




C. 6:

Elizabeth G. Sumner

*L.A.*



*Albion*









A

CRITICAL DISSERTATION

ON THE

POEMS OF OSSIAN,

THE

SON OF FINGAL.



L O N D O N :

Printed for T. BECKET and P. A. DE HONDT, at *Tully's-*  
Head, in the *Strand*. MDCCLXIII.







## Advertisement.

**T**HE Substance of the following Dissertation was delivered by the Author in the Course of his Lectures on Rhetorick and Belles-Lettres, in the University of Edinburgh. At the Desire of several of his Hearers, he has enlarged, and given it to the Publick, in its present Form.

In this Dissertation, it is proposed, to make some Observations on the ancient Poetry of Nations, particularly the Runic and the Celtic; to point out those Characters of Antiquity, which the Works of Ossian bear; to give an Idea of the Spirit and Strain of his Poetry; and after applying the Rules of Criticism to Fingal, as an Epic Poem, to examine the Merit of Ossian's Compositions in general, with Regard to Description, Imagery, and Sentiment.



## DISSERTATION.

NATIONS, small in their beginnings and slow in their progress to maturity, cannot, with any degree of certainty, be traced to their source. The first historians, in every country, are, therefore, obscure and unsatisfactory. Swayed by a national partiality, natural to mankind, they adopted uncertain legends and ill-fancied fictions, when they served to strengthen a favourite system, or to throw lustre on the antient state of their country. Without judgment or discernment to separate the probable and more antient traditions, from ill-digested tales of late invention, they jumbled the whole together, in one mass of anachronisms and inconsistencies. Their accounts, however, though deduced from æras too remote to be known, were received with that partial credulity which always distinguishes an unpolished age. Mankind had neither abilities nor inclination to dispute the truth of relations, which, by throwing lustre on their ancestors, flattered their own vanity.—Such were the historians of Europe, during the dark ages, which succeeded the subversion of the Roman empire. When learning began to revive, men looked into antiquity with less prejudiced eyes. They chose rather to trust their national fame to late and well-attested transactions, than draw it from ages, dark and involved in fable.

THE Romans give the first and, indeed, the only authentic accounts of the northern nations. Destitute of the use of letters, they themselves had no means of transmitting their history to posterity. Their traditions and songs were lost, or altogether corrupted, in their revolutions and migrations, which were so frequent and universal, that no kingdom in Europe is now possessed by its original inhabitants. Societies were formed, and kingdoms erected, from a mixture of nations, who, in process of time, lost all knowledge of their own origin.

If tradition could be depended upon, it is only among a people, from all time, free of intermixture with foreigners. We are to look for these among the mountains and inaccessible parts of a country: places, on account of their barrenness, uninviting to an enemy, or whose natural strength enabled the natives to repel invasions. Such are the inhabitants of the mountains of Scotland. We, accordingly, find, that they differ materially from those who possess the low and more fertile part of the kingdom. Their language is pure and original, and their manners are those of an ancient and unmixed race of men. Conscious of their own antiquity, they long despised others, as a new and mixed people. As they lived in a country only fit for pasture, they were free of that toil and business, which engross the attention of a commercial people. Their amusement consisted in hearing or repeating their songs and traditions, and these intirely turned on the antiquity of their nation, and the exploits of their forefathers. It is no wonder, therefore, that there are more remains of antiquity among them, than among any other people in Europe. Traditions, however, concerning remote periods, are only to be regarded, in so far as they co-incide with cotemporary writers of undoubted credit and veracity.

No writers began their accounts from a more early period, than the historians of the Scotch nation. Without records, or even tradition itself, they give a long list of ancient kings, and a detail of their transactions, with a scrupulous exactness. One might naturally suppose, that, when they had no authentic annals, they should, at least, have recourse to the traditions of their country, and have reduced them into a regular system of history. Of both they seem to have been equally destitute. Born in the low country, and strangers to the ancient language of their nation, they contented themselves with copying from one another, and retailing the same fictions, in a new colour and dress.

JOHN FORDUN was the first who collected those fragments of the Scotch history, which had escaped the brutal policy of Edward I. and reduced them into order. His accounts, in so far as they concerned recent transactions, deserved credit: beyond a certain period, they were fabulous and unsatisfactory. Some time before Fordun wrote, the king of England, in a letter to the pope, had run up the antiquity of his nation to a very remote æra. Fordun, possessed of all the national prejudice of the age, was unwilling that his country should yield, in point of antiquity, to a people, then its rivals and enemies. Destitute of annals in Scotland, he had recourse to Ireland, which, according to the vulgar errors of the times, was reckoned the first habitation of the Scots. He found, there, that the Irish bards had carried their pretensions to antiquity as high, if not beyond any nation in Europe. It was from them he took those improbable fictions, which form the first part of his history.

THE writers that succeeded Fordun implicitly followed his system, tho' they sometimes varied from him in their relations of particular transactions, and the order of succession of their kings.

As they had no new lights, and were, equally with him, unacquainted with the traditions of their country, their histories contain little information concerning the origin of the Scots. Even Buchanan himself, except the elegance and vigour of his stile, has very little to recommend him. Blinded with political prejudices, he seemed more anxious to turn the fictions of his predecessors to his own purposes, than to detect their misrepresentations, or investigate truth amidst the darkness which they had thrown round it. It therefore appears, that little can be collected from their own historians, concerning the first migration of the Scots into Britain.

THAT this island was peopled from Gaul admits of no doubt. Whether colonies came afterwards from the north of Europe is a matter of mere speculation. When South-Britain yielded to the power of the Romans, the unconquered nations to the north of the province were distinguished by the name of *Caledonians*. From their very name, it appears, that they were of those *Celts*, or *Gauls*, who possessed themselves originally of Britain. It is compounded of two *Celtic* words, *Caël* signifying *Celts*, or *Gauls*, and *Dun* or *Don*, a *hill*; so that *Caël-don*, or *Caledonians*, is as much as to say, the *Celts of the hill country*. The Highlanders, to this day, call themselves *Caël*, and their language *Caëlic*, or *Galic*. This, of itself, is sufficient to demonstrate, that they are the genuine descendents of the antient *Caledonians*, and not a pretended colony of *Scots*, who settled first in the north, in the third or fourth century.

FROM the double meaning of the word *Caël*, which signifies *strangers*, as well as *Gauls*, or *Celts*, some have imagined, that the ancestors of the *Caledonians* were of a different race from the rest of

of the Britons, and that they received their name upon that account. This opinion, say they, is supported by Tacitus, who, from several circumstances, concludes, that the Caledonians were of German extraction. A discussion of a point so intricate, at this distance of time, could neither be satisfactory nor important.

TOWARDS the latter end of the third, and beginning of the fourth century, we meet with the *Scots* in the north. Porphyrius <sup>St. Hierom.</sup> makes the first mention of them about that time. As the *Scots* <sup>ad Ctesiphon.</sup> were not heard of before that period, most writers supposed them to have been a colony, newly come to Britain, and that the *Picts* were the only genuine descendents of the antient Caledonians. This mistake is easily removed. The Caledonians, in process of time, became naturally divided into two distinct nations, as possessing parts of the country, intirely different in their nature and soil. The western coast of Scotland is hilly and barren; towards the east the country is plain, and fit for tillage. The inhabitants of the mountains, a roving and uncontrouled race of men, lived by feeding of cattle, and what they killed in hunting. Their employment did not fix them to one place. They removed from one heath to another, as suited best with their convenience or inclination. They were not, therefore, improperly called, by their neighbours, SCUTTE, or, *the wandering nation*; which is evidently the origin of the Roman name of *Scoti*.

ON the other hand, the Caledonians, who possessed the east coast of Scotland, as their division of the country was plain and fertile, applied themselves to agriculture, and raising of corn. It was from this, that the Galic name of the *Picts* proceeded; for they are called, in that language, *Cruithnich*, i. e. *the wheat or corn-eaters*.

*esters.* As the Picts lived in a country so different in its nature from that possessed by the Scots, so their national character suffered a material change. Unobstructed by mountains, or lakes, their communication with one another was free and frequent. Society, therefore, became sooner established among them, than among the Scots, and, consequently, they were much sooner governed by civil magistrates and laws. This, at last, produced so great a difference in the manners of the two nations, that they began to forget their common origin, and almost continual quarrels and animosities subsisted between them. These animosities, after some ages, ended in the subversion of the Pictish kingdom, but not in the total extirpation of the nation, according to most of the Scotch writers, who seemed to think it more for the honour of their countrymen to annihilate, than reduce a rival people under their obedience. It is certain, however, that the very name of the Picts was lost, and those that remained were so compleatly incorporated with their conquerors, that they soon lost all memory of their own origin.—

THE end of the Pictish government is placed so near that period, to which authentic annals reach, that it is matter of wonder, that we have no monuments of their language or history remaining. This favours the system I have laid down. Had they originally been of a different race from the Scots, their language of course would be different. The contrary is the case. The names of places in the Pictish dominions, and the very names of their kings, which are handed down to us, are of Galic original, which is a convincing proof, that the two nations were, of old, one and the same, and only divided into two governments, by the effect which their situation had upon the genius of the people.



THE name of *Picts* was, perhaps, given by the Romans to the Caledonians, who possessed the east coast of Scotland, from their painting their bodies. This circumstance made some imagine, that the Picts were of British extract, and a different race of men from the Scots. That more of the Britons, who fled northward from the tyranny of the Roman, settled in the low country of Scotland, than among the Scots of the mountains, may be easily imagined, from the very nature of the country. It was they who introduced painting among the Picts. From this circumstance proceeded the name of the latter, to distinguish them from the Scots, who never had that art among them, and from the Britons, who discontinued it after the Roman conquest.

THE Caledonians, most certainly, acquired a considerable knowledge in navigation, by their living on a coast intersected with many arms of the sea, and, in islands, divided, one from another, by wide and dangerous firths. It is, therefore, highly probable, that they, very early, found their way to the north of Ireland, which is within sight of their own country. That Ireland was first peopled from Britain is certain. The vicinity of the two islands; the exact correspondence of the ancient inhabitants of both, in point of manners and language, are sufficient proofs, even if we had not the testimony of authors of undoubted veracity to confirm it. Dio. Sic. l. 5. The abettors of the most romantic systems of Irish antiquities allow it; but they place the colony from Britain in an improbable and remote æra. I shall easily admit, that the colony of the *Firbolg*, confessedly the *Belgæ* of Britain, settled in the south of Ireland, before the *Cæli*, or Caledonians, discovered the north: but it is not at all likely, that the migration of the *Firbolg* to Ireland happened many centuries before the incarnation.

Temora,  
Book II.

OSSIAN, in the poem of Temora, throws considerable light on this subject. His accounts agree so well with what the antients have delivered, concerning the first population and inhabitants of Ireland, that every unbiassed person will confess them more probable, than the legends handed down, by tradition, in that country. From him, it appears, that, in the days of Trathal, grandfather to Fingal, Ireland was possessed by two nations; the *Firbolg* or *Belgæ* of Britain, who inhabited the south, and the *Caël*, who passed over from Caledonia and the Hebrides to Ulster. The two nations, as is usual among an unpolished and lately settled people, were divided into small dynasties, subject to petty kings, or chiefs, independent of one another. In this situation, it is probable, they continued long, without any material revolution in the state of the island, until Crothar, Lord of Atha, a country in Connaught, the most potent chief of the *Firbolg*, carried away Conlama, the daughter of Cathmin, a chief of the *Caël*, who possessed Ulster.

CONLAMA had been betrothed, some time before, to Turloch, a chief of her own nation. Turloch resented the affront offered him by Crothar, made an irruption into Connaught, and killed Cormul, the brother of Crothar, who came to oppose his progress. Crothar himself then took arms, and either killed or expelled Turloch. The war, upon this, became general, between the two nations: and the *Caël* were reduced to the last extremity.—In this situation, they applied, for aid, to Trathal king of Morven, who sent his brother Conar, already famous for his great exploits, to their relief. Conar, upon his arrival in Ulster, was chosen king, by the unanimous consent of the Caledonian tribes, who possessed that country. The war was renewed with vigour and success; but the *Firbolg* appear to have been rather repelled than subdued. In suc-

\*

ceeding

ceeding reigns, we learn from episodes in the same poem, that the chiefs of Atha made several efforts to become monarchs of Ireland, and to expel the race of Conar.

To Conar succeeded his son Cormac, who appears to have reigned long. In his latter days he seems to have been driven to the last extremity, by an insurrection of the *Firbolg*, who supported the pretensions of the chiefs of Atha to the Irish throne. Fingal, who then was very young, came to the aid of Cormac, totally defeated Colc-ulla, chief of Atha, and re-established Cormac in the sole possession of all Ireland. It was then he fell in love with, and took to wife, Ros-crana, the daughter of Cormac, who was the mother of Ossian.

Book III.

Book IV.

CORMAC was succeeded in the Irish throne by his son, Cairbre; Cairbre by Artho, his Son, who was the father of that Cormac, in whose minority the invasion of Swaran happened, which is the subject of the poem of *Fingal*. The family of Atha, who had not relinquished their pretensions to the Irish throne, rebelled in the minority of Cormac, defeated his adherents, and murdered him in the palace of Temora. Cairbar, lord of Atha, upon this, mounted the throne. His usurpation soon ended with his life; for Fingal made an expedition into Ireland, and restored, after various vicissitudes of fortune, the family of Conar to the possession of the kingdom. This war is the subject of *Temora*; the events, tho' certainly heightened and embellished by poetry, seem, notwithstanding, to have their foundation in true history.

Book I.

OSSTAN has not only preserved the history of the first migration of the Caledonians into Ireland, he has also delivered some important

tant facts, concerning the first settlement of the *Firbolg*, or *Belgæ* of *Britain*, in that kingdom, under their leader Larthon, who was ancestor to Cairbar and Cathmor, who, successively, mounted the Irish throne, after the death of Cormac, the son of Artho. I forbear to transcribe the passage, on account of its length. It is the song of *Fonar*, the bard; towards the latter end of the seventh book of *Temora*. As the generations from Larthon to Cathmor, to whom the epifode is addressed, are not marked, as are those of the family of Conar, the first king of Ireland, we can form no judgment of the time of the settlement of the *Firbolg*. It is, however, probable, it was some time before the *Cæil*, or Caledonians, settled in Ulster.—One important fact may be gathered from this history of Ossian, that the Irish had no king before the latter end of the first century. Fingal lived, it is certain, in the third century; so Conar, the first monarch of the Irish, who was his grand-uncle, cannot be placed farther back than the close of the first. The establishing of this fact, lays, at once, aside the pretended antiquities of the Scotch and Irish, and cuts off the long list of kings which the latter give us for a millennium before.

War. de an-  
tiq. Hyber-  
næ. p. 1.

OF the affairs of Scotland, it is certain, nothing can be depended upon, prior to the reign of Fergus, the son of Erc, who lived in the fifth century. The true history of Ireland begins somewhat later than that period. Sir James Ware, who was indefatigable in his researches after the antiquities of his country, rejects, as mere fiction and idle romance, all that is related of the antient Irish, before the time of St. Patrick, and the reign of Leogaire. It is from this consideration, that he begins his history at the introduction of christianity, remarking, that all that is delivered down, concerning the times of paganism, were tales of late invention, strangely

strangely mixed with anachronisms and inconsistencies. Such being the opinion of Ware, who had collected, with uncommon industry and zeal, all the real and pretendedly antient manuscripts, concerning the history of his country, we may, on his authority, reject the improbable and self-condemned tales of Keating and O'Flaherty. Credulous and puerile to the last degree, they have disgraced the antiquities they meant to establish. It is to be wished, that some able Irishman, who understands the language and records of his country, may redeem, ere it is too late, the genuine antiquities of Ireland, from the hands of these idle fabulists.

By comparing the history preserved by Ossian with the legends of the Scotch and Irish writers, and, by afterwards examining both by the test of the Roman authors, it is easy to discover which is the most probable. Probability is all that can be established on the authority of tradition, ever dubious and uncertain. But when it favours the hypothesis laid down by cotemporary writers of undoubted veracity, and, as it were, finishes the figure of which they only drew the out-lines, it ought, in the judgment of sober reason, to be preferred to accounts framed in dark and distant periods, with little judgment, and upon no authority.

CONCERNING the period of more than a century, which intervenes between Fingal and the reign of Fergus, the son of Erc or Arcath, tradition is dark and contradictory. Some trace up the family of Fergus to a son of Fingal of that name, who makes a considerable figure in Ossian's poems. The three elder sons of Fingal, Ossian, Fillan, and Ryno, dying, without issue, the succession, of course, devolved upon Fergus, the fourth son and his posterity. This Fergus, say some traditions, was the father of Congal, whose

son was Arcath, the father of Fergus, properly called the first king of Scots, as it was in his time the *Gaël*, who possessed the western coast of Scotland, began to be distinguished, by foreigners, by the name of *Scots*. From thence forward, the Scots and Picts, as distinct nations, became objects of attention to the historians of other countries. The internal state of the two Caledonian kingdoms has always continued, and ever must remain, in obscurity and fable.

IT is in this epoch we must fix the beginning of the decay of that species of heroism, which subsisted in the days of Ossian. There are three stages in human society. The first is the result of consanguinity, and the natural affection of the members of a family to one another. The second begins when property is established, and men enter into associations for mutual defence, against the invasions and injustice of neighbours. Mankind submit, in the third, to certain laws and subordinations of government, to which they trust the safety of their persons and property. As the first is formed on nature, so, of course, it is the most disinterested and noble. Men, in the last, have leisure to cultivate the mind, and to restore it, with reflection, to a primæval dignity of sentiment. The middle state is the region of compleat barbarism and ignorance. About the beginning of the fifth century, the Scots and Picts were advanced into the second stage, and, consequently, into those circumscribed sentiments, which always distinguish barbarity.—The events which soon after happened did not at all contribute to enlarge their ideas, or mend their national character.

ABOUT the year 426, the Romans, on account of domestic commotions, entirely forsook Britain, finding it impossible to defend so distant a frontier. The Picts and Scots, seizing this favourable

vourable opportunity, made incurfions into the deferted province. The Britons, enervated by the flavery of feveral centuries, and thofe vices, which are infeparable from an advanced ftate of civility, were not able to withftand the impetuous, tho' irregular attacks of a barbarous enemy. In the utmoft diftreff, they applied to their old mafters, the Romans, and (after the unfortunate ftate of the Empire could not spare aid) to the Saxons, a nation equally barbarous and brave, with the enemies of whom they were fo much afraid. Tho' the bravery of the Saxons repelled the Caledonian nations for a time, yet the latter found means to extend themfelves, confiderably, towards the South. It is, in this period, we muft place the origin of the arts of civil life among the Scots. The feat of government was removed from the mountains to the plain and more fertile provinces of the South, to be near the common enemy, in cafe of fudden incurfions. Inftead of roving thro' unfrequented wilds, in fearch of fubfiftance, by means of hunting, men applied to agriculture, and railing of corn. This manner of life was the firft means of changing the national character.—The next thing which contributed to it was their mixture with ftangers.

In the countries which the Scots had conquered from the Britons, it is probable the moft of the old inhabitants remained. Thefe, incorporating with the conquerors, taught them agriculture, and other arts, which they themfelves had received from the Romans. The Scots, however, in number as well as power, being the moft predominant, retained ftill their language, and as many of the cuftoms of their anceftors, as fuited with the nature of the country they poffeffed. Even the union of the two Caledonian kingdoms did not much affect the national character. Being originally defcended from the fame ftock, the manners of the Picts and  
Scots

Scots were as familiar as the different natures of the countries they possessed permitted.

WHAT brought about a total change in the genius of the Scots nation, was their wars, and other transactions with the Saxons. Several counties in the south of Scotland were alternately possessed by the two nations. They were ceded, in the ninth age, to the Scots, and, it is probable, that most of the Saxon inhabitants remained in possession of their lands. During the several conquests and revolutions in England, many fled, for refuge, into Scotland, to avoid the oppression of foreigners, or the tyranny of domestic usurpers; in so much, that the Saxon race formed perhaps near one half of the Scottish kingdom. The Saxon manners and language daily gained ground, on the tongue and customs of the antient Caledonians, till, at last, the latter were entirely relegated to inhabitants of the mountains, who were still unmixed with strangers.

It was after the accession of territory which the Scots received, upon the retreat of the Romans from Britain, that the inhabitants of the Highlands were divided into clans. The king, when he kept his court in the mountains, was considered, by the whole nation, as the chief of their blood. Their small number, as well as the presence of their prince, prevented those divisions, which, afterwards, sprung forth into so many separate tribes. When the seat of government was removed to the south, those who remained in the Highlands were, of course, neglected. They naturally formed themselves into small societies, independent of one another. Each society had its own *regulus*, who either was, or, in the succession of a few generations, was regarded as chief of their blood.—The nature of the country favoured an institution of this sort.

A few



A few valleys, divided from one another by extensive heaths and impassible mountains, form the face of the Highlands. In these valleys the chiefs fixed their residence. Round them, and almost within sight of their dwellings, were the habitations of their relations and dependents.

THE seats of the Highland chiefs were neither disagreeable nor inconvenient. Surrounded with mountains and hanging woods, they were covered from the inclemency of the weather. Near them generally ran a pretty large river, which, discharging itself, not far off, into an arm of the sea, or extensive lake, swarmed with variety of fish. The woods were stocked with wild-fowl; and the heaths and mountains behind them were the natural seat of the red-deer and roe. If we make allowance for the backward state of agriculture, the valleys were not unfertile; affording, if not all the conveniences, at least the necessaries of life. Here the chief lived, the supreme judge and law-giver of his own people; but his sway was neither severe nor unjust. As the populace regarded him as the chief of their blood, so he, in return, considered them as members of his family. His commands, therefore, tho' absolute and decisive, partook more of the authority of a father, than of the rigor of a judge.—Tho' the whole territory of the tribe was considered as the property of the chief, yet his vassals made him no other consideration for their lands than services, neither burdensome nor frequent. As he seldom went from home, he was at no expence. His table was supplied by his own herds, and what his numerous attendants killed in hunting.

In this rural kind of magnificence, the Highland chiefs lived, for many ages. At a distance from the seat of government, and se-  
cured,

cured, by the inaccessibleness of their country, they were free and independent. As they had little communication with strangers, the customs of their ancestors remained among them, and their language retained its original purity. Naturally fond of military fame, and remarkably attached to the memory of their ancestors, they delighted in traditions and songs, concerning the exploits of their nation, and especially of their own particular families. A succession of bards was retained in every clan, to hand down the memorable actions of their forefathers. As the æra of Fingal, on account of Ossian's poems, was the most remarkable, and his chiefs the most renowned names in tradition, the bards took care to place one of them in the genealogy of every great family.—That part of the poems, which concerned the hero who was regarded as ancestor, was preserved, as an authentic record of the antiquity of the family, and was delivered down, from race to race, with wonderful exactness.

THE bards themselves, in the mean time, were not idle. They erected their immediate patrons into heroes, and celebrated them in their songs. As the circle of their knowledge was narrow, their ideas were confined in proportion. A few happy expressions, and the manners they represent, may please those who understand the language; their obscurity and innacuracy would disgust in a translation.—It was chiefly, for this reason, that I kept wholly to the compositions of Ossian, in my former and present publication. As he acted in a more extensive sphere, his ideas are more noble and universal; neither has he so many of those peculiarities, which are only understood in a certain period or country. The other bards have their beauties, but not in that species of composition in which Ossian excels. Their rhimes, only calculated to kindle a martial spirit

spirit among the vulgar, afford very little pleasure to genuine taste. This observation only regards their poems of the heroic kind; in every other species of poetry they are more successful. They express the tender melancholy of desponding love, with irresistible simplicity and nature. So well adapted are the sounds of the words to the sentiments, that, even without any knowledge of the language, they pierce and dissolve the heart. Successful love is expressed with peculiar tenderness and elegance. In all their compositions, except the heroic, which was solely calculated to animate the vulgar, they give us the genuine language of the heart, without any of those affected ornaments of phraseology, which, tho' intended to beautify sentiments, divest them of their natural force. The ideas, it is confessed, are too local, to be admired, in another language; to those, who are acquainted with the manners they represent, and the scenes they describe, they must afford the highest pleasure and satisfaction.

It was the locality of his description and sentiment, that, probably, kept Ossian so long in the obscurity of an almost lost language. His ideas, tho' remarkably proper for the times in which he lived, are so contrary to the present advanced state of society, that more than a common mediocrity of taste is required, to relish his poems as they deserve.—Those who alone were capable to make a translation were, no doubt, conscious of this, and chose rather to admire their poet in secret, than see him received, with coldness, in an English dress.

THESE were long my own sentiments, and, accordingly, my first translations, from the Gaelic, were merely accidental. The publication, which soon after followed, was so well received, that I was

obliged to promise to my friends a larger collection. In a journey thro' the Highlands and isles, and, by the assistance of correspondents, since I left that country, all the genuine remains of the works of Ossian have come to my hands. In the publication of last year compleat poems were only given. Unfinished and imperfect poems were purposely omitted; even some pieces were rejected, on account of their length, and others, that they might not break in upon that thread of connection, which subsists in the lesser compositions, subjoined to *Fingal*.—That the comparative merit of pieces was not regarded, in the selection, will readily appear to those who shall read, attentively, the present collection.—It is animated with the same spirit of poetry, and the same strength of sentiment is sustained throughout.

THE opening of the poem of *Temora* made its appearance in the last collection. The second book, and several other episodes, have only fallen into my hands lately. The story of the poem, with which I had been long acquainted, enabled me to reduce the broken members of the piece into the order in which they now appear. For the ease of the reader, I have divided it myself into books, as I had done before with the poem of *Fingal*. As to the merit of the poem I shall not anticipate the judgment of the public. My impartiality might be suspected, in my accounts of a work, which, in some measure, is become my own. If the poem of *Fingal* met with the applause of persons of genuine taste, I should also hope, that *Temora* will not displease them.

BUT what renders *Temora* infinitely more valuable than *Fingal*, is the light it throws on the history of the times. The first population of Ireland, its first kings, and several circumstances, which  
 regard

regard its connection of old with the south and north of Britain, are presented to us, in several episodes. The subject and catastrophe of the poem are founded upon facts, which regarded the first peopling of that country, and the contests between the two British nations, which originally inhabited it.—In a preceding part of this dissertation, I have shewn how superior the probability of Ossian's traditions is to the undigested fictions of the Irish bards, and the more recent and regular legends of both Irish and Scotch historians. I mean not to give offence to the abettors of the high antiquities of the two nations, tho' I have all along expressed my doubts, concerning the veracity and abilities of those who deliver down their ancient history. For my own part, I prefer the national fame, arising from a few certain facts, to the legendary and uncertain annals of ages of remote and obscure antiquity. No kingdom, now established in Europe, can pretend to equal antiquity with those of Ireland and Scotland, even according to my system, so that it is altogether needless to fix their origin a fictitious millennium before. This subject I have only lightly touched upon, as it is to be discussed, with more perspicuity, and at a much greater length, by a gentleman, who has thoroughly examined the antiquities of Britain and Ireland.

SINCE the publication of the last collection of Ossian's poems, many insinuations have been made, and doubts arisen, concerning their authenticity. I shall, probably, hear more of the same kind after the present poems shall make their appearance. Whether these suspicions are suggested by prejudice, or are only the effects of ignorance of facts, I shall not pretend to determine.—To me they give no concern, as I have it always in my power to remove them. An incredulity of this kind is natural to persons, who confine all

merit to their own age and country. These are generally the weakest, as well as the most ignorant, of the people. Indolently confined to a place, their ideas are narrow and circumscribed.—It is ridiculous enough to see such people as these are, branding their ancestors, with the despicable appellation of barbarians. Sober reason can easily discern, where the title ought to be fixed, with more propriety.

As prejudice is always the effect of ignorance, the knowing, the men of true taste, despise and dismiss it. If the poetry is good, and the characters natural and striking, to them it is a matter of indifference, whether the heroes were born in the little village of Angles in Juteland, or natives of the barren heaths of Caledonia. That honour which nations derive from ancestors, worthy, or renowned, is merely ideal. It may buoy up the minds of individuals, but it contributes very little to their importance in the eyes of others.—But of all those prejudices which are incident to narrow minds, that which measures the merit of performances by the vulgar opinion, concerning the country which produced them, is certainly the most ridiculous. Ridiculous, however, as it is, few have the courage to reject it; and, I am thoroughly convinced, that a few quaint lines of a Roman or Greek epigrammatist, if dug out of the ruins of Herculaneum, would meet with more cordial and universal applause, than all the most beautiful and natural rhapsodies of all the Celtic bards and Scandinavian Scalders that ever existed.

WHILE some doubt the authenticity of the compositions of Ossian, others strenuously endeavour to appropriate them to the Irish nation. Tho' the whole tenor of the poems sufficiently contradict so absurd an opinion, it may not be improper, for the satisfaction of some, to examine the narrow foundation, on which this extraordinary claim is built.

Or

OF all the nations descended from the antient *Celtæ*, the Scots and Irish are the most similar in language, customs, and manners. This argues a more intimate connection between them, than a remote descent from the great Celtic stock. It is evident, in short, that, at some one period or other, they formed one society, were subject to the same government, and were, in all respects, one and the same people. How they became divided, which the colony, or which the mother nation, does not fall now to be discussed. The first circumstance that induced me to disregard the vulgarly-received opinion of the Hibernian extraction of the Scotch nation, was my observations on their antient language. That dialect of the Celtic tongue, spoken in the north of Scotland, is much more pure, more agreeable to its mother language, and more abounding with primitives, than that now spoken, or even that which has been writ for some centuries back, amongst the most unmixed part of the Irish nation. A Scotchman, tolerably conversant in his own language, understands an Irish composition, from that derivative analogy which it has to the *Galic* of North-Britain. An Irishman, on the other hand, without the aid of study, can never understand a composition in the *Galic* tongue.—This affords a proof, that the *Scotch Galic* is the most original, and, consequently, the language of a more antient and unmixed people. The Irish, however backward they may be to allow any thing to the prejudice of their antiquity, seem inadvertently to acknowledge it, by the very appellation they give to the dialect they speak.—They call their own language *Caëlic Eirinaeh*, i. e. *Caledonian Irish*, when, on the contrary, they call the dialect of North-Britain *a Cbaëlic*, or the *Caledonian tongue*, emphatically. A circumstance of this nature tends more to decide which is the most antient nation, than the united testimonies

testimonies of a whole legion of ignorant bards and *fenachies*, who, perhaps, never dreamed of bringing the Scots from Spain to Ireland, till some one of them, more learned than the rest, discovered, that the Romans called the first *Iberia*, and the latter *Hibernia*. On such a slight foundation were probably built those romantic fictions, concerning the Milesians of Ireland.

FROM internal proofs it sufficiently appears, that the poems published under the name of Ossian, are not of Irish composition. The favourite chimæra, that Ireland is the mother-country of the Scots, is totally subverted and ruined. The fictions, concerning the antiquities of that country, which were forming for ages, and growing, as they came down, on the hands of successive *fenachies* and *fileas*, are found, at last, to be the spurious brood of modern and ignorant ages. To those who know how tenacious the Irish are, of their pretended *Iberian* descent, this alone is proof sufficient, that poems, so subversive of their system, could never be produced by an Hibernian bard.—But when we look to the language, it is so different from the Irish dialect, that it would be as ridiculous to think, that Milton's *Paradise Lost* could be wrote by a Scotch peasant, as to suppose, that the poems ascribed to Ossian were writ in Ireland.

THE pretensions of Ireland to Ossian proceed from another quarter. There are handed down, in that country, traditional poems, concerning the *Fiona*, or the heroes of *Fion Mac Connal*. This *Fion*, say the Irish annalists, was general of the militia of Ireland, in the reign of Cormac, in the third century. Where Keating and O'Flaherty learned, that Ireland had an *embodied* militia so early, is not easy for me to determine. Their information certainly did not



come from the Irish poems, concerning *Fion*. I have just now, in my hands, all that remain, of those compositions; but, unluckily for the antiquities of Ireland, they appear to be the work of a very modern period. Every stanza, nay almost every line, affords striking proofs, that they cannot be three centuries old. Their allusions to the manners and customs of the fifteenth century, are so many, that it is matter of wonder to me, how any one could dream of their antiquity. They are entirely writ in that romantic taste, which prevailed two ages ago.—Giants, enchanted castles, dwarfs, palfreys, witches and magicians form the whole circle of the poet's invention. The celebrated *Fion* could scarcely move from one hillock to another, without encountering a giant, or being entangled in the circles of a magician. Witches, on broomsticks, were continually hovering round him, like crows; and he had freed enchanted virgins in every valley in Ireland. In short, *Fion*, great as he was, had but a bad sort of life of it.—Not only had he to engage all the mischiefs in his own country, foreign armies invaded him, assisted by magicians and witches, and headed by kings, as tall as the main-mast of a first rate.—It must be owned, however, that *Fion* was not inferior to them in height.

A chos air *Cromleach*, druim-ard,  
 Chos eile air *Crom-meal* dubh,  
 Thoga *Fion* le lamh mhoir .  
 An d'uisge o *Lubbair* na fruth.

“*Fion*, says the Irish bard, sometimes placed one foot on the mountain *Cromleach*, his other foot on the hill of *Crommal*, and, in that position, washed his hands, in the river *Lubar*, which ran thro' the intermediate valley.” The property of such a monster as this *Fion*,

I should

I should never have disputed with any nation. But the bard himself, in the poem, from which the above quotation is taken, cedes him to Scotland.

FION O ALBIN, fìel nan laich.

FION *from* ALBION, *race of heroes!*

Were it allowable to contradict the authority of a bard, at this distance of time, I should have given, as my opinion, that this enormous *Fion* was of the race of the Hibernian giants, of Ruanus, or some other celebrated name, rather than a native of Caledonia, whose inhabitants, now at least, are not remarkable for their stature.

IF *Fion* was so remarkable for his stature, his heroes had also other extraordinary properties. *In weight all the sons of strangers yielded to the celebrated Ton-iosal*; and for hardness of skull, and, perhaps, for thickness too, the valiant Osear stood *unrivalled and alone*. Oislian himself had many singular and less delicate qualifications, than playing on the harp; and the brave Cuchullin was of so diminutive a size, as to be taken for a child of two years of age, by the gigantic Swaran. To illustrate this subject, I shall here lay before the reader, the history of some of the Irish poems, concerning *Fion Mac Comhal*. A translation of these pieces, if well executed, might afford satisfaction to the public. But this ought to be the work of a native of Ireland. To draw forth, from obscurity, the poems of my own country, has afforded ample employment to me; besides, I am too diffident of my own abilities, to undertake such a work. A gentleman in Dublin accused me to the public, of committing blunders and absurdities, in translating the language of my

OWN

own country, and that before any translation of mine appeared\*. How the gentleman came to see my blunders before I committed them, is not easy to determine; if he did not conclude, that, as a Scotſman, and, of courſe, deſcended of the Milesian race, I might have committed ſome of thoſe overſights, which, perhaps very unjuſtly, are ſaid to be peculiar to them.

FROM the whole tenor of the Irifh poems, concerning the *Fiona*, it appears, that *Fion Mac Connal* flouriſhed in the reign of Cormac, which is placed, by the univerſal conſent of the ſenachies, in the third century. They even fix the death of Fingal in the year 286, yet his ſon Oſſian is made cotemporary with St. Patrick, who preached the goſpel in Ireland about the middle of the fifth age. Oſſian, tho', at that time, he muſt have been two hundred and fifty years of age, had a daughter young enough to become wife to the ſaint. On account of this family connection, *Patrick of the Pſalms*, for ſo the apoſtle of Ireland is emphatically called in the poems, took great delight in the company of Oſſian, and in hearing

\* In Faulkner's Dublin Journal, of the 1ſt December, 1761, appeared the following Adverſement:

Speedily will be publiſhed, by a Gentleman of this kingdom, who hath been, for ſome time paſt, employed in tranſlating and writing Historical Notes to

F I N G A L, A P O E M,

Originally wrote in the Irifh or Erſe language. In the preface to which, the tranſlator, who is a perfect maſter of the Irifh tongue, will give an account of the manners and cuſtoms of the antient Irifh or Scotch; and, therefore, moſt humbly intreats the public, to wait for his edition, which will appear in a ſhort time, as he will ſet forth a'l the blunders and abſurdities in the edition now-printing in London, and ſhew the ignorance of the Engliſh tranſlator, in his knowledge of Irifh grammar, not underſtanding any part of that accidence.

the great actions of his family. The saint sometimes threw off the austerity of his profession, drunk freely, and had his soul properly warmed with wine, in order to hear, with becoming enthusiasm, the poems of his father-in-law. One of the poems begins with this piece of useful information.

Lo don rabh PADRÍC na mhúr,  
 Gun *Sailm* air uídh, ach a gól,  
 Ghluais é thigh *Offian* mhic *Fbion*,  
 O fan leis bu bhinn a ghloir.

The title of this poem is, *Teantach mor na Fiona*. It appears to have been founded on the same story with the *battle of Lora*, one of the poems of the genuine *Offian*. The circumstances and catastrophe in both are much the same; but the *Irish Offian* discovers the age in which he lived, by an unlucky anachronism. After describing the total route of *Erragon*, he very gravely concludes with this remarkable anecdote, that none of the foe escaped, but a few, who were allowed to go on a pilgrimage to the *Holy Land*. This circumstance fixes the date of the composition of the piece some centuries after the famous *croisade*; for, it is evident, that the poet thought the time of the *croisade* so antient, that he confounds it with the age of *Fingal*.—*Erragon*, in the course of this poem, is often called,

Ríogh *Lochlin* an do shloigh,  
 King of *Denmark* of two nations,

which alludes to the union of the kingdoms of Norway and Denmark, a circumstance which brings down the date of the piece to

an æra, not far remote. Modern, however, as this pretended Ofsian was, it is certain, he lived before the Irish had dreamed of appropriating *Fion*, or *Fingal*, to themselves. He concludes the poem, with this reflection :

Na fagha fe comhthróm nan n' arm,  
 Eragon Mac Annir nan lánn glas  
 'San n' ALBIN ni n' abairtair Triath  
 Agus ghlaoite an n' *Fhiona* as.

“ Had Erragon, son of Annir of gleaming swords, avoided the equal contest of arms (single combat) no chief should have afterwards been numbered in ALBION, and the heroes of Fion should no more be named.”

THE next poem that falls under our observation is *Cath-cabbara*, or, *The death of Ofsar*. This piece is founded on the same story which we have in the first book of Temora. So little thought the author of *Cath-cabbara* of making Ofsar his countryman, that, in the course of two hundred lines, of which the poem consists, he puts the following expression thrice in the mouth of the hero :

ALBIN an fá d' roina m' arach.—

ALBION *where I was born and bred.*

The poem contains almost all the incidents in the first book of Temora. In one circumstance the bard differs materially from Ofsian. Ofsar, after he was mortally wounded by Cairbar, was carried by his people to a neighbouring hill, which commanded a prospect of

the sea. A fleet appeared at a distance, and the hero exclaims with joy,

Loingeas mo shean-athair at' án  
'S iad a tíúchd le cabhair chugain,  
O ALBIN na n' ioma stuagh.

“ It is the fleet of my grandfather, coming with aid to our field, from ALBION of many waves!”—The testimony of this bard is sufficient to confute the idle fictions of Keating and O'Flaherty; for, tho' he is far from being antient, it is probable, he flourished a full century before these historians.—He appears, however, to have been a much better christian than chronologer; for *Fion*, tho' he is placed two centuries before St. Patrick, very devoutly recommends the soul of his grandson to his Redeemer.

*Duan a Gharibh Mac-Starn* is another Irish poem in high repute. The grandeur of its images, and its propriety of sentiment, might have induced me to give a translation of it, had not I some expectations of seeing it in the collection of the Irish Ossian's poems, promised, more than a year since, to the public. The author descends sometimes from the region of the sublime to low and indigent description; the last of which, the Irish translator, no doubt, will choose to leave in the obscurity of the original.—In this piece Cuchullin is used with very little ceremony, for he is oft called, the *dog of Tara*, in the county of Meath. This severe title of the *redoubtable Cuchullin*, the most renowned of Irish champions, proceeded from the poet's ignorance of etymology. *Cu*, *voice*, or *commander*, signifies also a *dog*. The poet chose the last, as the most noble appellation for his hero.

THE subject of the poem is the same with that of the epic poem of Fingal. *Garibb Mac-Starn* is the same with Ofsian's Swaran, the son of Starno. His single combats with, and his victory over all the heroes of Ireland, excepting the celebrated dog of Tara i. e. Cuchullin, afford matter for two hundred lines of tolerable poetry. *Garibb's* progress in search of Cuchullin, and his intrigue with the gigantic Emir-bragal, that hero's wife, enables the poet to extend his piece to four hundred lines. This author, it is true, makes Cuchullin a native of Ireland; the gigantic Emir-bragal he calls, *the guiding star of the women of Ireland*. The property of this enormous lady I shall not dispute with him, or any other. But, as he speaks with great tenderness of the *daughters of the convent*, and throws out some hints against the English nation, it is probable he lived in too modern a period to be intimately acquainted with the genealogy of Cuchullin.

ANOTHER Irish Ofsian (for there were many, as appears from their difference in language and sentiment) speaks very dogmatically of *Fion Mac Connal*, as an Irishman. Little can be said for the judgment of this poet, and less for his delicacy of sentiment. The history of one of his episodes may, at once, stand as a specimen of his want of both. Ireland, in the days of *Fion*, happened to be threatned with an invasion, by three great potentates, the kings of Lochlin, Sweden, and France. It is needless to insist upon the impropriety of a French invasion of Ireland; it is sufficient for me to be faithful to the language of my author. *Fion*, upon receiving intelligence of the intended invasion, sent Ca-olt, Ofsian, and Ofsar, to watch the bay, in which, it was apprehended, the enemy was to land. Ofsar was the worst choice of a scout that could be made, for, brave as he was, he had the bad property of falling very often asleep on his post, nor was it possible to awake  
\*
him,

him, without cutting off one of his fingers, or dashing a large stone against his head. When the enemy appeared, Oscar, very unfortunately, was asleep. Oílian and Ca-olt consulted about the method of waking him, and they, at last, fixed on the stone, as the less dangerous expedient.

Gun thog Caoilte a chlach, nach gán,  
 Agus a n' aighai' chiean gun bhuaíl ;  
 Tri mil an tulloch gun chri', &c.

“ Ca-olt took up a heavy stone, and struck it against the hero's head. The hill shook for three miles, as the stone rebounded and rolled away.” Oscar rose in wrath, and his father gravely desired him to spend his rage on his enemies, which he did to so good purpose, that he singly routed a whole wing of their army. The confederate kings advanced, notwithstanding, till they came to a narrow pass, possessed by the celebrated Ton-íosal. This name is very significant of the singular property of the hero who bore it. Ton-íosal, tho' brave, was so heavy and unwieldy, that, when he sat down, it took the whole force of an hundred men to set him upright on his feet again. Luckily for the preservation of Ireland, the hero happened to be standing when the enemy appeared, and he gave so good an account of them, that *Fion*, upon his arrival, found little to do, but to divide the spoil among his soldiers.

ALL these extraordinary heroes, Fion, Oílian, Oscar and Ca-olt, says the poet, were

Síol ERIN na gorm lánn.  
*The sons of ERIN of blue steel.*

Neither



Neither shall I much dispute the matter with him: He has my consent also to appropriate to Ireland the celebrated Ton-iousal. I shall only say, that they are different persons from those of the same name, in the Scotch poems; and that, tho' the stupendous valour of the first is so remarkable, they have not been equally lucky with the latter, in their poet. It is somewhat extraordinary, that *Fion*, who lived some ages before St. Patrick, swears like a very good christian:

Air an Dia do chum gach *cafe*.

*By God, who shaped every case.*

It is worthy of being remarked, that, in the line quoted, Ossian, who lived in St. Patrick's days, seems to have understood something of the English, a language not then subsisting. A person, more sanguine for the honour of his country than I am, might argue, from this circumstance, that this pretendedly Irish Ossian was a native of Scotland; for my countrymen are universally allowed to have an exclusive right to the second-sight.

FROM the instances given, the reader may form a compleat idea of the Irish compositions concerning the *Fiona*. The greatest part of them make the heroes of *Fion*,

Siol ALBIN a n'nioma caoile.

*The race of ALBION of many firths.*

The rest make them natives of Ireland. But, the truth is, that their authority is of little consequence on either side. From the instances I have given, they appear to have been the work of a very

modern period. The pious ejaculations they contain, their allusions to the manners of the times, fix them to the fifteenth century. Had even the authors of these pieces avoided all allusions to their own times, it is impossible that the poems could pass for ancient, in the eyes of any person tolerably conversant with the Irish tongue. The idiom is so corrupted and so many words borrowed from the English, that that language must have made considerable progress in Ireland before the poems were writ.

It remains now to shew, how the Irish bards began to appropriate Oisian and his heroes to their own country. After the English conquest, many of the natives of Ireland, averse to foreign yoke, either actually were in a state of hostility with the conquerors, or at least, paid little regard to their government. The Scots, in those ages, were often in open war, and never in cordial friendship with the English. The similarity of manners and language, the traditions concerning their common origin, and above all, their having to do with the same enemy, created a free and friendly intercourse between the Scotch and Irish nations. As the custom of retaining bards and senachies was common to both; so each, no doubt, had formed a system of history, it matters not how much soever fabulous, concerning their respective origin. It was the natural policy of the times, to reconcile the traditions of both nations together, and, if possible, to deduce them from the same original stock.

The Saxon manners and language had, at that time, made great progress in the south of Scotland. The ancient language, and the traditional history of the nation, became confined entirely to the inhabitants of the Highlands, then fallen, from several concurring circumstances, into the last degree of ignorance and barbarism. The Irish, who,

for some ages before the conquest, had possessed a competent share of that kind of learning, which then prevailed in Europe, found it no difficult matter to impose their own fictions on the ignorant Highland senachies. By flattering the vanity of the Highlanders, with their long list of Heremonian kings and heroes, they, without contradiction, assumed to themselves the character of being the mother-nation of the Scots of Britain. At this time, certainly, was established that Hibernian system of the original of the Scots, which afterwards, for want of any other, was universally received. The Scots, of the low-country, who, by losing the language of their ancestors, lost, together with it, their national traditions, received, implicitly, the history of their country, from Irish refugees, or from Highland senachies, persuaded over into the Hibernian system.

THESE circumstances, are far from being ideal. We have remaining many particular traditions, which bear testimony to a fact, of itself abundantly probable. What makes the matter incontestible is, that the antient traditional accounts of the genuine origin of the Scots, have been handed down without interruption. Tho' a few ignorant senachies might be persuaded out of their own opinion, by the smoothness of an Irish tale, it was impossible to eradicate, from among the bulk of the people, their own national traditions. These traditions afterwards so much prevailed, that the Highlanders continue totally unacquainted with the pretended Hibernian extract of the Scots nation. Ignorant chronicle writers, strangers to the antient language of their country, preserved only from falling to the ground, so improbable a story.

It was, during the period I have mentioned, that the Irish became acquainted with, and carried into their country, the compositions of Ossian. The scene of many of the pieces being in Ireland, suggested first to them a hint, of making both heroes and poet na-

tives of that Island. In order to do this effectually, they found it necessary, to reject the genuine poems, as every line was pregnant with proofs of their Scotch original, and to dress up a fable, on the same subject, in their own language. So ill qualified, however, were their bards to effectuate this change, that amidst all their desires to make the *Fionn* Irishmen, they every now and then call *Síol Albin*. It was, probably, after a succession of some generations, that the bards had effrontery enough to establish an Irish genealogy for *Fionn*, and deduce him from the Milesian race of kings. In some of the oldest Irish poems, on the subject, the great-grand-father of *Fionn* is made a Scandinavian; and his heroes are often called *SÍOL LOCHLIN NA RÚN*; *i. e.* the race of *Lochlin of wounds*. The only poem that runs up the family of *Fionn* to Nuades Niveus, king of Ireland, is evidently not above a hundred and fifty years old; for, if I mistake not, it mentions the Earl of Tyrone, so famous in Elizabeth's time.

This subject, perhaps, is pursued further than it deserves; but, a discussion of the pretensions of Ireland to Ossian, was become in some measure necessary. If the Irish poems, concerning the *Fionn*, should appear ridiculous, it is but justice to observe, that they are scarcely more so than the poems of other nations, at that period. On other subjects, the bards of Ireland have displayed a genius worthy of any age or nation. It was, alone, in matters of antiquity, that they were monstrous in their fables. Their love-sonnets, and their elegies on the death of persons worthy or renowned, abound with such beautiful simplicity of sentiment, and wild harmony of number, that they become more than an atonement for their errors, in every other species of poetry. But the beauty of these pieces, depend so much on a certain *exquisite felicitas* of expression in the original, that they must appear much to disadvantage in another language.

---

---

# C O N T E N T S.

	Page
<b>T</b> EMORA, an epic poem, Book First, . . .	1
Book Second, . . .	25
Book Third, . . .	45
Book Fourth, . . .	63
Book Fifth, . . .	81
Book Sixth, . . .	97
Book Seventh, . . .	115
Book Eighth, . . .	135
CATHLIN OF CLUTHA, a poem, . . .	157
SULMALLA OF LUMON, a poem, . . .	169
CATH-LODA, a poem, Duän First, . . .	179
Duän Second, . . .	191
Duän Third, . . .	201
OINA-MORUL, a poem, . . .	209
COLNA-DONA, a poem, . . .	217
A SPECIMEN of the ORIGINAL of TEMORA, Book Seventh, . . .	225

## A D V E R T I S E M E N T.

THE poem that stands first in this collection had its name from TEMORA, the royal palace of the first Irish kings of the Caledonian race, in the province of Ulster.

---

A

CRITICAL DISSERTATION

ON THE

POEMS OF OSSIAN,

THE

SON OF FINGAL.

**A**MONG the monuments remaining of the ancient state of nations, few are more valuable than their poems or songs. History, when it treats of remote and dark ages, is seldom very instructive. The beginnings of society, in every country, are involved in fabulous confusion; and though they were not, they would furnish few events worth recording. But, in every period of society, human manners are a curious spectacle; and the most natural pictures of ancient manners are exhibited in the ancient poems of nations. These present to us, what is much more valuable than the history of such transactions as a rude age can afford, The history of human imagination and passion. They make us acquainted with the notions and feelings of our fellow-creatures in the most artless ages; discovering what objects they admired, and what pleasures they pursued, before those refinements of society had taken place, which enlarge indeed, and diversify the transactions, but disguise the manners of mankind.

B

Besides

Besides this merit, which ancient poems have with philosophical observers of human nature, they have another with persons of taste. They promise some of the highest beauties of poetical writing. Irregular and unpolished we may expect the productions of uncultivated ages to be; but abounding, at the same time, with that enthusiasm, that vehemence and fire, which are the soul of poetry. For many circumstances of those times which we call barbarous, are favourable to the poetical spirit. That state, in which human nature shoots wild and free, though unfit for other improvements, certainly encourages the high exertions of fancy and passion.

In the infancy of societies, men live scattered and dispersed, in the midst of solitary rural scenes, where the beauties of nature are their chief entertainment. They meet with many objects, to them new and strange; their wonder and surprize are frequently excited; and by the sudden changes of fortune occurring in their unsettled state of life, their passions are raised to the utmost. Their passions have nothing to restrain them: their imagination has nothing to check it. They display themselves to one another without disguise; and converse and act in the uncovered simplicity of nature. As their feelings are strong, so their language, of itself, assumes a poetical turn. Prone to exaggerate, they describe every thing in the strongest colours; which of course renders their speech picturesque and figurative. Figurative language owes its rise chiefly to two causes; to the want of proper names for objects, and to the influence of imagination and passion over the form of expression. Both these causes concur in the infancy of society. Figures are commonly considered as artificial modes of speech, devised by orators and poets, after the world had advanced to a refined state. The contrary of this is the truth. Men never have used so many figures of style, as in those rude ages, when, besides the power of a warm imagination to suggest lively images, the want of proper and precise terms for the ideas they would express, obliged them to have recourse to circumlocution, metaphor, comparison, and all those substituted forms of expression, which give a poetical air to language. An American chief, at this day, harangues at the head of his tribe, in a more bold metaphorical style, than a modern European would adventure to use in an Epic poem.



In the progress of society, the genius and manners of men undergo a change more favourable to accuracy than to sprightliness and sublimity. As the world advances, the understanding gains ground upon the imagination; the understanding is more exercised; the imagination, less. Fewer objects occur that are new or surprizing. Men apply themselves to trace the causes of things; they correct and refine one another; they subdue or disguise their passions; they form their exterior manners upon one uniform standard of politeness and civility. Human nature is pruned according to method and rule. Language advances from sterility to copiousness, and at the same time, from fervour and enthusiasm, to correctness and precision. Style becomes more chaste; but less animated. The progress of the world in this respect resembles the progress of age in man. The powers of imagination are most vigorous and predominant in youth; those of the understanding ripen more slowly, and often attain not their maturity, till the imagination begin to flag. Hence, poetry, which is the child of imagination, is frequently most glowing and animated in the first ages of society. As the ideas of our youth are remembered with a peculiar pleasure on account of their liveness and vivacity; so the most ancient poems have often proved the greatest favourites of nations.

Poetry has been said to be more ancient than prose: and however paradoxical such an assertion may seem, yet, in a qualified sense, it is true. Men certainly never conversed with one another in regular numbers; but even their ordinary language would in ancient times, for the reasons before assigned, approach to a poetical style; and the first compositions transmitted to posterity, beyond doubt, were, in a literal sense, poems; that is, compositions in which imagination had the chief hand, formed into some kind of numbers, and pronounced with a musical modulation or tone. Musick or song has been found coæval with society among the most barbarous nations. The only subjects which could prompt men, in their first rude state, to utter their thoughts in compositions of any length, were such as naturally assumed the tone of poetry; praises of their gods, or of their ancestors; commemorations of their own warlike exploits; or lamentations over their misfortunes. And before writing was invented, no other compositions, except songs or poems, could take such hold of the imagination and

memory, as to be preserved by oral tradition, and handed down from one race to another.

Hence we may expect to find poems among the antiquities of all nations. It is probable too, that an extensive search would discover a certain degree of resemblance among all the most ancient poetical productions, from whatever country they have proceeded. In a similar state of manners, similar objects and passions operating upon the imaginations of men, will stamp their productions with the same general character. Some diversity will, no doubt, be occasioned by climate and genius. But mankind never bear such resembling features, as they do in the beginnings of society. Its subsequent revolutions give rise to the principal distinctions among nations; and divert, into channels widely separated, that current of human genius and manners, which descends originally from one spring. What we have been long accustomed to call the oriental vein of poetry, because some of the earliest poetical productions have come to us from the East, is probably no more oriental than occidental; it is characteristical of an age rather than a country; and belongs, in some measure, to all nations at a certain period. Of this the works of Ossian seem to furnish a remarkable proof.

Our present subject leads us to investigate the ancient poetical remains, not so much of the east, or of the Greeks and Romans, as of the northern nations; in order to discover whether the Gothic poetry has any resemblance to the Celtic or Galic, which we are about to consider. Though the Goths, under which name we usually comprehend all the Scandinavian tribes, were a people altogether fierce and martial, and noted, to a proverb, for their ignorance of the liberal arts, yet they too, from the earliest times, had their poets and their songs. Their poets were distinguished by the title of *Scalders*, and their songs were termed *Ljús*.\*

\* Olaus Wormius, in the appendix to his *Treatise de Literatura Runica*, has given a particular account of the Gothic poetry, commonly called Runic, from *Runa*, which signifies the Gothic letters. He informs us that there were no fewer than 135 different kinds of measure or verse used in their *Ljús*; and though we are accustomed to call them a Gothic

invention, he says expressly, that among all these measures, rhyme, or correspondence of final syllables, was never employed. He analyses the structure of one of these kinds of verse, that in which the poem of *Loobrog*, afterwards quoted, is written; which exhibits a very singular species of harmony, if it can be allowed that name, depending neither upon rhyme

maticus, a Danish Historian of considerable note, who flourished in the thirteenth century, informs us that very many of these songs, containing the ancient traditional stories of the country, were found engraven upon rocks in the old Runic character; several of which he has translated into Latin, and inserted into his History. But his versions are plainly so paraphractical, and forced into such an imitation of the style and the measures of the Roman poets, that one can form no judgment from them of the native spirit of the original. A more curious monument of the true Gothic poetry is preserved by Olaus Wormius in his book de Literatura Runica. It is an Epicedium, or funeral song, composed by Regner Lodbrog; and translated by Olaus, word for word, from the original. This Lodbrog was a king of Denmark, who lived in the eighth century, famous for his wars and victories; and at the same time an eminent *Scald* or poet. It was his misfortune to fall at last into the hands of one of his enemies, by whom he was thrown into prison, and condemned to be destroyed by serpents. In this situation he solaced himself with rehearsing all the exploits of his life. The poem is divided into twenty-nine stanzas, of ten lines each; and every stanza begins with these words, Pugnauimus Ensisbus, We have fought with our swords. Olaus's version is in many places so obscure as to be hardly intelligible. I have subjoined the whole below,

nor upon metrical feet, or quantity of syllables, but chiefly upon the number of the syllables, and the disposition of the letters. In every stanza was an equal number of lines: in every line six syllables. In each distich, it was requisite that three words should begin with the same letter; two of the corresponding words placed in the first line of the distich, the third, in the second line. In each line were also required two syllables, but never the final ones, formed either of the same consonants, or same vowels. As an example of this measure, Olaus gives us these two Latin lines, constructed exactly according to the above rules of Runic verse;

Christus caput nostrum  
Coronet to bunis.

The initial letters of Christus, Caput

and Coronet, make the three corresponding letters of the distich. In the first line, the first syllables of Christus and of nostrum; in the second line, the *or* in coronet and in bunis make the requisite correspondence of syllables. Frequent inversions and transpositions were permitted in this poetry; which would naturally follow from such laborious attention to the collocation of words.

The curious on this subject may consult likewise Dr. Hicks's *Theaurus Linguarum Septentrionalium*; particularly the 23d chapter of his *Grammatica Anglo-Saxonica & Mæso Gothica*; where they will find a full account of the structure of the Anglo-Saxon verse, which nearly resembled the Gothic. They will find also some specimens both of Gothic and Saxon poetry. An extract, which Dr. Hicks has given from the work of one of the Danish

low, exactly as he has published it; and shall translate as much as may give the English reader an idea of the spirit and strain of this kind of poetry.\*

“ We have fought with our swords.—I was young, when, to-  
 “ wards the east, in the bay of Oreon, we made torrents of blood  
 “ flow, to gorge the ravenous beast of prey, and the yellow-  
 “ footed bird. There resounded the hard steel upon the lofty hel-  
 “ mets

Danish Scalders, entitled, *Hervarer Saga*, containing an evocation from the dead, may be found in the 6th volume of *Miscellany Poems*, published by Mr. Dryden.

## † 1.

*Pugnāvimus Enibus*  
*Haud post longum tempus*  
*Cum in Gotlandia accessimus*  
*Ad serpentis immensi necem*  
*Tunc impetravimus Thoram*  
*Ex hoc vocarunt me virum*  
*Quod serpentem transfodi*  
*Hirsutam braccam ob illam cedem*  
*Cuspide islum intuli in colubrum*  
*Ferro lucidorum stipendiorum.*

## 2.

*Multum juvenis fui quando acquisivi-*  
*nus*  
*Orientem versus in Oreonico sicco*  
*Vulnerum amnes avidæ feræ*  
*Et flavipedi avi*  
*Accepimus ibidem sonuerunt*  
*Ad sublimes galcas*  
*Dura ferra magnam escam*  
*Omnis erat oceanus vulnus*  
*Vadavit corvus in sanguine Cæorum.*

## 3.

*Alte tulimus tunc lanceas*  
*Quando viginti annos numeravimus*  
*Et celebrem laudem comparavimus passim*  
*Vicinus octo barones*  
*In oriente ante Dimini portum*  
*Aquilæ impetravimus tunc sufficientem*  
*Hospitiæ sumptum in illa strage*  
*Sudor decidit in vulnerum*  
*Oceano perdidit exercitus ætatem.*

## 4.

*Pugnæ facta copia*  
*Cum Helfingianos postulavimus*  
*Ad aulam Odini*  
*Naves direximus in ostium Vistulæ*  
*Mucro potuit tum mordere*  
*Omnis erat vulnus unda*  
*Terra rubefacta Calido*  
*Frendebat gladius in loricas*  
*Gladius findebat Clypeos.*

## 5.

*Memini neminem tunc fugisse*  
*Priusquam in navibus*  
*Heraudus in bello caderet*  
*Non findit navibus*  
*Alius baro præstantior*  
*Mare ad portum*  
*In navibus longis post illum*  
*Sic attulit princeps passim*  
*Alacre in bellum cor.*

## 6.

*Exercitus abjecit clypeos*  
*Cum hasta volavit*  
*Ardua ad virorum pectora*  
*Memordit Scarforum cautes*  
*Gladius in pugna*  
*Sanguineus erat Clypeus*  
*Antequam Rasno rex caderet*  
*Fluxit ex virorum capitibus*  
*Calidus in loricas sudor.*

## 7.

*Habere potuerunt tum corvi*  
*Ante Indirorum infulas*  
*Sufficientem prædam dilaniandam*  
*Acquisivimus feris carnivoris*  
*Plenum prandium unico actu*  
*Difficile erat unius facere mentionem*  
*Oriente sole*  
*Spicula vidi pungere*  
*Propulerunt arcus ex se ferra.*

“ mets of men. The whole ocean was one wound. The crow  
 “ waded in the blood of the slain. When we had numbered  
 “ twenty years, we lifted our spears on high, and every where  
 “ spread our renown. Eight barons we overcame in the east, be-  
 “ fore the port of Diminum; and plentifully we feasted the eagle  
 “ in

8.

Altum mugierunt enses  
 Antequam in Laneo campo  
 Eithlus rex cecidit  
 Procellimus auro ditati  
 Ad terram profratorum dimicandum  
 Gladius secuit Clypeorum  
 Picluras in galearum conventu  
 Cervicum mustum ex vulneribus  
 Diffusum per cerebrum fissum.

9.

Tenuimus Clypeos in sanguine  
 Cum hastam unximus  
 Ante Boring holmum  
 Telorum nubes dirumpunt clypeum  
 Extrusit arcus ex se metallum  
 Voluir cecidit in conflictu  
 Non erat illo rex major  
 Cæsi dispersi late per littora  
 Feræ amplectebantur escam.

10.

Pugna manifeste crescebat  
 Antequam Freyr rex caderet  
 In Flandrorum terra  
 Cæpit caeruleus ad incidendum  
 Sanguine illitus in auream  
 Loricam in pugna  
 Durus armorum mucro olim  
 Virgo deploravit matutinam lanienam  
 Multa preda dabatur feris.

11.

Centes centenos vidi jacere  
 In navibus  
 Ubi Ænglanes vocatur  
 Navigavimus ad pugnam  
 Per sex dies antequam exercitus caderet  
 Transigimus mucronum missam  
 In exortu solis  
 Coactus est pro nostris gladiis  
 Valdiosur in bello occumbere.

12.

Ruit pluvia sanguinis de gladiis  
 Præceps in Bardafyrde  
 Pallidum corpus pro accipitribus  
 Murmuravit arcus ubi mucro  
 Acriter mordebat Loricæ  
 In conflictu  
 Odini Pileus Galea  
 Cucurrit arcus ad vulnus  
 Venenate acutus conspersus sudore san-  
 guineo.

13.

Tenuimus magica scuta  
 Alte in pugnae ludo  
 Ante Hiadningum finem  
 Videre licuit tum viros  
 Qui gladiis lacerarunt Clypeos  
 In gladiatorio murmure  
 Galeæ attritæ virorum  
 Erat sicut splendidam virginem  
 In lecto juxta se collocare

14.

Dura venit tempestas Clypeis  
 Cadaver cecidit in terram  
 In Nortumbria  
 Erat circa matutinum tempus  
 Hominibus necessum erat fugere  
 Ex prælio ubi acute  
 Cassidis campos mordebant gladii  
 Erat hoc veluti Juvenem viduum  
 In primaria sede osculari.

15.

Herthiofe evasit fortunatus  
 In Australibus Orcadibus ipse  
 Victoriæ in nostris hominibus  
 Cogebatur in armorum nimbo  
 Rogvaldus occumbere  
 Iste venit summus super accipitres  
 Lucius in gladiatorum ludo  
 Strenue jactabat concussor  
 Galeæ sanguinis teli.

16.

“ in that slaughter. The warm stream of wounds ran into the  
 “ ocean. The army fell before us. When we steered our ships  
 “ into the mouth of the Vistula, we sent the Hellingsians to the  
 “ Hall of Odin. Then did the sword bite. The waters were all  
 “ one wound. The earth was dyed red with the warm stream.  
 “ The

16.

Quilibet jacebat transversim supra alium  
 Graudebat pugna letus  
 Accipiter ob gladium ludum  
 Non fecit aquilam aut aprum  
 Qui Irlandiam gubernavit  
 Convectus fiebat ferri & Clypei  
 Marflanus rex jejunis  
 Fiebat in vedre siuu  
 Præda data corvis.

17.

Bellatorem multum vidi cadere  
 Mane ante machæram  
 Virum in mucronum diffidio  
 Filio meo incidit mature  
 Gladius juxta cor  
 Egillus fecit Agnerum spoliatum  
 Imperterritum vinum vita  
 Sonuit lancea prope Hamdi  
 Griseam lorica[m] spl[en]debant vexilla.

18.

Verborum tenaces vidi dissecare  
 Hæc minutim pro lupis  
 Enili mans[er]unt ensibus  
 Erat per Hebb[er]omadæ spaciun  
 Quasi mulieres vinum apportarent  
 Rubefactæ erant naves  
 Valde in strepitu armorum  
 Sciffa erat lonca  
 In Scioldungorum prælio.

19.

Pu[er]chricomum vidi crepusculascere  
 Virginis amatorum circa matutinum  
 Et confabulationis amicum viduarum  
 Erat sicut calidum balneum  
 Vinei vasis nymphæ portaret  
 Nos in ille freto  
 Antiquam Orm rex caderet  
 Sanguineum Clypeum vidi ruptum  
 Hæc inverit virorum vitam.

20.

Egimus gladium ad cædem  
 Ludum in Lindis insula  
 Cum regibus tribus  
 Pauci potuerunt inde lætari  
 Cecidit multus in rictum ferarum  
 Accipiter dilaniavit carnem cum lupo  
 Ut fatur inde discederet  
 Hybernorum sanguis in oceanum  
 Copiose decidit per mactationis tempus.

21.

Alte gladius mordebat Clypeos  
 Tunc cum aurei coloris  
 Hasta sicabat loricas  
 Videre licuit in Onlugs insula  
 Per secula multum post  
 Ibi fuit ad gladium ludos  
 Reges processerunt  
 Rubicundum erat circa insulam  
 Ar volens Draco vulnerum.

22.

Quid est viro forti morte certius  
 Esti ipse in armorum nimbo  
 Adversus collocatus sit  
 Sæpe deplorat ætatem  
 Qui nunquam premitur  
 Malum ferunt timidum incitare  
 Aquilam ad gladium ludum  
 Meticulosus venit nospian  
 Cordi suo usui.

23.

Hoc numero æquum ut procedat  
 In contactu gladium  
 Juvenis unus contra alterum  
 Non retrocedat viraviro.  
 Hoc fuit viri fortis nobilitas diu  
 Semper debet amoris amicus virginum  
 Audax esse in fremitu armorum.

24.

“ The sword rung upon the coats of mail, and clove the buck'ers  
 “ in twain. None fled on that day, till among his ships Heraudus  
 “ fell. Than him no braver baron cleaves the sea with ships; a  
 “ chearful heart did he ever bring to the combat. Then the host  
 “ threw away their shields, when the uplifted spear flew at the  
 “ breasts of heroes. The sword bit the Scarfian rocks; bloody  
 “ was the shield in battle, until Rafno the king was slain. From  
 “ the heads of warriors the warm sweat streamed down their ar-  
 “ mour. The crows around the Indirian islands had an ample  
 “ prey. It were difficult to single out one among so many deaths.  
 “ At the rising of the sun I beheld the spears piercing the bodies of  
 “ foes, and the bows throwing forth their steel-pointed arrows.  
 “ Loud roared the swords in the plains of Lano.—The virgin long  
 “ bewailed the slaughter of that morning.”—In this strain the poet  
 continues to describe several other military exploits. The images  
 are not much varied; the noise of arms, the streaming of blood, and

24.

Hoc videtur mihi re vera  
 Quod fata sequimur  
 Rarus transgreditur fata Parcarum  
 Non destitavi Ellæ  
 De vita exitu meæ  
 Cum ego sanguinem semimortuus tegerem  
 Et naves in aquas protrusi  
 Passim impetramus tum feris  
 Efcam in Scotiæ sinibus.

25.

Hoc ridere me facit semper  
 Quod Balderi patris scamna  
 Parata scio in aula  
 Bibemus cerevisiam brevi  
 Ex concavis crateribus craniorum  
 Non gemit vir fortis contra mortem  
 Magnifici in Odini domibus  
 Non venio desperabundis  
 Verbis ad odini aulam.

26.

Hic vellent nunc omnes  
 Filii Aflaugæ gladiis  
 Amarum bellum excitare  
 Si exacte scirent  
 Calamitates nostras  
 Quem non pauci angues  
 Venenati me discerpunt  
 Matrem accepi meis  
 Filii ita ut corda valeant.

27.

Valde inclinatur ad hæreditatem  
 Crudele stat nocumentum a vipera  
 Anguis inhabitat aulam cordis  
 Speramus alterius ad Othini  
 Virgam in Ellæ sanguine  
 Filiis meis livefcet  
 Sua ira rubefcet  
 Non acres juvenes  
 Sessioem tranquillam facient.

28.

Habeo quinquagies  
 Prælia sub signis facta  
 Ex belli invitatione & semel  
 Minime putavi hominum  
 Quod me futurus effet  
 Juvenis didici mucronem rubefacere  
 Alius rex præstantior  
 Nos Ase invitabant  
 Non est lugenda mors.

29.

Fert animus finire  
 Invitant me Dyse  
 Quas ex Othini Aula  
 Othinus mihi misit  
 Lætus cerevisiam cum Afis  
 In summa fede bibam  
 Vitæ elapsæ sunt horæ  
 Ridens moriar.

the feasting the birds of prey, often recurring. He mentions the death of two of his sons in battle; and the lamentation he describes as made for one of them is very singular. A Grecian or Roman poet would have introduced the virgins or nymphs of the wood, bewailing the untimely fall of a young hero. But, says our Gothic poet, "when Rogvaldus was slain, for him mourned all the hawks of heaven," as lamenting a benefactor who had so liberally supplied them with prey; "for boldly," as he adds, "in the strife of swords, did the breaker of helmets throw the spear of blood."

The poem concludes with sentiments of the highest bravery and contempt of death. "What is more certain to the brave man than death, though amidst the storm of swords, he stand always ready to oppose it? He only regrets this life who hath never known distress. The timorous man allures the devouring eagle to the field of battle. The coward, wherever he comes, is useless to himself. This I esteem honourable, that the youth should advance to the combat fairly matched one against another; nor man retreat from man. Long was this the warrior's highest glory. He who aspires to the love of virgins, ought always to be foremost in the roar of arms. It appears to me of truth, that we are led by the Fates. Seldom can any overcome the appointment of destiny. Little did I foresee that Eïla \* was to have my life in his hands, in that day when fainting I concealed my blood, and pushed forth my ships into the waves; after we had spread a repast for the beasts of prey throughout the Scottish bays. But this makes me always rejoice that in the halls of our father Balder [or Odin] I know there are feats prepared, where, in a short time, we shall be drinking ale out of the hollow skulls of our enemies. In the house of the mighty Odin, no brave man laments death. I come not with the voice of despair to Odin's hall. How eagerly would all the sons of Ailauga now rush to war, did they know the distress of their father, whom a multitude of venomous serpents tear! I have given to my children a mother who hath filled their hearts with valour. I am fast approaching to my end. A cruel death awaits me from the viper's bite. A snake dwells in the midst of my heart. I hope that the sword of some of my sons shall yet be stained with the blood of Eïla. The valiant youths will wax red with anger, and will not sit in peace. Fifty and one times have I reared the

\* This was the name of his enemy who had condemned him to death.



“ standard in battle. In my youth I learned to dye the sword in  
 “ blood: my hope was then, that no king among men would be  
 “ more renowned than me. The goddesses of death will now  
 “ soon call me; I must not mourn my death. Now I end my  
 “ song. The goddesses invite me away; they whom Odin has sent  
 “ to me from his hall. I will sit upon a lofty seat, and drink ale  
 “ joyfully with the goddesses of death. The hours of my life are  
 “ run out. I will smile when I die.”

This is such poetry as we might expect from a barbarous nation. It breathes a most ferocious spirit. It is wild, harsh and irregular; but at the same time animated and strong; the style, in the original, full of inversions, and, as we learn from some of Olaus's notes, highly metaphorical and figured.

But when we open the works of Ossian, a very different scene presents itself. There we find the fire and the enthusiasm of the most early times, combined with an amazing degree of regularity and art. We find tenderness, and even delicacy of sentiment, greatly predominant over fierceness and barbarity. Our hearts are melted with the softest feelings, and at the same time elevated with the highest ideas of magnanimity, generosity, and true heroism. When we turn from the poetry of Loebrog to that of Ossian, it is like passing from a savage desert, into a fertile and cultivated country. How is this to be accounted for? Or by what means to be reconciled with the remote antiquity attributed to these poems? This is a curious point; and requires to be illustrated.

That the ancient Scots were of Celtic original, is past all doubt. Their conformity with the Celtic nations in language, manners and religion, proves it to a full demonstration. The Celtæ, a great and mighty people, altogether distinct from the Goths and Teutones, once extended their dominion over all the west of Europe; but seem to have had their most full and compleat establishment in Gaul. Wherever the Celtæ or Gauls are mentioned by ancient writers, we seldom fail to hear of their Druids and their Bards; the institution of which two orders, was the capital distinction of their manners and policy. The Druids were their philosophers and priests; the Bards, their poets and recorders of heroic actions: And both these orders of men, seem to have subsisted among them, as chief

members of the state, from time immemorial \*. We must not therefore imagine the Celtæ to have been altogether a gross and rude nation. They possessed from very remote ages a formed system of discipline and manners, which appears to have had a deep and lasting influence. Ammianus Marcellinus gives them this express testimony, that there flourished among them the study of the most laudable arts; introduced by the Bards, whose office it was to sing in heroic verse, the gallant actions of illustrious men; and by the Druids, who lived together in colleges or societies, after the Pythagorean manner, and philosophizing upon the highest subjects, asserted the immortality of the human soul †. Though Julius Cæsar in his account of Gaul, does not expressly mention the Bards, yet it is plain that under the title of Druids, he comprehends that whole college or order; of which the Bards, who, it is probable, were the disciples of the Druids, undoubtedly made a part. It deserves remark, that according to his account, the Druidical institution first took rise in Britain, and passed from thence into Gaul; so that they who aspired to be thorough masters of that learning were wont to resort to Britain. He adds too, that such as were to be initiated among the Druids, were obliged to commit to their memory a great number of verses, inasmuch that some employed twenty years in this course of education; and that they did not think it lawful to record these poems in writing, but sacredly handed them down by tradition from race to race ‡.

So strong was the attachment of the Celtic nations to their poetry and their Bards, that amidst all the changes of their government and manners, even long after the order of the Druids was extinct,

\* Τρία φύλα τῶν νῦν ὀνομαζομένων διαφορέντας. ἑστὶ. Βαρδοὶ τε καὶ ἑταῖροι, καὶ Δρυΐδαι. Βαρδοὶ μὲν ἕμνῳνται καὶ ποιῶνται. Strabo. lib. 4.

† Ἔστι παρ' αὐτοῖς καὶ ποιηταὶ μελῶν, ἧς βαρδοὶ ἐν μαζοῖσιν. ἔτοι δὲ μετ' ὀργάνων, ταῖς λυραῖς ὁμοίῳν, ἧς μὲν ἕμνῳσι, ἧς δὲ βλασφημοῖσι. Diodor. Sicul. l. 5.

‡ Τα δὲ ἀκασματα αὐτῶν εἰσὶν οἱ καλόμενοι βαρδοὶ. πανταὶ δ' ὅστις τυγχάνοσι μετ' αὐτῶν ἑταῖρὸν λεγόντες. Pofidonius ap. Athenæum, l. 6.

† Per hæc loca (speaking of Gaul) hominibus paulatim excultis, *vignere studia laudabilium doctrinarum*; inchoata

per Baridos & Euhages & Druidas. Et Bardid quidem fortia virorum illustrium. facta heroicis composita versibus cum dulcibus lyrae modulis cantantur. Euhages vero seruantentes seriem & sublimia naturæ pandere conabantur. Inter hos, Druidæ ingenii celsiores, ut auctoritas Pythagoræ. decrevit, sodalitiis adstricti confortis, quaestioibus alterum occultarumque rerum erecti sunt; & despectantes humana pronuntiarunt animas immortales. Amm. Marcellinus, l. 15. cap. 9.

‡ Vid. Cæsar de bello Gall. lib. 6.

and the national religion altered, the Bards continued to flourish; not as a set of strolling songsters, like the Greek *ῥαπσοδῖται* or Rhapsodists, in Homer's time, but as an order of men highly respected in the state, and supported by a publick establishment. We find them, according to the testimonies of Strabo and Diodorus, before the age of Augustus Cæsar; and we find them remaining under the same name, and exercising the same functions as of old, in Ireland, and in the north of Scotland, almost down to our own times. It is well known that in both these countries, every *Regulus* or chief had his own Bard, who was considered as an officer of rank in his court; and had lands assigned him, which descended to his family. Of the honour in which the Bards were held, many instances occur in Ossian's poems. On all important occasions, they were the ambassadors between contending chiefs; and their persons were held sacred. "Cairbar feared to stretch his sword to the bards, though his soul was dark.—Loose the bards, said his brother Cathmor, they are the sons of other times. Their voice shall be heard in other ages, when the kings of Temora have failed \*."

From all this, the Celtic tribes clearly appear to have been addicted in so high a degree to poetry, and to have made it so much their study from the earliest times, as may remove our wonder at meeting with a vein of higher poetical refinement among them, than was at first sight to have been expected among nations, whom we are accustomed to call barbarous. Barbarity, I must observe, is a very equivocal term; it admits of many different forms and degrees; and though, in all of them, it exclude polished manners, it is, however, not inconsistent with generous sentiments and tender affections †. What degrees of friendship, love and heroism, may possibly

\* P. 188.

† Surely among the wild Laplanders, if any where, barbarity is in its most perfect state. Yet their love songs which Scheffer has given us in his Lapponia, are a proof that natural tenderness of sentiment may be found in a country, into which the least glimmering of science has never penetrated. To most English readers these songs are well known by the elegant translations of them in the Spectator, No.

365 and 406. I shall subjoin Scheffer's Latin version of one of them, which has the appearance of being strictly literal.

Sol, clarissimum emitte lumen in paludem Orra. Si enisus in summa picearum cacumina scirem me visurum Orra paludem, in ea eniterer, ut viderem inter quos amica mea esset flores; omnes uscinderem frutices ibi enatos, omnes ramos præsecarem, hos virentes ramos. Curbum nubium essem secutus, quæ iter suum in-  
tunc.

fly be found to prevail in a rude state of society, no one can say. Affording instances of them we know, from history, have sometimes appeared: and a few characters distinguished by those high qualities, might lay a foundation for a set of manners being introduced into the songs of the Bards, more refined, it is probable, and exalted, according to the usual poetical licence, than the real manners of the country. In particular, with respect to heroism; the great employment of the Celtic bards, was to delineate the characters, and sing the praises of heroes. So Lucan;

Vos quoque qui fortes animos, belloque preemptos,  
Laudibus in longum vates diffunditis ævum  
Plurima securi fudistis carmina Bardi. *Pharf. l. 1.*

Now when we consider a college or order of men, who, cultivating poetry throughout a long series of ages, had their imaginations continually employed on the ideas of heroism; who had all the poems and panegyrics, which were composed by their predecessors, handed down to them with care; who rivalled and endeavoured to outstrip those who had gone before them, each in the celebration of his particular hero; is it not natural to think, that at length the character of a hero would appear in their songs with the highest lustre, and be adorned with qualities truly noble? Some of the qualities indeed which distinguish a Fingal, moderation, humanity, and clemency, would not probably be the first ideas of heroism occurring to a barbarous people: But no sooner had such ideas begun to dawn on the minds of poets, than, as the human mind easily opens to the native representations of human perfection, they would be seized and embraced; they would enter into their panegyrics; they would afford materials for succeeding bards to work upon, and improve;

tuunt versus paludem Orra, si ad te volare  
possem alis, cornicum alis. Sed mihi de-  
sunt alæ, alæ querquedula, pedesque, an-  
serum pedes plantæve bonæ, quæ deserre  
me valeant ad te. Satis expectasti diu;  
per tot dies, tot dies tuos optimos, oculis  
tuis jucundissimis, corde tuo amicissimo.  
Quod si longissime velles effugere, cito  
tamen te consequer. Quid ferminus  
validiusve esse potest quam contorti nervi,

catenæve ferreæ, quæ durissime ligant?  
Sic amor contorquet caput nostrum, mutat  
cogitationes & sententias. Puerorum vo-  
luntas, voluntas venti; juvenum cogita-  
tiones, longæ cogitationes. Quos si au-  
direm omnes, a via, a via iusta decli-  
narem. Unum est consilium quod ca-  
pian; ita scio viam rectiorem me reper-  
turum. Schefferi Lapponia, Cap. 25.

they

they would contribute not a little to exalt the publick manners. For such songs as these, familiar to the Celtic warriors from their childhood, and throughout their whole life, both in war and in peace, their principal entertainment, must have had a very considerable influence in propagating among them real manners nearly approaching to the poetical; and in forming even such a hero as Fingal. Especially when we consider that among their limited objects of ambition, among the few advantages which in a savage state, man could obtain over man, the chief was Fame, and that Immortality which they expected to receive from their virtues and exploits, in the songs of bards †.

Having made these remarks on the Celtic poetry and Bards in general, I shall next consider the particular advantages which Ossian possessed. He appears clearly to have lived in a period which enjoyed all the benefit I just now mentioned of traditional poetry. The exploits of Trathal, Trenmor, and the other ancestors of Fingal, are spoken of as familiarly known. Ancient bards are frequently alluded to. In one remarkable passage, Ossian describes himself as living in a sort of classical age, enlightened by the memorials of former times, conveyed in the songs of bards; and points at a period of darkness and ignorance which lay beyond the reach of tradition. "His words," says he, "came only by halves to our ears; they were dark as the tales of other times, before the light of the song arose ‡." Ossian, himself, appears to have been endowed by nature with an exquisite sensibility of heart; prone to that tender melancholy which is so often an attendant on great genius; and susceptible equally of strong and of soft emotions. He was not only a professed bard, educated with care, as we may easily believe, to all the poetical art then known, and connected, as he shews us himself, in intimate friendship with the other contemporary bards, but a warrior also; and the son of the most renowned hero and prince of his age. This formed a conjunction of circumstances, uncommonly favourable towards exalting the imagination of a poet. He relates expeditions in which he had been engaged; he sings of battles in

† When Edward I. conquered Wales, he put to death all the Welch bards. This cruel policy plainly shews, how great an influence he imagined the songs of these bards to have over the minds of

the people; and of what nature he judged that influence to be. The Welch bards were of the same Celtic race with the Scottish and Irish.

‡ P. 101.

which

which he had fought and overcome; he had beheld the most illustrious scenes which that age could exhibit, both of heroism in war, and magnificence in peace. For however rude the magnificence of those times may seem to us, we must remember that all ideas of magnificence are comparative; and that the age of Fingal was an æra of distinguished splendor in that part of the world. Fingal reigned over a considerable territory; he was enriched with the spoils of the Roman province; he was ennobled by his victories and great actions; and was in all respects a personage of much higher dignity than any of the chieftains, or heads of Clans, who lived in the same country, after a more extensive monarchy was established.

The manners of Ossian's age, so far as we can gather them from his writings, were abundantly favourable to a poetical genius. The two dispiriting vices, to which Longinus imputes the decline of poetry, covetousness and effeminacy, were as yet unknown. The cares of men were few. They lived a roving indolent life; hunting and war their principal employments; and their chief amusements, the music of bards and "the feast of shells." The great object pursued by heroic spirits, was "to receive their fame," that is, to become worthy of being celebrated in the songs of bards; and "to have their name on the four gray stones." To die, unlamented by a bard, was deemed so great a misfortune, as even to disturb their ghosts in another state. After death, they expected to follow employments of the same nature with those which had amused them on earth; to fly with their friends on clouds, to pursue airy deer, and to listen to their praise in the mouths of bards. In such times as these, in a country where poetry had been so long cultivated, and so highly honoured, is it any wonder that among the race and succession of bards, one Homer should arise; a man who, endowed with a natural happy genius, favoured by peculiar advantages of birth and condition, and meeting in the course of his life, with a variety of incidents proper to fire his imagination, and to touch his heart, should attain a degree of eminence in poetry, worthy to draw the admiration of more refined ages?

The compositions of Ossian are so strongly marked with characters of antiquity, that although there were no external proof to support that antiquity, hardly any reader of judgment and taste, could hesitate in referring them to a very remote æra. There are four great stages through which men successively pass in the progress of society.

society. The first and earliest is the life of hunters; pasturage succeeds to this, as the ideas of property begin to take root; next, agriculture; and lastly, commerce. Throughout Ossian's poems, we plainly find ourselves in the first of these periods of society; during which, hunting was the chief employment of men, and the principal method of their procuring subsistence. Pasturage was not indeed wholly unknown; for we hear of dividing the herd in the case of a divorce\*; but the allusions to herds and to cattle are not many; and of agriculture, we find no traces. No cities appear to have been built in the territories of Fingal. No art is mentioned except that of working in iron. Every thing presents to us the most simple and unimproved manners. At their feasts, the heroes prepared their own repast; they sat round the light of the burning oak; the wind lifted their locks, and whistled through their open halls. Whatever was beyond the necessaries of life was known to them only as the spoil of the Roman province; "the gold of the stranger; the lights of the stranger; the steeds of the stranger, the children of the rein †."

This representation of Ossian's times, must strike us the more, as genuine and authentick, when it is compared with a poem of later date, which Mr. Macpherson has preserved in one of his notes. It is that wherein five bards are represented as passing the evening in the house of a chief, and each of them separately giving his description of the night ‡. The night scenery is beautiful; and the author has plainly imitated the style and manner of Ossian: But he has allowed some images to appear which betray a later period of society. For we meet with windows clapping, the herds of goats and cows seeking shelter, the shepherd wandering, corn on the plain, and the wakeful hind rebuilding the shocks of corn which had been overturned by the tempest. Whereas in Ossian's works, from beginning to end, all is consistent; no modern allusion drops from him; but every where, the same face of rude nature appears; a country wholly uncultivated, thinly inhabited, and recently peopled. The

\* P. 31.

† The chariot of Cuchullin has been thought by some to be represented as more magnificent than is consistent with the poverty of that age; in Book I. of Fingal. But this chariot is plainly only a horse-

litter; and the gems mentioned in the description, are no other than the shining stones or pebbles, known to be frequently found along the western coast of Scotland.

‡ P. 253.

grafs of the rock, the flower of the heath, the thistle with its beard, are the chief ornaments of his landscapes. "The defart," says Fingal, "is enough to me, with all its woods and deer."\*

The circle of ideas and transactions, is no wider than suits such an age: Nor any greater diversity introduced into characters, than the events of that period would naturally display. Valour and bodily strength are the admired qualities. Contentions arise, as is usual among savage nations, from the slightest causes. To be affronted at a tournament, or to be omitted in the invitation to a feast, kindles a war. Women are often carried away by force; and the whole tribe, as in the Homeric times, rise to avenge the wrong. The heroes show refinement of sentiment indeed on several occasions, but none of manners. They speak of their past actions with freedom, boast of their exploits, and sing their own praise. In their battles, it is evident that drums, trumpets or bagpipes, were not known or used. They had no expedient for giving the military alarms but striking a shield, or raising a loud cry: And hence the loud and terrible voice of Fingal is often mentioned, as a necessary qualification of a great general; like the *βοήν ἀγρυπτος Μεγέλαος* of Homer. Of military discipline or skill, they appear to have been entirely destitute. Their armies seem not to have been numerous; their battles were disorderly; and terminated, for the most part, by a personal combat, or wrestling of the two chiefs; after which, "the bard sung the song of peace, and the battle ceased along the field †."

The manner of composition bears all the marks of the greatest antiquity. No artful transitions; nor full and extended connection of parts; such as we find among the poets of later times, when order and regularity of composition were more studied and known; but a style always rapid and vehement; in narration concise, even to abruptness, and leaving several circumstances to be supplied by the reader's imagination. The language has all that figurative cast, which, as I before shewed, partly a glowing and undisciplined imagination, partly the sterility of language, and the want of proper terms, have always introduced into the early speech of nations; and in several respects, it carries a remarkable resemblance to the style

\* Page 78.

† Page 140.



of the Old Testament. It deserves particular notice, as one of the most genuine and decisive characters of antiquity, that very few general terms or abstract ideas, are to be met with in the whole collection of Ossian's works. The ideas of men at first, were all particular. They had not words to express general conceptions. These were the consequence of more profound reflection, and longer acquaintance with the arts of thought and of speech. Ossian, accordingly, almost never expresses himself in the abstract. His ideas extended little farther than to the objects he saw around him. A publick, a community, the universe, were conceptions beyond his sphere. Even a mountain, a sea, or a lake, which he has occasion to mention, though only in a simile, are for the most part particularized; it is the hill of Cromla, the storm of the sea of Mal-mor, or the reeds of the lake of Lego. A mode of expression, which whilst it is characteristic of ancient ages, is at the same time highly favourable to descriptive poetry. For the same reasons, personification is a poetical figure not very common with Ossian. Inanimate objects, such as winds, trees, flowers, he sometimes personifies with great beauty. But the personifications which are so familiar to later poets of Fame, Time, Terror, Virtue, and the rest of that class, were unknown to our Celtic bard. These were modes of conception too abstract for his age.

All these are marks so undoubted, and some of them too, so nice and delicate, of the most early times, as put the high antiquity of these poems out of question. Especially when we consider, that if there had been any imposture in this case, it must have been contrived and executed in the Highlands of Scotland, two or three centuries ago; as up to this period, both by manuscripts, and by the testimony of a multitude of living witnesses, concerning the uncontrollable tradition of these poems, they can clearly be traced. Now this is a period when that country enjoyed no advantages for a composition of this kind, which it may not be supposed to have enjoyed in as great, if not in a greater degree, a thousand years before. To suppose that two or three hundred years ago, when we well know the Highlands to have been in a state of gross ignorance and barbarity, there should have arisen in that country a poet, of such exquisite genius, and of such deep knowledge of mankind, and of history, as to divest himself of the ideas and manners of his own

age, and to give us a just and natural picture of a state of society ancienter by a thousand years; one who could support this counterfeited antiquity through such a large collection of poems, without the least inconsistency; and who possessed of all this genius and art, had at the same time the self-denial of concealing himself, and of ascribing his own works to an antiquated bard, without the impofure being detected; is a supposition that transcends all bounds of credibility.

There are, besides, two other circumstances to be attended to, still of greater weight, if possible, against this hypothesis. One is, the total absence of religious ideas from this work; for which the translator has, in his preface, given a very probable account, on the footing of its being the work of Ossian. The Druidical superstition was, in the days of Ossian, on the point of its final extinction; and for particular reasons, odious to the family of Fingal; whilst the Christian faith was not yet established. But had it been the work of one, to whom the ideas of christianity were familiar from his infancy; and who had superadded to them also the bigotted superstition of a dark age and country; it is impossible but in some passage or other, the traces of them would have appeared. The other circumstance is, the entire silence which reigns with respect to all the great clans or families, which are now established in the Highlands. The origin of these several clans is known to be very ancient: And it is as well known, that there is no passion by which a native Highlander is more distinguished, than by attachment to his clan, and jealousy for its honour. That a Highland bard, in forging a work relating to the antiquities of his country, should have inserted no circumstance which pointed out the rise of his own clan, which ascertained its antiquity, or increased its glory, is of all suppositions that can be formed, the most improbable; and the silence on this head, amounts to a demonstration that the author lived before any of the present great clans were formed or known.

Assuming it then, as we well may, for certain, that the poems now under consideration, are genuine venerable monuments of very remote antiquity; I proceed to make some remarks upon their general spirit and strain. The two great characteristics of Ossian's poetry are, tenderness and sublimity. It breathes nothing of the  
 gay

gay and chearful kind; an air of solemnity and seriousness is diffused over the whole. Ossian is perhaps the only poet who never relaxes, or lets himself down into the light and amusing strain; which I readily admit to be no small disadvantage to him, with the bulk of readers. He moves perpetually in the high region of the grand and the pathetick. One key note is struck at the beginning, and supported to the end; nor is any ornament introduced, but what is perfectly concordant with the general tone or melody. The events recorded, are all serious and grave; the scenery throughout, wild and romantic. The extended heath by the sea shore; the mountain shaded with mist; the torrent rushing through a solitary valley; the scattered oaks, and the tombs of warriors overgrown with moss; all produce a solemn attention in the mind, and prepare it for great and extraordinary events. We find not in Ossian, an imagination that sports itself, and dresses out gay trifles to please the fancy. His poetry, more perhaps than that of any other writer, deserves to be styled, *The Poetry of the Heart*. It is a heart penetrated with noble sentiments, and with sublime and tender passions; a heart that glows, and kindles the fancy; a heart that is full, and pours itself forth. Ossian did not write, like modern poets, to please readers and critics. He sung from the love of poetry and song. His delight was to think of the heroes among whom he had flourished; to recall the affecting incidents of his life; to dwell upon his past wars and loves and friendships; till, as he expresses it himself, "the light of his soul arose; the days of other years rose before him;" and under this true poetic inspiration, giving vent to his genius, no wonder we should so often hear, and acknowledge in his strains, the powerful and ever-pleasing voice of nature.

— Arte, natura potentior omni.—  
Est Deus in nobis, agitante calefcimus illo.

It is necessary here to observe, that the beauties of Ossian's writings cannot be felt by those who have given them only a single or a hasty perusal. His manner is so different from that of the poets, to whom we are most accustomed; his style is so concise, and so much crowded with imagery; the mind is kept at such a stretch in accompanying the author; that an ordinary reader is at first apt to be dazzled and fatigued, rather than pleased. His poems require to be taken up at intervals, and to be frequently reviewed; and then it is

is impossible but his beauties must open to every reader who is capable of sensibility. Those who have the highest degree of it, will relish them the most.

As Homer is of all the great poets, the one whose manner, and whose times come the nearest to Ossian's, we are naturally led to run a parallel in some instances between the Greek and the Celtic bard. For though Homer lived more than a thousand years before Ossian, it is not from the age of the world, but from the state of society, that we are to judge of resembling times. The Greek has in several points, a manifest superiority. He introduces a greater variety of incidents; he possesses a larger compass of ideas; has more diversity in his characters; and a much deeper knowledge of human nature. It was not to be expected, that in any of these particulars, Ossian could equal Homer. For Homer lived in a country where society was much farther advanced; he had beheld many more objects; cities built and flourishing; laws instituted; order, discipline, and arts begun. His field of observation was much larger and more splendid; his knowledge, of course, more extensive; his mind also, it shall be granted, more penetrating. But if Ossian's ideas and objects be less diversified than those of Homer, they are all, however, of the kind fittest for poetry: The bravery and generosity of heroes, the tenderness of lovers, the attachments of friends, parents, and children. In a rude age and country, though the events that happen be few, the undissipated mind broods over them more; they strike the imagination, and fire the passions in a higher degree; and of consequence become happier materials to a poetical genius, than the same events when scattered through the wide circle of more varied action, and cultivated life.

Homer is a more cheerful and sprightly poet than Ossian. You discern in him all the Greek vivacity; whereas Ossian uniformly maintains the gravity and solemnity of a Celtic hero. This too is in a great measure to be accounted for from the different situations in which they lived, partly personal, and partly national. Ossian had survived all his friends, and was disposed to melancholy by the incidents of his life. But besides this, cheerfulness is one of the many blessings which we owe to formed society. The solitary wild state is always a serious one. Bating the sudden and violent bursts of mirth,

mirth, which sometimes break forth at their dances and feasts; the savage American tribes, have been noted by all travellers for their gravity and taciturnity. Somewhat of this taciturnity may be also remarked in Ossian. On all occasions he is frugal of his words; and never gives you more of an image or a description, than is just sufficient to place it before you in one clear point of view. It is a blaze of lightning, which flashes and vanishes. Homer is more extended in his descriptions; and fills them up with a greater variety of circumstances. Both the poets are dramatick; that is, they introduce their personages frequently speaking before us. But Ossian is concise and rapid in his speeches, as he is in every other thing. Homer, with the Greek vivacity, had also some portion of the Greek loquacity. His speeches indeed are highly characteristic; and to them we are much indebted for that admirable display he has given of human nature. Yet if he be tedious any where, it is in these; some of them trifling; and some of them plainly unseasonable. Both poets are eminently sublime; but a difference may be remarked in the species of their sublimity. Homer's sublimity is accompanied with more impetuosity and fire; Ossian's with more of a solemn and awful grandeur. Homer hurries you along; Ossian elevates, and fixes you in astonishment. Homer is most sublime in actions and battles; Ossian, in description and sentiment. In the pathetick, Homer, when he chuses to exert it, has great power; but Ossian exerts that power much oftener, and has the character of tenderness far more deeply imprinted on his works. No poet knew better how to seize and melt the heart. With regard to dignity of sentiment, the pre-eminence must clearly be given to Ossian. This is indeed a surprising circumstance, that in point of humanity, magnanimity, virtuous feelings of every kind, our rude Celtic bard should be distinguished to such a degree, that not only the heroes of Homer, but even those of the polite and refined Virgil, are left far behind by those of Ossian.

After these general observations on the genius and spirit of our author, I now proceed to a nearer view, and more accurate examination of his works: And as Fingal is the most considerable poem in this collection, it is proper to begin with it. To refuse the title of an epic poem to Fingal, because it is not in every little particular, exactly conformable to the practice of Homer and Virgil, were the

mege

mere squeamishness and pedantry of criticism. Examined even according to Aristotle's rules, it will be found to have all the essential requisites of a true and regular epic; and to have several of them in so high a degree, as at first view to raise our astonishment on finding Ossian's composition so agreeable to rules of which he was entirely ignorant. But our astonishment will cease, when we consider from what source Aristotle drew those rules. Homer knew no more of the laws of criticism than Ossian. But guided by nature, he composed in verse a regular story, founded on heroic actions, which all posterity admired. Aristotle, with great sagacity and penetration, traced the causes of this general admiration. He observed what it was in Homer's composition, and in the conduct of his story, which gave it such power to please; from this observation he deduced the rules which poets ought to follow, who would write and please like Homer; and to a composition formed according to such rules, he gave the name of an epic poem. Hence his whole system arose. Aristotle studied nature in Homer. Homer and Ossian both wrote from nature. No wonder that among all the three, there should be such agreement and conformity.

The fundamental rules delivered by Aristotle concerning an epic poem, are these: That the action which is the ground work of the poem, should be one, compleat, and great; that it should be feigned, not merely historical; that it should be enlivened with characters and manners; and heightened by the marvellous.

But before entering on any of these, it may perhaps be asked, what is the moral of Fingal? For, according to M. Bossu, an epic poem is no other than an allegory contrived to illustrate some moral truth. The poet, says this critic, must begin with fixing on some maxim, or instruction, which he intends to inculcate on mankind. He next forms a fable, like one of *Æsop's*, wholly with a view to the moral; and having thus settled and arranged his plan, he then looks into traditionary history for names and incidents, to give his fable some air of probability. Never did a more frigid, pedantic notion, enter into the mind of a critic. We may safely pronounce, that he who should compose an epic poem after this manner, who should first lay down a moral and contrive a plan, before he had thought of his personages and actors, might deliver indeed very  
found

found instruction, but would find few readers. There cannot be the least doubt that the first object which strikes an epic poet, which fires his genius, and gives him any idea of his work, is the action or subject he is to celebrate. Hardly is there any tale, any subject a poet can chuse for such a work, but will afford some general moral instruction. An epic poem is by its nature one of the most moral of all poetical compositions: But its moral tendency is by no means to be limited to some common-place maxim, which may be gathered from the story. It arises from the admiration of heroic actions, which such a composition is peculiarly calculated to produce; from the virtuous emotions which the characters and incidents raise, whilst we read it; from the happy impression which all the parts separately, as well as the whole taken together, leave upon the mind. However, if a general moral be still insisted on, Fingal obviously furnishes one, not inferior to that of any other Poet, viz. That Wisdom and Bravery always triumph over brutal force; or another nobler still; That the most compleat victory over an enemy is obtained by that moderation and generosity which convert him into a friend.

The unity of the Epic action, which, of all Aristotle's rules, is the chief and most material, is so strictly preserved in Fingal, that it must be perceived by every reader. It is a more compleat unity than what arises from relating the actions of one man, which the Greek critic justly censures as imperfect; it is the unity of one enterprize, the deliverance of Ireland from the invasion of Swaran: An enterprize, which has surely the full Heroic dignity. All the incidents recorded bear a constant reference to one end; no double plot is carried on; but the parts unite into a regular whole: And as the action is one and great, so it is an entire or compleat action. For we find, as the Critic farther requires, a beginning, a middle, and an end; a Nodus, or intrigue in the Poem; Difficulties occurring through Cuchullin's rashness and bad success; those difficulties gradually surmounted; and at last the work conducted to that happy conclusion which is held essential to Epic Poetry. Unity is indeed observed with greater exactness in Fingal, than in almost any other Epic composition. For not only is unity of subject maintained, but that of time and place also. The Autumn is clearly pointed out as the season of the action; and from begin-  
E  
ning

ning to end the scene is never shifted from the heath of Lena, along the sea-shore. The duration of the action in Fingal, is much shorter than in the Iliad or Æneid. But sure, there may be shorter as well as longer Heroic Poems; and if the authority of Aristotle be also required for this, he says expressly that the Epic composition is indefinite as to the time of its duration. Accordingly the Action of the Iliad lasts only forty-seven days, whilst that of the Æneid is continued for more than a year.

Throughout the whole of Fingal, there reigns that grandeur of sentiment, style and imagery, which ought ever to distinguish this high species of poetry. The story is conducted with no small art. The Poet goes not back to a tedious recital of the beginning of the war with Swaran; but hastening to the main action, he falls in exactly, by a most happy coincidence of thought, with the rule of Horace.

Semper ad eventum festinat, & in medias res,  
Non secus ac notas, auditorem rapit——  
Nec gemino bellum Trojanum orditur ab ovo.

De Arte Poet.

He invokes no muse, for he acknowledged none; but his occasional addresses to Malvina, have a finer effect than the invocation of any muse. He sets out with no formal proposition of his subject; but the subject naturally and easily unfolds its self; the poem opening in an animated manner, with the situation of Cuchullin, and the arrival of a scout who informs him of Swaran's landing. Mention is presently made of Fingal, and of the expected assistance from the ships of the lonely isle, in order to give further light to the subject. For the poet often shows his address in gradually preparing us for the events he is to introduce; and in particular the preparation for the appearance of Fingal, the previous expectations that are raised, and the extreme magnificence fully answering these expectations, with which the hero is at length presented to us, are all worked up with such skillful conduct as would do honour to any poet of the most refined times. Homer's art in magnifying the character of Achilles has been universally admired. Ossian certainly shows no less art in aggrandizing Fingal. Nothing could be  
more



more happily imagined for this purpose than the whole management of the last battle, wherein Gaul the son of Morni, had befoUGHT Fingal to retire, and to leave to him and his other chiefs the honour of the day. The generosity of the King in agreeing to this proposal; the majesty with which he retreats to the hill, from whence he was to behold the engagement, attended by his Bards, and waving the lightning of his sword; his perceiving the chiefs overpowered by numbers, but loth to deprive them of the glory of victory by coming in person to their assistance; his sending Ullin, the Bard, to animate their courage; and at last, when the danger becomes more pressing, his rising in his might, and interposing like a divinity, to decide the doubtful fate of the day; are all circumstances contrived with so much art as plainly discover the Celtic Bards to have been not unpractised in Heroic poetry.

The story which is the foundation of the Iliad is in itself as simple as that of Fingal. A quarrel arises between Achilles and Agamemnon concerning a female slave; on which, Achilles, apprehending himself to be injured, withdraws his assistance from the rest of the Greeks. The Greeks fall into great distress, and beseech him to be reconciled to them. He refuses to fight for them in person, but sends his friend Patroclus; and upon his being slain, goes forth to revenge his death, and kills Hector. The subject of Fingal is this: Swaran comes to invade Ireland: Cuchullin, the guardian of the young King, had applied for assistance to Fingal, who reigned in the opposite coast of Scotland. But before Fingal's arrival, he is hurried by rash counsel to encounter Swaran. He is defeated; he retreats; and desponds. Fingal arrives in this conjuncture. The battle is for some time dubious; but in the end he conquers Swaran; and the remembrance of Swaran's being the brother of Agandecca, who had once saved his life, makes him dismiss him honourably. Homer it is true has filled up his story with a much greater variety of particulars than Ossian; and in this has shown a compass of invention superior to that of the other poet. But it must not be forgotten, that though Homer be more circumstantial, his incidents however are less diversified in kind than those of Ossian. War and bloodshed reign throughout the Iliad; and notwithstanding all the fertility of Homer's invention, there is so much uniformity in his subjects, that there are few readers, who, before the close, are not tired of perpetual

fighting. Whereas in Ossian, the mind is relieved by a more agreeable diversity. There is a finer mixture of war and heroism, with love and friendship, of martial, with tender scenes, than is to be met with, perhaps, in any other poet. The Episodes too, have great propriety; as natural, and proper to that age and country: consisting of the songs of Bards, which are known to have been the great entertainment of the Celtic heroes in war, as well as in peace. These songs are not introduced at random; if you except the Episode of Duchommar and Morna, in the first book, which, though beautiful, is more unartful, than any of the rest, they have always some particular relation to the actor who is interested, or to the events which are going on; and, whilst they vary the scene, they preserve a sufficient connection with the main subject, by the fitness and propriety of their introduction.

As Fingal's love to Agandecca, influences some circumstances of the Poem, particularly the honourable dismissal of Swaran at the end; it was necessary that we should be let into this part of the hero's story. But as it lay without the compass of the present action, it could be regularly introduced no where, except in an Episode. Accordingly the poet, with as much propriety, as if Aristotle himself had directed the plan, has contrived an Episode for this purpose in the song of Carril, at the beginning of the third book.

The conclusion of the poem is strictly according to rule; and is every way noble and pleasing. The reconciliation of the contending heroes, the consolation of Cuchullin, and the general felicity that crowns the action, sooth the mind in a very agreeable manner, and form that passage from agitation and trouble, to perfect quiet and repose, which critics require as the proper termination of the Epic work. "Thus they passed the night in song, and brought back the morning with joy. Fingal arose on the heath; and shook his glittering spear in his hand. He moved first towards the plains of Lena; and we followed like a ridge of fire. Spread the sail, said the King of Morven, and catch the winds that pour from Lena.—We rose on the wave with songs; and rushed with joy through the foam of the ocean."—So much for the unity and general conduct of the Epic action in Fingal.

With

With regard to that property of the subject which Aristotle requires that it should be feigned not historical, he must not be understood so strictly, as if he meant to exclude all subjects which have any foundation in truth. For such exclusion would both be unreasonable in itself; and what is more, would be contrary to the practice of Homer, who is known to have founded his Iliad on historical facts concerning the war of Troy, which was famous throughout all Greece. Aristotle means no more than that it is the business of a poet not to be a mere annalist of Facts, but to embellish truth with beautiful, probable, and useful fictions; to copy nature, as he himself explains it, like painters, who preserve a likeness, but exhibit their objects more grand and beautiful than they are in reality. That Ossian has followed this course, and building upon true history, has sufficiently adorned it with poetical fiction for aggrandizing his characters and facts, will not, I believe, be questioned by most readers. At the same time, the foundation which those facts and characters had in truth, and the share which the poet himself had in the transactions which he records, must be considered as no small advantage to his work. For truth makes an impression on the mind far beyond any fiction; and no man, let his imagination be ever so strong, relates any events so feelingly as those in which he has been interested; paints any scene so naturally as one which he has seen; or draws any characters in such strong colours as those which he has personally known. It is considered as an advantage of the Epic subject to be taken from a period so distant, as by being involved in the darkness of tradition, may give licence to fable. Though Ossian's subject may at first view appear unfavourable in this respect, as being taken from his own times, yet when we reflect that he lived to an extreme old age; that he relates what had been transacted in another country, at the distance of many years, and after all that race of men who had been the actors were gone off the stage; we shall find the objection in a great measure obviated. In so rude an age, when no written records were known, when tradition was loose, and accuracy of any kind little attended to, what was great and heroic in one generation, easily ripened into the marvellous in the next.

The natural representation of human characters in an Epic Poem is highly essential to its merit: And in respect to this there can be

no doubt of Homer's excelling all the heroic poets who have ever wrote. But though Ossian be much inferior to Homer in this article, he will be found to be equal at least, if not superior, to Virgil; and has indeed given all the display of human nature which the simple occurrences of his times could be expected to furnish. No dead uniformity of character prevails in Fingal; but on the contrary the principal characters are not only clearly distinguished, but sometimes artfully contrasted so as to illustrate each other. Ossian's heroes are like Homer's, all brave; but their bravery, like those of Homer's too, is of different kinds. For instance; the prudent, the sedate, the modest and circumspect Connal, is finely opposed to the presumptuous, rash, overbearing, but gallant and generous Calmar. Calmar hurries Cuchullin into action by his temerity; and when he sees the bad effect of his counsels, he will not survive the disgrace. Connal, like another Ulysses, attends Cuchullin to his retreat, counsels, and comforts him under his misfortune. The fierce, the proud, and high spirited Swaran is admirably contrasted with the calm, the moderate, and generous Fingal. The character of Oscar is a favourite one throughout the whole Poems. The amiable warmth of the young warrior; his eager impetuosity in the day of action; his passion for fame; his submission to his father; his tenderness for Malvina; are the strokes of a masterly pencil; the strokes are few; but it is the hand of nature, and attracts the heart. Ossian's own character, the old man, the hero, and the bard, all in one, presents to us through the whole work a most respectable and venerable figure, which we always contemplate with pleasure. Cuchullin is a hero of the highest class; daring, magnanimous, and exquisitely sensible to honour. We become attached to his interest, and are deeply touched with his distress; and after the admiration raised for him in the first part of the Poem, it is a strong proof of Ossian's masterly genius that he durst adventure to produce to us another hero, compared with whom, even the great Cuchullin, should be only an inferior personage; and who should rise as far above him, as Cuchullin rises above the rest.

Here indeed, in the character and description of Fingal, Ossian triumphs almost unrivalled: For we may boldly defy all antiquity to shew us any hero equal to Fingal. Homer's Hector possesses several great and amiable qualities; but Hector is a secondary personage

in the Iliad, not the hero of the work. We see him only occasionally; we know much less of him than we do of Fingal; who not only in the Epic Poem, but throughout the rest of Ossian's works, is presented in all that variety of lights, which give the full display of a character. And though Hector faithfully discharges his duty to his country, his friends, and his family, he is tinctured, however, with a degree of the same savage ferocity, which prevails among all the Homeric heroes. For we find him insulting over the fallen Patroclus, with the most cruel taunts, and telling him, when he lies in the agony of death, that Achilles cannot help him now; and that in a short time his body, stripped naked, and deprived of funeral honours, shall be devoured by the Vulturs\*. Whereas in the character of Fingal, concur almost all the qualities that can enoble human nature; that can either make us admire the hero, or love the man. He is not only unconquerable in war, but he makes his people happy by his wisdom in the days of peace †. He is truly the father of his people. He is known by the epithet of "Fingal of the mildest look;" and distinguished on every occasion, by humanity and generosity. He is merciful to his foes ‡; full of affection to his children; full of concern about his friends; and never mentions Agandecca, his first love, without the utmost tenderness. He is the universal protector of the distressed; "None ever went sad from Fingal ||."—"O Oscar! bend the strong in arms; but spare the feeble hand. Be thou a stream of many tides against the foes of thy people; but like the gale that moves the grafs, to those who ask thine aid. So Trenmor lived; such Trathal was; and such has Fingal been. My arm was the support of the injured; the weak rested behind the lightning of my steel §."—These were the maxims of true heroism, to which he formed his grandson. His fame is represented as every where

\* Iliad 16. 830. Il. 17. 127.

† P. 62.

‡ When he commands his sons, after Swaran is taken prisoner, to "pursue the rest of Lochlin, over the heath of Lena; that no vessel may hereafter bound on the dark-rolling waves of Inistore;" he means not assuredly, as some have misrepresented him, to order a

general slaughter of the foes, and to prevent their saving themselves by flight; but, like a wise general, he commands his chiefs to render the victory complete, by a total rout of the enemy; that they might adventure no more for the future, to fit out any fleet against him or his allies.

|| P. 74.

§ P. 44.

spread; the greatest heroes acknowledge his superiority; his enemies tremble at his name; and the highest encomium that can be bestowed on one whom the poet would most exalt, is to say, that his soul was like the soul of Fingal.

To do justice to the poet's merit, in supporting such a character as this, I must observe, what is not commonly attended to, that there is no part of poetical execution more difficult, than to draw a perfect character in such a manner, as to render it distinct and affecting to the mind. Some strokes of human imperfection and frailty, are what usually give us the most clear view, and the most sensible impression of a character; because they present to us a man, such as we have seen; they recall known features of human nature. When poets attempt to go beyond this range, and describe a faultless hero, they, for the most part, set before us, a sort of vague undistinguishable character, such as the imagination cannot lay hold of, or realize to itself, as the object of affection. We know how much Virgil has failed in this particular. His perfect hero, *Æneas*, is an unanimated, insipid personage, whom we may pretend to admire, but whom no one can heartily love. But what Virgil has failed in, *Ossian*, to our astonishment, has successfully executed. His *Fingal*, though exhibited without any of the common human failings, is nevertheless a real man; a character which touches and interests every reader. To this it has much contributed, that the poet has represented him as an old man; and by this has gained the advantage of throwing around him a great many circumstances, peculiar to that age, which paint him to the fancy in a more distinct light. He is surrounded with his family; he instructs his children in the principles of virtue; he is narrative of his past exploits; he is venerable with the grey locks of age; he is frequently disposed to moralize, like an old man, on human vanity and the prospect of death. There is more art, at least more felicity, in this, than may at first be imagined. For youth and old age, are the two states of human life, capable of being placed in the most picturesque lights. Middle age is more general and vague; and has fewer circumstances peculiar to the idea of it. And when any object is in a situation, that admits it to be rendered particular, and to be clothed with a variety of circumstances, it always stands out more clear and full in poetical description.

Besides

Besides human personages, divine or supernatural agents are often introduced into epic poetry; forming what is called the machinery of it; which most critics hold to be an essential part. The marvellous, it must be admitted, has always a great charm for the bulk of readers. It gratifies the imagination, and affords room for striking and sublime description. No wonder therefore, that all poets should have a strong propensity towards it. But I must observe, that nothing is more difficult, than to adjust properly the marvellous with the probable. If a poet sacrifice probability, and fill his work, as Tasso has done, with extravagant supernatural scenes, he spreads over it an appearance of romance and childish fiction; he transports his readers from this world, into a phantastick, visionary region; and loses that weight and dignity which should reign in epic poetry. No work, from which probability is altogether banished, can make a lasting or deep impression. Human actions and manners, are always the most interesting objects which can be presented to a human mind. All machinery, therefore, is faulty which withdraws these too much from view; or obscures them under a cloud of incredible fictions. Besides being temperately employed, machinery ought always to have some foundation in popular belief. A poet is by no means at liberty to invent what system of the marvellous he pleases: He must avail himself either of the religious faith, or the superstitious credulity of the country wherein he lives; so as to give an air of probability to events which are most contrary to the common course of nature.

In these respects, Ossian appears to me to have been remarkably happy. He has indeed followed the same course with Homer. For it is perfectly absurd to imagine, as some critics have done, that Homer's mythology was invented by him, in consequence of profound reflections on the benefit it would yield to poetry. Homer was no such refining genius. He found the traditionary stories on which he built his Iliad, mingled with popular legends, concerning the intervention of the gods; and he adopted these, because they amused the fancy. Ossian, in like manner, found the tales of his country full of ghosts and spirits: It is likely he believed them himself; and he introduced them, because they gave his poems that solemn and marvellous cast, which suited his genius. This was  
 F  
 the

the only machinery he could employ with propriety ; because it was the only intervention of supernatural beings, which agreed with the common belief of the country. It was happy ; because it did not interfere in the least, with the proper display of human characters and actions ; because it had less of the incredible, than most other kinds of poetical machinery ; and because it served to diversify the scene, and to heighten the subject by an awful grandeur, which is the great design of machinery.

As Ossian's mythology is peculiar to himself, and makes a considerable figure in his other poems, as well as in Fingal, it may be proper to make some observations on it, independent of its subserviency to epic composition. It turns for the most part on the appearances of departed spirits. These, consonantly to the notions of every rude age, are represented not as purely immaterial, but as thin airy forms, which can be visible or invisible at pleasure ; their voice is feeble ; their arm is weak ; but they are endowed with knowledge more than human. In a separate state, they retain the same dispositions which animated them in this life. They ride on the wind ; they bend their airy bows ; and pursue deer formed of clouds. The ghosts of departed bards continue to sing. The ghosts of departed heroes frequent the fields of their former fame. " They rest together in their caves, and talk of mortal men. Their songs are of other worlds. They come sometimes to the ear of rest, and raise their feeble voice \*." All this presents to us much the same set of ideas, concerning spirits, as we find in the eleventh book of the *Odyssey*, where Ulysses visits the regions of the dead : And in the twenty-third book of the *Iliad*, the ghost of Patroclus, after appearing to Achilles, vanishes precisely like one of Ossian's, emitting a shrill, feeble cry, and melting away like smoke.

But though Homer's and Ossian's ideas concerning ghosts were of the same nature, we cannot but observe, that Ossian's ghosts are drawn with much stronger and livelier colours than those of Homer. Ossian describes ghosts with all the particularity of one who had seen and conversed with them, and whose imagination was full of

\* See *P.* 24, 27, 103, 107, 218, 254.



the impression they had left upon it. He calls up those awful and tremendous ideas which the

—— Simulacra modis pallentia miris,

are fitted to raise in the human mind; and which, in Shakeſpear's ſtyle, “ harrow up the ſoul.” Crugal's gholt, in particular, in the beginning of the ſecond book of Fingal, may vie with any appearance of this kind, deſcribed by any epic or tragic poet whatever. Moſt poets would have contented themſelves with telling us, that he reſembled, in every particular, the living Crugal; that his form and drefs were the ſame, only his face more pale and ſad; and that he bore the mark of the wound by which he fell. But Oſſian ſets before our eyes a ſpirit from the inviſible world; diſtinguiſhed by all thoſe features, which a ſtrong aſtoniſhed imagination would give to a gholt. “ A dark-red ſtream of fire comes down from the hill. “ Crugal fat upon the beam; he that lately fell by the hand of “ Swaran, ſtriving in the battle of heroes. His face is like the “ beam of the ſetting moon. His robes are of the clouds of the “ hill. His eyes are like two decaying flames. Dark is the wound “ of his breaſt.—The ſtars dim-twinkled through his form; “ and his voice was like the ſound of a diſtant ſtream.” The circumſtance of the ſtars being beheld, “ dim-twinkling through his “ form,” is wonderfully pictureſque; and conveys the moſt lively impreſſion of his thin and ſhadowy ſubſtance. The attitude in which he is afterwards placed, and the ſpeech put into his mouth, are full of that ſolemn and awful ſublimity, which ſuits the ſubject. “ Dim, and in tears, he ſtood and ſtretched his pale hand over “ the hero. Faintly he raiſed his feeble voice, like the gale of the “ reedy Lego.—My gholt, O Connal! is on my native hills; but “ my corſe is on the ſands of Ullin. Thou ſhalt never talk with “ Crugal, or find his lone ſteps in the heath. I am light as the “ blaſt of Cromla; and I move like the ſhadow of miſt. Connal, “ ſon of Colgar! I ſee the dark cloud of death. It hovers over the “ plains of Lena. The ſons of green Erin ſhall fall. Remove “ from the field of gholt.—Like the darkened moon he retired, in “ the miſt of the whiſtling blaſt.”

Several other appearances of spirits might be pointed out, as among the most sublime passages of Ossian's poetry. The circumstances of them are considerably diversified; and the scenery always suited to the occasion. "Oscar slowly ascends the hill. The me-  
 "tears of night set on the heath before him. A distant torrent  
 "faintly roars. Unfrequent blasts rush through aged oaks. The  
 "half-enlightened moon sinks dim and red behind her hill. Fee-  
 "ble voices are heard on the heath. Oscar drew his sword."—  
 Nothing can prepare the fancy more happily for the awful scene that is to follow. "Trenmor came from his hill, at the voice of his  
 "mighty son. A cloud, like the steed of the stranger, supported  
 "his airy limbs. His robe is of the mist of Lano, that brings  
 "death to the people. His sword is a green meteor, half-extin-  
 "guished. His face is without form, and dark. He sighed thrice  
 "over the hero: And thrice, the winds of the night roared  
 "around. Many were his words to Oscar—He slowly van-  
 "ished, like a mist that melts on the sunny hill \*." To ap-  
 pearances of this kind, we can find no parallel among the Greek  
 or Roman poets. They bring to mind that noble description in the  
 book of Job: "In thoughts from the visions of the night, when  
 "deep sleep falleth on men, fear came upon me, and trembling,  
 "which made all my bones to shake. Then a spirit passed before  
 "my face. The hair of my flesh stood up. It stood still; but I  
 "could not discern the form thereof. An image was before mine  
 "eyes. There was silence; and I heard a voice—Shall mortal  
 "man be more just than God?" †

As Ossian's supernatural beings are described with a surprizing force of imagination, so they are introduced with propriety. We have only three ghosts in Fingal: That of Crugal, which comes to warn the host of impending destruction, and to advise them to save themselves by retreat; that of Evirallin, the spouse of Ossian, which calls him to rise and rescue their son from danger; and that of Agundecca, which, just before the last engagement with Swaran, moves Fingal to pity, by mourning for the approaching destruction of her kinsmen and people. In the other poems, ghosts sometimes appear when invoked to foretell futurity; frequently, according to

\* P. 100, 101.

† Job iv. 13—17.

the notions of these times, they come as fore-runners of misfortune or death, to those whom they visit; sometimes they inform their friends at a distance, of their own death; and sometimes they are introduced to heighten the scenery on some great and solemn occasion. "A hundred oaks burn to the wind; and faint light gleams  
 "over the heath. The ghosts of Ardven pass through the beam;  
 "and shew their dim and distant forms. Comala is half-unseen on  
 "her meteor; and Hidallan is fullen and dim\*."—"The awful  
 "faces of other times, looked from the clouds of Crona." †—"Fercuth! I saw the ghost of night. Silent he stood on that  
 "bank; his robe of mist flew on the wind. I could behold his  
 "tears. An aged man he seemed, and full of thought ‡."

The ghosts of strangers mingle not with those of the natives. "She is seen; but not like the daughters of the hill. Her robes  
 "are from the strangers land; and she is still alone §." When the ghost of one whom we had formerly known is introduced, the propriety of the living character is still preserved. This is remarkable in the appearance of Calmar's ghost, in the poem entitled *The Death of Cuchullin*. He seems to forebode Cuchullin's death, and to beckon him to his cave. Cuchullin reproaches him for supposing that he could be intimidated by such prognostics. "Why dost thou bend thy dark eyes on me, ghost of the car-  
 "borne Calmar! Would'st thou frighten me, O Matha's son!  
 "from the battles of Cormac? Thy hand was not feeble in war;  
 "neither was thy voice for peace. How art thou changed, chief  
 "of Lara! if now thou dost advise to fly! — Retire thou to thy  
 "cave: Thou art not Calmar's ghost: He delighted in battle; and  
 "his arm was like the thunder of heaven." Calmar makes no return to this seeming reproach: But, "He retired in his blast  
 "with joy; for he had heard the voice of his praise ||." This is precisely the ghost of Achilles in Homer; who, notwithstanding all the dissatisfaction he expresses with his state in the region of the dead, as soon as he had heard his son Neoptolemus praised for his gallant behaviour, strode away with silent joy to rejoin the rest of the shades ¶.

\* P. 97.  
 † P. 150.

‡ P. 88.  
 ¶ *Odysf. Lib. 11.*

‡ P. 123.

§ P. 140.

It is a great advantage of Ossian's mythology, that it is not local and temporary, like that of most other ancient poets; which of course is apt to seem ridiculous, after the superstitions have passed away on which it was founded. Ossian's mythology is, to speak so, the mythology of human nature; for it is founded on what has been the popular belief, in all ages and countries, and under all forms of religion, concerning the appearances of departed spirits. Homer's machinery is always lively and amusing; but far from being always supported with proper dignity. The indecent squabbles among his gods, surely do no honour to epic poetry. Whereas Ossian's machinery has dignity upon all occasions. It is indeed a dignity of the dark and awful kind; but this is proper; because coincident with the strain and spirit of the poetry. A light and gay mythology, like Homer's, would have been perfectly unsuitable to the subjects on which Ossian's genius was employed. But though his machinery be always solemn, it is not, however, always dreary or dismal; it is enlivened, as much as the subject would permit, by those pleasant and beautiful appearances, which he sometimes introduces, of the spirits of the hill. These are gentle spirits; descending on sun-beams; fair-moving on the plain; their forms white and bright; their voices sweet; and their visits to men propitious. The greatest praise that can be given, to the beauty of a living woman, is to say, "She is fair as the ghost of the hill; when it moves in a sun-beam at noon, over the silence of Morven\*." — "The hunter shall hear my voice from his booth. He shall fear, but love my voice. For sweet shall my voice be for my friends; for pleasant were they to me †."

Besides ghosts, or the spirits of departed men, we find in Ossian some instances of other kinds of machinery. Spirits of a superior nature to ghosts, seem to be sometimes alluded to, which have power to embroil the deep; to call forth winds and storms, and pour them on the land of the stranger; to overturn forests, and to send death among the people ‡. We have prodigies too; a shower of blood; and when some disaster is befalling at a distance, the sound of death heard on the strings of Ossian's harp §: all per-

\* P. 14.  
§ P. 133, 168.

† P. 212.

‡ Vid. P. 39, 114, 13, 102, 180.

fectly consonant, not only to the peculiar ideas of northern nations, but to the general current of a superstitious imagination in all countries. The description of Fingal's airy hall, in the poem called Berrathon, and the ascent of Malvina into it, deserves particular notice, as remarkably noble and magnificent. But above all, the engagement of Fingal with the spirit of Loda, in Carric-thura, cannot be mentioned without admiration. I forbear transcribing the passage, as it must have drawn the attention of every one who has read the works of Ossian. The undaunted courage of Fingal, opposed to all the terrors of the Scandinavian god; the appearance and the speech of that awful spirit; the wound which he receives, and the shriek which he sends forth, "as rolled into himself, he rose upon the wind;" are full of the most amazing and terrible majesty. I know no passage more sublime in the writings of any uninspired author. The fiction is calculated to aggrandize the hero; which it does to a high degree; nor is it so unnatural or wild a fiction, as might at first be thought. According to the notions of those times, supernatural beings were material, and consequently, vulnerable. The spirit of Loda was not acknowledged as a deity by Fingal; he did not worship at the stone of his power; he plainly considered him as the God of his enemies only; as a local Deity, whose dominion extended no farther than to the regions where he was worshiped; who had, therefore, no title to threaten him, and no claim to his submission. We know there are poetical precedents of great authority, for fictions fully as extravagant; and if Homer be forgiven for making Diomed attack and wound in battle, the gods whom that chief himself worshiped, Ossian surely is pardonable for making his hero superior to the god of a foreign territory †.

Not-

† The scene of this encounter of Fingal with the spirit of Loda is laid in Inishore, or the islands of Orkney; and in the description of Fingal's landing there, it is said, p. 198. "A rock bends along the coast with all its echoing wood. On the top is the circle of Loda, with the mossy stone of power." In confirmation of Ossian's topography, it is proper to acquaint the reader that in these islands, as

I have been well informed, there are many pillars, and circles of stones, still remaining, known by the name of the stones and circles of Loda, or Loden; to which some degree of superstitious regard is annexed to this day. These islands, until the year 1463, made a part of the Danish dominions. Their ancient language, of which there are yet some remains among the natives, is called the Norse; and

Notwithstanding the poetical advantages which I have ascribed to Oſſian's machinery, I acknowledge it would have been much more beautiful and perfect, had the author discovered some knowledge of a ſupream Being. Although his ſilence on this head has been accounted for by the learned and ingenious tranſlator in a very probable manner, yet ſtill it muſt be held a conſiderable diſadvantage to the poetry. For the moſt auguſt and lofty ideas that can embellish poetry are derived from the belief of a divine adminiſtration of the univerſe: And hence the invocation of a ſupream Being, or at leaſt of ſome ſuperior powers who are conceived as preſiding over human affairs, the ſolemnities of religious worſhip, prayers preferred, and aſſiſtance implored on critical occaſions, appear with great dignity in the works of almoſt all poets as chief ornaments of their compositions. The abſence of all ſuch religious ideas from Oſſian's poetry, is a ſenſible blank in it; the more to be regretted, as we can eaſily imagine what an illuſtrious figure they would have made under the management of ſuch a genius as his; and how finely they would have been adapted to many ſituations which occur in his works.

The high merit of Fingal, as an Epic Poem, required a particular diſcuſſion. But though the art ſhown in conducting a work of ſuch length diſtinguiſhes it above the other poems in this collection, theſe, however, contain particular beauties equal, perhaps ſuperior, to any in Fingal. They are hiſtorical poems, generally of the elegiac kind; and plainly diſcover themſelves to be the work of the ſame author. One conſiſtent face of manners is every where preſented to us; one ſpirit of poetry reigns; the maſterly hand of Oſſian appears throughout; the ſame rapid and animated ſtyle; the ſame ſtrong colouring of imagination, and the ſame glowing ſenſibility of heart. Beſides the unity which belongs to the compositions of one man, there is moreover a certain unity of ſubject which

and is a dialect, not of the Celtic, but of the Scandinavian tongue. The manners and the ſuperſtitions of the inhabitants, are quite diſtinct from thoſe of the Highlands and weſtern iſles of Scotland. Their ancient ſongs too, are of a different ſtrain and character, turning upon magical incan-

tations and evocations from the dead, which were the favourite ſubjects of the old Runic poetry. They have many traditions among them of wars in former times with the inhabitants of the weſtern iſlands.

very

very happily connects all these poems. They form the poetical history of the age of Fingal. The same race of heroes whom we had met with in the Epic poem, Cuchullin, Oſcar, Connal and Gaul return again upon the stage; and Fingal himself is always the principal figure, presented on every occasion, with equal magnificence, nay rising upon us to the last. The circumstances of Ossian's old age and blindness, his surviving all his friends, and his relating their great exploits to Malvina, the spouse or mistress of his beloved son Oſcar, furnish the finest poetical situations that fancy could devise for that tender pathetic which reigns in Ossian's poetry.

As each of these poems have their particular merit, there might be room for examining them separately, and for showing, in many instances, what art there is in the conduct and disposition of the incidents, as well as what beauty in the descriptions and sentiments. Carthon is a regular and highly finished piece. The main story is very properly introduced by Clesſammor's relation of the adventure of his youth; and this introduction is finely heightened by Fingal's song of mourning over Moira; in which Ossian, ever fond of doing honour to his father, has contrived to distinguish him, for being an eminent poet, as well as warrior. Fingal's song upon this occasion, when "his thousand Bards leaned forwards from their seats, to hear the voice of the King," is inferior to no passage in the whole book; and with great judgment put in his mouth, as the seriousness, no less than the sublimity of the strain, is peculiarly suited to the Hero's character. Temora is the opening of an Epic Poem, which appears to be equal in every respect to Fingal. The contrast between the characters of Cathmar and Cairbar, the death of Oſcar, and the assassination of the young prince Cormac, are such interesting scenes, as give the greatest reason to wish the recovery of the sequel. In Darthula are assembled almost all the tender images that can touch the heart of man: Friendship, love, the affections of parents, sons, and brothers, the distress of the aged, and the unavailing bravery of the young. The beautiful address to the moon, with which the poem opens, and the transition from thence to the subject, most happily prepare the mind for that train of affecting events that is to follow. The story is regular, dramatic, interesting to the last. He who can read it without emotion may congratulate himself, if he pleases, upon being completely

armed against sympathetic sorrow. As Fingal had no occasion of appearing in the action of this poem, Ossian makes a very artful transition from his narration, to what was passing in the halls of Selma. The sound heard there on the strings of his harp, the concern which Fingal shows on hearing it, and the invocation of the ghosts of their fathers, to receive the Heroes falling in a distant land, are introduced with great beauty of imagination to increase the solemnity, and to diversify the scenery of the poem.

Carric-thura is full of the most sublime dignity; and has this advantage of being more cheerful in the subject, and more happy in the catastrophe than most of the other poems: Though tempered at the same time with episodes in that strain of tender melancholy, which seems to have been the great delight of Ossian and the Bards of his age. Lathmon is peculiarly distinguished, by high generosity of sentiment. This is carried so far, particularly in the refusal of Gaul, on one side, to take the advantage of a sleeping foe; and of Lathmon, on the other, to overpower by numbers the two young warriors, as to recall into one's mind the manners of Chivalry; some resemblance to which may perhaps be suggested by other incidents in this collection of Poems. Chivalry, however, took rise in an age and country too remote from those of Ossian to admit the suspicion that the one could have borrowed any thing from the other. So far as Chivalry had any real existence, the same military enthusiasm, which gave birth to it in the feudal times, might, in the days of Ossian, that is, in the infancy of a rising state, through the operation of the same cause, very naturally produce effects of the same kind on the minds and manners of men. So far as Chivalry was an ideal system existing only in romance, it will not be thought surprising, when we reflect on the account before given of the Celtic Bards, that this imaginary refinement of heroic manners should be found among them, as much, at least, as among the *Trobadores*, or strolling Provençal Bards, in the 10th or 11th century; whose songs, it is said, first gave rise to those romantic ideas of heroism, which for so long a time enchanted Europe †. Ossian's heroes have all the gallantry

† Vid. Hætius de origine fabularum Romanensium.



and generosity of those fabulous knights, without their extravagance; and his love scenes have native tenderness, without any mixture of those forced and unnatural conceits which abound in the old romances. The adventures related by our poet which resemble the most those of romance, concern women who follow their lovers to war disguised in the armour of men; and these are so managed as to produce, in the discovery, several of the most interesting situations; one beautiful instance of which may be seen in Carric-thura, and another in Calthon and Colmal.

Oithona presents a situation of a different nature. In the absence of her lover Gaul, she had been carried off and ravished by Dunrommath. Gaul discovers the place where she is concealed, and comes to revenge her. The meeting of the two lovers, the sentiments and the behaviour of Oithona on that occasion, are described with such tender and exquisite propriety, as does the greatest honour both to the art and to the delicacy of our author; and would have been admired in any poet of the most refined age. The conduct of Cromma must strike every reader as remarkably judicious and beautiful. We are to be prepared for the death of Malvina, which is related in the succeeding Poem. She is therefore introduced in person; "she has heard a voice in a dream; she feels the fluttering of her soul;" and in a most moving lamentation addressed to her beloved Oscar, she sings her own Death Song. Nothing could be calculated with more art to sooth and comfort her, than the story which Ossian relates. In the young and brave Fovargormo, another Oscar is introduced; his praises are sung; and the happiness is set before her of those who die in their youth, "when their renown is around them; before the feeble behold them in the hall, and smile at their trembling hands."

But no where does Ossian's genius appear to greater advantage, than in the concluding poem of the whole collection, "The last sound of the Voice of Cona."

*Qualis olor noto positurus littore vitam,  
Ingemit, et mæstis mulcens concentibus auras  
Præfago queritur venientia funera cantu.*

The whole train of ideas is admirably suited to the subject. Every thing is full of that invifible world, into which the aged Bard believes himfelf now ready to enter. The airy hall of Fingal preſents itſelf to his view; “ he fees the cloud that ſhall receive his gholt; “ he beholds the miſt that ſhall form his robe when he appears on “ his hill;” and all the natural objects around him ſeem to carry the preſages of death. “ The thistle ſhakes its beard to the wind. “ The flower hangs its heavy head—it ſeems to ſay, I am covered “ with the drops of heaven; the time of my departure is near, “ and the blaſt that ſhall ſcatter my leaves.” Malvina’s death is hinted to him in the moſt delicate manner by the ſon of Alpin. His lamentation over her, her apotheoſis, or aſcent to the habitation of heroes, and the introduction to the ſtory which follows from the mention which Oſſian ſuppoſes the father of Malvina to make of him in the hall of Fingal, are all in the higheſt ſpirit of Poetry. “ And doſt thou remember Oſſian, O Toſcar ſon of Comloch? “ The battles of our youth were many; our ſwords went together “ to the field.”—Nothing could be more proper than to end his ſongs with recording an exploit of the father of that Malvina, of whom his heart was now ſo full; and who, from firſt to laſt, had been ſuch a favourite object throughout all his poems.

But as a ſeparate diſcuſſion of the merit of each of the poems in this collection would lead us too far, I ſhall content myſelf with making ſome obſervations on the chief beauties of our author under the general heads of Deſcription, Imagery, and Sentiment.

A poet of original genius is always diſtinguiſhed by his talent for deſcription †. A ſecond rate writer diſcerns nothing new or peculiar in the object he means to deſcribe. His conceptions of it are vague and looſe; his expreſſions feeble; and of courſe the object is preſented to us indiftinctly and as through a cloud. But a true Poet makes us imagine that we ſee it before our eyes: he catches the diſtinguiſhing features; he gives it the colours of life and reality; he places it in ſuch a light that a painter could copy after him. This happy talent is chiefly owing to a lively imagination, which

† See the rules of poetical deſcription excellently illuſtrated by lord Kaims, in his *Elements of Criticiſm*, vol. iii. chap. 21. Of narration and deſcription.

first receives a strong impression of the object; and then, by a proper selection of capital picturesque circumstances employed in describing it, transmits that impression in its full force to the imaginations of others. That Ossian possesses this descriptive power in a high degree, we have a clear proof from the effect which his descriptions produce upon the imaginations of those who read him with any degree of attention and taste. Few poets are more interesting. We contract an intimate acquaintance with his principal heroes. The characters, the manners, the face of the country become familiar; we even think we could draw the figure of his ghosts: In a word, whilst reading him, we are transported as into a new region, and dwell among his objects as if they were all real.

It were easy to point out several instances of exquisite painting in the works of our author. Such, for instance as the scenery with which Temora opens, and the attitude in which Cairbar is there presented to us †; the description of the young prince Cormac, in the same book †; and the ruins of Balclutha in Carthon ‡. “ I have seen the walls of Balclutha, but they were desolate. The fire had re-founded in the halls; and the voice of the people is heard no more. The stream of Clutha was removed from its place by the fall of the walls. The thistle shook there its lonely head: The moss whistled to the wind. The fox looked out from the windows; the rank grass of the wall waved round his head. Desolate is the dwelling of Moina; silence is in the house of her fathers.” Nothing also can be more natural and lively than the manner in which Carthon afterwards describes how the conflagration of his city affected him when a child: “ Have I not seen the fallen Balclutha? And shall I feast with Comhal’s son? Comhal! who threw his fire in the midst of my father’s hall! I was young and knew not the cause why the virgins wept. The columns of smoke pleased mine eye, when they rose above my walls: I often looked back with gladness, when my friends fled above the hill. But when the years of my youth came on, I beheld the moss of my fallen walls. My sigh arose with the morning; and my tears descended

† P. 172, 173.

‡ P. 183.

† P. 132.

“ with

“ with might. Shall I not fight, I said to my soul, against the  
 “ children of my foes? And I will fight, O Bard! I feel the  
 “ strength of my soul †.” In the same poem, the assembling of  
 the chiefs round Fingal, who had been warned of some impending  
 danger by the appearance of a prodigy, is described with so many  
 picturesque circumstances, that one imagines himself present in the  
 assembly. “ The king alone beheld the terrible fight, and he  
 “ foresaw the death of his people. He came in silence to his hall,  
 “ and took his father’s spear; the mail rattled on his breast. The  
 “ heroes rose around. They looked in silence on each other,  
 “ marking the eyes of Fingal. They saw the battle in his face.  
 “ —A thousand shields are placed at once on their arms; and  
 “ they drew a thousand swords. The hall of Selma brightened  
 “ around. The clang of arms ascends. The grey dogs howl in  
 “ their place. No word is among the mighty chiefs. Each  
 “ marked the eyes of the King; and half assumed his spear ‡.”

It has been objected to Ossian, that his descriptions of military  
 actions are imperfect, and much less diversified by circumstances  
 than those of Homer. This is in some measure true. The amazing  
 fertility of Homer’s invention is no where so much displayed as in  
 the incidents of his battles, and in the little history pieces he gives  
 of the persons slain. Nor indeed, with regard to the talent of de-  
 scription, can too much be said in praise of Homer. Every thing is  
 alive in his writings. The colours with which he paints are those  
 of nature. But Ossian’s genius was of a different kind from Homer’s.  
 It led him to hurry towards grand objects rather than to amuse him-  
 self with particulars of less importance. He could dwell on the  
 death of a favorite hero; but that of a private man seldom stopped  
 his rapid course. Homer’s genius was more comprehensive than  
 Ossian’s. It included a wider circle of objects; and could work up  
 any incident into description. Ossian’s was more limited; but  
 the region within which it chiefly exerted itself was the highest  
 of all, the region of the pathetic and sublime.

We must not imagine, however, that Ossian’s battles consist  
 only of general indistinct description. Such beautiful incidents are

† P. 135.

‡ P. 133.

sometimes introduced, and the circumstances of the persons slain so much diversified, as show that he could have embellished his military scenes with an abundant variety of particulars, if his genius had led him to dwell upon them. One man "is stretched in the dust of his native land; he fell, where often he had spread the feast, and often raised the voice of the harp †." The maid Iniflore is introduced, in a moving apostrophe, as weeping for another ‡; and a third, "as rolled in the dust he lifted his faint eyes to the king," is remembered and mourned by Fingal as the friend of Agandecca §. The blood pouring from the wound of one who is slain by night, is heard "hisling on the half extinguished oak," which had been kindled for giving light: Another, climbing a tree to escape from his foe, is pierced by his spear from behind; "shrieking, panting he fell; whilst moss and withered branches pursue his fall, and strew the blue arms of Gaul §." Never was a finer picture drawn of the ardour of two youthful warriors than the following: "I saw Gaul in his armour, and my soul was mixed with his: For the fire of the battle was in his eyes; he looked to the foe with joy. We spoke the words of friendship in secret; and the lightening of our swords poured together. We drew them behind the wood, and tried the strength of our arms on the empty air ¶."

Ossian is always concise in his descriptions, which adds much to their beauty and force. For it is a great mistake to imagine, that a crowd of particulars, or a very full and extended style, is of advantage to description. On the contrary, such a diffuse manner for the most part weakens it. Any one redundant circumstance is a nuisance. It encumbers and loads the fancy, and renders the main image indistinct. "Obstat," as Quintilian says with regard to style, "quicquid non adjuvat." To be concise in description, is one thing; and to be general, is another. No description that rests in generalis can possibly be good; it can convey no lively idea; for it is of particulars only that we have a distinct conception. But at the same time, no strong imagination dwells long upon any one particular; or heaps together a mass of trivial ones. By the happy choice of some one, or of a few that are the most striking, it pre-

† P. 22.    ‡ P. 14.    § P. 59.    ¶ P. 236.    ¶ P. 212.

fant's the image more complet, shows us more at one glance, than a skill'd imagination is able to do, by turning its object round and round into a variety of lights. Tacitus is of all prose writers the most concise. He has even a degree of abruptness resembling our author: Yet no writer is more eminent for lively description. When Fingal, after having conquered the haughty Swaran, proposes to admit him with honour: "Raile to-morrow thy white sails to "the wind, thou Brother of Agandecca!" † he conveys, by thus addressing his enemy, a stronger impression of the emotions then passing within his mind, than if whole paragraphs had been spent in describing the conflict between resentment against Swaran and the tender remembrance of his ancient love. No amplification is needed to give us the most full idea of a hardy veteran, after the few following words: "His shield is marked with the strokes of "battle; his red eye despises danger ‡." When Oscar, left alone, was surrounded by foes, "he stood," it is said, "growing in his "place, like the flood of the narrow vale ||;" a happy representation of one, who, by daring intrepidity in the midst of danger, seems to increase in his appearance, and becomes more formidable every moment, like the sudden rising of the torrent hemmed in by the valley. And a whole crowd of ideas, concerning the circumstances of domestic ferrow occasioned by a young warrior's first going forth to battle, is poured upon the mind by these words; "Calmar "leaned on his father's spear; that spear which he brought from "Lara's hall, when the soul of his mother was sad §."

The conciseness of Ossian's descriptions is the more proper on account of his subjects. Descriptions of gay and smiling scenes may, without any disadvantage, be amplified and prolonged. Force is not the predominant quality expected in these. The description may be weakened by being diffuse, yet notwithstanding, may be beautiful still. Whereas, with respect to grand, solemn and pathetic subject, which are Ossian's chief field, the case is very different. In these, energy is above all things required. The imagination must be seized at once, or not at all; and is far more deeply impressed by one strong and ardent image, than by the anxious minuteness of laboured illustration.

† P. 77.

‡ P. 174.

|| P. 102.

§ P. 40.

But Ossian's genius, though chiefly turned towards the sublime and pathetic, was not confined to it: In subjects also of grace and delicacy, he discovers the hand of a master. Take for an example the following elegant description of Agandecca, wherein the tenderness of Tibullus seems united with the majesty of Virgil. "The daughter of the snow overheard, and left the hall of her secret sigh. She came in all her beauty; like the moon from the cloud of the East. Loveliness was around her as light. Her steps were like the music of songs. She saw the youth and loved him. He was the stolen sigh of her soul. Her blue eyes rolled on him in secret: And she blest the chief of Morven †." Several other instances might be produced of the feelings of love and friendship painted by our author with a most natural and happy delicacy.

The simplicity of Ossian's manner adds great beauty to his descriptions, and indeed to his whole Poetry. We meet with no affected ornaments; no forced refinement; no marks either in style or thought of a studied endeavour to shine and sparkle. Ossian appears every where to be prompted by his feelings; and to speak from the abundance of his heart. I remember no more than one instance of what can be called quaint thought in this whole collection of his works. It is in the first book of Fingal, where from the tombs of two lovers two lonely yews are mentioned to have sprung, "whose branches wished to meet on high ‡." This sympathy of the trees with the lovers, may be reckoned to border on an Italian conceit; and it is somewhat curious to find this single instance of that sort of wit in our Celtic poetry.

The "joy of grief," is one of Ossian's peculiar expressions, several times repeated. If any one shall think that it needs to be justified by a precedent, he may find it twice used by Homer; in the Iliad, when Achilles is visited by the ghost of Patroclus; and in the Odyssey, when Ulysses meets his mother in the shades. On both these occasions, the heroes, melted with tenderness, lament their not having it in their power to throw their arms round the

† P. 37.

H

‡ P. 18.

ghost,

ghost, "that we might," say they, "in a mutual embrace, enjoy  
"the delight of grief."

— κρηροῖο τεταπώμεσθα γόοιο \*.

But in truth the expression stands in need of no defence from authority; for it is a natural and just expression; and conveys a clear idea of that gratification, which a virtuous heart often feels in the indulgence of a tender melancholy. Ossian makes a very proper distinction between this gratification, and the destructive effect of overpowering grief. "There is a joy in grief, when peace dwells in the breasts of the sad. But sorrow wastes the mournful, O daughter of Toscar, and their days are few †." To "give the joy of grief," generally signifies to raise the strain of soft and grave music; and finely characterises the taste of Ossian's age and country. In those days, when the songs of bards were the great delight of heroes, the tragic muse was held in chief honour; gallant actions, and virtuous sufferings, were the chosen theme; preferably to that light and trifling strain of poetry and music, which promotes light and trifling manners, and serves to emasculate the mind. "Strike the harp in my hall," said the great Fingal, in the midst of youth and victory. "Strike the harp in my hall, and let Fingal hear the song. Pleasant is the joy of grief! It is like the shower of spring, when it softens the branch of the oak; and the young leaf lifts its green head. Sing on, O bards! To-morrow we lift the sail ‡."

Personal epithets have been much used by all the poets of the most ancient ages; and when well chosen, not general and unmeaning, they contribute not a little to render the style descriptive and animated. Besides epithets founded on bodily distinctions, akin to many of Homer's, we find in Ossian several which are remarkably beautiful and poetical. Such as, Oscar of the future fights, Fingal of the mildest look, Carril of other times, the mildly blushing Eivallin; Bragela, the lonely sun-beam of Duncaich; a Culdee, the son of the secret cell.

\* Odyss. 11. 211. Iliad 23. 98.

† P. 250.

‡ Carric-thura, p. 193.



But of all the ornaments employed in descriptive poetry, comparisons or similes are the most splendid. These chiefly form what is called the imagery of a poem: And as they abound so much in the works of Ossian, and are commonly among the favourite passages of all poets, it may be expected that I should be somewhat particular in my remarks upon them.

A poetical simile always supposes two objects brought together, between which there is some near relation or connection in the fancy. What that relation ought to be, cannot be precisely defined. For various, almost numberless, are the analogies formed among objects, by a sprightly imagination. The relation of actual similitude, or likeness of appearance, is far from being the only foundation of poetical comparison. Sometimes a resemblance in the effect produced by two objects, is made the connecting principle: Sometimes a resemblance in one distinguishing property or circumstance. Very often two objects are brought together in a simile, though they resemble one another, strictly speaking, in nothing, only because they raise in the mind a train of similar, and what may be called, concordant ideas; so that the remembrance of the one, when recalled, serves to quicken and heighten the impression made by the other. Thus, to give an instance from our poet, the pleasure with which an old man looks back on the exploits of his youth, has certainly no direct resemblance to the beauty of a fine evening; farther than that both agree in producing a certain calm, placid joy. Yet Ossian has founded upon this, one of the most beautiful comparisons that is to be met with in any poet. "Wilt thou not listen, son of the rock, to the song of Ossian? My soul is full of other times; the joy of my youth returns. Thus, the sun appears in the west, after the steps of his brightness have moved behind a storm. The green hills lift their dewy heads. The blue streams rejoice in the vale. The aged hero comes forth on his staff; and his grey hair glitters in the beam \*." Never was there a finer group of objects. It raises a strong conception of the old man's joy and elation of heart, by displaying a scene, which produces in every spectator, a corresponding train of pleasing emotions; the declining sun looking forth

\* P. 229.

in his brightness after a storm; the cheerful face of all nature; and the still life finely animated by the circumstance of the aged hero, with his staff and his grey locks; a circumstance both extremely picturesque in itself, and peculiarly suited to the main object of the comparison. Such analogies and associations of ideas as these, are highly pleasing to the fancy. They give opportunity for introducing in any a fine poetical picture. They diversify the scene; they aggrandize the subject; they keep the imagination awake and sprightly. For as the judgment is principally exercised in distinguishing objects, and remarking the differences among those which seem like; so the highest amusement of the imagination is to trace likenesses and agreements among those which seem different.

The principal rules which respect poetical comparisons are, that they be introduced on proper occasions, when the mind is disposed to relish them; and not in the midst of some severe and agitating passion, which cannot admit this play of fancy; that they be founded on a resemblance neither too near and obvious, so as to give little amusement to the imagination in tracing it, nor too faint and remote, so as to be apprehended with difficulty; that they serve either to illustrate the principal object, and to render the conception of it, more clear and distinct; or at least, to heighten and embellish it, by a suitable association of images\*.

Every country has a scenery peculiar to itself; and the imagery of every poet will exhibit it. For as he copies after nature, his allusions will of course be taken from those objects which he sees around him, and which have ofteneft struck his fancy. For this reason, in order to judge of the propriety of poetical imagery, we ought to be, in some measure, acquainted with the natural history of the country where the scene of the poem is laid. The introduction of foreign images betrays a poet, copying not from nature, but from other writers. Hence so many Lions, and Tygers, and Eagles and Serpents, which we meet with in the similes of modern poets; as if these animals had acquired some right to a place in poetical comparisons for ever, because employed by ancient authors. They employed them with propriety, as objects generally known in their

\* See Elements of Criticism, ch. 19: vol. 3.

country; but they are absurdly used for illustration by us, who know them only at second hand, or by description. To most readers of modern poetry, it were more to the purpose to describe Lions or Tigers by similes taken from men, than to compare men to Lions. Ossian is very correct in this particular. His imagery is, without exception, copied from that face of nature, which he saw before his eyes; and by consequence may be expected to be lively. We meet with no Grecian or Italian scenery; but with the mists, and clouds, and storms of a northern mountainous region.

No poet abounds more in similes than Ossian. There are in this collection as many, at least, as in the whole Iliad of Homer, though that be a longer work. I am indeed inclined to think, that the works of both poets are too much crowded with them. Similes are sparkling ornaments; and like all things that sparkle, are apt to dazzle and tire us by their lustre. But if Ossian's similes be too frequent, they have this advantage of being commonly shorter than Homer's; they interrupt his narration less; he just glances aside to some resembling object, and instantly returns to his former track. Homer's similes include a wider range of objects. But in return, Ossian's are, without exception, taken from objects of dignity, which cannot be said for all those which Homer employs. The Sun, the Moon, and the Stars, Clouds and Meteors, Lightning and Thunder, Seas and Whales, Rivers, Torrents, Winds, Rain, Snow, Dews, Mist, Fire and Smoke, Trees and Torrents, Heath and Grass and Flowers, Rocks and Mountains, Musick and Songs, Light and Darkness, Spirits and Ghosts; these form the circle, within which Ossian's comparisons generally run. Some, not many, are taken from Birds and Beasts; as Eagles, Sea Fowl, the Horse, the Deer, and the Mountain Bee; and a very few from such operations of art as were then known. Homer has diversified his imagery by many more allusions to the animal world; to Lions, Bulls, Goats, Herds of Cattle, Serpents, Insects; and to the various occupations of rural and pastoral life. Ossian's defect in this article, is plainly owing to the desert, uncultivated state of his country, which suggested to him few images beyond natural inanimate objects, in their rudest form. The birds and animals of the country were probably not numerous; and his acquaintance with them was slender, as they were little subjected to the uses of man.

The

The great objection made to Ossian's imagery, is its uniformity, and the too frequent repetition of the same comparisons. In a work so thick sown with similes, one could not but expect to find images of the same kind sometimes suggested to the poet by resembling objects; especially to a poet like Ossian, who wrote from the immediate impulse of poetical enthusiasm, and without much preparation of study or labour. Fertile as Homer's imagination is acknowledged to be, who does not know how often his Lions and Bulls and Flocks of Sheep, recur with little or no variation; nay, sometimes in the very same words? The objection made to Ossian is, however, founded, in a great measure, upon a mistake. It has been supposed by inattentive readers, that wherever the Moon, the Cloud, or the Thunder, returns in a simile, it is the same simile, and the same Moon, or Cloud, or Thunder, which they had met with a few pages before. Whereas very often the similes are widely different. The object, whence they are taken, is indeed in substance the same; but the image is new; for the appearance of the object is changed; it is presented to the fancy in another attitude; and clothed with new circumstances, to make it suit the different illustration for which it is employed. In this, lies Ossian's great art; in so happily varying the form of the few natural appearances with which he was acquainted, as to make them correspond to a great many different objects.

Let us take for one instance the Moon, which is very frequently introduced into his comparisons; as in northern climates, where the nights are long, the Moon is a greater object of attention, than in the climate of Homer; and let us view how much our poet has diversified its appearance. The shield of a warrior is like "the darkened moon when it moves a dun circle through the heavens\*." The face of a ghost, wan and pale, is like "the beam of the setting moon †." And a different appearance of a ghost, thin and indistinct, is like "the new moon seen through the gathered mist, when the sky pours down its flaky snow, and the world is silent and dark ‡;" or in a different form still, it is like "the watry beam of the moon, when it rushes from between two clouds, and the

\* P. 29.

† P. 22.

‡ P. 131.

" midnight

“ midnight shower is on the field \*.” A very opposite use is made of the moon in the description of Agandecca: “ She came in all her beauty, like the moon from the cloud of the East †.” Hope, succeeded by disappointment, is “ joy rising on her face, and sorrow returning again, like a thin cloud on the moon ‡.” But when Swaran, after his defeat, is cheered by Fingal’s generosity, “ His face brightened like the full moon of heaven, when the clouds vanish away, and leave her calm and broad in the midst of the sky ||.” Venvela is “ bright as the moon when it trembles o’er the western wave §;” but the soul of the guilty Uthal is “ dark as the troubled face of the moon, when it foretels the storm ¶.” And by a very fanciful and uncommon allusion, it is said of Cormac, who was to die in his early years, “ Nor long shalt thou lift the spear, mildly shining beam of youth! Death stands dim behind thee, like the darkened half of the moon behind its growing light \*\*.”

Another instance of the same nature may be taken from mist, which, as being a very familiar appearance in the country of Ossian, he applies to a variety of purposes, and pursues through a great many forms. Sometimes, which one would hardly expect, he employs it to heighten the appearance of a beautiful object. The hair of Morna is “ like the mist of Cromla, when it curls on the rock, and shines to the beam of the west ††.”—“ The song comes with its musick to melt and please the ear. It is like soft mist, that rising from a lake, pours on the silent vale. The green flowers are filled with dew. The sun returns in its strength, and the mist is gone ‡‡.”—But, for the most part, mist is employed as a simili-

\* P. 119.

† P. 37.

‡ P. 119.

|| P. 79.

§ P. 195.

¶ P. 264.

\*\* P. 146.

†† P. 8.

‡‡ P. 215. There is a remarkable propriety in this comparison. It is intended to explain the effect of soft and mournful musick. Armin appears disturbed at a performance of this kind. Carmor says to him, “ Why bursts the

“ sigh of Armin? Is there a cause to mourn? The song comes with its musick to melt and please the ear. It “ is like soft mist, &c.” that is, such mournful songs have a happy effect to soften the heart, and to improve it by tender emotions, as the moisture of the mist refreshes and nourishes the flowers; whilst the sadness they occasion is only transient, and soon dispelled by the succeeding occupations and amusements of life: “ The sun returns in its strength, “ and the mist is gone.”

tude of some disagreeable or terrible object. "The soul of Nathar was sad, like the sun in the day of mist, when his face is watery and dim \*." "The darkness of old age comes like the mist of the desert †." The face of a ghost is "pale as the mist of Cromla ‡." "The gloom of battle is rolled along as mist that is poured on the valley, when storms invade the silent sun-bine of heaven §." Fame, suddenly departing, is likened to "mist that flies away before the rustling wind of the vale §." A ghost, slowly vanishing, to "mist that melts by degrees on the funny hill ¶." But of all the similes founded on mist, the most highly finished, is that wherein Cairbar, after his treacherous assassination of Oscar, is compared to a pestilential fog. "I love a foe like Cathmor," says Fingal, "his soul is great; his arm is strong; his battles are full of fame. But the little soul is like a vapour that hovers round the marshy lake. It never rises on the green hill, lest the winds meet it there. Its dwelling is in the cave; and it sends forth the dart of death \*\*." These instances may sufficiently shew with what richness of imagination Ossian's comparisons abound, and at the same time, with what propriety of judgment they are employed. If his field was narrow, it must be admitted to have been as well cultivated as its extent would allow.

As it is usual to judge of poets from a comparison of their similes more than of other passages, it will perhaps be agreeable to the reader, to see how Homer and Ossian have conducted some images of the same kind. This might be shewn in many instances. For as the great objects of nature are common to the poets of all nations, and make the general store-house of all imagery, the ground-work of their comparisons must of course be frequently the same. I shall select only a few of the most considerable from both poets. Mr. Pope's translation of Homer can be of no use to us here. The parallel is altogether unfair between prose, and the imposing harmony of flowing numbers. It is only by viewing Homer in the simplicity of a prose translation, that we can form any comparison between the two bards.

\* P. 159.

† P. 162.

‡ P. 52.

§ P. 27.

§ P. 79.

¶ P. 101.

\*\* P. 189.

The shock of two encountering armies, the noise and the tumult of battle, afford one of the most grand and awful subjects of description; on which all Epic poets have exerted their strength. Let us first hear Homer. The following description is a favourite one, for we find it twice repeated in the same words \*. “When now the conflicting hosts joined in the field of battle, then were mutually opposed shields, and swords, and the strength of armed men. The bossy bucklers were dashed against each other. The universal tumult rose. There were mingled the triumphant shouts and the dying groans of the victors and the vanquished. The earth streamed with blood. As when winter torrents, rushing from the mountains, pour into a narrow valley, their violent waters. They issue from a thousand springs, and mix in the hollowed channel. The distant shepherd hears on the mountain, their roar from afar. Such was the terror and the shout of the engaging armies.” In another passage, the poet, much in the manner of Ossian, heaps simile on simile, to express the vastness of the idea, with which his imagination seems to labour. “With a mighty shout the hosts engage. Not so loud roars the wave of ocean, when driven against the shore by the whole force of the boisterous north; not so loud in the woods of the mountain, the noise of the flame, when rising in its fury to consume the forest; not so loud the wind among the lofty oaks, when the wrath of the storm rages: as was the clamour of the Greeks and Trojans, when, roaring terrible, they rushed against each other †.”

To these descriptions and similes, we may oppose the following from Ossian, and leave the reader to judge between them. He will find images of the same kind employed; commonly less extended; but thrown forth with a glowing rapidity which characterises our poet. “As autumn’s dark storms pour from two echoing hills, towards each other, approached the heroes. As two dark streams from high rocks meet, and mix, and roar on the plain; loud, rough, and dark in battle, meet Lochlin and Inisfail. Chief mixed his strokes with chief, and man with man. Steel c’anging, founded on steel. Helmets are cleft on high; blood

\* Iliad iv. 445, and II. viii. 60.

† Iliad xiv. 393.

“ bursts and smoaks around.—As the troubled noise of the ocean,  
 “ when roll the waves on high ; as the last peal of the thunder of  
 “ heaven, such is the noise of battle\*.—As roll a thousand waves  
 “ to the rock, so Swaran’s host came on ; as meets a rock a thou-  
 “ sand waves, so Inisfail met Swaran. Death raises all his voices  
 “ around, and mixes with the sound of shields.—The field echoes  
 “ from wing to wing, as a hundred hammers that rise by turns on  
 “ the red son of the furnace †.—As a hundred winds on Mor-  
 “ ven ; as the streams of a hundred hills ; as clouds fly successive  
 “ over heaven ; or as the dark ocean assaults the shore of the de-  
 “ sert ; so roaring, so vast, so terrible, the armies mixed on Lena’s  
 “ echoing heath ‡.” In several of these images, there is a remark-  
 able similarity to Homer’s ; but what follows is superior to any  
 comparison that Homer uses on this subject. “ The groan of the  
 “ people spread over the hills ; it was like the thunder of night,  
 “ when the cloud bursts on Cona ; and a thousand ghosts shriek at  
 “ once on the hollow wind §.” Never was an image of more aw-  
 ful sublimity employed to heighten the terror of battle.

Both poets compare the appearance of an army approaching, to the gathering of dark clouds. “ As when a shepherd,” says Homer, “ beholds from the rock, a cloud borne along the sea by the  
 “ western wind ; black as pitch it appears from afar, sailing over  
 “ the ocean, and carrying the dreadful storm. He shrinks at the  
 “ sight, and drives his flock into the cave : Such, under the Ajaces,  
 “ moved on, the dark, the thickened phalanx to the war ||.”  
 —“ They came,” says Ossian, “ over the desert like stormy  
 “ clouds, when the winds roll them over the heath ; their edges  
 “ are tinged with lightening ; and the echoing groves foresee the  
 “ storm ¶.” The edges of the cloud tinged with lightning, is a  
 sublime idea ; but the shepherd and his flock, render Homer’s simile  
 more picturesque. This is frequently the difference between the  
 two poets. Ossian gives no more than the main image, strong and  
 full. Homer adds circumstances and appendages, which amuse the  
 fancy by enlivening the scenery.

\* P. 12.

|| Iliad iv, 275.

† P. 14.

¶ P. 109.

‡ P. 43.

§ Ibid.



Homer compares the regular appearance of an army, to "clouds that are settled on the mountain top, in the day of calmness, when the strength of the north wind sleeps \*." Ossian, with full as much propriety, compares the appearance of a disordered army, to "the mountain cloud, when the blast hath entered its womb; and scatters the curling gloom on every side †." Ossian's clouds assume a great many forms; and, as we might expect from his climate, are a fertile source of imagery to him. "The warriors followed their chiefs, like the gathering of the rainy clouds, behind the red meteors of heaven ‡." An army retreating without coming to action, is likened to "clouds, that having long threatened rain, retire slowly behind the hills §." The picture of Oithona, after she had determined to die, is lively and delicate. "Her soul was resolved, and the tear was dried from her wildly-looking eye. A troubled joy rose on her mind, like the red path of the lightning on a stormy cloud ||." The image also of the gloomy Cairbar, meditating, in silence, the assassination of Oícar, until the moment came when his designs were ripe for execution, is extremely noble, and complete in all its parts. "Cairbar heard their words in silence, like the cloud of a shower; it stands dark on Cromla, till the lightning bursts its side. The valley gleams with red light; the spirits of the storm rejoice. So stood the silent king of Temora; at length his words are heard ¶."

Homer's comparison of Achilles to the Dog-Star, is very sublime. "Priam beheld him rushing along the plain, shining in his armour, like the star of autumn; bright are its beams, distinguished amidst the multitude of stars in the dark hour of night. It rises in its splendor; but its splendor is fatal; betokening to miserable men, the destroying heat \*\*." The first appearance of Fingal, is, in like manner, compared by Ossian, to a star or meteor. "Fingal, tall in his ship, stretched his bright lance before him. Terrible was the gleam of his steel; it was like the green meteor of death, setting in the heath of Malmor, when the traveller is alone,

\* Iliad, v. 522.  
‡ P. 246.

† P. 224.  
§ P. 176.

‡ P. 4.  
\*\* Iliad, xxii. 26.

§ P. 165.

¶ and

“ and the broad moon is darkened in heaven \*.” The hero’s appearance in Homer, is more magnificent; in Ossian, more terrible.

A tree cut down, or overthrown by a storm, is a similitude frequent among poets for describing the fall of a warrior in battle. Homer employs it often. But the most beautiful, by far, of his comparisons, founded on this object, indeed one of the most beautiful in the whole Iliad, is that on the death of Euphorbus. “ As the young and verdant olive, which a man hath reared with care in a lonely field, where the springs of water bubble around it; it is fair and flourishing; it is fanned by the breath of all the winds, and loaded with white blossoms; when the sudden blast of a whirlwind descending, roots it out from its bed, and stretches it on the dust †.” To this, elegant as it is, we may oppose the following simile of Ossian’s, relating to the death of the three sons of Ufnoth. “ They fell, like three young oaks which stood alone on the hill. The traveller saw the lovely trees, and wondered how they grew so lonely. The blast of the desert came by night, and laid their green heads low. Next day he returned; but they were withered, and the heath was bare ‡.” Malvina’s allusion to the same object, in her lamentation over Oscar, is so exquisitely tender, that I cannot forbear giving it a place also. “ I was a lovely tree in thy presence, Oscar! with all my branches round me. But thy death came, like a blast from the desert, and laid my green head low. The spring returned with its showers; but no leaf of mine arose §.” Several of Ossian’s similes taken from trees, are remarkably beautiful, and diversified with well chosen circumstances; such as that upon the death of Ryno and Orla: “ They have fallen like the oak of the desert; when it lies across a stream, and withers in the wind of the mountains §:” Or that which Ossian applies to himself; “ I, like an ancient oak in Morven, moulder alone in my place; the blast hath lopped my branches away; and I tremble at the wings of the north ¶.”

As Homer exalts his heroes by comparing them to gods, Ossian makes the same use of comparisons taken from spirits and ghosts.

\* P. 41.  
§ P. 70.

† Iliad xvii. 53.  
‡ P. 191.

‡ P. 170.

§ P. 250.

Swaran "roared in battle, like the shrill spirit of a storm that sits  
 "dim on the clouds of Gormal, and enjoys the death of the ma-  
 "riner \*." His people gathered around Erragon, "like storms  
 "around the ghost of night, when he calls them from the top of  
 "Morven, and prepares to pour them on the land of the stran-  
 "ger †."—"They fell before my son, like groves in the desert,  
 "when an angry ghost rushes through night, and takes their green  
 "heads in his hand ‡." In such images, Ossian appears in his  
 strength; for very seldom have supernatural beings been painted  
 with so much sublimity, and such force of imagination, as by this  
 poet. Even Homer, great as he is, must yield to him in similes  
 formed upon these. Take, for instance, the following, which is  
 the most remarkable of this kind in the Iliad. "Meriones followed  
 "Idomeneus to battle, like Mars the destroyer of men, when he  
 "rushes to war. Terror, his beloved son, strong and fierce, at-  
 "tends him; who fills with dismay, the most valiant hero. They  
 "come from Thrace, armed against the Ephyrians and Phlegyans;  
 "nor do they regard the prayers of either; but dispose of success at  
 "their will §." The idea here, is undoubtedly noble: But observe  
 what a figure Ossian sets before the astonished imagination, and with  
 what sublimely terrible circumstances he has heightened it. "He  
 "rushed in the sound of his arms, like the dreadful spirit of Loda,  
 "when he comes in the roar of a thousand storms, and scatters  
 "battles from his eyes. He sits on a cloud over Lochlin's seas.  
 "His mighty hand is on his sword. The winds lift his flaming  
 "locks. So terrible was Cuchullin in the day of his fame ||."

Homer's comparisons relate chiefly to martial subjects, to the ap-  
 pearances and motions of armies, the engagement and death of he-  
 roes, and the various incidents of war. In Ossian, we find a greater  
 variety of other subjects illustrated by similes; particularly, the  
 songs of bards, the beauty of women, the different circumstances  
 of old age, sorrow, and private distress; which give occasion  
 to much beautiful imagery. What, for instance, can be more  
 delicate and moving, than the following simile of Oithona's,  
 in her lamentation over the dishonour she had suffered? "Chief of

\* P. 13.  
 § Iliad xiii. 298.

† P. 114.  
 || P. 151.

‡ P. 180.

“ Strumon,

“ Strumon, replied the fighting maid, why didst thou come over  
 “ the dark blue wave to Nuath’s mournful daughter? Why did not  
 “ I pass away in secret, like the flower of the rock, that lifts its  
 “ fair head unseen, and strews its withered leaves on the blast\*?”  
 The music of bards, a favourite object with Ossian, is illustrated  
 by a variety of the most beautiful appearances that are to be found in  
 nature. It is compared to the calm shower of spring; to the dews  
 of the morning on the hill of roes; to the face of the blue and still  
 lake †. Two similes on this subject, I shall quote, because they  
 would do honour to any of the most celebrated classics. The one  
 is; “ Sit thou on the heath, O bard! and let us hear thy voice;  
 “ it is pleasant as the gale of the spring that sighs on the hunter’s  
 “ ear, when he awakens from dreams of joy, and has heard the  
 “ music of the spirits of the hill ‡.” The other contains a short,  
 but exquisitely tender image, accompanied with the finest poetical  
 painting. “ The music of Carryl was like the memory of joys  
 “ that are past, pleasant and mournful to the soul. The ghosts of  
 “ departed bards heard it from Slimora’s side. Soft sounds spread  
 “ along the wood; and the silent valleys of night rejoice §.” What  
 a figure would such imagery and such scenery have made, had they  
 been presented to us, adorned with the sweetness and harmony of  
 the Virgilian numbers!

I have chosen all along to compare Ossian with Homer, rather  
 than Virgil, for an obvious reason. There is a much nearer corre-  
 spondence between the times and manners of the two former poets.  
 Both wrote in an early period of society; both are originals; both  
 are distinguished by simplicity, sublimity, and fire. The correct  
 elegance of Virgil, his artful imitation of Homer, the Roman state-  
 lines which he every where maintains, admit no parallel with the  
 abrupt boldness, and enthusiastick warmth of the Celtic bard. In  
 one article, indeed, there is a resemblance. Virgil is more tender  
 than Homer; and thereby agrees more with Ossian; with this dif-  
 ference, that the feelings of the one are more gentle and polished,  
 those of the other, more strong; the tenderness of Virgil softens,  
 that of Ossian dissolves and overcomes the heart.

\* P. 244.

† Vid. p. 215, 18, 35, 194.

‡ P. 72.

§ P. 147.

A resemblance may be sometimes observed between Ossian's comparisons, and those employed by the sacred writers. They abound much in this figure, and they use it with the utmost propriety\*. The imagery of Scripture exhibits a soil and climate altogether different from those of Ossian; a warmer country, a more smiling face of nature, the arts of agriculture and of rural life much farther advanced. The wine press, and the threshing floor, are often presented to us, the Cedar and the Palm-tree, the fragrance of perfumes, the voice of the Turtle, and the beds of Lillies. The similes are, like Ossian's, generally short, touching on one point of resemblance, rather than spread out into little episodes. In the following example, may be perceived what inexpressible grandeur poetry receives from the intervention of the Deity. "The nations shall rush like the rushings of many waters; but God shall rebuke them, and they shall fly far off, and shall be chafed as the chaff of the mountains before the wind, and like the down of the thistle before the whirlwind †."

Besides formal comparisons, the poetry of Ossian is embellished with many beautiful metaphors: Such as that remarkably fine one applied to Deugala; "She was covered with the light of beauty; but her heart was the house of pride ‡." This mode of expression, which suppresses the mark of comparison, and substitutes a figured description in room of the object described, is a great enlivener of style. It denotes that glow and rapidity of fancy, which without pausing to form a regular simile, paints the object at one stroke. "Thou art to me the beam of the east, rising in a land unknown §."—"In peace, thou art the gale of spring; in war, the mountain storm ||."—"Pleasant be thy rest, O lovely beam, soon hast thou set on our hills! The steps of thy departure were stately, like the moon on the blue trembling wave. But thou hast left us in darkness, first of the maids of Lutha!—Soon hast thou set Malvina! but thou risest, like the beam of the east, among the spirits of thy friends, where they sit in their stormy halls, the chambers of the thunder ¶." This is correct and finely supported. But in the following instance, the metaphor,

\* See Dr. Lowth de Sacra Poesi Hebræorum.

‡ P. 31.

§ P. 24.

¶ P. 78.

† Isaiah xvii. 13.

¶ P. 259.

though.

though very beautiful at the beginning, becomes imperfect before it closes, by being improperly mixed with the literal sense. “ Trothal  
 “ went forth with the stream of his people; but they met a rock;  
 “ Fingal stood unmoved; broken they rolled back from his side.  
 “ Nor did they roll in safety; the spear of the king pursued their  
 “ flight \*.”

The hyperbole is a figure which we might expect to find often employed by Ossian; as the undisciplined imagination of early ages generally prompts exaggeration, and carries its objects to excess; whereas longer experience, and farther progress in the arts of life, chasten mens ideas and expressions. Yet Ossian's hyperboles appear not to me, either so frequent or so harsh as might at first have been looked for; an advantage owing no doubt to the more cultivated state, in which, as was before shewn, poetry subsisted among the ancient Celtae, than among most other barbarous nations. One of the most exaggerated descriptions in the whole work, is what meets us at the beginning of Fingal, where the scout makes his report to Cuchullin of the landing of the foe. But this is so far from deserving censure, that it merits praise, as being, on that occasion, natural and proper. The scout arrives, trembling and full of fears; and it is well known, that no passion disposes men to hyperbolize more than terror. It both annihilates themselves in their own apprehension, and magnifies every object which they view through the medium of a troubled imagination. Hence all those indistinct images of formidable greatness, the natural marks of a disturbed and confused mind, which occur in Moran's description of Swaran's appearance, and in his relation of the conference which they held together; not unlike the report, which the affrighted Jewish spies made to their leader of the land of Canaan. “ The land through which we have gone to  
 “ search it, is a land that eateth up the inhabitants thereof; and  
 “ all the people that we saw in it, are men of a great stature: and  
 “ there saw we giants, the sons of Anak, which come of the giants;  
 “ and we were in our own sight as grasshoppers, and so were we  
 “ in their sight †.”

\* P. 202.

† Numbers xiii. 32, 33.

With regard to personifications, I formerly observed that Ossian was sparing, and I accounted for his being so. Allegorical personages he has none; and their absence is not to be regretted. For the intermixture of those shadowy Beings, which have not the support even of mythological or legendary belief, with human actors, seldom produces a good effect. The fiction becomes too visible and phantastick; and overthrows that impression of reality, which the probable recital of human actions is calculated to make upon the mind. In the serious and pathetick scenes of Ossian especially, allegorical characters would have been as much out of place, as in Tragedy; serving only unseasonably to amuse the fancy, whilst they stopped the current, and weakened the force of passion.

With apostrophes, or addresses to persons absent or dead, which have been, in all ages, the language of passion, our poet abounds; and they are among his highest beauties. Witness the apostrophe, in the first book of Fingal, to the maid of Inithore, whose lover had fallen in battle; and that inimitably fine one of Cuchullin to Bragela at the conclusion of the same book. He commands the harp to be struck in her praise; and the mention of Bragela's name, immediately suggesting to him a crowd of tender ideas; "Dost thou raise thy fair face from the rocks," he exclaims, "to find the fails of Cuchullin? The sea is rolling far distant, and its white foam shall deceive thee for my fails." And now his imagination being wrought up to conceive her as, at that moment, really in this situation, he becomes afraid of the harm she may receive from the inclemency of the night; and with an enthusiasm, happy and affecting, though beyond the cautious strain of modern poetry, "Retire," he proceeds, "retire, for it is night, my love, and the dark winds sigh in thy hair. Retire to the hall of my feasts, and think of the times that are past; for I will not return till the storm of war has ceased. O Connal, speak of wars and arms, and send her from my mind; for lovely with her raven hair is the white-bosomed daughter of Sorglan\*." This breathes all the native spirit of passion and tenderness.

The addresses to the sun †, to the moon ‡, and to the evening star §, must draw the attention of every reader of taste, as an org

\* P. 18.

† P. 141.

‡ P. 155.

§ P. 209.

the most splendid ornaments of this collection. The beauties of each are too great, and too obvious to need any particular comment. In one passage only of the address to the moon, there appears some obscurity. "Whither dost thou retire from thy course, when the darkness of thy countenance grows? Hast thou thy hall like Ossian? Dwellest thou in the shadow of grief? Have thy sisters fallen from heaven? Are they who rejoiced with thee at night, no more? Yes, they have fallen, fair light! and thou dost often retire to mourn." We may be at a loss to comprehend, at first view, the ground of these speculations of Ossian, concerning the moon; but when all the circumstances are attended to, they will appear to flow naturally from the present situation of his mind. A mind under the dominion of any strong passion, tinctures with its own disposition, every object which it beholds. The old bard, with his heart bleeding for the loss of all his friends, is meditating on the different phases of the moon. Her waning and darkness, presents to his melancholy imagination, the image of sorrow; and presently the idea arises, and is indulged, that, like himself, she retires to mourn over the loss of other moons, or of stars, whom he calls her sisters, and fancies to have once rejoiced with her at night, now fallen from heaven. Darkness suggested the idea of mourning; and mourning suggested nothing so naturally to Ossian, as the death of beloved friends. An instance precisely similar of this influence of passion, may be seen in a passage which has always been admired of Shakespear's *King Lear*. The old man on the point of distraction, through the inhumanity of his daughters, sees Edgar appear disguised like a beggar and a madman.

*Lear.* Didst thou give all to thy daughters? And art thou come to this?  
 Couldst thou leave nothing? Didst thou give them all?

*Kent.* He hath no daughters, Sir.

*Lear.* Death, traitor! nothing could have subdued nature,  
 To such a lowliness, but his unkind daughters.

*King Lear, Act 3. Scene 5.*

The



The apostrophe to the winds, in the opening of *Darthula*, is in the highest spirit of poetry. "But the winds deceive thee, O *Darthula*! and deny the woody *Etha* to thy sails. These are not thy mountains, *Nathos*, nor is that the roar of thy climbing waves. The halls of *Cairbar* are near, and the towers of the foe lift their head.—Where have ye been, ye southern winds; when the sons of my love were deceived? But ye have been sporting on plains, and pursuing the thistle's beard. O that ye had been rustling in the sails of *Nathos*, till the hills of *Etha* rose! till they rose in their clouds, and saw their coming chief\*!" This passage is remarkable for the resemblance it bears to an expostulation with the wood nymphs, on their absence at a critical time; which, as a favourite poetical idea, *Virgil* has copied from *Theocritus*, and *Milton* has very happily imitated from both.

Where were ye, nymphs! when the remorseless deep  
 Clos'd o'er the head of your lov'd *Lycidas*?  
 For neither were ye playing on the steep  
 Where your old bards, the famous *Druids*, lie;  
 Nor on the shaggy top of *Mona* high,  
 Nor yet where *Deva* spreads her wizard stream †.

Having now treated fully of *Ossian's* talents, with respect to description and imagery, it only remains to make some observations on his sentiments. No sentiments can be beautiful without being proper; that is, suited to the character and situation of those who utter them. In this respect, *Ossian* is as correct as most writers. His characters, as above observed, are in general well supported; which could not have been the case, had the sentiments been unnatural or out of place. A variety of personages of different ages, sexes, and conditions, are introduced into his poems; and they speak and act with a propriety of sentiment and behaviour, which it is surprising to find in so rude an age. Let the poem of *Darthula*, throughout, be taken as an example.

\* P. 157.

† *Milton's Lycidas*.

See *Theocrit. Idyll. I.*  
 Πᾶ πᾶ ἀγ' ἴσθ' ὅτι Δαρφίς ἱταίετος; πᾶ  
 πικκ, Νιμφαι, &c.

And *Virg. Eclog. 10.*  
 Quæ nemora, aut qui vos saltus ha-  
 buere, puellæ, &c.

But it is not enough that sentiments be natural and proper. In order to acquire any high degree of poetical merit, they must also be sublime and pathetick.

The sublime is not confined to sentiment alone. It belongs to description also; and whether in description or in sentiment, imports such ideas presented to the mind, as raise it to an uncommon degree of elevation, and fill it with admiration and astonishment. This is the highest effect either of eloquence or poetry: And to produce this effect, requires a genius glowing with the strongest and warmest conception of some object awful, great or magnificent. That this character of genius belongs to Ossian, may, I think, sufficiently appear from many of the passages I have already had occasion to quote. To produce more instances, were superfluous. If the engagement of Fingal with the spirit of Loda, in Carric-thura; if the encounters of the armies, in Fingal; if the address to the sun, in Carthon; if the similes founded upon ghosts and spirits of the night, all formerly mentioned, be not admitted as examples, and illustrious ones too, of the true poetical sublime, I confess myself entirely ignorant of this quality in writing.

All the circumstances, indeed, of Ossian's composition, are favourable to the sublime, more perhaps than to any other species of beauty. Accuracy and correctness; artfully connected narration; exact method and proportion of parts, we may look for in polished times. The gay and the beautiful, will appear to more advantage in the midst of smiling scenery and pleasurable themes. But amidst the rude scenes of nature, amidst rocks and torrents and whirlwinds and battles, dwells the sublime. It is the thunder and the lightning of genius. It is the offspring of nature, not of art. It is negligent of all the lesser graces, and perfectly consistent with a certain noble disorder. It associates naturally with that grave and solemn spirit, which distinguishes our author. For the sublime, is an awful and serious emotion; and is heightened by all the images of Trouble, and Terror, and Darknefs.

*Ipse pater, media nimborum in nocte, coruscâ  
Fulmina molitur dextrâ; quo maxima motu*

*Terra*

Terra tremit; fugere feræ; & mortalia corda  
 Per gentes, humilis stravit pavor; ille, flagranti  
 Aut Atho, aut Rhodopen, aut alta Ceraunia telo  
 Dejicit.—  
 VIRG. Georg. I.

Simplicity and conciseness, are never-failing characteristics of the style of a sublime writer. He rests on the majesty of his sentiments, not on the pomp of his expressions. The main secret of being sublime, is to say great things in few, and in plain words: For every superfluous decoration degrades a sublime idea. The mind rises and swells, when a lofty description or sentiment is presented to it, in its native form. But no sooner does the poet attempt to spread out this sentiment or description, and to deck it round and round with glittering ornaments, than the mind begins to fall from its high elevation; the transport is over; the beautiful may remain, but the sublime is gone. Hence the concise and simple style of Ossian, gives great advantage to his sublime conceptions; and assists them in seizing the imagination with full power\*.

Sublimity as belonging to sentiment, coincides in a great measure with magnanimity, heroism, and generosity of sentiment. Whatever discovers human nature in its greatest elevation; whatever bespeaks a high effort of soul; or shews a mind superior to pleasures,

\* The noted saying of Julius Cæsar, to the pilot in a storm; "Quid times? Cæsaŕem vehis;" is magnanimous and sublime. Lucan, not satisfied with this simple conciseness, resolved to amplify and improve the thought. Observe, how every time he twists it round, it departs farther from the sublime, till at last, it end in tumid declamation.

Sperne minas, inquit, Pelagi, ventoque furenti  
 Trade sinum. Italiam, si cælo auctore, recusas,  
 Me, pete. Sola tibi causa hæc est  
 iusta timoris

Vectorem non nosse tuum; quem  
 numina nunquam  
 Destituunt; de quo male tunc fortuna meretur,  
 Cum post vota venit; medias per-  
 rumpe procellas  
 Tutelâ secure meâ. Coeli iste fretique,  
 Non puppis nostræ, labor est. Hanc  
 Cæsaŕe pressam  
 A fluctu descendit onus.  
 ————  
 Quid tantâ strage paratur,  
 Ignoras? Querit pelagi cælique tumultu  
 Quid præstet fortuna mihi.—  
 PHARSAL. V. 578.

to dangers, and to death, forms what may be called the moral or sentimental sublime. For this, Ossian is eminently distinguished. No poet maintains a higher tone of virtuous and noble sentiment, throughout all his works. Particularly in all the sentiments of Fingal, there is a grandeur and loftiness proper to swell the mind with the highest ideas of human perfection. Wherever he appears, we behold the hero. The objects which he pursues, are always truly great; to bend the proud; to protect the injured; to defend his friends; to overcome his enemies by generosity more than by force. A portion of the same spirit actuates all the other heroes. Valour reigns; but it is a generous valour, void of cruelty, animated by honour, not by hatred. We behold no debasing passions among Fingal's warriors; no spirit of avarice or of insult; but a perpetual contention for fame; a desire of being distinguished and remembered for gallant actions; a love of justice; and a zealous attachment to their friends and their country. Such is the strain of sentiment in the works of Ossian.

But the sublimity of moral sentiments, if they wanted the softening of the tender, would be an hazard of giving a hard and stiff air to poetry. It is not enough to admire. Admiration is a cold feeling, in comparison of that deep interest, which the heart takes in tender and pathetick scenes; where, by a mysterious attachment to the objects of compassion, we are pleased and delighted, even whilst we mourn. With scenes of this kind, Ossian abounds; and his high merit in these, is incontestable. He may be blamed for drawing tears too often from our eyes; but that he has the power of commanding them, I believe no man, who has the least sensibility, will question. The general character of his poetry, is the heroic mixed with the elegiac strain; admiration tempered with pity. Ever fond of giving, as he expresses it, "the joy of grief," it is visible, that on all moving subjects, he delights to exert his genius; and accordingly, never were there finer pathetick situations, than what his works present. His great art in managing them, lies in giving vent to the simple and natural emotions of the heart. We meet with no exaggerated declamation; no subtle refinements on sorrow; no substitution of description in place of passion. Ossian felt strongly himself; and the heart when uttering its native language

guage never fails, by powerful sympathy, to affect the heart. A great variety of examples might be produced. We need only open the book to find them every where. What, for instance, can be more moving, than the lamentations of Oithona, after her misfortune? Gaul, the son of Morni, her lover, ignorant of what she had suffered, comes to her rescue. Their meeting is tender in the highest degree. He proposes to engage her foe, in single combat, and gives her in charge what she is to do, if he himself shall fall.

“ And shall the daughter of Nuäth live, she replied with a bursting  
 “ sigh? Shall I live in Tromathon, and the son of Morni low?  
 “ My heart is not of that rock; nor my soul careless as that sea,  
 “ which lifts its blue waves to every wind, and rolls beneath the  
 “ storm. The blast, which shall lay thee low, shall spread the  
 “ branches of Oithona on earth. We shall wither together, son of  
 “ car-borne Morni! The narrow house is pleasant to me; and the  
 “ grey stone of the dead; for never more will I leave thy rocks, sea-  
 “ surrounded Tromathon!—Chief of Stramon, why camest thou  
 “ over the waves to Nuäth’s mournful daughter? Why did not I  
 “ pass away in secret, like the flower of the rock, that lifts its fair  
 “ head unseen, and strews its withered leaves on the blast? Why  
 “ didst thou come, O Gaul! to hear my departing sigh?—O had  
 “ I dwelt at Duvranna, in the bright beams of my fame! Then  
 “ had my years come on with joy; and the virgins would bless my  
 “ steps. But I fall in youth, son of Morni, and my father shall  
 “ blush in his hall\*.”

Oithona mourns like a woman; in Cuchullin’s expressions of grief after his defeat, we behold the sentiments of a hero, generous but desponding. The situation is remarkably fine. Cuchullin, roused from his cave, by the noise of battle, sees Fingal victorious in the field. He is described as kindling at the sight. “ His hand  
 “ is on the sword of his fathers; his red-rolling eyes on the foe.  
 “ He thrice attempted to rush to battle; and thrice did Connal stop  
 “ him;” suggesting, that Fingal was routing the foe; and that he ought not by the show of superfluous aid, to deprive the king of any part of the honour of a victory, which was owing to him alone.

\* P. 244, 245, 248.

Cuchullin yields to this generous sentiment; but we see it stinging him to the heart with the sense of his own disgrace. "Then, Carril, go, replied the chief, and greet the king of Morven. When Lochlin falls away like a stream after rain, and the noise of the battle is over. Then be thy voice sweet in his ear, to praise the king of swords. Give him the sword of Caithbat; for Cuchullin is worthy no more to lift the arms of his fathers. But, O ye ghosts of the lonely Cromla! Ye souls of chiefs that are no more! Be ye the companions of Cuchullin, and talk to him in the cave of his sorrow. For never more shall I be renowned among the mighty in the land. I am like a beam that has shone: Like a mist that has fled away; when the blast of the morning came, and brightened the shaggy side of the hill. Connal! Talk of arms no more: Departed is my fame. My sighs shall be on Cromla's wind; till my footsteps cease to be seen. And thou, white-bosomed Bragela! mourn over the fall of my fame; for vanquished, I will never return to thee, thou sun-beam of Dun-saich\*!

————— Æstuat Ingens

Uno in corde pudor, luctusque, & conscia virtus.

Besides such extended pathetick scenes, Ossian frequently pierces the heart by a single unexpected stroke. When Oscar fell in battle, "No father mourned his son slain in youth; no brother, his brother of love; they fell without tears, for the chief of the people was low †." In the admirable interview of Hector with Andromache, in the sixth Iliad, the circumstance of the child in his nurse's arms, has often been remarked, as adding much to the tenderness of the scene. In the following passage relating to the death of Cuchullin, we find a circumstance that must strike the imagination with still greater force. "And is the son of Semo fallen? said Carril with a sigh. Mournful are Tura's walls, and sorrow dwells at Dun-saich. Thy spouse is left alone in her youth; the son of thy love is alone. He shall come to Bragela, and ask her why she weeps. He shall lift his eyes to the wall, and see his father's sword. Whose sword is that? he will say; and the

\* P. 60.

† P. 182.

“foul

“foul of his mother is fad\*.” Soon after Fingal had shewn all the grief of a father’s heart for Ryno, one of his sons, fallen in battle, he is calling, after his accustomed manner, his sons to the chase. “Call,” says he, “Fillan and Ryno—But he is not here—My son rests on the bed of death †.”—This unexpected start of anguish, is worthy of the highest tragic poet,

If she come in, she’ll sure speak to my wife—  
My wife! my wife—What wife?—I have no wife—  
Oh insupportable! Oh heavy hour!

*Othello*, Act. 5. Scene 7.

The contrivance of the incident in both poets is similar; but the circumstances are varied with judgment. Othello dwells upon the name of wife, when it had fallen from him, with the confusion and horror of one tortured with guilt. Fingal, with the dignity of a hero, corrects himself, and suppresses his rising grief.

The contrast which Ossian frequently makes between his present and his former state, diffuses over his whole poetry, a solemn pathetic air, which cannot fail to make impression on every heart. The conclusion of the songs of Selma, is particularly calculated for this purpose. Nothing can be more poetical and tender, or can leave upon the mind, a stronger, and more affecting idea of the venerable aged bard. “Such were the words of the bards in the days of the song; when the king heard the music of harps, and the tales of other times. The chiefs gathered from all their hills, and heard the lovely sound. They praised the voice of Cona ‡; the first among a thousand bards. But age is now on my tongue, and my soul has failed. I hear, sometimes, the ghosts of bards, and learn their pleasant song. But memory fails on my mind; I hear the call of years. They say, as they pass along; why does Ossian sing? Soon shall he lie in the narrow house, and no bard shall raise his fame. Roll on, ye dark-brown years! for ye bring no joy in your course. Let the tomb open to Ossian, for his strength has failed. The sons of the song are gone to rest. My voice re-

\* P. 152.  
the voice of Cona.

† P. 81.

‡ Ossian himself is poetically called

L

“mains,

“ mains, like a blast, that roars lonely on a sea-surrounded rock, after the winds are laid. The dark moss whistles there, and the distant mariner sees the waving trees\*.”

Upon the whole; if to feel strongly, and to describe naturally, be the two chief ingredients in poetical genius, Ossian must, after fair examination, be held to possess that genius in a high degree. The question is not, whether a few improprieties may be pointed out in his works; whether this, or that passage, might not have been worked up with more art and skill, by some writer of happier times? A thousand such cold and frivolous criticisms, are altogether indecisive as to his genuine merit. But, has he the spirit, the fire, the inspiration of a poet? Does he utter the voice of nature? Does he elevate by his sentiments? Does he interest by his descriptions? Does he paint to the heart as well as to the fancy? Does he make his readers glow, and tremble, and weep? These are the great characteristics of true poetry. Where these are found, he must be a minute critic indeed, who can dwell upon slight defects. A few beauties of this high kind, transcend whole volumes of faultless mediocrity. Uncouth and abrupt, Ossian may sometimes appear by reason of his conciseness. But he is sublime, he is pathetick, in an eminent degree. If he has not the extensive knowledge, the regular dignity of narration, the fulness and accuracy of description, which we find in Homer and Virgil, yet in strength of imagination, in grandeur of sentiment, in native majesty of passion, he is fully their equal. If he flows not always like a clear stream, yet he breaks forth often like a torrent of fire. Of art too, he is far from being destitute; and his imagination is remarkable for delicacy as well as strength. Seldom or never is he either trifling or tedious; and if he be thought too melancholy, yet he is always moral. Though his merit were in other respects much less than it is, this alone ought to entitle him to high regard, that his writings are remarkably favourable to virtue. They awake the tenderest sympathies, and inspire the most generous emotions. No reader can rise from him, without being warmed with the sentiments of humanity, virtue and honour.

\* P. 217.

Though



Though unacquainted with the original language, there is no one but must judge the translation to deserve the highest praise, on account of its beauty and elegance. Of its faithfulness and accuracy, I have been assured by persons skilled in the Gaelic tongue, who from their youth, were acquainted with many of these poems of Ossian. To transfuse such spirited and fervid ideas from one language into another; to translate literally, and yet with such a glow of poetry; to keep alive so much passion, and support so much dignity throughout, is one of the most difficult works of genius, and proves the translator to have been animated with no small portion of Ossian's spirit.

The measured prose which he has employed, possesses considerable advantages above any sort of versification he could have chosen. Whilst it pleases and fills the ear with a variety of harmonious cadences, being, at the same time, freer from constraint in the choice and arrangement of words, it allows the spirit of the original to be exhibited with more justness, force, and simplicity. Elegant however, and masterly as Mr. Macpherson's translation is, we must never forget, whilst we read it, that we are putting the merit of the original to a severe test. For, we are examining a poet, stripped of his native dress; divested of the harmony of his own numbers. We know how much grace and energy the works of the Greek and Latin poets receive from the charm of versification in their original languages. If then, destitute of this advantage, exhibited in a literal version, Ossian still has power to please as a poet; and not to please only, but often to command, to transport, to melt the heart; we may very safely infer, that his productions are the offspring of true and uncommon genius; and we may boldly assign him a place among those, whose works are to last for ages.

F I N I S.

IN THE PRESS,

T E M O R A,  
An E P I C P O E M,

In E I G H T B O O K S,

With the remaining Works of

O S S I A N the Son of F I N G A L.

To which will be prefixed

A D I S S E R T A T I O N,  
And some Part of the O R I G I N A L;

Translated from the Galic Language,

By Mr. M A C P H E R S O N.

Printed for T. B E C K E T and P. A. D E H O N D T, in the *Strand*.

Of whom may be had,

F I N G A L,  
An E P I C P O E M,  
In S I X B O O K S.





