : xxvii (li.) 6, φεύγετε ἐκ μέσου Βαβυλῶνος, καὶ ἀνασώζετε ἕκαστος τὴν ψυχὴν αὐτοῦ καὶ μὴ ἀποριφῆτε ἐν τỹ ἀδικία αὐτῆς : Isa. xlvii. 20, ἔξελθε ἐκ Βαβυλῶνος : lii. 11, ἀπόστητε, ἀπόστητε, ἐξέλθατε ἐκείθεν . . . ἐξέλθατε ἐκ μέσου αὐτῆς : cf. also 2 Bar. ii. 1, "Retire from this city"; Matt. xxiv. 16, τότε οἱ ἐν τỹ Ἰουδαία φευγέτωσαν ἐπὶ τὰ ὅρη. The last quotation belongs to the Little Apocalypse, and refers, of course, to Jerusalem.

συνκοινωνήσητε ταἶς ήμαρτίας: cf. Eph. v. 11, μὴ συνκοινωνεῖτε τ. ἔργοις . . τ. σκότους: I Tim. v. 22, μηδε κοινώνει ἑμαρτίαις ἀλλοτρίαις. These words deal with the guilt of sharing in the sin of Rome, as the words that follow deal with the punishment that such guilt must entail.

έκ των πληγων αὐτῆς, "some of her plagues."

5.  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappao\lambda\lambda\dot{\eta}\dot{\theta}\eta\sigma a\nu$  . . .  $\ddot{\alpha}\chi\rho\iota$  T.  $o\dot{\delta}\rho a\nu o\dot{\delta}$ . These words are, apparently, a reminiscence of Jer. 11. 9, These words are, If so, then  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappao\lambda\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\sigma a\nu$  is simply to be rendered (as in the A.V. and R.V. and the Vulg., pervenerunt) "have reached." This rendering of  $\chi\iota$  is very rare,—see Lam. ii. 2 and Zech. xiv. 5,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\kappao\lambda\lambda\eta\theta\eta\sigma\epsilon rau$  ( $(\underline{\mu}^{\alpha}\underline{\eta})$ )  $\phi\dot{a}\rho a\gamma\xi$   $\dot{o}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omegas$  Taro's, —but the meaning belonging to this Hebrew verb is clearly the one required by our context. We might also compare with our text I Esdr. viii. 72, ai  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\nuo\iotaat$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$   $\dot{\delta}\pi\epsilon\rho\eta\nu\epsilon\gamma\kappaa\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omegas$  roù  $o\dot{\nu}\rho a\nuo\hat{\upsilon}$ : cf. Ezra ix. 6; also 4 Ezra xi. 43, "Et ascendit contumelia tua ad altissimum et superbia tua ad fortem." If this

VOL. 11.---7

rendering is right, then we are not to attempt to render  $\frac{i}{\kappa o\lambda \lambda \eta}\partial\eta\eta\sigma av \kappa \tau\lambda$ . as "have grown together into such a mass as to reach the heaven." It would be extraordinary if God was not mindful of the sins of Rome (5<sup>b</sup>) till they pressed in a mass against the roof of heaven. To such an extravagant conception the parallelism  $\kappa ai \epsilon \mu v \eta \mu \delta \nu \epsilon v \sigma e \sigma \delta \theta \epsilon \delta s$   $\tau a \ \delta \delta \kappa \eta \mu a \tau a \ \delta \tau \eta s$  would form a singular anticlimax—a piece of sheer bathos. Hence we infer that  $\frac{i}{\epsilon} \kappa o\lambda \lambda \eta \partial \eta \sigma av$  is here =  $\eta \tau \eta$ , and that the rendering is independent of the LXX in Jer. li. (xxviii.) 9,  $\frac{\eta}{\eta} \gamma \mu \kappa \epsilon \nu \epsilon \delta s \sigma \delta \rho a \nu \delta \nu$   $\tau \delta \kappa \rho (\mu a \ a \upsilon \tau \eta s)$ . The rendering of the two Syriac Versions here is very infelicitous, *i.e.* "have cleaved to." This rendering of  $\kappa o\lambda \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$  is found once more in the Syriac Versions, namely, in Luke x. 11, but there it is very felicitous.

On the other hand, it must be conceded that  $\kappa o\lambda\lambda\hat{a}\sigma\theta a\iota$  is the general rendering of pir in the LXX. If it presupposes this Hebrew word here, then our text is not a reminiscence of Jer. li 9. But even so, the context is against the meaning belonging to pir. Such a passage as I Bar. i. 20,  $\epsilon\kappa o\lambda\lambda\eta\theta\eta$  eis  $\eta\mu\hat{a}s$  rà  $\kappa\alpha\lambda$  is  $\dot{\eta}$  aba, does not support our text in the sense of "cleave unto." Even in this passage of Baruch  $\epsilon\kappa o\lambda\lambda\eta\theta\eta$  probably presupposes a corrupt Hebrew text. See Whitehouse in Charles, *The Apoc. and Pseud.* i. 578, and Kneucker *in loc.* The Syriac Version of Baruch = March = March

airijs ai áµapría. On this vernacular use of the genitive of airos see notes on ii. 2, 19, and in vol. ii. 208, footnote.

ἐμνημόνευσεν ὁ θεός κτλ. Cf. xvi. 19, Βαβυλών ἡ μεγάλη
ἐμνήσθη ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ.

6. In its present context this verse is to be taken as addressed to Nero *redivivus* and the hosts that followed him: cf. xvii. 11-17. But it is not to be forgotten that already Rome has for the most part been destroyed in xvi. 19 by a great earthquake, and its entire destruction by fire foretold in xvii. 16. The present chapter, taken by itself, shows no consciousness of the first of these judgments. But the destruction of Rome by fire is proclaimed in xviii. 8, 9, 15. Hence the description of the final overthrow of Rome in xviii. 21 must be taken as purely figurative.

άπόδοτε αὐτῆ ὡς καὶ αὐτὴ ἀπέδωκεν κτλ., i.e. deal out to her the same measure that she dealt to others. Cf. Jer. xxvii. (l.) 29, ἀνταπόδοτε αὐτῆ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῆς κατὰ πάντα ὅσα ἐποίησεν ποιήσατε αὐτῆ : 15. ἐκδικεῖτε ἐπ ἀὐτήν καθὼς ἐποίησεν, ποιήσατε αὐτῆ : Ps. cxxxvi. 8, μακάριος ὅς ἀνταποδώσει σοι τὸ ἀνταπόδομά σου ὅ ἀνταπέδωκας ἡμῦν. On this principle of *lex talionis* see the notes in my edition of *Jubilees* on iv. 31, xlviii. 14.

διπλώσατε διπλά: cf. Isa. xl. 2, εδέξατο εκ χειρός κυρίου

διπλâ τὰ ἁμαρτήματα αὐτῆς: Jer. xvi. 18, ἀνταποδώσω... διπλâς τὰς κακίας αὐτῶν. διπλοῦν διπλâ is an extraordinary expression. Cf. Aesch. Agamem. 537, διπλâ δ' ἔτισαν Πριαμίδαι θἀμάρτια.

But in Aeschylus the  $\delta i\pi \lambda o \hat{v}s$  is used purely as an adjective, whereas in our text it is a noun. For this use cf. Ex. xxii. 3, 6, 8. In the first passage we have  $\delta i\pi \lambda \hat{a}$  in the LXX (DUC), in the second  $\tau \hat{o}$  (?)  $\delta i\pi \lambda o \hat{v}v$ , and in the third  $\delta i\pi \lambda o \hat{v}v$ , *i.e.*  $\dot{a}\pi o \tau i \sigma \epsilon i \delta i \pi \lambda o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ . Cf. also Zech. ix. 12,  $\delta i \pi \lambda \hat{a}$  $\dot{a}v \tau u \pi v \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ .

ἐν τῷ ποτηρίω κτλ.: cf. xiv. 8, xvii. 4. This cup is the cup of the wrath of God. Cf. 2 Bar. xiii. 8, in which the Romans also are addressed.

> "Ye who have drunken the strained wine Drink ye also of its dregs, The judgment of the Lofty One Who has no respect of persons."

7. In this verse it is a question whether the speaker is a heavenly being or the Seer who wrote this vision.

όσα... τοσοῦτον. The torment and grief (or "misfortune") of Rome are to be proportionate to her self-glorification and wantonness. Cf. Isa. iii 16, 17,  $\delta v \theta'$  ων ὑψώθησαν ai θυγατέρες Σειών ... καὶ ταπεινώσει ὁ θεὸς ἀρχούσας θυγατέρας Σειών : Prov. xxix. 23, ὖβρις ἄνδρα ταπεινοῦ: Luke xiv. 11, etc. On the probability that πένθος, which occurs twice in this verse, is to be rendered "misfortune" or "calamity," or that it is a translation of a corrupt form in the Hebrew original, see note on ver. 8.

öτι ἐν τῆ καρδία αὐτῆς λέγει ... τδω These lines repro duce freely Isa. xlv1. 7, 8, ... Τλω. These lines repro mixing the second sec

8. Because of (ότι . . . διὰ τοῦτο) Rome's pride and selfconfidence she shall be overthrown suddenly.

ἐν μιῷ ἡμέρῷ ἤξουσιν. Isa. xlvii. still influences our writer; for these words are derived from the Massoretic ver. 9, where the LXX (B) renders ἤξει... ἐπὶ σὲ ἐν τῆ φαρμακία σου. But NA etc. agree with Mass. It is noteworthy that εἶs is postpositive in ix. 12, 13, but prepositive in viii. 13, xvii. 12, 13, 17, xvii. 8, 10, 16, 19, xix. 17.

† θάνατος και πένθος και λιμός †. We might compare vi. 8. It must be confessed that  $\pi \acute{v} \theta os$  ("mourning") cannot with any justice be reckoned under the category of plagues. But first of all the presence of  $\lambda_{i\mu}$  suggests that  $\theta \dot{a} \nu a \tau \sigma s$  here as in vi. 8 and frequently in the O.T. = , " pestilence." In that case the natural order would be  $\lambda \mu \partial s \kappa$ .  $\theta \dot{a} \nu a \tau o s \kappa$ .  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta o s$ . Now returning to  $\pi \acute{e}\nu \theta os$ , it is possible that it should be rendered here according to a rare meaning by "calamity," "misfortune" (cf. Pindar, Isth. vi. (vii.) 51,  $\epsilon \tau \lambda a v$   $\delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon v \theta os ov \phi a \tau ov : Herod. iii, 14).$  If so, we should translate "famine and pestilence and misfortune." But the error may be due to a corruption in the Semitic original. Thus  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta os = \pi corrupt$  for  $\pi corrupt$ . If this is right, we obtain an excellent sense-"famine and pestilence and destruction." The approach of the Parthians from the East under Nero would cut off food supplies from Rome and lead to famine, in the train of which pestilence would soon follow. The third plague would then prepare for the destruction of Rome by fire. Or, since the writer has, as has been shown on 7, borrowed freely from Isa. xlvii. 7, 8, it is possible that  $3\pi \epsilon \theta \sigma \theta \sigma$ may be a corruption of שכול in Isa. xlvii. 8-" famine and pestilence and loss of children." But the former restoration is to be preferred.

*έν* πυρὶ κατακαυθήσεται. The judgment of Rome by fire, which according to xvii. 16 is to be executed by Nero and the Parthian kings, is here declared to come from God in the first instance. On the judgment by fire cf. Jer. 1. 32, li. 25, 30, 32, 58.

iσχυρός δ θεός δ κρίνας αδτήν. The translator of this chapter is fond of the word  $iσ_{\chi \nu \rho \delta S} = cf. 2$ , 10, 21. Outside this chapter it occurs only five times in the Apocalypse: cf. Jer. xxvii. (l.) 34, δ λυτρούμενος αυτούς  $iσ_{\chi \nu \rho \delta S} \dots \kappa \rho i \sigma i \sigma \kappa \rho i \nu e i$ πρός τούς αντιδίκους αυτού. This κρίνας points to the fact that the decree of judgment has already been passed on Rome.

**9–19.** The dirge chanted over the conflagration of Rome by the kings, 9–10, by the merchant princes of the earth, 11–16, and the shipowners and sailors of the world, 17–19. The author is influenced by the doom song pronounced by Ezekiel over Tyre, Ezek. xxvi.–xxviii.

9-10. Cf. Ezek. xxvi. 16-17, where the princes of the sea mourn over Tyre.

κλαύσονται καὶ κόψονται ἐπὶ αὐτῆ: cf. 2 Sam. i. 12, ἐκόψαντο ... καὶ ἐκλαυσαν ... ἐπί. Hence our text = "shall weep and mourn over" ( = ארכו ויספרו עכ ). See also 11, 15, 19. οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς: cf. vi. 15 (see note), xvii. 2, 18,

oi βασιλείς της γης: cf. vi. 15 (see note), xvii. 2, 18, xviii. 3. These kings are the heads of the heathen nations. Foremost amongst them are princes subject to or in alliance with Rome; for, as the next clause shows, they have been deeply affected by her influence. They are distinct from the Parthian kings who destroy Rome, xvii. 16.

of μετ' adτη̂s ποριεύσαντες: cf. xvii. 2, xviii. 3. στρηνιάσαντες. They too had lived wantonly like Rome : cf. 3.

δταν βλέπωσιν . . . adτής. This clause recurs in 18.

τη̂ς πυρώσεως. The prophecy of Rome's destruction by fire is dwelt on again and again : cf. xvii. 16, xviii. 8, 18.

10. ἀπό μακρόθεν: cf. 15 (see note), 17; Matt. xxvi. 58; Mark v. 6, vini. 3, etc.

διά τον φόβον . . . αὐτῆς. This phrase recurs in 15.

odal odal ή πόλις κτλ. This construction is not found in the N.T. except in Luke vi. 25<sup>b</sup>; but it occurs frequently in the LXX: cf. Isa. v. 8, 11, 20, 21, 22; Hab. ii. 6, 12, 19; Zeph. ii. 5; Amos v. 18, where the R.V. rightly renders: "woe unto." Hence the rendering here, as in these passages, should be, "Woe, woe to the great city." The construction suggests the writer's acquaintance with the LXX. Our author's construction is oval with the dat.: cf. viii. 13.

μιά ώρα : cf. 8, 16, 19.

11-16. The merchants of the earth take up their dirge over Rome.

11. oi ἔμποροι τῆς γῆς. In Ezek. xxvii. 12-24 the various nations that had commercial relations with Tyre are enumerated.

клаίουσιν και πενθοῦσιν ἐπ' αὐτῆ : cf. 9, 15, 19; Mark xvi. 10; Luke vi. 25; Jas. 1v. 9. This combination 1s found in Neh. i. 4.

 $y \delta \mu o \nu = ship's$  freight or cargo : cf. Acts xxi. 3. The vastness of the commerce of Rome may be inferred from the following passages, which are all taken from Wetstein; Galen, De Antidot, ί. 4, ἐὰν ἐν Ῥώμη κατοικῶμεν, εἰς η̈ν ἐξ ἑπάντων τῶν ἐθνῶν καθ **ἕκαστον ἐνι**αυτὸν ἐξικνοῦνται πάμπολλα . . . εἰς ἢν τὰ πανταχόθεν ήκε καλά διά παντός έτους: Pliny, H.N. vi. 26, "Minima computatione millies centena millia sestertium annis omnibus India et Seres peninsulaque illa imperio nostro adimunt": Aristides, In Rom., p. 200, αγεται δε εκ πάσης γης και θαλάττης, όσα ώραι φύουσι, καὶ χώραι ἐκάσται φέρουσι, καὶ ποταμοί, καὶ λίμναι, καὶ τέχναι Έλλήνων καί βαρβάρων ώστε εί τις ταῦτα πάντα επιδείν βούλοιτο. δεί αύτὸν η πασαν εἰσελθόντα την οἰκουμένην ουτω θεάσασθαι, ή έν τήδε τη πόλει γενόμενον . . . τοσαῦται δε ἀφικνοῦνται δεῦρο κομίζουσαι παρά πάντων όλκάδες, άνα πασαν μεν ώραν, πασαν δε φθινόπωρον περιτροπήν, ώστ' έοικέναι την πόλιν κοινώ τινι της γης έργαστηρίω. φόρτους μεν άπ' Ινδών, εί βούλει δε και των ευδαιμόνων Αράβων τοσούτους δράν έστίν, ώστε εἰκάζειν γυμνὰ τὸ λοιπὸν τοῖς έκει λελειφθαι τὰ δένδρα. . . . Ἐσθητας δ' αθ Βαβυλωνίας, και τους έκ τής επέκεινα βαρβάρου κόσμους... Πάντα ενταύθα συμπίπτει,

ἐμπορίαι, ναυταλίαι, γεωργίαι, μετάλλων κάθαρσις, τέχναι δπόσαι εἰσί τε καὶ γεγένηνται, πάντα ὅσα γεννᾶται καὶ φύεται. ὅ τι ὅ ἂν μὴ ἐνταῦθα ίδοι τις, οὖκ ἔστι τῶν γενομένων ἢ γιγνομένων. In the Talmud, Qidd. 49<sup>b</sup>, it is stated that "Ten measures of wealth came down into the world: Rome received nine, and all the world one."

Since 23° cannot stand in its present context, it may originally have stood after 11°, and so this verse may have run as follows:

11<sup>a</sup> "And the merchants of the earth weep and mourn over her, 23<sup>o</sup> For thy merchants were the princes of the earth,

11<sup>b</sup> For no man buyeth their merchandise any more."

12-13. These two verses give a list of the articles imported by Rome from all quarters of the world. Holtzmann has recognized that the first four articles mentioned (*i.e.* precious stones) harmonize rhythmically with the next four, consisting of costly garments. But further examination of the passage shows that it consists, according to the present text, of nine lines. That the first four of these consist of two couplets there can be no doubt, but great difficulty attaches to the method of dealing with the remaining five lines. If they are to be retained as they stand, it might be best to regard them as consisting of a tristich and a distich:

> "And cinnamon and spice and incense, And ointment and frankincense and wine, And oil and fine flour and wheat: And beasts and sheep [and horses And chariots and slaves] and souls of men."

But it is probable that the words I have bracketed are an interpolation; for (1) their syntax—genitives where there ought to be accusatives—is wholly anomalous. It is without a real parallel in the abnormal style of our author, and is still more at variance with the much more grammatical style of this chapter, which, as we have elsewhere seen, comes from an independent source. (2) The same ideas are repeated in immediate proximity under different forms; for "slaves" and "souls of men" are here synonymous.

 $\kappa \tau \eta \eta$ , as is shown in the notes on 13 = draught cattle, *i.e.* horses, asses, etc., and thus the mention of horses separately, as in the present text, is wholly gratuitous. Now, if we excise as a gloss, which has crept in from the margin, the words "and horses and chariots and slaves," we get rid of the anomalous syntax, and of the meaningless repetitions in the last line, and

102

recover the original text of 12-13, consisting of four stanzas of two lines each. The last stanza then would be:

"And oil and fine flour and wheat, And beasts and sheep and souls of men."

The dramatic forcibleness of what seems at first a purely prosaic list of imports is in the highest degree impressive, closing as it does in the climax—

"And beasts and sheep and souls of men."

12. On the various articles mentioned in 12-13 the Bible Dictionaries should be consulted. Most of them are to be found in the imports of Tyre: Ezek. xxvii. 12-24, *i.e.* gold, silver, precious stones, fine linen, purple, brass, iron, all spices, oil, wheat, cattle, sheep, horses, and the souls of men.

**βυσσίνου**: cf. xix. 8.

σιρικοῦ (so the uncials. The usual form is σηρικοῦ). This word (= "silk") is a åπ. λεγ. in Biblical Greek, but it was used frequently by Greek writers after Alexander's time. How commonly it was used in Rome about 70 A.D. may be inferred from the words of Josephus (*B.J.* vii. 5. 4), who describes the triumphant army of Vespasian and Titus as being clothed ἐν ἐσθησιν σηρικαῖς, ἐστεφανωμένοι δάφναις.

καὶ πῶν ξύλον θύινον. The construction here changes and ξύλον is governed directly by ἀγοράζει. This change may be due not to a slip on the part of the translator, but to a right rendering of his text. The σὐδεἰs ἀγοράζει is to be taken closely with πῶν ξύλον  $(=\gamma \gamma z)$ ... cc/ $\gamma q$  is to be taken closely with πῶν ξύλον  $(=\gamma z)$ ... cc/ $\eta q$  is no one buyeth any thyine wood." Hence καὶ πῶν ξύλον θύινον should be rendered "or any thyine wood" and not as in the A.V. and R.V. "and all thyine wood." Of course it is possible that the translator ought to have rendered παντὸς ξύλου, but, so far as the Hebrew before him went, the acc. was not only a possible but probably the right rendering.

**b**úvov. This wood most probably came from *Thuia articulata*, a tree which grew in N. Africa. It was known to the Greeks as  $\theta \dot{v}a$ ,  $\theta \dot{v}ov$ , or  $\theta v \dot{a}$ , to the Romans as *citrus*. It was much used in the making of costly tables: cf. Martial, xiv. 87, "Mensa citrea." Seneca (quoted by Swete from Mayor on Juv. i. 137) had (according to Dio, lxi. 10. 3) 300 such tables with 1vory feet.

έλεφάντινον. Ivory was largely used, as Swete states, by wealthy Romans in the decoration of furniture, quoting Juv. xi. 120 sqq.

13. Китацищот. In the O.T. cinnamon appears thrice among aromatic spices. It is the Cassia lignea and was imported, as it still is, from China. It was much used in Rome, as we can infer from Plautus, Propertius, Lucan, Martial.

äμωμον. This perfumed unguent was derived from a shrub of Eastern origin (Virg. *Ecl.* iv. 25, "Assyrium vulgo nascetur amomum"). It was well known at Rome: Mart. viii. 77: "Si sapis, Assyrio semper tibi crinis amomo splendeat": Statius Silv. i. 113: "Nec pingui crinem deducere amomo cessavit" (quoted by Wetstein). Theophrastus, ix. 7, is uncertain whether it was derived from Media or India. Pliny, *H.N.* xii. 28, mentions this costly unguent for the hair.

θυμιάματα. See v. 8, λίβανος: cf. viii. 3.

σεμίδαλιν (= $\pi$ )b). This word, meaning fine flour, is not found elsewhere in the N.T. though frequent in the LXX. Pliny, *H.N.* xiii. 21, refers to it: "Similago ex tritico fit laudatissimo."

oîtor. Egypt was the granary of Rome.

κτήνη. This word generally means flocks and herds, but it can also mean draught cattle or beasts for riding : hence horses or asses: cf. Luke x. 34; Acts xxiii. 24. That κτήνος could mean "a horse" is to be inferred also from Gen. viii. 19, where the Hebrew is to be inferred also from Gen. viii. 19, where the Hebrew is to be inferred also from Gen. viii. 19, where the Hebrew is  $\tau_{10}$ , which clearly the Greek translators read as  $\tau_{10}$  (= "horse"), and from Num. xvi. 32, where the translators again read  $\tau_{10}$  instead of  $\tau_{10}$  (= "substance"). From these facts we conclude that  $\kappa \tau_{10}$  means all kinds of cattle for draught or riding. Hence there is no real need for the word  $\tau_{10}$   $\tau_{10}$ . Horses are mentioned among the imports of Tyre in Ezek. xxvii. 13 sq.

[KGÌ (THTEFF...  $\sigma\omega\mu \Delta \tau \omega r$ .] I have already given in the introductory note on 12-13 the grounds on which these words are to be excised as an interpolation. The absolutely anomalous genitive here is, so far as I am aware, universally explained by inserting  $\gamma \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$  before it. Thus Holtzmann and Bousset remark here that as in 12 the gen. passes over into the acc., so here the acc. returns again into the gen. But, as I have shown on 12, the acc. can not only be accounted for, but probably justified: whereas nothing can justify the present genitives between a series of accusatives preceding and an acc. following. They are therefore to be regarded as an interpolation.

**βεδών**. The  $\beta \delta \eta$  came, according to Quintilian (i. 5. 5), from Gaul and was a vehicle with four wheels (Isid. *Etym.* xx. 12). Cicero used it, and apparently it became fashionable at Rome.

σώματα. This word is used to translate "("souls") in the LXX of Gen. xxxvi. 6. It is also used elsewhere in the LXX in the sense of "slaves": cf. Gen. xxxiv. 29; Tob. x. 11 (σώματα καὶ κτήνη); Bel 32 (Theod.), δύο σώματα καὶ δύο πρόβατα: 2 Macc. viii. 11. This non-Attic usage of the word arose apparently in Egypt, as the Papyri show, and later established itself in Greek generally. Hence a slave dealer is called a  $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \mu \pi o \rho o s$  and a slave house  $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \epsilon i \sigma v$ .

ψυχάς άνθρώπων. This phrase is borrowed from Ezek. xxvii. 13, ούτοι ἐνεπορεύοντό σοι ἐν ψυχαῖς ἀνθρώπων (Στων (Στων). The phrase occurs also in 1 Chron. v. 21, but there it does not mean slaves as here.

14. This verse, as Vitringa, and in modern times Ewald (2nd ed.), Volkmar, B. Weiss, and Moffatt have recognized, is here out of place. These scholars have restored it after 23<sup>d</sup>, but the present writer is of opinion that it originally stood after 21, where he has restored it in this edition.

15. This verse resumes the dirge of the merchants over Rome, from which the writer had turned aside to describe the nature and extent of their commerce with Rome.

oi  $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\sigma\rho\sigma_1$   $\tau\sigma\sigma_2$ . the merchants who dealt in the merchandise mentioned in 12-13: cf. 23. This phrase in itself, as B. Weiss observes, unconditionally excludes the presence of 14 where it stands in the text.

οί πλουτήσαντες άπ' αὐτῆς : cf. 3, 19.

άπὸ μακρόθεν στήσονται: Cf. IO, ἀπὸ μακρόθεν ἐστηκότες: I7, ἀπὸ μακρόθεν ἔστησαν.

δια τον φόβον τοῦ βασανισμοῦ αὐτῆς. This phrase has already occurred in 10.

κλαίοντες κ. πενθούντες : Cf. 9, 11, 19.

16. On this construction of οδαί see note on 10.  $\frac{1}{7}$  πόλις  $\frac{1}{7}$  μεγάλη: cf. 10, 19, xvii. 18.  $\frac{1}{7}$  περιβεβλημένη πορφυροῦν καὶ κόκκινον. Repeated from xvii. 4. κεχρυσωμένη χρυσίω . . . μαργαρίτη, Repeated from xvii. 4. μιξι ῶρς ἡρημώθη. Repeated in 19. A corresponding clause in the dirge of the kings is found in 10.

17-19. Dirge of the seafolk. Cf. Ezek. xxvii. 28-29 on Tyre.

17. κυβερνήτης. This word means "shipmaster" or captain as distinct from ναύκληρος = "shipowner." In Acts xxvii. 11 we have τῷ κυβερνήτη καὶ τῷ ναυκλήρῳ = "the captain and the shipowner." Cf. Plutarch, Moralia, 807 B, ναύτας μὲν ἐκλέγεται κυβερνήτης καὶ κυβερνήτην ναύκληρος: Artemidorus, i. 37, ἄρχει δὲ . . πρωρέως ὁ κυβερνήτης, κυβερνήτου δὲ ὁ ναύκληρος. The word κυβερνήτης is a rendering of μαι in Ezek. xxvii. 8, 27, 28, where it means "pilots." In Jon. i. 6 it means "a sailor," for the captain is called אין רב התוכל

δ ἐπὶ τόπον πλέων = "Every one that saileth any whither" (R.V.). Cf. Acts xxvii. 2, πλεῖν εἰς τοὺς κατὰ τὴν 'Ασίαν τόπους. But the expression is a strange one, and there is much to be said for Nestle's (*Textual Criticism of the N.T.*, p. 168) correction of  $\tau \circ \pi \circ \tau$  into  $\pi \circ \overline{\circ} \tau \circ \tau$ , *i.e.*  $\pi \circ \tau \circ \tau \circ \tau$ . At all events Primasius supports this emendation : "omnis super mare navigans."

öσοι τὴν θάλασσαν ἐργάζονται, "gain their living by the sea" (R.V.), lit. "work the sea." This is good classical Greek. Thus Hestod (*Theog.* 440) has of γλαυκήν δυσπέμφελον ἐργάζονται: Dion. Hal. *A.* iii. 46, τὴν αὐτὴν εἰργάζετο... θάλασσαν: Appian, Pun., p. 2, τὴν θάλασσαν... ἐργαζόμενοι. For abundant illustrations of this idiom, see Wetstein *in loc.* What the Hebrew equivalent of the clause is is uncertain: possibly = "who trade on the sea": cf. Gen. xxxiv. 10, 21, xlii. 34. The corresponding phrase ἐργάζεσθαι τὴν γῆν is also good Greek and likewise good Hebrew: cf. Gen. ii. 5, 15, iii. 23, iv. 2; but the verb used in this connection <sup>1</sup>μψ would not serve for the clause in our text.

άπό μακρόθεν έστησαν. Cf. 10, 15.

18. βλέποντες τον καπνον της πυρώσεως adτης. This is the exact equivalent of the orar . . . avτη's clause in 9.

οὐαὶ ή πόλις. See note on 10.

eπλούτησαν. Cf. 3, 15.

† ἐκ τῆς τιμιότητος að τῆς ὅτι μιῷ ὅρҳ ἡρημώθη †. The text is here corrupt. This becomes apparent, if we compare the corresponding clauses in the woes pronounced by the kings in 10, ὅτι μιῷ ὕρҳ ἦρημώθη ὁ τοσοῦτος πλοῦτος. These parallel clauses lead us to expect a definite subject to be added after ἦρημώθη in 19 as there is after the verbs in 10, 16. Now we discover in the corrupt phrase ἐκ τῆς τιμιότητος aὐτῆς, the subject needed for ἡρημώθη, i.e. ἡ τιμιότης aὐτῆς. Thus the final clause of the text should run: ὅτι μιῷ ὕρҳ ἦρημώθη ἡ τιμιότης aὖτῆς. Thus this clause is brought into line with the corresponding clauses in 10, 16, and the woe pronounced by the seafolk falls naturally into three lines as the woe of the kings in 10.

"Woe, woe unto the great city,

Wherein were made rich all that had their ships at sea; For in one hour are her precious things laid waste."

The corruption can be explained best through the Hebrew. The original - כי בשעה אחת נשם הוֹנָה. The corruption could have arisen through an accidental doubling of the ם (hence מהונה כי in order to give some intelligible sense to the passage. Hence order to give some intelligible sense to the passage.

**21-24.** This section, embracing as it does 14 and 20, consists of a song of doom preluded by a symbolic action, the original idea of which is derived from Jer. li. 63, 64. This dirge is not put in the mouth of some particular class. The speaker is the Seer who wrote the original oracle, which has been utilized here by our author. Some attempts here have been made to adapt it to its new context. Thus the insertion of the phrase  $\kappa a i$  oi  $d\pi \sigma \sigma \tau o \lambda o i$  in 20 is due to our author, and most probably the last clause of 23 and the whole of 24. The penultimate clause of 23 is either a gloss or should probably be restored after the first clause in 11. A line has probably been lost in 22.

21. Kai  $\hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon v \epsilon \hat{i}_S a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda s i \sigma \chi v \rho \delta s \kappa \tau \lambda$ . Here as in v. 2, x. I we have the phrase  $a \gamma \gamma$ .  $i \sigma \chi v \rho \delta s$ . The writer had Jer. li. 63, 64 in his mind: "And it shall be, when thou hast made an end of reading this book, that thou shalt bind a stone to it, and cast it into the midst of the Euphrates: and thou shalt say, Thus shall Babylon sink and shall not rise again." There may also be a reminiscence of Ezekiel's words with regard to Tyre, xxvi. 21. Cf. also Or. Sib. v. 158 sqq., on the destruction of Babylon by a great star.

λίθον ός μύλινον μέγαν. The particle ώς shows that it is not a millstone that is referred to here, but that what the Seer saw in the vision recalled the idea of such a stone. The stone was probably the μύλος όνικός, seeing it is defined as μέγας. To turn such a stone an ass was needed.

οῦτως δρμήματι βληθήσεται. It is not quite clear what  $\delta \rho \mu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota$  means here. It is rendered by Weizsacker and Swete "with a rush": cf. Deut. (LXX) xxviii. 49; r Macc. vi. 33. This rendering is in keeping with the symbol of the boulder hurled down into the sea, but it is not in keeping with the thing symbolized—the destruction of Rome by fire by Nero and the Parthians. The rendering of the A.V. and Holtzmann, "with violence," suits the figure, but how it can be justified is not clear. Perhaps  $\delta \rho \mu \eta \mu a$  has here the meaning which belongs to it in the LXX in Hos. v. 10 (also in Symm.), Amos i. 11, Hab. iii. 8, *i.e.* "indignation" –  $\eta \sigma \mu$ . This would suit the attitude alike of heaven and the Parthian hosts towards Rome. But the matter is uncertain.

ή μεγάλη πόλις. The order of the words as regards this particular adjective is not that of our author (see note on xvi. r) nor of the rest of the source here used by him.

ού μη εύρεθή έτι. Cf. Ezek. xxvi. 21 in reference to Tyre.

14. This verse forms the opening stanza of the Seer's dirge over Rome. It consists of four stanzas of four lines each. These four stanzas are followed by two stanzas of three lines each. The text has suffered owing to one or perhaps two disturbing glosses and the loss of a line in the second stanza.

όπώρα—ripe fruit. This word, like the Hebrew pp, means first late summer or autumn, and next the fruits that then ripen. Cf. Jer. xl. 10, 12. The phrase σου τῆς ἐπιθυμίας τῆς ψυχῆς is a literal rendering of אות נפועה (cf. Deut. xu. 15, 20, 21, xiv. 26, Hebrew and LXX). The unemphatic or vernacular use of σου here should be observed. That σου is unemphatic is shown independently by its absence from the parallel verse. σου follows ψυχῆς in the LXX.

rd  $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho d$ . This phrase denotes the things that belong to a rich and sumptuous mode of life. Possibly food is specially referred to here. At all events  $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \delta s$  is, in the few cases where it occurs in the LXX, a rendering of  $\beta \upsilon v$ , which primarily and generally means oil or fat. It is used in Ezek. xvi. 13, Prov. xxi. 17, as a sign of luxury, and refers undoubtedly to rich food. Cf. also Isa. xxx. 23.

rà λαμπρά. It is difficult to assign a definite meaning to this phrase. Probably it indicates the well-ordered magnificence in which the "pride of life" exhibits itself. Perhaps we might render "the splendours."

καὶ οἰκέτι . . εἰρήσουσιν. There can hardly be a doubt as to this clause being a gloss. Without it the stanza consists of four lines, as do the three succeeding stanzas. See Introd. to this Chap., § 4. We should observe that our author uses οὐ μή with the aorist subjunctive.

**29-23.** These verses have suffered severely in the course of transmission. We have already seen that 20 (see p. 92) should be restored after  $23^{b}$ . After a dirge of four stanzas of four lines each (= 14, 22,  $23^{s-d}$ ) the Seer in the original source calls upon heaven and the saints on earth to rejoice over the doom of Rome. But this is not all. In 22 there has been a loss of some words, while in 23 there is an addition to the

108

text which is not only meaningless but is also against the structure of the stanza.

22. This verse consists of two stanzas of four lines each. But the second line of the first stanza and one of the words of the third line have been lost. These losses can, however, easily be restored. It is obvious, from a comparison of the remaining stanzas, that  $\phi w \eta$   $\kappa i \theta a \rho \psi \delta \hat{w} \kappa \alpha i$   $\mu o \nu \sigma i \kappa \hat{w} \kappa \alpha i$   $\alpha i \lambda \eta \tau \hat{w} \kappa \kappa \alpha i$  $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \tau \hat{w} r$  is too long: in fact these words are but the relics of three lines, and the whole stanza is to be read as follows:

> καὶ φωνὴ κιθαρφδῶν καὶ μουσικῶν <οὐ μὴ ἀκουσθῆ ἐν σοὶ ἐτι`> καὶ<φωνὴ>αὐλητῶν καὶ σαλπιστῶν οὐ μὴ ἀκουσθῆ ἐν σοὶ ἔτι.

The omission may have been due to a lazy scribe, who failed to see that he was transcribing verse, and thought that the sense was not affected by his compression of the text. The student of MSS is not unacquainted with such arbitrary proceedings.

φωνη κιθαρωδών καὶ  $\dagger$  μουσικών  $\dagger$  = "the voice of the harpers and singers." The writer had Ezekiel's words against Tyre (xxvi. 13) in his mind:

"I will cause the noise of the songs to cease And the sound of thy harps shall be no more heard."

He adopted the words "shall be no more heard," and instead of speaking of "harps" changes this word into "harpers" and "songs" into "singers," though as we shall see presently the text used by the Greek translator may have been corrupt here. Thus the first two lines would be somewhat as follows:

> וְקוֹל מְנַוּנים וֹשָׁרים לא ישמע בך עוד

while the next two lines would speak similarly of the fluteplayers and trumpeters.

But to return to  $\mu o \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ , it will be seen that I have rendered it "singers" on the strength of the context (*i.e.* that we must here have a specific and not a generic term) and of Ezek. xxvi. 13 (quoted above). Now in classical Greek  $\mu o \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \delta \sigma$ never appears to mean "a singer," nor in later Greek, unless it is a translation from the Hebrew It has been thought by some scholars that in I Macc. ix. 39, 41,  $\delta \nu \iota \mu \phi \iota \sigma \sigma \kappa \delta \sigma$  $\delta \epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \delta \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \phi (\lambda \delta \iota \alpha \delta \tau \sigma \delta \upsilon \cdot ... \mu \epsilon r \lambda \tau \nu \mu \pi \delta \tau \nu \mu \sigma \nu \sigma \kappa \delta \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \sigma \tau \lambda \delta \nu \cdot ... \kappa \alpha \lambda \mu \epsilon r \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \delta \eta \cdot ... \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \sigma \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \sigma \tau \lambda \omega \nu$  the word  $\mu \sigma \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \nu$  may mean "singers"; but the fact that it stands between  $\tau \nu \mu \pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$  and  $\delta \pi \lambda \omega \nu$  does not favour this

view. Cf. Gen. xxxi. 27, μετά μουσικών (= שירים) και τυμπάνων. In I Esdr. iv. 63 the meaning is uncertain: ἐκωθωνίζοντο μετὰ μουσικών (= "songs" or "musical instruments") καὶ χαρῶς: But cf. v. 2, 59, Dan. iii. 5, 7, 10, 15, where it = "musical instruments," or "music." However, if it be conceded that the word in some of these passages means "singers," then this otherwise unattested meaning, appearing as it does in translations from the Hebrew, is in some way due to the Hebrew text. Only in one case does movorkos appear as ="a singer," in a passage which none of the commentators has noticed, i.e. T. Jud. xxiii. 2, ras θυγατέρας ύμων μουσικάς καὶ  $\delta\eta\mu\sigma\sigma$ ίας ποιήσετε. Here the Greek is a translation from the Hebrew, and even here the rendering "minstrels" would accord better alke with the context and the etymology than "singers." In other passages in the LXX μουσικά= "songs," Cf. Gen. xxxi. 27; Ezek. xxvi. 13; Sir. xxii. 6, xxxv. 3, 5, 6, xliv. 5, xlix. 1. From this examination of the word it follows that *movorkar* means either "song" or "music," but never save in T. Jud. xxiii. 2 does it mean "singer" or rather "minstrel." And yet our text makes the meaning of "singers" indispensable. Hence we conclude either that μουσικών here = "singers,"a meaning difficult to justify,--or that it is an error due to a corruption in the source. That is,  $\mu o \nu \sigma \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu = \psi$ , corrupt for winstrels" (R.V.) is here "winstrels" (R.V.) is here inadmissible; for we cannot have a generic term such as "minstrel" occurring in the midst of a list of specific terms.

αἰλητῶν. The fluteplayer (tibicen) was in much request on the Roman stage, and at Roman festivities as well as at funerals. σαλπιστῶν. The trumpeter (tubicen) had his part in the Roman games, in the theatre and at funerals.

22<sup>e-b</sup>-28. The order of these verses seems to be wrong. We should expect that the dirge, having begun with the luxuries of life and dwelt on their being brought to an end, would proceed in due gradation to the destruction of the barest necessities of life. And this expectation is confirmed by Jer. xxv. 10, which the Seer had in his mind: "I will take from them the voice of mirth and the voice of gladness, the voice of the bridegroom and the voice of the bride, the sound of the millstones and the light of the candle." If this view is right, as the present writer believes, we should rearrange as follows:

# **23<sup>cd</sup>.** And the voice of the bridegroom and the bride Shall be heard no more in thee;

<sup>1</sup> Observe that in Eccles. ii. 8 שרים ושרות is rendered by the LXX doorras המו מֹסטיסמה, and not by הטיטה אמו הטיטהאלה, which would mean "male and female minstrels." **22<sup>e-h</sup>.** And no craftsman of whatsoever craft Shall be found any more in thee.

And the voice of the millstone Shall be heard no more in thee: 28<sup>ab</sup>. And the light of the lamp Shall shine no more in thee.

Thus the rejoicings attendant on marriage would no more be known: the arts of civilized life would come to an end; the familiar sounds of the household mill to be heard in every hamlet would be no more heard, and the light of every lamp would be extinguished. It will be observed that by this rearrangement our text reproduces the order in Jer. xxv. 10.

23<sup>cd</sup>. φωνη νυμφίου και νύμφης. See the quotation from Jer. xxv. 10 given above. Cf. also vii. 34, xvi. 9, xxxiii. 11. 22<sup>o-h</sup>. τεχνίτης κτλ. This line would embrace skilled workers

in every kind of material.

φωτή μύλου (= קול רְחָים, Jer. xxv. 10). It will be observed that for φωνή μύλου the LXX reads δσμήν μύρου, presupposing קול ריח.

28<sup>ab</sup>. φῶς λύχνου (= אוֹר נר, Jer. xxv. 10). At this stage the Seer is not thinking of the brilliant lights and torches borne by slaves as they escorted the wealthy Romans to their homes, but simply anticipating the hour when all lights-even the meanestwill be extinguished for ever.

20. Restored to its rightful place at the close of the writer's dirge over Rome, this appeal to heaven and the saints to rejoice over its destruction is most appropriate, and forms a fitting introduction to xix., which contains the heavenly response. whereas this apostrophe, standing as it does in the MSS, comes in most awkwardly between two descriptive passages as Moffatt has already recognized. The whole verse is to be ascribed to John: Moffatt assigns it to the hand of a Christian editor.

There are, it is true, some difficulties in the style. Thus from xi. 18, xviii. 24, we should expect of  $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a i \dots \kappa a i$  of a yioi. But the fuller phrase here, of ayioi  $\kappa$ . of aπόστολοι  $\kappa$ . of προφήται, may account for the difference of order. Again, *kpiµa seems* here to have the sense of lawsuit or case-a meaning not found elsewhere in our author: cf. xvii. 1, xix. 2, where it = sentence, judgment. But even here it can bear the latter meaning : "God hath judged your judgment (*i.e.* the judgment due to you) upon her." On the other hand, eupoaireofau belongs to the diction of our author: cf. xii. 12, and the use of the singular oupavos is characteristically that of John. Hence 20 is from the hand of Tohn : likewise 23<sup>1</sup>-24.

This call of the Seer to heaven and its inhabitants to rejoice over the accomplished doom of Rome is formed of two tristichs: 20,  $23^{t}-24$ .

edepairou. Modelled apparently on Jer. li. 48 (וַרְנָנוּ עָל בבל), which is not found in the LXX.

οί ἄγιοι και οι ἀπόστολοι και οι προφήται: cf. xvi. 6. These must be the blessed in heaven—martyrs, apostles, and prophets : cf. xii. 12, where those addressed are the angelic hosts.

قدرت العند العند المعند الم

23°. [ότι οι έμποροί σου ήσαν οι μεγιστάνες τής γής.] This line, which is based on Isa. xxiii. 8, נכבדי ארץ . . . נכבדי שרים . But in its present context there is no place for this line, being against the parallelism. It is also meaningless. There is no ground for saying that God destroyed Rome because its merchants were the great ones of the earth. The real reason is given by the next or clause. It is possible that this line may be a fragmentary survival of the original ending of the source used by our author. Perhaps it should be read immediately after the first clause in 11. "and the merchants of the earth-for thy merchants were the princes of the earth-weep," etc. This would be analogous to the descriptive clause added in 9 after the phrase "the kings of the earth.

23<sup>c</sup>-24. This stanza, consisting of three lines, gives the grounds for the destruction of Rome: her leading astray of the nations by her sorcery, and her bloodguiltiness in respect of the prophets and saints and of all the righteous, who had been slain by her throughout the world.

**23<sup>5</sup>.**  $\delta\tau\iota$   $\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$  φαρμακία † σου †  $\epsilon\pi\lambda\alpha\eta$ θησαν πάντα τὰ  $\epsilon\theta\eta$ : cf. 3<sup>\*</sup>. The σου is here corrupt for αὐτῆs. The corruption can be explained by the dislocation of the text and the influence of the preceding occurrences of the second personal pronoun.  $\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$ φαρμακία κτλ. Cf. Nah. iii. 4, where Nineveh is called "the mistress of witchcrafts ( $\eta\gamma$ ουμένη φαρμάκων), that selleth nations through her whoredoms and families through her witchcrafts." Cf. also Isa. xlvii. 12, where analogous charges are brought against Babylon.

**24.** While there is some doubt as to the provenance of  $23^{t}$ 

(*i.e.* the first line of the closing stanza), there can be none as to that of the last two lines. The  $\pi po\phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \kappa a \tilde{a} \gamma \omega a$  are the Christian prophets and saints, and the subject of the last line is the universal martyrdom of the Christians apprehended by our author and regarded as accomplished at the close of xiii.

προφητών και άγίων. See note on xvi. 6, xviii. 20.

αίμα προφητών κτλ.: cf. Ezek. xxiv. 6, ω πόλις αιμάτων : Jer. li. (LXX, xxviii.) 35, το αίμά μου έπι τους κατοικούντας Χαλδαίους, έρει Ίερουσαλήμ. In Matt. xxii. 35 a like charge is brought against Jerusalem : ὅπως ἕλθη ἐφ' ὑμῶς πῶν αίμα δίκαιον ἐκχυννόμενον ἐπὶ  $\tau \eta s$   $\gamma \eta s$ . The Seer here is not thinking only of the martyrs under the Neronian persecution, nor yet of such as had already fallen under Domitian (see list of passages referring to this persecution in Lightfoot, *Ep. Clem.* i. 104-115) at the time of writing; he is rather from the standpoint of the Seer looking back on the universal martyrdom of the Christian Church as a fact already accomplished. The diction used— $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ έσφαγμένων έπὶ τῆς γῆς-shows that it is of the martyrs that our author is thinking; for  $\sigma \phi a \zeta \omega$  has this connotation in our author's language: cf. v. 6, 9, 12, vi. 9, xiii. 8. xiii. 3 is no exception. for the Beast is therein caricaturing the Lamb. On the other hand, in vi. 4 σφάζω is used in a more special sense.

## CHAPTER XIX.

#### § 1. Its Import.

No real difficulty emerges in this chapter either as regards its authorship or its relation to the chapters that precede and follow it. There can be no question as to the Johannine authorship of xix.  $1-8^{a}$ ,  $9^{a}$ , 11-21 from the standpoint of its style and diction (§ 2), and there can be no doubt as to the relevancy and indispensableness of its subject-matter (§ 4). Alike from its form and substance it receives unequivocal attestation.

The chapter opens with the response (1-3) that the heavenly hosts make to the appeal of the Seer in xviii. 20, who thunder forth as with the mighty voice of a great multitude their exultation over the destruction of the Harlot City and God's avenging of the saints which she had slain. The theme is taken up afresh by the Elders and the Living Creatures and by the angel of the altar of incense, 4, xvi.  $5^{b}-7$ . The echoes of the closing words of this anthem have hardly ceased to reverberate, when at the summons of a voice from the throne (5) the glorified host of martyrs burst forth with, as it were, the voice of many waters and the voice of mighty thunderings into glad thanksgivings (6-7),

VOL. 11.--8

for that now at length the Lord God Omnipotent reigneth upon the earth and the advent of the kingdom of Christ is at hand. Thus, whereas the angels rejoice over the vindication of divine justice, the martyred host rejoice in that the kingdom of Christ is at hand with fresh stores of mercy and salvation for the sons of men (cf. xv. 4).

At this stage we should have expected a vision bearing on the destruction of the Parthian kings, mention of which was made proleptically in xvii. 14 (see § 4 *ad fin.*); but this vision may have been displaced by the interpolation  $9^{b}$ -10 (see § 2).<sup>1</sup>

Now that the Harlot City (and the Parthian kings) have been destroyed, there remains only the execution of judgment on the kings of the earth who had shared in the spiritual fornication and abominations of Rome (11-21). These are slain with the sword that proceeded out of the mouth of the Word of God, and the Beast and the False Prophet that deceived them were cast into the lake of fire.

# § 2. The Diction and Idiom.

There can be no doubt whatever that xix.  $I-8^{\circ}$ , II-2I comes from the hand of our author. Alke (a) the diction and (b) the idioms are his, as is shown fully in the notes on the various verses, but it will not be unhelpful to show in the case of a few verses how intimately every verse is bound up with what precedes.

(a) Diction. -1. µerd raura nkoura. Frequent in our author.

ώς φωνην μεγάλην: cf. i. 15, vi. 1, 6, ix. 9, etc. μέγαs is the adjective generally connected with φωνη: see xvi. 1, note.

όχλου πολλοῦ... λεγόντων: cf. vii. 9. ὅχλος πολὺς... έστῶντες. Observe the same construction κατὰ σύνεσιν. ή σωτηρία καὶ ή δόξα καὶ ή δύναμις τοῦ θεοῦ ήμῶν: cf. iv. 11, v. 12, vii. 10, xii. 10.

2. άληθιναί καί δίκαιαι αί κρίσεις αύτοῦ : cf. xv. 3.

ότι έκρινεν την πόρνην την μεγάλην: cf. xvii. i; also 5, 16. ητις έφθειρεν την γην: cf. xi. 18. εν τη πορνεία αυτής: cf. xiv. 8, xvii. 2, xviii. 3. εξεδίκησεν το αίμα... έκ: cf. vi. 10.

8. καί δ καπνός αύτης άναβαίνει κτλ. : cf. xiv. 11 (xviii. 9, 18).

4. ἔπεσαν οἱ πρέσβύτεροι οἱ εἰκ. τέσσαρες : Cf. iv. io, v. 8, xi. i6. ἔπεσαν οἱ πρεσβύτεροι . . . καὶ προσεκύνησαν : Cf. xi. i6. τ. καθημένψ ἐπὶ τ. θρόνψ : see note on iv. 2.

**XVI.**  $5^{b}$ -7. See notes on p. 120 sqq.

**ΧΙΧ. 5.** φωνή από τοῦ θρόνου εξήλθεν: cf. xvi. 17 for the more usual order. οἱ δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ . . μεγάλοι : almost a verbal reproduction of xi. 18, though with a limitation of meaning.

<sup>1</sup>  $8^{b}$  is a gloss and  $9^{b}$ -IO an interpolation (see notes *in loc.*), which may have displaced a vision of the destruction of the Parthian kings and their hosts by the Lamb and the glorified martyrs. See § 4, *ad finem*.

6. ὡς φωτὴν ὅχλου πολλοῦ: see note on 1. ὡς φωτὴν ὅδάτων πολλῶν: cf. i. 15, xiv. 2. ὡς φωτὴν βροντῶν: cf. vi. 1. ἐβασίλευσεν κύριος ὁ θεός, ὁ παντοκράτωρ: cf. xi. 17, εὐχαριστοῦμέν σοι, κύριε, ὁ θεός, ὁ παντοκράτωρ . . . ὅτι . . . ἐβασίλευσας.

7. δώσομεν την δόξαν: see note on xi. 13. ήλθεν ό γάμος: cf. xi. 18 for same use of verb. ήτοίμασεν έαυτήν: cf. xxi. 2, ήτοιμασμένην ώς νύμφην, also ix. 7, 15, xvi. 12.

8. περιβάληται βύσσινον: cf. for construction vii. 9, 13, x. 1. 9<sup>h</sup>-10. See notes *in loc*.

11. πιστός κ. αληθινός : cf. xxii. 6. πολεμεί : only used outside our author once in the N.T., whereas he uses it six times.

12. oi δε όφθαλμοι αδτοῦ ώς φλόξ πυρός : repeated from i. 14 : εχων . . . αὐτός—a gloss, though the diction is Johannine.

14. τὰ στρατεύματα : cf. ix. 16. ἐνδεδυμένοι βύσσινον :<sup>1</sup> cf. i. 13, xv. 6.

15. ἐκ τοῦ στόματος ἐκπορεύεται ῥομφαία δξεία: cf. 21, i. 16, ii. 12. ποιμανεῖ αὐτοὺς ἐν ῥάβδῷ σιδηρῷ: cf. ii. 27, xii. 5. πατεῖ τ. ληνόν τοῦ οἴνου τ. θυμοῦ κτλ.: cf. xiv. 19–20, τ. ληνὸν τ. θυμοῦ τ. θεοῦ... καὶ ἐπατήθη ἡ ληνός.

16. βασιλεύς βασιλέων κτλ. : cf. xvii. 14.

17. ἕκραξεν φωνή μεγάλη: see note on xiv. 15. πετομένοις εν μεσουρανήματι: cf. vin. 13, xiv. 6.

18. χιλιάρχων: cf. vi. 15. ελευθέρων... μεγάλων: cf. xiii. 16. 19. τ. βασιλεῖς τ. γῆς: cf. vi. 15 (xvi. 14), xxi. 24. συνηγμένα ποιῆσαι τ. πόλεμον μετά: cf. xvi. 14, 16, xx. 8.

20. δ ψευδοπροφήτης: cf. xvi. 13, xx. 10. δ ποιήσας τλ σημεία: cf. x111. 13, 14. επλάνησεν κτλ.: cf. xiii. 14, xx. 3, etc. λαβόντας τ. χάραγμα τ. θηρίου: cf. xiv. 11, xx. 4 (xvi. 2, note). προσκυνοῦντας τ. εἰκόνα: cf. xiii. 14, xiv. 9; see note on vii. 11. εβλήθησαν . . εἰς τ. λίμνην τ. πυρός . . θείω: cf. xx. 10, xxi. 8.

21. τη ρομφαία . . έξελθούση έκ τ. στόματος αύτου: see on 15.

(b) Idiom.—There are no idoms against our author's usage, while those that follow are characteristic of him.

1. ώς φωτήτ : cf. passim. ὄχλου . . . λεγόττωτ : cf. vii. 9.

2. ητις = η in 2 : cf. i. 12, xii. 13, xvii. 12. έξεδίκησεν τό αίμα.

<sup>1</sup>  $\beta \delta \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \nu$  is used as a noun only once in the LXX in the sing., Dan. x. 5°; in the plural in the LXX in Dan. x. 5°, xii. 6, 7; Isa. iii. 23: but in our author only in sing.; cf. xix. 8°, 14, xviii. 12, 16. This use of adjectives as nouns in the sing. is characteristic of the writer of xviii. Thus he uses  $\beta \delta \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma$  as a noun in 12, 16,  $\pi \sigma \rho \delta \nu \rho \sigma \nu$  as a noun in 16, and in xvii. 4 which is from the same source, whereas the Fourth Gospel uses it as an adj. (cf. xix. 2, 5),  $\kappa \delta \kappa \kappa \nu \sigma \sigma$  as a noun (rare except in plural) in 12, 16 and in xvii. 4, but as an adj. in xvii. 3. I do not know of any other instances of  $\pi \sigma \rho \phi \nu \rho \sigma \sigma$  as a noun.  $\sigma \mu \kappa \sigma \nu$  in 12; here only in Biblical Greek, though used by Plutarch, Strabo, etc. 4. προσεκύνησαν τῷ  $\theta$ εῷ : see note on vii. 11.

τῷ καθημένο ἐπὶ τῷ θρόνο : see note on iv. 2. οἱ μικροὶ καὶ οἱ μεγάλοι : cf. xi. 18.

5. aireîre rû  $\theta$ eû : a Hebraism ; see note in loc.

6. ώς φωνήν όχλου . . . λεγόντων : see note on I.

8. 2860 aut f iva : cf. ix. 5.

11. δ καθήμενος έπ' αὐτόν : see note on iv. 2.

12. ἐπὶ τ. κεφαλην αὐτοῦ: cf. x. 1, xiv. 14 (see Introd. to xiv. § 3).

13. περιβεβλημένος—a participle used as a finite verb : see note on iv. 7, vol. i. p. 104.

14. αὐτῷ ἐφ' ἴπποις. The dat. after ἐπί here seems due to αὐτῷ: cf. our author's usage where καθημένου is followed by gen. in 18, 19, 21, and where καθημένω is followed by dat.: iv. 9, v. 13, vii. 10, etc.

15. ποιμανεί: here used as equivalent to "will break": see note on ii. 27.

16. έπι τόν μηρόν . . . γεγραμμένον : cf. iii. 12, γράψω έπ' αύτον το δνομα.

18. καθημένων ἐπ' αὐτῶν: cf. 19, 21: see note on iv. 2.

20. προσκυνούντας τ. εἰκόνα: see note on vii. II.

§ 3. xix. 9<sup>4</sup>-10 an Interpolation.

See note in loc.

### § 4. The Structure of the Book requires xix. 1-4, xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7, xix. 5-8, 9<sup>a</sup>, 11-21.

**xix.** 1-4, xvi.  $5^{b}$ -7, xix. 5-8 is the heavenly response to the Seer's appeal in xviii. 20.  $9^{a}$  contains the fourth of the seven beatitudes. The contents of xix. 11-21, which have already been proleptically described in xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 14, 16, are here seen in their due chronological connection, as the necessary prelude to xx.-xxii. The kings of the earth, who had shared in the idolatries and abominations of Rome, here meet with just doom, while the Beast and the False Prophet are cast down into the lake of fire. Only one more event must intervene before the advent of the heavenly Jerusalem, and this—the chaining of Satan—is witnessed in xx. 1-3.

There appears, however, to be a lacuna in this chapter. Where the interpolation  $(9^{b}-10)$  stands we should expect a vision relating to the destruction of the Parthian kings. In xviii. we have the vision of the destruction of the Harlot City which had in an earlier chapter been spoken of proleptically as though already accomplished: cf. xiv. 8. In xix. 11-21 we have a vision of the destruction of the kings of the earth and the final doom of the Beast and the False Prophet in their due chronological sequence, save in one respect, and this is, that after the destruction of Rome and before the destruction of the kings of the earth there ought to be a vision of the destruction of the Parthian kings—a destruction that has already been referred to proleptically in xvii. 14 (see 12-13, 17, 16, 14). A short vision to this effect may have been displaced by the interpolation xix.  $9^{b}-10$ .

## § 5. The Method of our author elsewhere in this Book is observed here.

In xix. 1-8 our author pursues the method already familiar to us in preceding chapters, in accordance with which over against a vision of the tribulation of the faithful is set one of their deliverance and triumph, or over against a vision of coming judgment on the enemies of Christ is set one of the ultimate blessedness of the redeemed.

Thus xix. 1-4, xvi.  $5^{b}-7$ , xix. 5-8, which sets forth the joy of the angels on the dooms just executed on Rome and the joy of the glorified martyrs on the impending advent of the kingdom, is not only a heavenly response to the appeal of the Seer in xviii. 20, but the counterpart we expect of the dread judgments culminating in xvii.-xviii. With this notable contrast we might compare earlier analogous contrasts on this Book. Thus xv. 2-3, which comes in reality immediately after xiii. (since xiv. is entirely proleptic), brings out the glaring contrast between the universal martyrdom of the faithful in xiii. and the blessed inheritance on which they entered forthwith in heaven itself. Also in xiv. 1-5 we have a parallel to xv. 2-3 in contrast to xiii., where the visions were of such a nature as to daunt the faithfullest heart, as they foretold the destruction of all God's servants. In like manner xi. 14-18 comes in as a contrast to the tribulations depicted in xi. 1-13 and the still darker visions that follow in xii.-xiii., claiming as it does that already, however great may appear the triumph of the Antichrist, it is but a passing one, and that the issues of the strife are already made known to the hosts of heaven. Once more, after the account of the Seals is placed the vision of the great multitude in heaven, vii. 9-17.

On every ground, therefore, whether we regard the diction, the idioms, the due development of events in the Book, or the method pursued in this chapter, we conclude that it is the undoubted product of his pen.

1-8. Thanksgivings in heaven. The closing appeal in xviii. 20 to heaven to rejoice in that God had judged the great Harlot

City is answered by an exultant anthem voiced by a great multitude of angels (1-3) which is closed by the four and twenty Elders and the Cherubim with the response, "Amen, alleluia" (4-5). They had already voiced their thanksgivings in two anthems on the same subjects in xi. 15-18. Hence here they simply give utterance to their approval of the theme of the angels' song. And whereas a voice from earth summoned the heavenly hosts to the duty of thanksgiving in that the doom of the Harlot City is already accomplished in 1-5, now in 6-8 a voice from the throne summons the glorified martyrs in heaven to the glad service of thanksgiving, whereupon there arose as it were the voice of a great multitude and of many waters and of mighty thunderings proclaiming that the Lord God Omnipotent had become King, and that the advent of the heavenly Jerusalem was at hand. It is fitting that the last song in the Apocalypse should come from the lips of the martyrs.

1. The thanksgiving in this verse has been explained by some interpreters as coming from the glorified martyrs, as in 2 i. 10-12; but the context and our author's usage is against this interpreta-The context is against it; for the faithful, who appear to tion. embrace only the glorified martyrs, do not offer their thanksgiving till 6-8. Further, our author's usage is against it. In such a series of thanksgivings those of the angels could not be omitted, and, if the alleluia in I is ascribed to the glorified martyrs, then we have such an omission, while in 6-8 there would then be a duplication of the praises of the martyrs. Furthermore (see notes on iv. 4, v. 11), if any reference to the angels' thanksgiving is made in our text, it must come in I; for the mention of the Elders and the Living Creatures (and in this order) in 4 shows that the Seer is beginning his description with the outer ranks of the heavenly beings and proceeding inwards towards the throne. Thus, as in vii. 11, we have first angels, then the Elders, then the Living Creatures.

If the same order were observed as in vii. 9-11, we should have 6-8 before 1-5: in other words, the thanksgivings of men, then of angels, then of the Elders, and finally of the Living Creatures. But the order is otherwise here, and the change is instructive. Whereas in an earlier chapter, vi. 10, the cry of the faithful was for vengeance on their persecutors, here (cf. xv. 4) their sole theme of thanksgiving is that now at last "the Lord God Omnipotent reigneth," and that "the marriage of the Lamb is come." This prepares the reader for what is to follow in the speedy removal (in xix. 11-21) of all that prevents the advent of the heavenly Jerusalem. Hence 6-8 comes rightly at the close of 1-5. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that in 1-5 the theme of the angels' song is one of profound thanksgiving for God's righteous judgment of Rome and His avenging of the blood of the martyrs. This thanksgiving follows naturally and immediately in response to the appeal in xviii. 20.

ώς φωνήν μεγάλην. With ώς φωνήν cf. i. 15 (v. 11), vi. 1, 6, ix. 9, xiv. 2, xix. 6. μεγάλη is the usual adjective our author connects with φωνή (see xvi. 1, note). With ὄχλου πολλοῦ, cf. vii. 9. λεγόντων. A construction κατὰ σύνεσιν with ὅχλου exactly as we find it in vii. 9—ὅχλος . . . ἐστώτες. Αλληλουιά. As this word is a transliteration of τητάτη, the η, where we should have  $\epsilon$ , is strange. The same irregularity is to be found in Γεθσημανεί, which according to Dalman (Gram. p. 152, note 3), Delitzsch, and others is derived from 'ΨΟΥ'. This transliteration came into use before the Christian era amongst Hellenistic Jews, as Swete remarks, since it is found in 3 Macc. vii. 13; Tob. xiii. 18. In the LXX it is found frequently at the beginning and end of certain Pss. : see Swete, Intr. to O.T. in Greek, p. 250.

ή σωτηρία καὶ ή δόξα καὶ ή δύναμις τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν. In xii. 10 we find ή σωτηρία and ή δύναμις conjoined, and in iv. 11, vii. 12, ή δόξα and ή δύναμις (also in v. 12, but in the reverse order): in vii. 10, ή σωτηρία alone. This construction ή σωτηρία... τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν has already occurred in xii. 10, the more usual being that which is found in i. 6 (αὐτῷ ή δόξα), vii. 10.

2.  $d\lambda\eta\theta$ urai kai δίκαιαι ai κρίσεις aðroû. This clause has, it we have concluded rightly, been reproduced in xvi. 7, which belongs to a dislocated passage, *i.e.* xvi. 5-7. A distant parallel occurs in xv. 3, δίκαιαι καὶ ἀληθυναὶ ai ὅδοί σου. These words define the reason for this hymn of praise—the righteousness of God's judgments as exemplified in the destruction of the Harlot City.

ότι ἐκρινεν τὴν πόρνην τὴν μεγάλην. This clause is a justification of the preceding ότι clause. It recalls xvii. 1, τὸ κρίμα τῆς πόρνης τῆς μεγάλης. On ἔκρινεν cf. xviii. 8, 20, and on τῆς πόρνης τ. μεγάλης cf. xvii. 1, 5, 15, 16.

ητις έφθειρεν την γην: cf. xi. 18, διαφθείραι τοὺς διαφθείροντας την γην, and the note thereon. The pronoun ητις appears here to be simply the equivalent of η. It definitely determines who the Harlot is. For this usage of σστις see note on xi. 8. έν τη πορνεία αὐτης. This is the first charge brought against Rome. It has corrupted the whole earth, cf. xiv. 8, xvii. 2, xviii. 3. The second charge is that stated in the words έξεδίκησεν το αίμα τῶν δούλων κτλ. These words recall the complaint of the martyrs in vi. 10, οὐ... ἐκδικεῖς τὸ αίμα ημῶν ἐκ τῶν κατοικούντων ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, and now recognize the consummation of divine justice: cf. xi. 18. The idiom itself is found in 2 Kings ix. 7, ἐκδικήσεις (ΥΠΟΩ) τὰ αίματα τῶν δούλων μου... ἐκ χειρὸς (ΥΟ) Ἱεζάβελ. Cf. also Deut. xxxii. 43. των δούλων αυτοῦ recalls xviii. 24—the prophets and saints.

3. καὶ δεύτερον εἴρηκαν. "And a second time they say." The second utterance or antistrophe from the lips of the same multitude consists not only of the word ἀλληλουιά, but also of the words that follow καὶ ὁ καπνὸς . . . αἰώνων. These words correspond to the tetrastich that follows the first ἀλληλουιά in I-2.

кай  $\delta$  каптод aðríjs кт $\lambda$ . This clause recalls xviii. 8 ( $\epsilon r$   $\pi v \rho i$ катакаυθήσεται) and xviii. 9, 18 ( $\tau \delta v$  каπ $v \delta v$   $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\pi v \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ aðríjs). Cf. also xiv. 11, кай  $\delta$  каптод  $\tau \sigma v$  βаσανισμοῦ aðrῶv eis aðῶναs aðŵνων ἀναβαίνει. The καί is not to be taken here as a mere conjunction. We can only secure the right meaning of the clause that follows if καί is taken as a Hebraism: *i.e.* "Hallelujah; for the smoke thereof goeth up for ever and ever." This clause therefore is a circumstantial clause introduced by καί (= 1) and gives a statement of the concomitant conditions, which justify the action denoted by the principal verb ( $\pi c d r v h$ ). See Oxford Hebrew Dict. p. 253.

eis rods alwas rw alww. This expression is equivalent here to 1000 years. For, since the advent of the Millennial Kingdom is already at hand, and since the earth is to be destroyed at its close, it follows that even the smoking ruins of Rome will cease to exist at that date. Contrast this meaning with that which it bears in xxii. 5, where it denotes eternity.

4. Now that the angelic hosts have sung their two paeans of triumph, the Elders and the Living Creatures too take their share in the praises of heaven with the words,  $\Lambda \mu \eta \nu$ ,  $\Lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \delta \nu \iota d$ , followed by xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7, which belongs here.

In iv. 8, 11, xi. 15-18 the Cherubim and the Elders offer their praises independently; in v. 9 together, as in the verse before us; in v. 14 the Cherubim pronounce the "amen" at the close of the doxology of all creation. In the present text both orders unite together (as in v. 9) in singing the antistrophe (consisting like the strophe  $1^{b}-2$  of two stanzas of three lines each),  $3^{\circ}$ , xvi.  $5^{b}-7$ .

προσεκύνησαν τῷ θεῷ. Our author uses the dative when speaking of the highest form of worship: see note on vii. 11. τῷ καθ. ἐπὶ τῷ θρότῳ—also a characteristic usage of our author: see note on iv. 2.

'Αμήν, άλληλουιά. These words form the close of Ps. cvi. 48, אָכָּוָ הַלְרָיָה, where they are rendered in the LXX by γένοιτο, γένοιτο. Cf. also Neh. v. 13.

**XVI.** 5<sup>b</sup>-7. These verses, which in the MSS follow after xvi.  $5^{a}$ , do not belong to xvi., but have in this edition been restored to their original context after xix. 4. Either what

originally followed was lost (.f. loss in xvi. 10), or else it was deliberately removed by the Johannine editor and these verses substituted in their stead.

(1) The first ground for regarding these verses as an interpolation in their present context is that they limit the incidence of the Third Plague and probably that of the Second to the adherents of the Roman Empire. But, if the Second and Third Plagues are studied apart from these verses, the fact that their incidence is universal for sea and land cannot be questioned any more than that of the Fourth Plague, the Sixth, and the Seventh. We have already seen that the First also affects all the earth. Hence all the Bowls are universal in their incidence save the Fifth, just as all the Seals are likewise universal save the Fifth.

(2) The main point of these verses was not understood by the Johannine editor. Rightly understood they mean: God is righteous, inasmuch as He has made those who shed the blood of the prophets and saints to shed each other's blood  $(a^{1}\mu a a^{1}\sigma c^{2}s)$  $\delta \ell \delta \omega \kappa \alpha s \pi \epsilon \hat{v} v$ , xvi. 6<sup>b</sup>) and stirred up the kings of the East against the great Harlot City Rome to destroy it, and eat her flesh  $(\tau \dot{\alpha} s \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha s a^{1} \tau \hat{\gamma} s \phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \tau \tau \alpha$ , xvii. 16). Now this judgment of Rome is not referred to till we come to the vision in xvii. 12-13, 17, 16: hence xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7 cannot rightly occur till after xvii., nor in fact till after xviii, which describes the destruction of Rome.

(3) Since xvi.  $5^{b}-7$  does not belong to xvi. and cannot justly be used till after xviii., we have two further points to determine: *i.e.* (a) Are these verses from our author; and, if so, (b) what was their original context?

(a) The passage is genuine. In xvi. 5 on  $\delta$  w kai  $\delta$   $\eta$  cf. xi. 17 (n.): on ocios as applied to God cf. xv. 4, or µώνος ocios: on ravra ëκρινας cf. vi. 10, xix. 2. 6. On alµa  $\delta\gamma$ ww κ. προφητών cf. xi. 18, xviii. 24, xix. 2: on äξιοί είσιν cf. iii. 4 and xiv. 5 for exactly the same asyndetic construction. 7. On κύριε  $\delta$  θεός,  $\delta$  παντοκράτωρ cf. i. 8, iv. 8, xi. 17, xv. 3, xix. 6, xxi. 22. Here we should observe that the divine title in 5 and this title in 7 are already conjoined in xi. 17: on  $\delta\lambda\eta\theta$ wai κ.  $\delta$ (καιαι al κρίσεις σου cf. xix. 2 where the words recur exactly as here; also in xv. 3 (in reverse order).

Thus the passage is undoubtedly Johannine.

(b) All the evidence is in favour of restoring it immediately after  $d\lambda\lambda\eta\lambda\sigma\nu\iota\dot{a}$  in xix. 4. Thus we have : first two stanzas of three lines each, xix. 1<sup>b</sup>-2, followed by one of two in xix. 3, and parallel with these two other stanzas of three lines each in xix. 4<sup>o</sup>, xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-6 followed by another of two in xvi. 7<sup>bc</sup>. The structure is thus perfectly symmetrical. The Song of the Angels.

- XIX. 1<sup>b</sup>. 'Αλληλουιά'
  - Ή σωτηρία καὶ ἡ δόξα καὶ ἡ δύναμις τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν,
  - 2. "Οτι άληθιναί και δίκαιαι αι κρίσεις αὐτοῦ·

Ότι ἕκρινεν τὴν πόρνην τὴν μεγάλην, ὅΗτις ἔφθειρεν τὴν γῆν ἐν τῇ πορνεία αὐτῆς, Καὶ ἐξεδίκησεν τὸ αίμα τῶν δούλων αὐτοῦ ἐκ χειρὸς αὐτῆς.

- 8. Καὶ δεύτερον εἶρηκαν. 'Αλληλουιά'
  - Καὶ ὁ καπνὸς ἀὐτῆς ἀναβαίνει εἰς τοὺς ἀίῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.

The Song of the Elders and the Cherubim.

4°. 'Αμήν, άλληλουιά'

- **XVI.** 5<sup>b</sup>. Δίκαιος εί, ό ών καὶ ό ήν, Όσιος ὅτι ταῦτα ἔκρινας.
  - 6. Ότι αίμα άγίων καὶ προφητῶν ἐξέχεαν, Καὶ αίμα αὐτοῖς δέδωκας πεῖν<sup>.</sup> "Αξιοί εἰσιν.
  - Καὶ ἤκουσα τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου λέγοντος Ναί, κύριε, δ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ, 'Άληθιναὶ καὶ δίκαιαι αἱ κρίσεις σου.

At first sight it might appear an objection that this doxology is addressed directly to God, whereas the doxology in xix.  $1^{b}-2$ is not so directed, but God is spoken of in the third person. But this objection is groundless; for in iv. 8 the doxology speaks of God in the third person, whereas that which follows in iv. 11 is addressed directly to God. The same change of persons is found in the two thanksgivings in connection with the Lamb in v. 9-10 and v. 12. Here also the Elders and the Cherubim join together, as in v. 9, in this great anthem of praise. Again it is noteworthy that the doxologies of the twenty-four Elders are always elsewhere in our author (cf. iv. 11, v. 9, xi. 17) addressed directly to God, just as they are above in xix.  $4^{\circ}$ , xvi.  $5^{b}-6$ . Thus what at first sight appears to be an objection turns out to be evidence in favour of the above restoration.

(4) The reader will recognize at a glance the similarity of construction between the doxology in xix. 1-2 and that of the Elders and Living Creatures in xix.  $4^{\circ}$ , xvi.  $5^{\circ}$ -6, both of which consist of two stanzas of three lines each, and that in each case

these stanzas are followed by one of two lines. They both also deal with the same subject—thanksgiving over the fall of Rome. **XVI.** 5<sup>b</sup>. 5 & w rai 5 fiv. See note on i. 4.

öruos. Cf. xv. 5. So the best authorities: "holy"—in apposition to δίκαιος. If with NP we read δ öruos the phrase is to be taken as a vocative, "Thou Holy One." It represents God as faithful in His relation to men. See Trench (Synon.<sup>6</sup> p. 316) on the relation of δίκαιος and ὄσιος in Classical Greek. On this attribute of God cf. I Enoch i. 2, 3, x. I, xiv. I, xxv. 3, xxxvii. 2, cxxxiv. I, xcii. 2, xciii. II, xcviii. 6, civ. 9.

6. alua . . . éféxear. Cf. Ps. lxxix. 3.

άγίων και προφητών. We should expect the reverse order: cf. xviii. 24, xxii. 9.

alµa autois dédukas meir. This expression has a technical sense in the O.T. and later books. Thus in Isa. xlix. 26 we have = "I will feed them that oppress thee with their own flesh, and they shall be drunken with their own blood." In that time "no man spareth his own brother " (Isa. ix. 19). This is the so-called Period of the Sword : cf. Ezek. xxxviii. 21, "I will call for a sword against him . . . every man's sword shall be against his brother "; Hag. ii. 22, "I will destroy the strength of the kingdoms . . . everyone by the sword of his brother"; Zech. xiv. 13; 1 Enoch xxxviii. 5, xcviii. 12, xcix. 4, 6; Jub. xxiii. 19; 2 Bar. xlviii. 32, lxx. 6-7; Mark xiii. 8. Thus the meaning of the clause in our text is that God would cause internecine war to arise amongst the Antichristian nations, *i.e.* between Rome and the nations of the East: for the latter, as the Seer has already testified in xvii. 12-13, 17, 16, would invade the West and destroy Rome. But the Johannine editor was wholly ignorant of the force of these words and took them in a literal and material sense: As Rome had shed the blood of the saints, so it should drink actual blood ! whereas they mean that the doom pronounced by God on those who had martyred the prophets and the saints would be that they should fall by each other's hands, and thus they should eat each other's flesh (xvii. 16) and drink each other's blood (xvi. 6). We should observe that the two ideas in Isa. xlix. 26 are thus adopted by our author.  $\pi \epsilon i v = \pi \iota \epsilon i v$ : cf. Blass, Gram. 23.

äfici eiouv. Contrast the use of this phrase in iii. 4, and for the absence of the copula xiv. 5.

XIX, 5.

nection with judgment, where it is conceived as initiating the second Woe by ordering the four angels of pumishment to be let loose. In xiv. 18, which represents a period chronologically later, *i.e.* when the roll of the martyrs is complete, an angel goes forth from this altar and delivers to the Son of Man the divine command to undertake the judgment of the earth. In the present passage the altar confirms the doxologies just uttered (*vai*), and declares that in the destruction of Rome the truth and righteousness of God are vindicated. Thus at last the prayers of the martyrs and of the saints are fulfilled.

**XIX.** 5.  $\phi \omega r \eta$   $d\pi \partial rou$   $\theta \rho \delta rou$ . This voice directed to God's servants in heaven (*i.e.* the martyrs) seems to be that of one of the Cherubim or of the Elders, and not of an angel, since the two first orders were the nearest to the throne. In no case should the voice be ascribed to Christ, as in that case we should have not  $\tau \psi \theta \epsilon \psi \eta \mu \omega v$  in the words that follow, but  $\tau \psi \theta \epsilon \psi \mu \omega v$ , as in iii. 12.

aiveîre דָשָּׁ פּשָּׁ שָׁשָּׁי (= גָּלָד לאַלדינו). aiveîr takes the acc. elsewhere in the N.T. and all but universally in the LXX. In the half-dozen or more of cases where aiveîr takes the dative in the LXX, we can explain it in 1 Chron. xvi 36, xxiii. 5, 2 Chron. xx. 19 as a reproduction of the Hebrew idiom איז סר דער מיש היא די דער מיש היא Still more remarkable is the careful following of the text in Ezra iii. 10, where aiveîr takes the acc. as איז ליהוד ליהוד ליהוד 11, where aiveîr takes the acc. as מיש ליהוד ליהוד ליהוד 2 Chron. v. 13, aiveîr τῷ κυρίψ is a rendering of in iii 11, where aiveîr τῷ κυρίψ is a translation of 2 Chron. v. 13, aiveîr τῷ κ. is a translation of vii. 3. Hence it is not improbable that this Hebrew idiom was in the mind of our author when he wrote, aiveîr τῷ θεῷ ψμῶν. The dative is also found in Pss. Sol. v. 1, aivéσω τῷ ὀνόματί σου.

We have next to discover who these servants of God are. They can hardly be said to compose the Church universal; for (1) the presupposition of xviii. 20,  $\vec{v}\phi\rho a i v v v \cdots v$ .  $\vec{v}\rho a v \epsilon$ , is that only those who are in heaven should take part in the thanksgivings over the fall of Rome. (2) The words, further,  $\phi w v \gamma \dot{a} \pi v$  roû  $\theta \rho \dot{\rho} v \sigma v$ , have the same implication. They are addressed to those in heaven. If the voice were addressed to those on earth we shall expect  $\phi \omega v \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa r \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \dot{\rho} \rho a v \sigma \hat{v}$ : cf. x. 4, 8, xi. 12, xiv. 2, 13, xviii. 4 In xxi. 3 God tabernacles with men = hence this phrase has not the same significance there that it has in the earlier chapters. (3) We have found that all the faithful had been removed from the earth after chap. xiii.

Thus since the divine command is issued only to the servants  $(\delta o \partial \lambda o \iota)$  of God in heaven, these can only be the glorified martyrs, who have already been described as "a mighty multitude which no man could number" in vii. 9. The martyrs have already been so designated in vii. 3, xix. 2. It is used elsewhere also of the Christian prophets, and also of God's servants generally (see above).

So much then appears clear. The anthems of thanksgiving in xix. 1-8 are sung only by those actually in heaven—angels and glorified martyrs. We have now to deal with the further description of the latter, which is given in the next line.

καί οι φοβούμενοι αυτόν, οι μικροί και οι μεγάλοι. If we accept the sai (with AQ minoma vg. syr.<sup>1, 2</sup> Prim.) we must render it as "even"; for there is no question here of two distinct classes of the faithful in heaven: there are only the martyrs. The martyrs are described here as οἱ δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ, as in the angels' song in 2. This being so, the phrase rai of popouperou autor is not a strong one as descriptive of the martyrs. rai of doß. avror ... μεγάλοι appears to be derived from Ps. cxiv. 13 (cxiii. 21) originally. The phrase of φοβούμενοι τὸ ὄνομά σου bears another meaning in xi. 18. There the whole body of the faithful are referred to; xi. 18<sup>d-g</sup> refers to the events subsequent to the final judgment, and to all the orders of the faithful who then enter into the everlasting Kingdom of God. Hence sal of poßovμεγοι το ογομά σου denotes a class of the faithful quite distinct from the other classes there enumerated. But in the present context only one class is mentioned, *i.e.* that of the martyrs.

6. Response of the martyr host to the divine command.

ώς φωτήν ὄχλου πολλοῦ. See note on ver. I. ὡς φωτήν ὑδάτων πολλῶν: cf. i. 15, xiv. 2. ὡς φωτήν βροττῶν ἰσχυρῶν: cf. vi. I (x. 3). λεγώντων. This is the best attested reading (AP and many cursives). Presumably our author took it in immediate connection with βροττῶν. Cf. like irregularities in iv. I, φωτή ... λέγων: in ix. 13, φωτήν ... λέγοντα. λέγοντες (Q and many cursives) is, though parallels in our author's use (cf. v. 11, xiv. 6-7) could be adduced, probably a correction.

οτι έβασίλευσεν . . χαίρωμεν και άγαλλιῶμεν. For the same connection of ideas cf. Ps. xcvii. I הוה מלך חגל הארץ (xcvi. I, δ κύριος έβασίλευσεν, άγαλλιάσεται ή γη). With έβασίλευσεν : cf. xi. 15, 17. With the destruction of Rome the reign of God is established on earth. Cf. Pss. Sol. ii. 34, 36, v. 21, 22, xvii. 1, 4, 38, 51, where the like thought of the kingship of God prevails. This is the second great stage in the destruction of evil in the universe. The first was achieved when Satan was cast down from heaven (chap. xii.).

κύριος δ θεός δ παντοκράτωρ. Á favourite designation of God in our author. Cf. i. 8, iv. 8, xi. 17, xv. 3, xvi. 7, xxi. 22. Against (A 1, 49, 95 al. me. arm.) other authorities add  $\eta\mu\omega\nu$ after  $\theta\epsilon\delta\sigma$  owing to the influence of 1, 5. But this is against the usage of our author in this phrase, and the context itself is against its insertion. When  $\delta \pi a \nu \tau \sigma \kappa \rho a \tau \sigma \omega \rho$  does not immediately follow, as in iv. 11, we have  $\delta \kappa \delta \rho \omega \kappa \lambda \delta \theta \epsilon \delta s \eta \mu \omega \nu$ , where Dr. D'Arcy suggests there may be a reference to the blasphemous title assumed by Domitian, "Dominus et Deus noster."

7.  $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega \rho \kappa \alpha i d \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda i \tilde{\omega} \rho \epsilon \kappa$ . For the same combination cf. Matt. v. 12,  $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha i d \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda i \partial \sigma \theta \epsilon$ .  $d \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda i \hat{\alpha} r$  is found in the N.T. only here and in Luke i. 47. Elsewhere in the N.T. and in the LXX the middle form is used. The classical form is  $d \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \rho \rho \alpha \alpha$ .

δώσομεν την δόξαν. See note on xi. 13.

 $\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  is váµos roû dpvíou.  $\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  is used prolep cally in xi. 18, but not to the same extent here; for Rome is already overthrown: the impenitent nations, the Beast and the False Prophet are on the eve of being cast into the lake of fire, the Millennial Kingdom on the eve of being set up, and the heavenly Jerusalem—the bride—of coming down from heaven. In fact,  $\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  refers here to the time just preceding the advent of the Millennial Kingdom, whereas in xi. 18 to the period that follows on its close. Cf. xiv. 7, 15, where it also occurs.

δ γάμος τοῦ ἀρνίου. As God in the O.T. is the Bridegroom of Israel (cf. Hos. ii. 16; Isa. liv. 6; Ezek. xvi. 7 sq.), in the N.T. this symbolism is transferred to Christ and the Church, which in our author is symbolized by the heavenly and the New Jerusalem : cf. xx1. 9-10, xxii. 17, xxi. 2. This figure of marriage denotes the intimate and indissoluble communion of Christ with the community, which He has purchased with His own blood (v. 6, 9, vii. 17, xiv. 1). This communion is reached first in its fulness by the martyrs, who reign with Christ for 1000 years in the Holy City, which comes down from heaven. and are yet in a mysterious way identified with the Holy City xxi. 9, xxii. 17, *i.e.* the Church, the Bride of Christ. The Gentiles converted during these 1000 years belong also to the When the New (Kawn) Jerusalem descends in xxi. 2 Church. after the final judgment and the creation of a new heaven and a new earth, it has become a symbol for the Church Universal.

It is worth observing that the heavenly (or New?) Jerusalem is symbolized by a woman in 4 Ezra ix. 38 sqq., x. 25-50.

ή γυνή αὐτοῦ. Here ή γυνή is used as equivalent to ή μεμνηστευμένη (= ເບັນເຊິ່ງ), as in Deut. xxii. 23; Matt. i. 20. In xxi. 9 of our text the την γυναΐκα appears to be a gloss.

 $\eta \tau o i \mu a \sigma e r \epsilon a u \tau \eta r$ . A favourite word in our author (ix. 7, 15, xii. 6, xvi. 12, xxi. 2 (viii. 6)). Only the destruction of the Antichristian kings and nations, the casting of the Beast and the False Prophet into the lake of fire (xix. 11-21), and the chaining of Satan in the abyss (xx. 1-3), must intervene before the actual bridal, before the full spiritual communion of Christ and His Church is accomplished in the descent of the Holy City in xxi. 9 sqq.

8. καί ἰδόθη αἰτῆ ϊνα περιβάληται βύσσινον = "And it had been given unto her that she should array herself in fine linen." This privilege was already accorded to the martyr Church in vi. 11. περιβάλλεσθαι βύσσινον, ἰμάτια, στολάς are favourite expressions in our author: cf. iii. 18, vii. 9, 13, x. 1, xviii. 16, etc. Our author uses also the phrase ἐνδύεσθαι βύσσινον: cf. 14, xv. 6 (?). In the words ἐδόθη αὐτῆ ἕνα περιβάληται κτλ., and in the preceding clause ἡτοίμασεν ἐαυτήν, we have presented God's part and man's part in the work of redemption: cf. Phil. ii. 12-13, τὴν ἑαυτῶν σωτηρίαν κατεργάζεσθε, θεὸς γάρ ἐστιν ὁ ἐνεργῶν ἐν ὑμῶν καὶ τὸ θέλειν καὶ τὸ ἐνεργεῶν. The words καὶ ἐδόθη . . . καθαρόν could be taken as part of the martyrs' song. But both the context and the parallelism are in favour of regarding them as a remark of the Seer.

βύσσινον: cf. 14, xviii. 12. Here used as a noun, as in Dan. (LXX) x. 5. On the meaning of this "fine linen" see note on iii. 5, vi. 11, vol. i. p. 184 sq.

λαμπρον καθαρόν: cf. xv. 6. The vesture of the saints stands out in strong contrast with that of the Harlot in xvii. 4, xviii, 16.

[τὸ γὰρ βύσσινον τὰ δικαιώματα τῶν ἀγίων ἐστίν.] This is

regarded by some scholars as the close of the anthem : if so, it is a prosaic one. Of course it might be urged that it does not come from the heavenly choir, but is an explanation of the Seer himself, as the preceding clause itself appears to be. But this can hardly be maintained. As we have seen in the note on xiv. 13, the righteous acts (so δικαιώματα: cf. xv. 4; Rom. v. 18; I Bar. ii. 10) of the saints are regarded by our author as the manifestation of the inner life and as practically identical with character-the character a man takes with him when he leaves Neither his righteous acts nor his character are to be this life. regarded as the garment of the soul of the martyrs; for, though they had already this character they are described as without such garments for a time, even in heaven: see vi. 11. As a fitting clothing of the souls of the martyrs, God assigns them spiritual bodies, vi. II (see note), which in iii. 5 (see note) and here are described as white garments or shining pure garments. The individuals also who compose the Church or Bride at this period are the martyrs. If this view is right, then 8<sup>b</sup> is a gloss. In a limited sense it is a correct gloss, since the spiritual bodies will be in keeping with the character or righteous acts of the saints.

Alford regards the entire verse as an explanation of the Seer. But the objections to 8<sup>b</sup> hold nevertheless.

**9**<sup>b</sup>-10. This passage, which is in part a doublet of xxii. 8-9 but rewritten and given quite a different meaning, is bracketed as an interpolation.

1. The clause obrow of  $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega$  of  $\lambda \eta \partial w \partial v \delta \psi$  is rather inept here, seeing that the words referred to are the triumphant songs of the angels and martyrs in heaven. In xxii. 6, on the other hand, they are full of significance, coming in as they do at the close of the Book and giving it a solemn attestation. In xxi. 5 the authenticity of the phrase can hardly be maintained.

2. xix. 10 is in part a doublet of xxii. 8-9. This fact in itself is only of importance when combined with others.

3. xix. 10 is rewritten from xxii. 8-9 by the editor and given a less general meaning. In xxii. 8-9 the angel is a fellowservant of the prophets and of all Christians. In xix. 10 he is a fellow-servant only of the prophets and those endowed with the prophetic spirit.

4. If xix. 10 were original, the action of the Seer in xxii. 8-9, in again prostrating himself before the angel, would be incomprehensible. But if xix. 10 is an interpolation, then xxii. 8-9 is intelligible and in its right context at the close of the Book.

5. προσκυνήσαι αὐτῷ (10) is not used elsewhere in our author of simple homage or even of a θρησκεία τῶν ἀγγέλων (Col. ii. 18),

but only of divine worship (see note on vii. 11). We should, if this phrase were from our author's hand, have  $\pi poorkuv \hat{\eta} \sigma a a a a r dv$ . In xxii. 8 no such breach of our author's usage is committed.

The above difficulties are against the direct authorship of the The most natural hypothesis as to their insertion here is Seet. that they were inserted by the disciple who edited the whole. work, who, though he repeats some clauses from John's own hand, supplements them with others from his own. This hypothesis would explain the misuse of the phrase *προσκυνήσαι* αυτώ in this context, and the addition of the final clause  $\dot{\eta}$  yap mapropia Ίησοῦ ἐστίν τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς προφητείας. For this clause gives the preceding one, tŵr exórtwr thr µaptupíar Ingoû, a new meaning. In itself the latter means the whole body of believers generally, but when the last clause of 10 is added it means the limited body of Christian prophets. By this gloss the exact parallelism of xix. q-10 with xxii. 8-q is destroyed, for there the angel represents himself as the servant of the prophets and the whole Christian community.

9°. και λέγει μοι Γράψον Μακάριοι οι είς το δειπνον του γάμου του άρνίου κεκλημένοι.

This beatitude is the fourth of our author's seven beatitudes. But there is a difficulty in KERAMLÉVOL; for throughout the Millennial Reign all men alike are "called" to share in the kingdom: cf. xiv. 7, xv. 4, xxii. 17. There is no blessedness in being called (cf. Luke xiv. 24, ούδεις . . . τ. κεκλημένων γεύσεταί μου τοῦ δείπνου), unless a man accepts the call and is found faithful-is "chosen" indentify and found faithful miories (cf. xvii. 14). The words of our Lord can hardly have been unknown to our Seer; πολλοί γάρ είσιν κλητοί, ολίγοι δε εκλεκτοί (Matt. xx. 16, xxii. 14). But κεκλημένοι has not this technical meaning here, but simply that of "invited." Here, as in Matt. xxii. 2 sq., the guests and the Bride are one and the same. But. though the guests or the faithful might (as Israel in the O.T. in relation to Yahweh) be rightly designated the Bride, as. for example, in xxi. 9 (cf. Eph. v. 27), at the beginning of the Millennial Kingdom, since the words Bridegroom and Bride symbolize the close relation between Christ and the Church at all times, yet the realization of the things so symbolized is always partial and imperfect till the number of the saints is complete. Hence not till then has the time come for the Wedding Feast and for the Bride to become the Wife of the Lamb. It is to this feast, therefore, that the faithful are "invited"; and all such as are then invited naturally accept, for they are all saints. So the writer of 4 Ezra ii. 38-41 rightly connects the Marriage Supper and the completion of the roll of the saints : "Videte numerum signatorum in convivio Domini.

VOL. 11.---9

Qui se de umbra saeculi transtulerunt, splendidas tunicas a Domino acceperunt. Recipe, Sion, numerum tuum . . . Filiorum tuorum . . . plenus est numerus . . . populus tuus, qui vocatus est ab initio." As Dalman (*Words of Jesus*, 118 sq.) points out,  $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\lambda\eta\mu\epsilon\nuoi = \Box$ initio: cf. Babba Bathra, 75<sup>b</sup>: "those who are *invited* go up to the Jerusalem of the age to come"; Midr. Tehill. 14<sup>7</sup>, where Jacob is "invited to the heavenly banquet" ( $\Box$ initial doror is  $\tau\hat{\eta}$  βασιλεία τοῦ θεοῦ (Luke xiv. 15).

κεκλημένοι. καλείν always means "to name" in our author except here and in xvii. 14, where the meaning our author attaches to κλητοί is seen from its context: κλητοί και έκλεκτοί και πιστοί. Here these three epithets refer to the same persons.

οῦτοι οἱ λόγοι οἱ ἀληθινοὶ τοῦ θεοῦ εἰσίν. See I in the note on  $g^b$ -10.

10. ἐπεσα ἔμπροσθεν τῶν ποδῶν αὐτοῦ: cf. xxii. 8. πίπτειν followed immediately by such phrases as πρὸs τ. πόδαs, i. 17, ἐνώπιον, iv. 10, v. 8, vii. 11, ἐπὶ τὰ πρόσωπα, xi. 16, is used of the divine worship of God or the Lamb. Hence in ἔπεσα ἔμπροσθεν τ. ποδῶν αὐτοῦ the same worship seems implied. It is strange that the phrase προσκυνεῖν ἔμπροσθεν is used simply of homage. See note on xxii. 8.

προσκυνήσαι αὐτῷ. On this usage (contrary to that of our author) see 5 in the note on  $9^{b}$ -10 and vii. 11 note.

όρα μή . . .  $d\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \omega \nu$  σου. See xxii. 9, where these words occur in their right context.

τῶν ἐχόντων τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ. This phrase is found already in xii. 17. It is certainly in the phraseology of our author. Cf. vi. 9, τὴν μαρτυρίαν ἡν εἶχον, and i. 2, 9, xx. 4, where we have the phrase ἡ μαρτυρία Ἱησοῦ. In τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ the question arises whether we have in Ἰησοῦ the subjective or objective genitive, *i.e.* the testimony borne by Jesus, or the testimony which men bear to Jesus. The former, according to i. 2, xii. 17, means the sum of the revelation made by him, and should naturally be the meaning of the phrase here. But the words that follow, ἡ γὰρ μαρτυρία Ἰησοῦ κτλ., require us to make them mean "the witness to Jesus." Hence it follows that the yáρ is here to be taken in an explanatory sense: "now the witness to Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." Thus the angel declares that he is the fellow-servant of John, and of those who share with him the prophetic spirit. This is not the meaning of the parallel passage in xxii. 10.

 It should have preceded it. If this clause was a gloss, then the words  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \delta \sigma \dot{\nu} \delta \sigma \dot{\nu} \epsilon l \mu \kappa \kappa \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots$  'Iyoroù and xxii. 9<sup>ab</sup> would be practically equivalent in meaning. Even so, many of the objections to the originality of xix. 9<sup>b</sup>-10 still remain.

11-91. Cf. xiv. 14, 18-20, and xvi. 13-16 for proleptic accounts of this destruction of the kings and the nations by Christ and His angels. See note on 14. 11-21 deals with the victory of Christ and His angels over the Beast, the False Prophet, and the kings of the earth. With this victory of the Messiah cf. 1 Enoch lxii. 2; 2 Bar. xxxix.-xl., lxxii.; 4 Ezra xii. 32, xiii. 38. This destruction of the remaining active foes of the Messianic Kingdom comes in here of necessity after that judgment has been executed on Rome and before the inauguration of the Millennial reign. This corresponds to the judgment of the Sword at the beginning of the Messianic Kingdom in 1 Enoch l. 2, xc. 19, xci. 12, xcv. 7, xcvi. 1, xcviii. 12. Though the destruction of the Parthian kings is foretold proleptically in xvii. 14, it is nowhere subsequently described.

11. είδον τον οὐρανον ἡνεψγμένον: cf. Ezek. i. I, καὶ ἀνεψχθησαν οἱ οὐρανοί: 2 Bar. xx11. I; 3 Macc. vi. 18; Matt. iii. 16; John i. 51, ὄψεσθε τον οὐρανον ἀνεψγότα.

As we learn from what follows, it is only the nations that are hostile to Christ that are to be destroyed. For the attitude of Judaism to the Gentiles in the O.T. and Apocryphal and Apocalyptic literature, see my *Eschatology*<sup>2</sup>, 165, 246, 296, 297, 332, 361, also Sibyll. Or. iii. 740; Tob. xiii. 11, xiv. 6 sq.; Test. XII. Patr. (see Index, p. 242, in my edition), and Volz, *Judische Eschatologie*, 322-325.

ίππος λευκός καὶ ὁ καθήμενος ἐπ' αὐτόν: repeated from vi. 2, but the two riders are quite distinct. Here there is no question as to the personality of the present Rider. He is the Messiah— "the Word of God." The very epithets that are applied to Him have occurred before in the same connection: cf. iii. 14, ὁ μαρτὸς ἱ πιστὸς καὶ ἀληθινός. See also i. 5, iii. 7. This same combination of epithets is used by Christ (the Logos) in xxii. 6, οὖτοι οἱ λόγοι πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοί. For this combination of epithets cf. also 3 Macc. ii. 11. The Messiah as a man of war appears in Pss Sol. xvii. 23-27; Philo, De Praem. et Poena, 16, στραταρχῶν καὶ πολεμῶν ἔθνη . . . χειρώσεται.

έν δικαιοσύη κρίνει καὶ πολεμεῖ. This description of the righteousness of the Messiah is brought forward very distinctively in Isa. xi. 3 sq.: "He shall not judge after the sight of his eyes... 4. but with righteousness shall he judge (משמר כערק) the poor, and reprove with equity the meek of the earth. 5. And righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins and faithfulness the girdle of his reins." In r Enoch he is designated as "the Righteous One" (xxxviii. 2), "the Righteous and Elect One" (liii. 6), "the Elect One of righteousness and faith" (xxxix. 6). Cf. also Pss. Sol. xvii. 31, κρανεί... έθνη ἐν σοφία δικαιοσύνης αὐτοῦ: also in 35 he is described as a βασιλεὺς δίκαιος καὶ διδακτὸς ὑπὸ θεοῦ: cf. also 36, 41: Ps. xcvi. 13; Acts xvii. 31.

19. οί δε όφθαλμοι αυτοῦ ώς φλοξ πυρός. Repeated from i. 14 : cf. ii. 18.

έπὶ τ. κεφαλην αὐτοῦ διαδήματα πολλά. Here as always, except in xii. 1, our author uses ἐπὶ τ. κεφαλήν and not ἐπὶ τ. κεφαλής. See note on Introd. to xii., vol. i. p. 300 sq. As King of kings (16) the Messiah wears many diadems. The Dragon (xii. 3) and the Beast (xiii. 1) wear respectively seven and ten. According to 1 Macc. xi. 13, Ptolemy wore two diadems on entering Antioch—one for Egypt and one for Asia.

13°. [ $\check{e}\chi\omega\nu$  öνομα γεγραμμένον ô oddeis odder ei μη adrós.] Though the diction is Johannine (on  $\check{e}\chi\omega\nu$ ... γέγραμμένον cf. 16, and with ô oddeis odder cf. ii. 17) this clause appears to be interpolated. The objections are three. First, there is a break in the thought. We should not expect a reference to the name here in the midst of a description of the person and dress. Moreover, the statement that no one knows His name save Himself is flatly contradicted in the next verse (13<sup>b</sup>), where the words και κέκληται τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ Ὁ Λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ cannot be explained away (see note *in loc.*). Finally, by the omission of this clause the parallelism is restored.

12. οί δε όφθαλμοί αύτοῦ ώς φλόξ πυρός,

καί έπι την κεφαλην αύτου διαδήματα πολλά,

καὶ περιβεβλημένος ἰμάτιον βεβαμμένον αἴματι,
 καὶ κέκληται τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ Ὁ Λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ.

The interpolated line may have originated in a marginal gloss. We have now to inquire what meaning can be attached to this gloss. Some interpreters have thought the unknown designation to be & rúpios, Phil. ii. 11; others (as Ewald and Volkmar) have taken it to be the tetragrammaton; others a really mysterious name known only to Christ Himself, comparing ii. 17. δνομα καινόν γεγραμμένον δ ούδεις οίδεν εί μη ό λαμβάναν. and iii. 12. As regards this last interpretation scholars are again divided. Swete is of opinion that all created beings are excluded from the knowledge of this name. Alford thinks that the knowledge of this name is withheld till the final and complete union of Christ and His Church. In favour of this view we might compare Asc. Isa. ix. 5: "This is . . . the Lord Christ, who will be called Jesus in the world, but His name thou canst not hear till thou hast ascended out of thy body." Others, as Bousset, that this knowledge is withheld because of the power that attaches to such knowledge. The Messiah alone has a name which carries with it power over heaven and earth, and as no one knows this name but Him, so He is the sole possessor of the power bound up with the name. This last interpretation belongs undoubtedly to the reign of magic, and is found among the Gnostics. This idea underlies Gen. xxxii. 29 and Judg. xiii. 17 sq. It was a very widespread idea among many ancient nations that the man who knew the name of a god or a demon possessed certain powers over him. Hence the name was concealed: cf. Heitmüller, *Im Namen Jesu*, 162 sqq.; Giesebrecht, *Gottesname*, 23, 45, 100 (the last reference is from Gunkel's *Genesis*<sup>3</sup>, p. 362; Jevons, *Introd. Hist. Religion*, p. 361).

δ οδείς κτλ. : cf. ii. 17, iii. 12.

13. καί περιβεβλημένος ίμάτιον βεβαμμένον αίματι. This clause has created no little difficulty. But, if we hold fast to the following facts, the difficulty can be surmounted. The first is that in the leader of the heavenly hosts we have to do-not with the Slain One, but the Slayer. The Word of God has come to execute divine judgment. Hence the idea that the blood on His Vesture is His own (cf. i. 5, v. 9, vii. 14, xii. 11) cannot be entertained. When our author wishes to express a thought of this nature, he speaks of the aprior . . . ws is do pay wir (v. 6). Now this being so, how are we to explain the ination BeBannévor aluari? In whose blood is the vesture of the Divine Warrior dipped? If it is not His Own, no more is it that of the kings of the earth and their armies (15, 18); for the judgment of the sword as regards these has not yet begun, and the garments of the heavenly armies are still white and pure (14). A comparison with Isa. lxiii. 1-3-which passage is in the mind of our authorconfirms this conclusion : "Who is this that cometh from Edom, with red garments from Bozrah?...2. Wherefore art thou red in thine apparel, and thy garments like him that treadeth in the winefat? 3. I have trodden the winepress alone . . . yea, I trod them in my anger . . . and their lifeblood is sprinkled upon my garments." Here the redness of the garments is due to the blood of those who have already been slain, exactly as in our text. Since, therefore, the redness of the vesture in 13 is not due to the warfare in 11-21, there remains only one other possible explanation, and this is that the blood on His vesture is that of the Parthian kings and their armies, whom He had already destroyed, and whose destruction had already been proleptically prophesied in xvii. 14. In this strife He was supported

by the glorified martyrs (οί μετ' αὐτοῦ κλητοὶ καὶ ἐκλεκτοί κτλ.). ἰμάτιον βεβαμμένον αἰματι. These words are freely based on Isa. lxiii. 3, ידו נצרום על־בנדי (="and their blood is sprinkled on my garments"). Here βεβαμμένον (AQ, etc.) is to be accepted and not ἑεραντισμένον, ἐρραμμένον, or the other variants from

pairw and partiu; for, whereas both these latter verbs are used in the LXX to render and (the Hebrew verb in Isa. lxiii. 3), and whereas Aquila and Symmachus do render it by partize in Isa. lxiii. 3, but no translator ever renders it by  $\beta \delta \pi \tau \omega$ , it follows that, though there were possible grounds for changing  $\beta \epsilon \beta a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ into eppauméror, there were none for changing eppamméror into BeBauuévov from the standpoint of Isa. lxiii. 3, whence the idea was derived. Our author thus deals freely with Isa. lxiii 3. That such a free reproduction was not unknown in Judaism we might infer from the late Palestinian Targum on Gen. xlix. 11, which recounts the victory of the Messiah over His enemies : "How beauteous is the king Messiah, who is about to arise from the house of Judah. He hath bound his loins and gone forth to war against those that hate him: kings and princes shall be slain: he will make red the rivers with the blood of their slain . . . his garments will be dipped in blood (לבושוי מענענין בארמה)."

και κέκληται το δνομα αύτοῦ Ο Λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ. This line has been taken by Volter, Spitta, Hilgenfeld, Bousset, and others to be the addition of a scribe or reviser. Now it is manifest that either  $12^{\circ}$  ( $\xi_{\chi}\omega\nu$   $\delta\nu\rho\mu a$  ...  $\delta$  ovores of  $\delta\epsilon\nu$   $\kappa\tau\lambda$ .) or the present clause must be of this nature. But, whereas we found that 12° was open to serious objections on various contextual grounds, no objection of such a nature can be brought against the present clause, which accordingly comes from the hand of our author. We have here another of the numerous instances of community of diction between the Apocalypse and the Fourth Gospel, in many of which there is no community of meaning. For the Logos here is a Warrior, and our text reminds us of Wisd. xviii. 4-25, especially of xviii. 15,  $\delta \pi a \nu \tau o \delta \delta \nu a \mu \delta s$  ov  $\lambda \delta \gamma o s \delta \pi$ ουρανών έκ θρόνων βασιλείων απότομος πολεμιστής είς μέσον τής ολεθρίας ήλατο γης ξίφος όξυ την άνυπόκριτον επιταγήν σου φέρων. We might compare also the later Jewish conception, מאמר and ό λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ in Heb. iv. 12. Although the ideas underlying the words are different, in the latter passage this word is said to be "sharper than any two-edged sword."

14. καὶ τὰ στρατεύματα κτλ. To  $14^{a}$  conjoined with  $16^{b}$  we have remarkable parallels in xvii. 14, but there the enemies of the Lamb are the Parthian kings, who are also referred to in xvi. 12. Here we have a conflict on a larger scale, as in xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 13-16. See notes on xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 12-16, xvii. 14.

A study of the chief passages (ii. 26-27, xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 12-16, xvii. 14, xviii., xix. 11-21) dealing with the destruction of the world powers helps us to understand the expectations of the Seer as to (a) the order in which the world powers were to be destroyed before the Millennium, and (b) the nature of the heavenly armies which destroyed these powers.

134

(a) The destruction of Rome was to come first, next that of the Parthians, and finally that of the remaining hostile powers. —In xiv. 8-11 the destruction of Rome is foretold, followed immediately (for xiv. 12-13 belongs after xiii. 15 or 18) by that of the hostile nations, xiv. 14, 18-20. The same sequence of events is found in xviii. (the destruction of Rome) and xix. 11-21 (that of the hostile nations). But the sequence of events can be determined more definitely. Thus in xvi. 12 the forces led by the Parthian kings are clearly distinguished from those of the remaining hostile nations in xvi. 13-16; and that these two divisions of the hostile heathen world, which survived the destruction of Rome, were to be separately destroyed, we infer from xvii. 14, according to which, immediately after the destruction of Rome, Christ and His armies would destroy its destroyers, *i.e.* the Parthians.

(b) The heavenly armies were to be composed of angels and (in certain cases if not in all) of the glorified martyrs.-The armies of the Word of God are described in xix. 14 (rà στρατεύματα τὰ έν τώ οὐρανώ . . . ἐφ' ἴπποις λευκοῖς). In xiv. 14, 18-20 the presence of these heavenly horsemen is presupposed in xiv. 20 ( $a_{\chi\rho\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} r$ χαλινών τ. iππων). So far as these passages go, we should conclude that the heavenly armies were composed of angels only. But this is not so. Ouite clearly in xvii. 14 it is stated that the armies of the Lamb will be "the called and elect and faithful," a description which cannot be applied to angels. Now since this war of the Lamb on the Parthians is subsequent to the destruction of Rome, and therefore to the universal martyrdom of the faithful, it follows that this army is composed of the glorified martyrs, who had come down from heaven with Christ for that purpose. That they should share in this task we have already learnt from ii. 27, where of the individual martyr (& vikŵv) it is stated ποιμανεί αὐτοὺς εν ῥάβδω σιδηρά, the very words, which in xix. 15 are used of Christ Himself. That the martyrs take part in the judgment of all the hostile nations cannot be affirmed in so many words, though the comparison of  $\pi o \mu a \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \kappa \tau \lambda$ . in both ii. 27 and xix. 15 points probably to this conclusion. Moreover, the comparison of xvii. 14 (where Christ is called *kuplus* kuplus και βασιλεύς βασιλέων, and His army is composed of glorified martyrs) and of xix. 14<sup>a</sup>, where His followers are the armies of heaven and His name is βασιλεύς βασιλέων κ. κύριος κυρίων (16b), may point in the same direction.

Some of the chief expectations of our Seer relative to the judgment of the hostile nations may be briefly summarized as follows.

The Parthian kings are referred to in xvi. 12, xvii. 12-13, 17, 16, and their destruction by the Lamb and the glorified martyrs

in xvii.  $14^{a-o}$ . The other hostile kings of the world are mentioned: their gathering to Armageddon in xvi. 13-16, and their destruction by One like a son of man, xiv. 14, 18-20, by the Word of God with the armies of heaven in xix. 11-21. In these last two passages the Divine Leader is said to tread the winepress of the wrath of God (xiv. 19, xix. 15) Finally, at the close of the Millennial Kingdom there is the destruction of the unrepentant nations by fire sent down from heaven, xx. 7-10.

For the idea of armies in heaven cf. Test. Levi 111. 3; 2 Enoch xvii.; 2 Esdr. xix. 6, σοι προσκυνοῦσιν ai στρατείαι τῶν οὐρανῶν (where the armies are the stars); Matt. xxvi. 53.

The heavenly armies, which are not definitely mentioned in the parallel account (xiv. 14, 18-20) but are implied in the words  $a_{\chi\rho\iota} \tau \omega \nu \chi a \lambda \iota \nu \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ , have descended with Christ from heaven. In ii. 27 (see note), xvii. 14 (see notes) they appear to be the faithful: in ii. 27 the foes they destroy are the hostile nations generally: in xvii. 14 the Parthian kings.

ένδεδυμένοι βύσσινον λευκόν. For the phrase cf. i. 13, xv. 6.

15. In  $15^{abc}$  are combined thoughts and words which are drawn from Isa. xi. 4 and Ps. ii. 9. But this combination is already found in Pss. Sol.

xvii. 26. ἐκτρίψαι ὑπερηφανίαν ἁμαρτωλῶν ὡς σκεύη κεραμέως, ἐν ῥάβδφ σιδηρậ συντρίψαι πασαν ὑπόστασιν αὐτῶν

27. ὀλοθρεῦσαι ἔθνη παράνομα ἐν λόγω στόματος αὐτοῦ.

39. πατάξει γαρ γην τῷ λόγω τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ.

καί έκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ ἐκπορεύεται ῥομφαία ὀξεία : cf. i. 16, ii. 12.

**ἶνα ἐν αὐτῆ πατάξῃ τὰ ἔθνη**. From Isa. xi. 4, καὶ πατάξει γῆν τῷ λόγῳ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐν πνεύματι διὰ χειλέων ἀνελεῖ ἀσεβῆ, cf. Pss. Sol. xvii. 26–27, 39 (quoted above). Wisd. xvii. 22, ἐνίκησε τὸν ὅχλον οὐκ ἰσχύι τοῦ σώματος οὐχ ὅπλων ἐνεργεία ἀλλὰ λόγῳ τὸν κολάζοντα ὑπέταξεν: I Enoch lxii. 2, "The word of his mouth slays all the sinners." All these passages imply that the sword that proceeds out of the mouth of the Messiah is simply a figure for forensic or judicial condemnation.

καὶ αὐτὸς ποιμανεῖ αὐτοὺς ἐν ῥάβδῳ σιδηρậ: cf. ii. 27 (see note), xii. 5. From Ps. ii. 9. The aὐτός in this and the next clause is emphatic. Neither here nor in ii. 27, xii. 5 has ποιμαίνειν a favourable meaning.

In these three passages it connotes punishment and destruction. Contrast, on the other hand, its meaning in vii. 17. See note on ii. 27. Hence render "break them with an iron rod."

καl αδτός πατεί την ληνόν κτλ. Here, though accompanied by hosts of angelic warriors, the action of the Messiah alone is dwelt upon, just as in xiv. 19 sq. and in similar words. Only here and in xiv. 19-20 is the  $\lambda\eta\nu\phi$ s of divine judgment spoken of in our

I 36

author. The two ideas of the winepress (xiv. 19) and the cup of wrath (xiv. 10) are here combined, and mean that from the winepress trodden by Christ flows the wine of the wrath of God, of which his enemies are to be made to drink. It is a case of mixed metaphors.

16. [ $\dot{e}\pi\dot{i}$   $\tau\dot{o}$  inductor rai]  $\dot{e}\pi\dot{i}$   $\tau\dot{o}r$  unpor autou rth. Of this text there is no satisfactory explanation. Düsterdieck, B. Weiss, and Holtzmann think that the title is inscribed on the girdle; Swete, that "it is displayed on His habit where it falls over the thigh"; Grotius imagines a sword on the hilt of which the name was inscribed. Wellhausen, recognizing the unintelligibleness of the text, proposes  $i\pi\pi\sigma\nu$  instead of  $i\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau_{i\sigma\nu}$  and makes the  $a\dot{\nu}\tau_{\sigma\dot{\nu}}$  refer to the  $i\pi\pi\sigma\nu$ . Horses were branded on the thigh amongst the Greeks: see Wetstein in loc. But the idea of such an inscription on a horse cannot be entertained. If, therefore, we are to gain any satisfactory meaning here, we can only do so by following our chief Uncial A, Cassiodorus, and some Ethiop. MSS, which omit the words I have bracketed above. If A is right, the chief Synac Version (s<sup>1</sup>) would probably be the first stage in the interpolation of the text; for s<sup>1</sup> here places the two phrases  $i\pi i$ τὸ ἰμάτων αὐτοῦ and  $\epsilon \pi i$  τ. μηρὸν αὐτοῦ side by side without the connecting kai. Thus the first phrase would appear to have originated in a marginal gloss owing to 13<sup>a</sup> (περιβεβλημένος iμάτιον κτλ.). The final stage in the corruption of the text is that which all the remaining authorities attest. At this stage the first avroù is omitted and the rai inserted.

Our text now runs  $\epsilon \pi i \tau$ .  $\mu \eta \rho \delta \nu$  aðrov. For the occasional appearance of names and inscriptions on the thighs of statues we have evidence in Greek and Roman authors (see Wetstein from whom these quotations are derived). Thus in Cicero, Verr. iv. 43, we find : "Signum Apollinis pulcherrimum, cujus in femore literulis minutis argenteis nomen Myronis erat inscriptum." Also in Pausanias, Eliac. extr.,  $\tau \delta$  åvá $\theta\eta\mu a$ ... åv $\delta\rho\delta \delta$  ekwir ...  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \sigma$   $\delta \epsilon \star i \sigma$  åvi  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \pi i \tau \sigma i \mu \eta \rho \sigma i$ : "Justinus (4 cent. A.D.?), xv. 4, 5 : Figura anchorae, quae in femore Seleuci nata cum ipso parvulo fuit. 9. Originis ejus argumentum etiam in posteris mansit, siquidem filii nepotesque ejus anchoram in femore veluti notam generis naturalem habuere."

The Seer sees in the vision the Divine Warrior and His heavenly horsemen—not halting but *sweeping downward from heaven and onward* against the serried armies of the Beast, False Prophet, and the kings of the earth, and, as they thunder along, their garments stream behind them, and so on the thigh of the Leader is disclosed the name: "King of kings and Lord of lords."

βασιλεύς βασιλέων κτλ. See note on xvii. 14.

17-21. An angelic summons to all the birds of prey to

hasten to the scene of slaughter of all the mighty of the earth. The overthrow and final doom of the Beast and the False Prophet.

17. *ëva* (cf. viii. 13) *äyyehov éorŵra év rú jhíw*. What was the original idea underlying this phrase is unknown. It is generally explained that the angel took this central position in mid heaven in order to deliver from thence his message of victory and his summons to the birds of prey.

και έκραξεν φωνή μεγάλη. See note on xiv. 15.

λέγων πῶσι τοῦς ὀρνέοις τοῦς πετομένοις ἐν μεσουρανήματι (cf. viii. 13, xiv. 6) Δεῦτε συνάχθητε εἰς τὸ δεῦπνον . . . τοῦ θεοῦ. 18. ĩνα φάγητε σάρκας βασιλέων . . . καὶ σάρκας ἰσχυρῶν. This passage is clearly based on Ezek. xxxix. 17, where the LXX reads εἰπὸν παντὶ ὀρνέω πετεινῶ . . Συνάχθητε καὶ ἔρχεσθε . . . ἐπὶ τὴν θυσίαν μου . . . καὶ φάγεσθε. 18. κρέα γιγάντων . . . καὶ αἰμα ἀρχόντων. 20. καὶ . . . ἔππον καὶ ἀναβάτην. It is obvious, however, that our author is giving an independent rendering of the Hebrew : observe δεῦτε συνάχθητε (ΔΕ) with συνάχθητε καὶ ἔρχεσθε, and ἰσχυρῶν in our text with γιγάντων, and ἶππων κ. τῶν καθημένων ἐπ΄ αὐτῶν with ἴππον καὶ ἀναβάτην in Ezek. xxxix. 20.

Our author here borrows his imagery from the slaughter wrought by God in Ezek. xxxix., and yet the final overthrow of Gog and Magog in our author is adjourned to the close of the Millennial reign in our author.

τό δειπνον το μέγα τοῦ θεοῦ. See Gressmann, Ursprung der Israelit. Jud. Eschatologie, 136-141.

18. τῶν καθημένων ἐπ΄ αὐτῶν. Here the reading αὐτῶν (PQ min<sup>fere omn</sup>) is to be followed. A with two cursives and  $\aleph$  read respectively αὐτούς and αὐτοῖς—which are both corrupt. Cf. 19, 21. ἐλευθέρων τε καὶ δούλων. See note on xiii. 16. μικρῶν καὶ μεγάλων. See note on xiii. 16.

19-21. The Beast, the False Prophet, and the kings of the earth overthrown and cast into the lake of fire.

19. τὸ θηρίον—*i.e.* Nero-antichrist. See xi. 7 (note), xiii. 3 (note on various stages of the Neronic myth).

τούς βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς: cf. xiv. 14, 18-20 (though not here specifically mentioned), xvi. 14 (note), xvii. 2, 18, xviii. 3. These are to be carefully distinguished from the Parthian kings, xvi. 12, xvii. 12-13, 17, 16, who are destroyed by the Lamb (and the glorified martyrs). See also note on 14 above.

τὰ στρατεύματα αὐτῶν. For αὐτῶν À and a few cursives read αὐτοῦ. This is perhaps right. Though they are the subjects of the kings, they are the armies of the Beast.

συνηγμένα ποιήσαι τον πόλεμον. For the diction cf. xvi. 14, 16, xx. 8.

138

τοῦ στρατεύματος αὐτοῦ. Though the heavenly army is described in the plural as στρατεύματα, it is here very significantly described as a single host. While the opposing hosts of evil are moved by a variety of conflicting aims, the heavenly host is actuated by one only.

**20.** With the Beast and the False Prophet we might compare Ahrıman and Azi-Dahâka in the Zend religion, which influenced Judaism from the East. Cf. De la Saussaye, Lehrb. d. Religionsgeschichte<sup>2</sup>, ii. 206 (ii. 226 in 3rd ed.): "Zuletzt bleibt noch der Kampf zwischen himmlischen und hollischen Geistern übrig. Alle Ameshas Spentas ringen da mit ihren teuflischen Gegengeschopfen und vernichten sie ganzlich : Ahriman selbst und die Schlange Azhi zu bandigen, wird die Sache Mazda's und Sraosha's sein. Als Priester erheben sich die beiden Gotter, mit Gebet und Gebetschnur überwaltigen sie die Bösen und sturzen sie und ihr Versteck in den gluhenden Strom. Dann ist die Welt vollkommen rein, das Universum nur von Mazda's Wesen erfullt, und alles, was lebt, geht in die Unsterblichkeit und himmlische Vollkommenheit ein (Bahman Yasht, 43; Bundehesh, 30)." See also Boklen, Die Verwandschaft d. jud.-christichen mit der parsischen Eschatologie, 127 sqq.

ἐπιάσθη. The Attic form of this verb is πιέζω, but in late Attic πιάζω is also found. The classical meaning was to press, weigh down, stifle, etc. But its later meaning, as here, is to seize, lay hold of. πιάζω, which occurs only here in our author, is a favourite word in the Fourth Gospel, being found there eight times and only four times throughout the rest of the N.T. (one of these being in Luke vi. 38, where it retains the ancient classical form and meaning).

δ ψευδοπροφήτης. See xiii. 11 sqq. notes, xvi. 13, xx. 10. The False Prophet represented the priesthood of the Imperial cult, which practised all kinds of magic and imposture to beguile men to worship the Beast.

έπλάνησεν τοὺς λαβόντας τὸ χάραγμα κτλ. Only those who had received this mark were an easy prey to the False Prophet. On the τὸ χάραγμα see note on xiii. 16: cf. xiv. 9 sqq., xvi. 2 (note), xx. 4.

προσκυνοῦντας την εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ. Though weakly attested  $\aleph^*$  28, 39), this seems to be the right reading: see note on vii. 11. Possibly the dative is right. In that case the text would mean that divine worship was actually offered to the image.

την λίμνην τοῦ πυρός: cf. xx. 10, xxi. 8. On this final abode of punishment for Satan, the Beast, the False Prophet, and wicked men, see note on ix. 1.

της καιομένης έν θείφ. The genitive here can only be explained as a slip on the part of the writer. Contrast xxi. 8—τη λίμνη τη καιομένη. On έν θείφ in this conjunction cf. xiv. 10, xx. 10, xxi. 8.

**91.** of  $\lambda_{0:Wold}$  dress tables with  $\lambda_i$ . *i.e.* the kings of the earth and their armies. These kings and their armies had been affected by the Caesar-worship: cf. xiv. 9 sq. They were not, like the Beast and the False Prophet, cast forthwith into Gehenna. Their physical life was destroyed by the sword, *i.e.* by the sword of the Word of God (cf. ver. 15), and their spirits no doubt consigned to Hades. In the judgment all the dead are raised (xx. 12), and then death and Hades and all the wicked are cast into the lake of fire (xx. 14-15). In the Test. of Hezekiah—a work incorporated in the Ascension of Isaiah, *i.e.* iii. 13<sup>b</sup>-iv. 18, and written before 100 A.D.—a different sequence is anticipated: Asc. Isa. iv. 14, "The Lord will come with His angels and with the armies of the holy ones from the seventh heaven . . . and He will drag Beliar (*i.e.* the Antichrist) into Gehenna *and also his armies.*"

панта та бриеа «хортаодубан ктд. Cf. 17 sq.; also I Enoch alvi. 4-6.

## CHAPTER XX. 1-3.

#### INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. Contents.-Now that Rome has fallen (xviii.), the hostile nations been destroyed, and the two Beasts cast into the lake of fire (xix. 19-20), there remains no obstacle to the manifestation of the kingdom save the presence of Satan still on earth. Hence to his activities an end is put by his being cast down into the abyss and chained there for 1000 years (xx. 1-3). The destines of Satan are determined by the chief events in the life of Christ. In xii. Satan's expulsion from heaven is connected with the birth and ascension of Christ. On the earth, as he had only a short time, he raged furiously against Christ's followers, but on Christ's Second Advent and His overthrow of Satan's agents, Satan too is cast down from the earth into the abyss and the Millennial Kingdom established. At the close of this kingdom Satan is loosed from the abyss and finally conquered and cast into the lake of fire, and the new heaven and the new earth appear, wherein is the joint throne of God and the Lamb.

That xx. 1-3 comes from our author's hand there can be no doubt, as the diction and idiom prove.

§ 2. Diction and idiom.

**1. elbor** äγγελοr καταβαίνοντα έκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ. The whole clause has already occurred in x. 1, xviii. 1, and the last four words also in iii. 12, xvi 21, xxi. 2, 10.

דוֹי κλείν דוֹן מβύσσου : cf. ix. I.

140

2. τον δράκοντα ό όφις ό άρχαῖος. The same words in the same characteristic and anomalous construction have already occurred in xii. 9. <sup>5</sup>s έστιν Διάβολος καὶ ό Σατανᾶς. Cf. xii. 9, ό καλούμενος Διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανᾶς.

έδησεν αυτόν Cf. ix. 14, δεδεμένους.

8. ἕβαλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἄβυσσον. For this use of βάλλειν cf. ii. 10, viii. 5, 7, 8, xii. 9, xix. 20, etc.

§ 3. Order of words.—Wholly Semitic.

1-3. The binding of the Dragon in the abyss for 1000 years. 1. каl elbor.—See note on iv. 1.

την κλείν της άβύσσου. See notes on i. 18, ix. 1. The abyss is regarded only as a temporary abode of punishment. Satan is finally cast into the lake of fire, xx 10.

άλυσιν μεγάλην. άλυσιs seems to be used here to denote a chain or bond by which the body is bound. In Mark v. 4 and frequently elsewhere it signifies hand fetters as opposed to πέδαι. See note of Lightfoot on Phil, p. 8.

 $i \pi i \tau i \nu \chi \epsilon i \rho a$ . It is hard to explain the use of  $i \pi i$  here. The best parallel is to be found in v. 1,  $i \pi i \tau i \nu \delta \epsilon \xi i \Delta \nu \ldots \beta i \beta \lambda i \omega$ . But in this latter case the idea implied is that the book is lying on the palm of the hand. It is perhaps best to regard the present instance as a loose use of  $i \pi i$ , which does not admit of any exact explanation. It is practically here equivalent to  $i \nu$  (cf. i. 16 with i. 20), and indeed  $i \nu$  is read here by  $\approx 38$  syr.<sup>1, 2</sup>. Another difficult use of  $i \pi i$ , but with the dative, occurs in xxii. 16, where, however, the best authorities have  $i \nu$ .

**2.** Tor Spakeorra, 6 ödes 6 dexalos. For a like anacolouthon cf. i. 5. See note on xil. 9 on the identification of the old serpent and the devil. Gunkel on Gen.<sup>8</sup> iii. 1 maintains that the text there implies that originally the serpent was an evil demon hostile to God and man and possessing a snakelike form. He further points out in support of this view that in 2 Kings xviii. 4 divine worship is offered to a snakelike form by the faithless Israelites, and that heathen gods and demons were frequently so conceived in the ancient world.

έδησεν αὐτὸν χίλια ἔτη. This idea of binding the powers of evil in prison for an undefined period is already found in Isa. xxiv. 22, and of their final judgment in xxiv. 23. These powers consist of the host of heaven and the kings of the earth This idea of the angels and the kings of the earth being judged together reappears in I Enoch liii. 4-liv. 5, and the idea of the binding of the fallen angels in a place of temporary punishment till the day of the final judgment is found in 1 Enoch xviii. 12-16, xix. 1-2, xxi. 1-6, from which the final place of their punishment —an abyss of fire—is carefully distinguished, x. 13-15, xviii. 11, xxi. 7-10, liv. 6, xc. 24-25. Their leader Azazel is bound in a place by himself (x. 4-5) as a preliminary punishment, but at the final judgment is to be cast into a place of everlasting punishment (x. 6). In nearly all cases the evil spirits are spoken of in 1 Enoch as being "bound" in a preliminary place of punishment, just as in Isa. xxiv. 22 and in our text.

In the Zend religion the same idea is found. According to the Bundehesh iii. 26 (cf. xiii. 77) the evil serpent Azi-Dahâka was smitten by Thraêtaona and fettered in the mountain Damâvand for 9000 years, S. B.E. iv. 9 (note), 226, 245 sq., v. 234, 397, xviii. 110, 201, etc. He was released by Ahriman, S.B.E. v. 233-235, and reigned for 1000 years, v. 150, xxiv. 103, but was slain by Sâm or Keresâsp, v. 235. After the renovation of the world there would be no Azi-Dahâka, xviii. 118. But, since these Iranic myths belong to various periods before and after the Christian era, there is no ground for tracing any direct connection.

χίλια έτη. Before the year 100 B.C. it was generally believed in Judaism that the Messianic Kingdom would last for ever on the Sometimes the conception was universalistic in present earth. character, especially in the greater prophets of the O.T., as Jeremiah, the Second Isaiah, Jonah, Malachi; but in others, as in Ezekiel, Haggai, Zechariah, Joel, it was particularistic. The idea of the everlastingness of this kingdom on earth persisted, as we have above said, till about 100 B.C. For such it appears to be in I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., vi.-xxxvi., but by the date just mentioned the earth had come to be regarded in Judaism as wholly unfit for the manifestation of this kingdom except in a temporary character. The dualism which had begun to affect the religious forecasts of religious thinkers in the 2nd cent. B.C. succeeded in leavening wholly their expectations in the 1st. As a consequence of this breach between the things of earth and the things of heaven, the writers of this century were forced to entertain new conceptions of the kingdom. Hence in I Enoch xci.-civ., Pss. Sol. i.-xvi., the Messianic Kingdom is declared to be of temporary duration on the present earth, and the goal of the risen righteous to be not this transitory kingdom, but heaven itself after the final judgment, which from this period forwards was conceived of as taking place not at the beginning, but at the close of the Messianic Kingdom. Thus it is that the Millennium in our text, as in 2 Baruch and 4 Ezra, is really a late and attenuated form of the old Jewish expectation of an eternal Messianic Kingdom on the present earth. For a fuller treatment of this question the reader can consult my *Eschat*ology<sup>2</sup>, pp. 103, 106-108, 110 sq., 113-116, 219 sq., 223, 248, 250 sq.

We have next to consider the duration of this kingdom. Apparently nowhere in earlier or contemporary literature is the duration of 1000 years assigned to the Messianic Kingdom save here. Its duration is not defined in 1 Enoch xci.-civ.; Pss. Sol. xi. I sqq.; Sibyll. Or. in. 1-62; Jubilees xxiii. 27-29; Assumption of Moses x. 7; 2 Bar. xxix. 4-xxx. I, xxxix. 7, xl. 3, lxxii. 2-4; 4 Ezra xiii. 32, 36. In 4 Ezra vii. 28 it is definitely said to last 400 years.

**3.** ἕβαλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τ. ἄβυσσον καὶ ἔκλεισεν. On the ἄβυσσος see 1x. τ, note.

ëκλεισεν καὶ ἐσφράγισεν ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ, i.e. closed the abyss and sealed it over him. Our text recalls the Prayer of Manasseh, 2-4, and the two passages are distantly connected, though our Seer has no thought of this passage nor of the myth that it presupposes.

That for  $\vec{t}$  the form the service of the surviving heat the nations: see xiv. 7, xv. 4, xxii. 17. The astonishing part in our Seer's forecast is that the preaching of the section of the surviving heat the nations: see xiv. 7, xv. 4, xxii. 17. The astonishing part in our Seer's forecast is that the preaching of the section the multiple of the section the form the three multiple of the section of the surviving heathen nations: see xiv. 7, xv. 4, xxii. 17. The astonishing part in our Seer's forecast is that the preaching of the Gospel during the Millennium will only in part be successful, though the active impersonations of evil have been wholly removed from the earth for this period. The implication is that each man carries in his own bosom the possibilities of his own heaven and his own hell.

Seî : cf. i. 1, iv. 1.

### XX. 4-XXII. THE TEXT INCOHERENT AND SELF-CONTRADICTORY AS IT STANDS.

**XX.** 4-XXII. These chapters have hitherto been a constant source of insurmountable difficulty to the exegete. They are full of confusion and contradiction if the text is honestly dealt with. And yet the Apocalypse exhibits, except in a few passages, and especially in chap. xviii., a structural unity and a steady development of thought from the first chapter to the close of xx. 3. Now this is just what we should expect in an Apocalypse which is designed to be a philosophy of history and religion from the standpoint of the author. It was a combination of vision and reflection. Though the book of a prophet did not necessarily show any structural unity or steady development of thought, it was far otherwise with the apocalyptist, in whose writings such characteristics were indispensable. While the ordinary man saw only the outside of things in all their incoherence and isolation, the apocalyptist sought to get behind the surface and penetrate to the essence of events, the spiritual motives and purposes that underlay and gave them their real significance-in fact, to lay bare their origin, course, and consummation. It was thus, in short, a Semitic philosophy of religion, and as such it was ever asking Whence? Wherefore? Whither? Apocalyptic, and not prophecy, was the first to grasp the great idea that all history, alike human, cosmological, and spiritual, is a unity-a unity following naturally as a corollary of the unity of God preached by the prophets.

I have emphasized these two points—structural unity and orderly development of thought to the final consummation of all things—as pre-eminently the characteristics of apocalyptic and not of prophecy or of any other form of writing in the Bible. This being so, we are all the more astonished that the three closing chapters of the Apocalypse are all but wholly wanting in these characteristics, and—so far from advancing steadily to the consummation that all the preceding chapters postulate—exhibit many incoherencies and self-contradictory elements.

To some of these I drew attention in my first edition of the Book of Enoch in 1893, where on p. 45 I wrote as follows: "We have here (*i.e.* Rev. xxi. 1, 2) a new heaven and a new earth, and a New Jerusalem coming down from heaven: yet in xxii. 15 all classes of sinners are said to be without the gates of the city. But if there were a new earth this would be impossible." This is only one of the many difficulties that confront the serious student of these chapters. Now to make the problem before us clear it will be best to deal shortly with a few of the passages which make it impossible for us to accept the text as it stands.

1. In xx. 7-10, after the close of the Millennial Kingdom, Satan is loosed, and the heathen nations (Gog and Magog), which have refused to accept the Christian faith, march against Jerusalem and the camp of the saints, but are destroyed by fire from heaven. Satan also is cast finally into the lake of fire and brimstone, to be tormented there for ever and ever. Thus the prime source of evil and his deluded followers (Gog and Magog) are removed finally from the world, and their power to influence the world for evil und impossible for ever.

2. In xx. 11-15 the old earth and the old heaven are given over to annihilation. Then the final judgment takes place, and all the dead are judged according to their works, and death and Hades are cast into the lake of fire, together with all those whose names are not found written in the book of life. At this stage we have arrived at the final condemnation and destruction of all evil, together with the destruction of death itself.

3. Now that all evil and death itself are cast into the lake of fire, the new heaven and the new earth come into being, and the New Jerusalem comes down from heaven, and God Himself dwells with men (xxi. I-4).

It is clear from this passage that we have arrived at the closing scene of the great world struggle between good and evil, and that henceforth there can be neither sin, nor crying, nor pain, nor death any more. In fact, there can be no place at all for these in the universe of God—the new heaven and the new earth, and the New Jerusalem that cometh down from God to the new earth.

The conclusion just arrived at is inevitable, if there is a steady development in the visions of the Seer. Now since such a development is manifest in chaps. i.-xx. 3, when certain verses and glosses are excised and a few disarrangements of the text set right—expecially in xviii.—we naturally conclude that our author will not lightly fall into contradictions, even of a minor sort, in the last three chapters. But unhappily this is not our experience as we study them; and at last we stand aghast at the hopeless mental confusion which dominates the present structure of these chapters, and are compelled to ask if they can possibly come from his hand, and, in case they do, to ask further, if they have been preserved as they left his hand. But we must first justify the above statement, though we shall adduce here only the main contradictions in these chapters.

1. Inasmuch as according to our text the New Jerusalem does not come down from heaven till Satan is bound for ever in the lake of fire, and all sin and death itself are at an end, and the place of the old world has been taken by a new and glorious world, wherein there is neither spot nor blemish nor any such thing, how is it that we are told that, outside the gates of the Holy City which has come down from God to the new earth, there are "the dogs and the sorcerers, and the fornicators, and the murderers, and the idolaters, and every one that loveth and maketh a lie" (xxii. 15)? A greater contradiction in thought and statement is hardly conceivable. But, if this statement were made in connection with the Millennial Kingdom which was to be established before the Final Judgment, everything would be intelligible.

2. Again, since the new earth is inhabited only by the blessed, on whom the second death could have no effect, and since these are all righteous, and God Himself tabernacles among them, how is it that in xxii. 2 the leaves of the tree of life are said to be for the healing of the nations? This statement can have no meaning unless it applies to the period of the Millennial Kingdom. During Christ's reign of 1000 years the surviving nations have still a further period of grace accorded to them. This evangelizing of the nations during this period has already been proclaimed in xiv. 6-7, xv. 4. It is thrice elsewhere referred to in the last two chapters, *i.e.* xxi. 24, xxii. 14, 17.

3. Only on the supposition that the Millennial Kingdom is still in existence can we explain xxi. 24-27:

- "And the nations shall walk by the light thereof:
  - And the kings of the earth do bring their glory into it.
  - And the gates thereof shall not be shut day or night.<sup>1</sup>
  - And they shall bring the glory and the honour of the nations into it :
  - And there shall not enter into it anything unclean, or he that maketh an abomination or a lie;
  - But only they which are written in the book of life of the Lamb."

Now from the above contradictions—the solution of which is in part already suggested—it follows either that (a) a considerable part of xx.-xxii. is not from the hand of our author, or that, (b) if it is from his hand, it is disarranged.

Now the first solution (a) is that adopted by most of the leading German scholars of the past thirty years. Thus while Volter (*Die Offenbarung Johannis*, 1904), Weyland (*Omverkings*en Compilatie-Hypothesen toegepast op de Apocalypse van Johannes, 1908), and J. Weiss (*Die Offenb. des Johannes*, 1908) assume that xx.-xxii. is derived from three different sources, and Spitta (*Die Offenb. des Johannes*, 1889) finds traces of four authors,

<sup>1</sup> A necessary emendation. The corruption in the text arose from the present disorder, and the influence of xxii. 5, "and there shall be no more night," where this clause is wholly justifiable.

146

Erbes (*Die Offenb. Johannis*, 1891) and, on the whole, Bousset (1906), are content with two. Bousset, in fact, regards xx.-xxii. as the work of our author, with the exception of the fragment xxi. 9-xxii. 5.

But, even though for the time being we accepted as a working hypothesis any one of the theories of these scholars based on a plurality of authorship, we have still two insuperable difficulties to face. (a) The first of these is that the more closely we study i.-xx. 3, the more convinced we become of the structural unity of these chapters-a fact which does not exclude the occasional use and adaptation of sources-and the clear and masterly development in thought, working up steadily to a climax. This being so, how is it that xx.-xxii. shows no such orderly development, but rather a chaos of conflicting conceptions i ( $\beta$ ) But the second difficulty is still greater. The hypotheses of the above scholars, with the partial exception of Bousset, break down hopelessly in the face of the general linguistic unity of In fact, these scholars had failed to make a thorough xx.–xxii. study of the style, vocabulary, and grammar of the Apocalypse. Bousset, it is true, has done much to compensate for the deficiencies of his predecessors in this field, but a deeper study of his materials would have precluded his assuming the existence of xxi. 9-xxii. 5 as an independent source, seeing that it is internally self-contradictory and that yet linguistically it is from the hand of our author. To the conclusion, in fact, that, with the exception of a few verses, chaps. xx.-xxii. are from the same hand to which we owe the bulk of the preceding chapters, a close and prolonged study has slowly but irresistibly brought If, then, this is so, we must conclude that the text in xx.me. xxii, is disarranged in an astonishing degree and does not at present stand in the orderly sequence originally designed by our author.

To what cause, we must now ask, is this almost incredible disorder due? It cannot be accounted for by accidental transpositions of the text in the MSS—a phenomenon with which the students of MSS in every ancient language are familiar. For no accident could explain the intolerable confusion of the text in xx. 4-xxii., and apparently the only hypothesis that can account for it is that which a comprehensive study of the facts forced upon me in the beginning of 1914, and this is that John died either as a martyr or by a natural death, when he had completed i.-xx. 3 of his work, and that the materials for its completion, which were for the most part ready in a series of independent documents, were put together by a faithful but unintelligent disciple in the order which he thought right.

This hypothesis we shall now proceed to establish by adequate proofs.

1. First of all it is a matter beyond dispute that xxii. 15, xxi. 27, which state that outside the gates of the Heavenly Jerusalem evil in every form exists, but that it can in no wise pass within the gates of the Holy City, prove that the Heavenly Jerusalem here referred to was to descend before the disappearance of the first earth and the first heaven and the final judgment described in xx. 11-15. A kindred expectation is found in 4 Ezra vii. 26-28, where the Heavenly Jerusalem,<sup>1</sup> the Messiah, and those who had been translated to heaven without seeing death, are to be manifested together on the earth for 400 years. The same view appears in the same work in xiii. 32-36. In this latter passage evil in every form exists outside the Heavenly City.

From later Jewish sources we are familiar with the connection of the rebuilt Jerusalem and the temporary reign of the Messiah. The advent of the Messiah determines the hour when the Temple and therefore Jerusalem should be rebuilt (Shemoth rab. c. 31). According to the Targum on Isa. liii. 5 (cf. Bammidbar rab. c. 13) the Messiah Himself was to build it.

From the above facts we conclude that in our author the account of the Heavenly Jerusalem (xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17) should have followed immediately on xx. 3 as the seat of the Messiah's Kingdom.

2. Verses xxi. 24-26, xxii. 2, 14-15, 17 assume that the nations are still upon earth, that the gospel is preached to them afresh from the Heavenly Jerusalem, that they are healed thereby of their spiritual evils, their sins washed away, that they can enter the Heavenly City and eat of the tree of life which was therein. And to this salvation they are bidden of the Spirit and the Heavenly Jerusalem (*i.e.* the bride, xxii. 17).

Now this expectation is derived from the Old Testament. In Zech. xiv. 16 sqq., when the blessed era sets in, the nations are to go up yearly to keep the Feast of Tabernacles at Jerusalem. In Tob. xiv. 6 the conversion of the Gentiles is to synchronize with the rebuilding of Jerusalem in a fashion far transcending all that Seer or prophet had hitherto dreamt of when its gates should be "builded with sapphire and emerald," and all its walls "with precious stones," and its streets "paved with carbuncle and stones of Ophir" (xiii. 16–17). Similarly in I Enoch (161 B.C.) we find it prophesied that the conversion of the surviving Gentiles would follow on the setting up of the Holy City, which was to be done by none other than God Himself. Next, in the Test. XII Patriarchs the conversion of

<sup>1</sup> Box, it is true, regards vii. 26, which tells of the manifestation of the Heavenly Jerusalem, as an interpolation; but the evidence of our text and later Judaism supports the connection of the Messiah and the Holy City.

148

the Gentiles is associated with the advent of the Messiah, T. Levi xviii. 9, T. Jud. xxiv. 5, and that of the New Jerusalem in T. Dan v. 12. Like expectations are expressed in the Sibyll. Or. iii. 751-59, 767-95; I Enoch xlviii. 4 (where the Messiah is described as the light of the Gentiles); Pss. Sol. xvii. 27, 32.

Thus in many books in Judaism the hope is entertained, as in our text, that the Gentiles would turn to the worship of the true God, when either the earthly Jerusalem was rebuilt or a Heavenly Jerusalem set up on earth, or when the Messiah established His Kingdom upon the earth. It is true that Judaism associated this expectation with the First Advent of the Messiah; for it looked for no second. But in Christianity it was different. What had not been realized on the First Advent of Christ is, according to many a Christian prophet and Seer, as also to our author, to be realized in a far higher degree when Christ came the second time in glory.

That the conversion of the heathen nations in our text, therefore, was to be accomplished in connection with the Heavenly City, which as the seat of the Millennial Kingdom was to descend on the earth before the Final Judgment, needs no further demonstration.

3. The facts just stated in the preceding paragraph, that the Gentiles shall still be upon the earth on the advent of the Heavenly City, and have a right to enter therein, are already postulated in the earlier chapters of the Apocalypse. Thus in xv. 4 we read in the song sung by the triumphant martyrs before the throne of God—

"Who shall not fear, O Lord, And glorify Thy name? For Thou alone art holy; For all the nations shall come And worship before Thee;

For Thy righteous acts shall have been made manifest."

Again, in xiv. 6-7 the Seer recounts a vision in which he hears an angel proclaiming the coming evangelization of the nations of the world:<sup>1</sup> "And I saw another angel flying in mid heaven, having an eternal gospel to proclaim unto them that dwell on the earth, and unto every nation and tribe and tongue and people, saying with a great voice,

<sup>1</sup> A somewhat analogous expectation is found in 1 Cor. xv. 23-28, where we have an account of the Messianic Kingdom. This kingdom is heralded by the resurrection of Christ : it is apparently established on Christ's (second) Advent with the risen righteous (23). Then follows the reign of Christ, in the course of which every evil power is overthrown (24<sup>b</sup>-28). Then comes the end (the general resurrection, final judgment, the destruction of the old world and the creation of the new). Fear God and give Him glory; For the hour of His judgment is come: And worship Him that made the heaven and the earth And the sea and fountains of waters."

Now, according to the present form of the text of the last three chapters of our book, these prophecies, which definitely foretell the evangelization of the nations of the world and their acceptance of the Gospel preached, remain wholly unfulfilled. In fact, according to the present text, the nations are simply annihilated before the advent of the Heavenly City. On the other hand, it the account of the Heavenly Jerusalem as given in xxi. 9 to xxii. 2, 14-15, 17 is restored immediately after xx. 3, then these prophecies are fulfilled; for the nations, according to this account, walk by the light thereof, and the kings of the earth do bring their glory into it, and yet outside its gates there is still evil of every kind.

4. Again, in xi. 15 we read-

"The Kingdom of the world is become the Kingdom of our Lord and of His Christ,

And He shall reign for ever and ever."

These words quite clearly assume that the rule of God and Christ will be extended over the whole world of the nations. But, as the text at present stands, not a single nation is mentioned as being brought beneath its sway, while in the verses (xx. 9-10) that precede the description of the Final Judgment (KX. 11-15) we are led to infer that they are wholly destroyed by fire from heaven. That is one way of establishing authority over the neutral or hostile nations, but it is not God's way. We have only to read chaps. xxi.-xxii., which deal ostensibly with events occurring only after the absolute destruction of all the nations and of the first heaven and the first earth, when we discover the nations, that had presumably passed out of existence, going up in pilgrimage to the Heavenly Jerusalem, each under its own king, passing within its blessed portals, bringing their glory and honour into it, receiving spiritual healing in the Holy City, and assimilating the divine truths that make them heirs to immortality, that is, eating of the tree of life. That all the nations do not avail themselves of these privileges is plainly asserted in the text : for outside the gates are sorcerers and whoremongers and idolaters and whosoever loveth and maketh a lie.

On this ground again we must transpose the description of the Holy City before the Final Judgment, and regard it as the seat of the Millennial Kingdom.

5. The city that is spiritually designated Sodom and Egypt (xi. 8) cannot be called "the beloved city" as in xx. 9, nor can

it become the seat of the Millennial Kingdom. Much less can the ruins of such a polluted city become the abode of Christ and of the risen martyrs come down from heaven to reign with Him for a thousand years.

6. Again, as we study xxi.-xxii. we discover that there are in reality two descriptions of the Heavenly City, and not one, as has hitherto been universally assumed. The Seer has two distinct visions, and they deal not with one and the same city, but with two quite distinct cities. The first (xxi. q to xxii, 2, 14-15, 17) presupposes the existence of the present earth. Thus the Seer tells how the angel, that had showed him the destruction of the great world-capital Rome in xvii., came again to him and carried him off to a great high mountain to show him the Heavenly City that was to take the place of Rome as the metropolis of the The very first words of the vision presuppose the coworld. existence of the Heavenly Jerusalem and the present earth. This city the Seer beheld coming down from heaven to earth (*i.e.* the first heaven and the first earth). It becomes the great spiritual centre of the world. The nations flock up to it from every side to share in its spiritual blessings, its gates are open day and night, and yet none of the evil individuals or nations that are without may enter into it (xxi. 24-27).

It is manifest that since sin, and therefore death, prevail outside the gates of the Heavenly City, the present order of things still prevails, the first heaven and the first earth are still in being.

But there is another Heavenly City (xxi. 1-4°, xxii. 3-5) described by our author, quite distinct from that just dealt with. The angel in xxi. 9 has apparently had no direct part in mediating this new vision. The vision, just as those in xx. 1-3, 11-15, xxi. 1, seems to be independent of any angelic agency. With regard to this Heavenly City there can be no question as to the hour of its manifestation. The very first words of the text imply that the vision of the Seer has outleapt the bounds of time, when the former heaven and earth have vanished for ever. This second Heavenly City does not appear till the first heaven and the first earth have vanished and their place been taken by the new heaven and the new earth. Hence as distinguished from the first Heavenly City, it is designated "new," i.e. rawn, that is, of a new sort or quality as distinct from the first, just as the second heaven and the second earth are themselves described as "new" (καινόs and καινή). This epithet is never applied to the Heavenly City described at such length in xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17. Sin, of course, no longer exists in this new world. Hence there is no more crying, nor mourning, nor pain, nor curse, nor death (xxi. 4<sup>abc</sup>, xxii. 3<sup>a</sup>), though round about the first Heavenly City -close even to its very gates-sin in every form and death did exist, and even within its stately walls sorrow for sin and repentance were never absent, for the nations of the earth flocked to it from every side to be healed of their spiritual ills and infirmities (xxi. 24-26, xxii. 2).

7. It is finally to be observed that, since the earthly Ierusalem was in ruins, and never in the opinion of the Seer to be rebuilt, a new city was of necessity to take its place as the seat of Christ's Kingdom and the abode of the blessed martyrs. who were to come down from heaven to reign for a thousand years with Him. Since this new city was to be the abode of Christ on His Second Advent from heaven, and of the martyrs coming down from heaven with Him in their glorified bodies, it follows that the new city must be from heaven also, if it was to be a fit abode for its inhabitants from heaven. Even as early as 161 B.C. (as we have already mentioned above), we have a like expectation in I Enoch xc. 28-38, where it is said in the vision that God Himself set up the New Jerusalem, to be the abode of the Messiah and the transformed and glorified Israel. A like expectation is attested in a work almost contemporary with our author, i.e. 4 Ezra, as we have already shown.

8. To the revision of John's literary executor we may probably ascribe the non-Johannine combinations  $\tau$ .  $\kappa a\theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi'$ airou in xx. 11, where, though only A and some cursives attest this reading, they are to be followed;  $\delta \kappa a\theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu os \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\tau} \cdot \theta \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \nu$  in xxi. 5, where, since every MS is wrong, the error must go back to the editor;  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa i \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \dots \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \delta \tau \nu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \kappa \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \nu$  in xx. 4, where the oir  $\nu \epsilon s$  is thrust in against John's usage (see i. 5, note). Possibly the normal construction  $\tau$ .  $\lambda \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \tau \eta$   $\kappa \alpha i \rho \epsilon \dot{\mu} \omega \gamma \tau \eta$   $\kappa \alpha i \rho \epsilon \dot{\mu} \omega \gamma \tau \dot{\sigma} \delta \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau i \delta \omega \sigma \omega$  the Johannine idiom is  $\tau \phi \delta \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau i \delta \omega \sigma \omega a \dot{\sigma} \phi$  (see note *in loc.*).

From the above facts the conclusion is inevitable that after xx. 3 our author had intended to add a description of the Heavenly Jerusalem that was to come down from heaven to earth and be the habitation of Christ and the martyrs that accompanied Him from heaven in their glorified bodies : and also that this very description has been preserved in certain sections of xxi.-xxii.

We have next to determine the extent of this description. Now even the cursory reader will observe that there are two accounts of the Heavenly Jerusalem in these chapters, which have been rudely thrust together by the Seer's literary executor.<sup>1</sup> A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We might compare 2 Corinthians, which is now recognized by the learned world as consisting of two mutilated Epistles of St. Paul edited together as one, the last four chapters belonging to the earlier Epistle. In Cicero's letters Professor Purser shows that in several cases exactly the same phenomenon may be found.

close study of these chapters will show that the section xxi. 9xxii. 2 constitutes a unity, though incomplete in itself, as we shall see presently, and gives a description of the Heavenly Jerusalem that was to be the centre of the Millennial Kingdom. Two further fragments of this description are to be found in xxii. 14-15 and 17. This description fits in perfectly with the conditions of the Millennial reign of Christ and the martyrs for a thousand years. It is conceived of as a period of beneficent rule and evangelizing effort in regard to the surviving nations who visit the Heavenly Jerusalem and bring all their glory and honour into it. Wickedness, of course, still exists without it, but nothing that is unclean, nor any liar or abominable person, is permitted to enter into it (xxii. 15, xxi. 27).

So far the first description. But what are we to make of the second, which begins with xxi. 1? Only the disjecta membra of this description remain. Two fragments of it are recoverable in xxi. 1-4° and xxii. 3-5. These should be read together, as the first clause of xxii. 3 forms the fourth line of the stanza, the first three lines of which are preserved in xxi. 4<sup>abc</sup>. In this second description the former heaven and earth have passed away for ever, with all the sin and sorrow and pain that prevailed on the former earth. Death itself shall be no more throughout the new heaven and the new earth and the New Jerusalem (xxi. 4). And whereas in the Heavenly Jerusalem that came down from God for the Millennial Kingdom the saints who had been martyred reigned only a thousand years, in the later New Jerusalem they are to reign for ever and ever (xxii. 5). It is noteworthy that even the very diction of xxi. 1-4<sup>abo</sup> and of xxii. 3-5 testifies to the fact that they form part of one and the same poem. Thus our erra err, which occurs three times in xxi. 1° 4<sup>bc</sup>, recurs twice in xxii. 3<sup>a</sup> 5<sup>a</sup> (contrast xxi. 26) and not elsewhere throughout our author. our ... er occurs nine times in connection with other verbs. Thus while our coral ere is confined to xxi. 1-4<sup>abo</sup>, xxii. 3-5, it is to be observed that oux . . . ere is characteristic of our author in the N.T., since outside our author it occurs in the N.T. only six times and twice of these in quotations.

We have now dealt with the chief difficulties in xx.-xxii. There are, of course, many of a subordinate nature affecting the original order of the text in xxii., but they are treated shortly in the introductions to the various sections of the rearranged translation that follows. Chaps. xx.-xxii. should provisionally be read in the following order:

xx. 1-3. Vision of the chaining of Satan for a thousand years.

xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17. Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem

which comes down to be the abode of Christ and the glorified martyrs, and the centre of a new evangelization of the nations for a thousand years.

xx. 4-6. Vision of the glorified martyrs who reign with Christ for a thousand years.

xx. 7-10. Vision of the loosing of Satan, and the attack of Gog and Magog on the Beloved City; of the destruction of Gog and Magog, and the casting of Satan into the lake of fire.

xx. 11-15. Vision of the great white throne; of the vanishing of the former heaven and earth; of the judgment of the dead, and of the casting of death and Hades into the lake of fire.

xxi.  $5^{a}$ ,  $4^{d}$ ,  $5^{b}$ ,  $1-4^{abc}$ , xxii. 3-5. The outworn world has vanished: God creates a new world. Vision of the new heaven and the new earth: of the New Jerusalem descending from God to the new earth, in which the saints are to reign for ever.

xxi.  $5^{c}$   $6^{b}$ -8. Admonition of God conveyed through the Seet to his contemporaries.

xxii. 6-7, 18<sup>a</sup>, 16, 13, 12, 10. Declaration of Christ as to the truth of the words of the Seer; His assurance of His almighty power and His speedy advent; and His command to the Seer to publish the prophecy: for the time is at hand.

xxii. 8–9, 20. John's testimony and closing words regarding Christ.<sup>1</sup>

xxii. 21. The closing benediction.

## VISION OF THE HEAVENLY JERUSALEM.

**XXI. 9-XXII. 2, 14-15, 17:** Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem coming down from heaven to be the abode of Christ and of the glorified martyrs, who are to reign with Him for 1000 years, and to be the centre of a new evangelization of the nations.

This vision forms (I.) an integral part of the Book, and (II.) is from the hand of the Seer. Since the question has already been discussed (see pp 144-154) we shall sum up shortly the evidence for the above statements.

I. The vision forms an integral part of the Book.

1. There must be a fitting seat on earth for the kingdom of Christ during the Millennial reign with the glorified martyrs in their heavenly bodies. This city while obviously supramundane, as befitting Christ and the glorified saints, must yet be accessible to the actual dwellers on the earth, as in fact it is : cf. xxi. 24-27, xxii. 14-15, 17.

2. Such a kingdom or centre of the evangelization of the heathen nations is clearly foretold in xv. 3-4, and implied in v. 10,

<sup>1</sup> xxii. 11, 18<sup>6</sup>-19 are most probably later additions.

xiv. 7. Without such a kingdom there would be a lacuna in the Book.

3. As one of the angels of the Seven Bowls showed the doomed city of the Antichrist to the Seer (xvii.-xviii.), so the same angel, or one of the same Seven, shows him the blessed city of the Christ (xxi. 9).

Thus so far as the subject-matter goes, the presence of this vision is indispensable.

II. It is from the hand of the Seer. Full evidence of this statement is given in the notes, but sufficient evidence will here be adduced to establish this point.

1. First, as to diction.

**XXI.** 9. καὶ ἦλθεν . . . δείξω σοι agrees exactly with xvii. 1. With φιάλας τῶν γεμόντων τῶν ἐπτὰ πληγῶν cf. xv. 7. τ. νυμφην τ. γυναῖκα τοῦ ἀρνίου is prepared for in xix. 7–8.

10. απήνεγκεν . . πνεύματι. So also in xvii. 3. την πόλιν τ. άγίαν 'Ιερυσαλήμ : cf. xxi. 2. καταβαίνουσαν έκ τ. οὐρανοῦ από τ. θεοῦ : cf. iii. 12, x. 1, xxi. 2, etc. ἔχουσαν τ. δόξαν τοῦ θεοῦ (also in 23) : cf. xv. 8.

11.  $\omega_{S}$  λίθω ἰάσπιδι: cf. iv. 3, δμοιος . . . λίθω ἰάσπιδι. Now we know (see vol. i. p. 36) that our author several times uses δμοιος as the equivalent of  $\omega_{S}$ . κρυσταλλίζοντι: cf. iv. 6, δμοία κρυστάλλω.

13. ἀπὸ ἀνατολῆς : cf. vii. 2, xvi. 12.

15. δ λαλών μετ' έμοῦ : cf. xvii. 1.

18. ὑάλφ (vaλos, 21): cf. ὑάλινος, iv. 6, xv. 2.

22. Observe the divine title so frequent in our Book.

23. où xpeiar exe: cf. iii. 17, xxii. 5. φαίνωσιν : cf. i. 16, viii. 12.

24. περιπατήσουσιν: cf. ii. 1, iii. 4, xvi. 15.

26. την δόξαν και τ. τιμήν: cf. iv. 9, 11, v. 12, 13.

27. γεγραμμένοι έν τ. βιβλίω της ζωής: cf. xx. 12, 15. ποιών βδέλυγμα (cf. xxi. 8) και ψεύδος: cf. xxi1. 15.

**ΧΧΙΙ. 1.** λαμπρόν : cf. xv. 6, xix. 8. ποταμόν . . . δο κρύσταλλον : cf. iv. 6, θάλασσα . . . δμοία κρυστάλλω, and see above on xxi. 10. ἐκπορευόμενον ἐκ τ. θρόνου : cf. iv. 5.

14. πλύνοντες τ. στολάς αὐτῶν. So vii. 14. έξουσία—a favourite Johannine word though here used with a slightly different meaning.

15. φαρμακοί . . είδωλολάτραι. For the same list of four see xxi. 8, though in a different order.

17. νύμφη : cf. xxi. 2, 9. ἔρχου : cf. vi. 1. διψώντι : cf. xxi. 6. υδωρ ζωής δωρεάν : cf. xxi. 6.

2. Technical use of idioms.

(a) Anomalous constructions: cf. xxi. 9, φιάλας των γεμόντων.
 14. τὸ τεῖχος . . . ἔχων. (b) Participle = finite verb, ἔχων = ἔχει, xxi. 12, 14: cf. iv. 1, etc. (c) Delicate distinction of our author preserved between υδωρ ζωῆς and ξύλον ζωῆς in xxii. 14,

17. This distinction is not made, so far as I am aware, in any other book before 100 A.D.: cf. vii. 17, xxi. 6 on vow Luns, and ii. 7 (note) on ξύλον ζωής. (d) Observe how the difficult phrase & φωστήρ αὐτής δμοιος . . λίθψ ἰάσπιδι (xxi. 11) is explained by the clause in iv. 3,  $\delta \kappa a \theta \eta \mu e v os$   $\delta \mu o \iota os$  . . .  $\lambda \ell \theta \psi$ idowide (see note on xxi. 11). (c) The use of  $\omega s$  and  $\delta \mu o \iota os$  as equivalents: cf. xxi. 11, 18, where  $\delta \mu o \iota os$  is used in this sense, and xxi. 11. 21. xxii. 1. where ws is so used. Observe also that whereas we have Joup Lungs Supear in xxii. 17, we find tou Jaros ris Luis Supedy in xxi. 6-a fact which points to xxi. 6 having been written subsequently to xxii. 17. (f) The order observed by our author as to numerals but nowhere else rigidly observed, is attested in every instance in this vision. Thus our author also places δώδεκα after its noun when the noun is otherwise unqualified: so also in xxi. 12 (bis),  $\pi v \lambda \hat{w} v a_s \delta \hat{w} \delta \epsilon \kappa a$ ,  $a_{\gamma\gamma} \epsilon \lambda o v_s \delta \hat{w} \delta \epsilon \kappa a$ , and kaproùs δώδεκα, xxii. 2; but before it when the noun has a dependent genitive : so also in xxi. 12, δώδεκα δνομάτα των δώδεκα Finally, when the subject of a clause consists of άποστολών. δώδεκα preceded by the article and followed by a noun, and the same numeral recurs in the predicate with a noun, the δώδεκα precedes the noun. So also in xxi. 21, of δώδεκα  $\pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} v \epsilon_{S}$  δώδεκα μαργαρίται. See note on viii. 2.

**XXI. 9-21.** An angel of the Seven Bowls shows to the Seer the Heavenly Jerusalem which is to be the seat of the Millennial Kingdom.

9. καὶ ἡλθεν . . . φιάλας. Repeated from xvii. 1. φιάλας τῶν γεμόντων. This is certainly an extraordinary construction even in our author. It is best, perhaps, to explain it as an oversight. καὶ ἐλάλησεν. . . δείξω σοι. Repeated from xvii. 1.

την νύμφην [την γυναῖκα] τοῦ ἀρνίου. The phrase in brackets is with Bousset to be excised. It can be explained as a marginal gloss on  $\tau$ . νύμφην based on xix. 7. The great variation in the MSS points to this phrase being an intrusion.

10. καὶ ἀπήνεγκέν με ἐν πνεύματι. This clause has already occurred in xvii. 3, and the phrase that follows here, ἐπὶ ὅρος μέγα, suggests the present earth just as explicitly as does εἰς ἔρημον in xvii. 3. The implication is that the present earth and the Heavenly Jerusalem would coexist. But there is no such implication in regard to the New Jerusalem. The former heaven and earth have already vanished (xxi. 1). Ezek. xl. appears to have been in the mind of our author when he committed this vision to writing. 10° is practically an echo of Ezek. xl. 2, "In the visions of God brought he me . . . and set me down upon a very high mountain." Here, as the LXX renders ἥγαγέν με ἐν ὅράσει θεοῦ . . . καὶ ἕθηκέν με ἐπ᾽ ὅρος ὑψηλὸν σφόδρα, our author has thought of the Hebrew only. On this very high mountain (cf. Ezek. xvii. 22; Isa. ii. 2) stood what appeared to be the structure of a city.

There he met a man with a measuring line (Ezek. xl. 3) wherewith he measured the Temple.

έπὶ ὅρος μέγα καὶ ὑψηλόν. Paradise and a lofty mountain are associated together in 1 Enoch xxiv. sq., and again in lxxxvii. 3, and probably in Jub. iv. 26. But this association may go back to primitive times, when the mountain of God (Ezek. xxviii. 14, Ps. xlviii. 2) was associated with the glorified Jerusalem (Isa. ii. 2); see Oesterley, Evolution of Mess. Idea, p. 129 sqq.

την πόλιν την άγίαν 'lepouraλήμ. If we compare this phrase with that in xxi. 2, which refers to the New Jerusalem which descends after the Judgment and the creation of the new heaven and the new earth, we observe that it is word for word the same save that the latter adds the significant word καινήν. This seems to imply that the Heavenly City is itself renewed or replaced by another.

But there are other questions which call for discussion in connection with this conception. We have four titles of this future abode of the blessed in our author: 1.  $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda s \tau \sigma \vartheta \theta \epsilon \sigma \vartheta$  $\mu \sigma \upsilon$  (iii. 12). 2.  $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda s \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma (a' 1 \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma a \lambda' \eta \mu \kappa a \iota \gamma' (xxi. 2.), or <math>\dot{\eta}$  $\kappa a \iota \gamma \dot{\eta}$  '1  $\epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma a \lambda' \eta \mu$  (iii. 12). 3.  $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda s \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma (a' 1 \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma a \lambda' \eta \mu (xxi. 10).$ 4.  $\dot{\delta} \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma s \tau \sigma \vartheta \theta \epsilon \sigma \vartheta \mu \sigma \upsilon$  (ii. 7). This list we can at once reduce to three by referring to iii. 12, where 1 and 2 are identified. Next, by comparing xxi. 10 and ii. 7, we are enabled to identify 3 and 4; for both these are the seat of the  $\xi \upsilon \lambda \sigma \nu \zeta \omega \eta s$  (cf. xxii. 2). We have now to consider in what relation does (a)  $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda s \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma (a' 1 \epsilon \rho)$ .  $(= \dot{\delta} \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma s \tau \sigma \vartheta \theta \epsilon \sigma \vartheta \mu \sigma \upsilon)$  stand to  $(\beta) \dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda s \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma (a' 1 \epsilon \rho)$ .  $\kappa a \iota \gamma \eta (= \dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda s \tau \sigma \vartheta \theta \epsilon \sigma \vartheta \mu \sigma \upsilon)$ . Are they really different or are they identical? They are closely related in the mind of our author, but they are not identical.

(a) The first, *i.e.*  $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda is \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma ia \gamma i e \rho o v \sigma \lambda \gamma \mu$ , is the seat of the Millennial Kingdom. It contains the tree of life (ii. 7, xxii. 2). At the close of the Millennial Kingdom and before the Final Judgment, when both the heaven and the earth vanish, its removal from the earth is presupposed together with Christ and the glorified martyrs. This removal from the earth is not expressly stated, but it is undoubtedly presupposed. There are analogous expectations in contemporary Judaism. Thus in 2 Bar. vi. 6-10 it is said that even the sacred vessels of the Holy of Holies were removed by angels before the destruction of Jerusalem in  $\gamma 0$  A.D. For an analogous account see 2 Macc. ii. 4-8. In 4 Ezra vi. 2-3, iii. 6, moreover, where the main source (= S: see Box) identifies the heavenly and earthly Paradises, Paradise, which had been prepared by God before the creation of the world, was placed afterwards on the earth as Adam's abode, iii. 6, but with-

**XXI.** 10.

drawn after Adam's fall (see Box on 4 Ezra, p. 197). Hence we might reasonably conclude that it is the same city—the Holy City, Jerusalem—that is spoken of in xxi. 10 sqq. and in xxi. 2, but *that it has been transformed* ( $\kappa auv\eta$ ) in order to adapt it to the new heaven and the new earth. Further, in this connection we might remark that just as the Heavenly Jerusalem is associated with the manifestation of Christ on earth in our text, so also we find the same association in 4 Ezra vii. 26, xiii. 36. It is true that Box rejects both these passages as interpolations. But if it was believed that the heavenly Paradise had come down to earth to be Adam's abode, there could be no objection to the hope that the Heavenly City should come down to be the abode of the Messiah.

( $\beta$ ) But, though the Holy City, Jerusalem, has been removed from the earth before the Final Judgment, when the former heaven and earth vanish into nothingness, this city is not to be absolutely identified with "the Holy City, New Jerusalem," which comes down from the new heaven to the new earth to be the *everlasting* abode of the blessed. This new city is either wholly new in every respect, or it is the former city *transformed*. It belongs to the new creation, xxi. 5<sup>b</sup>. As opposed to the former Holy City, this Holy City is "new" (*kauríj*); that is, it is here contemplated not under aspects of time but of *quality*: it is new as set over against that which is in some respects materialistic, or outworn, or marred, or unfit.

In  $\beta$ , as we have already remarked, there is an identification of  $\frac{1}{9}$  kaury 'Iepovora $\lambda \eta \mu$  (iii. 12) and  $\frac{1}{9} \pi \delta \lambda \iota_5 \tau o \hat{\upsilon} \theta \epsilon o \hat{\upsilon}$ . It seems as if  $\beta$  is distinguished also in another respect from a. There is no mention of the presence of the tree of life in  $\beta$ , though this is a characteristic feature of a. But the tree of life is unnecessary in  $\beta$ , since death itself is wholly at an end, xxi. 4<sup>b</sup>, and the blessed live in the light of God's presence and reign for ever and ever, xxii. 5.

In the conception of the New Jerusalem our author has fused together 1 and 2 and discarded 4 (see above). But these ideas were originally very different, as the following notes will show.

1. The city of God.—The idea of the heavenly city or the city of the gods, found in many nations of the ancient world, was taken over by Judaism.

The city of the gods was originally suggested by the heaven with the sun and moon and the twelve signs of the Zodiac and the twelve gates through which they were conceived to pass, on the north three gates, on the east three gates, on the south three gates, and on the west three gates. There was also the great Milky Way, which was conceived as the great street of the heavenly city.

It has been said that our author had before him the descrip-

tion of Ezekiel's city (Ezek. xlviii. 31 sqq.) with its twelve gates, three in each of the four walls, and that this description with the enumeration of the twelve precious stones in the high priest's breastplate (Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq., xxxix. 10 sqq.) was all that our author drew upon in the ideas and facts of the past for his own description of the Heavenly City. But our text itself refutes such a view. For the fact that in this city are twelve gates.<sup>1</sup> which are respectively composed of the twelve precious stones, sh we that some of the ideas in our text go back ultimately to the heavenly city itself. There is some hint of this connection in I Enoch lxxii. 2 sqq., lxxv. 6, lxxxii. 4 sqq., where there are said to be twelve portals in the heaven through which the sun, moon, and stars go forth at different seasons. The connection is here very slight, but the connection between these gates and the precious stones mentioned in our text recalls the fact that Philo (De Monarchia, ii. 5: cf. Vita Mos. iii. 14) and Josephus (Ant. iii. 7. 7) interpret the twelve precious stones on the breastplate of the high priest of the signs of the Zodiac; and Kircher (Oedipus Aegyptiacus, 1653, 11. ii. 177 sq.) has shown that according to Egyptian and Arabian monuments these stones correspond to these signs.

The peculiar shape of the city, that it is equally long, broad, and high, may possibly be explained from this standpoint; for to the human vision the heaven appears to be of this character. We might here compare the Holy of-Holies in Solomon's Temple, which was a cube, being 20 cubits each way: cf. I Kings vi. 20.

But our author disassociates (see p. 167 sq.) the Heavenly Jerusalem from this ethnic conception of the city of the gods, which had impressed itself slowly, and perhaps for the most part imperceptibly, on the Judaism of the past. As the stars were naturally compared with precious stones, and as we have just seen that a clear association between the signs of the Zodiac and certain precious stones was established before the Christian era, it is not improbable that in Isa. liv. 11-12, where the earthly Zion is referred to, we have traces of the heavenly city:

"Behold I will set thy bases in rubies, And thy foundations in sapphires. And I will make of jasper thy pinnacles, And thy gates of carbuncles, and all thy border of jewels"

(Box's translation); and also in Tob. xiii. 16-18,

"And the gates of Jerusalem shall be builded with sapphire and emerald,

<sup>1</sup> The Babylomans were already familiar with the idea of heavenly gates; see Zimmern,  $KAT^3$ , p. 619.

And all thy walls with precious stones. The towers of Jerusalem shall be builded with gold, And their battlements with pure gold. The streets of Jerusalem shall be paved With carbuncle and stones of Ophir, And the gates of Jerusalem shall utter hymns of gladness, And all her houses shall say, Hallelujah."

In a much later work, Sibyll. Or. v. 420 sq., we find καὶ πόλιν ἢν ἐπόθησε θεός, ταύτην ἐποίησεν φαιδροτέραν ἄστρων τε καὶ ἡλίου ἦδε σελήνης.

Now from the contents of these passages it appears clear that we have to do not with the heavenly city of God, but with the earthly Jerusalem, and yet the descriptions reflect the characteristics of the heavenly city.<sup>1</sup>

2. Paradise. — Paradise<sup>2</sup> is very variously conceived at different times and in different writings. First of all the term is used of the Garden of Eden in Gen. ii.-iii. In the 2nd cent. B.C. it has become the abode of the righteous and elect after this life, and is called the Garden of Righteousness, or of the Righteous, or the Garden of Life, 1 Enoch lx. 8, 23, lxi. 12, and is situated at the ends of the earth, lxv. 2, cvi. 8 (lxxxix. 52), or on the N.W., lxx. 2. lxxvii. 3. or to the east of the seven great mountains. xxxii. 1-2, xxiv. 1-4 sqq. In Test. Levi xvni. 10, 2 Bar. h 10-11, 2 Enoch ix. 1 sqq., xlii. 2-4, Paradise does not become the abode of the righteous till the Advent of the Messiah or the last judgment, I Enoch xxii. In nearly all these passages it is the heavenly and not the earthly Paradise that is meant, or rather the earthly Paradise has assumed a heavenly character. Īn 2 Enoch viii. 1-6 the heavenly and earthly Paradises are mentioned in succession. The earthly Paradise was created on the third day, Jub. ii. 7, 2 Enoch xxx. 1, whereas according to later Judaism the heavenly Paradise is described as existing before the world either actually or in the thought of God, Pesach. 54°; Ned. 30<sup>b</sup>.

In 4 Ezra (source S) the heavenly and the earthly Paradises are identified. This Paradise was prepared by God before the Creation as Adam's first abode, iii. 6 (cf. 2 Bar. iv. 3), but afterwards withdrawn from the earth and reserved for the rightcous after the final Judgment. In this author Paradise has become identical with heaven and is set over against Gehenna, 4 Ezra

<sup>1</sup> See Zimmern, KAT<sup>3</sup>, p. 619; Gunkel, Zum Verständniss des NT., p 48 sqq.; Bousset in loc.; Jeremias, Babylonisches im NT., p. 68.

<sup>3</sup>The Talmudists are almost unanimous in maintaining that there was both a heavenly and an earthly Paradise. The Rabbis distinguish between Gan and Eden. Thus Samuel bar Nahman declares that Adam dwelt only in the Gan, whereas no mortal eye had ever seen Eden (Ber. 34<sup>b</sup>). vii. 36-38, 123. See Box, 4 Ezra, 195 sqq. But in 2 Bar. iv. 3 the two Paradises are distinguished apparently; for Adam did not live in the heavenly Paradise, but only enjoyed the vision of it before his fall.

3. The New Jerusalem .- In the O.T. such passages as Isa. liv. 11 sq., lx. 10-14, Hag. ii. 7-9, Zech. ii. 1-5, refer only to the earthly Jerusalem, though in Isa. liv. this conception has been influenced by the conception of the city of God. In Tob. xiii. 16-18 this influence is still clearer, while in 2 Bar. iv. 2-4 the heavenly Jerusalem is definitely affirmed and distinguished from the earthly and likewise from Paradise. But it is an error to suppose, as some do, that it was only after the destruction of the earthly Jerusalem that the idea of the heavenly was evolved. for we find it clearly stated early in the second century B.C. in I Enoch xc. 29, where God Himself builds what is symbolically called "the New House" on the site of the earthly Jerusalem, which He had removed. In 2 Bar. iv. 3 the manifestation of this city is connected with the manifestation of God, just as in 4 Ezra vii. 26, xiii. 36 the heavenly Zion is to appear along with the Messiah, and in our own text the Holy City, Jerusalem, with Christ and the glorified martyrs. If the heavenly Paradise could appear on earth for Adam, it was only natural that the heavenly Ierusalem should appear on earth for Christ-the Second and greater Adam. Finally, we should observe that the transference of the tree of life from Paradise to Jerusalem, I Enoch xxv. 4-5, implies the identification even at this early date of Paradise and Jerusalem : also in Test. Dan v. 12,

"And the saints shall rest in Eden (i.e. Paradise),

And in the New Jerusalem shall the righteous rejoice."

καταβαίνουσαν . . . τοῦ θεοῦ. For parallel phrases in our author see above, p. 155.

την δόξαν τοῦ θεοῦ. See note on 23, xviii. 1.

told that it is the glory of God that gives light to the city (xxi 23,  $\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \rho \delta \delta \xi a \tau \sigma \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \sigma \hat{v} \epsilon \dot{\phi} \omega \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ). This use of  $\phi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho =$  light, is very rare. Cf. I Esdr. viii. 79. Thay er quotes Anthol. 11. 359 as another instance of this use.

19.  $i_{\chi ouvea} = i_{\chi ei}$ . See p. 155 ad fin. The second  $i_{\chi ouvea}$  is an ordinary participle.

reixos. Cf. 2 Enoch lxv. 10, "And there shall be to them a great wall that cannot be broken down."

πυλώνας δώδεκα. Twelve gates, as in the city of Ezekiel: cf. Ezek. xlviii. 30 sqq., corresponding to the Twelve Tribes. In I Enoch xxxii.-xxxv. there is a similar distribution of the gates of heaven whence the stars issue. In Classical Greek  $\pi v \lambda \omega v$ meant a gatehouse, gatetower, or porch, and was, therefore, distinct from  $\pi i \lambda \eta$ . It has this meaning in Acts xii. 13,  $\tau i \eta v$ θύραν τοῦ πυλῶνος: cf. ibid. xii. 14; Matt. xxvi. 71. But it came in late Greek (see the LXX) to mean simply a large gate : cf. Luke xvi. 20; Acts x. 17, xiv. 13. It is in this sense that it appears to be used by our author-in all eleven times. This is clear from xxi. 21, οι δώδεκα πυλώνες δώδεκα μαργαρίται. He does not use  $\pi i \lambda \eta$ . In the LXX  $\pi v \lambda \omega v$  is often used as a rendering of and sometimes of  $\neg y z$ , while  $\pi i \lambda \eta$  very often renders we and sometimes Hence it is no guide here. It is noteworthy that whereas the Fourth Gospel does not use πυλών or πύλη, it employs θύρα many times in the same sense (x. 1, 2, 7, 0, xviii. 16, xx. 19), as does our author (iii. 8, 20, iv. 1).

άγγέλους δώδεκα. Cf. Isa. lxii. 6, "I have set watchmen upon thy walls, O Jerusalem."

όνόματα ἐπιγεγραμμένα κτλ. The twelve gates are entrusted respectively to the Twelve Tribes, and the names of the latter inscribed respectively on these gates, as in Ezek. xlviii. 31, "The gates of the city (LXX, πύλαι τῆς πόλεως) shall be after the names of the tribes of Israel." If the gates bear the names of the Twelve Tribes, the names of the Twelve Apostles (14) are engraven on the foundations. Thereby the Seer maintains the continuity of the O.T. and the Christian Church.

18. The order of the points of the compass in this verse are E.N.S.W., whereas in Num. ii. 3 sqq. it is E.S.W.N. and in Ezek. xlviii. N.E.S.W. How the gates were respectively inscribed we have no means of determining.

14. τείχος . . . έχων. See above, p. 155 ad fin.

θεμελίους δώδεκα Since there are twelve gates, the wall surrounding the City is divided into twelve sections, each section of which rests upon a single foundation stone. These twelve foundation stones consist of twelve precious stones, which are enumerated in 19 sq., and form apparently an unbroken and continuous basement.

# **XXI. 14-16.**] VISION OF THE HEAVENLY JERUSALEM 163

ἐπ' αδτῶν δώδεκα ὀνόματα τ. δώδεκα ἀποστόλων. Elsewhere in the N.T. we have a similar combination of the Christian and Jewish Churches. In Matt. xix. 28, καθήσεσθε καὶ ὑμεῶς ἐπὶ δώδεκα θρόνους κρίνοντες τὰς δώδεκα ψυλὰς τοῦ Ἱσραήλ, which may contain a reminiscence of T. Jud. xxv. 1. A remote parallel is to be found in Eph. ii. 20, ἐποικοδομηθέντες ἐπὶ τῷ θεμελίω τῶν ἀποστόλων καὶ προφητῶν, ὅντος ἀκρογωνιαίου αὐτοῦ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ. In Eph. the whole spiritual Church is the theme of St. Paul: here it is only the foundations of the wall that encircles the Holy City. We have really a nearer parallel in Heb. xi. 10, ἐξεδέχετο γὰρ τὴν τοὺς θεμελίους ἔχουσαν πόλιν, ἦς τεχνίτης ... ὁ θεός.

τῶν δώδεκα ἀποστόλων. The Twelve are here referred to as a corporate body, and there is no hint as to its exact composition. "The absence of Paul's name," as Moffatt remarks, "is no more significant than the failure to emphasize that of Peter."

15. This and some of the verses that follow have been suggested by Ezek. xl. 3 sqq. The measuring in each case has to do with the respective ideal cities of the O.T. prophet and the N.T. Seer, and not as in xi. 2, where the actual Jerusalem is referred to. The act of measuring here has none of the meanings given in the note on xi. 1. The measures are given to the Seer in order to elucidate the vision.

16. ή πόλις τετράγωνος. Babylon, according to Herodotus (i. 178), was a square (τετράγωνος), each side of the square being 120 stades. The Greeks regarded the square as a symbol for perfection: cf. Simonides in Plato's *Prot.* 344 A, ανδρ' ἀγαθόν, χερσί τε καὶ ποσὶ καὶ νόῳ τετράγωνον, ανευ ψόγου τετυγμένον, χαλεπὸν ἀλαθέως: Aristotle, *Rhet.* iii. 11. 2, τὸν ἀγαθὸν ἀνδρα φάναι τετράγωνον: cf. *Eth. Nic.* i. 10, 11, ἀγαθὸς ἀληθῶς καὶ τετράγωνος ανευ ψόγου. κείται = "stood." Cf. iv. 2; Jer. xxiv. 1, δύο καλάθους. . . κειμένους (מועדים): John ii. 6, xix. 29.

έπὶ σταδίους δώδεκα χιλιάδων. This reading, which is that of AQ and most of the cursives, is very difficult. ἐπὶ σταδίους is in itself the usual classical construction, but the genitive (so **KP**) also is found: see Thuc. ii. 90; Xen. Cyr. ii. 4. 2, ἐγένοντο τὸ μέτωπον ἐπὶ τριακοσίων . . . τὸ δὲ βάθος ἐφ' ἔκατον. But how, if we adopt the σταδίους, are we to explain δώδεκα χιλιάδων? Winer (p. 244 n.) describes it as a genitive of quality and compares πηχῶν (see Blass, p. 99, n. 1) in the next line. But the cases are not analogous. If it is original, it is perhaps to be rendered "to the length of furlongs of the amount of 12,000." Possibly, however, σταδίους is a primitive error and **MP** have rightly emended the text: ἐπὶ σταδίων δ.  $\chi =$  "at 12,000 furlongs." Cf. xiv. 20, ἀπὸ σταδίων χιλίων ἐξακοσίων.

δώδεκα χιλάδων. 12,000 stades = nearly 1500 miles. This is either the length of one side of the square or of the four sides combined, but the words that follow are in favour of the former view. These huge figures are not, of course, to be taken literally. Our Seer is using the language of symbolism. When dealing with the subject of Paradise later Jewish writers make statements of a kindred nature. Amongst the more moderate computations is that found in Sibyll. Or. v. 251 (88-130 A.D.):

> αχρι δε και Ίόπης τειχος μέγα κυκλώσαντες ψύος αείρονται αχρι και νεφέων ερεβεννῶν.

Here the circumference of the city would be about 280 miles.

A larger estimate (quoted from Wetstein) appears in the Shir R. vii. 5, where it is said that Jerusalem would be enlarged till it reached the gates of Damascus, and exalted till it reached the throne of God (ix. 1). In the Baba Bathra, 75<sup>b</sup>, its height is defined as twelve miles. But the imagination is wholly baffled by the amazing figures in Taanith, 10<sup>a</sup>, where the whole world is declared to be the sixtieth part of the Garden, and the Garden the sixtieth part of Eden.

17. Exaror recorepáxorra recoráper  $\pi\eta\chi$ êr. This wall of 144 cubits is wholly out of proportion in view of the gigantic magnitude of the City. It cannot rightly be described as  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \kappa a i$  $i\psi\eta\lambda\delta r$  in connection with the City, and so it may be either a fragmentary and now unintelligible survival of some archaic element, or else merely a poetical detail, and without symbolic significance. But if we might take the wall as an outer line of defence distinct from the City, then it could well serve as a defence against the entrance of the wicked and unclean (xxi. 27, xxii. 15).

μέτρον ἀνθρώπου ὅ ἐστιν ἀγγέλου. The measures used by the angel are those in common use amongst mankind. This is not unreasonable, since both angels and men are fellow-servants of God (xix. 10, xxii. 9).

18-XXII. 2. This section is in verse, and deals with the appearance and character of the City.

18-21. The materials of which the city is constructed.

18. ή ἐνδώμησις . . . ἴασπις. ἐνδώμησις found only here and in Joseph. Ant. xv. 9. 6 (ή δὲ ἐνδόμησις ὅσον ήν ἐβάλλετο κατὰ τῆς θαλάσσης διακοσίους πόδας), and in a pre-Christian inscription, τὴν ἐνδώμησιν τοῦ τεμένους (Dittenberger's Sylloge Inscript. Graec.<sup>2</sup> 583, 31, quoted from Moffatt), appears to mean materials or fabric. Thus not only was the radiance that came forth from Him that sat on the throne (iv. 3) of a jasper hue, and likewise that of the whole atmosphere of the Holy City (xxi. 11), but the wall itself was constructed of jasper. This structure of jasper was based on twelve precious stones, each of which formed one-twelfth of the entire foundation (cf. 12, 19).

164

ή πόλις χρυσίον καθαρόν κτλ. The city itself was composed of transparent gold.

19. The twelve precious stones which compose the twelve foundations of the wall correspond on the whole to those that were set in gold on the high priest's breastplate in Ex. xxviii. 17-20, xxxix. 10-13 (cf. also Ezek. xxviii. 13 on the dress of the King of Tyre, where, however, in the Hebrew only nine stones are mentioned though twelve are given in the LXX).


Whereas, according to Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq., the names of the Twelve Tribes were written on the twelve stones on the high priest's breastplate, in our text the names of the Twelve Tribes are written, as in Ezek. xlviii. 31, on the twelve gates; but it is the names of the Twelve Apostles that are written on the twelve precious stones which form the foundations of the wall of the Citv. By means of xxi. 13, where the order in which the angel measured the four sides of the city (i.e. E.N.S.W.), and xxi. 19-20, where the twelve stones are enumerated, we are able to discover the probable order in which these foundations were laid. This order has nothing whatever to do with the order given in Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq., as Myers, Encyc. Bib. iv. 4811, and Bousset, following the same principle in his commentary, assume ; nor is it to be explained from any accidental inversion or misreading of the twelve stones arranged in four lines, each line containing three stones. Bousset's explanation is as follows. Our author read the second three stones in Ex. xxviii. 17 sq. before the first three, and the fourth three before the third three, and thus arrived at the following order:

Ι. άνθραξ	σάπφειρος	ĩaσπιs
II. σάρδιον	τοπάζιον	σμάρ <b>αγδος</b>
III. χρυσόλιθος	βηρύλλιον	ονύχιον
IV. λιγύριον	άχάτης	ἀμέθνστος.

Next, he or his source had read the stones in I. and II. from right to left, and in III. and IV. from left to right. Now, only in the last resort could such a complicated hypothesis—in itself a confession of failure—be accepted.

While rejecting such an hypothesis, it is advisable to state the actual relations between our text and Ex. xxviii. 17-20. 1. Our author has not followed the LXX of Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq., seeing that his list differs in the renderings of four of the Hebrew words. 2. Our author's list presupposes a transposition of the sixth and twelfth stones, *i.e.* the  $D_{\rm e} = \tau \sigma \pi a_{\rm e} \sigma \sigma r$  and (= $\tau a \sigma \pi s$ ). This was probably the original Hebrew order (see *Encyc. Bib.* iv. 4810). 3. It is not credible that, using as he did the Hebrew text first hand, he should accidentally invert the order of the first and second rows and of the third and fourth, and in addition read the first pair of rows from right to left and the second pair from left to right. In short, the order of the stones in our text cannot be explained from the order in Ex. xxviii. 17-20. We have now to discover the grounds which gave rise to the difference in order between our text and Ex. xxviii. 17-20. First of all let us arrange the list of stones in 19-20 in conjunction with the sides of the city as they were measured by the angel.

Now whereas in Num. ii. the tribes are arranged in a square, the sides of which look E.S.W.N., and the gates of the Holy City in Ezek. xlviii which bear the names of the Tribes are enumerated in the order N.E.S.W., we are tempted to ask why does the angel adopt an apparently capricious order and measure the sides of the Holy City E.N.S.W.? I know of no certain explanation, but it is possible that we may discover some ground for it, if we take the reconstructed list of the Tribes in vii. 5–8 and combine it with xxi. 13. As a result of this combination we have the following result :



In this diagram we see that the six sons of Leah, *i.e.* Judah, Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Issachar, Zebulun (see vol. i. p. 208), are arranged along the E. and N. Immediately adjoining the children of Leah come the children of Rachel in our author's list, Joseph and Benjamin (see i. 208), and since the S. was preferred to the W. among the Jews, and the angel measures the city in the order E.N.S.W. (xxi. 13), these two must be arranged along the S. Next (see i. 208) come the sons of Leah's handmaid, *i.e.* Gad and Assher. These take the next position of honour, *i.e.* S.W.S. and W.S.W. This solution of the difficulties of vii. 5-8, xxi. 13, 19-20 has this recommendation, that it explains all three passages as part of one coherent conception. If it is rejected, some other explanation must be discovered, else the direction pursued by the angel in measuring the walls—E.N.S.W.—is highly capricious.

The angel measures the walls in the order E.N.S.W. Now. let us take the twelve stones enumerated in 19-20 and beginning with the S.E. corner place the first three on E., which the angel measured first, the second three on N., which the angel measured next, the third three on S., which the angel measured next, and the fourth three on W., as is done below. But it is not till we combine these data with the following fact that we arrive at the This fact is that, according to Kircher's solution of the problem. Oedipus Aegyptiacus, 11. ii. 177 sq. (1653), each of the twelve precious stones<sup>1</sup> in our text is connected respectively with one of the twelve signs of the Zodiac on Egyptian and Arabian monuments. That this connection was already recognized by the Jews we learn from the express statements of Philo and Josephus (see references in note, p. 159). The following table (from Kircher) gives the connections between the signs and the precious stones :


- 1. The Ram—the amethyst.
- 2. The Bull—the hyacinth.
- 3. The Twins-the chrysoprase.
- 4. The Crab—the topaz.
- 5. The Lion-the beryl.
- 6. The Virgin-the chrysolite.
- 7. The Balance-the sardius.
- 8. The Scorpion-the sardonyx.
- 9. The Archer-the smaragdus.
- 10. The Goat-the chalcedon.
- 11. The Water-carrier—the sapphire.
- 12. The Fishes—the jasper.

In the diagram that follows I have placed the precious stones in the order suggested by our text in 13, 19-20 and added the signs of the Zodiac with which they were respectively connected. Now, if we read the signs of the Zodiac as there given in the order prescribed in 19-20 we arrive at the following result. The signs or constellations are given in a certain order, and that exactly the reverse order of the actual path of the sun through the signs. Thus we have the Fishes, Water-carrier, Goat, Archer, Scorpion, Balance, Virgin, Lion, Crab, Twins, Bull, Ram; for the order to be followed is that given in xxi. 13, *i.e.* E.N.S.W. But in the apparent movement of the sun, the sun is said when

<sup>1</sup> In Pluny's *H.N.* xxxiii. the definite number twelve is connected with precious stones.

crossing the equator towards the north to be at the first point of the Ram, thirty days later it enters the Bull, and so on through the Twins, Crab. Lion, etc., till it reaches the Fishes. Now this cannot be an accident. The conclusion that our author is acquainted with these current beliefs as to the connection of the twelve precious stones with the signs of the Zodiac, and the sun's progress through the signs of the Zodiac cannot in the face of the above facts be questioned, while the further fact that he gives the stones in exactly the reverse order to that required by astronomical science, shows that he regards the Holy City which he describes as having nothing to do with the ethnic speculations of his own and past ages regarding the city of the gods. Thus he deliberately disconnects the Holy City with the city of the gods, in which the twelve gates were connected with the twelve precious stones and the signs of the Zodiac, (1) by connecting the gates of the Holy City with the names of the Twelve Tribes, and by representing each gate as composed of a single pearl, and (2) by using the twelve precious stones in an ornamental sense and describing them as engraved with the names of the Twelve Apostles.

Table giving the stones of the foundations in their probable order and their probable equivalents in Ex. xxviii. 17–20.



In the above diagram it will be observed that our author has rendered the Hebrew words נשבר לשם, משרה, and שבוי respectively by χαλκηδών, σαρδόνυξ, χρυσόπρασος, and ὑάκινθος, whereas the LXX in Ex. xxviii. 17-20 renders them respectively by ἄνθραξ, τοπάζιον, λιγύριον, ἀχάτης. Of the twelve stones enumerated in our text<sup>1</sup> three have already been mentioned, the ἴασπις, σμάραγδος, and σάρδιον in iv. 3, while ὑάκινθος is implied in ix. 17.

taomis. This was probably of a green or emerald colour. See iv. 3.

σάπφειρος This stone "is identified (Theophr. 37; cf. 55, κύανος σκύθης, and Pliny, H.N. xxxvii. 120) with the opaque blue 'lapis lazuli' of Turkestan" (*Encyc. Bib.* iv. 4805).

χαλκηδών. This word occurs only here in Biblical Greek. This gem is taken to be of a green colour (=a copper silicate), and as we have seen already is substituted for aνθρaξ (a red garnet) in the LXX.

σμάραγδος. See note on iv. 3.

σάρδιον. See iv. 3 (n.).

χρυσόλιθος (= κτιστάτα). This gold stone is hard to identify: it may be golden yellow and opaque—*i.e.* yellow jasper or yellow serpentine: or it may be golden yellow and translucent (see *Encyc. Bib.* iv. 4807). These stones are described by Pliny, *H.N.* xxxvii. 42, as "aureo fulgore translucentes."

βήρυλλος (= שׁהם). This is thought to be the malachite by Myres in the *Encyc. Bib.* iv. 4808, "with its wavy... bands and cloudy patches of light vivid and dark green." In Ex. xxvii. 20, xxxix. 13, שׁהם is rendered by δνύχιον.

<sup>1</sup> The identification of the Greek with the Hebrew names for these precious stones is in several cases purely hypothetical. This is in part due to the confusion of the order in the Massoretic. Although the same order in the four rows on the high priest's breastplate is given in Ex. xxvii. 17-20 and xxxix. 10-13 both in the Massoretic and the LXX respectively, yet the LXX implies a transposition of new and phn. But the confusion is further aggravated by the two accounts in Josephus, Ant. iii. 7. 5 and Bell. v. 5, 7, which differ from each other as to the order of the stones in the third and fourth rows, and while the first account gives for the first stone in the first row  $\sigma ap\delta \delta v v\xi$ , the second gives  $\sigma dp \delta o v$ . Since Josephus states (Ant. iii. 7. 5) that the names of the Twelve Tribes were engraven on the stones, each stone having the honour of a name in the order in which they were born, this confusion is all the more disturbing.

τοπάζιον. See under σαρδόνυξ above.

χρυσόπρασος. This word, which does not occur in the LXX, which has  $\lambda_{i\gamma}$ ύριον, is the Greek equivalent of  $\lambda_{i\gamma}$ . It was probably of a greenish yellow colour. According to Pliny, *H.N.* xxxvii. 20, it was like the beryl but paler : "vicinum genus huic (beryllo) est pallidius, et a quibusdam proprii generis existimatur vocaturque chrysoprasus."

ύάκινθος (i.e. ), where LXX has ἀχάτης). According to Pliny, H.N. xxxvii. 41, it was of a violet colour, resembling the amethyst but less bright: "ille emicans in amethysto fulgor violaceus dilutus est in hyacintho."

משלטסדסs (i.e. אחלמה)—a transparent purple quartz.

21. οί δώδεκα πυλώνες . . . μαργαρίται. Cf. Sanh. 100": "Rabbi Jochanan sat one day and preached: One day will the Holy One-blessed be He-bring precious stones and pearls thirty cubits long by thirty cubits broad and excavate (openings) in them of ten cubits (in breadth and) twenty cubits in height, and they shall stand in the gates of Jerusalem": cf. also Baba Bathra 75b. drà els exaoros. This is a "barbaric" construction : cf. Matt. xxvi. 22, etc. For this distributive use of ava cf. iv. 8, John ii. 6; but the *avá* is here an adverb, not a preposition. In καθ els in Mark xiv. 19, Rom. xii. 5 the κατά is an adverb also (Robertson, pp. 460, 555). A somewhat parallel construction is found in Eph. v. 33, καθ ένα έκαστος (Blass, p. 179). ή πλατεία. Probably to be taken generically "the streets," as The whole city is ξύλον ζωής in xxii. 2. χρυσίον καθαρόν. described as "pure gold" in ver. 18. is valos diauyns. This may be rendered either "transparent as glass" or "as it were transparent glass." The latter is decidedly weak, but either is admissible: cf. i. 14, iv. 6, ix. 9, xv. 2, xxii. 1. Stavyýs is found only here in the N.T. and not at all in the LXX. It occurs in Philo, Lucian, Plutarch, Apollonius Rhodius.

22. In the Holy City there would be no temple (see note on vii. 15), nor ark of the covenant—the restoration of which was so eagerly looked for by the Jews; for that the Lord God would be the Temple thereof and the Lamb the Ark of the Covenant thereof. The absolute destruction of the earthly temple was foretold by our Lord, Mark xiii. 2; John iv. 21; but even the heavenly temple so often referred to in the earlier chapters would have no place as the Heavenly Jerusalem. This verse like those which precede and follow it was originally a tristich, but some words have been lost after rai  $\tau \partial d\rho v(\sigma referred)$ . Not improbably the missing words are to be recovered from xi. 19, and thus the last two lines may have run

δ γὰρ κύριος, ὁ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ, vaòs αὐτῆς ἐστίν, καὶ τὸ ἀρνίον ἡ κιβωτὸς τῆς διαθήκης αὐτῆς. The temple that was in the first heaven will disappear (cf. vii. 15, xi. 19). God Himself is henceforth the only Temple, and Christ the Ark of the Covenant. By this restoration the complete parallelism between 22 and 23 is restored. In vii. 9-16 the vision is concerned with the martyr host before the throne of God in heaven, still incomplete and still growing with fresh accessions from the great tribulation on the earth. This host serve God day and night in the temple in heaven, but this temple has no part in the Heavenly Jerusalem : still less in the New; for it belongs to the former things that have passed away (xxi. 4<sup>d</sup>). See note on xxi. 3. In the Ep. Barn. xvi. the idea of anything but a spiritual temple is looked upon with disfavour. Man, when redeemed, forms the habitation of God—"a spiritual temple built up to the Lord" ( $\pi vev\mu arikos vaos olkodoµovµ evos \tau vi$  $\kappa v p i w)$ .

Our restoration of the missing words ή κιβωτός της διαθήκης airings is confirmed by Jer. iii. 16, where it is prophesied that on the advent of the kingdom of God "the ark of the covenant of the Lord " (ארון ברית יהוה) should no longer be thought of nor needed nor restored. That the Jews of the century before the Christian era expected the restoration of the ark on the advent of the kingdom is clear from 2 Macc. ii. 4 sqq, where it is told that the ark had not been destroyed but had been hidden by Ieremiah on Mount Nebo with a view to its safe keeping. That this belief was current in the first century A.D. is to be inferred from 2 Bar. vi. 7-9, where the ark and certain other holy things belonging to the first temple are said to have been hidden by angels in the earth till the Messianic kingdom was established. That this expectation persisted long afterwards in Judaism we learn from Bammidbar rabba 15. For another kindred legend see Yoma, 54<sup>a</sup>. Against such materialistic expectations our author declares boldly that there will be no restoration of the ark of the covenant, for that its place was taken by the Lamb.<sup>1</sup>

28. With the whole verse cf. Isa. 1x. 19 sqq., και οὐκ ἐσται σοι ἔτι ὁ η̈λιος εἰς φῶς ἡμέρας, οὐδὲ ἀνατολὴ σελήνης φωτιεῖ σοι τὴν νύκτα ἀλλ ἔσται σοι Κύριος φῶς αἰώνιον . . . οὐ γὰρ δύσεται ὁ η̈λιός σοι, καὶ ἡ σελήνη σοι οὐκ ἐκλείψει, ἔσται γὰρ Κύριός σοι φῶς αἰώνιον. As in Isaiah the sun and moon do not cease to exist : their splendour is simply put to shame by the glory of God Himself : cf. Isa. xxiv. 23. Our author does not seem to have used the LXX here.

ού χρείαν έχει τοῦ ήλίου οὐδὲ τῆς σελήνης . . . αὐτῆ : cf. xxii. 5 ; Isa. lx. 19 sq. Here the glory of God—see 11, έχουσαν τὴν δόξαν

<sup>1</sup> The ark was at all events a symbol of the divine presence. To the popular mind, however, it was more; it was conceived as the actual abode of Jahveh: cf. Num. x. 35, 36; 2 Sam. xv. 25.

**roû**  $\theta$ **coû** (note)—lights up the Heavenly City, and not the sun and moon as we see from the next clause, though these still give light to the world outside the City. Cf. Midrash Tillin, xxxvi. 2, "Neque in mundo futuro necesse habebunt lumen solts interdiu, aut lunae noctu" (Wetstein).

ή δόξα τοῦ θεοῦ ἐφώτισεν αὐτήν: cf. 11, xviii. 1. The "glory" of God manifests itself in light. This δόξα is probably the ነኘ or brightness which went forth from the Shekinah or the glory (ז'קרא) of God: cf. Targ. Jer. 1 on Ex. xxxiii. 11, "אפן אין, and Jarg. Jon. on Ezek. xliii. 2, where we have "the brightness of His glory" (ז'י ). The brightness of Moses' face (יקרא ניין), according to Jarg. Jer. 1 on Ex. xxxiv. 29, was derived from the brightness of the glory of the Shekinah of Yahveh (יין איקר שכינתא ריי). This last expression will explain xviii. 1, where it is said of an angel, ή γη ἐφωτίσθη ἐκ τῆς δόξης αὐτοῦ : cf. xxi. 3, note.

καὶ ὁ λύχνος αὐτῆς τὸ ἀρνίον. Here ὁ λύχνος αὐτῆς is the predicate and corresponds to ἐφώτισεν αὐτήν in the preceding line, just as τὸ ἀρνίον is the parallel to ἡ δόξα τοῦ θεοῦ. There is no comparison here with the sun and moon as Bousset suggests.

24-27. The necessity of interpreting these verses with regard to the present earth and the nations surviving the advent of the Millennium has already been pointed out (see p. 146 sqq.). The evangelizing of the nations is already foretold in xiv. 7 by an angel flying in the midst of heaven, and the going up of the nations to worship God is proclaimed as an event of the future by the glorified martyrs in heaven (xv. 4). The Seer at last beholds in vision the fulfilment of these prophecies. Unless we explain xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17 as the Heavenly City which was to come down from heaven to be the seat of the Millennial reign, then the prophecies in xiv. 7, xv. 3 remain unfulfilled.

The conversion of the Gentiles to Judaism was looked for by Zechariah, ii. 11, viii. 23, and the writer of Isa. lxv.-lxvi.; Dan. vii. 14; Tob. xiii. 11, xiv. 6; 1 Enoch x. 21, xc. 32 sqq.; Test. Levi xviii. 9; Test. Jud. xxv. 5; Test. Asher vii. 3; Test. Naph. vii. 4; Pss. Sol. xvii. 32; 4 Ezra xi. 46, amongst other Jewish writers. This expectation became a central truth of Christianity, but the conversion of the heathen nations is to be due, not to Judaism, but to Christianity.

24. This verse consists of a stanza of three lines, the second line of which is corrupt. The whole stanza is based on Isa. lx. 3, 11—not on the LXX of these verses, which runs as follows:

καὶ πορεύσονται βασιλεῖς τῷ φωτί σου,
 καὶ ἔθνη τŷ λαμπρότητί σου.

# II. καὶ ἀνοιχθήσονται αἱ πύλαι σου διὰ παντός, ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτός οὐ κλεισθήσονται εἰσαγαγεῖν πρός σε δύναμιν ἐθνῶν, καὶ βασιλεῖς αὐτῶν ἀγομένους.

The words in heavy type have their equivalents in our text, in 24-25, but our author has here rendered the Hebrew independently of the LXX. We might compare Pss. Sol. xvii. 34-35.

διὰ τοῦ φωτός. Here διά may be rendered "amidst" or "by."

25.  $\frac{1}{2}\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha_3 + \nu\delta_5 \gamma d\rho \ observed constraints in the subsequently displaced the true text, sai vustos. In xxii. 5, which subsequently displaced the true text, sai vustos. In xxii. 5 the definite statement is made that there shall be no longer any night at all. That is what we should expect in the New Jerusalem and the new heaven and the new earth. But there are the following objections to this clause in its present context. I. We should expect <math>\frac{1}{2}\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha_3$  sai vustos as in Isa. lx. 11, on which the text is confessedly based. 2. The parallelism is against it. 24-25 form a tristich occurring in the midst of a succession of tristichs, and the last line of this tristich is formed of 25. Hence instead of

και οι πυλωνες αύτης ου μη κλεισθωσιν ημέρας, νύξ γαρ ουκ ξσται εκεί

we should read

και οι πυλώνες αυτής ου μή κλεισθώσιν ήμέρας και νυκτός.

3. We should then have the familiar phraseology of our author: cf. iv. 8, vii. 15, xx. 10.

It might, of course, be urged that the adverb  $i\kappa \hat{\kappa}$  justifies the clause in the present context by limiting the statement to the city itself. But this emphatic use of  $i\kappa\hat{\kappa}$  implies clearly that day and night alternate as usual outside the City. What meaning is then to be attached to  $i \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho as$ ? Does this word denote the unbroken day that prevails within the City, or the usual period of light without it? The obelized clause introduces hopeless confusion into the context.

26. Based on Isa. Ix. II. See on 24: cf. also Ix. 5, "the wealth of the nations shall come unto thee." Here the LXX differs: δτι μεταβαλεῖ εἰς σὲ πλοῦτος θαλάσσης καὶ ἐθνῶν καὶ λαῶν. καὶ ἡξουσίν σοι ἀγέλαι.

27. The unclean and the abominable and the liars are still on the earth, but, though the gates are open day and night, they cannot enter.

οδ μη είσελθη . . . παν κοινόν: cf. LXX of Isa. lii. 1, οὐκέτι προστεθήσεται διελθείν δια σοῦ ἀπερίτμητος καὶ ἀκάθαρτος; XXXV 8, ου μη παρέλθη ἐκεί ἀκάθαρτος: also Ezek. xliv. 9. That our author is using the Hebrew text and not the LXX is evident here; for though ἀκάθαρτος has already occurred four times, he does not use it here but κοινός. But there seems to be some primitive error in the text. Alike the passages in the O.T., of which our text is a reminiscence, and the following phrase ποΐων βδέλυγμα lead us to expect πα̂ς κοινός instead of πα̂ν κοινόν. Moreover, the final clause εἰ μη οἱ γεγραμμένοι presupposes only persons to be mentioned here. If this is right, then we should render: "and there shall in no wise enter into it any that is unclean or that maketh an abomination or a lie." κοινός.

ποιῶν βδελυγμα. Contrast Babylon (xvii. 4) which was full of βδελύγματα: cf. xxi. 8. ποιῶν . . ψεῦδος: and xxii. 15, πῶς φιλῶν καὶ ποιῶν ψεῦδος.

εἰ μὴ οἰ γεγραμμένοι (Ξ΄ ΨΟ-ΠΕΠΠΙΞΥ). This construction, as I have pointed out above, implies that only persons should be mentioned in the two preceding lines : *i.e.*, οῦ μὴ εἰσ έλθῃ εἰs aὐτὴν πῶς κοινὸς καὶ ποιῶν βδέλυγμα.

τῷ βιβλίω τῆς ζωῆς τοῦ ἀρνίου. See notes on iii. 5, xiii. 8.

# CHAPTER XXII.

1-2. The description of the Heavenly City that descends from heaven for the Millennial reign is continued in these verses The rai couter points back to xxi. 9, 10, where the same phrase occurs. In this vision the spirit of the Seer is actually translated (cf. xvii. 3) to the Heavenly City, which is shown to him by an angel (cf. xvii. 1). There is no such translation of the Seer's spirit in the vision of the New Jerusalem that is to descend from heaven after the Judgment and the creation of the new heaven and the new earth (xxi. 5<sup>a</sup>, 4<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>b</sup>, 6<sup>a</sup>, 1-4<sup>abc</sup>, xxii. 3-5). This latter vision is part and parcel of the vision of the Judgment : cf. kai eldor in xxi 1, 2 with the same phrase in xx. 11, 12. In this vision there is no angelic intermediary. The Seer sees the great white throne and Him that sat thereon (xx. 11, 12): he hears God proclaiming the end of the old world and the creation of the new (xxi. 5<sup>a</sup>, 4<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>b</sup>): he sees the new heaven and the new earth and the descent of the New Jerusalem, and hears a great voice from the throne declaring that God Himself will henceforth abide with men (xxi. 1-3).

1. και έδειξέν μοι : cf. i. 1, iv. 1, and the preceding note.

ποταμόν υδατος ζωής. Has "the river of the water of life" the same spiritual significance as "the fountains (or 'fountain')

174

of the waters (or 'water') of life" in vii. 17, xxi. 6 and "the water of life" in xxii. 17? It is probable, since the river goes forth from the throne of God, and "the fountains of the waters of life" may be conceived as forming the source of this river in the throne of God. But it is noteworthy that no spiritual significance is attached to this river here, whereas the tree of life (xxii. 2) is full of significance in this respect.

Whatever the relation of "the river of life" and "the fountains of the waters of life" may be in our author, their origin and meaning were originally different. The idea of the river in the Heavenly City springs ultimately from the river in the Garden of Eden (Gen. ii. 10). The object of the river in Eden was simply to supply the garden richly with water. When, however, we come down to Ezekiel, we find that the river which flowed forth from beneath the Temple in the coming Kingdom of God was possessed of healing powers as regards the natural products of the earth (Ezek. xlv11. 8-11). Zechariah (xiv. 8) speaks of these waters as issuing forth from Jerusalem eastward and westward, but attributes no transforming influence to them. Perhaps Ps. xlvi. 5 might be cited here, but both the text and its meaning Lastly, in 2 Enoch viii. 5 it is stated that the are uncertain. river in Paradise in the third heaven flows from beneath the tree of life and divides into four streams of honey and milk and oil and Thus so far as the O.T. and Judaistic literature down to wine. 100 A.D. are concerned, this river in Paradise was not associated with any powers of spiritual transformation such as we find frequently with the phrase "fountain of life" or "water of life."

So far for "the river of the water of life." Turning now to the phrase "fountain of life," we find that this and analogous phrases had in Jewish literature a spiritual significance—cf. Jer. ii. 13; Prov. x. 11, xiii. 14, xiv. 27, xvi. 22; Ps. xxxvi. 10; I Enoch xcvi. 6—just as they have in our author.

λαμπρόν ώς κρύσταλλον. For λaμπρός cf. xv. 6, xix. 8, xxii. 16, and with ώς κρύσταλλον cf. iv. 6,  $\deltaμοία . . . κρυστάλλφ—the$ two phrases being exact equivalents (see vol. i. p. 36).

2. ἐκπορευόμενον: cf. Ezek. xlvii. I, καὶ ἰδοῦ ὕδωρ ἐξεπορεύετο ὑποκάτωθεν τοῦ alθρίου κατ' ἀνατολάς: also 8; Zech. xiv. 8.

roû  $\theta p \delta rou$  roû  $\theta coû$  kal roû  $d p r \delta u$ . There is no difficulty in this conception nor is there any ground for regarding kal roû  $d p r \delta u$  with the most recent German critics as an addition. This idea with regard to the Messiah is pre Christian : cf. I Enoch li. 3, "And the Elect One shall in those days sit on My throne." Likewise the Elect One is described as sitting on "the throne of glory, xlv. 3, lv. 4, and as sitting on the throne of His (*i.e.* God's) glory," lxii. 3, 5 (cf. li. 3). Similarly the Lord of Spirits places the Elect One "on the throne of glory" (lxi. 8), "on the throne of His glory," lxii. 2. This throne is called the Son of Man's throne, lxix. 27, 29. Finally, it is to be observed that though the Lord of Spirits places the Elect One on the throne of glory in lxi. 8, and he judges all men, yet in lxi. 9, the praises of all are directed to the Lord of Spirits. On the other hand, in xxii. 3 of our text the phrase  $\kappa a i \tau \sigma v a \rho v lov$  may be an addition, though there is no conclusive evidence for so regarding it.

έν μέσω της πλατείας αὐτης. This phrase can be taken either with what precedes or with what follows. I. In the former case we are to translate as in the R.V. "he shewed me a river . . . in the midst of the street thereof." The next sentence then procerds: "And on this side of the river and on that." Here έντεῦθεν καὶ ἐκείθεν have a prepositional force as in Dan. xii. 5 (Theod.), είς έντεῦθεν τοῦ χείλους τοῦ ποταμοῦ (הנה לשפת היאר): cf. ένθεν καί ένθεν της κιβωτού, כארון, in Jos. viii. 33. According to this view the river runs down the midst of the great heavenly way, and is flanked on either side by the trees of life. 2. But it is possible to take the passage differently and connect the words  $\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \eta s \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon \iota a s a v \tau \eta s with what follows, and$ treat ἐντεῦθεν καὶ ἐκείθεν as genuine adverbs (cf. Ezek. xlvii. 7, δένδρα πολλά σφόδρα ένθεν καί ένθεν (αιτη ισια), and John xix. 18, έντεῦθεν καὶ ἐντεῦθεν). The resulting construction is quite grammatical but the sense is unsatisfactory : "Between the street of the City and the river on either side of it was there the tree of life." These words presuppose that there was a space between the street and the river, and suggest that they ran side by side. There are two possible ways of conceiving the arrangement of the trees of life. Either these trees are arranged in two rows, one on either bank of the river (one row thus coming between the street and the river), or they are placed on either side of the space that lies between the street and the river. The unsymmetrical character of 2. is certainly against it.

ξύλον ζωής. See note on xxii. 14. This expression is used here collectively. In Gen. i. 11 sq. γy is used collectively. Hence our author departs here from the conception of a single tree of life as in Gen. ii. 9, iii. 22; I Enoch xxiv. 4, xxv. 4-6--perhaps under the influence of Ezek. xlvii. 7, καὶ ἰδοὺ ἐπὶ τοῦ χείλους τοῦ ποταμοῦ δένδρα πολλὰ (Ξ γγ) σφόδρα ἔνθεν καὶ ἔνθεν, and 12, ἐπὶ τοῦ χείλους αὐτοῦ ἔνθεν καὶ ἔνθεν καὶ ἔνθεν, βρώσιμον... καὶ ἔσται ὑ καρπὸς αὐτῶν εἰς βρῶσιν καὶ ἡ ἀνάβασις αὐτῶν εἰς ὑγίειαν.

ποιοῦν καρπολε δώδεκα. This is suggested by Ezek. xlvii. 12, which speaks of fresh fruit being produced every month.

κατά μηνα έκαστον άποδιδοῦν τόν καρπὸν αὐτοῦ. This is a free rendering of Ezek. xlvii. 12, יְבָהַר יְבָהַר, where the LXX (AQ, other uncials omitting) has της καινότητος αυτοῦ πρωτοβολήσει—a fact which proves our author's independent use of the Hebrew text. The greater part of this verse is based on Ezek. xlvii. 12.

τὰ φύλλα τοῦ ξόλου els θεραπείαν τῶν ἰθνῶν. Here again our author draws directly from Ezek. xlvii. 12, אָלָחָר לְחָרוּםָּה, which the LXX has rendered ἀνάβασιs αὐτῶν (=) עָלָחָם; cf. Ezek. xl. 6) εἰs ὑγίειαν. The nations here are those that have survived the visitations in chap. xix. and are evangelized by the inhabitants of the Heavenly City.

14-15. This is the next fragment of the description of the Heavenly City which is to be the seat of the Millennial Kingdom. The persons referred to here are the nations who are contemporaries of this kingdom.

14. οἱ πλύνοντες τὰς στολὰς αὐτῶν. See additional note on vi. 11, vol. i. p. 187 sq.

The phrase is the equivalent spiritually of of  $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ . Each class alike has endured and overcome, and as access to the tree of life is here promised to those who have cleansed their robes, so in ii. 7 the right to eat of the tree of life is given to those who have overcome.

**i**να ἐσται... καὶ... εἰσέλθωσιν. On this combination of the future and subjunctive cf. 111. 9. *i*να is frequently followed by the future in our author: cf. vi. 4, 11, viii. 3, ix. 5, xii. 6, xiii. 12, xiv. 13.

τὸ ξύλον τῆς ζωῆς. See note on ii. 7.

15.  $i\xi\omega$ . There can be no question as to the meaning of this term here. Our author clearly states that *outside* the City or the gates of the City are all classes of sinners. We might compare Ps. ci. 7, "He that worketh deceit shall not dwell within my house." In the Pss. of Solomon xvii. 29 the writer declares of the Messiah:

- "And he shall not suffer unrighteousness to lodge in their midst,
  - Nor shall there dwell with them any that knoweth wickedness."

Nay, more, like Joel iii. 17 ( $d\lambda\lambda o\gamma \epsilon v \epsilon \hat{s} s o \hat{v} \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \sigma \sigma rat \delta \hat{v} a \hat{v} r \hat{\eta} s$ ov  $\kappa \epsilon r \iota$ ) the writer of this Psalm maintains the exclusion of the Gentiles in 31, "And the sojourner and the alien shall dwell with them no more." But in our author race distinctions are taken no

VOL. 11.---12

account of. Character alone is decisive of a man's fitness or unfitness.

of *kúres*. If we compare this verse with xxi. 8 we observe that they are practically doublets. Thus the oi papuaroi rai oi πόρνοι και οι φονείς και οι είδωλολάτραι as well as πας ποιών ψεύδος are repeated almost verbally in xxi. 8. To τοις δειλοις και άπίστοιs in xxi. 8 there is no equivalent, but we may reasonably infer with Swete that the kives of the verse before us denote the same persons as the έβδελυγμένοιs in xxi. 8. In other words, the persons referred to were either heathens or Jews stained with the abominable vice which excluded them from the Heavenly Jerusalem, the Spiritual Israel. Anciently the word was used to denounce the moral impurities of heathen worship: cf. Deut. xxiii, 18, "Thou shalt not bring the hire of a whore or the wages of a dog unto the house of the Lord thy God." Here "dog" is the technical term for a  $\eta r \eta$  or male prostitute, according to the inscription in the temple of Astarte at Larnaka. It was likewise employed by the Jews of the 1st century A.D. to designate the heathen : cf. Matt. xv. 22 sq. In Phil. iii. 2 St. Paul applies the term to the Judaizing faction in the Christian Church (Blénere roùs rúvas). See Lightfoot, who well paraphrases iii. 2-3: "We are the children, for we banquet on the spiritual feast which God has spread before us: they are the dogs, for they greedily devour the garbage of carnal ordinances, the very refuse of God's table."

On oi φαρμακοί... και oi είδωλολάτραι see xxi. 8 (notes).

πας φιλών και ποιών ψεύδος. Cf. xxi. 8, πασι τοις ψευδέσιν. Unless we attach to ποιών here the sense of doing with regard to a certain object or end, we should have an anticlimax in the phrase before us. The meaning then would be: "every one that loveth and maketh falsehood his systematic object." In this case δ ποιών ψ. would differ from δ πράσσων ψ. The latter would mean simply "one who tells lies," "one who practises lying," whereas the former would mean rather "one who lies deliberately with an object" (see Plato, *Charmides*, 162d, on this meaning of ποιών as distinguished from πράσσειν). The φιλών ψεύδος denotes one who loves lying for its own sake. Here we might compare Rom. i. 32, ου μόνον αυτά ποιοῦσιν άλλα καὶ συνευδοκοῦσιν τοῦς πράσσουσιν.

17. This is the last verse belonging to the description of the Heavenly Jerusalem, xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17. It cannot belong to any other section of the work. It deals with the evangelization of the heathen nations as foretold in xiv. 7, xv. 4, and implied in xi. 15.

This expectation is in harmony with most O.T. prophecies as in the Second Isaiah, Haggai, Zechariah, Daniel, and also in

178

the Apocryphal literature—in Sirach, I Enoch, Testaments XII Patriarchs. See my *Eschatology*, and Wicks, *The Doctrine of God* (in the indexes of both).

πν εῦμα has many different meanings in our τό πνεύμα. author. I. Either alone, as in xiii. 15, or with ζωηs appended, xii. 11, it simply means "life." 2. It means personalized living beings either (a) as angels, tà  $\pi v \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau a \tau o \hat{\nu} \theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$ , iii. 1, iv. 5, v. 6: (b) as men, though in the passages that follow it is the spiritual element that is alone emphasized, in mechanic, i. 10, iv. 2, xvii. 3. xxi. 10, o  $\theta \epsilon \delta s \tau \omega v \pi v \epsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \omega v \tau \omega v \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \omega v$ , xxii. 6: (c) as unclean spirits or demons, πνεύματα ακάθαρτα, xvi. 13, xviii. 2, πν. δαιμονίων, xvi. 14. 3. It means the Spirit of Christ. Thus in to πνευμα λέγει, ii. 7, 11, 17, 29, iii. 6, 13, 22, xiv. 13° (where vai, λέγει τὸ πνεῦμα—the utterance of the Seer—answers like an echo the voice from heaven in xiv. 13<sup>ab</sup>) it is the Spirit of Christ speaking through the Seer. For in all the Seven Letters the Speaker is Christ : cf. ii. 1, 8, 12, etc. Similarly in the present passage, xxii, 17, it is the Spirit of Christ that is speaking through John. Thus the entire phrase to πνευμα και ή νύμφη means concretely "Christ and the Church in the Heavenly Jerusalem"that is the Church after the Second Advent, not before it : see next note. In such expressions of the prophet the human intermediary is wholly overlooked, and his utterance assigned directly to the Spirit, just as in the O.T. the prophet introduced his message with the words: "Thus saith the Lord." We might compare I Tim. iv. I, where το πνεύμα βητώς λέγει means in concrete language: "a certain prophet says expressly": cf. Acts xxi. 11.

ກໍ່ ທ່ານພາ. In xxi. 9 at the beginning of the description of the Heavenly Jerusalem this City is called ή νύμφη: cf. xxi. 2. Thus the term has a double meaning: it can denote either the Heavenly Jerusalem or its inhabitants, i.e. the Spiritual Israel. which is to be the Bride of Christ, just as Israel in the O.T. was conceived of as the Bride of Yahweh. It is as the Spiritual Israel, as the Church triumphant in the Heavenly Jerusalem, that the Bride evangelizes the earth afresh-an evangelization which was promised in xiv. 7, xv. 4, and which, when it is accomplished. will make true in fact what was already declared as accomplished in the counsels of heaven in xi. 15, evérero ή βασιλεία τοῦ κόσμου τοῦ κυρίου ήμῶν καὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ αὐτοῦ. England can mean either a certain geographical expanse of country or the people who live in it. See xix. 9<sup>a</sup>, p. 129.

 $\vec{e}_{pXOU}$ . Cf. vi. r. This word seems to be taken universally as the reply of the Church to the voice of Jesus in ver. 12. But, as we have seen above, the Bride is the Heavenly Jerusalem or its blessed inhabitants in the Millennial Kingdom and not the Church before the Advent. Thus Christ has already come in this vision. Further, in line 17°, there can be no question that  $i\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega$  refers to the coming of him that is athirst to Christ. It is, therefore, only natural, apart wholly from the force of the term  $\frac{1}{7}v\iota\mu\phi\eta$ , to take  $i\rho\chi\sigma\upsilon$  in 17<sup>ab</sup> in the same sense: *i.e.* as the invitation of the Spirit of Christ, of the Heavenly Jerusalem, and of those who accepted the message, to the world of men that were still thirsting for life and truth or were willing to accept them. Cf. John vi. 35,  $\delta i\rho\chi\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$  modes  $i\mu\delta$   $u\dot{\nu}\eta$  $\pi\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha\sigma\eta$ , kal  $\delta \pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$   $\epsilon is <math>i\mu\epsilon$   $o\dot{\nu}\mu\eta$   $\delta\iota\psi\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota$   $\pi\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ : vii. 37,  $i\alpha\tau$  $\tau_{15} \delta\iota\psi\hat{q}$ ,  $i\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega$  mpós  $\mu\epsilon$  kal  $\pi\iota\nu\epsilon\tau\omega$ . Cf. the Didache, x. 6, in the post-Communion prayer of the Church.

> "Let grace come (ἐλθέτω) And let this world go. Hosanna to the Son of David, If any one is holy, let him come (ἐρχέσθω): If any one is not, let him repent. Come, Lord (*i.e. μαραναθά*)."

We have here a spiritual adaptation of certain parts of our text. Here, since the Second Advent of Christ is still in the distance, the prayer "Come, Lord" can be taken eschatologically as well as spiritually.

καὶ ở ἀκούων κτλ. The call was to be taken up by such as heard it and repented. The hearer is to be regarded as one who heard and accepted. ở διψων ἐρχέσθω. Cf. Isa. lv. I (του του beard and accepted. ở διψων ἐρχέσθω. Cf. Isa. lv. I (του του beard and accepted. ở διψων ἐρχέσθω πρός με καὶ πινέτω. Cf. also xxi. 6 of our text.

υδωρ ζωής δωρεάν. The phrase recurs in xxi. 6 where it rightly has the article τοῦ ῦδατος τῆς ζωῆς δωρεάν—a fact which points to xxi. 6 as really coming later in the text.

# CHAPTER XX. 4-15.

#### § 1. Contents.

This section follows naturally on the elaborate description of the Heavenly Jerusalem, which had come down from heaven to be the abode of Christ and the glorified martyrs. After this vision we have another vision of the glorified martyrs who alone had part in the first resurrection (xx. 4-6). Then, at the close of the Millennial Kingdom, Satan is loosed and leads Gog and Magog to the assault of the Beloved City, whereupon follows their destruction by fire from heaven, and Satan is cast into the lake of fire (7-10). This section closes with a vision of the great white throne, before whose presence the former heaven and earth had vanished, of the raising of the righteous and wicked from the "treasuries" and from Sheol to be judged, and the casting of death and Hades into the lake of fire (11-15).

This section has suffered from a transposition of the text in 4. Most probaby 13 stood originally before 12. Glosses have been added at the close of 12 and 14, and the text tampered with in 13 on dogmatical grounds. 13<sup>a</sup> is meaningless as it stands. 4-15 with the above exceptions comes from the hand of John as we shall now show alike by its diction and idiom. That it forms an organic and indispensable element of the Book is obvious.

### § 2. Diction.

4. διά τ. μαρτυρίαν 'Ιησοῦ καὶ διὰ τ. λόγον τ. θεοῦ : Cf. i. 2, 9, vi. 9, xii. 11. οὐ . . . τὸ θηρίον οὐδὲ τ. εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ : Cf. xiv. 9. τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τ. μέτωπον καὶ ἐπὶ τ. χεῦρα : Cf. xiii. 16. ἐβασίλευσαν κτλ. : Cf. V. 10.

5. «ζησαν = " came to life ": cf. ii. 8 (xiii. 14). αχρι τελεσθή : cf. xv. 8, xvii. 17, xx. 3.

6. δ δεύτερος θάνατος: cf. ii. 11, xxi. 8. iepeîs . βασιλεύσουσιν : cf. i. 6, v. 10.

7. ἐκ τῆς φυλακῆς: cf. ii. 10 for phrase.

8. πλανήσαι τὰ ἔθνη : cf. xii. 9, xiii. 14 (xviii. 23), xix. 20, xx. 3, 10. ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρσι γωνίαις τῆς γῆς : cf. vii. 1 for the phrase. συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τ. πόλεμον : cf. xvi. 14 for the phrase.

10.  $\delta$  διάβολος  $\delta$  πλανών: cf. xii. 9,  $\delta$  Σατανάς  $\delta$  πλανών. έβλήθη είς τ. λίμνην τοῦ πυρός: cf. xix. 20, xx. 14, 15. ὅπου καί: cf. xi. 8. βασανισθήσονται: cf. ix. 5, xiv. 10. ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτός: cf. iv. 8, vii. 15, etc. είς τ. αἰώνας τ. αἰώνων: cf. i. 6, 18, iv. 9, etc.

11. έφυγεν . . . καὶ . . . οὐχ εὐρέθη : Cf. xvi. 20, ἔφυγεν καὶ . . οὐχ εὑρέθησαν. τόπος οὐχ εὑρέθη αὐτοῖς : Cf. xii. 8.

12. έστώτας ένώπιον τ. θρόνου: cf. vii. 9, έστώτες ένώπιον τ. θρόνου, viii. 2, etc. γεγραμμένων έν τοῦς βιβλίοις: cf. i. 3. έν αὐτῆ γεγραμμένα : xiii. 8, xx. 15, xxi. 27.

13. ἐκρίθησαν ἕκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. For ἕκαστος with plural verb cf. v. 8 (cf. John xvi. 32), and for κατὰ τὰ ἔργα, ii. 23.

14. έβλήθησαν είς τ. λίμνην τοῦ πυρός : Cf. 10, 15, xix. 20.

15. εύρίθη . . . γεγραμμένος : cf. iii. 2 for construction. έν τ. βίβλψ της ζωής : cf. iii. 5, xxi. 27.

# § 3. Idioms.

4. προσεκύνησαν το θηρίον: see note on vii. II.

έπὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ ἐπὶ τ. χεῖρα : see note on xiii. 16, vii. 3.

5. axp. with subj. : cf. ii. 25, note, vii. 4, xv. 8, etc.

6. ἐπὶ τούτων . . . οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν: cf. ii. 26.

8. wr... adrwr: cf. iii. 8, vii. 2, 9, ix. 11, etc.

18. έδωκεν = "gave up"—a Hebraism in this sense = μ).

In two cases the text abandons our author's idiom owing to the ignorance of the editor.

4. Abandonment of the author's idiom by insertion of οἶτινες by editor in τῶν πεπελεκισμένων . . . καὶ οἶτινες οὐ προσεκύνησαν. See note on i. 5.

11.  $\tau \partial v \kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon v o v \epsilon \pi l a \delta \tau o \hat{v}$ : see note on iv. 2. Our author wrote  $\epsilon \pi i$  a  $\delta \tau \delta v$ , but of our author's unique treatment of this phrase the editor of xxi. 4-xxii. was ignorant.

**4-8.** Vision of the glorified martyrs who reign with Christ for a thousand years.

4. The construction of this verse is difficult. Thus we have two clauses, και εκάθισαν επ' αυτούς, και κρίμα εδόθη αυτοις, intervening between cloor and its accusative ras wuxas. But not only is the construction irregular, but the sense is hopelessly uncertain from the standpoint of our author. For if we ask who are those who seat themselves on the thrones, no satisfactory answer can be given. It is not the glorified martyrs; for they are first referred to in the words ras yugas raw mene-Acktor péror. And yet from iii. 21, where it is said that the martyrs are to share the throne of Christ, we should expect them to be referred to here and to sit on the thrones as Christ's assessors. Somewhat in favour of this view is Christ's promise to His Apostles in Matt. xix. 28, καθήσεσθε και υμείς επί δώδεκα θρόνους. Likewise in Dan. vii. 9 (LXX Theod.), έθεώρουν έως ότε (orov. Theod.) θρόνοι ετέθησαν, and vii. 26 (Theod.), το κριτήριον εκάθισεν (LXX, ή κρίσις καθίσεται), and vii. 22 (Theod.), και το κρίμα (LXX, την κρίσιν) έδωκεν (+τοις, LXX) άγίοις (+του, LXX), ύψίστου, we have passages which not only speak of the function of judgment as assigned by the Most High to the saints, but appear to have suggested the clauses in our text. Cf. I Cor. vi. 2 sq., ούκ οίδατε ότι οι άγιοι τον κόσμον κρινούσιν . . . ότι άγγέλους κρινούμεν.

Thus owing both to the ungrammatical structure of the text and its unintelligibleness it is not improbable that  $\theta \rho \delta v \sigma v s$ , sai  $\epsilon \kappa \delta \theta \sigma \sigma v \epsilon \pi$  arous sai  $\kappa \rho i \mu a \epsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta$  arous sai was originally a marginal gloss based on Daniel, or rather that this passage has got displaced and should be restored after sai  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \eta v \chi \epsilon \rho a$ arows. By adopting the latter alternative, as the present editor has done, we restore sanity to the text by making it at once grammatical and intelligible, and recovering the lost parallelism of the passage: *i.e.* 

καί είδον τ. ψυχάς τ. πεπελεκισμένων διά τ. μαρτυρίαν Ίησοῦ καί διά τ. λόγον τοῦ βεοῦ,
καί [οιτινες] ού προσεκύνησαν το θηρίον
ούδε τ. είκόνα αύτοῦ, καὶ οὐκ ἕλαβον τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον
καί έπι τ. χείρα αὐτῶν
<ul> <li>&lt;καὶ εἶδον&gt; θρόνους καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπ' αὐτους</li> <li>καὶ κρίμα ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς.</li> </ul>

This signifies that authority is now vested in the hands of the righteous, and not in those of the oppressors of the Church as aforetime.

τῶν πεπελεκισμένων, i.e. beheaded by the πελεκύς—the instrument of capital punishment in republican Rome. Cf. Diod. Sic. xix. 101, ἑαβδίσας ἐπελέκισε κατὰ τὸ πάτριον ἔθος. Joseph. Ant. xiv. 7. 4, Σκιπίων δέ, ἐπιστείλαντος αὐτῷ Πομπηίου ἀποκτεῖναι 'Αλέξανδρον τὸν 'Αριστοβούλου, αἰτιασάμενος τὸν νεανίσκον ἐπὶ τοῖς τὸ πρῶτον εἰς Ῥωμαίους ἐξημαρτημένοις τῷ πελέκει διεχρήσατο. In vi. 9, xviii. 24 we find ἐσφαγμένων, the word used in connection with the Lamb that was sacrificed, v. 6, 9, 12, xiii. 8.

δια τ. μαρτυρίαν <sup>3</sup>Ιησοῦ καὶ δια τ. λόγον τ. θεοῦ. These phrases are found in the opposite order in i. 2, 9, vi. 9. Cf. xii. 11.

[οἶτινες] οὐ προσεκύνησαν κτλ. These had all suffered martyrdom according to xiii. 15. The clause gives a further definition of those who had been faithful unto death. To regard these as forming a second class of the faithful, *i.e.* the surviving faithful, is against the actual statement in xiii. 15, and the presuppositions that underlie xiv.-xix. (see pp. 4, 26, 40, 96 ad fin.) and also against the immediate context; for in that case we should have to attach two conflicting meanings to čζησαν which immediately follows: *i.e.* "lived again" and "continued to live" according as we connect it with the first class, the actual martyrs, or the second class, the confessors. Moreover, the opening words of 5, oi λοιποι τῶν νεκρῶν, clearly imply that the persons referred to in 4 were among the νεκροί according to the usual phraseology.

[otrures]. This is probably an addition made by the disciple who edited these last chapters. See note on i.  $5^{b}-6$ . By its omission we should recover our author's normal resolution of the participle into a finite verb, *i.e.*  $\tau$ .  $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \ldots \kappa \alpha \lambda$ où  $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \kappa \nu r \sigma \sigma \nu =$  "who had been beheaded and had not worshipped."

προσεκύνησαν το θηρίον κτλ. See vii. 11 n.

τό χάραγμα έπι τ. μέτωπον και έπι τ. χείρα. See xiii. 16 (π.), vii. 3 (π.).

Egrav, i.e. "came to life" (cf. ii. 8, xiii. 14; Rom. xiv. 9) in human parlance, though in their life in heaven they had been more truly alive than when they had been on earth. With this first resurrection or manifestation of the glorified martyrs in the Millennial Kingdom we should compare that of all the departed saints with Christ in I Thess. iv. 14-17, and that of certain saints who had been taken up alive into heaven in 4 Ezra vii. 28 (cf. vi. 26). This line resumes briefly the preceding eight lines.

έβασίλευσαν μετά τοῦ Χριστοῦ χίλια ἔτη. Cf. v. Io. The earliest authorities for the belief in a temporary kingdom of the Messiah are I Enoch xci.-civ. (xciii. 1-14, xci. 12-19), Pss. Sol. xi., xvii.; Sıbyll. Or. iii. 1-62; Jub. xxiii. 27-29, 31, 11; 2 Bar. xxx., xl. 3, lxxiv. 2, xii. 34; I Cor. xv. 23-28. The limits assigned to its duration are various. In 4 Ezra vii. 28 sq. this kingdom is to endure for four hundred years, and to come to a close with the death of the Messiah and all His companions. and the world is to return to primeval silence-a statement apparently without parallel for its explicitness in Jewish literature. In 2 Bar. xxx., on the other hand, Christ returns in glory to heaven at the close of the Messianic reign. In 2 Enoch xxxii. 2-xxxiii. 2 there is a reference to a period of Sabbatic rest of a thousand years after the close of six thousand years of the world's history. Barnabas, Ep. xv. 2-8, accepts this view, and adds that the Son of God will appear at the close of the six thousand years to put an end to the reign of the Lawless one. to judge the wicked and to change the sun, moon, and stars. At the close of the Millennial period there will be the beginning of another world (αλλου κόσμου αρχήν). See my Eschatology<sup>2</sup>, pp. 248, 250 sq., 270 sq., 301 sq., 330, etc. Weber<sup>2</sup>, 373. The reckoning of a thousand years was based on a combination of Gen. i. 2 and Ps. xc. 4 = 2 Pet. iii. 8. From this it was concluded that as each day of creation stood for a world-day of a thousand years, so the history of the world would embrace a world-week of seven thousand years, six thousand years till the final judgment and a thousand years of blessedness and rest.

5. oi loimol rŵv verpŵr oùr ¿jŋσav. Therefore not even the righteous, who had died a peaceful death, have part in this first resurrection. We should observe that John, who must have been well acquainted with the traditional and current belief, that the righteous survivors would be blessed on the advent of the kingdom, deliberately ignores it. This can only mean, as has been frequently shown in the preceding pages (see p. 183), that John held that there would be no righteous survivors on the advent of the kingdom. The traditional belief is attested in Dan. xii. 12; Pss. Sol. xvii. 50; Sibyll. Or. iii. 371; I Thess. iv. 17; I Cor. xv. 51-52; Asc. Isa. iv. 15.

adim  $\eta$  developments  $\eta$  mpoint. This must not be construed in a purely spiritual sense and taken to mean a death to sin and a

new birth unto righteousness. 1. The earliest expounders of the Apocalypse, such as Justin Martyr, Tertullian, Irenzeus, Hippolytus, and Victorinus, quite rightly take the words in a literal sense of an actual reign of Christ with the glorified martyrs on earth. The spiritualizing method which emanated from Alexandria put an end to all trustworthy exegesis of the Apocalypse, when adopted in its entirety with reference to the Apocalypse. The meaning assigned by the votaries of this method became wholly arbitrary, and every student found in the Apocalypse what he wished to find (see my *Studies in the Apocalypse*, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 28, 30, 36, 38, 48, etc.). The earliest expounders were right, as they were in close touch with the apostolic time.

2. Moreover, the Talmud, and other Jewish writings, and specially the Jewish Apocalypses, attest a literal reign—and no other—of the Messiah, so far as they deal with the question.

3. The context itself is wholly against taking the words in a spiritual sense; for (a) this resurrection is obviously the guerdon of martyrdom, and begins not with the beginning of the Christian life but after its earthly close. (b) As Alford rightly urges : "no legitimate treatment of it (i.e. the text itself) will extort what is known as the spiritual interpretation now in fashion. If, in a passage where two resurrections are mentioned, where certain uyai ilyoav at the first, and the rest of the versoi ilyoav only at the end of a specified period after the first,---if in such a passage the first resurrection may be understood to mean spiritual rising with Christ, while the second means literal rising from the grave;---then there is an end of all significance in language, and Scripture is wiped out as a definite testimony to anything." Hence attempts to revive the spiritualizing interpretation of the Millennial Kingdom are to be deplored from every standpoint.

But since the first resurrection embraces only the glorified martyrs, who return to earth to share the Millennial Kingdom with Christ in the Jerusalem which comes down from heaven, it is different in character from the second. For only the faithful who had undergone martyrdom have part in it, whereas at the second resurrection the rest of the faithful and all the unfaithful rise to judgment. As we shall see on xx. 12, these two classes appear before the great white throne, the former, as we must conclude, in their glorified spiritual bodies, and the latter simply as disembodied souls—*i.e.* naked.

6. By meeting martyrdom on behalf of their faith the martyrs are admitted to share in the Millennial Kingdom, are not subject to the second death, and accordingly are exempt from the Judgment that is to follow on the close of the kingdom. Moreover, their priestly character in bringing the knowledge of

God and Christ to the nations during the Millennial Kingdom appears to be referred to in the expression  $ie\rho\epsilon is \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \kappa a \tau o \hat{v}$ Xριστού (see below).

μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος. Μακάριος is used seven times—in each case in connection with a beatitude—cf. i. 3, xiv. 13, xvi. 15, xix. 9, xx. 6, xxii. 7, 14. ἄγιος though of frequent occurrence is not used in this connection elsewhere in our author. Hence it is possible, as Wetstein suggests, that ἄγιος refers to the blessed in their priestly capacity (ἰερεῖς τοῦ θεοῦ) and μακάριος in their kingly (βασιλεύσουσιν). The combination "blessed and holy" is found in Jub. ii. 23.

δ έχων μέρος έν. Cf. John xiii. 8, οὐκ ἔχεις μέρος μετ' ἐμοῦ: also in xxi. 8 in a different form, το μέρος αὐτῶν, and xxii. 19.

eni τούτων. For eni in this sense with the genitive cf. ii. 26 [xi. 6], [xiv. 18].

**δ δεύτερος θάνατος.** This death is defined in xxi. 8 (cf. Matt. x. 28). It is mentioned already in ii. 11 as a punishment, from which those, who are faithful to the end, are exempt. In xx. 14 it is clearly an interpolation.

ouk exel efouriar. Cf. ii. 26, vi. 8, ix. 3, etc.

ίερεις του θεου και του Χριστου. Cf. i. 6, ίερεις τώ θεώ. Now it is to be observed that in i. 6 (see note in loc.), v. 10, and here the priesthood and the kingship of those whom John addressed are conjoined (in I Pet. ii. 9 they are combined in one expression,  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon_{i \sigma} i \epsilon_{i \sigma} i \epsilon_{i \sigma} a \sigma$ . But it is further noteworthy that V. 10 (ἐποίησας αὐτοὺς . . . βασιλείαν καὶ ἰερεῖς καὶ βασιλεύουσιν  $i\pi i \tau \eta s \gamma \eta s$ ) and the present passage connect the priesthood with a special period of kingship, i.e. that which they are to exercise in the Millennial Kingdom, and share with Christ (xx. 6) on the earth (v. 10). These facts suggest that the priestly offices of the blessed in the Millennial Kingdom have to do with the nations, who are to be evangelized during this period (xiv. 6-7, xv. 4), and this suggestion receives some support from xxii. 5 where, when the eternal reign of all the saints after the Judgment is mentioned ( $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma o v \sigma i r \epsilon i s \tau$ . alwas  $\tau$ . alwav), there is not the remotest reference to any special or other priesthood of the faithful.

βασιλεύσουσιν μετ' αὐτοῦ. The scene of this reign is given in the proleptic vision, v. 10, as ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς.

7-10. Close of the Millennial Kingdom and of its evangelizing activities. Thereupon follow the loosing of Satan, the march of Gog and Magog—all the faithless upon the earth—against the Beloved City, their destruction by supernatural means, and the casting of Satan into the lake of fire. The Seer does not say what became of the Heavenly Jerusalem, but its withdrawal from the earth with Christ and the glorified martyrs before the Judgment is presupposed, while its return to the new earth in a renewed form is definitely stated in xxi. 2. Since "the Beloved City" in xx. 9 is the Heavenly Jerusalem, the saints referred to in the same verse include the risen martyrs and the converts from among the nations.

The same order of events appears in Sibyll. Or. iii. 662-701, i.e. the advent of the Messiah, the establishment of His kingdom, the attack of the nations on Jerusalem, and the destruction of the invading hosts by God. In certain sections  $(A^1, A^2, A^3)$  of 2 Baruch (1st century A.D.; see my edition, pp. liii. sqq.) the writers look forward to a temporary Messianic kingdom preceded by the Messianic woes, a beneficent domination of the world by the Messiah preceded by the destruction of the antichristian powers, and of such heathen powers as had been in any way associated with them. In the Son of Man Vision in 4 Ezra xiii. the Messianic woes come first (xiii. 30-31), then the manifestation of the Messiah (xiii. 32), the assault of the heathen nations on the Messiah, and their destruction by Him, xiii. 33-34, and the manifestation of the Heavenly Jerusalem, xiii. 36. For yet another scheme of the last things see 4 Ezra iv. 56-v. 13ª, vi. 11-28, vii. 26-44 (Box's edition, p. 111). According to a contemporary of our author, R. Eliezer ben Hyrkanos or R. Eliezer the Great, the woes of the Messiah were to come first, then the day of Gog and Magog, and then the Judgment. If we pass on to the Coptic Apocalypse of Elias (a Jewish work edited by a Christian, 2nd century A.D.) we find the order of events as follows: the destruction of Antichrist and his adherents, the advent of Christ with His saints, the creation of the new heaven and the new earth and the Millennial Kingdom! In the Hebrew Apocalypse of Elijah (3rd century A.D.) Gog and Magog appear after a Messianic reign of forty years. On their annihilation follow the Judgment and the descent of Jerusalem from heaven.

It will be observed that, though each of the works above cited differs in some respect from our text, in some respects they all agree with it.

It is obvious at a glance that our author here forsakes the apocalyptic style and adopts the prophetic. But he has already done so in 6<sup>cd</sup>, and in 9-10 he reverts to his apocalyptic style. Further, there are no grounds in this section itself for assuming a source, since there is not a single construction at variance with our author's style, though there are new phrases as might be expected in describing new events.

7.  $\lambda u \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha$ . Cf.  $\lambda u \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha$  in 3 and the same verb in ix. 14 used in the same sense.  $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ .  $\phi u \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta s$  autor. Cf. ii. 10 [xviii. 2] for the word  $\phi u \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta$ . 8. έξελεύσεται (cf. iii. 12, vi. 2, 4, ix. 3, etc.) πλανήσαι τὰ έθνη. In 3 Satan was cast into the abyss, ίνα μὴ πλανήση ἔτι τὰ έθνη.

έν ταις τέσσαρσι γωνίαις της γης. Cf. vii. I where this phrase has already occurred.

τόν Γων καί Mayúy. Three matters call for consideration here: 1. The names. 2. The duplication of the invasion by and the judgment of the heathen nations, i.e. before and after the setting up of the Messianic Kingdom. 3. The comprehension of these terms in our text. 1. Magog first appears in Gen. x. 2 as a son of Japheth, but in the Mass. of Ezek. xxxviii. 2 Magog is represented as the land from which Gog came: i.e. "Gog of the land of Magog." The LXX (ἐπὶ Γὼγ καὶ τὴν γῆν τοῦ Μαγώγ) and Peshitto, however, have "toward Gog and (+'toward,' Pesh.) the land of Magog," a reading which prepares the way for the later view current in Judaism, which conceived Gog and Magog to be two different leaders. In Ezek. xxxix. 6 Magog seems to be the name of a people. Gog is the foe whose invasion from the north had been prophesied by Jeremiah (iii.-vi.) and by Zephaniah (i. 7), but whose coming had hitherto been looked for in vain. The name Gog is undoubtedly ancient; for it is found in the Tel Amarna letters (1400 B.C.) in the form Gâgaja as a designation of the northern nations. In Jubilees viii. 25 the land of Gog is mentioned. Gog is identified with the Scythians by Josephus (Ant. i. 6. 1) and by the Chronicles of Jerachmeel (xxxi. 4). In Jub. vii. 19, ix. 8, Magog appears as a son of Japheth, as in Gen. x. 2. The same idea that underlies the LXX of Ezek. xxxviii. 2 is definitely set forth in Sibyll. Or. iii. 512, 519:

> aiaî σοι, Γων καὶ πᾶσιν ἐφεξῆς ἄμα Μαγών . . . Ύψιστος δεινὴν ἐπιπέμψει ἔθνεσι πληγήν.

and 319 sq. :

aiaî σοι, χώρα Γων ήδὲ Μαγών μέσον οῦσα Αἰθιόπων ποταμῶν, πόσον aiμaτοs ἔκχυμα δέξη.

By the second century B.C. this invasion of Palestine by the two peoples Gog and Magog was clearly expected.

2. The duplication of the attack on Jerusalem (see p. 46), *i.e.* before and after the establishment of the Messianic Kingdom and of the judgment on the heathen nations. The first attestation of this conception is, of course, in Ezek. xxxvii. 21-xxxix. In xxxvii. 21-28 the kingdom with the Messiah, the son of David, is established after the Captivity. Thereupon follows the final attack of the heathen nations upon it in xxxvii.-ix. In the fragment, I Enoch lvi. 5-8, we have a description of such an attack. In 4 Ezra xiii. 5, 8-9, 28-35 there is another account

of this final assault of the heathen nations on the Messiah and on Mount Zion, or the Heavenly City, xiii. 35-36 (cf. 6), just as in our text.

In many of the authorities it is only the hostile heathen hosts that are destroyed: cf. Pss. Sol. xvii. 32; 2 Bar. lxxii. 4; Tobit xiii. 11, xiv. 6 sq.; Sanh. 105a; but in most Jewish writings after 100 A.D. a harsher view prevails as to the future destiny of all the Gentiles.

Gog and Magog are frequently mentioned in Rabbinic works. These nations march against God and the Messiah, Aboda-zara, 3<sup>b</sup>. This war and the last judgment were to last twelve months according to the Rabbi Aqiba, Edujoth, ii. 10. Other references to Gog and Magog will be found in Ber. 7<sup>b</sup> and also in the Targ. Jer. on Num. xi. 26, where it is recorded that Medad prophesied : "In fine extremitatis dierum Gog et Magog et exercitus ejus ascendent contra Jerusalem, et per manus regis Messiae cadent, et septem annis integris ignem accendent filii Israel ex eorum instrumentis bellicis" (cf. also Targ. Jon. *in loc.*). In the Targ. Jon. on Ex. xl. 11, Num. xxiv. 17, Gog's armies are mentioned, and in the Targ. Jer. on Deut. xxxii. 39 and on Isa. xxxiii. 22. See Weber, Bousset, and Volz *in loc.* 

3. The terms "Gog and Magog" comprehend all the faithless upon the earth. These are all destroyed by fire from heaven in 9. Hence the earth is left without inhabitant at the close of the Millennial Kingdom. Since the faithful at the close of the thousand years withdraw from the earth along with the Heavenly Jerusalem, there is no longer upon the earth any in whom is the breath of human life. At this point our Apocalypse agrees with 4 Ezra vii. 29-30, which declares that the world will revert "into the primeval silence . . . like as in the first beginnings, so that no man is left": cf. 2 Bar. iii. 7, "Shall the world return to its nature (of aforetime) and the age revert to primeval silence?"

συναγαγείν αὐτούς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον. This phrase has already occurred in xvi. 14.

ών δ ἄριθμος αὐτῶν ὡς κτλ. On the Hebraism iii. 8, xiii. 8, 12, etc. On the metaphor ὡς ἡ ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης, cf. Gen. xxii. 17; Jos. xi. 4; Judg. vii. 12, etc. The phrase ἡ ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης has already occurred in a literal sense in xii. 18.

9. dréßygar. Cf. 1 Enoch lvi. 6 sq. where the Parthians and Medes are mentioned :

- "And they shall go up and tread under foot the land of His elect ones . . .
  - But the city of My righteous shall be a hindrance to their horses."

Also Zech. xii. 3. draßaírw is the word always used in connec-

tion with the pilgrims going up to Jerusalem. We might compare the "Songs of the Ascents"—the title of certain of the later Psalms sung by the pilgrims as they approached the Holy City. Cf. Ezek. xxxviii. 9, 11, 16 of the going up of Gog to Jerusalem. Bousset and Gunkel explain the  $\delta v \delta \beta \eta \sigma a v$  of the march of Gog and Magog from the outlying periphery of the earth to the mountain of God lying in the centre of the earth.

 $i\pi i$  το πλάτος τῆς γῆς. There are two ways of rendering these words. I. "Through the breadth of the earth." This phrase is found in the LXX of Hab. i. 6 (in connection with the march of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem (Lerus against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of the chaldean army against Jerusalem (Lerus against again

έκύκλευσαν. Here and in John x. 24 only in the N.T.

την παρεμβολην των άγίων. *i.e.* the same as the Heavenly City. If the heathen nations had the daring to attack the supernatural Messiah and His elect (xvii. 14) it is not surprising that they should assault His city.

The "Holy City" is rightly here την πόλιν την ηγαπημένην. designated "the beloved." For a thousand years it has been the seat of Christ's Kingdom and the centre of the evangelizing efforts of the Church. Thus its record stands out in strong contrast with that of the earthly Jerusalem, which according to our author "is called spiritually Sodom and Egypt" (xi. 8). As such it rightly perished at the hands of the antichristian power of Rome. But far other is the destiny of "the beloved city." When Gog and Magog have been destroyed by fire and Satan cast down into the lake of fire, the Holy City, which had come down from heaven, is presupposed to be withdrawn from the sphere of the former heaven and the former earth, and after that it has itself been renewed (observe xxi. 2,  $\tau \eta v \pi \delta i v \tau \eta v \delta \gamma \delta v \lambda v$ sairfy) to return from heaven from God to the new earth.

That an assault on Christ and the Holy City should be deemed an inconceivable event by a few scholars can only be due to their want of acquaintance not only with Jewish and Christian Apocalyptic but even with the text of our author. For in our author we find the Parthian kings making war upon the Lamb and the elect (xvii. 14), while in xix. 19 the kings of the earth and their armies levy war on Christ and His hosts from heaven (xix. 14). These passages refer to events at the initiation of the Millennial Kingdom. In 4 Ezra xiii., as in our text, the heathen nations (xui. 5, 33, 34) assail the Messiah, who came flying with the clouds of heaven (xiii. 3) and brought with Him the Heavenly Jerusalem (xiii. 6, 35, 36), but with a flaming breath from His lips He burnt them all up so that there way nothing more to be seen of them "save only dust of ashes and smell of smoke" (xiii. 10-11, 38). We have here almost a perfect parallel to the account in our text from what was practically a contemporary Jewish source. For we have a supernatural Messiah, a Heavenly Jerusalem established on Mount Zion, an assault of the heathen nations, and their destruction by fire. There is, of course, one outstanding difference between the two accounts, *i.e.* that whereas these events are connected with the first advent of the Messiah in the Jewish document, they are incidents belonging to the second advent of Christ in the Christian Apocalypse.

Likewise in 4 Ezra xii. 32-34 we have a description of the Messiah destroying the heathen nations — especially Rome. Similarly in 2 Bar. xl. I sq., lxxii. 2 sqq. That He is a supernatural Messiah whom the nations have attacked is to be inferred from the description in 4 Ezra xiii. 3<sup>b</sup>, 26, xiv. 9, and 2 Bar. xxx. I. We have already become familiar with this conception of the Messiah in I Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi.

κατέβη πῦρ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ. Cf. Ezek. xxxviii. 22, πῦρ καὶ θεῖον βρέξω ἐπ' αὐτὸν (τὸν Γώγ) καὶ ἐπὶ πάντας τοὺς μετ' αὐτοῦ: xxxix. 6, ἀποστελῶ πῦρ ἐπὶ Γώγ, and the references given in the preceding note from 4 Ezra in exactly analogous circumstances: 2 Thess. i. 8, ii. 8; Asc. Is. iv. 18. So far as words go, a perfect parallel to κατέβη . . . αὐτούς is found in 2 Kings i. 10.

**karéqayev** adroús. All the hosts of Gog and Magog are burnt up—that is all the faithless upon the earth (see note 3 on 8 above). At the close of 10 we are left to infer that the Holy City has withdrawn with all the faithful from the earth. Thereupon follows the Judgment of *the risen dead* by God Himself, from whose presence the former outworn heaven and earth vanish into nothingness.

10.  $\delta$   $\delta i d \beta o \lambda o s$   $\delta$   $\pi \lambda a r \hat{\omega} r$ . Cf. xii. 9,  $\delta$   $\Sigma a \tau a r \hat{a} s$   $\delta$   $\pi \lambda a r \hat{\omega} r$ : [xiii. 14] xix. 20.  $\ell \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$  eis the  $\lambda \dot{\mu} r \eta r$  kt $\lambda$ . Cf. xix. 20.  $\delta \pi o \upsilon$ kal. Cf. xi. 8: also (for  $\delta \pi o \upsilon$  without kal) ii. 13,  $\delta \pi o \upsilon$  . . .  $\ell \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ , xii. 6, 14. Cf. xvii. 9.  $\beta a \sigma a r \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \sigma r \tau a$  (cf. ix. 5, xiv. 10).  $\dot{\eta} \mu \ell \rho a s$  kal ruktós (cf. iv. 8, vii. 15, xii. 10, xiv. 11). eis tods al  $\hat{\omega} r a s$  $\tau \hat{\omega} r a \dot{\omega} r \omega r$  (i. 6, 18, iv. 9, 10, v. 13, vii. 12, x. 6, xi. 15, etc.).

11-15. Vision of the great white throne and of Him who sat thereon. Disappearance of the former heaven and earth. Judgment of the dead. Death and hell cast into the lake of fire. This vision consists of four stanzas of three lines each. This vision has suffered at the hands of interpolators.

11. elbor Opóror péyar. As distinct from those mentioned in

**XX.** 11.

iv. 4, xx. 4, this throne is designated  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \nu$ . Moreover, whereas God has assessors seated on adjoining thrones in Dan. vii. 9, here He judges alone. With this line and the text which refers to God we might compare Isa. vi. I, "I saw the Lord sitting upon a throne . . . and His train filled the temple." In our text there is not the same explicitness. God is referred to indeed under the unmistakable designation & καθήμανος απί τον θρόνον, but the place of the judgment is not specified. The latter is only natural; for before the judgment has taken place (12-15) the former heaven and earth have vanished into nothingness (11<sup>bc</sup>). Hence the great white throne is conceived as the only thing (save the Heavenly Jerusalem which was to be renewed) that survives the annihilation of all that belongs to the first heaven and the first earth, and as situated somewhere in illimitable It is before this great white throne that the risen souls space. clothed in spiritual bodies and unclothed. *i.e.* those of the righteous and of the wicked, appear. Since this throne was created before the world (Berisheth rab. i.), as the eternal place of God, it could well be conceived as surviving the world's annihilation. According to 4 Ezra vii. 33 also, "the Most High shall be revealed upon the throne of judgment" after the first world has disappeared (vii. 31; see p. 198). It was different, however, in earlier Apocalypses, where the Messianic Kingdom was everlasting on the present earth and was of necessity preceded by the Final Judgment. In such cases the Final Judgment took place upon the earth, and the throne of judgment was set up in Palestine, I Enoch xc. 20. In Joel iii. 2, 12, 14-16 and I Enoch liii. I the valley of Jehoshaphat is the scene of this judgment and the agents are the angels (Joel iii. 13).

The Final Judgment is reserved in our text for God Himself; but this Judgment has to do only with the dead, or rather those risen from the dead, both good and bad, whereas the judgment of the living in xiv. 14, 18-20, xvii. 14, xix. 11-21, xx. 7-10 is committed wholly to Christ. In assigning the Final Judgment exclusively to the Father even in this limited form (see, however, xxii. 13, 12) our author stands apart from the doctrine presented in John v. 22, oùdê yàp ố  $\pi arijp$  κρίνει oùdéra,  $a\lambda\lambda a rijv$  κρίσιν  $\pi acaar$ δάδωκεν τῷ viậ, and Matt. vii. 22, 23, xvi. 27, xxv. 31-46; Actsxvii. 31; 2 Cor. v. 10. Cf. 1 Enoch xlv. 3, lxix. 27; 2 Bar.lxxii. 2-6. On the other hand, God alone is mentioned in thisrelation in Matt. vi. 4, 6, 14, 15, 18, xviii. 35, while St. Paulsometimes ascribes the Final Judgment to God, Rom. xiv. 10,and sometimes to Christ, 2 Cor. v. 10.

λευκόν. This epithet may point to the absolute equity of the Judgment. Or since according to our text and 4 Ezra vii. 31 the first world and all that belongs to it have vanished, and

according to 4 Ezra vii. 41-42, "there is neither sun nor moon nor stars . . . neither shining nor brightness nor light, but only the *splendour of the glory of the Most High*" ( $-\psi'\eta'$ ), the throne of God is lighted up with the splendour of the Shekinah, and hence it is the centre of light in the illimitable vastness of space. Whether this is so or not, it is the glory of God alone that lights up the universe. See note on  $\tau$ .  $\delta\delta\deltaav \tau \sigma\hat{v} \theta eo\hat{v}$ , xxi. 10; also xxi. 23, note.

οῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου ἔψυγεν ἡ γῆ καὶ ὁ οὐρανός. Cf. xvi. 20. When we take this line in connection with that which follows, it is clear that there is here taught the literal vanishing of the former heaven and earth into nothingness. As I have shown in my *Eschatology*<sup>2</sup>, 127-128, the older doctrine in the O.T. was the eternity of the present order of things. This was the received view down to the 2nd century B.C. From the 1st century B.C. onward in Judaism and Christianity, the transitoriness of the present heaven and earth was universally accepted. Cf. Mark xiii. 31, ὁ οὐρανὸς καὶ ἡ γῆ παρελεύσονται.

From the words before us we must conclude that before the Judgment began both *heaven and earth had vanished into nothingness.* This conclusion is of great importance when we come to deal with 13. That this was a contemporary Jewish doctrine we find from 2 Enoch lxv. 6, "when all creation visible and invisible . . . shall end, then every man goes to the great judgment." See also 4 Ezra vii. 31-36 (in the note on 13 below) where the same view is taught.

καί τόπος ούχ εύρέθη αυτοῖς. See xii. 8 π.

19. Since the verses that precede and follow 12 consist each of three lines, we naturally expect that 12 originally consisted of three lines also. When we examine the text we discover one or two disturbing glosses. When these are excised this verse is parallel in structure to that which precedes and that which follows it. But this verse should be transposed after 13, since it presupposes it.

roly verpois, *i.e.* the rest of the dead who had no share in the first resurrection. Only the dead appear before the great white throne. As Christ had judged the quick in a series of judgments (see note on 11), so God Himself judges all the dead save those who had part in the first resurrection. From 11 taken in conjunction with 13 it is to be concluded that our author has no thought here of a bodily resurrection—save in the case of the righteous dead, who would naturally as in 1 Cor. xv. appear in their spiritual bodies. As regards the wicked, however, the case is different. These would appear simply as disembodied souls—"naked"—in a spiritual environment without a body—without the capacity for communication with or means of expres-

VOL. 11.-13

sion in that environment. Every wicked soul, therefore, would be thus shut up within itself and form its own hell even before it was cast into the lake of fire.

[rods  $\mu$ eyd $\lambda$ ous και rods  $\mu$ ικρούs.] Elsewhere our author writes rods  $\mu$ κρούς και rods  $\mu$ eyd $\lambda$ ous: cf. xi. 18, xiii. 16, xix. 5, 18 (the usual order in the O.T.). But he may have here deliberately changed the order to emphasize the judgment which awaits the great ones of the earth, and which they so often escaped on earth. In the O.T. the order  $\tau$ .  $\mu$ ey. και  $\tau$ .  $\mu$ ικρούς is found in Jonah iii. 5, but in 1 Sam. v. 9; Jer. vi. 13, xxxi. 34; Ps. cxv. 13 we have the usual order τούς  $\mu$ ικρούς και τούς  $\mu$ eyd $\lambda$ ous.

καὶ βιβλία ἡνοίχθησαν. These books contain a record of all that men have done: cf. Dan. vii. 10, βίβλοι ἡνοίχθησαν: I Enoch<sup>2</sup> xc. 20, "The other took the sealed books and opened those books before the Lord of the Sheep"; 4 Ezra vi. 20, "The books shall be opened before the face of the firmament"; 2 Bar. xxiv. 1, "Behold the days come and the books shall be opened in which are written the sins of all who have sinned"; Asc. Isa. ix. 22. On these books see my note on I Enoch<sup>2</sup> xlvii. 3, which deals with three distinct classes of heavenly books, two of which are mentioned in our text.

καὶ ἀλλο βιβλίον ἡνοίχθη ὅ ἐστιν τῆς ζωῆς. This book is the register of the righteous. Cf. I Enoch xlvii. 3, "The books of the living were opened before Him" (see note *in loc.*; also on iii. 5 of the present text). The explanatory clause looks like a gloss. Seeing that this book has already been mentioned with the article in iii. 5, xiii. 8, xvii. 8, such a clause is needless at all events. The relation of the book of life and the books is well stated by Alford. These "books and the book of life bore independent witness to the fact of men being or not being among the saved: the one by inference from the works recorded: the other by inscription or non-inscription of the name in the list. So the books could be as the 'vouchers' for the book of life."

[κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν]. This phrase is a mere tautology here. It is interpolated from 13, where it rightly occurs. If the dead are judged, ἐκ τῶν γεγραμμένων ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις, that is the same thing as saying ἐκρίθησαν ἕκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν (13).

13. This verse should be transferred before 12.

καὶ ἔδωκεν†ἡ θάλασσα† τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν†αὐτῇ†, καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ འོδης ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν ἔκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ.

This stanza betrays in its present form a hopeless confusion of thought, which can only be due to deliberate change of the text. The context cannot admit of a resurrection of the physical body from the sea—seeing that the sea and everything pertaining to it had vanished. And yet this is the only natural meaning of  $13^{\circ}$ . Hence, if  $13^{\circ}$  is original and we hold fast to the natural sense,  $11^{\circ}$  cannot be original. But the originality of  $11^{\circ}$  cannot be questioned, for xxi.  $4^{\circ}$ , 5 presuppose it. Accordingly we must either interpret  $13^{\circ}$  in a wholly non-natural sense as defining not the place of departed souls but the means by which certain men perished, *i.e.* the sea, and  $13^{\circ}$  as giving the intermediate abode of *all souls*, which had died on sea or land, save only those of the martyrs. The general sense then would be : all souls together with their bodies—even those lost at sea  $(13^{\circ})$ —are given up by Hades for judgment before the great white throne.

But it is only by a quite illegitimate tour de force that such a meaning can be wrested from the words. 13° clearly presupposes the sea at once as existing and delivering up the bodies that had been engulfed in it, at the same time that Hades is represented as delivering up the souls that were in it. Body and soul would thus be reunited. The only natural meaning, then, of 13<sup>ab</sup> is in conflict with the unmistakable statement in 11<sup>b</sup> that sea is now non-existent, and with the presupposition that underlies the entire book, *i.e.* that the wicked rise as disembodied souls (see additional note on vi. 11).<sup>1</sup> Only the righteous are to possess

<sup>1</sup> The doctrine of a bodily resurrection is consistently taught in I Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi. There the general resurrection takes place before the Judgment, while the former heaven and the former earth still subsist. In lxi. 5 we find an account of the resurrection of

"Those who had been destroyed by the desert,

And those who had been devoured by the beasts,

And those who had been devoured by the fish of the sea."

Here quite distinctly a physical resurrection is described. Further in the same work in li. I the body and soul are raised separately and reunited at the Final Judgment. In the case of the righteous a transformation of the physical body into a spiritual is presupposed in the rest of this section (lxii. 15, 16).

"And in those days shall the earth also give back that which was entrusted to it,

And Sheol also shall give back that which it has received, And hell (=Abaddon) shall give back that which it owes."

Cf. also Ps.-Philo, de Biblic. Antiquitatibus, iii. 10 :

"Reddet infernus (= Hades) debitum suum, Et perditio (= Abaddon) restituet paratecen suam, Ut reddam unicuique secundum opera sua."

There is a remarkable parallelism here with our text (13). The doctrine of a physical resurrection is enforced dogmatically in 2 Bar. xlix.-li. and Sanh. 91<sup>a</sup>. This was the orthodox Rabbinic belief, and it is expressed in the ancient Benediction (Ber. 60<sup>b</sup>) still recited by the pious Jew every morning: "O my God, the soul which Thou gavest me is pure: Thou didst create it . . . and Thou wilt take it from me, but wilt restore it unto me hereafter . . . Blessed art Thou, O Lord, who restorest souls to dead bodies" (see Singer, Authorssed Daily Prayer Book—Hebrew and English, p. 5).

But this crass materialistic doctrine does not belong to the N.T. Such a

bodies, *i.e.* spiritual bodies. Finally, Sheol in our author is the abode, not of righteous but of wicked or indifferent souls. As we shall see presently, righteous souls (save those of the martyrs) were preserved in "the treasuries."

Hence we conclude that in  $\frac{1}{7}$   $\theta d\lambda a \sigma \sigma a \tau \sigma \partial s$  verpois rois iv airỹ there is a deliberate change of  $\tau a \tau a \mu e i a$  into  $\frac{1}{7}$   $\theta d\lambda a \sigma \sigma a$  in order to introduce the idea of a physical resurrection. These  $\tau a \mu e i a$  (or "treasuries") contained the souls of the righteous (with the exception of the martyrs who were already in heaven), whereas  $\frac{1}{3} \delta \eta s$  was the abode of the wicked souls. Thus we should have:

- "And the treasuries gave up the dead that were in them,
  - And death and Hades gave up the dead that were in them,

And they were judged every man according to their works."

Our text thus, like the Pauline Epistles, teaches a resurrection of persons ("the dead" so called), not a resurrection of dead bodies even though in company with souls. The personality of the righteous is complete—the soul clothed with a spiritual body: the personality of the unrighteous is incomplete—the soul is without a body—without the power of expressing itself or receiving impressions from without. Sin is ultimately selfdestructive.

That such deliberate perversions of the text took place early —probably in the and century A.D.—I shall show presently. In the meantime it is our task to prove that in apocalyptic circles, to which our author belonged, it was *the accepted belief* that the souls of the righteous were preserved in certain "treasuries." The word "treasuries" (in the Latin of 4 Ezra promptuaria, and

in the Syriac of 4 Ezra and 2 Baruch  $|\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{(2)}\rangle$  clearly goes back

to the Hebrew אוצרים, which is used also in the Talmud occasionally in this sense, and which in the Midr. rabba on Ecclesiastes iii. 21 (Weber<sup>9</sup>, 338) is said to be placed in the heavenly height. These treasuries are first referred to in 1 Enoch c. 5, though not by name:

"And over all the righteous and holy He will appoint guardians from among the holy angels, To guard them as the apple of an eye,

doctrine has no part in the Pauline Epistles, Hebrews, or in the Fourth Evangelist, nor does it find any countenance in our author, though it was enforced by many of the Fathers in the Christian Church from the 3rd century onward in opposition to Gnosticism, and other more legitimate doctrines within the Church on this question.

196

Until He makes an end of all wickedness and all sin, And though the righteous sleep a long sleep, they have nought to fear."

To these treasuries only the souls of the righteous were admitted. From this passage in 1 Enoch (early in the 1st century B.C.) we come down to two Jewish works practically contemporary with our author, *i.e.* 2 Bar. and 4 Ezra. In 2 Bar. xxi. 23, we have a remarkable confirmation of the above emendation of  $13^{\circ}$ ; for in xxi. 23 the angel of death is mentioned, then Sheol as the intermediate abode of wicked souls, and then the treasuries of the souls of the righteous. These treasuries are to be opened after the close of the Messianic Kingdom, 2 Bar. xxx. 2, and the souls of the righteous to come forth at the Final Judgment. In 4 Ezra iv. 41-42 we find a second confirmation of the above restoration of  $13^{\circ}$ .

"And he said unto me: Sheol and the treasuries of souls are like the womb: 42. For just as she who is in travail makes haste to escape the anguish of the travail; even so do these places hasten to deliver what has been entrusted to them from the beginning." Here as Sheol (cf. viii. 53) is the abode of unrighteous souls, so the treasuries are the abode of righteous souls (cf. iv. 35, vii. 80). These treasuries were to restore the souls of the righteous at the Final Judgment, vii. 32, 95. These treasuries are likewise designated "habitations" (habitacula: cf. "mansions" or "abiding places,"  $\mu ovaí$ , John xiv. 2) in vii. 85, 101, 121.

We have thus proved that towards the close of the 1st century A.D. as well as earlier and later the souls of the righteous were conceived of as being guarded and at rest (cf. 1 Enoch c. 5; 4 Ezra vii. 95) in certain places called "treasuries," and that from these the souls of the righteous came forth at the Final Judgment.

Such a conception as the above would suit our text perfectly; for the Final Judgment has come, and since it is a judgment both of the righteous and the wicked, we expect some reference to the former in our text. By the proposed restoration we recover this reference.

But the evidence in favour of this restoration is not yet complete. For an examination of our author's use of the word  $a\delta\eta$ s shows that he uses it in a bad sense as the temporary abode of wicked souls. This is evident from the next verse (xx. 14), where it is said that death and Hades are cast down into the lake of fire. Hence  $a\delta\eta$ s bears no neutral complexion in our author. Had it done so, it would simply have vanished into nothingness like the earth (11<sup>b</sup>), but it is hurled into the abyss where Satan and his servants are tormented for ever and ever.

Thus, unless the text is restored as above suggested, there

**XX.** 13.

would only be a judgment of wicked souls, but by the above restoration we have a General Judgment of the righteous and the wicked.

It is significant that in 4 Ezra vii. 31-36 we find an analogous depravation of the text with a like object, that is, to introduce the idea of a physical resurrection. As might be expected, the thought of the text is thereby hopelessly confused. In vii. 31-36we have a description in couplets of the new heaven and the new earth taking the place of the old which as corruptible had passed away.

- 31. "And it shall be that after seven days the Age, which is not yet awake, shall be aroused, And that which is corruptible shall perish."<sup>1</sup>
- 32. . . . . . . . . .
- 32°. And the treasuries shall restore those that were committed unto them.
- 33. And the Most High shall be revealed upon the throne of judgment.<sup>2</sup>

And compassion shall pass away

And longsuffering shall disappear.8

- 34. But judgment alone shall remain,
- Truth shall stand and faithfulness flourish.
- 35. And recompense shall follow,

And the reward be made manifest."

Box, following Kabisch, has through a strange misconception obelized 32° as an interpolation. But manifestly 32<sup>ab</sup> is the intruder.

έδωκαν. Here διδόναι is used absolutely like in =

<sup>1</sup> Here a couplet has been displaced and another interpolated to introduce the idea of a physical resurrection.

32°. "And the earth shall restore those that sleep in her,

**b.** And the dust those that are at rest therein."

Seeing that the new world has already displaced the old in 31 this couplet is wholly at variance with the context. Its aim is to assert the resurrection of the body, to rejon the souls which come forth from the treasuries, 32<sup>a</sup>. But since the treasuries are prepared for righteous souls only (cf 1v. 35, vii. 80, 95; 2 Bar. xxi. 23, xxx. 2; I Enoch c. 5) the text would then teach only a resurrection of the righteous. But every couplet that follows proves that we have here a general resurrection. The lost couplet clearly spoke of Sheol giving up the souls of the wicked for judgment, as the treasuries in 32° yield up the souls of the righteous.

<sup>3</sup> The Syriac here interpolates "And then cometh the end" against all the other versions.

<sup>3</sup> The Syriac adds "And pity shall be afar off" against the Latin and the first Arabic versions. The Syr. is supported by the Eth. and Arab<sup>2</sup>. The line appears to be a doublet.

198

"to give up." It is not a classical use, nor so far as I am aware a Hellenistic one.

**i** bdvaros kal i  $\overline{a}$   $\overline{b}\eta s$ . As pointed out in the preceding note,  $\overline{a}b\eta s$  can be here only the abode of unrighteous souls (as in I Enoch lxiii. 10 xcix. 11, ciii. 7; Pss. Sol. xiv. 6, xv. 11), seeing that in the next verse it is cast into the lake of fire. Death and Hades have already been found together in i. 18 (n.), vi. 8: also in Ps.-Philo, *De Bibl. Antiquitatibus*. In the latter work the destruction of death and Hades are followed as in our text by the creation of a new heaven and a new earth.

> "Et extinguetur mors, Et infernus (= Hades) claudet os suum . . . Et erit terra alia et caelum aliud."

The alia and aliud here obviously go back to  $\epsilon re\rho os$  which as distinguished from  $\delta \lambda \lambda os$  involves a distinction in kind. While  $\delta \lambda \lambda os$  simply asserts the negation of identity,  $\epsilon re\rho os$  asserts the negation of likeness in kind. In 2 Bar. xxi. 23, when Sheol and the treasuries have yielded up the souls in them, the new world promised by God was to be manifested, xxi. 25. This accords with the order of events in our text, xx. 13, xxi. 1. In 2 Enoch lxv. 10 it is said that "all corruptible things shall pass away, and there shall be eternal life."

ἐκρίθησαν ἕκαστος κατά τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. Cf. ii. 23; Ps. xxviii. 4, lxii. 13; Jer. xvii. 10, Matt. x. 32, 33.

14-15. These two verses originally formed the concluding stanza of this section. The text as it stands is impossible. The statement ούτος ὁ θάνατος δεύτερός ἐστιν, ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρός can only be made in reference to human beings, who have undergone the first death, i.e. the physical one. Hence, if it belongs to the text, it does not do so in its present position. Here, though a number of cursives, the Sahidic Version and Primasius omit this line, the grounds for its rejection in its present position are not to be sought in textual evidence, since its intrusion is anterior to all such evidence. The real grounds for its rejection are that the statement is absolutely devoid of meaning. Even if death and Hades be regarded as persons, we cannot conceive (cf. Haussleiter, Die Lateinische Apocalypse, 213) how the words & bavaros & devrepos can be applied to them. Moffatt suggests that this line was displaced from its original position after 15. There are, in fact, only these two alternatives. Either (1) the line is to be rejected as a gloss, and we must read as follows:

καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄδης ἐβλήθησαν eỉs τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός, καὶ eĩ τις οὐχ εὑρέθη ἐν τῇ βίβλῳ τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος ἐβλήθη eἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. Or (2) what appears here as the first and second lines must be read as the first, and what appears here as the third and fourth lines must be read as the second, and obros  $\delta \ \theta \delta varos \ \delta \delta \delta vrepos$  $\delta \sigma \tau vr, \ \eta \ \lambda \delta \mu v \eta \ \tau o \vartheta \ \pi v \rho \delta s$  be read as the third. This latter arrangement is not free from serious objections. These are two. First, there does not appear any reasonable ground for the misplacement of the clause. In the next place, the clause in itself is an unmeaning mis-statement. The lake of fire is not the second death, but the second death is the lot of those who are cast into the lake of fire. The right definition of the second death is given in xxi. 8. The present writer, therefore, regards the clause as originally a marginal gloss drawn from xxi. 8 and subsequently incorporated into the text.

14. έβλήθησαν είς την λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. Cf. 10, xix. 20; Petr. Apoc. 8, λίμνη τις ην μεγάλη πεπληρωμένη βορβόρου φλεγομένου εν & ησαν ανθρωποί τινες αποστρέφοντες την δικαιοσύνην.

[οῦτος ὁ θάνατος κτλ.] See note above and cf. xxi. 8.

15. dv τῆ βίβλφ τῆς ζωῆς κτλ. Cf. xxi. 27, iii. 5, note. τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. See note on ix. 1 on the significance of this phrase.

# CHAPTER XXI. 5<sup>a</sup>, 4<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>b</sup>, 6<sup>a</sup>, 1-4<sup>abc</sup>, XXII. 3-5.

# INTRODUCTION.

# The New Heaven, the New Earth, and the New Jerusalem, with its blest inhabitants.

§ 1. Now that all evil has been destroyed for ever, and all evil agents have been cast into the lake of fire, that the former heaven and earth have vanished, the final judgment brought to a close, and death and Hades destroyed, God creates a new heaven and a new earth, and summons into being the New Jerusalem. In this city, which would never know tears, nor grief, nor crying, nor any pain nor curse, God will dwell with men, and His throne, which is also that of the Lamb, will be in it, and His servants, whose character, as God's own possession, shall henceforth be blazoned on their brows, shall serve Him and they shall see His Face. And God will cause the light of His Face to shine upon them in perpetual benediction, and they shall reign for ever and ever.

That this section was written by our author is undeniable It forms the natural climax and the fitting close to all that has gone before, and the nature of the blessedness of the new heaven and the new earth and the new city is in keeping with all that is foresharlowed in the earlier visions of the Seer. The diction and the idiom are our author's.

200

§ 2. Diction.

**XXI.** 4<sup>d</sup>. Từ πρῶτα ἀπῆλθαν: for this use of ἀπελθῶν cf. ix. 12, xi. 14, xxi. 1. παρελθῶν is used in this connection in Synoptics and St. Paul: cf. Mark xiii. 31 = Matt. xxiv. 35 =Luke xxi. 33; 2 Cor. v. 17, τὰ ἀρχαῦα παρῆλθον.

5<sup>b</sup>. καινά ποιῶ πάντα. These words summarize the action of God on the world. The faithful receive a new name, ii. 17, iii. 12; they sing a new song, v. 9, xiv. 3; and a new heaven, earth, and a new city are created to be their habitation, xxi. 1, 2.

6°. γέγοναν. Cf. xvi. 17, φωνή . . . λέγουσα Γέγονεν.

1. δ πρώτος οδρανός. For this position of πρώτος cf. ii. 5. απηλθαν. See note on xxi.  $4^{d}$  above.

2. την πόλιν τ. άγίαν: cf. xxi. 10. <sup>3</sup> Ιερουσαλημ καινήν: cf. iii. 12. καταβαίνουσαν έκ τ. ούρανοῦ ἀπό τοῦ θεοῦ: cf. iii. 12, xxi. 10. ητοιμασμένην ὡς νύμφην: cf. xix. 7.

8. φωνής μεγάλης ἐκ τοῦ θρόνου: Cf. xvi. 17, xix. 5. σκηνώσει: cf. vii. 15, xii. 12, xiii. 6. αὐτοὶ λαοὶ αὐτοῦ ἔσονται καὶ αὐτὸς ἔσται θεὸς αὐτῶν: Cf. xxi. 7<sup>bo</sup>.

4<sup>ab</sup>. ἐξαλείψει κτλ.: Cf. vii. 17. οἰκ ἔσται ἔτι: Cf. xxii. 3, 5. πόνος: Cf. xvi. 10, 11.

**XXII.** 8. οὐκ ἔσται ἔτι: cf. xxi. 4<sup>a</sup>. ὁ θρόνος τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ ἀρνίου : cf. xxii. 1. οἱ δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ λατρεύσουσιν : cf. vii. 15.

5. οὐκ ἔχουσιν χρείαν φωτός λύχνου κτλ. : Cf. xxi. 23. βασιλεύσουσιν εἰς τ. αἰώνας τ. αἰώνων : Cf. xx. 4.

Idiom.

Johannine: XXII. 4. τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων: see notes on vii. 3, xui. 16.

5. οἰχ ἔχουσιν χρείαν . . . φῶς ἡλίου : cf. iii. 17 for this extraordinary construction. Φωτίσει ἐπ' αὐτούς : a pure Hebraism : see note *in loc.* Contrast construction in xviii. I, xxi. 23.

Non-Johannine: XXI. 5. 6 καθήμενος επί τῷ θρόνψ: see note on iv. 2.

God will Create the World afresh—Heaven, Earth, and the Holy City. This New Jerusalem—which is not created till after the Millennial Kingdom—is always spoken of as belonging to the future (*i.e.* every verb is in the future): whereas the Jerusalem described in xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17 is described as already existing, though as yet in heaven.

**XXI. 5°**, **4**<sup>d</sup>, **5**<sup>b</sup>. God's Declaration at the close of the Final Judgment.

"The former things have passed away: Behold I make all things new."

We have already (see pp. 151-153) shown that xxi. 1-4° and

xxii. 3-5 belong together and form a description by the Seer of the New Jerusalem which is to be the eternal abode of the blessed. This poem consists of six stanzas, the first two of three lines each and the remaining four of four lines each. Next, since it is obvious that xxi.  $4^d$ ,  $5^{ab}$  do not really belong to what follows nor yet to what precedes in the present text, these dislocated lines, as representing the words of Him, from whose presence the former heaven and earth had vanished into nothingness, who has just judged the world (xx. 11-15), and who has cast all wickedness into the lake of fire, at once claim their rightful position as forming the close of the first creation and the beginning of the new.

5°. καὶ εἶπεν ὁ καθήμενος ἐπὶ † τῷ θρόνῳ †, 4ª. τὰ πρῶτα ἀπῆλθαν, 5<sup>6</sup>. Ἰδοὺ καινὰ ποιῶ πάντα.

This restoration of the order of the text is supported by the remarkable parallel in 2 Cor. v. 17,  $\epsilon \tilde{l} \tau is \epsilon v X \rho_l \sigma \tau \tilde{\psi}$ ,  $\kappa a_l v \tilde{\eta} \kappa \tau i \sigma is$  $\tau a d \rho \chi a \tilde{l} a \pi a \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon v$ , idoù yéyover  $\kappa a_l v \dot{\alpha}$ . St. Paul here, it is true, refers to the new or spiritual creation of the individual. But in this respect man is a microcosm—an epitome of the universe or the macrocosm.

5<sup>\*</sup>. καὶ εἶπεν. The Speaker here as in i. 8, xvi. 1, 17, is God Himself, and He speaks, not to the Seer—hence no μοι is added as generally elsewhere in our author: cf. vii. 14, xvii. 7, xxi. 6, xxii. 6—but to the entire world of the blessed. The words are most probably conceived as pronounced from the great white throne at the close of the Final Judgment.

† ἐπὶ τῷ θρόνῳ †. We have an error here traceable probably to the disciple of the Seer who edited xx. 4-xxii. When he makes an addition, he generally makes a mistake. Cf. xix. 10, προσκυνήσαι αὐτῷ, which should be αὐτόν. We should, of course, have ἐπὶ τὸν θρόνον: see iv. 2, note.

The words  $\delta \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu os \epsilon \pi i \tau$ .  $\theta \rho \delta \nu o\nu$  go back to xx. 11, where God is so described at the beginning of the Final Judgment. Now that character has attained finality and all men have entered on the issues of their conduct, and death and Hades have come to an end, He that sitteth on the throne makes the solemn pronouncement with regard to all that the past:  $\tau a$  $\pi \rho \omega \tau a d \pi \eta \lambda \theta a \nu$ . The first world and all that essentially belongs to it as distinct from the second and spiritual world have vanished for ever.

4<sup>d</sup>. τὰ πρῶτα ἀπῆλθαν. With τὰ πρῶτα cf. xxi. 1, ὁ γὰρ πρῶτος οὐρανὸς καὶ ἡ πρώτη γῆ ἀπῆλθαν. With ἀπῆλθαν cf. ix. 12, xi. 14, xxi. 1. That τὰ πρῶτα ἀπῆλθαν is to be taken immediately in connection with Ἱδοὺ καινὰ ποιῶ πάντα is obvious

202

in itself. But there is some external evidence that confirms the combination of  $4^{d}$  and  $5^{b}$ ; for, while it is recognized on all hands that Isa. xliii. 18, 19 was in the mind of our author here, we find on turning to this passage that the two main ideas in  $4^{d}$  and  $5^{b}$  are already brought together (though in a more primitive and limited form), and set forth as a divine utterance as here:

 μη μνημουεύετε τὰ πρῶτα καὶ τὰ ἀρχαῖα μη συλλογίζεσθε
 ἰδοὺ ἐγῶ ποιῶ καινά.

The two ideas are also brought together in 2 Cor. v. 17, τὰ ἀρχαῖα παρῆλθεν, ἰδοὺ γέγονεν καινά.

5<sup>b</sup>. 1800 καινά ποιώ πάντα. On the idea of a new heaven and a new earth cf. Isa. lxv. 17, lxvi. 22; Ps. cii. 25-26: see note on xxii. 1 of our text. But in the current Apocalypses this idea was a familiar one. Thus in 1 Enoch xci. 16 (before 170 B.C.?) we find:

"The first heaven shall depart and pass away, And a new heaven shall appear";

in lxxii. I (before IIO B.C.): "Till the new creation is accomplished which dureth till eternity"; in xlv. 4 (94-64 B.C.):

- "And I will transform the heaven and make it an eternal blessing and light,
  - And I will transform the earth and make it a blessing";

Jub. i. 29 (before 107 A.D.): "From the day of the creation till the heavens and the earth are renewed"; also in 2 Bar. lvii. 2 (before 70 A.D.):

- "And belief in the coming judgment was then generated,
  - And hope of the world that was to be renewed was then built up,
  - And the promise of the life that should come hereafter was implanted";

xliv. 12 (after 70 A.D.): "And the new world which does not turn to corruption those who depart to its blessedness"; xxxii. 6: "When the Mighty One will renew His creation"; 4 Ezra vii. 75: "Until those times come in which Thou shalt renew Thy creation." In the N.T. cf. Matt. xix. 28; Acts iii. 21; 2 Pet. iii. 13. The passage in Barnabas vi. 13 ( $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon$  1800  $\pi o \iota \omega \tau \lambda$  $\epsilon \sigma \chi a \tau a \delta \sigma \tau \lambda \pi \rho \omega \tau a$ ) has nothing in common with our text.

5°. [καὶ λέγει Γράψον δτι οῦτοι οἱ λόγοι πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοί εἰσιν]. As these words cannot be assigned to God, they are assigned to an angel. But if we accept this explanation we should here have an instance of bathos—an error in style of which our author is never guilty. When God Himself declares in the hearing of the Seer that He recreates the world, His words do not require to be confirmed either directly or indirectly by any angel or archangel. The clause obroi or  $\lambda \delta \gamma o \ldots d\lambda \eta \theta v o i$  is repeated from xxii. 6, where it is full of significance in the mouth of Christ.  $\delta \tau \iota$  may (1) introduce a statement or (2) give a reason. But it is not used in the first sense elsewhere in our author after  $\gamma \rho \delta \psi o v$ , cf. ii. 1, 8, 12, 18, iii. 1, 7, 14, xiv. 13. Even if we take it in the second sense ("because") the bathos of the statement still remains unrelieved. Hence 5° appears to be an interpolation. That Christ should solemnly authenticate the truth of these visions in xxii. 6 is wholly fitting.

[6<sup>a</sup>. kal elmér µor l'éyorar.] Cf. xvi. 17. See note on xxi. 5<sup>b</sup> (English translation: see vol. 11. 443, note 3). An interpolation? If original, the words come from God or from the *angelus interpres*. The Seer hears God's first declaration: "Behold I make all things new," and following immediately thereupon the words: "They have become (new)." After this the Seer sees the new heaven and the new earth and the New Jerusalem (xxi.  $1-4^{abo}$ , xxii. 3-5). On the form yéyorar see Blass, Gram. p. 46.

**XXI.** 1-4<sup>abb</sup>, **XXII.** 3-5. The vision of the new heaven and the new earth and the descent of the New Jerusalem adorned as a bride for her husband. God is to tabernacle with men, and never more is there to be grief or pain or tears or death, and all the faithful are to reign for ever and ever.

ό γἀρ πρῶτος οὐρανὸς καὶ κτλ. This disappearance of the first heaven and the first earth has already been referred to: cf. XX. 11<sup>b</sup>.

kal **h 6d** harra obk *errer errer*. The earliest parallel to this statement is found in Test. Levi (109-106 B.C.), iv. 1: "Now know that the Lord shall execute judgment upon the sons of men. Because when the rocks are being rent . . . and the waters dried up." Next in the Assumption of Moses, x. 6:

204

# "And the sea shall retire into its abyss, And the fountains of waters shall fail, And the rivers shall dry up."

Also in Sibyll. Or. v. 159, 160, 447, έσται δ' ὑστατίψ καιρῷ ξηρός ποτε πόντος: viii. 236, πῶσα θάλασσα οὐκέτι πλοῦν ἔξει. All these passages point not wholly to any Semitic horror of the sea in itself, but in some degree perhaps unconsciously to its mythological connection with the Babylonian myth of the Creation, in which the sea is the water monster Tiâmat, the special opponent of the gods. The omission of the sea by John may thus be owing to its evil associations, many traces of which survive in the O.T. See articles Dragon, Leviathan, Rahab, Serpent, in the *Encyc. Biblica*. A remarkable parallel in Plutarch's *De Iside et Osiride* 7 should be observed. There the sea is regarded as an alien element in nature, fraught with destruction and disease: ὅλως δε καὶ τὴν θάλατταν ἐκ πυρὸς ἡγοῦνται καὶ παρωρισμένην, οὐδὲ μέρος οὖδὲ στοιχεῖον ἀλλ' ἀλλοῖον περίττωμα διεφθορὸς καὶ νοσῶδες.

2. The moder the ayiar depousably kairy. This city is either wholly distinct from that described in xxi. 9-xxii. 2-the seat of the Millennial Kingdom-or it is that city wholly transformed and hence described rairy: see xxi. 10, note. Since God re-creates all things, xxi. 5<sup>b</sup>, the Holy City is either transformed or created afresh as are the heaven and the earth. This city is clearly distinguished from that in xxi. 9-xxii. 2. In the latter the saints reign for a thousand years, and the tree of life stands in the street thereof in order that all who were worthy might eat thereof, that is, attain to immortality. But in this city there is no mention of the tree of life: it is not needed; for all its inhabitants are immortal (xxi. 4<sup>b</sup>) and reign with God for ever and ever (xxii. 5). With this New Jerusalem we might compare Heb. xii. 22, Ἰερουσαλημ επουρανίω: Gal. iv. 26, η δε ανω Ίερουσαλήμ: and Phil. iii. 20, ήμων γαρ το πολίτευμα έν ούρανοις ύπάρχει,

καταβαίνουσαν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ. Cf. iii. 12, xxi. 10. ἡτοιμασμένην ὡς νύμφην. Cf. xxi. 9; Isa. xlix. 18, lxi. 10.

τų ανδρί αύτης. Cf. 2 Cor. xi. 2; Eph. v. 23.

8. φωτής μεγάλης ἐκ τοῦ θρότου. The throne is that in xix. 11. The speaker is probably one of the Cherubim.

> 'ίδου ή σκηνή του θεου μετά των άνθρώπων, και σκηνώσει μετ' αυτών.

The word  $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$  here has nothing to do with the Tabernacle (כישכן). For in Jewish writings there is no expectation of the restoration of the *Tabernacle* in the Heavenly Jerusalem. Its

place is always taken by the Temple (cf. Weber<sup>9</sup>, 375-77). But, since our author expressly states (xxi. 22) that there will be no Temple in the Holy City, that is to be the seat of the Millennial Kingdom, it follows that he could not have looked for a restored Tabernacle in the New Jerusalem which was to be the everlasting abode of the blessed. The word  $\sigma \kappa \eta r \eta$  has already occurred twice. In xiii. 6 it means God's dwelling, *i.e.* heaven, or rather (?) "His Shekinah" (see note *in loc.*). In xv. 5, where it is found a second time, we have seen that the text is either corrupt or interpolated; for  $\delta v a \delta s \tau \eta s \sigma \kappa \eta r \eta s \tau \phi o b \rho a v \phi$ is an intolerable, because an unintelligible, expression.

Moreover, in Lev. xxvi. 11 we have a confirmation of the above view. Here the Targum regards the Tabernacle simply as the manifestation of the divine presence. The Hebrew (תחתי) משכני בתככם "I will set my tabernacle among them "-LXX και θήσω την διαθήκην (F, σκηνήν) μου εν υμιν-is paraphrased by the Targ. Jon. ואיתן שכינת יקרי בניכון, "I will set the Shekinah of My glory among you." In the next verse we have "the glory of My Shekinah." The word Shekinah which is used as a periphrasis for the divine name is closely associated with the conception of the divine glory (איקר, יקרא, Heb. יקר) as we see from the last two passages and throughout the Targums. Cf. also Targ. Jon. on Gen. xlix. 1, "the glory of the Shekinah of Yahweh": or simply "the glory of God" as in Onk. on Gen. xviii. 33. It is employed also as a rendering of "face" when used of God : cf. Deut. xxxi. 18, where אסחיר פני I will hide (" I will hide my face") is rendered אסלק שכנתי, " I will remove my Shekinah": and of "name" in the same connection: cf. Targums of Onk. and Ion. on Ex. xx. 24.

In the Mishnah and Talmud the Shekinah is the mediator of God's presence and activity in the world. Wherever ten persons pray together, the Shekinah is in the midst of them (Pirke Aboth iii. 9 (150-200 A.D.); Berach. 6<sup>a</sup>): also where three are gathered together to administer justice or where two meet to study the Law (Pirke Aboth iii. 9). Where a man and his wife lead a pious life the Shekinah is present. Before Israel sinned the Shekinah dwelt in each individual (Sota,  $3^{\circ}$ ): and this relation was possible afterwards: cf. Pirke Aboth iii. 9.

From the Shekinah proceeded a brilliancy or splendour (*i.e.* 19). In this the blessed were to share in the next word : cf. Berach. 17<sup>a</sup>, "They delighted themselves in the brightness of the Shekinah (תרנים כווי השבינה). On this "brightness," which is apparently rendered by our author by  $\delta\delta\xi a$ , see note on xxi. 23.

As our author thought in Hebrew, this line and the next would probably have run in his mind as

# הנה שכינת אלהים עם בני חאדם ושכן עמהם

σκηνώσει μετ' αδτών κτλ. Οη σκηνοῦν used of the inhabitants of heaven, cf. xii. 12, xiii. 6: see also vii. 15. With the thought compare Lev. xxvi. 11, καὶ θήσω τὴν διαθήκην (F, σκηνήν: so Mass. 'μου) μου ἐν ὑμῦν . . . 12 . . . καὶ ἔσομαι ὑμῶν θεὸς καὶ ὑμεῖς ἔσεσθέ μου λαός. Ezek. xxxvii. 27, καὶ ἔσται ἡ κατασκήνωσίς μου ἐν αὐτοῖς καὶ ἔσομαι αὐτοῖς θεὸς καὶ αὐτοί μου ἔσονται λαός: xliii. 7; Zech. ii. 14 (10), viii. 3, κατασκηνώσω ἐν μέσφ Ἱερουσαλήμ.

λαοί αὐτοῦ. If this reading is original the idea appears to be the same as that underlying John x. 16, where though there is but one flock (ποίμνη) and one Shepherd, there are many folds (αὐλαί). Each λαόs forms a fold in the flock, of which God is the Shepherd. Possibly, however, λαοί may simply mean as in the vernacular "people." But John does not so use λαοί, and λαόs seems to be the original reading. See crit. note on Greek text in loc.

καί αθτός † δ θεός μετ' αὐτῶν ἔσται αὐτῶν θεός †.

The above text appears in three forms in the MSS, and none of them is satisfactory. I. That which is preserved in NQ and many cursives and omits  $a\dot{v}r\hat{w}v$   $\theta\epsilon\dot{o}s$  can hardly be original, independently of its weak attestation; for not only is the parallelism against it (cf. also xxi. 7), but without  $a\dot{v}r\hat{w}v$   $\theta\epsilon\dot{o}s$  the line becomes an otiose repetition of the idea in the first two lines. The preceding line,  $\kappa a\lambda a\dot{v}ro\lambda \lambda ao\lambda a\dot{v}ro\ddot{v}$   $\ddot{e}rovras$ , requires some such parallelism as  $\theta\epsilon\dot{o}s$   $a\dot{v}r\hat{w}v$ .

2. Since, then, the shortened form of the text in  $\aleph Q$  cannot be accepted, we have next to consider that attested by A, vg, s<sup>1, 2</sup> —*kai abros of beos per abrow forms abrow beos*. Although the line is rather full, the sense is not unsatisfactory = "And He—God with them—shall be their God," or "God Himself shall be with them—their God." But this *unemphatic* position of *abrow* before  $\theta \epsilon o s$  (*i.e.* the vernacular use of the possessive pronoun—see Abbott, Gram. p. 419 sqq.) is against our author's usage and is not what we expect here.<sup>1</sup> Since in the preceding line the airow in  $\lambda aoi$  airow has its normal possessive force in this position, we should expect  $\theta cos airow$  in the present line. As "they shall be His peoples," so He shall be "their God."

3. Instead of airŵr beds, which is against our author's usage and also against the context, which here requires a real possessive, P and some cursives read beds airŵr. This is the reading the context leads us to expect, but its attestation is of the poorest character. It can only, therefore, be regarded in the light of a scribal emendation.

From the above examination of the MSS it follows that the original text has not been preserved in any MS. The corrupt readings appear to be due to the incorporation of what was really a marginal gloss on xxi.  $3^{bc}$ , *i.e.*  $\delta$   $\theta\epsilon\deltas$   $\mu er'$   $a\vartheta r\omega\nu$ . The original may have been (a)  $\kappa a\lambda a\vartheta r\delta s$   $a\vartheta r\omega\nu$   $\theta\epsilon\delta s$   $\xi\sigma rat,$  where the  $a\vartheta r\omega\nu$  would be emphatic owing to its proximity to  $a\vartheta r\delta s$  (see Abbott, *Gram.* p. 421, note 1), or (b)  $\kappa a\lambda a\vartheta r\delta s$   $\xi\sigma rat$   $\theta\epsilon\delta s$   $a\vartheta r\omega\nu$ . In any case the sense would be: "And He will be their God." The usage of our author would certainly be in favour of (b): cf. xxi. 7.

4. καὶ ἐξαλείψει κτλ. See note on vii. 17. καὶ ὁ θάνατος οἰκ ἔσται ἔτι. The idea of this line—but not the diction—is suggested by Isa. xxv. 8°, "He hath annihilated death for ever" (חער עבע B.C. Cf. I Cor. xv. 54, κατεπόθη ὁ θάνατος eἰς νîκos. It will be observed that, whereas Aquila and Theodotion incorrectly render mys, as an Aramaic phrase by eἰs vîκos and the LXX by ἰσχύσας, our author gives the right sense in a paraphrastic form. For first century A.D. testimonies to the belief in the coming destruction of death, cf. 2 Enoch lxv. 10, "All corruptible things shall vanish and there shall be eternal life," and 4 Ezra viii. 53; 2 Bar. xxi. 23. See also Moed Qaton, iii. 9, where it cites Isa. xxv. 8.

Since death is destroyed (cf. xx. 14), there is no longer any need of the tree of life. All the faithful have won everlasting life. There can be no more death, there can be only "more life and fuller."

orte πένθος oute kpauyi oute πόνος oute etra. Cf. Isa. xxxv. 10, li. 11, "and sorrow and sighing (ינה ואנודה) shall flee

<sup>1</sup>  $abr \partial v$  though occurring very frequently in our author is never elsewhere found in this unemphatic position in our text; nor is  $abr \partial v$ .  $abr \partial v$  is found once in xviii. 5, but there in a source used by our author.  $\sigma v$  is found several times in this position : see ii. 2, 19, notes. This unemphatic use of the genitive of  $abr \partial s$ , though very frequent in the Fourth Gospel, does not belong to our author. away." In our text the subject consists of three elements, and so also does the LXX of Isa. xxxv. 10 and li. 11, but the words of the LXX differ from those in our text,  $\delta\pi\epsilon\delta\rho a \delta\delta\nu\eta$  rai  $\lambda\nu\pi\eta$ rai or eray  $\mu\delta s$ . See also Isa. lxv. 19<sup>b</sup>; 1 Enoch x. 22, xxv. 6; 2 Enoch lxv. 8-9 (A), "They will live eternally, and then, too, there will be amongst them neither labour nor sickness nor humiliation nor anxiety nor need."

**EXIL 3°.** Kal  $\pi \delta \nu$  kará $\theta \epsilon \mu a$  oðk  $\delta \sigma \tau a$   $\delta \tau n$ . This verse forms the fourth line of the stanza, the first three lines of which—xxi.  $4^{abo}$ —we have just dealt with. That this verse belongs to that stanza, not only the subject matter, but the very diction is evidence. Thus obk  $\delta \sigma \tau a \delta \tau n$ , which is not found in our author outside the description of the New Jerusalem (xxi.  $1-4^{abc}$ , xxii. 3-5), has already occurred twice in this stanza, *i.e.* xxi.  $4^{bc}$ . The words themselves are based on Zech. xiv. 11 ( $100 \times 10^{-10} \times 10^{-10}$ ) but not on the LXX. The word  $\kappa a \tau a \delta \epsilon \mu a$  (syncopated from  $\kappa a \tau a v a \delta \epsilon \mu a$ ) means here, as the context shows, a curse, *i.e.* an accursed thing, and not an accursed person. In itself  $\kappa a \tau a \delta \epsilon \mu a$ could mean the latter, as  $\delta v a \delta \epsilon \mu a$  ( $= 0 \to 10^{-10}$ ) can : cf. Gal. i. 8; 1 Cor. xvi. 22; Rom. ix. 3.

κal δ θρόνος τοῦ θεοῦ κal τοῦ ἀρνίου κτλ. On this conception of "the throne of God and of the Lamb," see note on xxii. I. Owing to the fact that in the next line only God the Father is spoken of, critics have inferred that και τοῦ ἀρνίου is here an intrusion. But, as I have shown in the note on xxii. I, we find in I Enoch lxi. 8, 9 an excellent parallel; for, though the Elect One (*i.e.* the Messiah) is seated on God's throne as Judge in lxi. 8, in lxi. 9 the praises of all present are addressed to God and not to the Elect One. Moreover, in our text, xx. 6°, the aὐroῦ refers to only one of the two Divine Beings in 6<sup>4</sup>. Cf. iii. 21, which speaks of Christ as seated on God's throne, while in iii. 19 the O.T. words of Yahweh are used by Christ as His own. of δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ λατρεύσουσιν κτλ. Cf. vii. 15, note.

4. öψονται τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ. Cf. Matt. v. 8; Heb. xii. 14. This vision of God, which was withheld from Moses (Ex. xxxiii. 20, 23), is promised to the faithful in Messianic times in Jerusalem in T. Zeb. ix. 8, ὄψεσθε αὐτὸν ἐν Ἰερουσαλήμ—a promise which appears also in Ps. lxxxiv. 7 (LXX and Vulg.) ὄφθήσεται ὁ θεὸς τῶν θεῶν ἐν Σιών. But this is not the vision face to face that is designed in our text and also in 4 Ezra vii. 98. In the latter passage the vision of God constitutes the seventh and supreme bliss of the righteous.

> "They shall rejoice with boldness, Be confident without confusion, Be glad without fear;

- For they are hastening to behold the face of Him whom in life they served,
- And from whom they are destined to receive their reward in glory."

The capacity for such vision involves likeness of character : cf. I John iii. 2. Moffatt aptly compares Plutarch (*De Iside*, 79), who writes that the souls of men after death "migrate to the unseen" and "hang as it were upon Him (God), and gaze without ever wearying, and yearn for that unspeakable, indescribable beauty."

καί τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων αὐτοῦ. See notes on vii. 3, xiii. 16, xiv. 1. Cf. also iii. 12.

5. καὶ vòệ oòk ἐσται ἔτι. Darkness is at an end for ever. This clause appears to be the source of the corruption in xxi. 25. The expectation here expressed is not found in the O.T. but as regards heaven at all events is definitely taught in Philo, De Josepho, 24: εἰ γοῦν βουληθείη διακύπτειν εἶσω τις τῶν πραγμάτων, εὑρήσει τὸν οὑρανὸν ἡμέραν aἰώνιον, νυκτὸς καὶ πάσης σκιῶς ἀμέτοχον, ἄτε περιλαμπόμενον ἀσβέστοις καὶ ἀκηράτοις ἀδιαστάτως φέγγεσιν. Cf. also 2 Bar. xlviii. 50. But the conception in our text is infinitely finer. The light of the New Jerusalem is not due as in Philo to a multitude of unextinguishable and unadulterated lights, but to the light of God's own presence—always and everywhere present. The conception could be deduced from Isa. lx. 19, "The sun shall be no more thy light by day; neither for brightness shall the moon give light unto thee; but the Lord shall be unto thee an everlasting light."

καὶ οỏχ ἔξουσιν χρείαν φωτὸς λύχνου καὶ φῶς ἡλίου. Cf. xxi. 23<sup>a</sup>. The future ἔξουσιν (A vg. s<sup>1, 2</sup>, Tic.) is to be preferred to ἔχουσιν. All the verbs in this description of the New Jerusalem (xxi. 1-4<sup>abo</sup>, xxii. <sup>3-5</sup>) are futures. As contra-distinguished from the heavenly Jerusalem, that was already in being and was to come down from heaven for the Millennial Kingdom, the New Jerusalem, which is not created till after its close, is not yet in being. As regards the former, observe the occasional present and past verbs in xxi. 9-xxii. 2. The phrase φῶs ἡλίου (AP: φωτός N me s<sup>1. 2</sup>) had best be regarded as dependent on χρείαν. Such an irregularity is not unfamiliar in our author. In fact we have χρείαν ἔχειν with an acc. in iii. 17 and with a genitive in xxi. 23.

Kúpios δ θεδς φωτίσει ἐπ' aðroús. The construction here (φωτίσει ἐπ', «A, etc.) differs from that in xxi. 23, ή δόξα τοῦ θεοῦ ἐφώτισεν αὐτήν, and in xviii. 1, and the sense differs likewise. In xxi. 23 the meaning is clear: not the physical luminaries, the sun and moon, but "the glory of God did lighten" the Heavenly Jerusalem (cf. also xviii. 1). But here the Greek φωτίσει ἐπ'

210

avrou's differs from that in xviii. 1, xxi. 23 alike in construction and meaning. First, as regards the construction, it must at once be conceded that it is peculiar. If our author had wished to express the thought "shall shine upon them," "give them light," he would have said  $\phi_{avei}$   $a\dot{v}\tau ois$ : cf. xxi. 23. Hence later MSS omitted the  $\epsilon \pi i$ , and herein they are followed by WH, Bousset and others. Other editors, such as Alford, Swete, and Moffatt, rightly follow &A here, but do not explain the anomaly. We can get a good sense, if we explain it as a When regarded from this standpoint we next re-Hebraism. cognize that Kúpios &  $\theta\epsilon$ os  $\phi \omega \tau i \sigma \epsilon i \epsilon \pi^2$  autovs is a rendering of Ps. cxviii. 27, אל יי' ויאר לנו, where for ויאר our author found האיר or איר, which latter he changed into איר. Here the Aramaic Targum and the Syriac, Ethiopic, and Arabic Versions similarly transform the two clauses into one and presuppose the text to have been האיר and not ויאר. Here, therefore, the  $i\pi i$  reproduces 5.1 Having discovered the source of our author's words the discovery of their meaning ceases to be difficult. In Ps. cxviii. 27 the words are a shortened form of the priest's blessing in Num. vi. 25 by the omission of vib (see Oxford Hebrew Lexicon, p. 21b), which in its fuller form recurs several times as the footnote shows. Hence we should here render "The Lord God shall cause His face to shine upon them." Here there is a personal relation indicated between God and the blessed individually. In xxi. 23, on the other hand, no such personal relation is indicated. The Holy City is lighted up as a whole by the glory of God instead of by the sun and moon. Thus the Face which the saints will see in xxii. 4 will shine upon them in eternal benediction (xxii. 5).

 $\beta$ arileírourir eis rols alúras rúr alúrur. This everlasting reign of the saints in the New Jerusalem stands out in strong contrast with the Millennial reign in the City which came down from heaven before the Final Judgment, xx. 4.

> The Epilogue. xxi. 6<sup>b</sup>-8, xxii. 6-7, 18<sup>a</sup>, 16, 13, 12, 10, 8-9, 20-21.

### INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. On this epilogue, which contains the declarations of God, of Jesus, and of John, see p. 154. That they come from our author cannot be contested, though they have been transmitted

<sup>1</sup> In Num. vi. 25 we have by (LXX  $\ell\pi l$ ), in Ps. xxxi. 17; Dan. ix. 17 by, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  in Ps. cxix. 135, and  $\pi\mathfrak{p}$  in Ps. lxvii. 2. In all cases the LXX renders by  $\ell\pi l$ . But the LXX does not use  $\phi\omega\tau l f \epsilon \iota r$  in these passages but  $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi a \iota \iota \epsilon r$ .

# 212 REVELATION OF ST. JOHN [XXI. 6<sup>b</sup>-8, XXII. 6-7, &c.

in the utmost disorder, and no doubt defectively. The reconstruction here given is, of course, tentative. First of all, xxi, 6<sup>b</sup>-8, as containing a declaration of God, singles itself out for consideration. It cannot possibly belong to the period after the Final Judgment; for hope is still held out to the repentant, and the doom of the second death has not yet been pronounced against the finally impenitent. It must, therefore, belong either to the period of the Millennial Kingdom or to that of our author. The thought and language are in favour of the latter hypothesis. Thus o receive in xxii. 7 brings vividly before us the experiences-actual and apprehended-of the faithful in the years 00-06 A.D.: he is the warrior faithful unto death, to whom promise after promise is held out, as in ii. 7, 11, 17, 26, iii. 5, 12, 21, xii. 11, xv. 2. Again, xxi. 6d, eyù rụ διψωντι δώσω ek της πηγής του ύδατος τής ζωής δωρεάν, clearly presupposes xxii. 17 as having preceded it; for there we have the divine gift described without the article: δ θέλων λαβέτω ύδωρ ζωής δωρεάν. But for the recurrence of the Superior here we might have explained the articles in xxi. 6<sup>d</sup> from vii. 17. As regards the sorcerers, fornicators, murderers, and idolaters we are told (xxii. 15) that in the Millennial Kingdom they will be excluded from the Heavenly Terusalem: here they are adjudged to be cast into the lake of fire (xxi. 8).

Hence xxi. 6<sup>b</sup>-8 is to be taken as the divine authentication of the Apocalypse as a whole, which God had given to Jesus Christ to make known to His servants (as stated in i. 1). This declaration of God is then followed by the declaration of Jesus that He had sent His angels to testify these things to the Churches in xxii. 6-7, 18<sup>a</sup>, 16, 13, 12, 10, and the Book closes with the testimony of John, xxii. 8-9, 20-21 (see note on i. 1-3). In xxii. 6-21 more than anywhere else in chapters xx.-xxii. we have the disjecta membra of the Poet-Seer. We have already assigned xxii. 14-15, 17 to the section dealing with the Heavenly Jerusalem which comes down to earth during the Millennial Kingdom. The re-arrangement of xxii. 6-22 just given is suggested by the text itself and confirmed by i. 1-2 (see note in vol. i. p. 5 sq.), and is therefore not improbably the order intended by the Seer. It is, of course, fragmentary. With a view to its arrangement, we observe first of all that Jesus is the speaker in 12-13, 16, and likewise in 6-7; for in these last two verses the speaker is distinguished from the angel who showed the Seer the things which must shortly come to pass, and the words "behold I come quickly" in 7 are naturally spoken by Christ. Moreover, as Könnecke and Moffatt have recognized, 12-13, 16 can be restored to their original order by reading them as follows: 16, 13, 12. Thus this section is to be read as follows:

6-7, 16, 13, 12. Verse 10—still the words of Christ—comes next, "And He saith unto me, Seal not up the words of the prophecy of this book; for the time is at hand."

I have bracketed 11 as conflicting with xxi. 6<sup>b</sup>-8, which apparently refer to evangelistic appeals during the Seer's lifetime. xxii. 18<sup>a</sup>, as coming from Christ, gives His imprimatur to the book. xxii. 8-9 as describing the action of the Seer in relation to the angel at its close, and xxii. 20-21 as giving the Seer's final testimony form the natural close of the Apocalypse.

Traces of the hand of the editor are to be found in two passages : see § 3 ad fin. Cf. § 3 in Introduction to xx. 4-15 (p. 182).

§ 2. Diction.

**XXI. 6.** το <sup>\*</sup>Αλφα κάι το <sup>\*</sup>Ω. Cf. i. 8, xxii. 13. ή άρχη και το τέλος : cf. xxii. 13. τῷ διψῶντι (cf. vii. 16) δώσω ἐκ τῆς πηγῆς τοῦ υδατος τῆς ζωῆς δωρεάν : cf. xxii. 17, vii 17.

7. 5 rikûr : see under § I. čoopai adrý Ocós : cf. xxi. 3.

8. τοῖς . . . φονεῦσι καὶ πόρνοις καὶ φαρμακοῖς καὶ εἰδωλολάτραις : cf. xxii. 15. τῆ λίμνη τῆ καιομένῆ : cf. xix. 20, xx. 10, etc.

δ θάνατος δ δεύτερος : Cf. ii. ΙΙ.

**XXII. 6.** απέστειλεν τ. άγγελον αύτοῦ : cf. i. 1, άποστείλας διὰ τ. άγγ. αὐτοῦ.

δαίξαι τ. δούλοις αὐτοῦ . . . ἐν τάχει : a verbal repetition from i. 1.

7. ίδου ἕρχομαι ταχύ: cf. ii. 16, iii. 11, xvi. 15, xxii. 12. μακάριος ὁ τηρῶν τ. λόγους τ. προφητείας τ. βιβλίου τούτου: a summary of i. 3 (and the last of the seven beatitudes in this Book), xxii. 10, 18.

18<sup>6</sup>. μαρτυρώ: cf. i. 2. τ. λόγους τ. προφητείας κτλ.: see on 7 above.

16. έγω . . . ἔπεμψα κτλ.: cf. i. 1. έγω εἰμι ή ῥίζα . . . Δαυείδ : repeated from v. 5. δ ἀστήρ . . . δ πρωινός : already in ii. 28.

13. έγω τὸ "Αλφα καὶ τὸ Ω: cf. i. 8, xxi. 6. ὁ πρῶτος καὶ ὁ ἔσχατος: cf. i. 17, ii. 8. ἡ ἀρχὴ καὶ τὸ τέλος: cf. xxi. 6. 19. ἰδοὺ ἔρχομαι ταχύ. See on 7 above. ἀποδοῦναι ἐκάστψ

19. ίδου έρχομαι ταχύ. See on 7 above. αποδοῦναι ἐκάστψ ὡς τὸ ἔργον ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ: cf. ii. 23, δώσω... ἐκάστψ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα ὑμῶν, xx. 13.

10. λέγει μοι : cf. v. 5, vii. 13, x. 9. μη σφραγίσης : cf. x. 4. τ. λόγους τ. προφητείας τ. βιβλ. τούτου : see on 7 above.

6 καιρός γάρ έγγύς : cf. i. 3.

8.  $\kappa d\gamma \dot{\omega}$  ludwing: cf. i. 1, 4, 9.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \alpha$  προσκυνήσαι  $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \epsilon \nu$ τών ποδών: cf. xix. 10, which, however, is a doublet of this passage. Elsewhere John uses προσκυνείν ενώπιον: cf. iii. 9, xv. 4 where this phrase expresses simply homage. τοῦ δεικνύοντος: cf. i. 1, iv. 1, xvii. 1, xxi. 9, 10, xxii. 1, 6. 9. τῶν τηρούντων τ. λόγους τ. βιβλ. τούτου : cf. i. 3, iii. 8, 10, xii. 17, xiv. 12. τῷ θεῷ προσκύνησον : see note on vii. 11.

20. μαρτυρών : see i8<sup>a</sup> above. ναί : see i. 7 (note). ἔρχομαι ταχύ : see on 7 above.

'Αμήν: see i. 7 (note).

§ 3. *Idioms.*—The constructions are almost wholly normal. Here as elsewhere in xx. 4-xxii. the text has apparently been normalized by the editor of this section.

Johannine xxi. 6. δώσω ἐκ: for this partitive use of ἐκ after διδόναι cf. iii. 9. Not elsewhere in N.T. save in 1 John iv. 13.

8. το**îs δ**έ δειλο**îs**... τὸ μέρος αὐτῶν: a pure Hebraism: see note *in loc*..

**XXII. 8.**  $\delta$  droofwr: used here as an aorist or perfect as elsewhere the participle is used in our author: cf.  $\delta \epsilon \kappa r v \delta \sigma r \sigma s$ , similarly used at the close of this verse.

Non-Johannine xxi 6. τῷ διψῶντι δώσω. According to our author's universal usage elsewhere we should expect αὐτῷ after δώσω in this connection: cf. ii. 7, 17, 26, iii. 21. Here apparently the editor has omitted it and so normalized the text.

XXI. 8. τη λίμνη τη καιομένη may be another such instance : cf. xix. 20.

The Epilogue of the Apocalypse consisting of (1) the declaration of God, xxi. 6<sup>o</sup>-8: (2) the testimony of Jesus, xxii. 6-7, 18<sup>o</sup>, 16, 13, 12, 10 [11], [18<sup>o</sup>-19]: (3) and that of John, xxii. 8-9, 20-21.

Here more than anywhere else in chapters xx.-xxii. have we the disjecta membra of the Poet-Seer. These fragments clearly form the Epilogue of the Book, and a study of these fragments leads us to recognize them as coming from three distinct speakers—God, Jesus, and John. In xxi.  $6^b$ -8 God is clearly the speaker. In xxii. 12-13, 16, and likewise 6-7, 10,  $18^a$ , Jesus is the speaker, for in 6-7 the speaker is distinguished from the angel who showed the Seer the things that must shortly come to pass, and the words "behold I come quickly" in 7 are most naturally spoken by Christ, and likewise 10,  $18^a$ . Moreover, as Könnecke (followed by Moffatt) has recognized, 12-13, 16 should be read as follows: 16, 13, 12. Thus the original order of the testimony and declaration of Christ was most probably: 6-7, 16, 13, 12, 10 [11],  $18^a$  [ $18^b-19$ ]. The book then closes with the testimony of John xxii. 8-9, 20-21. xxii. 11,  $18^b-19$  appear to be interpolations.

This order, which is suggested by a study of xxii. 6-22, in itself harmonizes with that given in the first two verses of the Apocalypse, where we are told that (1) God has given to Christ this revelation to show unto His servants: (2) that Christ has sent and signified it by His angel to His servant John: (3) that John has borne witness of the word of God and of the testimony of Christ.

**XXI.** 6<sup>b</sup>-8. The declaration of God as to His own Being, His willingness to be gracious to the repentant, His promise of being a Father to him that overcometh, and His denunciation of the cravenhearted, the unbelieving and impure as destined to be cast into the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone—the second death.

6<sup>b</sup>. ἐγώ εἰμι τὸ <sup>\*</sup>Αλφα καὶ τὸ <sup>\*</sup>Ω. Here as in i. 8 (where see note) these epithets belong to the Eternal Father, whereas in xxii. 13 they are used by Christ of Himself.

ή doxy και τό τέλος. Cf. xxii. 13, see note on i. 8.

έγω τώ διψωντι δώσω έκ τῆς πηγῆς κτλ. Based on Isa. lv. 1. See note on vii. 17.

τοῦ ῦδατος τῆς ζωῆς δωρεάν. Observe the articles. We have ὕδωρ ζωῆς δωρεάν in xxii. 17—which is explicable if xxii. 17 precedes, but not so if the MSS order of the text were correct. On the distinction between ὕδωρ ζωῆς and ξύλον ζωῆς see ii. 7, note.

7.  $\delta \nu_{ik}\omega_{\nu} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho oroundore \tau a v ra. The victor is here contrasted$ with the cravenhearted in 8. The ravra here refers to theMillennial blessedness, the new heaven, the new earth, and theNew Jerusalem (xxi. 1-4<sup>abo</sup>, xx. 3-5). Of these "he who $conquers" is "the heir" (<math>\kappa \lambda \eta \rho ov \delta \mu os$ ). The collocation of  $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho oroundor \sigma e$  in this line and avros éoras  $\mu os$  vios in the next but one shows, as Swete remarks, the close affinity in this respect between our author and St. Paul: cf. Rom. viii. 17, el dè réara,  $\kappa a i \kappa \lambda \eta \rho ov \delta \mu os$  i. 7, el dè vios,  $\kappa a i \kappa \lambda \eta \rho ov \delta \mu os$  deoû.

kal žoopal abr $\hat{\varphi}$   $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ . This promise was made frequently in the O.T.: first to the founder of the nation, Gen. xvii. 7, 8, while that in the next line, kal abr $\delta s$  žoral polviós, is first made in reference to Solomon as a representative of the nation, 2 Sam. vii. 14, and in Ps. bxxix. 26, 27 in reference to David. Nowhere in the O.T. can the individual as such claim God as Father. This claim is first found in Sir. xxiii. 1 : later in Wisdom ii. 16 (see note on Jub. i. 24 of my edition). But in the N.T. the normal attitude of the faithful individual to God is that of a son to his heavenly Father. Here only in our author is this conception brought forward. This sonship is realized in some true degree in the present life just as surely as the thirst for righteousness ( $r\hat{\varphi} \delta u f \hat{\omega} rri$ ) is in some true measure satisfied here.

8. We have here the list of those who have disfranchised themselves from the Kingdom of God and gone over into the Kingdom of outer darkness. Of these there are mentioned eight classes, which fall into three divisions, the first division comprising three classes, the second three, and the third two. First division—roîs δè δειλοîs<sup>1</sup> καὶ ἀπιστοῖς καὶ ἐβδελυγμένοις. The δειλοί are not "the fearful" as in the A.V. and R.V. but "the cowardly" or "the cravenhearted," who in the struggle with the Beast have played the coward, denied the faith, and rendered worship to Cæsar. δειλία has always a bad meaning, and St. Paul declares, 2 Tim. i. 7: οὐ γὰρ ἔδωκεν ἡμῶν ὁ θεὸς πνεῦμα δειλίας. A man may fear and yet not be δειλός. In fact the most courageous man is he who, notwithstanding his fear of the real dangers that beset his advance, goes sturdily onwards. But this fear in the N.T. is either φόβος—a middle term capable of a good or of a bad interpretation according to the context—or ειλάβεια. Cf. Phil. ii. 12, μετὰ φόβου καὶ τρόμου τὴν ἐαυτῶν σωτηρίαν κατεργάζεσθε.

τοῖς . . . ἀπίστοις. In our author ἀπιστος means primarily "faithless," "untrustworthy." This is to be inferred from the use of πιστός : cf. i. 5, ἀπὸ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ὁ μάρτυς ὁ πιστός ; ii. 10, γίνου πιστὸς ἄχρι θανάτου; ii. 13, iii. 14, xvii. 14.<sup>2</sup> Thus the ἄπιστος is closely allied to the δειλός that precedes. As such the ἄπιστοι are not coextensive with the δειλοί, for there are other grounds than cowardice for such disloyalty. But the ἄπιστοι owing to the ἐβδελυγμένοι that follows immediately may suggest the idea of immorality : cf. Tit. i. 15, τοῖς δὲ μεμιαμμένοις καὶ ἀπίστοις . . . 16. . . · βδελυκτοὶ ὅντες καὶ ἀπειθεῖς. Furthermore, the ὅπιστοι appear to embrace not only the Christian who denies his faith, but also the pagan who rejects it.

τοῖς . . . ἐβδελυγμένοις. These are those who are defied with the abominations referred to in xvii. 4, 5, connected with the worship of the Beast and generally with the impurities of the pagan cults, including unnatural vice. Cf. Hos. ix. 10; 2 Enoch x. 4, 5, "This place is prepared for . . . those who on earth practise sin against nature, which is child corruption after the Sodomitic fashion, magic-making, enchantments, and devilish witchcraft . . . lies . . . fornication, murder": Apoc. Pet. 17, οῦτοι δὲ ἦσαν οἱ μιάναντες τὰ σώματα ἑαυτῶν ὡς γυναῖκες ἀναστρεφόμενοι ai δὲ μετ' αὐτῶν γυναῖκες . . . ai συγκοιμηθείσαι ἀλλήλαις ὡς

<sup>2</sup> This meaning of  $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta s$ , *i.e.* "faithful," "loyal," is also found in 2 Bar. liv. 21 (where see my note), though elsewhere (except possibly in liv. 16) in that book it means "believing": cf. xlii. 2, liv. 5, lvin. 2, lix. 2, lxxxii. 8. On 4 Ezra in this respect see Box, pp. 67, 143.  $\delta v \delta r \eta \rho \pi \rho \delta \gamma v r a \kappa a$ . This class must obviously comprise all the pagan world that is so defiled. We thus observe that, whereas the first three classes are closely associated in point of character, their comprehensiveness steadily widens from faithless Christians to the whole body of the impure whether Christian or pagan.

καὶ φονεῦσι καὶ πόρνοις καὶ φαρμακοῖς. In xxii. 15 these words are found in the reverse order. These sins have already been referred to in ix. 21, ἐκ τῶν φόνων αὐτῶν οὖτε ἐκ τῶν φαρμάκων αὐτῶν οὖτε ἐκ τῆς πορνείας αὐτῶν (see note). See also Gal. v. 19-21, where πορνεία, φαρμακία and φόνοι (in some MSS) appear amongst many other vices. In 1 Tim. i. 9 we have ἀνδροφόνοις, πόρνοις, and in Jas. ii. 11 φόνος and μοιχεία are combined. The φαρμακοῖs are "sorcerers," as their association with the εἰδωλαλάτραις in the next line shows: cf. ix. 20, 21, where they are similarly associated.

eiðwhohdrpaus kal måær rois  $\psi$ euðéær. Cf. ix. 20, xxii. 15. Idolatry is the cardinal sin against which our author warns his readers. Hence the primary reference is here to Christians. As the idols are lies so all the idolaters are liars. The insincerities of heathenism, the frauds of its priests as well as all the falsities of Christians are here referred to (cf. xiv. 5). Lying and duplicity are denounced in the O.T. but in far stronger terms in the N.T.

τὸ μέρος αὐτῶν. The αὐτῶν resumes the eight classes mentioned in the preceding datives. On these datives see footnote, p. 216. τῆ λίμνη τῆ καιομένη κτλ.: cf. xix. 20, xx. 10, 14, 15 and note on ix. 1: 2 Enoch x. 2, "And there were all manner of tortures in this place . . . 4. This place, O Enoch, is prepared for those who dishonour God, etc." ἐθάνατος ὁ δεύτερος. See note on ii. 11.

The Testimony of Jesus, xxii. 6-7, 16, 13, 12, 10 [11], 18<sup>a</sup> [18<sup>b</sup>-19].

**XXII. 6-7.** That these are the words of Christ is to be concluded from the declaration in 7, καὶ ἰδοὺ ἔρχομαι ταχύ. Moreover, there is a special fitness that He, who is designated πιστὸς καὶ ἀληθινός in iii. 14, xix. 11, should authenticate the words of the prophecy of this book as πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοί (6).

6. Obroi of lóyoi misrol kal dlyfiroí. Christ here authenticates the words of the prophecy of this book and as  $\delta \pi i \sigma \tau \delta s$ kal  $d\lambda \eta \theta i \nu \delta s$  there is a special appropriateness in His so doing. Besides He is therein fulfilling the very task given to Him by God in i. I, where we are told that God gave Him this revelation in order that He might make it known— $\eta \nu$  (*i.e.*  $\tau \eta \nu$   $\delta \pi \sigma \kappa \delta \lambda \nu \psi \nu$ )  $\delta \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$  a  $\delta \tau \psi$  (*i.e.* 'Iyooû Xριστψ)  $\delta \theta \epsilon \delta s$   $\delta c \delta \epsilon a$   $\tau c \delta s$   $\delta \omega \delta \omega s$  a  $\delta \tau \delta \omega$ . Cf. 4 Ezra xv. I, 2, "Ecce loquere in aures plebis meae sermones prophetiae, quos immisero in os tuum, dicit Dominus. 2. Et fac ut in charta scribantur, quoniam fideles et veri sunt."

ό κύριος ό θεός των πνευμάτων των προφητών. This is certainly a strange expression. Some scholars (Bousset, Moffatt) regard the plural as an archaistic detail (cf. i. 4), according to which there are a variety of angelic spirits that inspire the prophets. If we take this in the sense that various angels were sent at various times to instruct the prophets, it is quite unobjectionable.  $\pi v \dot{v}$ mara is used of the archangels in our author: cf. iii. I, iv. 5, etc. But though the sense is unobjectionable, the words themselves can hardly bear this meaning. The  $\pi v \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau a$  are best taken with Swete to be the prophets' own spirits filled by the One Spirit mentioned in ii. 7, xiv. 13, xxii. 17. When the prophet spake as a prophet, it was his spirit that was active (i. 10, xvii. 3, xxi. 10). Hence it seems that the text should here be interpreted as a similar expression in I Cor. xiv. 32,  $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau a \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \tau a s$ inorágoria, where it is the prophets' own spirits that are referred to. The divine title in our text has no connection of any kind with the very frequent designation of God in I Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi.; 2 Macc. iii. 24, i.e. "Lord of Spirits." In Num. xvi. 22, xxvii. 16 the divine title "the God of the spirits of all flesh " (אלהי הרוחת לכל־בשר) has only a general reference to mankind as a whole and not as in our text to the special class of men. των προφητων. As in x. 7, xi. 18, xxii. 9, John associates himself here with the Christian prophets.

τόν ἄγγελον αὐτοῦ. Here Christ speaks of the angel of xvii. 1, xxi. 9 as God's angel, and yet in i. 1, xxii. 16 he is described as Christ's angel. The statements are not incompatible. δείξαι τοῖς δούλοις . . . ἐν τάχει. This clause is repeated from i. 1. In fact the words ἀπέστειλεν τ. ἄγγελον αὐτοῦ δείξαι τ. δούλοις αὐτοῦ α δεῖ γενέσθαι ἐν τάχει combine δείξαι τ. δούλοις αὐτοῦ, α δεῖ γενέσθαι ἐν τάχει and ἀποστείλας διὰ τοῦ ἀγγέλον αὐτοῦ in i. 1.

7. καὶ ἰδοῦ ἔρχομαι ταχώ. Cf. xxii. 12, where as here it is from the lips of Christ; also ii. 5, 16, iii. 11, and xvi. 15, which as we have already seen should be restored after iii. 3<sup>6</sup>.

μακάριος ό τηρῶν τοὺς λόγους κτλ. This is the last of the seven beatitudes of the book (see note on i. 3). It is a short summary of i. 3, and thus the Book ends as it began in declaring the blessedness of those who have kept the words of the prophecy; but, whereas it is John that pronounces the first beatitude (i. 3), it is Christ that pronounces the last.

18<sup>a</sup>. μαρτυρώ έγὼ πάντι τῷ ἀκούοντι τοὺς λόγους κτλ. Here the speaker is, as Swete urges, still Christ, who gives His solemn imprimatur to the Book. Moreover, as in  $16 - \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta \sigma a \dot{v} \mu v$ ταῦτα—the τοὺς λόγους is to be taken as the accusative after μαρτυρήσαι and not after ἀκούοντι, as is usually done : "to everyone that heareth I bear witness to the words of the prophecy of this book." As in 7, 10, Christ uses the same phraseology,  $\tau$ .  $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \gamma \sigma \sigma \tau$ .  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \dot{\iota} \sigma \tau$   $\sigma \sigma \sigma \tau$ .

16. 'Eyè 'injouis ëneque tàr ayelor pou. These words recall i. 1, kai éoríparer árooreilas dia roi áyyelor airoi, and xxii. 6, deòs... áráoreile ròr áyyelor airoi. According to Westcott (Add. Note on John xx. 21) árooreila conveys the accessory notions of a special commission and so far of a delegated authority in the person sent." It is strange that Abbott (Johannine Vocabulary, p. 227) ascribes to these verbs almost the contrary meaning: "We are perhaps justified in thinking that árooreila way into the world at large,' but réurw 'sending on a special errand.'" Our author, at any rate, appears to use them as synonyms.

έγω εἰμι ἡ ῥίζα καὶ τὸ γένος Δαυείδ : Cf.  $\nabla$ . 5, ὁ λέων ὁ ἐκ τῆς φυλῆς Ἰόνδα, ἡ ῥίζα Δαυείδ : Isa. xi. 1, And there shall come forth a shoot out of the stock of Jesse and a branch out of his roots shall bear fruit; xi. 10; Test. Jud. xxiv. 5.

"Then shall the sceptre of my kingdom shine forth, And from your root shall arise a stem."

In His own person Christ is at once the root, and the stem and branches that spring from the root, and thus combines all the Messianic claims of the Davidic family. Thus He forms the climax of Jewish Messianic expectation. Our author lays more stress on the Davidic descent than Christ did Himself: cf. Matt. xxii. 42-45.

ό ἀστὴρ ὁ λαμπρός, ὁ πρωινός. Here Christ is Himself the morning star, which in ii. 28 is promised to the faithful. The idea is ultimately derived from Num. xxiv. 17, "There shall come forth a star out of Jacob," but in the Test. Lev. xviii. 3, and probably in Test. Jud. xxiv. 1, this passage has been definitely associated with the hope of a Messiah from Levi—an expectation that was abandoned early in the first century B.C. As Christ is the realization of all that Israel hoped for in the past ( $\frac{1}{7}$  βιζα κτλ.), He contains in Himself the promise (cf. Luke i. 78) of all that is to come ( $\frac{1}{6}$  ἀστήρ...  $\delta$  πρωινός) as "the Light of the world," John viii. 12.

13. In this verse the Son claims all the attributes of the Father. In the next verse (*i.e.* 12) it follows naturally that He designates Himself as the Judge of all the world.

dyù τό <sup>\*</sup>Aλφα κτλ.: cf. i. 8 (note), xxi. 6, where it is the title used by God of Himself. ό πρώτος και ό έσχατος. See note on i. 17, ii. 8—in both cases of Christ.

in xxi. 6.

The phrase ή άρχη και το τέλος is an abbreviated form of an ancient Orphic saying, which is first recorded in Plato, Leg. iv. 7, δ μαν δη θεός (ὥσπερ και δ παλαιός λόγος) άρχην τε και τελευτήν και μέσα τῶν ὅντων ἀπάντων ἔχων. Thus Plato in the 4th century B.C. speaks of this saying as even then an ancient one. A scholion on this passage gives the original form of it and an explanation : θεόν μαν τον δημιουργόν σαφῶς, παλαιόν δὲ λόγον λέγει τον Όρφικόν, ὄς ἐστιν οῦτος. Ζεὺς ἀρχή, Ζεὺς μέσσα, Διὸς δ' ἐκ πάντα τέτυκται, Ζεὺς πυθμην γαίης τε καὶ οῦρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος· καὶ ἀρχὴ μὲν οῦτος ὡς ποιητικὸν αἶτιον, τελευτὴ δὲ ὡς τελικόν, μέσσα δὲ ὡς ἐξίσου πῶσι παρών. We might compare the Pauline statement, Rom. xi. 36, ἐξ αὐτοῦ καὶ δι' αὐτοῦ καὶ εἰς αὐτον τὰ πάντα, *i.e.* God is the initial cause, the sustaining cause, and the final cause of all things.

This Orphic logion was well known in the ancient world. In the first century A.D. it was familiar to the Palestinian Jews, as we know from Josephus who quotes it in c. Ap. ii. 22,  $\delta \theta \epsilon \delta s$ ... αύτος έαυτώ και πασιν αύτάρκης, άρχη και μέσα και τέλος ούτος των In his Ant. viii. 11. 2 it appears in almost the same πάντων. abbreviated form as in our text : και τον ίδιον  $\theta \epsilon \delta v$  . . . .  $\delta s$  . . .  $d\rho_{\chi\gamma}$  και τέλος των  $d\pi d\tau$  www. In later times it was adopted by the Talmudists and given a Jewish turn in the third century A.D. by Simon ben Lakish, who strove to derive this ancient Greek Orphic saying from the Hebrew word אמה (Jer. Jeb. xii. 13\*; Gen. R. lxxxi.); "for . . . N is the first, D the middle, and n the last letter of the alphabet—this being the name of God according to Isa. xliv. 6, explained Jer. Sanh. i. 18<sup>a</sup>, 'I am the first < having had none from whom to receive the kingdom>; I am the middle, there being none who shares the kingdom with me; <and I am the last>there being none to whom I shall hand the kingdom of the world'" (quoted from Jewish Encyc. i. 439). It is hardly needful to draw attention to the forced nature of this explanation or to point out that p is not the middle letter of the Hebrew alphabet-being the 13th from the beginning and the 10th from the end.

220

est, initium et finem et medietates omnium quae sunt habens"; Hippolytus, *Refut. omn. Haer.* i. 19; Clem. Alex. Protreps. vi. 69, Strom. ii. 22. § 132; Origen, c. Cels. vi. 13; Eusebius, Praepar. Evang. xi. 13, ἀρχὴν αὐτὸς ἔχων καὶ μέσσατον **ħ**δὲ τελευτήν.<sup>1</sup>

12. ίδοι έρχομαι ταχύ. Cf. iii. 11, xxii. 7, 20. καὶ ὁ μισθός μου ματ' ἐμοῦ. Cf. xi.  $18^d$ . ὁ μισθός μου is here "the reward which I give." Cf. Isa. xl. 10, ίδοι ὁ μισθὸς αὐτοῦ ματ' αὐτοῦ: lxii. 11; Wisd. v. 15, καὶ ἐν κυρίφ ὁ μισθὸς αὐτῶν.

αποδοῦναι ἐκάστῷ ὡς τὸ ἔργον ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ. Cf. ii. 23, XX. 13; Rom. ii. 6; Prov. xxiv. 12<sup>b</sup> (LXX, ὅς ἀποδίδωσιν ἐκάστῷ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ); Jer. xvii. 10; Ps. lxi. 13, ὅτι σὺ ἀποδώσεις ἐκάστῷ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ. Our text seems to have been before 1 Clem. xxxiv. 3.

10. Here also Christ appears to speak, as Bousset recognizes. There is force in His command to issue the Book immediately; "for the time is at hand." As contrasted with Jewish Apocalypses, such as Daniel (cf. viii. 26, xii. 4, 9); I Enoch i. 2, xciii. Io, civ. 12; 2 Enoch xxxiii. 9–11, xxxv. 3, etc., which were not to be divulged till distant generations, our Apocalypse is to be made known by the Seer to his contemporaries. The older Apocalypses were referred to as sealed (cf. Dan. viii. 26, in order to explain the withholding of their publication till the actual time of their author.

τούς λόγους τ. προφητείας κτλ. Cf. xxii. 7, 18. In all three cases these are the words of Christ: cf. also i. 3. δ καιρός γὰρ ἐγγύς. This clause and the preceding are combined by our author in i. 3. The same idea underlies the clause ἔρχομαι ταχύ, ii. 16, iii. 11, xxii. 7, 12, 20, which is only used by Christ.

[11. These words can refer only to the contemporaries of the Seer. But, since xxi. 6-8 refer also to his contemporaries and still proclaim the possibility of free and full forgiveness, this verse appears to be a later addition. In xxi.  $6^4$  those who thirst after a new life are promised satisfaction, whereas here the door of hope is closed absolutely and finally against every class of sinners. Ver. 11 assumes that finality in character is already arrived at, and an unswerving persistence in good or evil, though there is still some interval between the vision and the Second Advent; for the circulation of the Book among the Churches (ii.-iii.) and the faithful observance of its teaching (xxi. 7, xxii. 7) postulates some such interval. And yet the interval is not expected to be long; "for the time is at hand" (i. 3, xxii. 10). In Dan. xii. 10, 11 no such consideration for the sinner is shown. Besides in our author the whole body of the neutral nations have to be

<sup>1</sup> Cf. the Egyptian prayer quoted by Reitzenstein (*Poimandres*, p. 277): χαίρε, άρχη και τέλος της άκινητου φύσεως. evangelized on the Second Advent when the Millennial Kingdom is established : cf. xiv. 6-7, xv. 4, xxii. 2, 17, xxi. 24-26. In the face of such an expectation 11 is an impossibility.

> ό άδικων άδικησάτω έτι, καὶ ὁ ῥυπαρὸς ῥυπανθήτω ἔτι. καὶ ὁ δίκαιος δικαιοσύνην ποιησάτω ἔτι, καὶ ὁ ἅγιος ἀγιασθήτω ἔτι.

We have already on the ground of their contents rejected these lines. As regards their form and diction there are further objections, though these are not unanswerable in themselves. First, as regards their form, it will be observed that, whereas universally in our author the second line is parallel with, *i.e.* reproduces the first not in identical but in similar terms, or more rarely the second and third lines (as in xxii. 13, 12) reproduce the first, in II, however, it is the third line that is parallel antithetically to the first and the fourth that is similarly parallel to the This form of parallelism is not found in our author, though second. there are approaches to it. It is, however, possible to regard the first and second lines, and the third and fourth as respectively instances of synonymous parallelism. But the antitheses between the & aburwy and the & biracos and between the & burapos and the ό áylos and between άδικησάτω and δικαιοσύνην ποιησάτω are in favour of our taking the stanza as we have done above. Next the diction is remarkable. Thus adurativ, which occurs twice in this stanza, means here "to act unjustly," "to sin," whereas in the nine cases where it occurs previously in our author it means "to hurt," "to damage." Next ρυπαρός (cf. αποθέμενοι πασαν ρυπαρίαν in Jas. i. 21 in like sense) occurs only here in the N.T. in the sense of internal defilement (cf. Job xiv. 4, ris yap katapos έσται ἀπὸ ῥύπου; once of external defilement in Jas. ii. 2), puravonvai here only in N.T., and ayiaronvai, "to purify oneself internally" here only in our author.

[18<sup>b</sup>-19. As Porter (*Messages of the Books*, p. 293) remarks, these words form "an unfortunate ending of a book whose value consists in the spirit that breathes in it, the bold faith and confident hope which it inspires, rather than in the literalness and finality of its disclosures." But these clauses, to which there are abundant parallels in other books, as we shall see presently, are not in the opinion of the present editor from the hand of John. For (1) these words presuppose that John looked forward to a long period elapsing before the Second Advent, during which the Book would be exposed not to the errors incidental to transmission but to the deliberate perversion of his message both in the way of additions and omissions.

But we know that John looked for the speedy Advent of

222

Christ and the Millennial Kingdom-an expectation which is expressed repeatedly in the words Epyonal Taxi, iii. 11, xxii. 7, 12. 20. 2. The style is unlike that of John. Thus we have  $\tau \hat{\omega} r$ λόγων του βιβλίου της προφητείας ταύτης in 19, whereas, as in xxii. 7, 10. 18. we should expect  $\tau$ .  $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu \tau$ . προφητείας  $\tau$ . βιβλίου τούτου. Next after rous λόγουs in 18" we shall expect, not in airá, but έπ αύτούς. Again, instead of αφελεί . . . το μέρος αύτου από του έύλου της ζωής we should expect αφελεί . . . το μέρος αυτού έν τῷ ξύλψ της ζωης: cf. xx. 6, xxi. 8, or την έξουσίαν αυτοῦ ἐπὶ τὸ ξύλον της ζωής : cf. xxii. 14. 3. The nature of the penalty is not what we should expect. The extreme penalty that can befall the evildoer in this Book is not the plagues singly or collectively, but the being cast into the lake of fire. The plagues are concerned with temporal punishments, not with eternal. Exclusion from the tree of life is mentioned, it is true, in 10. 4. 18<sup>b</sup>-10 introduce a wrong note in these last verses.

On the above grounds I have bracketed these clauses as an interpolation.

Next, the custom of appending such warnings claims our attention. We first find them in Deut. iv. 2, ou προσθήσεσθε πρός το βήμα δ εγώ εντελλομαι υμιν, και ούκ αφελειτε απ avrov: xii. 32: 1 Enoch civ. 10, "And now I know this mystery, that sinners will alter and pervert the words of righteousness in many ways, and will speak wicked words." As opposed to this, Enoch requires that they should "not change or minish aught from my words" (civ. 11). In the Letter of Aristeas (33-41 A.D.?) 310-311, it is said that, when the Greek translation of the O.T. was completed, "they bade them pronounce a curse in accordance with their custom upon any who should make any alteration either by adding anything or changing in any way whatever any of the words which had been written or making an omission. This was a very wise precaution to ensure that the book might be preserved for all the future time unchanged." A terrible judgment is foretold (2 Enoch xlviii. 7-8) for those who tamper with the words of this book. In Josephus (c. Ap. i. 8) the writer claims a most faithful transmission of the ancient books of the O.T. δηλον δ' έστιν έργω, πως ήμεις πρόσιμεν τοις ιδίοις γράμμασι τοσούτου γάρ αιώνος ήδη παρωγηκότος ούτε προσθειναί τις ουδέν ούδε άφελειν αύτων οίτε μεταθείναι τετόλμηκεν. It was not unusual for writers, Christian and Jewish, to attempt to secure a faithful transmission of their works by appending solemn adjurations that the scribes should in no wise change or tamper with the text. Cf. Irenaeus in Eusebius, H.E. v. 20. 2: δρκίζω σε τον μεταγραψόμενον το βιβλίον τούτο . . . ίνα αντιβάλης δ μετεγράψω και κατορθώσης αύτο προς το αντίγραφον τοῦτο . . . καὶ τὸν ὅρκον ὁμοίως μεταγράψεις: and Rabbi Meir in Sota 20<sup>a</sup>, "My son, be careful; for it is a divine work: if thou writest, were it but a letter more or less, it is as if thou wert destroying a world."

τὸ μέρος αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ ξύλου κτλ. The Holy City mentioned here is that which is associated with the Millennial Kingdom. The tree of life was in this city (xxii. 2).]

8-9, 20-21. John's testimony and closing words.

8-9. Of these verses we have already found a doublet in xix. 9-10 (see notes), which was probably from the hand of the disciple that edited the Book after John's death.

8. κάγὼ 'ἰωάννης ὁ ἀκούων καὶ βλέπων. Cf. 2 Cor. xii. 4, *ἤκουστεν ἄβἡητα*, Dan. xii. 5, "And I Daniel saw." At the close of his words the author gives his name as at the beginning (i. 1). Observe the participles are in our author's usage equal to aorists or perfects.

Έπεσα προσκυνήσαι ἕμπροσθεν τῶν ποδῶν τοῦ ἀγγέλου. See note on xix. 10. Worship in the sense of prostration is here involved, though not divine worship: cf. προσκυνεῖν ἐνώπιον in iii. 9, xv. 4 of simple homage. Yet even such homage is refused by the angel. The phrase may be equivalent to προσκυνήσαι αὐτόν (see notes on xix. 9–10 (5°), vii. 11).

τοῦ δεικνύοντός μοι ταῦτα. Cf. i. 1, iv. 1, xvii. 1, xxi. 9, 10, xxii. 1, 6. Here the participle = δείξαντος.

9. Spa µή σύνδουλός σού είμι κτλ. Our text appears to be the source of Asc. Isa. vii. 21, "And I fell on my face to worship him, but the angel who conducted me (or rather 'showed to me' i.e. os couté nou: for the Ethiopic is capable of this meaning and the Latin and Slavonic Versions = 'instructed me') did not permit me but said unto me: 'Worship neither throne nor angel': and viii. 4-5, 'What is this which I see, my lord?' 5. And he said : 'I am not thy lord but thy fellowservant.'" The Apocryphal Gospel of Matthew iii. 3 (Tischendorf, p. 59) seems also to show signs of the influence of our text; "Benedic me servum tuum. Et dixit ei angelus: Noli te dicere servum, sed conservum meum; unius enim domini servi sumus." Our text is a strong prohibition of angel worship (θρησκεία των άγγέλων, Col. ii. 18). That this was practised by the Jews before the Christian era is to be inferred from Tob. xii. 15, "I am Raphael, one of the seven angels: 12. When thou didst pray ... I brought the memorial of your prayer before the glory of the Lord :" Jub. xxx. 20: Test. Dan vi. 2, "Draw near to God and to the angel that intercedeth for you; for he is a mediator between God and man:"1 Test. Lev. v. 5, where Levi prays to the angel who conducts him to make known to him his name that he might

<sup>1</sup> This idea of an angelic mediator is found already in Job v. 1, xxxiii. 23 sq.; Zech. i. 12.

224

call upon him in the day of tribulation, and the angel replies: "I (i.e. Michael) am the angel who intercedeth for the nation of Israel": 1 Enoch lxxxix. 76.<sup>9</sup> The fact that frequent admonitions against the worship of angels are to be found in Jewish writings confirms the view that this cult did prevail in Judaism. Cf. Mechilta Sect. MR. Parash. 10, where R. Ismael ben Elisha (flor. 100 A.D.) forbids the worship of any kind of angels (quoted from Lueken, p. 6): Jer. Berach. ix. 13<sup>a</sup>, where men in necessity are bidden to pray to God and not to Michael or Gabriel: Aboda Zara, 42<sup>b</sup>, where offerings to Michael are denounced as offerings to the dead. In Shabbath 12<sup>b</sup> men are commanded by R. Jehuda (4th century A.D.) not to pray in Aramaic since the angels did not understand Aramaic. On the other hand, in the Jer. Qiddushim at its close (Lueken) permission is given to ask the angels for their intercession. For other proofs that, notwithstanding strong prohibitions against the cult of angels, this cult did survive in Judaism, see Lueken, Michael, 6-12.

From Christian sources we know of the prevalence of angeolatry among the Jews: cf. Preaching of Peter (Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. 5), μηδὲ κατὰ Ἰουδαίους σέβεσθε, καὶ γὰρ ἐκεῖνοι . . . οὐκ ἐπίστανται λατρεύοντες ἀγγέλοις: Celsus in Origen, c. Cels. v. 6, πρῶτον οὖν τῶν Ἰουδαίων θαυμάζειν ἄξιον, εἰ . . . τοὺς . . . ἀγγέλους σέβουσι.

That this superstition passed from the Jews to the Christians our text is sufficient evidence: cf. also Col. ii. 18; Asc. Isa. vii. 21, viii. 4, 5; Justin Martyr, Apol. i. 6,  $\lambda\lambda\lambda'$  ἐκεῦνόν τε, καὶ τὸν παρ' αὐτοῦ υἰὸν ἐλθόντα . . . καὶ τὸν τῶν ἄλλων ἐπομένων καὶ ἐξομοιουμένων ἀγαθῶν ἀγγέλων στρατόν, πνεῦμά τε τὸ προφητικὸν σεβόμεθα καὶ προσκυνοῦμεν: Athenagoras, Suppl. x., xxiv.: Clem. Hom. iii. 36.

τῶν προφητῶν καὶ τῶν τηρούντων τοὺς λόγους κτλ. Here, as we have shown in the notes on xix. 9–10, the prophets and the ordinary Christians are practically placed on the same level, whereas in xix. 9–10 the prophets are exalted far above the ordinary Christians, no mention of whom is made.

τῶν τηρούντων τους λόγους. Cf. i. 3, iii. 8, 10, xii. 17, xiv. 12, xxii. 7.

τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου. Cf. xxii. 7, 10 [18, 19]. τῷ θεῷ προσκύνησον. See note on vii. 11.

**30.**  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \epsilon \iota} \delta$   $\mu a \rho \tau u \rho \hat{u} r \tau a \hat{u} \tau a$ . Christ is again the Speaker. The  $\delta$   $\mu a \rho \tau u \rho \hat{u} r$  goes back to xxii. 18, where Christ solemnly attests the truth of the words of the prophecy of this book.

<sup>1</sup> Cf. Asc. Isa. ix. 23, "Iste est magnus angelus Michael deprecans semper pro humanitate."

<sup>3</sup> The four chief angels are spoken of as intercessors in I Enoch iz. 1, 3, the Watchers in xv. 2.

VOL. 11.---15

Naí.  $E_{p\chi_{0}\mu\alpha_{1}}$  raxú. Here for the third time in this chapter (see 7, 12) our Lord declares that He is coming speedily. On val, see note on i. 7.

'Αμήν' ἔρχου, κύριε 'Ιησοῦ. On this, the initial and primitive use of ἀμήν, which solemnly assents to the utterance of a preceding speaker, cf. v. 14, note, vii. 12, xix. 4. ἔρχου κύριε is the Greek equivalent of the Aramaic אין (="our Lord, come").<sup>1</sup> See *Encyc. Bib.* and Hastings' *B.D.* on "Maranatha." The Aramaic is actually found transliterated in I Cor. xvi. 22, and in the Didache, x. 6:

> εί τις άγιός έστιν, έρχέσθω εί τις ούκ έστι, μετανοείτω μαραναθά. 'Αμήν.

κύριε 'Ιησοῦ. This designation is found only here and in the next verse in our author.

21. ή χάρις τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ μετὰ πάντων τῶν ἀγίων This benediction, which is unusual in Apocalypses, is an indication that the Book was intended to be read in the Church services. In the Pauline Epistles oi åγιοι includes the whole body of the baptized. But in our author it appears to embrace only the faithful members of the Church. Cf. v. 8, viii. 3, 4, xi. 18, xiii. 7, 10, xiv. 12, xvi. 6, xvii. 6, xviii. 20, xix. 8, xx. 6, 9. It is not used at all in the Johannine Epistles.

<sup>1</sup> This explanation of Dalman, Wellhausen, etc., is preferable to that which is generally accepted in the Church Fathers, *i.e.*  $\mu a \rho a r a \theta a = \pi n \mu$ , "our Lord has come."

226

# I. THE TEXT.

#### THE GREEK MANUSCRIPTS OF THE APOCALYPSE.

Uncial MSS containing the Greek Text of the Apocalypse or part of it. The enumeration is that of Gregory, except in the case of NAC.

- × (1v). Petrograd. Sd. δ 2. \*\* signifies the original text, where the original scribe or a later one has introduced an emendation. N<sup>a</sup> N<sup>b</sup> N<sup>ca</sup> N<sup>cb</sup> etc. are various correctors of the MS.1
- A (v). London. Sd. δ 4. A\* signifies as N\* above. A\*\* corrector.<sup>2</sup>
- C (v). Paris. Sd. 8 3. Contains 11-319, 514-714, 717-85.  $g^{16}-10^{10}$ ,  $11^8-16^{13}$ ,  $18^8-19^5$ . o25 (ix). Petrograd. Formerly P. Sd. a 3. Contains  $1^1-16^{13}$ ,
- 17<sup>1</sup>-19<sup>21</sup>, 20<sup>9</sup>-22<sup>6</sup>.
- 046 (x). Rome. Formerly Q or B<sub>2</sub>. Sd. a 1070.
- 051 (1x-x). Athos, Pantokrator 44. Contains 11<sup>15</sup>-13<sup>1</sup>, 13<sup>8</sup>-22<sup>7</sup>, 22<sup>15-21</sup> with a commentary of Andreas in cursive. Photographed for Prof. Swete.
  - 052 (x). Athos, Panteleemon. Formerly r 183. Contains 716\_812

### GREEK CURSIVES OF THE APOCALYPSE.<sup>8</sup>

- 1 (xii-xiii cent.). Maihingen. Formerly r 1. Sd. Av<sup>20</sup>.
- 18 (1364 A.D.). Paris. Formerly r 51. Sd. 8 411.
- 35 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 17. Sd. 8 309.
- 42 (xi). Frankfurt a. O. Formerly r 13. Sd. a 107. 60 (x). Cambridge. Formerly r 10. Sd. e 1321.

- 61 (xvi). Dublin. Formerly r 92. Sd. δ 603. 69 (xv). Leicester. Formerly r 14. Sd. δ 505.
- 82 (x). Paris. Formerly r 2. Sd. O<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The photographic facsimile edited by Professor Lake for the Clarendon Press has been used for this edition.

\* The photographic facsimile edited by Sir Frederic G. Kenyon (1909) has been used for this edition.

<sup>3</sup> Gregory's enumeration of the MSS is adopted in this edition, but for the convenience of those who use Von Soden's text I have added the latter's enumeration.

88 (xii ?). Naples. Formerly r 99. Sd. a 200. q1 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 4. Sd. O<sup>14</sup>. 93 (x). Paris. Formerly r 19. Sd. a 51. (xiii). Paris. Formerly r 18. Sd. O<sup>81</sup>. 04 104 (xi). London. Formerly r 7. Sd. a 103. 110 (xii). London. Formerly r 8. Sd. a 204. 141 (xiii-xiv). Rome. Formerly r 40. Sd. δ 408. 149 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 25. Sd. δ 503. 172 (xiv-xv). Berlin. Formerly r 87. Sd. a 404. 175 (x-xi). Rome. Formerly r 20. Sd. 8 95. 177 (xi). Munich. Formerly r 82. Sd. a 106. 180 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 44. Sd.  $\epsilon$  1498. 181 (xi). Rome. Formerly r 12. Sd. a 101. 201 (1357 A.D.). London. Formerly r 94. Sd. 8 403. 203 (1111 A.D.). London. Formerly r 181. Sd. a 203. 205 (xv). Venice. Formerly r 88. Sd. 8 500. 209 (xiv?). Venice. Formerly r 46. Sd. a 1581. 218 (xiii). Vienna. Formerly r 33. Sd. δ 300. 241 (xi). Dresden. Formerly r 47. Sd. δ 507. 242 (xi) Moscow. Formerly r 48. Sd. δ 206. 250 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 121. Sd. O<sup>10</sup>. 254 (xiv). Athens. Formerly r 122. Sd. O@42. 256 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 102. Sd. a 216. 296 (xvi). Paris. Formerly r 57. Sd. δ 600. 314 (xi). Oxford. Formerly r 6. Sd. O<sup>11</sup>. 325 (xi). Oxford. Formerly r 9. Sd. a 111. 336 (xv). Hamburg Formerly r 16. Sd. a 500. 337 (xii). Paris. Formerly r 52. Sd. a 205 339 (xiu). Turin. Formerly r 83. Sd. 8 303. 367 (1331 A.D.). Florence. Formerly r 23 Sd. 8 400. 368 (xv). Florence. Formerly r 84. Sd. a 1501. 385 (xv). London. Formerly r 29. Sd. a 506 386 (x1v). Rome. Formerly r 70. Sd.  $\delta$  401. 424 (xi). Vienna. Formerly r 34. Sd.  $O^{12}$ . 432 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 37. Sd. a 501. 452 (xii). Rome. Formerly r 42. Sd. a 206. 456 (x). Florence. Formerly r 75. Sd. a 52. 459 (1092 A.D.). Florence. Formerly r 45. Sd. a 104. 467 (xv). Paris. Formerly r 53. Sd. a 502. 468 (xiii). Paris. Formerly r 55. Sd. 0<sup>80</sup>. 469 (xiii-xiv). Paris. Formerly r 56. Sd. a 306. 498 (xiv). London. Formerly r 97. Sd. δ 402. 506 (xi-xii). Oxford. Formerly r 26. Sd. δ 101. 517 (xi-xii). Oxford. Formerly r 27. Sd. a 214. 522 (1515 A.D.). Oxford. Formerly r 98. Sd. 8 602. 582 (1334 A.D.). Ferron. Formerly r 103. Sd. 8 410.

#### CURSIVES

616 (1434 A.D.). Milan. Formerly r 156. Sd. a 503. 617 (xi). Venice. Formerly r 74. Sd. O<sup>18</sup>. 620 (xii). Florence. Formerly r 180. Sd. a 207. 627 (x-xi). Rome. Formerly r 24. Sd. a 53. 628 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 60. Sd. a 400. 632 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 22. Sd. a 201. 664 (xv). Zittau. Formerly r 106. Sd. 8 502. 680 (x1). Cheltenham. Formerly r 107. Sd. δ 103. 699 (xi). London. Formerly r 108. Sd. δ 104. 743 (xiv). Paris. Formerly r 123. Sd. Av43. 757 (xiii-xiv). Athens. Formerly r 110. Sd. δ 304. 792 (xiii). Athens. Formerly r 111. Sd. e 585. 808 (xii). Athens. Formerly r 112. Sd. δ 203. 824 (xiv). Grottaferrata. Formerly r 113. Sd. 8 404. 866 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 114. Sd. a 1375. 886 (1454 A.D.). Rome. Formerly r 115. Sd. A<sup>#p50</sup>. 919 (xi). Escurial. Formerly r 125. Sd. a 113. 920 (x). Escurial. Formerly r 126. Sd. a 55. 922 (1116 A.D.). Athos. Formerly r 116. Sd. δ 200. Athos. Sd. 8 361. 935 986 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 117. Sd. 8 508. 1006 Athos. Sd. a 1174. Athos. 1064 1072 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 118. Sd. 8 406. 1075 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 119. Sd. δ 407. 1094 (x1v). Athos. Formerly r 120. Sd. δ 307. 1277 (x1-x1). Cambridge. Formerly r 185. Sd. a 104. Terusalem. Sd. a 1470. 1328 Sd. 8 396. 1352 Terusalem. Andros. Sd. 8 100. 1384 Kosinitza. Sd. 8 30. 1424 1503 Athos. Athos. 1551 1597 Athos. 1611 (xii). Athens. Formerly r 105. Sd. a 208. 1617 Athos. 1626 Athos. 1652 Athos. 1668 Athos. Athos. 1678 1685 Serres. 1704 Athos. Athos. 1719 1728 Athos. Athos. 1732 1733 Athos

Athos. 1734 Athos. 1740 Athos. 1745 Athos. 1746 Lesbos. 1757 Serres. 1760 Athos. 1771 Athos. 1773 Athos. 1774 Athos. 1775 Athos. 1776 Saloniki. 1778 1785 Kosinitza. Sd. 8 405. Sd. a 215. Kosinitza. 1795 Trapezunt. Sd. a 1472. 1806 1824 Rome. Sd. O461. 1828 (xii). Athens. Formerly r 124. Sd. a 202. 1841 (ix-x). Lesbos. Formerly r 127. Sd. a 47. 1840 (1060 A.D.). Venice. Formerly 1 128. Sd. a 110. 1852 (x-xi). Upsala. Formerly r 129. Sd. a 114. 1854 (xi). Athos. Formerly r 130. Sd. a 115. 1857 (xiii). Athos. Formerly r 131. Sd. a 1587. Athos. Formerly r 371. Sd. a 402. 1859 1862 (ix). Athos. Formerly r 132. Sd. O<sup>21</sup>. 1864 Athos. Formerly r 327. 1865 Athos. Formerly r 380. 1870 (x). Chalkis. Formerly r 133. Sd. a 54. 1872 (xii). Chalkis. Formerly r 134. Sd. a 209. 1876 (xv). Sinai. Formerly r 135. Sd. a 504. 1888 Jerusalem. Formerly r 495. Sd. a 118. 1893 Jerusalem. Formerly r 500. Sd. a 117. Jerusalem. Formerly r 501. Sd. a 1670. 1894 Formerly r 513. 1903 Athos. 1918 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 39. Sd. a 403. 1934 (xi). Paris. Formerly r. 64. Sd. O<sup>15</sup>. 1948 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 78. Sd. a 505. 1955 (xi). London. Formerly r 93. Sd. a 119. 1957 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 91. Sd. a 1574. 2004 (x). Escurial. Formerly r 142. Sd. a 56. 2014 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 21. Sd. Av<sup>51</sup>. 2015 (xv). Oxford. Formerly r 28. Sd. a 1580. 2016 (xv). London. Formerly r 31. Sd. a 1579. 2017 (xv). Dresden. Formerly 1 32. Sd. a 1582. 2018 (xiv). Vienna. Formerly r. 35. Sd. Av46. 2019 (xiii). Vienna. Formerly r 36. Sd.  $A\nu^{30}$ . 2020 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 38. Sd. a 1573.

2021 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 41. Sd. a 1572. 2022 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 43. Sd. Av<sup>401</sup>. 2023 (xv). Moscow. Formerly r 49. Sd. Av<sup>56</sup>. 2024 (xv). Moscow. Formerly r 50. Sd. a 1584. 2025 (xv-xvi). Paris. Formerly r 58. Sd. a 1592. 2026 (xv-xvi). Paris. Formerly r 59. Sd. Av<sup>501</sup>. 2027 (xiii-xiv). Paris. Formerly r 61. Sd. a 1374. 2028 (1422 A.D.). Paris. Formerly r 62. Sd. Av54. 2020 (xv1). Paris. Formerly r 63. Sd. Av66. 2030 (xii). Moscow. Formerly r 65. Sd. a 1272. 2031 (1301 A.D.). Rome. Formerly r 67. Sd. Av41. 2032 (xi-xii). Rome. Formerly r 68. Sd. Av11. 2033 (xvi). Rome. Formerly r 72. Sd.  $Av^{60}$ . 2034 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 73. Sd. Av<sup>50</sup>. 2035 (XVI). Florence. Formerly r 77. Sd Av<sup>606</sup>. 2036 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 79. Sd. Av40. 2037 (xiv). Munich. Formerly r 80. Sd. Av<sup>45</sup>. 2038 (xvi). Munich. Formerly r 81. Sd. Av<sup>600</sup>. 2039 (xii). Dresden. Formerly 1 90. Sd. a 1271. 2040 (xi-xii). Parham (Curzon). Formerly r 95. Sd. Ap11. 2041 (xiv). Parham (Curzon). Formerly r 96. Sd. a 1475. 2042 (x1v-xv). Naples. Formerly r 100. Sd. Av<sup>400</sup>. 2043 (xv). Petrograd. Formerly r 101. Sd. Av<sup>57</sup>. 2044 (1507 A.D.). Vienna. Formerly r 136. Sd. Av<sup>601</sup>. 2045 (xv). Vienna. Formerly r 137. Sd. Av<sup>55</sup>. 2046 (xv). Vienna. Formerly r 138. Sd. Av<sup>58</sup>. 2047 (1543 A.D.). Paris. Formerly r 139. Sd. Av67. 2048 (x1-x11). Paris. Formerly r 140. Sd. a 1172. 2049 (xvi). Athens. Formerly r 141. Sd. a 1684. 2050 (1107 A.D.). Escurial. Formerly r 143. Sd. a 1273. 2051 (xvi). Madrid. Formerly r 144. Sd. Av<sup>68</sup>. 2052 (xvi). Florence. Formerly r 145. Sd. Av<sup>64</sup>. 2053 (xiii). Messina. Formerly r 146. Sd. O<sup>e31</sup>. 2054 (xv-xvi). Modena. Formerly r 147. Sd. Av<sup>500</sup>. 2055 (xv). Modena. Formerly r 148. Sd. Av<sup>58</sup>. 2056 (xiv-xv). Rome. Formerly r 149. Sd. Av49. 2057 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 150. Sd. a 1576. 2058 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 151. Sd. O<sup>a40</sup>. 2059 (xi). Rome. Formerly r 152. Sd. Aν<sup>10</sup>. 2060 (1331 A.D.). Rome. Formerly r 153. Sd. Aν<sup>43</sup>. 2061 (xv-xvi). Rome. Formerly r 154. Sd. a 1588. 2062 (xiii). Rome. Formerly r 155. Sd. O<sup>a30</sup>. 2063 (xvi). Rome. Formerly r 157. Sd. Av<sup>61</sup>. 2064 (xvi). Rome. Formerly r 158. Sd.  $A\nu^{62}$ . 2065 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 159. Sd.  $A\nu^{608}$ . 2066 (1574 A.D.) Rome. Formerly r 160. Sd. Av<sup>68</sup>.

- (- () Domo	Enmanles a for for a f	KQ
2007 (XV). Kome	Formerly r 161. Sd. Av	
2068 (xvi). Venice. Formerly r 162. Sd. Av <sup>65</sup> .		
2069 (xv-xvi). Venice. Formerly r 163. Sd. Av <sup>59</sup> .		
2070 (1356 A.D.).	Athos. Formerly r 164.	Sd. $A\nu^{408}$ .
2071 (1622 A.D.).	Athos. Formerly r 167.	Sd. $A\nu^{70}$ .
	Athos. Formerly r 168.	
2073 (xiv). Atho	s. Formerly r 169. Sd. Av	47
2074 (x). Athos.	Formerly r 170. Sd. $Av^1$ .	
2075 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 171. Sd. Av <sup>48</sup> .		
2076 (xvii). Atho	os. Formerly r 172. Sd. a	1570.
2077 (1685 A.D.).	Athos. Formerly r 174.	Sd. Avn.
2078 (xvi). Athos. Formerly r 176. Sd. a 1686.		
2079 (xiii). Athos. Formerly r 177. Sd. a 1373.		
2080 (xiv). Patmos. Formerly r 178. 2081 (xii). Patmos. Formerly r 179. Sd. Av <sup>21</sup> .		
2081 (xii). Patm	os. Formerly 1 170. Sd. A	v <sup>21</sup> .
2082 (xvi). Dresden. Formerly r 182. Sd. a 1682.		
2083 (1560 A.D.)	Leyden. Formerly r 184.	Sd. Av <sup>602</sup> .
2084	Constantinople. Formerly	
2087	Basel.	- joor joor
2001	Athens. Formerly r 511.	Sd. $Av^{502}$ .
2116	Athens.	Sd. Ap <sup>70</sup> .
2136	Moscow.	Sd. e 700.
2138	Moscow.	Sd. a 116.
2186	Athos.	Sd. $A\nu^{28}$ .
2195	Athos.	Sd. a 508.
2195	Athos.	Sd. a 1687.
2254	Athos.	Sd. $A\nu^{604}$ .
2256	Athos.	
•	Athos.	Sd. a 1577.
2258	Athos.	Sd. a 1770.
2259		Sd. $Av^{12}$ .
2286	Athos.	Sd. $A\nu^{22}$ .

There are thus 223 Cursives according to the above enumeration, which is based on Gregory's list, *Griechischen* Handschriften des NT. (pp. 48-122).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> In his list of MSS of the Apocalypse on pp. 360-361 there are six omissions and two or more wrong insertions. Von Soden (*Schriften des NT*. I. 1. 289) reckons the number of Cursives as 222. Thus with the seven Uncials there are altogether 230 (or 229) Greek MSS of the Apocalypse.

232

## II. THE TEXT.

### THE MSS AND VERSIONS COLLATED FOR THIS EDITION: Abbreviations: Symbols: Itacisms.

- UNCIALS.—Of the Uncials A and x have been collated afresh from photographs of these MSS published by Kenyon and Lake respectively. For the readings of C, 025, 046 the editor is dependent on Tischendorf, and for 051 on the readings given in Swete's edition under the number 186.
- CURSIVES.—The following 22 Cursives have been specially photographed for this edition: 18, 35, 149, 175, 205, 325, 337, 386, 456, 468, 617, 620, 632, 866, 919, 920, 1849, 1934, 2004, 2020, 2040, 2050 Of these the following are defective: 205, 337, 468, 866, 919, 920, 2040, 2050.
  - 205. Defective: xviii. 14 ἀπῆλθεν ἀπὸ σοῦ . . . xx. 9 τὴν παρεμβολὴν τ. ἀγίων, i.e. one page lost through carelessness of the photographer.
  - 337. Defective : x. 4-xi. 1 and xxii. 17 λέγουσα to end wanting.
  - 468. Defective : xix. 18 και σάρκας ίσχυρών . . . xxii. 17 είπάτω έρχου wanting.
  - 866. Defective : contains only vi. 17 ήμέρα τ. δργής . . . xiii. 12 τοῦ θηρίου πῶσαν.
  - 919. Ends with xix. 6 ύδάτων πολλών και ώς.
  - 920. Ends with xxii. I rai ederfe.
- 2040. Ends with the words και τόπος, xx. 11. Photographs incomplete.
- 2050. Defective. Omits vi. Ι καὶ εἶδον . . . xix. 21 ἐκ τῶν σάρκων αὐτῶν.

These 22 Cursives are generally quoted as 22, or 21, 20, 19, accordingly as one or more are defective. See under "Abbreviations" below.

For the readings of most of the remaining Cursives cited in this edition the author is indebted to Tischendorf's NT. Gracce (ed. oct. 1872) and to Hoskier's Concerning the Date of the Bohairic Version (1911) for select readings from the following 26 Cursives: 180, 181, 256, 337, 367, 368, 467, 582, 664, 680, 743, 1075, 1948, 2014, 2025, 2026, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2037, 2038, 2043. Where readings from the Cursives cited by Tischendorf are not to be found in Tischendorf, they are derived from Hoskier. CORRECTIONS ON THE MSS-

- $A^{\bullet}$  = original text.  $A^{**}$  = correction and similarly in the Cursives.
- \* = original text. The lead of Tischendorf has been followed in distinguishing the different hands engaged in correcting N. On these different correctors (as many as fifteen ranging from the iv to the xii Century) and their dates see Lake, Codex Sinaiticus, pp. xvii-xxiv. Lake differs from Tischendorf in differentiating certain of the correctors. Into this vexed question it is not necessary to enter here.
- VERSIONS.—For a short description of these Versions see the Introduction to vol. i.

Latin.

- Tyc="Tyconius' Text of the Apocalypse, a partial restoration," published by Prof. Souter in the *J.T.S.*, April 1913.
  - Pr = Text of Primasius in *Die lateinische Apocalypse*, edited by Haussleiter, 1891.
    - fl = Palimpsestus floriacensis in Haussleiter's volume just mentioned.
  - gig = Codex Gigas. A fresh collation made by Dr. Karlsson in 1891 for Bp. John Wordsworth of Salisbury, and put at my service by his collaborator—Professor White.
  - vg = Vulgate (editio minor), edited by H. J. White, 1911.
- Syriac.

 $s^1$  = Philoxenian Version, edited by John Gwynn, 1897.

 $s^2 =$  Harkleian or Syriac Vulgate.

s sometimes is used to indicate the consensus of  $s^1$  and  $s^2$ .

Armenian.

arm<sup>1. 2. 3. 4</sup>=Old Armenian MSS edited by F. C. Conybeare, 1907.

= Armenian Vulgate.

Egyptian.

arme.

- bo = Bohairic Version of the New Testament, vol. iv., edited by G. Horner, 1905.
- sa = Sahidic Version. Partial collation furnished to the editor by G. Horner.
- Ethiopic.
  - eth = Ethiopic Version, edited by J. P. Platt (new edition), 1899.

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS-

- Or'= the Greek text, which accompanies the recently discovered Scholia of Origen on the Apocalypse, *i.e.* in Harnack's edition, *Der Scholien-Kommentar des Origenes* sur Apokalypse Johannis, 1911. This text is not Origen's, though the Scholia probably are. It should be numbered as Cursive 2293 (x cent.).
- Or<sup>Mt. tv. 314</sup> = Origen's text of the Apoc. in his Commentary on Matthew, vol. iv. p. 314, in Lommatzsch's edition. Similarly Or<sup>Cel xx. 117</sup> means Origen's text of the Apoc. in *Contra Celsum*, vol. xx. p. 117, of Lommatzsch's edition. Origen's works are occasionally quoted to show that Or<sup>s</sup> cannot be his text.
- Words so enclosed are taken by the Editor to have greater claims to be the original than the alternative printed in the margin.
  - † Words so enclosed are corrupt. The Editor's restoration is occasionally given in the margin.
    - > Words so enclosed are restored by the Editor.
- ] Words so enclosed are interpolated.
  - = add

r

t

< [

- > = omit.
- $\sim$  = transpose.
- pr = prefix.
- 22 (-18.35)=the 22 Cursive MSS collated for this edition less by the two MSS 18.35. Where certain of these MSS are defective the symbol may be 21 or 20 or 19 or 18 or even 17.
- Words in heavy type in the text are restorations of the original text as in  $3^{1-7-14}$ .
- ITACISMS.—Itacisms are not recorded in the case of the Cursives nor yet of the Uncials excepting A and N, and not even the itacisms of these in such common instances as  $\iota$  for  $\epsilon\iota$  (idov for  $\epsilon idov$ ). Such itacisms as  $\epsilon$  for a or vice versa in these two MSS are recorded, since this itacism has in one case led to a corruption of the text. Thus Gwynn and Swete have rightly recognized that  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \gamma \epsilon \pi \iota$  in  $\gamma^{16}$  is corrupt for malory  $\epsilon \tau \iota$ , the corruption being due originally to the miswriting of  $\pi a \iota \sigma \gamma$  as  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \gamma$ . In 9<sup>6</sup> AN write  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \gamma$  for  $\pi a \iota \sigma \gamma$  a fact unrecorded by Tischendorf. In fact A writes  $\pi \epsilon \sigma$ twice for  $\pi a \iota \sigma$ - out of the five times where it occurs in the N.T. and N three times. Other common unrecorded itacisms are  $\iota$  for  $\gamma$  and  $\circ$  for  $\omega$ , or vice versa.

## III. THE TEXT AND APPARATUS CRITICUS.

### CHAPTER I.

### ΑΠΟΚΑΛΥΨΙΣ ΙΩΑΝΝΟΥ.

 'Αποκάλυψι' Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ ἢν ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ ὁ θεὸς δείξαι τοῖς δούλοις αὐτοῦ, ἑ δεῖ γενέσθαι ἐν τάχει, καὶ ἐσήμανεν ἀποστείλας διὰ τοῦ ἀγγέλου αὐτοῦ τῷ δούλῳ αὐτοῦ Ἱωάννη, 2. ὅς ἐμαρτύρησεν τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἱησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ὅσα είδεν.

#### Title.

апокадифия Ішанчои (Ішанои N) NC>A 205. 2004 | Ішанчои апокадифия 175. 337. 920. 2040 |  $\eta$  апок. тои аунои Ішанчои во апок. Ішан. тои веодоуои (+  $\eta v$  ег Патµш т $\eta$  игов евеагато 620) 325. 620: апок. тои ау. Ішан. тои веодоуои 18. 35. 386. 456. 468. 2020 Of<sup>a</sup> | Ішан. тои веод. кан пуатµµенои апок. 1934 | апок. (+ тои аунои 919) Ішан. тои веод. кан еиаууеднотои 046. 919 | апок.  $\eta$  еуеvето ень тои (+ аунои s<sup>1</sup>) Ішан. тои еиауу. (+ ито тои веои s<sup>2</sup>) ег Патµш т $\eta$  и $\eta \sigma \omega$  ень  $\eta v \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \delta \eta$  ито N $\eta \rho \omega ros Saio apos s<sup>1. 2</sup>$  $| <math>\eta$  апок. тои апоотодои Ішан. тои веод. 632 | апок. тои ау. Ішан. тои апоот. к. еиауу. тои веод.  $\eta v$  ібен ег патµш т $\eta$  и $\eta \sigma \omega$  кирие еидау. 2050.

**1.** αποκ. . . . την μαρτ. Ι. Χ.] αποκαλυψις Ιωαννου του ευαγγελιστου arm<sup>4</sup> : αποκ. Ιωαννου καθως ειδεν Ι. Χ. eth | ην εδωκεν . . . οσ εμαρτυρησεν] της γεναμενης εις εμε Ιωαννην τον αποστολον του κηρυξαι 2050 | ην] δι ης arm<sup>2,8\*\* a</sup> | αυτω] αυτη 046 | δουλοις] αγιοις  $\mathbb{R}^*$  | κ. εσημ.] significans Tyc : κ. επεμψεν eth | αποστειλας] nuntianda Pr fl : > eth : + αυτα bo | του > 88. 2015 | τω δουλω αυτ. > 1854 Or<sup>a</sup> : του δουλου αυτ. A | Ιωανει  $\mathbb{R}^*$  |.

**9.** ver.  $2 > arm^{1} | or emptr. t. <math>\lambda$ ] marturiar tou loyou arm<sup>8</sup> | tou  $\theta \in ou > Pr | \lambda ristou > 181 | ora > 2040 : + te 1 : <math>\eta r arm^{4} | euder | + kai ativa eisi kai ativa (or <math>a : > 620$ )  $\chi s \eta \gamma eves bai meta tauta 1.88. 104. 181. 205. 209. 432. 468. 620. 632<sup>44</sup>. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2041. 2067 al: + kai ativa eisir 42: |.$ 

- Μακάριος ὁ ἀναγινώσκων καὶ οἱ ἀκούοντες τοὺς λόγους τῆς προφητείας καὶ τηροῦντες τὰ ἐν αὐτῆ γεγραμμένα, ὁ γὰρ καιρὸς ἐγγύς.
- 4. Ιωάννης ταις έπτα έκκλησίαις ταις έν τη Ασία.

Χάρις ὑμίν καὶ εἰρήνη ἀπὸ ὁ ῶν καὶ ὁ ἦν καὶ ὁ ἐρχόμενος, (α) 5. καὶ ἀπὸ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ὁ μάρτυς ὁ πιστός,

ό πρωτότοκος των νεκρών και ό άρχων των βασιλέων της γης.

Τῷ ἀγαπῶντι ἡμâς καὶ λύσαντι ἡμâς ἐκ τῶν ἁμαρτιῶν ἡμῶν ἐν τῷ αζματι αὐτοῦ,

(a) The MSS add here an early interpolation : και άπο των έπτα πνευμάτων των ένώπιον τοῦ θρόνου αὐτοῦ. See vol. i. 11-13.

8. μακαριος] pr και eth: + ει 2050: μακαριοι arm<sup>4</sup> bo | ο αναγιν. και > arm<sup>4</sup>: οι αναγινωσκοντες bo | ο ακουων gig arm<sup>1. 2. 3α</sup> | τ. λογ. τ. προφ. κ. ο ακουων arm<sup>2</sup><sup>α</sup> | τ. λογ. τ. προφ. > arm<sup>4</sup> | τουσ λογ.] +τουτους C: τον λογον N 046. 2042 | προφητιας NC 93. 104. 314: +ταυτης 104. 336. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620 gig Vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup><sup>α</sup> bo: + τουτου τ. βιβλιου eth | και<sup>2</sup>] + οι 2040 | τηρουντεσ] ποιουντεσ arm<sup>4</sup> : τηρων gig arm<sup>1. 2. 3α</sup> | εν αυτη > fl: επ αυτησ 2050 bo | ο γαρ κ. εγγ. > arm<sup>1.8</sup> | καιρ. εγγ. > 2050 |.

4. Iwarrys] Iwarrys N: pr a expanse eth : + scribens haec Tyc  $| \tau a \iota \sigma^2 |$  + ovoaio 2050 |  $\epsilon \iota \rho \eta r \eta ]$  + multiplicetur Tyc | ano . . .  $\epsilon \rho \chi \rho \mu$ .] a deo patre Tyc | ano o wr ANC 025. I. 60. 82. 88. 104. 181. 314. 336. 424. 432. 620. 628. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041. 2050. 2067 : ano rov o wr 61.<sup>mg</sup> 429. 617. 1934 : ab eo qui est fl gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> b0 : ano beou o wr 046. 21 (-432. 620. 628. 632\*\*. 2020. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : ano kuplou o wr 2016 | 0<sup>8</sup> > 2050 |  $\epsilon \rho \chi \rho \mu$ ] + omnipotens Pr |  $\kappa a \iota^4 > s^2$  |  $\tau w r^2$ AN 88. 241. 2036 : a C 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2020) al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> : a eorir 025. I. 205. 620. 2020. 2023 al arm<sup>2</sup> e : a eloiv 2019 arm<sup>4</sup> | aurov > 2018 b0 : rov beou 88. 2015. 2036 Pr fl : Ingrov Xρiorov eth |

5. και απο Ι. Χ.] et a filio hominis Tyc : > eth | οσ μαρτυς πιστοσ εστιν 172. 2018 Pr gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> eth | ο πρωτοτ.] "who is eldest" arm<sup>2.8</sup> : + εκ Ι. 1957. 2041 al | των νεκρων] "among the dead" arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> [ αρχων] μαρτυσ 2050 : + παντων bo | βασιλειων N<sup>4</sup> (corr. by scribe himself to βασιλεων) arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> C O<sup>4</sup> | τω (>N<sup>4</sup>) αγαπωντι ANC 046. **21** (-205) al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : τω αγαπησαντι 025. I. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 88. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 : οσ ηγαπησεν 172. 2018 fl gig vg arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> bo | ημασ<sup>1</sup>] >2050 : υμας eth | λυσαντι ANC I. 83. (104). 181<sup>4</sup>. 314. (620). 628 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2050 Or<sup>4</sup> Pr fl s<sup>1.2</sup> arm : λουσαντι 025. 046. **21** (-620. 2020. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> gig vg eth : ελουσεν 172.

- 6. καὶ ἐποίησεν ἡμῶς βασιλείαν, ἱερεῖς τῷ θεῷ καὶ πατρὶ αὐτοῦ, αὐτῷ ἡ δόξα καὶ τὸ κράτος εἰς τοὺς alŵvas τῶν alώνων ἀμήν.
- 'Ιδού ἕρχεται μετὰ τῶν νεφελῶν,
   καὶ ὄψεται αὐτὸν πῶς ὄφθαλμὸς καὶ οἴτινες αὐτὸν ἐξεκέντησαν,
   καὶ κόψονται ἐπ' αὐτὸν πῶσαι αἱ φυλαὶ τῆς γῆς. ναί, ἀμήν.(α)

(a) The MSS add here an early interpolation. 8. Έγώ είμι τὸ <sup>\*</sup>Δλφα καὶ τὸ <sup>\*</sup>Ω, λέγει Κύριος ὁ θεός, ὁ ῶν καὶ ὁ ἦν καὶ ὁ ἐρχόμενος, ὁ παντοκράτωρ. See vol. ii. Eng. trans., footnote, in loc.

2018 b0 :  $\lambda \nu \sigma a \nu \tau i \kappa$ .  $\tau \omega \nu \tau \eta \sigma$  amaptias kilidw  $\lambda o \nu \sigma a \nu \tau i \tau \eta$ εκχυσει του ζωοποιου αιματος κ. νδατος κ. ποιησαντι ημας βασιλειον ιερατευμα κ.  $\lambda o \nu \sigma a \nu \tau i \eta \mu a \sigma$  απο των αμαρτιων εν τ. αιματι αυτου. και εποιησεν ημας βασιλειαν ιερεις κτλ. 104. 620. (336. 459 628) | ημασ<sup>2</sup> > N<sup>\*</sup> :  $\nu \mu a s$  eth | εκ ANC 1. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 88. 181. 2015<sup>\*</sup>. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 : απο 025. 046. 21 (-620. 2020. 2050) 250 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Pr fl gig vg b0? | τ. αμαρτ.] peccato Pr | ημων] υμων eth : > A 1. 181. 336. (620). 2067 Pr | εν τ. αιμ. αντ. > arm<sup>1.8°</sup> |.

6. εποιησεν ANC 025. 21 (-386. 456. 468. 866) 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup> bo eth : ποιησαντι 046. 42. 69. 104. 325.<sup>cor</sup> 336. 367. 385. 456. 459. 468. (620). 2019 | ημασ N 025. 046 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig vg<sup>d</sup> (s<sup>1.2</sup>) arm : ημιν A 42. 325<sup>\*\*</sup>. 367. 456. 468. 517. 2016. 2020 : ημων C: υμαs eth : regnum nostrum fl : nostrum regnum vg<sup>(-d)</sup>: >325<sup>\*</sup> | βασιλειαν ιερεισ AN<sup>\*</sup>C 21 (-325. 456. 468. 2050) 250 al<sup>pm</sup> fl vg<sup>(-d)</sup>: βασιλειαν και ιερεισ N<sup>°</sup> 88 Pr gig vg<sup>d</sup> : "worthy of his kingdom and priests" arm<sup>1.3.4</sup> : βασιλειαν ιερατικην s<sup>1.2</sup> : βασιλειαν αγιαν eth: βασιλειον ιερεισ 046. 2050: βασιλεισ και ιερεισ 025. 1. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2038. 2067 al arm<sup>2.5\*\*</sup> a : βασιλειαν ιερατευμα 42. 61<sup>\*</sup>. 69. (325). 367. 456. 468. 517. (620). 1854 Or<sup>\*</sup> bo<sup>\*†</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> > arm<sup>1.4</sup> bo | αυτου > fl arm<sup>1</sup> | αυτω . . . αμην > Pr arm<sup>1</sup> | κ. το κρατος . . . αμην > arm<sup>4</sup> | τ. αιωναs] τον αιωνα N<sup>\*</sup> | των αιωνων NC 046 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> fl gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>2.3\*</sup> : >A 025. 88. 325. 456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 498. 2015. 2037. 2050 bo | αμην > 218 |.

7.  $\mu \epsilon \tau a ] \epsilon \pi \iota C sa eth | \tau w v > 250. 2018. 2038 | v \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda w v ] + a \mu \eta v$ 35: + coefi gig arm<sup>1. 2. 3 a</sup> | overal] AC 025. 046 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>ML iv. 314</sup> Pr fl gig vg eth : ovortal N I. 181. 2038. 2067 Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1. 3</sup> arm bo | avtov<sup>1</sup> > I. 205. 209 arm<sup>1. 8</sup> |  $\pi a \sigma$ ]  $\pi av \tau \epsilon \sigma s^{1. 2} arm : + o 172$ :  $\pi av \tau \epsilon \sigma$ ,  $\pi a \sigma$  bo | o  $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \sigma$  kal > arm<sup>1</sup> | o  $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o s^{1. 2} arm^{2. 3. 4 a}$ |  $av \tau o v^{2} > \aleph^{4}$  |  $\kappa o v o v \tau a$ ! ovortal (-eral Pr) Pr fl bo arm<sup>1. (3°)</sup> : ov ovortal kal kovortal eth |  $\epsilon \pi av \tau o v > I. 24I^{*} arm^{1} : \epsilon \pi > \aleph^{*} 2050$ Or<sup>4</sup> Pr fl bo |  $\pi a \sigma a \ldots$  .  $\gamma \eta \sigma$ ] omnis terra Pr | v a l + kal s<sup>1</sup> | val  $a \mu \eta v > fl arm^{1} : v a > bo | a \mu \eta v$ ] + kal  $\lambda c v \epsilon l arm^{1}$  |

8. TO adoa ANC 025. 046. 21 (-620. 632. 2020) al

9. Ἐγὼ Ἰωάννης, ὁ ἀδελφὸς ὑμῶν καὶ συνκοινωνὸς ἐν τῆ θλίψει καὶ βασιλεία καὶ ὑπομονῆ ἐν Ἱησοῦ, ἐγενόμην ἐν τῆ νήσῳ τῆ καλουμένῃ Πάτμῳ διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ.
10. ἐγενόμην ἐν πνεύματι ἐν τῆ κυριακῆ ἡμέρα, καὶ ὅκουσα 「φωνὴν μεγάλην ὅπισθέν μου」 ὡς σάλπιγγος λεγούσης

# 11. Ο βλέπεις γράψον εἰς βιβλίον, καὶ πέμψον ταῖς ἐπτὰ ἐκκλησίαις,

gig arm<sup>8.4</sup> a bo : to a 1. 88. 241. 385. 620. 632. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2042. 2067 al Or<sup>a</sup> Pr fl vg : + kai eyw N<sup>\*</sup> | to<sup>2</sup>] I am arm<sup>1.3</sup> |  $\omega$ ] + ( $\eta$ ) ap $\chi\eta$  kai (to) telog N<sup>\*</sup> 1. 61.<sup>mg</sup> (88). 172. 205. 250. 1854. (2015). 2018. (2019. 2023. 2036. 2037). 2038. 2050 Or<sup>Mt v 2</sup> Or<sup>5</sup> gig vg bo | leyei Kupios o  $\theta eos >$ 2050 | leyei > 88 | o  $\theta eo\sigma > arm^1 : + kai 620 arm^2 <sup>8 a</sup> | kai o <math>\eta\nu > arm^4 :$  "and who is"  $arm^{2.3a} :$  "unto aeons"  $arm^1 | o^4 >$ 1934 |  $\epsilon p \chi o \mu$ .] + kai 386 : + kupios  $arm^{1.2a} | o^5 > 046. 2015.$ 2036 ].

9.  $\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}$  +  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$  bo : kai  $\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}$  eth | Iwarnor  $\aleph^*$  | ourkoirwroor  $\aleph C$ 025. 2036 al : ouykour. A 046 205. 250. 468. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al s1 : KOLVWYOT 21 (-205. 468. 2020. 2050) almu s2 : +υμων s<sup>1.9</sup> eth | και βασιλεια ANC 046 alpl Or Pr fl gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 3 α</sup> : κ. εν τη βασιλ (+υμων eth) 025. 1. 104. 205. 620. 2023. 2038. 2067 al eth : THO BAGILEIAG arm4 : OTI y BAGILEIA bo : > s<sup>1, 2</sup> | kai upom, ev. Ins. > arm<sup>1,4</sup> | kai<sup>8</sup>] + ev th s<sup>1</sup> | upom.] + th s<sup>1, 2</sup> : + sou hsav bo : + dia the upomorphy upwe eth | ev Ihsou N\* C 025. 2020. 2050 Or<sup>Mt iv. 18</sup> gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo : εν Χριστω A : εν Ιησ. Χριστ. κ<sup>c. c</sup> Pr vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>2</sup> eth : Ιησου Χριστου 1. 205. 1854. 2015. 2036 : er Xpiotw Inoov 046. 21 (- 205. 2020. 2050) alpi Or' fl arm<sup>2. (3) a</sup> | καλουμενη > I : επικαλουμενη 2050 : λεγομενη 141 : | δια<sup>(1)</sup>] και C | θεου] κυριου 620 | και την μαρτ. AC 1. 91. 172. 242. 325\*. 424. 432. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2036 Pr gig vg arm<sup>2.4</sup> bo : και δια τ. μαρτ. 8 025. 046. 21 (-325\*. 1934. 2020) alpl Ors fl s1. 2 arm1 8 eth | Inoov AN\*C 025. 181. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2050 fl gig vg arm4 : Χριστου arm1 : Ιησου Χριστου N°c. c 046. 21 (-2020. 2050) alpl Ors Pr s<sup>1.2</sup> arm2.8 a bo .

10. eyes. ev  $\pi v \epsilon u \mu$ .] "and (>a) there was in me the spirit (holy 1)"  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.3.a}$ : pr  $\epsilon v \omega A$ : pr  $\epsilon v \omega I \omega a v v \eta \sigma$  gig : pr kat  $s^1 | \epsilon v \pi v \epsilon u \mu a \tau_1 \epsilon v \tau \eta > 2050 | \phi w v. \mu \epsilon v. o \pi i \sigma \theta \epsilon v (336. 2020$  $o \pi i \sigma \omega) \mu o v A 336. 2020. 2067 <math>\operatorname{arm}^1$  eth : o \pi i \sigma w \mu o v  $\phi w v.$ (+  $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \sigma s$  2015)  $\mu \epsilon v. NC 025. 205. (2015). 2037 al$  $Pr fl gig vg <math>s^{1.2} \operatorname{arm}^{2a}$  bo :  $\phi w v. o \pi$ .  $\mu o v \mu \epsilon v a \lambda$ . 046. 2040  $a l^{pl}$ Or<sup>a</sup> :  $\phi w v \eta \sigma \sigma \pi$ .  $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma v \sigma \sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon v a \lambda \eta \sigma 2050 :$  $<math>\phi w v \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon v. 336. (2050). 2067 | o \pi i \sigma \theta \epsilon v \mu v v > \operatorname{arm}^4 | \sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma$ pr  $\phi w r \eta \operatorname{arm}^{1.8.4}$  eth |.

11. λεγουσης] λεγουσαν N°. ° Pr fl s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : λαλουσησ 920.

**I. 12–13**.

- els Έφεσον καὶ eis Σμύρναν καὶ eis Πέργαμον καὶ eis Ουάτειραν
- καί είς Σάρδεις καί είς Φιλαδελφίαν καί είς Λαοδικίαν.
- 12. Καὶ ἐπέστρεψα βλέπειν τὴν φωνὴν ἦτις ἐλάλει μετ' ἐμοῦ. καὶ ἐπιστρέψας είδον ἐπτὰ λυχνίας χρυσᾶς,
- 13. καὶ ἐν μέσω τῶν λυχνιῶν ὅμοιον υἰδν ἀνθρώπου, ἐνδεδυμένον ποδήρη καὶ περιεζωσμένον πρὸς τοῖς μαστοῖς ζώνην χρυσᾶν.

2039. 2040 : Ourovoro 2020 :> 104 : + µor 1854. (2050) arm<sup>1.8</sup> bo eth : + eyw alpha K. TO W TOWTOOT K. O EOXATOO (Kal) (025. 104) 620 : + eyw eini to a k. to w (o)  $\pi \rho \omega \tau o \sigma$  k. (o) eo xator (kai) 1. 61\*. 336. 628. 2019. 2020. 2023 | 0βλεπ. > \*\* | 0] a 172. 424. 2018. 2020 Pr s1 b0 | βλεπεισ] βλεπησ 2040 : ακουσει b0 |  $\epsilon\iota\sigma$  +  $\tau\sigma \otimes |\kappa a\iota \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \sigma \nu > \operatorname{arm}^4$ : et mittem fi |  $\kappa a\iota^1 > \aleph^*$  bo εκκλησιαισ] + ταισ εν τη ασια bo : + ταισ (ουσαισ) arm | εν Εφεσω . . . εν Σμυρνα κτλ. arm | εισ<sup>2</sup> · · · <sup>7</sup> > bo : εισ<sup>2. 3</sup> >  $\operatorname{arm}^2$  |  $\kappa a \iota^2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 5 > \operatorname{Pr}$  :  $\kappa a \iota^2 > 149$ . 201. 2015. 2042. 2067 |  $\kappa a \iota$ εισ Σμυρν. post Θυατειρ. pon × | Σμυρναν C 025. 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2040) al s<sup>2</sup> : Smyrnam fl : Smirnam Pr gig : µuprar A 1. 177. 205. 620. 628. 920. 2017. 2018. 2024. 2040\*\* : µирач 104\*. 2040\* : Zµирчач 🛪 vg s<sup>1</sup> : Zµирча arm Перканов 2050 : | ею Очатеграв (-терав АС : -турав 046. 2050) (AC 046) 69. 110. 172. 314. 424. 1854. 1957. 2018. 2020. (2050) : Tyatyram fl : Tyathiram gig : Thyatiram vg : eto Ovareipar I. 2038 : eir Ovareipa (-rypa 620. 632) x 21 (-205 2020. 2050) 250. 2037. 2067 al :  $\epsilon v = \Theta v a \pi \epsilon_1 \rho_0 \sigma$  025. 205. 209. 2019 : Thatirae Pr : Thyatera bo  $|\kappa a u^5| + \kappa a u$  2040  $|\kappa$ . εισ Σαρδ. > \* : post Λαοδ. pon \* | Σαρδ.] αρδεισ 620 : | Φιλαδελφιαν ΑΝC 025. 046. 104. 205. 456. 522. 620. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015. 2017. 2039. 2042. 2050 b0 : Φιλαδελφειαν **21** (-205. 456. 620. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> : "Phrygia" arm<sup>1</sup> | Λαοδικιαν ΑΝC 110<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 2015. 2042. 2050 al bo : Aaodikeiar 025. 046. 21 (-205. 2050) alpi : Laudatiae Pr l.

19. Ка.] ANC 025. 205.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050 Pr fl gig Cyp vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> b0: єкєє 2016: каι єкєє 046. 21 (-205.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050) al<sup>p1</sup> | єпютр.  $\beta\lambda \epsilon \pi$ . . . . και επιστ. είδον] conversus respexi ut viderem . . . et vidi Pr fl : επεστρεψα εβλεψα b0 |  $\beta\lambda \epsilon \pi$ .] ιδειν 2050 (s<sup>1</sup>) | τ. φων . . . εμου] τον λαλουντα μοι 2050 | ελαλει MC 046 al<sup>p1</sup> Pr fl gig vg (s<sup>2</sup>) arm<sup>2. 2. 4</sup> : λαλει A arm<sup>1</sup> : ελαλησε 025. I. 104<sup>\*</sup>. 620. al s<sup>1</sup> | μετ εμου] μοι arm<sup>3</sup> | επιστρεψασ > 2050. (Pr fl) arm<sup>1</sup> | λυχν. επτ. χρυσ. 2050 : > arm<sup>1</sup>].

13. και<sup>1</sup> > arm<sup>1</sup> | εμμεσω AC 2004 : μεσον κ | των AC 025. 1.

- 14. ή δε κεφαλή αύτοῦ καὶ αἱ τρίχες λευκαὶ ὡς ἔριον λευκόν,(α) καὶ οἱ ὁφθαλμοὶ αὐτοῦ ὡς φλὸξ πυρός.
- καὶ οἱ πόδες αὐτοῦ ὅμοιοι χαλκολιβάνψ ὡς ἐν καμίνψ † πεπυρωμένης †,

και ή φωνή αύτου ώς φωνή ύδάτων πολλών.

(a) MSS add a gloss ώs χιών. See vol. i. 28.

181. 205. 459. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2042. 2050. 2067 Tyc Pr fl s arm<sup>1. 2. 4 a</sup> bo : των επτα κ 046. 21 (-205. 2020. 2050) alpl Or gig vg arm<sup>8</sup> |  $\lambda v \chi v$ .] + TWV XPUTWV 172. 250. 424. 2018. 2023 gig vgs v arm<sup>4</sup> | oµoiov ] oµoiwµa A s<sup>1</sup> (= wo oµoiwµa) bo sa: ouor 1854 | tou viou tou aver. 52 | vior \$ 046. 1. 35. 61\*. 69. 104. 110. 172. 175. 177. 201. 250. 325. 337. 386. 456. 617. 620. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2021. 2042. 2050 al : nw AC 025. 18. 205. 468. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1854. 2004. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alpi Ors Pr Cyp fl gig vg arm | avθρωπov] + και s1 | ενδεδυμενοσ . . . περιεζωσμενοσ 1854 | ποδηρη ΝC 025. 046 min fere omn : ποδηρην Α (2050) | προσ] εν 172. 2018. 2020 : ent Pr fl bo : inter Tyc | µaoroio C 025. 046. 250. 2037.mg alpi : μαζασ A 35. 60. 432. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037\*. 2038. 2041. 2067 : μασθοισ N 104. 205. 209. 385. 498. 620. 632. 2042. 2050 : + avrou s1. 2 bo eth | xpurar AN\*C : xpur 620 : xpur N° 025. 046 min omn<sup>vid</sup>

14.  $\eta$  de kee, . . .  $\tau \rho_{1X}$ ] "but the hair of his head"  $\operatorname{arm}^{4} | \tau \rho_{1X}(\epsilon \sigma) + \tau$ 

15. και > 104. 620 Pr | ομοιοι] ομοιωσ 920 | χαλκολιβ.] χαλκω λιβανω 025. 104. 175. 620. 2017. 2042. 2050 : aurichalco (auricalco Pr) Libani Tyc Pr : aurocalco fl : auricalco gig : orichalco vg : "burnished brass" bo :  $+ \kappa \alpha \iota$  gig | ωσ . . .  $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho . > 498.$ arm<sup>1. 8\*. 4</sup> | ωσ >s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2. 8\*\* α</sup> | εν] εκ Pr fl |  $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma$  AC Cyp Pr fl :  $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \approx 205. 209. 336. 620. 628. 2050 gig$  $vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> : <math>\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \approx 205. 620. 2050. 250. 2037.$ 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> : ignitos velut in fornace ignis Tyc :"refined amidst a furnace fiery" arm<sup>2. 8\*\* α</sup> | κ. η φων. . .υδ. πολλ. > arm<sup>4</sup> | υδατων πολλων] πληθουσ λαου 2050 (cf.Dan. 10<sup>6</sup>) |.

VOL 11.---- 16

**I. 16–18.** 

16. καὶ ἔχων ἐν τῷ δεξιῷ χειρὶ αὐτοῦ ἀστέρας ἐπτά, καὶ ἐκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ ῥομφαία δίστομος ὀξεῖα ἐκπορευομένη, καὶ ἡ ὅψις αὐτοῦ ὡς ὁ ὅλιος φαίνει ἐν τῆ δυνάμει αὐτοῦ.

και η όψις αυτού ως ο ηπίος φαίνει εν τη ουναμεί αυτού.

- 17. Καὶ ὅτε εἶδον αὐτόν, ἔπεσα πρὸς τοὺς πόδας αὐτοῦ ὡς νεκρός· καὶ ἔθηκεν τὴν δεξιὰν αὐτοῦ ἐπ' ἐμὲ λέγων
- Μη φοβοῦ· ἐγώ εἰμι ὁ πρῶτος καὶ ὁ ἔσχατος, 18. καὶ ὁ ζῶν καὶ ἐγενόμην νεκρὸς,

καὶ ἰδοὺ ζῶν εἰμὶ εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων, καὶ ἔχω τὰς κλεῖς τοῦ θανάτου καὶ τοῦ ἄδου.

16.  $\kappa \alpha \iota^1 > 1854$  bo sa  $| \epsilon \chi \omega \nu \aleph^{\circ} C \ 025 \ 046 \ 21 (-2050) 2037.$ 2038. 2067  $al^{pl} Or^{a} s^{1.2}$ :  $\epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon \nu \aleph^{a} \ 172 \ 250. \ 424 \ 2018. \ 2019:$ habebat Pr fl gig vg arm : >A 2021. 2050 |  $\epsilon \nu \tau$ .  $\delta. \chi. aur.$ >arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\epsilon \nu > 2050$  |  $\delta \epsilon \xi. \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota. aur. \ A \aleph C \ 025. \ 35. \ 61. \ 69. \ 172.$ 175. 181. 205. 209. 242. 250. 432.  $617. \ 1934. \ 1957. \ 2016. \ 2017.$ 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041  $al^{p}$ :  $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota a \ aur. \ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota$ 21 (-35. 175. 205.  $617. \ 920. \ 1934. \ 2040. \ 2050) \ al^{pl} Or^{a}: \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \ aur.$  $\tau \eta \ \delta \epsilon \xi \iota a \ 046$  |  $a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \ A \ 1934. \ 2021$  |  $\rho \mu \mu \phi. \ \delta \iota \sigma \tau.$ ]  $\pi \nu \epsilon \iota \mu a \ s^{1}$  |  $o \xi \epsilon \iota a \ 205. \ 209. \ 242. \ 2050 \ arm^{1.8.4}$  (b0) |  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \upsilon \mu$ .] pendentem Tyc |  $\phi a \iota \nu \epsilon \ \sigma \ \sigma \ \eta \lambda \iota \sigma \ \aleph \ Pr \ Cyp \ fl \ arm^{4} \ bo : "Inke \ the \ sun \ flashing \ appeared " arm^{1.2.8.4} | o > 205. \ 209. \ 241. \ 432. \ 498. \ 628. \ 632. \ 2020. \ 2042$  |  $\phi a \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \right] \phi a \iota \nu \nu \ 2067$ : "was flashing "  $a rm^{4}$  |  $\epsilon \nu \ \tau \eta \ \delta \nu . \ aur. \ arm$  |.

17. енеста ANC 025. 046. 35. 205. 325. 337. 456. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 : енестои 18. 175. 386. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2037. 2040 al | проо] его N 42 : ени 2033 s<sup>1</sup> | ωσ] ωσι N\* : ωσει N° arm : και ωσ Or\* b0 | εθηκεν AC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> Or\*: posuit Pr gig vg : επεθηκεν N I. 35. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 205. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 : inposuit fl Cyp | δεξ. aut. AN\*C 025. 046. 21 (-35. 205) al<sup>pl</sup> Pr fl gig vg arm eth + χειρα N°.° I. 35. 61. 205. 1957. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 s<sup>1.2</sup> | λεγων]+μοι I al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> | μη φοβ > N\* : + Iωαννε Pr | ο πρωτ.] ο πρωτοτοκοσ A : "beginning" arm<sup>1.2</sup> | ο εσχ.] ο > 2050 |.

18. K. O LW > Pr gig : "I am life" arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> |  $\kappa a\iota^1 > \aleph^*$  bo arm | eyev. vekp.] : "I am (+ same <sup>8</sup>) who died" arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> : +  $\kappa a\iota$ eittev µ01 eth | 1800 > arm<sup>8</sup> | twr alwrwr > 2020 | alwrwr AN\* C 025. 2019. 2050 2067 Pr Cyp fl gig vg bo arm<sup>1. 2</sup> : +  $a\mu\eta\nu$ N° 046. **21** (- 2020. 2050) 250. 2037. 2038 al Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> : > 2020 | tην κλειδα s<sup>1</sup> | κλεισ ANC 025. 35. 205. 250. 325. 456. 468. 620. 632. 2020. 2037. 2038 al. : κλειδασ 046. **21** (-35. 205. 325. 456. γράψον οἶν ἁ εἶδες
 καὶ ἁ εἰσὶν
 καὶ ἁ μέλλει γίνεσθαι μετὰ ταῦτα.

20. τὸ μυστήριον τῶν ἐπτὰ ἀστέρων οὖς είδες ἐπὶ τῆς δεξιᾶς μου καὶ τὰς ἐπτὰ λυχνίας τὰς χρυσῶς οἱ ἐπτὰ ἀστέρες ἄγγελοι τῶν ἐπτὰ ἐκκλησιῶν εἰσίν, καὶ αἱ λυχνίαι αἱ ἐπτὰ [ἐπτὰ] ἐκκλησίαι εἰσίν.

468. 620. 632. 2020) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> | του θαν. κ. τ. αδ. ANC 025. 046. 21 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr fl gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> (b0) arm eth : του αδ. κ. τ. θαν. 1. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 al |.

**19.** our > 1. 498. 620. 2020. 2050  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8e} | a ] o s^{1.2} |$ eedeo] our > 1. 498. 620. 2020. 2050  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8e} | a ] o s^{1.2} |$ eedeo] our to 0: opao  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8e} | \kappa a : a ei oir > \operatorname{arm}^{1.8} bo | \kappa a : 1 > \operatorname{arm}^{2.4e} | a^2 > 2050 | \kappa a : 2 > bo | a^3 > s^1 | \mu e \lambda i ei 2050 : dei 2050 : dei 2050 | dei 2050 | dei 2050 : dei 2050 : dei 2050 | dei 2050 | dei 2050 : dei 2050 : dei 2050 | dei 2050 | dei 2050 : de$ 

20. our ANC 025. I. 110. 181. 205. 209. 2037 \*\*. 2038. 2050 : ων 046. 21 ( - 205. 2050). 250. 2037\*. 2067 alpi Or\* | ειδεσ] ορασ arm<sup>2</sup> επι τησ δεξ. NC 025. 046. 250. 2037. 2067 min omnvi Or si arm4 bo : ev Ty de Eua A 2038 Pr fl gig vg s1.2 arm<sup>2. 3</sup> eth : ev  $\tau\eta$  yeipi arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\kappa$ .  $\tau\omega\nu$  entra  $\lambda vy\nu i\omega\nu$  498 Pr fl  $\operatorname{arm}^{2} | \tau a \sigma^{1} > 18$  385. 429\*. 522. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2039. 2040. 2042 | τασ χρυσασ >498 s<sup>1</sup> : των χρυσων Pr arm<sup>2</sup> : + ταυτα εστιν 201 : + ταυτα εισιν 93. 386 | αστερεσ] + επτα bo | αγγ. . . . εισιν ] αγγ. εισιν των επτ. εκκλ. 498 Pr fl gig vg : των επτ. εκκλησιων εισιν οι αγγελοι  $\operatorname{arm}^{2a} |$  εισιν $^1 > \aleph^* |$  και αι λυχν. αι επτα. . . . εισιν >632\* | αι λυχν. αι επτα AC 025. 046 alpi gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> eth : at Jugv. enta 218. 429 2018. 2019 : enta λυχν. N\* 1. 61.mg 367. 2038 : aι επτα λυχν. N° 35. 205. 250. 632\*\*. 1854. 1957. 2020. 2037. 2050. 2067 al Or\* au επτα]+ aσ eider 025. 1. (35). (61.mg). 69.mg (205). 1955. (1957). 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 b0 : + ai xpurai 2050 : + ai xpurai ar eider s1 : + ai 172. 241. 250. 424. 2020 arm | enra<sup>6</sup> > 104. 498 Pr fl (arm<sup>4</sup>?). Only these authorities attest the original text (see vol. i. 34-35; vol. ii., Eng. trans., footnote, in loc.). The al envá belongs to ἐκκλησίαι.

## CHAPTER II.

## Τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Ἐφέσψ ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ κρατῶν τοὺς ἐπτὰ ἀστέρας ἐν τῆ δεξιῷ αὐτοῦ, ὁ περιπατῶν ἐν μέσφ τῶν ἐπτὰ λυχνιῶν τῶν χρυσῶν,

1. THE AYYERE THE EFFERENCE EKKANGELAG] Since John's usage elsewhere attests the originality of this unique grammatical construction (see *Gram.* in vol. i.), I add here a summary of the documentary evidence for it in  $2^1$  and in the six other passages where it originally occurred,  $2^{8.19.18} 3^{1.7.14}$ . This evidence is sufficient to establish the originality of  $\tau w ayyer w$  in all seven passages: when reinforced by the evidence of John's usage elsewhere, it is irresistible. I have accordingly restored the original reading in  $3^{1.7.14}$  where the Greek MSS fail us.

 $2^{1}$  r.  $a\gamma\gamma$ .  $\tau\omega$ ] AC (2019) s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> Pr (though he reads: angelo ecclesiae Ephesi). In the note Pr. refers to the peculiar construction in the text : Dativo hic casu ecclesiae posuit, non genetivo; ac si diceret Scribe angelo, huic ecclesiae, ut non tam angelum et ecclesiam separatim videatur dixisse, quam qui sit angelus exponere voluisse, unam videlicet faciens angeli ecclesiaeque personam. 28 r. ayy. τω] A (2040 τ. αγγ. τησ ο) arm4 . 213 τ. αγγ. τω] 2050 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> = sa. 2<sup>18</sup> τ. αγγ. τω] A Epiph<sup>bus</sup> Pr s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> (β. γ).  $C > \tau \omega^2$  but does not replace it by  $\tau \eta \sigma$ .  $3^1 \tau$ .  $a\gamma\gamma$ .  $\tau \omega$ ] Pr s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>. 3<sup>7</sup> 7. ayy. 7w] Pr arm<sup>4</sup>. 3<sup>14</sup> 7. ayy. 7w] arm<sup>4</sup>. The difficulty of the reading led to the occasional omission of errandration in 218 (A), 314 (919. 920. 2040), 31 (58), 37 (arm<sup>4</sup>). It is interesting to observe how the evidence for the original reading grows weaker as the text advances. The assurance of the scribes grows as they write. On the individual passages the chief variants are given below.

τω αγγ.] τοισ αγγελοισ  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2}$ : pr και Pr fl gig : bo sa eth begin 2<sup>1.8.12.18</sup> 3<sup>1.7.14</sup> with και (>bo sa) γραψον | τω εν Εφεσω εκκλησιασ AC : τω τησ εν Εφ. εκκλ. 2019: τω εν Εφεσω εν τη εκκλησια  $\operatorname{arm}^4$ : τω εν εκκλησια Εφεσου s<sup>1</sup>: τησ εν Εφεσω εκκλ. M 025. 046 min fere omn Or<sup>\*</sup>: Ephesi ecclesiae gig vg : ecclesiae Ephesi Pr bo : τησ Εφεσιων εκκλησιασ 1. 2020 fl  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.3 \text{ s}}$ |λεγει] + κυριοσ 172. 250. 424. 522. 2018. 2039 | κρατων] + παντα και s<sup>2</sup> | δεξια] χειρι Tyc s<sup>1</sup>: δεξια χειρι 172. 250. 2018  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.3 \text{ s}}$ |δεξια] χειρι Tyc s<sup>1</sup>: δεξια χειρι 172. 250. 2018  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.4}$ bo sa | αυτου] + χειρι N<sup>\*</sup> | 0<sup>2</sup>] και  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.3 \text{ s}}$  [ εν μεσ.] εμμεσω AC : επι 1 | επτα >498. 620. 628. 2020 Tyc s<sup>1</sup>  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2}$ : ~τ. λ. τ. επτα χρυσ. 2042 | χρυσων N 025. 046 min fere omn : χρυσεων AC : χρυσων 2050 |. II. **2–5**.]

- Οίδα τὰ ἔργα σου, καὶ τὸν κόπον καὶ τὴν ὑπομονήν σου, καὶ ὅτι οὐ δύνῃ βαστάσαι κακούς, καὶ ἐπείρασας τοὺς λέγοντας ἐαυτοὺς ἀποστόλους καὶ οὐκ εἰσίν, καὶ εὖρες αὐτοὺς ψευδεῖς.
- καὶ ὑπομονὴν ἔχεις
   καὶ ἐβάστασας διὰ τὸ ὄνομά μου
   καὶ οὐ κεκοπίακες.
- 4. άλλ' έχω κατά σοῦ ὅτι τὴν ἀγάπην σου τὴν πρώτην ἀφηκας.

μνημόνευε οὖν πόθεν πέπτωκας,
 καὶ μετανόησον καὶ τὰ πρῶτα ἔργα ποίησον
 εἰ δὲ μή, ἔρχομαί σοι
 καὶ κινήσω τὴν λυχνίαν σου ἐκ τοῦ τόπου αὐτῆς.(a)
 (a) MSS add gloss ἐἀν μὴ μετανοήσης.

**2.** olda] eldov 337 eth |  $\kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\kappa \sigma \pi$ . > 385. 429. 522 |  $\kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\nu \pi \rho \mu$ .  $\sigma ov \kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\kappa \sigma \pi$ .  $\sigma ov 632$  |  $\tau ov \kappa \sigma \sigma ov AC$  025. 35. 60. 181. 205. 209. 432. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2042 Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> :  $\tau ov \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma ov \sigma \operatorname{arm}^{1.a}$  :  $\tau ov \kappa \sigma \sigma ov \sigma ov \mathbb{N}$  046. **21** (-35. 205). 250. 2067 Or<sup>4</sup> s<sup>1</sup> :  $\tau ov \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma ov \sigma \operatorname{arm}^{2.3.4}$  bo  $\sigma ov<sup>2</sup> > \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{arm}^{1.3.2} [\kappa \alpha \iota^{3} > A$  bo :  $+ olda \operatorname{arm}^{1} ]$  ov  $\delta vv \eta \beta a \sigma \tau$ .]  $ov \beta a \sigma \tau a \zeta \epsilon \iota \sigma \operatorname{arm}^{1.3} ]$   $\delta vv \eta \sigma \eta 2042$  :  $\delta v \epsilon \iota 620. 2050$  |  $\beta a \sigma \tau a \zeta \epsilon \iota$  025. 1. 2020. 2038 |  $\kappa a \kappa \sigma v$  bo |  $\kappa a \iota^{4}$ ]  $ov \sigma \operatorname{arm}^{1}$  |  $\epsilon a v \sigma v \sigma > 181.$   $2067 : + \epsilon \iota v a \iota vg^{c f} \operatorname{arm}^{a}$  |  $a \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \lambda$ .  $A \aleph^{*}C$  025. 94. 337. 2038 vg  $\operatorname{alm}^{1.2.8.4} : + \epsilon \iota \rho a \iota \aleph^{c c} \circ 046.$  **21** (-337)  $\operatorname{almu}$  Or<sup>8</sup> Pr gig vg<sup>v</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup>;  $\kappa a \iota \epsilon v \rho$ .  $a v \tau$ .  $\psi$ .]  $\kappa$ .  $\epsilon v \rho \epsilon \theta \eta \sigma a v \psi \epsilon v \delta a \sigma \sigma \tau \delta \iota$  bo |.

8. και υπομ. εχ. > 218. 424. 2018 | υπομ. εχ. (+ κ. θλυψισ πασασ N\*) κ. εβαστ. ΑΝC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : et habuisti patientiam et tolerasti Pr : εβαστ. (εβαπτισασ Ι. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 2037) κ. υπομ. εχ. (Ι) 35. (61.<sup>mg</sup>). 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. (2037). 2038. 2067 al : εβαστ. με κ. υπομ. εχ. 025. 104. 205. 209. 336. 459. 620 : υπομ. εχ. κ εβαστ. αυτουσ gig b0 | εχεισ] habuisti Pr | κ. εβαστ. >432 | δια > 314. 2016 | και ου κεκοπιακεσ AC (s<sup>1. 2</sup>): κ. ουκ. εκοπιασασ N 025. 046. 21 (-620. 2020). 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : et non (nec Pr) defecisti Pr gig vg : και κεκοπιακασ 336. 432. 628. 2020 arm<sup>2</sup><sup>s</sup> : καικοπιακασ I : και κεκοπιασασ 620 |.

4. αλλ AC 025. 1. 385. 620. 2015. 2020 2037. 2038. 2042. 2050. 2067 Or<sup>4</sup>: αλλα N 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2020. 2050). 250 al : και 205 | εχω κατα σου]+ολιγα gig | την πρωτην σου αγαπην A | αφηκασ AN<sup>c. c</sup> 025. 046 al omn<sup>vid</sup>: αφηκεσ N\*C |.

5.  $\mu \nu \eta \mu \rho \nu e \nu \sigma \sigma \nu = 1854. 2020 | o \nu \nu > Pr s^{1} arm^{1.2.4 e} eth | <math>\pi \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ]  $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu = 386 : \pi \omega \sigma = b0 | \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa a \sigma (-\epsilon \sigma \otimes) A \otimes C o 46. 21 (-35. 205. 620). 250 almu Or<sup>4</sup> Pr Cyp s<sup>2</sup> : <math>\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa a \sigma o 25. 1. 35. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig vg s<sup>1</sup> | <math>\kappa$ .  $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu$ . > s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> |  $\kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\pi \rho$ .  $\epsilon \rho \gamma$ .  $\pi \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \sigma \nu$  > b0

**II. 6–9**.

6. άλλὰ τοῦτο ἔχεις ὅτι μισεῖς τὰ ἔργα τῶν Νικολαϊτῶν, ἑ κἀγὰ μισῶ. 7. Ὁ ἔχων οὖς ἀκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις. Τῷ νικῶντι δώσω αὐτῷ φαγεῖν ἐκ τοῦ ξύλου τῆς ζωῆς ὅ ἐστιν ἐν τῷ παραδείσφ τοῦ θεοῦ.

- 8. Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Σμύρνῃ ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ πρῶτος καὶ ὁ ἔσχατος, ὅς ἐγένετο νεκρὸς καὶ ἔζησεν.
- 9. Οἰδά σου τὴν θλίψιν καὶ τὴν πτωχείαν, ἀλλὰ πλούσιος εξ καὶ τὴν βλασφημίαν ἐκ τῶν λεγόντων Ἰουδαίους εἶναι ἐαυτοὺς καὶ οὖκ εἰσίν, ἀλλὰ συναγωγὴ τοῦ Σατανᾶ.

eth |  $\epsilon \rho \gamma a$ ] +  $\sigma ov$  gig arm |  $\sigma oi$  ANC 025. 2050 gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo sa : > 181. 2041 arm<sup>1. 2 a</sup> : +  $\tau a \chi v$  046. 21 (-2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Pr s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>a</sup> : +  $\tau a \chi e i$  |  $\kappa i v \eta \sigma w$ ] +  $\kappa a \tau a \sigma ov$  325. 456 |  $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ .  $\tau o \pi$ .  $a v \tau$ . >s<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon a v$ ]  $\epsilon i$  35 |  $\mu \epsilon \tau a v \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon i \sigma$ (- $\sigma i \sigma$  1) 35. 104. 498. 620. 2050 :  $\mu \epsilon \tau a v \sigma \eta \sigma$  205 :  $\mu \epsilon \tau a v \sigma \eta \sigma \eta$  Tyc |. 6.  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon i \sigma$ ] +  $a \gamma a \theta ov$  Pr |  $\sigma \tau \mu i \sigma$ .]  $\sigma \tau \mu i \sigma \eta \sigma$  2040 | a > A a rm<sup>2</sup> : sicut Pr |  $\kappa a \gamma w$ ]  $\epsilon \gamma w$  s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8 a</sup> eth |.

7. our j wra s<sup>1.2</sup>: + akoueuv bo eth : aures audiendi Pr arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> | akouerw 617 |  $\pi v \epsilon u \mu a$ ] + ayıov arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> eth |  $\tau a \iota \sigma$ ] +  $\epsilon \pi \tau a$  A |  $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda$ .] +  $\tau a \iota \sigma$  en  $\tau a$  C : +  $\kappa a \iota$  s<sup>1</sup> |  $a v t \omega$  AC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 205) Or<sup>a</sup> Pr Cyp vg s<sup>2</sup> arm eth : > N 35. 60. 205. 209. 1957. 2023. 2041 Tyc gig vg<sup>d, v</sup> s<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon v \tau \omega \pi a \rho a \delta$ . AN\*C 046. 21 (-35. 205) al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc Pr Cyp vg s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>1.2.3.4</sup> :  $\epsilon v \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \omega \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega$ N<sup>6. c</sup> 025 :  $\epsilon v \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau o u \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o u$  I. 35. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 205. ( $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ 205). 250. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig arm<sup>a</sup> bo |  $\tau o u \theta \epsilon o u$  ANC 025. 1. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>a</sup> : +  $\mu o v$  046. 21 (-205) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>Ex ix 130</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1.2.3.4</sup>

8.  $\tau \omega \epsilon \nu$ ] See note on 2<sup>1</sup>.  $\tau \omega$  A arm<sup>4</sup> :  $\tau \eta \sigma$  NC 025. 046. **21** min<sup>rell</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> eth :  $\tau \eta \sigma + o$  2040 |  $\epsilon \nu \sum \mu \nu \rho \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma A$  : Smirnae ecclesiae gig (vg) bo : ecclesiae Smirnae Pr s<sup>1</sup> :  $\epsilon \nu$   $Z \mu \nu \rho \nu \eta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \aleph$  :  $\epsilon \nu \sum \mu \nu \rho \nu \eta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda$ . C 025. 046. **21.** 250. 2038. 2067 al<sup>ma</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> (Z $\mu$ .) :  $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda$ . Tou  $\sum \mu \nu \rho \nu a \iota \omega \nu a rm^{1.8a}$  :  $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \aleph$  :  $\epsilon \nu \sum \mu \nu \rho \nu a \iota \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma$  2015. 2036. 2037 :  $\sum \mu \nu \rho$ . AC 025. 046 min<sup>omn</sup> vid gig s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1.2.3a</sup> bo :  $Z \mu \nu \rho$ .  $\aleph vg$ s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \sigma$ ]  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma \Lambda | o<sup>2</sup> > 2016. 2020. 2041 | o$   $\epsilon \sigma \chi$ .] "without end" arm<sup>1</sup> :  $+ \sigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \epsilon 69 | o \sigma \Lambda \aleph C$ 025. 046. 35. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 Pr gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>1.2.4a</sup> bo : >18. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 al<sup>mu</sup> |  $\epsilon \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ] vivit gig vg : revixit Pr ].

9. σου AC 025. 93. 241. 250<sup>com</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo sa eth : + τα εργα και N 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or<sup>3</sup> Tyc s<sup>2</sup> 10. μη φοβοῦ ὁ μέλλεις πάσχειν. ἰδοὺ μέλλει βάλλειν ὁ διάβολος ἐξ ὑμῶν εἰς φυλακήν, ἶνα πειρασθητε καὶ ἔχητε θλίψιν ἡμερῶν δέκα.

γίνου πιστὸς ẳχρι θανάτου, καὶ δώσω σοι τὸν στέφανον τῆς ζωῆς.

11. Ο έχων οῦς ἀκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῦς ἐκκλησίαις. Ο νικῶν οὐ μὴ ἀδικηθῆ ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου τοῦ δευτέρου.

12. Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Περγάμῳ ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἔχων τὴν ῥομφαίαν τὴν δίστομον τὴν ὀξεῖαν

arm : + ta єруа к. тур ипоμорур arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\pi \tau \omega \chi \epsilon \iota a v 025. 046.$  21 (-620) Or<sup>6</sup> :  $\pi \tau \omega \chi \iota a v ANC$  I. 498. 620 : +  $\sigma ov$  gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo eth |  $\tau \eta \nu \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi$ .] +  $\tau \eta \nu \aleph s^{1.2}$  :  $\tau a \sigma \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \iota a \sigma a rm^{1.2.3 a}$  : blasphemaris Pr gig vg : "I found not one" bo |  $\epsilon \kappa A \aleph C 046.$  21 (-35. 205) al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> bo : >025. I. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Or<sup>a</sup> |  $\epsilon a \tau \tau$ . Iouð. 2015. 2036 (s<sup>1</sup>) | Iouða u w  $\aleph^* C$  2050 arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\epsilon a \tau \tau \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota r a \iota$ 2019 |  $\epsilon \iota r a \iota > 468^{**} s^1 | \epsilon a \tau \tau . > 336. 620. 628 : a \tau \tau \sigma \sigma 314.$ 2016. 2019 |  $\kappa$ .  $\sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu > a rm^1 | \sigma a \tau a \iota a \rceil + \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu N^{c. c.}$  Pr gig vg arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> |.

10. μη AC 046. 2020. 2023. 2050. 2067 bo : μηδεν N 025. 21 (-2020. 2050) Or Pr gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> eth | a] wv 35 |  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma$ ] θελεισ arma | πασχειν ΑΝC 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 468\* (πασχει 620). 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2041 : παθειν 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*. 620). 2067 almu Or 1 wow ANC 025. 1. 18. 61. 69. 104. 250. 620. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm bo :  $+\delta\eta$  046. **21** (-18. 205. 620. 2020. 2050). 2067 Or s<sup>2</sup> : + yap 2050 eth : + kai 205 | o diaß. Bad. 920. 2020. 2040 s<sup>1 2</sup> eth βαλλειν AxC 025. 18. 35. 205. 250. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2020 al : Baren 046. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 617. 620. 632. 1934. 2037. 2040. 2050. 2067 al Or" : βαλλειν βαλιν N\* | εξ υμ. ο διαβ. N I. 2037. 2067 al gig | εξ] αφ 1854 | iva  $\pi \epsilon i \rho a \sigma \theta$ .] iva  $\pi \epsilon i \rho a \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$  I : iva  $\pi \epsilon i \rho a \sigma \theta \eta$  920 |  $\kappa$ .  $\epsilon \chi$ .  $\theta \lambda i \psi$ . >gig | exnre A 1854. 2019. 2038 Pr (b0) : exere C 025. 1. 181. 2050 : efnre 110 : efere N 046. 21 (- 2050) Or Tyc vg s1. 2 θλιψ.] + μεγαλην 2050 | δεκ. ημ. Tyc gig | ημερων ΑΝC 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 250. 620. 1957. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al : dierum Pr : ημερασ 046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 2050) almu Or Tyc s<sup>1.2</sup>: diebus gig vg |  $\gamma_{i}vou > \aleph^*$  |  $\gamma_{i}ve\sigma\theta\epsilon$  . . .  $\pi_{i}\sigma\tau_{0i}$  . . .

υμιν s<sup>1</sup> | αχρει 2050 | μεχρι 632. 2020 |. 11. ουσ] ωτα s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>1.4</sup>: + ακουειν bo eth : + audiendi Pr arm<sup>1.4</sup> | το] + αγιον arm<sup>1.2</sup> eth | τ. εκκλ. > arm<sup>1</sup> | ο νικων] ο γαρ νικων bo |.

12. The app.] Tow appendix arm<sup>1.9.8</sup> | The ev  $\Pi$ . Ekkl. 2050 (save that it reads  $\Pi$  erka $\mu\omega$ ): see note on  $2^1$ : The event ekklyota

13. Οίδα ποῦ κατοικεῖς, ὅπου ὁ θρόνος τοῦ Σατανâ, καὶ κρατεῖς τὸ ὄνομά μου, καὶ οὐκ ἠρνήσω τὴν πίστιν μου

> καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις † Ἀντίπας,† ὁ μάρτυς μου, ὁ πιστός μου, ὅς ἀπεκτάνθη παρ' ὑμῖν ὅπου ὁ Σατανᾶς κατοικεῖ.

14. άλλ' ἔχω κατὰ σοῦ ὀλίγα, ὅτι ἔχεις ἐκεῖ κρατοῦντας τὴν διδαχὴν Βαλαάμ, ὅς ἐδίδασκεν τῷ Βαλὰκ βαλεῖν σκάνδαλον ἐνώπιον τῶν υἰῶν Ἱσραήλ, φαγεῖν εἰδωλόθυτα καὶ πορνεῦσαι.

Περγαμου s<sup>1</sup> : τω εν τη Περγαμου εκκλησια ? arm<sup>4</sup> : τω εν τ. εκκλ Π. sa : τησ εν Περγαμω εκκλησιασ all Greek MSS (-2050) O1<sup>5</sup> : τησ Περγαμαιων (Περγαιων<sup>1</sup>) εκκλησιασ arm<sup>1. 2</sup> : Pergami ecclesiae gig vg s<sup>2</sup> bo : eccles. Perg. Pr eth | λεγει] + κυριοσ 205 | τ. of. τ. διστ. s<sup>1. 2</sup> eth |.

18. 018a ANC 025. 2020. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg s1 arm1. 2.4 bo sa eth : + τα εργα σου και 046. 21 (- 2020. 2050 [σου τα εργα Kai 325. 456])  $a^{[p]}$  Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>2</sup>  $arm^{3a} | \pi ov ]$  kai oti  $arm^{a} | \kappa pateio$ ] εκρατησασ bo : κρατουσιν  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.3^\circ} | \mu ov^1 ]$  σου  $\aleph^* | ηρνησω] +$  nomen meum et gig | την πιστ.] τον πιστον  $\operatorname{arm}^8 | και^8$  AC 1057. 2050 gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo eth : >  $\aleph$  025. 046. 21 (-2050)  $a^{[p]}$ Pr arm<sup>2. 8. 4 e</sup> [ εν ταισ] αυταισ 325<sup>\*</sup> : in illis Pr | ημεραισ AC vg s<sup>1</sup> : + ταυταισ arm<sup>1. 2</sup> : + εν ταισ κ<sup>\*</sup> : + αισ 046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 2040. 2050) al Or<sup>a</sup> (arm<sup>300</sup> a) : + ev au N<sup>c</sup> 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 250. 620. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 (s<sup>2</sup>) (arm<sup>4</sup>) : + in quibus fuit gig : + eµaio I. 61.<sup>mg</sup> : + µov (ev) aus 2040. (2050) | Avrinas N\*C 025. 046. 21 (- 325. 337. 456. 2050) almu vg : Antiphas Pr : Anthipas arms. Antipax gig : Arteinao ANº. º 42. 82. 93. 325. 337. 367. 452. 456. 498. 2021. 2024\*\*. 2050 Or : άντείπασ sl. 2 arm bo : >eth | о нарт.] кан о нартит 172. 2032 s<sup>1</sup>: тат нартит  $\operatorname{arm}^{2}(1) \mid \mu ov^{3} > 181$ . 2019 arm<sup>1</sup> b0 : + kai Pr | o  $\pi i \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma$  + ori  $\pi a \sigma \mu a \rho \tau v \sigma$  (+  $\mu o v s^1$ ) πιστοσ 2059 s<sup>1</sup> : οτι μαρτυσ μου πιστοσ  $(>\gamma)$ πασ ο πιστευων arm<sup>β. γ. δ</sup> µov<sup>4</sup> AC 61. 69. 2050 Or<sup>4</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : >N 025. 046. 21 (-2050) Pr gig vg (arm) bo | or av εκτανθη 2050 : ο απεκτανθη 205 : ον απεκτειναν bo eth | or > 172. 314. 2016 | παρ υμιν] παρ υμων 920. 2040 s1 arms" 4 a : ef unur arm? : + eket 632 | onov o Jar. катык. >2020 s<sup>1</sup> .

- 15. ούτως έχεις και συ κρατούντας την διδαχην Νικολαϊτών δμοίως.
- 16. μετανόησον οδν' εἰ δὲ μή, ἔρχομαί σοι ταχύ, καὶ πολεμήσω μετ' αὐτῶν ἐν τῆ ῥομφαία τοῦ στόματός μου.
- Ο ἔχων οὖς ἀκουσάτω
   τἱ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.

Τῷ νικῶντι δώσω αὐτῷ τοῦ μάννα τοῦ κεκρυμμένου, καὶ δώσω αὐτῷ ψῆφον λευκήν, καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν ψῆφον ὄνομα καινὸν γεγραμμένον ὅ οὐδεὶς οἶδεν εἰ μὴ ὁ λαμβάνων.

A | διδαχην] + του 42. 468. 2019. 2020 | εδιδασκεν ANC 025. I. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2050. 2067 al Pr gig vg : εδιδαξεν 046. 21 (-2050) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> bo : διδασκει arm<sup>4</sup> | τω Baλ. AC 104 : εν τω Baλ. I. 94 : τον Baλ. N° 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>). 250. 2037. 2038 Or<sup>a</sup> : εν τω Baλααμ τον Baλ. 025. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2067 et comm. in 250. 2037. 2067 : Baλ. 046 : > N<sup>\*</sup> | Baλακ AN° 025. 21 (-386. 620. 1849. 2040<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050) Or<sup>a</sup> : Balac gig vg arm : Baλαακ C 046. 620. 1849. 1854. 2040<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050 : Balaac Pr : Baλαακ C 046. 620. 1849. 1854. 2040<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050 : βασιλει A | των] τε 2050 | φαγειν ANC 025. I. 35. 205. 522. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2050 Or<sup>Num 2.244</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm : του φαγ. 42. 325. 336. 367. 456. 468. 620. 628 : και φαγ. 046. 18. 175. 250. 337. 386. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 919. 920. 1849 1934 2004. 2040. 2067 al Or<sup>a</sup> (s<sup>2</sup>) | ειδωλοθ. > vg arm<sup>2</sup> : ειδωλοθυτον 1854 : de sacrificiis Pr |.

15.  $\sigma_{U}$  kpat.] o kpatwv 2050 | kpatouvrao] kpatouvra bo : > arm<sup>1.2.3</sup> [Nikol. AC 046. 18. 175. 325. 386. 456. 468. 617. 919. 1849. 2004 al :  $\tau_{WV}$  Nikol. N 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 337. 620. 632. 920. 1934. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2040. 2050 al (arm<sup>4</sup> a bo) : " of Nicolaus" arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> | oµouwo ANC 046. 21 (-35. 468. 2020) Or<sup>5</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> (arm<sup>4</sup>) : o µuow 1. 61.<sup>mg</sup> :  $\eta_{V}$  µuow 2037 arm<sup>a</sup> : oµouwo o (w 468 :  $\eta_{V}$  2067) µuow 025. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 42. 181. 468. 2038. 2067 : > 2020 arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> bo sa eth ].

16. our AC 046. 21 (-35) alm<sup>m</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> bo eth : µoror arm<sup>8</sup> : >  $\times$  025. 1. 35. 61. 69. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 Or<sup>8</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | et de µn] kat bo |  $\sigma ot > 61$ . 69. 181. 2020. arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> eth :  $\sigma v \aleph^*$  |  $\pi o \lambda e_{\mu}$ ] extra length or 205 | avrwv]  $\sigma ov 2050$  Pr : avrov arm<sup>2.8</sup> | ev > Tyc |  $\tau ov \sigma \tau o\mu$ . µov  $> arm<sup>1</sup> : + kat ev \tau\eta$  atteing  $\eta$  fillength of Andreas) |.

17. our ] was  $s^{1.2}$ : + anover bo eth : aures audiendi Pr  $arm^{1.3.4}$  |  $\pi v \epsilon v \mu a$  ] + a year  $arm^{1.3}$  eth |  $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ .] + or bo |  $\tau \omega$ 

- 18. Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Θυατείροις ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ υἰὸς τοῦ θεοῦ, ὁ ἔχων τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς ὡς φλόγα πυρός, καὶ οἱ πόδες αὐτοῦ ὄμοιοι χαλκολιβάνῳ,
- 19. Οἶδά σου τὰ ἔργα, καὶ τὴν ἀγάπην καὶ τὴν πίστιν καὶ τὴν διακονίαν καὶ τὴν ὑπομονήν σου, καὶ τὰ ἔργα σου τὰ ἔσχατα πλείονα τῶν πρώτων.

**νικωντι N** 025. 046. 21 (-620. 2050)  $al^{p1}$ : τω νικουντι AC: | aυτω AC 025. 046 min<sup>fere omn</sup> Or<sup>a</sup>: > **N** 61<sup>txt</sup> Tyc gig vg<sup>d</sup> v s<sup>1</sup>: +φαγειν 025. 1. 35. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 104. 205. 468. 620. 632. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc gig arm<sup>4</sup><sup>a</sup>: + του φαγειν 42. 69: + "food" arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> | του μαννα AC 21 (-35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2050) al Or<sup>a</sup>: μαννα 69: το μαννα 046 gig vg arm<sup>2.3</sup>: εκ του μαννα N 468<sup>\*</sup>. 1957. 2019. (2050) Tyc Pr s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>4 a</sup> b0: απο του μαννα I. 35. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 104. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620. 632. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067: απο του ξυλου 025: απο του ξυλου τησ ζωησ arm<sup>1</sup>: +φαγειν 172. 250. 2018. 2050 | του κεκρ.] το κεκρυμμ νον 2050 gig vg | δωσω αυτω<sup>2</sup> > 2020 arm<sup>a</sup> | λευκ. κ. επι τ. ψηφ > s<sup>1</sup> | ψηφ.<sup>2</sup>] ψημον C | καινον] κενον C 175. 2040 | γεγραμμ.] εγγεγραμμενον 919: > Pr: + επ αυτην b0 | ο ουδεισ...λαμβ. > I | ο > N<sup>\*</sup> | οιδεν] ειδεν 205. 209 b0: + αυτω 2050 |.

18. τω εν Θυατειροισ εκκλησιασ] See note on 2<sup>1</sup>. τω εν Θυατ. Α : εν Ουατ. εκκλησιασ C : τω αγγ. τησ εκκλ. τω εν Ουατ. Epiph455 : ecclesiae qui est Tyatirae Pr : τω εν εκκλησια τη εν Quar. s<sup>1</sup> : τω τησ εκκλησιασ τησ εν Θυατ.  $s^2$ : qui in Theatrea ecclesia arm<sup>4</sup> (β. γ) : τησ εν Θυατ. εκκλησ. 8 025. 046 min omn<sup>vid</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : Tyatirae ecclesie gig : Thyatırae ecclesiae vg : ecclesiae Thyaterae bo : των Θυατιραιων  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2}$ : τησ Θυατειρων εκκλησ. 2020 ( $\operatorname{arm}^3$ ) Ovareipour № 1. 18. 35. 175. 205. 250. 386. 468. 617. 919. 920. 1934. 2004. 2037. 2040. 2067 : Ovaripoio AC : Ovarypoio 025. 149. 201. 632. 1849. 1955. 2036. 2050 : Ovarelow 2020 : Ovarnon 046. 620 : Θυατειρη 69. 93. 104. 110. 177. 325. 337. 456. 498. 2021 : Thyatirae vg : Tyatire gig | εκκλησιασ > A arm<sup>1</sup> | τουσ οφθαλμ. A 2019. 2020 Pr gig vg (arm<sup>2. 3. 4</sup>) : τον οφθαλμον s<sup>1</sup> : + αυτου ΝC 025.046. 21 (- 2020). 250. 2037. 2038 alp<sup>1</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>2</sup> (arm<sup>1</sup> a) | φλογa] φλοξ Ν Pr : λαμπαδασ 1854 | χαλκω λιβανω 025. 104. 175. 620. 2050 : auricalco Pr : eramento thurino gig : orichalco vg : "unto brass of Libanan" s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1, 2, 3\*4</sup> : "unto brass smelted " arm<sup>8<sup>ee</sup> a</sup> : " burnished brass " (χαλκολιβανοσ) bo eth |.

19. Ta epy. kai > 181 |  $\tau\eta\nu$  (>2020)  $\pi \iota\sigma\tau$ . K. T. ayat. K. T. diak. 18 242. 2040 :  $\tau\eta\nu$  ayat. K. T. diak. K. T.  $\pi \iota\sigma\tau$ . I | K. T. ayat.] +  $\sigma\sigma\nu$  s<sup>1</sup> bo eth |  $\tau\eta\nu^{2}$  > C 2020 |  $\pi \iota\sigma\tau$ .] +  $\sigma\sigma\nu$  s<sup>1</sup> bo eth |  $\tau\eta\nu$  diakoviar kai > N\* |  $\tau\eta\nu^{2}$  > 2020 | diakoviar] +  $\sigma\sigma\nu$  s<sup>1</sup> bo

- 20. άλλὰ ἔχω κατὰ σοῦ ὅτι ἀφεῖς τὴν γυναῖκα Ἱεζάβελ, ἡ λέγουσα ἐαυτὴν προφῆτιν, καὶ διδάσκει καὶ πλανậ τοὺς ἐμοὺς δούλους πορνεῦσαι καὶ φαγεῖν εἰδωλόθυτα.
- καὶ ἔδωκα αὐτῆ χρόνον ἴνα μετανοήσῃ,
   καὶ οὖκ ἠθέλησεν μετανοῆσαι ἐκ τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς.
- 22. ίδοὺ βάλλω αὐτὴν εἰς κλίνην, καὶ τοὺς μοιχεύοντας μετ' αὐτῆς εἰς θλίψιν μεγάλην,(α)

(a) Interpolation follows here:  $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu \ \mu\eta \ \mu\epsilon\tau a \nu\sigma\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma i \ \epsilon \tau \ \tilde{\omega}\nu \ \ell\rho\gamma\omega\nu \ a \dot{\tau}\eta$ s. See Eug. trans. vol. 11. footnote, *in loc.*  $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu \ \mu\eta$  is not followed by the indicative in our author.

eth |  $\tau\eta v^4 > A$  2019 |  $\sigma ov^2 > \aleph$  2023 Pr |  $\sigma ov^3$ ] + kai I |  $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{io} va$ ]  $\chi \epsilon_{i\rho} ova$  175. 617<sup>\*</sup>. 1934 |.

20. αλλα A 046 min mult : αλλ NC 025 35. 69. 104. 175. 205. 314. 385. 617. 620. 1934. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Or<sup>a</sup> | εχω] λεγω arm<sup>1. 2.8α</sup> | κατα σου AC 025. 046. 21 (-35\*. 632\*. 2050). 250 almu Tyc vg s<sup>2</sup> bo eth : σοι arm<sup>1. 2. 8 α</sup>: +πολυ \$ 35\*. 181. 632\*. 2019. 2022. 2038. 2050 gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4 a</sup> : + πολλα 2015. 2036 Pr Cyp : + ολιγα I | αφεισ An\*C 025. 046. 21 (-2020. 2040. 2050). 2037. 2038. al<sup>mu</sup> Pr Cyp gig vg : αφηκασ N° 506. 2019. 2050. 2067 Tyc s<sup>1.2</sup> arm bo eth : ading 241. 250. 424. 2018. 2040 : moleior 2020 | YUValka №C 025. I. 104. 205. 468\*. 620. 2019. 2020. 2038. 2050 Tyc gig vg arm<sup>2.8 a</sup> bo eth : + ow A 046. 21 (-205. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 620. 2020. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Pr Cyp s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>1. 4</sup> την Ιεζαβελ A : Ιαζαβελ \*\* : Zezabel Pr Cyp arm<sup>1. 2. 4 a</sup> | η λεγουσα Ακ\*C : η λεγει 046. 21 (-35\*. 205. 2020. 2050). almu Or gig vg bo eth : την λεγουσαν N° 025. 1. 35\*. 205. 1854. 2019. 2020. 2038. 2050 : "who declared " arm<sup>1 8.4 a</sup> eavryv AC 025. 21 (-620): avryv N 046. 104. 141. 336. 620. 628 προφητιν AN°C 21 (-620. 919. 2004. 2040. 2050) almu Or : prophetissam gig : προφητειαν N\* : προφητην 025. 046. 104. 172. 620. 919. 2004. 2019. 2038 2040. 2041\*. 2050 : propheten Tyc Pr Cyp vg : + ειναι № 2050 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | κ. διδασκει] διδασκειν Pr Cyp vg : και διδασκαλον? bo | πλανα] πλαναν Pr Cyp vg ] ειδωλοθ. φαγ. 1. 2019 | ειδωλοθ.] το ειδωλοθυτον? arm<sup>1. 8.4 c</sup> : de idolothytis vg (bo) : de sacrificiis (-ficio gig) Pr Cyp gig :  $> arm^2$ .

**21.** V. 21 > 205 |  $\kappa al^{3}$  > Pr arm<sup>1. 4</sup> | avtη ] avtη 2040 |  $\mu \epsilon \tau a v.$ ]  $\mu \epsilon \tau a v o \eta \sigma \epsilon i 620. 2050 | <math>\kappa$ . ov  $\theta \epsilon \lambda$ .  $\mu \epsilon \tau a v.$  > N\* (arm<sup>e</sup>) :  $\kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon v$   $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \tau a v.$  2020 :  $\kappa$ . ov  $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon v o \eta \sigma \epsilon v$  (post avtη  $\sigma$ ) I arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> |  $\eta \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon v$  A Pr Cyp eth :  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$  N\*C 025. 046 min<sup>omn</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> |  $\pi \circ \rho v \epsilon \iota a \sigma$  C 025. 046. **21** :  $\pi \circ \rho v \iota a \sigma$  AN | avtη  $\sigma$ ]  $\tau a v \tau \eta \sigma$  N :  $\epsilon v \tau \omega v \kappa$ . ov  $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon v \circ \eta \sigma a v$  arm<sup>e</sup> |.

22. ιδου] ειδ' ου 2020 : + εγω Ι | βαλλω AC 21 (-325.

- 23. καί τά τέκνα αύτης άποκτενω έν βανάτω.
  - καὶ γνώσονται πᾶσαι αἶ ἐκκλησίαι ὅτι ἐγώ εἰμι ὁ ἐραυνῶν νεφροὺς καὶ καρδίας καὶ δώσω ὑμῖν ἐκάστῳ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα ὑμῶν.
- 24. ὑμίν δὲ λέγω τοῦς λοιποῖς τοῖς ἐν Θυατείροις, ὅσοι οὐκ ἔχουσιν τὴν διδαχὴν ταύτην, οἶτινες οὐκ ἔγνωσαν τὰ βαθέα τοῦ Σατανᾶ, ὡς λέγουσιν, οὐ βάλλω ἐφ' ὑμῶς ἄλλο βάρος.
- 25. πλήν δ έχετε κρατήσατε άχρι οδ αν ήξω.

456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 632. 2020. 2050). I. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr Cyp vg arm :  $\beta a \lambda w \, 8^{\circ}$  025. 046. 325. 456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 632. 2020. 2050 Or<sup>5</sup> gig bo eth :  $\kappa a \lambda w \, 8^{*} \mid \kappa \lambda u \gamma \mu \right] \phi u \lambda a \kappa \eta \nu A : \kappa a \mu u \nu o \nu a rm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> a :$  $luctum cod. ap. Pr : "pains of a couch" arm<sup>4</sup> | <math>\mu o \iota \chi e \upsilon \sigma a \nu \tau a \sigma \sigma \sigma$ 69 Pr Cyp |  $\mu e \tau a \upsilon \tau \eta \sigma$ ]  $a \upsilon \tau \eta \nu$  2050 |  $\mu e \gamma a \lambda$ . >  $a rm^{8}$  : maximam Pr Cyp vg arm<sup>a</sup> |  $\mu e \tau a \upsilon \tau \eta \sigma$ ]  $a \upsilon \tau \eta \nu$  2050 |  $\mu e \gamma a \lambda$ . >  $a rm^{8}$  : maximam Pr Cyp vg arm<sup>a</sup> |  $\mu e \tau a \upsilon \eta \sigma \sigma$ ]  $a \upsilon \tau \eta \nu$  2050 :  $\mu e \tau a \upsilon \eta \sigma \sigma \omega \sigma \upsilon \nu$  C 025. 046. 21 (-2050)  $a l^{pl}$  Or<sup>a</sup> :  $\mu e \tau a \upsilon \eta \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota$  2050 :  $\mu e \tau a \upsilon \eta \sigma \eta \sigma \eta$  469 Pr Cyp bo sa eth |  $\epsilon \kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\epsilon \rho \gamma$ .  $a \upsilon \tau$ . > bo sa |  $a \upsilon \tau \eta \sigma \, 8 C$  025. 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 468. 632)  $a l^{mu}$  Or<sup>a</sup> Pr Cyp gig vg s<sup>2</sup> a rm<sup>4</sup> eth :  $a \upsilon \tau \omega \nu A$  1. (35<sup>\*</sup>). 61.<sup>mg</sup> 181. 205. 468. 632. 2019. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 vg<sup>d. v</sup> s<sup>1</sup> a rm<sup>1. 2. 8 a</sup> |.

**28.**  $\kappa \alpha \iota^{1} > A$  620  $\operatorname{arm}^{1}$  bo sa |  $\operatorname{avrn}\sigma$ ]  $\operatorname{avrw}\nu$  205. 209  $\operatorname{arm}^{2^{\circ\circ}, 5^{\circ\circ}} | \epsilon \nu$ ]  $\epsilon \omega \sigma$  468<sup>\*</sup> |  $\theta a \nu$ .]  $\theta \nu \mu \omega$  2019 |  $\epsilon \rho a \nu n \omega \nu AC$  :  $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \nu$ N 025. 046 min omn<sup>vid</sup> : scrutator Cyp Pr |  $\nu \epsilon \phi$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\kappa a \rho \delta$ .]  $\kappa a \rho \delta$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\nu \epsilon \phi$ .  $\operatorname{arm}^{1. (3). 3. 4}$  bo eth : renis et cordis Pr |  $\kappa a \rho \delta \iota a \nu s^{1}$  ( $\operatorname{arm}^{2}$ ) |  $a \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \omega$  2050 |  $\nu \mu \iota \nu > \operatorname{arm}^{1. 2. 8}$  bo |  $\kappa a \tau a > \operatorname{arm}^{1. 2. 8}$  |  $\tau a \epsilon \rho \gamma a$ ]  $\epsilon \rho \gamma a$  C :  $\tau \eta \nu \kappa a \rho \delta \iota a \nu$  2050 |  $\nu \mu \omega \nu A \aleph^{\circ}$ C 025. 21 ( - 2020. 2050) Pr gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> eth :  $a \nu \tau \sigma \omega$  046. 2020. 2050 vg<sup>a. d</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> bo sa :  $a \nu \tau \omega \nu \operatorname{arm}^{a} : > \aleph^{*}$  |.

24.  $\delta \epsilon > 468 \text{ s}^1 | \tau \text{oid} \lambda \text{oit.}]$   $\tau \text{oid} \epsilon \nu \lambda \text{oittoid} \aleph^* | \tau \text{oid}^1 > 82 94. 2041 | \tau \text{oid} \epsilon \nu \tau \text{oid} \Theta \text{vat.} \lambda \text{oittoid} 2050 | \tau \text{oid} \epsilon \nu \Theta \text{vat.}]$   $\tau \omega \nu \Theta \nu \alpha \tau \text{ipaliev} \operatorname{arm}^{1.2.3} | \tau \text{oid}^2 > 205 \operatorname{arm}^4 | \Theta \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon \text{ipold} \aleph^* e^{\text{t.c.}} c$ 21 (-149. 620. 632. 2050):  $\Theta \nu \alpha \tau \text{ipold} AC$ :  $\Theta \nu \alpha \tau \text{ipold} 025$ . 620. 632. 2050:  $\Theta \nu \alpha \tau \text{ipold} 149$ :  $\Theta \nu \alpha \tau \text{ipold} 046$ :  $\Theta \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon \text{ipold} 025$ . 61. 69:  $\Theta \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon \text{ipold} \aleph^\circ$ : Thyatirae vg: Tyatirae Pr: Tyatire gig |  $\sigma \sigma \alpha$ ]  $\sigma \tau \cdot 205$ :  $\sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma$  gig |  $\sigma \nu \kappa^1 > \aleph^*$  |  $\epsilon \chi \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ ]  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon$  gig:  $\epsilon \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$   $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8} | \sigma \tau \tau \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \kappa$ ]  $\sigma \nu \delta \epsilon$  Tyc |  $\sigma \nu \kappa^2 > \operatorname{arm}^1$  |  $\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ]  $\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \tau \epsilon$ Tyc  $\operatorname{arm}^{3.4} | \beta \alpha \theta \epsilon \alpha AC 046. 91 (-205. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050: 21)$ ( $\tau \sigma$ )  $\beta \alpha \theta \sigma \sigma \sigma$  is a litudinem Tyc Pr: altitudines gig vg |  $\omega \sigma$   $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ .  $\lambda \epsilon \operatorname{arm}^4 | \omega \sigma$ ]  $\alpha \operatorname{arm}^{1.2.34} | \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega AC 025. 91 (-337. 632. 2050)$  $\operatorname{alma}$  Tyc gig  $\operatorname{arm}^4 : \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \wedge 046. 1. 61. 69. 177. 337. 632. 1957. 2023. 2050 Ol<sup>4</sup> Pr vg <math>\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.84}$  bo eth ].

**25.** πληνο] ο ουν s<sup>1</sup>: "more than what" arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> | κρατησατε] "and is with you" arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> | αχρι NC 69. 177. 2087 : αχρισ

- 26. Καὶ ὁ νικῶν καὶ ὁ τηρῶν ἄχρι τ έλους τὰ ἔργα μου, δώσω αὐτῷ ἐξουσίαν ἐπὶ τῶν ἐθνῶν,
- 27. καὶ ποιμανεῖ αὐτοὺς ἐν ῥάβδῳ σιδηρậ ὡς τὰ σκεύη τὰ κεραμικὰ συντρίβεται, ὡς κάγὼ εἶληφα παρὰ τοῦ πατρός μου,
- 28. και δώσω αυτώ τον αστέρα τον πρωινόν.
- 29. Ο έχων ούς άκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.

025. 046. 21  $a^{[p]}: ov > 2050: coor A 241 | av <math>\eta \xi \omega$  ANC 025. 35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg  $s^{1.2}$  bo : avor  $\xi \omega$  046. 18. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040  $a^{[p]}$ .

28. και<sup>1</sup> > 104. 336. 522. 620. 628. 2020 arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> | 0<sup>8</sup> > 2020 | τηρων] κρατων 468<sup>\*</sup> | αχρι τελ. > s<sup>1</sup> | τα εργα μου αχρει τελουσ 2050 eth | εξ. επι τ. εθν.] επι > 8<sup>\*</sup> : τα εθνη Tyc |. 27. κ. ποιμ.] ποιμαινειν (1854) s<sup>1</sup> : et reget gig vg : κ. ποιμα-

27. К. ТОЦА.] ПОЦАЛУЕТ (1854) S<sup>1</sup>: et reget gig vg: К. ПОЦАЛУЕТ (1854) S<sup>1</sup>: et reget gig vg: K. ПОЦАЛУЕТ (1854)

**28.** αυτω] αυτοισ arm<sup>2.4</sup> | πρωινον &C 025 al omn fere : προινον A 046. 2038 |.

**29.** v. 29 > Pr | 0vo] wra  $s^{1.2}$  : + akovew bo eth : aures audiendi arm<sup>1.8.4</sup> |  $\pi v \epsilon \tilde{v} \mu a$  ] + aytor arm<sup>1.3</sup> eth ].

#### CHAPTER III.

 Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Σώρδεσιν ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἔχων τὰ ἑπτὰ πνεύματα τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοὺς ἑπτὰ ἀστέρας, Οἶδά σου τὰ ἔργα, ὅτι ὄνομα ἔχεις ὅτι ζῆς καὶ νεκρὸς εἶ.

1. каї > Рг | ты ауу.] того аууєлого агт<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> | ты ег  $\Sigma$ . єкк.]. See note on 2<sup>1</sup>. ecclesiae qui est Sardis Pr : ты ег ту єкклуба Σарбешт s<sup>1</sup>: ты єг Σарб. s<sup>2</sup>: ты єг (таго) Σарбубла єкклубалого arm<sup>4</sup>: туб єг  $\Sigma$ . єкк. AN 025. 046 min<sup>omn</sup> Or<sup>4</sup>: ecclesiae Sardis (Sard. eccl. gig) gig vg bo eth : туб Σарбікыг (Σарбалыг 2. а) єккл. arm<sup>1. 2. 3 с</sup>: туб єг Σарб. єкклублаго C | єнта > 181.

- 2. γίνου γρηγορών, καὶ στήρισον τὰ λοιπὰ ἁ ἔμελλον ἀποθανεῖν, οὐ γὰρ εὖρηκά σου 「ἔργα<sup>1</sup> πεπληρωμένα ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ μου.
- 3°. <sup>b</sup>. μνημόνευε οὖν πῶς εἶληφας καὶ ἦκουσας, καὶ τήρει καὶ μετανόησον.
- xvi. 15. 'Ιδού έρχομαι ώς κλέπτης. μακάριος ό γρηγορων καὶ τηρων τὰ ἰμάτια αὐτοῦ, ἶνα μὴ γυμνὸς περιπατῆ, καὶ βλέπωσιν τὴν ἀσχημοσύνην αὐτοῦ.

2015 |  $\tau \sigma v \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma v > 386$  |  $\epsilon \rho \gamma a$ ] +  $\kappa a \iota Pr s^1$  |  $\sigma v \sigma \mu a$ ] + "of the health" bo |  $\sigma \tau \iota \zeta \eta \sigma$  ANC 025. 35. 205. 250. 620. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 3. 4</sup> bo :  $\kappa a \iota \zeta \eta \sigma$  046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 632. 2020. 2050) al<sup>p</sup> :  $\kappa a \iota \sigma \tau \iota \zeta \eta \sigma$  632 s<sup>1</sup> :  $\zeta w \tau \sigma \sigma$  arm<sup>a</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota^3$ ] +  $\sigma \tau \iota s^1$  |.

2. yirou] Kai yirov s1 : yerov 1854 | ypnyop.] eypnyopwr №\* : vigilans et stabilis Pr | στηρισον AC 025. 35. 175. 337. 468\*\*. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2020. 2040 al Or : ornpitor & 046. 1. 18. 205. 250. 632. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo eth : στηριζων 620 : τηρησον 42. 141. 201. 325. 385. 386. 429. 456. 468\*. 522. 2015. 2019. 2036 s<sup>2</sup> : πληρωσον arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> | τα λοιπα > Tyc eth : τουσ λοιπουσ (οι) s<sup>2</sup> | a] οι s<sup>2</sup> : οτι arm": ει δε μη? bo | εμελλον ΑΝC 025. 172. 181. 250 424. 468. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Oit Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : ε(or η)μελλεν 1.<sup>mg</sup> 104. 336. 620 : η(ΟΓ ε)μελλεσ 046. 21 (-468, 620, 2020, 2050). 93. 201. 498 al s<sup>1</sup> : μελλεισ arm bo | αποθανειν ANC 025. 1. mg 620. 919\*. 2020. 2050 alma Or (aποθνησκειν 468. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037) Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1.9</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo : αποβαλλειν 046. 21 (-35. 468. 620. 919\*. 2020. 2050) : αποβαλειν 35. 1957. 2023 [ευρηκα] ευρηκαν 046 : invenio vg : + σε οτι s<sup>1</sup> | πεπληρ. τ. εργ. σου 141 s<sup>1</sup> | εργα AC 1.mg : τα εργα & 025. 046. 21 alpl Or | πεπλη- $\rho\omega\mu\epsilon\nua > 201.386 | \epsilon\nu\omega\pi\iotaov | + \kappa\nu\rho\iotaov 35.205 | \muov > 1.205.2038.$ 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.8</sup>

8<sup>a. b.</sup> μνημονευε] pr και eth | ουν > N 69 Pr gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 3. 4</sup> eth | ηκ. κ. ειληφασ 2050 s<sup>1</sup> | κ. ηκουσ. κ. τηρει ANC 025. I. 35. 104. 172. 250. 468. 620. 1957. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 gig Vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2. 4</sup> bo : κ. ηκουσασ τηρει s<sup>1</sup> : ηκουσασ τηρει arm<sup>a</sup> : et audita custodi Pr : >046. **21** (-35. 468. 620. 2020. 2050) al<sup>ma</sup> | και τηρει > arm<sup>8</sup> eth |.

**xvi.** 15. (δου] or Pr arm<sup>8</sup> | ερχομαι] ερχεται  $\aleph^*$  (sed corr. prim. man.) 241. 2020 Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> : + εξαιφνηs eth | κλεπτησ] + ταχυ 2019 : + και 205 | o] οτε  $\aleph^*$  | τηρων] τιμων 1849 | περιπατει 104. 522. 2015 : περιπατηση 2020 : περιπατησει 2019 | βλεπουσι 1. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2038 : videat Pr | βλεπ. τ. αισχ. αντ.] "their shame appear" arm<sup>1.2.3</sup> a ].

254

- 3°. ἐἀν οὖν μὴ γρηγορήσης ቫξω ὡς κλέπτης, καὶ οὐ μὴ 「γνῷς] ποίαν ὥραν ቫζω ἐπὶ σέ°
- άλλὰ ἔχεις ὀλίγα ὀνόματα ἐν Σάρδεσιν δ οὐκ ἐμόλυναν τὰ ἱμάτια αὐτῶν, καὶ περιπατήσουσιν μετ' ἐμοῦ ἐν λευκοῖς, ὅτι ἄξιοί εἰσιν.
- 5. Ο νικών ούτως περιβαλείται έν ἱματίοις λευκοῖς, καὶ οὐ μὴ ἐξαλείψω τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ ἐκ τῆς βίβλου τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ ὁμολογήσω τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ ἐνώπιον τοῦ πατρός μου καὶ ἐνώπιον τῶν ἀγγέλων αὐτοῦ.

**3**<sup>c</sup>. ουν > 620 | γρηγορ. Αχ<sup>c</sup> etc. : γρηγορησεισ 104. 620 : μετανοησησ χ<sup>\*</sup> Pr : μετανοησησ μηδε (και bo) γρηγορισεισ 2050 bo | ηξω<sup>1</sup>] pr. veniam et subitabo adventum meum ad te Pr | ηξω AC 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 181. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2067 vg<sup>c. d. f. g</sup> arm<sup>a</sup> bo : + επι σε Ν 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>) al gig vg<sup>a. v</sup> s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> eth | γνωσ AC 025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : γνωση Ν 046. 21 (-35. 175. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 617. 620. 1934. 2050) Or<sup>\*</sup> : γνωσει 104. 620 459. 2050 : nescies gig vg : non scies Pr | ποιαν ωρ. ηξ.] "my coming" arm<sup>a</sup> | ποιαν ωραν] οιαν ωραν (N<sup>\*</sup>) : ποια ωρα 181. 367. 632. 2050 |.

4. alla ANC 69. 468. 2020 Or<sup>3</sup>: all 025. 046 min pl: > 35<sup>\*</sup>. 205 arm<sup>a</sup> |  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \sigma$ ]  $\epsilon \chi \omega s^1$  arm<sup>4</sup> b0 |  $\epsilon \chi$ . ol. ovoµ. ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 al Or<sup>3</sup> (Pr) vg s<sup>1.2</sup> eth:  $\epsilon \chi$ . ov. ol. gig: oliv.  $\epsilon \chi$ . ovoµ. 046. 21 (-35. 175. 205. 2020. 2050) al: oliv. ovoµ.  $\epsilon \chi$ . 61. 69. 175. 314. 522. 2016: + kai 1 | a ANC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 2050. 468. 2020) al Or<sup>a</sup> gig: oi 1. 35. 205. 209. 432. 468. 2015. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr vg: ai 522 | το ιµατιον Pr | avr.] εαυτων C: + µετα γυναικοσ b0 eth | περιπατησ.] περιπατησου A: περιπατουσιν 620. 2050 vg<sup>a. f. v</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>a</sup>: ambulaverunt Pr vg<sup>d</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> | µετ εµου > arm<sup>4</sup> a : ενωπιον µου s<sup>1</sup> | ori | kai s<sup>1</sup> | ori . . εισιν] eth om. here and trans. after λευκοισ in ver. 5 | εισιν] + και αναπαυσιν ουκ εχουσιν . . κ. ο ερχοµενοσ (from 4<sup>8</sup>) 35<sup>\*</sup> |. 5. ουτωσ AN<sup>\*</sup>C 18. 35. 456. 920. 1849. 2004 al Or<sup>a</sup> Pr gig

**5.** OUTWO AN\*C 18. 35. 456. 920. 1849. 2004 al Or<sup>6</sup> Pr gig Vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4 e</sup> bo : OUTW 325 : OUTOO N° 025. 046. 21 (-18. 35. 325. 456. 920. 1849. 2004. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 : autoo 2050 : OUTOO OUTWO 467 |  $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \beta a \lambda$ ]  $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a$  C s<sup>1. 2</sup> :  $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tau a$  2050 :  $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \beta a \lambda outour autour ? bo : > eth | e \epsilon a \lambda \epsilon \mu \psi a ma \lambda \epsilon \mu \psi w 2020 : e \epsilon a \lambda \epsilon \mu \psi outour v bo sa | to ovo \mu. aut. . . . o \mu o \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma w$  $> 1. 2015 | autou<sup>1. 2</sup>] autour s<sup>2</sup> arm bo | ek t. <math>\beta \mu \beta \lambda$ .] ev  $\beta \mu \beta \lambda w$ 2040 |  $\tau$ . ζωησ] των ζωντων 920. 2040 | to ov. aut.<sup>2</sup>] autov gig |  $\epsilon \nu w \pi$ .<sup>1</sup>]  $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon v N | K. \epsilon \nu w \pi. t. a y y. aut. > 325. 456 |.$ 

- 6. Ο έχων ούς άκουσάτω τι τό πνεύμα λέγει ταις έκκλησίαις.
- 7. Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Φιλαδελφία ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἀγιος, ὁ ἀληθινός, ὁ ἔχων τὴν κλείν Δαυείδ, ὁ ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεὶς κλείσει καὶ κλείων καὶ οὐδεὶς ἀνοίγει,

6. v.  $6 > \Pr[ov\sigma]$  wra  $s^{1.2}$  : + akovew bo eth : aures audiendi arm<sup>1.8.4</sup> |  $\pi v \epsilon v \mu a$  ] + aylov arm<sup>1.2</sup> eth |.

7.  $\kappa a \iota^1 > \Pr \mid \tau \omega a \gamma \gamma$ .]  $\tau o \iota \sigma a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \iota \sigma a rm^1 \mid \tau \omega \epsilon \nu \Phi \iota \lambda$ .  $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda$ .] See note on  $2^1$ : ecclesiae qui est Filadelphiae Pr :  $\tau \omega \epsilon v \Phi \lambda a$ δελφια arm<sup>4</sup> : τησ εν Φιλ. εκκλ. all Greek MSS O1" : Philadelphiae ecclesiae (gig) vg s<sup>1</sup> bo :  $\tau\eta\sigma$   $\Phi\iota\lambda a\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\omega\nu$  (- $\phi\iota\omega\nu$  3)  $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\iotaa\sigma$ arm<sup>1. 2. 3 α</sup> Φίλαδελφια NC 025. 046. 205. 325. 386. 456. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004 almu : Φιλαδελφιασ Α 620. 2050 : Φιλαδελφεια 18. 35. 175. 337. 468. 617. 632. 2020. 2040 almu εκκλησιαισ 🛚 🖌 | λεγει] + κυριοσ 172. 2018 | ο αγιοσ ο αληθ. C 025. 046. 21 (-2050). 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Or Phil 36 s1. 2 arm<sup>4</sup> bo eth : o aylog Kal a $\lambda\eta\theta$ . 172. 2018 : sanctus et verus Tyc Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> :  $o a\lambda\eta\theta$ .  $o a\gamma\iotao\sigma A\aleph$  :  $o a\lambda\eta\theta$ . > 2050 : oayyelos alytivos  $O_1^* | o^8 > 337 | \tau \eta \nu > \aleph^* | \kappa lei \mu A NC 025.046.$ **21** (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 617. 620. 2050). 250 almu Or<sup>Phil 46</sup> Oi\*: клеба I. 35. 69. 172. 205. 468\*\*. 617. 620. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or<sup>Phil 36</sup> : (+omnes eth) claves Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm eth | Δāδ A 2020 : του Δāδ (Δaīδ 632) N 21 (-620. 2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : TOU OLKOU (from Is. 2222) του Δαυειδ bo eth : του αδου 104\*. 218. 336. 459. 620. 2050 arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> | o avoiywy] kai avvywy  $\aleph$  | kai<sup>2</sup> > bo | kleisei A $\aleph$ C 025. 046. 21 (-205. 620. 632\*) alpl Or<sup>Phil 46</sup> Ors arm<sup>4</sup> bo : κλειση 104. 385 : KAELEL 1. 61.mg 205. 314. 632\*. 2016. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup>: κλειων 2015. 2036 (arm<sup>e</sup>) | κλει. (sine add) ANC 025. (35<sup>\*</sup>). 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 2020. 2050 al Or<sup>Phil. 56. Pa xi 37<sup>2</sup></sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup><sup>a</sup> bo : + αντην 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 2020. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> | και (>A : +0 2015. 2036) κλειων AN 025. 1. (35\*). 172. 205. 250. 314. 468. (2015). 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. (2036). 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or<sup>Phil. 46</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> (s<sup>1. 2</sup>) (b0) : και κλειει C 61.<sup>mg</sup> 2016 al gig arm<sup>4 a</sup> : et qui claudit Pr arm<sup>2. 8</sup>. : κλειει Tyc vg : ei µŋ o avoiywv (+ kai ovdeio avoitei Or) 046. 21 (-35\*. 205. 468. 620. 2020. 2050) al Or<sup>4</sup> : ει μη υ ανοιγων και κλειων 42. 104. 432. 459. 620 | Kal4] quod Pr | avoiyei AC 025. 61.mg 205. 2019. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or Tyc Pr gig vg s1. 2 arm4 : avorywr 468 (arma) : avoitei (- vtei N) N 046. 21 (- 205. 468. 620). 250 almu Or"bil 4 : avoity 104. 385. 620 .

Οίδά σου τὰ ἔργα

 — ίδοὺ δέδωκα ἐνώπιόν σου θύραν ἀνεψγμάνην,
 ἡν οὐδεἰς δύναται κλείσαι αὐτήν—

ότι μικράν έχεις δύναμιν, καὶ ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον, καὶ οὐκ ἡρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου.

9. ίδου διδώ ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς τοῦ Σατανä, τῶν λεγόντων ἐαυτοὺς Ἰουδαίους εἶναι καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ ψεύδονται—

ίδοὺ ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ἶνα ἦξουσιν καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν ἐνώπιον τῶν ποδῶν σου, καὶ γνῶσιν ὅτι ἐγὼ ἦγάπησά σε.

10. ὅτι ἐτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου, κἀγώ σε τηρήσω ἐκ τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς μελλούσης ἔρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης, πειράσαι τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς.

8. oib. o. r. epy. > Pr | r. epy. ou  $\aleph s^2 | epya ] + \kappa ai \tau \eta r \pi i \sigma \tau i v$ ou bo: +  $\kappa ai s^{1.2}$  eth |  $\theta v p$ . evon. ou avery. 920. 2040 | areary. AC 046. 21 (-205. 2020. 2050) al Or<sup>4</sup>:  $\eta v e w \gamma \mu$ .  $\aleph 025$ . 172. 205. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2050 |  $\eta v ]$   $\kappa ai$  I. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 2037. 2067 eth: > bo: ori arm<sup>2</sup> |  $av \tau \eta v > \aleph (35^*) 2023. 2038$  Pr gig vg arm<sup>4 a</sup> |  $\sigma \tau i ] + ov 2020. 2036. 2037 | <math>\mu i \kappa \rho$ . . .  $\delta v r$ .] pusillas . . . vires Pr | exeis] exei I. 1957. 2037 |  $\tau$ .  $\lambda o \gamma$ .]  $\tau a e \rho \gamma a 920.$ 2040 :  $\tau o v \sigma \lambda o \gamma o v \sigma a rm<sup>2.8 a</sup> |.$ 

9. ιδου] και ιδου s1 | διδω AC : δεδωκα 🛪 : διδωμι 025. 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2050) alpl Or gig : διδω μοι 205 : διδομι 620. 2050 : Swow Pr vg bo eth | Sarava] + ex Pr s<sup>1.2</sup> | twv  $\lambda ey$ .] τουσ λεγοντασ bo | αλλα > eth | ιδου<sup>2</sup>] και 1. 181. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 | nEouriv ANC 025. 69. 82. 201. 218. 314. 386. 632. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2050 arm : nEwour 046. 21 (-386. 632. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Or<sup>s</sup> : ηξω Ι | ιδου . . . ηξουσιν > eth |  $\kappa a \iota^2$ ] + ποιησω αυτουσ ινα bo | προσκυνησουσι ANC 025. 1. 42. 82. 149. 201. 2016. 2036. 2050 arm : προσκυνησωσιν 046. 21 (- 149. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Or<sup>a</sup> :  $+\sigma\epsilon$  ( $\sigma oi$ ) και πεσουνται bo | ηξ. ενωπ. τ. ποδ. σου κ. προσκυν. Pr | και<sup>8</sup>] + παντεσ bo | γνωσιν AC 025. 046. 21 (-2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 gig s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>s<sup>\*\*</sup> a</sup> : γνωσονται 2019. 2050. 2087 vg s<sup>1 vid</sup> : Yvwowrai 2023 : Yvwon N 69 Pr arm<sup>4</sup> : γνωσει Or | εγω ANC 025. 205. 250. 468. 620. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> bo eth : >046. 21 (-205. 468. 620. 2020. 2050) alma Pr. | ηγαπισα 149. 2040 | σε] + Kai 2020 .

10. στι] και A arm<sup>4</sup> · | τον λογον μου και την υπομ. bo eth | VOL, 11.-17

**III.** 11–14.

ἔρχομαι ταχύ ΄ κράτει ὅ ἔχεις
 ἶνα μηδεις λάβη τὸν στέφανόν σου.

12. Ο νικών ποιήσω αὐτὸν στύλον ἐν τῷ ναῷ τοῦ θεοῦ μου, καὶ ἔξω οὐ μỳ ἐξέλθῃ ἔτι, καὶ γράψω ἐπ' αὐτὸν τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ μου, καὶ τὸ ὄνομα τῆς πόλεως τοῦ θεοῦ μου, τῆς καινῆς Ἱερουσαλήμ, ἡ καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου, καὶ τὸ ὄνομά μου τὸ καινόν.

 Ο έχων ούς άκουσάτω τί τό πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.
 Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῷ ἐν Δαοδικία ἐκκλησίας γράψον Τάδε λέγει ὁ ᾿Αμήν,

- δ μάρτυς δ πιστος και αληθινός,
  - ή άρχη της κτίσεως του θεου,

καγω] και δια τουτο καγω eth | τηρησω >  $\aleph$  : ετηρησα arm<sup>2</sup> eth | τησ ωρασ τ. πειρασμ. τησ > 2050 : τησ ωρασ >  $s^1$  bo | πειρασαι] + παντασ arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> α bo | κατοικουντασ > bo |.

11. ερχ.] ιδου ερχομαι 468\*\*. 2015. 2019. 2036 al vg<sup>d f</sup> \* arm<sup>1</sup> : και ιδου ερχ. eth | μηδεισ λαβη ] μη λαβη τισ ταχυ 104. 336. 459. 620 | μηδεισ] (ne) quis alsus Pr : (ne) alsus Cyp | λαβη] λαβοι 2050 (arm<sup>1 2. 3. 4</sup>) | σου] + και s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> eth |.

12. 0 VIKOV TOV VIKOVTA arma avtov avto N\* 920 Ors | EV > \*\* arm | T. vaw] TW OVOMATI 920. 2040 | MOV1 > 385. 2019 Or s<sup>1</sup> | και εξω . . . τησ πολεωσ του θεου μου >2050 | ετι >  $\aleph$ arm<sup>2</sup> | en autov > C 2015 : en auto 61\*. 2019. 2036. 2037 : super illud Tyc : + to ovoµa µov kal 2020 |  $\tau$ .  $\theta$ . µ.  $\kappa$ . to ovoµ. >046 | κ. τ. ον. τησ πολ. τ. θεου μου >1. 181 s<sup>2</sup> : και δωσω autois tor olkor mov arm<sup>1</sup> | tou  $\theta \in \mathcal{O}$  mov<sup>3</sup> >s<sup>1</sup> eth : tou matroot μου bo | τησ καινησ πολεωσ του πατροσ μου bo | η καταβαινουσα AN\*C 025. 1. 141. 181. 205. 432. 459. 1854. 2015. 2050. 2087 : η καταβεννουσα 025 : τησ καταβαινουσησ N° : η καταβαινει 046. 21 (-205, 2050), 250, 2037, 2038, 2067 Or  $|\epsilon\kappa, \tau, oup, > 5^1$ arm<sup>1</sup> sa | ex ANC 025. 046. 1. 35. 205. 325. 337. 456. 468. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg bo : ano 18. 175. 386. 617. 620. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 al | TOV4 > 632 | ano > 386. 620 arm<sup>2. 8. 4 a</sup> | µou<sup>5</sup> ANC 025. 35. 205. 468. 632. 2050 al Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>8. 4</sup> bo : >046 21 (-35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2050) almu arm<sup>2</sup> : avrov arm<sup>8\*\*</sup> • Kalvor] + Kal S1 .

18. v. 13 > Pr | ovo] wta s<sup>1.2</sup> : + akoveir bo eth : aures audiendi arm<sup>1.2.5.4</sup> |  $\tau_i$  to  $\pi r$ . . . ekklygiais > arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\pi r \epsilon v \mu a$ ] + ayior arm<sup>1.2</sup> eth |.

14. THE RYY.] TOID RYYELOID RTM<sup>1.2</sup> [ THE EV AROS. EKK.] See note on  $2^1$ . THE EV AROS. EV EKK. RTM<sup>4</sup>: THE EV AROS. EKK. ANC

258

- 15. Οἶδά σου τὰ ἔργα, ὅτι οῦτε ψυχρὸς εἶ οῦτε ζεστός. ὅφελον ψυχρὸς ἦς ἢ ζεστός.
- 16. οὖτως, ὅτι χλιαρὸς ἐἶ καὶ οὖτε 「ψυχρὸς οὖτε ζεστός], μέλλω σε ἐμέσαι ἐκ τοῦ στόματός μου.
- 17. δτι λέγεις ότι Πλούσιός εἰμι καὶ πεπλούτηκα καὶ οὐδὲν χρείαν ἔχω, καὶ οὐκ οἶδας ὅτι σὺ εἶ ὁ ταλαίπωρος καὶ ὁ ἐλεινὸς καὶ πτωχὸς καὶ τυφλὸς καὶ γυμνός,

025. 046 min<sup>fere omn</sup>: τησ εν Λαοδ. (Λαοδικειασ 919) 919. 920. 2040: τη εν Λαοδ. εκκλησιασ 18: Laodiciae ecclesiae gig vg: ecclesiae Laudatiae Pr: τησ εκκλησιασ Λαοδικειασ (-κιασ bo) s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>a</sup> bo: τησ εκκλησιασ Λαοδικεων I arm<sup>2.8</sup> | Λαοδικεια ΑΝC 104. 149. 201. 620 : Λαωδικια 2050: Λαοδικεια 025. 046. 21 (-149. 620 2050): Laudatiae Pr: Lavodike arm<sup>4</sup> | o αμην] + και N<sup>\*</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> Α 025. 046 21 (-620. 2050). 250. 2038. 2067 s<sup>2</sup> arm: o 69. 104. 459. 620. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2050: και ο NC 82 bo | αληθινοσ | + και N s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2.3</sup> a eth | η αρχη] απαρχη 2015. 2036. 2037: απ αρχησ arm<sup>4</sup>: +τησ αρχησ arm<sup>a</sup>: o απ αρχησ eth | τησ κτισ.] τησ εκκλησιασ N: τησ κτησεωσ 1849: τησ πιστεωσ 201. 386 | του θεου] + μου gig |.

16. outwo . . .  $\psi u \chi po\sigma > arm^2 | outwo otl] otl outwork bo:$  $otl 1854. 2019: sed quia (quoniam Pr) Pr gig vg: kal s<sup>1</sup> | <math>\psi u \chi \rho$ . oute (eot. A 025. 205. (2050) al vg s<sup>1</sup>: (eotoo oute  $\psi u \chi \rho o\sigma$  (N)C 046. 21 (-205. 2050). I al<sup>mu</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> bo: k. oute (eotoo oute  $\psi v \chi \rho$ . > 60 Pr gig arm<sup>1. 2.4</sup> a | kal oute . . . otoµatoo µou > eth | oute<sup>1</sup> A&C 025. 046. 205. 617. 632. 2020. 2050 vg arm<sup>8</sup>: ou 21 (-205. 617. 632. 2020. 2050) al Or<sup>4</sup> (s<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>) | (eotoo] + et N° |  $\psi v \chi \rho o\sigma$ ] + et N<sup>\*</sup> 2050 | µellaw σε εµεσat εk t. στομ. µou] rause tou στοµatoo συ N<sup>\*</sup> | εµεσat] εµµεσat 046. 617. 919. 1934 : εµur N° : alµeσat 2050 : "judge" arm<sup>1. 2.8</sup> : + kat elley w σε 250. 2020 | του στομ.] τησ καρδιασ b0 | µou] σου N<sup>\*</sup>

17. ori]  $\tau_{1}$  18 : kai eth | ori<sup>2</sup> AC I. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 172. 175. 205. 242. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2050 al gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> bo : >N 025. 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2020. 2040. 2050). 2038. 2067 al Or<sup>\*</sup> Cyp |  $\epsilon_{i\mu}$   $\epsilon_{i}$  s<sup>1</sup> |  $\kappa$ .  $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda ov\tau$  >bo sa | ovder AC 181. 2038 : ovderor N 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2067 Or<sup>\*</sup> |  $\epsilon_{X}\omega$ ]  $\epsilon_{X}\epsilon_{U}\sigma v > N<sup>*</sup>$  |

- **III. 18–19**.
- 18. συμβουλεύω σοι άγοράσαι παρ' έμοῦ χρυσίον πεπυρωμένον ἐκ πυρὸς ἶνα πλουτήσχης, καὶ ἰμάτια λευκὰ ἶνα περιβάλῃ καὶ μὴ φανερωθῇ ἡ αἰσχύνη τῆς γυμνότητός σου, καὶ κολλούριον ἐγχρίσαι τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς σου ἶνα βλέπχε.
- 19. ἐγὼ ὄσους ἐὰν φίλῶ ἐλέγχω καὶ παιδεύω<sup>.</sup> ζήλευε οὖν καὶ μετανόησον.

o<sup>1</sup> >  $\aleph^{\bullet}$  2019. 2050 |  $\tau a\lambda$ .  $\epsilon \iota \ \aleph^{\bullet}$  2050 | o  $\tau a\lambda$ . . . eleivor] "weak and miserable " bo : eleivor > eth | o<sup>2</sup> A 046. 21 (-18 205. 632. 920. 2004. 2040. 2050). 250 al Or<sup>4</sup> : >  $\aleph$ C 025. 1. 18. 61. 69. 205. 241. 632. 920. 2004. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2040. 2050 | eleivor AC 104. 620 : eleivor  $\aleph$  025. 046. 21 (-620) al<sup>pl</sup> : algebro 1854 |  $\kappa$ . yuµvor  $\kappa$ . tuplor 104. 110. 336. 620. 632. 2050 gig arm<sup>4</sup> a eth |  $\kappa$ . tuplo. > s<sup>1</sup> |.

18. συμβ.] συμβουλευσω 2015 arm<sup>2</sup> (1. 8). . : consule Tyc | σοι] +our 2020 arm bo eth :  $+\lambda_{\alpha}\beta_{\epsilon} \operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8.\alpha}$  ayoparai] ayoρασον 2020 (Tyc) arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> « : λαβειν eth | παρ εμου > 172. 250. 424. 498. 2016. 2018. 2038 | nap em. xpvo. ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2050. 2067 al Or Tyc Cyp gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> arm : χρυσ. παρ εμ. 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2050) al bo sa : + εμον eth | εκ πυροσ ] εν πυρι bo eth : εκ πυρασ 046 | πλουτησεισ 620. 2050 | ιματιον λευκον Pr Cyp | λευκα] λαμπρα bo : τιμια  $\operatorname{arm}^1$  | ινα περιβαλ.] περιβαλεσθαι  $\operatorname{s}^{1.2}$  | ινα<sup>2</sup> > Pr gig vg bo eth | περιβαλλη 046. 61. 69. 172. 205. 617. 1934. 2015. 2036\*. 2037 : περιβαλει 104. 2050 | φανερωθη] φανη 69 Or" : + εν σοι PI | αισχυνη] ασχημοσυνη 025. 35\*. 104. 205. 620. 2019 | κολλουριον A 025. 35. 61.mg 205. 522. 632. 920. 1849, 1957. 2004. 2019. 2023. 2038. 2040. 2050 al Or : κουλλουριον 1. 18. 919. 2037 : κουλουριον 385. 2015. 2036 : κολλυριον NC 175. 250. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 617. 620. 1934. 2020. 2067 al : κολυριον 046 : collirio Pr gig : collyrio Tyc Cyp vg eyxpisai (evx. N 2050 : ex. 620) ANC 94. (104). 336. 459. 468\*\*. 620. (2015). 2019. 2037. 2050 : exposoral 104. 2015. s<sup>1.2</sup> : εγχρισον 025. 1. 35. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 1854. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2067 eth : nunge Tyc gig vg : ungue Pr Cyp : "give to" bo : "lay" arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> : eyypion 2020 : iva eyypioe 046 : iva eyypion 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 2020. 2050) Or\*: ινα εγχρισησ 205: + επι 60. 432. 1957. 2041 arm | τ. οφθ. σου >s1 | βλεπεισ 104. 2050 : βλεψεισ 620 arm4 .

19. εγω] στι εγω arm<sup>1.8</sup> bo sa | εαν] αν κ 2019. 2050 | ζηλευε AC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 617. 620. 2020). 250 al<sup>ma</sup>: ζηλου 314. 617. 2016 : ζηλωσον κ 025. 1. 35. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : ζητησον 1957 : rede Pr | συν > 104. 181. 336. 620. 2015 arm<sup>1. 2.8</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> > arm<sup>2</sup> | κ. μετανοησον] εισ μετανοιαν eth ].

- 20. Ίδοὺ ἔστηκα ἐπὶ τὴν θύραν καὶ κρούω ἐάν τις ἀκούσῃ τῆς φωνῆς μου καὶ ἀνοίξῃ τὴν θύραν, καὶ εἶσελεύσομαι πρὸς αὐτὸν καὶ δειπνήσω μετ' αὐτοῦ καὶ αὐτὸς μετ' ἐμοῦ.
- 21. δ νικῶν δώσω αὐτῷ καθίσαι μετ' ἐμοῦ ἐν τῷ θρόνψ μου, ὡς κάγὼ ἐνίκησα καὶ ἐκάθισα μετὰ τοῦ πατρός μου ἐν τῷ θρόνψ αὐτοῦ.
- Ο ἔχων οὖς ἀκουσάτω
   τἱ τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.

**20.**  $i\delta ou ] + \epsilon_{YW} Or^{J_0} \stackrel{\text{it. St}}{=} : \sigma \tau_i \delta ov bo : \kappa a_i \delta ov eth | e \tau_i ] ante Pr | a \kappa ov \sigma \eta ] a voi \xi \epsilon_i 2050 | a \kappa ov \sigma \eta . . . <math>\mu ov \kappa a_i > Or^{J_0} \stackrel{\text{it. St}}{=} and else$ where | a voi  $\xi w : a voi \xi \epsilon_i 18. 2050 \text{ s}^1 : + \mu oi Or^{J_0. \text{it. St}} Pr bo eth | \kappa a_i^8 N 046. 21 (-205. 468. 620. 632<sup>‡</sup>. 2020. 2050) al^{mu} Or<sup>4</sup>$  $Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : > A 025. I. 104. 205. 468 620. 632<sup>‡</sup>. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or^{J_0} \stackrel{\text{it. 38}}{=} gig vg s^2 arm^{1.2.8.e} bo eth | <math>\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a v \tau ov > s^1 | \delta \epsilon i \pi v \eta \sigma \omega$  "will dwell" arm<sup>1</sup> : "will rest" eth |  $\epsilon \mu ov$ ] + in trono meo Pr : + "in my kingdom" arm<sup>1 2 8</sup> |.

**21.** o riker] pr kai  $s^{1.2}$  eth | kayw] eyw  $s^1$  bo eth |.

22. v. 22 > gig | ovo] wra Pr s<sup>1.2</sup>: + anover bo eth : aures audiendi arm<sup>1.8.4</sup> |  $\pi v \epsilon v \mu a$ ] + aytor arm<sup>1</sup> eth |.

#### CHAPTER IV.

 Μετὰ ταῦτα εἶδον, καὶ ἰδοὺ θύρα ἠνεφγμένη ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ, καὶ ἡ φωνὴ ἡ πρώτη ἦν ἦκουσα ὡς σάλπιγγος λαλούσης μετ ἐμοῦ, λέγων Ἀνάβα ὥδε καὶ δείξω σοι ἅ δεῖ γενέσθαι μετὰ ταῦτα.

1.  $\mu \epsilon \tau a$ ] pr kai  $arm^{1.2.8.4}$  (bo) eth |  $kai^1 > Pr$  bo sa eth |  $i\delta ou > eth$  |  $\theta u \rho a \sigma 620. 2050$  eth |  $\eta \epsilon \epsilon u \gamma \mu \epsilon u \gamma A R 025. I. 2016.$ 2020. 2038. 2067 :  $\eta \epsilon \epsilon u \gamma \mu \epsilon v \gamma \mu 2050$  :  $a \nu \epsilon u \gamma \mu \epsilon v \eta 046. 21$ (-2020. 2050). 250. 2037 al Or<sup>4</sup> :  $\eta \nu o i \chi \theta \eta arm^1$  Tyc :  $> arm^4$   $| \kappa a \iota^2 ] + i\delta ou R Pr | \eta^1 > 498. 1957. 2020 | <math>\phi u v \eta ] + \eta \lambda a \lambda ou \sigma a$   $\mu \epsilon r \epsilon \mu o u do | \eta \pi \rho u \tau \eta > s^1 | \eta \nu ] \eta \sigma 205 : > eth | u \sigma ] + \phi u v \eta do$  $eth | <math>\sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma a$  Pr gig s<sup>1.2</sup> |  $\lambda a \lambda ou \sigma \sigma \eta$  ]  $\lambda a \lambda ou \sigma \sigma N$  Pr gig :  $\lambda a \lambda ou \sigma a 522 : \lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma \sigma 141. 218. 1849. 1955 : \epsilon \lambda a \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon v s^1$   $arm<sup>8 a</sup> | \lambda \epsilon \gamma u \nu A N<sup>4</sup> 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020).$ 250  $a l^{mu}$  Or<sup>4</sup> :  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma \sigma N^{\circ} 025. I. 35. 61.^{mg} 205. 468. 632.$ 1854. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 :  $\kappa a i \lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma a$   $\mu o i 2019 : \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma \tau 04. 336. 620 : \kappa a i \lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma a$   $\mu o i 2019 : \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma \tau 04. 336. 620 : \kappa a i \lambda \epsilon \gamma ou \sigma a$   $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v s^2$  eth |  $a v a \beta a$ ]  $a v a \beta \eta \theta i A | \sigma o i$ ]  $\sigma \epsilon 205. 386 | a$ ]  $\sigma \sigma a$ A |  $\delta e_i$ ]  $\delta \eta 2050$  ].

- εὐθέως ἐγενόμην ἐν πνεύματι
   καὶ ἰδοὺ θρόνος ἔκειτο ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ,
   καὶ ἐπὶ τὸν θρόνον καθήμενος,
- καὶ ὁ καθήμενος ὅμοιος ἑράσει λίθψ ἰάσπιδι καὶ σαρδίψ,
   καὶ ἰρις κυκλόθεν τοῦ θρόνου ὅμοιος ἑράσει σμαραγδίνψ.
- 4. καὶ κυκλόθεν τοῦ θρόνου θρόνους εἶκοσι τέσσαρες, καὶ ἐπὶ τοὺς <sup>「</sup>θρόνους εἶκοσι τέσσαρας<sup>¬</sup> πρεσβυτέρους καθημένους

2.  $eubewor A \mathbb{N}^*$  046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 632. 2020) al Or<sup>4</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> :  $eubewor \delta e \mathbb{N}^{\circ}$  :  $\kappa ai eubewor 025. 1. 35. 104. 205.$  $620. 632. 1854. 2020 al s<sup>1</sup> <math>\operatorname{arm}^{(1.2.4. e)}$  eth :  $\kappa ai \operatorname{arm}^{3}$  bo |  $i\delta ov$ ]  $ei\delta ov \operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8}$  :  $ei\delta ov i\delta ov bo : i\delta ov ei\delta ov Pr$  |  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \tau o > 2050 bo :$ positum Pr ( $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8}$ ) |  $\epsilon v \tau$ . ovp.  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \tau o 468$  eth |  $\epsilon v \tau$ . ovp. > 632 |  $\tau ov \theta povov A \mathbb{N}$  046. 21 (-35. 205. 632) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> :  $\tau ov$   $\theta povov 025. 1. 35. 205. 632. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038.$ 2041. 2067 |.

8. K. O Kabyleroo AN 025. 046. 42. 61. 93. 104. 337. 452. 468. 506. 2019. 2021. 2050 Or Tyc gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> : et his qui sedebat Pr : > 21 (-337. 468. 2050)  $al^{mu}$  arm<sup>1.2.3.4</sup> bo eth | ορασι 205 : ορασισ 2050 | λιθω] λιθων 2020 arm<sup>8. a</sup> : λιθου Tyc vg s<sup>1.2</sup> : > arm<sup>1</sup> bo |  $\iota a \sigma \pi$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\sigma a \rho \delta$ .  $\lambda \iota \theta \omega$  Pr |  $\iota a \sigma \pi \iota \delta \iota$ ] ασπιδι 920 : ηασπιδι 2050 : + σμαραγδω 337 : + και σμαραγδω 046. 42. 180. 452. 468. 506. 1854. 2021 | και<sup>2</sup> > 1854 arm<sup>1</sup> | σαρδιω AN 046. 21 (-325. 337. 456. 468). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup>: sardi Tyc: sardo Pr: σαρδινω 025. 1. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 2019. 2038 al gig bo sa eth : sardinis  $vg^d$  : sardini vg : sardion  $s^2$  arm<sup>2. 8. 4. 4</sup> : sardion  $s^1$  : >1854 |  $\omega \sigma$  025. 21 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg bo eth : ipeior N° 046 : iepeior AN\* 2015. 2036 arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> кикловеч] киклове 920 : кикливеч 18. 104. 201\*. 205. 336. 620. 632. 2017. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2050 : κυκλω 241. 2019. 2020 | θρονου] + avrov 2020 : + et ipsa sedes gig | ομοιοσ<sup>2</sup> A 025. 1. 35\*. 104. 181. 314. 429. 632\*. 2019. 2036. 2037\*. 2038 Pr vg s<sup>1.2</sup> : opoioi 2015 arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> : opoiov 205 : opoia 35\*\*. 241\*\*. 468\*\*\*. 620. 632\*\*. 1957. 2016. 2023. 2037\*\*. 2041. 2050. 2067 : oµowor № 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 632. 2050) al Or | он. ор. он. к. кик. т. вр. > \* | ораотее σμαραγδινω AN° 025. 35. 205. 250. 468\*\*. 620. 2037. 2067 al Pr gig vg : ορασει μιαγδινω 2050 : ορασει σμαραγδων (σμαραγδου arm) s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8.</sup> « : ορασεισ σμαραγδων 69 : ορασισ σμαραγδινων 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 632. 2020. 2050) al Or\*: wo (>632\*) opaoio opapardov 241. 632\*\*. 2020 : opaoiwo opapardov (arm<sup>4</sup>) bo |.

4. και<sup>1</sup> AN<sup>c</sup> 025. 1. 35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 al Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2.4.e</sup> bo eth : >046. 21 (-35. 205. περιβεβλημένους ίματίοις λευκοΐς, καὶ ἐπὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς αὐτῶν στεφάνους χρυσοῦς.

- καὶ ἐκ τοῦ θρόνου ἐκπορεύονται ἀστραπαἶ καὶ φωναὶ καὶ βρονταί· καὶ ἐπτὰ λαμπάδες πυρὸς καιόμεναι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου,(a)
- 6. καὶ ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου ὡς θάλασσα ὑαλίνη ὁμοία κρυστάλλῳ, καὶ (b) κύκλῳ τοῦ θρόνου τέσσαρα ζῷα γέμοντα ὀφθαλμῶν ἔμπροσθεν καὶ ὅπισθεν

(a) A gloss is added here : α έστιν τὰ έπτὰ πνεύματα τοῦ θεοῦ. See vol. i. 117.

(b) A gloss added here : εν μέσφ τοῦ θρόνου καί. See vol. i. 118.

468. 620. 632. 2020. 2050) s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | κυκλοθε 920 : κυκλω 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 : κυκλωθεν 18. 104. 205. 336. 620. 2017. 2039. 2050 | θρονου] + ειδον Tyc arm<sup>4</sup> | θρονουσ<sup>1</sup> AN 250. 424. 2018 Tyc : θρονοι 025. 046. 21 al<sup>p1</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> s<sup>1. 2</sup> bo | εικοσι<sup>1</sup>] + και 104 al | τεσσαρεσ A 025 min pl : τεσσαρισ 2020. 2050 | και<sup>2</sup> > 2017 arm<sup>1</sup> | επι τ. εικ. τ. θρον > N 2017 Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> | επι τ. θρον. εικ. τεσσ. 025. 35. 632<sup>4</sup> s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>2. 8. 4</sup> a bo eth : επι τ. θρον. τουσ εικ. τεσσ. 046 min pl Or<sup>4</sup> : super thronos viginti quattuor vg (gig) : in quibus seniores sedentes erant xxiiii. Pr : επι τ. εικ. τεσσ<sup>2</sup> > 2020 arm<sup>1</sup> | τεσσαρασ] τεσσαρισ 2050 | θρονουσ<sup>2</sup> >920. 2040 : + ειδον 1957. 2023 2041 al<sup>y1d</sup> | καθ. πρεσβ. 2020 : καθημενοι πρεσβυτεροι Pr (arm<sup>2. 8. 4. e)</sup> | περιβεβλημενοισ 1934 : περιβεβλημενοι Pr | περιβεβλ. A 025. 35. 2015. 2036. 2037 Pr vg gig : + εν N 046. 21 (-35). 250. arm<sup>4</sup> | αυτων] εχοντεσ gig : + ειχον arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | χρυσεουσ N |.

5. Tou  $\theta pov.$ ] twv  $\theta povwv$  s<sup>1</sup> [  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \sigma p \epsilon vov \tau a.$ ]  $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi \sigma p \epsilon vov \tau o$  104. 620 vg<sup>d</sup> arm bo eth (?) |  $a \sigma \tau p. \kappa. \phi wv. \kappa. \beta povr. AN 025. 046.$ 21 (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2. 3. 4</sup> a bo :  $a \sigma \tau p. \kappa. \beta povr. \kappa. \phi wv. I. 385. 2020 : \beta povr. \kappa.$  $<math>a \sigma \tau p. \kappa. \phi wv. s^1$  |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu. \pi v p o \sigma$  920. 2040 |  $\pi v p o \sigma > vg s^1$ arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu \epsilon v a \cdot s^1$  |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu. \pi v p o \sigma$  920. 2040 |  $\pi v p \sigma \sigma > vg s^1$ arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu \epsilon v a \cdot s^1$  |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu. \pi v p o \sigma$  920. 2040 |  $\pi v p \sigma \sigma > vg s^1$ arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu \epsilon v a \cdot s^1$  |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu. \pi v p \sigma \sigma$  920. 2040 |  $\pi v p \sigma \sigma > vg s^1$ arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu \epsilon v a \cdot s^1$  |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu. \pi v p \sigma \sigma$  920. 2040 |  $\pi v p \sigma \sigma > vg s^1$ arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu \epsilon v a \cdot s^1$  |  $\kappa a \iota o \mu \epsilon v \sigma \sigma$  920. 2040 |  $\pi v \rho \sigma \sigma > vg s^1$ (-456. 032<sup>\*</sup>. 2020. 2050 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>2</sup> | a  $\epsilon \sigma \tau v \cdot . \tau \sigma v \theta \rho \sigma v \sigma v$ >N<sup>\*</sup> 456 |  $a \epsilon \sigma \tau v r$ ]  $\kappa a \iota o f^*$ . 69 Or<sup>\*</sup> |  $a A N^{\circ} 0 25. I. 201. 386.$ 2019. 2038. 2050 vg<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>2</sup> :  $a \iota o 46.$  21 (-386. 456. 2050). 250. 2037. 2067 al Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau u \wedge N^{\circ} 0 25. 046$ al<sup>fere omn</sup> |  $\tau a A N^{\circ} 0 25. I. 6I. 69. 468. 632. 1957. 2015.$ 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 arm<sup>3. \*</sup> bo :>046. 21 (-456. 468. 632. 2020. 2050). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> (s<sup>1. \$1</sup>) arm<sup>1. \$2. \$4 | \$Ta \$e \$Ta \$m \$ve \$u a a \$Ta \$m \$ve \$u a a \$th \$m \$ve \$u a \$th \$m \$ve \$u a \$th \$m \$ve \$u a a \$th \$m \$ve \$u a \$th \$m \$ve \$u a a \$th \$m \$ve \$u a \$th \$th \$ve \$u a \$</sup>

6. 00000] + autou 104. 141. 205. 209. 620 | wor AN 025. 046. 21 (-386. 632\*). 250. 2038 al<sup>µ1</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> 2<sup>2</sup> bo : > 1. 201. 386.

- 7. καὶ τὸ ζῷον τὸ πρῶτον ὄμοιον λέοντι, καὶ τὸ δεύτερον ζῷον ὄμοιον μόσχφ, καὶ τὸ τρίτον ζῷον ἔχων τὸ πρόσωπον ὡς ἀνθρώπου, καὶ τὸ τέταρτον ζῷον ὅμοιον ἀετῷ πετομένφ.
- καὶ τὰ τέσσερα ζῷα, ἐν καθ ἐν αὐτῶν ἐχων ἀνὰ πτέρυγας ἔξ,(α) καὶ ἀνάπαυσιν οὐκ ἔχουσιν ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτὸς λέγοντες ᾿Αγιος ἄγιος ἄγιος Κύριος, ὁ θεός ὁ παντοκράτωρ, ὁ ἡν καὶ ὁ ὡν καὶ ὁ ἐρχόμενος.

(a) The following clause is interpolated here : κυκλόθεν και έσωθεν γέμουσιν δφθαλμών.

632\* Tyc Pr arm s<sup>1</sup> |  $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$  620. 2050 : +  $\omega \sigma$  eth |  $v a \lambda i \nu \eta$  AN 025. 046. 21 (-205. 325. 456. 468. 632. 2020. 2040. 2050)  $v a \lambda i \nu \eta \nu$  2050 :  $v a \lambda \eta \nu \eta$  2040 :  $v \epsilon \lambda i \nu \eta$  60. 205. 241. 325. 456. 468. 498. 632. 2018. 2020. 2022. 2023 |  $o \mu i a$  205 :  $o \mu o i a \nu$  2050 |  $\kappa \rho v - \sigma \tau a \lambda \lambda \omega$ ]  $\kappa \rho v \sigma \tau a \lambda \omega$  632. 2020 :  $\beta \eta \rho v \lambda \lambda \omega$  arm<sup>4</sup> : "the whiteness of crystal" arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> |  $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$  A 1854 : "at the side of" (?) eth |  $\theta \rho \rho v \sigma v^2$ ] +  $\mu o v$  2020 |  $\kappa$ .  $\kappa v \kappa \lambda \lambda$ .  $\tau$ .  $\theta \rho v$ . > 385. 429. 522. 2015. 2050 Tyc arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> bo sa eth |  $\kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega$ ]  $\kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega \theta \epsilon v$  110 |  $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \rho a$ N 025. 046. 21 :  $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a$  A |  $o \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o v \sigma$  336. 620. 2015. 2019 |  $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \gamma$  A min<sup>fere orm</sup> :  $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$  920 :  $\epsilon v \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon v$  N 025. 046 : ante se Pr |  $\sigma \pi v \sigma \theta \epsilon$  920 |.

7.  $\kappa \alpha l^{1} > 2050$   $\text{Pr} s^{1} | \tau \sigma \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \tau \sigma \zeta \omega \sigma \tau 386 | \zeta \omega \sigma^{2} > 1000$ arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\kappa \alpha \iota^3 > \Pr$  |  $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu \ldots \alpha \nu \theta \rho$ .] omotov  $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega$  gig arm<sup>4</sup>: ομοιον προσωπω (ως προσωπον eth) υιου ανθρωπου bo eth | εχων τ. προσ . . . τετ. ζωον > 325. 456 | εχων Α 046. 104. 620. 919. 920\*. 1849. 2015. 2019 Or : Exor N 025. 21 (- 325. 456. 620. 919. 920<sup>\*</sup>. 1849. 2050) al : eixev arm<sup>2. a</sup> :  $\eta v$  arm<sup>3</sup> : > 2050 arm1 | 705 AN 025. 1. 35. 61\*. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 al Or<sup>4</sup> : >046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> | wor av $\theta \rho \omega \pi o v$  A 42. 2019 vg s<sup>1</sup> : quasi humanam Pr : ωσ ανθρωποσ 025. 1. 35. 61\*. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037 2038. 2041. 2050 s<sup>2</sup> : arθρωπου 046. 21 (-35. 205. 325. 456. 620. 2020. 2050). 250. 2067 Or\* arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : ομοιον ανθρωπου 2018 : ωσ ομοιον ανθρωπω 🛚 και<sup>4</sup> >Pr | 706 > 205 | Guov<sup>4</sup> AN 025. 35. 468\*\*. 620. 632. 1849. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Or\* Pr gig vg s1. 2 : >046. 21  $(-35.468^{**}.620.632.1849.2020.2050).250$  al eth (which om.  $\zeta \omega o \nu$  thrice before).

8. TA TETT. LWA > b0 | TA AN 025. 18. 35. 205. 620. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2040. 2050 alma Or<sup>a</sup>: >046. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 617. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al |  $\epsilon v$   $\kappa a\theta \epsilon v$  aurw A 025. 35. 104. 172. 181. 205. 250. 620. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2038. 2067 al : singula eorum Tyc gig vg :  $\epsilon v \epsilon \kappa a \sigma \tau \sigma v$ aurw N 2020 s<sup>1</sup> b0 eth :  $\epsilon v \kappa a\theta \epsilon a v \tau o 1. 61.^{mg}$  :  $\kappa a\theta \epsilon a v \tau \omega v 2050$ :  $\epsilon v \kappa a\theta \epsilon v 046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 2020. 2050)$  al Or<sup>a</sup> : singula

- 9. Καὶ ὅταν δώσουσιν τὰ ζῷα δόξαν καὶ τιμὴν καὶ εὐχαριστίαν τῷ καθημένῳ ἐπὶ τῷ θρονῷ, τῷ ζῶντι εἰς τοὺς alŵvaς τῶν alώνων,
- 10. πεσοῦνται οἱ εἶκοσι τέσσαρες πρεσβύτεροι ἐνώπιον τοῦ καθημένου ἐπὶ τοῦ θρόνου, καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν τῷ ζῶντι εἰς τοὺς aἰῶνaς τῶν aἰώνων, καὶ βαλοῦσιν τοὺς στεφάνους aὐτῶν ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου, λέγοντες

Pr : + εστωσ 250. 424. 2018 s<sup>1</sup> | εχων Α 1. 42. 61<sup>#</sup>. 82. 104. 172. 336. 429. 522. 620. 919. 1849. 1918. 1955. 2017. 2019 : Exor 046. 21 (-205. 620. 919. 1849. 2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Or" : exorta 025. 2020. 2024. 2050 : exel 2015 : ειχεν 205 : ειχον N 61.mg Tyc Pr gig vg arm4 | ava πτερ. εξ . . . οφθαλμων κυκλοθεν απο των ονυχων εσωθεν, γεμοντα οφθαλμων bo : + aπo των ονυχων και επανω, πτερ. εξ κυκλοθεν και εσωθεν γεμουσιν οφθαλμων  $s^1 | \pi \tau \epsilon \rho v \gamma \omega v 046 | κυκλοθεν] + και εξωθεν$ 046 alp : +  $\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon v 61^*$ . 69 |  $\kappa$ .  $\epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon v$  |  $\epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon v \kappa \alpha i \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon v Or^* : >$ 218. 522. 2015. 2018. 2020 arma | couber ] ctuber 1957. 2050 : ante se et retro Pr | yemovra 1. 2020 : exovra 2037 | octaamovor 620. 2036. 2037 | Kai8] quae Pr | OUK EXOUGIN] OUX EEOGAN N\* : non habebant Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>a, d</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | ημ. κ. νυκτ.] αλλα παντοτε 2050 | ημερασ] + τε 632 | λεγοντεσ Ακ 025. 046. 21 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup>: dicentes Pr gig : Leyorra 110. 385. 1955. 2023\*\*. 2041 : dicentia vg : + 70 2050 | ayloo ter AN° 025. 205. 386. 617. 620. 632. 920 2004. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 al Pr gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> bo eth : semel Or<sup>8</sup> : bis 18. 181 : sexies 141. 2020 : octies  $\aleph^*$ : novies 046. 35. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 468. 919. 1849. 1934 almu arm<sup>8</sup> a |  $\kappa u \rho_i o \sigma$ ] +  $\sigma a \beta a \omega \theta$  205 |  $o^1 > \aleph^*$  |  $o \theta \epsilon o \sigma$ > 2050 Or" arm<sup>1.2</sup> | 0 θεοσ 0 παντ. ] σαβαωθ 0 παντο. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 104. 620. 1918. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037 | ο παντ.] των θεων eth | o<sup>2</sup>> Х 2019 | a wv к a ηv 35. 201. 205. 250. 386. 2016. 2019. 2020. 2023\*\*. 2067 (arm) bo sa | κ. ο ων >620 | και ο ερχ. >eth |.

9. δωσουσιν A 025. 1. 632. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2050. 2067 al : δωσωσι(ν) N 046. 61. 69. 104. 181. 205. 620. 1854. 1918. 2017. 2038 Or<sup>s</sup> : δωσι(ν) 21 (-205. 456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 620. 632. 2020. 2050) 250 al : δωσει 42. 141. 517 : δω 325<sup>\*\*</sup>. 456 : dederunt gig s<sup>1</sup> arm : dederant Pr | δωσ. τ. ζωα] ζωα δωσουσιν 2050 | δοξαν > N<sup>\*</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> a | και<sup>2</sup> > 2050 arm<sup>2</sup> a | ευχαριστειασ A arm<sup>1\*. 3</sup> a : ευχαριστειαν 2015. 2017. 2040 : > 2050 | τω θρονω AN 2050 : (in) trono Pr : του θρονου 025. 046. 21 (-2050) al Or<sup>s</sup> : + και προσκυνησουσιν (-σωσιν 2040). 920. 2040 : + και s<sup>1</sup> | τω ζωντι . . επι του θρονου > 919. 1849. 2004 | τω ζωντι . . αιωνων > Vg<sup>a</sup> | των αιωνων > 1854 arm<sup>1</sup> : + αμην (+ και N) N 2017. 2040<sup>\*</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> a : + ευθεωσ Pr |.

10. πεσουνται . . . τ. αιωνων > 175 arm<sup>3</sup> a | πεσουνται . . . και προσκυνησουσιν] και προσκυνησουσιν ενωπιον τ. καθ. . . . οι

# "Αξιος εζ, δ κύριος καὶ δ θεὸς ἡμῶν, λαβεῖν τὴν δόξαν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν καὶ τὴν δύναμιν, ὅτι σὺ ἔκτισας τὰ πάντα, καὶ διὰ τὸ θέλημά σου ἦσαν καὶ ἐκτίσθησαν.

εικ. τ. πρεσβ. eth | πεσουνται] πιπτουσιν vg<sup>g</sup> (procedunt corrupt for procid.) : επιπτον Pr vg<sup>s. d</sup> v (procedebant corrupt for procid.) : επεσον arm<sup>1</sup> | εικ] + και min<sup>p</sup> s<sup>1</sup> (arni<sup>1</sup>) | τεσσαρεσ] τεσσαρισ 2020. 2050 : τεσσαρεισ 620 | ενωπιον . . . θρονου > bo | του καθ. επι > Pr gig arm | προσκυνησωσιν 18. 2004 : προσκυνουσι 61.<sup>mg</sup> : προσεκυνουν vg<sup>s. c. d. f. v</sup> : adorabunt (corrupt for adorabant) Pr | εισ τ. αιων. των. αιων. τω ζωντι s<sup>1</sup> | τω ζωντι > arm<sup>4</sup> | εισ τουσ αιωνασ > arm<sup>1</sup> | αιωνων] + αμην × 205. 2017 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> | και βαλουσιν ΑΝ<sup>c</sup>(?) 025. 21 (-620). 250. 2067 al Or<sup>a</sup> gig vg<sup>s. c f. g. s<sup>1</sup>. 2 arm<sup>4</sup> : κ. βαλλουσιν ×<sup>\*</sup> 046. 1. 61.<sup>mg</sup> 172. 181. 429. 620. 1854. 2015. 2017. 2019. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2036. 2037. 2038 bo : κ. εβαλλον vg<sup>d. v</sup> : mittentes Tyc Pr arm<sup>a</sup> | αυτου 205 | ενωπ. τ. θρον. >920. 2040 |.</sup>

11. ει] εστιν arm<sup>2</sup> : + κυριε κ | ο κυριοσ Ακ 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 620. 2020). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>2.3.4</sup> ho кирие 025. 1. 35\*. 69. 104. 205. 241. 336. 620. 1854. 1918. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038 Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. •</sup> : + ημων s<sup>1</sup> κ. ο θεοσ ημ > 1 | και<sup>1</sup> > 025. 35\*. 69. 104. 205. 241 336. 620. 1854. 1918. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2</sup> · bo sa eth | o<sup>2</sup> > × 468. 2050 Or<sup>s</sup> | ημων AN 025. 104. 205. 620. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 al Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm bo eth : + 0 ouparloor 172 : + 0 ayloor 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2020. 2050). 250. 2067  $a^{lmu}$  Or<sup>6</sup>  $s^2 | \delta u \nu a \mu u \nu . . . \delta o \xi a \nu . . . \tau u \eta \nu$ 620 |  $\tau \eta \nu^2 > \aleph | \tau \eta \nu^3 > A | \delta u \nu a \mu u \nu ] + \kappa a \cdot 69 | \tau a A \aleph O 25. I. 35.$ 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 2050 al : > 046. 21 (-35. 2050. 2020. 2050). 250. 2067 al Or<sup>4</sup> |  $\pi a \nu \tau a$ ] + kai dia oov eisiv s<sup>2</sup> [dia > b0] dia  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu a \tau$ i sou A : dia tov θεληματοσ σου 617 (s<sup>i</sup>) : ex voluntate tua (tua potestate Pr) Tyc Pr | ησαν κ. εκτισθ.] haec sunt constituta Pr | ησαν και > 2019 |  $\eta \sigma a \nu$  Ax 21 (-18. 35. 468. 620. 2020. 2050) Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup>. arm<sup>4</sup>:  $o \nu \kappa \eta \sigma a \nu$  046. 18. 69. 2020:  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$  025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 250. 468. 620. 1854. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. (2067) : εγενετο b0 : +παντα eth | εκτισθ. κ. evor 2067 | K. EKTIGONGAV > A : "and stand sure" arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> : a εκτισθησαν eth .

### CHAPTER V.

1. Καὶ εἶδον ἐπὶ τὴν δεξιὰν τοῦ καθημένου ἐπὶ τοῦ θρόνου βιβλίον γεγραμμένον ἔσωθεν καὶ 「ὅπισθεν<sup>¬</sup>, κατεσφραγισμένον σφραγῖσιν ἔζωθεν ἐπτά. 2. καὶ εἶδον ἄγγελον ἰσχυρὸν κηρύσσοντα ἐν φωνῆ μεγάλῃ Τίς ắζιος ἀνοῖξαι τὸ βιβλίον καὶ λῦσαι τὰς σφραγῖδας αὐτοῦ; 3. καὶ οὐδεὶς ἐδύνατο ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ 「οὐδὲ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς οὐδὲ<sup>¬</sup> ὑποκάτω τῆς σῦτε ἐπὶ γῆς ἀνοῖξαι τὸ βιβλίον οὐδὲ βλέπειν αὐτό. 4. καὶ ἔκλαιον πολὺ ὅτι τῆς γῆς οὐδεὶς ἔζιος εὑρέθη ἀνοῖζαι τὸ βιβλίον οὕτε βλέπειν αὐτό.

1. και] + μετα τουτο eth | τ. δεξ.] + και εν μεσω Or<sup>4</sup>: + του θεου Pr Cyp | βιβλ. γεγραμμ. > N<sup>\*</sup> |. εσωθεν] A 025. 046 min<sup>omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp Or<sup>Fs x1</sup> 370. Exek. xiv i<sup>280</sup>, Phil xxv 3<sup>5</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> bo eth : εμπροσθεν N Or<sup>Jo 1</sup> <sup>150</sup>, Phil xxv 4<sup>6</sup> sa | οπισθεν AN I. 69 al Or<sup>Jo 1</sup> <sup>150</sup>, Exek. xiv i<sup>282</sup>, Phil xxv 3<sup>6</sup>. 4<sup>6</sup> Cyp s<sup>2</sup>: εξωθεν 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. (2038). 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm bo eth : a foris gig : foris Tyc Pr vg : + και οπισθεν και εμπροσθεν 35 : + και οπισθεν 2038 : + και 8<sup>6</sup> 42. 337. 468 arm<sup>1 2.8</sup> | κατεσφραγ.] εσφραγισμενον 337 : κατεσφιγμενον 920. 2040 |. 2. ειδον η πκουσα gig : + αλλον 172. 250. 2018. 2050 s<sup>1</sup>

8. EQUITO N 21 (-205. 2040. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038 al : ηδυνατο A 025. 046. 1. 61. 69. 104. 2023\*\*. 2036. 2040. 2050. 2067 al Or : δυναται 205 : + ουτε 2050 Tyc Pr Cyp (arm) | ουρανω AN 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 241. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Or<sup>Phil xxv. 56</sup> Tyc Pr Cyp gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm bo eth : + avw 046. 21 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 2050). 250 al Or' s2 | ovoel A 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 al : oure x 046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 2020). 250. 2067 almu Or | ETL T. YNO] EV TH YH S1 : + KATW 386 | OVDE υποκ. τ. γησ > N 181. 201. 386. 1854. 2023<sup>#</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> eth : post αυτο pon 1. 2037 | ουδε<sup>3</sup> A 025. 1. 35. 104. 314. 620. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 : oure 046. 81 (-35. 386. 620). 250. 2067 almu Or | βιβλιον] + και λυσαι τασ σφραγιδασ avrov s1 | ovoes 025. 1. 35. 104. 314. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 : OUTE AN 046. 21 (-35. 205). 250. 2067 al Or<sup>4</sup>: Kai 205 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2.4</sup>: ou yap arm<sup>3</sup>: sed neque Pr Cyp | βλεπειν] εβλεπον arm<sup>8</sup> .

4. v. 4 >A 522. 2050 | Kai № 025. I. 181. 2015\*\*. 2019.

5. καὶ εἶς ἐκ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων λέγει μοι Μὴ κλαῖε ἰδοὺ ἐνίκησεν ὁ λέων ὁ ἐκ τῆς φυλῆς Ἰούδα, ἡ ῥίζα Δαυείδ, ἀνοῖξαι τὸ βιβλίον καὶ τὰς ἐπτὰ σφραγίδας αὐτοῦ. 6. Καὶ εἶδον ἐν μέσφ τοῦ θρόνου καὶ τῶν τεσσάρων ζώων καὶ ἐν μέσφ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων ἀρνίον Γέστηκὸς ἱ ὡs ἐσφαγμένον, ἔχων κέρατα ἑπτὰ καὶ ὀφθαλμοὺς ἑπτά, οἱ εἰσιν τὰ ἑπτὰ πνεύματα τοῦ θεοῦ, 「ἀπεσταλμένοι εἰς πῶσαν τὴν

2038 gig s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>2.4</sup> a : + eyw 046. **21** (-2050). 250. 2037. (2067) Or<sup>Eask</sup> xiv 17<sup>6</sup> (><sup>Ps</sup> xi 37<sup>2</sup>) Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr Cyp vg arm<sup>1.8</sup> | eklaar N\* : ekleor N<sup>c</sup> |  $\pi o \lambda v$ ]  $\pi o \lambda v v 046$  :  $\pi o \lambda \lambda oi 1$  arm<sup>e</sup> eth :  $\pi o \lambda \lambda a$ 205 :  $\pi a v re\sigma$  bo : > Or<sup>Phil</sup> xv <sup>26</sup> |  $ev p e \theta \eta$ ]  $ev p e \theta \eta v 2020$  :  $ev p e \theta \eta \sigma e rat$ N\* | avoitai] + kai avayvwai 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2019. 2023 2037. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>e</sup> |  $\tau o \beta i \beta \lambda$ .]  $\tau \eta v \sigma \phi p a y i \delta a arm<sup>1</sup>$  : kai  $\lambda v \sigma a a rm<sup>2.3</sup>$ |  $\beta \lambda e \pi e v a v \sigma y$ 

5. και<sup>1</sup>] + απεκριθη 2050 : + ιδου Tyc : + ηλθεν μοι bo | λεγει] ειπεν Cyp vg<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo | μοι > 205 gig arm<sup>a</sup> | ιδου] + γαρ 2050 |  $o^2 > N$  69. 2015<sup>\*\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo sa : + ων 1. 2067 | η ριζα] εκ ριζησ arm bo eth : + του 35. 205. 2023 | ανοιξαι AN 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or<sup>Ps x1</sup> 37<sup>2</sup>, PhU xxv 3<sup>6</sup> Pr gig Cyp vg arm bo eth : ανοιξει s<sup>1.2</sup> : ο ανοιξασ 18. 2039 : ο ανοιγων 046. 21 (-18. 35. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620. 2020. 2050. 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> | και<sup>2</sup>] + λυσαι N 2067 arm<sup>(1). 2.8</sup> | επτα > s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1 2</sup> s<sup>\*\*</sup> bo eth | σφραγιδασ] + δι 2040 |.

6. ELDON IDON KAL A : ELDON KAL LOOU 172. 2018 TYC Vg | EV μεσω . . . ζωων (+και 620) > 620 s<sup>2</sup> | εν μεσω του θρονου] θρονοι Tyc |  $\epsilon\mu\mu\epsilon\sigma\omega^1$  A 2050 |  $\kappa\alpha\iota^2$  |  $+\epsilon\nu$   $\mu\epsilon\sigma\omega$  Pr arm |  $\tau\omega\nu$   $\tau\epsilon\sigma\sigma$ . ζωων] (τα) τεσσαρα ζωα Tyc | εν μεσω<sup>2</sup> > Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> a : εμμεσω A | πρεσβ.] + ωσ arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | εστηκοσ A 025. 046. 21 (-140\*. 620. 2004. 2050) almu Or : сотукио № 1. 104. 149\*. 172. 2004. 2015. 2017. 2019 :  $\omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega \sigma$  620. 2050 : > 2038 arm<sup>1 2</sup> |  $\omega \sigma$  > 18. 632\*. 920. 2016. 2024. 2040. 2050 arm bo sa [ εσφαγμ.] εσφραγισμενον 104. (920\*). 2016. 2017. 2020. 2038. 2067 atma (εχων AN 046. 104. 429. 620. 919. 2015. 2017. 2019. 2050 01" . εχον 025. 21 (-620. 919. 2020) almu | κ. οφθαλ. επτα > 2050 or AN 1. 172. 205. 2020. 2038. 2067 : a 046. 21 (-205. 2020). 250. 2037 alpl : arura 241. 498 | ra > 250. 2037 2067 | επτα<sup>8</sup> N 046. 21 (-205. 2050) almu Tyc Pr gig Cyp  $vg^{a^{m}, c, v}s^{1 2}$  arm bo : >A 1. 181. 205. 2038. 2050  $vg^{d, f, g, h}$  eth  $\pi v \epsilon v \mu a \tau a$ ]  $\pi v \epsilon v \mu a$  eth : powers arm<sup>2</sup> : powers of the spirit arm<sup>1</sup> <sup>3</sup>: (parts of the seven) graces of the spirit  $\operatorname{arm}^4 | \tau_{00} \theta_{\epsilon_{00}} \pi_{\nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau a}$ I:  $\tau_{00} \theta_{\epsilon_{00}} > \operatorname{arm}^1 | \theta_{\epsilon_{00}} + \tau_a$  I. 42. 104. 110. 205. 336. 620. 2036. 2037. 2038 (s<sup>1. 2</sup>) arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> bo | απεσταλμενοι A : απεσταλμενα N I. 205. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 (5<sup>2</sup>) : "sent down" bo : αποστελλομενα 046. 21 (- 205. 2020. 2050). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>a</sup> : > arm<sup>4</sup> |.

ŵs

αλ-

γην. 7. καὶ ካλθεν καὶ εἴληφεν ἐκ της δεξιâς τοῦ καθημένου ἐπὶ τοῦ θρόνου. 8. Καὶ ὅτε ἕλαβεν τὸ βιβλίον, τὰ τέσσερα ζῷα καὶ οἱ εἶκοσι τέσσαρες πρεσβύτεροι ἔπεσαν ἐνώπιον τοῦ ἀρνίου, ἔχοντες ἔκαστος κιθάραν καὶ φιάλας χρυσᾶς γεμούσας θυμιαμάτων (a)

9. καὶ ἄδουσιν ὦδην καινην λέγοντες \*Αξιος εἶ λαβεῖν τὸ βιβλίον καὶ ἀνοῖξαι τὰς σφραγῖδας αὐτοῦ, ὅτι ἐσφάγης,

> καὶ ἦγόρασας τῷ θεῷ ἐν τῷ αἶματί σου ἐκ πάσης φυλῆς καὶ γλώσσης καὶ λαοῦ καὶ ἔθνους,

(a) MSS add gloss : at eisir al προσευχαl των άγίων.

7. και<sup>2</sup> > bo | ειληφεν] + το βιβλιον 1\*\*. 104. 205. 620. 2019. 2050 Pr gig Cyp vg<sup>4\*</sup> b c d g<sup>4\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo sa : + αυτο eth | εκ] + τησ χειροσ 620 arm<sup>1 2 3 α</sup> | δεξιασ] χειροσ s<sup>1</sup> : + του θεου Pr Cyp | του καθ.] τησ καθημενου (sic)  $\aleph^*$  | θρονου] + το βιβλιον 250<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2067 Tyc vg |.

8.  $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon v$ ] aperuisset vg |  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota o v$ ] + kat arm<sup>3</sup> |  $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \alpha$  AN 2020 : τεσσαρα 025. 046 alpi : οι εικ. τεσσ. πρεσβ. και τ. τεσσ. ζωα eth | τεσσαρεισ 620 : τεσσαρισ 2050 | ενωπ. τ. αρν. επεσον 205 | επεσαν ΑΝ Ι. 104. 325<sup>\*</sup>. 337. 452. 456. 506. 517. 620. 2023. 2050 Or<sup>s</sup> : επεσυν 046. **21** (-325<sup>\*</sup>. 337. 456. 620. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu | скастос схоитес N | схоитес] кал слуои arm<sup>1 2.3 α</sup> | εκαστοσ] + αυτων s<sup>1 2</sup> | κιθαραν] κιθαρασ Ι. 104. 205. 385. 468. 617. 620. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2037\*. 2038. 2041. 2067 Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg | φιαλασ] φυαλασ 2050 : φιαλην s1 arm1 2 3 « | χρυσασ] χρυσεασ  $\aleph$ : χρυσην s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.  $\frac{9}{2}$ . <sup>3</sup> <sup>a</sup> | γεμουσασ] μεστασ 620 bo sa : γεμουσαν s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1  $\frac{2}{2}$ . <sup>a</sup> | θυμιαματων] + supplicationum</sup></sup> Pr Cyp ( a. A 025. 21 ( - 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi s<sup>1.2</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> : a N 046. 2019. 2050 | (III) yoav arm<sup>1</sup> : (otiv arm<sup>8. a</sup> al προσευχαι A N° 025. 046. I. 35. 205. 241. 250\*\*. 468. 620. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 Or<sup>Jer tiv 463</sup> s<sup>1 2</sup> arm bo eth : προσευχαι N\* 18. 61. 69. 172. 201. 218. 386. 424. 632\*. 920. 1849. 2040 almu Ors : προσευχων 82. 93. 104. 110. 175. 177. 242. 325. 337. 385. 452. 456. 517 617. 919. 1934. 1955. 2004. 2021. 2024 Twv ayiwv] ovtwv ayiwr Or" |.

9.  $\kappa a \iota^1 > s^1 \mid a \delta o v \sigma \iota v \mid a \delta \omega \sigma \iota v \land s a \delta o \tau \epsilon \sigma \Pr s^1$ : cantabant Tyc arm (bo): cantaverunt Cyp :  $+\omega \sigma$  Tyc  $\mid \kappa a \iota \tau \eta v \omega \delta \eta v \Pr$ Cyp vg  $\mid \kappa a \iota \tau \eta v \mid \epsilon s^1 arm \mid \epsilon s \mid + \kappa v \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma g vg^v$ :  $+ \kappa v \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma$   $\eta \mu \omega v arm^2 \mid a v o \iota \epsilon a s \mid \lambda v \sigma a \iota s^1$ : resignare gig  $\mid \epsilon \sigma \phi a \eta \sigma \sigma \mid \epsilon \sigma \phi a \gamma \epsilon \sigma$ 620. 2050:  $\eta \gamma \rho \rho$ .  $\tau \omega \theta \epsilon \omega \land$  (eth see below):  $+ \eta \mu a \sigma \land 025$ . 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2040\*. 2050). 2037. 2067  $a l^{pl} O r^* s^{1.2}$ (arm) bo sa:  $\eta \gamma \rho \rho$ .  $\eta \mu a \sigma \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \omega 172. 250. 336. 424. 620. 1918.$  καὶ ἐποίησας μὐτοὺς τῷ θεῷ ἡμῶν βασιλείαν καὶ ἱερεῖς,
 καὶ 「βασιλεύουσιν Ì ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς.

11. καὶ ἐἶδον καὶ ἤκουσα φωνὴν ἀγγέλων πολλῶν κύκλω τοῦ θρόνου,(a) καὶ ἦν ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν μυριάδες μυριάδων καὶ χιλιάδες χιλιάδων, 12. λέγοντες φωνῆ μεγάλῃ

<sup>T</sup> Αξιόν<sup>7</sup> έστιν τὸ ἀρνίον τὸ ἐσφαγμένον λαβεῖν τὴν δύναμιν καὶ πλοῦτον καὶ σοφίαν καὶ ἰσχὺν καὶ τιμὴν καὶ δόξαν καὶ εὐλογίαν.

13. καὶ πῶν κτίσμα ὅ ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς καὶ ὑποκάτω
 (a) MSS add a gloss here : καὶ τῶν ζψων καὶ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων,

2016. 2018. 2038. 2050 Pr gig Cyp vg : ηγορ. τω θεω ημων 180. 205. 2040<sup>\*</sup> sa : ηγορ. ημασ (>eth) εν τ. αιμ. σου τ. θεω s<sup>1. 2</sup> eth : εν τω αιματι σου >632 | αιματι] ονοματι 2040<sup>\*</sup> | παντων φυλων κ. γλωσσων κ. λαων κ. εθνων arm<sup>2. 3. 4</sup> | και γλωσσησ >s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> |. 10. αυτουσ] ημασ Pr gig vg<sup>d. f. v</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> : ex iis eth | τω

10. aurowo]  $\eta\mu\alpha\sigma$  Pr gig vg<sup>d. f. v</sup> arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> : ex iis eth |  $\tau\omega$   $\theta\epsilon\omega \ \eta\mu\omega\nu > A$  |  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu A \otimes 2050$  Pr gig Cyp vg (s<sup>1</sup>) arm<sup>4</sup> bo : in regnum dei eth :  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma 046.$  21 (-2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> s<sup>2</sup> |  $\iota\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\sigma$ ]  $\iota\epsilon\rho\alpha\tau\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu \otimes : + \kappa\alpha\iota \beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma s<sup>1</sup>$  eth |  $\kappa\alpha\iota^3 >$  eth |  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu A 046.$  18. 325. 386. 456. 617 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2037. 2040 al s<sup>2</sup> :  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu \otimes 025.$  1. 35. 82. 91. 110. 172. 175. 177. 205. 250. 314. 337. 429. 468. 620. 632. 1934. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or<sup>5</sup> al gig Cyp vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo : > eth :  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$  Pr vg arm<sup>1.2.8</sup>].

11. και είδον > sa eth | φωνην A 025. 046\*. I. 69. 205. 2023. 2036. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> bo eth : ωσ φωνην N 046<sup>\*\*</sup>. 21 (-205. 337). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>ma</sup> s<sup>1</sup><sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> sa : ωσ φωνη 337 | πολλων αγγελων 920. 2040 Pr | πολλων >620 arm<sup>2</sup> | κυκλω] κυκλοθεν I : κυκλωθεν 468<sup>\*\*</sup> | και<sup>8</sup> ] + κυκλω Pr arm<sup>(1). 2. (8)</sup> a | και των πρεσβ. > I arm<sup>1</sup> | και ην ο αριθμ. αυτ. >arm<sup>8</sup> | μυριαδεσ μυριαδ. και > Pr gig vg | μυριαδ. μυριαδ. μυριασ μυριασ arm : μυριασ μυριαδων s<sup>1. 2</sup> | και χιλιαδ. χιλιαδων > 1854 | χιλιαδεσ ] χιλιασ s<sup>1. 2</sup> | χιλιαδων ] arm<sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> : + και s<sup>1</sup> |. 12. λεγοντων 498. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050 Pr vg.

19.  $\lambda eyorres$   $\lambda eyorres 498. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050 Pr vg <math>\kappa patorres arm^1 | ation & 046. 91 min omn^{vid} Or^4 : atios A (s^1) | estimates a start a star$ 

**18.** o A 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 620) al Or<sup>a</sup> gig s<sup>1</sup> bo eth : **70** N : >620 : + 60710 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 241. 468\*\*. 2015.

,

V. 14.]

τῆς γῆς καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς θαλάσσης ἐστίν, καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτοῖς πάντα, ἦκουσα [λέγοντας] λέγοντα

> Τῷ καθημένφ ἐπὶ τῷ θρόνφ καὶ τῷ ἀρνίφ ἡ εὐλογία καὶ ἡ τιμὴ καὶ ἡ δόξα καὶ τὸ κράτος εἰς τοὺς aἰωνaς των aἰώνων.

14. καὶ τὰ τέσσερα ζῷα ἔλεγον ᾿Αμήν, καὶ οἱ πρεσβύτεροι ἔπεσαν καὶ προσεκύνησαν.

2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 al Pr Vg s<sup>2</sup> (arm) | επι τησ γησ] εν τη γη 1. 2037 Pr s<sup>1</sup>: επι γησ 336. 2015. 2036 | κ. υποκ. τ. γησ A 025. 046. 21 (-920. 2040. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Pr gig vg<sup>a. c</sup> s<sup>et. v</sup> s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> eth : > × 69. 181. 218. 241. 920. 2040. 2050 vgd. f. g. arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> bo | και<sup>4</sup>] + τα N (bo) eth : + quae sunt vg | επι τησ θαλασσησ (την θαλασσαν 2020) 241. 2015. (2020). 2036. 2037 (εν τη θαλασση κ Pr gig vg  $(s^{1.2})$  arm bo eth) : + εστι(ν) A 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050) al : + a εστιν 025. 046. I. 35. 205. 429\*\*. 1957. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 al : + ora coriv 172. 250. 424. 2018 Or": +0 cortiv s1 | Kai Ta >2019 : et quaecumque sunt  $\Pr \mid \epsilon v^2 \rceil \epsilon \pi 2020 \mid \alpha v \tau o \iota \sigma \rceil$  ea vg<sup>a, f g<sup>a</sup>, v : eo vg<sup>c, g<sup>ao</sup></sup>:</sup> + sunt gig : + kai 42. 61. 69. 241. 632. 2015. 2037 | marta ηκουσα λεγοντασ 025. 18. 314. 2017. 2039. 2050 alp Or : παντα ηк. λεγοντα A 1. 69. 181. 2038 : πаντα και ηκ. λεγοντασ № 424. 429. 2019 gig s<sup>1</sup> (b0) : παντα κ. ηκ. λεγοντων 250. 2018 : παντα κ. ηκ. λεγοντα s<sup>2</sup> : παντασ ηκ. λεγοντασ 21 (-18. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2050). 2037 almu Tyc Pr vg : παντασ και ηκ. λεγοντασ (-των 172). (172). 522 : παντα κ. παντασ ηκ. λεγοντασ 046 : παντα κ. ηκ. παλιν λεγοντα 35<sup>\*</sup> : παντα λεγοντα eth | τω καθημ.] τον καθημενον s<sup>2</sup> : o кавущенот arm | то врои A 046. 18. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 617. 620. 919. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2050 al : (in) throno Tyc Pr vg : θρονω 141 : του θρονου N 025. 1. 35. 205. 241. 386. 468. 632. 920. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 almu Or<sup>4</sup> : (supra) sedem gig | και<sup>6</sup> > A<sup>K</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1.2</sup> | και τω aprice > bo |  $\tau \omega$  aprice] to aprior arm<sup>1. 2. 8. e</sup>: +  $\eta$  919 |  $\eta \epsilon \nu \lambda o \gamma$ ...  $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \sigma \sigma$ ] "blessed exalted (> 2) and glorified (+ and almighty 3)" arm<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> | η<sup>1</sup> >025 | η<sup>8</sup> >919<sup>\*</sup> | και το κρατοσ] παντοκρατοροσ κ<sup>\*</sup> (cf arm<sup>3</sup>) : > arm<sup>1.2.4.</sup> a | Twv alwrwr > arm<sup>2</sup> Tyc | alwrwr AN 025. 104. 172. 201. 205. 250. 2018. 2050 alp Or Pr gig vg s1. 2  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.4}$  : + aµyv 046. 21 ( - 205. 2050). 2037. 2038. 2067 almu arm<sup>8</sup> eth ].

14. R. T. TEOU. Lua eder. amm > 920. 2040 | Ta > 205. 620 | TEOUERA A 2020 : TEUURA N 025. 046 min<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> | ederou AN 025. I. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 104. 205. 620. 632. 919. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Or<sup>4</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm : edereu 172. 250 : derouta 046. 18. 35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456.

#### CHAPTER VI.

 Καὶ εἶδον ὅτε ῆνοιξεν τὸ ἀρνίον μίαν ἐκ τῶν ἐπτὰ σφραγίδων, καὶ ἦκουσα ἐνὸς ἐκ τῶν τεσσάρων ζῷων λέγοντος ὡς φωνὴ βροντῆς Ἐρχου.

 καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἰδοὺ ἴππος λευκός, καὶ ὁ καθήμενος ἐπ' αὐτὸν ἔχων τόξον, καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ στέφανος, καὶ ἐξῆλθεν νικῶν καὶ ἶνα νικήση.

468. 617. 1849. 1934. 2004 s<sup>1</sup> (bo) | aµηr AN 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 69. 314. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Ol<sup>5</sup> bo sa : το aµηr 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> |  $\kappa al^2 > arm | ol | + \epsilon i \kappa o \sigma i re \sigma \sigma a \rho \epsilon \sigma Pr vg<sup>v</sup> | επεσαr AN 025. 1.$ 104. 110. 172. 250. 337. 429. 468. 620. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2050.2067 al Or<sup>6</sup> : επεσοr 046. 21 (-337. 468. 620. 2050). 2037.2038 al<sup>mu</sup> : + ενωπιον aυτου arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> a | επεσαr και > eth | προσεκυνησαr] + viventem in saecula saeculorum Pr vg<sup>d</sup> : + aυτον arm<sup>1</sup>|.

**1.** Kai]  $\mu \epsilon \tau a$  tauta bo |  $\epsilon \iota \delta o \nu > \Pr$  eth | ote ANC 025. I. 104. 205. 314. 620. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037 al Pr gig s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : or 046. 20 ( - 205. 620. 2020). 250. 2067 alpm Or vg arm : >2038 | ηνοιξεν ] ηνυξεν  $\aleph$  | μιαν > I | εκ των επτα ĂŇC 046. 20 (-205. 325. 456). 250. 2037. 2067 alpm Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2. 8. 4</sup> eth : επτα > 025. 1. 205. 314. 325. 456 2015. 2016. 2023. 2038 al arm<sup>1</sup> bo sa | σφραγιδων > \*\* (suppl.  $\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$  |  $\kappa al > 620$  bo sa | evor ex > 1957 : evor > 920 2040 : εξ ενοσ arm<sup>a</sup> : εκ >  $\aleph^*$  468 | λεγοντοσ | λεγοντων  $\aleph$  : > arm | ωσ φωνη βροντησ>Pr eth | φωνη AC 046. 20 (-468. 919. 920. 1849). 250. 2037 alpm Or bo : φωνην & 506. 919. 1957 gig vg arm : φωνησ 025. 1. 314. 2016. 2038. 2067 : φωνή 104. 172. 468. 920. 1849. 1955 | βροντησ] βροντων  $s^1 : + \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau \sigma \sigma A$ : + Leyourar arm | epxou AC 025. 1. 35. 60. 82. 94. 241. 432. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041 Ors vg. . . 8 bo sa : ερχου ante ωσ φων. βροντ. 2036 : ερχομαι arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : ερχου και ιδε N 046. 20 (-35. 2020). 61. 104. 110. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 2016. 2018. 2067 Pr gig vgd. f. g.\*. v s eth |.

2. και ειδον >046. 20 (-35. 205. 468. 620). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr vg<sup>d. f. g<sup>m</sup></sup>: pr και ηκουσα s<sup>1</sup> | και<sup>1</sup> > b0 | επ αυτον] επ αυτω 1. 61 (?). 2037<sup>\*</sup> | εχων] habebat vg arm : tenebat Pr | τοξον] sagittam Pr | εξηλθεν] επηλθεν Or<sup>s</sup> : > arm<sup>8</sup> | νικων και ινα νικηση] ut vinceret et victor exiit Pr | νικων] pr o A arm<sup>2.4</sup> | και ινα νικηση | και ενικησεν N bo sa : κ. ινα νικησει 1. 2023. 2038 : κ. ινα νικηση (-σει 2019) και ενικησεν 2017. 2019 : κ. ενικησεν κ. ινα νικηση s<sup>1</sup> : νικων και > eth | και<sup>6</sup> > 506 vg gig s<sup>2</sup> arm |. 3. Καὶ ὅτε ἦνοιξεν τὴν σφραγίδα τὴν δευτέραν, ἦκουσα τοῦ δευτέρου ζώου λέγοντος Ἔρχου.

4. Καί έξηλθεν άλλος ίππος πυρρός,

καὶ τῷ καθημένω ἐπ' † αὐτὸν † Γέδόθη αὐτῷ λαβεῖν τὴν εἰρήνην ἰδόθη [ἐκ] τῆς γῆς,

καί ίνα άλλήλους σφάξουσιν,

καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ μάχαιρα μεγάλη.

5. Καὶ ὅτε ἦνοιξεν τὴν σφραγίδα τὴν τρίτην, ἤκουσα τοῦ τρίτου ζώου λέγοντος Ἐρχου.

και είδον, και ίδου ίππος μέλας,

και ό καθήμενος έπ' αὐτὸν ἔχων ζυγὸν ἐν τῆ χειρι αὐτοῦ.

8.  $\eta vol \xi ev ] \eta vv \xi ev \aleph | \tau \eta v \sigma \phi payıda t \eta v devtepav ANC 025. I.$ 172. 205 250. 314. 424. 2018. 2023. 2038 Tyc Pr gig vg arm $: <math>\tau \eta v devtepav \sigma \phi payıda 046.$  20 (-205). 2037. 2067 al<sup>pi</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> |  $e \rho \chi ov AC 025. 046.$  20 (-205. 386. 468). I. 61. 69. 82. 104. 110. 314. 336. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> vg s bo sa :  $e \rho \chi o \mu a i$  arm :  $e \rho \chi ov \kappa ai ide \aleph 141. 172. 201. 205. 250. 386. 424. 468. 1918.$ 2018 2022. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>d. g<sup>o.</sup> v eth |.</sup>

4. και εξηλθεν] και (ε)ιδον και ιδου (κ. ιδου > bo sa) εξηλθ. Ν 250. 424. 2018. 2067 bo sa | alloo > Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> bo sa | πυρροσ NC 35. 175 201\*\*. 241. 242. 325. 429. 456. 468. 498. 617. 1849. 1934. 1955. 1957. 2023\*\*. 2024. 2037. 2041. 2067 alunu Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2</sup> · : πυροσ A 025. 046. 1. 18. 61. 69. 104. 110. 172. 201\*. 205. 250. 314. 337. 385. 386. 620. 632. 919. 920. 2004. 2020. 2038. 2040 Or" ] τω καθημενω] pr εν A | επ αυτον ANC 025. 046. 20 (-18). 250. 2037\*\*. 2038 alpri Or : en auro I. 18. 172. 2015. 2037\*. 2067 alp : super eum Tyc Pr : super illum gig vg avrw N\*C 025. 046. 21 Or<sup>s</sup> vg s arm bo sa eth. The avrw should stand in the text : cf.  $2^{7.17.26}$   $3^{12.21}$ . It should be restored (?) in  $21^6$  :> AN° 2016 Tyc Pr gig | λαβειν > arm<sup>2.4</sup> | εκ τησ γησ NC 025. 046. 20 (- 205. 620). 250. 2037. 2067 alpm Or Tyc Pr gig vg s eth : > N° : εκ > A 104. 205. 209. 336. 620. 1918. 2038 : απο τησ γησ I. 2019 alp | και ινα ΑΝĆ 025. I. 35\*. 172. 205. 250. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2038 al Or Tyc Pr gig vg s2 : Kai >046. 20 (-35\*. 205. 2020). 2037. 2067 alpm s1 b0 | opa fovow AC 1849. 2019 : opa Ewoi(v) & 025. 046. 20 (-1849). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi Or |  $\mu a \chi a \iota p a \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta ] \sim A$  bo sa eth |.

5. ηνοιξεν] ηνυξεν Ν : ηνοιγη s<sup>1</sup> | την σφραγιδα την τριτην] την τριτ. σφραγ. 1. 2019. 2020 al : η σφραγισ η τριτη s<sup>1</sup> | ηκουσα] ειδον bo | ερχου AC 025. 1. 35. 60. 91. 104. 241. 336. 432. 620. 1918. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 Or<sup>a</sup> gig vg<sup>a. f. g<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo : + και ιδε Ν 046. 20 (-35. (620). 2020). 61. 69. 110. 172. 181. 201. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 2016. 2018. 2067 Pr VOL. 11.--18</sup>

- 6. καὶ ἦκουσα ὡς φωνὴν ἐν μέσῷ τῶν τεσσάρων ζώων λέγουσαν Χοῖνιξ σίτου δηναρίου, καὶ τρεῖς χοίνικες κριθῶν δηναρίου,
  - καί τὸ ἕλαιον καὶ τὸν οἶνον μή ἀδικήσης.

7. Καί ότε ήνοιξεν την σφραγίδα την τετάρτην, ηκουσα φωνην του τετάρτου ζώου λέγοντος "Ερχου.

καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἰδοὺ ἶππος χλωρός,
 καὶ ὁ καθήμενος ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ ὄνομα αὐτῷ ὁ θάνατος.(a)
 καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ ἐξουσία ἐπὶ τὸ τέταρτον τῆς γῆς.(b)

(a) Here follows an interpolation : και ο αση ήκολούθει μετ' αύτοῦ.

(d) Here follows an interpolation : άποκτείναι έν ρομφαία και έν λιμώ και έν θανάτω και υπό των θηρίων της γής.

vg<sup>c. d.</sup> **s<sup>e. v</sup>** s<sup>2</sup> : + ιδε eth | και ειδον >046. **20** (-35. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620). 61. 69. 110. 172. 181. 201. 314. 498. 506. 517. 522. 1955. 2016 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> gig vg<sup>c. d.</sup> **s<sup>e. v</sup>** s<sup>1</sup> eth | και ιδου > Pr arm<sup>1</sup> eth : και > b0 | ιπποσ] pr αλλοσ arm<sup>1</sup> : pr και εξηλθεν eth | μελασ] μεγασ 919. 2020<sup>\*</sup> | επ αυτον] επ αυτω I. 2037. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> | εχων] habebat Tyc Pr vg arm |.

6. wo furty ANC 025. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 181. 314. 2038 Or<sup>\*</sup> gig vg: wo > 046. 20 (-35<sup>\*</sup>). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr s arm bo sa eth | ev  $\mu$ erw] eµµerw AC : ek  $\mu$ erov s<sup>1</sup> :  $\mu$ erov 35. 205 | rerorapwr]  $\delta$  35 : >s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\zeta$ wwr] + wo furty aerov bo eth |  $\lambda$ eyourar]  $\lambda$ eyourwr gig vg<sup>d. 2.8</sup> arm |  $\chi$ ouri£]  $\sigma\chi$ ouri£ 920 |  $\delta\eta$ vapiou<sup>1</sup>] + evor Pr gig vg<sup>d. 4.8</sup> arm |  $\chi$ ouri£]  $\sigma\chi$ ouri£ 920 |  $\delta\eta$ vapiou<sup>1</sup>] + evor Pr gig vg<sup>d. 4</sup> kpitwr ANC 025. 1. 181. 205. 2036. 2038. 2067 s<sup>2</sup> : kpithy 046. 21 (-205). 250. 2037. al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup> |  $\delta\eta$ vapiou<sup>2</sup>] pr rov A : + evor Pr vg<sup>d</sup> : >69. 181 | kai] ro  $\delta\epsilon$  bo sa | kai ro e $\lambda$ aiov kai rov ouvor] ~ 2019 Tyc Pr vg s<sup>1</sup> eth |  $a\delta_{i}$ kησησ]  $a\delta_{i}$ kησισ 025 :  $a\delta_{i}$ kηθησονται arm<sup>1</sup> |.

7. την σφραγιδα την τεταρτην] την τεταρτην σφραγιδα 2020. 2038 : την σφραγ. τ. δ 35 | ηκουσα] pr και 325. 456 | φωνην AN 1. 35. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> vg s<sup>1</sup> eth : pr την 205 : φωνησ 2067 : >C 025. 046. 20 (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Pr gig s<sup>2</sup> arm bo sa | του τεταρτου ζωου] το τεταρτον ζωον C : τεταρτου >s<sup>1</sup> | ερχου AC 025. I. 104. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> vg<sup>a.f.g</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo sa arm<sup>4</sup> : + και ιδε N 046. 20 (-620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). 250. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Pr gig vg<sup>c.d.v</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : + ιδε eth : ερχομαι arm |.

8. Kai eidor >046. 20 (-35. 205. 386. 468\*\*. 620. 632\*\*). 69. 250. 314 al<sup>mu</sup> Or' gig vg<sup>c. v</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> eth | kai idov > Pr s<sup>1</sup> eth : kai > b0 : idov > arm<sup>3</sup> | innoo] pr kai ether weth | innoo  $\chi\lambda\omega\rho\sigma\sigma$ ] innov  $\chi\lambda\omega\rho\sigma\nu$  Pr s<sup>1</sup> |  $\chi\lambda\omega\rho\sigma\sigma$ ]  $\lambda\epsilon\nu\kappa\sigma\sigma$  920. 2040 : pallidus Tyc gig vg (Pr) : "reddish" arm<sup>1</sup> | o kabημενοσ] o > C | enave aurov] aurov > C 025. I. 181. 205 | aurw] + ην Tyc Pr : aurov s<sup>1</sup> | o bavaroo 025. 046. 20 (-35. 920. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. **VI. 9–10.**]

9. Καὶ ὅτε ἦνοιξεν τὴν πέμπτην σφραγίδα, εἶδον ὑποκάτω τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν ἐσφαγμένων διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν ἢν εἶχον.

10. καὶ ἐκραξαν φωνῆ μεγάλῃ λέγοντες <sup>\*</sup>Ews πότε, ὁ δεσπότης ὁ ẵγιος καὶ ἀληθινός, οὐ κρίνεις καὶ ἐκδικεῖς τὸ αἶμα ἡμῶν ἐκ τῶν κατοικούντων ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς;

2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>Mt III 187</sup> Or<sup>s</sup>:  $o > \mathbb{KC}$  35. 336<sup>\*</sup>. 432. 920. 2023. 2040. 2041 :  $o \ a\theta avaroo \ A \ | \ o \ a\delta\eta\sigma$ ] infernus Tyc Pr vg<sup>d. f. v</sup> : inferus vg<sup>d. c. g</sup> : "all Amenti" bo |  $\eta \kappa o \lambda o \nu \theta \epsilon \in \mathbb{KC}$  025. 046. **20** (-35). 250. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 4. a</sup> :  $a \kappa o \lambda o \nu \theta \epsilon I$ . 35. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 s |  $\mu \epsilon r \ a \nu \tau o \nu AC$ 025. I. 35. 104. 498<sup>\*</sup>. 620. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 :  $\mu \epsilon r \ a \nu \tau o \nu s^2$  :  $a \nu \tau \omega \otimes 0.46$ . **20** (-35. 620) al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> :  $a \nu \tau o \iota \sigma$  (also  $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ) Or<sup>Mt</sup> <sup>III. III<sup>o</sup></sup>  $\epsilon \delta o \theta \eta \ a \nu \tau \omega \ o 46.$  **20** (-35. 468<sup>\*</sup>). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm bo sa eth :  $\epsilon \delta o \theta \eta \ a \nu \tau o \iota \sigma A$ ANC 025. I. 35. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> |  $\tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau a \rho \tau o \nu f + \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \ 452. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037 : quattuor$  $partes vg | <math>\epsilon \nu \ \theta a \nu a \tau m$  A |.

9.  $\tau\eta\nu \pi\epsilon\mu\pi\tau\eta\nu \sigma\phi\rhoayiba]$  AC 025. 046 al :  $\tau\eta\nu \sigma\phi\rhoay. \tau\eta\nu \epsilon$ . N\* Tyc vg<sup>c</sup> :  $\tau\eta\nu \epsilon. \sigma\phi\rhoay. N^c$  35 :  $\tau\eta\nu \sigma\phi\rhoay. \tau. \pi\epsilon\mu\pi\tau\eta\nu$  61. 69 s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>1.4.a</sup> |  $\tau\sigma\nu$  θυσιαστηριου]+ $\tau\sigma\nu$  θεου Tyc Pr Cyp |  $\tau\omega\nu$ εσφαγμενων AC 046. **20** (-35. 205. 920). 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg s<sup>2</sup> eth : pr  $\tau\omega\nu$  ανθρωπων N 025. I. 35. 60. 181. 205. 209. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2067 bo sa :  $\tau\alpha\sigma$  εσφαγμενασ s<sup>1</sup> :  $\tau\omega\nu$  εσφραγισμενων 104. 218. 336<sup>\*</sup>. 920 Or<sup>#</sup> | δια τον λογον] pr δια τον θεον και arm<sup>1</sup> | δια<sup>2</sup> > A Pr gig Cyp bo sa | μαρτυριαν ANC 025. I. 241. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 Pr gig Cyp vg b0 : εκκλησιαν 2020 : +  $\tau\sigma\nu$  αρνιου 046. **20** (-632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : + Ιησου s<sup>1</sup> : + Ιησου Χριστου 172. 250. 424. 2018 : + αυτου Pr Cyp arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> eth |  $\eta\nu$ εχον N<sup>c</sup>]  $\eta\nu$  εσχον N<sup>\*</sup> |.

10. εκραξαν ANC 046. 20 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Pr Cyp bo : εκραζον 025. I. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2016. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or<sup>\*</sup> gig vg s : ειπον arm<sup>1. 2. 8. ε</sup> | φωνη μεγαλη ANC 025. I. 35. 104. 205. 385. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Pr gig vg Cyp s (arm) bo : φωνην μεγαλην 046. 20 (-35. 205. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> | αληθινοσ] pr o I. 172. 429<sup>\*\*</sup>. 468. 620. (2018). 2037. 2067 | εκδικεισ] εκδικησεισ N | εκ των ANC 046. 20 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 468. 620. 2020). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> : απο των 025. I. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 104. 205. 468. 620. 2015. 2018. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> : και των 2020 | επι τησ γησ] in terris Pr Cyp |.

- 11. καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς ἐκάστῷ στολη λευκή, καὶ ἐρρέθη αὐτοῖς ἶνα ἀναπαύσονται ἔτι χρόνον μικρόν, ἔως πληρω/'ῶσιν καὶ οἱ σύνδουλοι αὐτῶν καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ αὐτῶν οἱ μέλλοντες ἀποκτέννεσθαι ὡς καὶ αὐτοί.
- 12. Καὶ εἶδον ὅτε ἦνοιξεν τὴν σφραγῦδα τὴν ἔκτην καὶ σεισμὸς μέγις ἐγένετο, καὶ ὁ ἦλιος ἐγέιετο μέλας ὡς σάκκος τρίχινος, καὶ ἡ σελήνη ὅλη ἐγένετο ὡς αἶμα.

11. εδοθη . . . στολη λευκη] εδοθησαν . . . στολαι λευκαι Pr vg (Cyp) arm<sup>1</sup> autolo (Kaotw AKC 025. 35. 61. 69. 104. 205. 250. 468. 620. 632\*\*. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2067 alp arm<sup>4</sup> bo : eis singulis Pr gig Cyp : illis singulae vg : autour >82. 91. 93. 181 : eraotu > 046. 20 (-35. 205. 468. 620. 632\*\* 2020) almu Ors arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> : скасты антын (~2037) 2015. 2037 s eth | ερρεθη] ερεθη Ν 35. 241. 522. 620. 632 : ερρηθη 172. 242. 250. 1957. 2018. 2024. 2039 : Woody 2038 | avrous > s1 | avamavσονται A 025. 046. 1. 104. 110. 522. 620. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> : αναπαυσωνται NC 20 (-620). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> : αναπνευσωνται 69\*\* : αναπαυσασθαι Ors | ετι (επι N) χρον. μικ. NC 025. 1. 18. 205 632\*\*. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 vg s<sup>2</sup> bo sa : xpovov eti mikpov A : eti xpovov 046. 20 (- 18 205. 325. 456. 632\*\*. 2020). 250 alpm : еті тіча хроч. шік. 2020 ; еті шік. χρον. 241. 2019 eth : εωσ καιρου χρον. μικ. s<sup>1</sup> : χρονον 325. 456 : шкроч Or" : еті шкроч gig : шк. еті хроч. Сур : хроч. шк. arm [εωσ] + ου 1. 18. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> | πληρωθωσιν AC 385 gig vg s arm bo eth : πληρωσωσι(ν) × 025. 046. **20** (-620. 632. 2040). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Or : πληρωσουσι 620. 632. 2015. 2016. 2019 2036. 2047 at 61 : interpretore 020. 032. 2015. 2016. 2019 2036. 2040 : impleatur numerus Cyp |  $\kappa al$  oi  $\sigma u r \delta o u \lambda o los 2019$ 2019 :  $\kappa al > 046$  Cyp vg bo |  $\kappa al$  oi  $a \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi oi$ ]  $\kappa al > 386$  | 01  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o r \epsilon \sigma$  ANC 025. 35 205. 620 Or<sup>4</sup> vg s<sup>1.2</sup> bo : pr  $\kappa al$ 046. **20** (-35 205. 620). 2037 al<sup>mu</sup> (Cyp) | 01  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ .  $a \pi o \kappa \tau \epsilon v r$ .] 901 occidentur gig |  $a \pi o \kappa \tau \epsilon v r \epsilon \sigma \theta al ANC$  35. 82. 93. 94. 175. 205. 241. 250. 325. 337 456. 617. 919. 920. 1955. 2004. 2018. 2024 : + υπ αυτων Ν\* (del. Ν°) : αποκτενεσθαι 18. 42. 91. 104. 110. 172. 242. 385. 386. 468. 506. 620. 632. 1840. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2039. 2040. 2041 : anonterver bar 025. 046. 1. 61. 69. 336. 429. 498 Or (vel- reverbar) : anobaveiv arm | wo kai avtoi | kai > 385. 2020 : exemplo ipsorum Cyp |.

12. ειδον > 18. 94. 141. 385. 429. 522. 1849. 1955. 2039. 2040 Tyc eth | στε ηνοιξεν] στε ενυξεν χ\* (ηνυξεν χ°): pr και 025. 1. 35\*\*. 42. 61. 69. 181. 205. 209. 432. 468. 1957. 2019. 2023 2041 Pr | την σφραγιδα την εκτην] την εκτ. σφραγ. Pr Tyc | σεισμοσ] pr ιδου A vg<sup>v</sup>: φωσ s<sup>1</sup> | μεγασ εγενετο NC 025. 046.

- 13. καὶ οἱ ἀστέρες τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἔπεσαν εἰς τὴν γῆν, ὡς συκῆ βάλλει τοὺς ὀλύνθους αὐτῆς ὑπὸ ἀνέμου μεγάλου σειομένη·
- 14. καὶ ὁ οὐρανὸς ἀπεχωρίσθη ὡς βιβλίον 「† ἐλισσόμενον †<sup>1</sup>, καὶ πῶν ὅρος καὶ νῆσος ἐκ τῶν τόπων αὐτῶν ἐκινήθησαν.

15. καὶ οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς καὶ οἱ μεγιστῶνες καὶ οἱ χιλίαρχοι καὶ οἱ πλούσιοι καὶ οἱ ἰσχυροὶ καὶ πῶς δοῦλος καὶ ἐλεύθερος ἔκρυψαν ἐαυτοὺς εἰς τὰ σπήλαια καὶ εἰς τὰς πέτρας τῶν ὀρέων.

**20.** 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>\*</sup> Pr arm<sup>3</sup>: ~ A 2016 Tyc gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\tau\sigma$   $\mu\epsilon\lambda\sigma\sigma$  AC 025. 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg arm<sup>4</sup>: ~ N 046. **20** (-35. 205. 2020). 61. 69. 104. 110. 172. 201. 250. 314. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2023. 2041  $al^{p}$  Or<sup>4</sup> |  $\omega\sigma$  . . .  $\tau\mu\chi\nu\sigma\sigma$  > eth |  $\sigma\kappa\kappa\sigma\sigma$ ]  $a\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma$  s<sup>1</sup> |  $\eta$   $\sigma\epsilon\lambda\eta\eta\eta$   $o\lambda\eta$  ANC 046. **20** (-35. 205). 2067  $al^{pm}$  Or<sup>\*</sup> Tyc (gig) vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> bo eth : "the moon wholly" arm<sup>1 2 3 a</sup> :  $o\lambda\eta$  > 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Pr sa |  $\omega\sigma$   $a\mu a$ ]  $\epsilon\iota\sigma$   $a\mu a$ 1934 :  $\omega\sigma$  > Pr gig arm |.

18. του ουρανου] του θεου A : > Tyc Pr vg<sup>d. f</sup>: de coelo (post επεσαν pon gig bo eth) gig arm bo eth | επεσαν ANC 025. I. 104. 337. 429. 2015 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : επεσον 046. **20** (-337). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : "were shaken down" arm<sup>1 2 3 a</sup> | εισ την γην] επι την γην N 24I. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2038 s<sup>1</sup> : super terram vg arm<sup>4</sup> (bo) : > gig | συκη] + σεισμενη (Tyc) | βαλλει AC 025. 046. 35. 61. 69. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> : αποβαλλει 2015. 2036 : βαλει I : βαλλουσα N I8. 172. 205. 250. 336. 429. 468. 498. 620. 1918. 2039 Or<sup>s</sup> s : βαλουσα **20** (-18. 35. 205. 468. 620. 2020) 42. 93. 94. 141. 201. 209. 218. 24I. 242. 385. 424. 452. 506. 517. 522. 1955. 2016. 2017. 2021 al<sup>p</sup> : αποβαλουσα 2020 | υπο] απο N 69. 2016\*\* | ανεμου μεγαλου ANC 046. **20** (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg :~ 025. I. 35. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2067 : μεγαλου > Tyc . υπο μεγο σεισμενη ανεμου 205 | σεισμενη] σαλευσμενη A I8I : σεισμενου 456 |.

14. ο > I | απεχωρισθη] > arm<sup>1 2 8. a</sup> bo : ετακη s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : ειλιχθη eth | ωσ βιβλιον] και ωσ βιβλια s<sup>1</sup> | ελισσομενον AC 046. 35. 69. 82. 104. 250. 337. 468. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2040 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> bo eth : ειλισσομενον 025. I. 2019. 2023<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2036. 2037. 2038 : ελισσομενοσ × 20 (-35. 337. 468. 632. 2040). 110. 314. 1957. 2016. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> : ειλισσομενοσ 632 : ελισσοιται s<sup>1</sup> : ειλιχθη arm bo | νησοσ] νησσοσ C 046 : βουνοσ × : insulae Tyc Pr vg : pr πασα s<sup>1</sup> bo sa | αυτων > × | εκινηθησαν N\*C 025. 046 min<sup>pl</sup> : εκινησαν ×<sup>\*</sup> : απεκεινησαν A : εσαλευθησαν 920. 2040 |.

15. και<sup>8</sup>>A | χιλιαρχοι . . . πλουσιοι]~ Ι. 2019. 2020. 2038

έλισσόμ μενος

- 16. και λέγουσιν τοις όρεσιν και ταις πέτραις Πέσατε έφ ήμας και κρύψατε ήμας από προσώπου του καθημένου έπι τοῦ θρόνου καί από της οργης του αρνίου,
- 17. ότι ήλθεν ή ήμέρα ή μεγάλη της όργης αύτου. και τίς δύναται σταθήναι;

alp | Kal ol urgupol > 1. 181. 2019. 2038 : 01 > \* 2024. 2040 | кал еленверот AC 046. 20 (-35. 205. 2020). 250 alpm Ors Pr gig vg s : και πασ ελευθεροσ №° 025. I. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 : > N\* arm<sup>4</sup> : και παντεσ οι ελευθεροι arma bo | πετρασ] οπασ arm bo |.

16. Kai Leyougir dicentes Pr : Kai Einor arm<sup>1 2. 8. e</sup> | TOIG ορεσιν και ταισ πετραισ] ~ bo arm<sup>4</sup> : >Tyc : και ταισ πετραισ > arm<sup>1. e</sup> | πεσατε A 025. 104. 2015. 2036 Or<sup>8</sup> : πεσετε C 046. 20 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pi</sup> : πεσεται 🕅 κρυψατε] κρυψεται 🕅 : κρυψετε 385\* | απο προσωπου... του αρνιου >arma | του καθημενου . . . τησ οργησ >  $s^1$  | επι του θρονου AC 025. 1. 35. 60\*. 104. 205. 241. 468. 632. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Or : επι τω θρονω N 046. 20 (- 35. 205. 468. 632. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> : supra sedem gig : super thronum (Pr) vg | aπo<sup>2</sup> N<sup>c</sup>] επι N\* ].

17. οτι > Or". η ημερα η μεγαλη τησ οργησ αυτου] η μεγαλη τησ οργησ αυτου ημερα 18 | η<sup>1</sup> > 2004. 2020 | η μεγαλη > 69. 325. 456. 517 | avrov A 025. 046. 20 ( - 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi Or\* Pr arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> bo : autw NC 2020 gig vg s<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> sa σταθηναι] σωθηναι 141. 242. 617. 1934 : στηναι 250. 429. 2018. 2010 : + ante illum Pr arm<sup>1. 2</sup> bo |.

## CHAPTER VII.

1. Μετά τουτο είδον τέσσαρας άγγέλους έστωτας έπι τας τέσσαρας γωνίας της γής, κρατούντας τούς τέσσαρας ανέμους της γής, ίνα μη πνέη ανεμος έπι της γης μήτε επι της θαλάσσης μήτε επί

1. μета AC Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg sa : pr кал № 025. 046 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl s arm<sup>8</sup> (bo) eth | TOUTO ANC 046. 21 (-205. 468. 920. 2040). 250 alpm Ors s arm eth : ravra 025. 1. 61. 205. 241. 468. 920. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 1. 61. 205. 241. 408. 920, 2013. 2019. 2030. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Pr gig vg bo | resorapao<sup>1</sup> C 025. 046. 21 (-35. 866) Or<sup>\*</sup>: resorapes A :  $\delta$  N 35. 866 | enc] per Pr | resorapao<sup>2</sup> ANC 046. 21 (-35) Or<sup>\*</sup>: resorapes 025 :  $\delta$  35 | kparourras] pr kau 337 s arm<sup>1. e</sup> | kparourras . . aveµous r.  $\gamma\eta\sigma$  > eth | resorapao<sup>3</sup> NC 025. 046. 21 (-35) Or<sup>\*</sup>: resorapes A :  $\delta$  35 |  $\tau\eta\sigma\gamma\eta\sigma$  > 2020. 2037 s1 b0 sa [ mven] nvevon & 172. 205. 250 : nveel 2019.

<sup>[†</sup>τι<sup>]</sup> δένδρον †. 2. καὶ εἶδον ἄλλον ἄγγελον ἀναβαίνοντα ἀπὸ πῶν <sup>[</sup>ἀνατολῆς<sup>]</sup> ἡλίου, ἔχοντα σφραγιδα θεοῦ ζῶντος, καὶ <sup>[</sup>ἔκραξεν<sup>]</sup> ἀνατολῶν φωνῆ μεγάλῃ τοῖς τέσσαρσιν ἀγγέλοις οἶς ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς ἀδικῆσαι τὴν <sup>ἔκραζεν</sup> γῆν καὶ τὴν θάλασσαν, 3. λέγων Μὴ ἀδικήσητε τὴν γῆν <sup>[</sup>μήτε<sup>]</sup> τὴν καὶ θάλασσαν μήτε τὰ δένδρα, ἄχρι σφραγίσωμεν τοὺς δούλους τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων αὐτῶν.

΄΄ 4. Καὶ ἦκουσα τὸν ἀριθμὸν τῶν ἐσφραγισμένων ἕκατον τεσσαράκοντα τέσσαρες χιλιάδες ἐσφραγισμένοι ἐκ πάσης φυλῆς υἱῶν Ἱσραήλ.

(Or<sup>4</sup>):  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \eta$  920. 2040: flarent Pr vg<sup>f. v</sup> bo |  $a \nu \epsilon \mu \sigma \sigma$ ] pr o C 61. 69. 506. 522. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 919. 920. 1955. 2040: > Pr vg<sup>f. v</sup> arm<sup>2</sup>: or a \nu \epsilon \mu o to |  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \sigma \gamma \eta \sigma > A$ : in terris Pr |  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \sigma \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma$ ]  $\tau \eta \sigma > A$ : +  $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \tau \pi \sigma \tau a \mu \omega \nu$  bo |  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \sigma \nu$  C 046. 21 (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> (Pr gig vg):  $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi a \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \sigma \aleph 025$ . 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 s<sup>1</sup>:  $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \sigma \nu A : \epsilon \pi \iota (\tau a) \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho a s<sup>2</sup> arm bo eth |.$ 

2. αλλον αγγελον] ~42. 325. 456. 468. 620. 866. 1934. 1957. 2036 : αλλον > Pr | αλλον . . . αναβαινοντα] και ανεβη αλλοσ αγγελοσ eth | αναβαινοντα] αναβαντα Ι b0 | ανατολησ NC 025. 046. 21 (-18). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Or<sup>4</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : ανατολων A 18. 2039 (s<sup>1</sup>) | σφραγιδα et N<sup>c</sup>] σφαγιδα N<sup>\*</sup> | θεου] pr του 325. 456. 468. 620. 866 | ζωντοσ] pr του 468 | εκραξεν NC 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038 alpl Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm b0 : εκραζεν A 025. 2067 | φωνη μεγαλη] φωνην μεγαλη 866 | τεσσαρσιν et N<sup>c</sup>] τεσσαρεσ N<sup>\*</sup> : δ' 35 | αγγελοισ] ζωοισ arm<sup>4</sup> | οισ εδοθη] eo quod datum esset Pr | αυτοισ > 35. 336. 337. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037 gig vg | αδικησαι] απολεσαι arm<sup>1 2 α</sup> |. 3. λεγων] + αυτοισ Pr bo eth | αδικησητε] αδικησεται N :

8. λεγων] + αυτοισ Pr bo eth | αδικησητε] αδικησεται  $\aleph$  : +μητε Or<sup>30</sup><sup>16</sup> | μητε την βαλασσαν C 025. 046. 21 (-866. 2020). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>30.16</sup> : μηδε την θ.  $\aleph$  866 2038 : και την θ. A 432. 452. 2020. 2021 Or<sup>5</sup> bo sa | μητε<sup>2</sup>] μηδε  $\aleph$  866 | αχρι (-σ $\aleph$ ) ANC 025. I. 35. 181. 2038 Or<sup>30.16</sup> : αχρισ ου 046. 21 (-35). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> : αχρισ αν 94. 2015. 2036. 2037 : ινα arm<sup>8</sup> | σφραγισωμεν] σφραγισημεν 337. 2016. 2020. : "I shall have sealed" arm<sup>1</sup> : σφραγισητε bo : σφραγισθωσι eth | ημων > 241. 2015. 2039. 2040 Tyc s<sup>1</sup> bo sa eth |.

4. και ηκουσα... εσφραγισμενων > A | τον αριθμον > 920. 2040 | εσφραγισμενων] + επι του μετωπου αυτων b0 | εκατον... εσφραγισμενοι > 18. 141. 385. 429. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2039. 2040. 2067 | εκατον τεσσ. τεσσαρεσ 025. 205. 386. 620. 866. 1934 : εκατον και τεσσ. τεσσαρεσ C 82. 104. 172. 175. 250. 314. 325. 337. 456. 468. 617. 632. 1957. 2018. 2041 : (εκατον) εκατον και τεσσ. και τεσσαρεσ 498 (2020) : εκατον τεσσ. και τεσσαρεσ 69 :  $\rho \mu \delta$  046. 1. 35 al<sup>mu</sup> : εκατον τεσσερακοντα (τεσσαρακοντα A) A\*N : εκ. τεσσ. δ A\*\* | εσφραγισμενοι ANC 025. 1. 35. 5<sup>ab</sup>. ἐκ φυλής Ἰοιόδα δώδεκα χιλιάδες ἐσφραγισμένοι, ἐκ φυλής Ῥουβὴν δώδεκα χιλιάδες, (a)

- ἐκ ψυλής Συμεών δώδεκα χιλιάδες,
   ἐκ ψυλής Λευί δώδεκα χιλιάδες,
   ἐκ ψυλής Ισσαχὰρ δώδεκα χιλιάδες,
- ἐκ φυλής Ζαβουλών δώδεκα χιλιάδες,
   ἐκ φυλής Ἰωσηφ δώδεκα χιλιάδες,
   ἐκ φυλής Βενιαμείν δώδεκα χιλιάδες ἐσφραγισμένοι,
- 5° ἐκ ψυλής Γαδ δώδεκα χιλιάδες, 6. ἐκ ψυλής ᾿Ασὴρ δώδεκα χιλιάδ
- 6. ἐκ ψυλής ᾿Ασηρ δώδεκα χιλιάδες, ἐκ ψυλης Νεφθαλιμ δώδεκα χιλιάδες ἐκ ψυλης Μανασσή δώδεκα χιλιάδες.

(a) On the restoration of the original order of the text, see vol. i. 207 sqq.

205. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>Jo 3 sq</sup> (semel) Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> b0 :  $\epsilon\sigma\phi\rho a\gamma_{I}\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$  046. 21 (-35. 205. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 2020). 69. 82. 104. 201. 250. 314. 498. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2036 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : >s<sup>1</sup> sa : +  $\epsilon\pi\iota$  7.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\omega\pi\omega\nu$  autwv b0 |  $\iota\omega\nu$  Isranl uwv > arm<sup>2. 4</sup> eth : Isranlutwv s<sup>2</sup> |.

**5**<sup>h</sup> h. εσφραγισμενοι ANC 025. 35. 468. 632. 2020 Or<sup>8</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> : -μεναι 046. 21 (-35. 468. 632. 2020). 82. 201. 314. 385. 498. 1955. 2016. 2017 al<sup>p</sup> : -μενων 69. 104. 522 : >s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> bo eth | Povβην ANC 025. 046. 175 Or<sup>8</sup> : Povβιν 61. 69. 104. 201. 337. 498. 617. 919. 1955. 2004. 2015 : Povβιν 61. 69. 104. 201. 337. 498. 617. 919. 1955. 2004. 2015 : Povβιν 1. 18 35. 93. 386. 456. 468. 620\*\*. 632. 920. 1849. 2017. 2019. 2020 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : Povβειμ 60. 91. 172. 205. 385 1934. 2018. 2023. 2041 : Povβειν 250. 2016 : Povβημ 314 325. 506. 517. 620\*. 866. 2024 : Ruben Pr gig vg : Roubēn bo |.

7. ek ful. Zup. Sub. Xil. > 172 | Aeu! Aeu: N : Aeu et Ioaxap ~ s<sup>1</sup> | Iooaxap AN 025. 61. 104. 385. 522. 919. 1955. 2017 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> vg arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> a : Ioaxap C 046. 21 (-919) s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo : Isachar Pr : Ysacar gig |.

8.  $\epsilon \kappa \ \phi \nu \lambda$ . Zaß. Sub. Xi. > 1849 | Zaßoulur . . Iwonf] ~1934 | Iwonf . . Beriameir] ~  $\aleph$  2015 | Beriameir A 025.920. 2038 : Beriamir  $\aleph$ C 046. 21 (-456.620.866.920) al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> Pr gig vg s bo : Beriamir 242.456.620.866.2017 | eofpayiomeroi ANC 025.35.205.468.632.2020 Or<sup>8</sup> gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo : -merai 046.21 (-35.205.468.632.920.2020.2040) al<sup>mu</sup> : >920.2040 Pr s<sup>2</sup> |.

5°. εκ φυλ. Γαδ. δωδ. χιλ. > × | Γαδ] Δαν 42. 325 (pr man. scripsit in marg) 336. 456. 620. 866 : Δαδ 1 : Gath Pr |.

6. ex full Aonp Sub. x12. > Or\* | Nephalip A 046. 61\*\*. 69\*\*. 175. 314. 325. 429. 456. 617. 620. 866. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004 al vg : Nephtalim arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : Neptalim Pr gig : Nephali N s : Nephaliv C : Nephalip 69\*. 201. 386. 517. 522 : Ephthalim bo : Nephaleu 025. 1. 18. 35. 61\*. 104. 172. 205. 241. 9. Μετά ταῦτα είδον,

καὶ ἰδοὺ ὅχλος πολύς, ὅν ἀριθμῆσαι αὐτὸν οὐδεὶς ἐδύνατο, ἐκ παντὸς ἔθνους καὶ φυλῶν καὶ λαῶν καὶ γλωσσῶν, ἑστῶτες ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου καὶ ἐνώπιον τοῦ ἀρνίου, † περιβεβλημένους † στολὰς λευκάς, καὶ φοίνικες ἐν ταῖς χερσὶν αὐτῶν.

καὶ κράζουσιν φωνῆ μεγάλη λέγοντες
 Ἡ σωτηρία τῷ θεῷ ἡμῶν
 τῷ καθημένῳ ἐπὶ τῷ θρόνῷ
 καὶ τῷ ἀρνίῳ.

242. 250. 337. 385. 468. 498. 632. 1934. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2040. 2041. 2067  $al^{mu}$  Or<sup>s</sup> |  $\epsilon \kappa \ \phi \nu \lambda$ . Mar. Sud.  $\chi \iota \lambda$ . > 620<sup>\*</sup>. 866 | Marasson N 025. 21 (-175. 205. 620<sup>\*</sup>. 866). 1. 250. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg arm : Marasson C : Marrasson A : Marasson 046. 175. 205. 2037. 2038 s : Dan bo |.

9. μετα] pr και s1 arm1 bo eth | και ιδου & 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc gig s<sup>2</sup> : > A Pr Cyp vg s<sup>1</sup> bo sa : ιδου > C | οχλοσ πολυσ NC 025. 046. **21.** 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Tyc gig s<sup>2</sup> : πολυσ >Or : οχλον πολυν A Pr Cyp vg s<sup>1</sup> bo | ov et N<sup>c</sup>] or N<sup>\*</sup> :  $\kappa a_{i}$  A | autov ANC 025. 1. 61. 69. 205. 2019 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s bo : >046. 21 (-205). 104. 172. 201. 241. 242. 250. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2041. 2067 alp Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg |  $\epsilon \delta u v a \tau o A \otimes C O 46.$  21 (-205. 2040) al<sup>pi</sup> :  $\eta \delta u v a \tau o \rho 25.$  1. 61. 69. 172. 205. 241. 250. 2015. 2023<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2037. 2040. 2067 almu Ors : билатан 2038 arm4 | ек тантот евнот ] ек παντων εθνων Tyc gig vg arm<sup>8. 4. a</sup> | φυλων] φυλησ s<sup>1</sup> Pr Cyp | και λαων και γλωσσων >eth | εστωτεσ ΑΝ 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2004. 2019. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp s : cotwtar 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2004. 2020). 250 almu Ors : 60760760 C 2020 : εστωτα 93. 1955 : stantes Pr gig Cyp vg | ενωπιον<sup>1</sup>] επι A | περιβεβλημενουσ ΑΝ\*C 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2040). 250 alpm gig : περιβεβλημενασ 242. 2040 : περιβεβλημενοι χ° 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Or" Tyc vg : και περιβεβλημενοι s1 : et erant amicti Pr Cyp | φοινικεσ ΑΝC 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 250. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> gig vg arm : palmae fuerunt Pr Cyp : φοινικασ κ\* 046. **21** (-35. 205. 2020). 61. 69. 201. 241. 242. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2024. 2039. 2041 almu Or" : κιθαραι bo | εν ταισ χεισιν] pr ησαν Pr gig Cyp |.

10.  $\kappa \alpha \iota^1 > 1.2067$  bo.  $\kappa \rho \alpha \xi^{000} \iota^{\gamma} ] \kappa \rho \alpha \xi^{007} \epsilon \sigma I.2067$  bo s<sup>1</sup>:  $\epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \xi^{00} Pr$  gig Cyp vg arm |  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma 07} \epsilon \sigma$ ] pr  $\kappa \alpha \iota 2067$  s<sup>1</sup>:  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma 07}$ arm<sup>1</sup>:  $\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota arm^{2.3.4.4} | \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \omega$ ] rov  $\theta \epsilon \sigma v A$  (in marg.) bo :  $\theta \epsilon \omega I.2037$  (post  $\tau \omega \kappa \alpha \theta$ .  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \theta \rho \sigma v$ .) |  $\tau \omega \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \epsilon v \omega > N^*$  11. καὶ πάντες οἱ ἄγγελοι ἱστήκεισαν κύκλῳ τοῦ θρόνου καὶ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων καὶ τῶν τεσσάρων ζώων, καὶ ἔπεσαν ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου ἐπὶ τὰ πρόσωπα αὐτῶν καὶ προσεκύνησαν τῷ θεῷ, 12. λέγοντες

Αμήν ή ευλογία και ή δόξα και ή σοφία

καί ή ευχαριστία και ή τιμή και ή δύναμις

καὶ ἡ ἰσχὺς τῷ θεῷ ἡμῶν εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων [·ἀμήν] 13. Καὶ ἀπεκρίθη εἶς ἐκ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων λέγων μοι Οῦτοι οἰ περιβεβλημένοι τὰς στολὰς τὰς λευκὰς τίνες εἰσὶν καὶ πόθεν ἦλθον;

και εξρηκα αυτῷ Κύριέ μου, σὺ οἶδας. και εἶπέν μοι
 Οῦτοί εἰσιν οἱ ἐρχόμενοι ἐκ τῆς θλίψεως τῆς μεγάλης,

καί ξπλυναν τάς στολάς αύτων,

καί έλεύκαναν αύτας έν τω αίματι του άργίου.

(suppl. N°): pr και s<sup>1</sup> | επι τω θρονω ΑΝ\*C 025. 21 (-205. 468. 632\*\*. 2020). 250. 2067 al<sup>inu</sup>: επι του θρονου N° 046. 1. 104. 205. 468. 632\*\*. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>inu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup>: super thronum Pr Cyp vg: supra sedem gig | τω αρνιω] του αρνιου N°: + εισ τουσ αιωνασ των αιωνων αμην N\* (del. N°). 11. οι > N\* (suppl. N°) | ιστηκεισαν ΑΝ (-κισαν Ν) 025:

11. ot > N\* (suppl. N°) | ιστηκεισαν AN (-κισαν N) 025 : εστηκισαν C : ειστηκησαν 046. 104\*\*. 919. 2017. 2018. 2036 : ειστηκεισαν 21 (-205. 919). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : ειστη κεσαν 1. 205 : stabant Tyc Pr gig vg arm b0 | κυκλω] ενωπιον b0 | επεσαν ANC 025. 42. 181. 325\*. 337. 468. 517. 620. 866 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : επεσον 046. 21 (-325\*. 337. 468. 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> | ενωπιον . . προσωπον αυτων] επι τ. προσ. αυτων ενωπιον τ. θρον. b0 sa eth | του θρονου ANC 025. 35. 205. 920. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8.3</sup> « b0 : + αυτου 046. 21 (-35. 205. 920. 2020. 2040). 250 al s<sup>2</sup> | επι τα προσωπα] επι προσωπον Ι. 2038. 2067 b0 : > arm<sup>1</sup> | και προσεκυνησαν τω θεω >s<sup>1</sup> | και επεσαν . . λεγοντεσ] προσεκυνησαν επι τ. προσωπα αυτων ενωπιον τ. θρονου του θεου λεγοντεσ eth |.

19.  $a\mu\eta\nu$ ] pr aylos aylos aylos 205 |  $\epsilon\nu\lambda$ sı a...  $\delta\sigma\xi a$ ]~s<sup>1</sup> eth |  $\kappa a\iota \eta$  sofia > A arm<sup>4</sup> : ante  $\eta$   $\delta\sigma\xi a$  pon 506 : post  $\eta$   $\tau \iota\mu\eta$  $\kappa$ .  $\eta$   $\epsilon\nu\chi a\rho\iota\sigma\tau\iota a$  pon 2067 |  $\eta$   $\epsilon\nu\chi a\rho\iota\sigma\tau\iota a > \eta \mathbb{N}^*$  (suppl.  $\mathbb{N}^c$ ) |  $\kappa a\iota$  $\eta$   $\tau\iota\mu\eta$  > eth |  $\kappa a\iota \eta$   $\iota\sigma\chi\nu\sigma$  > b0 :  $\kappa a\iota \eta$   $\chi a\rho\iota\sigma$  arm<sup>1</sup> 2 4.  $\alpha$  :  $\kappa a\iota$  $\eta$   $\epsilon\xi ovoria$  arm<sup>8</sup> |  $\tau\omega$   $\theta\epsilon\omega$ ]  $\tauov$   $\theta\epsilonov$  b0 :  $\tau\omega$   $\kappa\nu\rho\iota\omega$  arm<sup>1</sup> :  $\kappa\nu\rho\iota\omega$  $\tau\omega$   $\theta\epsilon\omega$  arm<sup>a</sup> |  $a\mu\eta\nu$  > C 2015. 2019 Pr |.

**18.** απεκριθη] + μοι Tyc gig : λεγει μοι arm<sup>1. 2. 3. α</sup> eth | εκ > **Ν** 1957 | λεγων μοι > gig arm<sup>1. 2. 3. α</sup> eth : μοι > Tyc arm<sup>4</sup> | τασ στολασ τασ λευκασ] τασ λευκασ στολασ 2015. 2036 | τασ<sup>2</sup> >C | τινεσ εισιν και > eth : εισιν > 1. 181. 2038 | ηλθον] veniunt vg<sup>f. g</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> |.

14. και > bo sa | ειρηκα ΑΝC 025. Ι. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> : ειπον 046. 91 (-205). 250. al<sup>pm</sup> : dixi Pr gig Cyp vg : λεγω arm<sup>1</sup> | κυριε μου NC 025. 046.

- 15. διὰ τοῦτό εἰσιν ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ λατρεύουσιν αὐτῷ ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτὸς ἐν τῷ ναῷ αὐτοῦ, καὶ ὁ καθήμενος ἐπὶ †τοῦ θρόνου† σκηνώσει ἐπ' αὐτούς.
- 16. οὐ πεινάσουσιν ἔτι οὐδὲ διψήσουσιν ἔτι, οὐδὲ μὴ παίσῃ ἔτι αὐτοὺς ὁ ἦλιος οὐδὲ πῶν καῦμα,
- 17. ότι τὸ ἀρνίον τὸ ἀνὰ μέσον τοῦ θρόνου ποιμανεῖ αὐτούς, καὶ ὁδηγήσει αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ ζωῆς πηγὰς ἑδώτων καὶ ἐξαλείψει ὁ θεὸς πῶν δάκρυον ἐκ τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν αὐτῶν.

**21** (-205). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pm}$  Or\* vg s  $arm^{2.8.4}$  bo:  $\mu ov > A$  1. 205 Pr gig Cyp  $arm^{1.6} | \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon v ] \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$  gig  $arm^{1} | o\iota$   $\epsilon \rho \chi o \mu \epsilon v oi]$  qui venerunt Tyc Pr Cyp eth : qui veniunt gig vg arm bo |  $\epsilon \kappa$   $\tau \eta \sigma$   $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \epsilon \omega \sigma$   $\tau \eta \sigma$   $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \sigma$ ]  $a \pi \sigma$   $\theta \lambda \iota \psi \epsilon \omega \sigma$   $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \sigma$   $A | \epsilon \pi \lambda v v a A A 0.46. 18. 35. 175. 205. 468**. 617. 620.$  $<math>632^{**}$ . 1934. 2020 Or\* Tyc gig vg Cyp s arm bo :  $\epsilon \pi \lambda a \tau v v a \mu 42^{*}$ . 82. 201. 325. 337. 385. 386. 429. 452. 456. 468\*. 498. 522.  $632^{**}$ . 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2021. 2024. 2040 :  $\epsilon \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon \iota v a \mu$ 1 :  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \kappa a v a \nu$  2015 : > Pr |  $\kappa a \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \kappa a v a v \tau a \sigma$  > Tyc : " made them glorious" bo |  $\kappa a \iota^{4} > 620. 866$  |  $a v \tau a \sigma$  A 0.25. 1. 35. 60. 93. 181. 205. 209. 432. 468. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig vg Cyp s  $a rm^{4}$  bo :  $a v \tau o v \sigma$  2037 : > 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468). 250  $a l^{mu}$  Or\* Pr  $a rm^{a}$  |.

15. Sia rouro] pr kai 046<sup>\*</sup>. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2067 |  $\epsilon i\sigma i\nu$ ]  $\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$  eth |  $\lambda a\tau\rho\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu$ ] Sofa ( $\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu$  eth |  $\sigma$  ka $\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ ] his qui sedet Pr Cyp |  $\epsilon\pi\iota$  rov  $\theta\rho\sigma\nu\sigma\nu$  AN I. 61. 172. 205. 250. 385. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup>:  $\epsilon\pi\iota$  rw  $\theta\rho\sigma\nu\omega$  025. 046. 21 (-205. 2020) al<sup>mu</sup> : supra sedem gig : in throno Tyc Pr vg Cyp |  $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\omega\sigma\epsilon\iota$   $\epsilon\pi$  aurovog] N<sup>a. c</sup>:  $\gamma\iota\nu\omega\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota$  aurovog N<sup>\*</sup> :  $\gamma\iota\nu\omega\sigma\kappa\epsilon\iota$   $\epsilon\pi$  aurovog N<sup>c</sup> : habitavit supra illos gig : inhabitavit super eos Cyp : habitat super eos Tyc : inhabitavit in eis Pr : "dwelleth in them" arm<sup>1 2</sup> (<sup>3</sup>).  $\alpha$  |.

16.  $\epsilon \tau \iota^1 A \circ 25. \circ 46.$  21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> gig : > × 2019 Pr vg Cyp s  $arm^{3 4} \epsilon$  bo sa |  $ov\delta\epsilon$ ] +  $\mu\eta$  A 61. 69 Or<sup>s</sup> |  $\delta \iota \psi \eta \sigma ov\sigma \iota \nu$  ]  $\delta \iota \psi a \sigma ov\sigma \iota \nu \times 385 : \delta \iota \psi \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu \circ 25. 69. 2038 | <math>\epsilon \tau \iota^3$ A × 046. 21 (-205. 2020). 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> vg s<sup>2</sup>  $arm^2$  bo : umquam Pr Cyp : >025. 1. 141. 172. 205. 250. 424. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038 gig s<sup>1</sup>  $arm^{1 8 4. \alpha}$  : + " netther shall they toil" bo |  $\mu\eta$   $\pi a \iota \sigma \eta$   $\epsilon \tau \iota$ ] an emendation of Gwynn and Swete of  $\mu\eta \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \epsilon \pi \iota A \times 025.$ 1. 35. 69. 2015. 2019. 2036  $al^{p}$  :  $ov \ \mu\eta \ \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \epsilon \pi \iota \ 046.$  21 (-35. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pm}$  Or<sup>s</sup> :  $ov \ \mu\eta \ \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau a \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \ 2020$  |  $o \ \eta \lambda \iota \sigma$ ]  $o > 2020 \ 2037$  : " cold"  $arm^{1 2 8}$  : " sharlow" bo |  $\pi a \nu$ > Tyc arm :  $\tau o \ 314. \ 2016 \ | \kappa a \nu \mu a \ | \ + patientur \ Pr \ Cyp$  |.

17. ανα μεσον] ενωπιον bo eth | ποιμανει ΑΝ 025. 046. 1. 35. (1. 69. 104. 205. 314. 429. 468\* 620. 866. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023\*\*. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041\*. 2067. s arm sa : reget gig vg Cyp : ποιμαινει 21 (-35. 205. 468\*. 620. 866. 2020). 42. 82.

#### CHAPTER VIII.

 Καὶ ὅταν ῆνοιξεν τὴν σφραγῦδα τὴν ἐβδόμην, ἐγένετο σιγὴ ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ ὡς ἡμίωρον.(a) 3. καὶ † ἄλλος † (b) ἄγγελος ἦλθεν καὶ τοῦ θυσιασ- ἐστάθη ἐπὶ 「τὸ θυσιαστήριον <sup>1</sup> ἔχων λιβανωτὸν χρυσοῦν, καὶ ἐδόθη τηρίου αὐτῷ θυμιάματα πολλά, ἕνα δώσει ταῖς προσευχαῖς τῶν ἁγίων πάντων ἐπὶ τὸ θυσιαστήριον τὸ χρυσοῦν τὸ ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου. 4. καὶ ανέβη

(a) Verse 2 is restored in what appears to have been its original form after 8<sup>8</sup>. See vol. i. 218-222, 224.

(b) Read ets.

91. 141. 172. 201. 218. 241. 242. 250. 385. 424. 432. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2021. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2024. 2039. 2041<sup>\*\*</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup>: regit Pr: περιπατει μετ αυτων b0 | οδηγησει AN 025. 046. 1. 35. 61. 69. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>P</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Tyc gig Cyp vg s arm b0 : οδηγει 21 (-35. 205. 2020). 42. 82. 91. 104. 250. 385. 429. 2016. 2017 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr ζωησ] ζωσασ 1. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 : ζωην και επι s<sup>1</sup> πηγασ] fontem Pr arm<sup>\*</sup> b0 | και εξαλειψει . . οφθαλμων αυτων > 2020 | εξαλειψει] εξελει 35 | ο θεοσ > s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> 4 | παν δακρυον] παν δρακυον N<sup>\*</sup>: παντα τα δακρυα 69 arm : δακρυον eth | εκ των οφθαλμων AC 025. 046. 21 (-325. 456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 620. 866. 920. 2040). 250. 2038 al<sup>pm</sup> Pr gig Cyp vg s : απο των οφθαλμων N 61. 104. 241. 325. 456. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 620. 866. 920. 2015. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> vg<sup>d</sup> v |.

1. Rai > Tyc | orav AC : ore  $\aleph$  025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $a^{[p]}$  Or<sup>s</sup> |  $\sigma \iota \gamma \eta$ ]  $\phi \omega \iota \eta$  bo |  $\eta \iota \iota \omega \rho \iota \nu$  AC 337. 498. 1957 :  $\eta \iota \omega \rho \iota \iota \nu$  ( $\epsilon \iota \mu$   $\aleph$ )  $\aleph$  025. 046. 21 (-337. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $a^{[p]}$  Or<sup>s</sup> s : semihora Pr gig : media hora Tyc vg :  $\omega \rho a \nu$  bo ].

8. αγγελοσ >s<sup>1</sup> | ηλθεν] εξηλθεν 69 : before αλλοσ arm<sup>1.2</sup> | επι το θυσιαστηριον Α 025. Ι. 35. 205. 2019. 2023 al<sup>mu</sup> : επι του θυσιαστηριου № 046. 21 (-35. 205). 69. Ι04. 250. 314. 385. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2037. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : επι του θυσιαστηριον C : ante altare Tyc gig vg arm<sup>1</sup> eth : super altarium Dei Pr : "at the altar" arm<sup>2.8.4</sup> ε | εχων] και ειχεν arm<sup>1.2</sup> ε eth : ειχεν arm<sup>8</sup> | λιβανωτον] λιβανον το C | θυμιαματα] supplicamenta Pr | ινα δωσει >s<sup>1</sup> | δωσει ANC I. 35. ΙΙΟ. 172. 201. 250<sup>\*</sup>. 337. 386. 632. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> : δωση 025. 046. 21 (-35. 325. 337. 386. 456. 632. 2040. 250<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : δω 69. 314. 325. 456. 2019 | ταισ προσευχαισ] τασ ευχασ 205 : orationes gig arm<sup>1.2</sup> <sup>2</sup>.<sup>s</sup> = : de orationibus vg<sup>d</sup> v : "along with the prayers" bo | θυσιαστηριον] + του θεου Pr | το ενωπιον] το > N arm<sup>1 2.8. α</sup> |.

4. ανεβη ο καπνοσ]  $\aleph^{\circ}$ : αναβη καπνοσ  $\aleph^{\pm}$ : ο > 506: ανεβη > arm<sup>2</sup> | των θυμιαματων] supplicationum Pr | ταισ προσευχαισ]

ό καπνός τών θυμιαμάτων ταῖς προσευχαῖς τών ἀγίων ἐκ χειρός τοῦ ἀγγέλου ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ. 5. καὶ εἴληφεν ὁ ἄγγελος τὸν λιβανωτόν, καὶ ἐγέμισεν αὐτὸν ἐκ τοῦ πυρὸς τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου, καὶ ἔβαλεν εἰς τὴν γῆν, καὶ ἐγένοντο † βρονταὶ καὶ ἀστραπαὶ καὶ φωναὶ † καὶ σεισμός.

2. Καὶ εἶδον † τοὺς ἐπτὰ † (a) ἀγγέλους (b) καὶ Γεδόθησαν ἀὐτοῦς εδόθη † ἐπτὰ † (a) σάλπιγγες. 6. καὶ οἱ † ἐπτὰ † (a) ἄγγελοι οἱ ἔχοντες τὰς † ἐπτὰ † (a) σάλπιγγας ἡτοίμασαν αὐτοὺς ἶνα σαλπίσωσι.

(a) Read  $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{s}$  in the first two cases after the noun but without change of order in the next two. See vol. i. 218-223.

(b) The interpolator of viii. 7-12, to whom the changes in the text are due, added here of  $\ell\nu\omega\pi\iota\sigma\nu$  rou  $\theta\epsilon\sigmau$   $\ell\sigma\tau\mu\kappa\sigma\sigma\iota\nu$ . This termination— $a\sigma\iota\nu$ —of the perfect does not occur elsewhere in our author, who uses  $-a\nu$ .

orationum Pr s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo : de orationibus gig vg :  $\sigma u \nu \tau$ .  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon u \chi a u \sigma$  eth |  $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o \sigma$ ]  $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \nu$  arm<sup>1.2</sup> <sup>3. a</sup> |  $\tau o u$  a yy  $\epsilon \lambda o u$ ]  $\tau o v >$ 498. 2020 :  $\tau \omega \nu$  a yy  $\epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$  69<sup>\*</sup>. 205 arm<sup>2</sup> <sup>a</sup> :  $\tau o u$  a p  $\chi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o u$  arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota o \nu > a rm^1$  : pr  $\tau o u$  bo |  $\tau o v \theta \epsilon o v > a rm^{1.4}$  |.

5. EILMDER] EYEMISER ATM<sup>1 2.8. a</sup> | TOR  $\lambda_i \beta_{arword}$  to  $\lambda_i \beta_{arword}$ 104. 141. 205. 218. 424. 2019. 2024 | Kal eyem. autor > eth | αυτον] αυτο 104. 205. 218. 424 | του θυσιαστηριου] + του θεου Pr : pr του επι s1 : "of Gehenna" arm<sup>2</sup> | εβαλεν N 046. 21 Or Pr gig vg s arm bo eth :  $\epsilon\beta a\lambda\lambda\epsilon\nu$  025 :  $\epsilon\lambda a\beta o\nu A | \beta\rho o\nu rai$ к. автрата к. фина А 336. 2020 s<sup>2 (d. 1. p)</sup> : Вронта к. фина к. астранаи № 046. 21 (-35. 2020). 69. 110. 172. 250. 314. 385. 2016. 2018 alp Or Pr gig vg s1 bo eth : фина к. Вронта к. астраная 025. 1. 35. 2037. 2067 almu Tyc arm<sup>4</sup>: фыная к. астранаи к. Вроита 104. 2038 : The order of all the MSS is corrupt. We should expect astpassal first, since not only in point of fact the lightning is seen before the thunder is heard, but also because this order is preserved always elsewhere in our author: cf. 4<sup>5</sup> 11<sup>19</sup> 16<sup>18</sup>. St. John is an observer of nature, and was not guilty of this blunder. It is due to the interpolator of 87-12. The original order was astro. Kai owvai kai Brovtai as in 4<sup>5</sup> I I<sup>19</sup> I6<sup>18</sup>. The hopeless order of A-βροντ. κ. αστρ. κ. φων.-is most probably due to the interpolator. The readings of **x** 046 Pr vg s<sup>1</sup>, of 025 Tyc, are obvious attempts at correction. | Kal σεισμοσ >242. 617. 1934 : σεισμοι 209 Or arm<sup>2.8</sup> : + μεγασ vg arm1. a : + eyevero µeyao vg .

**2.** TOUS ETTA > 1. 506 : TOUS > 205 | TOU BEOU > arm<sup>1</sup> : TOU BRONOU 620. 866 arm<sup>4</sup> | ESTIMAGUN ANC 025. 046. **21** (-35. 325. 456. 468. 2020) OT<sup>4</sup> : ESTIMETOR 35. 468. 2020 S : ESTIMETOR 42. 314. 325. 456. 517 : stabant gg : stant Tyc eth : stantes Pr vg b0 | ESOBITION NC 025. 046. **21** (-18. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2040) OT<sup>4</sup> Pr gig vg s b0 : ESOBITI A 18. 172. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2018. 2040. 2041 arm : qui acceperunt Tyc | SATHYYES] + WA SANTSWEI 920. 2040 : tubas Tyc |.

6. 01 EXOPTED A 025. 046. 21 (- 468\*, 620. 866). 250. 2037.

13. καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἦκουσα ἐνὸς ἀετοῦ πετομένου ἐν μεσουρανήματι λέγοντος φωνῆ μεγάλῃ Oửaí οửaỉ τοῦς κατοικοῦσιν ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ἐκ τῶν [λοιπῶν] φωνῶν τῆς σάλπιγγος τῶν τριῶν ἀγγέλων τῶν μελλόντων σαλπίζειν.

[7. Καὶ ὁ πρῶτος ἐσάλπισεν. καὶ ἐγένετο χάλαζα καὶ πῦρ μεμιγμένα ἐν αἴματι, καὶ ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν γῆν. καὶ τὸ τρίτον τῆς γῆς κατεκάη, καὶ τὸ τρίτον τῶν δένδρων κατεκάη, καὶ πῶς χόρτος χλωρὸς κατεκάη.

8. Και ό δεύτερος άγγελος έσάλπισεν

2067  $al^{pl}$  s  $arm^{1.2.8.a}$  bo eth :  $ol > \aleph 468^{*}$ . 620. 866. 2019. 2038 Or<sup>\*</sup>  $arm^{4} \mid \tau ao > arm^{a} \mid autous A\aleph^{*}$  : eautous  $\aleph^{\circ}$  025. 046. 21. 250 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup> bo : en autous 69  $\mid \sigma a\lambda \pi \iota \sigma u \sigma \iota \rceil + \tau o u \sigma \sigma a\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma a \sigma arm^{1.8}$  :  $+ \tau o u \sigma \epsilon \pi \tau a \sigma a\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma a \sigma arm^{2} \mid$ .

18. και ειδον >s<sup>1</sup> eth | ηκουσα] + φωνην Tyc vg arm<sup>1.2</sup> a ενοσ >N 025 arm bo sa | aerov AN 046. 21 (-205. 468. 620. 632. 866). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc gig fl s arm<sup>1.2</sup> \* <sup>4</sup> bo eth : ut aquilam Pr : aγγελου 025. 1. 104. 205. 241. 468. 620. 632. 866. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>a</sup> | πετομενου > bo | εν μεσουρανηματι] εν μεσουρανισματι I : in medio caeli et terrae eth | λεγοντοσ] et dicentem Tyc eth | φωνη μεγαλη] + τρισ 104. 432. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 204I : φωνη τρισ 35. 2019 : φων. μεγ. before λεγοντοσ gig fl : >Tyc s<sup>1</sup> | οναι] twice only I. 2038 eth | τοισ κατοικουσιν A 025. I. 35. 104. 205. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> : τουσ κατοικουντασ N 046. 21 (-35. 205). 61. 69. 110. 172. 242. 250. 314. 385. 2016. 2018 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> | εκ των λοιπων φωνων τησ σαλπιγγων s<sup>2</sup> : φωνων τησ σαλπιγγων s<sup>1</sup> : εκ τ. φωνησ τ. λοιπων σαλπιγγων s<sup>2</sup> : φωνων τησ σαλπιγγοσ > Pr ].

7. o transform AN 025. 046. 21 (-2020) alpm Or<sup>\*</sup> s arm<sup>4</sup>: + ayyelos 1. 250. 522. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> bo eth | kai<sup>3</sup> > Tyc | μεμιγμενα A 046. 21 (-205. 2020). 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Pr gig vg s: μεμιγμενον N 025. 181. 205. 209. 432. 2020. 2038. 2067 Tyc | εν aιματι] εν > 1. 2038 al<sup>p</sup>: υδατι 205 s<sup>1. 2</sup>: εισ αιμα Pr gig | εβληθη] εβληθησαν 172. 250. 424. 2018 s<sup>1. 2</sup> | και το τριτον τησ γησ κατεκαη > 1. 2018 arm<sup>1. 4</sup> | τριτον<sup>1</sup>] δευτερον arm<sup>2. 8</sup> | και το τριτον των δενδρων κατεκαη > 046<sup>\*</sup>. 175. 456 al<sup>p</sup> gig arm<sup>3. a</sup>: και κατεκαη παν δενδρων eth | τριτον<sup>2</sup>] δευτερον arm<sup>2</sup> | κατεκαη<sup>2</sup> > Tyc arm<sup>2. 8</sup> bo | και πασ χορτοσ χλωροσ κατεκαη > arm<sup>a</sup> | χορτοσ χλωροσ] ο χορτ. ο χλωροσ 104. 201. 386 : παντα χορτον χλωρον arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> bo | χλωροσ] τησ γησ s<sup>1</sup> | κατεκαη<sup>8</sup> > eth |.

8. aggelos >  $s^1 | \omega \sigma$ ] pr egevero 920. 2040  $s^1 | \pi u \rho \iota$  AR

καὶ ὡς ὅρος μέγα πυρὶ καιόμενον ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν θάλασσαν, καὶ ἐγένετο τὸ τρίτον τῆς θαλάσσης αἶμα,

- 9. καὶ ἀπέθανεν τὸ τρίτον τῶν κτισμάτων τῶν ἐν τῆ θαλάσση τὰ ἔχοντα ψυχάς,
  - και το τρίτον των πλοίων διεφθάρησαν.
- 10. Καὶ ὁ τρίτος ἄγγελος ἐσάλπισεν καὶ ἔπεσεν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀστὴρ μέγας καιόμενος ὡς λαμπάς, καὶ ἔπεσεν ἐπὶ τὸ τρίτον τῶν ποταμῶν καὶ † ἐπὶ τὰς πηγὰς † τῶν ὑδάτων.
- II. [καὶ τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ ἀστέρος λέγεται ὅ "Αψινθος] καὶ ἐγένετο τὸ τρίτον τῶν ὑδάτων ὡς ἄψινθος, καὶ πολλοὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἀπέθανον ἐκ τῶν ὑδάτων ὅτι ἐπικράνθησαν.

025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 250. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig fl vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> <sup>4</sup> :  $\pi \nu \rho \rho \sigma$  b0 : >046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 2020) al<sup>pm</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 8. a</sup> |  $\epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ ]  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$  s<sup>1</sup> arm |  $\omega \sigma$  op or  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \pi \nu \rho \iota$ ]  $\pi \nu \rho$  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \omega \sigma$  op os eth |  $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$ ]  $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \eta \aleph$  |  $\tau \rho \iota \tau \sigma \nu$ ]  $\delta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$  arm<sup>2</sup> |  $a \iota \mu a$ ] in sanguinem Pr |.

9. TO TPITOP<sup>1</sup>] +  $\mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \propto 172.250.424.2018.2019$  Pr gig fl vg bo sa eth : TO  $\delta \epsilon \upsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu a \operatorname{Im}^{2 8} | \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu a \tau \omega \nu ]$  piscium Pr : animalium fl arm<sup>4</sup> : creaturae vg : pr  $\pi a \nu \tau \omega \nu s^{1 2}$  bo |  $\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \ \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta \ A \approx 0.25.205.250.2020.2037 a \operatorname{Im}^{u} \operatorname{Or}^{*}$  fl s bo eth : > 1. 181 Pr vg :  $\tau \omega \nu > 046.$  21 (-205.2020).2038. 2067 a  $\operatorname{Im}^{u}$  : eorum quae in mari creata sunt gig |  $\tau a \epsilon \chi \sigma \nu \tau a$  $\psi \upsilon \chi a \sigma$ ]  $\tau a \epsilon \chi \sigma \nu \tau a \psi \upsilon \chi \eta \nu (+ \zeta \omega \eta \sigma \ bo sa eth) \approx bo sa eth :$  $<math>\tau a \epsilon \chi \sigma \nu \tau a \sigma \psi \upsilon \upsilon \chi a \sigma 42.242.468 : \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \chi \sigma \nu \tau \omega \sigma \psi \upsilon \upsilon \chi a \sigma 3.5^{*} :$  $<math>\tau o \epsilon \chi \sigma \nu \psi \upsilon \upsilon \chi \eta \nu s^{1}$  : habentium animas Tyc : > Pr fl |  $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \eta \sigma a \sigma 3.5^{*}$  $\iota \upsilon \sigma 3.5^{*}$  : 2041 s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1</sup><sup>2</sup> :  $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \eta 0.46.$  21 (-35.205).250. 2038.2067 a l<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> a :  $\epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \eta 205$  : corruper unt Tyc : perit Pr : interiit gig fl vg |.

11. του αστεροσ] + τουτου Tyc | λεγεται] ελεγετο 104 arm<sup>4</sup> | ο Αψινθοσ Α 025. 046. 21 (-2020). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s:  $o > \aleph^{\circ etc}$ I. 69. 104. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> | αψινθοσ]  $\aleph^{c}$ : αψινθιον  $\aleph^{\bullet}$  (+ και λεγεται  $\aleph^{\bullet}$  del  $\aleph^{\circ}$ ) bo: αψινθωσ 2067: absintium Tyc: absintus Pr: absinthius gig vg<sup>a. d. v</sup>: absentius vg<sup>e</sup>: absintthus vg<sup>g</sup>: habsintus vg<sup>o</sup>: absentium fl: "bitterness" arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup>: "wormwood" arm<sup>4</sup> | τριτον] + μεροσ Or<sup>a</sup> Pr fl gig vg bo eth | εγενετο] γινεται I. 2019. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> | ωσ αψινθοσ (-ον Or<sup>a</sup>) 2038 Or<sup>a</sup>: sicut absintium (alloe bo) Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo sa: quasi absentium fl: εισ αψινθον Α 025. 046. 21 (-620. 866. 1934). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> s<sup>3</sup>: εισ αψινθιον  $\aleph$  104. 110. 336. 620. 866. 1934. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037 gig vg : "bitter" arm<sup>8</sup>; "into blood 12. Καὶ ὁ τέταρτος ἄγγελος ἐσάλπισεν καὶ ἐπλήγη τὸ τρίτον τοῦ ἡλίου καὶ τὸ τρίτον τῆς σελήνης καὶ τὸ τρίτον τῶν ἀστέρων, ἕνα σκοτισθῆ τὸ τρίτον αὐτῶν καὶ † ἡ ἡμέρα μὴ φάνη τὸ τρίτον αὐτῆς καὶ ἡ νὺξ † ὁμοίως.]

into wormwood"  $\operatorname{arm}^4 | \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu v \delta a \tau \omega \nu o \tau \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \nu \theta \eta \sigma a \nu ] (ab) amaritudine aquarum (Pr) fl <math>\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.4}$  eth : or ι  $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \nu \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \tau a$  v dara s<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ ]  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu A$  |.

19. ayyerdo  $>s^1 | \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta ] \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \xi \epsilon \operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8.6} : + \kappa a \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \kappa o \tau i \sigma \theta \eta$ eth | to tritov tou hliou kai > 1934 | tritov] +  $\mu$ eroot (thrice in this verse) Pr fl gig vg bo sa eth | και το τριτον τησ σεληνησ >fl | ινα σκοτισθη το τριτον αυτων] + και εσκοτισθησαν  $s^2$  : και εσκοτισθη ( - θησαν s1) το τριτον αυτων 172. 250. 2018 s1 arm1. 8.4 a : και εσκοτισθη arm<sup>2</sup> : ινα σκοτισθωσι bo : ut minus lucerent Pr : ινα σκοτ. τ. τριτον αυτων και > eth  $| \eta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \dots v \xi$  text corrupt : bo alone (και το τρ. αυτων μη φανη ημερασ και ομοιωσ νυκτοσ) either preserves or recovers original sense. Pr fl and eth attempt to recover it. See below. Evidence as follows. y yuspa un pary to third (тетартов A) авту AN 025. 35. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Ors : кан το τριτον αυτησ μη φανη η (>046. 522) ημερα 046. 175. 325. 337. 456. 468. 617. 620. 866. 1934 alpm ; (autwr for autno 18. 69. 141. 385. 429. 522. 632. 919. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015. 2024 : αυτοισ for αυτησ 386 : αυτησ > 920. 2040 : το τριτον αυτησ >2020) : et dies eandem partem amitteret Pr fl : et dies non luceat terciam partem gig : et diei non luceret pars tertia vg : και η ημερα ουκ εφαινε το τριτον αυτησ  $S^1$ : και η ημερα oux equary KOL TO TRITOV arm1: "and the third part of them had not light and day" arm<sup>8</sup> :  $\mu\eta$  φαινωσιν και το τριτον ημερασ και **VURTOG** eth  $|\eta v u \xi|$  nocte  $v g^{f, v}$ : noctis  $v g^d$  bo eth |.

#### CHAPTER IX.

< πρώos > Καὶ ὅ † πέμπτος † ἄγγελος ἐσάλπισεν·
 καὶ εἶδον ἀστέρα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ πεπτωκότα εἰς τὴν γῆν,
 καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ ἡ κλεῖς τοῦ φρέατος τῆς ἀβύσσου·

**1.** και . . . εσαλπισεν > 1849 | και ειδον > eth | αστερα . . . πεπτωκοτα] № : αστερασ . . . πεπτωκοτασ № | αστερα εκ του ουρανου πεπτωκοτα] αστερα πεπτωκ. εκ του ουρ. 920. 2040 arm<sup>4</sup> : εκ του ουρ. αστ. πεπτ. gig | εισ την γην ] επι τησ γησ 498. 2020 s<sup>1</sup> bo sa : προσ την γην 385 | εδοθη] εδωκαν bo | η κλεισ] τασ κλειδασ bo eth | του φρεατοσ] των φρεατων s<sup>1</sup> | τησ αβυσσου > gig |.

.

IX. 2-4]

- καὶ ἦνοιξεν τὸ φρέαρ τῆς ἀβύσσου,
   καὶ ἀνέβη καπνὸς ἐκ τοῦ φρέατος
   ὡς καπνὸς καμίνου μεγάλης,
   καὶ ἐσκοτώθη ὁ ῆλιος καὶ ὁ ἀὴρ ἐκ τοῦ καπνοῦ τοῦ φρέατος.
- καὶ ἐκ τοῦ καπνοῦ ἐξῆλθον ἀκρίδες εἰς τὴν γῆν,
   καὶ ἐδόθη aὐτaῖς ἐξουσία ὡς ἔχουσιν ἐξουσίαν οἱ σκορπίοι τῆς
   γῆς.
- 4. καὶ ἐρρέθη αὐταῖς ἶνα μὴ ἀδικήσουσιν τὸν χόρτον τῆς γῆς οὐδὲ πῶν χλωρὸν οὐδὲ πῶν δένδρον, εἰ μὴ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους οἶτινες οὐκ ἔχουσιν τὴν σφραγῦδα τοῦ θεοῦ ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων.

2. και ηνοίξεν το φρεαρ τησ αβυσσου A 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 241. 250. 620. 632. 866. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041. 2067 al<sup>P</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig fl vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1.4</sup> : > N 046. 21 (-35. 620. 632. 866. 2020). 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> vg<sup>4.</sup> d s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2.3</sup> \* bo eth | και ανεβη] de quo ascendit Pr | καπνοσ εκ του φρεατοσ ωσ > 1. 172. 325. 456. 2018. 2021 | εκ] N<sup>c</sup> : επι N<sup>\*</sup> | του φρεατοσ] των φρεατων s<sup>1</sup> | ωσ καπνοσ] ωσ > A : ωσ εκ Pr fl | καπνοσ] N<sup>c</sup> : καμινοσ N<sup>\*</sup> | μεγαλησ AN 025. 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc Pr vg fl arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> \* bo eth : καιομενησ 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : μεγαλησ καιομενησ 141. 432. 452. 2019. 2020 (μεγαλου). 2021 gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | και<sup>3</sup>] σσ Pr fl | εσκοτωθη A 61. 69. 181. 2038 : εσκοτισθη N 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : tenebris obscuravit Pr fl | αηρ] αστηρ 205 | εκ του καπνοσ] των φρεατωσ s<sup>1</sup> : τησ καμινου arm<sup>8</sup> |.

**3.** καπνου] + του φρεατοσ Tyc vg<sup>f.</sup> · : φρεατοσ arm<sup>1. a</sup> | εισ] επι arm bo | αυταισ A c.25 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup>: αυτοισ **N** 046. 104 | εξουσια] + και τα κεντρα αυτων arm<sup>1</sup> | ωσ εχουσιν εξουσιαν] similis eam quae habent fl : ην εχουσιν s<sup>1</sup> : ωσ κεντρα σκορπιου arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> : ινα γενωνται ωσ eth | τησ γησ] pr επι s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> bo eth : > arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> |.

**4.**  $\epsilon\rho\rho\epsilon\theta\eta$  A\* 025. **21** (-35<sup>\*</sup>). 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup>:  $\epsilon\rho\rho\eta\theta\eta$  040. 172. 250. 2018. 2024:  $\epsilon\rho\epsilon\theta\eta$  35<sup>\*</sup> | autais A 025. **21** (-18. 919. 2004). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup>: autois \* 046. 18. 61. 69. 172. 919. 2004. 2039 | adiknovovi A 2019: adiknovsi \* \* 025. 046. **21.** 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig fl vg | ovde may  $\chi\lambda\omega\rhoor$ ] >\*\* (\*° wrote  $\chi\lambda\omega$  above derdoor) Tyc arm:  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$  may  $\chi\lambda\omega\rhoor$  2020:  $\kappaai$  may  $\lambda\lambda\omega\rhoor$  s<sup>1</sup> |  $\chi\lambda\omega\rhoor$ ... derdoor] > to | may derdoor] derdoor] +  $\muorovo$  1957. 2023. 2041 al<sup>p</sup>: nisi tantum homines vg arm |  $\sigma\phi\rhoa\gamma da$ ]  $\sigma\phi\rhoa\gamma dar * 82. 866 | tov \thetaeov$ ] > 1. 35. 181. 241. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038 gig vg<sup>a. c.d</sup>: + autwor 046. **21.** 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr vg. fl s arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> eth |.

VOL. 11.----19

|     | 5. καὶ ἐδόθη αὐταῖς ἶνα μὴ ἀποκτείνωσιν αὐτούς,<br>ἀλλ᾽ ἶνα βασανισθήσονται μῆνας πέντε.(a) |
|-----|---|
|     | 6. και èv ταις ήμέραις ἐκείναις ζητήσουσιν οι άνθρωποι τον<br>Θάνατον                       |
| 0 W | καὶ οὖ μὴ Γεὖρωσιν] αὐτόν,  |
|     | καί επιθυμήσουσιν αποθανείν   |
|     | καὶ φεύγει ὁ θάνατος ἀπ' αὐτῶν.   |
|     | 7. καί τὰ δμοιώματα των ἀκρίδων 「δμοια ] ἴπποις ήτοιμασμένοις<br>είς πόλεμον,               |
|     | καὶ ἐπὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς αὐτῶν ὡς στέφανοι ὄμοιοι χρυσφ,   |

καί τα πρόσωπα αύτων ώς πρόσωπα άνθρώπων.

(a) Text adds gloss : και ό βασανισμός αύτων ώς βασανισμός σκορπίου όταν παίου άνθρωπον.

**5.** Kai edoby] et dictum est Pr eth : dictum est fl | auraio 025. 046. 21 (-2004). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>5</sup> : auroio AN I. 104. 181. 2004 | anokreiwoowl adiknowowi 920. 2040 | wa<sup>2</sup> >s<sup>1</sup> | Basanisoftowi 046. 21 (-35. 2020). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>5</sup> : cruciarentur Pr (gig) vg fl : cruciarent Tyc arm bo eth | aurww wo Basanisoftowo > 149 | maisy 21 (-149. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 620. 866 920. 2020) Or<sup>5</sup> Tyc gig fl vg s<sup>2</sup> arm : mesy AN 025. 046. 104. 149. 172. 620 866. 920. 2020. 2038 al<sup>ma</sup> : mesy etc. s<sup>1</sup> : mangin 60. 432. 452. 506. 2021. 2022. 2023. 2041<sup>\*\*</sup> : daky 367. 468<sup>\*</sup> bo sa eth |.

6. ev raio ημεραίο εκειναίο > Tyc : ev τη ημερα εκεινη arm<sup>1</sup> | ζητησουσιν] ζητουσιν 60. 82. 93. 110. 175. 325. 452. 456. 468. 517. 1957. 2024. 2041 | ol ανθρωποι > Pr | ευρωσιν A 025. 35. 172. 181. 205. 209. 250. 424. 2015. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037 : ευρησουσιν N 046. 21 (-35. 205. 325. 337. 456. 468. 620. 866. 1849). 104. 110. 201. 241. 242. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1957. 2017. 2019. 2024. 2041. 2067 alma Or<sup>2</sup> gig fl vg : ευρησωσιν 1. 61. 69. 82. 325. 337. 456. 468. 517. 620. 866. 1849 : ευρησωσιν 1. 61. 69. 82. 325. 337. 456. 468. 517. 620. 866. 1849 : ευρησωσιν 1. 61. 69. 82. 325. 337. 456. 468. 517. 620. 866. 1849 : ευρησωσιν 1. 61. 50. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pi</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> Pr gig fl vg s arm bo eth | o θανατοσ απ αυτων AN 025. I. 35. 2015 (> απ). 2019. 2020. 2036 2037. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig fl vg s arm<sup>4</sup> : απ αυτων ο θανατοσ 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020.). 250. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> : ο θανατοσ > 104 |.

7. Ta opolopata] to opolopa gig s arm<sup>1.2.8.4</sup> bo | opola 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr vg : opolo × (s) : opolop pata A : opolopa Or<sup>4</sup> arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> : opolov arm<sup>3</sup> : similes erant fl arm<sup>4</sup> | avtwr]+opolopa Or<sup>4</sup> | opoloi Xpvow AN 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 429.<sup>mg</sup> 2015. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup>

ευρήσου

õµoioi

- 8. καὶ εἶχαν τρίχας ὡς τρίχας γυναικῶν, καὶ οἱ όδόντες αὐτῶν ὡς λεόντων ἦσαν,
- 9. καὶ ἶχαν θώρακας ὡς θώρακας σιδηροῦς, καὶ ἡ φωνὴ τῶν πτερύγων αὐτῶν ὡς φωνὴ ἑρμάτων, ἶππων πολλῶν τρεχόντων εἰς πόλεμον.
- 10. καὶ ἔχουσιν οὐρὰς ὁμοίας σκορπίοις καὶ κέντρα, καὶ ἐν ταῖς οὐραῖς αὐτῶν ἡ ἐξουσία αὐτῶν ἀδικῆσαι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους μῆνας πέντε.
- ξχουσιν ἐπ' αὐτῶν βασιλέα τὸν ἄγγελον τῆς ἀβύσσου,
   ὄνομα αὐτῷ Ἐβραϊστὶ ᾿Αβαδδών.(a)

(a) Text adds gloss : και έν τη Έλληνική δνομα έχει 'Απολλύων.

Observe below how Pr fl vg add et Latine habet nomen Exterminans—a fact which shows how glosses arise.

Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig fl vg s (arm) : "of colour of gold" bo :  $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma$  o46. 21 (-35. 205) al<sup>pm</sup> |  $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \ldots \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu > arm<sup>2</sup>$  |.

8. ειχαν ΑΝ : είχον 025 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : εχοντεσ 2038 Pr fl : >arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> | τριχασ<sup>1</sup>] και αι τριχεσ αυτων (> arm<sup>3</sup>) arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> | τριχασ<sup>2</sup> > 2020 fl : τριχεσ arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> | γυναικων] γυναικοσ arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> : ut mulieres fl | ωσ<sup>2</sup>] + οδοντεσ fl vg<sup>d</sup> | λεοντων] λεοντοσ arm<sup>1. 2. α</sup> | ησαν >fl s<sup>1</sup> arm |.

9. Kai eizav . . . oidpous > 920. 2040 |  $\theta \omega \rho a \kappa a \sigma^1 > 2020$  : pectora Pr |  $\omega \sigma \ \theta \omega \rho a \kappa a \sigma > 18. 919. 1849. 2004$  gig |  $\phi \omega r \eta^2$ > Pr fl arm<sup>2</sup> |  $i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ] pr kai 337. 468 : > 325. 456. 620. 866 |  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu > b0$  |  $\tau \rho \epsilon \chi o \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ]  $\eta \tau o i \mu a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$  b0 |.

10. και εχουσιν] και ειχον 2020. 2067 Tyc Pr vg arm<sup>1. 9. 8. 4</sup>: >fl | ovpao opoiao] ovpai opoiai fl : opoiwpa  $arm^4$  | opoiao 025. 046. 21 (-35. 617). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Ors Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1 2.8</sup> bo : opolato 617 : opoloto Ax 69 : opolwor 35 σκορπιοισ] σκορπιω s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> a: σκορπιων vg : σκορπιων ησαν fl кентра кал ен Ак 025. 046. 21 ( - 18. 205. 2020) alpm Ors s2 arm<sup>3. 4</sup> bo : KENTPOLT KAL EV Pr fl : KENTPA NV EV Vg<sup>f. V</sup> : KENTPA ( $\delta \epsilon$ ) EV S<sup>1</sup> : KAL > 1. 18. 61. 104. 141. 172. 205. 209. 241. 250. 424. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2067 Tyc gig vg eth : Kau  $\epsilon v > \operatorname{arm}^1$ : Kevtpov (kai  $\operatorname{arm}^2$ )  $\epsilon v \operatorname{arm}^{2 \cdot a} \mid \eta \epsilon \xi$ ousia autur AN 025. 35. 172. 205. 209. 250. 424. 2018 alp gig vg bo : pr kat 1. 2019. 2038. 2067 Ors (Pr fl) vgd s1 : efouriar exourir 046. 21 (- 18. 35. 205). 69. 110. 201. 242. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2024. 2037 almu s<sup>2</sup> (pr Kai 241. 2036) : (και) εξουσιαν ειχον arm<sup>1. (a)</sup> : εξουσιαν εχουσαι 18. 61. 2039 : > 104 eth | adiknoral AN 025. 1. 35. 172. 175. 205. 242. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2015. 2017. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup>: pr του 046. 21 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934) al<sup>mu</sup> | πεντε] sex Pr |.

11. εχουσιν ΑΝ 205. 314 : pr και 025. 1. 250. 2037. 2038 al Or<sup>a</sup> gig s : και ειχον 2067 Pr fl vg arm<sup>4. e</sup> : εχουσαι 046. 21 (-205)

# 12. H οναί ή μία απήλθεν ίδου έρχεται έτι δύο οναί μετά ταυτα.

almu Tyc | en aurwe Basilea A 025. (025\* adds in mg. but writes avrov). 1. 35. 61. 69. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067. Tyc Pr gig vg s1 : επ αυτων βασιλεισ 2019 : εαυτων τον βασιλεα N arm4 : βασιλεα επ αυτων 046. 21 (-35. 205). 250 almu Ors s2 arme : βασιλεα επ αυτον 18. 172. 452 (επ αυτουσ 104 : υπ αυτων 336) :  $|\beta_{a\sigma},\lambda_{ea}| + \tau_{ov} a \rho_{xov} \tau_{a} A : > fl | \tau_{ov} a \gamma_{ve} \lambda_{ov} \tau_{n\sigma} a \beta_{v\sigma} \sigma_{ov} | \tau_{n\sigma}$ αβυσσου τον αγγελον A | τον αγγελον AN 025. I. 35. 60. 104. 205. 632\*\*. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Oi\* arma bo : rov > 046. 21 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 2020). 250 almu | ovopa autw] pr w N : w oroma 94 Tyc Pr fl (+est) vg : oroma autou 2067 | aβaδδων AN 025 alp Tyc vg s1 : aββaaδδων 046. 325. 429. 456. 468. 517. 620. 632. 919. 1849. 1955. 2004 : abbuadwr 172. 250. 920. 2018. 2040 : abaaddwr 42. 82. 93. 110. 337. 452. 506. 2020. 2021. 2024 alp : aββaδωr 1. 18. 35. 60. 91. 175. 181. 201. 314. 386. 617. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or\* gig arm<sup>8</sup> : αββαδδων 2019. 2041 : αβλαδδων 61. 69 : armageddon Pr: ababdon fl : albagos arm<sup>1</sup><sup>(2)</sup> : nabathdon arm<sup>4</sup> : magedon bo | και εν τη Ακ 025. 1. 35. 205. 314. 2019. 2038 alp gig s1 : εν δε τη 046. 21 (-35. 205. 325. 456. 468\*. 620. 866. 920). 250. 2037. 2067 alpm Or s<sup>2</sup> : εν τη ελληνικη δε 325. 456. 468\*. 620. 866 : εν τη δε τη 920 : graece autem Tyc vg : graeca autem lingua Pr : graeca lingua fl : "who is called in" arm<sup>1. 2.8</sup> ελληνικη] ελληνιδι Ν 205 : + ρησει 2020. 2067 : συριακη s<sup>1</sup> : "Armenian " arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | ονομα εχει ]~ × 2019 gig : ονομα εχων 522 : "is called " arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> : > Pr vg arm<sup>4. a</sup> eth | aπoλλυων ] pr o 2038 bo : aπoλuwr 522. 2023\* : apolion Tyc : apollion Pr : perdens gig : apollyon fl arm<sup>8. a</sup> : "destruction" arm<sup>1. 2</sup> : "destroyer" arm<sup>4</sup> : + latine perdens Tyc : + et latina lingua nomen habens exterminans Pr fl (vg) : + "who is called destruction in Armenian" arm1. 2. 8 |.

12.  $\eta$  ovai  $\eta$  µia] ovai µia  $\aleph^*$ : ovai  $\eta$  µia  $\aleph^c$ : pr idov arm |  $a\pi\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ ]  $\pi a\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  2015. 2036. 2037 arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> | idov] pr kai Tyc Pr fl vg<sup>c. E. v</sup>: > arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> eth |  $\epsilon\rho\chi\epsilon\tauai A\aleph^*$  21 (-632<sup>\*\*\*</sup>. 2020). 69. 104. 110. 385. 429. 2016. 2023<sup>\*\*</sup> alm<sup>10</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> bo:  $\epsilon\rho\chi\sigma\nu\tauai \aleph^c$  025. 046. 1. 172. 250. 632<sup>\*\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2017. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 vg s<sup>1</sup>: secuntur Pr: venient Tyc | eri] ai 432. 2019. 2037. 2038: eri kai ai 241: alii Pr: alia gig: > 1. 104. 498. 2023. 2067 Tyc fl bo eth |  $\delta vo$ ]  $\delta\epsilon vrepa$  104: secundum fl arm<sup>1.4</sup> bo | µera ravra. kai A 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 632<sup>\*\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>8</sup> gig vg s<sup>2</sup>: also Pr eth but > µera ravra: kai µera ravra 046. 69 Tyc: µera ravra kai (>kai  $\aleph$  469 s<sup>1</sup> bo sa) are joined to ver. 13 by  $\aleph$  21 (-35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*\*</sup>. 2020). 110. 241. 242. 385. 469. 2016. 2024. 2039 al<sup>mu</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo sa ].

292

 13. Καὶ ὁ † ἔκτος † ἄγγελος ἐσάλπισεν' <δείπ pos>
 καὶ ἦκουσα φωνὴν μίαν ἐκ τῶν κεράτων τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου τοῦ χρυσοῦ τοῦ ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ,
 14. λέγοντα τῷ † ἔκτῷ † ἀγγέλῷ, ὁ ἔχων τὴν σάλπιγγα <δευπ Λῦσον τοὺς τέσσαρας ἀγγέλους τοὺς δεδεμένους ἐπὶ τῷ ποταμῷ <sup>ρφ></sup>
 τῷ μεγάλῷ Εὐφράτῃ.
 15. καὶ ἐλύθησαν οἱ τέσσαρες ἅγγελοι οἱ ἡτοιμασμένοι εἰς τὴν ὥραν καὶ ἡμέραν καὶ μῆνα καὶ

- οι ήτοιμασμένοι είς την ώραν και ημέραν και μηνα κα ένιαυτόν, ίνα άποκτείνωσιν το τρίτον τών άνθρώπων.
- 16. καὶ ὁ ἀριθμὸς τῶν στρατευμάτων τοῦ ἱππικοῦ δἰς μυριάδες μυριάδων.

**18.** Kal > N (see above) |  $\phi w \eta \tau \mu lav$ ]~69 Or<sup>4</sup>:  $\phi w \eta \sigma \mu la\sigma$ N° 2067:  $\mu lav > N^*$  2020 arm<sup>1.2.3.a</sup> bo:  $\phi w \tau \eta \tau \mu eya \lambda \eta \tau$  172.250. 424. 2018: vocem, unum vg: unum Tyc Pr gig Cyp |  $\mu lav \epsilon \kappa$  $\tau w \tau \kappa \epsilon \rho a \tau w > N^*$  ( $\mu la\sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau w \tau \kappa \epsilon \rho a \tau w \tau N^\circ$ ) |  $\kappa \epsilon \rho a \tau w \tau A N^\circ$  2015. 2036 gig vg s<sup>2</sup> bo sa eth: pr  $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \rho w \tau o 25$ . 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr (Cyp) s<sup>1</sup> arm :  $\zeta w w$ arm<sup>2. a</sup> |  $\theta w \sigma la \sigma \tau \eta \rho lov$ ] + dei Pr : arcae Cyp |  $\epsilon v \omega \pi lov$ ] +  $\tau o v \theta \rho \rho v o v$ bo eth |.

14. Legovia AN\* Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp : Legovio 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468. 2020) almu Or\* : Legovia 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 468 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036 alp : Legoving N° 2067 : Legun 141 | ektw > A 2038 | 0 exwl] tw exovit 172. 250. 424. 2018 | teggapas] teggapes N 172 | tous dedelevous . . . aggeda (ver. 15) > bo, but not sa eth | ent] er 93. 104. 432 | tw sotamw > 1849 | tw megalw] + sotamw 025 : > arm<sup>1.2</sup> 4. a | euppaty] expansion 046 : pr tw 468 : eufraten Tyc Pr gig Cyp : Al Frat sa |.

15. ελυθησαν] ελυπηθησαν A | οι ητοιμασμενοι] οι > × 18. 522. 2021. 2039: οι προητοιμασμενοι 2015. 2036 | και ημεραν A 025. 35. 205. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp: και εισ την ημεραν 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020). 250 almu Or<sup>s</sup> s eth: και την ημεραν 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2041 bo sa: > × I | ινα] + μη × | τριτον] + μεροσ 432. 2015. 2036. 2037 Pr Cyp gig vg bo sa eth |.

16. ТОВ ІМПІКОВ АК 025. 046. І. 35. 61. 69. 104. 205. 2015. 2019. 2019. 2020. 2023.<sup>mg</sup> 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067. al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>4</sup>: тов имов **21** (-35. 205. 386. 2020). 42. 82. 110. 336. 385. 1957. 2018. 2023<sup>\*</sup> al<sup>mu</sup>: тыв импие 386 | биб инрибает . . аривнов > arm<sup>1</sup> | биб инрибает инрибает А 025. 1<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 2016. 2019. 2038 Or<sup>4</sup> (Cyp): биб инрибает инрибает  $\aleph$ : инрибает инрибает 046. **21** (-205). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup>: myriadis myriadum Tyc: octoginta milia Pr: vicies milies dena milia gig vg | антыв.

- ήκουσα τὸν ἀριθμὸν αὐτῶν. καὶ οὖτως είδον τοὺς ἴππους ἐν τῆ ὁράσει (a)
  - καὶ "τοὺς καθημένους ἐπ' † αὐτῶν † ἔχοντας θώρακας πυρίνους καὶ ὑακινθίνους καὶ θειώδεις,
  - καί αι κεφαλαί των ίππων ώς κεφαλαί λεόντων.
  - καὶ ἐκ τῶν στομάτων αὐτῶν ἐκπορεύεται πῦρ καὶ καπνὸς καὶ θεῖον.
- 18. από των τριών πληγών τούτων απεκτάνθησαν το τρίτον των ανθρώπων,
  - έκ τοῦ πυρὸς καὶ τοῦ καπνοῦ καὶ τοῦ θείου τοῦ ἐκπορευομένου ἐκ τῶν στομάτων αὐτῶν.
- ή γὰρ ἐξουσία τῶν ἶππων ἐν τῷ στόματι αὐτῶν ἐστιν (δ) καὶ ἐν † αὐταῖς † ἀδικοῦσιν.

(a) The text is corrupt and defective:  $\# kowa \tau$ .  $d\rho_i \theta_i d\nu$   $a \partial \tau \hat{w} may be an intrusion. After <math>\delta \rho d\sigma e_i$  we should restore  $\kappa a_i$   $\tau o \delta s$ ,  $a \partial \eta_i \mu e_i vos$ ,  $\delta \pi^*$   $a \delta \tau o \delta s$ , which has been lost through hmt. Next, for  $\kappa a_i \tau$ .  $\kappa a \partial \eta_i \mu e_i vos$ ,  $\delta \pi^* + a \partial \tau \hat{\omega} \tau + \delta \pi^*$ 

(b) Text adds an interpolation here : και έν ταϊς ούραῖς αὐτῶν, ai γὰρ οὐραl aὐτῶν ὅμοιαι ὅφεσιν, έχουσαι κεφαλάς. See vol. i. p. 253 sq.

occiderent tertiam partem hominum  $\Pr | \eta \kappa o \upsilon \sigma a \dots a \upsilon \tau \omega v >$  eth. After  $\mu \upsilon \rho \iota a \delta \omega v$  two lines appear to have been lost.

17. και ουτωσ . . . ορασει >s<sup>1</sup> | ουτωσ > 2020 Tyc Pr arm<sup>1.2.3.α</sup> | ιππουσ] ιππικουσ 046. 69 Or<sup>9</sup> | επ] επανω Ν | εχοντασ] περιβεβλημενουσ bo | υακινθινουσ και θειωδεισ] καρχηδονα θειου s<sup>1</sup> | υακινθινουσ] οιακινθινουσ 325. 456 : ιακινθινουσ 620. 866 : hyacinthinas Tyc : hyacintinas vg : iacintinas Cyp gig : spineas Pr | και θειωδεισ > eth | θειωδεισ] θυωδεισ N<sup>\*</sup> : θιωδεισ N<sup>c</sup> : "god-like" arm<sup>4</sup> | των στοματων] του στοματοσ 35 Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2.3.α</sup> | εκπορευεται] εξεπορευετο 2020. 2067 | πυρ . . καπνοσ] ~ Tyc | καπνοσ . . . θειον]~s<sup>1</sup> |.

18. ato] pr kai s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm b0 : uto 1 | two triuw  $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\omega\nu$  toutw] two > C : trium > N Or<sup>4</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. e</sup> :  $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\omega\nu$  > 1. 2038 : two triuw toutwo  $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\omega\nu$  205 | apertarbhyan] apertarbhy 468. 498. 2019. 2020 gig vg Cyp | tritov] + meroor gig vg b0 sa eth | ek tou tupoo ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 Or<sup>4</sup> vg Cyp : ato tou tupoo 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020). 69. 104. 250 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> : pr kai s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | tou katvou AN 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 866). 69. 104. 110. 250. 385. 2004. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> gig s : pr ato 866 : >arm<sup>1</sup> | tou beiou ANC 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>). 250. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> vg b0 sa eth : pr ek 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 314. 2016. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> gig s | tou ektopeuomevou] ektopeuomevou] > 104 : two ektopeuomevuv 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2040 gig vg Cyp s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2.8.e<sup>1</sup></sup>

19. (ппы) топши А си то оторать антон соты кал си тако

cairois>

- 20. καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, οἱ οὐκ ἀπεκτάνθησαν ἐν ταῖs πληγαῖs ταύταις,
  [οὐδὲ] μετενόησαν ἐκ τῶν ἔργων τῶν χειρῶν αὐτῶν,
  οῦτε ν.
  [να μὴ προσκυνήσουσιν τὰ δαιμόνια καὶ τὰ εἶδωλα
  τὰ χρυσῶ καὶ τὰ ἀργυρῶ καὶ τὰ χαλκῶ καὶ τὰ λίθινα καὶ τὰ ξύλινα,
  ϐ οῦτε βλέπειν δύνανται οῦτε ἀκούειν οῦτε περιπατεῖν,
- 21. καὶ οὐ μετενόησαν ἐκ τῶν φόνων αὐτῶν οὖτε ἐκ τῶν φαρμακιῶν αὐτῶν

ούτε έκ της πορνείας αύτων ούτε έκ των κλεμμάτων αύτων.

ουραίσ αυτων] εν ταίσ ουραίσ και εν τω στοματί αυτων ην 2020. 2037: in ore et caudis eorum erat Pr | και εν ταίσ ουραίσ αυτων > 1. 2019. 2038 | αυτων] των ιππων 385: + εστιν s<sup>2</sup> | αι γαρ ουραι ... αδικουσιν > s<sup>1</sup> | ομοίαι] > C<sup>\*</sup>: ομοίοι 2023: erant similes Pr arm<sup>1. 2. 3. 4</sup> | οφεσιν ANC 025. 1. 35. 61. 69. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038<sup>\*</sup>. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> bo: οφεί arm<sup>3</sup>: οφεων 046. 21 (-35. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup>: ομοίαι των οφεων 2038.<sup>mg</sup> | εχουσαί] εχουσασ N<sup>\*</sup>: εχουσαίσ N<sup>\*</sup> 025. 2019: εχουσιν C<sup>\*</sup> 2038. 2067 | κεφαλασ] + draconum Pr | αδικουσιν] ηδικουσαν 2020: ηδικουν Pr arm<sup>2. 3. 4. ε</sup> bo eth: αδικησουσιν arm<sup>1</sup>: + ανθρωπουσ πεντε μηνασ bo eth |. **20.** οι<sup>2</sup>>gig arm<sup>2.4</sup> bo | πληγαίσ] + αυτων N | ουδε ...

xelpur autur >919 | oude N 046. 61. 69. 2020 : oute A 025. 1. 35. 205. 420\*\*. 632\*\*. 2019. 2037. 2038 alp Pr gig vg Cyp bo : ov C 21 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 919). 104. 110. 172. 241. 242. 250. 314. 385. 429\*. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2067 al Or" | των εργων] του εργου s1 | προσκυνησουσιν ΑΝC 104\*. 452. 2019 : προσκυνησωσιν 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pi</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> : adorarent Pr vg<sup>a, d. f v.</sup> : adorent gig vg<sup>a, g</sup> Cyp | τα δαιμονία και] τω δαιμονί η 2020 : >  $arm^1$  [είδωλα] + id est simulacra Cyp : + αυτων arm<sup>1.2 8.α</sup> | χρυσα] χρυσαια № : χρυσια Or<sup>s</sup> | και τα χαλκα (χαλκεα №) ANC 025. 046. 35. 468\*\*. 2020. Or<sup>\*</sup> Pr gig vg Cyp. s arm bo eth : >21 (- 35. 205. 468\*\*. 2020). 42. 82. 104. 110. 201. 218. 241. 242. 314. 336. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 2016. 2019. 2024. 2039 al<sup>p</sup> | λιθινα . . . ξυλινα]~\* 743. 1075 s1 bo eth | Surartai ANC 025. 046\*. 18. 35 104. 149\*. 205. 241. 250. 468\*\*. 632. 2004. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2067 Or": Suratal 046\*\*. 21 (-18. 35. 149\*. 205. 468\*\*. 632. 2004. 2020). 2038 alpl : > s1 | akoueu . . . περιπατειν] ~ arm<sup>1</sup> | ουτε ακουειν > Cyp |.

**91.**  $\mu \epsilon \epsilon rongar$  +  $\epsilon \kappa$  toutw out 2020 |  $\phi orwr$  |  $\phi wrwr$  gig :  $\phi wr \eta \sigma \operatorname{arm}^3$  | out  $\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau wr \phi a \rho \mu a \kappa i w r wr > Cyp \operatorname{arm}^2$  |  $\phi a \rho \mu a \kappa i w r$ A 025. 046. 104. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> Ot<sup>\*</sup> :  $\phi a \rho \mu a \kappa w r \otimes C$  **21** (-35. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> :  $\phi a \rho \mu a \kappa \epsilon i w r$  1 35. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2038<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2067 al : "sorcery" arm<sup>1.8.a</sup>:

# CHAPTER X.

1. Καὶ εἶδον ἄλλον ἄγγελον ἰσχυρὸν καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ, περιβεβλημένον νεφέλην, καὶ ἡ ἰρις ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν αὐτοῦ, καὶ τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ ὡς ὁ ἤλιος καὶ οἱ πόδες αὐτοῦ ὡς στύλοι πυρός, 2. καὶ ἔχων ἐν τῆ χειρὶ αὐτοῦ βιβλαρίδιον ἡνεψγμένον. καὶ ἔθηκεν τὸν πόδα αὐτοῦ τὸν δεξιὸν ἐπὶ τῆς θαλάσσης, τὸν δὲ εὐώνυμον ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, 3. καὶ ἔκραξεν φωνῆ μεγάλῃ ὥσπερ λέων μυκᾶται. καὶ ὅτε ἔκραξεν, ἐλάλησαν αἰ ἐπτὰ βρονταὶ τὰς ἑαυτῶν φωνάς.

"divination" arm<sup>4</sup> | πορνειασ] πονηριασ  $AR^*$ : πορνιασ  $R^\circ$  025. 866 | ουτε εκ των κλεμματων αυτων > Pr s<sup>1</sup> sa | κλεμματων] factorum Cyp |.

1. και είδον . . . καταβαινοντα] και μετα ταυτα αλλοσ αγγ. κατεβη eth | αλλον αγγελον ΑΝС 172. 205. 250. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2038. 2067 Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc gig vg s arm<sup>1. 24. α</sup> : ~ 104. 336. (620. 866 αλον) Pr : αλλον > 025. 046. 21 (-205. 620. 866. 2020). I. 2037 al<sup>pm</sup> | ισχυρον > s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | εκ] απο 337 | και η ιρισ επι την κεφαλην αυτου] >arm<sup>8</sup> : και η ιρισ >arm<sup>4</sup> | η (> %°) ιρισ Α (-εισ) №° C 046. 21 (-205. 2020). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. α</sup> bo : η θριξ №<sup>\*</sup> : η > 025. I. 104. 205. 522. 2017. 2019. 2020. 2037<sup>\*</sup>. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> : ιριν 2036. 2037<sup>\*\*</sup> : ιρην 2038 : εριν 2015 | επι την κεφαλην ΑC 181 : επι τησ κεφαλησ № 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> | το προσωπον αυτου] + ην vg | ο ηλιοσ] ο > 2020 | στυλοι] στυλοσ 205. 2020 Tyc vg (-vg<sup>c</sup>) s<sup>1</sup> arm |.

2. kai > 2020 |  $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu$  ANC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup>: kat  $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu$  2020:  $\epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon \nu$  I. 104 205. 241. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr gig vg arm:  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$  35 |  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda a \rho \iota \delta \iota \sigma A N^*$ C<sup>\*\*</sup> 025. I. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> s:  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \delta a \rho \iota \sigma N^{\circ}$ C<sup>\*</sup> 35. 60. 61. 69. 104. 205. 241. 432. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041:  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \delta a \rho \iota \sigma N^{\circ}$ C<sup>\*</sup> 35. 60. 61. 69. 104. 205. 241. 432. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041:  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma 46$ . 21 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig: libellum vg |  $\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \omega \sigma N^{\circ}$ C<sup>\*</sup> 25. 104. 172. 205. 218. 250. 424. 2016. 2018. 2038. 2067:  $\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \tau \iota \epsilon \sigma \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma 46.$  21 (-205). 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> :> A bo | τον δεξιον > C | τησ θαλασσησ] την θαλασσαν I. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> | τον δεξιων νων επι τησ γησ > 866 | τησ γησ] την γην I. 201. 386 |.

**3.**  $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ] pr kai Tyc  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.8.4}$ :  $\omega\sigma$  ore  $vg \mid \mu\nu\kappa a\tau a$ ! rugiens Pr bo  $\mid \epsilon\kappa\rho a\xi\epsilon v^2 \rceil + \omega\sigma \aleph^*$  (del  $\aleph^c$ ) :  $+\mu\epsilon\gamma a\lambda\eta \phi\omega v\eta$   $\operatorname{arm}^* \mid ai$   $\epsilon\pi\tau a \beta\rho\sigma\nu\tau a$ !  $\aleph^c$  :  $\epsilon\pi\tau a \phi\omega\nu ai \aleph^*$  : ai > 1.91.94.104.866.2067  $\operatorname{arm} \mid \tau a\sigma \epsilon a \upsilon \tau \omega \nu \phi \omega \nu a \sigma$ ]  $\tau a i\sigma \epsilon a \upsilon \tau \omega \nu \phi \omega \nu a i\sigma \aleph 104$  gig s<sup>i</sup>  $\operatorname{arm}^{5^*.4.4}$  : > Pr  $\mid \tau a\sigma \epsilon a \upsilon \tau \omega \nu \phi \omega \nu a \sigma \ldots$  (ver. 4)  $\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon i\nu >$  4. και στε επαπησαν αι επτα βρονται, ημεππον γραφειν και ηκουσα φωνήν έκ τοῦ οῦρανοῦ λέγουσαν Σφράγισον & ἐλάλησαν al ἐπτὰ βρονταί, καὶ μὴ αὐτὰ γράψης.

- καὶ ὁ ἀγγελος ὄν είδον ἐστῶτα ἐπὶ τῆς θαλάσσης καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς
  - ηρεν την χείρα αύτου την δεξιάν els τον ουρανόν,
- καὶ ὅμοσεν ἐν τῷ ζῶντι εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων,
   ὅς ἔκτισεν τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ, καὶ τὴν γῆν καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐτῆ,

και την θάλασσαν και τα έν αυτή, ότι χρόνος ουκέτι έσται.

7. άλλ' ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις τῆς φωνῆς τοῦ † ἐβδόμου † ἀγγέλου, ὅταν <τρίτοι μέλλη σαλπίζειν, καὶ ἐτελέσθη τὸ μυστήριον τοῦ θεοῦ, ὡς εὐηγγέλισεν</p>

arm<sup>1.2</sup> | τασ εαυτων φωνασ . . (ver. 4) βρονται<sup>1</sup> > 386. 620. 866 |.

**4.** or ] ora **N** 432. 2036. 2037 Pr gig |  $\beta porrai^{1}$ ] + voces suas vg<sup>7</sup> |  $\eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma AC$  046. 61. 69. 82. 181. 201. 218. 386. 452. 498. 920. 2020. 2024. 2038 Or<sup>3</sup> :  $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma N$  025. **20** (-386. 920. 2020). 1. 104. 110. 250. 314. 385. 2015. 2037. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> |  $\kappa a \sigma \epsilon \ldots \gamma pa \phi \epsilon i \nu$ ] "And I heard the things which the seven thunders said: I was about to write them also." b0 |  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \nu$  $\sigma \nu pa \sigma \nu \nu$ ] +  $\tau \sigma \nu \epsilon \beta \delta \rho \mu \sigma \nu s^{1}$  |  $\sigma \phi pa \gamma i \sigma \sigma \nu$ ] nota tibi Pr | a] ora N 94 :  $\sigma s^{1}$  |  $\epsilon \pi \tau a > C$  gig arm<sup>2</sup> |  $\kappa a i^{3} > Tyc$  Vg b0 |  $\mu \eta$  avra ANC 025. 046. **20** (-35). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. 4</sup> : avra > arm<sup>6</sup> :  $\mu \eta$  avro s<sup>1</sup> :  $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu \tau a$  I. 35. 60. 181. 432. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 |  $\gamma pa \psi \eta \sigma$ ]  $\gamma pa \phi \eta \sigma$  205 Or<sup>4</sup> :  $\gamma pa \phi \epsilon i \sigma$  I. 35. 60. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 :  $\gamma pa \psi \epsilon i \sigma$  IO4. 522. 2015 :  $\gamma pa \psi \sigma \nu 2067$  ].

5. o ayyeloo ] tor ayyelor Pr vg<sup>a. c. f. g</sup> | cotuta >429. 498. 522. 2016<sup>\*</sup>. 2020 gig |  $\eta \rho ev$ ] pr or s<sup>1</sup> |  $\tau \eta v$  defiar >A 1. 35. 2019. 2038 vg s<sup>1</sup> | eur tor ouparor] in caelo gig |.

6. Kai shoorev] + o arrelos Tyc | ev tw (wrt. . . . ev autry<sup>2</sup> > Tyc | ev tw (wrt. AN° 025. I. 35. I04. I75. 205. 314. 617. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> : per viventem Pr gig vg : ev > N<sup>\*</sup> 046. **20** (-35. 175. 205. 617). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Ot<sup>4</sup> | twv alwvw] > I. 181. 241. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2038. 2067 : + aµnv 336. 620. 866. 2019 | kai ta ev autw > atm<sup>1</sup> bo | kai tnv rynv kai ta ev autry > A I. 181 | kai ta ev autry<sup>1</sup> > 256 atm<sup>1</sup> bo | ev autry<sup>1</sup>] ev autois 2015. 2036. 2027 | kai tnv balassau kai ta ev autry N°C 025. 046. **20** (- 205. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Ot<sup>4</sup> vg s<sup>2</sup> atm<sup>(1). 2.8</sup> : > AN<sup>\*</sup> 141. 205. 429. 522. 2016. 2017. 2020. 2023 Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> atm<sup>4.a</sup> | ev autry<sup>2</sup>] ev autois atm<sup>1</sup> | oukeri estrai ] oukeri esti N<sup>\*</sup> 141 : ouk estrai et i I. 2036. 2037 : eti ouk estrai ] oukeri esti N<sup>\*</sup> 141 : ouk estrai et i I. 2036. 2037 : eti ouk

7. and >gig bo : our s<sup>1</sup> | the furne structure s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> | tou

τοὺς ἐαυτοῦ δούλους τοὺς προφήτας. 8. καὶ ἡ φωνὴ ῆν ἦκουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ πάλιν † λαλοῦσαν μετ' ἐμοῦ καὶ λέγουσαν † Ὑπαγε λάβε τὸ βιβλίον τὸ ἦνεψγμένον τὸ ἐν χειρὶ τοῦ ἀγγέλου τοῦ ἑστῶτος ἐπὶ τῆς θαλάσσης καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς. 9. καὶ ἀπῆλθα πρὸς τὸν ἄγγελον λέγων αὐτῷ δοῦναί μοι τὸ βιβλαρίδιον. καὶ λέγει μοι Λάβε καὶ κατάφαγε αὐτό, καὶ πικρανεῖ σου τὴν κοιλίαν, ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ στόματί σου ἔσται γλυκὸ ὡς μέλι.

εβδομου αγγελου] του αγγελου του εβδομου 🛚 : του >C | μελλη] μελλει 18. 104. 172. 429. 522. 1849. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2036 | Kai ANC 025. 046. 20 (-35\*\*. 386. 468\*\*). I. 250. 2037. 2038  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup>  $vg^{a.g} s^{1.2}$  eth : > 35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 60, 201. 386. 432. 468\*\*. 1957. 2023. 2041. 2067 Pr gig vg<sup>c. f. v</sup> bo arm : tunc vgd | ετελεσθη ANC 025. 20 (-35\*\*. 205. 468\*). 250. 2038 alpm s: + γαρ bo: τελεσθη 1. 35\*\*. 205. 468\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041. 2067 Or" : τελεσθει 046. 104 : τελεσθηvai 35<sup>\*</sup> : finietur Pr (gig vg arm) | ωσ] o 60. 432. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 s1 arm1. 2. 4. a : or 35 | eunyyerister] eugyyeristato 35. 60. 93. 181. 432. 506. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 Or | TOUT Eauton Soulour ANC 025. 35. 205. 2020. 2038 : τουσ δουλουσ εαυτου ΟΓ : τουσ δουλουσ αυτου 046. 20 (-35. 205. 2020). 250 almu s1 : τουσ αυτου δουλουσ 69. 2019 : τοισ εαυτου δουλοισ Ι. 2037. 2067 alp : τοισ αυτου δουλοισ 2015. 2036 : TOLO DOUDOUT AUTOU 498 gig arm1. 2. 4 : per profetas servos suos Pr : per servos suos vg arm<sup>3. a</sup> bo  $| \tau o v \sigma \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau a \sigma ]$ Dr kai & eth : roig προφηταισ 1. 498. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2067 |.

8. και η φωνη ην ηκουσα] και ηκουσα φωνην 104 Pr (gig) vgd s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | λαλουσαν . . . λεγουσαν ΑΝC 025. 046. 61. 69. 104. 1957. 2019 (+μοι). 2038 (Pr) gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : λαλουσα . . . λεγουσα 20. 1. 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> | και λεγουσαν > Pr : +μοι arm<sup>2</sup> | υπαγε] + και 91. 175. 242. 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 Pr vg<sup>d</sup> arm<sup>2</sup><sup>8</sup> | βιβλιον AC 69. 314 Pr gig vg : βιβλαριδιον N 025. 1. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> s : βιβλιδαριον 046. 20. 250. 2037 al<sup>pm</sup> | ηνεωγμενον ANC 025. 1. 61. 172. 250. 2018. 2019. 2038. 2067 al : ανεωγμενον 046. 20. 2037 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> : >s<sup>1</sup> | εν χειρι] > C arm<sup>8</sup> : εν > 314. 2016 : εκ χειροσ 2019 Pr gig vg | θαλασσησ . . . γησ] ~s<sup>1</sup> |.

9. και απήλθα . . . λαβε > s<sup>1</sup> | απηλθα A 336. 498. 517. 620. 866. 2024 Or<sup>4</sup> : απηλθον NC 025. 046. **20** (-620. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  | λεγων αυτω] > Tyc : αυτω > Pr | δουναι ANC 046. **20** (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 2020). 250  $al^{pm}$  Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : δοσ 025. 1. 35. 205. 468\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 b0 | βιβλαριδιον A\*\*C 025. 1. 2038. 2067  $al^{p}$  Or<sup>4</sup> : βιβλαριον A\* : βιβλιον N  $al^{p}$  (Pr gig vg) : βιβλιδαριον 046. **20**. 250. 2037  $al^{pm}$  | λαβε και καταφαγε αυτο] λαβε αυτο και καταφαγε N\* : λαβε αυτο και καταφαγε αυτο N° eth 10. καὶ ἕλαβον τὸ βιβλαρίδιον ἐκ τῆς χειρὸς τοῦ ἀγγέλου καὶ κατέφαγον αὐτό, καὶ ἦν ἐν τῷ στόματί μου γλυκὺ ὡς μέλι καὶ ὅτε ἔφαγον αὐτό, ἐπικράνθη ἡ κοιλία μου. 11. καὶ λέγουσίν μοι Δεῖ σε πάλιν προφητεῦσαι ἐπὶ λαοῦς καὶ ἔθνεσιν καὶ γλώσσαις καὶ βασιλεῦσιν πολλοῖς.

arm<sup>e</sup>: accipe librum et devora illum vg : λαβε αυτο σοι bo | σου] σοι s<sup>1</sup> | την κοιλιαν] την καρδιαν A Or<sup>s</sup> : +σου s<sup>1</sup> : εν τη κοιλια arm<sup>1. 2. 8. e</sup> | εσται γλυκυ] ~Pr : γλυκυ > s<sup>1</sup> |.

10. Kai + oré Pr | Kai ελάβον . . . κατεφαγον αυτο >gig | βιβλαριδιον AC 025. I. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> s : βιβλιον × 046 20 (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> (vg) : βιβλιδαριον 35. 60. 69. 110. 205. 432. 1957. 2015. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 : libellum Pr | και ην . . εφαγον αυτο >Pr arm<sup>1</sup> | γλυκυ ωσ μελι A 046. 2019 arm<sup>3</sup> : ωσ μελι γλυκυ ×C 025. 20. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> : γλυκυ >arm<sup>2</sup>.<sup>a</sup> : ωσ μελι >eth | οτε εφαγον αυτο >250. 424. 2018 arm<sup>2</sup> | επικρανθη] εγεμισθη × Pr gig arm<sup>1. 2.4</sup> | κοιλια] καρδια Or<sup>4</sup> (but writes κοιλια above) | μου] + πικριασ ×<sup>o</sup> gig arm<sup>1. (3). 4</sup> |.

11.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o u \sigma \iota \nu A \aleph 046. 20 (-35. 205. 468**. 632**. 2020).$ 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> vg<sup>\*</sup> a <sup>6</sup> sa :  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota 025.$  1. 35. 104. 205. 241. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>\*\*. d f. v</sup> s arm bo<sup>v1</sup> eth |  $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \pi p o \phi \eta$   $\tau \epsilon u \sigma a \iota$ ]  $\sim 2020 : \pi a \lambda \iota \nu > a rm^2 | \lambda a o \iota \sigma . . . e \theta \iota e \sigma \iota | \sim s | e \pi \iota \lambda a o \iota \sigma f17. 920. 2040 s<sup>2</sup> : e \pi \iota \lambda a o \upsilon \sigma f172 : in populos$  $Pr : populis gig vg | e <math>\theta \iota \epsilon \sigma \iota \iota A \aleph 025.$  1. 35. 205. 241. 468\*. 2016. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> gig vg b0 : pr e \pi \iota 046. 20 (-35. 205. 468\*. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> s | και γλωσσαισ . . . πολλοισ > arm<sup>1</sup> | γλωσσαισ] pr e π ι arm<sup>2</sup> 8. 4. a |.

# CHAPTER XI.

 Καὶ ἐδόθη μοι κάλαμος ὅμοιος ῥάβδῷ λέγων ἘΥειρε καὶ μέτρησον τὸν ναὸν τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τὸ θυσιαστήριον καὶ τοὺς προσ-

1. και εδοθη μοι] dedit mihi Pr : εδωκαν μοι bo | καλαμοσ ομοιοσ ραβδω] Aaron virgae similis Tyc : harundinem auream similem virgae Pr bo  $(m \cdot s^{pl})$  eth | ομοιοσ ραβδω] ωσ ραβδοσ 2020 | λεγων ΑΝ° 025. 20. Ι. 2038 al<sup>pm</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg : + μοι 743. 1075. 2067 arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> bo eth : λεγει Ν\* : και φωνη λεγουσα 2015. 2036. 2037 : pr και ειστηκει (εστηκει Ν°<sup>c. c</sup> : ιστηκει 046) ο αγγελοσ Ν<sup>c. c</sup> 046. 60. 61. 69. 104. 172. 250. 424. 432. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2041 Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1. 2°</sup> arm : pr και ο αγγελοσ ειστηκει 2019 | εγειρε ΑΝ 025. 046. 175. 325. 456. 620. (866). 920. 1849. 2004. 2037\* al κυνοῦντας ἐν αδτῷ. 2. καὶ τὴν αὐλὴν τὴν ἔξωθεν τοῦ ναοῦ ἔκβαλε ἔξωθεν καὶ μὴ αὐτὴν μετρήσης, ὅτι ἐδόθη τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἁγίαν πατήσουσιν μῆνας τεσσεράκοντα καὶ δύο.

3. καὶ δώσω τοῖς δυσὶν μάρτυσίν μου, καὶ προφητεύσουσιν ἡμέρας χιλίας διακοσίας ἐξήκοντα † περιβεβλημένους † σάκκους. 4. Οὐτοί εἰσιν ai δύο ἐλαΐαι καὶ ai δύο λυχνίαι ai ἐνώπιον τοῦ κυρίου τῆς γῆς

Or<sup>3</sup>: εγειρον 60. 94. 205. 2038 : εγειραι 20 (-175. 325. 456. 620 866. 920. 1849. 2004). I. 250. 2067 al | και<sup>2</sup> > 104 Tyc bo | μετρησον] μετρησαι 104. 920. 2040 | του θεου > arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | το θυσιαστηριον]+του θεου Tyc Pr : + αυτου eth | εν αυτω] illud gig arm<sup>4</sup> [.

**2.** Kal the aught . . . metrohond > gig | the aught the  $\mathbb{R}^{c}$ : τησ αυλησ τησ R\* : atrium autem (Tyc) vg : ara autem Pr | εξωθev<sup>1</sup> A 025. 046. 21. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. 4</sup> bo : eoruber N 1. 172. 181. 250. 2018. 2037. 2038 s1 | vaou] N° : λαου κ\* | εκβαλε εξωθεν και > Pr arm<sup>4</sup> | εκβαλε] κ° : pr και κ<sup>‡</sup> : εκβαλλε 2037 | efuller AN° 1. 35. 61. 69. 172. 181. 250. 424 432. 506. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 Or s : εσωθεν 025 : εσω N<sup>#</sup> arm<sup>1<sup>ee</sup></sup> : εξω 046. 21 (-35). 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> arm<sup>1\*. 8</sup> bo : foras Tyc vg : > arm<sup>2. e</sup> eth | μετρησησ] μετρησισ Ι : μετρησεισ 104. 2036 | τοισ εθνεσιν] № : pr και \* | την πολιν την αγιαν] η πολισ η αγια arm<sup>1</sup> bo | την αγιαν > Pr | πατησουσιν]  $\mu$ erpy ovor  $A : + \epsilon v$  and bo : "that they shall trample under foot " arm<sup>1</sup> | теобераконта Ак : теобараконта 025. 21 (-35) alpl Or<sup>a</sup>: μ' 046. 1. 35 | και δυο Α 046 (β'). 21 (-35. 205. 617. 919. 2020). 429. 2067 alp s arm : Kai > \* 025. 69. 205. 250. 617. 919. 2018. 2020. 2023. 2037 Or" Tyc Pr gig vg bo : \$ 1. 35 almu |.

**3.** και προφητευσουσιν] ut profetent Pr eth : προφητευσαι  $s^1$  : και > bo | διακοσιασ > 69 | εξηκοντα] + πεντε Ν<sup>c. c</sup> 69  $arm^{1.2.8}$  | περιβεβλημενουσ ΑΝ\* 025. 046. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 91. 104. 242. 920. 1934. 2015. 2036. 2041 : -μενοι Ν<sup>c</sup> C **21** (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1934). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Tyc Pr vg : amictis gig | σακκουσ] saccis Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>v</sup> |.

4. ai δυο ελαιαι και > 1<sup>\*</sup>. 2038 |  $al^{1}$ ]  $\aleph^{\circ}$ : oi  $\aleph^{*}$ : > 205 s<sup>1.2</sup>(<sup>n</sup>) [ ελαιαι] αυλαιαι A: αλαιαι C | ai δυο<sup>2</sup> AN° C 025. 046. **21** (-205. 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> arm : ε δυο 620: δυο  $\aleph^{*}$  205. 866 al<sup>p</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup>(<sup>n</sup>) | ai ενωπιον AC 025. 046. **21** (-35. 175. 205. 386. 1934. 2040). I. 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> b0: oi ενωπιον 201. 386: ai > N 35. 61. 69. 104. 172. 175. 205. 241. 242. 314. 424. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2038. 2040 Or<sup>\*</sup>: in conspectu Tyc vg: sub conspectu Pr: coram gig | του κυριου NC 025. **21** (-35. 205). 250. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>3.4</sup> b0: του > A 046: του θεου 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>: "the lord God" arm<sup>1.2</sup> | τησ γησ] pr πασησ s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2</sup>: super terram gig: † έστῶτες †. 5. καὶ εἶ τις αὐτοὺς θέλει ἀδικῆσαι, πῦρ ἐκπορεύεται ἐκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτῶν καὶ κατεσθίει τοὺς ἐχθροὺς αὐτῶν.(α) 6. οῦτοι ἔχουσιν τὴν ἐξουσίαν κλεῖσαι τὸν οὐρανόν, ἶνα μὴ ὑετὸς βρέχῃ τὰς ἡμέρας τῆς προφητείας αὐτῶν, καὶ ἐξουσίαν ἔχουσιν ἐπὶ τῶν ὑδάτων στρέφειν αὐτὰ εἰς αἶμα καὶ πατάξαι τὴν γῆν ἐν πάσῃ πληγỹ ὁσάκις ἐὰν θελήσωσιν.

(a) Text adds a gloss here : και εί τις θελήση αὐτοὺς ἀδικήσαι, οὕτως δεί αὐτὸν ἀποκτανθήναι.

> bo | εστωτεσ AN\*C 046. **91** (-35. 205. 337. 468. 617. 632\*\* 866. 920. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>inu</sup> Or's : εστωταισ 866 : εστωσαι N<sup>cc</sup> 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 241. 337. 385. 468. 617. (620). 632\*\*. 920. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> : stantes Tyc vg : consistentia Pr |.

5. και ει τισ αυτουσ θελει αδικησαι] και ει τι θελουσι αυτο ποιησουσι bo? : "and they (he arm<sup>2, 8, 4</sup>) shall desire (desire arm4) to hurt them " arm aυτουσ θελει AC 025. 046. 21 (-468\*). 2037. 2067 almu Or Tyc Pr vg s<sup>2</sup> : ~ × 172. 250. 468\*. 2018 gig : θελει (αδικησαι) αυτουσ 69 s1 | θελει] θελη 104. 2038 : θελησει Pr arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : voluerit gig vg | aδικησαι] + sive occidere Tyc | πυρ εκπορευεται . . . αδικησαι > 205 | εκπορευεται] εκπορευσεται 61. 69 Ors arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : exeat Pr : exiet gig vg | και >bo | sareofiei] devorabit gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> : comburens Pr | ει τισ Ν° : η τισ Ν\* C I : οστισ 2020 s1 arm | θεληση ΑΝ : θελησει 2020 Ors arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> : θελει C 025. 046. 21 (- 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Pr s arm<sup>4</sup> : voluerit gig vg | θεληση αυτουσ AC 025. 046. 21 (-468\*). 250. 2037 alp Or gig vg : ~ 1. 468\*. 2019. 2023. 2038. 2067 almu Pr s<sup>2</sup> : θεληση (αδικησαι) αυτουσ & (s<sup>1</sup>) aδικησαι] aποκτειναι 432. 2015. 2019. 2022. 2036. 2037. 2067 | outwor] > A : outw 18. 205. 617. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 | autor] pr kat Pr : autovo 620. 866 s1 arm4 | αποκτανθηναι] αδικηθηναι arm4 |.

**6.** outoi] pr kai s<sup>1</sup>: ori bo eth |  $\tau\eta v$  eξουσιαν AC 025 Or<sup>4</sup>:  $\tau\eta v$ >N 046. **21.** 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  | εξουσιαν κλεισαι τον ουρανον ANC 025. I. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{mu}$  Tyc Pr gig (vg) s: + και after εξουσιαν Or<sup>4</sup>: τον ουρανον εξουσιαν κλεισαι 046. **21** (-35. 205. 2020). 250  $al^{mu}$ : εξουσιαν τον ουρανον κλεισαι 69. 498. 2020 | κλεισαι] μετρησαι arm<sup>4</sup> | υετοσ βρεχη]~ I. 2037. 2067  $al^{p}$ : υετουσ βρεχη 498: υετουσ βρεξη 2020: υετοσ βρεχει 429. 522. 2015. 2017. 2019. 2021: καταβαινη υετοσ s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>: pluat Tyc vg: imbrem pluat Pr pluat pluvia gig: "they rain" arm<sup>1</sup>: + επι την γην bo eth | τασ ημερασ] εν ταισ ημεραισ I. 2037 Tyc Pr (vg) s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>: pr πασασ bo | τησ προφητειασ αυτων 025. **21** (-617. 920. 2040). 250. 2038. 2067  $al^{mu}$  Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup>.<sup>2</sup> arm : τησ προφητιασ αυτων ANC 046: αυτων τησ προφητειασ I. 617. 920. 2037. 2040  $al^{pl}$ ] 7. Καὶ ὅταν τελέσωσιν τὴν μαρτυρίαν αὐτῶν, τὸ θηρίον τὸ ἀναβαῖνον ἐκ τῆς ἀβύσσου ποιήσει μετ ἀὐτῶν πόλεμον καὶ νικήσει αὐτοὺς καὶ ἀποκτενεῖ αὐτοὺς. 8. καὶ τὸ πτῶμα αὐτῶν ἐπὶ τῆς πλατείας τῆς πόλεως τῆς μεγάλης, ἦτις καλεῖται πνευματικῶς Σόδομα καὶ Αἶγυπτος, ὅπου καὶ ὁ κύριος αὐτῶν ἐσταυρώθη. 9. καὶ βλέπουσιν ἐκ τῶν λαῶν καὶ φυλῶν καὶ γλωσσῶν καὶ ἐθιῶν τὸ πτῶμα αὐτῶν

επι των υδατων στρεφειν αυτα] στρεφειν τα υδατα S<sup>1</sup> | επι των υδατων] omnium aquarum Pr | εισ αιμα] in sanguine gig | και] N° : >N\* | εν παση πληγη οσακισ εαν θελησωσιν ANC 025. I. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1. 8. 4. α</sup> eth : εν παση πληγη after θελησωσιν 046. **21** (-35. 205. 617. 920. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>ma</sup> | εν παση πληγη ANC 025. **21** (-617. 920. 2040). I. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr s (arm<sup>8. α</sup>) : εν >046 al<sup>p</sup> vg (arm<sup>1. 4</sup>) : εν παση >gig : >617. 920. 2040 | εαν] αν C 2020 | θελησωσιν] θελησουσιν C : θελωσιν 69. 498. 2019. 2038 : θελουσιν 181 |.

7. και σταν τελεσωσιν >  $617^*$  (but not  $617^{**}$ ). 920. 2040 Or<sup>\*</sup> (through homoeotel.) arm<sup>2.8</sup> | τελεσωσιν] τελεσουσι I. 2037. 2067 | aυτων] τησ (αυτων και την eth) προφητειασ (-av eth) aυτων bo eth | το θηριον] + το τεταρτον A | το αναβαινον]  $\aleph^c$ : τοτε αναβαινων  $\aleph^*$ : το αναβαινων A : quae descendit Tyc | αβυσσον] θαλασσησ  $\aleph^1$  | μετ αυτων πολεμον ANC 025. 046. 21 (-205). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> Tyc Pr (gig vg) s : πολεμον μετ αυτων I. 205. 2019. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> | νικησει] νεικηση C : νικηση 104 : vicit Tyc | και αποκτενει αυτουσ > I. 172. 181. 498. 2019. 2021. 2038 | και > b0 | αποκτενει] occidit Tyc |.

8. το πτωμα AC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>e</sup> Tyc arm<sup>3. e</sup> bo : τα πτωματα N 025. I. 35. 172. 205. 250 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> eπι τησ πλατειασ] επι των πλατειων s<sup>1</sup> : in plateis Tyc vg arm<sup>4</sup> : in platea gig : in medio Pr : "in the midst of their street" arm<sup>1. 2. (3). a</sup> : pr εσται N<sup>a. c</sup> bo sa : pr εασει 432. 2015. 2022. 2036. 2037 : pr proicitur Tyc : pr ponet Pr : pr jacebunt gig vg<sup>d. v</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : pr posuit eth | τησ πλατειασ > eth | πολεωσ τησ μεγαλησ] τησ μεγαλησ πολεωσ 205 : εν τη πολει τη μεγαλη arm<sup>1. 8</sup> : + ριψει 94 : + αταφα 2015. 2036. 2037 : + jacebunt vg<sup>a<sup>6. c</sup></sup> | Σοδομα] + και εγγυσ ο ποταμοσ N<sup>c</sup> : Segor arm<sup>1. 4</sup> : + και Βαβυλων arm<sup>4</sup> | και Αιγυπτοσ] > Pr : και Γομορρα 2019 : + και Βαβυλων arm<sup>1. 2</sup> : " of Khemi" bo | σπου και] και > N<sup>c</sup> I. 61. 69. IO4. 172. 181. 250. 424. 919. 2018. 2019. 2038. 2067. s<sup>1</sup> bo eth | αυτων] N<sup>c</sup> : > N<sup>\*</sup> : ημων I ].

9.  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$   $\beta\lambda\epsilon\psi\sigma\sigma\nu$  Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 3. c</sup> bo eth |  $\lambda\alpha\omega\nu$ ...  $\phi\nu\lambda\omega\nu$ ] ~ N s<sup>1</sup> bo |  $\phi\nu\lambda\omega\nu$ ] pr  $\tau\omega\nu$  046 |  $\kappa\alpha\iota$   $\epsilon\theta\nu\omega\nu$ ] >1 Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> : + "they shall look upon " bo |  $\tau\sigma$   $\pi\tau\omega\mu\alpha$  ...  $\eta\mu\iota\sigma\nu$  > Pr |  $\tau\sigma$   $\pi\tau\omega\mu\alpha$  ANC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>6</sup> ήμέρας τρεῖς καὶ ἡμισυ, καὶ τὰ πτώματα αὐτῶν οὐκ ἀφίουσιν τεθήναι εἰς μνήμα. ΙΟ. καὶ οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς χαίρουσιν ἐπ' ἀὐτοῖς καὶ εὐφραίνονται καὶ δῶρα πέμψουσιν ἀλλήλοις, ὅτι οῦτοι οἱ δύο προφῆται ἐβασάνισαν τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς.

11. Καὶ μετὰ τὰς τρεῖς ἡμέρας καὶ ἦμισυ πνεῦμα ζωῆς ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ εἰσῆλθεν ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἔστησαν ἐπὶ τοὺς πόδας αὐτῶν, καὶ φόβος

Tyc arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup>: τα πτωματα 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo | και ημισυ A&C 025. 35. 429<sup>\*</sup>. 432. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup>: και >046. 21 (-35. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> | τα πτωματα] τα σωματα 2037 arm<sup>8</sup>: το σωμα 69: "their bones" arm<sup>1. 2. α</sup> | αφιουσιν A&C 025. 1. 181. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 Tyc vg : αφησουσιν 046. 21 (-2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> gig s arm bo : αφιασι 2040 : αφιησιν Or<sup>s</sup> | μνημα (A)  $\aleph^*$  025. 046. 21 (-205). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc gig arm<sup>1. 2. α</sup> bo : μνημειον C 2019 : μνηματα  $\aleph^c$  205. 522 al<sup>p</sup> Pr vg s arm<sup>3. 4</sup> |.

10. οι κατοίκουντεσ] pr παντεσ bo | επι τησ γησ] επι την γην 172. 314 : επι > 2015. 2036 | χαιρουσιν] χαρησονται 2020. 2067 Pr gg vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo | επ αυτουσ] εν αυτουσ 2015. 2020. 2036 | ευφραινονται ANC 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 181. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 Or<sup>s</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> : epulantur Tyc : ευφρανθησονται 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 2040). 69. 104. 110. 250. 314. 2067 Pr gig vg s bo | και ευφραιν. > eth | πεμψουσιν AN<sup>C</sup>C 1. 35. 205. 2037<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s bo eth : πεμπουσιν N 025. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037<sup>\*</sup>. 2038 Tyc arm<sup>2. 8. 4</sup> (sa?) : δωσουσιν 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> | αλληλοισ] αλληλουσ C 517 | ουτοι > s<sup>1</sup> | οι δυο προφηται] οι προφηται οι δυο N : προφηται > Pr | τουσ κατοικουντασ επι τησ γησ] eos per plagas Tyc |.

11. тао трею AC 046. 21 (-35. 468 1849. 2020). 2037. 2067  $a^{[p]}$  Or\* s<sup>2</sup>: тао > N 025. 1. 35. 69. 141. 250. 432. 468. 1849. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2041 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 8. 4. a</sup> bo: > arm<sup>2</sup> | ημερασ και ημισυ] ημερασ after ημισυ 69. 2015. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2067 : και > 69. 2020 : και ημισυ > arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | ημισυ] ημισου AN\* : το ημισυ C | ζωησ] ζων s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3. a</sup> :> bo | εκ του θεου] > 468\*. 617. 632\* : εκ > arm<sup>1. 2</sup> 4 bo | εκ του θεου εισηλθεν] εισηλθεν εκ του θεου C | εισηλθεν] εισελευσεται bo eth | εν αυτοισ A 94. 2015\*\*. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2040 Or\* : εν > C 025. I. 35. 104. 181. 2020. 2038 : εισ αυτοισ N 046. 21 (-35. 2020. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg arm bo sa eth : επ αυτουσ 1957. 2023. 2041 : s<sup>1. 2</sup> = εν αυτοισ οι εισ αυτοισ | εστησαν] στησονται 2020 bo eth | αυτων] + και πνευμα ζωησ επεσεν επ αυτοισ s<sup>1</sup> | επεπεσεν AC 025. 35. 325. 337. 456. 468. 620. 632\*\*. 866. 920. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> Or\* : επιπεσειται 2020 arm<sup>1. 2. 3\*</sup> bo : επεσεν N 046. 21 (-35. 325. 337. 456. 468. 620. 632\*\*. 866. 920). 250. μέγας ἐπέπεσεν ἐπὶ τοὺς θεωροῦντας αὐτούς. Ι2. καὶ ἥκουσαν 「φωνὴν μεγάλην] ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ 「λέγουσαν] αὐτοῖς ἀΛνάβατε ὥδε· καὶ ἀνέβησαν εἰς τὸν οὐρανὸν ἐν τῆ νεφέλῃ, καὶ ἐθεώρησαν αὐτοὺς οἱ ἐχθροὶ αὐτῶν.

13. Καὶ ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῦ ὥρα ἐγένετο σεισμὸς μέγας, καὶ τὸ δέκατον τῆς πόλεως ἔπεσεν, καὶ ἀπεκτάνθησαν ἐν τῷ σεισμῷ ὀνόματα ἀνθρώπων χιλιάδες ἑπτά, καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ ἔμφοβοι ἐγένοντο καὶ ἔδωκαν δόξαν τῷ θεῷ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ.

14. Ἡ οὐαὶ ἡ δευτέρα ἀπῆλθεν ἰδοὺ ἡ οὐαὶ ἡ τρίτη ἔρχεται ταχύ.

2067 al<sup>p</sup> eth : eyeveto s<sup>1</sup> | tous bewpourtas] two bewpourtwe C 025.  $35^*$  |.

19. > Pr | ηκουσαν ANC 025. 429<sup>\*\*</sup> al<sup>p</sup> vg s : ηκουσα 046. 21 (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc gig arm bo sa : ακουσονται 2020 | φωνην μεγαλην εκ του ουρανου] de caelo vocem magnam Tyc | φωνην μεγαλην . . . λεγουσαν (λεγουσα 046<sup>\*\*</sup>) A 046<sup>\*</sup>. 21 (-35. 205. 2040). 250 al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> : φωνησ μεγαλησ . . . λεγουσησ NC 025. 1. 35. 60. 181. 205. 432. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 | αυτοισ] > A 2015 Tyc gig : αυτουσ 2016<sup>\*</sup> | αναβατε ANC 025. 325. 452. 456. 506. 2019 Or<sup>s</sup> : αναβητε 046. 21 (-325. 456. 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> : αναβειτε 620. 866 : ascende Tyc : "rise ye up and come up" arm<sup>1. 2</sup> a | εθεωρησαν] εθεωρουν 498. 2020 s<sup>1</sup> : "shall see" bo | αυτουσ] "their going up" arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | οι εχθροι] pr παντεσ arm<sup>1. 2</sup> |.

13. Kal ev ANC 025. I. 35. 205. 250. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> bo eth :  $\kappa al > 046.$  21 (-35. 205. 2040) al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc arm<sup>3. 4</sup> | wpa ANC 025. I. 205. 2019. 2037. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr vg s arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> a bo eth :  $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho a 046.$  21 (-205. 2040). 250. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> gig arm<sup>4</sup> | eyevero] eoral arm<sup>2</sup> bo |  $\kappa al$  ro] wore ro C | dekarov]  $\gamma' 046$  bo : dwdekarov 175. 2017 : +  $\mu\epsilon\rho o\sigma$  bo eth | energer] energer s<sup>1</sup> : "was swallowed up" arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> [ovoµara avθρωπων χιλιαδεσ enra] numero LXX milia hominum Pr : pr  $\kappa al s<sup>1</sup>$  | ovoµara avθρωπων] > arm<sup>1</sup> : ovoµara avθρωπot s<sup>1</sup> :  $avθ\rho \omega rol arm$  | ol  $\lambda olmol]$  +  $avθ\rho \omega m w ram<sup>4</sup>$  : "after that" arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> [eµφoβol eyevoro] evφoβol eyev. C : ev φoβw eyev. N 69 Or<sup>s</sup> Pr (sunt missi) s<sup>1</sup> : in timorem sunt missi vg : "fear (+ great arm<sup>1. a</sup>) was (shall be arm<sup>3</sup>) in all" arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : "were astounded" arm<sup>4</sup> eyevoro  $\kappa al$  yevoµevol 2015. 2036. 2037 | rol ovoµavol] > Tyc s<sup>3</sup> : + et terrae Pr :  $\tau w ev \tau w$  ovparw s<sup>1</sup> : "heavenly" arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup>

14.  $\eta$  ovai  $\eta$  beutepa]  $\eta^1 > N^\circ$  I. 172 : pr kai 386 : pr idou arm<sup>1, 2, 4, a</sup> : idou ai ovai ai dvo s<sup>1</sup> |  $a\pi\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ ]  $\pi a\rho\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu \times 2015$ . 2036. 2037 :  $a\pi\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$  s<sup>1</sup> | idou] pr kai vg<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo : > 104. 205. 209. 218. 314. 2018 arm<sup>8</sup> eth : kai arm<sup>1, 2, a</sup> eth | idou  $\eta$  ovai  $\eta$  triti errerai AC 025. I. 35. 2019. 2020. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> ()r<sup>a</sup> Pr (vg) s (bo) : idou  $\eta$  triting ovai errerai 2015. 2036. 2037 : idou ovai  $\eta$  triti errerai 15. Καὶ ὁ † ἔβδομος † ἄγγελος ἐσάλπισεν· καὶ ἐγένοντο φωναὶ <τρίτο μεγάλαι ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ λέγοντες

> Έγένετο ή βασιλεία τοῦ κόσμου τοῦ κυρίου ήμῶν καὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ αὐτοῦ,

καί βασιλεύσει είς τούς αίωνας των αίωνων.

16. και οι είκοσι τέσσαρες πρεσβύτεροι οι ενώπιον του θεου καθήμενοι επί τους θρόνους αυτών επεσαν επί τα πρόσωπα αυτών και προσεκύνησαν τῷ θεῷ λέγοντες

2040 : η ουαι η τριτη (ταχυ) ερχεται 205 : ιδου ερχεται η ουαι η τριτη Ν 2019 gig : η ουαι η τριτη ιδου ερχεται 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> | ερχεται] veniet vg (arm<sup>1. 2. 8. e</sup>) : εληλυθε s<sup>1</sup> : tr. after ταχυ 205 |.

15.  $\kappa \alpha \iota$ ] + ore  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2} \mathfrak{s.a}$  |  $o \in \beta \delta \circ \mu \circ \sigma$  any elos esaltion of επτα αγγελοι εσαλπισαν  $arm^1 | o > A | και^3 > arm^{1.2.4.6} | εγεγοντο] <math>\aleph^\circ$ : εγενετο  $\aleph^*$   $arm^{1.2.3.6} | φωναι μεγαλαι] φωνη μεγαλη <math>arm^{1.3}$ eth :  $\phi \omega r \eta \operatorname{arm}^{\alpha} | \epsilon r \tau \omega \operatorname{oup} a r \omega | \epsilon r \tau \omega \operatorname{oup} a r \omega \operatorname{arm}^{1.8} \mathrm{bo} \mathrm{eth} | \lambda \epsilon r \sigma r$ Ter A 046. 18. 61. 69 82. 93. 110. 314. 325. 336. 429. 432. 452. 456. 506. 517. 522. 617. 620. 866. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2021. 2030 Or" : λεγουσαι NC 025. 21 (-18. 325. 456. 617. 620. 866. 919. 920. 1849. 2004). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi eyevero n βασιλεια] εγενοντο αι βασιλειαι Ι. 104. 205. 2038 | η βασιλεια] pr πασα arm<sup>1 2. e</sup> | του κοσμου] huius mundi gig vg : > 2015. 2037 Pr arm1 4 | TOV KUPIOU MUW ] PI Kai 1934 : TOU BEOU MUW 2015. 2020. Pr : και του θέου ημων  $s^1$  : του κυριου του θέου ημων bo | και του Χριστου αυτου] Ιησου Χριστου 205. 1934. 2015 (pr του). 2036. 2037 arm<sup>1.2</sup> : Kai > arm<sup>4</sup> | Basilevsei ] Basilevei 69. 172. 325. 336. 456. 517. 620. 866. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037\* : regnavit gig  $s^1$  | TOUT alwrat] + Kal ELT TOUT alwrat  $arm^4$ : TOV alwra bo | TWV aιωνων] + aμην × 94. 141. 181. 2020 vgc arm<sup>2</sup> bopl ].

16. oi1 > N\* A bo | εικοσι τεσσαρεσ ANC 025. 18. 175. 205. 250. 386. 617. 920. 1849. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Or" : Ko 046. 21 (- 18. 175. 205. 386. 617. 920. 1849. 1934. 2020). I. 104  $al^p$ : εικοσι και τεσσαρεσ 420  $al^p$  | οι ενωπιον NC 025. 21 (- 35\*. 205. 2040). 250. 2037. 2067 alpl Ors s arm<sup>1. 2. 4. a</sup> bo : qui in conspectu dei sedent Pr (gig) vg : 01 > A 046. 1. 35<sup>•</sup>. 61. 69. 104. 181. 205. 209. 2038. 2040 Cyp arni8 | του θεου ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 alp Pr gig fl vg s1 arm1. 2. 4 a : pr rou θρονου 046. 21 (- 35. 205. 2040). 250 alpm Or s2 arm8 | radymeroi A 025. 1. 35. 104. 632\*\*. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Pr gig vg Cyp (s) arm bo eth : pr of 61. 69. 205 Or : καθηνται Nº C 82. 2040 : of καθηνται N\* 046. 21 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 250 alpm | επι τουσ θρονουσ] επι θρονόν  $\operatorname{arm}^1$  | επεσαν . . . τω θεω >  $\operatorname{arm}^1$  $|\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma a \nu \dots a \nu \tau \omega \nu > a rm^4 | a \nu \tau \omega \nu > bo | \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma a \nu A(\aleph) C 025. I.$ 104. 110. 337. 429. 620. 866. 2016. 2023\*. 2067 alp Or : enerov VOL. 11.-20

- 17. Εὐχαριστοῦμέν σοι, Κύριε ὁ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ, ὁ ῶν καὶ ὁ ἦν, ὅτι εἴληφας τὴν δύναμίν σου τὴν μεγάλην καὶ ἐβασίλευσας.
- 18α. καί τὰ έθνη ώργίσθησαν,
  - b. και ήλθεν ή δργή σου,
  - h. και <6 καιρός> διαφθείραι τους διαφθείροντας την γην,
  - c. καί δ καιρός τών νεκρών κριθήναι,
  - g. τούς μικρούς και τούς μεγάλους,
  - d. και δούναι τὸν μισθὸν τοῦς δούλοις σου,
  - ε. τοις προφήταις καί τοις άγίοις
  - f. καί τοις φοβουμένοις το όνομά σου.

046. 21 (-337. 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. (2040)  $al^{mu}$ : pr kat N 2040 | ta προσωπα] το προσωπον fi bo | kal<sup>2</sup> > bo sa | τω θεω] > gig : "the Lord God"  $arm^{1.2.a}$  | λεγοντεσ] ελεγον  $arm^1$  : και ελεγον  $arm^{2.a}$  |.

**17.** σοι] σε 046 | Κυριε] Κυρισσ № 2038 | ο θεοσ] + ημων 2015. 2036. 2037 gig vg<sup>g</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> | o<sup>2</sup>] №<sup>c</sup> : > №\* | ων . . . ην] ~ gig eth | ο ην] + και ο ερχομενοσ 051. 35. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2041 al<sup>p</sup> (b0) | στι] pr και №\*C : και arm<sup>a</sup> : σσ arm<sup>1.2</sup> | ειληφασ] ειληφεσ C | σου την μεγαλην > arm<sup>1</sup> bo |.

18. ωργισθησαν ×° : ωργισθη ×\* : οργισθησαν 149. 201. 2015. 2017 al<sup>p</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> στι bo | σου] + επ αυτουσ 2020 | και διαφθειραι] και > A arm<sup>2</sup> : quique exterminandi sunt Pr : et conrumpantur fl : διαφθερεισ bo | διαφθειροντασ AN 046. 21 (-35 468\*\*). 2037 alpi Or arm bo eth : διαφθειραντασ C 35. 60. 104. 172. 241. 242. 250. 468\*\*. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2041 Pr gig fl vg Cyp s : φθειροντασ 025. 1. 2038. 2067 | καιροσ] κληροσ C : + τησ κρισεωσ bo των νεκρων] των εθνων 617. 920. 2020 : τουσ νεκρουσ bo | κριθηναι] > Pr : κρινεισ arm<sup>8</sup> : κριναι bo | τουσ μικρουσ και τουσ исуалово А№\*С Or : того (pr каг 2020 gig) искрого каг того μεγαλοισ Nº. C 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi Pr gig fl vg Cyp s<sup>2</sup> arm :  $\tau_{01\sigma}$   $\mu_{\mu\nu}$  polo  $\mu_{e\tau a}$   $\tau_{w\nu}$   $\mu_{e\gamma a}\lambda_{w\nu}$  s<sup>1</sup>. It is possible to explain the variation of tenses as due to the dislocation of lines h and g. Thus AN\*C preserve the acc. (rovo μικρουσ in apposition to the subject of  $\kappa \rho_i \theta \eta \nu \alpha_i$ ) even after the transposition of the line after Kai TOIG \$08. JOU. Next comes the corrector's stage: the acc. is changed into a dat. to agree with row poß. Possibly the original order was a, b, h, g, c, d, e, f. The rovor μικρουσ κτλ. would then qualify τουσ διαφθειροντασ κτλ. In any case the order in the MSS is wrong. | Souvai] + auroio bo | kai TOIS ayiois > Pr gig | TOIS ayiois kai tois  $(> \aleph)$  fobouhevois] τουσ αγιουσ και τουσ φοβουμενουσ A (a correction?) : τοισ αγιουτ каі >051 : каі > 35<sup>‡</sup>. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> arm1, 2. 4 | ayioi0] + oov 617. 920. 2020 1

19. καὶ ἠνοίγη ὁ ναὸς τοῦ θεοῦ ὁ ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ, καὶ ὄφθη ἡ κιβωτὸς τῆς διαθήκης αὐτοῦ ἐν τῷ ναῷ αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐγένοντο ἀστραπαἰ καὶ φωναὶ καὶ βρονταὶ καὶ σεισμὸς καὶ χάλαζα μεγάλη.

19. yrolyn AN (nruyn) C 025. I. 35. 61. 60. 104. 172. 205. 250. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alp Or eth : ηνοιχθη 046. 21 (- 35. 205. 2040) almu | 0 εν τω AC 61. 69. 172. 250. 2018. 2020. 2040 Or gig fi arm bo eth : 0 > R 025. 046. 21 (- 2020. 2040). 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Tyc vg s ουρανω] + ανω \*\* (del. \*°) | ωφθη] εδοθη C | τησ διαθηκησ αυτου] του θεου arme | aυτου<sup>1</sup> AC 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 172. 205. 250. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 alp gig vg s arm1. 2. 8. 4 : TOU KUPLOU 21 (- 18. 35\*. 205. 386. 2040). 2067 alpl Or : KUPLOU 046. 18. 104. 1957. 2039 : του θεου & 201. 386 fl eth :> Tyc bo | εν τω ναω] > arm<sup>2</sup>: pr "which is " arm<sup>1.8</sup> eth | aurou<sup>2</sup> > s<sup>1</sup> bo | eyevorto ]  $\aleph^{\circ}$ : сусчето N\* | кан финан кан Вронтан АNC 025. 046. 21 (- 2020) Or Pr arm<sup>(1.2). 3. a</sup> sa eth : owrai . . . Boorrai ~ 69. 172. 250. 498. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037 gig fl s (arm4) bo : και φωναι > 314. 2016 Tyc : και βρονται > vg | και σεισμοσ ANC 025. 1. 35\*. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc Pr gig fl vg s arm<sup>2. 4. a</sup> eth : και ο σεισμοσ 181 : και σεισμοι 172. 250, 424, 2018, 2037, 2038 arm<sup>1</sup> bo sa : > 046, 21 ( $-35^{\ddagger}$ , 205, 2020. 2040) al<sup>iau</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | και χαλαία μεγαλη > Tvc |.

# CHAPTER XII.

I. Καὶ σημεῖον μέγα ὥφθη ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ, γυνὴ περιβεβλημένη τὸν ἦλιον, καὶ ἡ σελήνη ὑποκάτω τῶν ποδῶν αὐτῆς, καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς αὐτῆς στέφανος ἀστέρων δώδεκα. 2. καὶ ἐν γαστρὶ Γἔχουσα, ἔχουσα

1. και] > Pr : + ιδου bo | περιβεβλημενη] περιβλεπομενη Α | η σεληνη]  $\aleph^{\circ}$  : την σεληνην  $\aleph^{*}$  : η > 1. 175. 498 : + ην 2020 | αστερων] ακανθων s<sup>1</sup> | δωδεκα ΑΝC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 337) Or<sup>\*</sup> : δεκα δυο 1. 181. 2037. 2067 : ιβ' 35. 337. 2015. 2017 al<sup>p</sup> : xxii. gig |.

2.  $\epsilon\nu$  γαστρι εχουσα] erat praegnans ventre Pr : in utero habebat fl : "she was with child" arm<sup>1. 2. 8.  $\epsilon}$  | και κραζει N 2040 vg<sup>c. g</sup> : και > A 025. I. 35. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 : και εκραζεν C Pr fl vg<sup>f. v</sup> : εκραζεν 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 1849. 2040). I. 104. 110. 172. 250. 2016. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : εκραξεν 046. 1849 al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : εκρακεν 35 ? : και εκραξεν gig arm<sup>1. 2. α</sup> : "who cried out" arm<sup>5\*</sup> : et clamans vg<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1</sup> : κραζουσα bo | ωδινουσα] pr και A s eth | και βασανιζομενη] και > bo : et cruciabatur Pr fl : "and in many pains she was nigh" arm<sup>1</sup> | τεκειν] pr του 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040 |.</sup> καὶ κράζει ἀδίνουσα καὶ βασανιζομένη τεκεῖν. 3. καὶ ὥφθη ἄλλο σημεῖον ἐν τῷ οῦρανῷ, καὶ ἰδοὺ δράκων <sup>Γ</sup>μέγας πυρρός<sup>1</sup>, ἔχων κεφαλὰς ἐπτὰ καὶ κέρατα δέκα, καὶ ἐπὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς αὐτοῦ ἐπτὰ διαδήματα. 4. καὶ ἡ οῦρὰ αὐτοῦ σύρει τὸ τρίτον τῶν ἀστέρων τοῦ οὖρανοῦ, καὶ ἔβαλεν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὴν γῆν. καὶ ὁ δράκων ἔστηκεν ἐνώπιον τῆς γυναικὸς τῆς μελλούσης τεκεῖν, ἴνα ὅταν τέκῃ τὸ τέκνον αὐτῆς καταφάγῃ.

5. καὶ ἔτεκεν υἱόν, ἀρσεν, ὅς μέλλει ποιμαίνειν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη ἐν ῥάβδῷ σιδηρậ<sup>:</sup> καὶ ἡρπάσθη τὸ τἔκνον αὐτῆς πρὸς τὸν θεὸν καὶ πρὸς τὸν θρόνον αὐτοῦ.

8. μεγασ πυρ(ρ) or A 025. 051. 1. 35. 172. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041 al<sup>p</sup> Tyc vg s<sup>1</sup> sa eth : ~NC 046. **21** (-35. 205. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Pr gig fl s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> (bo) | μεγασ] + "exceedingly" arm<sup>1</sup> : "it is very great" bo | πυρροσ AN 025. 051. **21** (-18. 205. 337. 386. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2040). 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> : rufus Tyc Pr gig fl vg eth : πυροσ C 046. 1. 18. 205. 250. 337. 386. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 2040 s : "fiery" arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup> : "of colour of fire" bo : > arm<sup>4</sup> : + ομοιοσ πυρι eth | επι τασ κεφαλασ] επι τησ κεφαλησ 205 (arm<sup>1. 2</sup>) : επι τασ επτα κεφαλασ arm<sup>4</sup> | αυτου] αυτων A 172 | επτα<sup>2</sup> > 1. 181. 2038 |.

4.  $\eta$  oupa autou] > gig : autou > I |  $\sigma u\rho \epsilon_i$ ] trahebat Tyc Pr fl vg |  $\tau \omega v a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega v$ ]  $\aleph^c$  : + to tritov  $\aleph^*$  | tou oupavou] > I. 2067 :  $\tau \omega v \epsilon v \tau \omega$  oupavw  $\$^1$  | autous] +  $\epsilon \kappa$  tou oupavou  $\operatorname{arm}^{2,4}$  |  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta v \gamma \eta v$ >  $\operatorname{arm}^4$  |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon v$ ]  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota$  C s :  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$  6I. 69 |  $\tau \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ousons  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota v$ ] > bo eth : "who wished to bear"  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8}$  sa |  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota v$ ]  $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota v$  05I. 35. 432. 1957. 2023. 204I |  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \eta$ ] +  $\eta \gamma u v \eta \operatorname{arm}^{1.2.8.6}$  $\tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa v or aut \eta \sigma$  >  $\operatorname{arm}^4$  |  $\tau \epsilon \kappa v ov$ ]  $\pi a \iota \delta \iota ov$  2020 : filium gig vg bo : natum Pr fl |  $a u \tau \eta \sigma$  > bo |  $\kappa a \tau a \phi a \gamma \eta$ ] +  $a u \tau o(v)$  arm<sup>3</sup> : +  $a u \tau o(v)$ o  $\delta \rho a \kappa \omega v \ a t \pi n^{1.2.6}$  |  $\iota v a \ldots \kappa a \tau a \phi a \gamma \eta$ ] iva  $\epsilon a v \ \tau \epsilon \kappa \eta$  to  $\tau \epsilon \kappa v ov \ a u \tau \eta \sigma$ eth |.

**5.** ersker] +  $\eta \gamma vr\eta$  Tyc arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> | vlov > Tyc Pr arm<sup>a</sup> | aposev AC : aposeva 025. 2038. 2040 : appeva N 21 (-2040). 1. 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> : apeva 046 |  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$  ποιμαινειν] "shall shepherd" arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> : recturus est Pr gig fl vg | παντα τα  $\epsilon \theta r\eta$ ] τα  $\epsilon \theta r\eta$  205. b0 : "his people" arm<sup>1. 2</sup> |  $\epsilon r$  paßdw ANC 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 205. 2040). 250. al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Pr gig fl vg s (b0) :  $\epsilon r > 025$ . 051. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 181. 205. 498. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 |  $\kappa a \iota^2 >$  Pr |  $\eta \rho \pi a \sigma \theta \eta$  AC 025. 21 (-386. 2040). 1. 69. 104. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> :  $\eta \rho \pi a \chi \theta \eta$ 046 :  $\eta \rho \pi a \gamma \eta$  8 60. 241. 385. 386. 432 1957. 2016. 2023. 2040. 2041 |  $\tau \epsilon \kappa vor$ ] παιδιον 2020 |  $a v \tau \eta \sigma >$  b0 |  $\pi \rho \sigma^2 >$  1. 205. 2019. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup>]. 6. καὶ ἡ γυνὴ ἔφυγεν εἰς τὴν ἔρημον, ὅπου ἔχει ἐκεῖ τόπον ἡτοιμασμένον ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ, ἴνα ἐκεῖ Γτρέφουσιν ٦ αὐτὴν ἡμέρας χιλίας τρέφωσιν διακοσίας ἐξήκοντα.

7. Καί έγένετο πόλεμος έν τῷ ούρανῷ,

- ό Μιχαήλ και οἱ ἄγγελοι ἀὐτοῦ τοῦ πολεμήσαι μετὰ τοῦ δράκοντος,

ούδε τόπος εύρέθη † αύτων † έτι έν τῷ ούρανῷ.

6.  $\eta^1 > 205$  | onou exec... and tou  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  "where was her place (a place for her arm<sup>2</sup>. e) prepared of God" arm <sup>1.2.e</sup>: "which hath there a place prepared of God" arm<sup>4</sup> : Kau (>bo) εισ τον τοπον ον ητοιμασεν αυτη ο θεοσ bo sa eth | εχει] ειχε 2020 fl vgd s | εκει<sup>1</sup> AN 025. 046. 21 (-2020). 250. 2067. alpm Or s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8. 4</sup> : tr after ronov 205. 241. 632\*\* gig : >C 051. 1. 69. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038 alp Pr fl vg st arm 1 a | mounaguevov | Dr aurn arm<sup>2. a</sup> : + aurn arm<sup>8</sup> | ano tou beou ANC 025. 1. 35. 1957. 2023. 2037\*. 2038. 2040. 2041 : ano >205 : uno tou beau 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468\*. 620. 866. 2040). 250. 2037\*\*. 2067 almu Ors : υπο θεου 468\*. 620. 866 | εκει<sup>2</sup>] tr after αυτην 468 Pr fl : >051 s1 | Trequoriv A 025. 1. 18. 205. 250. 617. 2020. 2037. 2040. 2067 almu Or : entrechur 046. 21 (-18. 205. 617 2020 2040) almu : трефоити NC 051. 2019. 2038 : ектрефоити 429. 522 : "she may be fed "  $\operatorname{arm}^{1, e}$  |  $\operatorname{avtyv}$   $\aleph^{\circ}$  :  $\operatorname{avtor} \aleph^{*}$  : > 69  $\operatorname{arm}^{1, e}$  |  $\chi_i \lambda_i a \sigma$ διακοσιασ εξηκοντα AN 025. 21 Ors (arm<sup>8\*\*. a</sup>) : + πεντε N° (arm<sup>1. 2. 5. 4</sup>) : xiliar diakoriar & 046 : art 2015 : aut 69 : xil. και διακ. και εξηκ. s : mille ducentis quadraginta gig : "a thousand two hundred and ninety" bo |.

7.  $mole\muoor$ ] +  $\mu$ eyaor gig bo | o] o te A s<sup>2</sup> | tou moleµnyau AC 025. 35. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2040. 2041 al<sup>p</sup> : > Ot<sup>a</sup> : tou >K 046. 21 (-35. 2040). 1. 69. 104. 110. 250. 314. 385. 429. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : ut pugnarent Pr fl : pugnabant Tyc (gig vg) arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> : moleµouvter s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\mu$ eta] kata I. 201. 386 al<sup>p</sup> | kai o dpakwv . . . autou] kai o dpakwv kai oi ayyeloi autou emoleµnyav 205 s<sup>(1). 2</sup> | kai o dpakwv] tou deutepou s<sup>1</sup> |.

8. Kai] sed fl arm<sup>1. a</sup>: > arm<sup>4</sup> | iscriver A 91 (-35. 205. 337. 1849 (2020). 2040). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> (b0) eth: iscriver (+ προσ autor N) &C 025. 1. 35. 205. 337. 1849. 2015. 2019. (2020). 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc Pr gig fl vg s (arm): iscriver 046. 69: + πολεμων μετ autor b0 | oude ANC 046. 91 (-35. 205). 250. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup>: oute 025. I. 35. 205. 2019. 2037. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> | τοτοσ ευρεθη autor] τοτε ευρεθη N<sup>\*</sup>: τοποσ > 69 | ευρεθη autor AC 025. 046. I. 69. 2020. (2040) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> gig vg: ~2015. 2036. 2037. 2007 Tyc (Pr) fl s<sup>3</sup>: ευρεθη autors N<sup>0.6</sup>. 35. 1849<sup>\*</sup>. 9. καὶ ἐβλήθη ὁ δράκων ὁ μέγας, ὁ ὄφις ὁ ἄρχαῖος, ἑ καλούμενος Διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανᾶς, ἑ πλανῶν τὴν οἰκουμένην ὅλην ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν γῆν, καὶ οἱ ἄγγελοι αὐτοῦ μετ' αὐτοῦ ἐβλήθησαν.

10. καὶ Ϋκουσα φωνὴν μεγάλην ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ λέγουσαν "Αρτι ἐγένετο ἡ σωτηρία καὶ ἡ δύναμις καὶ ἡ βασιλεία τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν καὶ ἡ ἐξουσία τοῦ Χριστοῦ αὐτοῦ, ὅτι ἐβλήθη ὁ κατήγωρ τῶν ἀδελφῶν ἡμῶν, ὁ κατηγορῶν αὐτοὺς ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν ἡμέρας καὶ

- ο κατηγορων αυτους ενωπιον του σεου ημων ημερας και νυκτός.
- II. καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐνίκησαν αὐτὸν διὰ τὸ αἶμα τοῦ ἀρνίου, καὶ διὰ τὸν λόγον τῆς μαρτυρίας αὐτῶν, καὶ οὖκ ἠγάπησαν τὴν ψυχὴν αὖτῶν ἄχρι θανάτου.

2019 s<sup>1</sup> arm : eupedy auto 21 (-35. 1849\*. 2020. 2040). 104. 110. 250. 314. (~385) al<sup>mu</sup> | tonoo autou eti ev to ouparo eupedy Pr | eti >  $\aleph^{c. c}$  104. 2015. 2036 s arm |.

9. o  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\sigma$  o oftic] o  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\sigma$  oftic st 1. 2067 : o oftic o  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\sigma$ 617. 920. 2040 Pr b0 :  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\sigma$  oftic 2038 | o oftic] pr kat arm<sup>2</sup> | o  $\Delta ta\beta$ . kat > eth | kat o Satavao AC 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> s : kat > to 50 > 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 2040). 250. 2037 al<sup>pm</sup> | o  $\pi\lambda avwr$  . . .  $\gamma\eta r > Tyc$  | o  $\pi\lambda arwr$ ] qui seducebat Pr (b0) eth : qui seducet fl |  $\epsilon\beta\lambda\eta\theta\eta$ ] pr kat gig fl s<sup>1. (2)</sup> : kat energer arm<sup>4</sup> : "the lion fell" arm<sup>1. 2</sup> |  $\mu\epsilon\tau$  autou  $\epsilon\beta\lambda\eta\theta\eta\sigmaar$ ] > 506. 2015. 2036. 2037 :  $\mu\epsilon\tau$  autou > 051. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2038 :  $\epsilon\beta\lambda\eta$  $\theta\eta\sigma ar > Tyc arm<sup>1</sup>$  |  $\epsilon\beta\lambda\eta\theta\eta\sigma ar$ ] energer arm<sup>3</sup> a : energer arm<sup>2</sup> |.

11. kal<sup>1</sup>] oti bo : > arm<sup>2</sup> | avtol] ovtol  $\aleph$  : > Pr | evikysav] superatus est Pr | avtor] ab ipsis Pr : > s<sup>1</sup> | dia to aima] dia tov aimatos 69. 2019 arm<sup>1. 3. 3. a</sup> : ev tw aimati s<sup>1</sup> : dia to ovoma 2015. 2036. 2037 | tov apriov] + tov beov arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | tov  $\lambda$ oyov] > C arm<sup>4</sup> : 12. διὰ τοῦτο εὐφραίνεσθε οἱ οὖρανοὶ καὶ οἱ ἐν αὐτοῖς σκηνοῦντες οὐαὶ τὴν γῆν καὶ τὴν θάλασσαν, ὅτι κατέβη ὁ διάβολος πρὸς ὑμᾶς, ἔχων θυμὸν μεγάν, εἰδὼς ὅτι ὀλίγον καιρὸν ἔχει.

13. Καὶ ὅτε εἶδεν ὁ δράκων ὅτι ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν γῆν, ἐδίωξεν τὴν γυναῖκα ῆτις ἔτεκεν τὸν ἄρσενα. 14. καὶ ἐδόθησαν τῆ γυναικὶ αἱ δύο πτέρυγες τοῦ ἀετοῦ τοῦ μεγάλου, ἶνα πέτηται εἰς τὴν ἔρημον εἰς τὸν τόπον αὐτῆς, ὅπου τρέφεται ἐκεῖ καιρὸν καὶ καιροὺς καὶ

του λογου arm<sup>1. 2. 8. α</sup>: το αιμα bo | τησ μαρτυριασ] την μαρτυριαν C: των μαρτυριων arm<sup>4</sup> | αυτων] αυτου 172. 205. 241. 632. 2022 arm | και<sup>8</sup>] στι bo | ουκ] ουχ A | την ψυχην] τασ ψυχασ 172. 250. 424. 2018 vg<sup>c. d. f. v</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | αυτων] εαυτων N<sup>c</sup> | αχρι] μεχρι 408. 620. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 866 |.

19. Sia pr kai 205 eth | oi ovpavoi A 051. 1. 35. 241. 429\*\*. 632. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alp Or<sup>a</sup> arm<sup>1. a</sup> bo eth : o1 > NC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 632. 2040). 250  $al^{mu}$  s: 0 oupavog  $arm^{2.3}$ : oupavog  $arm^4 \mid \epsilon v$  autoig gryvouvteg A 025. 046. 21 (- 920) Or s : er autour Kataskyrourtes C : катоккоитсо су антоко N (Pr fl vg bo sa eth) : "all (>arm<sup>8</sup> : 'ye' arm<sup>4</sup>) who are dwelling ('the dwellers' arm<sup>8</sup>) in them ('it' arm<sup>8.4.a</sup>)" arm : ev autous katoikouvtes 385. 429. 506. 522. 920. 2016. 2037 (gig) | түч үүч кан түч валабоач С 025. 35\*. 2015. 2036. 2038. 2040 : pr «10 R : pr того катогкоиот 1. 2037 : την αγαπην και την θαλασσαν Α : τη γη και τη θαλασση 046. 21 (-35\*. 2040). 250. 2067 alpm Or\* : vae terrae et mari gig fl vg s arm bo eth : vae vobis (tibi Tyc) terra et mare Tyc Pr [  $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \beta \eta$ ] καταβαινει s<sup>1. (2)</sup> : "is fallen " arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> | διαβολοσ] "dragon " arm<sup>1. 2. 3°. 4</sup> : "adversary" arm<sup>3\*\*. a</sup> |  $\nu\mu a\sigma$ ] autour s<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon\chi\omega\nu$ ] pr o 1. 2037 arm<sup>3 a</sup> | εχων θυμον μεγαν | μεγαν > \* arm<sup>1</sup> : μεγαν εχων θυμον 2067 : εχων θυμον μεγα 2020 : cum ira ingenti Pr |.

13. ο δρακων στι εβληθη]  $\aleph^*$ : στι εβληθη ο δρακων  $\aleph^c$  | εβληθη] "fell" arm<sup>1.2.3. α</sup> eth but both = εβληθη | εδιωξεν] εδωκεν  $\aleph^*$ : εξεδιωξεν  $\aleph^o$ : εδιωκεν gig | αρσενα  $\aleph$ C 025. 35. 61. 69. 2040 Or<sup>4</sup>: αρσεναν A: αρρενα 21 (-35. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> αρενα 046. 2015: υιον s<sup>2</sup>: "child" arm<sup>1</sup>: "male" arm<sup>4</sup>: "male child" arm<sup>2.8. α</sup>: τον υιον (τον) αρρενα 506. 680 bo sa eth |.

14. edody our ] edody N° 205 s<sup>1</sup> arm | ty yuraki ] auty b0 | at duo mtepuyeo] mtepuyeo duo 468\* | ai duo AC 025. 35\*. 104. 181. 517\*. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2038. 2040. 2067 (s<sup>2</sup>) : > arm<sup>1. 2. 3</sup> : ai> N 046. 21 (-35\*. 2040). 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> Ot\* s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> a bo eth | mtepuyeo] + (ai) µeyadai b0 | tou aetou] tou > N arm b0 : pr wo Pr b0 | tou µeyadou > arm<sup>1</sup> b0 | metytai] metatai 046\*. 1. 919. 2015. 2020. 2036 : meoytai 386 : + y yury b0 | eio tyv epyµov > 1. 181. 2037 | eio<sup>2</sup> > Tyc arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> | autyor > b0 eth | onou tpedetai ANC 025. 1. 35\*. 201. 314. 386. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> ήμισυ καιροῦ ἀπὸ προσώπου τοῦ ὄφεως. 15. καὶ ἔβαλεν ὁ ὄφις ἐκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ ὅπίσω τῆς γυναικὸς ὕδωρ ὡς ποταμόν, ἶνα αὐτὴν ποταμοφόρητον ποιήση. 16. καὶ ἐβοήθησεν ἡ γῆ τῆ γυναικί, καὶ ἡνοιξεν ἡ γῆ τὸ στόμα αὐτῆς καὶ κατέπιεν τὸν ποταμὸν ὅν ἔβαλεν ὁ δράκων ἐκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ. 17. καὶ ὡργίσθη ὁ δράκων ἐπὶ τῆ γυναικί, καὶ ἀπῆλθεν ποιῆσαι πόλεμον μετὰ τῶν λοιπῶν τοῦ σπέρματος αὐτῆς, τῶν τηρούντων τὰς ἐντολὰς τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἐχόντων τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ.

18. και έστάθη έπι την άμμον της θαλάσσης.

Tyc Pr gig fl vg s<sup>2</sup>: pr iva Or<sup>\*</sup>: опоυ трефутаі 506. 517. 2017: опью трефутаі 046. 21 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 386. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>ma</sup> s<sup>1</sup> екеі] > Tyc Pr fl vg bo eth: before опоυ gig | кайроч кай кайрочо] кайроч кай кайроч arm<sup>1</sup>: кайроч кай кайроч arm<sup>3</sup>: кайроч arm<sup>2</sup> кайроч] > N<sup>\*</sup>: кай кайроч N<sup>°</sup> | кай кайрочо] кай > 456 s<sup>1</sup> | кай ущісти кайроч > C | ущисти] N<sup>°</sup>: ущисти N<sup>\*</sup> |.

15.  $\epsilon\beta a\lambda\epsilon v$ ]  $\epsilon\lambda a\beta\epsilon v$  Å<sup>\*</sup>:  $v\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\beta a\lambda\epsilon v$  104 |  $\epsilon\kappa$  του στοματοσ avrou] tr. after τησ γυναικοσ I | οπισω τ. γυναικοσ after ποταμον bo sa |  $v\delta\omega\rho$ ] > 385. 429. 522 : "venom" arm<sup>2</sup> | ωσ ποταμον] εισ ποταμον 18 : > arm<sup>a</sup> |  $v\alpha$  . . . ποιηση > bo | avrηv ποταμοφορητον ποιηση] ποιηση avrηv ποταμοφορητον C | avrηv ποταμοφορητον ποιηση] ποιηση avrηv ποταμοφορητον C | avrηv ΑΚC 046. 21 (-35. 205). 250. 2037  $al^{pm}$  Or<sup>s</sup> Pr gig vg s : τavrηv 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 2038. 2067  $al^{p}$  | ποταμοφορητον ποιηση] ποταμοφορητον ποιησει 104. 2019. 2038 : perderet Pr : faceret trahi a flumine vg : faceret ictu fluminis trahi ad se gig |.

16. και εβοηθησεν . . . γυναικι > bo | και<sup>1</sup>] sed Pr | τη γυναικι . . η γη > 337 | η γη<sup>2</sup> > 141. 250. 424. 452. 2018. 2019. 2021 Pr gig arm<sup>1.4</sup> | το στομα] του στοματοσ 18 | και κατεπιεν . . . στοματοσ αυτου > s<sup>2</sup> (codd.) | και<sup>8</sup> > bo | τον ποταμον ον] το υδωρ ο A arm<sup>1. a</sup> : τον ποταμον ο 456 : τον ποταμον υδατοσ bo | εβαλεν] ενεβαλεν 046 Or<sup>a</sup> : ανελαβεν 61. 69 (69 after γυναικι ver. 17 repeats και ηνοιξεν . . ανελαβεν 5: "poured out" arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> | εκ του στομα τοσ αυτου] "upon the woman" arm<sup>4</sup> : οπισω τησ γυναικοσ bo : > arm<sup>a</sup> |.

17. και ωργισθη . . . γυναικι >  $arm^{1.4}$  | ωργισθη] οργισθη 046. 104. 2019. 2038 : iratus Pr | ο δρακων > Pr | επι > C Pr | ποιησαι πολεμον] ~ Ν | μετα] pr και 2040 | των λοιπων] των επιλοιπων Ν : τησ γυναικοσ και  $arm^1$  : >  $arm^2$ <sup>8</sup> | του σπερματοσ] de semine Tyc Pr vg | αυτησ] τησ γυναικοσ bo | τασ εντολασ] την εντολην Pr  $arm^1$  | Ιησου ΑΝ°C 025. 046<sup>\*</sup>. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>\*</sup> gig vg s  $arm^{8.4.6}$  bo : pr του 046<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1. 104. 110: του θεου Ν<sup>\*</sup> : θεου 522 : Jesu Christi Pr vg<sup>°</sup>  $arm^{1.2}$  eth |.

18. ver. 18 > Pr arm<sup>2</sup> | εσταθη ANC 61. 172. (205) al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc. gig vg<sup>a. d. f. v</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 8</sup> eth : εσταθην 025. 046. **21** (-205). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> vg<sup>a. g</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> • bo |.

# CHAPTER XIII.

- Καὶ εἶδον ἐκ τῆς θαλάσσης θηρίον ἀναβαῖνον,
   ἔχον κέρατα δέκα καὶ κεφαλὰς ἐπτά, (a)
   καὶ ἐπὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς αὐτοῦ 「ỏνόματα<sup>1</sup> βλασφημίας.
- καὶ τὸ θηρίον ὅ εἶδον ἦν ὅμοιον παρδάλει, καὶ οἱ πόδες αὐτοῦ ὡς ἄρκου, καὶ τὸ στόμα αὐτοῦ ὡς στόμα λέοντος.

καὶ ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ ὁ δράκων τὴν δύναμιν αὐτοῦ καὶ τὸν θρόνον αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐξουσίαν μεγάλην.

 καὶ μίαν ἐκ τῶν κεφαλῶν αὐτοῦ ὡς ἐσφαγμένην εἰς θάνατον, καὶ ἡ πληγὴ τοῦ θανάτου αὐτοῦ ἐθεραπεύθη.

καί έθαυμάσθη όλη ή γη † οπίσω του θηρίου †,

(a) MSS add a gloss to prepare the way for xvii. 12 : και έπι των κεράτων αυτοῦ δέκα διαδήματα. See vol. ii. English transl., footnote in loc.

1. και ειδον εκ τησ θαλασσησ > 205 | εκ τησ θαλασσησ . . . αναβαινον] εκ τησ θαλασσησ after αναβαινον (Tyc) Pr s<sup>2</sup> arm eth | θηριον αναβαινον] ~ Tyc : + μεγα arm<sup>1</sup> | εχον] εχων 1. 104. 110. 429. 522. 2016. 2017 | κερατα δεκα και κεφαλασ επτα] κερατα δεκα και > 1 : κερατα δεκα . . . κεφαλασ επτα ~ vg arm<sup>1. 2</sup> e : κερατα δεκα ~ 468 | τασ κεφαλασ] την κεφαλην arm | αυτου] αυτων (025) | ονοματα Α 046. 21 (-2040) al<sup>pm</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> vg s<sup>2</sup> : ονομα ΝC 025. 1. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr gig s<sup>1</sup> arm bo eth | βλασφημιασ] γεγραμμενον bo |.

**2.** TO  $\theta\eta\rho\iotaor$  bestiam Pr gig : bestia vg |  $\eta\nu$  oucov] ~ 172. 2015. 2018 Tyc Pr vg |  $\eta\nu$  > 1. 181. 209. 2038. 2067<sup>00m</sup> gig arm<sup>1. a</sup> | autov<sup>1</sup> > Tyc |  $\omega\sigma^1$ ] similes gig | apkov ANC 025. 046. **21** (-35. 632. 2040). 1. 250. 2037<sup>\*</sup>. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> : apkrov 35. 61. 172<sup>\*</sup>. 201<sup>\*\*</sup>. 241. 385. 429<sup>\*\*</sup>. 522. 632. 1957. 2037<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2039. 2040. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> |  $\sigma \tau o \mu a^8 > 2020$  s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. g. a</sup> |  $\lambda \epsilon o \nu \tau \sigma AC$ 025. 046. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg arm bo sa eth :  $\lambda \epsilon o \nu \tau \omega \nu \times 61$ . 69 Or<sup>a</sup> s |  $a \nu \tau \omega$  ]  $a \nu \tau \sigma \nu \times 866$  |  $o > \aleph^*$  |  $\tau \eta \nu$  $\delta \nu \nu a \mu \nu \sigma \nu \kappa a$  trov  $\theta \rho \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \nu \times 865$ , 429. 522. 919. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2024 :  $\kappa a \iota > 104. 2038 : + \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau \omega A<sup>**</sup>$  ].

**3.**  $\kappa \alpha \iota^{1}$ ] +  $\epsilon \iota \delta \delta \nu$  2040. 2067  $\bar{T} y c v g^{d \ell} v \mid \mu \iota a v \mid \mu \iota a arm^{1} \bullet$ : unum caput Pr :  $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \nu$  (?) bo  $\mid \epsilon \kappa \mid > 046$ . I. 205. 2019. 2037. 2038. 2067 :  $\epsilon \pi \iota$  bo  $\mid a \nu \tau \sigma \nu \rceil$   $\epsilon \pi \tau a Pr \mid \omega \sigma ANC 025$ . I. 35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 205. 385. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038  $a \mid^{\nu} Or^{\bullet}$  :  $\omega \sigma \epsilon \iota 046$ . 21 (-35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 205. 2020). 250. 2067  $a \mid^{mu}$  :  $\eta \nu a rm^{1 \bullet}$  :  $> a rm^{2 \bullet}$ 

бгоµа

4. καὶ προσεκύνησαν τῷ δράκοντι ὅτι ἔδωκεν τὴν ἐξουσίαν τῷ θηρίῳ,

•ψ θηρίψ

καὶ προσεκύνησὰν <sup>Γ</sup>τὸ θηρίον <sup>٦</sup> λέγοντες Τίς ὅμοιος τῷ θηρίῳ, καὶ τίς δύναται πολεμῆσαι μετ' αὐτοῦ ;

### 5°. καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ στόμα λαλοῦν μεγάλα καὶ βλασφημίας, (a)

(a) On the restoration of  $5^{b}$  to its original place before 7, see vol. ii., English transl., footnote *in loc*.

εσφαγμετην] εσφραγισμετην 386. 1957. 2037. 2067 : εσφαγμετη (?) arm<sup>1</sup> : σφαγησ bo : occisum fuerit Pr | αντον<sup>2</sup>] > 046. 205 : του θανατου N\* (corr. first hand) | εθαυμασθη A 051. I. 181. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 gig (s) : εθαυμαστωθη C : εθαυμασεν N 025. 046. 21. 250 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> bo : admiratae sunt Pr | ολη η γη] εν ολη τη γη 051. I. 181. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2067 : in terra gig : gentes inhabitantes terram Pr : + και ηκολουθησεν eth | οπισω του θηριου] οπισθε του θηριου 2020 : post bestiam illam gig : ad bestiam Pr | οπισω του θηριου 2020 : corrupt for ποι στου See vol. i. 337, 351 |.

4. τω δρακοντι . . . προσεκυνησαν<sup>2</sup> > 1. 385. arm<sup>2</sup> bo δρακοντι] θηριω arm<sup>1</sup> | στι εδωκε . . . θηριω<sup>1</sup> > bo | στι εδωκεν ANC 025. 172. 181. 205. 209. 250. 424. 2015 (ore). 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Or\* Tyc Pr vg s arm\* : +0 δρακων arm<sup>1</sup> : τω δεδωκοτι 046. 21 (-205. 2040) alpm : τω δοντι 61. 60 : qui dedit gig arm<sup>8</sup> •  $| \tau \eta v \in \xi ovor(av) | \tau \eta v > Or : + avrov$ arm<sup>1.8.4</sup>: omnem potestatem suam  $\Pr[\tau \omega \theta \eta \rho i \omega^1] \tau \omega \theta \eta \rho i \rho v$ 020 : анти  $\operatorname{arm}^1 | \lambda$  суонте  $\dots$   $\theta$  при >  $s^2 | кан прос екниго ан то$ θηριον > 051. 181. 205. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>2 4. a</sup> | το θηριον A 2036. 2037 alp : τω θηριω NC 025. 046. 21 (-920). 250 alpm Or" : τω θηριον 920 | τισ<sup>1</sup>] ουδεισ arm<sup>1</sup> | τω θηριω] + τουτω s<sup>1.8</sup> bo eth : illae bestiae Pr | rai<sup>3</sup> ANC 025. 046. 1. 35. 60. 61. 69. 172. 181. 205. 241. 250. 432. 452\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 Or" Pr gig vg s bo eth :  $\eta$  Tyc arm : >21 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 104. 110. 314. 385. 2016 alp | Suratal ANC 025. 1. 35\*. 172. 205. 250. 498. 920. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : Suvator 046. 21 ( - 35\*. 205. 920. 2020 2040) alpin Ors |.

**5<sup>6</sup>.** και εδοθη . . . βλασφημιασ > 1. 2016. 2017. 2038 Pr | στομα > arm<sup>1</sup> | λαλουν] λαλουντοσ 205 : λαλειν arm<sup>1 2 3 e</sup> bo eth : loquendi gig | βλασφημιασ NC 201. 386. 2020. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> vg<sup>(a)</sup> d s<sup>(2)</sup> bo arm<sup>1 2</sup> : βλασφημιαι 620. 866 vg<sup>c. f. g. v</sup> : βλασφημια A 172. 181. 241. 250. 424. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2067 : βλασφημιαν 025. 046. 21 (-386. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 866 (920). 2020. 2040) al<sup>nu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3. (4)</sup> e : blasphemare gig : + γενεσθαι arm<sup>4</sup> |.

- 6. καὶ ἦνοιξεν τὸ στόμα αὐτοῦ εἰς βλασφημίας πρὸς τὸν θέον, βλασφημῆσαι τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ καὶ τὴν σκηνὴν αὐτοῦ, 「καὶ τοὺς」 ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ σκηνοῦντας.
- 5<sup>b</sup>. καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ ἐξουσία ποιησαι μηνας τεσσεράκοντα καὶ δύο,
- 7. και έδόθη αὐτῷ ποιῆσαι πόλεμον μετά τῶν ἀγίων και νικῆσαι αὐτούς,
  - καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ ἐξουσία ἐπὶ πῶσαν φυλὴν καὶ λαὸν καὶ γλῶσσαν καὶ ἔθνος.
- 8. καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν αὐτὸν πάντες οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, 「οῦ」 οὐ γέγραπται τὸ ὅνομα Γαὐτοῦ<sup>1</sup> ἐν τῷ βιβλίῳ τῆς <sup>°</sup>μῆς τοῦ ἀρνίου τοῦ ἐσφαγμένου ἀπὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου.

6. και<sup>1</sup>] deinde Tyc | το<sup>1</sup> > 175. 337. 617. 1849 | εισ βλασφημιασ ΑΝC Ι. 94. 172. 250. 424. 2018. 2019. 2040 vg : εισ βλασφημιαν 025. 046. 21 (-2040). 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc gig s<sup>2</sup> : in blasphemia Pr arm<sup>1.2.4.e</sup> : βλασφημειν s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>s</sup> bo eth | βλασφημησαι] pr και bo eth : και εβλασφημειν s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>s</sup> bo eth | βλασφημηση s<sup>1</sup> | αυτου<sup>2</sup>] N<sup>o</sup> : αυτον N<sup>\*</sup> | και την σκηνην αυτου >C | και τουσ εν τ. ουρ. σκηνουντασ N<sup>o</sup> 025. 046<sup>\*</sup>. I. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>m</sup> vg bo arm<sup>4</sup> : τουσ εν τ. ουρ. σκην. ΑΝ<sup>\*</sup>C 046<sup>\*\*</sup>. 21 (-205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). 250 al<sup>m</sup> Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc s<sup>2</sup> : του εν τ. ουρ. σκηνουντοσ (>eth) Pr gig eth : των εν τ. ουρ. σκηνουντων s<sup>1</sup> : την εν τ. ουρ. arm<sup>1.2</sup> | σκηνουντασ] σκηνουντεσ N<sup>s</sup> : οικουντασ 386. 2019 : κατοικουντασ 149. 201 : σκηνην arm<sup>3\*\*. ε</sup> : εκλεκτουσ arm<sup>5\*</sup> : > arm<sup>1.2</sup> |.

**5**<sup>b</sup>. και εδοθη<sup>•</sup>...ποιησαι > arm<sup>4</sup> <sup>a</sup> | εξουσια > N<sup>\*</sup> | ποιησαι AC 025. I. 94. 181. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg s eth : +ο θελει N : pr πολεμον 046 21 (-632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> : +τα τερατα α εθελησε eth : πολεμησαι 61. 69 Ot<sup>\*</sup> bo sa : ποιησαι πολεμησαι (πολεμον arm<sup>2</sup>) κατα των αγιων και νικησαι αυτουσ και εδοθη (+αυτων arm<sup>1</sup> : + εξουσια arm<sup>2</sup>) νικη (νικησαι arm<sup>2</sup>) arm<sup>1. 2</sup>:>arm<sup>4</sup><sup>a</sup> | μηνασ > arm<sup>3</sup> | τεσσερακοντα ANC : τεσσαρακοντα 025. 21 (-35). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Ot<sup>\*</sup> | τεσσ. και δυο A 336. 620. 866 2040 Tyc gig s : και > NC 025. 21 (-35). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Ot<sup>\*</sup> Pr vg : μβ' 046. 35. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> : (και) δυο > arm<sup>2</sup> |.

7.  $avtw^1$ ] +  $\epsilon \xi ovoria 386. 920. 2020 | <math>\pi oingai...avtw^2 > AC$ 025.  $I^*$ . 61. 69. 181. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>a</sup> sa | tr 7<sup>a</sup> after 7<sup>b</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : after 5<sup>a</sup> arm<sup>1. 2.8</sup> | arm<sup>1. 2.8</sup> |  $\pi oingai \pi o\lambda \epsilon \mu ov \mathbb{N}$  046. 21. 2037 al<sup>m</sup> Pr gig s<sup>1.2</sup> :  $\sim I^{*,mg}$  172. 250. 2018 al<sup>p</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> Tyc vg |  $\mu \epsilon \tau a \tau w r a v (w r)$ >Tyc |  $\nu i \kappa \eta \sigma ai$ ] vicit Pr |  $\epsilon \xi ovoria$ ]  $\mathbb{N}^c$  :  $\epsilon \xi ovoriar \mathbb{N}^*$  |  $\pi a \sigma a \nu \phi v \lambda \eta v$ ]  $\pi a \sigma a \sigma \tau a \sigma \phi v \lambda a \sigma$  bo eth |  $\kappa ai \lambda a ov$ ]  $\kappa ai \lambda a ovor C arm<sup>4</sup> : > 051.$ 1. 35. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2038. 2067 Tyc arm<sup>1.2</sup> bo |.

8. προσκυνησουσιν] προσεκυνησαν Pr vg<sup>v</sup> arm<sup>2 8</sup> eth | αυτον AC 046. 91 (-35. 205. 468. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup>:

τούε

αύτων

9. Εί τις έχει ούς άκουσάτω.

εἰ τις εἰς αἰχμαλωσίαν,
 εἰς αἰχμαλωσίαν ὑπάγει·
 εἰ τις ἐν μαχαίρῃ ἀποκτανθῆναι,
 † αὐτὸν † ἐν μαχαίρῃ ἀποκτανθῆναι.
 <sup>6</sup>Ωδέ ἐστιν ἡ ὑπομονὴ καὶ ἡ πίστις τῶν ἁγίων.

autu N 025. 051. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 468. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2040. 2067  $al^p$ : > Tyc |  $\pi avre\sigma$ ...  $\gamma\eta\sigma$ ]  $\pi a\sigma a \eta \gamma\eta$  arm<sup>1</sup> |  $e\pi\iota$  > 920. 2040 |  $\tau$ .  $\gamma\eta\sigma$ ]  $\tau\eta\nu \gamma\eta\nu$  920 | ov ov C Pr: oval A: or ov s<sup>1</sup>:  $w\nu$  ov N<sup>6</sup> (but N<sup>\*</sup> om. ov) 025. 35. 175. 205. 250. 386. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 919. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc gig vg s<sup>3</sup> arm bo eth:  $w\nu$  oure 046. 91 (-35. 175. 205. 386. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 919. 1934. 2020. 2040). 385  $al^p$ : w oure 110 |  $\gamma$ eypaπrai]  $\gamma$ eypaµµµevol s<sup>1</sup> |  $\tau \sigma$  ovoµa AC 046. 91 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 2040). 250. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr s<sup>2</sup> bo:  $\tau a$  ovoµara N 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2040). 250. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr s<sup>2</sup> bo:  $\tau a$  ovoµara N 025. 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2045. 2037. 2040 gig vg arm eth: > s<sup>1</sup> | avrov AC: autur N<sup>\*</sup> 2040 s<sup>2</sup> arm: > N<sup>c</sup> 025. 046. 21 (-2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Or<sup>s</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> |  $e_l$  ent 046 bo |  $\tau w \beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota$ ]  $\tau w > C: \beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota N<sup>*</sup>$ :  $\tau w \beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota N<sup>c</sup>$ :  $\tau \eta \beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota u$  I  $al^p$  ( $\omega\eta\sigma$ ] +  $\tau w$  s<sup>1</sup> | erdaµµerov] erdpayorµerov 1. 242. 336. 2020 Tyc |  $a\pi\sigma$ ]  $\pi\rho\sigma$  s<sup>1</sup>: pr rov Pr eth |.

**9.** et the exet of the arm<sup>2</sup> :  $o \in xw^{2}$  arm<sup>3.4</sup> :  $ot \in xov + c$  arm<sup>1.4</sup> |  $ov\sigma$ ] where P arm : + audiendi arm bo eth |.

10. eto atxuadeorari ANC 025. 046. 3=\*. 205. 2015. 2020. 2036. 2038. 2040 vga. c. f. g arm : + amayer 250 Or gig vgd. v s : + emayer 2018 : + umayer b0 : argualwortav amayer 424 : argualωσιαν 1. 61. 69. 241. 632\*\*. 2017. 2037 : εχει αιχμαλωσιαν 051. **21** (- 15\*. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 110. 201. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2041. 2067 al : aixuadurilei (-rnorei 2019). 104. 2019 : captivum duxerit Pr | ew arxualworav2 A 218. 2018 Or gig vg s : >NC 025. 046. 21. 1. 61. 69. 104 110. 201. 241. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 arm bo | unayer] ouvayer 1. 2037 : vadet vg<sup>c</sup>: et ipse capietur Pr | µaxaıpy<sup>1.9</sup> AC Or<sup>s</sup> : µaxaıpa & 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi anortavenvai A : On this Hebrew idiom see vol. i. 355 sq. : anorteiver & 632\*\*. 2015. 2036 (gig s1. 2) : anortaive 051 : anorteve 250. 2018. 2040 Ors : anoktevel C 025. 046. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 506. 620. 866. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2041. 2067 alp arm4 bo eth : occiderit Pr vg : >91 (-35. 205. 620. 632\*\*. 866. 2020. 2040). 69. 82. 110. 314. 385. 429. 2016. 2017. 2038 alp arms | autor] pr Sei NC 025. 046 almu Pr vg s2 | er μαχαιρη2 AC 025. 046 Or : er μαχαιρα ¥ 35. 205. 620. 866. 2040 s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : > 21 (-35. 205. 620. 866. 2040). 69. 82. 110. 241. 314. 385. 429. 2016. 2017 alp атоктандуча! а токтандутета gig s<sup>1</sup> sa eth : а токтеноит и антон

<airòs>

- Καὶ εἶδον ἄλλο θηρίον ἀναβαῖνον ἐκ τῆς γῆς,
   και εἶχεν κέρατα δύο ὅμοια ἀρνίῳ,
   καὶ † ἐλάλει † ὡς δράκων.
- 12. καὶ τὴν ἐξουσίαν τοῦ πρώτου θηρίου πασαν ποιεῖ ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ, καὶ ποιεῖ τὴν γῆν καὶ τοὺς ἐν αὐτῆ κατοικοῦντας ἶνα προσκυνήσουσιν τὸ θηρίον τὸ πρῶτον, οῦ ἐθεραπεύθη ἡ πληγὴ τοῦ θανάτου αὐτοῦ.
- 13. καί ποιεί σημεία μεγάλα, ίνα καί πύρ ποιή έκ του ουρανού

bo sa  $| \nu \pi \circ \mu \circ \nu \gamma \dots \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \sigma ] \sim s^1 | \nu \pi \circ \mu \circ \nu \gamma ]$  sapientia gig  $| \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \sigma ] \theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \sigma 498. 2020 | a \gamma \iota \omega \nu ] + \mu a \kappa a \rho \iota \sigma \circ \tau \iota bo : + \mu a \kappa a \rho \iota \sigma - \mu \circ \sigma \kappa a \iota o \nu \theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \epsilon \iota Za \tau a \nu e th |.$ 

11. είδον] ίδου 617 | θηριον > Tyc | αναβαινον] αναβαινον C: αναβαινων 104 | δυο] tr after αρνιω 2020 : δεκα δυο 181 : > 91 (-18. 35. 468. 620. 866. 2020. 2040). 42. 82. 110. 314. 385. 2016. 2017 al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | ομοια] ονομα C : ομοιον b0 : και ομοιον ην s<sup>1</sup> | αρνιω] pr τω 620. 866 arm<sup>3. 4. a</sup> : αρνιου Pr vg s<sup>2</sup> | ελαλει] On the corruption of the Hebrew source here, see vol. i. 358 sq. : λαλει gig : λαλουν b0 | δρακων] pr o arm |.

12. του πρωτου θηριου] του θηριου του πρωτου 69 | πασαν > Pr arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\pi oiei^1$ ] emoiei 2020 Or<sup>4</sup> Pr vg s<sup>2</sup> arm bo :  $\pi oingrei 172$ . 250. 424. 2018 : ινα ποιησει s<sup>(1)</sup> : ποιειται 051. 35\* | ενωπιον αυτου  $\kappa a > \Pr arm^1 | \pi o \iota \ell^2 A \otimes C 025. I 205 620. 632^{**}. 2015. 2019.$ 2026. 2037. 2038. 2040 alma Tyc gig : moinger 172. 250. 424. 2018 s<sup>1</sup> : εποιει 046. 20 (-205. 620. 632\*\*. 2040). 69. 104. 110. 314. 385. 2016. 2023. 2067 al Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : fecit vg arm bo : ent Pr arm<sup>8\*</sup> | την γην και] ενωπιον 025 | και<sup>8</sup> > Pr | τουσ εν αυτη κατοικουντασ] tr εν αυτη after κατοικουντασ C 61. 69 gig vg eth : inhabitantes terram Pr : eos qui in ea sunt Tyc | wa mpoorkuvnσουσιν AC 69. 104. 429\*. 522. 2019. 2038 : και προσκυνησυυσιν s1 : ινα προσκυνησωσιν 025. 046. 20. 250. 2037. 2067 alpl Or<sup>s</sup> : ut adorent Tyc gig arm : ut adorarent Pr : προσκυνιν & : adorare vg | το θηριον το πρωτον ] τω θηριω τω πρωτω 172. 314\*. 452. 468. 2018. 2021. 2040 : bestiam priorem Tyc (Pr) | TOU θανατου >A | αυτου<sup>2</sup> >025. 61. 69. 632\* Pr vg |.

18.  $\pi \circ \iota \iota \iota$ ]  $\pi \circ \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$  172. 250. 2018 Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo :  $\epsilon \pi \circ \iota \epsilon$ 2016 : fecit Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 8.  $\epsilon \mid \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota a \ \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a \rceil ~ 172. 250.$ 2018 |  $\iota \iota a \ \kappa a \iota \ \pi \upsilon \rho \ A \otimes C \ o 25. 1. 172. 250. \ 632^{**}. 2018. 2020.$ 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> gig vg s<sup>2</sup> : ita ut ignem Pr :  $\kappa a \iota > 205$  Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo eth :  $\iota \iota a \ \epsilon \upsilon \ \pi \lambda a \iota \eta \ \pi \circ \iota \eta \ (\pi \circ \iota \epsilon \iota \ 2015) \ \pi \upsilon \rho \ 2015. 2036.$ 2037 :  $\kappa a \iota \ \pi \upsilon \rho \ \iota va \ 046.$ **20** $(-205. \ 632^{**}. 2020. 2040) al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>4</sup> :$  $<math>\pi \upsilon \rho \ \iota va \ 61. \ 69 \mid \pi \circ \iota \eta \ (\pi \circ \iota \epsilon \iota \ 2015) \ \pi \circ \iota \eta \ 7250. 2018 \ Pr gig vg) \ \epsilon \kappa$ του ουρανου καταβαινειν (καταβαιννιν C : καταβηναι 172. 250.</sup> καταβαίνειν εἰς τὴν γῆν ἐνώπιον τῶν ἀνθρώπων. 14. καὶ πλανậ τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς διὰ τὰ σημεῖα α ἐδόθη αὐτῷ ποιῆσαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θηρίου, λέγων τοῖς κατοικοῦσιν ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ποιῆσαι εἰκόνα τῷ θηρίῳ, δς ἔχει τὴν πληγὴν τῆς μαχαίρης καὶ ἔζησεν. 15. καὶ ἐδόθη † αὖτῷ † δοῦναι πνεῦμα τῷ εἰκόνι τοῦ θηρίου, ἴνα καὶ λαλήσῃ ἡ εἰκῶν τοῦ θηρίου, καὶ ποιήσῃ ἶνα ὅσοι ἐὰν μὴ προσκυνήσωσιν τὴν εἰκόνα τοῦ θηρίου ἀποκτανθῶσιν.

2018 : discendentem Pr) AC 172. 250. 424. 2015. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2067 Tyc Pr vg arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : de celo faceret descendere gig :  $\pi 0i\eta$  ( $\pi 0i\epsilon 0.025. 2040$ ) καταβαινειν εκ του ουρανου N 025. 1. 2038. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> s arm<sup>4</sup> bo eth : εκ του ουρανου καταβαινη (-νει 104. 314. 429. 522. 2019) 046. **20** (-205. 2020. 2040). 104. 314. 429. 522. 2019 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> : καταβαινη εκ του ουρανου 205 | εισ την γην ANC 025. 1. 205. 250. 2037. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> gig vg : επι την γην 046. **20** (-35. 205. 2040). 61. 82. 104. 110. 314. 385. 1957. 2016. 2067 s arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> bo : επι τησ γησ 69 : > 35. 2040 Pr arm<sup>4</sup> |.

14.  $\pi\lambda ara$ ]  $\pi\lambda arn \sigma \epsilon s$  bo : seduxit Pr :  $\pi\lambda arar$  arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\tau o r \sigma$ катокоинтал ANC 025. 046. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 632\*\*. 920. 2020. 2040 Or" Pr gig vg s arm bo eth : pr rovor 64000 051. 20 (- 205. 468\*\*. 620. 632\*\*. 920. (1849). 2020. 2040). 82. 110. 314. 385. 429. 2016. 2017. 2023 al | en Tyo yyo] terram Pr vg : Tyo yyo  $\begin{array}{l} arm^{1.2} \mid \delta ia \ \tau a \ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon ia \ . \ . \ \epsilon \pi i \ \tau \eta \sigma \ \gamma \eta \sigma^2 > 040^{\ast} \ s^2 \mid \tau a \ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon ia \\ \tau o \ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ov \ arm^4 \mid \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega v \ \lambda \epsilon \gamma ov \ 046^{\ast\ast}. \ i \ 61. \ 69. \ 201. \ 386. \ 620. \end{array}$ 2010 : λεγοντοσ 046.mg : et dicit Pr | ποιησαι] pr και N | εικονα] ELKOVAV A 2038 | OF AC 025. 046. 61. 172. 218. 250. 424. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2038 Pr gig : 0 N 20. 1. 2037. 2067 alpl vg s1 : wo Or | exe ANC 025. 1. 250. 2020. 2038 almu Pr gig vg s1 arm4 bo : exxe 046. 20 (- 2020). 2037. 2067 almu Or s2 Ty πληγην AC 025. 35. 205. 250. 620. 632\*\*. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or : πληγησ κ : την >046. 20 (-35. 205. 620. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 42. 61. 69. 82. 110. 141. 201. 314. 385. 429. 452. 498. 506. 517. 522. 1955. 2016. 2017. 2021 bo | τησ μαχαιρησ (pr aπo 61. 69 Pr) και εζησεν (ζησεται arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup>) ANC 025. 35. 61. 69. 250. 632\*\*. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 almu Pr gig vg s arm bo : και εξησεν απο (+τησ πληγησ 336. 620. 1918) τησ μαχαιρασ 046. 20 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040) almu Or : THO May. K. ELHOEV and T. πληγ. τ. μαχ. 205 | μαχαιρησ ARC Or : μαχαιρασ 025. 046. 20 alpl |.

15. αυτη AC 025<sup>\*</sup>. (The feminine may be due to the gender of the Hebrew word π; but the late emendation in N 025<sup>\*\*</sup>. 046 must be adopted): αυτω N 025<sup>\*\*</sup>. 046. **30**. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> | δουναι >C arm<sup>3</sup> | δουναι πνευμα AN 025. I. (35). 205. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg s: ~046. **30** (-(35).

ψτŵ

16. καὶ ποιεῖ πάντας, τοὺς μικροὺς καὶ τοὺς μεγάλους, καὶ τοὺς πλουσίους καὶ τοὺς πτωχούς, καὶ τοὺς ἐλευθέρους καὶ τοὺς δούλους, ἶνα δῶσιν αὐτοῖς χάραγμα ἐπὶ τῆς χειρὸς αὐτῶν τῆς δεξιῶς ἢ ἐπὶ τὸ

205. 2040). 250 alma Or | ινα και λαληση η εικων του θηριου >C 69. 336. 468\*. 617. 620\*. 2015. 2036 s<sup>1. (2)</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo (but not sa eth) | wa kai] ~ Pr arm<sup>2</sup> a ; kai > 104. 205. 2020. 2037. 2040 gig arm<sup>1</sup> : στι arm<sup>8</sup> | λαληση ] λαλησει 104. 522. 620\*\*. 2040 : ελαλει arm<sup>8</sup> | кан тонуст. . . вурнои >C 2015 | кан тонуст. . . а токтачθωσιν] και αποκτειναι οσοι εαν μη προσκυνησουσιν τ. θηριον και τ. errora avrov bo |  $\pi oin \sigma \eta$  (on this Hebraism (=  $\pi oi \eta \sigma ai$ ) see vol. i. Introd.; Gram. § 10. i. (b)) A 025. 046. 20 (-468. 617. 620. 2004. 2040). 1. 2037. 2067 alpl Ors Pr gig vg : ποιησει № 61. 69. 250. 468. 522. 617. 620. 2004. 2019. 2036. 2038. 2040 S : emole arm<sup>8</sup> eth | wa A 025. 104. 506. 2019. 2037. 2040 Pr gig vg s arm : > × 046. 20 (-2040). 61. 69. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2036. 2038. 2067 alp Or vga. 8 ear] ar & 1. 205. 2037. 2038 almu : >2016. 2040 | προσκυνησωσιν A 025. 046. 20. 250. 2037. 2067 alpl Or : προσκυνησουσιν x 051. 69. 104<sup>\*</sup>. 452. 2016. 2019. 2038 arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> : adoraverunt Tyc : adoraret Pr : adoraverit gig vg : adorabant arm<sup>8</sup> | την εικονα A 1. 2037 2067 alp : τη εικονι № 025. 046. 20. 250. 2038 alpm Ors | туч ескоча точ вургоч) то вургоч кас туч ескоча ачточ Tyc bo | του θηριου<sup>3</sup>] + ινα 051. 1. 35. 632\*\*. 1957. 2023 alp : + neque acceperunt inscriptionem in fronte aut in manu sua Tyc [ αποκτανθωσιν] αποκτανθηναι 61, 60 Or<sup>s</sup> : αποκτειναι bo : occidatur vg<sup>c. d. f. v</sup> [.

16.  $\pi_{0l}$   $\pi_{0l}$ ... μεγαλούσ] ~ Pr arm<sup>4</sup> | τουσ<sup>2</sup> > N | και<sup>8</sup> > s<sup>1</sup> | πλουσιούσ ... πτωχουσ]~ \$ 2036 | και τουσ πτωχουσ και τουσ ελευθερουσ]> Pr : πτωχουσ ... ελευθερουσ ~ arma | ελευθερουσ ... δουλουσ |~ 620. 1918. 2019 eth | και τουσ ελευθερουσ]>205. 2038 : και τουσ δέσποτασ s<sup>1</sup> | wa > bo | δωσιν (δωσι N°) ANC 025. 046. 35. 42. 60. 61. 69. 172. 181. 250. 314. 432. 468. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041 al Or<sup>s</sup> : δωσει 1 bo : δωση 051 arm : δωσωσιν 82. 104. 175. 205. 336. 429. 498. 522. 617. 620. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015. 2017. 2036 alpl : Surour 18. 91. 94. 141. 201. 209. 325\*\*. 337. 385. 386. 456. 632. 1934. 2016. 2067 al : δοθη s : λαβωσι 506. 2040 : habere Pr vg | aυτοισ] N° αυτω N\* : αλληλοισ gig : > 506. 2040 Pr vg | χαραγμα ANC 025. 1. 172. 205. 241. 250. 498. 522. 632\*\*. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2. 8. 4. a</sup> : χαραγματα 046. 20 (- 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040) alpm Or : TO xapayua autou 506. 2040 arm 1 | τησ χειροσ . . . τησ δεξιασ] των χειρων . . . των δεξιων s | τησ<sup>1</sup> > 20 (- 35. 205. 2040). 42. 82. 110. 201. 314. 385. 429. 498. 517. 522. 1955. 2015. 2016. 2017 al | y] kai 181. 2020.

μέτωπον αὐτῶν, 17. καὶ ἶνα μή τις δύνηται ἀγοράσαι ἡ πωλησαι εἰ μη ὁ ἔχων τὸ χάραγμα, τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θηρίου ἡ τὸν ἀριθμὸν τοῦ ὄνόματος αὐτοῦ. 18. ˁΩδε ἡ σοφία ἐστίν ὁ ἔχων νοῦν ψηφισάτω τὸν ἀριθμὸν τοῦ θηρίου, ἀριθμὸς γὰρ ἀνθρώπου ἐστίν καὶ ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτοῦ ἐξακόσιοι ἑξήκοντα ἕξ.

2038 arm<sup>2. 8. 4.</sup> bo | to μετωπον AN 025. 20 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 920. 2020). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Or<sup>5</sup> Tyc arm<sup>a</sup> (bo) eth : pr auto 2020: tou μετωπου C : των μετωπων 046. 051. 1. 35. 205. 468\*\*. 920. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 8. 4</sup> | autwv<sup>2</sup> > 2015 Pr |.

17. Kall AN° 025. 046. 20. 250. 2038. 2067 alpl Or gig vg arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> : > N\*C 314. 2015. 2017. 2036. 2037. 2041. Pr s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : sic ergo facient Tyc | μη τισ] μηδεισ 172. 250. 2018 | δυνηται ANC 18. 35. 110. 141. 172. 205. 241. 250. 385. 429. 432. 468. 632. 1849. 1955. 1957. 2004. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2040 almu Or" l'yc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> : Suvaral 025. 046. 051. 20 (-18. 35. 205. 468. 632. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2040). 1. 61. 69. 104. 201. 314. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp arm<sup>1. 2</sup>: δυνησεται arm<sup>8.4</sup> a bo : >s<sup>1</sup> | ayopaσaι . . . πωλησαι]~172.250. 2018 | η πωλησαι]> Tyc : και πωλησαι arm<sup>8</sup> · : + ετι 172. 250. 2018 s<sup>1</sup> |  $\mu\eta$  ]  $\mu\eta\tau$  C | 0 exwv ] 0 > C :  $\eta$  exwv I : ex $\eta$  arm<sup>1.2</sup> e : οι εχοντεσ Tyc | το ονομα του θηριου A 025. 046. 20 (- 2020). 1.61. 69. 104. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2041. 2067 al Ors vgs : Tou ovopatoo Tou θηριου C 2037. 2038 Pr vg<sup>c. d. f. v</sup> s<sup>1. 2</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> eth : του θηριου η (και 2019) το ονομα αυτου & 2019. 2020 bo : pr η Tyc gig arm<sup>4</sup> : + η τον αριθμον του θηριου 046 [η] και  $\Pr[\operatorname{arm}^{1.2} 8.4]$  τον αριθμον] του αριθμου eth |.

18.  $\eta$  σοφια] sapientiae Tyc |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ]  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$  Or : tr before  $\eta$ σοφια gig : + και s1 | νουν ] pr τον I. 172 : ουσ R\* 325\*. 620 : our 69 : rour 1918 : σοφιαν arm<sup>1.8</sup> τον αριθμον] το ονομα 61. 69. 2019 | ανθρωπου εστιν] ~ 205 | και ο αριθμοσ αυτου > № 8<sup>1</sup> | και AC 025. 046. 1. 35. 60. 94. 205. 432. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 4. a</sup> bo eth : >20 (-35. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 61. 69. 104. 110. 172. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 2016. 2018 alp Or Tyc | avrou] + cortiv C 025. 1. 35. 60. 94. 205. 432. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 Tyc gig vg (-c) s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> bo | efakorioi (-ai N : -a 025. 104. 336. 385. 620. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067). efnorta (+ Kal 2037 8) & AN 025. 104. 149. 336. 385. 620. 1934. 2037. 2038 2040. 2067 Pr gig vg s bo : x fo 046. 20 (-149. 620. 1934. 2040). 1. 69. 250. 314. 429. 498. 1957. 2017. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2041 almu : x 50 2015 : e fakoo ia deka ef C : arm4 Iren. v. 30. I: DCXC Tyc.

xiv. 12. <sup>6</sup>Ωδε ή ύπομονη των άγίων έστίν, οι τηρουντες τας έντολας του θεου και την πίστιν Ίησου. 13. και ήκουσα φωνής έκ του ουρανου λεγούσης Γράψον Μακάριοι οι νεκροι οι έν κυρίω άποθνήσκοντες άπ άρτι. ναι, λέγει το πνευμα, ινα άναπαήσονται έκ των κόπων αύτων, τα γαρ έργα αυτων άκολουθει μετ αυτων.

xiv. 12-13. On the restoration of these verses to their original context, see vol. i. 368-369.

**xiv. 12.** ωδε η υπομονη] ο δε υπομενων μετα bo : ηδε δε η υπομονη eth | ωδε > 69 | η > 1934 | εστιν] + ωδε 1. 35. 69. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : It before η υπομονη Pr gig | οι τηρουντεσ] των τηρουντων  $\aleph$  2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2019. 2020. 2040 | του θεου > 1. 2067 | πιστιν] + του 35. 432. 1957. 2023. 2041 | Ιησου] + Χριστου 582. 1948. 2014. 2015. 2034. 2036. 2037. 2042 arm<sup>1.  $\Re$ </sup> bo : + χαρησονται 35<sup>\*</sup> ].

+ αλλησ μεγαλησ bo | εκ του ουρανου λεγουσησ] λεγουσησ (+ μοι 2020) εκ του ουρανου & 2020. 2037 | λεγουσησ | + μοι 051. 1. 35. 205 468. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. (2020). 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Pr gig vgd arm<sup>1. 2. 8. a</sup> | урафоч >bo | ог чекрог >eth | ev Kupiw AN 046. 20. alpl Pr gig vg arm bo : +  $\eta \mu \omega v$  s<sup>1</sup>: γριστω C 025 : (τω) θεω s<sup>2</sup> | αποθνησκοντεσ] "should they rise" bo |  $a\pi a \rho \tau_i$  joined with what precedes P 35. 205. (337). 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 498. (632<sup>\*\*</sup>). 1957. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040. 2041 al s arm bo : joined with what follows 046. 20 (- 35. 175. 205. (337). 468\*\*. (632\*\*). 2004\*\*. 2040) al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg : without punctuation ANC 051. 175 | ναι, λεγει AN<sup>C</sup> 025. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040 al Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1. 8. 4. a</sup> : και λεγει 205. 2018. 2019. 2041 arm<sup>2</sup> : ~046. 20 (-632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 69. 104. 110. 314. 2023 almu : vai > 8\* 620 b0 | nveuna] + ro ayiov 2004 \*\*. 2040 arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> eth avanangovrai ANC : avanavgovrai 046. 1. 620. 2038 alp arm<sup>2. 8. 4</sup> : avaπaυσωνται 025. 20 (-620). 250. 2037. 2067 alpm : avaπaυωνται 051 : αναπαυονται arm<sup>1. a</sup> : requiescant Pr gig vg : + απ αρτι bo | εκ] απο 61. 69 | κοπων] εργων 61. 69 | των κοπων αυτων τα γαρ εργα αυτων ακολουθει] των κοπων των εργων αυτων <a> ακολουθησει bo | ta yap  $\epsilon pya$ ...  $\mu \epsilon \tau$  autwr > s<sup>1</sup> | ta yap ANC 025. 336. 506. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040 Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : 7a de 046. 20 ( - 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> eth avrow + και οδηγησει αυτουσ εισ ζωησ πηγην υδατων bo  $(^{\text{rd}})$ 

### CHAPTER XIV.

- Καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἰδοὺ τὸ ἀρνίον ἐστὸς ἐπὶ τὸ ὅρος Σιών, καὶ μετ' αὐτοῦ ἐκατὸν τεσσεράκοντα τέσσαρες χιλιάδες, ἔχουσαι τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ καὶ τὸ ὅνομα τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ γεγραμμένον ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων αὐτῶν.
- καὶ ἦκουσα φωνὴν ἐκ τοῦ οὖρανοῦ ὡς φωνὴν ὑδάτων πολλῶν καὶ ὡς φωνὴν βροντῆς μεγάλης.
  - καί ή φωνή ήν ήκουσα ώς κιθαρφδών
  - κιθαριζόντων ἐν ταῖς κιθάραις αὐτῶν, 3. καὶ ἄδουσιν ὡς ῷδην καινην
  - ένώπιον τοῦ θρόνου καὶ ἐνώπιον τῶν τεσσάρων ζώων καὶ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων

1. Kai eidor kai idou] et ecce vidi Pr : kai meta tauta eth |  $\kappa a \iota^1 > \text{gig} \mid \kappa a \iota i \delta o \upsilon > \text{gig Cyp bo (cf. eth)} \mid \tau o a \rho \upsilon o \upsilon \cdot \cdot \cdot \Sigma \iota \omega \upsilon \mid$ supra montem Syon agnum stantem gig | 70 aprior ARC 046. **20** ( $-35.205.468^{**}$ ) s arm<sup>3</sup> a bo eth :  $\tau o > 025.1.35.205.250.$ 468\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 alp arm<sup>1.94</sup> | 60700 ANC 025. 2036 : 60700 046. I. 205. 250. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040 alp : 60771K00 20 (- 205. 2004. 2020. 2040) 2067 almu : εστηκωσ 104. 172. 2004 : stans Tyc : stantem Pr gig Cyp : stabat vg |  $\epsilon \pi \iota$  to oper  $\Sigma \iota \omega v$ ]  $\epsilon \pi \iota$  oper C |  $\mu \epsilon \tau$  autou ANC 025. 35. 205. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp s<sup>1</sup> arm bo eth :  $+ a \mu i \theta \mu o \sigma$  046. **20** (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250 almu s2 | скаточ теоберакочта теобарев ANC : скаточ теобараконта теотарет 025. 250. 386. (620). 1934. 2020. 2037. 2040. 2067 alpm : εκατον τεσσαρακοντεσσαρεσ 149 : ρμδ' 046. 20 (- 149. 386. (620). 1934. 2020. 2040). I. 2038 alpm ( exovoal ] pr at 69 : exorreo gig vg : habebant Pr Cyp | autou kai to oroma > 025. 1 | το ονομα<sup>2</sup> > 104. 336. 522. 620 | αυτου<sup>3</sup> > 385 | γεγραμμενον ] pr то A 52 : суусуранског 385 : калонског I .

2.  $\phi w \eta v^{1.2} s^{3} \phi w \eta \sigma 2067 | \phi w \eta v^{1} > 920 | \epsilon \kappa \tau ov ou pavou wo <math>\phi w \eta v > 620^{*} | \phi w \eta v v \delta a \tau w \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda w \kappa \kappa u s \phi w \eta v > bo | \phi w \eta v^{2} > Tyc | \kappa u wo \phi w v \eta \rho \beta port \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \sigma > 2015. 2036 | w \sigma^{3} | aut Pr : > arm<sup>2. a</sup> | <math>\phi w \eta v^{3} > Tyc Pr | \beta port \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \sigma | \kappa v \eta \sigma \rangle$ :  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \sigma > N^{*} : ~ Pr : \beta port \eta v \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta v Tyc bo | \kappa u \eta \phi w v \eta \eta v \eta \kappa o v \sigma a ANC 046. 20. 250 al pl Pr s^{2} bo : \kappa u > s^{1} : \kappa u \phi w v \eta v (\eta \sigma 2067) \eta \kappa o v \sigma a 025. 1. 1957. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>3</sup> : et vocem quam audivi Tyc gig vg : <math>\kappa u \eta \kappa o v \sigma a w \sigma \phi w \eta v a rm^{1 a} | w \sigma^{3} ] > 1. 1957. 2038. 2067 : + \phi w \eta v arm<sup>4</sup> | \kappa l a p w \delta w \kappa k a p l o v \tau w r k a p l o v \tau w s^{1} : > Pr bo |.$ 

**3.** Kai adourin kai (> b0) adourter 743. 1075 s<sup>1.2</sup> b0 eth : et

3<sup>b. a.</sup> καὶ οὐδεὶς ἐδύνατο μαθεῖν τὴν ψδήν, εἰ μὴ ai ἐκατὸν τεσσεράκοντα τέσσαρες χιλιάδες (4)<sup>•</sup> 4<sup>°.</sup> οῦτοι oi ἀκολουθοῦντες τῷ ἀρνίῳ ὅπου ἂν ὑπάγει.

4<sup>d.</sup> οῦτοι ήγοράσθησαν ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἀπαρχὴ τῷ θεῷ (b),

(a) Text adds following interpolation : 3<sup>d</sup>. οι ηγορασμένοι άπο της γής 4<sup>sb</sup>. οδτοί είσιν οι μετά γυναικών ούκ έμολύνθησαν παρθένοι γάρ είσιν.

(b) Text adds gloss : και τῷ ἀρνίψ.

cantabant Pr gig vg arm | wor wbyv AC 1. 35\*. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> vg s<sup>1</sup> sa :  $\omega \sigma > \aleph$  025. 046. **20** (-35\*. 2040). 250. 2067 alum Pr gig s<sup>2</sup> arm bo eth : ev won (kaivn) bo | ωδην καινην] ~ 1934 | καινην] + και ην × (ην above the line) : pr kai  $arm^8$  [  $\epsilon v \omega \pi i o v \tau o v \theta \rho o v o v$ ] > 42. 498. 1918. 2020 : + του θεου gig arm<sup>1.3</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> > 42. 498. 1918. 2020 | τεσσαρων > 205 | Kai TWY  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ UTEPWY > C arm<sup>1.8</sup> | Kai<sup>8</sup>] + EVWTION K gig s1 arm<sup>2.4</sup> a | oudeior] oude eior 046. 20 (-35. 205. 620. 1934. 2020. 2040). 110. 201. 385. 429. 1955. 2016. 2017 : ουκ arm<sup>4</sup> | εδυνατο ΑΝC 20 (-386. 617. 2040) al : ηδυνατο 025. 046. 250. 386. 617. 2037. 2040. 2067 alpl : ou Suvaral 2038 (arm<sup>8. e</sup>) : ηδυναμην arm<sup>4</sup> | μαθειν] dicere Pr gig vg (-good discere) : "to know " arm<sup>a</sup> bo eth |  $\epsilon_{i} \mu_{\eta}$ ] kai s<sup>1</sup> |  $\alpha_{i} > \aleph^{c}$  104. 620. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015. 2017\* | « Каточ тестеракочта тестарес AN°(C): « Каточ теобраконта шан N\* : теобарео > C : екатон теобараконта теоσαρεσ 025. 386. (620). 1934. 2040 : εκατον μδ I : ρμδ 046. 20 (-386. 620. 1934. 2040) almu | χιλιαδεσ > Pr | οι ηγορασμενοι ano mo yno] quae empta erant de terris Pr ].

4. ουτοι . . . αν υπαγει ουτοι εισιν οι ακολουθουντεσ . . . υπαγη οι μετα γυναικών . . . γαρ εισιν 205 | ουτοι εισιν >A (205) | εμολυνθησαν] εμολυναν τα ιματια αυτων bo | εισιν<sup>2</sup>] permanserunt Pr Cyp | outol2 ANC 025. 1. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040 gig vga s s1 (arm<sup>1. 2. 3. α</sup>) : + εισιν 046. 20 (-(205). 2020. 2040). 250. 2067 Or" Tyc Pr vgd. f Cyp s1" arm4 bo | 012 > N | anolouθουντεσ] ακολουθησαντεσ 920 5 | οπου αν ΑΝC 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 314. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067  $al^{mu}$ : av > 1849 : onov ear 046. 20 (-35. 205. 1849. 2020. 2040). 61. 69. 104. 110. 201. 241. 242. 385. 429. 1955. 2016. 2017. 2024 alm Or | urrayel AC 104. 172. 336. 620. 2015. 2019. 2038 s<sup>2</sup> : vadit Pr : υπαγη N 025. 046. 21 (-620). 250. 2037. 2067 alpl Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>1</sup> : ierit Tyc (gig vg) : "shall go" arm | nyopagengar ANC 025. I. 172. 250. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alp Pr gig vg s1 (arm4) bo eth : pr uno Inorov 046. 051. 20 (- 2040). 69. 104. 110. 314. 385. 2016 alma Or<sup>a</sup> s<sup>a</sup> | απο των ανθρωπων > C | απαρχη AC 025. 046. **20** (-620). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : απ αρχησ & 336. 620. 1918 : ab exordio Pr (placed after τω  $a \rho r(\omega)$ ; >eth |  $\tau \omega a \rho r(\omega)$  pr  $\epsilon r \aleph^*$ :  $\tau o \nu a \rho r(o \nu a rm )$ 

เเรเม

324

καὶ ἐν τῷ στόματι αὐτῶν οὐχ εὑρέθη ψεῦδος·
 ἄμωμοι 「γάρ ἐστιν<sup>1</sup>.

6. Καὶ είδον ἄλλον ἄγγελον πετόμενον ἐν μεσουρανήματι, «αθημένου έχοντα εὐαγγέλιον αἰώνιον εὐαγγελίσαι ἐπὶ τοὺς <sup>Γ</sup>κατοικοῦντας<sup>1</sup> ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς καὶ ἐπὶ πῶν ἔθνος καὶ φυλὴν καὶ γλῶσσαν καὶ λαόν, λέγων ἐν φωνῇ μεγάλῃ

Φοβήθητε τον θεον καὶ δότε αὐτῷ δόξαν,
 δτι Ϋλθεν ἡ ὦρα τῆς κρίσεως αὐτοῦ,
 καὶ προσκυνήσατε τῷ ποιήσαντι τον οὐρανδν
 καὶ τὴν γῆν καὶ θάλασσαν καὶ πηγὰς ὑδάτων.

5. Kai] ori S<sup>1</sup>: > Pr | ev tw στοματι αυτων ουχ ευρεθη AMC 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al Or<sup>4</sup> Tyc gig vg s : in quorum ore non est Pr : oux ευρεθη ev τω στοματι αυτων 046. **20** (-35. 205. 2040). 69. 82. 104. 110. 250. 314. 385. 429. 2016. 2017. 2018 al (bo) eth | ev τω στοματι] ev τοισ στομασι arm<sup>a</sup> bo | ψευδοσ] δολοσ 1. 2037 al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> | αμωμιοι γαρ εισιν N 046. **20** (-35). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Or<sup>a</sup> vg<sup>a\*\*</sup>. c<sup>d</sup> s arm<sup>(1.2</sup> 3). 4 bo sa eth : ori αμωμιοι εισιν 051. 35 : γαρ > AC 025. 181 Tyc gig vg<sup>f. g. h. v</sup> : et inventi sunt sine reprehensione Pr | αμωμιοι αμωμητοι 104 | εισιν] + ουτοι εισιν οι ακολουθουντεσ τω αρνιω 218. 242. 250. 617. 1934 : + "before God" arm<sup>2</sup>].

6. αλλον αγγελον ΑΝ<sup>c. c</sup> 025. 35. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg Cyp s arm bo eth : ~ 218. 250 2018 ; allow > ×\* 046. 20 (-35. 2040). 2038. 2067 alpm sa : ayyedov > Tyc πετομενον AC 051. 20 (-617. 919). 69. 250. 385. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : πετωμενον 025. 046. 1. 617. 919 al :  $\pi \epsilon \tau a \mu \epsilon v o v \aleph | \epsilon v > 35 | \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a v \eta \mu a \tau l \aleph^{\circ} : \mu \epsilon \sigma w o v \rho a v \eta \mu a \tau l$  $\kappa^*$ : μεσουρανισματι Ι : ουρανω αιματι  $s^2 | \epsilon \chi$ οντα] + επ αυτω  $s^1 |$ ευαγγελισαι AC 025. 046. 20. 2038. 2067 alpl : ευαγγελισασθαι (N) 60. 218. 250 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 : Dr EDXOMENON 2015. 2036. 2037 : EVAYYELLEONTA DO | ETTI ANC 025. 218. 250. 2018 s1 : >046. 20. 498. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl | TOUT катоколитат А 051. 35\*. 61. 69. 2015. 2036. 2037 alp s<sup>2</sup> Tyc : τουσ καθημενουσ «C 025. 046. 20 (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 2020). 250. 2067 alpm s<sup>1</sup> Pr gig vg : τουσ καθημενουσ (+ και 2019) τουσ κατοικουντασ 1. 205. 2019. 2038 : TOIO Kabyuevoio 498. 2020 : > Cyp arm 1. 2. 8. 4 eπι τησ γησ > arm<sup>1. 2. e</sup> | και eπι παν . . . λαον > Tyc | eπι<sup>2</sup> > 1. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 | φυλην και γλωσσαν και λαον] лаоно к. филао к. улиосан s1 : тасан фил. к. танта лаон к. πασαν γλωσσαν bo |.

7.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$  >  $\lambda$  : tr after  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$  104. 620 :  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau a$  051. 1. 35 Pr Cyp |  $\epsilon \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$ ]  $\epsilon \nu > A$  : magna voce vg : > Tyc |

- Καὶ ἄλλος δεύτερος ἄγγελος ἡκολούθησεν λέγων
   <sup>8</sup> Επεσεν ἔπεσεν Βαβυλών ἡ μεγάλη,
   <sup>4</sup> ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου [τοῦ θυμοῦ] τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς πεπότικεν
  - η εκ του οίνου [του συμου] της πορνείας αυτης πεποτικου πάντα τὰ έθνη.
- 9. Καὶ ἄλλος ἄγγελος τρίτος ἡκολούθησεν αὐτοῖς λέγων ἐν φωνῆ μεγάλη Εἴ τις προσκυνεῖ τὸ θηρίον καὶ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ, καὶ λαμβάνει 'τὸ χάραγμα' ἐπὶ † τοῦ μετώπου † αὐτοῦ ἡ ἐπὶ χάραγμο τὴν χεῖρ ι αὖτοῦ,

φοβηθητε] metuite potius Pr Cyp | τον θεον ANC 025. I. 35. 205. 250. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr vg Cyp s arm<sup>1.2</sup> <sup>4.</sup> <sup>a</sup> bo : τον κυριον 046. **90** (-35. 205. 2040) al<sup>pm</sup> Tyc gig arm<sup>8</sup> | αυτω δοξαν] ~ 2015. 2020 | αυτου > 1. 104 | τω ποιησαντι ANC 025. I. 35. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2038 2040. 2041. 2067 : pr αυτω 94. 104. 336. 620. 1918. 2020 : αυτον ποιησαντα 046<sup>\*</sup>: αυτον τον ποιησαντα 046<sup>\*\*</sup>. **90** (-35. 205. 468. 620. 2020. 2040). 2037 al<sup>mu</sup> : αυτω τον ποιησαντα 468 : deum qui fecit gig arm<sup>8</sup> | και θαλασσαν AC 025. 314. 2040 : και θαλασσασ I : και την θαλασσαν N 046. 051. **91** (-2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> bo : και > 2019 Pr vg<sup>d. f</sup> Cyp arm<sup>1.2</sup> | πηγασ υδατων] τασ πηγασ των υδατων 61. 69 : τα υδατα bo : omnia quae in eis sunt Cyp arm<sup>1.8°</sup> |.

8. αλλοσ δευτεροσ αγγελοσ A 046. 20 (-18. 35. 205. 2040). 1. 250. 2037 almu Pr arm<sup>1. 2. 3. 4</sup> bo : δευτεροσ > 69 Tyc vg eth : αγγελοσ > \* 2040 s<sup>1</sup> : αλλοσ αγγελοσ δευτερον C : αλλοσ αγγελοσ Sevrepor № 025. 18. 35. 60. 94. 104. 141. 205. 209. 314. 432. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. (2038). 2041. 2067 s<sup>2</sup> aim<sup>a</sup> : αλλοσ > 2019 gig [ ηκολουθησεν] ηλθεν 205 : ηκολουθει s<sup>1</sup> : + αυτοισ 468\*. 620: + aure Prs arm<sup>19.</sup> bo eth |  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma} \omega \nu \ldots \eta \kappa \delta \lambda_{\sigma} \partial \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$  (ver. 9) > \*\* (suppl. \*°) 325. 456 | λεγων] + εν φωνη μεγαλη 205. 620\*\* | enerev enerev A 025. 1. 35. 241. 432. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2. e</sup> : " is fallen, is lost " arm<sup>4</sup> : επεσεν N° C 046. 20 (-35. 325. 456 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040). 250. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> bo eth : επεσεν επεσεν επεσεν arm<sup>1</sup> η εκ του AC 218. 250. 424. 506. 2018. 2020. 2039. 2040 Tyc vg s: η > N° 025. 046. 20 (-325. 456. 2020. 2040) alma Pr gig arm<sup>4</sup> bo : στι εκ του Ι. 2019. 2037. 2067 | του θυμου τησ πορνειασ] τησ πορνειασ του θυμου 920 | του θυμου > 1. 2037. 2041 | τησ πορνειασ] τησ πορνιασ  $\aleph^{\circ}C$  046 : >arm<sup>4</sup> | αυτησ] ταυτησ 046. 82. 104. 175. 337. 385. 617. 620. 919. 920. 1849 1934 alp | menoriker A 025. 046 min<sup>fere omn</sup> Tyc vg eth : πεπτωκαν N<sup>c</sup> 181 (-κεν). Pr arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa : πεποιηκεν 919 : biberunt gig |.

**Θ.** και > 386 | αλλοσ αγγελοσ τριτοσ AC 025. 046. **30** (-325. 456. 1849). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pm</sup> gig vg s arm<sup>8. a</sup> : αγγελοσ

- 10. καὶ αὐτὸς πίεται ἐκ τοῦ οἶνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ κεκερασμένου ἀκράτου ἐν τῷ ποτηρίῳ τῆς ὀργῆς αὐτοῦ, καὶ βασανισθήσεται ἐν πυρὶ καὶ θείῳ ἐνώπιον τῶν ἀγγέλων καὶ ἐνώπιον τοῦ ἀρνίου.
- 11. Καὶ ὁ καπνὸς τοῦ βασανισμοῦ αὐτῶν εἰς aἰῶνaς aἰώνων ἀναβαίνει, καὶ οὐκ ἔχουσιν ἀνάπαυσιν ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτός, οἱ προσκυνοῦντες τὸ θηρίον καὶ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ, καὶ εἶ τις λαμβάνει τὸ χάραγμα τοῦ ὀνόματος αὐτοῦ. (α)

(a) Vers. 12-13 have been restored to their original context after xiii. 18.

allos tritos 1849 : allos aggelos yeolos yeolos tritos  $\aleph^{c}$  : allos tritos aggelos arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> bo : tertius angelus Pr eth : tritos > 1. 61. 69 : aggelos arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> bo : tertius angelus Pr eth : tritos > 1. 61. 69 : aggelos 181 | autois] auto A Pr arm<sup>1.2.8</sup> | ev  $\phi$ wun  $\mu$ egaln] ev > 617 : voce magna Pr gig vg : > bo |  $\pi$ poskuvei]  $\pi$ poskuvnosti 2020 arm<sup>2</sup> bo : adoraverit vg : "hath worshipped" arm<sup>8. a</sup> | to  $\theta$ npiov] to  $\theta$ npiov before  $\pi$ poskuvei I | triv eikova] tri eikovi 104. 468. 620. 1918. 2040 | autois] autov C | kai<sup>8</sup> > C 69 |  $\lambda$ außavei]  $\lambda$ nψetai arm<sup>2</sup> bo : "hath received" arm<sup>1.8. a</sup> | to  $\chi$ apay $\mu$ a 250. 432. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2040 arm<sup>1 2.8. a</sup> s<sup>1.2</sup>("):  $\chi$ apay $\mu$ a AN 025. 046 min<sup>91</sup>. to is here necessary. Its absence is due either to a slip of the author or to a primitive corruption. |  $\chi$ apay $\mu$ a] nomen Pr : + autois s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>(1 2 8. a)</sup> | tov  $\mu$ etamou] tw  $\mu$ etamon N |  $\eta$  etti triv Xeipa autov > s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> | autov<sup>8</sup> > 61. 69 ].

10. και<sup>1</sup> > bo eth | οινον | ποτηριου arm<sup>1.2.8.α</sup> | του θεου ] του κυριου s<sup>1</sup>: αυτου 61. 69: > arm<sup>1</sup> | ακρατου > Pr Cyp | εν τω ποτηριω] εκ του ποτηριου Α 104. 336. 620. 1918 | τησ οργησ ] την οργην Α | αυτου > Pr arm<sup>1.2.α</sup> | βασανισθησεται ] βασανισθησονται Α 61. 69. 110. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2019. 2040 b0 | των αγγελων Α 506 b0: τ. αγγ. αυτου eth: αγγελων αγιων №° 025. 35. 61. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040 gig vg s sa: αγγελων και αγιων 2038: αγιων αγγελων 69 (+ αγιων 2019): των αγιων αγγελων 046. 20 (- 35. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr Cyp arm<sup>(1.2.8).4</sup>: "God" arm<sup>a</sup> | αρνιου] θρονου s<sup>2</sup> ].

11. του βασανισμου] tormentorum vg arm<sup>8.4</sup>: de tormentis Pr Cyp: >arm<sup>1.2 α</sup> | αυτων] αυτου 104. 205. 336. 452. 1918. 2021. 2023 arm<sup>2.α</sup> | εισ αιωνασ . . . αναβαινει > 620 | εισ αιωνασ (+των N) αιωνων AN 046. 20 (-205 468<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1934. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>). 250. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig vg Cyp s arm : εισ αιωνα αιωνοσ C 205. 2015. 2036 : εισ αιωνα αιωνων 025. 051. 1. 61. 69. 104. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1849. 1934. 2037. 2067 : εισ αιωνων 2004<sup>\*\*</sup> : "for ever" bo | αναβαινει] ascendet gig vg<sup>o d. ε.</sup> Cyp arm bo : tr before εισ αιωνασ των αιωνων Pr arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa (eth) | εχουσιν] habebunt Pr Cyp] αναπαυσιν] tr after νυκτοσ 35<sup>\*</sup>. 2020 | το θηριον και την εικονα] XIV. 14.]

14. Καὶ εἶδον, καὶ ἰδοὺ νεφέλη λευκή, καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν νεφέλην † καθήμενον δμοιον † υἰὸν ἀνθρώπου, ἔχων ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν αὐτοῦ στέφανον χρυσοῦν καὶ ἐν τỹ χειρὶ αὐτοῦ δρέπανον ὀξύ. (α)

(a) Text adds here a doublet of xiv. 18-20 from another hand. See vol. ii. 3, 18 (ad fin.), 21 sq. : 15 Kal άλλος άγγελος έξηλθεν έκ τοῦ ναοῦ, κράζων έν φωνη μεγάλη τῷ καθημένψ ἐπὶ τῆς νεφέλης

Πέμψον το δρέπανόν σου και θέρισον, ότι ήλθεν ή ώρα θερίσαι, ότι έξηράνθη ό θερισμός τής γής.

16. και έβαλεν ό καθήμενος έπι της νεφέλης το δρέπανον αύτου έπι την γην,

τω θηριω και τη εικονι 468. 2019. 2040 : τη εικονη 104 | το<sup>2</sup> > 1. 205. 2037\* |.

14. Kai Elder >  $\aleph$  s<sup>1</sup> eth | Kai idov > bo eth | refedy lever nubem albam Tyc Pr : nubem candidam vg bo | em Tyv νεφελην καθημενον] supersedentem Tyc | την νεφελην] τη νεφελη 2004 \*\* | Kabymeror omoior ] Kabymeros omoios I. 104. 205. 620. 632. 1957. 2023. 2037. 2067 al | opoior > Tyc eth | vior Ar 046. 42. 61. 69. 82. 110. 201. 218. 325. 337. 386. 429. 452. 456. 517. 522. 919. 920. 2016. 2017. 2021. 2024. 2036 Tyc: υιων 2015 : υιοσ Ι : υιου 025. 506 : υιω C 051. 20 (-325. 337. 386. 456. 919. 920). 104. 250. 314. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup>: τω νιω s<sup>2</sup> | ανθρωπου] pr του s<sup>2</sup>: ανθρωπω 620. 2020 | εχων A 025. 046. 20 (-325. 456. 468. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). I. 314. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc: pr o 2041: εχον N°C : εχοντα N\* 42. 325. 385. 452\*\*. 456. 468. 506. 517. 2004\*\*. 2015. 2036. 2040 Pr vg : εχοντι 2020 gig | επι την κεφαλην Α 18. 110. 141. 201. 385. 386. 429. 522. 632. 919. 1849. 1955. 2015. 2020. 2036 : επι τησ κεφαλησ NC 025. 046. 20 (- 18. 386. 632. 919. 1849. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 almu : in capite Tyc gig vg : super caput  $\Pr | \epsilon v \tau \eta \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota ] \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta v \chi \epsilon \iota \rho a s^1 | a v \tau o v^2 ] + habens Tyc : +$ "he had " arm<sup>1. 2. 3. α</sup> | οξυ] λευκον s<sup>1</sup> |.

15. allow ayyelog ~ 2016. 2020 | ex tou vaou] tr. after  $\kappa \rho a \langle \omega \nu A \rangle > \Pr | \nu aou ] + autou \otimes : ouparou 051. I. 35. 104. 181.$ 205. 336. 632\*\*. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> $arm<sup>2 4</sup> a | ev <math>\phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta ] + \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$  bo eth :  $(arm<sup>1. 2.a</sup>) : \epsilon \nu$   $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \tau \eta \phi \omega \nu \eta I \rangle > \Pr | \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \sigma \nu . . . \tau \eta \sigma \gamma \eta \sigma > s<sup>(3)</sup> | kat$  $<math>\theta \epsilon \rho u \sigma \nu > arm<sup>2</sup> | \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu ] + \sigma \sigma u 051. I. 35*. 181. 2019. 2037. 2038$  $: + \sigma o I 04*. 620 al<sup>p</sup> | <math>\theta \epsilon \rho u \sigma a A C 025. 046. 20 (-18. 468**.$ 632. 919. 1849. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067al<sup>man</sup> : pr tou 18. 385. 468\*\*. 632. 919. 1849. 1955. 1957. $2004**. 2023. 2040. al<sup>p</sup> : tou <math>\theta \epsilon \rho u \sigma u \otimes 202$  : "of (the) reaping of the earth " bo | oru  $\epsilon \epsilon \eta \rho a \nu \theta \eta \dots \otimes 2^{1. (2)}$  bo |  $\epsilon \epsilon \eta \rho a \langle \theta \eta \rangle$  "is arrived"  $a rm^{1.2.8.a}$ .

16. ver. 16 > arm<sup>a</sup> | ο καθημένοσ... νεφελησ > s<sup>2</sup> | επι τησ νεφ... aυτου > 1 | τησ νεφελησ ΑΝ 241. 336<sup>\*</sup>. 498. 2019.

# 18. Καὶ ἄλλος ἄγγελος ἐξῆλθεν ἐκ τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου (a), καὶ ἐφώνησεν φωνῆ μεγάλῃ τῷ ἔχοντι τὸ δρέπανον τὸ ὀξὺ λέγων

Πέμψον σου τὸ δρέπανον τὸ ὀξὺ καὶ τρύγησον τοὺς βότρυας τῆς ἀμπέλου τῆς γῆς, ὅτι ἦκμασαν αἱ σταφυλαὶ αὐτῆς.

19. καὶ ἔβαλεν (b) τὸ δρέπανον αὐτοῦ εἰς τὴν γῆν, καὶ ἐτρύγησεν τὴν ἄμπελον τῆς γῆς, καὶ ἔβαλεν εἰς τὴν ληνὸν τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τὸν μέγαν.

καλ έθερίσθη ή γη. 17. Καλ άλλος άγγελος έξηλθεν έκ τοῦ ναοῦ τοῦ έν τῷ ούρανῷ, ξχων καλ αὐτὸς δρέπανον όξύ.

(a) Text adds a gloss : ο έχων έξουσίαν έπι τοῦ πυρόs.

(b)  $\delta d\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$  is here added by the interpolator of 15-17.

**2020.** 2037. 2038. 2067 :  $\tau\eta\nu \nu\epsilon\phi\epsilon\lambda\eta\nu C$  025. 35. 175. 205. 250. 468. 617. 620. 1934. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040 al<sup>pm</sup> :  $\tau\eta \nu\epsilon\phi\epsilon\lambda\eta$  046 20 ( - 35. 175. 205. 468. 617. 620. 1934. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040). 42. 61. 69. 104. 110. 201. 1955 | kai εθερισθη η γη] kai εθερισεν την γην vg<sup>f. v</sup> : demessus est terram fl : > bo |.

17. ver. 17 >69. 2039 | εξηλθεν] ηλθεν 046 | ναου... ουρανω] ουρανου b0 | του εν τω ουρανω] του >104. 141. 620. 1849 : τω >C : pr του θεου arm<sup>4</sup> : αυτου εν τω ουρανω 205 | και αυτοσ > b0 sa | δρεπανον οξυ] ρομφαιαν οξειαν b0 (also in ver. 18) |.

18. εξηλθεν > A 2038 Pr : tr after θυσιαστηριου 35 | εκ του  $\theta v\sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o v] > Pr$ : de ara dei fl | o exw AC's gig vg (arm) eth :  $o > \aleph \ o25$ . 046. 20 alomn fl bo  $|\epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu| + \epsilon \nu 20$  (-35. 205. 325. 337. 456. 468. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 69. 104. 110. 250. 314  $al^{p}$  |  $\epsilon\phi\omega\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ ]  $\epsilon\kappa\rhoa\xi\epsilon\nu$  s |  $\phi\omega\nu\eta$  AN 046. 337. 920. 2004\*\*. 2016. 2020. 2040 fl gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 3. a</sup> eth :  $\kappa\rhoa\nu\eta\eta$  C 025. **20** (-337. 920. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> s<sup>2</sup> bo : "tongue" arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$  ]  $\aleph^c$  :  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \aleph^{\ddagger}$  : >s<sup>1</sup> |  $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \sigma \dots \sigma \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \iota$  > arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> : "come thou" arm<sup>8</sup> |  $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \sigma \nu$ ] + συ s1 | σου το δρεπανον] + σου 385 : το δρεπανον σου 🛪 | βοτρυασ] βοτανασ 201. 386 : βοτρυσ 2015. 2036. 2038 | τησ αμπελου] vinearum fl : > 1 arm<sup>1</sup> | ori ηκμασαν... αυτησ > bo | ηκμασαν (ηχ-Α: 17- 620) αι σταφυλαι ΑΝС 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al gig vg (fl) s<sup>(1), 2</sup> : ηκμασεν η σταφυλη 046. 20 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 620. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 250 almu arm<sup>1. 2. 4. a</sup> avrno ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 almu gig vg fl s1 arm1. 2. 4 eth : ev avry arma : 170 yno 046. 20 (- 35. 205. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 104. 250 al<sup>mu</sup> s<sup>2</sup> .

**19.**  $\epsilon\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu$  **A**\*C 025. 046. 35. 205. 620. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040 : misit gig vg fl :  $\epsilon\xi\epsilon\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu$  **20** (-35. 205. 620. 632\*\*. 2004\*\*. 2020. 2040). 82. 104. 110. 172. 250. 385 al<sup>p</sup> |  $\epsilon\nu\epsilon$   $\tau\eta\nu$   $\gamma\eta\nu$  AC

# 20. καὶ ἐπατήθη ἡ ληνὸς ἔξωθεν τῆς πόλεως, καὶ ἐξῆλθεν αἶμα ἐκ τῆς ληνοῦ ἄχρι τῶν χαλινῶν τῶν ἶππων ἀπὸ σταδίων χιλίων ἑξακοσίων.

025. 046. **20** (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $a^{|p|}$  gig vg fl s<sup>2</sup> bo : eri tyo yyo N 498. 2020 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.8.4.a</sup> | eio tyv  $\lambda\eta vov$  . . . tov µeyav A(C) 025. 046. **20** (-205. 386. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040). 61. 69. 110. 141. 242. 314. 385. 452. 2016. 2017. 2021. 2022. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2024. 2039. 2041  $a^{|p|}$  s<sup>2</sup> : tov µeyav >181. 424 : eio tov  $\lambda\eta vov$  . . tov µeyav 1. 201. 386. 498. 522. 1957. 2038 al : eio tyv  $\lambda\eta vov$  . . . tyv µeya $\lambda\eta v \otimes 104. 205. 250. 620.$ 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> : in torculari (-ar fl) . . magnum Tyc Pr fl : in lacum . . . magnum (-am gig) gig vg |  $\lambda\eta vor$ ] a $\lambda\omega var C$  : + tov ourou 2020 | tov  $\theta u\mu ov$ ] tor  $\theta u\mu or 386 :>337. 620. 2004<sup>**</sup> arm<sup>1.2.8.4</sup> |.$ 

**20.**  $emar\eta\theta\eta$ ]  $emar\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$  (- $a\nu$  eth)  $arm^{1.2}$  bo eth :  $er\iota\theta\eta$  I |  $\eta$  $\lambda\eta\nu\sigma\sigma$ ]  $o \lambda\eta\nu\sigma\sigma$  205. 336. 498. 522. 1957. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2019. 2020 :  $\tau\eta\nu \lambda\eta\nu\sigma\nu$  bo : in torcolari Pr fl  $arm^{1.2.8}$  |  $e\xi\omega\theta\epsilon\nu$  AC 025. 046. **20** (-35. 2050. 250  $al^{pm}$  :  $e\xi\omega \aleph$  I. 35. 205. 2015. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 : extra Tyc Pr vg fl : a foris extra gig  $|\tau\eta\sigma^2|$   $\tau0\nu$  452. 522 |  $a\pi\sigma$   $\sigma\taua\delta\iota\omega\nu$ ]  $e\pi\iota$   $\sigma\taua\delta\iota\omega\nu$  s<sup>1</sup> : per stadia Tyc vg : per stadios Pr (fl) |  $\chi\iota\lambda\iota\omega\nu$   $e\xia\kappa\sigma\iota\omega\nu$  AN°C 025. 35. 386. (620). 632. 1934. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040 Pr fl gig vg bo sa :  $\chi\iota\lambda\iota\omega\nu$  $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\iota\sigma\iota\omega\nu \aleph^*$  506. 680 :  $a\chi'$  **20** (-35. 386. 620. 632. 1934. 2004<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040). 61. 69. 110. 314. 498. 2015 al :  $\chi\iota\lambda$ .  $e\xia\kappa$ .  $e\xi$ . 2037 :  $a\chi\varsigma'$  2036 :  $\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha$   $\kappa\alpha\iota$   $e\xi$  eth : mille quingentis gig |.

### CHAPTER XV.

2. (a) Καὶ εἶδον ὡς θάλασσαν ὑαλίνην μεμιγμένην πυρί, καὶ τοὺς νικῶντας ἐκ τοῦ θηρίου καὶ ἐκ τῆς εἰκόνος αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐκ τοῦ ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ ὀνόματος αὐτοῦ ἐστῶτας ἐπὶ τὴν θάλασσαν τὴν ὑαλίνην, ἔχοντας

(a) xv. I. is an interpolation: Kal eldor  $d\lambda\lambda o \ \sigma\eta\mu elov \ er \ \tau \psi \ over a \psi \ \mu era \ kal \ eldor \ dav \mu a \sigma \tau \psi, \ dav \ for \ era \ that \ for \ era \ show \ for \ era \ era \ show \ for \ era \ era \ show \ for \ era \ era$ 

**1.** Comparison of the second states and the second states for the second states for the second states for the second states and th

**2.** υαλινην] vitreum perlucidum Tyc | και τουσ νικ . . . εστωτασ επι την θαλασσαν] et super mare stantes uidi eos qui . . . uictoriam ferent fl : et superstantes uidi eos qui . . . uictoriam ferent Pr κιθάρας τοῦ θεοῦ, 3. καὶ ἄδουσιν (a) τὴν ῷδὴν τοῦ ἀρνίου λέγοντες

Μεγάλα καὶ θαυμαστὰ τὰ ἔργα σου, κύριε, ὁ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ δίκαιαι καὶ ἀληθιναὶ αἱ ὁδοί σου, ὁ βασιλεὺς τῶν Γέθνῶν].

τίς οὐ μη φοβηθη, κύριε,
 καὶ δοξάσει τὸ ὄνομά σου,
 ὅτι μόνος ὅσιος;

δτι πάντα τὰ ἔθνη ήξουσιν καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν ἐνώπιόν σου, δτι τὰ δικαιώματά σου ἐφανερώθησαν.

(a) Text adds a gloss: The work Mourtes Tou doutou Tou deou ral.

νικωντασ] νικουντασ C | εκ του θηρ. και εκ τησ εικονοσ αυτου AC 025. 18. 35. 205. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s arm<sup>1.2</sup> « : εκ τ. θ. και τησ εικ. αυτου N 104. 336. 620. 1918. 2020 Pr fl : εκ της εικ. και εκ (>522) του θηρ. αυτου (>2040) 046. 20 (-18. 35. 205. 620. 632\*\*. 2020). 61. 69. 522 al : εκ του θηρ. αυτου 632\*\* : bestiam et imaginem illius gig vg bo sa eth : bestiae Tyc | και εκ του αριθμου ANC 025. 046. 20 (-35. 205. 468. 620) al s arm<sup>1.2.(4)</sup> « : et numerum gig vg bo : και εκ του χαραγματοσ αυτου και (>2018. 2019. 2036) εκ του αριθμου 051. 1 35. 205. 468. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 | και εκ τ. αριθ. . . . αυτου >620 Tyc Pr fl | εστωτασ > Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> | τ. υαλιτην >fl Pr eth | κιθαρασ ANC 025. 35. 632\*\*. 920. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> bo sa : τασ κιθαρασ 046. 20 (-35. 632\*\*. 920. 2040.) 82. 104. 110. 172. 201. 250. 385. 498. 2018. 2022 al arm<sup>2.4.a</sup> | του θεου] pr κυριου N : >fl |.

8. και αδ. . . . του θεου > C | και<sup>1</sup> > bo | αδουσυν] αδοντασ × 743. 1075. 2067 Tyc Pr fl vg s<sup>1. 2</sup> bo | την<sup>1</sup> > 920 | Μωυσεωσ A× 046. I al<sup>p1</sup>: Μωσεωσ 025 al<sup>m</sup> | του δουλου A× 025. I. 35. 205. 250. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al : δουλου 046. **20** (-35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020). al<sup>p1</sup> | μεγαλα και θαυμαστα τα εργα σου] magna et mirabilia operati sunt Tyc | ο θεοσ ο > 620 | δικαια . . . αι οδοι σου] δικαια και αληθινα τα εργα σου s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.4</sup> | ο βασιλευσ] βασιλευσ ×<sup>6</sup> 429. 632 : βασιλευ ×<sup>\*</sup> 18. 94. 241. 385. 522. 919. 1849. 2004. 2039 : pr tu es fl | των εθνων A×<sup>6</sup> 025. 046. 051. **20** (-2040). 1. 69. 104. 110. 250. 314. 2037. 2038. 2067 al gig Cyp arm<sup>4</sup> bo : omnium gentium Pr fl arm<sup>1</sup> eth : των αιωνων ×<sup>\*</sup>C 94. 2040 Tyc vg s : "of aeons and king of all Gentules" arm<sup>2. α</sup>].

4. τισ] pr και 2019 arm<sup>a</sup> | ου μη] σε ου Ν 2040 | φοβηθη ΑΝC 025. 046. 1. 61. 69. 181. 205. 241. 632\*\*. 2019. 2022. 2040.

aldara

5. Καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα εἶδον, καὶ ἡνοίγη ὁ ναὸς † τῆς σκηνῆς τοῦ μαρτυρίου † (α) ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ, 6. καὶ ἐξῆλθαν † οἱ ἐπτὰ ἦγγελοι † (δ) [οἱ ἔχοντες τὰς ἐπτὰ πληγὰς] ἐκ τοῦ ναοῦ, ἐνδεδυμένοι † λίθον † (c) καθαρὸν λαμπρὸν καὶ περιεζωσμένοι περὶ τὰ στήθη ζώνας χρυσᾶς.

(a) For the probable origin of this corrupt phrase, see vol. ii. 37 sq.

(b) Here the hand that inserted xv. I changed άγγελοι έπτά into oi έπτὰ άγγ. and added oi έχοντες τὰς ἐπτὰ πληγάς.

(c) See vol. ii. 38.

2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr fl gig arm b0 :  $+\sigma\epsilon$  051. 20 (-205. 632\*\*. 2040). 104. 110. 250. 314. 385 alpl vg s eth |  $\kappa v \rho \iota \epsilon > 61. 69$ Pr gig arm eth |  $\delta o \xi a \sigma \epsilon \iota$  AC 025. 046. 18. 175. 325. 456. 617. 632. 920. 1934 al :  $\tau \iota s$  ov  $\delta o \xi a \sigma \epsilon \iota$  2040 :  $\delta o \xi a \sigma \eta \aleph I$ . 35. 104. 110. 250. 337. 385. 386. 468. 919. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl :  $\delta o \xi a \sigma \sigma \iota$  205. 620 |  $\mu o v \sigma \sigma$ ] pr o 35 : pr  $\sigma v \epsilon \iota 468 s^1$  : tu solus Pr gig arm |  $\sigma \sigma \iota \sigma \Lambda \aleph C$  025. 1. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038 al s<sup>1</sup> : pius Pr. vg<sup>st. c. g</sup> :  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \delta 32^{**}$ . 2020:  $\sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota 2019$  al : pius es vg<sup>st. d f. v</sup> : av to 046. 20 (-205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040). 104. 110. 250. 314 alpl : av to 046. 20 (-205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040). 104. 110. 250. 314 alpl : av to 0 et a 600 fm 200 fm 2 s and 1 s es gig arm <sup>4</sup> : sanctus et pius es (es et dignus arm<sup>1 2. e</sup>) fl arm<sup>1. 2. e</sup> :  $\sigma \sigma \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota a \delta \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \sigma s^2$  |  $\pi a \nu \tau a \tau e \theta v \eta \Lambda \aleph C$  025. 1. 35. 205 386. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Pr fl gig vg s arm b0 :  $\pi a \nu \tau a 2040 : \pi a \nu \tau \sigma 046.$  20 (-35. 2020. 2040). 69. 104. 250. 314. 385. 2022 alpl |  $\epsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma ] + \kappa \nu \rho \epsilon \Lambda 205. 2040 arm<sup>a</sup> : <math>\tau \sigma \sigma \nu \rho \mu a \sigma \sigma \sigma v b 0$  |  $\tau a \delta \iota \kappa$ .  $\sigma \sigma v \in \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma a v$ ]  $\delta \iota \kappa \cdot \epsilon \nu \omega \pi \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma v \epsilon \epsilon a \cdot (\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \sigma v) = \kappa \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma v)$  bo |  $\tau a \delta \iota \kappa$ .  $\sigma \sigma v \in \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma a v$ ]

**5.**  $\kappa \alpha \iota^1 > \Pr fl \mid \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \sigma r \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha C \mid \kappa \alpha \iota^3] + \iota \delta o \nu Tyc$  $<math>\Pr vg arm^4 : \iota \delta o \upsilon b o \mid \eta \nu o \iota \gamma \eta > arm^4 \mid o \nu \alpha o \sigma \sigma r + \tau o \upsilon \theta \epsilon o \upsilon \delta 2 o : > Tyc \mid \tau \eta s \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \sigma r \sigma \sigma r \eta \sigma \sigma r \sigma \sigma r \eta \sigma Tyc \mid \epsilon \nu \tau \omega o \upsilon \rho. ] pr o s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> eth |.$ 

6.  $\epsilon_{\xi\eta}\lambda \theta ov A^{**} \otimes 0.25. 0.46 \text{ al omn}^{*i} : \epsilon_{\xi\eta}\lambda \theta av C : \epsilon_{\xi\eta}\lambda \theta ev A^{**i}$ | ot  $\epsilon_{\pi\tau a} a_{\gamma\gamma}\epsilon\lambda ot$ ] ot  $a_{\gamma\gamma}\epsilon\lambda ot$  ot  $\epsilon_{\pi\tau a} 325. 456. 468$  | ot  $\epsilon_{\chi}ov \tau e\sigma$ AC 20. 250. 2037 al s (arm) b0 : ot >  $\aleph$  025. 046. 1. 242. 2036. 2038. 2067 al : habentes gig fl vg : cum (vii plagis) Pr |  $\epsilon_{\kappa}$ rov vaou ANC 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 241. 385. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2015. 2019. 2022. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al gig fl vg s : tr before  $\alpha^2$  201. 386 s<sup>1</sup> (b0) :  $\epsilon_{\kappa}$  rov ovpavov 60. 1957. 2023. 2041 : > 046. 20 (-35. 205. 386. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040). 250 al Pr arm<sup>1.2</sup> |  $\epsilon v \delta \epsilon \delta u \epsilon v C 0.25. 1. 35^*. 2040$  al Tyc Pr gig fl vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> b0 : pr ot  $\eta \sigma av 046. 20$  (-35<sup>\*</sup>. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> (s<sup>3</sup>) arm<sup>1.4</sup> |  $\lambda t \theta ov \kappa a \theta a \rho ov AC 242. 2020^{ms}. 2039$  : lapide mundo vg<sup>(4)</sup> :  $\lambda u \circ ov$   $\kappa a \theta a \rho ov 25. 051. 20$  (-2020<sup>ms</sup>). 104. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> s arm :  $\lambda u \circ ov \kappa a \theta a \rho ov 046. 61. 69. 94. 498. 2019 : linteamine$ mundo vg<sup>d</sup> : lintheamen mundum gig : lino mundo Tyc : linea $munda Pr : linteamina candida fl : <math>\kappa a \theta a \rho ov \delta \lambda u \circ v s \aleph$  : " with garments of linen" b0 |  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho ov \beta$   $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho ov \otimes \aleph$  (b0) :  $\kappa a \lambda a \mu$ . 7. καὶ ἐν ἐκ τῶν τεσσάρων ζώων ἔδωκεν τοῖς ἐπτὰ ἀγγέλοις ἐπτὰ φιάλας χρυσῶς γεμούσας τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ζῶντος εἰς τοὺς alῶνας τῶν alώνων. 8. καὶ ἐγεμίσθη ὁ ναὸς καπνοῦ ἐκ τῆς δόξης τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἐκ τῆς δυνάμεως aὐτοῦ, καὶ σὐδεὶς ἐδύνατο εἰσελθεῖν εἰς τὸν ναὸν ἄχρι τελεσθῶσιν aἰ ἐπτὰ πληγαὶ τῶν ἐπτὰ ἀγγέλων.

**mpov** 2017<sup>\*\*</sup> Tyc gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2. a</sup> : et candida Pr (arm<sup>4</sup>) : candido vg : > 386 fl |  $\kappa a 2^3 > 1.205$  bo |  $\pi e \rho 1 > 1.181.2016.$  2037. 2067 : em 2015. 2036 Tyc s<sup>1</sup> bo sa eth |.

7.  $\epsilon_{V} > \aleph^{*}$  I. 104. 181. 336. 620. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2067 arm<sup>a</sup>: unus gig fl vg |  $\epsilon_{K} > 205$  |  $\epsilon_{TT}a^{2} > \aleph$  |  $\chi_{DUDDAD} > Pr$  s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2. a</sup> |  $\gamma\epsilon_{\mu}$ ourdad > 325. 456 | toud alwead two alwew] tou alwead tou alwood 367. 468 : + ampr  $\aleph$  181. 205. 209. 2015 s<sup>1</sup> bo |.

8. o raoo] o > 1934: + tou beou gig arm<sup>4</sup> | καπνου &AC 025. 1. 35. 205. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al : pr tou 386 : fumo Pr fl gig vg arm : pr  $\epsilon\kappa$  tou 046. 20 (-35. 205. 386. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040) 250 al s bo |  $\epsilon\kappa^1 > 468^*$  s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2. 8<sup>ex</sup>. a bo |  $\epsilon\kappa^2 > Pr$  arm<sup>2. a</sup> |  $\epsilon\delta\nu\nu$ . AC 20 (-2040) al<sup>pl</sup> :  $\eta\delta\nu\nu$ . N I. 250. 2037. 2040. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> |  $\epsilon\omega\sigma\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ ] tr after vaov N :  $\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  620 | ax $\rho\iota$ ] ax $\rho\iota\sigma$  ov C | at  $\epsilon\pi\tau\alpha$   $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\alpha$ ] septem (>b0) plagae illae Pr bo : septae illae plagae fl |  $\epsilon\pi\tau\alpha^2 > 025. 051.$  I. 35. 60. 94. I8I. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig |.</sup>

# CHAPTER XVI.

 Καὶ ἤκουσα μεγάλης φωνῆς ἐκ τοῦ ναοῦ λεγούσης τοῦς ἐπτὰ ἀγγέλοις Ὑπάγετε καὶ ἐκχέετε τὰς ἐπτὰ φιάλας τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ εἰς

1. μεγαλησ φωνησ AC 046. 42. 61. 69. 110. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 920. 1934. 2016. 2022. 2040 al bo sa : ~N 025. 1. 18. 35. 205. 250. 617. 620. 632. 919. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Pr gig vg | φωνησ] + εκ τ. συρανου eth | εκ του ναου ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 250 632<sup>##</sup>. 2020. 2040. 2067 al s arm<sup>4</sup>: tr after λεγουσησ 2037. 2038 : εκ του συρανου 42. 367. 468 arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa eth : >046. **20** (-35. 205. 468. 632<sup>#</sup>. 2020. 2040) al<sup>pl</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> > 1. 104. 181. 205. 337. 620. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037 al gig arm<sup>1</sup> bo | εκχεετε ANC 025. 1. 181 : εκχεατε 046. 051. **20.** 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> : εκκεχετε (εξ- 61) 61 69 | επτα<sup>2</sup> >025. 1. 35. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 fl bo eth | φιαλασ] + quas accepistis Pr | εις την γην] επι την γην s<sup>1</sup> : κατω bo : εισ τ. πασαν γην eth : >fl arm<sup>4</sup> |. την γην. 2. Καὶ ἀπηλθεν ὁ πρῶτος καὶ ἐξέχεεν την φιάλην αὐτοῦ εἰς την γην καὶ ἐγένετο ἕλκος κακὸν καὶ πονηρὸν ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους.(Δ) 3. Καὶ ὁ δεύτερος ἐξέχεεν την φιάλην αὐτοῦ εἰς την θάλασσαν καὶ ἐγένετο αἶμα ὡς νεκροῦ, καὶ πᾶσα ψυχη ζωης ἀπέθανεν, τὰ ἐν τη θαλάσση. 4. Καὶ ὁ τρίτος ἐξέχεεν την φιάλην αὐτοῦ εἰς τοὺς ποταμοὺς καὶ τὰς πηγὰς τῶν ὑδάτων καὶ ἐγένοντο αἶμα.(δ)

(a) Text adds the gloss: τούς έχοντας το χάραγμα τοῦ θηρίου και τούς προσκυνοῦντας τῆ είκόνι αὐτοῦ. See vol. ii. 43.

(b) Text adds an interpolation  $5^a$ : kal  $\pi vova \tau o \hat{v} d\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o v \tau \hat{w} \dot{v} \delta a \tau w \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma r \sigma s$ , in order to introduce  $5^b-7$ . These clauses  $5^b-7$  originally followed after xix. 4, to which context they are restored in this edition. See vol. ii. 122 sq., 116 sq.

**2.** Kai applo... eis the eigenvalue of the end of the

8. και ο δευτ. εξεχεεν > N\* | δευτεροσ AN°C 025.94.2040 Pr fl gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> eth : + αγγελοσ 046. 20 (-2040). 250.2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> s arm<sup>1.2.8. α</sup> b0 | εγενετο] + η θαλασσα fl gig s<sup>1</sup> eth | αιμα ωσ νεκρου] ωσ αιμα νεκρου 104.181.205.620.1918. 2038 : velut mortuis sanguis fl | ωσ νεκρου > Pr | ωσ] ωσι N : > 1. 209.468\*\* | ψυχη] ψυχησ A | ζωησ AC 2040 eth : ζωσα N 025. 046.051.1.35.104.205.620.1957.2015.2020.2037.2038. 2041.2067 Tyc gig vg s<sup>1.2</sup> arm<sup>α</sup> b0 sa : quae erant viventes fl : >20 (-35.205.620.2020.2040).69.110.250.314 al Pr arm<sup>1.8</sup> | τα AC 2038 s<sup>2</sup> : των 2040 : > N 025.046.20 (-2040).250. 2037.2067 al Tyc Pr fl gig vg s<sup>1</sup> b0 | απεθανεν] tr after θαλασση 1948.2014.2015.2034.2042 arm<sup>1.2.8.α</sup> | εν τη θαλ.] επι τησ θαλασσησ N |.

8. Καὶ ὁ τέταρτος ἐξέχεεν τὴν φιάλην αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τὸν ἦλιον καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῷ καυματίσαι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐν πυρί· 9. καὶ ἐκαυματίσθησαν οἱ ἀνθρωποι καῦμα μέγα, καὶ ἐβλασφήμησαν τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ἔχοντος τὴν ἐξουσίαν ἐπὶ τὰς πληγὰς ταύτας, καὶ οὐ μετενόησαν δοῦναι αὐτῷ δόξαν.

10. Καὶ ὁ πέμπτος ἐξέχεεν τὴν φιάλην αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τὸν θρόνον τοῦ θηρίου καὶ ἐγένετο ἡ βασιλεία αὐτοῦ ἐσκοτωμένη (a)... καὶ ἐμασῶντο τὰς γλώσσας αὐτῶν ἐκ τοῦ πόνου. ΙΙ. καὶ ἐβλασφήμησαν τὸν θεὸν τοῦ σὖρανοῦ ἐκ τῶν πόνων αὐτῶν καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἐλκῶν αὐτῶν, καὶ οἱ μετενόησαν ἐκ τῶν ἔργων αὐτῶν. Ι2. Καὶ ὁ ἔκτος ἐξέχεεν

(a) Several clauses lost here : see vol. ii. 45 sq.

5°. του αγγελου] angelos Pr | των υδατων] pr του επι 2040 : tr after λεγοντοσ 205 : quartum gig |.

8. тетартог AC 025. 046. 175. 325. 337. 468. 617. 620. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 al gig vg<sup>(-4)</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>8. 4</sup> : + ayyeloor × 051. 1. 18. 35. 205. 250. 314. 386. 456. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 919. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al<sup>m</sup> Tyc Pr vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2</sup> • bo sa eth | ert.] in gig vg | καυματισαι τουσ avθρ. εν (>× 2038) πυρι AN 025. 35. 205. 2020. 2038. 2040 gig s<sup>(1) 2</sup> : aestu afficere hom. et igni vg : καυμ. εν πυρι τ. aνθρ. 046. **90** (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> : ignem et aestum inicere hominibus Pr | εν πυρι] καυματι μεγαλω bo |.

9. καυμα μεγα] καυματι μεγαλω 94. 2015. 2036. 2037 :> b0 | εβλασφημησαν ANC 025. 1. 205. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 4. a</sup> b0 : + ol ανθρωποι 046. **20** (-205. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> | το ονομα] ενωπιον A : κατα του ονοματοσ 2040 : εισ το ονομα 2015 :> arm<sup>a</sup> | την AN 025. 35. 60. 181. 205. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2067 :> C 046. **20** (-35. 205). 1. 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> arm b0 | ov] ουχι C |.

10. πεμπτοσ ΑΝC 025. 046. 20 (-35. 205) gig vg<sup>(-4)</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>8. 4</sup> sa eth al : + αγγελοσ 051. 35. 172. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al Tyc Pr vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2</sup> • bo : αγγελοσ Ι | τον θρονον] του θρονου 2020 | εσκοτωμενη ΑΝ\*C 025. 20 (-456) : εσκοτισμενη Ν<sup>4. 6</sup> 046. 456. 385. 2015. 2037. 2067 | εμασωντο ΑΝC 025 al<sup>ma</sup> : εμασσ. 046 al<sup>ma</sup> | εκ] απο N 051. 35 Tyc bo sa | του πονου] doloribus suis Tyc |.

11. ver. 11 > Pr | tor beor t. ovp.] to oroma tou beou (+ tou ovp. s<sup>1</sup>) 1957 s<sup>1</sup> | kal ek twr elkwr aut.] > N 172. 2022. 2031 atm<sup>4</sup> : kal ek. t. epywr autwr bo | ek<sup>2</sup> > 025. 205. 2020. 2038. 2067 | elkwr] elkoutwr 2020 | meteronger] tr after autwr<sup>8</sup> 468 | ek twr. epy. autwr > N gig atm<sup>1 2</sup> |.

19. ектоо] + аууєлоо 051. 35. 172. 205. 250. 620. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Тус Рг την φιάλην αύτοῦ ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμὸν τὸν μέγαν τὸν Ἐὐφράτην<sup>٦</sup>. Ἐὐφράτη, καὶ ἐξηράνθη τὸ ὕδωρ αὐτοῦ, ἶνα ἐτοιμασθῆ ή δδὸς τῶν βασιλέων τῶν ἀπὸ ⌈ἀνατολῆς⌉ ἡλίου. 13. καὶ ἐἶδον ἐκ τοῦ στόματος τοῦ ἀνατολῶν δράκοντος καὶ ἐκ τοῦ στόματος τοῦ θηρίου καὶ ἐκ τοῦ στόματος τοῦ ψευδοπροφήτου πνεύματα τρία ἀκάθαρτα.(a) 14. † ἇ ἐκπορεύεται † (b) ἐπὶ τοὺς βασιλεῖς τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης, συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον τῆς Γἡμέρας τῆς μεγάλης] τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ παντοκράτορος.(c) μεγάλης

ημέραs

(a) Text adds gloss: ὡς βάτραχοι Ι4. εἰσἰν γὰρ πνεύματα δαιμονίων ποιοῦντα σημεία.

(b) K 051 change à éκπορεύεται into έκπορεύεσθαι. Corrupt for έκπορευέμετα—the change being made by the interpolator of the preceding words. See vol. ii. 48. Pr (see below), recognising the need of this participle, inserts it after τρία, and some Gk. MSS insert έκπορευθέντα after βάτραχοι.

(c) MSS insert here as xvi. 15 a verse which originally stood after iii. 3<sup>a</sup> and where it is restored in this edition.

gig vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2.4.a</sup> bo | aurou placed after  $\phi_{ia}\lambda\eta\nu$  ANC 046 al<sup>ma</sup> : tr before  $\tau$ .  $\phi_{ia}\lambda$ . **20** (-18.35.205.632.919.920.1849.2004. 2040). 42. 61. 69. 104. 201. 314. 452. 498. 517. 2017 : > 920 | em] in gig vg : per Tyc |  $\tau$ .  $\pi\sigma\tau$ .  $\tau$ .  $\mu$ ey.]  $\tau\sigma\nu$   $\mu$ eyar  $\pi\sigma\tau$ a $\mu\sigma\nu$  051 |  $\tau\sigma\nu^8$  AC 1. 69. 172. 250. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 bo sa : > N 025. 046. **20** (-2040). 42. 82. 104. 201. 314. 385. 429. 432. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2022. 2023. 2038. 2041 al<sup>pl</sup> | ev $\phi_{p}$ ] e $\phi_{p}$ . 046 | e $\epsilon\eta\rho$ ar $\theta\eta$ ] siccavit gig vg | aurou<sup>2</sup> > 1. 181. 205. 2019. 2038 bo |  $\tau\omega\nu$   $\beta asikew$ ] venienti regi Pr : regi venienti gig : regis arm : > Tyc |  $\tau\omega\nu^2$  > s<sup>1</sup> | avarokuv A 051. 1. 35. 314. 468. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 s<sup>1</sup> bo : avaroky $\eta\sigma$  NC 046. **20** (-35. 205. 468. 2020). 250. 2038. 2067 al s<sup>2</sup> |.

13. eidor] edoby N | ex tou stop. t. d. . . t.  $\theta\eta_p$ .  $\kappa . > N^*$  | ex t. stop. t. drak.  $\kappa . > C$  325. 337. 517. 1918 | ex tou stop. t.  $\theta\eta_p$ .  $\kappa a \ge 2019 \text{ arm}^1$  | trua akadap. ANC I. 35. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 alma Tyc vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 4. a</sup>: ~ 046. 20 ( - 35. 205. 620. 2020. 2040). 250 alp<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> : akad. >920 : trua >gig : tres excuntes inmundos Pr | ws batpaxol] ws (wset N\*) bat paraxovs N\* 94. 498. 2019. 2020. 2023 : wset bat paxol N° 2067 : + ektopeuderta 241. 2015. 2036. 2037 :>1\* |.

14. Saumonum] + akabaptum 2040 : Saumonum 051. I. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al | a (+ kai 2015) ektopenetai A 20 (- 205. 620. 2040). 69. 110. 250. 314. 385. 429. 498. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2039 al<sup>pi</sup> Tyc : a ektopenotai 046. 104. 336. 620. 1918. 2019 : ektopenetobai N\* 051. 1\*. 2022. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 : a ektopenetobai N\* 051. 1\*. 2022. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 : a ektopenetobai 1\*\* : ektopenotai N° : ektopenetai 205 : et exeunt (procedunt gig vg) Pr gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> eth : > b0 | eni] eto N | tyo ok.] t. yyo kai (> 2037) t. oik, 1\*\*. 2037 : t. yyo b0 | olyo > 1\* s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> b0 | tov > 051. I. 16. καί συνήγαγεν αύτούς είς τον τόπον τον καλούμενον Έβραϊστί \*Αρ Μαγεδών.

Καὶ ὁ ἔβδομος ἐξέχεεν τὴν φιάλην αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τὸν ἀέρα καὶ ἐξῆλθεν φωνὴ μεγάλη ἐκ τοῦ ναοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θρόνου λέγουσα Γέγονεν
 καὶ ἐγένοντο ἀστραπαὶ καὶ φωναὶ καὶ βρονταί, καὶ σεισμὸς ἐγένετο μέγας, οἶος οῦκ ἐγένετο ἀφ᾽ οῦ ⌈ἄνθρωπος ἐγένετο ¹ ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς

205. 2019. 2022. 2038. 2067 al | τησ ημ. τ. μεγ. Ν 61. 69. 2020 : ad diem magnum vg : diei magni Tyc gig : τησ μεγ. ημ. Α 2040 b0 : τησ ημ. εκεινησ τ. μεγ. 046. 051. 20 (-205. 2020. 2040). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Prs : τησ ημ. εκεινησ 205 | την θεου] domini Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> |.

16. συνηγαγεν] συνηγαγον  $\aleph s^3$ : συναξει  $vg^{d. g} s^1 \operatorname{arm}^{1.8} |$  αυτουσ > $s^1 |$  τον<sup>1</sup> >  $\aleph 61.69 \operatorname{arm} b0 |$  τοπον] ποταμον A | τον<sup>3</sup> > 61.69 b0 | τον καλ. εβρα. > Tyc | αρ μαγεδων AN 051. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 241. 250. 468. 620. 632. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067  $s^3 \operatorname{arm}^{4.6}$ : hermagedon vg : ermagedo gig : ermagedon Tyc : armageddon Pr : ermakedon b0 : μαγεδων 20 (-35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020. 2040) al s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3</sup>: μαγεδών 046 : μακεδδων 61.69 |.

17. και ο εβδ.] και στε N\* (και ο ζ N°): + αγγελοτ N°. ° 051. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 468. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al Pr gig vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2.4. ε</sup> bo | енг τ. aepa] εισ τ. aepa 051. 1. 35. 61. 69. 205. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al s<sup>1</sup>: in aere (-a gig: -em vg) Pr gig vg | μεγαλη > A 1. 181. 205. 209. 2038 | εκ AN 1. 94. 181. 205. 209. 617. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067: απο 046. 20 (-205. 617. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> | ναου AN 60. 61. 69. 2040 Pr vg s arm<sup>2</sup> b0 sa : +του συρανου 046. 20 (-468. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup>: συρανου 1. 94. 181. 241. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 gig arm<sup>8.4. ε</sup>: (+συρ. του 468) ναου και 468 eth | απο του θρονου] του θεου N: και (>201) απο τ. θρον. του θεου 201. 386: >051\* gig |.

18.  $\kappa ai] + \epsilon v \theta \epsilon w \sigma 386 | a \sigma \tau p a \pi . <math>\kappa$ .  $\phi w v$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\beta p o v \tau$ . A 42. 82. 141. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2040 al Pr gig vg arm<sup>2</sup> bo sa eth :  $\beta p o v \tau$ .  $\kappa$ .  $a \sigma \tau p$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\phi w v$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\beta p o v \tau$ .  $\aleph^*$  :  $a \sigma \tau p$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\phi w v$ . 046 :  $\beta p o v \tau$ .  $\kappa$ .  $a \sigma \tau p$ .  $\phi w v$ .  $\aleph^{\circ}$  920 :  $a \sigma \tau p$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\beta p o v \tau$ . 205. 181. 2038. 2067 Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> :  $\phi w v$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\beta$ .  $\kappa ai$ .  $a \sigma \tau p$ . I. 2037 al :  $a \sigma \tau p$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\beta p o v \tau$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\phi w v$ . 051. 90 (-205. 920. 2040). 61. 69. 104. 110. 141. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 432. 1918. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2022. 2023 al s<sup>2</sup> |  $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon v e v \epsilon \sigma^{1}$  AN I. 35. 61. 69. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041 al Tyc gig vg s arm<sup>(3. 3). 4</sup> (a) bo : >046. 90 (-35. 205. 2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>p2</sup> Pr sa | 0100 ov \kappa \epsilon \gamma \epsilon v . . .  $v r w \mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma$ ] et signa magna Pr | 0100 ov \kappa \epsilon \gamma \epsilon v e r o] ou \sigma ov \kappa  $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon v e v e r o$  A bo : ~ 2020 arm<sup>8. e</sup> :  $a v \theta p w \sigma v \epsilon \gamma e v e r o$  A do.

**Ενθρωποι** έγ<del>ένοντο</del> τηλικοῦτος σεισμὸς οῦτω μέγας. 19. καὶ (α) aỉ πόλεις τῶν ἐθνῶν ἔπεσαν· καὶ Βαβυλῶν ἡ μεγάλη ἐμνήσθη ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ δοῦναι aὐτῆ τὸ ποτήριον τοῦ οἶνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ὄργῆς aὐτοῦ· 20. καὶ πᾶσα νῆσος ἔφυγεν, καὶ ὄρη οὐχ εὐρέθησαν. 21. καὶ χάλαζα μεγάλη ὡς ταλαντιαία καταβαίνει ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους· καὶ ἐβλασφήμησαν οἱ ἀνθρωποι τὸν θεὸν ἐκ τῆς πληγῆς τῆς χαλάζης, ὅτι μεγάλη ἐστὶν ἡ πληγὴ αὐτῆς σφόδρα.

(a) MSS insert before κal the words : καl έγένετο ή πόλις ή μεγάλη els τρία μέρη. See vol. ii. 52.

35. 61. 69. 181. 2019. 2036. 2038. 2040 : or and put or (our sof) eyenorto (>1957 : yeyonagin 337 : eyenorto or and p. 205) 051. 20 (-35. 2020. 2040). 1. 104. 110. 250. 2037. 2067  $al^{pl}$  Tyc gig vg s arm<sup>8.4</sup> sa | etti thg yht > 69. 104 | thicoutog generation outw  $\mu$ eyag] > bo : tr after eyenero<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | outw] outws 1. 498. 2015. 2018. 2020. 2037. 203<sup>3</sup>. 2040 al |.

19. at models  $\eta \ \pi o \lambda_{10} \ \aleph^{*} \ s^{2} \ | \ at > 2015. \ 2036. \ 2038 \ | \ enterark AN^{\circ} \ 046. \ 051. \ 35. \ 104. \ 110. \ 337. \ 452. \ 468. \ 498. \ 620. \ 2015. \ 2020. \ 2023. \ 2038. \ 2041^{**} : \ enterork \ 20 \ (-35. \ 337. \ 468. \ 620. \ 919. \ 2020). \ 1. \ 69. \ 250. \ 314. \ 2037. \ 2067 \ a^{|p|} : \ enterork \ \aleph^{*} \ s^{2} \ | \ \delta_{00} \ val \ pr \ \tauov \ N \ 632^{**}. \ 2015. \ 2036 \ \ 2037 \ | \ \tauo \ \pior. \ ] \ enterork \ \aleph^{*} \ s^{2} \ | \ \delta_{00} \ val \ pr \ \tauov \ N \ 632^{**}. \ 2015. \ 2036 \ \ 2037 \ | \ \tauo \ \pior. \ ] \ enterork \ N^{*} \ s^{2} \ | \ \delta_{00} \ val \ pr \ \tauov \ N \ 632^{**}. \ 2015. \ 2036 \ \ 2037 \ | \ \tauo \ \pior. \ ] \ enterork \ N^{*} \ s^{2} \ | \ \delta_{00} \ val \ N \ N \ 0 \ | \ \tau. \ \theta_{0} \ \mu_{1} \ ] \ tr \ before \ \tau. \ output \ 468 \ eth \ : \ tr \ after \ oppy \ Pr \ r \ + \ \kappaat \ s^{1} \ : \ > \ Tyc \ | \ \tau. \ opy. \ > \ 61. \ 69 \ gig \ arm^{4} \ | \ av \tauov] \ > \ N \ bo \ : \ \tauov \ \thetaeov \ 2019 \ eth \ |.$ 

**20**. кал<sup>1</sup> > I | таба трооб сфууст] omnes insulae fugierunt Pr arm bo eth | opŋ] pr omnes Pr : + кал 2015. 2036. 2037 | суревудат] + тоге ол ато анатолыт фенбонтал етл бубщао кал ол ато бубщит елб анатолаб сотал уар влийно мезалу ола оу усуонет ато катаволуб кобщой ой ой му усуптал 468.

**21.**  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\lambda\eta$ ]  $\beta\iotaa\iotaa 920$  ]  $\omega\sigma$   $\tau a\lambda a \nu\tau$ .] tr after  $\kappa a \tau a\beta a \iota \nu\epsilon\iota 920$  |  $\omega\sigma > 2022$  Pr |  $\kappa a \tau a\beta a \iota \nu\epsilon\iota$ ]  $\kappa a \tau\epsilon\beta\eta$  s<sup>1</sup> arm b0 |  $\tau o\nu \theta\epsilon o\nu > 386$  |  $\epsilon\kappa$ ]  $\epsilon\pi\iota$  205 |  $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\eta\sigma$  . . .  $\chi a\lambda a \zeta\eta\sigma$ ] ~ 920 |  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ ] evere Pr vg |  $\eta \pi\lambda$ .  $a \upsilon \tau$ . > vg |  $a \upsilon \tau\eta\sigma$ ] > 046 arm<sup>2. 3. e</sup> : tr before  $\eta \pi\lambda\eta\gamma\eta$  205 :  $a \upsilon \tau\eta$  18. 69. 104. 175. 250. 325. 386. 456. 617. 620. 920. 2015. 2016. 2020. 2037 al :  $a \upsilon \tau \sigma \upsilon$  181. 385 : a grandine Pr |.

#### **XVII.** 1-8.

#### CHAPTER XVII.

 Καὶ ῆλθεν εἶς ἐκ τῶν ἐπτὰ ἀγγέλων τῶν ἐχόντων τὰς ἐπτὰ φιάλας, καὶ ἐλάλησεν μετ' ἐμοῦ λέγων Δεῦρο, δείξω σοι τὸ κρίμα τῆς πόρνης τῆς μεγάλης τῆς καθημένης ἐπὶ ὑδάτων πολλῶν,
 μεθ ῆς ἐπόρνευσαν οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς, καὶ ἐμεθύσθησαν οἱ κατοικοῦντες τὴν γῆν ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς.

3. Καὶ ἀπήνεγκέν με εἰς ἔρημον ἐν πνεύματι. καὶ είδον γυναῖκα καθημένην ἐπὶ θηρίον κόκκινον γέμοντα ὀνόματα βλασφημίας, Γίχων<sup>1</sup>

1. ηλθεν] εξηλθεν Α | εισ] τισ 104 arm<sup>3. 4</sup> | εκ > N 2015. 2040 | αγγελ. . . . φιαλασ > Tyc | τ. εχοντων] qui habebat gig | ελαλησεν] dixit Tyc | μετ εμ. λεγ. > Tyc | λεγων] + μοι 1. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 | τ. μεγ. > s<sup>1</sup> | υδατ. πολλ. ΑΝ 025. 1. 172. 181. 205. 218. 250. 2015. 2018. 2019 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 b0 : των υδατ. των πολλ. 046. **20** (-205. 2040) al<sup>pl</sup> arm ].

2. Let  $\eta\sigma$ ] + "sinned and" bo | επορνευσαν] εποιησεν πορνιαν N bo sa | και . . . αυτησ > Tyc Pr | εμεθυσθησαν] εμεθυσαν 205 | οι κατ. τ. γ.] tr after αυτησ I | εκ] απο 920 | οινου] οικου N\*? | πορνειασ] πορνησ 205 |.

3. annreyker] arnreyker 920 : duxit Pr : tulit Tyc gig | µe] μοι 386 | ερημον | + τοπον 2040 | εν ΑΝ 025. 046. 35. 175. 205. 325\*\*. 468. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020. 2040 Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg bo (arm) : > 18. 82. 93. 141. 201. 218. 325\*. 337. 385. 386. 429. 456. 498. 506. 522. 632\*. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2024\*. 2039 al | ELOOV LOa A | ETI + TO 920 | BYPLOV AN 35. 175. 205. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020. 2040 : + 70 18. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 632\*. 919. 920. 1849. 2004 Кокк. Коук. 046 γεμ. . . . (Ver. 4) και<sup>8</sup> >468\* | γεμοντα Ακ\* 025 (s<sup>2</sup>) : γεμον κ° 046. 051. 20 (-468\*). 1. 61. 69mg. 110. 172. 201. 241. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2022. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2041. 2067 (s1) : yemw 104. 429. 2017 | ovopara AN 025. 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934). 61. 69. 104. 110. 201. 241. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2017. 2022. 2024. 2039 : oromatur I. 35. 61mg. 172. 175. 205. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 | EXWY A 104. 201. 429. 919. 2017 : exorta N 025 : exor 046. 051. 20 (-468\*. 919). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi Kep. ent. Kai > I Seka Kai y yury . . . Tho ynor (ver. 18) 025. 2020. (On this addition see Tischendorf, crit, note in loc.)

łχον

κεφαλàs ἐπτὰ καὶ κέρατα δέκα. 4. καὶ ἡ γυνὴ ἦν περιβεβλημένη πορφυροῦν καὶ κόκκινον, καὶ κεχρυσωμένη Γχρυσίω καὶ λιθῷ τιμίω χρυσῷ καὶ μαργαρίταις, ἔχουσα ποτήριον χρυσοῦν ἐν τῆ χειρὶ αὐτῆς Γγέμον γέμων βδελυγμάτων καὶ τὰ ἀκάθαρτα τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς. 5. καὶ ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον αὐτῆς ὅνομα γεγραμμένον, μυστήριον,

# ΒΑΒΥΛΩΝ Η ΜΕΓΑΛΗ, Η ΜΗΤΗΡ ΤΩΝ † ΠΟΡΝΩΝ †(α) ΚΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΒΔΕΛΥΓΜΑΤΩΝ ΤΗΣ ΓΗΣ.

6. καὶ εἶδα τὴν γυναῖκα μεθύουσαν ἐκ τοῦ αἰματος τῶν ἀγίων καὶ ἐκ τοῦ αἰματος τῶν μαρτύρων Ἰησοῦ.

(a) Tyc Pr vg  $\operatorname{arm}^2 = \pi \operatorname{oprior}$ , which the parallelism in the next line requires. See vol. ii. 65.

4. ην] η I | πορφυρουν] πορφυραν 051. I. 35. 175. 181. 205. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 alp : πορφυρά s1 | και<sup>2</sup> > 1957 | και<sup>8</sup> AN 1. 104. 250. 424. (620). 2018. 2019. 2020. 2022. 2037 al Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>8. 4</sup> <sup>a</sup> bo : > 025. 046. **20** (-620. 2020). 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> s<sup>1</sup>  $\operatorname{arm}^2$  |  $\operatorname{keypurgupen}$  =  $\operatorname{keypurgupen}$  = s<sup>1</sup>: "gildings embroidered" arm<sup>2</sup> | χρυσιω A 046. 20 (- 35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250. 2067 almu : χρυσω № 025. I. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2010. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041 ) Life. τιμ. . . . μαργ.] ~ arm<sup>4</sup> · [ λιθ. τιμ.] λιθουσ τιμιουσ s<sup>1</sup> : λιθοισ τιμιοισ s<sup>2</sup> arm | μαργ.] μαργαριτασ s<sup>1</sup>: "pearl" arm | εχουσα . . . τ. πορν. αυτησ > 025 | εχουσα ] pr και Tyc s<sup>1</sup> : et habebat Pr | ποτ. χρυσ. ]~1. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al : tr χρυσ. after αυτησ<sup>1</sup> 920 | εν ] επι s<sup>1</sup> | γεμον Ακ° 046. 20. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : YEMWY N\* 104. 201. 420. 2017 : pr και s<sup>I</sup> arm<sup>8.4.4</sup> | βδελ... τα ακαθ.] ~s<sup>1</sup> | βδελ.] βδελυγματοσ s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> (bo) : abominatione vg<sup>ree</sup> (-nem<sup>\*</sup>) | και<sup>6</sup> >bo | τα ακαθ.] τ. ακαθαρματα 2039 : inmunditia vg<sup>a. c f.g. h. v</sup> : -tiae Pr vg<sup>aee</sup> (s<sup>1</sup>) : -tiarum Tyc vg<sup>d</sup> (bo) | τ. πορν. >gig | αυτησ<sup>2</sup> A 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 241. 250. 468\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2015. 2016\*. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al Tyc vg s1 arm2 eth : 770 yno 046. 80 (-35. 205. 468\*. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040) almu gig arm<sup>8</sup> : totius terrae Pr Cyp : avrno кан ту уу к s<sup>2</sup> : анту μета ту уу оду bo : >arm<sup>4</sup>. • ].

5. ovoµa] ovoµara 18. 919. 2004 : >s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> eth |  $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma$ sacramenti Pr :  $\epsilon\nu$   $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\omega$  arm<sup>8</sup>  $\epsilon$  |  $\tau$ .  $\pi\sigma\rho\nu$ .  $\kappa$ . >gig |  $\pi\sigma\rho\nu\omega\nu$ ] fornicationum Tyc Pr vg (arm<sup>2</sup>) |  $\tau$ .  $\gamma\eta\sigma$ ] totius terrae Pr |.

6. eida (ida Á) AN : eidar (idar) 025. 046. 051 min<sup>pl</sup> | ex<sup>1</sup> A 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 241. 429. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 2015. 2016. 2017\*\*. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig vg s : > N 025. 046. 90 (-35. 205. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 69. 82. 110. 250. 314. 385 al<sup>mu</sup> |  $\tau$ . aiµ.]  $\tau \omega$  aiµari N\* 2020 : Καὶ ἐθαύμασα ίδὼν αὐτὴν θαῦμα μέγα. 7. καὶ εἶπέν μοι ὅ ἄγγελος Διὰ τί ἐθαύμασας; 「ἐγὼ ἐρῶ σοι' τὸ μυστήριον τῆς γυναικὸς καὶ τοῦ θηρίου τοῦ βαστάζοντος αὐτήν, τοῦ ἔχοντος τὰς ἐπτὰ κεφαλὰς καὶ τὰ δέκα κέρατα. 8. τὸ θηρίον ὅ εἶδες ἦν καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν, καὶ μέλλει ἀναβαίνειν ἐκ τῆς ἀβύσσου, καὶ εἰς ἀπώλειαν 「ὑπάγει'· καὶ θαυμασθήσονται οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, ῶν οὐ γέγραπται τὸ δνομα ἐπὶ τὸ βιβλίον τῆς ζωῆς ἀπὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου, βλεπόντων τὸ θηρίον ὅ τι ἦν καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν καὶ πάρεσται.

sanguine Tyc arm |  $\kappa \alpha i^2$  AN 025. 35. 205. 468. 632<sup>44</sup>. 2020. 2040 al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm b0 : > 046. **20** (-35. 205. 468. 632<sup>44</sup>. 2020. 2040). 82. 110. 250. 385 al |  $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ .  $\alpha \iota \mu^2$ ]  $\epsilon \kappa > 314.$ 2016. 2041 al : sanguine Tyc arm |  $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ .]  $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \rho \omega \nu \Lambda$  : pr aylwv 325. 468. 620 | Iyoov] pr rov 2040 : + Christi Pr : > 1. 2019. 2067 arm<sup>a</sup> |  $\iota \delta$ . avr.  $\theta a \nu \mu$ .  $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ . > b0 | tr  $\iota \delta \omega \nu$  avr. after  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a$  N 2020 s<sup>1</sup> |  $a \nu \tau \eta \nu > 61. 69$  |.

7. epu oroi A 046. 20 (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> gig s eth : ~  $\aleph$ 025. I. 35. 61. 69. 1957. 2019. 2022. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> vg : tibi ostendam Pr bo |  $\tau o > arm | \tau. \gamma vv.$ ] huius mulieris Pr |  $\tau ov^{3}$ ] και 2020 : pr και I. 2036. 2037 |  $\tau a\sigma >$ 205  $arm^{2.4}$  |  $e\pi\tau$ .  $\kappa e\phi$ .] ~ Pr vg |  $\delta e\kappa$ .  $\kappa e\rho$ .] ~ Pr vg |.

8. TO OPPION DT Kai Tyc arm eth | o eider > Tyc |  $\eta v$  ]  $\eta A$ : pr o arm  $|\kappa a u^2|$  sed Pr : > s<sup>1</sup> bo  $|a\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon u a v|$  + irae Tyc  $|u\pi a \gamma \epsilon u$ A 181. 468\*. 2037 : vadit Pr s1 (eth) : υπαγειν N 025. 046. 20 (-468\*). 250. 2037. 2038 alpl s<sup>2</sup> arm (bo) : ibit Tyc gig vg | θαυμασθησονται A 025 s: θαυμασονται N 046. 20. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 minomn : mirabantur vga f. gas arma | or katork.] pr marter Pr arma 4. a bo | en tyo yno AN 025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alp gig s arm<sup>8.4. a</sup> bo : The yme 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2040) alpm Pr vg : Tho yno arm<sup>2</sup> | ои усураятт.] оик сусураята А | то очона А 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205. 468. 617. 1934). 69. 110. 385 almu s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo : ra ovopata N 025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 468. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> • eth : + autw arm<sup>•</sup> |  $\epsilon \pi \iota^2$  |  $\epsilon \nu$  2036. 2040 Pr gig vg s1 arm | το βιβλιον AN 025. 051. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038 al : του βιβλιου 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205. 337. 617. 1934. 2040). 69. 82. 104 almu : τω βιβλιω 337. 2040 Pr gig vg s1 : βιβλω 2036 (arm) | BLETONTWY] BLETONTET I. 35. 1957. 2019. 2022. 2023. 2041 Pr gig vg | T. Byp. (071) yv Ar 025. 1. 35. 205. 2020. 2037. 2028. 2040. 2067 alp Pr gig s arm bo : στι ην τ. θηρ. 046. 90 (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250 al | o Ti so apparently Pr vgd. v arm<sup>2</sup>: or 046 min<sup>omn</sup> gig vg<sup>a. c. f'g. h</sup> bo arm<sup>3. 4. a</sup> : Ax 025. s would support either reading  $| \epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu | \epsilon \sigma \tau a 386. 920 | \kappa a | +$ παλιν N\* | παρεσται AN\* 025. 046. 051. 20 (-632\*\*). 69. 104. 250. 314. 2067 alpm Pr arm4 : παρεστιν N° 1. 181.

'ρῶ πάγει**ν** 

νώ σοι

9.  $\Omega \delta \epsilon$  δ νοῦς δ ἔχων σοφίαν aỉ ἐπτὰ κεφαλαὶ ἐπτὰ (α) 10. βασιλεῦς (δ) εἰσίν οἱ πέντε ἔπεσαν, δ εἶς ἔστιν, δ ἄλλος οὖπω ηλθεν, καὶ ὅταν ἔλθη ὀλίγον αὐτὸν δεῖ μεῖναι. 11. καὶ τὸ θηρίον, δ ην καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν, καὶ αὐτὸς ὅγδοός ἐστιν, καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἐπτά ἐστιν, καὶ εἰς ἀπώλειαν ὑπάγει. 12. καὶ τὰ δέκα κέρατα ἁ εἶδες δέκα βασιλεῦς εἰσίν, οἶτινες βασιλείαν οὖπω ἔλαβον, ἀλλὰ ἐξουσίαν ὡς βασιλεῦς μίαν ὡραν λαμβάνουσιν μετὰ τοῦ θηρίου. 13. οὖτοι μίαν

(a) Here follows a gloss giving a second explanation : δρη elsir, δπου ή γυνή κάθηται έπ' αὐτῶν. καί.

(b) The same gloss adds entrá.

241. 336. 632\*\*. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 gig s arm<sup>a</sup> : επεσεν bo : > vg |.

9.  $\omega \delta \epsilon$  o rour o  $\epsilon \chi$ .  $\sigma c \phi$ .] joined with what precedes 0.46. 18. 69. 201. 337. 385. 386. 456. 498. 522. 919. 920. 1849. 1955 al : "he who hath heart with (and eth) wisdom let him understand" bo eth<sup>2</sup> |  $\omega \delta \epsilon$ ] pr et Pr : > 0.46 | o  $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu$ ]  $\tau \omega \epsilon \chi o \nu \tau \iota$ s |  $\alpha \iota$ ]  $\kappa \alpha \iota$  2040 : > 0.51 |  $\epsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ ] > 61. 69 : tr after  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \iota$  1 |  $\epsilon \pi$ ]  $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \omega \delta 1. 69 | o \pi o \upsilon \ldots \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ] ubi . . . supra illos gig s : super quos Pr vg bo eth |.

10.  $\kappa a l^1 > \bar{6} 20 | \beta a \sigma$ .  $\epsilon \pi \tau$ .  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota r A 025$ . I. 35. 205. 241.  $632^{**}$ . 1957. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al Pr gig vg s (arm<sup>8. 4. e</sup>) :  $\epsilon \pi \tau$ .  $\beta a \sigma$ .  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota r \aleph$  bo sa eth :  $\beta a \sigma$ .  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota r \ell$   $\epsilon \pi \tau$ . 046. 20 (-35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> (arm<sup>2</sup>) |  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota r$  20 (-35. 337. 468. 920). 42. 69 82. 104. 250. 2067 al | o<sup>1</sup>] pr  $\kappa a \iota$  1 s<sup>1</sup> arm bo :  $+\delta \epsilon$  2041 Pr eth<sup>2</sup> |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota r$  pr ovk bo : superest Pr | o<sup>2</sup>] pr  $\kappa a \iota$  vg arm bo eth |  $a \upsilon \tau$ .  $\delta \iota \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota A 025$ . 1. 35. 69. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al :  $a \upsilon \tau$ .  $\mu \iota \nu \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \iota (\delta \epsilon \iota \aleph^c) \aleph^*$ :  $\delta \epsilon \iota a \upsilon \tau$ .  $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu a \iota 046$ . 20 (-35. 2040). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> (Pr) gig (vg) |.

11. και<sup>2</sup> > 468<sup>\*</sup>. 2040 | και<sup>8</sup> > N | aυτοσ A 025. I. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 632. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Pr gig vg (s<sup>1</sup>) bo : ουτοσ N 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 632. 1934) al (s<sup>2</sup>) | ογδοοσ] pr o N 452. 2017. 2021 : octavo loco Pr | κ. εκ. τ. επτ. εστιν] cum sit ex vii Pr | υπαγει] vadet vg : ibit Pr (arm<sup>2.8. e</sup>) |.

12. oitives] hii Pr [ $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a v > bo | ov \pi w$ ] ov  $\Lambda$  : ov  $\pi w$  |  $a \lambda \lambda a \Lambda \lambda 69$ . 2040 :  $a \lambda \lambda 025$ . 046 min<sup>pl</sup> : > bo arm<sup>4</sup> | wo  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i \sigma$ ] regni Pr |  $\mu i a v w \rho a v$ ] una hora Pr gig vg |  $\lambda a \mu \beta . . . \theta \eta \rho i ov$ ] "having followed the wild beast" bo |  $\lambda a \mu \beta a v \sigma \sigma v$ ] pr ov 620 : accipient gig vg<sup>c. d. v</sup> : tr after  $\theta \eta \rho i ov 920$  |  $\mu \epsilon r a r \sigma v \theta \eta \rho i ov$ ] post bestiam vg |.

**18.** outou] + omnes Pr |  $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \chi outour AN 025. 1. 35. 205. 2037. 2038. 2040 al<sup>p</sup> Tyc (Pr) gig vg (arm) : ~ 046. 20 (-35. 205. 2040). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> sa | <math>\epsilon \chi outour$ ] habebunt Pr |  $\tau \eta \nu > 61. 69. 2038$  arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> |  $\epsilon \epsilon foutour A 046. 20 (-35. 205. 386. 468. 920). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> : pr <math>\tau \eta \nu N 025. 1. 35. 172. 201. 205. 385. 386. 468. 498. 920. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al |$ 

γνώμην έχουσιν, και την δύναμιν και έξουσίαν αυτών τω θηρίω διδόασιν (a). 17. δ γαρ θεός έδωκεν els τας καρδίας αυτών ποιήσαι την γνώμην αυτού,(b) και δούναι την βασιλείαν αυτών τω θηρίω, άχοι τελεσθήσονται οι λόγοι του θεου.

16. καί τα δέκα κέρατα & είδες και το θηρίον, ούτοι μισήσουσιν την πόρνην, καί ήρημωμένην ποιήσουσιν αύτην και γυμνήν, καί τὰς σάρκας αὐτῆς φάγονται καί αύτην κατακαύσουσιν Γέν πυρί].

ούτοι μετά του άργίου πολεμήσουσιν. καί το αργίον νικήσει αυτούς, ότι κύριος κυρίων έστιν και βασιλεύς βασιλέων, και οί μετ' αύτοῦ κλητοι και εκλεκτοι και πιστοί. (c)

(a) Text of xvii. 14-17 dislocated and glossed. On the restoration of the original order, see vol. ii. 61, 71 sq.

(b) A doublet here follows kal ποιήσαι μίαν γνώμην : see crit. notes below. and cf. xvii. 13.

(c) What was originally a marginal gloss on xvii. I text adds here : 15. και είπεν μοι Τά όδατα & είδες, οδ ή πόρνη κάθηται, λαοί και όχλοι είσιν και **έθη** καὶ γλῶσσαι.

autur] eautur I (s) : > arm<sup>2. 3. a</sup> |  $\tau \omega \theta \eta p$ .] diabolo Tyc | διδοασιν] δωσουσιν 94. 2036. 2037 Tyc bo : διαδωσουσι 218 : tradent Pr vg |.

17. θεοσ κυριοσ 61. 69 | εδωκεν > Tyc | αυτων<sup>1</sup>] αυτου 8\* [ τ. γν. αυτ. κ. ποιησαι > 94. 620. 1918 arm<sup>2 3</sup> a eth | aυτου] αυτων N° | κ. ποιησαι μιαν γνωμην] > A 2036. 2037 Tyc gig vg : et esse illos in (+uno arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> bo sa eth) consensu (+et metu Pr) Pr arm<sup>2.8.α</sup> bo sa eth | και ποιησαι > ×° | μιαν γνωμην × 025. 1. 35. 2019. 2022. 2037. (2040). 2067 al : ~ 046. 20 (-35. 2040). 250  $a^{lmu}$ :  $\mu ar > 172$ . 2018: + avtwr 2040 s<sup>1</sup> | kai > gig vg bo | Source ] dabunt Tyc : ut dent gig vg |  $\tau \eta r^2 > bo sa | a v \tau \omega r^2 ] a v \tau \omega$ A : aυτου 046. 61. 69 : > 2036 Pr arm<sup>2. 2</sup> = bo sa | τελεσθησονται AN 025. 051. 1. 35. 181. 205. 209. 432. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 : rederbworn 046. 80 (-35. 205). 69. 104. 110. 250. 385. 2016 alpl |.

16. α ειδεσ] tr after θηριον 205 eth | κ. το θήριον] τω θηριω s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup>: rov  $\theta\eta\rho\iotaov \operatorname{arm}^{3} \bullet : + o \epsilon\iota\delta\epsilon\sigma (Pr) :> Tyc \operatorname{arm}^{4} [\mu\iota\sigma\eta\sigma ov\sigma\iotav]$ odio habent Tyc | ποιησουσιν (ποιουσιν Tyc) αυτην κ. γυμνην Ακ 025. 1. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2040 al Tyc gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> **S2** : ποιησουσιν αυτην και (>b0) γυμνην ποιησουσιν (-σωσιν 617) αυτην 046<sup>mg(\*\*)</sup>. **20** (-205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 919<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 2020. 2040). 250 al bo eth : και γυμνην ποιησουσιν αυτην 424. Pr s1 arm4 : κ. γυμνην >046\*. 1. 82. 141. 218. 498. 919\*. 920. 2016. 2019 | avr. pay.]  $\sim 632$  |  $\phi_{ayovral}$  |  $\phi_{ayovral}$  18. 632 : edunt Tyc |  $\kappa_{arakavoovouv}$  | Kaugovor I. 181. 205. 2019. 2020 | er A 20 (-920). 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl bo sa : > N 025. 046. 172. 250. 920. 2018 Pr gig vg |.

14. πολεμησουσιν πολεμουσιν Tyc arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> | οι μετ αυτου]

rupl

342

14.

18. καὶ ἡ γυνὴ ἡν είδες ἔστιν ἡ πόλις ἡ μεγάλη ἡ ἔχουσα βασιλείαν ἐπὶ τῶν βασιλέων τῆς γῆς.

quicumque cum eo erunt Pr |  $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ . . .  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda$ . . .  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ .]  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda$ . . .  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ . . .  $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ . Pr |  $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \sigma \iota$  kai > gig |  $\kappa a^{4}$ ] >  $\nabla g^{a}$  o. L b.  $\nabla$ :  $\sigma \tau \iota$  1. 2037 |  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \iota$  kai > 110. 2020 |  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda$ . . .  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ .] ~ 172. 2018. 2036. 2037 :  $\sigma \iota \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \iota$  . .  $\sigma \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \iota$  bo |  $\kappa a \iota^{\delta}$  > 205. 2067 ].

15. einer A Tyc Pr vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa :  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \, \aleph \, 025$ . 046 min<sup>omn</sup> gig arm<sup>2. a</sup> : > eth |  $\mu oi$ ] + angelus Tyc |  $\tau a \, v \delta a \tau a$ ]  $\tau a v \tau a \, \aleph^{\bullet}$  :  $\tau a v \tau a \, \aleph^{\circ}$  |  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \sigma$ ] oidar 104 : vides Tyc :  $+ \kappa a i \, \eta \, \gamma v v \eta$ 175. 617. 1934 | ov] super quas Pr Cyp s<sup>1</sup> |  $\eta > \aleph^{\circ}$  |  $\pi o \rho v \eta$ ] mulier Tyc bo |  $\lambda a oi$ ] pr  $\kappa a i \, \aleph$  |  $\kappa a i \, o \chi \lambda o i > vg$  |  $o \chi \lambda o i \dots \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a$ ]  $\sim 920$  |  $o \chi \lambda$ .  $\epsilon i \sigma v r \kappa$ .  $\epsilon \theta r \eta \kappa$ .  $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma$ .] turbae (+et gentes Cyp) ethnicorum et linguae sunt (sunt et linguae Cyp) Pr Cyp : "multitudes of nations" bo ].

**18.**  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v > \Pr s^1 | \eta \mu \epsilon_Y.] \tau ov \theta \epsilon ov 920 | \eta^4 > \aleph 18. 201. 386.$  $2039. 2040 | <math>\tau$ .  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega v$ ]  $\tau$ .  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega v \aleph a rm^{2.3.6}$  bo eth : >  $a rm^4 : + \tau \omega v 336. 620. 1918 | \tau \eta \sigma \gamma \eta \sigma A \aleph 025. 18. 35. 175. 205.$ 468. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020. 2040 Tyc gig vg s a rm bo eth : $terrarum Pr : pr <math>\epsilon \pi \iota$  (046). 325. (336). 337. 386. 456. (620). 632\*. 919. 920. 1849. (1918). 2004 almu |.

#### CHAPTER XVIII.

Μετὰ ταῦτα είδον ἄλλον ἄγγελον καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ,
 ἔχοντα ἐξουσίαν μεγάλην, καὶ ἡ γῆ ἐφωτίσθη ἐκ τῆς δόξης αὐτοῦ.

2. και έκραξεν εν ισχυρά φωνή λέγων

"Επεσεν, έπεσεν Βαβυλών ή μεγάλη,

καί εγένετο κατοικητήριον δαιμονίων,

- και φυλακή παντός πνεύματος <sup>†</sup>άκαθάρτου και μεμι- άκαθάρτοι σημένου]
- καὶ φυλακὴ παντὸς ὀρνέου ἀκαθάρτου καὶ μεμισημένου (a).

(a) Text of these last two lines is uncertain Possibly we should read θηρίου for πνεόματοι (cf. Jer. l. 39), cf. A 250. 424 Pr gg s<sup>2</sup> below : or else, with 250. 424 Pr gg s<sup>2</sup>, read an additional line : και φυλακή πάντοι θηρίου ἀκαθάρτου.

1. μετα ταυτα] pr και 051. 1. 35. 104. 205. 250. 468. 2020. 2037. 2038 al gig vg eth : et Pr | αλλ. αγγ] ~ 35. 175. 242. 250. 617. 920. 1934. (1957). 2016. 2017. 2023 | αλλον] ετερον 1957 : > 1. 61. 69 arm<sup>4</sup> | εχοντα] pr και 205 eth | μεγ. >gig arm<sup>2</sup> | εκ<sup>2</sup>] απο 386 : + του προσωπου αυτου και bo eth |.

2. EKPater] EKEKPater A | EV A 025. 35. 432. 452. 1957.

XVIII. 3.

τέπ ωκαν

3. ότι ἐκ τοῦ οἶνου [τοῦ θυμοῦ] τῆς πορνείας αὐτῆς <sup>[</sup>πεπότικεν] πάντα τὰ ἔθνη, καὶ οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς μετ' αὐτῆς ἐπόρνευσαν,

καὶ οἱ ἐμποροι τῆς γῆς ἐκ τῆς δυνάμεως τοῦ στρήνους αὐτῆς ἐπλούτησαν.

2019. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2040. 2041 Tyc vg (bo) : >\* 046. 20 (-35. 2040). 1. 141. 181. 241. 250. 336. 385. 429. 522. 1918. 1955. 2037. 2067 Pr gig | ισχυρα φωνη (+μεγαλη 1. 181. 2067 : +και μεγαλη 205. 2019 ) Ακ 025. 046 **20** (-18. 620. 632. 919. 1849. 2004). 1. 181. 250. 432. 452. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 vg s2 (arm4 eth) : 10 xupar dwrnr 18. 141. 241. 336. 385. 429. 522. 620. 632. 919. 1849. 1918. 1955. 2004 : φωνη μεγαλη (+ και ισχυρα Pr) Pr gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> • (bo) : fortitudine Tyc | λεγων > 025 b0 | επεσεν<sup>2</sup> A I. 35. 104. 172. 205. 468\*\*. 632\*\*. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2041. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2</sup>  $4 : > \times 0.46$ . **20** (-35. 205. 468<sup>\*\*</sup>. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2040). 250. 2038 almu arm<sup>8. a</sup> bo sa eth :  $+\epsilon\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\nu$  025 | Baß.] pr  $\eta$  046. 61. 69. 2067 :  $+\eta \pi \sigma \lambda_{10}$  bo sa eth | karouk.] habitatio et refugium Pr | Saluoviur AN 046. 2040 Tyc Pr gig vg : Saluovur 025. 20 (-2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al | φυλακη<sup>1.2</sup> > Pr | παντοσ<sup>1</sup> . . . μεμισημενου<sup>2</sup> omnis immunditiae et iniquitatis Tyc παντοσ<sup>1</sup>... φυλακη<sup>2</sup> > 18. 205 | πνευματοσ] + δαιμονιου 620 | arab.1 . . . opreou >025. 1. 61. 69. 104. 181. 242. 617. 919\*. 1934. 2016. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 s1 arm3 | K. μεμισημενου<sup>1</sup> A 336. 620 gig arm<sup>4</sup> : > κ 025. 046 min<sup>fere omn</sup> (Pr) vg s<sup>2</sup> bo sa eth : + et omnis bestiae immundae Pr | φυλ. *пант.*<sup>2</sup> >456. 632<sup>\*\*</sup> | орчеои] вприои А | акав.<sup>2</sup> >920 | к. µеµиσημενου<sup>2</sup>] κ. μεμιασμενου 18 : >61. 69. vg ( - vg<sup>c</sup>) : pr και φυλακη παντοσ θηριου ακαθαρτου 250. 424 : + et carcer omnis bestiae immundae et odibilis gig s<sup>2</sup>.

**3. t.** oiv. **t.**  $\theta_{\mu}$ . **N** 046. **20** (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc s<sup>2</sup>: **t.**   $\theta_{\nu\mu}$ . **t.** oiv. 025. 051. 1. 35. 172. 205. 241. 432. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig atm<sup>3. 4.</sup> (a) eth: **t.** oiv. > AC vg: **t.**  $\theta_{\nu\mu}$ . > Pr s<sup>1</sup> | **t.**  $\theta_{\nu\mu}$ . **t.**  $\pi o \rho v$ .] **t.**  $\pi o \rho v$ . **t.**  $\theta_{\mu}$ . C | **t.**  $\pi o \rho v$ . > 218 s<sup>2</sup> |  $\pi \epsilon \pi$ .  $\pi a v \tau$ . **t.**  $\epsilon \theta$ . > Pr |  $\pi \epsilon \pi o \tau i \kappa \epsilon v$ 432. 2019. 2036 (s<sup>1</sup>) :  $\pi \epsilon \pi o \kappa \epsilon v$  ( $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega$ - 35. 2037). 025. **1**. 35. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041 :  $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega \kappa a \sigma t$  ( $\pi \epsilon \pi o$ - 242. 498. 617. 2020). 051. 110. 175. (241). 250. 337. 468<sup>‡‡</sup>. 522. 617. 632. (1918). 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2020. 2024. 2039. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Tyc gig vg s<sup>2</sup> atm<sup>2. 3. a</sup> :  $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa a \sigma A C$  :  $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa a \sigma t (v) \aleph$ 046. **30** (-35. 175. 337. 468<sup>‡‡</sup>. 617. 632. 2020). 61. 69. 104 al<sup>p</sup> atm<sup>4</sup> bo eth |  $\mu \epsilon \tau$  avr.  $\epsilon \pi o \rho v$ .] ou  $\mu \epsilon \tau$  avr.  $\pi o \rho \kappa \upsilon \sigma a \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ 336. (620). 628 Tyc atm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> :  $\mu \epsilon \epsilon$  avr $\eta \sigma$  > Pr | **t**.  $\gamma \eta \sigma^2$ ] avr $\eta \sigma$  **1**. 920 | **t**.  $\delta v v$ . > b0 |  $\sigma \tau \rho \eta v \upsilon \sigma$ ]  $\sigma \tau \rho \eta v \upsilon v C$  149. 201. 241. 2037. 2067 |.

- 4. Καὶ ἦκουσα ἄλλην φωνὴν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ λέγουσαν ἘΕξέλθατε 「ἐξ αὐτῆς ὁ λαός μου ], ἔνα μὴ συνκοινωνήσητε ταῖς ἁμαρτίαις αὐτῆς, καὶ ἐκ τῶν πληγῶν αὐτῆς ἶνα μὴ λάβητε.
- ό λαός μι έξ αύτης
- δτι ἐκολλήθησαν αὐτῆς aἱ ἑμαρτίαι ἄχρι τοῦ οὐρανοῦ, καὶ ἐμνημόνευσεν ὁ θεὸς τὰ ἀδικήματα αὐτῆς.
- άπόδοτε αὐτῆ ὡς καὶ αὐτὴ ἀπέδωκεν,
   καὶ διπλώσατε διπλᾶ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῆς
   ἐν τῷ ποτηρίῳ ῷ ἐκέρασεν κεράσατε αὐτῆ διπλοῦν

**4.** addar further addard further and the addard further at the addard further at the addard further addard fu

5.  $autry\sigma^{1}$ ]  $avtry s^{1}$  (b0) :  $avtwv arm^{3}$  : >920 |  $a\chi\rho\iota$ ]  $\epsilon\omega\sigma$  025 :  $\mu\epsilon\chi\rho\iota$  2037 |  $\epsilon\mu\nu\eta\mu\sigma\nu$ .] +  $avtry\sigma$  18. 35. 82. 110. 172. 337. 385. 456. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2018. 2022. 2023  $al^{p}$  : +  $avtrou\sigma$  386 eth |  $\theta\epsilon\sigma\sigma$ ] dominus vg : dominus deus Pr |  $avtry\sigma^{2}$ ] avtwv Tyc  $arm^{2}$  |.

6. anodore . . . aurno] et (>Cyp) ideo reddidit ei duplicia (dupla Cyp) Pr Cyp |  $a\pi o\delta o\tau \epsilon$ ]  $a\pi o\delta \delta \omega \sigma \iota v$  arm<sup>2.8.4</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota^1 > vg$ (-vg\*) | απεδωκεν] + υμιν 051. 1. 35. 175. 205. 468. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2037. 2038. 2041 gig vg\* arm(2). 4 : + nuv 2067 arm<sup>8. a</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota^2 > \aleph$  eth |  $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \omega \sigma a \tau \epsilon$  ( $\delta \iota \pi \lambda a \sigma a \tau \epsilon$  18. 2004) ANC 046. **90** (- 35. 175. 205. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020). 61. 69. 82. 93. 110. 385. 2022. 2024. 2039 alma Tyc gig vg : + avry 025. 051. 1. 35. 104. 175. 250. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : + aurny 205 : + aura 2020 | διπλα Α 025. 046. 051. 1. 35. 104. 175. 205. 250. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934. 2016 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : pr ta NC 18. 61. 69. 110. 325. 337. 385. 386. 456. 468. (632\*). 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2022 (ra) διπλα ANC 025. 35. 175. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1034. 2020. 2040 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo eth : + wor Kai avrn (avrol 69) Kal (>61. 69) 046. 20 (-35. 175. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1034. 2020. 2040). 61. 69. 82. 110 alma | Ta > 149 | ev Tw ποτηριω] in calicem gig | ποτηριω AC 025. 1. 35. 175. 250. 325. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Tyc Pr (gig) vg s arm eth : >620 : + avrno N 046. 20 (-35. 175. 325. 617. 1934. 2040). 69. 104. 110. 385 bo | w] wo 172. 2020 bo | exepaser] + UMIN 7. όσα έδόξασεν αύτην και έστρηνίασεν, τοσούτον δότε αύτη βασανισμόν και πένθος.

δτι ἐν τῆ καρδία αὐτῆς λέγει ὅτι Κάθημαι βασίλισσα καὶ χήρα οឞκ εἰμί, καὶ πάνθος οὐ μὴ ἴδω.

διὰ τοῦτο ἐν μιῷ ἡμέρҳ ἦξουσιν aỉ πληγαὶ aὐτῆς,
 † βάνατος καὶ πένθος καὶ λιμός,†(a)
 καὶ ἐν πυρὶ κατακαυθήσεται,
 ὅτι ἰσχυρὸς ὁ βεὸς ὁ κρίνας aὐτήν.

κλαύσουσι» 9. Καὶ Γκλαύσονται καὶ κόψονται ἐπ' Γαὐτῆ οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς, αὐτήν. οἱ μετ' αὐτῆς πορνεύσαντες καὶ στρηνιάσαντες, ὅταν βλέπωσιν τὸν

(a) On this line see vol. ii. 100.

632<sup>\*\*</sup> |  $\kappa \epsilon \rho a \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ] remixtum est Cyp Pr : "shall be mingled'  $arm^2$  |  $av \tau \eta^2$ ]  $av \tau \eta v$  046. 620 |.

7. oora] pr kai Pr arm<sup>2 8. a</sup> | autην AN\*C 025. 046. **20** (-35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 175. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934. 2020. 2040) al : eautην N° 1. 35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 69. 110. 175. 205. 250. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> | roorout. dote] κερασατε I | dote] dute 025 : datur Pr Cyp (arm<sup>2</sup>) | dote aut. >s<sup>1</sup> | autη >gig | κ. πενθ.] >051. I. 35. 60. 181. 432. 1957. 2023. 2041. 2067 : + populo suo Tyc | ori<sup>1</sup>] και 1 : duoti 2036. 2037 : + και 205 : >051. 2038 | λεγει] + Babylonia Tyc | ori<sup>2</sup>] + εγω 172. 250. 2018 : >1. 620. 2067 | καθημαι ANC 025. 35. 175. 617. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934. 2020. 2040 gig vg s arm<sup>8.4</sup> a : καθιω 046. 61. 69 arm<sup>2</sup> bo : καθωσ 18. 82. 110. 325. 337. 385. 429. 456. 522. (632<sup>\*</sup>). 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2022. 2024. 2039 al<sup>p</sup> : + καθωσ 468 : + ωσ 205 : ειμι καθωσ 201. 386 : sum Pr Cyp | βασιλισσα] βασιλευουσα C | ουκ ειμι] non possum esse (esse non possum Cyp) Pr Cyp |.

8. ημερα] ωρα 61. 69 Pr eth | αντησ] pr επ s<sup>1</sup> (arm<sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup>. •) | θανατοσ] θανατου 046 arm<sup>2</sup> | και<sup>1</sup> AN 025. 35. 205. 620. 920. 2040 Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>(1) 2</sup> arm<sup>3.4.e</sup> bo : > 046. **20** (-35. 205. 620. 920. 2040). 82. 110. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 429<sup>\*\*</sup>. 498. 522. 1955. 2018 al arm<sup>2</sup> | και<sup>8</sup> > 18 | εν πυρι] tr after κατακαυθ. (arm<sup>2</sup>) bo eth | κατακαυθησεται] κατακαυθησονται Tyc : καυθησεται 337 | ο θεοσ A 2040 vg eth : ο θεοσ ο κυριοσ N<sup>\*</sup> : κυριοσ ο θεοσ N<sup>\*</sup> C 025. 046. **20** (-175. 617. 920. 1934. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037. 2067 al Tyc Cyp gig s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>3.4.e</sup> bo : κυριοσ 141. 175. 242. 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2020. 2041 Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> : κυρ. ο θεοσ ο παντοκρατωρ 2036 : κυριοσ ο παντοκρατωρ 2037 | κρινασ] κρινων N<sup>e</sup> 1. 175. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2036. 2037 : (qui) iudicabit Tyc vg |.

9. KAUGOVTAI AN I. 18. 205. 2019. 2004. 2038. 2067 alp :

346

καπνόν τής πυρώσεως αυτής, 10. από μακρόθεν έστηκότες δια τόν φόβον τοῦ βασανισμοῦ αὐτής, λέγοντες

> Οὐαί, οὐαὶ ἡ πόλις ἡ μεγάλη, Βαβυλὼν ἡ πόλις ἡ ἰσχυρά, ὅτι μιῷ ὥρα ἦλθεν ἡ κρίσις σου.

ווי. גמו סו בווהססטו דייז איז גאמוטעדוי גמו הביטטעדיי בה מידייי,

23°. [ori oi  $\ell \mu \pi o \rho oi \dagger \sigma o v \dagger \eta \sigma a v oi \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau a v es \tau \eta s \gamma \eta s](a)$ 

ΙΙ<sup>b</sup>. ότι τον γόμον αύτων ουδείς άγοράζει ουκέτι,

(a) This line is provisionally restored here : see vol. ii. 102, 112. But it is best to take it as a gloss on 11<sup>a</sup>.

κλαυσουσιν C 025. 046. **20** (-18. 205. 2004). 250. 2037  $al^{pl}$ κλαυσονται (-σουσιν)] + αυτην 025. 1. 35. 205. 2036. 2037. 2067 s<sup>1</sup>: + ταυτην 2019 2038 | και κοψ. >920 | και<sup>2</sup> >b0 | επ αυτη >61. 69 PI | αυτη A 1. 205. 498. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040: αυτην &C 025. 046. **20** (-205. 2020. 2040). 250 al: αυτησ 2067: αυτων 620 | ol<sup>1</sup> > 18 | κ στρην.] >N\* 456: + και στεναξωσιν  $\aleph^{a,c}$  | βλεπωσιν] ιδωσιν  $\aleph$ : βλεπουσιν 051. 522. 2017. 2038 | πυρωσεωσ] πτωσεωσ  $\aleph^*$  ].

10. απο] pr και Pr arm<sup>2</sup> | εστηκοτεσ] εστωτεσ 2040 : στηκοντεσ 2036. 2037 : στησονται arm<sup>8</sup> α bo | τ. φοβ. > 1849 | του βασανισμου] τον βασανισμον 1849 (arm<sup>4</sup>) : tormentorum gig : > arm<sup>8. α</sup> | λεγοντεσ] και λεξουσιν s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2. 8</sup> α : > arm<sup>4</sup> | ουαι<sup>2</sup>] + ουαι 172. 250. 2018 s<sup>1</sup> : > 141. 2019. 2038 | η<sup>1</sup> > 1934 | η μεγ. > Pr | βαβυλων] pr η 172. 250. 2018. 2023 | ισχυρα] οχυρα 2036. 2037 | μια ωρα] μιαν ωραν Α 2040 : pr εν Ι. 2020. 2037. 2067 | ηλθεν > Α | σου | eius gig |.

11<sup>a</sup>. THO YHO] GOU 456 : + GOU N : terrarum Pr | khalougiv k.  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \text{OUGIV ANC } 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2040.$ 2067 (Pr) gig : khaugougi (-Govtal 2036) k.  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \text{Hogougi}$  (- $\theta \text{OUGIV}$ 314) 046. 20 (-35. 205. 2040). 250. (314). (336). (1918). (2036) Vg s<sup>1. (2)</sup> arm<sup>8. 4. e</sup> (b0) : kh. kal > s<sup>2</sup> : kal  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta$ . > 336. 620. 1918. 2036 : kal<sup>2</sup> > b0 : flent plangentes Pr |  $\epsilon \pi a \nu r$ .] tr after khalougiv 2020 |  $\epsilon \pi$ ]  $\epsilon \nu$  A I. 2036 :  $\epsilon \phi$  051. 181. 2019. 2037. 2038. 2067 : pr  $\epsilon \phi$  eautoug 468 : > Pr | authy NC 025. 94. 336<sup>\*\*</sup>. 620. 1918. 2017 gig Vg (Pr) : auth A 20 (-620). 104. 250. 314. 1957. 2022. 2023 al<sup>mu</sup> : author 61. 172. 2018 : autoug 046 : eautoug 051. 181. 2038 : eautoug I. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2057 ].

**28°.** or ANC 025. 046. I. 35. 175. 250. 617. 620. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2. 4</sup> eth : >19 (-35. 175. 617. 620. 632. 1934. 2040). 82. 201. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955 b0 |  $ol^1$  > A 2040 |  $\sigma ov$  > 325. 2019. 2036 |  $\eta \sigma av$  > 386 |.

11<sup>b</sup>. autur] autyo 172. 2016. 2020 | ouketl (kal ouketl 620. 1918 : ouk eotlv 456 : iam Pr : > arm bo eth) joined with what 12. γόμον χρυσοῦ καὶ ἀργύρου καὶ λίθου τιμίου καὶ μαργαριτῶν, καὶ βυσσίνου καὶ πορφύρας καὶ σιρικοῦ καὶ κοκκινοῦ, καὶ πῶν ξύλου θύινον καὶ πῶν σκεῦος ἐλεφάντινον καὶ πῶν σκεῦος ἐκ 「ξύλου] τιμιωτάτου,

καί χαλκού και σιδήρου και μαρμάρου,

# καὶ κιννάμωμον καὶ ἄμωμον καὶ θυμιάματα, καὶ μύρον καὶ λίβανον καὶ οἶνον,

precedes 025. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037 Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup>: joined with what follows AC 046. 19  $al^{pl}$  s<sup>2</sup>: without punctuation  $\aleph$  |.

12. YOUTOU | XOUTOUN C 025. 620. 1918 : XOUTOU 94. 2019 | αργυρου αργυρουν C 025 : αργυριου 94. 181. 201. 386 | λιθ. τιμ.] λιθουσ τιμιουσ C 025 (bo) : λιθων τιμιων Pr s arm<sup>2</sup> | μαργαριτων N 172. 2018. 2040 Pr gig s arm<sup>9. 8. a</sup> : µаруаритат (-тают A) AC 025 b0 : μαργαριτου 046. 20. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpi vg | BUTT. . . . KOKKLVOU] sirici et purporae et coccineae vestis Pr BUTTINOU AC 025. 046. 20 (-35. 205) alpl gig : BUTTINOU N : Burrow 051. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 vg | κ. πορφ. > A | πορφυρασ NC 025. 104. 205. 620. 612\*\*. 2018. 2037\*\*. 2040 : πορφυρου 046. 051. 20 (- 205. 620. 632\*\*. 2040). I. 69. IIO. 314. 385. 2037\*. 2067 | K. JIPIK. > I. 920 | JULIERON ANC 025. 046. 051. 104. 620. 1849. 2017 al ; оприкоч 20 (-386. 620. 1849) alpl : очрикоч 172. 386. 2016. 2018. 2019 | mar Eulor . . . TIMIWTATON ] omne lignum incensi et omne vas ligneum et omne vas eburneum preciosum gig |  $\kappa$ .  $\pi a \nu$ ξυλ. θυιν.] et omnis ligni citrei Pr : tr after τιμ. bo [ξυλον]σκευοσ A : ξυλινον 025 | ελεφ. κ. π. σκευοσ >  $arm^2$  | κ. παν σκ. εκ Eul. > gig | Tav GREVOG<sup>2</sup> > Pr | ER > C 94 | Eulov NC 025. 046. 20 (-2040) alfere omn Pr s (arm) (bo) : λιθου A 2040 vg eth | τιμιωτατον τιμιον  $s^1$  | χαλκου . . . σιδηρου . . . μαρμαρου] χαλκον ... σιδηρον ... μαρμαρον s<sup>1</sup> bo | χαλκου] καλκου  $C^*$  [ κ. σιδ.  $> \operatorname{arm}^2 | \kappa. \mu \alpha \rho \mu. > \aleph 1 |.$ 

13. RIVVAL- ANC 025. 046\*\*. 19 (-35\*\*. 205. 620. 919. 1934. 2020). 104. 250. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> (Pr) vg : KIVAL- 046\*. I. 35\*\*. 69. 172. 205. 314. 620. 1934. 2020. 2022. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2067 al<sup>ma</sup> gig b0 | KIVVALUMOV (KIVALUMOV) AC 025. I. 250. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>ma</sup> vg gig s arm (b0) : KIVVALUMUV (KIVALUMOV) N 046. 19 (-35. 2040) al<sup>ma</sup> : cinnamum Pr | K. alumuv AN\*C 025. 35\*. 93. 172. 181. 218. 250. 314. 2016. 2018. 2019. gig vg s<sup>2</sup> : > N° 046. 20 (-35\*). I. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pi</sup> Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm b0 |  $\theta u\mu a\mu ara$ ]  $\theta u\mu ta \mu a$  I. 2037. 2040. 2067 Pr gig arm<sup>2</sup> b0 :  $\mu aroor 046. 61. 69 : -\mu arw 201. 386. 620 vg | K. <math>\mu upov > C$   $\mu upow$ ]  $\mu upow$  386 (arm<sup>2</sup>) :  $\mu upov$  vg s<sup>2</sup> :  $\sigma \mu upvav$  2036. 2037  $\lambda i \beta avov$ ]  $\lambda i \beta avov vg | ouvor . . e Aalov] ~ 175. 218. 242. 250.$ 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 | K. OUVOF ANC 025. 35. (175). 205.

λίθου

καὶ ἕλαιον καὶ σεμίδαλιν καὶ σῖτον, καὶ κτήνη καὶ πρόβατα (α) καὶ ψυχὰς ἀνθρώπων. (δ)

15. οἱ ἔμποροι τούτων, οἱ πλουτήσαντες ἀπ' αὐτῆς, ἀπὸ μακρόθεν στήσονται διὰ τὸν φόβον τοῦ βασανισμοῦ αὐτῆς κλαίοντες καὶ πενθοῦντες, 16. λέγοντες

> Οὐαί, οὐαὶ ἡ πόλις ἡ μεγάλη, ἡ περιβεβλημένη βύσσινον καὶ πορφυροῦν καὶ κόκκινον, καὶ κεχρυσωμένη χρυσίφ καὶ λίθφ τιμίφ καὶ μαργαρίτη, δτι μιῷ ὦρą ἡρημώθη ὁ τοσοῦτος πλοῦτος.

(a) Here follows an interpolation : και  $l\pi\pi\omega\nu$  και  $je\delta\omega\nu$  και  $\sigma\omega\mu d\tau\omega\nu$ . See vol. ii. 102.

(b) Verse 14 is restored after 21 : see vol. ii. 105. 108.

(617).  $632^{**}$ . (1934). 2020. 2040 al Pr gig s arm bo sa eth : >046. **20** (-35. 175.  $632^{**}$ . 205. 617. 1934. 2020. 2040) al<sup>mu</sup> :  $\kappa$ . σινου Vg | ελαιον] ελαιον Vg |  $\kappa$ . σεμ. > Pr | σεμιδ. . . Ψυχασ] σεμιδαλεωσ... σιτον... κτηνων . .. προβατων . .. Ψυχων Vg  $\kappa$ . σιτ.  $\kappa$ .  $\kappa \tau$ . >  $s^1$  |  $\kappa at^9$  > b0 | σιτον] σιτου 620 b0 |  $\kappa \tau \eta \eta \eta \kappa$ . προβατα ANC 025. 35. 205. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Pr gig (vg) s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>3.4.a</sup> b0 sa : ~ 046. **20** (-35. 205. 2040). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> :  $\kappa$ . προβ. >42. 1957 |  $(\pi \pi \omega \nu)$   $(\pi \pi \sigma \omega \sigma 2040 s arm<sup>2</sup> : equi Pr | <math>\rho$ ρεδων ANC 025. 046. I. 18. 205. 632. 919. 1849. 2004. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> :  $\rho$  εδων και > 51. **20** (-18. 205. 632. 919. 1849. 2004). 82. 104. 250. 314. 2016 al<sup>mu</sup> :  $\rho$ εδιων 61. 69. 2022 :  $\rho$ εδασ Pr s arm<sup>3.4.a</sup> |  $\rho$ εδων και > arm<sup>2</sup> b0 | σωμ. και > 337. 386 arm<sup>3</sup> | σωματων] σωματα s : σωμα b0 : Ψυχων 61. 69 : mancipia (-orum vg) Pr vg : porcorum Tyc : mulorum et camelorum sa |  $\kappa$ . Ψυχ.  $\alpha ν θ\rho$ .] et diversi generis animalia Pr :  $\kappa$ . Ψυχην ανθρωπου bo eth : >Tyc |.

**15.** TOUTEN aUTING PT : GOU BO | aUTING<sup>1</sup>] GOU BO | GTINGOVTAL] stabant Tyc |  $\delta$ .  $\tau$ .  $\phi o \beta$ .  $\tau$ .  $\beta$ . aUT. > Tyc |  $\kappa \lambda au \sigma OV GUV$ s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> bo eth) ANC 025. I. 18. 35. 175. 250. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2037. 2038. 2040 Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3. 4</sup> (bo) sa : pr  $\kappa au$  046. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 620. 632. 919. 920 al s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> eth |  $\kappa au > bo$  |  $\pi e v \theta ov r e \sigma$ ]  $\pi e v \theta \eta \sigma ov \sigma u s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> bo eth |.$ 

16.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma$  ( $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \sigma \iota \nu \circ 046$ . 522 :  $\epsilon \rho o \nu \sigma \iota \nu \operatorname{arm}^{3. e}$  eth) ARC (046). 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. (522). 617 al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc gig s<sup>2</sup> (arm <sup>8</sup> e) bo sa (eth) : pr kai 025. 051. 19 (-175. 325. 337. 456. 617. 620). 250. 2037. 2067 Pr vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> (eth) |  $o \nu a \iota^{1}$  +  $\sigma o \iota b o$  |  $o \nu a \iota^{2} A M C 025$ . 35. 175. 250. 617. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm (b0) sa eth : +  $o \nu a \iota 172. 2018$  : +  $\sigma o \iota b o$  : > 046. 19 (- 35. 175. 617. 1934. 2020. 2040) al<sup>mu</sup> |  $\eta \pi o \lambda$ .  $\eta \mu \epsilon \gamma$  ]  $\eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma$  2020 b0 |  $\eta \mu \epsilon \gamma$ .  $\eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda$ . >  $\aleph^{\bullet}$  |  $\eta^{\circ} > A$  |  $\eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda$ .] quae vastata est gig |  $\beta \nu \sigma \sigma$ . . .  $\kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \nu \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma$  > 325 |  $\beta \nu \sigma \sigma$ . . . .  $\kappa o \kappa \kappa$ .] ~ A |  $\beta \nu \sigma \sigma$ . . . .  $\pi o \rho \phi$ .] ~ Pr |  $\beta \nu \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma$  ( $\beta \nu \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \sigma$  I) 17. καὶ πῶς κυβερνήτης καὶ πῶς ὁ ἐπὶ τόπον πλέων, καὶ ναῦται καὶ ὅσοι τὴν θάλασσαν ἐργάζονται, ἀπὸ μακρόθεν ἔστησαν 18. καὶ ἔκραξαν βλέποντες τὸν καπνὸν τῆς πυρώσεως αὐτῆς, λέγοντες Τίς ὑμοία τῷ πόλει τῷ μεγάλῃ ; 19. καὶ Γἔβαλον Ἐχοῦν ἐπὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς αὐτῶν, καὶ ἔκραξαν κλαίοντες καὶ πενθοῦντες, λέγοντες

Οὐαί, οὐαὶ ἡ πόλις ἡ μεγάλη,

ANC I. 35. 175. 250. 617. 620. 2037. 2038 al Tyc (Pr) gig  $y_{a}^{\alpha}$ . c. f. g. h : bus or o 46. 18. 337. 386. 456. 468. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2067 al  $y_{a}^{d}$  ' | roppupour ANC 046. 19 (-325. 386). 2037. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> : roppupour 025. 94. 141. 172. 201. 241. 250. 2018. 2019. 2067 |  $\kappa at^{2} > 025.$  175. 242. 617. 1934 |  $\kappa o\kappa \kappa uror$ ]  $\kappa \kappa \kappa uror$ ]  $\kappa \kappa \kappa uror$  is the state of the st

17. 0 > 025. I. 314. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2036. 2037. 2038 al'  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \sigma \pi \sigma v \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega v$ ] pr  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \omega v \pi \lambda o \iota \omega v$  s<sup>1</sup>:  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \omega v \pi \lambda o \iota \omega v$  (+ o I)  $o \mu \iota \lambda \sigma \sigma$ I. 2037:  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega v$   $\epsilon \pi i \tau \omega v \pi \lambda o \iota \omega v$  s<sup>1</sup>:  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \omega v \pi \lambda o \iota \omega v$  (+ o I)  $o \mu \iota \lambda \sigma \sigma$ I. 2037:  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega v$   $\epsilon \pi i \tau \omega v \pi \lambda o \iota \omega v$  2016: super mare navigans Pr (bo sa): (qui) manibus navigat Tyc |  $\tau \sigma \pi \sigma v$  (pr  $\tau \sigma v \aleph 046. 468$ : pr illum gig) ANC 046. 19 (- 35. 175. 617. 1934) al<sup>mu</sup> gig vg s<sup>9</sup> arm:  $\tau \omega v \pi \lambda o \iota \omega v$  025. 35. 181. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 1957. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2067 |  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega v$ ] navigabat (-avit vg<sup>o</sup>) gig vg<sup>c</sup> |  $\kappa$ . vaut. . . .  $\epsilon \rho \gamma a \zeta \sigma \tau a = bo$  |  $\sigma \sigma \sigma i \tau$ .  $\theta a \lambda$ .  $\epsilon \rho \gamma a \zeta \sigma \tau \tau a$ ] quotquot mare operatur Tyc |  $\tau$ .  $\theta a \lambda$ .]  $\epsilon v \tau \gamma \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta$ Pr gig vg<sup>v</sup> arm<sup>3</sup>: maria (mari vg<sup>d</sup>. f) vg<sup>a. c. d. f. \vec{s}} | \epsilon \rho a \zeta \sigma \tau a = 1] morantur Pr |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a v$ ] stabunt Pr arm ].</sup>

18. και εκραξαν > 2020 Pr | και > 2067 arm<sup>4</sup> | εκραξαν AC 025. 172. 2018. 2038. 2040 Tyc gig vg s<sup>2</sup>: εκραζον N 046. 051. 19 (-325. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 2040). 1. 250. 2037. 2067 : εκλαιον 920 : εκλαυσαν αυτην s<sup>1</sup>: εκραυγαζον 42. 325. 468<sup>\*</sup>. 517 : κραξουσιν arm | βλεποντεσ] ορωντεσ I | καπνον NC 025. 046. 19 al<sup>fere orma</sup> Pr Tyc gig s arm bo sa : τοπον A 60 vg | τισ > C | πολει]+ ταυτη C gig vg arm bo ].

19.  $\epsilon\beta a\lambda or$  (-ar C) NC 046. 19 (-325. 617. 2040) altere own vg s (b0) :  $\epsilon\beta a\lambda\lambda or$  025. 051. 325. 385. 617 gig :  $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\beta a\lambda or$ ( $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\beta a\lambda\lambda or$  2040) A 2040 : mittentes Pr |  $\chi our > 620$  |  $\tau$ .  $\kappa\epsilon\phi$ .]  $\tau\eta\sigma$   $\kappa\epsilon\phi a\lambda\eta\sigma$  N 2026 b0 |  $au\tau wr$ ]  $\epsilon au\tau wr$  C |  $\kappa a\iota^2 > arm^{2.4}$  b0 |  $\epsilon\kappa\rho a\xi ar$  (+ $\phi wr\eta$   $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\lambda\eta$  arm<sup>4</sup>) AC 2018 vg s arm<sup>4</sup> :  $\epsilon\kappa\rho a\xi or$  N 025. 046. 19. 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> gig : clamabunt Pr :  $\pi\epsilon v \theta our \tau c\sigma$ arm<sup>3. a</sup> : > arm<sup>2</sup> |  $\kappa\lambda ai our c\sigma$   $\kappa$ .  $\pi\epsilon v \theta our \tau c\sigma$ ] > A I : pr  $\kappa ai$  325.

έπέβαλον

έν ή έπλούτησαν πάντες οι έγοντες τα πλοία έν τη θαλάσση. † έκ της τιμιότητος αυτής ότι μις ώρα ήρημώθη. † (a)

21. Καί ήρεν είς άγγελος ίσχυρος λίθον ώς μύλινον μέγαν, καί έβαλεν είς την θάλασσαν λέγων

> Ούτως δρμήματι βληθήσεται Βαβυλών ή μεγάλη πόλις, καί ού μη ευρεθή έτι.

καί ή όπώρα σου της έπιθυμίας της ψυχης (b) 14. άπηλθεν άπό σοῦ. καὶ πάντα τὰ λιπαρὰ καὶ τὰ λαμπρὰ άπώλετο άπο σοῦ. (ε)

(a) Text corrupt. We should read: στι μιά ωρα ήρημώθη ή τιμιότης αὐτής: cf. vers. 10, 16, and see vol. ii. 106 sq. Ver. 20 is restored to its original context after 23<sup>a, b</sup>. See vol. ii. 92 sq.
(b) On the restoration of the order of the text, see vol. ii. 92, 105, 108.

(c) Here follows a gloss : και οὐκέτι οὐ μή αὐτά εὐρήσουσιν. On a possible explanation of it in connexion with the loss of 22<sup>b</sup> which I have restored, see vol. ii. 92, 109, and footnote in loc. of English transl.

468\* arm<sup>3. a</sup> : ~ 325. 468\* : tr after λεγοντεσ 325. 468\*. 517 | λεγοντεσ (+voce magna Pr) ANC 1. 172. 2018. 2040 Pr vg<sup>1.</sup> g (arm<sup>2 8. a</sup>) bo : pr kat 025. 046. 19 (-325. 468. 2040). 250. 2038. 2067 alpl gig vga c. v s arm4 : >468\*\* vgd | oval2 AC 025. 046. 19 (- 337. 468\*. 2040) Tyc Pr gig vg s arm sa eth : + ova 172. 250. 2019 : >\* 141. 337. 432. 452. 468\*. 506. 2019. 2021. 2040 bo | η πολ. η μεγ.] η μεγαλη πολισ 1849 | τα > 1. 35. 172. 175. 617. 1934. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al er τ. θαλ. . . avr $\eta\sigma$ ] de mari et de pretiis eius gig | ek  $\tau$ .  $\tau \mu$ . avr. > Tyc | ηρημωθη] ερημωθη Ι. 2036. 2038 .

21.  $\kappa \alpha \iota^1$  pr  $\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta \nu$  bo  $| \kappa \eta \rho \epsilon \nu$ ] tr after is  $\chi \nu \rho \sigma \sigma$ bo |  $\epsilon \iota \sigma$  a  $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \chi \nu \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \iota \theta$ .]  $\epsilon \iota \sigma a \ldots \lambda \iota \theta$ . A. The letters between a and  $\lambda$  are not visible, but the space is only enough for five or six.  $|\epsilon_{i\sigma}| + \epsilon \xi$  autwor 337 | ayy.  $i\sigma \chi$ .  $] \epsilon \kappa$  two ayyed we two ισχυρων s1 [ ισχυροσ] ισχυρον (tr after λιθον \*\*) \*\* 141. 149 : >(A) Tyc s<sup>2</sup>  $|\lambda\iota\theta\sigma\nu \ \omega\sigma$  >bo :  $\lambda\iota\theta\sigma\nu$  > 2019 :  $\omega\sigma$  > arm<sup>2</sup>  $|\omega\sigma$ ωσει 2036. 2037 : ad magnitudinem Pr | μυλινον (μυλικον C) AC : molarem vg (bo) : µvλov 025. 046. 19. 205. 2037. 2038. (2067): molam (-ae Pr) Tyc Pr gig s<sup>(1), 2</sup> arm : λιθον N (2019) μεγαν] μεγα 2019. 2020 : tr before ωσ 2067 | ουτωσ] pr οτι 🕷 620 : hoc Pr vg arm<sup>2</sup> | βαβυλων] pr illa Tyc | μεγαλη πολισ]

μεγαλοπολισ 2067 | πολισ > Pr | ετι] + εν αυτη × 046. 61. 69 |. 14. η οπωρα] pomorum (-a vg) Pr vg : hora gig (arm<sup>4</sup>) : > arm<sup>2. 8</sup> a  $| \eta > C | \sigma ov^1$  placed after on wora ANC 025. 2040 (Pr) vga c f. g h. v : tr after ψυχησ 046. 19. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 gig  $vg^{d} s^{2} arm : in both places 172. 2018 s^{1} : > b0 | \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi i \theta \nu \mu i a \sigma$ 

XVIII. 22.

- 22ª-d. καί φωνή κιθαρφδών καί † μουσικών †  $\langle ov \mu \eta \dot{a} \kappa ov \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\epsilon} v \sigma o \dot{\epsilon} \tau i > (a)$ καί  $< \phi_{\omega}$ νή >(a) αὐλητών καὶ σαλπιστών ού μη άκουσθή έν σοι έτι.
- 2 3°. d. καί φωνή νυμφίου και νύμφης ού μη άκουσθή έν σοι έτι 220-h καί πας τεχνίτης πάσης τέχνης ού μη εύρεθή έν σοί έτι.

καί φωνή μύλου ού μή άκουσθή έν σοί έτι

(a) Necessarily restored, yet found in eth : see below.

η επιθυμια Pr s<sup>1</sup> | aπηλθεν] discendent vg<sup>d</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> |  $\tau a^1 > 61.69$  | λιπαρα] ριπαρα N\* : + σου 2040 | τα<sup>2</sup> A 025. 046. 19 al<sup>fere omn</sup> : >NC 2036. 2067 | απωλετο AC 025. 046. 19 (-35. 620). 250 alpi arm<sup>2 4</sup> eth : απωλοντο N 35. 104. 110. 172. 336. 432. 620. 1918. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2041 gig vg bo sa : perient Pr : απωχετο 2067 : απηλθεν 051. Ι. 2036. 2037 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8. a</sup> | ουκετι] + αυτα βλεψεισ και s1 | ου μη αυτα ΑΝ 2018. 2020. 2040 : αυτα ου μη C 025. 046. 19 ( - 35. 175. 617. 1934. 2020. 2040) al gig vg : tr avra after ευρ. 1. 35. 175. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038 (arm) | ευρη-σουσιν ΑΝC 025. 18. 172. 250. 424. 2018. 2019. 2039 vg s (arm<sup>2</sup>) bo : evpnor (-euo 104. 620). 046. 19 (- 18. 35). 2037. 2067 : eupyoeuo (-no 051). 051. 1. 35. 432. 1957. 2023. 2038. 2041 Pr gig arm<sup>8. 4. a</sup> : + ουτε ψυχασ ανθρωπων του λοιπου εμπορευση 241. 314. 2016 | K. OUKETL . . . EUDIJGOUGLY | joined with ver. 15 by 172. 2018. 2019 s bo eth |.

222-d. και φωνη . . . σαλπιστων] και ου μη ακουσθη εν αυτη φωνη μουσικού και κιθαρασ και αυλού και φωνη σαλπιγγοσ ου  $\mu\eta$  around  $\eta$  er and  $\eta$  eth. Here observe that the Ethiopic has already restored the missing words in  $22^{b.c.} | \kappa a \iota^1 | > \kappa I$  Tyc: ουδε bo eth | κιθαρωδων] κιθαρασ s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> bo eth | μουσικ. . .  $\sigma a \lambda \pi$ .] ~ s<sup>1</sup> | aυλητων ] aυλιστων 620 : sinfoniacorum Pr | aυλ. και > bo | σαλπιστων AC 025. 046. 19 alfere omn gig : σαλπιγγων (-oσ s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup>) & 172. 2018 s arm<sup>2. 4</sup> : σαλπιγξ vg bo : fistularum Tyc : tibicinum Pr : + και 2020 | σοι] αυτη gig vg<sup>o. f</sup> eth |.

**23<sup>c. d.</sup>** ψωνη] φωνην \*\* bo | νυμφησ] pr φωνη C 920 s<sup>1</sup> eth |. **22<sup>c.h.</sup>** κ. πασ τεχν. . . ετι] >61. 69 s<sup>1</sup> arm : tr. after εν σοι ετι<sup>2</sup> 104. 620 | πασ τεχνιτησ >1918 | πασησ τεχνησ C 025. 046. **19.** 250. 2038. 2067 Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> : > AN bo : + en wora 1918 | σοι<sup>1</sup>] αυτη vg<sup>c. v</sup> eth | κ. φωνη μυλου . . . ετι<sup>2</sup> AC 025. 046. 19 (-18. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr gig vg arm<sup>2</sup> bo : > N 18. 141. 172. 385. 522. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2020 s arm<sup>8 4. a</sup> eth | μυλου ] μυθου C | ακουσθη]

23<sup>2. b</sup>. καὶ φῶς λύχνου οὐ μὴ φάνη ἐν σοὶ ἔτι.

20. Εθφραίνου ἐπ' αὐτῆ, οὐρανέ, καὶ οἱ ἄγιοι καὶ οἱ ἀπόστολοι καὶ οἱ προφήται, ὅτι ἔκρινεν ὁ θεὸς τὸ κρίμα ὑμῶν ἐξ αὐτῆς.

231. ότι έν τη φαρμακία † σου †(a) επλανήθησαν πάντα τα εθνη

 καὶ ἐν αὐτῆ αἶμα προφητῶν καὶ ἁγίων εὐρέθη καὶ πάντων τῶν ἐσφαγμένων ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς.

(a) Read aὐτη̂s.

ευρεθη 046 : φανη 91. 175. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2016 | σοι] αυτη vg<sup>c f. v</sup> |.

**23<sup>a,b</sup>.** Kai  $\phi \omega \sigma$  . . . Eti NC 025. 046. 19 alfere omn Pr gig vg s arm<sup>8. 4. a</sup> bo : > A 506 arm<sup>2</sup> | kai > 046 |  $\lambda v \chi v \sigma v$ ] + kai  $\phi \omega v \eta$  $\mu v \lambda o v$  arm<sup>8. a</sup> |  $\phi a v \eta$ ] akovo  $\theta \eta$  620 |  $\epsilon v > C$  Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> | eti > s<sup>2</sup> |.

**20.**  $\epsilon u\phi pairou$ ] exultate Pr s arm<sup>3. a</sup> :  $\epsilon u\phi pairerw$  (- $\epsilon rw\sigma arm^2$ ) arm<sup>2</sup> eth |  $\epsilon \pi avr\eta ov pare$ ] ~ Tyc bo |  $\epsilon \pi$ ]  $\epsilon r A 522$  |  $avr\eta Arc 046$ . **19** (-18. 35. 617. 2004). 250. 522 gig :  $avr\eta r 025$ . 051. 1. 18. 35. 617. 2004. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Tyc Pr vg |  $\kappa ai \ oi^2 Ar 025$ . 046. **19** (-35). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr vg<sup>a, f, g, h, v</sup> s arm<sup>8</sup> bo : >C 051. 1. 35. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> gig vg<sup>c, d</sup> arm<sup>2 4. e</sup> (eth) |  $a\pi o\sigma \tau o\lambda oi \ldots \pi \rho o\phi \eta \tau ai$ ] ~ eth |.

**28<sup>f</sup>.**  $\phi$ арµакıа ANC 025. 104. 172. 2019. 2038. 2067 :  $\phi$ арµакєм 046. **19** al<sup>pl</sup> : (таю)  $\phi$ арµакіаю Tyc gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo : maleficiis Pr |  $\sigma$ ov] аυτησ arm<sup>3</sup> : αυτων eth |  $\epsilon$ πλανηθησαν]  $\epsilon$ πλανησασ 172 s<sup>1</sup> eth |.

**24.**  $\epsilon r$   $au \tau \eta$ ] > Tyc : in te Pr eth |  $a\iota \mu a$  ANC 025. I. 2020. 2036. 2037  $al^p$  :  $a\iota \mu a \tau a$  046. 051. 19 (-2020). 250. 2038. 2067  $al^{pl}$  |  $\epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \theta \eta$ ]  $\epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \theta \eta \sigma a r$  69. 104. 620. 1918 |  $\kappa$ .  $\pi a r \tau$ . >s<sup>1</sup> |  $\kappa a \iota^8$ > Tyc |  $\epsilon \sigma \phi a \gamma \mu \epsilon r \omega r$ ]  $\epsilon \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon r \omega r$  2020 ( $arm^{2.8} \cdot \epsilon$ ) : pr a te Tyc : +  $a \gamma \iota \omega r$  468 |.

#### CHAPTER XIX.

 Μετὰ ταῦτα ἦκουσα ὡς φωνὴν μεγάλην ὄχλου πολλοῦ ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ λεγόντων

**΄**Αλληλουιά

ή σωτηρία και ή δόξα και ή δύναμις του θεου ήμων,

1.  $\mu$ eta tauta . . . (ver. 6<sup>a</sup>) кан укоиота 60 > 632<sup>\*</sup> |  $\mu$ eta ANC 025. 046. 19 (-35. 468. 2020). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> bo : pr кан 051. 1. 35. 468. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> arm eth | 607 ANC 025. 046. 19 (-620. VOL 11.-23 2. ότι άληθιναι και δίκαιαι αι κρίσεις αυτου.

ότι έκρινεν τὴν πόρνην τὴν μεγάλην, ἥτις ἔφθειρεν τὴν γῆν ἐν τῇ πορνεία αὐτῆς, καὶ ἐξεδίκησεν τὸ αἶμα τῶν δούλων αὐτοῦ ἐκ χειρὸς αὐτης.

καὶ δεύτερον εἰρηκαν
 ᾿Αλληλουιά·
 καὶ ὁ καπνὸς aὐτῆς ἀναβαίνει εἰς τοὺς aἰῶνaς τῶν aἰῶνων.

4. Καὶ ἔπεσαν οἱ εἶκοσι τέσσαρεσ πρεσβύτεροι καὶ τὰ τέσσερα ζῷα, καὶ προσεκύνησαν τῷ θεῷ τῷ καθημένῳ ἐπὶ τῷ θρόνῳ λέγοντες 'Αμήν, 'Αλληλουιά'

632<sup>\*\*.</sup> 2020). 250. 2037<sup>\*\*</sup> al vg bo : tr after μεγαλην 2019 eth : >1. 104. 181 241. 336. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig s arm | μεγαλην] μεγαλου 337. 632<sup>\*\*</sup> : tr after πολλου 1957. 2023. 2041 al : > 1. 141. 2036. 2038. 2067 Pr arm<sup>4</sup> | οχλου πολλου] οχλων πολλων Pr vg<sup>f g</sup> s<sup>1</sup> : tubarum multarum vg<sup>4. (\*</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> : aquarum multarum vg<sup>d</sup> | πολλου] > arm<sup>8. «</sup> : + clamantium voce magna Pr | λεγοντων] dicentis gig : λεγουσαν 110 : + το 1. 2037 | σωτ... δοξα] ~ eth | σωτηρια] laus vg<sup>n g v</sup> | η δοξα (+ και η τιμη 632<sup>\*\*</sup> arm<sup>2. α</sup> bo sa) κ. η δυν. AN<sup>o</sup>C 025. 35. (632<sup>\*\*</sup>). 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 Tyc vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>3. α</sup> bo sa eth : η δυν. κ. η δοξα (+ κ. η τιμη s<sup>2</sup>) 046. 19 (- 35. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> gig s<sup>8</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> : κ. η δυν. >N<sup>\*</sup> Pr arm<sup>4</sup> | τ. θεου ημων > 2067 | του θεου ANC 025. 046. 19 (- 632<sup>\*\*</sup>). 250. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> gig bo sa : τω θεω 241. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2019 Tyc Pr vg s arm : κυριω τω θεω 1. 2037 | ημων] + est vg |.

**2.**  $a\lambda\eta\theta$ ival]  $\epsilon\kappa\rho\nu\epsilon\nu$   $a\lambda\eta\theta\eta$  468 |  $\sigma\tau\iota^2$ ] qui vg : + sic Pr |  $\pi\sigma\rho\nu\eta\nu$ ]  $\pi\sigma\lambda\iota\nu$  69. 94. 209. 241. 632\*\*. 2023 |  $\eta\tau\iota\sigma$  . . .  $\pi\sigma\rho\nu\epsilon\iotaa$   $a\nu\tau\eta\sigma$  > b0 |  $\eta\tau\iota\sigma$  . . .  $\eta\eta\nu$  > 2026. 2031. 2037  $\operatorname{arm}^{8.e}$  |  $\epsilon\phi\theta\epsilon\iota\rho\epsilon\nu$ NC 025. 1. 172. 632\*\*. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2040 al :  $\delta\iota\epsilon\phi\theta\epsilon\iota\rho\epsilon\nu$ 046. 19 (-632\*\*. 2040). 250. 2067 al :  $\epsilon\kappa\rho\nu\epsilon\nu$  A |  $\pi\sigma\rho\nu\epsilon\iotaa$  C 025. 046. 19  $al^{pl}$  :  $\pi\sigma\rho\nu\iotaa$  AN |  $\kappa\alpha\iota$ ] +  $\kappa\sigma$  2040 |  $a\nu\tau\sigma\nu^2$ ]  $a\nu\tau\eta\sigma$  N\* |  $\epsilon\kappa$   $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\sigma\sigma$  ANC 025. 046. 19 (-35\*. 620. 632\*\*. 920). 250 al :  $\epsilon\kappa$   $\tau\eta\sigma$   $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\sigma\sigma$  1. 35\*. 620. 632\*\*. 920. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : de manu Tyc gig s<sup>8</sup> sa eth :  $\epsilon\kappa$  ( $\tau\omega\nu$ )  $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\omega\nu$  Pr vg s<sup>1</sup>  $arm^8 4 \epsilon$  :  $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\sigma\sigma$  >  $arm^2$  b0 ].

8.  $\kappa a \iota^1 > s^1$  | ειρηκαν AN 025. I. 35. 172. 2018. 2036. 2037 2038 : ειρηκασιν 61. 69. 201. 250. 386. 2040 2067 al : ειπαν (-ov 2020) C 2020 : dixerunt (=ειρηκαν οτ ειπον) Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>(2, 3). 4</sup> sa eth : ειρηκεν 046. 19 (-35. 386. 2020. 2040) al bo | o > 386 | αυτησ] αυτων Tyc : de illa Pr : > 1. 241. 632\*\*. 2067 | αναβαινει] ανεβαινεν 172. 2018. 2020 s<sup>2</sup> : ανεβη 2036. 2037 s<sup>1</sup> arm : αναβησεται bo |.

4. енеган ANC 025. 046<sup>+</sup>. 1. 325. 337. 498 620. 2023. 2036.

354

- xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>. Δίκαιος εί, ό ων και ό ήν,(a) Οσιος ότι ταῦτα ἔκρινας
- 6.

ότι αίμα άγίων και προφητών έξέχεαν, και αίμα αύτοις Γδέδωκας πείν

Buras

 Καὶ ἦκουσα τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου λέγοντος Ναί, κύριε, ὁ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ, ἀληθιναὶ καὶ δίκαιαι αἱ κρίσεις σου.

ลี้รัเอ่ เเฮเพ.

(a) On the restoration of xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7 to their original context, see vol. ii. 116, 120-124.

2038. 2041 : етегог 046<sup>\*\*</sup>. 19 (-325. 337. 620). 250. 2037. 2067 : tr after πρεσβ. 620 | 01 (>69) εικοσι τεσσ. πρεσβ. Α 046. 18. 61. 69. 201. 337. 386. 920. 1957. 2004. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2039. 2067 Pr gig (s<sup>1</sup>) atm : 01 πρεσβ. 01 εικοσι τεσσ. NC 025. 19 (-18. 337. 386. 620. 920. 2004). I. 250. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> vg s<sup>2</sup> | εικ. τεσσ.] κδ 046. I. 35. 337. 468. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2038. 2040 al | τεσσερα ANC : τεσσαρα (δ 35. 456. 2040 al<sup>mu</sup>) 025. 046. min<sup>pl</sup> |  $\zeta \omega a > N^*$  | τω (>919) θρονω ANC 046. 19 (-35. 386. 468. 620. 032<sup>\*\*</sup>. 920. 2020). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> : των θρονων 025 : του (>2037) θρονου 1. 35. 386. 468. 498. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 920. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. (2037). 2038. 2041. 2067 al | αμην αλληλ.] ~ 35 : > atm <sup>4</sup> | αμην > 337 | αλληλ. > Pr |.

**xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>.**  $\delta_{i\kappa\alpha_{100}}$  + domine vg<sup>d</sup> eth |  $\epsilon_i > 104 \text{ arm}^{1.2.8.a} | o<sup>2</sup>$ ANC 025. 20 (-337. 617. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 919. 920. 1849. 1934) al : or 046. 61. 69. 82. 110. 141. 337. 385. 429. 452. 522. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934 al<sup>pl</sup> : > arm<sup>8. a</sup> |  $\eta_{\nu}$ ] "is" arm<sup>2.8. a</sup> | or ior AC 046. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 468. 617. 620. 919. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2020 al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> : o or ior N 025. 051. 35. 94. 181. 201. 205. 314. 386. 517. 632. 920. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2067 al (arm<sup>4</sup>) : sanctus vg : και οσιοσ 2040 S : et sanctus gig arm<sup>1.8 a</sup> sa eth : και o οσιοσ 1. 18. 424. 2019. 2037 : et qui es pius Pr : > b0 |.

6.  $ai\mu a^1$ ]  $ai\mu ara \approx 620.$  1918. 2019 |  $ayiwv . . . \pi po <math>\phi\eta\tau wv$ ] ~ 205. 2017 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8</sup> | ayiwv]  $\mu apropup v b0 | \kappa ai^2$ ] ideoque Pr arm<sup>1.2.a</sup>: > b0 |  $ai\mu a$  avrois dedwkas (edwkas) AC 025. 046. 20 al<sup>fere omn</sup> vg s<sup>2</sup>:  $ai\mu a$  edwkas avrois  $\approx$  gig s<sup>1</sup>: edwkas avrois  $ai\mu a$  61. 69 Pr (arm<sup>4</sup>) sa (eth ?): edwkas  $ai\mu a$  avrois b0: avrois > arm<sup>2</sup> | dedwkas AC arm<sup>2.4</sup>: edwkas  $\approx 0.25. 046.$  20  $al^{omn}$  |  $\pi eiv$ ( $\pi iv$  C) A(C):  $\pi ieiv \approx 0.25. 046.$  20  $al^{fere omn}$  |  $a\xi_{ioi}$ ] pr or ep  $\approx$ : pr ori 336. 620. 628. 1918 Pr (gig) s<sup>2</sup> b0 (sa) eth: pr ut vg<sup>4.5.7</sup>].

7. του θυσ. λεγοντοσ ANC 025. 20. 250. 2038 alpl vg<sup>f.</sup> 5 s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : pr εκ 046. 1. 2037. 2067 vg<sup>a. d. (\*)</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. 8</sup> : φωνην εκ

**XIX.** 5-7.

 xix. 5. Καὶ φωνὴ ἀπὸ τοῦ θρόνου ἐξῆλθεν λέγουσα Alveîre τῷ θεῷ ἡμῶν, πάντες οἱ δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ καὶ οἱ φοβούμενοι αὐτόν, οἱ μικροὶ καὶ οἱ μεγάλοι.
 6. καὶ ἦκουσα ὡς φωνὴν ὄχλου πολλοῦ καὶ ὡς φωνὴν ὑδάτων πολλῶν καὶ ὡς φωνὴν βροντῶν ἰσχυρῶν, λεγόντων <sup>(Δλληλουιά)</sup>
 ὅτι ἐβασίλευσεν κύριος, ὁ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ.
 γ. χαίρωμεν καὶ ἀγαλλιῶμεν, καὶ δώσομεν τὴν δόξαν αὐτῷ,

ότι ήλθεν ὁ γάμος τοῦ ἀρνίου, καὶ ἡ γυνὴ αὐτοῦ ἡτοίμασεν ἐαυτήν.

(>arm<sup>a</sup>)  $\tau$ .  $\theta v \sigma$ .  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o v \sigma a \nu 2019 arm<sup>a</sup>$ : alterum (aliam vocem Pr) dicentem (-ens vg<sup>a</sup>) Pr gig vg<sup>a</sup>: ab altari dicens vg<sup>v</sup> |  $a\lambda \eta \theta v a u \dots \delta u \kappa a u a u$ ] ~  $arm^{1. (3). a}$  (bo) eth |  $\delta u \kappa a u a u$ ] o  $\delta u \kappa a u \sigma$  bo |  $\kappa a u^2 > bo$  |.

**xix.** 5. φωνη . . . εξηλθεν . . . λεγουσα] AN° 025. 046 : φωναι . . . εξηλθον . . . λεγουσαι N\* : > arm<sup>2.4</sup> | απο AC 046. 19 (-35. 175. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> : εκ N 025. I. 35. 175. 241. 242. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> | θρονου ANC 025. 19 gig vg s arm<sup>8. α</sup> bo : ουρανου 046 61. 69 Pr | εξηλθεν] tr before απο τ. θρον. N : > s<sup>1</sup> | τω θεω ANC 025. 046. 325 al<sup>p</sup>: τον θεον 19 (-325). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> | ημων] υμων Pr : + αινειτε 2040 : > 18 arm<sup>4</sup> | και<sup>2</sup> A 046. 19 al<sup>omn</sup> Pr gig vg s arm<sup>8. 4. α</sup> bo eth : > NC 025 | αυτον] dominum Pr : το ονομα αυτου s<sup>1</sup> | μικροι . . . μεγαλοι] ~ Pr | οι μικροι] pr και I. 2023. 2037 al arm<sup>4</sup> : pr παντεσ s<sup>1</sup> | οι<sup>3</sup> > 175 | κ. οι μεγ.] μετα των μεγαλων s<sup>1</sup> bo | οι<sup>4</sup> > 18 |.

6.  $\omega\sigma^1 \ A\aleph \ o25. o46. 19 \ vg \ s^2 \ bo : tr after <math>\phi \omega \eta \nu \ 2019 \ s^1 \ arm^4 \ eth : > 1^*. 110. 172. 181. 2016. 2018. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig arm<sup>3. e</sup> | <math>o\chi\lambda$ .  $\pi o\lambda\lambda$ .]  $o\chi\lambda\omega\nu \ \pi o\lambda\lambda\omega\nu \ s^1$ : tubarum (-ae vg) magnarum (-ae vg) Pr vg<sup>a. o. d. f. v</sup> |  $\omega\sigma^2 \aleph \ o25. o46. 19 \ (-386)$  Pr gig vg s arm<sup>3. 4. e</sup> bo eth : >A 181. 201. 314. 386 Tyc |  $\upsilon\delta a\tau. \pi \sigma\lambda\lambda$ . . . .  $\beta\rho\sigma\nu\tau. \ \iota\sigma\chi$ .] ~ Pr |  $\beta\rho\sigma\nu\tau$ .] +  $\pi\sigma\lambda\lambda\omega\nu \ \kappaa\iota \ 468 \ | \ \iota\sigma\chi\nu\rho\omega\nu$ ] magnorum vg |  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\sigma\tau\omega\nu$  (- $\upsilon\sigma\omega\nu\nu \ \aleph$  : - $\upsilon\sigma\eta\sigma$  2067) A( $\aleph$ ) 025. 172. 314. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2040. (2067) al Pr gig vg :  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\sigma\tau\omega\sigma$  (- $\tau\sigma\sigma$  620). 046. 18 (-35. 2020. 2040) al<sup>mu</sup> :  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma$  051. I. 35 al : dicentes Tyc |  $\kappa\nu\rho\iota\sigma\sigma \ R^*$  :  $\sigma\,\thetae\sigma\sigma$  A  $\aleph^c$  025. 046. 18 (-2038 : 0  $\thetaeo\sigma$  051. I. 110. 181. 2019. 2067 :  $\kappa\nu\rho\iota\sigma\sigma$  Pr s<sup>1</sup> |  $e \thetaeo\sigma \ A \ I. 2023. 2040 \ Cyp \ s^1 \ arm^{2. 4} \ bo \ sa \ eth : + \eta\mu\omega\nu \ N \ 025. 046. 18 (-2040). 250. 2037. 2038 2067 \ al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg \ s^2 \ arm^{3. e}$  |  $\sigma \pi\omega\tau\sigma\kappa\rho$ . > gig |.

7. χαιρωμεν] χαιρομεν (και χαιρομεν arm<sup>4</sup>) s<sup>1</sup> arm | αγαλλιωμεν Ακ 025. 1. 94. 172. 181. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : -ωμεθα 046. 18 (-2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> | και<sup>3</sup> >s<sup>1</sup> | δωσ. τ. δ.

# καὶ ἐδόθη αὐτῆ ἴνα περιβάληται βύσσινον λαμπρὸν καθαρόν. (a)

9. Καὶ λέγει μοι Γράψον Μακάριοι οἱ εἰς τὸ δεῖπνον τοῦ γάμου τοῦ ἀρνίου κεκλημένοι.(b)

(a) An incorrect gloss follows in the text : τό γάρ βόσσινον τὰ δικαιώματα τῶν ἀγίων ἐστίν. See vol. ii. 127 sq.
 (b) Text adds a doublet of xxii. 6<sup>a</sup>. 8-9 : καl λέγει μοι Οδτοι οl λόγοι ol

(δ) Text adds a doublet of xxii. 6<sup>•</sup>. 8–9: και λέγει μοι Οδτοι οι λόγοι οι άληθινοι τοῦ θεοῦ elσir. 10. και ἐπεσα ἕμπροσθεν τῶν ποδῶν αὐτοῦ προσκυνήσαι αὐτῷ. Και λέγει μοι "Ορα μή' σύνδουλός σού elμι και τῶν ἀδελφῶν σου τῶν ἐχόντων τὴν μαρτυρία» Ἰησοῦ τῷ θεῷ προσκύνησον ἡ γὰρ μαρτυρία Ἰησοῦ ἐστιν τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς προφητείας. See vol. 11. 128–129.

aut.] glorificemus nomen eius Tyc arm<sup>2</sup> | δωσομεν AN° Pr gig vg arm<sup>4</sup>: δωσωμεν (δοσωμεν 2019) 025. 2019. 2036 : δωμεν N\* 046. 051. **18.** 1. 61. 69. 104. 241. 242. 250. 314. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2039 al<sup>mu</sup> : "we give" arm<sup>2. 8. a</sup> : δοξασωμεν 2067 cf. Tyc arm<sup>2</sup> | τ. δοξ. αυτ. AN° 025. 046 min<sup>pl</sup> gig s eth : αυτω τ. δοξ. Pr Cyp vg | αυτω] αυτων N\* : αυτου 1. 175. 181. 250. 617. 1934. 2017. 2038 | γυνη] νυμφη N<sup>c. o</sup> : sponsa gig : + η νυμφη arm<sup>8. 4. a</sup> | αυτου] αυτω arm<sup>2</sup> bo : > 1. 104. 181. 336. 620. 1918 | εαυτην] αυτην 18. 2037 |.

8. και] + και 1934 | περιβαληται] περιβαλητε Α : περιβαλληται 69. ΙΙΟ. Ι72. 522. 2023\*\*. 2037 : περιβεβληται 175 | λαμπ. καθ. Ακ 025. Ι04. 620. 1957. 2040 Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>a. c. f.g. h. v</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> bo eth : ~ 051. 35. 2036. 2038 : λαμπ. και καθ. 046. 18 (-35. 620. 2040). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : καθ. και λαμπ. Ι. 2019. 2037. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> : λευκον λαμπ. (αγαθον sa) καθ. (καθ. και λαμπ. arm<sup>8. e</sup>) arm<sup>8. 4. e</sup> sa | βυσσινον<sup>2</sup>] + mundum Pr : + λευκον arm<sup>2</sup> | τ. αγιων] tr after εστιν I al gig vg s<sup>1</sup>].

9.  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma} \epsilon_{i} \mu_{0i} > arm^{2} | \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma} \epsilon_{i}] \epsilon_{i} \pi \epsilon_{\nu} s^{2} bo: \epsilon_{i} \pi \sigma_{\nu} s^{1}: + \epsilon_{i} \sigma(\epsilon_{\kappa})$   $\tau \omega \tau \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \upsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \upsilon arm^{3. \alpha} | \gamma \rho a \psi \sigma \upsilon ] \pi a \lambda_{i} \nu s^{1}: > 1. 2037. 2038 | \epsilon_{i} \sigma > 149 | \tau \sigma A N 025. 18 (-386. 468. 620. 2020) a | p^{1}: \tau \sigma_{\nu}$ 046. 172. 336. 386. 468. 498. 522. 620. 2020 |  $\tau \sigma_{\nu} \gamma a \mu \sigma_{\nu} A N^{c}$  046. 18 (-620). 250. 2067 a | p^{1} Pr vg s^{(1). 2} arm^{2. 8. \alpha} sa eth : > N^{\*} 025. 1. 336. 620. 1918. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 gig arm<sup>4</sup> bo | a \rho\_{\nu} \sigma\_{\nu}] + \epsilon\_{i} \sigma\_{i} s^{1} | \kappa \epsilon\_{\kappa} \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon\_{\nu} \sigma\_{i}] + \kappa a\_{i} \delta \eta \gamma \epsilon \kappa a\_{i} a \pi \iota \sigma\_{\nu} \tau \sigma\_{\sigma} \delta \epsilon\_{i} (from the comm. of Aretas) 314. 2016 |  $\kappa$ .  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma} \epsilon_{i}^{2} \cdot ... \epsilon_{i} \sigma_{i} \nu > arm^{8. \alpha} | \kappa. \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma}. \mu \sigma^{2} A N^{c} 025. 046. 18 (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 a | p^{1} (Pr) gig vg (s) arm^{2.4} (bo) sa: > N^{*} 314. 522. 2019. 2020 eth | <math>\kappa a \iota^{2}$ ] + iterum Pr |  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma} \epsilon_{i}^{2}$   $\epsilon_{i} \pi \epsilon_{\nu} s bo | \lambda \sigma \gamma a | + \mu \sigma u N^{*} s^{2} | \sigma^{3} A 91. 242. 1934 (s^{1}): > N 025. 046. 18 (-1934). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 a | p^{1} arm^{4} sa | a \lambda \eta \theta_{i} \nu \sigma_{i}|$  vera et iusta Pr arm<sup>2</sup> |  $a \lambda \eta \theta_{i}$  rov  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \epsilon_{i} \nu A 025. 046. 18 (-35. 2020. 2040). 250 a | p^{1} gig vg^{*} c. d. t. h. v s: a \lambda \eta . \epsilon_{i} \sigma u \pi \cdot \theta \epsilon \sigma u \pi^{*} 051. 1. 35. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2035. 2037. 2038. 2067 (Pr) arm<sup>(2). 4</sup>: <math>\tau \sigma u \theta \epsilon \sigma u a \lambda \eta \theta. \epsilon_{i} \sigma \nu$   $N^{*} 522. 2040 vg^{*}$  |.

10. enera AN 025. 35. 325. 337. 386. 456. 620 almu : enerov

- 11. Καὶ εἶδον τὸν οὐρανὸν ἡνεψγμένον, καὶ ἰδοὺ ἶππος λευκός, καὶ ὁ καθήμενος ἐπ' αὐτὸν [καλούμενος] πιστὸς καὶ ἀληθινός, καὶ ἐν δικαιοσύνῃ κρίνει καὶ πολεμεῖ.
- 12. οἱ δὲ ὀφθαλμοὶ αὐτοῦ ὡς φλὸξ πυρός, καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν αὐτοῦ διαδήματα πολλά· (a)

(a) Here follows an interpolation : έχων δνομα γεγραμμένον δ ούδεις οίδεν εί μη αύτός.

046, 18, 175, 468, 617, 632, 920, 1849, 1934, 2004, 2040 almu  $\epsilon\mu\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$ ]  $\epsilon\nu\omega\pi\iota\sigma\nu$  046 |  $\tau$ .  $\pi\sigma\delta$ . > 620 |  $a\nu\tau\sigma\nu$ ]  $\tau\sigma\nu$  aggelov 620. 1018. 2040 | προσκυνησαι αυτω (αυτον 046) AN 046. 18 Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> : και (>bo sa) προσεκυνησα αυτω 025. 2036. 2037. 2038 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>8. 4. a</sup> bo sa eth  $|\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i| \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$  s bo  $|\mu o i > arm<sup>4</sup> | o pa | \mu \eta | +$ ποιησησ 2017. 2040 : vide ne feceris Pr gig vg : pr μη προσκυνει 468<sup>mg</sup> eth : "obey (see thou art evil, and he said to me arm<sup>2</sup>). Fall thou (>arm<sup>2</sup>) not down before me "  $\operatorname{arm}^{2, 3, a} | o \rho a > s^{1} b o |$ συνδουλοσ] pr στι Pr bo eth : + γαρ gig arm<sup>2. 3.  $\varepsilon$ </sup> | σου<sup>2</sup>] > × 314 : + Kal I. 181. 2038 | Ingovi] pr tou 241. 429\*\* : + xpigtou Pr arm<sup>a</sup> : (τω) κυριώ arm<sup>2</sup> | προσκυνησον | + μαλλόν s<sup>1</sup> | η γαρ μαρτ.  $(\eta \sigma o v)$  sanctificatio enim testificationis Pr |  $\tau o \pi v$ .  $\tau \eta \sigma \pi \rho o \phi$ .] spiritus est et prophetiae gig : "the spirit holy which is in the prophets" arm<sup>2</sup> | Inoov<sup>2</sup> AN 025. 046. 1. 35. 61. 69. 181. 336. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : pr tou 18 (-35. 1934. 2040). 250. 314. 2018 alpl : TOU VIOU 242. 1934 | TOODYτειασ] αληθειασ 2037\* bo |.

11.  $\kappa \alpha i^1$   $\kappa \alpha i (>b0)$   $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau a \tau a \tau a to eth :> Pr | <math>\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma A \otimes 025.432^{**}.2067$ :  $a \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma 046.18.250.2037.2038 al^{pl} | \kappa. idov int. <math>\lambda \epsilon v \kappa. > 632^* | \kappa a \lambda. \pi i \sigma \tau. \kappa. a \lambda \eta \theta. 046.18 (-175.617.1934).$ 250  $a l^{pl}$  s bo sa eth : vocatur (vocabatur Pr Cyp vg arm<sup>2</sup>) fidelis et verus (verax gig : + vocatur vg<sup>a. c. d. f. g. h</sup>) Tyc Pr Cyp gig vg arm<sup>(2).4</sup> :  $\pi i \sigma \tau. \kappa a \lambda$ ,  $\kappa. a \lambda \eta \theta. \otimes : \kappa a \lambda \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma o 25.051.1.$ (35\*7). 91.175.181.242.314.617.1934.2016.2017.2036 2037.2038.2067 arm<sup>8.e</sup> |  $\kappa. \epsilon \nu \delta i \kappa. \kappa \rho \nu \epsilon i$ ] > Tyc : a equum iustumque iudicat Pr |  $\kappa. \pi o \lambda$ . > Tyc bo |.

12. ot  $\delta \epsilon$ ]+ot N : kat ot Tyc bo |  $\omega \sigma$  A 172. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2040 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>2 4</sup> bo sa eth : >N 025. 046. 18 (-2040). 1. 2037. 2038. 2067 al arm<sup>3. a</sup> |  $\epsilon \pi t$ .  $\tau$ .  $\kappa \epsilon \phi$ .]  $\epsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \eta 61. 69 : in capite gig vg | <math>\epsilon \chi \omega v$ ] et habebat (habens Pr : portabat Cyp) Pr Cyp arm<sup>a</sup> | ovoµa (+ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a$  Pr)  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon v \sigma v$ A 025. 1. 35<sup>4</sup>. 104. 175. 241. 242. 617. 632<sup>44</sup>. 1934. 2016. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 al Tyc (Pr) Cyp gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>a</sup> bo sa : ovoµara (+ $\pi o \lambda \lambda a a rm<sup>2</sup>$ )  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon v a$  N° 42. 325. 336. 468<sup>44</sup>. 517. 620. 1918 arm<sup>(2). 4</sup> : ovoµara  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon v a$  (~ 920) και ονoµa  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon v ov (\tau a ovoµara <math>\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon v a arm<sup>3</sup>)$  046. 18 (-35<sup>4</sup>. 175.

- 13. καὶ περιβεβλημένος ἱμάτιον Γβεβαμμένον αἴματι, καὶ κέκληται τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ 'Ο Λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ.
- 14. καὶ τὰ στρατεύματα τὰ ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ ἠκολούθει αὐτῷ ἐφ' ἶπποις λευκοῖς, ἐνδεδυμένοι Γβύσσινον λευκὸν καθαρόν.

λευκοβύσσινον

βεραντισμένον

15. καὶ ἐκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ ἐκπορεύεται ῥομφαία ὀξεῖα, ἕνα ἐν αὐτῆ πατάξῃ τὰ ἔθνη, καὶ αὐτὸς ποιμανεῖ αὐτοὺς ἐν ῥάβδῳ σιδηρậ, καὶ αὐτὸς πατεῖ τὴν ληνὸν τοῦ σἴνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τῆς ὀργῆς τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ παντοκράτορος.

325. 468\*\*. 617. 632\*\*. 1934). 250. 2067 almu s² arm<sup>8</sup> | yeyp. o ovô. >N\* | o > arm<sup>8</sup> | avroo] qui accipit gig :+  $\mu$ ovoo 2026 bo eth |.

13. περιβεβλημενος] circumdatus est Tyc arm<sup>2.3</sup>: vestitus erat vg : erat coopertus Pr | βεβαμμενον A 046. 051. 18 (-2040). 1. 2037. 2038. 2067 (s<sup>1</sup>) arm sa : περιρεραμμενον N\* : περιρεραντισμενον N<sup>c. c</sup> : ρεραντισμενον 025. 2019 : ερραντισμενον 172. 250. 2017. 2018. 2040. Similarly Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>3</sup> bo eth | aιματι] pr εν 175. 218. 242. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 | κεκληται AN<sup>c</sup> 025. 046. 18 (-35. 175. 617. 620. 1934) al<sup>pl</sup> vg<sup>f. v</sup> arm<sup>2. 3</sup> a (sa) : κεκλη (το σνομα) N\* : καλειται I. 35. 175. 242. 250. 617. 620. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>a. c. d. g. h. s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : καλουμενος (s<sup>2</sup>) bo | το ον. avr.] aυτ. το ον. 69 : > bo |.</sup>

14.  $\kappa a 1 > Tyc | \tau a \sigma \tau \rho a \tau. ] \tau o \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \mu a gig bo | <math>\tau a^1 > 61$ . 69. 2038 | Ta2 025. 051. 18 (-325. 468. 617. 620. 920. 2020). 241. 242. 250. 429. 1957. 2023. 2024 al Tyc Pr vg s<sup>2</sup> sa : aurou 2017 : > AN 046. 1. 61. 69. 94. 104. 172. 181. 314. 325. 336. 468\*. 498. 517. 617. 620. 920. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038 gig s<sup>I</sup> (arm) bo eth | εν τ. ουρ. ] του ουρανου (των ουρανων  $468^{**}$  s<sup>1</sup>)  $468^{**}$ . 920 s<sup>1</sup> (arm) eth :  $\tau \omega > 2040$  : > b0 : tr after autu gig | ηκολουθει ] ηκολουθουν 051. 1. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2039 | εφ ιπποισ (ενφιπποισ 620) λευκοισ AN 025. 1. 104. 620. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2040. 2067 alp : επι ιπποισ λευκοισ 046. 18 (-35\*. 620. 2020. 2040). 250 alpl : εφιπποι πολλοι 051. 35\*. 181. 2036. 2038 ενδεδυμενοι] ενδεδυμενοισ 🛚 \* : ενδεδυμενα 632 : ενδεδυμενον 920 : pr και s<sup>1</sup> | βυσσινον λευκον (λαμπρον 94. 2037 bo) № 025. 046. 18 (-2040). (1). (94). 250. (2037). 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr Tyc (gig) (s<sup>1</sup>) arm4 (bo) (sa) : λευκον βυσσινον (λευκοβυσσινον 2040) Α 2040 : + και K I. 456. gig s<sup>1</sup> sa : λευκον > arm<sup>2</sup> 8 | λευκ. καθ] ~ 104 s<sup>2</sup> : καθ. και λευκ. arm<sup>e</sup> : φωτοσ λευκου (corrupt) eth | καθαρον] purpureum gig : > bo |.

15. αυτου] αυτων s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> bo | εκπορευεται] exiebat Pr arm ] ωξεια ΑΝ 025. 1. 35<sup>4</sup>. 2019. 2020. 2030. 2037. 2038 al gig vg s<sup>1</sup>

# 16. καὶ ἔχει (α) ἐπὶ τὸν μηρὸν αὐτοῦ ὄνομα γεγραμμένον, ΒΑCΙΔΕΥC ΒΑCΙΛΕΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΚΥΡΙΟC ΚΥΡΙΩΝ.

17. Καὶ εἶδον ἕνα ἄγγελον ἐστῶτα ἐν τῷ ἡλίῳ, καὶ ἔκραξεν φωνῦ μεγάλῃ λέγων πᾶσι τοῖς ὅρνέοις τοῖς πετομένοις ἐν μεσουρανήματι Δεῦτε συνάχθητε εἰς τὸ δεῖπνον τὸ μέγα τοῦ θεοῦ, 18. ἶνα φάγητε σάρκας βασιλέων καὶ σάρκας χιλιάρχων καὶ σάρκας ἰσχυρῶν καὶ σάρκας ἶππων καὶ τῶν καθημένων ἐπ' αὐτῶν, καὶ σάρκας πάντων (a) Text adds: ἐπὶ τὸ ἰμάτιον καί, See vol. ii, 137.

arm bo sa eth : pr distomos 046. **18** ( $-35^{*}$ . 2020). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr s<sup>2</sup> |  $\epsilon \nu$  avtn]  $\epsilon \nu$  avtw 2020 s<sup>1</sup> : ex eo Pr |  $\pi a \tau a \{ n \}$  $\pi a \tau a \{ \epsilon \epsilon \in N \}$  104. 385. 620 :  $\pi a \tau a \{ \omega \sigma \iota r s^{1} \}$ :  $\pi a \rho a \tau a \{ n \}$  325. 517 |  $\tau a$  $\epsilon \theta \nu \eta$ ] pr  $\pi a \nu \tau a$  498. 2020 gig sa |  $\kappa a \iota^{3} >$  Tyc |  $\pi a \tau \epsilon \iota \}$   $\pi a \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$  Pr |  $\tau$ . our.  $\tau$ .  $\theta \nu \mu$ . >s<sup>1</sup> |  $\tau$ .  $\theta \nu \mu o \nu$  (+  $\kappa a \iota$  1. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>3</sup>) placed after ourov A 025. 046. **18** (-2040) al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc vg s<sup>2</sup> arm eth : tr after opy $\eta \sigma \ge sa$  : tr before  $\tau o \nu$  ourov 2040 : > Pr gig bo |  $\tau \eta \sigma$  opy $\eta \sigma$ ] pr  $\kappa a \iota$  2040 : > s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> = : + avtor 620 |  $\theta \epsilon o \nu$  | + magni Pr |.

16.  $\kappa a\iota^1$  autos Tyc |  $\epsilon \chi \epsilon\iota A : + \epsilon \pi \iota (> Tyc)$  to imation (ta imatia autos s<sup>1</sup> : to metamon 2040 : + autos 920 bo sa eth) kau  $(>s^1) \ge 0.25$ . 046. 18 aloma Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>(1). 2</sup> arm (bo sa eth) |  $\epsilon \pi \iota > \aleph$  bo sa | tor mapor] tous mapous s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>(4)</sup> | autos > 920 | oroma yeypamm. > arm<sup>4</sup> | oroma] pr to 1. 2037 : > gig vg |.

17. era A 025. 1. 35. 104. 241. 632\*\*. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al Pr gig vg eth : aλλov & 2019 s1 arm4 . bo sa :+ aλλor 172. 250. 2018 : > 046. 18 (-35. 632\*\*. 2020. 2040). 2037 alpl Tyc s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> | екрабет Ак 025. 18 (- 18. 2040) alpi Tyc Pr gig vg s arm : εκραζεν 046. 18. 181. 2040 : κραζων bo | φωνη A 025. 35. 175. 250. 468\*\*. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig vg : pr er N 046. 18. 61. 82. 104. 325. 336. 337. 386. 456. 468\*. 632\*. 920. 1849. 2004 al Tyc arm<sup>4</sup> · bo sa | λεγων > 35\*. 617 | πασι τοισ ορν.] παντα τα ορνέα  $arm^2$  bo | πασι > 2040 s<sup>1</sup> | τ. πέτ. εν μέσ.] caeli Pr | πέτομ. Αχ 046. 18 (-456)  $al^{pl}$ : πέτωμ. 025. 456 al | συναχθητε > 051. 1. 2037. 2038 Pr | το (τον 91. 110. 385. 452. 2021. 2041) δειπνον το μεγα (tr after θεου 920) AN 025. 046. 35. (91. 110). 325. 337. (452). 456. 632. (920). 1849. 2004. (2021). 2040. (2041). 2067 al : TOV DELAV. TOV HEYAV 18. 172. 175. 201. 242. 250. 314. 336. 386. 468. 617. 620. 1918. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2020 | TO MEYA TOU O.] TOU MEYALOU BEOU 051. 1. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 arm<sup>2</sup> a eth | 70  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a > gig$  |.

18. Каі Барк. ХІЛ. > 1. 2023 агта | Баркаб<sup>8</sup> > Тус | К. т. Кав. . . . тачтич > 617 | антич 025. 046. 17 (-617). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067  $a^{pl}$ : антич N: антич А 61. 69 | Баркаб<sup>5</sup>] рг таб 18. 632. 1849 | тачтич] атачтич 046<sup>‡</sup>: + тич 1934: > 1. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> bo | те > 1. 314. 2067 | каі<sup>7</sup> АК 025. 17 (-632<sup>‡‡</sup>. 1849. 2020)  $a^{pl}$  ἐλευθέρων τε καὶ δούλων καὶ μικρῶν καὶ μεγάλων. 19. καὶ είδον τὸ θηρίον καὶ τοὺς βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς καὶ τὰ στρατεύματα αὐτῶν συνηγμένα ποιῆσαι τὸν πόλεμον μετὰ τοῦ καθημένου ἐπὶ τοῦ ἶππου καὶ μετὰ τοῦ στρατεύματος αὐτοῦ. 20. καὶ ἐπιάσθη τὸ θηρίον, καὶ μετὰ τοῦ στρατεύματος αὐτοῦ. 20. καὶ ἐπιάσθη τὸ θηρίον, καὶ μετὰ τοῦ söröῦ š ψευδοπροφήτης ὁ ποιήσας τὰ σημεία ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ, ὁ μετ' ἐν οἶς ἐπλάνησεν τοὺς λαβόντας τὸ χάραγμα τοῦ θηρίου καὶ τοὶς αὐτοῦ προσκυνοῦντας Γτὴν εἰκόναὶ αὐτοῦ ζῶντες ἐβλήθησαν οἱ δύο εἰς τὴν τῆ εἰκόναὶ ἀνοῦ ζῶντες ἐβλήθησαν οἱ δύο εἰς τὴν τῆ εἰκόναὶ ἀνοῦ ζῶντες ἐβλήθησαν οἱ δύο εἰς τὴν τῆ εἰκόνι λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς τῆς καιομένης ἐν θείφ. 21. καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ ἀπεκτάι-θησαν ἐν τῆ ῥομφαία τοῦ καθημένου ἐπὶ τοῦ ἴππου, τῆ ἐξελθούσῃ ἐκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ, καὶ πάντα τὰ ὅρνεα ἐχορτάσθησαν ἐκ τῶν σαρκῶν αὐτῶν.

Tyc Pr gig vg s bo : >046. 61. 69. 241. 429. 522. 632\*\*. 1849. 2020 |  $\mu$  кроw AN 025. 1. 172. 175. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al<sup>mn</sup> : +  $\tau\epsilon$  046. 17 (-175. 617. 1934. 2040) al<sup>mn</sup> |  $\mu\epsilon$ ya $\lambda$ w) pr  $\tau$ w N 2040 |.

19.  $\theta\eta\rho\iota\sigma\nu$ ] + και τα στρατευματα αυτου s<sup>1</sup> [ κ. τ. βασ.] tr after  $\eta\eta\sigma$  920 [  $\eta\eta\sigma$ ] οικουμενησ 620 [ κ. τα στρατ.] κατα τα τρατ. (sic)  $\aleph^*$  | αυτων  $\aleph$  025. 046. 17 (-456?. 620?. 920?. 2040?). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1.4.</sup> • b0 : αυτου A 314. 2016 arm<sup>2</sup> sa | συνηγμενα] tr after πολεμον 2040 | τον A $\aleph$  046. 17 (-35. 175. 386. 617. 2020. 2040) al<sup>pl</sup> : > 025. 1. 35. 175. 250. 314. 386. 617. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> | ιππου] + (του) λευκου Pr arm bo sa eth | μετα<sup>2</sup> > bo sa eth | του στρατ.] των στρατευματων s arm |.

20. Kal<sup>2</sup> > PI | µет аυтои (µета тоитои 1. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2037. 2038) o N (1). 35. 69. 432. 1957. 2020. 2023\*\*. 2036. (2037. 2038). 2041. 2067 (Pr) vg s1 arm<sup>2.4</sup>: or (0 025 arm<sup>2</sup>) μετ αυτου (+ και bo) o A (025). 2021 (arm<sup>2</sup> bo) : or met avrov or (>424) 424 arm1 : 0 μετ αυτου 046. 17 (- 35. 2020). 250 alpl gig s2 | ψευδ.] ψευδοπροφηται 424 arm<sup>1</sup> | εν οισ επλαν. . . . τ. εικ. αντ.] quibus signis seducti erant adorare imaginem bestiae et qui acceperant caragma illius Pr | λαβοντασ ] πλανωντασ 177. 180. 337 | το χαραγμα ] та хараушата 456 | тои  $\pi$  роских.] он  $\pi$  роскихоих  $\tau$  egig :  $\tau$  wy  $\pi$  роскичоичтын s1 | туч ескога N\* 920. 1918 2020 : ту ескон AN° 025. 17 (- 920. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : To Xapayua 046 | (wrted) kal katebyoar kal  $s^1$ : DI kal  $s^2$ : Tou (wrtod arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup>; ζωντασ bo : > PI | εβληθησαν] βληθησονται Ι. 2019. 2020. 2038. 2067 : Balov arm bo sa or ovo tr after bew 920 : avrour arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> : τουσ δυο bo sa eth : >456 gig arm<sup>4</sup> | τ. πυρ. τ. καιομ.] την καιομ. πυρι και bo | τησ καιομενήσ AR 025 Pr vg : την καιομενην 046. 17 alonn gig bo | εν θειω] εν τω θειω 1. 172. 175. 617. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 al : et in sulphur gig : και θειου s<sup>1</sup> : igne et sulphore Pr (cf bo) |.

21. ρομφαια] + αυτου 2040 | ιππου] + (του) λευκου Pr eth | εκ<sup>1</sup>] απο 920 | ορνεα] ερπετα 617 |.

#### RESTORED ORDER OF THE TEXT.

## CHAPTERS XX. 1-3, XXI. 9-XXII. 2, XXII. 14. 15. 17.

XX. I. Kaì είδον άγγελον καταβαίνοντα έκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ, ἔχοντα τὴν κλεῦν τῆς ἀβύσσου καὶ ἄλυσιν μεγάλην Γἐπὶ τὴν χεῦρα] αὐτοῦ.

- 2. καὶ ἐκράτησεν τὸν δράκοντα, ὁ ὄφις ὑ ἀρχαίος, ὅς ἐστιν διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανῶς, καὶ ἔδησεν αὐτὸν χίλια ἔτη,
- καὶ ἔβαλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἄβυσσον,
   καὶ ἔκλεισεν καὶ ἐσφράγισεν ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ,
   ἶνα μὴ πλανήσῃ ἔτι τὰ ἔθνη,
   ἄχρι τελεσθŷ τὰ χίλια ἔτη.

μετά ταῦτα δεῖ λυθήναι αὐτὸν μικρὸν χρόνον.

**EX.** 1. appedor] pr allor  $\aleph^{\circ}$  2017. 2050 Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> <sup>2.</sup> « sa eth : + allor 336. 620. 1918 | «к т. ovp. >  $\aleph^*$  | кleiv] кleida (-av 620). 1. 104. 620. 2037. 2067 al | alvoriv μεγ.] alvoreoriv μεγ.  $\aleph^*$  : alvoris μεγαλη Tyc : ~ bo sa eth | μεγ. > Pr | «πι τ. χειρα A 046. 18 (- 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> : «ν τη χειρι  $\aleph$  2020 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa eth |.

**2.** o optor o apyator A (s?) :  $\tau ov optiv \tau ov apyatov N 046. 18$ **a**<sup>[omn]</sup> : serpentem (pr illum Pr : anguem Tyc) antiquum Tyc Pr $gig vg : <math>\tau ov apyatov 2036 | or A 046. 18 a<sup>[omn]</sup> : 0 N 2050 | <math>\epsilon \sigma \tau tv$ ] cognominatus est Pr |  $\delta ta \beta o \lambda or A 046. 18 (-2020. 2050). 250.$ 2037. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>4</sup> : pr 0 N 69. 498. 2020. 2036. 2050 arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa |  $\kappa \cdot o \sigma a\tau$ . > 2050 |  $\kappa at^2$  > bo sa eth |  $o^3$  AN 046. 35. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 617. 1934. 2020 a<sup>[mu]</sup> : > 051. 1. 18. 386. 620. 632. 920. 1849. 2004. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al | (o)  $\sigma a \tau a v a \sigma AN 1. 175. 617. 632^{**}$ . 2016. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. (2050) Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm bo :  $+ o \pi \lambda a v w \tau \tau n v o incou \mu e \tau n v o incou u e t n v o incou u e$ 

8. και . . . αβυσσον > arm<sup>4</sup> eth | εκλεισεν] εδησεν (+ αυτον I) 1. 181. 2036. 2037. 2038 : εδησεν και εκλεισεν arm<sup>4</sup> : + το στομα αυτησ b0 sa | εσφραγισεν] + σφραγιδι eth | επανω αυτου] εμμενωσ αυτον A | πλανηση (-σει N) AN I. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 al : πλανα (-η 2050) 046. 18 (-2040). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> | ετι] tr after εθνη s<sup>1</sup> : > I. 69. I4I Tyc b0 eth | τα<sup>1</sup>] > 35 : pr παντα s<sup>1</sup> | αχρι . . . ετη > s<sup>1</sup> | αχρι] αχρισ αν 2050 | τελεσθη] τελεσθωσι I4I. 24I. 386. 2067 | τα<sup>2</sup> > 05I. I. 18I. 2036. 2067 | μετα AN 046. xxi. 9. Καὶ ἦλθεν εἶς ἐκ τῶν ἐπτὰ ἀγγέλων τῶν ἐχόντων τὰς ἐπτὰ φιάλας, † τῶν γεμόντων † τῶν ἐπτὰ πληγῶν τῶν ἐσχάτων, καὶ ἐλάλησεν μετ' ἐμοῦ λέγων Δεῦρο, δείξω σοι τὴν νύμφην [τὴν γυναῖκα] τοῦ ἀρνίου. 10. καὶ ἀπήνεγκέν με ἐν πνεύματι ἐπὶ ὅρος μέγα καὶ ὑψηλόν, καὶ ἔδειξέν μοι τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἁγίαν Ἰερουσαλὴμ καταβαίνουσαν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ, 11. ἔχουσαν τὴν δόξαν τοῦ θεοῦ· ὁ φωστὴρ αὐτῆς ὅμοιος λίθω τιμιωτάτω, ὡς λίθω ἰάσπιδι

**18** (-35. 175. 617. 1934. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>(-v)</sup> s<sup>1</sup>: pr kai 1. 35. 175. 617. 1934. 2050 al vg<sup>v</sup> arm bo eth : +  $\delta\epsilon$  385. 429 s<sup>2</sup> |  $\delta\epsilon\epsilon$   $\lambda\nu\theta$ . avr.]  $\lambda\nu\theta\eta\sigma\epsilon$ rai s<sup>2</sup>:  $\lambda\nu\sigma\epsiloni$  ( $\epsilon\lambda\nu\sigmaav$  arm<sup>2</sup>) avr. arm<sup>1. 2</sup> |  $\lambda\nu\theta$ . avr. A 046. **18** (-35. 175. 617. 2020) al<sup>mu</sup> : ~  $\aleph$  1. 35. 175. 250. 617. 1957. 2016. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 2041. 2067 Pr gig vg |  $\lambda\nu\theta\eta\nuai$ ]  $\lambda\nu\sigmaai$  Tyc s<sup>1</sup> |  $\mu\iota\kappa\rhoov$   $\chi\rhoov$ .] ~ 2050 : pr  $\epsilon\taui$ bo sa |.

**EXI.** 9. etc] o *πρωτοσ* 172. 2018. 2020 |  $\epsilon\kappa > 1.172.205.$ 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 |  $\epsilon\pi\tau a^2 > \text{gig vg} | \tau\omega\nu$ *γεμοντων* AN\* 025. 181. 2036. 2038. 2050 :  $\tau\omega\nu$  *γεμουσων* N° :  $\tau\alpha\sigma$ *γεμουσασ* 1. 104. 2037 (s) : *γεμουσασ* 046. 18 (-2050). 61. 110. 385. 1957. 2016. 2023. 2037. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> : plenas Pr gig vg : εχουσασ 2018 |  $\tau\omega\nu^4$  AN 025. I. 35. 104. 172. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2018. 2023. 2037. 2050. 2067 al s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : > 046. 18 (-35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050). 250. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup> |  $\kappa\alpha\iota^2 > \text{bo sa } | \delta\epsilon\nu\rho\sigma$ ] +  $\kappa\alpha\iota$  vg<sup>g</sup> arm<sup>2.4</sup> : +  $\iota\nu a$  bo sa |  $\tau\eta\nu$  γυναικα (pr  $\kappa\alpha\iota$  arm<sup>1.2</sup>.  $\alpha$  : +  $\kappa\alpha\iota$  42. 2017) placed after  $\nu\nu\mu\phi\eta\nu$  AN 025. 35. 172. 218. 250. 2018. 2020 Tyc Pr gig vg s (arm<sup>1.2.a</sup>) bo sa eth : tr after *αρνιου* 051. I. 205. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : tr before  $\tau\eta\nu$   $\nu\mu\phi$ . 046. 18 (-35. 205. 2020). (42). 104. 110. 385. 2016. (2017). 2023 al<sup>pl</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>].

10. appressed in the second s

11. εχουσαν... θεου] > A 522: την φωτιζουσαν αυτην 2050: η εστιν αγια η γεμει δοξησ b0 | τ. δοξαν] + απο  $\aleph$  gig: lumen claritatis Pr | τ. θεου] + και Ι. 104. 205 Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. e</sup> (b0): + εν η η γυνη του αρνιου η ανω Ιερουσαλημ υπο θεου κοσμηθησεται και δοξασθησεται 743. 1075. 2067: > arm<sup>4</sup> | ο φωστηρ αυτ.] ωσ κρυσταλλίζοντι. 12. Εχουσα τείχος μέγα και ύψηλόν, εχουσα πυλωνας δώδεκα, και έπι τοις πυλωσιν άγγελους δώδεκα, και όνόματα επιγεγραμμένα, α έστιν τα όνόματα των δώδεκα φυλων υίων Ίσραήλ 13. άπο άνατολής πυλωνες τρείς, και άπο βορρά πυλωνες τρείς, και

φωσ τησ αυγησ  $s^2 : εν$  αυτη (ησ το  $arm^4 : + ην$   $arm^1$ ) φωσ  $arm | ομοιοσ] + εστιν Pr : > bo <math>arm^1 | λιθ. τιμ.] λιθου (-ων arm^1)$ τιμιου (-ων  $arm^1$ )  $arm^1$  bo : λιθοισ τιμιοισ  $arm^2 = : > arm^4 |$ τιμιωτατω] τιμιω gig vg  $s^1 | ωσ λιθ.]$  tr after ιασπιδι  $arm^4 : > 051$ . 1. 35<sup>\*</sup>. 94. 104. 181. 205. 241. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2038. 2050. 2067  $arm^2 : ωσ φωσ bo | κρυσταλλίζοντι AN 18 (-18. 337. 617.$ 632<sup>\*</sup>. 1934. 2020. 2050) : κρυσταλλίζοντι 025. 046. I. 18. 110. 172.337. 385. 498. 522. 617. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 1934. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037.2038. 2050 : sicut crystallum (cristallo gig) gig vg : refulgenti $in modum cristalli Pr : "crystal-seeming (-gleaming <math>arm^1 : > arm^4$ ) and (>  $arm^4$ ) luminous (living  $arm^2 \cdot e$ )" arm ].

19. exoural] exort & : exourar 172. 2018 Tyc : + te 1. 2037. 2067 : et habebat (-et Pr) Pr vg |  $v\psi\eta\lambda ov$ ] latum Pr | exovora<sup>2</sup>] erougar 104. 172. 2018. 2050 al Tyc : exortag N\* : pr kai 2036. 2037 : Kai 2067 bo : o exel Pr : Kai eixe arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> eth | Swoeka<sup>1</sup>] is 046. 35. 205. 337 | κ. επι τ. πυλ. αγγ. δωδεκα > A 2050 vgf arm4 | επι τοισ πυλωσιν 025. 046. 18 alfere omn : επι τουσ πυλωνασ \$ 94 : in portas (+ habens gig) Pr gig | ayy.] angulous Pr vga. c. v : pr τουσ bo | δωδεκα<sup>2</sup> 175. 617. 1934. 2020 : δεκαδυο 18 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1034. 2020). 104. 110. 172. 201. 498. 522. 2018. 2023. 2024 :  $(\beta' \ltimes 046.35.205 | ovoµata^1] + avtwv <math>\aleph s^1 | \epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \epsilon \gamma paµµ \epsilon va]$ γεγραµµενα  $\aleph$  gig vg<sup>c</sup> d. f  $\forall$  s<sup>1</sup> : εγγεγραµµενα (ενγ-2050) 94.2050. cf. inscripta vg<sup>a. g</sup> | a cotiv . . . Is  $pa\eta\lambda > arm^a$  | a cotiv > Pr arm<sup>2</sup> | τα ονοματα A s : tr after Ισραηλ 2050 : ονοματα (ονομα bo) 046. 18 (-35. 205. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> bo : > № 025. 1. 35. 205. 241. 432. 1918. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Pr arm<sup>2</sup> | δωδεκα<sup>8</sup>] ιβ κ 046. 35. 205 | υιων Ακ 046. 18 (-35. 205. 386. 920. 2050). 250. 2038 al<sup>mu</sup>: pr των 025. 051. 1. 35. 104. 1957. 2023. 2041. 2050 al arm<sup>4</sup> : >181. 201. 241. 386. 517. Q20. 2017. 2036. 2037. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1 2 a</sup> | Ισραηλ] pr του 201. 205. 386. 2017. 2036. 2067 |.

18. ανατολησ AN 025. I. 205. 2017. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 S: ανατολων 046. 18 (-205. 2020. 2050). 250 al | και<sup>1.2.3</sup> > 051. I. 205 arm<sup>1.4.</sup> « Tyc Pr | και<sup>2.3</sup> > 2037. 2038. 2067 βορρα (-ρασ 2050 : βορα 920) . . . νοτου . . . δυσμων N° 025. 046. 18 (-386). 250. (2037). 2038. (2067) al<sup>fore oma</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>4.4</sup> : βορρα . . . βορρα . . . νοτου N\* : βορρα . . . δυσμων . . . νοτου (μεσημβριασ I) A I : δυσμων . . . βορρα . . . νοτου 386. 1957 arm<sup>1.2.4</sup> : νοτου . . βορρα . . . δυσμων 522 : νοτου . . . δυσμων . . . βορρα (b0) : + και απο μεσημβριασ πυλωνεσ τρεισ 2037. 2067 | τρεισ<sup>1.2.8</sup>]  $\gamma'$  046. 35. 337 |. άπὸ νότου πυλώνες τρεῖς, καὶ ἀπὸ δυσμῶν πυλῶνες τρεῖς. 14. καὶ τὸ τεῖχος τῆς πόλεως ἔχων θεμελίους δώδεκα, καὶ ἐπ' αὐτῶν δώδεκα ὄνόματα τῶν δώδεκα ἀποστόλων τοῦ ἀρνίου. 15. Καὶ ὁ λαλῶν μετ' ἐμοῦ εἶχεν μέτρον κάλαμον χρυσοῦν, ἶνα μετρήσῃ τὴν πόλιν καὶ τοὺς πυλῶνας αὐτῆς καὶ τὸ τεῖχος αὐτῆς. 16. καὶ ἡ πόλις τετράγωνος κεῖται, καὶ τὸ μῆκος αὐτῆς ὅσον καὶ τὸ πλάτος. καὶ ἐμέτρησεν τὴν πόλιν τῷ καλάμῷ ἐπὶ <sup>Γ</sup>σταδίους<sup>1</sup> δώδεκα χιλιάδων τὸ μῆκος καὶ τὸ σταδίων πλάτος καὶ τὸ ὖψος αὐτῆς ἴσα ἐστίν. 17. καὶ ἐμέτρησεν τὸ τεῖχος

14. TO TEIXOJ muri Pr arm<sup>1</sup> | EXWV A 025. 046. I. 104. 498. 522. 1849. 2017. 2038 : EXOV N° 051. 18 (-1849. 2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> : habens gig vg : EIXE 2020 arm<sup>a</sup> : habent Pr : >N\* 2050 arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> |  $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda$ . Subserval ~ 149. 1948 bo eth : Treime*λιουσ τρεισ* 2050 | Subserval ] *iB* N 046. 35. 205. 337 | κ. ет. avt > 1\*. 104 | ет avtwv] in ipso gig : pr γεγραμμενα (-ov bo) bo eth | Subserval - . . . apviov > 2050 | Subserval - *iB* (pr twv 35<sup>\*</sup>) 046. 35<sup>\*\*</sup>. 205. 337 : δεκαδυο 93. 94 : > 1<sup>\*</sup>. 104 Pr bo | ovoμara] ovoμa bo | Subserval - *iB* N 046. 35. 325. 337 : > Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. \*</sup> | tov apviov] pr και Tyc Pr : του viov s<sup>1</sup> |.

16.  $\kappa a\iota^1 > 2050 \text{ arm}^4 | \mu \epsilon \tau \rho ov \kappa a \lambda a \mu ov AN<sup>*</sup> 025. 046. 18$  $(-2050). 250. 2067 <math>al^{pl}$  Tyc gig s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>: harundinem (auream) ad mensuram Pr:  $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho ov \kappa a \lambda a \mu ov N^c$  517. 2016. 2050 cf mensuram harundineam vg:  $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho ov \kappa a \lambda a \mu ov S^1$ :  $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho ov > 1. 2036.$ 2037. 2038  $arm^{2.*}$  bo eth:  $\kappa a \lambda a \mu ov > s^1$ :  $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho ov > 1. 2036.$ 2037. 2038  $arm^{2.*}$  bo eth:  $\kappa a \lambda a \mu ov > s^1$  im<sup>2</sup> |  $\kappa \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \chi or$ (ra  $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \eta$  Pr arm bo eth)  $a v \tau \eta \sigma$  (>vg) AN 025. 175. 205. 617. 632. 1934. 2020. 2050 Pr gig vg s arm bo eth: >046. 051. 18. 35. 325. 337. 386. 456. 620. 920. 1849. 2004  $al^{pl}$ ].

16. autryo] tr before  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \nu \sigma \sigma \Re : > arm^1 | \kappa a \iota^3 A 2050 Pr$ vg s² arm<sup>2.4.a</sup> bo eth : > N 025. 046. 18 (-2050). 250. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\pi \lambda a \tau \sigma \sigma$ ] + a  $\nu \tau \eta \sigma$  s<sup>1</sup> arm |  $\tau \omega \kappa a \lambda a \mu \omega A \Re$ 046. 18 (-35. 175. 205. 617) : pr ev 025. 1. 35 175. 181. 205. 250. 617. 2016. 2017. 2036. 2037<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2038. 2067 : harundine (pr de vg) Pr gig vg sa : > bo arm |  $\epsilon \pi \iota$ ] per gig vg : ab Pr |  $\sigma \tau a \delta \iota \sigma \omega \in \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ A$  ( $\aleph^\circ$ ) 046. 18 (-205). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> :  $\sigma \tau a \delta \iota \omega \nu \aleph^*$ 025. 1. 205. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 |  $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa a A \aleph 025.$ 2050 :  $\iota \beta' \circ 046.$  1. 35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2018. 2038 :  $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \delta \iota \omega 18$  (-35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050). 110. 201. 385. 498. 522. 2016 al<sup>mn</sup> |  $\chi \iota \lambda a \delta \omega \alpha A \aleph 025.$  35°\*. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2050). 250 al<sup>mn</sup> (s<sup>2</sup>) : > arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\tau \omega \mu \eta \kappa \sigma \sigma$  ]  $\mu \eta \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma$  bo sa : pr  $\kappa a 2020 s^3$  : + autryo s<sup>1</sup> arm |  $\pi \lambda a \tau \sigma \sigma$ ] + autryo 2050 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2.4.\*</sup> bo sa eth |  $\kappa.$  $\tau. w \psi \sigma > arm<sup>2</sup>$  | autryo<sup>2</sup> > 205. 2050 Pr gig arm |.

17. εμετρησεν (-τρισεν 620. 2050) ΑΝ 025. 35. 620. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr vg<sup>a, d. v</sup> s arm : εμετρηθη gig vg<sup>a, f. g</sup> bo : > 046. 18 (-35. 620. 2050). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> | τειχοσ] χιλοσ Ν : muros αὐτῆς ἐκατὸν τεσσεράκοντα τεσσάρων πηχῶν, μέτρον ἀνθρώπου, δ ἐστιν ἀγγέλου.

- 18 και ή ένδώμησις του τείχους αύτης ίασπις,
  - καὶ ἡ πόλις χρυσίον καθαρὸν ὅμοιον ὑάλψ καθαρψ
- [oi] θεμέλιοι τοῦ τείχους τῆς πόλεως παντὶ λίθω τιμίφ κεκοσμημένοι
  - ό θεμέλιος ό πρώτος ίασπις, ό δεύτερος σάπφειρος, ό τρίτος χαλκηδών,

 $vg^{c. d. ν}$  | εκατον τεσσερακ. τεσσ.] δ και εκατον τεσσερακοντα A : εκατον μδ Ν : ρμδ 046. Ι. 18. 35. 104. 325. 337. 456. 632. 920. 2004. 2020 : nonaginto octo gig | τεσσερακ.] τεσσαρακοντα 025. 175. 205. 386. 617. 620. 1934 : σερακοντα (σαρακοντα 2050) 1849. 2050 | τεσσαρων] τεσσαρισ χιλιαδέσ 2050 | πηχων] πηχεων Ν : σταδιων arm<sup>a</sup> : > Pr b0 | μετρον]μετρω s<sup>1</sup> : μετρα (placed before πηχων s<sup>8</sup>) s<sup>8</sup> b0 | ανθρωπου] ουρανου 2050 |.

18. κ. η ενδωμ. του τειχ.] in structura murus gig | η<sup>1</sup> AN° 025 Tyc s arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> : ην K\* : ην η 046. 18. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Pr vg bo | ενδωμησισ ΑΝ° 498. 2020 : εν δωμασι N\* cf gig : ενδωσισ 2050 : ενδομησισ 025. 046. 051. 18 (- 2020. 2050) alpi | aυτησ > 175. 2050 Tyc  $arm^4$  | ιασπισ] ex lapide iaspidi Pr vg  $(arm^{2.4})$ : pr ωσ bo : > Tyc | χρυσ. καθ.] χρυσιω καθαρω 2014. 2034. 2036. 2042 vg : xpuoriou (pr ek Pr) kadapou Pr s arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> υμοιον] ομοια 051. 1. 35. 205. 2036. 2038 Pr : pr και gig | υαλω AN 025. 046. 18. 175. 337. 456. 617. 920. 1934 : νελω (νελλω 385. 498) 35. 205. 325. 386. 620. 632. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2050  $al^{pl}$  | καθαρω >025 | η πυλισ χρυσιον . . . καθαρω] civitas aurum mundum (purum gig) simile (+et gig) vitro mundo (puro gig) Tyc gig : ipsa vero civitas ex (>vg) auro mundo similis vitreo (simile vitro vg) mundo Pr vg :  $\eta \pi o \lambda \omega (+ o \lambda \eta \operatorname{arm}^4) \chi \rho \upsilon \sigma \omega \upsilon$ καθαρου ομοιον (Or ομοια) υαλω καθαρω s  $arm^{1.2.4.a}$ : "the city was wrought of gold pure like glass pure" bo : opioia  $\eta$  modio wo ησ η ποιησισ εστι εν χρυσιω καθαρω eth |.

**19.** oi  $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda i oi AN^{c} 025. 046.$ **18**(-35). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc vg sa :pr και N<sup>\*</sup> I. 35. 104. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 s armbo eth : pr ubi gig : + autem Pr | τησ πολεωσ] + ομοίω 2050 : >Pr | παντι λιθ. τιμ.] omnia ex (ex omni Pr) lapide pretioso TycPr : λιθοίσ τιμιοίσ s<sup>1</sup> : παντων λίθων τιμίων arm | τιμω κεκοσμημενοί] ~ 205 | κεκοσμημενοί] -μενω 1849. 2004 : >6I. 2050 Tyc $Pr gig arm | o <math>\theta \epsilon \mu$ . . . . ιασπίσ > arm<sup>4</sup> | o  $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda i os]$  pr και s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> eth : + του τείχουσ arm<sup>1. 2. e</sup> : >gig | πρωτοσ] είσ N | o<sup>3</sup>] pr και N Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> eth | σαπφειροσ] σαμπφειροσ 2017 : -ηροσ 051. 2020. 2050 : -ιροσ 025. 046. 2004 Pr vg gig bo : saffyrus Tyc | o<sup>4</sup>] pr και N s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> eth. (Also before o<sup>5</sup> and ver. 20 o<sup>1.9</sup> N arm<sup>3</sup> eth add και : s<sup>1</sup> except ver. 20 o<sup>8.9</sup>) | τριτοσ] γ' N (also δ' ε' . . .

366

- 20. δ τέταρτος σμάραγδος, δ πέμπτος σαρδόνυξ, δ ἕκτος σάρδιον, δ ἕβδομος χρυσόλιθος, δ ὄγδοος βήρυλλος, δ ἔνατος τοπάζιον,
  - δ δέκατος χρυσόπρασος, δ ένδέκατος υάκινθος, δ δωδέκατος άμέθυστος
- 21. καὶ οἱ δώδεκα πυλῶνες δώδεκα μαργαρῖται, ἀνὰ εἶς ἔκαστος τῶν πυλώνων ἦν ἐξ ἐνὸς μαργαρίτου· καὶ ἡ πλατεῖα τῆς πόλεως χρυσίον καθαρὸν ὡς ὕαλος διαυγής.
- 22. καὶ ναὸν οὐκ εἶδον ἐν αὐτῆ,
  ὁ γὰρ κύριος, ὁ θεὸς ὁ παντοκράτωρ, ὁ ναὸς αὐτῆς ἐστίν,
  καὶ τὸ ἀρνίον < ἡ κιβωτὸς τῆς διαθήκης αὐτῆς >.(a)

(a) Lacuna restored by means of xi. 19. See vol. 11. 170 sq.

(β) | χαλκηδων] χαλκι- (χαλκε- 2020) 046 min<sup>mu</sup> vg<sup>a</sup> c: καρχ- 2018. 2050 vg<sup>f</sup> g v s<sup>1</sup> b0 : καλιδων s<sup>2</sup> : sardonius (-icus vg<sup>d</sup>) Tyc vg<sup>d</sup> |.

20. Juapapoor aspect 2050 | Japon vit Japon 2050 | Japon vit 149. 1934 alp : σαλδονυξ 2024 : σαρδιονυξ (-δινυξ 2050) Α 2050 | σαρδιον] σαρδιοσ 1. 35. 104. 205. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Pr vg : sardinus (-onium Tyc : -inon bo) Tyc  $vg^{v}$  bo :  $\sigma a \rho i \delta o \nu$  ( $\sigma a \rho \delta o \nu$  s<sup>1</sup>) s | βηρυλλοσ κ min<sup>pl</sup> vg s : βηριλλοσ A 385 (Tyc) Pr gig : βηρυλλιοσ (-ιον 025 : βιρυλλ- 046 : βηριλλ- 1) 025. 046. 051. 1 61. 104. 175. 617. 2016. 2036. 2037. 2038\*\* : βηρυλλησ 2050 : βυρηλλοσ (βυριλλ- 2023 bo) 149. 2023 bo | ενατοσ Α 046 minpl : εννατοσ 025. 051. 35\*. 205. 241. 242. 385. 522. 632. 2016. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 almu: εθ Ν | τοπαζιον] τοπαδιον Ν\* (s<sup>2</sup>): τοπανζιον (τοπανδιον s<sup>1</sup>) 025 s<sup>1</sup> : παζιον 456 : topaxinos Pr · dopation bo | χρυσοπρασοσ] - ον A : - ιοσ N° : - σσοσ 104 Pr vga g : ινοσ 42. 325. 517. 620. 1918 Tyc : - πασοσ (-πασσοσ 498 : παστοσ 61. 141. 2024). 61. 82. 141. 337. 385. 429<sup>\*</sup>. 456. 498. 522. 1849. 2024 : chrysoliprassus vg<sup>f. v</sup> | υακινθοσ] υαλινθινον Τyc (bo) : iacinctus gig | aueovoroo A 025. 046. 110. 175. 325. 456. 617. 620. 2004. 2038\* almu : -woo N\* : aµeθυσοσ N° 051. 18 (-175. 325. 456. 617. 2004). 1. 104 alpl : αμυθεσοσ s1 .

**21.** or dudera muluwes] duodecim portas Pr : ideo Tyc | dud.  $\mu a p y. > Pr$  | dudera? > \* 2030 b0 |  $\mu a p y a p i rai$ ] + eisin vg | ara] ira A 2018 : pr kai 456 : pr eis s<sup>1</sup> | eis] + kai 025 Tyc vg s<sup>1</sup> : > 205 |  $\tau w r \pi v \lambda$ . . .  $\mu a p y a p i \tau v > b0 | \tau$ .  $\pi v \lambda$ .  $\eta v > Pr | \pi v \lambda w v w$ ]  $\pi v \lambda w 498. 2020 : + w * * | ef] pr ws 025. 046. 61. 2036 | <math>\pi \lambda a \tau$ .  $\tau$ .  $\pi o \lambda$ .] plateas eius Pr |  $\chi o v \sigma$ .  $\kappa a \theta$ .] ex auro limpido (puro s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2</sup> \*) Pr s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.2</sup> \* | ws]  $\kappa a \iota o 51$  Tyc |  $v a \lambda \sigma \sigma$  (-ov 205) A\* 025. 046. 175. 205. 456. 617 :  $v \epsilon \lambda \sigma \sigma$  ( $v \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ - 385. 498. 2020) min<sup>pl</sup> | diavy of diavy es 205 !.

29. raov oux eidor] oux eidor vaor bo sa eth | er avry] er avrw 149 : > eth | o yap] or o  $\aleph^*$  : o yap o  $\aleph^c$  | ruplos o (> $\aleph^c$ )  $\theta$ eos AN 025. 046 min<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2.4</sup> e bo sa :  $\theta$ eos 337. 1934 eth : ruplos 920 s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> | o<sup>4</sup> A s<sup>2</sup> : auros s<sup>1</sup> : >  $\aleph$  025. 046

- 23. καὶ ἡ πόλις οὐ χρείαν ἔχει τοῦ ἡλίου οὐδὲ τῆς σελήνης ἶνα φαίνωσιν αὐτῆ, ἡ γὰρ δόξα τοῦ θεοῦ ἐφώτισεν αὐτήν, καὶ ὁ λύχνος αὐτῆς τὸ ἀρνίον.
- 24. καὶ περιπατήσουσιν τὰ ἔθνη διὰ τοῦ φωτὸς αὐτῆς, καὶ οἱ βασιλεῖς τῆς γῆς φέρουσιν τὴν δόξαν αὐτῶν εἰς αὐτήν,
- 25. καὶ οἱ πυλῶνες αὐτῆς οὐ μὴ κλεισθῶσιν ἡμέρας † νὺξ γὰρ οὐκ ἔσται ἐκεῖ †. (a)

26. καὶ οἴσουσιν τὴν δόξαν καὶ τὴν τιμὴν τῶν ἐθνῶν εἰς αὐτήν, (a) Read και νυκτός. See vol. ii. 173.

min<sup>omn</sup> | o vaos avr.  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ] tr after aprior Pr |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v > 104$  |  $\tau o > 2050$  |  $a \rho \iota \iota o v$ ] + "the only begotten son (>2) of God (+is 2) altar of holiness "  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2}$  |  $\kappa$ .  $\tau o \ a \rho \iota \iota o v$ ] joined with what follows  $046 \ s^1$  |.

28. πολισ] + αυτη 498. 2020 | εχει] ειχε arm bo | ουδε] ουτε 2050 : και arm<sup>1.2.e</sup> | ινα] et Tyc | φαινωσιν] φαινουσιν 051. 2050 : φαινη Pr | αυτη ΑΝ<sup>\*</sup> 025. 046. 18 (-35) al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig s eth : pr εν N<sup>c</sup> 051. 35. 1957. 2023. 2037. 2041 al<sup>p</sup> Vg bo sa : αυτην 2018. 2036. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> (arm<sup>1.2.e</sup>) | αὐτỹ<sup>•</sup> η γαρ ΑΝ 025. 35. 175. 205. 617. 2020. 2050 al Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1.e</sup> bo eth : αὐτὴ γαρ η 046. 18 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 2020. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | θεου] κυριου Pr | εφωτισεν] φωτιζει Pr eth : φωτισει Tyc vg<sup>d. g. v</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> | αυτησ] αυτου και 2037 : + και 2036 : + εστιν 2021 Tyc gig vg s<sup>1</sup> : + ην arm<sup>1.2.e</sup> |. 24. εθνη] + των σωζομενων Ι | δια τ. φωτοσ] εν τω φωτι 2050

24.  $\epsilon\theta\eta\eta$ ] +  $\tau\omega\nu$   $\sigma\omega'_{0}\rho\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$  I |  $\delta\iotaa$   $\tau$ .  $\phi\omega\tau\sigma\sigma$ ]  $\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\omega$   $\phi\omega\tau\iota$  2050 Tyc vg<sup>v</sup> |  $\kappa a\iota$   $o\iota$  > 2050 |  $\phi\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu$  (afferent gig vg bo : conferent Pr) AN 025. 35. 2020. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : + autw (auth 205) 046. 18 (-35. 2020. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> |  $\tau\eta\nu$  AN 025. 35.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050 : >046. 18 (-35.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> |  $\delta\sigma\epsilona\nu$  AN 025. I. 35. 60. 94. 241.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 Pr gig (vg) s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa : +  $\kappa a\iota$  (+ $\tau\eta\nu$  250)  $\tau\iota\mu\eta\nu$  046. 18 (-35.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050). 42. 110. 201. 209. 242. 250. 385. 429. 498. 522. 2016. 2017. 2024. 2039 al<sup>inu</sup> | autw AN 025. 35.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr gig (vg s<sup>2</sup>) arm<sup>4</sup> sa :  $\tau\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\theta\nu\omega\nu$  (>205) 046. 18 (-35.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> (b0) : +  $\kappa a\iota$   $\tau\eta\nu$  $\tau\mu\eta\nu$  (+ $\tau\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\theta\nu\omega\nu$  s<sup>2</sup>) vg s<sup>2</sup> bo | verses 25, 26 > 337 |.

**25.** or > 205 | or  $\pi \nu \lambda$ . aut.] tr after  $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma i \nu$  sa eth |  $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \sigma$ ]  $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \mathbb{N}^{*}$ : +  $\kappa a i \nu \nu \kappa \tau \sigma \sigma \operatorname{arm}^{a}$  |  $\nu \nu \xi \gamma a \rho$ ]  $\kappa a i \nu \nu \xi \operatorname{arm}^{1.a}$  bo |  $o \nu \kappa$ ] oukeri 205  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.a}$  |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau a i$ ]  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu \delta i \gamma$  Tyc  $\operatorname{arm}^{2.4}$ :  $\eta \nu \operatorname{arm}^{1.a}$  |  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i$ ] tr before ouk  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu$  Tyc |.

**26.** outpourse] ponet  $\Pr'$ :  $\eta \xi output bo^{codd}$  (outpourse bo<sup>a</sup>) |  $\tau \eta r^2$ > 2050 |  $\delta o \xi a r$ ] + autour bo eth |  $\tau \iota \mu \eta r$ ] + autour bo eth |  $\tau u r$ ethuse]  $\tau a$  ethug bo eth |  $\epsilon \iota \sigma$  autour (in illa gig : in ea Pr) At 025. 35. 205.  $632^{**}$ . 2050 Pr gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : +  $\iota ra \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda t u \sigma \iota r 046$ . **18** (-35. 205.  $632^{**}$ . 2050) al<sup>pl</sup>].

- 27. καὶ οὐ μὴ εἰσέλθη εἰς αὐτὴν † πῶν κοινὸν † (a) καὶ ποιῶν βδέλυγμα καὶ ψεύδος, εἰ μὴ οἱ γεγραμμένοι ἐν τῷ βιβλίφ τῆς ζωῆς τοῦ ἀρνίου.
- xxii. 1. Καὶ ἔδειξέν μοι ποταμὸν ὕδατος ζωῆς λαμπρὸν ὡς κρύσταλλον,
  - έκπορευόμενον έκ τοῦ θρόνου τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ ἀρνίου 2. ἐν μέσω τῆς πλατείας αὐτῆς,
  - καί του ποταμού έντεύθεν και έκείθεν ξύλον ζωής,

「ποιοῦν] καρποὺς δώδεκα, κατὰ μῆνα ἕκαστον 「ἀποδιδοῦν] τὸν καρπὸν αὐτοῦ· καὶ τὰ φύλλα τοῦ ξύλου εἰς θεραπείαν τῶν ἐθνῶν. ποιών άποδιδούς

(a) Primitive corruption for  $\pi \hat{a}s$  karós. As the rest of the verse (cf. xxii. 15) shows, only persons are contemplated : cf. vol. ii. 173 sq. arm<sup>4</sup> (2. a) definitely restore the text. s<sup>1. 2</sup> are susceptible of either text.

**87.** και<sup>1</sup> > vg<sup>d</sup> bo | εισελθη] εισελθωσιν  $\aleph$  : εισελθοι 2050 : εσται s<sup>1</sup> | εισ αυτην] επ αυτην 2050 : in ea Pr gig vg : εκει s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. ε</sup> | παν κοινον] "anyone corrupt (of evil will arm<sup>1. 2. ε</sup>)" arm | ποιων A<sup>8°</sup> 61. 94. 2021. 2032. 2050 : ποιουν 025. 046. 051. I. 35. 175. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 : faciens Tyc Pr vg : ο ποιων N<sup>\*</sup> 18 (-35. 175. 617. 1934. 2050). 42. 82. 93. 104. 110. 141. 201. 241 336 al<sup>pl</sup> s (arm<sup>4</sup>) : quod facit gig : α ποιουντεσ bo | βδελυγμα] pr ωσει N<sup>\*</sup> | και ψευδοσ] vitae Pr | α γεγραμμενοι] οι εγγεγραμ. 2016. 2050 : τα γεγραμμενα s<sup>1</sup> : quorum nomina illorum (sua arm<sup>2</sup>) scripta sunt arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | εν] επι bo | τω βιβλιω] τη βιβλω 2050 | τησ ζωησ > Pr s<sup>1</sup> | του αρνιου] του ουρανου Ν : του βιβλιου 2050 : illus agni Pr |.

**EXIL** 1. **MOTAMOV** AN 025. 046. 17 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2020) al<sup>mu</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>(1). 4</sup> bo sa eth : +  $\kappa a \theta a \rho o v$  35. 104. 175. 205. 209. 218. 242. 250. 506. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 : pr  $\kappa a \theta a \rho o v$  1 arm<sup>a</sup> |  $v \delta a \tau o \sigma \zeta \omega \eta \sigma$ ]  $v \delta \omega \rho \zeta \omega v$  arm<sup>4</sup> :  $\zeta \omega v \tau a v \delta a \tau o \sigma a rm<sup>a</sup>$  : aquae vivae gig vg<sup>a. c. f</sup> |  $\zeta \omega \eta \sigma > Tyc$  |  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o \sigma 2050$  :  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o v$ gig : candidum Pr eth : pr  $\kappa a \theta a \rho o v \kappa a \iota s^1$  : >141. 2020. 2038 Tyc |  $\omega \sigma$ ]  $\omega \sigma \epsilon \iota 175. 617$  |  $\kappa \rho v \sigma \tau a \lambda \lambda o v$ ] - $\sigma \sigma 2050$  : - $\sigma \tau a \lambda o v 632$  : +  $\kappa a \iota s^1$  |  $\tau o v^1 > N$  |  $\theta \rho o v o v$ ]  $\sigma \tau o \mu a \tau o \sigma 61$  |.

**2.** ev  $\mu e\sigma w$ ]  $\epsilon \mu \mu e\sigma w$  A 2050 : pr kai 2050 gig s<sup>1</sup> : per mediam (plateam) Pr |  $\tau \eta \sigma \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a \sigma$ ]  $\tau w \pi \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i w v$  s<sup>1</sup>  $a r m^{1. a}$  :  $\tau \eta \sigma \pi \sigma \lambda e \omega \sigma$ 1934 |  $\kappa a \iota^{1}$ ] ett s<sup>1</sup> :  $+ \epsilon \pi \iota s^{2}$  |  $\tau o v \pi \sigma \tau$ .] tr after  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon v$  Tyc Pr vg s<sup>1</sup> |  $\epsilon v \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon v$  N<sup>\*</sup> |  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \theta$ .  $\xi v \lambda_{\sigma} \langle \omega \eta \sigma \rangle > N^*$  :  $\epsilon v \theta \epsilon v$  N<sup>c</sup> |  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon v$ A 046. 17 ( - 35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050. 250 al<sup>pl</sup> gig s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. a</sup> bo sa :  $\epsilon v \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon v$  051. 1. 35. 205. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> |  $\xi v \lambda \sigma v$ ] "trees" arm<sup>a</sup> |  $\pi o \omega v v$  046. 051. 17 al<sup>fere orman</sup> :  $\pi o \omega v A$  94 |  $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa a$ ]  $\iota \beta'$  35. 205. 337. 617 : duodecies gig arm<sup>1</sup> :  $+ \kappa a \iota s^{1}$  |  $\kappa a \tau a \mu \eta v a (\mu \eta v a v A) \epsilon \kappa a \sigma \tau o v a \pi o \delta u \delta v v$ 

VOL. 11.-24

- Μακάριοι οἱ πλύνοντες τὰς στολὰς αὐτῶν,
   ἴνα ἔσται ἡ ἐξουσία αὐτῶν ἐπὶ τὸ ξύλον τῆς ζωῆς,
   καὶ τοῖς πυλῶσιν εἰσέλθωσιν εἰς τὴν πόλιν.
- 15. ἕξω οἱ κύνες καὶ οἱ φαρμακοί, καὶ οἱ πόρνοι καὶ οἱ φονεῖς καὶ οἱ εἰδωλολάτραι, καὶ πῶς φιλῶν καὶ ποιῶν ψεῦδος.
- καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα καὶ ἡ νύμφη λέγουσιν "Ερχου'
   καὶ ὁ ἀκούων εἰπάτω Ἐρχου'
   καὶ ὁ διψῶν ἐρχέσθω'
   ἱ θέλων λαβέτω ὕδωρ ζωῆς δωρεάν.

( $\delta \iota \delta \sigma \iota 175$ ) A 175. 250. 617. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s<sup>1</sup>? : ката μηνа екаσтоν (εкаσтог 2020) апоδιδουν N 35. 2020. 2050 al : κ. μηνа (> arm<sup>1</sup>) ενα εκαστον αποδιδουν (-διδουτ a 1 : -διδουσ 051. 2038) 051. 1. 205. 2038. 2067 (arm<sup>1. e</sup>) : κ. μ. αποδιδουσ εκαστοσ 325. 337. 456. 620 al s<sup>2</sup>? : κ. μ. αποδιδουν (-διδουν 386. 632) εκαστον 18. 386. 632. 1849. 1934. 2004 al : κ. μ. αποδιδουσ εκαστω 046. (141. 1918) : per singulos menses et (> Pr gig) reddens (reddentes Pr) Tyc Pr gig : per menses singulos reddens vg<sup>d. v</sup> : per menses singulos (singula vg<sup>e. f. g</sup>) reddentia vg<sup>e. c. f. g</sup> | αποδιδ. τ. καρπ. αυτ. > b0 eth | τ. καρπον] τουσ καρπουσ N s<sup>1</sup> : τον > 1. 18. 61. 141. 385. 429. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 1849. 2004 | αυτον] αυτων 2050 arm<sup>a</sup> : > 205 | τ. ξυλ.] των ξυλων N arm<sup>a</sup> : αυτου s<sup>1</sup> : + καταγγελλεται 175. 218. 617. 2016. 2017 | των] > N : "the eyes of the" bo |.

14. πλυνοντέσ (-αντέσ 104. 2050) τασ στολασ αυτων (+in sanguine agni vg<sup>d</sup>) AN 104. 2020. 2050 (Pr) vg sa eth : ποιουντέσ τασ εντολασ αυτου (εμου 2067) 046. 17 (-2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> gig Cyp s arm<sup>(1), 4. a</sup> b0 : (qui) servant mandata haec Tyc | ινα > s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> | εσται] tr after αυτων<sup>2</sup> bo sa | αυτων<sup>2</sup>]+ωσ δε η εξουσια N\* | τοισ πυλ.] τω πυλωνι s<sup>1</sup> b0 : +ου μη 2050 | εισελθωσιν] εισελευσονται s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> b0 | εισ την πολιν]+την αγιαν Pr : τησ πολεωσ (+του θεου arm<sup>1</sup>) arm<sup>1. a</sup> |.

15. εξω... φαρμακοι] tr after ειδωλολατραι s<sup>1</sup> | εξω] + δε (και s<sup>1</sup>) s<sup>1</sup> bo sa : foris autem remanebunt Pr arm<sup>1</sup> : "and there shall go forth" arm<sup>4. a</sup> eth | κυνεσ] κοινοι s<sup>1</sup> | οι φαρμακοι] malefici Pr | και<sup>2</sup> > Tyc | οι<sup>5</sup> > 175. 1934 | ειδωλολατραι] + venefici Pr : + "adulterers" arm<sup>1</sup> | πασ ΑΝ 046. 17 (-175. 205. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020. 2050) al<sup>mu</sup> : + ο I. 104. 175. 205. 250. 429\*. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2020. 2032. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> : παντεσ οι 94 arm bo eth | φιλων και ποιων Α 046. 17 (-175. 617. 1934). 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> Tyc Pr vg s<sup>(1). 2</sup> : ποιων και (+ ο 2018. 2032) φιλων N 91. 175. 218. 242. 250. 424. 617. 664. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2018 gig : ποιουντεσ arm<sup>4</sup> bo : φιλουντεσ arm<sup>4</sup> : φιλουντεσ ποιειν eth |.

17.  $\kappa \alpha \iota^1 > Tyc \mid \tau o > \aleph$  bo  $\mid \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \rceil + a \gamma \iota o \nu a rm^1$  eth  $\mid \eta$ 

370

XX. 4.]

#### CHAPTER XX. 4-15.

- 4° Kai < είδον> τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν πεπελεκισμένων διὰ τὴν μαμτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ (a)
  - d και διά τον λόγον του θεού,
  - καί [οίτινες] ού προσεκύνησαν το θηρίον
  - ούδε την εικόνα αυτού,
  - <sup>8</sup> καὶ οὖκ ἕλαβον τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον
    <sup>b</sup> καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν χεῦρα αὐτῶν,
  - καὶ εἶδον θρόνους καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπ' αὐτούς,
    καὶ κρίμα ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς.

(a) I have restored 4<sup>a. b</sup> to their original place after 4<sup>b</sup>. See vol. ii. 182 sq.

> \* |  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \upsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ]  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \upsilon \circ 051.$  35 |  $\epsilon \rho \chi o \upsilon^1 > \operatorname{arm}^4$  | kal o akouwu . . .  $\epsilon \rho \chi o \upsilon > \operatorname{arm}^1$  eth |  $o^1 > 18$  | o akouwu ] qui vidit gig : pr his Pr |  $\epsilon \rho \chi o \upsilon ~ \kappa a \iota^2 > Pr$  | kal  $4 > \operatorname{arm}^1$  |  $o^2 > 456$  |  $\epsilon \rho \chi e \sigma \theta \omega$ ] + et bibat Pr | o  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ ] pr kal 209. 218. 2050 s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> sa : > gig s<sup>1</sup> |  $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$  Tyc s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : pr kal s<sup>2</sup> |  $\zeta \omega \eta \sigma$ ] pr tho 2050 ].

**Tr.** 4<sup>o-h</sup>. και ειδον (2050) Cyp : και AN 046. **18** (-2050) al<sup>omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm : περι b0 eth | τ. πεπελεκισμενων]-κιμενων 175 : -κημενων 201. 386 : τ. πεπολεμημενων A : occisorum Pr gig Cyp : decollatorum vg : τασ πεπελεκισμενασ s<sup>1</sup> | κ. οιτινεσ] ει τινεσ ουν N cf et si qui Pr gig : "they are those who" arm<sup>1. g.</sup> = [ προσεκυνησαν] προσεκυνουν 386 | το (τω 920) θηριον AN 046. **18** (-18. 35. 175. 337. 617. 620. 2040) al<sup>pl</sup> : τω (το 620) θηριω I. 18. 35. 175. 250. 337. 617. 620. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2040. 2067 al | συδε] συτε 051. I. 35. 175. 250. 617. 2037. 2038. 2067 al | συδε] συτε 051. I. 35. 175. 250. 617. 2037. 2050. 2037<sup>#</sup>. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> : τη εικονι 104. 620. 1957. 2023. 2030. 1. 250. 2037<sup>#</sup>. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> : τη εικονι 104. 620. 1957. 2023. 2037<sup>#</sup>. 2067 al | ελάβον] ελαβε 18 | χαραγμα] + του θηριου 2040 : + eius vg | το μετωπον AN 046. **18** (-386. 620. 2050) Pr gig arm bo : των μετωπων IO4. I81. 201. 336. 386. 620. 1918. 2036. 2037. 2050. 2067 vg : + αυτων I. 35. 1957. 2023. 2037. 2038 s<sup>1</sup> arm bo sa eth | επι<sup>2</sup> > 2040 | τ. χειρa] τασ χειρασ 386 vg s<sup>1</sup> : την δεξιαν χειρa arm<sup>1. \*</sup>].

**4**<sup>a. b.</sup> ekalisar] sedentes Pr arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\kappa$ . ekalisar] (7015) kalisasi bo | ex] exarw 1934 | avrows] avrois 2050 | kai<sup>8</sup> > arm<sup>1 2</sup> bo | kpiµa edob.] ~ 386 |.

**XX.** 4-6.

- 4<sup>1</sup>. καὶ ἔζησαν καὶ ἐβασίλευσαν μετὰ τοῦ χριστοῦ χίλια ἔτη. (a)
- 5<sup>b</sup>. αύτη ή ανάστασις ή πρώτη.
- 6. Μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος ὁ ἔχων μέρος ἐν τῆ ἀναστάσει τῆ πρώτῃ. ἐπὶ τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν, ἀλλ' ἔσονται ἱερεῖς τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ χριστοῦ, καὶ βασιλεύσουσιν μετ' αὐτοῦ [τὰ] χίλια ἔτη.

(a) Text adds  $5^{\circ}$ : ol lourol tŵr rekpŵr oùk Éjnoar áxpi teleofij tà xilua Étn —unobjectionable as far as diction is concerned but rejected as a gloss on the following grounds advanced by Mr. Marsh. (1) It is prosaic and made up of words borrowed from 4<sup>1</sup> 3° or 7°. (2) If it were original we should expect it to be introduced either by kal used adversatively or  $\delta \ell$  as in 21<sup>8</sup>. The asyndetic construction in 19<sup>20</sup> is not parallel, for there the thought of the preceding sentences is simply developed further. (3) It spoils the metre. (4) 5<sup>b</sup> follows more naturally a positive than a negative statement.

4<sup>i</sup>. к. еўлога к. е́даогіл.] оно гіленога (sic) 2050 | к. е́длога к. > Pr | каї<sup>1</sup>] hi omnes Tyc : он s<sup>1</sup> : онтоі (+еілін он arm<sup>1.2</sup>) arm<sup>1.2</sup> eth : > bo sa | є́длога е́длілога 920 : ζлоногін arm<sup>1.2</sup>.<sup>4</sup> eth : + μет антон bo | єβаліленога βаліленогоногін (-оногін arm<sup>4</sup>) arm<sup>1.4</sup> eth | του > 1. 2017. 2038. 2067 | τ. χριστου] Iesu Pr | χιλια ετη AN 1. 35. 175. 181. 250. 424. 617. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2.4.4</sup> bo : pr τα 046. 18 (-35. 175. 617. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : > arm<sup>1</sup> |.

5. or  $\lambda_{01\pi01}$  . . .  $\chi_{1\lambda}$  eth A 046. 35.  $175^{mg}$ . 250. (617). 632. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> Pr gig vg (arm) bo sa : >  $\times 18$  (-35. 175<sup>\*\*</sup>. 617. 632. 2020. 2040. 2050). 61. 69. 104. 110. 385 al<sup>mu</sup> s | or  $\lambda_{01\pi01}$  A Pr gig vg : pr kai 046. 1. 35. 175<sup>\*\*</sup>. 181. 250. 336. 617. 632. 1934. 2016. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 arm<sup>4</sup> bo (sa) eth : kai meta tauta arm<sup>1. 9. a</sup> |  $\tau w \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho w r$  pr ek arm<sup>1. 9. a</sup> :  $a v \tau w r$  Pr |  $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho w r$  A 35. 1934. 2020. 2040. 2050 al gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa eth :  $a v \theta \rho w \pi w 046$ . 175. 250. 424. 617. 2017 |  $\epsilon \zeta \eta \sigma a r$ ]  $a \kappa \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a r$  1. 250 :  $\zeta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a (-or \tau a a rm<sup>4</sup>)$ arm |  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta r a 1 2020$  :  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta w \sigma 1 2036$ . 2037 |  $a v \tau \eta$ ] pr ore 104. 336. 620. 1918 : pr kai s<sup>1</sup> eth : haec est itaque Tyc |.

6.  $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho i \sigma \sigma$ ] pr  $\kappa \alpha \iota$  18. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 1849. 2004 |  $\kappa$ .  $\alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \sigma$ ]  $\kappa$ . o  $\alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \sigma$  2050 : > 61. 69 |  $\epsilon \nu$   $\tau \eta$   $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ] +  $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta$  Pr : +  $\alpha \nu \tau \sigma \upsilon$ 920 |  $\epsilon \pi \iota$ ] pr  $\sigma \tau \iota$  2050  $\operatorname{arm}^4$  : pr  $\kappa \alpha \iota$  s<sup>1</sup>  $\operatorname{arm}^2$  |  $\tau \sigma \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ]  $\tau \sigma \nu \tau \sigma \nu$ (- $\tau \omega$  69) 61. 69. 385. 2036 Tyc | o  $\delta \epsilon \upsilon \tau$ .  $\theta \alpha \nu$ .] o  $\theta \alpha \nu$ . o  $\delta \epsilon \upsilon \tau$ . 1. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 |  $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ]  $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa$  |  $\tau \sigma \upsilon$   $\theta \epsilon \sigma \upsilon$   $\kappa$ .  $\tau \sigma \nu$   $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \upsilon$ pr  $\kappa \alpha \iota \kappa$  :  $\tau \omega \theta \epsilon \omega \kappa$ .  $\tau \omega \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$  2020 s<sup>1</sup> :  $\tau \sigma \upsilon$   $\eta \sigma \sigma \upsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \upsilon$  ( $\theta \epsilon \sigma \upsilon \kappa$ .  $\tau \sigma \upsilon \kappa \nu \rho$ .  $\iota \eta \sigma$ .  $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ .  $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2.*}$ ) arm |  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \sigma \upsilon \sigma \upsilon \iota \kappa$  046. 18 al<sup>omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa :  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \sigma \upsilon \sigma \iota \kappa$  046. 18 al<sup>omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa :  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \sigma \upsilon \sigma \iota \kappa$  046. 61. 81 al<sup>omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa :  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \sigma \upsilon \sigma \iota \kappa$  046. 61. 835. 175. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050). 82. 110. 141. 201. 242. 336. 498. 1918 |  $\tau$ .  $\chi \iota \lambda$ .  $\epsilon \tau \eta > \operatorname{arm}^{1}$   $\tau \kappa$  046. 61. 69. 94. 241. 386. (632<sup>\*\*</sup>). 920. 2020 : > A 051. 18 (-386. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 920. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup>  $\tau m^{2.4.*} \iota$  7. Καὶ ὅταν τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη, λυθήσεται ὁ Σατανῶς ἐκ τῆς φυλακῆς αὐτοῦ, 8. καὶ ἐξελεύσεται πλανῆσαι τὰ ἔθνη τὰ ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρσι γωνίαις τῆς γῆς, τὸν Γὼγ καὶ Μαγώγ, συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, ὡν ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν ὡς ἡ ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης. 9. καὶ ἀνέβησαν ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς, καὶ ἐκύκλευσαν τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἀγίων καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἡγαπημένην. καὶ κατέβη πῦρ τ ἀπὸ τοῦ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ κατέφαγεν αὐτούς. 10. καὶ ὁ διάβολος ὁ θεοῦ πλανῶν αὐτοὺς ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς καὶ θείου, ὅπου καὶ

7. orav release (ore erelessborav I : ore erelessby  $s^1$ ) AN 35. 175. 250. 617. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa eth : µera 046. **18** (-35. 175. 617. 2020. 2040. 2050). 61. 69. 104. 385 al<sup>pl</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. e</sup> | avrov > arm<sup>1. 2. e</sup> bo eth |.

8. εξελευσεται > bo | πλανησαι] και πλανησει (+τουσ δουλουσ και bo) vg arm<sup>2</sup> • bo | τα εθνη A 046. 18 (-386) Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>2.4</sup> (bo) eth : pr παντα Ν 2036 s<sup>1</sup> (arm<sup>1.4</sup>) : τα > 386 | τα<sup>2</sup> A 046. 18 (-149). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm4 : >N 61. 69. 149. 172. 2018 s1 b0 sa | raw > 1. 69. 432 | τεσσαρσι] τετρασι κ : τεσσαρα 617 | τ. γησ > κ\* | τον1 Ακ° 046. 18  $a^{\text{lomn}}$  : >  $\aleph^*$  bo sa |  $\tau$ . Twy  $\kappa$ . M. ouvay.  $av\tau$ .] "Gog and Magog shall be gathered" bo eth | Maywy AN\* 1. 1934. 2036. 2037. 2050 bo sa : pr TOV Nº 046. 18 (- 1934. 2050). 250. 2067 alpi | συναγαγειν A 046. 18 (- 35. 175. 617). 250. 2067 alpi s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> sa : pr Kau N 051. 35. 175. 617. 2016. 2017. 2036 s<sup>1</sup> arm4 : Kal ouvayel 181 : et congregabit (-avit gig : trahet Pr) Pr gig vg | τον<sup>2</sup> A× 046. 18 (-175. 617) al<sup>pl</sup> : >051. 1. 175. 250. 617. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 | wv > 2050 | aurwv Ar 046. 18 (-35. 175. 617. 1934. 2020)  $al^{pl}$  (s)  $arm^{1.2.a}$  : > 1. 35. 175. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr gig vg arm<sup>4</sup> | ωσ η] ωσει 42. 82. 104. 201. 325. 385. 386. 456. 498. 620. 632. 920. 1918. 1934 .

9.  $\kappa \alpha_1 \alpha_{\nu \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha_{\nu}} > 51. 69 : + diabolus et populus eius Tyc | <math>\pi \lambda \alpha_{\tau \sigma \sigma}$ ] altitudinem Tyc gig |  $\epsilon \kappa_{\nu \kappa \lambda} \epsilon_{\nu \sigma \alpha_{\nu}} A 046. 18 (-35^{*}. 175. 337. 617. 620. 1934. 2020. 2050) 82. 110. 385. 2023 <math>a^{mu}$ :  $\epsilon \kappa_{\nu \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha_{\nu}} N 051. 1. 35^{*}. 104. 175. 250. 337. 617. 620. 1934. 2016. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 | <math>\tau$ .  $\pi \alpha_{\rho \epsilon \mu} \beta$ .  $\tau$ .  $\alpha_{\nu}$ ] +  $\kappa \alpha_{\nu}$   $\tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha_{\gamma} \omega \nu 046. 498 : \tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \tau \eta \sigma \pi \alpha_{\rho \epsilon \mu} \beta \delta \eta \sigma \tau \omega \nu$   $\alpha_{\gamma \iota \omega \nu} s^{1} | \tau. \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ] dilectorum Tyc :  $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \eta \nu b0 : \tau \eta \nu$   $\alpha_{\gamma \iota \omega \nu} s^{1} | \tau. \eta \alpha \pi \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ] dilectorum Tyc :  $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \eta \nu b0 : \tau \eta \nu$   $\alpha_{\gamma \iota \omega \nu} s^{1} | \tau. 35. 93. 205. 620. 2038 | \epsilon \kappa \tau. ov \rho. A 94. 181. 2036. 2037 Pr : pr a \sigma (\epsilon \kappa 051. 1. 35. 93. 205. 2037. 2040. 2050 al vg s^{2}$   $\alpha m^{4} : + \alpha \pi \sigma \tau o \theta \epsilon o 046. 19 (-35. 205. 2040. 2050). 250. 2067.$  $al^{pl}$  Tyc gig s  $a m^{1.2} \epsilon$  bo sa eth |.

10. και θειου A 025. 046. 19 (- 325. 620. 632\*\*. 2040. 2050). I al sa : και (>620) του θειου N 42. 94. 104. 172. 218. 241. 250. τό θηρίον και ό ψευδοπροφήτης, και βασανισθήσονται ήμέρας και νυκτός els τους alώνας των alώνων.

- Καὶ εἶδον θρόνον μέγαν λευκὸν καὶ τὸν καθήμενον ἐπ' † αὐτοῦ, † (α)
   οῦ ἀπὸ [τοῦ] (◊) προσώπου ἔφυγεν ἡ γῆ καὶ ὁ οὐρανός, καὶ τόπος οὖχ εὑρέθη αὐτοῖς.
- καὶ εἶδον τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς μεγάλους καὶ τοὺς μικροὺς ἐστῶτας
   ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου,
  - καὶ βιβλία ἡνοίχθησαν, καὶ ἄλλο βιβλίον ἡνοίχθη ὁ ἐστιν τῆς ζωῆς,
  - καὶ ἐκρίθησαν οἱ νεκροὶ ἐκ τῶν γεγραμμένων ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις [κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν].
    - (a) Wrong construction due to editor.
    - (b) An interpolation by the editor (?); cf. vi. 16, xii. 14.

325. 336.  $632^{**}$ . 1918. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 al : the kalometric purple (beim bo) 2050 bo : >2038 | kal<sup>8</sup> A 025. 046. 19 (-205. 2050). 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> : > N I. 205. 1957. 2050 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. a</sup> bo sa eth | kal<sup>4</sup>] + optor N : > 620 | o  $\psi$ eudoppod.] +  $\epsilon\beta\lambda\eta\theta\eta\sigma$ av 2050 : oi  $\psi$ eudoppodpirai Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\beta$ asaristhingovirai] + ekei 2050 | eist. t. alwr. t. alwr. > I. 181. 2038 arm<sup>a</sup> | two alwuw > 241. 336<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2067 |.

11. **Θρονον μεγαν**] ~ bo sa | μεγαν] μεγα 2050 | μεγαν (+ και 2050 Pr atm<sup>1.4</sup> eth) λευκον AN 025. 046. 19 (- 18. 175. 205. 337. 617. 2020) al Pr gig vg s atm<sup>1.4</sup> bo sa eth : ~ 1. 175. 205. 250. 617. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 atm<sup>a</sup> : μεγαν > 18. 337 | επ] επανω N 2020 s bo sa | αυτου AN 1. 2020. 2040 : αυτον 025. 046. 19 (- 2020. 2040). 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> : αυτω 218. 2018. 2038. 2067 | του AN 025. 2040. 2050 : >046. 19 (- 2040. 2050) al<sup>pl</sup> | προσωπου] + αυτου 2040 s atm<sup>1.2</sup> | η γη και 0 (> 2050) ουρ. AN 025. 046. 19 (- 35) al<sup>pl</sup> gig vg s bo sa : 0 ουρ. κ. η γη 35. 60. 432. 1957. 2023. 2041 Pr arm eth | αυτουσ] eorum Pr bo : ab eis vg : in illis gig |.

19.  $\mu\epsilon\gamma a\lambda$ ...  $\mu\kappa\rho our] \sim 046. 91. 175. 242. 250. 506. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 b0 | <math>\tau$ . ( $\kappa a\iota \aleph^*$ )  $\mu\epsilon\gamma$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\mu\iota\kappa\rho$ . ( $\tau$ .  $\mu\iota\kappa\rho$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\tau$ .  $\mu\epsilon\gamma$ .) placed before eorwraw AN 025. 046. 35. 205.  $632^{**}$ . 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al<sup>ma</sup> Tyc gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> \* bo sa eth : placed after eorwraw 91. 175. 242. 250. 506. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 : placed before rovw vekpour 104. 620 : > 1. 18. 82. 93. 110. 201. 325. 337. 385. 386. 429. 452. 456. 498. 517. 522. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1849. 2004. 2024. 2039 |  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\omega\tau a\sigma \epsilon\nu\omega\pi$ .  $\tau$ .  $\theta\rho$ . > Pr arm<sup>2</sup> |  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\omega\tau a\sigma > 61. 69. 82. 429$  |  $\epsilon\nu\omega\pi\iota or$  ]  $\epsilon\tau\iota \aleph^*$  :  $\epsilon\nu\omega\pi\iota or \epsilon\pi\iota \aleph^c$  |  $\theta\rho ovov$ ]  $\theta\epsilonov$  1. 2037. 2067 al |  $\beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota a$ ]  $\beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota a$  2050 :  $\beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota or 386$  |  $\eta\nu\circ\iota\chi\theta\eta\kappa^c$  |  $\eta\nu\circ\iota\chi\theta\eta\kappa^c$  |  $\eta\nu\circ\iota\chi\theta\eta\kappa^c$  A025. 046. 1. 61. 69. 172. 175. 218. 242. 250. 1934. 2016.

#### καὶ ἔδωκεν † ἡ θάλασσα † (a) τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν † αὐτῷ † (a) καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄδης ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν ἔκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν.

## 14. καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ặδης ἐβλήθησαν εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός,(b)

(a) The text has been tampered with here. The abode of righteous souls should occur instead of "the sea." Probably of  $\theta\eta\sigma au\rhool$  or al  $\mu\sigma\sigma al$  (John xiv. 2) or  $\delta \pi a\rho d\delta e \omega \sigma s$  (Luke xxiii. 43) stood originally in the text. See vol. ii. 194–198.

2017. 2018 :  $\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$  (ar  $\epsilon \omega \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$  35. 432. 1957. 2020. 2023). 35. 104. 205. 432. 617. 632\*\*. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2050 : avoign \sigma a \nu 2067 : aperti sunt Tyc Pr gig vg s arm b0 :  $\eta \nu oi \xi a \nu$  (- $\epsilon \nu$ 42. 325. 336. 517. 620. 1918) **18** (-35. 175. 205. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020. 2050). 82. 110. 141. 201. 385. 429. 452. 498. 522. 2021. 2024 sa eth |  $\kappa$ .  $a\lambda\lambda \rho$   $\beta i\beta\lambda$ .  $\eta \nu oi \chi \theta \gamma > 1$  arm<sup>2</sup> |  $\eta \nu oi \chi \theta \eta$  A 025. 35. 141. 172. 385. 2018. 2036. 2037 :  $\eta \nu oi \gamma \eta$  2067 :  $\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \chi \theta \eta$ (ar  $\epsilon \omega \chi \theta \eta$  432. 498. 2020. 2023. 2041)  $\aleph$  046. **19** (-35). 250. 432. 498. 2016. 2023. 2038 |  $\zeta \omega \eta \sigma$ ]  $\kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma s^1$  : + unius cuiusque Tyc Pr |  $\epsilon \nu$  7010  $\beta i \beta \lambda oi \sigma$ ]  $\epsilon \nu$  7010  $\beta i \beta \lambda oi \sigma \aleph$  : librorum Pr :  $\epsilon \pi i$  700  $\beta i \beta \lambda i \omega \nu$  bo eth : > arm<sup>2</sup> ].

18.  $> \operatorname{arm}^{1} |$  rows ev auty . . . vekpous<sup>2</sup> > 2020 | r. vekpous r. ev auty AN 025. 046. 18 (-35. 205. 2020). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig vg s bo sa eth : rows ev auty (autous 1) vekpous 051. 1. 35. 205. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2069 : mortuos suos Tyc arm<sup>a</sup> | k. o bav. . . . autw > 141. 1957 arm<sup>2</sup> <sup>4</sup> | kal o<sup>1</sup> > 205 | o<sup>1</sup> > 325. 620 | edukav N 025. 046. 18 (-1934. 2020) al<sup>fere omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s bo sa eth : edukev A 82. 242. 1934 : >arm<sup>a</sup> | r. vekpous rows (tows 046) ev autous AN 025. 046. 18 (-35. 205). 250. 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> vg s : rows ev autous vekpous 051. 1. 35. 205. 2023. 2038. 2067 eth : mortuos suos Tyc arm<sup>a</sup> : mortuos quos in se habebant Pr : mortuos suos qui in ipsis erant gig | ekployav] katekployav N : ekploy s<sup>1</sup> | ekasto] + autow s<sup>1</sup> : > bo | autw AN 35. 205. 325. 386. 620. 1934. 2020. 2050 vg s arm bo : autou 046. 18. 61. 69. 104. 175. 250. 337. 456. 632. 920. 1849. 2004. 2067 sa |.

14.  $o^1 > 149 | \theta av. ... adnor] ~ gig vg eth | του πυροσ] > Tyc : + την καιομενην εν θειω bo : + την γεμουσαν θειου eth | ουτοσ] pr και N | ουτοσ ο θαν. ... (ver. 15) πυροσ > 2050 arm<sup>8</sup> | ουτοσ ... η λιμνη τ. πυροσ > 1. 94. 149. 201. 205. 452. 2016. 2021. 2038 Pr arm<sup>1. a</sup> bo | ουτοσ ... εστιν > 498 | ο θαν. ο δευτ] ο δευτεροσ θανατοσ N 2020 : ο θαν. δευτεροσ 2036. 2037 | εστιν placed after δευτεροσ A (N) 025. 046. 18 (-149. 205. 2050). 61. 69. 110. 172. 250. 2018. 2036. 2037 vg s<sup>2</sup> : after ουτοσ 60. 432.$ 

15. καὶ «ἶ τις οὐχ «ὑρίθη ἐν τῆ βίβλφ τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος ἐβλήθη «ἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός.

1957. 2023. 2041 gig s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : after bavatos 2067 : >104 |  $\eta$   $\lambda_{i\mu\nu\eta} \tau$ .  $\pi_{\nu\rho\sigma\sigma} > s^1 arm^4$  |

15. και > 325 | ευρεθη] ευρεθησεται  $\aleph^*$  arm<sup>1</sup> | τη βιβλω Ακ 025. 35. 104. 205. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2067 al : τω βιβλιω 046. 18 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050). 1. 250 al<sup>pl</sup>.

# RESTORED ORDER OF THE TEXT.

CHAPTERS XXI. 5<sup>a</sup>. 4<sup>d</sup>. 5<sup>b</sup> [6<sup>a</sup>].  $1-4^{a, b, c}$ , XXII. 3-5, XXI. 5<sup>c</sup>, 6<sup>b</sup>-8, XXII. 6. 7. 18<sup>a</sup> [18<sup>b</sup>-19]. 16. 13. 12. 10 [11]. 8. 9. 20. 21. See vol. ii. 144-154.

xxi. 5<sup>a</sup>. Kaì εἶπεν ὁ καθήμενος ἐπὶ † τῷ θρόνῳ †(a)
 4<sup>d</sup>. Τὰ πρῶτα ἀπῆλθαν'
 5<sup>b</sup>. ἰδοὺ καινὰ ποιῶ πάντα (b).

(a) Wrong construction due to editor.

(b) The text contains the following intrusion: 6<sup>a</sup>. καl εἰπτέν μοι Γέγοναν. See vol. ii. 203 sq. xxi. 5<sup>o</sup> should be read immediately before xxi. 6<sup>b</sup>. Hence correct note in Commentary.

**5**<sup>a</sup>. Kal > 18. 82. 201. 325. 337. 385. 386. 456. 498.  $632^{**}$ . 920. 1849. 2004. 2021. 2024. 2039 |  $\epsilon t \pi \epsilon \nu$ ] ait gig : +  $\mu o t s^1$  arm<sup>2. 4. a</sup> b0 | o ka $\theta$ .  $\epsilon \pi t$  7.  $\theta \rho o \nu$ . > 2050 |  $\epsilon \pi t$ ]  $\epsilon \nu$  172. 2018. 2036. 2037 |  $\tau \omega \theta \rho o \nu \omega$ ] tou  $\theta \rho o \nu o v$  1. 205. 920. 2023. 2038 al |.

**4**<sup>d</sup>. τα A 025. 051. 2038 arm<sup>4</sup>: pr οτι ( $\aleph$ ) 046. **18** al<sup>fore omn</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 2. α</sup> bo sa eth : + γαρ 2036 | τα πρωτα] τα προβατα  $\aleph$ <sup>4</sup>: ταυτα 2050: επι τα προσωπα αυτησ και s<sup>1</sup>: > arm<sup>1. 2</sup> | απηλθαν A : απηλθον 025. 1. 18. 35. 104. 205. 920. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : απηλθεν  $\aleph$  046. **18** (-18. 35. 205. 920). 250 al<sup>mu</sup> arm<sup>(1. 2). α</sup>: + και (>b0) ιδου παντα ποιηθησονται καινα (κ. εποιηθησαν π. eth) bo eth |.

5<sup>b</sup>. ιδου] pr και A: + ιδου 2021 | καινα (κενα Ν) ποιω παντα AN 025. 172. 205. 432. 1957. 2018. 2020. 2023. 2041. 2050 Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup>: καινοποιω παντα 051. 35. 2036. 2038 : παντα καινα ποιω 046. 18 (-205. 2020. 2050). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> s<sup>2</sup> : καινα παντα ποιω 1. 2037. 2067 : ποιησω (ποιω eth) παντα καινα bo sa eth |.

**5**°. For text of this line see p. 379, line 5. K.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota > \operatorname{arm}^2 | \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota = \operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{vg}^{\epsilon} s$  bo) A 046. 18. 325. 337. 386. 456. 620. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 1849. 2004 Tyc Pr gig vg<sup>a. c. g. h</sup> arm<sup>2. 4. a</sup> : +µoι N 025. 051. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 920. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> vg<sup>d. f. v</sup> s<sup>1</sup> bo eth arm<sup>1. a</sup> |  $\gamma \rho a \psi ov \ orl ] \sim 205 : > \operatorname{Pr} | \ orl > 051. 386. 1849 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2. 4. a</sup> | <math>\pi \iota \sigma \tau o\iota \kappa. a \lambda \eta \theta \iota vol$ 

- Καὶ εἶδον οὐρανὸν καινὸν καὶ γῆν καινήν
   δ γὰρ πρῶτος οὐρανὸς καὶ ἡ πρώτη γῆ ἀπῆλθαν,
   καὶ ἡ θάλασσα οὐκ ἔστιν ἔτι.
- καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἁγίαν Ἱερουσαλὴμ καινὴν εἶδον καταβαίνουσαν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ, ἡτοιμασμένην ὡς νύμφην κεκοσμημένην τῷ ἀνδρὶ αὐτῆς.

3. και ήκουσα φωνής μεγάλης έκ του θρόνου λεγούσης

'Ιδού ή σκηνή τοῦ θεοῦ μετὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, καὶ σκηνώσει μετ' αὐτῶν, καὶ αὐτοὶ λαὸς (α) αὐτοῦ ἔσονται, καὶ αὐτὸς † [ὁ θεὸς μετ' αὐτῶν] ἔσται αὐτῶν θεός †. (b)

(a) In the New Jerusalem God has only one  $\lambda a \delta s$ . Before the final judgment our author might have said that God had many  $\lambda a \delta s$ . Hence  $\lambda a \delta s$  (As and a few cursives) is a corruption. Otherwise if  $\lambda a \delta s$  is the older reading, then it arose through a misunderstanding of the editor, and  $\lambda a \delta s$  (025. 046 and Versions) is a right emendation of the text.

(b) Read : airŵr θεόs έσται or έσται θεόs airŵr. See vol. ii. 207 sq. δ θεόs μετ' airŵr (= yuuny) seems to have originated in an excellent marginal gloss on 3<sup>b. e</sup>, but in 3<sup>e</sup> it is wholly irrelevant and against the parallelism.

An 0.46. 18 (-35. 175. 205. 617)  $a^{mu}$  Tyc (Pr vg) gig s arm<sup>1. 2. 4</sup> bo sa eth : ~025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 2037. 2038. 2067 arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ .  $\kappa$ .  $a\lambda \eta \theta$ . ( $a\lambda \eta \theta$ .  $\kappa$ .  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ .)] +  $\tau ov \theta \epsilon ov$  0.46. 18 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050)  $a^{mu} s^2$  |  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota v$ ] tr before  $\kappa a\iota a\lambda \eta \theta \iota v o\iota$  Pr vg : +  $\tau ov \theta \epsilon ov$  175. 250. 617 |.

6<sup>a</sup>. ειπεν] λεγει N arm | γεγοναν (-ασιν 2020) A 2020 s<sup>1</sup>: γεγονα N\* 025. 046. 051. 18 (-386 2020) al<sup>fere</sup> omn s<sup>2</sup> arm : γεγονε 386 : factum est Pr gig vg : > N° Tyc bo |.

1. καινον . . . καινην] κενον . . . κενην κ arm<sup>4</sup> | πρωτοσ] κενοσ arm<sup>4</sup> | πρωτη >42. 385 Pr bo arm | απηλθαν Aκ : απηλθον 046. 18 (-35. 205. 620). 42. 61. 110. 201. 250. 385. 429. 498. 2017 al Tyc Pr s bo : απηλθεν 025. 82. 91. 172. 241. 522. 2016. 2018 gig vg : παρηλθεν 051. 1. 35. 205. 620. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al | η θαλ. ουκ εστι] την θαλασσαν ουκ ιδον A | η<sup>2</sup> > 18. 2050 | ουκ εστιν ετι] συκ εσται ετη 2050 : ουκετι εσται 205 |.

**2.**  $\kappa a u^{1}$ ] + ego Iohannes  $vg^{v}$  |  $a\gamma uav$ ]  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta v$  Pr |  $\kappa a u v \sigma r$   $\kappa \epsilon v \sigma v | | \kappa a u \tau \eta v \epsilon u \delta \sigma v | \sim 2050 a rm^{4} | \epsilon u \delta \sigma r ]$  tr before  $\tau \eta v \pi \sigma \lambda u r$  gig  $vg^{v}$  |  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma v$ ] at 920 (arm<sup>4</sup>) |  $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ .  $\sigma v \rho$ .  $a \pi \sigma \tau$ .  $\theta \epsilon \sigma v A M 046$ . **18** (-35. 205. 920). 250. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1 2 4</sup> bo sa eth :  $a \pi \sigma \tau$ .  $\theta$ .  $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ .  $\sigma v \rho$  025. I. 35. 205. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 al :  $a \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma v \theta \epsilon \sigma v > 2021$  |  $v v \mu \phi \eta v$ ] +  $\kappa a u$  Tyc |.

8. και ηκουσα φωτησ μεγαλησ... λεγουσησ] και φωτη μεγαλη ... λεγουσα Ν\* | μεγαλησ >gig arm<sup>1. g. e</sup> | εκ τ. θρον. >2050 | θρονου AN 94 Vg : ουρανου 025. 046. 18 (-2050) al<sup>fore omn</sup> Tyc Pr gig s arm bo sa eth | ιδου] ιδε 205 | σκηνωσει] εσκηνωσεν Ν\* 2050

λaol

dπό

4<sup>a.b.o</sup>. καὶ ἐξαλείψει <sup>Γ</sup>δ θεὸς πâν δάκρυον <sup>Γ</sup>ἐκ τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν αὐτῶν, καὶ ὁ θάνατος οὖκ ἔσται ἔτι· οὖτε πάνθος οὖτε κραυγὴ οὖτε πόνος οὖκ ἔσται ἔτι,

xxii. 3. καί παν κατάθεμα ούκ έσται έτι.

καὶ ὁ θρόνος τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ ἀρνίου ἐν αὐτῇ ἔσται, καὶ οἱ δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ λατρεύσουσιν αὐτῷ,

4. καὶ δψονται τὸ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ, καὶ τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τῶν μετώπων αὐτῶν.

Tyc gig eth |  $\lambda a o \sigma a v \tau$ .] tr after evorral Pr Tyc gig |  $\lambda a o \sigma o 25. 0.46.$  **18** (-2050). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa eth :  $\lambda a o i A \otimes 1.61. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 al | Kai avrow o beov mer avrwv (+$ Kai 2050 s<sup>1</sup>) evrai avrwv (avrow s) beov A 2050 Tyc vg s : Kaiavrow o beov evrai mer avrwv beov avrwv 025. 051 : Kai (>N) avrovo beov evrai mer avrwv (mer avrwv evrai 046 gig) N 046 Pr gig bosa : Kai avrov evrai 18 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2050) :evrai mer avrwv I. 35. 175. 205. 241. 250. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2016.2017. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 | beov (+wv 181)avrwv 35<sup>\*</sup>. 175. 181. 205. 617. 2036. 2037. 2038 al : >18 (-35<sup>\*</sup>.175. 205. 617. 2050). 1. 61. 104. 110. 250. 385. 2067 al |.

4<sup>A</sup> b.<sup>c</sup>. και<sup>1</sup>] + αυτοσ s<sup>1</sup> | ο θεοσ A I. 2067 Vg : απ (εξ 522) αυτων I8. 325. 337. 386. 456. 522. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1849. 2004 al : > N 025. 046. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 620. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 Tyc Pr gig s arm bo sa eth | δακρυον] δρακυ N<sup>\*</sup> | εκ τ. οφθ. αυτ.] απ αυτων I4I. 2021 | εκ AN 2017 : απο 025. 046. **18** al<sup>onm</sup> | o<sup>2</sup> A 025. 046. **18** ( $-632^{**}$ . 2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>p1</sup> : > N 24I. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 2020. 2050 bo sa | ουκ εσται ετι] συκετι ου μη εσται 2050 | ουκ εσται . . . πονοσ > 172. 522. 2018. 2067 | ουτε . . . ουτε . . . ουτε ] ουδε . . . ουδε . . . ουδε 2050 | πενθ. . . . κραυγη] ~ N | ουτε κραυγη ουτε πονοσ > Tyc | ουτε πονοσ] > N : ου πονοσ 205. 620 : sed nec luctus ullus Pr | ουκ εσται ετι] ουκετι εσται 2050 : > Pr arm<sup>1</sup> | ετι<sup>2</sup>] ετι N<sup>\*</sup> : οτι corr first hand : > 1 |.

**EXIL** 8. KATABEMA KATAYMA N<sup>\*</sup>: avabema 2050 (s<sup>1</sup>?) | etl] > N<sup>\*</sup>: ekei 051. I. 35. 104. 175. 205. 250. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s<sup>1</sup> | kai<sup>2</sup>] sed Pr : oti atm<sup>1</sup> | o  $\beta\rho\sigma\nu$ . . . . estail sedes . . . etunt vg | ev auth estail estail estail estail 2050 bo sa ev] ett 205 | K. oi doul, aut. latp. autw > Pr | K. oi douloi autou] kai bumos ouk estai oi de douloi tou beou bo : kai ouk estai bumos kata tun doulou tou beou oi eth | latpeusousiv] latpeusousi 18. 82. 110. 175. 181. 205. 337. 456. 522. 617. 1849. 2004. 2020 |.

4. επι] pr και N : pr scriptum Pr arme |.

- 5. καὶ νὺξ οὐκ ἔσται ἔτι, καὶ οὐχ Γέξουσιν<sup>1</sup> χρείαν φωτὸς λύχνου καὶ φῶς ἡλίου, ἔχουσιν ὅτι κύριος ὁ θεὸς φωτίσει ἐπ' αὐτούς, καὶ βασιλεύσουσιν εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.
- xxi. 5°. Καὶ λέγει Γράψον ὅτι οὗτοι οἱ λόγοι πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοί εἰσιν.
  - 6<sup>b</sup>. Έγώ εἰμι τὸ Αλφα καὶ τὸ Ω, ἡ ἀρχὴ καὶ τὸ τέλος. ἐγὼ τῷ διψῶντι δώσω ἐκ τῆς πηγῆς τοῦ ὕδατος τῆς ζωῆς δωρεάν.

5. EGTal EGTLY 051. 35. 175. 617 s1 : 11 arm1 a | et AN 025. 82. 03. 2018. 2032. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>1. 4</sup> bo sa eth : eret 051. 1. 35. 104. 175. 205. 617. 620. 632\*\*. 1934 al s1 arm= : > 046. 18. 325. 337. 386. 632\*. 1849. 2004. 2020 almu | oux etowow XPELAN A 2050 Tyc gig vg s bo : OUK EXOUTIN XPELAN N : XPELAN OUK exour 025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 241. 242. 250. 617. 632\*\*. 1034. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 almu arm4 sa : ou xpeia 046. 18. 104. 110. 325. 337. 386. 620. 632\*. 1849. 2004. 2020 almu Pr arm<sup>1. a</sup> | φωτ. λυχν. κ. >2018 | σωτοσ (φωσ 2036. 2050 : + και s1) λυχνου (~2020 : εν αυτη bo : >sa) AN 94. 241. 632\*\*. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>1, 4</sup> (bo sa) : λυχνου (-ov 1) 025. 046. 051. 17 (-632\*\*. 2020. 2050). 250. 2038. 2067 alp1 arma | Kais > 1840 | dwg nhion A 025. 175. 181. 242. 617. 1034. 2017. 2036. 2038. 2050 : lucem (lumen Pr) solis Pr gig : φωτοσ ηλιου & 1. 35. 205. 250. 632\*\*. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2067 Tyc vg s arm bo sa : owroo 046. 18. 61. 82. 104. 110. 201. 325. 336. 337. 386. 429. 498. 522. 620. 632\*. 1849. 1918. 2004 | ownine A 025. 181. 452. 2038. 2050 : Outer N 046. 051. 17 (-175. 617. 1934. 2050). 1. 2037. 2067 alpl : inluminabit Tyc Pr vgd arm4 bo sa : φωτιζει 175. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2036 gig vg<sup>a</sup> c. g h. v s : inluminavit vg<sup>f</sup> arm<sup>1. a</sup> | eπ AN 2018. 2050 Tyc Pr gig eth : > 025. 046. 17 (-2050) al<sup>fere</sup> omn vg arm<sup>4. a</sup> bo sa | Bagilevoovour] regnabit super eos Tyc : Bagilevo avrwr s1 |.

xxi. 5°. See p. 376 (ad fin.) sq. for notes on this line.

6<sup>b</sup>. eyw eiui to A 1918. 2020 Tyc Pr gig vg bo eth : eyw to N 025. 046. 35. 42. 104. 172. 175. 181. 205. 218. 241. 242. 250. 506. 617. 632<sup>\*\*</sup>. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 S Sa : to 18. 61. 82. 91. 93. 94. 110. 141. 201. 325. 336. 337. 385. 386. 429. 432. 452. 456. 498. 517. 522. 620. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 1849. 2004. 2023. 2024. 2039. 2041. 2067 | aλφa] A 1. 205. 456. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr vg |  $\kappa ai^{1}$ ] + eyw s<sup>1</sup> eth | w] +  $\kappa ai$  18. 82. 104. 337. 385. 386. 456. 632<sup>\*</sup>. 920. 2004. 2016. 2041 al |  $\eta$  ap $\chi\eta$  κ. το τελ. AN 025. 046. 18 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934) : ap $\chi\eta$  κ. τελοσ 35. 110. 175. 205. 385. 432. 617. 2017.

- δ νικῶν κληρονομήσει ταῦτα, καὶ ἔσομαι αὐτῷ θεός, καὶ αὐτὸς ἔσται μοι νίός.
- 8. τοῖς δὲ δειλοῖς καὶ ἀπίστοις καὶ ἐβδελυγμένοις, καὶ φονεῦσι καὶ πόρνοις καὶ φαρμακοῖς, καὶ εἰδωλολάτραις καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ψευδέσιν τὸ μέρος αὐτῶν ἐν τῆ λίμνη τῆ καιομένη πυρὶ καὶ θείψ, ὅ ἐστιν ὁ θάνατος ὁ δεύτερος.

xxii. 6. Καὶ εἶπέν μοι Οῦτοι οἱ λόγοι πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοί, καὶ ὁ κύριος, ὁ θεὸς τῶν πνευμᾶτων τῶν προφητῶν, ἀπέστειλεν τὸν ἅγγελον

2038 al<sup>p</sup> arm<sup>4</sup>· e |  $\epsilon\gamma\omega^2$ ] +  $\kappa\alpha\iota$  205 arm<sup>1</sup>· (4)</sup> |  $\tau\omega$   $\delta\iota\psi$ .] sitientibus Tyc (arm<sup>1.2</sup>) |  $\tau\omega$  > 025 |  $\delta\omega\sigma\omega$  AN 025. 35. 205. 620. 632\*\* 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>4. (a)</sup> bo sa : +  $a\nu\tau\omega$  ( $a\nu\tau\sigma\iota\sigma$  arm<sup>1</sup>) 046. **18** (-35. 205. 620. 632\*\*. 2020. 2050). 61. 82. 110. 172. 201. 242. 250. 385. 498. 2016. 2018 al arm<sup>(1.2)</sup> |  $\tau\eta\sigma$   $\pi\eta\gamma\eta\sigma$  > A |  $\tau\eta\sigma$   $\zeta\omega\eta\sigma$ ] > 386. 620 : vivae Tyc gig vg<sup>a. c. f. g. h. v |  $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon\alpha\nu$ ]  $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon\alpha\sigma$  N\* 205 |.</sup>

7. O VIKWY | Kai O VIKWY (+ avtor s<sup>1</sup>) s<sup>1</sup> arm eth |  $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\sigma\nu\sigma\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota$ (- $\sigma\eta$  104) AN 025. I. 35. 104. 205. 241. 432. 632\*\* 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa eth :  $\delta\omega\sigma\omega$  avtw 046. **18** (- 35. 205. 632\*\*. 2020. 2050). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> |  $\tau$ avta]  $\pi$ avta 1. 2037 :  $\pi$ avta tavta arm<sup>1.2.a</sup> | avtw] avtwv (avtout arm<sup>1.4.a</sup>) A 1. 2036. 2037. 2038 arm<sup>1.4.a</sup> : avtou Tyc | avtor  $\epsilon\sigma\tau a\iota$ ] avtoi  $\epsilon\sigma\sigma\nu\tau ai$  051. I. 2036. 2037. 2038 arm<sup>1.a</sup> | avtor >A Tyc s<sup>1</sup> |  $\mu$ oi A 025. 046. 051. **18** (- 175. 325. 386. 456 620) al<sup>pl</sup> Pr gig vg s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1.a</sup> b0 :  $\mu\sigma\nu$  N 175. 325. 386. 456. 620. 2038 al Tyc s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> |  $\nui\sigma$ ] o  $\nui\sigma\sigma$  1957 :  $\nui\sigma i o51$ . I. 2036. 2037. 2038 arm<sup>a</sup> :  $\lambda a\sigma\sigma$  arm<sup>1</sup> |.

8. τοισ δε δειλοισ] δειλοισ δε Ι | δε] + ωσ  $\aleph^*$ : >025 | καl > eth | απιστοισ (πιστοισ eth) AN 025. I. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg arm bo sa eth : + και αμαρτωλοισ 046. 18 (-2050). 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> s | καl<sup>2</sup> > I. 181. 205. 2023<sup>\*</sup>. 2036. 2037. 2038 al | κ. πορν. > Tyc gig arm<sup>2</sup> | κ. π. τ. ψευδεσιν > arm<sup>2</sup> | ψευδεσιν] ψευσταισ A (b0) | αντων] εσται Tyc Pr : + εσται (εστιν arm<sup>2</sup>) vg bo arm<sup>2</sup> | εν τη] εστιν 2050 | εν >620 | τ. καιομ πυρι κ. θειω] του πυροσ 2050 : ardente (>bo eth) ignis et sulphuris Tyc bo eth | o<sup>1</sup>] η s | o (>617. 1934 al<sup>p</sup>) θαν. o. δευτ. AN 046. 18 (-35. 205). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> arm : o δευτ. θαν. 051. I. 35. 205. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : θανατοσ 025 |.

**EXIL** 6. ELTER AN 025. I. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al<sup>mu</sup> Pr vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo :  $\lambda eyee$  046. 17 (-175. 205. 617. 1934. 2050) al<sup>pm</sup> gig arm<sup>1. e</sup> |  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \iota$ ] fidelissima (+sunt vg<sup>d</sup>) (Pr) vg : +  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$  και αγιοι  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$  bo |  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau . . . . a\lambda \eta \theta.$ ] ~ 2050 al : +  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$  Pr gig vg<sup>e. a. f. g. h.  $\vee$  bo |  $\kappa a\iota^2 > bo$  | o<sup>1</sup> AN 61. 2018 s<sup>1</sup> bo</sup>

380

αύτοῦ δείξαι τοῖς δούλοις αὐτοῦ ἂ δεί γενέσθαι ἐν τάχει. 7. καὶ ἰδοὺ ἔρχομαι ταχύ. μακάριος ὁ τηρῶν τοὺς λόγους τῆς προφητείας τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου. 18<sup>a</sup>. μαρτυρῶ ἐγῶ παντὶ τῷ ἀκούοντι τοὺς λόγους τῆς προφητείας τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου. (a)

(a) The following interpolation is inserted here :  $18^{b}$ . έάν τις έπιθη έπ' αὐτά, έπιθήσει ὁ θεὸς ἐπ' αὐτὸν τὰς πληγὰς τὰς γεγραμμένας ἐν τῷ βιβΧίω τουτῷ. 19. καὶ ἐἀν τις ἀφέλῃ ἀπὸ τῶν λόγων τοῦ βιβλίου τῆς προφητείας ταὐτης, ἀφελεῖ ὁ θεὸς τὸ μέρος αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ ξύλου τῆς ζωῆς καὶ ἐκ τῆς πόλεως τῆς ἀγίας, τῶν γεγραμμένων ἐν τῷ βιβλίω τούτῳ. See vol. ii. 222-224.

sa : > 025. 046. 17 al<sup>fere omn</sup> |  $\theta \epsilon o\sigma$ ] + omnipotens vg<sup>c</sup> |  $\tau \omega \nu$   $\pi \nu \epsilon \upsilon \mu a \tau \omega \nu A \otimes 025. 046. 17 (-175. 205) Pr vg<sup>f. 5. v</sup> s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> bo$  $sa : <math>\tau \omega \pi \nu \epsilon \upsilon \mu a \tau \iota$  ( $\tau o \upsilon \pi \nu \epsilon \upsilon \mu a \tau o \sigma s^2$  arm<sup>4</sup> :  $\tau \sigma \pi \nu \epsilon \upsilon \mu a$  eth) gig vg<sup>d</sup> s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> eth :  $\tau \omega \nu a \gamma \iota \omega \nu 175. 205$  (arm<sup>a</sup>) : omnipotens vg<sup>a</sup> : > 1. 2036. 2037. 2038 |  $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \omega \nu$ ]  $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \omega \nu 205$  :  $\tau. a \gamma \iota \omega \nu 1.$ 250. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 :  $\tau. a \gamma \iota \omega \nu \pi \rho o \phi. s^1$  |  $a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ]  $+ \mu \epsilon \aleph^4 452. 467. 506. 680. 2021 s<sup>2</sup> : <math>a \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s^1$  |  $a \upsilon \tau o \upsilon^1$ ] +  $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \sigma o \upsilon \tau \sigma \upsilon \tau \eta \nu \sigma \pi \tau a \sigma \iota a \nu \epsilon \omega \rho a \kappa \sigma \sigma \sigma I \omega a \nu vo \upsilon 35<sup>4</sup>$  |  $\delta \epsilon \iota \xi a \iota \tau. \delta.$  $a \upsilon \tau \sigma \upsilon$ ]  $\delta \iota \delta a \xi a \iota 1849 : > 18. 325<sup>4</sup>. 337. 386. 456. 632<sup>4</sup>. 2004$  |.

7. και<sup>1</sup> AN 046. 17 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934). 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> gig vg s: >1. 35. 175. 205. 617. 1934). 2037 al<sup>pl</sup> 2036. 2038. 2067 Pr arm bo sa | ερχομαι] ερχονται N°: ερχεται 181 arm<sup>1</sup> | ταχυ] εν ταχει 181 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> : + λεγει κυρισσ 2050 | τ. προφ.] + ταυτησ bo sa : tr after βιβλιου gig : > arm<sup>1</sup> | τ. βιβλ. τουτου > arm<sup>a</sup> |.

**18.** ver 18, 19 > 181 |  $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \omega$  (pr  $\eta \aleph$ ) AN 046. **17** (-175. 617. 1934). 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : µартироµал 175. 242. 250. 424. 617. 1934. 2016. 2018 | eyw] ergo Tyc : + Iwarryor 2050 Pr |  $\tau \omega^1 > 35$ . 110. 468. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2067 | παντι τω ακουοντι] omnes qui audiunt Pr | τουσ λογουσ] τον λογον Tyc s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. e</sup>  $[\tau$ . προφ.] + ταυτησ bo sa : > arm<sup>4</sup> eth εαν τισ] pr στι bo sa | επιθη] επιθησει N 2036. 2037 arm | επ αυτα επιθησει > N\* | επ αυτα] επ αυτω 522. 2037 : ad eam Tyc (arm•) eth | επιθησει (-εται 1957. 2018. 2020) AN° 046. 175. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 alpl Pr vg s arm bo sa eth : eribyoai 051. 17 (-175. 205. 1934. 2020. 2050). 42. 110. 336. 498. 522. 2023. 2041 al Tyc gig | en aurov ] > A\* : en (> 2037) aυτω A\*\* 61. 2036. 2037. 2050 : επ αυτα (aυτουσ arm4) arm4 bo sa | ο θεοσ επ αυτον (αυτω) A\*\* (late cursive hand) 046. 17 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934) alpl Pr gig vg s2 (bo sa) eth : en avrov (avrw) o θeor ¥ 35. 61. 175. 205. 218. 242. 250. 432. 617. 1934. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 Tyc s<sup>1</sup> | πληγασ Ακ 17 (- 35. 175. 205. 617. 1934) alpl Tyc Pr gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa eth : pr enta 046. 051. 35. 175. 205. 218. 242. 250. 432. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 arme |.

19. και<sup>1</sup> > 205 | εαν ] αν κ | αφελη] αφελειται 046 : αφελει

16. έγω Ίησοῦς ἔπεμψα τὸν ἄγγελόν μου μαρτυρησαι ὑμιν ταῦτα Γέν ταῦς ἐκκλησίαις,

> έγώ είμι ή ρίζα καὶ τὸ γένος Δαυείδ, δ ἀστὴρ ὁ λαμπρὸς καὶ ὁ πρωινός.

έγὼ τὸ <sup>\*</sup>Αλφα καὶ τὸ <sup>°</sup>Ω,
 ὅ πρῶτος καὶ ὅ ἔσχατος,
 ἡ ἀρχὴ καὶ τὸ τέλος.

2050 : contempserit Tyc | απο τ. λογ. . . . ταυτησ > Pr arm<sup>a</sup> | απο τ. λογ.] των λογον 2050 : + τουτων  $\aleph$  | του βιβλ.] + τουτου bo : > Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> | τ. προφ. placed after βιβλιου AN 046. 17 (-386. 456) al<sup>pi</sup> vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo : tr before τ. βιβλιου 201. 368. 456. 582. 1948. 2014. 2025. 2028. 2029. 2033. 2034. 2036. 2037. 2042 : > gig eth | ταυτησ] τουτου 201. 368. 386. 456. 582. 1948. 2014. 2025. 2028. 2029. 2033. 2034. 2036. 2037. 2042 | αφελει AN 046. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2050 al<sup>ma</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo eth : αφελοι (αφελαι 325. 620) 17 (-205. 175. 617. 1934. 2050). 42. 82. 110. 241. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2041. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> | ο θεοσ] dominus Pr | του<sup>2</sup> > 456 | απο τ. ξυλου] απο τ. βιβλιου 2067 Pr vg<sup>L</sup> v bo : pr de libro vitae et vg<sup>d</sup> | εκ > A 60. 2020 bo | τ. πολεωσ] των πολεων s<sup>1</sup> | τησ αγιασ] των αγιων s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1. a</sup> : + και εκ gig Vg | των γεγραμ.] των εγγεγραμ. 2018 : τησ γεγραμμενησ Tyc Pr | εν] επι bo : > 2050 |.

16.  $u\mu\nu$ ] pr  $\epsilon\nu$  s<sup>1</sup>: >gig arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\tau a\nu\tau a > 2050$  Pr |  $\epsilon\nu$  A 94. 250. 469. 582. 699\*\*. 2014. 2020. 2034. 2036. 2037 Tyc gig vg arm<sup>1.4</sup> bo sa :  $\epsilon\pi\iota \aleph 046.$  17 (-175. 205. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 2020) al<sup>p1</sup> s eth : >051. 1. 91. 175. 181. 205. 241. 242. 617. 632\*\*. 1934. 2016. 2038. 2067 Pr (arm<sup>a</sup>) |  $\tau$ .  $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\iotaa\sigma$ ] ecclesia Tyc : septem ecclesis Pr : pr  $\pi a\sigma a\iota\sigma$  bo |  $\kappa$ .  $\tau \sigma$  yevos] tr after  $\Delta a\nu\epsilon\iota\delta$ 386 |  $\tau\sigma$  yevos] origo Pr |  $\Delta a\nu\epsilon\iota\delta$ ] pr  $\tau o\nu$  1. 104 al :+  $\kappa a\iota \circ \lambda\sigma\gamma\sigma\sigma$ 2050 :+  $\kappa a\iota \circ \lambda a\sigma\sigma$  avrov s<sup>1</sup> : "of Adam" arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\sigma^1$ ] pr  $\kappa a\iota 051.$ 35. 104. 205. 250. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 s<sup>1</sup> arm bo sa : pr  $\omega\sigma$  s<sup>2</sup> |  $\delta \lambda a\mu\pi\rho\sigma\sigma \kappa a\iota > 2018$  bo arm<sup>1</sup> |  $\sigma^2 > 18$  |  $\kappa a\iota^2$ A 205 gig vg : > N 046. 17 (-205) al<sup>0mn</sup> Tyc Pr s arm eth |  $\sigma^3 > 205$  |  $\pi\rho\omega\iota\sigma\sigma$ ]  $\pi\rho\iota\sigma\sigma\sigma$  AN 046. 17 (-175. 617. 1934. 2050) al<sup>p1</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm<sup>4</sup> a eth :~ 91. 141. 175. 218. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2017. 2050 s<sup>1</sup> |.

18. eyw] +  $e_{\mu\mu}$  gig vg<sup>d.</sup> \* arm | το αλφα AN 17 (-35. 205. 2020). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> gig bo arm<sup>4. e</sup>: το A 046. 35. 205. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr vg |  $\kappa a\iota^1$ ] +  $e_{\gamma}w$  s<sup>1</sup> | ο πρωτοσ κ. ο (> 2041)  $e_{\gamma}$ ατοσ N 046. 17 (-2050) al<sup>fere omn</sup>: πρωτοσ κ.  $e_{\gamma}$ ατοσ A 104. 110. 2014 arm<sup>(4). e</sup>: tr after τελοσ I. 35. 175. 205. 242. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al arm<sup>4</sup>: >2050 arm<sup>1</sup> bo | η αρχη κ. το τελοσ AN 046. 17 (-35. 175.

# ίδου ἔρχομαι ταχύ, καὶ ὁ μισθός μου μετ' ἐμοῦ, ἀποδοῦναι ἐκάστῷ ὡς τὸ ἔργον † ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ †. (a)

10. καὶ λέγει μοι Μὴ σφραγίσης τοὺς λόγους τῆς προφητείας τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου, ὁ καιρὸς γὰρ ἐγγύς ἐστιν. (b)

(a) This order is against our author's use and is probably due to the editor. See Gram. in Introd. to vol. i. Read abrow errur.

(b) The following verse is removed from the text as an interpolation; see vol. ii. 221-222:

II. ὁ ἀδικῶν ἀδικησάτω ἕτι, καὶ ὁ ῥυπαρὸς ῥυπανθήτω ἕτι, καὶ ὁ δίκαιος δικαιοσύνην ποιησάτω ἕτι, καὶ ὁ ἅγιος ἁγιασθήτω ἔτι.

205. 617. 1934). 250  $al^{pl}$ :  $a \rho \chi \eta$  κ. τελοσ 1. 35. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067  $al arm | \tau \epsilon \lambda o \sigma ] + \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$  ο κυριοσ ο θεοσ ο παντοκρατωρ  $arm^1$ .

12. idou] pr kai 1. 2038. 2067 Tyc eth |  $\tau a \chi v$ ] +  $\mu a \kappa a \rho i o \sigma \sigma$   $\tau \eta \rho \omega v \tau \sigma v \sigma \lambda \sigma \gamma \sigma v \sigma \beta i \beta \lambda i \sigma v \tau \sigma v \tau o v 104 | <math>\kappa a i^2 > bo$  |  $a \pi \sigma \delta \sigma v v a i$ ]  $a \pi \sigma \delta \sigma \theta \eta v a i \mathbb{N}^*$ :  $\kappa a i (> bo)$   $a \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \omega s^1$  bo |  $\omega \sigma . . . a v \tau \sigma v$ ]  $\kappa a \tau a$   $\tau a \epsilon \rho \gamma a$  ( $\tau \sigma \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma v s^1$  sa eth)  $a v \tau \sigma v$  ( $a v \tau \omega v g i g$ ) 2036 Pr Tyc gig vg  $s^1$  arm bo sa eth |  $\tau \sigma > 325$  |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i v A \mathbb{N}$  205. 2014. 2020. 2038  $s^2$ :  $\epsilon \sigma \tau a i 046.$  17 (- 205. 2020). I. 42. 61. 201. 250. 429. 498. 522. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067  $a l^{p1}$  |  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i v$  ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau a i$ )  $a v \tau \sigma v$  ( $a v \tau \omega$  2050) A  $\aleph$  046. 17 (- 35. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2020). 2014  $a l^{p1}$ : ~ 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067  $a l^p$ ].

10. K. Level . . . TOUTOU > gig | Lev. MOL > eth | Level] EITEV Tyc Cyp s bo | MOL] + angelus Tyc | σφραγιστησ] σφραγισεισ 205 | Loyouo] + TOUTOUO N\* (del first hand) | προφ.] + TAUTTO bo | T. BIBL. > Tyc arm<sup>1</sup> | TOUTOU] eius Tyc : TAUTTO arm<sup>1</sup> | ο καιροσ γαρ] στι ο καιροσ I. 35. 205. 1957. 2023. 2038 Pr | γαρ placed after καιροσ AN 046. 17 (-35. 205. 620. 1934. 2050). 250 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc gig vg s arm bo sa : tr before καιροσ 82. 94. 141. 2036. 2037. 2050 : > 91. 242. 336. 517. 620. 1918. 1934. 2032 |.

11. ο αδικων] pr και 424. 2018. 2032 Pr s<sup>i</sup> eth | ο αδικ. αδικησατω ετι] hii qui perseverant nocere noceant Pr : qui perseveraverit nocere noceat adhuc Tyc<sup>b</sup> (qui iniustus est iniusta faciat adhuc Tyc<sup>a</sup>) | ετι<sup>1. 2. 3. 4</sup> > arm<sup>1. 4</sup> | και (> bo) ο ρυπ. ρυπ. ετι (> arm bo) N 046. 17 (-2050). 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa : > A 1. 218. 250. 498. 2014. 2018. 2032. 2050 | ο ρυπαροσ] qui in sordibus est Tyc<sup>b</sup> Pr Cyp gig vg | ρυπανθητω N 94. 2017 : ρυπαρευθητω 046. 17 (-205. 2050). 2037. 2038. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> : ρυπαρωθητω 205 : sordescat Tyc Pr Cyp vg | ετι<sup>2 8. 4</sup> > arm<sup>4</sup> bo eth | δικαιοσυνην ποιησατω ετι AN 046. 17 (-2020) al<sup>pl</sup> gig vg s : iustiora faciat (iusta faciat adhuc Tyc<sup>a</sup>) 8. Κάγω 'Ιωάννης δ άκούων καὶ βλέπων ταῦτα. καὶ ὅτε ἦκουσα καὶ <sup>[</sup>ἔβλεπον<sup>]</sup>, ἐπεσα προσκυνῆσαι ἔμπροσθεν τῶν ποδῶν τοῦ ἀγγέλου τοῦ δεικνύοντός μοι ταῦτα. 9. καὶ λέγει μοι <sup>°</sup>Opa μή σύνδουλός σού εἰμι καὶ τῶν ἀδελφῶν σου τῶν προφητῶν καὶ τῶν τηρούντων τοὺς λόγους τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου. τῷ θεῷ προσκύνησον.

20. Λέγει δ μαρτυρών ταῦτα Ναί ἔρχομαι ταχύ. ᾿Αμήν ἔρχου κύριε Ἱησοῦ.

Tyc<sup>b</sup> Pr Cyp :  $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega \theta \eta \tau \omega \epsilon \tau \iota$  (> arm bo eth) 2020. 2036 arm<sup>1.  $\epsilon$ </sup> (bo) eth |  $\kappa$ . o ay. ay.  $\epsilon \tau \iota$ ] similiter et sanctus sanctiora Tyc Pr Cyp : >61. 2036. 2037 |.

8. Kayw] Kal Eyw I. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038 al : ori eyu arm<sup>1</sup> : eyu s<sup>1</sup> bo sa | Iwarno pr o 205 | o > 2020. 2024 | ακουων κ. βλεπ. ταυτα] βλεπ. ταυτα κ. ακουων Ι al | ακουων κ. βλεπων A 046. 17 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934). 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> gig vg s<sup>2</sup> arm : ~  $\aleph$  35. 175. 205. 218. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2036. 2037. 2038 Pr s<sup>1</sup> bo sa eth | βλεπων] o βλεπ. bo sa eth |  $\tau a v \tau a$  ] a v  $\tau a$  18. 2004 : +  $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \omega$  2050 |  $\kappa a \iota^8 > 1934$  | ηκουσα κ. εβλεπον] εβλεψα κ. ηκουσα s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>1</sup> | εβλεπον A. eβλeψa K 1. 35. 175. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 al : e1dor 201. 336. 386. 456. 522. 2018. 2020 : ore eidor (idur 046 : idor 61. 104. 110). 046. 18. 205. 325. 337. 620. 632. 1849. 2004 alpl : + Tauta bo eth | enera AN 1. 336. 429. 2018. 2020. 2032. 2038. 2050 : enerov 046. 17 (-2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2067 : > eth | noorκυνησαι] και (>eth) προσεκυνησα arm eth : >bo sa | εμπροσθεν των] προ Α | δεικνυοντοσ (διγν- Α) Α 046. 17 (-35. 325. 456. 620. 1934. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : deikuurtoo (dikr- K) ₩ 35. 60. 82. 91. 104. 325. 456. 498. 506. 517. 620. 1934. 2020. 2023. 2024. 2041 al |.

9.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i$ ]  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu v g^d s$  bo |  $\mu oi > 205. 325. 2050$  |  $opa \mu \eta$ ] +  $\pi oi \eta \sigma \eta \sigma 2017$  Pr gig vg |  $\sigma \nu \nu \delta o \nu \lambda o \sigma$ ] pr ori Pr |  $\epsilon i \mu i$ ] +  $\epsilon \gamma \omega 175.$ 617. 1934 bo sa eth |  $\kappa a i^3 A \otimes 046. 17 (-35. 175. 205. 386. 617.$ 1934). 250. 2037  $a l^{pl}$  gig vg s  $a rm^4$  bo sa : > 1. 35. 60. 91. 175. 181. 201. 205. 241. 242. 386. 432. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017<sup>\*</sup>. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2067 Pr |  $\tau$ .  $\lambda o \gamma o \nu \sigma$ ] +  $\tau \eta \sigma \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a \sigma$  2020 Pr arm<sup>a</sup> : pr  $\tau o \nu \tau o \nu \sigma s^1$  |  $\tau o \nu \beta \iota \beta \lambda$ .  $\tau o \nu \tau o \nu \sigma$  2050 |  $\tau$ .  $\theta \epsilon \omega$  $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \nu$ ] magis Deum adora Pr : > gig |.

**20.**  $\lambda eyei] + o \theta eoor 2050 | o > s^1 | ravra] + eval <math>\aleph^* \operatorname{arm}^4 | val > \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{gig} \operatorname{arm}^a | a\mu\eta\nu > \aleph 2050 \operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{gig} \operatorname{s^1} \operatorname{arm}^4 | e\rho\chiov A \otimes 046.$  **175.** 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2038 al<sup>p</sup> gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> bo sa eth : pr val (kal 104). 051. 17 (-175. 205. 617. 1934). 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> Pr |  $\kappa u\rho\iotae] + \eta\mu\omega\nu$  bo |  $\iota\eta\sigma\sigma v A \aleph^*$  046. 18. 35. 250. 325. 620. 632. 1849. 2004 al<sup>pl</sup> vg s sa : +  $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau e \aleph^c$  17 (-18. 35. 325. 620. 632. 1849. 2004). 42. 91. 201. 242. 2016. 2017. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr arm<sup>4. (a)</sup> bo : > gig |.

# 21. ή χάρις τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ μετὰ πάντων τῶν άγίων. ᾿Αμήν.

**91.** ver. 21 > Pr |  $\eta$  xap. r. k. inform > bo | r. k. Inform] tow xpirotow 175. 181. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2023 | kupion AN 046. 17 (-149. 175. 205. 468. 617. 1934). 250. 2037. 2038 al<sup>pl</sup> arms sa : +  $\eta\mu\omega\nu$  149. 205. 468. 2067 al<sup>p</sup> gig vg s arm<sup>4</sup> eth | inform AN 506 sa : +  $\chi$ pirotow 046. 17 al<sup>pl</sup> gig vg s arm eth |  $\mu$ era (eri arms bo) rartur tur (> arm) ayiwr (+ autors s<sup>1</sup>) 046. 051. 17 (- 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s arm<sup>4</sup> = (bo) sa :  $\mu$ era rartur A vg<sup>a. c. d. g. h</sup> :  $\mu$ era rartur vµwr ( $\eta\mu\omega\nu$  2050) 2050 vg<sup>f. v</sup> eth :  $\mu$ era (eri gig) tur ayiwr N gig : + eir tor aiwra tur aiwrwr bo |  $a\mu\eta\nu$ N 046. 17. 250. 2067 al<sup>pl</sup> vg s arm bo sa eth : >A 2014. 2025<sup>\*</sup>. 2026. 2031. 2034. 2036. 2037. 2038 gig |.

Subscription.— апокалищи (-εισ N) Ιωαννου AN 1854 : апокалищи тои ауιои Ιωαννου 2004 : апокалищи тои ауιои ишаννои тои веолоуои (+ каι ευαγγελιστου 1849) 325. 1849 : τελοσ τησ апокалищею тои ауιои ишаννои тои ευαγγελιστου 82 : τελοσ τησ του ауιои ишаννои του θεολογου θειασ αποκαλυψεωσ 522 : τελοσ του αποκαλυψεωσ του αγιου αποστολου και ευαγγελιστου ιωαννου 468 : >046. 18. 35. 104. 149. 175. 205. 429. 456. 617. 620. 632. 1934. 2017. 2020. 2023. 2050 al.

## IV. ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

.

### CHAPTER I.

#### 1-8. THE REVELATION WHICH GOD GAVE TO JESUS CHRIST TO BE MADE KNOWN TO HIS SERVANT JOHN. AND THE BEATITUDE PRONOUNCED ON WH0 THINGS THOSE KEEP THE WRITTEN THEREIN.

1. The revelation of Jesus Christ, which God gave unto him. The book -its to show unto his servants-even the things which must shortly source and come to pass; and (which) he sent and signified by his angel contents, unto his servant John; 2. Who bare witness of the word of 1-2 God, and of the testimony of Jesus Christ-(even) of all things which he saw.

The first 8. Blessed<sup>1</sup> (is) he that readeth, beatitude And they that hear the words of the prophecy. for those And keep the things that are written therein : who keep For the time (1s) at hand. the things written

### 4-7. JOHN'S GREETING AND BENEDICTION TO THE SEVEN CHURCHES.

4. John to the Seven Churches that are in Asia-John's greeting to the Seven Grace unto you and peace, from him which is, and which Churches was, and which is to come.<sup>2</sup> 5. And from Jesus Christ, the faithful witness, Grace and The firstborn of the dead, and the ruler of the kings of the earth.8 Unto him that loveth us and loosed us from our sins by Sovereign his blood. <sup>1</sup> On the seven beatitudes in this book, see vol. ii. 49. <sup>2</sup> Here John's editor interpolates the following words: "And from the the living seven spirits which are before his throne"; see vol. i. 9, 11-12.

<sup>3</sup> The last two phrases = the Sovereign of the dead, the Ruler of the living. The primary meaning of  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \tau \sigma \kappa \sigma s$ , *i.e.* "firstborn," is wholly superseded by its secondary one of "chief," "foremost," "sovereign." See note on i. 5 (vol. i. 14).

peace from God and from Jesus Christ

therein

of the dead and Ruler of

6. And hath made<sup>1</sup> us to be a kingdom, priests unto his Ascription God and Fatherof pruise

Unto him be the glory and the dominion for ever and to Him as ever. Amen.

7. Behold he cometh with the clouds; His And every eye shall see him, and they that pierced him.<sup>2</sup> Advent And all the tribes of the earth shall wail because of him.<sup>8</sup> Even so. Amen.<sup>4</sup>

### 9-20. JOHN'S CALL AND COMMISSION.

(His vision of the Son of Man, who is described in terms that recur in the Letters to the first six of the Seven Churches.)

<sup>1</sup> Not "and he hath made us"; for we have here a Hebrew idiom which

often recurs in our text; see vol. i. 14-15. <sup>2</sup> In this translation I generally use "*that*" to "introduce a statement that is essential to the complete meaning of the antecedent," and "who" to "introduce a non-essential statement," as Abbott, Gr. 218, footnote, recommends, this being the usage generally adopted by Shakespeare and Addison.

<sup>8</sup> Here en abrow requires this rendering. In Zech xii. 10, on the other hand, the same words mean, "they shall wall for him." We could also render "wail in regard to him": cf. John xii. 28. <sup>4</sup> Here all the authorities add: 8. "I am the Alpha and the Omega, saith

the Lord God, which is, and which was, and which is to come, the Almighty." This verse is unquestionably interpolated, though I did not recognize this fact when writing my Commentary. This is proved by the evidence of (a) the context (or thought), and (b) that of the text (or grammar). (a) Contextual grounds. These words imply that John heard them in a vision; for other-wise he could not have heard them. But this would necessitate a foregoing statement, that John had fallen into a visionary condition or trance, such a statement as we find in i. 10 ("I was in the spirit") before his vision of the Son of Man, or his very frequent "I saw" or "I saw, and behold"; see vol. i. 106 sq. John does not fall into a trance till i. 10. If, then, i. 8 is original, the text is fragmentary. But the words cannot come from John's hand at all, as we see from  $(\delta)$ . ( $\delta$ ) Textual or grammatical grounds. John never disconnects δ θεόs ("God") and δ παντοκράτωρ ("Almighty"), for the very good reason that o marrospárup represents a genitive in the Hebrew dependent on econ. That is, δ deds δ παντοκράτωρ is a stock rendering of πακική τηλα (="God of hosts"); see vol. i. 20. Only an ignorant scribe could have separated the words. For John's use of this phrase, see iv. 8, xi. 17, xv. 3, xvi. 7, 14, xiz. 6, 15, xxi. 22. The recognition of this fact is very important, seeing that not only has no scholar recognized the misuse of this phrase in 1. 8, but none has recognized that the text in xix. 6, δ θεδs [ήμῶν] δ παντοκράτωρ (\* 025. 046 Pr gig  $vg^{*}s^{s}$ ), is equally impossible with that in i. 8. Here such great authorities as A 2040 s<sup>1</sup> arm<sup>2</sup> 4 vg<sup>s</sup> bo eth Cyp should at all events have led scholars with WH to bracket  $\eta \mu \hat{\omega} r$  as an intrusion, if not as impossible. In the LXX and in all works written by Jews in Hebrew or in Greek, nothing can intervene between à beos (or rúpuos) and à marrospárup in this phrase.

Hence i. 8 must be rejected. By its removal the right order of thought is restored. First in i. 4-7 comes John's greeting to the churches, and next in 9-20 his account of his call and commission by Christ. i. 8 is thus impossible in itself linguistically in our author, unintelligible in its present position, and intolerable as creating a breach between i. 4-7 and 9-20.

Redeemer

9. I John, your brother and companion in the tribulation Tohn bidden to and kingdom and endurance (which is) in Jesus, was in the isle write which is called Patmos, because of the word of God and the down his visions and testimony of Jesus. 10. I was in the Spirit on the Lord's day, send them and I heard a great voice behind me, as of a trumpet, saving : to the Seven 11. What thou seest, write in a book, Churches. And send it to the seven churches; 9-II Unto Ephesus, and unto Smyrna, and unto Pergamum, And unto Thyatira, and unto Sardis, and unto Philadelphia, and unto Laodicea. 19. And I turned to see the voice that spake with me. And having turned, I saw seven golden candlesticks; 18. And in the midst of the candlesticks One like unto a son of man. Clothed with a garment down to the foot, And girt about the breasts with a golden girdle. 13-19 14. And his head and his hair were white as white wool,<sup>1</sup> And his eyes were as a flame of fire, 15. And his feet like unto burnished brass, as when refined in a furnace, And his voice as the voice of many waters. 16. And he had in his right hand seven stars : And out of his mouth went a sharp two-edged sword : And his countenance was as the sun shining<sup>2</sup> in his strength. 17. And when I saw him, I fell at his feet as dead. And he laid his right hand upon me, saying, Fear not; I am the first and the last: 18. And he that liveth,<sup>8</sup> and was dead : And, behold, I am alive for evermore; And have the keys of death and Hades. 19. Write therefore the things which thou hast seen, And the things which are, And the things which shall be hereafter.

<sup>1</sup> The text adds what was originally a marginal gloss, "as snow"; see vol. i. 28.

<sup>a</sup> Not "shineth," which is neither good English nor a rendering of the text. The text here contains a Hebrew idiom ; see vol. i. p. 31.

<sup>8</sup> This clause belongs to this line, not to the preceding; see vol. i. 15, 31.

Vision of the Son of man amid the seven candlesticks,

### **I. 20-II. 2.**] LETTER TO THE CHURCH IN EPHESUS 389

**90.** As for the mystery of the seven stars which thou sawest The seven in my right hand and the seven golden candlesticks—the seven candlestars are the angels of the seven churches; and the candlesticks the Seven are the seven<sup>1</sup> churches.

the Seven Churches, and the stars are the ideals they are to fulfil

# CHAPTERS II.-III.

### LETTERS TO THE SEVEN CHURCHES.

(To the angels of the Churches, *i.e.* to the Churches in their potential and ideal character, John addresses the seven following letters, which come from Jesus Himself, through whom alone their ideals can be realized; for He holds them in His right hand. These Churches, which are very imperfect witnesses of God on earth, are menaced with world-wide tribulation. These Letters were written by John, probably in the time of Vespasian, and edited afresh for incorporation in the Apocalypse. See vol. i. 43-47.)

### CHAPTER II.

II. 1-7. (Letter to the Church in Ephesus, which is praised for rejecting false teaching, but blamed for forsaking its first love.)

- 1. To the angel of the Church in Ephesus write :
  - These things saith he that holdeth the seven stars in his right hand,

That walketh in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks:

**9.** I know thy works, even thy toil and endurance, And that thou canst not bear evil men;

But hast tried them which say they are apostles and are tion of not,<sup>2</sup> false

And hast found them false.

<sup>1</sup> Nearly all the authorities read al λυχνίαι al έπτὰ ἐπτὰ ἐπτὰ ἐκκλησίαι eloir. endurance, The position of the numeral without the article in the predicate here is 2-3 parallel to that of ἐπτά and δέκα in xvii. 9, 12, xxi. 21. But here we require the article in the predicate, since the predicate is co-extensive with the subject, and since "the seven churches" (τῶν ἐπτὰ ἐκκλησίων) have just been mentioned. Two cursives Pr fl and arm rightly omit the second ἐπτά.

<sup>3</sup> Not "and they are not"; for we have a Hebraism here; see note on 5-6, vol. i. 14.

.

Church of Ephesus praised fou its rejection of false teachers and its  And thou hast endurance, And didst bear for my name's sake, And hast not grown weary.

 But
 4. But I have (this) against thee, that thou hast left thy first blamed love. for forsaking its first love

 for forsaking its first love
 5. Remember therefore from whence thou hast fallen, And repent and do the first works ;

Or else I will come unto thee, And remove thy candlestick out of its place.<sup>1</sup>

6. But this thou hast, that thou hatest the works of the Nicolaitans, which I also hate.

| 7. | He that hath an ear, let him hear        |
|----|--|
|    | What the Spirit saith unto the Churches: |

The victor To him that overcometh will I give to eat of the tree of life, will eat of which is in the Paradise of God.

8-11. (Letter to the Church in Smyrna, which is praised for its loyalty under tribulation and impoverishment, and forewarned against a still worse though shortlived persecution.)

8. And to the angel of the Church in Smyrna write: Church in Smyrna praised for These things saith the first and the last its loyalty Which was dead, and is alive (again): under tribula-9. I know thy tribulation and poverty, tion, and But thou art rich ; fore-And the blasphemy of certain of those <sup>2</sup> which say they warned of coming are Jews and are not,<sup>8</sup> but short-But are a synagogue of Satan. lived persecu-10. Fear not the things which thou art about to suffer: tion, 8-10 Behold, the devil is about to cast some of you into prison. That ye may be tempted, and have tribulation for ten days.

> Be thou faithful unto death, And I will give thee the crown of life.

<sup>1</sup> A gloss adds, "except thou repent"; see vol. i. p. 51.

<sup>8</sup>  $\ell\kappa \tau \omega r \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta r \tau \omega r$  is partitive. Our author does not charge with blasphemy all who claim to be Jews. The limitation is defined further in "and are not, but are a synagogue of Satan." But the  $\ell\kappa$  here may be only a sign of the genitive; see my Grammar in the Introd. to vol. i. under  $\ell\kappa$ .

Not "and they are not"; see note on ver. 2.

390

life

The victor 11. He that hath an ear. let him hear will be What the Spirit saith unto the Churches: beyond He that overcometh shall not be hurt by the second the reach death. of death **12–17.** (Letter to the Church in Pergamum.) 19. And to the angel of the Church in Pergamum write: Church in Pergamum These things saith he that hath the two-edged the sharp praised for sword: its steadfastness 18. I know where thou dwellest, and loyalty (Even) where Satan's throne is: in the days of persecu-And thou holdest fast my name, tion, 12-13 And didst not deny (thy) faith in me, Even in the days of Antipas, my faithful witness,<sup>1</sup> Who was slain among you, Where Satan dwelleth. 14. But I have a few things against thee, But Because thou hast there some who hold the teaching of blamed for suffering Balaam. men cor-Who taught Balak to cast a stumbling-block before the runt in children of Israel. doctrine To eat things sacrificed to idols, and to commit fornica- and life to exist in tion. their 15. Thus<sup>2</sup> thou too in like manner hast some who hold the <sup>midst</sup>, 14-16 teaching of the Nicolaitans. 16. Repent, therefore, Or else I will come unto thee quickly, And I will make war against them with the sword of my The victor will be month. endowed 17. He that hath an ear, let him hear with enriched What the Spirit saith unto the Churches. powers To him that overcometh I will give of the hidden manna, and personality And I will give him a white stone, And upon the stone a new name<sup>8</sup> written, Which none knoweth but he that receiveth it. <sup>1</sup> AC read "my witness, my faithful one"; but i. 5, iii. 14 support the text adopted above.

<sup>2</sup> The "thus" is justified by the statement in 14, while the words "thou too in like manner" involve a comparison with the Church in Ephesus, ii. 3.

<sup>3</sup> Though difficulties may attach to the various explanations of the "white stone," that of the new name is clear. The name stands for the man and all therein implied—his personality. For him that overcometh this personality is so transformed, developed and enriched that it is in effect a new personality, which none knoweth save God and the man himself.

| 1 | 102 |  |
|---|-----|--|
|   |     |  |

13-39. (Letter to the Church in Thyatira.)

| Church of<br>Thyatira<br>praised for<br>its growth<br>in things<br>spiritual,<br>18-19 | 18. And to the angel of the Church in Thyatira write:  |
|--|--|
|  | These things saith the Son of God,<br>Whose eyes <sup>1</sup> are like a flame of fire,<br>And whose feet <sup>2</sup> are like unto burnished brass:  |
|  | <ol> <li>I know thy works—         <ul> <li>(Even) thy love, and faith, and ministry, and endurance;</li> <li>And thy last works are more than the first.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   |
| But<br>blamed for<br>suffering a<br>false<br>prophet-<br>ess in its<br>midst.          | <ul> <li>But I have (this) against thee,</li> <li>That thou sufferest the woman Jezebel, who calleth herself a prophetess,</li> <li>And teacheth<sup>3</sup> and seduceth my servants,</li> <li>To commit fornication, and to eat things sacrificed to idols.</li> </ul> |
| 20-25  | <b>21.</b> And I have given her time that she should repent :<br>But she hath refused to repent of her fornication.  |
|  | <ul> <li>33. Behold, I will cast her upon a bed of suffering,<sup>4</sup></li> <li>And those who commit adultery with her into great tribulation;<sup>5</sup></li> <li>33. But her children I will slay with pestilence:</li> </ul>                                      |
|  | And so <sup>6</sup> all the churches shall know<br>That I am he that searcheth the reins and hearts,<br>And giveth <sup>7</sup> to each one of you according to your works.  |
|  | <sup>1</sup> Lit. "who has his eyes."<br><sup>3</sup> Lit. "and his feet"; but the possessive pronoun is really a part of the relative in Hebrew. Thus the stanza would run in Hebrew:   |
|  | כה אכור בן־האלהים<br>אשר עיניו כלהב איש<br>ופרנלתיו כנחשת קלל  |
|  | <sup>3</sup> Not "and she teacheth"; for we have here a Hebrew idiom; see vol. i.  |
|  | <ul> <li>14 sq.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> See vol. i. p. 71. <i>Here</i> as in iii. 9, 1800 with the present indic. is to be rendered by the future.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> Text adds a gloss, "unless they repent of their works." These words</li> </ul>                    |
|  | are unnecessary. Moreover, $\dot{\epsilon}ar$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with ind. ( $\mu eraporty coustr, AR$ : $\mu eraport_{area}$   |

an opportunity for repentance but not the punishment in  $23^{-5}$  leave an opportunity for repentance but not the punishment in  $23^{-5}$ . The omission of  $22^{5}$  restores the parallelism and makes this stanza a tristich as the two that follow.

<sup>6</sup> Here sal has this meaning still more strongly than in Matt. v. 15, xxiii. 32; I John iii. 19, etc.

<sup>7</sup>  $\delta$  that  $\delta$  the same is  $5^{b}-6=mm$ . . . ,  $c_{p}$ . Amos ix,  $\delta$  for a construction like that implied in the text. The judgments about to be executed in 22-23<sup>a</sup> will

- But to you I say, to the rest that are in Thyatira, As many as have not this doctrine, That know<sup>1</sup> not the deep things of Satan, as they call (them)—
- 25. I cast upon you none other burden : Only hold fast what ye have till I come.
- **96.** And he that overcometh, even he<sup>2</sup> that keepeth my The victor works unto the end—
   To him will I give authority over the nations:
- 87°. As I also have received from my Father.<sup>8</sup>
- 27. And he shall break <sup>4</sup> them with a rod of iron;
  As the potter's vessels shall they be dashed to pieces:<sup>5</sup>
- 28. And I will give him the morning star.
- **29.** He that hath an ear, let him hear What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.

cause all the Churches to know that it is Christ that is the Judge, and that He judgeth now. In fact it is from such experience that they connect the judgments in 22 with the conclusion in 23<sup>d</sup>. The judgments are in accordance with the works. Both the sins and their punishments have become actually known to them. Hence there is no eschatological reference here to the final judgment, and accordingly ral  $\delta\omega\sigma\omega$  must not be translated "and I will give," but according to the Hebrew idiom as rendered above. Here is another fact tending to prove that the Seven Letters were written at a much earlier date than the Book as a whole. The Letters insist more upon the present judgments of Providence, the Book as a whole on the final judgment.

<sup>1</sup> Errwar. Timeless aorist to be translated as a perfect = "have recognised" = "know." See Introd. vol. i. Gram. § 4. iii.

<sup>2</sup> Or '' and."

\* This line follows 26<sup>b</sup> immediately, as the exactly parallel construction in ii. 21 shows.

<sup>6</sup> Or "shatter" or "destroy"; see note in vol. i. p. 75 sq.

<sup>5</sup> συντρίβεται is to be taken as a Hebraism and rendered by the future; ee vol. i. 77. Tyc (ut vas figuli comminuentur) Pr vg (sicut (tanquam vg) as figuli confringentur) (s<sup>3</sup>) presuppose συντριβήσονται and the above ranslation. 2050 gig (s<sup>1</sup> emended by Gwynn)=καl συντρίψει αύτους ώς τὰ κεύη κτλ. In any case the verb affects the έθτη, not the σκεύη.

συντρίβονται (or συντριβήσονται) would have seemed more natural in our xt, since  $\ell \theta m$  is thrice preceded and twice followed by the plural verb in ur author (xi. 18, xv. 4). But the sing, verb occasionally follows the neuter lural of various nouns in our author; see vol. i. Gram. § 8. ii. (b). If this ems unsatisfactory here, seeing that  $\ell \theta m$  is referred to in the preceding ause by abrob, then we must regard συντρίβοται or συντρίβησονται.

Ine victor shall share in Christ's dominion over the nations, and receive the morning star, 26-28

# CHAPTER III.

|  | III. 1-6. (Letter to the Church in Sardis.)   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The  | 1. To the angel of the Church in Sardis write:  |  |  |
| Church in<br>Sardis<br>blamed for<br>its spiritual                               | These things saith he that hath the seven Spirits of God,<br>And the seven stars:   |  |  |
| declension,<br>and ad-   | I know thy works<br>That thou hast a name to live, but art dead.  |  |  |
| monished<br>to be<br>watchful<br>and re-<br>pent, 1-3,                           | <ul> <li>Be watchful, and strengthen the things that remain, (but) which are ready to die:<sup>1</sup></li> <li>For I have found no works of thine fulfilled before my God.<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>                            |  |  |
| xvi. 15  | <ul> <li>Remember therefore how thou hast received and didst<br/>hear,<br/>And keep (them), and repent.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Second<br>Beatitude<br>for those<br>who keep<br>their                            | <b>XVI.</b> 15. Behold, I come as a thief:<br>Blessed is he that watcheth, and keepeth his<br>garments,<br>So that he may not walk naked,<br>And his shame be seen. <sup>8</sup>  |  |  |
| garments<br>clean  | <ul> <li>III. 3°. If therefore thou dost not watch,<br/>I will come as a thief,<br/>And thou shalt not know<br/>At what hour I shall come to thee.</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Yet a few<br>in Sardis<br>are<br>worthy  | 4. But thou hast a few names in Sardis<br>Which have not defiled their garments,<br>And they shall walk with me in white;<br>For they are worthy.   |  |  |
| The victor<br>shall<br>obtain a<br>spiritual<br>body, and<br>have his<br>name in | <ul> <li>5. He that overcometh shall thus be arrayed in white garments;</li> <li>And I will in no wise blot his name out of the book of life, But I will confess his name before my Father, And before his angels.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| the Book<br>of life  | He that hath an ear let him hear<br>What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.  |  |  |
|  | <sup>1</sup> The epistolary imperfect here rendered as a present.<br><sup>2</sup> "Works of thine" (AC). This judgment is more sweeping than the  |  |  |

reading of # 025. 046- "thy works." Sardis has failed as a centre of spiritual power. I.it. "they see his shame."

## III. 7-10.] LETTER TO CHURCH IN PHILADELPHIA 395

III. 7-13. (Letter to the Church in Philadelphia in which it is given unqualified approval for its fidelity and steadfastness, and promised the honour and privileges of the true Israel (9), and deliverance from the final demonic woes in the approaching worldwide tribulation (10-11). He that overcometh shall have an everlasting place in the spiritual Kingdom of God—even God's city, the New Jerusalem—and bear on his forehead God's name and Christ's own new name, 12.)

| 7.          | And to the angel of the Church in Philadelphia write :  | Church in   |  |
|-------------|---|---|--|
|             | These things saith he that is holy, he that is true,<br>He that hath the key of David,<br>That openeth and none closeth, <sup>1</sup><br>And closeth and none openeth :<br>Behold I have set before thee an open door,<br>Which none can shut. <sup>3</sup> | Phila-<br>delphia<br>praised for<br>its stead-<br>fastness<br>despite its<br>weakness,<br>7-8 |  |
| <b>8</b> *. | I know thy works,<br>That thou hast a little power,<br>And yet thou hast kept my word<br>And hast not denied my name.   |   |  |
| 9.          | Behold, I will cause <sup>8</sup> them of the synagogue of Satan<br>Who say that they are Jews and are not,<br>But do lie :<br>Behold, I will make them to come<br>And worship before thy feet,<br>And know that I have loved thee.                         | Promised<br>the powers<br>and privi-<br>leges of<br>the true<br>Israel                        |  |
|             | Because thou hast kept the word of my endurance,<br>I also will keep thee from the hour of tribulation,<br>Which is about to come upon the whole world,<br>To tempt them that dwell upon the earth. <sup>4</sup><br>e vol. i. 86.                           | And de-<br>liverance<br>from the<br>woes that<br>are to try<br>the<br>faithless               |  |
| а ўь.       | <sup>e</sup> form a parenthesis, if the MSS order is followed. But the MSS<br>i the text cannot be right. Hence 8 <sup>b</sup> o are restored before 8 <sup>a</sup> . Thus  |   |  |

order of the text cannot be right. Hence 8<sup>b</sup> o are restored before 8<sup>a</sup>. Thus in 7-10 there are four stanzas: the first and third of six lines each, and the second and fourth of four lines each.

<sup>8</sup> Here  $i\partial o i \partial i\partial \hat{o} = in un$ , and indubitably refers to the future, and should be so translated (so rightly in AV.). This common Hebraism (see Gesenius, *Heb. Gram.*, transl. by Cowley, § 116p), where the participle = a future, is called *inturum intians*. Our author sometimes puts the present (indicative) (cf. ii. 22<sup>a</sup>) after  $i\partial o \phi$ , where it is to be rendered as a future : also xvi. 15, rxii. 7, 12. In the last three cases the  $\delta p \chi o \mu a a$  per endered as a present pwing to the idea of futurity associated with the technical use of the verb. The same variation in the renderings of this idiom appears in the LXX.

<sup>4</sup> The demonic temptations here referred to can only affect the unbelievers *i.e.* "those that dwell upon the earth"; see note on xi. 10 in vol. 1. 289).

The victor shall dwell for ever in God's city and bear His name and Christ's new name

396

- 11. I come quickly: hold fast what thou hast; Let none take thy crown. 19. He that overcometh-I will make him a pillar in the temple of my God, And he shall go out no more : And I will write upon him the name of my God. And the name of the city of my God, The new Jerusalem which cometh down out of heaven from my God. And mine own new name. 18. He that hath an ear, let him hear
- What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.

III. 14–22. (Letter to the Church in Laodicea.)

14. And to the angel of the Church in Laodicea write:

These things saith the Amen, The faithful and true witness, The beginning of the creation of God:

- 15. I know thy works That thou art neither cold nor hot : I would thou wert cold or hot.
- 16. So because thou art lukewarm, And neither cold nor hot. I will spew thee out of my mouth.
- 17. Because thou sayest, I am rich, And have gotten riches, and have need of nothing; And knowest not that thou art (of all creatures) the (most) wretched and miserable And poor and blind and naked:
- Bidden to seek the true riches and to repent, 18-19
- 18. I counsel thee to buy of me gold refined by fire, that thou mayest be rich; And white garments, that thou mayest clothe thyself, And that the shame of thy nakedness be not made manifest : And eyesalve to anoint thine eyes, that thou mayest see.
- 19. As many as I love, I reprove and chasten : be zealous therefore, and repent.

Church in Laodicea denounced for its self-complacency and for its spiritual destitution despite its material wealth and intellectual culture, 14-17

- 20. Behold, I stand at the door and knock: Appeal to the indi-If any man hear my voice and open the door, vidual I will come in to him, and will sup with him, Landicean And he with me.
- 21. To him that overcometh, I will grant to sit with me on The victor shall share my throne, As I also have overcome, and sat down with my Father in Christ's zlory, as on his throne.
- **89.** He that hath an ear, let him hear What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.

## CHAPTER IV.

### THE VISION OF GOD THE CREATOR, FROM WHOM ARE ALL THINGS.

(With iv. comes an entire change of scene. The dramatic contrast could not be greater. In ii.-iii. we had a vivid description of the Churches, with the ideals they cherished, their faulty achievements, their not infrequent disloyalties, and their outlook darkened with the fear of universal martyrdom. But the moment we leave behind the restlessness and turmoil of earth. the moral shortcomings and apprehensions of the Churches in ii.-iii., we enter in 1v. into an atmosphere of perfect assurance and peace, where neither the threatenings of the powers of evil nor the alarms of the faithful on earth can awake even a momentary misgiving in the heavenly hosts that serve and worship. And vet that the manifold needs and claims of the faithful on earth were the object of God's gracious purposes becomes clear and ever clearer as we advance.)

1. After these things I saw, and behold, a door was opened 1 in heaven, and the former voice,<sup>2</sup> which I had heard as of a trumpet speaking with me, said, Come up hither, and I will show thee the things which must come to pass hereafter. 2. Straightway Vision of I was in the spirit:<sup>8</sup> a throne and of

| And behold a throne was set in heaven, | Him that   |
|--|------------|
| And on the throne (was) one seated;    | sat there- |

<sup>1</sup> I have taken these participles as finite verbs, a construction occasionally <sup>on, 2-3</sup> occurring in our author and in Hebrew, and very frequently in Aramaic. If rendered as participles the sense is not so good : "Behold, a door opened in heaven, and the former voice, as of a trumpet speaking with me, saying."

<sup>2</sup> *i.e.* that in i. 10.

<sup>3</sup> On the high probability that part of this chapter was written at an earlier date by our author and subsequently incorporated by him when he edited the complete work, see vol. i. 104 sq., § 3.

He in His Father's

|   | <ul> <li>8. And he that sat was to look upon like a jasper stone and a sardius,</li> <li>And there (was) a rainbow round about the throne, like an emerald to look upon.</li> </ul>   |
|---|---|
| The four<br>and twenty<br>Elders          | <ul> <li>4. And round about the throne (were) four and twenty thrones:</li> <li>And on the thrones four and twenty elders sitting, Clothed in white garments;</li> <li>And on their heads (were) crowns of gold.</li> </ul> |
|   | <ol> <li>And out of the throne proceeded lightnings and voices<br/>and thunders,<br/>And seven lamps of fire were burning before the throne,<sup>1</sup></li> </ol>   |
| The four                                  | 6. And before the throne there was as it were a sea of glass like unto crystal;   |
| Cherubim,<br>6 <sup>b_8<sup>b</sup></sup> | And <sup>2</sup> round about the throne (were) four living creatures, <sup>3</sup><br>full of eyes before and behind.   |
|   | 7. And the first creature (was) like a lion,<br>And the second creature like a calf.  |
|   | And the third creature had a face as of a man,<br>And the fourth creature (was) like a flying eagle.  |
| The<br>Cherubim                           | 8. And the four living creatures had each of them six wings, <sup>4</sup>   |
| praise God                                | And they rest <sup>5</sup> not day and night, saying :  |
| as Holy,<br>Almighty,                     | Holy, holy, holy (is) the Lord God Almighty, <sup>6</sup>   |
| and Ever-<br>lasting                      | Which was, and which is, and which is to come.  |
|   | <sup>1</sup> A gloss is added here: "which are the seven spirits of God." On the whole line see vol. i. 117.  |
|   | <sup>3</sup> A disturbing gloss is added here : "in the midst of the throne and"; see vol. i. 118.  |
|   | <sup>3</sup> <i>i.e.</i> Cherubim ; see vol. i. 119–123.  |
|   | • The following clause is here interpolated : "Around and within they   |

nd within they

are full of eyes"; see vol. i. 125. Pr gig and vg<sup>a. d</sup> give "rested." See next note. The rendering "Almighty" is probably right, but by no means certain. The Hebrew behind marrospárwp is in our author main; see Isa. vi. 3. See footnote on i. 7 above. The specific word in Greek for "Almighty" is marrod unapos, which is found in Wisd. vii. 23, xi. 17, xviii. 15. It is significant that, although *sparsiv* has in some instances approximately the meaning of "to be powerful," in the LXX (see Esth. i. 1; 1 Esdr. iv. 38, etc.), it never has this meaning in our author nor in the NT. The two words *rarroxparso*, *rarro&irauses* are found side by side in the Liturgy of St. James ; see Lightfoot, Apostolic Fathers, 11. 1. 7. In the Apostles' Creed and generally in later times omnipotens is the equivalent of marrospárup. The rendering "Lord God of Hosts" would be safe in any case.

- 9. And when the living creatures give <sup>1</sup> glory and honour and thanks
  To him that sitteth on the throne, Who liveth for ever and ever,
- 10. The four and twenty elders fall <sup>1</sup> down before him that The Elders sitteth on the throne,
   And worship <sup>1</sup> him that liveth for ever and ever,
   And cast their crowns before the throne, saying,
- Worthy art thou our Lord and God, To receive the honour and the glory and the power: For thou didst create all things, And because of thy will they were, and were created.<sup>2</sup>

# CHAPTER V.

### THE VISION OF CHRIST THE REDEEMER, THROUGH WHOM ARE ALL THINGS.

(As in iv. we have the vision of God from whom are all things, in v. we have the vision of the Lamb, into whose hands the destinies of the world are committed, inasmuch as through His redeeming death He had won the right to carry God's purposes into effect. As in iv. the Elders and Cherubim worship God as the Creator, in v. the Cherubim, Elders, and angels worship Christ as the Redeemer, while the chapter closes in the adoration of God and Christ by all.)

1. And I saw upon the right hand of him that sat on the Vision of throne a book written within and on the back, sealed the sealed book

<sup>1</sup> These verbs are futures and not pasts in the Greek. But the context which cannot admit of futures. We have here no prediction of what shall be under none could certain circumstances, but (a) either an account of what the Seer saw in a open, 1-4 vision in the past-in such a case we should have pasts, and so Pr vga- d. v arm<sup>1</sup> render-(b) or a statement of the regular order of divine worship in heaven. Since the praise of the Elders follows immediately on that of the Cherubim, the context seems to favour ( $\delta$ ). Hence the futures are to be rendered as presents. The Greek futures represent Hebrew imperfects in the mind of our author used in a frequentative sense-a common usage in Hebrew; see vol. i. Gram. § 10. ii. (k) But (a) may be right, and the context refer simply to what the Seer saw in his vision. Then the futures would have to be rendered as pasts, as in the Latin and Armenian Versions mentioned above. The Hebrew imperfects in the mind of our author would explain this anomaly also. In careful translations like the LXX the uncertainty of the translators as to whether the Hebrew imperfect should be rendered by the Greek present, future, or past imperfect is constantly manifest, each of these renderings being possible.

<sup>2</sup> Lit. "were" (ήσαν-so A omitting rest of line). Other MSS and Versions : "were and were created."

- with seven seals. And I saw a strong angel proclaiming with a loud voice, Who is worthy to open the book, and
  to loose the seals thereof? And no one in heaven, or on
- earth, or under the earth was able to open the book, or to 4. look thereon. And I wept much, because no one was
- 5. found worthy to open the book, or to look thereon. And
- one of the Élders saith unto me, Weep not: Behold the Lion that is of the tribe of Judah, the Root of David, hath
- 6. prevailed to open the book and its seven seals. And I saw between the throne and the four living creatures and the elders <sup>1</sup> a Lamb standing as though it had been slain, having seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven
  7. spirits of God sent out into all the earth. And he came
- and took<sup>2</sup> (it) out of the right hand of him that sat on
- the throne. And when he had taken the book, the four living creatures and the four and twenty elders fell
   down before the Lamb, having each of them a harp and
- golden bowls full of incense.<sup>8</sup> And they sang<sup>4</sup> a new song, saying,
  - Worthy art thou to take the book,
  - And to open the seals thereof;
  - For thou wast slain,

And hast redeemed unto God with thy blood Men of every tribe, and tongue, and people, and nation,

10. And hast made them unto our God a kingdom and priests,

And they reign<sup>5</sup> upon the earth.

- And I saw, and heard the voice of many angels round about the throne;<sup>6</sup> and the number of them was ten thousand times ten thousand and thousands of thousands,
- 12. saying, with a loud voice :

Worthy is the Lamb that hath been slain

To receive the power, and riches, and wisdom,

And might, and honour, and glory, and blessing.

<sup>1</sup> Here the Lamb stands between the inner circle of the Cherubim and the outer circle of the Elders. This implies a Hebraism in the text. See vol. i. 140. Otherwise render: "in the midst of the throne and the four living creatures and in the midst of the elders."

<sup>9</sup> The perfect is here an aorist perfect : the RV. renders "taketh"; cf. viii. 5. See Introd. vol. i. Gram. § 4. v.

<sup>8</sup> The MSS add a gloss here : "which are the prayers of the saints." The prayers and the incense were not identical ; see vol. i. 145.

<sup>4</sup> The text has "sing"; but this can represent the Hebrew imperfect in our author's mind.

<sup>6</sup> In the vision the Seer sees the saints already reigning in the Millennial Kingdom. Otherwise the verb is to be given a future sense as a Hebraism.

<sup>6</sup> The MSS add a gloss here: "And the living creatures and the elders." See vol. i. 148.

save the Lamb, whom the Seer now beholds

Adoration of the Lamb by the Cherubim, Elders, and countless hosts of angels, 8-12 13-14. (These two verses form the proper close to iv.-v., for they give the grand finale pronounced by all creation in praise of both God and the Lamb—the themes of iv. and v. 1-12.)

18. And every created thing which is in heaven, and on the All creaearth, and under the earth, and on the sea, and all things that tion unites are therein, heard I, saying, God and

the Lamb.

Unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb, 13-14Be the blessing, and the honour, and the glory, And the power, for ever and ever.

14. And the four living creatures said Amen. And the elders fell down and worshipped.

# CHAPTER VI.

### THE JUDGMENT OF THE WORLD BEGINS WITH THE OPENING OF THE SEALS BY CHRIST.

(Christ opens seal after seal of the Seven-sealed Book, and as they are successively opened a series of destructive agencies are let loose—war, international strife, famine, pestilence, the prayers of the martyrs which have become instruments of divine wrath (see footnote 2, p. 403), a mighty earthquake, cataclysms affecting heaven and earth. Through these God's judgments on evil are brought to pass. But the cosmic troubles are still future, and even when fulfilled are partial and not the immediate heralds of the end, as the dwellers on the earth apprehended (see vol. i. 183, 153 sqq.).

- 1. And I saw when the Lamb opened one of the seven seals, and I heard one of the four living creatures saying as with a voice of thunder, Come.
- And I saw, and behold a white horse,
  And he that sat thereon had a bow;
  And there was given unto him a crown:
  And he went forth conquering and to conquer.

Vision of War

 And when he opened the second seal, I heard the second living creature saying, Come.
 VOL. 11.-26

|  | 402                | THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN   | [VI. 4-0.                 |
|--|--------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Vision of<br>inter-<br>national<br>and civil<br>strife | 4.                 | And another horse, a red one, went forth:<br>And to him that sat thereon was given to take<br>peace of the earth, <sup>1</sup><br>And that they should slay one another,<br>And there was given to him a great sword. <sup>2</sup>   | away the                  |
|  | 5.                 | And when he opened the third seal, I heard living creature saying, Come.   | the third                 |
| Vision of<br>famine                                    |                    | And I saw, and behold, a black horse;<br>And he that sat thereon had a balance in his han  | ıd.                       |
|  | <b>6</b> .         | And I heard as it were a voice in the midst of living creatures saying,  | the four                  |
|  |                    | A measure of wheat for a penny,<br>And three measures of barley for a penny;<br>But to the oil and the wine do no hurt. <sup>8</sup>   |                           |
|  | 7.                 | And when he opened the fourth seal, I heard the the fourth living creature saying, Come.   | e voice of                |
| Visio <b>n of</b><br>pesti <b>lence</b>                | <b>8</b> .         | And I saw, and behold, a pale horse : <sup>4</sup><br>And he that sat thereon was named Pestilence, <sup>5</sup>   |                           |
|  | majorit<br>blasphe | A, which omits $\epsilon \kappa$ . But even if we retain $\epsilon \kappa$ , with<br>y of the authorities, the sense could be the same; cf. 1<br>my of $(\epsilon \kappa)$ them." This peace is the wrong peace. Chr<br>it to meas room for the true peace. The text recalls c | i. 9, "the<br>ist came to |

I come not to send peace but a sword." Otherwise with \*, etc., render : "to take peace from the earth." <sup>2</sup> The sword bears here the eschatological meaning of civil and international strife. It is given by God to the faithless nations that they may destroy each other with it. See vol. i. 165.

Christ in Matt. x. 34: "Think not that I came to send peace on the earth ;

\* Ramsay (Cities of St. Paul, 430 sq.) traces these commands to ancient custom. "The annual crops may be destroyed, but that means only scarcity and high prices; a new year will bring new crops. On the other hand, the vines and the olive must not be destroyed, because that means lasting ruin. New olive trees take about seventeen years to mature. Vines also need a number of years. . . . This old principle of West Asiatic international religious law was taken up into the Mosaic Law." This is no doubt true, but our author is first of all and mainly dependent on the Little Apocalypse (Mark 

See vol. i. 169 sq

<sup>5</sup> So báraros must be rendered. It bears this meaning in ii. 23, xviii. 8, and frequently in the LXX. It = , See vol. i. 170. In Aquila and Symmachus τι rendered by λοιμός ("pestilence"), which is unmistakable in meaning, but in the LXX by θάνατος and not λοιμός. (Hence correct footnote in vol. i. 170.) Aquila so renders it in Deut. xxviii 21; Amos iv. 10; Hab. iii. 5: Sym. in Ps. Ixxvii. (Ixxviii ) 48, xc. (xci.) 6; Jer. xlv. (xxxviii.) 2, and both translators in Ex. v. 3, 1x. 3, 15; Ezk vi. 12, x11. 16. In Ps. Ixxvii. (Ixxviii.) 50 the LXX renders both mD and TEr by Bavaros.

And authority was given unto him over the fourth part of the earth.<sup>1</sup>

| 9. | And when he had opened the fifth seal, I saw underneath<br>the altar the souls of them that had been slain for the<br>word of God, and for the testimony which they held:   | the<br>martyrs<br>praying for                  |
|----|---|--|
| 10 | D. And they cried <sup>2</sup> with a loud voice, saying,   | retribution<br>on their                        |
|    | How long, O Master, holy and true,<br>Dost thou not judge and avenge our blood<br>On them that dwell on the earth?  | perse-<br>cutors,<br>9-10                      |
| 11 | <ul> <li>And there was given to each one of them a white robe;</li> <li>And they were bidden to rest yet for a little season,</li> <li>Until their fellow-servants also and their brethren should be fulfilled,</li> <li>That should be killed even as they.</li> </ul> | The<br>martyrs<br>given<br>spiritual<br>bodies |
| 15 | And I saw when he opened the sixth seal:  |  |
|    | And there was a great earthquake;<br>And the sun became black as sackcloth of hair,<br>And the whole moon became as blood;  | Vision of<br>an earth-<br>quake<br>with other  |
| 18 | And the stars of heaven fell <sup>8</sup> to the earth,<br>As a fig tree casteth her unripe figs,<br>When shaken by a mighty wind.  | cosmic<br>evils,<br>12–14                      |

<sup>1</sup> MSS add a gloss: "to kill with sword and with famine and with pestilence (or 'death'), and with the wild beasts of the earth." See vol. i, 171.

171. <sup>2</sup> Though the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. """ the subject leader of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. """ the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. """ the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. """) the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. "") the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. "") the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol.") the seal is described as """) the seal is described as """) the seal is described as "" persecutions" (see vol.") the seal is described as "") the seal is described as ") the seal is described as ", ") the seal is described as ") the seal i, 158, 171 soq.), in keeping with the original eschatological tradition in Mark x111. 7-9, 24-25 (and parallels), the reader should observe that its character has been changed by our author. Here the first thought is not of the persecutors or of their victims, but of the prayers of the latter. The prayers of the martyrs, vi. 9-10, are conceived as an instrument of divine wrath, The prayers of the martyrs offered on the altar, vi. 9-10, as those of all the saints, viii. 3-4, become spiritual forces. Hence a voice from this altar, ix. 13, orders the four angels of punishment to be let loose for the second Woe, and in xiv. 18 an angel from this altar delivers to the Son of Man the divine command to undertake the judgment of the earth, while in xvi. 7 (which rightly belongs to xix., see vol. ii. 122) the altar declares, as the angels, Elders and Cherubim have already done, that God's righteousness and truth have at last been vindicated in the destruction of Rome. Thus the prayers of the martyrs and saints are conceived as bringing about divine judgment, like the other seals.

<sup>3</sup> *ξπεσαν* should perhaps be rendered "were cast," seeing that π(πτευ is here used as the passive of β 4λλευ = "casteth," in the next line. In Isa, xxxiv. 4 the same verb is presupposed in both clauses of the LXX and Sym. : πεσείται... ώτ π(πτει. See vol. i. 180.

14. And the heaven was parted, Being rolled up as a scroll;<sup>1</sup> And every mountain and island were moved out of their places.

Men's hearts fail them for fear, 15-17

- 15. And the kings of the earth, and the princes, and the chief captains, and the rich, and the strong, and every bondman and freeman, hid themselves in the caves and
- 16. in the rocks of the mountains; and said to the mountains and to the rocks,
  - Fall on us, and hide us from the presence of him that sitteth on the throne,

And from the wrath of the Lamb:

17. For the great day of his<sup>2</sup> wrath is come; And who is able to stand?

## CHAPTER VII.

### VISION OF THE SEALING OF THE SPIRITUAL ISRAEL: AND OF THEIR BLESSEDNESS IN HEAVEN AFTER MARTYRDOM.

(In the preceding five chapters there is a progressive drama, advancing in a series of visions dealing first with its chief agents : (a) with the Christian Church on earth, ii.-iii.; (b) with God from whom are all things, iv.; (c) with Christ, who takes upon Himself the fulfilment of God's purposes, v.; and then with the opening of the first six Seals, which are to be followed by a series of social and cosmic judgments, vi. But with vii. 1-3 a pause is made in order that the spiritual Israel may be sealed, to secure them against the coming three Woes of a demonic character, 4-8. Thus in vii. 1-8 a pause is made in the movement of the divine drama, but in vii. 9-17 there is more: there is a breach in the unity of time, a unity which has been observed in ii.-vii. 8. But this breach is full of purpose. The sealing in vii, 1-4, though it secures the faithful from demonic powers, does not secure them from suffering martyrdom. Hence to encourage them to face these impending evils the Seer recounts the vision in vii. 9-17, in which, looking to the close of the great tribula-

<sup>1</sup> So a throobserves and some Cursives. So also Isa. xxxiv. 4: thryboral we  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda lov b$  observes. But the best attested reading, throobserves, is perhaps at once original and a primitive slip for the emended form in M. If throobserves be taken as the original and correct text, then it is to be rendered: "as a scroll being rolled up."

<sup>a</sup> Less weighty authorities read "their."

tion, he beholds those who had been sealed and died as martyrs, already triumphant in heaven before the establishment of the Millennial Kingdom. This vision is proleptic. It constitutes a breach in the unity of time. At its close the chronological order of events is resumed. Such proleptic visions recur with the same purpose later on.)

- 1. After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners Destrucof the earth, holding the four winds of the earth, that no tive wind should blow on the earth, or on the sea, or upon any agencies restrained
- 2. tree. And I saw another angel ascend from the sun-till God's rising, having a seal<sup>1</sup> of the living God: and he cried servants with a loud voice to the four angels, to whom it was are sealed,
- **8.** given to hurt the earth and the sea, saying, Hurt not  $^{1-3}$  the earth, neither the sea, nor the trees, till we have sealed
- 4. the servants of our God in their foreheads. And I heard The the number of them that were sealed: a hundred and spiritual forty and four thousand were sealed<sup>2</sup> out of every tribe of Israel sealed, 4-8 the children of Israel.
- 5. Of the tribe of Judah were sealed<sup>2</sup> twelve thousand: Of the tribe of Reuben twelve thousand:
- 7. Of the tribe of Simeon twelve thousand : Of the tribe of Levi twelve thousand : Of the tribe of Issachar twelve thousand :
- 8. Of the tribe of Zebulun twelve thousand :
   Of the tribe of Joseph twelve thousand :
   Of the tribe of Benjamin were sealed <sup>2</sup> twelve thousand :
- 5.° Of the tribe of Gad twelve thousand:
- 6. Of the tribe of Asher twelve thousand : Of the tribe of Naphtali twelve thousand : Of the tribe of Manasseh twelve thousand.<sup>8</sup>

 9. After these things I saw, And behold, a great multitude, which no man could the future number, Out of every nation, and (all) tribes and peoples and that had tongues, Standing before the throne and before the Lamb, Clothed in white robes, and with palms in their hands;

<sup>1</sup> The text here is without the article. In ix. 4, where it recurs, it has the art.

<sup>3</sup> The participle here is to be rendered as a finite verb. See Introd. Gram. § 4. ii. (b).

<sup>3</sup> 5°-6 have been restored to their original order, in which the sons of Leah are followed by those of Rachel, and these in turn first by the sons of Leah's handmaid and then by Rachel's. See vol. i. p. 207.

405

|   | 406           | THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN [VII. 10-17   |
|---|---------------|--|
| Their<br>praise<br>before the<br>throne | 10.           | And they were crying <sup>1</sup> with a loud voice, saying,<br>Salvation to our God<br>That sitteth on the throne,<br>And to the Lamb.  |
| In which<br>the angels<br>join, 11–12   |               | And all the angels stood round about the throne and<br>the elders and the four living creatures; and they fell<br>before the throne on their faces, and worshipped God,<br>saying,   |
|   | 19.           |  |
|   |               | And one of the elders answered, saying unto me, These<br>who are clothed in the white robes, who are they, and<br>whence came they? And I said unto him, My Lord,<br>thou knowest. And he said unto me,  |
|   |               | These are they that have come out of the great tribulation,<br>And have washed <sup>8</sup> their robes,<br>And made them white in the blood of the Lamb.  |
| The<br>blessednes<br>of the<br>martyrs, |               | Therefore they are before the throne of God;<br>And they serve him day and night in his temple:<br>And he that sitteth on the throne shall abide upon them. <sup>4</sup>   |
| 15-17                                   | 16.           | They shall hunger no more, neither thirst any more;<br>Neither shall the sun <b>smite</b> <sup>5</sup> them any more, nor any heat:  |
|   | 17.           | For the Lamb that is in the midst of the throne shall be<br>their shepherd,<br>And shall guide them unto the fountains of the waters of<br>life:<br>And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes.   |
|   | and sh<br>3 N | Here <i>spájours</i> represents the imperfect in Hebrew in the Seer's mind,<br>sould be rendered by a past imperfect.<br>Jearly all authorities but C Pr add "Amen"; but it seems to be here a<br>sould difficult as source consult on the here here a |

Iturgical addition, as Swete remarks: it is bracketed by WH. See note in vol. 1. pp. 19, 151 sq. The MSS read: "The blessing and the glory," etc. <sup>9</sup> We have here a Hebraism frequent in our author; see note in vol. i.

14 sqq. The RV. here, as always in the case of this idiom, is wrong— "Come . . . and they washed."

<sup>4</sup> Or: "shall cause his Shekinah to dwell upon them." See vol. i. p. 215. The construction  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu\rho\rho\rho\nu} e^{i\pi} a \sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\rho\nu}$  is not found in any OT. version (so far as I know) except in Aquila's (Ex. xxiv. 16). In xxi. 3 of our text we have  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu\rho\rho\nu} e^{i\pi} a \sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}$ . Now, since  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu\rho\rho\nu} = 12^{i\pi}$  and by frequently follows  $12^{i\pi}$ ,  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu\rho\rho\nu} e^{i\pi t}$  abrains. Now, since  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu\rho} = 12^{i\pi}$  and by frequently follows  $12^{i\pi}$ ,  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu\rho} e^{i\pi t} a \sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}$ . Now, since  $\sigma_{\kappa\eta\nu} e^{i\pi t} = 12^{i\pi}$  and by frequently follows  $12^{i\pi}$ , Cf. the presupposed interchange of by and up in the LXX and Theod of Dan. vii. 13, while Matt. xxiv. 30, xxvi. 64 ( $e_{\rho\chi} \phi_{\mu\nu} e^{i\pi t} e^{i\pi t} \tau$ .  $re\phi e\lambda \partial \nu$ ) and the LXX of Dan. vii. 13, presuppose by, and Mark xiii. 26, Luke xxi. 27 ( $e_{\rho\chi} \phi_{\mu\nu} e^{i\pi t} e^{i$ 

An emendation of Gwynn and Swete. See vol. i. 216.

## CHAPTER VIII.

### HEAVEN'S PRAISES STILLED THAT THE PRAYERS OF ALL THE FAITHFUL MAY BE PRESENTED TO GOD AGAINST THE IMPENDING THREE WOES.

(1, 3-5, 2 (restored), 6 (restored), 13. Amid the silence of heaven for the space of half an hour, when all praises and thanksgivings were hushed, the prayers of all the saints are presented before God, 1, 3-5, to shield them in the coming tribulation. Then three Trumpets are given to three angels, wherewith they prepared to sound, 6, whereupon the Seer beheld another vision, even an angel flying in mid heaven and proclaiming, "Woe, woe, woe to the inhabiters of the earth," i.e. the non-Christians and faithless, because of the three Woes that were about to come upon them, 13. On the interpolated passage, viii. 7-12, and the changes introduced by the interpolator in viii. 1, 2, 6, 13, see notes below, and vol. i. 219 SQQ.)

- And when he opened the seventh seal, there followed a Silence 1.
- 8. silence in heaven for about the space of half an hour.<sup>1</sup> And <sup>made in</sup> another angel came and stood by the altar, having a golden that the censer ; and there was given to him much incense, that he prayers of should offer it upon<sup>2</sup> the prayers of all the saints upon the all the
- 4. golden altar which was before the throne. And the smoke saints of the incense went up from the angel's hand before God might be **5.** on behalf of the prayers of the saints. And the angel took before
- the censer and filled it with the fire of the altar, and cast God, 3-5 it upon the earth. And there followed lightnings, and voices, and thunders,<sup>8</sup> and an earthquake.

#### 2. And I saw three angels; and unto them were given three Three angels trumpets.4

bidden to

<sup>1</sup> viii. 2 is an intrusion in its present context and not original in its present sound the form. It is restored in what appears to have been its original form after three trumpets viii. 5. These changes are due to the interpolation of vini. 7-12. See vol. i. announc-218-222, 224. ing the

<sup>a</sup> Or "on behalf of."

<sup>3</sup> This is the original order as in iv. 5, xi. 19, xvi. 18. See Introd. Chap. three IV. where it deals with this phrase. Corrupt order in MSS, due to inter-2, 6, 13 polator of viii. 7-12.

<sup>4</sup> The text reads : "And I saw the seven angels which stand (eorthraow. This termination -ager not found elsewhere in our author, who uses -ar. Cf. xix. 3, elonkar ; xxi. 6, yéyorar. See vol. i. Inuod. Gram. § 1. iii. (c)) before God, and there were given unto them seven trumpets."

- 6. And the three <sup>1</sup> angels who had the three <sup>1</sup> trumpets prepared to sound.<sup>2</sup>
- 18. And I saw, and I heard an eagle flying in the midst of heaven, saying with a loud voice, Woe, woe, woe, to them that dwell on the earth, because of the voices<sup>3</sup> of the trumpets of the three angels, which are about to sound.

## INTERPOLATED PASSAGE.

[7. And the first (angel) sounded,
And there followed hall and fire, mingled with blood, and they were cast upon the earth :
And the third part of the earth was burnt up,
And the third part of the trees was burnt up,
And all <sup>4</sup> green grass was burnt up.

8. And the second angel sounded :

And as it were a great mountain burning with fire was cast into the sea:

And the third part of the sea became blood;

 And there died the third part of the creatures which were in the sea—that had life;
 And the third part of the ships were destroyed.

**10.** And the third angel sounded :

And there fell from heaven a great star, burning as a torch, And it fell on a third part of the waters, and on the fountains of waters,<sup>5</sup>

11.<sup>b</sup> And the third part of the waters became like<sup>6</sup> wormwood; And † many men †<sup>7</sup> died of the waters, because they had become bitter.

<sup>1</sup> Text has "seven."

<sup>2</sup> Here the editor of John's Apocalypse interpolated a small Apocalypse, viii, 7-12; see vol. i. 218-222. This consisted of four stanzas of four lines each. These four plagues are modelled on the first four Bowls.

<sup>3</sup> Text reads : "the remaining voices." The addition comes from the hand of the interpolator of viii. 7-12.

<sup>4</sup> Instead of "all green grass" the rest of viii, 7-12 suggests that in the original document there stood originally : "the third of all green grass." But why the change was made is not apparent ; for as it stands it is in direct conflict with ix. 4.

<sup>5</sup> The context requires "of the fountains," etc. See vol. i. 234. The MSS add: "and the name of the star is called Wormwood." But this clause breaks the development of thought and makes the stanza consist of five lines instead of four.

• So 2038 Or Pr fl gig vg s<sup>1</sup> bo sa eth. Other authorities = "became wormwood." But the waters did not "become wormwood," but bitter in taste like wormwood.

<sup>7</sup> We should expect : "the third part of mankind," See vol. i. 236.

408

12. And the fourth angel sounded: And the third part of the sun was smitten, And the third part of the moon, and the third part of the stars; So that the third part of them was darkened, And † the day did not shine for the third part of it, nor

likewise the night. † 1]

# CHAPTERS IX.-XIII.

# THE THREE WOES.

(The three Woes, *i.e.* (1) the demonic locusts, (2) the demonic horsemen, (3) Satan and the two Beasts. These affect only those that dwell on the earth, *i.e.* the non-Christians, viii. 13, who had not the seal of God on their foreheads, ix. 4. The third Woe, it is true, results in the universal martyrdom of the faithful, xiii. 15; but its power to deceive and destroy spiritually is limited to the non-faithful, xiii. 14. Thus these Woes affect in the deepest sense only those who had not the seal of God on their foreheads. Yet evil at this stage appears to have triumphed, and the cause of God on earth to be brought to an end for evermore.)

### THE FIRST AND SECOND WORS.

IX. (The first Woe consists of a plague of demonic locusts, which had no power to hurt those who had God's seal on their foreheads, but only those who had not, 1-11. The second Woe consists of a plague of demonic horsemen, which were let loose from the Euphrates and destroyed one-third of the heathen world, 13-21.)

### CHAPTER IX.

## And the first <sup>2</sup> angel sounded : And I saw a star fallen from heaven to the earth, And there was given unto him the key of the pit of the abyss.

<sup>1</sup> The text is hopelessly corrupt. There is no connection between the destruction of one-third part of the sun and the reduction of the *length* of the day by one-third. The corruption may have arisen in the Hebrew The Bohairic gives what was apparently the original sense: "the third part of them did not shine by day, nor likewise by night." Cf. eth. I here withdraw the note in vol. i. 237 unless so far as it traces the error to the Semitic original.

<sup>2</sup> "Fifth," owing to the interpolation of the four plagues in viii. 7-12.

| First Woe<br>—plague<br>of demonic<br>locusts,2-3             |   |
|---|---|
|   | 8. And out of the smoke came forth locusts upon the earth;<br>And power was given them, as the scorpions of the earth<br>have power.  |
| No power<br>to injure<br>any save<br>such as had<br>not God's | <ol> <li>And it was said unto them that they should not hurt the<br/>grass of the earth,<br/>Nor any green thing, nor any tree, but only the men<br/>That had not the seal of God on their foreheads.</li> </ol>  |
| seal on<br>their fore-<br>heads, 4-5                          | <ul> <li>And it was given them that they should not kill them,</li> <li>But that they should be tormented five months:</li> <li>And their torment was as the torment of a scorpion, when it striketh a man.<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>  |
|   | 6. And in those days men shall seek death,<br>And shall not find it;<br>And they shall desire to die,<br>But death shall flee <sup>2</sup> from them.   |
| The<br>appearance<br>of the<br>locusts,<br>7-10               | <ol> <li>And the forms of the locusts were like unto horses pre-<br/>pared for war;</li> <li>And on their heads as it were crowns like gold,<br/>And their faces were as the faces of men.</li> </ol>   |
| -   | 8. And they had hair as the hair of women,<br>And their teeth were as those of lions;   |
|   | <ul> <li>9. And they had breastplates, as it were breastplates of iron.</li> <li>And the sound of their wings was as the sound of chariots,</li> <li>(Yea) of many horses rushing to war.</li> </ul>  |
|   | 10. And they have tails like unto scorpions, and stings;<br>And in their tails is their power<br>To hurt men five months.   |
| Гheir king  | 11. They have over them as king the angel of the abyss:<br>His name in Hebrew is Abaddon. <sup>3</sup>  |
|   | <sup>1</sup> In my Commentary (vol. i. 222, 243) and Text I have treated this line<br>as a gloss for the reason given in vol. i. 222; but it may be original.<br><sup>2</sup> The text reads "fleeth," which seems to be a Hebraism : <i>i.e.</i> = Hebrew<br>imperfect in the mind of the writer. See vol. 1. Introd. Gram. § 4. i. (a). |

<sup>3</sup> Text adds a gloss: "And in the Greek (tongue) he hath the name Apollyon." See vol. i. 245 sq.

- 19. The first Woe is past: behold, there come yet two Woes bereafter.
- 18. And the second<sup>1</sup> angel sounded: And I heard a voice from the horns of the golden altar which is before God.
- 14. Saying to the second <sup>1</sup> angel who had the trumpet, The Loose the four angels who are bound at the great river second Woe-the Euphrates.
- 15. And the four angels were loosed, from the Which had been prepared for the hour and day and Euphrates month and year. In order to kill the third part of mankind.
- 16. And the numbers of the armies of the horsemen were twice ten thousand times ten thousand :
- 17. I heard the number of them: and so I saw the horses in the vision.<sup>2</sup>

And them that sat < on them.

And they that sat > on them<sup>8</sup> had breastplates of fire and brimstone :

And the heads of the horses were as the heads of lions ; And from their mouths issued fire and smoke and brim-

stone.

18. By these three plagues was the third part of mankind The third part of killed.

By the fire and the smoke and the brimstone, which slain. 18-19 issued from their mouths :

19. For the power of the horses is in their mouths : 4 and with them they do hurt.

<sup>1</sup> Text reads "sixth," owing to the interpolation of the four plagues in viii. 7-12.

<sup>a</sup> Text seems corrupt, but I cannot emend it satisfactorily. The fact that we have here the construction  $\tau$ .  $\kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu s \epsilon \pi' \dagger a \delta \tau \hat{\omega} r \dagger - a$  construction against our author's usage-may point to the evil activities of John's editor. Cf. xiv. 15, 16, where in an interpolation the same wrong construction occurs twice. I have supposed a loss of ex' abrows kal of kathuevot through hmt. and corrected experts into experts. obrws, according to our author's usage, refers to what precedes, cf. ii. 15, iii. 5, 16, xvi. 18; but it cannot do so here, for the description of the horses comes later. <sup>3</sup> i.s. "on the horses." The text reads :  $\kappa a \partial \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} r o v \dot{\epsilon} r' + a \dot{v} r \hat{\omega} r + (= ``sat$ 

on them "). This incorrect phrase seems due to the interpolator who tampered with the text. I here withdraw the suggestions in vol. i. 252 sq.

• The text adds a gloss here : "and in their tails ; for their tails are like unto serpents, having heads." But the destructive powers of the horses lie in the fire, smoke, and brimstone which issue from their mouths, and not in their tails. The gloss is due to ix. 10. See vol. i. 253 sq.

demonic

horsemen

The rest repented not, 20-21

- 20. And the rest of mankind, which had not been killed by these plagues,
  Did not even repent of the works of their hands,
  So as not to worship demons, and the idols
  Of gold, and of silver, and of brass, and of stone, and of wood;
  Which can neither see, nor hear, nor walk:
- **81.** And they repented not of their murders, nor of their sorceries,

Nor of their fornication, nor of their thefts.

# CHAPTER X.

## THE SEER'S NEW COMMISSION.

(This chapter serves several purposes. It was written mainly as an introduction to xi. 1-13 (the Little Book), but partly also to prepare the way for xii. sqq. (see x. 11) and partly to declare that the time prayed for by the martyrs, vi. 9 sqq., when God's purposes, x. 7, should be accomplished, would no longer be delayed. Thus x. links together the earlier chapters with the later. A strong angel presents the seer with the Little Book (*i.e.* xi. 1-13—a transmitted source), and swears that God's purpose with regard to the world would be forthwith fulfilled, 1-7. The Seer is then bidden to eat this Book and to issue other prophecies, 8-11.)

The angel with the Little Book

The seven thunders, but their message not to be written down, 3-4 God's purposes to be fulfilled

without delay, 6-7

And I saw another strong angel coming down from<sup>1</sup> 1. heaven clothed with a cloud, and the rainbow was upon his head, and his face was as the sun, and his legs<sup>2</sup> were 2. as pillars of fire. And he had in his hand a little book open: and he set his right foot upon the sea, and his 8. left foot upon the earth; And he cried with a loud voice, as a lion roareth: and when he cried, the seven thunders 4. uttered their voices. And when the seven thunders uttered (their voices), I was about to write : and I heard a voice from heaven saying, Seal up the things which the 5. seven thunders have uttered, and write them not. And the angel that I saw standing upon the sea and upon the 6. earth lifted up his right hand to heaven, And sware by him that liveth for ever and ever, who created the heaven and the things that are therein, and the earth and the

<sup>1</sup> The word is here ék.

<sup>2</sup> That of *πόδes abroû* has this meaning here is shown in vol. i. 259.

things that are therein, and the sea and the things that are therein, that there shall be time no longer. 7. But in the days of the voice of the third 1 angel, when

- he shall sound,<sup>2</sup> then is the mystery of God finished, according to the good tidings which he declared to his
- 8. servants the prophets. And the voice which I heard from heaven spake unto me again and said,<sup>8</sup> Go, take the book which is open in the hand of the angel that standeth upon
- 9. the sea and upon the earth. And I went unto the angel and bade<sup>4</sup> him give me the little book. And he saith unto me, Take it and eat it up, and it shall make thy belly
- 10. bitter, but in thy mouth it shall be sweet as honey. And The Seer I took the little book out of the hand of the angel and ate eats the Book it up; and it was in my mouth sweet as honey, and when I
- 11. had eaten it my belly was made bitter. And they said The Seer unto me, Thou must prophesy again concerning many will afterpeoples and nations and tongues and kings.

other

prophecies

## CHAPTER XI.

### THE ANTICHRIST IN JERUSALEM.

(XI. 1-18 is a proleptic digression on the Antichrist in Jerusalem. It is a digression, because the Seer turns aside from his main theme of the Antichrist as identical with Rome and its empire: it is proleptic, because in point of time it belongs to the third Woe, when Satan has been cast down from heaven, and the kingdom of the Antichrist established, xii.-xiii. This task once fulfilled in xi. 1-13, he returns to his main theme in xi. 14-xviii. The seer here uses a source which originally had in several respects a different meaning. Its present meaning is given in the short summary in vol. i. 269.)

And he gave<sup>5</sup> me a reed like unto a rod, saying, Rise The and measure the temple of God, and the altar, and them measuring of the

2. that worship therein. But the court that is without the faithful to

secure

<sup>1</sup> Text reads "seventh." For this and other changes introduced by an them early editor, see vol. i. 219 sqq.

See vol. i. 264 sq.

against demonic

<sup>5</sup> The Greek is εδόθη μοι λεγων, which must be rendered as if it were έδωκεν μοι . . . λέγων. For like abnormal constructions, see note, vol. i. 274.

The Greek is solecistic, and the solecism appears to go back to the Seer. powers, "And bade" is here a rendering of  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega r$ , followed by an inf., as in  $\frac{1}{1-2}$ xiii. 14.

temple leave out, and measure it not; for it hath been given unto the nations: and the holy city they shall
tread under foot forty and two months.<sup>1</sup> And I will appoint my two witnesses, and they shall prophesy a thousand two hundred and threescore days, clothed in
sackcloth. These are the two olive trees and the two candlesticks, which stand before the Lord of the earth.
And if any man will hurt them, fire proceedeth out of
their mouth, and devoureth their enemies.<sup>3</sup> These have the power to shut the heaven, that it rain not during the days of their prophecy: and they have power over the waters to turn them into blood, and to smite
the earth with every plague, as often as they will. And make them for the former they for the start them.

- when they have finished their testimony, the beast that cometh up out of the abyss shall make war with them, and 8. shall overcome them, and kill them. And their dead
- bodies (shall lie) in the street of the great city, that spiritually is called Sodom and Egypt, where also their
- 9. Lord was crucified. And some of the peoples and tribes and tongues and nations look upon their dead bodies three days and a half, and suffer not their dead bodies to be laid in a tomb.
- 10. And they that dwell in the land <sup>3</sup> rejoice over them, and make merry; and they shall send gifts one to another; because these two prophets had tormented them that
- 11. dwell in the land.<sup>8</sup> And after the three days and a half the breath of life from God entered into them, and they stood upon their feet, and great fear fell upon them that
- 12. beheld them. And they heard a great voice from heaven saying unto them, Come up hither. And they went up into heaven in the cloud; and their enemies beheld them.
- 18. And in that hour there was a great earthquake, and the tenth part of the city fell; and there were killed in the earthquake seven thousand persons: and the rest were affrighted, and gave glory to the God of heaven.
- 14. The second Woe is past: behold the third Woe cometh quickly.

<sup>1</sup> Vers. 3-13 are a prophecy rather than a vision. The presents in 4-6, 9-10, are equivalent to futures. The past verbs in 11-13 represent Hebrew perfects (or in some cases probably the imperfects with vav conversive); but these perfects vividly represent the prophetic future.

<sup>3</sup> The text adds a gloss here which is based on  $5^{a}$  and xiii. 10: "and if any will hurt them, in this manner must he be killed"; see vol. i. 284.

<sup>8</sup> s.s. Palestine ; see vol. i. 289.

The preaching of the two witnesses, 3-6

The Beast from the abyss puts them to death in Jerusalem, and the people of the land rejoice, 7-10

Resurrection and ascension of the two witnesses, 11-12

Judgment on Jerusalem and conversion of the rest of the Jews

### INTRODUCTION TO THE THIRD WOR.

XI. 15-19. The proleptic digression in xi. 1-13, to which x. is an introduction, has come to an end, and our author here returns to the progressive development of the divine drama in the third Woe, xu,-xiii. Though x. in certain respects links up the chapters that precede with those that follow it, yet in the order of action xi. 14 follows immediately on ix. and the main theme is resumed in the third Woe, which is heralded by the third Trumpet. This Woe apparently results in the absolute triumph of Satan and his agents on earth and the annihilation of the Church; but the two songs in heaven, which introduce it in xi. 15-18, disclose in advance the actual issues of events: their burden is that the Kingdom of the world has in the reality of things become the Kingdom of God and of His Christ, that the time has come for the judgment of the nations and of Satan and the Beast, for the judgment of the dead and the due recompense of God's servants.

And the third<sup>1</sup> angel sounded; and there followed 15. great voices in heaven, saying,

| The kingdom of the world has become (the ki | ng- Song (of |
|---|--------------|
| doing of our Lord and of his Christ         | bim ?) over  |
| And he shall reign for ever and ever.       | the im-      |

### 16. And the four and twenty elders, which sit before God advent of on their thrones, fell on their faces and worshipped the Lord and of His God, saying, Christ

#### We give thee thanks, O Lord God Almighty, 17. Song of the Elders Which art and which wast; on the im-Because thou hast taken thy great power. pending And hast become King. advent of

# 18. a. And the nations have waxed wroth,

- b. And thy wrath hath come,
- h. And < the time > to destroy them that destroy the the last judgment. earth.<sup>2</sup> and the

c. And the time for the dead to be judged-

compense <sup>1</sup> Text reads "seventh"; see vol. i. 218 sqq. Each Woe is heralded by of God's a trumpet blast. Before the interpolation of viii. 7-12 there were only three servants, trumpets. 16-18

<sup>3</sup> In the text this clause is certainly out of place. By its restoration after 18<sup>b</sup> we recover the development of events in their true order; see vol. i. 295 sqq. "The destroyers" are the first Beast, the False Prophet, and Satan as well as their adherents.

the Mil-

lennial

final re-

Kingdom,

- g. The small and the great.<sup>1</sup>
- d. And for giving their reward to thy servants,
- c. The prophets and the saints.
- f. And them that fear thy name.

19. And there was opened the temple of God that is in heaven; and there was seen in his temple the ark of his covenant ; and there followed lightnings, and voices, and thunders, and an earthquake, and great hail.

### CHAPTER XII.

### A RETROSPECT.

(XII. This chapter is retrospective. Its object is to give the reader insight into the past in order to prepare him for the crowning evil-the climax of Satan's power upon earth. But this crowning evil is not really a sign of his growing power, but the closing stage of a war in heaven, which had already terminated in the vindication of God's sovereignty, and the hurling down of Satan to earth. Hence, however, Satan and his minions, the Roman and heathen powers, may rage, but it is but the last struggle of a beaten foe, whose malignity is all the greater, since he knows that his time is short. The vision goes back before the birth of Christ, and tells with mythological colouring how Satan sought to destroy Christ, and, after His ascension, the Church itself. In setting forth his theme the Seer has borrowed the main part of this chapter from Jewish sources. which had in turn been derived from international sources, and has adapted them-though not wholly-to their new and Christian setting. The closing verses, 14-16, were written before 70 A.D., and cannot be interpreted in detail of the crises of 95 A.D., when our author wrote See vol. i. 299, 331 sq. For a summary of the chapter, see vol. i. 208 sq.)

A woman, oddesslike in appearance u = thelewish Cnurch). bears a child

1. And a great sign was seen in heaven; a woman clothed with the sun, and the moon under her feet, and 2. upon her head a crown of twelve stars. And she was with child, and cried out in her travail and pain to be 8. delivered. And there was seen another sign in heaven; and behold, a great red dragon, having seven heads and

<sup>1</sup> I have restored this line immediately after 18°; cf. xx. 12. Otherwise read line g immediately after line k (And the time) to destroy them that destroy the earth, The small and the great. "The great" would be Satan and the two Beasts : "the small" would be their adherents,

Ark of God's covenant manifested -a pledge of the fulfilment of the songs just sung

| 5.<br>6.   | ten horns, and upon his heads seven <sup>1</sup> diadems. And<br>his tail drew <sup>\$</sup> the third part of the stars of heaven, and<br>did cast them to the earth: and the dragon stood <sup>\$</sup> before<br>the woman that was about to be delivered, that he might<br>devour her child when she was delivered. And she<br>was delivered of a son, a man child, who shall break <sup>4</sup><br>all the nations with a rod of iron: and her child was<br>caught up to God and to his throne. And the woman<br>fied into the wilderness, where she hath a place prepared<br>of God, that there they should nourish her a thousand<br>two hundred and threescore days. | his fall<br>from<br>heaven,<br>which<br>involved<br>one-third<br>of the<br>angels,<br>sought to<br>destroy                 |
|--|--|--|
| •••  | And war burst forth <sup>5</sup> in heaven :<br>Michael and his angels had to war <sup>6</sup> with the dragon ;<br>And the dragon warred and his angels; and he prevailed<br>not,   | But the<br>child is<br>rapt to<br>heaven<br>and his  |
| 9.   | Neither was their place found any more in heaven.<br>And the great dragon was cast down, the old serpent,<br>—He that is called the Devil and Satan,<br>That deceiveth the whole world—<br>He was cast down to the earth,  | mother<br>escaped<br>for three<br>and a half<br>years into<br>the wilder-  |
|  | <ul> <li>And his angels were cast down with him.</li> <li>And I heard a great voice in heaven, saying,<br/>Now is come the salvation and the power</li> <li>And the kingdom of our God, and the authority of<br/>his Christ:</li> <li>For the accuser of our brethren is cast down,</li> </ul>   | ness, 5 <sup>b</sup> -6<br>Satan and<br>his angels<br>storm after<br>the child<br>to heaven,<br>but are<br>over-<br>thrown |
|  | Which accuse the them before our God day and night.<br>And they overcame him because of the blood of the<br>Lamb,<br>And because of the word of their testimony,<br>Second that then loved not their lines encounter bet 7.  | and cast   |
| <sup>1</sup> The<br>usage.<br><sup>3</sup> σύρ<br><sup>3</sup> Her<br>the same<br><sup>4</sup> Sec             | e position of the numeral before the noun is against our author's<br>But this seems due to the source.<br>e. Here = Hebrew imperfect.<br>re $t\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\epsilon$ , from $\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\omega$ , as WH. In vii. 11 our author uses $el\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\epsilon$ in<br>e sense.<br>note on chap. ii. 27 (translation), and vol. i. 75.   | glorified<br>martyrs in<br>heaven in<br>honour of<br>their<br>brethren<br>still on   |
| <sup>6</sup> d M<br>explained<br>literal re<br><sup>7</sup> A p<br>comparis<br>the sai b<br>Hebrew<br>the cond | igath all of γγελα abroi roi πολεμήσαι. These words cannot be<br>d as Greek on any hypothesis save on that of their being a slavishly<br>indering of a vigorous Hebrew idom. See vol. i. 201 so  | earth<br>Who in<br>the reality<br>of things  |

took place. See 456 (Oxford ed.).

VOL. 11.-27

| 418 | THE | REVELATION | OF S | T. JOHN | XII. | 12-18. |
|-----|-----|------------|------|---------|------|--------|
|-----|-----|------------|------|---------|------|--------|

| have al-<br>ready over-<br>come<br>Satan by<br>their   | <ul><li>13. Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them :<br/>Woe unto the earth and the sea ;</li><li>For the devil is gone down to you with great wrath,<br/>Knowing that he hath but a short time.</li></ul>   |
|--|--|
| coming<br>martyrdom  | 13. And when the dragon saw that he was cast down to the earth, he persecuted the woman that had brought forth   |
| Satan per-<br>secutes the<br>Christian<br>Church,<br>but the<br>Jewish<br>Christian<br>Church<br>escapes,<br>13–16 | <ul> <li>14. the man child. And there were given to the woman the two wings of the great eagle that she might fly into the wilderness to her place, where she is nourished for a time, and times, and half a time, because of <sup>1</sup> the serpent.</li> <li>15. And the serpent cast out of his mouth after the woman water as a river, that he might cause her to be swept away</li> <li>16. by the flood. But the earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the river which</li> </ul> |
| Persecu-<br>tion of the<br>Gentile<br>Christian<br>Church  | 17. the dragon cast out of his mouth. <sup>2</sup> And the dragon waxed wroth with the woman, and went away to make war with the rest of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and hold the testimony of Jesus.  |

## SATAN APPARENTLY TRIUMPHANT: THE CHURCH ON EARTH DESTROYED.

Satan stands by the seashore and summons to his aid

XII. 18-XIII., XIV. 12-13. (The climax of Satan's power on earth achieved in the personal reign of the Antichrist—the Roman Empire incarnated in the demonic Nero—for three and a half years: the universal martyrdom of the faithful, and the beatitude pronounced upon them from heaven. For a summary of this section (to which xiv. 12-13 belongs—see vol. i. 368) see vol. i. 332 sq. The third Woe, which began in xi. 15-19, is resumed here, though in xii. the way is prepared for this Woe by the casting down of Satan from heaven.)

**XII.** 18. And he stood upon the sand of the Sea.

<sup>1</sup> See note in vol. i. 330 ad fin.

<sup>9</sup> xii. 14-16, which was written originally of the Church before 70 A.D., do not admit of any intelligible reinterpretation in their present context, which relates to 95 A.D. This passage, like many others, would have undergone revision had John had his opportunity; see vol. i. 299, § I ad fin., 332.

### CHAPTER XIII.

| <ol> <li>And from the sea I saw a beast coming up<br/>With ten horns and seven heads,<sup>1</sup><br/>And on his heads names of blasphemy.</li> </ol>   | the first<br>Beast with<br>seven<br>heads and<br>ten horns                 |  |
|---|--|--|
| 2. And the beast which I saw was like unto a leopard,<br>And his feet were as the feet of a bear,<br>And his mouth as the mouth of a lion:  | -the<br>Roman<br>empire in-<br>carnated                                    |  |
| <ul> <li>And the dragon gave him his power,</li> <li>And his throne, and great authority.</li> <li>8. And (I saw) one of his heads slain as it were unto death;</li> <li>And his deadly wound was healed:</li> </ul>  | in the<br>Neronic<br>Antichrist,<br>ii. 18-<br>xiii. 2<br>The              |  |
| And the whole earth wondered † after the beast †; <sup>2</sup><br>4. And they worshipped the dragon,<br>Because he had given his power to the beast;  | Beast's<br>wound<br>healed   |  |
| And they worshipped the beast, saying,<br>Who is like unto the beast?<br>And who can war with him?  | Those that<br>dwell upon<br>the earth<br>worship<br>Satan and<br>the first |  |
| <ul> <li>5<sup>a</sup>. And there was given unto him a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies;<sup>3</sup></li> <li>6. And he opened his mouth for blasphemies against God, To blaspheme his name, and his tabernacle,<sup>4</sup> and those that dwell in the heaven.</li> </ul>                              | Beast<br>Blas-<br>phemies  |  |
| <ul> <li>5<sup>b</sup>. And there was given unto him authority to act with effect forty and two months.</li> <li>7. And there was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them;</li> <li>And there was given unto him authority over every tribe and people and language and nation.</li> </ul> | for three<br>and a half<br>years and<br>overcomes<br>the saints,           |  |
| 13600 add a place of And on his home ten diadams " The partition of   |  |  |

<sup>1</sup> MSS add a gloss: "And on his horns ten diadems." The position of the numeral is against our author's usage. Further, the gloss conflicts with xii. 3°. Again, though the use of "diadems" is befitting in relation to the Emperors of Rome [cf. xix. 12 where Christ has "many diadems"], it is quite inapt in regard to the ten vassal kings of Parthia (xvii. 12). <sup>3</sup> Read: "when it saw the beast." The Greek δπίσω τοῦ θηρίου implies a

<sup>3</sup> Read: "when it saw the beast." The Greek όπίσω τοῦ θηρίου implies a corruption in the Hebrew source. "πασ (= όπίσω) is corrupt for πρω = lδοῦσα or βλέπουσα. See vol. i. 337. xiii. 3°, 8 are a doublet of xvii. 8.

<sup>3</sup> The three verses relating to the blasphemics of the Beast, *i.e.* 5<sup>a</sup>, 6, clearly form a tristich ; and the three lines in 5<sup>b</sup>, 7, each beginning with *sal dödöŋ adr@*, just as clearly form another tristich. Hence I have restored 5<sup>b</sup> to its original place.

<sup>4</sup> Possibly "his shekinah." See vol. i. 352, ii. 205 sqq.

|  | 420  | THE   | REVELA   | TION   | OF :   | ST. JO  | OHN  | <b>[XIII. 8–16</b> ,  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Those<br>whose<br>names<br>were not<br>in the<br>Book of<br>Life wor-<br>ship him<br>Seer's<br>admoni-<br>tion to be                   | Wh<br>Of   | ose name  | dwelt on<br>as were not<br>b that hat  | ot writt   | ten ir   | the l   | book o   |   |
|  | 10. If a<br>Inte<br>If a   | ny man i<br>o captivit<br>ny man i  | hath an ea<br>s for capt<br>y he goet<br>s to be sl<br>ord must  | ivity,<br>h :<br>ain wit   | h the  | e swor  | d,   |   |
| faithful in<br>the coming<br>persecu-<br>tion, 9-10  | H<br>A   | ere is the<br>nd the fai  | patience<br>th of the  | saints.  | ,  | -   |  |   |
| Second<br>Beast or<br>False<br>Prophet   | A  | nd he ha  | another b<br>1 two hor<br>ake as a   | ns like  | a la   | g up c<br>mb,   | out of t   | the earth ,   |
| from the<br>land—the<br>imperial<br>priest-<br>hood, offi-<br>cials, and<br>cultured   | A  | his sight<br>nd he m<br>worship   |  | e earth<br>Deast,  | and  | then  | h that   | first beast in<br>dwell in it to  |
| classes<br>He makes<br>the<br>dwellers<br>on the<br>earth to<br>worship<br>the first<br>Beast<br>His signs<br>and<br>deceits,<br>13-14 | cc<br>14. m<br>by<br>th<br>ea<br>15. wl<br>it<br>of<br>sp  | me down<br>en. And<br>v reason<br>e sight o<br>urth, that<br>no had th<br>was give<br>the beat<br>peak, and | h from h<br>h dec<br>of the si<br>f the beat<br>they sho<br>he wound<br>en unto<br>ust, that<br>to cause | eaven<br>eiveth<br>gns th<br>ust; sa<br>buld se<br>l of th<br>him t<br>the im<br><sup>5</sup> that | on then<br>at it<br>ying<br>t up<br>to ginage<br>as mage | the each that<br>was<br>to the<br>an in<br>ord, a<br>ve bu<br>of th<br>any as | uth in<br>dwell<br>given<br>mage 4<br>and ye<br>ceath<br>e beas<br>shoul | h even fire to<br>the sight of<br>on the earth<br>him to do in<br>t dwell on the<br>to the beast,<br>t lived. And<br>to the image<br>st should also<br>d not worship<br>nd he causeth |
| The faith  | imperfect in<br>See vol. i.<br>A alor<br>Read :<br>was here co   | the source<br>353-<br>ie preserve<br>'' he was i  | s the true to<br>destroyer<br>vol. i. 358  | vhere the<br>ext here.<br>like the   | e past<br>. See  | "wors<br>e vol. i   | hipped<br>355 sq   | of the Hebrew<br>"is rightly given.<br>,<br>rew of the source   |
| of the<br>Beast on<br>their right<br>hand and<br>forehead,<br>IG-I7  | <sup>5</sup> The G<br>of the beas<br><i>as many as</i><br>historical fa<br>there is a 1<br>The second<br>image of th | reek = "<br>t, that the<br>should no.<br>cts. It is<br>Hebraism<br>beast was<br>he first beast              | And it was<br>image of<br>tworship that<br>also hopele<br>in the text<br>empowered<br>st, and the        | the beast,<br>he beast,<br>ssly clui<br>akin to<br>d to do to<br>second                            | <i>t shos</i><br>"etc.<br>msy.<br>anot<br>wo thi<br>l—to | I have<br>ngs: t<br>cause   | h speak<br>does n<br>assume<br>have f<br>he first<br>all that            | th to the image<br>and cause that<br>out represent the<br>d, therefore, that<br>found frequently.<br>to give life to the<br>did not worship<br>naturally be sal                       |

all, the small and the great, and the rich and the poor, Seer's and the free and the bond, to receive <sup>1</sup> a mark on their admoni-

- 17. right hand or upon their forehead: and that no man faithful should be able to buy or sell, save he that hath the mark, who are the name of the beast or the number of his name. to be
- 18. Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding martyred count the number of the beast; for it is the number of Third a man: and his number is Six hundred and sixty-six.<sup>2</sup> Beatitude
- **XIV.** 12. Here is the patience of the saints, who keep the by commandments of God, and the faith of Jesus.
- 18. And I heard a voice from heaven saying, Write, Blessed beaven over the are the dead which die in the Lord from henceforth: martyred yea, saith the Spirit, that they may rest from their Church, labours; <sup>8</sup> for their works go with them.<sup>4</sup> xiv. 12-13

## CHAPTER XIV.

### PROLEPTIC VISIONS-OF THE GLORIFIED MARTYRS IN THE MILLENNIAL KINGDOM, OF THE DOOM OF ROME AND OF THE HEATHEN NATIONS.

(This entire chapter is proleptic : that is, the orderly development of future events, as set forth in the successive visions, is here abandoned (as in vii. 9-17, xi. 1-13), and the visions of coming judgments in xvi. 17-xix., xx. 7-10, are summarised in xiv. 8-11, 14, 18-20. To this summary is prefixed a description of the blessed (*i.e.* the 144,000 in vii. 4-8) on Mount Zion during the Millennial reign. This is a later stage in their blessedness than that in vii. 9-17. The object of the entire chapter is to encourage the faithful to endurance in the face of the universal martyrdom just foreseen by the Seer in xiii. 15.

<sup>1</sup> Lit. "that they should give them."

- <sup>\*</sup> It is possible that ver. 18 is a later addition.
- \* i e. the hardships, laborious toils incident to the life of faith.

<sup>4</sup>*i.e.* not "follow after them"; see vol. i. 370. The works are the Christlike character they have achieved ; see vol. i. 372 sq.

pronounced by a voice from heaven This is done by the vision of the blessedness of the martyrs in the Mıllennial Kingdom, 1-5, and the vision of the doom of Rome and the heathen nations—Thus Christ's Kingdom which seemed overthrown is seen in the vision to be established on earth, and Satan's Kingdom, which appeared triumphant, to be destroyed.)

1. And I saw, and behold the Lamb standing on Mount Proleptic vision of Zion. Christ's And with him a hundred and forty and four thousand, Kingdom Having his name and the name of his Father written on with the their foreheads. glorified martyrs 2. And I heard a voice from heaven. (= 144,000As the voice of many waters, that were sealed in And as the voice of a great thunder. vii. 4-7) on Mt. And the voice which I heard (was) as (the voice) of harpers Zion in the 8. Harping with their harps, and singing as it were a new Millennial song period Before the throne, and before the four living creatures and l = visionthe elders. which comes in And no one could learn the song its due order in Save the hundred and forty and four thousand : xx. 4-6) 4°. These are they which follow the Lamb whithersoever he The new goeth. song sung 4<sup>d</sup>. These have been redeemed<sup>1</sup> from among men (to be) a in heaven and learnt sacrifice to God.<sup>2</sup> by the 5. And in their mouth hath no falsehood been found ; 144,000, For they are blameless. 2-5 Proleptic 6. And I saw another angel flying in mid heaven, having an vision of eternal gospel to proclaim unto them that dwell on the the 7. earth, and unto every nation and tribe and tongue and Evangelpeople, saying with a great voice, isation of the world Fear God, and give him glory; during the For the hour of his judgment is come: Millennial And worship him that made the heaven period. And the earth and sea and fountains of waters. 6-7

<sup>1</sup> Here it was most probably John's editor who, wrongly taking  $d\pi a \rho \chi \hbar$  to mean "first fruits," added the following gloss: "3°. who were redeemed from the earth. 4°. These are they who were not defield with women; for they are virgins." These clauses exclude from the 144,000 all women; for the clause "who were not defield with women" cannot be interpreted of women even metaphorically. See vol. ii. 8 sqq.

<sup>3</sup> The redeemed are a sacrifice  $(d\pi a\rho\chi\eta)$  to God, but not to the Lamb.  $d\pi a\rho\chi\eta$  does not mean "first fruits" here. The same interpolator who added the clauses given in note (<sup>1</sup>) has added here "and to the Lamb."

422

| 8.  | And another, a second angel, followed, saying,<br>Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great,<br>Which had made all the nations to drink the wine<br>[of the wrath] of her fornication.   | and of  |
|-----|--|---|
| 9.  | And another angel, a third, followed them, saying with a great voice,<br>If any man worshippeth the beast and his image,<br>And receiveth the <sup>1</sup> mark on his forehead, or upon<br>his hand,  | the Beast<br>and receive  |
| 10  | O. He <sup>2</sup> shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God,<br>Which is mingled sheer <sup>3</sup> in the cup of his anger,<br>And he shall be tormented with fire and brimstone<br>In the presence of the angels and of the Lamb.         | order, xvi.<br>17-xviii.),<br>8-11<br>Proleptic<br>vision of                |
| 1   | <ol> <li>And the smoke of their torment goeth up for ever<br/>and ever;</li> <li>And they have no rest day nor night,</li> <li>That worship the beast and his image,</li> <li>And whoso receiveth the mark of his name.<sup>4</sup></li> </ol> | the<br>judgment<br>executed<br>by the<br>Son of<br>Man on<br>the<br>heathen |
| 14  | 4. And I saw, and behold, a white cloud;<br>And on the cloud one seated like unto a son of man,<br>Having on his head a golden crown,<br>And in his hand a sharp sickle. <sup>5</sup>  | nations<br>(=vision<br>coming<br>in its due<br>order in                     |
| ı T | his article is necessary; see vol. ii. 15, and text in loc. for the cursives   | xix. 11-20,   |

-10),

and versions which support it.

<sup>2</sup> We have here καl αύτός. Three explanations are possible. (a) The καl 14, 18-20 is a Hebraism introducing the apodosis, and so is not to be translated; see vol. ii. p. 16. ( $\delta$ ) It may be taken with the *xal* that follows in the third line as *xal*. . . *xal*, "both . . . and." But this usage is not found elsewhere in our author, though it is in J. (c) It may be rendered "too." *xal* avito's would then = "he too." But the context is against this rendering ; for it presupposes that some one else just mentioned shall drink of the wine of God's wrath But there is no such statement in xiv. 8. Besides, the phrase sal airos (in the meaning of "he too") does not occur in our author, save in an interpolation xiv. 17, and in a Greek source xvii. 11.

acoárov. Can our author have taken this word to be a rendering of TOR, as the LXX in Ps. Ixxiv. 9? See vol. ii. 17.

Vers. 12-13, which pronounces the great beatitude on the martyred Church, has been restored to its original context after xini. 18.

<sup>6</sup> Here apparently John's editor has added 15-17, which is really a doublet of xiv. 18-20. This doublet represents the judgment as a reaping of the harvest of the wrath of God. But this figure does not belong to our author, who speaks of it as a vintage ; cf. xix. 15. Besides, several constructions are against his use, and the interpolator has failed to recognise the "one like a son of man" as Christ; see vol. ii. 3, 18 (ad fin.), 21 sq. John could never have divided the Judgment between Christ and an angel or have put any angel on an equality with Christ. It is a very stupid interpolation ; for it assigns to an angel the very judgment that is to be exercised by the Word

#### 424 THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN XIV. 18-XV. 2.

18. And another angel<sup>1</sup> went forth from the altar,<sup>2</sup> and cried with a great voice to him that had the sharp sickle saying,

> Thrust in thy sharp sickle. And gather the clusters of the vine of the earth; For her grapes are fully ripe.

19. And he<sup>3</sup> thrust his sickle into the earth, And gathered the vintage of the earth. And cast it into the great winepress of the wrath of God.

of Man trends the winepress of the wrath of God

The Son

- **30.** And the winepress was trodden without the city, And blood came out of the winepress even unto the horses' bridles-
  - To a distance of one thousand six hundred furlongs.

## CHAPTER XV.

**XV. 2-8.** (The chronological order of events in the Seer's visions of the future is here resumed. In other words, xv. 2-8 follows immediately on xiii., for xiv. broke away from this order and was wholly proleptic. xv. 2 opens with a vision of the entire martyr host, that had fallen in xiii. and are now in heaven (as in vii. 9-17) praising God for His righteousness and proclaiming the coming conversion of the nations (that had not been deceived by Rome), who would become His servants because of His righteous acts, 2-4. Thereupon follows a vision of the dooms inflicted on Rome and the nations seduced by her in the judgments of the Bowls, 5-8.)

of God in xix. 11-21. The interpolated verses (15-17) are : 15. "And another angel went forth from the temple, crying with a great voice to him that sat on the cloud,

Thrust in thy sickle and reap:

For the hour to reap hath come ;

For the harvest of the earth † is dried up †.

16. And he that sat on the cloud thrust in his sickle on the earth; and the earth was reaped. 17. And another angel went forth from the temple which is in heaven, he also having a sharp sickle."

<sup>1</sup> Another, as distinct from the angels in vers. 6, 8, 9; otherwise render : "another, an angel."

<sup>2</sup> The MSS add : "who had power over fire."

i.e. the Son of Man. The text reads "an angel"-an interpolation due to the hand that inserted 15-17. The Son of Man is never described as an angel. It is He, and not an angel, that thrusts in the sickle and gathers the vintage of the earth. Therein xiv. 14, 18-20 is a proleptic vision of the event described in the vision in x1x. 11-21 where the Word of God treads the winepress of the wrath of God.

#### **XV. 2-8.**] ANTHEM OF THE GLORIFIED MARTYRS 425

|    | And I saw as it were a sea of glass mingled with fire;<br>and them that had been victorious over the beast, and<br>over his image, and over the number of his name,<br>standing by the sea of glass, having the harps of God,<br>and singing <sup>2</sup> the song of the Lamb, saying,<br>Great and marvellous are thy works,<br>Lord God Almighty:<br>Righteous and true are thy ways,<br>Thou King of the nations. | glorified<br>martyrs,  |
|----|---|--|
| 4. | Who shall not fear, O Lord,<br>And glorify thy name?<br>For thou alone art holy;<br>For all the nations shall come<br>And worship before thee;  | ness and<br>kingship<br>of the<br>nations,<br>2-3<br>Who shall |
|    | For thy righteous acts shall have been made manifest.   | come and<br>worship  |
| 6. | And after these things I saw, and $\dagger$ the temple of the tabernacle of the testimony in heaven $\dagger$ <sup>3</sup> was opened: and seven angels <sup>4</sup> came forth from the temple, clothed in fine linen, <sup>5</sup> pure, bright, and girt about the breasts with golden   | before<br>Him<br>(during the<br>Millennial<br>Kingdom)         |
| 7. | girdles. And one of the four living creatures gave unto   |  |

the seven angels seven golden bowls full of the wrath of seven angels God who liveth for ever and ever. who

8. And the temple was filled with smoke from the glory of seven God, and from his power; and none could enter the temple bowls of till the seven plagues of the seven angels were finished. wrath, 5-8

<sup>1</sup> xv. I is an interpolation; see vol. ii. 30 sq. : "And I saw another sign in the heaven, great and marvellous, seven angels having seven plagues, (which are) the last; for in them is finished the wrath of God." This subject is not touched upon till xv. 5, as the phrase kal µerà raûra eldor proves. This phrase is not used unless at the beginning of a new and important section. See vol. i. 106 and footnote.

<sup>2</sup> The text reads *xal about*, "and they sing" as in xiv. 3. This is the Hebrew idiom, which has already occurred frequently; see vol. i. 14 sq. That this was so understood very early we see from the Versions, which render as I do in the text : *i.e.* Pr fl arm<sup>4</sup> a. We should observe the correction in M, which reads and *foorras*. The text here adds: "the song of Moses the servant of God and"; see, however, vol. ii. 34 sqq.

<sup>8</sup>A meaningless expression. Either we must excise the words : "of the tabernacle of the testimony," or, for this introduction to the Bowls go back to a Hebrew source and assume a slight corruption in the original. See vol. ii. 37 sq., where it is shown that if this introduction is from a Hebrew source we should probably read : "the temple of God which is in heaven," as in xi, 19. The corruption could quite easily arise.

Text reads : "the seven angels that had the seven plagues"-a change due to the interpolator of xv. 1.

See vol. ii. 38. The best MSS read λίθον. This impossible reading appears to presuppose a misrendering of the Hebrew.

### CHAPTER XVI.

#### THE SEVEN BOWLS.

(These plagues are not in any sense a repetition of the seven Seals or the three Woes. Under the Seals (save in the fifth, where the prayers of the martyrs as spiritual judgments affect only the heathen), Christian and heathen alike suffer physically. The three Woes do *spiritual* hurt only to those who had not the Seal of God; the first two doing *physical* hurt as well: to those who had the Seal of God the three Woes could do no *spiritual* hurt, though the third could do them *physical* hurt. Since those who had been sealed have already been martyred in xiii., the Bowls affect only the heathen world.)

First Bowl poured upon the earth, 1-2

The second in the sea, 3

The third on the rivers and fountains,4

The fourth on the sun, 8-9

The fifth on the throne of the Beast, IO-II

And I heard a great voice from the temple saying to the seven angels, Go and pour out the seven bowls of **9.** the wrath of God upon  $^1$  the earth. And the first went and poured out his bowl upon 1 the earth; and it became 8. a noisome and grievous sore upon men.<sup>2</sup> And the second poured out his bowl upon <sup>1</sup> the sea; and it became blood as of a dead man; and every living soul died, (even) 4. the things that were in the sea. And the third poured out his bowl upon 1 the rivers and the fountains of waters, 8. and they became blood.<sup>8</sup> And the fourth poured out his bowl upon the sun; and it was given unto him to 9. scorch men with fire. And men were scorched with great heat, and they blasphemed the name of God which hath the power over these plagues, and they repented not 10. to give him glory. And the fifth poured his bowl upon the throne of the beast; and his kingdom was darkened; 11. . . . and they gnawed their tongues for pain, And they

blasphemed the God of heaven because of their pains and of their sores; and they repented not of their works.

<sup>1</sup> els, but ἐπl in 8, 10, 12, 17 without any real difference in meaning.

<sup>a</sup> The MSS add the following gloss: "that had the mark of the beast and that worshipped his image"; see vol. ii. 43. <sup>a</sup> Here follows an interpolation: "and I heard the angel of the waters

<sup>8</sup> Here follows an interpolation : "and I heard the angel of the waters saying," which is used to introduce  $5^{h}-7$ . These clauses originally followed after xix. 4, to which context they are restored in this edition; see vol. ii. 122, also 116 sq.

<sup>4</sup>After "darkened" several clauses have been lost, in which the causes of the darkness and the sufferings of mankind were given. The plague of darkness could not explain the agony of the worshippers of the Beast. This plague is closely connected with the first Woe; see vol. ii. 45 sq. The phrase "because of the sores" proves that the sufferings of the subjects of the Beast cannot be explained from the text as it stands. XVI. 19-21.] SIXTH AND SEVENTH BOWLS

- 19. And the sixth poured out his bowl upon the great river, The sixth the Euphrates, and its waters were dried up, that the way on the might be prepared for the kings that (come) from the sun- Euphrates,
- 18. rising. And I saw from the mouth of the dragon, and Parthians from the mouth of the beast, and from the mouth of the might
- 14. false prophet, three unclean spirits 1 going forth 2 unto march
- the kings of the whole world to gather them together against 16. unto the war of the great day of God Almighty.<sup>8</sup> And (described they gathered them together to the place which is called more fully
- 17. in Hebrew Har-Magedon. And the seventh poured out in xvii. 12his bowl upon the air; and there came forth a great 13, 17, 16) voice out of the temple, from the throne, saying, It Evil spirits
- 18. is done. And there followed lightnings, and voices and from Satan and the thunders, and there followed a great earthquake, such as Beasta there has not been since men were upon the earth, so mustering
- 19. mighty an earthquake, so great.<sup>4</sup> And the cities of the the nations and matching and cathing date, so great a find the ethes of the area mations fell, and Babylon the great was remembered to Harbefore God, to give her the cup of the wine of the I3-I4, 16
  and field away, and An earthand mountains were not found. And great hail, (every guake
- stone) about the weight of a talent, came <sup>5</sup> down from follows, heaven upon men: and men blasphemed God because overthrowof the plague of hail; for the plague thereof was 6 ing the cities of exceeding great. the

nations.

<sup>1</sup> While our text represents God as putting it into the heart of the Parthian Babylon kings, xvii. 17, 16, to destroy Rome, it is three unclean spirits from Satan and reserved the two Beasts which muster the nations against Christ in xvi. 13-16; cf. for special xix. 17, 19, xx. 8.

judgment.

MSS add a gloss: "As it were frogs; for they are spirits of demons 18-20. working signs"; see vol. ii. 47 sq.

The text here reads corruptly & exposeveral instead of exposevoluera, which our author's usage and the context require ; see vol. ii. 48

<sup>a</sup> Here the MSS insert as xvi. 15-a verse which originally stood after iii, 3ª, and where it is restored in this edition. It forms the second of the seven beatitudes in our author.

\* The MSS add here : "And the great city was divided into three parts"the contents of which are against the context, while the order of the numeral is against our author's usage ; see vol. ii. 52.

Lit. "cometh "= Hebrew imperfect.

Lit. "in"

## CHAPTER XVII.

### XVII.-XVIII. THE VISION AND DOOM OF ROME, XVII. 1-6, XVIII.; THE INTERPRETATION OF THE BEAST AND OF HIS SEVEN HEADS AND TEN HORNS, XVII. 8-17.

(These two chapters are to be taken closely together. xvii. begins with a promise on the part of the angel to show the Seer the judgment of the Great Harlot; but instead he turns aside to deal with the Beast, and the promised judgment is not witnessed till xviii. Our author is here using two sources : one embraces xvii.  $1^{\circ}-2$ ,  $3^{\circ}-6^{\circ}$ , 7, 18, and part of 8-10, xviii. (see vol. ii. 55, 59 sqq., 94), which was originally written when Vespasian, the sixth king, was still reigning (xvii. 10). The second is fragmentary, xvii. 11-13, 17, 16, and dealt with the Neronic Antichrist, the ten kings, and the destruction of Rome.)

Vision of the woman (*i.e.* Rome) on the Scarlet Beast, 1-6 **XVII.** 1. And there came one of the seven angels which had the seven bowls, and he spake with me, saying, Come hither, I will show thee

The judgment of the great harlot

- That sitteth upon many waters :
- **9.** With whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication,

And with the wine of whose fornication they that dwell upon the earth have become drunken.

- 8. And he carried me away in the spirit into a wilderness: and I saw a woman sitting upon a scarlet-coloured beast, full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten
- 4. horns. And the woman was clothed in purple and scarlet, and adorned <sup>1</sup> with gold and precious stones and pearls, having in her hand a golden cup full of abomina-
- 5. tions and the unclean things<sup>2</sup> of her fornication. And upon her forehead (was) a name written, a mystery—

Babylon the great, The mother of harlotries<sup>8</sup> And of the abominations of the earth.

<sup>1</sup> Or "covered"; see note on meaning of κεχρυσωμένη here, vol. ii. 64.

<sup>2</sup> To be taken as an acc. after  $\gamma \neq \mu \omega \tau$ , cf. 3, and not as in RV.; nor as dependent on  $\xi \chi \omega \sigma \sigma$ , as suggested in my note, vol. ii. 65.

<sup>3</sup> So Tyc Pr vg arm<sup>3</sup>. This forms a perfect parallel to "abominations." This reading of Tyc Pr vg arm may be the result of conjecture. If so, the corruption could have arisen in the Hebrew source; see vol. ii, 65.

- 6. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints and with the blood of the martyrs<sup>1</sup> of Iesus. And
- 7. when I saw her I wondered with a great wonder. And the angel said unto me, Wherefore didst thou wonder? I will tell thee the mystery of the woman, and of the The beast that carrieth her, which hath the seven heads and meaning of the Beast, 7 the ten horns.
- 8. The beast that thou sawest was, and is not, and is about All but Christians to come up out of the abyss, and he goeth his way<sup>2</sup> into will marvel perdition. And they that dwell on the earth will wonder at the -(all) whose names have not been written in the book of Beast's life since the foundation of the world, when they behold return after the beast, that<sup>8</sup> was, and is not, and yet is to come.<sup>4</sup> his death, 8
- 9. Here (is needed) the mind which hath wisdom. The The seven heads are 10. seven heads <sup>5</sup> are seven kings : five of them have fallen, seven
- the one is, the other is not yet come, and when he kings: the 11. cometh, he must continue a short time. And the beast, sixth
- that was, and is not, is himself also an eighth, and is of reigns: the seventh the seven; and he goeth his way into perdition. will reign
- 19. And the ten horns, which thou sawest, are ten kings, that but a short have received no kingdom as yet; but they will receive <sup>6</sup> space and authority as kings for one hour along with the beast. be suc-
- 13. These have one purpose, and they give their power and ceeded by the Beast,
- 17. authority unto the beast.<sup>7</sup> For God hath put it into their who is one hearts to do his purpose,8 and to give their kingdom unto of the
- 16. the beast, until the words of God should be fulfilled. And seven, 9-11 the ten horns which thou sawest, and the beast, The ten

These shall hate the harlot. And make her desolate and naked.

<sup>1</sup> So rightly AV. and RV. and not "witnesses"; see vol. i. 62.

<sup>9</sup> It is better to follow A  $\partial \pi d \gamma e_i$ , "he goeth his way," here than \* 025. Beast and  $046 \partial \pi d \gamma e_i$ , "to go his way." All the time, despite his apparent recovery Great and triumphs, he is on the way to perdition; cf. on xvii. 11. Better so rendered than by "how that" ( $\delta \tau \iota$ ). I here read  $\delta \tau \iota$ , not  $\delta \tau \iota$ ; 13, 17, 16

cf. ofrives in xvii. II exactly in the same sense. We have here a parody of the divine name : "Which is, and which was, and which is to come" (i. 4, iv. 8).

<sup>4</sup>  $\pi$ ápeoral is here =  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon r a$  (a form our author does not use, though he

uses other tenses of  $\ell p \chi o \mu a and \ell \xi \epsilon \lambda e i \sigma e rational (xx. 8)).$ <sup>8</sup> A gloss here follows: "are seven mountains on which the womansitteth and they." This is a second explanation thrust in from the margin.

Text = "receive,"

<sup>7</sup> The text is dislocated and glossed. 17 is to be read immediately after 13 and next 16. 14 follows on 16, because 14 records the destruction of the forces which according to 17, 16 are to destroy Rome. 15 is a gloss on xvii. 1. "And he said unto me, The waters which thou sawest, where the harlot sitteth, are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues"; see vol. ii.

61, 71 sq, 74. <sup>8</sup> Text adds here an early gloss or doublet : "and to do one purpose"; see vol. ii. 73.

horns are ten kings who will serve the

Harlot, 12-

|   | 430    | THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN [XVIII 1-8.  |
|---|--------|---|
| And be<br>themselves<br>destroyed   |        | And they shall eat her flesh<br>And burn her with fire.   |
| by the<br>Lamb and<br>the Saints,<br>14 (a judg-<br>ment im-<br>plied in<br>xiz. 13°) | 14.    | These shall war against the Lamb,<br>And the Lamb shall overcome them,<br>For he is Lord of lords, and King of kings;<br>And they that are with him, called and elect and<br>faithful, (shall overcome). <sup>1</sup> |
| xiz. 13°)   | 18. Aı | nd the woman, whom thou sawest, is the great city,  |

which reigneth over the kings of the earth.

#### CHAPTER XVIIL

#### THE DOOM OF BOME.

(This chapter deals with the doom of Rome, a vision of which had been promised by the angel to the Seer in xvii. I. With the exception of a few clauses, it comes from a source which our author has already laid under contribution in xvii. 1-10, 18; see vol. ii. 94. It was written in the time of Vespasian, and several clauses survive attesting that period; see vol. ii. 93.)

Rome has fallen, for she hath corrupted all the earth. I-3

The

woman Rome

> After these things I saw another angel coming down 1. from heaven, having great authority; and the earth was 2. lighted up by his glory. And he cried with a mighty voice, saying,

> > Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great, And has become a habitation for demons. And a hold of every unclean and hateful<sup>s</sup> spirit, And a hold of every unclean and hateful bird.<sup>8</sup>

8. For of the wine<sup>4</sup> of her fornication hath she caused all the nations to drink :5

<sup>1</sup> Understand richovour, rather than what I have proposed in vol. ii. 75. After 14 the text inserts what was originally a gloss on xvii. 1, i.e. 15. See note 7, p. 429.

<sup>2</sup> Text of this and the next line doubtful. I have followed A minpane. gig arm<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>8</sup> This line conflicts with the statement in xix. 3°, according to which the smoke of Rome's burning is to go up for ever and ever. But this is due to the fact that xviii. is an early source used by our author, whereas xix, comes from his own hand.

\* # 046 Tyc s<sup>3</sup> read : "wine of the wrath of her fornication." I have followed 325<sup>#</sup> (?) Pr and s<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>5</sup> So a few cursives and s<sup>1</sup> (*revóruce*). This reading explains the impossible readings of the Uncials. This clause expresses the very same idea that is expressed passively in xvii. 2b: eucliofnoar . . . ex too olvou the roorelas; cf. xiv. 8.

For <sup>1</sup> the kings of the earth committed fornication with her, And the merchants of the earth waxed rich through the wealth of her wantonness.

| <b>4.</b> A | and I heard another voice from heaven, saying,   | Her sins<br>in which                               |
|-------------|--|--|
|             | Come forth from her, my people, <sup>2</sup><br>That ye may have no fellowship with her sins,<br>And that ye receive not of her plagues. | the faithful<br>are not to<br>share<br>called into |
| 5.          | For her sins have reached unto heaven,<br>And God hath remembered her iniquities.  | remem-<br>brance                                   |

- 6. Render unto her even as she hath rendered, The And double (unto her) double according to her works : measure of In the cup which she hath mingled, mingle unto ment and her double.
- In the measure in which she hath glorified herself tion by and played the wanton, the thet measure give has tormast and the mourning the

In that measure give her torment and † mourning †.8

Because she saith in her heart, I sit as a queen, And am no widow, And I shall not see † mourning †.<sup>4</sup>

#### Therefore in one day shall her plagues come, † Pestilence and mourning and famine †<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See note in lac. 3<sup>b. c</sup> are circumstantial clauses introduced by *ral* (=Hebrew )), which state the conditions under which the action described in 3<sup>a</sup> takes place. See notes on xu. 11<sup>c</sup>, xix. 3, and see Oxford Heb. Lexicon, p. 253. Hence *ral=* "seeing that," "for." The Greek aorists are rendered by English aorists (*tridopeverav*... *erxloirnyaw*), as Babylon is regarded as a thing of the past in these verses. In 3<sup>a</sup> the perfect is rightly used, since the results of "the wine of fornication" are enduring in the present. But in 4 sqq. the standpoint of the Seer changes. Babylon is still conceived as in being. The Greek aorists that follow have therefore frequently to be construed by the English perfect.

<sup>3</sup> This statement points to a source. The faithful have all suffered martyrdom. See vol. ii. 96 ad fin.

<sup>3</sup>  $\pi \epsilon r \theta os$  ("mourning") is an idea foreign to the context here and in 8<sup>b</sup>. It is not "mourning," but destruction that awaits Rome. Since  $\pi \epsilon r \theta os = 5 \pi n$ , the latter may be corrupt for  $\pi \tau \pi u$  or  $\pi t = 4\pi \omega h \epsilon t a$  "destruction." The same corruption is implied by the LXX in Jer. xii. 11. Hence for "mourning" read "destruction." This explanation is better than that suggested in vol. ii. 100.

<sup>4</sup> Read "destruction," as in 7<sup>b</sup>.

<sup>5</sup> First of all the order seems wrong. Almost invariably in the OT. we have "famine and pestilence." Here again "mourning" cannot be right. As in 7<sup>b. f</sup> we should read "destruction." Thus we should have "famine, and pestilence, and destruction."

|  | And she shall be burnt with fire ;<br>For strong is the God who hath judged her.  |
|--|---|
| Dirge of<br>the kings<br>over<br>Rome,         | 9. And the kings of the earth who committed fornication<br>and lived wantonly with her shall weep and wail over<br>her, when they look upon the smoke of her burning,   |
| 9–10   | 10. Standing afar off for the fear of her torment, saying,<br>Woe, woe to the great city,<br>Babylon the strong city,<br>For in one hour is thy judgment come.  |
| Dirge of<br>the<br>merchants,<br>11- <b>16</b> | <ul> <li>11. And the merchants of the earth shall weep and mourn over her,<sup>1</sup></li> <li>For no man buyeth their merchandise any more—</li> </ul>  |
| 11-10  | <ul> <li>12. Merchandise of gold and silver, and precious stone and pearls,</li> <li>And fine linen and purple, and silk and scarlet,</li> <li>And all thyine wood, and every vessel of ivory, and every vessel of most precious wood,<sup>2</sup></li> <li>And brass, and iron, and marble,</li> </ul>   |
|  | <ol> <li>And cinnamon, and spice, and incense,<br/>And ointment, and frankincense, and wine,<br/>And oil, and fine flour, and wheat,<br/>And beasts, and sheep,<sup>8</sup> and souls of men.<sup>4</sup></li> </ol>  |
|  | <ul> <li>16. The merchants of these things, who were made rich by her, shall stand afar off for the fear of her torment,</li> <li>16. weeping and mourning, Saying,<br/>Woe, woe to the great city,<br/>That was clothed in fine linen and purple and scarlet,<br/>And adorned with gold, and precious stone, and pearl;<br/>For in one hour are so great riches laid waste.</li> </ul> |
| Dirge of<br>the sea-<br>folk,<br>17-19         | <ul> <li>17. And every ship master, and every one that saileth any whither,<sup>5</sup> and mariners, and as many as gain their</li> <li>18. living by the sea, stood afar off, And cried, as they looked upon the smoke of her burning, saying, What (city) is like</li> </ul>   |
|  | <sup>1</sup> 23°, which is quite impossible in 23 and cannot be satisfactorily restored   |

THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN [XVIII. 9-18.

432

elsewhere in this chapter, is best explained as a gloss on 11<sup>2</sup>. It runs: "For the princes of the earth were thy merchants." In my text and commentary I have inserted it after 11<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>3</sup> A 2040 vg eth read "stone." <sup>3</sup> The MSS add here : "and horses and chariots and slaves." See vol. ii. 102 for the grounds for the excision of these words.

Ver. 14 is transposed after 21 in this edition. See vol. ii. 105, 108.

<sup>5</sup> The text seems corrupt here. Pr bo sa presuppose  $\dot{\pi}i \pi \delta i \tau \sigma \sigma$  instead of  $\dot{\pi}i \tau \delta \pi \sigma \sigma$ . Hence render "that saileth on the sea," see vol. ii. 105 sq.

|                | the great city? And they cast dust on their heads, and they cried, weeping and mourning, saying,  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
|                | Woe, woe to the great city,<br>Wherein were made rich all that had their ships at<br>sea;   |  |  |  |
|                | For in one hour are her precious things laid waste. <sup>1</sup>  |  |  |  |
| 21.            | And a strong angel took up a stone, as it were a great<br>millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying,  | tion of  |  |  |
|                | Thus with violence <sup>2</sup> shall be cast down<br>Babylon, the great city,<br>And shall no more be found. <sup>2</sup>  | Rome, 21   |  |  |
| 14.            | And the fruits which thy soul lusted after<br>Are gone from thee :<br>And all the dainties and the splendours<br>Are perished from thee. <sup>4</sup>   | Dirge of<br>the Seer<br>over<br>Rome, 14,<br>22, 23 <sup>a-d</sup> |  |  |
| <b>32</b> *. b | <ul> <li><sup>a, d</sup>. And the voice of the harpers and singers<sup>5</sup></li> <li><shall be="" heard="" in="" more="" no="" thee="">;<sup>6</sup></shall></li> <li>And <the voice=""><sup>6</sup> of the flute players and trumpeters</the></li> <li>Shall be heard no more in thee.</li> </ul> | l  |  |  |
| 23%            | <sup>d</sup> . And the voice of the bridegroom and the bride<br>Shall be heard no more in thee;   |  |  |  |
| 22°            |   |  |  |  |
| 225            |   |  |  |  |
| 88*            | •   |  |  |  |

<sup>1</sup> On the restoration of this verse see vol. ii. 106. Ver. 20 is restored at the close of 23<sup>th</sup>. On the restored order see vol. ii. 92 sq. <sup>b</sup> Or "with indignation." But the meaning is doubtful.

<sup>b</sup> The beginning of the next dirge appears to be lost. On the reconstructed order of this dirge, see vol. ii. 92 sq., 108 sqq.

• The text adds : "And they shall no more find them " (= "); but this is possibly a corrupt form of the line, which is lacking in  $22^{\circ}$  and which I have restored (*i.e.*  $\sqrt{100}$  eV). But probably it is an interpolation; for elsewhere in this source  $18^{2-37}$  ov  $\mu\eta$  is always followed by the subjunctive, whereas here it is the indicative ; cf. xviii. 21, 22 (ter), 23 (bis).

μουσικών = D' ττψ (see LXX Ezek. xxvi. 13, Sir. xxxv. 3, 5), corrupt for D' ψ = " singers."

\* Restored as the context requires. See vol. ii. 109. It is noteworthy that the Ethiopic Version has made the same restoration, see vol. ii, 352.

VOL. 11.-28

Seer's appeal to the inhabitants of heaven to rejoice over the doom of Rome, 20, 23<sup>1</sup>, 24

Rejoice over her, thou heaven. 90. And ye saints, and ye apostles, and ye prophets ; For God hath given judgment in your cause against her.

- **98**<sup>f</sup>. For with her<sup>1</sup> sorcery were all the nations deceived.
- 94. And in her was found the blood of the prophets and saints.

And of all that had been slain upon the earth.

## CHAPTER XIX.

(Response of the heavenly host to the appeal of the Seer iust made-first of a mighty multitude praising God for His judgment of the Harlot City, and His avenging His servants' blood at her hands, 1-3; next of the Elders and Cherubim and of the Altar, which in like manner praise Him for that, having poured out the blood of the saints, they were made to drink each other's blood, 4, xvi. 5<sup>b</sup>-7; and, finally, of the martyrs themselves. who offer their thanksgivings, for that now the Lord God Almighty has become King and that the Bride is now ready. xix, 5-7. The Bride will appear clothed in keeping with her character, 8. Thereupon the fourth Beatitude is pronounced, o. At this stage a vision of the destruction of the Parthian kings is to be expected (see vol. ii. 114 ad init., 117 ad init., and note 1 on ii. 436). Now that Rome and the Parthians have been destroyed, there remains only the judgment of the kings of the earth who had shared in the abominations of Rome. These are slain by Christ, and the Beast and False Prophet are cast into the lake of fire, 11-20.)

1. After these things I heard as it were a great voice of a Response of heaven mighty<sup>2</sup> multitude in heaven, saying, Hallelujah; Salvation, and glory, and power, belong to our God : ٩. For true and righteous are his judgments: For he hath judged the great harlot, That corrupted the earth with her fornication. And he hath avenged the blood of his servants at her hand. 8. And again they said : \*

### Hallelujah;

For<sup>4</sup> her smoke goeth up for ever and ever.

<sup>1</sup> Text reads corruptly "thy."

1 i.e. TOXA

- <sup>8</sup> A perfect with an aorist meaning.
- <sup>4</sup> ral to be taken as a Hebraism ; see vol. ii. 120.

to the Seer's appeal. Song of the angels on God's judgment of Rome.

I-3

## XIX. 4-9.] SONGS OF ELDERS, CHERUBIM, MARTYRS 435

| 4.  | cr                  | eatures                       | fell dov          | vn and w                              |                     | s and th<br>ped God           |                       |                   | Song of<br>the Elders<br>and   |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
|   | th                  | e thron                       | e, sayin          |                                       | Uall                | Juich .                       |                       |                   | Cherubim   |
| XVI. S  | 5 <sup>b. e</sup> . |                               |                   |                                       |                     | and which                     | h wast.               | L                 | God in<br>that He<br>hath made   |
| 6.  |                     | prop                          | hets,<br>ast give | n them                                |                     | e blood<br>Ilso to dri        |                       | ts and            | them, that<br>slew the<br>saints, to<br>slay each<br>other,<br>xix. 4, |
| 7.  |                     | Yea, O                        | Lord (            | he altar s<br>God Almi<br>eous are    | ighty,              | dgments.                      |                       |                   | xvi. 5 <sup>b. c</sup> , 6<br>The<br>martyrs at<br>last                |
| XIX   | . 5.                | Praise (                      | our Goo           | ime forth<br>I, all ye I<br>ar him, s | his serv            |                               | e, sayin <sub>i</sub> | 3,                | vindicated<br>by God,<br>and bidden<br>to offer<br>their               |
| 6.  | as                  | I heard<br>the voi<br>unders, | ce oi n           | ere the v<br>any wate                 | voice o<br>ers, and | f a great<br>l as the v       | multitud<br>oice of   | le, and<br>mighty | xix. 5   |
|   |                     |                               | ,,                | Halle                                 | lujah :             |                               |                       |                   | Response<br>of the   |
|   |                     |                               |                   |                                       |                     | ath beco                      | me Kinj               | g.                | martyr   |
| 7.  |                     |                               |                   | and rejo                              |                     |                               |                       |                   | host,<br>singing,  |
|   |                     |                               |                   | him the                               |                     | hath cor                      |                       |                   | Hallelujah   |
|   |                     |                               |                   |                                       |                     | hath cor                      |                       |                   | in that<br>God has   |
|   |                     | And m                         | sonue             |                                       | aue nei             | rself ready                   | y.                    |                   | become   |
| 8.  |                     | Yea, it                       | hath be           | en given                              | unto l              | ner to clo                    | the here              | self              | king, the  |
|   |                     | In fine                       | linen b           | right, pu                             | re. <sup>4</sup>    |                               |                       |                   | marriage<br>of the   |
| -   |                     |                               |                   |                                       |                     |                               | ••                    |                   | Tamb   |
| 9.  |                     |                               |                   | me, Bles                              |                     | e they wh<br>mb. <sup>5</sup> | nich are              |                   | come, and<br>the Bride   |
|   |                     | •                             | . "               |                                       | •                   | •                             |                       | •                 | made   |
| •   |                     |                               | •                 | •                                     |                     | •                             | •                     | •                 | herself  |
| 100   | the r               | estoration                    | of wi             | cb. 0_7 to                            | ite origi           | nal context                   |                       | 8 116             | ready, 6-8   |
| 120-124   |                     | Catoracio                     |                   | 5 700                                 |                     |                               | ,                     |                   | Beatitude  |
|   |                     |                               |                   |                                       | ase, see            | vol. ii. 123                  | <b>j.</b>             |                   | on those   |
| 4 Te  | st ado              | ύτοῦ ; see<br>ls an inco      | prrect glu        | ss: "for t                            | the fine            | linen is the                  | righteous             | acts of           | invited to   |
| <sup>4</sup> Text adds an incorrect gloss : "for the fine linen is the righteous acts of t<br>the saints"; see vol. ii. 127 sq. Rather "the fine linen" is the result of such 1 |                     |                               |                   |                                       |                     | Marriage                      |                       |                   |  |
| righteou  | s acts              | , that is,                    | the spiri         | tual bodies                           | s in whic           | h the saint.<br>'' And he     | s are clot            | hed.              | Supper of  |
| ore true  | word                | s of God                      | TO A              | nd I fell d                           | own hef             | ore his feet                  | to woreh              | in him            | _  |
| And he  | saith               | to me. S                      | ee inou o         | O IL NOL.                             | Iama                | ellow-servi                   | int with r            | nee ana           |  |
| And he saith to me, See thou do it not. I am a fellow-servant with the end vision of with thy brethren that have the testimony of Christ : worship God : for the the            |                     |                               |                   |                                       |                     |                               |                       |                   |  |

with thy brethren that have the testimony of Christ: worship God: for the the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." See vol. ii. 128 sqq.

|   | 436   | THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN [XIX. 11-19.  |
|---|---|--|
| destruction<br>of the<br>Parthian                         | 11.   | And I saw the heaven opened;<br>And behold, a white horse,   |
| kings <sup>1</sup><br>(prolepti-<br>cally                 |   | And he that sat thereon—Faithful and True; <sup>3</sup><br>And in righteousness he doth judge and make war.  |
| referred<br>to in<br>xvii. 14)                            | 19.   | And his eyes are as a flame of fire,<br>And on his head are many diadems; <sup>8</sup>   |
| A Divine<br>Warrior<br>followed                           | 18.   | And he is clothed in a garment dipped in blood :<br>And his name is called The Word of God.  |
| by the<br>armies of<br>heaven,<br>11 -14 ( a              | 14.   | And the armies which are in heaven follow him on white horses,   |
| judgment<br>prolepti-<br>cally                            | 15.   | Being clothed in fine linen, white, pure.<br>And from his mouth proceedeth a sharp sword,  |
| described<br>in xiv. 14,<br>18-20)                        |   | That with it he should smite the nations:<br>And he shall break 4 them with an iron rod:   |
| Smites<br>with a<br>sharp                                 |   | And he treadeth the winepress of the fierce wrath of<br>God Almighty.  |
| sword the<br>nations<br>and treads                        | 16.   | And he hath on <sup>5</sup> his thigh a name written,<br>KING OF KINGS AND LORD OF LORDS.  |
| the wine-<br>press of<br>the wrath<br>of God—             | 17.   | And I saw an angel standing in the sun; and he cried<br>with a great voice, saying to all the birds that fly in<br>mid heaven, Come, gather yourselves together to the   |
| bearing<br>the name<br>of King of<br>kings and<br>Lord of | 18.   | great supper of God; That ye may eat the flesh of kings,<br>and the flesh of captains, and the flesh of mighty men,<br>and the flesh of horses and of them that sit thereon, and<br>the flesh of all men, both free and bond, and small  |
| lords,<br>15–16<br>Birds of<br>prey                       | 19.   | and great.<br>And I saw the beast, and the kings of the earth, and<br>their armies, gathered together to make war against him  |
| summoned<br>to feast on                                   | expect a                                      | ave indicated a lacuna here. Where xix. 9 <sup>b</sup> -10 stands we should<br>vision relating to the destruction of the Parthian kings—a destruction<br>ed in xvii. 14 (see vol. ii. 116 <i>ad_fin.</i> ) and implied by the epithet  |
| Beast and<br>False<br>Prophet<br>over-                    | βeβaμμέ<br>displaced<br>visions a<br>a vision | ed in xvii. 14 (see vol. ii. 116 ad fin.) and implied by the epithet<br>wow in xix. 13 (see vol. ii. 133). This vision appears to have been<br>l by the interpolation, $9^{b-10}$ . The subjects of all other proleptic<br>re rehandled in other visions in their due order. Hence we expect<br>on the destruction of the Parthian kings here in its chronological |
| thrown<br>and cast  | order.  | 6 al <sup>pl</sup> and many versions read "called Faithful and True."<br>re the MSS add an interpolation : "Having a name written which<br>knoweth save he himself." It is an anacolouthon : it forms a break  |
| 17-18   | in the th                                     | thoweth save ne himself." It is an anacolouthon : it forms a break<br>ought and is contradicted by what follows; see vol. ii. 132.<br>note on xii. 5 (translation); also vol. i. 75 sq.  |

\* Text adds : "his raiment and on," see vol. ii. 137.

• 1

- **90.** that sat upon the horse, and against his army. And the Their allies beast was taken, and with him the false prophet that slain and wrought the signs before him, wherewith he deceived their bodies them that had received the mark of the beast, and them eaten by that worshipped his image: they twain were cast alive birds of
- 21. into the lake of fire that burneth with brimstone. And prey, the rest were slain with the sword of him that sat upon 19-21 the horse, (even the sword) which came forth out of his mouth: and all the birds were filled with their flesh.

## CHAPTERS XX.-XXII.

(The traditional order of the text in these three chapters is intolerably disordered and hopelessly unintelligible. The present editor has restored, so far as he can, the order of the text as it left the hand of the Seer. See vol. ii. 144-154. The restored order is given on pp. 153-154. On line 12 (p. 154) delete  $6^{a}$ , and on line 17 insert  $5^{o}$  before  $6^{b}-8$ .)

## CHAPTER XX. 1-3.

1-8. (Satan chained for a thousand years, and the nations set free from his deceivings.)

| 1. And I saw an angel coming down from heaven, | Chaining |
|--|----------|
| Having the key of the abyss                    | of Satan |
| And a great chain in his hand.                 | in the   |
| 5  | abyss    |

- And he laid hold on the dragon, the old serpent, Which is the Devil and Satan, And bound him for a thousand years:
- 8. And he cast him into the abyss, And shut and sealed (it) over him, That he should no more deceive the nations Till the thousand years should be fulfilled.

After this he must be loosed for a little time.

## CHAPTER XXI. 9-27.

**XXI. 9-XXII. 2, 14-15, 17.** (Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem, which descends from heaven and settles on the runned site of the earthly Jerusalem. This Heavenly City is at once the seat of the Messianic Kingdom, the abode of the glorified martyrs, and the centre of the evangelising agencies of the surviving nations on the earth, during the millennial period. Though it is not stated, we must conclude that alike the glorified martyrs and the Heavenly Jerusalem are withdrawn from the earth before the final judgment.

The tree of life (xxii. 2, 14) appears to be for the new converts (xxii. 2; cf. xi. 15, xiv. 6, 7, xv. 3, 4) and not for the martyrs, since the martyrs are already clothed with their heavenly bodies and are not subject to the second death. They had already eaten of it in the Paradise of God (ii. 7).

As one of the seven angels of the Bowls showed Rome—the capital of the kingdom of the Antichrist—to the Seer (xvii. 1), so he now shows him the heavenly Jerusalem.)

Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem —the seat of Christ's Kingdom on earth for 1000 years

Its measurements

And there came one of the seven angels who had 9. the seven bowls, which were full of the seven last plagues; and he spake with me, saying, Come hither, I will show thee the bride, the wife] of the Lamb. 10. And he carried me away in the Spirit to a mountain great and high, and showed me the holy city Jerusalem, 11. coming down out of heaven from God. Having the glory of God: her light was like unto a stone most precious, as it were a jasper stone, clear as crystal. 19. She had a wall great and high; she had twelve gates, and at the gates twelve angels; and names written thereon, which are the names of the twelve tribes of 18. the children of Israel. On the east were three gates; and on the north three gates; and on the south three 14. gates; and on the west three gates. And the wall of the city had twelve foundations, and on them the 15. twelve names of the twelve apostles of the Lamb. And he that spake with me had for a measure a golden reed to measure the city, and the gates thereof, and the 16. wall thereof. And the city lieth foursquare, and the length thereof is as great also as the breadth; and he measured the city with the reed, twelve thousand furlongs: the length and the breadth and the height

17. thereof are equal. And he measured the wall thereof,

| 18.<br>19.  | a hundred and forty and four cubits, according to<br>the measure of a man, that is, of an angel.<br>And the building of the wall thereof was jasper:<br>And the city was pure gold, like unto pure glass:<br>And <sup>1</sup> the foundations of the wall of the city were<br>adorned with all manner of precious stones.  | Itsglorious<br>structure<br>and<br>appear-                    |
|---|--|---|
|   | The first foundation was jasper; the second, sapphire;<br>the third, chalcedony;<br>The fourth, emerald; the fifth, sardonyx; the sixth,<br>sardnus;<br>The seventh, chrysolite; the eighth, beryl; the ninth,<br>topaz;<br>The tenth, chrysoprase; the eleventh, jacinth; the<br>twelfth, amethyst.   |   |
| 21.   | And the twelve gates were twelve pearls;<br>Each one of the gates was of one pearl,<br>And the street of the city was pure gold, transparent as<br>glass. <sup>2</sup>   |   |
| 22.   | And I saw no temple therein :<br>For the Lord God Almighty is the temple thereof,<br>And the Lamb $\langle$ is the ark of the covenant thereof $^{8} \rangle$ .  | No temple<br>nor ark<br>therein,<br>nor sun                   |
|   | And the city hath no need of the sun, nor yet of the<br>moon, to shine upon it :<br>For the glory of the Lord doth lighten <sup>4</sup> it,<br>And the lamp thereof is the Lamb.   | nor moon<br>to give<br>hght, but<br>God<br>Himself<br>and the |
|   | And the nations shall walk by the light thereof:<br>And the kings of the earth do bring their glory into it.<br>And the gates thereof shall not be shut day or night. <sup>5</sup>   | Lamb<br>Its gates<br>always<br>open to                        |
| to read th<br><sup>2</sup> Or,<br><sup>3</sup> A p<br>conceal to<br>preceding<br>covenant<br>In the H<br>that of th<br><sup>4</sup> éφώn<br>Robertson | ugh A 025. 046 omit, it seems best, with # Pr (gig) arm s <sup>1. 2</sup> bo eth<br>te copula.<br>"as it were transparent glass."<br>robable restoration; the original is lost. The English versions<br>this loss by transposing the words "And the Lamb" into the<br>g sentence. Cf. xi. 19, where the temple and the ark of the<br>are spoken of as the headcentres of the manifestations of God.<br>leavenly Jerusalem God takes the place of the first, and the Lamb<br>e second; see vol. ii. 170 sq.<br><i>rorev</i> is either the Greek timeless aorist, Moulton, Gr. 135 sq.;<br>n, Gr. 836 sq., or it is in our author's mind a rendering of the<br>Hebrew perfect—a very common usage. | the nations<br>of the<br>earth                                |

The text reads: "for there shall be no night there"—a corruption due in part to xxii. 5. As in Isa. Ix II, the text clearly ran as I have emended: "Thy gates...shall not be shut day or night." The alternations of day and night still prevail on the earth. It is otherwise in xxii. 5, where the New Jerusalem has come down from God to the new and glorified earth. Besides, the parallelism is against it ; see vol. ii. 173.

440

- **26.** And they shall bring the glory and the honour of the nations into it:
- 87. And there shall not enter into it † anything unclean or one †<sup>1</sup> that maketh an abomination or a lie:
   But only they that are written in the Lamb's book of life.

CHAPTER XXII. 1-2, 14-15, 17.

The river and tree of life

- 1. And he showed me a river of water of life, bright as crystal,
- Proceeding out of the throne of God and of the Lamb, In the midst of the street thereof:
  - And on this side of the river and on that was the tree<sup>2</sup> of life,

Bearing twelve (manner of) fruits,

Yielding its fruit every month:

- And the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations.
- 14. Blessed are they that wash their robes,

That they may have the right to the tree of life, And may enter in by the gates into the city.

- 15. Without are the dogs, and the sorcerers, And the fornicators, and the murderers, and the idolaters, And every one that loveth and maketh a lie.
- 17. And the Spirit and the bride <sup>3</sup> say, Come. And let him that heareth say, Come. And let him that is athirst come : Whosoever willeth let him take the water of life freely.

<sup>1</sup> Primitive corruption for "any that is unclean or," cf. xxii. 15. Only persons are contemplated as the next line shows.

<sup>2</sup> The term is used generically. The text implies that there are two rows of trees, one on either side of the river ; see vol. ii. 176.

<sup>3</sup> Since the term "bride" designates the Heavenly Jerusalem in our author (cf. xxi. 2, 9), it has no doubt the same meaning here, but the idea of the Christian *community* rather than of the city is here brought forward; see vol. ii. 179.

•1

.

Fifth Beatitude —for those who cleanse themselves and so have access to the tree of life in the City Invitation of the Spirit and the Bride

#### **XX. 4-8.**] THE MILLENNIAL KINGDOM

CHAPTER XX. 4-15.

**XX. 4-6.** (Vision of the glorified martyrs who reign with Christ for a thousand years.)

4°\_h. And <I saw> the souls of them that had been Kingdom beheaded for the witness of Christ, And for the word of God, earth, 4-6

And <sup>1</sup> had not worshipped the beast, Nor yet his image,

And had not received the mark upon their forehead And upon their hand;

4<sup>a, b, i</sup>. And I saw thrones, and they seated themselves thereon, And judgment was given unto them.<sup>3</sup>

And they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years.<sup>8</sup>

**5**<sup>b</sup>. This is the first resurrection.

successive couplets.

6. Blessed and holy is he that hath part in the first Sixth resurrection: Over these the second death hath no power; Death and he for the blessed.

But they shall be priests of God and of Christ, And shall reign with him a thousand years. blessedness of those that have part in

**XX.** 7-10. (Close of the Millennial Kingdom and of its the first evangelizing activities. Thereupon follows the loosing of Satan, resurrecthe march of Gog and Magog against the beloved city, their tion destruction by supernatural means, and the casting of Satan into the lake of fire. The Seer does not say what became of the Heavenly Jerusalem, but its withdrawal from the earth before the final judgment is presupposed. Since "the beloved city" in xx. 9 is the Heavenly Jerusalem, the saints referred to in the same verse must include the risen martyrs.)

| 7. And when the thousand years are fulfilled,  | Satan                    |
|--|--------------------------|
| 8. Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, And shall  |                          |
|  | his final<br>efforts and |
| <sup>2</sup> This couplet occurs immediately at the beginning of ver. 4, where alike           |                          |
| the context and the grammar are against them.  | 7-10                     |
| <sup>8</sup> Here follows an interpolation, as Mr. Marsh has suggested : 5 <sup>*</sup> . "The |                          |
| rest of the dead lived not till the thousand years were fulfilled." See Greek                  |                          |
| text in loc. By its removal the symmetry of the text is restored-seven                         |                          |

44 I

come forth to deceive the nations which are in the four corners of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to the war: the number of whom is as

- 9. the sand of the sea. And they went<sup>1</sup> up over the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city: and fire came down
- 10, out of heaven, and devoured them. And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where are also the beast and the false prophet; and they shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.

**XX.** 11-15. (Vision of the great throne and of Him that sat thereon, before whose presence the former heaven and the former earth forthwith vanish. Judgment of the dead. Death and hell cast into the lake of fire.)

11. And I saw a great white throne, and him that sat thereon : And from his face the earth and the heaven fled away. And no place was found for them.

- 12. And I saw the dead, the great and the small,<sup>2</sup> standing before the throne.
  - And books were opened: and another book was opened, which is (the book) of life:
  - And the dead were judged out of the things written in the books.8

#### 13. And the treasuries 4 gave up the dead which were in them: 4

<sup>1</sup> The past verbs in 20<sup>9-10</sup> are to be explained from our author's use of Hebrew idiom, according to which Hebrew perfects (or imperfects with vav conversive) represent vividly the future events as things already accomplished.

<sup>2</sup> Our author elsewhere writes : "the small and the great"; see vol. ii. 194. Tautological interpolation added here : "according to their works." "Tautological interpolation added here : "according to their works."

The text here reads "sea," but the context requires a reference to the abode of righteous souls, since Hades is the abode in our author only of wicked souls, and as such is cast into the lake of fire, xx. 14". The change of "treasuries"—the normal word in Judaism (50-100 A.D.) for the abode of righteous souls, or of "mansions" (John xiv. 2), or "Paradise" (?)—into "sea" was made in the interests of a bodily resurrection. But the sea has already vanished with the first heaven and earth (ver. 11, xxi. 1). According to the transmitted text only wicked souls have part in the General Resurrection and Final Judgment. In 4 Ezra vii. the text dealing with the General Resurrection and Final Judgment has also been tampered with, with a view to enforcing belief in a physical resurrection The result of the tampering with the two texts is interesting : while in the Apocalypse only the wicked rise and are judged, in 4 Ezra only the righteous rise and are judged ! see vol. ii. 194-198.

Resurrection of the dead and final judgment. 11-13

And death and Hades gave up the dead which were in them :

And they were judged every man according to their works.

14. And death and Hades were cast into the lake of fire.<sup>1</sup> And all that were not found written in the book of life Were cast into the lake of fire.

XXI. 5<sup>a</sup>, 4<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>b</sup>: XXI. 1-4<sup>a. b. c</sup>: XXII. 8-5. (Declaration by God that the former things have passed away and that He creates all things new. Forthwith the Seer sees the new heaven and the new earth and the New<sup>2</sup> Jerusalem coming down, adorned as a bride for her husband. God tabernacles with men. No more grief or pain or tears or death. All the faithful are to reign with Christ for ever and ever (xxii. 5), whereas in the Millennial Kingdom only the risen martyrs were to reign for a thousand years.)

| 5*.                                  | And he that sat upon the throne said,  | God                     |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 4 <sup>d</sup> .<br>5 <sup>b</sup> . | The former things have passed away;<br>Behold, I make all things new. <sup>3</sup> | makes all<br>things new |
| <b>I. 1.</b>                         | And I saw a new heaven and a new earth :   | New                     |

XX For the first heaven and the first earth had passed heaven away ; Nor is there any more sea.

<sup>1</sup> Text adds here a marginal gloss : "this is the second death, the lake of fire," drawn from xx1. 8f., where the clause is full of meaning; but it is wholly out of place here with regard to death and Hades.

<sup>2</sup> Even the Heavenly City of xxi. 10, which had been withdrawn from the earth before the Judgment with Christ and the saints, is renewed or displaced by one of a higher nature.

\* Text contains the following intrusion : 5°. " And he saith, Write : for these things are faithful and true. 6. And he said unto me, They have become." xxi. 5°-doublet of xxii. 6°-is in this edition restored after xxii 5 and immediately before xxi.  $6^{\circ}$ . See next page. Hence correct note in vol. ii. 203 *ad jim.*  $6^{\circ}$  is an interpolation. The Seer does not require such an assurance in confirmation of God's own words. Nothing can intervene between the declaration of God, "Behold I make all things new," and the Seer's immediate recognition of their fulfilment : "And I saw a new heaven," cf. Gen. i. 3, "And God said, Let there be light : and there was light." This interpolation, xxi. 6<sup>a</sup>, "And he said unto me, They have become," is an extremely idle one-even with the traditional order of the text; for the Seer needs no such assurance, since ax hypothesi he has in vision already seen the new heaven and the new earth and the New Jerusalem descending on the new Earth, xxi. 1-3. Further in xxi. 5<sup>b</sup> the words (800 kawa row rásra do not refer to an accomplished fact, which the traditional order presupposes. If the Seer had so intended he would have said lood raird revolues rarra (cf. iii. 8, lood deduka). These words refer to the present creative act. Hence the new creation, xxi. 1-3, follows after xxi. 5<sup>b</sup>, and the Seer in the vision sees God's words at once translated into fact. Cf. 1 Enoch xiv. 22 παs λόγος αύτοῦ ἔργον.

End of death and Hades

and new earth

|   | 444 RI  | EVELATION                               | OF ST. JOHN  | [XXI. 2-4; XXII. 3-5.                                       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The New<br>Jerusalem  | <ol> <li>And the holy city, New Jerusalem, I saw,<br/>Coming down out of heaven from God,<br/>Made ready as a bride adorned for her husband.</li> </ol> |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | 8.  | And I heard                             | l a great voice fro  | om the throne saying,                                       |  |  |  |
| God<br>dwells<br>with men   |   | And he<br>And th                        | , the tabernacle <sup>1</sup><br>e shall dwell with<br>ey shall be his p<br>e shall be their G | eople, <sup>2</sup>   |  |  |  |
| Blessed-<br>ness of<br>God's<br>people,<br>xxi, 4 <sup>a- h. co</sup> | 4°.<br>b.<br>°.   | And de Neithe                           | ath shall be no i  | mourning, nor crying, nor                                   |  |  |  |
| xxii. 3-5   | 4.  | And the in<br>be in<br>And hi<br>And th | n it :<br>s servants shall s<br>ney shall see his f  |   |  |  |  |
|   | 5.  | And the of su<br>For the upon           | ın,  | d of light of lamp or light<br>ll cause (his face) to shine |  |  |  |

#### EPILOGUE AT THE CLOSE OF JOHN'S VISION.

XXI 5°, 6<sup>b</sup>-8. (God's testimony to John's book: His God's testimony message to all men.) to John's **XXI.** 5°. And He saith, Write; for these words are faithful book and and true. His message to 6<sup>b</sup>. I am the Alpha and the Omega, all men : The beginning and the end: divine I will give to him that thirsteth of the fountain of the sonship for the water of life freely. faithful : <sup>1</sup> ή σκηνή is probably "the Shekinah." There is no real English for the unfaithful equivalent. Perhaps we might render : "the Presence of God is with men"; the second or "the dwelling of God." In no case has "tabernacle" its ecclesiastical death, xxi. meaning or its traditional associations ; see vol. ii. 205 sq. 5°, 6°-8

<sup>2</sup> See vol. ii. 207 : crit. note on this line.

<sup>8</sup> See vol. ii. 207 sq. : crit. note.

<sup>4</sup> See vol. ii. 210 sq.  $\phi wrijew$  can, of course, be used intransitively, but John uses it only actively elsewhere : xviii. 1, xxi. 23. Otherwise render : "shall shine upon them." But in this sense we find  $\phi wrijew$  with the dat.

- 7. He that overcometh shall inherit these things, And I will be his God, And he shall be my son.
- 8. But for the cravenhearted and unbelieving, And abominable and murderers, And fornicators and sorcerers, And idolaters and all liars— Their part shall be in the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone: Which is the second death.

## CHAPTER XXII.

**XXII.** 6-7, 18<sup>4</sup>, 16, 13, 12, 10. (Here more than anywhere else in chaps. xx-xxii. we have the *disjecta membra* of the Poet-Seer. I have restored the order of this section tentatively as above. xxii. 11, 18<sup>b</sup>-19 are relegated to the footnotes as interpolations. See vol. ii. 211-213, 217.)

(Christ's testimony to John's book : His speedy coming.)

- 6. And he said unto me, These words are faithful and Christ true: and the Lord, the God of the spirits of the attests prophets, sent his angel to show unto his servants the book, xxii.
- 7. things which must shortly come to pass. And behold, 6-7, 18 I come quickly. Blessed is he that keepeth the words Seventh of the prophecy of this book.<sup>1</sup>
- 18<sup>4</sup>. To every one that heareth I testify the words of the prophecy of this book.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This sentence forms the seventh beatitude. There is a certain fitness in the order of the seven. The first (i. 3) declares the blessedness of those who read and keep the prophecy: the second (iii. 3, *i.e.*, xvi. 15) of him who watcheth and keepeth his garments: the third (xiv. 12-13) of those who die in the Lord: the fourth (xix. 9) of those who having so died are invited to the marriage supper of the Lamb: the fifth (xxii. 14) of those who had washed their garments that they might have access to the tree of life in the heavenly city: the sixth (xx. 6) of those who have actually part in the first resurrection: the seventh (xxii. 7) of those who keep the words of this Book.

<sup>8</sup> The following interpolation is inserted here :

18<sup>b</sup>. If any man shall add unto them, God shall add unto him the plagues which are written in this book. 19. And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part from the tree of life, and out of the holy city, which are written in this book "; see vol. ii. 222-224. 446 THE REVELATION OF ST. JOHN [XXII 16-21.

- 16. I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify these things unto you in <sup>1</sup> the Churches :
  I am the root and the offspring of David, The bright and the morning star.
- 18. I am the Alpha and the Omega, The first and the last, The beginning and the end.

His speedy Advent

- 19. Behold, I come quickly; And my reward is with me, To render to each man according as his work is.
  - 10. And he saith unto me, Seal not up the words of the prophecy of this book; for the time is at hand.<sup>2</sup>

**XXII. 8-9, 20-21.** (John's testimony : the closing words.)

John's testimony: angel worship forbidden, xxii, 8-9 8. And I John am he that heard and saw these things. And when I heard and saw, I fell down to worship before the feet of the angel which showed me these things.
9. And he saith unto me, See thou do it not: I am a fellow-servant with thee and with thy brethren the prophets, and with them which keep the words of this book: worship God.

Christ's final words and John's prayer and benediction, 20-21

- 20. He which testifieth these things saith, Yea: I come quickly. Amen: come, Lord Jesus.
- 21. The grace of the Lord Jesus be with all the saints. Amen.<sup>8</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> er. So A, etc. Other authorities  $e^{\pi l} =$  concerning."
- <sup>3</sup> Here the text adds :
  - II. He that is unrighteous, let him do unrighteousness still :
    - And he that is filthy, let him be made filthy still :
    - And he that is righteous, let him do righteousness still :
    - And he that is holy, let him be made holy still.

This verse refers to the Seer's contemporaries, and declares that the time for repentance is past. But, since xx1. 6-8 refer also to his contemporaries, there is still hope for them, if they repent; see vol. ii. 221 sq.

<sup>3</sup> The text of this verse is very uncertain. I have followed AM in reading "the Lord Jesus." The fuller title, "Lord Jesus Christ," has the support of o46 and most cursives, while the form "our Lord Jesus Christ" has the support of some cursives and nearly all the versions. Again, the Apocalypse cannot have ended with the words "with all" (A vg). Such a grace would be wholly at variance with the thought of the Seer. Only the saints or those seeking to be saints can receive such grace. Hence the reading of A vg is simply defective, and the choice must he between the reading of M (gig) "with the saints" and that of 046 s<sup>(1), 2</sup> arm<sup>4-a</sup> to "with all the saints." This last is most in keeping with our author's views : cf. viii. 3.

# APPENDIX.

# FOUR PAPYRUS AND VELLUM FRAGMENTS OF THE APOCALYPSE.

Fragment I.  $(=F^1)$ .

P. Oxy. viii. 1079.

(Late 3rd or 4th Cent. Verso of a papyrus roll with Exodus on recto (ed. Hunt, 1911).)

- i. 4. [Ιωαννης τα]ι[ς επτα] εκ[κλησ[ιαις [ταις εν τη] Ασια χαρις ϋμειν και ειρη [νη απο ο ων] και ο ην και ο ερχομε [νος και απο τ]ων επτα πνευμα [των α (?)] εν[ω]πιου του θρονου αυ
  - 5. [τ]ου και απο Ιη Χρ ο μαρτυς ο πι στος ο πρωτοτοκος των νεκρώ και ο αρχων των βασιλεων της γης τω αγαπωντι ημας και λυσαντι η [μ]as εκ των αμαρτιων ημων εν
  - 6. [τ]ω αιματι αυτου και εποι<u>ησ</u>εν ημ[ι βα]σ[ιλ]ειαν ιερεις του<sup>1</sup> θυω<sup>2</sup> και π[α]τρι [αυτο]υ<sup>.</sup> αυτω το κρατος και η δοξα
  - 7. [εις το]υς αιωνας αμην ίδου [ερχε]ται μετα των νεφελων [και οψε]ται αυτον πας οφθαλ [μος και ο]ιτινες αυτον εξε

<sup>1</sup> Correction in first hand.

<sup>a</sup> Result of correction in first hand. Sic.

Fragment II.  $(=F^2)$ .

P. Oxy. viii. 1080.

(4th Cent. Plate 1. Leaf from vellum Codex (ed. Hunt, 1911).)

λγ

λδ

ταυτα ίδον και ίδου θυ

τω ουρανω και η<sup>2</sup> φω<sup>2</sup>

νη η πρωτη ην ηκουσα

ως σαλπιγγος λαλουσης μετ' εμου λεγων αναβα ωδε και δειξω σοι α δει

[γε]νεσθα[ι μ]ετα ταυτα 2. κ]άι <sup>2</sup> ευθεως εγ[ε]νομην εν

[τω] πνι κα[ι] ιδου [θ]ρο

VOS EKELTO EV TW OUTW και επι το[ν] θρονον κα

ρα ανεωγμενη εν 1

iii. 19. ω ζηλέτει 1 ουν και μετα 20. νοησον ίδου εστηκα επι την θυραν και 🐴 εισελευσο μαι προς αυτον και δει

- πνησω μετ αυτου και αυ 21. τος μετ' εμου ο νεικων δωσω αυτω καθεισαι μετ εμου ε[ν] τω θρον[ω μ]ου 8 ws גמץש דרדרנגאין 1 a και κεκαθικα<sup>1</sup> μετα τ[0]γ πρς μου εν τω θρονω
- 22. αυτου ο εχων ους ακου σατ]ω τι το πνα λεγει

ίν. Ι. ταις εκκλησιαις μετα

3. θημενον και ο καθ[η «πι τον θρον ον 8 heros onoios obacet ] κρουω ε[a]ν τ[ιs] ακου[ση της

φων ης μου και ανοιξη την θυραν και 2

<sup>1</sup> Correction by second hand.

<sup>3</sup> The two missing lines are added by first hand at bottom of column, beginning ] κρουω κτλ. \* Added by second hand.

<sup>1</sup> Second hand. First hand wrote θυραν ανεωγμενην (?).

<sup>3</sup> Written by second hand.

\* This line is added by second hand (sic) between the lines.

FRAGMENT III.  $(=F^8)$ .

P. Oxy. x. 1230.

(Early 4th Cent. (ed. Grenfell and Hunt, 1914).)

Recto.

| v. 5. | ι]δου εν[ικησεν   |  |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|--|
|       | Δαυ] ειδ' αν[οιξαι  |  |  |  |
| 6.    | αυτ]ου κ[α]ι ειδον εν[  |  |  |  |
|       | ζωω]ν και εν μεσω των πρ[εσβυτερων  |  |  |  |
|       | ω]ς εσφαγμενον εχων κερ[ατα   |  |  |  |
|       | τα ζ πνα του θυ απεσπαλ 1   |  |  |  |
| 7.    | ηλ θεν και ειληφεν εκ της δ[εξιας   |  |  |  |
| 8.    | οτ]ε ελα[βεν  |  |  |  |
|       | <sup>1</sup> A slip of the scribe for $a\pi\epsilon\sigma\tau a\lambda$ . |  |  |  |

#### Verso.

| ] καθημ[ενος   |
|--|
| κ]αι ηκουσ[α   |
| χοι γιέ σιτου δηναριου και                                     |
| δην]αριου και το ελεον και το [<br>] οτε ηνεωξεν την σφραγ[ιδα |
| ηκο]υσα φωνην του τεταρτ[ου                                    |
| ]¢ιδ[ον  |
|  |

FRAGMENT IV.  $(=F^4)$ .

P. Oxy. vi. 848.

### (5th Cent. Plate 1. Fragment of papyrus Codex (ed. Grenfell and Hunt, 1908).)

Recto.

Verso.

| xvi. | 17.                                 | [του ναο]υ απο του<br>θρονου λεγουσα | <b>xv</b> i. 19. | λη εμνη[σθη ενω<br>πιον του θυ' δου |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
|      | 18.                                 | γεγονεν και εγε                      |                  | ναι αυτή το ποτη                    |
|      | νοντο αστραπαι<br>και φωναι και βρō |                                      |                  | ριον του οινου<br>του θυμου της     |
|      |                                     | ται·κ[αι σ[εισμος εγ[ε               |                  | [0]рууѕ ант[0]и каг                 |

## NOTES ON THE ABOVE FRAGMENTS

F¹.

i. 4-7. This fragment agrees word for word with A where it exists. It is true that it differs in the reading supplied by Professor Hunt in i. 4: *i.e.*  $[\tau\omega\nu \ a] \quad w[\omega]\pi\omega\nu$ . There is not room apparently for  $[\tau\omega\nu \ \tau\omega\nu] \quad w[\omega]\pi\omega\nu$  as in AN. The fragment agrees throughout with C save that with A 025 it omits  $\tau\omega\nu$ auwow in i. 6, and perhaps rightly. It agrees generally with N save in two passages where N in i. 6 reads  $\tau$ .  $au\omega\nu$ .  $\tau\omega\nu$  auwow and in i. 7 outport. It disagrees with 025 five times (1<sup>4</sup> a eorur, 1<sup>5</sup> ayampoart,  $\lambda our our \tau$ ,  $a\pi o$  (for  $\epsilon\kappa$ ), 1<sup>6</sup>  $\beta a or \lambda \epsilon is \kappa ai i \epsilon \rho \epsilon is) and$  $with 046 four times (1<sup>4</sup> a <math>\pi o \ \theta \epsilon ov \ o \ \omega\nu$ , 1<sup>5</sup>  $\lambda our our \tau$ ,  $a\pi o$ , 1<sup>6</sup>  $\pi outpourt$ ).

Thus this fragment, so far as it exists, attests the text of A(C) as already existing in its present form at the close of the 3rd cent. or early in the 4th. The transposition  $\tau \sigma$  kparos kai  $\eta$  doga in i. 6 is peculiar to this fragment.

VOL. 11.-29

iii. 19-iv. 2. First of all A and F<sup>2</sup> (as well  $F^{2**} =$  second hand in F<sup>2</sup>) stand apart. A has two peculiar readings in 4<sup>1</sup> ava $\beta\eta\theta\iota$ and ora. Next A >  $\kappa\alpha\iota$  before  $\epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  in 3<sup>20</sup>. Finally,  $F^{2**}$  reads  $\zeta\eta\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\nu$  in 3<sup>19</sup>, ave $\omega\gamma\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$  in 4<sup>1</sup>, and  $\kappa\alpha\iota\epsilon\upsilon\theta\epsilon\omega s$  in 4<sup>2</sup>—all against A. Thus  $F^{2**}$  multiplies by 75 per cent. the differences between A and F<sup>2</sup> (if we leave  $a\nu\alpha\beta\eta\theta\iota$  and ora out of consideration). F<sup>2</sup> reads  $\zeta\eta\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon$  in 3<sup>19</sup> as A.

**N.**  $F^2$  is more closely related to **N** than to any other uncial. Thus **N** reads kau before  $\epsilon_{10}\epsilon_{10}\sigma_{10}\mu_{10}$  in  $3^{20}$  and adds  $\iota_{00}$  after kau<sup>2</sup> in  $4^1$ . It reads  $\langle \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$  in  $3^{10}$  with  $F^{2^{m}}$ . The kau (a Hebraism) in  $3^{20}$  is most probably original, but the other two are wrong.

025. This uncial >  $\kappa a\iota$  before  $\epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \sigma \sigma \mu a\iota$  against  $F^{2}$  and reads  $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$  3<sup>19</sup> and  $\kappa a\iota \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \omega s$  with  $F^{2**}$ .

046. This uncial reads  $\langle \gamma \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon 3^{19}$  and  $\kappa a \epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda$ . in  $3^{20}$  with  $F^2$ —both right,  $a \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma$  in  $4^1$  with  $F^{2^{**}}$ , and does not insert  $\kappa a \iota$  before  $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \omega s$  in  $4^2$  as  $F^{2^{**}}$  does.

From the above it follows that  $F^2$  and  $F^{2**}$  agree much more closely with  $\aleph$  than with any other uncial, but have affinities with  $o_{25}$  and  $o_{26}$ .  $o_{46}$  attests a better text here than  $\aleph$  or  $o_{25}$ .

#### F<sup>8</sup>.

v. 5-8, vi. 5-8.  $F^3$  agrees in 5<sup>5</sup> with AN 025 in reading avortea, where 046 reads o avorywv; in 5<sup>6</sup> with N 025. 046 in reading  $\epsilon \nu \ \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ , where A reads  $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$  and always elsewhere with C; in 5<sup>6</sup> with AN 046 in reading  $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu$ , where 025 reads  $\epsilon \chi \sigma \nu$  (a correction), and 6<sup>7</sup> with AN in reading  $\phi \omega r \eta \nu$  which C 025. 046 omit. Thus F<sup>8</sup> agrees so far as it goes with AN.

#### F4.

xvi. 17-18, 19. This fragment agrees word for word with A. Since 025 is defective here, we have only to consider the relation of F<sup>4</sup> to N and 046. While F<sup>4</sup> supports A in the right reading in 16<sup>18</sup> aστραπαι και φωναι και βρονται, N\* reads βρονται κ. αστρ. κ. φων. κ. βρονται, N° βρ. κ. αστρ. κ. φων, and 046 αστρ. κ. φων.

F<sup>4</sup> agrees with AN in 16<sup>17</sup> against 046, which adds rov ouparou after raou, and with A 046 in reading ano rov  $\theta porou$  against rov beou of N. Again, in 16<sup>19</sup> F<sup>4</sup> agrees with A 046 in reading bourau against rov bourau of N, ro normpuor and rov ourou against N, which omits the article in both cases, and opyns aurou against N, which omits the avrou. Thus 046 is right five times with A against N. This fragment is interesting. Like  $F^1$ ,  $F^4$  agrees word for word with A. But whereas  $F^1$  gives considerable support to  $\aleph$ ,  $F^4$  is with one exception against it. Next, whereas  $F^8$  gives equal support to A and  $\aleph$ ,  $F^2$  supports  $\aleph$  more often than any other uncial.

The above fragments prove, so far as they go, the absolute pre-eminence of A. They furnish evidence for the early uncial character of certain deviations of o25 and for the antiquity of one or more false readings of o46. Next as regards N, we see that, whereas it has considerable support from F<sup>1</sup> and the full support of F<sup>8</sup>, it is far inferior to o46 in F<sup>2</sup> F<sup>4</sup>. Unfortunately o25 is defective for F<sup>4</sup>. From this comparison o25 emerges with a bad record. Hence, if on the exiguous evidence of these fragments we arranged the uncials in the order of merit, we should have A, C, o46. o25. All the evidence given in the Introduction, which in the main is limited to the fragments of fl and the corresponding sections in the other Versions and MSS, uphold the following order: A, C, o25, N, o46. An exhaustive examination of the MSS and Versions might place N before o25, but could not affect the primacy of A.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON 1311b.

THE text of this line in vol. ii. 317 should be restored as follows: είχεν κέρατα δύο δμοια  $< \tau \hat{\omega} > d \rho v l \omega$ . The translation accordingly in vol. ii. 420 should be: "And he had two horns like the lamb." I The term "lamb" is here a symbol for the Messiah as elsewhere in our author, and earlier in Judaism, as we shall see presently. There would be no sense in saying "he had two horns like a lamb"; for some lambs have horns and some have not. The whole point of 13<sup>11b</sup> consists in this, that the second Beast or Antichrist is portraved as a Satanic counterpart of Christ, just as in 13<sup>8</sup> the first Beast or Antichrist is also represented as a Satanic counterpart of Christ; for he is described as iopaquirm is bararor.

But (as I have shown in vol. i. 340-44) chapter 13 is derived from Jewish sources, and 13<sup>11-18</sup> cannot be understood apart from Jewish apocalyptic. Now, whereas in our author the Lamb is described as having "seven horns,"<sup>2</sup> i.e. as a being of transcendent power, a Christian development of a Jewish conception, in this Jewish source the Messiah is symbolized by "a lamb with two horns," which was definitely a Jewish conception. The explanation of this latter phrase is to be found in I Enoch and the Test. XII Patriarchs. In I Enoch 90° the Maccabean leaders are described as "horned lambs" as distinct from the rest of the religious Tews who are described as "lambs." In the pages referred to in the note<sup>1</sup> below, I have already shown how certain religious and military leaders of Israel were so symbolized in I Enoch 85-99. In the Test. Joseph 198 Jonathan the Maccabee, who is obviously regarded by the writer of that work as the Messiah, is symbolized by the term auvos. That for the corrupt "word" in I Enoch 9088 we must read "lamb," where the Messiah is referred to, I have shown in my second edition of that book. But I helewith abandon Goldschmidt's emendation of the text which to be a corruption of מלה, and which I then accepted, and also the hypothesis that 83-90 was originally written in I now regard 83-90 as derived from an Aramaic Hebrew. original,<sup>3</sup> and explain the meaningless term "word" in 90<sup>38</sup> as a rendering of אימר which was a corruption of אמר = "lamb." There the Messiah is symbolized as a lamb of which it is said that it became great and horned : that is, it had two horns, since this is the natural number.

<sup>1</sup> Hence read by the translator as קשה, not as אישה. See vol. i. p. cxiii sq.

In my second edution, p. lxix, I left the question of the original language of 83-90 open, though inclining to the view that it was Hebrew. But like 6-36 and Daniel originally it was most probably written first in Aramaic. Later in the 2nd cent. B.C. such books were written in Hebrew.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON THE LATIN VERSIONS.

## FRAGMENTS OF A LATIN VERSION OF THE APOCALYPSE PRE-SERVED IN THE SPECULUM (DESIGNATED M) AND FOUND IN ITS ENTIRETY IN THE CODEX GIGAS.

THESE fragments were first published by Mai in his Spicilegium Romanum, 1843, ix. 72-74, and are reprinted here according to Weihrich's edition (Liber de divinis scripturis sive speculum, Vienna, 1887). They do not represent any particular manuscript, but consist of a collection of proof passages from the O. or N.T. It is assigned to the vi-vii century. That m represents the same version as gig, a few examples will make clear.

From this comparison of versions, it will be seen that gig m represent one translation from the Greek and Cyp (*i.e.* Cyprian) Pr another. Occasionally I will append the readings of Tyc and vg. Unhappily fl is defective in the passages where m is preserved.


2<sup>28</sup> qui scrutor (scruto m : scrutans Tyc vg) renes et corda, gig m Tyc vg : scrutator renis et cordis, Cyp Pr.

- 3<sup>15</sup> Neque calidus . . . aut calidus gig m vg: neque fervens . . . aut fervens Pr Tyc(?).
- 3<sup>17</sup> miserabilis et mendicus et nudus et caecus gig m : miser et pauper et caecus et nudus Cyp Tyc vg (> Pr).
- 3<sup>18</sup> vestimenta . . . induaris gig m : vestiaris veste (~ Pr) Cyp Pr.

confusio nuditatis gig m Tyc vg : foeditas nuditatis Cyp Pr. 14<sup>10</sup> in igne gig m : igne Cyp Pr Tyc vg.

- 18<sup>4</sup> et (> Tyc) ne (ut non gig) communicetis peccatis ejus, et de (> Tyc) plagis ejus (+ ut m) ne accipiatis (laedamini Tyc) gig m Tyc=ne particeps sis delictorum ejus et ne perstringaris plagis ejus Cyp Pr.
- 18<sup>6</sup> calicem quem (calice quo m Tyc) miscuit . . . miscite illi (ei m) duplum gig m Tyc : in quo poculo miscuit duplum remixtum est ei Cyp Pr Arm<sup>2</sup>.

From the above, out of many like instances, it may be concluded that Cyp Pr and gig m are two independent translations of one and the same MS or possibly of two Greek MSS, which were generally in the closest agreement. The example under  $18^6$  exhibits a divergence, which may represent a divergence in the Greek MSS. There are a few divergences between gig and m, which may be due to the influence of some other Latin version. Thus we have ditatus in  $3^{17}$  in gig Cyp and locupletatus in m (and Tyc vg). Here Pr is defective. We might *provisionally* represent the relations of the Latin versions as follows :----



Speculum (vi-vii cent.).

- s<sup>1</sup> Et angelo Ephesi ecclesiae scribe.
- 2<sup>23</sup> Et scient omnes ecclesiae quia ego sum qui scruto renes et corda.
- 3<sup>14</sup> Et angelo Laodiciae ecclesiae scribe : haec dicit ille amen, testis fidelis et verax, principium creaturae Dei. <sup>15</sup> Novi opera tua, quia neque frigidus neque calidus es. Utinam frigidus esses aut calidus ! <sup>16</sup> Sed quoniam tepidus, evomam te ex ore meo. <sup>17</sup> Quia dicis: dives sum, et locupletatus sum, et nihil opus est mihi; et nescis quia tu es miser et miserabilis et mendicus et nudus et caecus. <sup>18</sup> Suadeo tibi a me emere aurum igne probatum, ut dives sis et locupleteris; et vestimenta mea alba, ut induaris, ut non appareat confusio nuditatis tuae. Et collurio inungue oculos tuos, ut videas. <sup>19</sup> Ego quoscumque amo, arguo et castigo. Aemulare igitur et age paenitentiam. <sup>20</sup> Ecce sto ad januam et pulso. Si quis audierit vocem meam, et aperuerit januam, introibo ad illum, et caenabo cum illo et ille <sup>21</sup> Qui vincit, dabo illi sedere mecum in sede mecum. mea, quomodo et ego vici, et sedeo cum patre meo in sede ipsius.
- 14<sup>8</sup> Cecidit, cecidit Babylon magna. De vino fornicationis ejus biberunt omnes gentes. <sup>9</sup> Si quis adorat bestiam et imaginem ejus, et accipit character in fronte sua aut in manu sua dextra, <sup>10</sup> et hic bibet de indignatione Dei, quae mixta est mera in calice irae ejus, et cruciabitur in igne et sulfore in conspectu angelorum et agni. <sup>11</sup> Et fumus et cruciatus eorum in saecula saeculorum ascendit. Et non habent requiem die ac nocte qui adorant bestiam et imaginem ejus, et qui accepit character nominis illius. <sup>18</sup> Et audivi vocem de caelo dicentem mihi: scribe, beati mortui qui in Domino

moriuntur amodo. Etiam dicit spiritus, ut requiescant a laboribus suis : opera enim eorum sequuntur eos.

- 17<sup>15</sup> Aquae quas vidisti ubi meretrix sedet, populi et turbae et gentes et linguae sunt.
- 18<sup>4</sup> Et audivi aliam vocem de caelo dicentem : exite de ea populus meus, et ne conmunicetis peccatis ejus, et de plagis ejus ut ne<sup>1</sup> accipiatis. <sup>5</sup> Quia adpropinquaverunt peccata ejus usque ad caelum, et memoratus est Deus iniquitates ejus. <sup>6</sup> Reddite ei sicut et ipsa reddidit, et duplicate duplicia secundum opera ejus. In calice quo miscuit vobis, miscite ei duplum. <sup>7</sup> Et quantum magnificavit se, et luxoriata est, tantum date ei tormentum et luctum. Quia in corde suo dicit, sedeo regina, et luctum meum non videbo. <sup>8</sup> Propterea una hora veniet plaga ejus, mors et famis et luctus, et igne cremabitur. Quoniam fortis est dominus Deus qui judicat eam.
- 20<sup>12</sup> Et vidi mortuos pusillos et magnos stantes in conspectu sedis. Et libri aperti sunt, et alius liber apertus est qui est vitae. Et judicati sunt mortui secundum ea quae scripta sunt in libris, et secundum opera sua.
  <sup>13</sup> Et dedit mare mortuos qui fuerunt in ipso, et mors et infernus dederunt mortuos qui fuerunt in ipsis. Et judicati sunt singuli secundum facta sua.
- 21<sup>8</sup> Dubiis autem et infidelibus et abominandis et homicidis et adulteris et maleficis et idolis servientibus et mendacibus, pars illorum in stagno ignis ardentis et sulfore, quod est mors secunda.
- 22<sup>15</sup> Foras canes, et malifici, et adulteri, et idolis servientes.
  <sup>18</sup> Testor ego omni audienti verba prophetiae libri hujus. Si quis adjecerit supra haec, imponet Deus super eum plagas quae scriptae sunt in hoc libro.
  <sup>19</sup> Et si quis abstulerit verba prophetiae libri hujus, auferet Deus partem ejus de ligno vitae et de civitate sancta.

<sup>1</sup> Ut ne (= $tra \mu \eta$ ). So Weihrich emends et <sup>20</sup> in Codex Sangallensis. MVLC om.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON THE MILLENNIAL KINGDOM.

THE peculiar form that the Millennial Kingdom assumes in the Apocalypse is due to the results which, according to the Seer, would arise out of the conflicting claims of the Empire and the Christian Faith.

The main question at issue between them, a question which included all minor issues within it, originated in the demand that all loyal citizens of the Roman Empire should offer Divine worship to the Emperor. This claim to Divine honours was adopted by Rome with the object of unifying and consolidating all the diverse elements of the Roman Empire into a single whole. As Rome had already united all the civilized regions of the world in one universal commonwealth, so now it aimed at strengthening this bond of common citizenship by the still stronger tie of a common and universal religion, the one essential element of which was the worship of the Roman Emperor. Such a worship, of course, no Christian could render. Hence a collision of these two forces became inevitable, and in due course Rome proposed to itself definitely the task of exterminating Christianity on the ground that it was a Society guilty of high treason to the State. Thus there arose a This came about first under Domitian. conflict of two loyalties, loyalty to God and Christ on the one hand and loyalty to Caesar on the other, and our author was the first to set forth in all its seriousness the transcendent issues at stake, and to teach his brethren that to yield in any degree to such demands of the State was to be guilty of apostasy to God and the Christ who had redeemed them.

Under the conflict of his day the prophet clearly discerned the eternal issues at stake, and in this conflict he taught that no faithful follower of Christ would escape: in other words, *he foretold a universal martyrdom*. Herein our author may have found a fulfilment of the mysterious saying of our Lord: "When the Son of Man cometh, shall He find faith on the earth?"

This forecast of our author, however, was no more realized than numbers of the detailed prophecies of the O.T. But, though this element in his prophecy failed to be fulfilled, the larger spiritual truth embodied in his prophecy—that the Kingdom of this world should become the Kingdom of the Lord and of His Christ—is true for all time and all like crises in human affairs. While the human element falls away the divine remains.

But the Seer could not stop short with forecasting a universal martyrdom. For this forecast of a universal martyrdom naturally led to a recasting of the traditional expectation of the Millennial Kingdom. If the world was to be evangelized afresh, this evangelization could not be effected save through supernatural intervention, seeing that all the faithful were to be martyred before the advent of the Kingdom. Hence our Seer expected Christ to return on His Second Advent with all the blessed martyrs to destroy the enemies of the Kingdom  $(17^{14}$  $19^{11-90})$  and to found the Millennial Kingdom in the Jerusalem that should come down from heaven, and so to evangelize the world afresh  $(21^9-22^{2\cdot 14-15\cdot 17} 20^{4\cdot6})$ .

But since John's expectation of a universal martyrdom in the immediate future was not realized, his expectation that the earth would be evangelized by Christ and the blessed martyrs from heaven, cannot be regarded as an essential element of the teaching of the N.T., seeing that the former expectation which gave it birth never itself came into being. The need for this supernatural method of Christianizing the world has not arisen. There has been no universal martyrdom of the Church. Hence since the faithful survive, Christ has committed into their hands the complete evangelization of the world.

But while the peculiar form of this expectation must be relegated to the region of unfulfilled prophecy, the truth at the base of this expectation is not thereby affected. And this truth is that ultimately the righteous shall inherit the earth. The entire Apocalypse is indeed in one respect an expansion of the two opening beatitudes of the Sermon on the Mount:<sup>1</sup> the first of these is, "Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the Kingdom of heaven" (Matt. 5<sup>8</sup>). The essential element of this beatitude is conveyed in many of the Seer's words, but especially in

iii. 20. Behold I stand at the door and knock : If any man hear my voice and open the door, I will come in to him, and will sup with him, And he with me."

As for the second beatitude—"Blessed are the meek: for they *shall inherit* the earth" (Matt.  $5^5$ )—the assurance of the truth of this beatitude shows itself in every song of the Apocalypse, whether sung by angels or saints, and this assurance gathers strength as the divine drama moves swiftly onwards, till at last in the closing millennium of the world's history the Kingdom of this world has become the Kingdom of the Lord and of His Christ.

The optimism of the man who believes in God and lives unto Him cannot be other than indomitable and unexpugnable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The so-called second beatitude, "Blessed are they that mourn: for they shall be comforted," is an intrusion in Matthew's text. V.<sup> $\bullet$ </sup> should follow immediately on v.<sup>3</sup>.

## INDEX.

\_\_\_\_

-

## I. INDEX OF GREEK WORDS,

- GIVING REFERENCES TO GREEK TEXT (VOL. II. PP. 236-385), TO INTRODUCTION (VOL. I. PP. XXI-CLXXXVII), TO COMMENTARY (VOL. I. PP. I-373 AND VOL. II. PP. I-226), AND TO ENGLISH TRANSLATION (VOL. II. PP. 386-446), AND APPENDICES (PP. 447-457).
- Order of verses in Greek Text according to which references are given in this Index: 1<sup>1</sup>-3<sup>2ab</sup> 16<sup>1b</sup> 3<sup>2c</sup>-7<sup>5ab</sup>. 7. 8. 5. 6. 9. 21. 8-5. 2. 6. 13 [7-15] 0<sup>1</sup>-13<sup>2a</sup>. 6. 59-18 14<sup>19-13</sup>. 1-44 [2e-45] • 4c-11. 14. [16-17]. 18-20 [5 [1]. 3-16<sup>4</sup> [3e]. 8-14. 16\_17]. 17. 18. 14[15]. 18 13<sup>1-11a</sup> [226]. 110-18. 18-18. 11. 18-26. 20cd. 20cd.

\* See restored Text, vol. ii. 488, B. L.

| <sup>1</sup> $A\beta a \delta \delta d \sigma$ , $g^{11}$ ; i. 245, 246.<br>$d\beta v \sigma \sigma \sigma_5$ , $g^{1. \pm 11}$ 11 <sup>7</sup> 17 <sup>8</sup> 20 <sup>1. 3</sup> ; i.<br>239-242.<br>$d\gamma a \Lambda \lambda i d \omega$ , 19 <sup>7</sup> ; lxxvi; ii. 126.<br>$d\gamma a \pi d \omega$ , 1 <sup>8</sup> 3 <sup>9</sup> 12 <sup>11</sup> 20 <sup>9</sup> ; xxix.<br>$d\gamma 4 \pi \eta$ , 2 <sup>4. 13</sup> ; xxix.<br>$d\gamma 4 \pi \eta$ , 2 <sup>4. 13</sup> ; xxix.<br>$d\gamma 4 \pi \eta$ , 2 <sup>4. 13</sup> ; 2. 5. 18. 18 3.1. 5. 7. 16 5 <sup>4</sup> . 11<br>$\gamma$ 1. 5. 11 35. 4. 5. 4. 18 [36. 16. 17] 9 <sup>3</sup> . 11.<br>15. 16. 15 10 <sup>1</sup> . 5. 7. 8. 10 11 <sup>15</sup> 12 <sup>7</sup> . 9  | $\begin{cases} d \tilde{c} \omega, 5^{0} & 14^{8} & 15^{9}. \\ der \delta_{7}, 4^{7} & 8^{13} & 12^{14}. \\ d \dot{\eta}_{9}, 9^{3} & 16^{17}. \\ A f \gamma v r r or, 11^{9}. \\ a \tilde{c} \mu a, 1^{9} & 5^{0} & 6^{10}. 1^{3} & 7^{14} & [8^{7} \cdot ^{8}] & 11^{6} & 12^{11} \\ 14^{30} & 16^{3} \cdot ^{4} & 17^{6} & 18^{34} & 19^{3} & 16^{6} & 19^{13}; \\ 12 & 3. \\ a \tilde{c} h \omega, 19^{5}; & ii. 124. \\ a \tilde{c} h \omega, 10^{5} & 18^{21}; & i. 262. \end{cases}$   |
|---|---|
| 14 <sup>6, 8, 8, 10</sup> [14 <sup>18, 17</sup> ] 14 <sup>10</sup> [14 <sup>19</sup> ] 15 <sup>1</sup> .<br>6, 7, 8, 16 <sup>1</sup> [16 <sup>16</sup> ] 17 <sup>1</sup> , 7, 18 <sup>1</sup> , 21 19 <sup>17</sup><br>20 <sup>1</sup> 21 <sup>9, 12, 17</sup> 22 <sup>6</sup> , 48 <sup>5</sup> ; i, 34, 25 <sup>1</sup> 18 <sup>1</sup> .<br>[ $\frac{1}{2}$ y ds, 22 <sup>11</sup> ]; ii. 222.<br>$\frac{1}{2}$ y us, 3 <sup>7</sup> 4 <sup>8</sup> [5 <sup>0</sup> ] 6 <sup>10</sup> 8 <sup>3</sup> , 4 11 <sup>2</sup> , 18 13 <sup>7, 10</sup><br>14 <sup>13</sup> 17 <sup>4</sup> 18 <sup>30</sup> , 34 16 <sup>6</sup> [19 <sup>5</sup> ] 21 <sup>10</sup> 20 <sup>6</sup> , 9<br>21 <sup>3</sup> [22 <sup>10</sup> 22 <sup>11</sup> ] 22 <sup>31</sup> ; i. 85, 145; ii.<br>66, 186, 226.<br>$\frac{1}{2}$ y opd w, 3 <sup>10</sup> 5 <sup>9</sup> 13 <sup>17</sup> [14 <sup>3</sup> ] 14 <sup>4</sup> 18 <sup>11</sup> ; i. | aloχίση, 3 <sup>10</sup> ; i. 98.<br>aloχίση, 3 <sup>10</sup> ; i. 98.<br>aloχμαλωσία, 13 <sup>10</sup> ; i.xii.<br>alow, 1 <sup>6</sup> . <sup>18</sup> 4 <sup>9</sup> . <sup>10</sup> 5 <sup>18</sup> 7 <sup>12</sup> 10 <sup>6</sup> 11 <sup>18</sup><br>14 <sup>11</sup> 15 <sup>8</sup> (var.) 15 <sup>7</sup> 19 <sup>8</sup> 20 <sup>10</sup> 22 <sup>8</sup> ;<br>i. 3.<br>alówios, 14 <sup>6</sup> ; ii. 12.<br>dx dθ apros, 16 <sup>13</sup> 17 <sup>4</sup> 18 <sup>3</sup> .<br>dxμάζω, 14 <sup>18</sup> .<br>dx oλouθέω, [6 <sup>6</sup> ] 14 <sup>13</sup> 14 <sup>4</sup> <sup>6.9</sup> 19 <sup>16</sup> ; į. |
| 147; ii. 7, 8.<br>$d\delta e_i \phi \phi \sigma_i$ , 1° 6 <sup>11</sup> 12 <sup>10</sup> [19 <sup>10</sup> ] 22 <sup>9</sup> ; i. 21,<br>302, 326-328.<br>$d\delta \eta_s$ , 1 <sup>13</sup> [6 <sup>3</sup> ] 20 <sup>18.</sup> 14; i. 32, 169, 170;<br>ii. 195-199.<br>$d\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \omega_i$ , 2 <sup>11</sup> 6 <sup>6</sup> 7 <sup>9.8</sup> 9 <sup>4. 10. 19</sup> 11 <sup>8</sup> [22 <sup>11</sup> ];<br>i. 38, 59, 191; ii. 222.<br>$d\delta \iota \kappa \mu u_a$ , 18 <sup>8</sup> .   | 370.<br>$dx o'\omega$ , I <sup>A</sup> . 10 27. 11. 17. 30 380. 6. 13. 30. 30<br>$4^{1}$ 511. 13 61. 3. 6. 4. 7 $-6$ 812 913. 14. 30<br>$10^{4.6}$ 11. 15 12. 10 13 <sup>9</sup> 13 <sup>10</sup> 14 <sup>23</sup> 14 <sup>3</sup> 16 <sup>1</sup><br>[16 <sup>Ae</sup> ] 18 <sup>4. 32. 30</sup> 19 <sup>1</sup> 16 <sup>7</sup> 19 <sup>6</sup> 22 <sup>17</sup> 21 <sup>8</sup><br>22 <sup>16a. 8</sup> ; xxxi; uses of, cxl; ii. 41.<br>$dx\rho aros, 14^{10}$ ; lxxiii; ii. 16, 17.<br>$dx\rho k^{7}$ .  |

άληθινός, 3<sup>7. 14</sup> 6<sup>10</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 19<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>7</sup> [19<sup>8</sup>] 19<sup>11</sup> 21<sup>5c</sup> 22<sup>6</sup>; xxxi, lxxxviii, cx; i. dπoκτείνω, 2<sup>13. 35</sup> [6<sup>8</sup>] 6<sup>11</sup> 9<sup>5. 15. 19. 30</sup> [11<sup>5</sup>] 11<sup>7. 13</sup> 13<sup>10. 15</sup> 19<sup>31</sup>; i. 62, 85, 86. 222 #. dλλd, 24 6. 9 (bls). 14. 20 34. 9 9<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>7. 9</sup> 17<sup>13</sup> 20<sup>8</sup>; xxx, cxxxiv, i. <u>38</u>. άποκτανθήναι-Hebraic use of Infinitive, i. 355-357. See also τοῦ. ἀπόλλυμι, 18<sup>14</sup>. 'Αλληλουιά, 191. 2. 4.6; ii. 119. άλλήλων, 64 11<sup>10</sup>. άλλος, 2<sup>34</sup> 6<sup>4</sup> 7<sup>3</sup> 8<sup>34</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 12<sup>3</sup> 13<sup>11</sup> 14<sup>6.8.9</sup> ['Aπολλύων, 9<sup>11</sup>]; lii, lvii; i. 246, 247. άποστέλλω, 1<sup>1</sup> 5<sup>6</sup> 22<sup>6</sup>; i. 6-7, 142; ii. [14<sup>15, 17</sup>] 14<sup>18</sup> [15<sup>1</sup>] 17<sup>10</sup> 18<sup>1, 4</sup> 20<sup>12</sup>; 219. άπόστολος, 2<sup>2</sup> 18<sup>20</sup> 21<sup>14</sup>. clviii ; i. 43, 238 ; ii. 12, 21, 22, 23. άποφέρω, 17<sup>8</sup> 21<sup>10</sup>. άλυσις, 201; it. 141. "Δλφα, [1<sup>8</sup>] 21<sup>60</sup> 22<sup>13</sup>; i. 20. άποχωρίζω, 6<sup>14</sup>: i. 181. àμαρτία, 1<sup>5</sup> 184. <sup>6</sup>. άπώλεια, 17<sup>8. 11</sup>. <sup>\*</sup>Αρ, 16<sup>16</sup>; ii. 50. See Μαγεδώτ. άμέθυστος, 21<sup>20</sup>. άμή», 1<sup>6, 7</sup> 3<sup>14</sup> 5<sup>14</sup> 7<sup>12</sup> 19<sup>4</sup> 22<sup>20, 21</sup>; i. Lpyupos, 1812 19, 94, 151, 152. άργυροῦς, 9<sup>90</sup>. aµµos, 1218 208 αριθμέω, 7°. dpiθμós, 511 74 918 1317. 18 152 208 : i. άμπελος, 14<sup>18.19</sup> äuwuor, 1813; ii. 104. 364-368. άμωμος, 14<sup>5</sup>; ii. 10. άρκοs, 13<sup>1</sup> dr, 225 144; cxxxiv; ii. 10. ắρμα, 9<sup>9</sup>. drá, prep., 4<sup>8</sup> 7<sup>17</sup>; adverb, 21<sup>21</sup>; exxviu; ii. 170. Αρ Μαγεδών, 16<sup>18</sup>. Ap int yeour, 10 . dps/cop.at, 2<sup>15</sup> 3<sup>8</sup>. dps/cop, 5<sup>6.5</sup> 12.18 6<sup>1</sup> 16 7<sup>8</sup> 10.14 17 12<sup>11</sup> 13<sup>8</sup> 11 14<sup>1.4</sup> [14<sup>4</sup>] 14<sup>10</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 17<sup>14</sup> 19<sup>7.9</sup> 21<sup>9.14</sup> 22.<sup>15</sup> 3<sup>7</sup> 22<sup>1.8</sup>; xxx, lxvi, lxx, άναβαίνω, 4<sup>1</sup> 7<sup>2</sup> 8<sup>4</sup> 9<sup>2</sup> 11<sup>7, 12</sup> 13<sup>1, 11</sup> 14<sup>11</sup> 17<sup>8</sup> 19<sup>3</sup> 20<sup>9</sup>; ii. 189, 190. draγινώσκω, 1<sup>3</sup>; i. 7, 8. άνάπαυσις, 4<sup>8</sup> 14<sup>11</sup>. ἀναπαύω, 6<sup>11</sup> 14<sup>18</sup>; i. 177. ἀνάστασις, 20<sup>5.6</sup>; ii. 184-185. cxiii; i. 135, 140, 141, 152, 153, 353. apra (w. 125 ; i. 321. άνατολή, 7<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>13</sup> 21<sup>13</sup>. άνεμος, 6<sup>13</sup> 7<sup>1</sup>. άρσην, 12<sup>5, 13</sup>; cxlii; i. 303, 320. άρτι, 12<sup>10</sup> 14<sup>18</sup>; cxxxv; i. 301. drήρ, 213. άρχαῖος, 12<sup>9</sup> 20<sup>2</sup>. άνθρωπος, 1<sup>13</sup> 4<sup>7</sup> [8<sup>11</sup>] 9<sup>4</sup> [9<sup>5</sup>] 9<sup>6</sup>. 7. 10. 15. 18. 20 1 1<sup>13</sup> 13<sup>13</sup>. 18 14<sup>4</sup>. 14  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\eta$ ,  $3^{14}$  21<sup>66</sup> 22<sup>13</sup>; lxxxv, cxi; i. 94; ii. 220. 14.14 162. 8. 9. 18. 21 1818 2117. 8. ἄρχων, 1<sup>5</sup>. drolyw, 3<sup>7.8. 20</sup> 4<sup>1</sup> 5<sup>2. 8. 4. 5. 9 6<sup>1.8.8.</sup> <sup>7.8.13</sup> 8<sup>1</sup> 9<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>2.8</sup> 11<sup>19</sup> 12<sup>16</sup> 13<sup>6</sup> 15<sup>5</sup></sup> **Δσήρ**, 7<sup>6</sup>. 'Aσία, 14; i. 9. άστήρ, 1<sup>16, 20</sup> 2<sup>1. 28</sup> 3<sup>1</sup> 6<sup>19</sup> [8<sup>10. 11. 12</sup>] 9<sup>1</sup> 19<sup>11</sup> 20<sup>12</sup>; cx1x, cxxvi; i. 136, 137. †'Artinas, 218; i. 62. 121.4 2216 ; IXXXIII. atios, 34 411 52. 4. 9. 19 166 : xxix. άστραπή, 4<sup>5</sup> 8<sup>5</sup> 11<sup>19</sup> 16<sup>18</sup>; lii, clix; i, άπ<sup>2</sup> άρτι, 14<sup>15</sup>; cxxxv. άπαρχή, 14<sup>4</sup>; ii. 2, 5-7, 422 *n*. άπέρχομαι, 9<sup>13</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> 11<sup>14</sup> 12<sup>17</sup> 16<sup>3</sup> 18<sup>14</sup> 116. άσχημοσύνη, 1615 (see 38). auλή, 113. 214 211; ii. 201. aύλητής, 1822. **άπ**ηλθα, cxviii ; i. 293. airos as emphatic pronoun, 3<sup>20</sup> 14<sup>10</sup> 1918 217, cf. 218; xxx, cxxii; other duioros, 218; ii. 216. dró, uses of, cxxvni, cxxix; i. 3, uses of, cxxii. 222 s., 254, 301; ii. 25, 42. 4x6 (36 times), 1<sup>4</sup> (4), <sup>5</sup> 3<sup>13</sup> 6<sup>16</sup> (bis) 7<sup>2</sup> 9<sup>6</sup> 1<sup>5</sup> 12<sup>6</sup> 14<sup>1</sup> 13<sup>6</sup> 14<sup>13</sup> [14<sup>3</sup>] 14<sup>4</sup> 30 16<sup>13</sup> 17.<sup>15</sup> 17<sup>6</sup> 18<sup>10</sup> 16 (bis), 1<sup>3</sup> (bis) 16<sup>15</sup> 18<sup>1</sup> 17<sup>6</sup> 18<sup>10</sup> 18<sup>1</sup> (bis) autou, autis, autur, cxxi, clvii; ii. 90, 98 (cf. oov, ii. 108), 207, 208. [døaipéw, 22<sup>19</sup>.] apeîs, cxviii ; i. 70. 195 2110. 13 (quater) 2011 213 [2219 (bis)]. ἀφίημι, 2<sup>4, 30</sup> ΙΙ<sup>9</sup>. άπὸ προσώπου, 12<sup>14</sup> ; i. 302, 330. άποδίδωμι, 18<sup>6</sup> 22<sup>2, 12</sup> ; lxxvii. άφίω, cxviii ; i. 289. άχρι, 2<sup>10. 36</sup> 12<sup>11</sup> 14<sup>20</sup> 18<sup>5</sup> ; xxxi, cxxix, άποθνήσκω, 3<sup>2</sup> [89. 11] 96 1418 163. CXXXV; i. 38, 74, 302; ii. 4, άποκάλυψις, 11; i. 4, 5. 141. άποκρίνομαι, 7<sup>18</sup>; i. 212. axpe (ind.), 1717.

460

άχρι (subj.), 7<sup>8</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 20<sup>8</sup> [20<sup>5α</sup>]. άχρι οῦ ἀν, 2<sup>18</sup>. [ Ayurdos, 811.] Baβuλών, 14<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>19</sup> 17<sup>5</sup> 18<sup>3. 10. 21</sup>; İxxxi, İxxxvi; ii. 14. Bálos, 22 ; lxxxiv ; i. 73. Baλadµ, 214. Baλáκ, 214  $\begin{array}{c} \beta d\lambda \lambda \omega, \ 2^{10} \ 14 \ 22 \ 24 \ 4^{10} \ 6^{13} \ 8^5 \ [8^{7.6}] \\ 12^{4.8} \ 8.^{10} \ 13. 15 \ 16 \ [14^{10}] \ 14^{19} \ 18^{19} \\ (var.) \ 18^{31} \ 19^{30} \ 20^{3.10.14.18}. \end{array}$ 1819 βάπτω, 19<sup>13</sup>; ii. 133.  $\beta d \rho o s, 2^{34}; i. 74.$  $\beta a \sigma a r i \zeta \omega, 9^5 I I^{10} I 2^3 I 4^{10} 20^{10}; i.$ 301, 317; ii. 3. βασανισμός, [9<sup>5</sup>] 14<sup>11</sup> 18<sup>7. 10. 15</sup>; i. 222; ii. 18. βασιλεία, 16.9 510 1115 1210 1610 1713 1717. 18; lxvii, lxxx, lxxxiv, clxvii; i. 16, 148, 326. βασιλεύε, 1<sup>5</sup> 6<sup>15</sup> 9<sup>11</sup> 10<sup>11</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>12</sup> 16<sup>14</sup> 17<sup>8</sup> 10. 12. 14. 19 18<sup>2</sup> 9 19<sup>16</sup> 18. 19 21<sup>24</sup>; i. 181, 269; ii. 69, 71, 72, 75. βασιλεύω, 5<sup>10</sup> 11<sup>15. 17</sup> 19<sup>6</sup> 20<sup>42. 6</sup> 22<sup>5</sup>; i. 294, 295 βασίλισσα, 187. βαστάζω, 2<sup>2. 8</sup> 17<sup>7</sup> ; xxxi1. [βάτραχος, 16<sup>18</sup>]; ii. 47. βδέλυγμα, 17<sup>4. 5</sup> 21<sup>37</sup>. βδελύσσομαι, 21<sup>8</sup>; ii. 216. Beria µelr, 78 βήρυλλος, 2120. βιβλαρίδιον, 10<sup>9. 9. 10</sup>; i. 260. βιβλίον, 1<sup>11</sup> 5<sup>1. 2. 2. 4. 5. 8. 9 6<sup>14</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 13<sup>8</sup></sup> 178 21 27 20 12 227. 18a [2218. 19] 2210. 9 lxx, lxxviii #.; i. 136. βlβλos, 3<sup>5</sup> 20<sup>15</sup>; İxxviii n. ; i. 84. βλασφημέω, 136 169. 11. 21  $\begin{array}{c} \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a, \ 2^9 \ 13^{1.5.6} \ 17^9, \\ \beta \lambda e \pi w, \ 1^{11.18} \ 16^{15} \ (see \ 3^3) \ 3^{18} \ 5^8 \\ 9^{39} \ 11^9 \ 17^8 \ 18^{9.16} \ 22^8; \ i. \ 24, \ 288. \end{array}$ E8. 4 βοηθέω, 12<sup>18</sup> βορρâs, 21<sup>13</sup>. βότρυ**s**, 14<sup>18</sup>. βρέχω, 11<sup>6</sup>; lxxxvi; i. 285. βροντή, 4<sup>8</sup> 6<sup>1</sup> 8<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>2. 4</sup> 11<sup>19</sup> 14<sup>2</sup> 16<sup>18</sup> 19<sup>6</sup>; clix. βύσσινος, 1812. 16 198. 14; i. 187; ii. 89, 91, 115 #., 127 (neut. [19<sup>8c</sup>]). Γάδ, 7<sup>8</sup>.  $\gamma d \mu os, 19^{7.9}$ ; ii. 126, 127.  $\gamma d \rho, 1^8 3^8 9^{19} [9^{19}] 13^{19} 14^{18} [14^4] 14^5$   $[16^{14}] 17^{17} [19^{8.10}] 21^{32.38} [21^{20}]$ 21<sup>1</sup>; XXX, CXXXV. 700The, 125.

γεμίζω, 8<sup>8</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> ; ii. 39. γέμω, 4<sup>6</sup> [4<sup>8</sup>] 5<sup>8</sup> 15<sup>7</sup> 17<sup>8. 4</sup> 21<sup>9</sup>; i. 96; ii. 57, 61, 64. 428 #. 11. 5/, 04, 04, 40 1. yeros, 22<sup>16</sup>, 77, 15. 7 310 58 6. 10. 18 66. 8 [68] 616. 18. 18 71. 2. 8 80. 18 [87] 93. 4 [02. 6. 6. 8 [14. 6. 19 124. 9. 12. 13. 10. 132. 6. 11. 19. 14. [14] 124. 9. 12. 13. 10. 132. 6. 11. 19. 14. 14. [14]  $\begin{array}{c} 12^{6,7} \left[ 14^{15,16} \right] 14^{16,19} \left[ 16^{1,9} \right] 16^{1,9} \left[ 16^{1,9} \right] 13^{2,6} \\ 8,18 \\ 18^{1,8} \\ 18^{1,8} \\ 18^{1,8} \\ 18^{1,8} \\ 18^{1,9}$ 4. 10. 17. 18 [1619] 18ª [216a] 226; i. 22, 110, 111. γινώσκω, 2<sup>23, 24</sup> 3<sup>8, 9</sup>; ii. 393 **π**. γλυκύς, 109. 10 γλώσσα, 5° 7° 10<sup>11</sup> 11° 137 14° 1610 [17<sup>15</sup>]; i. 147. γνώμη, 17<sup>18, 17</sup> [17<sup>17</sup>]. γόμος, 18<sup>11, 12</sup>; ii. 101. γράφω, 18. 11. 19 21. 8. 12. 17. 18 31. 7. 19. 14 5<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 13<sup>8</sup> 14<sup>18</sup> 14<sup>1</sup> 17<sup>5.8</sup> 19<sup>9</sup> [19<sup>18</sup>] 19<sup>16</sup> 21<sup>27</sup> 20<sup>12. 15</sup> 21<sup>5c</sup> [22<sup>18. 19</sup>]; cxl. γρηγορέω, 3<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>15</sup> (see 3<sup>8</sup>) 3<sup>8</sup>; lxxxiv; 1. 79. yumvos, 1615 (see 38) 317 1716. γυμνότης, 318. γυνή, 2<sup>20</sup> 98 121 4. 6. 18. 14. 15. 16. 17 [144] 17<sup>3. 4. 6.7</sup> [17<sup>9</sup>] 17<sup>18</sup> 19<sup>7</sup> [21<sup>9</sup>]; ii. 127. Γώγ, 208. ywrla, 71 208; lxx. δαιμόνιον, 9<sup>20</sup> [16<sup>14</sup>] 18<sup>2</sup>; i. 254; ii. 47, 48, 95. δάκρυση, 7<sup>17</sup> 21<sup>42</sup>. Δανείδ, 3<sup>7</sup> 5<sup>6</sup> 22<sup>16</sup>. δεξ, 1<sup>1</sup> 4<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>11</sup> [11<sup>5</sup>] 17<sup>10</sup> 20<sup>5</sup> 22<sup>6</sup>; **i**. 6. δείκνυμι, 11 41 171 219. 10 221. 6; xxxii; i. 2, 109. δεικνύω, 228. δειλός, 218; ii 216. δειπνέω, 320. δείπνον, 19<sup>9. 17</sup>. δέκα, 2<sup>10</sup> 12<sup>8</sup> 13<sup>1</sup> 17<sup>8. 7. 19. 16</sup>; clix; i. 224 π., 347. δέκατος, 11<sup>13</sup> 21<sup>20</sup>. δένδρον, 7<sup>1. 8</sup> [8<sup>7</sup>] 9<sup>4</sup>. δεξιά, 1<sup>17. 20</sup> 2<sup>1</sup> 5<sup>1. 7</sup>. detios, 116 102. 5 1316 δεσπότης, 6<sup>10</sup>; cx; i. 175. δεῦρο, 17<sup>1</sup> 21<sup>9</sup>. δεύτε, 1917. δεύτερος, 2<sup>11</sup> 4<sup>7</sup> 6<sup>5</sup> 9<sup>13</sup> 14 11<sup>14</sup> 14<sup>9</sup> 16<sup>9</sup> 19<sup>5</sup> 21<sup>19</sup> 20<sup>6</sup> [20<sup>14</sup>] 21<sup>8</sup>. ôtw, 914 201. Syrdner, 64; i. 166.

êtá (acc.), 19 28 411 69 (bis) 718 1211 (bis). 18 1314 177 188. 16. 18 204c (bis). (Gen.), 11 21%. 8.4, uses of; cxxix; i. 329; ii. 173. διά τούτο, i. 302 ; ii. 91. διάβολος, 2<sup>10</sup> 12<sup>8, 13</sup> 20<sup>2, 10</sup> διάδημα, 12<sup>8</sup> [131] 19<sup>19</sup>. διαθήκη, 1119 2120. δiakoria, 219 διακόσιοι, 118 126. διαυγής, 21<sup>21</sup>; ii. 170. διαφθείρω, [8<sup>9</sup>] 11<sup>18</sup>; i. 297. διδάσκω, 214 20 διδαχή, 214 18. 34 0100 X7, 2----. 81860, cxviii; i. 88; ii. 395 s. 81800, 11 27. 18. 7. 11. 18. 18. 18 38. 8 49 62. 4. 8. 11 73 82. 3 91. 8. 5 109 171. 2. 8. 18. 19 1214 122. 4. 54. 7. 14. 18. 16 171. 2. 8. 18. 19 1214 122. 4. 54. 7. 14. 18. 16 147 157 168. 8. 19 1718. 17 187 166 197. 8 20<sup>4. 13</sup> 21<sup>40</sup> ; cxl, cxlviii ; i. 54, 87:= "to requite," 2<sup>55</sup>; lxix, cxlviii; "to make," 3<sup>8</sup>; i. 88; "to grant," 2<sup>8</sup>; i. 54, 191, 205; "to offer upon," 8<sup>8</sup>; i. 230; "to com-mission," 11<sup>8</sup>; i. 280; "to place," "put," 3<sup>8</sup>; i. 87, 362; "to give up." ii. 108, 100. up," ii. 198, 199. δίδωμι, various uses of, i. 278, 280. δίκαιος, 15<sup>2</sup> 19<sup>2</sup> 16<sup>54. 7</sup> [22<sup>11</sup>]. δικαιοσύνη, 1911 [2211]. Suralwya, 154 [198]; cxv; il. 36, 128. διπλούs, 18"; ii. 91, 98, 99. διπλόω, 186. δls, 9<sup>16</sup>. δίστομος, 1<sup>16</sup> 21<sup>13</sup>. διψάω, 7<sup>16</sup> 22<sup>17</sup> 21<sup>60</sup> ; xxxii. διώκω, 12<sup>13</sup>. čóča, 16 4<sup>6, 11</sup> 5<sup>12, 13</sup> 7<sup>13</sup> 11<sup>13</sup> 14<sup>7</sup> 15<sup>6</sup> 16<sup>9</sup> 18<sup>1</sup> 19<sup>1, 7</sup> 21<sup>11, 32, 34, 35</sup>; xxxii; i. 17, 149; ii. 172. δοξάζω, 15<sup>4</sup> 18<sup>7</sup>. ξάζω, 15<sup>-</sup> 10<sup>-</sup>. Øλοτ, 1<sup>1</sup> 2<sup>50</sup> 6<sup>15</sup> 7<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>7</sup> 11<sup>18</sup> 13<sup>16</sup> [15<sup>8</sup>] 19<sup>2. ε. 18</sup> 22<sup>8. 6</sup>; xxxi, lxxi, lxxix; δούλοι, i. 6, 206, 296 ; ii. 124, 125. Spákur, 12<sup>1</sup> 4 7. 8. 18. 18. 17 13<sup>2</sup> 4 11 16<sup>13</sup> 20<sup>3</sup>; i. 345. Spéranov, 14<sup>16</sup> [14<sup>18, 16, 17</sup>] 14<sup>18, 19</sup>; lxxiii. Búrapai, 2º 3º 5º 617 7º 9º 134 1317 14º 15<sup>6</sup>; i. 139. Strauts, 1<sup>15</sup> 3<sup>8</sup> 4<sup>11</sup> 5<sup>13</sup> 7<sup>18</sup> 11<sup>17</sup> 12<sup>19</sup> 13<sup>8</sup> 15<sup>6</sup> 17<sup>18</sup> 18<sup>8</sup> 19<sup>1</sup>; i. 149, 301, 326; ii. 91, 96. 800, 919 112. 2. 4 10 1214 1355. 11 1990; i. 224 8. δυσμή, 21<sup>13</sup>.

δώδεκα, 75. 6. 7. 8 121 2119. 14. 16 21 223: clix ; i. 224 #. ; ii. 156. δωδέκατος, 21<sup>30</sup>. δωρεάν, 22<sup>17</sup> 21<sup>60</sup> ; XXXi. δώρον, 111. dár, uses of ; cxxxiv, cxxxv, clv. tar [with indic., 223]. tár (subj.), [25] 3tc 20 [22184. 19] oránis éár (subj.), II. See oránis. δσαι έάν (subj.), 13<sup>18</sup>. See δσοs. έαυτός, 2<sup>2, 9</sup>. <sup>20</sup> 3<sup>9</sup> 6<sup>10</sup> 10<sup>3</sup>. <sup>7</sup> 19<sup>7</sup>; cxxii. ξβδομος, 8<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>7†</sup> 11<sup>16†</sup> 16<sup>17</sup> 21<sup>30</sup>. ' Εβραϊστί, 9<sup>11</sup> 16<sup>16</sup> ; xxxii ; i. 245. έγγύs, 18 2210. έγείρω, 11<sup>1</sup>. 19<sup>16</sup> 20<sup>3</sup> 21<sup>24, 26</sup> 22<sup>2</sup> 20<sup>8</sup>; xxxi; i. 147. el, uses of ; xxxiv, cxxxv ; i. 284. el δè μή, 2<sup>5. 36</sup> ; i. 65. el μή, 2<sup>17</sup> 9<sup>4</sup> 13<sup>17</sup> 14<sup>5</sup> [19<sup>13</sup>] 21<sup>27</sup>. el τιν, 11<sup>36</sup> [11<sup>56</sup>] 13<sup>9. 10</sup> (bls) 14<sup>9. 11</sup> 20<sup>15</sup>. Subj. [118]. Indic., 115 139 149. 11 2015. elda, 176. είδον, 12. 12. 17. 19. 20 41 51. 2. 6. 11 61. 2. 6. 8. 9. 13 71. 2. 9 82. 15 91. 17 101. 5 12<sup>18</sup> 13<sup>1.</sup> 2 11 14<sup>1.</sup> 4. 16 [15<sup>1</sup>] 15<sup>2.</sup> 5 16<sup>13</sup> 17<sup>3.</sup> 4. 8. 12 16 [17<sup>15</sup>] 17<sup>13</sup> 18<sup>1.</sup> 7 1011. 17. 19 201 21<sup>23</sup> 204 204. 11. 13 21<sup>1. 2</sup>; i. 106, 148, 161. είδωλόθυτος, 2<sup>14. 20</sup>; lxxxiv; i. 63. elδωλολάτρης, 22<sup>15</sup> 21<sup>8</sup>. elδωλον, 9<sup>20</sup>; i. 254, 255. elkooi, 4<sup>4, 10</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> 11<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>4</sup>. elkúv, 1314. 15 148. 11 152 [162] 1920 204: i. 339. είληφα, used as aorist; lxxv, cxxvi.; i. 39, 136, 143, 144, 231, 293. elonka, used as aorist, cxxvi ; i. 212 ; ii. 120. elphry, 14 64; lxxxiv; i, 9. 1811 192 0. 17. 20 20 21 34. 38. 37 222 14 20<sup>8</sup> 10 (bis). 14. 10 220 els, 48 56 61 718 83 818 914 18 138 157 171 18 18 18 [1717] 188 18 18 18 18 1917 218. 11 ; cxxii ; i. 224 m., 238. els ér, i. 139.

462

7. 8. 9. 10 III. 6. 11. 19. 18 (bis). 18. 19 (bis) els= # p@ros (61), cxlviii ; i. 154, 161, 247. = indef. article, cxxii ; i. 237, 247. eis interf. 3<sup>28</sup> 11<sup>11</sup> 15<sup>6</sup> 21<sup>57</sup> 22<sup>16</sup>. 121. 1. 8. 6. 7. 8. 10. 13 1 36. 8. 10 (bis). 19 1418. 3. 6. 6 (bis). 9. 10 (bis). 16 [1418. 17] 15 (1 bis). 5 163. 8 178. 4 16 188. 6. 7. 8 (bis). 19 (bis). sab. 224. 324. 337. 324. 386. 387. 58 elyar, czviii. ex(23), cxviii. έκ (135 times), 18.16 28.7.8.10.11.51 [232] 38.8.16.13.16.19 48 58 (bis).7.9 61 (bis) [64] 618.14 74.5 (ter).7 (ter). 8 ter).6 (ter).8.13.14.17 84.5 [819] 811 101. 2. 11. 14. 15 (bis). 17 (bis). 20 (bis). 21 2110. 22. 27 223 206. 8. 12. 13 (bis). 15 228 218 22<sup>4</sup> [22<sup>184</sup>] [22<sup>19</sup>] 22<sup>16</sup>. ev, uses of, cxxx, cxxxi; i. 135, 139, Q1. 2 (bis). 8. 19. 17. 18 (bis). 30. 91 (quater) 147, 214, 221, 222 ., 260, 272, 290, 314, 336, 359; ii. 2, 22, 90, 446 m. Evaros, 2120. 101. 4. 8. 10 115. 7. 9. 11. 13 1216. 16 131. 8. 11. 18 1418 (bis). 2. 8. 10 [1415. 17] 1418. 29 15<sup>3</sup> (ter) 6. 7. 8 (bis) 16<sup>1</sup>. 10. 11 (ter). 13 ένδέκατος, 21<sup>30</sup>. ένδύω, 1<sup>13</sup> 15<sup>6</sup> 19<sup>14</sup>. ένδώμησις, 21<sup>18</sup> ; ii. 164. (ter). 21 (bis) 171. 2. 6 (bis). 8. 11 181 (bis). 8 (bis). 6 (ter). 19. 191. 20 192. 18. 21 (bis) 201 219. 18. 21 221 207. 8. 18 219. 3. éviautos, 915. 214. 4 [2219]. erreuder, 222; ii. 176. errology, 22-; 11. 1/0. erroly, 12<sup>17</sup> 14<sup>18</sup>; 1. 360. erworkop, [14] 214 32 8. 6. 9 48. 6. 10 58 7<sup>9</sup> 11. 15 88. 4 [83] 9<sup>13</sup> 114 16 124 10 13<sup>12</sup> 18. 14 14<sup>3</sup>. 10 154 16<sup>19</sup> 19<sup>20</sup> 20<sup>13</sup>; ér, partitive use ; cxx, cxxix ; i. 161, 288; ii. 97; other uses of; cxxix, cxxx; ii. 28, 33, 42, 95, 112. =els éx; ii. 57. #kaores, 2<sup>25</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> 6<sup>11</sup> 21<sup>21</sup> 22<sup>3</sup> 20<sup>13</sup> 22<sup>13</sup>. *Exares*, 7<sup>4</sup> 14<sup>1.8</sup> 21<sup>17</sup>. xxx, cxxxi. έξ, 4<sup>8</sup> 13<sup>18</sup>; i. 224 π. έκβάλλω, 11<sup>2</sup>. ekoikew, 610 192; lxxviii; i. 175; ii. 119. erei, 214 126. 14 21251; i. 330. έξήκοντα, 11<sup>3</sup> 12<sup>6</sup> 13<sup>18</sup>. έξουσία, 2<sup>26</sup> 6<sup>8</sup> 9<sup>3. 10. 19</sup> 11<sup>6</sup> 12<sup>10</sup> έκείθεν, 223; ii. 176. ereiros, 9º IIII : Cxxii. έξουσία, 2<sup>26</sup> 6<sup>8</sup> 9<sup>8</sup> 10. 10 11<sup>6</sup> 12<sup>10</sup> 13<sup>2</sup> 4 5. 7. 12 [14<sup>18</sup>] 16<sup>9</sup> 17<sup>19</sup> 18 18<sup>1</sup> έκκεντέω, 1<sup>7</sup>; lxviii; i. 18. έκκλησία, 1<sup>4</sup>. 11. 39 21. 7. 8. 11. 12. 17. 18.  $22^{14} 20^6$ ; i. 75, 326.  $\xi \omega$ ,  $3^{12} 22^{15}$ . έκκλησία, 14. 11. 20 21. 7. 8. 28. 29 31. 6. 7. 13. 14. 23 2218. έκλεκτός, 1714 ; CXV. έξωθεν, 51 (var.), 112 14<sup>20</sup>; cxxxi, έκπορεύομαι, 1<sup>16</sup> 4<sup>5</sup> 9<sup>17. 18</sup> 11<sup>5</sup> 16<sup>14</sup> 19<sup>18</sup> CXXXV. 22<sup>1</sup>; ii. 48. EKTOS, 6<sup>13</sup> 9<sup>134.</sup> 14<sup>1</sup> 16<sup>13</sup> 21<sup>20</sup> έπάνω, 6<sup>8</sup> 20<sup>3</sup>; cxxxi. far (gen. 55 times), 1<sup>30</sup> 2<sup>35</sup> 3<sup>10</sup> (bis) 4<sup>10</sup> 5<sup>12</sup> 3. 7. 10. 13 (bis), 6<sup>10</sup> 16 7<sup>1</sup> (bis), 8. 16<sup>4</sup>, 8<sup>18</sup> 9<sup>4</sup> 11. 17<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> (bis), 5 (bis), 8 (bis) 11<sup>6</sup>. exxew, 161. 2. 2. 4. 2. 10. 12. 17 165 έλαία, 11<sup>4</sup>. 6. 10 (bis) 12<sup>1</sup> [13<sup>1</sup>] 13<sup>8</sup>. 14 (bis). 16 14<sup>1.</sup> 6. 9 [14<sup>15.</sup> 16. 18] 16<sup>13</sup> 17<sup>1.</sup> 8 [17<sup>9</sup>] 17<sup>18</sup> 18<sup>34.</sup> 19<sup>18.</sup> 19. 21 21<sup>14</sup> 20<sup>6.</sup> 11<sup>4</sup>. Exacor, 6º 1813. έλέγχω, 3<sup>19</sup>; lxix; i. 99, 100. έλεινός, 3<sup>17</sup>. έπί (dat. 13 times), 4<sup>9</sup> 5<sup>13</sup> 7<sup>10</sup> 9<sup>14</sup> 10<sup>11</sup> 11<sup>10</sup> 12<sup>17</sup> 18<sup>9. 20</sup> 19<sup>4. 16</sup> 21<sup>13</sup> 21<sup>164</sup> 22<sup>16</sup> έλεύθερος, 618 1316 1918. έλεφάντινος, 18<sup>13</sup>. έλίσσω, 614 (var.). (100.), 474 (acc. 73 times), 17. 17 217. 26 38. 18. 20 42. 4 (bss) 51 62. 44 (Dat. 7). 5 6. 16 71 14. 11. 17 88 (bis) [810. 104] 97. 37 (bis) 101 1 111 (bis). 36 (bis) 128. 16 131. 7. 16 141. 6 bits 14. 4 (bis) 12. 15 131. 7. 16 141. 6 έλκος, 16<sup>2. 11</sup>. Ελληνική, [911]; lii; i. 246. ėμέω, 3<sup>18</sup>. ėμόs, 2<sup>20</sup> ; xxxvi, cxxi. έμπορος, 18<sup>3. 11</sup> [1828] 18<sup>18</sup>. (bis). 8. 14 (bis) [14<sup>16</sup>] 15<sup>8</sup> 162. 8. 9. 10. 12. 14. 17. 21 172. 5. 8 18116. 17. 19 1911. 18 έμπροσθεν, 4<sup>6</sup> [19<sup>10</sup>] 22<sup>8</sup>; CXXX. έμφοβος, 11<sup>13</sup>. [1916] 1916 201 2110. 16 2214 204. 44. 4 έν (157 times), 12.8.4.8.9 (tar). 10 (bis). 12.18.16 (bis) 21 (tar). 7.8.19.18.18.18.28. 20<sup>9</sup> 228. 184 (bis) éri, uses of, lvii, xci, cxxix, cxxxi-24. 37 3. 4 (bis). 5. 7. 12. 14. 11 (bis) 4. 5 (bis) [4<sup>6</sup>] 5<sup>2</sup>. 5. 6 (bis). 9. 13 (bis) 65. 6 [6<sup>8</sup> (ter)] 7<sup>8</sup>. 14. 15 8<sup>3</sup>. 13 [87. 9] 9<sup>6</sup>. 19 [9<sup>11</sup>] 9<sup>17</sup>. 19 (bis) [9<sup>19</sup>] 9<sup>30</sup> 10<sup>2</sup>. 6 (quater). cxxxiii ; i. 112, 113. With gen., i. 112, 113, 136, 191, 204, 206, 215, 223, 256, 262, 300, 301, 334, 335; ii. 2, 3, 12,

13, 15, 16, 22, 51, 52, 56, 57, 186 With dat., i. 113, 269; ii. 116, 202. With acc., i. 18, 112, 113, 136, 154, 191, 203, 215, 226, 252, 262, 301, 303, 334 ; ii. 3, 15, 16, 22, 34, 43, 44, 51, 56, 57, 105, 116, 132, 137, 163, 181-183, 190, 210, 211, 223. With gen. after rabhobau, li, liv n., lvii. With case varying with case of καθήμενος, cxxxii; i. 112, 113. See ίστημι, κατοικέω. έπιβάλλω, 18<sup>19</sup> (var.). έπιγράφω. 21<sup>18</sup>. έπιθυμέω, 98. eπιθυμία, 1814 έπιπίπτω, 11<sup>11</sup> έπιστρέφω, 1<sup>19</sup>. <sup>c</sup>πτίθημι [22<sup>180</sup>]; lv n. <sup>c</sup>πτά, I<sup>4</sup> [I<sup>4</sup>] I<sup>11, 12, 16, 20 2<sup>1</sup> 3<sup>1</sup> 4<sup>8</sup> [4<sup>5</sup>] 5<sup>1, 5, 6</sup> 6<sup>1</sup> 8<sup>2+, 6+</sup> 10<sup>3, 4</sup> 11<sup>13</sup> 12<sup>3</sup></sup> 13<sup>1</sup>[15<sup>1</sup>]15<sup>6</sup>[15<sup>6</sup>]15<sup>7.8</sup>16<sup>1</sup>17<sup>1.8.7.9</sup> [17<sup>10</sup>]17<sup>11</sup>21<sup>9</sup>; xci, cliv. clix; i. 8, 9, 25, 224, 272, 319; ii. 38, 39, 69 n. έραυνάω, 2<sup>28</sup>. έργαζομαι, 18<sup>17</sup>; ii. 106. έργον, 2<sup>2</sup> δ. 6. <sup>19</sup> [2<sup>22</sup>] 2<sup>22</sup>. <sup>26</sup> 3<sup>1</sup>. 2. 8. <sup>15</sup> 9<sup>20</sup> 14<sup>13</sup> 15<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>11</sup> 18<sup>6</sup> [20<sup>13</sup>] 20<sup>13</sup> 22<sup>13</sup>; cxv; i. 371-373. ξρημος, 12<sup>6, 14</sup> 17<sup>3</sup>. ξρημόω, 17<sup>16</sup> 18<sup>16, 19</sup>. Ħ, 3<sup>18</sup>. έριον, 114 έρχομαι, 1<sup>4.7</sup> [1<sup>8</sup>] 2<sup>5.16</sup> 16<sup>15</sup> (see 3<sup>8</sup>) 3<sup>10.11</sup> 4<sup>8</sup> 5<sup>7</sup> 6<sup>1.8.6.7.17</sup> 7<sup>18.14</sup> 8<sup>8</sup> 9<sup>12</sup> 11<sup>14.</sup> 1<sup>3</sup> 14<sup>7</sup> [14<sup>15</sup>] 17<sup>1.</sup> 1<sup>9</sup> 18<sup>10</sup> 19<sup>7</sup> 21<sup>9</sup> 22<sup>17.</sup> <sup>7.</sup> 1<sup>2</sup>. <sup>20</sup>; cxxiii, cxxvii; i. 51, 52; ii. 395 #. ό έρχόμενος, i. 10, 295, etc. έσθίω, 2<sup>7. 14. 20</sup> 10<sup>10</sup> 17<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>18</sup>. έσχατος, 117 28. 19 [151] 218 2218; i. 31. See oukéri. eroundie, 8° 97. 18 12° 1612 197 212 : i. 301, 310. \$705, 20<sup>2. 8. 4</sup> [20<sup>80</sup>] 20<sup>6. 7</sup>. ebaγγeλίζω, 10<sup>7</sup> 14<sup>6</sup>; cxl; i. 266. ebayyehior, 14"; ii. 12. evoleurs, 4ª. ethoyid, 5<sup>12, 13</sup> 7<sup>13</sup>; i. 149. ethorid, 2<sup>3</sup> 3<sup>3</sup> 5<sup>4</sup> 9<sup>6</sup> 12<sup>3</sup> 14<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>20</sup> 18<sup>31</sup> [18<sup>14</sup>] 18<sup>22, 54</sup> 20<sup>11</sup>. <sup>13</sup> evopalru, 1119 1213 1820. Euppdrm, 914 1619. εύχαριστέω, 1117.

εύχαριστία, 4<sup>9</sup> 7<sup>19</sup>. εὐώνυμος, 10<sup>3</sup>. Έφεσος, 1<sup>11</sup> 2<sup>1</sup>. eχθρόs, 118. 18  $\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(p) \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(1)$ 7. 9. 18. 18 181. 19 [19<sup>4</sup> 16<sup>3</sup>] 16<sup>9</sup> 17<sup>1</sup>. 8. 4 7. 9. 18. 18 181. 19 [19<sup>10</sup> 13] 19<sup>16</sup> 20<sup>1</sup> 21<sup>9</sup>. 11. 12. 14. 18. 39 20<sup>6</sup> 22<sup>5</sup>.  $\xi \chi \omega r = \text{finite verb}; i. 124.$ Ews, 611 ; CXXXV. έως πότε, 610 ; i. 175. Zaβoυλών, 7<sup>8</sup>. ζάω, 1<sup>18</sup> 2<sup>8</sup> 3<sup>1</sup> 4<sup>8, 10</sup> 7<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 13<sup>14</sup> 15<sup>7</sup> 19<sup>20</sup> 20<sup>6</sup> [20<sup>16</sup>]; i. 204, 205; ii. 181, 183. ζεστός, 3<sup>16, 16</sup>; i. 96. ζηλεύω, 3<sup>19</sup>. ζητέω, 9<sup>8</sup>. ζυγόν, 6<sup>5</sup>; i. 166. ζωή, 2<sup>7. 10</sup> 3<sup>5</sup> γ<sup>17</sup> 11<sup>11</sup> 13<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>3</sup> 17<sup>5</sup> 21<sup>57</sup> 22<sup>1. 2. 14. 17</sup> 20<sup>12. 15</sup> 21<sup>56</sup> [22<sup>19</sup>]; xxxii. ζώνη, 1<sup>18</sup> 15<sup>6</sup>. ζώνη, 4<sup>6</sup>.7.8.9 5<sup>6</sup>.8 [5<sup>11</sup>] 5<sup>14</sup> 6<sup>1</sup>.8.6.6.7 711 148 157 194; lxx. η, 3<sup>15</sup> 3<sup>25</sup> 3<sup>2, 9</sup> 15<sup>4</sup> 18<sup>8</sup>. ηλιος, 1<sup>16</sup> 6<sup>18</sup> 7<sup>2, 16</sup> [8<sup>13</sup>] 9<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 12<sup>1</sup> 16<sup>8, 19</sup> 19<sup>17</sup> 21<sup>28</sup> 22<sup>5</sup>.  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho a$ , 1<sup>10</sup> 2<sup>10, 13</sup> 4<sup>8</sup> 6<sup>17</sup> 7<sup>15</sup> [8<sup>13</sup>] 9<sup>6, 15</sup> 10<sup>7</sup> 11<sup>8, 6, 9, 11</sup> 12<sup>6, 19</sup> 14<sup>11</sup> 16<sup>14</sup> 18<sup>8</sup> 21<sup>25</sup> 20<sup>10</sup>; i. 154, 183, 237. *ἡμισυ*ς, 11<sup>9. 11</sup> 12<sup>14</sup>. ήμίωρον, 8<sup>1</sup>; i. 224. ήν, δ, 1<sup>4</sup> [1<sup>8</sup>] 4<sup>8</sup> 11<sup>17</sup> 16<sup>50</sup>; cx, cxii, clii; i. 10, 295. θάλασσα, 4<sup>6</sup> 5<sup>13</sup> 7<sup>1.</sup> 2. <sup>8</sup> [8<sup>8.9</sup>] 10<sup>2.</sup> 5. 6. 8 12<sup>13. 16</sup> 13<sup>1</sup> 14<sup>7</sup> 15<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>3</sup> 18<sup>17. 19. 21</sup> 20<sup>8</sup> [20<sup>13</sup>] 21<sup>1</sup>; lv, clv; i. 117; ii. 194-196. θάνατος, 1<sup>18</sup> 2<sup>10, 11, 28</sup> 6<sup>8</sup> [6<sup>8</sup>] 9<sup>6</sup> 12<sup>11</sup> 13<sup>3, 13</sup> 18<sup>8</sup> 20<sup>6, 13, 14</sup> [20<sup>14</sup>] 21<sup>40, 8</sup>; xxxii, cxlviii. ; i. 59. =pestilence; lxvi s., lxxviii, cxlviii: i. 72, 169–171 ; ii. 100, 402 #. θαῦμα, 17<sup>6</sup>. θαυμάζω, 13<sup>3</sup> 17<sup>6. 7.8</sup>; cxxvi. θαυμαστός, [15<sup>1</sup>] 15<sup>8</sup>. θείορ, 9<sup>17. 18</sup> 14<sup>10</sup> 19<sup>30</sup> 20<sup>10</sup> 21<sup>8</sup>. θειώδης, 917. θέλημα, 4<sup>11</sup>. θέλω, 2<sup>21</sup> 11<sup>8.6</sup> 22<sup>17</sup>; i. 284.

 $\begin{array}{c} \theta \in \mu(\hat{e}\lambda(s), 21^{14}, 19, \\ \theta \in \delta_{5}, 1^{1, 2} \in [1^{6}] 1^{9} 2^{7}, 18 2^{1}, 2, 18, 14 \\ [4^{9}] 4^{8}, 11 5^{6}, 9, 10 6^{9}, 7^{8}, 2, 10, 11, 12, \\ 18, 17 [8^{3}] 8^{4} 0^{4}, 18 10^{7} 11^{1}, 11, 18, 16, \\ 17, 19 12^{4}, 6, 16, 17 14^{13} 14^{4}, 7, 10, 19 \\ [15^{1}] 15^{3} [15^{3}] 15^{4}, 7, 6 16^{1}, 61, 11, 14 \\ 18, 21 17^{17} 18^{3}, 8, 39 19^{1}, 61, 61, 16^{7} 19^{3}, 6 \\ [19^{8}, 10^{7}] 19^{13}, 18, 17 21^{10}, 11, 18, 39 22^{1} \\ 20^{6} 4^{6} 21^{2}, 8 [21^{3}] 21^{4}, 0^{7} 22^{4}, 92^{3}, 22^{1} \end{array}$ 226 [2218, 19] 229; cx, cxxi, cxlvii ; ii. 387. θεός μου, ήμων, i. 191, 206. Beds Tou odparou, i. 292. See Kupios, Παντοκράτωρ. bepartela, 22ª θεραπεύω, 13<sup>8, 19</sup>. θερίζω, [14<sup>18, 16</sup>]; ii. 24. θερίζω, [14<sup>-10</sup>]; 11. 24. θερισμός, [14<sup>16</sup>]; [xxiii x.; ii. 24. θεωρέω, 11<sup>11. 13</sup>; i. 272, 288, 290. θηρίος, [6<sup>5</sup>] 11<sup>7</sup> 13<sup>1. 2</sup> <sup>3. 4</sup>. 11. 12. 14. 15. 17. 18 14<sup>6. 11</sup> 15<sup>3</sup> [16<sup>3</sup>] 16<sup>10. 13</sup> 17<sup>2. 7. 2</sup>. 11. 12. 12. 17. 16 18<sup>2</sup> (?) 19<sup>10. 20</sup> 20<sup>4. 10</sup>; i. 171, 339, 340, 343, 344. θλίψις, 1<sup>9</sup> 2<sup>9, 10, 22</sup> 7<sup>14</sup>; i. 21, 1 ; i. 21, 199-202, 213. θρίξ, 114 98. θρόνος, [14] 2<sup>13</sup> 3<sup>81</sup> 4<sup>9</sup>. 8. 4. 5. 6 [46] 4<sup>9</sup>. 10 5<sup>1</sup>. 6. 7. 11. 18 6<sup>16</sup> 7<sup>9</sup>. 10. 11. 15. 17 88 11<sup>16</sup> 12<sup>5</sup> 13<sup>3</sup> 14<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>10, 17</sup> 19<sup>4, 5</sup> 22<sup>1</sup> 20<sup>4, 11, 13</sup> 21<sup>56, 5</sup> 22<sup>8</sup>; i, 111, 112, 131. <sup>1</sup>31. θυάτειμα, 1<sup>11</sup> 2<sup>18.</sup> 24. θύατος 18<sup>13</sup>; ii. 103. θυμίαμα, 5<sup>8</sup> 8<sup>3.</sup> 4 18<sup>18</sup>; lxxviii. θυμάς, 12<sup>13</sup> [14<sup>5</sup>] 14<sup>10.19</sup> [15<sup>1</sup>] 15<sup>7</sup> 16<sup>1.19</sup> [18<sup>8</sup>] 19<sup>18</sup>; ii. 14, 15, 52, 96. θύρα, 3<sup>8. 20</sup> 4<sup>1</sup>; lxxxii, lxxxv; i. 107. Ovoraorhprov, 6º 88. 5 918 111 1418 167; i. 112, 172, 173, 226-230, 277. θώραξ, 9<sup>8. 17</sup>. laσπis, 4<sup>8</sup> 21<sup>11.</sup> <sup>18.</sup> <sup>19</sup>; i. 114. iδού, 1<sup>7.</sup> <sup>18</sup> 2<sup>10.</sup> <sup>22</sup> 16<sup>15</sup> (see 3<sup>3</sup>) 3<sup>8.</sup> <sup>9.</sup> <sup>20</sup> 4<sup>1. 2</sup> 5<sup>5</sup> 6<sup>2.</sup> <sup>8.</sup> <sup>8</sup> 7<sup>9</sup> 9<sup>13</sup> 11<sup>14</sup> 12<sup>3</sup> 14<sup>1.</sup> <sup>10</sup> 1911 2156. 8 227. 18; XXX, CXXXV. 'leζάβελ, 2<sup>50</sup>. leρεύs, 1<sup>6</sup> 5<sup>10</sup> 20<sup>6</sup> ; lxvii, lxxx, lxxxiv, clxvii; i. 16, 148. 'Iepowrathu, 3<sup>13</sup> 21<sup>10</sup> 21<sup>3</sup>; xxx, lxxxviii; i. 92 ; ii. 157-161. 'Iŋools, 11. 2. 8 9 1217 1419 17" [1910] 204 2216. 20. 21 ; i. 6. ludriov 1615 (see 38) 34. 5. 18 44 1918 [19<sup>18</sup>]; i. 82, 187, 188, 213, bra, Aor. subj. 2<sup>10. 21</sup> 3<sup>11. 19</sup> (bis) 6<sup>2</sup> 8<sup>6</sup> [8<sup>13</sup>] 12<sup>4. 16</sup> 13<sup>15</sup> (bis). <sup>16</sup> 16<sup>13</sup> 18<sup>4</sup> (bis) 108 18 208 2115 VOL. 11.-30

Pres. subi. 1618 318 71 95. 18 114 1214 1318. 17 21 28 Fut. indic. 39 64. 11 88 94. 5. 39 1318 1418 2214. Pres. indic. 126. tra, uses of, xxx, cxxxv, cxxxvi ; i. 41. 42, 154, 243, 254, 302, 359, 370; ii. 177. 'Iovôa, 5° 78. 'Iovdaîos, 2º 3º; xxx; i. 57, 88. lππικόs [? lππικόν], 9<sup>16</sup>. Iπποs, 6<sup>2. 4. 5. 8</sup> 9<sup>7. 9. 17. 19</sup> 14<sup>20</sup> [18<sup>13</sup>] 1011. 14. 18. 19. 21 lpis, 43 101. loos, 21<sup>16</sup>. Ισραήλ, 214 74 2113. <sup>1</sup>σσαγλ, 2<sup>-7</sup>, 2<sup>-8</sup>, <sup>1</sup>σσαχάρ, 7<sup>7</sup>. <sup>1</sup>στημι, 3<sup>20</sup> 5<sup>6</sup> 6<sup>17</sup> 7<sup>1.9.11</sup> 8<sup>8</sup> [8<sup>3</sup>] 10<sup>8.8</sup> 11<sup>4.11</sup> 12<sup>16</sup> 14<sup>1</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 18<sup>10.16.17</sup> 19<sup>17</sup> 20<sup>18</sup>; cxxvi; i. 191; ii. 34, 89. ໃστημι ένώπιον, i. 225, etc. έπί, i. 191, 262, 334, etc. loχupós, 5<sup>3</sup> 6<sup>15</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 18<sup>2, 8, 10, 21</sup> 19<sup>8, 18</sup>; clvin; i. 258, 259; ii. 90. lσχύs, 513 713; i. 149. loχύω, 12<sup>8</sup>; i. 303, 324, 325. Ιωάννης, 1<sup>1. 49</sup> 22<sup>8</sup>. 'Ιωσήφ, 78. καθαρός, 15<sup>6</sup> 19<sup>8</sup>, <sup>14</sup> 21<sup>18, 21</sup>, κάθημαι, 4<sup>2</sup>, <sup>3</sup>, <sup>4</sup>, <sup>9</sup>, <sup>10</sup> 5<sup>1</sup>, <sup>7</sup>, <sup>13</sup> 6<sup>2</sup>, <sup>4</sup>, <sup>8</sup>, <sup>16</sup>, <sup>16</sup> 7<sup>10, 15</sup> 9<sup>17</sup> 11<sup>16</sup> (14<sup>6</sup> var.) 14<sup>16</sup> [14<sup>18, 16</sup>] 17<sup>1, 3</sup> [17<sup>9, 18</sup>] 18<sup>7</sup> 19<sup>4</sup> <sup>11</sup>, <sup>16</sup>, <sup>19</sup> <sup>31</sup> 20<sup>11</sup> 215 ; li (see enl); i. 102, 112, 113; ii. 12, 13, 56 π., 90, 99. καθίζω, 3<sup>31</sup> 20<sup>4</sup>; i. 102. kal, in apodosis, i. 42; ii. 16, 423 n. adversative, i. 87. resumptive, i. 150. alternative, i. 177. explanatory, ii. 120, 417 n. = " and so," ii. 177. ="and so," ii. 177. xauvos, 2<sup>17</sup> 3<sup>13</sup> 5<sup>9</sup> 14<sup>8</sup> 21<sup>86, 1, 3</sup>; i. 146; ii. 158. Kaipós, 18 1118 1118 1212. 14 2210. ralw, 4<sup>5</sup> [8<sup>8. 10</sup>] 19<sup>30</sup> 21<sup>8</sup>. Kakos, 29 162. κάλαμος, 11<sup>1</sup> 21<sup>16, 16</sup>; 1xxxi. καλέω, 1<sup>9</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>9</sup> 16<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>9</sup> [19<sup>11</sup>] 19<sup>18</sup>; XXX ; ii. 129, 130. каµичоз, 115 92 Kampos, 84 92. 2. 17. 18 1411 158 182. 18 103. καρδία, 2<sup>22</sup> 17<sup>17</sup> 18<sup>7</sup>. καρπόs, 22<sup>2</sup>. κατά (acc.), 2<sup>28</sup> 4<sup>8</sup> 18<sup>6</sup> [20<sup>13</sup>] 20<sup>18</sup>, (gen.) 2<sup>4, 14, 20</sup>.

rard, uses of, cxxxiii. καταβαίνω, 3<sup>12</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 12<sup>12</sup> 13<sup>18</sup> 16<sup>21</sup> 18<sup>1</sup> 20<sup>1</sup> 21<sup>10</sup> 20<sup>9</sup> 21<sup>2</sup>. καταβολή, 13<sup>8</sup> 17<sup>8</sup>; lxvi; i. 354 355. κατάθεμα, 22<sup>8</sup>; lxxvii ; ii. 209. катакаlw, [87] 17<sup>16</sup> 18<sup>6</sup>; i. 233. καταπίνω, Ι2<sup>16</sup>. κατασφραγίζω, 5<sup>1</sup>. κατεσθίω, 10<sup>9. 16</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>4</sup> 20<sup>9</sup> ; lxxix. κατηγορέω, 12<sup>10</sup>. κατήγωρ, 12<sup>10</sup>; i. 327. κατοικέω, 2<sup>18</sup> 3<sup>10</sup> 6<sup>10</sup> 8<sup>13</sup> 11<sup>10</sup> 13<sup>8. 19. 14</sup> 14<sup>6</sup> (var.) 17<sup>2. 8</sup>; xxx, lxix, lxxxviii; i. 40, 273, 336, 359; ii. 12, 13. ent this yis, i. 289, 290, etc. er avry, i. 336, 359. την γήν, ii. 57, 61, 63. катокнот приот, 182. καθμα, 7<sup>16</sup> 16<sup>9</sup>. καυματίζω, 16<sup>8.9</sup>. Keîµai, 42 2116. KÉPT POP, 910. кераµико́s, 2<sup>27</sup> κεράννυμι, 14<sup>10</sup> 18<sup>6</sup>; ii. 16. Képas, 5<sup>6</sup> 9<sup>18</sup> 12<sup>8</sup> 13<sup>1. 11</sup> 17<sup>8. 7. 12. 16</sup>; i. 141. κεφαλή, 1<sup>14</sup> 4<sup>4</sup> 9<sup>7, 17</sup> [9<sup>19</sup>] 10<sup>1</sup> 12<sup>1, 8</sup> 13<sup>1, 8</sup> 14<sup>14</sup> 17<sup>8, 7, 9</sup> 18<sup>19</sup> 19<sup>18</sup>. κηρύσσω, 52 κιβωτός, 1119 21== ; lxi ; i. 297, 298. κιθάρα, 5<sup>8</sup> 14<sup>2</sup> 15<sup>2</sup>. κιθαρίζω, 14<sup>2</sup>. κιθαρφδός, 14<sup>3</sup> 18<sup>23</sup>. κιν€ω, 2<sup>5</sup> 6<sup>14</sup>. κιννάμωμον, 18<sup>13</sup>. κλαίω, 5<sup>4. 5</sup> 18<sup>9. 11.</sup> 15. 19 κλείς, 1<sup>18</sup> 3<sup>7</sup> 9<sup>1</sup> 20<sup>1</sup>; 1xxx, cxi. κλείω, 3<sup>7.8</sup> 11<sup>6</sup> 20<sup>3</sup> 21<sup>20</sup>; 1xxxvi. κλέμμα, 9<sup>21</sup>; i. 255. κλέπτης, 16<sup>15</sup> (see 3<sup>8</sup>) 3<sup>8</sup>; lxxxiv. κληρονομέω, 21<sup>7</sup>; ii. 215. κλητός, 1714; cxv; ii. 130. κλίνη, 223 ; cxlvi ; i. 71. κοιλία, 10<sup>9.10</sup>. KOLVÓS, 2127. коккироз, 172 4 1819. 18; ій. 64, 91, 94 #., 115 #. κολλάω, 18<sup>5</sup>; ii. 97, 98. κολλούριον, 318; i. 98. κοπιάω, 23. котоз, 2<sup>2</sup> 14<sup>13</sup>; i. 49 κόπτω, 1<sup>7</sup> 18<sup>9</sup> : lxviii. κοσμέω, 21<sup>19</sup> 21<sup>2</sup>. κόσμος, 11<sup>15</sup> 13<sup>8</sup> 17<sup>8</sup>; xxxi. κράζω<sub>2</sub> 6<sup>10</sup> 7<sup>2, 10</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 12<sup>3</sup> [14<sup>15</sup>] 18<sup>2, 18, 19</sup> 1917,

κρατέω, 2<sup>1. 12. 14. 18. 35</sup> 3<sup>11</sup> 7<sup>1</sup> 20<sup>9</sup> 1 L 48, 49, 191 **\*., 204.**  *кра́то*s, 1<sup>6</sup> 5<sup>18</sup>. κραυγή, 21<sup>2</sup>. κριθή, 6ª. κρίμα, 17<sup>1</sup> 18<sup>20</sup> 20<sup>4</sup> ; ii. 111. KOLYW, 610 II18 188. 20 19 16 1011 2013. 18 κρίσις, 14<sup>7</sup> 18<sup>10</sup> 19<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>7</sup>. κρούω, 3<sup>20</sup> κρύπτω, 2<sup>17</sup> 6<sup>18. 18</sup> κουσταλλίζω, 21<sup>11</sup> κρύσταλλος, 4<sup>6</sup> 22<sup>1</sup>. κτήνος, 18<sup>13</sup>; ii. 104. κτίζω, 411 [411] 106; lxxi #.; i. 134. κτίσις, 3<sup>14</sup>. κτίσμα, 5<sup>18</sup> [8<sup>9</sup>] κυβερνήτης, 1817 ; ii. 104. κυκλείω, 20<sup>9</sup>; xxii. κυκλέω, 20<sup>9</sup>; xxii. κυκλόθεν, 4<sup>8</sup>.  $4^{[4^6]}$ ; cxxxiii; **i.** 125. κύκλω, 4<sup>6</sup> 5<sup>11</sup> 7<sup>11</sup>; cxxxiii. κυριακόs, 1<sup>10</sup>; i. 22, 23. κύριος, [1<sup>6</sup>] 4<sup>8</sup>. <sup>11</sup> 7<sup>14</sup> 11<sup>4</sup>. <sup>18</sup>. <sup>18</sup>. <sup>17</sup> 14<sup>13</sup> 152. 4 1714 167 196. 16 2123 226. 6. 20. 31; cx, cxxi, cxlvii; i. 6, 127, 212; ii. 75, 387 n. κύων, 22<sup>18</sup>; ii. 178.  $\lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon \omega$ , 1<sup>13</sup> 4<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> 4<sup>.8</sup> 13<sup>5</sup> 11<sup>+</sup> 1<sup>5</sup> 17<sup>1</sup> 21<sup>5</sup> 1<sup>5</sup>; xxxii, cli ; i. 35<sup>8</sup>.  $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ , 2<sup>17</sup> 3<sup>7</sup> 3<sup>8</sup> 11 4<sup>11</sup> 5<sup>7</sup> 8. 9 18 64 85 108. 9. 10 1117 148. 11 1718 184 1930 2217 204 λaμπás, 4<sup>5</sup> [810] λαμπρός, 15<sup>6</sup> 18<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>8</sup> 22<sup>1, 16</sup>: ii. 108. Δαοδικία, 1<sup>11</sup> 3<sup>14</sup>. 104. 8. 9. 11 111. 18. 16. 16 1210 134. 14 1418 146. 8. 9. 18 158 161 [168a] 1617 171. 7 [1716] 182 4. 7. 10. 16. 18. 19. 21 191. 8. 4 167 195. 4. 9 [199. 10] 1917 219 2217 218 [21 4 ] 218. 6 226. 10. 9. 10; xxx, clv; i. 235, 267, 360; ii. 413 %. λέγων, cxxvii, cl, cliii ; i. 108, etc. Aevl, 77. λevkalrw, 714; i. 188, 214. λευκοβύσσινος, 19<sup>16</sup> (var.). λευκός, 1<sup>14</sup> 2<sup>17</sup> 3<sup>6</sup>. <sup>6</sup>. <sup>18</sup> 4<sup>6</sup> 6<sup>8</sup>. <sup>11</sup> 7<sup>9</sup>. <sup>13</sup> 14<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>11. <sup>14</sup></sup> 20<sup>11</sup>; İxviii; i. 162, 184-188, 213, 214 ; ii. 192, 193.

λέων, 4<sup>9</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> 9<sup>8. 19</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> 13<sup>8</sup>. ληνός, 14<sup>19. 20</sup> 19<sup>15</sup> ; lxxiii, lxxv ; ii. 24. λίβανος, 1818 λιβανωτός, 8º. · ; i. 230. λίθινος, 99. λίθοι, 4° 15°+ 174 1812. 16. 21 2111. 19: lxv, lxxiii, cli, clxviii; ii. 38, 425 π. λίμνη, 19<sup>20</sup> 20<sup>10. 14</sup> [20<sup>14</sup>] 20<sup>15</sup> 21<sup>8</sup>; i. 239-242. λιμός, [6<sup>8</sup>] 18<sup>8</sup>. [λίνον, 15<sup>6</sup>]; lxv, lxxiii; ii. 38. λιπαρός, 1814 ; ii. 108. λόγος,  $1^{2}$ ,  $3^{4}$ ,  $1^{6}$ ,  $6^{9}$ ,  $12^{11}$ ,  $17^{17}$  [19<sup>9</sup>] 20<sup>4</sup> 21<sup>5</sup>c 22<sup>5</sup>, 7,  $1^{8}$  [22<sup>19</sup>] 22<sup>10</sup>,  $9^{\circ}$ ; i. 7, 21, 329. Λόγος, 19<sup>18</sup>; xxxi, lini; n. 134. λοιπός, 2<sup>24</sup> 3<sup>2</sup> [8<sup>13</sup>] 9<sup>20</sup> 11<sup>13</sup> 12<sup>17</sup> 19<sup>21</sup> [20<sup>8α</sup>]; 1. 79, 238, 302, 332. λυχρία, 1<sup>18, 18, 20</sup> 2<sup>1, 5</sup> 11<sup>4</sup>. λύχνος, 1828 2128 225. λύω, 1<sup>5</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> 9<sup>14, 15</sup> 20<sup>8, 7</sup>; i. 15; ii. 187. Maγεδών, 1610; ii. 50. Μαγώγ, 20<sup>8</sup>. µarapios, 18 1615 (see 38) 1418 199 2214 20<sup>6s</sup> 22<sup>7</sup>; lxxxiv; ii. 186. μακρόθεν, 1810, 18. 17. Maragon, 7º. μανθάνω, 148. µárra, 2<sup>17</sup>. μαργαρίτης, 17<sup>4</sup> 18<sup>13.</sup> 18 21<sup>21</sup>. μάρμαρος, 18<sup>13</sup>. μαρτυρέω, 1<sup>2</sup> 22<sup>182. 16</sup> 22<sup>90</sup>; XXXV. μαρτυρία, 12. 0 6° 117 1211. 17 [1910] 20<sup>4</sup> ; xxxii ; i. 7, 21, 22. μαρτύριον, 15<sup>6</sup>; lxv, cli; ii. 37, 38. μάρτυς, 1<sup>6</sup> 2<sup>18</sup> 3<sup>14</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> 17<sup>6</sup>; i. 14, 62. μασάομαι, 16<sup>10</sup> μαστός, 1<sup>18</sup> μάχαιρα, 6<sup>4</sup> 13<sup>10. 14</sup>; i. 165. μέγας, 1<sup>10</sup> 2<sup>28</sup> 5<sup>2. 18</sup> 6<sup>4. 10. 18. 18. 17</sup> 72. 10. 14 818 [88. 10] 92. 14 108 118. 11. 13. 18. 17. 18. 19 121. 8. 9. 10. 18. 14 138. 6. 18. 16. 14<sup>8</sup>. 6 8. 9 [14<sup>18</sup>] 14<sup>18</sup>. 10 [15<sup>1</sup>] 15<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>1</sup>. 0. 18 16. 17. 18 [16<sup>10</sup>] 16<sup>10</sup>. 81 17<sup>1</sup>. 6 6. 18 18. 18. 10. 16. 18. 20<sup>1</sup> 2118.13 19. 21 191. 2. 5. 17. 18 2011. 18 218; clviii; ii. 42, 194. μεγιστâres, 618 [1822e]; i. 181, 182. μεθύσκω, 17<sup>8</sup>. μέλας, 6<sup>8. 12</sup>; i. 162. μέλι, 10<sup>9. 10</sup>. μέλλω, 110 210 32. 10. 10 611 818 104. 7 124 \$ 178; i. 33, 42, 79, 264, 265, 301.

μένω, 1710. μέρος, [16<sup>10</sup>] 20<sup>6</sup> 21<sup>6</sup> [22<sup>10</sup>]. μέσος, 1<sup>18</sup> 2<sup>1</sup> [4<sup>6</sup>] 5<sup>6</sup> 6<sup>6</sup> 7<sup>19</sup> 22<sup>8</sup>; xxx, cxlviii; i. 118, 119, 136, 140, 217; ii. 176. μεσουράνημα, 818 14<sup>6</sup> 1917. merá (acc.), 119 41 (bis) 71. 9 913 1111 μετά (acc.), 1<sup>-1</sup> 4<sup>-1</sup> var 7<sup>-1</sup> 9<sup>-1</sup> 1<sup>-1</sup> I5<sup>6</sup> 18<sup>1</sup> 19<sup>1</sup> 20<sup>3</sup>. μετά (gen.), 1<sup>7</sup> 13 214. 33 3<sup>4</sup> 30 (bis). 31 (bis) 4<sup>1</sup> [6<sup>6</sup>] 10<sup>8</sup> 11<sup>7</sup> 12<sup>7</sup>.9. 17 13<sup>4</sup>.7 14<sup>13.1</sup> [14<sup>4</sup>] 17<sup>1</sup>. 8. 13. 14 (bis) 18<sup>3</sup>.9 19<sup>19</sup> (bis). 30 21<sup>8</sup>. 15 20<sup>47.6</sup> 21<sup>3</sup> (bis) [21<sup>8</sup>] 22<sup>19, 11</sup> µerd, uses of; lxxx, cxxxiii, cxxxiv; i. 18, 286, 301, 305, 370; ii. 406 n. μετανοέω, 25 [25] 16. 21 [222] 38. 19 920. 21 169. 11 μετανοέω έκ, i. 39, 71, 254, 255. μετρέω, ΙΙ<sup>1.3</sup> 21<sup>18. 16. 17</sup>; i. i. 274-276. μέτρον, 2118. 19 μέτωπον, 78 94 1316 141. 175 204 224. μή, with imperative, 2<sup>10</sup>. with subj, 7<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 11<sup>2</sup> 22<sup>10</sup>. alone [19<sup>10</sup>] 22<sup>9</sup>. μή, uses of ; cxxxvi. μή . . . μήτε . . . μήτε, 17". μηδείs, 311. μη̂κos, 2116. µhv, 95. 10. 16 118 1360 228. μηρός, 19<sup>18</sup>. μήτηρ, 17 μίγνυμι, [8<sup>7</sup>] 15<sup>8</sup>; i. 233. μικρόs, 3<sup>6</sup> 6<sup>11</sup> 11<sup>18</sup> 13<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>5</sup> 18 20<sup>8. 18</sup>; i. 43, 297, etc. иштроконал, 1610 ; ii. 52. μσέω, 2<sup>6</sup> 17<sup>16</sup> 182 μισθός, 11<sup>18</sup> 22<sup>18</sup>; lxxvii, lxxix; i. 373. Μιχαήλ, 127. μ**ν**ήμα, 11°. μνημονεύω, 2<sup>5</sup> 3<sup>8</sup> 18<sup>6</sup>. μοιχεύω, 2<sup>82</sup> ; i. 72. μολύνω, 3<sup>4</sup> [14<sup>4</sup>]. μόνο<del>ς</del>, 15<sup>4</sup>. μόσχοι, 47; i. 124. μουσικός, 1823; clii; ii. 109, 110, μυκάομαι, 10<sup>8</sup>; i. 261. μύλινος, 18\*1. μύλοι, 1811 ; lxxv. μυριάs, 5<sup>11</sup> 9<sup>10</sup>. μύρον, 1818. μυστήριον, 120 10" 175. "; 1xxi; 1. 34, 264-266 ; ii. 65. [Mwvons, 158.]

ral. 17 1418 167 2280; i. 19, 20. vads, 318 716 111. 8. 19 [1416. 17] 156. 6.8 161. 17 2128 ; i. 91, 215, 276, 277; ü. 37. vairys, 1817. PERPOS, 15. 17. 18 28 31 1118 1418 168 [2054] 2018. 18 reden, 17 101 1118 1416 [1418. 16]; i. 291. Νεφθαλίμ, 7<sup>4</sup>. νεφρός, 2<sup>28</sup>; i. 73. νήσος, 1<sup>9</sup> 6<sup>14</sup> 16<sup>20</sup>. rıkda, 2<sup>7</sup>. 11. 17. 26 3<sup>5</sup>. 18. 21 5<sup>6</sup> 6<sup>2</sup> 11<sup>7</sup> 12<sup>11</sup> 13<sup>7</sup> 15<sup>2</sup> 17<sup>14</sup> 21<sup>7</sup>; xxxii, cxlix; i. 45, 53, 54, 353. rundw en, ii. 33. 2<sup>4.15</sup>; cxxi; i. 52, Nekolatrys, 53. vóros, 2118. rous, 1318 17°; i. 364. νύμφη, 18\*\* 21° 2217 218 ; ii. 179. rumplos, 1822 νύξ, 4<sup>8</sup> 7<sup>15</sup> [8<sup>12</sup>] 12<sup>10</sup> 14<sup>11</sup> 21<sup>25</sup> 20<sup>10</sup> 22<sup>5</sup>; clv; i. 236, 237, etc. ξηραίνω, [14<sup>18</sup>] 16<sup>18</sup>; ii. 22. ξύλινος, 9<sup>20</sup>. ξύλον, 2<sup>7</sup> 18<sup>12</sup> 22<sup>2. 14</sup> [22<sup>10</sup>].  $\delta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\tau \delta$ , connecting noun with following phrase, i. 136, etc. όγδοος, 17<sup>11</sup> 21<sup>30</sup>. δδε, 2<sup>1. 6.</sup> 1<sup>2.</sup> 1<sup>8</sup> 3<sup>1. 7.</sup> 1<sup>4</sup>; cxxii; i. 48. όδηγέω, 7<sup>17</sup>; xxxii. όδός, 15<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>13</sup>. όδούς, 9<sup>8</sup>. οίδα, 2<sup>8</sup>. 9. 18. 17. 19 3<sup>1</sup>. 8. 15. 17 7<sup>14</sup> 12<sup>18</sup> [1918]. olkovutry, 310 12º 1614 ; i. 334. olvos, 6º 14º 10 1610 17º 18º. 18 1918; ii. 14, 15. olos, 1618. όλίγος, 214 34 1218 1710; XXX; i. 43. δλos, 310 611 120 138 1614 ; clviii ; i. 334, 337. δλυνθος, 6<sup>18</sup>; i. 181. δμνυμι, 10<sup>6</sup>; i. 262. Sporos, 118. 15 218 48. 6. 7 97. 10 [910] 11<sup>1</sup> 13<sup>8.</sup> 4. 11 14<sup>14</sup> 18<sup>18</sup> 21<sup>11.</sup> 18; lxxxii, lxxxiii, clii; i. 3, 27, 36, 37, 113; 11, 106, 156. δμοιον vide ανθρώπου, i. 3, 27; ii. 3, 19, 20.

όμοίως, 215 [818]. ομολογέω, 3°; 1×××ν. δνολογέω, 3°; 1×××ν. συι 11<sup>28</sup>. 18 13<sup>2</sup>. 6. 6. 9. 18 68 [811] σμι 11<sup>28</sup>. 18 13<sup>21</sup>. 6. 6. 17 14<sup>1</sup>. 11 15<sup>2</sup>. 6 16<sup>9</sup> 17<sup>2</sup>. <sup>6</sup>. 8 [19<sup>18</sup>] 19<sup>18</sup>. <sup>16</sup> 21<sup>1</sup>6. <sup>16</sup> 224 ; i. 81, 92, 291, 347, 348, 354; ii. 132, 133. 6€05, 118 218 1414 [1417] 1418 1918. δπισθεν, 110 46 51; cxxxvi ; i. 137. orlow, 110 (var.) 1215 1387; cxxxvi, cl, cli; i. 305, 337, 351; ii. 419 n. örov, 2<sup>18</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>6</sup> 14<sup>4</sup> [17<sup>9</sup>] 20<sup>18</sup>; cxxxvi, clvi ; i. 301, 304, 309, 310, 330 ; ii. 10, 68. 53°, 1814; 11. 108. δρασι3, 1891; 108. δρασι3, 4° 91°. δράω, 1° 111° 121° [1910] 224. °; i. See eldor. 19. opyt, 614. 17 1118 1410 1610 1918 ; i. 182, 183, 296 ; ii. 52. δργίζω, 11<sup>18</sup> 12<sup>17</sup> ; i. 296. δρμημα, 18<sup>21</sup>; ii. 107, 108. δρνεον, 18º 1917. 21. 8005, 614. 18. 18 [88] 141 1620 [179] 2110; lxxxiii. ös, 11 (bis). 2. 11. 19 (ter). 20 26. 10. 13. 14. 17. 225 32. 4. 8. 11 41 (bus) [45] 56 [58] 518 69 72. 9 920 (bbs) 104. 5. 6.8 125. 16 132. 6. 12. 14 (bis) 142. 8 178 (bis). 11. 12. 16. [16]. 18 186 1819 [1913] 1950 205 2118. 17 2011. 18 218 228. See ayou ou. οσάκις έάν, 11°; CXXXVi ; i. 272. δσιος, 15<sup>4</sup> 16<sup>4</sup> ; cx ; ii. 29, 123, δσος, 1<sup>2</sup> 2<sup>24</sup> 3<sup>19</sup> 13<sup>15</sup> 18<sup>7</sup>. 1<sup>7</sup> 21<sup>26</sup> δστις, 1<sup>7</sup>. 1<sup>3</sup> 2<sup>24</sup> 9<sup>4</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>18</sup> 17<sup>8</sup>. 1<sup>3</sup> 19<sup>8</sup> [20<sup>4</sup>]; li, cxxii; i. 73, 243, 287; ii. 119, 152, 183. örar, Fut. indic., 4 Aor. indic., 8<sup>1</sup> Aor. subj., [9<sup>5</sup>] 11<sup>7</sup> 12<sup>4</sup> 17<sup>10</sup> 20<sup>7</sup>. Pres. subj., 10<sup>7</sup> 18<sup>9</sup>. brar, uses of; cxxxvi, cxxxvii; i. 104, 127, 223. δτε, 1<sup>17</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> 6<sup>1. 3. 5. 7. 9. 12</sup> 10<sup>8. 4. 19</sup> 22<sup>8</sup>. 076, 1.<sup>15</sup> 5 - 0.<sup>15</sup> δ7ε, uses of; CXXXVII. 3<sup>16</sup> 17 (ter) 4<sup>11</sup> 5<sup>4</sup> 9 6<sup>17</sup> 7<sup>17</sup> [8<sup>11</sup>] 10<sup>6</sup> 11<sup>2</sup>. 19. 17 12<sup>10</sup>. 18 (bis). 18 13<sup>4</sup> 14<sup>7</sup> [14<sup>15</sup> (bis)] 14<sup>18</sup> 15<sup>4</sup> (ter) 16<sup>31</sup> 17<sup>14</sup> 18<sup>5</sup>. 7 (bis). 8. 19. 38. 11.5. 18. 18. 30. 39<sup>7</sup> 19<sup>3</sup> (bis) 16<sup>55</sup>. 6 196. 7 225 21 tc. ori, uses of ; cxxxvii. ov=where, [1718], cxxxvii. ού, uses of ; cxxxvii. ού μή, 2<sup>11</sup> 3<sup>3. 5. 13</sup> 9<sup>6</sup> 15<sup>4</sup> 18<sup>7. 31</sup> [18<sup>14</sup>] 18<sup>325</sup> 18<sup>326. 326. 326. 326. 326. 328. 37.</sup>

δμοίωμα, 9'; i. 244.

oval, 818 919 1114 1219 1810. 14. 19 : 1 cxxxvii, clviii #.; i. 238, 247, 302; ii. 90, 101. obôł . . . obôł . . . obôć, 58 716. oboł . . . oboł, 94. ouot, 128 2138. ovdeí, uses of ; i. 40, 254. ovdeís, 2<sup>17</sup> 3<sup>7. 8. 17</sup> 5<sup>8. 4</sup> 7<sup>9</sup> 14<sup>8</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 18<sup>11,5</sup> [19<sup>18</sup>]. ouk . . . Ert, ii. 91, 153. oukert, 10<sup>6</sup> 18<sup>113</sup> [18<sup>14</sup>]; xxx, cxxxvii. obr, 110 25. 16 38. 10 ; xxxi, cxxxvii : i. 3, 33, etc. οσπω, 17<sup>10, 18</sup>; cxxxviii. oupd, 910 [910] 124. ouparbes, 31 41. 2 58. 18 618. 14 81 [819] g1 [01. 4. 6. 6. 9 116. 12. 18. 18. 19 121. 9 4. 7. 6. 19. 19. 13 [36. 13 1413 143. 7 [14<sup>17</sup>] [151] 158 1611. 11 181. 4. 5. 10 101. 11. 14 201 21<sup>10</sup> 20<sup>9, 11</sup> 21<sup>1, 8</sup>; xci; i. 108, 303, 304, 314, 323, 324, 329. ob; 2<sup>7.</sup> 11. 1<sup>7.</sup> 2<sup>9</sup> 3<sup>6.</sup> 1<sup>8.</sup> 2<sup>8</sup> 13<sup>9</sup>; xxx, lxxxiv; i. 38. oure, uses of ; cxxxviii ; i. 40. 607ε, 5<sup>4</sup> 9<sup>30</sup> (var.). obre... obre, 3<sup>15, 16</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> (var.). obre... obre, 3<sup>15, 16</sup> 5<sup>8</sup> (var.). obre 1<sup>10</sup> 2<sup>6, 36</sup> 4<sup>1</sup> 7<sup>1</sup>, 8, 18, 14, 16 9<sup>18</sup>. 700 [14 2. 4 4 7 14 14 15 16 18. 20 [14 2. 14 12] [14] [14 15 16 [17] 8. 16. 16 18] . 13 19 16 [19] 20<sup>8</sup>. 54. 6 [20] 21<sup>6</sup>. 7 22<sup>6</sup>. 7 18 [22] 8. 19] 22<sup>14</sup>. 18. 8. 9. 39; cxxii, clviii. ούτως, 2<sup>18</sup> 3<sup>6</sup>, <sup>16</sup> 9<sup>17</sup> [11<sup>6</sup>] 16<sup>18</sup> 18<sup>81</sup>, δφείλω (δφελον), 3<sup>15</sup>; i. 95, 96, δφθαλμός, 1<sup>7, 16</sup> 2<sup>18</sup> 3<sup>18</sup> 4<sup>6</sup> [4<sup>6</sup>] 5<sup>6</sup> 7<sup>17</sup> 1019 2144. 8015, [910] 120. 14 15 202; i. 325. όχλος, 7º [1716] 191. º; cxli, cxlii; ii. 114. δψις, 116 ; xxxii ; i. 30. παιδεύω, 3<sup>19</sup> ; lxix ; i. 99, 100. παίω, 7<sup>26</sup> [9<sup>5</sup>] ; i. 216, 243. πάλιν, 10<sup>8. 11</sup>. παντοκράτωρ, [1<sup>6</sup>] 4<sup>6</sup> 11<sup>17</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>14</sup> 16<sup>7</sup> 19<sup>6</sup> 1<sup>5</sup> 21<sup>83</sup>; lii, lvi, lxx, cx, cxlvii, clxii; i. 3, 20, 104, 127, 295, 387 n., 398 #. παρά (gen.), 2<sup>27</sup> 3<sup>18</sup>. (Dat.), 2<sup>13</sup>. παρά, uses of ; cxxxiv. παράδεισοs, 2'; i. 55; ii. 157-161. πάρδαλις, 13<sup>8</sup>. тареци, 17<sup>6</sup>; іі. 429 ж. παρεμβολή, 20°. [maplevos, 144]; ii. 8, 9, 10.

#28, 17 288 411 56. 6. 18 616. 18 71 (987.) 74. 9. 11. 14. 17 88 [87] 94 116 126 137. 8. 18. 18 144. 6 154 168. 50 188. 8. 18. 17. 10. 14. 14 11 / 14 19<sup>5</sup>. 17. 18. 11 2119. 17 2215 21 50. 45 228 218 22184. 11; j. 335, 336; ü. 155. πάσχω, 210. πατάσσω, 11<sup>6</sup> 19<sup>16</sup> ; lxxviii, lxxix ; i. 285. πατέω, 11º 14º 1918; i. 279. πατήρ, 16 227 36. 11 141. Πάτμος, 19. reir ; cxix. πειράω, 7<sup>16</sup>; xxxñ. πειράζω, 2<sup>2. 10</sup> 3<sup>10</sup>; i. 58. πецраσμόs, 3<sup>10</sup> ; i. 90. πελεκίζω, 204. πέμπτος, 6º 91+ 1610 2180. πέμπω, 111 1110 [1418] 1418 2216; #. 219. πενθέω, 1811. 18. 10 πένθος, 187. 8+ 214c; ii. 99, 100, 431 #. Térre, 95. 10 1710 ; i. 224 N. Πέργαμος, 1<sup>11</sup> 2<sup>13</sup>. περί (acc.), 15<sup>6</sup>. περιβάλλω, 3<sup>8</sup>· 1<sup>8</sup> 4<sup>6</sup> 7<sup>9</sup>· 1<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>1</sup> 17<sup>6</sup> 18<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>8</sup>· 1<sup>8</sup>; cxli; i. 82. περιζώννυμι, 118 15. περιπατέω,  $2^1$  16<sup>15</sup> (see 3<sup>8</sup>) 3<sup>4</sup> 9<sup>89</sup> 21<sup>84</sup>. πέτομαι, 4<sup>7</sup> 81<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>14</sup> 14<sup>4</sup> 19<sup>17</sup>; i. 302. πέτρα, 6<sup>15</sup> 1<sup>6</sup>. Tyyn, 717 [810] 147 164 214d : i. 217: ii. 14. πηχυs, 2117. πιάζω, 19<sup>30</sup>; xxxii ; ii. 139. πικραίνω, [8<sup>11</sup>] 10<sup>9. 10</sup>; i. 235. πίνω, 14<sup>10</sup> 18<sup>8</sup> (var) 16<sup>6</sup>. πίπτω, 117 25 410 58. 14 618. 16 711 [810] 9<sup>1</sup> 11<sup>13</sup> 16 14<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>19</sup> 17<sup>10</sup> 18<sup>3</sup> 19<sup>4</sup> [19<sup>10</sup>] 22<sup>8</sup>; i. 180, 238, 239; ii. 403 n. πίστις, 213. 19 1310 1413; xxix, cxv; i. 61, 335, 369. #10705, 1<sup>8</sup> 2<sup>10</sup>. <sup>18</sup> 3<sup>14</sup> 17<sup>14</sup> 19<sup>11</sup> 21<sup>8c</sup> 22<sup>6</sup>; xxix, cxv; ii. 216 π. πλασάω, 2<sup>30</sup> 12<sup>9</sup> 13<sup>14</sup> 18<sup>34</sup> 19<sup>30</sup> 20<sup>8</sup> 20<sup>8, 10</sup>. πλατεία, ΙΙ<sup>8</sup> 2Ι<sup>21</sup> 22<sup>2</sup>. πλάτος, 21<sup>16</sup> 20<sup>9</sup>; lxxix; ii. 190. πλέω, 1817. πληγή, 918. 20 116 138. 14 [151. 6] 158 169. 11 184. 8 219 [22140]; i. 334. πλήν, 225 ; cxxxviii. πληρόω, 3<sup>8</sup> 6<sup>11</sup> ; i. 80. [πλήσσω, 818.] πλοΐον, [80] 1810. πλούσιος, 2° 317 618 1310.

TXourtes. 317. 10 182. 15. 10; lxix; i. | **96**. πλούτος, 5<sup>13</sup> 18<sup>16</sup>; i. 149. πλύνω, 7<sup>14</sup> 22<sup>14</sup>; i. 188, 214. πνεύμα, [1<sup>4</sup>] 1<sup>10</sup> 2<sup>7</sup>. 11. 1<sup>7</sup>. 39 3<sup>1</sup>. 4. 18. 58 4<sup>8</sup> [4<sup>5</sup>] 5<sup>6</sup> 11<sup>11</sup> 13<sup>15</sup> 14<sup>18</sup> 16<sup>18</sup> [16<sup>16</sup>] 17<sup>8</sup> 18<sup>3</sup> [19<sup>10</sup>] 21<sup>10</sup> 22<sup>17. 6</sup>; lxxxviii, cix, cxiv; i. 11-13, 53, 110, 111; ii. 179, 218. πνευματικώς, 11<sup>8</sup>. πνέω, 71. ποδήρης, 1<sup>18</sup>; i. 27. πόθεν, 2<sup>5</sup> 718. Tote, 1° 2° 3°. 13 51° 117 1218. 17 1380. 7. 18. 18. 14. 18. 10 147 [1614] 1717 [1717] 171° 1918. 20 2187 223. 15 2180 [2211]; xxxii.; i. 336, 352, 353; ii. 14, 174, 178. Tomalro, 227 717 125 1915; xxxi, 1xxv, lxxviii, lxxxviii, cxlvii; i. 75, 76, 216, 217 ; ii. 116. **π**οîos, 3<sup>₽</sup>. πολεμέω, 216 127 134 1714 1911; i. 65; ii. 115. # 6 A E MOS, 97. 9 11 127. 17 137 1614 1919 208. πόλις, 3<sup>12</sup> ΙΙ<sup>2. 8.</sup> 18 Ι4<sup>20</sup> [16<sup>10</sup>] 16<sup>19</sup> 17<sup>18</sup> 18<sup>18.</sup> 16. 18. 19. 21 21<sup>10.</sup> 14. 15. 14. 18. 19 21. 23 2214 209 212 [2219]; ii. 157-161. πολύς, 1<sup>16</sup> 2<sup>19</sup> 5<sup>4</sup> 11 7<sup>9</sup> 8<sup>8</sup> [811] 9<sup>9</sup> 10<sup>11</sup> 14<sup>8</sup> 17<sup>1</sup> 19<sup>1. 6</sup>. 1<sup>8</sup>. #0rypbs, 162 Tóros, 1610. 11 214c; ii. 41. moprela, 221 921 148 172. 4 175 188 198; i. 255 ; ii. 61, 62, 65. πορνεύω, 214. 20 172 188. 9 #6prn, 171. 5+ 16 [1718] 198; ii. 65. πόρros, 2218 218 πορφύρα, 1812. πορφυροῦς, 17<sup>4</sup> 18<sup>16</sup>; xxxii ; ii. 64. 91, 94 n., 115 n. noraµós, [810] 914 1218. 16 164. 18 221. 2. ποταμοφόρητος, 1215; i. 302, 330, 331. #óre, 610. **ποτήριον**, 14<sup>10</sup> 16<sup>10</sup> 17<sup>4</sup> 18<sup>6</sup>; lxxiii, lxxiv; ii. 16. ποτίζω, 14<sup>8</sup> 18<sup>8</sup> (var.); ii. 96, 430 n. ποῦ, 2<sup>13</sup> #00, 118. 17 218 30 101. 8 1111 121 138 [1910] 22°; lxxi, cxlvii ; i. 29, 256, 259, 260. **πρεσβύτερος**, 4<sup>4.10</sup> 5<sup>6.6.8</sup> [5<sup>11</sup>] 5<sup>14</sup> 7<sup>11.18</sup> 11<sup>16</sup> 14<sup>8</sup> 19<sup>4</sup>; i. 128-133. πρόβατον, 1818 πρός (acc.), 1<sup>17</sup> 3<sup>20</sup> 10<sup>9</sup> 12<sup>5</sup> (bu). 13 13<sup>6</sup>. (Dat.), 118.

 $\pi \rho \delta s$ , uses of : cxxxiv. #ροτευχή, [5<sup>6</sup>] 88.4. προστυχή, [5<sup>6</sup>] 88.4. 13<sup>4</sup>.8.18.18 14<sup>7</sup>.6.11 15<sup>4</sup> [16<sup>3</sup>] 19<sup>4</sup> [19<sup>19</sup>] 19<sup>30</sup> 20<sup>4</sup> 22<sup>3</sup>.<sup>9</sup>; xxxii, cxli, clvi ; i. 211, 212, 335 ; ii. 3, 128, 129, 139, 213. with dat. = " worship." with acc. = " do homage to." πρόσωπον, 4<sup>7</sup> 616 711 97 101 1118 1216 2011 224 ; xci, cxxviii ; i. 302, 305, 330. προφητεία, 1º IIº [1910] 227. 18# [2210] 2210 ; i. 272. προφητεύω, 10<sup>11</sup> 11<sup>8</sup> , i. 269. προφήτητ, 10<sup>7</sup> 11<sup>18, 18</sup> 18<sup>20, 24</sup> 16<sup>6</sup> 226. 1 προφήτις, 2<sup>20</sup>. πρωινός, 288 2216 ; lxxxviii ; i. 77. πρώτος, 117 24. 6. 8. 19 41. 7 [87] 91 1318 16ª 2119 2050. 6 214d. 1 2218 ; j. 31 ; ii. 201. πρωτότοκος, 1<sup>5</sup>; lxxviii, lxxxiv, cxlvii; i. 14, 94 ; ii. 386 π. πτέρυξ, 4<sup>8</sup> 9<sup>9</sup> 12<sup>14</sup>. πτωμα, 11<sup>8.9</sup>; i. 272, 286. πτωχela, 2º. πτωχός, 317 1316; i. 56, 97. πυλών, 2113. 18. 18. 81. 86 2216; ii. 162. π<sup>()</sup>ρ, 1<sup>14</sup> 2<sup>18</sup> 3<sup>18</sup> 4<sup>8</sup> 8<sup>8</sup> [8<sup>7,8</sup>] 9<sup>17,18</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 11<sup>6</sup> 13<sup>13</sup> 14<sup>10</sup> [14<sup>18</sup>] 15<sup>3</sup> 16<sup>6</sup> 17<sup>16</sup> 18<sup>8</sup> 19<sup>13, 30</sup> 20<sup>8, 16, 14</sup> [20<sup>14</sup>] 20<sup>16</sup> 21<sup>8</sup>; cliv. πύρινος, 917. πυρόω, 115 318; i. 29, 98. πυρρός, 6<sup>4</sup> 12<sup>9</sup>; i. 162. πύρωσις, 18<sup>9</sup> 18. πωλέω, 1317. #ŵs, 3ª. ράβδος, 2<sup>97</sup> ΙΙ<sup>1</sup> Ι2<sup>5</sup> ΙΟ<sup>15</sup>. partisw, 19<sup>18</sup> (var.). [βέδη, 18<sup>13</sup>]; ii. 104. βίζα, 5<sup>8</sup> 22<sup>10</sup>; lxxxi. poupala, 118 212. 18 [68] 1918. 21 ; 1. 38. 171. 'Ρουβήν, 75. [buralro, 22<sup>11</sup>]; ii. 222. [ourapos, 22<sup>11</sup>]; ii. 222. σάκκος, 618 118. σάλπιγέ, 110 41 82. 4. 18 014 σαλπίζω, 84. 18 [87. 8. 10. 18] gl. 18 107 11115 ; i. 232. σαλπιστής, 1828. σάπφειρος, 2119; ii. 169. Zápôeis, 111 31.4,

σάρδιον, 4<sup>8</sup> 21<sup>20</sup> : i. 114. σαρδόνυξ, 2120 ; ii. 169. odož. 1716 1918. 11 ; ii. 74. Zaravâs, 2º. 18. 24 3º 12º 208. 1 σεισμός, 618 88 1118. 19 1618 σelw, 618. σeλήνη, 613 [818] 121 2133. σεμίδαλις, 1813; ii. 104. σημαίνω, 11; xxx1i : i. 6. onuelov, 121. 8 1318. 14 [151 1614] 1980; i. 300, 314. oryt, 8 ; i. 223. σίδηρος, 1812. σιδηρούς, 227 99 128 1915. σιφικός, 181°; ii. 91, 103, 115 #. σίτος, 6ª 1813. Σιών, 141. σκάνδαλον, 2<sup>14</sup>. σκεύος, 227 1813. σκηνή, 13<sup>6</sup> 15<sup>5†</sup> 21<sup>8</sup>; cli ; i. 353 ; ii. 37, 38, 205-207, 444 n. σκηνόω, 7<sup>16</sup> 12<sup>12</sup> 13<sup>6</sup> 21<sup>8</sup> ; xxxii ; i. 215, 302, 329 ; ii. 406 n. σκορπίος, 98 [96] 910. [σκοτίζω, 8<sup>19</sup>.] σκοτόω, 9<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>10</sup>. σμαράγδινος, 4<sup>8</sup> ; i. 114, 115. σμάραγδος, 21<sup>20</sup>. Σμύρνα, 111 28. Σόδομα, 11<sup>8</sup>; i. 287, 288. σοφία, 5<sup>18</sup> 7<sup>18</sup> 13<sup>18</sup> 17<sup>9</sup>; xxix ; i. 149, 364. σπέρμα, 12<sup>17</sup>. σπήλαιον, 618. στάδιον, 1429 2116; ii. 25, 163. σταυρόω, 118. σταφυλή, 1418 ortéparos, 210 311 44.10 62 97 121 1414; lxxxiv; i. 58, 59, 131, 163, 164; ii. 20. στήθος, 15. στήκω, 124; ii. 417 #. ormplyw, 3 στολή, 611 7º. 18. 14 2214; i. 184-188, 213. στόμα, 116 216 316 917. 18. 19 109. 10 115 1218. 16 131. 6. 6 148 1618 1918. 11. στράτευμα, 916 1914. 19. στρέφω, 11 στρηνιάω, 187. 9. στρήνος, 183. στύλος, 3<sup>18</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> ; i. 90, 91. συκή, 6<sup>18</sup>. συμβουλεύω, 318. Συμεών, 71. συνάγω, 1614. 18 1917. 18 208. συναγωγή, 2º 3º; i. 57, 58.

σύνδουλος, 611 [1910] 22°. συνκοινωνέω, 184 ; İxxxvi. συνκοινωνός, 1°; i. 21. συντρίβω, 237; i. 77; ii. 393 %. σύρω, 124. σφάζω, 56. 0. 18 64. 0 138. 8 1824 : xxxii: i. 141, 143, 147, 153, 349 ; ii. 113. σφόδρα, 16<sup>41</sup>; xxxi; ii. 53. σφραγίζω, 7<sup>3. 4. 8. 8</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 208 2210 lxxi. lxxxi, lxxxv n. ; i. 194-198. σφραγίς, 51. 2. 5. 9 61. 2. 5. 7. 9. 12 72 81 94; lxxi #.; i. 197, 198 #. [σώμα, 1818]; ii. 104, 105. σωτηρία, 7<sup>19</sup> 12<sup>19</sup> 19<sup>1</sup>; ixxi; i, 211, 301, 326. ταλαίπωρος, 317; i. 96, 97. ταλαντιαίος, 16<sup>21</sup>; ii. 53. ταμείον, 2013 ; ii. 195-198. τάγος, 11 22°. ταχύ, 216 311 1116 227. 18. 30 τείχος, 2119, 14, 18, 17, 18, 19 TERVOV, 238 124. 5. τελέω, 107 117 [151] 158 1717 208 [2016] 207; i. 285. τέλος, 238 21 cc 2218 ; ii. 220. теобара́корта, 7<sup>4</sup> 11<sup>3</sup> 13<sup>55</sup> 14<sup>1</sup>. <sup>3</sup> 21<sup>17</sup>. те́обарез, 4<sup>4</sup>. <sup>6</sup>. <sup>8</sup>. <sup>10</sup> 5<sup>6</sup>. <sup>8</sup>. <sup>14</sup> 6<sup>1</sup>. <sup>6</sup> 7<sup>1</sup>. <sup>3</sup>. 4. 11 Q14. 15 1116 141. 8. 157 1Q4 2117 20<sup>8</sup>; i. 115 n., 224 n. τέταρτος, 4<sup>7</sup> δ<sup>7. 8</sup> [8<sup>12</sup>] 16<sup>8</sup> 21<sup>20</sup>. τετράγωνος, 21<sup>16</sup>. τέχνη, 18220 τεχνίτης, 1822 τηλικούτος, 1618. τηρέω, 1<sup>8</sup> 2<sup>86</sup> 3<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>15</sup> (see 3<sup>8</sup>) 3<sup>8</sup>. <sup>19</sup> 12<sup>17</sup> 14<sup>18</sup> 22<sup>7.9</sup>; xxxii, lxxxiv n., lxxxviii; i. 8, 89, 90, 302, 369. τίθημι, 1<sup>17</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 11<sup>9</sup>. τίκτω, 12<sup>2, 4, 5, 13</sup> τίμη, 49. 11 518. 18 718 2196 : i. 149. τίμιος, 174 1818. 16 2111. 19 τιμιότης, 181º; clii; ii. 106, 107. TIS. CXXII. See el. Tis, Ti, 27. 11. 17. 20 36. 18. 21 58 617 718 134 (bis) 154 177 1818. TOEON, 6ª. τοπάζιον 21<sup>30</sup>. 76705, 25 614 126. 8. 14 1618 1817 2011 : ü. 105, 106. TOTOUTOS, 187. 18. 700, and Inf. (Hebrew idiom); cxxvii, cxlvi, clviii s., clxii; i. 304, 305, 321-322. Tpeis, 60 80. 6 818 918 110. 11 1618 [1610] 2118; clvi, clix; i. 224 #. τρέφω, 12<sup>6, 16</sup>.

τρέχω, 9<sup>8</sup>. τρίτος, 4<sup>7</sup> 6<sup>5</sup> [8<sup>7</sup>. 8. 9. 10. 11. 19] 9<sup>16</sup>. 18 107 1114 1115 124 149 164 2119 ; i. 233. TPLXINOS, 613. τρυγάω, 14<sup>18, 19</sup> τυφλός, 317. bakirθıros, 9<sup>17</sup>; i. 253. báκırθos, 21<sup>20</sup>; ii. 170. ύάλινοs, 4<sup>6</sup> 15<sup>2</sup>. δaλos, 21<sup>18. 21</sup>; cxlii. δδωρ, 115 717 [810. 11] 116 1 215 142. 7 10<sup>4</sup> [16<sup>4</sup>] 16<sup>1</sup> 17<sup>1</sup> [17<sup>16</sup>] 19<sup>6</sup> 22<sup>1</sup>. 17 21<sup>6</sup> verós, 11º. viór, 112 214. 18 74 125 1414 2112. 7; lxviii, lxxxiii; i. 27; ii. 19, 20. See opoios. Øπάγω, 10<sup>8</sup> 13<sup>10</sup> 14<sup>4c</sup> 16<sup>1</sup> 17<sup>8, 11</sup> : ii. 429 #. tro, uses of; cxxxiv; i. 171. ύπό (gen.), [6<sup>8</sup>] 6<sup>13</sup>. υποκάτω, 5<sup>8. 18</sup> 6° 121 ; cxxxiv. υπομονή, I<sup>9</sup> 2<sup>8. 8. 19</sup> 3<sup>10</sup> 13<sup>10</sup> 14<sup>18</sup>; xxix, lx n.; i. 21, 40, 49, 368. υψηλός, 2110. 12. 0¥05, 2118. \$alro, 116 [812] 18225 2128. φανερόω, 3<sup>18</sup> 154. фариакla, 9<sup>21</sup> 18<sup>22/</sup>; i. 255. φαρμακό, 2215 218. φέρω, 2124. 26. φεύγω, 9<sup>6</sup> 12<sup>6</sup> 16<sup>90</sup> 20<sup>11</sup>. \$8 elpw, 198. φιάλη, 5<sup>8</sup> 157 161. 8. 8. 4. 8. 10. 18. 17 171 21°; ii. 39. Φιλαδελφία, 111 37. φιλέω, 319 2215 ; xxxii ; i. 99. φλόξ, 114 218 1918 φοβέομαι, 117 210 1 118 147 154 198 ; ii. 13. φόβοι, ΙΙ1 1810. 18. φοίνξ, 7º ; xxxii ; i. 211. poreus, 2215 218. φό**νο**ς, 9<sup>21</sup>; i. 255. φρέαρ, 9<sup>1. 8</sup>. φυλακή, 210 18º 207. φυλή, 17 55. 9 74. 8. 6. 7. 8. 9 119 137 146 2118; 1. 147. φύλλον, 22<sup>3</sup> 161. 17. 18 181. 4. 11. 1ac 191. 4. 4. 17 21º; cxlii, cxlviii, cliii.

Ø@1. 1828 2124 225. oworthe, 2111; ii. 161-162. φωτίζω, 181 2128 225; lxxvi, lxxvii, cxli, cxlviii ; ii. 210, 211, 444 #. χαίρω, ΙΙ<sup>10</sup> 19<sup>7</sup>. χάλαζα, [87] 1110 1611. χαλινός, 14<sup>90</sup>. χαλκηδών, 2119 ; ii. 160. χαλκολίβaros, 1<sup>18</sup> 2<sup>18</sup>; i. 29. χαλκός, 1818. χαλκούς, 930. χάραγμα, 13<sup>16.17</sup> 14<sup>9.11</sup> [16<sup>8</sup>] 19<sup>80</sup> 204 ; i. 362 n. χάρις, 1<sup>4</sup> 22<sup>31</sup>; 1xxxiv, 1xxxvi; i. 9. χείρ, 1<sup>16</sup> 6<sup>6</sup> 7<sup>9</sup> 8<sup>4</sup> 9<sup>30</sup> 10<sup>3</sup>. <sup>6</sup>. <sup>8</sup>. 10 13<sup>16</sup> 14<sup>9</sup>. <sup>14</sup> 17<sup>4</sup> 19<sup>3</sup> 20<sup>1</sup>. <sup>4</sup>. xtpa, 187. χιλίαρχος, 615 1918; i. 182. Xilids, 511 74. 8. 4. 7. 8 1118 141. 8 2118: clix. χίλιοι, 11<sup>8</sup> 12<sup>6</sup> 14<sup>20</sup> 20<sup>2. 3. 4/</sup> [20<sup>5</sup>] 20<sup>6.7</sup>. [xiw, 114.] χλιαρός, 3<sup>16</sup> ; i. 96. χλωρός, 6<sup>8</sup> [8<sup>7</sup>] 9<sup>4</sup>; i. 163 m., 168. 169. χοινιξ, 6°; i. 166. χορτάζω, 19<sup>21</sup>. χόρτος, [87] 94. χούs, 1819. χρεία, 3<sup>17</sup> 21<sup>88</sup> 22<sup>8</sup>. χρείαν έχω, i. 96 ; ii. 210. Χριστός, 1<sup>1. 2. 5</sup> 11<sup>15</sup> 12<sup>10</sup> 20<sup>41. 6</sup>; lxxii; i. 6, 294. Xpóros, 2<sup>21</sup> 6<sup>11</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 20<sup>8</sup>; i. 263. χρυσίον, 3<sup>18</sup> 17<sup>4</sup> (var.) 18<sup>16</sup> 21<sup>18</sup>. . χρυσόλιθος, 21<sup>20</sup>; ii. 169. χρυσόπρασος, 21<sup>20</sup>; ii. 170. χρυσός, 97 174 (var.) 1812. χρυσούs, 118, 18. 20 21 44 58 88 918. 20 1414 154. 7 174 2115. χρυσόω, 174 1810 : ii. 64. ψευδήs, 2ª 21ª. ψεύδομαι, 3°. ψευδοπροφήτης, 1618 1980 2010; i. 342-344 ; ii. 47. Veldos, 14<sup>6</sup> 21<sup>87</sup> 22<sup>18</sup>. ψηφίζω, 1318.  $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi_{03}, 2^{17}; i. 66, 67.$  $\psi v \chi \eta, 6^9 [8^9] 12^{11} 16^3 18^{13. 14} 20^4.$ ψυχρόs, 315. 14. \*Q, [1\*] 21\*\* 2218; i. 20. ade, 41 1118 1310. 18 1418 179; cxxxviii ; i. 364.

| $\dot{\psi}\delta\eta, 5^{9}$ 14 <sup>8</sup> [15 <sup>8</sup> ] 15 <sup>8</sup> ; ] <b>xxviii</b> ; ii. 34-36.<br>$\dot{\omega}\delta\iota\omega\omega, 12^{3}.$<br>$\dot{\omega}\nu, \delta, 1^{4}$ [1 <sup>8</sup> ] 4 <sup>6</sup> 11 <sup>17</sup> 16 <sup>48</sup> ; ] <b>xxviii</b> ,<br>cx, cxii, clii; i. 10, 295.<br>$\delta\rho a, 3^{2}. 10 9^{16}$ 11 <sup>13</sup> 14 <sup>7</sup> [14 <sup>16</sup> ] 17 <sup>13</sup><br>18 <sup>10</sup> . 16. 13; i. 81; ii. 71.<br>$\dot{\omega}\gamma, 1^{10}. 14$ (bas) 14. 15 (bas). 16. 17 216. 34.<br>$\dot{37}$ (bbs) 16 <sup>18</sup> 3 <sup>4</sup> c 4 <sup>1</sup> . 6. 7 5 <sup>6</sup> 6 <sup>1</sup> . 6. 11. 13<br>(bbs, 13. 16 8 <sup>1</sup> [8 <sup>6</sup> . 10. 11] 9 <sup>2</sup> . 3 [9 <sup>5</sup> ] 9 <sup>7</sup> | (bis). <sup>6</sup> (bis). <sup>9</sup> (bis). <sup>17</sup> 10 <sup>1</sup> (bis). <sup>7</sup> . <sup>8</sup> . <sup>16</sup><br>12 <sup>15</sup> 13 <sup>2</sup> (bis). <sup>8</sup> . <sup>11</sup> 14 <sup>3</sup> (ber). <sup>3</sup> 15 <sup>3</sup> 16 <sup>3</sup><br>[16 <sup>13</sup> ] 16 <sup>31</sup> 17 <sup>13</sup> 18 <sup>6</sup> . <sup>31</sup> 19 <sup>1</sup> . <sup>6</sup> (ter). <sup>13</sup><br>21 <sup>11. <sup>31</sup></sup> 22 <sup>1</sup> 20 <sup>6</sup> 21 <sup>3</sup> 22 <sup>13</sup> .<br><sup>30</sup> (bis). <sup>31</sup> |
|---|---|
| II. HEBRAISMS. <sup>1</sup>   |   |
| dπ∂ προσώπου, 1214 = YED, "becauseof"; p. cxlviii.βάλλωels κλίνην, 223 = "to caston a bed of suffering"; p. cxlvi.Dative-τοῦς δὲ δειλοίς, 218, a Hebrewidiom; p. cxlviii.διδόναι =  n], "to requite," 223 (i.e. =άποδιδόναι); p. cxlviii.διδόναι =  n], "to set," 38 (= τιθέναι);p. cxlviii.ταις προσευχαίς, 88, "to offer uponthe prayers"; p. cxlviii.χάραγμα ἐπί (= 5½ m  n]) 1316, "to, set a mark upon"; vol. i. 362.=  n], "to give up," 2013 (= παρα-διδόναι); vol. ii. 198 ad fin.έκδικεῦν τὸ alμα έκ, 610 195; vol.  | kal introducing apodosis and therefore<br>not to be translated, 3 <sup>30</sup> 10 <sup>7</sup> 14 <sup>10</sup> ;<br>p. cxlviii.<br>λέγοντος ώς φωτή (for φωτῆ), 6 <sup>1</sup> ; p.<br>cxlviii.<br>μlar έκ, 6 <sup>1</sup> = "first of"; p. cxlviii.<br>Nominative standing in apposition to<br>an oblique case, 1 <sup>5</sup> 2 <sup>13</sup> 50 3 <sup>18</sup> 8 <sup>9</sup> 9 <sup>14</sup><br>14 <sup>13</sup> 20 <sup>3</sup> ; p. cxlix sq.<br>Nominativus pendens, 2 <sup>35</sup> 3 <sup>12</sup> 2 <sup>1</sup> 6 <sup>8</sup> ;<br>p. cxlix.<br>δνομα = δνόματα, 17 <sup>8</sup> ; p. cxlviii.<br>δ καθήμενος αδτῷ δ θάνατος, 6 <sup>8</sup> ;<br>vol. i. 169 π.<br>δ θεδς δ παντοκράτωρ; p. cxlvii.<br>Participle resolved in succeeding<br>clauxe into finite warb, 1 <sup>35</sup> 1 <sup>8</sup>   |
| εκοικείν το αίμα εκ, 0-2 19-3, νοι.<br>i. 175.<br>έν μέσφ έν μέσφ, 5 <sup>6</sup> =, ε, ε, ε, ε, μ,   | clause into finite verb, 1 <sup>5-6, 18</sup><br>2 <sup>2, 9, 20, 23</sup> 3 <sup>9</sup> 7 <sup>14</sup> 14 <sup>2-5</sup> 15 <sup>2-3</sup> (20 <sup>4</sup> );<br>pp. cxliv-cxlvi.   |
| "between and"; p. cxlviii.<br>$drl i 7^{-} y$ , "because of"; p. cxlviii.<br>Finite verb ( $\phi a l \nu e \iota$ ) instead of parti-<br>ciple, $1^{6}$ ; p. cxlvii.<br>Futures to be rendered as presents or<br>pasts, $4^{9\cdot10}$ I $3^{8}$ ; p. cxlviii sq.;<br>vol. ii. 399 m., 420 m.<br>$dd \nu a ros$ (=  | Passages needing to be retranslated<br>into Hebrew in order to discover<br>the corruption or inadequate trans-<br>lation, $13^{6.11}$ $15^{6.6}$ $17^6$ $18^{6.18}$ $18^{-28}$ ; vol. i. 337, 351, 358 sq., ii. 37<br>sq., 65, 100, 106, 109 sq., 210 sq.,<br>431 <i>n.</i> , $433$ <i>n.</i> , $452$ .<br>Pleonastic pronouns added in oblique<br>cases after relatives, $3^8$ $7^{8.9}$ $12^{6.14}$<br>$13^{6.19}$ $20^6$ ; p. cxlix.<br><b>#</b> $\delta\delta es$ , $10^1$ ( <i>i.e.</i> $10^{12} - 3 \propto 6 \lambda \eta$ ); p.   |
| Infinitive preceded by $\tau o \hat{v}$ , $12^7 = finite$<br>verb; cxlvi; vol. i. 321, 322.   | cxlvii.<br>Tochalvecs, 2 <sup>27</sup> 12 <sup>5</sup> 19 <sup>15</sup> (= "to break  |

- Infinitive resolved in succeeding clause into finite verb,  $13^{16}$ ; p. cxlvi.  $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $12^{-1}29^{-1}$  (2) (2) (2)  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $12^{5}$ ; vol. i. p. 14.  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $12^{5}$ ; vol. i. p. 14.  $\nu\partial\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $12^{5}$ ; p. cxlvii.  $\nu\partial\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $12^{5}$ ; p. cxlviii.

<sup>1</sup> For the following transliterations of Hebrew words, see the Greek Index: 'Αβαδίών, άλληλουιά, ἀμήν, 'Αρ Μαγεδών, βύσσινος, ἰβραιστί, λίβανος, μάννα, Ζατανᾶς, σάπφειρος.

## III. PASSAGES IN OUR AUTHOR BASED ON THE O.T., THE PSEUDEPIGRAPHA AND THE N.T.

See vol. i. pp. lxv-lxxxvi.

## IV. INDEX TO COMMENTARY, VOLS I. AND II.

References to Introduction (Roman numerals) are given first, then references to the Commentary proper.

- Abaddon, king of demonic locusts, i. | Alogi, 245-246. Abbreviations, explained, cxc-cxci; in Greek Text, ii. 233-235. originally (1)=ocean that Abyss, enfolded the earth, but is restrained beneath now earth, i. 240. then (2) = abode of God's enemy, (3) = a great chasm, i. 240. In 1 Enoch abysses are places of punishment. I. preliminary, for fallen angels ; 2. final, for fallen angels and demons; 3. final, for Satan, angels, demons and wicked men, i. 241. In J<sup>ap</sup>=preliminary place of pun-ishment of fallen angels, demons, Beast and False Prophet, and prison for 1000 years of Satan, ii. 239-242. Gehenna, Lake of Fire, See Punishment, Sheol. Accuser of the brethren, i. 327. See Satan. Additions. See Apocalypse. Advent, Second, description of, i. 17-19. expected imminently, i. 1, 43, ii. 218, 221, 226. suddenness of, i. 80, 81. Allegories, cviii. See Apocalypse, anthor's method. Almighty, cxlvii, i. 20, ii. 398 #. See
- God, Titles of.

- rejected Apocalypse. See Apoc., Circulation of.
- Alpha and Omega. See God, Titles of.
- Altar, in Jerusalem-measuring of, i. 277.
  - in heaven, heavenly counterpart of altar on earth, 1. 172, 227. before the throne, i. 112.
    - combines characteristics of altar of incense and partly of altar of burnt-offering, i. 112, 172, 226-231.
    - souls under-of martyrs, i. 172-174, 228. See Souls.
    - voices from, i. 174, 229, 247, 248, ü. 123.
- Amen, various uses of - initial, detached, final, i. 19, 20, 151, 152; as Title of Christ = the True One, i. 19, 94.
- Anathema in 22<sup>18b. 19</sup> interpolated by Editor, lv, ii. 222, 223.
  - not by John the Seer, I. presupposes distant advent.
    - 2. style and diction not John's. 3. penalty inappropriate, ii. 222, 223.
- use of, in other works, ii. 223. Ancient of Days. See Son of Man. Angel, a special, mediates Apocalypse, lxxxvii #., i. 1, 5, 6.
  - an, given equal or superior function to Son of Man, by interpolator, liii, ii. 21.

- Angel, as guide and interpreter ?= | Christ, in chap. iv., i. 108, 100. who censes prayers of saints-not Michael, nor an elder, but possibly Angel of Peace, i. 226, 227. Angelic interpreters, lxxxvii #., i. 108, 1 30, ii. 62, etc. intercession, i. 146, ii. 224. mediators, i. 130, 132, 145, ii. 224, 225. Angels, classes of-include Cherubim (highest order in 1st cent. A.D.), ii. 39; and Elders, i. 128-133. functions of mediate judgment, 85. 2. 6 [7. 8. 10. 13] 9<sup>1. 18</sup> 10<sup>7</sup> 1118 15[1]. 6. 7. 8 161. 2. 8. 4. 8.
  - 10. 12. 17 171 18<sup>1. 11</sup> 19<sup>17</sup> 20<sup>1</sup> 21<sup>9</sup>, lxxxvii *n*. protection, 7<sup>8</sup>.
    - punishment, 9<sup>11. 14. 18</sup>, i. 247-251, ii. 39.
    - revelation, 1<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup>. 5. 8. 9. 10 14<sup>6</sup>. 8. 9 17<sup>1</sup>. 7 21<sup>9</sup> 22<sup>6</sup>. 16. 8, 1xxxvii *n*.
    - praise God, 7<sup>11</sup>; praise Lamb, 5<sup>11</sup>, i. 115, 116, 148, 211.
    - present men's prayers, 8<sup>s</sup>, i. 145, ii. 224.
    - represent churches, 1<sup>20</sup> 2<sup>1. 8. 12</sup>. <sup>18</sup> 3<sup>1. 7. 14</sup>. See below.
    - rule natural forces, a lower order, 7<sup>1</sup> (of winds, four), [16<sup>5</sup> of waters], [14<sup>18</sup> of fire], i. 191-193, 203, ii. 44. serve God, 3<sup>5</sup> 14<sup>10</sup>. war for God, 12<sup>7</sup>. See
    - Michael.
    - (evil) war against God, 127.9.
  - special (a) four, bound at river Euphrates, to let loose demonic hosts against unbelieving world, unparalleled elsewhere, probably angels of punishment, i. 250, 251 z.
    - (b) of Seven Churches, probably heavenly ideals (or counterparts), i. 2, 34 (see Seven Stars), not actual messengers, delegates, officials, bishops or guardian angels, i. 34.
  - worship of, a common Jewish practice, ii. 224, 225.

- Angels, worship of, forbidden in Apoc. as by St. Paul, i. 9, ii. 130, 224, 225.
- See Cherubim, Elders, Michael. Answer = "remark," i. 212.
- Anthropomorphic details avoided, i. 113.
- Antichrist, A. term-late development of (not in Apoc.), ii. 77.
  - conception—earlier development of, and fusion with Beliar and Neronic myths, i. 350, ii. 76-87.
  - variously conceived—(a) as individual, God-opposing, ii. 77;
     = Antiochus Epiphanes in Daniel, ii. 77.
    - = Pompey in Psalms of Solomon, ii. 78.
    - =? Caligula, ii. 78.
    - (b) collectively, as (i.) secular power—Seleucid Syria, ii. 78; Rome, ii. 79; Rome under Nero redivivus, ii. 79.
      - (ii.) religious power false teachers in Church (Johannine Epistles), ii. 79; or heathen priesthood of Emperor-worship = the Beast the False Prophet, ii. 80.
  - fused with Beliar myth
    - as (1) a God-opposing man, armed with Satanic powers, restrained by Roman imperial power, ii. 80, 81, 82.
      - (2) a heathen priestly corporation, ii. 82.
      - (3) a purely Satanic power, ii. 83
  - fused with Neronic myth
    - as (1) incarnation of Beliar as Antuchrist in Nero still conceived as living, ii. 84.
      - (2) in form of dead Nero, in Ascension of Isaiah, ii. 84, 85.
        (3) in Nero redivivus, in
      - (3) in Nero redivivus, in Sibylline Oracles, and Rev. xiii., xvii., ii. 85-87.
      - e.g. beast that died but was restored to life, ii. 54, 60, 68, and eighth horn which was yet one of the Seven (=Demonic Nero), i. 349, 350, ii. 70-71.

- - of, in *Apocalypse* Jewish view surviving (in origi-nal sources of 11<sup>1-13</sup>—not by John the Seer, i. 270-273), of Antichrist in Jerusalem (here only)=beast ascending from abyss (II<sup>7</sup>), xxv, i. 257, 258, 269, 270, 285, 286 (in present context idea apparently is of demonic Nero Antichrist, i. 285, 286, ii. 83).
  - Twofold manifestation of Roman Antichrist, the two Beasts of chap xiii., one from sea = imperial power, 13<sup>1</sup>, i. 332, 333, 340-352.
    - one from land = Asiatic priesthood of imperial cult, 1311, i. 357-361 (in original source =a Jewish Antichrist, i. 342, 343. See Beasts).
  - Two conceptions of Roman Antichrist in chap. xvii., p. xxv, ii. 58-61, cf. i. 339, ii. 77-79.
    - (1)=Roman Empire, 17<sup>8</sup> etc.
    - (2)=Nero redivivus, to return from abyss, 178. 11 etc.
  - C. Conceptions variously referred by interpreters to Caligula, i. 338, 339, 349–352, 368 n.
    - Domitian, i. 367, ii. 70-71 (but this impossible—as he was not dead, or regarded as pre-existent or as slain, or one of seven, or about to ascend from abyss, or to lead Parthian hosts or muster nations against the Word of God, ii. 70, 71).
    - Nero redivivus, i. 286, 333, 334, 339, 340, 350, 359, 360, ii. 46, 54, 58, 81–87, 98, 107, 108.
    - Roman Empire, i. 339, 342, 345, ii. 50-7-"heads" are seven emperors,

Titus, i. 367, ii. 69. D. Characteristic acts of=blasphemous claims, irresistible conquest and persecution of saints, i. 352, 353-354. counterpart, Satanic, of Christ, i. 349, 358.

- Antichrist, B. Various conceptions | Antichrist, kingdom of=Third Woe, i. 264.
  - manifestation of, i. 206 ., 243, 263-266, 292, 333.
  - mark of, 1. 362-368.
  - miracles expected in connection with, i. 359, 361. number of, i. 364-368. punishment of, ii. 126, 135-

  - I40.
  - reign of, to last 31 years, a period never satisfactorily explained, i. 279, 280.
  - supported by Parthian kings, 11. 46, 71-74; and with Parthian kings to destroy Rome, ii. 55, 71–73, 107.
  - symbolized by Dragon, i. 310. vanquished by Christ (Lamb, 1714), ii. 74. See Beasts.
  - Antipas, martyr at Pergamum, i. 62.
  - Aorists, Greek, how to be rendered, cxxiv-cxxv.
  - Apocalypse, aim of, to assure Christian Church of ultimate victory of Christ's cause, ciii-civ.
    - author of, traditional views-Cerinthus, xxxix n.
    - John the Apostle, xxxvii #., xxxix, xl, xlin-xlv.

John the Elder, xl, xli.

- modern critical view John the Elder, xli, xlii.
- but not by John the Apostle, as he was martyred before 70 A.D., xxxvii, xxxviii, in accordance with Jesus' pro-phecy, Papias' tradition, other ancient writers and the Syriac martyrology, xlv-xlix.
- nor by John the Elder. See below, Relation to Fourth Gospel.
- but by John the Seer, xxxix. See John the Seer.
- author's method Visions in a trance, or with spirit translated, or waking vision, cv; and also highest form of spiritual experience, in which reason assists, by arranging materials, free allegorizing, and adaptation of historical materials, cviii. --- N.B. Con-

saw," cix. See Psychic Experiences.

- Apocalypse, circulation and reception of, xcvii-ciii.
  - I. probable traces in Apostolic Fathers, xcvii, xcviii.
  - 2. almost universally accepted in Asia Minor, Western Syria. Africa. Rome. Southern Gaul, xcviii-c.
  - 3. two protests against, Marcion, Alogi and Caius, c, ci.
  - 4. critical estimate of, by Dionysius of Alexandria, ci.
  - 5. rejected for some time by Syro - Palestinian Church and Churches of Asia Minor, ci, cii.
  - 6. unknown for some centuries Eastern in Syrian and Armenian Churches, cii.
  - 7. always accepted as canonical in West, gradually adopted in East, cii.
  - Date of, xci-xcvii; external evidence-Claudian and Neronic, xcii. Trajanic, xcii. Domitianic, xci-xciii.

internal evidence - Neronic -

true of certain sources only, 111-18 12(?) 131-7.10, xciii, xciv.

Vespasianic—true of original Seven Letters, 17-18, and of 1 3<sup>11-17</sup>, xciv.

Domitianic - imperial cult then first enforced, xciv, xcv; Nero redivivus myth not earlier, xcv-xcvii.

- Doctrines, cix-cxvii; of Godgracious attributes not emphasized, cix., cx.
  - Christ historical of esus Christ, cxi.

exalted Christ, cxi.

unique, Son of God; Preexistent and Divine, cxi, cxii; yet absolute existence not attributed to Him, cxii; Final Judgment by the Father only, cxii. ; as Great High Priest, Lamb of God, cxii-cxiv.

of Holy Spirit, cxiv.

- ventional use of phrase "I | Apocalypse, doctrines, of works, cxv } relation of, to "White Gar-ments," cxv, cxvi. See Garments, Works.
  - of Resurrection. First and Second, cxvi. See Resurrection.
  - of Millennium, cxvi. See Millennium.
  - of Judgment, cxvi, cxvii. See Judgment.
  - Editor of, 1-lv, a better Greek scholar, a Jew of Asia Minor, knew no Hebrew, l, li: makes stupid interpolations, insists on celibacy, lii; exalts angel above Son of Man, liii, lvi; empties Millennial Reign of significance, liv; removes "chambers" of souls, interpolates anathema, lv.
  - Fulfilment, imminent, expected, i. 6, 8, ii. 218.
  - Grammar of, xxi, cxvii-clix.

Table of contents of, cxvii. Parts of Speech, case, number, gender, cxvii-cxlii.

- Hebraic style of, cxlii, cxliii.
- Hebraisms in, cxliv-clii, clxii.
- Unique expressions and Solecisms, clii-clvi.
- Order and combination of words, clvi-clix.
- Interpolations, -----Dislocations, Lacunae and Dittographs. lvi-lxi. See also l-lv.

Peculiar constructions in, clv.

- Materials used in, (1) sources used in Greek, Hebrew, and some uncertain which, lxii-lxv.
  - (2) Old Testament Books quoted, lxv-lxxxii; on whole, translated directly from Hebrew, but some influenced by Septuagint and another Greek Version, lxvi-lxviii; Passages based directly on Hebrew, lxviii-lxxvii; based on Hebrew, but influenced by LXX, lxxviii-lxxix; based on Hebrew, but influenced by other versions, lxxx, lxxxi; reminiscent of O.T. passages, lxxxi, lxxxii.
  - (3) Pseudepigrapha, lxv, lxxxii, İxxxiii.

Apocalypse, materials used in

- (4) New Testament Books, esp. Matt. Luke, I Thess. I and 2 Cor. Colos. Eph. Little Apocalypse (but not Mark, lxvi #.), lxv, lxvi, lxxxiii-lxxxvi.
- Plan of, xxiii xxviii; a Letter, xxiv: Prologue and Epilogue, organic parts of, xxiv ; Sevenfold division of, xxiv, xxv ; additions to, proleptic,
- **Publication** of, immediate, enjoined, unlike Jewish Apocalypses, ii. 221.
- Relation of, a. to Fourth Gospel, xxix, xxxiv.
  - I. from different author-difference in grammar, in diction, in words or forms of words. in meanings of same word or phrase, xxix-xxxii; in use of quotations, xxxvi.
  - authors related to one another. by literary connection and theological affinities, xxxiixxxiv. See Fourth Gospel.
  - **b.** to Johannine epistles xxxivxxxvii, xxxviii, xlii; from different author, no solecisms in latter, xxxiv; also different in constructions, xxxiv, XXXV. See Iohn the Elder.
- Short Account of, xxv-xxviii.
- Symbolism in, cvi-cvii.
- **Text** of, (1) Interpolations in, lvilviii.
  - Dislocations of, lviii-lx.
  - Lacunae in, lx, lxi.
  - Dittographs in, lxi.

.

- (2) original authorities. (1) uncials and some chief cursives, clx--clxxxiii; relative values of, clxxi - clxxvi;
  superiority of A, clx-clxvi.
  (2) Versions—short accounts of, clxxviii-clxxx; relative
  - values of, clxvi-clxxi.
  - (3) Origen's scholia, clxxvi. clxxvii.
  - (4) Papyrus fragments, ii. 447-
- Genealogical table of, authorities of, clxxxi.

Apocalypse, to be read in Church Services, i. 7, ii. 226.

- Apocalypse, meaning = a revealing of something hidden, i. 5.
  - word not used as title of work before
- John's Apocalypse, i. 4. Apocalypse of Jesus Christ=that given by Jesus Christ, i. 6.
- Apocalypse of John, given by God to Christ, i. 1, 5.
  - sent by Christ through the angel to John, i. 1, 5.
  - witnessed to by John, i. 1, 5, 6.
- Apocalypse, the Little, i. 159: possibly known to John the Seer, lxvi.
- why pseudonymous. Apocalypses, xxxviii ; early Christian ones not so, xxxix.
- Apocalyptic symbols—e.g. lamps = stars, i. 123; star=angel, i. 239.
- Apollyon, i. 246, 247.
- Apostle, title of, not claimed by John the Seer, xliii.
- Apostles, self-styled, not Judaizers, nor St. Paul or his followers. but Nicolaitans, i. 50.
- Archangels, relation of, to Seven Spirits (of 14), i. 11-13.
  - to astral deities in Zend or Babylonian religions, i. 11, 12.
  - the Seven, = Angels of the Presence, i. 225.
- Ark, the heavenly, manifestation of, ushers in last Woe, i. 297.
  - in Holy Jerusalem : the Lamb to be ark, ii. 171 (restoration).
- Armageddon. See Har-Magedon.
- Ascension, in cloud, of Two Witnesses, paralleled by that of Moses in Ascension of Moses, i. 291.
- Asceticism. See Celibacy.
- Asia, varying extension of term, i. 9.
- Atonement, by martyrs' death, 173 n. See Redemption.
- Authorship. See Apocalypse, Johannine Writings.
- Authority over nations (=their destruction), i. 75-77.
- Babylon, a symbol for Rome in 1st cent. A.D., ii. 14.
  - prophetic description of, reproduced for Rome, ii. 62, 63, 72.

- Babylonian origins of Dragon Myth, i. 311. See Dragon, Origins.
- Balaamites, a variety of Gnostics, tempted to idolatry and licentiousness (as Balaam in Hebrew tradition), i. 63.
  - contrary to commands of Apostolic Council at Jerusalem, i. 53; also known as Nicolaitans, i. 52, 64.
- Baptism, the seal of, i. 197.
- Baruch, II, Book of, nearly contemporary with Apocalypse, i. 5.
- Beast, ascending from abyss (117) = originally Jewish Antichrist, appearing in Jerusalem; = in present context, Nero redivivus, or demonic Antichrist, i. 285, 286.
- Beast (in chap. xvii.), in present context = Nero redivivus, originally two descriptions in (1) Beast = Roman Empire, in (2) *living* Nero returning from East, ii. 55-61.
- Beast, heads of = Roman emperors, why Seven, i. 346, 347.
  - horns of =?same (diadems on horns), i. 346. In Daniel horns =
- king or dynasty. Beasts, the Two (in chap. xiii.)-derived from two Hebrew sources, i. 332, 333, 338.
  - 1. First Beast, from sea, derived from idea (see Dragon Dragon), and description based on Fourth Beast of Daniel, = Roman Empire (this interpretation as old as Ist cent. B.C., and universal from 30 A.D. in Jewish circles) and here especially the antichristian power of Rome, incarnate in Nero redivirus, i. 333, 345, 346. 2. Second Beast, from land, de-
  - rived from Chaos-monster idea, i. 358=heathen priesthood of imperial cult, i. 333; to carry out final persecution of Church, i. 333-334, and put to death those who refused to worship Emperor, i. 334, 353.
  - Both originally separate developments of Antichrist idea,

here adjusted so that second is subservient to first, i. 343.

- Beatitudes, of Apocalypse, Seven, i. 3, 7, 80-81, 369-370, ii. 129, 177, 186, 218, 445 m.
- Beginning and End, Divine title-
- relation of, to Orphic logion, ii. 220.
- Beliar Myth, ii. 80.
- Bibliography, clxxxvii-cxc.
- Birth-throes, Messianic, i. 317.
- Blasphemy, names of, = blasphemous titles and claims of Emperors, i. 347, 348, 352, ii. 64.
- Blood, drinking = internecine strife, ii. 123.
- Blood of Lamb, significance of, for martyrs, i. 214.
  - ground of martyrs' victory, i. 329.
- Bodily resurrection. See Resurrection.
- Body, spiritual. See Garments. Resurrection.
- Book, Little, of prophecy, eaten by Seer, i. 268, contents of= chap. xi.; measuring of Temple, Two Witnesses, emergence of Antichrist, i. 256-269.
  - of Life, originally, register of Israel's citizens, entitling to temporal blessings, i. 84.
    - later, register of eternal kingdom's citizens, referring to immortality of blessedness, i. 84, 353-355, ii. 194 (the Lamb's Book), implies Predestination, i. 354.
  - of men's deeds, i. 84; opened at Judgment, ii. 194.
  - Seven-sealed, contains not only Messianic woes, but destinies of world, i. 136-138; its relation to heavenly books and books of angels in Pseudepigrapha, 1. 138, 139
- Bowls, last series of seven plagues, on *heathen* nations only, ii. 27.
  - do not consummate wrath of
  - God, ii. 31, 32. not recapitulatory of Seals or Woes. In Seals, Christians suffer with heathen, in Woes the unsealed only suffer physical penalties of first two Woes, and spiritual of third,

27, 40, 41, 409, 426.

- Bowls, not recapitulatory of Seals or Woes, seven plagues = sores, sea turned to blood, fresh water to blood, scorching heat, darkness (and locusts), Parthian invasion and instigation of three unclean spirits, cosmic catastrophe when Rome destroyed, ii. 43-54-
- Brethren-not martyrs, but faithful still on earth. Only in Christian, not Jewish source could be used by martyrs of men, i. 327, 328. See Brother.
- Bride = Church, Holy Jerusalem or spiritual Israel, after Second Advent, not before, ii. 179; = community rather than city, ii. 440 n. See Marriage.
- Brother = fellow-member in same religious society, i. 21.
- Apostolic Burden = thedecree (Acts 1528), i. 74.
- Caesar-worship, opposed to Christianity, xcv, i. 44, 61. See Antichrist.
- Caius. See Apocalypse, Circulation
- Caligula-Apocalypse, 338-339, i. 368 n. See Antichrist.
- Calling=invitation to Lamb's Mar-riage Supper, ii. 129, 130.
- Camp=Holy City (beleaguered), ii. 190.
- Canonicity. See Apocalypse, Circulation of.
- Candlestick=Church: removal of= Church's destruction, i. 52.
  - seven-branched, connection of, with Seven Planets, i. 12 n., 25.
- Candlesticks, Two, identified with Two Witnesses, i. 282-284.
- Celibacy, 8. non-Jewish, non-Christian ideal, with parallels Gnostic and heathen in teaching, ii. 9.
  - insistence on, due to interpolator, lii, ii. 6, 8, 9.
- Censer, used for intercession and for judgment, i. 231, 232. See Incense.
- Character. See Christian.

- in Bowls heathen only, ii. | Cherubim, in Old Testament : their form differs from that in Apocalypse. Ezekiel's account in particular modified, i. 121-125.
  - in apocalyptic literature, 200 B.C.-100 A.D., have wings, are full of eyes, near throne and guard it, sing praises, move freely, i. 118-120; their relation to Ophannim (wheels of throne), i. 120.
  - in Babylonian mythology, related to four winds and four
  - constellations, i. 122, 123. in Apocalypse, not "in midst of throne," but around it, i. 118, 119; nearer throne than Elders, i. 104 (wrong order, i. 115, 116); their praise precedes that of Elders, i. 294, 295 : chief order of angels in Ist cent. A.D., ii. 39; named "Living Creatures" here, 1. 118, 119; their unceasing praise, i. 125, 126; yet pause at times, i. 127; do not represent animate creation, i. 126, 127; they summon the four Riders, 1. 161; one mediates revelation to Seer in 61-7, lxxxvii n.; one gives Seven Bowls to Seven Angels, ii. 39; eyes of, i. 123-125.
  - Chiliasm, clxxxiv. See Interpretation, Millennium.
  - Christ, Doctrine of. See Apocalypse, Doctrines : relation of, to Father, cxi, cxii.
    - Second Coming of, to Judgment, i. 17, 18.
  - Christ, Titles of, Alpha and Omega, 2213, ii. 219, 220.

Amen, 3<sup>14</sup>, i. 19, 94.

- Anointed (i.e. Christ, Messiah), 1115 1210 204. 6, i. 294.
- Beginning and End, 2218, ii. 220, 22 I.

Beginning of Creation of God, 3<sup>14</sup>, i. 94. Dead, who was, and . . . alive,

- 1<sup>18</sup> 2<sup>8</sup>, i. 31, 56.
- Eyes, whose, are like flame, and feet like burnished brass, 215, i. 68.

Faithful and True, 1911, ii. 131.

Christ, First and Last, 2218, ii. 219, 220. Firstborn (i.e. Sovereign) of the Dead, 15, 1. 1, 14. Giveth to each . . . according to works, He that, 223, i. 73. Hath, He that, Key of David and openeth, etc., 37, i. 86. Hath, He that, Seven Spirits of God and Seven Stars, 3<sup>1</sup>, i. 78. Hath, He that, two-edged, sharp sword, 219, i. 61. Holdeth, He that, Seven Stars, 2<sup>1</sup>, i. 48, 49. Holy, 3<sup>7</sup>, i. 85, 86. Jesus, cxi, 19 1217 1413 176 [1910] 2216 Jesus Christ, 11.2.5 [2231], ii. 446 n. King of kings and Lord of lords, 19<sup>16</sup>, ii. 75. Lamb, 5<sup>6</sup>. 8<sup>12</sup>. <sup>13</sup> 6<sup>1</sup>. <sup>16</sup> 7<sup>9</sup>. <sup>10</sup>. <sup>14</sup>. <sup>17</sup> 12<sup>11</sup> 13<sup>8</sup> 14<sup>1. 4</sup>. <sup>10</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 17<sup>16</sup> 19<sup>7. 9</sup> 21<sup>9</sup>. <sup>16</sup>. <sup>22</sup>. <sup>22</sup>. <sup>27</sup> 22<sup>1</sup>. 22<sup>9</sup>, cxiii– cxiv, i. 140, 141, ii. 452. Lion that is of tribe of Judah, 5<sup>5</sup>, 1. 140. Lord Jesus, 22<sup>90. 21</sup>. Lord, their, 118. Lord, the, 1418. Lord of lords and King of kings, 1714, ii. 75. Loveth us and loosed us from our sıns, 1<sup>5</sup>, i. 15, 16. Man child, who shall break all the nations with a rod of iron, 12<sup>5</sup>, 1 320. Morning Star, 22<sup>16</sup>, ii. 219. Root of David, 55, i. 140. Root and offspring of David, 2216, ii. 219. Ruler of the kings of the earth, 1<sup>8</sup>, i. 14. Searcheth the reins and hearts. He that, 228, 1. 72, 73. Son of God, 218, 1. 68 Son of Man, One like unto a, 1<sup>18</sup> 14<sup>14</sup>, i. 27, 35, 36, ii. 19, 20. True, 3<sup>7</sup>, i. 85, 86. Walketh, He that, in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks, 2<sup>1</sup>, i. 49. Witness, Faithful, 1<sup>8</sup>, i. 13, 14. Witness, Faithful and True, 314, i. 94. Word of God, 1918, ii. 134. Worship of, i. 17, 152. See Dox-See also Lamb, ologies. VOL. 11.---31

Messiah. Son of Man. Word of God.

- Christian character, (1) a personal acquisition of the faithful, capable of being soiled or cleansed; (2) a gift of God.
  - source of spiritual body, bestowed when character made perfect by martyrdom or at end of world, i. 97, 98, 184-188, 373, ii. 128.
- See Churches. Angels of the Churches, Seven Churches.
- City, the Beloved, z.e., the Holy City, ii. 190.
  - Great, = Rome, 16<sup>19</sup> 17<sup>18</sup> 18<sup>10, 16</sup> etc., ii. 52 := Jerusalem, 118, i. 287.
  - Holy, use of term by Seer, i. 279. u. 157.
  - of God, or of gods, origin of conception, ii. 158, 159. See Jerusalem, Millennial.
- Cloud. See Ascension.
- Colossians, St. Paul's Epistle to, connected with Epistle to Laodicea, i. 94.
- Commandments, Ten, order of, i.
- 255. ce, Rome's, vastness and Commerce, details of, ii. 101-105.
- Community, Jewish and Christian. represented by woman, i. 315 #.
- Copula, omission of, i. 43.
- Cosmic Woes, i. 154, 160, 218.
- Cosmological myth transformed into eschatological doctrine, i. 318, 358.
- Creation, due to divine will, i. 134; Father author of, Christ principle of, CX, cxii : New — see Heaven and Earth.
- Crown, reward of victory, i. 58; of righteous, i. 129; related to nimbus of heavenly beings,
- i. 58, 59. See ii. 20. Crown of life, i.e., belonging to the eternal life, i. 59.
- Cross, Sign of. See Sealing.
- Cryptogram, i. 364-368.
- Cup of wrath, ii. 14, 99. See Wine. Wrath of God.
- Curse, = "accursed thing" in 22<sup>30</sup>, ii. 200.

- Dan, omission of, from list of Twelve Tribes, variously explained ; idolatry of Dan, i. 208; Satan its prince, i. 193 #.; Antichrist to arise from it. i. 208, 209.
- Date of work, xci-xcvii. See Apocalypse, Date of.
- Day, great, of wrath or battle, i. 183, ii. 48, 49.
  - See Lord's Day. Lord's.
- Dead, Sovereign of=Jesus Christ, i. 1, 14. See Firstborn. Death, Christ's, i. 31. See Blood,
- Redemption.
  - See Keys. Kevs of.
  - Second, not = Lake of Fire, but the lot of those cast therein, ii. 199, 200; a Rabbinic expression, i. 59
  - to be annihilated at last, ii. 208.
  - = pestilence in  $6^8$ , etc., i. 170, ii. 402 %.
- Demonic assault on Israel, to be repelled by Michael, i. 198
  - See Sealing. trials.
- Demons, nature and origin of, not to be punished till final judgment, 11. 48.
- Descent of Christ. See Hades.
- Destroy the Earth, those who = Rome, or Beast, False Prophet and Satan, i. 296, 297, ii. 119.
- Devil, identified with Satan, i. 325. See Satan.
- Diadems, assigned to Christ, in 1913; to Dragon, in 128, 1. 319, 347, ii. 132; to First Beast, in 13<sup>1</sup> only, i. 347.
- Dionysius of Alexandria, xl, xli; his criticism of the Apocalypse, ci.
- Disembodied souls, both non martyred righteous and wicked have, i. 98.
  - at Resurrection non martyred righteous receive spiritual bodies; but wicked do not, but are cast into Lake of Fire, i. 98, ii. 195-198.
- Dislocations in Text, ii. 92, 93, 144-154. See Apocalypse, Text
- Doctrine. See Apocalypse.
- Dogs, use of term in Judaism, impure or heathen, ii. 178.

- Domitian, xci-xcvii. See Antichrist, Apocalypse, Date of.
- Door, in heaven, i. 107 #. See Heaven.
  - of heart, Christ's knocking at, i. 100.
  - open, = opportunity for missionary effort, not Christ Himself, nor right to enter into Messianic glory, i. 87.
- Doxology, indirect, ii. 122.
- Doxologies, to Christ, i. 15-17; as the Lamb, i. 144, 145, 149-151, etc.
  - by Cherubim-Trisagion, i. 127.
  - by Elders-referring to creation, i. 133, 134.
  - by Cherubim and Elders, i. 144. 145.
  - various attributes ascribed in, i. 149.
- Dragon, identified with Serpent that tempted Eve, i. 325, ii. 141; with Devil and with Satan, i. 325.
  - not destroyed by Christ in chap. xix., i. 309, ii. 140, 141.
  - Seven-headed, conception derived from Babylonian mythology, i. 317; various traditions, respecting, i. 317, 318; relation of, to primeval ocean chaos-monster, i. 318, 331, 358.
    - Seven heads, i. 318 n., red, 318, 319 n., ten horns (Daniel), 319.
  - persecutes woman, i. 331.
- Dragon and Woman with Child, = chap. xui., -Semitic original of, i. 303-305.
  - meaning of, in present contextwoman = first Jewish and then Jewish-Christian community, 1. 299, 300 n.
  - rest of seed of woman = Gentile Christians, i. 299, 332. non-Jewish and non Christian
  - features, i. 300, 308.
  - due to a second source beside the Jewish one, i. 307, 308. as 121-5. 18-17 ultimately of
- heathen origin, i. 307, 309. Dragon speaking,—impossible idea, cli, 1. 358, 359.
- Dragon myth, possible origins of, Babylonian, Zend, Greek, Egyptian, i. 311-313.

- Eagle, the two wings of the, a lost tradition, i. 330. Earth, those who dwell on, meanings
- Earth, those who dwell on, meanings of phrase, good and bad, ii. 12, 13, 1; literally used of inhabitants of Palestine, i. 289, 290.
- Earthquake-Woe of Sixth Seal, i. 179-183.

in Jerusalem, i. 291.

in Rome, ii. 52.

- Eating, supernatural gifts imparted by, i. 268.
- Ecstatic condition, described twice over in 4<sup>1.2</sup>, i. 106-111. See Spirit.
- Egyptian origin suggested for Dragon myth, i. 313.
- Elder, use of title, xhi, xliii #.
- Elders, Twenty-four, i. 115; subordinate to Cherubim, i. 116, 127; prostrate themselves at crises, i. 127; sit on thrones, crowned, act as angelic interpreters, present prayers of fauthful, address and encourage Seer, praise God, i. 128, 129.
  - one intervenes to explain a vision to Seer, lxxxvii *n.*, i. 139.
  - explanations of—(1) glorified men, representatives of community, i. 129; but as they are enthroned prior to Judgment, they are not men but angels. Moreover, they act as Angels interpretes and offer men's prayers, i. 130.
    - (2) a college of angels, earlier were angelic assessors of God, originally 24 Babylonian star gods, i. 130, 131.
    - (3) angelic representatives or heavenly counterparts of 24 priestly orders, and so offered sacrifice. This suits idea of heavenly temple and altar, i. 132.
    - (4) but in present context are angelic representatives of whole body of faithful (cf. guardian angels), all of whom are priests and kings, i. 129, 133.
- Emerald = rock-crystal?, i. 114, 115.

- Endurance, sustained—characteristic of saints, i. 49, 50, 89, 368, 370.
- Ephesus, government of, i. 47; a road terminus, i. 47; neocorate of, i. 48; chief centre of Christianity in East, i. 48.
- Epilogue of Book,—declarations by God, Jesus, and John, ii. 211, 212.
- Eternal, for ever and ever=1000 years, ii. 120.
- Evangelization of world by glorified martyrs during Millennial Kingdom, liv, ii. 148, 172 ; origin of belief, ii. 149, 457.
- Exclusion from city of craven-hearted, faithless, impure, murderers, sorcerers, idolaters, liars, ii. 146, 173, 174, 177, 178, 215-217.
- Eye salve, symbol of new spiritual vision, 1. 98, 99.
- Eyes, symbolize omniscience of Lamb, i. 141. See Cherubim.
- Ezra, Fourth Book of, xxxiii and passim.
- Faith, in Apoc. = faithfulness, fidelity, as well as belief, cxvi, i. 61.
- Faithful. See Christ.
- False Prophet. See Beast, Second.
- False Teachers. See Apostles.
- Famine, woe of Third Seal, i. 166-168.
- Fear God's name, those who, = not proselytes, but Jewish or Gentile Christians, i. 296, 297. Cf. ii, 125.
- Fear of God, essential part of Gospel, ii. 13.
- Fine linen, = spiritual bodies, the result of righteous acts, ii. 435 n. See Garments, Linen.
- First-born = sovereign (of the dead), i. 14, ii. 386 n.
- "First-fruits," more properly rendered "sacrifice," ii. 5-7, 6 n.
- "Foot"=leg, i. 259, 260.
- Fornication=unfaithfulness to Christ, and concessions to pagan customs of trade-guilds, i. 72.
  - =immorality, i. 71.
- Fourth Gospel, by same author as I. 2. and 3 John, xxxiv-xxxvi.

Fourth Gospel and Epistles both free | God, King of the nations, 15<sup>8</sup>, ii. from solecisms, xxxiv. common constructions, xxxiv, xxxv. common words and phrases, xxxv, XXXVI. parallel expressions, xxxvi. absence of quotations, xxxvi. idiomatic Greek, xxxvi. Fragments, Papyrus and Vellum, ii. 447-451. Frogs, Zend belief in evil power of, iı. 47. Future tense used of past or continuous action, frequentative, cxxiii, cxxiv, ii. 399 n. Garment of Son of Man, i. 27, 28. Garments, white=spiritual bodies bestowed by God on faithful in resurrection life, cxv, cxvi, i. 81-83, 98, 184-188, 210-214. not = righteousness (works) of saints, cxv, i. 371-373, ii. 127-128. Gehenna, not referred to, in Apocalypse, i. 240. but = Lake of Fire, ii. 139, 140. meaning of term, i. 240 n. See Punishment, Places of. Gematria, i. 364-368. Gnostic teaching at Thyatira, "the deep things of Satan,' " libertinism and emphasis on knowledge of intellectual mysteries, i. 73, 74. God, creative activity of, i. 263. description of, i. 113. doctrine of. See Apocalypse, Doctrines. face of, to be seen by His servants in Eternal Kingdom, ii. 209, 210. God, Titles of, Alpha and Omega, [1<sup>6</sup>] 21<sup>66</sup>, i. 2, 20, ii. 215. Created heaven . . . earth . . sea . . . He who, 10<sup>6</sup>, i. 263. Father, 1<sup>6</sup> 14<sup>1</sup>, i. 17 God Almighty, 16<sup>14</sup> 19<sup>18</sup>, czlvii, i. 20, ii. 49, 398 #. God of heaven, 1113 1611, i. 292, ii. 46. Holy, 610 165, i. 175, ii. 123 (different words).

Liveth for ever and ever, God who, 15<sup>7</sup>, ii. 39. He that, 4<sup>9. 10</sup> 10<sup>6</sup>, i. 128. Living God, 7<sup>3</sup>, i. 204, 205. Lord, 154 Lord God, the, 228, ii. 211. Lord God Almighty, [1<sup>8</sup>] 4<sup>6</sup> 11<sup>17</sup> 15<sup>8</sup> 16<sup>7</sup> 19<sup>6</sup> 21<sup>22</sup>, i. 20, 103, 104, 127, 295, ii. 36, 126, 170, 387 n., 398 n., cf. cxlvii. Lord, the God of the Spirits of the prophets, 22<sup>6</sup>, ii. 218. Lord of the earth, 11<sup>4</sup>, i. 284. Master, 610, i. 175. my God, 313 our God, 510 710. 12 1210 195. our Lord and God, 411, i. 133. our Lord, 1115, i. 294. sitteth on the throne, He that, 4<sup>9. 10</sup> 5<sup>1 7</sup> <sup>13</sup> 6<sup>16</sup> 7<sup>10. 15</sup> 19<sup>4</sup> 215 True, 610, i. 175 which is and which was, II<sup>17</sup> 16<sup>5</sup>, i. 295, ii. 123. which is, and which was, and which is to come, 1<sup>4</sup> 4<sup>8</sup>, i. 2, 10, 20, 103, 104, 127; not used of Christ, cxii; uninflected, clii, i. 10; Jewish and heathen parallels to this title, i. 10. Gog and Magog, origin of names of, ii. 188, 189; duplicate attack on Jerusalem by,after Messianic Kingdom, ii. 188, 189 (close parallel to, in 4 Ezra, ii. 190, 191); comprehend all faithless on earth, ii. 189; destroyed by fire from heaven, ií. 191. Gold tried in the fire, —a gift acquired from Christ—of a new heart or spirit, i. 97, 98. Grace, form of, i. 9, ii. 226; "grace and peace," i. 9.

- Grace and Works, i. 213, 214. See Garments, Works.
- Grammar. See Apocalypse, Grammar of.
- Greek origin of Dragon myth. See Dragon Myth, Origins.
- Guilds. See Trade Guilds.

- Hades, = intermediate abode of un- | Heathen, to be ruled (or destroyed) righteous (or indifferent) souls only, cxvii n., i. 32, 33, 150, 169, 170, ii. 140, 195, 196, 197, 199.
  - does not include Paradise, i. 32 (souls of ri "Treasuries"). of righteous ìn
  - Descent of Christ into, i. 32, 33.
  - inhabitants of, join in praise to Lamb, i. 150.
  - intrusion in 6<sup>8</sup>, i. 169, 170.
  - = Sheol, i. 32. See Sheol.
- Harlot, the Great, Judgment of, ii. 54 sqq.
  - seated on Beast. See Antichrist.
  - to be destroyed by Beast and Ten
  - Kings, ii. 55, 73, 74. =Rome, ii. 62, 75 (seated on waters-owing to survival of Babylon description, ii. 62, 63, 72); her name, ii. 65.
  - drunk with blood of martyrs, i.e. Neronic persecutions, ii. 65, 66.
- Har Magedon, interpretation of "mountains of Megiddo," "city of Megiddo," "his fruitful mountain," "the city" (= Jerudesirable salem); but possibly due to some lost myth, ii. 50, 51. Scene of great world-battle, ii. 50.
- Heads of Beast. See Beast.
- Heart, seat of thoughts, i. 73. See Psychology.
- Heathen, evangelization of. See Evangelization.
- See Origins. Heathen myth, i. 143.
- Heathen nations, two universal insurrections of,
  - (1) at instigation of demons, Beast and False Prophet,
    - before Messianic Kingdom,
    - destroyed by Messiah, and Beast and False Prophet cast into Lake of Fire and kings and armies slain, ii. 46, 47, 131, 135, 136, 139, 140.
  - (2) final, at instigation of Satan, after Messianic Kingdom, destroyed by fire from heaven, Fire, in. 46, 47, 136, 188-191. Interpolations. See Apocalypse.

- with rod of iron by Messiah and saints, i. 74-77, 320.
- Heaven, a single, in Apocalypse, L 108, but see i. 304, 329.
  - creatures in, meaning of, i. 150.
  - door into, by which Seer enters, i. 107.
  - evil in, Satan still in, as in Job, etc., Eph. etc., i. 324.
  - war in, i. 321, 324.
  - See Altar, Vision.
- Heaven and earth, present, to vanish, ii. 193.
  - new to come, conception of, in Judaism, ii. 203; Vision of. li. 204.
- Heavenly armies, composed of angels and glorified martyrs, who descend with Christ, ii. 135, 136.
  - See Altar, Ark, Jerusalem, Temple, Throne.
- Horns, symbolize power, of Lamb, i. 141. See Beast.
- Horses, the Four, colours of, and their significance, i. 161, 162 %.
  - White=war: by others variously interpreted of triumphant war, Parthian Empire, Vologases, Rome, Messiah, Gospel, i. 163-164.
  - Red=international strife, i. 165, 166.
  - Black = Famine, lack of bread, but not of oil or wine, i. 166-168. Pale = Pestilence, i. 168-171.
- Idolaters, exclusion of, ii. 217.
- Idols, food sacrificed to, problem of, i. 63, 69, 70.
- Immorality, connection of, with demon-worship and idolatry, i. 255.
- Incense, added to prayers, to make them acceptable, i. 230, 231.
- symbolizes prayers of saints, i. 145. See Censer.
- Infinitive=finite verb, cxxvii.
- Inspiration, imparted by eating, i. 268. by visions, etc. See 268, by visions, etc. Apocalypse, author's method, Psychic experiences.
- and Satan cast into Lake of Intercession of angels, i. 145, 230.

- Interpretation, Methods of, clxxxiiiclxxxvii Contemporary-Historical, clxxxiii. Eschatological, clxxxiv. Chiliastic, clxxxiv. Philological (earlier and later forms), clxxxiv clxxxvii. Literary - Critical (Redactional. Sources, Fragmentary Hypotheses), clxxxv. Traditional-Historical, clxxxvi. Religious Historical, clxxxvi. Philosophical, clxxxvi. Psychological, clxxxvii. Recapitulatory, xxiii. Itacisms, in Greek text, ii. 235. Jasper, i. 114. Jerusalem, the literal, called spiritually Sodom and Gomorrah, referred to in 117, lxii, xc, i. 279, 287, 288. Jerusalem, Two descriptions of-one Millennial (temporary), xc, i. 54, 55, ü. 152, 153. one eternal, in new heaven and new earth, when death abolished, ii. 153, 157, 158. Millennial ("Holy Jerusalem"), to descend in Millennium, ii. before 145-150;
  - 145-150; before Final Judgment, at which it disappears, to be replaced by or transformed into New Jerusalem, ii. 158, 205; contains no Temple or Ark, replaced by God and the Lamb as centre of worsnip, ii. 170, 171.
  - its foundations, gates, light, stones, ii. 161–170.
  - contains river of water of life and tree of life, ii. 174–177.
  - evil excluded from, ii. 177, 178.
  - relation of, to heathen idea of City of God or gods, ii. 158, 159, 168.
    - to Ezekiel's new city, ii. 159.
    - to conception of Paradise, ii. 160, 161.
    - to conception of New Jerusalem, ii. 161.
  - Eternai (New Jerusalem), New, as opposed to Millennial, ii. 157-158; many features of, in traditional text properly refer to Millennial, ii. 144-148.

Jesus, use of personal name, cxi.

- Jew, a title of honour in Apocalypse, i. 57.
- Jews, Christians are the true, i. 57, 88.
  - oppose Christianity, i. 56-58.
  - repentance or conversion to Christianity of, expected, i. 88, 291, 292.
- Jewish Christian Apocalypse in gospels, influences conception of Second Coming in 17, i. 19. See Apocalypse, Little.
- Jewish and Christian Churches combined in description of Holy Jerusalem, ii. 163.
- Jezebel, a name applied to a false teacher at Thyatira, a prophetess, who countenanced immorality as well as attendance at heathen guild feasts, i. 70, 71.
- Johannine Epistles. See Fourth Gospel, John the Elder.
- Johannine writings, authorship of, linguistic evidence, xxixxxxvii; other evidence, xxxvni-l.
- John, Gospel of. See Fourth Gospel.
- John the Apostle, tradition of his residence at Ephesus not earlier than 180 A.D., xlv; martyred before 70 A.D., xlvi-1. See Apocalypse, author of.
- John the Elder (Presbyter), author of, 2. 3 John and also I John and Fourth Gospel, xxxvin, xlii, xlii.
  - wrongly stated to be author of Apocalypse in tradition and to-day, xli, xlii.
  - John the Seer, a prophet, xxxix, xliii; call of as, i. 2; a Jewish Christian, probably a native of Galilee, xxi, xliv; probably settled at Ephesus, xxi; a "brother" of the churches of Asia, xxxix, xliii, xliv; had authority over churches of Province of Asia, xxii; his style unique, strange Greek grammar, xxi, xliv, cxviiclix; familiar with Hebrew O.T., Septuagint, and a Theodotionic type of Ver-

Tewish and Christian sources, xxii ; his death about 95 A.D., ii. 147-152; his work revised by unintelligent disciple, who interpolates some 22 verses and causes disarrangement of text, xxii, xxiii, l-lv, etc.

- John the Seer, author of Apocalypse, xxi, xxxix; distinct from author of Gospel and Epistles. See Apocalypse, anthor of.
- Jubilees, Book of, xxxiii.
- Judgment, Christ's Second Coming to, i. 17–19.
  - His present judgment, ii. 393 n.
    - of living on earth committed to Christ-from Seven Seals to destruction of Gog and Magog, cxvi, ii. 192.
    - final, by the Father alone, cxii; of all risen from the dead (martyrs exempt, also those who eat of Tree of Life during Millennium), cxvi, cxvii, ii. 192-194. See Abyss, Hades.
- Judgments, First, Second, and Third series of, xxvi-xxviii.
  - preceded by proclamations or anthems of praise, i. 293 ; cf. i. 222.
- Key of David, Messianic significance of, authority to admit to or exclude from New Jerusalem, i. 86.
- Keys of death and Hades, meaning of --- power to raise from dead, to free from Hades,
- i. 32, 33. Kingdom, and kingship of all the faithful, i. 16, 17.
  - Millennial passes over into Eternal, no clear distinction, i. 294, 295.
- Kings (kingdom) and priests, i. 16.
- Kings from the East. See Parthian Kings.
- Kings of the earth, ii. 138, 140.
- Lacunae. See Apocalypse, Text
- Lake of fire. Ses Punishment.

of.

- sion, xxi, etc.; his use of | Lamb, significance of symbol, selfsacrifice and self-surrender of Messiah suffering and triumphant-combination of Jewish and Christian conceptions, cxii-cxiv, i. 134, 135, 140-142, 152, 153.
  - blood of. See Blood.
  - marriage of. See Marriage.
  - shares God's throne, ii. 209.

  - titles of, ii. 74, 75. vision of, on Mount Zion, with 144,000, ii. 4, 5.
  - with seven horns-Christian transformation of Jewish idea. cxiii, ii. 452.
  - with two horns-Tewish conception (in source), ii. 452.
  - wrath of, i. 182, 183.
  - Laodicea, a banking, textile, and medical centre, i. 93.
    - St. Paul's Epistle to, probably connected with Iohn's Letter, i. 94, 95.
    - lukewarmness and self-complacency of, i. 95, 96.
    - Letter to, originally a distinct epistle, i. 46, 47.
  - Letters to Seven Churches, endings to, a later addition, and most of titles also, i. 44-46; distinct originally seven letters, i. 46; Ignatian epistles substantiate details in, i. 46.

Life, Book of, i. 84, etc. See Book. Crown of, i. 58, 59. See Crown. Spirit of, i. 290.

- Tree of, i. 54, 55, etc. See Tree.
- Water of, i. 55, etc. See Water.
- Life of Christ, eternal, i. 31, 32.
- Light, God the Light of Holy Jerusalem, ii. 210, 211.
- "Like," apocalyptic use of phrase in vision imagery and titles,
- i. 27, 35-37. Linen, Fine, not righteousacts(works) but character, ii. 115 #., 127, 128, 435 n. See Garments.
- Living Creatures. See Cherubim.
- Locusts, plague of demonic, under King Abaddon, i. 242-247.
  - origin of conception of, and connection of with winds, i. 248-250.
  - originally referred to, in lost passage after 1610, ii. 45.

- Loosing, from sin, i. 15, 16. See Redemption.
- Lord's Day=Sunday (as being Day of Resurrection), not=Day of Judgment, i. 22, 23; why celebrated weel.ly, i. 23.
- Love, two varieties of, emotional and reasoning, i. 99.
- Luminaries, heavenly, unfailing order of, i. 180, 181.
- Magic power, of Name, i. 66, 67; of book, i. 143; of oath, i. 143 n.
- Manna, the hidden, a blessing of the future kingdom = spiritual gifts from Christ to Church Triumphant, i. 65, 66 ;=(in some degree) Water of Life, i. 66.
- Manuscripts, Greek, of Apocalypse, ii. 227-232.
- Maranatha, ii. 226.
- Marcion. See Apocalypse, Circulation of.
- Mark of Beast, i. 360, 364; on right hand and brow—a travesty of Jewish practice, i. 343, 362, 363; nature of, variously interpreted, i. 363 m.
- Mark, Gospel of, not used in Apocalypse, lxvi.
- Marriage. Messianic significance of term, 11. 126, 127, 129, 130; time when Bride ready, when number of saints complete, ii. 129. See Bride, Celıbacy, Supper.
- Martyr, first technical use of word, i. 62, = one faithful to death in his witness, 1. 62.
- Martyrdom, inevitable for faithful, i. 44, 334; universal of Christian Church, ii. 113, 456.
  - reasons for -- Word of God, testimony of Jesus, i. 174.
  - regarded as a heavenly sacrifice, with atoning power, i. 173 n., 174.
  - =Woe of Fifth Seal, i. 171-174 (Neronic persecution).
- blessedness of, i. 334, 369-373. Martyrdom, early, of John the Apostle, xxxvii, xlv-l.
- Martyrs, cry of, for vengeance, i. 174-176.
  - great multitude of, i, 202.

- Martyrs, martyred for refusal to worship Emperor, ii. 183.
  - number of, not yet fulfilled, i. 177– 179.
  - reward of,—alone share in First Resurrection, and exempt from Final Judgment, ii. 180, 184, 185, 186.
    - share Christ's throne, i. 101, 102; and reign with Him a thousand years, ii. 182–184.
  - receive white robes, i. 213, 214; and Water of Life, i. 216, 217; render unceasing spiritual service and enjoy presence of Shekinah, i. 215.
- Martyrs, souls of, regarded as a sacrifice, i. 173, 174, 179. See "First-fruits."
  - rest in peace, i. 177, 369. to destroy ("rule") heathen
  - powers, ii. 135. to evangelize World in Millennial
  - Reign, liv, ii. 148, 172, 186.
- Meal, common, a proof of confidence and affection, i. 101.
- Measuring, with view to rebuild and restore, to destroy or to preserve physically, i. 274–278.
  - reinterpreted of preservation from spiritual dangers, xc, i. 275 n., 276, 278. See Sealing.
- Mediation, angelic, i. 226, etc. See Angels.
- Messiah, attacked by foes, ii. 190, 191; in Judgment as Word of God, ii. 131; with garment dipped in blood of enemics, ii. 133; with names Faithful and True, King of kings and Lord of lords, and a hidden name, ii. 131-133; manifestation of, i. 206 m.; passive rôle of, in chap. xii., i. 308, 320, 321.
  Messianic Kingdom, temporary, and
- Messianic Kingdom, temporary, and related conceptions, il. 184, 187, 456, 457. See Millennium.
- Woes, i. 153, 157-161. See Seals. Michael, guardian angel of Israel,
  - of righteous in Israel, of righteous in all nations, i.
  - 323. to repel demonic assault on Israel, in last days, i, 198, 323.

- Michael, as leader of heavenly hosts, i. 323.
- Millennium, a late and attenuated form of old Jewish expectation of eternal Messianic Kingdom on earth, ii. 142, 184; for a thousand years in Apocalypse first, ii. 143, 184.
  - Martyrs rise in First Resurrection and reign with Christ a thousand years (20<sup>4-6</sup>) in Holy Jerusalem, ii. 144-154. Origin of this conception which forms an alien element in N.T., ii. 456 - 457. millennium During the wicked are outside the city, the martyrs evangelize the world, the nations of the earth pour in, and the Tree of Life is available for the healing of the nations, ii. 146-148. At close unrepentant nations rebel and are destroyed. Then follows Final Judgment, ii. 186-187. See Evangelization, Jerusalem, Martyrs.
- Miracles, Satanic, i. 359.
- Morning Star, a reward for faithful, i. 77. See Christ, Titles of.
- Moses, Song of, already incorporated in Temple Service, ii. 36.
  - in text, an intrusion before Song of Lamb, ii. 34, 35.
- Mountains and islands to disappear before New Age, ii. 52, 53. Mourning—corrupt for "destruc-
- Mourning—corrupt for "destruc tion," ii. 431 #.
- Multitude, Great, vision of, proleptic, i. 199, 201, 203, 209.
  - originally=entire body of blessed in heaven after Final Judgment, i. 201, 202.
  - in present context = martyrs of last tribulation serving God in heaven before Millennial Kingdom, i. 201, 202.
- Music, ii. 109, 110. See Singer.
- Mystery = "name to be interpreted symbolically," ii. 65.
  - = secret meaning, i. 34.
  - of God=whole purpose of God in history; otherwise interpreted of casting down of Satan or birth of Messiah;

but cf. related uses of phrase =God's secret purpose of inclusion of Gentiles, or again the *hidden* working or evil, i. 265, 266, 266 n.

- Mythology—colouring eschatology, i. 253, 300, 311-324, 358, etc. See Origins.
- Nakedness, of soul, =loss of spiritual body, i. 97, 98, 188. See Garments.
- Name, inscribing of, on pillar of temple, on forehead of victor, i. 91, 92.
  - significance of, = personality, ii. 391 n.
  - hidden, magic powers of, ii. 132, 133. See Messiah.
  - new, = not new character, i. 67 s., but (secret) name of God or Christ, i. 67.
- Names of blasphemy, =divine titles of Emperors, e.g. Augustus, i. 347, 348 n.
- i. 347, 348 n. Nature, praise of, conceived as offered by Elders, not Cherubim, i. 126, 127.
- Nero—the only satisfactory solution of number 666 or 616, i. 367.
  - redivivus, xcv-xcvii. See Antichrist, Apocalypse, Date of.
- Neronic myth-stages of its development, ii. 80 sqq.
- New, special significance of the word, i. 92, 146, ii. 204.
- New Creation, ii. 200, 201, 203, 204. See Creation.
  - Heaven and Earth, ii. 203, 204. Jerusalem. See Jerusalem.
- New Testament, Ixv, Ixvi, Ixxxiii-Ixxxvi. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
- Nicolaitans, not followers of Nicolaus of Antioch, but Balaamites (2<sup>15, 18</sup>), i. 52, 53. See Balaamites.
- Night, and darkness, to be abolished, ii. 210.
- Nimbus, i. 115. See Crown.
- Number, idea of fixed, of martyrs, to be completed, i. 177-179.
  - of name of Beast, i. 334, 364-368.
  - ---values of names, or isopsephisms, i. 365 n., 366.

- Numerals. prepositive and postpositive use of, clix, i. 224 #.
- Oath, method of swearing, i. 262, 263; by God of Creation, i. 263.
- Old Testament, use of. lxv-lxxxii. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
- Olive Trees, Two (of Zechariah)identified with the Two Candlesticks and Two Witnesses, i. 282, 283.
- Ophannim. See Cherubim. Order of Words, clvi-clix. See Apocalypse, Grammar of.
- so-called text, clxxvi-Origen's clxxvii.
- Origins and Parallels suggested,
  - Babylonian, i. 11, 12, 30, 32, 115, 118, 122, 123, 130, 163 **#**., 164, 198 m., 283 m., 308, 311, 313, 315-319, 358, 365 \*., ii. 63, 75, 159 \* , 205. Egyptian, i. 91, 144, 198 \*., 313,
    - 316 s., 318 s., 325, ii. 75, 167, 205, 221 #.
    - Greek, i. 10, 115, 198 n., 239 n., 254, 308, 312, 324, 325, ii. 220.
    - Persian, Zend, Mandaean, etc., i. 10, 11, 30, 33, 83, 156-159 \*., 184, 247, 250 n., 282 n., 307, 308, 311, 319, 324, 371, ii. 47, 53, 75, 139, 142. Various, i. 123, 181 s., 244, 245, 280 s., ii. 9, 51, 133, 138,
    - 158, 159, 167, 168. See also claravi.
- Orphic Logion. See Beginning.
- Overcoming, special meaning of, i. 45, 53, 54. See "Victor." used of martyrs (only?), i. 54.
- Palm branches, symbol of victory, i. 211.
- Papias-traditions, xl-xliii, xlvi. See Apocalypse, author of.
- Papyrus fragments. See Fragments. Paradise, not included in Hades, i.
  - 32. equivalent to Heavenly Jerusalem, i. 55. See Jerusalem.
  - not identified with third heaven, i. 55:
  - or with abode of blessed departed, 1. 55.

- Paradise, various views concerning, earthly and heavenly, Π. 160.
  - abode of righteous after this life, or reserved till after Judgment, ii. 160-161.
- Parthian Empire, victory of, over Rome. See Vologases. kings, in readiness
- Parthian to invade, at Euphrates, i. 250.
  - instruments of God's wrath against Rome, ii. 87 m.
    - to join Nero redevivus in attack on Rome, ii. 46, 47, 55, 71-74.
    - to be destroyed by Messiah, i, 133, 135.
    - destruction of, omitted, ii. 114, 116, 117, 131, 436 %.
- Past tense, = prophetic future, ii. 414 %.
- Patmos, John in, xxxix, i. 21, 22. "People" and "peoples," ii. 207, 377 #.
- Perfect tense in Greek, how to be rendered, cxxv-cxxvi, ii. 414 %.
- Pergamum, first centre of Caesar Worship (throne of Satan), i. 60, 61.

description of, i. 60, 61.

- Persecution, limited degree of, in Seven Churches, i. 44, 44 #. world-wide, only once referred to in letters to Seven Churches,
- i. 44, 89. See Martyrdom. economic, i. 334, 363, cf. ii. 86, 87. Pestilence, woe of Fourth Seal, i. 169-171.
- Philadelphia, description of, i. 84, 85. Jewish opposition at, i. 88.
- Pillar. See Temple of God.
- Plagues. See Bowls.
- Praise, in heaven, i. 125-128. See Doxologies.
- anthems of, at crises in coming of Kingdom, i. 293, 294.
- Prayers, of souls under altar, for vengeance, become an instrument of divine wrath, i. 174-176, 178, ii. 403 #.
- of Saints, presented by Prayers angelic mediators-not Elders (? by archangels as in Judaism), i. 145, 146, 226; symbolized by incense, i. 145, and censed, i. 230.

490

- Predestination, of Lamb's sacrifice, i. | Punishment. 354, 355.
- of saints in Book of Life, but not Book, czv, i. 354, 355. Present tense=future, ii. 414#.
- = imperfect, ii. 417 #.
- Priesthood, of all the faithful, i. 16, 17.
  - and kingship of martyrs, ii. 186.
- Priesthood, imperial=Second Beast. See Beasts.
  - work miracles, i. 359; making images speak, 361. insist on worship of First Beast
  - (Emperor) on penalty of death, i. 360, 361. Cf. xcv.
- Proleptic passages, xxv, i. 203, 209, 256, 269-270, ii. 1.
- See Apocalypse, Flan of. Prologue.
- Prophecy, a limited gift, i. 17.
- Prophets, Christian, ii. 112, 113;= God's servants, i. 6, 266 See Seer.
- spirits of, ii. 218. See Spirits.
- Pseudepigrapha, lxv, lxxxii-lxxxiii. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
- Pseudonymous apocalypses, not so Apocalypse of John, xxxviii.
- Psychic experiences, i. Dreamsvarying value set on, civ, cv.
  - ii. Visions, in sleep, in trance, with spiritual or bodily translation, waking visions, cv, 106, 107, 110, 111, ii. 63; conventional use of "I saw," cix.
  - value of, in Ethnic religions and in that of Israel, cv, cvi.
  - descriptions of, hardly literal possible, hence use of symbolism, "Like." cvi, cviı. Cf.

Psychology, Jewish, i. 73, ii. 73.

- Punishment, agents of angels of punishment, i. 250, 251 #. See Angels.
  - nature of, by fire and brimstone, in view of angels (parallels, Jewish and Christian), unceasing, ii. 17, 18.
  - places of, preliminary, ii. 141, 142; and final, Lake of Fire, i. 239, 240, ii. 139, 200.
    - Abyss, i. 239-242. See Abyss.

- places of, Gehenna. i. 240, 240 n. (replaced in Apocalypse by Lake of Fire, 242). Sheol, i. 240 n., ii. 197. Lake of Fire. See above. (various, in I Enoch, i. 241). Purchase. See Redemption. Quotations, abundant in Apocalypse, rare in Fourth Gospel, xxxvi.
- Reader, office of, in public worship, i. 7, 8.
- Reaping—symbol of Judgment in interpolation, ii. 19, 24. See Vintaging.
- Reason, part played by, in Apocalyptic, cvii, cviii.
- Recapitulation. See Interpretation.
- Redemption, by blood of Christ (as price), cxiv, i. 16.
  - idea of purchase, i. 16, 147, ii. 7, 8.
  - a loosing from sin, i. 15.
  - a withdrawal from earth, ii. 7, 8.
  - a washing, i. 213, 214. with view to kingdom and priesthood, i. 16, 148.
- Reign of Antichrist, 31 years, i. 279, 280, 289 %.
- Reign of saints, not limited to Millennial Kingdom, i. 102. See Kingdom.
- Reinterpretation of older prophecy, i. 273.
- Remnant, not referred to in 1217, i. 332 #.
- Repentance, of Jews expected, i. 88, 291, 292.
  - two witnesses to preach, i. 282.
- Repentance still possible, ii. 212, 215. time for, passed, and finality attained at End, ii. 212, 221, 222.
- Reproof and chastisement, the outcome of love, i. 99, 100.
- Resurrection, in literal not spiritual sense, ii. 184, 185.
  - First, of martyrs only, as a reward for martyrdom, and to reevangelize world during Millennium, cxvi, ii. 184, 185, 456, 457.
  - Second, for righteous (not martyred) and wicked, of righteous souls from "treasuries" or

"chambers," of wicked souls from death and Hades, cxvi, ii. 194-198; the righteous are thereupon clothed, the wicked remain disembodied, cxvi. gradu

- Resurrection, bodily, not in Apocalypse, ii. 193, 195; nor in New Testament, ii. 195, 196 m., but general in Judaism, ii. 195 n.
- Resurrection body, a body of light, i. 83.
  - symbolized by White Garments, i. 82.
  - not given to wicked. See Disembodied Souls.
- Revelation, modes of, trance, i. 22; hearing, i. 23; seeing, i. 25, 31.
  - N.B. use of "like," i. 27. See Psychic Experiences.
- Reward of Saints, includes spiritual bodies. See Martyrs.
- Riders, the four, interpretations of, i. 155-157.
- River of Water of Life, origin of conception, ii. 175.
  - -no spiritual efficacy connoted in Judaism, but in Apocalypse shares powers of fountains of waters of life, ii. 175.
- Robe, White, i. 184. See Garment.
- Roman Empire, represented by First Beast of chap. xiii., i. 339-351.
  - its power derived from the Dragon, i. 351. See Antichrist, Beast, Harlot.
- Rome, Doom of, lament of merchants over, rejoicing of apostles and prophets over, ii. 87, 88.
  - Account of, derived from Hebrew source in Greek translation, ii. 91; written under Vespasian, ii. 93; from same source as 17<sup>10-2</sup>. 20-7. 10. 8-10, ii. 94. See Harlot.
- Sacrificial death of Martyrs, i. 173-174, 231.
- Salvation = victory, deliverance, i. 211.
- Sardis, description of, i. 77, 78.
- Sardius stone, i. 114.

- Satan, originally accuser of men, becomes largely independent of God, and the enemy as well as accuser of man, i. 325, 326, 327.
  - 326, 327. gradually identified with Serpent. See Devil, Dragon.
- loosed and joined by Gog and Magog, ii. 187, 188; beleaguers Holy City, ii. 189-191; is cast into Lake of Fire, ii. 191. See Heathen Nations.
- Scorpions, i. 242.
- Sea, first Beast comes up from, i. 344
  - heavenly, of glass, origin of idea, i. 117, 118; mingled with fire (of judgment?), ii. 33; relation of, to Red Sea, ii. 34-
  - resurrection from, due to deliberate change of text in 20<sup>13</sup>; where for "sea" read "treasuries," lv, ii. 194-198.
    - otherwise only wicked souls rise in General Resurrection, ii. 442 n.
  - to be abolished, influence of Babylonian myth of watermonster, opponent of gods, ii. 204, 205.
- Seafolk, dirge of, ii. 105-107.
- Seal, wrongly interpreted of Baptism, i. 197.
- Seal, Seventh, i. 223.
- Sealed, proleptic vision of the 144,000, identical with the same number triumphant on Mount Zion in chap. xiv., 1. 199, 201, ii. 5, 6.
  - in original context = all the faithful, but in present context = the great multitude of martyrs, before Millennial Kingdom, i. 201, 202.
- Sealing of faithful, a vision of, xxv.
  - meaning of, in original tradition = preservation from physical evil and death, i. 194, 195.
    - in Apocalypse a security, not against spiritual apostasy, but against demonic trials, lxxxv m., i. 89, 90, 195, 196, 205, 206, 206 m.
  - = outward manifestation of character, i. 206, 206 n.

- Sealing, interpreted of Baptism, and of Sign of Cross, i. 197, 198.
  - meaning of practice in ethnic religions, i. 198 *n*.
- Seals, the Seven, significance of, i. 137.
  - the First Šix, preliminary signs of End, i. 153-183
    - relation of, to Messianic woes in Gospels, i. 157-161; but unlike those in Gospels, do not usher in End, 154 n.
    - Ist four=social cataclysms, war, international strife, famine and pestilence; 5th, persecution; 6th, cosmic cataclysms, i. 158.
    - varying interpretations of—Contemporary-Historical, etc., i. 155–160.
- Seer. See John, Psychic Experiences.
- Semitic original of chap. xii., i. 303– 305 ; chap. xii., i. 334–338; chap. xvii., ii. 61–62 ; chap. xviii., ii. 91.
- Seraphim, i. 125, 126. See Cherubim.
- Serpent. See Dragon.
- Servants of God=martyrs, ii. 124, 125.
  - = prophets, i. 6, 266.
- Service, spiritual, but not priestly, of martyrs, i. 214, 215.
- Seven, a sacred number to John, lxxxix \*., i. 8, 9, 25.
- Seven Candlesticks = Seven Churches, i. 2, 35, 37. See Candlestick.
  - Churches, reason for choice of particular churches unknown, i. 8; probably because on great circular road, and so convenient postal centres, i. 24, 25.
    - i. 24, 25. identified with Seven Candlesticks, i. 35, 37.
    - typical in circumstances of Church as whole, i. 37.
    - Letters to, written earlier than main part of work, i. 37; as survival expected to Second Advent and no reference (except 3<sup>10</sup>) to world-wide persecution, i. 43, 44.
  - Heads. See Heads.
  - Horns. See Horns.

- Seven Lamps of Fire=Seven spirits, i. 117.
  - related originally to seven planets, i. 117.
  - Letters, originally addressed to Seven Churches of Asia under Vespasian, lxxxix; in final form of work addressed to all churches of Christendom, lxxxix *n.*, xc *n*.
  - Plagues. See Bowls.
  - Seals. See Seals.
  - Spirits, represented by Seven Lamps, i. 117; and Seven Eyes, i. 141-142.
    - interpolated in 14, i. 9.
    - wrongly interpreted of Holy Spirit's sevenfold energies, i. 11.
    - =Seven Archangels, i. 11-13, or seven angelic beings possibly related to angels of Seven Churches, i. 12, 13.
  - Stars, relation of, to Seven Spirits, i. 12, 13.
  - to angels or heavenly ideals of Seven Churches, i. 2, 12, 13, 30, 34, 35. See Stars.
  - Trumpets. See Trumpet blasts.
- Shekinah, to abide upon martyrs, i. 215, ii. 406 n.
  - a periphrasis for Divine name, face, glory, hard to render in English, ii. 205-207, 444 #.
- Sheol, nature of, 1. 32 n., 240 n., ii. 195 n., 197. See Hades, Punishment.
- Shipmaster, ii. 105.
- Sign = a heavenly marvel, i. 314.
  - = a miracle wrought by Antichrist, i. 314.
  - of cross, i. 197.
  - of Son of Man, relation of, to seal, lxx1.
- Silence, in heaven—for prayers of saints to be heard, i. 218, 223, 224.
- Sin, loosing from, i. 15, 16. See Redemption.
- Singer, meaning of term, ii. 109, 110.
- Slave trade, ii. 104, 105.
- Smyrna, description of, Christians' poverty there, and Jews' bitter hostility, i. 56, 57.
- Solecisms. See Apocalypse, Grammar of.

- Son of Man, apparently equal or | Spirits, of the prophets, meaning of inferior to angel in interpolation, 1415-17, hin, lvi.
  - combines attributes of Ancient of Days and of Son of Man (Dan 7) and of nameless angel (Dan 10), i. 2, 28, 29.
  - " one like to a son of man, " a Messianic designation of one not human but superhuman, i. 27, ii. 19.
  - judgment by, proleptically described in Vintaging of Earth, ii. 423, 424 n.
  - judgment by, on heathen nations, ii. 18-26.
  - title, origin of, in Daniel, I Enoch, the Gospels, 4 Exra, ii. 19, 20
  - titles of, i. 2, 31, etc. See Christ. vision of, i. 2, 27-31.
  - See Messiah.
- Song, New, i. 146.
- Song of Lamb, ii. 34-36. See Moses, Song of.
- Sorcery, a charge against Rome, ii. 112.
- Souls, of wicked, disembodied, ii. 193, 194. See Disembodied. "treasuries" or chambers of (for righteous). See Treasuries.
  - under altar, of martyrs, because already sacrificed thereon, i. 172, 173, 229, 230.
    - a heavenly sacrifice, with atoning power, i. 173, 174, 173 %.
- Sources, lxii-lxv. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
- Speculum = M, reprinted from Mai, contains same text as gig., ii. 452–455.
- Spirit, use of word in Apocalypse.
  - =life, ii. 179. Cf. i. 290.
  - = personalized beings, men, angels, demons, ii. 179.
  - = Spirit of Christ, virtually = Christ, ii. 179; cf. i. 53.
  - giver of prophecy, i. 53.
  - Holy, not represented by the Seven Spirits of 14, i. 11.
  - prophecy, ? marginal gloss, of meaning uncertain, ii. 130, 131.
  - to fall into or find oneself in = to fall into trance, i. 21.

- phrase uncertain, hardly= various angels sent to instruct them, rather = prophets' own spirits, ii. 218.
  - three unclean, muster nations against Christ, ii. 427 #.
- Spiritual body-given to martyrs at death, i. 176, 184-188, = white robes of glory, i. 185.
  - St. Paul's teaching as to, i. 185, 186.
  - in a sense present possession of faithful, liable to defilement, capable of being cleansed, i. 187, 188.
- Star; symbolizes angel, i. 13, 239.
- Star that fell from heaven and embittered waters, i. 235, 236.
  - that fell from heaven and received key of abyss, i. 238, 239.
- Star-deities, relation of, to Archangels, i. 11, 12, 13. to Twenty-four Elders, i. 130,
  - 131. See Morning Star.
- Stars, = heavenly ideals, i. 2, 34, 35; relation of, to angels, i. 12, 13.
- Stars drawn to earth by Dragon = Satan's angels, i. 319, 320.
- State, conflicting claims of Christianity and of, xcv, ciii, i. 44.
- "Stone," in 15<sup>5</sup>; corrupt for "Linen, ii. 38, 39.
- Stone, White, 1. signifying acquittal.
  - 2. admitting to free entertainment.
    - 3. precious stones that fell with Manna,
    - 4. precious stones on high priest's breastplate,
    - 5. a mark of felicity.
    - 6. an amulet,
  - 7. refers to martyr himself, and transcendent powers given to him, i. 66, 67.
- Stones, precious, in walls of Holy Jerusalem, ü. 164-170: with connected Zodiacal signs, ii. 167, 168.
- Summary of work, xxv-xxviii.
- Sun and moon. See Luminaries.
- Sun-goddess. See Woman with Child.
- Supper or common meal, a symbol of life to come in its perfect

fellowship with God and Messiah, i. 101. See Marriage.

Marriage. Sword, of mouth, symbol of judicial authority, i. 30, 65.

instrument of war, ii. 136.

- symbolizes civil and international strife, i. 165, ii. 402 #.
- Symbolism, use of, cvi-cvii. See Psychic Experiences.
- Symbols in Greek Text, ii. 235.
- Synagogue, use of word abandoned by Christians, i. 57, 58.
- Tabernacle. See Shekinah ;---of Testimony. See Temple.
- Temple of God in 3<sup>13</sup>=God Himself, faithful to be pillars therein, i. 90, 91;
  - in 11<sup>1</sup> originally, literal temple, but in present context = spiritual temple composed of all the faithful, i. 274, 276; while "outer court" = body of unbelievers, given over to spiritual assaults of Antichrist, etc., i. 274, 278. See Measure.
  - of Tabernacle of Testimony, obviously corrupt, explanation unsatisfactory, ii. 37, 38.
  - in heaven, altar and ark in (and throne?), i. 111, 112; for a time inaccessible (to prayer) till Seven Bowls emptied, ii. 39, 40.
  - no, in Holy Jerusalem, as God the Temple thereof, ii. 170.
- Temptation=trial or testing by persecution, i. 58, 90.
  - =demonic attack on unbelievers, i. 58, 90.
- Ten days=short period, of tribulation, i. 44 n., 58.
- Tenses, Greek, how to be rendered, cxxiii-cxxvi.
- Testaments of Twelve Patriarchs, related to Book of Jubilees, xxxiii.
- Testimony of Jesus=testimony borne by Jesus, i. 174.
  - of Jesus Christ=truth witnessed to by Christ, i. 1, 6, 7.
- = witness of John to Christ, i. 21. Testimony of Jesus Christ, witness to
  - Jesus = spirit of prophecy, ii. 130.

- Text, Greek, of Apocalypse—Manuscripts of, ii. 227–232; their critical value, clx-clxvi, clxxi-clxxvi
  - Abbreviations and Symbols in, ii. 235.
  - Itacisms in, ii. 235.
  - Versions of, ii. 234 ; their critical value, clxvi-clxxi, clxxviii-clxxxii, ii. 453-455.
- Thanksgiving in chap. xix. by Angels first, then Elders and Cherubim, and, after that, great multitude of martyrs, ii. 117, 118, 120.
- Theodotion's version, based on earlier version of similar type, lxvin n., lxvin-lxxxii and notes.
- Three and a half years. See Reign.
- Throne, God's, Lamb to share (cf. Son of Man in *1 Emoch*), ii. 175, 176, 209.
  - Christ's martyrs to share, in Millennial Kingdom, i. 101, 102, 129, ii. 153; and for ever, ii. 153, 211.
  - of God, in heaven, references to in other literature, i. III.
    - position of, ? in Temple, i. 112. Great White, of Final Judgment, ii. 191, 192.
  - scenery of, rainbow, etc., i. 114, 115; lightnings and thunders, lii, 116, 117; Seven Lamps of fire, 117; Twenty-four Elders, 115, 116; Four Cherubim, i. 118, 119 (in *Daniel*, etc., also fiery streams under, i. 120).
  - voice from, not of God, but of Elder or Cherub, ii. 124.
- Thunder and lightning, clix, i. 116.
- Thunders, Seven, revelation of, to be sealed and not written, i. 261, 262.
- Thyatira, a city notable for trade and craft guilds, i. 68.
  - compromise with heathenism at, i. 69, 70, 72.
- Time no longer, =delay no longer ( $10^7$ ), i. 263, 264 n.
- Time, times and half a time, origin of phrase, i. 330.
- Titles of Christ, connection of, with messages to individual churches, i. 25-27, not always clear, i. 45, 46, 48.

Trade-guilds, importance of, at | Trumpet blasts, three last (=Three Thyatira, i. 68-70; their common meals and the problem of food sacrificed to idols, i. 69, 70, 72.

Traditional material, cviii. See Origins. Trance. See Spirit.

- Translation of Spirit, and of body, i. 110, 111. See Heaven, Psychic Experiences, Vision.
- "Treasuries" in 2018 deliberately altered to "sea," ii. 194-199; souls of righteous guarded and resting in, lv, cxvi, ii. 196, 197.
- Tree of Life, symbol of immortality, i. 54, 55.
  - in Paradise, i.e. in Holy Jerusalem, i. 54, 55.
  - personal victory over evil alone entitles to, i. 54; not so with Water of Life, which is free, i. 55. See Water of Life.

  - in Millennial Kıngdom, ii. 146. description of, in 22<sup>3</sup> based on Ezekiel, ii. 176, 177.
- Tribes, Twelve, order of, in list, i. 193, 194, 206-209. See Dan.
- Tribulation, necessarily preceding Millennial Kingdom, i. 21, 90.
  - to affect only faithless and heathen, i. 90.
  - Great, of martyrs, chiefly the in Satanic manifested activity on earth, only secondarily in social and cosmic evils, i. 213.
  - See Ten Days.
- Trinity, doctrine of, not asserted by, 14. 5, i. 11-13.
- Trisagion, derived from Isaiah, i. 127.
- True, not=genuine, but "true to one's word," i. 85, 86.
- Trumpet blasts, heptadic structure secondary, not original, i. 218, 219; first four colourless, with weak repetitions modelled on first four Bowls, 220; different from last three in diction and style, 220, 221.
  - scorched, 1 sea turned to blood, i fresh waters embittered, 1 luminaries obscured, i. 233-237.

- Demonic Woes), (1) locusts, i. 242-247; (2) horsemen and steeds, kill 1 men, i. 247-254 (origin of this idea. i. 253, 254); (3) casting down of Satan to earth and setting up of Antichrist's kingdom thereon, i. 292.
- Unbelieving = faithless, ii. 216.
- Uncial texts. See Apocalypse, Text of.
- Vengeance, prayer for, i. 175, 176. Versions. See Apocalypse, Text of.
- Vespasian. See Apocalypse, Date of.
- Victor, reward of, ii. 215. See "Overcoming."
- Vintaging of earth (Judgment), assigned by Interpolator to nameless angel, but originally belonged to Son of Man, lii, liu, ii. 18, 19, 23, 423, 424 (same judgment assigned to Christ by John in 19<sup>11-21</sup>, lvi, ii. 136, 137).
  - to take place outside Jerusalem, not Rome, 11. 25.
- Vision, new, formula of = "after this I saw and behold," cix. i. 106.
- Visions. See Psychic Experiences.
- Vologases, Parthian Victory of, over Romans in 62 A.D., i. 155, 163.
- War, woe of First Seal, i. 163, 164. See Seals.
- in heaven. See Heaven.
- Watchfulness, duty of, enjoined on Sardis, i. 79, 80, 81.
- Water of Life, a free gift, i. 55.
- =divine graces of forgiveness, truth and light, i. 55.
- reward of martyrs, i. 216, 217. See Manna, River.
- White, significance of colour, i. 67, 165, 210, ii. 192, 193. See Horse, Garments, Stone.
  - head and hair of Son of Man, i. 28.

- Wicked, final punishment of, in Lake | Word of God = Apocalypse, i. I, 6, 7; of Fire, cxvi. See Punishment.
- plague Winds. destructive. of, 191 m., 192, 193 m.
  - angels of, hold them in check, i.
- 192 m., 204. Wine, symbol of intoxicating and corrupting power of Rome. ii. 14. "unmixed," symbol of concen
  - trated or sheer judgments of God, ii. 16, 17, 136, 137 (possibly render "fermenting ").
  - and oil, no shortage of, i. 167, 168; law against damaging vines and olive trees, ii. 402 #.
- Witnesses, Two, abruptly introduced in 11<sup>3</sup>, i. 258 n.
  - = Moses and Elijah, not Enoch and Elijah, appear in Jerusalem as preachers of repentance, i. 280-282.
  - Zoroastrian parallel not analogous, 282 #.
  - identified with Two Olive Trees, etc, of Zechariah, 4<sup>9-14</sup>, i. 282-284.
- Woes, Messianic. See Seals.
- Woman with child = originally Jewish Community, which was to bring forth Messiah, while Dragon = Antichrist, i. 310
  - fleeing to wilderness, referred originally to Christians or Jews escaping from Jeru-salem before 70 A.D., in present context to Christian exodus therefrom, while "rest of seed" refers not to Jews who stayed in Jerusalem, but Gentile Christians throughout Empire, i. 332.
- Woman, Scarlet. See Harlot. Word of God. See Messiah.

- = preaching of Gospel by John, i. 21, 22.
  - = martyrs' testimony to Jesus, i. 329.
- Words, order of, clvi-clix, etc.
- Works, doctrine of-necessary, imply freewill, = moral character as manifested : symbolized by fine linen in an interpolation, cxv, ii. 127-128.
  - following martyred saints, Zoroastrian parallel ideas and Jewish parallels, i. 371 ; but in Apoc. the works are not separate, reserved in heaven. accompany but person. therefore = manifestation of inner life and character, i. 370-373. judgment by, ii. 221.
- World, created because of God's will. i. 134.
- World-Redeemer tradition. international at early date, i. 313.
- World-wide Evangelization, ii. 148, 149, 154, 155.
- Worship, two senses in which word used, cxli, i. 211, 212.
- Wrath, of God—i. 296, ii. 14, 16, 24, 31, 39, 52, 96, 137.

of Lamb, i. 182, 183.

- Zeal, importance of, in Christian character, i. 100.
- Zealot, prophecy of inviolability of Temple due to a, i. 278.
- Zend origin of Dragon-myth, i. 307, 308, 311, 312. See Origins.
- Zion, Mount, association of, with security and deliverance, ii.
  - 4. Messiah to appear on-also a Jewish expectation, ii. 5.
- Zodiac, signs of, i. 315, 316; con-nection of, with Cherubim, i. 122, 123. See Stones.

## The International Critical Commentary

.

### ARRANGEMENT OF VOLUMES AND AUTHORS

#### THE OLD TESTAMENT

GENESIS. The Rev. JOHN SKINNER, D.D., Principal and Professor of Old Testament Language and Literature, College of Presbyterian Church of England, Cambridge, England. [Now Ready.

**EXODUS.** The Rev. A. R. S. KENNEDY, D.D., Professor of Hebrew, University of Edinburgh.

LEVITICUS. J. F. STENNING, M.A., Fellow of Wadham College, Oxford.

NUMBERS. The Rev. G. BUCHANAN GRAY, D.D., Professor of Hebrew, Mansfield College, Oxford. [Now Ready.

DEUTERONOMY. The Rev. S. R. DRIVER, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Regius Professor of Hebrew, Oxford. [Now Ready.

JOSHUA. The Rev. GEORGE ADAM SMITH, D.D., LL.D., Principal of the University of Aberdeen.

JUDGES. The Rev. GEORGE F. MOORE, D D., LL.D., Professor of Theology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. [Now Ready.

SAMUEL. The Rev. H. P. SMITH, D.D., Librarian, Union Theological Seminary, New York. [Now Ready.

KINGS. [Author to be announced.]

CHRONICLES. The Rev. EDWARD L. CURTIS, D.D., Professor of Hebrew, Yale University, New Haven, Conn. [Now Ready.

EZRA AND NEHEMIAH. The Rev. L. W. BATTEN, Ph.D., D.D., Professor of Old Testament Literature, General Theological Seminary, New York City. [Now Ready.

PSALMS. The Rev. CHAS. A. BRIGGS, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Graduate Professor of Theological Encyclopædia and Symbolics, Union Theological Seminary, New York. [2 vols. Now Ready.

PROVERBS. The Rev. C. H. Toy, D.D., LL.D., Professor of Hebrew, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. [Now Ready.

JOB. The Rev. S. R. DRIVER, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Regius Professor of Hebrew, Oxford. ISAIAH. Chaps. I-XXVII. The Rev. G. BUCHANAN GRAY, D.D., Professor of Hebrew, Mansfield College, Oxford. [Now Ready.

**ISAIAH.** Chaps. XXVIII-XXXIX. The Rev. G. BUCHANAN GRAY, D.D. Chaps. LX-LXVI. The Rev. A. S. PEAKE, M.A., D.D., Dean of the Theological Faculty of the Victoria University and Professor of Biblical Exegesis in the University of Manchester, England.

JEREMIAH. The Rev. A. F. KIRKPATRICK, D.D., Dean of Ely, sometime Regius Professor of Hebrew, Cambridge, England.

I

**EZEKIEL.** The Rev. G. A. COOKE, M.A., Oriel Professor of the Interpretation of Holy Scripture, University of Oxford, and the Rev. CHARLES F. BURNEY, D.Litt., Fellow and Lecturer in Hebrew, St. John's College, Oxford.

**DANIEL.** The Rev. JOHN P. PETERS, Ph.D., D.D., sometime Professor of Hebrew, P. E. Divinity School, Philadelphia, now Rector of St. Michael's Church, New York City.

AMOS AND HOSEA. W. R. HARPER, Ph.D., LL.D., sometime President of the University of Chicago, Illinois. [Now Ready.

MICAH, ZEPHANIAH, NAHUM, HABAKKUK, OBADIAH AND JOEL. Prof. JOHN M. P. SMITH, University of Chicago; W. HAYES WARD, D.D., LL.D., Editor of *The Independent*, New York; Prof. JULIUS A. BEWER, Union Theological Seminary, New York. [Now Ready.

HAGGAI, ZECHARIAH, MALACHI AND JONAH. Prof. H. G. MITCHELL, D.D.; Prof. JOHN M. P. SMITH, Ph.D., and Prof. J. A. BEWER, Ph.D. [Now Ready.

ESTHER. The Rev. L. B. PATON, Ph.D., Professor of Hebrew, Hartford Theological Seminary. [Now Ready.

ECCLESIASTES. Prof. GEORGE A. BARTON, Ph.D., Professor of Biblical Literature, Bryn Mawr College, Pa. [Now Ready.

RUTH, SONG OF SONGS AND LAMENTATIONS. Rev. CHARLES A. BRIGGS, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Graduate Professor of Theological Encyclopædia and Symbolics, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

#### THE NEW TESTAMENT

ST. MATTHEW. The Rev. WILLOUGHEY C. ALLEN, M.A., Fellow and Lecturer in Theology and Hebrew, Exeter College, Oxford. [Now Ready.

ST. MARK. Rev. E. P. GOULD, D.D., sometime Professor of New Testament Literature, P. E. Divinity School, Philadelphia. [Now Ready.

ST. LUKE. The Rev. ALFRED PLUMMER, D.D., late Master of University College, Durham. [Now Ready. ST. JOHN. The Right Rev. JOHN HENRY BERNARD, D.D., Bishop of Ossory, Ireland.

HARMONY OF THE GOSPELS. The Rev. WILLIAM SANDAY, D.D., LL.D., Lady Margaret Professor of Divinity, Oxford, and the Rev. WIL-LOUGHBY C. ALLEN, M.A., Fellow and Lecturer in Divinity and Hebrew, Exeter College, Oxford.

ACTS. The Rev. C. H. TURNER, D.D., Fellow of Magdalen College, Oxford, and the Rev. H. N. BATE, M.A., Examining Chaplain to the Bishop of London.

**ROMANS.** The Rev. WILLIAM SANDAY, D.D., LL.D., Lady Margaret Professor of Divinity and Canon of Christ Church, Oxford, and the Rev. A. C. HEADLAM, M.A., D.D., Principal of King's College, London.

[Now Ready.

I. CORINTHIANS. The Right Rev. Arch Robertson, D.D., LL.D., Lord Bishop of Excter, and Rev. Alfred Plummer, D.D., late Master of University College, Durham. [Now Reary.

**II. CORINTHIANS.** The Rev. Alfred Plummer, M.A., D.D., late Master of University College, Durham. [Now Ready.

GALATIANS. The Rev. ERNEST D. BURTON, D.D., Professor of New Testament Literature, University of Chicago. [In Press.

EPHESIANS AND COLOSSIANS. The Rev. T. K. AEBOTT, B.D., D.Litt., sometime Professor of Biblical Greek, Trinity College, Dublin, now Librarian of the same. [Now Ready.

PHILIPPIANS AND PHILEMON. The Rev. MARVIN R. VINCENT, D.D., Professor of Biblical Literature, Union Theological Seminary, New York City. [Now Ready.

THESSALONIANS. The Rev. JAMES E. FRAME, M.A, Professor of Biblical Theology, Union Theological Seminary, New York City.

Now Ready.

THE PASTORAL EPISTLES. The Rev. WALTER LOCK, D.D., Warden of Keble College and Professor of Exegesis, Oxford.

**HEBREWS.** The Rev. JAMES MOFFATT, D.D., Minister United Free Church, Broughty Ferry, Scotland.

ST. JAMES. The Rev. JAMES H. ROPES, D.D., Bussey Professor of New Testament Criticism in Harvard University. [Now Ready.

PETER AND JUDE. The Rev. CHARLES BIGG, D.D., sometime Regius Professor of Ecclesiastical History and Canon of Christ Church, Oxford. [Now Ready.

THE JOHANNINE EPISTLES. The Rev. E. A. BROOKE, B.D., Fellow and Divinity Lecturer in King's College, Cambridge. [Now Ready.

REVELATION. The Rev. ROBERT H. CHARLES, M.A., D.D., sometime Professor of Biblical Greek in the University of Dublin. [2 rols. Now Ready.

# The International Theological Library

-

ARRANGEMENT OF VOLUMES AND AUTHORS

THEOLOGICAL ENCYCLOPÆDIA. By CHARLES A. BRIGGS, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Professor of Theological Encyclopædia and Symbolics, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE LITERATURE OF THE OLD TESTA-MENT. By S. R. DRIVER, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Regius Professor of Hebrew and Canon of Christ Church, Oxford.

[Revised and Enlarged Edition.

CANON AND TEXT OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By the Rev. JOHN SKINNER, D.D., Principal and Professor of Old Testament Language and Literature, College of the Presbyterian Church of England, Cambridge, England, and the Rev. OWEN WHITEHOUSE, B.A., Principal and Professor of Hebrew, Chestnut College, Cambridge, England.

OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY. By HENRY PRESERVED SMITH, D.D., Librarian, Union Theological Seminary, New York. [Now Ready.

CONTEMPORARY HISTORY OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. [Author to be announced.

THEOLOGY OF THE OLD TESTAMENT. By A. B. DAVIDSON, D.D., LL.D., sometime Professor of Hebrew, New College, Edinburgh. [Now Ready.

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE LITERATURE OF THE NEW TESTA-MENT. By Rev. JAMES MOFFATT, B.D., Minister United Free Church, Broughty Ferry, Scotland. [Now Ready.

CANON AND TEXT OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By CASPAR RENÉ GREGORY, D.D., LL.D., sometime Professor of New Testament Exegesis in the University of Leipzig. [Now Ready.

THE LIFE OF CHRIST. By WILLIAM SANDAY, D.D., LL.D., Lady Margaret Professor of Divinity and Canon of Christ Church, Oxford. A HISTORY OF CHRISTIANITY IN THE APOSTOLIC AGE. By ARTHUR C. MCGIFFERT, D.D., President Union Theological Seminary, New York. [Now Ready.

CONTEMPORARY HISTORY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By FRANK C. PORTER, D.D., Professor of Biblical Theology, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.

THEOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT. By GEORGE B. STEVENS, D.D., sometime Professor of Systematic Theology, Yale University, New Haven, Conn. [Now Ready.

BIBLICAL ARCHÆOLOGY. By G. BUCHANAN GRAY, D.D., Professor of Hebrew, Mansfield College, Oxford.

THE ANCIENT CATHOLIC CHURCH. By ROBERT RAINEY, D.D., LL.D., sometime Principal of New College, Edinburgh. [Now Ready.

THE LATIN CHURCH IN THE MIDDLE AGES. By ANDRE LAGARDE. [Now Ready.

THE GREEK AND EASTERN CHURCHES. By W. F. ADENEY, D.D., Principal of Independent College, Manchester. [Now Ready.

THE REFORMATION IN GERMANY. By T. M. LINDSAY, D.D., Principal of the United Free College, Glasgow. [Now Ready.

THE REFORMATION IN LANDS BEYOND GERMANY. By T. M. LINDSAY, D.D. [Now Ready.

THEOLOGICAL SYMBOLICS. By CHARLES A. BRIGGS, D.D., D.Litt., sometime Professor of Theological Encyclopædia and Symbolics, Union Theological Seminary, New York. [Now Ready.

HISTORY OF CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE. By G. P. FISHFR, D.D., LL.D., sometime Professor of Ecclesiastical History, Yale University, New Haven, Conn. [Revised and Enlarged Edition.]

CHRISTIAN INSTITUTIONS. By A. V. G. ALLEN, D.D., sometime Professor of Ecclesiastical History, Protestant Episcopal Divinity School, Cambridge, Mass. [Now Ready.

PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION. By GEORGE GALLOWAY, D.D., Minister of United Free Church, Castle Douglas, Scotland. [Now Ready.

HISTORY OF RELIGIONS. I. China, Japan, Egypt, Babylonia, Assyria, India, Persia, Greece, Rome. By GEORGE F. MOORE, D.D., LL.D., Professor in Harvard University. [Now Ready.

HISTORY OF RELIGIONS. II. Judaism, Christianity, Mohammedanism. By GEORGE F. MOORE, D.D., LL.D., Professor in Harvard University. [Now Ready.

APOLOGETICS. By A, B. BRUCE, D.D., sometime Professor of New Testament Exegesis, Free Church College, Glasgow. [Revised and Enlarged Edition.

## THE INTERNATIONAL THEOLOGICAL LIBRARY

THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE OF GOD. By WILLIAM N. CLARKE, D.D., sometime Professor of Systematic Theology, Hamilton Theological Seminary. [Now Ready.

THE DOCTRINE OF MAN. By WILLIAM P. PATERSON, D.D., Professor of Divinity, University of Edinburgh.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE PERSON OF JESUS CHRIST. By H. R. MACKINTOSH, Ph.D., D.D., Professor of Theology, New College, Edinburgh. [Now Ready.

THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE OF SALVATION. By GEORGE B. STE-VENS, D.D., sometime Professor of Systematic Theology, Yale University. [Now Ready.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE CHRISTIAN LIFE. By WILLIAM ADAMS BROWN, D.D., Professor of Systematic Theology, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

CHRIȘTIAN ETHICS. By NEWMAN SMYTH, D.D., Pastor of Congregational Church, New Haven. [Revised and Enlarged Edition.

THE CHRISTIAN PASTOR AND THE WORKING CHURCH. By WASHINGTON GLADDEN, D.D., sometime Pastor of Congregational Church, Columbus, Ohio. [Now Ready.

THE CHRISTIAN PREACHER. By A. E. GARVIE, D.D., Principal of New College, London, England.

HISTORY OF CHRISTIAN MISSIONS. By CHARLES HENRY ROBIN-SON, D.D., Hon. Canon of Ripon Cathedral and Editorial Secretary of the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts.

Now Ready.



-

UNIVERSAL