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## PREFACE.

The Wasps was exhibited before the Athenian people at the Lenæan testival, while Ameinias was Archon : in the second year therefore of the 89th Olympiad ( $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \theta^{\prime}$ 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta \iota \epsilon \nLeftarrow \tau \epsilon \iota \beta^{\prime}$ ): at the commencement of the year b.c. 422.

It gained either the first or the second place; but which of the two we cannot now with certainty determine. The Argument which supplies our only information on the subject, supplies it in a corrupt and mutilated paragraph, which may be emended in accordance with either view. It seems to me, however, that there are very strong grounds for believing that the highest place was awarded to the Wasps; the second to the Rehearsal (Проау $\bar{\nu}$ ) ; and the third-about this there is no doubt-to the Ambassadors ( $\Pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$ ) of Leucon.

The paragraph in question is found in the following form in both the great Aristophanic MSS. :-



And our first business is to detect the genuine original reading which lies hid beneath the confused and unmeaning jumble of words $\epsilon \in \nu \hat{\eta}$

- Byı. So the Venetian MS. The Ravenna MS. has $\beta{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. The name of the
 423. I give no credit to the statement of the Scholiast on Clouds, 31, that the Amynias satirized in the Clouds and in the Play before us is really Ameinias the
 ย̇кผ่入ขєข.
 exhibited in the second year of the 89th Olympiad, we can hardly resist the conclusion that the true reading is $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \theta^{\prime} O \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a a^{\prime} \delta \iota$ é $\tau \in \iota \beta^{\prime}$. This is Kanngiesser's emendation, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and one more simple and satisfactory has rarely been made. And it has the additional merit of bringing the present chronological notice into exact accordance with the form of similar notices in other Arguments. Thus the chronological notices in the Arguments of the Agamemnon and the Hippolytus are as follows :-





It will be observed that in the latter example the chronological notice is immediately followed by a statement of the order in which the three selected competitors were ranged. And such is the ordinary form of these theatrical Arguments. First comes the chronological notice, complete in itself. Then follows the List of the Victors, also complete in itself.

And this leads us to a second error in the Argument of the Wasps; viz. an omission in the List of the Victors. And Paulmier ${ }^{\text {c }}$ long ago suggested that the word $\delta \in \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, and the name of the second successful competitor, must have dropped out from between the words $\Phi_{i} \lambda \omega \nu i \delta \eta s$ and Проarติขl.

Adopting, at least for the present, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ the suggestion of Paulmier, we shall read the paragraph as follows :-



[^0]in substantial harmony with the usual form ${ }^{e}$ of similar notices in other Arguments. And, so read, it informs us in ordinary language that the Wasps carried off the highest prize at the Lenæan festival, b.c. 422.

On the other hand, in order to obtain a statement that the second place only was awarded to the Wasps, we must accept Dindorf's arrangement, ${ }^{f}$ and read the paragraph as follows :-



- To. bring the matter more clearly before the reader, I subjoin a list of the similar notices contained in other theatrical Arguments.


## Aristophanes.








Wasps. This is the notice discussed in the text.





 Кал入ıбтро́тои.





Eschylus.
 Agamemnon. This has already been cited in the text.

## SOPHOCLES.



## Euripides.


 ò $\sigma \dot{\omega} \varsigma \in \tau a$.

Hippolytus. This has already been cited in the text.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Dindorf's Aristophanes, ii. 548. On the Proagon of Aristophanes. A similar "suggestion had been already made by Petit.

This is an arrangement which, to my mind, carries with it its own condemnation. For who ever saw a fragment from the List of the Victors interpolated into the midst of the chronological note? The chronological note is uniformly complete in itself, and is succeeded, not crossed and broken into, by the List of the Victors.

Again, a writer attempting to fix the exact date of a Play by a reference to the Olympiad reckoning would in all probability give, not merely the Olympiad itself, but also the year of the Olympiad; as in the Arguments of the Agamemnon and Hippolytus, cited above. The only instance to the contrary is to be found in the Argument of the Medea, and there the very phraseology ( $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta a$, not $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'O $\lambda \nu \mu$ $\left.\pi \iota a{ }^{\delta} \iota\right)$ shows that the writer is not seeking to be definite and exact.

In the present case, therefore, the $\beta \eta \iota$ or $\beta \eta \nu$ of the MSS. is rightly placed for signifying, and is required to signify, the year of the Olympiad in which the Play was exhibited : it is wrongly placed for signifying the prize awarded to the Play.

Such are the grounds on which it seems to me most probable that, at the Lenæan festival when Ameinias was Archon, the highest prize of all ${ }^{g}$ was awarded to the Wasps of Aristophanes.

A further question however remains, viz. to whom was that prize awarded? or in other words, in whose name was the Chorus obtained, and the Play exhibited? Did Aristophanes bring it out in his own name, or did he, as the Argument in its present condition alleges, bring it out in the name of Philonides?

Now we know that, at this theatrical contest, one place (either the first or the second) was awarded to a Comedy called the Rehearsal, חрoar'́v. And as the ancient grammarians, whilst frequently referring
: The Peace, which was exhibited the year after the Wasps, bears evident traces of having been hastily put together to meet a particular emergency: but Aristophanes would hardly have repeated in it an important part of the Parabasis of the Wasps, had not the Wasps itself been received with the highest favour by the Athenian people.
to a "Rehearsal" of Aristophanes, recognize no other Comedy bearing that name, it was long ago suggested by Jungermann, ${ }^{\text {h }}$ and the suggestion has met with universal acceptance, that the Rehearsal which competed with the Wasps was itself the work of the author of the Wasps. It appears therefore that, at this one festival, Aristophanes produced two separate Comedies, the Wasps and the Rehearsal, and was successful with each.

According to the MS. statement, highly improbable in itself, but in this respect followed by Dindorf, both these Comedies were exhibited in the name of Philonides: whilst according to Paulmier's correction, it was the Wasps only, and not the Rehearsal, which was so exhibited. But there are, I think, strong indications to show that Paulmier's correction, though right in assuming that only one Comedy would have been exhibited in the name of Philonides, yet does not itself go to the root of the matter; that in truth Aristophanes brought out the Wasps in his own name ; and that it was the Rehearsal, and not the Wasps, which he handed over to Philonides.

In the Parabasis Proper of the Wasps, Aristophanes gives us a sketch of his own dramatic career. At first, he says, he used to father his productions on his friends: like some familiar spirit, he loved to dive into the breasts, and pour his witticisms through the lips of others. After a while, he threw off his disguise, and came forward openly, in his own name, to obtain a Chorus for himself, Xopòv ait $\epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ка $\theta^{\prime}$ éavtón. ${ }^{i}$ So, for the first time, he descended into the arena as an avowed and recognized Teacher of Comedy, ó тov̂ रopov̂ $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa к \lambda о \varsigma, ~ \chi o \rho о \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa а \lambda о \varsigma, ~$ $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta o \delta \iota \delta \dot{c} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o s$, or as he expresses it in the Wasps, $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \eta \rho \xi \in \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma-$ $\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$. Thenceforward he set himself in earnest to the task of ridding the State of its plagues and its nuisances. He became an $\dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi_{\kappa}^{\prime} \alpha к о \varsigma$,
 Plays had already been so produced: two Labours of Heracles had already been undertaken. In the Kniants he had grappled with

[^1]
## PREFACE.

the savage Demagogue, loud of tongue and terrible of form: a monstrous apparition, formidable for his own strength and ferocity, and still more so by reason of the cloud of satellites who fluttered about his person, and bristled up the moment he was attacked. This warfare
 had assailed the Spectral Shapes, the Fevers and Agues of the Sophistical School. This second attempt had proved abortive; although the Comedy with which it was made was (he contends) the best and cleverest Comedy that had ever been put upon the stage. It is in respect of this failure that the Poet in the Parabasis of the Wasps is personally expostulating with the Athenian people. And I do not think that any one can thoroughly enter into the spirit of that expostulation, without feeling that the Play which contains it must of necessity have been exhibited by the poet himself, as his own work, in his own name: that he could not, in this Play, have been acting the Familiar Spirit, and pouring his expostulation through alien lips, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \circ u \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ è $\tau \in ́ \rho o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi o \iota \eta \tau a i ̂ s$. The whole tenor of the expostulation, the attitude which the Poet assumes towards his own previous Comedies, both those which had been fathered on Philonides and Callistratus, and those which he had produced in his own name, seems to me of necessity to imply that the Wasps, like the Knights and the Clouds, with which its Parabasis so closely links it, was exhibited on the Athenian stage as the avowed and recognized production of Aristophanes.

Moreover, we are told by the author of the third section in the
 out in the name of Philonides ${ }^{j}$ were those in which Euripides and Socrates were attacked, whilst the Plays in which the Poet treated of politics and public affairs were handed over to Callistratus. It is true that the author of the eleventh section in the same compilation ${ }^{k}$ tells

[^2]a very different story. But it is generally agreed, and all that is known on the subject tends to prove, that the former statement is correct. The Comedies of Aristophanes ${ }^{1}$ range themselves under two heads, viz. (1) criticisms on the Demagogues and public affairs; and these, when he did not bring them out in his own name, he seems to have handed over to Callistratus, and (2) criticisms on the Sophists and the sophistical poetry of Euripides; and these were the Comedies which fell to the lot of Philonides. We have thus another ground for believing that the Wasps could not have been exhibited in the name of Philonides. ${ }^{m}$

But was the Rehearsal a Comedy which the Poet would have been likely to entrust to Philonides? We have every reason to believe that it was. For the Scholiast on the Wasps "expressly informs us that in this Play Euripides was introduced on the stage as the object of the Poet's satire, and Englishmen, at all events, can have no difficulty in imagining how a Comedy on such a subject may have been made subservient to such a purpose.

And on the whole, therefore, I am strongly inclined to believe that Aristophanes brought out the Comedy of the Wasps in his own name: and (possibly because no one competitor was at that time allowed to
${ }^{1}$ No doubt the line of demarcation is not always very clearly defined. Of course political satire is not wholly excluded from the Comedies which deal mainly with Euripides and the Sophists: nor, on the other hand, does Aristophanes refrain from incidentally assailing these last-mentioned objects of bis ridicule even in his most thorough-going political dramas. But I do not understand how certain recent critics (Ranke, Vita Aristophanis, ed. Meineke, I. xxxix, following Roetscher, Aristoph. p. 70, and Bernhardy, Griech. Lit. ii. 2. 551) can deny, what seems to me a self-evident fact, that of the Aristophanic comedies some are specially designed and constructed for the one purpose, and some for the other. The Clouds, the Thesmophoriazusæ, and the Frogs naturally fall into one class: the Acharnians, the Kaights, the Wasps, the Peace, the Birds, and the Lysistrata as clearly belong to the other.
${ }^{m}$ Meineke therefore (Quæst. Scen. ii. p. 39) proposes to change the $\delta \stackrel{1}{a} \Phi i \lambda \omega v i \delta_{o u}$ of the Argument into $\delta \grave{a}$ Ka $\lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \rho$ árov. However, in his subsequent edition of Aristophanes he simply acquiesces, like most recent editors, in Dindorf's arrangement of the passage.


exhibit two comedies at one contest) handed over the Euripidean comedy of the Rehearsal to be exhibited in the name of Philonides. And the List of Victors should, I think, stand as follows:-

a reading which differs from that of the MSS. merely by the insertion of a single letter, $\beta^{\prime}$ ( $\delta \epsilon v i \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ ), and does not require (as Paulmier's correction requires) the insertion of the name of another competitor.

And in support of this reading I would add two other observations, which, however trivial they may appear in themselves, are perhaps not absolutely unimportant in considering what is the most probable emendation of an admittedly corrupt text. (1) In every Argument which tells us that the Comedy to which it is prefixed obtained the highest
 the victor's name. It would therefore be a departure from the ordinary style of these Arguments to read (as Paulmier reads) é $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \chi \theta \eta \delta \iota a ̀$



 would therefore be a departure from the ordinary style to read (as
 On the other hand the reading which I propose is in every point in minute conformity with the ordinary style of these theatrical Arguments. The only difficulty arises from the circumstance that the words $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ $\Phi_{l} \lambda \omega \nu i \delta o v$ are found in the preceding chronological note. But inasmuch as the MS. reading of the List of the Victors, каi єעiкка триिтоя
 ordinary form in no other way than by inserting $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ after $\Pi_{\rho o a \gamma \omega ิ \nu, ~}^{\text {, }}$ so as to make Philonides take the second place with the Rehearsal:

[^3]inasmuch as it is extremely improbable, if not impossible, that both Plays should have been brought out in his one name: and inasmuch as the Parabasis of the Wasps assumes throughout that this Play was exhibited in the name of Aristophanes himself, and indeed the Wasps did not, as the Rehearsal did, belong to the class of Comedies usually assigned to Philonides, we may, I think, safely conclude that the words $\delta_{\iota} \Phi_{\iota} \Phi_{\imath \omega \nu i \delta o v ~ c r e p t ~ i n t o ~ t h e ~ t e x t ~ a f t e r, ~ a n d ~ a s ~ a ~ n a t u r a l ~ c o n s e q u e n c e ~ o f, ~}^{\text {, }}$ the corruption of the List of the Victors.

In my opinion therefore Aristophanes gained the first place with the Wasps: and Philonides (his nominee) the second with the Rehearsal: and the whole passage should be read as follows :-



Of the Rehearsal of Aristophanes only ten insignificant fragments are known to exist: and they afford us no clue to the character or construction of the plot. Of Leucon's Ambassadors no remains have been discovered.

The Wasps was exhibited only ten months later than the Clouds. And when we consider the long preparations which must inevitably have been required before a Comedy could be put upon the stage, the time consumed first in obtaining and then in educating the Chorus, the numerous rehearsals, and all the various preliminaries essential to the success of the undertaking, we caunot doubt that the Wasps was not merely on the stocks, but far advanced towards completion, before the defeat of the Clouds occurred. And I think that we trace the effects of that defeat, not only in the indignant expostulations which the Poet addresses to his audience, but also in the singular and striking inequality which we find between different portions of this one Comedy of the Wasps. The victory of the Wine-flagon over the Clouds was something more than the victory of Cratinus over Aristophanes: it was the victory of the coarse buffoonery, the фóptos, of the older
drama over the higher, purer, and more intellectual humour with which the younger Poet was endeavouring to supplant it. The grand earlier scenes of the Wasps which follow the entrance of the Chorusscenes, shown by the great prodigality of metres, and still more clearly and unmistakeably by the prevalence of the long Aristophanic verses, to have been especial favourites of their author ;-the noble Poetry of the Strophe and Antistrophe, of the Epirrhema and Antepirrhema; and the orchestral contest with which the Play concludes, were written (is it fanciful to believe?) when Aristophanes was still in the full tide of unbroken success, buoyed up by the glory of his past career, sanguine of a still more splendid triumph with the Clouds, and believing himself to be the destined regenerator of the Athenian stage. The servile jokes, the jests cut upon the audience at the commencement of the Play, the tipsy pugnacity of Philocleon, were added when Aristophanes had been taught that if he would retain his position as the successful and popular poet of the day, he must not altogether discard the broad farce, the laughable personalities, the vulgar scurrility of his immediate predecessors. Nor is this mere conjecture. The tipsy scenes we can with something like certainty pronounce to be an extraneous element, foreign to the original scheme of the Play. It is impossible ${ }^{p}$ that either the little choral ode (1450-1473) felicitating Bdelycleon on the probable success of his experiment, or the subsequent harangue of Xanthias describing the effect of the long untasted wine upon his old master, should in their original conception have been preceded by a series of farcical scenes, showing that the experiment had already been tried and had already failed, and that the wine had already been tasted with the result to be expected rather than desired. Nor again is it easier to reconcile the introductory dialogue of the slaves with the behaviour and the statements of the Chorus when they first appear upon the stage. According to the narrative of Xanthias, the attempts to wean Philocleon from his dicastic occupations had extended over a

[^4]considerable period of time, and been attended with incidents which must have become notorious to his fellow-dicasts. On one occasion he is confined for the night in Agina, and crosses the straits in early morn to be present at the opening of the Courts; on another, he actually enters the Court in the guise and with the timbrel of a Corybant. ${ }^{q}$ But when the Chorus appear, they speak of a very different state of things. According to their statements, they call every morning to summon forth their friend, and he is invariably ready to accompany them to the Court. Nothing whatever has happened to interrupt the regularity of his attendance ; he had come yesterday ; they cannot imagine why he does not come to-day. When they find him detained a prisoner in his own house, they are in absolute ignorance of the name and motives of his detainer, and it is with the utmost surprise, not unmingled at first with incredulity, that they hear of Bdelycleon's designs. In each case therefore we have solid grounds for believing that the scenes which appeal to the lower tastes of the audience were added by an after-thought, and formed no part of the original scheme of the Play.

Yet notwithstanding the introduction of much that might better have been omitted, the Wasps is in my judgment inferior ${ }^{\text {t }}$ to few of the Aristophanic comedies in elaboration and artistic excellence : and had its subject been as generally interesting as those of the Clouds, the Frogs, and the Thesmophoriazusæ, it would probably have been reckoned amongst the poet's most successful performances. It abounds

[^5]with genuine hearty wit and graphic vigorous strokes of satire; " but the subject with which it deals evokes no wide-spread sympathy in the modern world, and the Play can therefore find no interest save such as itself creates. Socrates, Eschylus, Euripides,-these are names which stir the mind of a modern reader as they stirred the mind of an ancient spectator: but who cares to hear of dicasts and dicasteries? Law and Law-courts, no very fascinating subject even to a professional lawyer, are something more than dry and uninviting, they are positively repulsive, to the world at large.

And it is, no doubt, the universal opinion that the Wasps is a criticism on the Athenian dicasteries; an exposure of the unrighteousness of their proceedings; a bitter satire on the dicasts themselves. Mr. Grote is merely stating the popular view as well as his own, when he says " that "the poet's purpose was to make the dicasts appear monsters of caprice and injustice."

Now I venture to think that this is an entire and absolute misapprehension of the intent and purpose of the Wasps. I do not believe that the Play was in any sense aimed at, or that the mind of Aristophanes was in any measure alive to, the manifold defects of the dicastic system. And as to the old dicasts themselves, they are nothing more or less than the representatives of his own favourite Mapa $\theta_{\text {wro }}$ ód $\chi a \iota$, the relics of that heroic Past, which Aristophanes was never weary of contrasting with the degenerate Present. In the Epirrhema he describes, in the noblest and most glowing eulogy that ever flowed from the lips of a Comedian, who and what the dicasts were. I will not cite the passage here. I refer the reader to the original. Let him carefully peruse the Strophe, the Epirrhema, and the Antistrophe, and

[^6]say if the men whose deeds and characters are there depicted are men whom (to use Mr. Grote's expression) the poet is intending to hold up to reprobation as " monsters of caprice and injustice !"

Doubtless he does not exempt them from his strokes of wit and satire; for, once thoroughly in his comic vein, Aristophanes spares neither friend nor foe: not even Aschylus in the Frogs; not even Nicias and Demosthenes in the Knights.

In truth the young Poet, when he wrote the Wasps, was thinking of something altogether different from an attack upon dicasts and dicasteries. He was regarding the dicasts, not as a legal tribunal to be criticized, but as a political power to be conciliated. From the very commencement of his career he had believed, and proclaimed, himself to be the champion of Truth and Justice, going forth in their strength w to smite and overthrow the Twin Powers of evil which were, in his judyment, undermining the foundations of the greatness and glory of Athens. On the one hand he attacks the Sophistical School, as corrupting by its sceptical philosophy the very well-springs of the simple piety, the unreasoning instinctive virtue in which the Men of Marathon had been formed and nurtured ; ${ }^{x}$ on the other, he attacks the Demagogues, as maintaining their lofty position, not for the purposes of Panhellenic patriotism, nor yet by force of superior wisdom or integrity; but for their own selfish ends, and by flattering the vanity, consulting the tastes, and pandering to the prejudices of the Athenian populace.

And the Wasps is merely one phase ${ }^{y}$ in the combat which the Poet
x Cf. Clouds, 985;


y See Wasps, 1037. M. Fallex (Théâtre d'Aristophane, i. 241) observes that Philocleon is the Demus of the Knights in another dress. The observation is a just one. It is the same honest simple old Athenian who is represented in both Plays:
was waging against the Demagogues. It has for its object the rupture of the alliance which existed between the Demagogues on the one hand, and the dicasts, who constituted their main support and stay in the popular assemblies, on the other. And this object Aristophanes endeavours to compass, by showing that while the Demagogues affected to flatter and patronize the dicastic system, they in reality reserved to themselves all the substantial benefits and fruits of the alliance, and left the dicasts to pine and starve in a state of abject and degraded poverty.

In the earlier scenes, which constitute the real plot and framework of the Comedy, the contrast between the public pretensions and the domestic poverty, the res angusta domi, of the Athenian dicasts is everywhere painted in the most glaring colours. They are brought on the stage as feeble old men, groping their way through the mud in the dark with the aid of a common lanthorn, and ill able to afford the oil required even for that scanty illumination. Their talk is of pot-herbs: their reminiscences are of the humblest amusements of camp life : and they are struck with astonishment and consternation at the inconsiderate audacity of a child who dares to ask for anything so far beyond the means of a dicast as a homely treat of common figs.

> Is it not enough that I
> With this paltry pay must buy
> Fuel, bread, and sauce for three?
> Must I needs buy figs for thee!

Why if the Courts are not open, the whole family will have to go supperless to bed.

Passing over the animated skirmishes which are merely preliminary to the grand attack, we come to the main contest of the Play, and the whole matter is solemnly debated, pro and con, in those 入óroc סıкауıкоi which Aristophanes (though he derides their use by Euripides) is himself so fond of employing, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and which are certainly nowhere more and, in both, Aristophanes labours to open his eyes to the same fact, viz. that be is tricked and deceived by the demagogues in whom he confides.

- And which would doultless be keenly relished by an Athenian audience.
appropriate than in a $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta i ́ a \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$. And these set arguments are an infallible test of the real object and purpose of the Play.

What then is the matter debated in the Wasps? Does the discussion turn on the excellencies and defects of the dicastic system? No allusion whatever is made to the subject: there is not a word which can lead us to infer that Aristophanes had ever given it even a passing thought. The one matter referred to arbitration, the one matter debated, the one matter decided is this, Are the dicasts, as the Demagogues tell them, really lords of all, or are they in reality mere tools and slaves of the Demagogues themselves? The whole of Philocleon's harangue is an elaborate argument in support of the proposition that the dicastic office is an á $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma a^{\prime} \lambda \eta:^{a}$ whilst Bdelycleon, on the contrary, exerts himself to prove that it is nothing more or less than a $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ oouncía. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The very names of the debaters disclose the true object of the debate: the one is the admirer, the other the opponent, of the ruling demagogue of the hour.

I need not pursue the matter further : some additional observations upon it will be found amongst the notes: but the Play itself is before the reader, and he can form his own opinion upon the accuracy or inaccuracy of the theory here propounded.

It is therefore merely incidentally, and not for its own sake, that the Poet deals with the dicastic system ; and an intimate knowledge of its details is in no way essential to a right understanding of the Play. However, the reader should of course be acquainted with the general features and outline of the system, with the general character of the Athenian Heliæa.

The name Heliæa " signifies an assemblage, a concourse, a congrega-

- Wasps, 518, 548-9, 575, 577, 619, 678, \&c.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Wasps, 517-8, 602, 653, 681-2, \&c.
c The name is unquestionably derived from, or connected with, such words as $\dot{a} \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ or $\tilde{d} \lambda \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, to convoke, assemble. In Lysistrata, 93 , the Laconian says,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau i ́ s \delta^{\prime} \alpha \bar{\partial} \text { ETNANIAEE } \tau \delta \nu \delta \varepsilon \tau \partial \nu \sigma \tau \delta \lambda o \nu \\
& \text { тठे тầ } \gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \alpha \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu ;
\end{aligned}
$$

tion: and it was no doubt originally employed as an appellation of the general assembly of the People, by or before ${ }^{\text {d }}$ which, in the early heroic ages of Hellas, all matters of importance affecting the community were publicly discussed and decided. In divers of the Hellenic communities ${ }^{\text {e }}$ the name still lingered on, even in historic times, as descriptive of the People assembled for their ordinary political purposes. But at Athens,-at what precise period, and through what precise stages we cannot tell,-the name acquired a more restricted signification : and we there find it exclusively applied to the People assembled in their judicial capacity.

And of course the judicial affairs of an Imperial city, a great and enterprising mercantile community, could not long be transacted by a tribunal so absolutely indefinite and fluctuating as a general assembly of the People, or indeed by any single tribunal whatever. And accordingly the right of attending the Heliæa was no longer granted to every Athenian citizen: whilst on the other hand the Heliæa itself was for ordinary practical purposes divided into various committees or sections, each sitting as a separate assembly, but each retaining the name and wielding the authority of the entire Heliæa. Every registered citizeu might still attend, and vote in, the $\vec{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ or political assembly: but the number of citizens entitled to attend, and vote in, the Heliæa or

And cf. line 380 of the same Play. Another old word from the same source was $\grave{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda{ }_{a} j_{\xi} \epsilon \nu$, which is found in the rhetra bronght by Lycurgus from Delphi, and is
 derivations suggested for the name Heliæa are unworthy of serious consideration.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ On the real and effective part taken by the People in these proceedings, see some good remarks by Mr. Gladstone, Homer and the Homeric Age, iii. 126, "The Agora;" and Juventus Mundi, chap. xi.
e "The usual name of a public assembly in the Doric states was $\mathbf{r} \lambda i i_{\text {a }}$. This is the rame by which the Spartan assembly is called in Herodotus, vii. 134: and it is used also in official documents for those of Byzantium, Gela, Agrigentum, Corcyra, and Heraclea : àdacia was the term employed by the Tarentines and Epidamnians: the place of assembly amongst the Sicilian Dorians was styled àcakríp."—Müller"s Dorians, Book iii. chap. v. sec. 9. And see the learned notes to the same effect in Alberti's Hesychius s. $\nabla$. 'Adiaiav. On the origin of the Athenian Heliæa some useful remarks will he found in Grote's Gimere. Part ii. chaps. xi. and xxxi.
judicial assembly was limited to six thousand: ${ }^{\text {! }}$ and these were all required to be over thirty years of age, ${ }^{g}$ not indebted to the state, and in the full possession of their rights and privileges as Athenian citizens.

But it is of the utmost importance to remember that these six thousand citizens always considered themselves, and were by others considered, not as a mere professional Court, but as the Athenian People ${ }^{\text {b }}$ in Heliæa assembled. ${ }^{\circ} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ " Y e ~ m e n ~ o f ~ A t h e n s, " ~ " ~$ was the proud title with which they were addressed by the speakers who

 they would be of the general political assembly. "Ye voted this expedition," "ye passed that resolution," say the orators to the Heliæa: when they mean that the expedition was voted or the resolution passed by the Athenian people in a regularly constituted Ecclesia. Indeed it would, in many cases, be impossible from the mere form and style of

[^7]a speech to determine whether it is in the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a$ or in the $\dot{\eta} \lambda ı a i a$ that the Athenians to whom it is addressed are for the time assembled.

In a similar spirit Xenophon ${ }^{\text {j }}$ (assuming him to have been the author of the treatise de Republicâ Atheniensium) observes that if the Allies had not been compelled to transact their law-suits at Athens, they would have paid their court to those only of the Athenians who happened,--in the character of generals, trierarchs, or ambassadors,-to visit the provincial cities: whereas now, he says, being under the necessity of submitting their litigation to a tribunal which is none other than the
 their interest to flatter and make much of the entire Athenian People.

To an Aristophanic commentator, however, it is possibly a matter of greater importance to ascertain in what aspect the question presented itself to the individual mind of Aristophanes. And this may, I think, be sufficiently gathered from the functions ascribed by the Poet to the Demus in his carefully elaborated Comedy of the Knights. The Demus of the Knights is emphatically the Athenian People in their full political character: the people who fought at Salamis, who assemble in the Pnyx, who decide on all questions of Peace and of War. And yet it is He who sits in the dicasteries and receives his three obols a day. The surest road to bis affections is to lighten his dicastic duties, to allow him to rise for the day when he has got through, and given his decision on, a single cause. ' $\Omega \Delta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon$, cries Cleon,

[^8]And when the Rival Demagogues are attempting to outbid each other for the first place in their master's favour, Cleon promises to serve and cherish the Demus, and procure him, by fair means or foul, his daily dicastic pay of three obols, and brings forward an oracle which pictures the Demus of the Future seated in Arcadia, the central state of Peloponnesus, and there plying his Heliastic office and dispensing justice at a salary of five obols a day.

But his opponent has even a more attractive oracle than this; one which represents the Athenian Demus discharging his Heliastic duties, and surrounded with luxurious dainties, not in any petty Hellenic state, but in Ecbatana, the capital of the Medes.

In the view of Aristophanes therefore, as in fact, the Heliasts (or as they were otherwise called, the dicasts) are none other than the Athenian People assembled in their judicial character.

We may see from these facts how it was that, of all persons discharging public duties in Athens, the dicasts alone were ávuttev́dvvol, absolutely free from all responsibility. They were themselves the Sovereign People. To them all magistrates and officials were naturally liable to render an account: whilst there was no power on earth to whom they themselves could be made accountable.

I have dwelt the longer on this complete identification of the dicasts


Compare also what is said of the Demus in Knights, 60, with what is said of the dicasts in Wasps, 597.
${ }^{1}$ Kaights, 797-800.
m Knights, 1089. For another identification of the Demus and the dicasts, see Knights, 894-900.
with the People at large, because it is a point which has never been sufficiently observed by Aristophanic commentators, and one result has been a series of grave errors in the interpretation of the Wasps, involving in some instances actual alterations of the text.

The famous $\tau \rho \iota^{\prime} \beta o \lambda o \nu$, the source of such abundant witticisms in the Comic Poets (and which has already been more than once mentioned in the preceding pages), was the pay which each member of the Heliastic assembly received for each day of attendance on his dicastic duties. The system of paying the dicasts was introduced by Pericles : ${ }^{n}$ and doubtless from its very commencement ${ }^{\circ}$ the payment for a day's work had been fixed at the sum of three obols. The payment had naturally a special attraction for the poorer classes, and it ultimately came to be regarded as a mode of providing out of the finances of the state for the needy population of Athens.

These six thousand Heliasts ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ are believed to have been elected by
 Mr. Grote's interpretation of these words, "Pericles established for the first time the paid dicasteries," has been received with general disapprobation, and is clearly wrong. The meaning is that Pericles inaugurated the system of paying the dicasteries. The dicasteries themselves were already established. It is mainly to this system, I suppose, that Plato alludes in Gorgias, cap. 71. ả $\lambda \lambda$ à тóố $\mu$ о єinè, says Socrates




- Hermann (Preface to the Clouds of Aristophanes) has in my judgment completely refuted the notion of M. Boeckh (Public (Ec. ii. 15) and others that the pay was originally one obol, and was increased by Cleon. And see Grote's Greece, II. zlvi. Indeed, had the $\boldsymbol{\tau \rho \prime} \dot{\omega} \beta 0 \lambda o \nu$ been due to Cleon, he would certainly have been made to put forward, in the Knishts, this claim to the gratitude of the Demus.
- All the details of the Heliastic arrangements are in the highest degree obscure and doubtful. We have no trustworthy information on the subject. The statements most positively enunciated and most strongly held by modern writers are nothing more than the merest guesses, inferences drawn from the coufused and conflicting notices of scholiasts and grammarians who themselves knew nothing of the matter. No one who has not thoroughly investigated the subject can form any idea of the hopelessly shifting and shadowy nature of the foundations upon which we have to build. There is no sure footing anywhere : every proposition which is advanced on the authority of one grammarian may at once be contradicted on the authority of
lot ${ }^{q}$ from amongst the properly qualified candidates. But before they could sit and vote in the Heliastic assembly, they were required to take the Heliastic oath. This oath was publicly administered to the entire Heliastic body on a piece of rising ground, called Ardettus, ${ }^{x}$ outside the city walls, on the banks of the Ilissus, and looking down upon the Panathenaic stadium. The only provision which it is necessary to mention here is the declaration (to which reference is twice made in the Wasps ${ }^{8}$ ) that the Heliasts would give a fair and impartial hearing to both sides, to the Accuser and the Accused.
others. The first critic who in recent times has attempted to draw some order out of the chaos in which the matter was left by Meursius, Potter, Petit, and others, was A. Matthiæ in the dissertation, contained in his Miscellanea Philologica, de Judiciis Atheniensium. A more important contribution was the learned and excellent treatise of G. F. Schömann, De.Sortitione Judicum apud Athenienses, repablished in his Opuscula Academica, vol. i. p. 200, with the Appendix, de Dicasteriis, id. p. 220; Animadversiones de Judiciis Heliasticis, id. p. 230; and de Judiciorum suffragiis occultis, id. p. 260. A third was a treatise by F. V. Fritzsche (De Sortitione Judicum apud Athenienses commentatio, Lipsiæ, 1835), written with his usual learning, acuteness, and extravagance. Schömann's views have obtained very general currency amongst scholars, and they are accepted en bloc by Mr. Grote, who enunciates and expounds them in a very masterly manner. In the ensuing remarks on the Heliastic arrangements I am of course under great obligations to these eminent writers, although I am in many points unable to adopt the conclusions at which they arrive. Richter's voluminous Prolegomena to the Wasps contribute nothing of any value to the elucidation of the subject.
q The passages cited by Schömann and Fritzsche to prove this point may possibly refer to the subsequent division of the Six Thousand into sections. But the fact appears to be stated at the close of the Second Book of Aristotle's Politics in an important paragraph which both Schömann and Fritzsche overlook. "Some blame
 Whether the Six Thousand were all chosen from the qualified citizens generally, or whether, as the grammarians say, a certain number was taken from each tribe, must be considered doubtful.

 Schömann, Opusc. Academ. i. 202, note; Fritzsche, De Sortitione, p. 7.





And as the Heliastic oath was repeated every year, it is inferred that the Heliastic office lasted for one year only, ${ }^{t}$ and that at the end of the year a new general election took place. ${ }^{\text {u }}$

No doubt this seems hardly consistent with the working of the system
áкроáбабөat.-De Coronâ ad init. The oration of Demosthenes contra Timocr. 746, gives the Heliastic oath as follows :-














But we cannot safely assume that this is really the genuine oath: it occurs in a part of the speech which is on other grounds suspected to be spurions: it contains some very unlikely provisions: and it actually omits that one special clause so often mentioned (Demosthenes contra Lept. 492; contra Aristocr. 652; contra Bœotum de nomine, 1006 ; 出sch. in Ctes. cap. 3; Pollux, viii. segm. 122), that in all cases to which the law extended the Heliast would decide according to law : and that where the law was silent he would decide the right according to the best of his judgment. To account for this, Wolfe (at Demosth. contra Lept. ubi supra) and Fritzsche (p.7) suppose two oaths, one to be taken yearly and one daily; contrary to all probability.
' See Wasps, 400, and the note there. "In eo," says Schömann, p. 201, " plerique omnes nunc consentiunt, Heliastarum numerum fuisse 6000, eosque in singulos annos sorte ductos."
" "Nam jusjurandum annaum sine annuâ sortitione esse non potuit," says Schömann, p. 201. And the argument is accepted and repeated by Fritzsche (p. 5), who is not usually over ready to adopt the reasonings of Schömann. "Nam, ut recte Schoemannus," he says, "jusjurandum annuum sine anomî sortitione ne cogitari quidem potest." This is putting the case much too high. But undoubtedly the annual repetition of the Heliastic oath (which is established by the passage

 clearly to an annual reconstruction of the Heliastic bnd $\quad$.
ms pourtrayed in the Wasps. The old dicast fears that the Court may be closed for the day; but he nowhere apprehends that next year he may not be a dicast at all : he evidently considers (and the whole tone of the Play would lead us to suppose) that he can continue to hold the dicastic office, and draw his dicastic pay, as long as he may think proper. However, if the purpose of the Poet were what I believe, and have attempted to prove, it to have been, he may not have held himself bound to strict technical accuracy of detail. And, besides, the many exceptional circumstances in operation during the Peloponnesian Waron the one hand the presence in the city of a vast needy population herding in from the country round, and dependent in many cases on the dicastic pay for their daily subsistence: on the other, the call for all able-bodied men to serve in the fleets and armies of Athens-would necessarily produce great changes and irregularities in the ordinary working of all the machinery of the state. And it may well have been that during the stress of the war a man, once a dicast, might (if so minded) be always a dicast. At any rate there are many other allusions in the Wasps which, if they are to be taken as strictly and literally correct, show that the system at work during the Peloponnesian War was not exactly identical in all its details with that which we find delineated or implied by authors of a later date.

Immediately after the election of the Six Thousand Heliasts, they were distributed ${ }^{\square}$ and marshalled, by ballot, into ten sections or committees, distinguished respectively by the first ten letters " of the

- This double process of election and distribution seems to be mentioned by


 This sense of this passage, which is wrongly interpreted by Matthiæ, p. 253, and Schömann, p. 215 note, is correctly apprehended by Fritzsche, p. 6.



 Scholiasts on that passage are of very different degrees of value; and none is to be
alphabet, one being. Alpha, another Beta, a third Gamma, and so on down to and including Kappa. Each of these sectional assemblies sat in a separate Hall or Court-house; over whose portals, ${ }^{x}$ on the days when the Assembly sat, the sectional letter (painted a bright red colour) was always prominently displayed. The Halls were further distinguished, the one from the other, by some fanciful colouring, ${ }^{\text {y }}$ green, purple, and the like.

Every dicast before entering on his dicastic duties received, say the grammarians, as his badge or symbol of office, a metallic plate ${ }^{2}$ ( $\delta \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \tau o \nu$ ) inscribed with his name and with the letter denoting the sectional assembly to which he belonged. And it seems that if he died during his tenure of office, it was not unusual to deposit this badge with his body in the grave. For such a badge was found by Mr. Dodwell a
absolutely trusted: but they contain much interesting information on our present subject.
 Schol. on id. 278. I take the $\sigma \phi \eta \kappa i \sigma \kappa o s$ to be a peg or spike projecting over the doorway. The word has however been variously interpreted. " $\sigma \phi \eta \kappa i \sigma \kappa о$ j januæ videtur supercilium esse vel prominens hyperthyrum."-Boeckh. Corpos Inscr. Græc. i. 207. "Possibly the lintel, or if the entrance was an arch, the keystone; or the pediment or tympannm."-Dodwell, Tour through Greece, i. 435. "Tignum longum super foribus judiciorum positum in longitudinem atque infixum."Fritzsche, p. 54. Schömann would read $\sigma \phi \eta \nu_{i} \sigma \kappa \varphi$. Some writers treat these letters as permanently painted over the Hall: but on the whole the balance of authority is in favour of their being moveable, and merely suspended over the entrance when the dicastery was sitting. Probably when Athens was at the height of her power, with her empire intact, and all her dependencies compelled to litigate in her dicasteries, the time of all the Courts was fully employed; but afterwards, at all events, it frequently bappened that there was not enough business to occupy them all. When this occurred, the sectional letters were thrown into an urn, and those drawn were taken and hung up $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{\imath} \uparrow \tilde{\varphi} \sigma \phi \eta \kappa i \sigma \kappa \varrho \tau \eta \bar{\eta} \epsilon i \sigma$ ódov of the several Courts to which they belonged. This seems to be the meaning of the Scholiast on Plutus,



y Pausanias, i. 28 ; Lex. Rhet. Bekkeri, 220, s. v. Baктпрia.

 on Plutus, 277.

- Dodwell's Tour through Greece, vol. i. p. 433 seqq. Mr. Dodwell's belief that
in a tomb which he opened in the Necropolis at Piræus. He describes it as a bronze lamina or plate containing, in addition to the name and deme of the deceased dicast, and his sectional letter, three impressions or seal-marks, which no doubt, as Mr. Dodwell observes, represent the public seals of Athens. "The first," he says, "is the owl in full face ; the next, two owls in profile: the third a Gorgon's head with the tongue protruded. The two former are common on Athenian coins : the third is seen on a rare brass coin of Athens, the reverse of which is an armed figure of Minerva, and the inscription A@E." There are some perforations in the plate, which were probably made for the purpose of attaching it either (as Mr. Dodwell supposes) to the official Baктךрia or some conspicuous part of the dicast's dress: or (as M. Boeckh suggests ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) to his house or his tomb.

The following is a fac-simile of the badge :-


This appears to have been the badge of Diodorus, a burgher of the deme Phrearri, and a member of the Fourth Heliastic Section. A similar badge was shortly afterwards found by another explorer.

these were dicastic badges is almost universally adopted, and (as K. O. Müller says, Gottingen Journal, anno 182 1, p. 1175) is undoubtedly correct. There is no foundation for the doubt suggested by some critics: Dobree at Plutus, 277; Fritzsche, p. 73.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Corpus Inscriptionum Græcarum, vol. i. p. 207.

In the first badge the sectional letter is plainly a Delta : in the second Mr. Dodwell takes it to be an Epsilon, and M. Boeckh ${ }^{\text {c a }}$ a Gamma.

These sectional letters are more than once mentioned in other Plays of Aristophanes. In the Ecclesiazusæ, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Praxagora is dilating on the blessings and benefits which will accrue to the state from the "glorious Revolution" which she is effecting. The good times are come at last: the dicasteries will of course be abolished, as being no longer required : and if she still retains the dicastic ballot, it is for the purpose of distributing and sorting off the citizens, not to the various Courts of Law, but to dining Halls arranged on a similar system.












$\pi a ̂ s ~ \tau \iota s ~ a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta a ̣ ̂ \alpha a \lambda a \beta \hat{\omega} \nu .{ }^{e}$
In the Plutus ${ }^{f}$ a decrepit old Athenian is told by a sancy serving-man that he has drawn his dicastic letter for the grave, and that it is Cbaron who will have to give him his oú $\beta \beta \frac{\lambda}{} \lambda_{0 \nu}$ or ticket of attendance.



[^9]Another passage in the same playg seems to intimate that dicasts sometimes got into a sectional assembly to which they did not belong, and so received a payment to which they were not entitled. Chremylus (having dismissed a complaining sycophant whose occupation ceased when Plutus recovered his sight) says to an old beldame who follows with similar complaints,

While from a third passage ${ }^{b}$ it would seem to have been a common practice for a dicast to get his name entered as a member of several of the sectional assemblies, so that if one did not sit, he might still find a place, and receive his dicastic fee, in another. Hermes, hungry and destitute, is endeavouring to obtain a situation, and he runs through the list of his various appellations ( $\Sigma \tau \rho o \phi a i ̂ o \nu, ~ ' E \mu \pi o \lambda a i ̂ o \nu, \Delta o ́ \lambda t o \nu$, 'Hyєuóvov, \&c.), in the hope that his services may be required in some one or other of these capacities. Several fail, but at last he is engaged, in his character of 'Evar由́vios, to preside over musical and athletic contests; and Cario remarks,
oủk є́тòs ắmavtes of ס̊ıкá̧ovtes $\theta a \mu a ̀$
$\sigma \pi \epsilon u ́ \delta o v \sigma \iota \nu$ єُv $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \theta a t ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$.

A large portion of Schömann's elaborate and valuable treatise is occupied with an attempt to make out, contrary to all authority and probability, that there were two series of denoting letters (A to K) employed in these arrangements; one for the ten sectional assemblies, and one for the ten Court-houses or Halls; and that every morning a ballot was taken for the purpose of determining in which Court-house each section should meet for the day: so that Section A might have to


n Plutus, 1164-7.

## PREFA.CE.

assemble in Hall B one day, in Hall $K$ the next, and so on. It is difficult to see what object would be attained by such a proceeding as this: whilst the time and labour required for notifying the result of this matutinal ballot to the six thousand members of the Heliastic assembly would have been simply enormous: and the inconvenience in the case of an adjourned trial would have formed an insuperable objection. The plan would have been absolutely unworkable. But this theory, as I have already observed, is as devoid of authority as it is of probability. It seems to me clear that the division of the Heliastic assembly into sections was itself nothing more or less than their allotment to the several Court-houses. It was the allotment to the Court-house which created the section ; and the term $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \rho \nu^{i}$ was applied indifferently to the Court-house and the section. The letter on the dicastic badge, the sectional letter, was employed for the single purpose of denoting the Court-house to which the dicast belonged. Each Heliast on his first election was assigned to some particular Court-house, and such assignment held good during his term of office.

It would appear too that it was immediately after his assignment to the Court-house that he was presented with a staff of office, coloured with the colouring of that particular Court-house, ${ }^{1}$ and having the
i Like our word "Court," it signified as well the Judges as the Building in which they exercised their functions.



 тò $\chi \rho \omega \hat{\mu a}$.--Schol. on Wasps, 1110. Aristotle (apud Schol. on Plut. 228) says that

 It would appear therefore that Demosthenes cannot mean that the Baкт $\quad$ pia was (as some writers of no great authority tell us) given along with the $\sigma \dot{\nu} \mu, \beta\rangle \lambda o \nu$ (ticket of attendance) to the dicast on his entering the Court, when he says in the oration de Coronâ, p. 298 (sec. 210), "Ye should not wear the same mind at public trials as in private causes: when you enter the dicastery to decide affairs of State, you should take up, together with your official staff and ticket, high thoughts, and ideas worthy


sectional letter engraven on a knob ( $\beta$ ádavos) at the top. There was no vestige of any dicastic staff in the tombs wherein the dicastic badges were found : a circumstance which Mr. Dodwell attributes to the more perishable material of which the staff was made: but it may be observed that there was nothing to identify or connect the staff with its owner for the time being : and therefore even if it were not on his death (as is most probable) returnable to the public treasury, it would hardly have been interred with him.

It seems tolerably certain that an ordinary sectional assembly consisted of 500 members : k and therefore if all the ten sections were numerically equal, they would absorb only 5000 men ; and there would still be a thousand Heliasts for whom no occupation has been provided. And Matthiæ ${ }^{1}$ supposed that these were reserved as supernumeraries, to fill up any vacancies that might occur during the year: a suggestion which rests on no authority; does not commend itself by any intrinsic probability of its own; and is strikingly at variance with Bdelycleon's calculation in Wasps, 661-3; ${ }^{\mathrm{nI}}$ for that calculation assumes it to be at least possible that all the six thousand Heliasts should be engaged in their dicastic duties, and drawing their dicastic pay, at one and the same time. If therefore there were, in truth, a thousand supernumeraries, I should rather suppose that they were drafted off to perform the various exceptional duties (over and above the work of the ten regular dicasteries) for which the services of the dicasts were from time to time required. Some of these are mentioned in the note on Wasps, 1108, and there were many others which it is unnecessary to enumerate here. But I am not sure that we are at liberty to assume, as a positive fact, that all the ten

[^10]dicasteries were numerically equal. We are told ${ }^{n}$ that (whilst the general name of Heliæa was applicable to all the ten sections) one section retained, both for itself and its Court-house, the special name of the Heliæa proper as a distinctive appellation : there is some ground for believing that this section ${ }^{\circ}$ was superior to the others in dignity, importance, and size : and it is not impossible that it may have consisted, as one grammarian tells us it did consist, of 1500 members. However, this is a point on which it is impossible to arrive at any satisfactory conclusion.

The ten Heliastic sections did not invariably sit as ten separate assemblies: two or three sections would sometimes assemble and vote together : and on very rare and special occasions the entire Heliastic assembly, the Six Thousand, were summoned to form one $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho o \nu$. But of course whatever the number entitled to attend any Heliastic assembly, the number actually present at any one time must have been liable to great variations. And there would have been as little likelihood that the whole nominal number would be present and vote on one and the same trial, as that all the members of our English House of Commons would rote in person in one and the same division. I am therefore at a loss to understand what intrinsic improbability there is in the proposition that the Heliastic assembly which condemned Socrates to death consisted of 556 or 557 dicasts. ${ }^{p}$ M. Boeckh indeed con-
${ }^{n}$ Pollux, viii. segm. 121.


 here, as in Demosth. c. Timocr. 702. 26; Pollux, viii. 48, \&c., seems to refer to the presiding magistrate, who would no doubt sit within the $\delta \rho \dot{\prime} \phi a \kappa т o t)$. Others give 1000 as the number of the Heliæa: Photius, Suidas, Etymol. Magn., $\Delta ı \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ óvó $\mu$. Bekkeri, 189. 20. Harpocration gives both numbers. See Fritzsche, p. 66. But when the grammarians are speaking of the Heliæa, we can seldom be sure whether they are referring to the entire Heliastic assembly (the Six Thousand); or to any ordinary section or sections of it; or to that particular section which went by the distinctive name of Heliæa. Sometimes it is plain they did not themselves know.
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ The proposition is deduced from two statements: (1) the statement in Plato's Apology, 25, that 3 (alii 30) votes would have turned the scale; and (2) the state-
siders that the numbers are inconsistent with any possible tribunal : ${ }^{q}$ but it seems to me that they might form a very fair average attendance in an Assembly nominally composed of 1000,1500 , or even a larger number of persons.

It was of course only the dicasts in attendance who received their pay. Each dicast, as he entered the Court-house, was presented with a $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \beta o \lambda_{0 \nu}{ }^{x}$ or ticket of attendance. This ticket, on the rising of the Court, he handed to the Treasurer ( $\kappa \omega \lambda a \kappa \rho \varepsilon ́ \tau \eta \zeta$ ), who thereupon paid. him three obols for that day's work.

The part of the Court-house reserved for the dicastic assembly was separated from the rest of the Hall by a low bar or rail ( $\delta \rho \dot{\prime} \phi$ актоt), through which the dicasts were admitted by a little wicket ( $\kappa \iota \gamma \kappa \lambda i s$, the original of cancelli, chancel, chancery). Each sectional assembly had for President one of the nine Archons, or their official Secretary : but his duties during the trial were purely ministerial : he had to see that the Court opened at the proper time and with the proper formalities: to exclude all dicasts who came too late; and to regulate all the formal proceedings of the trial. But he had himself no vote: not even, it appears, a casting vote when the Assembly was equally divided in opinion.

The President had however the entire conduct of the proceedings during the earlier stages of the suit, and until the matter was ripe for the hearing: to him was entrusted the custody of the pleadings and documentary evidence; he decided on what days the $\delta \kappa \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota o v$ should sit; and it was his duty to introduce the action, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to bring the cause before the dicastic Assembly.

It is not necessary for our present purpose to go minutely into the details of an Athenian action at law. It was commenced by a ment supposed to be found in Diogenes Laertius (Socrates, 41) that 281 persons voted for his condemnation. See Matthix, p. 252. But the numbers are very uncertain.
q Süvern's Essay on the Clouds, ad fin.

- Scholiast on Plutus, 277, 278.


summons ( $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ ) served on the Defendant by or in the presence of a sompnour ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ ). The Defendant then put in an appearance to the action : both the Plaintiff and Defendant made oath as to the truth of their respective cases (these preliminary affidavits were called $\dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \mu o \sigma i a l$ ) ; the parties were thus at issue: and evidence was produced by each of them in support of his contention.

The pleadings and documentary evidence (ai yoaфai), when complete, were put into an official vessel ( $\bar{\chi} \chi \hat{i} \nu o s)$ and sealed with the official seal, to be opened in Court on the day of trial. The cause was then set down in the cause-lists (ai $\sigma a \nu i \delta \epsilon s$ ), and came on for hearing in its turn.

The assembled dicasts having heard the evidence (documentary and oral) and speeches on both sides, were called upon to deliver their verdict. In criminal cases the issue was of course Guilty or Not Guilty : and this issue was decided by the votes of the majority. The votes might be taken in several different ways: but the mode adopted in the Wasps ${ }^{t}$ is as follows. Two urns were placed on a table. The dicasts who were for finding the prisoner Guilty cast their votes into the Nearer Urn : those who were for acquitting him cast theirs into the Further Urn. When all had voted, the urns were emptied, the numbers counted, and the result declared.

In the Wasps (as in the Eumenides of Fschylus) the verdict was Not Guilty. The proceedings therefore terminated with the verdict, and the prisoner was set free.

But if the verdict had been the other way, if the prisoner had been found Guilty, the Court would have had a further duty to perform : it would have had to pass sentence on the convicted offender.

In some cases the law itself had annexed a particular punishment to the particular offence: and the Court had merely to pronounce the sentence which the law had predetermined. These were called סiкa, $\dot{a} \boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{\prime} \mu \eta \tau 0$, , and in such cases the office of the Court was merely declaratory and ministerial: The law doth give it, and the Court awards it.

[^11]In other cases it devolved upon the Court itself to determine the amount of the penalty: and in these cases the prisoner was allowed to suggest a milder punishment than that demanded by the prosecution. All know the notable example of Socrates, who, when found guilty, was pressed by his friends to name some heavy fine, the exaction of which might have satisfied the anger of his adversaries; but who, in his proud consciousness of rectitude, not declining death, named as the punishment he ought to receive, the highest honours which the State could confer. The penalty demanded by the prosecution was named before the trial commenced: the prisoner's alternative was of course proposed only after he had been found guilty.

A second division was in these cases required for the purpose of determining whether the prisoner should be visited with the heavier, or with the lighter, penalty. But this was taken in a different way. The dicasts had тıда́кıа тьцךтькà (damage-cessing tablets), over the waxen surface of which they drew lines to mark their decision. A long line signified the heavier, a short the lighter, penalty. The $\delta v \sigma \kappa о \lambda_{i} a$ of the old dicast in the Wasps is displayed by his scratching the long line in every case.

So sour he is, the long condemning line
He marks for all: then homeward like a bee,
Laden with wax beneath his finger-nails.

I do not propose to discuss the general merits or demerits of the dicastic system. It may or may not have been found to operate advantageously for the political education of Athenian citizens, or otherwise for the benefit of the State: but I must record my opinion, as an English lawyer, that it would be difficult to devise a judicial system less adapted for the due administration of justice. A large Assembly can rarely, if ever, form a fit tribunal for ascertaining questions of fact, or deciding. questions of law. Its members lose, to a great extent, their sense of individual responsibility, and it is apt to degenerate into a mere mob, open to all the influences, and liable to be swayed by all the passions,
which stir and agitate popular meetings. A speaker addressing so numerous a body must of necessity employ great emphasis of tone and gesture: and even a trained audience would under the circumstances find it difficult to retain the coolness and composure of mind which are essential to the investigation of truth. But the members of the Heliastic assemblies had received no previous training whatever. They were not even selected with reference to their intellectual capacity or aptitude for the task. Taken at haphazard from the general community, and necessarily, as a rule, from the needy and less educated classes, they were at once elevated into supreme irresponsible judges, empowered in the name and with the authority of the Athenian People to decide finally and without appeal every question, whether of law or of fact, which might be brought before them. The only assistance they received, if assistance it is to be called, was from the impassioned eloquence of Athenian orators, men of great powers and practised ingenuity, who did not scruple to appeal in the most energetic terms to prejudices and passions which, whether honourable or dishonourable in themselves, have no place in the due administration of justice, and which, as calculated to warp and bias the judicial mind, are (in theory at least) carefully excluded from modern advocacy. And, of all people, an Athenian assembly, sensitive, excitable, easily moved, quick to appreciate the graces of oratory," was least calculated to resist such appeals. What wonder then if the members of an Heliastic assembly were so constantly carried away by their feelings, that such a term as $\theta_{o \rho u \beta \varepsilon i \nu, v}{ }^{v}$ tumultuari,

[^12]became almost a technical expression to denote their stormy uproarious agitations?

It is surprising that so practical and well-informed a writer as Mr . Grote" should have fallen into the common mistake of confounding two things so essentially distinct, both in principle and practice, as the dicastic system at Athens, and the English system of trial by jury. The two systems have hardly any point in common. It would have been a complete subversion of the Athenian theory had the dicasts ceased to be a popular assembly, had their number been reduced to twelve, had they throughout the proceedings been supported by the presence, and guided by the advice, of some experienced and impartial dignitary of the law. Yet even so, their functions would have been altogether different from the functions of an English Jury. The distinctive feature of the English jury-system is the absolute separation between the ascertainers of fact and the judges of law: the distinctive feature of the Athenian dicastic system is the absolute identification of the two. English jurymen are not judges. Their province, and the province of the Judge, are carefully defined and distinguished. They have no voice in the conduct of the trial. The Judge alone can decide what evidence is admissible, what line of examination it is proper to pursue, what questions may and may not be put to a witness. The Jury
 not even venture to come forward and make my own defence before them." "If a prosecutor tells you," says the same orator (id. p. 39), "that the Accused is condemned by his fellow-burghers, immediately ye raise your clamours, $\epsilon \dot{\text { indis }}$ ӨOPYbeITE $\dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu i s}$, as though the prisoner did not possess the common privileges of a
 eopybor, complains Lysias (adv. Eratosthenem, p. 127). But elsewhere he

 speeches the word occurs again and again within the compass of a few pages. See also Diog. Laert. Socrates, cap. 21; Plato, Republic, Book vi.p. 492 в, c ; Apol. cap.1.
" "The theory of the Athenian dicastery, and the theory of jury-trial as it has prevailed in England since the Revolution of 1688, are one and the same."-Grote's Greece, II. xlvi. The language is not strictly accurate; for the theory of jury-trial in England was in no way affected by the Revolution of 1688.
cannot interfere. It is for the Judge alone to determine what are the questions of fact to be submitted to the jury, and to state what are the real points of evidence (divested of all the irrelevant matter wherewith the ingenuity of the advocate may have obscured them) which, and which alone, are to be weighed and considered by the Jury. If there is no disputed question of fact, if the evidence is all one way, the Judge may direct the Jury what verdict they must return, and they are bound to obey. They are bound to take the law from the Judge. They may have to find what are the facts of the case, but to draw the legal inference from those facts ${ }^{x}$ is beyond their power, and is the exclusive province of the Judge. The Jury can decide no question of law. And even as regards those matters of fact which fall within their peculiar province, their verdict in civil cases is still liable to revision: for if after every precaution they come to a conclusion which the . Judge considers unquestionably wrong, the verdict may be set aside, and a new trial ordered: or the damages awarded by the Jury may be reduced to a more reasonable amount.
"Sufficient attention," observes Mr. Forsyth," " has not been paid to what is the distinctive characteristic of the system : viz. that the Jury consists of a body of men taken from the community at large, ${ }^{z}$ and summoned to find the truth of disputed facts, who are quite distinct from the Judges or Court. Their office is to decide upon the effect of evidence, and thus inform the Court truly upon the question at issue, in order that the latter may be enabled to pronounce a right judgment. But they are not the Court itself, nor do they form part of

[^13]it, and they have nothing to do with the sentence which follows the delivery of the verdict."
"The distinction between the province of the Judge and that of the jury is in the English law clearly defined, and observed with jealous accuracy.-The law throws upon the jury the whole responsibility of ascertaining facts in dispute, and the Judge does not attempt to interfere with the exercise of their unfettered discretion in this respect. But on the other hand the Judge has his peculiar duty in the conduct of a trial. He must determine whether the kind of evidence offered is such as ought or ought not to be submitted to the jury, and what liabilities it imposes. When any questions of law arise, he alone determines them, and their consideration is absolutely withdrawn from the jury, who must in such cases follow the direction of the judge: or if they perversely refuse to do so, their verdict (in civil cases) will be set aside, and a new trial granted.' ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Such, and so many, are the limitations and restrictions under which an English jury must exercise their functions. But these limitations and restrictions were not only unknown to, they would have been quite inconsistent with the theory of, the Athenian dicastic assemblies, which were nothing less than the Sovereign People, unassisted and without appeal, deciding all questions both of law and of fact. They were themselves emphatically the Court, exercising from day to day the highest and most absolute judicial functions. They were Judge and Jury in one: a Judge and a Jury represented by a stormy tumultuous crowd of several hundred (sometimes of several thousand) untrained citizens.

And these formidable dicasts, Six Thousand in number, were, at the time when the Wasps was written, the staunchest supporters of the Athenian Demagogues. The Demagogues ${ }^{\text {b }}$ professed themselves to be the friends of the dicasteries, jealous in maintaining their privileges, active in shielding them from all assaults of their enemies. And the

[^14]dicasts repaid these professions ${ }^{c}$ by according an unwavering and ungrudging support to patrons at once so powerful and so well disposed. And thus (to take the Aristophanic view of the subject), when Cleon is assailed in the Knights, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ he at once calls on the dicasts, as his habitual supporters, to come to the rescue,
while the dicasts, in their turn, when assailed in the Wasps, ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ at once send a pressing message to Cleon, entreating him to come to their immediate assistance.

The support of the dicasts, even considered in their dicastic capacity alone, would be of inestimable service to any political leaders: for in ancient communities, ${ }^{5}$ when party spirit ran high, and political prosecutions were common, the dicasteries became the ultimate power in the state.
c $\quad \sigma \dot{v} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho, ~ \measuredangle \pi \alpha ́ \tau \in \rho$, aù $\sigma$ ùs

${ }^{d}$ Knights, 255. In fact there is reason to believe that on receiving the blow which Aristophanes dealt him in the Knights, Cleon did in very truth call upon his dicastic supporters to avenge him of his adversary. See the note on Wasps, 1285. This appeal in the Knights shows that Aristophanes was keenly alive to the fact that the Demagogues mainly relied on the support of the dicasts : and perhaps he was even then meditating the great effort, which he afterwards made in the Wasps, to withdraw the dicasts from their misplaced allegiance.
 488 , and the notes there.
s' Wasps, 409. So, earlier in the Play, Philocleon's cry for help had been

B See the important remarks of Appian (De Bellis Civilibus, i. 22) on the result of the policy of Caius Gracchus in vesting judicial power in the Roman Equites.

 last chapter.

But this was not the only, nor indeed the chief, advantage which the Demagugues derived from their alliance with the dicasts. It is easy to perceive that several thousand citizens, animated by one spirit, would exercise a predominant influence in the general political assemblies of the People. A cause which they heartily espoused could hardly fail of success. A statesman, supported by their votes, would have little to fear from the attacks of his opponents.

This alliance then formed one main source and element of the power of the Demagogues. And in endeavouring to break it up, and to detach the dicasts from their allegiance to the popular leaders, Aristophanes would in fact be attacking Cleon's position in its most vital point. And such, as I have already attempted to show, was the real aim and purpose of the Wasps.

In addition to the more formal and technical process of an action before a recognized Court of Law, the practice of referring a dispute to the decision of Arbitrators ( $\delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau a i)$ was as well known in Athens as it is in England. And it often escapes observation that we have in the Wasps a complete specimen of an Arbitration as well as of an Action at law. The dispute between Philocleon and his son is in set terms referred to the arbitrement of the Chorus: the matter is solemnly debated before them as $\delta$ dait $\eta \tau a i$ : and at the close of the argument, they formally deliver their Award.

And even as regards the Action at law, sufficient attention has hardly, I think, been given to the fact that Philocleon is made to try, in parody, the very case to which his comrades were calling him at the commencement of the Play. The trial between the Two Dogs is the impeachment of Laches by Cleon: and not only does the fictitious name Labes sufficiently represent the Accused; but the generic K $v$ v $\omega$, retained for the Accuser, is equally suggestive of the name of $K \lambda \epsilon \in \nu$, But these are matters more proper for the notes.

> 3, Old Square, Lincoln's Inn, September, 1875.

## $\Upsilon \Pi O \theta E \Sigma I \Sigma{ }^{\text {. }}$




















- These two Arguments are found in three manuscripts, the Ravenna, the Venetian, and the second Parisian : in the Appendix respectively styled R., V., and II. Neither Argument conveys at all an adequate idea of the plot or purpose of the Play.

[^15]${ }^{d}$ cival, R. omits this word and $\sigma_{\chi}$ E©od, five words later.
${ }^{\circ}$ тoû $\pi \dot{́} \dot{\theta}^{\circ}$ ous. R. V. Bekker, recentiores.
 Edd. veteres.
 seven following words.
${ }^{8}$ поı ${ }^{2}$ тov̀. П. Brunck, recentiores. тıuà


## ( xliii )













${ }^{h}$ In every Comedy which Aristophanes wrote at this period, he has some
 Thus in the Clouds (208) Strepsiades refuses to believe that the town which is pointed out on the plan can really
 mévous. So in the Peace (505) Trygæus complains to the Athenians that they are not working in earnest to re-
 סıка́乡єтє. So in the Birds (39) Euelpides observes that the cicalas do but chirp upon the twigs for a month or two in the
year, but the Athenians $\underset{\epsilon}{\pi} \grave{\iota} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$
 chines adv. Ctesiphon, 371) collects many passages on the same topic from other authors. Thus Lucian (Icaromenipp.), running through various national cha-


 Rep. Ath. iii. 2) observes of his countrymen, that they are wont díkas éкס̊ıко́-
 Sovar. The noble lines of Virgil (※n. vi. 847-50),

Excudentralii spirantiu mollius æra, Credo equidem; vivos ducent de marmore vultus; Orabunt causas melius; ccelique meatus Describent radio et surgentia sidera dicent,
are thus explained by Servius: "Per æs, Corinthios indicat : per marmor, Parios: per actionem causarum, Athenienses: per astronomiam, Agyptios et Chaldæos."
${ }^{\text {i }}$ סià toṽтo. MSS. Brunck, recentiores. סıà tò тoıoûto. Edd. veteres.
${ }^{k}$ On this last paragraph of the Argu-
ment see the remarks in the Preface.
 read $\delta i a ̀ ~ \Phi i \lambda \omega \nu i \delta o v:$ for $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{l} \pi \theta^{\prime}$ 'O$\lambda \nu \mu$ -
 $\pi \iota a ́ \delta \iota \beta \eta \iota$ (or $\beta \bar{\eta} \nu$ ): they give the archon's name as 'A $\mu v$ viov, $^{2}$ and they omit the word $\delta$ ev́tepos.

## ( xliv )

## APIミTOФANOTミ ГРАMMATIKO؟.








 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ eै $\nu \delta o \nu \delta \iota a \delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \kappa a \grave{\beta} \beta \iota o \tilde{\nu} \nu$,

 verse.


## CORRIGENDA:

Page 15, line 78, note. The conclusion of the first sentence of this note should be " that Xanthias is here mischievously putting words into the mouth, not of any spectator, but of his fellow-slave."
" 40, line 260, note. The words "the poached filth that floods the middle street" should have been marked as a quotation.
, 48. In line 308 the old reading ' $E \lambda \lambda a ̀ s$ is inadvertently left unaltered. It should be written "E $\lambda \lambda a s$ both there and in the note.


133, line 878, note. For " at first sight to be " read " to be in some sense."
147, line 987, note. For "a solid one for condemnation and a perforated one for acquittal" read. "a perforated one for condemnation and a solid one for acquittal."
" 157, line 1038, note. I ought here to have referred to the passage cited by

 proverb seems to give the tone to lines 1038, 1039 of the Wasps.

" 235, line 1535, translation. For "Come dancing " read "Come, dancing."

## シ $\Phi$ II K E $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.

## TA TOT $\triangle P A M A T O \Sigma ~ П Р O \Sigma \Omega \Pi A$.

\author{
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\Sigma \Omega \Sigma I A \Sigma \\ \text { 玉ANӨIA }\end{array}\right\}$ оікќтau. <br> $B \triangle E A Y K \triangle E \Omega N$. <br> $\Phi I \Lambda O K \Lambda E \Omega N$. <br> XOPOE ГEPONT $2 N$ S $\Phi H K \Omega N$. <br> ПАІІ. <br> $\mathrm{KY} \Omega \mathrm{N}$. <br> इхМПотНะ. <br> АРТОПЛАI®. <br> KАТНГOPOZ.

}

The Ravenna and Venetian MSS. give the Dramatis Personæ as follows:-Oiké $\boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$. $\beta$.

 character is absolutely required. See the note on line 1332.

## $\Sigma \Phi$ HKE $\Sigma$.

## 








The play opens with a dialogue between two drowsy slaves, who have been keeping guard the whole night long before an Athenian house. It is still dark, but the day is at hand. At line 216 it is ${ }_{o}{ }^{\prime} \rho \theta \rho o s$ Fatis, the dim twilight which precedes the dawn; at line 24.5 the dicasts are exhorted to hurry on $\pi \rho i \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a t$, ere morning break; by line 366 the day has arrived, $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} \omega s$ रà $\rho \tilde{\omega}$ $\mu \in \lambda i \tau \tau \iota \nu$.
2. $\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$.] This is the strict and ordinary phrase for putting an end

 Dinarchus contra Demosthenem, cap. 21. In the passage cited by Bergler from the Politics, v. 8, ı̈va фv入áттьб८, каì $\mu \eta$ ката-

$\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ тíp $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$, Aristotle may have had in his mind this very line of Arristophanes.
 какò̀ таîs $\pi \lambda$ еupaîs бou каì $\theta_{\text {é }} \lambda_{\text {ets }}$ aủtô ảmoঠoûvat.-Scholiast. Sosias means that the ribs of Xanthias will suffer for their owner's negligence, but the terms in which the warning is conveyed, 'you owe a punishment to your ribs,' admit of two very different interpretations. The debt may consist either in a punishment to be inflicted or in a punishment to be suffered. In the one sense the law may be said to owe a punishment to a convicted offender; in the other a convicted offender may be said to owe a punishment to the law. And so the expression here used may mean either

## THE WASPS.

Sosias. You ill-starred Xanthias, what's the matter now?
Xanthias. The nightly watch I'm studying to relieve.
Sos. Why then, your ribs will have a score against you. Do you forget what sort of beast we're guarding?
Xanth. No, but I'd•fain just drowse dull care away.
Sos. Well try your luck : for I too feel a sort
Of drowsy sweetness settling o'er my eyes.

- you must owe your ribs a grudge, or you would not expose them to the thrashing they will get,' or else, 'they owe you a grudge for so exposing them ;' as we might say, ' your ribs won't thank you for this.' The parallel passage in Euripides (Iph. in Taur. 523), where Iphigenia says of Helen, кảцoì үáp $\tau \iota \pi \rho о и ̆ \phi є i \lambda \epsilon \iota$ како̀, ' to me too she owes an atonement; of me too she deserves ill,' is strongly in favour of the latter interpretation. And if that Play is earlier in date than the Wasps (and there are no good grounds
doubt but that Aristophanes is here mimicking the Euripidean phraseology, and applying it in the same sense. And see note on 247 infra. Mr. Mitchell cites the passage from the Iphigenia, but his own translation, ' you incur then a large and painful debt for which your ribs must pay,' is obviously incorrect; for the dative signifies the person to whom, not the means by which, the payment is to be made. With the general tenor of the line compare Plautus, Miles Gloriosus, ii. 3. 22 . for placing it later), there can be little

Verum enim tu istam, si te Dii amant, temere haud tollas fabulam;
Tuis nunc cruribus capitique fraudem capitalem hinc creas.
7. кaтaxєital.] The idea is that, common in all languages, of 'the soft dews of kindly sleep.'

10$\kappa \dot{a} \mu \circ \grave{\imath}$ үàp $\dot{a} \rho \tau i ́ \omega \varsigma$ é $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \alpha \tau о$
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$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a$ тoîs oैvv乡 $\iota \nu \dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta a$  $\kappa a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ таи́т $\eta \nu \dot{a} \pi \tau \circ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu K \lambda \epsilon \omega ́ \nu \nu \mu о \nu$.
 ..... 20
8. ${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{j}^{\prime}$.] These words invariably introduce a doubtful, hesitating question, indicative of some surprise on the part of the questioner: ' am I then really to understand that,' 'can it be that you are a maniac or a man possessed?' 'The Corybantsindulged in such wild, delirious orgies, that their name was identified throughout Hellas with madness and frenzy of every description.
9. oûk, ${ }^{\text {à }} \lambda \lambda$ á.] This is not an absolute denial ; it is rather a qualified admission. Not exactly so; yet it is in truth a sleep inspired by Subazius which possesses mo.
 тои какои̂, and Peace, 850 , ои̃к, ả̀ $\lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ка̉кє $\imath$ $\zeta \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu$ ànò roír $\omega \nu$ тıvés. Cf. Knights, 888. 'Io admit that he was under the influence of Sabazius was in truth to admit that his state was near alkin to that of a Corybant; for Sabazius (the Phrygian Barchus) was the son, as the Corybants were the votariens, of the Phrygian Cybele.

Hence Sabazius and Corybas are frequently named in conjunction, as in the passages cited by Bergler from Lucian, Deorum Concilium, cap. 9, Icaromenip. cap. 27.
12. Mîסós $\tau$ s.] With his thoughts still turned to the east, Xanthias describes the overpowering influence of the sleep to which he has succumbed, in language borrowed from the great campaigns of the Persians against Hellas. For a somewhat similar metaphor see inf. 1124 and the note there. The expression $\nu v \sigma \tau a k \tau \eta s \tilde{i}^{i} \pi \nu 0$ os is adopted by Alciphron, Epistle iii. 46, тávtas virvos $\dot{v \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda} \eta^{\prime} \phi \in \iota$ vvaтakтís. Indeed the whole opening scene of the Play appears to have lueen an especial favourite with Alciphron; see the notes on lines 26 and 52 infra.
14. oion oủ $\delta \epsilon \pi$ ímotє.] Subaud. єi $\delta$ ov or j $\mathfrak{k o v} \sigma \theta \eta$. Eusebius (Hist. Ecel. x. 8, 13) speaking of the persecution under Lici-

Xantin. Sure you're a maniac or a Corybant.
Sos. (Producing a wine flasl.) Nay'tis a sleep from great Sabazius holds me.
Xantr. (Producing another:) Aha! and I'm your fellow-votary there.
My lids too felt just now the fierce assault,
Of a strong Median nod-compelling sleep.
And then I dreamed a dream; such a strange dream!
Sos. And so did I: the strangest e'er I heard of.
But tell jours first. Xantr. Methought a monstrous eagle
Came flying towards the market-place, and there
Seized in its claws a wriggling brassy shield,
And bore it up in triumph to the sky,
And then-Cleonymus fled off and dropped it.
Sos. Why then, Cleonymus is quite a riddle.
 потє $\grave{\text { пой }} \boldsymbol{1} \eta$.
15. Éסórovy c̀eтóv.] Of the two dreams, the first is concerned with Cleonymus, the second with Cleon. In the first, a remarkably fine eagle is seen bearing off an $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i$ is to the sky, when suddenly the eagle changes into Cleonymus the a a $\sigma \pi \downarrow$ $a \pi \sigma \beta \lambda \grave{\eta} s$, who of course at once àmoßä̀ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ $\pi \dot{\eta}^{2} \dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta a$, vilely casts away the shield. There is probably a play (which it is impossible to preserve in an English translation) on the double meaning of à $\sigma \pi i s$, a shield, and a snake, "nam aquila," as Bergler observes," serpentes non clypeos rapit;" but even before the name of Cleonymus is introduced, the meaning of ávTis has become restricted to a shield

16. $\mu$ '́yav $\pi$ ávv.] Cleonymus the pi $\psi$ ractus was a man of great stature, a circumstance which rendered his cowardice at once more conspicuous and more
disgraceful. Aristophanes frequently alludes to the fact. In the Acharnians (88), the ambassadors attempt to convey some notion of the prodigious size of the bird served up for their dinner, by declaring that it was thrice as big as Cleonymus. And the Cleonymus-tree which the Birds (1475) discovered in their wanderings, the tree which shed shields instead of leaves, was a large tree with no heart, $\delta \epsilon \lambda \grave{\lambda}{ }^{2} \nu \alpha a i \mu^{\prime} \gamma a$. And see
 à $\pi \tau \iota \delta a \pi o \beta \lambda \eta \eta_{s}$.


 -Scholiast. Riddles were the popular anusement at feasts and wine-parties. Hence the use of the word $\sigma \nu \mu \pi$ óracs here. $^{\text {b }}$ And hence in the tenth book of Athenæus, where there is a large collection of ancient riddles, we meet with such














$\gamma \rho \iota \phi \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu \pi a \rho a ̀$ тórov (x. 74), and the like. Compare the case of Samson in the Book of Judges: є́ $\pi о i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ є่кєí $\Sigma a \mu \psi \omega ̀ \nu$


 к.т.入. (Judges xiv. 10-12. LXX).
22. Ti tauтóv.] Sosias is appropriating a very ancient and well-known riddle, preserved in Athenæus, x. 78 (to which Dobree also refers). The question was
 Өaлáттך; $a n d$ the answer was ' a serpent' or other animal of which there axe both land and marine specimens, and which is also a constellation in the sky.
 come of it. In Alciphron, iii. 47, a thief, rejoicing over his lucky escape with his

 роוто.
28. є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu \mu^{\prime} \hat{\prime}$ a.] The first dream was a mere private satire; it affected no great political interest. The second dream is of high public import; it concerns the general welfare of the state; and indeed bears closely upon the special purpose of the Play. It represents the great demagogue, with his loud, cruel voice ( $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu$ uapa), addressing the assembled people, whilst before him sit his devoted adherents-of whom the Heliasts formed so large a part-listening open-mouthed to his harangue, and eager to support whatever proposition he may make.
30. ті̀̀ тоó $\pi t \nu$.] Possibly, as Bergler says, there may be a play on the words тò̀ тро́тод rov̂ тра́үнатos; but more probably the expression merely means, 'let us get with all speed to the bottom of the matter.'
 the Athenians: sat whilst the orators

Xantr. How so ? Sos. A man will ask his boon compavions, What is that brute which throws away its shield Alike in air, in ocean, in the field?
Xantr. O what mishap awaits me, that have seen
So strange a vision! Sos. Take it not to heart, 'Twill be no harm, I swear it by the Gods.
Xanth. No harm to see a man throw off his shield!
But now tell yours. Sos. Ah, mine's a big one, mine is;
About the whole great vessel of the state.
Xantr. Tell us at once the keel of the affair.
Sos. 'Twas in my earliest sleep methought I saw
A flock of sheep assembled in the Pnyx,
Sitting close-packed, with little clokes and staves;
addressed them is of course well known, and is frequently noticed by Aristophanes, see Ach. 29, 59; Knights, 750 , 754, 783, 785 ; Eccl. 94, 98, etc. Plutarch (Nicias, cap. 7) relates that on one occasion, when the people had taken their seats on the elevated plateau of the
 mosthenes de Coronâ, 285, Tâs ó ò õ $\mu$ os ảv каӨŋ̆то) Cleon kept them waiting a long time, and at last entered hastily with a garland on his head, and said that he wanted the assembly put off till the next day, for that he was busy, had guests to entertain, and had just been sacrificing. The people took it goodhumouredly, rose from their seats, and
broke up the assembly. The Scholiast
 riav ouváyєı, which, as Bp. Pearson (on the Creed, Art. ix.) pointed out, is an obvious error; it means 'to attend an assembly.'
33. 及aктпрias к.т...] That is to say, the sheep were clad in the ordinary garb of Athenian citizens, $\epsilon \in \kappa \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a\}_{o}{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, attending an assembly. For the Athenians (unlike the Spartans) took their sticks with them as well as their clokes. And therefore Praxagora in the Ecclesiazusæ, whilst dressing up the women to attend the assembly as men, is careful to see that they are all provided with sticks and clokes:-



And shortly afterwards-




#  <br>  <br> є" $\chi$ оvба ф $\omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu$ є́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \varsigma$ vós. 






 x. 14, " Quanto delphinis balæna Britannica major," the name balæna (the Latin form of фádatva) is generally taken to signify a grampus; and no doubt the epithet $\pi$ avòoкeírpla is as applicable to the grampus as to other cetaceans. "It is a very wolf in its constant hunger," says a recent writer, "and commits great havoc among the larger fish, such as the cod, the skate, and the halibut. Even the smaller porpoises and dolphins fall victims to the insatiable appetite of the grampus" (Wood's Natural History, i. 544). Nor, if we are to give credit to the concurrent testimony of ancient witnesses, was the rapacity of Cleon less boundless than that of a grampus or an omnivorous cormorant(Clouds, 591). The Knights of course is full to overflowing of imputations of this nature. In the Acharnians (line 6) a special instance is mentioned, which is also recorded by Theopompus, $\pi a \rho a ̀$ ầ $\nu \nu \eta \sigma \omega \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ èn $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$


 бау aủtóv. $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \tau a \iota$ Өєо́тгитоs.-Scholiast at Ach. 6. Æliau (Var. Hist. x. 17)
says, $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{~K} \rho \iota t i a s-\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu \iota \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda$ -


 of 50 or 100 talents). Plutarch (Nicias, cap. 2) says that the $\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon$ gia of Cleon drove men over to the party of Nicias. Andin his Præcepta gerendæ Reipublicæ xiii., after relating that Cleon, when he first engaged in politics, dissolved all his private friendships, he adds, "it had been better had he cast out of his soul his love of wealth and brawling, had he purged himself from envy and malice, for states require not the friendless and companionless, but the wise and good. And Cleon, though he discarded his friends, yet kept a hundred flutterers to beslaver around his head." See also the Scholiast on Lucian's Timon, 30.
 of a burnt (Lysistrata 322), singed or scalded sow. This high-pitched truculent voice is everywhere put promizently forward in the Aristophanic portrait of Cleon. In the Knights 218, when setting up a rival to Cleon, he specifies a $\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta}$ $\mu t a \rho \dot{\alpha}$ as the very first qualification for a successful demagogne. In the same

Then to these sheep I heard, or seemed to hear
An all-receptive grampus holding forth
In tome and accents like a scalded pig.
Xanth. Pheugh! Sos. Eh? Xanth. Stop, stop, don't tell us any more. Your dream smells horribly of putrid hides.
Sos. Then the vile grampus, scales in hand, weighed out Bits of fat beef, cut up. Xantr. Woe worth the day! He means to cut our city up in bits.

Play Cleon is described as кєкро́ктךs,
 304, 487, 1018), and his final doom (1403) is to bawl in rivalry with prostitutes and watermen. So infra 596, he is de-

 ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon \tau о \kappa v i a s$. And this loud voice accorded well with his violent and excited manner of speaking. "He was the first," says Plutarch (Nicias, cap. 8; Tiberius Gracchus, cap. 2)," who banished decorum from the bema, rushing to and fro while he spoke, shouting at the
 àvak $\rho a \dot{\omega} \nu)$, throwing back his cloke, and slapping his thigh." What an innovation this was upon the established mode of oratory may be judged from the statement of 本schines adv. Timarchum, cap. 6. The Scholiast on Lucian's Timon, cap. 30, says of Cleon, $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$ тos

 Thucydides (iii. 36), calls him Bıaótatos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi{ }^{2} \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\nu}$.
37. aißoi.] The double hint, from the boundless rapacity and the vociferous tones of the portent, has disclosed the secret; and Xanthias perceives that the

 ler refers to Knights, 892, where Demus says to Cleon, aißoî oùk és кópakas àmo-
 Aristophanes is constantly alluding to Cleon's unsavoury trade. See infra 1035, and the Knights passim. For the expression $\pi a \hat{v} \epsilon \pi a \hat{\imath} \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$, see Peace, 648.
40. Bóetov o $\eta \mu$ óv.] Bergler refers to Knights, 954, for a similar play on the words $\delta \eta \mu \dot{s}$, fat, and $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$, the people.
41. Suotával.] To split up, sever into
 is here commonly taken in the metaphorical sense of sowing discord and division amongst the people. And this may no doubt be its meaning. It is however to be observed that in Knights, 818. Cleon is described as $\delta t a \tau \epsilon \tau \chi i \zeta \omega \nu$ (see Casaubon's note there) in contrast to Themistocles, whose long walls had blended the Piræus and Athens into one great eity. And I cannot help thinking that here too Aristophanes is alluding to some scheme of internal fortification which Cleon had proposed, and which would have had the effect of splitting up the city into distinct wards, each with its own separate circumvallation.




妞A．ỏ $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \gamma_{\epsilon} \tau<\hat{\tau} \tau$＇＇$A \lambda \kappa \iota \beta \iota a ́ \delta \eta \varsigma$ є่т $\rho a u ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．
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急A．фépe $\nu v \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon i ́ \pi \omega$ тoîs $\theta \epsilon a \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu, ~$

42．Ө＇$\omega$＇pos．］Theorus was one of the hundred flatterers（kódaкєs），see infra 1033，who hung and fluttered about the more powerful demagogue．See infra 418 and 1236．In the present panto－ mimic vision he is represented with the
 keeping close to his great patron（ $a \dot{u} r \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ），and indeed seated at the very foot of the Bema．

44．＇A入кıßıáorns．］This passage，as Brunck observes，is cited by Plutarch at the commencement of his Life of Alcibiades．Plutarch says that the lisp of Alcibiades was very graceful and winning，and lent a singular charm and persuasiveness to his speech．

45．ко八дакоs．］The happy lisp of Alci－ biades has affixed to Theorus his true designation，кódag，a flatterer．The simi－ larity of the two words кófa乡̆ and кó入a $\xi$ afforded a ready opening for Hellenic wit． Brunck refers to an epigram of Palladas （Brunck＇s Analecta，ii．413），＇P⿳亠丷⿵冂⿱八口𧘇 каì
 a maxim of Diogenes，recorded by Athe－ næus，vi．65．＂It is far better，＂said Diogenes，＂to go to the crows than to the
 $\eta$ ध＇s кó入aкas，for those indeed devour you when dead，but these while you are yet alive．＂I may add Lucian＇s Timon， 48 （i．p．116，ed．Bipont），where Philiades


 oỉס̇̀v סıaф́є́podtas．Bergler thinks that a further pun is intended in the name
 ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \hat{}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ，etc．：and compares the expression Өєஸ́pov $\theta \epsilon o \iota \sigma \epsilon \chi \theta$ pía infra， 418.

47．à $\lambda$ о́кото⿱．］Portentous，or in Scot－ tish phraseology，uncanny．The word is particularly applicable to strange and


 Ruhuken，Tinæus sub voc．）；a passage

Sos. Methought beside him, on the ground, I saw
Theorus seated, with a raven's head.
Then Alcibiades lisped out to me,
Cwemark! Theocwus has a cwaven's head.
Xante. Well lisped! and rightly, Alcibiades!
Sos. But is not this ill-omened, that a man
Turn to a crow? XANTH. Nay, excellent. Sos. How? XANrf. How!
Being a man he straight becomes a crow :
Is it not obvious to conjecture that
He's going to leave us, going to the crows?
Sos. Shall I not pay two obols then, and hire
One who so cleverly interprets dreams?
XANTII. Come, let me tell the story to the audience
which Meineke seems to have overlooked in his Fragmenta Comicorum Græcorum.
51. '́s кópaкаs.] The expression "going to the crows "-the equivalent of our vulgar phrase "going to the dogs,"supplied the material for innumerable jokes, see Peace, 117, and note there.
52. $\delta v^{\prime \prime} \dot{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{\omega}^{\prime}$.] This seems to have been the recognized charge of these practitioners. Dindorf refers to Lobeck (Aglaophonus, p. 253), who cites Lucian Deorum Concilium, 12, $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \omega \delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ó $\gamma \epsilon \nu-$ עaíos toî̀ סvoî̀ ỏßo入oî̀ è̛vєка, and Max.

 $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma \pi i \zeta o v \sigma \iota$. Limenterus in Alciphron iii. 59 (to which Dobree also refers), is more liberal. He dreamed that he was Ganymede clothed in princely apparel, and borne by an eagle to the gates of heaven, when lo! a thunderbolt fell, and as they came crashing downwards, the eagle was no longer an eagle but a carrion vulture, and the dreamer
was no longer Ganymede in gorgeous array, but himself, the parasite Limenteruis, as naked as his mother bore him. And he is prepared to give no less than two drachmas to any one of the tribe
 $\mu^{\prime} \quad \nu \omega \nu$, who will show him the interpretation of the dream.
54. тò̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$. .] Aristophanes uses $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\circ} \nu$, as Plautus argumentum, to denote not the actual plot or story which he is about to unfold, but the preliminary circumstances, a knowledge of which is requisite for the right understanding of the Play. See Peace, 50; where (as also in the Knights) Aristophanes follows the same inartiticial method, which he here employs, for putting the audience in possession of these preliminary facts.
 line is similar to the Huic argumento antelogium quidem hoc fuit of Plautus, Meuæchm. Prologue, 13.


```5．）
```








```
oủ \(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota ̉ K \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime}\) eै \(\lambda a \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau u ́ \chi \eta s \chi^{a} \rho \iota \nu\),
```

57．Meyapó日ev．］The Athenian drama－ tists were fond of contrasting their own cultivated and highly finished perfor－ mances，with the rude and homely tricks
wherewith the primitive comedians of Megara sought to divert their audience． The Scholiast cites a passage frum the Пробтádтьo of Eupolis，

$\psi \cup \chi \rho \partial \nu$,
which is given more fully by Aspasius on the Ethics，iv． 2 （to which Porson refers）．And Aspasius adds，ס九ā́́poyrat

 （cf．Aristotle＇s Poetics，3；Müller＇s Do－
rians，iv．chap．7）є＂$\overline{\prime \prime} \epsilon$ каi इovбapíwע ó
 тoínv каi $\psi v \chi \rho o \grave{~ \delta \iota a ß a ́ \lambda \lambda o v t a l . ~ A n d ~}$ after referring to this passage of Aris－ tophanes，he continues，ả入入̀̀ кай＇Екфау－



т $\delta \delta \rho \bar{\alpha} \mu \alpha \mathrm{M} \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ тоเєîp．

And Brunck compares the expression Me－ үарıка́ тts $\mu$ аүà̀̀ in the Acharnians， 738.

58．кápva．］The Athenians employed rápva as the generic name for every

 Brunck observes that the practice here disclaimed（though apparently adopted in the Peace， 963 ）is expressly censured in the Plutus，797，

For in fact all this scrambling for bon－ hons，these stock jokes on Heracles，this Megaric buffoonery，formed part of the ＂\＄óptos，the vulgar rubbish which Aristo－
phanes had endeavoured to sweep from the Atbenian stage．See the Parabases of the Clouds，and the Peace，and the notes there．Thie фoptikoi were too

> With just these few remarks, by way of preface.
> Expect not from us something mighty grand,
> Nor yet some mirth purloined from Megara.
> We have no brace of servants here, to scatter
> Nuts from their basket out among the audience,
> No Heracles defrauded of his supper,
> Nor yet Euripides besmirched again;
> No, nor though Cleon shine, by fortune's favour,
strong for him however. He was unsuccessful with his favourite comedy of the Clnuds, $\mathfrak{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ фортєк $\hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\eta} \tau \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s$,

- and he is now compelled to accommodate himself in some degree to the lower tastes of his audience, although his Play is still, he protests, very far superior to the ordinary фортькウ кш $\mu \omega \delta i ́ a$.

60. 'Hрак $\bar{\lambda} \bar{s}$.] é $\nu$ тоîs $\pi \rho$ ò toúrov $\delta \in \delta \delta \iota-$




 741, and the note there.
61. à $\nu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \lambda$ रa८цó $\mu \in \nu 0 s$.] This word would mean, if the passive participle, treated insolently again, and if the middle, be having insolently again. The former interpretation is generally adopted; and having regard to the passive participle in the preceding line, and to the charac* ter in which Euripides is portrayed as well in the Acharnians as in the later plays of Aristophanes, I think that it is undoubtedly the true one. The Scholiast explains the word by катак $\omega \mu \oplus \delta о$ -

62. K $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$.] At the time when the Wasps was exhibited, there was an un-
usual stir and activity in the docks and arsenals of Athens; a great fleet was being equipped in Piræus; a splendid army was mustering in the city. They were bound for the coasts of Thrace; and the commander-in-chief was to be none other than Cleon. His success at Sphacteria had been followed by the entire defeat of the Athenian troops under their regular officers at Delium; and now he was to be once more allowed to try his fortune in the field of battle. And had he again returned victorious, he would no doubt have become the most considerable personage in Hellas. The conjunction of Demagogue and General in one person had at all times been regarded as of evil omen to liberty; for, as
 ó à̉tòs $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho а т \eta \gamma o ̀ s, ~ \epsilon i ̀ s ~ \tau u p a \nu-~$ $\nu^{\prime} \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu$, Pol. v. 5. It is to this new and brilliant exaltation of Cleon that Aristophanes is in my judgment alluding in the text, and again in lines 1234, 5 . There is not the slightest ground for Reiske's notion that 'Cleon' was the original name of the Knights, and that Aristophanes is here referring to the success of his own comedy. As to $\mu \nu \pi^{-}$ $\tau \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma 0 \mu \epsilon \nu$ see the note on Peace, 236.


 $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta i a \varsigma$ ठ̀̀ фортєкйऽ $\sigma о ф \omega ́ т є \rho о \nu$.














 been the case, he means, with the Clouds.
63. oúni rồ t'́rous.] Bdelycleon is sleeping on the flat roof of the house. Cf. Clouds, 1502; Lysistrata, 389, 395. And compare the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ itov̀ $\delta \dot{\omega} \mu a t o s$, on the housetop, of the LXX. and Evangelists. The explanation of the Scholiast, ė $\pi i=\frac{i}{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \mathcal{q}^{\prime} \circ v$, although adopted by every commentator, is unquestinnably erroneous ; it is manifest from 143-148 infra that Bdely cleon is actually on the roof; and indeed the line before us admits of no other interpretation.
64. 'A $A v v i a s] ~ A r i s t o p h a n e s ~ a v a i l s$. himself of the opportunity to make certain of the spectators suggest the vices to which they themselves were addicted.

Amynias was a gambler, Dercylus a drunkard, Nicostratus a slave to superstition. For Amynias, see the note on 1267 infra.
77. фıло.] Lucian (Piscator 20), on his trial before Philosophy, is called upon to state his name and occupation. "I am a $\mu \tau \sigma a \lambda a \zeta \grave{\omega} \nu, "$ he says, " and a $\mu \iota \sigma o-$

 $\epsilon i \hat{\delta} o s \tau \omega ิ \nu \mu a \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ảv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$." " Goodness!" says Philosophy, "what a lot of hates there are in your profession!" "That's true," says Lucian, "not but what I follow the opposite profession as well; that I mean, which begins with фino



Will we to mincemeat chop the man again.
Ours is a little tale, with meaning in it,
Not too refined and exquisite for you,
Yet wittier far than vulgar comedy.
You see that great big man, the man asleep
Up on the roof, aloft: well that's our master.
He keeps his father here, shut up within,
And bids us guard him that he stir not out.
For he, the father, has a strange disease,
Which none of you will know, or yet conjecture,
Unless we tell : else, if you think so, guess.
Amynias there, the son of Pronapus,
Says he's a dice-lover: but he's quite out.
Sos. Ah, he conjectures from his own disease.
Xanth. Nay, but the word does really end with -lover.
Then Sosias here observes to Dercylus,
That 'tis a drink-lover. Sos. Confound it, no:
and $\phi \grave{\lambda} a \pi \lambda o i ̈ \kappa o ̀ s$, and the like." With also Eur. Troades, 982, 3,
the expression ăpरो̀ тov̂ какоиิ compare
78. ofi $\Sigma \omega \sigma i a s$.$] Apart from the$ question whether any spectator is likely to have borne the name of Sosias, there is, I think, quite sufficient to convince us that Xanthias is here mischievously putting words into the mouth of his fellowslave; viz. (1) the identity of the name, (2) the use of the pronoun $\delta \delta i$, which could hardly have been intended to designate another Sosias farther from the speaker; (3) the disease mentioned, which was in fact the fellow-slave's disease, so that he too would be ' ' $\phi$ '

ready retort of the fellow-slave, not repudiating but accepting the imputation;

 that Sosias is represented, not as guessing on his own account (like Amynias and Nicostratus), but merely as prompting a spectator to make a wrong guess. And (6) it is to be observed that in each of these jests, one spectator, and one only, is held up to ridicule; and here we have the vice of winebibbing imputed to Dercylus.



















81．Nuкóoт $\quad$ acos．］The Scholiast says，



 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\ell} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ ．Scambonidæ was an urban deme，belonging to the tribe Leontis．

82．фi入ógevov．］As regards Nicostra－ tus，the joke appears to be exhausted
with the epithet $\phi$ e八o日virns．The subse－ quent guess $\phi$ inógevos is added for the purpose of satirizing the citizen of that name．＇ $\mathrm{O} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，says the Scholiast，$\pi \rho$ òs т $̀$ ѝ


 Пó入єбьь，

83．$\mu a ̀$ à̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ кúv＇．］This oath is here used as the most appropriate with regard to the shameless profligacy of Philozenus． In later times it was known as the fami－ liar oath of Socrates；but had it been popularly connected with that philoso－
pher at the date of the Clouds，it would assuredly have formed a feature of the Socratic portrait there．
 row，and so nearest to the parties，the witnesses，and the advocates；a position

That's the disease of honest gentlemen.
Xantr. Then next, Nicostratus of Scambon says,
It is a sacrifice- or stranger-lover.
Sos. What, like Philoxenus? No, by the dog, Not quite so lewd, Nicostratus, as that.
Xanth. Come, you waste words: you'll never find it out, So all keep silence if you want to know.
I'll tell you the disease old master has.
He is a lawcourt-lover, no man like him.
Judging is what he dotes on, and he weeps
Unless he sit on the front bench of all.
At night he gets no sleep, no, not one grain,
Or if he doze the tiniest speck, his soul
Flutters in dreams around the water-clock.
So used he is to holding votes, he wakes
With thumb and first two fingers closed, as one
That offers incense on a new moon's day. If on a gate is written Lovely Demus,
which, in a court consisting of several hundred members, must have been an important consideration to a dicast anxious to talke an active part in the proceedings. The expression is used in Acharnians, 25, with reference to the magistrates elbowing for the first places in the assemblies.
92. écei.] His mind returns in dreams to the court, and there (in the court, ef. infra 104, 765, 770, and not in somnis nor domi, as Richtersupposes) flutters around the official water-clock, wherewith the speeches of the advocates were timed and limited.
95. Toùs т $\tau \kappa$ îs $\xi \nu \nu$ é $\chi \omega \nu$.] toútots yà $\rho$

 finger, the scooper, àmò тov̀ $\left.\lambda_{\epsilon i ́ \chi} \epsilon \iota \nu\right)$ каì $\tau \hat{Q}$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \varphi$. —Scholiast.
97. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho а \mu \mu$ évov.] Lovers seem at all times, if we can trust the poets, to have found a pleasure in writing the name of their beloved on such places as gates and walls and smooth-barked trees. The Thracian chieftain in the Acharnians (144) is reported to be so devotedly attached to the Athenians, that he spends his time in scribbling on the walls 'A $A \eta$ ขaiot калоí. And" 18 oov, says the Scholiast


 $\mu и ́ \chi$ ч
 i'̀̀ таре́үрачє $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu ~ " ~ « \eta \mu o ̀ s ~ к а \lambda o ́ s . " ~ " ~$
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 $\dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ o ̋ \nu \nu \xi_{\iota} \kappa \eta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \varsigma$.

<br>

So the fragment (101) is emended by Bentley and by Pierson from Aristrenetus, i. 10. Beatley in his note on Callimachus refers to the Amores, ascribed to Lucian (cap. 16), where a crazy devotee has fallen in love with the statue of Aphrodite at Cnidus; and soon every wall is inscribed with her name, and every tree proclaims 'Beautiful Aphrodite,’ тoîХos äтаs є́ ‘арáббєто, каì mâs
 $\epsilon \in \kappa \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$. The practice is mentioned by Virgil, Ovid, Propertius, and others. And English readers will remember the story of Orlando and Rosalind in Sbakespeare's As you Like it.
98. viòv Пирілá $\mu \pi$ ovs $\Delta \hat{\eta} \mu 0 \nu$.$] Demus,$ the son of Pyrilampes, was a young man of surpassing grace and beauty, and was at this time " the toast of all the town." "We two," says Socrates to Callicles, in the Gorgias of Plato, cap. 37 (to which Bergler refers), "we two are in love, each
with two persons; I with Alcibiades and Philosophy; you with Demus the son of Pyrilampes, and Demus the Athenian people. And just as you mould your own opinions to suit the views of your favourites; so mast I listen to the voice of Philosophy, and form my opinions accordingly." Cf. Id. cap. 68, and Charmides, cap. 6. Many passages relating to this Athewian Apollo (as his admirers called him) and his father are collected by the Commentators here and on the Gorgias; Lysias, Orat. xix. De Bonis Aristoph. 27; Athenæus, ix. cap. 56; Plutarch's Pericles, cap. 13; Brunck's Analecta, ii. 79; Libanius pro Salt. xix. p. 500 D . He was a man of rank and fortune; his father had been the intimate friend of Pericles,' and both father and son were renowned pea-cock-fanciers. We hear of Demus in after-life as a trierarch, and receiving the present of a golden goblet as in

Meaning the son of Pyrilamp，he goes
And writes beside it Lovely Verdict－box．
The cock which crew from eventide，he said， Was tampered with，he knew，to call him late，
Bribed by officials whose accounts were due．
－Supper scarce done，he clamours for his shoes，
Hurries ere daybreak to the Court，and sleeps
Stuck like a limpet to the doorpost there．
So sour he is，the long condemning line
He marks for all，then homeward like a bee
Laden with wax beneath his finger－nails．
pledge of friendship from the king of Persia．

99．к $\eta \mu$ ós．］This word，which is here employed on account of its similarity to the name of Demus，was the funnel （made of wicker or basket work）through which the dicasts dropped their votes into the verdict－box．кqués $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \mu a$
 Scholiast．$\neq \sigma \tau \iota \delta \in$ ，says another Scholiast，
 $\pi \lambda a \tau \grave{\imath}, \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ v$.


 －Scholiast．With what follows Bergler aptly compares the passage in the Aulula－ ria of Plautus iii．4，where the cock had been scratching about in the presence of the intruding cooks，near the spot where the crock of gold was hidden，and Euclio says，＂Credo ego edepol illi mercedem gallo pollicitos coquos，si id palam fe－ cisset．＂As to the ifreviduvol see the note on 571 infra．

103．кє́крауєу є́ $\mu$ ßádas．］Soleas poscit as Horace，Sat．ii．8．77，says of a man rising from supper．

105．т＠̂̀ кiov九］Probably a pillar in the vestibule of the court，on which（it may be）the outside court－notices werc sus－ pended．

106．т $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ какра́⿱亠乂．］When an action had been decided against the de－ fendant，it remained for the judges to pronounce the sentence．And in many cases，the prosecutor and the prisoner were each allowed to propose the penalty to be inflicted．In such cases the judges declared their opinions by drawing a line on the $\pi \iota \nu a ́ k ı o \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ ，a tablet faced with wax．Those who were in favour of the severer penalty proposed by the pro－ secutor drew a long line，those who were in favour of the lighter penalty substi－ tuted by the prisoner，drew a short line． Philocleon＇s סuбко入ía（see the note on 1356 infra）induced him to award in every instance the penalty demanded by the prosecution．















``` \(\nu v ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho \kappa а т \in ́ \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \nu\) aưтòv єis 'Aбк \(\lambda \eta \pi \iota \circ\) v̂.
```

110. $\tau \rho \varepsilon \in \phi \in$.] The Scholiast says, $\omega$ s
 probable that there is any play on the words aizuàòv and aija, as Meineke (Vind. Aristoph.) suggests; nor is it probable that in the passage which he cites from Stobæus, Florilegium, 57. 4,
 there is any play on the words $\chi \omega \rho i o \nu$ and xoupiov.
111. тouầr’ àdúєє.] A witty parody, as the Scholiast observes, on a passage in Euripides (Sthenoboen, Fragm. x., Wagner’s Fragm. 'Irag. Græc.),
```
\tauolaû\tau' à\lambdaúci vou0\in\tauoú\mu\in\nuos \delta' "Epws
\muâ\lambda\lambdaov \pilé{\epsilonc.
```

 hereafter (1131) see with what difficulty Bdelycleon succeeds on this point, even after the successful course of treatment applied in this play to his father.
118. ó $\delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\mu a ́ \lambda a$.] Scil. є̇ $\pi \in i \theta \in \tau о$.
119. є́кориßávть\}'] Initiated him into
the wild orgies of Cybele, of which the timbrel ( $\tau \dot{\mu} \mu \pi a \nu o \nu$ ) was the well-known accompaniment. As Catullus writes, in that rapid Galliambic metre which Mr. Tennyson's Boadicea has for the first time made familiar to English ears,

Niveis citata cepit-manibus leve tympanum, Tympanum, tubam, Cybelle,-tua, mater, initia (Atys, 8).
Aud again,

Lest he lack votes, he keeps, to judge withal, A private pebble-beach secure within.
Such is his frenzy, and the more you chide him
The more he judges : so with bolts and bars
We guard him straitly that he stir not out.
For ill the young man brooks his sire's disease.
And first he tried by soft emollient words
To win him over, not to don the cloke
Or walk abroad: but never a jot he yielded.
He washed and purged him then : but never a jot.
A Corybant next he made him, but old master,
Timbrel and all, into the New Court bursts
And there sits judging. So when these rites failed,
We cross the Strait, and, in Agina, place him, To sleep the night inside Asclepius' temple :

> Sequimini
> Phrygiam ad domum Cybelles-Phrygia ad nemora Deæ,
> Ubi cymbalûm sonat vox-ubi tympana reboant (Id. 19).

In the Lysistrata (388) Aristophanes connects the timbrels of Cybele with the wrorship of her son (see note on


120. Kalvóv.] It is impossible now to determine the names of the ten courts in which the Athenian dicasts held their sittings. The Scholiast here recognizes
 'T $\rho$ í $\boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \nu$ 人̀, Mé $\sigma o \nu$. The whole subject is discussed by Schömann (Appendix de Dicasteriis, Opuscula, vol. i. p. 220), Fritzsche (De Sortitione Judicum), and others, with great care, but without, as I think, any satisfactory result. And it seems not improbable that there were never ten specifically appropriated courts,
but that the dicasts were from time to time accommodated in any large halls or other public buildings available and convenient for the purpose.
123. 'А $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota o v$.$] Sick persons were$ placed to spend the night in the Temple of ※sculapios, to be recovered of their diseases. In the Plutus the experiment is tried upon Plutus himself, with very remarkable success. In the Curculio of Plautus, a lover hastens to visit his mistress, whilst Cappadox, into whose power she has fallen, ægrotus incubat in Hsculapii fano. He summons the bolts to fly back and let his beloved pass through. The serenade is a singular one, and I venture to give a translation of it:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi \in \delta i \delta \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta u \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \dot{\delta} \rho о \rho \rho о \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

135

## 





Bolts, bolts, $I$ bow to you, each of you,
Ask you, petition you, pray and beseech of you,
Deign on a lover's entreaty to smile.
Dance, sweet bolts, all grace and activity,
Dance, like jugglers in Lydian festivity,
Dance, O dance from the staples awhile.
Dance from the staples, and send to me, send to me
Her who is draining my life-blood away.
$O$ vile bolts, ye heed nor attend to me,
None of you listens or acts as a friend to me,
Stark and stiff in your places ye stay.

Their interview is terminated by the too speedy advent of the morn, and the opening of the gates of the Temple to let the patients out. The scene of the Curculio is laid in Epidaurus, which was the headquarters of the worship of Asculapius; but 庣gina was partly inhabited by Epidaurian colonists, and therefore naturally possessed a temple
of the special Epidaurian divinity. See Pausanias, ii. 30. 1.
124. $\kappa \iota \gamma \kappa \lambda i \delta \delta \iota$.$] The \kappa \kappa \gamma \kappa \lambda i s$ was the little gate or wicket in the low rail, $\delta$ о́фактоt, by which the space where the dicasts sat was fenced off from the rest of the Court.
129. кодooós.] Jackdaws are still very common at Athens; they build their

Lo! with the dawn he stands at the Court rails!
Then, after that, we let him out no more.
But he! he dodged along the pipes and gutters,
And so made off: we block up every cranny,
Stopping and stuffing them with clouts of rag:
Quick he drove pegs into the wall, and clambered
Up like an old jackdaw, and so hopped out.
Now then, we compass all the house with nets,
Spreading them round, and mew him safe within.
Well, sirs, Philocleon is the old man's name;
Ay truly; and the son's, Bdelycleon :
A wondrous high-and-mighty mannered man.
Bdelycleon. Xanthias and Sosias! are ye fast asleep?
Xanth. O dear! Sos. What now? Xanth. Bdelycleon is up.
Bdel. One of you two run hither instantly,
For now my father's got into the kitchen,
nests under the eaves of the houses. Dodwell's Tour, ii. 40.
134. $\nu a i ~ \mu a ̀ ~ \Delta i ́ a] ~ T h e ~ a c t o r ~ n o ~ d o u b t$. pronounced the word $\Phi_{\llcorner\lambda o \kappa \lambda} \epsilon \omega \nu$ with an intonation designed to bring out distinctly the origin and meaning of the name, "Cleon-lover;" and as the audience give the expected langh, he subjoins vai $\mu \grave{a}$ sía, "Ay by my troth it is, so you need not laugh." $\tau \omega \delta i$ is used $\delta \in \iota к т \iota \kappa \bar{s}$, the speaker pointing to Bdelycleon on the top of the house. The names of the two chief characters, Cleon-lover, and Cleon-hater, disclose what (notwithstanding the disclaimer in liue 63 supr.) is the real scope of the comedy before us.
136. \& wiavia кai $\Sigma \omega \sigma i a$.] Their reveries are interrupted by the voice of their master, calling angrily from the
 says the Scholiast. The old dicast within is growing restless as the time for the sitting of the court approaches.
139. ì $\pi \nu$ vóv.] 'Iтvés" $\mu$ épos $\tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{s}$ oikías

 Harpocration s. v. 'İvò̀s кvрícs $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ка́ $\mu \iota \nu o s$,
 See infra 837. Either meaning, kitchen or furnace, will suit this passage equally well. Philpcleon may have crept into the furnace, as Falstaff in the Merry Wives of Windsor (iv. 2) is recommended to " creep into the kilnhole;" and whilst his son is expecting that he will slip through the $\tau \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a$, the hole by which the dirty water was discharged from the bath into the street (ai $\gamma$ à $\rho \pi \dot{\varepsilon} є \lambda o l$, says


#    









ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ K a \pi \nu i o v ~ к є \kappa \lambda \eta ́ \sigma о \mu a \iota . ~$
$\Sigma \Omega$. $\nu \hat{v} \nu \tau \eta \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{v} \rho a \nu \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} . \quad$ BA. $\pi i \epsilon \zeta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$




 is in fact clambering up the flue which led from the furnace.
140. $\mu v \sigma \pi о \lambda \epsilon i ̄ a \iota$.$] Circumvagatur, huc$ et illuc se versat, tanquam mus : bustles about like a mouse. This is, in my opinion, the genuine reading, and has been corrupted by copyists into the $\mu \nu \sigma \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau \iota, \mu \nu \sigma \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau t s$, and the like, of the MSS. and editions.
143. $\dot{\eta}$ ка́mข .] Philocleon in his desperation makes four distinct efforts to escape: (1) through the chimney; (2) by breaking open the front door ; (3) by the stratagem of the ass; and (4) by springing from the roof. All these efforts being foiled, he retires to an upper chamber and awaits in silence the approach of his associates.
 from the chimney in a sepulchral voice.
145. аvкívov.] ¿рıци́татоs о́катvòs бvкйs
 $\dot{\eta}$ ن́ $\gamma \rho$ ќт $\eta s .-T h e o p h r a s t u s$, Hist. Plant. จ.9.5. 'H ठ́̀̀ $\delta \rho \iota \mu \nu ́ \tau \eta s ~ \tau о и ̃ ~ к а \pi \nu о u ̄ ~ к а т a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$




 Symposiacs V. 9. These, I suppose, are the passages to which Florent Chretien in general terms alludes. There is also, no doubt, a reference here to the informers ( $\sigma v к о ф \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau a t$ ); whose name was the source of so many Aristophanic puns; and who seem to have been regarded as the necessary concomitants

Scurrying, mouselike, somewhere. Mind he don't
Slip through the hole for turning off the water.
And you, keep pressing at the door. Sos. Ay, ay, sir.
Buec. O heavens! what's that? what makes the chimney rumble? Hallo, sir! who are you? Philocleon. I'm smoke escaping. Bdel. Smoke? of what wood? Phil. I'm of the fig-tree panel.
Bdel. Ay, and there's no more stinging smoke than that.
Come, trundle back: what, won't you? where's the board?
In with you! nay, I'll clap this $\log$ on too.
There now, invent some other stratagem.
But I'm the wretchedest man that ever was;
They'll call me now the son of Chimney-smoked.
Sos. He's at the door now, pushing. Bdel. Press it back then
With all your force: I'm coming there directly.
And $O$ be careful of the bolt and bar,
And mind he does not nibble off the door-pin.
Phil. (Within.) Let me out, villains! let me out to judge.
of an Athenian Law-court. And so Eustathius (cited by Bruncl)long ago observed.



 ad Odyss. p. 1719.
151. Kamviov.] Why Bdelycleon should have displayed so much disgust at the prospect of being called viòs Katviov is a mystery. There was, as the Scholiast observes, a wine so called; a wine of Beneventum, so tart and bitter as to bring tears into the eyes. Again, the old comedian Eicphantides (mentioned in the note on 57 supra) received the nickname of Kamvias from his age and obscurity; see Hesychius s. v. But I do not see that these suggestions afford any
solution of the difficulty. And it seems to me more probable that Kanvias was the name of some disreputable Athenian of the day; a name possibly quite unconnected with katyòs, smoke; and hence the first syllable is long.
 think we should read. Whilst the attention of the besiegers is diverted to the chimney, Philocleon attempts a sally through the door. Sosias calls out; and Bdelycleon at once descends to his assistance.
 probably here, as Conz and Mitchell suppose, a play on the double meaning of the word Bàdavos, which signifies (1) an acorn, and (2) a door-pin. It might perhaps be translated the mut of the bolt.

















录A. oía $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu \kappa а \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu, \dot{\omega} \varsigma \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\varsigma}$,




157. АракоутiÒms.] Apparently s̀ome noted culprit. The name was not an uncommon one at Athens.
 compares Birds, $61,{ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{A} \pi о \lambda \lambda о \nu$ àтото́о́таtє тои $\chi$ абн $\dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s . ~ I n ~ s u c h ~ p h r a s e s ~ a s ~$
 and the like, the epithet denotes the attribute in respect of which the invocation is made. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma к \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a u$, properly to wither away, die of hunger, frequently means simply to perish. See Hems-
terhuys on Lucian's 27th Dialogue of the Dead.
 како̀̀, како́ข тí тоıท̂баь are expressions used infra 322, 340 , with reference to that dicastic vengeance which Philocleon's call for his тьдáкıò тıиךтєкò (cf. ad 106 supra) showed that he was even now contemplating.

 cially were slaves bought and sold on that

What, shall Dracontides escape unpunished!
Boel. What if he should? Phil. Why once, when I consulted The Delphian oracle, the God replied, That I should wither if a man escaped me.
Bdel. Apollo shield us, what a prophecy!
Phil. O let me out, or I shall burst, I shall.
Bdel. No, by Poseidon! no, Philocleon, never !
Phis. O then by Zeus I'll nibble through the net.
Bdel. You've got no teeth, my beauty. Phil. Fire and fury!
How shall I slay thee, how? Give me a sword, Quick, quick, or else a damage-cessing tablet.
Boel. Hang it, he meditates some dreadful deed.
Phil. O no, I don't: I only want to take And sell the donkey and his panniers too.
'Tis the new moon to-day. Bdel. And if it is, Cannot I sell them? Phil. Not so well as I.
Bdel. No, but much better: drive the donkey out.
' Xanth. How well and craftily he dropped the bait
To make you let him through. Bdel. But he caught nothing.
That haul at least, for I perceived the trick.
But I will in, and fetch the donkey out.
No, no ; he shan't come slipping through again.
day. In the Knights (43) Cleon is represented as a Paphlagonian slave, whom the Athenian Demus had purchased $\tau \hat{\eta}$
 (iii. 38) a master complains that a strong lusty Phrygian slave whom he had pur-
 named Novp ${ }^{\prime} \eta u \dot{s}$, was turning out a dead loss ( $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho i \grave{c}$ § $\eta \mu i a:$ cf. Acharnians, 737), sleeping like an Epimenides, and eating like four hedgers and ditchers; whilst in 1d. iii. 61, a ruined spendthrift bewails
the treatment he receives from a mere novus homo, the son (he understands) of some barbaric mother: EkvAioios oi ifa

174. каӨîkev.] Dropped, let down, as an anchor, a plummet, a fishing-line. Here it is used in the last sense, and the metaphor is continued in Bdelycleon's answer. The Scholiast refers to the proverb (Thesm. 928) aĩ $\tau \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \nu \theta_{o s}$
 $\pi \nu о ф \dot{́} \sigma \epsilon$.
$\kappa \alpha ́ \nu ө \omega \nu, \tau i ́ \kappa \lambda a ́ \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$ òть $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho а ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu ;$180ка́тш үє тоитоии́ тиข’ ข̇тобєठчко́та.


185
v́ $\phi \in \lambda \kappa \epsilon$ Өàtтоу aủтóv. ё цıарө́татоऽ,
ФI. єí $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma u ́ \chi \omega \varsigma ~ \mu a \chi o u ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. ..... 190


179. кáv $\theta \omega \nu$.] Bdelycleon goes to the door, and immediately returns with the donkey. But his father has meanwhile bethought him of the old Homeric legend, and is clinging on beneath the ass, as Odysseus clung on beneath the large and fleecy ram to escape from the blinded Cyclops. The ass moves slowly and heavily out, like the over-weighted ram which bore the wily Ithacan. It must be remembered that in Hellenic houses the stables were jast inside the hall-door. Vitruvias, vi. 10, sec. 50 (ed. Poleni).
184. OỦ̌ts.] This was the name which Odysseus assumed in the cave of Polyphemus, and which proved of essential service in assisting his escape. The story is told in the Ninth Book of the Odyssey and in the Cyclops of Euripides.
185. 'A $\pi о \delta \rho a \sigma t \pi \pi i \delta o v]. ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda а к \epsilon ~ т \grave{~}$


 каì тò̀ $\mu$ ápтора.-Scholiast. See the note on 1408 infra. But comparing the passage before us with 1310 infra, I cannot help suspecting that in the Athenian slang of the period, a donkey must have been sometimes styled $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$, a caller, perhaps from its loud discordant bray.
 says the Scholiast. For oै ôov $\sigma \kappa \iota a ̀$ was a proverbial expression, used by Sophocles, Plato, and many other writers, to denote the veriest trifle. The well-known story from which it was derived is said (and the aneclote is repeated by a Scholiast here), to have been employed with great effect by Demosthenes before an Athenian dicastery. He was defending a

Donkey, why grieve? at being sold to-day?
Gee up! why grunt and groan, unless you carry Some new Odysseus there? Xanrm. And, in good truth,
Here is a fellow clinging on beneath.
Bdel. Who? where? Xante. Why here. Bdel. Why what in the world is this? Who are you, sirrah? Piell. Noman I, by Zeus.
Bdel. Where from? Phil. From Ithaca, son of Runaway.
Bdel. Noman I promise to no good you'll be.
Drag him out there from under. O the villain,
The place he had crept to! Now he seems to me
The very image of a sompnour's foal.
Phil. Come now, hands off: or you and I shall fight.
Bdel. Fight! what about? Peri. Abnut a donkey's shadow.
Bdel. You're a born bad one, with your tricks and fetches.
prisoner on a capital charge, and observed that the judges were listless and inattentive. Thereapon he said, "Gentlemen, I have an amusing tale to tell you. A man hired an ass to take him from Athens to Megara. The sun was so hot at noon thas he got off and sat down beneath the shadow of the ass. The driver objected. 'What, man,' cried the traveller, ' did I not hire your ass for the day ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ' 'Ay truly,' replied the driver, 'to carry but not to shelter you.' Each party insisted on his view of the bargain, neither would give way, and finally they went to law about it." The orator ceased, but the judges clamoured to know the result of the dispute. "What!" said Demosthenes, reascending the bema, " are ye so interested in a dispute about a donkey's shadow (intèp ơvov $\sigma \kappa(a ̂ s)$ ), and yet in a matter of life and death ( $\dot{\pi} \pi \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{\rho}}$
$\psi u \chi \bar{\eta} s)$ will not even take the trouble to listen?" However, to my mind the notoriety of the proverb strongly militates against the literal accuracy of the anecdote.
 notion of an advance forward; and, when used with a genitive, may mean either ' far advanced in,' or 'far advanced from., It is quite possible therefore that $\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega$ Té $\chi \nu \eta s$ might signify, as Mitchell says, far advanced in artifice. But on the whole I agree with the Scholiast, and the general body of commentators, in taking it as equivalent to $\begin{gathered}\alpha \\ \tau \\ \\ \chi \\ \nu \bar{\omega} s . ~\end{gathered}$ The expression is of course applied not to Philocleon (who is full of tricks,
 which is not artificial, but natural and








$\ddot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \grave{v} \pi \circ \lambda \lambda o u ̀ \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda i \theta \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ өúpa $\nu$,
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$\sigma o v ̂ \sigma o \hat{v}, \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \sigma o v . ~ \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta \hat{i} \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \iota \kappa \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau \tau o \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \nu$


фv́ $\sigma \epsilon$. Пapáßo入os is 'desperate, reckless.'
195. inoyáorptov.] Bdelycleon had likened the old man to the foal of an ass, and called him пovpoòs, which Philocleon understands in the sense of corrupt
 àvì̀ $\tau o u ̄ ~ \sigma a \pi \rho a ́ .-S c h o l i a s t), ~ a n d ~ r e t o r t s, ~$ "Wait till you taste my ínoyáorpıov." For the stuffed paunch of an ass was accounted a delicacy at Athens.
197. K $\bar{\lambda} \epsilon \omega^{\prime}$.] So infra 409 the $\xi v \nu \delta \delta-$ кaбтaì, preparing for battle, at once send for aid to Cleon, their powerful patron ( $\delta ~ к \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$, infra 242). And so conversely in Knights, 255, the great demagogue himself, on the first approach of
danger, summons his friends and supporters, the dicasts, to stand by him in the impending conflict.
 no doubt, as the Scholiast remarks, afford a more natural and easy construction; but $\pi p o \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ seems to be used intransitively or with $\operatorname{tì\nu } \theta_{v}^{\prime} \rho a \nu$ understood, making fast with the beam. Dobree renders it, 'And putting the door to, with the beam against it, roll the great mortar to the foot of the heam.' The $\mu 0 \chi \lambda$ òs or bar which, since line 154, had been removed to permit the egress of the donkey, is to be replaced in its natural position across the door, and the $\beta$ ánavos shot through it into the socket behind.

Phil. Bad! O my gracious! then you don't know yet
How good I am : but wait until you taste The seasoned paunchlet of a prime old judge.
Bdel. Get along in, you and your donkey too.
Phil. O help me fellow-dicasts: help me, Cleon!
Boel. Bellow within there when the door is shut.
Now pile a heap of stones against the door, And shoot the door-pin home into the bar, And heave the beam athwart it, and roll up, Quick, the great mortar-block. Sos. (Starting.) Save us! what's that? Whence fell that clod of dirt upon my head?

- Xantr. Belike some mouse dislodged it from above.

Sos. A mouse? O, no, a rafter-haunting dicast, Wriggling about behind the tiling there.
Bdec. Good lack! the man is changing to a sparrow.
Sure he'll fly off: where, where's the casting-net?
Shoo! shoo there! shoo! 'Fore Zeus, 'twere easier work 'Io guard Scione than a sire like this.

Then the סokos or beam (a large timberprop, usually called the du $\nu \tau \beta a \dot{r} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ or Resister) is to be fixed against it; and, finally, the great ${ }^{f} \lambda \mu o s$ is to be rolled up, as a support to the $\delta o \kappa o ́ s$. If $\dot{o} \lambda \mu \nu \nu$ were, as it used to be considered, the accusative after $\pi p o \sigma \theta$ eis, we should be obliged, with Mitchell, to suppose that the speech was left unfinished, being interrupted by the sudden ejaculation of Sosias; but this can scarcely be right.
202. ot of 0 deiरalos.] As they are still securing the door, Sosias is startled by something falling upon his head. Philocleon has in fact shifted his position, and has now emerged like a $\sigma \tau \rho o \hat{\theta} \theta$ as upon the top of the house.
206. bpoфias.] This was the special epithet of a race of snakes, which infested the rafters and roofs of Greek houses. Hesychius s.v.; Pollnx, vii. segm. 120; Eustathius on Odyssey, ii. 337. The Scholiast's idea that the epithet was applied to mice as well as to snakes seems to be quite groundless, and is probably derived from a faulty interpretation of the passage before us. Conz suggests that there is a pun in the word $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \sigma \tau \tau \grave{j}$, " quod sumi possit de serpente apricante," but he forgets that the sun has not yet risen.
210. Eкєف́vクข.] Scione, on the perinsula of Pallene, was at the time closely besieged by a large Athenian force. The

## $\Sigma \Omega$. ä $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$, є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ тоиторі̀ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma о \beta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$,  


оi $\xi v \nu \delta \iota \kappa а \sigma \tau а \grave{\imath}$ таракадоиิขтєऽ тоутоข̀




 à $\rho \chi a \iota o \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \delta \omega \nu \dot{\prime} \phi \rho \nu \nu \iota \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho a \tau a$,
siege had been commenced in the preceding year; a wall of circumvallation had been drawn around the doomed town; and its inhabitants were cut off from all communication with the outer world. Yet they held out for two years; and the summer of B.c. 421 was far advanced before they were reduced to surrender. The story of this little town, its bright hopes and tragical end, is one of the saddest episodes in the pages of Thucydides.
213. ठ̈ $\sigma o \nu$ ö $\sigma o \nu \quad \sigma \pi i \lambda \eta \nu$.] The double of $\sigma o \nu$ here seems mainly due to the drowsiness of the speaker, though örov ö $\sigma o v$, in time, acquired a distinct mean-


 huc modicum aliquantulum, qui venturus est veniet, et non tardabit: justus autem meus exfide vivet. With $\sigma r i \lambda \eta \nu$, 'a drop of sleep,' compare the 廿aкàs aprupiov of Peace, 121, and the "gutta argenti" of Plautus, Pseudolus i. 4. 4.
216. ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta$ 保 Batis.] The dim twilight that precedes the dawn. Plato in the

Protagoras reckons it as a part of the night-season, $\tau \bar{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho \in \lambda \theta \circ \hat{\prime} \sigma \eta \eta_{s} \nu \cup \kappa \tau o ̀ s \tau a v \tau \eta$ -
 is used again by Plato (Crito adinit.: the two passages from Plato are cited by Mitchell) ; by St.Luke (Evang. xxiv. 1); by Theocritus (Epithalamium Helenæ, 14); and by other writers. Mr. Calverley, in his pleasant version of Theocritus, is misled by the ordinary meaning of $\beta a \theta i$ s into translating ö $\boldsymbol{\rho} \theta \rho o s$ ßatùs 'deep into the day.' But the epithet $\beta$ a $\theta$ is implies that the thick dulness of night has not yet yielded to the clear transparency of day. So when the shades of evening are closing and deepening into night it is $\epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ p a \quad \beta a \theta \epsilon i a$ (Achilles Tatius, ii. 18; Heliodorus, v.21); nightitselfis $n \dot{\xi} \xi \beta=\theta \in i a$ (Plutarch de Pyth. Orac. ad init.; Heliodorus, viii. 12); and in the depth or dead of night we are ėv ßaӨvtáтŋ vvктi (St. Chrys. Hom. x. in Matt. 146 c). One of the meanings attributed by Hesychius to $\beta a \theta \dot{v}$ is $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu$, which may possibly refer to this very usage. That it was still dark is plain from the ensuing scene.

Sos. Well but at last we have fairly scared him in, He can't slip out, he can't elude us now, So why not slumber just a-just a - drop?
Bdel. Slumber, you rogue! when in a little while His fellow-justices will come this way Calling him up. Sos. Why sir, 'tis twilight yet.
Bdel. Why then, by Zeus, they are very late to-day. Soon after midnight is their usual time To come here, carrying lights, and warbling tunes Sidono-Phrynich-beautiful-antique
 as they walked together through the streets of Athens, used frequently to chant in chorus some favourite and popular old song. This was especially the habit of country people who chanced
to be abiding in the city. And Praxagora in the Ecclesiazusa, 277, 8, when training her female conspirators to pass off as men, instructs them to do the like :
 'Charming old songs from the Phoenissm

 Фри́vixos кai тò épatóv.—Scholiast. Phrynichus was the favourite tragedian of the Athenian stage, until in his later years he was somewhat eclipsed by the rising splendour of Æschylus. His tragedies were of a lyrical character, full of dance and song. The actor said little, but the chorus poured forth melody after melody, strung together like beads on a necklace: see Frogs, 910-915. "Phrynichus, says the Scholiast on this place, had a mighty name for making of songs: and in another place, He was admired, zays he, for the making of songs [Schol.
on Birds, 750]; they cry him up for the composing of tunes; and he was before 2Eschylus [Schol. on Frogs, 910]. 'Tis a problem of Aristotle's [Probl. xix. 13] Why did Phrynichus make more songs than any tragedian now-a-days? And he answers it, Was it because at that time the songs (sung by the chorus) in tragedies were many more than the verses (spoken by the actors)?"-Bentley, Dissertations on Phalaris, sec. xi. (Some of Bentley's remarks on Phrynichus have so important a bearing on the Wasps that I have given them in full at the end of the Play). The songs of Phrynichus are repeatedly mentioned by Aristophanes, and always in terms expressive of the warmest admiration: cf. inf. 269 ;








$\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \phi \eta \kappa \iota a ̀ \nu$ ठıабкєठळَ．



$\AA \Sigma \tau \rho v \mu o ́ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon K o \nu \theta \nu \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma v \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$,


Birds， 749 ；Thesm．164－6；Frogs， 1299. In the Birds he is likened to a bee， culling from the music of the nightin－ gale the sweets of immortal song：a simile which，it must be confessed，is strongly in favour of reading $-\mu \epsilon \lambda_{l}$ for $-\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$－in the second limb of the com－ pound word here；and indeed the read－ ing has much to recommend it，and is supported by very considerable authority， but it is not now found in the best Aristophanic MSS．The Phœnissæ was probably acted about fifty－four years be－ fore the Wasps；and was therefore first witnessed by the chorus in that fresh early youth（see note on 236 infra）when the mind is most susceptible，and the memory most retentive．It was similar in plot to the Persæ of ※schylus（see Bp．Blomfield＇s preface to the latter Play），and derived its name from a chorus
of Sidonian damsels，who doubtless poured forth a succession of plaintive and tender threnodies over their sailor relatives who had fallen in the battle of Salamis．Very few fragments of the Phoenissæ remain：the Scholiast here

 Wagner＇s Fragm．Trag．Græc．vol．iii． p．8，and Müller＇s Literature of Greece， xxi． 7.

2こ2．тоís $\lambda$ ítoıs $\beta$ 人 $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu є \nu$ ．］There were plenty of stones all ready to their hand，see 199 supra．But as to $\beta a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}^{-}$－ $\sigma \sigma \mu \in \nu$ ，Bdelycleon reminds him that the dicasts also ßá入入ovat（inf．227），though in a different manner．

230．XOPOE．］The actors withdraw to their original positions，and after a short pause the CHORUS make their appear－


Wherewith they call him out. Sos. And if they come, Had we not better pelt them with some stones?
Bdel. Pelt them, you rogue! you might as well provoke
A nest of wasps as anger these old men.
Each wears beside his loins a deadly sting,
Wherewith they smite, and on with yells and cries
They leap, and strike at you, like sparks of fire.
Sos. Tut, never trouble, give me but some stones,
I'll chase the biggent wasps-nest of them all.
Chorus. Step out, step out, my comrades stout: no loitering, Comias, pound along, You're shirking now, you used, I vow, to pull as tough as leathern thong,
Yet now, with ease, Charinades can walk a brisker pace than you.
Ho ! Strymodore of Conthylè, the best of all our dicast crew,
Has old Euergides appeared, and Chabes too from Phlya, pray?
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ Пápoóov $\pi о \iota o \bar{v} \nu \tau a u$. .-Scholiast. They are dressed up to resemble Wasps, and are armed with formidable stings. In their youth they had fought bravely for Athens, but now they are decrepit necessitous old men, earning a scanty subsistence by their dicastic pay. The Coryphæus is mustering his troop, and exhorting them, by every argument he can suggest, to quicken their palsied footsteps. They pick their way slowly on, their sons carrying lanterns by their side; and everywhere the contrast between their public self-importance and domestic penury is sharply and vividly brought out. And their entrance is made, not to the brisk trochaic move,ment, but to a slow, halting measure, the iambic tetrameter catalectic. In the translation, to avoid the necessity of a doulle rhyme, I have added a syllable
both to this metre and to the long Aristophanic lines.
231. í $\mu$ ès kúvetos.] This may mean either a dog's leash, or a dog-skin strap. The former interpretation is adopted ly Schneider (Index Græcitatis in Xen. Opusc. s. v. ímàs) and Mitchell; the latter by the Scholiasts, Elmsley (at Ach. 724), and the Commentators generally. And
 Homer's Iliad xxiii. 324), taúpetos (of tough bull's-hide) and the like, seems to me almost conclusive in favour of the latter interpretation.
232. Xapıuáíns.] On the names K. $\omega \mu$ ias and Xapıváôns see note at Peace, 1142. Conthyle and Phlya are two Attic demes, the former seldom, the latter very frequently, mentioned in ancient writers. 'Eati nov 'ขuaüөa, Is he anywhere here?

<br><br><br>  <br>  



 corps) $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \epsilon \tau \tau .-S c h o l i a s t . ~$
236. Busaytic.] All the military reminiscences of the chorus go back to the heroic times which culminated in the victories of Cimon about half a century before. The captare of Byzantium (Thuc. i. 94), the conquest of Naxos (infra 355, Thuc. i. 98), and the storming of many cities of the Medes (infra 1098), all belong to those last splendid efforts of Panhellenic patriotism.
239. тô̂ kopkópov.] This is thought to be the anagallis arvensis of Linnæus, our pimpernel. The genitive is in accordance with the Attic usage, of which such phrases as катє́aүa rồ крaviov are perhaps the most familiar examples; каì тov̂ro 'Atrikòv, says the Scholiast on Lucian's


 Cf. infra 1428. On the succeeding words, катабхícavтes avivòv, the Scholiast rightly


means the $\theta v \in i a$ orporyüㄱ $\eta$ (Clouds, 676), wherein bread was kneaded, was cut up by the young freebooters into $\sigma \chi i \xi a t$ or firewood. These stern administrators of the law are as pleased to recount the lawless feats of their youth, as was Justice Shallow in Shakespeare's King Henry the Fourth. See infra 354.
 ${ }^{\eta}$ toooûtóv $\tau \iota$, says the Scholiast. The mysterious vagueness of the language makes it all the more impressive. Laches, a rude gallant soldier of the Lamachus type, had been despatched with twenty ships to Sicily, B.c. 427, nearly five years before the date of the Wasps. The expedition was sent out in answer to the memorable embassy from Leontini, of which the sophist Gorgias had been the rhetorical spokesman (Diodorus, xii. 53; Plato, Hippias Major, 282 B), and to which Aristophanes in the Parabasis of the Acharnians (636-640) is supposed to refer (Ranke, Vit. Aristoph. §33, Thiersch); butthe commanders were instructed to take advantage of any opening which might increase the influence of Athens and tend to the ulti-

Ah! here it strains, the poor remains, alas! alàs! alack the day, Of that mad set, I mind it yet, when once we paced our nightly round, In years gone by, both you and I, along. Byzantium's wall, and found And stole away the baker's tray, and sliced it up, and chopped it well, A merry blaze therewith to raise, and so we cooked our pimpernel.
On, on again, with might and main : for Laches' turn is come to-day: Quick, look alive, a splendid hive of wealth the fellow's got, they say. And Cleon too, our patron true, enjoined us each betimes to bring Of anger sore, an ample store, a good three days' provisioning :
mate subjugation of Sicily (Thuc. iii. 86). Laches, however, effected little in this respect; and two years later he was superseded by Pythodorus (Thuc. iii. 115) : єikòs oủv, says the Scholiast, $\mu \epsilon \tau a-$
 K $\omega \mu$ ккòs $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ оує́́єє. Such was certainly the fate of his successors, who were fined or banished for accepting bribes (Thuc. iv. 65). And there can indeed be no doubt that Aristophanes is here alluding to a real historical incident, and that Laches was in fact accused by Cleon of peculation in his command; his real offence being, according to our poet, that although he had made 'a pot of money' ( $\left.\sigma{ }_{i}^{\prime} \mu \beta \lambda o \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\right)$, he had not admitted his accuser to a share of his gains. Compare Knights 438-440. The charge, however, appears to have made but little impression ; for we find Laches, soon after his recall from Sicily, and thenceforward to the end of his life, holding a high and honourable position in the Athenian Republic. It was he who, in the spring of b.c. 423 , a year before the date of the Wasps, was put forward to move the confirmation by the

Assembly of the one year's truce with 'the Spartans (Thuc. iv. 118); for whose military prowess he seems to have entertained a profound respect (Plato, Laches, cap. 6); and five years afterwards he fell fighting against them in the battle of Mantinea, a battle disastrous, but no way inglorious, to the Athenian arms (Thue. v. 61,74 ). We shall have by and by, in burlesque, a full account of the trial $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ к $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ катà $\tau o \hat{v} \Lambda a ́ \chi \eta \tau o s$, and the reader is referred to the notes there for a further consideration of the life and character of Laches.
242. èv wopa.] Betimes. Richter, whose work is full of the most unaccountable blunders, assigns, apparently with approbation, to $\chi \theta$ ès the gloss $\tau a \chi^{\prime} \omega \bar{\omega}$, which the Scholiast of course intended for $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu x_{0 \rho a}$. The words of the Scho-
 $\tau а \chi^{\epsilon} \omega \varsigma$.
243. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$.] In the ordinary proclamation which called out soldiersfor active service, they were required to bring with them 'three days' rations' ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \epsilon \nu$
 on Peace, 312. The phrase d̀pyウ̀̀ поunpàv




 XO. кá $\rho \phi о \varsigma ~ \chi a \mu \hat{a ̂} \theta \in ́ \nu \nu \nu \nu \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda u ́ \chi \nu о \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ ß v \sigma o \nu . ~$








recurs in Lysistrata, 1023. And with $\eta_{\iota \text { tces }}$ in verse 245 compare inf. 728.
247. $\lambda i \theta \omega \nu$.] See note on 222 supra. The MSS. and editions vary between $\lambda a \neq \omega \nu$ and $\lambda i$ Oos; but I have adopted Reisig's compromise of $\lambda i \theta \omega \nu$ on two
grounds, (1) because it would be more easily corrupted into the two MS. readings than either of them into the other : and (2) because Aristophanes may well be mimicking some such passage as those to which Reisig refers.
$\dot{\omega} s t \nu \pi \rho o \dot{z} \xi \in \rho \in v \nu\{\sigma \omega \sigma \tau\{B o \nu$,


See note on 3 supra. And as to the phrase какóv $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ d $\rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$ see the note on 168 supra.
248. The lights begin to grow dim.

And the metre changes from the ordinary ${ }^{-}$ iambic tetrameter catalectic to a compound iambo-trochaic, commonly called the fourteen-syllable Euripidean metre:

$$
\underline{\simeq}-|v-|\simeq-|v-||-v|-v|-\underline{u}
$$

The change consists merely in the abstraction of the first syllable of the fifth foot of the iambic tetrameter. Thus, if

 leave the first iambic dimeter complete; but the second is converted into a tro' chaic dimeter brachy-catalectic, or ithy-
phallic measure, $\mathrm{K} \omega \mu i a$ ßpaoivects. The Scholiast says, $\pi$ ais тis $\pi \rho о \eta \gamma о$ и́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$







On all the man's unrighteous plans a vengeance well-deserved to take. Come, every dear and tried compeer, come, quickly come, ere morning break, And as you go, be sure you throw the light around on every side; Lest somewhere nigh a stone may lie, and we therefrom be damnified.
Boy. O father, father, here's some mud! look sharp or in you'll go. Chor. Pick up a stick, and trim the wick, a better light to show. Boy. Nay, father, with my finger, thus, I choose to trim the lamp.
Chor. How dare you rout the wick about, you little wasteful scamp, And that with oil so scarce? but no, it don't disturb your quiet, However dear the oil may be, when I have got to buy it.
Bor. If with your knuckles once again you 'monish us, I swear
We'll douse the light, and take to flight, and leave you floundering there.
Then wading on without the lamp in darkness, I'll be bound
You'll stir and splash the mud about, like snipes in marshy ground.
illustrated by Hephæstion, chap. xv. (On compound or disjointed metres, $\pi \in \rho i$ à $\sigma v \nu a \rho \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ ) from Euripides himself,
 and from Callimachus,

Dr. Barham, in his edition of Hephæstion, p. 227, translates the latter couplet in the same metre:

Apollo surely 's in the choir: hark, the lyre resounding.
And there too I the Loves discern; there too Aphrodite.

An exactly similar metre (iambic tetrameter changing to Euripidean) is employed in exactly similar circumstances in the Lysistrata, 254-259 and 266274. The most familiar specimens of compound metres are in the Odes and Epodes of Horace, e. g. Odes, i. 4, Epodes xi. xiii; and Bentley's notes on the former epode contain an admirable dissertation on the subject. The MSS. and early editions, by interpolating a small particle or other harmless monosyllable, have converted many of these
lines into ordinary iambic tetrameters catalectic, as e. g. $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \pi \eta \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu, ~ \varpi \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$,
 intruding syllables were not thoroughly weeded out until the time of Brunck.






 páséts.-Scholiast.

 $\kappa о \cup ้ \kappa$ 光 $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ oủ $\chi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\tau} \epsilon \tau \tau a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ тò $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \tau o \nu$ 260





 órt.-Scholiast. The word is used of judicial puaishments supra 244, infra 406, 927, etc.
259. à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oútoбi $\mu$ оь $\beta$ óp $\beta$ opos.] The state of affairs is even worse than the boy had led them to believe. It is not mere $\pi \eta \lambda$ òs, mud, it is absolute $\beta$ óp $\beta$ opos, filth, on which they find themselves treading. The $a \lambda \lambda a ̀$ points to this, and at the same time marks the transition from their dreams of public self-importance to the petty needs and discomforts of their daily life. And there is not the slightest excuse for Hermann's strange proposal to change $\beta$ óp $\beta$ opos into $\mu a ́ \rho \mu a-$ pos, a proposal which he attempts to justify by such reasoning as this: "At quomodo hic senex, altero ut lutum vitaret monito, ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ d i c e r e ~ p o t u i t ? ~ q u o m o d o, ~$ quod gravius est, si et ipse se in luto incedere sentiat, non pluisse potius quam intra quartum diem futurum esse pluvium dicere?"-De Choro Vesp. p. 7. Such arguments as these are really undeserving of serious consideration. It is from the state of the lamps, and not from the state of the roads, that the weather prognostics are drawn; and the кai with which the succeeding verse
commences shows that the impending rain will be a continuation of, and not a departure from, the present position of affairs.
260. ทֹ $\mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тєт兀óp $\omega \nu$ тò $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \tau o \nu$. Within four days at the farthest. elow
 Scholiast. To these feeble old men, painfully groping their way along the streets in the dim and uncertain twilight, the condition of those streets from day to day was a matter of no small importance. A loose stone might cripple one of their number (supra 247, cf. infra 275); a wet puddle might cause them discomfort through the whole sitting of the court. At present their prospects in this respect are unusually gloomy. They are already floundering in the poached filth that floods the middle street; and the thieves in the lamp-wicks afford a sure augury that yet more rain will fall within a very few days. Such genitives as $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \tau-$ тápwy are constantly used (probably with द́vtòs understood), to signify within the space of. Cf. Hdt. ii. 115, aủ тò̀ $\delta \epsilon \in \sigma \in \kappa а \grave{\iota}$ тov̀s $\sigma o u ̀ s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \lambda o ́ o u s ~ \tau \rho l \omega ̂ \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho o-$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau о р \mu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. Soph. Elect. 478, $\mu \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$,


Chor. Ah, greater men than you, my boy, 'tis often mine to beat. But, bless me, this is filth indeed I feel beneath my feet: Ay, and within four days from this, or sooner, it is plain, God will send down upon our town a fresh supply of rain: So dense and thick around the wick these thieves collect and gather, And that's, as everybody knows, a sign of heavy weather. Well, well, 'tis useful for the fruits, and all the backward trees, To have a timely fall of rain, and eke a grood North breeze.

Ach. 782; Fritzsche at Thesm. 806. The Chorus are not, as Richter imagines, inferring from the mud that rain has fallen within the last four days; they would have known that without the evidence supplied by the mud. They are inferring from the cloggy wicks that rain will fall within the next four days. The use of yoùv in line 262 is conclusive in favour of this construction, which is in fact required by the whole tenor of the passage.
262. $\mu v \kappa \pi \tau \tau \epsilon$.] These are the fungous excrescences which collect on the wick.
 -Theophr. de Signis Pluv. iii. Pluviæ (nuntii) in lucernis fungi.-Pliny, N. H. xviii. 84. In the Metamorphoses of Apuleius, Book ii. (to which Florent Chretien refers), Pamphile, lucernam intuens, Quam largus imber, dicit, aderit crastino. The Scholiast quotes from Aratus (Diosemeia, 976), who enumerates these fungi among the signs of rain:

Núкта катд̀ бкотíŋ
And Florent Chretien refers to Virgil (Georgics i. 390), whose weather prognostics are almost entirely borrowèd from Aratus:

- Ne nocturna quidem carpentes pensa puellæ

Nescivere hiemem, testâ quum ardente vidcrent
Scintillare oleum, et putres concrescere fungos.
"Nam, ut dicit Plinius, cum aer humidus Aratus, ubi supr. Thomson in his esse coeperit, favilla, quæ cum fumo solet egredi, prohibita aeris crassitate in lucernis residet, et quasdam velut fungorum imitatur imagines."-Servius ad Virgil. loc. cit. Similar explanations are Seasons (Winter) merely translates the lines of Virgil.
 pare the language of Solomon's Soug, iv. 16 :
ò үє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ oủ $\delta$ ’ и́такои́єє ;
$\mu \hat{\nu}$ ảто入ต́̀ $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$ тàs
$\grave{\epsilon} \mu \beta a ́ \delta a \varsigma, \eta ̀ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ к о \psi ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu$
275
$\tau \hat{\iota}$ бко́т $\varphi$ тò̀ $\delta \alpha ́ \kappa т \nu \lambda o ́ v ~ \pi o v$,

But I do not know whether in this passage the north wind is (as Bp. Wordsworth supposes) invited to come, or whether, on the contrary, it is asked to make way for "the sweet south." Bopéas
 is the Septuagint version of Proverbs xxvii. 16. It is certainly surprising to find that the backward fruit-trees would be benefited by a touch of 'the North wind's breath;' yet it does in fact seem that in Greece the colder winds were considered not unfavourable to the growth of fruits. In the octagonal Tower of the winds, still standing in Athens, the east wind (Apeliotes) is represented with its mantle full of fruits, pears, apples, citrons, and pomegranates
(Sir G. Wheler, Journey into Greece, Book v., Bp. Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, chap. xix.). Yet even there Boreas is represented as coming empty-handed, "because he is," says Sir G. Wheler, "a barren wind, bringing nothing;" and although his next neighbour (Kaikias), the north-east wind, is described by Bp . Wordsworth as presenting a plateau of olives, being the prnduction to which its influence is favourable, yet Sir George Wheler thinks it is upsetting and destroying the olives, whilst Stuart (Stuart and Revell's Antiquities of Athens) is positive that what it holds is not a dish of olives at all, but a shield full of hailstones. With $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ картіншу compare Peace, 1154.

> But how is this? Our friend not here! how comes it he's so slack? By Zeus, he never used to be at all a hanger-back. He always marched before us all, on legal cares intent, And some old tune of Phrynichus he warbled as he went. O he's a wonder for the songs! Come, comrades, one and all, Come stand around the house, and sing, its master forth to call. If once he hears me tuning up, I know it won't be long Before he comes creep, creeping out, from pleasure at the song.

> How is it our friend is not here to receive us?
> Why comes he not forth from his dwelling?
> Can it be that he's had the misfortune to lose
> His one pair of shoes;
> Or striking his toe in the dark, by the grievous
> Contusion is lamed, and his ancle inflamed?
> Or his groin has, it may be, a swelling.
> He of us all, I ween,
> Was evermore the austerest, and most keen.
> Alone no prayers he heeded:
266. тí $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a \pi \pi^{\prime} \pi \sigma \nu \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$.] What can the matter be with. By this time the chorus have arrived at Philocleon's house, and are astonished to find that he is not, as usual, at the door, ready to join their party. On the inconsistency of this with the previous narrative of Xanthias, see the remarks in the Preface.
269. $\phi i \lambda \omega \delta$ ós.] Here we have the first intimation of Philocleon's passion for the old orchestral melodies of Phrynichus, which is developed in so surprising a manner in the closing scenes of the Play. On Phrynichus see above 220, and infra 1490.
270. $\sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau a s$.] $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \theta v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o u ̂ ~ T i \lambda o-$ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu o s ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \nu t \epsilon s ~ o ̂ ~ t o v ̂ ~ X o p o v ̂ ~ t o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \mu o \nu ~$

273.] The song which follows is undoubtedly, either in metrical arrangement or in phraseology, or in both, an imitation of one of those 'sweet old songs of Phrynichus,' wherewith the Chorus were wont to call their fellowlabourer forth: supra 219-221. It is well suited for the dance, being composed of the lightest and most airy measures, Ionics a minore, trochaics and dactylo-trochaics. The strophe ends


 Frogs, 1280. Aristotle (Hist. Animal. I. x. 5) defines $\beta$ ouß $\beta \grave{\nu}$ to be кou ${ }^{\prime} \nu \mu^{\prime} \rho$ роs ипрой каĭ グтроv.

> ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ó $\pi o ́ \tau$ ' à $\nu \tau \iota \beta o \lambda o i ́ \eta$
> $\tau \iota \varsigma, \kappa a ́ \tau \omega \kappa น ่ \tau \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ầ $\nu$ oũ $\tau \omega$,
> $\lambda i \theta_{o \nu}$ é $\psi \in \iota$, , ẻ $\lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \overline{ }$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi o \nu, \text { ôs } \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma \delta_{\iota \epsilon \delta} \iota_{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\tau a ̉ \nu \sum \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi$ т $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о$ к катєіттои,
סıà $\tau 0 \hat{\tau}{ }^{\prime}$ ó $\delta u \nu \eta \theta \in i s$
285
279. outr.] The speaker imitates the well-known manner of the old dicast: cf. infra 688, 1169, and 1526. The expression $\lambda_{i} \theta_{0}$ édets in the following line is equivalent to our vulgar phrase, "You are seeking to draw blood from a gate-post."
283. tà $\Sigma a ́ \mu \varphi$.] There is no known historical event to which these words can refer, except what is called the Revolt of Samos in the year b.c. 440; a revolt which for the moment imperilled
the whole fabric of Athenian power, but ultimatelyleft the position of the Imperial city more clearly recognized and more firmly established than ever. Fighteen years had passed since then, but the memories of that critical period may well have lingered in the minds of the Atherian people.
 infra 778. Compare Plautus, Truculentus ii. 7.36 :

Quisnam illic homo est
Qui ipsus se comest, tristis, oculis malis?

But кapoíà $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, cor comedere, is a far more common and familiar phrase: see infra 374 and the note there.

the date of the Wasps, Brasidas was still busy amongst the Atherian dependencies on the N.W. coast of the सgean, $\tau \dot{a} \pi i$ Epọkns: see note on Peace, 283. He was

Whene'er for grace they pleaded,
He bent (like this) his head,
You cook a stone, he said.
Is it all of that yesterday's man who cajoled us,
And slipped through our hands, the deceiver,
Pretending a lover of Athens to be,
Pretending that he
Was the first, of the Samian rebellion that told us?
Our friend may be sick with disgust at the trick,
And be now lying ill of a fever.
That would be like him quite.
But now up, up, nor gnaw your soul with spite.
There comes a traitor base,
A wealthy rogue from Thrace.
Safe in our toils we've got him,
Up, up, old friend, and pot him!
just making or had just made a daring attempt to surprise the important town of Potidæa: an attempt which, had it succeeded, would have given him the command of the peninsula of Pallene, and enabled him to deliver the people of Scione from their impending doom (Thuc. iv. 135). The wealthy andleading inhabitants of the district, the men of substance, of
$\pi a \chi \epsilon i$ is, were suspected, and not without reason, of being generally disaffected to the Athenian rule, and were consequently watched with the utmost vigilance, and harassed with perpetual prosecutions as фpovoũves tà Bparioov and троóóvtes тảmi Ө $\rho a ́ k \eta s . ~ B e r g l e r ~ a p t l y ~$ compares Peace, 639,



See the whole passage, and the note there. And see also infra 475, and Knights, 262.
 to put in a pot, is said to be derived from the custom of exposing infants $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ $\chi^{u}$ roats, and hence to mean generally to
make away with. द̇ชरuтpıєis àvrì тov̂
 $\chi^{\text {úrpaus. }}$-Scholiast, who cites Ætschylus, Sophocles, and Pherecrates as using $\chi \nu \tau \rho i{ }^{2} \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the sense of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \tau \epsilon i \nu a u$. And so Hesychius and Suidas sub voc.

290

$\pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \eta ้ \nu \sigma o v ́ ~ \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$;
XO. тávv $\gamma^{\prime}, \bar{\omega} \pi a \iota \delta i o \nu . \quad \dot{a} \lambda \lambda ’ \in i-$
$\pi$ т̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau} i$ ß
$\kappa а \lambda o ́ \nu$; oî $\mu a \iota ~ \delta e ́ ~ \sigma ' ~ e ́ \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a ̉-~$ 295

ПA. $\mu \grave{a} \Delta i{ }^{\prime}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \chi a ́ \delta a \varsigma, \dot{\omega} \pi a \pi-$






$\sigma \grave{u}$ ס̀̀ $\sigma \hat{v} \kappa \alpha ́ \mu$ ' aiteîs.
 ended with the word é $\gamma \chi$ vepteís, and the Chorus are ready to proceed on their journey. On with you, they say to the link-
 $\bar{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, is the cry with which, in the Cyclops of Euripides, 52, the herdsmen urge on the cows towards the milking-place.

291-316.] This little dialogue, divided into a strophe and antistrophe of fourteen lines each, is introduced for the purpose of bringing out into stronger relief the res angusta domi, the narrow and needy penury of the speaker's domestic life; and of thus showing, what indeed it was the main purpose of the Play to show, that the dicasts gained from their support of the demagogues nothing but empty words, and were left in circumstances of actual destitution, whilst the
demagogues monopolized the real power, and honour, and wealth, the spoil and plunder of the Imperial system. See especially infra 664-685, lines which constitute the very pith and marrow of the Play. The prevailing metre of the present dialogue is the Ionic a minore u - - ; though the couplet $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \gamma$ à $\rho$ тov̂ $\delta \epsilon$ $-\delta \varepsilon i$ kai, and the corresponding couplet of the antistrophe (like the first line of the strophe and antistrophe of the $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ os which is just completed) may also be read as anapæstic dimeters, and such was probably the metre in the passage of the Theseus parodied below : see on 312 infra.
295. à $\sigma \tau \rho a \gamma$ áдovs.] These knucklebones of sheep and the like were used in ancient times exactly as, under the name of dibs, they are used by English

On with you, boy, on with you.
Bor. Father, if a boon I pray,
Will you grant it, father, eh?
Ceor. Certainly I will, my son.
Tell me what you'd have me buy.
Dibs, my son? Hey, my son?
Dibs it is, undoubtedly.
Bor. Dibs, my father! No, my father!
Figs! for they are sweeter far.
Chor. You be hanged first: yet you shall not
Have them, monkey, when you are.
Boy. Then, my father, woe betide you! Not another step I'll guide you. Снов. Is it not enough that I

With this paltry pay must buy
Fuel, bread, and sauce for three?
Must I needs buy figs for thee!


 Pollux, ix. segm. 126. More commonly, however, they were used as dice, and were in that character the favourite amusement of Hellenic boys. In Lucian's Fourth Dialogue of the Gods, Zeus, seeking to reconcile Ganymede to the prospect of a permanent stay in heaven, answers his natural question, "But who will play with me in heaven? I had plenty of playmates on Ida" (グע $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 saying, "You shall have Eros to play
 $\mu a ́ \lambda a$ ao $\lambda \lambda$ oús): as being tbe most tempting idea he could hold out to the boy's mind. And accordingly Apollonius

Rhodius, in a pretty and well-known passage (iii. 117), represents Ganymede and Eros engaged in a game of à $\sigma \tau \rho a^{\prime} a_{a-}$ $\lambda o l$ in heaven.
299. $\mu \dot{a} \Delta \Delta^{i}$.] The thrice-repeated $\mu \grave{a}$ $\Delta i$ greatly enhances the simplicity of the dialogue. In the translation such a repetition would have appeared constrained, and I have resorted to other modes of indicating the simplicity of the original.
302. oै $\psi o v$. .] In a note to the Pirate, chap. xi., Sir Walter Scott observes that "what is eat by way of relish to dry bread is called kitchen in Scotland, as cheese, dried fish, or the like relishing morsels." This is exactly the meaning of the Greek word oै qov. $^{\text {. In the preced- }}$ ing line toírov aủtòv signifies "myself and two others," "two besides myself."
ПА. ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \nu, \stackrel{\AA}{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \eta$
то̀ סькабтท́pıov ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$
$\kappa a \theta_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \eta \nu \hat{v} \nu, \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \eta-\quad 305$
бó $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ă $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$; ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ è $\lambda$ -
$\pi i \delta a \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \tau \nu a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta}$

XO. $\dot{a} \pi a \pi a \hat{\imath}, \phi \in \hat{v}, \dot{a} \pi a \pi a \hat{\imath}, \phi \epsilon \hat{v}$,
$\mu a ̀ \Delta i^{\prime}$ oủk é' $\gamma \omega \gamma \in \nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$ oif


" $\nu$ ' є’ $\mu о \grave{~ т ~ т р a ́ \gamma \mu а т а ~ \beta o ́ \sigma к є \iota \nu ~ \pi а р е ́ \chi \chi n s ; ~}$

304. äp $p \omega \nu$.] For, as Pollux observes (viii. segm. 87), ioía (as opposed to koung, the conjoint action of the Board of Archons) oi $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o v \sigma \iota \pi o ́ т \epsilon$
 which the Archon held in the dicastery, some remarks will be found in the Preface.
308. mópoy 'Eג入às ifeoóv.] The boy having used the word aropov (in the
 $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$, Scholiast) goes on humming some well-known words of Pindar, in which, however, $\pi$ ópol means a ford, "the sacred
 are added merely to complete the familiar quotation, and have no connexion with, but rather make nonsense of, the preceding sentence. "Positâ primâ voce $\pi$ ópov pro $\pi$ of $\iota \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$," says Brunck, "ridiculi causâ luas insequentes addidit." And Conz illustrates the passage by an anecdote of a schoolmaster who, stirring up his boys to do some noble deed, began, Aude aliquid. and, without thinking of
what he was saying, added, brevibus Gyaris et carcere dignum. So, in my translation, if we suppose the Straits of Helle to be a popular phrase, we can understand how the boy, coming to the word straits, might continue the familiar words. How absolutely Richter misunderstands both the meaning and the metre of the passage may be judged from his comment," "Eג入às dicit, quasi sit ‘Eגdédos instar urbs Athenæ"! The passage of Pindar to which Aristophanes is referring is given by the Scholiast, חav-
 Boëckh, in whose collection it is Fragm. 197, says, " Pindarus loquitur de Xerxis exercitu: is enim ingenti omnium terrore super marinum Helles fretum sacrum profectus erat."
312.] We have here a parody of one of those $\Theta \rho \eta$ ŋुvoc which are of so frequent occurrence in Euripides, and indeed in all the Tragedians. The speaker imitates the wailing of the hapless children who, in the Theseus of Euripides, are selected

Boy. Father, if the Archon say That the Court won't sit to-day, Tell me truly, father mine, Have we wherewithal to dine? O my father, should not we Then in "Straits of Helle" be?
Chor. Out upon it! out uponit!
Then, indeed, I should not know
For a little bit of supper
Whither in this world to go.
Boy. Why, my mother, didst thou breed me, giving nothing else to feed me, But a store of legal woe?
Cror. Empty scrip! O empty show,
to be devoured of the Minotaur. The






 The translation universally adopted of this line, ut molestias sustineam in alendo patre, is neither obtainable from the Greek, nor suitable to the context. Far better than this is Cobet's suggestion to transfer the line to the Chorus; a sug. gestion which he himself repeatedly applauds, as being amongst the happiest of his Aristophanic ventures (Var. Lect. p. 67; Novæ Lect. Preface vii, pp. 17 and 393). "Raro in Aristophane emendando mibi videor fuisse felicior," he says. Yet in truth Cobet's arrangement would destroy a piece of genuine Aristophanic humour, thoroughly in accordance with the tone and spirit of the Play. Пapé $\overline{\epsilon \nu}$
is the strict and proper word to signify the supplying a person with food; as
 $\pi i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, and 736, тарє́ $\chi \omega \nu$ Хо́vסороу $\lambda \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. Пра́yната is of course perpetually used by Aristophanes in the sense of actions, lawsuits, as infra 1392, 1426. Bóvкet is to feed, to maintain, as infra 708, 720. Now the boy has just discovered, to his dismay, that his dinner depends upon the existence of a lawsuit (cf. infra 674); and accordingly he breaks into a passionate lamentt, "Why didst thou bear me, Mother, to give me lawsuits for food?" In the Theseus no doubt the boy had said, "Why didst thou bear me, Mother, to give me to the Ninotaur for food?"

314. Au入ákıò.] The dicast has brought his $\theta$ ú入akov, pouch or scrip, to receive his fees. If no fees are to be forthcoming, he is carrying a mere useless ornament. Bergler refers to Eccl. 381, where" ille qui tardius venerat in concionem nec
mercedem acceperat, dicit ${ }^{\text {© }} \sigma \tau^{3}$ à̉ $\sigma \chi \dot{\chi} \nu o \mu a \iota$
 And Richter adds Birls, 503, óßoخòv
 д́фєі̀̀коу, Eccl. 733, 820, and Plutus, 763. In the Theseus the ávóvgrov ă ${ }^{\text {an }} \mathbf{a} \lambda \mu a$ was the boy, the hope and ornament of the house, now doomed to an untimely end. Compare Eur. Hipp. 1139, -屯 тá入auva
 there collected by Bp. Monk.
316. тápa.] For тá $\rho \in \sigma \tau$. The Chorus are now about to move on, when they are arrested by the pitiful accents of a well-known voice, and the sudden apparition of a well-known form at an upper window of the house.
317. $\tau \dot{\eta} \kappa о \mu a \iota]. ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \kappa \sigma \theta a \iota$ is to melt, pine away, like a lover. In the Pastorals, of Lougus, a boy and girl, brought up
together in the fields, insensibly fall in love with each other; and Daphnis wonders at the new sensation which Chloe's kiss has given him : ékn $\eta \delta a ̂ a ̀$

 $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (i. 7); while a little later we are
 smitten with the like love for Daphnis. The little metrical system which follows from $\pi$ ádaı to $\mu$ ধүaßpóvta may be unscientifically described as consisting of a choriamb -w-, with one or more syllables at the eud, and generally also at the commencement, of the line. Sometimes the lines become pure glyconics and pherecrateans, as was first pointed out by Bentley, and afterwards (but before Bentley's notes had been discovered) by Porson at Hec. 1161. But in other places

> Bootless, fruitless ornament!
> Boy. O! O! woe! woe!
> Ours to sorrow and lament.
> Phil. (Appearing above.) Long my reins have been stirred, Long through chinks have I heard, Heard your voices below. Vain my efforts to sing, These forbid me to go. Vainly my sad heart yearns, Yearns to be marching with you, On to the judgment urns, There some mischief to do. O change to smoke by a lightning stroke, Dread-thundering Zeus! this body of mine, Till I'm like Proxenides, like the son Of Sellus, that false tree-vine.
the metre is more irregular, and Hermann gravely suggests that Philocleon, "quum incipit canere, præ ægritudine numeris modisque excidit," and that this is the meaning of the words oủ $\chi$ oiós $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu^{\prime \prime} \mu^{\prime}$ ä $\delta \epsilon \tau \nu$. However, there is in truth hardly any limit to the variations allowed in glyconics: see Hephæstion, caps. x. and xvi, and Gaisford's notes. The first line, фiлo九 $\tau \dot{\eta}$ ко $\mu a \iota ~ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is a bacchiac dimeter u-- | u-- | which, as Dindorf observes, is employed by Euripides, Suppl. 993, Ion 190 , to introduce a glyconic system.
319. $\ddot{a} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$.] The caged bird would fain be off with his mates, ${ }^{\circ} \delta \delta \omega \nu$ Ф $\rho v \nu i \chi \chi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ каѝ үáp écтı̀ ávìp фı入@òòs, supra 219, 269; but, alas, the doors are closed: inpồ $\mu a$, $\dot{\nu} \pi \delta \dot{o} \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$, be says, pointing to Xanthias and Sosias, who are stationed without.
321. каסírovs.] These were the urns or ballot-boxes into which the dicasts cast their votes. See note at 987 infra. And as to the expression какóv тı тойбаи, see on 168 supra.
325. тòv इ'́̀ $\lambda$ dou.] Turn me into smoke, or into Proxenides or ALschines, mere empty blusterers, who are nothing more than smoke. Proxenides is styled in
 the name of \#schines is again employed, infra 459, as the equivalent of smoke. And see infra 1243-8. شschines was a man perpetually boasting of his possessions, though what they were, and in what part of the world they lay, nobody had ever been able to make out. In Birds, 822, Aristophanes suggests that perhaps they may be discovered by his
 тá $\theta$ os oiктєípas"

$\sigma \pi o ́ \delta \iota \sigma о \nu ~ т а \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma^{\circ}$




 $\kappa a ̉ \pi о \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ Өú $\rho a ;$
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi o \nu^{*} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ข ̉ \nu o v s ~ \gamma a ̀ ~ \rho ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma . ~$ 335


adventurers in Cloud-land. The à áá$\mu a \xi v s$, or tree-vine, is adopted as his emblem here, on account of the prodigious splutter and crackling which it makes while burning. Eîoos àm $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \hat{\lambda}_{0}$ ov $\dot{\eta}$ à $\mu \dot{a} \mu a \xi v s$,




 applied in popular language to any needy braggart (see Scholiast on Birds, 823; Suidas under the words $\Theta \in a \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s, \sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda i-$ $\zeta \epsilon \nu \nu$, and $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda i \sigma a t$; Hesychius under the words $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \sigma \theta a l$ and $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \lambda i \sigma a t$, etc.); a usage derived by the grammarians from Sellus the father of 居schines; but this is very doubtful: see Meineke Com. Fragm. ii. 585, in Phryn. Kpóvos, Fragm. 5; Vales. Annotations on Harpocration, s.v. Kapкivos. And it seems at least as probable that Sellus is not here a real name
at all, and that Aioxiuns of $\mathrm{E} \in \lambda \lambda o v$ merely means $\boldsymbol{H}$ schines, son of Brag. And hence the description of $\sum_{\epsilon} \AA \lambda o v$ is applied
 who was really the son of Pronapus.
329. $\sigma \pi$ ó̊́เ $\sigma$ v.] Philocleon would fain be as one of the little émavopakiò́s, so common on Athenian tables. He wishes to be baked in the embers (for that is the meaning of $\sigma \pi$ órırov; see the passage of Plato cited in the note to Peace, 1131), the lightning supplying the place of the
 liast) ; then to be taken up and have the dust blown off him ( $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ خà à $\pi a \nu \theta \rho a k \iota-$
 Scholiast); and finally to be immersed in
 $\theta_{\text {to }} \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, Scholiast). In some burlesque hexameters of Cratinus (Athenæus ix. cap. 34, to which Bergler refers) the Cyclops proposes to cook Odysseus and

O Sovereign，pity my woeful lot， Vouchsafe to grant me my heart＇s desire， Fry me in dust with a glittering，hot， Red bolt of celestial fire， Then take me up with thy hand divine， And puff me，and plunge me in scalding brine．
Or turn me into the stone，whereon They count the votes when the trial is done． Chor．Who is he that thus detains you？ Who with bolted door restrains you？

Tell us，you will speak to friends．
Phil．＇Tis my son，but don＇t be bawling：for he＇s slumbering now at ease There，upon the roof before you：drop your tone a little，please．
his comrades as énavepakiôes，and dwells on the culinary details with the fervour of a cannibal and a gourmand：

In another place（vii．137）Athenæus cites from the lost Holcades of Aristo－



 $\bar{a} \lambda \mu \eta \nu$ ．See the strophe of the Parabasis in the Acharnians．Why Aristophanes should place this particular wish in the lips of Philocleon is not very clear；but possibly it is a parody on some tragic prayer；or again，it may contain an allusion to the vinegar qualities of the dicastic mind（see the note on 1105 infra ）， or to the idea expressed in the words $\epsilon \xi$ \％弓ovs $\delta i k \eta$ infra 1367.

333．रo七pivas．］тàs $\delta ı k a \sigma \tau ı k a ̀ s ~ \psi \eta ं \phi o v s . ~$

 The impressive ceremony of counting the votes is described in the Eumenides of雨schylus，and in a subsequent part of the present Play．
336．à $\lambda \lambda$ à $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ßoâte．］The warning is repeated infra 371．In both cases it is called forth by manifest indications on the part of the Chorus of an intention to raise a shout；here of indignation， there of triumph．

337．ข̃ $\phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ тov̂ róvov．］So in the Pastorals of Longus（iv．25），Megacles recognizing the tokens of his long－lost
 тiva тоóфабlข $\tau$ ' ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$;
 340


XO. тои̂т' є́тó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma^{\prime}$ ó $\mu$ аарòs $\chi a$ -
ขê̂̀ ò $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \circ \kappa \lambda$ é $\omega \nu$ ö ó",
öть $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma u ́$
$\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu$ ả $\lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$.


$\mu \grave{\eta} \xi \nu \nu \omega \mu о ́ \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \iota \varsigma \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu$.
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daughter $\pi$ áv $\mu$ 'ُ́ $\gamma a$ каì עєavıкò $\nu$ éßóa, and then proceeds oủס̀̇̀ $\nu$ i $\phi \in \lambda \omega ̀ \nu$ toû tóvou $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ $\phi \omega \nu \bar{\eta} s$.
 liast. As to $\delta \rho \bar{a} \nu$ како̀̀, two lines below, see the note on 168 supra.
342. $\Delta \eta \mu о \lambda о \gamma о \kappa \lambda$ '́ $\omega \nu$.] The dicasts in their anger pervert the name of Bot $\in \lambda u-$ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ into $\Delta \eta \mu 0 \lambda о$ оок $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, somewhiat forgetting themselves, as Bergler says; and without considering that the obnoxious nickname is really applicable, not to their adversary, but to their chief friend and patron Cleon.
343. $\nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.] $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a ̣ ̂ ~ o ́ ~ \chi o \rho o ̀ s ~ к а к о v \rho \gamma i ́ a \nu, ~$


$\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ é $\epsilon \iota \sigma$.—Scholiast. They are throwing out one of those wild and random accusations, of which we have other instances in the Play (one perhaps in the antistrophe itself, see the note on 378 infra), and are attributing the immurement of Philocleon to an aristocratic conspiracy, because he has too faithfully and too successfully exposed the frauds of which certain trierarchs had been guilty. See the note on Peace, 1234.

 -Scholiast. The Chorus at once leap to that terrible accusation, so prevalent and so fatal in times of popular excitement, of a conspiracy, a plot, against the demo-

Chor. What's his object, idle tritler, that he does such things as these?
What's the motive he pretends?
Priml. He will let me do no mischief, and no more a lawsuit try. True it is he'll feast and pet me, but with that I won't comply.

Chor. This the Demagogeleon blared
Out against you, since you dared
Truth about the fleet to show.
He must be involved, I see,
In some dark conspriacy,
Else he durst not use you so.
It is time some means of escape to find, some novel, ingenious plan, that so, Unseen of your son, you inay get you down, alighting in safety here below.
Pr. O what shall it be? consider it ye! I'm ready to do whatever is planned:
So sorely I'm longing a circuit to go, through the lists of the Court, with a vote in my hand. Сн. Can you find no cranny or secret run, through which, from within, your path to urge, And then like wily Odysseus, here, disguised in tatters and rags, emerge?
PH. Each crannyisbarred: there's never a run, thro' which though it were buta midge could squeeze.
cracy. All through their contest with Bdelycleon this charge is repeated at every opportunity; and it furnishes Cleon in the Knights with his most formidable weapon.
 which commenced with 334 supra, ris $\gamma^{\prime} \rho{ }^{\prime} e^{e} \sigma \theta^{7}$ к.т. $\lambda$. , and concludes here, is repeated below from à àà kaì $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ line 365 to $\Delta$ totei ${ }^{\prime}$ ovs line 380 .
349. $\sigma a v i \delta \omega \nu$.] $\sigma a v i \delta \delta e s$ were the cause lists or notice boards of the Court; cf. infra 848. They were probably suspended or affixed in some part of the building, along which the dicasts passed to record their votes. Some suggest that by oavióss we are here to understand
the rails, or the benches, of the Court; but Philocleon would hardly have used the well-known technical word in other than its well-known technical meaning. As to xoopiuns see supra 333. The phraseology of the line appears to be adapted to the fact that Chœorine (Xoopivq) was a woman's name at Athens.
351. 'Odvareús.] In the disguise wherewith Odysseus ventured into beleaguered Troy (Od. iv. 245), and wherewith he afterwards returned to his longlost home (Od. xvii. 202).
352. ov̉火 ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ön $\hat{\eta}^{\prime}$.] See however supra 317.-ovo̊ $\epsilon i \sigma^{\prime} \rho \phi \omega$. The idea of a barricade impenetrable even to a midge is found in oue of our beantiful old
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${ }^{a} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ ó $\pi \lambda i ̄ \tau a \iota ~ \delta \iota a \tau a \xi a ́ \mu \in \nu о \iota$ 360
катà тàs $\delta$ เóסous $\sigma \kappa о \pi \iota \omega \rho о \hat{\nu} \tau а \iota$,

$\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa \rho \in ́ a \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi a \sigma a \nu$

ballads (Bp. Percy, Reliques of Ancient Poetry, Series the Third, Book iii. 3), 'Love will find out the way:'

Where there is no place
For the glow-worm to lye;
Where there is no space
For receipt of a fly;
Where the midge dares not venture
Lest herself fast she lay:
If love come, he will enter
And soon find out the way.
353. öniav.] He puns on the word ònias (which is really derived from ỏnòs, and signifies a sort of cheese), as though it were derived from ön $\eta$, and signified a creeper through holes. 'Onòs was the juice of the fig-tree (see note on 145 supra), and was used to curdle or coagulate milk. The cheese made by this process was styled tupos orias. Athe-


 Dioscorides (de Materiầ Medicâ, i. 183)


vii. 8, "Casei quoque faciendi non erit omittenda cura;-lacte fieri debet sincero et quam recentissimo; nam requietum vel mistum celeriter acorem concipit: id plerumque cogi agni aut hædi coagulo (i. e. rennet, or as the Lexicographers prefer to spell it, runnet) : quamvis possit et agrestis cardui flore conduci,-nec minus ficulneo lacte, quod emittit arbor si ejus virentem saucies corticem." Cf. also Varro, R. R. ii. 11. Pliny (xxiii. cap. 63), " Fici succus lacteus aceti naturam habet: itaque coaguli modo lac contrahit." Lord Bacon (Nat. Hist. Century vii. 657) says, "The milk of

You must think, if you can, of a likelier plan: I can't run out like a runnet cheese. Chor. O don't you remember the old campaign, when you stole the spit, and let yourself down, And away by the side of the wall you hied? 'Twas when we had captured Naxos town.
Piris. Ah, well I remember! but what of that? it is quite another affair to-day.
For then I was young, and then I could steal, and over myself I possessed full sway,
And then none guarded my steps, but I
Was free, wherever I chose, to fly;
Whilst now, in every alley and street,
Armed men with arms are stationed about,
Watching with care that I steal not out.
And there at the gate you may see those two
Waiting with spits to spit me through,
Like a cat that is running away with the meat.
the fig hath the quality of the rennet to gather cheese." The use of the fig-tree juice for this purpose is as old as the time of Homer, and I may cite Chap-


And he re-cured; as nourishing milke, when runnet is put in, Runnes all in heapes of tough thicke curd, though in his nature thinne.
354. $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha u$.] They recall to his memory an incident in one of their old campaigns under Cimon, some fifty years before (Thuc. i. 98: see the note on 236 supra), when Philocleon, an active and reckless young forager, laid violent hands on some roasting meat, and, throwing himself from the wall, contrived by his agility to elude all pursuit, and escape in triumph with his booty. But $\pi \rho i v$ $\pi o \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \nu \nu, \pi \rho i ̀ \nu \tau a v ̀ \tau a$, as Philoclecn reminds them, and as the Chorus themselves admit, infra 1063.

 I had no guardian. Compare infra
man's translation of the passage in which Pæon is described as staunching the wound of Ares (Book v. 902):

 бфóópa.
362. T⿳亠 $\delta \dot{\delta} \grave{e} \delta \hat{v}$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.] The two sentries are, of course, Xanthias and Sosias, who are quietly slumbering through all this disturbance. The other soldiers, if not mere creatures of the captive's imagination, must be Midas, Phryx, Masyntias, and the like (infra 433), who, though invisible at present, are ready at the first call to reinforce the besieging squadron. In the subsequent words
 recurring to the phraseology used by the Chorus above.

# XO. à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ є̇кто́рı३є <br> $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö $\pi \omega \varsigma \tau a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}$. <br>  



XO. таûta $\mu$ èv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta o o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \nu o \nu \tau o s ~ \epsilon ̇ s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta р i a \nu . ~$

366. $\tilde{\omega} \omega \mathrm{s}$.] The dawn has come at last; and henceforth the proceedings are supposed to be carried on in broad daylight. With סuarpayєiv rò $\delta i k r v o \nu ~ i n ~$ the next line compare supra 164.
368. Aikrvova.] Artemis. Cf. Frogs, 1359, and the Scholiast there; Eur. Hipp. 145, 1127; Iph. Taur. 127, and frequently elsewhere. In Shakespeare's Love's Labour Lost, iv. 2, Holofernes affectedly bestows this title on the Moon. Apart from the play on the words $\Delta i k$. тvvva and $\delta i k \tau v o v$, the goddess of hunting would naturally be averse to the destruction of hunting-tackle. The name Dictynna was in some way connected with Mt. Dicte in Crete, either as having a common derivation, or as derived the one from the other. The old legends referred the appellation to an adventure of Britomart, who was sometimes none other than Artemis herself (see Hesychius s. v. Bpıtómaptıs, which in Cretan language meant the sweet virgin. "Oretes

Dianam religiosissime venerantur, Britomartim generaliter nominantes, quod sermone nostro sonat virginem dulcem." —Solinus, cap.17. Bpırú. $\gamma \lambda v \kappa \dot{v}, \mathrm{~K} \rho \bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. -Hesychius); and at other times was merely one of her attendant nymphs, according to that disintegrating process, so familiar in Greek mythology, which was perpetually severing and embodying into a distinct personality an attribute or appellation of a divine being. In the present case the process was probably applied, because the legendary adventure was unworthy $\tau \bar{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\text {ád }}{ }^{\prime} \bar{s} \theta \in \bar{\epsilon} s$ ' $A \rho \tau \epsilon \in \mu \delta o s:$ for the story went that Britomart, pursued by the amorous Minos, threw herself from $M t$. Dicte into the sea, and was only saved from drowning by some fishers' nets, dikrva, into which she happened to fall, and from which she thenceforward bore the name Dictynna. Callimachus (Hymn. ad Dian. 195-199, where see Spanheim's notes) says of Britomart,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { भु } \lambda a \tau 0 \pi \delta \nu \tau \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

And then addressing Artemis, he adds (204),
$\kappa \alpha l \delta \in \sigma \in \kappa \in l \nu \eta s$


Снов. Well but now be quickly shaping Some contrivance for escaping; Morning breaks, my honey-bee.
Phil. Then the best that I can think of, is to gnaw these meshes through. May Dictynna, queen of hunters, pardon me the 'deed I do. Chor. Spoken like a man whose efforts will salvation's goal ensue. Ply your jaw then lustily.

The same story is found in Virgil's Ciris. Many protested (Diodorus, ₹. 76) against the legend on the ground that it was compatible neither with the dignity of the goddess, nor with the reputation of Minos, and contended that the name Dictynna was bestowed upon her as the inventor of hunting-nets, єíé $\tau \iota \nu$
 (x. 4. 12) mentions another objection to the legend as recorded by Callimachus:






 (I have taken away the full stop after $\pi \rho о \sigma a y o \rho \varepsilon v \theta \epsilon i \eta$, for the verb clearly belongs to both aṽ̃t and to odpos, and the words $\Delta i \kappa k \tau \eta$ ס̀̀ tò òpos are not a substantive sentence, Dicte mons est, as the
commentators on Strabo take them.) Servius gives the name of Dicte to the nymph in his commentary on Virg. Wn. iii. 171, where for "quam minus rex amarit," we should read "quam Minos rex amavit."
 are the words with which Aristophanes, in the Frogs, introduces his character of the famous Theramenes. Dionysus, travelling to the world below with the garb and symbols of Heracles, begins to suspect that he has not chosen the right means for securing a friendly reception there, and as the prospect varies between fair weather or foul, he retains for himself, or compels his servant to assume, the hero's club and lion-skin. The Chorus applaud his worldly wisdom, and liken him to the shifty and versatile statesman whose sails were always trimmed to catch the prosperous breezes, from whatever quarter they might blow. This is the part of a dexterous clever Man with his wits about him ever, One who has travelled the world to see; Always to slift, and to keep through all Close to the sunny side of the wall; Not like a pictured block to be, Standing always in one position; Nay, but to veer, with expedition, And cver to catch the favouring brecze, This is the part of a shrewd tactician, This is to be a-THERAMENES.



 $\xi_{\eta} \tau \tau, \pi o \eta^{\prime}-$
$\sigma \omega$ ठакєì тท̀ $\kappa$ карסíà каì
тò̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \psi v \chi \eta ̂ s$ ס $\rho o ́ \mu o \nu ~ \delta \rho a-$

таî̀ $\theta \in a i ̂ \nu \psi \eta$ భí $\mu a \tau a$.







375. $\delta a \kappa \kappa i \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ кap $\delta i ́ a \nu$.] See the note on 287 supra. Kapoiav $\mu \eta$ そ̀ $\epsilon \theta i \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ was one of the enigmatical maxims of Pythagoras, and meant ả̀vutiay à $\sigma \kappa \kappa i \bar{i}$, Athenæus, x. 77. Bellerophon is described
 $\delta \omega \nu, \pi a ́ \tau o \nu a ̀ v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ à $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, a line which Cicero (Tusc. Quæst. iii. 26) translates by "Ipse suum cor edens, hominum-vestigia ritans." "Il y rongeait son coeur," says Michelet of Charles the Bold at the siege of Neuss; and English writers speak of a man "eating his very heart out" with mortification. Thomas Cromwell told Latimer that he would make Cardinal Pole through vexation "eat his own heart' (Lingard's England, anno
 Mitchell compares Hdt. ix. 37, and Plato, Therotetus 172 e.


 offence) îppívaı $\tau$ à $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta$ pua.-Scholiast. To profane the divine mysteries of Elensis was an act of the most daring impiety; and the Chorns, who have already charged Bdelycleon with treason against the state (supra 345), now intimate that they are also prepared to charge him with the most serious religious crime of which an Athenian could be guilty. Such, I think, is the true interpretation of the passage, and the substitution of $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ for $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho t a$ is quite in keeping with the substitution of $\mu \tau \sigma \theta \partial \nu$ for кú入ıка infra 525 , and of $\psi \bar{\eta} \phi o \nu$ for $\theta$ pîo infra 675. Schömann, however, a most sagacious and excellent critic, suggests (De Comitiis ii. 7, note) that the $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma$ -

Phil. There, I've gnawn them through completely-Ah! but do not raise a shout, We must use the greatest caution, lest Bdelycleon find us out.

Chor. Fear not: fear not: if he speak,
He shall gnaw his heart, and seek
For his life to run amain.
We will quickly make him learn
Nevermore again to spurn
Th' holy statutes of the Twain.
So now to the window lash the cord, and twine it securely your limbs around. With all Diopeithes fill your soul, then let yourself cleverly down to the ground.
Phil. But suppose they catch me suspended here, and hoist me up by the line again, And angle me into the house once more, say what ye will do to deliver me then.
Chor. Our hearts of oak we'll summon to aid, and all give battle at once for you. 'Twere vain to attempt to detain you more: such wonderful feats we are going to do.
Phil. This then will I do, confiding in you: and if anything happens to me, I implore
$\mu a r a$ of Demeter and Persephone are the laws which govern the family and the home, and that Bdelycleon is accused of violating the most fundamental of these laws (that of pietas erger parentes) by putting constraint on his father. But this interpretation is hardly in character with the general tone and language of the Chorus.
380. АєотєiAovs.] Knights, 1085; Birds, 988, o $\mu$ '́ $\gamma$ as $\Delta \iota o \pi \varepsilon i \theta \eta$. The fanatical frenzy of " the great Diopeithes," a wellknown soothsayer of the period, was frequently ridiculed by contemporary writers. The Scholiast on the Birds cites various passages, in which he is taxed with downright madness. And the meaning of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu o s \Delta \iota o-$ $\pi \epsilon i$ Oovs (possibly an adaptation of some Tragic dimeter, $\psi v \chi \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ Пє
$\theta$ ov̂s) seems to be " fill your soul with a fine frenzy, abandon yourself to a divine enthusiasm, reck not of fear or danger." Bergler compares the expression кататıఉ̀ Eúpırióqu in Acharnians, 484.
381. є́ $\sigma \kappa a \lambda a \mu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota-a \dot{a} v a \sigma \pi a \sigma \tau o ́ \nu] T h e s$. phrases are borrowed from the angler's art. Philocleon, tied to his rope, will resemble a fish dangling at the end of a line.
385. 削 $\tau \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega$.] One of the many euphemistic expressions by which the ancients avoided the direct mention of death in connexion with themselves or their friends. Peace, 169; Eccles. 1105; Eur. Androm. 90; Herc. Fur. 1388. Others, among the Greeks, were $\epsilon i \not \tau \iota \sigma v \mu$ Baí $\pi \epsilon$ pì aủtò̀ $^{(P l u t a r c h, ~ A l e x a n d e r, ~ c a p . ~}$
 cap. 17) ; and, among the Romans, "si














quid mihi humanitus accidisset" (Cicero, Philippics i.4), "siquid eo fuerit" (Plautus, Trinummus i. 2. 120), "si quid me fuat." (Id. Pænulus v. 2, 125). Thus Plato, Epistle vii. 328 D, fearing that Dion may be killed or expelled, says él' ${ }^{\prime}$

 dorus, vi. 7, Cnemon thinks of returning to Athens, lest his father should have died and left the house without an heir

 oikos àmo入єıфөєiŋ. And in Id. viii. 8 and ix. ad fin. $\epsilon i \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \iota \pi \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \theta \in \nu$ is opposed as the alternative to $\epsilon i$ $\mu \bar{\nu} \nu$ Ş̃. Such phrases are especially common in testamentary instruments. Thus Aristotle's will (preserved in Diogenes Laertius, v. 1. 9), commences "E $\tau \tau a \iota \mu \grave{\mu} \nu$ є
 little lower it proceods, đ้̈̈ סè rî maioì


 See also the will of Theophrastus, Id. v. 2. 14.
386. סpvфákтots.] These were low railings (like the altar-rails in an English church), whereby the space reserved for the judges was separated from the rest of the court.

 familiarum quasi quidam Dii penates; hi vero nil nisi antiquitus in civitate recepti; quemadmodum in universum $\pi a \tau \rho \varphi \hat{o}$ os ad gentem et familiam, ááтplos ad vetusta civitatis instituta pertinet." -Schömann de Orgeonibus (Opuscula i. 183). This is more correct than Hermann's well-known distinction, " $\pi$ árpıa quæ sunt patris, $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} a$ quæ a patre veniunt, $\pi a \tau \rho ı k \grave{c} q u a l i a ~ p a t r i s ~ s u n t . " ~ " ~$

That you take me up and bewail my fate, and bury me under the courthouse floor. O nothing, nothing will happen to you: keep up, old comrade, your heart and hope; First breathe a prayer to your father's gods: then let yourself down by the trusty rope. O Lycus, neighbour and hero and lord! thou lovest the selfsame pleasures as I;
Day after day we both enjoy the suppliant's tears and his wailing cry. Thou camest here thine abode to fix, on purpose to listen to sounds so sweet, The only hero of all that deigns by the mourner's side to assume his seat: O pity thine old familiar friend: O save me and succour me, Power Divine! And never again will I do my needs by the osier matting that guards thy shrine. Get up, get up. So. Why, what's in the wind? BD. Some voice seems circling me round and round. Is the old man slipping away thro' a hole? Bd. No, by Zeus, but he lets himself down to the ground Tied on to the rope. So. You infamous wretch! what, won't you be quiet and not come down Climb up by the other window-sill, and wallop him well with the harvest crown. I warrant he'll speedily back stern first, when he's thrashed with the branch of autumnal fruits.
389. Aíke.] Lycus was in some sense the patron hero of all the Athenian dicasteries; see infra 819. One court-house was in immediate proximity to his chapel, and was thence called Tò $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ \Lambda i ́ k \varphi$ (Pollux, viii. segm. 121). The Scholiast asserts, and several of the old grammarians support the assertion, that the кшлакре́$\pi \eta s$, in paying the dicastic fees, regularly deposited a triobol in the shrine of Lycus himself. And Fritzsche, who cites and discusses all the passages bearing upon the relation of Lycus to the dicasteries (De Sortitione Judicum, pp. 34-40), has no doubt that such was the case. But to me, I confess, the statement seems almost incredible, when we consider that the system of paying the dicasts had itself no existence before the time of Pericles.
395. BA.] The captive is almost free: in another moment he will be in the
midst of his friends and comrades, ready to march Off to the judgment urns, There some mischief to do; when suddenly the voice of Bdelycleon is heard, the slumberers awake, and all hope of escaping -unperceived is at an end.
398. катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ é $\tau \in \rho a \nu$.] This is usually understood, in accordance with the Latin version (which was composed by Bergler and revised by Bronck), to mean Ascende ocius in alteram fenestram; and I have so translated it. But I do not suppose that $\theta u p i \delta a$ is to be supplied; тク̀v étéfà seems used in a more general sense, 'the other side;' $\delta$ à rov̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { Eféfov }\end{gathered}$ $\mu$ '́povs, as the Scholiast explains it.
 the like. By $\phi u \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ we are to understand the eipertown mentioned in the following line.
399. $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma t \omega$ vals.] Hanging above the door, as above that of Demus in the






 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a, \kappa \in ́ \nu \tau \rho \circ \nu$ èvтétatal ỏgú.
à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \theta a i \mu a ́ \tau \iota a ~ \lambda a ß o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \omega ́ s ~ \tau a ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ \pi a \iota \delta i ́ a, ~$


©ंऽ є่ $\pi$ ' ä $\nu \delta \rho a \mu \iota \sigma о ́ \pi о \lambda \iota \nu$
ö $\nu \tau а ~ \kappa а ُ т о \lambda о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu, ~ о ̈ т \iota ~$



Knights (729), was an eipєбtóvך or harvest-wreath. Bdelycleon orders the servant to clamber up on one side of the door, and as the old man descends by the other, to seize the $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma t \omega \nu \eta$, and beat him back with it. It would seem, however, that on hearing the threat, Philocleon anticipates its execution by dropping at once to the ground, though only to find himself in the clutches of his persecutors, whose attention does not
appear to have been drawn as yet to the menacing attitude of the Chorus. The $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega \nu \eta$ was an olive-bough, wreathed and matted with wool, in which were stuck divers symbols of the harvest and vintage, figs, breadcakes, honey, oil, and wine. The boughs so bedecked were carried about in the festivals of the Thargelia and Pyanepsia by boys who sang

After the festival the boughs were hung up before the doors, and probably remained there until the next anniversary. See Plutarch, Theseus, cap. 22; Suidas s. $\nabla$. ; and the Scholiast on Knights, 729, and Plutus, 1054.
400. oน̉ $\left.\xi v \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}.\right]$ Philocleon ap-
peals to the Chorus for aid. The word $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$ refers to the circumstance that the dicasts held office for a year.
403. єimé $\mu$ ou.] The Chorus prepare for the struggle, not without a certain mysterious dignity of expression, calculated to strike awe into the hearts of

Phil．Help！help！all those whoever propose this year to busy themselves with suits． Smicythion，help！Tisiades，help！Pheredeipnus，Chremon，the fray begin ： O now or never，assist your friend，before I＇m carried away within．
Chor．Wherefore slumbers，wherefore slumbers，that resentment in our breast， Such as when a rash assailant dares provoke our hornets－nest？

Now protruding，now protruding，
Comes the fierce änd dreadful sting，
Which we wield for punishing．
Children，hold these garments for us：then away with all your speed， Shout and run and bawl to Cleon，tell him of this direful deed；

Bid him quickly hither fly
As against a city－hater，
And a traitor doomed to die，
One who actually proposes
That we should no lawsuits try．
their opponents．The system from $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon^{\prime}$ $\mu_{0}$ to $\tau \hat{\omega} \chi \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \varphi(460)$ is repeated from

 ऽо́ $\mu \in \sigma \theta$ see note on 258 supra．
408．גaßóvtes．］This is the old and genuine reading，confirmed by every MS．＇The conjectural $\beta$ a入óvтєs，which was first introduced by Brunck，and has since been retained by every editor except Richter（who grotesquely mis－
 your garments），is destitute of authority， and perverts the sense of the passage．
aйтठ̀s ${ }^{2} \rho \chi \omega \nu \mu \delta \nu o s$.

It may well be that a line corresponding
 there；but it is evident that in other respects the error is to be sought in the

The Chorus are preparing for the fray， and they throw their upper mantles to the linkboys，just as in Thesm． 568 the woman stripping for the fight flings her garment to Philista，with the words AABE ӨOIMATION，Фidiotm，and just as Hipponax（apud Suid．s．v．Boíta入os）says AABETE $\mu o v$ GOIMATION，Kó $\psi \omega$ Bováàov тò̀ ò $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ v$. As regards the application to Cleon for aid see the note on 197 supra． 410－414．кai кe入єéєт＇－8ikas．］In the antistrophe（468－470）the place of these five lines is occupied by three common pæonic or cretic dimeters：

passage before us．The last line has already been reduced into harmony with the antistrophe by the omission of the superfluous words $\dot{\omega} s \chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ ．And it seems




$\kappa \in \grave{l} \tau \iota \varsigma a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о є ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ن́ $\mu \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa o ́ \lambda a \xi$.





probable that the words äv $\nu \delta$ pa $^{\mu \tau \sigma o ́ \pi o \lambda ı \nu}$
 gloss which has crept into the place of some such expression as $\pi \alpha \nu o \hat{v} \rho \gamma o \nu,{ }_{a} \nu \delta \rho^{\prime}$

in exact correspondence with the antistrophe, pæonics and cretics being interchangeable. єi $\sigma \phi^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ is to propose a law, to introduce a resolution.
416. B $\Delta$. $\dot{\omega}$ s тov̂ $\delta^{\circ}$.] The entire line is usually assigned to the Chorus; but Dobree is, in my judgment, clearly right in transferring this latter half of it to Bdelycleon. The words which follow, тav̂ta ס̄ŋิт' oủ סєıvà к.т. $\lambda$. are manifestly an indignant exclamation of the Chorus, called forth by something which had immediately preceded. And $\mu \in \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma o \mu a \iota$ would be quite out of place on the lips
 ing $\mu \iota \sigma o ́ \pi o \lambda \iota \nu$ with Enger, see Appendix) we might read

of the Chorus. Philocleon was in the hands, not of his fellow-dicasts, but of Bdelycleon and his servants. And the appeals to release him are uniformly addressed not to the Chorus, but by the Chorus to their opponents. It is the

 And cf. $434,448,452$. And nothing is more common than that $\dot{\omega}$, with ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta$, be assured that, or some such word understood, should introduce a speech which contains an emphatic assertion. As for example,

Bdel. Listen, worthy sirs, to reason : goodness ! don't keep screaming so. Chor. Scream! we'll scream as high as heaven. Bdel. I don't intend to let him go. Chor. These be frightful things to see! This is open tyranny ! Rouse the State! Rouse the great God-abhorred
And whoe'er Else is there, Fawning lord
Ruling o'er us.
Xan. Heracles! they've stings beside them! Master, master, don't you see?
Bdel. Ay, which slew the son of Gorgias, Philip, with their sharp decree.
Сноr. You we'll also slay directly! Wheel about him, every one, Draw your stings, and, all together, in upon the fellow run.
Close your ranks, collect your forces, brimming full of rage and hate,
 the Athenian people, represented, I suppose, by the audience in the theatre. They have already sent for aid to Cleon, the chief apoorátクs of the populace, and they now invoke the assistance of the subordinate $\pi \rho o \sigma a$ árat, Cleon's minions and creatures, the hundred кo入akes who fluttered about him $\left(\epsilon_{i}^{z} \tau t s \pi \rho о є ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu\right.$ ${ }_{\text {кód }} \mathbf{\lambda} a \xi$ ). Of these Theorus seems to have been one of the most conspicuous, and the most obnoxious to Aristophanes. The expression $\theta_{\epsilon} \operatorname{có}^{\rho} \dot{v}{ }^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon o l \sigma \epsilon \chi \theta \rho i a}$ is similar, as Mr. Mitchell observes, to such phrases as "Ektopos $\beta$ in, and means merely the "God-detested Theorus." In Clouds, 400, he is described as $\sigma \phi 0^{\circ} \rho$ ? é $\pi$ iopros. See note on 42 supra.
421. év סikn.] Not, as Richter says, סuxains, though that is of course a very common meaning of the words; but "on the field of law" by analogy to èv $\mu \alpha^{\prime} x \eta$, "on the field of battle;" ảvri тov̂ ôка̧́ov. $\tau \in s$, as the Scholiast rightly explains it. About" Philip, son of Gorgias" we have no certain information. In Birds, 16941705, Aristophanes attributes the custom of cutting out the tongue of a
victim (see note on Peace, 1060) to the disgust inspired by a strange tribe of barbarians, Gorgiases and Philips, who gained their livelihood by their tougue. The Gorgias to whom he refers was in all probability the celebrated Sophist (see Süvern, Essay on the Birds, pp. 40, 41, Hamilton's translation), who being a native of Leontini may possibly have had, or have been alleged to have, an intermixture of Sicel blood in his veins. We have already observed (on 240 supra) that Aristophanes is supposed to be alluding in the Parabasis of the Acharnians to the rhetoric of Gorgias, and X think that he also refers to him in Thesm. 1103. It may be inferred from the present passage, coupled with that in the Birds, that Philip was a rhetorician, in some way connected with Gorgids, and that he had lately fallen under the displeasure of the courts; but whether he was an actual son, or a satelilite, or (as Bergk apud Meineke, Fragm. Com. Græc. ii. 992, suggests) merely a pupil of Gorgias, we have now no means of ascertaining.
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 developed infra 1292, where Xanthias, smarting from Philocleon's blows, does in terms congratulate tortoises on the toughness of their shells. These cretic couplets (which are four in number, supra 418, 9, here, and infra 475, 6 and 486, 7) indicate from time to time, in their abrupt spasmodic measure, some actual crisis in the struggle.
433. \% Míoa.] Bdelycleon summons forth his other slaves to take charge of his father whilst he himself, with Xanthias and Sosias, goes into the house. All three return with line 456 infra.
435. $\pi$ édaus maxeiaus.] The same expression is used by Ctesias (apud Photium


Some such word as $\delta \in \theta \eta \eta$ var ought to follow here also, but Aristophanes unexpectedly substitutes oủdèv à $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, ye shall breakfast off nothing.
436. $\theta \rho i \omega \nu$.] The Scholiast says that the crackling and bouncing of fig-leaves whilst burning, had passed into a pro-



 $\nu \eta$ p.s.
 (i. e. кє́vтроу, gl. Vict.) द̀ $\mu \pi a \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a i ~ \sigma o t$, shall be fixed in you.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota к a \lambda \epsilon i \tau a t$.-Scholiast. The indigenous

He shall know the sort of wasps-nest he has dared to irritate. Xanth. Now with such as these to combat is, by Zeus, a serious thing : Verily I quake and tremble, but to look upon their sting. Chor. Let him go! Loose your hold! If you don't I declare You shall bless Tortoise-backs For the shells Which they wear.
Phil. On then, on, my fellow-dicasts, brother wasps of heart severe, Some fly in with angry buzzings, and attack them in the rear, Some surround them in a ring, and both their eyes and fingers sting. Bdel. Ho there! Midas! Phryx! Masyntias! hither! hither! haste to me! Take my father, guard him safely: suffer none to set him free; Else you both shall lunch off nothing, clapped in fetters strong and stout. There's a sound of many fig-leaves (well I know it) buzzed about.
Chor. This shall stand infixed within you if you will not let him go. Phil. Mighty Cecrops! King and hero! Dragon-born and -shaped below, Wilt thou let these rude barbarians vex and maul me at their pleasure, Me who heretofore have made them weep in full imperial measure?
Chor. Truly, of abundant evils, age is evermore the source:

Attic hero was appropriately invoked by the old Athenian (himself a genuine Attic autochthon, infra 1076) assailed by outer barbarians, $\hat{v} \pi^{\prime}$ àvòp $\hat{\nu} \nu \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$. According to a legend preserved by Tzetzes (on Lycophron's Cassandra, 111), Cecrops, like so many other heroes of antiquity, sprang from a dragon's teeth; and he was popularly represented as a dragon or serpent from his waist downwards. Hence his epithet $\Delta \iota \phi u \eta{ }_{\eta} s$, which Ovid (ii. Met. 555) translates geminus, and Justin (ii. 6, 7) biformis. He might therefore, at all events so far as his lower extremities, đà $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s ~ \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$, were concerned, be justly styled $\Delta \rho a k o u t i \delta \eta s$, the name of the criminal supra 157. 440. Tétтap' és tì̀ रoivika.] Large
quartern loaves, four to the choenix.
 $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ оутаи, $\mu$ ккроі̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { è } \\ \eta^{\prime}\end{gathered}$.-Scholiast. Instead of saying that he had made them bake quartern loaves, Philocleon says that he had made them weep quartern loaves. Perhaps, as the Scholiast observes, the saying is a proverbial one; $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ поте каi

 $\chi^{0 i v} \nu \xi$ was also used, as the Scholiast further remarks, to signify a sort of stocks in which offeriding slaves were placed; but if there is an allusion to any mode of servile punishment here, it would be rather to the $\mu \nu \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu$, pistrinum, than to the $\chi$ oives.

 $\delta \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \dot{a} \xi \omega \mu i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu$, à§ oủ $\frac{\varsigma}{}$ aưтoî§ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \pi o ́ \lambda a$,
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444. $\delta_{\iota} \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$.] The кuvé ${ }^{\prime}$ was a dogskin cap; the $\delta \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \rho a \ell$ were coats of skins; the $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi\end{gathered} \mu$ is was a coat which left one shoulder, or both shoulders, bare : all articles of clothing worn by the lower classes at Athens, and especially by slaves. The $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi\end{gathered} \omega \mu i \delta i \in s$, which were the staple manufacture of Megara (Mєүар' $\omega \nu$
 Xen. Mem. ii. 7, 6, see note on Peace, 1000) are described by the Scholiast here as í $\mu a ́ \tau \iota a$ סov入ıkà кà̀ é $\tau \epsilon \rho о \mu a ́ \sigma \chi a \lambda a$, and it is doubtless to them that Aristophanes
refers in the Peace by the words סoúdourt $\chi^{\lambda}$ avicкıठіं $\omega \nu \mu \kappa \kappa \rho \omega \nu$. They are worn by the Chorus of Men in the Lysistrata (662). And in the Clouds both the Kvvé $\eta$ and the $\delta \varnothing \phi \theta$ épat are ascribed to Strepsiades (72, 268). See also Eccl. 80.
447. $\pi a \lambda a \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a ́ \delta \omega \nu$.$] The word$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ á $\delta \omega \nu$ is used $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o k i a \nu$ for $\delta \epsilon \sigma$ $\pi о т \omega ̄ v$, reverence for their ancient lords.
455. $\dot{o} \xi v \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ к.т.. .] Each epithet is accompanied by a blow. For ô $\nu_{\nu} \theta \dot{\jmath} \mu \omega \nu$ see the note on 1105 infra. In $\delta$ ккaíw there is probably a reference to its

Only see how these two scoundrels hold their ancient lord perforce, Clean forgetting how, aforetime, he their daily wants supplied, Bought them little sleeveless jackets, bought them caps and coats of hide, Clean forgetting all the kindness, shown their feet in wintry weather, How from chill and cold he kept them : ah! but these have altogether Banished from their eyes the reverence owing to those dear old brogues.
Phil. Won't you even now unhand me, shameless villain, worst of rogues?
When the grapes I caught you stealing, O remember, if you can, How I tied you to the olive, and I flogged you like a man, So that all beheld with envy: but a grateful soul you lack! O, unhand me, you, and you, at once, before my son come back.
Cног. But a famous retribution ye for this shall undergo,
One that will not lag nor linger; so that ye betimes shall know, Know the mood of angry-tempered, righteous, mustard-glancing men.
Bdel. Beat them, Xanthias, from the door-way; beat the wasps away again.
Xanth. That I will, sir. Buel. Fume them, Sosias, drive the smoke in dense and thick. Shoo there, shoo! be off, confound you. At them, Xanthias, with the stick! Smoke them, Sosias, smoke, infusing 不schines, Selartius' son.
Sos. So then we at last were going, as it seems, to make you run.
Bdel. But you never would have managed thus to beat them off with ease, Had it chanced that they had eaten of the songs of Philocles.
etymology, law-loving citizens. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi$ ó̀ $\nu \omega \nu$ ка́pঠа $\mu a$, " of mustard aspect," like Shakespeare's "men of such vinegar aspect," Merchant of Venice, i. 1.
456.] While the Chorus are still in the midst of their grandiloquent menaces, Bdelycleon suddenly issues from the house, followed by Xanthias and Sosias; the former armed with a stick, the latter carrying an apparatus for smoking-out wasps. The two slaves at once attack the Chorus. Bdelycleon is the generalissimo, and directs their operations.
459. Aí $\left.\chi i \nu \eta \nu \sum \epsilon \lambda a \rho \tau i o v.\right]$ Here again the name of this vain empty braggart is used as a synonym for smoke. See 325 supra, and the note there. On $\Sigma \in \lambda a \rho \tau i o u$



462. Фìok $\lambda$ éovs.] These wasps have been nurtured, the speaker means, on the plaintive and tender lays, the honey. sweet melodies of Phrynichus; had they fed on the acrid bitter strains of Philocles, you would not have disposed of them so

#  тоîs $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\eta}$ тuрадขis <br> $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \lambda a ́ \theta \rho a \gamma^{\prime}$ è $\lambda a ́ \nu \theta a \nu \nu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \pi \iota o \hat{v} \sigma a ;$ <br>   ои้тє $\tau \iota \nu$ ’ є̈ $\chi \omega \nu \pi \rho о ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$  av̉тòs ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ нóvos. 







## 



easily. Philocles, described by Suidas as the nephew of $\nVdash s c h y l u s$, and the father of Morsimus, was a tragic poet of the day, a man of such exceeding bitterness that $\delta i a ̀$ rò $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu$ he acquired the nickname of Xo入̀, Gall. The opinion which Aristophanes entertained of him and his plays is concisely expressed in

 ish poet carried off the highest prize against the Gedipus Tyrannus of the Attic bee.
465. $\lambda a ́ \theta \rho a \gamma^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda a ́ v \theta a \nu^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \iota o \hat{v} \sigma a$.] The poor must perceive, for it is self-evident now, that Tyranny with its stealthy and noiseless approach has been stealing upon them unarrares. The $\mu \epsilon$ which is usually added at the end of the line disturbs both the sense and the metre.
466. кон $\quad$ тангvia.] Long hair, in an Athenian who had reached the age of manhood, was considered a sign of aristocratic pride and insolence (Knights, 580; Clouds, 545; infra 1817; Birds, 911; Plutus, 572) ; and was at the present time peculiarly obnoxious, as indicating a sympathy with the long-haired Spartans (Birds, 1282). No Athenian was a more grievous offender in this matter than Amynias, whose name forms the latter half of the compound before us, and who is distinguished, infra 1267, as oúk $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{K} \rho \omega \beta$ údov, he of the topknot tribe.
 movpoos is derived from torvos, and is merely intensified by the addition of its root.
475. Bpacióa.] Bdelycleon is now arraigned as a monarchical conspirator,

Chor. Creeping o'er us, creeping o'er us, Here at least the poor can see
Stealthy-creeping tyranny!
If you from the laws debar us, which the city has ordained, You, a curly-haired Amynias, you, a rascal double-grained,

Not by words of wit persuading,
Not for weighty reasons shown, But because, forsooth, you will it, Like an autocrat, alone.
Bdel. Can't we now, without this outcry, and this fierce denunciation, Come to peaceful terms together, terms of reconciliation?
Chor. Terms with thee, thou people-hater, and with Brasidas, thou traitor, Hand and glove! You who dare Woolly-fringed Clothes to wear, Yes, and show Beard and hair Left to grow Everywhere.
Bdel. O, by Zeus, I'd really liefer drop my father altogether Than endure these daily conflicts, buffeting with waves and weather.
Chor. Why, as yet you've hardly entered on the parsley and the rue:
a disaffected citizen who intrigues with Brasidas (see the note on 288 supra, and on Peace, 640), and bewrays his Spartan sympathies by the fashion of his dress and his beard. By крáctє $\delta a$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ we are, according to the Scholiast, to understand fringes or tassels of wool (no donbt of its natural colour), which edged the border of a Spartan cloke. Hence in Lysistrata, 1304 (if the reading is correct), a Spartan

 beard was characteristic of the Spartans, and was therefore much affected by their imitators at Athens. Plutarch in his life of Phocion (cap. 10) tells us of an Athenian who was nicknamed Aakcularخ̀s from his imitation of Spartan habits;
 $\tau \rho i \not \beta \omega \nu a \phi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ áci kaì $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$. This man being once called up by Phocion to support some unpalatable measure before a tumultuous audience, chose rather to court the popular applause by speaking, against his convictions, on the popular side of the question. Thereupon Phocion,

 affect the austere simplicity and integrity of a Spartan, if in your heart you are after all a mere flatterer, currying the favour of the people $P$ " The Spartan ambassadors in the Lysistrata are de-
 trailing their beards along.
480. $\sigma \epsilon \lambda i \nu \varphi$.] Parsley and rue were the common border of Hellenic gardens,

 тaủtà тav̂тá бov катаעт $\lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha a i \not \xi \nu \nu \omega \mu o ́ \tau а \varsigma ~ к а \lambda \hat{\eta}$.










as box is of our own flower-beds. And the Chorus therefore mean, "All your troubles are to come; you have not yet arrived at the very commencement of them."
481. т $\rho \not \chi^{\prime}$ ovik $\omega \nu$.] The Scholiast, who interprets this word by eive $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, must look upon the phrases of the preceding line as homely metaphors, borrowed by the Chorus from their humble domestic life; and such is the usual explanation of the passage: but it seems on the whole more probable that they are flowers of forensic rhetoric which the Chorus have culled from the law courts, and that by $\tau \rho \iota \chi о \nu i k \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ we are to understand ampullas et sesquipedalia
 with which Bergler compares the expression. The Chorus appear to be giving a sample of their powers, "a short sketch of what we can do in the sublime" (if I may appropriate the heading of one of Fielding's chapters).
483. катаעт $\lambda \hat{\eta}$.] Drench you with.

 cites the passage in the Republic, i. 344 D , where Thrasymachus was minded to

 So St. Chrysostom, 30th Hom. in Matth.



 тךтal î îiv єi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ rav̂тa.-Scholiast. See supra 345, 417, 464, 483, 487, and infra 953. Bergler refers to Thucydides, vi. 27,60 (where the agitation into which the Athenians were thrown by the mutilation of the Hermə is described, каì mávтa
 тvрауикпิ $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \rho_{\chi} \theta a \iota$ ), and to a graphic passage in the oration known as Demosthenes de Syntaxi, p. 170.
 generation had in fact no experience of
(That we'll just throw in, a sample of our three-quart words for you.) Now you care not, wait a little, till the prosecutor trounce you, Sluicing out these selfsame charges, and conspirator denounce you.
Bdel. O by all the gods I ask you, will ye never go away? Are ye quite resolved to linger, thwacked and thwacking all the day?
Chor. Never more Will I while There's a grain Left of me Leave your door Traitor vile Bent to gain Tyranny.
Bdec. Ay "Conspiracy" and "Tyrant," these with you are all in all, Whatsoe'er is brought before you, be the matter great or small. Everywhere the name of Tyrant, now for fifty years unknown, Is than cheap salt-fish at Athens commoner and cheaper grown. Everywhere about the market it is bandied to and fro: If you wish a basse to purchase, and without a pilchard go,
tyrants. It was eighty-eight years since the Pisistratidæ were expelled, and sixtyeight since every prospect of their restoration had been extinguished by the battle of Marathon and death of Hippias. Yet now the name of Tyrant was, in Falstaff's phrase (First Henry IV. ii. 4), 'as cheap as stinking mackarel,' rápixous

493. ó $\rho \phi \hat{\omega}$ s.] This is the Dusky Perch, or Dusky Serranus, a large fish of good flavour, weighing ordinarily from ten to twenty pounds, but occasionally found of very much greater weight. It is still called orphos or rophos by the Greeks (Cuvier and Valenciennes, Hist. Nat. des Poissons, vi. 4), and is known to science as the Perca gigas of Brunnich and Gmelin, the Serranus gigas of Cuvier and Valenciennes, and the Perca robusta of Couch. The better-known basse, which in my translation is substituted for the orphos, is a very similar fish, though belonging to a different branch
of the great perch family. Numenius (apud Ath. vii. 97) characterizes the ó $\rho \phi \dot{\nu} \nu$ as $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \eta \chi$ '́c, an epithet due to those rough spines which form so prominent a feature of the Percidæ, and which have earned for one of our English river-perch the distinctive appellation of the Ruffe. The orphos is described by Aristotle (Hist. Animal., and so Athenæus ubi supra) as a large carnivorous (viii. 4. 1) fish of rapid growth (v. 9.5), firm of flesh, keeping close to the land (viii. 15. 1), and fond of getting into holes (viii. 17, 1). It was found in the greatest perfection in the Rhodian waters (Ath. vii. 24). áфúa and $\mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho a ́ \delta{ }^{\circ} \epsilon s$ (otherwise $\beta \epsilon \mu \beta \rho \dot{\rho} \delta \bar{\delta} s$ ) are little fish of the tribe Clupeidæ, of which the herring, the pilchard, the sprat, the anchory, and the sardine are our most familiar examples. They are frequently mentioned together, as in Alciphron, iii. 53, where a knave who has stolen, amongst other things, रúт $\rho a \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho a ́ d a s$ éxovaav

#    $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \chi a \nu o ́ \pi \omega \lambda \iota \varsigma ~ \pi a \rho a \beta \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi a \sigma a ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota ~ \theta a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \varphi^{*}$   






 505




 510

кaì áфvias Meyapıkàs, describes with great zest how he sat in a corner and enjoyed the feast. It is impossible now to dis-criminate-probably the ancients themselves did not always discriminatewith precise accuracy between the two varieties: but it is plain that dovóa, though not held in such high estimation at Athens as elsewhere (Chrysippus apud Ath. vii. 23), were a favourite and popular dish (Knights, 642-682): whereas from the tone in which the comic poets invariably speak of $\mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho a ́ \delta ̊ \in s$ we may safely conclude that they were reckoned amongst the most worthless fish in the Athenian market. Timocles, for example (apud Ath. vi. 39), describes a needy glutton who roams round the
market contemplating, and asking the prices of, the most expensive fish, though after all he can buy nothing better than $\mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho a ́ d \epsilon s$. And in Alexis (ap. Ath. vii. 28) a parasite vows that he would actually rather sup off $\beta \in \mu \beta \rho a ́ \delta \varepsilon s$ with a host who could talk good Attic Greek, than undergo another banquet with a wealthy barbarian.
499. $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \delta \dot{v} \sigma \mu a \tau a$.] $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ means to furnish supplies, tanquam фópov, to alord.
501. $\kappa € \lambda$ خrícac.] This word is of course not represented in the translation. кє́ $\lambda \eta s$, which properly means a horse (whence the joke on 'I $\pi$ riov ropavvióa, a joke repeated in Lysistrata, 618), is also a $\sigma \chi \tilde{\eta} \mu a$ avvovaias, Peace, 900 ; Lys. 60 ; Thesm. 153.

Straight the man who sells the pilchards grumbles from his stall hard by, Here is plainly one that caters with a view to Tyranny. If a leek, besides, you order, relish for your sprats perchance, Says the potherb-girl directly, eyeing you with looks askance, Leeks indeed! and leeks I prithee! what, with Tyranny in view? Athens must be taxed, you fancy, relish to supply for yov!
Xanth. Even so a naughty damsel yesternoon observed to me, Just because I said her manners were a little bit too free, She supposed that I was wishing Hippias's Tyranny.
Bdel. Ay, by charges such as these our litigious friends they please. Now because I'd have my father (quitting all this toil and strife, This up-early-false-informing-troublesome-litigious life)
Live a life of ease and splendour, live like Morychus, you see Straight I'm charged with Tyrant leanings, charged with foul conspiracy.
Peil. Yes, by Zeus, and very justly. Not for pigeon's milk in store I the pleasant life would barter which you let me lead no more. Nought I care for eels and rayfish : daintier food to me would seem
Scholiast. ò $\rho v i \theta \omega \nu$ yà $\lambda$ is the bait which
in the "O put $_{6 \in s,} 1673$, Peisthetærus appro-
priately holds out to the greedy and
gullible Heracles. Of. Id. 733. Lucian
(De Mercede Conductis, 13) says to one
who after long toil has achieved the
position of companion to some great
ọpvïڤc rá̀a. I do not know whether
our corresponding phrase, pigeon's mill,
was in use before Hunter (on the Animal

Economy, p. 194) discovered that pigeons do in truth nourish their young by means of a milky or curdy secretion, or whether it was introduced by the sceptics of the day in ridicule of that discovery.
 that Bdelycleon had mentioned neither eels nor any other fish: but the name of Morychus would naturally suggest to Philocleon's mind a vision of those white-fleshed Copaic eels which formed the favourite dish of the great epicure. In Acharnians, 887, a Copaic eel is saluted as $\phi i \lambda_{\eta}$ Mopíx̣̣: and in Peace, 1008, the Chorus imagine themselves jostling with Morychus for the Copaic eels which are to return, with returning Peace, to the Athenian market. Batiofs, rayfish, are
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\begin{aligned}
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discussed in Athenæus, vii. cap. 26. The common skate is still called Raia batis.
511. $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \nu \nu$.] $\pi \nu i \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is a term of the culinary art, and means to seethe a slain animal in its own blood and steam within a close cauldron. In Athenæus, ix. cap. 53, one of the guests, seeing some meat served up richly steamed and sauced ( $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \in \kappa \nu \iota \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$ к $\left.\rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \nu^{\prime} \zeta \omega \mu \hat{\varphi}\right)$, says, " Give me some of that smothered meat ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \frac{\pi \nu \kappa \tau \omega \nu}{}$ крєaסi $\omega \nu$ סós)." On which Ulpian retorts, "I shall myself be smothered with
 you don't tell me where you found meat so called, for I won't use the name till I know." Thereupon the guest cites five passages from the Comedians, and amongst others the last three words of the line before us. See also Hdt. ii. 92, and Nicander of Colophon, apud Ath. iii. 100. Casaubon (on Athenæus, ii. 70) says, " $\pi \nu i \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ interpretor parare certo modo veteribus usitato, atque etiam
hodie: quum in proprio succo coquuntur carnes intra ollam aut patinam conclusæ sic ut nullus exhalationibus pateat meatus: $\pi \nu i \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ hoc Græci, unde $\pi \nu \iota \kappa \tau \grave{a}$ кре́a quæ ita sunt coctæ; nostri item coqui paraturæ hoc genus suffocationem vocant." The Scholiast, who seems not to have been aware that this was a real culinary operation, says, $\delta \in \neq \nu$ єimę̃

 Cf. Frogs, 122. But I doubt if such an allusion was really intended.
514. тávта таи̂ $\theta^{\circ}$ á $\left.\mu a \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota s.\right] ~ A n d ~ t h i s, ~$ we shall find, is the actual conclusion to which Philocleon is ultimately brought. See the note on 745 infia. With the lofty pretensions involved in the words ${ }^{a} \rho \chi \chi^{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi a \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ infra 518, compare what Aristotle (Politics ii., last chapter) says of Solon, кúpıov є́ $\pi$ oínбє tò סıкабтйpıov пávtcu. And so Lysias, De Cæde



Just a little, tiny lawsuit, dished and stifled in its steam.
Bdel. Yes, for that's the sort of dainty you, by Zeus, have loved so long. Yet I think I'll soon convince you that your mode of life is wrong, If you can but once be silent, and to what I say give heed.
Phil. I am wrong to be a dicast! Bdel. Laughed to utter scorn indeed, Mocked by men you all but worship, for you can't their treachery see, You're a slave, and yet don't know it. Phil. Name not slavery to me! I am lord of all, I tell you. Bdec. You're the veriest drudge, I vow, Thinking that you're lord of all. For come, my father, teach us now, If you reap the fruits of Hellas, what's the benefit to you?
Puil. Willingly. Let these be umpires. Bdel. I'll accept their judgment too. Now then all at once release him. Puif. And besides a sword supply,
520. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau t s ~ \tilde{\eta} \tau \tau \mu \dot{\eta}$.] The dispute between the parties is reduced to this issue, Do the dicasts, or do they not, obtain any real substantial benefit from the dicastic office? Is that office, as Philocleon contends, a $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{a} \lambda \eta$ á $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$, or is it, indeed, the slavery which his son pronounces it? To show the dicasts that they were in truth mere $\delta o u \lambda \lambda o l$, working for the benefit of the demagogues, and not for their own advantage, was (as is more largely explained in the Preface) the great and paramount object of the Play.
 the question to the arbitrement of the Chorus. Philocleon, versed in legal terms and legal practices, at once accepts the issue proposed, and offers to refer the matters in difference to Arbitration, a method of settling disputes which is recognized in every country, and is frequently commended by the Athenian orators. The first sentence in the first speech of Demosthenes (Demosth. adv.

 ठ $\alpha ф є \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \in \theta a$ тoîs oikєiots EIITPEПEIN,
 infra 1392, 1426)' àтє́ $\chi \rho \eta$ خà $\rho$ à $\nu$ тоîs ín

522. II. кai $\xi$ ' 'os.] The determination to kill himself if defeated is far more consonant to Philocleon's character and circumstances than to those of Bdelycleon, and in fact the sword will presently (infra 714) be found in Philocleon's hand. Philocleon might, indeed, be holding it out for Bdelycleon to fall upon its point, but the person to whom the sword is here given is plainly intended to use it against himself, and there is no trace of his having handed it over to his antagonist. I have, therefore, though with some hesitation, followed the modern editors in transferring to Philocleon the
 formerly continued to his son. And see the note on 714 infra.









XO. $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa a \tau a ̀$ тò̀ $\nu \in a \nu i ́ a \nu$
тóvסє $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. ópâ̧ $\varsigma$ خàp $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$
$\sigma o \grave{\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \tau ' ~ a ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \nu v ̂ \nu}$
524. rò $\delta$ हivpa.] This, as is shown in the note on Peace, 268 , is the ejaculation of a hesitating speaker, forgetting, or pretending to forget, what he was about to say. It is used with great propriety here, since Bdelycleon, wishing to bind his father in the strictest and most technical manner, but not being so familiar as the old dicast with legal terms and phraseology, is naturally obliged to hum and haw before he can bring out the exact formula required.
 award, is the correct legal phrase is abundantly plain from many passages of the Athenian orators. Mitchell refers to Demosthenes adv. Bœotum, ii. p. 1011
 and a little later in the same speech, p .
 also the passage cited in the note on 521 supra.
525. $\mu \tau \theta$ Óv.] "Intelligitur merces iudiciaria. Dicturus autem erat кúdıка aut $\pi$ oтín.ov. Sed animus ei alibi est."Bergler. Then may Inever again quaff
the cup of undiluted wine to the toast of Happy Fortune, àkpátov ollvov ảzaOoù 8aipovos. Of. Knights, 85. This was the final cup before breaking up, corresponding somewhat to our English toast, $T_{o}$ our next merry meeting. It was always a cup of pure wine unmingled with water. See the note on Peace, 300. But the wine-cup conveyed no idea of pleasure to Philocleon's mind; and for кú̀ıка, therefore, he substitutes $\mu \tau \sigma \theta \partial ̀ \nu$, his chief joy in life.
526. vîv ठín.] This system, from vî̀ oi 526 to кє入ú $\phi \eta 545$, is repeated below
 647. The antistrophical character of the two systems, obscured in the earlier editions, was first discerned by Bentley, and completely restored by Porson. The choral portion of each system consists of fourteen choriambic dimeters. Of these eight are acatalectic or entire dimeters, six are catalectic, having a syllable short. In the acatalectic lines, an iambic dipody almost invariably takes the place of one choriamb: indeed one line in the anti-

If in this dispute I'm worsted, here upon this sword I'll die.
Bdel. But suppose you won't their final (what's the phrase) award obey?
Phil. May I never drink thereafter, pure and neat, good fortune's-pay.
Chor. Now must the champion, going
Out of our school, be showing
Keen wit and genius new,
Boel. Bring forth my memorandum-book: bring forth my desk to write in. I'll quickly show you what you're like, if that's your style of fighting.

Cнов. In quite another fashion
To aught this youth can do.
Stern is the strife and anxious
strophe is iambic throughout. The catalectic lines are composed of a choriamb and an amphibrach or bacchian foot, $-u v-|u-=|$. And this is in accordance with the ordinary rule; tò хорьад $\beta \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$,




 ßакхєíov. Other instances of this measure will be found in the Parabasis of the Clouds, and of the Knights. See Gaisford's notes on Hephæstion ubi supra.
527. $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \delta \epsilon i ̂$ кalvóv.] It is observable that this is the exhortation addressed to the Unjust Logic in Clouds, 1031, $\delta \in i$


 Scholiast.
530. фaveî roîós tes $\begin{gathered}\text { © } \\ \text {.] }] \text { The Chorus in }\end{gathered}$ lines 526-8 are urging Philocleon to exert all his eloquence: " you must speak," they say, "with originality and force,
that you may be shown to be, ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ ФANHEEI-" But before they can finish the sentence Bdelycleon strikes in, saying, "You shall be shown, ФANEI, in your true colours, if that is what you are urging." The Chorus, whether concluding their sentence as they had originally intended, or diverting it by way of retort to Bdelycleon's interruption, proceed "to be a speaker of a different stamp to this
 $\mu \eta$ кaada tò $\nu \in a \nu i a \nu$ tóvóe $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ form in the result one sentence, though it is possible that but for Bdelycleon's interruption, the sentence might have had a

 "such as you really are," "in your true character," that is, a mere oovinos. With the words $\mu \grave{\eta}$ кađà тò̀ $\nu \in a \nu i ́ a \nu ~ đ o ́ v \delta ¢ \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ compare Plato's Apology, cap. 1, où kaù̀
 different, that is, a far higher character." In the Antistrophe infra 634, 5 the speech of the Chorus is cut in two by a similar interruption.

$\kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$,<br>$\epsilon^{\epsilon} / \pi \epsilon \rho$, ô $\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \in ́ \nu o \iota \theta^{\prime}, o v ̃-$<br><br><br><br>XO. ои้кє́т८ $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\chi \lambda$ доs<br><br><br>Өадлофо́роь калои́ $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}, \dot{a} \nu-$ $\tau \omega \mu \circ \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \in \lambda u ́ \phi \eta$. 545<br> <br>

 can hardly be used in a purely future signification, si victor erit, as Bergler and Brunck translate them. They seem rather to mean, "if he really intends to win." It was the resolute alacrity with which Bdelycleon accepted the challenge that portended a serious contest.
544. Aa入入oфópoc.] Alluding to the feeble and decrepit old men who carried olive branches in the Panathenaic processions. $\theta a \lambda \lambda o \phi o ́ \rho o u s ~ " ̈ \phi \eta$, says the




 sychius sub voce.
545. à $\nu \tau \omega \mu \circ \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ кє $\lambda \dot{\prime} \phi \eta$.] Huskss of affidavits. In order to prevent vexatious prosecutions, Athenian law required every accuser to pledge his oath, at the outset, to the truth of the charge he was

- making: whilst, on the other hand, as a security against frivolous defences, the accused was likewise required to deny the charge upon oath. When this had been done, the parties were at issue, and evidence could be called on either side. These preliminary affidavits were the àv $\omega$ нобia to which the speaker refers.










 liast on Plato, Apology,cap.3. àr $\omega \mu$ обia ${ }^{-}$




For all our earthly good, If he intends to conquer, Which Heaven forefend he should.
Bdel. Now I'll observe his arguments, and take a note of each.
Phil. What would you say, if he to-day should make the conquering speech?
Chor. Ah! should that mischance befall us,
Our old troop were nothing worth :
In the streets with ribald mirth
Idle boys would dotards call us,
Fit for nought but olive-bearing,
Shrivelled husks of counter swearing.
O friend upon whom it devolves to plead the cause of our Sovereign Power to-day, Now show us your best; now bring to the test each trick that an eloquent tongue can play. 'н. Away, away, like a racer gay, I start at once from the head of the lists,


 Suidas, Scholiast on 1041 infra, Lex Rhet. apud Ruanken's Timæus. Notwithstanding this concurrence of authority, modern writers have generally adopted a statement found in Pollux, viii. segm. 55 , that $\mathfrak{a} \nu \tau \omega \mu$ oria is in strictness applicable only to the defendant's traverse of the plaintiff's charge. But this statement is certainly incorrect. See the note on 1041 infra.
548. каi $\mu \eta_{\eta} \nu$.] In the next 180 lines Aristophanes sets before us the entire process of an Athenian arbitration. The disputants have agreed to refer, '̇литрє́тєєv, the matter to arbitrators, and to abide by their award, ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \mu$ évє $\tau \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a i \tau \eta$. And now each party states his case at great length, and when both have been heard, the Arbitrators deliver their decision in solemn form. The proceedings
commence with Philocleon's harangue in support of the proposition which he has undertaken to establish, viz., that the dicastic office is $\mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ dं $\rho \chi \eta$, that the dicast ä ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, or as he
 $\mu l a ̂ s ~ \grave{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ évriv ßaбı入єias. To prove this point, he enumerates the daily privileges and pleasures of a dicastic life, commencing with the earlient morning when he leaves his home for the law-courts, and continuing until the latest evening when he has returned with his dicastic fee into the bosom of his family. In the eager confidence with which he begins, he likens himself to a runner starting $\boldsymbol{a}_{\pi} \pi \dot{o} \beta a \lambda \beta i \delta \omega \nu_{0} \quad \beta a \lambda-$



 " without preparation."

## 





$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu о \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu \chi \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi a \lambda \eta ̀ \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i \omega \nu \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda о ф \nu i ̂ a \nu{ }^{\circ}$





 560

552. ঠрифа́ктоьs.] The Court-rail or bar; see the note on 386 supra. It is
 $\pi \eta \chi_{\chi \in \iota}$ who are watching there, we are to understand, with the Commentators generally, the accused officials, the vimevitvol of 102 sapra (see note on 571 infra), and not, with Florent Chretien and Reisig, the ushers of the Court. It is one of these watchers who accosts Philocleon in the following lines. The
 be rightly interpreted by Conz and others as referring rather to the social position than to the physical stature of the offenders, as in the passage cited by Bergler from Frogs, 1014, yєyvaious кaì тєтратй $\chi \epsilon \iota$. The Scholium סıà тò $\mu$ е́入-
 $\lambda a \mu \beta$ ánovtes probably belongs to the lines before us, and not to line 554 .
553. $\pi \rho o \sigma \iota o ́ v \tau \iota$.] Xenophon (or whoever was the author of tho treatise on
the Athenian Republic) must have had, one would think, these lines in his mind when he wrote the following passage,

 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu, \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega \nu$ öть $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \hat{\ell} \nu$

 Heliasts being, as is shown in the Preface, the People sitting in their judicial



 ка $\theta_{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \hat{a} \sigma \iota \mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu .-D e$ Rep. Ath. i. 18.
554. тì $\chi$ £î’ $\alpha \pi a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$.] That dainty hand. Reiske would destroy the inimitable humour of these words by changing $\chi \epsilon i ́ \rho ’ \dot{a} \pi \pi a \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}$ into $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a ~ \Pi a ́ \chi \eta s$ vel simile quid: Meinelre, by reading tıs $\chi \in i \rho '$ for $\tau \eta े \nu$ रє $\hat{\imath} \rho$ ' (just as Florent Chretien, on similar grounds, alters $\hat{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega}$ テ $\dot{\eta} \nu$ $\chi^{\epsilon i \rho a, ~ L y s i s t . ~ 1119, ~ i n t o ~} \hat{\eta}_{\nu} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \delta \hat{\varphi}$ т $\tau \leqslant$

## To prove that no kinglier power than ours in any part of the world exists.

Is there any creature on earth more blest, more feared and petted from day to day, Or that leads a happier, pleasanter life, than a Justice of Athens, though old and gray? For first when rising from bed in the morn, to the criminal Court betimes I trudge, Great six-foot fellows are there at the rails, in anxious haste to salute their Judge. And the delicate hand, which has dipped so deep in the public purse, he claps into mine, And he bows before me, and makes his prayer, and softens his voice to a pitiful whine : O pity me, pity me, Sire, he cries, if you ever indulged your longing for pelf, When you managed the mess on a far campuign, or served some office of state yourself. The man would never have heard my name, if he had not been tried and acquitted before. Bd. (Writing.) I'll take a note of the point you make, that suppliant fellows your yrace implore. Ph. So when they have begged and implored me enough, and my angry temper is wiped away, I enter in and I take my seat, and then I do none of the things I say.
$\chi \epsilon \bar{\rho} a)$; the only excuse for this piece of barbarity being the abrupt transition from the plural to the singular verb, without the introduction of a new nominative case: a very common construction in Aristophanes. Mitchell quotes examples from Peace, 639, Eccl. 672, and Plato: but in truth it is unnecessary to go beyond this very speech of Philocleon: its first two sections exhibit one perpetual interchange of the plural and singular numbers, of the class and the individual who represents the class. " High personages watch for me, тпрoи̂ $\sigma$, at the Court rails," says Philocleon
 mine (554) ; they weep and pray, iкктєviovaıv (555), Pity me, I beseech, aitov̂paı (556), though he would never have known, $\eta \not \partial \delta \epsilon \nu \nu$, of my existence but for his former acquittal." And again, "Some bewail, àтoк入áourat, their poverty (564), till he makes out his hardships equal, ioćon,
to mine (565); others jest, $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \pi t o v \sigma t$ (567), and if this fails he brings forward, à $\nu \in \lambda \kappa \kappa \epsilon$, his children (568).

 סıкабтai.-Scholiast. The interruptions of Bdelycleon divide Philocleon's speech into five distinct sections, each containing a separate branch of his argument. The first section treats of the dicast's early morning, until he enters the Court; the second, of the flatteries and supplications which await him there; the third, of incidental advantages which he gains in the discharge of his judicial duties ; the fourth, of the honours paid him by the authorities and the demagogues; and the fifth, of his evening pleasures after he has left the Court.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ob $\rho \gamma^{\prime} \nu$. The next line is rightly explained by the Scholiast: à $\nu \tau i ̀$ тov̂ å












 the very height of his self-glorification, Philocleon makes an involuntary admission, which brings out more pointedly than any argument of Bdelycleon could have done, the contrast (on which the whole play hinges) between the public pretensions of the dicasts, and the necessitous circumstances of their daily life. "Who so grand as I," he says, "when great officers of state are humbling themselves before me, and seeking to disarm my wrath and move my pity by exaggerating their poverty and their misfortunes, till they make themselves out" (with what pitiable object shall he compare them ?) "till they make themselves out as poor and as miserable as I am." The word àvì̀ (no doubt because followed by à $\boldsymbol{i}(\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta)$ has dropped out of every MS. except the Venetian : and even there the reading is uncertain, Bekker transcribing it $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega \nu$, and Dindorf àj $\omega \hat{\omega} \nu$. But $\mathfrak{a} \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$, annoying me, tbough adopted by several editors, is utterly inconsistent with the whole tone
of Philocleon's speech. The abasement of these $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$ tuvo is so far from being an annoyance to him, that it is his very joy and delight. And see supra $389,390$.
 of Alsop; meaning of course the fabulist, and not (as some suppose) a tragic actor of the same name. Bentley refers to 1258, 9 infra, a very analogous passage. And as to the use made of Arsop's fables before the dicasteries, see the note on 191 supra.
567. of סغ $\sigma \kappa \omega ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma^{\prime}$.] Mitchell cites from Demosthenes (contra Aristocr. p. 689) a passage which, as he truly observes, is the best comment on the verse before






568. $\pi a \iota \delta$ ápu'.] We shall have, further $^{2}$ on, a burlesque example of this wellknown expedient for exciting the pity of the Court. See 976 infra, where Mitchell and others collcet the various passages

I hear them utter all sorts of cries design'd expressly to win my grace, What won't they utter, what don't they urge, to coax a Justice who tries their case? Some vow they are needy and friendless men, and over their poverty wail and whine, And reckon up hardships, false with true, till he makes them out to be equal to mine. Some tell us a legend of days gone by, or a joke from Asop, witty and sage, Or jest and banter, to make me laugh, that so I may doff my terrible rage. And if all this fails, and I stand unmoved, he leads by the hand his little ones near, He brings his girls and he brings his boys; and I, the Judge, am composed to hear. They huddle together with piteous bleats: while trembling above them he prays to me, Prays as to a God his accounts to pass, to give him a quittance, and leave him free.
in which the practice is mentioned by ancient writers. Thus in the Oration against Meidias (secs. 186-188) Demosthenes says, "I know that Meidias will come with tears and supplications, bringing forward his children, and making himself out the most miserable of men. I have no children to bring forward, but am I therefore to suffer wrong? Nay but when you see him bringing forward his children, think that you see me on the other side, bringing forward the laws which he has violated, and the oaths which ye have sworn." "If a prisoner," says Lysias (pro Polystrato, 161) "bring forward his children with wailing and weeping, ye pardon the father for the sake of the children; children, of whom ye know not yet whether they will themselves grow up good citizens or bad." In the Apology of Plato, cap. 23, Socrates is represented as saying to his judges, "It may be that some amongst you are vexed and indignant, because I do not, as others do, bring forward my children in the court, and seek to win your favour and
move your pity by unmanly and ignoble supplications." That is a course, be says, which no Athenian (above all, no Athenian philosopher) should adopt to save himself from any penalty which he may righteously have deserved, though it be the extreme penalty of death; and judges too, he adds, should be swayed not by motives of pity and favour, but by the truth and justice of the case.
 pleasure on the word $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \circ \hat{\omega} \mu a t$, which is repeated from 562 supra. "I am the man to listen to these cajoleries which testify to our power and to the reverence and estimation in which we are held."
 either for their sakes or standing over them; the former interpretation is the more probable of the two.
571. ヶท̂s єủ̇vivgs.] All Athenian officials at the close of their term of office were compelled to render an account. These accounts were first laid before the public auditors, who invited all persons to come in and make their objections. If no serious objection was made, the accounts











were passed, and the official discharged. If, however, any difficulty arose, the matter was submitted to the dicasteries, and with them the oltimate decision rested. The subject is treated at some length by सschines at the commencement of his speech against Ctesiphon, who had proposed that Demosthenes, before he had passed his andit and obtained his discharge, should receive a crown of gold for his services. Wischines denounces this proposal as an attempt
 $\chi \in \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, and observes that $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

 says, can escape this obligation: $\bar{\epsilon}$

 $\lambda v \theta$ ót $\omega$ ( p .56 ): not the priests or priestesses; not the trierarchs; not the great and venerable Areopagus; not the Coun-
B. O then such a nose as Lysicrates shows

Will vie with the fairest and best, I suppose.
P. O yes, 'tis a nice democratic device,

A popular system as ever was tried, A jupe on the swells with their rings and their pride.

If thou lovest a bleating male of the flock，$O$ lend thine ear to this boy of mine： Or pity this sweet little delicate girl，if thy soul delights in the squeaking of swine． So then we relax the pitch of our wrath，and screw it down to a peg more low． Is reis not a fine dominion of mine，a derision of wealth with its pride and show？ Bd．（Writing．）A second point for my note－book that，a derision of wealth with its show and its pride． Go on to mention the good you get by your empire of Hellas so vast and wide． PH．＇Tis ours to inspect the Athenian youths，when we enter their names on the rolls of men． And if ever CEagrus gets into a suit，be sure that he＇ll never get out again Till he give us a speech from his Niobe part，selecting the best and the liveliest one． And then if a piper gain his cause，he pays us our price for the kindness done， By piping a tune with his mouth－band on，quick march as out of the Court we go．

578．סокциа $\sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ］Every Athenian， on attaining the age of manhood，had his name entered in the register，to $\lambda \eta \xi_{\iota a \rho \chi}$ кко̀ $\nu$ үра $\mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \bar{o} \nu$ ，of his deme，and was thenceforth entitled to the full privileges of an Athenian citizen．In ordinary cases this registration took place at the age of twenty years ：but it seems probable that orphan heirs（such as Demosthenes：see the speeches against Aphobus and Onetor）were allowed to be registered at an earlier period，if on a personal examination， סокıца⿱宀八九，they were able to satisfy the judges that they had already arrived at their full physical strength and maturity． See Schömann，De Comitiis，pp．76－79．
579．obaypos．］Eagrus was a popular actor of the day．Whether the tragedy which furnisheu his favourite character was the Niobe of 性schylus，or the Niobe of Sophocles，we have now no means of deciding．The latter was probably the more recent Play of the two：but，on the other hand，Aristophanes frequently （Birds，1247；Frogs，912－20，1392）refers
to，or quotes from，the Niobe of止schylus，and nowhere，unless in the present passage，makes any allusion to the Niobe of Sophocles．Richter says
 Sophoclem subauditum esse dixerim，＂ but of course кa入入iorn $\boldsymbol{r}$ refers not to the Play，but to the $\hat{\rho} \eta \sigma t s$ ；nor indeed（were it otherwise）havewe the slightest ground for supposing that the Niobe of Sophocles was，in the estimation of Aristophanes， or in fact，superior to the Niobe of世schylus．See Wagner，Trag．Græc． Fragin．vol．i．pp．73，335．With the expression фєن́yตע à àoфє́vyє compare
 8iкпу．
 sort of leathern muzzle fitting closely round the piper＇s mouth on each side of the pipe．It was intended to make the breath flow more evenly through the instrument，and so to produce a sweeter and more melodious tone．The Scholiast











 refers to Birds，861，where a crow comes on as a piper $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \phi о \rho \beta \iota \omega \mu$＇́vos，with a
mouth－band on；and Florent Chretien to Cicero（Epist．ad Att．ii．16），who says，＂Cnæus quidem noster jam plane quid cogitet nescio，

Sophoclis Inc．fab．fragmenta，100， Wagner．The $\epsilon$ Éooos was the accom－ paniment which the pipers played as the Chorus were finally learing the stage at the end of the Play：it was the exit－，as the Parodos was the entrance－



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\Phi_{i} \lambda o \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ ．So Suidas explains
 oi $\chi$ opoì кaì oi aủ入 $\eta$ тaí．

583．$\grave{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{i} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v$ ．］There seems every reason to believe that an Athenian citizen was legally competent to dispose by will of the hand and fortune of
his heiress－daughter：and Aristophanes must，therefore，I imagine，be here refer－ ring to some recent case in which the Courts had，on too light grounds，super－ seded a father＇stestamentary dispositions， and awarded the heiress and her property to some favoured claimant，who probably came forward as her nearest of kin． And this will account for the tone of Bdelycleon＇s rejoinder，and his use of the word àiokeis in reference to the transaction in question．

584．кєфа入品．］With the like humor－ ous application to inanimate things of phraseology appropriate to human beings alone，Plautus（Mostellaria，i．3．108） makes a lover say，

Hei milhi misero，savium speculo dedit ！
Nimis velim lapidem，quî ego illi speculo diminuam caput．
By Heaven，she kissed the mirror ！
I＇ll break that mirror＇s head if it don＇t mind．

585．$\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa$ ќ́ $\gamma \chi \eta$ ．］кó $\gamma \chi a \iota$ were little cases or capsules which Athenian law－station－
ers placed over seals to preserve them from damage and defacement．The

And what if a father by will to a friend his daughter and heiress bequeath and bestow, We care not a rap for the Will, or the cap which is there on the seal so grand and sedate, We bid them begone, and be hanged, and ourselves take charge of the girl and her worthy estate And we give her away to whgèver we choose, to whoever may chance to persuade us: yet we, Whilst other officials must pass an account, alone from control and accounting are free. Bd. Ay that, and that only, of all you have said, I own is a privilege lucky and rare, But uncapping the seal of the heiress's will seems rather a shabby and doubtful affair. Pr. And if ever the Council or People have got a knotty and difficult case to decide,

 And the Venetian adds, кó $\chi \chi \eta$ $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \tau \hat{\varrho}$

 Philocleon means that the most careful observance of legal forms and solemnities does not oust the paramount authority of the dicasteries.
587. à $\nu v \tau \pi \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta v \nu o u.] ~ T h e ~ H e l i a s t s ~ w e r e, ~$ as is shown in the Preface, the Sovereign People sitting in their judicial capacity. To them all officials were responsible: see the note on 571 supra. And they themselves were not, and could not be, responsible to any one.
588. $\sigma \epsilon \mu$ óvov.] This is the admirable emendation of Reiske and Porson for $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta \nu_{\text {. B }}$ Both the $\sigma \epsilon$ and the $\mu$ óvo ${ }^{2}$ are necessary to the sense, whilst $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ was here manifestly out of place. Of all the pleasures and privileges on which Philocleon has descanted, there is but one whereon Bdelycleon is prepared to congratulate him. It is, no doubt, a piece of good fortune, he admits, that the dicasts have not to answer for their conduct: especially (he seems to imply) if they act in the manner which his father has described with regard to the
heiress's marriage. On rovti the Scholiast remarlss, $\lambda \epsilon i т \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta}$ ката́. катà тойтó $\sigma \epsilon, \phi \eta \sigma \grave{,} \mu a \kappa a \rho i \xi \xi \omega, \tau \dot{o} \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega s \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 Brunck observes, is properly equivalent to ${ }^{\alpha} \nu a \gamma a \rho \gamma a \rho i \zeta \omega \nu$, gargling: but is here, of course, used with reference to the кó $\gamma \chi \eta$ mentioned above.
 means uncommon for the $\beta$ ou $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ or the $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ to send a case for trial before the Heliæa. There were naturally many offences, ${ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \phi a{ }^{2} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu a \tau a$, which had been overlooked in the written code, and to which, therefore, no punishment was by law annexed. In such cases it was necessary to appeal to the Senate or the Assembly by means of an ei $\sigma a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a$

 $a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda(a)$. And the Senate or the Assembly would in some cases themselves decide the question: in others, direct it to be tried, subject to special regulations, before the ordinary tribunals. There were other cases, too, in which a complainant was required to apply to the Assembly for leave to institute proceedings against a public offender. Such, for example, seems to have been the rule









where the complaint was against the conduct of a magistrate in his official capacity. In these cases the preliminary proceeding was termed a $\pi \rho \circ \beta \stackrel{\beta}{ } \eta^{\prime}$.
592. Kодакө́vขцos.] Under this guise the bulky person (see note on 16 supra) of Cleonymus is again brought forward. Evathlus, Cleonymus, and Theorus (and. possibly Euphemius also) were all minor demagogues, the satellites and ко́лакєs of Cleon. And so, like 'Theorus in the dream supra 42, Cleonymus is here (though in another fashion) repre-
 Evathlus, who was probably the wellknown scholar of Protagoras, is described by Aristophanes in the Holcades as a rovmpos ovvíropos, and with this the notice in Acharnians, 710, would seem to agree. And we may perhaps conclude from the present passage that Cleonymus figured in the same character.
593. $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s$.] This reading is supported by every authority, the MSS., the Scholiast, the early editions; and is positively demanded by the context. $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$, which crept into Kuster"s text apparently by an exror of the printer,
and which is retained by all recent editors, is altogether inconsistent with the tenor of Philocleon's argument. "We are recognized," he says, "as the Sovereign Power in the state: the Senate and the Assembly send us cases, which they are unable to determine; the orators and advocates vow that they will protect us from wrong: none can succeed in the Assembly except by our vote and influence: Cleon himself, the common assailant of all else, comes forward as our staunch friend and patron; whilst his satellites descend to the lowest and most servile offices to curry favour with us." Modern editors seem to imagine that $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{\text {ous }}$ in the second limb of the sentence requires $i \mu a ̂ s$ in the first: forgetting that the Heliasts considered themselves, and in fact were, the $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$, the $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu o s$, the Athenian People. In the orators the expression тò $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta o s$ is frequently applied to the dicastic body, as in Lysias adv. Agoratum, passim. And so supra 267. And see the following note.
594. ¿̀ Ј $\hat{\omega} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega$.] For the Heliasts

They pass a decree for the culprits to go to the able and popular Courts to be tried : Evathlus, and He ! the loser of shields, the fawning, the great Cowardonymus say "They'll always be fighting away for the mob," "the people of Athens they'll never betray." And none in the People a measure can pass, unless he propose that the Courts shall be free, Dismissed and discharged for the rest of the day when once we have settled a single decree. Yea, Cleon the Bawler and Brawler himself, at us, and us only, to nibble forbears, And sweeps off the flies that annoy us, and still with a vigilant hand for our dignity cares. You never have shown such attention as this, or displayed such a zeal in your father's affairs. Yet Theorus, a statesman as noble and grand as lordly Euphemius, runs at our call
would naturally form so very large a proportion of the ordinary Athenian Assembly that their united votes could determine the fate of any measure brought forward there. It was this which makes Aristophanes so ansious, in the present Play, to detach them from their alliance with the demagogues : and it was for the same reason that the demagogues were so anxious to maintain and strengthen that alliance. It seems that one method of earning the gratitude
and securing the votes of the dicasts was to get them released after one cause was heard, and to give them a full day's pay for a short day's service. In a graphic passage of the Knights (50-60), to which Bergler refers, this form of bribery is directly attributed to Cleon. He is there depicted as a Paphlagonian slave, who ingratiates himself with the Demus, his master, at the expense of his fellowservants : and wins the old man's favour by saying,


(Observe that it is the Demus itself which is here represented as sitting in the dicasteries.) And a little farther on we are told, oủk $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \tau \delta \nu \delta \in \sigma \pi \delta ́ \tau \eta \nu$


ßupaivqע for $\mu \nu \rho \sigma i \nu \eta \nu, \dot{\rho} \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau o p a s$ for $\mu \nu i a s$, as infra 597.
597. $\mu v i ́ a s ~ \grave{~ a ̇ a \mu u ́ v e l .] ~ T h i s ~ w a s ~ n o ~}$ light matter in Eastern countries. The
 v. 14. 2), or $\mu v i a y p o s$ (Pliny, x. 40) : the Philistines (probably) their Baal-zebub or God of Flies. And see the preceding note. And on the epithet кєкра $\varsigma \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu a s$ see the note on 36 supra.
599. Eùфпиiov.] Of Euphemius we know nothing, except what the Scholiast
 $\delta \kappa \alpha \beta a \lambda \lambda o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau^{\prime} \nu$. It is plain that whoever and whatever he may have been, he was regarded by Aristophanes as a still more despicable character than Theorus, who is obviously intenced to be insulted by the comparison.



 $\pi \rho \omega \kappa т o ̀ s ~ \lambda o v \tau \rho o \hat{~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̉ p \chi \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o v . ~}$











600. $\sigma \pi o ́ \gamma \gamma o \nu-\tau a ̉ \mu \beta a ́ \delta ı a$.] This was the most menial of offices: a circum. stance which gave point to the sarcasm of Stratonicus, who, seeing a dandy proud of his well-sponged shoes, condoled with him on the reverses which must have befallenhim; "for," said Stratonicus, "I am sure that you would never have had your shoes so well sponged if you had not done them yourself," ov่к à oṽt
 -Athenæus, viii. 43.
604. $\pi \rho \omega \kappa т o ̀ s ~ \lambda o v \tau \rho o v ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s]$. This passage has been misunderstood by Florent Chretien here, and Jens on Hesychius (who both take $\lambda$ outpò in the sense of latrina), by Brunck, who connects $\dot{\tau} \eta_{s} \alpha \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ with $\pi a \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \ell$, and by other commentators. Philocleon is waxing jubilant at the success of his own oratory. "These
are my triumphs," he says; "this is what
 mere slavery and service!" "Go on," responds Bdelycleon, "talk your fill: sooner or later you will come to an end

 the note on 530 supra) in respect of all this vaunted empire to be a mere $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \pi \dot{o}$
 gets the better of its bath, which defeats all efforts to cleanse it, may be said to gain a victory indeed, but a victory which it were better to lose than to gain. And Philocleon's triumphs, it is implied, are triumphs which bring him no benefit whatever, but turn to his own disadvantage. This is the meaning attributed with more or less precision to the proverb


And whips out a sponge from his bottle, and stoops, to black and to polish the shoes of us all. Such, such is the glory, the joy, the renown, from which you desire to retain and withhold me, And teis you will show, this Empire of mine, to be bondage and slavery merely, you told me.
BD. Ay, chatter your fill, you will cease before long : and then I will show that your boasted success Is just the success of a tail that is washed, going back to its filth and its slovenliness. Pe. But the nicest and pleasantest part of it all is this, which I'd wholly forgotten to say, 'Tis when with my fee in my wallet I come, returning home at the close of the day, O then what a welcome I get for its sake; my daughter, the darling, is foremost of all, And she washes $m y$ feet and anoints them with care, and above them shestoops, and a kiss lets fall, Till at last by the pretty Papas of her tongue she angles withal my three-obol away. Then my dear little wife, she sets on the board nice manchets of bread in a tempting array, And cosily taking a seat by my side, with loving entreaty constrains me to feed; I beseech you taste this, I implore you try that. This, this I delight in, and ne'er may I need To look to yourself and your pantler, a scrub who, whenever I ask him my breakfast to set, Keeps grumbling and murmuring under his breath. No! no! if he haste not a manchet to get Lo here my defence from the evils of life, my armour of proof, my impregnable shield.

Scholiasts, Hesychius, Photius, Suidas,



 $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\rho} \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \ell \tau \eta \bar{s}$ yaotpòs, say the Scholiasts.
 seem that Philocleon had intended lines 601,602 to be the peroration of his speech: but he remembers that his evening enjoyments have not yet been mentioned, and he sets out afresh with the words before us.
610. $\phi v \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \hat{\mu} \zeta a \nu$.] A cake of barley dough, slightly kneaded. тар' 'AOnvaios, $\phi \nu \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ ä $\alpha a \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \mu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$.-Athe-
 Hesychius.
612. $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \eta \sigma \sigma$.] So the MSS.
read, and rightly. "These are my pleasures," saysPhilocleon: "the barley-cake, the old-fashioned stoup of wine which await my return from the Courts are 'to memoredear, congenial to my heart,' than all the proffered luxuries of your fashionable establishment. Never be it $m y$ fate to depend upon you and your pantler."
614. $\left.{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \ddot{y}^{\prime} \nu.\right]$ This is Elmsley's felicitous emendation (at ©Ed. Tyr. 662) for the old reading ád $\lambda \eta \nu$. If your pantler grudge me a meal, here is sufficient for my wants; if you will not pour me out ( $\epsilon \gamma \chi \hat{n} s)$ a draught of wine, here I can pour it out for myself ( $\epsilon \gamma$ $\left.\chi^{\epsilon} о \mu a l\right)$. Cf. inf. 906.
615. тáde.] Tà ểк тоû סıкаa⿱宀тทpíov хр $\quad$ мата.-Scholiast. The old man is now in his glory, and falls, as Dindorf observes, into an Homeric strain.





 $\pi a ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau i s ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi a \rho \iota o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, oiov Bpovtą tò סıкабт $\eta$ pıov,弦 $Z \epsilon \hat{v} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$.
$\kappa a ̈ ̀ \nu \dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \psi \omega, ~ т о \pi \pi \tau \cup ́ \zeta ু o v \sigma \iota \nu$,
 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o i ́ . ~$ $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \delta e ́ \delta o ル \kappa a ́ s ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ s . ~$
 à $\pi о \lambda о i ́ \mu \eta \nu, \epsilon \ddot{l} \sigma \epsilon \delta \in ́ \delta о \iota к а$.
XO. ои̉ $\pi \dot{\omega} т о \theta^{\prime}$ ойтш ка $\theta a \rho \hat{\omega} \varsigma$
oúठє̀òs $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa о и ́ \sigma а \mu \epsilon \nu$ oủ-


616. övov.] A wine-flagon, shaped like an ass, or an ass's head. "ıows $\delta \iota a ̀$
 Scholiast. It is probably to be considered as a relic of Philocleon's old campaigning days, a circumstance which would give additional meaning to the epithet $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \iota o \nu$ below.
617. кєхךขळ́s.] With its jaws wide open like a donkey braying. катє́тарঠє $\nu$, that is, as Aristophanes says infra 1306,
 tius defines $\sigma r \rho a ́ r i o \nu ~ t o ~ m e a n ~ \mu ' \gamma a ~ k a i ̀ ~$ ooßapóv. And see the preccding note. The sivos was an earthenware bowl,

 ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ ка́т $\omega \theta \in \nu$ ímóт $\rho o \chi o \nu$.—Scholiast. Cf. Clouds, 1474.
621. iкоv́ш.] Men speak of the thunders of the Court as they speak of the thunders of Zeus: they use the same language about us that they use about Him : we strike as much awe into the hearts of men as does He , the King of the Gods. It is in reference to this final vaunt that Bdelycleon opens his speech, infra 652, by addressing Philocleon as "Father Zeus."
622. $\theta_{0} \rho \cup \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.] The word $\theta_{\text {opv. }}$ $\beta \in i v$, tumultuari, is very commonly used to denote the agitated movements of a

And what if you pour me no liquor to drink, yet here's an old Ass, full of wine, that I wield, And I tilt him, and pour for myself, and imbibe; whilst sturdy old Jack, as a bumper I drain, Lets fly at your goblet a bray of contempt, a mighty and masterful snort of disdain.

Is 'risis not a fine dominion of mine?
Is it less than the empire of Zeus?
Why the very same phrases, so grand and divine,
For me, as for Him, are in use.
For when we are raging loud and high
In stormy, tumultuous din,
O Lord! O Zeus! say the passers-by,
How thunders the Court within!
The wealthy and great, when my lightnings glare,
Turn pale and sick, and mutter a prayer.
You fear me too: I protest you do :
Yes, yes, by Demeter I vow 'tis true.
But hang me if I am afraid of you.
Chor. I never, no, I never
Have heard so clear and clever
And eloquent a speech-
Peil. Ay, ay, he thought be'd steal my grapes, and pluck them undefended,
large and excited dicastery. See Plato, Apology, cap. 5; Aschines contra Timarchum, cap. 34 ; Lysias adv. Eratosthenem, p. 127, and Fragm. 57; Diog. Laert. Socrates, cap.21. These passages are cited in the Preface.
626. $\pi$ o $\pi \pi \dot{v}$ 乡ov $\sigma \iota \nu$.] A Greek or Roman when alarmed by a thunderstorm was accustomed to make with his lips a clucking or popping noise. This was called a poppysma (a name formed to imitate the sound), and was considered as an inarticulate deprecation, or charm to avert the danger. It seems that this superstitious habit was very prevalent
in the ancient world: "fulgetras," says Pliny (xxviii.25, cited by Bergler), " poppysmis adorare consensus gentium est."
631. ou่ $\pi \dot{\omega} \pi \theta^{\prime}$ '] In the strophe, supra $526-545$, the Chorus had expressed great anxiety, and even Philocleon had spoken in a faltering tone, as regarded the probable issue of the contest. The antistrophe, 631-647, breathes quite another spirit: there is no faltering now : all anxiety is lost in the triumph of the Chorus at the success of their champion.
.634. ${ }^{\prime} \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \mathrm{s}$ т $\rho v \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.] This proverbial expression is also found, as
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$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \grave{a} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ỏ $\rho \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \hat{a}-$
$\nu a \iota \chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ ̀ \nu}[\nu \in a \nu i ́ q]$
$\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \mu o v ̂ ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \iota . ~$

Bentley observes, in Ecclesiazusæ, 885. Bdelycleon must have expected, the speaker means, to find me unprepared for the struggle : since well he knew that I have in reality by far the better case. The $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in line 635 is intended to show not why Bdelycleon expected to find the grapes undetended, but why Philocleon is sure that he must have expected it. For an exactly similar construction see 1 Cor. x. 5.

 Menexenus, cap. 2 (a passage obviously borrowed from this), Socrates is represented as describing in his ironical way the feelings produced in his mind by the
funeral" orations at Athens. "They are so full," he says, " of indiscriminate eulogy, first upon those just dead, then upon our forefathers, and then even upon ourselves who are yet alive, that as I listen I feel myself growing in size and in grace and in dignity; aye, and for days after I can scarce realize who and where I am; for I seem to be all but dwelling in the Islands of the Blest, $\mu$ óvov
 Isles of the Blessed, so beautifully described by Pindar in his second Olymipian ode, were the holy and happy resting-places reserved for the pure in heart.

Fortunatorum memorant insulas
Quo cuncti, qui $x$ tatem egerunt caste suam, Conveniant.-Plautus, Trinummus, ii. 4. 148.
See Hesiod, ${ }^{\text {E E p }}$, 169 ; Plato, Gorgias, (Ilgen Scol. 13); Plutarch, Sertorius, cap cap. 79; the Scolium of Harmodius 8; Eurip. Helen, 1676; Lucian's Cata-

For well he knew that I'm in this particularly splendid. Chor. No topic he omitted, But he duly went through each. I waxed in size to hear him
'Till with ecstasy possessed
Methought I sat a-judging
In the Lslands of the Blest.
Phil. See how uneasily he stands, and gapes, and shifts his ground. I warrant, sir, before I've done, you'll look like a beaten hound.
Chor. You must now, young man, be seeking
Every turn and every twist
Which can your defence assist.
TTo a youth against me speaking
Mine's a heart 'tis hard to render
(So you'll find it) soft and tender.
plus, 24; Horace, Odes, iv.8.27; Epodes, 16. 41, etc. To the speaker, however, the pleasures even of that blissful region would be incomplete unless they included the exercise of those dicastic functions to which he was here so devotedly attached, and of which he had just heard so elaborate and satisfactory a panegyric.
642. $\sigma к о \rho \delta \iota \iota a ̂ \tau a t$.] $\sigma к о р \delta \iota \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a u$ means


Oaı $\mu \in \tau \grave{a} \chi^{\dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \eta s . — H e s y c h i u s . ~ \epsilon ̇ ̀ ~ a v i o u ̂ ~ i s ~}$ exactly analogous to the Latin apud sese so common in Terence. Num tibi videtur esse apud sese? ${ }^{\text {PHecyra, iv. 4. 85. And }}$ so Bergler translates it. Porson com-

643. $\sigma \kappa \dot{u} \tau \eta \beta \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.] To loolz like one who expects the whip. $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime}$ raı $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\gamma$ à $\rho$

 $\mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a$ кака́.-Scholiast. The expression is also used by Athenæus, xiii. cap. 24.
646. $\pi \epsilon \pi a ̂ v a u$.] $\mu a \lambda a ́ \xi a l$.-Scholiast. $\pi \epsilon-$ maive $\nu$ is to assuage, to mollify, to soften : as fruit by ripening, metals by fusing, grain by steeping, and the like.
647. $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu о \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \iota] ~ a. ̉ \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$
 Scholiast. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ُ \mu o v ̂ ~ m e a n s ~ i n ~ m y ~ i n t e r e s t . ~$ The usage is a common one, and it will be sufficient to refer with Bergler to CEd. Tyr. 1434, where CEdipus making a request to Creon says, $\pi i \theta o v \hat{v}{ }^{i} \mu o{ }^{*} \pi \rho o ̀ s$
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 nsed the word $\pi \epsilon \pi a ̂ \nu a u$, which, as already observed, is applicable to the softening of grain and the like. Pursuing the metaphor, he adds, "The ordinary softening process will prove unavailing against the extreme hardness of my disposition (unless indeed you can say something very much to the point): and therefore your only chance is to try the other mode of dealing with hard and intractable grain, and look out for a good new
 is the proper term for crushing corn in a hand-mill.
 his case before the Arbitrators, and it is now Bdelycleon's turn. His argument consists, not of any criticism upon the law or upon the practice of the Athenian dicasteries, but of proof that the power obtained by the alliance of the demagogues and the dicasts is wielded exclusively for the benefit of the demagogues, and not in any way for the benefit of the dicasts. The two speeches may in fact be summed up in a very
few words. "Ours is a $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ d $\rho \chi \chi_{\eta}^{\prime}, "$ says Philocleon, "for all men, even the great demagogues themselves, are ready to court and to flatter us." "Yours is a $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \eta \eta$ סouncia,", retorts Bdelycleon, " for the demagogues retain tothemselves every substantial advantage, and leave you to penury and starvation."
 cleon had concluded his panegyrical oration by arrogating to himself the dignity and the attributes of Zeus. See the note on 621 supra. Bdelycleon therefore in opening his reply addresses him in the language with which Zeus is addressed by Athene in the Homeric

 But Philocleon interrupts him at once.
 me: that will not avail you: what you have to do is to prove your case, and convince me that I am a slave." Bdelycleon accordingly drops the heroic style, and addresses his father as $\lesssim \pi a \pi \pi i \delta \partial o v$. This is better than the Scholiast's explazation, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ єìтeiv, foì $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a$

And therefore unless you can speak to the point, you must look for a millstone handy and good, Fresh hewn from the rock, to shiver and shock the unyielding grit of my resolute mood.
BD. Hard were the task, and shrewd the intent, for a Comedy-poet all too great To attempt to heal an inveterate, old disease engrained in the heart of the state. Yet, O dread Cronides, Father and Lord, Pris.. Stop, stop, don't talk in that father-me way, Convince me at once that I'm only a slave, or else I protest you shall die this day, Albeit I then must ever abstain from the holy flesh of the victims slain.
Bd. Then listen my own little pet Papa, and smooth your brow from its frowns again. And not with pebbles precisely ranged, but roughly thus on your fingers count The tribute paid by the subject States, and just consider its whole amount;
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$. The observation of Conz that K $\rho$ ovións means stultus, fatuus, like K $\rho$ óvos,
 unfortunate, since it was precisely by way of contrast to K Koviôns the leader of the vétтepos $\theta$ eoi that K $\rho$ óvos and its derivatives acquired that signification. Mitchell follows Conz, but Richter takes the correct view. natépı $\zeta_{\epsilon}$ is a word formed by way of response to the preceding aárep, just as in Thesm. 617 Cleisthenes retorts $\tau i$ kap $\delta a \mu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon s$ to the
 $\delta a \mu a$. With regard to the final syllable of ì $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ Brunck suggested, " Ultima producitur ante literas кр anapæstorum licentiâ." But as Porson (Suppl. Pref. Hec.) truly observes, "Non anapæstorum licentiâ, ut putat Brunckius, sed quod Homeri verba sunt, producitur ultima pronominis syllaba."

 Scholiast. For, until cleansed and purified in the appointed manner, every homicide was a $\mu$ lá $\sigma \tau \omega p$, a man defiled
and polluted with blood; excluded, therefore, from all social intercourse : much more from the holy sacrificial feasts of which none but the pure could partake,
 on Peace, 968. Lysias (contra Agorat. p. 137) says that Agoratus was expelled with ignominy from a religious proces-
 $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi o \mu \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu \overline{a ̆}$. And as to the general position of the fugitive homicide, see Müller's Eumenides, sections $50-63$.
656. фaúncs.] He wants merely a rough estimate, taken off-hand in round numbers: not a sum accurately worked out with counters, or, as we should say, with figures.
657. фópov.] It is impossible now to ascertain with certainty the amount of the annual tribute paid by the Allies to Athens at the date of the Wasps. Under the original assessment of Aristides about the year b.c. 477 the money payment amounted to 460 talents a year (Thuc. i. 96). Before the commencement of the Peloponnesian War, b.c. 431, it had




reached the sum of 600 talents (Thuc. ii. 13). And it had doubtless been again largely augmented before в.c. 422. Many causes co-operated to this rapid increase. Allies who had formerly furnished only ships and men, had been brought, willingly or unwillingly, to contribute money instead: fresh tribute was exacted from conquered states, such for example as Cythera, which was required to pay four talents a year (Thuc. iv. 57) ; and even the money payments which Aristides had assessed appear to have been subsequently increased. It is said that the aggregate contributions ultimately amounted to a sum of 1300 talents, or upwards of 300,000l. a year (Plutarch, Aristides, cap. 24).
658. $\tau^{\prime} \lambda \eta$.] These various sources of revenue have been carefully and for the most part very satisfactorily investigated and explained by Boeckh (Public Economy of Athens, Book iii.) and Schömann (De Comitiis, Book iei chap. 9). I differ, howंever, from those distinguished writers with respect to the words $\tau^{\prime} \in \lambda \eta$ and éкaтooràs, which seem to me intended to comprise all the ordinary Athenian revenues, and not to constitute mere items of revenue, co-ordinate with those enumerated in the following line. The items specified in line 659 are in my opinion explanatory of, and not super-
 distinction seems sufficiently indicated
by the presence of the definite article in the first line, and its omission from the second: and in truth the expression $\tau^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ of itself includes all payments made to the state, nor are there any more familiar instances of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$ than the market and harbour dues (ảzopai, $\lambda \iota \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \in s$ ) mentioned in the following line. Cf. Acharnians, 896, and see the next note. The only éкazoatخे too of which we have any information appears to have been a harbour duty. In the treatise de Republica Atheniensium (attributed to Xenophon), i. 17 , it is said that in consequence of the resort of the Allies to the Athenian law-courts, the state acquired a larger revenue from the one-per-cent. in the
 חeipalei. It would seem from the present passage that there were in fact other taxes of the same amount: unless (which is perhaps equally probable) Aristophanes includes in the word all percentages, єiкобтaì, $\pi \in \nu \tau \eta к о \sigma \tau a i ̀$, and the like, as opposed to payments of a definite sum, irrespective of the value of the article taxed.
659. $\pi \rho v$ тaveía.] "Prytaneia, in which with the inaccuracy of a poet Aristophanes includes the fines."-Boeckh, ubi supra. Although I have translated трvtaveia 'fees and fines,' I in no way assent to the justice ofBoeckh's criticism, which rests wholly on what I consider the erroneous assumption that Aristo-

And then, in addition to this, compute the many taxes and one-per-cents, The fees and the fines, and the silver mines, the markets and harbours and sales and rents. If you take the total result of the lot, 'twill reach two thousand talents or near. And next put down the Justices' pay, and reckon the sums they receive a year:
phanes is necessarily giving an exhaus. tive catalogue of the sources of Athenian revenue. The mpvtaveia or court fees which a litigant was bound to deposit before the suit commenced (see Clouds, 1136,1255 ), became, in consequence of the resort of the Allies to the Athenian law-courts, no inconsiderable item in the imperial revenue. In the passage from the De Rep. Ath. referred to above, this increase in the Prytaneia is mentioned as the very first argument in favour of that stroke of Athenian policy,
 $\delta \iota$ ' évlavtov̂ $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (i. 16), where see Schneider's notes. The remaining items are sufficiently explained by Boeckh and Schömann. By $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \lambda \lambda a$ we are to understand the income derived from the silver mines of Laurium (Hdt. vii. 144). See Boeckh's Dissertation appended to the English translation of his Political Economy, and Grote's History of Greece, chapter 39. On áyopàs and $\lambda_{\imath \mu}^{\text {évés }}$ the

 seem to be rents derived from public properties let out to farm or hire; whilst © $\eta \mu$ нónpara are the proceeds arising from the public sale of confiscated estates. In Knights 103, Cleon is represented as gorged with a hearty meal off $\delta \eta \mu \iota o ́ \pi \rho a \tau a$. 660. $\delta \iota \sigma \chi^{i \lambda} \lambda a$.] In the seventh book of the Anabasis, i. 27, Xenophon is
endeavouring to dissuade the Ten Thousand from provolking the vengeance of Sparta. "For Athens," he says, "entered upon the Peloponnesian War with numerous fleets, and ample treasures, and a yearly revenue àmó $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\eta} \eta \mu \omega \nu$ каı̀ ẺK Tท̂s virtepopias of not less than 1000 talents: moreover, she was mistress of all the isles, and possessed many cities in Asia, and many more in Europe, and this very Byzantium where now we are: and yet she was vanquished by the Spartan confederacy, which was then less powerful than now." If Xenophon means to include, in his estimate of 1000 talents, the tribute from the Allies and subject cities (a point which does not seem to me quite certain), we must suppose either ( 1 ) that he is understating the amount, or (2) that Aristophanes is overstating it, or (3) that the revenue had doubled between в.c. 431 and b.c. 422. The first hypothesis may be considered out of the question, since Xenophon would have been on that particular occasion inclined to exaggerate rather than to understate the resources of Athens. Boeckh (iii. 19) is of opinion that the revenue had in fact very largely increased before the date of the Wasps. And see the note on 657 supra. Yet even so it is extremely probable that Aristophanes is to some extent overstating the actual amount.
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662. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \chi^{\text {ch } \lambda \text { á } \sigma \nu \nu .] ~ T h i s ~ w a s ~ t h e ~ a c t u a l ~}$ number of the Heliasts. The subject is discussed in the Preface.

 $\pi \rho о \chi \omega \rho о$ ívт $\omega \nu$.-Scholiast. The pay of 6000 dicasts would be 18,000 obols, or 3000 drachmæ, or 30 minæ, or exactly half a talent a day. Exclusive of holidays on which the courts would not sit, there were 300 working days in the year: and the aggregate yearly pay of the dicasts would therefore amount to 150 talents.
666. rovis ouxi.] They go to your demagogues, he says: to those gentlemen who "will never betray the Athenian rabble, but will always fight for the Demus." He is referring to Philocleon's words supra 593. Andcompare Knights, 1341.

demagogues appropriate these amounts out of the public funds: but that the subject states, terrified by their violence, and regarding them as the real motive power at Athens, seek to propitiate them, and win their protection, by gifts of money and goods. A notable instance of this sort of bribery is mentioned in the note to 35 supra. And in Peace, 644-6, Aristophanes reiterates the charge in pointed and forcible language.

 Scholiast. All the great prizes, he means, are carried off by the demagogues: whilst you are well satisfied if you can get only the odds and ends, the scraps and leavings of the spoil.
673. $\sigma \dot{\prime} \rho \phi$ aка.] $\quad \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\rho} \rho \phi \underline{\xi}$, like the Hebrew hasaph-suph, and (as Bergler remarks) the Latin quisquilia, signifies

Six thousand Justices, count them through, there dwell no more in the land as yet, One hundred and fifty talents a year I think you will find is all they get. Pr. Then not one tithe of our income goes to furnish forth the Justices' pay. Bd. No, certainly not. Ph. And what becomes of all the rest of the revenue, pray? Bd. Why, bless you, it goes to the pockets of those, To the rabble of Athens I'll ever be true, I'll always battle away for the mob. O father, my father, 'tis owing to you: By such small phrases as these cajoled, you lift them over yourselves to reign. And then, believe me, they soon contrive some fifty talents in bribes to gain, Extorting them out of the subject states, by hostile menace and angry frown: Hand over, they say, the tribute-pay, or else my thunders shall crush your town. You joy the while at the remnants vile, the trotters and tips of your power to gnaw. So when our knowing, acute allies the rest, the scum of the Populace, saw On a vote-box pine, and on nothingness dine, and marked how lanky and lean ye grow, They count you all as a Connas's vote, and ever and ever on these bestow Wines, cheeses, necklaces, sesamè fruit, and jars of pickle and pots of honey,
a general undistinguished mob, the sweepings and refuse of the people. The words $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ are used to exclude the ruling classes, but they seem also to convey a sort of contemptuous meaning : " the residuum of the populace."
674. є̇к кךӨapíov.] кך $\begin{gathered}\text { ápıо } \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \mu a\end{gathered}$
 $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu$.-Scholiast. The quaint phraseology of the line seems to indicate that it is either a quotation or a parody. The general meaning of the passage is as follows:-When the Allies perceive the demagogues wielding the real power of the state, and you the mass of the populace growing lanky and lean.on a verdictbox funnel, and regaled upon nothing at all (that is to say, amusing yourselves with your dicastic privileges, with barely sufficient to keep you from starvation), they make no account of you; but to the
demagogues they bring ${ }^{\tilde{v}} \rho \chi$ аs, oivov, к.т. $\lambda$. 675. Kóvעov $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$.] Connas appears to be the dissolute musician described in Knights, 534, as consumed by perpetual thirst. He became a pauper, and according to the Scholiast the expression Kóvyov $\theta \rho i ̂ o \nu$ was used as a synonym for anything absolutely valueless. Here Aristophanes unexpectedly substitutes $\psi \eta{ }_{\eta} \phi$ for forion (just as he had substituted $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ for $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} i a$ supra 378, and $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \grave{o} \nu$ for кú入ıка supra 525), סıà тò $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta<\kappa a \sigma \tau o v ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, as if Philocleon could not be appropriately compared to anything but one of his own favourite $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \iota$. Some writers consider Connas identical with Connos the son of Metrobius, the
 seems exceedingly doubtful.
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 $\dot{\omega} \delta i \grave{i} \iota a \beta a ̀ s, \delta \iota a \kappa \iota \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ б $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \rho \nu ф є \rho a \nu \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$,



677．$\pi \lambda$ ouथvyícav．］A word appa－ rently invented by Aristophanes to ex－ press the combination of all the elements of physical prosperity，＂health of body and wealth of store．＂See Knights， 1091；Birds，731；Suidas s．v．It is humorously introduced in this place as the sum and crown of the offerings made by the allies to the demagogues．
 subjects，none of those whom you toiled by land and by sea to make your

 notes，an Homeric word for the sea，emi
 dry．＂And murvèjevas is in strictness， of course，applicable to＇é $\phi$＇$\dot{v \rho \rho a ̣ ~ o n l y, ~}$ airudos being properly the measured beat of the oar in the water（ $\dot{\eta}$ кaraßo $\lambda \dot{\eta}$



Asch．Sept．855），though frequently used of any quick regular repeated motion．See Bp．Monk，Hipp．ad fin．； Bp．Blomf．，出sch．Sept． 855.

 liast．Philocleon admits that his sub－ jects do not supply him with garlic－ heads：when he wants any he has to buy them at the greengrocer＇s．
681．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ סou入ciav．］These words are appended by way of explanation to aủt $\eta$ v． Compare Peace，2，סòs av̉тஸ̆，$\tau \hat{̣}$ кáкıбт＇ à $\pi о \lambda о \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$.

682．$\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ סov入єia．］The epithet is thrown in by way of retort to Philo－
 METAAHN à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ ä $\rho \chi \omega$ ；supra $575,619$.

684．à $\gamma a \pi a ̂ s$ s．］It was by the exertions of citizens like yourself，Bdelycleon means，as sailors and soldiers in her fleets and armies，that Athens acquired

Rugs, cushions, and mantles, and cups, and crowns, and health, and vigour, and lots of money Whilst you! from out of the broad domain for which on the land and the wave you toiled, None gives you so much as a garlic head, to flavour the dish when your sprats are boiled.
PH. That's true no doubt, for I just sent out, and bought, myself, from Eucharides three; But you wear me away by your long delay in proving my bondage and slavery.
Bd. Why is it not slavery pure and neat, when these (themselves and their parasites too) Are all in receipt of their pay, God wots, as high officials of state: whilst you Must thankful be for your obols three, those obols which ye yourselves have won In the battle's roar, by sea and by shore, 'mid sieges and miseries many a one. But O what throttles me most of all, is this, that under constraint you go, When some young dissolute spark comes in, some son of a Chæreas, straddling-so With his legs apart, and his body poised, and a mincing, soft, effeminate air, And bids you Justices, one and all, betimes in the morn to the Court repair, For that any who after the signal come shall lose and forfeit their obols three.
her imperial revenue: yet your whole share in it consists of this paltry $\tau \rho(\dot{\omega} \beta o-$ $\lambda_{o \nu}$, and this you receive as a favour, and are only too happy to get it; whilst all the rest of the revenue is consumed by the demagogues and their parasites (such as Theorus and his fellows), who contributed nothing to its acquisition.
685. $\pi \epsilon \zeta \rho \mu a \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$.] Observe the alliteration in this verse. It is, however, no doubt unintentional. The trick so common in the Roman dramatists of appealing to the ear by the jingle of worḑs, either commencing with the same letter ('non potuit paucis plura plane proloqui," Plautus, Men. ii. 1. 27) or having similar terminations, belongs to a much later date, and is quite foreign to the vigorous thought and energetic rhythm of Aristophanic comedy.
686. єì $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta_{0}{ }^{2}$.] Not, I think, domum tuam ingressus, as Brunck translates it,
and as it is universally rendered. I take circh $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \nu \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { mean " came forward in the }\end{aligned}$ Assembly," and єiln $\eta$, "moved a resolution," as supra 595, and passim. I imagine that by some recent order of the Assembly, the court-doors, $\kappa \iota \gamma \kappa \lambda i \delta \delta e s$, were to be closed so soon as proceedings commenced, and no dicast to be admitted afterwards. See infra 775 and 892. And thus we see the full meaning of émırattó$\mu e v o s$ in the preceding verse. "You are not even your ewn masters," says Bdelycleon, " free to attend at what hour you choose : you are under orders: you must go before proceedings commence, or lose even your miserable pittance." Of the person here described as Xaupéov viòs, nothing is known. The Scholiast says,


690. $\sigma \eta \mu$ eiov.] When the hour for the opening of a court or assembly arrived,













a signal，$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$ ，was hoisted over the place of meeting．Its exact form is un－ known，but it is generally supposed to have been a lofty pole or standard of some sort．See Schömann，De Comitiis， i．13．Probably loiterers would delay their coming until they actually saw the signal up；and hence the necessity for some such regulation as that mentioned in the preceding note，to secure a more punctual attendance．In Thesmoph． 277 （to which Bergler refers），Mnesilo－ chus is adjured to make haste to the
 $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu п ф о \rho \epsilon і \varphi$ фаiveтau．As to the loss of
 times by unpunctual attendance at the є́ккл $\eta \sigma i a$ ，see Ecclesiazusæ，289，and following verses．

691．бvvךүоркк⿺夂丶．］This appears to have been a retaining fee，paid to the ten ovvinyopor appointed as public prose－ cuturs．Of course the ovpingopos might
come $\tilde{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{~s}$ тov̂ $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o v:$ it was sufficient if he was present when the case in which he was engaged was called on．
 official：one of those whom you ä $\rho \chi^{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ aipeî $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v}$, supra 668 ；that is，I suppose， another advocate．Posts such as these seem to have been filled by the smaller demagogues．See the note on 592 supra．

694．$\pi \rho i=u \theta^{\prime}$ ．］Like two men sawing． Bdelycleon is endeavouring to disgust his father with his dicastic duties，by pointing out the humiliating position in which the dicasts are occasionally placed． ＂It often happens，＂he says，＂that the advocates have arranged the whole matter beforehand，they have agreed what your decision shall be：the dis－ cussion in court is a mere sham battle： as one pulls the other gives way，just like two men in a sawpit；until they arrive at the result desired and intended

Yet come as late as he choose himself, he pockets his drachma, "Counsel's fee." And then if a culprit give him a bribe, he gets his fellow the job to share, And into each other's hands they play, and manage together the suit to square. Just like two men at a saw they work, and one keeps pulling, and one gives way. While you at the Treasurer stare and gape, and never observe the tricks they play. Ph. Is that what they do! O can it be true! Ah me, the depths of my being are stirred, Your statements shake my soul, and I feel, I know not how, at the things I've heard.
Bd. And just consider when you and all, might revel in affluence, free as air, How these same demagogues wheel you round, and cabin and coop you, I know not where. And you, the lord of such countless towns, from Pontus to Sardo, nought obtain Save this poor pittance you earn, and this they dole you in driblets, grain by grain, As though they were dropping oil from wool, as much forsooth as will life sustain. They mean you all to be poor and gaunt, and I'll tell you, father, the reason why.
by both. You fancy that you are yourselves deciding the case: when, in fact, the decision has been predetermined for you."
695. кш入акрє́ $\tau \eta \nu$.] The Colacretæ were the officers to whom was entrusted the duty of paying the dicastic fees: infra 724; Birds, 1541. That the name is properly spelt $\kappa \omega \lambda a \kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \tau a \iota$ and not $\kappa \omega \lambda a$ $\gamma \rho$ '́тal seems plain from the inscription on the Cyzicene Marble. See Ruhnken's Timæus, sub voc.
 кıveís. ả̀ti тov̂ т $̀ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ карঠíav. -Scholiast.
699. $\delta \eta \mu$ Чऽо́лт $\omega \nu$.] The people's men: a newly coined word, formed, as Bothe says, like $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon$ supra 652, and therefore meaning persons qui nil nisi populum crepant: or, as Mitchell observes, by analogy to such words as $\mu \eta \delta i \zeta \epsilon \omega \nu$, $\phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi i\} \epsilon \iota \nu$, and the like.
700. Пóvtov $\mu$ '́xpı इapòoûs.] From Pontus to Sardinia: that is to say, throughout the entire Hellenic world,
from the extreme east to the extreme west.
701. тô̂Ө' ô ф́́pets.] Not his í $\mu$ átıov, as the Scholiast, Florent Chretien, and Richter strangely suppose, but the $\tau \rho \iota \omega$ ßonov, the dicastic pay, as Mitchell rightly interprets it. Cf. infra 1121, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ трьө́ßoдод.



 -Scholiast. A somewhat different mode of conveying liquids by means of wool is mentioned by Plato, Symposium, cap. 3. "If wisdom," says Socrates to Agathon, "could flow from one person into another by mere physical contact, just as water will flow from one vessel into another by means of wool, oià rov̂ ćpiov, then I should like of all things to sit next you, Agathon: for I am sure that I should soon be full of the most ample and lovely wisdom."





 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \pi а \nu \tau о \delta a \pi о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi v ́ \varphi ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi v р \iota a ́ т \eta, ~$

 sibilation which sets on a dog to fight. Brunck refers to 'Theocritus, vi. 29, $\sigma i \xi a$
 rậ kuv̀, "I incited the dog to bark at her." $\grave{\pi} \pi \iota \rho \rho \dot{v}_{\xi} a s$ has the like meaning, as Brunck also observes, citing Hesychius,


So also the Scholiast here, $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o}$ خ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$

 ever, is formed not from the sibilant $S$, but from the canina litera $R$. Our old writers called it "to tarre a dog on," whence possibly the name "terrier." Shakespeare's King John, iv. 1,
[The fire] perchance will sparkle in your eyes,
And like a dog that is compelled to fight,
Snatch at the master that doth tarre him on.

Bergler refers to Olynth. iii. p. 37, a passage which bears a very striking resemblance to this speech of Bdelycleon. "'Tis those who transact the affairs of state," Demosthenes says, "that get the whole advantage, while you the Demus fill but a servant's position, content, $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, and gratified if they do but allow you free entrance to the spectacles and public games, giving you what was


 Follow my advice," he adds, "and you will secure great and ample benefits, and get rid of these miserable doles, which are like the driblets of food allowed to the sick, enough to keep them from dying, but not enough to give them strength."
707. $\chi^{i \lambda \iota a \iota .] ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ p r o b a b l y ~ n o t ~}$ intended as an exact computation : the poet is speaking in round numbers, T $\omega$
 Scholiast says: but nevertheless the statement is believed to come very near the mark. See Boeckh, iii. 16. The 20,000 Athenians for whom provision is thus to be made, are by Colonel Leake (Attica, App. 21), Boeckh (i. 7), and others supposed to include the entire number of Athenian citizens. I cannot agree in this view, or think it likely that Aristophanes would comprehend the wealthy and ruling classes in his gigantic system of outdoor relief. He himself in Eccl. 1132 reckons the number of Athenian citizens as "over 30,000 ;" agreeing with Hdt. v. 97, and (appa-

They want you to know your keeper＇s hand；and then if he hiss you on to fly At some helpless foe，away you go，with eager vehemence ready and rough． Since if they wished to maintain you well，the way to do it were plain enough． A thousand cities our rule obey，a thousand cities their tribute pay， Allot them twenty Athenians each，to feed and nourish from day to day， And twice ten thousand citizens there，are living immersed in dishes of hare， With creams and beestings and sumptuous fare，and garlands and coronals everywhere， Enjoying a fate that is worthy the state，and worthy the trophy on Marathon plain．
rently）with Plato，Symposium，cap．3； Axiochus， 369 a．Other writers，it is true，put the number at 20,000 （Demos－ thenes contra Aristogit．Or．i．785； Plutarch，Lycurg．Orat．vit．34；Ath．vi． cap．103）：but these are all referring to a later period，when the population of Athens was no longer at its height． And I cannot doubt that at the date of the Wasps the number of Athenian citizens（in theestimation of Aristophanes at least）considerably exceeded 20,000 ． And see the note on 718 infra．
 certainly have expected $a v$ here，and Dawes（Misc．Crit．275）accordingly sub－ stitutes that particle for $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ ．But this alteration，though supported by some of the inferior MSS．，seems quite inadmis－
 is essentially different from that with which Dawes compares it，$\tau \hat{\omega} \zeta \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota ; \Sigma I \Lambda$ ．
 Cyclops，121）．Like $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota$ ßo入ítoıs in Ach．1026，it is a parody on the common phrase $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \nu \\ \pi \\ \alpha \\ \sigma\end{gathered} \nu$ ảyatoîs，and signifies，not the food by which life is sustained，but the luxury in the midst of which it is passed．Dobree＇s suggestion，to change

objection：but I am myself inclined to think that the $a v$ is purposely omitted， in order to present a more vivid picture， as of an actual reality，and not a mere possible contingency．As to $\lambda a \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} a$ and $\pi v o े s$ ，see the note on Peace， 1150.

711．тov̂ Mapat⿳⿵人̀ tporaiov．］The plains of Marathon were covered with memorials of the great battle（Pausanias， Attica，32）．There were two mounds or barrows erected over the dead，one for the citizens，another for the Platæans and for the slaves．The barrow over the Athenian citizens still stands，a con－ spicuous and solemn object，upon the solitary plain（Wordsworth＇s Athens and Attica，chap．vi．）：it is about thirty feet high，and 200 yards round；and in the light sandy mould of which it is composed travellers still find arrow－heads of brass and flint，the broken relics ofthe invader＇s weapons（Dodwell＇s Tour，ii．159；Leake＇s Demi，ii．100）．Some vestiges too re－ main of the other barrow；and there are various monumental ruins，comprising
 and the $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda a u$ upon which were recorded the names and tribes of the Athenian dead．The trophy itself＇was an edifice $\lambda i \theta o v \lambda_{\text {evkô（ }}$（Pausanias ubi supra）：and










$\kappa a i ̀ \nu ข ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$
ö $\tau \iota \beta$ oú $\lambda \in \iota \sigma o \iota$,
$\pi \lambda \grave{̀ \nu} \kappa \omega \lambda a \kappa \rho \in ́ \tau o v ~ \gamma a ́ \lambda a \operatorname{\pi í\nu \epsilon \iota \nu }$.
its remains are still believed to exist in a ruin called Pyrgo, found about 500 yards north of the great barrow, and consisting "of the foundation of a square monument constructed of large blocks of white marble" (Leake, ii. 101). That trophy was the proudest heirloom of Athenian glory. Themistocles (Plutarch, cap. 3) declared that the thought of it would not let him sleep. Aristophanes appeals to it again, and always as striking the deepest chord of Athenian patriotism, Knights, 1334; Lysistrata, 285. And cf. Plato, Menexenus, caps. 10 and 16.

 -Scholiast. It is probable that many from the neediest classes went out to take part in the olive-picking of Attica, as in the hop-picking and harvest with ourselves. And the dicasts, compelled to resort to the Colacretz for their
three obols, are likened by Bdelycleon to these destitute hirelings, crowding on after the man who is to pay them their wages.

 -Scholiast. This observation of the Scholiast strongly confirms the arrangement adopted 522 supra, where see the note.
715. סıóóactv.] Are for giving; verbis dant, as Bergler says. The statements in the text might reasonably be considered mere vague and general satire; but in M. Boeckh's opinion (i. 15) they rest on a real historical basis. It appears from Philochorus (cited by the Scholiast) that some hostile proceedings had been undertaken against Euboea a year or two before the date of the Wasps; and the popular leaders may have proposed to allot a portion of the Eubcoan territory to $k \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\chi} \chi o<$ (as Peri-

Whilst now like gleaners ye all are fain to follow along in the paymaster＇s train．
Phil．O what can this strange sensation mean，this numbness that over my hand is stealing？ My arm no longer can hold the sword：I yield，unmanned，to a womanish feeling． Bdel．Let a panic possess them，they＇re ready to give Eubœa at once for the State to divide， And engage to supply for every man full fifty bushels of wheat beside． But five poor bushels of barley each is all that you ever obtained in fact， And that doled out by the quart，while first they worry you under the Alien Act．

And therefore it was that I locked you away
To keep you in ease；unwilling that these
With empty mouthings your age should bilk．
And now I offer you here to－day
Without any reserve whatever you please，
Save only a draught of－Treasurer＇s milk．
cles had done many years before）：and at the same time to gratify the people with one of those public distributions of corn，which were not uncommon either at Athens or at Rome（see Boeckh ubi supra）．If so，the project seems to have been abandoned；and a smaller largess recently（ $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \nu$ ）made，in lien of the great distribution originally contemplated． кarà is at the rate of，as supra 669.



 עous．－Scholiast．No one was entitled to share in these public distributions，un－ less he were an Athenian citizen；and his claim（Bdelycleon means）was as rigorously investigated，and as harshly contested as if he were a defendant to a छevias $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ ，a prosecution for unlaw． fully exercising the rights of citizenship， and one which（according to the anony－
mous author of the Greek Life of Aris－ tophanes）was thrice brought by Cleon against Aristophanes himself．For the rigour with which claims to share in these distributions were disputed，Mit－ chell refers to Plutarch，Pericles，cap．37， where out of about 19，040 claimants （not representing，I apprehend，the entire number of citizens，but answering to the 20,000 mentioned in 709 supra） about 5000 were disqualified as vó $\theta o c$ ； and，says Plutarch，mo八入aì àvєф́vouto Síkal roís vó日oıs，$\pi$ о入入oi $\delta \in$ каì $\sigma v к о ф а \nu т и ̆-~$ $\mu a \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in \epsilon \pi \iota \pi \tau o \nu$ ．The same story is narrated by the Scholiast here．
719．àmé $\bar{\lambda} \lambda_{\epsilon}$ ．$]$ This is an answer to


 $\mu \epsilon \theta$ Oóv．－Scholiast．Philocleon had re－ fused ỏpvíف๗v yá̀a supra 508．He may now have whatever he will，except кш入акре́точ үá̀а．







 $\sigma o \grave{~ \delta e ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \tau \iota s ~} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$
тарळ̀ єُ $\mu \phi а \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$
$\xi \nu \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau о \varsigma$, каi $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o ́ s ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ є ท ̉ ~ \pi o \iota \omega ̂ \nu . ~$



$\lambda \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \chi^{\lambda a i ̂ \nu a \nu} \mu a \lambda a \kappa \eta ̀ \nu$, б८бúpà，

$\kappa a \grave{\tau} \grave{\eta} \nu$ ỏ $\sigma \phi \hat{v} \nu$.

тоิ̂т＇oủ סúvataí $\mu \in \pi \rho \circ \sigma \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．

725．］The Argaments are over，and the Arbitrators proceed to deliver their decision．The Scholiast refers to the
 $\mu \hat{\imath} \theta o \nu$ àkoúvŋs，which is very frequently quoted by ancient writers，and is usually attributed to Phocylides；see Bergk＇s Poetæ Lyrici，Pseudo－Phocylidea，line 87. The maxim was embodied in the judicial oath，rò ö öког，says Demosthenes at the commencement of his oration De Coronâ，
 àкро⿱́áa⿱日at．Bergler cites Eurip．Hera－ clidæ， 180 ；Andromache， 957 ；and infra 019；also the oath given in Dem．contr．

Timocr．，which is now however generally supposed to be spurions．＂You should not pin your entire faith upon the Accuser，＂says Theodoret，Hist．Eccl．i． 33；＂you should keep one ear for the Accused，＂ă入入à $\theta a \tau \notin \rho a \nu ~ t a i ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ k o a i ̀ v ~ \tau \varphi ̄ ~$ $\kappa а т \eta \gamma \circ \rho о \nu \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \varphi$ ф фидátтєєข．

726．ठєठók $\eta \sigma a u$ ．］You are adjudged the victor．Such is our decision，oüt $\omega$ s
 concluded，and the Arbitrators are henceforth the staunch friends and supporters of Bdelycleon．

727．oкiтwvas．］The Scholiast ex－ plains this word by tàs ßakrypias，

Ce. 'Twas a very acute and intelligent man, whoever it was, that happened to say,
Don't make up your mind till you've heard both sides, for now I protest you have gained the fray. Our staves of justice, our angry mood, for ever and ever aside we lay,
And we turn to talk to our old compeer, our choir-companion of many a day.

> Don't be a fool : give in, give in,

Nor too perverse and stubborn be;
I would to Heaven my kith and kin
Would show the like regard for me.
Some deity, 'tis plain, befriends
Your happy lot, believe, believe it;
With open arms his aid he sends,
Do you with open arms receive it.
Boel. I'll give him whatever his years require,
A basin of gruel, and soft attire,
And a good warm rug, and a handmaid fair,
To chafe and cherish his limbs with care.
-But I can't like this, that he stands so mute,
And speaks not a word nor regards my suit.
meaning, I suppose, the dicastic staves.
728. $\sigma v \nu \theta a a \sigma \hat{c} \tau a$.] One of the same band, troop, or body of worshippers. Plutus, 508. It is very frequently employed by ecclesiastical writers to denote persons of the same creed or party.
733. $\pi a \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$.] $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$, like the Latin prosens, is used of the present interposition of the deity by direct agency, or by visible manifestation. In line 735 it is with some humour transferred to the corresponding attitude to be assumed by the recipient of the divine favour.
738. $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ipav.] A thick woolly wrap, in Aristophanes generally mentioned as a luxurious and somewhat effeminate article (Clouds, 10; infra 1138; Birds,

122; Lys. 933; Frogs, 1459; Eccl. 840): but elsewhere used of the shaggy garb of the peasant. See Ruhnken's Timæus sub voc.; Seiler on Alciphron, iii. 26.
742. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \theta a$.] $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ is the 2nd aorist middle of $\pi \rho o \sigma i \eta \mu$, and means " to recommend itself to," "to please," "to attract" (é $\phi \in \lambda \kappa v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a u$, Suidas s. v.). Two passages are cited in which the
 $\pi \rho o \sigma i \epsilon \tau a i ́ \mu \epsilon$, Knights, 359; and $\tau \omega ิ \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\delta^{\eta}$ o ờ $\begin{gathered}e ̀ v \\ \pi \rho o \sigma i \epsilon \tau o ́ ~\end{gathered} \mu \nu$, Hat. i. 48. It is more commonly used in the converse sense "to take to," "to be pleased
 $\pi \rho o \sigma i \epsilon \mu a t$, Xen. Mem. ii. 8. 5, and frequently elsewhere. The double usage arises from the double aspect in which

|  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 745 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\Phi I$. i'́l $\mu$ oí $\mu$ ot. |  |
| B4. ov่̉os, tí $\beta$ octs ; |  |
| ФI. $\mu \eta$ ' $\mu 0 \iota \tau 0 \cup ์ \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ í $\pi \iota \sigma \chi \nu 0 \hat{v}$. | 750 |
| $\kappa є i \nu \omega \nu$ ¢́¢ $\rho \mu a \iota$, кєîӨl $\gamma \in \nu о i \mu a \nu$, |  |
|  |  |
| бтоs; ảvıбтáбөん. |  |
|  |  |
|  | 755 |

we may regard the relation subsisting between the mind which is pleased, and the object which pleases it; and corresponds very closely to the double usage of
our English word to like, which means either "to be pleased with" or "to please," as in Shakespeare's Two Gentlemen of Verona, iv. 2,

Host. How do you, man? the music likes you not.
Jolia. You mistake : the musician luges me not.
 see how this passage can possibly bear the meaning attributed to it by Brunck and others, "criminique sibimet ipse vertit, quæcunque tu illum hortatus es,

## 

supra 514 , where see the note. He has taken himself to task, they-mean, as to those pursuits on which he formerly doted: for he is now awake to the truth, and reckons all those pursuits to be arrors which he would not, at your bid.
se iis obsecutum non fuisse." It seems to me that the Chorus are referring to the thesis proposed by Bdelycleon for the contest which has just terminated,
ding, admit to be so. He recognizes the truth of the charges which he formerly denied, and which you undertook to prove. This speech of the Chorus is antistrophical to the oreceding one, 729-736.

> CHor. 'Tis that his soberer thoughts review The frenzy he indulged so long, And (what he would not yield to you) He feels his former life was wrong. Perchance he'll now amend his plan, Unbend his age to mirth and laughter, A better and a wiser man By your advice he'll live hereafter.
> Phil. O misery! O misery!
> Bdel. O father, why that dolorous cry?
> Phil. Talk not of things like these to me!
> Those are my pleasures, there would I be
> Where the Usher cries
> Who has not voted? let him arise.
> And O that the last of the voting band
> By the verdict-box I could tale my stand.
 Philocleon at length breaks his tragic silence, and gives utterance to a cento of scraps from the Hippolytus Velatus, Alcestis, Bellerophon, and probably other Plays of Euripides. The Scholiast's gloss
 to the line before us, and refers no doubt to that lost play which the grammarians
cite under the name of the 'I $\pi \pi$ óरutos
 cleon is alluding to the ö́a $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{v} \tau \eta \xi^{\boldsymbol{v}} \mu-$ фopa which Bdelycleon had enumerated above: whilst keivov in the next line refers to the pleasures of a dicastic life.
 as Bergler observes, from Alcestis, 884,

##  <br> $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ עа $\epsilon \in \nu$.

There are no grownds for drawing down to this line the gloss cited in the preceding note (see Wagner on Hippol. Fragm. 19): the words $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \circ \tau$ тои́т $\omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi$ $\iota \sigma \chi \nu \circ \hat{v}$ are clearly of tragic origin; while the present line is found not in the Hippolytus, but in the Alcestis. Valcknaer's suggestion that the words keivov

ё $\rho a \mu a t$, кєî̀ $\gamma є \nu_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mu a \nu$ were the original form of Hipp. 230, and Porson's that they have dropped out from between Hipp. 216 and 217, are alike unnecessary and improbable.
754. кà $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a i \not \eta \nu$.$] The copula connects$


#   <br> 765 <br>  


таúт $\eta \mathrm{s}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ \psi \eta \phi \iota \in i ̂ ~ \mu i a \nu ~ \mu o ́ \nu \eta \nu . ~$


756. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \delta{ }^{\circ}$, ढิ $\psi v \times \dot{\eta}$.] Philocleon is carried away by his vivid recollection of the familiar scene in the dicastery, and acts it over again in imagination. The line is apparently a parody of some passage wherein a Tragic hero is apostrophizing his own soul, and inciting it to deeds of daring. "Where hast thou been, my heart?"-Shakespeare's Ant. and Cleo. iii. 11. Compare Acharnians, 483-9. But I take the expression, as adopted by Philocleon, to be addressed not to his soul, but to his vote, which he calls by that endearing appellation, $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ каì $\psi v \chi^{\grave{\eta}}$, anima mea. He pictures himself standing over the verdict-box, and about to deposit his vote. He has prolonged the enjoyment until all the rest have voted, and he still toys with his vote, as reluctant to part with it. First
he exhorts it to make haste, as the $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho v \xi$ is about to close the voting : then he pretends to lose it, and fumbles for it: finally he throws it in, with resolute energy. The words $\pi 0 \hat{v} \mu 0 t \psi \nu x \grave{\eta}$ imply that the action of Philocleon is arrested by his momentary inability to find the object required: the epithet $\sigma \kappa \iota \epsilon \grave{a}$, as applied to the vote, means that it is lost in some obscure place.
757. $\pi$ ápes, ¿ $\sigma \kappa \iota \epsilon \rho$ á.] The Scholiast observes that these words are taken from the Bellerophon, and they are plainly part of the anapæstic system which is spoken by Bellerophon as he gradually rises from the earth, and which is parodied at some length in the Peace. See the note on Peace, 73. The passage here cited is

On, on, my soul! why, where is she gone?
Hah! by your leave, my shadowy one!
Zounds, if I catch when in Court I'm sitting
Cleon again a theft committing!
Bdel. $O$ father, father, by the Gods comply.
Phil. Comply with what? name any wish, save one.
Bdel. Save what, I prithee? Phil. Not to judge, but that Hades shall settle ere my soul comply.
Bdel. Well but if these are really your delights, Yet why go There? why not remain at home And sit and judge among your household here?
Peil. Folly! judge what? Bdel. The same as There you do.
Suppose you catch your housemaid on the sly
Opening the door: fine her for that, one drachma.
That's what you did at every sitting There.
And very aptly, if the morning's fine,
 ascend up above the watered glades."
758. $\mu \bar{\eta} \nu \nu \nu \nu$.] The time for delay is over, and Philocleon throws in his vote of condemnation with energy and decision. He is not yet weaned from his love of the dicasteries, but he is, at all events, alienated from Cleon, and avows his determination to show him no mercy when next he is brought before them on a charge of peculation. The words $\mu \grave{\eta}$ 入áßoo $\mu \mathrm{l}$ (like our " don't let me catch'") imply a menace. à $\pi \in \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i$ кãa-

 As to $\kappa \lambda$ ќттovтa cf. infra 928, 1227; Knights 1127, and passim.

comply. The grave shall decide between us before I do.
765. е́кєíध.] Thither, i. e. to the Law Courts. He is mimicking his father's
 үєуоípav.
 Scholiast. "The word drachmæ was often left out; and where such an ellipse of the name of the species appears, it is always to be supplied by drachmæ, not minæ, or any other denomination."Professor Hussey, Ancient Weights and Money, iii. 4. The phrase before us is probably taken from some legal formula. 771. єi入óros.] Comnode, Bergler; ut







ФI. $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ o \check{\nu} \nu \delta \iota a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \kappa а \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \delta \nu \nu \eta ์ \sigma o \mu a \iota ~$

BA. тод入仓̣ $\gamma^{\prime}$ ä $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu о \nu$. каì $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau о и т о \gamma i, ~$ ©s oi סıкабтаi $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \omega ̂ \nu \mu a \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu ’ ~ \not ้ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma a \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \mu a \sigma \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o u$.



 ò $\sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \eta s$. $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \notin \circ \hat{v} \pi \rho \omega ́ \eta \nu \lambda a \beta \omega े \nu$,

rationi consentaneum est, Brunck. But in the present passage the meaning seems rather to be "appropriately." Axistophanes is paving the way for the double pun which he is about to introduce. In fine weather, he says, $\tilde{\eta}^{\lambda} \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \iota o \nu$, in wet weather $\epsilon \| \sigma \epsilon$, which is really from eloouau (Plutus, 647), and is explained by the Scholiasts as equivalent to $\delta \iota \kappa a ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s, \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$, but upon which Aristophanes plays as if it were from ei $\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \mu$, and meant "you will go indoors." The word $\dot{\eta} \lambda t a ́ \sigma e l$ is in reality derived from, or connected with, $\alpha^{2} i \xi \in \sigma \theta a l$ to assemble, and has nothing to do with $\eta^{\eta} \lambda c o s$. See the Preface.
774. V้̌outos.] "Yoytos toû $\theta \in o \hat{u}$ kaì
 8ik $\eta$.-Scholiast.
775. à $\pi \neq \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota$.$] Dicasts who came$ too late were excluded, and lost their three obols. See the note on 686 supra.
 note on 287 supra.


 $\mu \in \nu о \iota$ еip $\quad$ ккєv.—Scholiast.
786. кat' è $\mu a v t o ́ v.] ~ T o ~ m y s e l f . ~ o u ̀ \delta ̂ \epsilon i s ~$
 totle in the Ethics. As to Lysistratus and his jokes, see infra 1302-1313 and the note there.
788. $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \eta \nu$.] A drachma, or six-obol

You'll fine your culprits, sitting in the sun.
In snow, enter your judgments by the fire
While it rains on : and-though you sleep till midday,
No archon here will close the door against you.
Phil. Hah! I like that. Bdel. And then, however long An orator proses on, no need to fast, Worrying yourself (ay, and the prisoner too).
Phil. But do you really think that I can judge As well as now, whilst eating and digesting?
Bdel. As well? much better. When there's reckless swearing, Don't people say, what time and thought and trouble It took the judges to digest the case?
Phil. I'm giving in. But you've not told me yet
How I'm to get my pay. Bdel. I'll pay you. Phil. Good, Then I shall have mine to myself, alone;
For once Lysistratus, the funny fool, Played me the scurviest trick. We'd got one drachma Betwixt us two: he changed it at the fish-stall ;
piece, to be divided between the two. It would have been hardly possible for the Colacretro to provide every day the enormous number of obols required for the daily payment of the dicastic fees: and it must have been the rule, rather than the exception, for two or more dicasts to receive a larger coin, which they were themselves to change, and share between them.
789. ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ тois $i \chi \theta \dot{c} \sigma \nu \nu$.] That is to say, In the fish-market. So in Frogs, 1068 (to which Coniz also refers), mapà toùs


 каi đò кро́ $\mu \nu a$." In many cases where we should speak of the fish-market, the vegetable-market, the flower-market, and the like, the Athenians preferred to say merely the fishes, the vegetables, the flowers, or other article of merchandise. oi 'Attıкoì (says Pollux, ix. segm. 47)

 oivov, кaì cìs rovìauov, кaì cìs ràs Xírpas. The usage is very common in Aristophanes. It is found also in Latin writers. Thus Catullus (55.3) says to an absent friend,

Te quæsivimus in minore campo, Te in Circo, te in omnibus libellis (that is, at all the book. stalls).
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805

 $\phi \cup \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò áp $\gamma v \rho^{\rho} \iota o v .-S c h o l i a s t$. That the ancients were accustomed to carry money in their mouths is of course well known, and Bergler and Conz here collect the various passages in which the practice is mentioned: Aristophanes, Birds, 503; Eccl. 818; EFolosicon Fragm. 3 ; Alexis (apud Ath. iii. 10); Theophrast. Charact. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ àmovoías.
793. єìкоע.] Collared him. So Walsh translates it in a note on Ach. 855. It of course means in jus trahebam, I was for haling him off, I was for giving him into custody. Cf. Knights, 665. The dicast naturally had immediate recourse to his legal remedies.

7:17. oú mávv тı $\mu \iota \kappa \rho u ́ v] ~ W i t h ~ t h i s$.
gradging assent, Philocleon finally gives in to his son's proposal.
798. $\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$.] $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тро̀s тò $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тоû $\delta \iota$ $\kappa а \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o v ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \iota a$ (so I read for the $\epsilon \pi \iota-$ $\tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup \in \ell$ of MSS. and edd.) Scholiast.Bdelycleonnowleaves the stage, returning line 805 with a supply of the articles required for fitting up a dicastery.
799. öpa.] This is a soliloquy, says the Scholiast: тaû̃a тןòs éavтò̀, тoû viov̂ $\mathfrak{i} \sigma \epsilon \lambda$ Óóvos. Bat the Chorus were certainly present.
804. 'Eкáтaıov.] Small images, symbols, or shrines, of Hecate were extremely common in the streets of Athens. Wealthy citizens were accustomed to exect them before their doors, in the hope that Hecate, as representing the Moon, would guard their mansions dur-

Then laid me down three mullet scales: and I,
I thought them obols, popped them in my mouth;
O the vile smell! O la! I spat them out
And collared him. Bdel. And what said he? Pail. The rascal!
He said I'd got the stomach of a cock.
You soon digest hard coin, he says, says he.
Bded. Then there again you'll get a great advantage.
Phil. Ay ay, that's something: let's begin at once.
Bdec. Then stop a moment whilst I fetch the traps.
Phil. See here now, how the oracles come true.
Oft have I heard it said that the Athenians
One day would try their lawsuits in their homes,
That each would have a little Court-let built
For his own use, in his own porch, before
His entrance, like a shrine of Hecate.
BD. (Bustling in voith a quantity of judicial properties.) Now then I hope you're satisfied: I've brought
ing the hours of darkness. Other He-
 in places where three roads met. Every month, when the new moon appeared, an offering was laid upon these shrines of Hecate (Schol. at Plutus, 594) : but their proximity to the public thoroughfares rendered them obnoxious to the depredations of pilferers (Plutus, ubi supra), and also, it would seem from Frogs 366, to insults similar to those which Philocleon had been wont to commit at the shrine of Lycus (supra 394). It was only during the night that the protection of Hecate was available: during the day, the house was entrusted to the guardianship of Apollo, whose obelisk, surrounded by his own favourite $1^{\text {aurel (Thesm. 489), also stood in a pro- }}$
minent position near the vestibule, $\tau 0$ $\mu \circ \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ$ Ó́pov $\pi \rho o \pi$ úरalos (infra 875, where see the note). Yet, after all these precautions, it was thought prudent to propitiate Hermes by placing his symbol also before the door, èmì àmorporig $\tau \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, says the Scholiast on Plutus, 1153. It is to this third symbol that Strepsiades appeals in Clouds, 1478. The insults to which the Hermæ were subjected on a notable occasion (Thuc. vi. 27), and the grave results which followed, are matters of history. To the innumerable shrines and symbols of these thiree évóóroo $\delta a i \not \mu o \nu \epsilon s$ (see Scholiast on Plato, Laws, xi. 914 в) were now to be added, according to the prophecy, innumerable little Courts of Law, one at the door of every houne.





BA. каі̀ $\pi \hat{v} \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau о v \tau \grave{\imath}$, каì $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu ~ ф а \kappa \hat{\eta}$,













813. кà̀ $\gamma$ àp $\pi \cup \rho e ́ t \tau \omega$.$] Philocleon,$ when ill and feverish, was accustomed to remain at home and nurse himself, sitting by the fire, and sipping his gruel, after the fashion of invalids. Hitherto this indulgence had entailed the loss of his three obols: but under the new system it will no longer prevent his performing his dicastic duties, and earning his dicastic fee. The Scholiast says, $\dot{\omega} s$
 vô̂cıv. 'Poфєiv, to swill, is especially used in reference to soup, broth, porridge, and the like. Cf. Peace, 716. Bergler refers to Antiphanes (apud Ath.

 What could induce Bothe and Hermann to remove this line from its present position where the MSS. place it and the sense requires it, and to insert it, the former after line 786, the latter after line 797 (in neither of which situations does it make any sense at all), I cannot even conjecture.
816. àmo入oyovuévovㄲuvós.] Note that it is ouly whilst the argument for the defence is proceeding, that Philocleon's slumbers are anticipated.
819. Aivoou.] As to Lycus, the patron hero of the Athenian law-courts, see supra 389. To make his little dicastery

All that I promised, and a lot besides.
See here I'll hang this vessel on a peg,
In case you want it as the suit proceeds.
Phil. Now that I call extremely kind and thoughtful, And wondrous handy for an old man's needs.
Bdes. And here's a fire, and gruel set beside it, All ready when you want it. Peil. Good again. Now if I'm feverish I shan't lose my pay, For here I'll sit, and sip my gruel too. But why in the world have ye brought me out the cock?
Bdel. To wake you, father, crowing over head In case you're dozing whilst a prisoner pleads.
Phil. One thing I miss, and only one. Bdel. What's that?
Peil. If you could somehow fetch the shrine of Lycus!
Bdel. Here then it is, and here's the king in person.
Phil. O hero lord, how stern you are to see!
Bdel. Almost, methinks, like great-Cleonymus.
Sos. Ay, and 'tis true the hero has no shield!
Bdew. If you got seated sooner, I should sooner
quite complete, Philocleon would fain have it too placed under the protection of Lycus. He prefers the request in this coaxing indirect manner, because he can hardly venture to hope for so great a privilege. Bdelycleon, however, is equal to the occasion, and has already provided not only a little shrine, but also a representation of Lycus himself. The
 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta^{\prime} i \delta \bar{\delta} i \bar{\nu} \mathrm{I}$ take to be a genuine expression of admiration on Philocleon's part at the stern and terrible aspect of his favourite: whilst in the next line Bdelycleon, beating about for some fierce and martial object wherewith to compare
him, lights $\pi a \rho a ̀$ т $\pi \rho \sigma \delta o \delta i ́ a \nu$ on the name
 and the note there) : so giving to Sosias the opportunity of pointing out the true feature of resemblance between them, viz. the absence of the shield. We are informed by several writers that Lycus was represented in the form of a wild
 tion and Hesychius s. v. Pollux viii. segm. 121 ; hut certainly no play is intended here (as Fritzsche de Sortitione Judicum, p. 35, supposes) between the words $\theta$ njp̣̂ov and $\theta \eta \rho i o v$.
 performers for the little dicastic drama
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is so limited that Bdelycleon has to undertake a variety of parts. Here and elsewhere he is the presiding Archon or $\theta \in \sigma \mu_{0} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} T \eta s$, in which character he is addressed infra 935.
828. Өpạtra.] This was the commonest name for a maid-servant at Athens. It occurs in the Acharnians, the Peace, and the Thesmophoriazusæ. In the Theatetus (chap. 24) it is the name of the smart and natty handmaiden, $\dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta}_{s}$ каì रapíє $\sigma \sigma a$ $\theta_{\epsilon \rho a \pi a v \nu i s, ~ w h o ~ r a l l i e d ~ T h a l e s ~}$ for tumbling into the well. The sage, gazing upwards at the stars, had entirely overlooked the peril which was lying at his feet. And in truth, observes Socrates, a philosopher when he
comes down to the world is an object of derision, not merely to Thrattas, but to the general populace as well, $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \tau \pi$
 ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ö $\chi \lambda \omega$. Like most other servile names amongst both the Greeks and Romans, it was in its origin a name of nationality, Threissa.
831. $\delta \pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \nu$.$] This Tine is repeated,$ as Bergler observes, with slight variations in Thesm. 629.
833. aitós.] Philocleon will take upon himself the duty of providing the temporaxy סои́фактo, and the eagerness with which he hurries off on the errand extorts from his son an expression of wonder at the strong attachment which

- Call a suit on. Phil. Call on, I've sat for ages.

Bdel. Let's see: what matter shall I bring on first? Who's been at mischief of the household here? That careless Thratta now, she charred the pitcher.
PHil. O stop, for goodness sake! you've all but killed me. What! call a suit on with no railing here, Always the first of all our sacred things?
Bdel. No more there is, by Zeus. Phil. I'll run myself And forage out whatever comes to hand.
Bdel. Heyday! where now? The strange infatuation!
Xantr. Psha! rot the dog! To keep a cur like this!
Bdel. What's happened now? Xanth. Why, has not Labes here Got to the kitchen safe, and grabbed a cheese, A rich Sicilian cheese, and bolted it?
Bdex. Then that's the first indictment we'll bring on Before my father: you shall prosecute.
Xanth. Thank you, not I. This other Cur declares If there's a charge, he'll prosecute with pleasure.
men feel for their old haunts and associations. Whilst Philocleon is gone in quest of a railing, a sudden scuffle takes place within, and immediately afterwards the voice of Xanthias is heard, exclaiming at the dog.
836. ^áß ${ }^{\prime}$ s.] The name of a dog (from $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega)$, Grip, Pincher, or the like. Aristophanes is so preparing matters that Philocleon will hear, in caricature, the very cause which was to be brought that day before the dicastery (supra 240-242), viz. theimpeachment of Laches by Cleon, or (as the names stand in the parody) of Labes by Cyon. The name Kv́ $\omega \nu$ in 841, 895, 902, should be written with a capital K. And as Laches was accused of embezzling the Sicilian spoil,
so Labes is to be accused of devouring a Sicilian cheese, the special production of the island.
838. $\Sigma \iota к є \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu.] \pi о \lambda v \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu^{\eta} \eta \Sigma_{\iota \kappa \in \lambda i ́ a}$,
 liast. Sicily was the great dairy-land of antiquity; her hills and plains were covered with innumerable herds: her ßovkó入oı figure everywhere in the Idylls of Theocritus: and indeed it is from them that Bucolic poetry (both the name and the thing) was originally derived. Her cheese was renowned all over Hellas (see the note on Peace, 250) : and her т $\rho \circ \phi$ àis $\tau \cup \rho o u ̃$, an elongated cream cheese, was her especial pride and glory,
 cap. 76.
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844. Хоьоконкĩo .] Philocleon returns in triumph, bearing the little fence behind which the pigs were kept. The




 in some sense or other inmates of Athenian houses is plain from Plutus 1106, where Hermes says to Cario (to cite from Mr. Rudd's pleasant translation),

Run, fetch your master out,
And then his wife and children, then the slaves and dog, And after them yourself, and after you the hog.

But we have already seen (see note on 179 supra) that the stables themselves were within the hall door: and we need not suppose that the pigs dwelt with the human inhabitants as they do in Irish cabins, or as, it is said (Hallam's Middle Ages, iii. 355, note), oxen formerly did in Cheshire cottages. And see the description which Xenophon (Anab. iv. 5) gives of the underground dwellings in Armenia b.c. 401, a description which exactly tallies with that given by Mr.Curzon (Armenia, chap. iii.) A.D. 1838. In the present passage 'Ertia means Hestia, the goddess of the hearth, and not, as the Scholiast takes it, and as I, to preserve
the play of words, have thought it best to translate it, the actual hearth itself.
 festivals, the first libation was poured, the firstlings of the sacrifice were offered, to Hestia, the guardian of the hearth. And hence the expression d̀' 'Errias ${ }^{a}{ }_{\rho \chi}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ became a common phrase, meaning, To begin at the very beginning, to perform an operation thoroughly, in regular order, omitting nothing. The Scholiast refers to Plato, Euthyphron (caps. 2 and 3), where Socrates commends his Accuser for beginning his reformation of the State by dealing with the corrupters of youth: he will first (So-

Bdel. Bring them both here. Xanth. Yes, yes, sir, so I will. Bdel. (To Phil.) Hallo, what's this? Phil. Pigrailings from the hearth. Boel. Sacrilege, eh? Phil. No, but I'd trounce some fellow (As the phrase goes) even from the very hearth. So call away: I'm keen for passing sentence.
Bdel. Then now I'll fetch the causc-lists and the pleadings.
Phid. O these delays! You weary and wear mo out. I've long been dying to commence my furrows.
Bdel. Now then! Phil. Callon. Bdel. Yes certainly. Phitr. And who Is first in order? Bdel. Dash it, what a bother!
I quite forgot to bring the voting urns.
Phil. Goodness! where now? Bdel. After the urns. Phil. Don't trouble,
crates supposes) take thought for the young; and then will proceed to provide for the old : and, doing his work thus thoroughly, will become the author of blessings and benefits incalculable to the Athenian commonwealth, ©̂s $\gamma \in \tau \grave{o ̀}$ єikòs
 would it were so, replies Euthyphron, but much I fear that the reverse will happen,

 beginning with you, Socrates, he is beginning at the right place for effecting not the thorough reformation, but the thorough ruin, of the State (not, as Professor Jowett translates it, "in attacking you, he is simply aiming a blow at the State in a sacred place"). In the Cratylus (cap. 18) Socrates proposes to investigate, etymologically, the names which men have given to the gods, and
 катà tò̀ vóرov. He considers that the word 'Eatia originally signified existence, and thus accounts for the custom $\pi \rho \dot{o}$

847. rıцầ $\beta \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \pi \omega$.$] I long to pass$ sentence, to draw the condemning line on the $\pi \iota \nu a ́ k o \nu ~ \tau \tau \mu \eta \tau<\kappa o ́ v . ~ S e e ~ s u p r a ~ 106, ~$ and the note there, and supra 167. Bergler aptly compares Ach. 376 , ở $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

848. $\sigma a v i \delta a s$.] $\sigma a v i \delta \delta s$ were the causelists, or notice-boards whereon were exposed at each sitting of the Court the names of the causes to be heard that day. See supra 349. By $\gamma \rho a \phi$ às we are to understand not merely the pleadings, properly so called, but also all the documentary evidence which had been taken beforehand, and sealed up in the éxivos against the day of trial.
 sion is precisely equivalent to the $\tau \tau \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \omega$ of 847 . Philocleon longs to trace furrows over the waxen ground of the тьvákıov. Bentley's ingenious suggestion of kпрiov is quite unnecessary : the word $\chi \omega$ piov continues the metaphor commenced in à $\lambda$ oкí̧cu.

 ö $\sigma \omega \nu \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a, \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\nu} \gamma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \lambda \epsilon \Psi v i \delta \rho a s$.

860каì $\mu \nu \rho \rho i v a s ~ к а і ̀ ~ т o ̀ ̀ ~ \lambda \iota \beta a \nu \omega \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ e ้ \nu \delta o \theta \varepsilon \nu$,${ }_{0}^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega \varsigma \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \xi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a$ тois $\theta \in o i ̂ s$.

каì таîs єủ $\chi a i ̂ s$
$\phi^{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ ả $\gamma a \forall \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{v} \mu i ̄ \nu$,865

тò $\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu$ ' ô $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \hat{\alpha} \tau a \iota$870äтaбı ${ }^{\circ} \eta \mu \imath \imath \nu \dot{a} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma a \iota ~$таvбанévoıs $\pi \lambda a ́ v \omega \nu$.
 ..... 875
855. ápvatixous.] These were bowls for ladling out the gruel. The Scholiast interprets the word by котилírкous and кváaovs.
858. k $\lambda \in$ qúdoa.] Doubtless, as Brunck suggests, Philocleon is pointing to the $\dot{a}^{\prime} \mu$ is which his son had brought out supra 807. For tís éavtv; in this line we ought perhaps to read ri бov̀rтiv;
859. aỉrá.] Scilicet toùs kaסíckous kaì т $\grave{\eta} \nu$ клeభviopav. You extemporize the requirements for litigation cleverly, and like a true-born Athenian.
860. $\pi \hat{\imath} \rho$.] The arrangements are now
complete: and Bdelycleon calls for fire and myrtles and incense, that the proceedings may be inaugurated in solemn form with prayer and praise and religious worship. The incense was burned before the Aguieus: the myrtles were wreathed in garlands alike around the brows of the worshippers and about the sacred obelisk of the god. For somewhat similar preparations Bergler refers to Frogs, 871, and Mitchell to Thesm. 37. Siee Müller's Dorians, ii. 6, 5; Pollux, i. segm. 27, 28.

I'd thought of that. I've got these ladling bowls.
Bdel. That's capital : then now methinks we have All that we want. No, there's no waterpiece.
Phil. Waterpiece, quotha! pray what call you this?
Buel. Well thought on, father: and with shrewd home wit.
Ho, there within! some person bring me out
A pan of coals, and frankincense, and myrtle,
That so our business may commance with prayer.
Chor. We too, as ye offer the prayer and wine,
We too will call on the Powers Divine
To prosper the work begun;
For the battle is over and done,
And out of the fray and the strife to-day Fair peace ye have nobly won.
Boel. Now hush all idle words and sounds profane.
Chor. O Pythian Phobus, bright Apollo, deign To speed this youth's design Wrought here, these gates before, And give us from our wanderings rest And peace for evermore.
(The shout of Io Paan is raisel.)
Bb. Aguieus! my neighbour and hero and lord! who dwellest in front of my vestibule gate,


 regular formula, answering to the Roman Quod felix faustumque sit. The expression ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \forall \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ is used, two lines below, to show that the matter is within the special jurisdiction of the 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { juteús. Lines 885-890 infra are }\end{aligned}$ antistrophical to the present passage, lines 868-873.
875. 'Ayvuev.] This was the obelisk in honour of Apollo, to which reference is
made in the note on 804 supra. The




 name is derived from its proximity to the public streets: for as Macrobius (Sat. i. 9 , cited by Bergler) says, " vias quæ intra pomœria sunt áruàs appellant." Standing out conspicuously in front of the house, it was the last object of which a wanderer took farewell at his




$\eta ้ \pi \iota o \nu$ aủtò

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \rho a \Psi a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{o} \rho \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \eta \nu \dot{a} \phi \approx \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.

departure, the first which he greeted on coming home after two years' alsence, his return. Thus in Plautus, Bacch. ii. exclaims, 1.3 (to which Brunck refers), Chrysalus, Saluto te, vicine ( $\gamma \in i$ îtov) Apollo, qui $æ$ libus Propinauus nostris accolis,
whilst in Eurip. Phoen. 634 (to which Bergler refers) Polynices, leaving his familiar scene,
 "Amò̀hov àyuẫ', and Stanley's note there: and Florent Chretien to Horace, Odes iv. 6. 26, Phœobe-Agyieu. See also Thesm. 489. The ancients themselves did not know for what reasons the symbol of Apollo assumed the form of an obelisk: and it may be worth observing that in Egypt also an obelisk represented the worship of the Sun: see Sir G. Wilkinson's Ancient Egypt, vol. iv. 294.
876. каиขотоноиิ $\mu \epsilon \nu$.] Bdelycleon had
exhausted all the recognized rites of the country in attempting to cure his father's monomania: but they failing (öтє тav́rats тaîs $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a i ̂ s ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ \omega ̀ \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon t$, supra 121), he is now striking out a new line, and introducing a novel rite of his own invention. кa८voroнєiv is specially applied to innovations in religion (see Plato's Euthyphron, 3 b, 5 A, 16 A), and is constantly so used in the early ecclesiastical writers. With the next line compare Milton's expression, Paradise Lost, Book -xi. ad init.

Grace had removed The stony from their hearts.

I pray thee be graciously pleased to accept the rite that we new for my father create. $O$ bend to a pliant and flexible mood the stubborn and resolute oak of his will, And into his heart, so crusty and tart, a trifle of honey for syrup instil.

Endne him with sympathies wide,
A sweet and humane disposition,
Which leans to the side of the wretch that is tried,
And weeps at a culprit's petition.
From harshness and anger to turn,
May it now be his constant endeavour,
And out of his temper the stern
Sharp sting of the nettle to sever.
Chor. We in thy prayers combine, and quite give in
878. àvri $\sigma \iota \rho a i o v$.$] oipauov in this pas-$ sage appears at first sight to be contrasted with $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$, and it was therefore natural to suppose that it was intended as an emblem of sourness. But ripatov is in truth new wine, boiled and sweetened; and is uniformly described by express reference to its luscious sweetness: oípatov éкádouy tò̀ ék $\gamma \lambda$ cúkous


 passages, mentioned by Florent Chretien and Bergler, I may add the definition
 $\gamma^{\lambda} u \kappa v v^{\prime}$. To get rid of this obvious diffculty the Scholiast suggests that ripauv, when boiled, may have a touch of acidity : Reiske says," si mihi de melle Anticyrano constaret 'Avickupaiov legerem :" whilst Rudd translates as if oipatov were the offering of Bdelycleon to the god, in return for which he was to infuse honey into the mind of Philocleon.

None of these suggestions is in my jadgment satisfactory: and I think that a play of words is intended (cf. infra 1082) between $\theta \bar{u} \mu i \delta i o o v$ the diminutive of $\theta v \mu \mathrm{i} s$, and $\theta \check{v} \mu i \delta i \delta o \nu($ or $\theta i \mu \iota o \nu)$ the diminutive of $\theta \dot{u} \mu o s$, the wild herb or vegetable which was so much eaten by the Athenian poor (Plutus, 253), and therefore, no doubt, by the needy dicasts. If, as is probable enough, this food was sauced and flavoured with oipatov, the meaning of the passage becomes clear. "Mix," prays Bdelycleon, "honey with his temper, $\theta \bar{v} \mu i \hat{i} t o v$, as he is wont to mix mulled wine with his salad, $\theta$ йнî̀oov." It is thus, not as the representative of sourness, but as the recognized sauce for $\theta v \mu i \delta i o v$, that $\sigma i \rho a l o \nu$ is contrasted with $\mu^{\prime} \lambda_{l}$.
884. àккад́ŋ申 $\boldsymbol{q}^{2}$.] The stinging nettle: here, of course, as the Scholiast says,
 asperity of his temper."


тòv $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu$ ท̇ $\sigma$ Өó $\mu \in \sigma \theta$ á $\sigma о \nu$
$\phi \iota \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau o s ~ \dot{\omega}$ s oủ $\delta \epsilon i \stackrel{c}{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta_{\rho}$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$.









 prosaic words I take to be a legal phirase, with which the dicasts would be familiarly acquainted; "for the considerations aforesaid." The preceding sentence
 the closing scenes of the Eumenides.
890. $\tau \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \nu \nu$.] Aristophanes, still quite a youth at the date of the Wasps, may possibly have wished the eulogy, which the Chorus pronounce on Bdelycleon, to be applied by the audience to himself. After this line Meineke introduces from the strophe the words 'I ${ }^{\prime} \ell \in$ Hatáv. But such an invocation, though a very suitable close to that solemn address to Apollo, would here be totally out of place; and it is clearly in 874 a mere ejaculation extra metrum (if not rather a stage direction), not required in the antistrophe.
891. eil Ts.] The prayer has been said.
the incense burned, the divine protection duly invoked. And now at last the judicial proceedings commence, Bdelycleon as the кípvg or usher of the Court, first making the customary proclama.
 says the Scholiast.
 names would be К $\lambda$ é $\omega \nu$ Kvסa $\begin{aligned} & \eta \nu a t e i s ~\end{aligned}$
 of one letter converts Laches into a name at once applicable to a dog, and descriptive of the peculation with which he was charged. See the note on 836 supra. Laches was in truth of the deme 尼xoneis, as Mitchell observes, referring to the Platonic dialogue which bears the name of Laches. The question there is as to the nature of $\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho i a$ (see the note on 959 infra), and Nicias says that it cannot exist without intelligence; and he therefore denies the

To the new rule, for the aforesaid reasons.
Our heart has stood your friend
And loved you, since we knew
That you affect the people more
Than other young men do.
Bdel. Is any Justice out there? let him enter.
We shan't admit him when they've once begun.
Phis. Where is the prisoner fellow? won't he catch it!
Bdel. O yes! attention! (Reads the indietment.) Cur of Cydathon
Hereby accuses Labes of सwone, For that, embezzling a Sicilian cheese, Alone he ate it. Fine, one fig-tree collar.
Pgil. Nay, but a dog's death, an' he's once convicted.
Bdel. Here stands, to meet the charge, the prisoner Labes.
quality to the fiercest wild beasts, and to all persons who feel no fear because unconscious of danger. Laches exclaims at this. "Don't be alarmed, friend Laches," retorts Nicias, "for I don't deny the quality to you and Lamachus and many other Athenians, and I therefore admit your intelligence." "Now," observes Laches, "I could make a good reply to that remark, but I won't, lest you should say that I am in very truth
 Al $\xi \omega \nu \epsilon \in a \epsilon i \nu a u$ (alluding, I suppose, to the general character of the 巴xyonians, Aik $\omega \nu$ हís $\gamma$ à $\rho$, says Eustathius, p. 741,
 -Laches, cap. 26. See Leake's Demi, ii. 184. The Accuser retains the generic name of $\mathrm{K} \hat{v} \omega \nu$, which sufficiently resembles $K \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$, and no doubt (like Aristophanes himself) he really belonged to the deme Cydathenæeis, of which the

Scholiast on Plato's Symposium (ad

 Oov.
897. тi $\mu \eta \mu a$.] The penalty proposed by the prosecutor (see the note on 106 supra) was stated in the indictment itself. See the example given in the note on 1041 infra. The Scholiast explains $\kappa \lambda \omega \grave{o} s$ to be " what we call a col-

 ad sycophantas, says Conz. See the note on 145 supra.
899. oútoбi $\pi$ ápa.] The formula by which a party to the suit entered his appearance. Here standeth Thomas Mowbray, Dulee of Norfolk. It is used here of the Accused, and four lines below of the $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu$, the Prosecutor, or 'Pursuer, as he is called in Scotland.
 oiov $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \rho \omega े s$ é $\xi \alpha \pi a \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu$ ' ol̀ $\epsilon \tau a \iota$.



 ..... 905äv $\delta \rho \in s$ סıкаनтаi, тоитоעí. $\delta \in \iota \nu o ́ \tau а т а ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$910915
 кvyós.-Scholiast. He too enters an appearance. The observation which follows is given by some to Bdelycleon, and by others to Philocleon, but seems rather to be a saucy interpellation of Sosias, like that in 823 sapra. "This is another Grabber," he says, referring to the signification of the name Labes: "a famous good dog for yelping and clearing the dishes." Two years before, in a passage to which Bergler refers, the same Cleon had been described as a
 tàs $\lambda o \pi a ́ \delta a s ~ k a i ~ t a ̀ s ~ \nu \eta ̆ \sigma o u s ~ \delta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \chi \omega \nu, ~$ Knights, 1034. Bdelycleon now proclaims silence in the Court, and then directs his father to take his seat on the
judicial bench, and $K u ́ \omega \nu$ to go up and prosecute.
907. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \mu \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e} \nu \mathrm{\gamma} \rho a \phi \hat{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~s}$.] It must be remembered that (contrary to what occurs in the case of Labes infra 949) Kv́cu is here himself the speaker, by the mouth of Xanthias : see 841 supra : and doubtless his language is intended to represent what Bishop Thirlwall calls "the homely diction" of Cleon (History of Greece, chap. 21). From this source, I imagine, are derived such quaint idiomatic expressions as tò $\dot{\rho} \cup \pi \pi a \pi a \hat{\imath}$, кatє$\sigma \kappa \kappa$ d $\langle\iota \xi$, and the like.
909. rò $\dot{\rho} v \pi \pi a \pi a i ̂] ~ T h e ~ m e a s u r e d ~ c r y$. to which Athenian sailors rowed (Frogs, 1073; compare Knights, 602), the $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \iota-$ фө́vŋ $\mu \mathrm{c}$ vautıò $\nu$, is in this place used to

Phic. $O$ the vile wretch! $O$ what a thievish look!
See how he grins, and thinks to take me in. Where's the Accuser, Cur of Cydathon?
Cur. Bow! Bbel. Here he stands. Sos. Another Labes this, Good dog to yelp and lick the platters clean.
Bdel. St! take your seat. (To Cur) Go up and prosecute.
Phil. Meanwhile l'll ladle out and sip my gruel.
Xanth. Ye have heard the charge, most honourable judges,
I bring against him. Scandalous the trick
He played us all, me and the Sailor-laddies.
Alone, in a corner, in the dark, he gorged,
And munched, and crunched, and Siciliced the cheese!
Phil. Pheugh ! the thing's evident: the brute this instant Breathed in my face the filthiest whiff of cheese.
0 the foul skunk! Xantr. And would not give me any,
Not though I asked. Yet can he be your friend Who won't throw anything to Me , the dog?
denote the sailors themselves. Here, as elsewhere, the veil is raised for the moment, and the prisoner is Laches, and not Labes. So again we have ${ }^{2} v \delta \rho a$ in $918,923,933$, $\pi$ о́ $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ in $925, \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota$ in 965 , and many other expressions, entirely inapplicable to the dog, and applicable only to the Athenian commander. Observe that the expression is EME kal тò $\rho \cdot \frac{1}{2} \pi a \pi a \hat{\text { in }}$. The grievance most prominent in the Accuser's mind throughout, is that he had himself been excladed from all share in the spoil. See supra 896, infra 914, c.23, 972.
910. $\gamma \omega \nu \dot{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu-\sigma \kappa$ о́т $\omega$.] He means that the peculations of Laches had been committed in Sicily, an obscure and distant region, where the eye of the Athenian

People could with difficalty discern his proceedings. Compare the explauation which St. Chrysostom gives of the phrase "What I tell you in darkness," St. Matth. x. 27 : Є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta ̊ \eta$ ~ vois aủroîs

 Hom. xxxiv. 390 c. катєбเкé $\lambda \iota \zeta \epsilon$, if not borrowed from the oratory of the real Oleon, is a word formed for the present occasion.
916. тஸ̣ кuдi.] In Knights, 1017, Cleon is made to compare himself to a faithful and vigilant dog, who serves the Demus his master with loud and incessant barking. And it is in no way improba. ble that in his actual specches, like an eminent politician of our own day, he
 $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ c i \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ o u ̛ \delta € ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \tau \tau о \nu \tau \eta ิ s ~ \phi а к \hat{\eta} s$.
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was accustomed to describe himself as the watchdog or Tear'em of the State. Mitchell aptly compares Demosth. contr.



 Here Cleon is arguing on the principle of Love me, love my dog.
917. $\tau \oplus \hat{\varphi}$ коьи $\hat{\varphi}$.] Philocleon speaks in the name of the dicastery which represented, or rather which itself was, the Sovereign People of Athens. Mitchell refers to Andocides, Or. ii. 3, $\epsilon i$ ì $\mu \dot{\eta}$

 eiev. "He gave nothing to me, the State dog," says Cleon: "no, nor yet even to me, the State itself," adds Philocleon, who is naturally more impressed with the wrong to himself than with the hardship to the prosecutor. There is perhaps a play on the words

 man, means " violent, lawless" (Plutus, 415) : as applied to the gruel, it seems to suggest, as Florent Chretien observes, that Philocleon has just been borning his mouth. See Peace, 1069, and the note there. I have translated it "scorcher" with reference to the French écorcheurs. The Court is beginning to exhibit so very decided a bias in favour of the prosecution, that Bdelycleon, in the next line, is obliged to interpose and remind it of its judicial oath. See the note on 725 supra.
921. тò $\pi \rho$ âł $\mu a-a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta u a ̣ ̂] ~ R e s ~ i p s a$. loquitur. A common phrase in both classical and ecclesiastical writers. Mitchell refers to Dem. F., L. 80, $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 Theodoret, H. E. ii. 29, aùvà $\tau$ à $\pi \epsilon$ траүн́́va ßoạ. St. Chrys. Matth. Hom.
 $\tau \omega \nu$ (Qy. aüтoßош̀т $\omega \nu$ ).
924. Eveiau.] That eleese (Sicilian

Phil. Not give you any! No, nor Me, the state. The man's a regular scorcher, (burns his mouth) like this gruel.
Bdel. Come don't decide against us, pray don't, father, Before you've heard both sides. Phil. But, my dear boy, The thing's self-evident, speaks for itself.
Xanth. Don't let him off; upon my life he is The most lone-eatingest dog that ever was. The brute went coasting round and round the mortar, And suapped up all the rind off all the cities.
Phil. And I've no mortar even to mend my pitcher !
Xante. So then be sure you punish him. For why?
One bush, they say, can never keep two thieves.
cheese too) was with other ingredients brayed in a mortar to compound a $\mu v \tau$ $\tau \omega \tau$ òs, we know from Peace, 250, and the stage direction there. But here I suppose the $\theta v$ cia was used as a pan or safe wherein to keep the cheese.
925. $\tau$ ò $\sigma \kappa i ̂ \rho o \nu.] \quad \sigma \kappa \imath ̂ \rho o \nu ~ m e a n s ~ a n y ~ i n-~$ durated substance, especially the dry chips struck off in hewing stone: from which cement is made, and indeed derives its name, ccementum, quasi cadimentum. It is also applied to the hard rough outside, or rind, of cheese, rò $\dot{\rho} v \pi \bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \dot{\partial} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau u \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, says the Scholiast, who quotes from the X $\mathrm{X} v \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ย of Eupolis a passage in which a $\tau \rho \circ \phi$ a is is described as $\sigma \kappa i \rho o \nu \eta \mu \phi \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon ้ \eta$. Xanthias uses the word in the latter, Philocleon in the former, sense. In my translation I have been obliged to transfer the play of words from okipoy to өveía.
928. $\mu i a \lambda_{o ́ \chi} \mu \eta$.] The solitary habits of the robin redbreast (Erythacus rube-
cula), and the determination with which he beats off from the favourite haunts of himself and his mate any intruder of his own species, gave rise to a proverb, which Xanthias here parodies, 'ُpıÁкоиs $\delta \hat{v}$ о ov $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota$ о́ $\chi \mu \eta$ ріа. The proverbis preserved by the Scholiast. And its accuracy is abundantly verified by modern observers. "During the time of incubation," says Mr. Bewick, speaking of the redbreast, " the cock keenly chases all the birds of his own species, and drives them from his little settlement. It has never been observed that two pairs of these birds were ever lodged in the same bush. Unum arbustum non alit duos erithacos." And "in confinement," Bechstein tells us (History of Cage Birds), "he is so jealous and unsociable that he must not have a companion, he must be quite alone; a second would cause battles which would end only with the death of one of the combatants. If, however, they are equal in strength, and in a large room,
$\Phi$ I. ioù ioú.
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they will divide it, and, each taking possession of his half, they remain in peace unless one should pass his limits, in which case war begins, and is maintained to the last extremity." Many anecdotes illustratiag this peculiarity of the redbreast are collected by the Rev. F. O. Morris in his pleasant and instruc-
 see supra 759, infra 1227.
 well-known idiom (Clouds, 2 ; Lys. 1031, etc.) compare the Latin Quid hoo sit hominis (Plautus, Amph. ii. 2. 137), and our old English phrase, so common in Richardson and other novelists of a past generation, "a fine figure of a man."


 evidence was required for the prosecution, but for the defence Bdelycleon calls the various culinary articles which were present in the kitchen at the time of the alleged theft. Lucian, who though himself as original and independent a genius as ever lived, is perpetually recalling and reproducing the wit of Aristophanes, must have had in his mind as well the scene before us as the address to the Lamp with which the Ecclesiazusæ commences, when he described the trial of Megapenthes before the judgment. seat of Rhadamanthus in the world below (Cataplus, 27). Megapenthes is accused of divers enormities, and on his denying the truth of the charge, the Accuser offers to produce witnesses.

Lest I should bark, and bark, and yet get nothing.
And if I do I'll never bark again.
Phil. Soh! soh!
Here's a nice string of accusations truly!
A rare thief of a man! You think so too,
Old gamecock? Ay, he winks his eye, he thinks so.
Archon! Hi, fellow, hand me down the vessel.
Bdel. Reach it yourself ; I'll call my witnesses. The witnesses for Labes, please stand forward!
Pot, pestle, grater, brazier, water-jug,
And all the other scarred and charred atensils.
(To Phil.) Good heavens, sir, finish there, and take your seat !
Phil. I guess I'll finish him before I've done.
Bdel. What! always hard and pitiless, and that
To the poor prisoners, always keen to bite!
(To Labes) Up, plead your cause: what, quite dumbfoundered? speak.
"Whom do you call?" demands the Judge. "Call," says the Accuser to Hermes, "his Lamp and his Bedstead,"
 каì $т \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \lambda i \not \imath \eta \nu$. Hermes at once makes proclamation, "Let the Bedstead and the Lamp of Megapenthes stand for-
 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \omega$. And at the call they come, and first the Bedstead and then the Lamp gives oral evidence before the Court.
939. троккєкау $\mu$ е́va.] The witnesses in the present case are not $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa є \kappa \lambda \eta \mu$ ह́va, "summoned to give evidence," like other witnesses (see the preceding note) : they are $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa є к a v \mu$ éva, as is natural for kitchen utensils, supra 828. There is possibly a play on the two words. Dobree
proposed to read $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa є \kappa \lambda \eta \mu$ éva here : an ingenious suggestion certainly, and one which involves merely the slight alteration of two letters : but $\pi р о \sigma к \epsilon к а \nu \mu \hat{v} \nu a$, which is supported by the uniform authority of all the MSS. and the Scholiast, and yields a good and apt sense, cannot be dislodged from its place, simply because another word has been discovered which would perhaps have been wittier and still more apt.
 therefore the prayer expressed in 883
 been granted.
944. ảvíßauv', àmodoyov̂.] Bdelycleon puts up Labes to make his defence just as, supra 905 (àvaßàs кatク $\begin{gathered}\text { óftı), he had }\end{gathered}$ put up Kícı to conduct the prosecution;
















and cf． 963 and 977 infra．But Laches， a plain blunt man，and no orator as Cleon was，is so taken aback by the charges brought against him，that he has not a word to say in his own defence． Thereupon Aristophanes recalls the similar condition of Thueydides（the son of Melesias and rival of Pericles）when he too was put upon his defence，and was so dumb－foundered by the nimbleness and versatility of his adversary＇s tongue， that he lost not only his presence of mind， but his very power of speech．The scene is described，with natural indignation，in the Antepirrhema of the Acharnians．－

 cleon undertakes to speak on behalf，but not in the person，of the Accused．
 character is impugned，a dog which
has lost its good name．Here again the argument is in accordance with an English proverb，＂Give a dog a bad name，and hang him．＂

952．入úkovs．］The wolves are the enemies of Athens；the sheep，the Athe－ nian people；the dogs，the chiefs of the Republic，the commanders of her fleets and armies．＇́ $\phi \in \sigma \tau a ́ v a \iota ~ i s ~ r i g h t l y ~ u s e d ~$ of a sheep－dog standing guard over， taking charge of，a flock．In his second speech against Aristogeiton，sec．22， Demosthenes says，кúva ধ̇ $\pi i \quad \pi о i ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu$ ả $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ̂$ кaì $\phi$ aû̀ фи入átтєıд．
 ＇̇бть каi $\xi \nu \nu \omega \mu о \tau \tau a \iota$ ，supra 488．With the frame of the verse compare Clouds，1112． 959．кıAapi $\zeta \in!\nu$ к．т．入．］In this line，as in Birds 1432，there seems to be an adaptation of，or allusion to，some

Phic．Seems he＇s got nothing in the world to say．
Bdel．Nay，＇tis a sudden seizure，such as once Attacked Thucydides when brought to trial． ＇Tis tongue－paralysis that stops his jaws．
（To Labes）Out of the way！I＇ll plead your cause myself．
0 sirs，＇tis hard to argue for a dog＇ Assailed by slander ：nevertheless，I＇ll try． ＇Tis a grood dog，and drives away the wolves．
Peil．A thief I call him，and conspirator．
Bdel．Náy，he＇s the best and worthiest dog alive，
Fit to take charge of any number o＇sheep．
Peil．What use in that，if he eat up the cheese？
Buel．Use！why，he fights your battles，guards your door ；
The best dog altogether．If he filched，
Yet $O$ forgive：he never learnt the lyre．
popular saying ；such（it may be）as that
 $\nu \in i \hat{\nu}$ yàp oủk $\mathfrak{\epsilon \pi} \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu a t$ ．Here the speaker appears to mean that Laches is a blunt rude soldier，who knows a soldier＇s duty， and knows no more．And this is exactly his character in the Platonic dialogue which bears his name．He and Nicias are there consulted about the education of two boys（Thucydides，son of Melesias －and grandson of the Thucydides men－ tioned in the note on 944 supra，and Aristides，son of Lysimachus and grand－ son of Aristides the Just），the immediate question being whether it is adrisable for boys to learn the science of arms from a professional teacher．Nicias thinks it is．Laches thinks it is not． He has seen，he says，that sort of gentry in actual battle，${ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \nu$ a⿱亠乂寸 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \varphi$ ，and remarked the ridiculous figure they cut：

Nicias may be quite right，but such at all events is his experience．Doctors differing，the question is referred to Socrates．Of course Socrates must needs go to the root of the matter；the object of education，the nature of the soul，the definition of virtue in general，and of à $\delta \delta \rho_{i}$ in particular．Laches is now in his element．O，I know what $\dot{a} \nu \delta \delta \mu i a$ is， he says：when a man stands to his post， and beats off his enemy，that is àdoia． Socrates explains that this is no defini－ tion at all，but merely an instance，and not even a well－chosen instance，of à $\nu \delta$ pía： and by dint of cross－questioning he fairly puzzles Laches，who says，áj $\theta \eta s \in i \mu i \tau \omega \nu$







BA. äкоvбov \&̉ $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota \epsilon ́ ~ \mu o v \tau \dot{\hat{\omega}} \nu \mu a \rho \tau v ́ \rho \omega \nu$.
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 тои́т $\omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \iota \tau \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o \varsigma ' ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$, ठ́áк $\nu \epsilon \iota$.


 каі $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \eta \tau \epsilon . \quad \pi о \hat{\imath} \tau \grave{a} \pi a \iota \delta i ́ a ;$

 plished and highly-educated gentleman, rallies his friend with perhaps a greater assumption of intellectual superiority than his friend allogether likes: but his
 Oappa入é $\omega \nu$ is presently demolished by Socrates, and Nicias himself is obliged to confess that the true definition has yet to be found. Laches is delighted to see that Nicias fares no better than himself. What not got the true definition, Nicias? says he; why, when you were laughing at my answers to Socrates I made sure you had got the true definition yourself. And ultimately he delivers

- his opinion thus: I'll tell you what, Nicias, I advise our frimeds here not to
consult you and me about the education of their boys, but to go to Socrates and to keep fast hold of him. Throughout the whole dialogue Laches is the plain downright soldier, a man of deeds and not of words.

961. є́vé $\gamma \rho a \phi^{\prime}$.] és $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa$ ко́тоs
 Written speeches were the rule, rather than the exception, in Athenian lawcourts. They were, however, speeches composed by the adrocate to be repeated by the party to the suit; and not, as Philocleon suggests to have been the arrangement here, speeches composed by the culprit (какоирү $\omega v$ ) to be inflicted on the Court by the adrocate conducting the case.


> Phil. I would to heaven he had never learned his letters, Then he'd not given us all this tiresome speech.
> Bdel. Nay, nay, sir, hear my witnesses, I beg. Grater, get in the box, and speak well out. You kept the mess; I ask you, answer plainly, Did you not grate the spoil between the soldiers? He says he did. Peil. Ay, but I vow he's lying.
> Bdel. O sir, have pity on poor toiling souls. Our Labes here, he lives on odds and ends, Bones, gristle: and is always on the go. That other Cur is a mere stay-at-home, Sits by the hearth, and when one brings aught in Asks for a share : if he gets none, he bites.
> Phil. O me, what ails me that I grow so soft! Some ill's afoot: I'm nearly giving in.
> Bdel. O, I beseech you, father, show some pity, Don't crush him quite. Where are his little cubs?
expressions which elude the efforts of a translator. It conveys a touch of surprise, not unmingled with expostulation, at the conduct of the person addressed, and is perhaps best represented by the intonation given to such phrases as " Pray, sir, do so and so."
964. тацıєiovoa.] You were the tapias $\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \rho а т \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu , ~ t h e ~ Q u æ s t o r , ~ t h e ~ P a y - ~}$ master to the expeditionary force: you had charge of the military chest, and would know whether the funds were properly distributed or not. Cf.Demosth.adv.
 $\tau \omega \in \nu \alpha v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega$. All this, of course, belongs to Laches, not to Labes. The Scholiast says that Aristophanes is imitating the investigations before the Public Auditors; see the note on 571 supra.
968. ó $\Lambda i \not \beta \eta$ s.] Aristophanes is drawing a portrait of Laches and Cleon in the character of the Two Dogs: depredators both, клє́тra סío, but the one gaunt and hungry, toiling and moiling in his master's service: the other living at home on the fat of the land, and assailing with noisy clamour all who will not admit him to a share of their plunder.
973. тí kakóv.] His feelings resemble those of Lucas Beaumanoir at the trial of Rebecca (Scott's Ivanhoe, chap. 38) : "He crossed himself twice, as doubting whence arose the unwonted softening of a heart, which on such occasions used to resemble in hardness the steel of his sword."
976. $\pi a \iota \delta i a]$ He brings forward a litter of puppies, just as culprits were

аітєєิтє ка̉ปт兀ßо入єі̂тє каі סакрข่єтє.
ФI. катáßа ката́ßа ката́ßа ката́ßa. BA. катаßíбонал.
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accustomed to produce in court their weeping wives and children as a plea for mercy, and in mitigation of punishment. See the note on 568 supra.
981. ' ' $\xi \eta \eta \pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$.] The judges would say, That will do, get down: and the prisoner would get down, expecting an acquittal, and presently find himself condemned after all.
983. $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ є́ $\mu \neq \nu$.$] As I$ think. ảvт то̂ ката̀ $\gamma^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ є’ $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$.--Scholiast. Brunck
 $\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\oplus} \mu \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \quad \mu \eta ̀ \nu, ~ M \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$, and Eccl. 34!. Richter absurdly takes the words to be the accusative after $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta$ б́ккрvба, which he supposes to mean $\delta$ aкр $v \omega_{\nu} \dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha$.
 addressed his father in the plural number (oiктєipatє, $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i p \eta \tau \epsilon$ ) as though addressing a full court, composed of many dicasts. His father carries on the


Meineke, Com. Fragm. ii. 593. (Possibly Phrynichus is representing Euripides on
fiction, anticipating a close division ard professing that he cannot yet be sure on which side the majority will be found.
 There were, as the Scholiast observes, two Voting Urns : the Nearer, $\delta$ т $\pi$ о́т $є \rho o s$, was the urn of condemnation; the Further, $\delta$ ṽ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, was the urn of acquittal. Each dicast had one vote, and only one. If he thought the prisoner guilty, he dropped it into Urn No. 1; if not guilty, into Urn No. 2. When all had voted (supra 752-4) the votes were cast out, and counted on a stone slab (supra 332) : and the majority was thus ascertained. Phrynichus in his comedy of The Muses, which obtained the second prize when the Frogs of Aristophanes obtained the first, has a similar allusion to the two kaסírko,
his trial before the Muses: Meingke's idea that the Play contained a poetical

Up, little wretches, up; and whimpering there
Plead for your father: weep, implore, beseech.
Phil. (Deeply affected) Get down, get down, get down, get down. Bd. Iwill. Yet that "get down," I know, has taken in
A many men. However I'll get down.
Pail. Dash it! this guzzling ain't the thing at all.
Here was I shedding tears, and seems to me Only because I have gorged myself with gruel.
Bdel. Then will he not get off? Phil. 'Tis hard to know.
Bdel. $O$ take, dear father, take the kindlier turn.
Here, hold this vote : then with shat eyes dash by To the Far Urn. O father, do acquit him.
contest between Sophocles and Euripides is improbable in itself and inconsistent with the language of the fragments.) And compare Lysias contra Agoratum, p. 133. There was, as the Scholiasts observe, another mode of voting : where there was but one voting urn, and each dicast had two votes, a solid one for condemnation, and a perforated one for acquittal. In this case the dicast dropped one vote into the voting urn, and threw aside the unused vote into a surplus urn. But this is manifestly not the plan adopted here. See Schömann, De Judiciorum suffragiis occultis, Opuscula A.cademica, i. 267. There is here no trace of two votes: and Bdelycleon plainly wishes his father to drop an effective vote of acquittal into the Further Urn, and not merely to throw the "guilty" vote, as unused, into the surplus urn, before he has voted at all.

is still wavering, and Bdelycleon still hopes that he may be induced to deposit his vote in the urn of acquittal. But knowing how difficult it will be for his father to pass by the old familiar urn of condemnation, he begs him to shut his eyes, and make a dash for it. Philocleon's repartee oи $\delta$ д̀ $\bar{\eta}$ ка к.т... is treated as a mere jest; and the old man does in truth consent to be led blindfold towards the urns. Bdelycleon leads him round (compare the expression $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ रoupív s $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \lambda \in i \bar{\nu}$, supra 349) so as to miss Urn No. 1 altogether : and the first urn Philocleon meets is really Urn No. 2. By the manceuvre and ambiguous language of his son, he is made to suppose that this is Urn No. 1; and whether he all along intended to do so, or is at the last moment unable to resist the temptation, he puts his vote into the urn of acquittal, believing that he is outwitting his son, and condemning Labes.
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989. кıAapi $\langle\epsilon \nu$.$] He is retorting$ Bdelycleon's saying (supra 959) on Bdelycleon himself. I too, he means, am none of your dilettanti, but a plain, blunt Judge, not to be swayed by any sentimental considerations. I know a Judge's duty, and I know no more.
 before us naturally recalls, though by way rather of contrast than of analogy, the solemn judicial voting in the Eumenides of شÆschylus: and Bergler cites the direction given there by

$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda o v s$, and her final announcement of
 $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$. With the present passage may be compared the anxious exclamation of Orestes, $\omega$ Фоîß' " ${ }^{\prime} \pi \pi_{0} \lambda \lambda o \nu, \pi \omega ิ s ~ a ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu ~ к \rho \iota-$ Ońvetal; Not that there is any anxiety in Philocleon's mind: he speaks with the quiet confidence of a man who knows that when the matter is brought to the test he will be found to have done his duty.
 Frogs, 1261, $\delta \in i \dot{\xi} \epsilon i$ ò̀ ráxa, and Lysistrata, 375, тoüpyov ‘тáx’ aùrò סei $i \xi \epsilon$.

Phil. No, no, my boy. I nevor learnt the lyre.
Bdel. Here, let me lead you round the handiest way.
Phil. Is this the Nearer? Bdei. This is. Phil. In she goes.
Bdel. (Aside) Duped, as I live! acquits him by mistake!
(Aloud) I'll do the counting. Phil. Well, how went the battle?
Bdel. We shall soon see. O Labes, you're acquitted!
Why, how now, father? Puil. (Faintly) Water, give me water!
Bdel. Hold up, sir, do. Phil. Just tell me only this, Is he indeed acquitted? Bdel. Yes. Phil. I'm done for.
Bdel. Don't take it so to heart: stand up, sir, pray.
Phil. How shall I bear this sin upon my soul?
A man acquitted! What awaits me now?
Yet, O great gods! I pray you pardon me.
Unwilled I did it, not from natural bent.
Bdel. And don't begrudge it; for I'll tend you well, And take you, father, everywhere with me, To fcasts, to suppers, to the public games.
Henceforth in pleasure you shall spend your days,
And no Hyperbolus delude and mock you.
But go we in. Phil. Yes, if you wish it, now.

And with what follows the same commentator compares Eurip. Androm.
 $\epsilon i \mu{ }^{3} \cdot$ à $\pi \omega \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$, and Soph. Electra, 677 ; Phil. 951.
1000. тітотє тєібоиаи.] For, according to his own account (supra 160), a heavy judgment had been denounced against him, if he should once acquit a prisoner.

 longer be a prey to the demagogue who first misleads you, and then laughs at you for being misled. The participle
added to ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \mathrm{y}$ aivel $\nu$ gives the reason for the mockery; as in Ach. 221 ; Knights, 1313, etc. On Hyperbolus see the note at Peace, 681. Aristophanes is, for the last tine, insisting on that charge against the demagogues, which it is the main purpose of the Play to enforce, viz. that whilst they affected to be patronizing the dicasts, they were in reality deluding them, and laughing them to scorn. See supra 516, and passim.
1008. vûv.] Now - not before, but now: after this crushing and unex-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { à } \nu а \rho^{\prime} \theta \mu \eta \tau о \iota,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \eta \text { фаú入 } \omega \varsigma \chi^{a \mu} \hat{\zeta^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} \\
& \text { єủ } \alpha \beta \beta \in \tilde{\imath} \sigma \theta \epsilon \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { є̇ } \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \pi a ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa o u ̉ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$



pected catastrophe，Philocleon finally and for ever resigns his dicastic duties． Modern editors have destroyed the pathos by converting the expressive $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ of the MSS．into the meaningless en－ clitic $\nu \nu \nu$ ．

1009．itт $\chi$ аípontes．］itє каì $\chi$ аiрєтє， ite et valete，the usual valedictory formula wherewith the Chorus dismiss the actors，whilst they themselves turn to the audience and commence the Parabasis．téws in this passage，as in
 к．т．入．），refers to the interval whilst the actors are away．We have here a com－ plete Parabasis，perfect in all its parts； $\tau \hat{\jmath} s \pi a \rho a \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ к $\omega \mu \kappa \bar{\eta} s$ ，says Pollux，iv．
 тара́ßабts，накро̀v，бтрофウ̀，є̇míp $\eta \mu \alpha$ ，àvтí－ $\sigma \tau \rho \circ ф о s, \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi i \rho \rho \eta \mu a$ ．The Commation （ $1009-1014$ ）is a short prelude，intro－ ductory to the Parabasis proper．The Parabasis proper（1015－1050）consists of thirty－six Aristophanic lines，con－ cluding with the Pnigos or Macron （1051－1059），which is defined by Pollux，

$\pi \alpha \rho a \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota, \dot{a} \pi \nu \in v \sigma \tau i \not ̣ \dot{̣} \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ，and which is here composed of ten short anapæstic lines．These sections comprise the Address of the Poet in his own character to the audience．The four remaining sections are concerned with the Chorus in their dramatic character．The Epir－ rhema（1071－1090）and Antepirrhema （1102－1121），each of twenty trochaic tetrameters，explain the reasons why the members of the Chorus are represented under the guise of Wasps．The Epir－ rhema shows that the wasp is a fit symbol of their active and martial． youth：the Antepirrhema，that it ac－ cords equally well with the altered habits of their dicastic old age．The battle－scene of the Epirrhema comes appropriatély between the Strophe（ 1060 －1070）and Antistrophe（1091－1101）， which are two stirring strains，enume－ rating the glorious exploits of those early years．

1010．$\mu v \rho \iota a ́ \delta e є s ~ a ̉ v a \rho i \theta \mu \eta r o \iota] ~ T h i s ~ s e e m s$. to have been a quaint phrase，very popular at Athens．Reisig refers to Plato＇s Laws，vii． 804 E ：and Dindorf to

Chor．Yea，go rejoicing your own good way， Wherever your path may be；
But you，ye numberless myriads，stay And listen the while to me．
Beware lest the truths I am going to say， Unheeded to earth should fall；
For that were the part of a fool to play，
And not your part at all．
Now all ye people attend and hear，if ye love a simple and genuino strain， For now our poet with right good will；of you，spectators，must needs complain．

Plato＇s Theætetus， 175 A ，пáттау каì
 api $\theta \mu \eta \tau o l$ ．to Antipater（apud Jacobs． Anth．i．p．524），ai $\delta^{\circ}$ àvapi $\theta \mu \eta \tau o \iota ~ \nu \in a \rho \omega ิ \nu$
 $\nu_{0} \mu \in \theta a$ ：and to Athenæus，vi．64，oi Mapa－
 $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ фо⿱丷天ย́vavtяs．To these ex－ amples I may add from Plutarch＇s comparison of Lysander and Sylla，cap．
 таббо́неขоs їттך тро́таио．
1012．$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \chi^{\chi a \mu a ̂ ̧ \epsilon .] ~ " I ~ s e t ~ g r e a t ~}$ store by your wisdom，＂says Socrates to Euthyphron（Plato，Euth．cap．17），＂and

入óyos is a Pindaric phrase for advice which falls to the ground，wasted and unregarded．Ol．ix．17；Pyth．vi．37； Nem．iv．65．The metaphor is common to all languages．Mitchell refers to 1 Sam ．iii．19，and the expression occurs again in 2 Kings x．10．See also Bois－ sonade at Pind．Ol．ix． 17.

1015．$\nu \hat{v}$ v av̉tc．］The Parabasis pro－
per of the Wasps has much in common with those of the Knights and the Clouds：and a considerable portion of it was subsequently repeated in the Para－ basis of the Peace．The poet reviews his dramatic career，claiming credit for the honesty of his purpose，and the virtue of his Muse，－as well during the preliminary period when his productions were fathered on other poets，－as after－ wards，when with the two great Comedies already exhibited in his own name，the Knights and the Clouds，he had gone out like another Heracles to do battle with the Monsters which were laying waste the land；first the giant Dema－ gogue，powerful and death－dealing as the fabled Typhœeus，and secondly，a foe more insidious but not less dangerous， the crafty and cold－blooded school of Sophists．That in the latter combat he was ill－supported by the popular voice， is a proof，he contends，that the people have not yet fully appreciated either the deserts of the author，or the singular excellence of that particular Play．


#### Abstract

           





 каӨiє九 тьขà т $\hat{\nu} \nu \delta \rho а \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$
 Scholiast．All the poet＇s early come－ dies，down to and including the Acharnians，were produced in one or other of these two names．It is common－ ly supposed that the Wasps itself was brought out in the name of Philonides； but to my mind the entire tenor of the Parabasis is absolutely conclusive against this hypothesis，which is fully discussed in the Preface．

1019．Ev̉pvк入є́ovs．］Eurycles，who is again mentioned（as Dindorf observes） in Plato＇s Sophista，cap．37，was one of those wizards who were called $\epsilon \gamma \gamma a \sigma \tau \rho i-$ $\mu v \theta o u$ ，ventriloqui，because they made their voice appear to issue，not from their organs of speech，but from the lower parts of their hody，as if from an
indwelling spirit there．＇ं $\gamma \gamma a \sigma \tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu v$ ©os is the name commonly applied through－ out the Septuagint，and by the Greek Fathers，to persons who had familiar spirits，such as the Witch of Endor． The art of Eurycles was ventriloquism in its ancient and etymological significa－ tion of making your voice proceed from the depths of your own body，and not in its modern sense of making your voice proceed from the lips of others． Aristophanes poured his ideas through the lips of Philonides or Callistratus，as the spirit poured his throngh the lips of Eurycles．

1022．oủ火 ả̉入oтpi$\omega \nu$ Movōิ $\nu$ ．］Other poets，be means，gained their victories with his works：but the Plays with which he himself entered the lists were all his own genuine unassisted pro－ ductions；no other poets exhibited their comedies under the name of Aristo－ phanes．In invoo $\dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ he is using the metaphor which is more fully developed

Ye have wronged him much, he protests, a bard who had served you often and well before; Partly, indeed, himself unseen, assisting others to please you more;
With the art of a Eurycles, weird and wild, he loved to dive in a stranger's breast, And pour from thence through a stranger's lips full many a sparkling comical jest. And partly at length in his own true form, as he challenged his fate by himself alone, And the Muses whose bridled mouths he drave, were never another's, were all his own. And thus he came to a height of fame which none had ever achieved before, Yet waxed not high in his own conceit, nor ever an arrogant mind he bore. He never was found in the exercise-ground, corrupting the boys: he never complied With the suit of some dissolute knave, who loathed that the vigilant lash of the bard should chide His vile effeminate boylove. No! he kept to his purpose pure and high, That never the Muse, whom he loved to use, the villainous trade of a bawd should ply.
in 1050 infra. Addison might have appealed to this passage as a precedent for part of the imagery employed in his
famous lines, famous for the criticism of Dr. Johnson in the Lives of the Poets,

I bridte in my struggling Muse with pain, That longs to launch into a nobler strain.
" To bridle a goddess," says Dr. Johnson, "is no very delicate idea: but why must she be bridled? because she longs to launch; an act which was never hindered by a bridle; and whither will she launch? Into a nobler strain. She is in the first line a horse, in the second a boat, and the care of the poet is to keep his horse or his boat from singing."
 he was à $\rho \theta$ eis, "lifted up so high," he did not turn out, did not end by becoming, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon i s$, " lifted above himself." His elevation did not result or issue in pride or arrogance. The sentiment is the same as that in the epitaph by Simonides on the daughter of Hippias,


 used intransitively, as is frequently the case with $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ and its compounds.
 self-laudation is repeated in Peace, 762 ; and here, as there, the Scholiast says that there is a covert allusion to Eupolis, to whom such practices were commonly imputed.
1026. ËTTEvঠ¢.] It was but lost labour that wealthy and dissolute Athenians strove to make interest with the poet for their abandoned associates: he was not to be swayed by considerations such as these, but leept on the even tenor of his course, praising virtue and censuring vice, no matter whom he thereby offended.







 Scholiast, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ѐ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho i \not \psi \eta$, oiovè̀ $\mu а \sigma \tau \rho о \pi о \grave{̀}$ $\tau \bar{\nu} \nu$ тoovícov єí $\rho \in \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma o \nu \tau a t$. The word र $\rho$ गेтa seems to involve a similar idea to that expressed in Knights, 517.
 years before the date of the Wasps, a Chorus had for the first time been sought, and obtained, in the name of Aristophanes. He had not applied before, partly (he says) because he con-

 for the first time he became a real $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta o \delta i \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o s$, an avowed and recognized סiઠ́áккa入os tov̂ $\chi o \rho o v ̂$, or as he expresses it here, $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \neq 0 \nu \hat{\eta}^{\jmath} \rho \xi_{\xi} \in \delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \kappa \epsilon \nu$. The first Comedies which he $\dot{\epsilon} \delta i \delta a \xi \xi \nu$, that is to say, produced in his own name, were the Knights, в.c. 424; the Clouds, b.c. 423; and the Wasps (see the Preface), b.c. 422 . And with this change in the nominal authorship, there came a striking change over the spirit and tone of the Aristophanic drama. Cleon and the demagogues, Euripides and the Sophists, he had already satirized, but only in a light and desultory manner. His earlier comedies had no trace of the concentrated energy which he now displayed, wrestling ( $\xi v \sigma$ -


Scholiast) with those evil influences which were in his judgment threatening to overpower the pure and wholesomé instincts of the Hellenic mind. The Knights has been truly described as "a struggle for life or death" between the Poet and the Demagogue. The effect of the Clouds was as permanent as it was overwhelming, and years afterwards exercised a perceptible influence (Plato's Apology, cap. 3) in bringing about a catastrophe which the author little intended, and donbtless deeply regretted.
1030. 'Hpardéovs.] Just as Heracles did not pit himself against ordinary men, but purged the land of the monsters which infested it, such as the lion of Nemea, the Lernean hydra, etc.: so Aristophanes seeks out the mightiest antagonists, and wars oủk $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\iota}$,

 $\tau \eta \bar{s} \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a s \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \delta \epsilon \kappa a \theta a \rho \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$.
1031. Apar' $\omega$ s.] The First of the Labours of Aristophanes (to adopt his own Heraclean imagery) consisted in his attack upon Cleon with the comedy of the Kvights, a comedy to which he always looked back with peculiar satisfaction, as being the grandest and most heroic achievement of his Muse. He glories in the courage with which, when

When first he began to exhibit plays, no paltry men for his mark he chose, He came in the mood of a Heracles forth to grapple at once with the mightiest foes. In the very front of his bold career with the jag-toothed Monster he closed in fight, Though out of its fierce eyes flashed and flamed the glare of Cynna's detestable light, And a hundred horrible sycophants' tongues were twining and flickering over its head, And a yoice it had like the roar of a stream which has just brought forth destruction and dread,
all men quailed before the savage and relentless demagogue (who makes his first appearance in history as advocating the massacre of every male in Mitylene, and is last mentioned as the author of the decree, carried into effect after his death, for the massacre of every male in Scione), he alone ventured into the lists against him, and smote him in the very
 Clouds, 549). To enhance the merit of the exploit, he pourtrays his adversary in the most appalling colours, as another Typhœeus, before whom Gods themselves might tremble. The next six lines are occupied with a description of this combat. And the whole passage is repeated, with slight variation, in the Parabasis of the Peace, 751-9. The reader is referred to the notes there.




The Poet means that Cleon was surrounded by innumerable satellites (such as Theorus, Cleonymus, and the like, supra $45,419,592$, etc.), who fluttered. about his person, and bristled up the moment he was attacked. The future participle oi $\mu \omega \dot{\xi} \circ \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ may possibly, as Mitchell suggests, be intended to convey a menace, "who shall smart for it." But
in truth, in such words as these, the future signification is, as a general rule, entirely lost. "Est enim proprie ò ќќкьтт" àmoдои́нєขos," says Seiler on Alciphron, i. 37 , "is qui certo pessime peribit, qui pessime perire meretur, igitur qui alias dicitur катápatos."
1034. ф $\omega \nu \eta \eta_{\nu}$.] Berglerrefersto Knights, 186, where Cleon is described as Kuкдо.






B6pov ф $\omega \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ é é $\chi \omega$. See the note on 36 supra. The expression $\chi$ a $\rho a \dot{0} \delta \rho a s$ ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$ тєтокvias is probably borrowed from some lyric poet of the day.





 ago Greek nurses were frightening their children with tales about Lamia, an ogress who would carry them off, and devour them in secret: see note at Peace, 758. And they are doing so still : see Tozer's Highlands of Turkey, chap. 30.
1036. ката $\sigma \omega \rho о \delta o \kappa \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$.] He was stayed neither by fear nor by favour: no terror dissuaded him : no bribe bought him off. Bergler, Brunck, and Mitchell are altogether wrong in translating катаঠшроSoкरेбal dona dare, a very rare and doubtful signification of the word (see Ruhnken's Timæus sub voc.), and one which is certainly not supported either by verse 675 supra, to which Bergler refers, for there the proper reading is $\delta \omega \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma v$ : nor by Hdt. vi. 72, to which Mitchell refers, for there, as in Hdt. vi. 82, $\delta \omega \rho о \delta \delta o \kappa$ eiv obviously means not dona dare, but dona accipere. See Knights, 66; supra 669.
1037. $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ aủrov̂.] Unà cum illo. Withoutleaving Cleon (see the Epirrhema
of the Clouds), he attacked a second foe: he had both on his hands at once. Bentley's suggestion $\mu \epsilon \tau^{2}$ à̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$, postillum, is founded on a misconception of the poet's position. He had not done with Cleon when he wrote the Clouds: he has not even yet done with him, ërl kai vvì $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \varepsilon i . \quad$ And few will, I think, accept Fritzsche's idea (De Socrate Veterum Comicorum, Quæst. Aristoph. i. 117) that $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Aùroù means unà cum ipso Socrate (Clouds, 220) discipulos aggressus est.-We come now to the Second Labour of Aristophanes, undertaken the year after the Knights, and the year before the Wasps ( $\pi \epsilon \rho v \sigma \tau \nu$ ), viz. his encounter with the Sophists in the comedy of the Clouds. And in his own estimation, if the Knights was the most gallant, the Clouds was the loftiest and most brilliant of all his dramatic performances, Clouds, 522 ; infra 1046. Hexe he is dealing no longer with a towering earth-born Giant, but with a more ghastly Portent, with subtle and invisible agencies, attacking and enslaving the minds of men. He likens them to insidious and unhealthy influences, to Fevers and Agues, which cramp the nerves and sap the wholesome energies
 $\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{~} \sigma \grave{\omega} \mu a \tau a$, says the Scholiast,



And a Lamia's groin, and a camel's loin, and foul as the smell of a seal it smelt. But He, when the monstrous form he saw, no bribe he took and no fear he felt, For you he fought, and for you he fights : and then last year with adventurous hand He grappled besides with the Spectral Shapes, the Agues and Fevers that plagued our land; That loved in the darksome hours of night to throttle fathers, and grandsires choke,
ings which are premonitory symptoms of fever. See Seiler on Alciphron, iii. 72; Ruhnken's Timæus sub voc. 'Hтiàos, says the Scholiast, тò $\pi \rho \grave{\text { d }}$ тov̂ $\pi v \rho \epsilon \tau o u ̀$

 סроноs." The line is not found in either Play as now extant, and is probably takenfrom the secondThesmophoriazusæ. There is perhaps also an allusion here, as Didymus, cited by the Scholiast, suggests, to the spectre 'H $\pi$ tád $\lambda s$ s, the Nightmare fiend, with whom long afterwards in mediæval legend Saint Withold waged successful war (King Lear, iii. 4). Fritzsche (De Socrate Veterum Comicorum ubi supra) and Bergk (on the Holcades, in Meineke, Fragm. Com. ii. 1113) both imagine that Aristophanes must here be recalling and reproducing the identical language of the earlier Play. The former therefore argues that the first edition of the Clouds must have been widely different from that which we now possess; whilst Bergk contends that Aristophanes cannot be alluding to the Clouds at all, but is speaking of some lost comedy, possibly the Holcades. Both these theories betray a complete misapprehension of the poet's design in the passage before us. He is giving, as it were, an allegorical representation of the combats he has waged, and the deeds he has done, as Heracles the Destroyer
of Monsters. And he depicts his antagonists, not in language borrowed from his former Plays (which would have been quite inappropriate), but with entirely new imagery, specially adapted to his present purpose. Cleon is no longer the "Paphlagonian slave" of the Knights; he is now a powerful and angry demon, a Monster whom it requires no less than the might of a Heracles to subdue. The Sophists are no longer the pallid disputants of the Clouds: they are now malevolent Plagues and Pestilences, from whom it is a task not unworthy of a Heracles to purge and deliver the land. On $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho v \sigma \iota \nu$ the Scholiast says, $\pi \hat{\rho} \rho v \sigma \iota \tau a ̀ s$
 є่ $\kappa \mu \varphi \varrho_{0} \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
1039. $\pi$ aré $\rho a s{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \gamma \chi^{0 \nu}$.] The Scholiast refers to Clouds, 911 ; Bergler to Clouds, 1376 ; and Mitchell to Birds, 1348, 1352, and Eccl. 638-640. To these references may be added Clouds, 1385, 1389. We shall perhaps best follow the train of thought which was passing through the mind of Aristophanes by comparing Clouds, 1428, with Birds, 1348-52. In the former passage, the young Logician, fresh from the school of the Sophists, argues that the old-fashioned notions of filial duty will not stand the test of free inquiry : that gamecocks and the like fight with their parents; and why should not he with his? In the

#  <br>  <br> 1041 <br> $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\nu a \pi \eta \delta \hat{a} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \mu a l \nu o \nu \tau a \varsigma \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu a \rho \chi o \nu . ~$  $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho v \sigma \iota v \kappa а т а т р о и ́ \delta о т є ~ \kappa а \iota \nu о т а ́ т а \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon i ́ p a \nu \tau ’ ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \nu o i ́ a \iota \varsigma, ~$ 

latter passage a parricide wishes to settle in the kingdom of the Birds, because he understands that according to their laws a child may beat his father, and he desires ä $\gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тòv патє́pa кaì тávт’

1040. koitas.] Mitchell refers to the bed-scene in the Clouds where Strepsiades is made to lie down upon the Socratic pallet, in order to put himself in the
 т七к̀̀v, кà $\pi a \iota \grave{\lambda} \eta \mu a$. The scene itself was no doubt intended to form a practical representation of the Sophists, imagining mischief on their beds. With these busy and restless intriguers were contrasted the àmpá $\gamma \mu o v \epsilon s$, Athenians who minded their own business, and lived peaceably with all men, disciples of the סíkavos $\lambda$ óvos, who taught them àmpar-

1041. à $\nu \tau \omega \mu \sigma \sigma$ ias.] Accusations on oath: see the note on 545 supra. The notion which modern writers have derived from Pollux, viii. segm. 55, that the name is in strictness confined to the Defendant's affidarit, is manifestly erroneous. It is found much more frequently applied to the Plaintiff"s, which was of course the more important of the two, as enunciating the charge which the Defendant merely traversed. Hence it is commonly used, simpliciter, for the charge itself. à àm $\mu \sigma \sigma i a, \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$
 -Timæus, (Gloss. Plato,) Suidas, Scholiast ad h.l. A better illustration can hardly be found than that furnished by the case of the great philosopher attacked in the Clouds. In the Apology of Plato, Socrates distinguishes between his present accusers, and those old and inveterate assailants, comic poets and the like, who for a generation past have been misrepresenting his principles and practice. "These," he says, "are my most formidable accusers. Read the charge which they bring against






 "And charges thus made," he adds, "admit of no defence: I am unheard: judgment goes by default." Then he turns to the actual charge preferred by






 genes Laertes in his Life of Sacrates professes to give us the very words of

That laid them down on their restless beds, and against your. quiet and peaceable folk Kept welding together proofs and writs and oath against oath, till many a man Sprang up, distracted with wild affright, and off in haste to the Polemarch ran. Yet although such a champion as this ye had found, to purge your land from sorrow and shame, Ye played him false when to reap, last year, the fruit of his novel designs he came,
the indictment, $\dot{\eta} \delta \delta^{\circ}$ antamosia $\tau \hat{\eta} s$





 $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$. тíд $\mu \mu a$ Өávatos (cap. 19). In
 means simply "the sworn indictment." And such nodoubtisits signification here. It was of course for purposes of attack and not for purposes of defence that the
 their legal devices. The $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma t s$ was the writ of summons, the judicial citation, served upon the bewildered victim; the d $2 \tau \omega \mu$ ooria was the sworn indictment laid against him : the $\mu$ apropía was the evidence by which the charge was supported.
1042. $\pi$ олє́ $\mu a \rho \chi{ }^{\circ}{ }^{2}$.] Why recourse should be had to the Polemarch in particular, is by no means clear. The Scholiast refers it to his special jurisdiction over resident aliens, an explanation not very satisfactory, nor perhaps altogether consistent with the $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in line 1040, but I can offer nothing better. And it may be that on some recent occasion the resident strangers had been harassed with vexatious charges of disaffection and treachery.
1043. ${ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon \xi$ iкаког.] This, as the Scho-
liast on Clouds 1372, and again on Peace 422, observes, is a special epithet
 Thus Aristides, v . ('Hpaк $\lambda \bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ), says of




 à $\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho v ่ \omega \nu$. So in Alciphron, iii. 47, a

 Scholiast on Frogs, 501 (where Heracles
 the gallows-bird from Melite), says that in the urban deme of Melite there was
 The expression тѝs x ${ }^{\omega} \rho a s$ кäapтìs is also of course specially appropriate to Heracles. With these words the poet concludes the comparison which he commenced in line 1029 supra between his own achievements and the Labours of Heracles.

 Scholiast. Last year both the Flagon of Cratinus and the Connos of Ameipsias had been preferred before his own favourite Clouds. His disappointment is described in language borrowed frora the operations of husbandry. He had sown his very best and choicest seed, but the sun of Athenian fayour did not






$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$,

 $\sigma \tau є ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ каі̀ $\theta є \rho a т \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu o \eta ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \sigma \omega ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} a u ̛ \tau \omega ̂ \nu^{*}$

$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$.

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \mu a \tau i \omega \nu$


shine upon his labours, and when he came to reap the harvest, he found the crops all blighted and withered away, à $\nu a \lambda$ ס̄єis.
1046. $\Delta$ oóvvoov.] Bergler refers to the similar adjuration in Clouds, 519. In both passages the appeal is made to Dionysus as the chief patron and critic of dramatic literature. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ध́ $\pi i ̀ \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s$ means "over and over again," "time after time." Cf. Knights, 411.
1047. ảzivova.] That the Clouds was the cleverest ( $\sigma 0 \phi \omega \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta$ ) of all his comedies, and the one which had cost him most thought and labour, he declares with great emphasis in Clouds, 522. More especially does he insist on the fact that in it be was introducing a
novel style of comedy, an entirely original invention of his own, Clouds, 547; supra 1044; infra 1053. This indeed partly accounted for, and excused, the temporary blindness of the audience. It was inò $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{~} \gamma^{\gamma} \omega \hat{\nu} a \iota$ кaӨapês, that they did not at once, $\pi а \rho a \chi \rho \eta \hat{\eta}$, appreciate the peculiar merits of the new philosophic drama. And he seems to imply that the time will soon come, if it has not already arrived, when they will fully acknowledge their mistake. Meanwhile the poet's claims have always been recognized by those who understand the subject, rapà roír $\quad$ ooфois, the tribunal to which he invariably appeals, ov $\pi \rho 0-$

1050. आape ${ }^{2} \dot{\sim} \nu \omega \nu$.] In the very act of

Which, failing to see in their own true light, ye caused to fade and wither away. And yet with many a deep libation, invoking Bacchus, he swears this day That never a man, since the world began, has witnessed a cleverer comedy. Yours is the shame that ye lacked the wit its infinite merit at first to see. But none the less with the wise and skilled the bard his accustomed praise will get, Though when he had distanced all his foes, his noble Play was at last upset.

But O for the future, my Masters, pray
Show more regard for a genuine Bard
Who is ever inventing amusements new
And fresh discoveries, all for you.
Make much of his play, and store it away,
And into your wardrobes throw it
With the citrons sweet: and if this you do,
Your clothes will be fragrant, the whole year through,
With the volatile wit of the Poet.
O of old renowned and strong, in the choral dance and song,
passing by. It was not the superior swiftness of his competitors that vanquished him; he was outstripping them, when his chariot broke down under one of those túxai 'Apavoávivyes so common in Hellenic chariot-races.
1056. к^ßwtoús.] Wardrobes, chests. "In men's houses," says St. Chrysostom (Hom, xxxii. in Matth. 373 D), " the ${ }_{\kappa} \kappa \beta \dot{\omega}$ toov contains changes of raiment; in the House of God it contains alms for the poor."
1057. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$.] That is, I suppose,
 old times citrons were very commonly placed in wardrobes, to preserve the clothes from moths and the like. Theophrastus (Hist. Plant. iv. 4) says of the


 Athenæus (iii. 26) adds that even to recent days men were in the habit of laying up citrons $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ тaîs $\kappa<\beta \omega \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ т $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ i $\mu a t i \omega \nu$. Pliny (Nat. Hist. xii. 7) says,"odore præcellitfoliorum, qui transit in vestes unà conditus, arcetque animalium noxia." And Macrobius (Saturn, ii. 15) quotes Oppius as saying de citreo, "est autem odoratissimum; ex quo interjectum vesti tineas necat." He also cites the phrase "citrosam vestem" from Nævius, and adds (but this is questionable) that in Homer Gúov means the citron, and єïuaza $\forall v \omega \dot{\omega} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ clothes so scented and preserved.
1060. © п $\pi$ á̀ $\alpha c$.] The Pnigos, so called because the speaker was expected to

```
    ä\lambdaк\iota\muо\iota \delta` \epsiloṅ\nu \muá\chiа\iotaь,
ка\grave{ кат' аv̉тò тоv̂то \delta\grave{\eta} \muó\nuо\nu ä\nu\delta\rhoєs ä\lambdaк\iota\muю́тато\iota,}
```



```
    о"\chiє\tauа\iota, ки́к\nuои тє́ \gammaє \piо\lambda\iota\omegáтє\rhoа\iota \delta\età
    a"\delta' \epsiloṅ\pia\nuӨо\hat{v}\sigma\iota\nu т\rhoí\chi\in\varsigma.
    a}\lambda\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha}\kappa\alphả\kappa \tau\hat{\omega}\nu\lambda\epsilon\iota\psiа́\nu\nu\omega\nu \delta\epsilon\hat{\imath
```




```
    \gamma\etâ\rhoas \epsilonival к\rho\epsilonî\tauто\nu \eta}\mathrm{ то入-
    \lambda\hat{\omega}\nu к\iota\kappaì\nu\nuо\nus \nuєа\nu\iota\omegaि\nu каi
    \sigma\chi\hat{\eta}\mua кєủ\rhoиторюктіа\nu.
```


deliver it in one rapid unbroken run，with－ out pausing to take breath（see the note on 1009 supra），terminates with the wora $\delta \in \xi$ cót $\eta$ ros；and the Chorus now turn from the poet＇s affairs，and speak of themselves in their own dramatic character，recall－ ing in the strophe，epirrhema，and anti－ strophe，the long－past glories of their
youth．The Scholiast refers to the pro－ verb（twice repeated in＂the Plutus）$\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota$
 Chretien（apud Bergler）to the famous triplet sung at Spartan festivals，＂A $\mu \mu \epsilon s$
 Lycurgus，cap．20），which may be roughly and imperfectly rendered as follows：

Old Men．We once were strong and mighty men of war．
Men．You once were strong and mighty，but we are． Boys．But we＇ll one day be stronger，mightier far．

1062．тov̀тo．］The pronoun is used， as Seager observes，$\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\omega}$ ，the speaker pointing to the sting，which，as he is about to explain，is neither more nor less than the symbol of the Mapa日 $\omega \%$－ mixal．In the MSS．and the early editions the last word of this line was written $\mu a \chi \iota \mu \omega \pi a \tau n t$ ，which does not accord with the metre；and Bentley proposed to substitute either ả̀кцц⿳㇒⿵冂⿻丷木татоь or à $\nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\omega}-$ turnt．The former word，which was in－ de，endently suggested by Porson，is generally adopted，is slightly nearer the

MS．reading，and is more consonant to the two preceding lines：yet $a \boldsymbol{a} \nu \delta \rho \in s \dot{a} \nu-$ סрько́татоь as applied to wasps would be in the genuine Aristophanic vein：see infra 1077， 1090.

1063．$\pi \rho^{i} \nu \pi о \tau^{\prime}{ }^{7} \nu$. ．］In Eurip．Troades， 582，Andromache says，Прi $\pi o \tau^{\prime} \bar{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，

 Scholiast．The parody，or quotation， is probably continued through the next line or two．$\delta \dot{\eta}$ seems to be a particle of time，as if $\eta \neq \eta$ ．

In the deadly battle throng,
And in this, our one distinction, manliest we, mankind among!
Ah, but that was long ago :
Those are days for ever past:
Now my hairs are whitening fast,
Whiter than the swan they grow.
Yet in these our embers low still some youthful fires must glow.
Better far our old-world fashion, Better far our ancient truth,
Than the curls and dissipation
Of your modern youth.
Do you wonder, 0 spectators, thus to see me spliced and braced,
 the Persian war must show what we can do : for old as we are we count ourselves of greater value than a whole shoal of your modern youths, with their curls and their immoralities.
1071. The Epirrhema contains a description of the battle of Marathon, a scene which was always present to the mind of Aristophanes, as exemplifying the generous self-devotion, the Panhellenic heroism, of Athens in days gone by. Nor is the description unworthy of the theme. Even Alschylus, the soldierpoet, as M. Villemain truly observes in his Essai sur la poésie lyrique, has left us no nobler reminiscence of the Persian wars than the battle scene before us, a strain instinct with the spirit and fire of Tyrtæus. It is probable that the History of Herodotus had just been given to the public; and Aristophanes has caught not only the tone, but the very phraseology, in which the story of
the two Persian invasions is told in that great prose epic. The dicasts are, throughout the Play, represented as the survivors of the Persian war; butin making them actually present at the battle of Marathon, sixty-eight years before the date of the Wasps, Aristophanes (as is frequently elsewhere his practice) is treating his Chorus as types rather than as individuals, and attributing to them actions in which they could personally havetaken no part. "Solet enim comicus," as Bergler remarks at Lys. 665, "choro senum tribuere quæ longe antecesserunt ætatem illorum hominum." Meineke, contrary to all authority and probability, omits the sixth line of the Epirrbema, and the fourteenth of the Antepirrhema, so reducing each system to 19 lines: but in fact these systems invariably consist of an even number of lines: usually 16 (Acharnians, Knights twice, Peace, Birds twice, Thesmophoriazusæ); 20 here and in the Clouds and the Frogs.
1075
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa a \pi \nu \hat{\varrho} \tau \dot{\varphi} \phi \omega \nu$ ä́ $\pi a \sigma a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \kappa a \grave{~} \pi \nu \rho \pi \sigma \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$,
1080
1073. $\eta^{7} \tau s$.] So Bentley and the later editions, rightly. $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \tau s$ depends upon $\delta \delta \delta a ́ \xi \omega$, and Hirschig appropriately refers
 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ or. The old reading was $\eta^{\eta} \tau \tau$, supposed to depend on $\theta a v \mu a ́ \xi \in \epsilon$. For extivoas, the meaning, cf. Peace, 127.
 are adapting one of the many Euripidean
$\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ which seem to have made an immediate impression on the popular mind, and to have passed at once into general currency, as proverbial sayings. The passage in question occurs in his Sthenobœea (Wagner, Fragm. Poet. Trag.



Love will make a man a poet,
Though he were unskilled before.

See Bentley (Epistle to Mill), who cites the various passages in which allusion is made to these lines. Plato (Symp. 196 E) puts a manifest reference to them into the mouth of Agathon, $\pi$ âs yov̂v moıخrìs
 $a ̈ \psi \eta r a t$. They are thrice cited by Plutarch (de Pyth. Orac. 405 f; Quæst. Symp. 622 c ; Amator. 762 в), and are found in other writers.
 belief of the Athenians that they were the indigenons population of Attica, $\gamma \eta \gamma e v e i s$ aùróx $\theta$ ores, strung from the soil, Lysistr. 1082 (like suails and grass-
hoppers, said Antisthenes the Cynic, bitterly, Diog. Laert. vi. 1. 1), furnished an additional incentive to their efforts in defence of their native land, a land which they regarded not as a step-mother or adopted parent, but as the very mother who bare them. Plato (Menexenus, cap. 6) eulogizes her dead warriors as men who had not lived as strangers in a







Like a wasp in form and figure, tapering inwards at the waist?
Why I am so, what's the meaning of this sharp and pointed sting, Easily I now will teach you, though you "knew not anything." We on whom this stern-appendage, this portentous tail is found, Are the genuine old Autochthons, native children of the ground; We the only true-born Attics, of the staunch heroic breed, Many a time have fought for Athens, guarding her in hours of need; When with smoke and fire and rapine forth the fierce Barbarian came, Eager to destroy our wasps-nests, smothering all the town in flame, Out at once we rushed to meet him: on with shield and spear we went,




 liast. The narrative which Herodotus gives of the battle of Marathon (vi. 112, 113) is full of similarity, verbal and otherwise, to the description before us.
1079. катуч̣ ти́ $\phi \omega \nu$.] He uses language applicable to the smoking-out of wasps, supra 457. With $\pi v \rho \pi o \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ Bergler aptly compares Hdt. viii. 50 , ${ }^{\ell} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$

 Aal. Cf. Id. viii. 53 : ix. 13. Herodotus is speaking of the Secondinvasion, when Athens was actually committed to the flames: but no doubt the same fate would have awaited her in the First, had her citizens been defeated at Marathon. The first armament had been despatched for the special purpose of wreaking the Great King's vengeance on the audacious little Republic which had dared to defy his power (Hat. vi. 94); and its track across the /Hgean

had been marked by the flames of burning cities and temples, and all the horrors of slavery (Hdt. vi. 96,101 ). The accidental similarity of sound makes àv | $\rho \eta \eta^{\prime}-$ |
| :--- | $\nu u a$ a very happy substitute for 'A $A$ クivas.

 eager were they to meet the foe, that they left the city, and issued out against him : so eager, when they met him, were they to attack, that contrary to all Hellenic precedent they charged at a run : of 'A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ 设aiou, says Herodotus, vi.







 was their advance, that according to Justin (ii. 9) the invaders had not even time to discharge their arrows, before the Athenians were upon them, "citato cursu ante jactum sagittarum ad hostem venerunt." The expression $\sigma \grave{\nu}$ סóp $\epsilon \iota$ ซiv $\dot{d} \sigma \pi i \delta r$ is repeated in Peace, 357. The



 1085

spear was the representative weapon of the Hellenic, as the bow of the Oriental combatants. See infra 1084.
 (see the note on 1105 infra), they were now more so than ever: they had imbibed, as it were, an extra draught of pugnacity. But there is also beyond a doubt, as Florent Chretien saw, an allusion here to wasps which had sipped ( $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega$ кótes) the dew from the pungent thyme; a play on the words $\theta \nu \mu \dot{s} s$ and $\theta \dot{\mu} \mu o s$, see the note on 878 supra.
 Hesychius. It means either lip indiffer-
 Suidas are manifestly nothing more
 imє $\rho \Phi^{\prime} a \nu \chi^{\chi} \lambda \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$ which he is citing from Theophylact, and which of itself is sufficient to show that $\chi$ ¢ $\lambda$ ím standing alone does not necessarily signify the
upper lip. Here I suppose it refers principally to the under lip. Bergler cites Homer, Od. i. 381, ó óà $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \chi \in i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ $\phi u ́ \tau \epsilon s$ : Tyrtæus, ii. 22 (Gaisford's Poetæ


1084. то $\epsilon ย \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.] The bow was the national weapon of the Persians ( $\dot{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$ •
 Hat. v. 49. тóga $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda a$, ỏíatov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каладívovs, Id. vii. 61, and elsewhere) : and from their fifth to their twentieth year they were trained especially to acquire three accomplishments, viz. to ride, to use the bow, and to speak the trath (Hdt. i. 136). Their broken arrowheads are still found in the sandy soil which forms the great barrow over the Marathonian dead: see the note on 711 supra. And the battle-scene is graphically depicted in Childe Harold, ii. 90, as

> The fiying Mede, his shaftless broken bow; The fiery Greek, his red pursuing spear.

Aristophanes is referring, as the Scholiast observes, to the famous dialogue which took place before the fighting commenced at Thermopylæ: when the Trachinian declared $\varrho s, \epsilon \in \pi \in \dot{\alpha} \nu$ oi $\beta a ́ p \beta a \rho o \iota$

 Spartan merely rejoined, "That is well; we shall fight in the shade." See Hdt. vii. 226.
1085. é $\sigma \pi \varepsilon^{\rho} p a \nu$.] "That evening was introduced into the scenery of the Athenian recollections of Marathon, just as the Aurora and Hesperus sculptured on the column of Trajan in his Forum at Rome, enter into the representations of his victories, being the symbols of times of day in which those victories were achieved. The hour of the day combined with the local betrings of the plain of

Fought the memorable battle, primed with fiery hardiment;
Man to man we stood, and, grimly, gnawed for rage our under lips. Hah ! their arrows hail so densely, all the sun is in eclipse ! Yet we drove their ranks before us, e'er the fall of eventide : As we closed, an owl flew o'er us, and the Gods were on our side!

Marathon may have conduced much to the success of the Athenians. The sun would thenhave streamed infull dazzling radiance, so remarkable in the sunsets of Greece, on the faces of their adversaries, and against it the conical tiara of the Persians would have offered little pro-tection."-Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, chap. vi. $\pi \mu o ̀ s ~ є ́ \sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} p a \nu$, towards evening.
1086. $\gamma \lambda a \hat{v} \xi$.] To an ancient Athenian the apparition of a $\gamma \lambda a \hat{v} \xi$, the little steely-eyed owl of Pallas, was the best of all possible auguries. And even a modern Athenian expects good luck, if one of these birds chance to settle on his house, Dodwell's Tour, ii. 44. The Scholiast says that this harbinger of victory did actually appear to the army
 $\delta \iota a \pi \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$, т $̀ \nu \nu i к \eta \nu$ тоîs 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o \iota s$ є̇ $\pi$ a $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda$ 入ovad. . And Plutarch records a similar tradition with respect to the naval engagements in the straits of




 -Themist.cap.12. Theeffect which such an omen, occurring on the eve of conflict, might be expected to produce on the Hellenic mind is strikingly illustrated by the device which Agathocles employed
before fighting his first battle on the soil of Africa. Observing that his soldiers were despondent and downhearted, he let loose'a number of $\gamma \lambda a \hat{\kappa} \kappa \in S$ to fly amidst the camp : the troops believed that they beheld a visible symbol of the divine presence : they awaited the onset of the enemy with cheerful alacrity: and to this stratagem the historian attributes in great measure the successful result which ensued. 'Opôv $\delta$ è tov̀s








 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a ̂ s . ~ Т а и ิ \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}, ~ к а i \pi \epsilon \rho ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau \iota \sigma \iota ~$





 Diod. Sic. xx. 11. But on no minds would A thene's symbol exercise so powerful an influence as on those of Athene's people, especially in this hour of peril. The national tradition ascribed to the national goddess no inconsiderable share in the glories of the day: und her figure,
$\kappa а і ̈ ~ к а т є \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi а ́ \mu \eta \nu ~$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ov̉ } \gamma \text { à } \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \text { ö ö } \pi \omega s
\end{aligned}
$$

> 1095
> $\sigma \nu \kappa o \phi a \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu a ̀$
together with that of Heracles, the local Marathonian hero, occupied a conspicuous position in the battle frescoes of the Pocile (Paus. i. 15).
1087. Av入áкovs.] This word, which properly meant sacks or bags, was used contemptuously to designate the loose wide trousers (slops) then, as now, worn by Orientals. Their real name was
 $\beta a ́ \rho \beta a \rho o \iota]$ és тàs $\mu$ áxas.-H H d.v. 49 ; vii. 61, etc. They may still be seen pictured on the frieze representing the battle of Marathon, which formerly belonged to the Temple of Victory, and is now in the British Museum. See Leake's Athens, ii. 226, note. The Athenians can deride the $\epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ M $\eta \delta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ now, but the mere sight of it used, before the battle of Marathon, to strike terror into the hearts of their forefathers. See the note on 1081 supra. The Scholiast explains $\theta u \nu \nu a ́\} o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ to mean кєעtoûעtєs iss toùs $\theta u ̛ \nu \nu o u s ~ t o i ̂ s ~ \tau p t o ́ \delta o v \sigma t, ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а ф о р \iota к \hat{\omega} s$,
tridente in eos jacto, Pliny, ix. 20. Bergler refers to the corresponding simile in Жsch. Persæ, 424. With the following line compare Clouds, 946 .
1090. ả $\nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$.$] Aristophanes is$ fond of applying this epithet to objects other than äl $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$. It is used of a cock in Birds, 1349; of Lysistrata, in Lys. 1108; of the women generally in Thesm. 656 , and so on. And see supra 1077.
1091. тávта $\mu \eta \grave{j}$ סєסoнкévau.] This is certainly a somewhat quaint mode of saying that the speaker was afraid of nothing : and Hirschig's $\pi a ́ v \tau a s$ ë $_{\mu \epsilon}$ $\delta \in \delta o c k \in ́ v a \iota$ would express an equivalent idea, in very much simpler language: and cf. Knights, 1112. But the reading in the text is supported by the uniform authority of all the MSS.; and the mere singularity of an expression affords no sufficient ground for suspecting its genuineness ; especially in lyrical passages such as these, where Aristophanes is, as often as not, adopting some popular

Stung in jaw, and cheek, and eyebrow, fearfully they took to flight, We behind them, we harpooning at their slops with all our might; So that in barbarian countries, even now the people call Attic wasps the best, and bravest, yea, the manliest tribe of all! Mine was then a life of glory, never craven fear came o'er me, Every foeman quailed before me
As across the merry waters, fast the eager galleys bore me.
'Twas not then our manhood's test,
Who can make a fine oration?
Who is shrewd in litigation?
It was, who can row the best?
phrase for the very sake of its quaintness.
1093. $\pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ धُкєíve.] Sailing thither, that is, to the country $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ '่vavтi $\omega \nu$, not (as in the battle just described) awaiting their onset here. They are now reverting to the second stage of the Persian war, to those scenes of adventure in which they are, throughout the Play, represented as having borne a part: when the tide of Oriental invasion had been finally rolled back, and Hellenic triremes, under the leadership first of the Spartan king, and then of Aristides, Cimon, and other illustrious Athenians, were in their turn crossing the 典gean, and attacking the Persians at home. The result of their expedition is accurately summed up in the following lines, viz. (1) we captured many cities of the Medes; and (2) we obtained the фópos for Athens. It was in fact for the purpose of this counter-invasion that the Athenian confederacy was first organized, and the фópos assessed by Aristides: see the note on 657 supra. And with its
aid the towns which Persian garrisons yet held on the coasts of Thrace and the westerly shores of Asia Minor were successively captured, and the neighbourhood of the Ægean freed from the presence of the foe.
 avvántєтat, says the Scholiast, rightly. The construction is ov fà $\rho$ ф $\rho o \nu r i s{ }^{j} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$. The two infinitives $\lambda \in \xi \in \epsilon \nu$ and $\sigma v \kappa o-$ фav $\boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota \nu$ both depend on $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu$.
1096. бvкофаעт $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \nu$.] This was the natural corollary of an ability $\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, says Plato, Rep. ix. 575 в.


 oi $\nu \in \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota$.-Andocides contra Alcikiadem, 32. 2.
 in this statement another point of resemblance (in addition to those noticed by Mr. Disraeli in Lothair) between the education of an ancient Athenian, and that of a modern English gentleman.

# $\lambda a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ M \hat{\eta} \delta \omega \nu$ € $\lambda o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, 

 аітью́татоь фе́ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$тò̀ фó $\rho o \nu \delta \in \hat{v} \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \mu e ̀ \nu, ~ o ̂ ̀ \nu \kappa \lambda e ́-$
$\pi \tau о \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$.
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1098. M ${ }^{\prime} \delta \omega \nu$.] Such were Byzantium (supra 236) and the cities of Cyprus

 M $\grave{\prime} \delta \omega \nu$ éxóvtcu, Thuc. i. 94). Such was

 aтрaтทyoûvтos, Thuc. i. 98), memorable for the self-devotion of the Persian governor. Cimon, says Plutarch (Cimon, cap. 12), left the Persians no time to breathe and recover themselves, but following close after them as they drew



 $\mu \omega \bar{\sigma} a t$. After his death, continues his biographer (cap. 19), the Hellenes did no great deed against the barbarians, but, impelled by demagogues and disturbers of the peace, wasted their strength in intestine conflicts. It is perhaps hardly necessary to observe that the terms "Mede" and "Persian" were employed indifferently by Hellenic writers to designate their Eastern antngonist.
1099. ф'́ $\rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi o ́ \rho o v.] ~ T h a t ~ t h e ~ t r i-~$ bute comes in. The contrast between the former generation who garnered in for Athens her imperial revenue, and the present generation who waste and misapply it, is again enforced in Lysist. 651-655, to which Mitchell refers. Here the speaker is alluding to the disclosures which Bdelycleon had made, supra 657-666, etc.
1100. кле́лтоvбเข.] See supra 554. The dishonesty of Athenian officials had become a byword : charges of peculation are everywhere brought against them in Athenian literature. I will merely cite the playful badinage which passed between Xenophon and Cheirisophus, whilst the Ten Thousand were retreating through the snows of Armenia. The generals are in consultation about stealing a march, and occupying by stealth the side of a mountain pass, and Xenophon is explaining how he thinks it can best be done, when he suddenly checks himself and says," But why do I give an opinion about stealing, à à $\rho$.


Therefore did we batter down many a hostile Median town.
And 'twas we who for the nation
Gathered in the tribute pay,
Which the younger generation
Merely steal away.
You will find us very wasplike, if you scan us through and through, In our general mode of living, and in all our habits too.
First, if any rash assailant dare provoke us, can there be
Any creature more vindictive, more irascible than we?
Then we manage all our business in a waspish sort of way, Swarming in the Courts of Justice, gathering in from day to day,

Spartans, I understand, are trained to steal from your youth up: now then show your training, and steal a march without being caught and beaten." "Ay, ay," replies Cheirisophns, " but I too have heard say that you Athenians are wondrous handy at stealing public property, $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o u ̀ s ~ к \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ тà $\delta \eta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota a$, and the best of you steal the most, if so be that your leaders are the best of you: so you had better show your training, I think."-Anab. iv. 6.
1102. The Epirrhema taught us that the stinging wasp was no unfit emblem of the Chorus in their fiery and aggres-
sive youth, when they tuined to Hight the armies of Persia. The Antepirrhema is designed to show that old and feeble as they have now become, there is yet much in their dicastic life and habits to remind the observer of that irritable and gregarious insect.
 applied to the dicasts supra 406, 455, and they are styled $\sigma \phi \bar{\eta} \kappa \in s$ ỏgvкápóoo supra 430. And compare $\theta v \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ỏ $\xi^{i} \nu \eta \nu$ supra 1082, and the note there. In his famous panegyric on the Areopagus (Eum. 674), Weschylus describes that tribunal as being

```
кє\rho\delta\hat{\omega\nu}\mp@code{\alpha}0\iota\kappaто\nu
```



```
<'\gamma\rho\eta\gammaopòs ф\rhoоú\rho\eta\mu\alpha.
```

They who with Stanley, Blomfield, and others translate the words eil $\tau \in \delta \eta \mu \mu^{\prime} \theta \rho o u s$ àvapxia ßои入і̀̀ катаррí廿єєєу (Жsch. Ag. 852), si consilium projectum inirent (instead of si senatum dejicerent), on the ground that there would have been no senate at Argos in Agamemnon's time,
must surely have forgotten that, in writing the Trilogy, the mind of Aschylus was possessed with an active political purpose, viz. the support of the $\beta$ ovin of Areopagus against what was in his
 see the note on 1356 infra.







1108. äp $\chi \omega v$.] Groups of dicasts might be seen in the early morning, wending their way along the streets of Athens in the direction of their several places of business. Four such places are specified here: (1) There the Archon is, supra 304. Unless this refers exclusively to the Archon Eponymus (which is hardly probable), it would seem to comprehend all the ten ordinary dicasteries; each of which had for its president one of the Nine Archons, or their official secretary. The remaining localities appear to beIong to special tribunals, summoned for special purposes. (2) To the Eleven. These officers were at the head of the police arrangements at Athens. To them, as to our sheriffs, belonged the custody and execution of condemned criminals. (Plato's Apology, cap. 27. Phædo, cap. 65, ett..) And cases of theft, highway robbery, kiduapping, and the like were under their peculiar juris-



 unless the culprits pleaded guilty, a court of dicasts was summoned to try them in the Parabystus onder the superintendence of the Eleven. in $\tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega}$



 è̀ika ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ov} .-\mathrm{PolIux}$, viii. segm. 121. And. so Harpocration and Suidas s. v. ПapáBuatoy.. (3) In the Odeum. Here was held the fitov $\delta i \mathrm{~km}$, a suit by a wife against a husband for permanent ali-

 трофаi.-Pollux, viii. segm.33. In Demosthenes adv. Neæram, p. 1362, the law on the subject is stated. Phrastor had put away Strybele (Neæra's daughter), and thereupon Stephanus, as her guardian or next friend (kúpos), brings against him an action of this description.


 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о i ̂ k a$ (to restore her marriage por-
 (to pay interest at 18 per cent.) kaì oítou
 тё. кирі̣ к.т.入. There seems no reason to suppose that this was one of the ten ordinary dicasteries. See Fritzsche, De Sortitione Judicum, p. 85. (4) By the Walls: that is, either the Long Walls or the walls of the city. It is not known.

Many where the Eleven invite us, many where the Archon calls, Many to the great Odeum, many to the city walls. There we lay our heads together, densely packed, and stooping low, Like the grubs within their cells, with movement tremulous and slow And for ways and means in general we're superlatively good, Stinging every man about us, culling thence a livelihood.
Yet we've stingless drones amongst us, idle knaves who sit them still,
to what particular tribunal or tribunals these words refer. Hauptmann de Andocide, cap. viii. (apud Reiske's Oratores Græci, vol. viii. p. 601), applies them to a Theseum near the Long Walls; but we have in fact no materials for deciding the point. And it is possible that Aristophanes may be referring not to any individual court, but generally, to courts at the extremity of the city; for the purpose of showing, as the Scholiast remarks, that dicasts and dicasteries pervaded Athens everywhere.
 words are to be construed together : tò
 Scholiast: and he explains the line to
 סıà тì $\gamma$ ñpas.
1111. $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon s$.] The action of these septuagenarian dicasts, as they confer together to consider their verdict, is not inaptly compared to the feeble and tardy motion of the grubs within their cells. $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \xi$ is the proper word for the grub of the wasp, and кúrtapos is the little hexagonal cell (of which there are many thousands in a single wasps' nest) wherein the grub is reared. of $\epsilon \in \tau$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau \tau \omega ิ \nu$ каì $\grave{\text { à }} \theta \rho \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\sigma \phi \eta \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}$, says Aristotle, Hist. Animal. v. 17, ốtav $\mu$ ѐ̀






 тароя.
1114. $\kappa \eta \phi \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon$ s.] The Chorus have already explained that the kévroon is the symbol of veteran warriors who had served their country in the days gone by. And they now suggest that the dicastic pay and privileges ought to be confined to deserving citizens such as these, and ought not to be extended to idle drones who wear no sting, that is to say, who have never toiled (ou тa入atmapoú $\mu \varepsilon \nu u$, supra 967) or fought in the service of Athens. Such seems to me the meaning of the passage, and I cannot accede to the view propounded by the Scholiast, and adopted by every commentator, that under the figure of drones the Chorus are describing the demagogues. In my judgment they are speaking of persons who receive the pay in the character of dicasts: see infra 1121. The comparison of idlers living on the industry of others with the drones of the hive is common in every literature. The Scholiast cites


```1115
```













Hesiod, Op. 302, and Mitchell refers to the elaborate allegory of Plato in the eighth Book of the Republic. See also Ruhnken's Timæus sub voc. The par-

the lazy indolence of the dróne. Immunis sedens aliena ad pabula fucus, Virgil, Georg. iv. 244. In Milton's tragedy, Samson complains that he is fit for nothing

But to sit idle on the household hearth, A burdenous drone.
 wasp, or drone, has no sting. The кпф \(\eta_{\nu}\), says Aristotle, is äk \(к \nu \tau \rho o s ~ k a i ̀ ~ \nu \omega \theta \rho o ́ s\)


 \(\mu \in \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu\) ढ̈ \(\rho \gamma a\) 白 \(\sigma\) Oíovat.--Scholiast.

 235.
1122. oủ tor.] The serious business of the Play is now concluded: and what remains is mere mirth-making without any ulterior purpose.-Th. father and
son re-enter, the son endeavouring to persuade his father to discard the mean unfashionable \(\tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu\), the garb of austerity or poverty, and to assume in exchange a flowing and luxurious robe of Persian texture. Hitherto Philocleon has resisted all entreaties \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) форєiv \(\tau \rho \nless \beta \dot{\omega} \nu 10 \nu\) (supra 116); and as he returns to the stage he is still stoutly resisting the proposal.

 liast. The better to describe the rude assaults of Boreas from which his \(\tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu\) had protected him, Philocleon draws

Shrink from work, and toil, and labour, stop at home, and eat their fill, Eat the golden tribute-honey our industrious care has wrought.
This is what extremely grieves us, that a man who never fought
Should contrive our fees to pilfer, one who for his native land Never to this day had oar, or lance, or blister in his hand.
Therefore let us for the future pass a little short decree,
Whoso wears no sting shall never carry off the obols three.
Phil. No! No! I'll never put this off alive.
With this I was arrayed, and found my safety,
In the invasion of the great north wind.
Bdel. You seem unwilling to accept a good.
Phil. 'Tis not expedient: no by Zeus it is not.
'Twas but the other day I gorged on sprats
And had to pay three obols to the fuller.
upon his military reminiscences, and uses language appropriate to the assault of an invading host, such as \({ }^{\prime \prime} \theta^{7}\) o baot\(\lambda \epsilon \dot{̀} s\) o \(\mu\) ézas è é \(\pi \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma a t o\). Not that there is here any allusion, as some have imagined, to the great north wind which nearly sixty years before shattered the ships of Persia on the coasts of Thessaly (Hdt. vii. 188). As in line 11 supra, it is merely the phraseology, and not the iacident, which is borrowed from the Persian wars.
1126. \(\xi \dot{\prime} \mu \phi\) ороv.] There seems to be an allusion to the philosophic distinction
 the utile and the bonum.
1128. \(\gamma \nu a \phi \in \hat{\imath}\).\(] où \lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \in \hat{c} \hat{\jmath} \mu \iota, \phi \eta \sigma \hat{i}\),





Scholiast. Sumptuous apparel would ill accord with his easy lifeand homely ways. Already, after a debauch on énav \(\begin{gathered}\text { pació̀ss, } \\ \text {, }\end{gathered}\) he has had to expend a triobol, a whole day's pay, in getting his soiled \(\tau \rho i i_{\omega} \omega\) cleansed, and how much worse it would be with a costlier garment. Athenæus (vii. 137), after observing that these little fish were dressed with \({ }^{\alpha} \lambda \mu \eta\), and citing some lines of Aristophanes on the sub-ject--the passage is quoted in the note on 329 supra-proceeds as follows : ©́s kaì
 \(\pi \rho o ́ t \epsilon \rho о \nu \delta i s\) à \(\nu \theta \rho a \kappa i \delta \partial \nu \nu a ̈ \lambda \mu \eta \nu \pi t \dot{\omega} \nu\). The insertion of \(\delta i s\), and the substitution of ä \(\lambda \mu \eta \nu \pi \iota \omega \nu\) for \(\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s\), would certainly give a smoother sense: but the reading is not supported by any Aristophanic MS. Possibly a line may have dropped out of the text between 1187 and 1128.



\(\tau \eta \nu \delta i \quad \delta \grave{~} \chi \lambda a i ̂ \nu a \nu\) ả \(\nu a \beta a \lambda o \hat{v} \tau \rho \iota \beta \omega \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \varsigma\).
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1140

є่онкє́vaı \(\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ M o \rho u ́ \chi o v ~ \sigma a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota . ~\)


1130. тapaঠ́éiokas.] Placed yourself in my hands, resigned yourself to my will. Thesm. 213, 217. ä \(\pi \alpha \xi\), " once for all," by a complete unconditional surrender. "To be insulted by the patron who feeds you," says a parasite in Alciphron (iii. 74), "though bad enough, must be endured, when once you have made up your mind to surrender your body to the scorners, for the sale of


 also by his guests, and his men-servants and his maid-servants, this is indeed intolerable."
1137. кavvákクv.] The кavvákns was a soft warm Persian robe, which, though new to Philocleon, was probably not unrommon in luxuxions establishments.

It was a sort of thick woollen wrapper used indiscriminately for a dress (Pollux, vii. segm. 58-60), a coverlet to be spread over a banqueting couch (Id. vi. segm. 11), or a bed-covering (Id. x. segm. 123,
 \(\sigma\) vovpat). One side of it was rough and shaggy with locks of wool. Hence Hesychius defines kavyákal as being
 the grammarian Palamedes (cited by the Scholiast) says, кavyákns є̇ \(\sigma \tau i ~ П є \rho \sigma \varkappa к \grave{\nu}\)
 Arrian (Anab. vi. p. 436, ed. Blanchard) describing the sepulchre of Cyrus at Pasargadæ says that the coffin lay upon
 of \(\dot{\imath} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{a} a\). Menander too (apud Pollux, vi. segm, 11) speaks of kavzákas \(\pi\) op \(\phi\) poous, but of course it does not

Bdel. Try it at all events : since once for all Into my hands you have placed yourself for good.
Phil. What would you have me do? Bdel. Put off that cloak And wear this mantle in a cloak-like way.
Phir. Should we beget and bring up children then, When here my son is bent on smothering me?
Bdel. Come, take and put it on, and don't keep chattoring.
Phil. Good heavens ! and what's this misery of a thing?
Bdel. Some call it Persian, others Caunacès.
Phil. There! and I thought it a Thymætian rug.
Bdel. No wonder: for you've never been to Sardis,
Else you'd have known it : now you don't. Pril. Who? I?
No more I do by Zeus: it seemed to me
Most like an overwrap of Morychus.
Bdel. Nay, in Ecbatana they weave this stuff.
Phil. What! have they wool-guts in Ecbatana?
necessarily follow that they were always of that colour. See the note on 1172 infra.
1138. Ov \(\mu u \tau i \delta \delta_{a}\).] Thymætadæ was the name of an Attic deme situate on the sea coast, a short distance from Piræus. Nothing is known of any special manufacture of \(\sigma \iota \sigma v \rho a \iota\) there.
1139. Eá \(\delta\) бєts.] For Sardis, the ancient capital of Croesus, had long been the head-quarters of an important Persian satrapy; and no doubt the fashionable dresses of Persia would be everywhere seen within its walls.

 \(\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu о s\).-Scholiast. As to this wellknown voluptuary, see supra 506, Peace, 1008, and the notes there. I am quite unable to find (with Bergk in Meineke's

Fragm. Com. Græc. ii. 970) a "manifest allusion" in this passage to a (real or supposed) embassy of Morychus to Ecbatana. It is the luxurious warmth of the robe, and not its Persian origin, which reminds the speaker of Morychus, the most luxurious man in Athens.
 large intestines of cattle; тà \(\pi \alpha \chi^{\epsilon} \alpha\) év \(\overline{\nu \epsilon \rho а ~}\)
 Hesychius. The rough shaggy excrescences, or tufts of wool, which jut out on one side of the кavváкクs (see the note on 1137) are to Philocleon's eyes just like these \(\chi\) ó \(\lambda_{\imath \kappa \epsilon s} \beta\) ow \(\nu\), and he inquires whether in that old Median capital they really use intestines of wool. Tàs '́ \(\xi\) oxàs \(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho o ́ k \omega \nu є i \kappa u ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota ~ \chi o ́ \lambda \iota \kappa \iota\), as the Scholiast observes.










ФI. тарáӨov \(\gamma \in \mu \in ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \kappa \rho \epsilon a ́ \gamma \rho a \nu . ~ B \Delta . ~ \tau \iota \grave{\eta} \tau i ́ ~ \delta \eta ́ ; ~ ;\)
1155



ФI. \(\epsilon \in \gamma \grave{\omega} \gamma \grave{a} \rho \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \tau \lambda a i \eta \nu \dot{v} \pi \pi o \delta \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a i \quad \pi 0 \tau \epsilon\) \(\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \pi a \rho ’\) à \(\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa a \tau \tau u ́ \mu a \tau a ;\) 1160



1148. \(\left.{ }^{\text {ép }} \stackrel{1}{ } \dot{\lambda} \eta \nu.\right]\) If it consumes all that wool, observes Philocleon, it ought to be called not кavyáкचs, but \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \iota \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta\), wooldestroyer, as if from \({ }^{\prime \prime} \rho เ o \nu\) and \({ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda v \mu\). \(\bar{\epsilon}^{\prime} \uparrow \omega^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta\) of course really means a kurricane (Knights, 511), and has no etymological connexion with époov.
1154. \(\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}\) ov̉v \({ }^{\prime} \theta\) tu.] There, you be off. These words I take to be addressed to the \(\tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu\) as the speaker contemptuously flings it aside.
1155. к \(\rho \epsilon\) 'á \(\gamma \rho a \nu\).] The крєá \(\alpha \rho a\) was a flesh-hook which they strucl into the pan, or kettle, or caldron, or pot, ( 1 Sam. ii. 14.) to bring up the meat. The Scholiast on Knights, 772, describes it
as shaped like a hand with the fingers slightly curved, and says it was called
 à \(\gamma \rho \in \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu\) кaì àvartậv. In 1 Sam. ii. 14 the крєdं \(\gamma \rho a\) seems to have been a threepronged fork. Philocleon expecting to be dissolved in the great heat of his каvуákns, hopes that there will be a крє́áypa in readiness, to fish him out, like a piece of meat from a boiling caldron, before he is quite gone.

 The кavvákns is now fairly on, and the next question arises as to Philocleon's shoes.

Bdel. Tut, man: they weavo it in their forcign looms At wondrous cost: this very article Absorbed with ease a talont's weight of wool.
Phll. Why, then, wool-gatherer were its proper namo Instead of Caunacès. Bdel. Come, take it, take it, Stand still and putit on. Phil. O dear, O dear, 0 what a sultry puff the brute breathed o'er me!
Bdel. Quick, wrap it round you. Phil. No, I won't, that's flat. You had better wrap me in a stove at once.
Bdel. Come then, I'll throw it round you. (To the cloalc) You, begone.
Phil. Do keep a flesh-hook near. Bdel. A flesh-hook! why?
Phil. To pull me out before I melt away.
Bdel. Now off at once with those confounded shoes, And on with these Laconians, instantly.
Phil. What I, my boy! I bring myself to wear The hated foe's insufferable-cloutings !
Bdel. Come, sir, insert your foot, and step out firmly In this Laconian. Phil. 'Tis too bad, it is, To make a man set foot on hostile-leather.
 Scholiast, Suidas. They were red shoes of an elegant make, very fashionable at Athens. Agathon is introduced wearing them, in Thesm. 142. And in the Ecclesiazusw the women, disguising themselves as men, appropriate amongst other things their husbands' ^akшuıkàs, Eccl. 345, 508. Critias (ap. Ath. xi. 66) says that they were the best of all shoes. Hesychius sub voc. identifies them with the Amyclaides; and this is probably correct, though Pollux (vii. cap. 22) in enumerating the different \(i \pi \pi-\) ö \(\eta\) ár \(\tau \omega \boldsymbol{v}\) eiô \(\eta\) inserts the Lacouians and



1160. é \(^{2} \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu-\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \bar{\eta}\).] Evil-minded cobblings of the foe. Bergler quotes Eur. Heracl. 1006, éx \(\theta \rho o \hat{v}\) 入éovios \(\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \eta\) \(\beta \lambda a \sigma t \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a\). Aristophanes is doubtless here, and probably also in lines 1163 and 1167 infra, adapting Euripidean phraseology.


 Philocleon speaks of the soleam Laconicam, as if it were the solunn Laconicum.
 \(\pi a ́ \nu v \mu \iota \sigma о \lambda a ́ k \omega \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ ' \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta a \kappa \tau v ́ \lambda \omega \nu . ~\)

1165







ФI. каі \(\mu \eta ̀ \nu \pi \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu о \hat{\mu} \mu a i ́ \gamma \in \sigma a \nu \lambda о \pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau \iota \hat{\alpha} \nu\).
BA. ä \(\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \nu\), є̇ \(\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota\) 入óyous \(\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o v ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu\) ả \(\nu \delta \rho \omega \nu \nu \pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \nu \mu a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega ิ \nu ;\) 1175








 occurs in Clouds, 698, and the first half of it in Peace, 110. On \(\chi^{i} \mu \varepsilon \tau \lambda o \nu\) in the next line the Scholiast says, \(\pi\) á' inóvotav,


1169. © \(\delta\) í.] Bdelycleon gives a specimen of the fashionable swagger. In סıaбa入aќ́lıбov there is, as Bergler pointed out, a play on the word \(\lambda\) ák \(\omega \nu\). Wear your \(\Lambda a \kappa \omega и \kappa \grave{c} s\) so as (not \(\lambda a \kappa \omega \nu i \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu\) but) \(\sigma a \lambda a \kappa \omega \nu i(\xi \epsilon \nu\), to show yourself off
 is rightly explained by the Scholiast


The term \(\sigma a \lambda\) ík \(\omega \nu\) is frequently employed by Aristotle to convey the idea of rulgar ostentation.
1172. סotiñv.] The old man puffing himself out under his Persian robe, which he wears \(\tau \rho \iota \beta \omega \nu \kappa \kappa \bar{\omega}\), is likened by his son to a boil with a garlic plaster on it. Probably there was something in the colour of the robe to give point to the jest.
1177. \(\dot{\eta}\) ^ápla.] See the note supra 1035, and on Peace, 758. The incident in the text may possibly, as Bergler suggests (referring to the Scholiast on Eccl. 77), be derived from the comedy of

Bdel. Now for the other. Peil. O no, pray not that, I've a toe there, a regular Lacon-hater.
Bdel. There is no way but this. Phil. O luckless I, Why I shan't have, to bless my age, one-chilblain.
Bdel. Quick, father, get them on : and then move forward Thus; in an opulent swaggering sort of way.
Phil. Look then! observe my attitudes: think which Of all your opulent friends I walk most like.
Bdel. Most like a pimple bandaged round with garlic.
Phil. Ay, ay, I warrant I've a mind for wriggling.
Bdel. Come, if you get with clever well-read men Could you tell tales, good gentlemanly tales?
Phil. Ay, that I could. Bdel. What sort of tales? Phil. Why, lots, As, first, how Lamia spluttered when they caught her, And, next, Cardopion, how he swinged his mother.
Bdel. Pooh, pooh, no legends: give us something human, Some what we call domestic incident.
Phil. O, ay, I know a rare domestic tale, How once upon a time a cat and mouse-
Bdel. O fool and clown, Theogenes replied

Lamia by Crates : but if so, Orates no doubt himself derived it from the old nursery tale.
 Scholiast. Nothing is known of this anecdote: that it was of a legendary character is plain from Bdelycleon's retort.
1182. ovit \(\pi\) от' \({ }^{\circ} \nu\).] This, as the Scholiast observes, was the recognized mode of commencing a tale, like our "Once upon a time," oiou, 弱 oũt \(\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu\) кaì \(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a u v s .}\) And he refers' to Plato, Phædrus 237 B, where Socrates, constrained by Phædrus to invent a tale, begins, \({ }^{3} \mathrm{H} \nu\)
 кa入ós. "Once upon a time there lived a very beautiful boy, or rather youth." So.in Lysistrata, 784, the men proposing to tell a nursery legend commence, oü \(\tau \omega \mathrm{s}\)
 commenced their nursery tales with Olim. Thus in Horace, Satire ii. 6. 79, the old neighbour, full of his aniles fabellas, sic incipit, "Olim Rusticus urbanum murem mus paupere fertur Accepisse cavo."
1183. Өєоү \(\epsilon\) и \(\eta\) s.] Theogenes or Theagenes, a man of known swinishness (impia, Peace 928), must be supposed to

\title{
 \(\mu \hat{\nu} \varsigma \kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a \lambda a ̂ s ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu\) èv ả \(\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma t \nu ;\) \\ 1185
}
ФI. \(\pi o i o u s ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \chi ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ; \quad B \Delta . \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \hat{\varsigma}\), ஸ́s \(\xi v \nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma\) ' \(A \nu \delta \rho о \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa a i ̀ ~ K \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota . ~\)



' \(E \phi о \cup \delta i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi а ү к р а ́ т \iota o \nu ~ ' А \sigma к \omega ́ \nu \delta a ~ к а \lambda \omega ̂ \varsigma, ~\)






\(\pi i \nu \omega \nu, \sigma \epsilon a v \tau o \hat{~ \pi о i ̂ o \nu ~ a ̂ \nu ~ \lambda e ́ \xi \xi a \iota ~ \delta о к є i ̂ s ~}\)


be reproving the low-bred scavenger for saying or doing before good company things which Theogenes himself was noted for saying or doing under other circumstances, \(O\) vulgar brute, what, before gentlemen! The Scholiast says,


1187. gvvє \(\theta \in \omega \rho \in t s]\).He is to talk of the special missions or \(\theta \in \omega\) pial in which he has borne a part, missions sent out with all possible splendour and magnificence to represent Athens at the great Pan-Hellenic games, and on other solemn occasions. Here the more immediate allusion is to the \(\theta \epsilon \omega\) piat sent from time to time to Olympia. See infra 1882, 1387. These missions were composed
of the wealthiest, noblest, and most respected citizens, who strained every nerve to make an appearance creditable both to themselves and to the state, in the presence of assembled Hellas. See the account of the \(\theta \epsilon \omega\) piac conducted by Nicias to Delos (Plutarch, Nicias 3); and by Alcibiades to Olympia (Thuc. vi. 16). It is therefore merely by way of irony, or mapà \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o k i a v\), that for the other members of the \(\theta \epsilon \omega\) pia Aristophanes suggests the names of Cleisthenes and Androcles: the former one of the poct's most constant butts for his degraded effeminacy : the latter (according to the Scholiast) satirized by the comedians as a slave, a pauper, and a cutpurse. Séon aùrò̀ єimcì є́ \(\pi i ́ \sigma \eta \mu a\) ỏ \(\nu \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a\), says another
Rating the scavenger, what! would you tellTales of a cat and mouse, in company!Phil. What, then? Bdel. Some stylish thing, as how you wentWith Androcles and Cleisthenes, surveying.
Phil. Why, bless the boy, I never went surveying, Save once to Paros, at two obols a day.
Bdel. Still you must tell how splendidly, for instance, Ephudion fought the pancratiastic fight With young Ascondas: how the game old man Though grey, had ample sides, strong hands, firm flanks, An iron chest. Phil. What humbug! could a man Fight the pancratium with an iron chest!
Bdel. This is the way our clever fellows talk.
But try another tack : suppose you sat Drinking with strangers, what's the plackiest feat, Of all your young adrentures, you could tell them?
Phil. My pluckiest feat? O much my pluckiest, much,

Scholiast, tovitovs \(\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu\), ìva aủroùs \(\delta \iota a-\) \(\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta\).
 む̀עбтрaтtढ́тクs.-Scholiast. Twoobolsaday formed the regular pay of an Athenian soldier, two obols for pay, and two for provisions (Boeckh, Public ©Ec. ii. 22). And therefore, even if Philocleon is referring, as M. Boeckh supposes (Id. ii. 12), to an actual \(\theta\) ewpia, and not rather, as the Scholiast intimates, to an idle and barren military promenade, yet I imagine that he means to represent himself, not as one of the \(\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o i\) themselves, but as one of the common soldiers who formed their escort. There is probably an allusion to some event with which we are now unacquainted. With this
short dialogue Bergler compares the similar and very humorous passage in Lucian's Timon, 50.
1191. 'Eфovoi'cu.] We shall hear of this wrestling and boxing match again, infra 1383. aừtika means for instance, as very frequently in Aristophanes.
1195. 'Á'pak'.] Bdelycleon speaks of the breast, but Philocleon understands him of the breastplate, the word \(\theta \omega \dot{\rho} \rho a \xi\) admitting of either signification. The Pancratiast fought unarmed, without even wearing the cestus.

 the following line ofavtô is governed by \(\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \gamma o \nu\), "What doed of yours P"




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(\Phi I\).} &  & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1205} \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

B \(\Delta\). \(\pi a \hat{v} \cdot \vec{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \delta \epsilon \cup \rho \grave{\imath} \kappa a \tau a \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \grave{\varsigma} \pi \rho о \sigma \mu a ́ \nu \theta a \nu \epsilon\)




írpò̀ \(\chi\) v́т \(\lambda a \sigma o \nu\) бєavтòv \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu\) тoîs \(\sigma \tau \rho \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\).


1201. 'E \(\rho \gamma a \sigma i \omega \nu 0 s.] \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \hat{v} . \quad \forall \eta \lambda \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} s\)



 liast. Cf. infra 1291.


 1087-1098.
1205. vєavıкळ́тaтov.] It is contrary to the entire tenor of the Play to suppose that Philocleon's youth had been spent in litigation: but Aristophanes sacrifices consistency in order that he may play on the double meanings of \(\delta \iota \omega\) кєє (to sue or pursue, see Clouds, 1296) and aipeì (to catch or obtain a verdict against). עєauıкөтaтov again, which in Bdelycleon's question had signified highspirited, Philoclcon takes in its literal
sense of youthful: and he accordingly recounts his earliest success at law in a prosecution of Phayllus, the well-known.
 for abusive language.
1206. Фáüえ入ov.] Phayllus was a famous runner and leaper. In the Acharnians (210) the old charcoal-burner laments the loss of the activity which he displayed in youth, "Running with Phayllus with a hamper at my back" (Frere). This Playllus was an Olympian victor (Scholiast at Ach. ubi supra), and must not be confounded with the illus. trious Crotoniate, who alone of the Italian colonists came to the aid of Hellas in her hour of danger from the Persians. The Crotoniate Phayllus was indeed \(\tau \rho i s\) חvөloviкךs (Hdt. viii. 47), but
 Phoc. ix. 1). Sce Valcknaer at Hdt.l.c.

Was when I stole away Ergasion's vine-poles.
Bdel. Tcha! poles indeed! Tell how you slew the boar,
Or coursed the hare, or ran the torch-race, tell
Your gayest, youthfullest whict. My youthfullest action?
'Twas that I had, when quite a hobbledehoy,
With fleet Phayllus: and I caught him too:
Won by two-votes. 'Twas for abuse, that action.
Bdel. No more of that: but lie down there, and learn To be convivial and companionable.
Phil. Yes; how lie down? Bdel. In an elegant graceful way. Phil. Like this, do you mean? Bdel. No not in the least like that. Phil. How then? Bdel. Extend your knees, and let yourself

With practised ease subside along the cushions;
Then praise some piece of plate : inspect the ceiling;
Admire the woven hangings of the hall.


 of Diphilus, to which Bergler refers, a parasite observes that when he goes out to dinner, he does not spend his time in
gazing at the rooms or the ornaments, but keeps his eye fixed upon the kitchen chimney, to guess from the smoke which issues thence whether he is about to enjoy a good and substantial dinner.
(Athenæus, vi. cap. 29). But these are the manners of a parasite: Philocleon is learning the manners of a fashionable guest. The present passage is cited by Athenæus, v. cap. 6 (to which Florent





 к.т.入. (citing lines 1214, 5). And he shows that the behaviour of Telemachus and Peisistratus at the court of Menelans (Odyssey, iv. 43) was the behaviour of finished gentlemen, in entire conformity with the rules here laid down.
1215. крєка́óta.] This word does not occur elsewhere; and as it is not explained by the grammarians, we are left B b
 \(\delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu^{*} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi о \nu \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon \theta^{*} \cdot \eta ้ \delta \eta \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \nu \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu\).


\(\epsilon i \sigma i \nu \Theta \in ́ \omega \rho o s, A l{ }^{l} \sigma \chi i \nu \eta \varsigma, \Phi a \nu o ̀ s, K \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu\),
1220



to guess at its meaning. Three derivations have been suggested: (1) крє́ध.
 бוov \(\gamma \in \rho a ́ v \varphi\), and Dindorf supposes крєкর́\(\delta\) oa to mean " ornamenti genus quodam avi illi simile." (2) кр'́кєє in the sense of striking out a sharp sound. Bergler translates it crepitacula, Voss tintinnabula, others instrumenta musica, and the like. (3) \(\kappa \rho \epsilon \kappa \kappa \epsilon \nu\) in the sense of weaving. Cf. крóкทs \(\chi\) ô \(\lambda \iota \xi\) supra 1144. Brunck understands it "de aulceis sen velis, quibus aula tam ornatus gratiâ, quam arcendo vento, pulveri, aut si quid aliud nocere poterat, obtendebatur." And this is the simplest, most probable, and most generally accepted interpretation.
1216. î§ \(\delta \omega \rho\) катà \(\chi є \iota \rho o ́ s] ~ B d e l y c l e o n\). is going in pantomime through the ceremonies of a dinner party. A very similar, but mach lengthier, description is quoted by Athenæous (xv. 1) from the Laconians of Plato Comicus. ĩ \(\omega \rho\) катà रefoòs was the ordinary Attic exprossion for the wash before meals, as àmoví \({ }^{\text {a }}\) a \(\theta a\) for the wash after meals. A ristophines the grammarian, says Atheneus ix. 76, is very severe upon those who are ignorant of this distinotion, mapà yàp roís

 víqaotal. Sc too the Scholiast here.
1218. èvútuvov.] Philocleon fears that the banquet on which he has been reckoning is to be a mere make-believe feast, like that of the Barmecides in the Arabian Nights. évứtutov, in a dream; cf. Plato, Theætetus, cap. 43, oैvá 光 \(\pi\) доvт \({ }^{\prime}\) \(\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu\). The tables are now removed, the \(\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu \nu_{0}\) is over, and the \(\sigma \nu \mu \pi \delta \delta \sigma o \nu\) begins. Cf. supra 1005. At these drinking parties, aù入 \(\eta \tau \rho i \hat{i} \in s\) were invariably present; and they are constantly so depicted in ancient vase paintings. See Becker's Charicles, Excursus on Symposia. We shall have an example of this custom farther on : infra 1368.
1219. of ov \(\boldsymbol{\text { ótátal.] There are four }}\) couches; Cleon and Theorus on one; the unnamed guest and Acestor on a second: whilst Æschines, Phanus, and the two speakers occupy the others. Of Cleon and his faithful Theorus, and of Fscchines 'the son of Sellus,' we have already heard in the earlier sceues of the Play. Phanus is mentioned, as Bergler observes, in Knights, 1256 ; but here he i.s " mere lay figure to whom no further

\author{
Ho! water for our hands! bring in the tables ! Dinner! the after-wash! now the libation. \\ Perl. Good heavens! then is it in a dream we are feasting? \\ Bdel. The flute girl has performed! our fellow-guests \\ Are Phanus, Eschines, Theorus, Cleon, \\ Another stranger at Acestor's head. \\ Could you with these cap verses properly? \\ Phil. Could I? Ay, truly; no Diacrian better.
}
allusion is made. The words \(\xi^{\prime}\) vos ris \(\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o s\) are perhaps intended to convey an imputation upon Acestor as being himself of foreign extraction. Bergler refers

 where the Scholiast says, ovitós E' \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu\) 'Aкє́бтюр, є̇ка入єíто ס̀є каі̀ इáкаs, סıà тò

1222. oxóлıa.] We now come to a little scene which possesses considerable interest as illustrating the principle on which scolia were sung at an Athenian symposium; a subject on which much light has been thrown by Colonel Mure, Greek Literature, Book iii. 2. 13. The singer who led off took in his hand a lyre, a sprig of myrtle or of laurel, or other badge of minstrelsy, sang his scolium, and then passed on the badge to any guest he might choose. The guest so selected had to cap the first scolinm, that is to say, he had to sing a second scolium which he could link on to the first by some catchword, similarity of thought, aptness of repartee, or the like. Then he handed on the badge to a third, who in like manner was bound to produce a scolium which would fit on to the
second: and so on, so that ultimately the whole series of scolia was strung together on some principle of continuity. Hence \(\sigma\) кó \(\lambda_{\iota o \nu} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota\) means to cap a scolium, to carry it on by fitting it with an appropriate sequel. The nature of the entertainment is well illustrated by Colonel Mure from the various scolia preserved by Athenæus, xv. 50. In such a scene as the present, the connecting links would for obvious reasons be less complete: but even here they are not altogether imperceptible. In the first pair of scolia the exact adaptation to Cleon of the repartee oủ ovit \(\gamma^{\varepsilon} \pi a \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \rho\) yos \(\omega s\) \(\sigma \dot{v}\) к \(\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \eta s\) dispenses with the necessity of any further link; but in the second couple \(\phi\) inov takes up the catchword \(\phi i \lambda \epsilon \iota\), and in the third ка̉ \({ }^{\circ} \omega\) follows upon кả \(\mu \boldsymbol{i}\).
1223. \(\Delta \iota a \kappa \rho i \omega \nu\).] If this reading is correct, the meaning must be that Philocleon was a noted scolium-singer among the Diacrians or Highlanders, the poorer of the three parties into which Attica was divided in the days of Solon and Peisistratins: the others being the Pedieis or wealthy Lowlanders, and the Parali or Coastmen. The Scholiast,






both here and on Lysist. 58, says that Pandion, in distributing Attica amongst his three sons, gave \(\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta t a k \rho i a \nu\) to Lycus, the hero of the law-courts; see supra 389, 819. And Fritzsche (De Sortitione Judicum, p. 35) considers that it is for this reason that Philocleon ranges himself amongst the Diacrians.
 Aristophanes frequently alludes to this
famous scolium, "the sword in myrtles drest;" see Acharnians, 980,1093; Lysist. 632, and the fragment of the Pelargi cited by the Scholiast on 1239 infra ( \(\boldsymbol{\delta}\)

 stanzas belonging to it are given by Athenæus in his great collection of scolia (xv. 50):


    ठ̈ \(\tau \in \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \tau\) úp \(\alpha \nu \nu 0 \nu \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \in ́ \tau \eta \nu\),




Tu

\(\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho\) 'Ap \(\mu \delta \delta \iota o s \kappa^{\prime}{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{A} \rho เ \sigma \tau о \gamma \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \nu\)







See Ilgen's Scolia, p. 47; Kidd’s Dawes, p. 664; Fritzsche de Pelargis (Quæst. Aristoph.), p. 50; Colonel Mure, ubi supra. It is observable that the line sung by
 which is undoubtedly a genuine quota-
tion from the scolinim, is not found in the stanzas preserved by Athenæus; but it is plain that the scolium was not one consecutive poem, but a loose collection of stanzas, any one of which might be taken or omitted at pleasure; like

Bdel. I'll put you to the proof. Suppose I'm Cleon. I'll start the catch Harmodius. You're to cap it. (Singing) "Truly Athens never know"
Phil. (Singing) "Such a rascally thief as you."
Bdel. Will you do that? You'll perish in your noise. He'll swear he'll fell you, quell you, and expel you

Vivien's rhyme in the Idylls of the King, which " lived dispersedly in many hands, And every minstrel sang it differently." Even the four stanzas given by Athenæus are clearly not intended to be sung consecutively; they are in reality separate scolia on the same subject, and are so treated by Ilgen, Kidd, and Mure, ubi supra. The metrical system
\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
-\underline{v}-v u- & v-v-\underline{v} \\
-\underline{v}-v v- & v-v-\underline{u} \\
v u-v- & -v u \underline{u} \\
-v u-v- & -v u-v \underline{u}
\end{array}
\]
is one in which scolia were very frequently composed. No less than seven of the scolia collected by Athenæus (in addition to the four stanzas of Harmodius) are in this identical metre; and one of them, as Tyrwhitt observed, is imitated by Aristophanes in Ecclesiazusæ, 938-945. It was in order to bring the lines in the text into their real metre that Bentley in the first line
 \(\nu a u s\), and in the second inserted the words ís \(\sigma\) ú. Fritzsche (de Pelargis, p. 51) thinks that in the actual scolium the second line may probably have run oùx
 if we can safely found any inference of the kind on the blunt repartee of Philocleon: and the Scholiast says, ou \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu\)


1226. oùठeis.] Cleon, surrounded by his friends and flatterers, leads off with a scolium which he expects to be turned into a graceful compliment to himself.
1228. \(\beta\) ó \(\mu \in \nu\) vos.] The old dicast, in lifting up his voice to give a specimen of his musical powers, has produced a series of harsh and discordant sounds, which his son describes as " bellowing" rather than singing, and which, however suitable to a meeting of Diacrians, would hardly befit the fashionable company into which Bdelycleon is proposing to introduce him. Such, in my opinion, is the true explanation of \(\beta\) ó \(\omega \mu\) evos. But many commentators, thinking the term more applicable to the \(\mu\) uapà \(\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}\) of Cleon (see the note on 36 supra), have had recourse to divers expedients to make it apply to him. Some put a colon after \(\pi \alpha \rho a \pi o \lambda \epsilon \epsilon\), and connect \(\beta\) oć\(\mu \epsilon \nu o s\) with the succeeding line; whilst Fritzsche and Enger (at Thesm. 995) suppose \(\beta 0 \omega \mu \mu \nu 0\) s to be used in a passive sense, and to mean "you will be roared down." In my judgment neither of these suggestions is admissible. And the vehement invective of Cleon is sufficiently indicated by the reiterated menaces of the next two lines.
 ..... 1230

1235
1240
хри́ната каi ßíà1245
1232. \(\AA \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi^{\prime}\).] The Scholiast says that these lines are borrowed from Alcæus, where, however, the first word appears to have been not \(\AA \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi^{\prime}\), but the more complimentary \(\bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon\). See also the Scholiast on Thesm. 162. The lines are Wolic pentameters, which commence with two syllables of any quantity (à \(\bar{\delta} \dot{a}-\)
 \# \(\pi v \rho \rho i x \neq \nu\), Hephæstion, cap. vii: here a spondee in each case), and proceed with four dactyls, the last syllable of each line being, as usual, àóć \(\phi_{o \rho o v, ~ s o m e t i m e s ~}^{\text {sen }}\) long and sometimes short. The whole of the 29th Idyll of Theocritus (which, indeed, some critics ascribe to Alcæus) is in this metre. As applied to Cleon, the lines, I suppose, must mean that Athens is already reeling from the blow which was dealt her at Delium, and that Cleun's ambition in aspiring to the great and important command of the expedi-
tion despatched to recover Amphipolis (see the note on 62 supra) might, as in fact it did, occasion her a still greater calamity.
1236. \(\pi \rho \grave{s}\) s \(\pi 0 \delta \bar{\omega} \nu\).] Tanquam canis, says Richter: forgetting that Hellenic \({ }^{\circ}\) banqueters did not sit on chairs with their feet on the ground, but reclined on sofas with their feet put up. apòs moঠ̂à merely means sitting next below on the couch, just as mpòs keфа入īs in 1221 supra means sitting next above on the couch. The ródes I take to be the feet of Cleon, not of Philocleon; ad pedes Cleonis, not ad pedes tuos, as the words are generally translated. Theorus is sure to be close to Cleon ( \(\pi \lambda \eta \sigma\) oion aiv \(\eta \hat{\eta}\) s, see the note on 42 supra); and
 \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{\varepsilon} \xi(a \hat{s}\) implies that they were reclining next each other.
1238. 'A \(\delta \mu \dot{\eta}\) rov.] The metre is chor-

Out of this realm．Phil．Ay；truly，will he so？
And if he threaten，I＇ve another strain．
＂Mon，lustin＇for power supreme，ye＇ll mak＇ The city capseeze；she＇s noo on the shalk＇．＂
Bdel．What if Theorus，lying at his feet， Should grasp the hand of Cleon，and begin， ＂From the story of Admetus learn，my friend，to love the good．＂ How will you take that on？Peir．．I，very neatly，
＂It is not good the fox to play，
＂Nor to side with both in a false friend＇s way．＂
Bdel．Next comes that son of Sellus，Aschines， Clever，accomplished fellow，and he＇ll sing ＂\(O\) the money，\(O\) the might，
iambic－－｜－w－｜－w－｜－w－｜\(u-l\) ，and this also is a very common metre for scolia．In Athenaus，xv．50，it is em－ ployed in five other scolia besides the
present．＂The Athenians had a scolion or catch which they used to sing map？ oivou，over a glass of wine，



Aristophanes，Wasps，1238；Athenæus， xv .50 ．The measure of it is neglected
in the vulgar Athenæus，but is like that in Alcæus and Horace，

Nullam，Vare，sacrâ vite prius severis arborem（Curm．i．18）．


Bentley＇s Phalaris，xii．Eustathius on









 \(\pi a \iota \delta o ́ s . ~ P o s s i b l y, ~ h o w e v e r, ~ t h e ~ c o m p a r i-~\)
son is between the conduct of Heracles and that of Pheres．The Scholiast here insists that the scolium belongs neither to Alcæus nor to Sappho，but to Praxilla， and he cites several other comic frag－ ments in which it is mentioned．

 ко́入ака биаßä̀入єє aüто́v．－Scholiast．The
 lines below，as applied to 屚schines，are of course ironical．

\section*{ \\ \(\mu o \grave{~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}} \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}\)}





1246. K \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau a \gamma o ́ \rho a] ~. K \lambda \epsilon \iota т а \gamma o ́ \rho a s ~ \mu e ́ \lambda o s ~\) \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ a u ̈ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ K \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau a \gamma o ́ p a \nu, ~ \eta ̈ \tau \iota s ~\)
 liast. 'The Scholiast on Lysist. 1237 describes her as a \(\pi о \iota \eta\) триa \(\Lambda a \kappa \omega \nu \iota \kappa \eta\). Nothing is known of the incident to which the lines refer. The scolium is again mentioned by Aristophanes in the
 K \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau a \gamma o ́ \rho a s\) äd \(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu\) ס́є́o (1237), and apparently in much the same manner by Cratinus in the Chirones, K \(\lambda \epsilon \tau \tau a \gamma\) ópas äd \(\delta \in \iota\)
 liast on 1238 supra. The metre may be indifferentily described as consisting either of a dactyl and a cretic, \(-\omega|-u-|\), or else of a choriamb and an iamb, -w- | w-|.
1248. \(\delta \iota є \kappa o ́ \mu \pi а \sigma a s.] ~ \delta \iota є \kappa o ́ \mu \pi a \sigma a s\), which is Tyrwhitt's emendation for the unmetrical \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa o ́ \mu \iota \sigma a s\) of the MSS., is in substance adopted by every recent editor. It has the effect of bringing the line
into the commonest of all scoliummetres, that of \(\bar{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) 'A \(\rho \mu o ́ \delta \iota o s k ' ~ ' A \rho \iota \sigma-\) тоүєiтшv. And in truth Aschines is rarely mentioned except to be ridiculed as a ко䒑 \(\pi a \sigma \tau \eta\) 's. See the note on 325 supra. The Scholiast explains the pas-

 And Suidas (s. v. \(\sigma \in \sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda i \sigma a \iota\) ) says, àmò

 \(\pi \rho о \sigma \pi о є \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \lambda\) оитєiv. Meineke's further alteration of \(\delta \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \in \kappa о ́ \mu \pi a \sigma a s\) into \(\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i\) \(\hat{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \kappa о \mu \pi a \sigma a s\) is also very happy and ingenious; but the \(\delta\) tà in \(\delta \iota \epsilon к о ́ \mu \pi a \sigma a s\) seems intended to imply that they boasted "in rivalry" "one against the other," a very usual meaning of sià in compounds (see the note on 1481 infra); and, besides, \(\delta \dot{\eta}\) olєкó \(\mu \pi a \sigma a s\) would have been far more
 the MSS. than \(\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i \in \in ́ \kappa o ́ \mu \pi a \sigma a s\) would have been.

> How Cleitagora and \(I\),
> With the men of Thessaly"-,
> Phil. "How we boasted, you and I."

Bdel. Well, that will do: yon're fairly up to that:
So come along: we'll dine at Philoctemon's.
Boy! Chrysus! pack our dinner up ; and now For a rare drinking-bout at last. Phil. No, no, Drinking ain't good: I know what comes of drinking, Breaking of doors, assault, and battery, And then, a headache and a fine to pay.
Bdel. Not if you drink with gentlemen, you know. They'll go to the injured man, and beg you off, Or you yourself will tell some merry tale,

 liast.



 liast. It seems that at these picnic dinners each guest brought a basket of provisions, not as a contribution to the general stock, but for his own consumption. And Socrates, we are told, perceiving on one such occasion that some guests had brought an insufficient supply, and others overmuch, took the insufficient supply, and divided it amongst the whole party. By this device he shamed the others into dividing their provisions
also, so that all the guests shared alike (Xenophon, Mem. iii. 14).
1254. \(\pi a \tau a ́ \xi a \iota ~ k a i ̀ ~ \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu] ~ D o u b t l e s s\). Philocleon's judicial experience had taught him that offences such as these were the common result of a riotous wine-party; and we shall see by-and-by that his apprehensions in the present case were amply justified by the event: see infra 1422. Half a century before, Epicharmus, in those irregular rollicking verses, in which, as in so many other points, he was closely imitated by Plantus, had described in a similar manner, but with greater minuteness of detail, the evil effects which flow from drinking (Athenæus, ii. 3) :
A. Out of a sacrifice comes a feast;

Out of a feast come wine and drinkiug. B. Drinking! that's a jolly good thing.
A. Out of drinking revelry comes, and out of revelry rioting;

Out of rioting comes a lawsuit, out of a lawsuit condemnation ;
Thence come fines and fetters, and thence come sores, and gangrene, and ulceration.

\author{


 \\ ФI．\(\mu a \theta \eta \tau \in ́ \in \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}\) モ̀ \(\sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu\) ，

 \\  1265 \\ каĭ бкаıòs oú \(\delta є \pi \dot{́ \pi} \pi о \tau є\) ． \\ ả \(\lambda \lambda\)＇＇\(A \mu v \nu i a s\) ó \(\sum\)＇é \(\lambda \lambda o u \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu\) oviк \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu K \rho \omega \beta \hat{v} \lambda o v\),
 \\ ठє८т \(\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha \bar{\rho} \rho \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \epsilon{ }^{\prime} A \nu \tau \iota \phi \omega \hat{\nu}\).
}

1259．Al \(\sigma \omega \pi \iota \kappa o ́ \nu\).\(] Accordingly Philo－\) cleon does，in a later scene，make use of two fables of Asop and two from Sybaris； but instead of employing them，as his son intends，for the purpose of making maiters pleasant，and bringing about the Horatian conclusion，Solventur risu tabulce，tu missus abibis（Sat．ii．1．86）， he selects them with the express design of adding insult to injury．As a rule， the genuine Alsopian fable seems to have concerned itself with the lower animals（the Scholiast here says，\(\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{i}{\imath}\) \(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \in \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma a \nu\) ，which is too narrow a definition）；whilst the Sybaritic fable dealt mostly with the every－day occur－ rences of human life；\(\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega \nu\) ， says the Scholiast．See Müller＇s Lite－ rature of Greece，chap．xi．

1261．à \(\phi \epsilon i s\) ．］\(\delta \pi a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \lambda o \nu o ́ t \iota\) ．－Scho－ liast．Walks off and leaves you free．

1265．то入入ákıs．］The Actors again retire from the stage，and the Chorus come forward with a series of remarks， which，although not in the ordinary form
of a Parabasis，yet apparently partake of the Parabatic style and character；\(\delta\)
 Xopov̂，says the Scholiast，\(\pi\) apaßatıкà ò \(\dot{\epsilon}\) tà \(\mu \in \lambda \dot{v} \delta \rho i a\) ．The opening strophe（so to call it），wherein they muse on the бкaıótクs of Amynias，consists of five catalectic trochaic tetrameters，one tro－ chaic dimeter，and four iambic lines． \(\delta_{\epsilon} \xi\) oos is＂right－handed，＂＂dexterous，＂ clever；＂бкаьòs，＂left－handed，＂＂awlz： ward，＂＂gauche．＂
 Scholiast．Amynias was an effeminate Athenian fop＇（Clouds，692），noted for his long hair，whence his name appears as part of the compound кон \(\quad\) таниvia supra 466，and whence also he is here described （perhaps with an allusion to the real deme K \(\rho \omega \pi i \delta a \iota\) ）as oviк \(\tau \omega \nu\) K \(\rho \omega \beta v \imath^{\lambda} o v\) ，one of the Topknot tribe．к \(\rho \omega \beta\) v́خos was a mode of wearing the hair，which was strained back on either side of the head， so as to form a pointed tuft at the top． See the Scholiast on Thucydides，i． 6.

\author{
A jest from Sybaris, or one of شsop's, \\ Learned at the feast. And so the matter turns \\ Into a joke, and off he goes contented. \\ Phil. O I'll learn plenty of those tales, if so \\ I can get off, whatever wrong I do. \\ Come, go we in : let nothing stop us now. \\ Chor. Often have I deemed myself exceeding bright, acute, and clever, Dull, obtuse, and awkward never. \\ That is what Amynias is, of Curling-borough, Sellus' son ; Him who now upon an apple and pomegranate dines, I saw \\ At Leogoras's table \\ Eat as hard as he was able, Goodness, what a hungry maw! \\ Pinched and keen as Antiphon.
}

It would seem that either by his expensive habits, or possibly by gambling (supra 74), he had lost all his wealth, and while still retaining his foppish appearance, was reduced to actual penury. Formerly he had lived an epicure among epicures; now he must put up with such meagre and insufficient fare as an apple and pomegranate : formerly he was rich as Leogoras, now he is poor as Antiphon. Such is the state to which his \(\sigma\) кacótns has brought him. The Scholiast observes that Amynias was really the son





1270. 'Аขгı申ผข.] Some needy and disorderly guest; see infra 1301. The name was by no means an uncommon one ; and it is quite impossible to believe that Aristophanes is here alluding, as

 supra. My translation hardly indicates with sufficient precision the true point of the satire.
1269. \(\Lambda \epsilon \omega \gamma\) ó \(\rho 0\).] Leogoras, the father of the orator Andocides, was a well-

 Athenæus, ix. 37. The passage to which Athenæus refers is cited by the Scholiast on Clouds, 110 (the last line seems to be a parody upon some philosophic description of the Olympian divinities) :

Florent Chretien suggests, to the illustrious Rhamnusian, the son of Sophilus, the orator and politician whose character is given in Thucydides, viii. 68.
\[
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1271. \(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu\).] The Scholiast (both here and on Clouds 691) intimates that this mission is an historical fact, and was mentioned by Eupolis in his Comedy of the Cities. At a later period we hear of political intrigues carried on with the Penestæ, who were the villein race of Thessaly, corresponding to the Helots of Laconia. But in the present passage their name is probably introduced merely for the sake of the joke, and for the purpose of comparing the Penestro of Thessaly (roîs Пє \(\nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ Ө \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda \omega \nu)\) with that larger class of Penestre or paupers, of which Amynias was a prominent member.
1275. \({ }^{*} \mu a \kappa \alpha ́ p \iota \epsilon\).] Thenext eight lines are each composed of three pæons and one cretic, \(-\mathrm{m}|-m|-m|-\mathrm{m}|\) |; compare Acharnians, 971-999. Their subject is very similar to that of the
supplemental Epirrhema in Knights, 1274-1289. Automenes had three sons; the two elder of remarkable skill in their respective professions. The first, Arignotus, was a harper well known (oideis öбтıs oủk ह̇ँiбtatal, says Aristophanes, Knights 1278, with an allusion to his name 'Apizvotos, unconsciously followed in my translation here) and much esteemed; for the Scholiast on the Knights is evidently in error in supposing that Aristophanes is speaking ironically. The second was a skilful actor, whose name has not come down to us. The third was Ariphrades, no less remarkable than his brothers, though in a very different way: his inventive genius wasdisplayed in discovering new methods of bestiality, for which Aristophanes attacks him here, and more plainly in the Knights and the Peace (885). Yet

Once he travelled to Pharsalus, our ambassador to bc, There a solitary guest, he Stayed with only the Penestæ, Coming from the tribe himself, the kindred tribe, of Penury. Fortunate Automenes, we envy your felicity;
Every son of yours is of an infinite dexterity:
First the Harper, known to all, and loved of all excessively,
Grace and wit attend his steps, and elegant festivity :
Next the Actor, shrewd of wit beyond all credibility:
Last of all Ariphrades, that soul of ingenuity, He who of his native wit, with rare originality, Hit upon an undiscovered trick of bestiality: All alone, the father tells us, striking out a novel line.

Some there are who said that I was reconciled in amity,
he is said to have been a pupil of Anaxagoras, who was satirized for having trained up such a man as 'A \(\rho \iota \phi \rho a ́ o ̄ \eta \nu\) tò̀
 næus, \(\nabla .62\). Both here and in the Knights, Aristophanes brings the degraded character of Ariphrades into deeper relief, by contrasting his accomplishments with those of his brothers. All.three were \(\sigma\) oфoì, quick-witted ( \(\sigma\) o \(\phi \omega\) татоу, боф̀̀v, \(\theta \cup \mu о \sigma о ф и к \dot{т} \tau а т о \nu)\), but they in liberal and ingenuous arts, he in arts of which it is a shame even to speals. The Scholiast says that the expression кıӨapaotiótatos (harper of harpers) was used in the X Xovaov̀ y'́vos of Eupolis.
 \(\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu o ̈ n \omega \omega s\) ग̉̀ \(\sigma\) oфós.-Scholiast. Com-
 Lys. 198, 1148, etc.


 бофós é \(\sigma \tau \tau \nu\) фú \(\sigma \epsilon\).
 \(\pi a \pi \eta \rho ;\) inquires the Scholiast; a question more easily asked than answered.
1284. cioi.] The irregular Epirrhema (if the verses relating to Automenes and his sons can properly be styled an Epirrhema at all) consisted of nine lines, eight pæonic lines, and one trochaic tetrameter : the so-called Antepirchema consists of eight lines only, seven pæonic and one trochaic tetrameter. One pæonic line is therefore missing. Nor is this all. The Scholiast suggests, and the suggestion has met with general acceptance, that no fewer than eleven lines (comprising the entire Antistrophe and the first line of the Antepirrhema) have fallen out of the text. And it







写A. ì̀ \(\chi \in \lambda \omega ิ \nu a \iota ~ \mu a \kappa a ́ p \iota a \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta є ́ \rho \mu a \tau o s, ~\)
would seem that in the days of the old Greek grammarians, the MSS. marked a lacuna here. Bergk (in Meineke's Fragm. Com. ii. 938) conjectures that the lost Antistrophe contained an attack upon Cleon, and so was introductory to, and softened the abruptness of, the Antepirrhema: but this would hardly be in accordance with the usual character of these systems.
1285. K \(\lambda{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \nu\).] The general nature of the incident to which these lines refer is plain enough. Some attack had been made by Cleon upon Aristophanes, who, finding that he did not receive from the people the support which he had expected, deemed it necessary to wriggle out of the scrape, in a somewhat modignified manner, by patching up a hollow truce with his powerful and dangerous opponent. Beyond this we are quite in thedark: weknow nothing of the details of the transaction, nor even at what period or under what circumstances it occurred; and the Scholiasts admit themselves to be equally destitute of all information on the subject. One of Fritzsche's Aristophanic tracts, De injuriis Aristophani a Cleone illatis commentatio (Quæst. Aristoph. i. 301), is devoted to
the task of proving that Aristophanes is here speaking of his old troable with Cleon some four years previously, anterior to the date of the Acharnians. I do not see that he at all makes out his case; and it is, I believe, the almost universal opinion that the poet is referring to some fresh onslaught made upon him by Cleon after, and in consequence of, the performance of the Knights. And although this view is equally incapable of proof, it seems to me on the whole more likely to be correct.
1286. ล̀ \(\pi \in \delta \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \mu \eta \nu\).] \(I\) was being scourged, as é \(\xi \in \delta \in \epsilon \rho a\) supra 450 , and frequently elsewhere. But here, I imagine, both in this word and in eैkuv \(\epsilon\), there is a special reference intended to the tanning trade of Cleon.
1287. кєкраүóta.] Bergk (in Meineke, Fragm. Com. ii. 937) considers that this participle must have been intended to apply to Cleon, qui vociferatione et clamore plurimum valebat; but it is more generally, and I think more reasonably, referred to Aristophanes himself. Indeed the pronoun \(\mu \mathrm{\epsilon}\), though contrary to the metre, is actually inserted in the best MSS. oikròs (oi ékròs), they that were without, the bystanders.

When upon me Cleon pressed, and made me smart with injury, Currying and tanning me: then as the stripes fell heavily Th' outsiders laughed to see the sport, and hear me squalling lustily, Caring not a whit for me, but only looking merrily, Toknow if squeezed and pressed I chanced to drop somesmall buffoonery.
Seeing this, I played the ape a little bit undoubtedly.
So then, after all, the Vine-pole proved unfaithful to the Vine.
Xanth. O lucky tortoises, to have such skins,
1290. ínó tı.] An expression very commonly used in the sense of somewhat; as for example in Plato's Phædrus, 242
 (soupçon) of impiety in it, and Gorgias, 493 c . In a note on this line, Porson observes that the expression occurs elsewhere (alibi), and collects several passages (including those cited above) wherein it is found: Hippocrates, Prædicta, vol. i.
 т \(\boldsymbol{\iota}\) ỏ \(\lambda\) ध́ \(\theta \rho t a\), " feverish chills are somewhat fatal;" Id. de morbis vulgaribus, vol. iii. 532, \&c. It seems hardly credible that a recent German editor (Richter) should so totally have misunderstood the meaning both of Aristophanes and of Porson, as to state, apparently with approbation, and at all events without disapprobation, "Porsonus ad v. vertit alibi: idem correxit A.th. xจ. 693 в." For \(\pi \iota \theta \eta \kappa i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\), to play the monkey, i. e. to wheedle, fawn upon, cajole, cf. Thesm. 1133; Knights, 887 ; and compare \({ }^{3} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \kappa i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\) supra 1241. It is plain that the \(\pi \iota \theta \eta \kappa \iota \sigma \mu\) os of Aristophanes consisted, not as Dindorf strangely supposes, in the diversion of his satire, the year after the performance of the Knights, from Cleon to Socrates, but in the wiles and trickeries with
which, at the time of the dispute, be managed to disarm the resentment of his adversary.
1291. \(\dot{\eta} \chi a ́ \rho a \xi ̧.] ~ A ~ p r o v e r b ~ u s e d ~ i n . ~\) reference to persons who find the support, whereon they trusted, giving way in the hour of need. But who is here the Vine-pole, and who the Vine? According to the common interpretation of the passage, Cleon is the Vine; he had relied upon the feigned submission of Aristophanes, which was but a broken reed. But to me it seems more probable that Aristophanes means to represent himself as the Vine, deceived by the faithless Vine-pole, that is, by the Athenian people. The popular sympathy, to which he had trusted for support against the machinations of Cleon, had played him false when the actual danger came. He is alluding not, as Dindorf seems to think, to the defeat of the Clouds, but to the careless indifference, or rather the undisguised amusement, with which the Athenians had beheld him in the toils of his powerful opponent.
1292. ì̀ \(\left.\chi^{€ \lambda} \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota.\right] \quad\) Xanthias enters hurriedly, to announce the strange proceedings of Philocleon at the feast. We shall find him making a precisely similar
\(\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma \mu а к \alpha ́ p ı a \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ' \pi i ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho a i ̂ s ~ \tau є ́ \gamma o v s . ~\)



XO. тí \(\delta^{\prime} \notin \epsilon \tau \iota \nu, \hat{\omega} \pi a \hat{\imath} ; ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \gamma d ̀ \rho, ~ \kappa a ̂ ̀ \nu \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu\),



каíтоц \(\pi а \rho \hat{\rho} \nu\) " \(I \pi \pi v \lambda \lambda о \varsigma, ~ ' A \nu \tau \iota \phi \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \Lambda ข ้ \kappa \omega \nu\),



 1305





entry for a precisely similar purpose, infra 1474. See the note on 1341 infra. The idea of this first line is developed from 429 supra. In the next line \(\tau\) '́yous, which is Bentley's somewhat bold conjecture for \(\epsilon \mu a i s s\); is adopted by all recent editors without a dissentient voice.
1296. \(\sigma \tau \iota \delta \delta_{\mu \epsilon \nu}\).] Compare the expression "varius virgis" in Plautus, Mil. Glor. ii. 2. 61. But there is here, I doubt not, an allusion to the well-
known practice of branding a runaway
 Frogs, 1511; Lys. 331. I am branded like a runaway slave, says Xanthias, with marks from my master's stick.
 to be a parody on some utterance of Euripides. A very similar couplet, as Bergler remarks, is addressed by the women in Thesm. 582 to the effeminate Cleisthenes,

How now, my child \(P\) for we may call thee child, So soft and smooth and downy are thy cheeks.
1301. "I \(1 \pi \pi v \lambda \lambda o s\).\(] These persons are\) selected, for a by-stroke of satire, as drunken and riotous paupers. On An-
tiphon see 1270 supra. Lycon, afterwards one of the accusers of Socrates (Plato, Apol. cap. 10; Diog. Laert. So-

Thrice lucky for the case upon your ribs: How well and cunningly your backs are roofed With tiling strong enough to keep out blows: Whilst I, I'm cudgelled and tattooed to death.
Chor. How now, my boy? for though a man be old, Still, if he's beaten, we may call him boy.
Xante. Was not the old man the most outrageous nuisance,
Much the most drunk and riotous of all?
And yet we'd Lycon, Antiphon, Hippyllus, Lysistratus, Theophrastus, Phrynichus;
But he was far the noisiest of the lot.
Soon as he'd gorged his fill of the good cheer,
He skipped, he leapt, and laughed, and frisked, and whinnied, Just like a donkey on a feed of corn :
And slapped me youthfully, calling Boy! Boy!
So then Lysistratus compared him thus:
Old man, says he, you're like new wine fermenting,
Or like a sompnour, scampering to its bran.
crates, cap. 18), was at present chiefly notorious on account of his own poverty, and the unexampled profligacy of his wife Rhodia, who is accordingly, in the Lysistrata, singled out as the first victim to be sacrificed to the vengeance of the men: " we'll burn with fire the sex acourst, but Lycon's wife we'll burn the first," Lysist. 270, and the Scholiasts there and on Plato ubi supra. Meineke's objection (Fragm. Com. ii. 131) to the statement in the latter Scholiast ( \(\pi\) é m m ,
 is based on an insufficient consideration of the passage before us. We have already met with Lysistratus and his jests, supra 787. That he was as desti-
tute of means as of character isintimated in Acharnians, 855; Knights, 1266 ; and infra 1312. Phrynichus cannot be identified with any known personage of that name: and Hippyllus and Theophrastus do not seem to be mentioned elsewhere. It was not to "scurvy companions" such as these that Bdelycleon has been proposing to introduce his father: his aim throughout has been ròv фúgavta \(\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o l s\) катако \(\mu \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota\) тла́ү \(\mu a \sigma \iota\), infra 1472 ; and this inconsistency furnishes an additional argument for the theory adranced in the note on 1341 infra.
 known proverb, preserved by the Scho-
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away to its bran." For $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau i j \rho$ see the notes on lines 189 and 1408. Both similes imply that Philocleon was (as we say) above himself, carried away by his excitement into all manner of excesses.
1311. тápvont.] Philocleon's countersimiles are aimed at the shabby and threadbare appearance of the hungry jester. In the first, three incongraous images appear to be blended together: (1) a locust (no inapt representative of a parasite), a pest common throughout Hellas, and which though smaller than the Eastern locust was almost equally destructive (Dodwell's Tour, i. 215) ; (2) a fig-tree, shedding its leaves; and (3) the worn and tattered remnant of a scanty cloak. Sthenelus, the object of
comparison in the second simile, was a tragic actor, who had recently been compelled by his poverty to sell the very бкєvìv траүккخ̀ by which he earned his livelihood.
1315. $\dot{\omega} \delta \delta \dot{\eta} \delta \in \xi t{ }^{\prime}$ s.] The phraseology implies a sarcasm on the affectation of Theophrastus in seeking to pass himself off as "a superior person." Nothing is known of him save from this passage; but it is evident that Aristophanes is giving his own opinion of the guests, through the lips of Philocleon. The Scholiast explains $\delta_{t \epsilon \mu}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda a \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$ to mean


 $\tau \oplus ิ \begin{gathered}\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota .-S c h o l i a s t . ~ Q u i t e ~ i n a p p r o-~\end{gathered}$ priate to the matter in hand.

But he shrieked back, And you, you're like a locust That has just shed the lappets of its cloak, Or Sthenelus, shorn of his goods and chattels. At this all clapped, save Theophrast; but he Made a wry face, being forsooth a wit. And pray, the old man asked him, what makes YOU Give yourself airs, and think yourself so grand, You grinning flatterer of the well-to-do?
Thus he kept bantering every guest in turn, Making rude jokes, and telling idle tales,
In clownish fashion, relevant to nothing.
At last, well drunk, homeward he turns once more,
Aiming a blow at every one he meets.
Ah! here he's coming ; stumbling, staggering on.
Methinks I'll vanish ere I'm slapped again.
Phil. Up ahoy! out ahoy!
1326. ävє $\chi$, $\pi$ á $\rho \in \chi \epsilon$.] Philocleon comes in, tipsy and mischievous, with a torch in his hand ( $\pi \hat{\eta} \delta a 8 i, 1331,1390$ ), or, it may be, a torch in each hand ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{u} \lambda a \mu$ -
 1361). He is followed by a small crowd, composed wholly or partially of the бvuпóral, whose party he has broken up, and whose aù $\lambda \eta \tau$ íioa, Dardanis, he has stolen away. As he enters, he utters certain short ejaculations of dubious import, which seem to have been the customary cries at the torch races of the Cerameicus : see the Scholiast on Eurip. Troades, 308. In the Troades, ubi supra, Euripides introduces Cassandra with a torch in her hand, uttering similar ejaculations. And the Scholiast here remarks that everybody considered the
entrance of Philocleon in the Wasps to be a parody on the entrance of Cassandra in the Troades; but that in reality the Troades was not exhibited until seven years after the Wasps; that is, in b.c. 415. And this is no doubt true: see Clinton's Fasti Hellenici on that year, and 巴lian's Var. Hist. ii. 8, there cited. Both poets seem to have adopted, independently, the familiar cries of the Cerameicus. äv $\nu \in \chi \epsilon, \pi$ áp $\not \subset \epsilon$ may be addressed to the runner, in the sense of "hold it up, hand it on," erige, porrige: or to the torch, in the sense of "shine forth, lend light:" or to the crowd, in which case $\pi$ ápe $\chi \in$ may mean "make way," as supra 949. Bergler refers also to Birds, 1720 ; Eur. Cyclops, 203.
$\kappa \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau a i ́ \tau \iota \varsigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$ oै $\pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$



баסi фриктоѝs $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \omega$.




${ }_{a} \rho \chi a i ̂ \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu . \stackrel{a}{a} \rho \alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$

סıкஸ̂v ; ỉaıßô̂ aỉßô̂.
тá $\delta є \mu^{\prime}$ ảpé $\kappa \kappa є \iota * \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \kappa \eta \mu о$ ús.
oủk äтєєб८; то仑̂ ' $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$

ảעáßalעє $\delta \in \hat{\jmath} \rho o \quad \chi \rho v \sigma o \mu \eta \lambda o \lambda o ́ \nu \theta \iota \circ \nu$,
 fry) $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho v \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a, \tau \hat{\eta} \delta a \delta i \grave{i} \phi \rho \cup к т о v ̀ s ~ \sigma к є v a ́ \sigma \omega$
 rov̀," says Casaubon on Athenæus, vi. 11, "omissis vocibus ix $\theta$ v́dia vel $i^{\chi} \chi \theta \hat{v} s$, vocabant pisces minutos qui et edebantur et venum exponebantur fricti." $\sigma \kappa \in v a \dot{\sigma} \omega$ is a word belonging to the culinary art.
1332. इуMпOTH.$]$ These three lines are commonly given to Bdelycleon, which is absurd, for Bdelycleon manifestly makes his first appearance at line 1360 infra; and litigation is the last thing with which he would have threatened his father. See infra 1392, 1418, and passim. Beer therefore transfers the speech to the Chorus, which is worse; for the Chorns are animated with the
most friendly feelings towards Philocleon, who had indeed done them no wrong. Dobree truly says that the lines must belong to one т $\hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \kappa о \lambda o v \theta o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. But no one seems even to have observed that the two great Aristophanic manuscripts (the Ravenna and the Venetian) place a $\sigma \nu \mu \pi o ́ t \eta s$ amongst the dramatis personæ. And it is, in my judgment, self-evident that these lines belong to one of the injured $\sigma v \mu \pi$ órat, speaking for the whole party, $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ ä $\pi$ aбı.
1336. á $\rho \chi a i a ́ \quad \gamma^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.] The oldfashioned notions you have! Yours
 these are my joys (pointing to Dardanis). $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \kappa \eta \mu o u ̀ s$, or as the Scholiast paraphrases it, $\beta$ ád $\lambda \epsilon$ є's ко́ракаs тà סıкабтькà акєín.

Some of you that follow me
Shall ere long be crying. If they don't shog off, I swear I'll frizzle 'em all with the torch I bear, I'll set the rogues a-frying.
Gurst. Zounds! we'll all make you pay for this to-morrow, You vile old rake, however young you are!
We'll come and cite and summon you all together.
Pril. Yah! hah! summon and cite!
The obsolete notion! don't you know
I'm sick of the names of your suits and claims.
Faugh! Faugh! Pheugh!
Here's my delight!
Away with the verdict-box! Won't he go ?
Where's the Heliast? out of my sight !
My little golden chafer, come up here,
1340. $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ car $\bar{\eta}$ s.] To the man who had threatened him with a lawsuit, he applies a term, which a short while ago he regarded as a title of honour and dignity, but which, in his altered mood, is expressive of nothing but contempt and disgust. The .crowd now retire, and Philocleon (with the aù $\eta \tau \rho \rho \stackrel{i}{s}$ ) is left in possession of the field.
1341. The next five and thirty linescontain much that had been better omitted: and the English on the right-hand page is in many places necessarily a substitution for, rather than a translation of, the original text. These drunken scenes, and indeed the entire two hundred lines from 1250 to 1449 , were, in my opinion, a mere afterthought on the part of the poet, introduced when the defeat of the

Clouds had taught him that he could not with impunity discard the broad farce, the coarse buffoonery, of other comedians. The dancing scene at the close of the Play was manifestly intended (when it was written) to exhibit the first outburst of Philocleon after drinking "the long untasted wine." We have now two outbursts under precisely similar circumstances, and quite inconsistent with each other. I imagine that according to the original scheme of the Play, Philocleon re-entered the house soon after he had learned to dress and behave with propriety; that the Choras in the little song $\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ єituxias at once give vent to their hopes for the success of the experiment; that then for the first time Xanthias comes out to
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$\kappa a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \kappa \nu \mu \iota \nu о \pi \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \kappa а \rho \delta \alpha \mu о ́ \gamma \lambda \nu ф о \nu$.


describe the effect which the wine was producing on his old master; and that Philocleon forthwith follows with those imitations of Phrynichas for which we have been in some measure prepared by the observations of his fellow-dicasts, supra 269. It must, however, be admitted that this enlargement has given us some capital scenes between Philocleon and his victims, and some very interesting and racy specimens of the Sybaritic apologue.
1342. oxowiov.] This is undoubtedly the $\sigma к \tilde{\tau} \tau \nu$ vov ка $\theta \in \epsilon \mu$ évov described in Clouds 538, 539, and explained by the Scholiast on that passage. Aristophanes is there
priding himself on having abandoned the фópros to which he here unhappily. returns. See the preceding note.
 (cf. supra 1007). ä $\mu$ а ס̀є каі какє $\mu$ фáтөs (with an allusion, which is continued in the next verse, to the word $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \beta \iota \epsilon i \nu$ above). -Scholiast. It is of crimes like these that Saint Paul speaks in the Epistle to the Romans, i. 26.


 voßorkeiov.-Scholiast. Throughont the passage, Philocleon reverses the relationship between himself and his son, and

Hold by this rope, a rotten one perchance, But strong enough for you. Mount up, my dear. See now, how cleverly I filched you off, A wanton hussy, flirting with the guests. You owe me, child, some gratitude for that. But you're not one to pay your debts, I know. O no! you'll laugh and chaff and slip away, That's what you always do. But listen now, Be a good girl, and don't be disobliging, And when my son is dead, I'll ransom you, And make you an honest woman. For indeed I'm not yet master of my own affairs. I am so young, and kept so very strict. . My son's my guardian, such a cross-grained man, A cummin-splitting, mustard-scraping fellow. He's so afraid that I should turn out badly, For I'm in truth his only father now.
speaks as if $h e$ were the gay young spark, and his son the crusty cross-grained ( $\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} к о \lambda о s$ ) old Heliast.
1353. $\pi a \lambda \lambda a \kappa \mathfrak{\eta} \nu$.$] A recognized concu-$ bine. For the distinction between the غ́тaipa, the $\pi a \lambda \lambda a \kappa \grave{\eta}$, and the $\gamma v v \dot{\eta}$, reference is usually made to Demosth. adv. Neæram, 1386, đàs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ дà $\rho$ écaípas $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta ̂ s$



 of the $\pi a \lambda \lambda a \kappa \grave{j}$ was recognized and protected by the law is plain from Lysias de Cæde Erat. p. 94.
1356. © $\dot{v} \sigma \kappa 0 \lambda$ ㅇ․] Properly " troubled with indigestion," then "testy, irritable, splenetic." This was a special charac-
teristic of the Aristophanic dicast: see supra 106, 883, 942, 1105, and Peace, 349.
1357. кข $\mu \nu$ отрьбтокарба $\mu$ о́ $\gamma \lambda v ф о \nu$.] The separate limbs of this Aristophanic compound, кข $\mu \nu$ отрітт $\eta$ s and карঠацо$\gamma^{\lambda} \dot{\prime} \neq s$, were in common use. Bergler refers to Alexis apud Athenæum, viii. 68, and Hesychius sub voc. : and Porson to Theocr. x. 55. According to Aristotle,
 scraping paring niggard who professes to act, not from motives of illiberality, but on high moral principles.

 $\pi a \pi \rho i(\mathrm{I}$ am my father's only son).
 -Scholiast.


 oíns $\pi o \theta^{\prime}$ oṽтos è $\mu e ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu$.




Bu. ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \tau \omega \theta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \epsilon, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu a u ̉ \lambda \eta \tau \rho i \delta a$










 à $\phi \in \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \kappa а \grave{~ \nu o \mu i \sigma a s ~ є i \nu a \iota ~ \sigma a \pi \rho o ̀ \nu ~}$
1363. $\pi \rho \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu$.$] Before I$ was initiated into the mysteries of high life: with an allusion to the raillery which accompanied the celebration of the great religious mysteries, and which was properly called $\tau \omega \theta a \sigma \mu o ́ s$. Aristotle, Politics vii. 15.
1364. тvфغ $\delta a \nu \nu_{\text {É.] }}$ Hesychias explains this word by $\tau \epsilon \tau v \phi \omega \mu$ évos, a dull-witted person: Photius by $\tau \epsilon \tau \nu \phi \omega \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu o s$ and by
$\dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \grave{j} s$ oio кatvós. Suidas, though he gives both тuфєठavè and $\sigma \tau u \phi \in \delta a \nu e ̀$; and cites this passage under each head, offers no explanation whatever.
1365. $\sigma \circ \rho \circ$ v̀.] Used $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o к i ́ a v, ~$ as the Scholiast observes, for kópns: ゅpaias бopov̂ is maturi funeris, as we say a mature old age and the like: ©paid
 pare Horace, Odes iii. 15,

Maturo propior desine funeri Inter ludere virgines.
Pierson (Mœris, p. 426) is clearly wrong in his interpretation of this passage.

But here he runs. Belike he's after us.
Quick, little lady, hold these links an instant;
And won't I quizz him boyishly and well,
As he did me before the initiation.
Bdel. You there! you there! you old lascivions dotard!
Enamoured, eh? ay of a fine ripe coffin.
Oh, by Apollo, you shall smart for this !
Phil. Dear, dear, how keen to taste a suit in pickle!
Bdel. No quizzing, sir, when you have filched away
The flute-girl from our party. Phil. Eh? what? flute-girl?
You're out of your mind, or out of your grave, or something.
Bdel. Why, bless the fool, here's Dardanis beside you!
Phil. What, this? why, this is a torch in the market-place!
Bdel. A torch, man? Pbil. Clearly; pray observe the punctures.
Bdel. Then what's this black here, on the top of her head?
Phil. Oh, that's the rosin, oozing while it burns.
Bdel. Then this of course is not a woman's arm?
Phil. Of course not; that's a sprouting of the pine.
Bdel. Sprouting be hanged. ( $T_{o}$ Dard.) You come along with me.
Phil. Hi! hi! what are you at? Bdel. Marching her off
Out of your reach; a rotten, as I think,
"'ゅрaia $\sigma \circ \rho o \hat{u}, "$ hesays, "tempestivacapulo
 vetulam $\sigma o \rho o ̀ v ~ f u i s s e ~ d i c t a m ~ v e l i s . " ~ D a r-~$ danis was neither vetula nor tempestiva capulo.
 remarks, would have been one of his own favourite dishes a short time ago: see supra 511. But all his former tastes and habits he is now imputing to his son.
 pliment to Bdelycleon, whom it is his humour to regard as a $\tau v \mu \beta o \gamma^{\prime} \rho \circ \nu \tau a$, he
varies the old jest, $\tau_{i}^{i} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a \lambda \eta \rho \epsilon i s$ ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$
 1273. See the note there.
1373. द̇ $\sigma \tau \tau \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.$] The Scholiast says$ that torches were punctured and tattooed with figures of animals and the like: and possibly Dardanis may have been branded on the forehead, '̇бт $\tau \gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ (see on 1296 supra), as an ill-doing Phrygian slave. In the next line we cannot escape from the explanation of the Scholiast, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ тồ $\gamma v \nu a k \kappa \epsilon i o v ~ a i ̂ o ̛ o i ́ o v ~ द ُ ~ p \omega \tau a ̣ ̂ . ~$.
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ódi $\gamma a ̀ \rho a \dot{a} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o} \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$
$\tau \hat{\eta}$ §a $\delta i \grave{i} \pi a i \omega \nu, \kappa a ̉ \xi \in \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \nu \grave{i}$ 1390





 this insult, Philocleon straightway calls to mind the anecdote taught him by Bdelycleon himself, supra 1192, as to what an old man can do; and he at once retorts upon his preceptor his own teaching.
1388. ${ }^{\text {t }} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\iota}$ нoı.] The persons whom Philocleon had attacked and maltreated on his way from the wine-party, supra 1323, have merely tarried until they could procure the necessary $\kappa \lambda \eta \eta \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$, and
now come thronging in with their writs and summonses. The first that enters is a baking-girl, accompanied by, and calling for assistance to, a pallid and corpse-like $\kappa \lambda \eta \pi \eta \rho$. The baking-girls of Athens had attained the same unenviable notoriety for their vituperative powers as, in England, is enjoyed by the fishwomen of Billingsgate. In the Frogs (857) Dionysus says to Euripides and出schylus,



It is not right that mighty poets should
Abuse each other, like two baking-girls.

The sompnour is none other than Chærephon, the well-known pupil of Socrates, on whose cadaverous complexion ( $\eta \mu \iota \nu \eta \eta)$,

Clouds, 504) the wits of Athens were accustomed to expend all their shafts of ridicule.

And impotent old man. Phil. Now look ye here :
Once, when surveying at the Olympian games,
I saw how splendidly Ephudion fought
With young Ascondas: saw the game old man
Up with his fist, and knock the youngster down.
So mind your eye, or you'll be pummelled too.
Bdel. Troth, you have learned Olympia to some purpose.
Baking Girl. Oh, there he is! Oh, pray stand by me now!
There's the old rascal who misused me so,
Banged with his torch, and toppled down from here
Bread worth ten obols, and four loaves to boot.
Bdel. There now, you see; troubles and suits once more
Your wine will bring us. Phil. Troubles? Not at all.
A merry tale or two sets these things right.
I'll soon set matters right with this young woman.
1391. $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta_{i}^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu.\right]$ If the reading of this line, and the common interpretation of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \theta_{i j k \eta}^{\prime}$, are correct, the words must refer to some custom of the trade with which we are now unacquainted. äprous $\delta$ ' $\kappa \kappa^{\prime}$ $\dot{\Delta \beta o \lambda \omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ can mean nothing else than "ten obols' worth of bread;" like the two hundred pennyworth of bread, sıaкoбíw önvapion áprot, of the Gospels (St. Mark, vi. 37; St. John, vi. 7). It cannot mean, as commonly translated, "decem panes singulos unius assis." Ten obols' worth of loaves seems to have constituted a complete packet, to be delivered at some single destination; but in addition to these, the baking-girl was carrying four
 the Scholiast says. The word $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \Delta \dot{\theta} \kappa \eta$ is taken to mean something thrown in, over and above the ordinary burden.

And such, I may observe, is exactly the sense in which it is employed by Plautus ('Trinummus iv. 3. 18, probably from Philemon; Id. Prologue 19), "Nisi etiam laborem ad damnum apponam epithecam insuper,"" "lose my goods and my labour to boot." However, I do not feel sure that $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \imath \theta \dot{\eta} k \eta$ may not (by analogy to $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta, \dot{a} \pi \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$, and the like) mean the tray whereon the loaves were carried; in which case we might either read äprous
 loaves worth four obols which I had upon my tray," or retaining кảmı $\theta \dot{k} \kappa \eta \nu$ (with $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ ) translate " loaves worth t :n obols and a tray worth four."
1394. סaa入入ágovatv.] "Ita enim," observes Bergler, "docebat eum filius supra 1258." He is going to put in practice the lessons which his son has taught him.

AP. ov то॰ $\mu \grave{a} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \in \grave{\omega} \kappa а т а т \rho o i \xi \in \iota ~ M v \rho \tau i ́ a s$





Өрабєìa каì $\mu \in \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$ тıs $\dot{\lambda} \lambda a ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa v ́ \omega \nu . ~$

 $\pi v \rho o v_{\varsigma} \pi \rho i a \iota o, \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ả้ $\mu \circ \iota$ סокоîs. 1405
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ a ̉ \gamma o \rho a \nu o ́ \mu o u s " ~ \beta \lambda \dot{a} \beta \eta \varsigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$ фортi$\omega \nu$,
1396. кataтpoi $\epsilon \epsilon$ Mvprias.] Shall not treat Myrtia thus with impunity; shall not get off unscathed from Myrtia. So in a similar scene, Clouds, 1239, oủroı $\mu$ à
 Myrtia endeavours to overwhelm her opponent with the names of her father and mother. Compare Knights, 1309.

Mà tà $\theta \epsilon \grave{\omega}$, by Demeter and Persephone, is the regular female oath, and as such is perpetually employed by Aristophanes. In the Ecclesiazusæ, when the women are practising to speak like men, one orator betrays her sex by asking for strong drink : the next is more successful until she happens to say,
'Tis not proper by the Twain.
Praxagora. How! by the Twain! Girl, have you lost your wits?
Woman. Why, what's amiss? I never asked for drink.
Pratagora. You are a Man, and yet invoked the Twain.
Woman. I meant "by Apollo."
1402. кúcu.] The same name is applied in the same " pretty indirect way"
to a railing woman in the Menæchmi of Plautus (V. i. 14).

Meneormus. Non tu scis, mulier, Hecubam quapropter canem Graii esse prædicabant? Mulier. Non equidem scio.
Menechmos. Quia idem faciebat Hecuba, quod tu nunc facis. Omnia mala ingerebat, quenquam aspexerat. Itaque adeo jure coopta appellari est canis.

Menfechmus. Know you not, gentle lady, why the Greeks Feigned Hecuba a bitch? Woman. I know not, I.
Menfachmus. Because she did what you are doing now.

# Baking Gird．No，by the Twain！you shan＇t escape scot－free， Doing such damage to the goods of Myrtia， Sostrata＇s daughter，and Anchylion＇s，sir！ <br> PHil．Listen，good woman：I am going to tell you A pleasant tale．Baking Girl．Not me，by Zeus，sir，no！ <br> Phil．At Alsop，as he walked one eve from supper， There yapped an impudent and drunken bitch． Then Жsop answered，$O$ you bitch ！you bitch！ If in the stead of that ungodly tongue You＇d buy some wheat，methinks you＇d have more sense． <br> Baking Girl．Insult me too？I summon you before <br> The Market Court for damage done my goods， 

She heaped abuse on every one she saw， And therefore rightly was she called a bitch．

In the present passage the application of the tale，which had doubtless been enforced throughout by Philocleon＇s tone and gesture，is finally clinched by the expression $\pi v \rho o v_{s} \pi p i a a o$ ，an expres－ sion which is appropriate not to the dog， but to a baking－girl who has lost her


1406．каì катаүє入ăs．］That is，in $\alpha d$－ dition to the damage you have caused me．Do you add insult to injury P－ тробкало仑̂ $\mu a \iota$ к．т．入．Myrtia has got hold of the correct legal formula．$\delta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \iota \delta o u ̀ s$
 тov̂ôe kai $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa a \lambda o v ̂ \mu a l ~ t o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ t o v ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̀ v o s ~$ єis tò $\beta$ oudevtíptov．－Ulpian on Demosth． adv．Mid．542．The phrase $\delta t a ̀ ~ r o \hat{v} ~ \delta e i ̀ v o s ~$ refers to the $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ ，through whom the process was to be served；see note on 1408 infra．The words ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau t s \in t$ are used because Myrtia did not know Philocleon＇s
name．The full form would be $\pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha-$
 трòs тoùs à $\gamma o \rho a \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta s ~ ф о \rho т i \omega \nu . ~$ The $\beta \lambda \alpha_{\beta} \eta \mathrm{s} \delta i \nless \eta$ ，action for damage done， was one of the regular forms of proceed－ ing in the Athenian Courts．

1407．àyopavópovs．］Just as to Eng－ lish markets there is incident a court which possesses a summary jurisdiction to keep order，and punish petty offences committed in the market：so the a ${ }^{\text {gopas }}$ of Athens and Piræus were under the jurisdiction of àpopavo $\mu$ o who exercised a similar authority．In the Acharnians， Dicæopolis having appointed sundry stout leather thongs to be his áyopa－ рónot，and to keep order in his market （723），has speedily occasion to invoke their aid to expel the informer from its precincts（824），and threatens to employ them even upon Lamachus（968）．Alci－ phron（Ep．i．9）says that a powerful

<br> <br><br>$A P$. ä $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \varsigma$, ои̃то؟; $\quad \Phi I$. каì $\sigma \dot{v} ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu ~ \mu o \iota, ~ X a \iota \rho є \phi \omega ิ \nu, ~$ 

patron wasnecessary to protect the fishermen from the áyopavó $\mu$ o who, for the sake of obtaining bribes, were perpetually molesting quiet tradesmen.
1408. к $\lambda \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$.] Upon this officer devolved the duty of seeing that the defendant was duly served with the citation to appear in court and answer the plaintiff's claim. The summons was served either by the $\kappa \lambda \eta \pi \dot{\eta} \rho$ himself or in his presence.

 tion, Suidas, Etymol. Magn., Lex. Rhet. (MS. apud Ruhnken's Timæus). That
they were sometimes merely witnesses of the service of the citation is stated in the same passages, in Suidas s. v. $k \lambda \eta$ -

 elsewhere. In England this duty was at one time performed by certain special officers called Summoners or Sompnours. A Sompnour is one of the Pilgrims in Chaucer's Canterbury Tales, and is unmercifully reviled by the Friar for his odious and unpopular office. The Friar volunteers to tell a tale about a Sompnour.

But if it like unto this compagnie, I wol you of a Sompnour tell a game: Parde, ye may wel knowen by the name, That of a Sompnour may no good be said (6860-3).
Accordingly he tells a tale of a Somp- and then exacting bribes to arrange the nour who plundered the innocent by prematter. tending to have citations against them,

Withouten mandement, a lewed man
He coude sompne up peine (sub paenâ) of Cristes curse,
And they were inly glad to fill his purse; . . . .
He was (if I shal yeven him his laud)
A theef, and cke a sompnour, and a baud (6928-30, 6935-6).
Riding out one day under a forest side, he meets the devil, who questions him as to his office.

A, art thou than a bailiff? Ye, quod he.
He dorste not for veray filth and shame
Say that he was a sompnour for the name (6974-6).
After some joint adventures the devil carries him off.

And for my sompnour have this Chærephon.
Pris. Nay, nay, but listen if I speak not fair.
Simonides and Lasus once were rivals.
Then Lasus says, Pish, I don't care, says he.
Baking Girl. You will, sir, will you? Phil. And you, Chærephon, Are you her sompnour, you, like fear-blanched Ino

> Body and soule, he with the devil went, Wher as thise sompnours han hir heritage (7222-3).
1409. $\lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu}^{\tau \iota}$.] To say something to the purpose, something worth attention. Cf. supra 649. The baking-girl is led to expect some fair offer of amends.
1410. à $\nu \tau \epsilon \delta i \delta i a \sigma k \varepsilon$.] Training, competing with, rival choruses. Lasus of Hermione was a contemporary and rival of the great Simonides of Ceos, who was famous for the number of victories obtained by his dithyrambic choruses: ${ }^{\wedge} \xi$
 Bentley's Phalaris, Dissert. xi. Of these two eminent dithyrambists an admirable account is given in Müller's Literature of Greece, chapter xiv.
1411. ő $\lambda i \gamma o \nu ~ \mu o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota] ~ T h e ~ s p e e c h$. of Lasus is emphasized in such a manner as to make it the reply of Philocleon himself to his female adversary, and to show his insolent contempt for her threats. It is adopted by Bdelycleon, infra 1446. And cf. Clouds, 1142 ; Frogs, 1136. No doubt the affected indifference of Lasus to the prize was owing to the consciousness that he had but slight chance of obtaining it, with the evervictorious Simonides for his antagonist.
1413. Aaభivn.] "Are you a woman's
sompnour, you with a face as pallid as dye-weed Ino ?" I have slightly departed from the MS. reading ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\tau} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ), and the ordinary punctuation of the passage. The comparison of Chærephon with $\theta a \psi i \nu \eta$ Ino is of a piece with the epithet $\pi v \xi \xi_{\nu} \nu_{0}$ which Eupolis in the Hó $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota s}$ bestowed on the same cadaverous student. Schol. on Plato's Apology. And see Schol. on 1408 supra. The Thapsus or Thapsia (for though somewhat different qualities are ascribed to them, they are said to be really the same plant), which is largely described by Dioscorides, Theophrastus, and Pliny, is unknown in England, and is by modern botanists called Thapsia Garganica. It appears from the old grammarians that it was a plant used by dyers : and that it imparted a yellow cadaverous hue which was associated by the ancients with the pallor of sickness and death; an association heightened by the fortuitous similarity of the name to derivatives of $\theta \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega$. Thus Plutarch (Phocion, cap. 28) speaks of $\theta$ á $\psi \iota \nu \circ \nu \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$ каі $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \epsilon s$. And Theocritus (ii. 85-88, cited by the Scholiast) says,





 $\hat{\eta} \nu$ à $\nu$ бù $\tau a ́ \xi \eta$ ทs, кaì $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota$.



 eivaı фíخov tò خo८тòv, ท̀ $\sigma \hat{\prime} \mu o \iota \phi \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ;$



By the Scholiast on Theocritus, Hesychius, Photins, and other grammarians, Gáquvov is explained by $\xi a v \theta \partial \partial \nu$, and the plant is described as in use for dyeing wool and hair. In Roman poetry the lutum, our dyer's weed (Reseda luteola)
holds much the same position as the Thapsus held among the Greeks. Thus Horace (Epode x. 16) describes the colour of cheeks blanched by fear as pallor luteus, and Tibullus (i. 8. 52) says of the sickness of love,
non illi sontica causa est,
Sed nimius LUTO corpora tangit amor.
1414. 'Ivoi.] The story of Ino, daughter of Cadmus and wife of Athamas, who to escape her domestic miseries threw herself, with her youngest child Melicertes, into the sea, formed one of the most moving tragedies of Euripides. See Acharnians, 434. And the line before us no doubt refers to some scene in that Play where Ino in her desolation and misery throws herself at the feet sive imaginis Deæ cujusdam, as Conz says, sive hominis alicujus. The name of Euripides seems to be substituted ( $\pi$ aрà $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o k i a v$ ) out of sheer mischief
for the purpose of connecting the solemn philosopher-poet with a ridiculuus travestie.
1417. Karíyopos.] The Scholiast says,

 all the early editions the name of Euripides is given here; and in one of the Parisian MSS. the words d̀vip $\tau u s$ are prefixed to this, and Eiplaiòns to the following line. The language, however, of the speaker is by no means that of the Aristophanic Euripides: in every other place throughout the scene the simple

## Pendent before Euripides's feet?

Bdel. See, here's another coming, as I live,
To summon you: at least he has got his sompnour.
Complainant. O dear! O dear! Old man, I summon you For outrage. Bdel. Outrage? no, by the Gods, pray don't.
I'll make amends for everything he has done,
(Ask what you will) and thank you kindly too.
Pril. Nay, I'll make friends myself without compulsion.
I quite admit the assault and battery.
So tell me which you'll do ; leave it to me
To name the compensation I must pay
To make us friends, or will you fix the sum?
Comp. Name it yourself: I want no suits nor troubles.
Phil. There was a man of Sybaris, do you know,
description кatク่ $\quad$ opos is employed: and Brunck is followed by all subsequent editors in replacing karŋ́ropos here also. The name of Evipuriòns seems to have crept into the scholium, and thence into the MSS. and editions, from some previous gloss on 1414.
1418. $\tilde{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s ; \mu \dot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta}$.] For the $u$ " $\beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ yoapi was a very different matter from the $\beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta s$ sik $\quad$ with which alone the baking-girl had threatened him. It was, so to say, a criminal indictment, and not a mere civil action : and entailed a severe and speedy punishment, proportioned to the gravity of the offence. The provisions of the general law on $\nu^{2} \beta p t s$ are given by Demosthenes (contra Mid. 47), and should be borne in mind throughout the ensuing scene: éáv $\tau, s$




 (within thirty days, see the note on 260





 cases, different in lind from Philocleon's but still coming under the $\nu \dot{\alpha} \mu o s \tilde{\tau}^{\tilde{z}} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ (年schines contra Tim. 17), the penalty was death; and death on the very day of conviction.
1422. пaтágat кai $\beta a \lambda \epsilon i v] ~ A n d ~ t h u s$. Philocleon's prediction (supra 1254) is completely verified by the event.
1427. Evßapitns.] Æsop's fables had produced anything but a sedative effect upon the ruffed baking-girl. With his second victim, therefore, Philocleon resorts to his son's alternative prescrip-
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KA. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oûv $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \sigma^{\prime}$ aữòs ä $\mu^{\prime}$ à $\pi \epsilon \kappa р i ́ v a \tau o$.
 1435



тク̀̀ $\mu a \rho \tau \cup \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ a ́ \sigma a s ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \chi \in \iota ~$




$\epsilon l \sigma \omega$ ф $\hat{\rho} \rho \omega \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \epsilon i ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}, \tau \alpha ́ \chi a$

tion (supra, 1259), and tries the effect of a Sybaritic apologue.
1431. $\epsilon \rho \delta o \iota ~ \tau s s$.] The three Latin versions of this well-known proverb, Cicero's iambic, Quam quisque nôrit artem in hâe se exerceat (Tusc. Disp. i. 18),Horace's hexameter, Quam scit uterque, libens censebo exerceat artem (Epist. i. 14. 44),--and the pentameter of Propertíns, Quad pote quisque in ed conterat arte diem (ii. 1. 46, which, however, is hardly to be considered a version of the proverb), have already been quoted by rarious commentators. And Dindorf efers to Athenæus, viii. 44.
1432. Mıттádov.] To the battered Sy-
barite the proverb conveyed a reproof for his rashness in practising an art in which he was unskilled. To the battered Athenian it conveys a hint for his future conduct. "Do not indulge in litigation, which is not your business and of which you know nothing : but go to Pittalus (the great Athenian doctor of the day, Ach. 1032, 1222), and get your hurts treated.' Such is, I suppose, the application of the anecdote: if indeed it has any application at all, and is not rather one of those tales described
 Or it may mean that as the Sybarite got no relief, but merely a piece of sage

Thrown from his carriage, and he cracked his skull,
Quite badly too. Fact was, he could not drive.
There was a friend of his stood by, and said,
Let each man exercise the art he knows.
So you, run off to Doctor Pittalus.
Buel. Ay, this is like the rest of your behaviour.
Comp. ( $T_{o}$ Bdel.) You, sir, yourself, remember what he says.
Phil. Stop, listen. Once in Sybaris a girl
Fractured a jug. Comp. I call you, friend, to witness.
Phil. Just so the jug: it called a friend to witness.
Then said the girl of Sybaris, By'r Lady, If you would leave off calling friends to witness, And buy a rivet, you would show more brains.
Comp. Jeer, till the Magistrate call on my case.
Bdel. No, by Demeter, but you shan't stop here, I'll take and carry you- Phil. What now! Bdel. What now?
Carry you in: or soon there won't be sompnours Enough for all your summoning complainants.
counsel, so shall it be with the complainant.
1434. $\sigma \dot{v}$-aùrós.] This appeal is plainly addressed to Bdelycleon, whose observation in the preceding line had shown that he was alive to his father's misdoings.
 ready." This is the strictly accurate

 1416. There is consequently no ground whatever for Reiske's strange suggestion to substitute ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi^{\iota \nu}$ (viperam) for ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \propto \omega$.







1440. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \in \sigma \mu o \nu$.] The art of riveting broken earthenware was well known to the ancients. Even in our RomanoBritish city of Uriconium (Wroxeter), a piece of Samian ware has been found, repaired with metal rivets. See Dr. Andrew Wynter's "Subtle Brains and Lissom Fingers," pp. 14, 15.
1442. $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho^{\prime}$.] This oath appears to be employed with reference to that by Persephone, four lines above.
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XO. 乌ך入ิ $\gamma \in \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau v \chi$ ías
тòv $\pi \rho \in ́ \in \beta \nu \nu$, ồ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta$

ধ̈тє $\rho a$ סè $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ả $\nu \tau \iota \mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$
$\eta{ }^{\eta} \theta \eta, \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \varepsilon \hat{\tau} \tau a \iota$



$\phi \cup ́ \sigma \epsilon o s, \hat{\eta} \nu$ č $\chi \in \iota \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{a} \in i ́$.

1446. Alc $\sigma \omega \pi=v$.] It is said that the Delphians, enraged at the sarcasms of Wsop, concealed a sacred cup amongst his baggage as he was learing Delphi. They then pursued and overtook him; and the cup being fonnd in his possession, he was condemned to death on the charge of theft and sacrilege. It was as he was being led out to execution, that he told them the fable of the Eagle and the Beetle; seeking to warn his enemies that though he might be mean as the beetle, and they exalted as the eagle, yet his blood might ascend to heaven and cry for vengeancé upon his murderers. And it is for the like purpose, viz. as a protest by the weak against the strong, that Philocleon would fain employ it here, as he is borne out, powerless, in the arms of his son. The fable is preserved by the Scholiast on Peace, 129. The eagle had carried off the young beetles:
thereupon the old beetle got into the eagle's eyry and pushed out her eggs. The eagle flew to complain to Zeus, who bade her build her nest in his own bosom. But when the eagle had laid her eggs there, the beetle flew buzzing about the ears of Zeus; and he springing up to brush it away;, dropped and broke the eggs. The moral, says the Scholiast, is that there is no rest for the wicked, no, not even in the bosom of Zeus. See the note on Peace, 129, from which the foregoing is an extract. A third reference to the fable occurs in Lysistrata, 695.
1449. oi $\mu$ ' $\dot{\text { ' }} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \hat{\omega}$.] This is merely an expression of the speaker's impatience and disgust : like Lucian's imprecation in his Pseudologistes, 24, какò̀ какढ̂s $\sigma \epsilon$
 or that of Trygæus in the Peace (1289),


> Phil. The Delphians once charged Asop- Bdel. I don't care. Phil. With having filched a vessel of their God. But Aisop up and told them that a beetle-
> Bdel. Zounds ! but I'll finish you, beetles and all. CHor. I envy much his fortune As he changes from his dry Ungenial life and manners, Another path to try. Now all to soft indulgence His eager soul will take, And yet perchance it will not, For, ah! 'tis hard to break From all your life-long habits;
> Yet some the change have made,
1450. $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega$.] Here, as I think, we return, after an interruption of about 200 lines, to the original scheme of the Play. Every sentence of this little chorus belongs to a period, when the issue of Bdelycleon's experiment was yet uncertain, and before the dry and law-loving Heliast had developed into the turbulent and reckless contemner of law. How, for instance, is the expression, $\tau \alpha_{\chi}{ }^{\circ} \delta^{\gamma}$ à ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \omega s$ oủk ${ }^{\prime} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ot, compatible with the fact that Philocleon had already shown himself ready, and eager, to go all lengths in his new career of pleasure and dissipation? How could such a phrase as
 absurdity to the scenes which had recently been witnessed? How could the Chorus say that Bdelycleon had got the better in every argument, when Philocleon had just set him and his arguments at defiance, and he had found
it impossible to control his father otherwise than by an exercise of physical force?
1454. $\mu \in \tau a \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ itat.] Will sway round to, will change and go over to. The Tı is intercalated to complete the metre. The choral ode is divided into a strophe, and antistrophe, of twelve lines each: and the metre is for the most part a mixture of iambic and choriambic: the choriamb-w- having occasionally one of its long syllables resolved into two short ones; so as to make either wu( $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a$ סè $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu, 1453$; філотатрíav, 1465),

 $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ai $\mu$ ккраì $\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta a i$, says the Scholiast, єis dío ßpaxєias. єüp хорía $\mu$ ßos $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda a \beta o s$. The last line of each system consists of an Ionic a minore and an iambic dipody.
 ..... 1460$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \beta$ ád $\lambda$ оото тоѝs тоо́тоуя.$\pi о \lambda \lambda o \hat{~ \delta ' ~} ̇ \pi \pi a i ́ v o v ~ \pi a \rho ' ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o i ̀ ~$каì тоі̂б८้ єن̉ фроvoûбıv$\tau \nu \chi \grave{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \delta \iota a ̀ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$
фıлотатрíà каі̀ бофíà ..... 1465ó таîs ó Ф $\downarrow$ лок $\lambda$ é $\omega \nu$ оя.oủסєvì $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oưt $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ả $\gamma a \nu \hat{\omega}$$\xi \nu \nu є \gamma є \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, oủסє̀ т то́тоия1470
тò̀ фи́баעта $\sigma є \mu \nu о \tau е ́ \rho o \iota \varsigma ~$$\kappa а т а к о \sigma \mu \eta ิ \sigma а \iota ~ \pi р а ́ \gamma \mu а \sigma \iota ; ~$

 ..... $1475^{\circ}$

 pression 1049 supra, oiठ̀̀̀ $\chi$ кípo $\pi a \rho a ̀$ тоїб॰ бофоїs עєンó $\iota \iota \sigma \tau a \iota$.
1465. фıлотatpiav.] The Scholiast
 тov̀ $\pi$ atoós. The latter is clearly the correct interpretation in this passage.
1469. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \dot{a} \nu \eta \nu$.] The old dicasts who like Philocleon were formerly mad after law (oìs é $\pi \epsilon \mu a i \nu \epsilon \tau o$ supra 744) are now mad after Bdelycleon and the new mode of life which he has unveiled to their gaze. 'é $\epsilon \chi \dot{v} \theta \eta \nu$ means " ravished, transported, with love," " melted with love or pleasure." Other compounds of $\chi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$ are frequently so used: as, for example, osa-
 animo, Heliodorus, 雨thiopics iv. 9. iגapథَ

 doret. Hist. Eccl. iii. 24.
 again enters to announce the strange proceedings of Philocleon at the feast. No one would gather from the present passage that this was his second entrance on the selfsame errand: nor is there anything in the dronken outburst which immediately follows, to intimate that there had been a previous exhibition of a similar character. See the notes on 1292 and 1341 supra. And indeed the purpose for which Philocleon has just been carried within was that he might be kept in peace and soberness: and not that he might be beguiled by an-

## With other minds consorting,

By other counsels swayed.
WITH us and all good people
Great praise Philocleon's son
For filial love and genius
In this affair has won.
Such sweet and gracious manners I never saw before, Nor ever with such fondness My doting heart gushed o'er. Where proved he not the victor In all this wordy strife,
Seeking to raise his father
To higher paths of life?
Xanth. O Dionysus! here's a pretty mess
Into our house some power has whirligigged.
Soon as the old man heard the pipe, and drank
other $a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \tau \rho i s$, or return to the wine, of which he hadd already taken more than enough. єi๘кккúкл $\eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$, in the following line, is a term derived from the machinery of the Theatre.
1476. Sià тo入入ov̂ र $\rho o ́ v o v] ~ A f t e r ~ a$. long interval; after long abstinence. These words, though in absolute harmony with what I take to have been the original scheme of the Play, are so strikingly inconsistent with the scene which now immediately precedes them, that they have been with one consent mistranslated ut diu multumque potavit. But there is really no doubt as to the true meaning of such phrases as סià
 vov, and the like. For the Aristophanic
usage see Peace, 570, 710; Lysistrata, 904; Plutus, 1045, \&c. And ef. supra 1252. So $\delta i a ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̂ ~ i n ~ L u c i a n ' s ~ N i-~-~$
 єוTEī N typìvov, cum igitur statuissem Nigrinum, ut quem longo temporis intervallo non videram, compellare. So sià $\chi$ рóvov in Plato's Republic, i. 328 в, каі




 тàs $\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon t s$ ócat $\rho / \beta \dot{\beta}$ s. So in a precisely similar passage Xen. Mem, ii. 8.1. So Lysias de Cæde Eratosth. p. 92, à $\sigma \mu \dot{́} \eta^{\prime}$ $\mu \varepsilon$ є́ตракиĩa $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}<\nu \tau a \quad \triangle \mathrm{IA}$ XPONOY. So Theodoret, v. 7, describing the meeting




1480
тov̀s $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ，ס८op $\chi \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ ò $\lambda i ́ y o \nu ~ ひ ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . ~$
ФI．тís e่ $\pi$ ’ aủ入єioıб८ vúpaıs $\theta a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ；
它A．тоуті̀ каі ठخ̀ $\chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ то̀ какóข．
ФI．к $\lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \rho a \chi$ $\chi^{a \lambda a ́ \sigma \theta \omega ~ \tau a ́ \delta \varepsilon . ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma a ̀ \rho}$
$\sigma \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$
录A．$\mu a ̃ \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ l̈ $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ цavias $\dot{a} \rho \chi \chi^{\eta}$ ．
ФI．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \lambda u \gamma i \sigma a \nu t o s ~ u ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \rho ̊ \omega ́ \mu \eta ร, ~$ oỉo $\mu \nu \kappa \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \mu \nu \kappa a ̂ т a \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~$
of Theodosius the Great with Meletius， the saintly and loveable Bishop of Antioch，compares it to the meeting of a son with a father after a long separa－ tion，oîóv $\tau i s$ siaîs $\phi i \lambda o \pi a ́ \tau \omega \rho ~ \triangle I A ~ X P O N O Y ~$ MAKPOY $\theta \in ́ a s ~ \pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \eta ̄ s ~ a ̉ \pi o \lambda a v ́ \sigma \alpha s, ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-~$ $\epsilon \pi \tau v ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau o ́ ~ т є ~ к а і ̀ ~ к а т є ф і ̀ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ o ̉ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu о и ̀ s ~$ каì $\chi \epsilon i \lambda \eta$ каì $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \nu a$ ．So St．Chrysostom noticing that the long cessation of pro－ phecy inspired the Jews with greater wonder at the appearance of the Baptist says（Hom．in Matth．x． 145 c），$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$

 $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ aùroùs tò $\chi$ ápı $\sigma \mu a$ ，кail $\triangle I A$ MAKPOY $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ m a \nu \eta ̄ \lambda \theta \epsilon ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v . ~$

1478．$\tau \hat{\jmath} \boldsymbol{s} \nu u \kappa \tau o ́ s.] ~ T h e ~ s c e n e ~ t h e r e-~$ fore dccupies an entire day：we began before daybreals，we end with night．

1479．Өє́ $\sigma \pi \iota s$ ．］＂The ancient Poets，＂ says Athenæus（i．39），＂Thespis，Pra－ tinas，Carcinus，and Phrynichus，were called ó $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \iota к o i$, dancers；because they not only used much dancing in the Choruses of their Plays，but they were
common dancing－masters，teaching any－ body that had a mind to learn．＂And to the same purpose Aristotle（Poet．iv．） says that＂the first Poetry of the stage was ó $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ ，more set upon dances than that of the following ages．＂I am citing from Bentley（Phalaris，Disserta－ tion xi．），by whom first this whole pas－ sage was properly arranged，emended， and explained．See the extract at the end of these notes．The extravagances into which Philocleon is now carried by the wine are in entire conformity with his previous character：the performances of Thespis and Phrynichus，the earliest composers of Tragedy，had naturally been the delight of his boyhood：and even throughout the austere duties of his dicastic life，he had cherished a pleasan＇t remembrance of their orchestral melodies．See supra 269．On the other hand，the gross scenes through which we have passed are as much out of keeping with Philocleon＇s antecedents， as they are，I am persuaded，with the

The long untasted wine, he grew so merry
He won't stop dancing all the whole night through
Those strange old dances such as Thespis taught;
And your new bards he'll prove old fools, he says,
Dancing against them in the lists directly.
Phil. Who sits, who waits at the entrance gates? Xante. More and more is this evil advancing!
Peil. Be the bolts undone, we have just begun; This, this is the first evolution of dancing.
Xanth. First evolution of madness, I think.
PHil. With the strong contortion the ribs twist round, And the nostril snorts, and the joints resound,
scheme of the Play as originally conceived in the mind of its author.
1480. крóvous.] ảpxaíous, $\mu \omega \rho o u ̀ s, \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho$ ous, àvauc日ŋ̀тous.-Scholiast. The term кро́vos, which involves the idea of being obsolete and out of date, is amusingly applied to the modern, as contrasted with the ancient, dancers.
1481. סıop $\chi \eta \sigma \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$.] The $\delta_{\iota}$ à signifies

 --Theocr. v. 22. Of. infra 1499; Knights, 1403; Peace, 1131, \&c.
1482. Ф14.] Xanthias has barely concluded, when a voice is heard within, clamouring for more space and freedom: the doors are thrown open, and in another instant the old dicast bounds upon the stage in the style and attitude of a tragedy-dancer, challenging the world to a trial of skill. As he skips and spins about, he calls the attention of the audience to the prodigious exertions required for the old-fashioned dances, as compared with those of modern days.

His langrage, adapting itself to the performance, is borrowed from the tragic
 tal, says the Scholiast. The present line is addressed to the $\theta u \rho \omega \rho \dot{\rho}$ s, who almays sat at the aủ入tcos $\theta \dot{\nu} \rho a$ of a wealthy house.
 entire line occurs in Clouds, 906; Frogs, 1018. And with $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{\rho} \chi^{\alpha} \chi^{a} \dot{a} \sigma \theta \omega$ rád $\epsilon$ Bergler has already compared Eurip. Iph. Taur. 1304; Helen, 1196; Hipp. 808.
1485. $\sigma \chi$ ŋ́ $\mu a т o s-\lambda v \gamma i \sigma a \nu \tau o s.] ~ B e n t-~$ ley was the first to arrange this passage rightly. See the extract below. "The old fellow," he observes, "begins to dance, and as he dances, he says, Make room there, for I'm beginning a dance that's enough to strain a man's side with the violent motion." Theodoret (Hist. Eccl. ii. 27) uses the expression $\tau \omega \grave{\text { à }} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$
 theatrical dancer.


1490
色A．т $\dot{\chi}^{\chi}{ }^{\alpha} \beta a \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \varsigma$.

$\pi \rho \omega \kappa т o ̀ s ~ \chi a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ ．胃A．катà баутòv öра．

бтрє́фєтаь $\chi$ а入ара̀ коти入 $\eta \delta \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．

ФI．фépє $\nu v \nu$ ả $\nu \in i ́ T \tau \omega ~ \kappa a ̉ \nu \tau a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ к а \lambda \omega ̂ . ~$
$\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \varsigma \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta$ ós $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ỏ $\rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ка入ิิऽ，




1489．$\pi i \theta^{\circ}$ eो $\lambda$ é $\beta$ opov．］This is equi－ valent to saying，＂The man＇s mad；＂ hellebore being the specific for cases of madness．So Plautus，Pseudolus iv．7．89， ＂helleborum hisce hominibus est opus．＂ And compare Horace，Satires ii．3．82．
 maxascript reading $\pi \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ in prefer－ ence to Bentley＇s celebrated emendation $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ，because any one who has seen a cock about to strike，must have ob－ served the manner in which it crouches and sidles down immediately before it delivers its blow．I imagine the old man to stoop，using the well－known proverb $\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Фрv́vıरos，but giving it a new turn by adding ${ }^{\omega} s \tau \tau s$ à $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ ékdaktiłcul．The servant，who sees what this stooping posture indicates，cries out Táxa $\beta a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ，and sure enough the fling immediately follows．See however the extract at the end of these notes． That the Phryoichus here mentioned is
in truth，as Bentley says，the ancient tragedian，the scholar of Thespis，is in my judgment conclusively established by the fact that these Phrynichean dances are tàpXaì è ккîi oì $\theta^{\prime} \sigma \pi t s$ $\eta \gamma \omega \nu i \xi \epsilon \tau \%$ ．And cf．supra 220．It is surprising that Sluiter（Lect．Andoc．vi． 120）and Meineke（Hist．Crit．149，and Vind．Aristoph．）should fall into the long－exploded error of supposing that Aristophanes is here referring to some unknown contemporary actor，whose existence is inferred from certain ob－ scure passages in Andoc．de Myst．47， and the Scholiast on Clouds，1091，and Birds，750．And the reason which they give is as surprising as the error itself． ＂Phrynichum，＂says Meineke，＂non esse nobilem tragicum ab Aristophane sum－ mis laudibus ornatum temporum ra－ tiones evincunt：is quo anno Vespæ commisse sunt，dudum ad plures abi－ erat．＂Surely nothing can be clearer

And the tendons crack. Xante. O, hellebore drink!
Phil. Cocklike, Phrynichus crouches and cowers, Xanth. You'll strike by and by.
Phil. Then he kicks his leg to the wondering sky, Xantr. O look to yourself, look out, look out. Phil. For now in these sinewy joints of ours The cup-like socket is twirled about.
Buel. 'Twon't do, by Zeus : 'twon't do : 'tis dowaright madness.
Phil. Come on, I challenge all the world to dance. Now what tragedian thinks he dances well, Let him come in and dance a match with me. Well, is there one, or none? Bdal. Here's only one.
Perk. Who's he, poor devil? Bdel. 'Tis the midmost son
than that Aristophanes is speaking of a Phrynichus qui dudum ad plures abierat, one $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\rho \alpha a i \omega \nu$, not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$. The entire scene turns on the fact that Philocleon is giving a specimen, not of modern dancing, but of the old-fashioned performances of the early stage as contrasted with modern dancing.
 ness perhaps the word $\sigma \tau \rho$ ह́ $\phi \in \tau a \iota$ should be applied, not to the socket, but to the thigh-bone which turns within it: as in Aristotle's definition of котv $\eta \eta \delta \omega \nu$ (cited
 (Hist. Animal. I. x. 3). The next two words, ouk $\in \mathfrak{v}$, are by Dobree annexed to Philocleon's speech, oủk $\epsilon \hat{v}$; $B \Delta . \mu a ̀ \Delta i$ ò̀ $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ d̀ $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu a \nu \iota \kappa \grave{a} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$. Good, eh? Bdel. No, no, by Zeus, 'tis downright madness. And this is both an ingenious and a prubable suggestion.
1497. àvín $\omega$.] See the note on Peace, 551. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon i \neq \omega \omega$ and $\kappa a \lambda \omega$ are in the sub-
junctive mood. The challenge itself is conveyed in the two following lines.
1501. Kapkivou.] Philocleon holds the lists against all comers, as the champion of the older tragic dances, the dances of Phrynichus and Thespis. Three representatives of the modern school of tragic dancing now enter, one by one, to accept his challenge, and contend against him in a trial of skill. They are the three deformed and stunted sons of Carcinus, the constant butts of Aristophanes for their preposterous dances, and their grotesque and ungainly gestures. The remainder of the Play is occupied by the contest, and enlivened by a running fire of jokes upon the name of Carciuus (Crabbe). So far as we can judge from the doubtful and conflicting statements contained in the Aristophanic Scholia, it would seem that Carcinus had four sons, though Aristophanes only speaks of three. These three were dancers in




1505





1510
the choruses of their father's tragedies, one of them, Xenocles (Thesm. 169, 440; Frogs, 86), being also himself a tragic poet. Another son was named Xenotimus, but the names of the third and fourth sons (if there was a fourth) are uncertain. In the Scholia on Clouds, 1261, the sons of Carcinus are called Xenocles, Xenotimus, and Demotimus: on Peace, 778, Xenocles, Xenotimus, and Xenarchus: on Frogs, 86, Xenocles, Xenotimus, and Xenoclitus: whilst another Scholiast there adds a fourth
name, Datis. The name of Datis is also given to a tragic poet, son of Carcinus, by the Scholiast on Peace, 289. Here too the Scholiast recognizes four sons,
 $\chi о \rho \epsilon \nu \tau a i$. . oủ ${ }^{3} \mu^{\prime}$ ש́ros. Meineke in his Hist. Crit., Epimetra i. De poetis comicorum numero eximendis, discusses these statements, but arrives at no satisfactory conclusion. The diminutive and ungraceful figures of the trio are ridiculed in Peace, 789, where Aristophanes calls them


The epithet $\gamma u \lambda a u_{\chi}{ }_{\chi} \in \nu a s$ may perhaps " 864, and the note there. Xenocles was excuse my translation of ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi i \lambda \omega \nu$, infra described by Plato Comicus (Schol. on 1513, by "wrynecks." See also Peace, Peace, 790) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \text { Kapкívov тâ̂s rồ } \theta a \lambda a \tau \tau i ́ o u .
\end{aligned}
$$

1502. катато日'̆бєтац.] From кататіиш. The first "Crabbe" that enters is (apparently) Xenotimus. Philocleon threatens to treat him as an edible crab.
1503. $e^{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon a$.] The competition is to be between the two styles of tragic dance, the Old and the New: and

Philocleon scornfully declares that the ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ or $\tau \rho a \gamma \iota \grave{\prime}{ }^{\circ}$ ö $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota s$ with which he will vanquish this puny rival is the
 timus in the first rudiments of the art.



Of poet Carcinus, the Crabbe. Phil. I'll eat him.<br>'Sdeath! I'll destroy him with a knuckle-dance.<br>He's a born fool at rhythm. Bdel. Nay, but look here!<br>Here comes a brother crab, another son<br>Of Carcinus. Phil. 'Faith, I've got crab enough.<br>Bdel. Nothing but crabs!'fore Zeus, nothing but crabs! Here creeps a third of Carcinus's brood.<br>Phil. Heyday! what's this? a vinaigrette, or spider?<br>Bdel. This is the Pinnoteer, of all the tribe

Scholiast on Frogs, 896. Athenæus, i. 37, citing several old Treatises on Dancing, says that the Tragic dance
 and the Satyric oikurvs. So Lucian de Saltatione, cap. 26, and the Scholiast on Clouds, 540. Again in xiv. 30 Athenæus says, $\delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ко́ $\rho \delta a \xi \xi \pi a \rho{ }^{\prime}$ "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ фортıк̀ेs,
 vii. pp. 814, 6) pronounces the Pyrrhic dance and the $\epsilon \mu \mu \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda \epsilon i a}$ to be $\sigma \pi$ ovôaia,
 dance of War and the dance of Tragedy being considered to express the harmonious and rhythmical feelings of a well-ordered mind. It was with this stately imeasure that Hippoclides commenced his performances in the great assemblage of suitors at Sicyon, Hdt. vi. 129: but it certainly was not with this dance (as Schweighæuser and the other Commentators there suppose) that he scandalized Cleisthenes, and "danced away his marriage." The corrupt passage of Hesychius (sub voc.) gives no countenance to their view : and Herodotus draws a clear distinction between the $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon t a$ with which Hippoclides
began, and the extravagant $\sigma \chi \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ which after an interval of rest he proceeded to execute.
 Scholiast. $\bar{b} \xi \mathrm{is}$ is everywhere used for a vinegar cruet; see Frogs, 1440, 1453; Plutus, 812; and there is no ground for the suggestion of Schneider and Conz that Aristophanes must here be applying the name to some insect. Brunck refers
 observes, " Sic res dissimillimas jungere solent, quum mirâ et insolitâ oblatâ specie, quo eam nomine appellare debeant, hæsitant."
1510. $\delta \pi \iota \nu \nu o \tau \eta \rho \eta s$.] This is the tiny crustacean (Pinnotheres veterum) about the size of a pea, and thence in modern times called the pea-crab, which ensconces itself within the shell of some living pinna, mussel, cockle, or oyster, but more especially the pinna. See Bell's British Crustaceans, pp. 121129; Wood's Natural History, iii. pp. 424 and 588. The pinna is a wedge-shaped bivalve which moors itself to the rock by a clot of short silky threads, called its byssus. It was supposed by the
ó $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \tau а т о \varsigma, ~ o ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta i a \nu ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
 ö $\sigma o \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o \varsigma} \kappa a \tau \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỏ $\rho \chi i \lambda \omega \nu$. ảтàp катаßaтє́ov $\gamma^{\prime}$ é $\pi$ ’ aủtoús $\mu \circ \iota^{\circ}$ ov̀ $\delta \grave{~}$




ancients to be a most helpless creature, unable even to cater for its own support, without the assistance of the little pea-crab. Accordingly the two combined together, and worked in unison for their joint subsistence. The pinna, hạving got its little guest safely lodged within, left its shell open : and so soon as any food came between the valves, the pea-crab gave its host a sharp nip, which caused it instantly to close its shells, and so to secure the prey. It was commonly supposed that the pea-crab was necessary to the very existence of the pinna, and that if it were removed, the pinna would die. Hence the little
parasite was called IItuvoripys or Iuvvoфú入a૬̧. 'H $\pi i v \nu \eta$, says Chrysippus apud




 $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta i o v \sigma \iota$ кo८ $\nu \hat{\eta}$. A similar account is given in Aristotle, Hist. Animal. v. 13; Plutarch de Solert. Anim. cap.30; Pliny, ix. 66; Allian, Hist. Anim. iii. 29; Cicero de Nat. Deorum, ii. 48; De Finibus, iii. 19. Oppian puts the story into some pleasing verses. Speaking of the pinna, he says,

There is no doubt of the constant presence of the pea-crab in the shells of these molluscs, but the theory invented by the ancients to account for the phænomenon has long been exploded. Here the term pinnoteres is applied to Xenocles because
 tophanes goes on to say: for unques-
tionably the comma which has hitherto been placed after rívous should be removed, and a comma should be placed instead after $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$, and after $\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa \rho \dot{o}$ tatos.
 absurdly attributes to evinaioias in this place the double meaning (which cüraus is said occasionally to bear) of "being a

The tiniest crab: a tragic poet too !
Phil. O Carcinus! O proud and happy father! Here's a fine troop of wrynecks settling down. Well, I must gird me to the fight: and you, Mix pickle for these crabs, in case I beat them.
('н. Come draw we aside, and leave them a wide, a roomy and peaceable exercisc-ground, That before us therein like tops they may spin, revolving and whirling and twirling around. O lofty-titled sons of the ocean-roving sire,
beautiful son," and "having beautififul sons." The phrase is used in precisely the same sense here, as in the account which Eusebius gives of the feelings wherewith the father of Origen regarded his illustrious child. He checked the boy to his face, the historian says, but often when his son was asleep he was wont to gaze upon him with reverential awe, kai TH乏 EYTEKNIA乏 MAKAPION €avtò̀ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta a t$ (Hist. Eccl. vi. 2).
1513. $\mathrm{o}^{\rho} \chi \mathrm{i} \lambda \omega \nu$.] Wrens. See the note on 1501 supra. катє́тє $\epsilon \in \nu$, pitched, like a flock of birds. " ó $\rho \chi i \lambda \omega \nu$ pro ó $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \omega \nu, "$ says Bergler, " propter similitudinem vocum. Indicat eos parvæ esse staturæ: mox eos $\tau \rho \iota \rho_{\rho} \chi a s$ dicit."
1514. катаßatéov.] Not, as Richter thinks, quia tam pusilli sunt, but because
 as the Latins said descendere in certamen. At the end of the line, $\mu o \sigma^{\circ} \sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is Hermann's excellent emendation for
$\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \zeta \nu \rho \bar{\epsilon}$, which had no meaning on the lips of Philocleon, and was probably borrowed from 1504 supra. The awkwardness was perceived by Bentley, who proposed to transfer the line to Bdelycleon; but Hermann's suggestion removes all difficulty, and softens the abruptness of the order given in the following line.



 ò $\pi \tau \eta \prime \sigma \omega$ aủroùs кaì фáy $\sigma$.-Scholiast. He is carrying out the idea expressed in the word кататоөívєтаи above.
1517. $\beta_{\epsilon \mu} \beta \iota i\langle\zeta \omega \sigma \nu$.] Cf. Birds, 1461

 $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$, say the Scholiasts, referring to the epigram of Callimachus (No. 1, Blomfield) already cited in the note on Clouds, 48,
1518. $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \dot{\prime} \imath v \mu a$.$] \quad Aristophanes$ means, I suppose, to contrast the highsounding names of the sons of Carcinus with their puny and insignificant per-
sons. They were $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu a$, though not $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda_{0} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \pi a$. The rest of the Play (omitting the two balf-lines) is written in a compound metre (à $\sigma v \nu a ́ p r \eta \tau o s, ~ s e e ~$
$\pi \eta \delta a ̂ \tau \epsilon \pi$ тарà $\psi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu a \theta o \nu$1520
є́к $\lambda a \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \tau \omega \tau \iota \varsigma$, ${ }^{\circ} \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$1525
1530
the note on 248 supra, and Bentley's Phalaris,Diss.xi.), which may be roughly described as a sort of iambic tetrameter catalectic, varied by the introduction of two anapæsts in place of the second, third, and fourth feet. Thus if in line 1529 we substitute $\pi \rho$ òs oủpayòv for oúpávov, we have the ordinary tetra-

 the same metre, stopping at the end of the second anapæst. The metrical scheme is as follows:

It may perhaps be represented to the English ear by the following translation of lines 1531-2,

For hither is creeping along | the Ocean Lord, their father; Well pleased with the feats of his sons | the three surprising dancers.

The same metre is found in Eccl. 580,
 Its nature is explained in Hephæstion's fifteenth chapter, and Gaisford's notes there. The auapæstic section of the verse is sometimes considered to end with the second anapæst (according to the scheme above), and then the second half is iambic: or sometimes it annezes the succeeding syllable, $\simeq .|\omega-|\omega-| \simeq$, and then the second half is ithyphallic, i. e. composed of three trochees, $-\sim|-u|$ -늬. It was on the latter principle that Archilochus, who invented these verses, generally divided them. Hephæstion gives the following example from Archi-
 $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ doiov. But subsequent writers used both cæsuras indiscriminately. The
first section of the line was also sometimes regarded as composed of an Ionic a majore and a choriamb, $\underline{\imath}-w \mid-w-$. Hephæstion gives several examples of the metre: and many more are collected, from Athenæus and elsewhere, in Gaisford's excellent notes.
1519. Өa入a $\sigma \sigma$ iooo.] So Kapkivov той Ga入artiov in the passage cited supra 1501 from Plato Comicus. And compare the

1522. карî $\delta \nu$.] These ungainly little performers are styled кapíow à $\delta \in \lambda \phi o i$, partly perhaps as a joke on their grotesque and dwarish figures: partly because, in their character of каркivoo, they would be fellow-crustaceans inhabiting the same localities: and partly because, in their character of dancers,

Ye brethren of the shrimps, come and leap
On the sand and on the strand of the salt and barren deep. Whisk nimble feet around you; kick out, till all admire, The Phrynichean kick to the sky;
That the audience may applaud, as they view your leg on high. On, on, in mazy circles; hit your stomach with your heel; Fling legs aloft to heaven, as like spinning-tops you wheel.
their amazing leaps and bounds might vie with the perpetual springing and frisking of the shrimps $\pi a \rho a ̀ \quad \theta i \nu{ }^{3}$ àds $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \tau \rho v \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ є́тоь. "Walking by the sea-side in a calm evening," says Dr. Paley in a well-known passage of his Natural Theology, chap. xxvi., "upon a sandy shore and with an ebbing tide, I have frequently remarked the appearance of a dark cloud, or rather, very thick mist, hanging over the edge of the water to the height, perhaps, of half a yard, and of the breadth of two or three yards, stretching along the coast as far as the eye could reach, and always retiring with the water. When this cloud came to be examined, it proved to be nothing else than so much space filled with young shrimps, in the act of bounding in the air, from the shallow margin of the water, or from the wet sand." Bergler compares the iambic line and a half cited by Athenæus (iii. 60) in his chap-

 timate of kapiồv is common: see Athenæus ubi supra and the next chapter.
 of course, of perpetual occurrence in Homer (Iliad, i. 316, 327, etc.).
1524. ты̀ Фрииі́хєьov.] Bentley appears
to take this as if it were кaлà тò Ф $\rho \nu \nu i_{\chi} \in \operatorname{Lov}$, in Phrynichus's way. See the extract at the end of these notes. And so Bergler and Brunck translate it, Phrynichi more, in movem Phrynichi. But I should rather suppose $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} к \tau \iota \sigma \mu a$ to be understood, тò Фриуíхєıои є́кла́ктєбна є́к$\lambda а к т \iota \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$. киклобоßєiтє is Dindorf's happy emendation, metri causâ, for $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ ки́кл $\omega$ боßєітєє.
 ley's conjecture for ${ }_{a} \hat{i}_{0 \nu \tau \epsilon s}$, is now fully confirmed by the MSS. A syllable was wanting after $\sigma \kappa \hat{\epsilon} \lambda o s$, and I have inserted $\bar{\omega} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ there. The Chorus seem to be joining in the dance, and I imagine that they here give a specimen of the action which they are recommending. See supra 279, 688, 1169; Peace, 57; Eccl. 200, and frequently elsewhere. The dance itself is evidently a caricature of the траүıкui ỏ $\rho \chi \eta$ そ́бєs: a grotesque and extravagant caricature, no doulbt: and Bergler says, "Cordacem saltant: huc enim respicit Scholiastes in Nub. 542, quum ait $\tau \dot{\nu}$
 There is certainly no other scene to which that Scholiast could be referring, but I do not know whether the term кópôa乡 would be strictly applicable to such a clance as this.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1535 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

 is described in terms which, as Bergler observes, are applied to Poseidon by Aschylus, Septem, 124. т $\quad$ робє́ $\rho \pi є \iota$ however is appropriate rather to каркivos the crab, than to the God of Ocean. With pírte oké入os oủpánıo above, Bergler compares Eur. Troad. 325, $\pi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ \delta \delta^{i}$ ai $\theta \in ́ \rho \iota o \nu$.

 --Scholiast. See note on 1513 supra. The $\tau p$ oó $\chi \eta$ g was a hawk (Birds, 1181) of the species represented by our well-
known Hobby, which is still called Hypotriorchis subbuteo.
1537. ó $\rho \chi \circ \dot{v} \mu \in \nu o s$.$] The novelty sug-$ gested is not that the Chorus should make their exit with a dance; which indeed, notwithstanding the Scholiast, I do not believe would have been any novelty at all: but that the Actors, unconnected with the Chorus, should themselves dance at the head of the procession. It is a satire on the extravagant straining after orchestral novelties which distinguished the sons of Carcinus, and

> Your Sire is creeping onward, the Ruler of the Sea, He gazes with delight at his hobby-dancers three. Come dancing as you are, if you like it, lead away, For never yet, I warrant, has an actor till to-day Led out a chorus, dancing, at the ending of the Play.
which caused Aristophanes and other comedians to describe them as $\mu \eta \chi a \nu o \delta i-$ $\phi a s, \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \chi^{a} \nu o v s$, and the like. See the passages cited in the note on 1501 supra. Here then is something for them to do which no man has ever done before, oùठєis $\pi \omega$ тápos $\delta \dot{́} \delta \rho a к \in \nu$. As this whole scene is avowedly a burlesque parody on tragic dancing and tragic dancers, I am not sure that we ought not, with several MSS. and all the early editions, to read $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta \omega \nu$ as the last word of the Play. $\tau \rho \cup \gamma ఱ \delta \omega \bar{\nu}$ however is found in the best MSS., and is now universally adopted.

And so, in the midst of wild revelry and excitement, ends the Comedy of the Wasps: the irony of fate, as Müller observes (Greek Literature, chap. 28), having brought about a revolution, the counterpart of that delineated in the Clouds. There, a father diverts his son from fashionable pleasures to pursuits adapted for litigation, and lives to rue the day when he succeeded in doing so. Here, a son diverts his father from litigation to fashionable pleasures, and is rewarded with a corresponding result.

I subjorn an extract from Bentley's Dissertations on Phalaris. In the Eleventh Dissertation, "Age of Tragedy," from which this extract is tiaken, he is engaged in showing that Tragedy properly so called, the Tragedy of Thespis and Phrynichus, was unknown in the days of - the tyrant of Agrigentum. In fixing the date of Phrynichus, he has occasion to contend that there were not, as some say, two tragic poets of that name, viz. (1) an elder Phrynichus, the son of Polyphradmon and scholar of Thespis; and (2) a later Phrynichus, the son of
 but that these two alleged Phrynichuses were really one and the same person. And after many other arguments, he proceeds to consider the manner in which Phrynichus is mentioned in the Wasps.
"Aristophanes in his Vespæ says that the old men at Athens used to sing the old songs of Phrynichus ${ }^{\text { }}$,

каi $\mu ı \nu v \rho!$ gov $\tau \in s \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \eta$

'Tis a conceited word of the poet's own making, and $\sigma \iota \delta \omega \nu$ which is one member in the composition of it, relates to the Phenisse (i.e. the Sidonians), a play of Phrynichus's, as the Scholiast well observes. Here we see the author of Phenissa (whom they suppose to be the latter Phrynichus) is meant by Aristophanes: but if I prove too that Aristophanes in this very place meant the Phrynichus Thespis's scholar, 'twill be evident that these two Phrynichuses (whom they falsely imagine) are really one and the same. Now that Aristophanes meant the scholar of Thespis will appear from the very words $\mu \hat{e} \lambda \eta$ dap $\chi$ aia, ancient songs and tunes. Ancient, because that Phrynichus was the second, or, as some in Plato thought, the first author of tragedy; and songs and tunes because he was celebrated and famous by that very character. Phrynichus, says the Scholiast on this place ${ }^{\text {b }}$, had a mighty name for making of songs: but in another place he says the same thing of Phrynichus the son of Polyphradmon, who, according to Suidas, was Thespis's scholar: He was admired, says he, for the making of songs ${ }^{\text {c }}$; they ory him up for the composing of tunes; and he was befure Fschylus ${ }^{\text {d }}$. And can it be doubted then,
a Arist. Vesp. 219.



any longer, but that the same person is meant? 'Tis a problen of Aristotle's, $\Delta$ à
 than any tragedian does now- $\alpha$-dayse? And he answers it, "H סıà $\quad$ tò $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma r a$
 т $\rho a \gamma \varphi \delta i a t s$. Was it, says he, because at that time the songs (sung by the Chorus) in tragedies were many more than the verses (spoken by the actors)? Does not Aristotle's very question imply that there was but one Phrynichus a tragedian?
"I will add one argument more for it, and that, if I do not much mistake, will put an end to the controversy. For I will prove that the very passage in Aristophanes, where the Scholiast, and Suidas from him, tell us of this (supposed second) Phrynichus the son of Melanthas, concerns the one and true Phrynichus the scholar of Thespis. The ancient poets, says Athenæus!, Thespis, Pratinas, Carcinus, and Phrynichus, were called ó $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \iota<01$, dancers; because they not only used much dancing in the choruses of their plays, but they were common dancingmasters, teaching anybody that had a mind to learn. And to the same purpose
 dances than that of the following ages. This being premised (though I had occasion to speak of it before), I shall now set down the words of the poet ${ }^{\text {b }}$,
which are spoken by a servant concerning an old fellow, his master, that was in a frolic of dancing. Who the Thespis was that is here spoken of, the Scholiast and Suidas pretend to tell us: for they say, 'twas one Thespis a harper, not the tragic poet ${ }^{1}$. To speak freely, the place hiss not been understood this thousand years and more, being neither written nor pointed right. For what can be the meaning of крóvous tò̀ עoûv P The word крóvos alone signifies the whole, and tò עoùv is superfluous and needless. So in another place ${ }^{j}$,

I humbly conceive the whole passage should be thus read and distinguished,

| e Arist. Probl. xix. 31. | f Atheuæus, i. 39. oi à $\rho \chi \alpha i ̃ o l ~ \pi o ı \eta \tau \alpha i ́ . ~$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| g Arist. Poet. iv. | h Arist. Vesp. 1476. |

g Arist. Poet. iv. h Arist. Vesp. 1476.

j Arist. Nubes, 929.

All night long, says he, he danees those old dances that Thespis used in his choruses: and he says he'll dance here upon the stage by and by, and show the tragedians of these times to be a parcel of fools, he'll out-dance them so much. And who can doubt now, that considers what I have newly quoted from Athenæus, but that Thespis $\delta$ d̀ $\mathrm{a} \chi$ रaios, the old tragic poet (who lived CXIV years before the date of this play), $\delta$ $\dot{\partial} \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \kappa \grave{o} s$, the common dancing-master at Athens, is meant here by Aristophanes? So that the Scholiast and Suidas may take their harper again for their own diversion: for it was a common practice among those grammarians, when they happened to be at a loss, to invent a story for the purpose. But to go on with Aristophanes: the old fellow begins to dance, and as he dances, he says,




So the interlocution is to be placed here, which is faulty in all the editions. Make room there, says he, for I'm beginning a dance that's enough to strain a man's side with the violent motion. After a line or two, he adds,

(Oi. Táxa $\beta$ а入入

Thus these words are to be pointed, which have hitherto been falsely distinguished. But there's an error here of a worse sort which has possessed the copies of this play ever since Adrian's time, and perhaps before. HTijove signifies to crouch and sneak away for fear as poultry do at the sight of the kite, or a cock, when he is
 d̀ $\lambda$ ék $\tau \omega \rho$, Phrynichus sneaks like a cock, became a proverb upon those that came off badly in any affair; because Phrynichus the tragedian came off sneakingly when
 to antiquity, I crave leave to suspect that this is a proverb coined on purpose, because the commentators were puzzled here. For, in the first place, to sneak avaay like a cock, seems to be a very improper similitude : for a cock is one of the most bold and martial of birds. I know there's an expression like this, of some nemeless poet's,

He sneaked like a cock that hangs down his wings when he's beaten $m$.

[^16]But this case is widely different: for the comparison here is very elegant and natural, because the circumstance of being beaten is added to it; but to say it in general of a cock, as if the whole species were naturally timid, is unwarrantable and absurd. As in another instance: he stares like a man frightened out of his wits, is an expression proper enough : but we cannot say in general, he stares like a man. I shall hardly believe, therefore, that Aristophanes, the most ingenious man of an age that was fertile of great wits, would let such an expression pass him, he sneaks like a cook. But, in the next place, the absurdity of it is doubled and tripled by the sentence that it's joined with: Phrynichus, says he, kicking his legs up to the very heavens in his dances, crouches and sneaks like a cock. This is no better than downright nonsense; though, to say something in excuse for the interpreters, they
 next verse. But, if the reader pleases to consult the passage in the poet, he will be convinced that the construction can be no other than what I have made it. 'Ekגak-



 their heets higher than their shoulders ${ }^{\circ}$. But I conceive here's a palpable fault in this passage of Pollux : for certainly this kind of dance would be very unseemly and immodest in women. And the particle $\gamma$ à $\rho$, for, does further show the reading to be faulty. For how can the throwing up the heels as high as the head in dancing, be assigned as a reason why the dance must belong to women? It would rather prove it belonged to men, because it required great strength and agility. But the error will be removed, if instead of $\gamma \quad \nu \quad a \kappa \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ we correct it $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. The dance, says he, was proper to the $\gamma v \mu \nu \kappa o \dot{c}$, exercisers; for the legs were to be thrown up very high, and consequently it required teaching and practice. Well, it's evident now, how every way absurd and improper the present passage of Aristophanes is. If I may have leave to offer at the emendation of so inveterate an error, I would read the place thus:
i. e. Phrynichus strikes like a cocle, throwing up his heels very lofty. This is spoken by the old fellow while he's cutting his capers; and in one of his frisks he offers to strike the servant that stood by, with his foot as it was aloft. Upon which the servant says, Táxa $\beta a \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$, you'll hit me by and by with your capering and kicking. $\Pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$ is the proper term for a cock when he strikes as he's fighting; as $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \rho o \nu$ is his spur that he strikes with. The meaning of the passage is this, that in his

[^17]dances he leaped up and vaulted like Phrynichus, who was celebrated for those performances: as it further appears from what follows a little after,



$\checkmark \Omega S \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ of $\theta \in a \tau a i$ P:
which ought to be thus corrected and distinguished,



${ }^{*} \Omega \zeta \omega \sigma t \nu$ oi $\theta \in a \tau a i ́:$
i. e. And, in Phrynichus's way, frish and caper ; so as the spectators, seeing your legs aloft, may cry out with admiration. Now, to draw our inference from these several passages, it appears, I suppose, sufficiently, that the Phrynichus here spoken of by Aristophanes was, as well as the Thespis, famous for his dancing, and consequently, by the authority of Athenæus quoted above, he must be óảpxaîos Фpúvıxos, the ancient Phrynichus, ó ó $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau<\kappa \grave{s}$, the master of dancing ${ }^{q}$. Upon the whole matter, then, there was but one tragedian Phrynichus, the scholar of Thespis."

P Arist. Vesp. 1524.
q We have part of an epigram made by Phrynichus himself (Plut. Sympos. viii. Quæst. 9) in commendation of his own dancing,

# APPENDIX <br> OF VARIOUS READINGS AND MISCELLANEOUS CRITICISMS. 

Ter following synopsis is constructed on the same principles as that contained in my Appendix to the Peace. For an exposition of those principles, and a brief narrative of the successive stages through which the Aristophanic text has passed, the reader is referred to that Appendix. The Wasps is found in the following MSS.:-
R. The Ravenna MS.
V. The Venctian.
P. The first Parisian (No. 2715).
II. The second Parisian (No. 2717).
F. The first Florentine (No. 31, 15 in the Laurentian Library).
$\Phi$. The second Florentine (No. 31, 16).
Vat. The Vaticano-Palatine.
The readings of the Ravenna and Venetian manuscripts are given in accordance with the collation made by Bekker; those of the two Parisian manuscripts, from Brunck; and the others, from various collations, as recorded by Dindorf.

The editions in my own possession, used in compiling this synopsis, are as follows:-
(1) Junta. Florence, 1515. (The editio princeps of the Lysistrata and Thesmophoriazusæ.)
(2) Gormont. Paris, 1528.
(3) Zanetti. Venice, 1538.
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(4) Farræus. Venice, 1512.
(5) Grynæus. Frankfort, 1544.
(6) Rapheleng. Leyden, 1600.
(7) Scaliger. Amsterdam; 1670. (This edition goes by the name of Scaliger because it contains some notes of his: but he is not responsible for the text.)
(8) Kuster. Amsterdam, 1710.
(9) Bergler. Leyden, 1760.
(10) Brunck. London, 1823.
(11) Bekker. Liondon, 1829.
(12) Dindorf. Oxford, 1835.
(13) Weise. Leipsic, 1842.
(14) Bothe. Leipsic, 1845.
(15) Holden. London, 1848.
(16) Bergk. Leipsic, 1857.
(17) Richter's Wasps. Berlin, 1858.
(18) Meineke. Leipsic, 1860.
(19) Holden's Wasps. London, 1865

Mr. Mitchell's edition of the Wasps (to the end of the Parabasis), London, 1835, merely follows the text of Dindorf. Dr. Holden's earlier edition was also based on Dindorf's text: in his last edition he substantially follows Meineke, a far less safe guide. Modern German criticism, as regards Aristophanes at least, is calculated rather to display the ingenuity of the critic, than to improve the text of the author. Alterations are introduced, without any semblance of authority or probability, apparently for no other reason than that they would, in the opinion of the editor, have done as well as the received and authorized reading. Fortunately each succeeding editor, whilst he introduces a host of novelties of his own, sweeps away all those introduced by his predecessor, so that we are never more than one remove distant from the genuine text.

Recent editors concur in numbering the lines as they are numbered
in the text of Brunck＇s edition．Owing to this convenient practice， references to Aristophanes have acquired a fixity and a uniformity which are wanting in references to Pindar and the Attic Tragedians．

2．ס̇ס́árкopau．This word is omitted in V ．

3．$\pi \rho o u \dot{\phi} \in i \lambda_{\epsilon t}$ ．The reading of the MSS．and most editions is $\pi \rho \circ \ddot{u} \phi \epsilon \lambda_{\ell \in S}$ ， for which Elmsley（at Eur．Heracl．241） suggests $\pi \rho \circ \dot{3} \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon t s$ ，and Hamaker sug－ gests $\pi \rho \omega ँ \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon$ ．Elmsley＇s suggestion is adopted by Dindorf，Bothe，Hirschig， and Bergk；Hamaker＇s by Meineke and Holden．Richter reads $\pi \rho \Phi \Phi^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s$ ． For äpa V．has åpa．
4．oīrAá $y^{\prime}$ ．R．V．vulgo．oīqAas．II． Branck．oif $\theta^{\prime}$ ．P．Ф．，whence Elmsley （at Ach．457）would read oico $\theta^{\text {oio }}$ o $\boldsymbol{\text { tò }}$ куต́ठа入оу．
5．$\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa \rho o ́ v . ~ R . ~ V . ~ K u s t e r, ~ r e c e n t i o r e s . ~$ $\mu$ ккрóv．P．П．Ф．Edd．veteres．

6．oủv．vulgo．av̉．R．Scaliger．
7．$\tilde{v}_{\pi} \mathrm{v}^{2}$ ．R．V．Bekker，and Dindorf． Invernizzi however transcribed $\cup \pi / \nu o \nu^{\circ}$ from R．，and this is approved by Meineke and adopted by Holden．$\ddagger / 0 \eta$ ．vulgo． For taî Hirschig，Cobet，Meineke，and Holden read roîv．
8．å $\lambda^{\lambda}$ 万ु．Bergler，Brunck，Dobree， Dindorf，recentiores．${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ．MSS．Edd． veteres．The words are frequently inter－ changed．See Hemsterhuys at Lucian＇s Necyomantia（iii．2，ed．Bipont），ov̉ros，
 nians，1111；Bp．Monk at Eur．Hipp． 936 ；Conington at Æssch．Choeph． 774. Bothe continues this line to Sosias，and thenceforward transposes the names of the speakers．

9．${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda$ ．V．omits this word．
11．The older editions，and V．，insert
 It was ejected by Bentley，Porson（at Hec．1161），and Elmsley（at Ach．127）． Their opinion was confirmed by R．，and is followed by all the later editors，ex－ cept Richter，who retains $\tau \iota s$ ，and Bothe， who substitutes $\tau$ ．
14．Hamaker proposes to read $\Sigma \Omega$ ．
 $\pi \dot{\pi} \pi о т$ ．
 aiéóv．R．Edd．antiquiores．So in Peace，133．In both instances I have followed V．；but the form aietòs can－ not be altogether excluded from Aristo－ phanes，since it is elsewhere supported by the whole force of the MSS．
16．кататта́ $\mu$ еуо．MSS．vulgo．ката－ $\pi \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. Brunck，Bothe，Meineke， Holden．
19．àmoßàєìv．àmo入aßєiv．P．Dobree has a fancy that some words may have dropped out，descriptive of the change of the eagle into Cleonymus，such as（he



21．岜A．$\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\eta}^{\prime} ; \Sigma \Omega$ ．So the line is divided by Bentley，Cobet，Holden， Bergk，Richter，and Meineke．In the MSS．，and generally，the whole is con－ tinued to Sosias．For $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \dot{\eta}$ Reiske would read $\pi a ̂ s ~ \delta \%$ ．According to Din－ dorf（not according to Bekker）V．has
$\pi р о є \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ for $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，and $\pi \rho о є \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$ is read by Bergk，Meineke，and Holden．Cobet suggests $\pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \nu \in i ̂, ~ a n d ి ~ s o ~ H i r s c h i g . ~ F o r ~$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ V．has $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．

22．тí тavтóv．Cobet，Hirschig，and Richter：and Meineke in his Vind． Aristoph．appears to approve of this reading（bat would also change ravtòv into тavtò öp）．Moreover it brings the passage into an exact accord with the actual riddle quoted．See the note on the line．Before Brunck the reading was of，$\tau t$ ，which is treated by the Scholiast as an Attic usage for $\tau i . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varrho} \hat{c} o, \tau \iota$ ，he

 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ ．Bergk too in his notes pre－ fers ö，$\tau \iota$ ．But no such Attic usage is known ：and Brunck introduced ótı， connecting it with $\pi \omega \bar{s} \delta \dot{\eta}$ ，＂How comes it that？＂And öt is found in most editions since Brunck（those editors who follow Beatley＇s distribution of the pre－ ceding line connecting ö ơ $\iota$ with $\lambda \in \in \gamma \omega \nu)$ ， and apparently in the MSS．$\tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \pi^{\prime}-$ $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$. R．V．Bekker，recentiores．＇In the old editions the line ran $\begin{gathered}\text { of } \\ \text { r } \\ \text { ravtò }\end{gathered}$
 （ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ．Ф．）was substituted for $\theta \eta \rho i o \nu$ in the following line．P．has $\tau \alpha v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \gamma \hat{\eta}$ A piov，and so Brunck and Weise．
 Dobree．

24．тoเoûtoy．R．V．vulgo．toıoûr＇． Bentley，Elmsley（at Ach．178），Bothe． No doubt the first syllable may be long， as infra 384 and in Asch．Suppl．277，
 it is usually short，and it is better to follow the MS．reading．Mcineke adopts тoooû＇in his text，bat repents in his notes．
 The suggestion is founded on a mis－ understanding of the true sense of the passage．See the note and the passage there cited from Alciphron：Hamaker would also make the words oủ $\mu$ à rovs $\theta$ oovs a part of Xanthias＇s speech．

27．тои́＇бт．R．V．vulgo．And this is right．Cf．Thesm．21．той $\sigma \tau^{3}$ ．Dindorf， Holden，Bergk，and Meineke．Bent－ ley seems to continue this line to So－ sias．

28．écтiv．V．Kaster，recentiores． $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$. R．Edd．veteres．
 Aristoph．

31．тикขi．R．V．Bekker，Dindorf， Holden，recentiores．$\pi \nu v \kappa i$. Edd．veteres．

34．тоїб т тоßа́тоıs．R．V．Bekker， Dindorf，Bothe，Holden，Meineke．toîs троßс́тоьбь．ceteri．For $\mu$ ойס́óкєь R．has ＇$\mu$ оибокеї．

35．фáخaıva．фád入aıva．Ru．both here and in line 39.

36．＇є $\mu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$. Bekker，Dindorf， Weise，Bothe，Bergk．$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. R． Meineke，Holden．$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ ．vulgo． $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu . \mathrm{V}$ ．iós．R．V．Gormont， Scaliger，Kuster，recentiores．ovós．Ald． Junta，Zanetti，Edd．veteres．

38．тоủvútтขıov．тeủvvaviov．Cobet．
41．8ı七бтávaı．R．V．Bekker，Dindorf， Bothe，recentiores．סuбтávєь．Edd．ve－ teres，Weise．

49．ä $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi{ }^{\circ} \stackrel{\mu}{\omega} \nu$. R．V．Bekker，Din－ dorf，Bothe，recentiores．${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s{ }^{\eta} \nu$. Edd．veteres，Weise．Bergk proposes


50．оข้коข้ к．т．入．＂Pro hoc versu V． habet $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ ク̈＜८or＂．＂Bekker．$\sigma \nu \mu$－ $\beta a \lambda \in i \nu . ~ P . ~ П . ~ Ф . ~ B r u n c k, ~ P o r s o n, ~ r e-~$
centiores．$\xi v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$. Edd．veteres．$\sigma v \mu$－ $\beta a ̈ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．R．

52．òßo入ف́．R．V．P．Gormont，Scaliger， Kuster，Bekker，Dindorf，Bothe，re－ centiores．¿ßßoえoús，Ald．Junta，Zanetti， Farræus，Grynæus，Rapheleng，Bergler， Brunck，Weise．

53．oṽ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ ．MSS．vulgo．oṽт $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．Geel， Cobet，Richter，Meineke，Holden．$\sigma \circ \phi \omega \bar{s}$ ． R．V．Bekker，Dindorf，Holden，Bergk， Meineke． $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\phi} \hat{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{s}$ ．ceteri．

55．ò $\lambda \hat{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ ät $\theta^{\prime}$ ．Scaliger，Kuster，Dawes （Misc．Crit．p．550，ed．Kidd），Bekker，
 Gormont．ó íya $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ．P．Ф．Brunck，and so most of the older editions．odi＇y＇
 Francini，Bekker，recentiores，except Meineke，who with the older editions reads тро́тєрои．

57．кєє $\lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu 0 \nu$. R．V．P．I．Gormont， Scaliger，Brunck，recentiores．кєк $\lambda a \mu-$ $\mu^{\prime}$＇vov．Edd．veteres and Scholiast．

58．oủ8́é．R．V．Scaliger，Kuster， Bergler．oṽтє．vulgo．
 －$\pi$ араррıттои̂vтє．Brunck．

61．àvaテє $\lambda$ уаıдо́ $\mu є \nu$ у．MSS．vulgo．ėva－
 Holden，Meineke．＂ảva in hujus verbi compositione valet rursus，iterum．＂ Brunck．V．inserts à between aủdus

62．K入є́ $\omega \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ．R．V．P．ח．Brunck，re－ centiores．K $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$（omisso $\gamma \epsilon$ ）．Edd．
 bet．Bergk seriously proposes $K \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu^{3}$ ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \lambda a \psi a$ ．

65．$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．Hamaker，not under－ standing the poet＇s meaning，alters $\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ into $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
66．фортккŋ̆s．фроутккฑ̄s．Zanetti and
 in the next line．
 Reisig，Hermann，Richter，and Meineke． Bergk proposes $\bar{u} \pi \nu \varphi$ ．

70．katєipgas．MSS．Scaliger，Bent－ ley，Kuster，recentiores．кa $\begin{aligned} & \text { ev́ďєı．Edd．}\end{aligned}$ before Scaliger．

72．ov̀ $\delta^{\text {a }}$ à $\xi v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda o c . ~ V . ~ B e k k e r, ~ r e-~$ centiores（except Richter）．oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ at $\nu \xi \nu \mu$－
 Richter．

73．топásєтє．тожásєтal．Ф．Farræus， Grynæus．

74．חроуáтovs．R．V．Dindorf，Holden， Bergk，Meineke．＂Dativum продáтєє est apud Isæum，p．65．18．＂Dindorf． M $\quad$ ovátov．ceteri．

75．The distribution of the dialogue which I have followed has been esta－ blished since the time of Scaliger，and is supported by the best MS．authority． The older editions gave the words $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 to Dindorf，does V．，though Bekker says otherwise）；and some gave the following line to Xanthias，getting back into the right arrangement at line 78．Meineke （followed by Holden）gives 74 and half 75 to Sosias ；the rest of 75 and 76 and 77 to Xanthias； 78 and half 79 to Sosias； the rest of 79 and 80 to Xanthias ； 81 and 82 to Sosias；and 83－136 to Xanthias．But the ordinary arrange－ ment is far better．Richter too divides the line before us，giving the words $d \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oưסèv $\lambda$ 白 $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ to Sosias．The Scholiast

 Meineke，and Holden mark a lacuna between 76 and 77.

77．фıло．філо́órкоs．R．For àp $\chi \grave{\eta}$

Hirschig conjectures，and Meineke，Rich－ ter，and Holden read，áp $\chi^{\eta}$ ．

78．$\Sigma \omega \sigma$ ias：Brunck reads $\Sigma \omega \sigma i a$ ，ob－ serving that Sosias was the name of a slave，and that slaves were not admitted as spectators．Dobree contests both propositions，referring to Demosthenes adv．Macart．1075；Xenoph．Anab．i． 2． 9 ；Antiphon，137，on the first point， and to Plato，Gorgias，p． 502 d ，on the second．The Scholiast also mentions two persons，apparently Athenian citi－ zens，of the name of Sosias．However， for the reasons given in my note，I dissent from the received opinion which makes Sosias here the name of one of the audience．
 Scaliger transposed these words contra metrum．

81．© Nikóotpat＇．R．V．P．I．Aldus， Junta，Gormont，Zanetti，Farræus，Gry－ næus，Rapheleng，Scaliger，Brunck，Por－ son，recentiores．$̄$ Nıко́oт patos．Francini， Kuster，and some of the old editions．

86．$\epsilon i$ 该＇$\pi \iota \theta v \mu \in i \bar{r}$＇．R．V．P．ㅍ． Brunck，Porson，recentiores．$\epsilon i \delta^{\circ} \eta \pi \pi-$
 Reiske．

90．каАі＇乌ŋта．каА̧́́ŋтаи．Bergk．R． and $V$ ．omit＇$\pi i$ ．

91．ópă．द̇pă．R．Tḥ̆s．tís．Zanetti， Farræus，Rapheleng．
92．катади́бŋ．V．P．I．Brunck，re－ centiores．катанú $\epsilon \iota$ ．Edd．veteres．кaтa－ $\mu \eta \nu \nu ́ \sigma \eta$ ．R．

93．méretat．R．V．Scaliger，Kuster， recentiores．$\pi \dot{\prime}$ тatal．Edd．veteres．
94．$\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\text {én }} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ R．vulgo．${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ．V． катє́ $\chi \epsilon \nu$ ．Hirschig．ф＇́pesv．Hermann， Meinoke．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu$ for $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \eta \eta^{\prime} \phi o ́ v \gamma^{\prime}$ Dobree．

97．$\eta_{\eta} \nu$ ．R．V．Bentley，Brunck，Porson， recentiores．${ }^{a} \nu$ ．Edd．veteres．

98．uíóv．R．V．Dindorf，recentiores． Tóv．Edd．veteres down to and including Bekker．But the first syllable of $\Pi v \rho!-$ $\lambda a ́ \mu \pi o u s$ is short．Bentley proposed rò̀ тoû．

99．$\pi а \rho \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon . \quad \pi а \rho \epsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon \nu$ ．R．
100．$\overline{3} 8 \%$ R．V．vulgo． $\bar{\eta} \delta \epsilon \nu$. Р．П．Ф． Brunck．à $\phi$ ’ é $\sigma \pi$ ќpas．R．V．Bekker， Bergk，Richter，Meineke，and Holden． ${ }_{\epsilon} \phi{ }^{\prime}$ éeont́pas．P．II．ceteri．For ôs Din－ dorf，Hamaker，añ̀ Bergk propose，and Meineke reads， $\mathrm{\omega}^{\mathrm{s}}$ ．

101． |  |
| :---: |$\psi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. R．V．Bekker，re－



103．єidùs $\delta^{\circ}$ à $\pi \mathrm{d}$ ．R．V．Gormont，Sca－ liger，Kuster，recentiores．$\epsilon \hat{v} \dot{v} \dot{s}$ àmó， Edd．veteres．For סopतクŋrov̂ Florent Chretien，Scaliger，and Kuster have ঠортьтто仑．
105．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi$ б́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs．vulgo．$\pi \rho о \sigma \iota \sigma \chi$ о́－ $\mu e v o s$. Hirschig and Meineke：from
 тробібхєта．For кion Reiske proposes $\pi \rho j i z u$ ．
108．àvaтєплабнévos．R．V．Bekker， Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．imoneт入a $\mu^{\prime}$ и́vos．Edd．veteres．

109．$\delta$ eías．$\delta \eta{ }^{2} \sigma a s . R$.
110．光 $\chi$ ot．R．V．P．Dindorf，Holden， Bergk，Richter，and Meineke．Exp． vulgo．$\delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta \zeta \iota \nu$ ．$\delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ ，Lenting，Mei－ neke（Vind．Aristoph．）．Meineke re－ moves line 135 from its proper position， and inserts it after the present line， where it is clearly out of place．
 mont，Scaliger，Porson，Bekker，recen－

 ler．

117．$\delta \mathbf{\delta}$ ．Here and in 119，124，126， and 129，most of the old editions have ＂ $8{ }^{\circ}$ ．
118．кảká ${ }^{\prime} a t \rho^{\prime}$ ．R．Brunck，Bekker，re－ centiores．каккá̈aup’．＇V．Gormont．кai кá－ Aapє．P．I．Zinetti，Farrawus，Rapheleng， Scaliger．raì＇ká̇aıpe．Junta，Grynæus， Kuster，Bergler．For av̉ròv Meineke in his Vind．Aristoph．proposes to read aúròv， suggesting that Bdelycleon himself went through a course of lustration in the ex－ pectation that his father would follow his example．$\delta \delta \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\mu$ á̀a．R．V．Gor－ mont，Scaliger（in notes），Bekker，Din－ dorf，Holden，recentiores．каi má入a． vulgo（with no stop at the end of the line）．Mitchell proposes to give каì $\mu$ á $\lambda a$ to Sosias，comparing Clouds，1326； Frogs，890．Bothe reads ó $\delta \hat{\varphi}$ нá入a． The meaning is that the lustrations and purifications had no more effect than the previous exhortations．
119．$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тov̂т＇．R．Bergk，• Meineke． Cf．Frogs，143，1024；Thesm．631，655； and an excellent note by Fritzsche on the last－mentioned line．$\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a v ̂ \tau^{\prime}$ ．vulgo．

120．cis．R．V．and most of the later editors．és．ceteri．A strenuous battle has been waged over cis and c＇s，some wishing to extirpate one form，and some the other；but nothing is plainer than that Aristophanes used both forms indif－ ferently ：and I shall not hereafter notice variations of this description．
 Bekkex，Bothe，Bergk，Richter，Holden， and so Dindorf in his test，though in his notes he prefers the common reading． Meineke on the contrary has $\delta \bar{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta}$ in the text，and $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau a$ in his notes．Cf．Lys． 523；Eccl．195，315， 827.
124．кє $\gamma \kappa \lambda i \delta \partial \iota . \quad \gamma เ \gamma \gamma \lambda i \delta \delta \iota$. V．
 R．V．Bekker，and Dindorf in his text， though here again in his notes he recalls the old reading．Dobree says that Por－ son conjectured，though with doubt， ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \in \phi \rho o \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu \quad a \nu$ ，and he himself approves of that conjecture，proposing however

 aủ̃ò $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \xi \\ \xi\end{gathered} \phi \rho \epsilon$ ．Meineke conjectured $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \notin \rho \rho \epsilon \iota \mu$ óvov，but in his Vind．Aristoph．


129．кo入otós．Eustathius on Homer＇s


 And Bergler therefore（see Burmann＇s preface to Bergler＇s Aristophanes，p．7） proposed to read kópotßos in the line be－ fore us；an ingenious suggestion；but there can be no manner of doubt that the ordinary reading is correct．

134．т $\omega \delta$ í．R．V．Francini，Gormont， Scaliger，Kuster，Bergler，Bekker，recen－ tiores．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$. P．П．Edd．veteres，and Brunck．
135．фриаүнобєниákovs тıvás．V．Suidas （s．v．），and Kuster there，Florent Chre－ tien，Scaliger（in notes），Brunck，Porson， recentiores（except Meineke）．ỏ $\phi \rho v a \gamma \mu$－ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \mathrm{vakov} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\tau ivous}. \mathrm{II}. \mathrm{\Phi}. \mathrm{Edd}. \mathrm{veteres}$. фрvаүнобє $\mu \nu$ акоvбтivovs．R．P．Meineke． зфрvaүнобє $\mu \nu \quad \beta v \sigma \tau \iota к$ oús．Bentley．

136，137．Hamaker transposes these two lines．

139．$\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \hat{j} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$. R．Bekker，Dindorf，
 veteres．${ }^{〔} \xi \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．V．
 read the passage．$\pi$ o $\lambda \epsilon i \mathrm{i} a \iota$ occurs inBirds，
 Brunck, recentiores. $\mu v \sigma \pi$ пो $\epsilon i$ it $\tau s$ кata$\delta \epsilon \delta v \kappa \dot{\omega} s$. II. Bentley, Porson. $\mu \nu \sigma \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau u s$
 $\delta \epsilon \delta \nu \kappa \dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Aldus, Junta, Zanetti, Farræus, Grynæus, Rapheleng. $\mu v \sigma \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau \iota s ~ o ̈ \sigma t \iota s ~$ ката $\delta є \delta v \kappa \omega ́ s$. Francini, Gormont, Scaliger, Kuster, Bergler. Bentley suggested also
 posed to divide the line and read Serv.
 $\stackrel{a}{a} \theta \rho \epsilon$.
142. $\Sigma \Omega$. R. V.P. Brunck, recentiores down to Bergk, who makes Xanthias the speaker throughout the ensuing dialogue, and is followed by Meineke and Holden.弘A, and $\Sigma \Omega$. Richter. OIK. Edd. veteres.
143. B $\Delta$. The prefix was omitted in the editions before Brunck.
145. दुṽдov tivos. R. Bekker, Dindorf, recentiores. rivos šùdou. V. Edd. veteres, Weise.

146. ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$. | $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho . ~ R . ~$ |
| :---: |

 (except Francini and Gormont) down to and including Bekker, and so Weise,
 tra metrum). R. Francini, Gormont. The reading in the text is confirmed by the
 (get in and be hanged to you,) and is indubitably correct. Unfortunately some commentators object to the $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma$-, and hence various alterations for the worse have been proposed. oikét' ' $\rho \rho \rho_{\eta}^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon$. Elmsley (at Ach. 42), Bothe, and Bergk. oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ द̂ $\rho \rho \eta_{j} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$. Dindorf, Hermann, and Meineke. oùk à $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon t s . ~}^{\text {. }}$ Fritzsche (at Thesm. 657).
 Bekker, Meineko (in notes), Holden. ëtepos. vulgo.
151. $\nu \mathrm{v} v . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e k k e r, ~ r e c e n t i o r e s, ~$ except Richter, who with the older editions reads $\nu v v$ i.
 older editions down to and including Bekker, and Weise, Bothe, and Bergk read OIK. $\pi a i ̂ ~\lceil\grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu \ddot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$, except that after Brunck ork. was changed into $\Sigma \Omega$., and that Bothe and Bergk omit the prefix altogether. But R. and V. leave a lacuna in the place of $\pi a \hat{i}$, and so Dindorf, who however suggests $\sigma$ ú. Bergk
 and Holden, after Hermann, omit the

 think that Hermann must be right in reading $\bar{\omega} \theta \epsilon \bar{i}$, otherwise we have no indieation that Philocleon has shifted his ground from the chimney to the door: lout if we are allowed to fill up the lacuna at our pleasure, $\nu \hat{v} v$ seems to me more appropriate than $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}$; or we might read $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \delta^{\circ}$ avं ; and I think that this half-line must certainly belong to Sosias: whilst the directions from $\pi i \epsilon \xi \xi$ to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho \omega \dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau a$, which are usually continued to Sosias, should clearly be attributed to Bdelycleon, who is throughout the commander-in-chief conducting the operations, and who would not leep silence at this crisis. For the second $\nu v \nu$ Aldus and Junta have $\nu \nu$.
154. катаклєî̀os. ката́клєєठos. V. ката$\kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} \delta o s$. Meineke.
155. фú $\lambda a t \tau \epsilon ́ \theta^{\circ}$. MSS. vulgo. фúגat $\theta^{\circ}$. Elmsley (at Ach. 178), Dindorf, and so (omitting the stop at the end of the preceding line and connecting кaì тoù $\mu \circ \chi \lambda$ où фи́גаттє к.т.ג.) Hermann, Bergk, Meineke, and Holden. фu入̀̇ттє ${ }^{\prime}$ ' Rapheleng, Reisig.
156. $\mu$ царо́татоt. R. V. I. Ф. Florent Chretien, Bentley, Brunck, recentiores.
 Bergler, Paulmier. But Bdelycleon is now with the servants.
157. Sıка́боитá $\mu$ ’. R. V. P. п. Florent Chretien, Bentley, Bergler, recentiores. The older editions had סiкабóv $\tau \iota \mu$ '.
158. The prefix $\Phi I \Lambda$. before $\delta$ o $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \theta \in \partial s$ was omitted in all editions antecedent to Bergler, who seeing that these words must belong to Philocleon, gave him the whole three lines 158-60. The present line was rightly divided by Bentley and Brunck, who also first added the note of interrogation (will that vex you?) after $\phi \epsilon$ 'fors. For $\phi$ '́pous the editions vefore Scaliger varied between $\phi$ '́p $\bar{s}$ (Aldus, Junta, Gormont, Grynæus) and ф'́pets (Zanetti, Farræus, Rapheleng). Bdelycleon's part in the ensuing dialogue 158-169 is transferred to Xauthias by Beer, Bergk, Meineke, and Holden; and divided between Xanthias and Sosias by Richter.
159. $\mu$ ой $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. R. V. P. П. vulgo. $\mu 0 \iota^{\prime \prime} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. Kuster, Brunck, Weise.
160. то́тє. то́тє. Junta, Zanetti, Farræus, Rapheleng. à̀ тóтє. Lenting, Meineke, and Holden. Lenting also suggests тór $\tau^{\prime}$ ä $\nu$.
161. The old editions which ascribed the preceding speech to Bdelycleon, naturally gave this to Philocleon. This too was set right by Bentley and Brunck.
162. є̈кфрєs. Buttmann, Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Richter, and Meineke. єєкфєрє. MSS. vulgo. ёкффєє conjecit Brunck, recepit Bothe.
164. тоi»vи. R. V. II. Brunck, recentiores. тoinv $\gamma^{\prime}$. Edd. veteres. roinvv. P. with $\gamma^{\prime}$ added by a later hand.
165. B $\Delta$. omitted in Junta and Gormont.
166. סótє $\mu$ оє छi申os. R. V.P. Bruncl, recentiores. סóte छi申os. Edd. veteres.
167. тı $\mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu, ~ " т \iota ~ \tau \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa u ́ \nu . ~ D a t e ~$ quanto ocyus ensem vol $\pi \iota \nu a ́ k \iota o \nu$ aliquod incidendi vim habens, h. e. quo cerebrum homini diminuam," Dawes. "In hac crisi Dawesii acumen desidero. Mihi certe Aristophaneum quàm maxime videtur ut senex $\phi i \lambda \eta \lambda \iota a \sigma \tau \eta$ s, ímò $\delta v \sigma к о \lambda i a s$
 alicui minatur, poscat gladium aut-tabellam damnatoriarn : qualem scilicet, gladio non minus mortiferam, vibrare solitus sit." Tyrwhitt.
168. áv ${ }^{2}$ pentos. Dawes, Bekker, Dindorf, recentiores. äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi o s$. M.SS. Edd. veteres. סpaбкiє. R. V. Bentley, Dawes, Bergler, recentiores. ठpá $\sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon$. Edd. veteres. ठрáбєєєц. P. Kuster. ठрáбєє. П.
171. кä̀ ${ }^{\prime} \gamma{ }^{\prime}$. R. V. Francini, Scaliger, Bekker, recentiores. kàvòs a̋ $\nu$. Vat. Edd. veteres.
 R. V. I. Francini, Frobenius, Scaliger, Kuster, Bergler, and Belker.
 are given to Philocleon by Bergk, Meineke, and Holden.
175. ¿̋v’ av̉тóv. R. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Richter, and Meineke. ìva $\theta a ̂ t \tau o \nu . ~ V . ~ E d d . ~ v e t e r e s, ~ W e i s e, ~ a n d ~$ Bergk.
176. таv́тך. MSS. vulgo. Scholiast (who explains it $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ). $\quad$ av́ $\tau \eta$. Hirschig, Meineke.
 á $\xi \in \iota \nu$ סок $\omega^{\prime \prime}$. Elmsley (at Eur. Med. 1322). $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu \delta 0 \theta \in \nu$. Cobet, Bergk, Meineke, Holden. Richter gives lines 177-181 to Xauthias.

K k

178．Bergk alters this line to＂ $0 \pi \omega s \delta^{\circ}$

179．т $\dot{\mu} \mu \in \rho о \nu . \quad \sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu$. V．Bergk gives the whole of lines 179－182 to Xanthias．
181．＇osvaréa $\tau u \nu^{\prime}$ ．R．V．Elmsley（at Ach．127），Bekker，Dindorf，Bothe，re－ centiores．＇Oסvaréa $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \nu$ á $\gamma$＇．Edd．veteres and Weise．
 Scaliger．＂î̀w．念．vaí．Hirschig．ìo vaì （giving the whole line to Bdelycleon）． Beer，Meineke，Holden．＂io $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．Rich－ ter．

184． |  |
| :---: |
| $\nu$ |$\omega \pi \pi^{\prime}$ ．R．V．P．Ф．Dawes， Brunck，recentiores．à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi^{\prime}$ ．Edd．ve－ teres．Bentley suggested à $\nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \quad$ from the Homeric formula $\tau i s, \pi \delta \theta \epsilon v$ ，$\epsilon i s$ à $\nu \delta o \omega ̄$ ；

184－5－6．ovitus is the proper accentua－ tion of the proper name：but V．and most of the older editors have it ouñts． ＂IAakos too in 185 is in V．and some edi－ tions accentuated＇i $\theta a k o ́ s$.

185．Oúris $\sigma v$ ；R．V．P．$\Phi$ ．Bentley， Dawes，Brunck，recentiores．O ̇̃oós $\sigma v$ ． Edd．veteres．＇Atoठ $\rho a \sigma \iota \pi \pi i$ ©ov．Elmsley （at Ach．601），Dindorf，recentiores．à à̀ $\Delta \rho a \sigma \iota \pi \pi i \delta o v$. Edd．veteres．
186．$\gamma \epsilon$ ovi．R．Elmsley（at Ach．601）， Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．$\gamma \in \sigma \dot{v}$ 㿠 $\sigma \epsilon$ ．
 Weise．Dobree and Fritzsche（at Thesm．
 $\chi^{\alpha} \uparrow \rho \eta \eta_{1}, \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \sigma \dot{0}$ ．Richter，following Elms－ ley，puts a note of interrogation after Oủtis．
189．кллті̄роs．кратйроs．Vat．
190．${\text { éá } \sigma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \text { ．R．V．P．П．Brunck，recen－}}^{\prime}$
 R．V．Bekker，Bergk，Meineke，Holden． ñouxov，vulgo．

191．иахєі．Bekker，Dindorf，Weise，

Holden，recentiores．$\mu$ áxq．Editions before Brunck．$\mu a ́ \chi \in i$. R．V．П．Brunck， Bothe，Conz．$\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$ was written $\nu \omega ̈ ้ \nu ~ b e-~$ fore Brunck．

196．каì $\sigma a v \tau o ́ v . ~ \sigma \grave{̀}$ кà̉tóv．Richter．
 Holden．
200．${ }^{\prime} \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ．$\quad{ }^{\mu} \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon$ ．R．$\nabla$ ．
201．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon i$ ．$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon s$ ．Brunck，with a full stop at the end of the line：and so Conz．Dobree doubts whether we ought not to read $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ סoкóv．
 R．V．$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda i ̂ \sigma o v . ~ C o b e t, ~ R i c h t e r, ~$ Meineke，Holden．$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \dot{u} \lambda \iota$＇．Dobree．
 むцои．Edd．before Brunck．ló $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ． Dobree．

207．B $\Delta$ ．So Bentley，Bergk，Meineke， Holden．In the MSS．and old editions these four lines are given to a servant， but the last line at all events belongs to Bdelycleon．Brunck in his version gives the whole to Bdelycleon；but in his text he makes Bdelycleon＇s speech commence with $\nu \dot{\eta} \Delta i$ ．The latter arrangement is followed by Bekker，Dindorf，and Weise． Richter makes Bdelycleon＇s speech com－ mence with $\pi \circ \hat{v} \pi o v ̃$ ．I have followed Bentley in giving him the whole．dúnp． Brunck，recentiores．àvíp．MSS．Edd． veteres．

208．$\mu$ ot．vulgo．$\mu$ ov．R．V．Suidas， Bekker．
 An $\mu \in \nu$ ．Porson（at Eur．Orest．581）， Elmsley（at Eur．Heracl．805）；but Dobree shows that $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa о \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \mu \in \nu$ is the proper form for a military watch．Rich－
 saying in his usual manner，＂aoristus non placet：certe exemplis careo，＂where－
as in trath the aorist is the usual tense after тi oủk．Cf．Lysistrata，181；Elmsley at Heracl． 805.
 according to Dindorf．

216．$\nu v ิ \nu . ~ \nu u ̂ \nu ~ \gamma ' . ~ V . ~ \Phi . ~ B o t h e . ~$
217．रoûע— $\nu u ̂ \nu . ~ G o r m o n t, ~ K u s t e r, ~$ Brunck．$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho-\nu \hat{v} \nu$. R．V．I．Francinj， Bekker．$\gamma$ oû $-\gamma \epsilon$ ．P．Ф．Aldus，Junta， Bergler．$\gamma \dot{a} \rho-\gamma \epsilon$ ．Zanetti，Farræus， Grynæus，Rapheleng，Scaliger．$\gamma^{\prime}$ å $\rho$ ’ －$\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ．Porson，Dobree．$\tau$ á $\rho^{\prime}-\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ．Din－ dorf（ed．Oxon．1846），Bothe，Lenting， Bergk，Meineke（in notes），Holden．$\nu \hat{u} \nu$ －ráp．Reisig，Dindorf，Weise，Richter， and Meineke．

218．$\gamma^{\varepsilon} \pi а \rho а к а \lambda о \hat{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime} . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e k k e r, ~$ recentiores．тарака入оиิ $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．П．тарака－ גov̂бı $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．Brunck，Weise．$\pi a \rho a k a \lambda o u ̄ \nu \tau \epsilon ́ s ~$ $\mu^{\prime}$ ．Edd．before Brunck．

220．ảp $\chi^{a \iota o-. ~ d ’ ~} \chi^{a i ̂ a ~(a ~ s e p a r a t e ~ w o r d) . ~}$ R．Meineke．$-\mu^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \lambda \eta-$ ．$-\mu \epsilon \lambda t$－．Aristarchus （in the Scholiast），Suidas，Dindorf， Holden，Bergk，Meineke．－$\mu \in \lambda \epsilon-$ ．Rich－ ter．Bergler would write $\mathfrak{a} \rho \chi a \hat{\imath} a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ ； Dobree á $\rho \chi \alpha \iota \circ-\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \eta$ ．

226．Ф．ö．R．кai．R．omits this word．

227．$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu . ~ \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu . ~ V . ~$
228．є́áv．R．V．Francini，Gormont， Scaliger，Kuster，Elmsley（at Ach．127）， Bekker，recentiores．éáv $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ．Vat．Tdd． veteres． éd $^{\prime} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ．Reiske，Hermann，Reisig．
 In R．this and the next line are given to Xanthias．

232．крєіттшу．R．V．P．П．Brunck， recentiores．кןєitтov．Edd．veteres．
 ＇${ }^{2} \tau \alpha \theta_{i}$ Xáß ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ ．Hamaker，Meineke， Holden ：and so Bergk in his notes． Bergk would also read $\pi a \rho \in \sigma \tau i$ for ${ }^{\ddagger} \rho^{\prime}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$, and transpose this and the preceding line．
 $\pi a \pi a u i \xi$ ．V．Bekker，recentiores，except Weise．And so（ $\gamma$＇omisso）R．and（ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau^{\prime}$
 тai，тaтaák．P．Brunck．And so（with $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ or ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau^{\prime} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ for $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma \tau L \nu$ ）Edd，veteres． A．nd（with $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}\right)$ Weise．

237．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau 0 \bar{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ．R．V．Francini， Gormont，Scaliger，Kuster，recentiores． тєрьтатойעтєs．Edd．veteres．

239．$\eta^{\prime} \psi о \mu \epsilon \nu$. R．Brunck，recentiores，


240．$̄ \nu \delta \rho \in s$. R．V．Ф．Bekker，recen－ tiores，except Weise and Bothe．ävס́pes．
 （Vind．Aristoph．）wonld omit $\nu v \nu i$ ，and read $\notin \sigma \tau a \iota ~ к а к \omega ̂ s ~(o r ~ \delta i ́ к \eta) ~ \Lambda a ́ \chi \eta \tau \iota . ~$

241．$\sigma i \mu \beta \lambda_{o \nu} \quad \sigma i \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu . ~ V . ~ ф а \sigma \iota . ~$ $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ R．

242．$\chi \theta \in \in s$ oủv．vulgo．$\chi \theta \in \grave{s}$ yoûv．Her－ mann，Richter，and Meineke．Meineke （Vind．Aristoph．）would omit $\mathrm{K} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ，and


244．ко入шرє́vovs．H．Stephanus，Por－ son，Bekker，recentiores，except Weise． $\kappa \circ \lambda \omega$
 in notes．колоицє่́оия．Edd．veteres， Weise．калоu $\mu \in ́ \nu$ оиs．R．Ф．ク̉ठíк $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu . ~ v u l g o . ~$ $\eta{ }_{\eta} \delta i \kappa \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ．Meineke（from a conjecture of Bergk），Holden．ả入入á．V．Bentley， Porson，Dindorf，recentiores．ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ 人áp （contra metrum）．R．Edd．veteres．

245．$\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．$\sigma \pi \epsilon v \dot{v} \omega \mu \in \nu$ ．V．
247．$\lambda i \theta \omega \nu$ ．Reisig．See the note supra． $\lambda i \theta_{o s}$ V．Bergk，Richter，Meineke，and Holden．$\lambda a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$. R．vulgo．$\tau \iota s{ }^{i} \mu \pi o \delta \omega ̀ \nu$ $\eta_{\eta \mu a ̄ s . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e n t l e y, ~ T ' y r w h i t t, ~ B e k k e r . ~}^{\text {．}}$ T＇he early editions had $\tau \iota s{ }_{j} \eta \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ُ \mu \pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$, contra metrum ：for which Brunck and Porson read $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ tıs $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \omega$.

248．For the metre see the note on this passage．In this line où is inter－ polated by R．V．P．Edd．veteres ：omit－ tunt Florent Chretien，Bentley，Porson， Brunck，recentiores．R．and V．begin the line with $\bar{\omega}$（or $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ ）тóv．One $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \in \rho$ is omitted by Farræus，Zanetti，Grynæus， and Rapheleng．

249．$\chi a \mu \hat{a} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．R．P．Bekker，Dindorf， Bothe，recentiores．$\chi a \mu \dot{r} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ov̀ $\nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ．Edd． veteres．$\chi a \mu o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．V．$\chi a \mu \dot{\theta} \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \sigma \dot{v} \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ． Weise．Here $\sigma \dot{v}$ is interpolated by R． P．Ф．before тóv．

250．$\mu$ оь．V．Brunck，recentiores．$\mu o t-$ $\gamma \epsilon$ ．R．$\mu$ oí $\gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．P．Edd．veteres． $\pi \rho \circ \beta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. R．V．P．Brunck，recentiores． $\pi \rho o \beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. Edd．veteres．

251．$\tau i$ ס̀̀ $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．vulgo．＂Sed urit me pruritus emendandi，et nescio quo modo malim hic legere $\pi i ́ \delta \dot{\eta}$ тaA＇́v．＂ Florent Chretien．He resisted the idle impulse however，which is more than can be said for Brunck，who is followed by Meineke and Holden．The expression $\tau i \delta \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \dot{\omega} \nu$ is at least as probable in itself，and is supported by the entire force of the MSS．here ：in Acharnians， 826：and in Lysistrata，599，тí $\mu a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ oủk
 obviously out of place．）And I am by no means sure that we should not in

 polated before $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ by P．Ф．$\theta \rho v a \lambda \lambda i \delta^{\prime}$ ． өpva入ís＇．V．

252．$\nu \hat{u} \nu$ is interpolated before 今ิvóqтe by P．$\Phi$ ．And $\sigma \dot{v}$ is added at the end of the line by R．P．$\Phi$ ．Edd．veteres：omit－ tunt V．Brunck，recentiores．

253．$\tau i$ is interpolated before $\tau i \mu \iota o \nu$ by P．$\Phi$ ．

254，коขסú入ots．vulgo．кovঠúvoı

V．P．Ф．$a \cup ̉ \theta_{t s}$ av̉ roîs．Cobet，Rich－ ter．

255．ä $\pi \iota \mu \in \nu$ ．ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．V．av̉toí．ả̉． $\theta$ ols．Cobet，Richter．

256．тоvтoví．тоvтoí．$\nabla . \gamma \epsilon$ is inter－ polated before this word by P．$\Phi$ ．

257．$\pi$ ov is interpolated before $\tau v \rho \beta \dot{a}-$ aets by P． $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ．

258．Meineke（Vind．Aristoph．）would place this verse between lines 255 and 256．$\gamma \epsilon$ is interpolated before $\mu \in i \zeta$ ovas by P．$\Phi$ ．

259．$\mu$ ои．$\mu \eta$ ．R．тоц．Meineke（Vind． Aristoph．）．ßópßopos．vulgo．$\beta$ ápßapos． V．мá $\mu$ a $\rho o s$. Hermann，Bergk，Meineke， and Holden．Meineke however in his Vind．Aristoph．perceives that this would break the nexus of the speech，and there－ fore proposes to mark a lacuna after this line，or begin the next with oủk $\stackrel{\dddot{\epsilon}}{ } \sigma \theta^{\circ}$ ö $\pi \omega$ s $\delta^{2}$ ．$\nu \hat{u} \nu$ ，is interpolated before фaivevaц by P．Ф．татоиิขтє．татоиิขта．V．

260．$\gamma \epsilon$ is interpolated before тєттáp $\omega$ by P．$\Phi$ ．

261．$\delta \dot{\eta}$ is interpolated before rò $\boldsymbol{y}$ by P．$\Phi$ ．

262．Hermann gives this and the following line to the boy．тoíctv．R．V． Kuster，recentiores．тoí $\iota$ ．Edd．veteres． ठ̀̀ тoî $\iota$. P．$\Phi$ ．

263．ötav roût＇श．Florent Chretien， Elmsley，Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．
 $\Phi$ ．Hamaler and Cobet would omit this line：and Meineke agrees with them．R．and $\nabla$ ．insert o $\xi \in \dot{v} s$ before ย ยє

264．$\pi \rho \varphi ุ a . ~ P o r s o n, ~ B e k k e r, ~ D i n d o r f, ~$ Bothe，recentiores．$\pi \rho \dot{\omega}$ ïa．R．V．$\pi \rho \omega ́-$ ï $\mu$ ．P．Edd．veteres．$\pi \rho \hat{\varphi} \mu a$ ．Scaliger， Bentley，Brunck，Weise．For $\mu \eta$＇$\sigma \tau \iota$ Hamaker would read $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ є́vtí．

265．$\delta \overline{7}$ is interpolated before $\beta<\rho \epsilon t o \nu$ by P．Ф．Hamaker would change $\beta$ óptoo into $\theta^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon 0 \nu$ ．
 is interpolated before $\tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \delta \varepsilon$ by P．$\Phi$ ． $\dot{\omega}$ ．${ }^{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ．Cobet．

267．$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ is interpolated before $\delta \in \hat{\nu} \rho o$ by P．$\Phi$ ．

268．ö $\delta$ is interpolated before $\bar{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ by P．$\Phi$ ．

269．à ${ }^{\text {ä }} \delta{ }^{\circ} \omega \nu$ ．R．V．Bentley，Dawes， Branck，recentiores．à ad́ó $\alpha \nu$ ．Edd．ve－ teres．$\tau i$ is interpolated after Фpuvixov by P．$\Phi$ ．áu $\dot{\prime} p$ ．Dawes，Belker，recen－ tiores．à $\nu \grave{j} \rho$ ．vulgo．

270．$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ is interpolated before $\sigma \tau a \dot{\partial} \nu a s$ by P．ф．
271．ékka入civ．V．Bentley，Dawes， Brunck，recentiores．ék $\kappa \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu_{0}$ R．P． Edd．veteres．＂Hoc est，＂says Dawes， ＂sed mihi commodum o viri videtur，ut hìc（extra domum）stantes canendo eum （e domo）ejiciamus．At mihi，o viri，per－ quam absurdum et contradictorium vi－ detur．Nunc vide，inter hæc tam sto－ lida et veram Comici manum quid in－
 eum evocemus，vel potius é̀ккалєїन $\theta^{\prime}$ ，h．e． éккалєî̈ $\theta a i$ ．Nempe hoc ipsum est quod supra ab his senibus fieri solere dixit


 id intercedit discriminis，quod illud sim－ pliciter evocare hoc ad se evocare de－ notet．＂For ${ }^{\eta} \nu \mathrm{P}$ ．and $\Phi$ ．have éáv．

272．є́pmv́vn．V．Florent Chretien，Por－ son，Brunck，recentiores．ę $\xi \in \rho \pi v \dot{\sigma} \eta$ ．R． P．$\Phi$ ．Edd．veteres．

273－289．The modern arrangement of this $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ os is due to Hermann．In the older editions not only was the metre
confused，but even the antistrophical character of the song was lost．

273．ou่．oủxi．Bentley．
274．àmо入ө่入єкєь．Hermana，Dindorf，

275．$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \in к о \psi^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu$. Bentley，Dindorf， recentiores．тробєкочє．Edd．veteres． $\pi р о \sigma \epsilon ́ к о ф \in \nu . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e k k e r . ~$

276．The line $\epsilon i \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu$ aùrồ does not correspond with the line $\delta i a$ тồ ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \delta \delta v v \eta \theta$ eis in the antistrophe ：Her－ mann proposes to change it into $\lambda i \theta \omega$ ，
 but possibly $\pi 0 \delta o \delta s, \epsilon^{i l \tau} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \phi \lambda \hat{}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \mu \eta \nu \in \nu$ would be better．For $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \phi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \nu \epsilon \nu$ Bentley sug－ gested $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \lambda \in \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \eta \nu^{\prime}$ à $\nu$ or $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ： Meineke conjectures $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \gamma \eta \mu^{\prime} \nu$ ：and Richter（from conjectures of Reisig and
 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \mu \eta \nu \in \nu$ ．Bothe makes various altera－ tions in these lines without authority or probability．For тò $\sigma \phi u \rho o ̀ v V$ ．has тòv $\sigma \phi \nu \rho o ́ v$.
277．$\beta$ ovß $\omega \nu \iota \dot{q} \eta$ ．Brunck added a $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ to suit the metre in the old arrangement． 278．à $\nu$ è $\pi \epsilon \epsilon\left(\theta \epsilon \tau^{3}\right.$ ．R．V．П．vulgo．à $\nu \epsilon$－ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon_{\epsilon \tau^{\prime}}$（junctim）．P． ．Dobree．

279．ónót＇．ónótav．Bentley．

 interpolated（without any authority）by Hermann，Richter，Meineke，and Hol－ den，from verse 290：a singularly per－ verse corruption of a genuine text．The
 $\mu^{\prime}$＇ oos is over and the Chorus are prepared to proceed．They would be quite out of place here．It is impossible that the Chorus should tell the boy to lead on， while they were yet in the very midst of their song．
281．$\chi^{\theta \iota \xi \iota \nu o ́ v . ~ H e r m a n n, D i n d o r f, W e i s e, ~}$

Holden, recentiores. $\chi \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu^{\prime} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$. vulgo. $\chi \theta \in \sigma \iota \nu o ́ v$. R. V. Bekker. For $\delta \iota \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \xi$.
 $\lambda \omega \nu$.
282. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ © $\begin{gathered}\text { s кai. Hermann, Dindorf, }\end{gathered}$

 Richter. Bergk conjectured $\tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \bar{\omega} s$.
283. סià tov̂r'. ס̀à tov̂t' oûv. Bentley.

285. do $\nu \eta$ íp. à $\nu \dot{\eta} \rho$. R. V. Fdd. veteres.
286. oṽ่ $\omega$ s. oű $\pi \omega$. V.
287. $\sigma$ єavtơy. R. V. Bekker, recentiores. नavtóv. Edd. veteres. - $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ avtóv $\gamma^{\prime}$. Bentley.
288. тaxús. taxús. R.
289. ö $\pi \omega$ s द̀ $\gamma \chi u \tau \rho \epsilon$ iis. R. V. Bekker,

 $\tau \rho \iota \epsilon i s$. Bentley.
290. This line is attributed to the $\pi a i s$ by Junta, Gormont, and Kuster.
 $\pi a \hat{1}$, so as to make two complete Ionics a minore.
291. Kiehl proposes $\epsilon^{2} \theta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon_{l}^{\prime \prime} \tau$ $\mu 0 \iota \omega \nu \nu \bar{i}$.
296. I have placed the words $\bar{\omega} \pi a i$ in brackets. There is,nothing to correspond to them in the antistrophe, and they may have been derived from the prefix חAIE which immediately follows. Bothe reads $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon \pi a i ̂$.
297. тaлтia. V. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. $\pi a \pi i a$. R. Edd. veteres.
298. $\mu$ à $\Delta i$. R. Bekker, recentiores.
 Dobree, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. $\kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \mu o \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. R. Edd. veteres, Weise. к $\rho \epsilon ́-$ $\mu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$. V .
299. où täpa. Elmsley (at Ach. 323), Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. oút' "ápa.
R. oữ' å âa. V. Bekker. oủk ăpa. Edd. veteres, Weise.
 Brunck, Weise. $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \rho i o u . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e k-~$ ker, Dindorf, Botbe, recentiores. $\mu \sigma \theta a-$ píou vîv. Edd. veteres, Weise.
301. aủzóv. R. V. Brunck, recentiores. aủtón $\tau^{\prime}$. Edd. veteres. aùvóv $\gamma^{\prime}$. Bentley.
302. Hermann interpolates in the commencement of this verse ${ }^{7}$, $\epsilon$. from the antistrophe: and he is followed by Meineke and Holden. But the ejaculation in the antistrophe is plainly extra metrum. $\sigma \hat{k} \kappa \dot{A} \mu^{\prime}$ aiteîs. R. V. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. vî̀ (wvui. Bentley) $\sigma \hat{\kappa} \kappa \alpha^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ aìteîs. Edd. veteres, Weise. עûv $\sigma \hat{k}{ }^{\prime}$ aitcis. Brunck, so converting this speech into three anapæstic dimeters.
 308. íf९óv. MSS. vulgo. ípòv єìmeiv. Hermann, Dindorf, Holden, and Meineke: but the addition of $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$ perverts the sense, and it is far better to onit the words $\underset{\omega}{Z}$ maî in the strophe. ifpòv is
 should be written in the text) the old editions had ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ̀ s$, and $\mathbf{R}$. has Eגas.
309. àmataî фє仑̂. àmađâ̂ фєv̂. Hermann, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. à àa$\pi a i, \phi \in \hat{v}$ (once only). MSS. Edd. veteres.
310. oủk. R. V. П. Brunck, recentiores. oükovv. Edd. veteres.
 Bothe, Bergk. $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. $\Phi$. Aldus. $\quad \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon$. Vat. Edd. veteres. $\dot{\sigma} \delta \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. Scaliger, Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Weise. ómót $\nu$ $\tau \delta$. Cobet, Richter, Holden. $\dot{\delta \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu} \delta \bar{\eta}$. Meineke.
312. $\mu \eta$ ŋ̄ $\epsilon \rho$. $\mu$ ât $\tau \rho . \Phi$. Bothe, Richter. 313. ì' к.т.ג. This verse is trans-
ferred to the Choras by Cobet，Bergk， Meineke，and Holden：who compensate the boy by giving him the succeeding lines．

 кıó $\nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ．R．V．Gormont，Scaliger，Kuster， Bergler，Bekker．$\gamma^{\prime}$ à $\rho a \sigma^{\prime}$ ढे $\theta \mathrm{\nu} \lambda$ áкıóv $\gamma^{\prime}$ ． P．Junta，Zanetti，Farreus，Grynæus，
 $\gamma^{\top}$ ä́ $\rho a \sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\text {® }}$ Өu入áкıov．Weise．

316．${ }^{\prime \prime}, \epsilon_{\epsilon} . \quad$ Bentley suggested $a \hat{\imath}$ ，$a \hat{\imath}$ ．
317．тク́конає $\mu \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e k k e r , ~}$ Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．$\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \mu \tilde{\nu}$
 Hermann，Richter．

318．itaкпíw．MNS．vulgo．Cobet would prefer є̇такоv́шv，and Meineke，fol－ lowed by Holden，alters the text accord－ ingly．But imakovev is the strictly pro－ per word to be used of one who hears from within．And compare supra 273. ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ yà oủX oîós $\tau^{2}$ ．R．$\Pi$ ．Brunck，Por－ son（at Eur．Hec．1161），Bekker，Bothe， Meineke．So（with à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ à $\tau \dot{a} \rho$ for ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ）V．ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\chi$ oĩos $\tau^{\prime}$ ．P．Edd．veteres． à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oios $r^{\prime}$＇$\epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．Dindorf，Holden， Richter．${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oủ $\chi$ oìos $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime}$ ．Weise． $a^{1} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oiós $\tau^{\prime}$ ．Bergk．

319．åd $\delta \epsilon \tau \nu$ ．idєîr．Dawes，Porson（at Eur．Hec．1161），Bothe．т $\quad \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota \delta^{\prime} . \mathrm{R}$ ． Bekker，recentiores．т $\eta \rho о \hat{\jmath} \mu$ ．V．Edd． veteres．＇̇̇ $\pi \epsilon$ i．R．V．vulgo．kaí．Vat． Aldus，Junta．

320．$\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \mu \in \theta^{3}$ ．P．（with mávv written above） $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ．Hermann，Reisig，Dindorf，re－ centiores．$\pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \iota \nu \quad \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ．Edd．veteres． $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \pi a ́ v v ~ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ．R．V．П．Brunck，Bekker， Bothe．

323．${ }^{\text {B Z }}$ Zє̂̀ $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ аß $\rho o ́ \nu \tau a$ ．Reisig，Bergk．



 Dindorf，Holden，Richter．$\dot{\hat{\omega}} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ß \rho o ́ v \tau a$ ．Meineke．Bentley would make here two Aristophanic lines，the first＇being à $\lambda \lambda$＇ $\bar{\omega} Z \in \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \gamma a \beta \rho o ́ v \tau a$ ка̀ $\delta \dot{\eta}$


324．$\eta^{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ ．R．V．Gormont，Scaliger， Bekker，recentiores．каí $\mu$ к．Edd．vete－ res．кả $\mu \dot{\text { é．Brunck，Weise，Bothe．}}$

325．Про६ॄvi̊ๆ $\nu$ ．V．Scholiast，Bent－ ley，Porson，Tyrwhitt，Dindorf，recen－ tiores．П $\rho 0 \xi \in \nu$ lá $\delta \eta$. R．Edd．veteres． 326．廿єvסaцá $\mu a \xi v \nu . ~ v u l g o . ~ \psi \in v \delta o \mu a ́-~$ $\mu a \xi v \nu$. R．V．Gormont，Zanetti，Farræns， Grynæus，Rapheleng．

327．$\sigma \pi$ ó $\delta \iota \sigma o \nu . ~ \sigma \pi$ óvóเซov．V．
328．סıatıvөa入є́є̨．Scaliger would read


331．єis．R．V．Scaliger，recentiores． ＇s．Edd．veteres．

334．ó тav̂тá $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．MSS．vulgo．oṽvtav̂－ Өá $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．Meineke．But тaṽтa refers to the wish which Philocleon has expressed of
 $\kappa а \tau а к \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ．V．Several recent editors
 Bergk，Richter，Meineke，Holden．Cf． infra 775，and the now commonly re－ ceived reading in Eccl．420．tàs 日úpas． R．vulgo．tàs $\theta u ́ p a s ~ a ̀ \pi о к \lambda \epsilon і о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~$ $\tau \omega ิ \nu \quad \forall u \rho \bar{\nu} \nu \tau a ̀ s$ àkoàs，says St．Chrysostom of the uncharitable（Hom．xxxvii．in Matth． 421 A）．But here both parti－ ciples should govern the $\sigma \epsilon$ ．

338．ধ̈ $\phi \epsilon \xi \iota \iota$. R．Schol．Bekker，recen－ tiores．द́ $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \nu$. V．Edd．veteres．Bent－ ley suggested à $\phi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$（i．e．á $\pi \sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \omega \nu$ ）， Dobree and Reiske $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \in \xi \omega \nu$ ．For ${ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha^{\prime}-$ таıє Burges proposed 屯 $\mu$ а́тatos，and for $\delta \rho a ̂ \nu$ Brunck read $\delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．đ̄ $\mu$ átaıe seems to be an expression of incredulity on the part of the Chorus．
 vulgo．But the corresponding line，$a^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\pi} \pi a \gamma \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu{ }^{\prime} \theta_{o \nu}$ ，infra 370，is the first half of an ordinary iambic senarius．And accordingly Bergk，Richter，and Holden
 $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ ，and Hermann riva $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ $\delta^{\circ}$ ．

340．$\delta \rho a ̂ v$ ．Brunck suggested $\delta \rho a ̂ ̣ \mu^{\prime}$ ．
342．$\Delta \eta \mu о \lambda о \gamma о к \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ．R．vulgo．$\Delta a \mu о-$入оуоклє́ $\omega \nu$ ．Ф．ठ $\eta \mu о \lambda о ́ \gamma о$ о $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ．V．All editors retain $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma \sigma \kappa \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，although many have hazarded conjectares on the
 bertsma and Meineke．$\Delta \eta \mu \cap \lambda о \chi о к \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ． Bothe．$\Delta \eta \mu о к \lambda о \nu о к \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，or $\Delta \eta \mu о \gamma є \lambda о$－ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．Reiske．K $\eta \mu \circ \beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．Burges． Bothe reads $\bar{\omega} \Delta \eta \mu \circ \lambda о$ ок $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．\％$\delta \delta$ is added by Hermann，Dindorf，Holden， recentiores．

343．ờт $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ov́ к．т．入．öт८ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s \tau \iota$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} s$ ．R．P．（in marg．）$\Pi$ ． Bekker，Dindorf，Bothe，Bergk．So （omitting $\tau \iota$ ）V．，and（omitting $\tau \iota$ and reading тả̉ $\eta \theta \epsilon ̇ s)$ Brunck，Weise．єi̋mep $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả̉ $\eta \theta \epsilon \grave{s} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu$ ．P．（in textu）Edd．veteres．$\tau \dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \in \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ ö $\nu$ ．Porson． The $\sigma v$ is added，metri gratiâ，by Richter， Meineke，and Holden．Hermann supplied the missing syllable by changing $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ into $\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ or Top $\omega \nu a i \omega \nu$ ．For $\nu \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ Bentley suggests $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，as if the hostility of Bdelycleon had been called forth by the dicastic denunciations against the younger generation of whom he is in this Play the special representative． And so Reiske．I have made a slight alteration in the division of these lines．

344．ov̉ $\gamma \dot{a} \mu$ ă $\nu$－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \boldsymbol{s} \hat{\eta} \nu$ ．These lines were formerly given to Philocleon． Hermann continues them to the Chorus， in which he is followed by Dindorf and
all the recent editors．In 344 R．omits
 tiores．є́тóл $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ．Edd．veteres．

346．ék тои́т $\omega \nu$ ．V．vulgo．ék $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. R．

347．тovoí．V．Florent Chretien，Bent－ ley，Brunck，Porson，recentiores．тoûठє． R．Edd．veteres．

348．$\pi a ̂ \nu ~ a ̛ \nu . ~ R . ~ B e n t l e y, ~ D a w e s, ~$ Brunck，recentiores．ầ omittunt V． Edd．antiquiores et Weise．moooi $\eta$ ．R． V．Francini，Elmsley（at Heracl．1017）， Bekker，recentiores．тоьoí $\eta \eta$ ．Edd．ve－ teres．
 oiós $\tau^{\prime}$ 光 $\nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．Porson（Præf．Hec．）．oiós
 Porson，Brunck，recentiores．＇js．P． ． Edd．veteres．Bentley also proposed to retain $\bar{\eta} s$ ，and prefix ovk to the line． סıopvjat．Hesychius mentions that ס $\langle a-$ $\lambda^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \xi a \iota$ meant the same as $\delta t o p v ́ \xi a \iota$ ．There－ upon Hermann suggests that $\delta \iota a \lambda$ égaı may have been used here．Thereupon Meineke actually reads $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi a \iota$ here，and he is as usual followed by Holden．On such light grounds is the text of Aristo－ phanes corrupted．

351．ค́ áкєб兀ע．R．V．Brunck，recen－ tiores．ค̣ákєбı．Edd．veteres．

355．îєts．iєís．R．taxéws öтє．R．V． Bekker，recentiores．öтє $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ ．Edd． veteres．

356．е̇кєivé．е̇кєìo．R．
358．єंфúخaтт＇．R．V．P．II．Florent Chretien，Dawes，Brunck，recentiores． ＇́申ú入artєע．Edd．veteres．
 Brunck，recentiores．$\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ ón $\pi \lambda o \iota s$ ．Edd． veteres，so making the first syllable of ör ${ }^{\text {onds }}$ long．

365．каі̀ $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．＂Malim $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ каı $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ．

Sed vide Pac．316，Ran．734．＂Dobree． Meineke（Vind．Aristoph．）adopts this conjecture，but does not actually intro－ duce it into the text．kai $\nu \hat{\imath} v$ is merely an emphatic $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ．
366．$\mu \in \lambda$ ítтov．V．vulgo．$\mu \in \lambda i ́ t t o v$. R． Scaliger，Kuster．＂Longum est $\lambda \bar{i}$. ．＂ Bentley．
368．$\Delta i k т v \nu \nu a ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ ．$\Delta i k \pi v \nu a ~ \sigma v-$ $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ ．R．
370．ётаує тйע．R．V．Bekker，recen－
 and Weise．

378．тaî $\theta \in a i ̂ v . ~ V . ~ v u l g o . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. R．Dindorf，who however in his notes returns to the received reading．Cobet and Bergk suggest，and Richter，Mei－ neke，and Holden read，roî $\theta$ єoiv．
381．$\zeta \eta \tau \eta \eta r o v . ~ R . ~ V . P . ~ I . ~ B r u n c k, ~ r e-~$ centiores．$\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i \not \tau o u$. Edd．veteres． $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma$－ каланаَ̂Өai．V．Bekker，Dindorf，Holden， recentiores．ékка入а $\mu a ̃ \sigma \theta a l$ ．Edd．veteres． ̇̇̀калана̂бөaı．R．
383．ătavtes кад̀є́бavtes．MSS．vulgo．
 neke，Holden：a probable emendation． For $\begin{gathered}\omega \\ \\ \text {＇}\end{gathered}$ some of the older editions have ofs $\tau$ ．
 Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．тà тoauìтa （omisso ëg $\sigma a \iota$ ）．R．Edd．veteres．ह̈́ctal đ̀̀ roatâra．Bentley，Tyrwhitt，Branck， Belker，Weise．ধ̌ío＇tà touầra．Reisig， Richter．

385．zoívy．R．V．ח．Bruncl，recen－ tiores．roivvv $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．Edd．veteres and Bothe．$\mu a \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．MSS．vulgo．Bent－ ley suggested $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ．

386．катак入айбаעтєs．V．Bentley，Por－ son，Branck，recentiores．кגav́бaptes．R． Edd．veteres．

389．кєха́ $\eta$ चаи．кєха́ $p \neq a t . \mathrm{R}$ ．
390．סakpviototy．V．P．Bruncly recen－ tiores．Sakpóoıvı．R．Edd．veteres．à $\epsilon$ i． R．vulgo．aici．V．and many of the edi－ tions．This line is accidentally omitted in Grynæus．
 Cobet．

395．$\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu{ }^{\prime}$ ．$\quad \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ ．R．Bergk transfers to Xanthias the part of Sosias in this dialogue．

396．סuaठ̀̀s é $\bar{\lambda} a \theta \epsilon y$ ．Porson，Meineke， Holden．Cf．supra 212．$\delta$ iad́vécat．MSS． vulgo，with a syllable short．Brunck in his text inserted õ̃ठe after $\gamma \in \rho \rho \omega$（and so Weise），but in his notes prefixed ou to Bdelycleon＇s succeeding speech，and so
 סıaóvíal．Bothe．
 （Præf．Hec．），Meineke．$\mu$ láp ${ }^{\prime}$ oviros．Reisig．

398．тaî̃ty．V．Brunck，recentiores． taîcı．R．Edd．veteres．
399．${ }^{\eta} \nu . \quad \stackrel{\pi}{\mu} \mu$. R．єi．V．$\pi \rho v ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu$. Elmsley（Museum Criticum，ii． 278 note）， Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．$\pi p u ́ \mu \nu a v$. MSS．Edd．veteres．катаß $\quad \sigma \varepsilon$ ．ката－ $\beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ ．R．
400．бто́боィбя．V．Fl．Ch．Scaliger（in notes），Bentley，Porson，Brunck，recen－ tiores．óтócoıs．Edd．veteres．ónóroo． R．This line is continued to Bdelycleon by Junta，Gormont，Zanetti，Farræus， Rapheleng，Kuster，and Bergler．
 $\mu \eta$ ．Ald．Junta，Gormont，Zanetti， Farræus，Rapheleng．

407．èvt＇́tatal．Hermann．èvtétat＇．

408．Өaıдátıa．R．V．P．I．Brunck，re－ centiores．Doıuátıa．Edd．veteres．$\lambda a$－ ßóvers．R．V．P．II．Edd．ante Brunck

Richter．$\beta$ a入óvtes（written above 入aßóy tes in P．）．Brunck，Bekker，Dindorf， Weise，Bothe，Bergk，Meineke，Holden． $\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ is more commonly used in that sense．R．inserts $\tau \dot{a}$ between $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau a$ and таıঠía．

410－414．Hermann made three at－ tempts to reform these lines．First in his book de metris，oûros ốт тóvó $\lambda_{\text {ó－}}$
 Secondly in a communication to Dindorf，
 $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \mid \mu \eta$ ）$\delta \iota k a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ סíkas．Thirdly（apud


 Enger．is far happier，$\mu \iota \sigma o ́ \pi \sigma o \lambda \iota \nu$ ảz ${ }^{\circ} \mu^{\prime}$＂$\epsilon \phi^{\prime}$
 Síкas．Dindorf proposed $\mu \iota \sigma$ ómo入ı ov̄̃тos
 Sikas．Brunck，who did not recognize the antistrophical character of the chorus， reduced the two last lines into trochaics，
 סıка́Sєєข．For öть Bergk reads óvtıs， Richter ös．The words is xpףे are omitted by Dindorf，Weise，and Meineke．

415．кєкра́үатє．R．Cobet，Bergk，Rich－ ter，Meineke，Hulden．кєкрá $\begin{aligned} & \text { єтє，vulgo．}\end{aligned}$ 416．This line is commonly given to the Chorus，and the next to Bdelycleon． The latter was restored to the Chorus by Bentley，Tyrwhitt，Gray，Dobree，Din－ dorf，recentiores ：and the last five words of verse 416 were then given to Bdely－ cleon by Dobree，Hamaker，Bergk， Meineke，and Holden．is rov̂ $\delta^{\circ}$ é $\gamma \dot{\prime}$. Porson，Meineke，Holden．is tov̂ठє $\delta^{\circ}$ oủ．Valck．（at Eur．Phœn．522）．©s тóvס＇ є̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ ．vulgo．

417．Hermann adds $\gamma \epsilon$ to the end of
this line to make it correspond with 474.

418．$\pi$ ó̀ts．vulgo．mó̀ı．R．V．П． Bekker，Bothe，Bergk．$\theta \epsilon o \iota \sigma \epsilon \chi$ Өpia． Bentley，Brunck，Dobree，Bekker，Din－ dorf，Weise，Meineke，Holden．$\theta$ ，$\sigma \sigma$ X－ Bpía．V．Edd．veteres，Bothe，Bergk． $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho i ́ a . ~ R . ~ \theta \in o є \chi \theta p i ́ a . ~ \Phi . ~ R i c h t e r ~$ reads $\theta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho o \iota o$ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \in \chi \theta \rho i a$ ．But the termi－ nation－oto，though natural enough in the Homeric phraseology of 1519－1521 infra，is quite inadmissible here．

419．$\dot{\imath} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ R．V．P．vulgo：and rightly， since $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ is equivalent to
 （which is found in II．only）is read by Branck，Dindorf，Bothe，Holden，and Meineke．

422，avitcs．R．V．vulgo．aủdts．Din－ dorf，Bothe，Richter．aủrois．Holden， Hirschig，Bergk，Meineke．

423．ïєбo．R．V．P．Brunck，recentiores． lıє $\boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{r}$ ．Edd．veteres．

424．${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu_{\epsilon} \nu$ оs．R．V．（and superscrip－ tum in P．）Scaliger，Kuster，Dawes， Porson，recentiores．$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu o s$. P．I． Edd．veteres．$\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s . \Phi$ ．


 430．єỉá $\nu v \nu$（or cỉa $\nu \tilde{v \nu) . ~ B r u n c k, ~ r e c e n-~}$ tiores．cia viv̀ $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．P．Edd．veteres．cỉa
 432．т $\omega \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \omega$＇$\nu \kappa$ кúk $\lambda \omega$ ．Elmsley（at Ach．343），Dindorf，Holden，Meineke． $\tau \dot{\omega} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{v} \kappa \lambda \varphi$ ．V．vulgo．$\tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \dot{\imath} \kappa \lambda \omega$ ．R．т $\omega \dot{\beta} \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ки́к $\lambda \omega$ ．Florent Chretien，Brunck．кєขтєiтє каí．Florent Chretien，Brunck，Dobree，Dindorf，re－ centiores．кєرтєit＇oi $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ ．R．Edd．veteres． $\kappa є \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta^{\prime}$ oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ каí．V．Bekker．
433. Boj̀ $\theta$ ct. Bentley, Porson, Bekker, recentiores. $\beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$. R.P. П. Edd. ve-
 Bothe.
434. $\lambda a ́ \beta \in \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. $\beta a ́ \lambda \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$.R.F. $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. V. tovtovi. тovtovi. V. Ф. $\mu \in$ 所 $\sigma \theta \epsilon$. R. V.P.II. $\Phi$. Brunck, recentiores. $\mu \in \theta$ єï $\theta \in$. Edd. veteres.
435. ' $\nu$ тé $\delta a u s$. R. V. (and superscriptum in P.) Kuster, recentiores. $\pi \in ́ \delta o u s$ (omisso ' $\nu$ ). P. II.Ф. Edd. veteres.
436. $\theta \rho i \omega \nu$. V. vulgo. $\theta \rho \stackrel{\omega}{\nu}$. R. Bekker.
437. $\mu \in \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \ell s$. R. V. II. Brunck, recentiores. $\mu \epsilon \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \eta s$. Edd. veteres. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \nu \tau \tau$. Farræus, Rapheleng, Bergler, Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke. ${ }_{\text {Ev }}^{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ u. R.V.P.II. vulgo.
440. r'єттар'. теттауар'. R.
442. $\delta \eta \lambda a \delta \eta \eta^{2}$. MSS. vulgo. $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda a \quad \delta \eta$. Florent Chretien, Buttmann (at Plato, Crito, p. 93). $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda a \delta^{\circ}$ ti. Cobet, Meineke, Holden.
445. ä้тоs. oì $\omega$ s. Reiske.
446. $\rho\left(\gamma \omega ิ \nu \gamma\right.$ '. V. vulgo. $\rho \iota \gamma$ óv $\tau^{\prime}$. R. $\dot{\rho} t \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ (omisso $\gamma^{\prime}$ ). Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke.
449. oủd'. out ${ }^{2}$ ' R.
450. édááa. R. V.II. F. Brunck, recen- $^{\text {. }}$ tiores. enaiav. P. Edd. veteres.
452. äves. MSS, vulgo. äbes. Cobet, Meineke, Holden.
 Edd. before Kuster, Bergk, and Richter. oiov. R. Kuster, recentiores (except Bergk and Richter). For $\epsilon i \delta \partial \theta^{\circ}$ Junta and Gormont have $\epsilon i \delta \in i \theta^{\prime}$, and for tónos the editions before Scaliger have $\delta$ трó̃os.
455. סıкaiwv. Bentley suggested $\delta \mathrm{t}$ $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega}$.
456. $\pi a \hat{e} \epsilon \pi a \hat{i} . \quad \Pi a v e, ~ \pi a i ̂ . ~ R . ~$

followed Bergk in assigning all this to Bdelycleon, who alone would issue the orders for the attack. Usually this whole line is given to Xanthias, the next to Sosias, and the next to Xanthias again. Meineke spoils Bergk's arrangement by leaving the words äd $\lambda \grave{a}$ kaì $\sigma \grave{v}$ to Xanthias. Holden as usual follows Meineke.
458. oủxì $\sigma o \hat{v} \sigma \theta$ '; oủk. R. V. F. Elmsley (at Ach. 322), Belker, recentiores. The editors before Brunck merely omitted the oủk, apparently regarding äntut as an amphibrachys. For änutc Bentley sug-
 Brunck read à $\pi i \in \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
459. $\Sigma \in \lambda$ aptiou. V. Scholiast, vulgo. $\Sigma \in \lambda \lambda a \rho t i o v$. R.F. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores.
461. B $\Delta$. So Brunck, Bekker, Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, and Meineke. The old editions gave the speech to the Chorus, Bentley to Sosias, Richter to Xanthias.
462. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. R. V. Brunck, recentiores. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. P. II. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ (omisso $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. $\Phi$. Edd. veteres. Bentley suggested $\mu \epsilon \lambda \in \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ or $\tau \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$, Porson $\mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \pi \hat{v}$.
 Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Meineke.
 omission of the unnecessary $\mu \varepsilon$ at the end of the line brings the line into exact correspondence with the strophe, $-\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$

 $\beta a \nu^{\prime}$ útьoùáá $\mu \epsilon$. R. Bekker, Dindorf,

 ímıô̄a. Meineke and Holden.
 paring Lys. 3ॅ0), recentiores. tova$\pi \dot{\prime} \quad$ и $p \mathrm{E}$. Edd. veteres.

471．ävev $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ g．Meineke proposes ầ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ ，and repeats the suggestion in his Vind．Aristoph．For kai rìs Elmsley （at Eur．Med．102）suggested $\tau \epsilon$ кaí． But $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ kato $\begin{gathered}\text { eias } \\ \beta o \hat{\eta} s \text { means all that }\end{gathered}$ shrill outery，supra 415．Hermann pro－ posed å̀ kaí．For кaтogeias R．writes катоछ́as．

472．$\epsilon \lambda \nexists \theta o u \mu \nu . \quad$ V．Elmsley（at Eur． Med．102），Dindorf，Holden，Bergk， Meineke． $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \lambda \omega \mu \mu \nu . \text { R．vulgo．}\end{gathered}$

473．voì 入óyous．R．V．vulgo．aoủs $\lambda$ óyous（on Dindorf＇s suggestion）．Holden， Meineke．$\sigma o \grave{\text { à }}$＇s $\lambda$ óyous．Bothe．For $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho a \sigma \tau \grave{a}$ Dindorf reads ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，which brings the line into accord with 4.17 supra，and with the ordinary metre，is supported by the participles in the two following lines， and is probably right．
480．où $\delta \underset{\text { è }}{ } \mu \in ́ \nu \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ ．R．V．vulgo．oưôè $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ．Brunck，and（omitting $\gamma^{\prime}$ ）Bergk， Meineke，and Holden．Reiske and Bek－

 ooüctiv．V．Dobree，Bekker，Dindorf， Bothe，recentiores．тои＇$\sigma \tau i v$ ．R．vulgo． $\pi \omega$＇$\sigma \tau i \nu$ ．Florent Chretien．

483．тaủ̌à тav̂тa．V．Bergler，recen－ tiores．tav̂ta тavtá．R．тav̂ta（alone）． F．faî̃a tavira．Edd．before Bergler．

 Holden．But cf．488．Re has merely $\xi \nu \nu \omega \mu o$ with a $\tau$ written above the line．

484．à $\rho$ ’ à $\bar{\omega}$ ．R．Bekker，Dindorf， Bothe，recentiores．ā $\rho a^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ äv．V．Edd．
 $\lambda a \chi \theta \bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ，and Beatley suggested $\delta 1 a \lambda \lambda a \chi-$ Oìve．For $\mu$ ov the MSS．and all the editions before Branck have $\mu$ ot．

485．\＃．MSS．valgo．ov̀．Reiske， Richter．For $\mu$ ot，which is the common
and MS．reading，Bothe has $\mu \dot{e} v$, Bergk， Meineke，and Holden have oor．
 neke．
487．tupavvióı סıєбтá入ng．Bentley， Richter．тvpavnio é écaá入ŋs．MSS．Edd． veteres，contra metrum．ти $\frac{1}{}$
 Hermann，Dindorf，Weise，Holden， Bergk．tupavií̊ $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi \in \sigma \tau a ́ \lambda \eta g$ ．Meineke．

488．í $\mu i \bar{v}$ ．Scholiast，vulgo．í $\mu i v$. R． V．Bekker，Dindorf，Weise，Richter．
493．ō $\rho \phi$ जिs．MSS．Edd．ante Dindorf． Dindorf says＂ó $\rho \phi$ м̀s reposuiex Athenæo， 7，p． 315 c ，＂and he is followed by every subsequent editor．But this is a com－ plete misapprehension．Athenæns cites this line，and undoubtedly writes ó $\rho \phi \hat{\omega} s$ in it with a circumflex．He then ob－ serves that，notwithstanding this，it was the Attic usage to write the nominative

 line of Archippas in which it is so written， and a line of Cratinus where the genitive singular is written ó $\rho \phi$ ．And so the Scholiast here says，$\tau \dot{\text { oे }} \mathrm{o} \rho \phi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$

 Magn．（sub voc．）says that $\dot{0} \rho \phi \hat{\omega} s$ is written with a circumflex，and cites Chœeroboscus（p．262．27，and p．66．9） and the great authority of Herodian to the same purpose．Several other gram－ marians refer to the word，and all with one consent describe it as written with a circumflex．So far as I know，there is no authority whatever for writing the accusative plural with any other than a circumflex accent．Hirschig， Meineke，and Holden alter $\theta^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta} \eta$ into $\theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta}$ here，and $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \omega$ into＇$\theta^{\prime} \lambda \omega$ infra 521.
 ker，Dindorf，Bothe，recentiores．${ }^{\text {E／}}$ © ${ }^{\prime}$ （with äv $\nu \rho \omega \pi$ оs）．Edd．veteres．
496．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} . \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \iota \tau \epsilon \hat{1} . \quad$ R．tis à $\phi$ úass．Brunck，Dindorf，recentiores． тaîs áфúás．R．V．Edd．veteres，with which Acharnians，318，is compared．
 a hesitating conjecture of Dobree，who also suggested $\left.\eta^{\prime} \delta v \sigma \mu a ́ ~ \tau \tau s\right)$ ．Bergk，Rich－ ter，Meineke，Holden．This they support by a reference to Knights， 678 （where Agoracritus bays up ätavta đà $\gamma \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \iota^{?}$
 тaîs á申úaus j̀ $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \mu a \tau a)$ ，not observing that भíteca could，and $\gamma \dot{n}$ retov could not，be properly described as $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \sigma \mu a \pi a$ ．

497．Oarép̣̆．Elmsley（at Ach．828） would read Aarépa adverbially．But
 sidelong glance out of one eye，＂$\tau \varphi$
 the Scholiast explains it．The same expression is found in Eccl． 498 （ $\pi a p a-$
 gives a like explanation．

501．ötı．Dindorf proposed ö $\frac{1}{}$ ．
 wivv，Francini，Scaliger，Bekker，Dindorf，$^{2}$ Bothe，recentiores． $\bar{\eta} \delta \epsilon ́ a \gamma \epsilon$ ．Edd．veteres．
 $\gamma^{\prime}$ ）．F．For $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ Meineke reads $\nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ， and is followed by Holden．

504．örcı．Suidas（ubi supra），Ald．， Bentley，Brunck，recentiores．$\delta \tau \iota \eta$ ．R． V．Edd．veteres．
505．ỏ $\rho \theta \rho o-$ ．Scholiast，Grynæus， Florent Chretien，Bekker，recentiores． ó $\rho \theta_{o}$－．R．Edd．veteres．ópoos．．V．

507．тvpavııќ．V．Suidas（ubisupra and s．т．$\xi v \nu \omega \mu u ́ t \eta s)$, Porron，Bekker，Dindorf，

Holden，Bergk，Meineke．rupavvi8a．R．
 Theodoret，Eccl．Hist．v．32，which is exactly equivalent to фроуळิ้ тขрaviкà̀， and does not mean，as Vales takes it， fastu tyrannico．For $\omega \nu$ in this line Valcknaer at Hdt．i． 59 would substitute ఱ．

510． é $^{\prime} \chi^{\text {é }} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu$ ．Athenæus vii．cap．54， Porson，Dindorf，recentiores，except

511．$\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \iota \gamma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu 0 \nu . \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \mu$ évov．R．
514．otouai $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．R．Bekker，recentiores，
 Edd．veteres，Bothe．

521．тоútoเбi $\gamma$＇．тои́тototl．Brunck and Weise ；who also write $\gamma$＇$\epsilon \mu o \imath$ for $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu o \iota$ in the next line．

522．$\Phi$ I．The line and a half here given to Philocleon are continued to Bdelycleon in the MSS．and early edi－ tions．They were transferred to Philo－ cleon by Bergler（note on 714），who is followed by Dindorf and all subsequent editors．

525．ảкрáтov，äk ảкатоу．Richter，Mei－ neke，Holden．But $\mu \tau \sigma \partial \dot{\partial}$ ，as Florent Chretien，Bergler，and Conz observe，is substituted for kúdıcka，not for oivov．

526．ขû̀ סグ．ח．Porson，Dindorf， Holden，and Meineke．So Fritzsche at Thesm．529．ขvิv $\delta \epsilon \in$. R．V．vulgo． Brunck，preferring $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$ quod ad sen－ tentiam prestaretlonge etessetoptimum， was under the erroneous impression that it did not suit the metre，and therefore proposed $\nu \hat{v} \nu \sigma \epsilon$ ，which Richter adopts．

527．入éyєl $\tau \iota$ ס́єi．MSS．valgo．סєí tu $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ．Bentley，Porson，Dindorf（in notes），Weise，Holden，Meineke．
528．фаиグбєt．R．V．Bentley，Porson， Brunck，recentiores．фaveion．Edd．ve－
teres．фavŋ́ $\sigma \eta$ ．Grynæus，Kuster，Berg． ler．

529．кіттךท．какєттїv．R．Brunck and Bothe remove this and the succeed－ ing line to the end of the ensuing chorus．
530．àráp．This line is given to the Chorus in the MSS．and old editions． It is continued to Bdelycleon by Her－ mann（at Clouds，759），Dindorf，recen－ tiores（except Bothe）．For noî́s ris Bergler，Brunck，and Bothe would read．


533．тóvôe．MSS．vulgo．tovסí．Bent－ ley，Porson，Dindorf（in notes），Weise． For $\lambda_{\epsilon ́ y \epsilon \iota \nu}$ Hirschig，Meineke，and Holden read $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ ．
 ё $\sigma \tau^{\prime}$ à $\gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ ．R．V．Scaliger，Bergler， Brunck，Bergk．éotiv àyóv．Edd．
 Eur．Heracl．722），Dindorf，Weise，Hol－ den，and Meineke．And this I shonld have preferred but that the $v i v$ which is found in the MSS．at the end of verse 536 has probably dropped there from this place．Richter，regardless of the requirements of the metre，reads $\epsilon \sigma$ oriv à $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \nu ิ \nu$.
536．$\gamma^{\prime}$ eot＇${ }^{\prime}$ ồtós $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．Porson，Dindorf， Weise，Holden，Richter，Meineke．And Bentley had previously suggested the same，except that he had $\gamma^{\prime}$ for $\sigma^{\prime}$ ． ச́＇ขouto עû̀ oû̃os．MSS．vulgo．

538．$\gamma \rho a ́ \psi o \mu a \iota ~ ' \gamma \dot{~}$ ．Vat．Kuster，Por－
 Edd．veteres．For $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \xi_{\eta} \gamma^{\prime}$ P．and $\Phi$ ．have $\lambda \epsilon$＇́ns．

539．фá $\theta^{\prime}$ ．Meineke inserts a comma before and after this word，treating it as an imperative．
541．àкарŋ̂．àкар $\hat{\imath}$ ．R．
542.8 ＇ $\mathrm{e} \nu$ тaîs d8oîs．Porson，Dindorf，
 d́dáaus．R．F．Bekker，Bothe，Bergk．
 raī̃ı óooî à ádá⿱als．Edd．veteres．These are obvious interpolations．Meineke further interpolates aatoi $\nu$ between $a \nu$ and $\epsilon^{2} \nu$ ，and is followed by Holden．

544．калои́ $\mu \epsilon \theta^{3}$ ．Porson，Dindorf．ка－ дoí $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ．MSS．vulgo．For à à $\tau \omega \mu \circ \sigma t \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ R．has à $\nu \tau \iota \mu$ ovot $\omega$ v．

549．éctiv．R．V．Kuster，recentiores． écrí．Edd．veteres．
550．каi．Porson，Bekker，recentiores． $\hat{\eta}$ каi．R．F． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \hat{\eta}$ кai．$\Phi$ ．Edd．veteres． $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\eta}$ ．Kuster，Bergler，Brunck．并．V．， which omits viv in this line．
 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \pi$ оу．R．
553．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ tóvtı．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma$ tu่ tis was con－ jectared by Florent Chretien and adopted by Brunck．
554．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \in i \rho^{\prime}$＇$\dot{\pi} a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．Reiske conjec－


555．iketev́ovaiv $\theta^{\prime}$ ．V．Bruack，recen－
 íтоки́ттоутеs．vulgo．ímotintovtes．R．

557．छ̇varitous．छuvaitols．R．
 vulgo．à ${ }^{\pi} \dot{\phi} \phi \varepsilon v \xi \iota \nu$. Bentley，Brunck， Weise，Bothe，Meineke，Holden．

560．кaì тй $\dot{\text { ．MSS．Grynæus，Kuster，}}$ recentiores．The early editions generally omit кaí．
562．àmóфvॄ̧ı．V．Bekker，Dindorf， Bergk，Richter．ànó申єuそıv．R．vulgo．
 оутає．R．àток入а́ovтеs．＇Richter．

565．кака̀ к．т．入．So Bothe，Hermann， Holden，and Meineke．And so（with

àvî̀v for àvı̀̀）Dindorf，Bergk，and Richter．This appears to be the real read－ ing of V．，for it seems to have been by a mere oversight that Bekker gives，from that MS．，$\pi a \rho \iota \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ for $\begin{gathered}\nu \nu \\ i \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta . \\ \text { R．and }\end{gathered}$ P．have the same，omitting $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．The editions before Brunck had какá |  |
| :---: |
| $\pi$ |
| $\pi \rho o े s$ |

 Brunck reduced this to metrical pro－ priety by maling the $\gamma \in$ follow roícov and changing i $\sigma \omega \sigma \eta$ into $\pi a \rho \iota \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ ．Reisig changes à $\nu \iota \grave{\omega} \nu$ into $\kappa \lambda{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ，Hamaker into $\lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega \nu$ ．Meineke in his Vind．Aris－


 tiores．入égovaı．Edd．veteres．

567．iv＇．iva．R．
568．àvaтєөڤ̈ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ ．V．vulgo．àvaтєt－

 $\mu^{\prime}$ ．Reisig．${ }^{2} \nu a \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ \gamma \epsilon$ ．Porson． 570．$\sigma v \gamma к र ́ л \pi т o v \theta^{\prime}$ ．V．vulgo．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa i ́ \psi a v \theta^{\prime}$ ． R．Dindorf，Richter，Meineke in Vind．Aristoph．ấ $\mu \lambda \eta \chi_{\text {ârat }}$ ．Dindorf． ${\underset{a}{\mu}}_{\mu a} \beta \lambda \eta \chi$ ârau．vulgo．$\hat{a}_{\mu} \ddot{a}_{\mu}^{\mu} \mu \beta \lambda \eta \chi$ âтal． R．$a_{\pi} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \lambda \eta \chi \bar{\alpha} \tau a \iota$ ．V． $\mathfrak{a} \mu \beta \lambda \eta \chi$ ârau．Bergk， Holden．$\beta \lambda \eta \chi$ ãтaı（simpliciter）．Porson， Meineke．
571．$\theta$ єóy，$\theta$ єós．V．
 would read é $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$ ，and Hirschig xaip ${ }^{\text {a }}$
 alter $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ into $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{y}$ ．The two latter alterations are approved by Meineke （Vind．Aristoph．）．
573．av̉ roîs．R．V．Florent Chretien， Bentley，Bergler，recentiores．aủroís． Edd．veteres．xoopoioiots．xoopios．R． रotpéocs．Meineke（Vind．Aristoph．）．

576．aủ．ä้．R．II．สov тovtì रгáфo－ $\mu a t$ ．Brunck，recentiores．oov tovit
ró́qouat．R．II．F．Francini，and most of the older editions．тavì $\gamma \rho$ áqoua （omitting oov）．Ald．Junt．and（with тovit for ravii）Kuster and Bergler． Bentley proposed $\tau 0 v \tau i ̀ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \omega \mu o t$ ；Dawes either тойто $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \mu a l$ ，or（omitting $\sigma o v$ ）
 V．omits the verse altogether．P．and Ф．have $\tau a \delta$ ò for tovíl．For mioúrov R． has oikov $\gamma \rho$ ．каi тлоírov，and Bothe reads $\gamma$ oukov．
入áóos äp $\neq \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．R．Francini，Scaliger，Kus－
 P．п． $\boldsymbol{I}$ ．Edd．veteres，Brunck．The line is omitted by Hamaker and Meineke．

578．aìooia．tạ̉oía．Cobet，Meineke， Richter．

583．ката入кіт $\omega$ ．V．P．Ф．Florent Chretien，Bentley，Brunck，recentiores． каталıт $\dot{\omega}$ ．R．Edd．veteres．
586．àvateícŋ．àvancíloı．V．
588．$\sigma \epsilon \mu$ йvov．Reiske，Porson，Dindorf， Weise，Holden，Bergk，Meineke．$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ óv． V．vulgo．$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ．R．Hirschig，Richter． For toc V．has tó．Richter reads tŵ． Bentley conjectured $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \partial ̀ \nu$ व́ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．

593．$\grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s . ~ R . ~ V . ~ S c h o l i a s t, ~ E d d . ~ v e-~$ teres．i $\mu a ̂ s$. Kuster，Bergler，Brunck， Bothe，Bergk，Richter，Meineke，Holden． See the note．For фaaiv R．has фast．
 фîval．F．

596．$\mu$ о́ขоу．Florent Chretien，Scaliger （in notes），Bruack，recentiores．$\mu_{\text {óvovs．}}$ R．V．P．I．Edd．veteres．$\quad$ ó́vos．Kuster， Bergler．

597．$\chi$ є ¢о́s．$\chi$ єєós．R．
598．ouv $\delta^{\prime}$ is omitted in Gormont， Zanetti，Farræus，and Rapheleng．

599．каíroviテtiv．V．F．，and so（or кaírot
 $\mu i ́ o v ~ M e i n e k e ~ h a s ~ E u ̉ \phi \eta \mu i ́ o v . ~$
600. $\sigma \pi$ ó $\gamma$ yov. Brunck and Dindorf prefer, and Bothe, Meineke, and Holden read, $\sigma$ фó $\gamma \gamma o v$. $\lambda \epsilon \kappa a ́ v \eta s$ is written $\lambda a \kappa a ́ v \eta s$ in Junta and Gormont.
601. ©'. $\mu^{\prime}$. V. т $\omega$ ע. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$. Hamaker, Meineke. oĩ $\omega \nu$. oit $\omega \nu \mu^{\prime}$. Hirschig, Meineke, Holden.
602. каì ínпрєєiav. R. Bentley, Bothe, Bergk, Meineke, Holden. $\chi$ u̇r $\eta \rho \in \sigma i a \nu$. vulgo.
604. $\boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$. R. V. Brunck, recentiores. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s$. Edd. veteres. For $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o v$ V. has $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ ós.
605. ô $\delta \epsilon \in \gamma^{\prime}$. "Malim ô $\delta$ " $\epsilon \theta^{3}$." Porson. ov̂ ' $\gamma \omega$. ov̉ $\gamma$ áp. V. " $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \mu \eta \nu$. Meineke, Holden. ' $\pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \eta \nu$. vulgo.
606. кạ̛ $\tau^{\prime}$. V. Florent Chretien, Kuster, Bergler, Porson, Bekker, Dindorf, Holden, Meineke. кäлtєı'. R. P. M. Edd. veteres, Brunck, Weise, Bothe, Bergk. $\epsilon i{ }^{i} \boldsymbol{T}^{\prime}$. Richter. $\epsilon i \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \theta^{\top} a ̈ \mu \alpha$. R. II. vulgo. єíŋ́коитá $\mu$ є. V. Meineke, Holden. П̋коу ${ }^{\prime}$ ä́ $\mu a$. P.Ф. Ald. Brunck, Weise, Bothe.
607. à $\pi \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu \tau a u$. V. P. Scaliger (in notes), Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. à $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta o v \tau a$. R. Edd. veteres. R.has also
 $\pi \rho о \sigma a \nu a \gamma к a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ in 611.
608. тробки́ষаба. т $о о \sigma к и ́ \sigma а \sigma а . ~ V . ~$ $\phi i \lambda \eta \sigma \eta . \quad \phi i \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon$. Richter, Meineke.
609. $\pi a \pi \pi a ́ \zeta o v \sigma^{\prime} . ~ \pi a \pi \pi i \zeta o v \sigma^{\prime} . V . B e r g k$,
 Florent Chretien, Kuster, Porson, recentiores. трเஸ́ßoخov (omisso тó). R. Edd. veteres.
610. фvбтín. Moris says, фvaT $\hat{\eta}$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega s$, $\tau \grave{o}$ фи́pa $\alpha a$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ả̉ $\phi i \tau \omega \nu$,

612. тои́тoьтı. V. Beatley, Brunck,
recentiores. тoíoıv. R. Edd. veteres. Porson proposed roьỗoıv. $\gamma$ ávu $\mu a \iota$. $\gamma$ á-
 R. V. vulgo. каі̀ $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$. Brunck, Weise. кoủ $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \delta \in \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$. Dobree. кои̇ $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \eta \sigma \sigma \eta$. Hermann, Richter, Meineke, Holden. кєi $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \delta \in \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota$. Elmsley (at ©Ed. Tyr. 662), Dindorf, Bothe.
613. тараӨŋ́бєє. тараӨŋ́бп. R.
614. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu$. F. Elmsley (at EEd. Tyr. 622), Dindorf, Weise, Bothe, Holden, Meineke. ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$. vulgo, with a comma after $\tau 0 \nu \theta c \rho v v^{\prime} a s$, and a full stop at the end of the line. Meineke omits lines 615-618, both inclusive.
616. ' $\gamma \chi \hat{\eta}$ ). ' $\gamma \chi^{\epsilon i s}$. F. Some of the early editions write $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ for $\mu \eta$.
618. סívov. סeivov. R.
620. каi тои. R. V.F. Bothe, Bergk, Meineke, Holden. The other editions read кà $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тoû, and divide the line into two dimeters.

621. ä $\pi \epsilon \rho$. | $\sigma$ |
| :---: |
| $\sigma$ |
|  | . R. Most of the recent editors follow Dindorf in writing $\tau \alpha v^{2} \theta^{\prime}$ for $\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$.
622. $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$. R. V. Kuster, recentiores. $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$. Edd. veteres. $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$. Florent Chretien. The old editions omit the final $\nu$ also in the two verbs 626, 7 infra.
 $\mu^{\prime}$ is omitted by $\nabla$., written $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ by Weise, and changed into $\gamma^{\prime}$ by Fritzsche (on Frogs, 475), Hermann, and Meineke.
623. Ante $\nu \grave{\eta}$ signum mutati interlocutoris (-) ponit R. : which also reads $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau р a \nu$ ठє́ठокка́ $\sigma^{3}$.
624. ov̂k á $\lambda \lambda$ ’. ov̋kovv. Meineke, Holden. Bergk proposed ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉k. ov̉тos. Dawes (comparing lines 536 and 642), Porson, Brunck, recentiores, except Meineke in notes, and Holden. ouvtw. V. Edd. veteres. oũt $\omega$ s. R.
625. $\eta$ n $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\eta \delta \eta \nu$. Elmsley (at Ach. 35), Richter, though he leaves $\|_{10} \delta \epsilon \nu$ supra 558.
 Dindorf. és $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \lambda v \theta \epsilon \nu$. vulgo, contra metrum. See the note on 526 .
 Meineke, Holden. But Porson's emendation is more elegant in itself, and at the same time nearer the MS. reading.
626. ${ }^{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$. ${ }^{\omega} s^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{e}$ conj. Dind.) Meineke, Holden. '่̇ $\frac{\text { aútov̂. V. P. I. vulgo. }}{\text {. }}$ See the note. $\epsilon^{\mathcal{E} \nu}$ aviç̂. R. Florent Chretien. Cf. Polybius, Hist. i. 49. 8; x. 40. 6; Xen. Eph. in the Scriptores Erotici, ed. Bipont, vol. ii. 278 and 324; St. Chrys., Hom. xxviii.in Matthew, 339 a ; Acts of the Apostles, xii. 11. Florent Chretien also proposed ov̉ké $\theta^{\prime}$ av́rov̂, with which Porson compares Soph. EEd. Col. 660 ; and Bentley suggested пủk є̇autov̀.
627. бки́тך $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu . ~ R . ~ V . ~ F . ~ B e n t l e y, ~$ Porson, Brunck, recentiores, except Weise and Richter, who with the old editions read $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ бки́rך. This line is transferred by Hamaker and Bergk to Bdelycleon, which is a manifest error.
628. $\sigma \in \mathrm{om}$. R.
629. à $\pi o ́ \phi v \xi^{\iota} \nu$. Dindorf, Bergk. ả $\pi o ́-$ $\phi \epsilon v_{\xi} \iota \nu$. vulgo. See supra 558, 562.
630. peavia is added by Porson, metri causâa, $\lambda$ '́yovtı kal by Richter. Others mark a lacuna after $\chi$ a $\lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ v . ~$
631. $\omega \rho a$. öpa. R.
632. $\eta_{\nu} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota$. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \nu \mu o i ́ \tau \iota$. Bergk.
633. каì $\mu \epsilon i$ íovos om. V .
634. T $\uparrow \hat{\eta}$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$. V.
635. ả $\tau a ́ \rho . ~ V . ~ v u l g o: ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ p l a i n l y ~$ right, the phraseology being Homeric. ả $\lambda \lambda$ '. R. Brunck, Bekker, Richter, Holden.
636. 8toáoкets. R. V. Brunck, Porson, recentiores. סióáğns. Edd. veteres.
637. $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \epsilon$. P. Brunck, Weise, Bothe, Richter. тє $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. R. V. Edd. ante Brunck, and Bekker. $\quad \tau \in \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \xi \iota \iota$. Elmsley (at Ach. 590, following Dawes, Misc. Crit. p. 93), Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Meineke. The question as between $\tau \in \theta_{\nu} \dot{\eta} \xi \in \iota$ and $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \xi \in \iota$ is fully discussea by Dawes and Elmsley ubi supra, and by Brunck at Ach. 590. The two former critics decide for the active, the latter for the middle form. Either would seem to be allowable: but in Aristophanes, at all events, the whole weight of MS. authority (Ach. 590 ; Clouds, 1436; Wasps, 654) is in favour of the middle, which I have therefore retained.
 $\pi a \pi i \delta \iota \nu$. R. F. Edd. veteres.
638. $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \beta \delta \eta \nu$. MSS. Scaliger, recentiores. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda i \beta \delta \delta \eta \nu$. Edd. veteres.
639. $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ òs каi $\delta \eta \mu \iota o ́ \pi \rho a \tau a$. R. and V. omit kai, and Bergk suggests $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. Suidas (s. v. $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon i \alpha)$ has $\mu \ell \sigma \theta o v i s ~ к a i ~$ ঠпиобьо́трата.
640. тои́т $\omega$. V. vulgo. тоútov. R.F. Bergk, Meineke, Holden. кaтá $\theta \epsilon s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta o ́ \nu$. R. V. Bekker, recentiores, except Weise, who (with the older editors) transposes the words. évtavaov̂. Bentley, Porson, Brunck, recentiores. тoû évıavtov̂. P. Edd. veteres. тoû'vavtov̂. R. V. П.
 V. F. Bekker, recentiores. катє́vaб $\theta \in$ (for катє á $^{\sigma} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$. Edd. veteres.
641. i $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$. V. Bentley, Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Bekker, Bothe. ì $\mu i v$. R. vulgo.
642. є́ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \boldsymbol{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ o. Bergk suggests є́ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma$ עєто.
643. rà om. V. The older editions gave the whole of this line to Bdelycleon, and the next line and a half, from e's rovirous to $\dot{a} \epsilon$, to Philocleon. The arM m
rangement in the text is due to Bothe and Dobree，and is adopted by Dindorf （in notes），Weise，Holden，and Meineke．

668．aip $\overline{\text { in }}$ aavooû．aipeís aủrov̀．V． For $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \phi \theta$ eis R．and V．have $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner$－ $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon$＇s．

669．$\delta \omega \rho$ oठoкov̀ $\sigma \nu$ ．V．Kuster，recen－ tiores．$\delta \omega \rho \circ \delta \delta$ ovov $\iota$. Edd．veteres．

 Meineke，Holden．àvarpé $\psi \omega$ ．àva－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ ．R．
673．ク̈न $\sigma \eta \nu \tau a t$ ．V．Suidas（in three places），Bekker，Dindorf，Bothe，Holden，
 Edd．veteres．

 Weise，Bothe，Bergk，and Richter．Pos－ sibly the correct reading may be $\lambda$ a anu－$^{\prime}$ ऽо́иедоу．

675．$\delta \omega \rho \circ \nless \rho o \hat{\sigma} \tau \nu$ ．V．Bekker，Din－ dorf，recentiores．$\delta \omega \rho o \delta o \kappa o v ̄ \sigma \nu$, R．Edd． veteres．

 ker，Dindorf，recentiores（except Weise）． $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \bar{\omega} \nu$. P．ח．Edd．veteres，Weise．
 Bentley．ซoì $\delta \delta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \AA \nu$ ．Bergler．For ä $\rho \chi$ ets R ．has a $\rho \chi \eta$ ．

680．$\tau \rho \epsilon$ ís $\gamma \epsilon$ ．R．V．F．Bekker，recen－ tiores，except Bothe and Weise，who fol－ low the old editors in omitting $\gamma \epsilon$ ．

681．àmокраієєs．à àокде́єєs．V．
682．Sou入єia＇$\sigma \tau i \nu$ ．Before Porson this


684．Tts $\delta \bar{\varphi}$ тoùs $\tau \rho \epsilon$ î́s．R．V．Francini， Scaliger，Kuster，Bergler，Bekker，recen－

 т $\rho \epsilon$ îs．Edd．veteres，which also read
à $\gamma a \pi a ̂ ̀ \nu$ for ảyanậs．oűs．V．vulgo．ois． R．Bekker，Dindorf，Holden，Bergk，Mei－ neke．

688．ס九aкı $\eta$ 日ei＇s．Meineke（Vind．Aris－ toph．）proposes кai $\delta$＿akvauध日eís．For $\tau \rho v \phi \epsilon \rho a \nu \theta \epsilon$ is F ．has $\tau \rho v \phi \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon$ ís．
689．$\pi \rho$ థ．Before Branck this was written $\pi \rho \omega t$ ．

691．$\delta \rho a \chi \mu \eta$ и．There seems no reason to doubt that the first syllable of $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{\eta}$ is common，and there is no need，when it is long，to read $\delta a \rho \chi \mu \dot{\eta}$ or $\delta \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\eta}$ ．Still less is it desirable to rewrite the line （with Cobet）rò $\sigma v \nu \eta \gamma o \rho \kappa \kappa \grave{\nu}, \kappa$ кà̀ $\pi a ́ u \tau \omega \nu$

 693．$\tau \iota \mathrm{om}$ ．F．
 Holden，Meineke．$\pi \rho i o \nu^{\prime}$ ．R．V．Edd． veteres，Bekker，contra metrum．$\pi \rho i \omega \nu$ ． P．II．Bentley，Brunck，Weise，Richter．
 buerat Bergkius palmariam Reisigii emendationem a Dobreo probatam reci－ pere，＂says Cobet，Novæ Lectiones，p． 37. à $\nu \tau \epsilon \nu \in \in \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon$（or $-\epsilon \nu$ ）is the conjecture of Bekker and Dobree，adopted by Dindorf， Holden，Bergk，Meineke，and Richter．
 $\delta$ the editions before Brunck had of $\delta$ ．

695．кшлакрє́тクリ．V．vulgo．кшдаүрє́－ $\tau_{\eta \nu}$ ．R．Dindorf，Holden，Bergk，Meineke， and Richter．

698．тoivv，R．V．P．П．Brunck，recen－ tiores，except Bothe，who follows the older editions in adding a $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ．For kai тоî̃ıv Bentley suggested à $\gamma a \theta o i ̂ \sigma u$ ，a conjecture approved but not adopted by Bergk．Hermann proposed tocci $\delta^{\circ}$ ，and this has been brought into the text by Meineke（who had previously conjectured кà $\sigma \sigma o i ̂ \sigma \nu)$ and Holden．
699. ötot. MSS. vulgo. ฮ̈тŋ. Suidas, Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Bergk, Richter, and Meineke. Bothe inserts à $\nu$ before dét.
701. àkap̄. V. vulgo. àкарйı. R. àка$\rho^{\prime}$ s. Suidas, Brunck, Weise, Meineke, Holden. "Sed vide 541." Bentley.
702. édauov. V. Suidas, Scholiast, Bekker, recentiores, except Richter. ${ }^{\text {ädevpour }}$ R. Edd. veteres, Richter. Bentley had previously conjectured è $\lambda$ auov.

 R.P.F. $\Phi$.) Bekker, Bothe, Bergk. каì

 ои̃ยк' ${ }^{\prime} \rho \hat{\omega}$ бot. Brunck, Dindorf, Weise, Holden, Meinoke. As to the retention of $\epsilon$ 'ivek' see Appendix on Peace, 210. The MS. authority here is overwhelming in its favour. Bentley had ingeniously
 B $\Delta \mathrm{E}$. "I I a к.r. $\mathrm{\lambda}$., comparing Peace, 210, and Lysist. 491.
 ov̂tós $\gamma$. vulgo. oṽtas. $\Phi$. Meineke
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma i \xi \eta$. V. Bergk, Meineke.
 recentiores. т̀̀̀ éx $\theta \rho \dot{0} v$. П. Edd. vete-
 äypos. $\mathrm{\nabla}$.
708. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in ́ \tau a \xi \xi \in \nu$. MSS. vulgo. $\pi \rho \cap \sigma \epsilon ́-$ тatтєv. Dawes, Brunck, Weise, Richter, Meineke, Holden.
709. èv. R. V. vulgo. à ${ }^{\prime}$. Р. Ф. Dawes, Brunck, Weise. Dobree suggests $\mu v \rho a a^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ at a , which is adopted by Meineke and Holden. R. omits ${ }^{\prime}(\xi \omega \nu$. The term $\delta \eta-$ $\mu$ отик $\omega \hat{\nu}$ appears to be used by way of set-off to $\delta \eta \mu \zeta \check{0} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ supra 699.
710. $\pi$ vคáár刀. R. V.P. Suidas, Brunck,
recentiores, except Weise and Bothe, who with the old editions read ruapity.
711. tovi. Bentley, Elmsley (at Ach. 343), Dindorf, Bergk, Richter, Meineke, Holden. тov̂ ' $\nu$, vulgo.
713. $\tau i \pi \circ \theta^{\prime} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. This is the reading of the MSS. and all the editors except Bothe, Meineke, and Holden. Suidas
 contra metrum for $\pi_{n} \theta$ '. Kuster suggested that if we changed ${ }^{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ into $\omega \bar{\omega}$, we might read $\pi \in \epsilon^{\prime} \pi o \nu \theta^{3}$ here: and this was approved by Bentley and Porson. Dindorf observed that another way of getting rid of the extra syllable would be to omit $\tau \bar{\eta}$; and this is done by Bothe : whilst Meineke (followed by Holden) omits kará. However, if Suidas is to be corrected at all, the simplest correction would seem to be $\pi \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ for $\pi \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \theta^{\prime}$, in accordance with all the Aristophanic MSS.
 The old editions divided this line into two. Bentley first from conjecture, and Brunck afterwards from his MS. P. set it right.
719. єivek'. R. Edd. ante Brunck, with Bothe and Bergk. oṽvek'. V. ceteri. See at 703 supra.
724. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$. vulgo. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi 0 \hat{v}$. R. V.F. Meineke proposes to insert tov̂, and omit the preceding $\sigma o u . \quad \kappa \omega \lambda a \kappa \rho$ ह́tov as in 695 supra: except that here R. has $\kappa \omega \lambda a k \rho \varepsilon-$ тov. For qá̀a $^{\text {V V. has } \mu a ́ \lambda a . ~}$
726. ò̀к ầ סıкќ́бaıs. Meineke would prefer oủ $\mu \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \kappa ́ \sigma \epsilon t s$.
727. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$. ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$. Zanetti, Rapheleng. бкiтmuas. V. I. Brunck, recentiores. "In P., erat primo $\sigma \kappa i \mu \pi \omega \nu a s$, sed $\mu$ liturâ inductum." Brunck. $\sigma \kappa i \mu \pi \omega v a s$.


730. àтevís. ả $\gamma \in \nu$ ís. R. äyav. R. V. P. П. Brunck, recentiores. äqav $\gamma^{\prime}$. Edd. veteres. à $\tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$. à $\tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \omega \nu$. R.
731. $\begin{gathered} \\ \phi\end{gathered} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$. R. V. (and P. interlined) Brunck, Porson, recentiores. ${ }^{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$. Edd. veteres.
 R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores. ö́cts $\delta \dot{\eta}$. Edd. veteres.
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. R.V.P.F. $\Phi$. Bekker, recentiores. $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. П. $\tau \iota \varsigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. Edd. veteres. In order to bring these lines into the iambic metre, Bronck rewrote them



735. ס̄̄̀ós $\mathfrak{e} \sigma \tau \tau \nu$. V. Bekker, recentiores. $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o s$ (without $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$ R. Edd. veteres.
 recentiores, except Bothe, who absurdly
 $a \grave{u}$. Edd. veteres. For $\sigma \grave{v} \delta \grave{\text { ®̀ }} \pi a \rho \omega ̀ \nu$ Seager
 тарóv.
741. кoù $\delta e ́ v, ~ k a i ̀ ~ o u ̀ \delta e ́ v, ~ R . F . ~$
743. тра́y ${ }^{2} \theta^{\prime}$ oís | тót'. Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Bergk, Meineke. $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma-$ $\mu a \theta^{\prime}$ ois $\mid \pi о \tau^{\prime}$. Weise. $\pi \rho \dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\gamma \mu a t a | ~ o i s ~}$ тór'. R. V. F. Bekker. $\pi \rho$ áquata | ois


 Bekker. áprícs. R.V. m. F. Bekker, recentiores. àpríms ốtı. Edd, veteres. P. had ört, but subducta minio linea indicat eam delendam esse. Branck writes the


746. a. This word is omitted in V. келєर́opros. R. V. T. Bekker, recentiores, except Weise, who reads паракє | évóvos |
| :--- | with the old editions.
747. The old editions read $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oủ " " $\sigma \omega \boldsymbol{s}$
 read by R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores. For "̈ $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$ V. has lucos. For roîs coîs $\lambda$ óyout R.V.F. have roís lı́cous $\lambda$ dóyous. all modern editors read the line as in the text.
748. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i$. R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores. фpovê̂. Edd. veteres. For tòv $\tau \neq \dot{\pi} \pi o \nu$ Berglerproposed $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$, which Hirschig (with $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\omega} s$ for $\mu \epsilon \theta_{\iota} \sigma \tau$ d̀s) also prefers. And confer infra 1451.
749. $\pi \iota \theta$ ó $\mu \epsilon$ vós $\tau \epsilon$. Brunck, Porson, Dindorf, Weise, Holden, Richter, Meineke.
 Bothe. woi. R. V.F. Bekker, recentiores, except Weise, who with the older editions reads $\sigma o{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$. The $\gamma \in$ was interpolated in the old editions from an erroneous view of the metrical arrangement. The antistrophical character of the two systems 729-735 and 743-749 had not then been perceived. ri ßoăs. V. Bergk, Meineke, Holden. $\tau i$ нot $\beta$ oạs. R. vulgo.
750. $\phi \eta \sigma i$. ф $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} i v .} \mathrm{R}$.
 F. $\Phi$. Brunck, recentiores. $\mu o v$. Edd. veteres. $\sigma o$. . R.

751. бoı $\pi i \theta \omega \mu a \iota$. Porson (referring to Dawes, Mise. Crit. 218; Clouds, 87; Birds, 164), Tyrwhitt, Bekker, Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke. бo
 Bentley proposed $\pi$ tícouai $\sigma o l$. In the old editions the words $\lambda \in \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ - $\epsilon$ yòs were given to Bdelycleon, noiov; \$' $\rho^{\prime}$ " îo to Philocleon, and tov̀ $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota x a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ to Bdelycleon again. Bergler set the matter right in his translation, and then Brunck



767．тav̂ ${ }^{\prime}$ ．MSS．vulgo．тav̉日＇．Bois－ sonade．$\pi \rho$ át $\theta^{\circ}$ ．Meineke，whilst Holden would prefer $\pi a ́ \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ．For ä $\pi \epsilon \rho \overline{\epsilon \in \kappa \in i ́ i ~ D o-~}$ bree and Meineke suggest à kảkeî．
 $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ P．. ．Brunck，Dindorf，Bothe，Weise， Richter．

771．$\epsilon^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \chi \chi \eta \epsilon^{\boldsymbol{i}} \lambda \eta$ ．Reiske would change



772．$\epsilon i \lambda \eta \eta$ ．vulgo．$\epsilon \lambda \eta$ ．R．Meinelke， Holden．кат’ öp $\theta \rho o v, ~ \pi \rho \rho \grave{s}$ ỏ $\rho \theta$ óv．Dawes．
 Bentley，which Bergk also prefers．
 R．Bekker；and Bergk prefers this spell－
 $\mu \in v o s$ incidendum est．＂Meineke，Vind． Aristoph．This introduces an additional alternative．＂If it snows，you shali judge
 The suggestion is plausible ：but on the whole it seems more probable（especially having regard to the introductory eì ó－ $\gamma \omega s$ ）that there is no alternative without a play upon words．
774．vavtos．$\chi$ ṽouros．Bothe．
776．тои́то七s $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．R．V．F．Belker，Din－ dorf，Bothe，Holden，Bergk，Meineke． тoúrots．vulgo．

 Scaliger in notes．
781．тoutoyi．R．Junta，Scaliger，Kus－ ter，recentiores．rovtoí．V．Scaliger（in notes）．tovтovi．Edd．veteres．
 absent in all the earlier editions，was first added by Brunck from $\boldsymbol{n}$ ．，and has since been found in R．V．$\Phi$ ．Between this and the following line Bothe inserts
 $\lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \psi o \mu a t$ ，removing them from their pro－ per position，infra 813.

788．$\sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau о ́ \lambda \eta s . \quad \sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau \not \partial \iota \iota$ ．R．
789．סıєкєр $\mu a \tau i \xi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$. V．Pollux（ix．89）， Casaubon（at Theophr．Char．p．192）， Dindorf，Weise，Holden，Bergk，Meineke． $\delta_{\ell \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ t \iota} \iota_{\epsilon \nu}$ ．R．Toup（in Suidas，i．137）， Brunck，Bekker，Bothe，Richter． סteкє $^{2}$－ $\mu_{\text {át }} \iota^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ ．Edd．before Brunck．

 by Bergk and Meineke，and read by Holden．
791．＇עє́кк廿＇＇．R．V．Florent Chretien， Scaliger（in notes），Kuster，recentiores． ＇$\nu$＇ккан $\psi$＇．Edd．veteres．

794．є̈фагкє．єैфагкєу．R．
795．kaÓ́ $\psi \in \iota$ ．MSS．vulgo．кaтané－ $\psi$ tis．Hirschig，Bergk，Meineke，Holden． But the present tense is plainly required． ápyóptov．V．Brunck，Bekker，Dindorf， Weise，Richter．тápyúplov．R．vulgo． $\lambda \epsilon \quad \gamma \omega \nu$ ．MSS．vulgo．$\gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ ．Tyrwhitt， Dindorf（in notes），Holden，Meineke．

796．ӧбои каí．R．V．F．Bekker，Din－ dorf，Holden，recentiores．${ }^{\text {öcov } \pi \epsilon \rho ~ c e-~}$ teri．V．inserts ôs before örov，and both V．and F．omit $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau a$ ．

797．8．Between these two lines Her－ mann and Meineke place line 813．See on 786 above．

798． $\operatorname{raṽ\theta '.~Reiske~suggests~} \pi a ́ \nu \theta^{\circ}$ ．
800．ク̈кךко́єl．R．V．P．П．Farræus， Bergler，Bekker，Bergk．$\quad \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \kappa$ ó $\epsilon \iota$ ．vulgo ante Bergler．$\quad$ そ̀ $\kappa \kappa o ́ \eta$ ．Brunck，Dindorf， Weise，Bothe，Holden，Richter，Meineke． See Appendix on Peace， 616.
 I．


Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke. èvotкоঠоциббє. V. P. П. Ф. Edd. veteres.
 ter, Bergler. àvoıкаסо $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta} \sigma o c$. Dawes, Por son, Brunck, Bekker, Weise, Fritzsche, De Pelargis Aristophanis, Quæstiones Aristoph. p. 93.
804. 'Eка́таıоу. V. vulgo. 'Eкатаіоу. R. Branck suggested that the word, should be spelt 'Eкátetov, and it is so spelt by Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke.
806. ö $\sigma a \pi \epsilon \in \rho \gamma^{\prime}$. R. vulgo. ö $\sigma a \pi \epsilon \rho$. V. F. Bergk, Meineke.
808. є̇лi. MSS. vulgo. є̇k was suggested by Bergk, and inserted by Richter and Holden : ảnò was suggested by Hallbertsma, and inserted by Meineke.
813. This line is removed from its proper place by Bothe and Meineke. See on 786 and 797 above.
 катє. Dawes.
816. iva $\gamma^{\prime}$. MSS. vulgo. Cobet suggested $i \nu$ " $\partial \nu$ or $\quad$ " $\nu$ ': Meineke takes the former, and Holden the latter.
 aย่тобí. R.
818. ä $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ is omitted by R.
819. є̈l $\pi \omega$ є є́ккоцíбаиs. MSS. vulgo.

 $\mu$ uras. Meineke.

821. $\chi^{\text {ãє }}$ rós. P. Ф. Bentley, Bergler (in note), Brunck, recentiores. $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu . ~}$ R. V. Edd. veteres.
822. This verse, which is usually continued to Philocleon, is transferred to Bdelycleon by Bergk, Meinelze, and Holden, who also transfer the following verse from Sosias to Philocleon.
825. This and the following verse are omitted by V., the transcriber's eye passing from the $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ in 824 to the $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ in 826. For $\nu v \nu$ R. and one or two old editions have $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu . \quad$ кá $\theta \eta \mu a \iota$ ' $\gamma \omega$ '. Dawes, Porson, Brunck, recentiores. кá $\theta \eta \mu$ ' $\epsilon$ ' $\omega^{\prime}$. R. Edd. veteres.
 neke, Holden.
827. ti tis. MSS. vulgo. ri tis. Bothe, Bergk, Richter, Meineke, Holden. סé$\delta р a \kappa \epsilon$. V. P. D. Brunck, recentiores: $\delta \in ́-$
 oikia. R. V. Edd. veteres. Dindorf wrote
 which is adopted by Bothe and Richter.
828. Dobree would give this verse to the servant, as an answer to Bdelycleon's question. For $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa a \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma a$ Florent Chretien suggested $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \rho a v ́ \sigma a \sigma a$.
831. е́фаірєто. R. V. F. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. катєфаivєтo. Edd. veteres, Weise.
832. ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma^{\grave{\omega}}-\epsilon ้ \nu \delta o \theta \in \nu$. In the old editions these words are continued to Bdelycleon, but they are rightly taken from him and assigned to Philocleon by Beer, Bergk, and Richter. In addition to this, Hermann (followed, by Meineke and Holden) changes the words $\tau i$ тотє тò $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu^{\prime}$ of the following line into ö, $\tau \iota$ $\pi о \tau \grave{\epsilon} \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu$ ', and gives them also to Philocleon, omitting the stop after $\stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \nu \delta \circ \theta \epsilon \nu:$ a change every way for the worse.
833. то́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. то́ $\tau \in . \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{V}$.
837. àvaptávas. Dobree, Dindórf, Bergk. '̇ইapráaas. Brunck, Richter. íфартáбas. Elmsley (at Ach. 575), Meineke, Holden. d́ $\rho \pi a ́ \sigma a s . ~ R . ~ V . ~ v u l g o . ~$ Bothe suggests áфaptá́ $a$ as.
838. $\Sigma<\kappa є \lambda \iota \kappa \eta$ ข. P. F. Ф. Bentley, D'Orville, Pierson, Porson, Brunck,
recentiores．$\Sigma \iota \kappa \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$. R．V．Edd．ve－ teres．

841．фךбiv．R．V．P．Brunck，recen－ tiores．$\phi \eta \sigma i$. Edd．veteres．

842．єiซáरा．MSS．vulgo．єíaayáyn． Bergk，Richter，Holden．The alteration is approved by Meineke，and is probable enough．See supra 826；Peace，439， \＆c．
 The arrangement of the text is that of Bergk，followed by Richter，Meineke，and Holden．The sentences here assigned to Bdelycleon were formerly given to Philo－ cleon：whilst those here assigned to Philocleon were given to the servant by the editions before Brunck，and to Bdely． cleon by Brunck，Belker，Dindorf，Weise， and Bothe．But it is plain that the per－ son who went into the house after verse 833 returns here with the хоиоконєiov， and it is equally plain from verse 839 that Bdelycleon is on the stage during the interval，whilst the language assigned to the person who brings the $\chi$ оьроконкiov suits neither the servant nor Bdelycleon， but Philocleon alone．

849．Sıaтpißєıs．R．F．Bekker，Dindorf （in notes），Bothe，Bergk，Meineke，Hol－ den．Starpi千ets．V．vulgo．

850．For $\chi$ wion Bentley suggested кпріо⿱亠䒑．

851．B $\Delta$ ．i̊oú．$\Phi$ I．кá $\bar{\iota} \iota \nu v \nu . ~ V . ~ B e r g-~$ ler，recentiores．In the older editions all three words were ascribed to Philo－ cleon．R．gives them all to Bdelycleon．

852．ès ко́ракая．ŋ̄ бко́ракая．V．خ̀ ＇бко́ракая．F．

853．©́тьๆे＇$\pi \epsilon \lambda a \theta$ Ó $\mu \eta \nu$ ．Bergler，recen－



854．кadíбкоvs．кaסíкovs．R．，which in
the next verse omits rovoס $\delta$, and has àpuatikous for àpuatíXovs．

857．ঠєó $\epsilon є \theta a$ ．R．V．П．F．Bentley， Brunck，recentiores．$\delta \in o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ ．Edd． veteres．

861．кai $\mu v \rho \rho i \nu a s$. This line is omitted in $V$ ．

 （at Ach．733），Meineke．

869．Bد．єùф ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ a．This line，which is rightly given to Bdelycleon by the MSS． and editions，is transferred to the Chorus by Meineke，Holden，and Richter ：the latter compensating Bdelycleon by giv－ ing him the succeeding line．

870．ö．๐ท่．V．
873．$\pi a v \sigma a \mu$ évoıs $\pi \lambda a ́ v \omega \nu . ~ V . ~ D i n d o r f, ~$ Holden，Bergk，recentiores．тavба $\mu$ ย́vo七б九 $\pi \lambda a \nu \omega ิ \nu$. R．Bekker，Bothe．mavбo ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \circ \iota s$
 Edd．veteres．

875．тро日v́pov $\pi \rho o \pi v ̃ \lambda a t \epsilon . ~ B e n t l e y . ~$ The editions before Brunck had $\pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \rho o v$ трòs mú入as．Brunck left a gap after $\pi \rho \circ \theta$ úpou（in which he is followed by Bekker），observing that the words $\pi \rho$ òs múdas seemed to be a gloss which had crept into the place＊of the genuine read－ ing．In a supplementary note he hit upon the same emendation as Bentley， remarking＂ad illud mpotúnate glossa erat $\pi \rho o ̀ s \pi u ́ \lambda a s ~ i \delta \rho v \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon$ ．Hinc lectionis depravatio．＂Porson observes that Sca－ liger（at Virg．Culic．p．8，ed．1595）had suggested тoủ $\mu o \hat{v}$ т $\rho \circ$ Өúpov $\pi \rho \circ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota o s$, and Dobree cites＇E $\rho \mu \bar{\eta} s$ $\pi \rho o \pi u ̛ \lambda a t o s$（see the note on 804 supra）from Pausa－ nias，i．p．53．Bentley＇s emendation is adopted by Invernizzi，Dindorf，Conz， Weise，Bothe，and Richter，and is un－ doubtedly right．$\pi \rho \circ \theta i \rho o v \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \pi v ́ \lambda a s$.
R. $\pi \rho o v \pi u ̛ \lambda o v ~ \pi \rho о \pi v ่ \lambda a v . ~ V . ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu ~$ тротидаiov. Bergk. $\pi \rho о \pi v ̌ \lambda o v ~ \pi a ́ \rho o s ~$ aủ入âs. Meineke, followed as usual by Holden.
877. aủтaû. av̉zó. R. тоиิтo тర́. Elmsley (at Med. 899), Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Meineke. тvvтì tó. MSS. vulgo. тovti. Gormont, Weise, Richter.
878. $\mu \iota к \rho \frac{o}{\nu}$. R. V. Suidas (s.v. бipaıov), Bekker, recentiores. оцикро́v. Edd. veteres.
880. roùs фєúyovtás t'. V. vulgo. kal toùs фєúyoutas. R. F. For ể $\lambda \in \epsilon \in \imath \nu$ Junta has $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$.
885. گॄvขєขхо́ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. R. V. Ф. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. छvvєuхо́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a$. Edd. veteres. After $\xi v \nu \epsilon v \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ Dindorf suggested the insertion of $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ or тavità to complete the line: the former is adopted by Bergk and Richter, the latter by Meineke and Holden. Hermann also suggested raûra, and so Reisig, who proposed to commence the line with it. After $\epsilon \pi a ́ \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ an $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is added by R., Edd. before Bekker, and Bothe: and Brunck further inserts $\sigma o i ́ \gamma^{\prime}$ between $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \underset{d}{\prime} \delta \rho \mu \in \nu$ and $\epsilon$.
886. ধ̌vєка. Dindorf, Weise, Bothe, Holden, Richter, Meineke. ধ゙vєкá $\gamma \epsilon$. Editions down to and including Brunck. єïขєка. R. V.F. Bekker, Bergk. єïveкá $\gamma \epsilon$. $\Phi$.
887. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$ ov̉. R. F. Bekker, recentiores, except Bothe, who with V. and the older editions reads ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi$ ötov.
888. $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta$ о́ $\mu \sigma \theta$. R. P. Ф. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores, except Meineke and Holden, who follow Cobet in reading $\eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. $\eta^{\prime} \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. V. n̉ $\delta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$. Edd. veteres.
890. т $\omega \hat{\nu} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$. Bekker, Dindorf, Reisig, Hermann, Holden, Bergk, recen-
tiores. Tติע $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma \epsilon \sigma 0 \hat{v} \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \in \dot{f} \rho \omega \nu$. Edd.
 The reading is varied in accordance with the reading of 873 supra, the corresponding line in the strophe. After this line Meineke (followed by Holden) introduces the words 'İrí חaiav.
891. Aúpactv. R. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. $\theta i p a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$. V. Edd. veteres.
892. є́ $\sigma \phi \rho{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu . ~ R . ~ V . ~ F . ~ B e k k e r, ~$ Dindorf, Meineke, Holden. єi $\sigma \phi \rho \eta \eta_{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu$. vulgo.
893. tis å $\rho^{\prime}$. V. vulgo. tis ă $\rho a$. R. F. Dobree doubtfully suggests that oviros should be transferred to Bdelycleon, and this suggestion is adopted by Bergk, Meineke, and Holden. But it is clear that Labes is not presented to the Court until six lines later: and oviros seems to be used merely by way of depreciation. "Where is that fellow, the defendant?" For ö $\sigma o \nu$ Dobree suggests oiov, and Reiske örov, whilst Bergler places a note of interrogation after $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, and takes it as a question to the servant, who re-
 is equivalent to $\omega s$ with an intensified signification, just as mórov סокєis is used in Eccl. 399 and elsewhere for the more ordinary $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ठoкeís.
894. The characters in the trial scene are variously distributed by the editors : but it seems to me that all the parts belonging to the $\kappa \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho \xi$ or the $\theta \in \sigma \mu_{0} \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ s are most properly assigned to Bdelycleon. The present speech is given by R. and the old editions to OE., which Bronck (apparently taking it for $\theta \in \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$, as Meineke also does) changed into Xanthias. ӨE. however stands for $\theta \in \sigma \mu 0-$ $\theta$ ér $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ s, who is one of the Dramatis Per-
sonæ in the old editions, and the speech is rightly assigned to Bdelycleon by Elmsley, Bergk, Meineke, and Holden. I do not think it necessary to notice all the minor variations in the prefixes throughout this scene. $\gamma \rho a \phi \overline{\mathrm{j}}$ s. 'Eypá$\psi$ aro. Bentley, Dawes, Porson, Branck,
 Edd. veteres. रןaфīs ìs $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a z o . ~ P . \Phi$.
 withstanding the observations in the note, it may be that the word Ai $\xi \omega \omega^{\prime} \in a$ is merely a punning allusion to $\pi$ ajágas supra 837 : in which case we must suppose the Platonic Laches to be referring to this very passage.
896. катй $\sigma \iota є \nu$. R. V. Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, recentiores. кaтívөlє. Edd. veteres.
900. кaì is omitted by V ., and $\kappa \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \pi \tau o \nu$ is accentuated $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ by R. For $\bar{\omega}$ mapàs Florent Chretien suggested iss $\mu$ uapòs, and Reiske $\dot{\delta} \mu$ uapós.
901. $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \rho \dot{\omega}$ s. $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \nu \dot{\omega} s$. Richter.
902. All the MSS. and early editions commence the line either with mov $\delta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ (R. Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Bekker, Bergk), which is contra metrum, or with
 an impossible crasis. The Scholiast not only has the reading $\pi 0 \hat{v} \delta^{\circ} \delta$, but gravely maintains that $\delta$ is sometimes long. Various emendations have been
 Florent Chretien and Bentley: noû $\delta^{\circ}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ o by Toup, Porson, Weise, Richter : $\pi o \hat{v}$ 'atuv ó or $\pi 0 \hat{v} \pi o v ̂ ' a \theta^{\prime}$ ó (Plutus, 865; Frogs, 288) by Dobree; the latter is adopted by Holden : той $\mu$ où by Dindorf: आov $\delta^{\prime}$ ov้̉ $\delta$ (which I have followed) by Bothe, who himself reads $\pi 0 \hat{v} \delta \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ : $\pi 0 \hat{u}$ $\pi$ ov̂ $\delta$ ' $\delta$ by Lenting and Meineke. The
line is transferred to Bdelycleon by Elmsley and Dindorf.
903. KY. aṽ aṽ. R. gives this and the next verse entirely to Bdelycleon, repeating oùros twice. And all but aṽ aथ̃ is usually given to him. Dobree trans-
 he is followed by Dindorf, Weise, Bergk, and Richter. I think they belong to Sosias. Meineke (followed by Holden) puts $a \tilde{v} a \tilde{v}$ extra metrum, then gives to Bdelycleon aá $\rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ oùtos, and leaves ётероз- $\chi$ útpas to Philocleon.
904. äzatós $\gamma^{\prime}$. F. omits $\gamma^{\prime}$.
905. $\sigma i$ ya. This line is given to Bdelycleon by Tyrwhitt, Elmsley, Dindorf, Bergk, recentiores. Ku. (for $\left.\kappa v \omega^{\prime} \omega\right)$ is prefixed in Gormont and the succeeding editions until Scaliger: $\mathbb{K} \eta$. (for $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \cup \xi$ ) in Junta, Scaliger, Kuster, and Bergler.
 Sosias is retained by Bekker, Weise, and Bothe.
907. Tīs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \rho a \phi \bar{\eta} s$. This speech is attributed to $\Theta E$. in R. and the early editions. It is given to Xanthias by Brunck and all recent editors. For $\hat{\eta}^{\nu}$ Brunck, Bothe, Richter, Meineke, and Holden write $\hat{\eta} s$. P. has an a written over the $\eta^{\eta} \nu$.
909. рилтатai. Dindorf, Meineke, Holdea. pилтaтai. R. vulgo. puлaтai. V. Ф. $\quad$ рvสaлaî. Berglk, Richter. See Frogs, 1105.

914. $\operatorname{kov}^{3} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\delta} \omega \kappa^{\prime}$. R. and the early editions omit the prefix Xanthias, which was added by Brunck after a suggestion of Scaliger. R. "versum inferiorem alteri tribuit," says Bekker.
917. ФI. Brunck, recentiores. ó kû, R. $\Theta \epsilon$. Edd. veteres. For $\tau \bar{\varphi}$ кoıv $\hat{\omega} \gamma^{\prime}$ N 1
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu о \grave{\imath}$ R．has $\tau \underline{\varphi}$ кvข $\omega \iota \gamma^{\prime} \mu о \iota$ ，and Dobree suggested $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кoı $\omega \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{o}$ ．There was no break in this verse in the MSS．and early editions．But Brunck severed the
 of the verse，and assigned them to Xan－ thias．He is followed by Bekker，Din－ dorf，Weise，Bothe，and Richter．But
 Scholiast supposes，nor if it could，would it yield a very clear or appropriate mean－ ing．The recent editors have therefore returned to the common and（I am per－ suaded）the genuine reading．

918．ávíp．Before Dindorf this was written àvíp．

919．трокатаүі＇ $\boldsymbol{\nu \omega \sigma к ' . ~ R . ~ V . ~ B r u n c k , ~}$ recentiores．$\pi \rho о к а т a \gamma i \nu \omega \sigma \kappa^{\prime}$ ．Edd．ve－ teres．

921．$\gamma$ à $\rho$ is omitted by R ．
922．$\mu \eta$＇$\nu v \nu$ ．The prefix is O$\iota \kappa$ ．in R．， $\theta \epsilon$ ，in the early editions．The speech is given to Xanthias by Brunck and all
 Cobet proposed，and Richter，Meineke，

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ．For $a \hat{\imath}$ Bothe reads äv．

925．тò $\sigma \kappa i \rho о \nu$. R．V．P．I．Ф．Bekker， Dindorf，Holden，Bergk，Meineke．тò $\sigma к i-$ $\rho o \nu$. F．тò $\sigma \kappa i \rho \rho o \nu$. Edd．veteres．tò $\sigma \kappa i \rho-$ pov．Scaliger，Kuster，Bergler，Brunck， Weise．tòv бкípoov．Suidas，Bothe．ròv бкípov．Richter．Both here and in Peace， 228－238，Meineke writes $\theta u t a \nu$ for $\theta v \epsilon i ́ a \nu . ~$

927．тоoेs тaûta．The prefix is Kv．in the early editions；Xanthias in and since Brunck＇s．R．omits it altogether， and for roûtov has тov̂тo $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ．

928．入ó $\not \mu \eta$ ．入ó $\gamma \chi \eta$ ．Junta，Zanetti， Farræus，Rapheleng．סío．P．Brunck， recentiores．$\delta v ́ \omega$. R．V．Edd．veteres．

929．кєк入а́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ．R．P．II．F．Bekker； Dindorf，Bothe，Holden，Richter，Mei－
 veteres．кєк $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma_{\chi} \omega$ ．Brunck，Weise，Bergk． ס亢aкєขŋोs was written as one word in the editions before Dindorf．

932．кат $\gamma$ रóp $\overline{\sigma \epsilon}$ ．V．Bekker，Holden， Bergk，Richter，Meineke．катךүópєvбє． R．（＂ni fallor，＂says Bekker）vulgo．

933．ซoí．бú．R．
 Holden，Bergk，Meineke．$\omega_{\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho v \omega ̀ \nu . ~}^{\text {V．}}$


935．тоиิ＇$\sigma \theta$＇oủtos．тоиิ＇$\sigma \theta$＇；oũtos． Cobet，Bergk，Meineke，Holden．

936．aủ о̀ेs ка $\theta \in \lambda o \hat{v}$ ．This speech is given to Bdelycleon by R．Bekker，and recent editors：to $\Theta_{\epsilon}$ ．by the editions before Brunck ：to Sosias by V．Brunck， Weise，and Bothe．

937．т $\rho u ́ \beta \lambda \iota o v . ~ R . ~ v u l g o . ~ т \rho v \beta \lambda i o \nu . ~ V . ~$ Brunck，Bekker，Dindorf，Weise，Bothe， Richter．$\tau \rho \ell \beta \boldsymbol{i}$ iov．$\Phi$ ．

938．тขро́кцпбтьข．тขрокц $\eta \sigma \tau \iota \nu . \mathrm{R}$.
939．тиоткєкаурє́va．Dobree conjectured $\pi \rho о \sigma к є к \lambda \eta \mu \in ́ \nu a$ ，and Meinele（followed by Holden）alters the text accordingly．

940．ov่ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ ．Before this word P．has a line drawn，and $\Pi$ ．has the prefix $\Phi I \Lambda$ ． So Brunck and Bothe，the former read－ ing кои̉ ка $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}\langle\epsilon \iota s$ ．

941．тầтov．Given to Philocleon by R．V．P．Bentley，Tyrwhitt，Dobree， Brunck，recentiores．Before Brunck it was continued to the preceding speech． For oí $\mu$＇$\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$ Bekker，Weise，and Richter have oỉ $\mu \iota$＇＇$\gamma$＇．$\chi \in \sigma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$ ，pro timore，as Bergler says．

942．oủk av̉．R．F．Bekker，recentiores， except Bothe，Richter，and Holden． Dobree refers to Knights，335，8．ои̉к äv． V．Edd．veteres，Bothe．Reisig conjec－
tured oűkovv, which Richter and Holden adopt.

944. àváßaù'. R. gives this line to Philocleon, and the next to Bdelycleon. So the old editions, which also give
 Correxit Brunck.
950. $\delta \iota a \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu v$. R. V. P. F. $\Phi$. vulgo. $\delta_{a} a \kappa \beta \lambda \eta \mu$ évous. Ald. Junta, Gormont, Zanetti, Farreus, Scaliger, Kuster.
 $\theta a t$. F.
953. $\mu$ èv oủv. R. omits oủv.
957. ört. MSS. vulgo. ö, т九; Dobree, Holden, Richter, Meineke : a very probable alteration.
 these three words to Philocleon, placing a note of interrogation after them.
960. є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$. Scaliger continues this and the following line to Bdelycleon.
961. èvéyoaф'. MSS. vulgo. Cobet suggests $\epsilon$ ধैpaфev, and Meineke, followed by Holden, alters the text accordingly. But èvé $\gamma \rho a \neq$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̀ \nu$ means "inflicted on us this written oration."
962. ФIム. äкouซov. This speech is ascribed to $K v$. by R., and to $\Theta \varepsilon$. by the editions before Brunck, which however give the words $\phi \eta \sigma i$ катаки $\overline{\sigma a \iota}$ to Bdelycleon. $\delta a \mu \mu_{0} \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ оv. R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores, except Weise. $\delta a \mu \mu \dot{\partial} \iota^{\prime}$ ' $£ \mu 0 \hat{u}$. ceteri.

965. For катéкцךбas here and катакцŋ̂бal in the next line V. has катéкиıas and катакиі́баи.

 (who writes it ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon l$, but in his notes returns to the common reading), Bergk,

Richter, Meineke, Holden. Bentley proposed to read $\tilde{\AA} \delta a i \mu \mu \nu$, and this is approved by Meineke (Vind. Aristoph.), who supposes the speaker to be addressing Lycus. But see 962 supra.
 Hirschig, Richter, Meineke, Holden.
970. оікочо́s. MSS. vulgo. Brunck suggested oikovpeiv, and Meineke, followed by Holden, alters the text accordingly. For $\mu$ óvo $V$ V. has $\mu$ óvos. R. prefixes $\delta \Phi i \lambda$. to the line.
973. tí какóv. vulgo. тí то̀ какóv. R. V. Bothe. So Richter, omitting the $\pi \circ \tau^{\circ}$. Hamaker, also omitting $\pi o \tau^{2}$, gives $\tau i{ }^{i}$ ò кaкóv; as a question to Bdelycleon, and so Meineke and Holden.
974. $\pi \in \rho \iota \beta a i v \epsilon$. V. vulgo. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu$ évet. R. Bothe, Bergk, Richter, Holden. Meineke conjectured $\pi \epsilon \rho / \sigma a i \nu \in$.


 ley.
978. aiteєite. V. vulgo. aitce. R. aì ${ }_{\sigma} \epsilon$. Hirschig, Richter, Meineke, Holden.
 and for $B \triangle E \Lambda$. $\delta$ $\pi$ ais. кaTißa is repeated four times by V. $\Phi$. Florent Chretien, Brunck, recentiores, thrice only by $R$. and Edd. veteres.
 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$. Cobet, Meineke. For àrà V . has aủтáp.

 R. I. F. Porson, Bruack, recentiores. $\gamma^{E}$ тò poфєiv. Edd. veteres. tòppoфєì V.
 крvga. Holden, Hirschig, Meineke, which is very probably right. Meineke mentions with approbation a proposal to
add $\gamma \epsilon$ after $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$, and Holden adds it.
 Bekker, Bothe. oì $\delta \in \pi$ тот $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. Edd.

 $\pi o$ ' $^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$. Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Rich-
 recentiores, except Weise, who with the


985. àmoфєย́yєє. R. V. P. П. Brunck, recentiores. à $\pi о \phi \in \hat{y} \eta$. Edd. veteres.
988. кàzó久̀vбov. кảmó̀avбóv. V.
990. $\tau \eta \delta i$. R. V. Bekker, recentiores. т $7 \nu \delta i t$. Edd. veteres, Weise.
 MSS. vulgo. Cf. Eccles. 169. 'עtaùe' év. Dobree (comparing ©Ed. Tyr. 598), Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke.
993. ф $\in ́ \rho$ ' $\epsilon \xi \in \rho a ́ \sigma \omega$. Originally this whole line was ascribed to Philocleon, but Dobree perceived that these two words must belong to another speaker, and they are accordingly transferred to Bdelycleon by Bergk, Richter, Meineke, and Holden. $\pi \omega \bar{\omega}$ ä $\rho$ ’. R. V. Belker, recentiores. $\pi \omega ̂ s ~ \gamma a ́ \rho . ~ E d d . ~ v e t e r e s . ~$
994. ёєокєข. є̈окая. R.
 proposed to transfer these words to Bdelycleon; and this is done by Weise and Holden. Dobree would leave ou" to Philocleon, and assign the rest to Bdelycleon.
996. Ётацрє бavтóv. V. Dindorf, recentiores, except Richter, who with R. and
 aavtóp. This necessitates the omission of $\nu v \nu$, which is omitted by $\Phi$. Vat. Ald. Junta, Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Bekker, and Rirhter: but $\nu v y$ is fonnd in R. V.F.
and the other editions, the older ones retaining it contra metrum.
997. àméфvyє. V. vulgo. $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \varepsilon v y \epsilon$. R. Gormont, Richter, Meineke, Holden.

1002. кои̇ тоѝ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$. R. V. vulgo. каì той $\mu$ ой. Р. $\Phi$.
1004. таутахой. R. vulgo. таитахоî. V. Brunck, Bekker, Weise, Meineke.
1008. $\nu \hat{v} \nu$. R.V.F. Bekker. $\nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ or vív $\gamma^{\prime}$. vulgo. vvv. Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Meineke, Richter.
1010. $\delta$ è $\tau \epsilon \in \omega s$. R. V. Bekker, recen-
 veteres. Brunck read tax ${ }^{\prime} \omega s$, putting a colon after the word, and adding $8^{\circ}$ to $\mu v p$ ádess. $^{\text {. The middle syllable of àvapi } \theta \text { - }}$ $\mu \eta \pi o t$ is long, contrary to the common usage in Aristophanes, doubtless because the phrase is adopted from some poet who (as afterwards Antipater in the passage cited in the note) had so used it.
1011. ข̂̂v $\mu$ '́v. Burges proposed to omit $\mu^{\prime} \nu$. Dindorf in his notes approving this would also read $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in v \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \sigma \theta \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, so as to make the lines trochaic. Bergk in his notes follows Dindorf, whilst Meineke introduces Burges's alteration into his text. The last two lines of the Commation are translated by Bergler nam pravorum est spectatorum id commiltere et non vestrûm. And so (with inscitorum for pravorum) Brunck. But it is perhaps better to supply $\pi \rho$ oेs before $\sigma \kappa u t \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon a \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, and to translate, " This is a fate which a poet would expect at the hands of foolish spectators, and not at your hands."
1015. $u \mathcal{B} \tau \epsilon$. $\kappa \lambda \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon$. Reiske. $\pi \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. P.థ. Bentley, Porson, Dindorf, recentiores, except Weise and Bergk, who with
the old editions and R． V ．have $\pi \rho o \sigma$－ є́хєтє．

1017．$\phi \eta \sigma t \nu$ ．V．P．Brunck，recentiores． $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ ．R．Edd．veteres．

1025．$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．Branck，recentiores．Cf． Peace，763．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \iota \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．R．Edd．veteres． тарьผ่ע．Bentley．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega ่ \nu($ as a trisyllable）． Scaliger，Porson．
 Bekker．

1027．mıध́бөat．V．P．П．F．Ф．Brunck， recentiores．$\pi \varepsilon \dot{u} \theta \in \sigma \theta a t$ ．R．$\pi \epsilon \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ ．Edd． veteres．Bentley suggested $\phi_{\eta} \sigma^{\prime}$＇$\pi \iota \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma$－ $\theta a t$ ，understanding the passage to mean that the $\pi a \iota \delta \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ had been satirized by some other poet，and that the lover came to Aristophanes to induce him to take up the quarrel：a meaning which is possible even with the existing text．

1028．aifıv．V．Brunck，recentiores． ai $\sigma \iota$ ．Edd．veteres．ä̈ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．R．

1029．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau$ óv $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．$\pi \rho \hat{\tau} т о \nu$（omitting $\gamma$＇）．
 The $y^{\prime}$ was added by Kuster，and is adopted by all recent editors except Meineke，who reads $\pi \rho \omega^{\prime} \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ，and is followed by Holden．For गु $\phi \eta \iota \tau \nu$ ．And for $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma^{3}$ є́ $\pi \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ R．has $\phi \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota \pi \iota \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a \iota$ ．Conz proposes and Bothe reads $\dot{a} \nu \partial \rho \omega \pi i \sigma \kappa o เ s$ for $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi o \iota s \quad \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma^{\prime}$ ， and Meineke substitutes ảvס́papious for à $\nu \theta$ рต́тоьs．
 $\chi$ х＇íct．Meineke．

1031．aủtê．Bentley suggests $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$.
1032．Kv́vıทs．Kúvŋs．Junta，Gormont． кvעos is an old reading mentioned by the Scholiast：and Bentley suggested kuvòs $\omega$ s．

1033．кєфалаi．Bentley suggests $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \tau-$ rat，which Meineke approves in a note， and Holden accordingly introduces into the text．oi $\mu \omega \xi^{\circ} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \nu$. R．V．P．Bergler，
recentiores．oi $\mu \omega \zeta \rho \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ．Edd．veteres． є $\lambda \iota \chi \mu \omega ิ \nu \tau о$. R．V．Kuster，recentiores． ${ }^{\boldsymbol{e}} \lambda \iota \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．Edd．veteres．

1034．عỉX ${ }^{\boldsymbol{1}}$ ．R．V．Kuster，recentiores． єīx．Edd．veteres．

1035．Lapias 8．V．valgo．Napias （omitting $\delta^{\circ}$ ）．R．And so Meineke，fol－ lowed by Holden．

1036．катабюробокйбає．катабюробокй－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu . ~ F ' . ~ к а к a ̀ , ~} 8 \omega \rho о \delta о к \grave{\jmath \sigma a \iota}$ ．Bothe．

1037．$\dot{\imath} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．V．P．Scaliger，Bentley， Brunck，recentiores．$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．R．Edd．ve－ teres．$\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aủzov．R．V．vulgo．$\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aùrò is suggested by Bentley and Dobree，and Meineke，followed by Holden， alters the text accordingly．$\mu^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ aủ $\boldsymbol{i} o v$. Bothe．The $\tau \epsilon$ before $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av่rov̂ is omit－ ted by $R$ ．

1038．тє́pvбьע．$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho о \iota \sigma \iota \nu . \mathrm{R}$ ．
1040．$\dot{\tau} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．R．V．Scaliger，Kuster， recentiores．${ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$. Edd．veteres．

1044．кататрои́о́отє．кататрои́סортє．R． $\sigma \pi \in i \rho a \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．R．Bekker，recentiores（except Bothe）．$\sigma \pi \alpha i \rho o \nu \tau^{\prime} . ~ V . ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau ' . ~ \Phi . ~ E d d . ~$ veteres．$\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．Scaliger，Kuster， Bergler，Brunck，Bothe．For кalvotáraıs סıavoiaıs Bothe suggests kaıvoтáтas ס̀a－ voias，and Hecker would change aủtò into aủ̃ $\dot{\mu}$ ．

1045．àva入ôeîs．ảvauסєîs．R．
1046．то入入oís．R．V．P．I．Bentley， Porson，Brunck，recentiores．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$. Edd．veteres．${ }^{\circ} \mu \nu \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ ．V．Porson，Brunck， recentiores．ö $\mu \nu v \sigma \iota . ~ R . ~ P . ~ П . ~ E d d . ~ v e-~$ teres．

1048．$\gamma \nu \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ．$\gamma \nu \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ．R．For ттара－ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$ Bentley suggests тарáкрıца．See the Scholiast on 1045 supra．

1050．єi $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda a v ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．Scholiast，Brunck， Dindorf，recentiores．єimep é̀ $\lambda u ̛ \nu \omega \nu . \mathrm{R}$ ． V．Edd．veteres．

1053．kaıvóv．R．V．P．I．Bentley， Brunck，recentiores．ко»ขóv．Edd．ve－ teres．

1056．$\epsilon \in \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \tau^{\prime}$ ．R．V．Bekker，Din－ dorf（in notes），Holden，Bergk，Meineke． є́ $\sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ．vulgo．

1060．$\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s$. MSS．vulgo．ípeís．Bergk， Meineke，Holden．For év $\chi$ opoîs Bergk reads xopoís only，substituting $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a \mu \epsilon$ for $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\eta}$ in the antistrophe．

1061．$\mu a ́ \chi$ aıs．R．V．F．Bekker，recen－ tiores．$\mu$ á ${ }^{\alpha} \iota \sigma \iota \nu$. Edd．veteres．

1062．кaì kar＇aùró．I give this line as written by John Seager（Class．Journ． iv．714），who is followed by Hirschig and Richter．The variations，though slight， are very numerous．The old editions
 $\mu a \chi \iota \mu \dot{\prime} \tau a \tau o \iota$ ，except Grynæus，who has
 тato（and so Weise and Bothe），which comes very near the present reading． In V．and F．it is given кaì кат＇aùò̀
 （except that it begins кaì raût＇aùvò） in R．Bentley proposed кaì кǎ＇aủzò ò̀ $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ т о и ิ \tau^{\prime} a ̈ \nu \delta p \in s$ ả̀ $\lambda \kappa \mu \omega \dot{\text { átatol（so Porson，}}$ Meineke，Holden），or ảvঠpıкผ́тато（so Dindorf）．Brunck reads каì кат＇aủrò
 Bergk follows Seager，except that he omits $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ，and reads $\kappa \in \tilde{\imath} \sigma \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in \in \hat{i} \sigma \epsilon$ in the antistrophe．

1064．оіХєтaь．V．Bentley，Reisig，Din－ dorf，recentiores．oizєтaí $\gamma \in$ ．R．Edd． veteres．кúкцоv тє́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \epsilon$ ．Bentley．кúкцои $\tau \epsilon$ ．R．V．F．vulgo．кúкขotó $\tau \epsilon$ ．Bothe． ки́кขоv $\tau^{\prime}$ ё＇ть．Reisig，Dindorf，Bergk． For $\pi о \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$ R．and $F$ ．have $\pi о \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ ． For $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Bergk suggests $\delta \bar{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$ ．Meineke （Vind．Aristoph．）would read кúкעov $\tau \epsilon$


 V．adding in the margin oi крótaфou．So Suidas s．จ．кúкцоv．The Scholiast too


 aṽ兀ิิ $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ ．But the common reading is n n doubt correct．

1066．$\dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$. R．vulgo．$\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu . ~ V . F . ~$ Suidas s．v．кúкдоv，Bentley，perhaps rightly．

1067．$\sigma \chi$ єi»．Reisig，Dindorf，Holden， recentiores．$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$. MSS．Edd．veteres． ©s．R．V．F．Suidas s．v．кúk $\quad$ ov，Bentley， Bekker，Dindorf，Holden，recentiores． Omittunt Edd．veteres．Bentley pro－


1069．кıкiv Scaliger，recentiores．кiv⿻ovs．V．кок－ kípovs．Edd．veteres．For $\nu \in a \nu t \omega \bar{\nu}$ Mei－ neke strangely proposes $K \lambda \epsilon \iota \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．In $\nu \epsilon a \nu \iota \neq \dot{\eta} \nu$ and $\nu \epsilon a \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ the $\epsilon a$ coalesce into one syllable，as in Éa⿱㇒木at，Peace，907； Thesm． 280.

1070．кєن̉ $\rho u \pi \rho \omega \kappa т i a \nu . ~ V . ~ B e k k e r, ~ r e c e n-~-~$ tiores．кทи̇ $\rho v \pi \rho \omega к т i a \nu$. R．F．Edd．vete－ res．$\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ means what is vulgarly called the＂get up＂of the young men．

1071．$\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \phi \eta \kappa \omega \mu \notin \nu 0 \nu$. R．V．Florent Chretien，Scaliger，recentiores．$\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \phi \eta$－ $\kappa \omega \mu$ évov．Edd．veteres．

1072．ท̈ $\tau \iota$ ．R．V．Bentley，Porson， Bekker，Holden，Bergk，Meineke．${ }^{\prime}$ тıs． Edd．veteres．$\chi$ グr $\tau$ s．Richter，which is worse than either．In the old editions the words $\eta$ jus were added to the pre－ ceding line．They were brought down to this line by Florent Chretien，Kuster， recentiores．This line therefore became two syllables too long（for $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ was formerly inserted before $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ），and＇$\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$
was omitted by Florent Chretien, Bentley, Weise, Bothe, Bergk. Bentley also suggested the omission of $\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega}$, and so Porson, Brunck, Bekker, Richter, and Holden. In V. and $\Phi$., however, the $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ is omitted : and so Dindorf and Meineke: and I have followed this MS. authority.
1076. द̇ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{veis}. \mathrm{R}. \mathrm{V.F}. \mathrm{Bekker}, \mathrm{Din-}$ dorf, Holden, Meineke, Richter. It had been hesitatingly suggested by Dobree.


 Chretien, Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. $\bar{\eta} \nu \theta^{\prime}$. R. ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$. Edd. veteres.
1080. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \mu \omega \nu . \mathrm{R}$.
1081. бùv סópet $\begin{gathered}\text { où. Etymolog. Magn. }\end{gathered}$ Dindorf, Bergk, and (with givv) Meineke, Richter, Holden. See Peace, 357. Eiv Sopì gúv. R. V. F. Florent Chretien, Bentley, Brunck, Bekker, Weise, Bothe. סovò̀ $\xi \mathfrak{u} v$. Edd. veteres. סovpì kaì $\xi$ gúv. Scaliger in notes. छ̇̀v סovpı $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu$. Kuster, Bergler.
1083. orás. R. V.P.F. $\Phi$. Suidas (s. $\mathrm{\nabla}$. $\chi^{〔} \lambda^{\lambda} \dot{\nu} \eta \eta$ ), Florent Chretien, Kuster (at Suidas 1.c.), Brunck, recentiores. mâs. Edd. veteres. tás. II. For map' R. has то́s.
1084. тоگ̆єข
1085. à $\tau \epsilon \omega \sigma$ á $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. vulgo, $\epsilon \omega$ being read as one syllable. ì $\pi \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. Din-
 Meineke. $\epsilon \oplus \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. Holden, Bergk, and Meineke in notes. évitéfav. V. Bergk, Meineke, Holden. é $\sigma \pi$ ย́pas. R. é $\sigma \pi$ épa. vulgo.
 Bothe, Meineke, Holden. See at 16 supra.
1087. єiтó $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta a$. R. Bekker, Meineke,

Holden. É $\sigma \pi \delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. vulgo. This and the following line are transposed by Hamaker and Meineke; but though I had myself already transposed them in my translation, yet I have no doubt that they are rightly arranged in the text. Line 1088 seems to be inserted for the express purpose of recalling the image of the Wasps (which had been rather lost sight of in the preceding lines) as an introduction to the statement with which the Epirrhema closes.
1091. ग. V. vulgo. j̄ $\boldsymbol{j}$. R. Bergk. $\pi a ́ v \tau a \mu \dot{\eta}$. MSS. vulgo. $\pi a ́ v \tau a \mu^{\prime}$ ä̀. Dobree, Holden. $\pi u ́ v \tau a s ~ \check{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$. Hirschig, and so Meineke, though in his notes he prefers Bergk's $\pi$ ávza $\mu \epsilon$.
1092. катєбтрє $\psi a ́ \mu \eta \nu$. R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores. катєбт $\rho \epsilon \psi \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Edd. veteres.
1093. Bergk alters éкєívє into кєívє, and Bothe rais into raî $\iota$.
1097. ö́ctıs. Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke. ôs ä้v. vulgo. ö́rts ä $\nu$. R. V. Bekker.
1100. тóv. R. V.ח. F. Brunck, recentiores. kaì róv. Edd. veteres.
1107. $\xi_{\nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon s . ~ V . ~ B e n t l e y, ~ R e i s k e, ~}^{\text {, }}$
 Edd. veteres.
1108. ã $p x \omega v$. The aspirate was first added by Brunck.
1109. $\varphi \delta \in \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega}$. $\dot{\delta} \delta i \omega \iota$. R.
1110. สuкцóv. Пuкцós. Kennedy, Meineke, Holden.
1111. кuтtápols. кaттápots. R. kutápots. V.
 ${ }^{\prime} \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau a t o l$, which I think must be right.
 neke, who omits the next line.
 Bergk.
1116. yóvov. MSS. vulgo. Dobree's elegant conjecture $\pi$ óvou (cf. شÆtsch. Agam. 54 , and Bp. Blomfield's note there) is adopted by Bergk and Meineke.
1117. inpiv. R.V. Brunck, recentiores. $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$. P. II. $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Edd. veteres.
1118. è кфорй. MSS. vulgo. ékpoфñ. Dobree, Reiske, Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke. And this would probably be right, if the speaker were alluding to the demagogues.
1119. $\lambda o ́ \gamma \chi \eta \nu$. $\lambda_{o ́ \chi} \mu \eta \nu$. V. $\lambda o ́ \gamma \chi \mu \eta \nu$. Junta; Gormont. In the next line R. has ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta \rho \alpha \chi \dot{v}$.
1125. ảyuAóv. à àat'. R.F.
 notes) . Bergler, recentiores. $\quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{d} v \theta$ paki$\delta \omega \nu$. Edd. veteres. $\delta i s$ à $\nu \theta \rho a \kappa i \delta \omega \nu$. Athenæus, vii. cap. 137. סis ध̇ $\pi$ avөpakiôøv. Porson. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{os}$. R. V. F. superscriptum in P. Brunck, recentiores. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$. P. Edd. veteres. $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \hat{e ́ v o s . ~ П . ~ a ̃ ̀ ~} \lambda \mu \eta \nu \pi t \dot{\omega} \nu$. Athenæus, ubi supra. See the note.
1128. $\gamma \nu a \phi \epsilon i$. MSS. vulgo. кขафєi. Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke.
1132. à áaßa入ov. P. Ф. Scaliger (in notes), Brunck, recentiores. à àa $a \beta$ ouv. R. V.F. Edd. veteres. So 1135. The Scholiast notices $\gamma \in \rho o v \tau t \kappa \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$ as a various reading for $\tau \rho \iota \beta \omega \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} s$.
1133. $\pi$ aîôas. R. V. vulgo. maîoa. P. п. Ald. Junta, Grynæus. For kaì трé$\phi \epsilon \iota$ Hirschig suggests and Meineke reads кӓктрє́фєьเ.
1136. тò какóv. An exclamation. Malum! "What the plague is this!" Peace, 322.
 V. Brunck, Bekker, Bothe.
1141. тoínv. R. V. I. F. Brunck, recentiores. toívv $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$. $\Phi$. Edd. veteres.
1142. éousévau. MSS. vulgo. Cobet prefers $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \kappa^{\prime} \varepsilon \alpha c \iota$, and Meineke (followed by Holden) alters the text accordingly.
1146. тоt. mot. R.
1147. тá入aขтov. R. V. Scaliger, rẹcentiores. тàávт $\omega \nu$. Edd. veteres.
1148. aùrท̌v. V. Dindorf, Holden, Meineke. тaúrŋय. R. valgo.
1149. Stкaúóтероv. V. F. Dindorf, Holden, Richter, Meineke. סıкаиótєроу $\gamma^{\prime}$. R. vulgo.
1150. $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_{i}^{\prime} \hat{\gamma}^{\prime}$ à $\mu \pi \iota \sigma \chi$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0$ s. vulgo.

 $\mu$ еעos.
1152. $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. V. The prefix $\Phi 1$., originally omitted, is added by Grynæus, Scaliger, recentiores.
1153. є"ñe $\gamma^{\prime}$. R. V. Scaliger, recentiores. є $\epsilon_{\pi \in \rho . ~ F . ~ E d d . ~ v e t e r e s . ~}^{\text {. }}$
1154. $\phi$ ' $\epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. Before Brunck this was written $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon, a ̊ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$.
1155. $\pi a \rho a ́ \theta o v ~(\pi a \rho a \theta o u ̂ . ~ \nabla.) . ~ D i n d o r f, ~$ Holden, Richter, Meineke. кaтátov. R. rulgo.
1157. àmo̊vov. vulgo. ímodivov. R. V. F. Instead of the verbs àmodi $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ here and $\dot{v} \pi 0 \delta \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ in lines 1158, 1159, and 1168, Hirschig (anticipated by Scaliger in the last two passages) proposes to
 in the other places. The proposal is an ingenious and plausible one; but it is certainly unnecessary: it involves four alterations of the MS. text; and I am not sure that the use of the one verb óveroat in different compounds (slip out of those, and slip into these) is not more suited to the tone of the dialogue. In the present line Hirschig's indoरvov is followed by

Cobet（N．L．p．789），Meineke，and Hol－ den．For кaтapátous V．has karatpárovs．
 §ov̂ $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．Hirschig，Cobet．ímoঠoṽ $\tau \iota$ тís．Meineke．

1159．ímodú $\sigma a \sigma \theta a u$. MSS．vulgo．íno－ ס́ña⿱艹aa．Scaliger in notes，Hirschig， Meineke，Holden．So infra 1168.
 Dindorf，Weise，Bothe，Meineke in Vind．
 Batv＇．R．V．F．Francini，Farræus，Berg－ ler，Bekker，Dindorf，Bergk，Richter， Meinelze，Holden．каááßaù＇．Edd．vete－ res，contra metrum．каì кađáßauv＇．Р．Ф．， and so Scaliger in notes and Bergler suggested．Bergler also suggested $\kappa \boldsymbol{T} T \boldsymbol{T}$ a及aiv＇，and so Bentley，Maríland（at Eur． Iph．Taur．1207），Brunck，Weise，Bothe． каі̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \beta a \iota \nu$＇．Reisig，not improbably．
1163．$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i a \nu, ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i a \nu . \mathrm{R}$ ．
 $\rho \omega s$. Hirschig，Bergk，Meineke，Holden．

1169．ঠ́ăбалакө́vıбov．MSS．vulgo．

 is preferred by Dindorf and Bergk，and adopted by Bothe，Meineke，and Holden． The Scholiast mentions two other read－


1172．$\delta_{0} \theta \hat{\imath} \eta \nu$ ．Meineke writes it $\Delta o$－ $\theta$ ifiv，thinking it the name of a man qui tunicâ amiciretur laxâ et quæ tan－ quam allii cortex corpus ambiret（Vind． Aristoph．）．And he compares Homer＇s Odyssey，xix． 231.
1176．tiva．R．V．I．F．vulgo．rivas． P．$\Phi$ ．Brunck，Bekker，Bothe，Richter． ti．Ald．Junta．

1178．$\delta$ Kарঠотi$\omega \overline{1}$ R．V．Р．П．Ф． Brunck，recentiores．Kapoori $i \omega v$ ，Edd． veteres．

1185．For raגâs R．has ya入̀े．Aud for $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon s} \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$ V．has merely $\lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon s$ ．

1187．K $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma} \theta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \nu \epsilon!$ ．K $\lambda \in \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ．R．
 whither．Bekker，Dindorf，Holden，Mei－ neike．oi $\delta a \mu o \hat{v}$ ．vulgo．By the $\theta \epsilon \omega p^{\prime} a$ to Paros，Philocleon may possibly mean the abortive expedition of Miltiades to that island．Hdt．vi． 133.
 $\sigma a \tau^{\prime}$ ．Dobree．${ }_{a} \rho^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．Cobet，Mei－ neke，Holden．Bergk proposes द́ $\mu$ а́хєто т тріка．

1191．＇Eфov8í $\omega \nu$ ．＇Eфovvסícu．V．
 Bergk proposes кaì $\pi \circ \lambda \iota o ̀ s ~ \ddot{\omega} \nu$ ．
1193．ßafvráтๆय．Dindorf，Berker， Holden，Bergk，Richter，Meineke．$\beta$ apu－
 vas $\tau \epsilon$ ．vulgo．кaì $\lambda a \gamma o ́ v a s . ~ R . ~ к a i ̀ ~ \lambda a-~$ yóva．V．Dobree．кai $\lambda a \neq 0$ óve．Dindorf in notes．
 V．Bergk，Meineke．

1196．oũтшs．R．V．vulgo．oűт ．Hir－ schig，Richter，Meineke，Holden．

1198．Sokeis．סokîs．P．
 xápakas．These words are omitted in $V$ ．， the transcriber＇s eye passing from one $\chi^{\text {ápakas }}$ to the other．

1206．Фáü入入ov．R．vulgo．Фávìov．V． The word is misspelt in many of the old editions．
1207．$\epsilon \lambda \lambda 0 \nu$ ．MSS．vulgo．$\epsilon^{i} \lambda_{\text {коу．}}$ Richter．

1208．$\pi \rho o \sigma \mu a ́ v \theta a v e$. MSS．vulgo．Cf． Thesm．20．$\pi \rho о \mu a ́ v \theta a v \epsilon$ ．Dobree，Bergk， Meineke，Holden．

1210．катак $\lambda \iota \nu \omega$ ．P．Scaliger（in notes）， Bergler（in notes），Brunck，Belker，Din－
dorf，Bothe，recentiores．катак入ìш． vulgo．

1211．катаклı$\overline{\text { ̂vaı．F．Dindorf，Holden，}}$
 vulgo．катаклìдı． V ．

1212．$\pi \hat{\omega} s$. R．V．vulgo．$\pi o v$ ．$\Phi$ ．Ald． Junta．$\pi \hat{\omega}$ ．Gormont．

1217．à $\pi о \nu є \nu i \mu \mu \epsilon \theta^{3}$ ．$\dot{a}_{\pi о \nu є \nu i \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}}$ ． R ． Before Brunck the $\eta \not \partial \delta \eta$ was connected， not with $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \delta \circ \mu \in \nu$ ，but with $\dot{a} \pi о \nu \epsilon \nu i \mu-$ $\mu \in \theta a$ ．

1219．av̉ $\lambda \eta \tau \rho i s$ ．avi入 $\eta \tau \rho i s$ ．Meineke．
1221．＇Aкє́ $\sigma т о \rho o s . ~ B e n t l e y, ~ B r u n c k, ~$ recentiores．＇Aкє́бтєроs．R．V．Edd．ve－ teres．

 $\mathrm{B} \Delta$ ．ä $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon s$ ；And so Meineke（though he repents in his Vind．Aristoph．）and Holden．And so Richter，except that he reads ó $\pi \omega s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \in$－．
 Chretien，Bentley，Dindorf，Weise， Bergk，Richter．ov̇ $\delta i i^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \Delta \iota a k p i \omega \nu$ ס $\epsilon$－ $\delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ．MSS．Edd．veteres．où $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \Delta a-$ крíw $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ．Meineke（supposing $\Delta \iota a-$ крíve to be a man＇s name），Holden．
 крí $\omega$ à̀ où $\delta^{?}$ àv $\epsilon i \hat{s}$ ．Dobree．We might
 ס८aঠ́є́єєаи．

1226．$\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau$＇＇A ${ }^{\prime} \eta \nu$ vas．Bentley，Din－ dorf（in notes），Fritzsche（de Pelargis Aristophanis，Quæst．Arist．i．50），
 MSS．vulgo．$\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \notin \nu \gamma^{\prime \prime}$＇A $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu a u s$ ．Elms－ ley（at Ach．980）．＇A $\theta \eta$ raiós $\gamma \in$ ．Meineke．

1227．is $\sigma$ í．A trochee is required to complete the metre．$\dot{\omega}$ o $\dot{v}$ is inserted by Bentley，Dindorf，Fritzsche（ubi supra），Meineke，and Holden ：oidè by

Bergk and Weise：and both Dindorf and Meineke approve it in their notes．

1228．тоvтì $\sigma \grave{v}$ ঠpá $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．MSS．vulgo． тоข̂t＇$\epsilon i$ à̀ סpáбєts．Porson，Dobree， Meineke，Holden．rovtì $\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ặ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．Mark－ land at Eur．Suppl．932．тoviì $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma^{\prime}$ ädess．Koenius，Brunck．

1230．$\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s$ ．R．omits $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ．
1231．éáv．R．V．Dindorf，Holden， Bergk，recentiores．$\epsilon a ́ v \gamma^{\prime}$ ．Edd．veteres． For $\Delta i^{\prime}$ R．has $\delta i ́ a$ ．$\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ äбоцаи． Brunck，Bekker，Dindorf，Weise，Bothe， Bergk，Richter．é $\tau \in ́ \rho a \nu$ ắcopal．R．V．
 Meineke，Holden．

1232． $\bar{\omega} \nu \theta \omega \phi \phi^{\prime}$ ．＇To this line in theold editions XOP．was prefixed，and there was no prefix before $\tau i ́ \delta^{\prime}$ öta 1236 ．Both errors were corrected，first by Bentley， and afterwards by Brunck．$\mu a t o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$. R．Bentley，Bekker，Dindorf，Bothe， recentiores．$\mu a \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~ V . ~ E d d . ~ v e t e r e s . ~$
 Holden，Bergk，recentiores．àvarpé $\psi \in \epsilon$
 Bentley（who first arranged these scolia metrically）：and such seems to have been the reading in Alcæus．

1236．Өémpos．ó Өécopos．R．t тòs $\pi \circ \delta \omega \nu . ~ V . ~ P . ~ П . ~ B r u n c k, ~ r e c e n t i o r e s . ~$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi о \delta \bar{\omega} \nu . \quad$ Ф．$\pi \rho \delta$ п $\pi 0 \delta \bar{\omega} \nu . \quad$ R．Edd veteres．

1237．ă $\delta \eta$ ．V．Brunck，recentiores． aíoci．R．Edd．veteres．

1240．тои́тф．тоиิto．R．For $\lambda$ égeis Bergk conjectures $\delta \varepsilon \xi \in \epsilon$ ．The prefix $\Phi I$ ． is omitted in R．ஞंठ七киิs．R．V．n．P．Ф． Florent Chretien，Brunck，Bekker，Din－ dorf，Weise，Bothe，Bergk，and Richter． ạóskós．Edd．veteres．a̋ôıkos．Kuster， Bergler．టֻठıcós．F．，which Bergler also suggested．Dindorf conjectures $\omega \delta \delta i \pi \omega s$ ，
which Holden adopts: and Bergk conjectures' $\propto \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$. Hamaker and Meineke for some unaccountable reason omit the entire line.
1242. ả $\mu$ фот́́роıбı. R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores, except Weise, Bothe, and Richter, who with the old editions read à $\mu$ отє́є́оь.
 Meineke, Holden.
1245. Biav. R. V. vulgo. Biov. Tyrwhitt, Dindorf (in notes), Weise, Bergk, Meineke, and Holden.
1248. $\delta \dot{\eta}$. R. V.P. П. F. Brunck, recentiores. $\delta \dot{\text { é. Edd. veteres. } \delta \iota \epsilon \text { кó } \mu \pi а \sigma a s . ~}$ Tyrwhitt, Burges, Dindorf (in notes), Weise, Bergk, Richter. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i^{\prime}$ є̇кó $\mu \pi a \sigma a s$. Meineke, Holden. סıєкómıбаs. R. V. Grynæus, Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Bekker, Bothe. סıєккó $\mu \iota \sigma a s$. Edd. veteres. $\boldsymbol{\sigma v}$. R. V. F. Bekker, recentiores. $\quad \sigma v i \tau \epsilon$. Edd. veteres.
1251. бvбкєv́a̧̧є. бкєv́a̧̧. $\nabla$.
1252. $\mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma \theta \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$. Cobet (N. L. 209) proposes to change $\mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ into $\mu \epsilon-$ $\theta \dot{v} \omega \mu \in \nu$, and to insert $\mu \dot{\eta}$ before $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$. And Meineke (followed by Holden) alters the text accordingly. The prefix $\$ \mathrm{I}$. (before $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s$ ) is omitted in the old editions. It was restored by Grynæus, Scaliger, Bentley, Brunck, recentiores: and so the MSS.
1254. тađágal. vulgo, which is obviously right. See infra 1422. кaтá ${ }^{\text {Eas. R. }}$ V. Bekker, Richter. кarapágaı. Vat. Pal., and the Scholiast mentions this reading.
1256. छुun̂̀s $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$. R. Bekker, recentiores, except Weise, who with the old editions reads $\xi v \nu \eta ̂$ й.
1257. тє $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ Өóta. Before Scaliger there was a note of interrogation after this word.
1261. ảлоí久єтаи. MSS. vulgo. àmध́ $\rho-$ $\chi^{\kappa \tau a \iota}$ is suggested by Cobet and Bergk, and Meineke (followed by Holden) alters the text accordingly.
1262. $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho^{3}$ ( ( $\tilde{a}^{3} \rho^{\prime}$. Elmsley at Ach. 323). Hermann, Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Bergk, Richter, Meineke. 'a $\rho$ '. V. $\gamma$ ' $a^{\prime} \rho a$. R. $\gamma^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} \rho^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} . ~ F . ~ \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$. vulgo.
1263. єौl $\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\prime}$. R. vulgo. єौl $\pi \epsilon \rho$. V. F.

1264. ä $\gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu$. This line is wrongly transferred to Bdelycleon by Bergk, Richter, Meineke, and Holden. Here, as in Knights, 724, the expression $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ì $\mu a ̂ s i \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \tau \omega$ signifies the hearty assent with which the speaker now falls in with the other's proposal.
1265. ' $\delta o \xi \xi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} . \quad$ R. V. Porson, Bekker, recentiores. ' $o \xi a$ ' $\mu a v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ (or

1267. K $\rho \dot{\omega} \beta$ ú $\lambda o v . ~ K \rho \omega \beta u ́ \lambda \omega \nu$. Suidas (s. т. 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ vvias), which Meineke prefers.
1268. őv $\gamma^{\prime}$. R. Scaliger, Bekker, recentiores, except Weise. $\omega \nu . \mathrm{V}$. öv. F. o̊ $\nu \tau \iota \nu$ '. Edd. veteres, Weise. potâs. R. vulgo. foâs. V. Brunck, Bekker, Dindorf (in notes), Weise, Bothe, Holden, Meineke.
1270. $\pi \epsilon \iota \tilde{\eta} . \quad \pi \epsilon \dot{L} \nu \eta . \quad$ R. ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \rho . \quad \mathrm{V}$. Kuster, Brunck, recentiores. $\eta \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \rho$. R. $\eta \pi \pi \rho$. Edd. veteres.
1272. $\mu$ óvols. R. V.F. Bekker, recentiores. $\mu$ óvoı $\sigma \iota$. Edd. veteres. In the old editions $\mu$ óvos- $\Theta \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$ formed but one line. Bentley divided them at Пєעє́ $\sigma \tau$-, and so Brunck and Weise. And this seems a very happy mode of distributing the lines: making the entire Chorus iambic, except four trochaic tetrameters

1273. тоîs $Ө \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$. R. V. vulgo. $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\Theta_{\epsilon \tau \tau \tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Ф. Brunck, Weise, Bothe.
 Bekker（in notes），Bothe，Meineke，and Holden ：and in his notes Bergk approves of $i t$ ．

1277．ä äracı．V．vulgo．ä äaбıv，R．
 R．

1281．${ }^{\circ} \mu \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ．Bentley suggests ${ }^{\omega} \mu \sigma \sigma a$ ． Bergk（regardless of metre）would read

1282．фúreos．Bentley，Brunck，re－ centiores．фú $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ s．R．V．Edd．veteres， contra metrum．See infra 1458. Bothe omits the line．

1284．єioi．eioiv．R．As to the lacuna before this line see the note．

1286．какiaus．Florent Chretien，Bent－ ley，Brunck，recentiores．какíтals．R． Edd．veteres．ка́кıтт＇，V．àтєঠєє $\rho \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \nu$ ． MSS．vulgo．Bergler suggested $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon-$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{a} \mu \eta \nu$ ，and Brunck and Bothe read $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \pi \varepsilon \delta а \iota \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \nu$.
1287．ớктós（or oi＇${ }^{\text {któs }) . ~ P . ~ v u l g o, ~ a n d ~}$ so both Bentley and Porson．ékrós． R．V．II．F．Bekker，Meineke，Holden． oikтós．Kuster，apparently by a mere clerical error．$\theta \in \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \partial o$. ．Bentley，Berg－ ler，recentiores．$\mu$＇oi $\theta \in \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$, R．V． F．Scaliger，Kuster．$\theta$ єо́ $\mu$ еуот．Edd．ve－ teres．

1289．$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a \lambda \omega$ ．R．V．Suidas（s．$\nabla . \sigma \kappa \omega \mu-$ $\mu$ átıov），Bentley，Bergler（in notes）， Brunck，recentiores．$e_{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a ̊ \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．Edd． veteres．̇̇кßá入 $\omega$ ．F．Florent Chretien،
1290．тầтa．тavil．R．©．
1293．T＇́yous．Bentley，Dobree，Reisig， Dindorf，recentiores，except Bothe．épais． V．P．Edd．veteres，Bothe．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \mathcal{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．R．， which has the two following lines（with
 the margin．éaics．Floreut Chretien．In
n．the line ends prematurely with $\pi \lambda \epsilon v$－ paits．

1295．ràs $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ás．P．$\Phi$ ．Kuster（in note on Suidas s．v．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon)$ ，Brunck，Dobree，
 Suidas（s．v．$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ），Edd．veteres．And so it is written above the line in P ．tais $\pi \lambda e v \rho a i ̂ s$. Francini，Scaliger，Kuster． In the next line the Scholiast notices a


1297．刀．İs．R．F．
1300．тароьькผ́татоя．тароцць́татоя． Elmsley at Ach． 981.
1301．${ }^{\circ}$ I $\pi \pi v \lambda \lambda o s$ ．R．Bentley，Tyrwhitt， Bekker，recentiores．＂I $\sigma \pi$ u入os．V．＂I $\pi$－ tu入os．Edd．veteres．＇It $\pi$ ródutos．Suidas （s．v．$\pi$ apotvıќтtatos）．＂Legendum vel $\pi a \rho \eta \hat{\sigma a \nu}$＇I $\pi \pi \hat{u} \lambda o s$ vel $\pi a \rho \bar{\eta} \nu$＂I $I \pi \tau \nu \lambda \lambda o s . "$ Blomfield，Gloss on شisch．Prom． 214. 1302．oi．$\delta$ ．V．
1303．ißpıtтótaтos．Cobet suggests ißpiotatos，and Meineke（followed by Holden）alters the text accordingly．

1305．е̇ч ${ }^{\prime} \lambda a \tau^{\prime}$ ．R．V．F．Suidas，Bekker， Dindorf，Weise，Bothe，Bergk．${ }^{\dot{e} \nu} \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \lambda a{ }^{\prime}$ ． Р．п．Ф．Scaliger．द̇vעウ̉入入ar＇．Edd．vete－ res．Éย $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．Kuster，Bergler，Brunck， Porson，Cobet（N．L．688），Richter，Mei－ neke．à a $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．Lenting，Holden，which is probable enough．$\pi \epsilon \pi \delta \dot{\rho} \delta \varepsilon \epsilon$ ．＇$\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma_{\rho} \rho \delta \epsilon \iota$ ． Brunck，Weise，Bothe，Meineke，Holden．

 for the worse．Meineke（followed by Holden）transposes this and the follow－ ing verse：he recants，however，in his Vind．Aristoph．

1307．$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{E}$ ．vulgo．$\delta \dot{\eta}$ is omitted， eontra metrum，in R．V．，and by Bekker． Elmsley（at Ach．127）proposed either

«ส̈тvォтє $\nu \dot{\omega}$. Richter, for no imaginable

1310. ả $\chi$ vp $\omega$ vas. vulgo. But ${ }^{2} \chi \cup \rho \omega ̀ \nu$ is not elegant Attic, and consequently ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ avoov is read, contra metrum, in R. V., and by Bekker and Dindorf. The latter suggested á $\chi \cup \rho \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$, which is approved by Bergk, and adopted by Richter, Meinelze, and Holden. The proverb however, as preserved by the Scholiast, is of $\nu 0 s$ єis à ${ }^{\prime} v$ $\rho \hat{\nu} \nu a$ àń́ $\delta \rho a$, and Aristophanes may be reasonably supposed to have preserved its actual language.
 Bothe.
1312. Apîa. R. V. I. Brunck, recentiores. $\gamma \in$ is added by P. F. Edd. veteres.
1318. $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta о \lambda о \iota \propto \omega \nu . ~ R . ~ \nabla . ~ B e l k e r, ~ r e-~$ centiores, except Weise and Bothe, who with the old editions read $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta \rho \lambda \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\kappa \omega \mu \varphi \delta \circ \lambda \nu \chi \omega \hat{\nu} . \mathrm{F}$.
1321. ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta \in \epsilon \sigma \tau a \tau^{2} . ~ \grave{a} \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime} . \mathrm{R}$.
1323. छуขтúव7. R. V. P. F. Ф. Toup, Brunck, recentiores. छuviúXou. Edd. veteres.
1324. ठì кai. R. vulgo. V. omits $\delta \dot{\eta}$. Dobree suggests каi $\delta \dot{\eta}$, which Richter and Holden adopt, whilst Meineke reads каủrós.
1329. ' $\rho \rho \eta$ ' $\sigma \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$. V. Bentley, Porson, Brunck, recentiores. ' $\rho \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta$ '. Edd. vetexes. ' $\rho \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. Scaliger.
1330. тavтךi (тaútךь. R.). V. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. тaviтl. Edd. veteres.
1331. סadi. Before Brunck this was written $\delta a i \neq \iota$.
1332. $\Sigma v \mu \pi$ ór $\eta$ s. See thenote. B $\Delta \mathrm{EA}$. vulgo. Dobree rightly says, "Non Bdely-
 Beer suggests XOP., and he is followed by Meineke and Holden. Bergk proposes кати́yopos.
1333. ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota$. ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu . \mathrm{R}$.
1334. ả $\theta \rho o ́ o \iota$. ä $\theta$ pou. Hirschig, Meineke.
1335. in $i \in \hat{u}$. Dindorf would read $i \eta$, $i \eta$, Bergk $i \epsilon \bar{v}, i \epsilon \bar{v}$, both changes for the worse.
1336. àp $\chi^{a i ̂ a . ~ \tau a ̉ \rho \chi \alpha i ̂ a . ~ H e r m a n n . ~}$
1338. iaı $\beta$ oî. R. vulgo. V. omits this word : and so Meineke, who inserts oiké $\tau^{\prime}$ before ávé $\chi o \mu a \iota$. Bothe omits aißoî, and Dindorf suggests iai, iaußô.
1339. тádє. тaঠí. Brunck. For $\beta$ ád $\lambda_{\epsilon}$ V. has $\beta$ áde.
 Brunck. äтєє $\sigma \dot{\text { ú. Dindorf, Bergk, Rich- }}$ ter, Meineke, Holden. Meineke however in his notes, and also in his Vind. Aristoph., proposes ároívєts. ärtєc. Weise. $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ is added in $\Phi .$, in the editions down to and including Brunck, and by Bothe. For $\pi \circ \hat{u}$ ' $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ Brunck and Weise have $\pi \circ \hat{v}$ ' $\sigma \theta$ '. The line is apparently a trochaic dimeter: and if so, two syllables have dropped out, which Dindorf would supply by reading $\pi \circ \hat{u}$ ' $\sigma \pi \iota ~ \pi o v ̃ ~ ' \sigma \tau \iota \nu$,
 his text Meineke (followed by Holden) adopts Hermann's suggestion : but in his Vind. Aristoph. he prefers Dindorf's. Bergis would read $\pi \circ \hat{\nu}$ ' $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ of $\delta^{\prime}$ o $\phi \iota \lambda \eta$ -


 Brunck. $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega$ s. R. V. Scaliger, recentiores. $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma^{\prime}$. Edd. veteres. For vi $\phi \in \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ R. has $\dot{v} \phi \in \iota \lambda o i ́ \mu \eta \nu$.
1347. єivєк'. MSS. vulgo. oũvєк’. Brunck, Dindorf, Weise, Bothe, Richter, Meinele.

 Eustathius on Odyssey, i. p. 1403). Bent-
ley，Bergk．And this is probably the true way of spelling the word both here and in Peace， 432.
1350．aữ＇．rav̂t＇．Cobet，Meineke．
1354．крат $\hat{\omega}$＇＇ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．кратิ $\pi \omega$ ．Elmsley （at Ach．580），Meineke（in notes），Bergk．
1358．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \mu \nu v . \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\epsilon} \notin \rho \hat{v}$. R．П．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ ＇$\mu$ ои． P ．
1360．$\delta$ ò is omitted in $\mathbf{R}$ ．kaùtós＇ $\mathbf{e x} \pi$ i． Elmsley（at Ach．1189），Dindorf，Weise， Meineke，Holden．kaùròs èmi．vulgo．

1361．סєтás．סaíras．R．
1363．oitos．vulgo．otoos．R．V．Bek－ er，Bothe，Richter，and so Meineke in Vind．Aristoph．R．omits the words ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\varepsilon}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu \bar{\omega}$ ovìros ov̂ros．
1364．ov่̉тos ov่̉тos．V．P．II．F．Ф．Florent Chretien，Bentley，Brunck，recentiores． oûtos（once only）．Edd．veteres．tupe－ סavé．Suidas gives both this form and
 For $\chi$ ou $\rho_{0} 0 \lambda \iota \psi$ R．has $\chi a u \rho \dot{\sigma} \neq \lambda \iota \psi$ ．

1365．$\pi \circ \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu . \pi o \theta \epsilon i \bar{s} . ~ V . F . ~ F a r r æ u s, ~$ Weise．

1366．то̂̂то．R．vulgo．tavtó．V． tavti．F．

1369．по＇à．$\pi \omega ิ$ ．Florent Chretien，
 Elmsley（at Ach．178）would read $\kappa \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon}-$ $\psi$ аита $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．

1871．ooi．vulgo．toí．R．V．F．Bek－ ker．тoíq．Bentley．For $\pi о$ ou＇$\sigma \tau \iota$ Mei－ neke suggests and Holden reads $\tau$ ov̈ $\sigma \tau$ ，
1372．roìs $\theta$ eoîs．roì $\theta$ єoì．Richter， Holden．
 neke．

1377．Tīs סádós．R．has a lacuna for סåós．

1380．עодітаs．עоиі́баs $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．Hirschig， Bergk，Meineke．
 （at Ach．178），Bekker，recentiores，except Weise，who with the older editions reads ＇Oגvuтiaal $\gamma$ á $\rho$.

1385．кат́́ßa入є．катє́ßa入入є．R．

1387．vì rò̀ $\Delta i ́ a$ ．At Hamaker＇s sug． gestion Meineke omits this line．

1389．ávíp（ảvíp．MSS．）ṫotıv ös $\mu^{\prime}$ ． R．V．F．Bekker，recentiores，except Weise，who with the older editions reads ध́ $\sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ös $\mu^{\prime}$ à à ${ }^{2} \rho$ ．

 Meineke．tétтapas．tetrápav．Dobree， Holden．Query if we should not also
 analogy to $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta, \dot{a} \pi \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ，and the like）to mean the tray which the baking－girl car－ ried on her head．
1392．o̊pậs å 8édoakas．The earlier editions connect $\pi \rho \alpha^{\gamma} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ av̉ with these words：but $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a z a$ and ôíkas clearly go together，as infra 1426，Demosthenes （cited in the note on 521 supra），and frequently elsewhere．And so all recent editors punctuate the line．

1395．${ }^{\omega} \sigma \mathrm{r}^{\prime}$ oi̊＇．Meineke（Vind．Aris－ toph．）would omit this line，whilst Hamaker changes $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ into $\epsilon \mathcal{U}$ ，and omits the preceding line．

1401．Aï $\sigma \omega \pi \rho \nu . \quad a ̈ \sigma \omega \tau 0 \nu . \nabla$ ．
1405．סoкoís．P．$\Phi$ ．Suidas（s．v．Allow－ $\pi o v$ ），Bentley，Porson，Brunck，Dindorf， Weise，Bothe，Bergk．סokeis．R．V．Edd． veteres，Bekker，Richter，Meineke，Hol－ den．Sokn̂s II．Suidas（s．v．$\mu \in \mathcal{A l}_{v} \sigma \eta$ ）． ＇$\delta$ Ókets is suggested by Florent Chretien and Bergk．

1410．à $\nu \tau \epsilon \delta i \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ ．à $\nu \tau \epsilon \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$. R．
1412．ä $\lambda \eta \theta_{\text {Es }}$ ．This and the two follow－ ing lines are given to $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda$ ．or $\theta \epsilon \rho$ ．by R ．，
to $\beta \delta \delta \lambda$. by the early editions. They were first divided rightly by Tyrwhitt and Brunck.
 editions before Brunck uniformly read $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon ข ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ є̇oьк $\omega$ s. Bergler suggests $\kappa \lambda \eta$ revés, whilst Brunck, without remark, follows Reiske in changing éouc̀s into є̈оккая. This change is adopted by every subsequent editor: but Brunck's reading has the effect of transferring the imputation of corpse-like pallor from Chrrephon (for whom it was obviously intended) to the Baking-girl. Dobree therefore suggested the further change of $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon v \omega \nu$ for $k \lambda \eta \tau \in v \in \epsilon \nu$, and this is followed by Bergk, Richter, Meineke, and Holden. This however involves a doable departure from the MS. reading, and I think it
 (with all the early editions) a comma after $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \epsilon s$, and adding (instead of the usual full stop) a note of interrogation after Eủpıníóov. For $\begin{gathered}\text { éoč̀s Bentley }\end{gathered}$ suggested $\epsilon \omega \theta$ ڤ́s.
1414. $\pi \rho \dot{o} s \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \sigma \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. Hermann, Meineke, and Holden.
1415. ódi. This speech is given to the servant by R. and the editions before Brunck.
1417. KA. (катŋ́ $\gamma о \rho o s)$. V. Brunck, recentiores. EY. (Eujpınións). Eidd. veteres, and so the Scholiast. $I T$ prefixes ávíp ris to this line, and Evpinions to the next. R. omits the prefix here and 1426, whilst P. omits all prefixes from 1370. o" $\mu o$. V. Brunck, recentiores. ©ै $\mu$ o or ${ }_{\varphi}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \circ \iota$. R. Edd. veteres. Bothe and Holden continue the words ö" ои какобаіншу to Bdelycleon, and so Meineke in his notes.
1418. калє́ $\sigma \eta$ s. R. V. vulgo. калє́ $\sigma \eta$. Reiske, Richter, Meineke, Holden.
1420. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon і \sigma о \mu a$. R.V.P.Ф. Scaliger (in notes), Bergler (in notes), Brunck, recentiores, except Dindorf and Bergk, who read $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ єilбодая. тооєíбоцаи. Edd. veteres.

142L. $\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota . ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \eta \prime \sigma о \mu a \iota . R$.
1423. ঠєирі, по́тєроу. Bentley, Bergk, Richter, Meineke, Holden. סєupì $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$. MSS. vulgo.
 $\sigma a v \tau^{*}$. R. For $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s$ Ф. has траи́ $\mu a \tau o s$, and so Reiske had conjectured.
1429. є́тú $\gamma^{2}{ }^{a \nu \epsilon \nu . ~ R . ~ V . ~ K u s t e r, ~ r e c e n-~}$ tiores. є̉тv́yұave. Edd. veteres. For $\omega \nu$ Brunck substitutes $\tau \hat{\eta} s$. "Sæpius $i \pi \pi \tau \iota \grave{\eta}$ et $\mu$ ovatкウ̀ sine articulo adhibent Attici. Hoc autem Brunckius ignorans, participium quod erat necessarium ejecit; articulum qui non erat necessarius inservit." Porson ad Hec. 782.
1430. $\epsilon i \pi^{\prime}$. R. V. Scaliger, recentiores. $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$. Edd. veteres.
 єр $\rho \delta o \iota$. The editions vary between ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \delta \delta o$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \delta o t$.
1432. тà Пıгтá入ov. Florent Chretien and Scaliger prefer roũ Пıтrá̀ov, as in Ach. 1221, where see Elmsley's note. At Hamaker's suggestion Meineke transfers this line to a place between 1440 and 1441.
1433. aov. $\sigma$ ou. R.
1434. ä $\mu^{\prime}$ ản єкрі́vato. Bentley. à $\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho i-$ ขато. Bekker, recentiores. д̀ $\pi є к р і$ íиато. R. V. I. oî $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho i, \nu a \tau o . ~ B r u n c k . ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu^{\prime}$ àmєкрivaro. Edd. veteres. In order to divert this speech (which is obviously intended for Bdelycleon) to the $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \eta \rho$, Dobree punctuates, $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma^{\prime}$, aùròs à $\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho \dot{\prime}$ varo, whilst Meineke (followed by Holden) changes aủzòs into ov̂̃os.

1439. éácas. éácać. Bentley.
1441. ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$. The aspirate was added by Brunck. The MSS, and early editions read ${ }^{a} \rho \chi \omega \nu$. R. gives this line to the $\kappa^{\wedge} \wedge \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho$.
 MSS. vulgo. évaruvi. Elmsley (at Ach. 152), Dindorf, Meineke, Holden.
1443. á $\rho \dot{a ́ \mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ оs. R. V. Scaliger, recentiores, except Bothe. á áánevós $\gamma^{\prime}$. Р. $\Phi$. Edd. veteres. á $\rho a ́ \mu e v o \jmath_{s} \sigma^{3}$. Bothe, who reads $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ in the next line. oifo $\sigma \epsilon$. R.V. vulgo. द̈ $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$. P. $\Phi$. Brunck, Bothe,
 den. $\epsilon \| \sigma \omega \sigma$. Reiske, Richter. Brunck says, " Hæe verba dicens filius sublimem medium patrem abripit: hic incertus quid filius in animo habeat, sermonem illius abrumpens percunctatur ti moteis; respondet ille $\epsilon^{\ell \prime} \mid \sigma \omega$ ф'́ $\rho \omega \sigma^{\prime}$ év $v \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$, unde liquet eum jam ante dicere non debuisse ot $\quad$ o $\sigma \epsilon$." It seeras to me, on the contrary, that the change of tense is not only tolerable, but necessary. Bdelycleon says, "You shall not stay here, I will take you up and carry yon-" and then to his father's question ti moleis he replies "I $\alpha m$ carrying you in."


1449. à $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime}$ '. Reiske, Elmsley (at Eur. Med. 160, comparing Ach. 590), Dindorf, Bergk, Richter. änodeīs. R. V. vulgo. ànó入oo. Meineke, followed by Holden: but in his Vind. Aristoph. Meineke appears to come round to the far preferable reading of Reiske and Elmsley. toîc. V. vulgo. toîs. R. toîs бoîs. Meineke. roír is omitted in Junta and Gormont. [Mr. Green reads àmo $\lambda \epsilon i$ $\sigma$, "He (the complainant) will ruin you, you and your beetles "].
1450. $\gamma \epsilon$. R. vulgo. $\sigma \epsilon, ~ \nabla$. Kuster Bruack, Porson, Bothe.

 combined the suggestions of Bentley, Dobree, and Reisig; neither of which by itself satisfies the requirements of the metre. Metate $\begin{aligned} & \text { itraı was first suggested }\end{aligned}$ loy Bentley : $\eta \theta_{\eta}$ had occurred to myself, before I was aware that it had already been proposed by Dobree: whilst the collocation $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \quad \tau \iota$ is due to Reisig.
 ( $\left.\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\right)$, and so $\Phi$. and Weise. Then Bentley suggesteả $\bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \tau a u$. Brunck adopted from II. $\bar{\eta} \mu_{\epsilon ́ \gamma a} \tau \ell \pi \epsilon i-$ oeral, and so Bekker and Bothe. R. has $\bar{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \gamma a \quad \tau \iota \mu^{\prime} \gamma a$ тєícefat, V. $\bar{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon i \sigma \in \tau a \iota$. Then Reisig sug-

 Meineke, and Holden have jó $\mu \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ a $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ îтa.
 фєрóv $\tau \epsilon$. Brunck. ėmitpyфov. R. è $\pi i$
 Holden, Meineke. é $\pi i$ ì т $\rho u \not \subset \epsilon \rho \dot{\partial}$. Bothe. émì tò $\tau \rho v \phi a ̂ ̀ \nu$. Bergk.
1458. фúधєos. vulgo. фúveढs. R. V. P. п. Farræus', Rapheleng, Bothe. "̈ $\chi \in \iota$. V. vulgo. Є' $\chi$ or. Dindorf, Holden, Bergk, Meineke.
1461. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \beta$ án $\lambda$ ovтo. R. V. vulgo. $\mu \epsilon \tau-$ $\epsilon$ єádovтo. Р. П. Ф. Brunck, Bekker, Weise, Richter, Meiueke, Holden.
1463. roîcıv. R. V. Scaliger, recentiores. roîrl. Edd. veteres.
1464. äлєtov, V. P. Bruack, recentiores. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota$. R. Edd. veteres. $\quad$ änt $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$. Juuta, Gormont.
1466. $\delta$ maîs $\delta$. R. V. ח. Bekker, recentiores. raîs o. P. Edd. veteres, Weise.
$\pi a i ̂ s$（omitting both articles）．Brunck． $\delta$（omitting of raîs）．Bothe．

1471．ои̉ кркіттшу．V．vulgo．ò крєí－ $\tau \omega \nu$ ，R．

1472．тò̀ фи́ба⿱亠тa．R．Dindorf，Holden， Bergk，Richter，Meineke．tò̀s фíбavtas． V．vulgo．

1473．катакоб $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$. MSS．vulgo．V． has a various reading катак $\eta \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ，which is also noticed by the Scholiast．Mei－ neke（followed by Holden）reads ката－ кол $\bar{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．

1474．JAN．So V．Brunck，recentiores． Oik．R．Edd．veteres，here and below． Both R．and V．insert tà before $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma$－ $\mu a \tau a$ ．

1475．єiбкєкv́к入ךкєข．R．V．Scaliger（in notes），Bentley，Bergler，recentiores． $\epsilon i \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ．P．Edd．veteres．єiбкєкŋ́к $\lambda \eta$－ $\kappa \in \nu . \Pi$ ．

1478．тav́єтat．R．V．Bentley，Brunck， recentiores．$\pi a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a u$ ．Edd．veteres．

1481．тoùs vv̂v．Bentley，Bruack， recentiores．tò $\nu$ vov̂̀．R．V．Edd． veteres．ঠıoр $\chi \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~ R . ~ V . ~ \Phi . ~ B e k-~$ ker，Meineke，Holden．$\delta \iota \rho \chi \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o s$. vulgo．

 ten as one word．$\theta i \rho a t s$ is omitted in Farræus．

1484．каì ò̀ үáp．R．V．Bekker，recen－ tiores．кai $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \delta \dot{\eta}$ ．Edd．veteres．In the old editions these words and the next line are given to the servant．They were restored to Philocleon by Bentley，who is followed by Brunck and all subsequent editors．

1487．ínó．R．V．I．Brunck，Porson， recentiores．ímaí．Edd．veteres．$\rho \omega \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ． R．V．vulgo．$\rho \dot{v} \mu \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ．Lobeck，Dobree，

Dindorf，Weise，Bothe，Holden，Richter， Meineke．

1490．$\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．R．（ $\pi \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota . ~ V.) ~ v u l g o . ~$ $\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．Bentley．＂Quam emenda－ tionem certissimam judicans Porsonus， hunc locum citabat ut exemplum mendi inveterati；siquidem jam Aliani tem－ pore irrepserat $\pi \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon$ ．＂Dobree． ＂$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ e certissimâ Bentleii emenda－ tione edidi．Vulgo absurde $\pi \tau^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ．＂ Brunck．＂Nisi cum Bentleio $\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ pro $\pi \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ scribas，locus intelligi non potest．＂Meineke，Vind．Aristoph．Rich－ ter＇s note is worth preserving for its very absurdity：＂$\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ magis placet，non enim galli est $\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ sed $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ po－ tius alis，quando canturus est atque alias sæpissime．＂It is，however，very noteworthy that Bentley does not repeat this conjecture in his marginal jottings on the Wasps：and I certainly，on the whole，prefer $\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ．See the note． Forös tes V．has ös tıs．

1491．$\beta a \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon t s . V$. vulgo．$\beta a \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ ． R．Bergk，not perceiving the drift of the dialogue，proposed $\beta a \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ，which Meineke in his Vind．Aristoph．approves．

1492．oủpávıóv $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．vulgo．oủpávtov．$R$ ． V．contra metrum．Dindorf refers to Hesychius s．v．oủpaviav，and Meineke in his Vind．Aristoph．，following out the suggestion，proposes with some plausi－ bility to read ovjpavian here．

1496．oủk єủ．Dobree ingeniously sug－ gests that these two words should be added to Philocleon＇s speech，and be followed by a note of interrogation，oủk sủ；is not that done well？And he com－ pares Peace， 1230 ，oủ $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \mathrm{s}$ ；I very much incline to this alteration．It is approved also by Meineke，and adopted by Holden．
［And so Mr．Green．］$\mu a \nu \iota k \grave{̀} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ， mad goings on．All the speeches of Bdelycleon henceforth are transferred by Beer and Bergk to Xanthias．

1502．$\mu^{\prime}$ батоs．$\mu \epsilon \sigma a i ́ t a \tau o s . ~ R . ~$
1506．ఏ̀ $\psi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \kappa^{\prime}$ ．ठ’ $\psi \omega \dot{\nu} \eta \kappa^{\prime} . \mathrm{R}$ ．
1507．ov̉ $\delta \in ́ \nu \gamma^{3}$ ．R．V．vulgo．Brunck and Dindorf prefer ou $\delta ¢ \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ，which is adopted by Richter，Meineke，and Holden．For каркivovs Bergk reads Kapкivov，whilst Meineke（Vind．Aristoph．）would read


1509．ostis．MSS．vulgo．Meineke （Vind．Aristoph．）conjectures $\grave{\omega} \tau i$ is．For фá $\lambda a \gamma \xi$ R．has фá̀aほ．

1510．$\pi เ \nu \nu \circ т \dot{\eta} \rho \eta s . \quad$ vulgo．$\pi \iota \nu 0 т \eta ́ \rho \eta s$. R．V．Meineke，Holden．For ย̈бт R．has єєт

1514．$\mu$ oí．ờ $\delta \in$ é．This is Hermann＇s felicitous emendation．$\mu^{3} \omega_{\varphi}^{3} \zeta v \rho \epsilon^{\prime} . \mathrm{R}$ ．V． Bekker，Dindorf．＠̨̧vpé．vulgo．Mei－ neke（followed by Holden）adopts Her－ mann＇s conjecture．Bentley gave the verse to Bdelycleon．

1517．$\beta є \mu \beta \iota \kappa i \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu . ~ R . ~ V . ~ P . ~ п . ~ G r y-~-~$ næus，Brunck，recentiores．$\beta \in \mu \beta \eta \kappa i \zeta \omega-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$. Edd．veteres．$\beta_{\epsilon} \beta \eta \kappa i \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．Junta．

1518．In the old editions lines 1518－ 1527 are attributed to one semichorus， and lines 1528 ad fin．to the other．The words ä $\gamma^{\prime} \rightrightarrows-\psi \alpha \dot{\beta} \mu A O \nu$ are added in the margin of $R$ ．by a later hand．

1519．Aa入aбनiouo．Dindorf，Richter． $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i o v . ~ R . ~ V . ~ v u l g o, ~ c o n t r a ~ m e t r u m . ~$ Oa入aббiov $\theta \in o v$. ．Bergk ：and this mon－ strous suggestion is actually followed by Meineke and Holden．
－1521．àт $\boldsymbol{\text { v }} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ є́тoto．R．V．vulgo：and the epic form is rightly used（as indeed the metre requires）in this epic phrase． Strange to aly，Dindorf，who in 1519
rightly altered the unmetrical $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i o v$ into $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma$ ioto，here alters back $\dot{a} \tau \rho v \gamma{ }^{\epsilon}$－ roo into the unmetrical árpū $\overline{\text { ®́tov，and }}$ so Weise and Meineke．Weise indeed， misunderstanding the metre，makes various aiterations not worth record－ ing．
 dorf＇s admirable emendation of the MS．
 V．）．It is followed by Weise，Holden， Richter，Bergk，and Meineke．

1526．i̊óvtधs．R．V．P．F．Ф．Bentley， Brunck，recentiores．ä̉ovtes．Edd．ve－ teres．${ }^{\omega} \zeta \omega \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．$\left.{ }^{3 \prime}\right\} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．R．I have added $\hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ to complete the metre．Rich－ ter reads $\bar{\omega}{ }^{\omega} \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

1530．є่ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega \nu . \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \omega \nu . \mathrm{V}$ ．
1534．тoîs．Grynæus，Porson，Dindorf， recentiores．тoícı．R．V．vulgo．трtó $\rho-$ $\chi^{\text {aıs．vulgo．т } \rho \iota o ́ \rho \chi o \iota s ., ~ R . ~ V . ~ B e ̄ k k e r, ~}$ Dindorf．

1536．خ̊ $\mu a ̂ s . ~ R . ~ V . ~ v u l g o . ~ i j \mu a ̂ s . ~ B e n t-~$ ley，Bergk．Meineke approved this in his notes，and Holden adopted it，but in his Vind．Aristoph．Meineke rightly reverts to $\grave{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}$ ．
 neke（in his notes and Vind．Aristoph．）， Holden．ỏp $\quad$ ov́ $\mu \in \nu o \nu$ ó̃ $\sigma \iota s$ ．V．Junta，Sca－ liger，recentiores ceteri．ỏ $\rho \chi o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ s ~ \tau ı s$. R．Gormont，Zanetti，Farræus，Grynæus， Rapheleng．$\tau \rho u \gamma \varphi \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$. R．V．Scaliger， recentiores．т $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \varphi \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$. P．П．Ф．Edd． veteres．Bentley＇s interpretation of this line can hardly be right：＂Sic distingue，
 $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，Nemo，qui hoc fecit choro tragico， evasit，i．e．impune habuit．＂But I can－ not take leave of that great critic without observing（what any one who examines
this Appendix will perceive) that his mere marginal jottings have done far more for the text of Aristophanes than
has been accomplished by the united efforts of all the commentators, both before and since his time.
[I regret that I have not availed myself of the very useful edition of the Wasps brought out by Mr. Green in the Catena Classicorum. I was under the impression that the volumes in that series were mere compilations for the use of schools; but Mr. Green's edition of the Wasps (which has now happened to come into my hands) is obviously the well-considered and independent work of a judicious and competent scholar. Mr. Green had already, I see, referred to the passage of the Menexenus which I have cited in the note to 639.]

## THE CLOUDS OF ARISTOPHANES.

The Greek Text, with a Translation into Corresponding Metres, and Original Notes. Small 4to.
"Not a mere drily correct version, but a spirited piece, which will give the English reader a very good idea of the celebrated 'Clouds,' and, what is of more importance, may be perused with pleasure."-Spectator.
"A most successful performance. Not only the meaning and metres of Aristophanes are faithfully represented, but also his tone and spirit: his sparkling wit, his pointed raillery, his broad farce, his poetical flights, and the manly vigour of his sober moods. Even the puns, and other almost untranslatable forms of expression, are not lost to the English reader. Excellent notes are appended to the Greek text."-Athcncum.
"A good edition and translation of the 'Clouds." "-Dr. Donaldson (Classical Scholar. ship and Classical Learning).

> Also,

## THE PEACE OF ARISTOPHANES.

## acted at athens at the great dionysia, b.C. 421.

The Greek Text Revised, with a Translation into Corresponding Metres, and Original Notes. Small 4to.

[^18]
[^0]:    - De scenâ Attica, p. 270. So M. Boeckh, Græc. Trag. Princ., p. 36, and Mr. Fynes Clinton, Fasti Hellenici, Introduction and Anno 422.
    c And so Mr. Fynes Clinton in the Introduction to the Fasti Hellenici.
    d We shall presently see that there is probably a further error in the Argument, but it does not affect the point now under consideration.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ At Pollux, x. segm. 44.
    ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Parabasis of the Knights. See also the Parabasis of the Clouds.

[^2]:     rà $\delta e ̀$ кат’ Eùpıníoov кai $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau o v s ~ \Phi i \lambda \omega \nu i \delta \eta$. And see Müller's Literature of Greece, chap. xxviii. sec. 1.
    

[^3]:    - See the Arguments, cited above, of the Acharnians, the Knights, and the Frogs.

[^4]:    p This idea is more fully developed in the notes. See the notes on lines 1292, 1301, 1341, 1450, 1474, 1476, and 14.4.

[^5]:    q Indeed, even before the persecution commenced he had not, according to Xanthias, been accustomed to proceed to the Court in company with his fellows : he used to steal there overnight, and wait in solitary expectation till the doors were opened.
    

    - ढ̄ $\mu$ átaıє.—Wasps, 338.
    t A. W. von Schlegel, as is well known, pronounced the Wasps to be the feeblest of all the extant plays of Aristophanes: too limited in its subject, too much spun out in its action. Mr. Mitchell on the other hand, in his preface to the Wasps, declares it to be the most dexterous of all the Aristophanic comedies; and K. O. Müller, in his History of Greek Literature, endorses Mr. Mitchell's view, and affirms the Wasps to be undoubtedly one of the most perfect of the plays of Aristophanes.

[^6]:    "They find no echo in Les Plaideurs, the feeble and insipid play which Racine intended as an imitation of the Wasps. There is considerable Aristophanic spirit in a little fragment of academic satire, "The Cambridge Dionysia" (a parody on the Wasps), contained in "The Ladies in Parliament, and other Pieces, by G. O. Trevelyan. Cambridge : Deighton, Bell, and Co., 1867."

    * History of Greece, Part ii. chap. vi. note.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ It seems certain that $6000^{\circ}$ citizens and no more were privileged to attend the Heliæa, and exercise the Heliastic or, as it was otherwise called, the dicastic office. The passages cited. in support of this proposition from Aristophanes (Wasps, 662)
    
     $\Sigma_{\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \sigma t \pi \pi o s)}$ do not necessaxily imply the existence of a fixed and definite limit. But the circumstance that the Heliasts were commonly spoken of as of é $\xi a \kappa \iota \sigma \chi i \lambda \iota o \iota$
    
     Book xii., Suidas s. จ. Mpvaveía. Doubtless, in ordinary times, the great bulk of the unemployed population would seek to tak. part in the judicial as well as the political assemblies, and many more than 6000 citizens would be candidates for the Heliastic privileges. But there may well have been periads, especially during the great demand for soldiers and sailors occasioned by the Peloponnesian War (see Lysistrata, 99-104), when the number of duly qualified citizens at leisure to attend the judicial assemblies would fall below 6000 : and it is impossible to suppose that any one would be elected a member of the Heliastic body without his own consent. We must therefore regard 6000 as the maximum, not the necessary number.
     viii. segm. 122.
    ${ }^{n}$ They are indeed frequently described as if they consisted of the entire pripulation:
    
    ${ }^{1}$ See the notes on Wasps, 593, 917.

[^8]:    
    
    
    
    
     -De Rep. Ath. i. 18.
    k Knights, 50, 51. This is addressed to the Demus : in the Wasps, Philocleon says of the dicasts,

[^9]:    c Corpus Inscriptionum Grecarum, vol. i. p. 208.
    ${ }^{\text {d. Eccl. 676, 681-60. S. See Wordsworth's Athens and Attica (p. 170) : a work }}$ which ought to be in the hands of every Aristophanic student.
    e Like Philocleon in the Wasps.
    ${ }^{〔}$ Plutus, 277-8.

[^10]:    
     Demosthenes in Timocr. 702. 26, compared with id. 703. 3. See Schömann, p. 213.
    ${ }^{1}$ Miscellan. Philolog. p. 253. So also Schömann, p. 214; Grote's Greece, II. xlvi., and most recent writers.
    $m$ And Bdelycleon's object would have led him to understate, rather than to exaggerate, the amount received by the dicasts.

[^11]:    ' See the nute on Wasps, 987.

[^12]:    " "The speeches," says Mr. Sewell, Dialogues of Plato, p. 142, "formed no small part of the perquisites of the Judges. They sat and listened as spectators in the theatre, and no road to their favourable decision was so easy as through their taste and fancy." Xenophon (Mem. iv. 4. 4), speaking of the defence of Socrates before the dicastery, says that he might easily have got off, had he stooped to flatter and conciliate the dicasts, as others did.
     (pleading for his life) to the irritated and tumultuous Assembly which was trying him.-Plato, Apology, cap. 5. "Demosthenes vows," says Alschines (contra Timarchum, ], 7.1), "that his invective will call forth such tumultuous clamours

[^13]:    x The distinction is embodied in the well-known legal maxim, "Ad quæstionem juris non respondent juratores: ad quæstionem facti non respondent Judices."
    ${ }^{y}$ History of Trial by Jury, p. 8. The italics are Mr. Forsyth's. "In England the jury never usurped the functions of the Judge. They were originally called in to aid the Court with information upon questions of fact, in order that the law might be properly applied: and this has continued to be their province to the present day."-Id. p. 11.
    $=$ Taken, that is, from their ordinary business pro hac vice. Even in this respect there is no similarity between them and the Athenian dicasts, whose daily attendance at the dicasteries was in fact their ordinary business.

[^14]:    = Id. p. 282.
    b See this fully drawn out in Wasps, 592-600.

[^15]:    
    

[^16]:    k Schol. ibid.
    1 Wlian, Var. Hist. xiii. 17. è $\pi \imath \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ какóv $\tau \iota \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
    m Plut. in Alcib. iv.

[^17]:    

    - Pollux, ibid.

[^18]:    " An able, pleasant, and valuable book. It has a well-written Préface; a carefully prepared text; a readable, sometimes striking, translation; and notes which are lively and full of literature. We shall be glad to meet Mr. Rogers on this old classical field again."Pall Mall Gazette.
    "The version is so terse as to run almost line for line with the Greek, while it is lively enough to tempt the mere English reader, and accurate enough to give pleasure to the scholar who has the Greek before him. The notes are marked with a pleasant freshness, and contain much interesting information, and not a little old Athenian gossip, culled from Athenæus and elsewhere. The critical Appendix is most interesting. The reader will find a remarkably graphic sketch of the feeling in Greece at this time in Mr. Rogers's Preface. We anticipate with much pleasure the promise given in the Preface to this Play that we may shortly look for a version of the Thesmophoriazusæ from the same pen."-London Review.
    " The best metrical version which we ever remember to have seen of any of the Plays of Aristophanes. We hope that so vigorous a translator and so genuine an admirer of Aristophanes will persevere in his undertaking. General readers will not easily find another translator who does his work with so much spirit and such evident enjoyment." Spectator.
    "A scholarly translation, so lively yet so literal as to console for the loss which literature sustains by the unfinished condition of Frere's treatment of the same Play. "-Saturday Review.
    "In a former translation by Mr. Rogers (as we said at the time), not only the meaning and metres of Aristophanes are faithfully represented, but also his tone and spirit: his sparkling wit, his pointed raillery, his broad farce, his poetical flights, and the manly vigour of his sober moods. The work now before us seems to have all the merits which distinguished Mr. Rogers's former performance as a translation, while as a piece of critical editing it is decidedly superior to it. If the Comedies of Aristophanes are to be naturalized in English, it would not be easy to find a translator more suited in every way for the task than Mr. Rogers has shown himself to be. Compared with Frere or Mitchell, he has greatly the advantage in terseness and compactness, preserving far more of the form of the original: and though of course such closeness cannot be attained without occasional loss of freedom and spirit, it is surprising to see how little is really sacrificed."-Athenaum.
    " Mr. Rogers has translated the 'Peace' in a manner bespeaking an accomplished scholar. His aim is to be literal, but not at the expense of readableness, and the compromise is very cleverly carried into effect. Freedom as regards metre and expression is recognized within due bounds and under the surveillance of a correct ear and an unpedantic taste. The result is a very pleasing version. It entitles him to a rank not far below Walsh and Frere among first-class translators of Aristophanes."-Contemparary Review.

