







Cornell University Library

The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

https://archive.org/details/cu31924051247140

FROGS OF ARISTOPHANES

THE

THE

FROGS OF ARISTOPHANES

ACTED AT ATHENS AT THE LENAEAN FESTIVAL B.C. 405

THE GREEK TEXT REVISED

WITH A TRANSLATION INTO CORRESPONDING METRES INTRODUCTION AND COMMENTARY

BY BENJAMIN BICKLEY ROGERS, M.A., HON. D.LITT.

BARRISTER-AT-LAW SOMETIME FELLOW AND NOW HONORARY FELLOW OF WADHAM COLLEGE, OXFORD

.

.

SECOND EDITION

LONDON: G. BELL AND SONS, LTD.

1919

PRINTED AT OXFORD, ENGLAND BY FREDERICK HALL PRINTER TO THE UNIVERSITY

THE comedy of the Frogs was produced during the Lenaean festival, at the commencement of the year B.C. 405, when Callias was Archon; that Callias who, to distinguish him from other archons bearing the same name, was commonly designated "the Callias who succeeded Antigenes 1." It at once took its position, which has never since been challenged, amongst the masterpieces of the Athenian drama. It carried off the prize at the Lenaean² contest, from the "Muses" of Phrynichus, which was placed second, and the "Cleophon" of Plato, which was placed last; and the victorious poet was crowned in the full theatre with the usual wreath of Bacchic ivy. But it achieved a far higher success It enjoyed the, apparently, unique distinction of being than this. acted a second time, as we should say, by request; and at this second representation the poet was again crowned, not now with mere leaves of ivy, but with a wreath made from Athene's sacred olive³, an honour reserved for citizens who were deemed to have rendered important services to Athene's city.

It was not for its wit and humour that these exceptional honours were accorded to the play; nor yet for what to modern readers constitutes its pre-eminent attraction, the literary contest between Aeschylus and

1 'Ο μετά 'Αντιγένη.

² It is interesting to observe that, of the extant comedies of Aristophanes, all those which we know to have been exhibited at the City Dionysia, failed; and all which we know to have been exhibited at the Lenaean festival, gained the prize.

⁸ Τούτου χάριν ἐπηνέθη καὶ ἐστεφανώθη θαλλῷ τῆς ἱερῶς ἐλαίας, ὅς νενόμισται ἰσότιμος χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ, εἰπὼν ἐκεῖνα τὰ ἐν τοῖς Βατράχοις περὶ τῶν ἀτίμων, '' τὸν ἱερὸν χορὸν δίκαιον πολλὰ χρηστὰ τῆ πόλει συμπαραινεῖν.''—Greek Life of Aristophanes.

Euripides. It was for the lofty strain of patriotism which breathed through all its political allusions, and was especially felt in the advice tendered, obviously with some misgiving as to the spirit in which the audience would receive it, in the epirrhema of the parabasis. There the poet appeals to the Athenian people to forego all party animosities, to forget and forgive all political offences, to place the state on a broader basis, to leave no Athenian disfranchised. More particularly, he pleads for those who having been implicated in the establishment of the Council of Four Hundred had¹ ever since been deprived of all civic rights. They could vote for no candidate, hold no office, and take no part in the Other citizens, however illiterate, enfranchised popular assemblies. slaves, half-breeds, and the like, would be hurrying on to support the most ill-judged proposals of Cleophon; but they-men of the purest Athenian blood, and the highest and most liberal Athenian culturemust needs stand aside, as though they had neither part nor lot in the Aristophanes calls upon the people to put an end Republic of Athens. to this anomalous state of things, and to re-enfranchise all disfranchised Athenians; $\tau o \dot{v} s \dot{a} \tau i \mu o v s \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau i \mu o v s \pi o i \hat{\eta} \sigma a i$. His doubt as to the reception of his appeal is manifested in every part of the epirrhema. It is the Mystic Chorus, he insists, the Company of the Blessed Dead who are taking upon themselves to advise the Athenian people; the errors of the excluded citizens are described as slips and slidings, not deliberate, but occasioned by the wily machinations of Phrynichus ; the people whom he is addressing are mollified by the appellation & σοφώτατοι $\phi i \sigma \epsilon \iota$, although in the antepirrhema, where he feels himself on safer ground, he resorts to his more customary address $\delta \nu \delta \eta \tau \sigma \iota$; while, both before and afterwards, he indulges in a wild vein of harmless jocularity, calculated to mitigate any ire which his boldness may have aroused.

It tells much for the generosity of the Athenian people, that instead of resenting the poet's appeal, they rewarded it with the highest and most exceptional honours. For we are told on the authority of Dicaearchus,

¹ About five years.

42

a writer of the very greatest weight on such matters, that it was this very appeal which won the admiration of the public, and obtained for the play the honour of a second representation ¹. And this is fully borne out by the well-known fact that on the next political crisis, immediately after the disaster of Aegospotami, the Athenians followed to the letter the advice of Aristophanes, and their very first step was rows $d\tau(\mu ovs \ \epsilon \pi \iota \tau(\mu ovs \ \pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \iota), to enfranchise the disfranchised citizens.$ "When your fleet was destroyed, and the siege commenced," says Andocides², "ye took counsel together to create harmony in the state, and ye determined to enfranchise the disfranchised, and Patrocleides it was who introduced the decree." He then sets out the decree, $\tau \delta \psi \eta \phi_i \sigma \mu a$ τὸ Πατροκλείδου, and adds, "So then in pursuance of this decree, ye enfranchised the disfranchised "." So Xenophon speaking of the commencement of the siege says 4, "The Athenians, having enfranchised the disfranchised, held out." So Lysias, though with his usual vagueness and inaccuracy, says⁵, "Your disposition was such that ye recalled the exiles, and enfranchised the disfranchised." I say "with his usual inaccuracy," because it is certain that the exiles did not return until

¹ Ούτω δὲ ἐθαυμάσθη διὰ τὴν ἐν αὐτῷ Παράβασιν, καθ' ἡν διαλλάττει τοὺς ἐντίμους τοῖς ἀτίμοις καὶ τοὺς πολίτας τοῖς φυγάσιν, ὥστε καὶ ἀνεδιδάχθη, ὡς φησι Δικαίαρχος.— Argument III. See also Argument I. See also the passage quoted above from the Greek Life of Aristophanes.

² Speech in the matter of the Mysteries, 73 ἐπεὶ γὰρ ai νῆες διεφθάρησαν καὶ ή πολιορκία ἐγένετο, ἐβουλεύσασθε περὶ όμονοίας, καὶ ἕδοξεν ὑμῶν τοὺς ἀτίμους ἐπιτίμους ποιῆσαι, καὶ εἶπε τὴν γνώμην Πατροκλείδης.

³ Id. 80. In all probability the Patrocleides who so thoroughly carried out the wishes of Aristophanes was the man whose unfortunate accident in the theatre is recorded in the Birds (790-792), and who is by the Scholiast there described as a $\pi o\lambda i \tau u \kappa \delta s$, a man who took part in public affairs. He had, indeed, little cause to be grateful to Aristophanes, since it was doubtless from the poet's suggestion of the advantages he would obtain from becoming a winged and feathered biped that he acquired the nickname of $X \epsilon \sigma \tilde{a} s$ (Scholiast ubi supr. Pollux v, segm. 91), which is merely the participle $\chi \epsilon \sigma a s$, accentuated into a bird's name, after the analogy of $d \tau \tau a \gamma \tilde{a} s$, $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tilde{a} s$, $\beta a \sigma \kappa \tilde{a} s$, and the like.

⁴ Τούς ἀτίμους ἐπιτίμους ποιήσαντες ἐκαρτέρουν.-Hell. ii. 2. 11.

⁵ Υμεῖs δὲ οὖτω διετέθητε ὥστε τοὺς.μὲν Φεύγοντας κατεδέξασθε, τοὺς δ' ἀτίμους ἐπιτίμους ἐπιτίμους ἐπιτίμους ἐποιήσατε.—In the matter of the Dissolution of the Democracy, 36.

after the city had been surrendered to Lysander, and could not help itself. It was one of the conditions of peace imposed by the Spartans. Indeed, the passage already cited from Andocides goes on, "In pursuance of this decree ye enfranchised the disfranchised. But Patrocleides did not propose, nor did ye decree, the return of the exiles. But when the treaty with the Lacedaemonians was concluded, and ye dismantled your walls and received back the exiles," &c.

It seems certain that the comedy has come to our hands in the revised form which it assumed on its second representation. The strange duplication of certain passages towards the end of the play must force itself upon the attention of the most unobservant reader: a duplication which in my opinion arises in almost every case from the fact that the reading of the original play has crept from the margin into the text of the revised edition ¹. There is a very similar duplication, arising from the same circumstance, in some of Biron's speeches in Act iv. scene 3, and Act v. scene 2, of Shakespeare's Love's Labour's Lost.

But to my mind the most convincing passage is that contained in lines 1109-1114. The Chorus have been exhorting the rival poets not to rest content with a mere general criticism of their respective aims and merits, but to come to close quarters, and to attack each other's dramas in every possible way, with a minute dissection of individual lines. phrases, and metres. This would obviously require an audience not only thoroughly intelligent, as an Athenian audience would naturally be, but also thoroughly primed in the details about to be discussed; and it is impossible to doubt that on the first representation of the Frogs many of the most delicate hits would pass altogether unnoticed. But this would not be so on the second representation. The play would then have become public property; it would be in the hands of the spectators, and they would not fail to appreciate and applaud every point as it arose. And it is on this very ground that the Chorus encourage the rivals to proceed. But if this ye fear, lest there be in the

¹ See the notes on lines 1251, 1431, and 1437.

spectators any lack of knowledge, so that they will not resognize your subtleties when ye speak them, be not afraid of this, since the matter is no longer so. For they are old campaigners now; and each of them holding a book of the words is conning your clever hits. The words oùr ${}^*E\Theta'$ oùrw $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau$ is seem to imply that on the first representation of the play the audience were not always able $\tau a \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau a \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a$.

The play was acted about six months after the great naval victory of Arginusae; about four months after the death of Euripides; and about two months after the death of Sophocles.

The victory of Arginusae was the result of an almost unexampled effort on the part of the Athenian people. Conon, their most brilliant officer, had been defeated at Mitylene, and was closely blockaded there. One trireme managed to run the blockade, and bring news of his peril to Athens. The Athenians received the intelligence in a spirit worthy of their best traditions. All classes at once responded to the call with hearty and contagious enthusiasm. In thirty days a fleet of 110 triremes, fully equipped and manned, was able to put to sea. The knights had emulated the devotion of their forefathers (as recorded in the parabasis of the comedy which bears their name), and volunteered for service on the unaccustomed element. The very slaves had been induced to join by the promise of freedom and, what was even more than freedom, the privileges of Athenian citizenship. Further triremes, as the fleet went on, were obtained from the islands, till it finally reached a total of more than 150 vessels. These exertions were rewarded by a victory which, if it was the last, was also the most considerable of all that were gained by the Athenians during the Peloponnesian War. And the slaves who fought in the great battle were admitted to be free Athenian citizens¹

¹ Toùs συνναυμαχήσαντας δούλους Έλλάνικός φησιν έλευθερωθήναι, καὶ ἐγγραφέντας ὡς Πλαταιεῖς συμπολιτεύσασθαι αὐτοῖς, διεξιῶν τὰ ἐπὶ ᾿Αντιγένους τοῦ πρὸ Καλλίου.— Scholiast on Frogs 694. Mr. Fynes Clinton thinks that the Hellanicus mentioned in this gloss, and again in the scholium on Frogs 720, was the famous old historian of that name, who was himself a native of Mitylene. But he would have been ninety years old at this time, and the Hellanicus here quoted is more probably

on the same liberal terms as had been granted to the Plataeans who had escaped from their beleaguered city some one and twenty years before. They were enrolled in Athenian tribes and demes and enjoyed all the privileges of Athenian citizens, they and their sons after them for ever; save only that the individuals first enfranchised were not eligible for certain hereditary priesthoods (such as those of the Eumolpidae, the Eteobutadae, and the Ceryces), nor yet for the office of Archon. This exception was right and proper. It would have been very unpalatable for an Athenian traditions, and still more so for a master to see his former slave, occupying the supreme position of Archon. Yet even these excepted offices were not withheld from the children of the Plataean, or of the slave, even though they were born before their father acquired the Athenian citizenship.

The wholesale conversion of loyal slaves into free Athenian citizens, which met with the warmest approval of Aristophanes, readily lent

a younger chronicler, perhaps his son. As regards the enlistment of the slaves, see Xenophon, Hell. i. 6. 24. The decree regulating the rights of the Plataeans is preserved in the Oration against Neaera, § 136. Ίπποκράτης είπε Πλαταιέας είναι 'Αθηναίους ἀπὸ τῆσδε τῆς ἡμέρας, ἐντίμους καθάπερ οἱ ἄλλοι 'Αθηναίοι, καὶ μετείναι αὐτοίς ὧνπερ Ἀθηναίοις μέτεστι πάντων, καὶ ἱερῶν καὶ ὁσίων, πλην εἴ τις ἱερωσύνη ή τελετή έστιν έκ γένους, μηδε των έννέα αρχόντων, τοις δε έκ τούτων. κατανείμαι δε τους Πλαταιέας είς τους δήμους και τας φυλάς.-Dr. Arnold, whose mind was full of Niebuhr's speculations on early Roman History, makes (in a note on Thuc. iii. 55) the singularly unfortunate suggestion that the status of the Plataeans at Athens was identical with what he describes as "the imperfect citizenship called at Rome the Jus Caeritum." No analogy could be more misleading. The Plataeans had the full rights of citizens, and could hold any public office, except (and that only in the case of the first comers) the archenship and the hereditary priesthoods. Those who were enrolled on the register of the Caerites had no public rights and could hold no public office. In the passage cited from Aulus Gellius, xvi. 13, " primos autem municipes sine suffragii jure Caerites esse factos accepimus; concessumque illis, ut civitatis Romanae honorem quidem caperent, sed negotiis tamen atque oneribus vacarent," Niebuhr makes merry over the last sentence, apparently supposing that Gellius "believed them to have been rewarded by an exemption from all burdens and laborious duties." But for oneribus we should, I think, read operibus, and translate but should devote themselves to commerce and matters of business.

itself to comic humour; and throughout the play, whenever he alludes to the battle of Arginusae, this incident is sure to crop up. In the epirrhema, which we have already discussed, "Shall we give the franchise," ask the Chorus, "to slaves who have fought but one battle, and yet withhold it from freeborn Athenians, who, and whose fathers before them, have fought so many battles for Athens?" "O why was I not at the sea-fight?" cries Xanthias to his master 1, "I would have bidden you go and be hanged; I would have snapped my fingers at your commands." "I take no slave on my ferry," says Charon 2, "unless he fought in the sea-fight," in which case, be it observed, he would not be a slave at all. And this consideration will, I think, lead us to the true signification of a much misunderstood phrase in the last-mentioned passage, where the battle of Arginusae is described as the sea-fight $\pi \epsilon_0 i \tau \hat{\omega} v \kappa \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} v$.

"I take no slave," says Charon, $\epsilon l \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu a \nu \mu d \chi \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \omega \nu \kappa \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$. The word $\kappa \rho \epsilon a s$, as Aristarchus³ is quoted by the Scholiast as saying, is frequently used for $\sigma \omega \mu a$; and such is doubtless its meaning here. Now a slave's body belonged not to himself but to his master.

> τοῦ σώματος γὰρ οὐκ ἐậ τὸν κύριον κρατεῖν ὁ δαίμων ἀλλὰ τὸν ἐωνημένον⁴. For a slave's body, such is fate, belongs Not to himself, but to the man who bought him.

But a free man's body is his own; and he therefore who, in the courts of law or elsewhere, was contending for his freedom (as opposed to slavery), was said $\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda$ $\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma s$ $\dot{a}\gamma\omega\nu(\zeta\epsilon\sigma\theta a to be contending for his$ body, that is to say, for the ownership of his body. A remarkablyapposite illustration of this usage is supplied by the brief, but interesting, oration of Lysias, Against Pancleon. The plaintiff had takenproceedings before the Polemarch against Pancleon, believing him to bea resident alien. To these proceedings Pancleon pleaded that he was

² Ibid. 190, 191.

³ Aristarchus quotes from the Chryses of Sophocles, τοιοῦτος ὧν ἄρξειε τοῦδε τοῦ κρέως; which seems to be spoken by a slave.

⁴ Plutus 6, 7.

¹ Frogs 33, 34.

a "Plataean" enrolled in the tribe Hippothoontis, and the deme of the Deceleians, and was therefore a full Athenian citizen, over whom the Polemarch had no jurisdiction. And the action was accordingly set down to be heard, not on its merits, but on this preliminary plea to the jurisdiction. The oration of Lysias was intended for the plaintiff's speech on the trial of this preliminary issue. It attempts to show that Pancleon, so far from being a "Plataean," was not even a free man (μη ὅτι Πλαταιέα είναι, $d\lambda\lambda'$ οὐδ' έλεύθερον), but the runaway slave of a "Plataean" master; and that on a previous occasion he feared to bring his claim to the proof; $\epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega} s \delta a v \tau \delta v \delta v \tau a \delta o \hat{v} \lambda o v$, $\delta \delta \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon v \epsilon \gamma v v \eta \tau \dot{a} s$ καταστήσας περί τοῦ σώματος ἀγωνίσασθαι, metuisse, as Reiske translates it, periculum judicii de ingenuitate adire. Here we have the expression περὶ τοῦ σώματος ἀγωνίσασθαι applied to a slave contending for the rights of a "Plataean," the identical position of the slaves who fought at the battle of Arginusae. So far, therefore, as these slaves are concerned-and it is only to them that the observation of Charon is addressed—the battle of Arginusae was in truth a $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ σωμάτων, or in a comedian's language, a μάχη περί των κρεών. And this, I am persuaded, is the sense in which the words are here employed. The opinions which have hitherto prevailed are given in the note below¹.

¹ There are three lines of interpretation, for we need not trouble ourselves about Paulmier's suspicion, that $\kappa \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ is somehow connected with a Mount Creon in Lesbos, mentioned by Pliny: a suspicion which carried no conviction to Paulmier's own mind, and has not commended itself to anybody else. But the following interpretations have all received considerable support.

⁽¹⁾ That $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \tilde{\omega}\nu \kappa\rho\epsilon \tilde{\omega}\nu$ means $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \tilde{\omega}\nu \sigma\omega\mu \acute{a}\tau\omega\nu$, on the ground that his $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu a$ was a slave's only possession. $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \tilde{\omega}\nu \sigma\tau\rho a \tau \epsilon \nu o \mu \acute{e}\tau\omega\nu$ δούλων, οι τινες $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \sigma\tilde{\nu}$ $\sigma\dot{\omega}\mu a \tau os \mu \acute{a}\tau o \nu \mu \acute{a}\chi o \nu \tau a \iota$.—Proverb 107 amongst those published with Plutarch's works. où $\pi\epsilon\rho i \chi\rho\eta\mu\acute{a}\tau\omega\nu$, $\kappa a i \pi a \tau\rho i\delta os$, $d\lambda\lambda a \pi\epsilon\rho i \tau o\tilde{\nu} i\delta i o \sigma\dot{\omega}\mu a \tau os' \kappa\rho \acute{e}as \gamma a \rho \tau i$ $<math>\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu a$.—Scholiast. "Ego puto," says Duker (whose notes are collected at the end of Bergler's edition), "hoc a Schol. ideo dici, quia existimat servos qui patriam vel pecuniam non haberent, non pro his, sed tantum pro propria vita quam solam habebant, et amittere poterant, pugnasse;" and he cites the proverb $\delta \lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega} s \tau \dot{\nu} \pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \tilde{\omega} \kappa \rho \epsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$. Kuster also relies on this proverb ; "quod dicitur," he thinks, "in eos qui de corpore suo tantum periclitantur, nec quicquam aliud quod

To the subsequent tragedy, which made the victory of Arginusae a word of shame, instead of a word of glory, in the annals of Athens— I mean the condemnation of the victorious generals, and the execution of the six who ventured within the reach of the democracy—Aristophanes makes but one, and that a very faint and obscure, allusion. Aeschylus is considering whether it is right to predicate of Oedipus that he was ever deserving of the epithet $\epsilon i \delta a (\mu \omega \nu)$; and running through the various calamities of his life, he comes at last to the statement, he blinded himself, whereupon Dionysus at once cuts in with the remark—

amittere possint, habent, veluti servi in pugna navali ad Arginusas." Gatakerhad triumphantly argued that $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\tau\omega\nu$ $\kappa\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$ could not mean $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\tau\omega\nu$ $\sigma\omega\mu\acute{a}\tau\omega\nu$, since Xanthias had only one body; not observing, apparently, that Charon'swords are, not $\nu\epsilon\nu a\nu\mu\acute{a}\chi\eta\kappa\epsilon$ $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\tau\omega\nu$ $\kappa\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$, but $\nu\epsilon\nu a\nu\mu\acute{a}\chi\eta\kappa\epsilon$ THN $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\tau\omega\nu$ $\kappa\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$, a battle in which not one slave only, but many slaves were fighting $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i $\tau\omega\nu$ $\sigma\omega\mu\acute{a}\tau\omega\nu$. However, as is shown in the text, a slave's body was emphatically not his own possession.

(2) That $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \kappa\rho\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ is equivalent to $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$. This explanation also is mentioned by the Scholiast, and $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ is actually read for $\kappa\rho\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ in some inferior MSS. It is to some extent countenanced by the great authority of Bentley, who says " $\tau \partial \nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ optime personae Charontis aptatur," and is strongly supported by Brunck. Yet it seems devoid of all sense, since there never was a $\mu \dot{\alpha}\chi\eta \pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$. No question as to the $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ arose until the battle was over and done; and even then, the question related as much to the living as to the dead.

(3) That $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \kappa\rho\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ refers to the fleshpots which the slaves hoped to gain as their reward. This was Gataker's opinion, who considered that the words pointed to the "indolem servorum, quibus cibus et carnes ipsa vita est." And this was adopted by Spanheim, whose notes are given at the end of Kuster's edition, and who held that the phrase was employed "plane ad solitam servorum ingluviem denotandam, et pro qua, velut pro aris et focis, navali praelio dimicassent."

Dr. Verrall alone, with his usual penetration, saw that the words must "describe the object for which the slaves fought, that is, the freedom and the citizenship" (Classical Review, iii. 258). And he raises this third interpretation to a higherlevel, by taking $\kappa\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$ to refer to $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\kappa\rho\epsilon\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\xi}$ ' $\Lambda\pi\alpha\tau\sigma\nu\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$ (Thesm. 558), comparing Acharnians 146. This gives a very satisfactory meaning to the words, and I should certainly have adopted it, had I not long previously come to the conclusion that the true interpretation is that given above. And, indeed, I doubt if the word $\kappa\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$, used simpliciter, would have conveyed to the audience any idea of the Apaturian festival.

εὐδαίμων ἄρ' ἦν, εἰ κἀστρατήγησέν γε μετ' Ἐρασινίδου¹,

meaning, I suppose, that had Oedipus been a colleague of Erasinides in the $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\tau\eta\gamma ia$, his blindness would have been a piece of good fortune. For then he would not have gone to the great battle, and so would not have fallen a victim to the machinations of Theramenes and the madness of the people. This is the only direct allusion to the fate of the generals. But doubtless it was to the attack of "the blear-eyed Archedemus" upon Erasinides, the precursor of the graver charge, that the scorn and contempt with which he is twice² mentioned in this play are mainly due; and Theramenes owes the ironical censure twice³ passed upon his career rather to the fatal ingenuity with which he shifted the blame from his own shoulders to those of the generals, than to his former doubledealing in the affair of the Four Hundred.

At the commencement of the play we find Dionysus journeying to the world below, for the express and only purpose of bringing back Euripides to the Athenian stage. And one reason which he gives for the selection of Euripides is that he is a $\pi a vo \hat{v} \rho \gamma o s$, a man up to anything, a master of shifts and evasions, who would readily assist in carrying out any plan that might be devised for his rescue. We should therefore naturally suppose that we were intended presently to witness a series of scenes analogous to those in the Thesmophoriazusae; we should expect to see Euripides utilizing for the purpose of effectuating his own escape some of the ingenious schemes and devices contained in his own plays, just as he had utilized them in the earlier comedy for the purpose of effectuating the escape of Mnesilochus. But nothing of the kind occurs; there is no idea of an escape; no artful contrivance is required; the plot takes an entirely different trend; nor is Euripides brought back. We shall return to this subject shortly.

The Chorus of the play are the Blessed Mystics, those who had on earth been initiated into the Eleusinian mysteries, and had led a holy

¹ Frogs 1195, 1196. ² Ibid. 417, 588. ³ Ibid. 541, 968.

and virtuous life in accordance with the doctrines therein inculcated. But before they make their entrance in that character their voices have been heard from behind the scenes chanting the melodious songs of the dead frogs, from whom the comedy derives its name. They do not enter until some scenes later, and then they come in singing a series of hymns and songs, which are not, as commonly supposed, mere unconnected lyrics, but are a continuous presentation of the earlier stages of their annual procession to Eleusis, from the moment when they call Iacchus forth from his sumptuous temple at Athens down to the time when, having passed over the bridge of Cephisus, they are streaming away to weave their mystic sports and dances on the Thriasian plain.

Brimful as the comedy is of genial humour and exuberant vivacity, it nevertheless, to my mind at least, is everywhere invested with a certain solemnity. This is doubtless due, in part, to the fact just mentioned, that the Chorus consists not, as in other comedies, of Athenian citizens, or of comic creations, but of dead mystae, performing in the world below the religious ceremonies which they had, in life, performed in the world above; and partly again to the circumstance that the action lies chiefly among the dead, and that the great poetical contest which it describes is a contest between two dead poets; but most of all, perhaps, to our knowledge of the terrible time at which the play was produced, when the long anguish of the Deceleian War was visibly drawing to a close, and when the Athenian Empire certainly, and possibly the very existence of Athens, seemed in risk of immediate extinction.

After passing through various adventures Dionysus arrives safely at the halls of Pluto, and we are at once confronted with an entirely unexpected situation. For it so happens that, at the moment of his arrival, a dramatic contest is about to commence between Aeschylus and Euripides; and Dionysus, clean forgetting the errand on which he came, readily undertakes to act as judge. The actual contest, without reckoning either the preliminary discussions or the political catechism by which it is succeeded, occupies 551 lines (from 875 to 1413, according to Brunck's numbering, which is adopted by all editors, whatever the number of their

.

own lines may be), more than a third of the entire play. Its object is to determine which is the better tragedian of the two; and the rivals discuss not only their general merits and demerits, but also various subordinate questions, their prologues, their choral metres, and the weight of their iambic verses. And all their dramatic stores, both old and new, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \pi a \lambda a i \dot{\alpha} \kappa a i \tau \dot{\alpha}$, are ransacked for passages to support their respective contentions.

It is difficult to believe that all this detailed and elaborate criticism, together with the remainder of the play in which it is found, can have been entirely worked out during the very brief period which had elapsed since the death of Euripides; especially since from that period itself we must deduct, at its commencement, the time that would pass before the news of the poet's death, which occurred in Macedonia, would have been received at Athens; and at its close, the time required for the submission of the play to, and its acceptance by, the Archon; the granting of a Chorus; the training of the Chorus; the rehearsals; and all the arduous preparation required for placing the comedy on the stage. It seems far more probable that the contrast between Aeschylus and Euripides, which had been present¹ to the mind of Aristophanes from the very commencement of his career, had taken this form before the younger tragedian's death; and that Aristophanes, finding the time too short for the completion of the play on the lines originally intended, fell back upon this great scene which was ready to his hand, and inserted it in a comedy with the plot of which it was quite unconnected. And, indeed, it can hardly be said to be woven into the texture of the play at all; it is but loosely tacked on, and the stitches by which it is attached to the main fabric are quite visible to a careful observer.

Nothing can be more abrupt than the manner in which the first mention of the contest is dragged in, some 120 lines before the contest itself begins. It does not spring out of anything which has gone before. Two slaves are talking, and in the very midst of a speech one of them

xvi

 $^{^1}$ See Clouds 1365–1372, which is a sort of foreshadowing of the contest in the present play.

breaks off, and asks the other, ¹Why, what is the meaning of all that hubbub? And the other explains that a contest is impending between the two great tragedians. And when we come to the contest itself there is not, throughout its whole progress (from line 875 to line 1413), a single syllable tending to show that it is taking place in the world below; nor is Euripides ever spoken of as if he were dead. On the contrary, there is one passage from which, if this scene were detached from the rest of the play, we should certainly assume that he was still alive. To the question what Euripides deserves if the charges brought against him by Aeschylus are sustained, Dionysus promptly replies, "He deserves to DIE²." In itself the language seems to imply that he was still alive: although, as the play stands, it is of course a mere joke, the joke of dooming to death a person who is already dead. And in truth the poetical contest is so foreign to the general purpose of the play, that we cannot be surprised to find that it ends in a complete fiasco. Dionysus. the chosen judge, having heard the entire competition, declares himself unable or unwilling to give any judgement at all.

And now a singular thing occurs. Pluto, who has been present during the last 600 lines, or thereabouts, without once opening his mouth, suddenly breaks silence, and announces that the successful poet—whose promised reward has hitherto been the right to occupy the Chair of Tragedy in the Prytaneum of Hades—shall return with Dionysus to the world above. We therefore, at the close of the poetical contest, return to the old purpose of the play as abruptly as we left it when that contest commenced. And Dionysus marks the change more distinctly by declaring that he will choose, not necessarily the better tragedian (which was the sole object of the poetical competition), but the man who can give to the State the wiser political counsel. The counsel of Aeschylus—that Alcibiades shall be recalled, and the entire resources of the State devoted to the aggrandizement of the fleet—commends itself to Dionysus, who on that ground, and that only, awards the victory to Aeschylus. And

b

¹ Frogs 757.

² Ibid. 1012.

FROGS

Aeschylus therefore it is who reascends to earth, to do what he can for the city in her hour of need. Yet the decision, though made without the slightest reference to the dramatic merits of the two contending tragedians, carries with it, strangely enough ¹, the right to occupy the Tragic Chair. All this curious jumble is occasioned by the clashing of the two motives, the motive of the poetical contest, and the motive of the general plot of the comedy.

Whatever may be the secret history of this poetical contest, it has always formed a most important, and to modern readers probably the most interesting, section of the Comedy of the Frogs. It consists of four distinct trials of strength, divided from each other by choral songs; the rivals discussing (1) their general merits and demerits, (2) their prologues, (3) their choral metres, and (4) the weight of their iambic verses. It may, perhaps, be permissible to make a few observations on this great contest here, at somewhat greater length than would be convenient in a footnote.

I. THEIR GENERAL MERITS AND DEMERITS.

This, though by far the most important, one might almost say the only important and serious, part of the criticism, need not delay us long. To enter at large into the perennial controversy as to the relative merits of Aeschylus and Euripides is no part of the duty of an editor of Aristophanes. But a few brief remarks may not be considered out of place.

It must be remembered that this is not the case of two poets striving after the same ideal, and one approaching it more closely than the other. Their ideals, their aims, their views of the tragic art are in almost every respect diametrically opposed; so that what to the one might seem the chief merit of a play, to the other might appear its greatest defect. The object of Aeschylus was to *elevate* the drama: to give to his audience an impressive and worthy representation of the demigods and heroes of their noble traditions. To this end he intro-

¹ Frogs 1515-1523.

xviii

duced the stately robe, the lofty buskin : and, far more important than robe or buskin, the lofty sentiment and the stately language. To Euripides all this appeared mere idle pomposity: his aim was to bring down the drama to the level of ordinary humanity and every-day life. And this contrast is the main topic in the first encounter of the poetical contest. In it each poet is made to give his own view of the aims and objects which tragic poets should set before them: Aeschylus considering it their duty to describe heroic deeds, and clothe noble thoughts in noble language; whilst Euripides insists that they ought to portray the world as they find it, describing the deeds, unveiling the motives, and employing the language, of daily life. The idea of Aeschylus is to elevate and exalt the minds of the audience: the idea of Euripides is to paint the world, its passions and infirmities, as they really are¹. Each may be considered to have summed up his own theory in a single half-verse. Πάνυ δη ΔΕΙ χρηστα λέγειν ήμας (1056), It is our bounden duty to speak what is noble and good, is the language, and might be taken as the motto, of Aeschylus; $\chi \rho \eta \phi \rho a \zeta \epsilon i \nu d \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon i \omega s$ (1058), We ought to speak as other men speak, is the language and motto of Euripides. Each theory will always have its advocates; it is my good fortune, as a translator of Aristophanes, to be in entire accord with the views of my author.

From the foregoing considerations it naturally follows that neither poet altogether denies the charges advanced by the other. He merely denies that the characteristic attacked is a blemish, and claims it as a merit. If Euripides reproaches Aeschylus with his gigantic compounds and grandiloquent language, Aeschylus does not deny the grandiloquence, but maintains that his is the only diction befitting the divine and heroic personages which both poets brought upon the stage. If Aeschylus censures his opponent for infusing a talkative and argumentative spirit into the Athenian people, Euripides is so far from denying the charge, that he glories in it as one of his brightest achieve-

¹ "Aeschylus is the prophet of Greek Tragedy, as Sophocles is the artist, and Euripides the realist."—Bp. Westcott, "Religious Thought in the West." p. 53.

ments. They agree as to the facts : they differ only in their estimate of the facts.

Perhaps one other point should be mentioned. It is frequently said that the antagonism of Aristophanes to the dramatic influence of Euripides was based on considerations of morality. And this is perfectly true; only it must not be understood as suggesting that Euripides was, in any sense whatever, the holder or propagator of immoral principles. But 1 the great civic and social virtues, honour and justice and valour, patriotism and self-devotion, respect to parents and reverence to the gods, and the like-virtues which to Aeschylus, and generally to the Athenians of the old heroic days, were matters of conscience, about which no discussion could be tolerated-were by Euripides brought to the test of "that universal solvent, the wild living intellect of man." There were few, if any, virtues, and few, if any, vices, for and against which a store of arguments might not be found somewhere in the plays of Euripides. And though he himself might conclude in favour of the right, yet he left it open for a more powerful or more plausible reasoner to turn the scale in favour of the wrong. Euripides might hold, as Hippolytus in the tragedy named after him did certainly hold, that an oath must be kept inviolate, even though taken in ignorance of what it

¹ Professor Butcher, in his "Aristotle's Theory of Poetry," chap. v, speaking of Aristophanes, observes :---

[&]quot;The censure he passes on Euripides is primarily a moral censure. Even where the judgement may seem to be of an aesthetic kind, a moral motive underlies it. In him are embodied all the tendencies of the time which Aristophanes most abhors. He is the spirit of the age personified ; with its restlessness, its scepticism, its sentimentalism, its unsparing questioning of old traditions, of religious usages, and civic loyalty ; its frivolous disputations, which unfit men for the practical work of life; its lowered idea of courage and patriotism. Every phase of the sophistic spirit he discovers in Euripides. There is a bewildering dialectic which perplexes the moral sense. Duties, whose appeal to the conscience is immediate, and which are recognized as having a binding force, are in Euripides subjected to analysis. Again, Euripides is censured for exciting feeling by any means that come to hand. . . . Genuine misery does not consist in a beggar's rags or in a hobbling gait. Euripides substitutes the troubling of the senses for genuine tragic emotion."

involved; but the theory that in some circumstances an oath might not be binding on the conscience, had been disseminated amongst a quick and ingenious audience, and might bring forth fruit which the poet little meant.

I do not propose to enter more largely into this part of the controversy between the two poets; but some very admirable and instructive remarks on the subject, which I have been permitted by the kindness of Sir R. C. Jebb to extract from his lectures on "The Growth and Influence of Classical Greek Poetry," will be found in a separate note at the end of this Introduction.

II. THEIR PROLOGUES.

In the second encounter the combatants no longer deal with the general theory of tragic poetry, but commence their criticism of special portions of a tragic play. "We will first begin with the prologue," Euripides is made to observe, "since the prologue is the first beginning of a play." But in truth there is no method in these attacks. Aristophanes merely selects one point here and another there, just as they seem to afford the readiest handle to his wit and satire.

Euripides was accustomed to make *his* prologue an exposition of the facts upon which his play was founded; a vehicle for conveying to the audience the information which was necessary to bring them to the point at which the action of the drama commenced. His prologues, as a rule, consisted of a lucid and neatly-worded historical narrative; and the charge which he first brings against his rival's prologues is founded on the obscurity and ambiguity $(\dot{a}\sigma d\phi \epsilon \iota a)$ of their language. It must be admitted that he could not have selected a prologue more suitable for his purpose than the stately and impressive lines with which the Choephoroe opens; lines, it is to be observed, which do not exist in the imperfect MS. of the Choephoroe, and which would have been altogether lost if they had not been cited in the Frogs. The lines are spoken by Orestes over the grave of his murdered father :---

Έρμη χθόνιε, πατρώ ἐποπτεύων κράτη, σωτήρ γενοῦ μοι, σύμμαχός τ' αἰτουμένω, ήκω γὰρ ἐς γῆν τήνδε, καὶ κατέρχομαι. τύμβου δ' ἐπ' ὄχθω τώδε κηρύσσω πατρὶ κλύειν, ἀκοῦσαι.

The first line consists of five words only; but each of its last three words is susceptible of two very different interpretations, neither of which can be called in any way repugnant to the context. Πατρώα may signify either "belonging to my father, Agamemnon," or "belonging to thy father, Zeus"; $\kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ may refer either to an earthly rule, or to a divine attribute; whilst $\epsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ may be employed either in the ordinary sense of "surveying, overlooking" or in the special 1 Eleusinian sense of "participating in." Euripides takes the first (or human) interpretation of each word : Aeschylus explains that he meant them all to bear the second. Euripides understands them to mean "overlooking my father's realm," and inquires whether the god of craft was supposed to be looking on when Agamemnon met his death by a woman's craft. Aeschylus replies that the expression $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\omega} a \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ refers to the benignant power of the supreme divinity, $Z\epsilon \partial s \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$, the saviour Zeus; and that Orestes is beseeching Hermes to take up that great power, and so become a saviour to him, $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho$ $\gamma\epsilon\nu\sigma\vartheta$ $\mu\sigma\iota^2$ Widely different as these inter-

¹ The words are the words of Aeschylus, himself an Eleusinian by birth, himself initiated (and, indeed, accused of divulging in his plays the incommunicable secrets of the Mysteries), who, before the contest begins, commends himself to Demeter as the guardian and nourisher of his spirit, and prays that he may be found worthy of her sacred Mysteries. The mystic chorus, whatever may have been the original reason for their selection, contribute largely to the Aeschylean character of the play ' they take an active and interested part in the contest for he poetic supremacy; they greet with songs of joy the victory of their own special poet; and finally escort him up to earth with the blaze of their mystic torches, and echoes of his own heroic melodies.

² "At the very commencement of the Choephoroe, Orestes prays at his father's tomb that Hermes may have such a share in the power of his father, the saviour Zeus, as to become a saviour to him in his undertaking."—C. O. Müller, "Dissertations on the Eumenides," paragraph 94. He considers, indeed, that this interpretation "is at variance with that which Aristophanes makes Aeschylus himself give in the Frogs," and says, very finely, "We appeal from the Aristophanic manes of

pretations are from each other, they are both legitimate explanations of the Greek, nor can either be said to be unsuitable to the circumstances under which the words were uttered. Herein lies the $d\sigma d\phi \epsilon_i a$ of which Euripides complains. And he charges the lines cited with yet another defect, namely, the defect of tautology. Of this he gives two instances. The first is in the third line $\eta' \kappa \omega \ldots \kappa \alpha \lambda' \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$. $\eta' \kappa \omega$ and $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$. xoual mean exactly the same thing, he says. Aeschylus has no difficulty in showing that this is not the case. $\eta'_{\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu}$, he points out, may be predicated of anybody arriving anywhere; it connotes nothing beyond the mere fact of arrival; whilst $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ involves the further idea of the exile returning to his home. This is true, and yet perhaps the answer is not quite satisfactory. It shows that $\kappa a \tau \epsilon_{\rho \chi o \mu a \iota}$ introduces a new idea, beyond what $\eta'_{\kappa\omega}$, by itself, would convey; but it does not show that the meaning of *katépxoµai* is in any way extended by the addition of $\eta' \kappa \omega$. And so with the next objection, $\kappa \lambda \dot{\upsilon} \epsilon_{i\nu}$, $\dot{a} \kappa_{0} \dot{\upsilon} \sigma_{ai}$, which is only met by a joke of Dionysus. It is possible that akovoat may signify more than κλύειν (κλύοντες οὐκ ήκουον Prom. 456), but κλύειν, apparently, does not imply anything not comprehended in akovoal. In neither case is the meaning of the two words identical, but in each the second appears to comprehend the first.

The true answer to objections of this character is that this is the prayer of Orestes over the grave, now beheld for the first time, of his mighty and cruelly murdered father, whose death he has returned to avenge; and that in moments of strong emotion and exaltation of feeling the soul can only satisfy itself by repeated iteration of the selfsame thought. Take the appeal of a lover to his mistress; take, as in the passage before us, the uplifting of the soul in prayer; take the litanies of the Church in all ages. Our own Liturgy¹ teems with such instances.

Aeschylus to the spirit of the ancient hero still breathing in his tragedies." But in my judgement the interpretation of Müller is in entire accord with that of Aristophanes.

 $^{^{1}}$ I see that Dr. Merry, in his note on line 1174, refers to the expression "We have erred and strayed" in the General Confession. I was not aware of it when I wrote this Introduction.

I may perhaps, without offence, quote some passages from the General Exhortation; "to acknowledge and confess our manifold sins and wickedness," "with an humble, lowly, penitent, and obedient heart," "by His infinite goodness and mercy," "when we assemble and meet together," "wherefore I pray and beseech you." Doubtless in all these passages (as in the lines of Aeschylus) an acute grammarian might detect some distinction between the synonyms, but such a distinction would not be perceptible to ordinary worshippers.

It is now the turn of Aeschylus to dissect his opponent's prologues, and, after a short criticism of the first two lines of the Antigone of Euripides (which is perhaps sufficiently discussed in the Commentary), he propounds his famous $\lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\upsilon} \theta \iota o \nu$ test. Euripides was fond of commencing his plays, as we have already observed, with an historical narrative, which was occasionally prefaced by some philosophical apophthegms; and Aeschylus proposes to show that as a rule, within the first three lines, the words ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν, or κωδάριον ἀπώλεσεν, or θυλάκιον $\dot{a}\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ can be so tacked on as to complete the metre and complete the Euripides recites six prologues, and in each of them, before three sense. lines are over, the words $\lambda \eta \kappa \theta i \theta \nu$ and $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ fit in exactly as Aeschylus had predicted. We may well believe that the tacking of this formula to the well-known prologues of Euripides produced an irresistibly comic effect; an effect so lasting that the ¹ trochaic dimeter catalectic-the section of the line displaced by the words $\lambda \eta \kappa \theta i \theta v \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon v$ was thenceforth named by metrical writers the ²E $i\rho_{i\pi}i\delta\epsilon_{i\sigma\nu}$ or $\Lambda\eta\kappa\dot{\imath}\theta_{i\sigma\nu}$.

¹ A trochaic dimeter is $-\bigcirc |-\bigcirc|| - \bigcirc || - \bigcirc ||$. A trochaic dimeter catalectic is $-\bigcirc |-\bigcirc|| - \bigcirc || - \bigcirc |-||$. The latter is the section displaced by the words $\lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\upsilon} \delta \iota \omega \nu$ $d\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$. Thus, in line 1213, the words displaced are $\pi a \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \iota s \sigma \dot{\upsilon} \nu \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu$: in 1219 $\pi \lambda \delta \upsilon \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \dot{\epsilon}$: in 1226 $\tilde{\iota} \kappa \epsilon \tau^* \dot{\epsilon} s \Theta \dot{\eta} \beta \eta s \pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \upsilon$. Of course the trochaic metre, like the iambic and anapaestic, permitted the introduction of spondees and other feet.

² Hephaestion (chap. vi), enumerating the trochaic catalectic metres, says: δίμετρον μὲν καταληκτικόν, τὸ καλούμενον Εἰριπίδειον ἢ Δηκύθιον. On which the scholiast remarks that it consists άπλῶν ποδῶν τριῶν, καὶ μιῶς συλλαβῆς. Δηκύθιον δέ Φασιν αὐτὸ, ἢ δι' ᾿Αριστοφάνην σκώπτοντα τὸ μέτρον τὸ ἑΦθημιμερὲς Εὐριπίδου, τὸ θοαῖσιν ἕπποις, Α. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν (Frogs 1233), ἢ διὰ τὸν βόμβον τὸν τραγικόν. The

xxiv

Commentators have disquieted themselves in vain to determine the real defect at which Aristophanes is aiming; for there is no real defect; the criticism is merely comic. Some indeed have supposed him to be ridiculing the constant break in the line after the first $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet: but this is out of the question. No break is more common or more harmonious than this, and it would be difficult to cite any set of iambic trimeters in which it does not occur. In the first twenty lines of the Eumenides it is found no less than eighteen times. And indeed the prologue of that tragedy affords a very happy example for the application of this tag. It begins—

Πρώτον μέν εὐχῆ τῆδε πρεσβεύω θεῶν τὴν πρωτόμαντιν Γαΐαν' ἐκ δὲ τῆς, Θέμιν, ἡ δὴ τὸ μητρὸς--ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.

With this the prologue competition concludes, and we now proceed to the third question.

III. THEIR CHORAL METRES.

Euripides, as usual, is on the alert, and eager to commence the fray. He puts in two ways the case he is going to make. He will display the sameness of his rival's metres ($a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}v\,\dot{a}\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon(\xi\omega\,\kappa\alpha\kappa\delta\nu\,M\epsilon\lambda\sigma\sigma\sigma\iota\delta\nu\,\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\,\kappa\alpha\lambda$ $\pi\sigma\iota\sigma\delta\nu\tau\alpha\,\tau\alpha\nu\tau'\,\dot{a}\epsilon()$. And again, he will cut down all his metres to one ($\epsilon ls \ \epsilon v \ \gamma\dot{a}\rho \ a\dot{v}\tau\sigma\nu$ $\pi\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta \ \xi\nu\nu\tau\epsilon\mu\omega$).

What then is this particular metre which is so continually cropping up in the Choral Odes of Aeschylus?

Now we know that Aeschylus was, to adopt Mr. Keble's ¹ felicitous

second explanation is mere nonsense; the first is undoubtedly correct. I can but marvel at C. O. Müller's notion that the term $\lambda\eta\kappa\dot{\imath}\theta_{i}\rho\nu$ denoted the metre before the date of the Frogs; and that the formula $\lambda\eta\kappa\dot{\imath}\theta_{i}\rho\nu$ $d\pi\dot{\imath}\lambda\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\nu$ involved an allusion to the clipping off by Aeschylus, from a verse of Euripides, a dimeter trochaic catalectic.—"Dissertations on the Eumenides," paragraph 23. It is plain that both the names, $E\dot{\imath}\rho_{i}\pi\dot{\imath}\delta\epsilon_{i}\rho\nu$ and $\Lambda\eta\kappa\dot{\imath}\theta_{i}\rho\nu$, are derived from this scene.

! Ne dubitemus Aeschylum dicere Tragoedorum Atticorum 'Ομηρικώτατον.—Keble, '' Praelectiones Academicae,'' xvii. τοῦ καλοῦ καὶ λαμπροῦ Αἰσχύλου, ὃs τàs αὐτοῦ τραγφ-

phrase, "Tragoedorum Atticorum $O_{\mu\eta\rho\iota\kappa\omega\tau\sigma\tau\sigma\sigmas.}$ " And he himself described his tragedies as "slices cut from Homer's mighty feast." And we cannot wonder therefore if the roll of the Homeric hexameter was always sounding in his mind, and constantly reproducing itself in his choral measures. And this is, in truth, the charge which Euripides is bringing against him. In whatever metre the lyrics of Aeschylus may commence, says his rival, you are sure to find them, sooner or later, assuming the form of the Homeric hexameter.

Τον δ' απαμειβόμ ενος προσέφη πόδας ωκύς 'Αχιλλεύς.

The one standard to which the lyrics of Aeschylus are to be cut down is $-\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\phi\eta$ $\pi\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$ $\delta\kappa\delta\sigma\sigma$ $\lambda\kappa\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$, or, to use the line which Aristophanes either invented himself, or, more probably, was fortunate enough to find ready-made to his hand in the Myrmidons of Aeschylus, $i\eta \kappa\delta\sigma\sigma\nu$, $o\vartheta \pi\epsilon\lambda\delta\theta\epsilon\iotas \epsilon\pi\sigma\sigma\rho\sigma\omega$.

Euripides therefore selects five lines from the choral odes of Aeschylus one from each of the following tragedies, the Myrmidons, the Psychagogi, the Telephus, the Priestesses, and the Agamemnon—and shows that the last twelve syllables of each are in the exact metre of the standard, $i\hat{\eta}$ $\kappa \delta \pi \sigma \nu$, où $\pi \epsilon \lambda \delta \theta \epsilon \iota s \epsilon \pi' \delta \rho \omega \gamma \delta \nu$. To make this perfectly clear, he repeats the standard after each selected line.

The standard is really an Homeric hexameter which has dropped its first foot, and all but the last syllable of the second. It so happens that its own first syllable is short, $i\bar{\eta}$, so that it represents an hexameter in which the second foot is a dactyl. And consequently, in each of the five selected lines the corresponding syllable is short.

- (1) Φθιώτ' 'Αχ||ιλεῦ τί ποτ' ἀνδροδάϊκτον ἀκούων.
- (2) Ερμάν μέν πρό γονον τίσμεν γένος οι περι λίμναν.
- (3) κύδιστ' 'Αχαιών, 'Ατρ||έως πολυκοίρανε μάνθανέ μου παί.
- (4) εὐφαμεῖτε μελισσο νόμοι δόμον Αρτέμιδος πέλας οἶγειν.
- (5) κύριός είμι || θροείν όδιον κράτος αίσιον ανδρών.

This first batch of lines, $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{is} \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} v$, therefore bear out, so far as they go, the allegation of Euripides. However they commence, they all

δίας τεμάχη είναι έλεγε των Όμήρου μεγάλων δείπνων. - Athenaeus, viii. chap. xxxix. And compare Frogs 1040.

xxvi

conclude with the exact twelve syllables of the standard. But he will not rest content with this; he will give another batch, $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.

The plan of repeating the standard after every selected line might easily grow $\delta i' \, \delta_{\chi} \lambda ov \tau o \hat{s} \, \theta \epsilon \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o i s$. Euripides therefore discards it; he calls his second series of lines $\kappa_i \theta a \rho \omega \delta i \kappa \dot{a}$, not because, in the tragedies of *Aeschylus*, they differed in this respect from the former series; but because, whilst he had recited the former to the music of the $a \dot{v} \lambda \delta s$, he is about to recite these to the music of the lyre, which is represented by the refrain $\tau \delta \phi \lambda a \tau \tau \sigma \theta \rho a \tau \sigma \theta \rho a \tau \sigma \theta a \tau \sigma \theta \rho a \tau \sigma \theta a$

> Tòν δ' ἀπαμειβόμ||ενος προσέφη πόδας ὠκὺς 'Αχιλλεὺς, 'Ατρείδη || κύδιστε, φιλοκτεανώτατε πάντων. $= |- \cup \cup |- \cup \cup |- \cup \cup |- = |.$

This batch contains four lines (for line 1294 may be disregarded), viz. two from the Agamemnon, one from the Sphinx, and one from an unnamed tragedy.

- (1) όπως 'Αχ||αιών δίθρονον κράτος Έλλάδος ήβας.
- (2) Σφίγγα || δυσαμερίαν πρύτανιν κύνα πέμπει.
- (3) σύν δορ||ί και χερί πράκτορι θούριος ὄρνις.
- (4) κυρείν παρ μασχών ιταμαίς κυσιν πέροφοίτοις.

Here then are nine instances in which the metrical system

occurs in the choruses of Aeschylus. Euripides might easily have increased the number. Three of the nine instances are taken from the first chorus of the Agamemnon; and, at the risk of being tedious, I will here set down a list of the instances which I have noticed in that immortal song.

Line

- (1) 48, 9. Κλάζοντες Άρη, τρόπον αἰγυπιῶν οιτ'.
- (2) 53, 4. δεμνιο τήρη πόνον δρταλίχων δλέσαντες.

Line 61. 2. ξένι ος Ζεύς πολυάνορος άμφι γυναικός. (3)τελεί ται δ' ές το πεπρωμένον, ούθ' ύποκλαίων. 68, 9. (4) ούδεν αρμείων όναρ ήμερόφαντον αλαίνει. (5) 81, 2. (6) 95, 6. παρηγορ||ίαις, πελάνω μυχόθεν βασιλείω. (7) 104. κύριός είμι || θροείν όδιον κράτος αίσιον άνδρών. έκτελ||έων, έτι γὰρ θεόθεν καταπνείει. (8) 105. (9) 108, 9. όπως 'Αχμαιών δίθρονον κράτος Έλλάδος ήβας. (10) 111, 12. πέμπει ξύν δορμί και χερί πράκτορι θούριος όρνις. (11) 113, 14. ολ ωνών βασιλεύς, βασιλεύσι νεών, ό. (12) 113, 14. $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma i \parallel \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\delta \kappa \epsilon \lambda a i \nu \delta s$, $\delta \tau' \tilde{\epsilon} \xi \sigma \pi i \nu d \rho \gamma \hat{a} s$. (13) 115, 16, Φανέντες || ίκταρ μελάθρων, γερός έκ δοριπάλτου. βοσκόμενοι λαγμίναν, ερικύμονα φέρματι, γένναν. (14) 118. κεδνός δε στρατ όμαντις ίδων δύο λήμασι δισσούς. (15) 121. (16) 122. 'Ατρ||είδας μαχίμους έδάη λαγοδαίτας. (17) 125, 6. χρόνω μέν || ἀγρεί Πριάμου πόλιν άδε κελευθος. (18) 130, 1. κνεφ άση προτυπέν στόμιον μέγα Τροίας. (19) 132, 3. οίκω γαρ επίφθονος Αρτεμις άγνά. (20) 135. αὐτότοκον πρὸ || λόχου μογερὰν πτάκα θυομένοισι. (21) 143. $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota || \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, κατάμομφα $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{a} \sigma \mu a \tau a^1 [\sigma \tau \rho o \dot{v} \theta \omega \nu]$. (22) 145. μή τινας αντι πνόους Δαναοίς χρονίας έχενήδας. (23) 147. σπευδομένα θυσ ίαν ετέραν, ανομόν τιν', άδαιτον. (24) 151. τοιάδε Κάλ χας ξυν μεγάλοις αγαθοίς απέκλαγξεν. (25) 160. πλήν Διζός, εί τὸ μάταν ἀπὸ φροντίδος ἄχθος. (26) 167. Ζηνα || δέ τις προφρόνως ἐπινίκια κλάζων².

We find therefore in this one chorus, of course a very exceptional case, and indeed within 120 lines of the chorus, the standard measure occurring twenty-six times, if not oftener. And I have omitted every instance (unless indeed the first example be an offender in this respect) in which the close of the measure does not coincide with the close of a word.

Euripides having finished his criticism, Dionysus demands of Aeschylus

¹ The last word of this line, whatever it may be, is unquestionably a spondee.

 2 Some have thought that Aristophanes has selected the lines of Aeschylus for the express purpose of contrasting his heroical splendour with the petty domesticities of Euripides. And doubtless he was fully alive to the fact that they brought out that contrast in a very effective manner. But a glance at the list given above will show that no selection was needed for this purpose. The Homeric spirit, always strong in Aeschylus, was never stronger than when it drove him into Homeric measures.

xxviii

whence he derived the incriminated metre, for of course, on the lips of Dionysus, the expression $\tau \partial \phi \lambda a \tau \tau \delta \theta \rho a \tau$ signifies not the music of the lyre, but the verses to which that music had formed the accompaniment. And Aeschylus replies that he had transplanted it from one fair soil to another, $\epsilon \kappa \tau o \partial \kappa a \lambda o \partial \epsilon s \tau \partial \kappa a \lambda \partial \nu$, meaning, from the Homeric epos to the Athenian drama. There was doubtless nothing Homeric in the lays of Phrynichus. In drawing his inspiration, therefore, from the wells of Homer, Aeschylus was striking out a new and independent line, not following in the steps of his predecessor; not $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$, to use his own language, $\tau \partial \nu a \partial \tau \partial \nu \Phi \rho \nu \nu i \chi \omega \Lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu a Mov \sigma \omega \nu$.

In truth the recurrence of these Homeric echoes imparts an unequalled grandeur and dignity to the Choral Odes of Aeschylus; but if it were otherwise, if it is to be treated as a defect, it is certainly shown to exist; and possibly no other peculiarity of these odes is more prominent, or more easily assailable by Aristophanic humour.

It is therefore really wonderful that every commentator, without a single exception, should have contrived to ignore the real point of the criticism which Aristophanes takes such pains to elucidate, and have supposed that Euripides was concocting an ode, or rather two odes, in the manner of Aeschylus; each ode being a cento of lines taken at random, without any special metrical purpose, from the latter's Choral Odes. Of the first supposed cento (that is, the first batch of selected lines) they can make nothing; it is admittedly nonsensical; ridiculus ille cento, it is called by Brunck and Dindorf. But in the second supposed cento they fancy themselves able dimly to descry a sort of ponderous and unmeaning sentence, which they imagine to be a caricature of the complex stanzas with which we occasionally meet in the choruses of Aeschylus. Several have essayed to translate it. Quomodo Achivorum geminum imperium, Hellenicae pubis, Sphingem tristificam, rectorem canem. mittit cum hasta et manu vindice impetuosus ales, incidere faciens in audaces canes aera pervagantes (I omit the phlattothrats).-Brunck. Quomodo Achivorum duplex imperium, Graecae juventutis Sphingem, monstrum infortunio praefectum, mittat (Trojam) cum hasta et manu ultrice bellicosus

ales (aquila), qui ad praedam praebuit audacibus avibus in aere volantibus phalangem Ajacis.-Fritzsche. How the ominous bird of war sends forth with spear and vengeful hand the twin sovereignty of the Achaeans, Sphinx of the chivalry of Hellas, foul fiend dispenser of disasters, granting to the eager soaring vultures to find their prey.-Merry. "We are presented," says Mr. Mitchell, "with a long sentence, in which the nominative case is thrown to a most awkward distance, the accusative presenting itself in the front of the sentence, and the interval offering all the peculiarities of Aeschylean style-bold and dithyrambic diction, harsh appositions and metonyms, and even appositions doubly apposited." Fritzsche indeed does not agree with Aristophanes that Euripides is endeavouring "to show that all Aeschylean metres are identical," and "to cut them down to one." His opinion is quite different. "Ostensurus est Euripides Aeschylo obscuritatem rectissime objici solere." Without citing other commentators it is sufficient to say that every one of them, from the scholiast downwards, takes the same view of Euripides' objection.

All this criticism is based upon an entire delusion. There is no "long sentence" here; there is no "cento." The lines are brought forward as individual specimens, each complete in itself, to be tried by the test of the standard measure; and have no more connexion with each other than had the various prologues of Euripides brought forward in the preceding encounter.

Exactly the same hallucination has prevailed with regard to the counter-criticism which Aeschylus proceeds to apply to the metres of Euripides. Here too, several isolated passages, seven in number, are selected for the purpose of showing, in each of them, some metrical irregularity or variation. Here too, the commentators, with one accord, persist in huddling all these seven isolated passages into a "cento" (in supposed imitation of an ode of Euripides) which they vainly endeavour to construe. Here too, there is no "cento," and no construction. Each of the seven selected passages is intended to be judged, by itself, on its own merits.

But this misconception is far more excusable than the former.

Aristophanes does not point out here, as he did there, the particular irregularities intended to be assailed. Nor are we sufficiently familiar with the metres themselves to determine with certainty in what these irregularities consist, especially as the later writers on metre form their canons on the practice of all the great poets, and consequently look upon the variations introduced by Euripides as regular metrical forms. And certainly I have no confidence whatever in my own ability to point out, in each or any case, the defect, or supposed defect, at which Aeschylus is aiming his criticism. Doubtless, on the stage, the impeached metre was made perfectly plain by the voice and mauner of the *actor. However, I will set down my ideas on the subject in the hope that they may be corrected by competent scholars.

It seems to me, then, that the criticism is directed against the variations introduced into three metres, the Choriambic, the Glyconic, and the Paeonic; variations, it should be remembered, which would be considered defects by those only who would restrict the tragic choruses to austere and simple metrical forms. Variations of this kind are freely admitted in the lyric metres of comedy.

The seven passages which Aristophanes selects are as follows. The letters C, G, and P placed against some of the lines are intended to assist the reader in ascertaining the character and locality of the supposed defect.

(1)	Р.	άλκυόνες, αί παρ' ἀενάοις 1 θαλάσ-
		-σης κύμασι στωμύλλετε,
		τέγγουσαι νοτίοις πτερῶν
	С.	ρανίσι χρόα δροσιζόμεναι.
(2)		αί θ' ύπωρόφιοι κατὰ γωνίας
		είειειειειειλίσσετε δακτύλοις φάλαγγες
	Ρ.	ίστότονα πηνίσματα.
(3)	С,	κερκίδος αοιδοῦ μελέτας.
(4)	G.	ίν ό φίλαυλος έπαλλε δελ-
. ,		φìs πρώραις κυανεμβόλοις.
(5)	С.	μαντεία καὶ σταδίους.
(6)		οινάνθας γάνος άμπέλου,
	С.	βότρυος έλικα παυσίπονον.
(7)	G.	περίβαλλ', & τέκνον, ὼλένας.

¹ The first syllable in *dενάοιs* is here short, as in *dείνων* Frogs 146.

Let us consider the variations which Euripides has in these passages introduced into

(A) The Choriambic Dimeter.

The pure choriambic dimeter of course consists of two choriambs,

As a specimen of this metre, Gaisford, in his notes to Hephaestion, cites the following passage from the Bacchae :---

> τὸν Βρόμιον τὸν Σεμέλας τὸν παρὰ καλλιστεφάνοις εὐφροσύναις δαίμονα πρῶτον μακάρων δς τάδ' ἔχει (375–378).

But the metre is rarely found in this pure form ¹. As a rule, an iambic dipody is substituted for one of the two choriambs; thus

(a) $\simeq - | \circ - | - \circ \circ - |$ or (b) $- \circ \circ - | \circ - | \circ - |$ $v \hat{v} v \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \delta v \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ $y \nu \mu v a \sigma l o v \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} .- Wasps 526, 527.$

This is the ordinary and regular metre. Let us see how Euripides varies it. Four instances are given, in each of which the choriamb concludes the line, as in form (a) supra.

In passage (1), line 4, and also in passage (6), line 2, each iamb is changed into a tribrach, pavíor $\chi p \circ a$ door- and $\beta \circ \tau p \circ s \in \lambda_{LKA}$.

In passage (3) the first iamb is changed into a dactyl, $\kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa\delta$ os.

In passage (5) the last syllable of the iambic dipody is omitted, $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i a$.

(B) The Glyconic Metre.

A glyconic line may be best described as consisting of a ² choriamb,

¹ Τὸ χοριαμβικὸν συντίθεται μέν καὶ καθαρὸν, συντίθεται δὲ καὶ ἐπίμικτον πρὸς τὰς ἰαμβικάς.—Hephaestion, chap. ix ad init.

² Terentianus Maurus (iv. 2606) describes the metre as choriambic.

Carmen Pierides dabunt

Hoc metrum choriambicum est.

xxxii

preceded by a dissyllabic base (of any quantity), and followed by a single iamb

22 - UU - U-

Hephaestion (chap. x) gives the following example :---

κάπρος ήνίχ' ό μαινόλης	when that terribly-raging boar
όδόντι σκυλακοκτόνω	slew with mastiff-destroying tusk
Κύπριδος θάλος ὤλεσεν.	Aphrodite's delight and joy.

The metre is very common in both Greek and ¹ Roman poetry; and in the passages we are now considering there are three unimpeachable glyconic lines, viz.

(1) line 3. τέγγουσαι νοτίοις πτερών.

(4) line 2. -φις πρώραις κυανεμβόλοις.

(6) line 1. οἰνάνθας γάνος ἀμπέλου.

But there are two other lines in which the incriminated irregularities may be discovered.

In passage (4), line 1, the base is changed into a tribach, $i\nu$ $\delta \phi i\lambda$ -.

In passage (7) it becomes an anapaest $\pi\epsilon\rho\betaa\lambda\lambda$.

(C) The Paeonic Metre.

This metre, I may observe in passing, is the favourite metre of Aristophanes in his earlier comedies.

A paeonic line, as a rule, ends with a cretic foot, $-\cup \cup \cup |-\cup -|$

In passage (1), line 1, Euripides makes it end with an iambic dipody, -váois $\theta a \lambda a \sigma$ -|.

For take away, he says, the base and the iamb, which he considers mere excrescences, and then

Solum Pierides manet, Quod dicunt Choriambicum.

¹ As in the oft-quoted lines of Seneca :--

Regem non faciunt opes; Non vestis Tyriae color: Non frontis nota regiae: Non auro nitidae fores. Rex est, qui metuit nihil; Rex est, qui cupiet nihil. Hoc regnum sibi quisque dat.—Thyestes 344-347, 388-390.

FROGS

xxxiii

Here there are two paeons. Passage (2), line 3, is exactly the same, except that it has only one paeon.

We thus see that in every one of the seven selected passages there is at least one deviation from the regular metre.

This then is the sum of the metrical contest. The charge which Euripides brings against Aeschylus is that he habitually introduced into his odes the greater part of an Homeric hexameter. The charge which Aeschylus brings against Euripides is that he habitually introduced variations into the solemn and carefully regulated metres of ancient tragedy. In each case the charge is fully proven; but in each case, whether the peculiarity charged was really a defect or a merit, is a question on which opinions might naturally be expected to differ.

But though the metrical contest is now concluded, Aeschylus will not part with the general question of tragic odes, without giving a caricature of the Monody, or Lyrical Monologue, which was a special feature of the plays of Euripides. Here we find all the splendour of mythical imagery thrown around, not some great and heroic event, but a simple and homely incident of everyday life. A poor spinning-girl has lost her domestic cock, and wishes to search the cottage of her neighbour Glyce, whom she suspects of stealing it. That is all. But it is sung in strains which might befit a falling dynasty or some tremendous catastrophe of nations. The girl has seen an awful vision of the night, a terrible portent, which "shakes her breast with vague alarms." She describes it in really blood-curdling terms. What can it mean, this vision from the unseen world? What soul-thrilling calamity can it portend? It is like the vision of Clytaemnestra in the Choephoroe, or the vision of Atossa in the Persae. And, like a tragedy queen, the girl bids her maidens draw from the running water, to wash away the evil omens Suddenly the true interpretation of the dream flashes of her dream. GLYCE HAS STOLEN HER COCK. upon her. This, nothing less than this, is the catastrophe which the terrible dream portends. Alas for the overwhelming calamity which has fallen upon her unawares ! But soon

xxxiv

her sorrow changes into wrath. She will go forth and search the cottage of Glyce. And she calls upon Artemis the huntress to come with her supernatural hounds to trace the missing cockerel, and upon Hecate of the moon to pour her brightest rays into the darksome recesses of her neighbour's house.

And in good truth, however broad the caricature, the Monody does really touch the one striking and inevitable blot of the Euripidean drama. The poet's aim was to paint men and women as they really were, as he saw them around him in everyday life; whilst the inflexible rules of the Attic stage compelled him to select his characters from amongst the ¹ mighty personages of legendary and heroic times. This was no hardship to Aeschylus, whose mind was cast in the Homeric mould, and whose Agamemnon, for instance, was not an Athenian of the Peloponnesian War, but the very king of men, whom Homer sang. But the more completely Euripides succeeded in portraying the smartness and loquacity of contemporary life, the less were his characters fit to wear the armour, and speak the language, of demigods and heroes.

So strangely are the commentators fascinated with the idea of a "cento," that there are some who even in this Monody would fain descry a "cento" of Euripidean passages. No suggestion can be further from the truth. It is as original as anything in Aristophanes: though in composing a parody of a Euripidean monologue he would of course

¹ "The drama of Euripides, if we look at the essential parts of it and neglect the accidental, is concerned wholly with the life which he actually saw about him : and it ought, in the nature of things, to have dealt nominally, as well as actually, with common personages and ordinary incidents. Half the criticisms of Aristophanes and of many since would cease to apply, if the plays were furnished with a new set of *dramatis personae*, fictitious names without any traditional associations. And it is amazing with what facility this could be done, how slight is the connexion between a play of Euripides and the old-world legend which serves for the scaffolding. . . There is not a single play of Sophocles which could be subjected to such a process without utter dissolution : and, as to Aeschylus, thevery thought seems a profanity. The legends of mythology are the very warp and substance of their compositions : they are, for the most part, the mere frame of that of Euripides, and a frame too often imperfectly suited to the texture."— Dr. Verrall in the Universal Review, September, 1889.

IV. THE WEIGHT OF THEIR VERSES.

Which of the competitors wrote the weightiest verses? This is the last subject for discussion : and to determine it by weighing their verses in a pair of scales is of course to present in a merely laughable form a topic rather minute in itself, but perhaps not altogether unworthy of more serious consideration.

With this the literary competition concludes: and all at once, by a transformation scene as sudden and complete as that which brought the literary competition on the stage, we find ourselves retransferred to the earlier aspect of the play. The question now becomes, not which of the two is the better tragedian and shall occupy the Chair of Tragedy in the world below, but which of them can give the wiser political counsel to the state, and shall reascend with Dionysus to the world above. We have already, in the earlier part of this Introduction, touched upon the counsel which Aristophanes, through the lips of Aeschylus and the favourable verdict of Dionysus, now tenders to the assembled Athenians, viz. that Alcibiades shall be recalled, and the whole wealth of the state expended upon the fleet. And although we know, on the great authority of Dicaearchus, that it was to the advice given in the Parabasis that the play owed its exceptional favour, yet it is impossible to doubt that such advice was regarded not as a mere isolated event, but as the crown and culmination of that noble and exalted 1 patriotism

¹ "The genius of Aristophanes," says Bp. Thirlwall, "wonderful as it is, is less admirable than the use which he made of it. He never ceased to exert his matchless powers in endeavours to counteract, to remedy, or to abate, the evils which he observed. He seems to have neglected no opportunity of giving wholesome advice in that which he judged the most efficacious form; and only took

xxxvi

which breathed through the entire comedy, and indeed had sustained the poet throughout the whole of his career. This was probably the last counsel which he ever had an opportunity of offering to Imperial Athens.

The plays which contended with the Frogs for the prize were the "Muses" of Phrynichus, which was placed second, and the "Cleophon" of Plato, which was placed last.

The poets of the Old Comedy mostly dealt with subjects which were, at the moment, attracting the attention of the public: and it is therefore natural that occasionally the same topics should be introduced, and the same persons assailed, in more than one of the comedies exhibited at the same Dionysia. We know that when the Peace was exhibited the tragedian Melanthius was held up to ridicule in each of the three competing comedies. The Birds, and the $Mov \delta \tau \rho \sigma \pi os$ of Phrynichus, were produced at the same festival, and in each of them allusions were made to Execestides, Syracosius, and the military contrivances of Nicias. And it seems that each comedy which competed with the Frogs concurred in some part of its criticism. It is probable that the "Muses" of Phrynichus was concerned with the poet Euripides; it is certain that the "Cleophon" of Plato was a satire on that pernicious demagogue who is more than once attacked in the comedy before us.

I have already ¹ intimated my dissent from Meineke's theory that the "Muses" contained a poetical contest between Euripides and Sophocles, similar to that contained in the Frogs between Euripides and Aeschylus; and my belief that if the play dealt with Euripides at all, it treated him as a culprit on his trial, for his offences against the art of tragedy. Apart from the shortness of the time which had elapsed since the death

advantage of his theatrical privilege to attack prevailing abuses, and to rouse contempt and indignation against the follies and vices which appeared to him most intimately connected with the worst calamities and dangers of the times. The patriotism of Aristophanes was honest, bold, and generally wise."—History of Greece, chap. xxxii.

¹ In a note on Wasps 987. See Meineke's Historia Critica, p. 157, and Fragm. Com. Graec. ii. 593.

of Sophocles, and the intrinsic improbability that the two poets should have framed their plays on such precisely similar lines, it seems to me that the very fragment which Meineke adduces in support of his theory is really decisive against it.

> 'Iδοὺ, δέχου τὴν ψῆφον' ὁ καδίσκος δέ σοι ὁ μὲν ἀπολύων οὖτος, ὁ δ' ἀπολλὺς ὁδί. Photius, s. v. καδίσκος. Lo, here the vote, and there the verdict-urns, This the condemning, that the absolving one.

Is it not clear that this is the case of a prisoner on his trial, and not of two rival poets contending for victory? The Muses were probably the Chorus of the play, and were accusing Euripides before Dionysus, or some other judge, of having lowered the standard of tragedy. In the "Muses," as in the Frogs, there is an allusion to the very recent death of Sophocles:—

Μάκαρ Σοφοκλέης, δς πολύν χρόνον βιούς άπέθανεν, εὐδαίμων ἀνὴρ καὶ δεξιός πολλὰς ποιήσας καὶ καλὰς τραγωδίας, καλῶς ἐτελεύτησ', οὐδὲν ὑπομείνας κακόν.

The speaker would seem to be contrasting the peaceful passing away of Sophocles from old age with the cruel death of Euripides who, we are told, was torn to pieces in Macedonia by a pack of savage dogs.

Cleophon doubtless owes to his outrageous folly, a few months before, the distinction of being immortalized at this festival by both Aristophanes and Plato. After the battle of Arginusae, Athens had her last chance of emerging in safety from the Peloponnesian War. The Lacedaemonians offered to evacuate Deceleia and conclude a general peace, on the terms that each side should retain what it then held. The wiser citizens were naturally anxious to embrace the offer, but the ¹ populace,

¹ Τὸ δὲ πλῆθος οἰχ ὑπήκουσεν, ἐξαπατηθέντες ὑπὸ Κλεοφῶντος, ὃς ἐκώλυσε γενέσθαι τὴν εἰρήνην, ἐλθὼν εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν μεθύων καὶ θώρακα ἐνδεδυκώς.—Aristotle's Polity of Athens, chap. xxxiv. Τὸ πλῆθος is equivalent to ὁ δῆμος: it does not mean, as Mr. Kenyon translates it, "the majority." Κλεοφῶν δὲ ὁ λυροποιὸς, παρεγγραφεὶς αἰσχρῶς πολίτης, καὶ διεφθαρκὼς νομῆ χρημάτων τὸν δῆμον, ἀποκόψειν ἦπείλει μαχαίρα τὸν τράχηλον, εἴ τις εἰρήνης μνησθήσεται.—Aeschines, de F. L. 80.

xxxviii

ł

inflamed by the frenzy of Cleophon, rejected it altogether. On that occasion, according to Aristotle, he appeared in the assembly tipsy and wearing a military breastplate. And Aeschines adds that he threatened to chop off the head of any one who dared even to speak of peace. To such a depth of degradation had the "leadership of the Demus," held by Pericles at the commencement of the war, descended before its conclusion. It is in reference to this disastrous policy that Aristophanes bids Cleophon, if he wants fighting, go back to his ¹ native country and fight there. By his "native country" he means Thrace, from which barbarian land he was said, on his mother's side, to derive his origin. And Plato ² also, in *his* comedy, described him as a Thracian, and introduced his mother, speaking a barbarian dialect. It is said by the Scholiast on Euripides that the poet intended the following lines of the Orestes to be a description of Cleophon :—

'Ανήρ τις άθυρόγλωσσος, ἰσχύων θράσει, 'Αργεῖος, οὐκ 'Αργεῖος, ἠναγκασμένος⁸, θορύβω τε πίσυνος κἀμαθεῖ παρρησία, πιθανὸς ἔτ' ἀστοὺς περιβαλεῖν κακῷ τινι.—Orestes 894-897.

The Orestes was exhibited three years before the Frogs, but we know that this ignoble demagogue had retained for many years⁴ the control of all the affairs of the state.

Several excellent translations of the Frogs in English verse have been published; but hardly any of them give the play in its entirety. The

¹ See the last line of the Frogs. And as to his Thracian origin see Frogs 681.

² Κλεοφών στρατηγός τών 'Αθηναίων. καὶ εἰς τοῦτον τὸν δημαγωγὸν ὅλον δρᾶμα φέρεται Πλάτωνος, καὶ ἐπιγράφεται ὁμωνύμως αὐτῷ Κλεοφῶν. τοῦτον δὲ κωμῷδεί ὡς ξένον καὶ ἀμαθῆ καὶ φλύαρον καὶ δυσγενῆ. Θρᾶκα γὰρ αὐτὸν ἔλεγεν. ἀντεποιεῖτο δὲ τῶν πρωτείων τῆς πόλεως.—Scholiast on Frogs 679.

And two lines later, on the words Θρηκία χελιδών, the Scholiast says "Ινα διαβάλλη αὐτὸν ὡς βάρβαρον, κωμφδεῖται δὲ ὡς υἰὸς Θράσσης. οὖτος δὲ ἦν ὁ καλούμενος ΚλεοΦῶν ὁ λυροποιός. καὶ Πλάτων ἐν ΚλεοΦῶντι δράματι βαρβαρίζουσαν πρὸς αὐτὸν πεποίηκε τὴν μητέρα, καὶ αὐτὴ δὲ Θρậσσα ἐλέγετο. And again, σκώπτει δὲ αὐτὸν ὡς Θρậκα.

³ It is difficult to see what ήναγκασμένος can mean. Possibly we should read ἀλλ' ήκασμένος.

⁴ Κλεοφῶντα πάντες ἴστε, ὅτι πολλὰ ἔτη διεχείρισε τὰ τῆς πόλεως πάντα.- Lysias, In the matter of the goods of Aristophanes, 51.

most notable are those by Charles Dunster, A.D. 1785; the Right Honourable John Hookham Frere, A.D. 1839; Sir Charles Cavendish Clifford, A.D. 1848; Leonard Hampson Rudd, A.D. 1867; the Rev. Alfred Davies Cope, A.D. 1895; and the Rev. Edward Wynn Huntingford, A.D. 1900.

I think that I have made only two alterations of any importance in the text, and they are in the admittedly corrupt lines 1028 and 1301.

EASTWOOD, STRAWBERRY HILL, October, 1901.

The following passages are extracted from "The Growth and Influence of Classical Greek Poetry. Lectures delivered in 1892 on the Percy Turnbull Memorial Foundation in the Johns Hopkins University ' by R. C. Jebb, Litt.D. M.P. Regius Professor of Greek and Fellow of Trinity College in the University of Cambridge. London: Macmillan and Co. and New York, 1893." I cordially thank their distinguished author for allowing me to insert them here :--

"In reading the Frogs of Aristophanes, written fifty years after the death of Aeschylus, we see his place in Athenian memory. That comedy is an inestimable document, of which the historical value is not impaired by the free play of humour and of fancy; it is nearer, both in time and in spirit, to the age of Aeschylus, and is far more instructive, than any other document that we possess. There we catch an echo of the sweet lyrics of Phrynichus—of those 'native wood-notes wild' which he had warbled as if the birds had taught him—a music dying away in the distance of that century's earliest years—the lyrics of which elderly men had heard their fathers speak with delight. And there, too, rises before us a living image of the majestic poet who had come after Phrynichus, the poet who, first of the Hellenes, had built up a stately diction for Tragedy, and also invested it with external grandeur; the poet who had described the battle of Salamis as he had seen it; whose lofty verse had been inspired by the wish to nourish the minds of his fellow-citizens with ennobling ideals, to make them good men and true, worthy of their fathers and their city; the poet to whom many an Athenian, sick at heart with the decay of patriotism and with the presage of worse to come, looked back, amidst regret for the recent loss of Sophocles and Euripides, as to one who had been not only the creator of the Attic drama, but also in his own person an embodiment of that manly and victorious Athens which was for ever passing away." Pp. 184, 185.

"The wit of Aristophanes often packs a great deal of sound criticism into a few words. His Euripides says that, when he received Tragedy from Aeschylus, it was plethoric, swollen, and heavy. He treated it for this malady, giving it decoctions which reduced it to a leaner but more healthy state. Then he proceeded to feed it up again, with such a stimulating diet as monodies. There is a biting truth in this mockery. Euripides had to apply the principle of compensation. The heroic had to be replaced by the sensational.

In attempting to estimate the work of Euripides, we must indeed guard against allowing too much weight to the verdict of Attic Comedy; but neither can we ignore it. It is necessary to apprehend the point of view from which this contemporary satire assailed him, and the grounds on which it based its unfavourable judgement. If we then proceed to modify that judgement in the light of a larger survey, we shall do so with less fear of erring through modern misconception.

The hostility of Aristophanes to Euripides was certainly bitter; nor can it surprise us, if he believed Euripides to have done all the mischief with which he charges him. But Aristophanes was not the only comic poet who attacked Euripides. There was a deeper reason for this than any individual or personal sentiment. Attic Comedy had a natural quarrel with the innovator in Tragedy, and the ground of this lay in its own history.

Sicily is one of two regions in which the origin of Comedy is to be sought; the other is Athens. The Dorians, both in Sicily and in Greece Proper, early showed a bent towards farcical humour; in the case of the Siceliots, there may have been some Italic influences at work, since it has always been an Italic gift to seize those traits of life and character which suit farce and burlesque. At the courts of the Sicilian princes such

entertainments were welcome. The Dorian Epicharmus, from the Sicilian Megara, was the first who developed the ruder farce into a species of dramatic poetry. This was done at Syracuse, where the tragic poets Phrynichus and Aeschylus had been the guests of Hieron; and Attic Tragedy may have suggested the general idea of the form which Epicharmus adopted, though he does not seem to have used a Chorus. Athens, during the same period-the first half of the fifth century B.C.developed a comic drama from a different source. At the Dionysia, when the people were assembled to worship the god and to see tragedy, the merry procession called a comus had become a recognized feature of the festival. It was at first a voluntary and unofficial affair. One or more troops of men dressed themselves up in mummers' costume, and marched into the sacred precinct to the music of the flute. They then sang a song in honour of Dionysus ; and one of their number addressed the audience in a humorous speech, turning on civic interests and on the topics of the day. The festal procession then withdrew again. The name Comedy, κωμφδία, originally denoted this 'Song of the Comus,' and was doubtless coined at Athens, on the analogy of tragoedia. About B. C. 465 the comus was adopted into the official programme of the festival: instead of being the voluntary work of private persons, it was now organized with aid from the State. The steps by which a dramatic performance was built up around the comus-song and speech can no longer be traced. But some five-and-thirty years later, at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War, Attic Comedy, as we know it, was mature. Tragedy naturally furnished the general model on which the new kind of drama was constructed. This is apparent in the limit placed on the number of actors; no extant play of Aristophanes requires more than three regular actors, allowance being made for small parts being taken by supernumeraries who were not required to be absolutely mute. But Comedy was connected with Tragedy by much more than this kinship of form. Comedy expressed the frolicsome side of that Dionysiac worship from which Tragedy took its birth. Religion, the religion of Dionysus, was the breath of life to Comedy, not less-perhaps even more-than to her grave sister. It was religion that authorized the riot of fancy which turns the world topsy-turvy, the jest upon all things Olympian or human, the unsparing personal satire. Let that popular religion once lose its hold, and then, though Tragedy might survive, Comedy, such as Aristophanes wrote, must lose its sacred

١.

privileges, and, with them, its reason for existing. By the first law of its being, the Old Comedy was the sworn foe of all things which could undermine the sway of Dionysus, the god who not only inspires the poet, but protects his liberties. And the nearer Tragedy stood to the original form which the Dionysiac cult had given to it, the closer was the kinship which Comedy felt with it. For this reason Aeschylus represents, even better than Sophocles, the form of Tragedy with which the muse of Aristophanes was in spiritual accord ; and Euripides represents everything which that muse abhors. Euripides, who dwarfs the heroic stature, and profanes heroic lips with the rhetoric of the ecclesia or the law-court ; Euripides, with his rationalism, his sophistry, his proclivity to new-fangled notions of every kind—here Comedy, with sure instinct, saw a dramatist who was using the Dionysia against the very faith to which that festival was devoted, and whose poetry was the subtle solvent by which Comedy and Tragedy alike were destined to perish.

It was a happy fortune that, before its short life came to an end, the essence of Attic Comedy was so perfectly expressed by the great satirist who was also a great poet. The genius of Aristophanes indeed transcends the form in which he worked; but it exhibits all the varied capabilities of that form. He can denounce a corrupt demagogue or an unworthy policy with a stinging scorn and a force of righteous indignation which make the poet almost forgotten in the patriot. He can use mockery with the lightest touch. But it is not in denunciation or in banter that his most exquisite faculty is revealed. It is rather in those lyric passages where he soars above everything that can move laughter or tears, and pours forth a strain of such free, sweet music and such ethereal fancy as it would be hard to match save in Shakespeare. A poet who united such gifts brought keen insight and fine taste to the task of the critic.

In reading the Frogs, we do not forget that it is a comedy, not a critical essay. And we allow for the bias against Euripides. But no careful student of the play can fail to admire how Aristophanes seizes the essential points in the controversy between the two schools of Tragedy. When Aeschylus has said that a poet ought to edify, Euripides rejoins (in effect), 'Are you edifying when you indulge in dark grandiloquence, instead of explaining yourself in the language of ordinary humanity?' Now observe the rejoinder of Aeschylus. He replies, 'Great sentiments and great thoughts are suitably clothed in stately words. Besides, it is natural

that the demigods (rows $\eta\mu\iota\theta\epsilonous$) should have grandeur of words; for their clothes are much grander than ours. I exhibited all this properly—and you have utterly spoiled it.' Here Aristophanes has put the true issue in a simple form. Aeschylus is right in vindicating his own style, and condemning his rival's, by an appeal to the nature of his subject-matter. Heroes and demigods ought not to speak like ordinary men. He is right, too, when he enforces his point by referring to the stately costume which he had devised for Tragedy. This was a visible symbol of the limit set to realism.

When Aristophanes passes from the ground of art to that of ethics, the justice of his criticism may be less evident to moderns, but here also he is substantially right from the Athenian point of view. His Aeschylus complains that Euripides had sapped the springs of civic manliness, of patriotism, and even of morality. It is true that Euripides, ·as a dramatic poet, had contributed to tendencies setting in that direction. Homer had been regarded by the Greeks as their greatest teacher, because the heroes were the noblest ideals of human life which they possessed. Aeschylus and Sophocles, in their different ways, had preserved the Homeric spirit. If the heroes once ceased to be ideals of human life, the ordinary Greek of the fifth century had no others. To depose the heroes from their elevation above commonplace humanity was also to destroy an indispensable link between god and man in the popular religion. But that religion was at the root of the Greek citizen's loyalty to the city.

į

In the smaller details of his polemic against Euripides, the comic poet is sometimes acute and just, sometimes excessively unfair. We are not here concerned with such details. The broad facts which claim our attention are simply these. Attic Comedy, as such, was the natural foe of a tragic poet like Euripides. Aristophanes clearly understood the artistic limits proper to Attic Tragedy. He clearly saw where and how Euripides had transgressed them; he also saw that this error of Euripides in art was, for the Athens of his day, inseparable from a bad moral influence. And Aristophanes can sum up his judgement by saying that Euripides, in pursuing new refinements, had abandoned the greatest things ($r\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a$) of the Tragic Art—as Athens had known it." Pp. 225-233.

(xlv)

I.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ ¹.

Διόνυσός έστι μετὰ θεράποντος Ξανθίου κατὰ Εύριπίδου πόθον είς Αιδου κατιών· έχει δὲ λεοντῆν καὶ ῥόπαλον πρὸς τὸ τοῖς ἐντυγχάνουσιν ἕκπληξιν παρέχειν. έλθών δὲ ώς τὸν Ἡρακλέα πρότερον, ίνα έξετάση τὰ κατὰ τὰς όδοὺς, ή καὶ αὐτὸς ἐπὶ τὸν Κέρβερον ὤχετο, και όλίγα άλλα περί των τραγικών τούτω διαλεχθεις όρμαται πρός τό προκείμενον. έπει δε πρός τη Άχερουσία λίμνη γίνεται, ό μεν Ξανθίας, διὰ τὸ μὴ συννεναυμαχηκέναι τὴν περὶ Ἀργινούσας ναυμαχίαν, ύπο τοῦ Χάρωνος οὐκ ἀναληφθεὶς πεζη τὴν λίμνην κύκλω περιέρχεται². ό δε Διόνυσος δούς διώβολον 3 περαιοῦται, προσπαίζων άμα τοις κατά τον πόρον άδουσι βατράχοις και γελωτοποιών. μετα ταυτα έν Άιδου των πραγμάτων ήδη χειριζομένων οί τε μύσται χορεύοντες έν τω προφανεί και τον Ίακχον άδοντες έν χορού σχήματι καθορώνται, ό τε Διόνυσος μετά τοῦ θεράποντος εἰς ταυτόν ἔρχεται τούτοις. τῶν δὲ προηδικημένων ύπο 'Ηρακλέους προσπλεκομένων τῷ Διονύσφ διὰ την έκ της σκευής άγνοιαν, μέχρι μέν τινος ούκ άγελοίως χειμάζονται, είτα

¹ The first Argument is found in R. V. P. M.; the second in R. V. M. P³; the third in M. and P³. All three are given by Aldus and Fracini, but Gormont gave the first two only: and thenceforward the practice has varied, some giving the three, and others the two; and some only the metrical Argument. Those who give all three usually add from M. a very stupid and worthless article entitled $\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\delta\sigma$ $\tauo\hat{v}$ $\pi ap \delta\rho\tau\sigma s$ $\delta p \acute{a}$ - $\mu a \tau o s$. ² περιέρχεται Kuster, Brunck. This seems a necessary alteration. περιέρχεσθαι answers to the περιτρέχειν of Frogs 193. πορεύεται MSS. Bekker, Bergk, Meineke, and all recent editors. διέρχεται Aldus, Fracini, and the earlier editors.

³ δοὺς διώβυλον P. M. and the older editors. δύο ἰβολῶν R. V. Bekker and all recent editors. But this would be a very harsh and unusual expression.

(xlvi)

μέντοι γε ώς τὸν Πλούτωνα καὶ τὴν Περσέφατταν παραχθέντες ἀλεωρῆς τυγχάνουσιν. ἐν δὲ τούτῷ ὁ μὲν τῶν μυστῶν χορὸς περὶ τοῦ τὴν πολιτείαν ἐξισῶσαι καὶ τοὺς ἀτίμους ἐντίμους ποιῆσαι χἀτέρων τινῶν πρὸς τὴν Ἀθηναίων πόλιν διαλέγεται. τὰ δὲ λοιπὰ τοῦ δράματος μονόκωλα, ἄλλως δὲ τερπνὴν καὶ φιλόλογον λαμβάνει σύστασιν. παρεισάγεται γὰρ Εὐριπίδης Αἰσχύλῷ περὶ τῆς τραγικῆς διαφερόμενος, τὸ μὲν ἕμπροσθεν Αἰσχύλῷ παρὰ τῷ ¨Αιδη βραβεῖον ἔχοντος, τότε δὲ Εὐριπίδου τῆς τιμῆς καὶ τοῦ τραγῷδικοῦ θρόνου ἀντιποιησαμένου. συστήσαντος δὲ τοῦ Πλούτωνος αὐτοῖς τὸν Διόνυσον διακούειν, ἐκάτερος αὐτοῖν λόγους πολλοὺς καὶ ποικίλους ποιεῖται, καὶ τέλος πάντα ἕλεγχον καὶ πᾶσαν βάσανον οὐκ ἀπιθάνως ἑκατέρου κατὰ τῆς θατέρου ποιήσεως προσαγαγόντος, κρίνας παρὰ προσδοκίαν ὁ Διόνυσος Αἰσχύλον νικᾶν, ἔχων αὐτὸν ὡς τοὺς ζῶντας ἀνέρχεται.

Τὸ δὲ δρâμα τῶν εἶ πάνυ καὶ φιλοπόνως πεποιημένων. ἐδιδάχθη ἐπὶ Καλλίου τοῦ μετὰ Ἀντιγένη διὰ Φιλωνίδου εἰς Λήναια. πρῶτος ἦν· Φρύνιχος δεύτερος Μούσαις· Πλάτων τρίτος Κλεοφῶντι. οὕτω δὲ ἐθαυμάσθη τὸ δρâμα διὰ τὴν ἐν αὐτῷ παράβασιν ὥστε καὶ ἀνεδιδάχθη, ὥς φησι Δικαίαρχος. οὐ δεδήλωται μὲν ὅπου ἐστὶν ἡ σκηνὴ, εὐλογώτατον δ' ἐν Θήβαις· καὶ γὰρ ὁ Διόνυσος ἐκεῖθεν καὶ πρὸς τὸν Ἡρακλέα ἀφικνεῖται Θηβαίον ὄντα.

II.

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ ΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΙΚΟΥ.

Μαθών παρ' 'Ηρακλέους Διόνυσος την όδον προς τους κατοιχομένους πορεύεται, λαβών το δέρμα και το σκύταλον, ἀναγαγεῖν ¹ θέλων Εὐριπίδην· λίμνην τε διέβαινεν κάτω, και τῶν βατράχων ἀνέκραγεν εὕφημος χορός.

¹ ἀναγαγείν Brunck and recent editors. ἀνάγειν MSS. vulgo.

(xlvii)

ἕπειτα μυστῶν ἐκδοχή. 1 Πλούτων δ' ἰδῶν ὡς Ἡρακλεῖ προσέκρουσε διὰ τὸν Κέρβερον. ὡς δ' ἀνεφάνῃ, τίθεται τραγῷδίας ἀγῶν, καὶ δὴ στεφανοῦταί γ' Αἰσχύλος. τοῦτον δ' ἄγει Διόνυσος εἰς φῶς, οὐχὶ μὰ Δί' Εὐριπίδην.

III.

ΘΩΜΑ ΤΟΥ ΜΑΓΙΣΤΡΟΥ.

Διόνυσος, Ε΄ριπίδου πόθω ληφθείς, και ούχ οδός τ' ών άλλως θεραπεῦσαι τὸν ἔρωτα, εἰς Ἅιδου κατελθεῖν ἠβουλήθη, ὅπως ἐκεῖ τούτω έντύχη· έπει δε της όδοῦ άπειρος ην, έγνω δείν εις Ηρακλέα πρόσθεν έλθεῖν. οὗτος γὰρ πάλαι, κελεύσαντος Εὐρυσθέως, Κερβέρου χάριν είς "Αιδου κατήει. έλθων δε και πυθόμενος περί της όδου, ήκουσε παρ' αύτοῦ ὅπως ἄρα δεῖ κατελθεῖν χαριεντισαμένου πρός αὐτὸν πρότερον. Διόνυσος δε και πριν απαντήσαι προς ήρακλέα, κατ' αυτόν έσκευάσθη, λεοντην ένδεδυμένος και ρόπαλον φέρων. ώς οὖν ήκουσε παρ' 'Ηρακλέους περὶ τῆς όδοῦ, μεθ' ἑαυτοῦ δοῦλόν τινα ἔχων Ξανθίαν, έχώρει πρός 'Αιδην. και πρώτον μέν έντύγχανει τη 'Αχερουσία λίμνη, και όρα έν αύτη τον Χάροντα μετα σκάφους, δι' οῦ τοὺς τεθνεῶτας είς "Αιδου ἐπέρα. καὶ ὁ μὲν Ξανθίας οὐκ ἐπέβη τοῦ σκάφους, διὰ τὸ μη την έν Αργινούσαις ναυμαχησαι μάχην, πεζή δε περιήει την λίμνην. Διόνυσος δε έπιβας και των έν αυτή βατράχων ακούσας μέλη παρὰ τὸν πλοῦν, διαπεραιοῦται καὶ αὖθις Ξανθία συγγίνεται. καὶ σὺν αὐτῷ πάλιν ἁψάμενος τῆς ὁδοῦ εὐρίσκει ἂ Ἡρακλῆς αὐτῷ προειρήκει δυσχερή τινα θεάματα, και τους μύστας παρ' αυτάς τάς πύλας τοῦ "Αιδου χορεύοντας. εἶτα ὡς Ἡρακλῆς εἰσελὼν καὶ μεταξὺ

¹ Πλούτων. This statement is so obviously an error that Brunck substitutes $\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{a}\pi\omega\nu$. But it is probably an

oversight on the part of the writer of the Argument.

(xlviii)

πολλών τούτφ συμβάντων παραγίνεται πρòs Πλούτωνα¹, καὶ ὅτου χάριν ἦκεν εἰπών ἔσχεν ὑπακούοντα Πλούτωνα, οὐχ ϊν' Εὐριπίδην ἀναγάγῃ, ἀλλ' ϊν', ἀγωνισαμένων Αἰσχύλου καὶ Εὐριπίδου, ὅστις τούτων ἄριστος τὰ εἰς τέχνην φανείη, τοῦτον αὐτὸς εἰληφώς ἀνενέγκῃ πρὸς βίον. τούτου δὲ γενομένου, καὶ κρείττονος ἀναφανέντος Αἰσχύλου, Διόνυσος τοῦτον λαβών ἀνῆλθε.

Τὸ δὲ δρâμα τῶν εὖ καὶ φιλοπόνως πεποιημένων. Ἐδιδάχθη δὲ ἐπὶ Καλλίου ἄρχοντος, τοῦ μετὰ ἘΑντιγένη. οὕτω δὲ ἐθαυμάσθη διὰ τὴν ἐν αὐτῷ Παράβασιν καθ ἡν διαλλάττει τοὺς ἐντίμους τοῖς ἀτίμοις καὶ τοὺς πολίτας τοῖς φυγάσιν, ὥστε καὶ ἀνεδιδάχθη, ὥς φησι Δικαίαρχος.

¹ All the eight words from the end of this first $\Pi \lambda o \dot{\imath} \tau \omega \nu a$ to the end of the second $\Pi \lambda o \dot{\imath} \tau \omega \nu a$ are omitted in **M**. and

the earlier editions, but are found in P⁸ and in all recent editions.

ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΑΜΑΤΟΣ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ

ΞΑΝΘΙΑΣ, οἰκέτης Διονύσου. ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΣ. ΗΡΑΚΛΗΣ. ΝΕΚΡΟΣ. ΧΑΡΩΝ. ΒΑΤΡΑΧΟΙ. ΧΟΡΟΣ ΜΥΣΤΩΝ. ΑΙΑΚΟΣ. ΘΕΡΑΠΑΙΝΑ ΠΕΡΣΕΦΟΝΗΣ. ΠΑΝΔΟΚΕΥΤΡΙΑΙ ΔΥΟ. ΕΥΡΙΠΙΔΗΣ. ΑΙΣΧΥΛΟΣ. ΠΛΟΥΤΩΝ.

In the MSS. the Frogs are described as $\beta a \tau p \Delta \chi \omega \nu \pi a \rho a \chi o p \eta \gamma \eta \mu a$, and so in most of the editions. But this is plainly an erroneous description.

ΒΑΤΡΑΧΟΙ

ΞΑ. Είπω τι τῶν εἰωθότων, ὦ δέσποτα,
ἐφ' οἶς ἀεἰ γελῶσιν οἱ θεώμενοι;
ΔΙ. νὴ τὸν Δί' ὅ τι βούλει γε, πλὴν πιέζομαι,
τοῦτο δὲ φύλαξαι· πάνυ γάρ ἐστ' ἤδη χολή.
ΞΑ. μηδ' ἕτερον ἀστεῖόν τι; ΔΙ. πλήν γ' ὡς θλίβομαι.
ΞΑ. τί δαί; τὸ πάνυ γέλοιον εἴπω; ΔΙ. νὴ Δία
θαρρῶν γε· μόνον ἐκεῖν' ὅπως μὴ 'ρεῖς, ΞΑ. τὸ τί;

The scene disclosed by the fall of the curtain represents no special locality. It might with propriety be entitled Onthe road to Hades. A house in the background is the residence of Heracles. Two travellers are seen entering on the stage, one riding on a donkey, the other walking by his side. The pedestrian is Dionysus, the patron deity of theatrical performances, who, in addition to the yellow robe and buskins which formed part of his ordinary attire, has assumed for the nonce the formidable club and lion's skin of Heracles. The rider is his slave Xanthias, who is carrying on a pole over his shoulder the $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \tau a$, rugs, wrappers and the like, a traveller's ordinary luggage. As they enter, Xanthias is inquiring whether he may employ the well-worn buffooneries whereby theatrical slaves and other burdenbearers were accustomed to excite the laughter of the audience. Dionysus prohibits four expressions only, πιέζομαι, θλίβομαι, χεζητιάω, and αποπαρδήσομαι. Xanthias complains that this exhausts the whole apparatus of humour, with which other comic poets (rivals of Aristophanes) were wont to endow their burden-bearers. We must not suppose that because Aristophanes satirizes his rivals for using these popular buffooneries, he did not use them himself when occasion arose. The Scholiast quotes one example from the second Thesmophoriazusae:

5

ώς διά γε τοῦτο τοὕπος οὐ δύναμαι φέρειν σκεύη τοσαῦτα καὶ τὸν ὦμον θλίβομαι.

THE FROGS

XANTHIAS. Shall I crack any of those old jokes, master, At which the audience never fail to laugh?

DIONYSUS. Aye, what you will, except I'm getting crushed: Fight shy of that: I'm sick of that already.

XAN. Nothing else smart? DIO. Aye, save my shoulder's aching.

XAN. Come now, that comical joke? DIO. With all my heart. Only be careful not to shift your pole,

And Bergler adds Knights 998 and Lysistrata 254, 314. And possibly one object of this very dialogue was to lend fresh piquancy to these well-worn jests when introduced by Xanthias, infra 20 and 30, in defiance of his master's prohibition.

4. $\chi o \lambda \eta$] For it (the $\pi \iota \epsilon \zeta o \mu a \iota$ joke) is by this time absolutely gall to me: that is, as bitter as gall; "felle amarior, non melle dulcior," says Fritzsche, referring to Horace's "Hoc iuvat, et melli est," Satires, ii. 6. 32 and (after Dindorf) to Phrynichus, Bekk. p. 73, 1, $\chi o \lambda \eta \ \epsilon \sigma \tau w$ olov $d\eta \partial \epsilon s$ και προσκορέs. 'Αριστοφάνηs. Dionysus has already had more than enough of this jest, and is heartily sick of it. The Scholiast's explanation, dwri $\tau o \hat{v} \pi o \lambda \hat{v}$. $\tau \delta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi o \lambda \hat{v}$, $\kappa \hat{a} \nu \tilde{\eta} \gamma \lambda v \kappa \hat{v}$, $\pi ov \eta \rho \hat{v}$, is perhaps inspired by the Epigram which Dr. Blaydes cites from the Anthology :

1

Πῶν τὸ περιττὸν ἄκαιρον, ἐπεὶ λόγος ἐστὶ παλαιὸς ὡς καὶ τοῦ μέλιτος τὸ πλέον ἐστὶ χολή.--Epigr. Anon. 447.

5. $\mu\eta\delta$ ' $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] Something must be supplied in both question and answer. In the former we must understand $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\pi\omega$, as in lines 1 and 6. Am I not to say any other choice witticism? The latter implies a general permission, like the $\nu\eta$ $\tau \delta \nu \Delta i' \delta \tau \iota \beta \delta v \lambda \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon$ of line 3, qualified only by the further exception $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma'$ $\delta s \theta \lambda i \beta \delta \mu a \iota$. The irresistibly funny jest, $\tau \delta \pi \dot{a} \nu \nu \gamma \epsilon \lambda \delta \iota \delta \nu$, of the next line is not disclosed in words, but was doubtless sufficiently indicated by the gestures of

ΔI.	μεταβαλλόμενος τάνάφορον ὅτι χεζητιậς.	
ΞA.	μηδ' ότι τοσοῦτον ἄχθος ἐπ' ἐμαυτῷ φέρων,	
	εἰ μὴ καθαιρήσει τις, ἀποπαρδήσομαι;	10
ΔI.	μη δηθ', ίκετεύω, πλήν γ' ὅταν μέλλω 'ξεμεῖν.	
ΞA.	τί δητ' έδει με ταῦτα τὰ σκεύη φέρειν,	
	εἴπερ ποιήσω μηδεν ῶνπερ Φρύνιχος	
	εἴωθε ποιεῖν καὶ Λύκις κΑμειψίας	
	τοῖς σκευοφοροῦσιν ἑκάστοτ' ἐν κωμφδία;	15
ΔI.	μή νυν ποιήσης· ώς έγὼ θεώμενος,	
	ὄταν τι τούτων τῶν σοφισμάτων ἴδω,	
	πλεῖν ἡ ἐνιαυτῷ πρεσβύτερος ἀπέρχομαι.	
ΞA.	ῶ τρισκακοδαίμων ἄρ' ὁ τράχηλος ούτοσὶ,	
	ὅτι θλίβεται μὲν, τὸ δὲ γέλοιον οὐκ ἐρεῖ.	20
ΔI .	έἶτ' οὐχ ὕβρις ταῦτ' ἐστὶ καὶ πολλὴ τρυφὴ,	
	őτ' ἐγὼ μὲν ὦν Διόνυσος, υίὸς Σταμνίου,	
	αύτος βαδίζω καὶ πονῶ, τοῦτον δ' ὀχῶ,	
	ίνα μὴ ταλαιπωροῖτο μηδ' ἄχθος φέροι ;	
$\Xi A.$	οὐ γὰρ φέρω 'γώ; $\Delta I. \pi \hat{\omega} s$ φέρειs γὰρ, ὅs γ' ὀχε $\hat{\iota}$;	25

Xanthias. Probably it was one of the vulgarities prohibited in the succeeding lines, since notwithstanding his master's apparent acquiescence, we find Xanthias complaining, infra 13, of not being allowed to crack any one of his jokes.

8. $d\nu d\phi o\rho o\nu$] A yoke, such as a dairyman in England still uses to carry the milking pails: being a wooden frame with a semicircular hollow in the middle for the bearer's neck. $\xi \dot{\nu} \lambda o\nu \ d\mu \phi i \kappa o \lambda o\nu$, $\epsilon \nu \ \delta \ r a \ \phi o \rho \tau i a \ \epsilon^2 a \rho \tau \eta \sigma a \tau \epsilon s \ \delta \ \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta \tau a i \ \beta a \sigma \tau \delta (s \sigma \tau u - Scholiast. \xi \dot{\nu} \lambda o\nu, \delta \ \tau o i s \ \delta \mu o v \sigma v \ \epsilon t \tau u \theta \epsilon \tau s, \ \epsilon^2 t \ a \dot{\nu} \tau o \tilde{\nu} \ \tau a \ \phi o \rho \tau i a \ \delta \epsilon \sigma - \mu o \tilde{\nu} \sigma v - Etym. Magn. The word is$ again found in Eccl. 833, where the Scholiast gives the same explanation as here.

13. $\Phi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu i \chi os \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] Phrynichus and Ameipsias were old rivals of Aristophanes. Ameipsias was a competitor in the famous contest of 423 B.C. : and although the prize was awarded to the Flagon of Cratinus, yet the Connos of Ameipsias was also placed before the Clouds of Aristophanes. Nine years later, the Birds of Aristophanes was sandwiched in between the Revellers of Ameipsias, which gained the prize, and the Solitary (δ Μονότροπος) of Phrynichus, which was placed last. In this very year Phrynichus was an unsuccess ful competitor, Aristophanes winnin

THE FROGS

	And— XAN. What? DIO. And vow that you've a belly-ache.
XAN.	May I not say I'm overburdened so
	That if none ease me, I must ease myself?
D10.	For mercy's sake, not till I'm going to vomit.
XAN.	What! must I bear these burdens, and not make
	One of the jokes Ameipsias and Lycis
	And Phrynichus, in every play they write,
	Put in the mouths of all their burden-bearers?
D10.	Don't make them; no! I tell you when I see
	Their plays, and hear those jokes, I come away
	More than a twelvemonth older than 1 went.
XAN.	O thrice unlucky neck of mine, which now
	Is getting crushed, yet must not crack its joke!
D10.	Now is not this fine pampered insolence
	When I myself, Dionysus, son of-Pipkin,
	Toil on afoot, and let this fellow ride,
	Taking no trouble, and no burden bearing?
XAN.	What, don't I bear? Dio. How can you when you're riding?

the prize with the Frogs, whilst Phrynichus took the second place with the Muses. Of Lycis we know nothing but the name.

15. τοῖς σκευοφοροῦσιν] For their burden-bearers. ποιήσω in line 13 is used of the actor who uttered the jokes, ποιείν in line 14 of the poet who composed them. "If I am not to say the things which Phrynichus and the others always in every comedy compose for their burden-bearers to say." ό δὲ νοῦς, says the Scholiast, εἴπερ ποιήσω μηδὲν ὧν οὖτοι εἰώθασι ποιεῖν τοῖς σκευηφοροῦσιν, ΐνα τὸ σκευοφοροῦσι σημαίνη πτῶσιν δοτικήν (casum dativum).

18. πλείν ή 'νιαυτώ] Throughout this

opening scene, and indeed generally throughout the play, Dionysus speaks rather as an Athenian critic than as the patron deity of theatrical performances. With the particular phrase in the text, Dobree compares Cymbeline, i. 2, "Thou heapest a year's age on me."

20. $\theta \lambda i \beta \epsilon \tau a i$] These restrictions are too much for Xanthias, who at once lets off one of the prohibited words.

22. υίδς Σταμνίου] Δέον εἰπεῖν υίδς Διὸς, Σταμνίου εἶπε παρ' ὑπόνοιαν, ἐπειδὴ ὁ οἶνος ἐν σταμνίω βάλλεται, ὁ δὲ Διόνυσος εἰς τὸν οἶνον ἀλληγορεῖται.—Scholiast. στάμνος is a wine jar. Α σταμνίον of Thasian wine is brought on the stage in the Lysistrata.

ΔI.	φέρων γε ταυτί. ΔΙ. τίνα τρόπον ; ΞΑ. βαρέως πάνυ. οὔκουν τὸ βάρος τοῦθ', ὃ σὺ φέρεις, οὕνος φέρει ; οὐ δῆθ' ὅ γ' ἔχω 'γὼ καὶ φέρω, μὰ τὸν Δί' οὔ.	
	πως γὰρ φέρεις, ὅς γ' αὐτὸς ὑφ' ἑτέρου φέρει;	
	ούκ οιδ'· ό δ' ώμος ούτοσι πιέζεται.	30
ΔΙ.	συ δ' ουν έπειδη τον όνον ου φής σ' ώφελείν,	
	έν τῷ μέρει σὺ τὸν ὄνον ἀράμενος φέρε.	
ΞA.	οἵμοι κακοδαίμων· τί γὰρ ἐγὼ οὐκ ἐναυμάχουν;	
	ή τάν σε κωκύειν αν έκέλευον μακρά.	
ΔI.	κατάβα, πανοῦργε. καὶ γὰρ ἐγγὺς τῆς θύρας	35
	ήδη βαδίζων είμι τησό, οι πρωτά με	
	έδει τραπέσθαι. παιδίον, παῖ, ἠμὶ, παῖ.	
HP.	τίς την θύραν ἐπάταξεν; ώς κενταυρικώς	
	ένήλαθ όστις· είπε μοι, τουτί τί ην;	
ΔI.	ό παίς. ΞΑ. τί έστιν; ΔΙ. οὐκ ἐνεθυμήθης; ΞΑ. τὸ τί;	40
	ώς σφόδρα μ' έδεισε. ΞΑ. νη Δία μη μαίνοιό γε.	

26. $\beta a \rho \epsilon \omega s \pi a \nu v$] Scorning the vulgar jokes with which theatrical slaves were accustomed to divert an Athenian audience, Dionysus, like the true Euripidean enthusiast which he presently avows himself to be, endeavours to engage his slave in a little sophistical controversy. It is the donkey, he argues, which is carrying both Xanthias and the luggage; and if it be the donkey which is carrying the luggage, it cannot be Xanthias who is carrying it. Xanthias, though unable to refute the argument, is nevertheless perfectly satisfied by the evidence of his senses, or in other words by the aching of his shoulder, that he himself is somehow or other carrying the luggage; and when Dionysus repeats the question, "How are you carrying it?" τίνα τρόπου $[\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i s \ \delta s \ \gamma' \ \delta \chi \epsilon i ;],$ he evades the question by replying $\beta_{a\rho\epsilon\omega s} \phi_{\epsilon\rho\omega}$, an expression which of course, like the Latin graviter fero, merely expresses his mental attitude towards the business (infra 803, Wasps 158, and passim) and has nothing whatever to do with the physical problem with which Dionysus is perplexing him. In endeavouring to emphasize this play of words in the translation, I have been unable to preserve that which follows in the next line, where Dionysus from the adverb Bapéws (in the sense of mental heaviness) deduces the substantive Bágos in the sense of physical heaviness. Compare Xenophon's Memorabilia, ii.7, where Socrates, seeing that Aristarchus is out of spirits, 'says έοικας, & 'Αρίσταρχε, βαρέως φέρειν τι' χρή δε του βάρους μεταδιδόναι

THE FROGS

- XAN. Why, I bear these. DIO. How? XAN. Most unwillingly.
- DIO. Does not the donkey bear the load you're bearing?
- XAN. Not what I bear myself: by Zeus, not he.
- Dio. How can you bear, when you are borne yourself?
- XAN. Don't know : but anyhow my shoulder's aching.
- DIO. Then since you say the donkey helps you not, You lift him up and carry him in turn.
- XAN. O hang it all ! why didn't I fight at sea ? You should have smarted bitterly for this.
- DIO. Get down, you rascal; I've been trudging on Till now I've reached the portal, where I'm going First to turn in. Boy ! Boy ! I say there, Boy !
- HERACLES. Who banged the door? How like a prancing Centaur He drove against it ! Mercy o' me, what's this?
- DIO. Boy. XAN. Yes. DIO. Did you observe? XAN. What? DIO. How alarmed He is. XAN. Aye truly, lest you've lost your wits.

τοῖς φίλοις ẳσως γὰρ ἄν τί σε καὶ ἡμεῖς κουφίσαιμεν.

30. $\pi i \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota$] Xanthias cannot solve the puzzle, but he can, and does, avenge himself by producing another of the prohibited jokes.

33. *ivavµáχουν*] Why did not I too fight at Arginusae? In which case he would have received enfranchisement, and been as good a man as his master. As to the enfranchisement of the slaves who fought in that great battle, see the remarks in the Introduction, and the notes on 191 infra and on the Epirrhema.

35. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha$, $\pi \alpha \nu o \hat{\nu} \rho \gamma \epsilon$] Xanthias dismounts, and the donkey disappears from the play.

38. τίs τὴν θύραν] Heracles, aroused

by the violence with which Dionysus is battering at his door, a violence which reminds him of his old antagonists the Centaurs, is heard talking to himself within. When at last he opens the door, and sees no redoubtable athlete, but only the comical little figure of Dionysus, he pauses for a moment in dumb amazement (which the other mistakes for alarm), and finally breaks out into uncontrollable fits of Homeric laughter.

41. $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\mu a(i\nu_{010})$ The words $\dot{\omega}s \sigma\phi\delta\delta\rho a$ $\mu' \tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon$ are employed by Dionysus in their ordinary sense, How terribly afraid of me he was! But in the sentence as completed by Xanthias, $\sigma\phi\delta\delta\rho a \sigma' \tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\mu a(i\nu_{010})$, the accusative has. lost its meaning and (by a very common Greek

HP.	ού τοι μὰ τὴν Δήμητρα δύναμαι μὴ γελάν	
	καίτοι δάκνω γ' έμαυτόν· άλλ' όμως γελῶ.	
ΔΙ.	ὦ δαιμόνιε, πρόσελθε… δέομαι γάρ τί σου.	
HP.	άλλ' οὐχ οἶός τ' εἴμ' ἀποσοβῆσαι τὸν γέλων,	45
	όρων λεοντην έπι κροκωτώ κειμένην.	
	τίς ὁ νοῦς; τί κόθορνος καὶ ῥόπαλον ξυνηλθέτην;	
	ποι γής απεδήμεις; ΔΙ. επεβάτευον Κλεισθένει.	
HP.	κάναυμάχησας; ΔΙ. καὶ κατεδύσαμέν γε ναῦς	
	των πολεμίων η δώδεκ' η τρισκαίδεκα.	50

idiom) merely represents the nominative before the following verb, he was terribly afraid that you were out of your senses. So in Plutus 684, 5, when Cario is recounting to his mistress the scene in the Temple of Asclepius, and how he himself made for a pot of porridge which was standing near his pallet, "Miserable man," cries the lady, "were you not afraid of the God?" ταλάντατ' άνδρων, ούκ έδεδοίκεις τον θεόν ; "In troth was I," says Cario, "lest he should get to the porridge before I did," νή τούς θεούς έγωγε μη φθάσειέ με Έπι την χύτραν $\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu$, Cf. Clouds 493; St. Paul to the Galatians iv. 11. The idiom is often adopted by our old writers, "I see you what you are: you are too proud," Twelfth Night, i. 5; King Lear, i. 1, though probably they borrowed it from the New Testament phrase "I know thee what thou art," which again is merely a literal translation of the Greek.

42. $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho a$] The first oath in the play (except the common appeal to Zeus) strikes the keynote of the entire performance.

44. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon$] Dionysus speaks in a patronizing and kindly fashion, calculated to soothe the imagined tremors of Heracles.

46. επί κροκωτά] Διονυσιακόν φόρεμα ύ κροκωτός. έφόρει δὲ λεοντην, ίνα ή φοβερός ώς Ήρακλής Ήρακλέους γάρ φόρεμα ή $\lambda \epsilon_{0} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$. Scholiast. With the $\lambda \epsilon_{0} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ of course went the ρόπαλον : with the κροκωτός the κόθορνος. Warned perhaps by the difficulties which Dionysus encountered in the present play, Lucian's Menippus, when bound on a similar expedition (Necyom. 8), assumed, together with the $\lambda \epsilon o \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ of Heracles, the symbols of Orpheus and Odysseus, so that he might at his pleasure represent whichever of the three would from time to time be likely to obtain the most favourable reception.

48. έπεβάτευον Κλεισθένει] Παίζει. λέγεται γὰρ καὶ ἐπὶ νεὼς τὸ ἐπιβατεύειν, καὶ ἐπὶ συνουσίας κατά μεταφοράν των άλόγων ζώων, à ἐπιβαίνοντα συνουσιάζει.-Scholiast. ἐπεβάτευον would naturally mean "I went as an $\epsilon \pi i \beta \dot{a} \tau \eta s$ or marine on," and the audience would expect the name of a

- HER. O by Demeter, I can't choose but laugh. Biting my lips won't stop me. Ha! ha! ha!
- DIO. Pray you, come hither, I have need of you.

HER. I vow I can't help laughing, I can't help it.
A lion's hide upon a yellow silk,
A club and buskin ! What's it all about ?
Where were you going ? DIO. I was serving lately
Aboard the—Cleisthenes. HER. And fought? DIO. And sank
More than a dozen of the enemy's ships.

ship to follow, $\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\eta}$ Niky, "on the Victory," or the like : but the addition, παρά προσδοκίαν, of Κλεισθένει at once diverts the meaning of emisareveiv into the second and grosser alternative recognized by the Scholiast. Some have idly supposed that $K\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\eta$ s may have really been the name of a ship, which is of course impossible. All Athenian ships bore feminine names ; Schömann collects about 150, De nominibus navium, Opuscula, i. 301; though indeed a comic poet might suggest that Cleisthenes would not be disgualified by that restriction. But in disposing of this notion, Schömann himself seems to have fallen into an error of equal magnitude by attributing to the words $\epsilon \pi i \beta a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon i \nu K \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon i$ a signification which they cannot possibly bear, viz. τριηράρχω ώς επιβάτην προστε- $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \alpha i$, and indeed Dobree had anticipated him in this suggestion. But though a marine might rightly be described as an $\epsilon \pi i \beta \dot{a} \tau \eta s$ of this or that general, or possibly of this or that trierarch, he could not be said $\epsilon \pi \iota$ - $\beta_{a\tau\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu}$ $\tau_{\rho\mu\rho\delta\rho\chi\phi}$. The object of this suggestion is to find a "marine" allusion in the two words conjoined : but in truth that allusion, though renewed in the following verse, is for the moment destroyed by the unexpected addition of $K\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon'\nu\epsilon\iota$. Of Cleisthenes, whose vile and effeminate vices had been lashed by Aristophanes for (at least) twenty years, we shall hear again infra 422, and again in the same degraded character.

49. κάναυμάχησαs] And were you in the sea-battle? that is, in the battle of Arginusae? as Mitchell rightly interprets it, the verb vauµaxeiv being by itself sufficient, here as supra 33, to indicate the great vauuaxía which was at this moment in all minds. The query of Heracles diverts Dionysus from the tale he was intending to tell, into a romance about his own heroic achievements on that eventful day; though indeed, as more than seventy "of the enemy's ships" were destroyed at Arginusae, Dionysus is rather to be commended for his moderation in claiming only twelve or thirteen as his own share.

ΔΙ. νη τον Απόλλω. ΗΡ. κάτ' έγωγ' έξηγρόμην. HP. σφώ; ΔΙ. καὶ δῆτ' ἐπὶ τῆς νεὼς ἀναγιγνώσκοντί μοι την Ανδρομέδαν πρός έμαυτον έξαίφνης πόθος την καρδίαν ἐπάταξε πῶς οἴει σφόδρα; ΗΡ. πόθος; πόσος τις; ΔΙ. μικρός, ήλίκος Μόλων. 55 HP. γυναικός; ΔI . οὐ δητ'. HP. ἀλλὰ παιδός; ΔI . οὐδαμῶς. ΔΙ. άταταῖ. ΗΡ. ξυνεγένου τῷ Κλεισθένει; HP. $d\lambda\lambda' d\nu\delta\rho\deltas$; Δ1. μη σκώπτέ μ', ώδέλφ' ού γαρ άλλ' έχω κακώς. τοιούτος ίμερός με διαλυμαίνεται. HP. ποίός τις, ώδελφίδιον; ΔI . οὐκ ἕχω φράσαι. 60 όμως γε μέντοι σοι δι' αίνιγμων έρω. ήδη ποτ' έπεθύμησας έξαίφνης έτνους; ΗΡ. έτνους; βαβαιάξ, μυριάκις έν τῷ βίφ. Δ1. αρ' ἐκδιδάσκω τὸ σαφès, η 'τέρα φράσω;

51. $\sigma\phi\omega$;] He is referring, I think, to the pair before him, and not, as most commentators take it, to Dionysus and Cleisthenes. It is objected that Xanthias was not present at Arginusae, but neither was Dionysus. The whole thing is a dream, as Heracles intimates by his next observation, $\kappa_{i}^{a}\tau' \epsilon_{j}\omega\gamma' \epsilon_{j}^{c}\gamma\rho \delta\mu\eta\nu$, And then I awoke, and behold it was a dream. 'Hy $\epsilon\rho\theta\eta$ $\delta\epsilon \Phi a\rho a\omega$, $\kappa a i \tilde{\eta}\nu \epsilon \nu i \pi \nu i \nu \nu$, Gen. xli. 7. Here, to adopt Mr. Mitchell's words, it is a polite way of telling Dionysus that he has been romancing.

52. $\epsilon n i \tau \eta s$ vews $\delta va \gamma_l \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau_l$] This is a very pleasant and interesting incident, especially if we are at liberty to infer from it that Athenian soldiers, even on ship-board, did not forget their literary pursuits. It reminds us of those Athenian soldiers in Sicily who, a few years previously, had won the favour of their captors by singing songs and reciting passages from the tragedies of Euripides. (Plutarch, Nicias, chap. 29.) The Andromeda was accounted in old times one of the most pathetic and beautiful of all histragedies. Aristophanes draws largely upon it in the Thesmophoriazusae, to which the reader is referred. There Mnesilochus, tied to the plank, takes the part of the Princess exposed to the fury of the sea-monster, whilst Euripides first, as Echo, responds to his lamentations, and then, as Perseus, endeavours to deliver him out of the hand of his enemies. And see infra 105 and the note there.

55. Mόλων] Molon was a tragic actor of large stature (μεγαλόσωμος, Scholiast); and therefore "to be as little as Molon" means "not to be little at all," to be, in fact, of unusual magnitude. He acted a leading part in some of the tragedies of Euripides (Demosthenes, De Falsa Le-

10

- HER. You two? DIO. We two. HER. And then I awoke, and log!
- D10. There as, on deck, I'm reading to myself The Andromeda, a sudden pang of longing Shoots through my heart, you can't conceive how keenly.
- HER. How big a pang? DIO. A small one, Molon's size.
- HER. Caused by a woman? DIO. No. HER. A boy? DIO. No, no.
- HER. A man? DIO. Ah! ah! HER. Was it for Cleisthenes?
- DIO. Don't mock me, brother : on my life I am In a bad way : such fierce desire consumes me.
- HER. Aye, little brother ? how ? DIO. I can't describe it.But yet I'll tell you in a riddling way.Have you e'er felt a sudden lust for soup ?
- HER. Soup ! Zeus-a-mercy, yes, ten thousand times.
- DIO. Is the thing clear, or must I speak again?

gatione, p. 418, to which Fritzscherefers); and possibly, in the Andromeda, he represented the doomed Princess herself, in which character his great size would have been particularly conspicuous : a circumstance which would naturally account for his introduction here. According to the Scholiast, the grammarian Didymus supposed that, besides the large actor, there was also a little footpad of the name of Molon, and that the allusion here is to that little footpad. But this would be sheer nonsense : and it is to be feared that Didymus, misunderstanding the passage, evolved that little footpad out of his own imagination.

57. $d\tau a\tau a \hat{i}$] 'A $\tau a\tau a \hat{i}$, otherwise $d\tau \tau a\tau a \hat{i}$ and $la \tau \tau a\tau a \hat{i}$, is a cry extorted by a sharp pang, generally of pain, but occasionally of pleasure or desire. See infra 649 and the note there. Either way, it denotes here that Heracles has touched the sore, for it was in truth a $\pi \delta \theta_{0s} d\nu \delta \rho_{0s}$ (though not in the sense in which Heracles had used the words) that was consuming Dionysus. Heracles, still keeping to his own meaning of the question, inquires whether it has anything to do with his relations to Cleisthenes, as inferred from 48 supra: and is quite taken aback on discovering that it is merely a longing for "the sound of a voice that is still."

58. où $\gamma a \rho \ d\lambda \lambda'$] This is an emphatic affirmation, arrived at by excluding every possible alternative. It is an affirmative of the same class as the oùk $\epsilon \sigma \partial' \ \delta \pi \omega s \ oùk$ of 640 infra. Cf. infra 192, 498; Knights 1205; Clouds 232; Eccl. 386; Eur. Iph. in Taur. 1005; Bacchae 785. See Jens on Lucian's Vitarum Auctio, 6.

64. έτέρα φράσω] Διδάσκω οΐως ἐρῶ, ὑποβαλών σοι τὸν ἔρωτα τοῦ ἔτνους. ἀντὶ τοῦ ἄλλῷ τινὶ ὑποδείγματι, οἶον ἄλλῷ τρόπῷ. "Ἐστι δὲ τὸ ἡμιστίχιον ἐξ Υψιπύλης Εἰριπί-

μη δητα περι έτνους γε· πάνυ γαρ μανθάνω.	65
τοιουτοσὶ τοίνυν με δαρδάπτει πόθος	
Εύριπίδου. ΗΡ. καὶ ταῦτα τοῦ τεθνηκότος;	
κούδείς γέ μ' αν πείσειεν ανθρώπων τὸ μὴ οὐκ	
	70
	75
•	
	80
καν ξυναποδραναι δεῦρ' ἐπιχειρήσειέ μοι·	
	Εὐριπίδου. ΗΡ. καὶ ταῦτα τοῦ τεθνηκότος; κοὐδείς γέ μ' ἀν πείσειεν ἀνθρώπων τὸ μὴ οὐκ ἐλθεῖν ἐπ' ἐκεῖνον. ΗΡ. πότερον εἰς Κιδου κάτω; καὶ νὴ Δί' εἴ τί γ' ἔστιν ἔτι κατωτέρω. τί βουλόμενος; ΔΙ. δέομαι ποιητοῦ δεξιοῦ. οἱ μὲν γὰρ οὐκέτ' εἰσὶν, οἱ δ' ὄντες κακοί. τί δ'; οὐκ Ἰοφῶν ζῆ; ΔΙ. τοῦτο γάρ τοι καὶ μόνον ἔτ' ἐστὶ λοιπὸν ἀγαθὸν, εἰ καὶ τοῦτ' ἄρα· οὐ γὰρ σάφ' οἶδ' οὐδ' αὐτὸ τοῦθ' ὅπως ἔχει. εἶτ' οὐ Σοφοκλέα, πρότερον ὄντ' Εὐριπίδου, μέλλεις ἀνάγειν, εἴπερ γ' ἐκεῖθεν δεῖ σ' ἅγειν; οὒ, πρίν γ' ἂν Ἰοφῶντ', ἀπολαβῶν αὐτὸν μόνον, ἄνευ Σοφοκλέους ὅ τι ποιεῖ κωδωνίσω. κἅλλως ὁ μέν γ' Εὐριπίδης, πανοῦργος ὧν,

δου.—Scholiast. Bothe is probably right in considering the borrowed ἡμιστίχιον to be the first half of the line ἇρ' ἐκδιδάσκω τὸ σαφὲς, which is both Euripidean, and calculated to attract attention; and not the second half, ἡ ἀτέρα φράσω, which would pass unnoticed in any writer.

67. τοῦ τ ϵ θνηκότος] The dead Euripides. For there was still a living Euripides, the son of the great tragedian, exhibiting his plays on the Athenian stage. ουτω γàρ, says the Scholiast, καὶ αἱ διδασκαλίαι φέρουσι, τελευτήσαντος Εἰριπίδου, τὸν υἱὸν αὐτοῦ δεδιδαχέναι ὅμώνυμον ἐν ἄστει Ἰφιγένειαν τὴν ἐν Αὐλίδι, ᾿Αλκμαίωνα, Βάκχας.

72. of $\mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] Dionysus is quoting his favourite poet. E $i \rho_{l} \pi i \delta o \nu$ $\epsilon \epsilon \ell O \ell \nu \epsilon \omega s$, says the Scholiast,

σὺ δ' ὦδ' ἔρημος ξυμμάχων ἀπόλλυσαι; οἱ μὲν γὰρ οὐκέτ' εἰσὶν, οἱ δ' ὄντες, κακοί.

The first line is supposed to be spoken by Diomed : the second by Oeneus, who has been deposed from his sovereignty, and is wandering about in rags and tatters. Wagner, Fragm. vi. See Acharnians 418 and the scholiast there.

73. 'Io $\phi \hat{\omega} \nu$] Aristophanes now opens a running fire of criticism on the still living tragedians, Iophon, Agathon, Xenocles, Pythangelus. The great triumvirs

THE FROGS

- HER. Not of the soup: I'm clear about the soup.
- D10. Well, just that sort of pang devours my heart For lost Euripides. HER. A dead man too.
- DIO. And no one shall persuade me not to go After the man. .HER. Do you mean below, to Hades?
- DIO. And lower still, if there's a lower still.
- HER. What on earth for? DIO. I want a genuine poet, "For some are not, and those that are, are bad."
- HER. What! does not Iophon live? DIO. Well, he's the sole Good thing remaining, if even he is good. For even of that I'm not exactly certain.
- HER. If go you must, there's Sophocles—he comes Before Euripides—why not take him?
- Dio. Not till I've tried if Iophon's coin rings true When he's alone, apart from Sophocles. Besides, Euripides, the crafty rogue, Will find a thousand shifts to get away,

have all passed away, and these are but sorry successors to those immortal poets. Heracles, however, thinks the proposition of $\delta\nu\tau\epsilon s$, $\kappa\alpha\kappa o$ too sweeping a condemnation, for a class which includes Iophon, the son of Sophocles, and Dionysus admits Iophon to be a possible exception, if indeed the plays which he has exhibited are not, altogether or in part, the handiwork of his illustrious father. Iophon wrote many tragedies, but we are not told whether any were composed after his father's death.

76. $\pi\rho \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$] His elder in birth by fifteen years, his predecessor on the Athenian stage by thirteen years. The recommendation to substitute Sophocles

for Euripides comes in rather strangely amongst the inquiries about living poets, but it is intended to introduce the further criticism on Iophon : κωμφδείται γάρ ό 'loφών, ό υίὸς Σοφοκλέους, ώς τὰ τοῦ $\pi a \tau \rho \delta s \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \pi o i \eta \mu a \tau a$, as the Scholiast says. Professor Palmer suggests, and it is not improbable, that lines 76-82 formed no part of the original dialogue, written, he supposes, in the lifetime of Sophocles, but were inserted after his death. Here then both Sophocles and Euripides are mentioned, but there is no allusion whatever to the third great poet, whom Dionysus ultimately decides to bring back from the unseen world.

	ό δ' εὔκολος μὲν ἐνθάὄ, εὔκολος δ΄ ἐκεῖ.	
HP.	'Αγάθων δὲ ποῦ 'στιν; ΔΙ. ἀπολιπών μ' ἀποίχεται,	
	άγαθὸς ποιητὴς καὶ ποθεινὸς τοῖς φίλοις.	
HP.	ποῖ γῆς ὁ τλήμων ; ΔΙ. ἐς μακάρων εὐωχίαν.	85
HP.	ό δὲ Ξενοκλέης; ΔΙ. ἐξόλοιτο νὴ Δία.	
HP.	Πυθάγγελος δέ; ΞΑ. περὶ ἐμοῦ δ' οὐδεὶς λόγος	
	έπιτριβομένου τὸν ὦμον ούτωσὶ σφόδρα.	
HP.	ούκουν ἕτερ' ἕστ' ἐνταῦθα μειρακύλλια	
	τραγωδίας ποιοῦντα πλεῖν ἡ μύρια,	90
	Εὐριπίδου πλεῖν ἡ σταδίφ λαλίστερα;	

83. 'Ayá $\theta \omega \nu$] Agathon, the well-known tragic poet, is one of the dramatis personae in the Thesmophoriazusae, where his delicate beauty, his affectations and effeminacy, his graceful language and dainty conceits, and the social amiability which endeared him to his friends, are all sufficiently depicted. He was at this time living at the court of Archelaus of Macedon, where, following the example of Euripides, he spent the evening of his life, and where he died some years after the production of the Frogs. This permanent settlement of Agathon in Macedonia was (for the Dionysus of the Attic theatre) almost equivalent to his death, and is described in language which (except perhaps for the last word $\epsilon \dot{v} \omega \chi (a\nu)$ would not be inappropriate to the latter event. And therefore some early grammarians, and indeed no less a critic than Fritzsche in modern times. have imagined that Agathon was really now dead. But this is an obvious mistake. All the inquiries of Heracles after

tragic poets are directed to the proposition of ovtes, kakoi, supra 72. ri d'; οὐκ Ἰοφῶν ζŷ; (73). ᾿Αγάθων δὲ ποῦ ἀστιν; (83). ό δε Ξενοκλέης; (86). Πυθάγγελος $\delta \epsilon$; (87). And in truth Heracles, himself a denizen of the invisible world, needs no information about the dead. He knows of the deaths of Euripides (67) and Sophocles (76), and would have known of the death of Agathon, had The expression ποθεινός it occurred. φίλοιs is possibly borrowed from Eur. Phoen. 320 (to which Bergler refers). where it is applied to an absent, not to a dead, man; whilst the phrase is µakáρων εὐωχίαν, in the sense of "to the banquets of the wealthy," suits well with the sumptuous tables of Archelaus, of whose $\epsilon \sigma \tau i a \sigma i \nu \pi o \lambda v \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$, provided for these very poets, Euripides and Agathon, we read in Aelian's V. H. xiii, 4. The scholiast, commenting on this phrase, says ή ώς περί τετελευτηκότος λέγει, ώσανεί είπε τὰς μακάρων νήσους ή ὅτι ἀΑρχελάω τῷ βασιλεί μέχρι τῆς τελευτῆς μετὰ ἄλλων

But *he* was easy here, is easy there.

- HER. But Agathon, where is he? DIO. He has gone and left us. A genial poet, by his friends much missed.
- HER. Gone where? DIO. To join the blessed in their banquets.
- HER. But what of Xenocles? DIO. O he be hanged !
- HER. Pythangelus? XAN. But never a word of me, Not though my shoulder's chafed so terribly.
- HER. But have you not a shoal of little songsters, Tragedians by the myriad, who can chatter A furlong faster than Euripides?

πολλών συνην ἐν Μακεδονία, καὶ μακάρων εὐωχίαν ἔφη τὴν ἐν τοῖς βασιλείοις διατριβήν τοῦτο δὲ παρ' ὑπόνοιαν.

84. dyabos noinths] A good-hearted It would be as misleading to poet. give the literal translation "a good poet" here, as it would be to translate magno amico in Juvenal iii. 57 "your great friend"; since, according to our English idiom, the epithet "good" would apply to the quality of the poetry, and the epithet "great" to the warmth of the friendship, which is not the case in the original Greek and Latin. As 'Ayáθων and dyabos commence consecutive lines, there is probably, as Spanheim suggests, a sort of play on the similarity of sound.

86. $\Xi \epsilon \nu o \kappa \lambda \epsilon \eta s$] This little tragedian, the smallest of the dwarfish sons of Carcinus, has already been ridiculed in the Wasps and the Thesmophoriazusae. See Wasps 1509 and the notes on Wasps 1501, 1510; Thesm. 169, 441. Here his name is saluted merely with an execration. Pythangelus, who is mentioned in the following line and nowhere else, is not deemed worthy of even that salutation, but is dismissed with a scornful gesture.

87. odoeis hoyos] No word, or as we perhaps should rather say, No thought, of me. The same ejaculation is repeated infra 107 and 115. The last words of Lucian's Sightseers ($X \dot{a} \rho \omega \nu \eta$ 'Emigro- $\pi \circ \hat{\upsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon s$), where Charon, personally conducted by Hermes, has been looking on at the varied scenes of human life, are Χάρωνος δε ούδεις λόγος. In a very similar strain St. Chrysostom says (Hom. xxii. in Matth. 278 B) τὰ ἔθνη ἐπιζητεί τοῦτο, οἶς ὁ πόνος ἅπας κατὰ τὸν παρόντα βίον, οιs λόγος ούδεις περί των μελλόντων. See Lucian's Cataplus 14; Heliodorus, Aethiopics, viii. 5; St. Chrys. Hom. lxviii. in Matth. (674 D), xxxix. in 1 Cor. (375 A), &c. In the following line Xanthias gets perilously near the prohibited words.

$\Delta I.$	έπιφυλλίδες ταῦτ' ἐστὶ καὶ στωμύλματα,	
	χελιδόνων μουσεîα, λωβηταὶ τέχνης,	
	ὰ φροῦδα θαττον, ἢν μόνον χορὸν λάβη.	
	άπαξ προσουρήσαντα τῆ τραγωδία.	95
	γόνιμον δε ποιητην αν ούχ εύροις έτι	
	ζητῶν ἂν, ὄστις βημα γενναῖον λάκοι.	
HP.	πῶς γόνιμον; ΔΙ. ὡδὶ γόνιμον, ὅστις φθέγξεται	
	τοιουτονί τι παρακεκινδυνευμένον,	
	αἰθέρα Διός δωμάτιον, ἢ χρόνου πόδα,	100
	ή φρένα μεν ούκ έθέλουσαν όμόσαι καθ' ίερων,	

γλώτταν δ' ἐπιορκήσασαν ίδία τῆς φρενός. ΗΡ. σε δε ταῦτ' ἀρέσκει; ΔΙ. μἀλλὰ πλεῖν ἢ μαίνομαι.

92. ἐπιφυλλίδες] Ἐπιφυλλίδες are small stunted grapes, which do not form themselves into large and prominent clusters, but remain close to the vine-leaves, and are overlooked, or rejected as worthless, at the vintage. ἐπιφυλλίδες, τὰ ἐπὶ τοῖς φύλλοις, says Suidas. κέκληται δὲ οὕτω, he continues, borrowing from the Scholiast here, διὰ τὸ [ἐπὶ] τοῖς φύλλοις καλύπτεσθαι, πολύς δ' ἀνείρπε κισσός, εὐφυὴς κλάδος, χελιδόνων μουσείον.

94. $\chi o \rho \delta \nu \lambda d \beta \eta$] Merely to obtain a chorus, to be one of the three tragedians selected to exhibit their plays, free of all expense to themselves, in public at the Athenian Dionysia, was no small triumph for a young dramatist, even if his play did not ultimately win the prize. See Peace 801 and the note there. It was a triumph, we may well believe, which many would obtain only once in their lives.

95. προσουρήσαντα] Προσουρέω is used

here in exactly the same sense as *immeio* in Persius, vi. 73. The Muse is spoken of as a courtezan, granting her favours to the poets who woo her. See Knights 517 (to which Brunck refers); Wasps 1028.

100. $ald\epsilon\rho a \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] As examples of the hazardous ventures in which his soul delights, he cites, or travesties, three passages of Euripides. (1) Aether, the bedchamber of Zeus, is referred by the Scholiast to a line in the Melanippe

DIO. Those be mere vintage-leavings, jabberers, choirs Of swallow-broods, degraders of their art, Who get one chorus, and are seen no more, The Muses' love once gained. But O, my friend, Search where you will, you'll never find a true Creative genius, uttering startling things.

HER. Creative? how do you mean? Dio. I mean a man Who'll dare some novel venturesome conceit, Air, Zeus's chamber, or Time's foot, or this, 'Twas not my mind that swore: my tongue committed A little perjury on its own account.

HER. You like that style? DIO. Like it? I dote upon it.

(Melanippe Sapiens, Fragm. 9, Wagner), which Aristophanes has already borrowed in the Thesmophoriazusae (272),

Ομνυμι δ' ίερον Αίθέρ' οίκησιν Διώς.

(2) The foot of Time is ascribed by the Scholiast to the Alexander (Fragm. 23, Wagner), $\kappa a \lambda \Sigma \rho \delta \nu o \pi \rho o \delta \beta a \nu \epsilon \pi o \delta s$, and it subsequently reappeared in a Chorus of the Bacchae (889). It may have seemed a daring metaphor at first, but now, Time being personified, it has

become a part of our everyday language. Shakespeare speaks of "the lazy foot of time," "the swift foot of time," "the noiseless and inaudible foot of time," and again of "hasty-footed time." (3) The third is an expansion of the famous line of the Hippolytus,

ή γλωσσ' ὀμώμοχ', ή δὲ φρην ἀνώμοτος (612),

which is more precisely quoted, in part, infra 1471, and is considered in the note there. $\partial\mu\phi\sigma a\iota \kappa a\theta' i\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$, to be sworn upon the sacrifices, is a very common phrase : the first complete words in the MS. of Aristotle's Polity of Athens are $\kappa a\theta' i\epsilon\rho\omega\nu \ \partial\mu\phi\sigma a\nu\tau\epsilon s$. The compound $\epsilon\pi\iota\rho\kappa\epsilon\omega$ is sometimes employed in an innocent sense, without any idea of perjury, and Brunck thinks it is so employed here; but, when so employed, it means not simply "to swear" but "to adjure," and is followed by the name of the god to whom the appeal is made; and, anyhow, Aristophanes, treating the line as an apology for perjury, would hardly have used the word here in any other than its ordinary signification. $i\delta i_{\pi} \tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \delta s$, on its own account, apart from the mind.

103. $\mu d\lambda \lambda d$] That is, $\mu \dot{\eta} d\lambda \lambda d$. Don't say "do they please me?" It is not a

ΗΡ. η μην κόβαλά γ' έστιν, ώς και σοι δοκεί. ΔΙ. μη τον έμον οίκει νοῦν· έχεις γαρ οἰκίαν. 105 ΗΡ. καί μην άτεχνώς γε παμπόνηρα φαίνεται. ΔΙ. δειπνείν με δίδασκε. ΞΑ. περί έμοῦ δ' ούδεὶς λόγος. ΔΙ. άλλ' ώνπερ ένεκα τήνδε την σκευην έχων ήλθον κατά σην μίμησιν, ίνα μοι τους ξένους τούς σούς φράσειας, εί δεοίμην, οίσι σύ 110 έχρω τόθ', ήνίκ' ήλθες έπι τον Κέρβερον, τούτους φράσον μοι, λιμένας, άρτοπώλια, πορνεί', άναπαύλας, έκτροπας, κρήνας, όδοὺς, πόλεις, διαίτας, πανδοκευτρίας, ὅπου κόρεις όλίγιστοι. ΞΑ. περί έμοῦ δ' οὐδεὶς λόγος. 115 ΗΡ. ὦ σχέτλιε, τολμήσεις γὰρ ἰέναι καὶ σύ γε; ΔΙ. μηδεν έτι πρός ταῦτ', ἀλλὰ φράζε τῶν ὑδῶν

question of mere pleasure : I more than dote on them. $\pi\lambda\epsilon ov \ \dagger \mu aivo\mu ai \ \epsilon \pi'$ $a\dot{v}\tau \phi$; $\tau ov\tau\epsilon \sigma \tau v$, $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho\beta a\lambda\lambda \delta v \tau \omega s \mu oi \ d\rho\epsilon \sigma \kappa\epsilon i.$ —Scholiast. 105. μὴ τὸν ἐμὸν οἴκει] This is another quotation from Euripides. καὶ τοῦτο, says the Scholiast, παρὰ τὸ ἐν Ἀνδρομάχη:

μή τον έμον οίκει νούν' έγώ γάρ άρκέσω.

There is no such line in the Andromache; and, if the Scholiast wrote ' $\Lambda\nu\delta\rho\rho\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\eta$, he was probably misled by thinking of lines 581, 2 of that play. But it is generally supposed that he wrote ' $\Lambda\nu\delta\rho\rho\mu\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta$, and Wagner gives it as the 31st fragment of the Andromeda, making it the reply of Cepheus to the complaint of Perseus (fragm. 30), who is claiming Andromeda in marriage, but can obtain no satisfactory reply from her father.

Perseus. Σιγậs; σιωπή δ' απορος έρμηνεύς λόγων. Cepheus. Μή τον έμον οϊκει νοῦν ἐγὼ γὰρ ἀρκέσω.

The words $\mu \eta \tau \delta \nu \epsilon \mu \delta \nu o \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu o \delta \nu$ mean "Do not manage, or dispose of, my mind"; that is, do not take upon yourself to deliver my sentiments. But Dionysus, playing on the double meaning of o ke (manage or inhabit), points to the house of Heracles, before which they are standing, and adds $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota s \gamma \delta \rho o k \kappa \iota v \rho \iota v$

have a dwelling of your own; as if the preceding words had meant Dwell not in my mind. He is nettled at the presumption of Heracles, professing to interpret his opinions on dramatic literature. Dramatic criticism is not Heracles's strong point; eating is. And therefore when Heracles returns to the

THE FROGS

- HER. I vow its ribald nonsense, and you know it.
- Dro. "Rule not my mind": you've got a house to mind.
- HER. Really and truly though 'tis paltry stuff.
- DIO. Teach me to dine! XAN. But never a word of me.
- DIO. But tell me truly—'twas for this I came
 Dressed up to mimic you—what friends received
 And entertained you when you went below
 To bring back Cerberus, in case I need them.
 And tell me too the havens, fountains, shops,
 Roads, resting-places, stews, refreshment rooms,
 Towns, lodgings, hostesses, with whom were found
 The fewest bugs. XAN. But never a word of me.
- HER. You are really game to go? DIO. O drop that, can't you? And tell me this: of all the roads you know

attack, Dionysus scornfully replies, $\delta\epsilon\iota$ - $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$ $\mu\epsilon$ $\delta i\delta a\sigma\kappa\epsilon$, Give me a lesson on eating, on which you are an authority; not on dramatic poetry, of which you know nothing.

107. δειπνείν με δίδασκε] τοῦτο γὰρ ἐπίστασαι, ἐκείνο δ' οὕ. ταῦτά με, φησὶ, δίδασκε, καὶ μὴ κρίνε τραγφδίας.—Scholiast.

108. ῶνπερ ἕνεκα] The construction is, φράσον μοι τοὺς ξένους κ.τ.λ. ῶνπερ ἕνεκα (that is, τούτων γὰρ ἕνεκα) ηλθον, Γνα μοι φράσειας, Tell me of the friends who entertained you, &c., on which account (for on that account) I came that you might tell me. Cf. Birds 1544, τούτων ἕνεκα δεῦρ' ηλθον, Γνα φράσαιμί σοι.

112. $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \nu as \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] Dionysus makes a traveller's usual inquiries, but they are mostly inapplicable to his journey to Hades, and he does not wait for an answer. Two of the items enumerated we shall however meet again, the $d\nu a$ -

παύλαs or resting-places, infra 185, 195, and the πανδοκευτρίαs or hostesses (for the suggestion that the word is here equivalent to πανδοκεία is quite groundless), infra 549-578. The meaning of διαίταs and ἐκτροπὰs is not absolutely certain; but it would seem that by the former we are to understand "boardinghouses," and by the latter diverticula or diversoria, places of resort, to reach which, for shelter or other convenience, a traveller would turn aside from his road. Cf. supra 37; Eur. Rhesus 881.

116. kal $\sigma i \ \gamma \epsilon$] Even you; even the pleasure-loving and effeminate Dionysus, a personage standing in such marked contrast to the formidable speaker. Some recent editors, adopting a conjecture of Seidler, make these words commence the reply of Dionysus; and indeed my own translation was framed on that basis.

	ὅπῃ τάχιστ' ἀφιξόμεθ' εἰς Ἅιδου κάτω· καὶ μήτε θερμὴν μήτ' ἀγαν ψυχρὰν φράσῃς.	
HP.	φέρε δη, τίν' αὐτῶν σοι φράσω πρώτην; τίνα;	120
	μία μὲν γὰρ ἔστιν ἀπὸ κάλω καὶ θρανίου,	
	κρεμάσαντι σαυτόν. ΔΙ. παῦε, πνιγηρὰν λέγεις.	
HP.	άλλ' ἕστιν άτραπός ξύντομος τετριμμένη,	
	ή διὰ θυείας. ΔΙ. ἆρα κώνειον λέγεις;	
HP.	μάλιστά γε. ΔΙ. ψυχράν γε καὶ δυσχείμερον	1 2 5
	εὐθὺς γὰρ ἀποπήγνυσι τἀντικνήμια.	
HP.	βούλει ταχείαν καὶ κατάντη σοι φράσω;	
$\Delta I.$	νη τον Δί', ώς όντος γε μη βαδιστικοῦ.	
HP.	καθέρπυσόν νυν ἐς Κεραμεικόν. ΔΙ. εἶτα τί;	
	άναβὰς ἐπὶ τὸν πύργον τὸν ὑψηλὸν, ΔΙ. τί δρῶ:	130
	• • •	

119. $\theta \in \rho \mu \eta \nu$] We shall see directly that the first road proposed is rejected as being too hot (122), and the next as being too cold (125).

121. $d\pi \dot{o} \kappa d\lambda \omega \kappa d\dot{o} \theta \rho a \nu i \sigma v$ By rope and stool. The operator would stand on the stool, adjust the rope round his own neck, then kick away the stool, and so be hanged. Θράνους και θρανάτια ταπεινά τινα διφρίδια και ύποπόδια λέγονται έφ' ών ίπτάμενοι οι άπαγχόμενοι άρτωσιν έαυτούς, απολακτίζοντες αὐτά.-Scholiast. But inasmuch as $d\pi \delta \kappa d\lambda \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ in Thuc. iv. 25 and elsewhere signifies to be towed, and $\theta_{\rho\alpha\nu'(\rho\nu)}$ is also used for a rower's bench (whence $\theta_{\rho a \nu i \tau \eta s}$), Fritzsche suggests, perhaps too ingeniously, that there is a play upon the words, and that Dionysus is to be uncertain whether they mean by rope and stool or by towing and rowing, until the addition κρεμάσαντι $\sigma a v \tau \delta v$ discloses the sense in which they are really intended to be taken.

122. πνιγηράν] Stifling. πρὸς τὸν πνιγμὸν τῆς ἀγχόνης καὶ ὥσπερ ὁδοῦ καυματώδους.— Scholiast. As regards the rope and stool, it signifies the suffocation produced by hanging. As regards the road, it means suffocatingly hot.

123. $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ "Aµa µèν ώs ἐπὶ όδοῦ κατημαξευμένης, ẵµa δὲ καὶ πρὸς τὸ κώνειον διὰ θυείας τρίβεσθαι.—Scholiast. The hemlock (cicuta virosu) is a plant growing by stagnant, or nearly stagnant, water to the height of several feet. Its leaves and flowers were chopped up (σίντομος) and cast into a mortar (θυεία), where they were pounded ($\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \mu \mu \epsilon \prime n$) until all the poisonous juice was pressed out, ready for use. The growing plant is itself a virulent poison.

125. $\psi v \chi \rho \dot{a} \nu$] All commentators, from Spanheim downwards, illustrate this passage from the description which Plato gives in the Phaedo of his master's death. The solemn discourse on immortality is Which is the quickest way to get to Hades?

I want one not too warm, nor yet too cold.

- HER. Which shall I tell you first? which shall it be?There's one by rope and bench: you launch awayAnd—hang yourself. Dro. No thank you: that's too stifling.
- HER. Then there's a track, a short and beaten cut, By pestle and mortar. DIO. Hemlock, do you mean?
- HER. Just so. DIO. No, that's too deathly cold a way;

You have hardly started ere your shins get numbed.

HER. Well, would you like a steep and swift descent?

Dio. Aye, that's the style: my walking powers are small.

HER. Go down to the Cerameicus. DIO. And do what?

HER. Climb to the tower's top pinnacle— Dio. And then ?

over, and Socrates is ready to die. Accordingly he says to Crito everykárw ris to φάρμακον, εί τέτριπται εί δε μή, τριψάτω $\delta \, a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$. The man presently brings the poison έν κύλικι, τετριμμένον, and Socrates drinks it. In obedience to the man's directions, he walks about for a short time, till, his legs growing heavy, he lies down on his back and covers his face. The man pinches his foot, and asks if he feels anything. He replies in the negative. Then the man tries his $\kappa \nu \eta \mu \alpha s$, with the same result; $\kappa \alpha i$ έπανιών ούτως ήμιν έπεδείκνυτο, ότι ψύχοιτό τε καὶ $πη \gamma ν \hat{v} \tau o$. When the deadly cold reaches his heart he gives a slight shudder, and so dies. Beck refers to Pliny, N. H. xxv. 95, "semini et foliis [cicutae] refrigeratoria vis: quos necat, incipiunt algere ab extremitatibus corporis."

129. καθέρπυσόν νυν ές Κεραμεικόν] Of Cerameicus and its torch-races we shall hear more, infra 1087-1099. In using the word $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \rho \pi v \sigma o \nu$, Get you down to the Cerameicus, Heracles appears to forget for the moment that he ought not to talk as if he were really on the Athenian stage.

130. τον πύργον τον ύψηλόν] This is doubtless, as Fritzsche has already suggested, the πύργος Τίμωνος, which Pausanias (Attica, xxx. 4) places in the immediate neighbourhood of the Academy, whence the runners in the torch-race started. See the notes infra 1087, 1093. It was believed to be the place in which the misanthrope shut himself up to avoid all intercourse with his fellow men. The idea that a fall from a lofty tower is the quickest way to arrive els "Aldov Kárw, is reproduced by Apuleius in the tale of Cupid and Psyche, Metamorphoses, bk.vi. Psyche, bidden by Venus to proceed ad inferos for the purpose of bringing back a casket from Proscrpine, pergit ad quam-

- ΗΡ. ἀφιεμένην τὴν λαμπάδ' ἐντεῦθεν θεῶ, κἄπειτ' ἐπειδὰν φῶσιν οἱ θεώμενοι εἶναι, τόθ' εἶναι καὶ σừ σαυτόν. ΔΙ. ποῖ; ΗΡ. κάτω.
- Δ1. ἀλλ' ἀπολέσαιμ' ἀν ἐγκεφάλου θρίω δύο. οὐκ ἀν βαδίσαιμι τὴν ὑδὸν ταύτην. ΗΡ. τί δαί; 135

ΔΙ. ήνπερ σὺ τότε κατηλθες. ΗΡ. ἀλλ' ὁ πλοῦς πολύς. εὐθὺς γὰρ ἐπὶ λίμνην μεγάλην ήξεις πάνυ

piam turrim praealtam, indidem se datura praecipitem : sic enim rebatur ad inferos recta et pulcherrime se posse descendere. However the tower itself, in fairy style, advises her to take two coins in her mouth, and go there in the regular manner through the rift of Taenarum, and by the ferry-boat of Charon. Huic squalido seni, says the tower, dabis, nauli nomine, de stipibus quas feres alteram; the other coin (stips) being reserved for the return journey. And Psyche, following these instructions, arrives at her journey's end much as Dionysus does in the present play. The tale has often been told in our language, but nowhere • more gracefully than in "The Earthly Paradise."

131. ἀφιεμένην τὴν λαμπάδα] Κεραμεικὸς τόπος ᾿Αθήνησιν ὅπου συνετέλουν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι κατὰ ἐνιαυτὸν λαμπαδοῦχον ἀγῶνα, πρὸς δὲ τῷ τόπῳ τούτῷ πύργον τινὰ ὑπάρχειν φασὶν, ἐφ' ὅν συμβουλεύει αὐτὸν ἀναβάντα θεωρεῖν τὴν λαμπάδα, καὶ ὅταν οἱ πρῶτοι λαμπαδίζοντες ἀφεθῶσι, καὶ αὐτὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ πύργου ἀφεῖναι ἑαυτὸν κάτω.—Scholiast. He rightly takes λαμπάδα to mean the torch-race (λαμπάδα ἔδραμες, Wasps 1203) and ἀφιεμένην started (ἄφες ἀπὸ βαλβίδων, Knights 1159). But a very inferior Scholiast (Gloss. Victor), supposing ἐν $\tau\epsilon\tilde{v}\theta\epsilon\nu$ to belong to $d\phi\iota\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu$, and not, as it really does, to $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\omega}$, infers that a torch was dropped from the tower as a signal to start the runners in the torch-race, though indeed, on his construction, the torch-race would not be mentioned at all. This blunder has misled many, but the Oxford lexicographers, and Mr. Green, Dr. Merry, and Dr. Blaydes, all take the right view.

133. $\epsilon i\nu a\iota$ Start them. This first $\epsilon i\nu a\iota$ is the cry of the impatient spectators to the $\sigma a\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} s$, whose duty it was to start the runners by a trumpet blast. That the trumpet was used in historic times for this purpose is admitted even in the Gloss. Victor, which merely suggests the use of the dropped torch $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma \hat{\upsilon} \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \rho \epsilon$ - $\theta \eta \nu a \tau a \rho \dot{a} \tau \nu \rho \sigma \eta \nu o \hat{\imath} \star \sigma \dot{\iota} \gamma \rho a$. As the $\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi$ was in common use in Homer's time, it was doubtless invented long before the institution of the Athenian races.

134. $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \phi \dot{a} \lambda ov \theta \rho t \omega \delta \dot{v} \sigma$] $\Theta \rho \hat{i} ov$, properly a fig-leaf ($\theta \rho \hat{i} ov$, $\tau \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda ov$, Pollux, i, segm. 237), gave its name to a dainty much affected by the ancient Greeks; a sort of rissole composed of suet, milk, honey, eggs, fresh cheese, and wheat flour wrapped up in a figleaf and cooked in a rich broth. Some-

- HER. Observe the torch-race started, and when allThe multitude is shouting *Let them go*,Let yourself go. DIO. Go! whither? HER. To the ground.
- DIO. And lose, forsooth, two envelopes of brain.
 I'll not try that. HER. Which will you try? DIO. The way You went yourself. HER. A parlous voyage that, For first you'll come to an enormous lake

times roasted brains were added, and sometimes the rissole consisted of nothing but brains. ή δε σκευασία, says the Scholiast, $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \sigma \tau \epsilon a \rho$, $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota$, ωa , $\sigma \epsilon \mu i$ δαλις. ειώθασι δέ και τον έγκέφαλον οπτάν κατειλήσαντες τοις της συκης φύλλοις, ή μετά της άλλης του θρίου κατασκευής, ή καί μόνον. Pollux, vi, segm. 57, gives a fuller recipe. τὸ δὲ θρίον ὥδε ἐσκεύαζον. στέαρ υειον έφθον λαβών, μετά γάλακτος εμίγνυ χόνδρω παχεί. συμφυράσας δ' αὐτὰ χλωρώ τυρώ, και λεκίθοις ώων, και έγκεφάλοις, περιβαλών συκής φύλλω, ειώδει ζωμώ δρνιθείω ή εριφείω ενήψεν επειτα εξαίρων, αφήρει το φύλλον, και ένέβαλλεν είς άγγειον μέλιτος ζέοντος. και το μεν όνομα τω έδεσματι προσ- $\epsilon \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \delta \phi \iota \lambda \delta \rho \nu$. A somewhat similar, though a very much simpler, dish is still in use in the Levant. Mr. Curzon, in his "Visits to Monasteries in the Levant," gives the following recipe for "Dolma of Vine-leaves ": "Put the vine-leaves in boiling water for a moment; put a small quantity of mincemeat and rice into each, and wrap it up in the leaf; stew them in broth." The expression in the text is, of course, due to the fact that brains were a common ingredient of a $\theta_{\rho i \rho \nu}$; but Dionysus is applying the term $\theta_{\rho i \omega}$ to the two lobes of his own brain. δ έγκέφαλος έστι διπλόος το δέ

μέσον αὐτοῦ διαιρέει μῆνιγξ λεπτή.—Hippocrates de Morbo Sacro. δ ἐγκέφαλος διττὸς, μαλακώτερος μὲν δ πρόσθιος, σκληρότερος δὲ δ λοιπὸς, ὃν ἐγκεφαλίδα (cerebellum) καλοῦσιν οἱ ἀνατομικοί.—Galen de usu partium corporis humani. And he adds that the entire brain is surrounded by two membranes. Modern science recognizes a third lobe, and a third membrane; but the difference is rather verbal than real.

137. $\lambda(\mu\nu\eta\nu)$ This is the Acherusian lake, which was deemed, says Lucian, the first stage in the passage to the realms below : a lake which it was impossible to cross without the aid of the ferryman; too deep to ford; too wide . to swim; nay, even the ghosts of dead birds could not fly across it (De Luctu 3). Compare Aesch. Ag. 1125; Eur. Alcestis 444; Herc. Fur. 770. On the present aspect of the famous Epirote lake and river see Bp. Wordsworth's Greece, p. 254. The Acheron falls from the mountains of Suli through a deep and rocky gorge, expands into a turbid and eddying stream, and then winds quietly through a flat and marshy plain (in which it forms the Acherusian lake and unites itself with the Cocytus) into the Ionian Sea.

140
145

139. τυννουτφί] Συνάγων τοὺς δακτύλους φησὶν, ἀντὶ τοῦ μικρῷ. φοβεῖ δὲ αὐτὸν ἐπίτηδες σμικρύνων τὸ πλοῖον.—Scholiast. Cf. Clouds 878. The smallness of Charon's boat and the consequent danger to the passengers are the theme of Lucian's Tenth Dialogue of the Dead, a prose dialogue which has been so happily transmuted into English verse by the late Mrs. Benjamin Wood that I may perhaps be allowed to quote the opening stanzas. The interlocutors are Charon and his passengers.

CHA. You see how 'tis with us: the skiff is small And leaky: a slight matter would capsize us;
I cannot say 'tis safe to take you all Thus heavy laden. PASS. What would you advise us, Charon, that safely we be ferried o'er?
CHA. Leave your superfluous luggage on the shore,
And naked, each of you, my wherry enter: E'en thus you'll almost fill it to the brim: Your goods, I fear, might cause some misadventure, Chiefly to such of you as cannot swim.

Stand by the ladder, Hermes, and attend

That all undress, ere they the boat ascend.

So the rich man must lay aside his riches; and the despot his pride and his kingly crown; and the philosopher his arrogance and hypocrisy, and even his beard; and the other passengers the various incumbrances, physical or mental, with which the satirist thinks fit to endow them.

140. $\delta \dot{\upsilon}' \quad \dot{\delta}\beta \circ \lambda \dot{\omega}$] All other writers concur in stating the fare to be a single

obol; but the suggestion that these $\delta v'$ $\delta\beta\delta\lambda\dot{\omega}$ are either the fare for the two, or the price of a return ticket for Dionysus (see the note on 130 supra), is, even if consistent with the language used here, absolutely untenable in the face of line 270 infra. Why then did Aristophanes, departing from the universal tradition, fix the fare at two obols? I think that the reason is plain. It Of fathomless depth. DIO. And how am I to cross?

HER. An ancient mariner will row you over

In a wee boat, so big. The fare's two obols.

Dio. Fie! The power two obols have, the whole world through ! How came they thither ! HER. Theseus took them down. And next you'll see great snakes and savage monsters In tens of thousands. Dio. You needn't try to scare me, I'm going to go. HER. Then weltering seas of filth

was to give Dionysus the opportunity of alluding to the $\delta \dot{\upsilon}' \, \partial \beta o \lambda \dot{\omega}$, which every individual of the many thousands before him had that morning paid for a seat in the Dionysian theatre. I see no reason for restricting the allusion, as Boeckh seems to do in his admirable discussion of Athenian doles (Public Economy, bk. ii, chaps. 13 and 15), to the $\delta \iota \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda i a$ or dole of two obols, which, under the name of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \iota \kappa \partial \nu$, the State supplied for the entrance money of the poorer citizens, and which we now know from Aristotle's Polity of Athens, chap. 28, was first introduced by Cleophon, and therefore not long before the production of this play. The δί' ἀβολω had equal power, whether they came out of the Public Treasury or out of the proper purse of the spectator. The Scholiast and others dream about the δικαστικόν, which was three obols, or the $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta$ σιαστικών, which was not yet in existence, though, even had these been existing doles of two obols each, they would in the present connexion have been οὐδέν πρός Διόνυσον.

142. $\Theta\eta\sigma\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}s \ \eta\gamma a\gamma\epsilon\nu$] How comes this Attic coinage to be current in the world below? As his friend Theseus was the

only Athenian who had ever been down there alive, Heracles can only suppose that he took some obols with him, and introduced them there.

145. βόρβορον πολύν] This notion, apparently derived from Orphic sources, was widely prevalent in the ancient world. Spanheim refers to Plato's Phaedo, chap. 13, where Socrates says that οι τας τελετάς ήμιν καταστήσαντες (cf. infra 1032) have taught őτι ôs àν άμύητος και ατέλεστος είς "Αιδου αφίκηται, έν βορβόρω κείσεται. This dooms all the uninitiated, whether bad or good, to the same punishment; but as Diogenes the Cynic said (Diog. Laert. bk, vi, segm. 39, to which Fritzsche refers), yéhoiov él 'Αγησίλαος μέν καὶ Ἐπαμεινώνδας ἐν τῷ βορβόρω διάξουσιν, εὐτελεῖς δέ τινες μεμυημένοι έν ταις Μακάρων νήσοις έσονται. Aristophanes, it will be observed, avoids this absurdity by confining this punishment to criminals. And others did the same : "Esse inferos Zenon Stoicus docuit," says Lactantius, Divine Institutes, vii. 7, "et sedes piorum ab impiis esse discretas; et illos quidem quietas et delectabiles incolere regiones, hos vero luere poenas in tenebrosis locis atque in coeni voraginibus horrendis."

καὶ σκῶρ ἀείνων· ἐν δὲ τούτῷ κειμένους, εἴ που ξένον τις ἠδίκησε πώποτε, ἡ παῖδα κινῶν τἀργύριον ὑφείλετο, ἡ μητέρ' ἠλοίησεν, ἡ πατρὸς γνάθον ἐπάταξεν, ἡ 'πίορκον ὅρκον ὥμοσεν, ἡ Μορσίμου τις ῥῆσιν ἐξεγράψατο.

ΔΙ. νη τούς θεούς έχρην γε πρός τούτοισι κεί την πυρρίχην τις ἕμαθε την Κίνησίου.

ΗΡ. ἐντεῦθεν αὐλῶν τίς σε περίεισιν πνοὴ,
ὄψει τε φῶς κάλλιστον, ὥσπερ ἐνθάδε,
155
καὶ μυρρινῶνας, καὶ θιάσους εὐδαίμονας
ἀνδρῶν γυναικῶν, καὶ κρότον χειρῶν πολύν.
ΔΙ. εὖτοι δὲ δὴ τίνες εἰσίν ;
ΗΡ. οἱ μεμυημένοι,

148. τἀργύριον ὑφείλετο] Τἀργύριον means "the promised pay." Cf. Plutus 153, 4.

149. $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon\rho'$ $\eta\lambda o(\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu)$ That is to say, was a $\mu\eta\tau\rho a\lambda o(as, a mother-beater, not, as$ often translated, a matricide. So a son $who <math>\pi a\tau\rho \delta s$ yvá $\theta o\nu$ $\epsilon \pi a \tau a \epsilon a \epsilon son$ who $\pi a\tau\rho a \delta s$ yvá $\theta o\nu$ $\epsilon \pi a \tau a \epsilon a \epsilon son$ who $\pi a\tau \rho a \delta s$ yvá $\theta o\nu$ $\epsilon \pi a \tau a \epsilon a \epsilon son$ who $\pi a \tau \rho a \delta s$ in fra 274. And see the case of the Harpa $\lambda o(as)$ in the Birds. So in the first speech of Lysias against Theomnestus, § 8, to be a $\pi a \tau \rho a \lambda o(a\nu)$ or $\mu \eta - \tau \rho a \lambda o(a\nu)$ is described as the equivalent of $\tau \eta \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa o v \sigma a \nu$ η $\tau \delta \nu$ $\phi v \sigma a \nu \tau a \tau v \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu$. Here the Scholiast explains $\eta \lambda o(\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu)$ by $\epsilon \tau \nu \psi \epsilon \nu$.

151. Mopsipoul The situation is perhaps becoming too strained, and is therefore relieved by a little comic satire about this contemptible tragedian, who has already been ridiculed in the Knights and the Peace. The man who copies out, with intent to perpetuate, a speech from one of his tragedies, is to share, in the world below, the doom of the vilest criminals. Few, apparently, would have been affected by this sentence, since not one line from any tragedy of Morsimus has, so far as I know, been preserved.

150

153. $\pi v \rho \rho i \chi \eta \nu$ Kiv $\eta \sigma i \sigma v$] It may be that Dionysus does not altogether approve of this renewed incursion of Heracles into the domain of literary criticism; at all events he immediately caps his denunciation by another. The $\pi v \rho \rho i \chi \eta$ was a dance in which youths, clad in complete armour, and moving to the strains of martial music, mimicked the operations of regular warfare, advancing and retreating, stooping down and springing up, and making as though they were discharging or avoiding darts, arrows, or other missiles. Plato, Laws, vii. 815 a; Hesychius s. v. πυρρίχας. A musical accompaniment to this dance had been composed by Cinesias, the

And ever-rippling dung: and plunged therein, Whoso has wronged the stranger here on earth, Or robbed his boylove of the promised pay, Or swinged his mother, or profanely smitten His father's cheek, or sworn an oath forsworn, Or copied out a speech of Morsimus.

- D10. There too, perdie, should *he* be plunged, whoe'er Has danced the sword-dance of Cinesias.
- HER. And next the breath of flutes will float around you, And glorious sunshine, such as ours, you'll see, And myrtle groves, and happy bands who clap Their hands in triumph, men and women too.
- DIO. And who are they? HER. The happy mystic bands,

worthless κυκλιοδιδάσκαλος, who is one of the dramatis personae in the Birds. Κινησίας, διθυραμβοποιὸs ôs ἐποίησε πυρρίχην.—Scholiast, Suidas. ὁ Κινησίας, says Plutarch in his treatise, Whether the Athenians were more glorious in arms or in arts, chap. 5, ἀργαλέος ἕοικε ποιητὴς γεγονέναι διθυράμβων^{*} καὶ αὐτὸς μὲν ἄγονος καὶ ἀκλεὴς γέγονε, σκωπτόμενος δὲ καὶ χλευαζόμενος ὑπὸ τῶν κωμφδοποιῶν, οὐκ εὐτυχοῦς δόξης μετέσχηκε. He was a favourite subject for Aristophanic satire, and there are two other allusions to him in the present play, 366 and 1437.

155. φῶς κάλλιστον] "The commentators and translators," says Mr. Mitchell, "usually quote in illustration Pindar, Thren. Fragm. 1, τοΐσι (i.e. τοΐς μεμυημένοις) λάμπει μὲν μένος ἀελίου τὰν ἐνθάδε νύκτα κάτω, and Virg. Aen. vi. 640, 'Largior hic campos aether et lumine vestit Purpureo.'" And see 454 infra. The light in which the souls of the righteous will live is comparable even to the brilliancy of the Athenian atmosphere; for that is the meaning of $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\epsilon\nu\theta\delta\delta\epsilon$. The Athenians are described by Euripides as $d\epsilon$ i dià $\lambda a\mu\pi\rho\sigma\tau a\tau ov$ Baivovres $\delta\beta\rho\deltas$ ald $\epsilon\rhoos$, always delicately moving through most radiant air (Medea 829): see Bp. Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, chap. ix.

157. ἀνδρῶν γυναικῶν] These two words are often placed in juxtaposition without any copula. Kuster refers to Soph. Antig. 1079, where Teiresias says to Creon that there will speedily arise ἀνδρῶν γυναικῶν σοῖς δόμοις κωκύματα, and to Lycophron, Cassandra 683, where Cassandra describes the same Teiresias as ἀνδρῶν γυναικῶν εἰδότα ξυνουσίας : to which I may add from Longus, Pastorals, iv. 24, ὅχλος ἡθροίσθη περὶ τὰς θύρας ἀνδρῶν γυναικῶν. These μεμυημένοι, we shall find, will form the Chorus of the play.

ΞΑ. νὴ τὸν Δί' ἐγὼ γοῦν ὄνος ἄγων μυστήρια.	
άτὰρ οὐ καθέξω ταῦτα τὸν πλείω χρόνον.	160
ΗΡ. οί σοι φράσουσ' άπαξάπανθ' ών αν δέη.	
ούτοι γὰρ ἐγγύτατα παρ' αὐτὴν τὴν ὁδὸν	
έπι ταίσι του Πλούτωνος οἰκοῦσιν θύραις.	
καὶ χαῖρε πόλλ', ὦδελφέ. ΔΙ. νὴ Δία καὶ σύ γε	
ύγίαινε. σὺ δὲ τὰ στρώματ' αὖθις λάμβανε.	165
ΞΑ. πρὶν καὶ καταθέσθαι; ΔΙ. καὶ ταχέως μέντοι πάνυ.	
ΞΑ. μὴ δηθ', ίκετεύω σ', ἀλλὰ μίσθωσαί τινα	
τῶν ἐκφερομένων, ὅστις ἐπὶ τοῦτ' ἔρχεται.	
ΔΙ. ἐὰν δὲ μὴ εὕρω; ΞΑ. τότ' ἔμ' ἄγειν. ΔΙ. καλῶς λέγει	LS.
καὶ γάρ τινες ἐκφέρουσι τουτονὶ νεκρόν.	170
ουτος, σε λέγω μέντοι, σε τον τεθνηκότα.	
ανθρωπε, βούλει σκευάρι' είς "Αιδου φέρειν;	
ΝΕ. πόσ' άττα; ΔΙ. ταυτί. ΝΕ. δύο δραχμὰς μισθὸν τελεί	\$;

159. Övos äywv $\mu \upsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota a$] Whether by older commentators, carrying the mustic properties (ayov being used in the same sense as $a_{\gamma\epsilon\nu}$ ten lines below), or, with Fritzsche and subsequent editors, celebrating the mysteries, makes no difference in the sense, since the only way in which the donkey "celebrated the mysteries" was by "carrying the mystic properties." The words over ayov μυστήριa either then were, or subsequently became, a common proverb, used of persons who underwent great toil and straits for the benefit or delectation, not of themselves, but of others; έπι των έτέροις κακοπαθούντων και παρεχόντων εὐφροσύνην διά τὸ τῷ καιρῷ τῶν μεγάλων μυστηρίων ἐξ ἄστεος Ἐλευσίναδε τούς όνους κομίζειν αὐτοῖς τὰ ἐπιτή- $\delta \epsilon_{ia}$, Photius s.v. The proverb is very generally recognized by grammarians and paroemiographers. The Scholiast here says τοίς μυστηρίοις ἐξ ἄστεως εἰς ἘΕλευσίνα διὰ τῶν ὄνων φέρουσι τὰ εἰς τὴν χρείαν ὅθεν ἡ παροιμία.

160. οὐ καθέξω] ΄΄Αμα τῷ λόγῳ ῥίπτει τὰ σκεύη, ἶνα ὕστερον φαίνηται γελοιότερος, κελευόμενος ἆραι τὰ σκεύη.—Scholiast.

164, 5. $\chi \alpha \hat{i} \rho \epsilon \ldots \dot{v} \gamma i \alpha i \nu \epsilon$] Xa $\hat{i} \rho \epsilon$ was a salutation appropriate to all circumstances: $\dot{v} \gamma i \alpha \nu \epsilon$, as a rule, was confined to leave takings. Lucian's little apology *Pro lapsu inter salutandum* relates to a slip of the tongue of which he had himself been guilty, in giving $\dot{v} \gamma i \alpha \nu \epsilon$ as a morning greeting, and which he treats as a very serious breach of good manners, $\ddot{l} \delta i \dot{\rho} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \delta \rho i \omega \nu$, $\kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \nu \tau o \hat{c} s \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \tau$, must have thought him mad or drunk.

- XAN. And I'm the donkey in the mystery show. But I'll not stand it, not one instant longer.
- HER. Who'll tell you everything you want to know.
 You'll find them dwelling close beside the road
 You are going to travel, just at Pluto's gate.
 And fare thee well, my brother. DIO. And to you
 Good cheer. (To Nan.) Now sirrah, pick you up the traps.
- XAN. Before I've put them down? DIO. And quickly too.
- XAN. No, prithee, no: but hire a body, one They're carrying out, on purpose for the trip.
- DIO. If I can't find one? XAN. Then I'll take them. DIO. Good.
 And see! they are carrying out a body now.
 Hallo! you there, you deadman, are you willing
 To carry down our little traps to Hades?

CORPSE. What are they? DIO. These. CORP. Two drachmas for the job?

And Hephaestion, he says a little further on, nearly died of shame, when he inadvertently gave the like morning greeting to Alexander the Great. Mr. Mitchell thinks that there is a little latent irony in the use of the two salutations here : Heracles wishing Dionysus joy in face of the perils he is about to encounter; and Dionysus wishing his gigantic brother more health and strength of mind as well as of body. Heracles now re-enters his house, and Dionysus prepares to continue his journey.

168. $\epsilon \pi i \tau \sigma \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \sigma$] For the purpose. For what purpose is not quite clear, but probably the Scholiast's explanation is right, $\epsilon \pi i \tau \delta \, d\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \, \epsilon \hat{\iota} s \, \tau \delta \nu$ "Aιδην. With $\tau \delta \tau$ " $\tilde{\epsilon} \mu$ " $\tilde{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the following line we must understand $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ or some such word.

170. $\epsilon \kappa \phi \epsilon \rho ov \sigma \iota$] At this moment a corpse, wrapped in its grave-clothes, and lying on a bier, is being carried across the stage. Dionysus approaches the bier and holds a short colloquy with its occupant. He wants the corpse to carry down to Hades their few bits of luggage, using the diminutive, σκενάρια. with the view, as Mr. Mitchell observes, of cheapening the service and driving a better bargain. The corpse demands two drachmas (12 obols) for the job: Dionysus offers a drachma and a half; but the corpse will have no chaffering. and goes on its way unheeding. The colloquy is not of much importance, but those who witnessed the performance of this play at Oxford (February, 1892) will remember how extremely effective it was upon the stage.

ΔI.	μὰ Δί', ἀλλ' ἕλαττον. ΝΕ. ὑπάγεθ' ὑμεῖς τῆς ὁδοῦ.	
ΔΙ.	άνάμεινον, ὦ δαιμόνι', ἐὰν ξυμβῶ τί σοι.	175
NE.	εἰ μὴ καταθήσεις δύο δραχμὰς, μὴ διαλέγου.	
ΔI.	λάβ' ἐννέ' ὀβολούς. ΝΕ. ἀναβιώην νυν πάλιν.	
ΞA.	ώς σεμνός ό κατάρατος·οὐκ οἰμώξεται;	
	έγὼ βαδιοῦμαι. ΔΙ. χρηστὸς εἶ καὶ γεννάδας.	
	χωρῶμεν ἐπὶ τὸ πλοῖον. ΧΑ. ἀὸπ, παραβαλοῦ.	180
ΞA.	τουτί τί ἕστι; ΔΙ. τοῦτο; λίμνη νὴ Δία	
	αὕτη 'στὶν ἣν ἔφραζε, καὶ πλοῖόν γ' ὄρῶ.	
ΞA.	νη τον Ποσειδώ, κάστι γ' ό Χάρων ούτοσί.	
ΔI.	χαῖρ' ὦ Χάρων, χαῖρ' ὦ Χάρων, χαῖρ' ὦ Χάρων.	
XA.	τίς εἰς ἀναπαύλας ἐκ κακῶν καὶ πραγμάτων;	185

174. ὑπάγεθ ὑμεῖς τῆς ὁδοῦ] Are these words addressed to the bearers, bidding them proceed on their journey, or to Dionysus and Xanthias, bidding them stand out of the way? The Scholiast gives both interpretations, first explaining ύπάγετε by αναχωρείτε, and subsequently saying το δε υπάγετε υμείς της όδοῦ ὁ νεκρός φησι πρὸς τοὺς νεκροφόρους. Opinions have differed widely on this point, but on the whole it seems to me more probable that $i\pi \dot{a}\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, with the genitive $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ ódoù, means to withdraw, retire, from the way, like $\delta \pi o \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$ and other compounds of $i\pi \phi$; and that the command therefore is directed to the travellers, and not to the bearers of the bier.

177. $d\nu a\beta\iota \phi \eta \nu$] As a living man would clinch an asseveration by such words as μηκέτι ζφην, μή νυν ζφην (Knights 833, Clouds 1255, Lysistrata 531), "May I DIE if I do !" so, conversely, the dead man emphasizes his strong determination by the ejaculation, "May I LIVE AGAIN if I do!" The corpse is now carried off the stage, and as it is presumably bound for Charon's ferry some have distributed the triple greeting to Charon, seven lines below, between three speakers, giving the first χαίρ' & Χάρων to Dionysus, the second to Xanthias, and the third to the corpse. But this is not the way of the ancient comedy. The corpse comes in for a purpose, and when that purpose is fulfilled, goes out again, and nobody is concerned with it further. And indeed the actor who represented the corpse here, is representing Charon there.

178. ώς σεμνός ό κατάρατος] So in Plutus 275, ώς σεμνός οὑπίτριπτος. The translation, of course, is Hamlet's description of the grave-digger.

179. γεννάδας] Γεννάδας εἶ and γενναῖος εἶ are common methods of commending the sentiments of a preceding speaker. See for example, Thesm. 220, Lucian's

30

THE FROGS

- DIO. Nay, that's too much. CORP. Out of the pathway, you !
- Dio. Beshrew thee, stop: may-be we'll strike a bargain.
- CORP. Pay me two drachmas, or it's no use talking.
- DIO. One and a half. CORP. I'd liefer live again !
- XAN. How absolute the knave is ! He be hanged !I'll go myself. DIO. You're the right sort, my man.Now to the ferry. CHARON. Yoh, up ! lay her to.
- XAN. Whatever's that? DIO. Why, that's the lake, by Zeus, Whereof he spake, and yon's the ferry-boat.
- XAN. Poseidon, yes, and that old fellow's Charon.
- D10. Charon! O welcome, Charon! welcome, Charon.
- CHAR. Who's for the Rest from every pain and ill?

Dialogues of the Dead, x. 13, and cf. infra 640.

180. χωρώμεν έπι τό πλοίον] They cannot yet see the ferry-boat, but they know, for Heracles has told them, that the ferry is close at hand. And now Charon is heard behind the scenes, singing out, $\dot{\omega}\dot{\partial}\pi$, $\pi a\rho a\beta a\lambda o\hat{v}$, Yoho ! Push her to ! that is to say, Lay her alongside the landingplace, for the passengers to embark (or disembark, 269 infra). And in another moment the scene is changed ; a landscape, representing the Acherusian Lake, being unrolled from the revolving pillar, $\pi\epsilon\rho ia\kappa\tau os$, on one side of the stage till it reaches the revolving pillar on the other, so as to cover the entire background: whilst Charon with his ferryboat is visible in front. It is this sudden change which makes Dionysus exclaim $\tau o \nu \tau i$ τi $\xi \sigma \tau i$; Charon is, of course, alone. In calling out $\pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda o \hat{v}$ he is merely employing the ordinary language of a ferryman, such as the Athenians were hearing in their harbours the whole day long.

184. $\chi \alpha \hat{\rho} \delta X \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] This line, as we learn from the Scholiast, is taken bodily from the Aethon, a satyric play of the tragedian Achaeus, who was, indeed, famous for his satyric dramas (Diog. Laert. bk. ii, segm. 133, Vita Menedemi). He put these words into the mouths of his riotous Satyrs as they came tumbling into the ferry-boat, much to Charon's indignation. The Satyrs were, of course, playing with the similarity of sound between $\chi \alpha \hat{\rho} \epsilon$ and $X \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$.

185. $\tau is \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] Charon makes no response, at least in words, but goes on with the ordinary business of a ferryman, calling out the various destinations for which he is ready to receive passengers. He, himself, will take them across the lake : after which they must reach their destinations as best they can. He will take passengers bound for (1) the Resting-place from cares and

- '΄ τίς εἰς τὸ Λήθης πεδίον, ἢ 'ς ὄνου πόκας, ἢ 'ς Κερβερίους, ἢ 'ς κόρακας, ἢ 'πὶ Ταίναρον;
- ΔΙ. ἐγώ. ΧΑ. ταχέως ἕμβαινε. ΔΙ. ποῦ σχήσειν δοκεῖς;
 ἐς κόρακας ὄντως; ΧΑ. ναὶ μὰ Δία, σοῦ γ' εἴνεκα.
 ἔμβαινε δή. ΔΙ. παῖ, δεῦρο. ΧΑ. δοῦλον οὐκ ἄγω, 190
 εἰ μὴ νεναυμάχηκε τὴν περὶ τῶν κρεῶν.
- ΞΑ. μὰ τὸν Δί, οὐ γὰρ ἀλλ' ἔτυχον ὀφθαλμιῶν.
- ΧΑ. ούκουν περιθρέξει δητα την λίμνην κύκλω;
- ΞΛ. ποῦ δῆτ' ἀναμενῶ; ΧΑ. παρὰ τὸν Αὐαίνου λίθον,
 ἐπὶ ταῖς ἀναπαύλαις. ΔΙ. μανθάνεις; ΞΑ. πάνυ μανθάνω. 195
 οἴμοι κακοδαίμων, τῷ ξυνέτυχον ἐξιών:
- XA. κάθιζ' ἐπὶ κώπην. εἴ τις ἔτι πλεῖ, σπευδέτω. cῦτος, τί ποιεῖς; ΔΙ. ὅ τι ποιῶ; τί δ' ἄλλο γ' ἢ ιζω 'πὶ κώπην, cὖπερ ἐκέλευές με σύ:

troubles; cf. Acharnians 757; (2) the plain through which Lethe, the water of Oblivion, flows; (3) the Donkeyshearings, the equivalent of Nothingness; (4) the Cerberians, a name which, the Scholiast remarks, is formed from Cerberus in imitation of the "Cimmerians," who, according to Homer (Od. xi. 14), dwelt near one entrance to Hades. Another entrance was at (5) Taenarum, $\chi\theta \delta\nu \iota o\nu ~\Lambda\iota \delta a ~\sigma\tau \delta\mu a$, Pindar, Pyth. iv. 44, whilst (6) és $\kappa \delta \rho a \kappa as$ is to be taken in the sense in which it is used infra 607, and constantly in Aristophanes, of absolute ruin.

189. σοῦ γ' ϵἶνϵκα] This may be intended, as the Scholiast supposes, to imitate a ferryman's politeness, Certainly, sir, to oblige you, παρόσον οὕτως οἱ ναῦται ϵἰώθασι λέγειν, χαριζόμενοι τῷ ἐπιβάτη. But it is also a jest at the expense of Dionysus, implying that his manifest destiny is to feed the *kópakas*.

191. τὴν περὶ τῶν κρεῶν] Κρεῶν, τουτέστι $\sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, say the Scholiasts, and though they give other interpretations, this is no doubt the true one. Aristophanes is transferring the language of the lawcourts to the circumstances of the naval engagement. A litigant, contending for the rights of a freeborn citizen, was said περί τοῦ σώματος ἀγωνίσασθαι (Lysias against Paneleon 16); and Aristophanes, speaking of slaves, calls the battle of Arginusae την (μάχην or ναυμαχίαν) περί $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, because the slaves, who took part in the struggle, were in like manner contending for the rights of freeborn citizens. This passage, and the former explanations of it, are more fully discussed in the Introduction.

192. où γàρ ἀλλ'] See the note on 58 supra. The word $\partial \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ is probably an allusion to some coward's excuse

Who's for the Lethe's plain? the Donkey-shearings? Who's for Cerberia? Taenarum? or the Ravens?

- DIO. I. CHAR. Hurry in. DIO. But where are you going really? In truth to the Ravens? CHAR. Aye, for your behoof. Step in. DIO. (To Xan) Now, lad. CHAR. A slave? I take no slave, Unless he has fought for his bodyrights at sea.
- XAN. I couldn't go. I'd got the eye-disease.
- CHAR. Then fetch a circuit round about the lake.
- XAN. Where must I wait? CHAR. Beside the Withering stone, Hard by the Rest. DIO. You understand? XAN. Too well. O, what ill omen crost me as I started !

D

CHAR. (To Dio.) Sit to the oar. (Calling.) Who else for the boat? Be quick. (To Dio.) Hi! what are you doing? Dio. What am I doing? Sitting On to the oar. You told me to, yourself.

for evading military service, which the audience would understand, but of which we know nothing.

194. παρά τον Αυαίνου λίθον] By the stone of Withering, a fit name for the first resting-place (ἀναπαύλαις, see supra 113), in the kingdom of the Dead. Fritzsche refers to Eustathius (on Iliad xi. 723), ή νέκρωσις αλίβαντας ποιεί και αύαίνει, έξ οῦ καὶ ὁ παμὰ τῷ Κωμικῷ Αὐαίνου λίθος έν "Αιδου πέπλασται, εἰπόντος που (infra 1089) και τον πάνυ γελώντα απαφαυανθήναι γελώντα, ὕ περ ὁ ποιητής γέλω έκθανείν λέγει. The Scholiast here says, φασι δέ Αυαίνου λίθον τινά λέγεσθαι 'A θ *invno*, a statement which Fritzsche accepts, comparing, amongst other examples, the $\Sigma_{\epsilon\iota}\lambda\eta\nu\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$ $\lambda\ell\theta\sigma\sigma$ at Athens, Pausanias, Attica, xxiii. 6. But it seems far more probable that the "stone of Havaenus" is a mere comic coinage in imitation of the "stone of Silenus" and

the like. Another suggestion of the Scholiast, viz. that $a\dot{v}a\dot{v}\nu\sigma\nu$ is to be considered both as the genitive case of Havaenus and also as the imperative of $a\dot{v}a\dot{v}\rho\mu\alpha$, meaning $\pi a\rho\dot{a} \ r\dot{\sigma}\nu \ \lambda\dot{\iota}\theta\sigma\nu$ $a\dot{v}a\dot{\iota}\nu\sigma\nu$, though adopted by Bergler, Brunck and Mitchell, is rightly rejected by Fritzsche and later editors.

196. $\tau \phi \xi \nu \nu \epsilon \tau \nu \chi o \nu$] `Aντì τοῦ, τίνι οἰωνφ συνέτυχον ἐκ τῆς οἰκίας ἰών ;—Scholiast. Many things there were, which, crossing the path of a traveller, were considered ενόδια σύμβολα, portending ill-luck to his journey. It will be sufficient to refer to Theophrastus, Charact. xvi, De superstitione; Lucian's Pseudologista 17; and the opening stanzas of Horace, Odes, iii. 27.

197. κάθιζ' ἐπὶ κώπην] Charon means "Sit to your oar." Dionysus takes him to mean "Sit on your oar," and promptly does so. The Greek words admit of either interpretation.

ΧΑ. ούκουν καθεδεί δητ' ένθαδι, γάστρων; ΔI . iδού. 200 ΧΑ. ούκουν προβαλεί τώ χείρε κάκτενείς; $\Delta I.$ idov. ΧΑ. ού μη φλυαρήσεις έχων, άλλ' άντιβάς ΔI . κάτα πως δυνήσομαι, έλας προθύμως; άπειρος, άθαλάττωτος, άσαλαμίνιος ων. είτ' έλαύνειν: ΧΑ. βάστ' άκούσει γαρ μέλη 205κάλλιστ', έπειδαν έμβάλης απαξ. $\Delta I. \tau i \nu \omega \nu$: ΧΑ. βατράχων κύκνων θαυμαστά. **ΔΙ.** κατακέλευε δή. XA. ώδη δη ώδη όπ. βρεκεκεκέ κολέ κολέ. BA. βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ. 210

200. γάστρων] Εἰσάγουσι γὰρ τὸν Διόνυσον προγάστορα καὶ οἰδαλέον ἀπὸ τῆς ἀργίας καὶ οἰνοφλυγίας.—Scholiast. ἀντιβὰς, two lines below, means "Planting your feet against the stretcher."

204. doalapinos] For the people of Salamis were constantly ferrying over from their island to the Athenian harbours. Cf. Lys. 59, 60; Eccl. 39. There is no room for the allusion, which the Scholiast suggests, to the Salaminian trireme or to the battle of Salamis.

205. $dxoi\sigma\epsilon_i$] You will hear the Frogs, he says, but he nowhere says, You will see them. And it seems quite certain that the Frogs were not visible to the audience, and that the Frog-songs were sung by musicians behind the scenes, no doubt by the singers who subsequently become the mystic Chorus of the play. $oi\chi$ $ópinrai ir \tau \hat{\rho} \thetaeiarpa oi$ $\beta dirpa \chioi, oid i <math>\chi opois, d\lambda\lambda$ i some $\mu \mu \rho \hat{v}r$ $\tau ai \tau ois \beta a \tau p \dot{\chi} \chi os.$ —Scholiast. And even as regards the actors, it seems probable that as they approach the invisible world, they are partially shrouded from the audience by some veil or other obscuring medium.

206. $\epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda \eta s$] So soon as you dip your oars in. Mr. Mitchell and others, referring to Od. x. 129, would render it "So soon as you grasp, put hand to, the oar." But the Frogs would know nothing of the matter until the oar-blade struck the water. And the real meaning is made very clear by Knights 601, 2, $\tau \dot{a}s \ \kappa \dot{\omega} \pi as \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$. . $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$. First they grasp the oars, then they $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda o \omega \sigma \iota$, dip them in the water.

207. βατράχων κύκνων] Swan-frogs. One or other of the substantives does duty for an adjective. Mr. Mitchell compares such collocations as $dv h\rho$ ναύτης, supra 139; $dv h\rho$ ποιητής, infra 1008. But the cases are not quite parallel, and Bothe's suggestion βατραχοκύκνων may very possibly be right.

209. $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \xi$ $\kappa o \delta \xi$ $\kappa o \delta \xi$] We now come to the remarkable little episode which, though it contains barely sixty lines, and is totally unconnected with the general plot, yet gives its name to CHAR. Now sit you there, you little Potgut. DIO. So?

CHAR. Now stretch your arms full length before you. DIO. So?

CHAR. Come, don't keep fooling ; plant your feet, and now Pull with a will. DIO. Why, how am I to pull? I'm not an oarsman, seaman, Salaminian. I can't ! CHAR. You can. Just dip your oar in once, You'll hear the loveliest timing songs. DIO. What from?
CHAR. Frog-swans, most wonderful. DIO. Then give the word.
CHAR. Heave ahoy ! heave ahoy !
FROGS. Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax, Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax !

the entirety of this great play. We shall hear the ghosts of the dead frogs singing in the Acherusian Lake the tunes which, when alive, they had sung in the Marshland of Athens. And although nothing can be more unlike the croaking of our English frogs than their refrain Brekekekeke, Koak, Koak, yet all observers agree that it is an exact imitation of the voices of their brethren in Greece and the countries of the Levant. "The common frogs of Greece have a note totally different from that of the frogs of the northern climates, and there cannot be a more perfect imitation of it than the 'Brekekekex, koax, koax' of Aristophanes."-Dodwell, ii. 45. Speaking of his visit to Thasos, Mr. Tozer observes, "In the stagnant water the frogs were singing 'Brekekekex, koax, koax ' as clearly as in the days of Aristophanes, the two notes being quite distinct from one another."-Islands of the Aegean, p. 309. Mr. Macgregor, in "The Rob Roy on the Jordan," chap. ix, remarks that

"the croak of a frog has been one of the best means of informing the modern world of the manner in which the ancient Greeks pronounced their beautiful language.... The frogs of the nineteenth century have probably been faithful to the pronunciation of their race in former times; and, as we listen in the still night to their curious music, it is exactly as if one set of them, perhaps the tenors, the gentlemen of the choir, kept singing 'Brekekekex,' whilst the softer wooing of the ladies is uttered always as 'Koax, koax, koax.' The din made by millions of these songsters, in a marsh many miles extended, is astounding.... Sometimes they all stop as if by command, and after a few moments of silence the catch-note of some flippant flirt just whispers once, and immediately the whole Babel resumes its universal roar." Their songs in Aristophanes have something of the grace and airiness, the detachment from human interest, which are so conspicuous in the choruses of the Birds. But we cannot wonder that

	λιμναία κρηνών τέκνα,	
	ξύναυλον ύμνων βοάν	
	φθεγξώμεθ', εύγηρυν έμαν ἀοιδαν,	
	κοὰξ κοὰξ,	
	ήν άμφὶ Νυσήιον	215
	Διός Διώνυσον έν	
	Λίμναις ἰαχήσαμεν,	
	ήνίχ' ό κραιπαλόκωμος	
	τοις ίεροισι Χύτροισι	
	χωρεί κατ' έμον τέμενος λαῶν ὄχλος.	
	βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ.	220
.1	έγὼ δέ γ' άλγεῖν ἄρχομαι	
	τόν όρρον, ὦ κοὰξ κοάξ.	
BA.	βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ.	
Δ1.	υμίν δ' ίσως οὐδεν μέλει.	

Dionysus, having to row in time with their notes, is well-nigh done to death by the rapidity and persistence of their utterances.

215. Νυσήιον] "It is impossible," as Dr. Merry observes, "to localize Nysa, for wherever the worship of Dionysus was in vogue, a Mount Nysa was sure to be found, whether in Greece, Asia Minor, Aethiopia, or India." The name Nú σa really arose from the latter half of the name $\Delta i \delta \nu \nu \sigma \sigma s$, and in the words Νυσήιον Διός Διόνυσον we have, in immediate juxtaposition, the name of the God and the fancied derivation of each part of that name. Neither here, nor in the reiterated invocations of Iacchus with which the Mystics enter, nor in the quotation from Euripides, infra 1211, does the stage Dionysus recognize any allusion to himself.

216. $\epsilon \nu$ $\Lambda i \mu \nu a is$ The district called Λίμναι, Marsh-land, was adjacent to the Acropolis (Thuc. ii. 15), and close to the Theatre (Pausanias, Attica, xx. 2). It contained τὸ ἀρχαιότατον ἱερὸν τοῦ Διονύσου in Athens (Or. in Neaeram. 76), where, Thucydides tells us, tà apyaiótepa Διονύσια τη δωδεκάτη ποιείται έν μηνί 'Αν- $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$. This was the festival of the Xóes, which antiquarians traced back to the arrival at Athens of Orestes to stand his trial for matricide. The King of Athens, whom some call Demophoon and others Pandion, wishing to show the visitor due respect, but unwilling that, while yet unacquitted, he should enter the Athenian Temples, or share the wine-cup with Athenian citizens, ordered a separate χόα οίνου έκάστω παρατεθήναι, τῷ πρώτω ἐκπιόντι εἰπών ἀθλον δοθήσεσθαι πλακούντα. παρήγγειλέ τε καί

, · ·	We children of the fountain and the lake	í.
	Let us wake the optimizing	Ţ
	Our full choir-shout, as the flutes are ringing out,	
	Our symphony of clear-voiced song.	
	The song we used to love in the Marshland up above,	
	In praise of Dionysus to produce,	
	Of Nysaean Dionysus, son of Zeus,	
	When the revel-tipsy throng, all crapulous and gay,	
	To our precinct reeled along on the holy Pitcher day.	•
. ,	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.	
Dio.	O, dear! O, dear! now I declare	
	I've got a bump upon my rump,	
FR.	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.	
Dio.	But you, perchance, don't care.	
		,

τοῦ πότου παυσαμένους, τοὺς μὲν στεφάνους οίς έστεφάνωντο πρός τὰ ίερὰ μὴ τιθέναι, διὰ τὸ ὁμωροφίους γενέσθαι τῷ 'Ορέστη' περί δε τόν χύα τόν έμυτοῦ εκαστον περιθείναι, και τη ιερεία αποφέρειν τους στεφάνους πρός τὸ ἐν Λίμναις τέμενος. καὶ ἔκτοτε τὴν ἑορτὴν κληθηναι Xóas. - Athenaeus, x. 49. See Iph. in Taur. 949-60; Suidas, s.v. Xóes. This was the origin of the drinking-competition, of which we hear so much in the later scenes of the Acharnians, and the procession with the wine-pitchers and the garlands is the revel of which the Frogs are here singing. It would seem from Athenaeus that it took place on the Xóes or Pitcher-Day, whereas the Frogs talk of its occurring on the Xúrpoi or Pot-Day. But in truth these Anthesterian Dionysia seem to have been originally a one-day festival only (Thuc. ubi supra), called from its principal event, the Xóes; the $\Pi_i \theta_{0i} \gamma_i a$, the Xóes, and the $X \dot{\upsilon} \tau \rho \sigma \iota$, being merely three functions taking place on the same day, in ma ήμέρα ἄγονται οἱ Χύτροι καὶ οἱ Χόες.— Suidas, s.v. Xúτροι; Schol. at Ach. 1076. Afterwards they were expanded into three days; the Iliboryia or Broaching of the casks being celebrated on the eleventh of Anthesterion (Plutarch, Conviv. Problems, iii. 7); the Xóes on the twelfth (Harpocration and Suidas, s.v.); and the $X'_{i\tau\rho\sigma}$ on the thirteenth (Philochorus, cited by Harpocration and Suidas, s.v.). When the festival was instituted the $\Lambda'_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ were doubtless real marshes, a fit recreation-ground for the φιλωδόν γένος.

219. $\kappa a \tau' \epsilon \mu \partial \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o s$] The Frogs rather pertly claim as their own precinct what was really the precinct of Dionysus.

βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ. 225 BA. άλλ' έξόλοισθ' αύτῷ κοάξ. $\Delta I.$ ούδεν γάρ έστ' άλλ' ή κοάξ. εἰκότως γ', ὦ πολλὰ πράτ-BA. των έμε γαρ έστερξαν εύλυροί τε Μοῦσαι καὶ κεροβάτας Πὰν, ὁ καλαμόφθογγα παίζων. 230 προσεπιτέρπεται δ' ό φορμικτάς Άπόλλων, ένεκα δόνακος, δν ύπολύριον ένυδρον έν λίμναις τρέφω. βρεκεκεκέξ κοάξ κοάξ. 235 έγω δε φλυκταίνας γ' έχω, ΔI . χώ πρωκτός ίδίει πάλαι,

228. & πολλà πράττων] Dionysus, with the $\pi o \lambda u \pi \rho a \gamma \mu o \sigma u \nu \eta$ of an Athenian (and in Aristophanes almost everybody has the characteristics of an Athenian) must needs be criticizing what he does not understand. It is quite natural that the Frogs should keep up a constant chorus of music, for, on the strength of the reeds amongst which they dwell, they claim the special favour and friendship of the gods who require the reed for their musical instruments, the Muses and Apollo and Pan. Pan's syrinx was merely a row of reeds, fastened together with thread and beeswax; $\sigma \dot{\nu} \rho_{ij} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ συνθήκη καλάμων λίνω καὶ κηρῷ συνδε- $\theta \epsilon i \sigma a$. – Pollux, iv. 69. "Pan primus calamos cera conjungere plures Instituit."--Virg. Ecl. ii. 33. ó κηροδέτας κάλαμος οὐρείου Πανός.—Iph. in Taur. 1126. Cf. Plato's 14th Epigram in the Anthology. Nor was the reed less useful in the lyre, the favourite instrument of Apollo and the Muses. For though Aristophanes avails himself of the variety

38

of names to call the Muses $\epsilon \tilde{\nu} \lambda \nu \rho \omega$ and Apollo & popularias, yet undoubtedly the lyre and the $\phi \delta \rho \mu \gamma \xi$, and for the matter of that the $\kappa i \theta a \rho i s$ also, were originally the same instrument (Gevaert, La Musique de l'Antiquité, ii. 249), and the reed was used for what the French call the cheralet, and we the bridge, the part which keeps the strings from coming into contact with the body of the instrument. See the note on $\dot{\upsilon}\pi o\lambda \dot{\upsilon}\rho i o\nu$, infra 233. The invention of the lyre is described in the Homeric Hymn to Hermes 41–51. Hermes chanced to find a tortoise-shell, and having scooped out its occupant, he cut some reeds, δόνακας καλάμοιο, by measure, μέτροισι, and drilled them through the shell. Then he wrapped the shell in a piece of bull's hide and inserted two side-pieces, $\pi \eta \chi \epsilon \iota s$, with a cross-piece, (vyou, at the top from one side-piece to the other. Finally he stretched seven strings of sheep-gut from the ζυγόν to the shell. In this case it would seem that seven pieces

THE FROGS

Fr.	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.
DIO.	Hang you, and your ko-axing too !
	There's nothing but ko-ax with you.
FR.	That is right, Mr. Busybody, right !
	For the Muses of the lyre love us well;
	And hornfoot Pan who plays on the pipe his jocund lays;
	And Apollo, Harper bright, in our Chorus takes delight;
	For the strong reed's sake which I grow within my lake
	To be girdled in his lyre's deep shell.
	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.
D10.	My hands are blistered very sore ;
	My stern below is sweltering so,
D10.	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax. My hands are blistered very sore ;

of reed must have been driven through the shell, each supporting a string. The $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha\,\delta\delta\nu\alpha\kappa\sigma\sigma$ below, though in terms applicable only to Apollo and the Muses, refers, in truth, to all the divinities mentioned. From the manifold use of the reed in musical instruments, it is called by Apuleius, in the tale of Cupid and Psyche, *Musicae suavis nutricula*. 230. κεροβάτας] Three interpretations of this word are mentioned by the Scholiast, (1) horned, (2) horn-footed, (3) haunting the mountain peaks. All three characteristics are recognized at the very outset of the Homeric Hymn (xviii) to Pan, whom the poet describes as alyuπόδην, δικέρωτα,

δς πάντα λόφον νιφόεντα λέλογχεν, καὶ κορυφὰς ὀρέων καὶ πετρήεντα κέλευθα (2-7; cf. 10, 11).

And see the passage from Euripides quoted in the preceding note. Here $\kappa\epsilon\rho\rho\beta\dot{a}\tau as$ doubtless means horn-footed, since horns on the head can hardly be worked into a compound with $\beta a\dot{i}\nu\omega$; and the Frogs would know nothing of Pan on the mountain peaks. With $\kappa a\lambda a\mu \dot{o} \theta \theta o \gamma \mu a$ we must understand $\pi a \dot{i} \gamma \mu a \tau a$, or some such word.

233. ὑπολύριον] ὅΟτι κάλαμος πάλαι ἀντὶ τοῦ κέρατος ὑπετίθετο τῆ λύρα.—Scholiast. That is, as the bridge; see note on 228 supra. Precisely the same explanation is given, as Kuster observes, by Hesychius, s.v. δόνακα ὑπολύριον; Etym. Magn., s.v. δόνακες; Pollux, iv. segm. 62, and Eustathius on Iliad xviii. 576.

236. φλυκταίνας] Τὰ τῶν χειρῶν ἐπαναστήματα ἀπὸ τοῦ κωπηλατεῖν. λείπει δὲ ἐν ταῖς χερσίν.—Scholiast. Cf. Wasps 1119. The βρεκεκεκὲξ κοὰξ κοὰξ which concludes this little speech is intended to take the place of the παπαπαππὰξ of Clouds 391.

κἇτ' αὐτίκ' ἐγκύψας ἐρεῖ	· *.
βρεκεκεκέξ κοάξ κοάξ.	÷ 1
άλλ', ώ φιλωδον γένος,	240
παύσασθέ. ΒΑ. μαλλον μέν οὖν	
φθεγξόμεσθ', εί δή ποτ' εύ-	
ηλίοις ἐν ἁμέραισιν	
ήλάμεσθα διὰ κυπείρου	
καὶ φλέω, χαίροντες ὦδῆς	
πολυκολύμβοισιν μέλεσσιν,	245
ή Διὸς φεύγοντες ὄμβρον	
ένυδρον έν βυθφ χορείαν	
αἰόλαν ἐφθεγξάμεσθα	
πομφολυγοπαφλάσμασιν.	
βρεκεκεκέξ κοάξ κοάξ.	$\dot{2}50$
τουτὶ παρ' ὑμῶν λαμβάνω.	
δεινά τάρα πεισόμεσθα.	
δεινότερα δ' έγωγ', έλαύνων	
εἰ διαρραγήσομαι.	255
βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ.	
οἰμώζετ'· οὐ γάρ μοι μέλει.	
άλλὰ μὴν κεκραξόμεσθά γ	
όπόσον ή φάρυγξ ἂν ήμῶν	
χανδάνη δι' ήμέρας	260
βρεκεκεκέξ κοάξ κοάξ.	
τούτω γὰρ οὐ νικήσετε.	
	βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ. ἀλλ', ὡ ψιλφόδν γένος, παύσασθε. ΒΑ. μᾶλλον μεν οὖν φθεγξόμεσθ', εἰ δή ποτ' εὐ- ηλίοις ἐν ἁμέραισιν ἡλάμεσθα διὰ κυπείρου καὶ φλέω, χαίροντες ῷδῆς πολυκολύμβοισιν μέλεσσιν, ἢ Διος φεύγοντες ὅμβρον ἔνυδρον ἐν βυθῷ χορείαν αἰόλαν ἐφθεγξάμεσθα πομφολυγοπαφλάσμασιν. βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ. τουτὶ παρ' ὑμῶν λαμβάνω. δεινά τἄρα πεισόμεσθα. δεινότερα δ' ἔγωγ', ἐλαύνων εἰ διαρραγήσομαι. βρεκεκεκξ κοὰξ κοάξ. οἰμώζετ'· οὐ γάρ μοι μέλει. αλλὰ μὴν κεκραξόμεσθα γ' ὅπόσον ἡ φάρυγξ ἂν ἡμῶν χανδάνῃ δι' ἡμέρας βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ.

241. $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \delta \nu$] Far from acceding to the weary oarsman's request for peace, the Frogs announce their intention of singing their very best and loudest. $\kappa i \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma s$ is the Latin cyperos, the English galingale, a plant common in lakes and marshes. The root is aromatic, and was formerly much used for medicinal purposes. $\phi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ seems to be our water-flag. 251. $\tau \sigma v \tau i$] The $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \xi$ koà ξ koà ξ . This, says Dionysus, I take or borrow from you; $\tau \dot{\sigma} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota v \beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \xi \pi a \rho' \dot{\upsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \mu a \theta o v$.—Scholiast. In the preceding line, he has for the first time chimed in, and shouted the refrain in competition with the Frogs.

	'Twill soon, I know, upturn and roar
	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.
	O tuneful race, O pray give o'er,
	O sing no more. FR. Ah, no ! ah, no !
	Loud and louder our chant must flow.
	Sing if ever ye sang of yore,
	When in sunny and glorious days
	Through the rushes and marsh-flags springing
	On we swept, in the joy of singing
	Myriad-diving roundelays.
	Or when fleeing the storm, we went
	Down to the depths, and our choral song
	Wildly raised to a loud and long
	Bubble-bursting accompaniment.
Fr. and Dio.	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.
D10.	This timing song I take from you.
FR.	That's a dreadful thing to do.
D10.	Much more dreadful, if I row
	Till I burst myself, I trow.
FR. and D10.	Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.
Dio.	Go, hang yourselves; for what care I?
Fr.	All the same we'll shout and cry,
r	Stretching all our throats with song,
	Shouting, crying, all day long,
Fr. and Dio.	Brekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.
Dio.	In this you'll never, never win.

255. εἰ διαρραγήσομαί] Dionysus must either row slower or burst. The time, which their song gives, requires a quicker stroke than he can keep up. Therefore he must stop their song, and this he hopes to do by out-shouting them in their own refrain.

262. τούτω] Τῷ λέγειν βρεκεκεκέξ.---

Scholiast. The contest between them is which can most effectively sing the words $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \xi \kappa \alpha \delta \xi$. In lines 250, 256, and 261, Dionysus and the Frogs exercise their musical powers simultaneously, but in 267 Dionysus has it all his own way. The contest is a purely vocal one, and the notion

ł

BA.	οὐδὲ μὴν ἡμᾶς σὺ πάντως.	
.1د	ούδὲ μὴν ὑμεῖς γ' ἐμέ.	
	ούδέποτε· κεκράξομαι γὰρ,	26 5
	κάν με δέη δι' ήμέρας,	
	ξως αν ύμων έπικρατήσω τοῦ κοὰξ,	
	βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοάξ.	
	έμελλον άρα παύσειν ποθ' ύμας τοῦ κοάξ.	
XA.	ώ παῦε παῦε, παραβαλοῦ τῷ κωπίω.	
	έκβαιν', ἀπόδος τὸν ναῦλον. ΔΙ. ἕχε δὴ τώβολώ.	270
	ό Ξανθίας. ποῦ Ξανθίας; ή Ξανθίας;	
ΞA.	ίαῦ. ΔΙ. βάδιζε δεῦρο. ΞΑ. χαῖρ', ὦ δέσποτα.	
.1د	τί ἐστι τἀνταυθί; ΞΑ. σκότος καὶ βόρβορος.	
ΔI .	κατείδες οὖν που τοὺς πατραλοίας αὐτόθι	
	καὶ τοὺς ἐπιόρκους, οὺς ἔλεγεν ἡμῖν; ΞΑ. σὺ δ' οὕ;	275
.1د	νὴ τὸν Ποσειδῶ 'γωγε, καὶ νυνί γ' ὁρῶ.	
	άγε δη, τί δρώμεν ; ΞΑ. προϊέναι βέλτιστα νών,	
	ώς ούτος ό τόπος έστιν ού τὰ θηρία	
	τὰ δείν' ἔφασκ' ἐκεῖνος. ΔΙ. ὡς οἰμώξεται.	
	ήλαζονεύεθ', ίνα φοβηθείην έγω,	280
	είδώς με μάχιμον όντα, φιλοτιμούμενος.	

that Dionysus is striking at the Frogs with his oar, a notion first broached by Frere and Mitchell in their translations (Mr. Mitchell translates this episode in an Appendix to his edition), and afterwards gravely advocated by Fritzsche, is contrary to the whole spirit of the scene.

268. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ μελλον κ.τ.λ.] To the last βρεκεκεκέξ κοὰξ κοὰξ the Frogs make no response. They have given up the contest. 269. παραβαλοῦ τῷ κωπίφ] Bring her to with the oar, remo navem ad littus appelle, as Kuster translates it. Cf. supra 180, Knights 762.

270. $d\pi \delta \delta \sigma \tau \delta \nu \nu a \partial \lambda \delta \nu$] Pay your fare. Lucian doubtless had his eye on this passage in his twenty-second Dialogue of the Dead, where Charon has just ferried over Menippus, the Cynic, who is everywhere in Lucian the type of the honest and penniless philosopher. Charon wants his fare :

CHARON. ἀπόδος, ῶ κατάρατε, τὰ πορθμία.
MENIPPUS. βόα, εἰ τοῦτό σοι "ἤδιον, ῶ Χάρων.
CHARON. ἀπόδος, φημὶ, ἀνθ' ῶν σε διεπορθμευσάμην.

 $\mathbf{42}$

FR. This you shall not beat us in.

D10. No, nor ye prevail o'er me. Never! never! I'll my song Shout, if need be, all day long, Until I've learned to master your ko-ax. Brekekekex, ko-ax, ko-ax.

I thought I'd put a stop to your ko-ax.

- CHAR. Stop! Easy! Take the oar and push her to. Now pay your fare and go. Dio. Here 'tis: two obols. Xanthias! where's Xanthias? Is it Xanthias there?
- XAN. Hoi, hoi! DIO. Come hither. XAN. Glad to meet you, master.
- DIO. What have you there? XAN. Nothing but filth and darkness.
- DIO. But tell me, did you see the parricides And perjured folk he mentioned? XAN. Didn't you?
- DIO. Poseidon, yes. Why look! (Pointing to the audience) I see them now. What's the next step? XAN. We'd best be moving on. This is the spot where Heracles declared Those savage monsters dwell. DIO. O hang the fellow. That's all his bluff: he thought to scare me off, The jealous dog, knowing my plucky ways.

ΜΕΝΙΡΡΟΣ. οὐκ ἀν λάβοις παρὰ τοῦ μὴ ἔχοντος. CHARON. ἔστι δέ τις ὀβολον μὴ ἔχων; ΜΕΝΙΡΡΟΣ. εἰ μὲν καὶ ἄλλος τις, οὐκ οἶδα' ἐγὼ δὲ οὐκ ἔχω. And so on.

271. $\hat{\eta} \equiv \alpha \nu \beta i as;$] Is that you, Xanthias? They have now crossed the water, and are in Hades itself, and it is so dark that Dionysus cannot be sure who the approaching figure is.

276. νυνί γ' όρῶ] He looks at the audience, who always relished a joke at their own expense.

281. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \mu o \nu$] The abject little coward wishes it to be understood that Heracles

was alarmed lest Dionysus, if he went below, should perform such prodigies of valour as would throw the labours of Heracles into the shade, and therefore tried to choke him off the expedition by exaggerating the difficulties in the way. But as Bergler says, "res ipsa mox indicabit quam sit $\mu \dot{a} \chi \mu os$." The following line, the Scholiast tells us, is borrowed from the Philocetes of Euripides,

ούδεν γάρ ούτω γαύρον ώς άνηρ έφυ.

B'A'T'PAXO'I

οὐδὲν γὰρ οὕτω γαῦρόν ἐσθ' ὡς Ἡρακλῆς.	
έγω δέ γ' εύξαίμην αν έντυχειν τινι,	1
λαβείν τ' άγώνισμ' άξιόν τι της όδου.	
ΞΑ. νὴ τὸν Δία. καὶ μὴν αἰσθάνομαι ψόφου τινός.	285
ΔΙ. ποῦ ποῦ 'στιν; ΞΑ. ἐξόπισθεν. ΔΙ. ἐξόπισθ' ἴθι.	
ΞΑ. ἀλλ' ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ πρόσθε. ΔΙ. πρόσθε νυν ἴθι.	
ΞΑ. καὶ μὴν ὁρῶ νὴ τὸν Δία θηρίον μέγα.	
ΔΙ. ποίόν τι; ΞΑ. δεινόν παντοδαπόν γοῦν γίγνεται	
τότε μέν γε βοῦς, νυνὶ δ' ὀρεὑς, τότε δ' αῦ γυνὴ	290
ώραιοτάτη τις. ΔΙ. ποῦ 'στι; φέρ' ἐπ' αὐτὴν ἴω.	
ΞΑ. ἀλλ' οὐκέτ' αὖ γυνή 'στιν, ἀλλ' ἤδη κύων.	
ΔΙ. ἕΕμπουσα τοίνυν ἐστί. ΞΑ. πυρὶ γοῦν λάμπεται	
άπαν τὸ πρόσωπον. ΔΙ. καὶ σκέλσς χαλκοῦν ἔχει;	
ΞΑ. νὴ τὸν Ποσειδῶ, καὶ βολίτινον θάτερον,	295
σάφ' ἴσθι. ΔΙ. ποῖ δητ' ἂν τραποίμην ; ΞΑ. ποῖ δ' ἐγα	ώ;
ΔΙ. ίερεῦ, διαφύλαξόν μ', ϊν' ὦ σοι ξυμπότης.	

Musgrave, and subsequent collectors of Euripidean fragments, combine this line with others found in Aristotle, Stobaeus, etc., and make the whole passage part of the prologue, supposed to be spoken by Odysseus.

285. νη τον Δία] Xanthias assents to the eulogy which his master passes on his own prowess, but of course only ironically; and immediately proceeds to put it to the test. It is difficult to say whether, in the scene which follows, we are to understand Xanthias as really seeing what he describes, or merely pretending to do so, for the purpose of frightening his master.

293. "E $\mu\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$] Empusa, who is mentioned again in Eccl. 1056, was a frightful hobgoblin, specially noted for its incessant changes of shape. In his scurrilous attack on the parentage of Aeschines, Demosthenes declares that his rival's mother was nicknamed Empusa, ἐκ τοῦ πάντα ποιεῖν καὶ πάσχειν καὶ γίγνεσθαι δηλουότι ταύτης τῆς ἐπωνυμίας τυχοῦσα.—De Corona 130. Lucian (de Saltatione 19), speaking of an Egyptian dancer πρὸς πάντα σχηματίζεσθαι καὶ μεταβάλλεσθαι δυνάμενον, remarks εἰκάζειν δὲ χρὴ καὶ τὴν Ἐμπουσαν, τὴν ἐς μυρίας μορφἀς μεταβάλλομένην, τοιαύτην τινὰ ἄνθρωπον ὑπὸ τοῦ μύθου παραδεδόσθαι. The Scholiast here defines Empusa as a φάντασμα δαιμονιῶδες ὑπὸ Ἐκάτης ἐπιπεμπόμενον.

294. ^άπαν τὸ πρόσωπον] Sane igni corruscat tota facie.—Bergler, Brunck. "She is ablaze with fire all over her face."

297. ἱερεῦ] Παρὰ ταῖς θέαις προεδρία ἐτετίμητο ὁ ἱερεὺς τοῦ Διονύσου.—Scholiast. There's no such swaggerer lives as Heraeles.

Why, I'd like nothing better than to achieve Some bold adventure, worthy of our trip.

- XAN. I know you would. Hallo! I hear a noise.
- DIO. Where ? what ? XAN. Behind us, there. DIO. Get you behind.
- XAN. No, it's in front. Dio. Get you in front directly.
- XAN. And now I see the most ferocious monster.
- DIO. O, what's it like? XAN. Like everything by turns.
 Now it's a bull: now it's a mule: and now
 The loveliest girl. DIO. O, where? I'll go and meet her.
- XAN. It's ceased to be a girl: it's a dog now.
- DIO. It is Empusa! XAN. Well, its face is all Ablaze with fire. DIO. Has it a copper leg?
- XAN. A copper leg? yes, one; and one of cow dung.
- DIO. O, whither shall I flee? XAN. O, whither I?
- Dio. My priest, protect me, and we'll sup together.

In these dramatic contests, which were part of the religious festival of the Dionysia, the priest of Dionysus was, so to say, the Chairman who presided over the proceedings. He sat in a conspicuous seat or throne in the centre of the front row (the semicircle which half surrounded the orchestra), with thirty-three honoured guests on each side. The very throne on which, in later days, he sat has been unearthed during the excavations recently made in the Athenian Theatre, and still bears the legible inscription, Ίερεὺς Διονύσου Ἐλευθερέως. The Temple of Διόνυσος $E_{\lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \epsilon \upsilon s}$ (which must be distinguished from the apyaioratov ispòv mentioned in note on 216 supra), was situated within the circumference of the Theatre (Pausanias, Attica xx. 2), and derived its

name from the fact that the wooden statue it contained had been brought from the border town of Eleutherae under Mount Cithaeron (Id. xxxviii, 8). Its foundations are believed to have been recently discovered, apparently at the back of the stage. See Mr. Haigh's Attic Theatre, iii. 4 and vii. 3. In the latter chapter is given an admirable illustration of the priest's marble throne. It is to this exalted personage that Dionysus appeals from the stage, trusting that he will not permit the God, whom he serves, to perish in this ignominious manner. The Chorus have not yet entered the orchestra, so that nothing intervenes between the actor and the priest. If Dionysus survives, he will come and join his priest in the potations to which they are both ad-

ΞA.	ἀπολούμεθ', ὦναζ 'Ηράκλεις. ΔΙ. οὐ μὴ καλεῖς μ', ὦνθρωφ', ἰκετεύω, μηδὲ κατερεῖς τοὔνομα.
ΞA.	Διόνυσε τοίνυν. ΔΙ. τοῦτό γ' ἔθ' ἦττον θατέρου. 300
ΞA.	ίθ' ήπερ ἕρχει. δεῦρο δεῦρ', ὦ δέσποτα.
Δ1.	τί δ' έστι ; ΞΑ. θάρρει· πάντ' ἀγαθὰ πεπράγαμεν,
	έξεστί β' ὥσπερ 'Ηγέλοχος ἡμῖν λέγειν·
	έκ κυμάτων γὰρ αὖθις αὖ γαλῆν ὁρῶ.
	ήμπουσα φρούδη. ΔΙ. κατόμοσον. ΞΑ. νη τον Δία. 305
ΔI.	καὖθις κατόμοσον. ΞΑ. νὴ Δί [°] . ΔΙ. ὄμοσον. ΞΑ. νὴ Δία.
	οἵμοι τάλας, ὡς ὡχρίασ' αὐτὴν ἰδών.
	όδὶ δὲ δείσας ὑπερεπυρρίασέ μου.
$\Delta I.$	οἴμοι, πόθεν μοι τὰ κακὰ ταυτὶ προσέπεσεν;
	<i>τίν' αἰτιάσομαι θεῶν μ' ἀπολλύναι</i> ; 310

dicted, and at which the priest could have no more welcome guest than the God of Wine.

304. γαλ $\hat{\eta}\nu$ δρ $\hat{\omega}$] In the still-extant Orestes of Euripides, the hero recovering from a paroxysm of frenzy, says ¿κ κυμάτων γάρ αδθις αδ γαλήν όρω (279), After the storm I see afresh fine weather. Hegelochus, who acted the part, when reciting the line made a slight involuntary pause (επιλείψαντος τοῦ πνεύματος, spiritu deficiente), after yaláv', so rounding it off into a complete word, as if the poet had written $\gamma a \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega}$, I see a cat. A similar pause after the first syllable of a-fresh in the English line would change it into After the storm I see a fresh fine wether. That the mishap of Hegelochus became a favourite jest amongst the Athenian wits, we learn from the Scholiasts here and on the Orestes. Thus, in an unnamed Comedy of Strattis, one of the speakers seems to have declaimed

the line after the fashion of Hegelochus, whereupon the other calls out excitedly ποί ποί γαλην; & πρός θεών, ποί ποί γαλην; on which the first explains that the word he used was $\gamma a \lambda \eta \nu \dot{a}$, and the second replies γαλήν'; έγω δ' ωμην σε λέγειν, $ya\lambda \hat{\eta}\nu$ όρώ, for so I think we should read the passage. Thus again, Sannyrion, in his Danae, representing Zeus as anxious to get into the tower through a little chink, makes him say, "Into what shall I change myself, to creep through the chink unobserved? Shall I change myself into a $\gamma a \lambda \hat{\eta}$? But then Hegelochus would betray me, calling out with all his might $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \ddot{\vartheta} \theta_{is}$ αθ γαλην όρω."

307. $\partial \chi \rho i a \sigma' a \partial \tau \eta \nu i \partial \delta \nu$] All editors give this line to Dionysus, forgetting that he had never seen the spectral figure: though even had he done so, he would have been the last person to admit his own cowardice. The following line

- XAN. King Heracles, we're done for. DIO. O, forbear, Good fellow, call me anything but that.
- XAN. Well then, Dionysus. DIO. O, that's worse again.
- XAN. (To the Spectre.) Aye, go thy way. O master, here, come here.
- DIO. O, what's up now? XAN. Take courage; all's serene.
 And, like Hegelochus, we now may say
 "Out of the storm there comes a new fine wether."
 Empusa's gone. DIO. Swear it. XAN. By Zeus she is.
- DIO. Swear it again. XAN. By Zeus. DIO. Again. XAN. By Zeus. O dear, O dear, how pale I grew to see her, But he, from fright has yellowed me all over.
- D10. Ah me, whence fall these evils on my head? Who is the god to blame for my destruction?

is usually translated, and the interpretation seems to be as old as Heliodorus (Aethiopics, iii. 5), But he (the priest), out of fear, blushed for me; on which I take the liberty of remarking (1) that όδì would naturally refer to the speaker's companion on the stage rather than to one of the spectators; see Wasps 78 and the note there; (2) that a blush is $\epsilon \rho v \theta \rho \delta v$, a totally different colour to $\pi \nu \rho \rho \delta \nu$; (3) that it is more nonsense to say that a man, out of fear, blushed for another (see Lucian's Anacharsis 33); and (4) that if we look to the manner in which Aristophanes elsewhere connects the colour $\pi v \rho \rho \delta \nu$ with the effect of Séos, we cannot doubt that the real meaning is At ille (Dionysus) prae timore in me cacavit. Cf. Eccl. 1061 πυρρόν όψει μ' αὐτίκα Υπό τοῦ δέους. Id. 329, 330; Knights 900, where again some absurdly translate $\pi v \rho \rho \delta s$, blushing. It is, in my opinion, to the state of his garments, which Xanthias has only just discovered, that the ejaculation $o_{\mu\mu\mu}^{i}$ $\tau i \lambda as$, at the commencement of the speech, is intended to apply. I see that Van Leeuwen, in his edition published many years after this note was written, takes the true view of the word $i \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \pi v \rho \rho i a \sigma \epsilon$, and quotes Bakhuyzen as doing the same : but as they both leave 307 to Dionysus, read σov at the end of 308 and explain $\delta \delta i$, Bakhuyzen by $\delta \pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau \delta s$, and Van Leeuwen by $\delta \kappa \rho \kappa \omega \tau \delta s$, they can hardly be said to have done much to elucidate the passage.

310. $\tau i\nu'$ airiáooµau $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] He asks about himself what, in Medea 1208, Creon asks about his hapless daughter, $\tau is \sigma' \delta \delta' d \tau i \mu \omega s \delta a \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu d \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$; and his mind being full of Euripidean phraseology, and remembering that $\lambda i \partial \eta \rho$ was one of the poet's new-fangled deities (infra 892), he asks whether Aether is the God to be blamed for his

47

αἰθέρα Διὸς δωμάτιον, ἢ χρόνου πόδα:	<i>.:</i>
(αὐλεῖ τις ἔνδοθεν.,	
ΔΙ. οὖτος. ΞΑ. τί ἔστιν; ΔΙ. οὐ κατήκουσας; ΔΙ. αὐλῶν πνοῆς. ΞΑ. ἔγωγε, καὶ δάδαν γέ με αὔρα τις εἰσέπνευσε μυστικωτάτη.	ΞΑ. τίνος;
ΔΙ. ἀλλ' ἡρεμεὶ πτήξαντες ἀκροασώμεθα. ΧΟ. ["] Ιακχ', ὦ ["] Ιακχε. "Ιακχ', ὦ ["] Ιακχε.	315
 ΞΑ. τοῦτ' ἔστ' ἐκεῖν', ὡ δέσποθ', οἱ μεμυημένοι ἐνταῦθά που παίζουσιν, οὑs ἔφραζε νῷν. ẳδουσι γοῦν τὸν Ἱακχον ὅνπερ Διαγόρας. ΔΙ. κἀμοὶ δοκοῦσιν. ἡσυχίαν τοίνυν ἄγειν βέλτιστόν ἐστιν, ὡs ἂν εἰδῶμεν σαφῶς. 	320
XO. "Ιακχ', ὦ πολυτίμοις ἐν ἕδραις ἐνθάδε ναίων, "Ιακχ', ὦ "Ιακχε,	325

misfortunes, and, naming $Al\theta \epsilon \rho a$, goes on, irrelevantly, through the rest of line 100 supra. This I think is what the passage means, and not, as the Scholiasts suggest, that Dionysus is speaking of Euripides himself as being, indirectly, the author of his troubles. They explain $a \partial \epsilon \rho a \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. by $d \nu \tau i \tau o \hat{\nu}$ τόν Ευριπίδην αυτού γαρ δ ίαμβος. ή την έπιθυμίαν Εύριπίδου τοῦ ταῦτα λέγοντος. αὐτὸς γὰρ αἴτιος τοῦ ταῦτα παθείν τὸν Διόνυσον. και γάρ δι' αὐτὸν κατῆλθεν εἰς Αιδου. The words which follow in the text, αὐλεῖ τις ἔνδοθεν, are a stage direction, $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \pi i \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, as the Scholiast observes, σημαίνει γαρ ότι έσωθέν τις ηύλησε μή όρώμενος τοῖς θεαταῖς.

316. 'Ia $\kappa\chi$ ', & 'Ia $\kappa\chi\epsilon$] We have already heard the Chorus, chanting the songs of

the Frogs, but we did not see them. Nor indeed are they yet visible, but they are approaching in their proper character, as the Mystic Chorus of the play. The wayfarers hear a shout, and recognize τὸν μυστικὸν "Ιακχον; just as Demaratus of Sparta and Dicaeus the Athenian heard and recognized it during the Persian invasion, and knew that the invisible powers of Eleusis were moving forth to do battle at Salamis against the enemies of Hellas, Hdt. viii. 65. Though Iacchus, the associate of Demeter and Persephone, was originally quite distinct from the Theban Dionysus, yet their attributes were in some respects so similar, that the process of identification had commenced long before the exhibition of the Frogs. See the Choral

THE FROGS

Air, Zeus's chamber, or the Foot of Time?

(A flute is played behind the scenes.)

DIO. Hist! XAN. What's the matter? DIO. Didn't you hear it? XAN. What?

DIO. The breath of flutes. XAN. Aye, and a whiff of torches Breathed o'er me too; a very mystic whiff.

D10. Then crouch we down, and mark what's going on.

CHORUS. (In the distance.)

O Iacehus!

O Iacchus! O Iacchus!

- XAN. I have it, master: 'tis those blessed Mystics, Of whom he told us, sporting hereabouts. They sing the Iacchus which Diagoras made.
- DIO. I think so too: we had better both keep quiet And so find out exactly what it is.

(The calling forth of Iacchus.)

CHOR. O Iacchus! power excelling, here in stately temples dwelling, O Iacchus! O Iacchus!

Ode (1083-1120) in the Antigone of Sophocles, which preceded the present play by more than thirty years. But in this play there is no identification : and probably it would have seemed irreverent even to suggest that the Dionysus, here put upon the stage, bore any resemblance to the sacred and mystical Iacchus.

320. $\Delta \iota_{a\gamma\delta\rho as}$] $M\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu\pi\sigma\sigma\eta\tau\eta s$ äbeos, says the Scholiast, identifying the lyric poet, of whose poems only four lines remain (Bergk's Poetae Lyrici Graeci), with the notorious Diagoras of Melos; but this identification is not universally accepted. The lyric poet seems to have composed a processional melody for the use of the initiated. Apollodorus, the Scholiast tells us, preferred to read $\delta \iota'$ àyopâs,

which was also the original reading of the Venetian MS., though afterwards corrected. This would make the line mean τόν "Ιακχον, όν άδουσιν έξ άστεως διά της άγορας έξιόντες είς Ἐλευσινα.-Scholiast. But even if the procession passed through the agora, which seems more than doubtful, and had a special hymn for that section of their journey, which is not very probable, it is clear that it is not passing through the agora now. The initiated are now gathering and singing before the Temple of Demeter, calling upon Iacchus to come forth and lead them out, and until he appears the procession will not start. See the next note.

323. ^{*}Iaκχ', ὦ πολυτίμοις κ.τ.λ.] The Chorus now make their appearance,

έλθε τόνδ' ἀνὰ λειμῶνα χορεύσων,	
όσίους ές θιασώτας,	
πολύκαρπον μέν τινάσσων	
περὶ σῷ κρατὶ βρύοντα	
στέφανον μύρτων· θρασεί δ' έγκατακρούων	330
ποδὶ τὴν ἀκόλαστον	
φιλοπαίγμονα τιμήν,	
χαρίτων πλεΐστον έχουσαν μέρος, άγνην, όσίοις	335
άμα μύσταισι χορείαν.	

clothed in their robes of initiation, and carrying lighted torches, real or pretended, in their hands. The strophe which forms their Parodos or entrance song is a little Ionic a minore system, not $\kappa_{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}\rho_{\alpha}\nu_{\nu}$, in the language of metrical writers, but ἐπίμικτον, admitting amongst the regular Ionics $(\bigcirc \bigcirc \frown \frown)$ an occasional bacchic (--), caric (--), anapaest and cretic. The second line, ^{*}laκχ', & ^{*}laκχε, may be regarded as an ejaculation extra metrum. For the next 137 lines the Chorus are represented as rehearsing in the world below the early stages, as much, no doubt, as the general populace of Athens would see, of that great annual procession from the Cerameicus to Eleusis (ἀπὸ τοῦ Κεραμεικοῦ εἰς *Eλευσίνα, Scholiast on 395 and 399), in which they themselves, when alive, had been accustomed to participate. It took place in September, εἰκάδι Βοηδρομιῶνος τὸν Ίακχον ἐξ ἄστεος Ἐλευσῖνάδε πέμπουσιν. -Plutarch, Phocion 28. The Chorus must be supposed to have mustered in the great building provided for the marshalling of these and similar processions, and they are now calling Iacchus to come from the adjoining Temple of the Eleusinian deities, and be their divine companion on the long twelve miles journey. That building and that temple were just within the Peiraeic gate, and in, or close to the boundary of, the Inner Cerameicus. Elσελθόντων δέ είς την πόλιν (from Peiraeus) οἰκοδόμημα ἐς παρασκευήν ἐστι τῶν πομπῶν άς πέμπουσι, τάς μέν άνα παν έτος, τάς δέ και χρόνου διαλείποντος και πλησίον ναός έστι Δήμητρος άγάλματα δε αυτή τε και ή παίς, και δάδα έχων Ιακχος γέγραπται δέ έπι τῷ τοίχω γράμμασιν 'Αττικοίς έργα είναι Πραξιτέλους.-Pausanias, Attica, ii. 4. It was this torch-bearing Iacchus whom they escorted from the splendid temple where he dwelt at Athens ($\pi o \lambda v \tau i \mu o i s \epsilon v$ $\tilde{\epsilon}$ δραις $\epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ ναίων) along the Sacred Way to the sanctuary at Eleusis. The statue was garlanded with wreaths of myrtle, as indeed were Demeter and the officials of the Mysteries (Schol. on Oed. Col. 715); and as was the whole procession of the Initiated, $\mu v \rho \sigma i \nu \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{a} \nu \omega$ έστεφανούντο οί μεμυημένοι, Schol. on 330. The statue is brought out (see note on 340), all evil-doers are warned off, and Come to tread this verdant level, Come to dance in mystic revel, Come whilst round thy forehead hurtles Many a wreath of fruitful myrtles, Come with wild and saucy paces Mingling in our joyous dance, Pure and holy, which embraces all the charms of all the Graces, When the mystic choirs advance.

then the procession commences, the Chorus singing hymns to each of the Eleusinian deities in turn, Persephone, Demeter, Iacchus, as they pass through the Cerameicus, and out by the Eleusinian gate to the bridge over the Cephisus, where a little chaffing ($\gamma\epsilon\phi\nu$ - $\rho\iota\sigma\mu\delta$) takes place, and whence they disappear from our sight on their way to the flower-enamelled Thriasian plain. It must, of course, be remembered that all these phases of the procession are shown only by the dances and gestures of the Chorus in the orchestra.

326. $\tau \delta \nu \delta' d\nu \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a \chi o \rho \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \omega \nu$] The $\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, mentioned here and 344 infra, is the open sward in front of the Athenian Temple, and must not be confounded with the $\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a s$ mentioned below, 374 and 449, which denote the Thriasian plain. Doubtless the procession commenced with a dance; and indeed dances were, throughout the journey, a prominent feature of the religious proceedings. It will be remembered that, since the fortification of Deceleia by the Lacedaemonians, the procession had been compelled to travel by sea, excepting indeed on that one memorable occasion when Alcibiades, restored to Athens and appointed Dictator, $\delta\pi\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ήγεμών αὐτοκράτωρ, led out his army to protect the overland route (Xen. Hell. I. iv. 20, 21), so guarding the Mysteries which himself was accused of profaning, and neutralizing the garrison at Deceleia which he had himself recommended to Sparta. And whilst the procession had to travel by sea, says Plutarch, it was shorn of its accustomed solemnities, καὶ θυσίαι καὶ χορεῖαι καὶ πολλὰ τῶν δρωμένων καθ' όδὸν ἱερῶν ὅταν εξελαύνωσι τον "Ιακχον ύπ' ανάγκης έξελείπετο. -Alcibiades 34. It will be observed what a prominent part the xopeias held, in Plutarch's estimation, in the journey along the Sacred Way.

329. βρύοντα μύρτων] Abounding with berries. πολύκαρπον has much the same meaning, but refers rather to the sort of myrtle employed, as in the expression μυρρίνας τῶν καρπίμων, Peace 1154; whilst the present words signify that the actual wreath would be full of berries.

ὦ πότνια πολυτίμητε Δήμητρος κόρη,	
ώς ήδύ μοι προσέπνευσε χοιρείων κρεῶν.	
οὔκουν ἀτρέμ' ἕξεις, ἤν τι καὶ χορδῆς λάβῃς ;	339
έγείρου φλογέας λαμπάδας ἐν χερσὶ τινάσσων,	άντ.
Ί ακχ', ὦ Ί ακχε,	
νυκτέρου τελετης φωσφόρος αστήρ.	
φλέγεται δη φλογι λειμών.	
γόνυ πάλλεται γερόντων	345
άποσείονται δὲ λύπας	
χρονίους [ἐτῶν] παλαιούς τ' ἐνιαυτοὺς,	
ίερας ύπο τιμής.	
σὺ δὲ λαμπάδι φέγγων	350
προβάδην έξαγ' έπ' άνθηρον έλειον δάπεδον	
χοροποιόν, μάκαρ, ήβαν.	
	ώς ήδύ μοι προσέπνευσε χοιρείων κρεών. ούκουν ἀτρέμ' ἕξεις, ἤν τι καὶ χορδῆς λάβῃς; ἐγείρου φλογέας λαμπάδας ἐν χερσὶ τινάσσων, "Ιακχ', ὦ Ίακχε, νυκτέρου τελετῆς φωσφόρος ἀστήρ. φλέγεται δὴ φλογὶ λειμών· γόνυ πάλλεται γερόντων· ἀποσείονται δὲ λύπας χρονίους [ἐτῶν] παλαιούς τ' ἐνιαυτοὺς, ἱερᾶς ὑπὸ τιμῆς. σὺ δὲ λαμπάδι φέγγων προβάδην ἕξαγ' ἐπ' ἀνθηρὸν ἕλειον δάπεδον

338. χοιρείων κρεῶν] The solemn strain dies away, and the vulgar voice of Xanthias is heard exclaiming, What a jolly smell of pork! in allusion to the sacrifice of pigs, which was an important part of the ceremony of initiation. See Acharnians 764; Peace 374,5. The Scholiast says, χοῖροι τῇ Δήμητρι καὶ τῷ Διονύσῷ ἐθύοντο, ὡς λυμαντικοὶ τῶν θεοῖν δωρημάτων.

52

340. $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma}$] The sacred hymn commences again, but in a different strain. In the Strophe they were invoking Iacchus to come out of his temple and appear to his worshippers. The Antistrophe is the Song of Joy and Welcome with which they greet his appearance. The short pause which enabled the actors to hold their little dialogue has, in imagination, been utilized by bringing out the statue of the god. And now all

)

is song, and dance, and ecstacy. The torch in his hand is magnified into φλογέας λαμπάδας. The night is turned into day by the brightness of their Morning Star. Even age forgets its infirmities and joins, almost involuntarily, in the dance; and all are longing for the procession to start. Arise, O Iacchus! waving in thine hands the flaming torches, thou Morning Star of our nightly mystic rites. The meadow is ablaze with fire. (All the mystics are waving their lighted torches.) Now the knee of old men is leaping for joy; under the influence of the sacred rite they shake off the chronic infirmities of age; they shake off their long and weary years (cf. Eur. Bacchae 184-190). But do thou, O blessed one, shedding light with thy torch. lead on with forward step the chorus-forming youth to the flowery marshy plain (which

THE FROGS

- XAN. Holy and sacred queen, Demeter's daughter, O, what a jolly whiff of pork breathed o'er me!
- DIO. Hist! and perchance you'll get some tripe yourself.

(The welcome to Iacchus.)

CHOR. Come, arise, from sleep awaking, come the fiery torches shaking, O Iacchus! O Iacchus! Morning Star that shinest nightly. Lo, the mead is blazing brightly, Age forgets its years and sadness, Agèd knees curvet for gladness, Lift thy flashing torches o'er us, Marshal all thy blameless train, Lead, O lead the way before us; lead the lovely youthful Chorus To the marshy flowery plain.

takes them to Eleusis). Throughout Iacchus is addressed as a living present person, waving an actual torch, and not as a mere sculptured statue. At the commencement of the Antistrophe there was apparently an ancient gloss accounting for this outburst of tumultuous joy, by the words δ "Iak $\chi os \gamma \lambda \rho$ $\eta' \kappa \epsilon_i$, the last two words of which crept from the margin into the text ($\epsilon \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \gamma \lambda \rho \eta' \kappa \epsilon_i$ $\tau \iota \nu \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$), confounding both sense and metre, and giving an infinity of trouble before they were finally expelled.

351. $d\nu\theta\eta\rho\delta\nu \ \epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\nu \ \delta\delta\pi\epsilon\delta\sigma\nu$] Though their first dance takes place before the Athenian Temple from which they start, they are ever looking forward to still more joyous and festive dances in an expanse which they call here the "flowery marshy plain"; in 373 infra the "fair-flowering bosoms of the meadows";

and in 448 infra the "flower-like roseabounding meadows." These are the Thriasian and Eleusinian plains, still brilliant with many-coloured blossoms, and both commonly included under the one name of the Thriasian plain. Mr. Dodwell (Tour through Greece, i. chap. ix) describes the surface of the Thriasian plain as "variegated with the manycoloured anemone forming an expanded tissue of the richest hues." He remarked there "at least twenty different tints of the red, the purple, and the blue," and compares the "meadow enamelled with all the variegated hues of a field of anemones " to "a crowd of Greeks and Turks seen at a distance with their coloured turbans, with the predominant tints of red, blue, yellow, and white." The Eleusinian plain is called $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon_{\iota o \nu}$, because it was frequently inundated by

εύφημεῖν χρη κἀξίστασθαι τοῖς ἡμετέροισι χοροῖσιν ὅστις ἄπειρος τοιῶνδε λόγων, η γνώμη μη καθαρεύει, 355 η γενναίων ὄργια Μουσῶν μήτ' εἶδεν μήτ' ἐχόρευσεν, μηδὲ Κρατίνου τοῦ ταυροφάγου γλώττης βακχεῖ ἐτελέσθη, η βωμολόχοις ἔπεσιν χαίρει, μη 'ν καιρῷ τοῦτο ποιοῦσιν, η στάσιν ἐχθρὰν μη καταλύει, μηδ' εὔκολός ἐστι πολίταις, ἀλλ' ἀνεγείρει και ῥιπίζει, κερδῶν ἰδίων ἐπιθυμῶν, 360 η της πόλεως χειμαζομένης ἄρχων καταδωροδοκεῖται, η προδίδωσιν φρούριον η ναῦς, η τἀπόρρητ' ἀποπέμπει ἐξ Αἰγίνης Θωρυκίων ῶν, εἰκοστολόγος κακοδαίμων,

the Eleusinian (to be distinguished from the Athenian) Cephisus, Demosthenes against Callicles 1279. And certain mounds still visible there are supposed to represent the embankments which the Emperor Hadrian raised for the purpose of keeping its water within bounds, Leake, Topography of Athens, ii. 155.

354. εὐφημείν χρή] Now Iacchus is amongst his worshippers, and the procession is eager to start: but it cannot do so, until it has been purged of all profane and unworthy elements, ékàs έκὰς ἐστέ, βέβηλοι. This solemn and imposing ceremony took place, we may well believe, at the starting of the procession, as it certainly did at the actual initiation. Nero, says Suetonius (Nero 34), "Eleusiniis sacris, quorum initiatione impii et scelerati voce praeconis submoventur, interesse non ausus est." Here the duties of the praeco are of course discharged by the Coryphaeus. ¿ξίστασθαι seems to have been the word regularly employed on these occasions, infra 370; Iph. in Tauris 1226-9. Aristophanes,

however, except at the commencement and the close of the proclamation, drops its connexion with the Mysteries, and makes it the vehicle of his own comic satire. Doubtless each of the prohibitions which follow, even when couched in the most general terms, has its particular application, but we can recognize it only in a very few instances.

357. Κρατίνου τοῦ ταυροφάγου] Just as, in the preceding line, the Muses took the place of Demeter and Persephone; so here, instead of warning off all who had not been initiated into their holy mysteries, the speaker warns off all who had not been initiated into the rites of Dionysus, the god of dramatic performances. But whether because the deity in that particular character was already on the stage, or because the poet wished to pay a final compliment to an old rival long since deceased, he does not mention Dionysus by name, but makes Cratinus (than whom no more ardent votary of Dionysus, both as the god of the drama and as the god of

THE FROGS

(The warning-off of the profane.)

All evil thoughts and profane be still: far hence, far hence from our choirs depart, Who knows not well what the Mystics tell, or is not holy and pure of heart; Who ne'er has the noble revelry learned, or danced the dance of the Muses high; Or shared in the Bacchic rites which old bull-eating Cratinus's words supply; Who vulgar coarse buffoonery loves, though all untimely the jests they make; Or lives not easy and kind with all, or kindling faction forbears to slake, But fans the fire, from a base desire some pitiful gain for himself to reap; Or takes, in office, his gifts and bribes, while the city is tossed on the stormy deep; Who fort or fleet to the foe betrays; or, a vile Thorycion, ships away Forbidden stores from Aegina's shores, to Epidaurus across the Bay

E.E. V

358. βωμολόχοις] Possibly this refers to the tipsy buffoonery which Cleophon had exhibited in the Assembly a short time before the performance of this play, when after their defeat at Arginusae the Lacedaemonians had come to treat for peace, Aristotle's Polity of Athens, 34; buffoonery which might not misbecome the comic stage, but which was altogether out of place (μὴ 'ν καιρφ̂) on the Athenian bema. The persons who "fan the flames of hateful faction" are the mischievous agitators who stir up strife and party hatreds, and are the chief obstacles to that universal amnesty and reconciliation which Aristophanes had so deeply at heart, and which he will presently advocate in the Epirrhema.

361. $a \rho \chi \omega \nu$] Holding some office of state: a position which afforded abundant opportunities for peculation. Cf. Wasp 557; Birds 1111. The words $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu a \zeta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$ are genitives absolute.

363. $\Theta\omega\rho\nu\kappa(\omega\nu)$ Of this unpatriotic toll-gatherer we know nothing beyond what is mentioned here, namely that he plotted the destruction of the city (cf. infra 381), and to that end supplied the enemy's fleet with oar-pads and pitch and sail-cloth, smuggling these prohibited articles, $d\pi\delta\rho\rho\eta\tau a$, from Aegina to Epidaurus on the other side of the Saronic Gulf. During the siege of Syracuse the Athenians had imposed upon their subject allies, in lieu of the accustomed tribute, a five per cent. duty on all exports and imports by sea.—

ἀσκώματα καὶ λίνα καὶ πίτταν διαπέμπων εἰs Ἐπίδαυρον, † χρήματα ταῖς τῶν ἀντιπάλων ναυσὶν παρέχειν τινὰ πείθει, 365 † κατατιλậ τῶν Ἐκαταίων, κυκλίοισι χοροῖσιν ὑπάδων, † τοὺς μισθοὺς τῶν ποιητῶν ῥήτωρ ῶν εἶτ' ἀποτρώγει, κωμφδηθεὶς ἐν ταῖς πατρίοις τελεταῖς ταῖς τοῦ Διονύσου· τοισίδ' ἀπαυδῶ καῦθις ἀπαυδῶ καῦθις τὸ τρίτον μάλ' ἀπαυδῶ ἐξίστασθαι μύσταισι χοροῖς· ὑμεῖς δ' ἀνεγείρετε μολπὴν 370 καὶ παννυχίδας τὰς ἡμετέρας, αἳ τἦδε πρέπουσιν ἑορτῇ.

> χώρει νῦν πᾶς ἀνδρείως εἰς τοὺς εὐανθεῖς κόλπους

στρ. α΄.

Thuc. vii. 28. And no doubt, as Boeckh remarks (Public Economy, iii. 6), this was the $\epsilon i \kappa \sigma \tau \eta$ which Thorycion collected or farmed. Meier (as quoted in Dr. Holden's Onomasticon Aristophaneum) conjectures that the treasonable act was committed during the rule of the Four Hundred, when the Peloponnesian fleet was stationed at Epidaurus, harrying Aegina, and apparently expecting an invitation to Athens from the more unscrupulous partisans of Sparta there .---Thuc. viii. 92. But if so, it must have been only now detected, for Aristophanes, as the language in 381 infra more distinctly shows, is alluding to something quite fresh in the minds of the audience; there is nothing in the text to imply the presence of an hostile fleet in Epidaurus; and probably the event occurred when the Peloponnesians were refitting their fleet after the battle of Arginusae. The Scholiast defines ἄσκωμα as δερμάτιόν τι, ώ έν ταις τριήρεσι χρώνται, καθ' δ ή κώπη βάλλεται.

365. $\tau \iota \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota$] We do not know to

whom this refers. The Scholiast says, $\kappa \hat{\rho} \rho s \gamma \hat{a} \rho \Lambda \nu \sigma \acute{a} \nu \delta \rho \rho \tilde{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a \tau \circ \tau \epsilon$ $\epsilon is \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$, which is perfectly true, Xen. Hell. II. i. 14, but no Athenian was concerned in the transaction.

366. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu' \mathbf{E} \kappa \alpha \tau a(\omega \nu)$] Here we light upon a well-known name; $K_{\nu\nu\eta\sigma}(as \tau \sigma)\tilde{\tau}\sigma$ $\pi\epsilon \pi o(\eta\kappa\epsilon, says the Scholiast; and Aristo$ phanes again alludes to the outrage inEccl. 330, see supra 153. And as to the'Eκάταια, little shrines and symbols ofHecate, erected at the house-doors, crossways, and elsewhere, see the note onWasps 804.

367. $\tau o\dot{v}s \ \mu \sigma \theta o\dot{v}s \ \tau \delta \nu \ \pi o i\eta \tau \delta \nu$] These are the money-payments awarded to the three competing comedians. Hesychius defines $\mu i\sigma \theta \delta s$ as $\tau \delta \ \tilde{\epsilon} \pi a \theta \lambda o \nu \ \tau \delta \nu \ \kappa \omega \mu i \kappa \delta \nu$. He adds $\ \tilde{\epsilon} \mu \mu i \sigma \theta o i \ \delta \epsilon \ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \ \tilde{\eta} \sigma a \nu$, but this refers to a later period when five Comedies, and not as now three only, competed for the prize. Argument to the Plutus, Aristotle's Polity of Athens, 56. One only of the competitors gained the prize, but all were $\ \tilde{\epsilon} \mu \mu i \sigma \theta o i$. Probably the $\mu i \sigma \theta \delta s$ was given when the play was

Pransmitting oarpads and sails and tar, that curst collector of five per cents.; The knave who tries to procure supplies for the use of the enemy's armaments; The Cyclian singer who dares befoul the Lady Hecate's wayside shrine; The public speaker who once lampooned in our Bacchic feasts would, with heart malign, Keep nibbling away the Comedians' pay;—to these I utter my warning cry, charge them once, I charge them twice, I charge them thrice, that they draw not nigh to the sacred dance of the Mystic choir. But YE, my comrades, awake the song, the night-long revels of joy and mirth which ever of right to our feast belong.

> (The start of the procession.) Advance, true hearts, advance ! On to the gladsome bowers,

chosen for competition, and was the same for all the competitors. Its amount is unknown. The Scholiast mentions Archinus and Agyrrhius as the politicians who cut it down, ούτοι γάρ προϊστάμενοι της δημοσίας τραπέζης τον μισθόν των κωμωδών έμείωσαν κωμωδηθέντες. And the Scholiast on Eccl. 102 says & 'Ayúppios τόν μισθόν τών ποιητών συνέτεμε και πρώτος έκκλησιαστικόν δέδωκεν. See the note there. Agyrrhius was a mere demagogue: but Archinus would probably be actuated by a genuine desire to economize the expenses of the Public Treasury, $\delta\eta\mu\sigma\sigma$ ias $\tau\rho\sigma\pi\epsilon\zeta\eta s$. He was the faithful friend and adviser of Thrasybulus during the eventful campaign, which commenced with the occupation of Phyle and ended with the famous Amnesty, and much of the credit for the moderation and wisdom, with which that campaign was conducted, is said to have been due to Archinus .- Polity of Athens, 34 and 40, and Mr. Sandys' notes there. The expression $\dot{\rho}\eta\tau\omega\rho$ $\dot{\omega}\nu$ $\epsilon i \tau a$ seems to mean that though he was

a public speaker, and as such always attacking others, yet when he was himself attacked by the comic poets, he stooped to this mean and unworthy revenge. But there was never any love lost between the comic poets and the demagogues.

371. παννυχίδαs] The night-long revels which, though drawing to a close, are not yet concluded. See the note on 340 supra. παννυχίs is defined by Hesychius as ξορτή νυκτερινή.

372. χώρει νῦν] Now that all unworthy elements have been purged away, the procession commences its march. The slow and stately pace at which they traverse the Cerameicus, is indicated by this little strophe composed entirely of spondees. They are beginning their journey to the Thriasian Plain, εἰs τοὺs εἰανθεἰs κόλπους λειμώνων. See the note on 351 supra. In Birds 1093, ἀνθηρῶν λειμώνων φύλλων ἐν κόλποις, the words φύλλων κόλποις are to be taken together, "the leafy bosom of the flowery meads."

λειμώνων έγκρούων	
κάπισκώπτων	375
καὶ παίζων καὶ χλευάζων.	
ήρί σ τηται δ' έξαρκούντως.	
άλλ' ἕμβα χὤπως ἀρεῖς	άντ. α'.
τὴν Σώτειραν γενναίως	
τῆ φωνῆ μολπάζων,	
ἡ τὴν χώραν	380
σώζειν φήσ' ἐς τὰς ὥρας,	
κάν Θωρυκίων μη βούληται.	•

άγε νῦν ἐτέραν ὕμνων ἰδέαν τὴν καρποφόρον βασίλειαν, Δήμητρα θεὰν, ἐπικοσμοῦντες ζαθέοις μολπαῖς κελαδεῖτε.

Δ ήμητ ϵ ρ, ἁγνῶν ὀργίων	στρ. β΄.
άνασσα, συμπαραστάτει,	385
καὶ σῶζε τὸν σαυτῆς χορόν·	
καί μ' ἀσφαλῶς πανήμερον	
παῖσαί τε καὶ χορεῦσαι·	
καὶ πολλὰ μὲν γέλοιά μ' εἰ-	åντ. β'.

376. $\dot{\eta}\rho i\sigma \tau\eta \tau a i]' A\rho i\sigma \tau \sigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \dot{\eta} s$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{s}$.—Scholiast. The term $\ddot{a}\rho i\sigma \tau \sigma v$, usually applied to the ordinary forenoon meal, here signifies the meal of which the Mystics partook in the small hours of the night preceding their march to Eleusis.

377. $d\lambda\lambda' \tilde{\epsilon}\mu\beta a$] As they depart from the city, they sing three hymns in succession, one to each of the Eleusinian deities, Persephone, Demeter, and Iacchus. The hymn to Persephone constitutes the spondaic antistrophe 377-381. She was widely known under the name of $\Sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon_i \rho a$. Spanheim observes that she was so called on coins of Cyzicus, $K \dot{o} \rho \eta \Sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon_i \rho a K v \zeta_i \kappa \eta v \dot{\omega} v$, and also refers to Pausanias (Laconica 13. 2), Aakedaiµoviois de dπaντικρù τῆs 'OAvµπίas 'Aφροδίτης ἐστὶ ναὸs Κόρης Σωτείρας ; and Kock adds Pausanias (Arcadica 31. 1), τὴν Κόρην δὲ Σώτειραν καλοῦσιν οἱ 'Aρκάδες. The word ἀρεῖs is explained by the Scholiast by ὑψώσεις τοῖs ἐπαίνοις.

380. ἐs τàs ὥρus] Το all future ages. Kuster refers to Clouds 562, ἐs τàs ὥρas

On to the sward, with flowers Embosomed bright ! March on with jest, and jeer, and dance, Full well ye've supped to-night.

(The processional hymn to Persephone.)

March, chanting loud your lays, Your hearts and voices raising, The Saviour goddess praising Who vows she'll still Our city save to endless days, Whate'er Thorycion's will.

Break off the measure, and change the time; and now with chanting and hymns adorn Demeter, goddess mighty and high, the harvest-queen, the giver of corn.

(The processional hymn to Demeter.)

O Lady, over our rites presiding, Preserve and succour thy choral throng, And grant us all, in thy help confiding, To dance and revel the whole day long;

τάς έτέρας, and Bergler to Thesm. 950, έκ τῶν ὡρῶν ἐς τὰς ὥρας.

382. $a_{\gamma\epsilon} v \hat{v}v$] The Coryphaeus is now, apparently, discharging the duties of the Iacchagogus, and calling upon the Chorus to change the measure, and sing the hynn to Demeter. And the second hymn at once follows, consisting of a dimeter iambic strophe and antistrophe each composed of five verses, four of which are acatalectic, and the fifth catalectic. They have hitherto spoken of the all-night revelry, which is now concluding : they here speak of the allday journey $(\pi a\nu \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o\nu)$ which is now commencing.

389. γέλοια . . . σπουδαΐα] These expressions are very suitable to the Mystic Procession, in whose language there was often a strange mixture of jest and earnest; but the last words of the hymn, νικήσαντα ταινιοῦσθαι, show that Aristophanes is really thinking of his comic chorus, and of his success in the dramatic competition. The Greeks combined the two ideas, γέλοια and σπουδαΐα, into one compound word σπουδογέλοιοs, σπουδαιογέλοιοs.

πείν. πολλά δέ σπουδαία, καί 390 της σης έορτης άξίως παίσαντά καὶ σκώψαντα νικήσαντα ταινιοῦσθαι. άλλ' εία νῦν καὶ τὸν ὡραῖον θεὸν παρακαλεῖτε δεῦρο 395 ώδαισι, τον ξυνέμπορον τησδε της χορείας. ["]Ιακχε πολυτίμητε, μέλος ἑορτης ήδιστον εύρών, δεύρο συνακολούθει πρός την θεόν και δείξον ώς άνευ πόνου 400 πολλην όδον περαίνεις. "Ιακχε φιλοχορευτά, συμπρόπεμπέ με. σύ γάρ κατεσχίσω μέν έπι γέλωτι 1

394. $d\lambda\lambda' \epsilon i a$] The voice of the Coryphaeus is heard again, calling for the hymn to Iacchus, the god ever-young, $\omega \rho a i o \nu$, young with the bloom of everlasting youth. Spanheim refers to Catullus (Epithalamium of Peleus and Thetis 252) "At parte ex alia florens volitabat Iacchus"; and Ovid (Met. iv. 17) where the poet, addressing the god of many names, Bacchus, Bromius, Lyaeus, Iacchus, &c., says—

"Tibi inconsumta juventas, Tu puer aeternus, tu formosissimus alto Conspiceris caelo."

The two lines $\nu \bar{\nu} \nu \kappa a \bar{\iota} \tau \delta \nu \delta \rho a \bar{\iota} \rho \nu$... $\tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s \chi o \rho \epsilon \bar{\iota} a s$ are in the fourteensyllable Euripidean metre discussed in the note to Wasps 248: as are the four lines 441-447 infra commencing $\nu \bar{\nu} \nu$ $\iota \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \ d \nu \delta \kappa \delta \sigma \nu \ \theta \epsilon \bar{a} s$.

398. $Ia\kappa\chi\epsilon \pi o \lambda v \tau i \mu \eta \tau \epsilon$] The hymn to Iacchus consists of three stanzas, each containing six iambic lines, the final line in each stanza being the refrain, $Ia\kappa\chi\epsilon \phi i \lambda 0 \chi 0 \rho \epsilon v \tau \dot{\alpha}, \sigma v \dot{\mu} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$. The first stanza exhorts the god to be the companion of their journey, $\pi\rho\delta s \tau \eta\nu$ $\theta\epsilon\delta\nu$, that is, to Demeter in Eleusis, telling him by way of inducement, that to him has been allotted the sweetest hymn of the three. For the words $\mu\epsilon\lambda\sigma s$ $\epsilon\delta\rho\tau\eta s \eta\delta\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\nu \epsilon\delta\rho\lambda\nu$ mean having obtained (not having composed or invented) the sweetest festal lay.

401. πολλήν όδον] Έξ αστεως μέχρι Έλευσίνος.—Scholiast. A journey "slightly

AND MUCH in earnest, and much in jest, Worthy thy feast, may we speak therein. And when we have bantered and laughed our best, The victor's wreath be it ours to win.

Call we now the youthful god, call him hither without delay, Him who travels amongst his chorus, dancing along on the Sacred Way.

(The processional hymn to Iacchus.)

O, come with the joy of thy festival song,
O, come to the goddess, O, mix with our throng
Untired, though the journey be never so long.
O Lord of the frolic and dance,
Iacchus, beside me advance !
For fun, and for cheapness, our dress thou hast rent,

over twelve miles " says Mr. Louis Dyer (Gods of Greece, chap. 5). It took Mr. Dodwell four hours and five minutes to traverse it on horseback (Tour, ii. 5). And this procession was composed of a mixed multitude of both sexes and of various ages, mostly on foot, though some, especially ladies, went in their carriages. The sacrifices, dances, and other observances would greatly prolong the journey and augment the fatigue; and the journey may well have taken them, as they intimate supra 387, the whole autumnal day. But Iacchus himself was never tired; a statue carried in loving arms he could $a \nu \epsilon v \pi \delta \nu o v$ (the phrase used by Dionysus of his own self-deliverance in Eur. Bacchae 614, αύτος έξέσωσ' έμαυτον βαδίως άνευ πόνου) have accomplished the longest distance. The priest in whose arms he was borne

was a stately and dignified official, and had a special seat assigned him in the front row of the Theatre, amongst those who sat on the right of the Priest of Dionysus (see the note on 297 supra) and immediately after the nine Archons : Haigh's Attic Theatre, vii. 3. In this procession Iacchus was the only divine traveller. He left Demeter and Persephone in the Athenian Temple : he is journeying to Demeter and Persephone in the Eleusinian Temple.

403. $\sigma \dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi (\sigma \omega)$ The second and third stanzas indulge in the license which was a marked feature of these processions, and which of itself accounts for the split sandal, and the torn garment, "Thou art he who split for mirth (and for economy) our sandal and our tattered gaberdine" (cf. Plutus 845), "and discovered a way for us to sport

κἀπ' εὐτελεία τόν τε σανδαλίσκον	405
καὶ τὸ ῥάκος,	
κάξεῦρες ὥστ' ἀζημίους	
παίζειν τε καὶ χορεύειν.	
"Ιακχε φιλοχορευτὰ, συμπρόπεμπέ με.	
καὶ γὰρ παραβλέψας τι μειρακίσκης	
νῦν δὴ κατείδον, καὶ μάλ' εὐπροσώπου,	410
συμπαιστρίας	
χιτωνίου παραρραγέν-	
τος τιτθίον προκύψαν.	
Ίακχε φιλοχορευτά, συμπρόπεμπέ με.	
έγὼ δ' ἀεί πως φιλακόλουθός εἰμι καὶ μετ' αὐτῆς	
παίζων χορεύειν βούλομαι. ΞΑ. κάγωγε πρός.	415

βούλεσθε δητα κοινη XO. σκώψωμεν Άρχέδημον;

and to dance with perfect impunity." Of the two expressions, $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau i$ and $\epsilon \pi' \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i q$, the former applies to the Mystic procession, the latter (which is a sort of aside) to the comic chorus and the expense saved to the Choregus. Not of course that there is any allusion to the manner in which the actual Choregus of the Frogs had equipped his chorus; for though some lines may have been added to a Comedy after its acceptance by the Archon, see Eccl. 1158-1162, yet it is incredible that any could have been introduced reflecting on the Choregus, who was already superintending its production. The Scholiast tells us that according to Aristotle it was decreed in the archonship of Callias (the Callias who succeeded

Autigenes), that the expenses of the choregia should be borne, not by a single citizen as theretofore, but by two conjointly : a change which shows that in the altered condition of the city, the burden was felt more heavily than before, and that any saving in the representation would therefore be welcomed.

415

406. aζημίουs] The license enjoyed on these occasions might at other times bring retaliation and punishment, but now it is privileged : now they who employ it are achunon.

416. βούλεσθε κ.τ.λ.] The time occupied by the three processional hymns has brought them (in imagination) to the bridge over the Athenian Cephisus, which is little more than a brook, and is at present bridged over by two blocks

 $\Delta I. \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta'$

Through thee we may dance to the top of our bent, Reviling, and jeering, and none will resent. O Lord of the frolic and dance, Iacchus, beside me advance ! A sweet pretty girl I observed in the show, Her robe had been torn in the scuffle, and lo, There peeped through the tatters a bosom of snow. O Lord of the frolic and dance, Iacchus, beside me advance !

DIO. Wouldn't I like to follow on, and try A little sport and dancing? XAN. Wouldn't I?

(The banter at the bridge of Cephisus.)

CHOR.

Shall we all a merry joke At Archedemus poke,

of marble, Dodwell, Tour, ii. 5.. Here the procession made a pause, and the processionists fell to abusing and jeering each other, "from whence," says Bentley (Phalaris, Age of Tragedy), "to abuse and jeer was called $\gamma\epsilon\phi\nu\rho i\zeta\epsilon\nu$." Strabo (ix. i. 24), describing the rivers of Attica, begins with δ $K\eta\phi\iota\sigma\sigma\delta s$, $\dot{\rho}\epsilon\omega\nu$ $\delta\iota\dot{a}$ $\tau\circ\hat{\nu}$ $\pi\epsilon\delta\iota\circ\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\circ\hat{\nu}$ $\kappa a\dot{i}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\Gamma\epsilon\phi\dot{\nu}\rho a$ $\kappa a\dot{i}$ $o\dot{i}$ $\Gamma\epsilon\phi\nu$ $\rho\iota\sigma\mu o\dot{i}$.

417. $\langle A\rho\chi\delta\partial\eta\mu\nu\nu\rangle$] During this prolonged rehearsal of their old religious solemnities, it is only when some satiric work is to be done that the Mystics awake to the fact that they are, for this once, the Chorus of a comic play. They now attack three unworthy Athenians, Archedemus, Cleisthenes, and Callias. Archedemus, who seems to have been distinguished from others of the same name by the epithet of $\delta \gamma\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\omega\nu$, the bleareyed (infra 588; Lysias, adv. Alcibiadem, i. 25) is described by Lysias as a notorious debauchee and embezzler of the public money : indeed he makes it a charge against his opponent (the son of the great Alcibiades) that he was intimate with a man of so depraved a character. Here he is ridiculed as an alien, and the Scholiast says that Eupolis in the Baptae assailed him on the same ground. But no doubt the present attack is really due to the fact that Archedemus was the first to commence hostilities against the victorious generals of Arginusae, Xen. Hell. i. 7.2. Xenophon there describes him as 'Αρχέδημος, τότε προεστηκώς έν 'Αθήναις καί Δεκελείας έπιμελούμενος. The expression $\pi \rho o \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega_s$ is equivalent to $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{a}$ - $\tau \eta s \, \omega \nu$, and corresponds to the $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon i$ of two lines below. The words $\Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s$

δς έπτέτης ὣν οὐκ ἔφυσε φράτορας,	
νυνὶ δὲ δημαγωγεῖ	
έν τοῖς ἄνω νεκροῖσι,	420
κάστιν τὰ πρῶτα τῆς ἐκεῖ μοχθηρίας.	
τὸν Κλεισθένη δ' ἀκούω	
έν ταΐς ταφαίσι πρωκτόν	
τίλλειν έαυτοῦ καὶ σπαράττειν τὰς γνάθους·	
κἀκόπτετ' ἐγκεκυφὼς,	42 5
κάκλαε, κάκεκράγει	
Σεβίνον, ὅστις ἐστὶν ἁναφλύστιος.	
καὶ Καλλίαν γέ φασι	
τοῦτον τὸν ἱΙπποβίνου	
κύσθου λεοντην ναυμαχείν ένημμένον.	430

 $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda o \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, if correct, probably mean that he was entrusted with the duty of watching the movements of the hostile garrison in Deceleia.

418. οὐκ ἔφυσε φράτοραs] He had been unable to prove his right to Athenian citizenship, and consequently had not been enrolled in any of the Athenian φρατρίαι. Compare φυσάτω πάππουs in Birds 765. But this meaning is conveyed in language which refers to a child not cutting his second teeth in due time, that is at the age of seven: φράτοραs being substituted παρὰ προσδοκίαν for ϕ ραστηρας, age-teeth, teeth which, as in the case of horses, serve to indicate the age of their owner. avti toù cinciv όδόντας φραστήρας, says the Scholiast, φράτορας εἶπεν. Pliny (N. H. vii. 15) says "primores septimo mense gigni dentes, haud dubium est ; septimo eosdem decidere anno, aliosque suffici." So Macrobius, In Somn. Scip. i. 6, "Post annos septem dentes qui primi emerserant

aliis aptioribus ad cibum solidum nascentibus cedunt." In the last scene of the Menaechmi, Menaechmus of Epidamnus being asked his age when he was lost replied "Septuennis, nam tum dentes mihi cadebant primulum." Cf. also Juvenal, xiv. 10.

420. $\epsilon \nu \tau \sigma \hat{s} \, \tilde{a} \nu \omega \, \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \sigma \hat{\sigma} \sigma_i$] The Mystics, adopting apparently the suggestion of Euripides which is ridiculed infra 1082, 1477, consider that the dead are alive, and the living dead. With them, accordingly, it is "Up among the dead men," not, as with the living, "Down among the dead men."

421. $\tau a \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau a$] This use of the neuter plural for the masculine or feminine, whether singular or plural, is of course very common. Cf. Hdt. vi. 100, $A \delta \sigma \chi i \nu \eta s$ $\epsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \rho i \epsilon \omega \tau a \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau a$, and Eur. Medea 917, where it is said to the children of Medea, $\delta \delta \mu a i \gamma \delta \rho \delta \mu a \tilde{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ $\gamma \eta s K \delta \rho i \nu \theta \delta a T \delta \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a i.$ Bergler refers, amongst other passages, to Who has not cut his guildsmen yet, though seven years old; Yet up among the dead

He is demagogue and head.

And contrives the topmost place of the rascaldom to hold?

And Cleisthenes, they say,

Is among the tombs all day,

Bewailing for his lover with a lamentable whine.

And Callias, I'm told,

Has become a sailor bold,

And casts a lion's hide o'er his members feminine.

Lucian's Timon, where (35) Hermes says to Timon, λάμβανε την αγαθην τύχην, καί πλούτει πάλιν, καὶ ἴσθι ᾿Αθηναίων τὰ πρῶτα, and (55) Timon says of Thrasycles, κολάκων έστι τὰ πρῶτα: Eur. Or. 1246, where Electra addresses the Chorus, Μυκηνίδες & φίλαι, Τὰ πρῶτα κατὰ Πελασγὸν έδος 'Apγείων : Heliodorus x. 12, where Charicleia declares herself a native of the country, and surprise being shown, $\tau \dot{a} \mu \kappa \rho \dot{o} \tau \epsilon \rho a$, έφη, θαυμάζεις, τὰ μείζονα δὲ ἔστιν ἕτερα, ού γάρ έγχωρίους μόνον, άλλά και γένους βασιλείου τὰ πρῶτα καὶ ἐγγύτατα; and Lucretius i. 87, "Ductores Danaum delecti, prima virorum." It would be easy to multiply examples.

422. $\tau \delta \nu \ K \lambda \epsilon_{i\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$] Aristophanes never loses an opportunity of lashing the effeminate vices of Cleisthenes. We have already heard of him and them, supra 48 and 57. In the Thesmophoriazusae he is introduced on the stage, siding with the women, whose habits he declares are akin to his own. Here he is represented as lamenting among the tombs, in more than womanly fashion, his lost male lover. To this lover Aristophanes gives the name of "Sebinus of the Anaphlystian deme," both real names, though here employed $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \delta \kappa a \kappa \epsilon \mu \phi a \tau o \nu$. They are employed in the same, way in Eccl. 979, 980, where see the note.

428. $Ka\lambda\lambda ia\nu$] Callias, the son of Hipponicus, the notorious spendthrift who squandered a princely fortune in the grossest debauchery, was another favourite object of Aristophanic satire. He is here called the son, not of Hipponicus but of Hippobinus, to signify the dissipation which caused his ruin: παρεγραμμάτισε, says the Scholiast, διὰ την ασελγειον παρά το ⁵Ιππονίκου είς πομνομανή. τό δέ ίππος πολλαχού έπι του μεγάλου λαμβίνουσιν ίππόπορνε. κωμφδείται δε και ό Καλλίας ώς σπαθών την πατρικήν ούσίαν, και μάλιστα έπι γυναιξι μεμηνώς. τοῦτον δέ, δν πάντες ἴσασι δηλονότι. In the Birds he is represented as a hoopoe whose feathers are falling off, and the poet explains his pitiable condition by saying that he is plucked by sycophants and women.-Birds 285, 286.

430. $λ \epsilon o ν τ ην$ ε ν η μμενον] The Aethiopians in the army of Xerxes are described by Herodotus (vii. 69) as παρδαλέας τε

ΔΙ. ἔχοιτ' ἂν οὖν φράσαι νῷν, Πλούτων ὅπου 'νθάδ' οἰκεῖ;
ξένω γάρ ἐσμεν ἀρτίως ἀφιγμένω.
ΧΟ. μηδὲν μακρὰν ἀπέλθῃς, μηδ' αὖθις ἐπανέρῃ με,
ἀλλ' ἴσθ' ἐπ' αὐτὴν τὴν θύραν ἀφιγμένος.
ΔΙ. αἴροι' ἂν αὖθις, ὦ παῖ.
ΞΑ. τουτὶ τί ἦν τὸ πρᾶγμα ἄλλ' ἢ Διὸς Κόρινθος ἐν τοῖς στρώμασιν;

XO.

χωρείτε νῦν ἱερὸν ἀνὰ κύκλον θεᾶs, ἀνθοφόρον ἀν' ἄλσοs

και λεοντέας έναμμένοι. And in Birds 1250 Peisthetaerus describes the porphyrions he is about to launch against Zeus as $\pi a \rho \delta a \lambda \hat{a} s \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu s$, whilst here the Chorus describe Callias as $\lambda \epsilon_{0\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ $\epsilon \nu \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$. But the $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ which Callias is wearing is taken not from a lion but from a κύσθος. The translation proceeds on the reading $\kappa i \sigma \theta \omega$ as signifying the part to be protected by the lion's skin. But this would suit Cleisthenes rather than Callias. It seems probable that Callias took part in the battle of Arginusae, and that some lover of Cleisthenes was slain there.

431. $\xi \chi_{0ir} \tilde{\alpha} \nu$] Dionysus and Xanthias now emerge from their lurking-place and address the Chorus, who, Heracles had previously assured them (161 supra), would give them any information they might require. Joining suddenly in the dialogue, they adopt the metre in which the Chorus are singing.

486. $d\lambda\lambda$ ⁱ σθⁱ κ.τ.λ.] The Scholiast says τὸ ὁμοῖον καὶ ἐν Γηρυτάδη, meaning, apparently, that there was a similar line in the lost comedy Gerytades, as there still is in Plutus 962.

440

439. $\Delta i \delta s$ Kópiv θos What else is this but $\Delta i \delta s$ Kópiv $\theta o s$ in respect of the wraps? $\Delta \iota \delta s$ Kópuv $\theta o s$, which is found again in the Ecclesiazusae, in Pindar's seventh Nemean, ad fin., and in the Euthydemus of Plato, chap. xix, is a proverbial expression, applicable either to tedious iteration, as in the present passage, or to high-flown language with no corresponding results, as in Eccl. 828. Its origin is explained by the Scholiasts here and on Pindar. 'O Kópuvos, son of Zeus, was the eponymous Founder $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Koρίνθου, of the city of Corinth. Megara, originally a dependency of Corinth, revolted from her at an early period, and ambassadors were sent to bring her to reason. The Corinthian spokesman talked in the grand style, Ye do not honour τον Διός Κόρινθον, verily δ Διός Kόρινθοs is grieved at your conduct, and so on, with a perpetual introduction τοῦ Διὸς Κορίνθου, till the Megarians lost all patience and set upon the

66

D10.	Can any of you tell
1	Where Pluto here may dwell,
	For we, sirs, are two strangers who were never here before?
CHOR.	O, then no further stray,
	Nor again enquire the way,
1	For know that ye have journeyed to his very entrance-door.
D10.	Take up the wraps, my lad.
XAN.	Now is not this too bad?
	Like "Zeus's Corinth," he "the wraps" keeps saying o'er and o'er.

CHOR. Now wheel your sacred dances through the glade with flowers bedight,

speaker, crying $\pi a \hat{\iota} \epsilon \pi a \hat{\iota} \epsilon \tau \delta \nu \Delta \iota \delta s K \delta \rho \iota \nu \theta \sigma \nu$, and finally secured their independence by defeating the Corinthian troops. But doubtless there is here, as Bergler in his translation suggested, a play upon the words Kópivoos and kópeis, similar to that in Clouds 710. "Fortasse etiam." says Bothe, "ridiculi causa simulat, dum stragula tollit, se pungi a cimice in iis latitante." Without this allusion it is difficult to explain the words ev tois στρώμασιν. For few will accept Fritzsche's suggestion that the Corinthians may have stamped Corinthi conditoris imaginem in stragulis vere Corinthiacis, so that Xanthias really means "An forte Jovis filius Corinthus stragulis This seems to meis inscriptus est?" make the line quite pointless.

440. $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \dots \epsilon o \rho \tau \eta s$] The Coryphaeus again issues his instructions to the Chorus, who respond, 448 infra, with the words $\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. On the metre, see the note on 394 supra. I have followed the common interpretation, Ducite nunc sacram choream Divae, per nemus floriferum ludentes.-Bergler, Brunck. Saltate in orbem choream du-

centes.—Thiersch. Spanheim refers to Thesm. 953, where α'γ' ές κύκλον is a call to the dance ; cf. id. 968 εὐκύκλου χορείας. And Bergler adds Birds 1379 τί δεῦρο πόδα σύ κυλλόν άνά κύκλον κυκλείς; Fritzsche proposed to translate it Ite nunc per sacrum septum deae, per floriferum lucum, which certainly has the advantage of giving the same meaning to the preposition dvà in each clause of the sentence. He refers to Pollux i, segm. 10, who says οί δ' ανειμένοι θεοίς τόποι, άλση τε καί τεμένη καί έρκη. και ό περι αυτά κύκλος, περίβολος. It is to be observed, however, that Pollux does not say that the environment of a temple was called κύκλos; on the contrary he says, and truly, that it was called $\pi\epsilon\rho\betao\lambda os$; nor am I aware of any passage in which κύκλοs is so employed. And άλσοs is not used here, as in Pollux, to signify "a consecrated grove." See the following note. Above all it is incredible that if the Coryphaeus were really directing the Chorus to go to a temple, they should in their response declare that they would go to quite a different destination.

441. $a\lambda \sigma os$] He is referring to the

F 2

παίζοντες οἶς μετουσία θεοφιλοῦς ἑορτῆς. ἐγὼ δὲ σὺν ταῖσιν κόραις εἶμι καὶ γυναιξὶν, 445 οῦ παννυχίζουσιν θεậ, φέγγος ἱερὸν οἴσων.

χωρῶμεν ἐς πολυρρόδους λειμῶνας ἀνθεμώδεις, στρ. τὸν ἡμέτερον τρόπον, 450 τὸν καλλιχορώτατον, παίζοντες, ὃν ὅλβιαι Μοῖραι ξυνάγουσιν.

great olive grove, extending on both sides of the Athenian Cephisus, at which they are now supposed to have arrived. It is at the present time so remarkable a feature in the landscape that Lord Nugent, looking down from Athens on its ever-rippling leaves, was for a short time deceived into the belief that an arm of the sea was spreading itself along the plain before him (Lands Classical and Sacred, chap. i). The trees, Mr. Dodwell says, are from 26 to 37 feet apart, and he and his party, riding from Athens to Eleusis along the Sacred Way, were 23 minutes in traversing the grove.-Dodwell's Tour, vol. ii, chap. 5.

446. où $\pi a \nu \nu \nu \chi i \langle o \nu \sigma \iota \nu \ \theta \epsilon \hat{q} \rangle$ Pausanias (Attica, xxxvii) mentions two temples of Demeter and Persephone in this portion of the Sacred Way, one on each side of the river Cephisus; and it may be that devout women would pass the night in one of them, as a quieter and more solemn place than could be found within the walls of Athens.

448. $\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$] Now they leave the river and grove of Cephisus, and are

off to the Thriasian plain. This final departure is signalized in a little strophe composed of one iambic tetrameter catalectic, and four glyconic lines.

450. τον ήμέτερον τρόπον 'Αντί του, κατά τον ήμέτερον τρόπον, και ώς έθος έχομεν.---Scholiast. In the word «alligopώrator in the following line, Kock fancies that there is an allusion to the well Callichorus over which the temple at Eleusis was built. But this is very unlikely. They are not speaking of the temple at Eleusis at all: they are speaking of their coming dances in the Thriasian plain (see the note on 351 supra): and no epithet can be more natural for their purpose than καλλιχορώτατον : Eur. Phoen. 787, and passim. The relative ον which follows is applied to χορών understood from καλλιχορώτατον.

453. Molpai] At first sight it may seem strange that these solemn and mysterious beings should preside over festivities of dance and song. It is possible (though I doubt it) that in the Birds they are represented as singing the hymenaean song at the wedding of Zeus and Hera –

All ye who are partakers of the holy festal rite; And I will with the women and the holy maidens go Where they keep the nightly vigil, an auspicious light to show.

> (The departure for the Thriasian Plain.) Now haste we to the roses, And the meadows full of posies, Now haste we to the meadows

In our own old way, In choral dances blending, In dances never ending, Which only for the holy The Destinies array.

> With just such a song hymenaean, Aforetime the Destinies led The King of the powers empyrean, The Ruler of Gods, to the bed Of Hera his beautiful bride ! Hymen, O Hymenaeus.-Birds 1731-6.

And in Plato's Republic, x. 617 C. they are described as chanting the things which have been, and the things which are, and the things which are to be. But these are functions of a totally different character. Nor, perhaps, is it more to the point to observe that

i .

they shared with the Graces the duty of escorting Persephone every year from the unseen world to the sunshine and gladness of spring. See the Orphic Hymns (ed. Hermann), xliii. 7, where the Hours are called

Περσεφόνης συμπαίκτορες, εὖτέ ἐ Μοῖραι καὶ Χάριτες κυκλίοισι χοροῖς πρὸς φῶς ἀνάγωσιν, Ζηνὶ χαριζόμεναι καὶ μητέρι καρποδοτείρη.

The key to the present passage is to be found in the epithet $\delta\lambda\beta\iota a\iota$. The Chorus, who have up to this point been acting and singing as if they were still living their mortal lives in the world above, are here, and still more fully in the antistrophe, assuming their real character as mystics who have passed through the gate of death and found life and immortality beyond. To living men, the Moîpau appeared as stern and implacable executioners: to the dead mystics, who are leading a far happier life after death than ever they led upon earth, they are bountiful and gracious goddesses, $\delta\lambda\beta_{tau}$ Moîpau: just as in

μόνοις γὰρ ἡμῖν ἥλιος καὶ φέγγος ἱλαρόν ἐστιν,	άντ.
ὄσοι μεμυήμεθ' εὐ-	4 56
σεβή τε διήγομεν	
τρόπον περί τους ξένους	
καί τους ίδιώτας.	
ΔΙ. ἄγε δὴ τίνα τρόπον τὴν θύραν κόψω; τίνα;	460
πως ένθάδ' άρα κόπτουσιν ούπιχώριοι;	
ΞΑ. οὐ μὴ διατρίψεις, ἀλλὰ γεῦσαι τῆς θύρας,	
καθ' 'Ηρακλέα τὸ σχῆμα καὶ τὸ λῆμ' ἔχων ;	
ΔΙ. παί παί. ΑΙΑ. τίς οὐτος; ΔΙ. Ἡρακλῆς ὁ καρτερός.	
ΑΙΑ. ὦ βδελυρὲ κἀναίσχυντε καὶ τολμηρὲ σὺ	465

"Sintram and his Companions," Death from a "stern companion," a "fearful monitor," becomes to the Christian Knight a "sweet and gentle friend." It was these bounteous and kindly Moîpai who marshalled the mystic dances in the realms below. 454. η λιος κ.τ.λ.] See 155 supra. On the general subject of this antistrophe, Spanheim cites (amongst other passages) a fragment of Sophocles preserved by Plutarch in his treatise De audiendis Poetis, chap. v,

ώς τρισόλβιοι κείνοι βροτῶν, οἳ ταῦτα δερχθέντες τέλη μολοῦσ' ἐς ἄδου· τοῖσδε γὰρ μόνοις ἐκεῖ ζῆν ἔστι, τοῖς δ' ἄλλοισι πάντ' ἐκεῖ κακά :

and Plato's Phaedo, chap. xxix, where Socrates says that the pure soul will be happy in the unseen world, $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\delta\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon a \tau a \tau a \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, $\delta s d \lambda \eta \theta \delta s$ $\tau \delta \nu \lambda o i \pi \delta \nu \chi \rho \delta \nu o \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \tau \delta \nu \theta \epsilon \delta \nu \delta i d \gamma o \nu \sigma \sigma$. Since Lobeck's time it is rather the fashion to depreciate the Eleusinian Mysteries: but it seems clear that in them were preserved and inculcated the two great fundamental truths of religion, viz. the Unity of God and the Immortality of Man.

459. τοὺς ἰδιώτας] Τοὺς πολίτας.— Scholiast. More strictly, our own people With these words the great episode of the Mystic Procession concludes; the torches are extinguished, and are not relumed until the closing scene of the drama, infra 1524. And henceforth the mystics confine themselves to their duties as the regular Chorus of the play. Dionysus proceeds to knock at the entrance-door of Pluto.

463. σ_{χ} ημα καὶ λημα] The hero's lionskin and lionheart. The jingle is, of course, intentional like that of ρώμη and γνώμη in Birds 637, 638; and πίνειν and βινεῖν infra 740. O, happy mystic chorus, The blessed sunshine o'er us On us alone is smiling,

In its soft sweet light: On us who strove for ever With holy, pure endeavour, Alike by friend and stranger To guide our steps aright.

- D10. What's the right way to knock? I wonder how The natives here are wont to knock at doors.
- XAN. No dawdling : taste the door. You've got, remember, The lion-hide and pride of Heracles.

DIO. Boy! boy! AEACUS. Who's there? DIO. I, Heracles the strong! AEAC. O, you most shameless desperate ruffian, you!

464. AIAKO Σ] Aeacus, the grandsire of both Achilles and Aias, was a man of such singular integrity of life, that after death he was promoted to some position of trust in the kingdom of Hades. He was generally regarded as a member of the august tribunal for judging the dead, with Minos and Rhadamanthus for his colleagues. But Aristophanes assigns him the humbler post of doorkeeper in the hall of Pluto. Bergler refers to Lucian's Twentieth Dialogue of the Dead, where Menippus, on entering Hades, being shown by A eacus some familiar objects, observes οίδα ταῦτα, καὶ σὲ, ὅτι πυλωρείς. So in the same writer's De Luctu 4, Aeacus is said to guard the entrance to Hades, with Cerberus by his side. But Lucian was, probably, merely following in the steps of Aristophanes.

465. & $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v \rho \epsilon$] The announcement

that he is "Heracles the strong" procures for Dionysus a reception which he little expected. The volley of abuse which the doorkeeper of Hades discharges at his head can only be compared with that which in the Peace is launched at Trygaeus by the caretaker of Zeus's celestial palace. But there is more excuse for the present outburst. since Aeacus has been told and believes that the ravisher of Cerberus is standing before him. The exclamation $\delta \mu a \rho \dot{\epsilon}$ καὶ παμμίαρε is of frequent occurrence in St. Chrysostom, Hom. in Matth. xxxi. 358 A, lxxxi. 775 B; Hom. in Rom. xxii. 678 B (according to MS. Vat.), xxiv. 691 C; Hom. in 2 Cor. xxviii. 637 B and C, &c. In this vituperative language the Scholiasts find a resemblance to that which, in the Euripidean tragedy bearing the name of Theseus, that hero addresses to Minos. Thus on 467 they

καὶ μιαρὲ καὶ παμμίαρε καὶ μιαρώτατε, ồs τὸν κύν' ἡμῶν ἐξελάσας τὸν Κέρβερον ἀπῆξας ἄγχων κἀποδρὰς ῷχου λαβῶν, ὃν ἐγῶ 'φύλαττον. ἀλλὰ νῦν ἔχει μέσος· τοία Στυγός σε μελανοκάρδιος πέτρα Αχερόντιός τε σκόπελος αἰματοσταγὴς φρουροῦσι, Κωκυτοῦ τε περίδρομοι κύνες, Ἔχιδνά θ' ἐκατογκέφαλος, ἢ τὰ σπλάγχνα σου

say, παραπλήσιά έστι τούτοις τὰ ἐν τῷ Θησεί πεποιημένα παρ' Εὐριπίδη, ἐκεί γὰρ τοιοῦτος ἦν σπουδάζων, καὶ τοιαῦτα λέγει πρός τὸν Μίνωα. And on 470 ἐκ Θησέως Εὐριπίδου. καὶ τὰ μὲν ἑαυτῷ πλάττων λέγει, τὰ δὲ ἐξ Εὐριπίδου. And on 473 ὁ τόπος οῦτος παρὰ τὰ ἐν Θησεί Εὐριπίδου—

κάρα τε γάρ σου συγχεῶ κόμαις ὁμοῦ, ἡανῶ τε πεδόσ' ἐγκέφαλον, ὀμμάτων δ' ἄπο alμοσταγεῖς πρηστῆρες ὕσονται κάτω.

And finally on 475 ἔστι δὲ ταῦτα ἐν Θησεῖ πεποιημένα Εὐριπίδη ἐκεῖ γὰρ τοιοῦτός έστι σπουδάζων ό Ευριπίδης οίος ένταθθα $\pi a i \zeta \omega \nu$. It is to be observed, however, that the lines quoted do not bear the remotest resemblance to the language of Aeacus; and it is very improbable that Aristophanes should be drawing upon a play which, being parodied in the Wasps, must have been produced at least eighteen years before. Here Aeacus first threatens the intruder with the three rivers of Hades, the Styx, the Acheron, and the Cocytus; and then invokes three grisly spectres, the Echidna, the Muraena, and the Gorgons. But everywhere, as we shall see, there is a vein of burlesque underlying his most terrible menaces.

469. ἔχει μέσος] ᾿Αντὶ τοῦ, μέσον ἐλήφθης τοῦτο δὲ ἐκ μεταφορᾶς τῶν ἀθλητῶν.— Scholiast. See Acharnians 571, and frequently elsewhere.

470. Στυγός μελανοκάρδιος πέτρα] The Acheron and Cocytus are rivers of Epirus, and so, according to Homer (Iliad, ii. 755; Od. x. 513), is the Styx; though later writers agree in making the Styx a cataract falling from the Aroanian mountains in the north of Arcady, and flowing into the river Crathis, which after traversing Achaia from south to north, enters the Corinthian gulf at Aegae. We often hear of the water of Styx, $\Sigma \tau v \gamma \delta s$ $\delta \delta \omega \rho$, but only here of the rock of Styx, $\Sigma \tau v \gamma \delta s \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a$. Now, no doubt, the cataract falls from a higher rock into a rocky basin, $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \eta s$ καταλείβεται ήλιβάτοιο, Hes. Theogony 785; ἀπὸ κρημνοῦ ἐμπίπτει ἐς πέτραν, Pausanias, Arcadica xviii. 2. And the allusion here may possibly be to the rock over or into which the Styx falls: but it seems more probable that Aeacus is O, villain, villain, arrant vilest villain ! Who seized our Cerberus by the throat, and fled, And ran, and rushed, and bolted, haling off The dog, my charge ! But now I've got thee fast. So close the Styx's inky-hearted rock, The blood-bedabbled peak of Acheron Shall hem thee in : the hell-hounds of Cocytus Prowl round thee; whilst the hundred-headed Asp

speaking of the Styx itself as a rock, and not as a river or waterfall. $\mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nu$ oκάρδιοs means "blackhearted" in the sense of evilhearted, a sense in which we still use the word (O you little blackhearted thing is a lady's playful response to an urchin in one of Charles Reade's novels), rather than "of black basalt," as Mr. Paley thinks. Aeacus is not describing the geological formation of the rock, he is trying to frighten his auditor. διά τὸ τῆς λέξεως Φοβερον εἶπε μελανοκάρδιος πέτρα έκ μεταφοράς των άγρίων άνθρώπων, ούς διά την ένουσαν αύτοις αγριότητα μελανοκαμδίους φασί.-Scholiast. Cf. Ach. 321.

471. 'A $\chi \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \tau \iota os \sigma \kappa \delta \pi \epsilon \lambda os$] Here again, instead of the stream, we hear of the peak of Acheron: and here again, although there are plenty of peaks around the upper course of the Acheron (see the illustrations in Wordsworth's Greece, pp. 253-259), it seems probable that Aeacus is speaking of the Acheron as if it were itself a peak, and not a river. As to the $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \rho \rho \mu oi$ $\kappa \nu \tau \epsilon s$ of Cocytus, which is a tributary of the Acheron, the Scholiast's observation is doubtless right, $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \delta s$ 'E $\rho \iota \nu \iota \delta s$. The culprit is to be guarded by the rocks of Styx and Acheron, whilst the Furies are ever running round, like hell-hounds, to make sure that he does not escape : and the Asp, the Lamprey and the Gorgons are savagely devouring his vitals.

473. "Exιδva] Echidna (literally, Viper) is a well-known mythological personage, half woman and half serpent, Auyon "Exidva, baneful Echidna, as Hesiod calls her, in his description of herself and her horrible progeny, Cerberus, Chimaera, Hydra and others. - Theogony 304, &c.; Hdt. iv. 9. The epithet *έκατογκέφαλος* seems more properly to belong to some of her offspring, such as the dragon which guarded the golden apples of the Hesperides ; ἐφύλασσε δὲ αὐτὰ δράκων *ἀθάνατος, Τυφῶνος καὶ Ἐχίδνης, κεφαλ*ὰς. έχων έκατόν. - Apollodorus, Bibl. ii. 113. Of Muraena (literally Lamprey) the Scholiast says Μύραινα, δαίμων Φοβερά, but she is not otherwise known in mythology. The έχιδνα and μύραινα, however, were always closely connected. Spanheim refers to Aesch. Choeph. 981, μύραινά γ' είτ' έχιδν' έφυ : and Bergler to Athenaeus, vii. 90. The Gorgons are too well known to require any introduction to the reader.

διασπαράξει, πλευμόνων τ' άνθάψεται	
Ταρτησία Μύραινα· τὼ νεφρὼ δέ σου 4	75
αὐτοῖσιν ἐντέροισιν ἡματωμένω	
διασπάσονται Γοργόνες Τιθράσιαι,	
έφ' ας έγω δρομαΐον όρμήσω πόδα.	
ΞΑ. οῦτος, τί δέδρακας, ΔΙ. ἐγκέχοδα κάλει θεόν.	
ΞΑ. ὦ καταγέλαστ', οὕκουν ἀναστήσει ταχὺ 4	80
πρίν τινά σ' ίδειν άλλότριον; ΔΙ. άλλ' ώρακιῶ.	
άλλ' οἶσε πρός την καρδίαν μου σπογγιάν.	
ΞΑ. ίδου λαβέ. πρόσθου. ΔΙ. ποῦ 'στιν; ΞΑ. ὡ χρυσοῖ θεοὶ,	
ένταῦθ' ἔχεις τὴν καρδίαν; ΔΙ. δείσασα γὰρ	
	85
ΞΑ. ὦ δειλότατε θεῶν σὺ κἀνθρώπων. ΔΙ. ἐγώ;	
πως δειλός, όστις σπογγιάν ήτησά σε ;	
ούκ ἂν ἕτερός γ' αὕτ' εἰργάσατ' ἀνήρ. ΞΑ. ἀλλὰ τί;	
ΔΙ. κατέκειτ' αν όσφραινόμενος, είπερ δειλός ην.	
	90
ΞΑ. άνδρεϊά γ', ὦ Πύσειδον. ΔΙ. οἶμαι νη Δία.	
σὺ δ' οὐκ ἔδεισας τὸν ψόφον τῶν ῥημάτων	

475. Taptnoia Múpaiva] The humour of these words, which was first pointed out by Bergler, is well expressed by Dr. Merry, "The epithet Taprnoia has a terrible sound, from its resemblance to Táprapos. But it veils a jest : for the Tartesian lamprey was esteemed a great delicacy." Bergler refers to Pollux, VI, chap. x, where $\tau \dot{a} \pi a \rho \dot{a}$ τοις παλαιοίς έδέσματα ευδόκιμα are enumerated, and amongst others, µύραινα Ταρτησία, ἀφύαι Φαληρικαὶ (Ach. 901, Birds 76), έγχέλυες έκ Βοιωτίας αι Κωπαίδες (Ach. 880, Peace 1005), Θασία άλμη (Ach. 671), τυρός Σικελικός (Wasps 838) κ.τ.λ.

477. Γοργόνες Τιθράσιαι] 'Απὸ δήμου τῆς 'Αττικῆς πουηροῦ. εἰσὶ δὲ οὖτοι ἀπὸ Τίθ ραντος, τοῦ Πανδίονος παιδὸς, ἐπώνυμοι, εἰς δὲ τὴν Αἰγηΐδα ψυλὴν κατανενέμηνται.— Scholiast. The deme was famous for its dried figs (Athenaeus, xiv. 67) and apparently of ill repute for its scolding women, who are here made to pass off for the Gorgons. The burlesque running through these denunciations in no way detracts from their overwhelming effect upon Dionysus.

478. $\epsilon \phi$ \tilde{a}_{s}] As Beck observes, this line savours of the tragic Muse. We shall find that when Aeacus returns he brings with him, instead of these Shall rive thy heart-strings: the Tartesian Lamprey Prey on thy lungs: and those Tithrasian Gorgons Mangle and tear thy kidneys, mauling them, Entrails and all, into one bloody mash. I'll speed a running foot to fetch them hither.

- XAN. Hallo ! what now ? DIO. I've done it : call the god.
- XAN. Get up, you laughing-stock; get up directly, Before you're seen. DIO. What, I get up? I'm fainting. Please dab a sponge of water on my heart.
- XAN. Here ! DIO. Dab it, you. XAN. Where ? O, ye golden gods, Lies your heart THERE ? DIO. It got so terrified It fluttered down into my stomach's pit.
- XAN. Cowardliest of gods and men ! DIO. The cowardliest ? I ?
 What I, who asked you for a sponge, a thing
 A coward never would have done ! XAN. What then ?
- DIO. A coward would have lain there wallowing; But I stood up, and wiped myself withal.
- XAN. Poseidon ! quite heroic. DIO. 'Deed I think so. But weren't you frightened at those dreadful threats

terrible spectres, merely some understrappers of his own.

479. $\epsilon_{\gamma\kappa\epsilon\chi\sigma\deltaa} \kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota \theta\epsilon\delta\nu$] This is a witty adaptation of the religious formula, $\epsilon_{\kappa\kappa\epsilon\chi\nu\tau\alpha\iota} \kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota \theta\epsilon\delta\nu$, which was employed when the Mystic feast was concluded, the final libation poured out, and the moment arrived for calling forth the god to his worshippers : see supra 323 seqq. $\pi\rho\deltas \tau\delta \epsilon\nu \tau\alpha\deltas \theta\nu\sigma\epsilon\alpha$ $\epsilon^{i}\pi\iota\lambda\epsilon_{\gamma}\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ $\epsilon^{i}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\alpha\nu \gamma\delta\mu \sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\sigma\sigma\sigmai_{\tau}\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota,\epsilon^{i}\pi\iota\lambda\epsilon_{\gamma}\delta\nu\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu,\epsilon^{i}\kappa\kappa\epsilon_{\chi}\nu\tau\alpha\iota,\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\theta\epsilon\delta\nu$.— Scholiast. He gives other interpretations, but I agree with Brunck that this is the true one.

483. πρόσθου. ΔΙ. ποῦ 'στιν;] The MSS.

and early editions give $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$ to Dionysus, and $\pi\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$ ' $\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ to Xanthias; and so I have left the words in the translation: but the middle form $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$ must necessarily be used to, and not by, Dionysus; and Dobree's arrangement, which is followed in the text, has been generally adopted by recent editors. It is confirmed by 490 infra.

484. ἐνταῦθ'] Λαμβάνει ὁ Διόνυσος τὴν χεῖρα τοῦ Ξανθίου, καὶ προστίθησιν εἰς τὸν πρωκτόν.—Scholiast: who is of course following the old arrangement.

487. πως δειλός] Δειλός εἰμι ἐγὼ, ôς ἤτησά σε σπογγιάν ; τοῦτο δὲ ὡς θαυμάζων ἐαυτὸν ὁ Διόνυσος λέγει.— Scholiast.

καὶ τὰς ἀπειλάς. ΞΑ. οὐ μὰ Δ ί', οὐδ' ἐφρόντισα.

ΔI.	ΐθι νυν, έπειδη ληματι ậ ς κάνδρε ίο ς εἶ,	
	σὺ μὲν γενοῦ 'γὼ, τὸ ῥόπαλον τουτὶ λαβὼν	49 5
	καὶ τὴν λεοντῆν, εἴπερ ἀφοβόσπλαγχνος εἶ·	
	έγὼ δ' έσομαί σοι σκευοφόρος έν τῷ μέρει.	

- ΞΑ. φέρε δὴ ταχέως αὔτ' οὐ γὰρ ἀλλὰ πειστέον· καὶ βλέψον εἰς τὸν Ἡρακλειοξανθίαν, εἰ δειλὸς ἔσομαι καὶ κατὰ σὲ τὸ λῆμ' ἔχων.
- ΔΙ. μὰ Δί' ἀλλ' ἀληθῶς οὐκ Μελίτης μαστιγίας. φέρε νυν, ἐγῶ τὰ στρώματ' αἴρωμαι ταδί.
- ΘΕ. ὦ φίλταθ' ἤκεις 'Ηράκλεις; δεῦρ' εἴσιθι. ἡ γὰρ θεός σ' ὡς ἐπύθεθ' ἤκοντ', εὐθέως

493. où $\mu \dot{a} \Delta \dot{i}$, où d'] After où $\mu \dot{a} \Delta \dot{i}$ we must understand ἔδεισα. No by Zeus, I feared them not, nor even gave them a thought. The same words occur in the same sense in Plutus 704, where, in answer to the question "Was not the god disgusted with your conduct?" Cario replies où $\mu \dot{a} \Delta i$, où d' $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \rho \dot{o} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$, No by Zeus, nor did he even give it u thought. The renderings of Bergler and Brunck, "Nihili feci, ita me Jupiter amet," "Flocci non feci, ita me Jupiter amet," would require our in the place of oùôè, as in 1043 infra. The word oùôè introduces a new branch of the sentence here, just as ἀλλὰ does infra 650.

494. ληματιậς] Λημά ἐστι τὸ φρόνημα (supra 463) ληματιậς οὖν μέγα φρονεῖς.— Scholiast.

501. οὐκ Μελίτης μαστιγίας] Melite was a deme adjoining Collytus and the Cerameicus, and containing, the Scholiast tells us, ἐπιφανέστατον ἱερον Ἡρακλέους ἀλεξικάκου. He is probably speaking of the Theseium described by Pausanias in the seventeenth chapter of the Attica, which is generally identified (Leake's Topography of Athens, i. 166, and Appendix ix, though there are no doubt considerable difficulties in the way of that identification; see Dyer's Ancient Athens, chap. viii) with the beautiful temple still existing in that quarter in almost perfect preservation. For in Athens a temple of Theseus was also, as a rule, a temple of Heracles. Theseus himself is said to have dedicated all his shrines but four to the worship of Heracles (Plutarch, Theseus, 35; cf. Eurip. Herc. Fur. 1325-35). The Athenians loved to draw more closely the ties which bound their local hero to his still more illustrious comrade, and Heracles was always a welcome guest in the Athenian homes of Theseus. Speaking of the existing temple, Bp. Wordsworth of Lincoln observes : "Theseus did not enjoy alone the undivided honours of his own temple. He admitted Heracles, the friend and com-

500

77

And shoutings? XAN. Frightened? Not a bit. I cared not. DIO. Come then, if you're so very brave a man, Will you be I, and take the hero's club And lion's skin, since you're so monstrous plucky? And I'll be now the slave, and bear the luggage.

- XAN. Hand them across. I cannot choose but take them. And now observe the Xanthio-heracles If I'm a coward and a sneak like you.
- DIO. Nay, you're the rogue from Melite's own self. And I'll pick up and carry on the traps.
- MAID. O welcome, Heracles ! come in, sweetheart. My Lady, when they told her, set to work,

panion of his earthly toils, to a share in his posthumous glory. He even ceded to him, with the best spirit of Athenian delicacy, the most honourable place in that fabric. On the eastern facade of this temple all the ten metopes are occupied with the labours of Heracles, while only four, and those on the sides, refer to the deeds of Theseus. The same disinterestedness is shown in the selection of the subjects of the two friezes of the pronaos and posticum of the cella. Here, as before, Theseus has vielded to Heracles the most conspicuous spot at the very entrance of his own temple" (Athens and Attica, chap, xviii). Xanthias is doubtless throwing himself into the attitude of some wellknown representation, whether statue, frieze, or painting, of Heracles in the Theseium. The Scholiast mentions, or makes, the curious suggestion, that an allusion is intended to Callias, the son of Hipponicus, who is credited with the possession of a lion-skin, supra 430, and who, he says, had a residence in Melite; but this is extremely improbable: and anyhow, any such allusion must be quite subordinate to the reference to Heracles as the scapegrace of Melite.

503. & φίλταθ' ήκεις Dionysus has divested himself of the attire of Heracles at a most inopportune moment. Whilst he carried the club and the lion-skin, they attracted nothing but threats and revilings, but no sooner has he doffed them than they receive the warmest welcome. The maid-servant of Persephone runs out to invite the wearer to a splendid banquet prepared on a scale proportionate to the hero's traditional voracity. A whole ox is to be broiled on the embers; there are to be several bowls of that thick soup, after which his soul had so often lusted (supra 63, 64), whilst bread and rolls and honey-cakes, fillets of fish, game, sweetmeats and wine are to be provided in profusion.

	έπεττεν άρτους, ήψε κατερικτών χύτρας	505
	έτνους δύ ή τρεῖς, βοῦν ἀπηνθράκιζ' ὅλον,	
	πλακοῦντας ὤπτα, κολλάβους. ἀλλ' εἴσιθι.	
ΞA.	κάλλιστ', ἐπαινῶ. ΘΕ. μὰ τὸν ἀΑπόλλω οὐ μή σ' ἐγὼ	
	περιόψομἀπελθόντ', ἐπεί τοι καὶ κρέα	
	άνέβραττεν ὀρνίθεια, καὶ τραγήματα	510
	έφρυγε, κῷνον ἀνεκεράννυ γλυκύτατον.	
	άλλ' εἴσιθ' ἅμ' ἐμοί. ΞΑ. πάνυ καλῶς. ΘΕ. ληρεῖς ἔχα	י ע כ.
	οὐ γάρ σ' ἀφήσω. καὶ γὰρ αὐλητρίς γέ σοι	
	ήδη 'νδον έσθ' ώραιοτάτη κώρχηστρίδες	
	ἕτεραι δύ' ἢ τρεῖs. ΞΑ. πῶs λέγειs; ὀρχηστρίδεs;	515
ΘE.	ήβυλλιῶσαι κάρτι παρατετιλμέναι.	
	ἀλλ' εἴσιθ', ὡς ὁ μάγειρος ἤδη τὰ τεμάχη	
	ἕμελλ' ἀφαιρεῖν χἠ τράπεζ' εἰσήρετο.	
ΞA.	ίθι νυν, φράσον πρώτιστα ταῖς ὄρχηστρίσιν	
	ταίς ένδον ούσαις αύτὸς ὡς ϵἰσέρχομαι.	52 0
	ό παῖς, ἀκολούθει δεῦρο τὰ σκεύη φέρων.	
ΔI.	ἐπίσχες οῦτος. οὔ τί που σπουδὴν ποιεῖ,	

505. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho (\kappa \tau \delta \nu)$ Crushed by a handmill. Cf. Wasps 648, 649. Here it is applied to beans, lentils, and other vegetables, crushed to a pulp, and then boiled with milk into a thick soup or broth.

507. $\pi\lambda\alpha\kappao\bar{\nu}\tau\alpha s$] Honey-cakes. As to the ingredients of which these cakes were composed, see the note on Eccl. 223. $\kappa\delta\lambda\lambda\alpha\beta\omega$ (Peace 1196) were small rolls, of a milky-white colour, made of fresh wheat, and eaten hot.—Athenaeus, iii. 75. The Scholiast describes them as $\epsilon\omega\kappa\delta\tau\alpha s \tau \eta\nu \pi\lambda\delta\sigma\iota\nu \tau \sigma\hat{s} \kappa\delta\lambda\lambda\delta\beta\omega s \tau\hat{\eta}s$ $\kappa\iota\theta\delta\rho as$, and indeed they seem to have derived their name from their similarity in shape to these pegs (more commonly called $\kappa \delta \lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon s$) for relaxing or tightening the strings of the lyre.

508. κάλλιστ', ἐπαινῶ] A polite way of declining. So infra 512, 888. παραιτούμενοι οἱ παλαιοὶ ἐλεγον '' κάλλιστ', ἐπαινῶ'' καὶ ''ἐπήνουν.''—Scholiast. The Latins used benigne, recte, bene, gratia est, in the same way. Thus in the Mostellaria of Plautus, v. 2. 9, Theuropides, receiving a salutation and an invitation to supper, returns the salutation but adds, by way of declining the supper, de coena facio gratiam. Our own language has, perhaps, no similar idiom; but Molière employs an exact equivalent in Le Dépit Amoureux, v. 3Baked mighty loaves, boiled two or three tureens Of lentil soup, roasted a prime ox whole, Made rolls and honey-cakes. So come along.

- XAN. (Declining.) You are too kind. MAID. I will not let you go.
 I will not LET you! Why, she's stewing slices
 Of juicy bird's-flesh, and she's making comfits,
 And tempering down her richest wine. Come, dear,
 Come along in. XAN. (Still declining.) Pray thank her. MAID. O you're jesting,
 I shall not let you off: there's such a lovely
 Flute-girl all ready, and we've two or three
 Dancing-girls also. XAN. Eh ! what ! Dancing girls ?
- MAID. Young budding virgins, freshly tired and trimmed. Come, dear, come in. The cook was dishing up The cutlets, and they are bringing in the tables.
- XAN. Then go you in, and tell those dancing-girls Of whom you spake, I'm coming in Myself. Pick up the traps, my lad, and follow me.
- DIO. Hi! stop! you're not in earnest, just because

Monsieur de la Rapière, un homme de la sorte Doit être regretté. Mais quant à votre escorte, Je vous rends grâces.

Fritzsche supposes that $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega}s$, thus used, means bene est ita ut oblata conditione uti nolim: but this is an obvious misapprehension.

512. ληρεîs έχων] "You keep on playing the fool." The έχων is not περιπτόν as the Scholiast thinks. It would have been περιπτόν if employed on the *first* refusal of Xanthias.

516. παρατετιλμέναι] Trimmed. ἐσπασμέναι τὰς ἐπανθούσας τῷ προσώπῳ τρίχας, καὶ τὰς τῶν ὀφρύων.—Scholiast. "To eradicate all superfluous hairs formed," as Mr. Mitchell says, "an important operation of the Athenian toilet."

518. $d\phi a \iota \rho \epsilon i \nu$] Ἐκ τῶν $\delta \beta \epsilon \lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$, Scholiast, who also explains $\epsilon i \sigma \eta \rho \epsilon \tau o$ by $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau o$; cp. Wasps 1216.

519. πρώτιστα ταἶε $\delta \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \rho (\sigma \iota \nu)$] He passes over Persephone, from whom the invitation came, and sends his message direct to the dancing-girls.

520. aὐτόs] 'Αντὶ τοῦ ὁ δεσπότης.— Scholiast. He speaks of himself as aὐτὸs, as a vaunt over Dionysus, to accentuate the fact that he is himself the master now, and Dionysus but the πa îs of the succeeding line.

	ότιή σε παίζων Ἡρακλέα γ' ἐσκεύασα; οὐ μὴ φλυαρήσεις ἔχων, ὡ Ξανθία, ἀλλ' ἀράμενος οἴσεις πάλιν τὰ στρώματα; τί δ' ἔστιν; οὐ δή πού μ' ἀφελέσθαι διανοεῖ ἅδωκας αὐτός; ΔΙ. οὐ τάχ', ἀλλ' ἤδη ποιῶ. κατάθου τὸ δέρμα. ΞΑ. ταῦτ' ἐγὼ μαρτύρομαι καὶ τοῖς θεοῖσιν ἐπιτρέπω. ΔΙ. ποίοις θεοῖς; τὸ δὲ προσδοκῆσαί σ' οὐκ ἀνόητον καὶ κενὸν ὡς δοῦλος ὡν καὶ θνητὸς ἙΑλκμήνης ἔσει; ἀμέλει, καλῶς· ἔχ' αὕτ'. ἴσως γάρ τοί ποτε ἐμοῦ δεηθείης ἅν, εἰ θεὸς θέλοι.	5 25 530
XO.		στρ.
	νοῦν ἔχοντος καὶ φρένας καὶ πολλὰ περιπεπλευκότος, μετακυλίνδειν αὑτὸν ἀεὶ πρὸς τὸν εὖ πράττοντα τοῖχον μᾶλλον ἢ γεγραμμένην	535

534. Taûra $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] We now come to two little systems of dimeter trochaics, the counterparts of which will be found infra 590-604. In each case the strophe is addressed by the Chorus to the wielder of the hero's club and lion-skin, here Dionysus, there Xanthias, whose reply is contained in the antistrophe. Here the Chorus are applauding the worldly wisdom of Dionysus in keeping for himself or handing over to Xanthias the garb and symbols of Heracles, according as the symptoms point to a friendly or a hostile reception. They liken him to Theramenes, the shifty and versatile politician who passed with such ease and rapidity from one side to the other that he acquired the popular nickname of $\delta \ K \delta^{4}o\rho\nu os$, the Slipper; not indeed because, as the Scholiast here suggests, the $\kappa \delta \theta o\rho\nu os$ was worn by both men and women, but because, as Xenophon tells us (Hellenics, ii. 3. 31), it could be worn indifferently on either foot. See Lucian's Pseudologista 16. Another brilliant little sketch, on the same lines, of the same statesman, is given infra 967-970. They contain a happy and not unfair criticism on the whole career of Theramenes, but are doubtless specially called forth at the present moment by his conduct after the battle of Arginusae.

535. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \kappa \delta \tau \sigma s$] Duker refers to what is said of Odysseus at the commencement of the Odyssey, and observes that Eustathius, in his com-

80

I dressed you up, in fun, as Heracles? Come, don't keep fooling, Xanthias, but lift And carry in the traps yourself. XAN. Why! what! You are never going to strip me of these togs You gave me! DIO. Going to? No, I'm doing it now. Off with that lion-skin. XAN. Bear witness all, The gods shall judge between us. DIO. Gods, indeed! Why, how could you (the vain and foolish thought!) A slave, a mortal, act Alemena's son?

- XAN. All right then, take them; maybe, if God will, You'll soon require my services again.
- CHOR. This is the part of a dexterous clever Man with his wits about him ever, One who has travelled the world to see; Always to shift, and to keep through all Close to the sunny side of the wall; Not like a pictured block to be,

mentary there, is obviously alluding to the passage before us, explaining, as he does, the epithet $\pi o\lambda \dot{v} \tau \rho \sigma \sigma v$ by $\epsilon \dot{v} \kappa \dot{v} \eta \tau \sigma v$, $o \dot{v} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \phi' \dot{\epsilon} v \dot{v} s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau a o \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu$ - $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta v \epsilon \dot{i} \kappa \dot{\sigma} v a$. However, the description here is not so much that of a man who $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} v dv \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v \ddot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon a \kappa a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \nu \omega$, as of a seaman who has sailed on many voyages, and well knows how to provide for his own safety in stormy weather, by avoiding the side which from time to time seems likely to be submerged, and keeping to that which for the moment is high and dry; "a thoroughly shrewd old salt," as Mr. Rudd translates the line. For $\tau o \hat{i} \chi o s$ of course means the side of the vessel, and not, as in the translation, a wall generally. The Scholiast on $\tau o \hat{i} \chi o \nu$ says $\tilde{o} \mu o c \nu \tau \hat{\phi} \epsilon \nu$ 'A $\lambda \kappa \mu \eta \nu \eta E \dot{v} \rho i \pi (\delta o \nu,$

ού γάρ ποτ΄ είων Σθένελον ἐς τον εὐτυχη χωροῦντα τοῖχον τῆς δίκης σ' ἀποστερεῖν,

παροιμία δέ έστι πρὸς τὸν εὖ πράττοντα τοῖχον ῥέπειν, ἐπὶ τῶν περὶ τὸ λυσιτελοῦν αύτοῖς ἀεὶ στρεφομένων. ἐἶρηται δὲ ἐκ μεταφορᾶς τῶν ἐπιβατῶν τῆς νεὼς, ὅταν θατέρου μέρους αὐτοῖς κατακλυζομένου, πρὸς τὸ ἔτερον οῦτοι μεθίστανται. See Eur. Orestes 885 and Porson's note.

εἰκόν' ἐστάναι, λαβόνθ' ἐν	
σχη̂μα· τὸ δὲ μεταστρέφεσθα	
πρός τὸ μαλθακώτερον	
δεξιοῦ πρὸς ἀνδρός ἐστι	540
καὶ φύσει Θηραμένους.	
ού γάρ αν γέλοιον ήν, εί	
Ξανθίας μέν δοῦλος ὢν ἐν	
στρώμασιν Μιλησίοις	
άνατετραμμένος κυνῶν ὀρ-	
χηστρίδ', εἶτ' ἤτησεν ἀμίδ', ἐ-	
γώ δε πρός τοῦτον βλέπων	
τοὐρεβίνθου 'δραττόμην· οὖ-	545
τος δ' ἅτ' ὣν αὐτὸς πανοῦργος	
είδε, κἆτ' ἐκ τῆς γνάθου	
πὺξ πατάξας μοὐξέκοψε	
τοὺς χοροὺς τοὺς προσθίους;	

ΠΑΝ. Α. Πλαθάνη, Πλαθάνη, δεῦρ' ἔλθ', ὁ πανοῦργος οὐτοσὶ,

541. où yàp åv $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] Dionysus cheerfully accepts the somewhat equivocal compliment paid him in the strophe, and draws a picture of what might have happened had Xanthias attended the banquet in the character of Heracles. The $\partial_{\rho}\chi\eta\sigma\tau\rho(\delta\epsilon_s)$, it will be remembered, were the final inducement which led Xanthias to accept Persephone's invitation. 542. $Mi\lambda\eta\sigma iois$] The richly-dyed wools of Miletus were very famous in the ancient world, cf. Lys. 729; and her manufacturers were renowned for their skill in working them up into rugs and carpets. Virgil's allusions in the Georgics to the "Milesian fleeces" are well known—

Quamvis Milesia magno Vellera mutentur Tyrios incocta rubores.—iii. 306. Eam circum Milesia vellera nymphae Carpebant, hyali saturo fucata colore.—iv. 334.

The Scholiast says ἐκεῖ γὰρ ἐν Μιλήτῷ καλὴ ἡ τῶν στρωμάτων ἐργασία. καὶ τὰ Μιλήσια στρώματα ποικίλα καὶ ἀπαλὰ γίνεται καὶ διάφορα. 545. τοὐρεβίνθου] Τοῦ αἰδοίου.—Scholiast. The ἐρέβινθος is literally our chickpea, the Latin cicer. See Ach. 801. With the words ητησεν ἀμίδα, compare Thesm. 633.

 $\Delta I.$

Standing always in one position; Nay but to veer, with expedition. And ever to eatch the favouring breeze, This is the part of a shrewd tactician, This is to be a-THERAMENES! DIO. Truly an exquisite joke 'twould be. Him with a dancing girl to see, Lolling at ease on Milesian rugs; Me, like a slave, beside him standing, Aught that he wants to his lordship handing ; Then as the damsel fair he hugs, Seeing me all on fire to embrace her, He would perchance (for there's no man baser). Turning him round like a lazy lout. Straight on my mouth deliver a facer. Knocking my ivory choirmen out.

HOSTESS. O Plathane! Plathane! Here's that naughty man,

548. τούς χορούς τούς προσθίους] Ηε means his front teeth; but just as in Wasps 525, the old dicast, with his mind full of his dicastic pay, substitutes $μισθ \dot{o}ν$ for κύλικα, thereby rendering his speech nonsensical, so here Dionysus, the lord of all dramatic choruses, and indeed at this moment addressing a chorus, substitutes xopoùs for odóvras with a similar result. $d\nu\tau i \tau o\hat{\nu} \epsilon i\pi\epsilon i\nu$ όδόντας, είπεν χορούς. Διόνυσος γάρ έστιν ό των χορών προστάτης. τὸ δὲ ὅλον παρὰ την ὑπόνοιαν.—Scholiast. The word χορὸς, which often means a "row," was in later times occasionally applied by medical writers to a set of teeth. Dindorf refers to Galen. De usu partium corporis humani i. 8, άλλ' εί μέν χορόν τις έστησεν έν

Hades, had not only carried off Cerberus. but also devoured, without payment. the entire contents of a cook-shop. The two women to whom the hostelry belonged come in furious. In the dialogue which ensues we have, as the

κόσμω δυοίν και τριάκοντα χορευτών έπηνειτ'

άν ώς τεχνικός. έπει δε δδόντων χορόν

ούτω καλώς διεκόσμησεν ή φύσις, οὐκ ἄρα

καὶ ταύτην ἐπαινεσόμεθα; But there is

no allusion here to that usage of the

549. $\Pi \lambda \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] This second ex-

The attire of Heracles, when re-

change proves as inopportune as the

sumed by Dionysus, again becomes a

mark for insult and abuse. The real

Heracles, it appears, on his visit to

83

word.

first.

δς είς τὸ πανδοκείον εἰσελθών ποτε ἐκκαίδεκ' ἄρτους κατέφαγ' ἡμῶν. ΠΑΝ. Β. νὴ Δία, ἐκείνος αὐτὸς δῆτα. ΞΑ. κακὸν ἤκει τινί.

ΠΑΝ. Α. καὶ κρέα γε πρὸς τούτοισιν ἀνάβραστ' εἶκοσιν ἀν' ἡμιωβολιαῖα. ΞΑ. δώσει τις δίκην.

ΠΑΝ. Α. καὶ τὰ σκόροδα τὰ πολλά. ΔΙ. ληρεῖς, ὦ γύναι, 555 κούκ οἶσθ' ὅ τι λέγεις. ΠΑΝ. Α. οὐ μέν οῦν με προσεδόκας, ότιη κοθόρνους είχες, αν γνωναί σ' έτι; τί δαί; τὸ πολὺ τάριχος οὐκ εἴρηκά πω, μὰ Δί', οὐδὲ τὸν τυρόν γε τὸν χλωρὸν, τάλαν, δν ούτος αύτοις τοις ταλάροις κατήσθιεν. 560 κάπειτ' έπειδη τάργύριον έπραττόμην, έβλεψεν είς έμε δριμύ κάμυκατό γε. ΞΑ. τούτου πάνυ τούργον, οῦτος ὁ τρόπος πανταχοῦ. ΠΑΝ. Α. καὶ τὸ ξίφος γ' ἐσπατο, μαίνεσθαι δοκῶν. ΠΑΝ. Β. νη Δία, τάλαινα. ΠΑΝ. Α. νω δε δεισάσα γε που 565 έπι την κατήλιφ' εύθυς άνεπηδήσαμεν.

Scholiast observes, four speakers, $\pi a p a - \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu$ $\delta \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \rho \epsilon s$ $\epsilon \pi \iota$ $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta s$ $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon - \gamma o \nu \tau a \iota$. No doubt the three professional actors represented Dionysus, Xanthias, and the Hostess, whilst Plathane, who for an angry and excited female is singularly reticent, was represented by a choregic actor.

552. τινί] Τῷ Διονύσῷ δηλονότι, says the Scholiast, and on τιs two lines below, ἀντὶ τοῦ, ὁ Διόνυσος ὁ Ξανθίας δὲ ἡρέμα λαλεῖ. See infra 606. Xanthias is of course delighted at the turn things are taking, and is now poking fun at his unfortunate master.

554. αν' ήμιωβολιαία] "Αξιον ήμίσεος

∂βολοῦ ἑν ἕκαστον.—Scholiast. The lady does not seem to be speaking very good Greek, and Dionysus plucks up courage, for once, to repudiate the charge; but thenceforth he is silent, overwhelmed by the clamour and volubility of these unexpected assailants.

550

560. $\tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha s$] The $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \rho \alpha s$ was a wicker basket, in the shape of a cheese, into which the curd was introduced, and pressed until all the whey was strained out, and nothing remained but the dried cheese. Mitchell refers to the story of the Cyclops in the ninth book of the Odyssey,

αὐτίκα δ' ήμισυ μὲν θρέψας λευκοίο γάλακτος πλεκτοῖς ἐν ταλάροισιν ἀμησάμενος κατέθηκεν.-246, 247. That's he who got into our tavern once,

And ate up sixteen loaves. PLATHANE. O, so he is !

The very man. XAN. Bad luck for somebody !

- Hos. O and, besides, those twenty bits of stew, Half-obol pieces. XAN. Somebody's going to catch it !
- Hos. That garlie too. DIO. Woman, you're talking nonsense.
 You don't know what you're saying. Hos. O, you thought I shouldn't know you with your buskins on !
 Ah, and I've not yet mentioned all that fish, No, nor the new-made cheese : he gulped it down, Baskets and all, unlucky that we were.
 And when I just alluded to the price, He looked so fierce, and bellowed like a bull.
- XAN. Yes, that's his way: that's what he always does.
- Hos. O, and he drew his sword, and seemed quite mad.
- PLA. O, that he did. Hos. And terrified us so We sprang up to the cockloft, she and I.

563. οὖτος ὁ τρόπος] Τὸ τρώγειν καὶ μὴ διδόναι, Φησὶ, τὸν μισθόν. τοῦτο δὲ ὁ Ξανθίας, ἐπαίρων κατὰ τοῦ Διονύσου τὰς γυναῖκας.—Scholiast.

566. κατήλιφ'] The κατήλιψ appears to have been a shelf or ledge running along the back of the cook-shop, and formed by the upper surface either of a cross-beam or of a partition not reaching to the ceiling. Κατήλιψ· μεσόδμη, μεσότοιχον, δοκὸs ή ὑπένερθε (vulgo ὑπό τινος) βαστάζουσα τὸν ὄροφον· οἱ δὲ, ἰκρίωμα τὸ ἐν τῷ οἴκῷ, ὅ καὶ βέλτιον.

Hesychius. Photius, Pollux, Suidas, and other grammarians agree in explaining it by $\mu\epsilon\sigma\delta\delta\mu\eta$, which is itself explained by Galen in his commentary on Hippocrates, De Articulis iv. 41 (partly cited by Dr. Blaydes) as τὸ μέγα ξύλον τὸ ἀπὸ τοῦ έτέρου τοίχου πρὸς τὸν ἔτερον διῆκον, έν τε τοίς των πανδοκείων οίκοις τοίς μεγάλοις, έν οις ίστασι τὰ κτήνη, και κατ' άγρον όμοίως έν τοῖς γεωργικοῖς οἴκοις. On this ledge articles for sale and household stores would be kept, and here in humbler dwellings the domestic fowls would roost. As to household stores see Lucian (Lexiphanes 8), who, purposely affecting obsolete or out-of-theway words, says 6 μέν τις έπι την κατήλιφα άναρριχησάμενος, επιφόρημα εζήτει, One scrambled up to the $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \iota \psi$ in quest

In modern times the cheese-press, or $\tau\nu\rho\sigma\beta\delta\lambda\iota\sigma\nu$, is not a basket, but a wooden shape, perforated with holes. Heracles makes no scruple of swallowing the baskets as well as the new cheeses they contained.

ό δ' ῷχετ' ἐξάξας γε τὰς ψιάθους λαβών. ΞΑ. καὶ τοῦτο τούτου τοὕργον. ἀλλ' ἐχρῆν τι δραν.	
ΠΑΝ. Α. ίθι δη κάλεσον τον προστάτην Κλέωνά μοι.	
ΠΑΝ. Β. σὺ δ΄ ἔμοιγ', ἐάνπερ ἐπιτύχῃς, ἡΥπέρβολον,	570
ίν' αὐτὸν ἐπιτρίψωμεν. ΠΑΝ. Α. ὦ μιαρὰ φάρυγξ,	
ώς ήδέως αν σου λίθφ τοὺς γομφίους	
κόπτοιμ' αν, οις μου κατέφαγες τὰ φορτία.	
ΠΑΝ. Β. ἐγὼ δέ γ' ἐς τὸ βάραθρον ἐμβάλοιμί σε.	
ΠΑΝ. Α. ἐγὼ δὲ τὸν λάρυγγ' ἂν ἐκτέμοιμί σου,	575
δρέπανον λαβοῦσ', ὦ τὰς χόλικας κατέσπασας.	
άλλ' εἶμ' ἐπὶ τὸν Κλέων', ὃς αὐτοῦ τήμερον	
ἐκπ ηνιεῖται ταῦτα προσκαλούμενος.	
ΔΙ. κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην, Ξανθίαν εἰ μὴ φιλῶ.	
ΞΑ. οἶδ οἶδα τὸν νοῦν παῦε παῦε τοῦ λόγου.	580
ούκ ἂν γενοίμην Ἡρακλῆς ἄν. ΔΙ. μηδαμῶς,	
ώ Ξανθίδιον. ΞΑ. καὶ πῶς ἀν Ἁλκμήνης ἐγὼ	

of dessert. And as to articles of sale and poultry we are told by the Scholiast here, $\kappa a \tau \eta \lambda \iota \phi a \cdot \sigma a \nu \iota \delta a \quad \epsilon \nu \quad \bar{y} \quad \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau a \quad \tau \dot{a} \quad \pi \omega \lambda o \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu a \quad \tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} a \sigma \iota \nu, \quad \epsilon \dot{l} s \quad \eta \nu \quad \dot{a} \nu a \beta a \dot{\iota} \nu \nu \tau \tau s \quad \delta \tau \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \dot{\iota} \quad \kappa \sigma \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota$. This would not be a very safe refuge from Heracles; but Heracles was seeking not them, but theirs.

569. Kλέωνa] Aeacus had threatened Dionysus with all sorts of mythological horrors; the dead hostesses threaten him with the dead demagogues, Cleon and Hyperbolus. The appellation προστάτηs τοῦ δήμου was the regular description of the leading Athenian demagogue. Cf. Knights 1128, Peace 684, Eccl. 176, Plutus 920, and Aristotle's Polity of Athens passim.

574. $\tau \dot{o} \beta \dot{a} \rho a \theta \rho o \nu$] This was the pit or chasm at Athens, into which the corpses

of slain malefactors were cast. It is frequently mentioned in these comedies, and both in Aristophanes and elsewhere, $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\nu$ is the verb uniformly employed to express the act of flinging the criminals into it. In its present condition it is described by Professor Mahaffy (Rambles in Greece, chap. iii) as a cleft in the rock, 200 yards long, 60 wide, and over 30 deep. Euripides probably had the $\beta\dot{\alpha}\rho\alpha\theta\rho\sigma\nu$ in his mind when, in answer to the question of Orestes "What grave will receive my corpse?" he makes Iphigeneia reply, $\chi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\mu a \epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\pi\dot{\rho}\nu$ ficture.

576. χόλικας] Tripe, Knights 1179, Peace 717. $\mathring{\eta}$ άρτους $\mathring{\eta}$ έντερα, says the Scholiast, adding τὸ δὲ $\mathring{\phi}$ οὐ πρὸς τὸ δρέπανον, ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸν λάρυγγα. κατέσπασας δὲ ἀντὶ τοῦ κατεβρόχθισας. The ex-

Then out he hurled, decamping with the rugs.

- XAN. That's his way too; but something must be done.
- Hos. Quick, run and call my patron Cleon here !
- PLA. O, if you meet him, call Hyperbolus !
 We'll pay you out to-day. Hos. O filthy throat, O how I'd like to take a stone, and hack Those grinders out with which you chawed my wares.
- PLA. I'd like to pitch you in the deadman's pit.
- Hos. I'd like to get a reaping-hook and scoop That gullet out with which you gorged my tripe. But I'll to Cleon: he'll soon serve his writs; He'll twist it out of you to-day, he will.
- DIO. Perdition seize me, if I don't love Xanthias.
- XAN. Aye, aye, I know your drift: stop, stop that talking.I won't be Heracles. DIO. O, don't say so,Dear, darling Xanthias. XAN. Why, how can I,

planation $\tilde{a}\rho\tau\sigma\nu s$ has reference to the MS. reading $\kappa\delta\lambda\kappa\alpha s$, which, however, in that sense should be spelled with a double λ , and has the penultimate long. See Schweighaeuser, at Athenaeus, xiv. 53, who observing that the second explanation $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\rho a$ has reference to $\chi\delta\lambda\kappa\alpha s$, suggests $\chi\delta\lambda\kappa\alpha s$ here, a suggestion now universally adopted.

578. ἐκπηνιείται] Πηνίον is a ball of thread, είλημα κρόκης (Scholiast on II. xxiii. 762), ἐκπηνίζεσθαι to unwind the thread; here, to wind something out of a man, which is to be done by issuing writs and bringing accusations, a favourite practice of Cleon in his lifetime, as Aristophanes knew by personal experience. The Scholiasts explain προσκαλούμενος by ἐγκαλῶν, εἰς δικαστήριον ἕλκων, κατηγορῶν αὐτοῦ. With these words the women go out to fetch their bullies.

579. κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην] "Perdition catch my soul, but I do love thee," Othello, iii. 3. Mr. Puff, in Sheridan's Critic, iii. 1, admits that this line had been composed by Shakespeare some 200 years before it was composed by himself: but Aristophanes seems to have said the same thing some 2,000 years before Shakespeare. Dionysus wants to coax Xanthias into making a second exchange; $\phi o \beta o \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon v \sigma \delta$. Διόνυσοs, says the Scholiast, $\dot{\nu} \pi o \kappa \rho \dot{\nu} \epsilon r a t$ $\phi \iota \lambda (a \nu \pi \rho \delta s \Xi a \nu \theta (a \nu, \tilde{\iota} \nu a \pi a \lambda \iota \nu `H \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta s$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \pi a \iota$.

582. 'Αλκμήνης υίός] "Απερ πρός αὐτὸν ό Διόνυσος πρότερον ἔλεγε (supra 531), ταῦτα λέγει καὶ αὐτὸς εἶρωνευόμενος καὶ παίζων.— Scholiast.

	υίδς γενοίμην, δοῦλος ἅμα καὶ θνητδς ὤν; οἶδ' οἶδ' ὅτι θυμοῖ, καὶ δικαίως αὐτὸ δρậς κἂν εἴ με τύπτοις, οὐκ ἂν ἀντείποιμί σοι. ἀλλ' ἤν σε τοῦ λοιποῦ ποτ' ἀφέλωμαι χρόνου, πρόρριζος αὐτὸς, ἡ γυνὴ, τὰ παιδία, κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην, κ'Αρχέδημος ὁ γλάμων. δέχομαι τὸν ὅρκον, κἀπὶ τούτοις λαμβάνω.		585
XO.		άντ.	590
	την στολην είληφας, ήνπερ		
	ε ἶχεs ἐξ ἀρχῆs, πάλιν		
	ἀνανεάζειν [σαυτὸν ἀεὶ]		
	καὶ βλέπειν αὖθις τὸ δεινὸν,		
	τοῦ θεοῦ μεμνημένον		
	ὦπερ εἰκάζεις σεαυτόν.		
	εἰ δὲ παραληρῶν ἁλώσει	,	
	κάκβαλεῖς τι μαλθακὸν,		595
	αὖθις αἴρεσθαί σ' ἀνάγκη		
	'σται πάλιν τὰ στρώματα.		
ZA.	ού κακῶς, ῶνδρες, παραινεῖτ',		
	άλλὰ καὐτὸς τυγχάνω ταῦτ'		
	άρτι συννοούμενος.		
	ότι μέν οῦν, ην χρηστὸν ή τι,		
	ταῦτ' ἀφαιρεῖσθαι πάλιν πει-		600
	• •		

587. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi a \imath \delta i a$] To involve one's family with oneself in a common imprecation, though laughably inapplicable to the case of Dionysus, was, as Spanheim observes, a familiar formula at Athens. He refers to Antiphon, "In the Matter of the Murder of Herodes," 11; Andocides, "In the Matter of the Mysteries," 98; Demosthenes against Aristocrates, 67, and other passages.

And Dr. Blaydes adds Demosthenes against Euergus, 70, $\epsilon i \ \delta i o \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi i \ \Pi a \lambda - \lambda a \delta i \omega a v r o s \kappa a i f \gamma v v \eta \kappa a i \tau a \pi a v \delta a, \kappa a i$ $<math>\kappa a \tau a \rho a \sigma c \sigma \theta \epsilon a v r o \hat{s} \kappa a i \tau \hat{\eta} o i \kappa (a \kappa. \tau. \lambda)$. And cf. Thesm. 349. Having such a sweeping imprecation in hand, Aristophanes utilizes it, $\pi a \rho a \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa (a \nu, b v)$ including within its scope that " blear-eyed Archedemus" of whom we have already heard supra 417.

88

A slave, a mortal, act Alcmena's son!

- DIO. Aye, aye, I know you are vexed, and I deserve it, And if you pummel me, I won't complain.
 But if I strip you of these togs again, Perdition seize myself, my wife, my children, And, most of all, that blear-eyed Archedemus.
- XAN. That oath contents me: on those terms I take them.

CHOR. Now that at last you appear once more, Wearing the garb that at first you wore, Wielding the club and the tawny skin, Now it is yours to be up and doing, Glaring like mad, and your youth renewing, Mindful of him whose guise you are in. If, when caught in a bit of a scrape, you Suffer a word of alarm to escape you, Showing yourself but a feckless knave, Then will your master at once undrape you, Then you'll again be the toiling slave. There, I admit, you have given to me a XAN. Capital hint, and the like idea, Friends, had occurred to myself before. Truly if anything good befell He would be wanting, I know full well,

593. $\sigma a \upsilon \tau \dot{\rho} \upsilon \dot{\epsilon} i$] These words are found in the old editions and several inferior MSS., but are omitted in the best MSS., and are possibly, as Beck suggested, borrowed from the corresponding line in the corresponding system, $\mu \epsilon \tau a \kappa \upsilon - \lambda (\nu \partial \epsilon i \nu a \dot{\upsilon} \tau \dot{\rho} \upsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon})$, supra 536. Their omission makes the line too short by a trochaic dipody, which some have attempted to supply by $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} r \dot{\delta} \sigma \sigma \beta a \rho \dot{\delta} \nu$ (from a gloss in the Oxford MS.), and others by $\pi\rho\delta s \tau\delta \gamma a\hat{\nu}\rho\sigma\nu$. But these are pure conjectures, and certainly not more probable than the reading of the old editions. For though $d\nu a\nu\epsilon d\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$ is usually intransitive, it is not invariably so. See Steph. Thesaur. s.v. (Paris edition).

600. $\tau a \vartheta \tau' d\phi a \iota \rho \epsilon \delta \sigma \theta a \iota$] The Chorus have been warning Xanthias that he will lose the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, if he does not display the $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$, of Heracles : but Xanthias,

ράσεταί μ' εὐ οἶδ' ὅτι.	
άλλ' ὄμως ἐγὼ παρέξω	
'μαυτὸν ἀνδρεῖον τὸ λῆμα	
καὶ βλέποντ' ὀρίγανον.	
δείν δ' έοικεν, ώς ακούω	
της θύρας καὶ δὴ ψόφον.	
ΑΙΑ. ξυνδείτε ταχέως τουτονὶ τὸν κυνοκλόπον,	605
ΐνα δῷ δίκην· ἀνύετον. ΔΙ. ήκει τῷ κακόν.	
ΞΑ. οὐκ ἐς κόρακας; οὐ μὴ πρόσιτον; ΑΙΑ. εἶεν, μάχει;	
ό Διτύλας χώ Σκεβλύας χώ Παρδόκας	
χωρείτε δευρί και μάχεσθε τουτφί.	
ΔΙ. εἶτ' οὐχὶ δεινὰ ταῦτα, τύπτειν τουτονὶ	610
κλέπτοντα πρὸς τἀλλότρια; ΑΙΑ. μἀλλ' ὑπερφυâ.	
ΔΙ. σχέτλια μέν οὖν καὶ δεινά. ΞΑ. καὶ μὴν νὴ Δία,	
εἰ πώποτ' ἦλθον δεῦρ', ἐθέλω τεθνηκέναι,	
ή 'κλεψα τῶν σῶν ἄξιόν τι καὶ τριχός.	
καί σοι ποιήσω πραγμα γενναΐον πάνυ·	615
βασάνιζε γὰρ τὸν παῖδα τουτονὶ λαβών,	

whilst admitting this, also observes that his retention of the hero's guise does not simply depend upon his own behaviour, for that his master, if he thinks it likely to bring any advantage to himself, will certainly, notwithstanding his oath, insist upon their making a third exchange: $\ddot{o}\mu\omega s \ \delta\dot{\epsilon}$, he goes on to say, if I may put into his mouth the words of the Platonic Socrates (Cratylus, chap. xxvi), $\ddot{o}\mu\omega s \ \delta\dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\eta}\pi\epsilon\rho \ \tau\dot{\eta}\nu \ \lambda\epsilono\nu\tau\dot{\eta}\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\nu \delta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\nu\kappa a$, oùk d $\pio\delta\epsilon\iota\lambda\iotaa\tau\dot{\epsilon}o\nu$.

604. ὀρίγανον] 'Αντὶ τοῦ ὀριμύ. τοιοῦτον yàρ τὸ ψυτόν.—Scholiast. It is the dittany of Crete, a plant with "a piercing aromatic scent and biting taste." See Miller and Martyn, s.v. origanum. Spanheim refers to Theophrastus (Hist. Plant. i. 12 (1)), who, speaking of the juices $(\chi \upsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu)$ of plants, says, "Some are $\delta \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \hat{i}s$, $o \hat{i} o \nu \dot{\sigma} \rho \iota \gamma \dot{a} \nu o \upsilon$, "Some are $\delta \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \hat{i}s$, $o \hat{i} o \nu \dot{\sigma} \rho \iota \gamma \dot{a} \nu o \upsilon$, "Some are $\delta \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \hat{i}s$, $o \hat{i} o \nu \dot{\sigma} \rho \iota \gamma \dot{a} \nu o \upsilon$, "Some are $\delta \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \hat{i}s$, $o \hat{i} o \nu \dot{\sigma} \rho \iota \gamma \dot{a} \nu o \upsilon$," All these four plants are by Aristophanes, associated with $\beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \upsilon$. $\delta \rho \dot{i} \gamma a \nu o \nu$ here; $\theta \upsilon \mu \beta \rho o \phi \dot{a} \gamma o \nu$ in Ach. 254; $\kappa \dot{a} \rho \delta a \mu a$ in Wasps 455; and $\nu \hat{a} \pi \upsilon$ in Knights 631.

605. ξυνδείτε] Aeacus re-enters accompanied by two underlings, whom he at once directs to seize and handcuff Xanthias. But Xanthias, laying about him with his club, makes such a determined resistance that the two are unable to overpower him, and Aeacus calls for three more, $\delta \nu$ καὶ τὰ ἀνόματα εἶρηκεν, Wanting to take to the togs once more. Nevertheless, while in these I'm vested, Ne'er shall you find me craven-crested, No, for a dittany look I'll wear, Aye and methinks it will soon be tested, Hark ! how the portals are rustling there.

AEAC. Seize the dog-stealer, bind him, pinion him, Drag him to justice! DIO. Somebody's going to catch it.

XAN. (Striking out.) Hands off! get away! stand back! AEAC. Eh? You're for fighting.
 Ho! Ditylas, Sceblyas, and Pardocas,
 Come hither, quick ; fight me this sturdy knave.

DIO. Now isn't it a shame the man should strike And he a thief besides ? AEAC. A monstrous shame !

DIO. A regular burning shame! XAN. By the Lord Zeus,
If ever I was here before, if ever
I stole one hair's-worth from you, let me die!
And now I'll make you a right noble offer,
Arrest my lad: torture him as you will,

says the Scholiast, is $\delta o i \lambda \omega v$, $\ddot{\eta} \tau o \xi o \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ $\beta a \rho \beta i \rho \omega v$. However, before the three enter, or at all events before the attack on Xanthias is renewed, the latter makes a proposal which Aeacus accepts. As to the interchange of the plural ($\xi v \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$) and the dual ($\dot{d} \nu i \epsilon \tau \sigma v$), see supra 565, 566, infra 1109-12, Ach. 733, Clouds 1506, 1507, Peace 414, 415, and 469, Birds 642-4, Eccl. 1087, and Plutus 73 and 417. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 1437, $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \delta' \tilde{\eta} \delta \eta$, $\chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau \phi \tau$; Eur. Bacchae 843; Rhesus 619; Plato, Gorgias, xxxvii.

606. ήκει τω κακόν] Ο Διόνυσος τοῦτο λέγει, ὅπερ καὶ ὁ Ξανθίας περὶ αὐτοῦ ἀνωτέρω (supra 552), ἡσυχῆ δὲ ταῦτα λέγει.—Scholiast. He is paying back Xanthias in his own coin.

610. $\epsilon_{i\tau}^{i\tau}$ οὐχὶ δεινά] Εἰτ' οὐχὶ δεινὰ, κλέπτοντα τοῦτον τὰ ἀλλότρια, πρὸς τούτω τύπτειν; 'Αλλως. οὐ δεινὸν, ψησὶν, ὅτι καὶ τύπτει, κλέψας;—Scholiast. Dionysus, always siding against Xanthias, declares it to be a scandalous thing, that he should first steal Cerberus, and then assault the officers of justice who come to arrest him. πρòs, here, as elsewhere, is used adverbially.

616. $\tau \partial \nu \pi a \hat{a} \hat{a}$] Dionysus is still congratulating himself on the adroitness with which, just at the right moment, he has transferred to Xanthias the dangerous honour of "the club and the tawny skin," when the tables are

κάν ποτέ μ' ἕλης ἀδικοῦντ', ἀπόκτεινόν μ' ἀγων.	
ΑΙΑ. καὶ πῶς βασανίσω; ΞΑ. πάντα τρόπον, ἐν κλίμακι	
δήσας, κρεμάσας, ὑστριχίδι μαστιγῶν, δέρων,	
στρεβλών, έτι δ' ές τὰς ρίνας ὄξος ἐγχέων,	62 0
πλίνθους ἐπιτιθεὶς, πάντα τἄλλα, πλὴν πράσφ	
μη τύπτε τοῦτον μηδε γητείφ νέφ.	
ΑΙΑ. δίκαιος δ λόγος· κάν τι πηρώσω γέ σοι	
τὸν παῖδα τύπτων, τἀργύριόν σοι κείσεται.	
ΞΑ. μὴ δῆτ' ἕμοιγ'. οὕτω δὲ βασάνιζ' ἀπαγαγών.	625
ΑΙΑ. αύτοῦ μὲν οὖν, ἵνα σοὶ κατ' ὀφθαλμοὺς λέγῃ.	

suddenly turned, and he finds to his horror that although he has no longer the honour of representing Heracles the $\kappa uv \kappa \lambda \delta \pi \sigma s$, he is nevertheless let in for the punishment of his misdeeds. He had left out of his reckoning that strange but well-known custom whereby an accused person might prove his own innocence by tendering his slaves for the torture. This custom, of proof by slave-torture, is constantly mentioned by the Attic orators : a speaker always brags of his own readiness to submit his slaves to the torture, and always denounces the refusal of his opponent as evidence of the weakness of his case. See (amongst many other passages) Antiphon, Against a stepmother, 6, 8, &c., In the matter of a Choreutes, 23; Lysias, In the matter of Wounding with malice aforethought, 10–16, &c., In the matter of the Sacred Olive, 34– 37; Isaeus, In the matter of Kiron's estate, 13–16; Isocrates, Trapeziticus, 15–23; Aeschines, De F. L. 133–5; Demosthenes, Against Pantaenetus, 53– 58, First speech against Stephanus, 75, 76. So in the Hecyra of Terence, v. 2. 6, Bacchis finding her own word doubted says,

Ancillas dedo; quolibet cruciatu per me exquire.

During the next few lines Dionysus is standing in *a* speechless agony of apprehension.

618. $\epsilon \nu \kappa \lambda i \mu \alpha \kappa \iota \delta \eta \sigma a s$] He takes a malicious pleasure in enumerating, for his master's benefit, the various tortures

inflicted by the βασανισταί. Βy κλίμαξ we are to understand not an ordinary ladder, but an instrument of torture somewhat similar to the rack. Κλίμαξ δέ έστιν είδος ὀργάνου βασανιστικοῦ οἶον

Τη κλίμακι διαστρέφονται,

οίον, τὰ μέλη στρεβλούμενοι.—Etym. Magn., s.v. βλιμάζειν. Κρεμάσαs means that the slave was hung up, probably by his wrists, and left dangling in the air. The $i\sigma \tau \rho i \chi i s$, already mentioned in Peace 746 as a scourge for flogging

And if you find I'm guilty, take and kill me.

- AEAC. Torture him, how? XAN. In any mode you please.
 Pile bricks upon him : stuff his nose with acid :
 Flay, rack him, hoist him; flog him with a scourge Of prickly bristles : only not with this,
 A soft-leaved onion, or a tender leek.
- AEAC. A fair proposal. If I strike too hard And maim the boy, I'll make you compensation.
- XAN. I shan't require it. Take him out and flog him.
- AEAC. Nay, but I'll do it here before your eyes.

slaves, was a whip of hog's leather with the bristles left on it; $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \rho \mu a \tau o_{\epsilon}$, $\mu \epsilon \tau'$ αὐτῶν τῶν τριχῶν, μάστιξ. ἐξ ὑείων τριχῶν $\mu \acute{a}\sigma\tau\iota\xi$. - Scholiasts. $\mu a\sigma\tau\iota\gamma \widehat{\omega}\nu$ and $\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon$ - $\beta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, flogging and racking or breaking on the wheel (Peace 452, Lys. 846, Plutus 875), seem to have been the ordinary methods of torture. In the Trapeziticus of Isocrates (see the preceding note) the banker Pasion is described as having resorted to various subterfuges to prevent a slave (Kí $\tau\tau o\nu \tau \partial\nu \pi a i \delta a$) who was privy to the deposit which his master denied, being submitted to the torture. At length, however, he professed himself ready to tender him, $\pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$, Φάσκων έτοιμος είναι παραδούναι βασανί- $(\epsilon_{i\nu} \tau_{o\nu} \pi_{ai}\delta_{a})$. Whereupon, says the speaker, ήξίουν αύτους μαστιγούν τον έκδοθέντα καί στρεβλούν, έως άν τάληθη δόξειεν airois Léveiv. However, Pasion withdrew his offer. $\delta \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \nu$ means "to flog his skin. off." "To pour vinegar into a man's nostrils" requires no explanation: whilst the heaping a heavy pile of bricks on a man's chest is, as Dr. Merry observes, an obsolete penalty of our English pro-

cedure. It was employed to compel a man to speak who was obstinately silent.

621. $π \lambda \dot{\eta} ν π \rho \dot{a} \sigma \varphi$] Most masters, in giving up a slave to be tortured, would, if they were not entirely destitute of humanity, stipulate that he should not be exposed to the most terrible tortures which might maim or injure him for life. Here the slave, giving up his master to be tortured, does the very reverse, stipulating that he should not be exposed to a mere nominal torture which he would not feel.

625. $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega$] On this understanding, without any more words or conditions. Aeacus is to delay no longer, nor is the vigour of his arm to be restrained by any fear of liability even if Dionysus sinks under the torture. Apparently this liability only arose when the accuser failed. See the $\pi\rho\delta\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\iotas$ at the close of the Oration (Demosthenes) against Neaera, to which, though for a different purpose, Bergler also refers.

κατάθου σὺ τὰ σκεύη ταχέως, χὤπως ἐρεῖς ἐνταῦθα μηδὲν ψεῦδος. ΔΙ. ἀγορεύω τινὶ ἐμὲ μὴ βασανίζειν ἀθάνατον ὄντ'· εἰ δὲ μὴ, αὐτὸς σεαυτὸν αἰτιῶ. ΑΙΑ. λέγεις δὲ τί; ΔΙ. ἀθάνατος εἶναί φημι Διόνυσος Διὸς, τοῦτον δὲ δοῦλον. ΑΙΑ. ταῦτ' ἀκούεις; ΞΑ. φήμ' ἐγώ καὶ πολύ γε μᾶλλόν ἐστι μαστιγωτέος·	630
είπερ θεὸς γάρ ἐστιν, οὐκ αἰσθήσεται. ΔΙ. τί δῆτ', ἐπειδὴ καὶ σὺ φὴς εἶναι θεὸς,	635
οὐ καὶ σὺ τύπτει τὰς ἴσας πληγὰς ἐμοί ; ΞΑ. δίκαιος ὁ λόγος· χώπότερόν γ' ἂν νῷν ἴδῃς κλαύσαντα πρότερον ἢ προτιμήσαντά τι •	
τυπτόμενον, είναι τοῦτον ἡγοῦ μὴ θεόν. ΑΙΑ. οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως οὐκ εἶ σὺ γεννάδας ἀνήρ· χωρεῖς γὰρ εἰς τὸ δίκαιον. ἀποδύεσθε δή.	6 40
 ΞΑ. πῶς οὖν βασανιεῖς νὼ δικαίως; ΑΙΑ. ῥαδίως· πληγὴν παρὰ πληγὴν ἑκάτερον. ΞΑ. καλῶς λέγεις. ἰδοὺ, σκόπει νυν ἤν μ' ὑποκινήσαντ' ἴδης. 	
ΑΙΑ. ήδη 'πάταξά σ'. ΈΑ. οὐ μὰ Δί'. ΑΙΑ. οὐδ' ἐμοὶ δοκεῖς. ἀλλ' εἶμ' ἐπὶ τονδὶ καὶ πατάξω. ΔΙ. πηνίκα;	64 5
628. ἀγορείω τινί] The indefinite τινὶ tion of seeing his master s is equivalent to our phrase "to all whom whipped. it may concern." $638. \pi \rho \sigma \tau ι \mu \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \alpha \tau \tau I$ Φροντίσα	

637. δίκαιος ό λόγος] Cf. supra 623. Xanthias, who as a slave has long been case-hardened to blows, is confident that he is less sensitive to pain, and has a greater power of endurance, than his delicate and voluptuous master ; and he is therefore quite willing to submit to his accustomed, provided that Dionysus is compelled to submit to an unaccustomed, flagellation. By this means he escapes detection for the moment, and at the same time has the real satisfac638. προτιμήσαντά τι] Φροντίσαντα τῶν πληγῶν. 'Αττικῶs.—Scholiast. Brunck refers to Ach. 27 προτιμῶσ' οὐδέν ; Plutus 883 οὐδὲν προτιμῶ σου ; infra 655, and (Demosthenes) in the matter of the isle of Halonnesus, 16 ὧν οὐδὲν προτιμậ.

643. πληγήν παρὰ πληγήν] The next thirty lines are occupied with the whipping test. The two are to receive alternate strokes, though in the end Dionysus gets one more than his share, he receiving the second, fourth, sixth and Now then, put down the traps, and mind you speak The truth, young fellow. DIO. (In agony.) Man! don't torture ME! I am a god. You'll blame yourself hereafter If you touch ME. AEAC. Hillo! What's that you are saying?

- DIO. I say I'm Bacchus, son of Zeus, a god,
 And he's the slave. AEAC. You hear him? XAN. Hear him? Yes.
 All the more reason you should flog him well.
 For if he is a god, he won't perceive it.
- DIO. Well, but you say that you're a god yourself. So why not *you* be flogged as well as I?
- XAN. A fair proposal. And be this the test, Whichever of us two you first behold Flinching or crying out—he's not the god.
- AEAC. Upon my word you're quite the gentleman, You're all for right and justice. Strip then, both.
- XAN. How can you test us fairly? AEAC. Easily,
 I'll give you blow for blow. XAN. A good idea.
 We're ready! Now! (Aeacus strikes him), see if you catch me flinching.
- AEAC. I struck you. XAN. (Incredulously.) No! AEAC. Well, it seems "no," indeed. Now then I'll strike the other (Strikes Dio.). DIO. Tell me when?

seventh, whilst Xanthias has only three, the first, third, and fifth. Both profess not even to feel their first blow; at the second Xanthias utters a whimper, which he passes off as due to his anticipation of some religious festival, whilst Dionysus cries out and his eyes fill with tears, for both of which symptoms he makes a very lame excuse; when the third falls, Xanthias can no longer dissemble his pain, but attributes it, not to the blow, but to a thorn in his foot; and both in his third and fourth Dionysus in agony shrieks out the name of a god, in each case endeavouring to treat it as the commencement of a poetic quotation.

644. $i\delta o i$] This signifies "Ready!" as infra 1378, 1390, and frequently elsewhere. Then the blow descends, and it is not until after its fall that Xanthias proceeds, "See if I shall flinch when you strike me," as if the blow were yet to come. Aeacus treats the incredulous exclamation où $\mu \dot{a} \Delta i a$, as if it meant "I did not feel it," and rejoins "So indeed it seems to me."

646. $\pi\eta\nu\kappa\alpha$] Beck says "Anxietatem haec quaestio, de tempore quo verberaturus sit, prodit." But this is a mistake,

ΑΙΑ. καὶ δὴ 'πάταξα. ΔΙ. κἆτα πῶς οὐκ ἔπταρον;
ΑΙΑ. οὐκ οἶδα· τουδὶ δ' αὖθις ἀποπειράσομαι.
ΞΑ. οὕκουν ἀνύσεις; ἰατταταῖ. ΑΙΑ. τί τἀτταταῖ;
μῶν ἀδυνήθης; ΞΑ. οὐ μὰ Δί', ἀλλ' ἐφρόντισα 650
ὅπόθ' Ἡράκλεια τἀν Διομείοις γίγνεται.
ΑΙΑ. ἄνθρωπος ἱερός. δεῦρο πάλιν βαδιστέον.
ΔΙ. ἰοὺ ἰού. ΑΙΑ. τί ἔστιν; ΔΙ. ἱππέας ὅρῶ.
ΑΙΑ. τί δῆτα κλάεις; ΔΙ. κρομμύων ὀσφραίνομαι.

the blow has already fallen, and Dionysus is in his turn pretending that he did not observe it.

647. our entrapor How came it then that I did not sneeze? It is difficult to give any satisfactory explanation of the word $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\tau a\rho o\nu$. According to the Scholiast, Dionysus means that a blow from the scourge would affect him as little as the tickling of his nose with a straw, which would merely make him sneeze; and as he has not sneezed, how can he have received the blow? This is exceedingly far-fetched, and nothing can be more irrelevant than Conz's remark "sternutatio in ominibus bonis malisve habebatur." I venture to suggest that for $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\tau a\rho\rho\nu$ we ought to read $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\tau a$ κον, the second agrist of $\pi \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, a form sufficiently authenticated by the compound καταπτακών in Aesch. Eum. 243, How came it then that I did not flinch?

649. larraraí] Such ejaculations as larraraí, drraraí, daπaπaí, παπaí, and the like had no determinate signification, and might with equal aptness express pleasure, pain, desire, surprise or any other emotion. See Ach. 1190, 1198, Wasps 309, Lys. 924, Thesm. 223 and above 57, 63. Here of course it is

really a cry of pain, but Xanthias passes it off as a cry of longing for the merrymaking of the Heracleian festival. Fritzsche indeed takes an entirely different view, contending that Xanthias admits his exclamation larraraî to be a cry of grief, but pretends, in his character of Heracles, to be grieving at the suspension of his own Diomeian festival. He suggests (1) that "in tanto tamque aperto dolore, risus atque hilaritas ne fingi quidem commode poterat." (2) That possibly "Athenienses inter tot belli curas magnum Herculis festum in Diomeensium pago celebrari solitum intermiserant," and thirdly that "Xanthias-Hercules minime plagis se doluisse ait, sed sollicitum fuisse sacrorum suorum intermissione." The first suggestion is against the spirit of the whole scene, and Fritzsche himself altogether ignores it four lines below, where he says that "Dionysus fingit se equitibus subito in conspectum datis laetatum, quia loù non solum dolorem exprimit, sed etiam effuse gaudentis est." The second suggestion is without a particle of authority or (considering the deme's position) of probability; whilst the third seems completely disAEAC. I struck you. DIO. Struck me? Then why didn't I sneeze?

- AEAC. Don't know, I'm sure. I'll try the other again.
- XAN. And quickly too. Good gracious! AEAC. Why "good gracious"? Not hurt you, did I? XAN. No, I merely thought of The Diomeian feast of Heracles.

AEAC. A holy man! 'Tis now the other's turn.

DIO. Hi! Hi! AEAC. Hallo! DIO. Look at those horsemen, look ! AEAC. But why these tears? DIO. There's such a smell of onions.

posed of by the comment of Aeacus, $\tilde{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma s \ i\epsilon\rho\delta s$, an expression as inapplicable to a god mourning over his lost honours, as it is applicable to a worshipper anticipating with joy the approach of a religious festival. And, in truth, throughout this whipping scene, Dionysus does not keep up his character of Dionysus, nor Xanthias his assumed character of Heracles.

651. 'Ηράκλεια τάν Διομείοις] The festival in honour of Heracles within the precincts τοῦ Ἡρακληΐου τοῦ ἐν Κυνο- $\sigma \dot{a} \rho \gamma \epsilon \vec{i}$ (as Hdt. calls it v. 63, vi. 116) seems to have been celebrated with an abundance of buffoonery which would be dear to the soul of Xanthias; and doubtless at some stage of the proceedings the cry of larrarai was, as Conz also suggests, loudly raised by the assembled worshippers. Kock refers to the account given by Athenaeus, xiv. 3, of sixty jesters who in the following century frequented this particular Philip of Macedon, we are temple. told, gave them a talent to write down their jokes and send them to himself. Cynosarges was a locality in the extramural portion of the deme $\Delta \iota \dot{\iota} \mu \epsilon \iota o \iota$ which extended on both sides of the gates

thence called the $\Delta i \phi \mu \epsilon i a \pi v \lambda a i$. There was a tradition that whilst Diomus was offering a sacrifice, a white dog, passing along, ran off with the meat and hid it in some secret place. An oracle directed Diomus to erect an altar to Heracles on the spot where the meat had been hidden. The spot was discovered, and from the white dog was called Kuvóσapyes, and about it was erected the famous Temple of Heracles. The story is told, with variations, by almost all the old grammarians.

653. loù loù] Here we have another exclamation of dubious import. As originally uttered by Dionysus, it was of course a shriek of agony, which he tries to pass off as a shout of excitement at seeing some horsemen go by. This, however, as Aeacus observes, does not account for the tears which suffuse his eyes; and for these he has to resort to another explanation, attributing them to a sudden smell of onions; cf. Lys. 798. Mine eyes smell onions, I shall weep anon, says old Lafeu in the closing scene of All's Well that Ends Well. And Shakespeare introduces the same notion in Antony and Cleopatra, and the Taming of the Shrew.

ΑΙΑ. ἐπεὶ προτιμậs γ' οὐδέν. ΔΙ. οὐδέν μοι μέλει. ΑΙΑ. βαδιστέον τἄρ' ἐστὶν ἐπὶ τονδὶ πάλιν.	655
ΞΑ. οΐμοι. ΑΙΑ. τί έστι; ΞΑ. τὴν ἄκανθαν έξελε.	
ΑΙΑ. τί τὸ πρâγμα τουτί; δεῦρο πάλιν βαδιστέον.	
ΔΙ. Απολλον, ός που Δηλον ή Πύθων' έχεις.	
ΞΑ. ήλγησεν·οὐκ ήκουσας; ΔΙ. οὐκ ἔγωγ', ἐπεὶ	660
ΐαμβον Ἱππώνακτος ἀνεμιμνησκόμην.	
ΞΑ. οὐδὲν ποιεῖς γὰρ, ἀλλὰ τὰς λαγόνας σπόδει.	
ΑΙΑ. μὰ τὸν Δί΄, ἀλλ᾽ ἤδη πάρεχε τὴν γαστέρα.	
ΔΙ. Πόσειδον, ΞΑ. ήλγησέν τις.	
ΔΙ. δς Αἰγαίου πρῶνας [ἔχεις], ἢ γλαυκᾶς μέδεις	665
άλδς έν βένθεσιν.	
ΑΙΑ. οὕ τοι μὰ τὴν Δήμητρα δύναμαί πω μαθεῖν	
όπότερος ύμων έστι θεός. άλλ' είσιτον·	
δ δεσπότης γαρ αύτος ύμας γνώσεται	670

657. τὴν ἄκανθαν ἕξελε] Ἐπάρας τὸν πόδα τὴν πτέρναν δείκνυσι.—Scholiast. And the audience would not pause to consider whether the sensation of pain was a less disproof of his divinity when occasioned by a thorn than when occasioned by a blow. 659. "Απολλον] Οἱ γὰρ ἀλγοῦντες τοὺς θεοὺς ἀνακαλοῦνται.— Scholiast.

661. 'Ιππώνακτος] 'Ως ἀλγήσας καὶ συγκεχυμένος οὐκ οἶδε τί λέγει ἐπεὶ οὐχ 'Ιππώνακτος, ἀλλ' 'Ανανίου' ἐπιφέρει δὲ ὁ 'Ανατίας αὐτῷ

ή Νάξον, ή Μίλητον, ή θείαν Κλάρον ϊκου καθ' ίέρ', ή Σκύθας ἀφίξεαι.-Scholiast.

But there is no need to attribute the mention of Hipponax to any pain or flurry on the part of Dionysus. The scanty poems of Ananius were always appended to the more ample writings of Hipponax, and were often quoted under his name. Only four fragments of the iambics of Ananius are still extant (see Bergk's Poetae Lyrici Graeci); and of these there is but one which is not also ascribed to Hipponax.

664. Πόσειδον] In his eagerness to carry out the suggestion of Xanthias, Aeacus forgets that the next blow belonged of right to Xanthias himself, and accordingly inflicts two in succession upon Dionysus. To redress this injustice, Mr. Green would give the words Πόσειδον . . . ôs Alγαίου κ.τ.λ. to Xanthias, and $\eta \lambda \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ τis to Dionysus, so that the latter words would be a retort to the $\eta \lambda \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ of Xanthias four

THE FROGS

- AEAC. Then you don't mind it? DIO. (Cheerfully.) Mind it? Not a bit.
- AEAC. Well, I must go to the other one again.
- XAN. O! O! AEAC. Hallo! XAN. Do pray pull out this thorn.
- AEAC. What does it mean ? 'Tis this one's turn again.
- DIO. (Shrieking.) Apollo ! Lord ! (Calmly) of Delos and of Pytho.
- XAN. He flinched! You heard him? DIO. Not at all; a jolly Verse of Hipponax flashed across my mind.
- XAN. You don't half do it : cut his flanks to pieces.
- AEAC. By Zeus, well thought on. Turn your belly here.
- DIO. (Screaming.) Poseidon! XAN. There! he's flinching. DIO. (Singing) who dost reign Aniongst the Aegean peaks and creeks And o'er the deep blue main.
- AEAC. No, by Demeter, still I can't find out Which is the god, but come ye both indoors ; My lord himself and Persephassa there,

lines above : compare 606 supra. But though I was at one time much taken with this suggestion, I am now satisfied that the MS. arrangement is correct. To make Xanthias prepare a rod for his own back (a very inappropriate metaphor, however) would be to turn the laugh against him, and in favour of Dionysus, which is quite contrary to the relation in which the pair stand towards each other: nor would the literary abilities of the slave, which are only equal to the idea about the thorn, soar to the height of the quotation and song. Here, as before, the name of the god is a mere involuntary exclamation, and is only by an afterthought turned into the commencement of a lyrical sentence. The Scholiast says, παρά τὰ Σοφοκλέους ἐκ Λαοκόωντος "Πόσειδον, δε Αιγαίου μέδεις πρώνας, ή

γλαυκάς μέδεις εὐανέμου λίμνας, ἐφ' ὑψηλαῖς σπιλάδεσσι στομάτων." This is obviously corrupt, but it cannot be amended with certainty.

668. οὐ δύναμαι] 'Αμφότεροι γάρ ώδυνή- $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$.—Scholiast. "Non potest cognoscere uter sit Deus, quia ambo dolorem dissimulare non poterant."-Bergler. But the meaning is the very reverse. Aeacus had accepted their explanations in simple good faith, and believed that neither had flinched. Had he taken the "Απολλον in 659 for a shriek of pain, he would at once have closed the inquiry, and concluded Dionysus $\epsilon i \nu a \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \delta \nu$, supra 639; whereas the remark of Xanthias, oùdèv ποιείς γùp, and the reply of Aeacus, $\mu \dot{a} \tau \dot{o} \nu \Delta \dot{a}$, No more I do. show plainly that the test had so far failed. Acacus swears by Demeter, as is becoming in a servant of Hades.

χή Φερσέφατθ', ἅτ' ὄντε κἀκείνω θεώ.

Δ1. ὀρθῶς λέγεις· ἐβουλόμην δ' ἀν τοῦτό σε πρότερον ποιῆσαι, πρὶν ἐμὲ τὰς πληγὰς λαβεῖν.

ΧΟ. Μοῦσα χορῶν ἰερῶν ἐπίβηθι καὶ ἔλθ' ἐπὶ τέρψιν ἀοιδᾶς ἐμᾶς, στρ.
 τὸν πολὺν ὀψομένη λαῶν ὄχλον, οὖ σοφίαι
 676
 μυρίαι κάθηνται,
 φιλοτιμότεραι Κλεοφῶντος, ἐφ' οὖ δὴ χείλεσιν ἀμφιλάλοις

673. πληγàs λαβείν] The actors retire from the stage, and the Chorus, turning to the audience, commence the last Parabasis which has come down to our days. It is not a complete Parabasis like those of the Acharnians, the Knights, the Wasps, and the Birds. It answers to the second or shorter Parabasis of the Knights and the Birds. The Parabasis Proper is gone, and with it the Commation which introduced it, and the Pnigos with which it concluded. The last four parts alone remain : the Strophe and Epirrhema : and the Antistrophe and Antepirrhema.

674-685. Mov $\sigma a \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] The STROPHE. The commencement of the Strophe is obviously borrowed from some tragic poet, and as we know that the commencement of the Antistrophe is taken from Ion of Chios, we may perhaps not unreasonably infer that we are here also listening to his words. It seems to me that the actual quotation or parody goes down to and includes the word φιλοτιμότεραι, Aristophanes substituting the name Kleopavtos for the high-flown genitives of the original as well for the sake of raising a laugh at the unexpected bathos, as for the purpose of indulging in a fling at that pernicious demagogue. I take it, however, that the language of Ion is more or less adapted, though of course wrested from its proper context, throughout the remainder of the Strophe.

674. χορῶν ἰερῶν ἐπίβηθι] Commence the sucred choral dance and song. They speak of the Muse as of a charioteer mounting upon her car. Compare Hesiod (W. and D. 658, 659),

τὸν μὲν ἐγὼ Μούσης Ἑλικωνιάδεσσ' ἀνέθηκα, ἕνθα με τὸ πρῶτον λιγυρῆς ἐπέβησαν ἀοιδῆς.

The Scholiast says that the Muse here invoked is Terpsichore, and this is perhaps implied by the juxtaposition of the words $\chi o \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau \epsilon \rho \psi \iota \nu$. 677. $\sigma o\phi(\alpha)$ This is a mere substitution of the abstract $\sigma o\phi(\alpha)$ for the concrete $\sigma o\phi o$, just as in Milton's Paradise Lost, bk. v, Raphael, we are told

From among Thousand celestial ardours, where he stood

100

Being gods themselves, will soon find out the truth.

- Dio. Right! right! I only wish you had thought of that Before you gave me those tremendous whacks.
- CHOR. Come, Muse, to our Mystical Chorus, O come to the joy of my song, O see on the benches before us that countless and wonderful throng, Where wits by the thousand abide, with more than a Cleophon's pride— On the lips of that foreigner base, of Athens the bane and disgrace,

Veiled with his gorgeous wings, upspringing light Flew through the midst of heaven.

678. Kλεοφῶντοs] The political folly of this demagogic lyre-maker, who in the violence of his oratory out-Cleoned Cleon, is attacked at the close of the play, where see the notes. Here the satire turns on the strain of Thracian blood which he derived from his mother. The Scholiast tells us that in the Cleophon of Plato, which competed with the Frogs, she was represented on the stage speaking broken Greek. See the remarks on that play in the Introduction. In this and the three following lines almost every expression points to the semi-Thracian origin of Cleophon,

"upon whose double-speaking lips the Thracian swallow is terribly roaring, as she sits perched on that barbarian leafage." The word auphilalous was formerly taken to mean simply garrulous, but almost all recent editors consider it to signify chattering in two dialects (the Attic and the Thracian) like $d\mu\phi i$ γλωσσοs, with which Mr. Green compares it. The $\beta d\rho \beta a \rho o \nu \pi \epsilon \tau a \lambda o \nu$ is another description of the same perch. The "Thracian swallow" is a very happy The swallow's song was expression. always compared to a foreign tongue. Bergler cites Agamemnon 1013,

χελιδόνος δίκην,

άγνῶτα φωνην βάρβαρον κεκτημένη.

where the Scholiast says, ὅτι βάρβαρον τὸ ὅρνϵον, διὰ τοῦτο παραβάλλει τοῦτο αὐτῆ[·] ἐν Θράκῃ γὰρ ἡ μεταμόρφωσις αὐτῆs ἱστόρηται, and Bp. Blomfield refers to Hesychius, s.v. χελιδόσι[·] τοὺς βαρβάρους χελιδόσιν ἀπεικάζουσι διὰ τὴν ἀσύνθετον λαλιάν. See also the Scholiast on Birds 1680. The epithet Θρηκία was as appropriate to the swallow—since it was in Thrace that Procne and Philomela were inetamorphosed, the one into a swallow and the other into a nightingale—as it was apt for the poet's satire upon the Thracian origin of Cleophon. At the same time it was far from his intention to attribute to the demagogue the musical notes of the swallow, and therefore the bird on Cleophon's lips does not warble but $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\rho\nu \ \epsilon int\beta\rho\epsilon\mu\epsilon\tau ai$ (cf. infra 814), makes a terrible roaring. It

δεινὸν ἐπιβρέμεται 680 Θρηκία χελιδών, ἐπὶ βάρβαρον ἑζομένη πέταλον· κελαδεῖ δ' ἐπίκλαυτον ἀηδόνιον νόμον, ὡs ἀπολεῖται, κἂν ἴσαι γένωνται. 685

τὸν ἰερὸν χορὸν δίκαιόν ἐστι χρηστὰ τῇ πόλει

is Cleophon's voice, and not her own, that issues from the swallow's throat.

683. ἀηδόνιον] It would never occur to us to couple the swallow's song with that of the nightingale, but it was otherwise with the Greeks : with them the two songs were constantly mentioned together. When Apollo first came to Delphi, sang Alcaeus in the paean of which Himerius has left us a paraphrase, ἄδουσι μèν ἀηδόνες aὐτῷ, ἄδουσι δὲ καὶ χελιδόνες (Bergk, Alcaei Fragm. 3). Lucian, in his Veracious History, ii. 15, tells of a chorus composed ἐκ κύκνων καὶ χελιδόνων καὶ ἀηδόνων, and when they sing, he adds, πῶσα ἡ ὕλη $\epsilon \pi a v \lambda \epsilon i$. Cf. Id. Philopatris, 3. And Longus, in his Pastorals, ii. 3, says that $o\tilde{v}\tau\epsilon$ χελιδών, οὕτε ἀηδών, οὕτε κύκνοs has so sweet and musical a voice as that of newlyfound Love. Whether this conjunction is the cause, or the result, of the legend of Procne and Philomela, it is perhaps impossible to say. Here the swallow borrows her sister's song, because her own is brisk and cheerful (see the note on Peace 800): and in order to celebrate on Cleophon's lips his own approaching destruction, she needs the wailing, dirge-like notes of the bird who, in the beautiful dactylics of Sophocles, is called simply a στονόεσσα,

άλλ' ἐμέ γ' ἁ στονόεσσ' ἄραρεν φρένας, ἃ Ίτυν, αἰὲν Ἱτυν ὀλοφύρεται, ὅρνις ἀτυζομένα, Διὸς ἅγγελος.—Electra 146–5.

685. κἁν ἴσαι γένωνται] Αἰ ψῆφοι δηλονότι, says the Scholiast, that is, the votes for and against him : an equality which ought to ensure an acquittal. For this was the great principle laid down for all time by Athene at the trial of Orestes before the Athenian Areopagus, νικῶν ἴσαις ψήφοισι τὸν φεύγοντ' ἀεί. Many passages bearing on this rule of Athenian judicature are discussed by C. O. Müller in his Dissertations on the Eumenides, Appendix i, to which may be added Aeschines against Ctesiphon, 253. It is thought that some capital charge was impending over Cleophon at this moment, and if these words imply that he expected to be convicted by some illegal proceeding, his fear was, according to Lysias, abundantly justified. See the note on 1505 infra.

686-705. THE EPIRRHEMA. It was chiefly to this Epirrhema that the play was indebted for the unique honour of There is shrieking, his kinsman by race,

The garrulous swallow of Thrace;

From that perch of exotic descent,

Rejoicing her sorrow to vent,

She pours to her spirit's content, a nightingale's woful lament, That e'en though the voting be equal, his ruin will soon be the sequel.

Well it suits the holy Chorus evermore with counsel wise

a second representation, and the poet for the glory of receiving, not merely the usual wreath of ivy, but a special wreath formed of branches of the Sacred Olive: the final tribute of the Imperial City, not to his wit or his genius, but to the exalted and consistent patriotism which had distinguished his entire career. Here he pleads for sinking all differences in this hour of peril: for re-enfranchising all the disfranchised, specially those citizens of pure Athenian blood who had incurred the enuity of the democracy by their connexion with the revolution of the Four Hundred, and who had consequently, for the space of several anxious years, been deprived of all rights of citizenship. See Lysias, $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ καταλύσεως απολογία, § 35. He ascribes their fall to the wrestling-tricks of Phrynichus, the Athenian general, the most zealous promoter of that revolution; παρέσχε δὲ καὶ Φρύνιχος ἑαυτὸν πάντων διαφερόντως προθυμότατον ές την όλιγαρχίαν.-Thuc. viii. 68. On this account, and because he was strongly suspected of twice attempting to betray his country to the enemy, his memory was especially obnoxious to the Athenian people. In the oration of Lysias, For

Polystratus, \S 11, it is said that for purposes of prejudice Polystratus was alleged to be a kinsman of Phrynichus; but this, the speaker declares, was a calumny ($\psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma \delta \rho o v \nu$); he was not. a kinsman, he was merely a fellow burgher of Phrynichus: and if this is a crime, it must also be a crime to be his fellow citizen, as all the Athenians were. Many, he adds, were led astray by Phrynichus and Peisander who were really good and loyal citizens. The poet's advice, applauded at the moment, was carried into effect before the year was over. Immediately after the disaster of Aegospotami, a decree was passed, on the motion of Patrocleides, τοι s ἀτίμους ἐπιτίμους ποιησαι. - Xen. Hell. ii. 2. 11; Andoc. de Mysteriis, § 73; Lysias, Δήμου καταλύσεως απολογία, § 36.

686. τ∂ν ίερ∂ν χορόν] All dramatic choruses were ίεροὶ χοροὶ, as forming part of the worship and festival of Dionysus. But the Chorus of the Frogs was invested with special sanctity from its sacred mystical character. This vindication of the right and duty of the sacred Chorus to tender its advice to the State seems to betray some doubt and some anxiety on the part of the poet as to the reception which the

,	ξυμπαραινεῖν καὶ διδάσκειν. πρῶτον οὐν ἡμῖν δοκεῖ	
	έξισῶσαι τοὺς πολίτας κἀφελεῖν τὰ δείματα.	
	κεί τις ήμαρτε σφαλείς τι Φρυνίχου παλαίσμασιν,	
	έγγενέσθαι φημί χρήναι τοις όλισθοῦσιν τότε	6 90
	αίτίαν ἐκθεῖσι λῦσαι τὰς πρότερον ἁμαρτίας.	
	εἶτ' ἄτιμόν φημι χρήναι μηδέν' εἶν' έν τῆ πόλει.	
	καὶ γὰρ αἰσχρόν ἐστι τοὺς μὲν ναυμαχήσαντας μίαν	
	καὶ Πλαταιᾶς εὐθὺς εἶναι κἀντὶ δούλων δεσπότας.	
	κοὐδὲ ταῦτ' ἔγωγ' ἔχοιμ' ἂν μὴ οὐ καλῶς φάσκειν ἔχειν,	695
	άλλ' ἐπαινῶ· μόνα γὰρ αὐτὰ νοῦν ἔχοντ' ἐδράσατε.	
	προς δε τούτοις είκος ύμας, οι μεθ' ύμων πολλα δη	
	χοί πατέρες έναυμάχησαν καὶ προσήκουσιν γένει,	
	την μίαν ταύτην παρείναι ξυμφοράν αίτουμένοις.	
	άλλὰ τῆς ὀργῆς ἀνέντες, ὦ σοφώτατοι φύσει,	700
	πάντας ανθρώπους εκόντες συγγενείς κτησώμεθα	

advice he was about to offer would obtain from the audience.

688. ἐξισῶσαι] Τουτέστιν, ἐντίμους ποιησαι τοὺς ἀτιμωθέντας.—Scholiast.

691. $airiav \epsilon \kappa \theta \epsilon i \sigma i$] These words are commonly translated having expounded the cause of their slip, a translation quite unsuitable to the present passage. Here they mean having put away the cause of their offence, that is, their oligarchical leanings. Cf. Lucian, De Syria Dea, 20.

692. ἄτιμον μηδέν'] Ήγοῦμαι ταύτην μόνην σωτηρίαν εἶναι τη πόλει, ἅπασιν 'Αθηναίοις τῆς πολιτείας μετεῖναι, as Lysias says in his speech on Upholding the πάτριος πολιτεία, and elsewhere.

693. $al\sigma\chi\rho\delta\nu$ $\epsilon\sigma\tau$.] The sentence, as Bergler pointed out, begins in one way and ends in another. Had it continued as it commenced, it would have run, 'T is a share to enfranchise slaves and leave genuine Athenians disfranchised. But when the speaker has concluded the first half of his sentence, he perceives that he may seem to be disapproving of the enfranchisement of the slaves, and therefore breaks off to say, parenthetically, Not that I object to that measure; on the contrary, I heartily applaud it. And then, resuming, he does not take up the unfinished sentence, but concludes, as if he were continuing the parenthesis, but ye should not leave genuine Athenians disfranchised.

694. Πλαταιδε] 'Αντὶ τοῦ Πλαταιέαs. τοὺς συνναυμαχήσαντας δούλους Έλλάνικός φησιν ἐλευθερωθῆναι, καὶ ἐγγραφέντας ὡς Πλαταιεῖς συμπολιτεύσασθαι αὐτοῖς, διεξιών τὰ ἐπὶ 'Αντιγένους τοῦ πρὸ Καλλίου.— Scholiast. The decree regulating the status of the Plataeans on their admission to Athenian citizenship is given

104

THE FROGS

To exhort and teach the city: this we therefore now advise— End the townsmen's apprehensions; equalize the rights of all; If by Phrynichus's wrestlings some perchance sustained a fall, Yet to these 'tis surely open, having put away their sin, For their slips and vacillations pardon at your hands to win. Give your brethren back their franchise. Sin and shame it were that slaves, Who have once with stern devotion fought your battle on the waves, Should be straightway lords and masters, yea Plataeans fully blown — Not that this deserves our censure; there I praise you; there alone Has the city, in her anguish, policy and wisdom shown— Nay but these, of old accustomed on our ships to fight and win, (They, their fathers too before them), these our very kith and kin, You should likewise, when they ask you, pardon for their single sin. O by nature best and wissest, O relax your jealous ire, Let us all the world as kinsfolk and as citizens acquire,

in the oration [Demosthenes] in Neaeram, \S 104. They were to be enrolled in Athenian tribes and demes, and to have every privilege of Athenian citizens, save only that the individuals first enrolled were not, though their descendants were, to be eligible for the archonship and certain family priesthoods. The slaves who fought at Arginusae were admitted to the citizenship on the same terms. It would have been very objectionable for a man who had himself been an actual slave to become an archon or a member of one of the sacred colleges : but even this privilege was not withheld from his descendants.

697. $\pi\rho\delta s \delta \epsilon \tau o \dot{\tau} \sigma v \sigma us$] Bergler placed a comma after $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, treating $\pi\rho\delta s$ as an adverb; and his construction is very generally followed. But $\pi\rho\delta s \tau o \dot{\tau} \sigma us$ is the commonest possible expression for besides, and had Aristophanes used $\pi\rho\delta s$ adverbially, it is incredible that he should have made an unnecessary ambiguity by immediately subjoining $\tau o \delta \tau$ - $\tau o i s$. There is, of course, no need for $\tau o \delta \tau o i s$ to be expressed after $\pi a \rho \epsilon i \nu a i$.

699. $\mu i a \nu$] As the $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \dot{e} \nu a \nu \mu \dot{a} \chi \eta \sigma a \nu$ of lines 697, 698 is intended as a contrast to the $\nu a \nu \mu a \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ ($a \nu$ of 693, so here again $\mu i a \nu \xi \nu \mu \phi o \rho \dot{a} \nu$ is contrasted, though in a different way, with the $\mu i a \nu [\nu a \nu \mu a \chi i a \nu]$ there. The enfranchised slaves had fought but one battle; the disfranchised Athenians had committed but one fault. $\xi \nu \mu \phi o \rho \dot{a} \nu$ is used delicately, as Mitchell observes, for $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a \nu$.

701. πάντας ἀνθρώπους] "This," says Dr. Merry, "is limited, of course, to those at Athens." To me, on the con-

κάπιτίμους καὶ πολίτας, ὅστις ἂν ξυνναυμαχŷ.	
εἰ δὲ ταῦτ' ὀγκωσόμεσθα κἀποσεμνυνούμεθα	
τὴν πόλιν, καὶ ταῦτ' ἔχοντες κυμάτων ἐν ἀγκάλαις,	
ύστέρφ χρόνφ ποτ' αῦθις εὖ φρονεῖν οὐ δόξομεν.	705
εί δ' έγω όρθος ίδειν βίον άνέρος ή τρόπον όστις έτ' οιμώξεται,	άντ.
ού πολύν οὐδ' ό πίθηκος οῦτος ὁ νῦν ἐνοχλῶν,	
Κλειγένης δ μικρός,	
δ πονηρότατος βαλανεύς δπόσοι κρατοῦσι κυκησιτέφρου	7 10
ψευδολίτρου κονίας	
καὶ Κιμωλίας γῆς,	

trary, the whole force of the passage seems to consist in the utter absence of all limit and restriction. "Let us not reject anybody in the wide world," says the poet, "who is willing to fight on our ships, be he kinsman or stranger, Greek or barbarian, bond or free." He

or free.' Ηε 'Αρχιλόχφ

ψυχάς έχοντες κυμάτων έν άγκάλαις,

 $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$, kai $\tau a \tilde{\nu} \tau a \tilde{\nu} \tau \epsilon i \nu \pi o \lambda ho \hat{\iota} s$ $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \iota s$.—Scholiast. $\epsilon \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ is taken by Brunck, Elmsley (on Bacch. 89), and others to be equivalent to $\delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$, but it seems far better to understand $\tau i \nu \pi \delta \lambda \nu$ from the commencement of the line. If we grow puffed up and arc high and mighty about the city (meaning, of course, with regard to the right of citizenship), and that, too, when she is reeling (literally, when we have her) in the embraces of the wares, &c.

706-717. THE ANTISTROPHE. The Strophe dealt with the well-known Cleophon, the Antistrophe deals with the utterly unknown Cleigenes. He is here described as a worthless and quarrelsome little bathman, but had that been all he would never have occupied a niche in the Parabasis of the Frogs. We may be sure that he was a politician of the same type as Cleophon, and therefore an opponent of peace with Sparta, a circumstance not obscurely intimated by the words obx εἰρηνικός ἐστι. A Scholiast begins φαίνεται δε ό Κλειγένης περί τα πολιτικά, but does not finish his sentence. If Fritzsche is right in identifying him with the Κλεογένης έγραμμάτευεν of a decree cited by Andocides de Mysteriis, 96, he may have been one of the very $i\pi \sigma\gamma\rho a\mu\mu\pi\tau\epsilon\omega\nu$ against whom Aristophanes inveighs, infra 1084. And, anyhow, $\pi i \theta_{\eta \kappa o s}$ here is doubtless used in the same sense as δ ημοπιθήκων there. The bath business

is now going beyond his plea for re-

enfranchisement, and is arguing for an

extension of the citizenship to all who

παρά τῷ Αἰσχύλω· ἐστὶ δὲ ὄντως παρά τῷ

704. κυμάτων έν αγκάλαις] Δίδυμός φησι

will help the city in her hour of need.

THE FROGS

All who on our ships will battle well and bravely by our side. If we cocker up our city, narrowing her with senseless pride, Now when she is rocked and reeling in the cradles of the sea, Here again will after ages deem we acted brainlessly.

And O if I'm able to scan the habits and life of a man Who shall rue his iniquities soon ! not long shall that little baboon, That Cleigenes shifty and small, the wickedest bathman of all Who are lords of the earth—which is brought from the isle of Cimolus, and wrought

> With nitre and lye into soap— Not long shall he vex us, I hope.

was probably his father's trade, and perhaps in early youth his own.

706. $\epsilon i \, \delta' \, \epsilon' \gamma \omega$] The opening words, as the Scholiast informs us, are borrowed from the $\Phi o i \nu \xi \, \tilde{\eta} \, Ka \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} s$ (according to Bentley's certain emendation Ep. ad Mill. ii. 311, Dyce) of Ion of Chios, where they formed part of the following hexameter,

εί δ' έγω όρθος ίδειν βίον άνέρος ω πολιήται

θέλει δὲ εἰπεῖν ὅτι εἰ δύναμαι κρίνειν. τὸ δὲ οὐ πολὺν συναπτέον εἰς τὸ χρόνον. Dr. Blaydes would like to change ὀρθὸς into οἶος because "inaudita et insolens locutio est ὀρθὸς ἰδεῖν," as if that were not, in all probability, the very reason for which Aristophanes quotes it.

710. $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \sigma i \kappa \rho a \tau \sigma \vartheta \sigma c] \Delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \delta \pi \delta \vartheta \sigma \delta \sigma \eta s$ $\kappa \rho a \tau \sigma \vartheta \sigma c \eta \eta s$, $\sigma \vartheta \kappa \epsilon \delta \pi \epsilon \nu$ (this is hardly accurate, he says $\gamma \eta s$ $\kappa \iota \mu \omega \lambda (as) d \lambda \lambda'$ $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu \delta \sigma a \pi a \rho \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau a \beta a \lambda a \nu \epsilon \vartheta s \tau \sigma \delta s$ $\lambda \sigma \upsilon \sigma \mu \delta \gamma \mu a \tau a$.—Scholiast. "De balneatore loquitur," says Fritzsche, "tanquam de magno rege Persarum, $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \sigma \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \sigma \vartheta \sigma \iota \gamma \eta s$." It may be that the words are still a reminiscence of Ion of Chios, and were in his tragedy applied to some magnificent personages.

711. κυκησιτέφρου κ.τ.λ.] Ταῦτα τοιαῖτα καθάρματά ἐστιν, οἶς οἱ λουόμενοι χρῶνται,

τῶν βαλανέων πωλούντων.-Scholiast. The various articles mentioned are discussed in Beckmann's History of Inventions, under the title "soap." Kovía is the lye of ashes, τὸ ἐκ τέφρας καθιστάμενον ύγρόν.--Pollux, vii, segm. 40. The epithet κικησιτέφρου seems to imply that the lye has still some solid ashes mixed with it. $\lambda i \tau \rho o \nu$ (the Attic form of $\nu i \tau \rho o \nu$) is a fixed lixivious alkali, similar to, though not identical with, the salt which we now call nitre. As the lye was not pure but mixed with ashes, so the very alkali was adulterated. For this charge of dishonest dealing on the part of the tradesmen, I could not in my translation find room. Ki $\mu\omega\lambda ia \gamma\hat{\eta}$ was the white chalky soil of Cimolus, one of the smallest of the Cyclades, immediately to the north of Melos.

χρόνον ἐνδιατρίψει· ἰδὼν δὲ τάδ' οὐκ εἰρηνικός ἐσθ', ἵνα μή ποτε κἀποδυθῆ μεθύων ἀ- 715 νευ ξύλου βαδίζων.

πολλάκις γ' ήμιν έδοξεν ή πόλις πεπονθέναι ταυτον ές τε των πολιτων τούς καλούς τε κάγαθούς, ές τε τάρχαίον νόμισμα καὶ τὸ καινὸν χρυσίον.

It answered the purposes of our fuller's earth.

714. $i\delta\omega\nu \ \delta\epsilon \ \tau \delta\dot{\delta}$] Ei $\delta\omegas \ \delta \ \pi\epsilon i\sigma\epsilon \tau a \ o\dot{\nu}\kappa \ \dot{a}\sigma\pi\lambda os \ \delta\iota d\gamma\epsilon\iota, \ d\lambda\lambda' \ \dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \chi\epsilon\iota\rho\lambda \ \dot{\xi}\dot{\nu}\lambda o\nu \ \dot{a}\epsilon\dot{\iota} \ \phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota, \ \mu\eta\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon \ \kappa a\lambda \ \dot{a}\pi\sigma\delta\upsilon\theta\eta' \ \pi\sigma\lambda\lambdao\lambda' \ \gamma\dot{a}\rho \ a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\phi} \ \dot{a}\pi\epsilon\iota\lambda\sigma\dot{\upsilon}\sigma\iota.$ —Scholiast. But though he knows that his time is short, he is not inclined for peace, but carries a cudgel, lest, if he were walking without one, he should be stripped by footpads in one of his drunken fits. The words où\kappa \ \epsilon \ell opukos \dot{\epsilon} \ o\tau\iota, though really aimed at his political views, are woven into a description of his personal habits and lead up to a final charge of drunkenness.

718-737. THE ANTEPIRRHEMA. The presence of a Spartan detachment at Deceleia had doubtless, as was anticipated (Thuc. vi. 91), suspended for a time the working of the silver mines of Laureium. Hitherto the Athenian mint had issued silver only, but when this $d\rho\gamma\nu\rho\sigma\sigma\pi\eta\gamma\eta$ was temporarily closed the Athenians had for the first time to resort to other devices for obtaining a supply of coin. In the archonship of Antigenes, B.C. 407-6 (see the Scholiast on 720), certain gold statues of Victory were sent to the mint, and coined into money. This was doubtless a pure gold coinage; the gold on the

statues and offerings had, at the commencement of the war, been reckoned by Pericles (Thuc. ii, 13) as one of the chief financial resources of the State, and all "the gold coins which have been handed down to us are of excellent quality."-Head's Preface to the British Museum "Catalogue of Greek Coins, Attica, &c." But this supply was insufficient for the commercial necessities of the Athenian people, and indeed it would have been impossible to produce in gold the small change required for every-day use. Even in silver the fractions of an obol are scarcely larger than the little lady-birds of our gardens. Accordingly in the following year, B. C. 406-5, in the archonship of Callias (in the latter half of whose archonship this play was produced), a new experiment was tried, and copper (or, to speak strictly, bronze) coins were, for the first time, issued from the Athenian mint. Aristophanes, in the Antepirrhema, seizes upon the comparative disuse of their splendid silver and gold coinage, and the substitution of this inferior and manufactured metal, to lecture the audience on the unwisdom of their analogous policy in excluding the educated and genuine citizens of pure Athenian blood

720

And this the unlucky one knows,

Yet ventures a peace to oppose,

And being addicted to blows he carries a stick as he goes,

Lest while he is tipsy and reeling, some robber his cloak should be stealing.

Often has it crossed my fancy, that the city loves to deal With the very best and noblest members of her commonweal, Just as with our ancient coinage, and the newly-minted gold.

from offices of state, and filling such offices with alien adventurers, halfbreeds and the like. Strange to say, the Scholiasts and commentators take τὸ καινὸν χρυσίον of line 720 to be identical with rois nounpois xalkious of line 725, and so get themselves into all manner of difficulties, from which later commentators endeavour to extricate themselves by various alterations of the Τὸ ἀρχαΐον νόμισμα, the immetext. morial silver coins issued before the closing of the mines of Laureium, and τὸ καινὸν χρυσίον, the gold coins issued after that event, are bracketed together as two good coinages, the equivalent of the kaloi kayaboi with whom alone the poet is comparing them. Both are equally pure, and both are contrasted with the worthless bronze of Callias. It is impossible that the roirour of the following line can pass over the proximate καινών χρυσίον and apply exclusively to the remoter doxalov vóμισμα. The plural indeed may be defended on the same grounds as the airà, infra 1466, but it more naturally includes both the old and the new; and anyhow the pronoun obros cannot thus be employed for ekcivos. Moreover xpusion is used in line 720 without the slightest

reference to xalkiois, and xalkiois in line 725 without the slightest reference to xpusion. This bronze coinage seems to have been called in so soon as the silver mines were again available, for Kuster on Eccl. 815 is doubtless right in supposing this to be the coinage there mentioned. My best thanks are due to Mr. G. F. Hill of the British Museum, to whom I submitted my note, not only for explaining to me how the coins in that institution bear upon the subject, but also for pointing out that the view which I had adopted merely from a consideration of the language of Aristophanes, had already found favour with numismatists. It seems to have been first suggested by Bergk (Philol. xxxii, s. 131), then to have been adopted by S. P. Six (Head's Preface, ubi supra), and finally to have been advocated by Köhler in the Zeitschrift für Numismat. for the year 1898. Mr. Head, as we have seen, affirms that no debased gold Athenian coins have come down to us, and Mr. Hill tells me that there are not, to his knowledge, any bronze Athenian coins extant which show traces of gold either as plating, or as alloy.

720. τὸ καινὸν χρυσίον] Τῷ προτέρφ ἔτει ἐπὶ ἀντιγένους Ἑλλάνικός φησι χρυσοῦν

ούτε γὰρ τούτοισιν_οὖσιν οὐ κεκιβδηλευμένοις, ἀλλὰ καλλίστοις ἁπάντων, ὡς δοκεῖ, νομισμάτων, καὶ μόνοις ὀρθῶς κοπεῖσι καὶ κεκωδωνισμένοις ἕν τε τοῖς ἕλλησι καὶ τοῖς βαρβάροισι πανταχοῦ, χρώμεθ' οὐδὲν, ἀλλὰ τούτοις τοῖς πονηροῖς χαλκίοις, χρώμεθ' οὐδὲν, ἀλλὰ τούτοις τοῖς πονηροῖς χαλκίοις, χθές τε καὶ πρώην κοπεῖσι τῷ κακίστῷ κόμματι. τῶν πολιτῶν θ' οὐς μὲν ἴσμεν εὐγενεῖς καὶ σώφρονας ἀνδρας ὅντας καὶ δικαίους καὶ καλούς τε κἀγαθοὺς, καὶ τραφέντας ἐν παλαίστραις καὶ χοροῖς καὶ μουσικῆ, προυσελοῦμεν, τοῖς δὲ χαλκοῖς καὶ ξένοις καὶ πυρρίαις καὶ πονηροῖς κἀκ πονηρῶν εἰς ἅπαντα χρώμεθα ὑστάτοις ἀφιγμένοισιν, οἶσιν ἡ πόλις πρὸ τοῦ οὐδὲ φαρμακοῖσιν εἰκῆ ῥαδίως ἐχρήσατ' ἄν.

νόμισμα κοπήναι, καὶ Φιλόχορος όμοίως, τὸ ϵ κ τῶν χρυσῶν Νικῶν.—Scholiast. The name Ἑλλάνικος is the certain emendation of Bentley and Tyrwhitt for the MS. $\lambda\lambda\lambda$ νικậ. See the note on 694 supra.

722. καλλίστοις άπάντων κ.τ.λ.] This was no idle vaunt. The exchange was everywhere in favour of Athens. "Athens did not, like other States, alloy the silver with lead or copper; on which account this money was particularly valued, and everywhere exchanged with profit. Xenophon, De Vectigalibus, iii.2; cf. Aristoph. Ran. 721-4; Polybius, xxii. 15. 26."-Boeckh, Public Economy of Athens, i. 4. The eulogy où κεκιβδηλευ- $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma$ is used in contrast neither to the καινών χρυσίον nor to the πονηροίς χαλkious, but to the coinages of other States; for $\pi o \lambda \lambda a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, we are told, use άργυρίω και φανερώς πρός χαλκόν και μό- $\lambda v \beta \delta o \nu$ κεκραμένω.—Demosthenes against Timocrates, 243.

725. χαλκίοις] Τοῖς ἀδοκίμοις καὶ μεμιγμένοις χαλκῷ. δύναιτο δ' ἂν καὶ τὸ χαλκοῦν λέγειν. ἐπὶ γὰρ Καλλίου χαλκοῦν νόμισμα ἐκόπη.—Scholiast. The first words of the gloss are, of course, due to the erroneous view mentioned in the note on 718–737 above.

726. $\chi\theta\epsilon$ s $\tau\epsilon$ καὶ πρώην] Yesterday or the day before, a common expression, like the vôv ye κảχθès of Antigone 456. Bergler refers to Demosthenes, De Corona, 130, where the orator says of his opponent, ἀψε γάρ ποτε—ἀψε λέγω; χθές μέν οδν και πρώην αμ' 'Αθηναίος και $\dot{\rho}$ ήτωρ γέγονε, and Against Leochares, 42, where again the speaker calls a newlyenrolled citizen τον πρώην και χθες έγ- $\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon \nu \tau a$. I will only add one other example. "The love of dancing," says Lycinus in Lucian's De Saltatione, 7, "is no new thing ovde $\chi\theta$ es kai $\pi\rho\omega\eta\nu$ άρξάμενον, it began with the beginning of the world."

THE FROGS

Yea for these, our sterling pieces, all of pure Athenian mould, All of perfect die and metal, all the fairest of the fair, All of workmanship unequalled, proved and valued everywhere Both amongst our own Hellenes and Barbarians far away, These we use not: but the worthless pinchbeck coins of yesterday, Vilest die and basest metal, now we always use instead. Even so, our sterling townsmen, nobly born and nobly bred, Men of worth and rank and mettle, men of honourable fame, Trained in every liberal science, choral dance and manly game, These we treat with scorn and insult, but the strangers newliest come, Worthless sons of worthless fathers, pinchbeck townsmen, yellowy scum, Whom in earlier days the city hardly would have stooped to use Even for her scapegoat victims, these for every task we choose.

730. προυσελούμεν] Προπηλακίζομεν. Scholiast. $\pi \rho \circ \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, which is found only here and in Aesch. Prom. 447 (όρων έμαυτον ώδε προυσελούμενον) is described by Buttmann (Lexilogus, s.v.) as "one of the most enigmatical words in the Greek language." That the v represents the digamma is generally agreed ; but Buttmann's own suggestion, that the word is compounded of $\pi \rho \phi$ and an unknown verb meaning "to trample under foot," has not met with universal acceptance. Happily there is no doubt as to its meaning: to treat with contumely and insult. As to $\pi v \rho$ plans, the Scholiast observes that slaves with yellow hair were so called, just as one with auburn hair was called The term Éévois is meant to Xanthias include all those who, like Cleophon and Archedemus, were supposed to have foreign blood in their veins.. $\mu\dot{\eta}$ βούλεσθε, says Andocides in the peroration of his speech In the matter of the Mysteries, μη βούλεσθε Θετταλούς και 'Ανδρίους πολίτας ποιείσθαι δι' ἀπορίαν ἀνδρῶν, τοὺς δὲ ὄντας πολίτας ὁμολογουμένως, οἶς πρυσήκει ἀνδράσιν ἀγαθοῖς εἶναι, καὶ βυυλόμενοι δυνήσονται, τούτους δὲ ἀπόλλυτε.

733. pappakoîgiv] It seems certain that at the festival of the Thargelia at Athens two human beings were slain, their bodies burned, and the ashes cast into the sea. This rite was considered a purification of the city, and the victims were therefore called $\phi a \rho \mu a \kappa o i$ or $\kappa a \theta \dot{a} \rho \mu a \tau a$. As they were doubtless the vilest of the people, if not actually condemned criminals, the names ϕ_{np} μακός (Knights 1405) and κάθαρμα (Plutus 454) became ordinary terms of abuse. Bergler quotes two fragments of Eupolis, containing a very similar complaint to that in the text. The first is from Stobaeus, Flor. xliii. 9-

745

1

άλλὰ καὶ νῦν, ὧνόητοι, μεταβαλόντες τοὺς τρόπους, χρῆσθε τοῖς χρηστοῖσιν αὖθις· καὶ κατορθώσασι γὰρ εὔλογον· κἄν τι σφαλῆτ', ἐξ ἀξίου γοῦν τοῦ ξύλου, ἤν τι καὶ πάσχητε, πάσχειν τοῖς σοφοῖς δοκήσετε.

- ΑΙΑ. νὴ τὸν Δία τὸν σωτῆρα, γεννάδας ἀνὴρ ὁ δεσπότης σου. ΞΑ. πῶς γὰρ οὐχὶ γεννάδας, ὅστις γε πίνειν οἶδε καὶ βινεῖν μόνον ; 740
- ΑΙΑ. τὸ δὲ μὴ πατάξαι σ' ἐξελεγχθέντ' ἄντικρυς, ὅτι δοῦλος ὦν ἔφασκες εἶναι δεσπότης.
- ΞΑ. φμωξε μέντάν. ΑΙΑ. τοῦτο μέντοι δουλικόν εὐθὺς πεποίηκας, ὅπερ ἐγὼ χαίρω ποιῶν.
- ΞΑ. χαίρεις, ίκετεύω ; ΑΙΑ. μάλλ' ἐποπτεύειν δοκῶ,

καὶ μὴν ἐγὼ πολλῶν παρόντων οἰκ ἔχω τί λέξω, ούτω σφόδρ' ἀλγῶ τὴν πολιτείαν ὑρῶν παρ' ἡμῖν. ἡμεῖς γὰρ οὐχ οὕτω τέως ὠκοῦμεν οἱ γέροντες, ἀλλ' ἦσαν ἡμῖν τῇ πόλει πρῶτον μὲν οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἐκ τῶν μεγίστων οἰκιῶν, πλούτῷ γένει τε πρῶτοι, οἶς ὡσπερεὶ θέοισιν ηὐχόμεσθα· καὶ γὰρ ἦσαν· ῶστ' ἀσφαλῶς ἐπράττομεν, νυνὶ δ', ὅποι τύχοιμεν, στρατευόμεσθ', αἱρούμενοι καθάρματα στρατηγούς.

The second is from Athenaeus, x. 25-

ούς δ' ούκ ἁν είλεσθ' οὐδ' ἁν οἰνύπτας πρὸ τοῦ, νυνὶ στρατηγοὺς ἔχομεν. ὦ πόλις, πόλις, ὡς εὐτυχὴς εἶ μᾶλλον ἡ καλῶς φρονεῖς.

734. $\delta\nu\delta\eta\tau\sigma\iota$] It was $\delta\sigma\sigma\phi\phi\tau\sigma\tau\iota$ in the Epirrhema, when the poet was not quite sure of his footing, but now that he is dealing with generalities, he recovers his wonted confidence, and the $\sigma\circ\phi\phi\tau\sigma\tau\iota$ become $d\nu\phi\eta\tau\sigma\iota$.

736. $\epsilon \vec{v} \lambda o \gamma o \nu$] It will be reasonable, that is to say, the reasonable result of your conduct. In the words $\epsilon \xi \ d\xi i o \nu$ $\gamma o \hat{\nu} \tau o \hat{\nu} \xi i \lambda o \nu$, he is referring, the Scholiast tells us, to a proverb, $d\pi \hat{\nu}$ $\kappa a \lambda o \hat{\nu} \xi i \lambda o \nu \kappa d \nu d \pi i \gamma \xi a \sigma \theta a i$, the precise bearing of which is not absolutely certain. Probably it means, "You had better have a good tree (or *beam*) than a bad one, even if your only object is to hang yourself from it"; and so it is better to have good instruments than bad, for whatever purpose and with whatever result you use them. It is better then, the Chorus say, to fail *although* you are employing your best citizens, than to fail *because* you are employing your worst. The translation

THE FROGS

O unwise and foolish people, yet to mend your ways begin; Use again the good and useful: so hereafter, if ye win 'Twill be due to this your wisdom: if ye fall, at least 'twill be Not a fall that brings dishonour, falling from a worthy tree.

- AEAC. By Zeus the Saviour, quite the gentleman Your master is. XAN. Gentleman? I believe you. He's all for wine and women, is my master.
- AEAC. But not to have flogged you, when the truth came out That you, the slave, were passing off as master !
- XAN. He'd get the worst of that. AEAC. Bravo! that's spoken Like a true slave: that's what I love myself.
- XAN. You love it, do you? AEAC. Love it? I'm entranced

of these concluding lines is little more than a paraphrase.

737. $\tilde{\eta}\nu \tau \iota \kappa a \tau a \sigma \chi \eta \tau \epsilon$] This is not a mere repetition of the $\kappa a \nu \tau \iota \sigma \phi a \lambda \tilde{\eta} \tau$ of the preceding line. The allusion to the "wood whereon to hang yourself" has struck a more serious chord, and these words are a euphemism for even if ye perish. See the note on Wasps 385.

738. $\nu \eta \tau \partial \nu \Delta ia$] During the delivery of the Parabasis, Dionysus and Xanthias, we must suppose, have been. brought before Pluto and Persephone, and the imposture of Xanthias has, of course, been immediately detected. Aeacus and Xanthias now return to the stage in familiar converse; the earliest extant specimen of a dialogue between two slaves, not merely as agents of their masters, or as jestmakers for the audience, but as members of a distinct class, speaking of their own feelings, of their own likes and dislikes. Such dialogues were common enough in later Greek comedy,

as we know from the Roman imitations, and kept very much to the spirit in which Aristophanes started them. The Latin obsecro is the exact counterpart of the Greek interview of line 745, and the concluding lines of the conversation, 812, 813, might well have come from some comedy imitated by Plautus or Terence.

743. $\tau o \tilde{v} \tau o$] Tò $\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \epsilon \tilde{v} \tau \delta v \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta v$ $\dot{a}\pi \delta v \tau a$.—Scholiast. In the previous scene between Xanthias and Aeacus, Xanthias had been passing himself off as the master, and nothing servile $(\delta o v \lambda \iota \kappa \delta v)$ had fallen from his lips; but no sconer does he resume the character of a slave than he at once $(\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s)$ utters the genuine sentiments of a slave, and Aeacus hails him as a brother.

745. $\mu d\lambda\lambda'$ $\epsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu$] M $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$ is for $\mu \dot{\eta} d\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$, as supra 103, 611; infra 751; Ach. 458; Thesm. 288. Not only so, but... $\epsilon \nu$ olige $\tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ olige oligi $\eta \delta \delta \mu a \mu$, $d\lambda\lambda' \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \delta \rho \mu a \mu$, says the Scholiast. An $\epsilon \pi \delta \pi \tau \eta s$ was a $\mu \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \eta s$ of the highest

I

	ὅταν καταράσωμαι λάθρα τῷ δεσπότῃ. τί δὲ τονθορύζων, ἡνίκ' ἂν πληγὰς λαβὼν πολλὰς ἀπίῃς θύραζε; ΑΙΑ. καὶ τοῦθ' ἥδομαι.	
ΞA.	τί δὲ πολλὰ πράττων; ΑΙΑ. ὡς μὰ Δί' οὐδὲν οἶδ' ἐγώ.	
ΞA.	όμόγνιε Ζεῦ· καὶ παρακούων δεσποτῶν	750
	άττ' ἀν λαλωσι; ΑΙΑ. μάλλὰ πλεῖν ἡ μαίνομαι.	
ΞA.	τί δὲ τοῖς θύραζε ταῦτα καταλαλῶν ; ΑΙΑ. ἐγώ ;	
	μὰ Δί, ἀλλ' ὅταν δρῶ τοῦτο, κἀκμιαίνομαι.	
ΞA.	ώ Φοίβ' Άπολλον, έμβαλέ μοι την δεξιάν,	
	καὶ δὸς κύσαι καὐτὸς κύσον, καί μοι φράσον	755
	πρὸς Διὸς, ὃς ἡμῖν ἐστιν ὁμομαστιγίας,	
	τίς οῦτος οὕνδον ἐστὶ θόρυβος καὶ βοὴ	
	χώ λοιδορησμός; ΑΙΑ. Αἰσχύλου κΕὐριπίδου.	
ΞA.	ά. AIA. πράγμα πράγμα μέγα κεκίνηται μέγα	
	έν τοῖς νεκροῖσι καὶ στάσις πολλη πάνυ.	7 60
ΞA.	έκ τοῦ; ΑΙΑ. νόμος τις ἐνθάδ' ἐστὶ κείμενος	

grade, who was initiated into, and allowed to participate in, the most secret and sacred mysteries at Eleusis. Cf. infra 1126. $\epsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu$ therefore meant to enjoy the highest felicity permitted to man.

750. 'Ομόγνιε Zεν] "Fratrum est invocare Jovem δμόγνιον," observes Bergler, referring to the Scholiast on Eurip. Hec. 345, who says, οἱ ἀδελφοὶ 'Ομόγνιον Δία προέτεινον. But this is too narrow a limitation. Zeus was invoked under that name, not only between brothers, but between any members of the same family or kindred. 'Ομόγνιοι θεοί' οἶs οἱ συγγενεῖs κοινῶs ὀργιάζουσιν.—Photius. So Timaeus, where Ruhnken's note collects the passages bearing on the subject. Thus in Eurip. Androm. 921, Hermione says to her cousin Orestes, $d\lambda\lambda^{\circ}$ $\tilde{a}\nu\tau\sigma\mu ai$ $\sigma\epsilon$, Δia $\kappa a\lambda\sigma \hat{v}\sigma^{\circ}$ ' $O\mu\delta\gamma\nu\iota\sigma\nu$. And in Soph. Oed. Col. 1332, Polyneices implores his father to help him $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ ' $O\mu\sigma\gamma\nu i\omega\nu$. So Plato, Laws, ix. 881 D. Here Xanthias, delighted with the similarity of sentiment which he discovers between Aeacus and himself, speaks as if they were both members of one great slave family. The Scholiast rightly interprets the ejaculation, $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\delta\mu\sigma i\delta\tau\eta\tau a$ $\thetaa\nu\mu ai \zeta\omega\nu$, $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota^{\circ}$ $\sigma i\sigma\nu$, $\tilde{\omega}$ $\delta\mu\sigma i\delta-\tau\eta\tau\sigma s$.

751. μἀλλὰ πλεῖν η̈ μαίνομαι] These words have already occurred, supra 103. In ἐκμιαίνομαι, two lines below, which means οῦτως ῆδομαι ὥστε ἀποσπερματίζειν, there seems to be a jingle with μαίνομαι intended. When I can curse my lord behind his back.

- XAN. How about grumbling, when you have felt the stick, And scurry out of doors? AEAC. That's jolly too.
- XAN. How about prying? AEAC. That beats everything !
- XAN. Great Kin-god Zeus! And what of overhearing Your master's secrets? AEAC. What? I'm mad with joy.
- XAN. And blabbing them abroad? AEAC. O heaven and earth ! When I do that, I can't contain myself.
- XAN. Phoebus Apollo ! clap your hand in mine,
 Kiss and be kissed : and prithee tell me this,
 Tell me by Zeus, our rascaldom's own god,
 What's all that noise within ? What means this hubbub
 And row ? AEAC. That's Aeschylus and Euripides.
- XAN. Eh? AEAC. Wonderful, wonderful things are going on. The dead are rioting, taking different sides.
- XAN. Why, what's the matter? AEAC. There's a custom here

756. όμομαστιγίαs] He begins as if he were about to repeat όμόγνιος, God of relatives, but he unexpectedly changes it to όμομαστιγίαs, God of rascals, as even more appropriate to Aeacus and himself. Όμομαστιγίαs does not mean "fellow-knave," as the Oxford lexicographers translate it, any more than όμόγνιος means "of the same family as ourselves." It is merely a parody on όμόγνιος, and means "patron of the rogues' fraternity." ἀντὶ τοῦ εἰπεῖν ὁμόγνιος ὡς ἕμπροσθεν, ἡ ὁμάδελφος, εἶπεν ὁμομαστιγίας, says the Scholiast.

757. $\tau is \ obverse \ boundary \beta obverse \ boundary \beta obverse \ boundary \$

ness, in 1415 infra, he drops the artistic question, and returns to the original purpose of the play. In my opinion, the idea of this contest had been long maturing in the poet's mind, and had probably been completely elaborated, before the death of Euripides inspired him with the general plot of the comedy of the Frogs. On this point, some remarks will be found in the Introduction. The Scholiast thinks that Xanthias was about to question Aeacus περί τινος πράγματος δουλικού, but being interrupted by the commotion within, asks instead what is the meaning of all this hubbub. And this view is adopted by Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Green, but seems to me exceedingly doubtful.

άπὸ τῶν τεχνῶν, ὅσαι μεγάλαι καὶ δεξιαὶ,	
τὸν ἄριστον ὄντα τῶν ἑαυτοῦ συντέχνων	
σίτησιν αὐτὸν ἐν Πρυτανείφ λαμβάνειν,	
θρόνον τε τοῦ Πλούτωνος ἑξῆς, ΞΑ. μανθάνω.	765
ΑΙΑ. ἕως ἀφίκοιτο τὴν τέχνην σοφώτερος	
έτερός τις αὐτοῦ· τότε δὲ παραχωρεῖν ἔδει.	
ΞΑ. τί δητα τουτὶ τεθορύβηκεν Αἰσχύλον ;	
ΑΙΑ. ἐκείνος είχε τον τραγωδικον θρόνον,	
ώς ῶν κράτιστος τὴν τέχνην. ΞΑ. νυνὶ δὲ τίς;	770
ΑΙΑ. ὅτε δὴ κατῆλθ' Εὐριπίδης, ἐπεδείκνυτο	
τοῖς λωποδύταις καὶ τοῖσι βαλλαντιοτόμοις	
καὶ τοῖσι πατραλοίαισι καὶ τοιχωρύχοις,	
όπερ έστ' έν "Αιδου πληθος, οι δ' ακροώμενοι	
τῶν ἀντιλογιῶν καὶ λυγισμῶν καὶ στροφῶν	775
ύπερεμάνησαν, κανόμισαν σοφώτατον	
κάπειτ' έπαρθεις άντελάβετο τοῦ θρόνου,	

762. $d\pi \delta \ r \delta \nu \ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \delta \nu$] He is adopting the language of the supposed law, which spoke of craftsmen coming to Hades from $(d\pi \delta)$ the crafts above. He who, coming from the crafts above, is the most excellent of all in the same craft, is to receive honours such as those which are awarded at Athens for the highest and most honourable services. The expression $\ddot{a}\rho i\sigma \tau \sigma \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \nu$ is, of course, an instance of the use, common in all languages, of the superlative for the comparative, like Milton's

Adam, the goodliest man of men since born His sons; the fairest of her daughters, Eve.

764. $\sigma i \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \nu \prod \rho \upsilon \tau a \nu \epsilon i \varphi$] Ta $\tilde{\upsilon} \tau a \mu \epsilon \tau a \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon i \dot{a} \tau \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \eta$ 'Ar $\tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \delta \omega \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} s$ $\tau \dot{a} \kappa a \theta$ "Atdov.—Scholiast. On the Attic $\sigma i \tau \eta \sigma \iota s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \prod \rho \upsilon \tau a \nu \epsilon i \phi$, see the note on Peace 1084. The establishment of the Athenian Prytaneum as the one state hall for the whole of Attica was part of the centralizing policy of Theseus (Thuc. ii. 15). There, every day, a company of distinguished guests was entertained at the public expense : ambassadors from foreign states, Athenian envoys returning from foreign missions (Acharnians 125; Demosthenes de F. L. 35, 36, 259; Aeschines, Id. 49), public officials, popular leaders (Knights 281, 1404), and others who had done, or were conceived to have done, the state some service. And others were there merely as the descendants of some With all the crafts, the good and noble crafts, That the chief master of his art in each

Shall have his dinner in the assembly hall,

- And sit by Pluto's side, XAN. I understand.
- AEAC. Until another comes, more wise than he In the same art : then must the first give way.
- XAN. And how has this disturbed our Aeschylus?
- AEAC. 'Twas he that occupied the tragic chair, As, in his craft, the noblest. XAN. Who does now?
- AEAC. But when Euripides came down, he kept Flourishing off before the highwaymen, Thieves, burglars, parricides—these form our mob In Hades—till with listening to his twists And turns, and pleas and counterpleas, they went Mad on the man, and hailed him first and wisest: Elate with this, he claimed the tragic chair

illustrious ancestor. Thus, in later days, the eldest descendant for the time being of the orator Lycurgus was entitled for all time to a seat at the public table : a decree of the people (the third of the decrees appended to the Lives of the Ten Orators) having granted a σίτησιν έν Πρυτανείω των έγγόνων αεί των Δυκούργου τώ πρεσβυτάτω els äπαντα τὸν χρόνον. Some of the distinguished guests were invited merely for one day, or for some short period; others retained their privilege for their whole lives. In any case, the $\sigma i \tau \eta \sigma i s \dot{\epsilon} v$ $\Pi \rho \upsilon \tau a \nu \epsilon i \omega$ was esteemed one of the greatest of honours; and innumerable are the references made to it in Athenian literature. To the instances given in the note to the Peace, I will add but one other. In Lucian's Piscator, 46

 $\lambda \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon_{ia}$ proposes that if, amongst the shoals of impostors, they can catch one genuine philosopher, he shall be crowned with a crown of olive, and invited to the entertainment in the Prytaneum.

771. $\epsilon \hat{\pi} \epsilon \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu \nu \tau \sigma$] This is the ordinary word for the displays given by rhetoricians, philosophers, poets, professors, dancers (Lucian, De Salt. 63), and the like, of their proficiency in their respective arts. It is of common occurrence in the writings of Plato and others. For a lively description of these $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \iota s$, see Sewell's Dialogues of Plato, chaps. 20 and 21.

774. $\partial \nu$ "Aldov $\pi\lambda\eta\theta\sigma s$] Which of course is far from being the case in Athens, he implies, ironically. See infra 783, 808.

ίν' Αἰσχύλος καθῆστο. ΈΑ. κοὐκ ἐβάλλετο ; ΑΙΑ. μὰ Δί', ἀλλ' ὁ δῆμος ἀνεβόα κρίσιν ποιεῖν ὁπότερος εἴη τὴν τέχνην σοφώτερος.	780
ΞΑ. ὁ τῶν πανούργων; ΑΙΑ. νὴ Δί', οὐράνιόν γ' ὅσον. ΞΑ. μετ' Αἰσχύλου δ' οὐκ ἦσαν ἕτεροι σύμμαχοι;	
2.Α. μετ Ατοχύλου ο συκ ησαν στέρω συμμαχών, ΑΙΑ. δλίγον το χρηστόν έστιν, ὥσπερ ἐνθάδε.	
ΞΑ. τί δηθ' ὁ Πλούτων δρᾶν παρασκευάζεται;	
ΑΙΑ. ἀγῶνα ποιεῖν αὐτίκα μάλα καὶ κρίσιν	785
κάλεγχον αὐτοῖν τῆς τέχνης. ΞΑ. κάπειτα πῶς	
οὐ καὶ Σοφοκλέης ἀντελάβετο τοῦ θρόνου;	
ΑΙΑ. μὰ Δί' οὐκ ἐκεῖνος, ἀλλ' ἕκυσε μὲν Αἰσχύλον,	
ότε δη κατηλθε, κάνέβαλε την δεξιαν,	
κἀκείνος ὑπεχώρησεν αὐτῷ τοῦ θρόνου·	790
νυνὶ δ' ἔμελλεν, ὡς ἔφη Κλειδημίδης,	
ἔφεδρος καθεδεῖσθαι· κἂν μὲν Αἰσχύλος κρατῆ,	
ἕξειν κατὰ χώραν· εἰ δὲ μὴ, περὶ τῆς τέχνης	
διαγωνιείσθ' έφασκε πρός γ' Εὐριπίδην.	
ΞΑ. τὸ χρῆμ' ἄρ' ἔσται; ΑΙΑ. νὴ Δί', ὀλίγον ὕστερον.	795

778. κούκ ἐβάλλετο ;] Λίθοις δηλονότι.
 εἶτα οὐκ ἐλιθοβολείτο, φησὶ, τοῦτο ποιήσας ;
 Scholiast.

783. $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ \epsilon \nu \theta d \delta \epsilon$] As he utters thesewords, he looks at the audience. $\delta \epsilon i$ κνυσιν, says the Scholiast, $\omega s \ \pi \rho \delta s \ \tau \delta$ Θέατρον· μίγνυσι δὲ τὰ ἐνθάδε τοῖs ἐκεῖ· νῦν γὰρ οὐ καθ' "Αιδου ἔφη.

790. $\kappa \delta \kappa \epsilon i \nu os$] The $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \nu os$ of this speech is Sophocles, whose attitude towards Aeschylus in the world below is being contrasted with that of Euripides, of whom they have hitherto been talking. The strange notion that $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \nu os$ must here mean Aeschylus has arisen from not observing that the speaker is but carrying on the pronoun appropriated to Sophocles at the commencement of the speech : "He, for his part, saluted Aeschylus, and he, for his part, resigned all claim to the chair." To suppose that Aeschylus recognized Sophocles as his superior is contrary to the mind of Aristophanes and to the whole tone and tenor of the play (cf. infra 1519), while it is impossible to regard seriously the grotesque suggestion that Aeschylus gave him a little bit of his chair, so that the two poets sat at dinner in one chair. Neither ὑποχωρείν nor even παραχωρείν (supra 767) necessarily implies a previous occupation of the thing conceded. In the Argument to the Septem contra

THE FROGS

Where Aeschylus was seated. XAN. Wasn't he pelted?

- AEAC. Not he: the populace clamoured out to try Which of the twain was wiser in his art.
- XAN. You mean the rascals? AEAC. Aye, as high as heaven !
- XAN. But were there none to side with Aeschylus?
- AEAC. Scanty and sparse the good, (Regards the audience) the same as here.
- XAN. And what does Pluto now propose to do?
- AEAC. He means to hold a tournament, and bring Their tragedies to the proof. XAN. But Sophocles, How came not he to claim the tragic chair?
- AEAC. Claim it? Not he! When he came down, he kissed With reverence Aeschylus, and clasped his hand, And yielded willingly the chair to him.
 But now he's going, says Cleidemides, To sit third-man: and then if Aeschylus win, He'll stay content: if not, for his art's sake, He'll fight to the death against Euripides.
- XAN. Will it come off? AEAC. O yes, by Zeus, directly.

Thebas we read, $\pi\rho\tilde{\omega}\tau\sigma\nu \ ov\ \nu' E\tau\epsilon\sigma\kappa\lambda\eta s$ $\eta\ \rho\xi\epsilon\nu$, $d\tau\epsilon\kappaai \pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigmas\ d\nu\ Πολυνείκουs'$ Hoλυνείκηs δè $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon$. So in Lucian's Tyrannicide (5) the statement that the young tyrant $\pi a\rho\epsilon\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\epsilon\iota \ \tau\eta s \ \tau\iota\mu\eta s$ to his father is not meant to imply that he had ever possessed it. So St. Chrysostom (Hom. 26 in 1 Cor. 236 A) ai $\pi\rho\dot{\sigma}-\tau\epsilon\rho a\iota$ γυναίκες καὶ κυρίους τοὺς ἄνδραs ἐκάλουν, καὶ τῶν πρωτείων αὐτοῖς παρεχώρουν. And cf. Aristotle's Polity of Athens, chap. xxiii, and the Scholiast on 832 infra.

791. $K\lambda\epsilon\iota\delta\eta\mu\iota\delta\eta s$] Sophocles, as we know, lived to a good old age, and probably in his later years the easy and indolent old man had little com-

munication with the busy outer world: so that what the Athenians heard of their great poet came mostly through the medium of Cleidemides, whom some call his son, but others, with more probability (since the name does not occur in the list of his sons given by Suidas), the chief actor in his plays. Aristophanes seizes hold of the poet's habit of using Cleidemides as his interpreter, and transfers it to the world below.

792. ἔφεδρος] Ὁ μαχομένων τινῶν παρακαθήμενος, καὶ μέλλων τῷ νενικηκότι μαχήσασθαι.—Scholiast. Aesch. Choeph. 853 ; Soph. Aj. 610. κάνταῦθα δὴ τὰ δεινὰ κινηθήσεται. καὶ γὰρ ταλάντφ μουσικὴ σταθμήσεται,

ΞΑ. τί δέ; μειαγωγήσουσι τὴν τραγωδίαν;

- AIA. καὶ κανόνας ἐξοίσουσι καὶ πήχεις ἐπῶν, καὶ πλαίσια ξύμπηκτα, ΞΑ. πλινθεύσουσι γάρ; 800
- AIA. καὶ διαμέτρους καὶ σφῆνας. ὁ γὰρ Εὐριπίδης κατ' ἔπος βασανιεῖν φησι τὰς τραγφδίας.
- ΞΑ. ή που βαρέως οίμαι τον Αἰσχύλον φέρειν.
- ΑΙΑ. έβλεψε γοῦν ταυρηδὸν ἐγκύψας κάτω.
- ΞΑ. κρινεῖ δὲ δὴ τίς ταῦτα; ΑΙΑ. τοῦτ' ἦν δύσκολον[.] 805 σοφῶν γὰρ ἀνδρῶν ἀπορίαν εὑρισκέτην. οὔτε γὰρ Ἀθηναίοισι συνέβαιν' Αἰσχύλος,

798. $\mu \epsilon i a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma i$] $\Pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \tau \dot{\rho} \nu \langle \dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\rho} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\delta} \phi v \sigma i \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma v \sigma v v$.—Scholiast. Bring to the scales and weigh. As to the derivation of the word, the Scholiasts tell a strange story, improbable in itself, but corroborated, with slight variation, by all the old grammarians, Harpocration, Pollux, Hesychius, Photius, Suidas. When a father brought his son to be enrolled as a member of a phratry, he brought also a lamb for a sacrifice. The lamb was bound to be of a certain size and weight, and such parts of it as were not consumed for the sacrifice were given as a feast for the members of the phratry. These gentlemen were therefore very determined that it should not fall short of the weight required, and while it was being weighed, kept shouting, $\mu\epsilon i ov$, $\mu\epsilon i ov$, Too little! too little! Hence the lamb came to be called $\mu\epsilon i ov$, the bringer $\delta \mu\epsilon i a \gamma \omega \gamma \delta s$, and the bringing $\mu\epsilon i a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon i v$. How anxious the bringer was that the scale should drop to the proper weight is shown by some lines which Harpocration, s.v., cites from the famous Demi of Eupolis—

τοιγαροῦν οὐδεὶς στρατηγὸς ἐξ ἐκείνου τοῦ χρόνου δύναιτ' ἂν ὥσπερ μειαγωγὸς ἐστιῶν τῆς τοῦδε νίκης πλειόν' ἐλκύσαι σταθμόν.

The $\tau \circ \tilde{\iota} \delta \epsilon \ \nu i \kappa \eta$ is supposed to be the victory of Miltiades on the field of Marathon.

800. καὶ πλαίσια] Πλαίσια, otherwise $\pi\lambda i\nu\theta ia$, are the oblong wooden frames into which the clay is pressed, to

assume the shape of bricks: τὰ τῶν ξύλων τετράγωνα, ὥσπερ πλινθία, ἐν οἶs πλινθεύουσι.—Scholiast, Suidas. The latter also says, πλαίσιον τὸ ἐκ ξύλων τετράγωνον (vulgo τετραγώνων) πῆγμα ὅ τινες πλινθίον καλοῦσιν. Moeris tells us And then, I hear, will wonderful things be done, The art poetic will be weighed in scales.

XAN. What! weigh out tragedy, like butcher's meat?

- AEAC. Levels they'll bring, and measuring-tapes for words, And moulded oblongs, XAN. Is it bricks they are making?
- AEAC. Wedges and compasses : for Euripides Vows that he'll test the dramas, word by word.
- XAN. Aeschylus chafes at this, I fancy. AEAC. Well, He lowered his brows, upglaring like a bull.
- XAN. And who's to be the judge? AEAC. There came the rub. Skilled men were hard to find : for with the Athenians Aeschylus, somehow, did not hit it off,

that $\pi\lambda ai\sigma io\nu$ was the Attic, $\pi\lambda i\nu\theta io\nu$ the Hellenic name. $\Pi\lambda ai\sigma ia$ $\tau a \delta ia \xi i \lambda o\nu$ $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho ayova \pi \eta y\mu a\tau a.$ —Hesychius. These $\pi \eta \gamma \mu a\tau a$ were of course $\sigma i \mu \pi \eta \kappa \tau a$; and therefore both the Scholiast and Suidas say, $\tau \delta \delta \xi i \mu \pi \eta \kappa \tau a \pi \rho \delta s o i \delta \delta \nu$, $d\lambda \lambda' o i o \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \tau a \kappa a i \sigma o \phi a$. The MSS. continue the whole line to Aeacus, reading also $\gamma \epsilon$ for $\gamma a \rho$; but it is plain that all the . accusatives depend on $\epsilon \xi o i \sigma o \sigma \sigma i$, and Kock's emendation, ΞA . $\pi \lambda i \nu \theta \epsilon i \sigma o \sigma \sigma i$

804. $\tau a v p \eta \delta \delta r$] The word denotes, not the quality, but the manner of the glance. It means a glance shot upward from underneath bended brows. The name is derived from the circumstance that a bull about to charge lowers its head, and cannot therefore look straight at its adversary without glancing upward from beneath its overhanging brows. With a bull the glance would, from the nature of the case, be savage; but with a man it may be hostile, friendly, humorous, or of any other

quality. It was a favourite trick of Socrates; and in the closing scene of the Phaedo, when the attendant brings in the cup of hemlock, Plato mentions it with the loving tenderness with which he ever recalls his master's peculiarities. "He took the cup," he says, "very cheerfully; his hand did not shake nor his colour change, $d\lambda\lambda'$, $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\omega\theta\epsilon\iota$, ταυρηδόν ύποβλέψας πρός τον άνθρωπον, he asked if he might pour out some drops as a libation to the gods." The two participles, εγκύψας κάτω here and $i\pi_0\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\psi as$ in the Phaedo, embrace the entire idea conveyed by the adverb $\tau a \nu \rho \eta \delta \delta \nu$. The glance of Aeschylus was that of Socrates probably hostile : shrewd and humorous; but neither quality is implied by the adverb. In Lucian's Philopatris 2, a man in anxious thought is said $\tau a \nu \rho \eta \delta \delta \nu \ \epsilon \pi i \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \nu$. He had just before been described as $\tau \dot{a}s$ όφρῦς κάτω συννενευκώς.

807. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta a u \nu \epsilon$] He is of course alluding not to any want of appreciation ΞΑ. πολλούς ίσως ἐνόμιζε τοὺς τοιχωρύχους.

ΑΙΑ. ληρόν τε τάλλ' ηγείτο τοῦ γνῶναι πέρι φύσεις ποιητῶν' εἶτα τῷ σῷ δεσπότη ἐπέτρεψαν, ὅτιὴ τῆς τέχνης ἔμπειρος ἦν. ἀλλ' εἰσίωμεν· ὡς ὅταν γ' οἱ δεσπόται ἐσπουδάκωσι, κλαύμαθ' ἡμῖν γίγνεται.

ΧΟ. η που δεινον έριβρεμέτας χόλον ένδοθεν έξει,

shown by the Athenians to the tragedies of Aeschylus, for no tragic poet was more successful on the Athenianstage than he: but to the misunderstanding, whatever it was, which resulted in his leaving Athens, and taking up his abode in Sicily. The cause of this misunderstanding is uncertain: but most authorities attribute it to the unpleasant position in which Aeschylus found himself placed by the charge that in some of his dramas he had too plainly trenched upon the secrets of the Eleusinian mysteries.

808. τοιχωρύχους] And these, as we have seen, supra 773, would be thorough going partisans of the poet, whose casuistical reasoning could persuade his hearers τὸ μὲν aἰσχρὸν ἅπαν καλὸν ἡγείσθαι, τὸ καλὸν δ aἰσχρόν.

809. $\lambda \eta \rho \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \delta \lambda \lambda']$ 'Avti toù, toùs $\delta \lambda \partial u s$. 'Adyvaíous µèv συνετοùs $\eta \gamma \epsilon i \tau o,$ $\pi o \nu \eta \rho o u s$ $\delta \epsilon$. τοùs $\delta \epsilon$ $\delta \lambda \partial u s$ $\delta \nu d \rho \delta m o u s$ $\lambda \eta \rho o \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon i \tau o m \rho \delta s \tau \eta \nu$ τοι a $i \tau \eta \nu$ $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau a \sigma \iota v.$ — Scholiast. With the Athenians Aeschylus was not on good terms, and nobody else was qualified to judge. This little compliment to the audience is, as Mr. Mitchell truly says, as just as it is delicate. It balances the satire of the preceding line. The expression $\lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu$ $\tau \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' is, as the same learned commentator observes, repeated from Lysistrata 860. There the heroine is endeavouring to kindle the love of Cinesias towards his wife by exaggerating her devotion to him: "When our talk is of men," Lysistrata says, "she always vows that all other men are mere trash and nonsense by the side of her Cinesias." οτι ληρός έστι τάλλα πρός Κινησίαν. The line of Antiphanes, ἄρ' ἔστι ληρος πάντα πρòs τὸ χρυσίον (Stobaeus, Florileg. xci. 14) was probably in the mind of St. Chrysostom when he wrote $\pi d\nu \tau a \lambda \eta \rho os \tau o is$ πολλοΐς καὶ μῦθοι διὰ τὰ χρήματα Hom. xvi in 1 Cor. (141 C).

810

811. $\tau \eta s \ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s \ \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s \ \eta \nu$] For not only was Dionysus the special patron of the drama, at whose festivals and in whose honour all plays were exhibited: he was also, as Mr. Haigh observes, "the one spectator who had been present at every dramatic performance from first to last. On the evening before the festival his statue was taken out of his temple by the Ephebi, and conveyed by torchlight to the theatre. It was there placed in the orchestra, in full view of the stage, so XAN. Too many burglars, I expect, he thought.

AEAC. And all the rest, he said, were trash and nonsense To judge poetic wits. So then at last They chose your lord, an expert in the art. But go we in : for when our lords are bent On urgent business, that means blows for us.

CHOR. O surely with terrible wrath will the thunder-voiced monarch be filled,

that the god as well as his worshippers might enjoy the approaching exhibitions. Corp. Inscript. Att. ii. 470, 471." —Attic Theatre, ii. 6.

814. η που, κ.τ.λ.] Xanthias and Aeacus leave the stage and are seen no more. Whilst the preparations for the next great scene are proceeding within, the Chorus deliver four symmetrical stanzas descriptive of the impending conflict. Each stanza consists of four lines, the first two being Homeric hexameters, purely dactylic, except that in the first line the first foot, and in the second line the fourth foot, is invariably a spondee. The third line merely omits the spondee. The fourth is a trochaic dimeter catalectic. In the first stanza the two combatants are likened to two wild boars, preparing for the fray. Aeschylus is styled $\epsilon \rho_i \beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \eta s$, an epithet which the commentators consider to be borrowed from Zeus, but which.

as well as *ϵρίβρομοs*, is applied by Pindar to the lion (Ol. x. 21, Isthm. iii. 64), and is here probably given to the wild boar in respect of the "short savage grunt of anger" with which it turns upon its pursuers. In Bacchylides v. 116 the Calydonian boar is called $\sigma \hat{v}s$ έριβρύχαs, with which compare βρυχώ- $\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ in the third stanza. "Assuredly," say the Chorus, "will he of the thundervoice be full of terrible wrath, when he sees with a sidelong glance his opponent whetting his sharp-voiced tusk." of $\lambda a \lambda o \nu$ is contrasted with $\epsilon \rho_{i} \beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \eta s$. The compound $\pi a \rho i \delta \eta$ refers to the sidelong glance and attack of the charging boar, which Homer (Iliad, xii. 148), in describing the sally of the two Lapithae, represents by the epithet $\delta o \chi \mu \dot{\omega}$. Perhaps I may be allowed to quote the passage from Mr. Way's noble version :---

Like unto fierce wild boars that in some lone mountain glen Unquailing abide the oncoming tumult of hunter and hound: Forth spring they with sidelong rush, and the saplings crash all round, Snapped short at the roots, and rings out sharp through the din of the strife The clash of the tusks, till the darts smite out each monster's life; Even so on the breasts of the champions rang the brass flame-bright As the darts rained down, for in dauntless-desperate wise did they fight, &c.

ήνίκ' ἂν ὀξύλαλον παρίδη θήγοντος ὀδόντα	815
άντιτέχνου· τότε δη μανίας ύπο δεινης	
ὄμματα στροβήσεται.	
έσται δ' ὑψιλόφων τε λόγων κορυθαίολα νείκη,	
σκινδαλάμων τε παραξόνια, σμιλεύματά τ' ἕργων,	
φωτὸς ἀμυνομένου φρενοτέκτονος ἀνδρὸς	820
ρήμαθ' ἱπποβάμονα.	
φρίξας δ' αὐτοκόμου λοφιᾶς λασιαύχενα χαίταν,	
δεινόν έπισκύνιον ξυνάγων βρυχώμενος ήσει	
ρήματα γομφοπαγή, πινακηδόν ἀποσπῶν	
γηγενεί φυσήματι	825

· 815. θήγοντος όδόντα] 'Από μεταφοράς είπε τῶν χοίρων, οῦ ὅταν εἰς μάχην παρασκευάζωνται, τοῦτο ποιοῦσι.—Scholiast. The same metaphor is employed in Lys. 1256.

818. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\iota \delta' \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] In the second stanza the simile of the wild boar is dropped, though it reappears in the third. Dobree therefore wished to transpose the second and third stanzas : but it is more probable that the stanzas were divided between two semichoruses, one of whom sang the first and the third, and the other the second and "Then will be plumethe fourth. dancing contests of lofty-crested words " on the part of Aeschylus, and "whirling of splinters and chiselling of work" on the part of Euripides, "as the man is repelling the high-stepping phrases of the creative-minded hero": $\phi \omega s$ is good enough for Euripides, the nobler $d\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$ is reserved for Aeschylus.

819. σκινδαλάμων] Split straws, τὰ τῶν καλάμων ἀποξύσματα, used metaphorically here, as n Clouds 130, of the hairsplit-

ting subtleties of casuistical argument. It is employed in exactly the same sense in Sozomen's Eccl. Hist. i. 18. 2, and as these subtleties are there attributed $d\nu\delta\rho$ $\tau\epsilon\chi\nu i\tau\eta$ $\lambda\delta\gamma\omega\nu$, the historian would seem to have been familiar with the language of Aristophanes. See also Lucian's "Hesiod," 5, and the Scholiast there. The Scholiast here explains παραξόνια by κινδυνώδη καὶ παράβολα, περὶ τόν τροχόν έλκόμενα. It seems rather to mean minute particles thrown off as the wheel revolves. The quibbles of Euripides are not even split straws; they are merely the particles shaken off them. But perhaps we should adopt Herwerden's conjecture $\pi a \rho a \xi \delta a \nu a$, scrapings, from Eéw. σμιλεύειν is to polish or smooth with a plane or chisel. In the epigram on Tragedy by Dioscorides, cited on 1004 infra, Aeschylus is described as ό μή σμιλευτά χαράξας Γράμματα. The metaphor was a common one in later writers. See Jacobs's notes on this epigram in the Anthology.

820. φωτός άμυνομένου] Τουτέστι, τοῦ

When he sees his opponent beside him, the tonguester, the artifice-skilled, Stand, whetting his tusks for the fight! O surely, his eyes rolling-fell

Will with terrible madness be fraught!

O then will be charging of plume-waving words with their wild-floating mane, And then will be whirling of splinters, and phrases smoothed down with the plane, When the man would the grand-stepping maxims, the language gigantic, repel

Of the hero-creator of thought. There will his shaggy-born crest upbristle for anger and woe, Horribly frowning and growling, his fury will launch at the foe Huge-clamped masses of words, with exertion Titanic up-tearing

Great ship-timber planks for the fray.

Εὐριπίδου ἀμυνομένου τὰ ἱπποβάμονα ῥή ματα τοῦ φρενοτέκτονος ἀνδρὸς, τουτέστι τοῦ Αἰσχύλου.—Scholiast. We shall find, as we go through the ensuing contest, that the term ῥήματα is specially appropriated to the "large utterances" of Aeschylus. The epithet φρενοτέκτων implies that the earlier poet drew from the treasures of his own mind, in contrast to Euripides, who derived his knowledge from books ; cf. infra 943. And so Dr. Merry explains it. A similar idea is conveyed by αὐτοκόμου two lines below.

822. $\phi_{\rho i \xi as} \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] The third stanza reverts to the wild boar, and like the first, is descriptive of the action of Aeschylus. He "uprearing the shaggymaned bristles of his nature-clothed neck, knitting together his dreadful brows, with a savage roar will hurl forth strong-clamped masses of words (tearing them out, plankwise) with Titanic effort of lung." On the first line the Scholiast remarks $\delta\sigma\epsilon i \epsilon i \pi\epsilon \nu \ \delta \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ $\delta\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ \sigma \hat{\iota} s$, and Bergler refers to the description of the boar about to rush on Odysseus $\phi \rho \iota \xi as \ \epsilon \delta \ \lambda o \phi \iota \eta \nu, \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, Od. xix, 446.

823. ἐπισκύνιον] Τὸ ἐπάνω τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν μέρος, ἤτοι δέρμα· τὸ συνοφρύωμα τοῦ μετώπου.— Scholiast. And he refers to Homer's description of an angry lion πῶν δέ τ' ἐπισκύνιον κάτω ἕλκεται, ὄσσε καλύπτων (II. xvii. 136), which again I should like to give from Mr. Way's version—

But Aias covered Menoitius' son with his broad shield's rim, And there he stood, as standeth a lion defending his young, On whom, as he leadeth his whelps through the forest, there cometh a throng Of huntsman-folk ; but within him the storm of his might doth rise, And the down-drawn skin of his brows overgloometh the fire of his eyes.

824. πινακηδόν] 'Αποσπών τὰ ῥήματα ὥσπερ πίνακας ἀπὸ πλοίων, οὐχ, ὡς Εὐριπίδης, σκινδαλάμους. Πινακίδες δὲ αἱ μεγάλαι σανίδες τῶν πλοίων.—Scholiast. Mr. Mitchell observes that γόμφος and its compounds seem to have been favourite

ένθεν δ' ή στοματουργος έπῶν βασανίστρια λίσπη γλῶσσ' ἀνελισσομένη, φθονεροὺς κινοῦσα χαλινοὺς, ῥήματα δαιομένη καταλεπτολογήσει πλευμόνων πολὺν πόνον.

- ΕΥ. οὐκ ἂν μεθείμην τοῦ θρόνου, μὴ νουθέτει.
 κρείττων γὰρ εἶναί φημι τούτου τὴν τέχνην.
- ΔΙ. Αἰσχύλε, τί σιγậs; αἰσθάνει γὰρ τοῦ λόγου.

ΕΥ. ἀποσεμνυνείται πρώτον, ἅπερ ἐκάστοτε ἐν ταῖς τραγφδίαισιν ἐτερατεύετο.

- ΔΙ. ὦ δαιμόνι' ἀνδρῶν, μὴ μεγάλα λίαν λέγε.
- ΕΥ. έγφδα τοῦτον καὶ διέσκεμμαι πάλαι,

terms with Aeschylus, and he instances $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\delta\mu\phi\omega\tau\alpha\iota$, Suppl. 434; $\gamma\sigma\mu\phi\sigma\delta\epsilon\tau\phi$ $\delta\sigma\rho\iota$, Id. 825; $\tau\omega\nu\delta$ $\epsilon\phi\eta\lambda\omega\tau\alpha\iota$ $\tau\sigma\rho\omegas$ $\gamma\delta\mu\phi\sigmas$ $\delta\iota\alpha\mu\pi\lambda\xi$, Id. 921; $\pi\sigma\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma\mu\phi\sigma\nu$ $\delta\delta\iota\sigma\mu\alpha$, Persae 71; $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\mu\eta\chi\alpha\nu\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu$ $\gamma\delta\mu\phi\sigma\iotas$, Septem 536. And he adds that this whole Chorus, and indeed almost all the choruses in the latter part of the play, have a certain flavour of Aeschylus.

826. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$ δ The final stanza describes the modus operandi whereby Euripides will repel the high-stepping phrases of Aeschylus. "Thereupon the glib tongue, the smooth-polished tester of words, uncoiling itself, shaking envious bits, will by dissecting those masses of words subtilize to nothing that large labour of the lungs." $\sigma \tau o \mu a \tau$ oupyos is merely "loquacious, talkative," like $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \rho \gamma o s$, or $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a \rho \gamma o s$, which the Etym. Magn. explains by ταχύγλωσσος. The Scholiast explains $\lambda(\sigma\pi\eta)$ by $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\epsilon$ -And so the other τριμμένη καὶ λεία. Grammarians. See Ruhnken on Timaeus, s. v., and cf. Knights 1368.

827. Φθονερούς κινούσα χαλινούς] Shaking envious bits. It is not clear what this means. The older commentators give no explanation. Mitchell interprets it of the "begrudging jaws of Euripides, unused to utter such long words," but this can hardly be right. Paley translates it "moving along with all the speed of malice"; Mr. Green, "shaking the loose reins of malice"; Dr. Merry, "shaking loose the reins of malice"; Dr. Blaydes, "champing the bit in envy." Possibly it may be equivalent to our common expression "giving a free rein to his envy"; but the attack of Aeschylus has been compared to a charge of cavalry, and I am inclined to think that the curbs are intended to restrain the onset of the ρήμαθ' ἰπποβάμονα. The ρήμαθ' ἱπποβάμονα are to be curbed; the δήματα γομ- $\phi_{0\pi a\gamma\hat{\eta}}$ are to be reduced to nothing by subtle refinement and sophistry. And possibly this was the view of the Scholiast, who says πρòs τὸ ἰπποβά-

835

THE FROGS

But here will the tongue be at work, uncoiling, word-testing, refining, Sophist-creator of phrases, dissecting, detracting, maligning, Shaking the envious bits, and with subtle analysis paring

The lung's large labour away.

EURIPIDES. Don't talk to me; I won't give up the chair, I say I am better in the art than he.

- DIO. You hear him, Aeschylus: why don't you speak?
- EUR. He'll do the grand at first, the juggling trick He used to play in all his tragedies.
- DIO. Come, my fine fellow, pray don't talk too big.
- EUR. I know the man, I've scanned him through and through,

μονα καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ τὸ κινοῦσα χαλινοὺς ϵἶπεν.

829. $\pi\lambda\epsilon\nu\mu\delta\nu\omega\nu$ $\pi\sigma\lambda\nu\nu$ $\pi\delta\nu\nu\sigma$] Mitchell translates "the lungs' large labour," observing that the reference is to "the huge words of Aeschylus, which it cost the lungs so much labour to produce." And to illustrate the alliteration he cites Aesch. Persae 747, $\pi\sigma\lambda\nu\sigma$ $\pi\lambda\sigma\nu\sigma$ $\pi\delta\nu\sigma$ s. Duker refers to Persius, Sat. i. 14, "Grande aliquid, quod pulmo animae praelargus anhelet." See also the fragment cited from the Myrmidons in the note on 932 infra.

830. $\partial i\kappa \, \dot{a}\nu \, \mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon(\mu\eta\nu)$ Apparently we have here a complete change of scene. We are introduced into the Hall of Pluto, with Pluto himself sitting on his throne, and Dionysus, Aeschylus and Euripides in the foreground. The parts of Dionysus, Aeschylus and Euripides are taken by the three state-supplied or (so to say) professional actors. Pluto, represented by a Choregic actor, is a mere mute for the next 584 lines (indeed until the poetic contest is over), and then speaks three lines only. Then he again relapses into silence whilst the political catechism is proceeding, after which he intervenes with three short remarks, each less than a line. And see the note on 1479 infra. As the scene opens, the pert and confident voice of Euripides is heard in eager expostulation with Dionysus.

832. τοῦ λόγου] Ον λέγει, ὡς οὐ παραχωρήσει σοι τοῦ θρόνου, φάσκων εἶναί σου κρείττων.—Scholiast.

836. διέσκεμμαι πάλαι] These words subsequently became, if they were not already, a stock phrase of sophistical rhetoricians. "They begin, says Philostratus, all their harangues with 'I know,' 'I am sure,' 'I have examined the question.' προοίμια γοῦν ποιεῖται τῶν λόγων τὸ οἶδα, καὶ τὸ γινώσκω, καὶ πάλαι διέσκεμμαι. De Vit. Sophist. Procem., p. 480."—Sewell, Dialogues of Plato, chap. xxii. Here we have the οἶδα and the πάλαι διέσκεμμαι in combination.

BATPAXOJ

άνθρωπον άγριοποιόν, αὐθαδόστομον,	,
έχοντ' ἀχάλινον ἀκρατès ἀπύλωτον στόμα.	
ἀπεριλάλητον, κομποφακελορρήμονα.	
ΑΙΣ. άληθες, ὦ παῖ τῆς ἀρουραίας θεοῦ;	840
σὺ δὴ ἰμὲ ταῦτ', ὦ στωμυλιοσυλλεκτάδη	
καὶ πτωχοποιὲ καὶ ῥακιοσυρραπτάδη ;	
άλλ' οὔ τι χαίρων αὔτ' ἐρεῖς. ΔΙ. παῦ΄, Αἰσχύλε,	
καὶ μὴ πρὸς ὀργὴν σπλάγχνα θερμήνῃς κότῷ.	
ΑΙΣ. οὐ δῆτα, πρίν γ' ἂν τοῦτον ἀποφήνω σαφῶς	845
τὸν χωλοποιὸν, οἶος ὣν θρασύνεται.	
ΔΙ. ἄρν' ἄρνα μέλαιναν παίδες ἐξενέγκατε·	
τυφώς γὰρ ἐκβαίνειν παρασκευάζεται.	

837. ἀγρισποιόν] 'Αγρισποιὸs means the introducer of wild and savage personages in his dramas : as the terms $\pi\tau\omega$ χοποιόs and χωλοποιόs, applied to Euripides just below, refer to his habit of introducing paupers and cripples on the stage. $d\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\lambda d\lambda\eta\tau\sigma\nu$, two lines below, is commonly translated "not to be outtalked," but I rather agree with Mr. Green that it signifies "unskilled in periphrasis," or in other words, not beating about the bush, but speaking out in blunt straightforward language. $\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda a\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$ is equivalent to $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\tau o\lambda o\gamma\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$, $\phi \lambda v a \rho \epsilon i \nu$ (Suidas) and $\pi \epsilon \rho i \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ to $\tau \dot{a}$ $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\sigma\dot{\alpha}$ $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$ (Hesychius). Hence in Clouds 318 Socrates describes the sophistical goddesses as bestowing on their votaries $\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda\epsilon\xi\iota\nu$, which the Scholiast there explains by $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \tau \sigma \lambda \sigma \gamma i a \nu$, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ φρασιν (so Suidas), εὐπορίαν καὶ περιττότητα λόγων. The expression αχάλινον $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ is found in Euripides, Bacchae 385, a passage frequently cited by old

writers. See Elmsley ad loc.

840. $\tau \eta s$ àpovpaías $\theta \epsilon o \tilde{v}$] Hah! sayest thou so, child of the garden quean? parodied from a line of Euripides, $\ddot{a}\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon_s$, $\ddot{\delta}$ $\pi a \tilde{\iota} \tau \eta s \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i a s \theta \epsilon o \tilde{v}$; Hah, sayest thou so, child of the Ocean Queen? which Musgrave with great probability conjectures to come from the Telephus, and to have been addressed by that personage to Achilles. Achilles was the son of Thetis, $\tau \eta s \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i a s \theta \epsilon o \tilde{v}$, but Euripides of Cleito, $\tau \eta s \lambda a \chi a \nu \sigma \pi \omega \lambda \eta \tau \rho i a s$, whose avocation was a never-failing subject for comic banter. No ridicule was ever cast upon the poet's father, who seems to have been a citizen of credit and renown.

841. στωμυλιοσυλλεκτάδη] We are here treated to a few βήματα γομφοπαγη. The word before us means "chatterbox-talkcollector"; πολυλογίας συνάγων, στωμύλα βήματα συλλέγων.—Scholiasts; cf. infra 943, 1069, 1071, Ach. 429. Πτωχοποιέ, beggar-creator; βακιοσυρραπτάδη, rag-andtatters-patcher. These and the χωλοποιός A savage-creating stubborn-pulling fellow, Uncurbed, unfettered, uncontrolled of speech, Unperiphrastic, bombastiloquent.

- AESCHYLUS. Hah! sayest thou so, child of the garden quean! And this to ME, thou chattery-babble-collector, Thou pauper-creating rags-and-patches-stitcher? Thou shalt abye it dearly! DIO. Pray, be still; Nor heat thy soul to fury, Aeschylus.
- AESCH. Not till I've made you see the sort of man This cripple-maker is who crows so loudly.
- DIO. Bring out a ewe, a black-fleeced ewe, my boys: Here's a typhoon about to burst upon us.

of 846 infra are all abundantly illustrated in the scene with Euripides in the Acharnians. ⁵ακιοσυρραπτάδη· ό τὰ ῥάκη συρράπτων καὶ ἐνδύων τοὺs βασιλεῖs.— Scholiast.

844. $\pi \rho \delta s \delta \rho \gamma \eta \nu$] Fritzsche is obviously mistaken in translating this line, noli iracundia tua iram Euripidis excitare: not only because $\pi p \delta s \delta p \gamma \eta \nu$ is regularly used in an adverbial sense, with passion, passionately (cf. infra 856, 998; Pausanias, iii. 9.5; Lucian's Jupiter Confutatus, 5, where the cynic says to Zeus, μή τραχέως μηδέ πρός δργήν άκούσης μου τάληθη μετά παρρησίας λέγοντος, and Id. Symposium 4), but also because it would be supremely ridiculous to exhort Aeschylus not to provoke Euripides to wrath. In the Comedies of Aristophanes, if not in reality, Euripides had no passions to be provoked; he is always the philosopher-poet of imperturbable serenity, whose equanimity and selfcomplacency nothing can disturb, and whose argumentative loquacity nothing can repress. The words which follow $\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{a}\gamma\chi\nu a\ \theta\epsilon\rho\mu\dot{\eta}\nu\eta s$ KOT $\Omega\iota$ are a parody of Eur. Cyclops 423 $\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{a}\gamma\chi\nu'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\rho\mu\mu\mu\nu\nu$ Π OT $\Omega\iota$ heated his soul with wine. The expression $\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{a}\gamma\chi\nu a\ \theta\epsilon\rho\mu\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\nu$ $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\varphi}$ may very well have caught the fancy of young Athenian tipplers, and passed into the current phraseology of the day : so that this Aristophanic adaptation of it would be universally recognized and appreciated.

847. ἄρνα μέλαιναν] ⁶Ως τοιαύτης γενομένης θυσίας τῷ Τυφῶνι ἐπὶ τῷ λῆξαι τὰς καταιγίδας, says one Scholiast; and another, τοιαῦτα γὰρ ἔθυον τῷ Τυφῶνι, ὅπότε στροβιλώδης ἐκινήθη ἄνεμος (a whirlwind); εἰκότως δὲ μέλαιναν καὶ οὐ λευκὴν, ἐπειδὴ καὶ ὅ τυφῶς μέλας. "The heaven was black with clouds and wind." Bergler refers to Aeneid, iii. 120

Nigram Hiemi pecudem, Zephyris felicibus albam.

AIΣ	. ὦ Κρητικὰς μὲν συλλέγων μονφδίας,	
	γάμους δ' ἀνοσίους εἰσφέρων εἰς τὴν τέχνην,	850
Δ1.	έπίσχες ούτος, ὦ πολυτίμητ' Αἰσχύλε.	
	άπὸ τῶν χαλαζῶν δ', ὦ πόνηρ' Εὐριπίδη,	
	άπαγε σεαυτόν έκποδών, εί σωφρονείς,	
	ίνα μὴ κεφαλαίω τὸν κρόταφόν σου ῥήματι	
	θενών ύπ' ὀργῆς ἐκχέῃ τὸν Τήλεφον	855
	σὺ δὲ μὴ πρὸς ὀργὴν, Αἰσχύλ', ἀλλὰ πραόνως	
	ἔλεγχ', ἐλέγχου· λοιδορεῖσθαι°δ' οὐ πρέπει	
	άνδρας ποιητὰς ὥσπερ ἀρτοπώλιδας.	
	σὺ δ' εὐθὺς ὥσπερ πρῖνος ἐμπρησθεὶς βοậς.	
EΥ.	ἕτοιμός εἰμ' ἔγωγε, κοὐκ ἀναδύομαι,	86 0
	δάκνειν, δάκνεσθαι πρότερος, εἰ τούτφ δοκεῖ,	
	τάπη, τὰ μέλη, τὰ νεῦρα τῆς τραγωδίας,	

849. μονωδίας] A μονωδία, as the name implies, and the specimen given below (1331-1363) sufficiently illustrates, was what Tennyson called "A Lyrical Monologue "; and the ill repute of the Cretan ladies in Hellenic legend had linked to the epithet Kpyrika's the idea of "lovesick," "incestuous." Euripides had written a play called $K\rho\hat{\eta}\sigma\sigma\alpha\iota$, or The Cretan Women, and another called $K\rho\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon_{s}$ or The Cretan Men. Each seems to have contained a lovesick monody: the former depicting the incestuous passion of Aerope, the latter, the unnatural passion of Pasiphae. Pasiphae was the mother of Phaedra, who carried on the Cretan taint, though her incestuous longings are displayed (in the Hippolytus) not in a monody but in a Lyrical Dialogue. See the note on 1356 infra. It was from an entire misapprehension of the nature of a Cretan

monody that Fritzsche endeavoured to connect it with the $K\rho\eta\tau\iota\kappa\dot{a}$ $i\pi\sigma\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\mu\sigma\tau a$. The two things have nothing whatever in common.

850. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu ovs \dot{\alpha} vo\sigma \dot{\alpha} vos$] Here he is referring, as the Scholiast suggests, to such marriages as those of Macareus and Canace in the Aeolus. See the note on 863 infra.

851. $\delta \pi \sigma \lambda \upsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau' A i \sigma_{\chi} \upsilon \lambda \epsilon]$ The epithets applied to Aeschylus and Euripides in this and the following line, do not bode well for the impartiality of the judge. $\pi \sigma \lambda \upsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau \sigma s$ is found sixteen times in these comedies, and this is the only passage in which it is applied to a mere man. Elsewhere it is uniformly employed of a divine or superhuman being.

854. κεφαλαίφ] Supreme, masterful, grandiose. άδρφ. — Scholiast, Suidas. Suidas also says κεφάλαιον dei το μέγιστον

130

AESCH. Thou picker-up of Cretan monodies, Foisting thy tales of incest on the stage—
DIO. Forbear, forbear, most honoured Aeschylus; And you, my poor Euripides, begone If you are wise, out of this pitiless hail, Lest with some heady word he crack your scull And batter out your brain—less Telephus. And not with passion, Aeschylus, but calmly Test and be tested. 'Tis not meet for poets To scold each other, like two baking-girls. But you go roaring like an oak on fire.
EUR. I'm ready, I ! I don't draw back one bit.

. I'll lash or, if he will, let him lash first The talk, the lays, the sinews of a play :

 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau a t$, apparently (see Kuster's note there) quoting from Theophylact's Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews viii. Here there is a play on the etymology, as if it meant *head*-crushing.

855. τον Τήλεφον] For τον έγκέφαλον, to which the sentence has been leading up, he unexpectedly substitutes $\tau \partial \nu$ Tήλεφον, words of a somewhat analogous sound. This is merely for the purpose of raising another laugh at that unlucky play, the very mention of which was always a source of unbounded amusement to Aristophanes. The story of the play was as follows. Telephus has been wounded by the spear of Achilles; and is informed by an oracle that nothing will relieve the intolerable anguish of the wound save that which caused it. Thereupon he disguises himself as a beggar and visits the Argive leaders. His attire is described with

great minuteness in the Acharnians, where it is donned by Dicaeopolis, who wears it through an important scene, and, with the hero's dress puts on the hero's unlimited power of speech. Achilles is playing at dice (infra 1400), but Telephus gains a hearing by snatching out of his cradle, and threatening to slay, the infant Orestes ; somewhat after the fashion of Dicaeopolis in the Acharnians, and Mnesilochus in the Thesmophoriazusae. Ultimately he is cured by the spear of Achilles, and undertakes, in return, to guide the Argive host on its journey to Troyland.

858. ^ωσπερ ἀρτοπώλιδαs] The vituperative powers of Athenian baking-girls are illustrated in the Wasps. See the note on Wasps 1388.

861. δάκνειν, δάκνεσθαι] 'Ως έπι άλεκτρυόνων.—Scholiast.

862. $\tau \ddot{a}\pi \eta \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$.] By $\tau \ddot{a}\pi \eta$ we are to

καὶ νὴ Δία τὸν Πηλέα γε καὶ τὸν Αἴολον καὶ τὸν Μελέαγρον, κἄτι μάλα τὸν Τήλεφον. ΔΙ. σὺ δὲ δὴ τί βουλεύει ποιεῖν; λέγ', Αἰσχύλε. 865 ΑΙΣ. ἐβουλόμην μὲν οὐκ ἐρίζειν ἐνθάδε· οὐκ ἐξ ἴσου γάρ ἐστιν ἁγὼν νῷν. ΔΙ. τί δαί; ΑΙΣ. ὅτι ἡ ποίησις οὐχὶ συντέθνηκέ μοι, τούτῷ δὲ συντέθνηκεν, ὥσθ' ἔξει λέγειν.

understand the ordinary dialogue, by $\tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ the choral songs. The word $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ has, of course, another signification, viz. limbs; and Aristophanes seems to be playing on this double meaning of the word when he adds, $\tau \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta i a s$, the sinews, that is, the general anatomy, of the Tragedy. Had the speech ended with this line, we should have supposed that these accusatives belonged as well to $\delta \dot{a} \kappa \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ as to $\delta \dot{a} \kappa \nu \epsilon$ - $\sigma\theta_{\alpha\iota}$; to carp at $\tau \ddot{\alpha}\pi\eta \kappa \tau \lambda$. of the plays of Aeschylus, and to be carped at in respect of $\tau \ddot{a}\pi \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$. of my own plays; but the two following lines show that Euripides has dropped the idea of $\delta \dot{u} \kappa \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ altogether, and is referring exclusively to his own compositions.

863. $\tau \delta \nu \Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon a \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] All these four plays are mentioned by Aristophanes elsewhere also. Of the Telephus, enough has already been said. Peleus seems to have been another of the poet's ragged heroes, the play dealing with that period of his life when he and Telamon were exiled from Aegina by their father, Aeacus, for killing their half-brother, Phocus. It is, no doubt, as Musgrave observes, to these two tragedies of Euripides that Horace is referring in the familiar lines—

Telephus et Peleus, cum pauper et exul, uterque Projicit ampullas et sesquipedalia verba, Si curat cor spectantis tetigisse querela.—Ars Poetica 96-8.

A line from the Peleus is reproduced in Clouds 1154, $\beta o \dot{a} \sigma o \mu a \tau \ddot{a} \rho a \tau \dot{a} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \tau o \nu o \nu$ $\beta o \dot{a} \nu$, which, the Scholiast there tells us, was followed by the exclamation, $i \dot{a}$, $\pi \dot{\nu} \lambda a i \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \dot{\eta} \tau i s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{o} \mu o i s$; see Bp. Blomfield at Choeph. 642. They are probably the words of the exile clamouring for admittance into a house where he hopes for a friendly reception. The Aeolus was the notorious tragedy which dealt with the incestuous marriage of Macareus and Canace, the children of Aeolus. —Clouds 1371, supra 850, infra 1081; and see the note on Peace 114. The line parodied infra 1475, $\tau i \ \delta' \ a l \sigma \chi \rho \delta \nu$, $\ddot{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \sigma i \sigma \iota \chi \rho \omega \mu \acute{\nu} \sigma \iota s \ \delta \sigma \kappa \eta$, is supposed to be the retort of one of the guilty parties, when reproached for their shameful crime. Two more lines, quoted in Thesmoph. 177, 178, are supposed to commence the address of Aeolus to his children. Of the Meleager a few lines Aye and my Peleus, aye and Aeolus, And Meleager, aye and Telephus.

Dio. And what do you propose? Speak, Aeschylus.

AESCH. I could have wished to meet him otherwhere.

We fight not here on equal terms. Dro. Why not?

AESCH. My poetry survived me: his died with him: He's got it here, all handy to recite.

ite s got it here, all handy to recite.

are quoted later in this play: 1240, 1241, 1316, and 1402. In Wagner's collection there are thirty-three fragments of the Telephus, twenty-nine of the Aeolus, twenty-six of the Meleager, and six of the Peleus.

868. oùxì $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \mu o \iota$] We are told by Athenaeus (viii. 39) that once, when Aeschylus was unjustly defeated, he merely remarked, with philosophic composure, that he dedicated his tragedies to Time, χρόνω τὰς τραγωδίας ἀνατιθέναι, well knowing, adds Athenaeus, or the author from whom he borrows the anecdote, that he would in the future obtain his due meed of honour. What he then anticipated has now come to pass. Though he himself has passed into the unseen world, his plays are still living in the world above. The Scholiast refers to the well-known fact that at the date of the Frogs, he was the only deceased author whose tragedies could be acted on the Athenian stage, a privilege awarded them by a special decree of the Athenian people. See also the Greek Life of Aeschylus and the Scholiast on Ach. 10, Haigh's Attic Theatre, ii. 7. But of course the

language of the text must not be limited to this particular privilege. In a characteristic fragment which has come down to us from the funeral oration of Gorgias, the orator, after setting forth in evenly-balanced periods the merits of the dead, says, $\tau_{0i\gamma a \rho \hat{v}\nu}$ $a\dot{v} \pi \vartheta a \sigma \partial a \nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \pi \delta \partial s \circ \vartheta \sigma \nu \nu a \pi \delta \partial a \nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $d\lambda \lambda d\dot{a} \dot{a} \nu a \tau \delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \kappa d \sigma \omega \mu \dot{a} \tau \delta \nu s \delta \mu a \sigma \iota \langle \hat{\eta} \rangle$ $o\dot{\ell} \langle \dot{\omega} \tau \pi \nu \nu$.

869. τούτω δέ συντέθνηκεν] He means that the tragedies of Euripides are as dead as their author, and therefore both poet and poems are together in the realm of Hades. But though Aristophanes rightly foretells the immortality of Aeschylus, his satire on Euripides was speedily refuted by the event. There were no real successors to the great Triumvirate of Tragedy: and the plays of all three were, in the following century, commonly acted on the Athenian stage. And ultimately Euripides became the one popular tragedian : in part, no doubt, because, as he says himself, he dealt with olkeia $\pi \rho \dot{a} \gamma \mu a \tau a$, οίς χρώμεθ', οίς ξύνεσμεν. In the Philoctetes of Sophocles, 1443, Heracles says, according to the MSS.--

ή γαρ εὐσέβεια συνθνήσκει βροτοῖς, καν ζώσι, καν θάνωσιν, οὐκ ἀπόλλυται,

Δ1.	ὄμως δ' ἐπειδή σοι δοκεῖ, δρᾶν ταῦτα χρή. ἴθι νυν λιβανωτὸν δεῦρό τις καὶ πῦρ δότω, ὅπως ἂν εὔξωμαι πρὸ τῶν σοφισμάτων, ἀγῶνα κρῖναι τόνδε μουσικώτατα ὑμεῖς δὲ ταῖς Μούσαις τι μέλος ὑπάσατε.	870
XO.	ὦ Διὸς ἐννέα παρθένοι ἁγναὶ Μοῦσαι, λεπτολόγους ξυνετὰς φρένας αὶ καθορᾶτε ἀνδρῶν γνωμοτύπων, ὅταν εἰς ἔριν ὀξυμερίμνοις ἔλθωσι στρεβλοῖσι παλαίσμασιν ἀντιλογοῦντες, ἔλθετ' ἐποψόμεναι δύναμιν	875
	οκοει οποφομεναι συναμιν δεινοτάτοιν στομάτοιν πορίσασθαι ρήματα καὶ παραπρίσματ' ἐπῶν. νῦν γὰρ ἀγὼν σοφίας ὁ μέγας χωρεῖ πρὸς ἔργον ἤδη.	880
	εὔχεσθε δὴ καὶ σφώ τι, πρὶν τἄπη λέγειν. · Δήμητερ ἡ θρέψασα τὴν ἐμὴν φρένα, εἶναί με τῶν σῶν ἄξιον μυστηρίων.	885

a statement which seems reasonable enough, considering that the speaker himself had passed into the unseen world, and found that his piety and good works had, to use the scriptural expression, followed him there. But Dawes, in his note on this passage of Aristophanes, altered the words $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ εὐσέβεια into οὐ γὰρ ηὕσέβεια, a change

134

which, I should have thought, would require the following line to commence with a negative instead of with $\kappa \alpha$, but which has been adopted by the best editors of Sophocles.

872. ὅπως ἀν εῦξωμαι] We have seen very similar preparations for prayer in Wasps 860-2—

άλλ' ώς τάχιστα πῦρ τις ἐξενεγκάτω καὶ μυρρίνας καὶ τὸν λιβανωτὸν ἔνδοθεν, ὅπως ἂν εὐζώμεσθα πρῶτα τοῖς θεοῖς.

874. ταΐs Μούσαιs] Wishing to decide the contest μουσικώτατα, he bids the Chorus invoke the Μοῦσαι themselves to be present. Whilst the Chorus are singing, incense and a pan of live coals are brought upon the stage.

879. δύναμιν—πορίσασθαι] Come to witness the power of two mouths (that is, of two poets) which are keenest to provide [the one] mighty phrases, and

Howbeit, if so you wish it, so we'll have it.

Dio. O bring me fire, and bring me frankincense.I'll pray, or e'er the clash of wits begin,To judge the strife with high poetic skill.Meanwhile (to the Chorus) invoke the Muses with a song.

CHOR. O Muses, the daughters divine of Zeus, the immaculate Nine,

Who gaze from your mansions serene on intellects subtle and keen,
When down to the tournament lists, in bright-polished wit they descend,
With wrestling and turnings and twists in the battle of words to contend,
O come and behold what the two antagonist poets can do,
Whose mouths are the swiftest to teach grand language and filings of speech :
For now of their wits is the sternest encounter commencing in earnest.

Dio. Ye two, put up your prayers before ye start. AESCH. Demeter, mistress, nourisher of my soul, O make me worthy of thy mystic rites !

[the other] subtle shavings of language. Tà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a \ \pi \rho \delta s \ \tau \delta \nu \ A \partial \sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \delta \nu \nu$, says the Scholiast; $\tau \dot{a} \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \pi a \rho a \pi \rho i \sigma \mu a \tau a \ \pi \rho \delta s \ \tau \delta \nu \ E \dot{\ell} \rho \mu i \pi (\delta \eta \nu, \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \lambda \delta \gamma \rho \nu \ \delta \nu \tau a$. The word $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ is appropriated in this play to the language of Aeschylus (supra 824, 828, 854, infra 924, 940, 1004); just as in the Acharnians and Peace the diminutive $\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \tau \iota a$ is applied to the language of Euripides. $\pi a \rho a \pi \rho i \sigma \mu a \tau u$ are the small unsubstantial chips thrown off in the process of sawing.

886. Δήμητερ] Παρόσον Ἐλευσίνιος τὸν δημον ην δ Aἰσχύλος.—Scholiast. The name of Demeter would naturally rise to the lips of Aeschylus, who not only was a native of Eleusis, but also had been initiated into the Eleusinian mysteries. The lofty doctrines inculcated in those mysteries made themselves felt in all his dramas, and in some so conspicuously that he was, we know, accused of having divulged the holy secrets which it was not lawful for man to utter.

887. $\epsilon i \nu a i \mu' \kappa .\tau .\lambda$.] Each prayer (as well as each invocation) is, of course, intended to be characteristic of the speaker, and neither in itself contains anything common or mean. It is not mere victory that the poets seek. Aeschylus, indeed, does not ask for victory at all: he merely prays that in the impending struggle he inay bear himself in a manner worthy of Demeter's high and holy mysteries. Euripides prays that he may win, but only by the employment of true artistic skill.

 ΔΙ. ἐπίθες λαβών δὴ καὶ σὺ λιβανωτόν. ΕΥ. καλώς· ἕτεροι γάρ εἰσιν οἶσιν εὕχομαι θεοῖς. ΔΙ. ἴδιοί τινές σου, κόμμα καινόν; ΕΥ. καὶ μάλα. ΔΙ. ἴθι νυν προσεύχου τοῖσιν ἰδιώταις θεοῖς. ΕΥ. αἰθὴρ, ἐμὸν βόσκημα, καὶ γλώττης στρόφιγξ, καὶ ξύνεσι καὶ μυκτῆρες ὀσφραντήριοι, ὀρθῶς μ' ἐλέγχειν ῶν ἂν ἅπτωμαι λόγων. 	890
ΧΟ. καὶ μὴν ἡμεῖς ἐπιθυμοῦμεν στρ	895
παρὰ σοφοίν ἀνδροίν ἀκοῦσαί τινα λόγων	
ἐμμέλει αν δαΐαν.	
γλώττα μέν γὰρ ἠγρίωται,	
λη̂μα δ' οὐκ ἄτολμον ἀμφοῖν,	
οὐδ' ἀκίνητοι φρένες.	
προσδοκάν οὖν εἰκός ἐστι	900
τον μέν αστειόν τι λέξειν	
καὶ κατερρινημένον,	

888. καλῶs] Ἀποστρεφόμενος καὶ παραιτούμενος ὁ Εἰριπίδης λέγει τὸ καλῶs.— Scholiast. We have had instances of this polite form of refusal, supra 508, 512. 892. $ai\partial \dot{\eta}\rho$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] Euripides invokes four of his new-fangled deities: (1) $ai\partial \dot{\eta}\rho$. Few lines of Euripides have been more frequently quoted than the following from an unnamed tragedy—

Όρậς τὸν ὑψοῦ τόνδ' ἄπειρον αἰθέρα καὶ γῆν πέριξ ἔχονθ' ὑγραῖς ἐν ἀγκάλαις; τοῦτον νόμιζε Ζῆνα, τόνδ' ἡγοῦ θεόν.—Clem. Alex., v. 14. 114.

And compare supra 100, 311, and Thesm. 272. The words $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\nu}\nu$ $\beta\dot{\delta}\sigma\kappa\eta\mu a$ are intended to parallel the $\dot{\eta}$ $\theta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi a\sigma a$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\phi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu a$ of Aeschylus. (2) $\gamma\lambda\dot{\omega}\tau\tau\eta s$ $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi\iota\gamma\xi$, the pivot on which the tongue revolves : the tongue's volubility. (3) $\xi\dot{\nu}\epsilon\sigma\iota s$, intelligence personified. It is invoked in precisely the same manner by Aeschines at the close of his oration against Ctesiphon. (4) $\mu\nu\kappa\tau\eta\rho\epsilon s$ $\dot{\sigma}\sigma\phi\rho a\nu-\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota s$, keen-scenting nostrils, an expression equivalent, as Dobree and Mitchell observe, to the $\dot{\rho}\hat{\iota}\nu a$ κριτικήν of Poseidippus in Athenaeus, xiv. 81.

894. $\partial \rho \partial \tilde{\omega}_s$] The adverb is emphatic. It is only by the application of the true rules of poetic criticism that he hopes to gain the victory.

895. $\kappa \alpha i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$] In a short ode, the antistrophe to which will be found infra 992, the Chorus express their pleasure at the prospect of the impending duel,

٨

136

THE FROGS

- DIO. (To Eur.) Now put on incense, you. EUR. Excuse me, no; My vows are paid to other gods than these.
- Dio. What, a new coinage of your own? Eur. Precisely.
- DIO. Pray then to them, those private gods of yours.
- EUR. Ether, my pasture, volubly-rolling tongue, Intelligent wit and critic nostrils keen, O well and neatly may I trounce his plays!

CHOR. We also are yearning from these to be learning Some stately measure, some majestic grand Movement telling of conflicts nigh. Now for battle arrayed they stand, Tongues embittered, and anger high. Each has got a venturesome will, Each an eager and nimble mind; One will wield, with artistic skill, Clearcut phrases, and wit refined;

and their estimate of the respective styles of the duellists. The language of Euripides will be highly finished, as though smoothed with a file; the language of Aeschylus will consist of rugged uprooted words, like the rugged uprooted trees with which the giants of old assailed the Olympian Gods. Fritzsche refers to Horace, Odes, iii. 4.55-

Evulsisque truncis Enceladus jaculator audax.

897. $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon_{iav} \delta a t av$] The $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon_{ia} was$ (to use the expression of Beatrice in Much Ado about Nothing) a "measure full of state and ancientry," representing, in fact, the majestic dance of tragedy. See the note on Wasps 1503. Here, being the call to combat, it is styled $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon_{ia} \delta a t a, a warlike measure,$ a battle melody; just as in Herc. Fur.894 the savage roar of the maddenedHeracles pursuing his children is de $scribed as a <math>\delta a t o \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda o s$. Apparently some glossographer, to illustrate this signification of $\delta \tilde{a} \tilde{a} \sigma s$, wrote in the margin three words of an unknown author, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\epsilon$ $\delta a\tilde{a}a\nu$ $\delta\delta\delta\nu$, and these three words, strange to say, have usurped the place of the single word $\delta a\tilde{a}a\nu$, which they were intended to explain. They absolutely destroy both sense and metre: the line running $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iotaa\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\epsilon$ $\delta a\tilde{a}a\nu$ $\delta\delta\delta\nu$, whereas the corresponding line in the antistrophe is $\mu\eta \sigma' \delta \theta\nu\mu\deltas \, d\rho\pi d\sigma as$, infra 994. It is wonderful that this unmetrical nonsense should have been allowed to cumber the text so long.

τὸν δ' ἀνασπῶντ' αὐτοπρέμνοις τοῖς λόγοισιν ἐμπεσόντα συσκεδᾶν πολλὰς ἀλινδήθρας ἐπῶν.

ΔΙ. ἀλλ' ὡς τάχιστα χρὴ λέγειν· οὕτω δ' ὅπως ἐρεῖτον
905 ἀστεῖα καὶ μήτ' εἰκόνας μήθ' οἶ' ἂν ἄλλος εἴποι.
ΕΥ. καὶ μὴν ἐμαυτὸν μέν γε τὴν ποίησιν οἶός ἕμι, ૯΄, ≻΄ ἐν τοῖσιν ὑστάτοις φράσω, τοῦτον δὲ πρῶτ' ἐλέγξω,
ὡς ἦν ἀλαζῶν καὶ φέναξ, οἴοις τε τοὺς θεατὰς
ἐξηπάτα, μώρους λαβῶν παρὰ Φρυνίχῷ τραφέντας.
910 πρώτιστα μὲν' γὰρ ἕνα τιν' ἂν ἐκάθιζεν ἐγκαλύψας,
᾿Αχιλλέα τιν' ἢ Νιόβην, τὸ πρόσωπον οὐχὶ δεικνὺς,

904. αλινδήθρας επών] Word exercises, literally, exercise-grounds for words. An $d\lambda ιν \delta \eta \theta \rho a$ was a piece of ground strewn with dust or sand, an arena in fact, whereon wrestlers could roll over and over without injury to themselves. It was also used as a rolling-place for horses. Bergler refers to Eustathius on Iliad, iii. 55, αλίζειν ελέγετο το εν κόνει κυλίεσθαι, ώς καὶ ὁ Κωμικὸς δηλοῦ ἡ ἄλλως, κόνει φύρεσθαι, δθεν και άλινδήθρα παρ αὐτοῖς, κυρίως μέν ή κατὰ πάλην κονίστρα, τροπικώς δὲ καὶ ἡ ἐν λόγοις, ὡς τὸ ἀλινδήθρας $\epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$. So the Etymol. Magn., $d\lambda \iota \nu \delta \eta \theta \rho as$ τας έν τοις κηρώμασι (wrestling-rings) κυλίστρας. Hesychius, ἀλινδήθρας κυλίστρας. Suidas, αλινδήθρα τόπος έν ω ίπποι κονίονται. The poet is contrasting "the native mightiness" of Aeschylus with his opponent's artificial dexterity, the result of the various courses of scholastic training with which his intellect had been cultivated. Palaestras verborum, i.e. verba artificiose instructa, as Thiersch explains it.

906. cikóvas] Metaphors. This appears to be specially addressed to Aeschylus, and the words of an allos etmon to Euripides. "We want none of your metaphors, Aeschylus; nor any of your commonplaces, Euripides. Ye must both now speak things $d\sigma \tau \epsilon i a$, things of culture and wit, things worthy to be enshrined in a comedy of Aristophanes." Mr. Haigh, after the remarks cited in the note to 1004 infra respecting the phraseology of Aeschylus, adds "This pomp of language is enlivened throughout by a wealth and brilliance of imagination which has only been equalled, among dramatists, by Shakespeare. Metaphors, similes, figures, and images come streaming from his mind in endless profusion, and without the least appearance of effort. His thoughts naturally tend to clothe themselves in concrete form, by Then the other, with words defiant, Stern and strong, like an angry giant Laying on with uprooted trees, Soon will scatter a world of these Superscholastic subtleties.

- Dio. Now then, commence your arguments, and mind you both display True wit, not metaphors, nor things which any fool could say.
- EUR. As for myself, good people all, I'll tell you by-and-by My own poetic worth and claims; but first of all I'll try To show how this portentous quack beguiled the silly fools Whose tastes were nurtured, ere he came, in Phrynichus's schools. He'd bring some single mourner on, seated and veiled, 'twould be Achilles, say, or Niobe—the face you could not see—

means of some flashing image or vivid picture which stamps them upon the mind." Tragic Drama of the Greeks, ii. § 5. The Euripidean language on the other hand is always studiously plain and simple.

907. $\kappa a i \mu' p r$] The serious criticism upon the tragedies of Aeschylus and Euripides, which commences here, occupies about 190 lines. What remains is merely metrical criticism or purely comic fun.

910. $\Phi \rho \nu \nu i \chi \varphi$] The tragedies of Phrynichus were of an essentially lyrical character. Nothing could surpass, in the estimation of his contemporaries, the sweetness of his melodies, and the infinite variety of his dance-music. But there could be little dramatic vigour, when the entire business of the play was supported by the Chorus and a single actor: a state of things which continued until Aeschylus introduced a plurality of actors.

912. $A_{\chi i}\lambda\lambda \epsilon \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] He is specially referring to two lost tragedies of Aeschylus, the Phrygians or the Ransom of Hector and the Niobe. In the former, Achilles was introduced, wrapped in sullen gloom for the loss of Patroclus, and refusing all food and consolation. See the Greek Life of Aeschylus. In the latter, Niobe was shown, dumb with sorrow for her six sons and six daughters, whom Apollo and Artemis had slain. In her maternal pride, she had exalted herself against Leto-

For she said, "She hath borne but twain, and children many have I": And for this by the hand of the twain must all that multitude die.

Iliad, xxiv. 609 (Way).

And see the note on 1392 infra.

πρόσχημα της τραγφδίας, γρύζοντας οὐδὲ τουτί· ΔI , μὰ τὸν $\Delta i'$ οὐ δῆθ'. ΕΥ, ὁ δὲ χορός γ' ἤρειδεν ὁρμαθοὺς αν μελών έφεξης τέτταρας ξυνεχώς άν. οι δ' έσίγων. 915 ΔΙ, έγὼ δ' έχαιρον τη σιωπη, καί με τοῦτ' έτερπεν ούχ ήττον ή νῦν οἱ λαλοῦντες. ΕΥ. ήλίθιος γὰρ ήσθα, ΔΙ. κάμαυτώ δοκώ. τί δε ταῦτ' ἔδρασ' ὁ δείνα; σάφ' ἴσθι. ΕΥ. ύπ' άλαζονείας, ίν' ό θεατής προσδοκών καθοίτο, όπόθ' ή Νιόβη τι φθέγξεται· τὸ δραμα δ' αν διήει. 920 ΔΙ. δ παμπόνηρος, οί αρ' έφενακιζόμην ύπ' αὐτοῦ. τί σκορδινά και δυσφορείς ; ΕΥ. ὅτι αὐτὸν ἐξελέγχω. κάπειτ' έπειδη ταῦτα ληρήσειε καὶ τὸ δράμα ήδη μεσοίη, ρήματ' αν βόεια δώδεκ' εἶπεν, όφρῦς ἔχοντα καὶ λόφους, δείν' ἄττα μορμορωπὰ, 925 άγνωτα τοις θεωμένοις. ΑΙΣ. οίμοι τάλας. $\Delta I.$ σιώπα. ΕΥ. σαφές δ' αν είπεν οὐδὲ ἕν ΔΙ. μη πρίε τοὺς ὀδόντας. ΕΥ. άλλ' η Σκαμάνδρους, η τάφρους, η 'π' ἀσπίδων ἐπύντας γρυπαέτους χαλκηλάτους, και ρήμαθ' ιππόκρημνα, à ξυμβαλείν où βάδι ήν. ΔΙ. νη τουs θεουs, έγω γούν 930 ήδη ποτ' έν μακρώ χρόνω νυκτός διηγρύπνησα

913. $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\chi\eta\mu a \tau\eta s \tau\rho a\gamma\phi\delta(as]$ A mere decoration of the tragedy, like the scenery or other ornaments of the stage. As he speaks the words $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon} \tau ov\tau\dot{\iota}$, he makes some slight sound, possibly as the Scholiast thinks, by snapping his fingers, $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\kappa\dot{\delta}s$ $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{v}\nu$ $\dot{d}\pi\sigma\kappa\rho\sigma\tau\sigma\dot{v}\nu\tau a$ $\tau\phi$ $\delta a\kappa$ $\tau\dot{v}\lambda\phi$ $\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu\dot{v}\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\tau\dot{o}$ $o\dot{v}\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\tau ov\tau\dot{\iota}$.

914. $\delta \ \delta \epsilon \ \chi op \delta s \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] This was literally true of the earlier plays of Aeschylus, before he had emancipated himself from the restrictions of the Phrynichean drama. We have no specimen of an Aeschylean tragedy in which one actor only appeared : but in the Supplices, his earliest extant play, the

choral odes occupy 579 lines, and the dialogue, in which again the Chorus takes a leading part, only 479. It must, however, be remembered (1) that the development of the tragic play consisted chiefly of a progressive diminution of the choral portions, and an equivalent enlargement of the actors' duties; and (2) that Aeschylus was himself the most splendid agent in promoting that development.

918. $\delta \delta \delta i \nu a$] What's-his-name here. He makes as though he had for the moment forgotten the name of Aeschylus.

919. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\sigma\kappa\omega\nu$] This was also the device of the great Mr. Bayes (John

THE FROGS

An empty show of tragic woe, who uttered not one thing.

- DIO. 'Tis true. EUR. Then in the Chorus came, and rattled off a string Of four continuous lyric odes : the mourner never stirred.
- DIO. I liked it too. I sometimes think that I those mutes preferredTo all your chatterers now-a-days. EUR. Because, if you must know,You were an ass. DIO. An ass, no doubt : what made him do it though ?
- EUR. That was his quackery, don't you see, to set the audience guessing When Niobe would speak; meanwhile, the drama was progressing.
- D10. The rascal, how he took me in ! 'Twas shameful, was it not?
 (To Aesch.) What makes you stamp and fidget so? EUR. He's catching it so hot. So when he had humbugged thus awhile, and now his wretched play Was halfway through, a dozen words, great wild-bull words, he'd say, Fierce Bugaboos, with bristling crests, and shaggy eyebrows too, Which not a soul could understand. AESCH. O heavens! DIO. Be quiet, do
- EUR. But not one single word was clear, DIO. St! don't your teeth be gnashing
- EUR. 'Twas all Scamanders, moated camps, and griffin-eagles flashing
 In burnished copper on the shields, chivalric-precipice-high
 Expressions, hard to comprehend. Dio. Aye, by the Powers, and I
 Full many a sleepless night have spent in anxious thought, because

Dryden) in the Duke of Buckingham's "Rehearsal." "For look you, sir," says one of his players, "the grand design upon the stage is to keep the auditors in suspense; for to guess presently at the plot and the sense tires them before the end of the First Act." And later in the play, Mr. Bayes himself gives utterance to a similar sentiment, only substituting the word "expectation" for the word "suspense."

922. $\tau i \sigma \kappa o \rho \delta \iota \nu \hat{q}$] These words, like the $\sigma \iota \delta \pi a$ of 926, and the $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho i \epsilon \tau o \dot{\nu} s$ $\delta \delta \delta \delta \nu \tau a s$ of 927, are of course addressed to Aeschylus, who is exhibiting symptoms of impatience and discomposure at his rival's accusations. $\sigma \kappa o \rho \delta \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$ strictly means to yawn and stretch oneself. $\delta \tilde{\nu} \tau \sigma s \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau \delta \pi a \rho \delta \phi \delta \sigma \iota \nu \tau a \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ says the Scholiast. $\gamma \iota \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma \iota s \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma \iota s \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \iota \tau a \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$. Hence it was used to express the attitude of a man ill at ease. See Ach. 30; Wasps 642.

929. $\gamma\rho\nu\pi\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\tau$ ovs] 'E $\pi i\sigma\eta\mu\alpha\,\dot{a}\sigma\pi i\delta\sigma s\,d\lambda\lambda\delta$ κοτον, says the Scholiast, who obviously therefore connects the first syllable with $\gamma\rho\dot{\nu}\psi$, a griffin, rather than with $\gamma\rho\nu\pi\dot{\sigma}s$, hookbeaked, aquiline. The word was probably coined by Aeschylus.

931. έν μακρώ χρόνω νυκτός] This line

τον ξουθον ιππαλεκτρυόνα ζητών, τίς έστιν ὄρνις. ΑΙΣ. σημείον ἐν ταῖς ναυσὶν, ὦμαθέστατ', ἐνεγέγραπτο. ΔΙ. ἐγὼ δὲ τον Φιλοξένου γ' ῷμην Ἐρυξιν εἶναι. ΕΥ. εἶτ' ἐν τραγῷδίαις ἐχρῆν κἀλεκτρυόνα ποιῆσαι; ΑΙΣ. σὺ δ', ὡ θεοῖσιν ἐχθρὲ, ποῖά γ' ἐστὶν ἅττ' ἐποίεις; ΕΥ. οὐχ ἱππαλεκτρυόνας μὰ Δί' οὐδὲ τραγελάφους, ἅπερ σὺ, ὰν τοῖσι παραπετάσμασιν τοῖς Μηδικοῖς γράφουσιν· ἀλλ' ὡς παρέλαβον τὴν τέχνην παρὰ σοῦ τὸ πρῶτον εὐθὺς οἰδοῦσαν ὑπὸ κομπασμάτων καὶ ῥημάτων ἐπαχθῶν, ἔσχνανα μὲν πρώτιστον αὐτὴν καὶ τὸ βάρος ἀφείλον ἐπυλλίοις καὶ περιπάτοις καὶ τευτλίοισι λευκοῖς,

is borrowed, as the Scholiast observes, from Hippolytus 377, where Phaedra says-

ήδη ποτ' άλλως νυκτός ἐν μακρῷ χρόνῷ θνητῶν ἐφρόντισ' ἦ διέφθαρται βίος. Oft in the weary watches of the night, Oft have I pondered how the lives of men Are brought to ruin.

Dionysus ponders over his important problem in the night-time, because to

use the words of Epicharmus (quoted by Bp. Monk on Hippolytus)—

Πάντα στὰ σπουδαία νυκτός μάλλον έξευρίσκεται.

932. $\tau \delta \nu$ $\xi \circ \nu \theta \delta \nu$ $i \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \nu \delta \nu a$] The "tawny cock-horse" has already been trotted out in Peace 1177 and Birds 800. This hapless animal, $\delta \nu$ dei $\kappa \omega \mu \varphi$ - $\delta \circ \hat{\nu} \sigma \nu$ (Schol. Peace), was introduced in the Myrmidons of Aeschylus, as the painted figurehead of one of the ships which the Trojans, in the sixteenth Iliad, are represented as setting on fire. The lines are quoted by the Scholiast on the Peace, and, as corrected by Welcker, run—

ἀπὸ δ' αὖτε ξουθὸς ἐππαλεκτρυὼν στάζει κηροχριθέντων φαρμάκων πολὸς πόνος.

"The sense," says Mr. Cecil Torr (Ancient Ships, 36 note), "is obviously that the picture melted off in drops while the vessel was burning." And he adds, "This seems to be the earliest record of encaustic on a ship." The prefix $i\pi\pi\sigma s$ is of course often used to express

size only, but the Scholiast is clearly mistaken in thinking it is so used here. The $i\pi\pi\alpha\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\rho\nu\omega\nu$ is a composite animal, of the same order as the $\tau\rho\alpha\gamma\epsilon\lambda\alpha\phi\sigma$ and the $\gamma\rho\nu\pi\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\tau\sigma\sigma$.

934. " $E\rho v \xi w$] Doubtless there was something in the appearance or cha-

I'd find the tawny cock-horse out, what sort of bird it was !
AESCH. It was a sign, you stupid dolt, engraved the ships upon.
DIO. Eryxis I supposed it was, Philoxenus's son.
EUR. Now really should a cock be brought into a tragic play ?
AESCH. You enemy of gods and men, what was *your* practice, pray ?
EUR. No cock-horse in my plays, by Zeus, no goat-stag there you'll see, Such figures as are blazoned forth in Median tapestry.
When first I took the art from you, bloated and swoln, poor thing, With turgid gasconading words and heavy dieting, First I reduced and toned her down, and made her slim and neat With wordlets and with exercise and poultices of beet,

racter of Eryxis to give point to this The Scholiast merely says allusion. ούτος γάρ ώς άμορφος και άηδής διαβάλ- $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$. Knowing the Athenian custom of alternating names in a family (Birds 283), we may safely conclude that this Eryxis, the son of Philoxenus, was the father of that notorious gourmand Philoxenus, the son of Eryxis, who wished that his throat was as long as a crane's, to prolong the enjoyment of eating. See Aristotle's Ethics, iii. 10; Athenaeus, i.10 (to which Bergler refers); Aelian, V. H. x. 9 (to which Brunck refers) and Plutarch, Symp. Probl. iv. 4. 2, De latenter vivendo, 1.

936. $\theta\epsilon o \tilde{\sigma} \iota v \tilde{\epsilon}_X \theta \rho \tilde{\epsilon}$] Strong words : but what could be more aggravating to Aeschylus than to find himself reprehended by Euripides for lowering the dignity of tragedy : that being the very offence of which Euripides himself was, in the eyes of Aeschylus, preeminently guilty. We shall see by-andby that in the monody which Aeschylus composes in imitation of Euripides, a cock is the principal figure.

937. $\tau \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda \dot{a} \phi o vs]$ The $\tau \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda a \phi o s$ was another fictitious animal, part stag and part goat. It is frequently mentioned by ancient writers, Plato, Aristotle, and the later Attic comedians. Its memory survived because a common drinkingcup was fashioned in the supposed shape of a $\tau \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda a \phi o s$, and was called by its name. It is interesting to find from the present passage that fabulous figures of this character were woven into Persian hangings, which were doubtless familiar to the Greeks from the time of the battle of Plataea. See Hdt. ix. 82.

942. $\epsilon \pi \nu \lambda \lambda i o s \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] We have already heard of the $\epsilon \pi \nu \lambda \lambda i a$ of Euripides in Ach. 398; Peace 532, meaning apparently trivial insignificant verses; ill adapted for the weighing competition to be instituted later on. Dr. Merry ingeniously suggests a play on $\epsilon \rho \pi \nu \lambda \lambda i o s$ wild-thyme. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \pi \tau o s$ philosophical exercises ($\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \pi \tau o s$ at $i \sigma \tau o \rho i a s$. Kai oi $\lambda \delta \gamma o s$. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \delta \sigma o \delta i a \kappa i \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon o \nu$, Hesychius), with an allusion to the exercise required of a

χυλδν διδούς στωμυλμάτων, ἀπὸ βιβλίων ἀπηθῶν· ϵἶτ' ἀνέτρεφον μονῷδίαις, Κηφισοφῶντα μιγνύς· ϵἶτ' οὐκ ἐλήρουν ὅ τι τύχοιμ', οὐδ' ἐμπεσῶν ἔφυρον, 945 ἀλλ' οὑξιῶν πρώτιστα μέν μοι τὸ γένος εἶπ' ἀν εὐθὺς τοῦ δράματος. ΑΙΣ. κρεῖττον γὰρ ἦν σοι νὴ Δί' ἢ τὸ σαυτοῦ. ΕΥ. ἔπειτ' ἀπὸ τῶν πρώτων ἐπῶν οὐδὲν παρῆκ' ἀν ἀργὸν, ἀλλ' ἕλεγεν ἡ γυνή τέ μοι χώ δοῦλος οὐδὲν ἦττον, χώ δεσπότης χἠ παρθένος χἠ γραῦς ἄν. ΑΙΣ. εἶτα δῆτα 950 οὐκ ἀποθανεῖν σε ταῦτ' ἐχρῆν τολμῶντα; ΕΥ. μὰ τὸν Ἀπόλλω· δημοκρατικὸν γὰρ αὔτ' ἔδρων. ΔΙ. τοῦτο μὲν ἔασον, ῶ τῶν. οὐ σοὶ γάρ ἐστι περιπατεῖν κάλλιστα περί γε τούτου.

patient whom his physician is seeking to reduce. εἰώθασι γàρ, says the Scholiast, οί κενούμενοι (so Dobree for κακούμενοι) περιπατείν, ώς έπι ασθενούντος δε διαλέγεται. τευτλίοισι λευκοîs white beet, beta cicla, one variety of which, according to Miller and Martyn, is our common mangold wurzel. I have translated it "poultices of beet," and that beet was used in this way is plain from the passage quoted by Spanheim from Sotio, Geopon. xii. 15, μιγνύμενος δε ό χυλός τοῦ σείτλου ἅμα κηρῷ, καὶ λυόμενος, καὶ μετά πανίου έπιτιθέμενος πάντα σκληρά καί οίδαίνοντα πάθη θεραπεύει. Nevertheless, I think that Euripides is here referring to an aperient draught; for Fritzsche's objection to the Scholiast's explanation to that effect, "Falso : neque enim ullo betae genere uti licet ad alvi purgationem," is singularly infelicitous. Mitchell had already referred to Dioscor. ii. 49, τεῦτλον δισσόν ἐστιν, ὧν τὸ μὲν μέλαν (blood red, as frequently elsewhere) $\sigma \tau a \lambda$ τικώτερον τῆς κοιλίας, τὸ δὲ λευκὸν εὐκοίλιον : and to Pliny, H. N. xix. 40, "Betae

a colore duo genera Graeci faciunt, nigrum, et candidius quod praeferunt. / appellantque Siculum " (whence the botanic name cicla). "Mira differentia, si vera est, candidis solvi alvos, modice, nigris inhiberi." See Id. xx. 27. To these passages I may add Galen's remarks (De simplicium medicamentorum temperamentis ac facultatibus viii. 19) on the properties of beet, τεῦτλον νιτρώδους τινός μετείληφε δυνάμεως, ή και ρύπτει και διαφορεί και δια ρινών καθαίρει έψηθεν δε τὸ μέν νιτρῶδές τε καὶ δριμὸ πῶν ἀποτίθεται, γίγνεται δ' άφλεγμάτου δυνάμεως, και άτρέμα διαφορητικής ισχυρότερον δε είς τε το ρύπτειν καί διαφορείν έστι το λευκόν τευτλον.

943. $d\pi\delta \beta_i\beta\lambda(\omega\nu)$ Euripides possessed one of the largest libraries in the ancient world (Athenaeus, i. 4): and out of his stores of book-learning he was able to extract philosophic and casuistical arguments which, the innuendo is, he placed in the mouths of his various characters, so departing from the simple dignity of ancient tragedy. For though Euripides is himself the And next a dose of chatterjuice, distilled from books, I gave her, And monodies she took, with sharp Cephisophon for flavour. I never used haphazard words, or plunged abruptly in; Who entered first explained at large the drama's origin And source. Dio. Its source, I really trust, was better than your own.

EUR. Then from the very opening lines no idleness was shown;
The mistress talked with all her might, the servant talked as much,
The master talked, the maiden talked, the beldame talked. AESCH. For such
An outrage was not death your due? EUR. No, by Apollo, no:
That was my democratic way. DIO. Ah, let that topic go.
Your record is not there, my friend, particularly good.

speaker, he is of course, here and elsewhere, merely giving effect to the criticisms of Aristophanes, directed against himself.

944. Kn ϕ i σ o ϕ $\hat{\omega}$ τa μ i γ ν $\dot{v}s$] With an infusion of Cephisophon. Cephisophon seems to have been a slave born in the house of Euripides; olkoyevès μ eipákiov, as he is called in the Greek Life of

Euripides published by Rossignol in the Journal des Savans, Avril, 1832. His cleverness attracted the attention of his master, and he was popularly credited with having a hand in the composition of his master's tragedies. The author of the Greek Life referred to above preserves some lines of Aristophanes—

Κηφισοφών ἄριστε καὶ μελάντατε, σὺ δὲ ξυνέζης εἰς τὰ πόλλ' Εἰριπίδη καὶ συνεποίεις, ὥς φασι, τὴν μελφδίαν.

We may perhaps gather from the present passage that he was supposed to have contributed some of those famous Euripidean monodies of which we have already heard, supra 849, and shall hear more hereafter. How universal was the belief in his collaboration is shown by the incidental way in which his name is introduced, without the slightest explanation. And see infra 1408, 1452, 1453. Others say, and this also is probable enough, that he took part in the representation of his master's dramas. One of the five extant letters attributed to Euripides is addressed to Cephisophon, announcing the writer's arrival at the Court of Archelaus, and, amongst other things, expressing his indifference to the criticism of Aristophanes. But the letter is no doubt spurious.

946. $o\dot{v}\xi\iota\omega\nu$] That is, the Prologist. The $\tau\dot{o}$ $\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ in the next line is of course another allusion to the maternal greengrocer.

953. περιπατείν] Κάλλιστα is either

ΕΥ.	έπειτα τουτουσὶ λαλεῖν ἐδίδαξα, ΑΙΣ. φημὶ κἀγώ.	
	ώς πριν διδάξαι γ' ὤφελες μέσος διαρραγηναι.	9 5 5
EΥ.	λεπτών τε κανόνων είσβολὰς ἐπών τε γωνιασμούς,	
	νοείν, δραν, ξυνιέναι, στρέφειν, έραν, τεχνάζειν,	
	κάχ' ὑποτοπείσθαι, περινοεῖν ἅπαντα ΑΙΣ. φημὶ κἀγώ.	
EΥ.	οἰκεῖα πράγματ' εἰσάγων, οἶς χρώμεθ', οἶς ξύνεσμεν,	
	έξ ών γ' αν έξηλεγχόμην. ξυνειδότες γαρ ούτοι	960
	ήλεγχον άν μου την τέχνην· άλλ' ούκ έκομπολάκουν	
	άπὸ τοῦ φρονεῖν ἀποσπάσας, οὐδ' ἐξέπληττον αὐτοὺς,	
	Κύκνους ποιῶν καὶ Μέμνονας κωδωνοφαλαροπώλους.	
	γνώσει δὲ τοὺς τούτου τε κἀμοῦ γ' ἑκατέρου μαθητάς.	
	τουτουμενὶ Φορμίσιος Μεγαίνετός θ' ὁ Μανη̂ς.	965

used adverbially, as frequently in Aristophanes, or for $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$, as Euripides

employs it in Troades 1282, where Hecabe says :

κάλλιστά μοι

σύν τηδε πατρίδι κατθανείν πυρουμένη.

Either way, the $\pi\epsilon\rho i\pi a\tau \sigma s$ of the MSS. and Edd. seems impossible, and I have substituted $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi a\tau\epsilon i\nu$. "This is not the best of themes for you, of all men, to expatiate upon." He is alluding to the περιπάτοις of 942 supra. The line in the translation is rather an explanation than a version. Dionysus is of course referring generally to the antidemocratical tendencies of the school to which Euripides belonged. His pupils mentioned below, Theramenes and Cleitophon, were both active promoters of the establishment of the Four Hundred. Theramenes is indeed described by both Thucydides and Aristotle (widely as they differ in details) as the prime agent of that antidemocratic revolution. -Thuc. viii. 68; Polity of Athens, 32. And though Cleitophon afterwards proposed to restore the constitution of Cleisthenes, he did so on the express ground that it was *not* democratic.— Polity of Athens, 34. Euripides himself, as Hermann observes, had left democratic Athens, and spent his last years in the Court of King Archelaus of Macedon.

954. τουτουσί] He glances at the audience as he speaks. For of course it is to the audience that he is referring as well by τουτουσί here as by ούτοι six lines below.

956. $\epsilon i \sigma \beta o \lambda i s$. . . $\gamma \omega \nu_{1} a \sigma \mu_{0} i s$] These accusatives are governed by $\epsilon \delta i \delta a \xi a$, and not, as Fritzsche supposed, by $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\epsilon} i \nu$. I taught the Athenians to talk : I also taught them the application of subtle rules, and the neat carpentry of words. No doubt the accusatives occur somewhat strangely in the midst of a string of infinitives, and indeed the whole line

THE FROGS

EUR. Then next I taught all these to speak. AESCH. You did so, and I would That ere such mischief you had wrought, your very lungs had split.
EUR. Canons of verse I introduced, and neatly chiselled wit; To look, to scan: to plot, to plan: to twist, to turn, to woo:

On all to spy; in all to pry. AESCH. You did: I say so too.
EUR. I showed them scenes of common life, the things we know and see, Where any blunder would at once by all detected be.
I never blustered on, or took their breath and wits away By Cycnuses or Memnons clad in terrible array, With bells upon their horses' heads, the audience to dismay. Look at his pupils, look at mine: and there the contrast view. Uncouth Megaenetus is his, and rough Phormisius too;

seems descriptive rather of the refinements which Euripides had introduced into the tragic art, than of the subtleties which he had taught the Athenian people. Very possibly it is interpolated from some other passage. $\epsilon i\sigma\beta_0\lambda_a$ here, as infra 1104, involves the idea of attacks or incursions. He attacks, with his new rules of art, the rudeness of ancient tragedy. γωνιασμούς, like σμιλεύ- $\mu a \tau a$, supra 819, is a metaphor from the carpenter's art. There Euripides is described as finishing off his work with a chisel: here, as employing the carpenter's angle, or, as we less correctly designate it, his square.

961. ἐκομπολάκουν] Spoke in a big blustering style. οἰκ ἔλεγον κομπώδη ὡs ὁ Aἰσχύλοs.—Scholiast. He proceeds to give a specimen of these κομπώδη ῥήματα. And cf. supra 839.

963. Kúkvovs... Méµvovas] Cycnus the son of Poseidon, and Memnon the son of the Morning, were allies of Priam in the Trojan war, coming, like Rhesus,

in the splendour of barbaric trappings, πολλοΐσι σύν κώδωσιν. Both of them had the honour of falling by the hand of Achilles. We do not know in which of his tragedies Aeschylus introduced Cycnus: but Memnon was represented in two tragedies, the Memnon and the Psychostasia. For though Welcker thinks that these were two names of one and the same tragedy, Hermann and Wagner are no doubt right in considering them the names of two successive tragedies in the same trilogy. In the Psychostasia (as we know from Eustathius on Iliad, viii. 73, and other authorities) the lives of Achilles and Memnon are weighed by Zeus in those golden balances which are more than once brought forward in the Iliad to decide the fate of heroes. In such compounds as κωδωνοφαλαροπώλουs Euripides is of course imitating and ridiculing the phraseology of Aeschylus.

965. $\Phi o \rho \mu i \sigma \iota o s$] Phormisius was a politician of some note at this period. In

σαλπιγγολογχυπηνάδαι, σαρκασμοπιτυοκάμπται, ούμοὶ δὲ Κλειτοφῶν τε καὶ Θηραμένης ὁ κομψός. ΔΙ. Θηραμένης; σοφός γ' ἀνὴρ καὶ δεινὸς ἐς τὰ πάντα, ὡς ἦν κακοῖς που περιπέση καὶ πλησίον παραστῆ,

the following year, immediately after the surrender of Athens, we find this typical disciple of Aeschylus associated with the two typical disciples of Euripides mentioned just below, in an endeavour to restore the ancient constitution, την πάτριον πολιτείαν, of Athens, in a moderate and equitable form .---Aristotle's Polity of Athens, chap. 34. A little later, after the downfall of the Thirty, we find him proposing to restrict the franchise to persons holding land, and assailed on that account by Lysias in a speech still extant, for attempting to destroy $\tau \eta \nu \pi \delta \tau \rho \iota o \nu \pi o \lambda \iota$ - $\tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$. Not that this implies any inconsistency on the part of Phormisius. The $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota \sigma s$ $\pi \sigma \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha}$ which the Athenians were permitted to restore, was susceptible of more than one interpretation (Polity of Athens, ubi supra). To Phormisius it doubtless meant the old Solonian constitution; in the speech of Lysias it represents the unbridled democracy which preceded and occasioned the fall of Athens. Here the allusion is partly to his rough hirsute appearance. See the note on Eccl. 97. Of Megaenetus and his nickname & Mavns, nothing is known. Mavîs was a common servile name, and occurs as such in the Peace, the Birds, and the Lysistrata. And some think that Megaenetus was so called because of his (supposed) barbarian extraction. More probably it was derived from his constant presence at the game of cottabus, where the little statuette with which the game was played, was called the Mavns or "Jack." See the note on Peace 1244. Athenaeus quotes many passages from the comic poets relating to this Manes. One is from Hermippus describing the changes consequent on the departure of citizens for the war ·

The rod for the cottabus used of yore Is now in the dustbin thrown, The small bronze Manes will hear no more The plash of the wine which it heard before, And I saw the tiny and well-poised plate Forlorn by the hinge of the garden gate In the refuse and dirt, alone.

And again :

I am the prize which he will get Who defiliest hits the statuette (that is, the $Mar\hat{\eta}s$).

In the following line these two thets of almost more than Aeschylean Aeschyleans are described by two epi-proportions. On the first, $\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \sigma$.

Great long-beard-lance-and-trumpet-men, flesh-tearers with the pine : But natty smart Theramenes, and Cleitophon are mine.

D10. Theramenes? a clever man and wonderfully sly: Immerse him in a flood of ills, he'll soon be high and dry,

 λ ογχυπηνάδαι, the Scholiast says σάλπιγγας και λόγχας και ύπήνας έχοντες. τοῦτο δέ είς τον Φορμίσιον αποτείνει, ώς μέγαν έχοντα πώγωνα. είπε δε συνθέτως το μεν από του πολέμου, τὸ δὲ ἀπὸ τοῦ πώγωνος. As to the second, the latter part $\pi i \tau v o \kappa \delta \mu \pi \tau a i$ carries us back to the legendary robber Sinis, who tied his victims to two pinetrees which he had bound together, and which, when let go, tare them limb from limb by the rebound. Theseus, on his journey from Troezene to Athens, made Sinis himself undergo the cruel death he had loved to inflict upon others. The prefix σαρκασμο- refers to this "rending of flesh." The secondary meaning which some would give it amarulento risu Sinidem referentes (Brunck) has really nothing to recommend it.

967. Κλειτοφών . . . Θηραμένης] Of Theramenes we have already heard, supra 541. Cleitophon is twice named in the Polity of Athens as a well-known politician of these days. One instance (from chap. 34) is given in the preceding note; and he had previously, in chap. 29, been mentioned as taking an active part in the proceedings which resulted in the establishment of the Four Hundred. See the note on 953 supra. He was doubtless the philosophic student who, in the remarkable Platonic dialogue which bears his name, displays such a keen appreciation of the sterility of the Socratic method, and shows himself determined to obtain some more definite teaching, even if, to obtain it, he has to go over to Thrasymachus. There is much probability in Mr. Grote's suggestion (Plato, chap. 32) that the Cleitophon was originally designed as the introduction to the great constructive discourse on Justice which we know as the Republic of Plato, but was eventually discarded for the present first book in which the same characters appear, but which does not so convincingly expose the necessary barrenness of the negative method of Socratic criticism.

969. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\epsilon\sigma\eta$ The translation does not reproduce the exact meaning of the original. In this passage kakoîs $\pi\epsilon\rho_{i-1}$ $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu$ means, not to fall into troubles, but to fall into the midst of, so as to be encompassed by, troubles. Then the words $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma i\rho\nu$ $\pi\rho\alpha\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$ carry us a step further. Theramenes is close to, and on the very verge of falling into, troubles, when by an acrobatic feat he contrives to fall outside them, leaving his adversaries to fall in. The allusion, no doubt, is to the shocking events which followed the battle of Arginusae. The victorious generals complained of his neglect to pick up the dead and drowning (Xen. Hell., ii. 3. 35), and he was in great peril, but by a fatal exercise of ingenuity, he wriggled outside the peril and pushed the generals in.

	πέπτωκεν έξω τῶν κακῶν, οὐ Χῖος, ἀλλὰ Κεῖος.	970
ΕΎ.	τοιαῦτα μέντοι 'γὼ φρονεῖν	
	τούτοισιν είσηγησάμην,	
	λογισμόν ένθεις τῆ τέχνη	
	καὶ σκέψιν, ὥστ' ἤδη νοεῖν	
	άπαντα καὶ διειδέναι	975
	τά τ' ἄλλα καὶ τὰς οἰκίας	
	οίκεῖν ἄμεινον η πρό τοῦ,	
	κάνασκοπείν, πῶς τοῦτ' ἔχει;	
	ποῦ μοι τοδί; τίς τοῦτ' ἕλαβε;	
$\Delta I.$	νη τούς θεούς, νῦν γοῦν Ἀθη-	980
	ναίων άπας τις είσιών	
	κέκραγε πρός τούς οἰκέτας	
	ζητεί τε, ποῦ 'στιν ἡ χύτρα;	
	τίς την κεφαλην άπεδήδοκεν	
	της μαινίδος; τὸ τρύβλιον	985
	τό περυσινόν τέθνηκέ μοι·	
	ποῦ τὸ σκόροδον τὸ χθιζινόν;	
	τίς της ἐλάας παρέτραγεν;	
	τέως δ' άβελτερώτατοι,	
	κεχηνότες Μαμμάκυθοι,	990
	Μελιτίδαι καθηντο.	
	·	

970. où Xîos d $\lambda\lambda$ à Keîos] If his faction were defeated, Dionysus means, he would devise some subtle distinction which would enable him to escape, whilst leaving his comrades in the lurch. Suppose that his faction were called the "Chian," and some accuser were to say "Surely this fellow is a Chian," he would reply "Yes: the name is so pronounced, but mark the difference: I" (to quote Sir C. C. Clifford's translation)

"I spell me with a kappa, not a chi. No Chian, but a Kian, at your service."

The sole reason for selecting the words χ_{ios} and $\kappa_{\epsilon ios}$ is their similarity of sound: and the learning with which some critics, both ancient and modern,

have confused a very simple passage is altogether misplaced. There is no allusion to the circumstance that $X\hat{\iota}os$ stands for the highest, and $K\hat{\rho}os$ for the

150

	"A Kian with a kappa, sir, not Chian with a chi."
Eur.	I taught them all these knowing ways
	By chopping logic in my plays,
	And making all my speakers try
	To reason out the How and Why.
	So now the people trace the springs,
	The sources and the roots of things,
	And manage all their households too
	Far better than they used to do,
	Scanning and searching What's amiss?
	And, Why was that? And, How is this?
D 10.	Ay, truly, never now a man
	Comes home, but he begins to scan;
	And to his household loudly cries,
	Why, where's my pitcher? What's the matter?
	'Tis dead and gone my last year's platter.
	Who gnawed these olives? Bless the sprat,
	Who nibbled off the head of that?
	And where's the garlic vanished, pray,
	I purchased only yesterday?
	-Whereas, of old, our stupid youths
	Would sit, with open mouths and eyes,
	Like any dull-brained Mammacouths.

lowest, cast of the dice : nor yet to any supposed difference in the characteristics of the Chians and the Ceans : whilst the notion that Theramenes was himself a Cean (Scholiast at 541 supra ; Plutarch, Nicias, chap. 2) seems to have arisen merely from a faulty interpretation of the passage before us. We know that he was an Athenian and the son of an Athenian.

980. νῦν γοῦν] Ἐκ τῆς λεπτολογίας Εὐρι-

πίδου^{*}_μμεμαθηκώς καὶ πεπαιδευμένος.—Scholiast. So τέως, infra 989, means "up to the time when Euripides came, and smartened up their intellects."

990. $Ma\mu\mu\alpha\kappa\nu\theta\alpha$ $M\epsilon\lambda\iota\tau\delta\alpha$] Melitides was an Athenian of such remarkable stupidity, that his name in common speech was synonymous with a "blockhead." Many allusions to him in this character are collected by Perizonius on Aelian, V. H. xiii. 15, and by Mitchell,

ΧΟ. τάδε μὲν λεύσσεις, φαίδιμ' ἀχιλλεῦ· ἀντ.
σừ δὲ τί, φέρε, πρòs ταῦτα λέξεις; μόνον ὅπως
μή σ' ὁ θυμὸς ἁρπάσας
ἐκτὸς οἴσει τῶν ἐλαῶν· 995
δεινὰ γὰρ κατηγόρηκεν.
ἀλλ' ὅπως, ῶ γεννάδα,
μὴ πρòs ὀργὴν ἀντιλέξεις,
ἀλλὰ συστείλας, ἄκροισι
χρώμενος τοῖς ἱστίοις,
1000
εἶτα μᾶλλον μᾶλλον ἄξεις,

Fritzsche, and others here. Thus Eustathius on Od. x. 552 says: ό Μελιτίδης αριθμείν τε μή επίστασθαι λέγεται εί μή άχρι των πέντε, και άγνοειν πρός δποτέρου των γονέων αποκυηθείη, και νύμφης μή άψασθαι, εὐλαβούμενος τὴν πρὸς μητέρα διαβολήν. To the like effect Suidas, s.v. γέλοιος. Do you take me for a Melitides? asks Theomnestus in Lucian's Amores, 53, meaning Do you take me for a fool? And Apuleius in his Apology, Oration i, observes Inter socordissimos Southas Anacharsis sapiens natus est : apud Athenienses catos Melitides fatuus. Didymus (in Schol.) and Suidas think that $Ma\mu\mu\mu\mu\mu$ was also the name of a real person, but it is only a vulgar nickname for a babyish fool, like βλιτομάμμαs in Clouds 1001, and our "mammy-suck" or "molly-coddle." It gave its name to a play of Metagenes or (some say) Plato Comicus. Here it is an adjective, "doltish Melitideses," and so the grammarians mostly take it. The Scholiast explains it by μαμμόθρεπτος, Photius by μωρός καὶ τηθαλλαδοῦς, Hesychius by μωρός.

992. τάδε μέν λεύσσεις κ.τ.λ.] This,

the Scholiast tells us, is the first line of the Myrmidons of Aeschylus. It is apparently spoken by the Myrmidon Chorus, appealing to their chieftain to lead them forth to the battle. The "things which Achilles beheld" were the utter discomfiture of the Greeks, and the victorious pursuit of the Trojans up to the very coast where the ships were lying. The actual incident of the firing of the ships, an incident in which the $\xi o \upsilon \theta \delta s \ i \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \upsilon \delta \nu$ (supra 932) played so distinguished a part, was doubtless related by a messenger later on. Harpocration (s.v. $\pi\rho\sigma\pi\epsilon\pi\omega$ κότες) adds two more lines, which, however, do not form a complete sentence, δοριλυμάντους Δαναῶν μόχθους οῦς ϵἴσω κλισίας. But as Harpocration is quoting the passage to illustrate the use of προπεπωκότεs in the sense of προδεδωκότες, it is obvious that the word $\pi\rho\sigma$ - $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega \kappa \omega_s$ is required. Many suggestions as to its insertion have been made, but none satisfactory. And perhaps it is better to consider ous an accidental repetition of the preceding -ovs, and read :-

CHOR. "All this thou beholdest, Achilles our boldest." And what wilt thou reply? Draw tight the rein Lest that fiery soul of thine Whirl thee out of the listed plain, Past the olives, and o'er the line. Dire and grievous the charge he brings. See thou answer him, noble heart, Not with passionate bickerings. Shape thy course with a sailor's art, Reef the canvas, shorten the sails, Shift them edgewise to shun the gales.

> τάδε μεν λεύσσεις, φαίδιμ' ἀΑχιλλεῦ, δοριλυμάντους Δαναῶν μόχθους είσω κλισίας προπεπωκώς.

This little ode, introducing Aeschylus's case, is antistrophical to that which, supra 895-904, introduced the case of Euripides.

995. $\epsilon \kappa \tau \delta \sigma \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \lambda a \delta \nu$] "Rein up your fiery courage, for it is apt to start out of the course," is the advice alleged (in Quentin Durward, chap. 5) to have been given by Louis XI to the nobles of France. The "olives" were a row of trees planted across the end of the Hippodrome. They formed the limit of the course, within which the driver was required to keep his horses. $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \phi$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$ $\tau o \tilde{\iota}$ $\tau \delta \sigma \iota v \delta \delta$ $\delta \delta \phi \delta \mu o s$, $\epsilon \lambda a \tilde{\iota} a$ $\sigma \tau \chi \eta \delta \delta \nu$ $\delta \sigma \tau a \tau \tau a \iota$, $o \delta \sigma a \iota$ $\kappa a \tau a \prime \tau \tau \eta \mu a$ $\tau o \tilde{\iota} \delta \rho \delta \mu o \upsilon$, $\kappa a \lambda$ $o \delta \delta \epsilon \delta s$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu a$ $\tau o \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \iota$.—Scholiast.

999. ἄκροισι] Τοΐς ἐν ἄκρω δεχομένοις τὸ πνεῦμα, καὶ μὴ κατὰ τὸ μέσον.—Scholiast.

1001. $\mathring{a}\xi\epsilon\iotas...\phi\upsilon\lambda\acute{a}\xi\epsilon\iotas$] Probably these particular words were selected for the

sake of their jingling rhyme. See 463 supra, and the note there. They refer to naval evolutions of attack and defence, diσσειν meaning to move rapidly forward to the attack, and $\phi v \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ (Latin, cavere) to be on one's guard against the enemy's onslaught. "Do not act in a stormy, tempestuous manner," the Chorus say to Aeschylus ; " wait till the breeze is calm and settled. and then more and more you can urge your ship against your opponent, and be on your guard against his onset." The expression μάλλον μάλλον άξεις may remind the reader of the description which Diodorus (xiii. 77) gives of the Lacedaemonians, ever quickening their speed for the purpose of overtaking the fleet of Conon before it could take refuge in the harbour of Mytilene: οί δε Λακεδαιμόνιοι αεί μαλλον ήλαυνον τας ναῦς, ἐλπίζοντες αἰρήσειν τὰς ἐσχάτας τῶν πολεμίων.

καὶ φυλάξεις, ἡνίκ' ἀν τὸ πνεῦμα λεῖον καὶ καθεστηκὸς λάβης.

άλλ' ὦ πρῶτος τῶν Ἑλλήνων πυργώσας ῥήματα σεμνὰ καὶ κοσμήσας τραγικὸν λῆρον, θαρρῶν τὸν κρουνὸν ἀφίει. 1005

- AIΣ. θυμοῦμαι μέν τῆ ξυντυχία, καί μου τὰ σπλάγχν' ἀγανακτεί, εἰ πρὸς τοῦτον δεῖ μ' ἀντιλέγειν· ἵνα μὴ φάσκῃ δ' ἀπορεῖν με, ἀπόκριναί μοι, τίνος οὕνεκα χρὴ θαυμάζειν ἄνδρα ποιητήν;
- ΕΥ. δεξιότητος και νουθεσίας, ὅτι βελτίους τε ποιοῦμεν
 1009
 τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν. ΑΙΣ. τοῦτ' οὖν εἰ μὴ πεποίηκας,
 ἀλλ' ἐκ χρηστῶν και γενναίων μοχθηροτάτους ἀπέδειξας,
 τί παθεῖν φήσεις ἄξιος εἶναι;
 ΔΙ. τεθνάναι· μὴ τοῦτον ἐρώτα.
- A1Σ. σκέψαι τοίνυν οΐους αὐτοὺς παρ' ἐμοῦ παρεδέξατο πρῶτον, εἰ γενναίους καὶ τετραπήχεις, καὶ μὴ διαδρασιπολίτας, μηδ' ἀγοραίους μηδὲ κοβάλους, ὥσπερ νῦν, μηδὲ πανούργους, 1015

1004. πυργώσας ἑήματα σεμνά] With these words Milton's well-known expression "to build the lofty rhyme" (Lycidas 11) is compared by Bp. Blomtield on Persae 197, and by many others since. Perhaps the best commentary is the seventeenth epigram of Dioscorides, "On Tragedy"—

Θέσπιδος εύρεμα τοῦτο. τὰ δ' ἀγροιῶτιν ἀν' ὕλαν παίγνια, καὶ κώμους τοὺς ἀτελειοτέρους, Αἰσχύλος ἐξύψωσεν, ὑ μὴ σμιλευτὰ χαράξας γράμματα, χειμάρρω δ' οἶα καταρδόμενα· καὶ τὰ κατὰ σκηνὴν μετεκαίνισεν. ὦ στόμα πάντων δεξιον, ἀρχαίων ἦσθά τις ἡμιθέων.

"He was the first," says Mr. Haigh, "not only to exalt and ennoble the spirit of tragedy, but also to clothe it in a form of suitable magnificence and to 'build up the structure of splendid phrases.' His language serves as a fitting vehicle for the expression of his mighty conceptions. It is cast in the same majestic mould as his herces

and heroines. His verse is a massive structure, built together with materials of imposing size and strength." "In the words of Dionysius, it resembles one of those vast piles of Cyclopean masonry, built of huge and unhewn blocks, before which the smooth and polished workmanship of later buildings sinks into insignificance.-Dion. When the breezes are soft and low, Then, well under control, you'll go Quick and quicker to strike the foe.

O first of all the Hellenic bards high loftily-towering verse to rear, And tragic phrase from the dust to raise, pour forth thy fountain with right good cheer.

My wrath is hot at this vile mischance, and my spirit revolts at the thought that I Must bandy words with a fellow like him: but lest he should vaunt that I can't reply—Come, tell me what are the points for which a noble poet our praise obtains. For his ready wit, and his counsels sage, and because the citizen folk he trains To be better townsmen and worthier men. AESCH. If then you have done the very reverse, Found noble-hearted and virtuous men, and altered them, each and all, for the worse, Pray what is the meed you deserve to get? DIO. Nay, ask not him. He deserves to die.

H. For just consider what style of men he received from me, great six-foot-high Heroical souls, who never would blench from a townsman's duties in peace or war; Not idle loafers, or low buffoons, or rascally scamps such as now they are.

Hal., Comp. Verb. c. 22."—Tragic Drama of the Greeks, ii. 5.

1005. τραγικὸν λῆρον] We may conjecture that tragic poets had spoken with contempt of comedy as mere τρυγικὸν λῆρον, and that this is the retort τῶν τρυγφδῶν. And possibly this is the meaning of the Scholiast's comment, ὅτι ἀλλήλους διαβάλλουσι κωμικοὶ καὶ τρα-γικοί.

1009. $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i o v s$] In this proposition the two poets could readily agree; but as to what constituted "better citizens" their opinions would be widely at variance. The ideal of a good citizen was, to Aeschylus, the valiant, nobleminded, and generous Athenian of the Persian wars; to Euripides, the shrewd, quick-witted, and inquisitive Athenian of the Peloponnesian War. 1012. $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha i$] In 177 supra, the joke consisted in a dead man dooming himself to life, just as a living man might doom himself to death. Here we have the opposite joke, which consists in dooming to death a man already dead : $\gamma\epsilon\lambda o' i \omega \chi \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \epsilon^{2} \pi \epsilon \nu$, says the Scholiast, $\eta \partial \eta \gamma \partial \rho d\pi \epsilon \theta a \nu \epsilon$.

1014. τετραπήχεις] The word, .ike our epithet "tall" in Shakespeare's time, refers in this passage not so much to physical stature as to a stout and soldierly spirit. In Wasps 553 it refers chiefly to the social importance of the persons so described. With διαδρασιπολίταs Spanheim compares the διαδεφακόταs of Ach. 601. The words öσπερ νῦν in the following line are, by look or tone or gesture, directed to the audience.

άλλὰ πνέοντας δόρυ καὶ λόγχας καὶ λευκολόφους τρυφαλείας καὶ πήληκας καὶ κνημίδας καὶ θυμοὺς ἑπταβοείους.

ΔΙ. καί δη χωρεί τουτί το κακόν κρανοποιών αθ μ' έπιτρίψει.

- ΕΥ. και συ τί δράσας αυτούς ούτως γενναίους έξεδίδαξας;
- ΔΙ. Αἰσχύλε, λέξον, μηδ' αὐθαδῶς σεμνυνόμενος χαλέπαινε. 1020
- ΑΙΣ. δράμα ποιήσας Άρεως μεστόν. ΔΙ. ποίον; ΑΙΣ. τοὺς ἕπτ' ἐπὶ Θήβας
 δ θεασάμενος πῶς ἀν τις ἀνὴρ ἠράσθη δάϊος εἶναι.
- ΔΙ. τουτὶ μέν σοι κακὸν εἴργασται· Θηβαίους γὰρ πεποίηκας ἀνδρειοτέρους εἰς τὸν πόλεμον· καὶ τούτου γ' οὕνεκα τύπτου.
- AIΣ. ἀλλ' ὑμῖν αὕτ' ἐξῆν ἀσκεῖν, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐπὶ τοῦτ' ἐτράπεσθε. 1025 εἶτα διδάξας Πέρσας μετὰ τοῦτ' ἐπιθυμεῖν ἐξεδίδαξα νικῶν ἀεὶ τοὺς ἀντιπάλους, κοσμήσας ἔργον ἄριστον.
- ΔΙ. έχάρην γοῦν, τὸν θρῆνον ἀκούσας περὶ Δαρείου τεθνεῶτος,

1017. $\theta \nu \mu o \dot{v} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau a \beta o \epsilon \dot{o} v s]$ 'Art $\tau o \ddot{\nu}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda o v s$ $\dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \dot{\mu} \epsilon \tau a \phi o \rho \hat{a} s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{a} \sigma \pi \dot{\ell} \delta o s$ $\tau o \ddot{v}$ A *lartos*.—Scholiast. The epithet occurs four times in the short narrative of the duel between Aias and Hector (Iliad, vii. 220–266), and always in reference to the mighty shield of Aias, which was formed of seven folds of tough bull-hide, with one plate of bronze superadded. But what Aeschylus wants is not so much the strong unyielding shield as the strong unyielding spirit.

1018. κρανοποιών] Κράνη καὶ λόφους διηγούμενος ἀφανιεῖ με τῷ πατάγῳ τῶν ὀνομάτων.—Scholiast. With the earlier part of the line compare Wasps 1483 and the note there.

1023. $\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma i \eta \kappa as$] At first sight we should certainly be disposed to interpret this (with Brunck and others), "You represented the Thebans as the better soldiers," that is, "as the victors over their Seven opponents." But both the change of tense (from the aorist to

the perfect) and the reply of Aeschylus show that this is not the true interpretation, and that Dionysus really meant that the effect of that play was to awaken new military ardour in the hearts of the Thebans, and to make them better warriors than they had previously been. And in truth the interruption of Dionysus would, on Brunck's interpretation, be altogether pointless: since the defeat of the Septem was not an invention of Aeschylus, but ancient history, well known in Homer's day · nor were the Athenians interested more in the invaders than in the defenders of Thebes.

ι.

1. Flippenere ...

1024. $\tau i \pi \tau \sigma v$] He suits the action to the word, and administers a gentle tap to Aeschylus. In the next line $a i \tau \dot{a}$ is rightly explained by the Scholiast to mean $\tau \dot{a} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \dot{a}$.

1026. εἶτα . . . μετὰ τοῦτ'] Οἱ Πέρσαι προτέρον δεδιδαγμένοι εἰσὶν, εἶτα οἱ Ἑπτὰ ἐπὶ Θήβας νῦν δὲ τὸ ὕστερον πρότερον

THE FROGS

But men who were breathing spears and helms, and the snow-white plume in its crested pride The greave, and the dart, and the warrior's heart in its sevenfold casing of tough bull-hide. He'll stun me, I know, with his armoury-work; this business is going from bad to worse. And how did you manage to make them so grand, exalted, and brave with your wonderful verse? Come, Aeschylus, answer, and don't stand mute in your self-willed pride and arrogant spleen. A drama I wrote with the War-god filled. Dr. Its name? AE. 'Tis the ''Seven against Thebes'' that I mean. Which whoso beheld, with eagerness swelled to rush to the battlefield there and then. O that was a scandalous thing you did ! You have made the Thebans mightier men, More eager by far for the business of war. Now, therefore, receive this punch on the head. Ah, *ye* might have practised the same yourselves, but ye turned to other pursuits instead. Then next the "Persians" I wrote, in praise of the noblest deed that the world can show, And each man longed for the victor's wreath, to fight and to vanquish his country's foe. I was pleased, I own, when I heard their moan for old Darius, their great king, dead;

 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$.—Scholiast. This is confirmed by the arguments of the plays. The Persae was acted B.C. 472; the Septem, B.C. 467.

1028. τον θρηνον ακούσας] I have substituted these words for the unmetrical ήνίκ' ήκουσα of the MSS., which (as others also have observed) is most probably a gloss on, and has taken the place of, the participle $d\kappa o \dot{v} \sigma as$. But then the question arises, What was it that Dionysus rejoiced to hear? He identifies it in the following line with the Choral cry lavoi. Now, in the lamentable invocation addressed to the dead Darius (Persae 625-676), we find a refrain βάσκε πάτερ ακακε Δαρειάν, οί. Bp. Blomfield changes $\Delta a \rho \epsilon i \dot{a} \nu$, $o \vec{i}$ into $\Delta a \rho \epsilon \vec{i}$ lavol, a change which is approved by Dobree and Fritzsche, and is probably right. And anyhow Dionysus appears to be referring to that particular ode. But that ode is a $\theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu os$, and is indeed so called by the kingly ghost, *vµeis* δέ θρηνείτ' έγγὺς έστῶτες τάφου, Persae It is, in truth, a $\theta p \hat{\eta} v os \pi \epsilon p \hat{\iota}$ 682. Δαρείου τεθνεώτος. By inserting tov $\theta_{\rho n \nu \rho \nu}$ therefore in the line before us, we satisfy at once the sense, the metre, and the reference to the Persae. Dionysus was delighted with the wild Eastern coronach which Aeschylus had brought on the Athenian stage. The only other readings worthy of mention are (1) the introduction from one or two very inferior MSS. of $\eta \nu i \kappa' \, d\pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$, to which nothing in the Persae answers : and which is rightly styled by Fritzsche "coniectura audacissima et infelicissima" of some grammarian : and (2) Fritzsche's own νικήσαι ἀκούσας or τη νίκη ἀκούσας, to which the same objection applies, which requires the further alteration of $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ into $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$, and depends on the resemblance of viky to hvika, although hvika has already been accounted for in the change of ήνίκ' ήκουσα into άκούσας. For Godfrey Hermann's proposal (on

ό χορός δ' εύθύς τὼ χεῖρ' ὡδὶ συγκρούσας εἶπεν ἰαυοί. 1029 ΑΙΣ. ταῦτα γὰρ ἄνδρας χρὴ ποιητὰς ἀσκεῖν. σκέψαι γὰρ ἀπ' ἀρχῆς, ώς ὦφέλιμοι τῶν ποιητῶν οἱ γενναῖοι γεγένηνται. Ορφεύς μέν γαρ τελετάς θ' ήμιν κατέδειξε φόνων τ' απέχεσθαι. Μουσαίος δ' έξακέσεις τε νόσων και χρησμούς, Ήσίοδος δέ γης έργασίας, καρπών ώρας, ἀρότους· ὁ δὲ θείος "Ομηρος 1034 άπὸ τοῦ τιμὴν καὶ κλέος ἔσχεν πλὴν τοῦδ' ὅτι χρήστ' ἐδίδαξε, τάξεις, άρετὰς, ὑπλίσεις ἀνδρῶν; ΔΙ. καὶ μὴν οὐ Παντακλέα γε έδίδαξεν όμως τον σκαιότατον· πρώην γουν, ήνίκ' έπεμπεν, τὸ κράνος πρῶτον περιδησάμενος τὸν λόφον ἤμελλ' ἐπιδήσειν. ΑΙΣ. άλλ' άλλους τοι πολλούς άγαθούς, ών ην και Λάμαχος ήρως. 1040

öθεν ήμη φρην απομαξαμένη πολλαs αρεταs εποίησεν,

Persae 665) to read εχάρην γοῦν, ἡνίκ έπήϊσαν Δαρείου τοῦ τεθνεῶτοs is as little likely to find an adherent as Professor Tyrrell's έχάρην γοῦν ἡνίκ' ἐκώκυσας πόρι (or παί) Δαρείου τεθνεώτος.

1030. άνδρας ποιητάς] Noble poets. So supra 858, and in the singular, supra 1008; Clouds 545; Thesm. 149.

1032. 'Ορφεύς μέν γάρ τελετάς] Of the four poets put forward as benefactors of the human race, Homer and Hesiod are as familiar to us now as they were to the Athenians 2300 years ago. The other two, Orpheus and Musaeus, whose names are usually coupled together, are wellnigh lost in the mists of antiquity. But the institution of the sacred rites of mystical initiation, $\tau \dot{\alpha}s \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}s$, is by all authorities attributed to Orpheus, and sometimes Musaeus is connected with him in this work, and sometimes he is connected with Musaeus in the

work $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \omega \delta i as$. In the Protagoras of Plato, chap. viii, Protagoras says that those who practised $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \sigma \sigma \phi_i \sigma \tau_i \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon_{\chi \nu \eta \nu}$ in old days, disguised the fact by pretending to practise some other art, rows μέν ποίησιν, οἶον "Ομηρόν τε καὶ Ἡσίοδον καὶ Σιμωνίδην, τούς δε αδ τελετάς τε και χρησμωδίας, τοὺς ἀμφί τε ἘΟρφέα καὶ Μουσαῖον. See also Plato's Republic, ii. 364 E. Demosthenes (First speech against Aristogeiton, 11) describes Orpheus as $\delta \tau \dot{\alpha}s$ άγιωτάτας ήμίν τελετάς καταδείξας. And Lucian, in his treatise "In Praise of Dancing" (15) observes έῶ λέγειν ὅτι τελετήν αρχαίαν ούδεμίαν έστιν εύρειν άνεύ όρχήσεως, 'Ορφέως δηλαδή και Μουσαίου και των τότε αρίστων δρχηστων καταστησαμένων αὐτάς. Cf. Eur., Rhesus 943 seqq., and as to the xpno µoùs Movoaiov, Hdt. vii. 6. Horace in his Ars Poetica has a passage very analogous to the present, commencing :

Y

Silvestres homines sacer interpresque Deorum Caedibus et victu foedo deterruit Orpheus.-A. P. 391, 392. When they smote together their hands, like this, and *Evir alake* the Chorus said. Aye, such are the poet's appropriate works : and just consider how all along From the very first they have wrought you good, the noble bards, the masters of song. First, Orpheus taught you religious rites, and from bloody murder to stay your hands : Musaeus healing and oracle lore; and Hesiod all the culture of lands, The time to gather, the time to plough. And gat not Homer his glory divine By singing of valour, and honour, and right, and the sheen of the battle-extended line, The ranging of troops and the arming of men? DIO. O ay, but he didn't teach *that*, I opine, To Pantacles; when he was leading the show I couldn't imagine what he was at, He had fastened his helm on the top of his head, he was trying to fasten his plume upon that. But others, many and brave, he taught, of whom was Lamachus, hero true; And thence my spirit the impress took, and many a lion-heart chief I drew,

Doubtless he restrained men from murder by excluding murderers from his sacred rites. Eustathius seems to think that the words $d\kappa\epsilon\sigma\epsilon$ is $\nu\delta\sigma\omega\nu$ are the title of a medical poem composed by Musaeus (see the Preface to his Commentary on the Iliad): and certainly some prescriptions ascribed to Musaeus were known to Pliny (N. H., xxi. 21). Several of the foregoing passages have been already cited by Spanheim, Bergler, and others.

1034. $\theta \epsilon i os "O \mu \eta \rho os]$ So Plato in the Phaedo, chap. 43, 'O $\mu \eta \rho \phi$, $\theta \epsilon i \phi \pi o \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$.

1037. $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\epsilon\nu$] $E\pi\delta\mu\pi\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu$.—Scholiast. The accusative $\pi\delta\mu\pi\dot{\rho}\nu$, which is usually added— $\tau\dot{\rho}\nu$ $\pi\delta\mu\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\psi\sigma\nu\tau a$, Ach. 248; $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\psi\sigma\nu\tau a \tau\dot{\rho}\nu$ $\pi\delta\mu\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$, Birds 849; $\pi\delta\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$, Eccl. 757—is here understood. The speaker is referring to an incident which mightily amused the Athenian crowd, and earned for Pantacles the nickname of $\Sigma\kappa a\iota\delta s$. As the procession was moving on, he was discovered bustling forward with both hands busy on the top of his head, vainly endeavouring to rectify a mistake in his $\delta \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \iota s$. He had forgotten to fasten his plume into his helmet before putting the helmet on, and was trying to do it afterwards.

1039. $\Lambda \dot{d}\mu a \chi os \ \ddot{\eta}\rho \omega s$] Here, even more markedly than in the Thesmophoriazusae, Aristophanes goes out of his wa to offer a tribute of respect to the memory of Lamachus. In the Acharnians he had twice addressed him, by way of ridicule, with the words $\delta \Lambda \dot{d}\mu a \chi'$ $\ddot{\eta}\rho \omega s$. And here he repeats the description, no longer in derision, but as accounting him worthy of the traditions of Homer, and worthy of the commendation of Aeschylus.

1040. $\ddot{o}\theta\epsilon\nu \ \dot{a}\pi\sigma\rho\mu\alpha\xi\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$] Taking the impression of, moulding itself upon, the soul of Homer. So Aristotle (Eth. Nic., ix. 12. 3) says of friends, $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\rho\dot{a}\tau$ - $\tau\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota \ \pi\alpha\rho' \ \dot{a}\lambda\dot{\eta}\lambda\omega\nu$ they take the impression, mould themselves into the likeness, of each other. Bothe refers

Πατρόκλων, Τεύκρων θυμολεόντων, ίν' ἐπαίροιμ' ἄνδρα πολίτην ἀντεκτείνειν αὐτὸν τούτοις, ὁπόταν σάλπιγγος ἀκούσῃ. ἀλλ' οὐ μὰ Δί' οὐ Φαίδρας ἐποίουν πόρνας οὐδὲ Σθενεβοίας, οὐδ' οἶδ' οὐδεὶς ἥντιν' ἐρῶσαν πώποτ' ἐποίησα γυναῖκα. 1044

ΕΥ. μὰ Δί', οὐ γὰρ ἐπῆν τῆς Ἀφροδίτης οὐδέν σοι. ΑΙΣ. μηδέ γ' ἐπείη. ἀλλ' ἐπί τοι σοὶ καὶ τοῖς σοῖσιν πολλὴ πολλοῦ ʾπικαθῆτο, ὥστε γε καὐτόν σε κατ' οὖν ἕβαλεν. ΔΙ. νὴ τὸν Δία τοῦτό γέ τοι δή. ὰ γὰρ ἐς τὰς ἀλλοτρίας ἐποίεις, αὐτὸς τούτοισιν ἐπλήγης.

ΕΥ. καὶ τί βλάπτουσ', ὦ σχέτλι' ἀνδρῶν, τὴν πόλιν ἁμαὶ Σθενέβοιαι;

ΑΙΣ. ὅτι γενναίας καὶ γενναίων ἀνδρῶν ἀλόχους ἀνέπεισας 1050 κώνεια πιεῖν, αἰσχυνθείσας διὰ τοὺς σοὺς Βελλεροφόντας.

ΕΥ. πότερον δ' οὐκ ὄντα λόγον τοῦτον περὶ τῆς Φαίδρας ξυνέθηκα;

AIΣ. μὰ Δί', ἀλλ' ὅντ'· ἀλλ' ἀποκρύπτειν χρη τὸ πονηρὸν τόν γε ποιητην, καὶ μη παράγειν μηδὲ διδάσκειν. τοῖς μὲν γὰρ παιδαρίοισιν

to the third epigram of Cyrus in the Anthology, where the writer describes a good wife as $\pi \dot{a} \tau \dot{a} \pi o \mu \alpha \xi a \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \delta \rho \eta a$ $\tau \dot{a} \Pi \eta \nu \epsilon \lambda \delta \sigma \eta s$. In his note on this epigram, Jacobs collects various examples of the use of $\dot{a} \pi o \mu \dot{a} \tau \tau \sigma \mu a$ in this sense ; such as the twenty-eighth epigram of Callimachus (ed. Blomf.), which speaks of Aratus (called $\delta \sum \delta \lambda \epsilon is$ from his birthplace Soli in Cilicia) as having moulded his poems on the example of Hesiod :

Ήσιόδου τό τ' άεισμα καὶ ὁ τρόπος· οὐ τὺν ἀοιδῶν ἔσχατον, ἀλλ' ὀκνέω μὴ τὸ μελιχρύτατον τῶν ἐπέων ὁ Σολεὺς ἀπεμάζατο.

1042. ἀντεκτείνειν] 'Ομοιοῦν, ἐξισοῦν.— Scholiast.

1043. $\Phi ai\delta\rho as \ldots \Sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \beta o i as]$ The incestuous love of Phaedra for her stepson Hippolytus, is the subject of the extant "Hippolytus" of Euripides : the adulterous love of Stheneboea for Bellerophon was doubtless told in the same poet's lost "Stheneboea." To English readers it is well known from Mr. William Morris's graceful narrative in the "Earthly Paradise." Each of these unhappy women being repulsed, denounced to her husband, after the fashion of Potiphar's wife (see Scholiast on Lucian's De Calumnia, 24), the innocent youth who had repelled her advances. And finally each of them, in despair and remorse, put an end to her own existence. The two are coupled together, in a similar way, by Juvenal, x. 325, &c.

1044. oùd' oùd' oùd ϵ is] Spanheim says that he is amazed at this statement of

THE FROGS

Patrocluses, Teucers, illustrious names; for I fain the citizen-folk would spur To stretch themselves to *their* measure and height, whenever the trumpet of war they hear. But Phaedras and Stheneboeas? No! no harlotry business deformed my plays. And none can say that ever I drew a love-sick woman in all my days. For *you* no lot or portion had got in Queen Aphrodite. AES. Thank Heaven for that. But ever on you and yours, my friend, the mighty goddess mightily sat; Yourself she cast to the ground at last. DIO. O ay, that came uncommonly pat. You showed how cuckolds are made, and lo, you were struck yourself by the very same fate But say, you cross-grained censor of mine, how *my* Stheneboeas could harm the state. Full many a noble dame, the wife of a noble citizen, hemlock took, And died, unable the shame and sin of your Bellerophon-scenes to brook. Was then, I wonder, the tale I told of Phaedra's passionate love untrue? Not so: but tales of incestuous vice the sacred poet should hide from view, Nor ever exhibit and blazon forth on the public stage to the public ken.

Aeschylus, considering the leading part which the adulteress Clytaemnestratakes in the Agamemnon. But there is much more reason to be amazed at this statement of Spanheim. The Agamemnon of Aeschylus depends upon the *fact* of the guilty passion of Clytaemnestra, just as, and no more than, the Iliad of Homer depends upon the *fact* of the guilty passion of her sister Helen. But neither in the tragedy nor in the epic is there any portrayal of the guilty passion itself, or any analysis or description of the feelings of a love-sick woman.

1045. où yàp $\epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] Nam nihil Veneris tibi inerat. AESCH. Nec opto ut insit; and, two lines below, Profecto ita hoc est: nam de aliis quae finxisti, eadem tu passus es.—Bergler. It was common report that one of his wives misconducted herself with Cephisophon: and some say that both his wives played him false. What therefore he had written about faithless wives, he himself experienced. $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$ in line 1046 is used quasi-adverbially, as in Knights 822; Clouds 915.

1050. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i as \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] The particularity of the language, not merely "noble dames" but also, with a compliment to their husbands, "wives of noble men," seems to point to some real occurrence, well known to the audience, though to us unknown. We may safely infer that some highborn lady had taken hemlock, in disgust at the calumnies lavished on her sex. But whether the plays of Euripides had any part in bringing about the catastrophe it is impossible now even to conjecture.

1054. τοῖς παιδαρίοισιν] Ο διδάσκαλος τοῖς μικροῖς ὁ δὲ ποιητὴς τοῖς ἡβῶσι.— Scholiast.

M

έστι διδάσκαλος ὅστις φράζει, τοῖσιν δ' ἡβῶσι ποιηταί. 1055
πάνυ δὴ δεῖ χρηστὰ λέγειν ἡμᾶς. ΕΥ. ἦν οὖν σὺ λέγῃς Λυκαβηττοὺς
καὶ Παρνασσῶν ἡμῖν μεγέθη, τοῦτ' ἐστὶ τὸ χρηστὰ διδάσκειν,
ὸν χρὴ φράζειν ἀνθρωπείως; ΑΙΣ. ἀλλ', ὡ κακόδαιμον, ἀνάγκη
μεγάλων γνωμῶν καὶ διανοιῶν ἴσα καὶ τὰ ῥήματα τίκτειν.
κἄλλως εἰκὸς τοὺς ἡμιθέους τοῖς ῥήμασι μείζοσι χρῆσθαι· 1060
καὶ γὰρ τοῖς ἱματίοις ἡμῶν χρῶνται πολὺ σεμνοτέροισιν.
ἁμοῦ χρηστῶς καταδείξαντος διελυμήνω σύ. ΕΥ. τί δράσας;

- AIΣ. πρώτον μέν τοὺς βασιλεύοντας ῥάκι' ἀμπισχών, ϊν' ἐλεινοὶ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις φαίνοιντ' εἶναι. ΕΥ. τοῦτ' οὖν ἔβλαψα τί δράσας;
- AIΣ. οὔκουν ἐθέλει γε τριηραρχεῖν πλουτῶν οὐδεὶς διὰ ταῦτα, 1065 ἀλλ' ἐν ῥακίοις περιειλόμενος κλάει καὶ φησὶ πένεσθαι.
- ΔΙ. νη την Δήμητρα, χιτῶνά γ' ἔχων οὔλων ἐρίων ὑπένερθε κἂν ταῦτα λέγων ἐξαπατήσῃ, παρὰ τοὺς ἰχθῦς ἀνέκυψεν.

ΑΙΣ. εἶτ' αὖ λαλιὰν ἐπιτηδεῦσαι καὶ στωμυλίαν ἐδίδαξας,

1056. $\Lambda\nu\kappa\alpha\beta\eta\tau\tau\sigma\dot{vs}$] He is returning to the charge, more fully developed above 924–940, about what he considers the inflated diction of Aeschylus. Lycabettus, now Mount St. George, is an "insulated rocky peak," at a little distance from Athens in a north-easterly direction.

1059. "ora] On the same scale, to borrow Dr. Merry's translation. Grand thoughts, the speaker means, should be embodied in language of equal grandeur. Divine sentiments should be delivered in "the large utterance of the early gods."

1061. $\tau o \hat{i} \mu a \tau \hat{i} o \hat{s}$] It must be remembered that Aeschylus himself was the inventor of the grand style, not only in the thoughts and language, but even in the costume, the masks, the scenery, and indeed in every other department of tragedy. In all things he

aspired to make his actors the worthy representatives of the heroes and demigods whose names they bore on the stage.

1063. ῥάκι' ἀμπισχών] διὰ Οἰνέα, καὶ Τήλεφον καὶ τοὺς ὅλλους.—Scholiast. A long list of these ragged heroes is given in the Acharnians. Had the Helen then been acted, Menelaus would doubtless have been included in their number.

1065. $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho a \rho \chi \epsilon i \nu$] He is referring of course to the public $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \upsilon \rho \nu i a$, the duty cast upon a wealthy citizen of equipping a warship for the state (Knights 912), a duty, however, from which he could escape by proving that his fortune was inadequate for its fulfilment. —Demosthenes de Symmoriis, 19; Boeckh's P. E. iv. 11. Fritzsche considers that Aristophanes is going too far For boys a teacher at school is found, but we, the poets, are teachers of men. We are BOUND things honest and pure to speak. EUR. And to speak great Lycabettuses, pray, And massive blocks of Parnassian rocks, is *that* things honest and pure to say? In human fashion we ought to speak. Also, poor witling, and can't you see That for mighty thoughts and heroic aims, the words themselves must appropriate be? And grander belike on the ear should strike the speech of heroes and godlike powers, Since even the robes that invest their limbs are statelier, grander robes than ours. Such was my plan: but when you began, you spoilt and degraded it all. EUR. How so?

- AES. Your kings in tatters and rags you dressed, and brought them on, a beggarly show, To move, forsooth, our pity and ruth. EUR. And what was the harm, I should like to know.
- AES. No more will a wealthy citizen now equip for the state a galley of war. He wraps his limbs in tatters and rags, and whines he is poor, too poor by far.
- D1. But under his rags he is wearing a vest, as woolly and soft as a man could wish. Let him gull the state, and he's off to the mart; an eager, extravagant buyer of fish.

AES. Moreover to prate, to harangue, to debate, is now the ambition of all in the state.

here: "neque enim Euripidei reges pannis obsiti eam vim habere poterant ut ditissimus quisque civis trierarchiam detrectaret." But this is to take Aristophanes too literally. He is really for the moment leaving Euripides alone, and seizing the opportunity offered of satirizing some rich Athenian who had recently shirked his public duty on the unfounded plea of inadequate resources.

1068. παρὰ τοὺς ἰχθῦς] 'Αντὶ τοῦ παρὰ τὰ ἰχθυοπώλια· τὸ δὲ τοιοῦτον 'Αττικόν. Εὕπολις "περιῆλθον ἐς τὰ σκόροδα καὶ τὰ κρόμμυα." φησὶν οὖν ὅτι ἀναφαίνεται περὶ τὰ ἰχθυοπώλια ἀγορασείων· ἀντὶ τοῦ τρυφῶν εὐρίσκεται.—Scholiast. Ἐν τοῖς ἰχθύσιν for in the fish-market occurs in Wasps 789 διεκερματίζετ' ἐν τοῖς ἰχθύσιν (where see the note): and so Antiphanes (Athenaeus, vii. 28) ἄτοπόν γε κηρύττουσιν ἐν τοῖς ἰχθύσιν Κήρυγμα. That fish was one of the greatest luxuries of the Athenian epicure is well known; that its purchase required a well-filled purse is amusingly illustrated by the account which Timocles (Ath. vi. 39) gives of the straits to which the notorious glutton and parasite Corydus was reduced, when he was obliged to cater for himself in the fish-market with only $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau a \rho as \chi a \lambda \kappa o \tilde{s}$ in his pocket. $d \nu \epsilon \kappa v \psi \epsilon \nu$ means he emerged, turned up as we might say.

1069. $\epsilon i \tau^2 a \vartheta \lambda a \lambda \iota a \vartheta$] The last section of the speech of Aeschylus, like the last section of the speech of Euripides, is concerned with the argumentative loquacity with which (they both agree) Euripides has inspired the rising generation. Euripides vaunts it as one of his chief merits; Aeschylus arraigns it as one of his greatest offences.

 [†] ζεκένωσεν τάς τε παλαίστρας και τὰς πυγὰς ἐνέτριψε
 1070
 τῶν μειρακίων στωμυλλομένων, και τοὺς παράλους ἀνέπεισεν
 ἀνταγορεύειν τοῖς ἄρχουσιν. καίτοι τότε γ', ἡνίκ' ἐγὼ 'ζων,
 οὐκ ἠπίσταντ' ἀλλ' ἡ μᾶζαν καλέσαι και ῥυππαπαι εἰπεῖν.
 ΔΙ. νὴ τὸν ᾿Απόλλω, και προσπαρδεῖν γ' εἰς τὸ στόμα τῷ θαλάμακι,

καὶ μινθῶσαι τὸν ξύσσιτον, κἀκβὰς τινὰ λωποδυτῆσαι· 1075 νῦν δ' ἀντιλέγει κοὐκέτ' ἐλαύνει, καὶ πλεῖ δευρὶ καὖθις ἐκεῖσε ; ΑΙΣ. ποίων δὲ κακῶν οὐκ αἴτιός ἐστ' ;

1070. έξεκένωσε τὰς παλαίστρας] Bergler compares the very similar charge brought against the sophistical teaching in Clouds 1054. The orator in [Andocides] against Alcibiades (22) makes a like complaint of the Athenian youth, των νέων αί διατριβαί ούκ έν τοις γυμνασίοις άλλ' έν τοις δικαστηρίοις είσι, και στρατεύονται μέν οι πρεσβύτεροι, δημηγοροῦσι δὲ οί νεώτεροι. The words which follow, tàs $\pi v \gamma as \epsilon v \epsilon \tau \rho v \psi \epsilon$, are generally thought to refer to the vilest of crimes. "Qui rhetoricae, politicae, aliisque huius generis artibus operam dabant," says Brunck, "impudicitiae crimine notantur a Comico"; and he refers to Eccl. 112, where see the note. My translation is based upon this interpretation; but I doubt if that is the true meaning of the passage before us, since the πa - $\lambda ai\sigma \tau \rho a$ would hardly be contrasted with a vice with which it was itself notoriously tainted, Wasps 1025, Peace 762: and see Clouds 976. Probably Aeschylus merely means that the youngsters forsook the athletic exercises, which invigorated all their members, and wore away their $\pi v \gamma \lambda s$ by for ever sitting on the hard benches (see Knights 785) whether of the sophistical schools or of the popular assemblies. And this is more in conformity with the next speech of Aeschylus.

1071. τούς παράλους] Παράλους τούς κωπηλάτας. Πάραλος γάρ και Σαλαμινία τριήρεις είρηναρχικαί. κοινώς δε παράλους τούς έκ των τριήρων ναύτας. ού γαρ ιδιόν τι λέγοι αν περί της Παράλου τριήρους νεώς.-Scholiast. I think that the Scholiast must be right in refusing to confine the word in this passage to the crew of a single vessel; but on the other hand I believe that Aristophanes is making use of a studied ambiguity, and intended a covert allusion to the political and partisan spirit which distinguished that particular crew, and of which Thucydides, always the best exponent of Aristophanes, does, as Fritzsche observes, take special notice in his History, viii. 73. All the old Lexicographers-Hesychius, Harpocration, Photius, Suidas-define Πάμαλοι as the crew of the $\Pi \dot{a} \rho a \lambda os$. And this is the general signification of the word in classical writers.

1073. ρυππαπαί] Ἐπιφώνημα ναυτικόν.—

Each exercise-ground is in consequence found deserted and empty: to evil repute Your lessons have brought our youngsters, and taught our sailors to challenge, discuss, and refute The orders they get from their captains and yet, when *I* was alive, I protest that the knaves Knew nothing at all, save for rations to call, and to sing "Rhyppapae" as they pulled through the waves. And bedad to let fly from their sterns in the eye of the fellow who tugged at the undermost oar, And a jolly young messmate with filth to besmirch, and to land for a filching adventure ashore;

But now they harangue, and dispute, and won't row,

And idly and aimlessly float to and fro.

AESCH. Of what ills is he NOT the creator and cause?

Scholiast; the rhythmical cry to which the oars kept time. See Wasps 909 and the note there.

1074. τώ θαλάμακι] Τώ κωπηλατούντι έν τῷ κάτω μέρει τῆς τριήρους. ἦσαν δὲ τρείς τάξεις των έρετων, και ή μέν κάτω, θαλαμιται, ή δε μέση, ζυγιται, ή δε άνω, θρανίται. θρανίτης ούν, ό πρός την πρύμναν ζυγίτης, ό μέσος θαλάμιος ό πρός την $\pi \rho \hat{\varphi} \rho a \nu$.—Scholiast. The last sentence means (as Mr. Smith of Jordanhill in his "Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul," p. 184, well explains it) that the three rows did not sit in a vertical line, so that the $\zeta v \gamma i \tau \eta s$ sat exactly under the $\theta \rho a \nu i \tau \eta s$, and exactly over the $\theta a \lambda a$ - $\mu i \tau \eta s$, but in a slanting line; the $\theta a \lambda a \mu i \tau \eta s$ sitting a little lower than, but not directly beneath, the juying; and the juyings a little lower than, but not directly beneath, the $\theta_{\rho a \nu i \tau \eta s}$. Except therefore at the extreme end, each $\theta a \lambda a \mu i \tau \eta s$ (or as he is here called $\theta a \lambda \dot{a}$ - $\mu a \xi$, or as in the Scholiast and elsewhere $\theta a \lambda a \mu i o s$) sat between two ($v \gamma i \tau a i$, each on a higher level than himself, and between two $\theta_{\rho a \nu i \tau a \iota}$ on a higher level The $\theta_{il}\lambda_{a\mu}i\tau_{\eta s}$, as the Scholiast still. also observes, used, being nearest the

water, the shortest oar, and received the smallest pay.

1075. $\mu\nu\theta\hat{\omega}\sigma a\iota$] To bedaub with dung. Allusion is made in Eccl. 647, 648; Plutus 313, 314, to an instance of this horseplay, of which one Aristyllus was the willing, or unwilling, victim.

1076. $d\nu\tau\iota\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota$] Here, as indeed in $\epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{a} s$ in the preceding line, is one of those changes from plural to singular which constantly occur in these comedies. See for example the note on Wasps 554. Fritzsche thinks that Dionysus is here referring to what took place after the battle of Arginusae, when the generals being divided in opinion as to whether they should sail at once to Mitylene or stay to pick up the floating corpses, τούς στρατιώτας διά τε την έκ της μάχης κακοπάθειαν και δια το μέγεθος των κυμάτων αντιλέγειν πρός την αναίρεσιν τών νεκρών.--Diod. Sic. xiii. 100. And if this be so, it affords a strong argument in favour of the wider signification given to rows $\pi a \rho a \lambda o v s$, supra 1071. But probably Aristophanes is not specially referring to one isolated instance of insubordination.

οὐ προαγωγοὺς κατέδειξ' οὖτος, καὶ τικτούσας ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς, 1080 καὶ μιγνυμένας τοῖσιν ἀδελφοῖς, καὶ φασκούσας οὐ ζῆν τὸ ζῆν; κậτ' ἐκ τούτων ἡ πόλις ἡμῶν ὑπογραμματέων ἀνεμεστώθη καὶ βωμολόχων δημοπιθήκων 1085 ἐξαπατώντων τὸν δῆμον ἀεί· λαμπάδα δ' οὐδεὶς οἶός τε φέρειν ὑπ' ἀγυμνασίας ἔτι νυνί.

1079. προαγωγούς κ.τ.λ.] The Scholiast and Commentators supply specimens of the characters to which Aeschylus is taking objection. The nurse in the Hippolytus is a sufficient example of the $\pi \rho o \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \phi s$. We know from Clemens Alexandrinus (Strom. vii. 4. 23) that Auge, in the tragedy called by her name, not only gave birth to a child (Telephus) in Athene's temple, but, on Athene's expressing her indignation at such conduct, entered into a truly Euripidean argument to convince the goddess that her anger was illogical. Aristophanes had already, in Clouds 1372, denounced the portrayal, in the Aeolus, of the incestuous loves of Macareus and Canace, the children of Aeolus. And cf. supra 850, 863, and infra 1475, and the notes there. And as to the suggestion that "Life is Death" see supra 420 and infra 1477.

1083. ἐκ τούτων] And hence it is, says Aoschylus, that the city is full of underclerks and demagogue-buffoons who are always deceiving the people. The latter ...words, ἐξαπατώντων τὸν δῆμον ἀεἰ, apply to both classes alike. And, by omitting

1

them, Meineke has struck out the only ground which Aristophanes gives for objecting to these $i\pi o \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i_s$. Euripides is accused, not of merely filling the city with $i\pi o\gamma\rho a\mu\mu a\tau\epsilon \hat{i}s$ (who in their place might be useful enough), but of filling it with ὑπογραμματεῖs who, presuming on the argumentative cleverness which he had taught them, intruded themselves into the public discussions, and so were for ever deceiving the people. By the term $i\pi o \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon i s$ we are not to understand the holders of any particular office: they were under-clerks of any description, who, as Dr. Hölden truly remarks, were amongst the lowest and most despised of the citizens. Dr. Holden very appropriately cites Lysias against Nicomachus, 37, "Our forefathers selected men such as Solon and Themistocles and Pericles to make their laws; but ye choose Tisamenus and Nicomachus, rai έτέρους ανθρώπους ύπογραμματέας." And Dr. Blaydes adds Demosthenes, de Falsa Legatione, 262; "We think unoypauματέας και τους τυχόντας ανθρώπους fit to be ambassadors or generals, and to

166

Consider the scandalous scenes that he draws, His bawds, and his panders, his women who give Give birth in the sacredest shrine, Whilst others with brothers are wedded and bedded, And others opine That " not to be living " is truly " to live. And therefore our city is swarming to-day With clerks and with demagogue-monkeys, who play Their jackanape tricks at all times, in all places, Deluding the people of Athens; but none Has training enough in athletics to run With the torch in his hand at the races.

hold the highest offices of state."

1086. έξαπατώντων τόν δημον] These are the words of a comic poet : but the self-same language is held by both Xenophon and Aristotle about the events of this troubled period. The condemnation of the victorious generals after Arginusae is in the Polity of Athens, chap. 34, ascribed to this trickery, έξαπατηθέντος του δήμου δια τους παροργίσαντας. And Xenophon (Hellenics, i. 7. 39) says that before long the Athenians repented of this terrible act, and resolved to prosecute the men who τον δημον έξηπάτησαν. So the people are described as rejecting the last overtures of Sparta, $\epsilon \xi a \pi a \tau \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\delta \pi \delta$ Kλεοφώντος.--Polity of Athens, chap. 34. And cf. Id. ch. 28.

1087. $\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{a} \delta a \dots \dot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon w$] From want of athletic training nobody is able any longer now to carry the torch in the races. The allusion is to the torchrace, $\lambda a \mu \pi a \delta \eta \phi \rho \rho \dot{a}$, in the special form which it assumed at the Panathenaea, and probably at

some other festivals. The course, commencing, as Pausanius tells us (Attica, xxx. 2), from the altar of Prometheus, situated in that part of the outer Cerameicus which afterwards became so famous under the name of the Academy, passed through the Thriasian gates (otherwise called $\tau \delta \Delta i \pi v \lambda o \nu$) and on to the Acropolis of Athens. Each runner carried a flaming torch, and the race was won, not necessarily by the man who arrived first at the goal, but by him who was the first to arrive with his torch unextinguished. The Academy was nearly a mile beyond the Thriasian gates, which divided the outer from the inner Cerameicus, so that the entire course was somewhat long and arduous for an untrained runner. This torchrace must not be confounded with that which was run at the Hephaesteia, when the torch was passed on by one runner to another (Hdt. viii. 98), though doubtless both started from the same place, since the altar at the entrance of the

ΔI.	μὰ Δί' οὐ δῆθ', ὥστ' ἐπαφαυάνθην Παναθηναίοισι γελῶν, ὅτε δὴ	1090
	βραδὺς ἄνθρωπός τις ἔθει κύψας	
	λευκὸς, πίων, ὑπολειπόμενος,	
	καὶ δεινὰ ποιῶν· κἆθ' οἱ Κεραμῆs	
	έν ταίσι πύλαις παίουσ' αὐτοῦ	
	γαστέρα, πλευρὰς, λαγόνας, πυγήν	1095
	ό δὲ τυπτόμενος ταίσι πλατείαις	
	ύποπερδόμενος	
	φυσῶν τὴν λαμπάδ' ἔφευγε.	
XO.	μέγα τὸ πρâγμα, πολὺ τὸ νεῖκος, ἁδρὸς ὁ πόλεμος ἔρχεται.	στρ.
	χαλεπόν οὖν ἕργον διαιρεῖν,	1100
	όταν δ μέν τείνη βιαίως,	
	ό δ' ἐπαναστρέφειν δύνηται κάπερείδεσθαι τορῶς.	

άλλὰ μὴ 'ν ταὐτῷ καθῆσθον.

great $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu os$ of Athene in the Academy seems to have been common to both Prometheus and Hephaestus. See the Scholiast on Oed. Col. 56.

1089. $\epsilon \pi a \phi a v a \nu \theta \eta v$] 'E $\xi \eta \rho \dot{a} v \theta \eta v$.—Scholiast. My throat was parched with laughing. Dionysus speaks throughout as a mere Athenian citizen.

1092. ὑπολειπόμενος] Falling behind, and so becoming one of the laggards mentioned in the next note. The words δεινà ποιῶν making a dreadful pother are wide enough to include any contortions or noises which a tired runner may make, grimaces, gesticulations, puffing and blowing, groaning, or the like.

1093. oi $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\mu\eta s$] Oi $\tau \delta\nu$ $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\mu\epsilon k\delta\nu$ oiko $\delta\nu\tau\epsilon s$.— Scholiast. They were so much in the habit of administering these pleasant little attentions to the laggards in the torchrace, that $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\mu\epsilon \kappa ai \pi\lambda\eta\gamma ai$ became, according to the Scholiast, a well-recognized phrase. The Scholiast quotes the following lines from the earlier Plutus of Aristophanes :—

Τών λαμπαδηφόρων τε πλείστων αἰτίαν τοῖς ὑστάτοις πλατειών.

The suggestion of Ritter (Dissertation on the Plutus) and Bergk (in Meineke's Fragm. Com. Graec. ii. 1130) that these words are spoken of Poverty is in the highest degree improbable; see Plutus 559-561. They were more probably spoken of ' $A\rho\gamma ia$, ' $A\gamma\nu\mu\nu a\sigma ia$ or some other attendant on wealth. The gates at which the slappers awaited the runners were of course the Thriasian Dio. By the Powers, you are right! At the Panathenaea
I laughed till I felt like a potsherd to see a
Pale, paunchy young gentleman pounding along,
With his head butting forward, the last of the throng,
In the direst of straits; and behold at the gates,
The Ceramites flapped him, and smacked him, and slapped him,
In the ribs, and the loin, and the flank, and the groin,
And still, as they spanked him, he puffed and he panted,
Till at one mighty cuff, he discharged such a puff
That he blew out his torch and levanted.

Снок. Dread the battle, and stout the combat, mighty and manifold looms the war. Hard to decide in the fight they're waging, One like a stormy tempest raging, One alert in the rally and skirmish, clever to parry and foin and spar.

Nay but don't be content to sit

gates, which, however wide themselves, were narrow in comparison with the open roads extending on either side; see Livy, xxxi. 24.—Leake's Topography of Athens, i. 222. With $\pi\lambda a\tau\epsilon i as$, as the Scholiast observes, we must understand $\chi\epsilon\rho\sigma$, the blows being delivered with the open hand.

1098. $\phi \upsilon \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu$] Blowing out, extinguishing, the borch. The participle $i\pi \sigma$ - $\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ explains the way in which the torch was extinguished, just as the participle $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu$, supra 1090, explains the way in which the speaker's throat became parched.

1099. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \tau \delta \pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] The serious contest dealing with the real merits and defects of the two dramatists is over; the minor conflicts which follow, the Battles of the Prologues, of the Melodies, and of the Weights, are really

little more than flashes of comic wit. Before they commence, the Chorus sing a trochaic strophe and antistrophe of ten lines each. The strophe starts with a very polysyllabic line, which at first sight we should hardly suspect of being an ordinary trochaic tetraineter catalectic, the exact equivalent of the more sober $\epsilon i \sigma \beta o \lambda a \gamma a \rho \epsilon i \sigma \iota \pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi a \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$ $\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \mu a \tau \omega \nu$ below.

1101. $\tau \epsilon i \nu \eta \beta i a i \omega s$] Aeschylus presses on with impetuous vehemence like a resistless whirlwind. Euripides, forced to give way at first, is quick to wheel round and deliver an attack $\tau o \rho \hat{\omega} s$, that is, with shrewdness and precision. The language might well be applied to the combat between a Spanish bull and matador.

1103. μη 'ν ταίτω καθησθον] After the four preliminary lines, which refer to

εἰσβολαὶ γάρ εἰσι πολλαὶ χἄτεραι σοφισμάτων.	
ό τι περ οῦν ἔχετον ἐρίζειν,	1105
λέγετον, έπιτον, ἀνά τε δέρετον,	
τά τε παλαιὰ καὶ τὰ καινὰ,	
κἀποκινδυνεύετον λεπτόν τι καὶ σοφὸν λέγειν.	
εἰ δὲ τοῦτο καταφοβεῖσθον, μή τις ἀμαθία προσῆ	άντ.
τοῖς θεωμένοισιν, ὡς τὰ	1110
λεπτὰ μὴ γνῶναι λεγόντοιν,	
μηδέν ὀρρωδείτε τοῦθ' ὡς οὐκ ἔθ' οὕτω ταῦτ' ἔχει.	
έστρατευμένοι γάρ είσι,	
βιβλίον τ' έχων ἕκαστος μανθάνει τὰ δεξιά.	•
αί φύσεις τ' άλλως κράτισται,	1115
νῦν δὲ καὶ παρηκόνηνται.	
μηδέν οὖν δείσητον, ἀλλὰ	

the preceding combat, the Chorus turn to the combatants, and exhort them not to rest content with one trial of skill, that is to say with discussing the general objects and tendencies of their dramatic compositions; for there are yet many other onslaughts for their rival wits to deliver. They have yet to debate those secondary matters of which mention has been made in the note on 1099 supra.

1109. $\epsilon i \ \delta \epsilon \ \tau o \bar{v} \tau o \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] No one can read these comedies without being struck by the confidence which their author exhibits that his entire audience —one might almost say the whole Athenian people—will be shrewd enough and well-read enough at once to detect and appreciate the slightest allusion he may make to the works of their famous tragedians. Doubtless his confidence as a rule was justified : yet occasionally of course a point here and there would be missed; and nowhere would this mishap be more likely to occur than in the ensuing scenes, dealing as they do not with one particular play, but with isolated sentences and isolated verses. culled from the whole range of Aeschylean and Euripidean literature, old as well as new, τά τε παλαιά και τα καινά. From this antistrophe we may gather that many points were in fact missed on the first exhibition of the Frogs. And therefore, in the play before us, which is the Frogs as revised for the second performance, the Chorus encourage the rivals by alleging that this will no longer be the case, oùr $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta$ our ταῦτ' ἔχει. The audience are now έστρατευμένοι, they are no more novices, they have already been through the Always in one position only : many the fields for your keen-edged wit.

On then, wrangle in every way,

Argue, battle, be flayed and flay,

Old and new from your stores display,

Yea, and strive with venturesome daring something subtle and neat to say.

Fear ye this, that to-day's spectators lack the grace of artistic lore,

Lack the knowledge they need for taking

All the points ye will soon be making?

- Fear it not: the alarm is groundless: that, be sure, is the case no more. All have fought the campaign ere this:
- Each a book of the words is holding; never a single point they'll miss. Bright their natures, and now, I ween, Newly whetted, and sharp, and keen.

Dread not any defect of wit,

campaign, they have already witnessed the play. More than that, each has now got a book of the words $(\beta_{\iota}\beta\lambda_{\iota}io\nu)$, libretto), and so will understand all the witticisms. Tà de Erá. So far as the audience are concerned therefore, $\theta \epsilon a$ - $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ o \tilde{\nu} \nu \epsilon \chi'$, the poets need be under no apprehension. This, I think, is the true interpretation of the antistrophe. Lessing's suggestion that by $\epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu\mu$ we are to understand the slaves who won their freedom at Arginusae is at first somewhat attractive, but the subsequent statement, $ai \phi i \sigma \epsilon i s \kappa \rho a \tau i \sigma \tau a i$, shows clearly enough that the Chorus are speaking of freeborn Athenian citizens. The explanations given by the commentators seem to me very wide of the mark. Differing on the one point whether egrpareunévoi is to be understood of real military expeditions, or of

studious exercises, they all agree in referring the words $\beta_{i}\beta\lambda_{i}\delta\nu$ τ' $\xi\chi\omega\nu$ *έκαστοs* to the increased book-learning of the Athenian people. Bergler's translation, Nam exercitati sunt, et librum quisque habens discit sapientiam, is adopted without alteration by Brunck. Mitchell pictures "ten or fifteen thousand spectators, each with a philosophical treatise in his hand." Bothe observes, " ¿στρατευμένους dicit litterariâ laude claros." Fritzsche, " prudentiores sunt qui militaverint, propterea quod usu magis sunt exercitati." Paley. "The march of intellect in young Athens has been so great that every one now is literary, and has seen the world in many military expeditions." And so Mr. Green and Dr. Merry.

πάντ' ἐπέξιτον, θεατών γ' ούνεχ', ώς όντων σοφών.

ΕΎ.	καὶ μὴν ἐπ' αὐτοὺς τοὺς προλόγους σου τρέψομαι,	
	őπωs τὸ πρῶτον τῆs τραγφδίαs μέροs	1120
	πρώτιστον αὐτοῦ βασανιῶ τοῦ δεξιοῦ.	
	άσαφης γαρ ην έν τη φράσει των πραγμάτων.	
ΔI.	καὶ ποῖον αὐτοῦ βασανιεῖς; ΕΥ. πολλοὺς πάνυ.	

πρῶτον δέ μοι τὸν ἐξ ἘΟρεστείας λέγε.

ΔΙ. ἄγε δη σιώπα πας ἀνήρ. λέγ', Αἰσχύλε. ΑΙΣ. Ἐρμῆ χθόνιε, πατρῷ' ἐποπτεύων κράτη,

σωτὴρ γενοῦ μοι σύμμαχός τ' αἰτουμένῳ.

1120. πρῶτον ... πρώτιστον] Euripides himself says in Medea 475 ἐκ τῶν δὲ πρώτων πρῶτον ἄρξομαι λέγειν. The words τοῦ δεξιοῦ are in apposition, so to say, to αὐτοῦ. Compare Peace 2 δὸς αὐτῷ, τῷ κάκιστ' ἀπολουμένῳ. We are now commencing the Battle of the Prologues.

1124. έξ 'Ορεστείας] Τετραλογίαν φέρουσι την 'Ορέστειαν αι διδασκαλίαι, 'Αγαμέμνονα, Χοηφόρους, Εύμενίδας, Πρωτέα 'Αρίσταρχος καὶ 'Απολλώνιος σατυρικόν. τριλογίαν λέγουσι, χωρίς τῶν σατυρικῶν.--Scholiast. The notion that this name included the entire trilogy (or tetralogy) has been too readily accepted, and scholars have possibly been a little too ingenious in discovering or devising special names for special trilogies. It is not likely that the author himself bestowed a name on the complete trilogy, over and above the names of the several plays; it is more probable that the wider name subsequently came into use as a convenient mode of reference to a group of plays (whether combined in a trilogy or not) dealing

with one and the same personage. Thus the Prometheus $\pi v \rho \phi \delta \rho \sigma s$, the Prometheus $\delta\epsilon\sigma\mu\dot{\omega}\tau\eta s$, the Prometheus $\lambda v \delta \mu \epsilon v o s$, and, it may be, the satyric Prometheus $\pi \nu \rho \kappa a \epsilon \dot{\nu} s$ were known as the Prometheuses, of $\Pi \rho o \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i s$, a name very unlikely to have been given them by Aeschylus himself. The Aukoupyia may well have comprised the 'Howvous, the Baσσαρίδas, the Nεανίσκουs, and the satyric Λυκοῦργον (Thesm. 135 and the Scholiast there) since in all these plays Lycurgus appears to have formed the most prominent character. See Hermann's Opuscula, vol. v. But it is to my mind inconceivable that so great a play as the Agamemnon should have gone to make up a group which went by the secondary name of the Oresteia. I believe that the Oresteia meant simply the group of plays which dealt with the story of Orestes, and comprised therefore the Choephoroe, the Eumenides, and possibly other plays, but not the Agamemnon: just as the Electra, the Orestes, the Iphigeneia in Tauris, the Andromache, and possibly other plays,

Battle away without misgiving, sure that the audience, at least, are fit.

EUR. Well then I'll turn me to your prologues now, Beginning first to test the first beginning Of this fine poet's plays. Why he's obscure Even in the enunciation of the facts.

DIO. Which of them will you test? EUR. Many: but first Give us that famous one from the Oresteia.

DIO. St! Silence all! Now, Aeschylus, begin.

AESCH. Grave Hermes, witnessing a father's power, Be thon my saviour and mine aid to-day,

may have formed the Oresteia of Euripides. And this seems implied by the present passage. It is obvious that Euripides is referring to the individual prologue which Aeschylus immediately begins to recite : and-unless the words can mean, as I have translated them, "the well-known prologue" (the prologue to the Choephoroe being spoken by the chief character, whilst the prologists of the Agamemnon and Eumenides are subordinate personages who never appear again)-it is difficult, on the assumption that the Oresteia included the entire trilogy, to see how they can have pointed to the prológue of the Choephoroe. But understand the Oresteia to mean "the Orestes-group of plays," and the prologue of the Oresteia can mean nothing else than the prologue of the Choephoroe.

1126. $[E\rho\mu\hat{\eta} \ \chi\theta\delta\nu\iota\epsilon]$ Hermes of the nether world, take to thyself thy father's power, and become, to me thy suppliant, a saviour and ally: that is, "as thy father is $Z\epsilon\hat{\upsilon}s \ \sigma\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\rho$, so be thou to me $[E\rho\mu\hat{\eta}s \ \sigma\omega\tau\hat{\eta}\rho$." The appeal is made to Hermes in his character of χθόνιος (πομπαίον Έρμην χθόνιον, Ajax 832). because in that character he has already conducted the shade of Agamemnon to the world below, and is about to conduct thither the shades of Aegisthus and Clytaemnestra. It seemed necessary to adapt the translation to the pun below, 1149. enonreveuv, from its use in connexion with the Eleusinian mysteries, acquired the signification of "participating in," as well as "gazing upon." The expression πατρώ' ἐποπτεύων κράτη is explained by Aristophanes in 1146 as equivalent to πατρώον τοῦτο κέκτηται vépas.

This passage is notable, not only for the criticism it contains, but because here only has been preserved the noble and solemn exordium of the Choephoroe of Aeschylus. The Medicean MS. commences the play, inappropriately enough, with the words $\tau i \chi \rho \eta \mu a \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \omega$; and these lines were first restored to their proper place in Canter's edition, A. D. 1580.

ήκω γὰρ ẻs γῆν τήνδε καὶ κατέρχομαι.	•
ΔΙ. τούτων έχεις ψέγειν τι; ΕΥ. πλείν ή δώδεκα.	
ΔΙ. ἀλλ' οὐδὲ πάντα ταῦτά γ' ἔστ' ἀλλ' ἢ τρία.	1130
ΕΥ. ἕχει δ' ἕκαστον είκοσίν γ' ἁμαρτίας.	
ΔΙ. Αἰσχύλε, παραινῶ σοι σιωπâν· εἰ δὲ μη,	
πρός τρισίν ἰαμβείοισι προσοφείλων φανεί.	
AIΣ. ἐγὼ σιωπῶ τῷδ'; Δ Ι. ἐὰν πείθη γ' ἐμοί.	
ΕΥ. εὐθὺς γὰρ ἡμάρτηκεν οὐράνιόν γ' ὅσον.	1135
AIΣ. δρậs ὅτι ληρεῖs; Δ Ι. ἀλλ' ὀλίγον γέ μοι μέλει.	
ΑΙΣ. πῶς φής μ' ἁμαρτεῖν; ΕΥ. αὖθις ἐξ ἀρχῆς λέγε.	
ΑΙΣ. Έρμη χθόνιε, πατρφ' ἐποπτεύων κράτη.	
ΕΥ. οὔκουν 'Ορέστης τοῦτ' ἐπὶ τῷ τύμβῳ λέγει	
τῷ τοῦ πατρὸς τεθνεῶτος; ΑΙΣ. οὐκ ἄλλως λέγω.	1140
ΕΥ. πότερ' οὖν τὸν Ἐρμῆν, ὡς ὁ πατὴρ ἀπώλετο	
αὐτοῦ βιαίως ἐκ γυναικείας χερός	
δόλοις λαθραίοις, ταῦτ' ἐποπτεύειν ἔφη ;	
ΑΙΣ. οὐ δῆτ' ἐκείνον, ἀλλὰ τὸν Ἐριούνιον	

1130. $\tau\rho ia$] "Em η η $ia\mu\beta\epsilon ia.$ —Scholiast. See three lines below where Dionysus says, "If you don't keep quiet, besides having your $\tau\rho ia$ $ia\mu\beta\epsilon ia$ cut up, you will incur further punishment," perhaps threatening to strike him, as supra 1024. Line 1134 is repeated, with $\pi\rho i\omega\mu a\iota$ substituted for $\sigma\iota\omega\pi\hat{\omega}$, 1229 infra.

1136. δρộs ὅτι ληρείς;] Ὁ Αἰσχύλος φησὶ πρὸς τὸν Διόνυσον.—Scholiast. It is preposterous, he means, to enjoin me to keep silence, in the face of such outrageous attacks as these. Dionysus had purposed himself to discuss the matter with Euripides: but the impetuosity of Aeschylus is not to be gainsaid, and with the words ὀλίγον $\mu o \mu i \mu i \lambda \epsilon_i$, he leaves the poet to take his own course. So Mitchell rightly understands the line.

1140. οὐκ ἄλλως λέγω] I say not otherwise. I do not deny it.

1141. $\delta s \ \delta \ \pi a \tau \eta \rho \ d\pi \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \tau \sigma$] To prove the $\dot{a}\sigma \dot{a}\phi\epsilon_{ia}$ of Aeschylus, he affixes to three words in the first line a meaning which Aeschylus never intended, but which they might well bear, and which indeed some eminent critics, both ancient and modern, consider to be their true meaning. He takes $\pi a \tau \rho \tilde{\rho} a$ to mean, not "thy father's," but "my father's"; $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \sigma \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega_{\tau}$, not "participating in," but "surveying"; and $\kappa \rho \dot{a} \tau \eta$, not "authority," but "realm." Why then, asks Euripides, does Orestes at this

174

For here I come and hither I return.

DIO. Any fault there? EUR. A dozen faults and more.

Dio. Eh! why the lines are only three in all.

EUR. But every one contains a score of faults.

DIO. Now Aeschylus, keep silent; if you don't You won't get off with three iambic lines.

AESCH. Silent for him ! DIO. If my advice you'll take.

EUR. Why, at first starting here's a fault skyhigh.

AESCH. (To Dio.) You see your folly? DIO. Have your way; I care not.

AESCH. (To Eur.) What is my fault? EUR. Begin the lines again.

AESCH. Grave Hermes, witnessing a father's power-

EUR. And this beside his murdered father's grave Orestes speaks? AESCH. I say not otherwise.

EUR. Then does he mean that when his father fell By craft and violence at a woman's hand, The god of craft was witnessing the deed ?

AESCH. It was not he: it was the Helper Hermes

solemn moment address Hermes as the surveyor of his father's realm? Does he mean that the god of craft was an onlooker, when Clytaemnestra by craft destroyed her husband? The description of Agamemnon's death is couched in the language of tragedy, and is possibly, as Hermann suggests, itself borrowed from the lost portion of the prologue of the Choephoroe.

1144. οὐ δῆτ' ἐκεῖνον] Τὸν δόλιον δηλονότι.—Scholiast; δόλιοs being deduced from δόλοιs in the preceding line. "The 'Ερμῆs χθόνιοs whom he addressed was not 'Ερμῆs δόλιοs, but Ερμῆs ἐριούνιοs, and this fact (viz. that it was the ἐριούνιοs) Orestes made clear by saying that he possessed his father's prerogative " of saving. 'Epioúvios, according to the author of the Etymolog. Magn., is derived παρά τὸ ἐρὶ ἐπιτατικὸν καὶ τὴν υνησιν· δ μέγα ώφελων, ώφελιμώτατος γαρ δ 'Epuñs. This all-helpful Hermes might well wield, to some extent, the prerogative of his father $Z\epsilon\dot{\nu}s \sigma\omega\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$, and save Orestes, as in the twenty-fourth Iliad he saved Priam, in his hour of need. A Greek god possessed many and diverse characters, and almost seemed a distinct personality in each. Therefore instead of saying, "He invoked Hermes in this, and not in that, character," Aeschylus says, "He invoked not $E\rho\mu\eta\nu$ δόλιον but 'Ερμην έριούνιον," as if they were two persons, and not the same person viewed in two aspects. Many, but not all, of the

Έρμῆν χθόνιον προσεῖπε, κἀδήλου λέγων	1145
ότιὴ πατρῷον τοῦτο κέκτηται γέρας.	
ΕΥ. έτι μείζον έξήμαρτες ή 'γω' βουλόμην	
εἰ γὰρ πατρῷον τὸ χθόιιον ἔχει γέρας,	
ΔΙ. ούτως αν είη πρός πατρός τυμβωρύχος.	
ΑΙΣ. Διόνυσε, πίνεις οίνον οὐκ ἀνθοσμίαν.	1150
ΔI . λέγ' ἕτερον αὐτῷ· σὺ δ' ἐπιτήρει τὸ βλά β os.	
ΑΙΣ. σωτήρ γενοῦ μοι σύμμαχός τ' αἰτουμένω.	
ήκω γάρ ές γην τήνδε και κατέρχομαι.	
ΕΥ. δὶς ταυτὸν ἡμῖν εἶπεν ὁ σοφὸς Αἰσχύλος.	
ΔΙ. πως δίς; ΕΥ. σκόπει τὸ βημ'· ἐγὼ δέ σοι φράσω.	1155
ήκω γ ὰρ ἐ ς γῆν, φησὶ, καὶ κατέρχομαι·	
ήκω δὲ ταυτόν ἐστι τῷ κατέρχομαι.	
ΔΙ. νη τον Δί', ώσπερ γ' εί τις είποι γείτονι,	
χρήσον σὺ μάκτραν, εἰ δὲ βούλει, κάρδοπον.	

offices of Hermes are enumerated in Plutus 1153–1170, where he is presented successively as $\Sigma \tau \rho o \phi a \hat{\iota} o s$, 'Eµ $\pi o \lambda a \hat{\iota} o s$, $\Delta \delta - \lambda \iota o s$, 'H $\gamma \epsilon \mu \delta \nu \iota o s$, 'Eµ $\pi o \lambda a \delta \sigma \nu \iota \kappa \delta s$.

1149. τυμβωρύχος] Euripides again misinterprets the words of Aeschylus, taking $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} o \nu$ yépas to refer to $\chi \theta \dot{\phi} \nu i o \nu$, whereas it refers to ¿ριούνιον. Dionysus now breaks in with an unseemly joke. If Hermes is invoked $\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\upsilon} \mu \beta \varphi$ as $\chi\theta$ óvios or κατα $\chi\theta$ óvios, he must be a $\tau \nu \mu \beta \omega \rho \nu \chi os$, and this unsavoury business therefore is what he derived from his father. $\tau \nu \mu \beta \omega \rho \dot{\nu} \chi os$, properly a rifler of graves, became (like τοιχωρύχος, Clouds 1327, Plutus 909, 1141, etc.) a simple term of abuse. Εἰρωνεύη ταῦτα $\pi \rho \delta s \epsilon \mu \epsilon$, says Timocles in Lucian's Jupiter Tragoedus 52, τυμβωρύχε, και μιαρέ, καὶ κατάπτυστε, καὶ μαστιγία, καὶ κάθαρμα.

1150. $\pi i \nu \epsilon i s o i \nu o \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$] He means (to adapt the language of the translation), "Bacchus, the joke you make is stale and fusty"; but as addressing the god of wine, he substitutes "the wine you drink " for "the joke you make " : just as in Wasps 525 the old dicast, for κύλικα, the cup, substitutes $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta \nu$, the dicastic fee. The expression oivos avo- $\sigma\mu$ ias, "wine with a bouquet," is of very frequent occurrence. In Plutus 807 and Achilles Tatius ii. 2 it has the epithet $\mu \epsilon \lambda as$, blood-red, attached to it; and in Longus (Pastorals iv. 8) a wine is called άνθοσμίας οίνος Λέσβιος, ποθήναι κάλλιστος olvos. When the Lacedaemonian soldiers (B.C. 373) found themselves amidst the wealth and luxury of Corcyra, they grew so dainty, Xenophon tells us (Hell. vi. 2, 6), that they would touch no wine, εἰ μὴ ἀνθοσμίας είη. Saint

He called the grave: and this he showed by adding It was his sire's prerogative he held.

EUR. Why this is worse than all. If from his father He held this office grave, why then— DIO. He was A graveyard rifler on his father's side.

AESCH. Bacchus, the wine you drink is stale and fusty.

- DIO. Give him another: (to Eur.) you, look out for faults.
- AESCH. Be thou my saviour and mine aid to-day, For here I come, and hither I return.
- EUR. The same thing twice says clever Aeschylus.
- DIO. How twice? EUR. Why, just consider: I'll explain."I come," says he; and "I return," says he: It's the same thing, to "come" and to "return."
- DIO. Aye, just as if you said, "Good fellow, lend me A kneading trough : likewise, a trough to knead in."

Chrysostom (de Annâ v. 3), arguing that the pleasures of the poor are more real than those of the luxurious, says $o\dot{v}\chi$ $o\ddot{v}\tau\omega$ $\tau\dot{o}$ $\pi(\nu\epsilon\iota\nu \ o\ddot{v}\rho\nu \ \dot{\eta}\dot{\partial}\dot{v}\nu$ kai $\dot{a}\nu\theta\sigma\mu(a\nu,$ $\dot{\omega}s \tau\dot{o}$ $\delta\iota\psi\hat{\omega}\nu\tau as \pi(\nu\epsilon\iota\nu \ \ddot{v}\dot{\partial}\omega\rho, \epsilon\dot{v}\phi\rhoa(\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$ $<math>\epsilon\ddot{\omega}\theta\epsilon\nu' \ o\dot{v}\chi \ o\ddot{v}\tau\omega \ \tau\dot{o} \ \pi\lambda a\kappa o\ddot{v}\tau as \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta(\epsilon\iota\nu, \ \dot{\omega}s$ $<math>\tau\dot{o} \ \pi\epsilon\iota\nu\hat{\omega}\nu\tau as \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta(\epsilon\iota\nu.$ Athenaeus (i. 58) quotes the recipe for making wine $(\dot{a}\nu\theta\sigma\mu(a\nu))$ given by Phanias the Lesbian philosopher, "Pour one measure of seawater into 50 measures of new wine, and it becomes $\dot{a}\nu\theta\sigma\mu(as."$ Greek wine generally was noted for its pleasant fragrance, Eur. Cyclops 153; especially the Thasian.

1159. χρησον κ.τ.λ.] Lend me a μάκτμα, and also if you please a κάρδοπος; the two names of course signifying one and the same thing, viz. a kneading trough. μάκτρα is the term used in the Plutus, κάρδοπος in the Clouds. The

words $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \beta o \hat{\iota} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ appear to introduce not an alternative, but an addition. In Xenophon's Memorabilia (iii. 5) Socrates is recounting the military achievements of Athens: "Consider," he says, "their successes in old time under Erechtheus and Theseus, and add what in later days their descendants have done, εί δε βούλει, ά ύστερον οι εκείνων απόγονοι $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\rho a\xi a\nu$." So the Platonic Socrates (Phaedrus, chap. 5), dilating on the amenities of the spot to which Phaedrus has brought him, enumerates the planetree, the willow, the stream, and goes on $\epsilon i \delta' a \tilde{v} \beta o \tilde{v} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ (and besides) how sweet and pleasant is the air. In Alciphron (iii. 18) a writer, inviting his friend to a merrymaking, says, "And do not come alone, but bring your wife and children, εἰ βούλοιο δέ καί την κύνα."

ΑΙΣ. οὐ δῆτα τοῦτό γ', ὦ κατεστωμυλμένε	1160
άνθρωπε, ταὕτ' ἔστ', ἀλλ' άριστ' ἐπῶν ἔχον.	
ΔΙ. πως δή; δίδαξον γάρ με καθ' ό τι δη λέγεις.	
ΑΙΣ. ἐλθείν μέν είς γην έσθ' ὅτω μετή πάτρας·	
χωρίς γὰρ ἄλλης συμφορᾶς ἐλήλυθεν	
φεύγων δ' άνηρ ήκει τε και κατέρχεται.	1165
ΔΙ. εὖ νὴ τὸν ᾿Απόλλω. τί σὺ λέγεις, Εὐριπίδη;	
ΕΥ. οὐ φημὶ τὸν ἘΟρέστην κατελθεῖν οἴκαδε·	
λάθρα γαρ ήλθεν, ου πιθων τους κυρίους.	
ΔΙ. εὖ νὴ τὸν Ἐρμῆν· ὅ τι λέγεις δ' οὐ μανθάνω.	
ΕΥ. πέραινε τοίνυν έτερον. ΔΙ. ίθι πέραινε συ,	1170
Αἰσχύλ', ἀνύσας· σὺ δ' εἰς τὸ κακὸν ἀπόβλεπε.	
ΑΙΣ. τύμβου δ' ἐπ' ὄχθφ τῷδε κηρύσσω πατρὶ	
κλύειν, άκοῦσαι. ΕΥ. τοῦθ ἕτερον αὖ δὶς λέγει,	
κλύειν, ἀκοῦσαι, ταὐτὸν ὃν σαφέστατα.	
-	

1160. κατεστωμυλμένε] You chatterbox of a man. The words are apparently addressed to Dionysus, just as in Birds 1638 Heracles says, δ δαιμόνι' ἀνθρώπων Πόσειδον. And cf. infra 1472. "Hinc opinor," says Fritzsche, "Phrynichus, Bekkeri, p. 45, 25, κατεστωμυλμένοs. ' πολλη τη στωμυλία χρώμενοs." But it is very probable that the word is borrowed by Aristophanes from one of the lost plays of Aeschylus.

1161. ἄριστ' ἐπῶν ἔχον] Ἐστιν ἔχον is equivalent, as Brunck observes, to ἔχει, and ἄριστ' ἔχει is equivalent to ἄριστόν ἐστιν. Brunck cites Plutus 371 τὸ δ' ἐστιν οὐ τοιοῦτον, ἀλλ' ἐτέρωs ἔχον, and Blaydes, Clouds 522 καὶ ταύτην σοφώτατ' ἔχειν τῶν ἐμῶν κωμφδιῶν, and Thesm. 260 νὴ Δί' ἀλλ' ἄριστ' ἔχει. Compare Lucian, Jupiter Tragoedus 53 τὸ τοῦ Δαρείου πάνυ καλῶς ἔχον ἐστὶν, for πάνυ καλόν ἐστιν.

1163. ὅτφ μετῆ πάτρας] ^{*}Ωι ἐξουσία ἐστὶ τῆς πατρίδος (that is, who is at liberty to live in his fatherland). ἰδίως δὲ ἐπὶ τῶν ψυγάδων χρῶνται τῷ "κατέρχεται." —Scholiast. When you say that a man ἤκει to a country, Aeschylus means, you merely denote his arrival and nothing else : χωρὶς ἄλλης ξυμφορῶς without any other circumstance: but when you say that he κατέρχεται you introduce another circumstance, viz. that he is an exile returning to his fatherland.

1167. κατελθείν] Euripides replies that κατελθείν really means to be recalled, and is therefore inapplicable to Orestes. His contention may seem to derive some countenance from such phrases as that in Thuc. viii. 68 νομίζων ούκ ἅν ποτε αὐτὸν κατὰ τὸ εἰκὸς ὑπ' ὀλιγαρχίας κατελθείν: but it is certain that

178

AESCH. It is not so, you everlasting talker, They're not the same, the words are right enough. D10. How so? inform me how you use the words. AESCH. A man, not banished from his home, may "come" To any land, with no especial chance. A home-bound exile both "returns" and "comes." O good, by Apollo ! D10. What do you say, Euripides, to that? I say Orestes never did "return." EUR. He came in secret : nobody recalled him. O good, by Hermes! DIO. (Aside.) I've not the least suspicion what he means. Repeat another line. DIO. Ay, Aeschylus, EUR. Repeat one instantly: you, mark what's wrong. AESCH. Now on this funeral mound I call my father To hear, to hearken. EUR. There he is again. To "hear," to "hearken"; the same thing, exactly.

κατελθείν, as well as κατιέναι, is commonly used in the wider meaning which Aeschylus gives it here. In the Antigone of Sophocles (200) Polyneices is called $φ_{\nu\gamma}$ in Hdt. v. 30 the Naxian exiles beseech Aristagoras to assist them by force, $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu \epsilon s \tau \eta \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$; in Hdt. v. 62 the exiled Alcmaeonids who fortified Leipsydrium are described as $\pi \epsilon_i \rho \omega \mu \epsilon_{\nu o i}$ κατιέναι, and numberless other passages might be cited in which these words are employed without any thought of recall. The word $\lambda \dot{a} \theta \rho \dot{a}$ in 1168 is not of the essence of the objection : it merely emphasizes the fact that Orestes was not recalled by the rulers of the state.

1171. ἀπόβλεπε] 'Αντί τοῦ παρατήρει τὸ

κακῶς λεγόμενον.—Scholiast. See supra 1151.

1173. κλύειν, ἀκοῦσαι] There is probably no substantial distinction between these two words ; the κλύοντες οὐκ ήκουον of Prometheus 456 resembles the akovovτες οὐκ ἀκούουσιν of St. Matthew's Gospel : and the joke with which Dionysus closes the discussion justifies, rather than denies, the alleged tautology. Fritzsche happily retorts on Euripides his own offences with the same words; ούκ έκλυον, οὐκ ήκουσα.-Phoen. 919. äïes ä, «κλυες ä.-Hipp. 362. And John Wordsworth (in Conington's note on the present line in the Choephoroe) collects a number of similar repetitions from the plays of Euripides.

ΔΙ. τεθνηκόσιν γὰρ ἔλεγεν, ὦ μόχθηρε σὺ, οἶς οὐδὲ τρὶς λέγοντες ἐξικνούμεθα.	1175
ΑΙΣ. συ δε πως εποίεις τους προλόγους; ΕΥ. ενώ φράσω.	
κάν που δὶς ϵἴπω ταυτὸν, ἢ στοιβὴν ἴδῃς	
ένοῦσαν ἔξω τοῦ λόγου, κατάπτυσον.	
ΔΙ. ἴθι δὴ λέγ'· οὐ γάρ μοὐστὶν ἀλλ' ἀκουστέα	1180
τῶν σῶν προλόγων τῆς ὀρθότητος τῶν ἐπῶν.	
ΕΥ. η ν Οιδίπους το πρώτον εὐδαίμων ἀνηρ,	
ΑΙΣ. μὰ τὸν Δί' οὐ δῆτ', ἀλλὰ κακοδαίμων φύσει,	
ὄντινά γε, πρὶν φῦναι μὲν, ˁΑπόλλων ἔφη	
ἀποκτενεῖν τὸν πατέρα, πρὶν καὶ γεγονέναι,	1185
πως ούτος ήν τὸ πρώτον εὐδαίμων ἀνήρ ;	
ΕΥ. εἶτ' ἐγένετ' αὖθις ἀθλιώτατος βροτῶν.	
ΑΙΣ. μὰ τὸν Δί' οὐ δητ', οὐ μὲν οὖν ἐπαύσατο.	

1176. $\tau\rho$ is $\lambda\epsilon'\gamma\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon$ s] He is alluding, as Stanley remarks on this line in the Choephoroe, to the ancient custom of thrice bidding farewell to the dead, a custom commemorated in several wellknown passages which are cited by Stanley there and Spanheim here. In the Odyssey, ix. 63, Odysseus is recounting his repulse from the Thracian coast, with the loss of many of his comrades. And he says :—

Then stood we out to sea, full dismally leaving the coast, Glad from the death to flee, yet grieving for comrades lost. But or ever the keels ran out to the offing, we turned to the shore, THRICE raising the farewell shout to those we should see nevermore, Whom Death in the plain did meet with Ciconian men as they fought.—WAY.

So in Virgil vi. 505 Aeneas, describing to the shade of Deiphobus the honours they had paid to his memory, says:—

Yea, and a vacant mound upon far Rhaetaeum's coast, Built I for thee and THRICE hade loud farewell to thy ghost.—Bower.

"Mortuis dici solet Vale ! Vale ! Vale ! Vale !" says Servius on Aen. ii. 644. Finally in Theocr. xxiii. 44, a despairing lover beseeches his loved one to come to his grave and THRICE call out, $\delta \phi i \lambda \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma a$. But though we call them thrice. says Dionysus, we do not (that is to say, our voice does not) reach them in their graves.

1177. $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega} \phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\omega$] It is now the turn of Euripides to bring his prologues to the test; and he does so with the cheer-

180

Aye, but he's speaking to the dead, you knave, DIO. Who cannot hear us though we call them thrice. AESCH. And how do you make your prologues? EUR. You shall hear: And if you find one single thing said twice, Or any useless padding, spit upon me. Well, fire away: I'm all agog to hear D10. Your very accurate and faultless prologues. A happy man was Oedipus at first-Eur. AESCH. Not so, by Zeus; a most unhappy man. Who, not yet born nor yet conceived, Apollo Foretold would be his father's murderer. How could he be a happy man at first. Then he became the wretchedest of men. Eur. AESCH. Not so, by Zeus; he never ceased to be.

ful alacrity of a man who feels that he will be found (to adopt his own language twenty lines below) rois $\pi \rho o\lambda \delta y ous \kappa a \lambda o \dot{v}s$ $\pi \sigma \iota \epsilon i \nu$. There will be no vain repetitions, no redundant verbiage there. In view of the wholesale ruin which awaits his prologues, the self-satisfied confidence with which he introduces them might well be found highly diverting.

1182. $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ Oldínous] This and line 1187 are the first two lines of the Antigone of Euripides; and the bald juxtaposition of their two statements about Oedipus might seem to invite the criticism of Aristophanes. Euripides himself in the Phoenissae (1595-1611) supplies the arguments, and indeed almost the language, with which Aristophanes demolishes the first line of the Antigone and the $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau o$ of the second. We are told by the Scholiast on 53 supra that the Phoenissae preceded the Frogs by a short interval only: and there is no manner of doubt that Aristophanes was referring, and would be understood by the audience to be referring, to the language and arguments of the tragic play. It is only fair, however, to observe that the view taken in the first two lines of the Antigone, whether right or wrong, is by no means specially Euripidean: the sudden downfall of Oedipus from the height of happiness and prosperity to the lowest depth of adversity was the stock illustration, in ancient times, of the changes and chances of this mortal life: and is displayed with great and impressive skill by Sophocles in the Oedipus Tyrannus. And the closing trochaics in which Sophocles points the contrast between his hero's earlier and later condition, are, strangely enough, transferred almost verbatim by Euripides to the final scene of the Phoenissae.

πῶς γάρ; ὅτε δὴ πρῶτον μὲν αὐτὸν γενόμενον	
χειμῶνος ὄντος ἐξέθεσαν ἐν ὀστράκφ,	1190
ίνα μη 'κτραφεις γένοιτο τοῦ πατρός φονεύς.	
είθ' ώς Πόλυβον ήρρησεν οίδων τω πόδε	
έπειτα γραῦν ἔγημεν αὐτὸς ὢν νέος,	
καὶ πρός γε τούτοις τὴν ἑαυτοῦ μητέρα·	
εἶτ' έξετύφλωσεν αὑτόν. ΔΙ. εὐδαίμων ἄρ' ἦν,	1 195
εἰ κἀστρατήγησέν γε μετ' Ἐρασινίδου.	
ΕΥ. ληρείς· έγὼ δὲ τοὺς προλόγους καλῶς ποιῶ.	
ΑΙΣ. καὶ μὴν μὰ τὸν Δί' οὐ κατ' ἔπος γέ σου κνίσω	
τὸ ῥῆμ' ἕκαστον, ἀλλὰ σὺν τοῖσιν θεοῖς	
άπὸ ληκυθίου σου τοὺς προλόγους διαφθερῶ.	1 2 00
ΕΥ. ἀπὸ ληκυθίου σừ τοὺς ἐμούς ; ΑΙΣ. ἐνὸς μόνου.	
ποιεῖς γὰρ οὕτως ὥστ' ἐναρμόττειν ἅπαν,	
καὶ κωδάριον καὶ ληκύθιον καἱ θυλάκιον,	
έν τοῖς ἰαμβείοισι. δείξω δ' αὐτίκα.	
ΕΥ. ίδου, συ δείξεις; ΑΙΣ. φημί. ΔΙ. και δη χρη λέγ	<i>ϵιν</i> . 1205
ΕΥ. Αίγυπτος, ώς ό πλείστος έσπαρται λόγος,	

1195. $\epsilon \partial \delta a (\mu \omega \nu \ a \rho^{2} \ \eta \nu)$ The meaning of this little speech is not quite clear, but in my opinion Dionysus is alluding to the last preceding words of Aeschylus έξετύφλωσεν αυτόν. "There indeed he was lucky," interprets Dionysus, "if at least he was one of the colleagues of Erasinides." For had he been blind, he would not have joined the fleet (192 supra); would not have won the battle of Arginusae; would not have fallen a victim, as Erasinides did, to the madness of the Athenian people. I take έστρατήγησεν to mean, not (as Bergler and Brunck translate it) si exercitum duxisset but si strategus fuisset. This seems to me both the simplest and the

most satisfactory interpretation. But the passage is generally explained quite otherwise. "Happy indeed was he: he only wanted to be a colleague of Erasinides to complete such happiness," is Mr. Green's rendering, and Dr. Blaydes takes the same view. On the other hand, Dr. Merry explains it : "If Oedipus could be called happy under such a complication of disasters, he would even have been happy if he had been a colleague of Erasinides." The words εὐδαίμων ἄρ' ἦν recur in Plutus 657, but without throwing any light on the passage before us.

1201. ἀπὸ ληκυθίου σὺ τοὺς ἐμούς;] Euripides speaks with the utmost scorn, No sooner born, than they exposed the babe, (And that in winter), in an earthen crock, Lest he should grow a man, and slay his father. Then with both ankles pierced and swoln, he limped Away to Polybus: still young, he married An ancient crone, and her his mother too. Then scratched out both his eyes. DIO. Happy indeed Had he been Erasinides's colleague !

- EUR. Nonsense; I say my prologues are firstrate.
- AESCH. Nay then, by Zeus, no longer line by line I'll maul your phrases: but with heaven to aid I'll smash your prologues with a bottle of oil.
- EUR. You mine with a bottle of oil? AESCH. With only one.
 You frame your prologues so that each and all
 Fit in with a "bottle of oil," or "coverlet-skin,"
 Or "reticule-bag." I'll prove it here, and now.
- EUR. You'll prove it? You? AESCH. I will. DIO. Well then, begin.
- EUR. Aegyptus, sailing with his fifty sons,

 $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}\ \lambda\eta\kappa\upsilon\theta(i\omega)$, with such a paltry and ridiculous weapon as a "bottle of oil," $\sigma\dot{v}$ will you, the old-fashioned poet of a ruder age, smash $\tau o\dot{v}\dot{s}\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\dot{v}s$, not merely the prologues of some obscure poet, but actually MINE, the prologues of the most intellectual tragedian that has adorned the Athenian stage ?

1202. $\omega\sigma\tau' e^{i\nu\alpha\rho\mu\sigma\tau\tau\epsiloni\nu}$ $\tilde{a}\pi a\nu$] Six prologues will be brought to the test; and in each, before the third line, at all events, is concluded, the fatal tag $\lambda\eta\kappa\delta\theta_{i\nu\nu}$ $d\pi\omega\lambda\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\nu$ completes both the sense and the metre. Only one of the six is taken from an extant play, the Iphigenia in Tauris: it is quite accurately cited, and doubtless the remaining five are cited with equal accuracy. For α fuller discussion of all these minor contests the reader is referred to the Introduction.

1206. Αἴγυπτος] In all probability this was the original commencement of the Archelaus, though it had lost its place before the days of the Alexandrine grammarians. The Scholiast says : 'Αρχελάου αῦτη ἐστὶν (quaere οἰκ ἔστιν) ή ἀρχὴ, ὥs τινες ψευδῶs. οὐ γὰρ ψέρεται νῦν Εὐριπίδου λόγος οὐδεὶς τοιοῦτος. οὐ γάρ ἐστι, ψησὶν Ἀρίσταρχος, τοῦ ᾿Αρχελάου, εἰ μὴ αὐτὸς μετέθηκεν ὕστερον, ὁ δὲ 'Ἀριστοφάνης τὸ ἐξ ἀρχῆς κείμενον εἶπε. The commencement of later days has been recovered from various authors,

	ξὺν παισὶ πεντήκοντα ναυτίλω πλάτῃ	
	[*] Αργος κατασχών ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.	
ΕΥ.	τουτί τί ήν το ληκύθιον; οὐ κλαύσεται;	
ΔI.	λέγ' ἕτερον αὐτῷ πρόλογον, ἵνα καὶ γνῶ πάλιν.	1210
ΕΥ.	Διόνυσος, δς θύρσοισι καὶ νεβρῶν δοραῖς	
	καθαπτὸς ἐν πεύκαισι Παρνασσὸν κάτα	
	πηδậ χορεύων, ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.	
ΔI.	οίμοι πεπλήγμεθ' αῦθις ὑπὸ τῆς ληκύθου.	
ΕΥ.	άλλ' οὐδὲν ἔσται πρâγμα· πρòs γàρ τουτονὶ	1215
	τὸν πρόλογον οὐχ ἕξει προσάψαι λήκυθον.	
	ούκ έστιν όστις πάντ' άνηρ εύδαιμονεί.	

and is thus given by Wagner (Fragm. Trag. Graec.) :--

Δαναδς, δ πεντήκοντα θυγατέρων πατὴρ, Νείλου λιπὼν κάλλιστον ἐκ γαίας ὕδωρ, δς ἐκ μελαμβρότοιο πληροῦται ῥοὰς Αἰθιοπίδος γῆς, ἡνίκ' ἂν τακῆ χιὼν, τεθριππεύοντος ἡλίου κατ' αἰθέρα, ἐλθὼν ἐς ΄Αργος, ῷκισ' Ἐνάχου πόλιν, Πελασγιώτας δ' ἀνομασμένους τὸ πρὶν Δαναοὺς καλεῖσθαι νόμον ἔθηκ' ἀν' Ἑλλάδα.

Now the legend of Aegyptus and Danaus, as told by Hyginus (Fab. 168), was as follows. They were brothers, and the former had fifty sons and the latter The former, plotting fifty daughters. to destroy Danaus and his family, demanded that the fifty daughters should be given to his fifty sons. Danaus, aware of the plot, fied with his daughters to Argos, whither they were quickly followed by the fifty sons of Aegyptus (and, according to Euripides, by Aegyptus himself). Danaus finding himself the weaker, was obliged to give his fifty daughters in marriage to their fifty cousins, but counselled them to slav their husbands, which all but Hyper-

mnestra did. The two voyages to Argos are so closely interwoven that Euripides could hardly have commenced one play with an account of the voyage of Danaus, and another with an account of the voyage of Aegyptus, It is far more probable that he originally commenced the Archelaus with an account of the voyage of Aegyptus, which was subsequently discarded either by himself or, as Fritzsche thinks, by the younger Euripides, in favour of the earlier voyage of Danaus. The change could not however have been occasioned, as Fritzsche and others contend, by the satire of Aristophanes, since the $i\lambda\theta\omega\nu$ is 'Apyos of the later prologue is just as amenable As ancient legends mostly tell the tale,

Touching at Argos AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.

EUR. Hang it, what's that? Confound that bottle of oil !

Dio. Give him another : let him try again.

- EUR. Bacchus, who, clad in fawnskins, leaps and bounds With torch and thyrsus in the choral dance Along Parnassus AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.
- Dio. Ah me, we are stricken—with that bottle again!
- EUR. Pooh, pooh, that's nothing. I've a prologue here, He'll never tack his bottle of oil to this: No man is blest in every single thing.

to the ληκύθιον $d\pi$ ώλεσεν as the Άργος κατασχών of the earlier.

1208. $\lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\upsilon} \theta \iota \circ \nu$ $d\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$] The seven syllables displaced by these two words (and of course κωδάριον απώλεσεν or θ υλάκιον ἀπώλεσεν would have had the same effect) form a trochaic dimeter catalectic $- \cup - \cup - \cup -$. And the havoc which the $\lambda \eta \kappa i \theta_{iov}$ wrought amongst the Euripidean prologues made such an impression upon the popular mind that this metre ever thereafter went by the name of the Euripidean or the Lecythian. $\Delta i \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu \kappa a \tau a \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \kappa \delta \nu$, says Hephaestion (chap. 6) in his enumeration of trochaic metres, τὸ καλούμενον Εὐριπίδειον η ληκύθιον. And the Scholiast there explains that it acquired those names δι' 'Αριστοφάνην σκώπτοντα τὸ μέτρον τὸ έφθημιμερές Εὐριπίδου in he present passages. The Scholiast indeed suggests another reason, which is plainly untenable.

1211. $\Delta \iota \delta \nu \nu \sigma \sigma s$] This, the Scholiast tells us, is the commencement of the Hypsipyle, a play to which reference is

elsewhere made in the Frogs. In the tragedy the third line ran $\pi\eta\delta\hat{a}$ $\chi o\rho\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\omega\nu$ $\pi a\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu ois\ \sigma\dot{\upsilon}\nu\ \Delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\nu$.

1214. oĭμοι $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon \theta$] The two famous death cries of Agamemnon, $\omega\mu o\iota \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ - $\mu a \iota$ and $\ddot{\omega} \mu o \iota \mu \dot{a} \lambda' a \vartheta \theta \iota s$ (Aesch. Ag. 1343, 1345), which were repeated by his murderess in Sophocles (Electra 1415, 1416), and are imitated by the sycophant in Plutus 934, 935, are here blended together. Dionysus employs the plural $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \mu \epsilon \theta'$ because, apart from merely metrical reasons, he is here, as in 1228 infra, identifying himself for the moment with the cause and the prologues of Euripides. There is no allusion, as some have fancied, to the fact that, if the preceding three lines are to be taken literally, it is Dionysus himself who has lost his $\lambda \eta \kappa i \theta_{i} o \nu$. The stage Dionysus, both here and in the Iacchus scene above, is far too deeply engrossed in his stage business to take heed of any allusion to himself in any other than his stage character.

1217. oùr čoriv őoris] Euripides, as if

	ή γὰρ πεφυκώs ἐσθλòs οὐκ ἔχει βίον,	
	ή δυσγενής ών ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον απώλεσεν.	
ΔI.	Εὐριπίδη, ΕΥ. τί ἔστιν; ΔΙ. ὑφέσθαι μοι δοκεῖ·	1220
	τὸ ληκύθιον γὰρ τοΰτο πνευσεῖται πολύ.	
ΕΎ.	οὐδ' ἂν μὰ τὴν Δήμητρα φροντίσαιμί γε·	
	νυνὶ γὰρ αὐτοῦ τοῦτό γ' ἐκκεκόψεται.	
ΔI.	ἴθι δὴ λέγ' ἕτερον κἀπέχου τ ῆ ς ληκύθου.	
ΕΎ.	Σιδώνιόν ποτ' άστυ Κάδμος ἐκλιπὼν	1225
	'Αγήνορος παΐς ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.	
$\Delta I.$	ὦ δαιμόνι' ἀνδρῶν, ἀποπρίω τὴν λήκυθον,	
	ίνα μὴ διακναίσῃ τοὺς προλόγους ἡμῶν. ΕΥ. τὸ τί;	
	έγὼ πρίωμαι τῷδ'; ΔΙ. ἐὰν πείθη γ' ἐμοί.	
ΕΎ.	οὐ δῆτ', ἐπεὶ πολλοὺς προλόγους ἔχω λέγειν	1230
	ίν' οὗτος οὐχ ἕξει προσάψαι λήκυθον.	
	Πέλοψ ὁ Ταντάλειος εἰς Πῖσαν μολὼν	
	θοαῖσιν ἵπποις ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.	
$\Delta I.$	όρậs, προσήψεν αὖθις αὖ τὴν λήκυθον.	
	,ἀλλ', ὦγάθ', ἔτι καὶ νῦν ἀπόδος πάσῃ τέχνῃ·	1235

realizing that his historical prologues were peculiarly obnoxious to the $\lambda \eta \kappa i \theta_{i 0 \nu}$ test, chooses for his third example a prologue of an entirely different character. This is the commencement of his Stheneboea, the third line being $\eta \delta v \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\gamma} \delta \nu \pi \lambda o v \sigma i a \nu d \rho o \tilde{\pi} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa a$. It consists of a double apophthegm like the commencement of the Heracleidae, which itself, if adduced, would have fallen a victim to the same test.

1220. ὑφέσθαι μοι δοκεί] I recommend you to lower your sails. Kuster compares Soph. Electra 335, where Chrysothemis says, νῦν δ' ἐν κακοῖς μοι πλεῖν ὑφειμένη δοκεῖ.

1225. Σιδώνιόν ποτ'] The philosophic

exordium having fared no better than its predecessors, Euripides now reverts to the more familiar type, and recites as his fourth example the commencement of the Phrixus, the second line in the original being 'Αγήνορος παΐς ίκετ' ές $\Theta_n \beta_{ns} \pi_{\epsilon} \delta_{0\nu}$. The Scholiast calls the play the second Phrixus, as if either there were two plays of that name, as in the case of the Thesmophoriazusae and the Plutus of Aristophanes, or a revised edition (so to say) of the original drama, as in the case of the Clouds, Fritzsche, and those who adopt his theory that the younger Euripides tampered with the prologues of his father's plays in consequence of the

One is of noble birth, but lacking means.

Another, baseborn, AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.

- DIO. Euripides! EUR. Well? DIO. Lower your sails, my boy; This bottle of oil is going to blow a gale.
- Eur. O, by Demeter, I don't care one bit; Now from his hands I'll strike that bottle of oil.
- DIO. Go on then, go: but ware the bottle of oil.
- EUR. Once Cadmus, quitting the Sidonian town, Agenor's offspring AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.
- Dio. O pray, my man, buy off that bottle of oil, Or else he'll smash our prologues all to bits.
- EUR. I buy of him? DIO. If my advice you'll take.
- EUR. No, no, I've many a prologue yet to say,
 To which he can't tack on his bottle of oil.
 Pelops, the son of Tantalus, while driving
 His mares to Pisa AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.
- Dio. There! he tacked on the bottle of oil again. O for heaven's sake, pay him its price, dear boy;

criticism of Aristophanes, are obliged to alter the $\tau o\hat{v} \, \delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho ov \, \Phi \rho i \xi ov$ of the Scholiast into $\tau o\hat{v} \, \pi \rho \omega \tau ov \, \Phi \rho i \xi ov$. But Fritzsche's theory has little to recommend it : and there seems no doubt that the line before us was recognized as the final commencement of the Phrixus. In [Plutarch's] Lives of the Ten Orators we are told that Isocrates, when dying, recited three lines of Euripides,

Δαναδς ό πεντήκοντα θυγατέρων πατήρ. Πέλοψ ό Ταντάλειος εἰς Πίσαν μολών, Σιδώνιόν ποτ' ἄστυ Κάδμος ἐκλιπών.

all obviously introductory lines: and lines which could hardly have been uttered without some reminiscence of the present passage.

1229. $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega} \pi\rho i\omega\mu a\iota \tau \hat{\varphi}\delta'$;] Except that $\pi\rho i\omega\mu a\iota$ is substituted for $\sigma\iota\omega\pi\hat{\omega}$, this line is identical with 1134 supra. There Aeschylus, as here Euripides, is repudiating with indignation the pacific coun-

sels of Dionysus.

1232. Πέλοψ] This is the commencement of the still extant Iphigenia in Tauris. The speaker is Iphigenia herself, and she ends the second line with Οἰνομάου γαμεῖ κόρην.

1235. ἀπόδοs] ἀντὶ τοῦ πώλησον.— Scholiast. "Atqui verbi activi ἀποδιδόναι ea non est vis ut *rendere* significet, hanc

λήψει γὰρ ὀβολοῦ πάνυ καλήν τε κάγαθήν.

ΕΥ. μὰ τὸν Δί' οὔπω γ'· ἔτι γὰρ εἰσί μοι συχνοί. Οἰνεύς ποτ' ἐκ γῆς ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.

ΕΥ. ἕασον εἰπεῖν πρῶθ ὅλον με τὸν στίχον.
Οἰνεύς ποτ' ἐκ γῆς πολύμετρον λαβῶν στάχυν, 1240
θύων ἀπαρχὰς ΑΙΣ. ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.
ΔΙ. μεταξὺ θύων; καὶ τίς αὔθ' ὑφείλετο;

ΕΥ. ἕασον, ὦ τâν· πρòs τοδὶ γὰρ εἰπάτω.

Ζεὺς, ὡς λέλεκται τῆς ἀληθείας ὕπο,

vero notionem medii ἀποδίδοσθαι propriam esse confirmo; ac proinde rescribi oportere απόδου πάση τέχνη."-Dawes. And his alteration is accepted by several editors. But all this proceeds on the assumption that the appeal is addressed to Aeschylus, whereas it seems clear that it is addressed to Euripides. The expression, $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$ "by all means in. your power," "if you possibly can," shows that the proposal was of the utmost importance to the person addressed : whilst the words $\xi_{\tau\iota}$ kai $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ imply that he has already missed an opportunity or displayed some reluctance to grasp it. Neither of these considerations applies to Aeschylus: both apply to Euripides. Aeschylus would not be anxious to part with his victorious weapon: to purchase it seems the only chance left to Euripides of saving his unfortunate prologues.

Aeschylus has not been asked, and therefore has not refused, to sell; Euripides has been asked, and has refused, to buy. And Dr. Blaydes, who takes the same view, observes that the response comes not from Aeschylus, but from Euripides. I agree with him and Fritzsche that $d\pi \delta \delta \sigma$ is here used, as supra 270, in its ordinary signification, Pay him the price. The epithets $\kappa a \lambda \delta \gamma r$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa d \gamma a \theta \delta \gamma r$ are applied to the Aeschylean weapon because its owner is $\kappa a \lambda \delta s$ $\kappa d \gamma a \theta \delta s$.

1238. Olvev's mor' èk $\gamma \eta s$] This line, the Scholiast tells us, comes from, but does not commence, the prologue of the Meleager, the first line being Kaludàv $\mu i \nu \eta \delta \epsilon \gamma a i a$, $\Pi \epsilon \lambda o \pi i a s \chi \theta o \nu \delta s$. And the commencement collected from other authors (in Wagner's Fragm. Trag. Graec.) is as follows—

Καλυδών μὲν ήδε γαῖα, Πελοπίας χθονδς ἐν ἀντιπόρθμοις πεδί' ἔχουσ' εὐδαίμονα. Οἰνεὺς δ' ἀνάσσει τῆσδε γῆς Αἰτωλίας Παρθάονος παῖς, ὕς ποτ' 'Αλθαίαν γαμεῖ Λήδας ὕμαιμον, Θεστίου δὲ παρθένον.

It may be that the lines in the text once formed the commencement of the

Meleager, though when altered, or by whom, or for what reason it is impossible You'll get it for an obol, spick and span.

EUR. Not yet, by Zeus; I've plenty of prologues left. Oeneus once reaping AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.

EUR. Pray let me finish one entire line first. Oeneus once reaping an abundant harvest, Offering the firstfruits AESCH. Lost his bottle of oil.

DIO. What in the act of offering? Fie! Who stole it?

EUR. O don't keep bothering ! Let him try with this ! Zeus, as by Truth's own voice the tale is told,

to say: but they are admittedly a part of its prologue; and perhaps Euripides was entitled to bring any part, and not merely the commencement, of a prologue to the test. The Scholiast completes the second line with the words οὐκ ἔθυσεν Ἀρτέμιδι, but a tragic senarius could not end with a tribrach, and many suggestions have been made to set the metre right. Porson proposed οὐκ ἕθυσε παρθένω, Paley οὐκ ἕθυσε τ $\hat{\eta}$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{q}$, whilst Bergk, relying on a gloss of Hesychius καθωσίωσε κατέθυσε, Εὐριπίδης $M\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\dot{a}\gamma\rho\omega$, supposed that Artemis herself was the prologist, and would read οὐ καθωσίωσ' $\dot{\epsilon}$ μοί. The story of the king's neglect of Artemis, and of her revenge by sending the Calydonian boar, which was ultimately slain by Meleager, is told by Phoenix in the ninth Iliad.

1243. $\tilde{\epsilon}a\sigma\sigma\nu$, $\delta \tau \hat{a}\nu$] Euripides is getting into a state of high excitement and irritability, and cannot brook any jesting or interruption.

1244. Ζεὺς, ὡς λέλεκται] This line occurred twice in the plays of Euripides : (1) It is here quoted, as the Scholiast remarks, as the commencement of the Melanippe Sapiens, where it was followed by the words "Ελλην' ἔτικτε. Plutarch (Eroticus, xiii) makes one of his characters say ἀκούεις δὲ δήπου τὸν Εὐριπίδην, ὡς ἐθορυβήθη ποιησάμενος ἀρχὴν τῆς Μελανίππης ἐκείνης

Ζεὺς ὅστις ὁ Ζεὺς οὐ γὰρ οῗδα πλην λόγφ,

μεταλαβών δε χορον ἄλλον . . . ἤλλαξε τον στίχον ώς νῦν γέγραπται Ζεὐς ὡς λέλεκται τῆς ἀληθείας ὕπο.

See Wagner, Melanippe, Fragm.i. Here therefore, as in the case of the Phrixus, supra 1225, we have Aristophanes citing the revised play. (2) It was also found in the Peirithous, where Heracles, explaining to Aeacus his parentage and his country, says,

έμῆ γὰρ ἦλθε μητρὶ κεδνῆ πρὸς λέχος Ζεὺς, ὡς λέλεκται τῆς ἀληθείας ὕπο.

-Wagner, Peirithous, Fragm. v. The mean in very truth. Cf. Eur. Androwords $\tau \eta s$ $a \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i a s$ $\delta \pi \sigma$ seem merely to mache 321.

ΔΙ.	ἀπολεῖ σ'· ἐρεῖ γὰρ, ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.	1245
	τὸ ληκύθιον γὰρ τοῦτ' ἐπὶ τοῖς προλόγοισί σου	
	ώσπερ τὰ σῦκ' ἐπὶ τοῖσιν ὀφθαλμοῖς ἔφυ.	
	άλλ' ἐς τὰ μέλη πρὸς τῶν θεῶν αὐτοῦ τραποῦ.	
ΕΥ.	καὶ μὴν ἔχω γ' ὡς αὐτὸν ἀποδείξω κακὸν	
	μελοποιόν όντα καὶ ποιοῦντα ταὐτ' ἀεί.	1250
XO.	τί ποτε πραγμα γενήσεται ;	
	φροντίζειν γαρ έγωγ' έχω,	
	τίν' άρα μέμψιν έποίσει	
	άνδρὶ τῷ πολὺ πλεῖστα δὴ	
	καὶ κάλλιστα μέλη ποιή-	1255
	σαντι τῶν ἔτι νυνί.	
	θαυμάζω γὰρ ἔγωγ' ὅπη	
	μέμψεταί ποτε τοῦτον	
	τον βακχείον άνακτα,	
	καὶ δέδοιχ' ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ.	1 26 0

ΕΥ. πάνυ γε μέλη θαυμαστά· δείξει δη τάχα. εἰς ἐν γὰρ αὐτοῦ πάντα τὰ μέλη ξυντεμῶ.

> Εύκλεια δ' οίς μέν έστ' άληθείας ύπο εύδαιμονίζω.

1247. σῦκα] Styes in the eye. The Scholiasts say σῦκα λέγει τὰ συκώματα, η ἕλκος γινόμενον ἐπὶ τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς, σῦκον καλούμενον. σῦκον εἶδος παθήματος ἀεὶ ἐν τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς, καὶ οὐκ ἐν ἄλλῷ τινὶ μέρει τοῦ σώματος ψυόμενον.

1248. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$] The battle of the prologues is over, and is succeeded by the battle of the choral songs.

1250. $\tau a \forall \tau' d\epsilon i$] Just as he has been attempting to convict his rival's prologues of *tautology*, so he is now going to convict his rival's lays of *tautometry*. $\mu \epsilon \lambda os$ properly means a song, a combination of words, metre, and music; $\tau \delta \mu \epsilon \lambda \sigma s \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \iota \delta \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau i \sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu, \lambda \delta \gamma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i \delta \rho \mu \rho \nu \iota a s \kappa a i \delta \nu \rho \mu \rho \nu i a s \kappa a i \delta \nu \rho \mu \rho \nu i a s regarded in special relation to one of these three ingredients, it is frequently identified with that particular ingredient and contrasted with the others. And so, whilst Plato elsewhere contrasts <math>\mu \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$ with $\delta \nu \theta \mu \delta s$ (which, of course, includes metre : $\tau a \gamma d \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \rho a$, $\delta \tau \iota \mu \delta \rho \mu a \tau \delta \sigma \nu$, $\phi \mu \epsilon \rho \delta \nu$.—Aristotle, Poetics, 4), Hesychius, on the other hand, gives $\dot{\rho} \nu \theta \mu \delta s$ as the equivalent of $\mu \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$. And

- DIO. No, he'll cut in with "Lost his bottle of oil!" Those bottles of oil on all your prologues seem To gather and grow, like styes upon the eye. Turn to his melodies now for goodness' sake.
- EUR. O I can easily show that he's a poor Melody-maker; makes them all alike.
- CHOR. What, O what will be done! Strange to think that he dare Blame the bard who has won, More than all in our days, Fame and praise for his lays, Lays so many and fair. Much I marvel to hear What the charge he will bring 'Gainst our tragedy king ; Yea for himself do I fear.
- EUR. Wonderful lays! O yes, you'll see directly. I'll cut down all his metrical strains to one.

here the $\mu\epsilon\lambda$ os is specially regarded from a metrical point of view. When Euripides says that Aeschylus is a poor $\mu\epsilon\lambda$ o π o ι os, making all his $\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta$ alike, he means that they all partake of the same metre. When, infra 1262, he promises to cut down all the $\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta$ of Aeschylus to one, he means to one metre.

1251. $\tau i \ \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] Short as this little glyconic chorus is, it apparently consists of two versions of the same lyric, one version probably belonging to the original, and the other to the revised comedy. We may conjecture that the first six lines constitute one version, from $\tau i \ \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ to $\nu \nu \nu i$. And that the other consisted of the first line $\tau i \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu i \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, and the last four, from $\theta a \nu \mu a \zeta \omega$ to $a \vartheta \tau \sigma \vartheta$: though probably something would be changed in combining the two.

1262. $\epsilon is \epsilon \nu$] Aeschylus, the most Homeric of poets, would naturally have the swing of the Homeric hexameter for ever vibrating in his mind; and Euripides is about to show that his various metres, however they commence, are constantly gliding into that heroic metre. For this purpose he takes a model line of twelve syllables $\cup |-\cup \cup|$ $|-\cup \cup |-\cup \cup |-\cup \cup|$ (an ordinary hexameter with the first foot and all but the

ΔΙ. καὶ μὴν λογιοῦμαι ταῦτα τῶν ψήφων λαβών.

(Διαύλιον. Προσαυλεί τις.)

ΕΥ. Φθιῶτ' 'Αχιλεῦ, τί ποτ' ἀνδροδάϊκτον ἀκούων, ἰὴ, κόπον, οὐ πελάθεις ἐπ' ἀρωγάν;
1265
Έρμῶν μὲν πρόγονον τίομεν γένος οἱ περὶ λίμναν. ἰὴ, κόπον, οὐ πελάθεις ἐπ' ἀρωγάν.
ΔΙ. δύο σοὶ κόπω, Αἰσχύλε, τούτω.
ΕΥ. κύδιστ' 'Αχαιῶν 'Ατρέως πολυκοίρανε μάνθανέ μου παῖ. ἰὴ, κόπον, οὐ πελάθεις ἐπ' ἀρωγάν.
ΔΙ. τρίτος, Αἰσχύλε, σοὶ κόπος οὖτος.

last syllable of the second foot cut off), and applies it as a standard measure to various verses cited from the plays of Aeschylus. This standard measure may be illustrated from the first verse of the Odyssey, άνδρα μοι έννε ||πε, Μοῦσα, πολύτροπον, δε μάλα πολλά. Euripides gives it in the form $i\eta$, $\kappa \delta \pi o \nu$, $o \vartheta \pi \epsilon \lambda \delta \theta \epsilon \iota s \epsilon \pi'$ $d\rho\omega\gamma d\nu$; Dionysus proposes to take some counters, and reckon the number of lines cut down to this measure. Two of them, the second and the fifth, are pure heroics; the three others commence differently: but however they commence, they are sure to slip into just so much of the heroic metre as corresponds to the twelve syllables $i\eta$, κόπον, οὐ πελάθεις ἐπ' ἀρωγάν; The portion of each line corresponding to the standard, and the standard itself, are in the translation distinguished by italics.

Between 1263 and 1264. Διαύλιον. Προσαυλεί τις] Τοῦτο παρεπιγραφὴ, ὥσπερ καὶ ἄλλα πολλάκις. φασὶ δὲ διαύλιον λέγεσθαι, ὅταν ἡσυχίας πάντων γενομένης, ἕνδον ὁ αὐλητὴς ἄση.—Scholiast. This stage direction is not one sentence, as Brunck translates it, *Tibicen diaulium accinit*. $\Delta \iota a \iota \lambda \iota o \nu$ means that there is an interval during which nothing is heard but the $a \iota \lambda \delta s$: $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \upsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$, that the musician continues to accompany the recitative of Euripides. The accompaniment doubtless went on to the end of 1277.

1264. $\Phi \theta \iota \hat{\omega} \tau$ 'A $\chi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$] The first line to be experimented upon is taken, the Scholiast tells us, from the Myrmidons of Aeschylus; cf. supra 992. Its last twelve syllables, $-i\lambda\epsilon \hat{v} \tau i \pi o \tau' d\nu \delta \rho o \delta d \ddot{i} \kappa \tau o \nu$ άκούων, will be found in exact accord with the standard $i\eta$, $\kappa \delta \pi o \nu$, $o \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda \delta \theta \epsilon i s$ $\epsilon \pi'$ $d\rho \omega \gamma d\nu$; or, to give the other example mentioned above, $-\pi\epsilon$ Moioa πολύτροπον ὃς μάλα πολλά. The form 'Ax $i\lambda\epsilon\hat{v}$ is read in all the older editions, but $A_{\chi\iota\lambda\lambda\epsilon\hat{\nu}}$ is generally found in the MSS.; and recent editors have accordingly introduced it into the text, not observing that by so doing they are rendering the line unsuitable for the purpose for which it is quoted. For the standard commences with a short syllable, as if the second foot of the hexameter (like $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\nu\epsilon\pi\epsilon$ in the first line

DIO. And I, I'll take some pebbles, and keep count.

(A slight pause, during which the music of a flute is heard. The music continues to the end of line 1277 as an accompaniment to the recitative.)

EUR. Lord of Phthia, Achilles, why hearing the voice of the hero-dividing Hah! smiting! approachest thou not to the rescue?

We, by the lake who abide, are adoring our ancestor Hermes. Hah! smiting ! approachest thou not to the rescue ?

D10.

O Aeschylus, twice art thou smitten!

EUR. Hearken to me, great king; yea, hearken Atreides, thou noblest of all the Achaeans. Hah! smiting! approachest thou not to the rescue?

Dio.

Thrice, Aeschylus, thrice art thou smitten !

of the Odyssey) were a dactyl; and every line with which the standard is repeated must also commence with a short syllable. See the note on 1282 infra. It is quite possible that in the Myrmidons the form was ${}^{A}\chi\iota\lambda\epsilon\hat{\nu}$, but here it is necessarily ${}^{A}\chi\iota\lambda\epsilon\hat{\nu}$.

1265. $i\eta$, $\kappa\delta\pi\sigma\nu$] The standard, though applied to test each line in succession, forms a grammatical sequence to the first line only, which apparently it followed in the play of the Myrmidons. And just as Aeschylus there spoke of $\kappa\delta\pi\sigma\nu$ $d\nu\delta\rho\sigma\deltadi\kappa\tau\sigma\nu$, a man-splitting blow, so in Choeph. 845 (to which Mitchell refers) he spoke of $\kappa\sigma\pi\omega'\nu\omega'$ $d\nu\delta\rho\sigma\deltadi\kappa\tau\omega\nu$, man-splitting choppers.

1266. Έρμῶν] Τοῦτο ἐκ τῶν Αἰσχύλου Ψυχαγωγῶν. τὸ δὲ Ἐρμῶν μἐν τίομεν λέγουσιν οἱ ᾿Αρκάδες διὰ ταῦτα' ἐν τῆ Κυλλήνῃ, ῆ ἐστιν ὅρος ᾿Αρκαδίας, ἐτιμῶτο ὁ Ἐρμῆς. διὰ γοῦν τὴν ἐξ ἀμνημονεύτων χρόνων τιμὴν ὡς πρόγονος τούτοις ἐδόκει. λίμναν δὲ λέγει τὴν Στυμφαλίδα, ἐν ᾿Αρκαδία γὰρ καὶ αῦτη. —Scholiast. Cyllene was, of course, the reputed birthplace of Hermes, who was the special patron and god of Arcady, and to whom the Arcadians traced back their origin. In the eighth Aeneid Aeneas, addressing the Arcadian colony in Italy, savs :—

Vobis Mercurius pater est, quem candida Maia Cyllenae gelido conceptum vertice fudit.

1268. $\delta' o \sigma o i \kappa \delta \pi \omega$] As each successive line is brought within the $i \eta \kappa \delta \pi o \nu$ metre, Dionysus reckons it a $\kappa \delta \pi o s$ or blow for Aeschylus: and he has the impertinence to express his opinion, both here and 1272 infra, in words which, so far as they go, are themselves in the incriminated metre. 1270. κύδιστ' 'Αχαιών] 'Αρίσταρχος καὶ 'Απολλώνιος, ἐπισκέψασθε πόθεν εἰσί. Τιμαχίδας δὲ ἐκ Τηλέφου Αἰσχύλου' 'Ασκληπιάδης δὲ ἐξ' 'Ιφιγενείας.—Scholiast. The play from which the line was taken must have perished before these doubts arose.

ΕŶ.	εὐφαμεῖτε· μελισσονόμοι δόμον 'Αρτέμιδος πέλας οἴγειν.	
	iη, κόπον, οὐ πελάθεις ἐπ' ἀρωγάν	1275
	κύριός είμι θροεῖν ὄδιον κράτος αἴσιον ἀνδρῶν.	
	ίη, κόπον, οὐ πελάθεις ἐπ' ἀρωγάν.	
ΔI.	ώ Ζεῦ βασιλεῦ, τὸ χρημα τῶν κόπων ὄσον.	
	έγω μέν οὖν εἰς τὸ βαλανεῖον βούλομαι.	
	ύπο των κόπων γαρ τω νεφρώ βουβωνιώ.	1280
ΕΎ.	μη, πρίν γ' αν ακούσης χατέραν στάσιν μελων	
	έκ των κιθαρωδικών νόμων είργασμένην.	
ΔI.	ίθι δη πέραινε, και κόπον μη προστίθει.	
EΥ.	őπωs 'Αχαιῶν δίθρονον κράτος, 'Ελλάδος ήβας.	1285
	τὸ φλαττοθραττοφλαττόθρατ.	

1273. εὐφαμεῖτε] Ἐξ Ἱερειῶν Αἰσχύλου. -Scholiast. These "Priestesses" doubtless formed the Chorus of the play. And since we know, from the Scholiast on Pindar, Pyth. iv. 104, that the name $\mu \epsilon \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$ was freely given to any priestess, we may well believe that the $\mu\epsilon\lambda\iota\sigma\sigma\sigma$ - $\nu \delta \mu o \iota$, who appear to have been inferior ministers in the Temple of Artemis, were officers in attendance on these priestesses, and from that duty derived their name. As to the special connexion of the bee with the worship of the Ephesian Artemis, see Müller's Dorians, ii. 9. 8. Here the μέλισσαι seem to have been talking of matters which they did not desire their attendants to overhear, and are now warning each other of the approach of the latter.

1276. κύριός $\epsilon i \mu$] This is line 104 of the Agamemnon. I am competent to tell of the mighty portent which appeared to the herves on their way. Κράτος must signify "the mighty sign from heaven" rather than "the confidence by that sign engendered." It is of the sign, and not of the confidence, that the speaker proceeds to tell. Kúριós $\epsilon i\mu$ means it is in my power. "Does a man insult you?" says St. Chrysostom: $\kappa i\rho_{100}$ ϵi σừ ποιῆσαι τὴν ὕβριν ταύτην ἐγκώμιον σόν. "It is in your power to make that insult a blessing."—Hom. Rom. xiii. 556 A.

1278. $\delta Z\epsilon \hat{\nu} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$] 'This is a repetition of the first line of the Clouds, except that for νυκτῶν there, we have κόπων here. On βούλομαι, in the next line, the Scholiast remarks $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \ \tau \delta \ d \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$.

1281. $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu \sigma \tau \delta \sigma \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu$] Another batch of melodies. The Scholiast, absurdly enough, would connect the expression with $\sigma \tau \delta \sigma \iota \mu a \ \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$, and all the commentators have fallen, unresistingly, into the very obvious pit which he has digged before them. $\Sigma \tau \delta \sigma \sigma$ merely means a group, a by no means unfrequent signification of the word.

1282. κιθαρωδικών] Measures adapted

EUR. Hush ! the bee-wardens are here: they will quickly the Temple of Artemis open. Hah ! smiting ! approachest thou not to the rescue ?

I will expound (for I know it) the omen the chieftains encountered. Hah! smiting! approachest thou not to the rescue?

DIO. O Zeus and King, the terrible lot of smitings!I'll to the bath: I'm very sure my kidneysAre quite inflamed and swoln with all these smitings.

EUR. Wait till you've heard another batch of lays Culled from his lyre-accompanied melodies.

Dio. Go on then, go: but no more smitings, please.

EUR. How the twin-throned powers of Achaea, the lords of the mighty Hellenes. O phlattothrattophlattothrat!

for the accompaniment of the lyre. Not that, in Aeschylus, there was any difference in this respect between the first and second batches. Indeed, two lines in the second batch, 1285 and 1289, are taken not only from the same chorus, but even from the very same strophe as one line, 1276, in the first batch ; see the following note. But here, in this Aristophanic contest, Euripides, who had recited the first batch to the accompaniment of the avalos (see the stage direction above), is about to recite the second batch to the accompaniment of the $\kappa_i \theta \dot{a} \rho a$, the thrumming on which will be represented by the imitative word $\phi \lambda a \tau \tau \delta \theta \rho a \tau$. And as he is dealing with the employment of Homeric metres

in the lyrics of tragedy, there is doubtless an allusion to those $\kappa\iota\theta a\rho\omega\delta\iota\kappa\sigma\bar{s}$ $\nu\delta\mu\sigma\bar{s}$ in which Terpander had long before set Homer to music. See Plutarch de Musica, iii. In this second batch, as the lines are, by the express direction of Dionysus, no longer to be referred to the $l\eta$ $\kappa\delta\pi\sigma\nu$ standard, the first of the twelve syllables may be either long or short; in other words, the section may come from a hexameter which has a spondee for the second foot, as well as from one which has a dactyl there. See the note on 1264 supra.

1285. ὅπως 'Αχαιῶν] This is from Agamemnon 108, 109: and 1289 infra is from Agamemnon 111, 112. In the tragic chorus the lines run :—

κύριδς εἰμι θροείν ὅδιον κράτος αἴσιον ἀνδρῶν ἐκτελέων . . . ὅπως ᾿Αχαιῶν δίθρονον κράτος Ἑλλάδος ἥβας ἐύμφρονα ταγὰν, πέμπει ἐὺν δορὶ καὶ χερὶ πράκτορι θούριος ὅρνις Τευκρίδ' ἐπ' αἶαν.

Σφίγγα δυσαμερίαν πρύτανιν κύνα πέμπει.	
τὸ φλαττοθραττοφλαττόθρατ.	
σύν δορί και χερι πράκτορι θούριος όρνις.	
τὸ φλαττοθραττοφλαττόθρατ.	1290
κυρείν παρασχών ίταμαῖς κυσὶν ἀεροφοίτοις.	
τὸ φλαττοθραττοφλαττόθρατ.	
τὸ συγκλινές τ' ἐπ' Αἴαντι.	
τὸ φλαττοθραττοφλαττόθρατ.	1295
ΔΙ. τί τὸ φλαττόθρατ τοῦτ' ἐστίν; ἐκ Μαραθῶνος, ἡ	
πόθεν συνέλεξας ίμονιοστρόφου μέλη;	
ΑΙΣ. ἀλλ' οὖν ἐγὼ μὲν ἐς τὸ καλὸν ἐκ τοῦ καλοῦ	
<i>ἤνεγκον αὔθ', ἵνα μὴ τ</i> ὸν αὐτὸν Φρυνίχφ	
λειμῶνα Μουσῶν ἱέρὸν ὀφθείην δρέπων·	1300
οῦτος δ' ἀπὸ πάντων μελοφορεῖ πορνφδικῶν,	

1287. $\Sigma \phi i \gamma \gamma a$] This line is taken from the Sphinx of Aeschylus, the satyric drama in the tetralogy of which the Septem contra Thebas formed a part. The four plays were the Laius, the Oedipus, the Septem, and the Sphinx. See the argument to the Septem, published by Franz in 1848, and quoted by Wagner in his introduction to the Fragments of the Laius.

1291. $\kappa \nu \rho \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$] 'Eπιτυχείν. — Scholiast. Giving him as a booty ($\kappa \nu \rho \mu a$) to the vehement air-ranging hounds, that is, to the vultures. We are not told from what tragedy this line is taken, for the gloss κa τοῦτο έξ 'Ayaμέμνονος no doubt belongs to the preceding quotation. Dindorf and Fritzsche refer it to the Sphinx, I know not why. More probably it is borrowed from the Myrmidons or the Phrygians, and alludes to the fate denounced against Patroclus or Hector, οίωνοίσιν έλωρ καὶ κύρμα γενέσθαι.

1294. τὸ συγκλινές κ. 1. λ.] Τιμαχίδας φησί τοῦτο ἐν ἐνίοις μὴ γράφεσθαι... 'Απολλώνιος δέ φησιν ἐκ Θρησσῶν αὐτὸ εἶναι. -Scholiast. The "Thracian Women" is supposed to have been the second piece of a trilogy by Aeschylus on the death of Aias. The line was probably inserted here by some ancient scholar, who was struck by the quaintness of expression, and did not understand the point of the Euripidean criticism. If genuine, it must be a final burst of triumph on the part of Euripides, intended to produce a comic effect by its very irrelevance to the speaker's argument.

1296. ἐκ Μαραθῶνος] Διὰ τὸ ἔχειν τὸ φλατ ἐν ἀρχῆ, παρόμοιον τῷ Φλέφ (supra 244). ὡς ἐν Μαραθῶνι οὖν τοῦ Φλέω πολλοῦ ὅντος· ἐλώδης γὰμ ὁ τόπος.— Scholiast. It is, however, plain from

Sendeth the Sphinx, the unchancy, the chieftainness bloodhound.
O phlattothrattophlattothrat !
Launcheth fierce with brand and hand the avengers the terrible eagle.
O phlattothrattophlattothrat !
So for the swift-winged hounds of the air he provided a booty.
O phlattothrattophlattothrat!
The throng down-bearing on Aias.
O phlattothrattophlattothrat !
DIO. Whence comes that phlattothrat? From Marathon, or
Where picked you up these cable-twister's strains?
AESCH. From noblest source for noblest ends I brought them,
Unwilling in the Muses' holy field
The self-same flowers as Phrynichus to cull.
But he from all things rotten draws his lays,

what follows that by $\tau \partial \phi \lambda a \tau \tau \delta \theta \rho a \tau$ in this line we are to understand not merely the thrumming on the lyre, but the whole body of Aeschylean verse which Euripides has been reciting to the music of the lyre. These verses he calls ίμονιοστρόφου μέλη, meaning, I take it, not verses which the rope-twister sings, but verses as lengthy as the ropes which he makes. They are so spun out, they must surely be the work of a ropemaker. Where then did Aeschylus find these rope-twister's lines? Did he bring them from Marathon, or whence, ex Mapabovos $\hat{\eta} \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$? If the Scholiast's statement as to the $\phi\lambda\epsilon\omega$ s is correct, we may conclude that the $\phi\lambda\epsilon\omega$ s of Marathon was employed, like hemp, in the manufacture of ropes. But however this may be, the words $\epsilon \kappa Ma \rho a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu os$ are undoubtedly intended as a direct compliment to the old $Ma\rho a\theta \omega \nu \rho \mu \dot{a} \chi \eta s$, who

DIO.

took more pride, as his self-composed epitaph shows, in his prowess on that memorable day, than in all his triumphs as the greatest of Athenian poets.

1298. ές τὸ καλὸν ἐκ τοῦ καλοῦ] From nobleness to nobleness, just as we say, in religious phraseology, from strength to strength, or, from grace to grace. He means, of course, from the epic of Homer to the Athenian drama. Of Phrynichus, with his mellifluous songs, and dances numberless as the waves of ocean, we have already heard in the Wasps, the Birds, and supra 910. Aeschylus was not long content to follow in the steps of his illustrious predecessor; he soon struck out a new line for himself, infusing into Athenian tragedy the sublime and heroical spirit of the Homeric epos.

1301. μελοφορεί πορνωδικών] I have substituted these words for the MS. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ σκολίων Μελήτου, Καρικῶν αὐλημάτων, θρήνων, χορειῶν. τάχα δὲ δηλωθήσεται. ἐνεγκάτω τις τὸ λύριον. καίτοι τί δεῖ λύρας ἐπὶ τοῦτον; ποῦ ἀστιν ἡ τοῖς ἀστράκοις αὕτη κροτοῦσα; δεῦρο Μοῦσἀ Εὐριπίδου, πρὸς ἥνπερ ἐπιτήδεια τάδὰ ἔστἀ ἄδειν μέλη. ΔΙ. αὐτή ποθἀ ἡ Μοῦσἀ οὐκ ἐλεσβίαζεν, οὔ. ΑΙΣ. ἀλκυόνες, αἳ παρὰ ἀενάοις θαλάσ-

 $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \pi o \rho \nu \iota \delta \iota \omega \nu$, in which, though but three words, there are almost "a score of faults." The $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is entirely out of place; $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ requires some object, such as $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$, to be expressed; the second syllable of $\pi o \rho \nu i \delta(\omega \nu)$ is short : nor is the word itself suitable, since Aeschylus is describing not the persons from whom, but the garbage from which, the lyrics of Euripides were derived. Porson proposed πορνιδίων μέλη φέρει, which some have accepted, but which is a rather violent change, and leaves the last objection untouched. $\mu\epsilon\lambda_0\phi_0\rho\epsilon\hat{i}$, a verb formed like μελοποιεί, does not seem an impossible source for $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon_i$, whilst πορνωδικά μέλη, songs of the harlotry kind (like $\pi a \rho \varphi \delta \kappa \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$, songs of a burlesque character), gives the sense which the passage requires. The lyric inspiration of Aeschylus was derived from the Homeric poems : but whence comes the lyric inspiration of Euripides ? It is drawn, his opponent tells us,

from every sort of harlotry-melody, the catches of Meletus, &c. The σκόλια of Meletus, the tragic poet who shortly afterwards acquired an unenviable notoriety as one of the accusers of Socrates (Ath. xii. 75; Plato, Apol. chap. 10), are not elsewhere mentioned: but as his erotic poems were (according to Dobree's certain emendation of a fragment of the Antilais of Epicrates.-Meineke, Com. Fragm. iii, 367) classed with those of Sappho and the like, we may readily believe that they were not unfairly described as $\pi o \rho \nu \omega \delta \kappa \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$. Nor was such an appellation less suited to the Καρικὰ αὐλήματα, if we may judge by their connexion with a μέλος 'Ιωνικόν in a fragment of Plato Comicus preserved by Athenaeus at the commencement of Book xv. There a speaker is describing a banquet, much as Bdelycleon describes it in the Wasps. "The tables are carried out," he says, "the guests are wearing their chaplets

1305

σπονδή μέν ήδη γέγονε, καὶ πίνοντές εἰσι πόρρω, καὶ σκόλιον ἦσται, κότταβος δ΄ ἐξοίχεται θύραζε· αὐλοὺς δ΄ ἔχουσά τις κορίσκη Καρικόν μέλος τι μελίζεται τοῖς συμπόταις· κἅλλην τρίγωνον είδον ἔχουσαν, εἶτ' ἦδεν πρός αὐτὸ μέλος 'Ιωνικόν τι.''

The Scholiast, indeed, and some commentators take the Kapika addipara to

From Carian flutings, catches of Meletus, Dance-music, dirges. You shall hear directly. Bring me the lyre. Yet wherefore need a lyre For songs like these? Where's she that bangs and jangles Her castanets? Euripides's Muse, Present yourself : fit goddess for fit verse. The Muse herself can't be a wanton? No !

DIO. The Muse herself can't be a wanton? No AESCH. Halcyons, who by the ever-rippling

be the doleful strains, mentioned by many ancient authors, which the Carians were accustomed, as hired mourners, to play on the $a\dot{\nu}\lambda\dot{\sigma}s$ at funerals: but this seems less likely in itself, and strains such as these would fall under the following word $\theta\rho\dot{\eta}\nu\omega\nu$. $\chi\rho\rho\epsilon\iota\omega\nu$ is dance-music.

1305. τοῖς ἀστράκοις] Mitchell refers to Ath. xiv. 39, Δίδυμός φησιν, εἰωθέναι τινὰς, ἀντὶ τῆς λύρας κογχύλια καὶ ὅστρακα συγκρούοντας, εὕρυθμον ἦχόν τινα ἀποτελεῖν τοῦς ὀρχουμένοις, καθάπερ καὶ ᾿Αριστοφάνη ἐν Βατράχοις φάναι, and observes "Here a noise is heard behind the scenes as of a person rattling shells together."

1306. $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$] An actor enters, personating a flaunting harlot, and clashing oyster-shells together. Aeschylus hails him as the Muse of Euripides.

1308. $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \beta i u \xi \epsilon v$] The word $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \beta i u \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon v$ means to practise the filthiest tricks of harlotry: and Dionysus, seeing a miserable wanton introduced as Euripides's Muse, exclaims, "You don't mean to say that the Muse herself $(a^{i} \tau \eta)$, not as usually read, $a^{i} \tau \eta$) has played the harlot! No, that I cannot believe." The lyrics of Aeschylus have been criticized as perpetually falling into one

cadence, the long roll of the Homeric hexameter. The lyrics of Euripides are now to be criticized as corrupting the noble simplicity of the ancient metres, by the introduction of affected novelties and dainty little devices, like the tricks of a harlot, ανά τὸ δωδεκαμήχανον Κυρήνης, as Aeschylus says below. To prove his case he brings forward seven passages, one of which is accurately cited from an extant play, and the others are doubtless taken with no less accuracy from plays long since perished. We know so little about the lyrical niceties of Athenian tragedy that it is impossible to lay one's finger with anything like certainty on the particular innovations to which objection is taken, but a brief discussion of the subject will be found in the Introduction. It is of course impossible in the translation to show the supposed innovations, or even the metres which they are supposed to have corrupted.

1309-12. d $\lambda\kappa\nu\delta\nu\epsilons...\delta\rho\sigma\sigma\iota\zeta\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$] This first passage, the Scholiast informs us, is taken from the Iphigenia in Aulis. It is not found in the extant play, which indeed was not exhibited at the date of the Frogs, though it may have

σης κύμασι στωμύλλετε,	1310
τέγγουσαι νοτίοις πτερῶν	
βανίσι χρόα δροσιζόμεναι.	
αί θ' ὑπωρόφιοι κατὰ γωνίας	
είειειειειλίσσετε δακτύλοις φάλαγγες	
ίστότονα πηνίσματα.	1315
κερκίδος ἀοιδοῦ μελέτας.	
ίν' ο φίλαυλος ἕπαλλε δελ-	
φὶς πρώραις κυανεμβόλοις.	
μαντεῖα καὶ σταδίους.	
οἰνάνθας γάνος ἀμπέλου,	1320
βότρυος ἕλικα παυσίπονον.	

been published before. But all agree that the play has not come down to us as it left its author's hands. Boeckh indeed (Graec. Trag. Princ.) contended that there were two plays of this name: one, now lost, by the great tragedian; and the extant play, the work of Euripides the younger. But Bp. Monk, in the dissertation appended to his edition of the play, convincingly proves that ours is the original play, but that much has perished and much has been interpolated. Bergler observes that the halcyon is mentioned in the Iph. in Tauris (1089), but there is no similarity between that passage and the lines here quoted. Here there seem to be two metrical blots, as Aristophanes regards them. The first line is paeonic, and after the two paeons, $- \cup \cup \cup | - \cup \cup \cup$, instead of the expected cretic, $- \cup -$, Euripides surprises his hearers with an

iambic dipody (-váois $\theta a \lambda á \sigma \sigma$ -). The fourth line is a choriambic dimeter, where, in place of the iambic dipody with which it usually begins, Euripides substitutes two tribrachs, $\dot{\rho} a v i \sigma \iota$ $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} a$ $\delta \rho \sigma \sigma$ -. Indeed the resolution of one long syllable into two short ones is one of the special devices with which he is supposed to have tickled the ears of his audience.

1313-5. all $\theta' \dots \pi \eta \nu i \sigma \mu a \tau a$] Whence the address to the spiders, which forms the second test-passage, is taken we do not know. Probably from some such play as the Danae, where we may well imagine the imprisoned princess, like Robert the Bruce in Scottish history, watching these patient and tireless workers. Here the "blot" is in the third line, which, except that there is but one paeon instead of two, is identical with the first line of the first passage. Waves of the sea are babbling, Dewing your plumes with the drops that fall From wings in the salt spray dabbling.

Spiders, ever with twir-r-r-r-rling fingers Weaving the warp and the woof, Little, brittle, network, fretwork, Under the coigns of the roof.

The minstrel shuttle's care.

Where in the front of the dark-prowed ships Yarely the flute-loving dolphin skips.

Races here and oracles there.

And the joy of the young vines smiling, And the tendril of grapes, care-beguiling.

1316. $\kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa i\delta\sigmas\ldots\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\tau as$] The third passage, we are told, is borrowed from the Meleager, a play quoted supra 1238 and infra 1402. The blot here is similar to that in the fourth line of the first passage: the first iamb of a choriambic dimeter being changed into a dactyl, $\kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa i\delta\sigma s$.

1317, 1318. ^τν' ό... κυανεμβόλοις] This fourth passage is the only quotation from an existing play, the Electra of Euripides. It is of course cited quite correctly as far as it goes (otherwise the criticism would be pointless), but the full passage in the original is :—

ίν' δ φίλαυλος έπαλλε δελφίς πρώραις κυανεμβόλοισιν είλισσόμενος.-Electra 435-7.

Here the blot is obvious. These are glyconic lines, which should properly consist of a disyllabic base, a choriamb, and an iamb. The second line is a flawless glyconic. In the first line the base consists of three syllables.

1319. $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{i} a \kappa a \hat{i} \sigma \tau a \hat{o} (\sigma v s)$ We are not told whence this fifth passage is taken. This again is a choriambic dimeter, but $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{i} a$ stands for an iambic dipody, which has lost its last syllable. The absence of the syllable constitutes the blot in this passage.

1320. οἰνάνθας...παυσίπονον] Παρὰ τὸ ἐξ Ύψιπύλης Εὐριπίδου, "οἰνάνθα τρέφει τὸν ἱερὸν βότρυν." ἡ πρώτη δὲ ἔκψυσις τῆς ἀμπέλου οἰνάνθη λέγεται.—Scholiast. It is clear that the Scholiast has no idea whence this sixth passage is quoted. He is merely referring to a totally

	περίβαλλ', ὦ τέκνον, ὠλένας.	
	δρậς τὸν πόδα τόνδ'; ΔΙ. ὁρῶ.	
AIΣ.	τί δαί; τοῦτον ὀρậs; ΔΙ. ὀρῶ.	
AIS.	τοιαυτὶ μέντοι σὺ ποιῶν	1325
	τολμậς τάμὰ μέλη ψέγειν,	
	άνὰ τὸ δωδεκαμήχανον	
	Κυρήνης μελοποιών;	
au d	ι μέν μέλη σου ταῦτα· βούλομαι δ' ἔτι	
τὸ	ον των μονωδιων διεξελθείν τρόπον.	1330
	ὦ Νυκτὸς κελαινοφαὴς	
	ὄρφνα, τίνα μοι	
	δύστανον ὄνειρον	
	πέμπεις έξ ἀφανοῦς,	
	'Αίδα πρόπολον,	
	ψυχὰν ἄψυχον ἕχοντα,	
	μελαίνας Νυκτός παίδα,	1335
	φρικώδη δεινάν ὄψιν,	

dissimilar passage in which the word $olv \omega \theta \eta$ occurs. The second line contains exactly the same blot as the fourth line of the first passage, the iambic dipody being changed into two tribrachs.

1322. $\pi\epsilon\rho(\beta\alpha\lambda\lambda'\ldots\dot{\omega}\lambda\epsilon\nu\alpha s]$ 'Εξ 'Υψιπύληs.—Scholiast. The blot here consists in making an anapaest the base of *a* glyconic line.

1323. $\delta \rho \hat{q} s \tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \delta a \tau \delta \nu \delta';$] Do you see this foot? asks Aeschylus, referring to the anapaestic base of the preceding glyconic line. In the energy of his scorn and indignation he advances his own foot; and Dionysus, speaking of the human, and not of the metrical, foot, replies, Yes, I see it well enough. Well then, says Aeschylus, advancing his other foot, do you see this too? Yes, replies Dionysus, I see that too. In the first line Aeschylus is quite serious, and only Dionysus is jesting. In the second line they are both playing the fool.

1327. ἀνὰ τὸ δωδεκαμήχανον] This is borrowed from the Hypsipyle of Euripides, though it is doubtful whether the phrase there was ἀνὰ τὸ δωδεκαμήχανον ἄντρον, referring to the cave of the ξανθοδέρκης ὑπέροπλος δράκων (Bacchylides, ix. 12, ed. Kenyon), which slew the infant charge of Hypsipyle, or ἀνὰ τὸ δωδκεκαμήχανον ἄστρον, referring to the course of the sun through the twelve signs of the zodiac. The epithet is O embrace me, my child, O embrace me.

(To Dio.) You see this foot? DIO. I do. And this? AESCH. DIO. And that one too. AESCH. (To Eur.) You, such stuff who compile, Dare my songs to upbraid; You, whose songs in the style Of Cyrene's embraces are made. So much for them : but still I'd like to show The way in which your monodies are framed. "O darkly-light mysterious Night, What may this Vision mean, Sent from the world unseen With baleful omens rife : A thing of lifeless life, A child of sable night, A ghastly curdling sight,

transferred by Aristophanes to the tricks of harlotry practised by Cyrene, the courtesan of whom we have already heard in Thesm. 98; and by Plato Comicus to the feats of dancing practised by the dwarfish son of Carcinus. See the note on Wasps 1501.

1331. & Nukrós] Aeschylus now proceeds to improvise a monody, or lyrical monologue, in the style, and to a great extent in the very words, of Euripides. It is intended as a satire on the trivial incidents around which Euripides was accustomed to throw the grace and dignity of tragic diction. A poor spinning-girl has a bad dream, a vision of the night so threatening and so terrible, that it is plainly the forerunner of some tremendous catastrophe. She begins by appealing to Night about her dream, O black-litten darkness of Night! The epithet $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \nu o \phi a \eta s$ contains the same idea as Milton's "darkness visible," which was the sole illumination of hell. The spinning-girl's appeal may recall the monody in Hecuba 67-99, but it is going too far to say, as some even before the time of the Scholiast had said, that Aristophanes is specially imitating or referring to that or any other particular monody. "Op $\phi \nu a$, says Mitchell, is "a word not found in Aeschylus or Sophocles, but of frequent occurrence in Euripides." It is found more than a dozen times in his extant tragedies.

1333. πρόπολον]. Minister or messenger of Hades. The description proceeds in a sort of crescendo.

μελανονεκυείμονα, φόνια φόνια δερκόμενον, μεγάλους όνυχας έχοντα. άλλά μοι, άμφίπολοι, λύχνον άψατε κάλπισί τ' έκ ποταμών δρόσον άρατε, θέρμετε δ' ύδωρ, ώς αν θείον όνειρον αποκλύσω. 1340ίω πόντιε δαίμον, τοῦτ' ἐκεῖν' ιω ξύνοικοι, τάδε τέρατα θεάσασθε. τὸν ἀλεκτρυόνα μου συναρπάσασα φρούδη Γλύκη. Νύμφαι όρεσσίγονοι, ὦ Μανία, ξύλλαβε. 1345 έγω δ' ά τάλαινα προσέχουσ' έτυχον έμαυτης έργοισι, λίνου μεστόν άτρακτον

1338. ἀλλά μοι, ἀμφίπολοι] Aroused from her sleep the dreamer gives herself the airs of a tragedy queen, a Clytaemnestra or a Hecabe, commanding her ladies in waiting, $d\mu\phi(\pi o\lambda ot)$, to fill their pitchers with water from the running stream, and heat it well, that so she may purge away the evil dream. The custom of washing away the ill-omened dream with water from sea or river is of course well known, and many instances are collected by the commentators. InSilius Italicus, viii. 125 (to which Kuster refers) Anna seems to have bathed her whole person in the running water, "vivo purgor in amni." In Aesch. Persae 203 (to which Bergler refers) Atossa seems to have washed her hands only in the bright-welling fountain : whilst

in Apollonius Rhodius, iv. 671 (to which Brunck refers) Circe washed her hair and her raiment in the sea. "Heating" the water is quite alien to the nature of these ceremonial ablutions : but $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ θ ύδωρ is a Homeric phrase, $d\mu\phi$ δέ οί πυρί χαλκόν ίηνατε, θέρμετε δ' ύδωρ, and possibly is only employed here for the purpose of rounding off so important a commission with epic, or shall we say, Aeschylean stateliness ; for the metre is the old in $\kappa \delta \pi o \nu$ où $\pi \epsilon \lambda \delta \theta \epsilon is \epsilon \pi' \delta \rho \omega \gamma \delta \nu$. The Scholiast says παρά τὰ ἐκ τῶν Εὐ- $\mu \epsilon \nu i \delta \omega \nu$, which is probably only an irrelevant reference to Aesch. Eum. 429 άφιερώμεθα ρυτοΐς πόροις ; though Dobree would change Εὐμενίδων into Τημενιδών (a play of Euripides), and Wagner into Εὐνειδῶν (a play of Cratinus).

In black funereal veils,

With murder, murder in its eyes,

And great enormous nails?

Light ye the lanterns, my maidens, and dipping your jugs in the stream, Draw me the dew of the water, and heat it to boiling and steam;

So will I wash me away the ill effects of my dream.

God of the sea!

My dream's come true.

Ho, lodgers, ho,

This portent view.

Glyce has vanished, carrying off my cock,

My cock that crew !

O Mania, help! O Oreads of the rock

Pursue! pursue!

For I, poor girl, was working within,

Holding my distaff heavy and full,

1340. $\theta \epsilon i o \nu$] Sent by the gods: supernatural.

1341. τοῦτ' ἐκεῖν'] All at once she sees what the dream portended, and knows that the blow has fallen. Whilst she was toiling at her spinning-wheel within, that vile girl Glyce has absconded with her cock. This, this is the momentous event foreshadowed by the $\theta \epsilon i o \nu$ ὄνειρον. She bewails her loss with Euripidean reduplications (δάκρνα, δάκρυα, $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta a\lambda o\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta a\lambda o\nu$), and with those long-drawn musical trills of which we have heard before, supra 1314. The πόντιος δαίμων is Poseidon, who is so described by Euripides in Rhesus 240. She calls to all the dwellers in the house (Euroikous her housemates) to come and behold for themselves the portentous outcome of her dream.

1344. Γλύκη] She has carried away my cock: she is off, is Glyce. Glyce was apparently a neighbour (γυνή τις ἐκ γειτόνων.—Scholiast) who had come to the spinning-girl's house. The exceeding neatness of the sentence $\phi \rho o i \delta \eta$ Γλύκη suggests a reminiscence of some lost passage of Euripides.

1345. & María, $\xi i\lambda\lambda a\beta \in] O$ Mania, lend a hund. The Scholiast absurdly interprets María madness: but of course it is the name of a woman, probably another spinning-girl.—Thesm. 728; Athenaeus, xiii, chap. 41. The association of a poor girl with the mountain-born Nymphs is quite in the spirit of the caricature.

είειειειειλίσσουσα χεροΐν,	
κλωστήρα ποιοῦσ', ὅπως	
κνεφαίος είς άγοράν	1350
φέρουσ' αποδοίμαν·	
ό δ' ἀνέπτατ' ἀνέπτατ' ἐς αἰθέρα	
κουφοτάταις πτερύγων ἀκμαίς.	
έμοι δ' ἄχε' ἄχεα κατέλιπε,	
δάκρυα δάκρυά τ' ἀπ' ὀμμάτων	
ἕβαλον ἕβαλον ἁ τλάμων.	1355
άλλ', ὦ Κρῆτες, "Ιδας τέκνα,	
τὰ τόξα λαβόντες ἐπαμύνατε,	
τὰ κῶλά τ' ἀμπάλλετε, κυ-	
κλούμενοι τὴν οἰκίαν.	
äμα δè Δίκτυννα παῖs	
"Αρτεμις καλὰ	
τὰς κυνίσκας έχουσ' ἐλθέτω	· 1360
διὰ δόμων πανταχη̂.	
σὺ δ', ὦ Διὸς, διπύρους ἀνέχουσα	
λαμπάδας ὀξυτάτας χει-	
ροῖν, 'Εκάτα, παράφηνον	

1351. $d\nu \epsilon \pi \tau a\tau' \epsilon s al d \epsilon \rho a$] This "upward flight into aether" is hardly consistent with Glyce's theft; but the speaker disregards the inconsistency, for the sake of introducing a phrase which, as indeed Mitchell has already observed, is a special favourite of Euripides. "Shall I soar to the halls above, $al d \epsilon \rho'$ $d\mu \pi \tau d \mu \epsilon \nu o s$;" inquires Polymestor in Hec. 1100; "Whither shall I fly," asks the Phrygian in Orestes 1376, " $\pi o \lambda \iota \partial \nu a l d \epsilon \rho'$ $d \mu \pi \tau d \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ $\eta \pi o \nu \tau o \nu$;" "Honour no longer abides in Hellas," declare the Chorus in Medea 440, " $al d \epsilon \rho l a \delta' d \nu \epsilon \pi \tau a$." "I fear," says Iphigenia, in Tauris 843, "I fear that my brother will elude me, $\pi\rho \delta s a l \delta \epsilon \rho a d \mu \pi \tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$." "O that I could soar up into the moist aether, $d \nu' \delta \gamma \rho \delta \nu$ $d \mu \pi \tau a (\eta \nu a l \delta \epsilon \rho a$," wails Creusa in Ion 796.

1853. $\tilde{a}\chi\epsilon$, $\tilde{a}\chi\epsilon a$] Reduplications of this kind are everywhere found in Euripides : and very frequently, as in the present passage, the word repeated is a tribrach ; $\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\nu$, $\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\rho\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta\epsilon\nu$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta\epsilon\nu$, Ion 790.

1356. $d\lambda\lambda^{*}$, $\delta K\rho\eta\tau\epsilon_{5}$] The spinning-girl will not sit still with folded hands : she will arise, and follow on the marauder's track : she calls on her friends for assistance : but mere mortal aid is

THE FROGS

Twir-r-r-r-r-rling my hand as the threads I spin, Weaving an excellent bobbin of wool; Thinking 'To-morrow I'll go to the fair, In the dusk of the morn, and be selling it there.' But he to the blue upflew, upflew, On the lightliest tips of his wings outspread ; To me he bequeathed but woe, but woe, And tears, sad tears, from my eyes o'erflow, Which I, the bereaved, must shed, must shed. O children of Ida, sons of Crete, Grasping your bows to the rescue come; Twinkle about on your restless feet, Stand in a circle around her home. O Artemis, thou maid divine, Dictynna, huntress, fair to see, O bring that keen-nosed pack of thine, And hunt through all the house with me. O Hecate, with flameful brands, O Zeus's daughter, arm thine hands, Those swiftliest hands, both right and left; Thy rays on Glyce's cottage throw

 suggests, that these lines are taken from Pasiphae's monody. See the note on 849 supra. Some go so far as to consider the whole monody a parody of Pasiphae's : but its harmless and domestic character seems to negative that idea.

1359. $\Delta i \kappa \tau \nu \nu \nu a$] On this name, and its special applicability to Crete, see the note on Wasps 368.

1361. $\delta \Delta_{105}$] O daughter of Zeus. He gives her the benefit of the doubt, for many other legends were current respecting the parentage of Hecate.

1362. 'Erára] Hecate, as connected

ές Γλύκης, ὅπως ἂν εἰσελθοῦσα φωράσω.

- ΔΙ. πάυσασθον ήδη τών μελών. ΑΙΣ. κάμοιγ' άλις.
 ἐπὶ τὸν σταθμὸν γὰρ αὐτὸν ἀγαγεῖν βούλομαι,
 1365
 ὅπερ ἐξελέγξει τὴν ποίησιν νῷν μόνον.
 τὸ γὰρ βάρος νῷν βασανιεῖ τῶν ῥημάτων.
- ΔΙ. ἴτε δεῦρό νυν, εἴπερ γε δεῖ καὶ τοῦτό με ἀνδρῶν ποιητῶν τυροπωλῆσαι τέχνην.

XO.	ἐπίπονοί γ' οἱ δεξιοί.	1,370
	τόδε γὰρ ἕτερον αὖ τέρας	
	νεοχμόν, άτοπίας πλέων,	
	δ τίς αν ἐπενόησεν άλλος;	
	μὰ τὸν, ἐγὼ μὲν οὐδ' ἂν εἴ τις	
	έλεγέ μοι τῶν ἐπιτυχόντων,	1375
	ἐπιθόμην, ἀλλ' ὦόμην ἂν	
	αὐτὸν αὐτὰ ληρέῖν.	*

Δ]. ίθι νυν παρίστασθον παρὰ τὼ πλάστιγγ', ΑΙΣ. καὶ ΕΥ. ἰδού·

with the moon, is always described as carrying lights in her hands. She comes to Demeter in the Homeric Hymn (52), $\sigma\epsilon\lambda as \epsilon'\nu \chi\epsilon i\rho\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota\nu \tilde{\epsilon}\chi ou\sigma a$, and • $\Phi\omega\sigma\phi\phi\rho\sigma$ s became her most familiar epithet. The words $\delta\iota\pi i\rho\sigma\sigma\iotas d\nu\epsilon\chi ou\sigma a$ $\lambda a\mu\pi d\delta as$ are rightly rendered by Kuster ulraque manu tenens facem. Both Artemis and Hecate were specially invoked by women : $\nu\eta \tau \eta\nu^{*}A\rho\tau\epsilon\mu\iota\nu$, $\nu\eta \tau\eta\nu^{*}E\kappa d\tau\eta\nu$ $\tau \eta\nu \Phi\omega\sigma\phi\phi\rho\sigma\nu$, $\nu\eta \tau \eta\nu \Phi\omega\sigma\phi\phi\rho\sigma\nu$, are amongst their most ordinary oaths in Aristophanes.

1364. $\pi a \dot{\upsilon} \sigma a \sigma \theta \sigma \upsilon \ldots \mu \epsilon \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \upsilon$] So ends the battle of the choral melodies: and we come to the last stage of the poetic contest, the question which poet wrote the weightier verses.

1370. $\epsilon \pi i \pi \sigma rot$ Whilst the Chorus are singing this little ode, a large balance is brought out and placed upon the stage. Something of the kind must have been done in the $\Psi \nu \chi \sigma \sigma \tau a \sigma i a$ of Aeschylus: and it is noticeable that it is Aeschylus who proposes it here. The ode itself is composed of trochaic dimeters, very similar to the strophe and antistrophe, infra 1482-1499, but without the catalectic line there interposed after the fourth line: and some editors have marked a lacuna between 1378 and 1374: but this ode has no That I serenely there may go,

And search by moonlight for the theft."

- DIO. Enough of both your odes. AESCH. Enough for me. Now would I bring the fellow to the scales.
 That, that alone, shall test our poetry now, And prove whose words are weightiest, his or mine.
- D10. Then both come hither, since I needs must weigh The art poetic like a pound of cheese.

CHOR. O the labour these wits go through ! O the wild, extravagant, new, Wonderful things they are going to do ! Who but they would ever have thought of it ? Why, if a man had happened to meet me Out in the street, and intelligence brought of it, I should have thought he was trying to cheat me; Thought that his story was false and deceiving. That were a tale I could never believe in.

D10. Each of you stand beside his scale. $\frac{AESCH.}{EUR.}$ We're here.

1374. μὰ τόν] The name of the deity is omitted, as in the passage of Plato (Gorgias, chap. 22) to which the Scholiast refers. He says εἰώθεισαν τοῖς τοιούτοις ὅρκοις χρῆσθαι ἐπευφημιζόμενοι, ὥστε εἰπεῖν μὲν "μὰ τὸν," ὅνομα δὲ μηκέτι προσθεῖναι. καὶ Πλάτωνα δὲ τῷ τοιούτῷ κεχρῆσθαι. Spanheim refers to the passage in which Philo Judaeus, discoursing on the Third Commandment, commends those who employ this elliptical expression, λέγοντες τοσοῦτον μόνον, νὴ τὸν, ἢ μὰ τόν. —ii. 271, ed. Mang. Such an ellipsis is very common with us, as in our phrase "Bless you" or the reverse: and our vulgar exclamation "My gracious!"

1378. $i\theta\iota \nu\nu\nu$] The weighing competition which ensues was of course a foregone conclusion in favour of Aeschylus, who has already been described as $\pi\nu\rho\gamma\omega\sigma s \dot{\rho}\eta\mu\sigma\tau a \sigma\epsilon\mu\nu\dot{a}$, and than whom no poet ever composed more dignified and weighty verse; whilst Euripides has been boasting that he had relieved tragedy of its heavy weight, $\tau\dot{a}$ $\beta\dot{a}\rho\rho\sigma$ $\dot{a}\phi\epsilon i\lambda\rho\nu$, supra 941. The competition, however, is turned into a mere farce by the device of weighing the competing lines in scales.

antistrophe, and there is no reason why it should exactly correspond with anything.

ΔΙ.	καὶ λαβομένω τὸ ῥῆμ' ἑκάτερος εἴπατον, καὶ μὴ μεθῆσθον, πρὶν ἂν ἐγὼ σφῷν κοκκύσω. 1380
ΑΙΣ. καὶ ΕΥ.	έχόμεθα. ΔΙ. τούπος νῦν λέγετον εἰς τὸν σταθμόν.
ΕΎ.	<ἴθ' ὤφελ' 'Αργοῦς μὴ διαπτάσθαι σκάφος.
ΑΙΣ.	Σπερχειὲ ποταμὲ βουνόμοι τ' ἐπιστροφαί.
ΔΙ.	κόκκυ, μεθείτε· καί πολύ γε κατωτέρω
	χωρεί τὸ τοῦδε. ΕΥ. καὶ τί ποτ' ἐστὶ ταἴτιον; 1385
$\Delta I.$	ότι εἰσέθηκε ποταμὸν, ἐριοπωλικῶς
	ύγρὸν ποιήσας τοὔπος ὥσπερ τἄρια,
	σὺ δ' εἰσέθηκας τοὕπος ἐπτερωμένον.
ΕΥ.	άλλ' ἕτερον εἰπάτω τι κἀντιστησάτω.
$\Delta I.$	λάβεσθε τοίνυν αθθις. ΑΙΣ. και ΕΥ. ην ίδού. ΔΙ. λέγε.
ΕΥ.	ούκ έστι Πειθοῦς ἱερὸν ἄλλο πλην λόγος. 1391
AIΣ.	μόνος θεῶν γὰρ θάνατος οὐ δώρων ἐρậ.

1379. $\lambda a\beta o\mu \epsilon \nu \omega$] Cf. $\lambda \dot{\alpha}\beta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon \tau oi\nu\nu\nu a\partial\theta\iotas$, infra 1390. Each was to hold his scale steady as he spoke his line into it, so as to prevent the scales rising or falling until Dionysus gave the signal. To speak a line into the scale is treated as tantamount to laying the line bodily upon it. The reply $\epsilon \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ means that each is holding his scale in the manner prescribed.

210

1382. $\epsilon \theta' \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda' \langle A \rho \gamma o \hat{v}_s \rangle$ The first line brought forward in the competition is likewise the only one quoted from a still extant drama. It is the opening line of the Medea. Aeschylus responds with a line from his Philoctetes. For each of the three great tragedians wrote a tragedy of that name, though only the Philoctetes of Sophocles has survived.

1386. $\epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \omega \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$] Just as a tricky chapman, selling his wool by weight, might moisten the wool to make it weigh the heavier, so Aeschylus, says Dionysus, increased the weight of his verse, by infusing a whole river into it.

1390. $\hbar \nu i \delta o \dot{v}$] A common collocation; cf. Peace 327. It is found even in the choliambics of the lately discovered "Mimes of Herondas," i. 4 :—

(Α) τίς σύ; δειμαίνεις

άσσον προσελθείν; (Β) ην ίδου, πάρειμ' άσσον.

1391. obx $\xi \sigma \tau \iota \Pi \epsilon \iota \theta o \hat{v}_s$] This is quoted from the Antigone of Euripides, the play of which the commencement was criticized supra 1182. It is Wagner's Fragm. 11 :---

ούκ έστι Πειθοῦς ἱερόν ἄλλο πλην λόγος, καὶ βωμός αὐτῆς ἐστ' ἐν ἀνθρώπων φύσει.

THE FROGS

- Dio. And grasp it firmly whilst ye speak your lines, And don't let go until I cry "Cuckoo."
- AESCH. EUR. Beady! DIO. Now speak your lines into the scale.
- EUR. O that the Argo had not winged her way-
- AESCH. River Spercheius, cattle-grazing haunts-
- Dio. Cuckoo! let go. O look, by far the lowest His scale sinks down. EUR. Why, how came that about?
- Dio. He threw a river in, like some wool-sellerWetting his wool, to make it weigh the more.But you threw in a light and wingèd word.
- EUR. Come, let him match another verse with mine.
- DIO. Each to his scale. $\frac{AESCH}{EUR}$ We're ready. DIO. Speak your lines.
- EUR. Persuasion's only shrine is eloquent speech.

AESCH. Death loves not gifts, alone amongst the gods.

The worship of Peitho seems to have been introduced into Athens by Theseus when he had made all the people of Attica Athenian citizens. In honour of that event he erected, Pausanias tells us (i. 22. 3), a temple for the conjoint worship of Peitho and Aphrodite Pandemus; Peitho representing the persuasion whereby he had effected the change, and Aphrodite Pandemus (quite different from the Aphrodite who bore that appellation in later times) signifying that now "the heart of the people beat with one desire." That the worship of Peitho was still the subject of an annual celebration we know from Isocrates, who, in his speech on the $d\nu\tau$ ίδοσις, 266, argues that they who rail at the art of rhetoric are speaking lightly of the gods, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ Πειθώ μίαν τῶν θεῶν νομίζουσιν εἶναι, καὶ τὴν πόλιν όρῶσι καθ ἕκαστον τὸν ἐνιαυτὸν θυσίαν αὐτῆ ποιουμένην, τοὺς δὲ τῆς δυνάμεως ἧς ἡ θεὼς ἔχει μετασχεῖν βουλομένους, ὡς κακοῦ πράγματος ἐπιθυμοῦντας διαφθείρεσθαι Φασίν.

1392. $\mu \delta \nu os \ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$] Aeschylus gives a line from his Niobe, frequently cited by the ancient grammarians. Stobaeus (Anth. 118. 1) preserves the passage in which it occurs :—

Μόνος θεών γὰρ Θάνατος οὖ δώρων ἐρῷ, οὕτ' ἄν τι θύων οὕτ' ἐπισπένδων ἄνοις. οὐ βωμός ἐστιν, οὐδὲ παιωνίζεται, μόνου δὲ Πειθὼ δαιμόνων ἀποστατεῖ.

In the second line, $a\nu_{0s}$ is Dobree's The fourth line, attesting the superiority felicitous emendation of the MS. ν_{ao} . of $\Theta a\nu_{a\tau os}$ to $\Pi \epsilon_{i} \partial \omega$, seems, as has often

$\Delta I.$	μεθεῖτε μεθεῖτε· καὶ τὸ τοῦδέ γ' αὖ ῥέπει· θάνατον γὰρ εἰσέθηκε βαρύτατον κακῶν.	,
ΕŶ.	έγὼ δὲ πειθώ γ', ἔπος ἄριστ' εἰρημένον.	1395
$\Delta I.$	πειθώ δὲ κοῦφόν ἐστι καὶ νοῦν οὐκ ἔχον.	
	άλλ' ἕτερον αὖ ζήτει τι τῶν βαρυστάθμων,	
	ό τι σοι καθέλξει, καρτερόν τε καὶ μέγα.	
ΕΫ́.	φέρε ποῦ τοιοῦτο δῆτά μοὐστί; ποῦ; ΔΙ. φράσω·	
	βέβληκ' 'Αχιλλεύς δύο κύβω και τέτταρα.	1400
	λέγοιτ' αν, ώς αὕτη 'στὶ λοιπὴ σφῷν στάσις.	
ΕΥ.	σιδηροβριθές τ' έλαβε δεξιά ξύλον.	
	. ἐφ' ἅρματος γὰρ ἅρμα καὶ νεκρῷ νεκρός.	
	έξηπάτηκεν αὖ σε και νῦν. ΕΥ. τῷ τρόπω;	
	δύ άρματ' είσήνεγκε καὶ νεκρὼ δύο,	1405

been remarked, very apposite to the present competition. The passage itself, by whomsoever spoken, is intended to illustrate the hopeless case of Niobe, whom Death had bereft of her children. See the note on 912 supra. All other gods might be propitiated by gifts or won over by prayers. $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a \ \epsilon o \dot{\upsilon}s \ \pi \epsilon i \partial \epsilon_i$, $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho' \ a \dot{\imath} \delta o \dot{\imath} \sigma s \ \beta a \sigma \imath \lambda \hat{\jmath} a \varsigma$ (Hesiod, as cited by Plato, Republic, iii. 390 E). Death alone receives no gifts and yields to no supplication.

1398. καρτερόν τε καὶ μέγα] Burly and big. βαρυστάθμων in the preceding line means heavy in the balance. Compare the use of σταθμός supra 1365, 1381, &c.

1400. $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa' \Lambda_{\chi \iota} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \upsilon s$] Euripides cannot at the moment call to mind any great and weighty line that he has ever written; and Dionysus maliciously advises him to resort to that notable scene in his Telephus, which had represented the Achaean heroes playing at dice, but which had been so unmercifully handled by the Athenian wits for its lack of tragic dignity, that the poet himself, though sufficiently enured (one would suppose) to raillery of that sort, felt the necessity of suppressing it in the revised edition of the play. The Scholiasts, unable to find the line in the Euripides of their own day, were in doubt whether it originally came from the Telephus, the Iphigenia in Aulis, or the Philoctetes, or whether it was not a mere concoction of Aristophanes himself. However, as was long ago pointed out by Kuster, the real facts of the case are preserved by Eustathius (on Iliad, xvi. 742, and Odyssey, i. 107). "It will not be out of place," says he (I give a condensed translation of the learned archbishop's narrative), "to mention here that the ancients used three dice, TPIO κύβοις $\epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o$, and not, as is now the custom, only two. Hence the proverbial expression in relation to people who hazard everything, η tois $\xi \xi$, η tocis

D10.	Let go, let go. Down goes his scale again.
	He threw in Death, the heaviest ill of all.
Eur.	And I Persuasion, the most lovely word.
D10.	A vain and empty sound, devoid of sense.
	Think of some heavier-weighted line of yours,
	To drag your scale down : something strong and big.
Eur.	Where have I got one? Where? Let's see. DIO. I'll tell you.
	"Achilles threw two singles and a four."
	Come, speak your lines: this is your last set-to.
Eur.	In his right hand he grasped an iron-clamped mace.
Aesch	I. Chariot on chariot, corpse on corpse was hurled.
Dio.	There now ! again he has done you. EUR. Done me? How?
D10.	He threw two chariots and two corpses in ;

κύβous, a proverb drawn from the highest throw on the dice, which is six, and the lowest, which is the $\kappa \dot{\upsilon}\beta os$, or ace, $\tau o\dot{\upsilon}$ κύβου, ὅπερ ἐστὶ, μόναδος. For the word κύβος has two significations : first, the die itself, αὐτὸ τὸ ἀναρριπτούμενον, as in the line dei γαρ εἶ πίπτουσιν οἱ Διὸς κύβοι, and secondly, the ace, as in the line βέβληκ' 'Αχιλλεύς δύο κύβω και τέτταρα, that is to say 'two aces and a four,' ou στίχον Εὐριπίδης έν Τηλέφω θείς, ὅπου κυβεύοντας ήρωας είσήγαγε καὶ μαθών ἐπ αὐτῷ χλευασθηναι ὡς εὐτελεῖ, καθὰ σκώπτει και ό Κωμικός, αίδεσθεις περιείλεν όλον τό έπεισόδιον." The Scholiast quotes an allusion of Eupolis to the same line, άποφθαρεις δε δύο κύβω και τέτταρα. There seems to be nothing in the suggestion that under the name of Achilles, Dionysus is referring to Aeschylus as having made a good hit, or to Euripides as having made a bad one.

1401. $\sigma\tau\dot{a}\sigma\iotas$] Weighing, a meaning more commonly found in compounds, such as $\Psi\nu\chi\sigma\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\dot{a}$, than in this simple form. And perhaps, after all, $\sigma\tau\dot{a}\sigma\iotas$ may bear its more ordinary signification of "contest," "dispute"; since this is not merely their last weighing, it is also the last round altogether in the poetical competition.

1402. $\sigma_i \delta \eta \rho_0 \beta \rho_i \theta \epsilon_s$] Euripides cites a line of his Meleager, doubtless from the narrative of a messenger, describing how the hero "grasped in his right hand an iron-shotted club." But the heaviest club is as nothing beside the "chariot rolled upon chariot, and corpse on corpse," which Aeschylus cites from his Glaucus Potniensis. The Scholiast on Eur. Phoen. 1194 adds another line, the couplet standing, according to Valckenaer's correction, as follows :—

έφ' άρματος γαρ άρμα, και νεκρώ νεκρός, ίπποι δ' έφ' ίπποις ήσαν έμπεφυρμένοι.

	οΰς ούκ ἁν ἄραιντ' οὐδ' ἑκατὸν Αἰγύπτιοι.	
AIS.	. καὶ μηκέτ' ἔμοιγε κατ' ἔπος, ἀλλ' ἐς τὸν σταθμὸν	
	αὐτὸς, τὰ παιδί', ἡ γυνὴ, Κηφισοφῶν,	
	ἐμβὰς καθήσθω συλλαβών τὰ βιβλία·	
	έγω δε δύ έπη των έμων έρω μόνον.	14 10
ΔI.	άνδρες φίλοι, κάγὼ μὲν αὐτοὺς οὐ κρινῶ.	
	ού γὰρ δι' ἕχθρας οὐδετέρῷ γενήσομαι.	
	τὸν μὲν γὰρ ἡγοῦμαι σοφὸν, τῷ δ' ἥδομαι.	
ΠΛ.	ούδεν άρα πράξεις ῶνπερ ἦλθες οὕνεκα;	
ΔI.	έὰν δὲ κρίνω; ΠΛ. τὸν ἕτερον λαβὼν ἄπει,	1415
	δπότερον ἂν κρίνης, ΐν' ἕλθης μὴ μάτην.	
ΔI.	εὐδαιμονοίης. φέρε, πύθεσθέ μου ταδί.	
	έγὼ κατηλθον ἐπὶ ποιητήν. ΕΥ. τοῦ χάριν ;	
$\Delta I.$	ίν' ή πόλις σωθείσα τοὺς χοροὺς ἄγη.	

1406. Αἰγύπτιοι] Πολλαχοῦ ὡς ἀχθοφόρων τῶν Αἰγυπτίων μέμνηται.—Scholiast. Cf. Birds 1133, and the Scholiast there.

1407. $\kappa \alpha \tau' \tilde{\epsilon} \pi \sigma s$] The same expression as supra 1198. As before, Aeschylus wishes to deal with the subject in a wholesale manner. And he again (cf. supra 943) refers to the great library of Euripides. He may step into the scale and take all his books with him, and add to these his wife, his children, and his friend Cephisophon, and then Aeschylus will weigh down the lot with only two of his lines.

1411. $\delta\nu\delta\rho\epsilon s \phi i\lambda a$] The aspirate, which was added by Seager, turns an address to the audience, Good people all, into a substantive proposition, Both are my friends.

1413. $\sigma o \phi \delta \nu \dots \eta \delta \delta \rho \mu a \iota$] The exceeding cleverness of Euripides fascinates his

intellect : the nobility of Aeschylus touches his heart.

1414. où $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] Pluto (see the note on 830 supra) now opens his mouth for the first time, and speaks to some purpose too; for by means of his intervention the plot is jerked back into its original groove as abruptly as it was jerked out of it, supra 757. During the intervening space, the purpose for which Dionysus came down has been entirely ignored, and the poetical competition has proceeded on its own merits. Now, however, Pluto announces that the successful poet shall-not occupy the chair of tragic art at his table, but-reascend with Dionysus to the upper world. And Dionysus improves on this idea by giving the go-by to the poetic competition altogether, and determining to take the poet who will be the most useful adviser to the tottering Republic. The

Five-score Egyptians could not lift that weight.

- AESCH. No more of "line for line"; let him—himself, His children, wife, Cephisophon—get in, With all his books collected in his arms, Two lines of mine shall overweigh the lot.
- Dio. Both are my friends; I can't decide between them : I don't desire to be at odds with either : One is so clever, one delights me so.
- PLUTO. Then you'll effect nothing for which you came?
- DIO. And how, if I decide? PLUTO. Then take the winner; So will your journey not be made in vain.
- D10. Heaven bless your Highness! Listen, I came down After a poet. EUR. To what end? D10. That so The city, saved, may keep her choral games.

questions now proposed have nothing to do with the art of poetry: they are merely a short political catechism.

1417. εὐδαιμονοίης] A form of thanks properly addressed to a mortal, as in Eur. Phoen. 1086, and of course having a somewhat comic effect when applied to Pluto. πύθεσθέ μου ἀκούσατε.—Scholiast.

1419. $\tau \sigma \dot{v}s \chi \sigma \rho \sigma \dot{v}s \dot{a}\gamma \eta$] Dr. Merry's explanation " $\tau \sigma \dot{v}s \chi \sigma \rho \sigma \dot{v}s$, scilicet at the plays about to be produced at the Great Dionysia, which would come on some two months later," seems to me altogether inadequate. It was not for so slight a purpose as this that a noble poet was to be called up from the underworld. Men's hearts were at this time failing them for fear, lest Athens, if she fell into the hands of her enemies, should share the fate of the many Hellenic communities which she herself had swept from the face of the earth :

and then the great dithyrambic choruses of fifty men or fifty boys, with which the ten tribes year by year contended : then the splendid dramatic choruses which, at the city Dionysia, drew all the friendly Hellenic world to her theatre : and all other choral worship of the gods would be silenced and dumb for evermore. It was to avert this terrible catastrophe that the wise counsels of the old Mapa $\theta \omega \nu o \mu \dot{a} \chi \eta s$ were needed by his anxious countrymen : infra 1501, 1530. And really, when we remember that these choral contests formed part of a great religious solemnity, we may perhaps, without offence, look upon this line as the Athenian counterpart of the sacred prayer in the Church's Benedictus, "That we, being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, may serve Thee without fear."

	όπότερος οὖν ἂν τῆ πόλει παραινέσειν μέλλη τι χρηστὸν, τοῦτον ἄξειν μοι δοκῶ. πρῶτον μὲν οὖν περὶ ᾿Αλκιβιάδου τίν᾽ ἔχετον	1420
	γνώμην ἑκάτερος; ἡ πόλις γὰρ δυστοκεῖ.	
ΕΥ.	ἔχει δὲ περὶ αὐτοῦ τίνα γνώμην ; ΔΙ. τίνα ;	
	ποθεῖ μὲν, ἐχθαίρει δὲ, βούλεται δ' ἔχειν.	1425
	άλλ' ὅ τι νοεῖτον, εἴπατον τούτου πέρι.	
EΥ.	μισῶ πολίτην, ὅστις ὠφελεῖν πάτραν	
	βραδὺς πέφυκε, μεγάλα δὲ βλάπτειν ταχὺς,	
	καὶ πόριμον αύτῷ, τῆ πόλει δ' ἀμήχανον.	
$\Delta I.$	εὐ γ', ὦ Πόσειδον· σὺ δὲ τίνα γνώμην ἔχεις;	1430
	. [οὐ χρη λέοντος σκύμνον ἐν πόλει τρέφειν.]	- 100

1422. περί 'Αλκιβιάδου] No more urgent problem could have been propounded than this: and it is plain from the language placed in the mouth of Dionysus that it was seriously exercising the minds of the Athenians at this particular season; $\eta \pi \delta \lambda s$ yàp duotokei, the city is in sore travail for a solution, but cannot bring to the birth. Alcibiades was now for the second time in exile, and was residing on his private estate in the Chersonese. Would it be wise to recall him? His genius, both in council and in war, was so transcendent that it might possibly even yet pull the Athenians through their troubles: but the

To describe the feelings of Athens towards Alcibiades, Aristophanes adapts a line of Ion of Chios. His translator might adapt a line of Shakespeare (Othello, iii. 3), She dotes, yet doubts; suspects, yet strongly loves.

1427. $\mu \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \eta \nu$, $\kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda$.] Euripides, always the first to begin, is ready with

man himself was so wayward and meteoric that it might be unsafe to entrust him with the supreme command. Nevertheless the period of his dictatorship was undeniably the most hopeful period subsequent to the Sicilian catastrophe : and this at least is certain, that had he been retained in command of the fleet, the final disaster at Aegospotami would never have happened : it was rendered possible only by his successors' neglect of his personal warnings.

1425. ποθεί μὲν κ.τ.λ.] Παρὰ τὰ ἐκ τῶν ^{*}Ιωνος Φρουρῶν, ὅπου ἡ 'Ελένη πρὸς τὸν 'Οδυσσέα φησὶ,

Σιγậ μέν, έχθαίρει δέ, βούλεταί γε μήν.-Scholiast.

an epigrammatic criticism on the general character of Alcibiades. And so far as he means that Alcibiades had wrought his country infinitely more harm than good, his criticism is abundantly justified: but the particular wording is possibly' not free from objection. For when Alcibiades was minded to assist the Now then, whichever of you two shall best Advise the city, λe shall come with me. And first of Alcibiades, let each Say what he thinks; the city travails sore.

- EUR. What does she think herself about him? DIO. What? She loves, and hates, and longs to have him back. But give me your advice about the man.
- Eur. I loathe a townsman who is slow to aid, And swift to hurt, his town : who ways and means Finds for himself, but finds not for the state.

DIO. Poseidon, but that's smart! (To Aesch.) And what say you? AESCH. 'Twere best to rear no lion in the state:

Athenians, his strokes were just as rapid, and his resources as ample, on their behalf, as they were at other times on behalf of their enemies.

1431. où χρή κ.τ.λ.] In this political

ού χρη λέοντος σκύμνον έν πόλει τρέφειν, ην δ' έκτραφη τις, τοις τρόποις ύπηρετείν.

But this being open to the objection ... that, *literally*, it meant "you ought to catechism we more than once light upon (what seem to be) traces of the double representation of the play. I take it that in the first performance the lines ran:

humour a lion's *whelp*," Aristophanes altered it into

μάλιστα μέν λέοντα μη 'ν πόλει τρέφειν, ην δ' έκτραφή τις, τοις τρόποις ύπηρετείν.

And this was the final form of the speech. Plutarch (Alcibiades, chap. 16) cites the last-mentioned couplet as the entire maxim : and Valerius Maximus (vii. 2. 7) must have read it in the same way, since the advice given was, he says, "non oportere in urbe nutriri leonem; sin autem sit alitus, obsequi ei convenire"; though other commentators draw other conclusions from these passages. Fritzsche indeed takes the intermediate line to be an interposition of Dionysus, referring not to the king of the beasts, but to Leon the Athenian general, Most certainly we ought not to rear a Leon in the state: a somewhat ludicrous idea, though supported by all the learning and ingenuity of a most learned and ingenious scholar. The lines themselves are thoroughly Aeschylean, and as Hermann (Opuscula, ii. 332, &c.) observes, if they do not actually occur in some lost tragedy, are probably adumbrated from the parable in the third chorus of the Agamemnon.

4

	μάλιστα μέν λέοντα μὴ 'ν πόλει τρέφειν,	
	ην δ' έκτραφη τις, τοις τρόποις ύπηρετείν.	
ΔI.	νη τον Δία τον σωτηρα, δυσκρίτως γ' έχω.	
	ό μέν σοφῶς γὰρ εἶπεν, ὁ ὅ ἕτερος σαφῶς.	
	άλλ' ἕτι μίαν γνώμην ἑκάτερος είπατον	1435
	περί τῆς πόλεως ἥντιν' ἔχετον σωτηρίαν.	
EΥ.	[εἴ τις πτερώσας Κλεόκριτον Κινησία,	
	αίροιεν αθραι πελαγίαν ύπερ πλάκα.	
ΔI.	γέλοιον αν φαίνοιτο νοῦν δ' ἔχει τίνα;	
EΥ.	εί ναυμαχοίεν, κἆτ' έχοντες ὀξίδας	1 44 0
	ραίνοιεν ές τὰ βλέφαρα τῶν ἐναντίων.]	
	έγὼ μὲν οἶδα, καὶ θέλω φράζειν. ΔΙ. λέγε.	
ΕΥ.	ὅταν τὰ νῦν ἄπιστα πίσθ' ἡγώμεθα,	
	τὰ δ' ὄντα πίστ' ἄπιστα. ΔΙ. πῶς ; οὐ μανθάνω.	
	άμαθέστερόν πως είπε καὶ σαφέστερον.	1445
ΕΥ.	εἰ τῶν πολιτῶν οἶσι νῦν πιστεύομεν,	
	τούτοις ἀπιστήσαιμεν, οἶς δ' οὐ χρώμεθα,	
	τούτοισι χρησαίμεσθα, σωθείημεν άν.	
	εἰ νῦν γε δυστυχοῦμεν ἐν τούτοισι, πῶς	

1433. $\delta \nu \sigma \kappa \rho i \tau \omega s$; $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega$] A somewhat a fragment, to which Bergler refers, of peculiar expression, probably taken from the Erechtheus of Euripides :

Αίδοῦς δὲ καὐτὸς δυσκρίτως ἔχω πέρι.

καὶ δεῖ γὰρ αὐτῆς, κἄστιν οῦ κακὸν μέγα.—Fragm. 15, Wagner.

1434. $\sigma o \phi \hat{\omega}_s \dots \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}_s$] Dionysus had asked the rivals to *advise* the state, $\tau \hat{y} \pi \phi$. $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi a \rho a \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \iota$. Euripides had answered $\sigma o \phi \hat{\omega}_s$; he had uttered a smart epigrammatic criticism on the character of Alcibiades, but nobody could tell whether he would advise or oppose the return of the exile. Aeschylus had spoken $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}_s$; there was no doubt as to the course he advised, viz. that the state, having the misfortune to possess an Alcibiades, should bear with his humours, and avail itself of his talents. The reply of Euripides was clever, the reply of Aeschylus was clear.

1437. $\epsilon \tilde{i} \tau is \pi r \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma as$] The construction changes in the next line, leaving these words in the air. This and the four following lines are usually and I think rightly enclosed in brackets. It cannot be doubted that the words $\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega \ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ o \bar{\delta} da$ form an immediate response to the question put. But whether these lines are interpolated from some other But having reared, 'tis best to humour him.

- DIO. By Zeus the Saviour, still I can't decide.One is so clever, and so clear the other.But once again. Let each in turn declareWhat plan of safety for the state ye've got.
- EUR. [First with Cinesias wing Cleocritus, Then zephyrs waft them o'er the watery plain.
- DIO. A funny sight, I own: but where's the sense?
- EUR. If, when the fleets engage, they holding cruets Should rain down vinegar in the foemen's eyes,] I know, and I can tell you. DIO. Tell away.
- EUR. When things, mistrusted now, shall trusted be, And trusted things, mistrusted. DIO. How! I don't Quite comprehend. Be clear, and not so clever.
- EUR. If we mistrust those citizens of oursWhom now we trust, and those employ whom nowWe don't employ, the city will be saved.If on our present tack we fail, we surely

place, or whether we have again here, in juxtaposition, passages from the original and revised editions (so to speak) of the Frogs, it is difficult to form an opinion. Cleocritus was a gawky misshapen Athenian, who from some peculiarity of appearance or gait was thought to resemble an ostrich; see Birds 877. And as an ostrich has no wings for tlight, he is to be furnished with wings in the person of the extravagantly slim and slender Cinesias. See Birds 1372-8. These two will then rise from the earth and be wafted by the breezes over the watery plain. Thence, when the fleets are engaged in battle, they will rain

down vinegar into the eyes of the foe. And so, says Bergler, the foe being blinded will be all the more easily defeated. See Schömann (Opuscula, i. 308), who thinks, with much probability, that the following line is taken as it stands from some lost play of Euripides.

1442. $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ oldsightarrow of ∂a] The question having been asked, Euripides, like a forward boy in a school class, is eager to announce at once that he is prepared with the answer.

1445. $d\mu a\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$] This verse seems to have been turned into the proverb which the Scholiast quotes :

σαφέστερόν μοι κάμαθέστερον φράσον.

τάναντί αν πράττοντες ού σωζοίμεθ άν;	1450
ΔΙ. εῦ γ', ὡ Παλάμηδες, ὡ σοφωτάτη φύσις.	
[ταυτὶ πότερ' αὐτὸς εὖρες ἢ Κηφισοφῶν ;	
ΕΥ. έγω μόνος τας δ' όξίδας Κηφισοφων.]	•
ΔΙ. τί δαί; σὺ τί λέγεις; ΑΙΣ. τὴν πόλιν νῦν μοι φράσον	
πρῶτον, τίσι χρῆται· πότερα τοῖς χρηστοῖς; ΔΙ. πόθεν; μισεῖ κάκιστα. ΑΙΣ. τοῖς πονηροῖς δ' ἤδεται;	1455
ΔΙ. οὐ δητ' ἐκείνη γ', ἀλλὰ χρηται πρὸς βίαν.	
ΑΙΣ. πως ούν τις αν σώσειε τοιαύτην πόλιν,	•
ή μήτε χλαίνα μήτε σισύρα συμφέρει;	
ΔΙ. εύρισκε νη Δί', εἴπερ ἀναδύσει πάλιν.	1460
ΑΙΣ. ἐκεῖ φράσαιμ' ἄν· ἐνθαδὶ δ' οὐ βούλομαι.	
ΔΙ. μη δητα σύ γ', άλλ' ένθένδ' άνίει τάγαθά.	
ΑΙΣ. την γην όταν νομίσωσι την των πολεμίων	
ειναι σφετέραν, την δε σφετέραν των πολεμίων,	

1451. $\epsilon \tilde{v} \gamma$, $\tilde{\omega} \Pi a \lambda \dot{a} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon s$] Note the difference in signification between these words and the εἶ γ', & Πόσειδον of 1430 supra. δ Πόσειδον was an appeal to the god ; & Παλάμηδες is addressed to Euripides himself, as a compliment to his amazing cleverness. The artfulness of Palamede foiled even the craft of Odysseus. The latter, to avoid the necessity of joining in the Trojan expedition, feigned himself mad, and ploughing with an ox and an ass pretended to sow salt in the furrows; but Palamede, placing the infant Telemachus before the plough, at once discovered the sanity of the ploughman. He was also famous as the inventor of dice, and many other discoveries. Euripides gave his name to one of his plays, which is parodied in the Thesmophoriazusae.

1455. πόθεν ;] 'Αρνητικώς, ἀντὶ τοῦ οὐδα-

 $\mu\hat{\omega}s.$ —Scholiast. This use of the interrogative $\pi \delta\theta\epsilon\nu$ is by no means uncommon: Wasps 1145; Eccl. 389, 976; Aelian, V. H. xiii. 2 and Perizonius there.

1459. $\chi\lambda a\hat{\imath}\nu a$. . . $\sigma \iota\sigma \dot{\imath}\rho a$] "Neither broadcloth nor frieze," as we might say. It is fruitless to speculate in what manner the $\chi\lambda a\hat{\imath}\nu a$ or tunic of ordinary " wear represented the $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau o\dot{\imath}s$, or the $\sigma\iota\sigma\dot{\imath}\rho a$, a rough coat of skins, the $\pi \sigma \upsilon \eta\rho o\dot{\imath}s$. The words have no special application of this kind: they are merely a proverbial saying about people who are satisfied neither with one alternative nor yet with the other.

1460. εἴπερ ἀναδύσει πάλιν] "If you shall return to the world above," says Dionysus, "find out some way of saving Athens." "So I will, when I am there," replies Aeschylus, "but not before." The words cannot mean, as Brunck and

220

Shall find salvation in the opposite course.

- D10. Good, O Palamedes! Good, you genius you. [Is this your cleverness or Cephisophon's ?
- EUR. This is my own: the cruet-plan was his.]
- DIO. (To Aesch.) Now, you. AESCH. But tell me whom the city uses.
 The good and useful? DIO. What are you dreaming of?
 She hates and loathes them. AESCH. Does she love the bad?
- Dio. Not love them, no: she uses them perforce.

AESCH. How can one save a city such as this,

Whom neither frieze nor woollen tunic suits?

- Dio. O, if to earth you rise, find out some way.
- AESCH. There will I speak : I cannot answer here.
- Dio. Nay, nay; send up your guerdon from below.
- AESCH. When they shall count the enemy's soil their own,

And theirs the enemy's: when they know that ships

others, following the Scholiast's interpretation, translate them, si forte e malis emergere denuo possit, since $d\nu a \delta \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \epsilon_i$ is the second person of the future middle, and not the third person of a supposed future active. Nor can they mean, as Dr. Blaydes and others translate them, si quidem emergere hinc ad superos vis: α signification which cannot be found in the Greek, and would turn the reply of Aeschylus into absolute nonsense.

1463. $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu \delta \tau a \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$] The coyness of Aeschylus is apparently intended to lend greater emphasis to his counsel when it comes. It is, as the Scholiast observes, the counsel which was given by Pericles at the commencement of the war (Thuc. i. 140–144). "What if the enemy ravages Attica? So long as Athens is mistress of the sea, the whole world will be open to her fleets." The third line conveys the same advice in another form. They are to consider their fleet to be their real wealth; and mere money stores, not expended on their fleet, to be unworthy of the name of wealth. Dionysus concurs in this, but as to spending money on the fleet, he observes that the dicasts alone absorb it all, αὐτὰ, τὰ χρήματα involved in the word πόρον. This observation is in striking contrast to the argument in Wasps 660-5, where it is shown that not one tithe of the revenue went into the pockets of the dicasts. And although since that date the revenue had greatly declined, the statement here is doubtless a comic exaggeration. The word καταπίνει is employed in precisely the same signification by St. Chrysostom (Hom. i. in Titum, 735 Α), καταπίνει τὰ τῶν πενήτων.

	πόρον δὲ τὰς ναῦς, ἀπορίαν δὲ τὸν πόρον.	1465
$\Delta I.$	εύ, πλήν γ' ό δικαστής αύτὰ καταπίνει μόνος.	
ΠΛ.	κρίνοις άν. $\Delta \mathrm{I}$. αὕτη σφῷν κρίσις γενήσεται.	
	αἱρήσομαι γὰρ ὅνπερ ἡ ψυχὴ θέλει.	
ΕƳ.	μεμνημένος νυν τῶν θεῶν, οὒς ὤμοσας,	
	ῆ μὴν ἀπάξειν μ' οἴκαδ', αἱροῦ τοὺς φίλους.	1470
ΔI.	ή γλῶττ' ὀμώμοκ', Αἰσχύλον δ' αἑρήσομαι.	
ΕŶ.	τί δέδρακας, ὦ μιαρώτατ' ἀνθρώπων ; ΔΙ. ἐγώ ;	
	έκρινα νικάν Αἰσχύλον. τιὴ γὰρ οὔ;	
ΕΥ.	αἴσχιστον ἔργον προσβλέπεις μ' εἰργασμένος;	•

1467. $a\ddot{v}\tau\eta \ \sigma\phi\hat{\varphi}\nu \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] This shall be your judgement: or, in other words, thus will I decide between you. The following line, to which these words are the introduction, is plainly a quotation from .some lost play of Euripides.

1469. $\delta\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma s$] It is idle to inquire when and where such an oath could have been given; the statement is placed in the mouth of Euripides merely as an opening for the retort which is immediately delivered. The crisis of the drama has arrived, and Aristophanes surrounds it with a series of brilliant repartees which must have been irresistible even to the partisans of Euripides.

1470. τούς φίλους] 'Eµé.-Scholiast. This use of the plural $\phi(\lambda ovs$ for the singular $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$ was probably derived from the scene in the Hippolytus (607-613) which gives us also the succeeding line. Hippolytus has pledged himself not to reveal the secret which the nurse is desirous of unfolding, but when he hears what the secret is, when he learns that his stepmother Phaedra has conceived an incestuous passion for himself, he at first declines to be bound by his oath, and to keep the intelligence from his father. The nurse declares that she will be ruined if he repeats her tale, and the following dialogue ensues :-

ΝURSE. ὦ πρὸς σὲ γονάτων, μηδαμῶς μ' ἐξεργάση. ΗΙΡΡ. τί δ', εἴπερ, ὡς φὴς, μηδὲν εἴρηκας κακόν;... NURSE. ὦ τέκνον, ὅρκους μηδαμῶς ἀτιμάσης. ΗΙΡΡ. ἡ γλῶσσ' ὀμώμοχ', ἡ δὲ φρὴν ἀνώμοτος. NURSE. ὦ παῖ, τί δράσεις; σοὺς φίλους διεργάσει;

where the $\sigma o \dot{v} s \phi i \lambda o v s$ of the last verse seems equivalent to the $\mu \epsilon$ of the first.

1471. $\dot{\eta}$ γλῶττ' ἀμώμοκ'] Euripides, one of the earliest and keenest of casuists, was perpetually raising questions which, however he might answer them himself, came as a shock to the honest instincts of Athenian morality. The suggestion that perjury might in some cases be justified, especially on so flimsy a plea as that the mind had not assented to what the tongue had sworn,

THE FROGS

Are their true wealth, their so-called wealth delusion.

DIO. Aye, but the justices suck that down, you know.

PLUTO. Now then, decide. DIO. I will; and thus I'll do it. I'll choose the man in whom my soul delights.

- EUR. O, recollect the gods by whom you swore You'd take me home again; and choose your friends.
- DIO. 'Twas my tongue swore; my choice is-Aeschylus.
- EUR. Hah! what have you done? DIO. Done? Given the victor's prizeTo Aeschylus; why not? EUR. And do you dareLook in my face, after that shameful deed?

might well seem to imperil the very framework of society: and perhaps no line that ever was written made so deep an impression on the public as the line, quoted in the preceding note, from which the words in the text were bor-

rowed. Aristophanes thrice refers to it, here, supra 101, and still earlier in Thesm. 275. In the last-mentioned passage Euripides pledges his oath to Mnesilochus that he will not desert him, whereupon Mnesilochus responds :—

And please remember 'twas your MIND that swore, Not your tongue only: please remember that.

Plato alludes to it twice, Theaetetus, 154 D; Symposium, 199 A. Cephisodorus, a disciple of Isocrates, selected this line as a special instance of the immoral sayings of poets and sophists. -Ath. iii. 94. Aristotle in the Rhetoric (iii. 15. 8) tells us that, Euripides being involved in a lawsuit, his opponent upbraided him with this line, apparently meaning that the poet could not be trusted even when speaking upon oath; whereto Euripides replied that his opponent was himself acting illegally, in bringing before the legal judges a rem judicatam, a matter of which the theatrical judges had already disposed. Lucian refers to it in his Vitarum auctio, 9. Cicero (De Officiis, iii. 29) shows that on philosophical grounds the aphorism may well be supported. However, in the play itself, Hippolytus himself abandons it. Many other passages are collected by the industry of Valckenaer and Bp. Monk in their notes on the Hippolytus. Origen condemns a sect of heretics for teaching that it was no sin to deny their Lord with their tongue, so that they denied him not with their heart, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau i$ $d \nu \eta' \sigma \epsilon \tau a i$, $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \epsilon$ kapôla $o d \chi i$.— Euseb. Eccl. Hist. vi. 38.

1472. μιαρώτατ' ἀνθρώπων] "He forgets that he is speaking to a god," says Bergler; "so in Birds 1638 Heracles addresses Poseidon as δ δαιμόνι ἀνθρώπων Πόσειδον." To which Dr. Blaydes adds Plutus 78. And cf. supra 1160.

BATPAXOF

$\Delta I.$	τί δ' αἰσχρὸν, ἢν μὴ τοῖς θεωμένοις δοκῇ ;	. 1475
	ὦ σχέτλιε, περιόψει με δη τεθνηκότα;	
ΔI.	τίς οἶδεν εἰ τὸ ζῆν μέν ἐστι κατθανεῖν,	
	τὸ πνείν δὲ δειπνείν, τὸ δὲ καθεύδειν κώδιον;	
	χωρεῖτε τοίνυν, ὦ Διόνυσ', εἴσω. ΔΙ. τί δαί ;	
ΠΛ.	ΐνα ξενίσωμεν σφὼ πρὶν ἀποπλεῖν. ΔΙ. εὖ λέγει	s 1480

1475. $\tau i \ \delta' \ a i \sigma \chi \rho \delta \nu$] This is parodied from another questionable line of Euripides, $\tau i \ \delta' \ a i \sigma \chi \rho \delta \nu$, $\eta \nu \ \mu \eta \ \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma i s$ $\delta \kappa \eta$; It occurred in his Aeolus, that notorious play which represented the incestuous union of a brother and sister (see the note on 863 supra); and, taken literally, it seemed to make right and wrong depend upon the opinion of the agent. What's wrong if they who do it think not so? It was believed in after times, that when these words were first pronounced by the actor, a great tumult arose in the theatre, and a voice, which tradition ascribed to Antisthenes, was heard to reply, Nay, wrong is wrong, whatever men may think, $al\sigma\chi\rho\delta\nu$, $\tau\delta$ γ' $al\sigma\chi\rho\delta\nu$, $\kappa a\nu \delta \delta \kappa \hat{g} \kappa a\nu \mu \eta \delta \delta \kappa \hat{g}$. (Plutarch, De Audiendis Poetis, 12.) Athenaeus (xiii, chap. 45) quotes some lines of Machon, in which the Corinthian Lais, like Dionysus here, makes use of this line to barb a cutting repartee against Euripides himself. The lines may be roughly rendered as follows:—

Lais of Corinth, so the story goes, Beheld Euripides with pen and tablet Out in a garden. Poet, she exclaimed, Whatever made you say in your Medea, "Off, shameless hussy"? Then the bard, amazed At the girl's cheek, said, Are you not yourself A shameless hussy? Lais laughed, and answered, What's shameless if your lovers think not so?

 which Macareus replied with the line before us, would destroy the whole humour of the passage. Dionysus would be merely continuing a quotation, instead of making a felicitous and unexpected repartee; and Euripides must have been deserted by all his $\sigma o \phi i a$ when he deliberately led up to such a damaging retort.

1476. τεθνηκότα] Probably as he utters this word, Euripides drops to the ground,

224

DIO. What's shameful, if the audience think not so ?

EUR. Have you no heart? Wretch, would you leave me dead?

Dio. Who knows if death be life, and life be death,

And breath be mutton broth, and sleep a sheepskin?

PLUTO. Now, Dionysus, come ye in, DIO. What for?

PLUTO. And sup before ye go. DIO. A bright idea.

and there remains, after the fashion of Cleon in the Knights, till the conclusion of the play.

1477. τ is oider] Yet a third time Dionysus replies to the disappointed tragedian with a line borrowed from his own tragedies, and a third time Euripides $\tau o \hat{s} o l \kappa \epsilon l o s \pi \tau \epsilon \rho o \hat{s} \delta \lambda (\sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau a t.$ The idea is twice found in the fragments of Euripides :

τίς οἶδεν εἰ τὸ ζῆν μέν ἐστι κατθανεῖν, τὸ κατθανεῖν δὲ ζῆν κάτω νομίζεται ;—Polyeidus, Fragm. 8, Wagner.

And again

τίς δ' οἶδεν εἰ ζῆν τοῦθ' δ κέκληται θανεῖν, τὸ ζῆν δὲ θνήσκειν ἐστί.—Phrixus, Fragm. 11.

Some discover in these passages a forecast of the sublime doctrine of the Faith. And truly the language is well adapted to express the Christian view of death. A great Persian archbishop, exhorting his fellow martyrs in Sapor's persecution, declared $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta} \nu d\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \epsilon i \nu a \iota$ τό ώδε ἀποθανείν (Sozomen, Eccl. Hist. ii. 10). And Theodoret's ordinary way of recording a Christian's death is to say είς την άγήρω και άλυπον μετέστη ζωήν, "he passed to the life which knows, no ending, the tearless life." And see Plato's Gorgias, chap. 47. However, on the lips of Euripides the lines seem rather to be an expression of philosophic "What do we know of life or doubt. death? We call those yet on earth, the living, and those in the world below, the dead. Yet, perchance, those in the world below call our state death, and their own life." The next line, of

course, is a mere burlesque addition of Dionysus.

1479. $\tau i \, \delta a i$;] Dionysus seems a little surprised, if not a little alarmed, at receiving an invitation from Pluto. And in truth the real reason why Pluto seeks to get him behind the scenes is to deprive him of the professional actor who has hitherto represented Dionysus, but who in the closing scene is to give greater dignity to the utterances of Pluto. Accordingly when Pluto reappears at line 1500 he is represented by the state actor, and becomes the chief speaker, whilst Dionysus, if he reappears at all, is represented by Pluto's choregic actor, and sinks into the unwonted position of a mute.

1480. $\sigma\phi\phi$] Dionysus and Aeschylus, who are to be entertained in the halls of Pluto before sailing away over the Acherusian lake.

225

νη τον Δί'· ού γαρ άχθομαι τῷ πράγματι.

μακάριός γ' άνὴρ ἔχων	
ξύνεσιν ἠκριβωμένην.	
πάρα δὲ πολλοῖσιν μαθεῖν.	
őδε γàρ εὖ φρονεῖν δοκήσas	1485
πάλιν ἄπεισιν οἴκαδ' αὖ,	
ẻπ' ἀγαθῷ μὲν τοῖς πολίταις,	
ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ δὲ τοῖς ἑαυτοῦ	
ξυγγενέσι τε καὶ φίλοισι,	
διὰ τὸ συνετὸς είναι.	1490
χαρίεν οὖν μὴ Σωκράτει	εῖν,
παρακαθήμενον λαλείν,	
άποβαλόντα μουσικήν,	
τά τε μέγιστα παραλιπόντα	
τῆς τραγφδικῆς τέχνης.	1495
τὸ δ' ἐπὶ σεμνοῖσιν λόγοισι	
καὶ σκαριφησμοῖσι λήρων	
διατριβην άργον ποιείσθαι,	
παραφρονοῦντος ἀνδρός.	
	ξύνεσιν ήκριβωμένην. πάρα δὲ πολλοῖσιν μαθεῖν. ὅδε γὰρ εὖ φρονεῖν δοκήσας πάλιν ἄπεισιν οἴκαδ' αὖ, ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ μὲν τοῖς πολίταις, ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ δὲ τοῖς ἑαυτοῦ ξυγγενέσι τε καὶ φίλοισι, διὰ τὸ συνετὸς εἶναι. χαρίεν οὖν μὴ Σωκράτει παρακαθήμενον λαλεῖν, ἀποβαλόντα μουσικὴν, τά τε μέγιστα παραλιπόντα τῆς τραγῷδικῆς τέχνης. τὸ δ' ἐπὶ σεμνοῖσιν λόγοισι καὶ σκαριφησμοῖσι λήρων διατρἰβὴν ἀργὸν ποιεῖσθαι,

ΠΛ. άγε δη χαίρων, Αἰσχύλε, χώρει,

1500

1482. μακάριος κ.τ.λ.] During the absence of Pluto and his guests, the Chorus sing an airy little strophe and antistrophe, each consisting of nine trochaic lines; the strophe in praise of Aeschylus, the antistrophe in depreciation of Euripides.

1484. $\pi \dot{a} \rho a$] For $\pi \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau i$. This we may learn by many an example.

1492. παρακαθήμενον λαλείν] Nobody could be long in the company of Socrates without being drawn into some argumentative conversation. Οι βάδιον, says Theodorus in the twenty-first chapter of the Theaetetus (to which Mr. Mitchell refers) $o\dot{v} \dot{\rho}\dot{q}\partial\iota\sigma\nu$, $\dot{\omega}\Sigma\dot{\omega}\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\epsilons$, $\sigma oi \pi a\rho a\kappa a\theta \dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu \mu\dot{\eta} \partial\iota\partial\dot{\sigma}\nu a \lambda\dot{\sigma}\gamma\sigma\nu$. This perpetual talking which surrounded Socrates is in truth the $d\partial o\lambda\epsilon\sigma\chi ia$ of which the comic poets speak (Clouds 1480; Eupolis, Fragm. Inc. 10), and to which Plato makes such a pathetic reference in the fourteenth chapter of the Phaedo. The reproach still clung to him after his death; and even in the islands of the Blessed, Lucian (Ver. Hist.

THE FROGS

I' faith, I'm nowise indisposed for that.

Blest the man who possesses a CHOR. Keen intelligent mind. This full often we find. He, the bard of renown. Now to earth reascends. Goes, a joy to his town. Goes, a joy to his friends, Just because he possesses a Keen intelligent mind. RIGHT it is and befitting, Not, by Socrates sitting. Idle talk to pursue, Stripping tragedy-art of All things noble and true. Surely the mind to school Fine-drawn quibbles to seek, Fine-set phrases to speak, Is but the part of a fool!

PLUTO. Farewell then, Aeschylus, great and wise,

ii. 17) represents him $d\delta o\lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi o \hat{\nu} \tau a$ with Nestor and Palamede, encircled by a group of the most beautiful youths: till Rhadamanthus threatens to expel him from the island, $\hat{\eta}\nu \phi \lambda \nu a \rho \hat{\eta}$, $\kappa a \hat{\mu} \hat{\eta}$ $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta$, $d\phi \epsilon \hat{s} \tau \hat{\eta}\nu \epsilon \hat{l} \rho \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{a} \omega \chi \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

1497. σκαριφησμοΐσι] Subtleties, trivialities, chippings of nonsense. λεπτολογίαις, εὐτελείαις, σκιαγραφίαις.—Scholiast, Suidas. σκάριφος is indeed merely another form of κάρφος.

1500. $\ddot{a}\gamma\epsilon \ \delta\eta$] Pluto and Aeschylus, probably accompanied by other ban-

queters, amongst whom may perhaps have appeared the venerable form of Sophocles, re-enter the stage : and the former (now represented by one of the three state actors) bids farewell to the victorious poet, and entrusts him with a commission to be executed on his return to Athens. He is to present halters to several obnoxious citizens, who are to terminate their existence therewith without unnecessary delay. And if they hesitate, then Pluto, who once ascended to the plains of Enna to καὶ σῶζε πόλιν τὴν ἡμετέραν γνώμαις ἀγαθαῖς, καὶ παίδευσον τοὺς ἀνοήτους· πολλοὶ δ' εἰσίν· καὶ δὸς τουτὶ Κλεοφῶντι φέρων, καὶ τουτὶ τοῖσι πορισταῖς Μύρμηκί θ' ὁμοῦ καὶ Νικομάχῳ· τόδε δ' Ἀρχενόμῳ· καὶ φράζ' αὐτοῖς ταχέως ἥκειν ὡς ἐμὲ δευρὶ καὶ μὴ μέλλειν· κἂν μὴ ταχέως ἥκωσιν, ἐγὼ 1510 νὴ τὸν Ἀπόλλω στίξας αὐτοὺς καὶ συμποδίσας μετ' Ἀδειμάντου τοῦ Λευκολόφου

bring back his queen Persephone, will in like manner ascend to the streets of Athens, and after branding and fettering them as his runaway slaves, will drive them before him to the underworld. The first line of Pluto's speech is very similar to a line (154) in the Peace, $d\lambda\lambda' \, ~dy\epsilon$, $\Pi\eta\gamma a\sigma\epsilon$, $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\iota \chi ai\rho\omega\nu$, but the words $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\iota \chi ai\rho\omega\nu$ have not quite the same meaning in the two lines, since here, as in the $i\theta\iota \chi ai\rho\omega\nu$ of Knights 498, Wasps 1009, and elsewhere, they involve the notion of "farewell," which is absent in the line of the Peace.

1501. $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu$] In this last solemn scene—for a solemn scene it is, although it occurs in a comedy—Pluto, as Dr. Merry observes, is paying a compliment to Athens, by identifying himself with her citizens. The Scholiast's explanation, τοῦτο ἔφη ὁ Πλούτων ἐπεὶ προσήκει ἡ 'Αττικὴ Δήμητρι καὶ Κόρῃ, is a little too far-fetched. 1504. $\partial \partial \sigma \tau \sigma \upsilon \tau i$ 'Is as $\sigma \chi o \upsilon \omega o \nu \epsilon i \pi i \delta i - \delta \omega \sigma \upsilon \nu a \upsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta$ II hou $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \delta s d \gamma \chi \delta \upsilon \eta \nu$, says the Scholiast, and again on the following line, $\epsilon \eta \delta \delta v \sigma \chi o \upsilon \omega o \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \upsilon \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \upsilon \nu a \upsilon \tau o \hat{s}$. And it seems to me far more probable that he gives three halters than that, as Elmsley suggests in a note on Ach. 784, he gives a dagger for one, a halter for another, and hemlock for the third. As to Cleophon, see supra 678, infra 1532. He at least was not slow in obeying the summons of Pluto, dying in the following year.

1505. $\pi o \rho_i \sigma \tau a \hat{s}$] These were officials upon whom devolved the duty of providing ways and means by the imposition of taxes, and apparently of superintending the collection of the taxes. They were our Chancellor of the Exchequer and Board of Inland Revenue rolled into one. We read in Ecclesiazusae 823-9 that the city had then recently been in urgent need of 500 talents, and

THE FROGS

Go, save our state by the maxims rare
Of thy noble thought; and the fools chastise, For many a fool dwells there.
And this to Cleophon give, my friend,
And this to the revenue-raising crew,
Nicomachus, Myrmex, next I send,
And this to Archenomus too.
And bid them all that without delay,
To my realm of the dead they hasten away.
For if they loiter above, I swear
I'll come myself and arrest them there.
And branded and fettered the slaves shall go
With the vilest rascal in all the town,
Adeimantus, son of Leucolophus, down,

that Euripides (possibly the poet's son) $\epsilon \pi \delta \rho_{i} \sigma \epsilon \nu$, that is, devised as one of the $\pi o \rho_{i} \sigma_{\tau} a \dot{a}$ the means of raising it by a property tax of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The people were delighted to think that so large a sum could be raised by so slight an imposition; but when it was found that the tax did not realize anything like the required amount, Euripides was abused as cordially as he had at first been praised. Myrmex and Nicomachus are supposed to have been guilty of embezzlement in carrying out their financial schemes: and it is probably to these very persons, and to this very passage, that the gloss of Photius and of Suidas refers, πορισταί. οἱ τοὺς πόρους είσηγούμενοι δημαγωγοὶ ἐπὶ τῷ ἑαυτῶν $\lambda v \sigma i \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i$. And certainly if this is, as Paulmier supposes, the Nicomachus against whom Lysias inveighs in his thirtieth oration, we can well believe

him to have been guilty of any amount of embezzlement. And see the note on 1083 supra. It is interesting to observe that, in the speech of Lysias, Nicomachus is said by means of a forged law to have brought about the death of Cleophon. Of Myrmex and Archenomus nothing is known.

1513. 'A $\delta\epsilon\mu\mu\mu\nu\nu\nu\nu$] What induced the poet to include Adeimantus in his list of reprobates, we cannot tell: but that he had good reason for doing so may be inferred from the fact that this Adeimantus is the Athenian commander who was credited with having, a few months later, on the fatal day of Aegospotami, betrayed to Lysander the entire Athenian fleet. His father, here called Leucolophus, is elsewhere called Leucolophides (Xen. Hell. i. 4. 21; Plato, Protagoras, 7). Possibly he was Leucolophus, the son of Leucolophus,

κατὰ γῆς ταχέως ἀποπέμψω.	
ταῦτα ποιήσω· σὺ δὲ τὸν θᾶκον	1515
τὸν ἐμὸν παράδος Σοφοκλεῖ τηρεῖν,	
καὶ διασώζειν, ἢν ἄρ' ἐγώ ποτε	
δεῦρ' ἀφίκωμαι. τοῦτον γὰρ ἐγὼ	
σοφία κρίνω δεύτερον είναι.	
μέμνησο δ' ὅπως ὁ πανοῦργος ἀνὴρ	1520
καὶ ψευδολόγος καὶ βωμολόχος	
μηδέποτ' είς τον θακον τον έμον	
μηδ' άκων έγκαθεδείται.	
φαίνετε τοίνυν ύμεις τούτφ	
λαμπάδας ίερὰς, χάμα προπέμπετε	1525
τοίσιν τούτου τοῦτον μέλεσιν	
καὶ μολπαῖσιν κελαδοῦντες.	
ώτα μέν εὐοδίαν ἀγαθὴν ἀπιόντι ποιητῆ	
φάος ὀρνυμένω δότε, δαίμονες οἱ κατὰ γαίας,	
	τὸν ἐμὸν παράδος Σοφοκλεῖ τηρεῖν, καὶ διασώζειν, ἢν ἄρ' ἐγώ ποτε δεῦρ' ἀφίκωμαι. τοῦτον γὰρ ἐγὼ σοφία κρίνω δεύτερον εἶναι. μέμνησο δ' ὅπως ὁ πανοῦργος ἀνὴρ καὶ ψευδολόγος καὶ βωμολόχος μηδέποτ' εἰς τὸν θᾶκον τὸν ἐμὸν μηδ' ἄκων ἐγκαθεδεῖται. φαίνετε τοίνυν ὑμεῖς τούτῷ λαμπάδας ἱερὰς, Χάμα προπέμπετε τοῖσιν τούτου τοῦτον μέλεσιν καὶ μολπαῖσιν κελαδοῦντες.

τ_i τε πόλει μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν ἀγαθὰs ἐπινοίας.
 1530
 πάγχυ γὰρ ἐκ μεγάλων ἀχέων παυσαίμεθ' ἀν οὕτως
 ἀργαλέων τ' ἐν ὅπλοις ξυνόδων.

and was therefore sometimes called by his patronymic to distinguish him from his own father. This double nomenclature is by no means uncommon. The father of Euripides is called indifferently Mnesarchus and Mnesarchides, see Suidas s. v. Euripides. The archon eponymus for the year 394-3 is sometimes called Eubulus, and sometimes Eubulides. See Clinton's F. H. on that year. And many other examples might be given.

230

1519. σοφία] That is, in the art of tragedy. Cf. supra 766, 776, 780.

1524. φαίνετε] Πρός τὸν Χορόν. ἀντὶ τοῦ, ἀνάπτετε ὡ μύσται.—Scholiast. The torches were lighted when the Chorus entered, supra 313, 344, but were probably extinguished at line 459, if not before. Here the mystics are bidden to relight them, *Light for him the holy torches*, and doubtless obey the order at once. There is much in these directions, as Bergler observes, which recalls the concluding scene of the Eumenides.

1526. $\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma\nu$] Here again the $\mu\epsilon\lambda\sigma\sigma$ is regarded in its metrical aspect (see the note on 1250 supra), the musical element being added by the word $\mu o\lambda \pi a \hat{i} \sigma \nu$ which follows. For it is in their metre and their music only that Down, down to the darkness below.

- AESCH. I take the mission. This chair of mine Meanwhile to Sophocles here commit, (For I count him next in our craft divine,) Till I come once more by thy side to sit. But as for that rascally scoundrel there, That low buffoon, that worker of ill, O let him not sit in my vacant chair, Not even against his will.
 PLUTO. (To the Chorus.) Escort him up with your mystic throngs,
- While the holy torches quiver and blaze. Escort him up with his own sweet songs, And his noble festival lays.
- CHOR. First, as the poet triumphant is passing away to the light, Grant him success on his journey, ye powers that are ruling below. Grant that he find for the city good counsels to guide her aright; So we at last shall be freed from the anguish, the fear, and the woe, Freed from the onsets of war. Let Cleophon now and his band

the six hexameters with which the play concludes belong to Aeschylus. The words are the words of Aristophanes, though naturally in composing the verses he gives even to the language itself an Aeschylean colouring. The Scholiast quotes from the Glaucus Potniensis, $\epsilon i o \delta i a \nu \ \mu e \nu \ \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} r o \nu \ d\pi \delta \ \sigma \tau \phi \mu a \tau o \lambda$ $\chi \epsilon o \mu \epsilon \nu \ [\sigma o_l]$: and Bergler from the Eumenides (966), $\epsilon i \eta \ \delta' \ d\gamma a \theta \tilde{\omega} \nu \ d\gamma a \theta \eta \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \tilde{\nu} \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \tilde{\nu} \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ \delta i \dot{a} \nu a \theta \eta \ d\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \ d\gamma a \theta \dot$

1532. Κλεοφών] Of the career of this demagogue we have few details beyond the fact that on two distinct occasions

he was the evil genius of Athens, persuading her to reject a peace which was her only hope of salvation. First, after the brilliant success of Alcibiades at Cyzicus (Diod. Sic. xiii. 53), and again after the more important victory of Arginusae (Aristotle's Polity of Athens, chap. 34), the Lacedaemonians made overtures to Athens for the conclusion of a general peace on the uti possidetis principle; and on each occasion it was mainly through the instrumentality of Cleophon that these overtures were rejected. Well might Aeschines (adv. Ctes. 150) aver that Κλεοφών την πόλιν απώλεσεν. His outκάλλος δ βουλόμενος τούτων πατρίοις έν άρούραις.

rageous conduct on the second occasion is narrated by Aristotle, ubi supra, and Aeschines de F. L. 80, and having occurred shortly before the exhibition of this play is doubtless the reason why he is here bidden to go and fight, since fighting is what he so much desires, in his native fields of Thrace (his mother's country, see supra 678 and the note there, and see also the Introduction to this play). The advice here given to Cleophon may remind the reader of that given, though for a very different reason, to the $\pi a \tau \rho a \lambda o i a s$ in the Birds, Battle, if battle they must, far away in their own fatherland.

άλλ' ἐπειδή μάχιμος εἶ, εἰς τἀπὶ Θράκης ἀποπέτου, κἀκεῖ. μάχου.-Birds 1368, 1369.

1533. τούτων] Even if this refers to the spectators generally, the πάτριοι *ἄρουραι* must be confined to the native fields of Cleophon. But probably τούτων means "of Cleophon's clique," who very possibly, like Cleophon himself, may have had a strain of barbarian blood in their veins. Let Cleophon, and such as Cleophon, go and fight in their own barbarian fatherlands, and leave Athens and Attica in peace and quietness.

APPENDIX

OF VARIOUS READINGS

THE ancient critics, in selecting the plays of the Athenian dramatists which have come down to the modern world, usually commenced their Aristophanic series with the Plutus, the Clouds, and the Frogs. And as their selections have not always come to our hands in a complete form, there are more MSS. of these three plays than of any other comedy of Aristophanes. Whilst some of the later plays of the series exist in a few MSS. only, there are no less than thirty MSS. which contain the whole or part of the Frogs.

The letters by which the MSS. are designated vary in different editions of Aristophanes, and in none (except in the case of two or three of the principal MSS.) bear any relation to the MSS. themselves. I have therefore recast the nomenclature, denoting all the Venetian MSS. by the letter V, all the Parisian by P, all the Florentine by F, the Milanese by M, the Oxford by O, the Cambridge by C, the London by L, that of Modena by m, that of Munich (the initial being already appropriated) by H, the Viennese by W, the Elbing by E, and the Borgian by B, whilst I have retained the letters R and U for the Ravenna and the Vaticano-Urbinas respectively. The Parisian MSS. having been collated by Brunck, I have, for convenience sake, included among the P's his own private MS., which subsequently formed part of Richard Heber's collection, and is now in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge.

APPENDIX

It is on the foregoing principle that the following table is constructed :---

- R. The Ravenna MS.
- V. The first Venetian (No. 474, St. Mark's Library, Venice).
- The first Milanese (No. L 39, St. Ambrose Library, Milan). Μ.
- The first Parisian (No. 2712, National Library, Paris). Ρ.
- U. The Vaticano-Urbinas (No. 141, Urbino).

(The readings of the foregoing MSS. are taken from Velsen's very careful and minute collations.)

- \mathbf{P}^2 . The third Parisian (No. 2717).
- **P**³. The fourth Parisian (C. B. 2).
- P⁵. Brunck's own MS.

(Brunck's edition is founded on a collation of P, P^2 , P^3 , P^5 .)

- P4. The fifth Parisian (No. 2820).
- P⁶. The sixth Parisian (No. 2716).
- The second Venetian (No. 472). \mathbf{V}^{1} .
- The third Venetian (No. 475). \mathbf{V}^2 .
- The second Florentine (No. 31, 16, Laurentian Library). \mathbf{F}^{1} .
- \mathbf{F}^2 . The third Florentine (No. 31, 13).
- \mathbf{F}^{3} . The fourth Florentine (No. 31, 35).
- The fifth Florentine (No. 2715, Bibl. Abbat.). \mathbf{F}^{4} .
- **F**⁵. The sixth Florentine (No. 2779).
- The second Milanese (No. C 222). M^1 .
- The Oxford MS. (No. 127 Barocc., Bodleian Library). 0.
- С.
- C^1 .
- The second Cambridge (No. 15) in one volume. The first London (No. 5664 Harl., British Museum). L.
- \mathbf{L}^{1} . The second London (No. 6307).
- The Modena MS. m.
- H. The Munich MS. (No. 137).
- The first Viennese (No. 163, Imperial Library, Vienna). W.

APPENDIX

- W². The third Viennese (No. 227).
- E. The Elbing MS.
- B. The Borgian MS.

(The readings of the MSS. from P^4 downwards are taken from the notes of Bekker, Dindorf, Blaydes, and others.)

The editions of the Frogs in my own possession, from which the following synopsis is compiled, are as follows. As in most of the MSS., so in all the editions before Bekker, the Plutus, the Clouds, and the Frogs are the first three comedies given :---

- (1) Aldus. Venice, 1498.
- (2) Junta. Florence, 1515.
- (3) Fracini. Florence, 1525 (sometimes called the second Junta).
- (4) Gormont. Paris, 1528.
- (5) Zanetti. Venice, 1538.
- (6) Neobari. Paris, 1540 (only the Plutus, the Clouds, and the Frogs; being the first instalment of Wechel's edition).
- (7) Farreus. Venice, 1542 (a reprint of Zanetti).
- (8) Grynaeus. Frankfort, 1544.
- (9) Gelenius. Basle, 1547 (sometimes called Froben).
- (10) Rapheleng. Leyden, 1600 (sometimes called Plantin).
- (11) Portus. Geneva, 1607.
- (12) Scaliger. Leyden, 1624 (called Scaliger's because containing a few notes of his).
- (13) Faber. Amsterdam, 1670 (hardly more than a reprint of Scaliger's, with the addition of Le Fevre's Ecclesiazusae).
- (14) Kuster. Amsterdam, 1710.
- (15) Bergler. Leyden, 1760.
- (16) Brunck. London, 1823 (originally published at Strasburg, 1783).
- (17) Invernizzi and others. Leipsic, 1794-1823. (The notes to

 W^1 . The second Viennese (No. 201).

the Frogs are by Beck. By some oversight Bekker attributes them to Dindorf.)

- (18) Bekker. London, 1829.
- (19) Dindorf. Oxford, 1835.
- (20) Cookesley's Frogs. London, 1837
 (21) Mitchell's Frogs. London, 1839
 with the text of Dindorf.
- Leipsic, 1845. (22) Bothe.
- (23) Fritzsche's Frogs. Zurich, 1845.
- (24) Holden. London, 1848.
- (25) Bergk. Leipsic, 1857.
- (26) Meineke. Leipsic, 1860.
- (27) Holden (second edition). London, 1868.
- (28) Paley's Frogs. Cambridge, 1877.
- (29) Green's Frogs. Cambridge, 1879.
- (30) Kock's Frogs. Berlin, 1881.
- (31) Velsen's Frogs. Leipsic, 1881.
- (32) Merry's Frogs. Oxford, 1884.
- (33) Blaydes. Halle, 1889.
- (34) Van Leeuwen's Frogs. Levden, 1896.

A complete enumeration of all the various readings of the MSS. and editions, and of all the conjectures of critics, would be far too lengthy and confusing for a work of this character; and only those are given which seem of some possible interest. It is needless to say that great assistance has been derived from Dr. Blaydes's collection of various readings and conjectures; assistance for which I am all the more grateful, because I had to compile the Appendices to the Peace and the Wasps without it.

It is perhaps desirable to explain that words cited from the text are given the accent required by their position in the text, and not that required by their altered position in the Appendix.

.

4. $\chi o \lambda \eta$. Dawes proposed to read $\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta$, treating the words $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \pi \iota \xi \sigma \mu a$, $\tau o \tilde{\upsilon} \tau \sigma \delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\upsilon} \lambda a \xi a$ as parenthetical, and translating Immo quidquid tibi lubet (praeterquam "premor," ab hoc antem temperes velim), omnino enim jam vacat. $\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta$ appears in some MSS. of Suidas, s. v. $\pi \dot{q} \nu \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$ ' $\eta \delta \eta \chi o \lambda \eta$, and it was originally written in, but afterwards erased from P. P⁸. But it was justly condemned by Bentley; and Thiersch is the only editor who has adopted it in preference to the MS. reading.

7. $\gamma \epsilon^{\cdot} \mu \delta \nu \sigma \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu'$ V. and all the best MSS. (save that R. omits $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu'$ altogether) Bentley, Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Bothe, Bergk, Kock, Velsen, Van Leeuwen. $\gamma' \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \mu \delta \nu \sigma \nu$ a few inferior MSS. and the other editions.

15. τοῖς σκευοφοροῦσιν. All the editions before Brunck read σκεύη Φέρουσ' in two words, placing a colon, some after $\pi oi \epsilon i \nu$, and others after 'Aµei\u00cfias, and taking $\phi_{\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu\sigma}$ to be the third person plural of the present indicative. They therefore make Xanthias impart to the audience the irrelevant information that certain of their popular comedians "are always bearing burdens in their comedies." Brunck was the first, and perhaps the only editor, and (with the exception of Reiske) the first, and perhaps the only scholar, who rightly comprehended the meaning of the passage. He found in P. and P^5 . $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v \eta \phi o \rho o \hat{v} \sigma$ written in one word, and in P⁸. σκευοφοροῦσ' with, what was more suggestive, tois optima glossa superscripta, and saw at once that okenn- ϕ_{0000} (as he read it) was the dative plural of the participle, as indeed Reiske had seen before. φέρουσι, said Reiske, est datirus pluralis cohaerens cum $\pi \alpha \epsilon_{i\nu}$. Brunck therefore wrote the line $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v \eta \phi o$ ροῦσ' ἐκάστοτ'. But σκευηφορείν is really vox nihili : the compound is σκευοφορείν. The article rois seems absolutely necessary; and scholars insist, perhaps with too great strictness, that the final iota of the dative cannot suffer elision. I therefore read τοῖς σκευοφοροῦσιν. τοῖς $\sigma \kappa \epsilon vo \phi o \rho o \hat{v} \sigma v$ is found in the Scholiast; τοῦς σκευοφοροῦσ' in C. and (with the τ ois superscribed) in O. and P³. It would be easy to read rois orrevopópous if it is wished to adopt the idea propounded by Elmsley on Ach. 178; but this form receives no support from the MSS., and the two anapaests in the second and third places are of very common occurrence, being found in two more lines within the next sixty lines of this play. The other MS. readings are σκευοφοροῦσ' (which of course is unmetrical without the τοîs) M. V¹. Suidas s.v. Λύκιs. σκευηφοροῦσ' V. P. P⁵. F¹. F². F³. F⁵. M¹. m. σκεύη φέρουσ' R. W. W1. W2. F4. οι σκεύη φέρουσ' P2. οι σκευοφορούσ' V2. C1. And this was Porson's suggestion, and has on his great authority been adopted by Thiersch, Merry, and Blaydes, notwithstanding that it is vitiated by the patent absurdity, mentioned above, of making Xanthias impart the information to the spectators. οίτινες σκευηφορούσ' Η. Most recent editors either bracket or omit the line, though it is really essential to the sense.

.

20. $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$. Cobet prosaically proposes $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$, which Meineke introduces into the text.

27. οῦνος (for ὁ ὄνος). So MSS. and editions except as hereinafter mentioned. R. and E. have ὄνος, which is brought into the text by Invernizzi, Fritzsche, and Meineke, who absurdly suppose that Dionysus meant to imply that Xanthias is an övos. This characteristic little dialogue, 26-9, is by Hamaker characteristically struck out.

57. $d\tau a\tau a\hat{\imath} \dots \tau \hat{\varphi}$ K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$ U. F¹. F⁴. F⁵. m. (the only MS. reading which satisfies the metre) Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe, Bergk, Paley. $d\tau \tau a\tau a\hat{\imath} \dots \tau \hat{\varphi}$ K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$ P. P². P³. P⁵. V¹. V². and the great bulk of the MSS. $d\tau \tau a\tau a\hat{\imath} \dots$ K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$ editions before Brunck, Dindorf, Meineke, Merry. $d\pi \pi a\pi a\hat{\imath} \dots \tau \hat{\varphi}$ K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$ R. V. $d\pi a\pi a\hat{\imath} \dots \tau \hat{\varphi}$ K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$ Fritzsche, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

67. καὶ ταῦτα τοῦ τεθνηκότος. These words, without the note of interrogation, form part of the uninterrupted speech of Dionysus in the MSS. and early editions. Kuster, following some ancient critics mentioned by the Scholiast, was the first to transfer them to Heracles, and to add the note of interrogation. Kuster's alteration, though overlooked for a time, is followed by Fritzsche and all subsequent editors.

76. où. The MSS. and early editions have $o\partial_{\chi}\lambda$, but Bentley, observing that the last syllable of $\sum o\phi o\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} a$ is long, proposed either to change $o\partial_{\chi}\lambda$ into $o\partial_{\tau}$, or to omit $\ddot{o}\nu\tau$. The former alternative is adopted by Dindorf and all subsequent editors. $\ddot{o}\nu\tau$ " $d\nu\tau$ " confidenter corrigit vir amicus A. Palmer, coll. Eccl. 925 $o\partial_{\sigma}\dot{\epsilon}s \gamma \lambda\rho$ äs $\sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \epsilon' \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma' d\nu \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{\nu}$. Quam correctionem palmariam recepi." --Blaydes. And so Van Leeuwen. But Heracles, proposing that Dionysus should bring back Sophocles instead of Euripides, was bound to give some reason for his suggestion ; and this is furnished by the MS., but not by the proposed, reading. And indeed if no such reason were required, I should prefer Bentley's second alternative to Palmer's correction.

77. $d\nu d\gamma \epsilon i\nu$, $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma'$. So V¹. V². P². P³. F². F³. O. C¹. C². B. W¹. W². Bentley, Dindorf, recentiores, except that Velsen and Van Leeuwen, after a conjecture of Halm, read $d\nu d\xi \epsilon i\nu \epsilon i\pi \epsilon \rho$. $d\nu d\gamma \epsilon i\nu \epsilon i\pi \epsilon \rho$, contra metrum, R. V. $d\nu a\gamma a\gamma \epsilon i\nu \epsilon i\pi \epsilon \rho$ P. M. U. and a few other MSS. and all editions, before Dindorf. The rest of the line is the same in all MSS. and editions except that Blaydes alters it into $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \delta \epsilon i \sigma' d\nu d\gamma \epsilon i\nu \tau i\nu a'$; which certainly gives a better sense, but is based on no authority.

81. $\kappa a\nu$ Dobree, Dindorf, recentiores. $\kappa a\lambda$ MSS. edd. veteres. But two MSS. (P. and m.) supply the $a\nu$ at the end of the verse, reading $\epsilon \pi i \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon i \epsilon \nu$ for $\epsilon \pi i \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon i \epsilon$ μοι.

83. $\pi o\hat{v} '\sigma \tau v \mathbf{R}$. V. P. M. U. and apparently all the other MSS. and, except as hereinafter mentioned, all editions. But R. V. O. H. B. for $d\pi o i \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ have $o i \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$, which makes the line in those MSS. unmetrical, and a few recent editors have preferred to substitute conjectural alterations for the reading of the vast majority of the MSS. Thus Meineke and Blaydes read $\pi o \hat{v} ' \sigma \theta'$; $\delta \pi o v ' \sigma \tau'$; $d \pi o \lambda \iota \pi \delta v \mu' o i \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$, and Velsen and Van Leeuwen (after a conjecture of Cobet) $\pi o \hat{v} \sigma \sigma \tau'$; $d \pi o \lambda \iota \pi \delta v \mu' o i \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$.

84. φίλοιs. The Scholiast says γράφεται δεξιόs. φίλοις δὲ ἀντὶ τοῦ τοῦς σοφοῖς. οῦτος δὲ ἀγαθὸς ἦν τὸν τρόπον, καὶ τὴν τράπεζαν λαμπρός. καὶ φασὶν ὅτι τὸ Πλάτωνος συμπόσιον ἐν ἐστιάσει αὐτοῦ γέγραπται, πολλῶν ἅμα φιλοσόφων παρ' αὐτῷ καταχθέν $\tau \omega \nu$, And Dobree conjectured that σo - ϕ_{0is} and not $\phi_{i\lambda_{0is}}$ is the true reading here; a conjecture approved, though not adopted, by Blaydes. But \$\$\phi\$lous, even if not a reminiscence of the $\tilde{\eta} \pi o \theta \epsilon \iota$ νός φίλοις of Eur. Phoen. 320, is better suited to the context and infinitely more harmonious. The dyados at the commencement of the line is a tribute to the genial, kindly nature of the man, which his friends would especially regret. And so great a master of harmony as Aristophanes would hardly, in a line in which every word, except the copula, ends with the letter s, have unnecessarily introduced an additional sibilant.

90. $\mu i \rho_{ia}$. Van Leeuwen reads $\mu v \rho_{ias}$, after a conjecture of Dindorf.

103. $\mu d\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ Dobree (after Porson's $\mu \dot{\eta} d\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$), Dindorf, recentiores, except Bothe and Thiersch. $\mu a \lambda \lambda a V$. $\mu a \lambda a R$. O. V¹. V². $\kappa a \dot{\mu} a \lambda a$ the other MSS. (except E, which has $\kappa a \dot{\mu} \dot{a} \Delta (a)$ and editions.

104. $\hat{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ MSS. vulgo. $\kappa \alpha \lambda \mu \eta \nu$ (after a conjecture of Cobet) Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. For $\hat{\omega}s \kappa \alpha \lambda$ σο λ δοκε $\hat{\iota}$; Dobree proposed ο $\hat{\upsilon} \kappa \alpha \lambda$ σο $\hat{\iota}$ δοκε $\hat{\iota}$;

114. πανδοκευτρίας MSS. vulgo. Herwerden would substitute πανδοκεί' ἄρισθ', Blaydes πανδοκεία χρήσθ', whilst Velsen reads (from his own conjecture) πανδοκεί' έπειθ'.

116. $i \ell \nu a \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \iota \gamma \epsilon$; MSS. vulgo. Seidler proposed to transfer the words $\kappa a \iota \sigma \iota \gamma \epsilon$ to the reply of Dionysus, so that the dialogue would run $i \ell \nu a \iota ; \Delta I$. $\kappa a \iota \sigma \iota \gamma \epsilon M \eta \delta \ell \nu \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. And this suggestion is adopted by Dindorf, Holden, Paley, Kock, Velsen, recentiores. But I agree with Fritzsche, who says, "Vulgata lectio et per se aptissima est (tuadeo, inquit, cum tua ignavia, ut ego ire audebis?) et concinne refertur ad v. 108-111, ubi agebatur de Herculis ad inferos descensu, quem Bacchus imitari studet. Seidleri conjectura quum minime necessaria est, tum orationem e gravi reddit languidam." And the MS. reading is supported also by Hermann, Bergk, and Meineke.

117. τῶν ὁδῶν MSS.vulgo. Fritzsche altered it into νῶν ὁδὸν, and the alteration is adopted by Van Leeuwen.

118. $\delta \pi \eta$ (or $\delta \pi \eta$, which is the same thing) U. and (corrected from $\delta \pi \omega s$) M. and (corrected from $\delta \pi \omega t$) with a marginal note $\gamma \rho$. $\delta \pi \omega s$) V. Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Paley, Kock, Velsen, Green, Van Leeuwen. $\delta \pi \omega s$ R. P. vulgo. $d\phi_{\iota}$ $\xi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta'$ P. O. L¹. F⁴. P⁵. and (corrected from $d\phi_{\iota\kappa}\delta \mu \epsilon \theta'$) R. Brunck, recentiores. $d\phi' \xi \circ \mu'$ most of the other MSS. and, the editions before Brunck.

129. $\epsilon_{t\tau a} \tau i$; R. M. U. ∇^1 . ∇^2 . O. H. F². F⁴. B. W². Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Holden, Meineke, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. $\kappa_{d\tau a} \tau i$; V. P. and the other MSS. and vulgo.

138. $\pi \hat{\omega}_s \pi \epsilon \rho a \omega \theta i \sigma o \mu a i$; V. F³. (and in uno Regio, says Brunck, without specifying which) Bentley, Brunck, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\pi \hat{\omega}_s \gamma \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \rho a \omega \theta i \sigma o \mu a i$; R. P. M. U. and the other MSS. generally, and all the editions before Brunck, and Bothe afterwards.

142. Θησεὺς ἥγαγεν. Brunck inserted $\sigma\phi$ ' between these words, an unnecessary alteration, in which no editor has followed him.

149. $\eta \lambda o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ R. V. M. U. and (substantially) the MSS. generally, and all the editions before Brunck, and Bekker and Bergk afterwards. Brunck introduced the "more Attic" form $\dot{\eta}\lambda \delta\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ from Suidas, and is followed by the other recent editors. See infra on 819 and 826.

150. $\tilde{o}\rho\kappa\rho\nu$ MSS. vulgo. But the word is omitted in Aldus and Junta, and made its first appearance (in print) in Fracini's edition. Then it led a fitful existence, appearing only in Gormont, Neobari, and Gelenius, till Kuster finally established it in its place.

151. $\hat{\eta}$ Mopsihov $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Several editors have been much exercised at finding a humorous verse immediately following a string of serious ones; and truly, if Aristophanes had not been a comic writer, the contrast would have been worthy of notice. Velsen encloses the line in brackets. Bergk would insert it between the two lines which Dionysus forthwith speaks; making the first of the three lines of which that speech would then consist end with $\kappa a \lambda$ instead of $\kappa \epsilon i$, the second commence with ϵi instead of $\hat{\eta}$, and the third commence with $\hat{\eta}$ instead of $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu$. Van Leeuwen makes it the third line of the same speech, changing (after Cobet) $\tau is \ \hat{\rho} \eta \sigma i \nu$ into βησίν τιν'.

159. $\ddot{a}\gamma\omega\nu$ vulgo. $\ddot{a}\gamma\omega$ R.V. "quae manifesta est grammaticorum conjectura." —Fritzsche. It is, hówever, followed by Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.

160. où $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ MSS. vulgo. Blaydes changes it into où $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau' \ddot{a} \xi \omega$. But Xanthias means "I will not hold them," not "I will not carry them on," which he has not yet been ordered to do.

168. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \ \epsilon \kappa \phi \epsilon \rho \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Hamaker would omit this very necessary verse, and Meineke and Van Leeuwen do so. What Hamaker's reason was, I know not. Van Leeuwen's reason is that the word $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota$ "Attice non *it* sed *venit* significat." And *venit* is the sense required here.

169. $\mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \ddot{\nu} \rho \omega$ (or its equivalents $\mu \eta \ddot{\nu} \rho \omega$ and the like) is found in all the MSS. and in all the editions before Dindorf. The Scholiast, however, whilst recognizing $\mu \eta \ddot{\nu} \rho \omega$, observes $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \tau a \iota \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$, $\eta \gamma \sigma \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \ddot{\epsilon} \chi \omega \dot{a} \rho \gamma \dot{\nu} \rho \iota \nu$. I confess that to me this seems preposterous ; yet $\ddot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ is adopted by Dindorf, Bothe, Green, Merry, and Blaydes. Later in the line I have, with Bergk and several recent editors, written $\tau \delta \dot{\tau} \tilde{\epsilon} \mu' \tilde{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ for the common reading $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \mu' \tilde{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

170. τινες έκφέρουσι τουτονί. This is the reading of U. P^3 . F^4 . and (except that for $\epsilon \kappa \phi \epsilon \rho o \upsilon \sigma \iota$ they have $\phi \epsilon \rho o \upsilon \sigma \iota$) of R. V. M. P. and all the MSS. It is also the reading of all the editions before Dindorf. But Elmsley, at Ach. 127, to prevent an enclitic commencing an anapaest in a senarius (which, however, is by no means uncommon) proposed to alter $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$ into $\tau \iota \nu$ ', and this alteration is made by Dindorf, Fritzsche, and all subsequent editors. But the two accusatives, *tiva* and *tourovi*, do not go very well together, and a nominative is rather wanted for the verb. Hirschig, therefore, changed experience rourovi into expeρουσιν ούτοιι, and so Meineke, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. But Dionysus is calling attention to the verpois, and not to the bearers, and rourovi corresponds with the obros of the following line. Hirschig's emendation was perhaps rendered necessary by Elmsley's; but it is far better to sweep away both emendations, and to leave the line as the MSS, give it. In the same note, and for

FROGS

the same reason, Elmsley proposed to omit the enclitic $\mu\epsilon$ in the $\delta\epsilon_{i\pi}\nu\epsilon_{i\nu}\mu\epsilon$ $\delta\ell\delta a\sigma\kappa\epsilon$ of 107 supra : but there his proposal met with no response.

175. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ P. M. V². W. W¹. F¹. F². F³. F⁵ H., and all the editions except Thiersch and Velsen. Cf. infra 339. $\ddot{\nu}\nu a$ R. U. P³. W² Thiersch, Velsen. $\ddot{\nu}\nu'$ $\dot{a}\nu$ V. O.

177. $d\nu a\beta\iota \omega \eta \nu$. Van Leeuwen, at Cobet's suggestion, reads $d\nu a\beta\iota o i \eta \nu$.

ΔΙ. τουτὶ τί ἔστι; ΞΑΝ. αὕτη 'στὶν ἢν ἔφραζε.

which can hardly be right. $\tau \circ \tilde{\iota} \tau \circ \tilde{\iota} \tau$

184. χαίρ' & Χάρων. The Scholiast says πιθανόν ύπονοείν τρείς τούτο λέγοντας, ἀσπαζομένους τὸν Χάρωνα, Διόνυσον Ξανθίαν καί τον Νεκρόν. δεί γαρ ύπονοησαι βούλεσθαι καὶ αὐτὸν συνεμβαίνειν αὐτοῖς. This is obviously the Scholiast's own suggestion, and is very improbable. See the commentary on 177. It is, however, adopted by Blaydes, who thus brings four actors on the stage at once. Van Leeuwen gives the first $\chi a i \rho' \delta X d \rho \omega \nu$ to Dionysus, speaking leni voce; the second to Xanthias, speaking alta voce; the third to both Dionysus and Xanthias, alte clamantibus.

186. ὄνου πόκας. So all the MSS., Greek grammarians, and editions except Meineke, Kock, and Van Leeuwen, who, following a suggestion of Conz and others, read "Οκνου πλοκάς; after a picture by Polygnotus at Delphi, representing a man named Ocnus weaving a rope, and a she-ass standing beside him 180. χωρῶμεν κ.τ.λ. Not understanding this line, Hamaker, after his manner, proposed to strike it out; and Meineke and Van Leeuwen do so; whilst Velsen places it between 182 and 183, so that the words χωρῶμεν ἐπὶ τὸ πλοῖον follow immediately after καὶ πλοῖόν γ' ὅρῶ.

181, 182. Some recent editors, following the suggestion of Enger and Bergk, distribute these two lines thus :--

ΞΑΝ. τοῦτο; λίμνη. ΔΙ. νη Δία ραζε. ΞΑΝ. καὶ πλοῖόν γ' δρώ.

> and eating the rope as fast as he wove it; an allegory, it is said, of an industrious worker, whose earnings, as fast as he made them, were dissipated by a thriftless wife.—Pausanias, Phocica, 29. The idea is certainly ingenious, but I agree with Dr. Merry that it is more ingenious than probable. It is difficult to see what this allegory can have to do with the world after death; no such proverb as "Oknow $\pi\lambda okcas$ is known to exist; and there is an overwhelming weight of authority the other way.

> 187. Ταίναρον MSS. vulgo. Τάρταροη Meineke, who is followed by Holden and Van Leeuwen, the latter saying, Fingi non potest Charon cymba sua Taenarum appulsurus. Charon, of course, is only going across the lake. His passengers, like Dionysus and Xanthias, later on, must find their own way to their several destinations. See the Commentary on 185.

> 189. $\epsilon \tilde{i}\nu\epsilon\kappa a$ (or $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa a$), all the best MSS.; but here, as everywhere else, Dindorf and others change it to $\circ \tilde{\nu}\nu\epsilon\kappa a$.

193. περιθρέξει MSS. vulgo. περιθρέξειs Blaydes.—κύκλω R. V. U. V¹. V^{*}. **P³.** W². O. C. L¹. B. Junta, Gormont, Neobari, Invernizzi, recentiores, except Bothe. $\tau \rho \epsilon_{\chi \omega \nu}$ P. M. E. H. W. W¹. W². **F².** F³. F⁴. all editions (save as aforesaid) before Invernizzi, and Bothe afterwards.

195. $\mu a \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon_{is}$; This word is given to Dionysus by the vast majority of the MSS. and by Brunck and all subsequent editors, except Blaydes. P. and V. omit the name of Dionysus, but leave a space for it. On the other hand, R. U. F². and F⁴. continue it to Charon; and so do all the editions before Brunck, and so Blaydes. Considering how much easier it is to omit than to insert a name, I have retained the ΔI .

197. $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota \ \pi\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ Kuster, and all subsequent editors. $\epsilon\pi\iota\pi\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ MSS. and the editions before Kuster.

199. οὖπερ ἐκέλευές R. V. Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen. οἶπερ ἐκέλευσάς vulgo. οἶπερ ἐκέλευές Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

204. ἀθαλάττωτος MSS. vulgo. ἀθαλάττευτος Kock, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

207. βατράχων κύκνων. Bothe suggests, but does not read, βατραχοκύκνων, which is adopted by Meineke, Holden, and Van Leeuwen, and is probable enough. Velsen reads κυκνοβατράχων, which is much less probable.

216. $\Delta \iota \dot{\omega} \nu \upsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$. This, which is Hermann's emendation for $\Delta \iota \dot{\omega} \nu \upsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$, is accepted by Dindorf and all subsequent editors except Bothe, Bergk, Paley, and Kock. It is intended to bring the line into metrical harmony with the preceding line, which consists of two iambs, or their equivalent, and a cretic foot, $\underline{\forall} - | \underline{\lor} - | - \underline{\lor} \underline{\lor} ||$. In order to bring the followin line $\Lambda \iota \mu \nu a \iota \sigma \iota \nu \, i a \chi \prime j \sigma a u e \nu$ into the same metre, some write the

second word $d\chi_{\eta}\sigma a\mu\epsilon\nu$. But it seems better to change $\Lambda i\mu\nu a_{l\sigma}\omega$ into $\Lambda i\mu\nu a_{l\sigma}$, the *a* in *laxéw* being common.

223. βρεκεκεκέξ κ.τ.λ. This line is found in R. and (with $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi}$ here as elsewhere for $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \xi$) in P. M. U., and indeed in almost all the MSS. It is found in every edition before Dindorf, and in Bothe and Van Leeuwen since. But it is omitted in V.O.C., and by Dindorf and (except as aforesaid) subsequent editors. Why they have rejected the authority of the great body of MSS. I cannot tell. The line seems required by 227 infra, and its omission in any MS, was probably purely accidental, and occasioned by the like termination κοὰξ κοάξ of this and the preceding line.

228. $\epsilon i \kappa \delta \tau \omega s \gamma' \vec{\omega} \mathbf{R}. \mathbf{V}. \mathbf{M}. \mathbf{F}^5.$ Invernizzi, recentiores. $\epsilon i \kappa \delta \tau \omega s \ \vec{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma' \ \vec{\omega} \mathbf{V}^1$ W. W¹. W² H. F³. C¹. editions before Invernizzi. $\epsilon i \kappa \delta \tau \omega s \ \sigma \upsilon \ \gamma' \ \vec{\omega} \mathbf{P}. \mathbf{U}. \mathbf{V}^2.$ P³. F². F⁴. C. B. L. L¹.

229. $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\xiav\,\epsilon\tilde{\sigma}\lambda\nu\rhooi$. Between these words Hermann inserted $\mu\tilde{\epsilon}v$, which seems fatal to the metre, if indeed this line corresponds with that which follows. It is, however, inserted by Bergk, Paley, Velsen, and Blaydes. Fritzsche reduces lines 228–234 into excellent trochaic dimeters by a variety of ingenious changes, which, being quite unauthorized and having met with no approval, it is unnecessary here to repeat.

239. $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \xi \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. This line, which is continued to Dionysus in all the MSS. and in all the editions before Fritzsche, is by him transferred to the Frogs; and this alteration is followed by Bergk, Meineke, Paley, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. It is true that Dionysus does not join in the timing song until line 250. But line 240 does not seem suited to follow an interruption; and Dionysus here is not joining in, he is merely ridiculing, the timing song. And I think, therefore, that the MS. arrangement is right.

241. $\phi \theta \epsilon_{\gamma} \xi \delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta'$ R. Bekker, recentiores (except Bothe). $\phi \theta \epsilon_{\gamma} \xi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta'$ vulgo. On the other hand, in 243, where even R. has $\eta \lambda \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, all the editions from Aldus downward have $\eta \lambda \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. In each case the termination $-\epsilon \sigma \theta a$ is required by the metre.

245. πολυκολύμβοισιν μέλεσσιν Reisig, Meineke, Holden, Merry. πολυκολύμβοισι (οr πολυκολύμβοις) μέλεσιν (οr μέλεσι) MSS. vulgo. ἐν πολυκολύμβοισι μέλεσιν Hermann, Dindorf, but the preposition seems rather out of place. πολυκολυμβήτοισι μέλεσιν Fritzsche, Blaydes.

250. $\beta \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\epsilon} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. This line, which in the MSS. and editions (except as hereinafter mentioned) is continued to the Frogs, I have given to the Frogs and Dionysus conjointly. Bentley was the first to discern that Dionysus must here speak the line, and he accordingly took it from the Frogs and gave it to Dionysus, taking βρεκεκεκέξ κοάξ κοάξ τουτί together. And so V. and Kock and Velsen. I should unhesitatingly have followed this, but that the conjoint croak is required also in 256 and 261. Fritzsche attains the same end by doubling the line in all three places, giving one to the Frogs and the other to Dionysus. He is followed by Blaydes and Van Leeuwen, and (so far as 256 and 261 are concerned) by Meineke, Holden, Green, Velsen, and Merry.

252. δεινά τόρα. (δεινά τ' έρα. V). δεινά

 $\tau d\rho a$ Elmsley at Ach. 323, and, with a change to $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{a} \tau \ddot{a} \rho a$, his suggestion has been followed by Dindorf and (with the usual exception of Bothe) by all subsequent editors. δεινά γ' apa vulgo. δεινà yàp R. After this verse Brunck inserts, from P., the words $\epsilon i \sigma_{i\gamma\gamma\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu}$, which are obviously a mere explanatory gloss. "Miser iste pannus scholiastae nescio cujusdam," says Fritzsche, "repugnat metro, repugnat grammaticae (futurum est enim σιγήσομαι, non σιγήσω, ut recte Dindorfius), repugnat denique ipsi sententiae, nec plus fidei meretur quam similis glossa scholiastae Victoriani, el κωλύσεις ήμας του βοαν."

262. νικήσετε. Blaydes alters this into νικήσετ' έμέ γ'.

264. oùdê $\mu \eta \nu \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\gamma} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\epsilon}$. This line, found in every MS. and in every previous edition, was omitted by Dindorf as a gloss: surely a very unreasonable proceeding. Yet he is followed by Fritzsche, Meineke, Holden, and others; and those who do not omit the line enclose it in brackets.

265. $\mu\epsilon \, \delta\epsilon_{\eta}$ P. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe, Fritzsche, Kock, Green. Cobet suggests the omission of $\mu\epsilon$, which is found in every MS., and Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen omit it accordingly. $\mu\epsilon \, \delta\hat{\eta} \, \mathbb{R}$. V. Dindorf, and such recent editors as are not mentioned above. $\mu\epsilon \, \delta\hat{\epsilon} \, \mathbb{M}$. U. most of the other MSS. and the editions before Brunck. For $\kappa \hat{n}\nu$ Blaydes always writes $\kappa \hat{\eta}\nu$, which it will suffice to mention here once for all.

271. $\Xi a \nu \theta i as$. For the final $\Xi a \nu \theta i as$ V. has $\Xi a \nu \theta i a$, and so Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Paley, Kock, Velsen, and Van Leeuwen. 279. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \phi a \sigma \kappa' \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu o s$. Hamaker, without any authority or probability, alters this into $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu a \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu' \ddot{\epsilon} \phi a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$, and this depravation of the text is followed by Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.

285. νὴ τὸν Δία. Here again Hamaker distinguishes himself. Not perceiving that these words constitute an ironical assent by Xanthias to his master's vaunt, and recognizing that the words καὶ μὴν ought to commence a sentence, he actually proposes to destroy the whole humour of the line by reading καὶ μὴν ψόφου νὴ τὸν Δί' ·aἰσθάνομaί τινοs. And, what is still more astonishing, this unhappy line is by Blaydes and Van Leeuwen foisted into the text, as the genuine handiwork of Aristophanes.

286. ποῦ ποῦ 'στιν; The line is written in the text as it appears in R., except that R. concludes it with $\epsilon \xi \delta \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \ \partial \iota$. The obvious correction $\epsilon \xi \delta \pi \iota \sigma \theta$ $\ \ i \theta \iota$ was made by Dobree, and the line is so read by Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Paley, Velsen, recentiores. $\pi o \hat{v}$ ποῦ; Ἐόπισθεν. ἐξόπισθεν νῦν ἴθι U. and (save that he reads έξύπισθέ νυν) Kock and (with ¿ξόπισθεν οὖν) Holden. ποῦ ποῦ 'στ'; όπισθεν. έξόπισθε νῦν ἴθι W. and other MSS., all editions before Brunck, and Invernizzi; and so (with ' $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ for The remaining 'or') Brunck, Bothe. MSS. ring the changes on the readings of R. U. and W.

290. $\tau \circ \tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \circ \tau \epsilon$ R. V. V². W. F¹. F². F⁸. H. Bergk, Holden, Paley, Velsen, Van Leeuwen. The editions before Brunck and Bekker, Fritzsche, Kock, and Blaydes afterwards write it $\tau \circ \tau \epsilon$... $\tau \circ \tau \epsilon$, but this is contrary to all the MSS., and there seems no sufficient ground for the notion that $\tau \circ \tau \epsilon$ when used in this collo-

cation changes its accent. $\pi o \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dots \pi o \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ the other MSS. and editions.

298. $d\pi o \lambda o \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta'$. By an obvious, but interesting, error, P. U. P³ and the older editions attribute this and (with the exception of P.) the next speech of Xanthias to the priest of Dionysus. The dialogue in this part of the play is variously distributed, but the common arrangement is that given in the text.

300. $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \tau \circ \gamma' \tilde{\epsilon} \theta' P^3$. B. and with $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \theta'$ (a mere error for $\tilde{\epsilon} \theta'$) U. P. M. C. V². F⁴. F⁵. Bentley, Brunck, Bothe, Thiersch, Blaydes. $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \tau \circ \gamma'$ R. V. Bekker. $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \tau'$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \theta'$ Dindorf, Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Van Leeuwen. $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \tau \circ \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \gamma' P^2$. W. W¹. H. F². F³. editions before Brunck.

305. $\nu\dot{\eta} \tau\dot{\delta\nu} \Delta ia$. All editions before Kuster omitted the $\tau\dot{\delta\nu}$, so making the line a syllable short. Bentley therefore at first proposed to read μoi after $\kappa a \tau \dot{\delta} - \mu o \sigma o \nu$; but subsequently finding that some MS. had $\tau \dot{\delta\nu} \Delta ia$, preferred that reading to his own conjecture. And this is now supported by R. V. P. M. U. and all the best MSS. and so all recent editors,

307, 308. $\vec{o}\mu o \tau i \lambda as \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. These two lines are rightly continued to Xanthias in R., though, as it reads σov , little weight can be laid on that circumstance. As a rule, the first line is given to Dionysus, of whom the words $a\dot{v}r\dot{\eta}v$ $i\delta\dot{a}v$ would be untrue. R. is the only MS. which reads σov . μov is found in P. M. U. F¹. F³. F⁴. H. and others and in almost all the editions. V. has πov .

310. aἰτιάσομαι MSS. vulgo. aἰτιάσωμαι Dindorf, Bergk, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

311. al $\theta \epsilon \rho a \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. This line is given to Xanthias by R. V. P³. O. C. C¹. and

the older editions, but is continued to Dionysus by P. U. P². P⁵. and most recent editions. The stage-direction which follows is found in R. V. M. and other MSS. and in the older editions; and I do not know why recent editors have omitted all these $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi i \gamma \rho a \phi a \lambda$, some of which are of great value. In the distribution of the next two lines I have followed the best MSS., but in many MSS. and editions the parts of Dionysus and Xanthias are interchanged.

318. $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\omega}$ R. Bekker, recentiores. $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \sigma$ the other MSS. and the editions before Bekker. $\tilde{\omega} \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma a$ is the constant form in these comedies.

323. πολυτίμοις ἐν ἕδραις Hermann, Fritzsche, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Paley, Merry, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. πολυτιμήτοις ἐν ἕδραις R. V. P. M. U. O. C. L. L¹. and most of the MSS. Junta, Gormont, Neobari, Invernizzi, Bekker. πολυτιμήτοις ἕδραις W. W¹. F². F³. H. Aldus, Fracini, and (except as aforesaid) all editions before Invernizzi. πολυτίμητ' ἐν ἕδραις Reisig, Dindorf, Green. But ἕδραις requires some special epithet.

329. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ R. V. Invernizzi, recentiores. $d\mu \phi i$ vulgo. $\sigma \phi \kappa \rho a \tau i$ seems required by the metre. $\kappa \rho a \tau i \sigma \phi$ vulgo.

332-3-5. $\tau \eta \nu$. $\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \eta \nu$. $-\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu$ R. V. U. P. M. M¹. O. C. C¹. P³. E. B. $\tau \eta \nu$ the same MSS. except V. U. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \eta \nu$ R. V. V¹ P. P³. And so most, but not all, of the early editions. Recent editors mostly read $\tau \dot{a}\nu$, $\tau \iota \mu \dot{a}\nu$, $\dot{a}\gamma \mu \dot{a}\nu$. But there is no sufficient reason for deserting the reading of all the best MSS. Aristophanes throws in an occasional Doricism, such as $\eta \beta a \nu$ in 353 infra, but he never keeps strictly to an un-Attic dialect, as the tragedians do. For $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ Bentley conjectured and Kock reads $\tau' \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{a}\nu$.

336. δσίοις "Αμα μύσταισι χορείαν. The common reading is is par Orious puoraus $\chi o \rho \epsilon i a \nu$, but R. V. P. M. U. and all the best MSS. have μύσταισι. Fritzsche considers ίεραν a gloss on ἀκόλαστον or άγνην, and therefore omits it, promoting boild to its vacant place, and making the final line αμα μύσταισι χορείαν, two Ionics a minore, in exact correspondence with the final line of the antistrophe xopomoiov, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \kappa a \rho, \eta \beta a \nu$. And this suggestion (with the substitution of $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ for $\tilde{a}\mu a$) is adopted by Kock, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, and Van Leeuwen. But of the two prepositions I much prefer $\tilde{a}\mu a$, as more suitable in itself, and more likely to have dropped out after $\delta \gamma \nu \eta \nu$.

340. $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsiloni\rho\sigma\nu}$. $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsiloni\rho\epsilon}$ MSS. vulgo. The error seems to have arisen from a notion that the verb was to be connected with $\phi\lambda_{\sigma\gamma\epsilonas}$ $\lambda_{a\mu\pi\dot{a}\delta as}$, which are in truth governed by $\tau_{i\nu\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu}$. Fritzsche supposes, " $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsiloni\rho}$ pro $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsiloni\rho\sigma\nu}$ positum esse, sicut in Eur. Iph. A. 624, et alibi." Bergk says "Forte $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsiloni\rho\sigma\nu}$ praestat," and so Blaydes. Moreover (though much weight cannot be laid upon this) $\epsilon_{\gamma\epsiloni\rho\sigma\nu}$ brings the commencement of the antistrophe into exact correspondence with the commencement of the strophe.

341. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ χερσὶ τινάσσων. As observed in the Commentary, there seems to have been a marginal gloss "Ιακχος γὰρ ήκει, signifying that the statue of Iacchus is here brought out of the temple; and the last two words γàρ ηκει crept into the text between $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i$ and $\tau i \nu a \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, to the utter confusion of both sense and metre. For all the MSS. and the editions before Dindorf's read έν χερσι γαρ ήκει (or $\eta_{\kappa\epsilon\iota s}$, which is merely an attempt to get some sense out of the interpolation) τινάσσων. Hermann was the first to eject the intruder, and he is followed by Dindorf, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeu-Bergk makes confusion worse wen. confounded by retaining yap nikel and Nobody seems to omitting τινάσσων. have noticed whence the objectionable $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \ \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota$ must have been derived.

344. ϕ λέγεται δὴ ϕ λογὶ Hermann, Fritzsche, Holden, Kock, Velsen, so making two Ionics, in correspondence with the δσίους ἐς θιασώτας of the strophe. ϕ λογὶ ϕ λέγεται δὲ V. P. U. F¹. F⁴. F⁵. P³. and others. ϕ λογὶ ϕ έγγεται δὲ R. P². P⁵. W. W¹. V¹. and others and vulgo.

346. $\dot{a}\pi o\sigma \epsilon i o\nu \tau a\iota$ R. V. P. M. U. O. and the bulk of the MSS. Brunck, recentiores. $\dot{a}\pi o\sigma \epsilon i \epsilon \tau a\iota$ W. W². H. F⁴. edd. before Brunck.

347. χρονίους έτῶν, παλαιούς τ' ένιαυτοὺς. The reading of this line is extremely doubtful. The MS. readings are $\chi \rho o$ νίων έτῶν παλαιούς τ' ένιαυτούς M. O. P³. χρονίους τ' έτῶν παλαιούς ένιαυτούς V^1 B. W². χρονίων τ' ἐτῶν παλαιοὺς ἐνιαυτοὺς F². F³, F⁴. P². P⁵. V². H. W. W¹. and all editions before Invernizzi. χρόνους τ' έτῶν παλαιούς ένιαυτούς Ρ. F¹. F⁵. m. χρόνων τ' έτων παλαιούς ένιαυτούς U. χρονίους τ' έτων παλαιών ένιαυτούs R. V. Invernizzi, recentiores, except Velsen, and except that Bothe reads eréwv. It will be observed that all the MSS. have $\epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, and the collocation ¿των ¿νιαυτούs is twice recog-

nized by Eustathius. ένιαυτός γοῦν χρόνος, he says on II. ii. 134, ό διατριβήν έχων, καί μή σύντομος διὸ καὶ ἐπίθετον τοῦ ἔτους είληπται παρά τω Κωμικώ, είπόντι έτων ένιαυτούς. And again, on Odyssey, i. 16, after giving the same explanation of ένιαυτός, he adds διό καὶ ὁ Κωμικὸς ἐπιθετικῶς αὐτὸ τίθησιν ἐν τῷ ἐτῶν χρονίους ἐνιαυ- $\tau o \dot{\nu} s.$ It seems, however, impossible that έτῶν ένιαυτοὺς can be right, especially as $\epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ does not suit the metre, which requires an Ionic a minore in the first place, answering to the $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o \nu \mu \nu \rho \tau$ of the strophe. Dawes therefore proposed ἀτῶν, translating excutit (ἀποσείεται) dolores diuturnarum noxarum, senilesque annos. Reiske proposed yvíwv or yoúvwv, Kock ἀστῶν, whilst Velsen reads κράτων. None of these suggestions are satisfactory, and it seems more probable that $\epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is a mere gloss on $\gamma \eta \rho \omega s$, which, however, I have not ventured to introduce into the text. Cf. Lys. 670 $d\pi o$ σείσασθαι τὸ γηρας τόδε.

349. $\tau\iota\mu\eta\hat{\gamma}s$ so all the MSS. and all the editions before Brunck. $\tau\iota\mu\hat{\alpha}s$ Brunck, recentiores. See on 332 supra.

350. $\phi \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma\omega\nu}$. The MSS. and all the editions before Dindorf have $\phi \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma\omega\nu}$, and so Kock : but the metre requires a spondee. Bothe has $\phi \lambda \epsilon_{\omega\nu}$; Blaydes and Van Leeuwen $\phi a \iota_{\omega\nu}$. But far better than either of these is Hermann's $\phi \epsilon_{\gamma\gamma\omega\nu}$, which is adopted by Dindorf and (save as aforesaid) all subsequent editors.

351. $\xi \xi a \gamma' \epsilon n' d\nu \theta \eta \rho \delta \nu$ all the MSS. except B., which without changing a letter makes a complete change in the sense, $\xi \xi a \gamma \epsilon \pi a \nu \theta \eta \rho \rho \nu$. The latter reading is found in all editions before Bergler; the former in Bergler and all subsequent editions. 355. $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ $\kappa a \theta a \rho \epsilon \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota$. $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ (or $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$) R. V. P. M. U. P³. F⁴. C¹. and all editions except Bothe, Meineke, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen, who with B. O. C. L. L¹. and P⁴. read $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$. $\kappa a \theta a \rho \epsilon \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota$ U. P³. F⁴. Zanetti, Neobari, Farreus, Bergler, and all subsequent editors. $\kappa a \theta a \rho \epsilon \dot{\iota} \omega$ the other MSS. and the other editions before Bergler.

358. ποιοῦσιν MSS. vulgo. Velsen conjectures and Blaydes reads ποιούντων. Herwerden, for μὴ ν καιρῷ τοῦτο ποιοῦσιν, conjectures μὴ χαίρων χρηστὰ ποιοῦσιν; which Van Leeuwen reads.

359. πολίταις all the MSS. except P. M. m. and all the editions except Blaydes and Van Leeuwen. The excepted MSS. and editions read πολίτης.

366. Έκαταίων. See Appendix to Wasps on line 804. Here, as there, some alter the MS. spelling to Έκατείων. At the end of the line, for ὑπάδων, the reading of V. P. M. U. and most of the MSS. and all the editions, R. P². P³. P⁵. have ἐπάδων.

369. τοισίδ' ἀπαυδῶ Porson, Meineke. τοίσιν Bentley. All the editions before Brunck have τούτοισιν απαυδώ καθθις άπαυδω καύθις μάλ' άπαυδω. It is unknown where Aldus got this unmetrical line, which is not found in any existing MS. Aulus Gellius, in the preface to his Noctes Atticae, quotes the first and last three of these long Aristophanics. and he reads the present line thus, τούτοις αὐδῶ καὖθις ἀπαυδῶ καὖθις τὸ τρίτον $\mu a \lambda' a \pi a v \delta \hat{\omega}$, and this, which is also the reading of V. (except that V. omits the $\tau \delta$ before $\tau \rho (\tau \sigma \nu)$, is adopted by Brunck and subsequent editors before Meineke. Meier's suggestion τοῦτον $d\pi av\delta\hat{\omega}$ is adopted by Holden, and Valckenaer's $o\,\overline{l}\sigma\iota\nu\,\,d\pi av\delta\hat{\omega}$ by Kock and Velsen. Blaydes alters the whole line into $roi\tauois \pi\rho\omega v\delta\hat{\omega}$ ka $\vartheta dis \pi\rho\omega v\delta\hat{\omega}$ ka ϑdis $\tau \delta \tau \rho (\tau \sigma \nu \mu d\lambda a \pi \rho\omega v\delta\hat{\omega}$, and Van Leeuwen follows him. The other MS. variations for $\tau o\iota \sigma (\delta' \,d\pi av\delta\hat{\omega}$ are $\tau o (\tau \sigma \iota s \,d\pi av\delta\hat{\omega} R$. M. U. and the bulk of the MSS., and $\tau o (\tau \sigma \iota s \,\mu e \nu \,d\pi av\delta\hat{\omega} P$. It will be observed that V. is the only MS. which has not the triple $d\pi av\delta\hat{\omega}$, which is undoubtedly the correct reading; and thus the first word must be the equivalent of the MS. $\tau o (\tau \sigma \iota s, and nothing seems so probable$ as Porson's emendation-

371. καὶ παννυχίδας MSS. vulgo. κατὰ παννυχίδας Meineke, Holden, Velsen. ταῖς παννυχίσιν ταῖς ἡμετέραις Hamaker, Van Leeuwen. And later in the line καὶ τῆδε πρέπουσαν ἑορτῆ Hamaker, Meineke, Holden, Van Leeuwen.

372. $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$. All the best MSS. and all editions before Dindorf read xώρει Bentley wrote "dele dh et δή νυν. lege vvv encliticum," and so Dindorf, recentiores. But although the omission of $\delta \eta$ is required by the metre, we should retain $v\hat{v}v$, which is intended as a mark of time. The procession has been sifted, and a new stage commences. Now, $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, they are to begin the march, which they could not do before. So when the processional hymn to Persephone is over, they begin the new stage άγε νῦν έτέραν κ.τ.λ. 381 infra. So with the third and final stages respectively, 395 and 441. Every editor, I believe, writes in some of these places vov, and in others $v\hat{v}v$, but clearly all come within the same category. The Chorus have been doing one thing : now they are to begin another. And with this all the MSS. are in accord.

374. λειμώνων. So all the MSS. except P. M. and m., and all the editions except Junta, Gormont, Neobari, Grynaeus, and Brunck. M. reads τῶν καθ' ἄδου λειμώνων corrected into τῶν λειμώνων, and this corrected reading is found in Grynaeus. P. and m. read τῶν καθ' ἄδου λειμώνων, and so Junta, Gormont, Neobari, and Brunck, contrary alike to the sense and to the metre.

375. κάπισκώπτων R. V. Invernizzi, recentiores. καὶ σκώπτων the other MSS. and the editions before Invernizzi.

376. *ἡρίστηται* MSS.vulgo. *ἠρίστευται* Brunck, Fritzsche. *ἡγίστευται* Kock, Meineke. Halm suggests *ἠσίτηται*.

378. $d\rho\epsilon is$, Scaliger's suggestion in the edition which bears his name, was first introduced into the text by Bekker, and has since been universally followed, except by Bothe and Velsen. alperseditions before Portus, and so R. U. P⁸. F⁴. F⁶. and Invernizzi. alpys (or alpys) M. and the bulk of the MSS. Portus, and the subsequent editions before Invernizzi, and Bothe. alphyses V. alpois P. Velsen adopts Hamaker's conjecture $dp\xi\epsilon_i$.

380. $\sigma \omega \zeta \epsilon \nu$ MSS. vulgo, except that V. has $\sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$, whence, on Cobet's suggestion, $\sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is substituted by Meineke, Holden, Paley, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.

382. $d\gamma\epsilon \ \nu \hat{\nu}\nu \ \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a\nu$. Some MSS. and some editions prefix $\eta \mu \chi \delta \rho \iota \nu \nu$ or $i\epsilon \rho\epsilon \hat{\nu}s$ to this and the other exhortations of the like character : but, of course, the lines are really spoken by the Coryphaeus. Others consequently prefix KOP., but these, to be logical, should give the same prefix to all utterances of the Chorus except the choral songs. It is better to leave these distinctions to the reader's own intelligence.

394. $d\lambda\lambda' \epsilon ia$ Bentley, Fritzsche, Meineke, Holden, Paley, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. $d\gamma' \epsilon ia$ MSS. vulgo. $d\lambda\lambda' \epsilon ia$ seems the right formula for proposing a change (cf. Thesm. 985, Plutus 316), and it harmonizes metrically with $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon i\tau\epsilon$, infra 440.

397. χορείας. πορείας Velsen.

398. $\mu \epsilon \lambda o_S$ MSS. vulgo, and this is unquestionably right: but Kock suggests $\mu \epsilon \rho o_S$, and Meineke reads $\tau \epsilon \lambda o_S$, and so Holden, Velsen, and Van Leeuwen.

403. κατεσχίσω μέν MSS. vulgo, except that R. has κατασχίσω μέν. κατασχισάμενοs Kock, Meineke, Holden, removing, of course, the copula from κάξεῦρες below. κατασχίσας ἐπί τε γέλωτι Blaydes.

405. τόν τε σανδαλίσκου Bentley, Elmsley (at Ach. 1201), Dindorf, recentiores, except Velsen, who writes καὶ τὸ σανδαλίσκου. τόνδε τὸν σανδαλίσκου MSS. editions before Dindorf. Blaydes changes ῥάκος into ῥάκιου.

406. κἀξεῦρες (or κἄξευρες) MSS. vulgo. ἐξεῦρες R. Kock. ἐξηῦρες Meineke, Holden, Blaydes. κἀξηῦρες Van Leeuwen.

414. $\mu\epsilon\tau' \ a\dot{\nu}\tau\eta$ s. It seems probable that this and the following line were intended to be symmetrical, and therefore the words $\mu\epsilon\tau' \ a\dot{\nu}\eta$ s are omitted by Meineke, Holden, Velsen, and Van Leeuwen, and bracketed by Kock and Blaydes. "Hotibius" would transfer them to the next verse in the place of $\chi o \rho \epsilon i \epsilon_{\nu}$, with $\pi a i \zeta \epsilon_{\nu}$ for $\pi a i \zeta \omega_{\nu}$. Fritzsche, on the other hand, would lengthen the second line by making the speech of Xanthias run $\pi \rho \delta s$ $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \epsilon \delta \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$, and substituting τ_{15} $\delta \nu$ for $\epsilon l \mu \kappa a \delta$ in the first. A simpler process would be to leave the first line as it stands, and insert $\beta o i \lambda o \mu a \iota$ between $\gamma \epsilon$ and $\pi \rho c s$. in the second.

422. Κλεισθένη Aldus, Fracini, and all other editions, except as men- $K\lambda \epsilon ι \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ (which, of tioned below. course, is merely a wrong form of KAELO $\theta \epsilon \nu \eta$) U. V¹. V². W. W¹. P³. O. L. L¹. F⁴. Kλεισθένους (that is, the son of Cleisthenes) R. P. M. and the remaining MSS., Junta, Bekker, Fritzsche, Meineke, Kock, Paley, Velsen, Blaydes. Kalliav. V. The Scholiasts recognize both readings, the accusative and the genitive; διαβάλλει τον Κλεισθένη ώς φαυλόβιον καὶ πρὸς τοῦ Σεβίνου πορνευόμενον, διό και δεινοπαθουντά φησιν έπι τη τούτου τελευτη, says one. And another ύποτίθεται υίὸν Κλεισθένους, οὗ τὸ ὄνομα οὐκ εἶπε, καθ όμοιότητα γάρ τοῦ πατρός έν ταῖς έρημίαις, καὶ περὶ τὰς ταφὰς καὶ τοὺς τάφους κακῶς ἔπασχει.

430. κύσθου MSS. Bergler, recentiores, except as mentioned below. In all editions before Bergler the word was spelt κίσθου. Bothe's suggestion κύσθφ is adopted by Fritzsche, Meineke, and Kock.

432. Πλούτων R. V. P. U. and the great bulk of the MSS. and all editions before Brunck. Πλούτων' M. V¹. P⁴. O. C. Brunck, recentiores. But when both readings are equally good, there seems no reason for departing from all the best MSS.

437. a⁷poi² R. V. P. M. and other MSS. Dawes, Brunck, recentiores. a⁷pois U. F⁴. editions before Brunck. After $\delta \pi a^{2}$ R. P. M. U. add τa $\sigma \tau p \omega \mu a \tau a$ mere gloss, as indeed appears from V. where it still remains in its proper place in the margin, as $\tau a \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \tau a \delta \eta \lambda o \nu \delta \tau \iota$. The true reading is found in V. and the other MSS. and is followed by Bekker, recentiores, except Bothe who with Brunck and Invernizzi retains the gloss in the text. Of the editions before Brunck the words $\tau a \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \tau a$ are added to $\delta \pi a \tilde{\iota}$ by Junta, Gormont, and Neobari ; whilst Aldus and the others give the whole line as $a \tilde{\iota} \rho o s \tilde{\iota} r a \tilde{\iota} d s s a \tilde{\iota}$ $\gamma \epsilon \pi a \tilde{\iota} \tau a \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \tau a$.

439. $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' $\tilde{\eta}$ (that is τi $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda o$ $\tilde{\eta}$, as Clouds 1287, Aesch. Sept. 847, and frequently elsewhere) Brunck, Thiersch. $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' $\tilde{\eta}$ vulgo. $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' $\tilde{\eta}$ Bergk.

444. ταίσιν Bentley (and so it was afterwards found written in U.), Dindorf, recentiores. ταίσι vulgo.

446. $\pi \alpha \nu \nu \nu \chi i \langle o \nu \sigma \nu \rangle$ Bentley (and so it was afterwards found written in R. V.), Bekker, recentiores. $\pi \alpha \nu \nu \nu \chi i \langle o \nu \sigma \nu \rangle$ vulgo. $\theta \epsilon \tilde{a}$ R. Invernizzi, recentiores. $\theta \epsilon a i$ vulgo.

448. πολυρρόδους vulgo. πολυρρόθους O. C. L. L¹. Thiersch, Velsen.

453. Moîpai MSS. vulgo. Bergler in his Latin version translated this Horae, and Brunck in his revision left this translation unaltered. Meineke suggested Ωai or Moioai, and Van Leeuwen reads Ωpai , observing "vocem traditam damnat adjectivum $\delta \lambda \beta_{iai}$, almae, beatae, quod de Horis aptissimum, de Musis aptum, de Parcis ineptum." But as to this, see the Commentary.

455. iλaρ όν P. M. U. and most of the MSS. and every editor except Invernizzi. iερ όν R. V. O. C. L. Invernizzi, a reading, as Blaydes remarks, derived from 447 supra.

458. $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i roùs $\xi\epsilon\nuous$ R. F¹. F⁴. F⁵. P³. V². m. Invernizzi, recentiores, except

Bothe. $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau\epsilon \tau o is \xi \epsilon \nu o us V. P. M. U. O. C. L¹. and other MSS. <math>\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau\epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu o us W. W¹. F². F³. H. and all editions before Invernizzi, and Bothe. Blaydes changes <math>\tau\rho i \pi o \nu$ into $\beta i o \nu$, which doubtless goes more naturally with $\delta \iota d \gamma \epsilon \nu$.

462. $\delta ia\tau \rho i \psi \epsilon is$ R. V. V². Brunck, recentiores. $\delta ia\tau \rho i \psi \eta s$ P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and all editions before Brunck. $\gamma \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \sigma ai$ all the MSS. (except R. which has $\gamma \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \sigma \eta$) and all editions before Bekker; and Bothe, Bergk, Velsen, and Paley since. $\gamma \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \sigma \epsilon i$ Bekker and (except as above) recentiores. But though the future is common enough in sentences of this kind, and occurs in 202, 203 and 524, 525 of this very play, yet the imperative is also found, as in Clouds 296, 297: and there seems no reason for departing from the unanimous reading of the MSS.

473. έκατογκέφαλος R.V.P. and almost all the MSS.Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. έκατοντακέφαλος M.U.O.C.B. and one or two others, and the editions before Brunck.

474. πλευμόνων R. M. and one or two other MSS. and every edition except Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, and Paley who with V. P. U. and almost all the other MSS. read πνευμόνων. The Scholiast says 'Αττικοί τὸν πνεύμονα πλεύμονα λέγουσιν, ὡς καὶ τὸ νίτρον, λίτρον.

482. σπογγιάν MSS. vulgo. But some recent editors prefer to spell it σφογγιάν.

483. $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$ MSS. vulgo. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\theta\sigma$ Bergk and subsequent editors. But this is a mistake. The right accentuation is $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$. The author of the Etym. Magn., s.v. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma$ (not observing that $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma$ if so accented is an exceptional case), lays down the rule in terms con-

tradicted by the very examples which he brings to support it. The true rule in regard to the second aorist imperative middle of verbs in $\mu\iota$ is that "where the simple verb is monosyllabic, so that if the accent is thrown back it will fall on the prefix, it is so thrown back : but where the simple verb is polysyllabic, so that the accent, if thrown back, will still fall on the verb, it is not thrown back." No compound of toù retains the circumflex. The MSS. give $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \theta \sigma v$ here, Birds 361, Soph. Trach. 1224; ένθου Knights 51; σύνθου, ἀπόθου, κατάθου, Etym. Magn. ubi supra, cf. infra 528, 627; περίθου, ύπόθου, παράθου, Eccl. 131, 1031, 1033, and so on. In the Etym. Magn. the rule, which applies to the syllables of the *verb*, is absurdly referred to the syllables of the *prefix* itself. Mr. Chandler in his learned work on Greek accentuation (§ 819 Compound verbs in μ) while apparently adopting what he calls the "singular rule" of the Etym. Magn. mentions, as an exception, " $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\delta\circ\hat{\nu}$ in Eccl. 121, for which the correct form $\pi \epsilon \rho (\delta ov \text{ occurs in the}$ same author in Clouds 644, Ach. 772." But this is an oversight. The $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \hat{v}$ in Eccl. 121 comes from περιδέω, and is not a compound verb in $\mu\iota$ at all; the $\pi\epsilon\rho\delta ov$ in Clouds 644 and Ach. 772 comes from $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta (\delta \omega \mu)$, and is subject to the rule we are considering. All three words are rightly accented.

488. οὐκ ἀν V. and so Scaliger had conjectured. So Brunck, Bergk, Meineke, Velsen, Kock, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. οὕκουν vulgo. ἔτερός γ' αὕτ' R. V. U Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Meineke, Velsen, Van Leeuwen. ἕτερος ταῦτ' vulgo. γ' ἕτερος ταῦτ' Bergk. ἕτερός γ' ἁν (omitting ταῦτ') Fritzsche, Paley. ἔτερός γ' εἰργάσατ' ἀνὴρ ταῦτ' Kock.

494. ληματιάs MSS. vulgo. The Scholiast mentions a various reading $\lambda \eta \mu a \tau i a s$ in the sense of μεγαλόφρων και ίσχυρος, and V. has it as a marginal reading. Photius explains $\lambda \eta \mu a \tau i a s$ by $\phi \rho o \nu \eta \mu a \tau i a s$, γεννάδαs, without any reference to Aristophanes, and Suidas incorporates in his Lexicon the explanations of both Photius and the Scholiast, Hesychius has $\lambda \eta \mu a \tau (a \nu) \phi \rho o \nu \eta \mu a \tau (a \nu)$, which (being in the accusative) is clearly a reference to some other place in which the word occurs. It is not at all in the manner of Aristophanes to join together two epithets of almost precisely the same meaning. Yet $\lambda \eta \mu a \tau i a s$ is forced into the text in defiance of all the MSS. by Meineke, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.

505. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ R. V. V¹. F². F⁵. and all the editions from Aldus downwards, except Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen, who with M. P. U. and the remaining MSS. spell the word $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$.

507. κολλάβους. Blaydes adds a τ ' to this word.

508. où $\mu \dot{\eta}$ o'. The où is omitted by Blaydes and Van Leeuwen, because, they say, after où $\mu \dot{\eta}$ "non futurum sed subjunctivus aoristi debebat sequi." But the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ cannot stand alone. Nor is Blaydes more happy in suggesting $\ddot{a}\delta\epsilon\iota\pi\nu\rho\nu$ $\ddot{o}\nu\tau$ or $\pi\epsilon\iota\nu\omega\nu\tau$ for $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta \dot{o}\nu\tau$, though *he* introduces the first, and Van Leeuwen the second, conjecture into the text.

513. $ai\lambda\eta\tau\rho is \gamma\epsilon$ R. M. P. U. and most MSS. and vulgo. $ai\lambda\eta\tau\rho is \tau\epsilon$ V. Blaydes.

514. ήδη 'νδον Tyrwhitt, Dobree, Din-

dorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. ηδ' ἕνδον MSS. vulgo.

519. $\partial \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \rho i \sigma \iota \nu$ R. Invernizzi, recentiores. And this the context requires. $\partial \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \rho i \sigma \iota$ is also found in V. and O. and is read by Brunck. $a \partial \lambda \eta \tau \rho i \sigma \iota$ M. P. U. vulgo.

520. ús P. W. W¹. F¹. V². vulgo. őr. R. M. U. and the MSS. generally, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen. őr' V.

522. $\pi o \iota \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$ V. Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\pi o \iota \epsilon \tilde{\iota} s$ the other MSS. and editions. But the middle is almost always employed in this connexion.

523. Ήρακλέα γ' ἐσκεύασα P. U. W. W1, W2, F2, F3, F4, H, V2, and all editions before Dindorf. 'Hpakhéa y' čoktú-'Ηρακλέ' έσκεύασα R. aka V. Thiersch. ΄ Ήρακλέα σκεύασα Μ. V¹. ΄ Ηρακλέα σ' έσκεύασα O. Elmsley at Ach. 385, where the word ἐνσκευάσασθαι occurs, says casually "Confer Ranae 523 ubi fortasse legendum est 'Ηρακλέα 'νεσκεύασα." And this hesitating suggestion is adopted by Dindorf, Fritzsche, and subsequent editors. It is difficult to say why, for as Blaydes, while adopting it, truly observes, "Multo frequentius in hoc sensu est simplex σκευάζειν quam ενσκευάζειν."

524. φλυαρήσεις R. W. P². P³. and all the editions. φλυαρήσης V. P. M. U. and most of the other MSS.

536. μετακυλίνδειν R. V. M. U. and others, Fritzsche, Meineke, recentiores. μετακυλινδείν vulgo.

543. κυνών R. V. Bentley, Bekker, recentiores. κινών vulgo. φιλών Brunck, but see his note on Peace 1138.

544. $\tilde{y}\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ MSS. vulgo. $\tilde{y}\tau\eta\sigma$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu$ Van Leeuwen after Hamaker. But cf. Thesm. 633.

545. obros . . . avros. The proximity

of these two pronouns has caused some confusion in the MSS., R. V. O. and some others reading airos for oiros, and omitting airos before $\pi a \nu o \hat{\nu} \rho \gamma os$. However the great bulk of MSS. and editions give the line as in the text. Velsen substitutes dei for airos. Blaydes, followed as usual by Van Leeuwen, for airos $\pi a \nu o \hat{\nu} \rho \gamma os$ reads $\pi a \nu o \hat{\nu} \rho \gamma os$ eidôs. Bergk changes airos into kairos, and is followed by Meineke.

548. rov's $\chi o po v$. Kock suggests $\tau o v$ $\chi o po v$, which Blaydes and Van Leeuwen adopt.

551. κατέφαγ' V. Bentley, Dindorf, recentiores. κατέφαγεν vulgo.

554. $d\nu'$ ήμιωβολιαΐα P⁵. C¹. Kuster, recentiores. $d\nu'$ ήμιωβολιμαΐα H. F³. W¹. W². $d\nu\eta\mu$ ιωβολιαΐα R. V. P. V¹. V². and others, and the editions before Kuster. $d\nu\eta\mu$ ωβολιμαΐα M. U. The ensuing dialogue is variously distributed between the two women.

556. κοὐκ οἶσθ' MSS. vulgo. κοὐκ οἶδ' Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

557. ἀν γιῶναί Elmsley (at Ach. 178), Dobree, Dindorf, recentiores. αὐ γνῶναί B. ἀναγνῶναί vulgo.

560. Sv ovor R. V. M. U. O. C. P3. P4. P5. F4. δν αὐτὸs P. B. δνπερ σὺν P2. C1. The rois after adrois is δν ούτως L1. omitted by R. P. M. and a few other MSS.—κατήσθιεν R. V. P. P³. κατήσθιε M. U. P⁴. P⁵. At its first appearance in Aldus the line ran $\delta\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$ où aù roiou ταλάροις κατήσθιε. This went on till Portus added rois between auroiou and $\tau a \lambda \dot{a} \rho o s$: and so it was read till Kuster changed autoiss into autois. Next, Brunck from P. changed ővπερ σùv into ôv autos, whilst Invernizzi from R. V. changed airòs into oiros. Finally

Bekker from the same MSS. added the ν to $\kappa \alpha r \eta \sigma \theta \iota \epsilon$, and brought the line into its present form.

562. $\epsilon is \ \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ V. P. U. and the MSS. generally, and all the editions before Bekker, and Fritzsche afterwards. ϵis $u\epsilon$ R. $\epsilon is \mu \epsilon$ M. Dindorf, Bothe, Bergk, Kock, Velsen, Van Leeuwen. $\epsilon s \mu \epsilon$ Meineke, Holden, Blaydes. But as Fritzsche truly says "Hujus versus numeri non tragici esse debent sed comici." And see infra at 673.

565. $\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\dot{\alpha}\sigma a$ R. V. U. F¹. F⁴. F⁵. Bekker, recentiores, except Bothe and Velsen. $\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma a\sigma a\iota$ vulgo. $\pi o\nu$ V. Dindorf, recentiores. $\pi\omega$ vulgo.

567. ἐξάξαs Bentley, Kuster, recentiores. ἐξάξαs MSS. and editions before Kuster. τὰs ψιάθουs MSS. vulgo. τοὺs ψιάθουs Dindorf.

576. χόλικας Schweighaeuser, Dindorf, recentiores. κόλικας MSS. editions before Dindorf. κόλικας is a vox nihili, and must represent either κόλλικας muffins or χόλικας tripe; $\mathring{\eta}$ ἄρτους $\mathring{\eta}$ ζντερα, as the Scholiast explains it. But the first two syllables of κόλλικας are long, and κόλικας therefore must be either another form of, or a mistake for, χόλικας; which is now universally substituted for it.

582. $\& \Xi a \nu \theta i \delta i o \nu$. On the supposition that the second syllable of the word (if derived from $\Xi a \nu \theta i a s$) would be long, Meineke would either omit the & or read $\& \Xi a \nu \theta i o \nu$. But there is no certainty about these pet names.

584. $\theta \nu \mu o \hat{i}$ R. V. M. U. and the MSS. generally, Junta, Brunck, recentiores. $\theta \nu \mu e \hat{i}$ P. P². P⁵. and, with the exception of Junta, all editions before Brunck.

592. σαυτόν άει W. W¹. W². H. F³.

.

and all the editions before Invernizzi, and Bothe afterwards. The words are omitted in all the other MSS. and by Invernizzi and subsequent editors, most of whom mark a lacuna. No MS. substitutes any words in their place. Fritzsche inserts (in brackets) $\pi\rho\delta s \tau\delta$ $\gamma a \tilde{\upsilon} \rho o \nu$; Meineke (without brackets) $\pi\rho\delta s \tau\delta \sigma \sigma\beta a\rho\delta\nu$, Van Leeuwen $\sigma\sigma\beta a\rho\delta\nu$ $\delta\nu\tau a$.

595. κἀκβαλεῖs Fritzsche, recentiores, after Hermann's certain emendation. κἀκβάληις V. καὶ βάληις (or βάλης) R. P. V. and most of the MSS. and the older editions. καὶ βαλεῖς V¹. W¹. W². Invernizzi, Dindorf. καὶ βάλλεις M.

596. σται πάλιν. 'στι πάλιν (contra metrum) V. Aldus, Gelenius, Portus, Scaliger, Faber, Kuster, Bergler, and Bekker. This was corrected into $\sigma \tau i \nu$ $\pi \dot{a} \lambda \iota \nu$ by Bentley, who is followed by Dindorf, Fritzsche, and Paley. Dawes commenting on Frogs 437 quotes the line as ' $\sigma \tau_{\alpha \iota} \pi \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \nu$ (without professing to amend it), and this is followed by Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. There is little to choose between ' $\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ and ' $\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$, but on the whole I prefer the latter. R. P. M. V. and the MSS. generally have πάλιν alone. H. W. W¹. P². P⁵. F³. have $\tau is \pi a \lambda i \nu$, and so Junta and (except editions aforesaid) the before asFritzsche. This is probably a mere rearrangement of the letters in $\sigma \tau i$, to save the metre.

611, 612. These two verses are variously distributed: but the arrangement in the text is commonly adopted and seems correct.

618. βασανίσω V. P³ C. C¹. Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Paley, Van Leeuwen. $\beta a \sigma a \nu i \zeta \omega$ the other MSS. and editions.

620. $\epsilon \tau \iota \delta' \epsilon s \tau \Delta s$. All the editions before Brunck had $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \Delta s$, and that is the reading of P. M. U. and almost all the MSS.; but Dawes corrected it, as in the text, from Suidas, and his correction is confirmed by R. V. and followed by Brunck and all subsequent editors.

625. $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu ot\gamma' \cdot o\tilde{\upsilon}\tau \omega$ vulgo. $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$, τοῦτον P. U. P⁵. F⁴., a curious variation, which is recognized by the Scholiast, and apparently arose from a notion that Dionysus is speaking. And this is followed by aἰτὸν for aἰτοῦ at the beginning of the next line.

630. $\sigma\epsilon a \upsilon \tau \delta \nu$ R. P. U. and other MSS. Invernizzi, recentiores. $\sigma \dot{\upsilon} \sigma a \upsilon \tau \delta \nu$ H. F³. editions before Invernizzi. $\dot{\epsilon} a \upsilon \tau \delta \nu$ V. B. $\sigma a \upsilon \tau \delta \nu$ (without $\sigma \dot{\upsilon}$) M. O. V¹, W¹, F²

637. χὦπότερόν γ' R. V. B. C. P³. V¹. W¹. L. L¹. Invernizzi, Bekker, Fritzsche, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. χὦπότερον P. M. U. vulgo.

644. $\partial \partial \partial \partial$. All the MSS. except P³. and almost all the editions continue the entire line to Xanthias, as in the text. A few editions, not understanding the passage, follow P³. in giving $\partial \partial \partial \partial \partial$ to Acacus.

and, except that they divide the word into a twice repeated larraraî, by Dobree, Meineke, Holden, and Velsen. $d\nu to \sigma \epsilon is \tau i$; $d\tau raraî$. A1A. $\tau t \tau d\tau raraî$; Kock, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. There are some other minor variations.

664. *ήλγησέν τιs* R. P. U. Dindorf, recentiores. *ήλγησέ τιs* V. M. editions before Dindorf. Dindorf suggests that the words *άλοs ἐν* βένθεσιν should be brought up here to complete the senarius, and Van Leeuwen brings them up accordingly.

665. [$\xi \chi \epsilon \iota s$]. This word is not in the MSS., but without it there is nothing to govern $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha s$. Bergler suggests that $\xi_{\chi\epsilon\iota s}$ may be understood from 659, but this seems impossible ; Scaliger proposed and Van Leeuwen reads $\pi \rho \omega v \delta s$, to be governed by $\mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota s$. Bergk proposed to read os Alyaíous exess mpôvas, and Velsen and Blaydes insert $\xi_{\chi\epsilon\iota s}$ after $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\nu as$. the former as part of the undisputed text, the latter in brackets. I too have inserted it in brackets, though rather to make sense than as thinking it was really inserted by Aristophanes. \mathbf{It} seems to me that Dionysus in his agony is putting together some lyrical language without regard to the grammatical construction.

673. $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \sigma \iota$ R. P. M. U. and other MSS. and vulgo. $\nu o \eta \sigma \sigma \iota$ V. and other MSS. Bergk, Meineke, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.— $\pi \rho \iota \nu \ \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ R. B. Invernizzi, recentiores. $\pi \rho \iota \nu \ \mu \epsilon$ vulgo. See on 562 supra.

682. $\epsilon \pi i \beta \delta \rho \beta a \rho \nu \epsilon \xi o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \tau a \lambda o \nu$. So all the MSS. and all the editions before Meineke, though it was his predecessor Bergk who in an evil hour threw open the floodgates of unwise conjecture by suggesting $i \pi i \beta \delta \rho \beta a \rho \rho v \dot{\eta} \delta \rho \mu \epsilon v \eta \pi i \tau v \lambda \rho v$. Cf. Peace 800 and the note there. Bergk, however, left the text unaltered; but Meineke introduces into the text his conjecture $i \pi \sigma \beta \delta \rho \beta a \rho o v \epsilon \zeta \sigma \mu \epsilon v \eta \kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta \sigma v$, in which he is followed by Holden, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. Velsen again reads $i \pi i \beta a \rho \beta \delta \rho \phi \dot{\eta} \delta \sigma \mu \epsilon v \eta \kappa \epsilon \lambda \delta \delta \phi$. The MS. reading is far superior to any of these corruptions.

683. κελαδεί V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and all the editions before Dindorf. κελαρύζει R. B. O. L¹. Bothe. ρύζει (snarls like a dog) Dindorf, Meineke, Holden, Green, Merry. τρύζει (a word constantly used of the nightingale) Fritzsche, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. Blaydes says "Verum videtur aut κελαδεί aut τρύζει." κρίζει Seidler. $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ is retained by Bergk and Paley. Nobody raises any objection to Kehadei. which is used of the nightingale's song in Peace 801, and well suits the lightness and airiness of the present ode. Probably some grammarian wrote $\tau \rho \dot{\iota} \langle \epsilon \iota$ in the margin, and the two words coalesced into the $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \rho \dot{\upsilon} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ of **R**.

684. ώς ἀπολεῖται. Bergk alters this into εως ἀπολεῖται, which I confess I do not understand.

695. $\tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau'$ V. P. U. Brunck, recentiores. $\tau o \hat{\upsilon} \tau'$ R. M. edd. veteres.

699. airovµévois all the MSS. (save that R. first had airovµévois, which was corrected into airovµévois) and all the editions except Meineke, Holden, Kock, and Velsen, who adopt the faulty airovµévois.

703. $\epsilon i \ \delta \epsilon \ \tau a \tilde{v} \tau'$ R. V. P. M. and the MSS. and editions generally. $\epsilon i \ \delta \epsilon \ \tau v \tilde{v} \tau'$ U. and a few other MSS. Brunck, Invernizzi, Dindorf, Bothe, Bergk,

Meineke, Holden. Non temere sollicitanda optimorum librorum scriptura, says Blaydes; an excellent aphorism often disregarded. In the next line I, with some other editors, place a comma after πόλιν, πόλιν being understood after $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi 0 \nu}$ τες. I do not believe that $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \kappa a \dot{\iota}$ ταῦτ' ἔχοντες κ.τ.λ. is good Greek for And that too having the city, &c. The examples adduced to justify this position of *kai* $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ are mostly very wide of the mark. Kaì ravra in this sense must either introduce the subsentence, or follow immediately after the special circumstance which it adds to the preceding statement.

711. $\psi \epsilon \upsilon \delta o \lambda i \tau \rho \sigma \upsilon$ R. V. M. Brunck, recentiores. $\psi \epsilon \upsilon \delta o \upsilon i \tau \rho \sigma \upsilon$ the other MSS. and earlier editions. Brunck cites the old grammarians, who all recognize that the Attics used λ for ν in $\nu i \tau \rho \sigma \nu$ as in $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$, Eustathius on II. iv. 363; Pollux, vii. 39; Photius and Moeris, s. v. $\lambda i \tau \rho \sigma \nu$, &c. Some refer to this very passage as an example. And see on 474 supra.—κονίas V. P². P⁵. H. F⁴. C¹. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. $\tau \epsilon \kappa \sigma \nu i \sigma s$ R. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and the editions before Brunck.

714. ἰδών Bentley, Dindorf, recentiores. εἰδώς MSS. editions before Dindorf.

718. πολλάκις γ' P. U. H. W². F⁴ and all editions except Fritzsche, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. The γε is omitted in the other MSS. Hermann proposed πολλάκις δ', an impossible reading, since an Epirrhema or Antepirrhema recited by the Coryphaeus never is, or could be, hooked on by a conjunctive particle to a Strophe or Antistrophe sung by the Chorus. Nevertheless, this error is adopted by Fritzsche, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.

719. καλούς τε κάγαθούς. So all the MSS. (except C. and L. which read Kakoús TE $\kappa dyo\theta o vs)$ and all the editions before Holden. Meineke, however, sharing the general impression that the next line speaks of a bad as well as of a good coinage, concluded that the present line must mention bad as well as good citizens; a very unwarrantable conclusion, for it is by no means necessary that one branch of a comparison should embrace every detail comprised in the other. However, the suggestion was sufficient to set the conjecturers to work. Meineke himself (Vind. Aristoph.) would read κακούς τε κάγαθούς, and Holden and Kock so read. But if of κακοί τε κάγαθοὶ could ever, in Aristophanes, mean the evil and the good, it could only be where the two are fused into one class, and not where, as here, the two classes are being distinguished from, and contrasted with, each other. Thus Solon (Polity of Athens, chap. 12) says θεσμούς δ' όμοίους τῷ κακῶτε κάγαθῷ $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\rho a\psi a$, "I made equal laws for all citizens." In the Funeral Oration of Pericles (Thuc. ii. 41) πανταχού δέ μνημεία κακών τε κάγαθών άιδια ξυγκατοικίσαντες, we should probably with some MSS. read καλών. But if not, the speaker is blending together, not distinguishing between, the two. To my mind, however, in Aristophanes, the words could only be a parody on his favourite καλοί τε κάγαθοί, and would mean virtuous rillains. Velsen introduces a really horrible doggrel of his own rows kalows καί τούς κακούς into a trochaic tetrameter, perhaps the most harmonious of

all metres, and even more harmonious in the hands of Aristophanes than in those of the Tragic Poets. But some scholars, provided they can get the proper number of syllables into a line. entirely ignore the melody of the verse (as witness Bergk's dreadful -σω'γώ σφώ in 1480 infra), a consideration which Aristophanes never ignored. Moreover, in composing his doggrel, Velsen overlooks the fact that the adjective $\kappa a \lambda \delta s$ in Aristophanes never includes any reference to moral virtue, and that of Kaloi rai of raroi on his lips could mean nothing but "the beautiful and the wicked." This again shows the absurdity of a still older conjecture by Duker rows καλούς τε κού 'γαθούς. Other conjectures have been made, which are not worth repeating here. And, of course, if the view taken of this Antepirrhema in the Commentary be correct, all excuse for these alterations at once disappears.

720. καὶ τὸ καινὸν χρυσίον. For the reasons mentioned in relation to the foregoing line, Kuster proposed to read καὶ τὸ καινὸν χαλκίον. And Meineke actually substitutes for the text the words καὶ καλῶς κεκομμένον. This was his first mode of equalizing the two branches of the comparison. Afterwards, in his Vind. Aristoph., he abandoned it for the alteration in the preceding line, already considered.

721. τούτοισινούσιν. Meinekesuggests, and Holden and Kock read, τούτοισι τοΐσιν.

724. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu \tau\epsilon \tau o \hat{s}$. From the strange notion that this line is governed by $\chi\rho\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\dot{\theta}$ $o\dot{\vartheta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, in which case we should expect $o\ddot{\vartheta}\tau$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau o \hat{s}$ "E $\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\iota$, various transpositions have been made in these verses by Meineke and other recent editors. But the words are really, as Holden observes, connected with $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\omega$ - $\delta\omega\nu\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\mus$, tested both amongst the Hellenes and amongst the Barbarians.

730. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\epsilon\lambda\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu\epsilon\nu$ R. Bekker, recentiones, except Bergk. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\lambda\delta\delta\mu\epsilon\nu$ the MSS. generally, and the editions before Brunck. Dawes considered that the $\pi\rho o\sigma$ - was long, because followed by the digamma, and accordingly Brunck and Bergk write it $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$. Stobaeus, 43. 28, citing this passage gives $\pi \rho o \upsilon \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\upsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$, and so Bentley proposed, Hesychius explaining προυγελείν by προπηλακίζειν and $i\beta\rho i\zeta \epsilon i\nu$. Grotius proposed $\pi\rho ov\xi\epsilon$ - $\lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$. There is the same difficulty in Aesch. Prom. 447. Meineke is thoroughly dissatisfied with the way in which Aristophanes wrote this Antepirrhema, and sets to work with great zeal to Some of his alterations improve it. have already been noticed. Here he would change xalkois into µalakois, so striking out the very analogy on which Aristophanes is insisting between the pinchbeck coin and the pinchbeck citizens. Two lines below he would alter ύστάτοις αφιγμένουσιν into ύστέροις αφιvuévoiouv, meaning that the spurious citizens arrived later than the genuine. But the genuine citizens, in their own opinion, never arrived at all : they were Autochthons, native children of the ground: and Aristophanes is laying stress on the fact that the people employ the newest comers, the very latest In 731 he would alter ϵ is arrivals. άπαντα into ούσι πάντα, and in 734 καί νῦν into κῶν νῦν.

741. $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\chi\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau$ V. P. U. B. F⁴. and (with the λ doubled) R. Brunck, recen-

FROGS

tiores, except Velsen, who with M. H. m. and the editions before Brunck reads $\xi\xi\epsilon\chi\epsilon\gamma\xia\nu\tau$.

743. $\phi \mu \omega \xi \epsilon$ M. Brunck, recentiores. or $\mu \omega \zeta \epsilon$ R. V. P. U. and the MSS. generally, and all editions before Bergler who wrote $\phi \mu \omega \zeta \epsilon$. or $\mu \omega \xi \epsilon$ H. P⁵., from the latter of which, coupled with Bergler's emendation, Brunck derived the present reading.

748. καὶ τοῦθ R. V. Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores, down to Blaydes. καὶ τόθ vulgo.—ảπίŋs R. V. Kuster, Brunck, recentiores. ảπŷs vulgo.

757. $\kappa a \beta o \eta R. V.$ Meineke, Holden, Velsen. $\chi \eta \beta o \eta$ vulgo. Fritzsche and Holden continue the whole of the next line to Xanthias, though *he* could have known nothing of Aeschylus and Euripides: whilst Meineke postpones it to lines 759, 760, and makes it the last line of the speech of Aeacus. There is no ground for these changes. The MS. arrangement is far better.

759. $\pi\rho\tilde{a}\gamma\mu a \ \mu\epsilon\gamma a R. P. U. V^1. V^2. W^2.$ F⁴. Bekker, recentiores. V. omits $\mu\epsilon\gamma a$, and M. substitutes $\sigma\phi\delta\delta\rho a$. $\gamma\tilde{a}\rho$ takes the place of $\mu\epsilon\gamma a$ in many MSS. and in all editions before Bekker. The $\mu\epsilon\gamma a$ which concludes the line is found in almost all the MSS. and editions, but $\pi\dot{a}\nu\nu$ is found in a few MSS., an obvious transfer from the following line. One $\pi\rho\tilde{a}\gamma\mu a$ is omitted, doubtless by an oversight, by Portus and Kuster.

762. $d\pi \delta$ MSS. vulgo. $\pi \epsilon \rho \lambda$ Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. But see the Commentary.

765. $\mu a\nu\theta \dot{a}\nu\omega$. Meineke destroys the liveliness of the line by changing $\mu a\nu-\theta \dot{a}\nu\omega$ into $\mu a\nu\theta \dot{a}\nu\epsilon_{i}s$; and giving the word to Aeacus. Xanthias, of course,

means that he quite understands what Aeacus is saying about the dinner, and precedence, in the Prytaneum, since such things are as well known in Athens as in Hades. è

771. $\delta\tau\epsilon \ \delta\eta$ R. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. and editions generally. The English MSS. O. C. L. L¹. have $\delta\tau\epsilon \ \delta\epsilon$ which is approved by Dobree, and adopted by Meineke, Holden, and Blaydes. I should have followed their example, had the reading received any countenance from the better MSS. But see infra 789. $\delta\tau\epsilon \ \delta' \ \delta\nu$ Fritzsche, Velsen.— $\kappa a \tau \eta \lambda \theta'$ R. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, Brunck, recentiores. $\kappa a \tau \eta \lambda \theta \nu$ editions before Brunck.

772. τοΐσι βαλλαντιστόμοις R. U. F¹. F⁴. F⁵. Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Paley, Green, Merry, Van Leeuwen. τοΐσι βαλαντιστόμοις V. P. V². W². τοΐς βαλαντιστόμοις M. τοΐς βαλανττιητόμοις C. F³. editions before Brunck who, from P., changed τοΐς into τοΐσι. And subsequent editors (except those mentioned above) have followed his reading τοΐσι βαλαντιητόμοις.

786. αὐτοῖν V. Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. αὐτῶν vulgo.

794. $\pi \rho \delta s \gamma' E \partial \rho t \pi \delta \eta \nu$ R. and all the editions. But the other MSS. omit the γ' .

795. τὸ $\chi\rho\tilde{\eta}\mu$ ' R. Bekker, Fritzsche, recentiores. τί $\chi\rho\tilde{\eta}\mu a$ V. P. M. U. and the other MSS. and editions.—ὀλίγον ὕστερον R. M. P. U. the MSS. generally and vulgo. ὀλίγον γ' ὕστερον V. Blaydes.

800. ΞΑ. πλινθεύσουσι γάρ; The MS. readings πλινθεύσουσί γε (and so, vulgo), πλινθεύσουσί τε, and πλινθεύουσί τε continue the words to Aeacus. Bergk was the first to perceive that they are an interruption by Xanthias, but he read **ZA**. $\pi\lambda\iota\nu\theta\epsilon\iota\sigma\sigma\upsilon\sigma\iota\gamma\eta\nu$; deriving the $\gamma\eta\nu$ from Bothe, who read $\kappa a\iota \pi\lambda a\iota\sigma\iota \xi\iota\mu$. $\pi\tau\upsilon\chi\theta'$ â $\pi\lambda\iota\nu\theta\epsilon\iota\sigma\sigma\upsilon\sigma\iota\gamma\eta\nu$. Kock suggested the reading in the text, which is adopted by Meineke, and all subsequent editors. $-\xi\iota\mu\pi\eta\kappa\tau a$ M. U. V². F⁴. vulgo. $\sigma\iota\mu\pi\eta\kappa\tau a$ P. $\sigma\iota\mu\pi\tau\upsilon\kappa a$ R. $\xi\iota\mu$ - $\pi\upsilon\kappa\tau a$ V. $\xi\iota\mu\pi\tau\upsilon\kappa\tau a$ O. M¹. V¹. P⁴. Brunck, Bothe, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

803. τὸν Αἰσχύλον. For τὸν Ranke suggested τόδ', and Blaydes reads τάδ'.

804. $\epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \epsilon \gamma o \hat{v} \nu V$. P. and the MSS. generally, Brunck, Bekker, Bothe, Fritzsche, Meineke, recentiores. $\epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu$ $o \hat{v} \nu$ M. U. editions before Brunck. $\epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \epsilon$ $\delta' o \hat{v} \nu$ R. Dindorf, Bergk.

809. τοῦ γνῶναι περὶ Φύσεωs. Blaydes (followed by Van Leeuwen) converts this into τῆς Φύσεως πέρι Γνῶναι.

814. ἕνδοθεν ἕξει. Velsen proposes ἕνδοθι πέψει.

815. ogúlalov . . . odóvra his strident tusk, so (or δξυλάλον) R. V. P. M. U. and the bulk of the MSS., and so 'Aldus and all succeeding editions (except Fracini who, no doubt by an oversight, reads $\partial \xi v \lambda \dot{a} \lambda \omega v$) down to Gelenius who (either by an oversight or taking $\partial \xi \dot{\nu}$ - $\lambda a \lambda o \nu$ to be used adverbially) has $\partial \delta \phi \tau a s$. Rapheleng restored δδόντα, but the error of Gelenius was reintroduced by Portus and subsequent editors down to Bergler. who again restored the true reading. Brunck in his note says that P^3 . and P^{δ} . have δέύλαλον ... δδόντας which he retains and approves, explaining, strangely enough, that " ὀξύλαλον substantive accipiendum est pro τὸ ὀξύλαλον garrulitas"; but in a supplemental note he says that P^3 has $\partial \xi \nu \lambda \delta \lambda \sigma \nu$, which he

Bekker went back to the old prefers. error of Gelenius, whilst Dindorf and Merry adopt Brunck's final proposition and read δξυλάλου δδόντα. The MS. reading was restored by Fritzsche, and accepted by all subsequent editors except Merry, and except Blaydes who is constrained by his wanton change of $\pi a \rho i \delta \eta$ into $\epsilon \pi a i \eta$ to read $\delta \xi v \lambda a \lambda o v$. though he retains δδόντα.-παρίδη V. Hermann, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\pi\epsilon\rho i\delta\eta$ $\pi\epsilon\rho_i\delta\eta$ P. $\pi\epsilon\rho$ idy M. U. and most R. MSS. and all editions before Fritzsche.

818. $i\psi\iota\lambda \delta\phi\omega\nu$ U. P². P⁴. F⁴. and all editions before Invernizzi : and Bothe, Fritzsche, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen since. $i\pi\pi \sigma \lambda \delta\phi\omega\nu$ R. V. P. M. and the MSS. generally, and, except as aforesaid, the editors since Invernizzi. Both Beck and Fritzsche suggest that $i\pi\pi\sigma\lambda\delta\phi\omega\nu$ crept in from $i\pi\pi\sigma\beta \delta\mu\nu\nua$ three lines below.

819. σκινδαλάμων (or -μών) V. and some other MSS. Bentley, Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Kock, Paley, Merry. σκινδάλμων (or -μών) R. P. M. U. and the bulk of the MSS., and all editions before Brunck. Brunck unfortunately introduced the question of dialect, quoting from Moeris σχινδαλμός έν τῷ χ, Αττικώς, σκινδαλμός, Έλληνες. In the notes on Moeris, Sallier, observing that in Aristophanes (here and Clouds 130) and other writers of pure Attic; all MSS. commence the word with $\sigma \kappa$ -, suggests that in Moeris the two forms should be transposed, whilst Hudson and Pierson, with even less reason, would alter the spelling in Aristophanes. This latter view was adopted by Brunck, who accordingly wrote $\sigma \chi \nu \delta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ here. Porson saw, as Bentley had seen before

him, that the line should commence with a dactyl, and for that reason (and not as pronouncing any opinion as to the right spelling of the word) changed Brunck's σχινδαλμών into σχινδαλάμων. And σχινδαλάμων is read by Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen, But of course when Moeris says that one form is used 'Aττικώs, and the other 'Eλληνικώs, he does not mean to exclude from the Hellenic form the principal Hellenic writers, the poets, philosophers, and historians of Athens. He means that the first form is used by the Athenians. only, the second by the Athenians in common with the other Hellenic peoples. To give one example out of five hundred, he says θύραζε, 'Αττικώς. ἔξω, Έλληνικώς, yet of course both forms are quite common with Attic writers. Much mischief has been done by critics not bearing in mind that EAAnvikos does not mean un-Attic. For παραξόνια (from äξων, whirling of splinters) which immediately follows, Herwerden suggests παραξόανα (from Eiw, scrapings of splinters).

827. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$ δ ' $\dot{\eta}$ Bothe. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$ $\delta\dot{\eta}$ R. V. and the MSS. and editions generally. $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ P. Fritzsche, Paley. $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ seems natural here, as in the second and third stanzas, and the reading δ ' $\dot{\eta}$ accounts for both variations.— $\lambda i\sigma\pi\eta$ MSS. vulgo. Meineke and a few others alter it into $\lambda i\sigma\phi\eta$ as the more Attic form : an example of the mischief mentioned on 819 supra. And see supra on 149.

829. $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \mu \delta v \omega v$. The MSS. and editions are divided between $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \mu \delta v \omega v$ and $\pi v \epsilon v \mu \delta v \omega v$ here, as in 474 supra.

830. μεθείμην V. P. M. U. and many

other MSS., so confirming the conjecture which Dawes had put forward before the reading of any of these MSS. was known. "Qui vel verbum activum $\mu\epsilon\theta i\eta\mu\iota$," says that eminent critic, "cum genitivo, vel medium $\mu\epsilon\theta i\epsilon\mu a\iota$ cum accusativo rite conjungi existimat, loquendi consuetudinem ab Atticis servatam ignorat." In his time, and indeed down to Brunck's edition, $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon i\eta\nu$, which is found in R. and one or two inferior MSS., was the reading of every edition. $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon i\mu\eta\nu$ is read by Brunck, and all subsequent editors.

838. dπ i λω τ ον V. P. M. U. and apparently all MSS. except R., and all editions before Invernizzi, and Bekker, Bothe, Fritzsche, Bergk, and Paley since. dθ i ρω τ ον R. Invernizzi and (except as aforesaid) subsequent editors.

847. $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \iota \nu a \nu$ V. P. M. U. and the MSS. and editions generally. $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu a$ R. V². W². F². Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Merry, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

853. $\tilde{a}\pi a\gamma\epsilon$ V. P. M. U. and the MSS. and editions generally. $\tilde{a}\nu a\gamma\epsilon$ R. Bergk, Meineke, Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

857. $\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon\iota$ R. V. P. M. and almost all the MSS. and editions. $\theta\epsilon\mu$ s U. O. C. L. L¹. W¹. W². V¹ P⁴. F⁴. Dindorf, Meineke, Velsen. $\theta\epsilon\mu$ s seems the better word, but the preponderance of MS. authority against is too marked to permit its acceptance.

859. $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon is$ vulgo. $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \mu \sigma \theta \epsilon is$ V. P. 863. Πηλέα γε R. V. Bekker, recentiores. Πηλέα τε P. and other MSS. and all the older editions. Πηλέα σε M. Πηλέα (alone) U. and other MSS.

866. $\dot{\epsilon}\beta o \upsilon \lambda \delta \mu \eta \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ MSS. vulgo. We should certainly have expected $\dot{\alpha}\nu$, and

Dawes referring to (amongst other passages) 672 supra, Eccl. 151, Wasps 960, proposes either, $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\delta\mu\eta\nu$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{a}\nu$, which Brunck accepts, or $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\delta\mu\eta\nu$ $\dot{a}\nu$. It seems, however, impossible to make any change in the face of such passages as the commencement of Antiphon's speech, "In the matter of the murder of Herodes," where $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\delta\mu\eta\nu$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, without $\dot{a}\nu$, is used exactly as here. At the end of the line, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\dot{a}\delta\epsilon$ is altered by Meineke, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen into $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\thetaa\delta i$.

867. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu \nu\dot{\omega}\nu$. The old editions read $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu \nu\dot{\omega}\nu\nu$, but Bentley and Dawes saw that $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$ required the article, $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$, and that $\nu\dot{\varphi}\nu$ must consequently be read as a monosyllable. The latter alteration is confirmed by every MS.; and therefore $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$, which is found in most MSS., becomes unmetrical, and the former alteration becomes necessary on this ground also. The double alteration was accepted by Brunck, and all subsequent editors.— $\tau i \, \delta ai$; R. P. U. and other MSS. Brunck, recentiores. $\tau i\eta$ V. and other MSS. edd. before Brunck. $\dot{\alpha}\tau i\eta$ M.

880. $\pi o \rho i \sigma a \sigma \theta a$ R. V. Bekker, recentiores. $\pi o \rho i \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (with a colon after $\sigma \tau o \mu \dot{a} \tau o \nu$) P. M. U. and most of the MSS. and all editions before Bekker.

881. $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\tau a$ MSS. vulgo. But some recenteditors object to $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\tau a$, apparently from not observing that throughout this contest the word is specially appropriated to the weighty sayings of Aeschylus. Thiersch conjectures $\dot{\rho}\epsilon \dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau a$, Bergk $\dot{\rho}\eta\gamma\mu\alpha\tau a$, Kock $\pi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\alpha\tau\epsilon$ (which Velsen inserts into the text), Meineke $\kappa\rho\eta\mu\nu d\tau\epsilon$. Blaydes dismisses these conjectures with the words "Frustra. $\dot{\rho}\eta\mu\alpha\tau a$ Aeschyli sunt (v. 821, 824, 828), $\pi\rho\alpha\mu\sigma\dot{\rho}\sigma\mu\alpha\tau$ ' $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\omega}\nu$ Euripidis. Correxi κνίσματα quod melius cum παραπρίσματα conveniet."

884. $\delta \mu \epsilon \gamma as$ Hermann, Dindorf, recentiores. $\delta \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma as$ (contra metrum) MSS. editions before Dindorf.

888. ἐπίθες λαβών κ.τ.λ. V. P. M. U. H. B. V². and all the five Florentine MSS. and almost all the editions. R. transposes $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu$, placing it after $\lambda \iota \beta a \nu \omega \tau \delta \nu$, which Invernizzi follows, not observing that it makes the line unmetrical. Dindorf commences the line with $i\theta_i \delta \dot{\eta}$, which he gets, I suppose, from 891 infra, and omits $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}\omega_{\nu}$ altogether. Fritzsche shuffles the words into a new arrangement, ἐπίθες λιβανωτόν και σύ δη λαβών, and is followed by Kock, Velsen, and Blaydes. The MS. reading is retained by Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Paley, Van Leeuwen.

889. $\theta \epsilon o \hat{i} s R. V. M. U.$ and the MSS. and editions generally, $\theta \epsilon o \hat{i} P.$ Brunck, Holden, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

890. σοι V.P. M. U. and the MSS. and editions generally. σου R. Bekker, Fritzsche, Bergk, Kock, Blaydes.

893. $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ R. C. F⁴. Dawes, Invernizzi, recentiores. $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ vulgo. Bentley for the sake of the metre changed $\kappa a \dot{\ell} \dot{\ell} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ into $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\iota} s$ $\tau \epsilon$ and so Brunck.

897. $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu \delta a \tilde{\iota} a \nu$. All the MSS. and editions (except as hereinafter mentioned) have $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon$ or $\epsilon \pi i \tau \epsilon$ $\delta a \tilde{\iota} a \nu \delta \delta \delta \nu$. See the Commentary. Dindorf was the first to bring the line into metre and sense by changing, in the preceding line, $\tau \iota \nu a$ into $\tau \iota \nu a$, and omitting $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$. We long to hear what hostile path ye will enter. Blaydes writes (after $a \kappa o \tilde{\sigma} \sigma a \iota) \tau \iota \nu$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau o \nu \delta a \tilde{\iota} a \nu$ $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu \delta \delta \delta \nu$. The other suggestions all require considerable changes, or create a lacuna, in the antistrophe 994 infra Bothereads $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \hbar \epsilon \iota a \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \iota a \nu$. Fritzsche reads (after $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma a \iota$) $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \hbar \epsilon \iota a \nu$. Fritzsche reads (after $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma \mu \mu \epsilon \hbar \epsilon \iota a \nu$, $\epsilon \tau \iota r$, $\epsilon \pi \eta \tau \epsilon$, $\delta a \delta a \nu \delta \delta \delta \nu \hbar \delta \gamma \omega \nu$. Meineke changes $\tau \iota \nu a \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \hbar \epsilon \iota a \nu$ into $\tau \iota \nu a \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu'$ $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \hbar \epsilon \iota a s$. Green follows Dindorf ; and Holden and Van Leeuwen follow Meineke.

901. $\lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ V. M. U. and other MSS. and vulgo $\lambda \epsilon \xi a \iota$ R.P.Invernizzi, Bekker, Fritzsche, Bergk, Kock, Paley.

905. ΔIO . The MSS. and generally the editions give these two lines to Dionysus, but Fritzsche and several recent editors transfer them to the Chorus, in correspondence with the antistrophe 1004, 1005.

, 908. ὑστάτοις MSS. vulgo. ὑστέροις Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

911. Eva riv' av V. Dobree, Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Fritzsche, Bergk, Holden, recentiores. All the editions before Brunck have $\delta \eta \gamma' \tilde{\epsilon} \nu a \tau \iota \nu \dot{a}$ (or $\tau \iota \nu'$ where the augment is prefixed to the following verb) and so H. F³. C¹. δητα ένα τινά \mathbf{P}^2 . whence Brunck and Invernizzi δηθ' ένα τινα. ένα γέ τινα Dawes (on Plutus 707), Porson (Suppl. Pref. Hec.), Elmsley (on Ach. 569), Cobet (N. L. 578), Meineke. But the $\hat{a}\nu$, if not necessary, improves the sentence. Most of the better MSS. R. M. P. U. and others have merely eva rivà, which does not satisfy the metre. $-\epsilon \kappa \dot{a} \theta i \zeta \epsilon \nu$ V². καθίσεν Bekker, Dindorf, recentiores, except Blaydes who writes it καθείσεν after Dawes and Porson ubi supra, but $\kappa a \theta \hat{i} \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is the commoner form. See Veitch's Irregular Verbs, s. v. $\kappa a \theta i \langle \omega \rangle$. Most of the best MSS. have Kátorev, and so Aldus, Fracini, Gormont, and Grynaeus, whilst Junta and the other editions before Kuster have 'κάθισεν. ἐκάθισεν V. Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, and Invernizzi. κάθιζεν Elmsley ubi supra.

913. $\gamma \rho \dot{\nu} \zeta o \nu \tau a \varsigma$. Blaydes altered this into $\gamma \rho \dot{\nu} \zeta o \nu \tau \dot{a} \gamma'$, not observing, apparently, the oi $\delta' \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{i} \gamma \omega \nu$ two lines below.

919. $\kappa \alpha \theta o \hat{i} \tau \sigma$ R. V. P. M. and many other MSS. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Fritzsche, Bergk, Paley, Green, Blaydes. $\kappa \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \sigma$ U. and other MSS. and editions before Brunck. $\kappa \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \sigma$ Dobree (at Plutus 992), Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Merry, Van Leeuwen.

920. $\tau\iota \ \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota R.$ V. Bekker, recentiores (but Velsen says that R. V. have $\tau \iota$ not $\tau \iota$). All editions before Bekker have $\phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi a \iota \tau o \delta \eta$, which appears to be found in but one MS., viz. F³. Most of the MSS. read $\phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi a \iota \tau o$ with $\tau \iota$ either preceding or following it.

926. $a_{\gamma\nu\omega\tau a}$ (or $a_{\gamma\nu\omega\tau a}$) MSS. vulgo. Cobet declares that $a_{\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\tau a}$ is here required, and on the strength of that declaration it is adopted by Meineke, Kock, Velsen, and Blaydes. Yet the change is plainly neither requisite nor desirable.

930. $\dot{\rho}\dot{q}\dot{\delta}i$, $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ R. V. P. M. U. and many other MSS. Porson (Suppl. Pref. Hec.), Bekker, Bothe, Fritzsche, and all subsequent editors except Blaydes. Many other MSS. and all editors before Bekker have $\dot{\rho}\dot{q}\dot{\delta}io\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\nu$. Bentley omitted the $\ddot{\eta}\nu$, and so Dindorf and Blaydes. But $\dot{\rho}\dot{q}\dot{\delta}i$, $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ was far more likely to be corrupted into $\dot{\rho}\dot{q}\dot{\delta}io\nu$ or $\dot{\rho}\dot{q}\deltaio\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ than the converse.

932. $i\pi\pi a\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\rho \nu \delta\nu a$ MSS.vulgo. Porson objects to an anapaest in the fourth place (Suppl. Pref. Hec.) and Bp. Monk on Hipp. 377 contracts the word to $i\pi\pi a\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\rho \omega \omega$. Bothe changes it to $i\pi\pi a$ λεκτόρα, and (what is more surprising) is followed in this by Fritzsche, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, and Van Leeuwen. However sparingly Aristophanes uses an anapaest in this place, he certainly does so on certain occasions; the form $d\lambda$ εκτρυόνα is necessary in 935 infra; it can hardly be doubted that Aristophanes would employ the actual words of Aeschylus; and the MSS. are unanimous. This question occurs again in 937 infra.

936. $\pi o \hat{i} \hat{\alpha} \gamma^{2} R. P. M. U. O. C. M^{1}. V^{1}. V^{2}. W^{1}. W^{2}. F^{2}. F^{4}. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe, Fritzsche, Holden, Kock. <math>\pi o \hat{i}^{2} \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau^{2} V. H. F^{1}. F^{3}. F^{5}. C^{1}. B. vulgo.$

939. $\tau \partial \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \partial \tilde{\upsilon} s$ V. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. $\tau \partial \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \mu \tilde{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \partial \tilde{\upsilon} s$ all editions before Brunck. $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \mu \tilde{\epsilon} \nu$ $\epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \partial \tilde{\upsilon} s$ H. E. P². P⁵. C¹. $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \partial \tilde{\upsilon} s$ (without $\tau \partial$) P. M. U. and many MSS. $\epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \partial \tilde{\upsilon} s$ without either $\tau \partial \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu$ or $\mu \tilde{\epsilon} \nu$ R. O. V¹. W².

942. $\lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa o \hat{s}$ R. V. U. O. B. V¹. W¹. W². F⁴. Invernizzi, recentiores. $\mu \kappa \rho o \hat{s}$ P. M. and other MSS. and all editions before Invernizzi, though Brunck, "aurium solum judicium secutus," writes it $\mu \kappa \rho o \hat{s}$ $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu \tau \lambda (o \iota \sigma \iota \nu)$. $\mu \kappa \rho o \hat{s}$ is doubtless, as Dobree says, a gloss indicating that $\tau \epsilon \nu \tau \lambda (o \iota \sigma \iota \nu)$ is a diminutive.

943. $d\pi\eta\theta\hat{\omega}\nu \mathbf{P}^2$. \mathbf{P}^3 . Brunck, recentiores. $d\pi\eta\theta\hat{\omega}\nu$ V. $d\pi'\dot{\eta}\theta\hat{\omega}\nu$, from manners, R. P. M. U. editions before Brunck.

944. Κηφισοφῶντα μιγνύς. These two words are by Velsen taken from Euripides and given to Dionysus. And so Van Leeuwen.

946. $\epsilon i \pi^{*} a \nu$ R. V. Invernizzi, recentiores, except Blaydes, who omits $\mu \epsilon \nu$ in the earlier part of the line and inserts $a \nu$ before $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$, Van Leeuwen, as usual, following him. $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ (but otherwise as in the text) M. P. U. and other MSS. and all editions before Invernizzi.

947. (Δ I.) R. V. M. P². recentiores. (AIS.) the other MSS. and editions before Brunck.

948. oùdèv MSS. vulgo. oùdéva Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

953. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau os$ vulgo. See the Commentary.

957. $\epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu$ MSS. vulgo. Some recent editors have objected to the word. Fritzsche connects it with $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ "to love to dodge." Velsen omits it, leaving a lacuna. Blaydes substitutes $\tau \epsilon$ kai for it, Van Leeuwen $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu$, after a suggestion of Meineke.

958. $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \chi$ ' ὑποτοπείσθαι. Almost all the MSS. and the editors generally, since Brunck, write this in two words. The older editions, with a few MSS., write it in one, καχυποτοπείσθαι.

964. $\kappa d\mu o \hat{v} \gamma' V. P. M. m. V^2. F^1. vulgo.$ $\kappa d\mu o \hat{v}$ (omitting γ') R. U. H. O. B. W¹. W² and other MSS. $\kappa d\mu o \hat{v}$ s Dobree, Fritzsche, recentiores, except Green and Merry.— $\epsilon \kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ R. V. and the MSS. generally and vulgo. $\epsilon \kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho o v s$ P. P². P³. M. U. and a few others, Brunck, Bothe.

965. $\tau o \tau \sigma v \mu \epsilon \nu i$ (in one word) Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. Cf. Birds 448; Eustathius on Iliad, i. 54. $\tau o \dot{v} \tau o v$ $\mu \epsilon \nu i$ (in two words) R. Bekker. $\tau o \dot{v} \tau o v$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \dot{\delta} \nu$ U. W¹. W². and all editions before Bekker. $\tau o \dot{\tau} \tau o v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ V. P. M. O. and a few other MSS., whilst others again have $\tau o \dot{v} \tau o v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\delta}$ and $\tau o \dot{v} \tau o v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho$. Doubtless the unusual form $\tau o v \tau o v \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ for $\tau o v \tau o v \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ was a stumbling-block to the transcribers. At the end of the line $Ma \nu \eta s$ (variously accented) is the reading of all the MSS. except P. and of the editions generally. P. has $M \dot{a} \gamma \nu \eta s$. 967. $o\delta\mu o$ (variously accented) V. P. M. U. and the MSS. and editions generally. $o\delta\mu \delta_{\rm S}$ R. Kock. $\epsilon\mu o$ B. P⁸. Dobree.

969. πov . Velsen and Kock change this into τvs . But see the note.

971. $\mu\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma\iota'\gamma\omega$ vulgo and all the MSS. except R. V. V² who read $\mu\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma\iota$ $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\delta\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$, and are followed by Invernizzi, Bekker, Fritzsche, and Paley. The common reading is written $\mu\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma\delta\gamma\omega$ by Dindorf and subsequent editors.

979. $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta\epsilon$ V. P. M. U. vulgo. It is so rare to find a tribrach in this place that I am much inclined with Bothe to adopt Bentley's suggestion $\tau is \tau \delta \delta^{\circ} \tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a-\beta\epsilon\nu$; $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta\epsilon\nu$ is found in R. and some other MSS. Fritzsche reads $\tau is \pi\rho o \tilde{\nu}-\lambda a\beta\epsilon\nu$; some would omit this, with or without the preceding line, as unsuited to the character of Euripides.

987. $\chi \theta_{\ell} \zeta_{\ell\nu} \delta_{\nu}$; Lobeck, Dindorf, recentiores. $\chi \theta_{\epsilon\sigma \iota\nu} \delta_{\nu}$ MSS. and editions before Dindorf. To make this scan, P² and P⁵. insert $\mu_{0\ell}$ after $\sigma_{\kappa} \delta_{\rho} \delta_{\delta} \delta_{\nu}$, and so Brunck and Invernizzi; whilst Bentley proposed $\sigma_{\kappa o\rho} \delta_{\delta} \delta_{\ell \nu}$. But Lobeck's suggestion is doubtless right. See Wasps 281, where a similar correction was made by Hermann. For $\tau \delta_{\chi} \theta_{\epsilon\sigma \iota\nu} \delta_{\nu}$.

989. $d\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\alpha\tau\sigma\iota$ R. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, Invernizzi, recentiores. Brunck too approved of it, though he left in his text $d\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota$, the reading of the older editions and two or three MSS.

991. $M\epsilon\lambda\iota\tau\iota\delta c\iota$ (with the second syllable long) R. V. P. M. U. and most of the MSS. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bergk, Velsen, Merry. $\kappa a\iota M\epsilon\lambda\iota\tau\iota\delta a\iota$ (with the second syllable short) P². P⁵. W¹. W². F². F³. C¹. the editions before Brunck, and

Bothe since. $M_{\epsilon}\lambda_{\iota\tau\tau}i\delta_{\alpha\iota}$ Fritzsche, Meineke, Kock, Paley, Van Leeuwen. $M_{\epsilon}\lambda_{\eta\tau}i\delta_{\alpha\iota}$ Gaisford (on Hephaestion v. 1), Bekker, Dindorf, Holden, Green, and Blaydes. But as, indeed, some of the foregoing critics observe, the name frequently occurs as a synonym for "a fool," and is always spelt $M_{\epsilon}\lambda_{\iota\tau}i\delta_{\eta\varsigma}$.

993. $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau i$ Bentley, Dindorf, recentiores. $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\eta} \tau i$ the MSS. generally (though R. omits τi) and earlier editions. $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta} \tau i$ V. Bekker. Editors who have not ejected the extraneous matter in the strophe, supra 897, are obliged to mark a lacuna here, though, the language being obviously complete, they cannot agree where to place it.

1001. $\xi \xi \epsilon \omega$ Thiersch, Mitchell, Fritzsche, Paley, Merry, Blaydes. $\delta \xi \epsilon \omega$ vulgo.

1017. $\theta \nu \mu o \dot{\nu}s$. Blaydes alters this into $\dot{\rho} \nu \nu o \dot{\nu}s$, "quid enim," he says, "valet $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\nu}s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau a \beta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \iota os$?" He must have forgotten, for the moment, that he was editing a Comedy.

1018-1020. These three lines are variously distributed between Dionysus and Euripides, both by the MSS. and by the editions. I have followed the arrangement found in P.

tiores, except Blaydes, who with V. M. H¹. V² W¹. C. and the editions before Brunck reads $\partial \nu \partial \rho \epsilon i \sigma v$. The editions which read $\kappa a i \sigma \dot{\nu} \tau i \delta \dot{\eta}$ at the commencement of the line, also read $\dot{\epsilon} \delta i \delta a \xi a s$ at the end, and so R. P. and other MSS.; but $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \delta i \delta a \xi a s$ is found in M. U. m. and the majority of the MSS., and is read by Brunck and all subsequent editors, except Blaydes, who prefers his own $\epsilon i \nu'$ $\dot{\epsilon} \delta i \delta a \xi a s$.

1021. "A $\rho\epsilon\omega_s$ R. V. Bekker, recentiores. "A $\rho\epsilon\omega_s$ the other MSS. and older editions.

1026. $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma as$ R. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, Bentley, Bekker, recentiores. $\tau o \delta s$ $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma as$ H. F^2 . F^3 . and editions before Bekker. $--\epsilon \xi \epsilon \delta \delta a \xi a$ Bentley, Dindorf, recentiores. $\epsilon \delta \delta a \xi a$ MSS. and all editions before Dindorf (including Bekker, whose line is therefore a syllable short). Brunck changes $\mu \epsilon \tau a$ $\tau o \delta \tau$ into $\kappa a \tau a \tau a \delta \tau \tau a \delta \tau \tau$.

1028. τόν θρηνον ακούσας περί. See the Commentary. $\eta \nu i \kappa' \eta \kappa o \nu \sigma a \pi \epsilon \rho i \mathbf{R}$. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and vulgo. ήνίκ ἀπηγγέλθη περί P³. V². Dindorf, Bothe, Green. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ νίκἀκούσας παρὰ Fritzsche, Van Leeuwen. ήνίκ' ίἀν ἤκουσ' άπὸ Meineke. ἡνίκ' ἰὰν ἤκουσ' ὡς Holden. περί νίκης τι μαθών παρά Velsen. νικήσαι άκούσας παρά (originally suggested by Fritzsche in a note on Thesm. 655, but afterwards discarded by himself) Blaydes. But perhaps the most probable conjecture is that of Mr. Seymer Thompson, in the twenty-first volume of the Classical Review, substituting hvik' ekókugav for ήνίκ ήκουσα.]

1035. $πλ\dot{} ν τ \sigma \hat{v} \delta'$ Bentley, Dindorf, recentiores. $πλ\dot{} ν τ \sigma \hat{v} \theta'$ MSS. editions before Dindorf.

1045. $o\dot{v}\gamma\dot{a}\rho\,\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tilde{\eta}\nu$ P. U. H. W¹. W². F⁴. and, except as hereinafter mentioned, all the editions. $o\dot{v}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\,\dot{a}\rho\,\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tilde{\eta}\nu$ V. M. M¹. O. C. P³. m. $o\dot{v}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\,\gamma\dot{a}\rho\,\ddot{\eta}\nu$ R. Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Bergk, Kock, Paley, Green, Merry.

1046. 'πικαθῆτο (or ἐπικαθῆτο) O. V¹. W¹ F² F³. C¹. Dindorf, recentiores, though Blaydes writes it 'πεκαθῆτο. 'πικαθοῦτο the other MSS. and the editions before Dindorf.

1047. κατ' οδν ἕβαλεν so (in divers forms, and some omitting the final ν) R. V. P. U. O. P³. P⁴. F⁴. Brunck, recentiores. κάτω ἐνέβαλε Μ. κώτω 'νέβαλεν edd. before Brunck. For γέ τοι δή at the end of the line Reiske proposed γε ποινή, Blaydes γ' ἀληθές.

1051. $\pi\iota\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$ P. U. F⁴. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. All the other MSS. and all the editions before Brunck have $\pi(\iota\epsilon\iota\nu)$, which has the penultimate long. In the following line again $\tau o \tilde{\iota} \tau o \nu$ (since found in U. F⁴.) is Bentley's correction for the $\tau o \iota o \tilde{\iota} \tau o \nu$ of all the other MSS. and the editions before Bergler. $\tau o \tilde{\iota} \tau o \nu$ is read by Bergler and all subsequent editors.

1055. $\tau o \hat{i} \sigma \iota \nu \delta' \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota R. F^{i}$ and (as corrected) U. Bekker, Meineke, Blaydes. $\tau o \hat{i} s \delta' \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota V. P. M.$ and the MSS. generally, and (originally) U. and all the editions before Kuster. This, of course, did not satisfy the metre, and Bentley proposed either $\tau o \hat{i} \sigma \iota \nu \delta' \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, which is found in R. and is followed in the text, or $\tau o \hat{i} s \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, which is found in R. and is followed in the text, or $\tau o \hat{i} s \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, which is found in no MS. but is followed by Dindorf, Fritzsche, Bergk, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Green, Merry, Van Leeuwen. But in every MS. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ comes between the article and $\dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, just as in the preceding line $\mu i \nu$ comes between the article and $\pi a i \delta a \rho (o i \sigma i \nu, \tau o \hat{o} \sigma i \delta' \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma i O. V^1 W^2$. $\tau o \hat{i} s \delta' \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma i \nu \gamma \epsilon$ Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Invernizzi, and Bothe. Besides the two suggestions mentioned above, Bentley made a third, viz. $\tau o \hat{i} s \dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma i \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\pi o i \eta \tau \partial s$... $\delta \epsilon \hat{i} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon i \nu$, in one sentence. But this destroys the antithesis, and would in every respect be a change for the worse.

1057. Παρνασσών R. V. M. and other MSS. Fritzsche. Παρνασών P. U. and other MSS, and vulgo. "forte $\Pi a\rho\nu\eta\theta\omega\nu$ " Bentley. The same suggestion is made by Bp. Wordsworth (Athens and Attica, chap. viii) on the ground that Lycabettus and Parnes are mentioned together in a fragment of the lost edition of the Clouds. There the Clouds "were represented," says that excellent scholar, "as irritated by the discourteous reception which they met with on the Athenian stage, and threatening to quit the theatre, and to fly off to the heights of Mount Parnes from which they had come. We are informed of the route which they intend to take, in their way from Athens thither. They are sailing off, we are told, $\epsilon s \tau \eta v$ Πάρνηθ' δργισθεΐσαι, φρούδαι κατά τόν Λυκαβηττόν. To the summit of Parnes, swelling with rage, and have vanished along Lycabettus. They are vanishing towards Mount Parnes, and they are taking Lycabettus in their way. Lycabettus is their first object on their way thither." These remarks show convincingly that Aristophanes couples their names together in the fragment, for a reason which does not exist here. Yet the suggestion is followed, in the face of every MS., by Blaydes and Van

Leeuwen. At the close of the line P. and U. place a note of interrogation, which Blaydes and Van Leeuwen retain, Blaydes further changing into où the $\delta\nu$ which immediately follows, whilst Van Leeuwen deletes the note of interrogation after $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\epsilon i\omega s$.

1058. $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ MSS. vulgo. $\chi\rho\ddot{\eta}\nu$ Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Paley, Van Leeuwen.

1059. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \tau a \text{ R. V. P. M. and the}$ MSS. generally, Brunck, recentiores. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \tau a \text{ U. W}^2$. F⁴. editions before Brunck.

1063. ἐλεινοὶ Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. ἐλεεινοὶ MSS. edd. before Brunck.

1064. $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\lambda a\psi a \tau i$ (What harm did I cause by so doing?) R. V. P. and the MSS. generally, Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Fritzsche, Bergk, Kock, Velsen, Paley, Green, Merry. $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\lambda a\psi a$; τi editions before Bekker; a not very intelligible reading. $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\lambda a\psi i \tau i$ (Did I cause any harm by so doing?) M. U. F⁴. Bentley, Brunck (in note), Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

1066. $d\lambda\lambda$ ' $\epsilon\nu$ V. P. M. U. and all the MSS. except R., and all the editions before Bekker, and Bothe afterwards. *d*λλ*à* R. Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. But there seems no reason for discarding ϵv , which is supported by so great a body of MS. authority, cf. Clouds 10.— $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\iota\lambda\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s P. U. H. V¹. V^2 W¹ W² F¹ F² F³ F⁴ and the editions generally. περιειλλόμενοs R. Bekker, Fritzsche, Green. περιειλούμενος M. περιιλλόμενος V. Thinking the aorist more suitable than the present Bergk writes $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon i \lambda \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, and so Merry and Van Leeuwen. Cobet (N. L. p. 182) prefers to write it $\pi\epsilon \rho u\lambda \dot{a}\mu\epsilon \nu os$, and is

followed by Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, and Blaydes. But if the MS. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ is retained, Aristophanes is speaking of the citizen's actual appearance before the tribunal, and not of his previous preparation for it. And, therefore, here too the MSS. are right in using the participle present.

1068. $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$ R. V. P. and the MSS. generally, and vulgo. $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{a}$ M. U. O. F¹. Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

1070. ἐνέτριψε MSS. vulgo. κατέτριψε Blaydes. συνέτριψε Van Leeuwen.

1073. καλέσαι MSS. vulgo. κάψαι Herwerden, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

1076. αντιλέγει . . . έλαύνει . . . πλεί R. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and all editions before Dindorf, and Bothe afterwards. dvtiλéyeiv . . . exaí- $\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$. . . $\pi\lambda\epsilon\iota\nu$ O. C. P³. P⁴. V¹. V². W¹ Dindorf, Green. All other recent editors combine the two lines into one; Fritzsche writing αντιλέγειν κουκέτ' έλαύνων πλείν; Bergk αντιλέγει κουκέτ' έλαύνων πλεί, in which he is followed by Meineke, Holden, Paley, Merry, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen; whilst Kock and Velsen have avtilityein κούκέτ' έλαύγειν, $\pi\lambda\epsilon i\nu$, the latter in the preceding line accepting Cobet's alteration of KåKBás TIVA into KåKBáVTES. But the change of metre seems intended, and indeed required, to symbolize the change from the bold dashing sailors of old times to the listless irresolute sailors of to-day.

1084. ὑπογραμματέων R.P.U.Fritzsche, recentiores. ὑπὸ γραμματέων V. M. and all editions before Fritzsche, though Dindorf in his notes prefers ὑπογραμματέων.

1089. $\epsilon \pi a \phi a v \delta v \theta \eta v$ R. (according to Invernizzi and Bekker; but according to Velsen $\epsilon \pi$ ' $\delta \phi a v \delta v \theta \eta v$) Suidas, s. v. And so (or $\epsilon \pi a \phi \eta v \dot{a} \nu \theta \eta v$) Bentley, Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Paley, Green; and so Kuster in his note. $d\pi a \phi a v \dot{a} \nu \theta \eta v$ V. P. M. $d\pi \epsilon \phi a v \dot{a} \nu \theta \eta v$ U. (except that it has ν for ν) and most of the other MSS., and all the editions before Brunck. It matters little which preposition is placed first, but $d\phi a v a \dot{a} \nu \omega$ is found in Eccl. 146. Hermann proposed $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma'$ $d\phi a v \dot{a} \nu \theta \eta \nu$ which is weak in itself, and finds no colour from any of the MS. variants: but it is adopted by Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Merry, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.

1093. $K\epsilon\rho\mu\eta\hat{s}$ R.V.U. and other MSS. and all editions, some placing an iota subscriptum under the η . $K\epsilon\rho\mu\mu\epsilon\hat{s}$ P. M. and other MSS.

1106. $d\nu \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau o \nu$ Dobree, Blaydes. $d\nu a \partial \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau o \nu$ R. V. P. M. U. and almost all the MSS. $d\nu a \partial \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau o \nu$ F⁵. and all editions before Brunck. $d\nu a \partial \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$ Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Green, and Merry. $d\nu \dot{a} \partial \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$ Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Paley, and Van Leeuwen. $\kappa d\nu a \partial \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau o \nu$ Fritzsche, which is probable enough.

1124. ' $O\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon ias$ R. P. and many MSS. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. ' $O\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau ias$ V. M. U. and other MSS. and the editions before Brunck.

1144. $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \rho \nu$ V. P. M. U. and apparently every MS. except R. and vulgo. R. alone has $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \sigma s$, which is doubtless a reminiscence of lines 788 and 1457, and seems in every way inferior to the common reading. It is, however, adopted by Fritzsche and Meineke and several more recent editors.

1147. $\mu\epsilon_i \zeta_{0\nu}$ V. P. M. and most of the MSS. and all the editions. $\mu\hat{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$ R. U. and some other MSS.

1149. $o\tilde{\upsilon}\tau\omega s$ R. U. and some other MSS. Bekker, Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Paley, Van Leeuwen. $o\tilde{\upsilon}\tau\omega \gamma'$ V. P. M. most MSS. and vulgo.

1157. $\eta\kappa\omega$. Not a single MS. retains this, undoubtedly, the true reading, which is only preserved in Aulus Gellius, xiii. 24. Bentley saw that it should be read here, and it is so read by Brunck and all succeeding editors. All the MSS. and all the editions before Brunck read $\eta'\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$; and though R. V. P. M. U. and other MSS. and all editions read $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$, yet a great number of MSS. read $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

1161. ταὕτ' ἔστ'. This line (with the exception of the first word $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\epsilon$ which has remained unchanged throughout) has had a strange history. Aldus wrote it ταύτη 'στ' ἀλλ' ἐτέρως ἔχον, which is unmetrical, is supported by no authority, and appears to be a reminiscence of Plutus 371, τὸ δ' ἐστίν οὐ τοιοῦτον, άλλ' έτέρως έχον. Junta, Gormont, Neobari, and Grynaeus write it exactly as it stands in the text. Unfortunately Fracini recalled the reading of Aldus; and both Zanetti and Farreus have ταῦτ' 'στ' ἀλλ' ἐτέρως ἔχον. After Grynaeus the true reading became inexplicably lost. Gelenius followed Aldus, and Rapheleng followed Zanetti. And presently it became merely a question of amending the readings of Aldus and Zanetti. In Scaliger and Faber it is altered into ταύτη γ' έστιν άλλ' έτέρως έχον. Bentley proposed rairóv coru, άλλ' έτέρως έχον. Both Kuster and Bergler retain the reading of Aldus, though the former in his notes supplied the true reading from U. which Brunck inserted in the text, fully believing that it had never appeared in the text before. All subsequent editors have followed this reading, excepting Blaydes who reads $\tau a \dot{\tau} \tau \gamma$ ' $\sigma \tau$ ' though of course retaining the rest of the line as in the MSS., which all read $d\lambda \lambda$ ' $\tilde{a}\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \tilde{a} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \rho \nu$. As to $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$ ' the words are found so accented in U. P. P². P⁵ F⁴. B. m.; accented as $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau$ ' in R. V. M. and others; $\tau a \dot{v} \tau \gamma$ ' $\sigma \tau$ ' H. and one or two others; $\tau a v \tau \dot{\rho} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$ ' W².

1163. $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \tilde{\nu}$ MSS. vulgo. One would certainly have expected $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, and at Hirschig's suggestion $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ is read by Meineke, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen; but Aeschylus is perhaps emphasizing the difference which the prefix $\kappa a \tau \tilde{a}$ makes to the verb $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$.

1170. πέραινε τοίνυν MSS. vulgo. Blaydes alters it into περαινέτω νυν. But see 1124, 1125 supra.

1172. $\tau \phi \delta \epsilon$ R. V. U. F⁴. Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\tau \delta \epsilon$ P. B. O. C. m. In M. $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$ is written over $\tau \phi \delta \epsilon$. $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$ H. C¹. and all the other editions.

1173. $a\vartheta \delta \delta s$. This is Bake's felicitous conjecture for the $a\vartheta \theta s$ of the MSS. and editions, and it is accepted by Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Green, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. Brunck had already proposed to obtain the same meaning by changing $\tau o\vartheta \theta'$ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ into $\tau a\vartheta \theta'$ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$.

多歌

1180. οὐγάρμοὐστὶνἀλλ'. Blaydesalters this into οὐ γὰρ ἀλλά μοῦστ', which is certainly the more natural order of the words. R. has ἀκουστέον, but ἀκουστέα is otherwise so universally read that I have not altered it.

1182. εὐδαίμων R. U. and other MSS. Brunck, recentiores. εὐτυχής V. P. M. and other MSS. and the editions before Brunck. And I am not sure that this is not the true reading, for though it is a good rule when in doubt follow R, yet in 1186 R. and every other MS. has $\epsilon \vartheta \tau \upsilon \chi \eta s$, and, with $\epsilon \vartheta \delta a \mu \omega \nu$ here, it seems necessary to substitute $\epsilon \vartheta \delta a \mu \omega \nu$ there, as all do except Bekker and Invernizzi. The fact that in 1195 all the MSS. read $\epsilon \vartheta \delta a \mu \omega \nu$ is immaterial, since that does not profess to be an exact repetition of the present line. However both here and in 1186 I have followed recent editors.

1184. $\pi \rho i \nu \phi \tilde{\nu} \nu a \iota \mu i \nu$ R. V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and Brunck, recentiores, except Blaydes, who reads $\pi \rho i \nu \pi \epsilon \phi \nu \kappa i \nu$. $\pi \rho i \nu \mu i \nu \eta \phi \tilde{\nu} \nu a \iota$ H. F³. editions before Brunck.

1203. θυλάκιον. A tribrach is so seldom found at the end of an iambic line (see on 979 supra) that Porson (at Med. 139) considers this passage to be "insigniter corruptum," while Reisig would substitute κυάθιον, and Bothe and Fritzsche read θύλακον. But "nice customs curtsey to great" necessity; and subsequent editors have seen that a first Paeon, $- \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$, is necessary here; since Aeschylus means that θυλάκιον ἀπώλεσεν οι κωδάριον ἀπώλεσεν would suit his purpose as well as ληκύθιον ἀπώλεσεν.

1209. (EY.) R. P. M. U. and most of the MSS. give this line to Euripides and the next to Dionysus, and so Brunck, and most of the subsequent editors. V., however, and one or two other MSS. give both to Dionysus, and so the older editions, and a few since Brunck.

1220. $\delta_{0\kappa\epsilon\hat{\iota}}$. This was suggested by Kuster, Seager, and Dobree, and is adopted by Dindorf, Bothe, Meineke, and

subsequent editors. δοκείε MSS. vulgo. Kuster refers to Sophocles, Electra 335.

1230. $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ Dobree, who remarks that $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega$ arose from $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\iota$ in the following line. And so Bothe, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega$ MSS. vulgo.

1235. $d\pi \delta \delta \sigma R$. V. M. U. and all the MSS. except P. and a corrector of O., and all the editions except as hereinafter mentioned. But Dawes, commenting on the Latin version of Frischlinus Age, mi vir Aeschyle, vende hanc omni modo; namque uno obolo emes aliam tibi, pulchram et probam, observes truly that $d\pi\delta\delta ov$ not $d\pi\delta\delta os$ conveys the idea of selling, and accordingly proposes to read $d\pi\delta\delta ov$ here. $d\pi\delta\delta ov$ is found in P. and also in O. as corrected, and is read by Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Paley, and Green. The true reading is, however, retained, and the true explanation given by Bothe, Fritzsche, Bergk, Kock, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. Merry too retains $d\pi \delta d\sigma$, but considers the appeal to be addressed to Aeschylus, and translates $d\pi \delta d\sigma s$ give it up. But I entirely agree with Blaydes's remark "verum haud dubie est aπόδos, id est solve pretium ejus, ut totius loci sententia ostendit." Fritzsche had long before said "Jure Bothius restituit ἀπόδος, i.e. da pecuniam pro lecythio." And Bothe's own translation was solve, numera pretium Aeschylo pro ampulla.

1243. čaσον R.B.O.C. V¹.W¹.Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Kock, Green, Merry. čaσον αὖτὸν M. P³. ča αὐτὸν the other MSS. and editions.

1245. $d\pi o\lambda \epsilon i \sigma' V. M. H. V^1. F^2. F^3. F^4.$ all editions before Bothe, and Velsen, Paley, Green, Merry since. $d\pi o\lambda \epsilon i$ s the other MSS. and editions. 1249. ús MSS. vulgo. ois Dobree, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, and Blaydes.

1252. $\check{\epsilon}_{\gamma\omega\gamma}\check{\epsilon}_{\chi\omega}$ MSS. vulgo. Bentley suggested $\check{\epsilon}_{\gamma\dot{\omega}\dot{\omega}\kappa}$ ($\check{\epsilon}_{\gamma\dot{\omega}}$ où κ) $\check{\epsilon}_{\chi\omega}$, which would certainly improve the sense. And if this were adopted, we might also accept Blaydes's $\phi_{\rho\rho\nu\tau}i\zeta_{\omega\nu}$ for $\phi_{\rho\rho\nu\tau}i\zeta_{\epsilon\nu}$.

1256. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \ \nu \nu \nu \dot{\nu}$ Bentley, Gaisford (on Hephaestion, chap. x), Dindorf, Bergk, Kock, Paley, Green. All the MSS. and all the editions before Dindorf (and Bothe since) have $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \iota \ \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \iota \ \tilde{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu \ \epsilon \tau \iota \ \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ the metre. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \iota \ \tilde{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu \ Fritzsche.$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota \ \nu \nu \nu i$ Meineke and (except as aforesaid) more recent editors.

1263. $\lambda \circ \gamma \circ \circ \hat{\nu} \alpha \tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a$ MSS. vulgo. $\lambda \circ \gamma \circ \circ \hat{\nu} \alpha \dot{\nu} \dot{\gamma}$ a $\dot{\nu} \tau \dot{a}$ Dobree, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Paley, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. The stage-direction which follows is found in the MSS. and in all editions down to and including Portus, and again in Kuster, Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Bothe, Fritzsche, Kock, and Merry. Dindorf and others resolutely omit all these $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi i \gamma \rho a \phi a \lambda$, which are often, as here, essential to the right understanding of the play.

1264. $A_{\chi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}}$ M. and all editions before Bekker, and Bothe, Bergk, Paley, and Van Leeuwen since. $A_{\chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}}$ the other MSS, and editions.

1265. $i\eta \kappa \delta \pi \sigma \nu$ (in two words, wherever it occurs) R. V. Bergk, recentiores, except Green, who, with the other MSS. and the editions before Bergk, has $i\eta \kappa \sigma \pi \sigma \nu$ in one word.

1270. μov all MSS. except P. who omits the word, and is followed by Brunck and Dindorf to the ruin of the metre.

1272. Alσχύλε H. m. V2. F2. F8. and all

editions, except Invernizzi and Bekker, who with the other MSS. read $\delta \sigma_{\chi} \dot{\nu} \lambda_{\epsilon}$. No doubt they are right, but nobody likes to disfigure his text by so unsightly a form.

1276. $\delta\delta\iota\sigma\nu$. This reading was restored from the Agamemnon by Brunck in his notes, and was first inserted in the text by Invernizzi, who is followed by Dindorf and all subsequent editors. It is found also in F^3 . C^1 . and, as a correction, in F^5 . But V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and all the editions before Brunck, have $\delta\sigma\iota\sigma\nu$. R. has δs $\delta \tilde{\iota}\sigma\nu$. Brunck in his text has $\delta\sigma \iota\omega\nu$ (and so Bekker), but in his notes pointed out the true reading.

1281. $\pi\rho\iota\nu\gamma$ ' å ν Elmsley (at Ach. 176, referring also to Ach. 296, Knights 961, Wasps 920, Birds 585, Frogs 78 and 845, Eccl. 770 and 857). Compare also Clouds 267. His suggestion is accepted by Dindorf, Bergk, and all subsequent editors, except Kock and Velsen. $\pi\rho\iota\nu\gamma$ ' (without å ν) MSS. vulgo.

1287. δυσαμερίαν MSS. vulgo. δυσαμεριάν, at Dindorf's suggestion, Fritzsche, and subsequent editors except Paley.

2

1294. τὸ συγκλινές τ' R. V. M. P. U. and apparently all the MSS. except H. and F⁴. Junta, Gormont, Bekker, Velsen, Merry, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. τὸ συγκλινές (τ' omisso) H. F⁴. and all other editions.

1301. μελοφορεί πορνφδικών. See the Commentary. μέν φέρει πορνιδίων MSS. vulgo. πορνιδίων μέλη φέρει Porson, Holden, Merry. μέν φέρει ποροινίων Kock. μέν φέρει πορνών μελών Velsen.

1305. ἐπὶ τοῦτον MSS. (except C.) vulgo. ἐπὶ τούτων C. Meineke, Holden, Kock, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

1307. τάδ' ἔστ' ἄδειν U. H. O. M¹. V¹. V² W¹. F⁴. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Green, Merry. τάγ' ἕστ' ἄδειν (a mere clerical error for the foregoing) R. ταῦτ' ἔστ' V. P. M. It is observable that all the MSS. have ἕστ'. ταῦτ' ἄδειν vulgo. τάδεγ' ἄδειν Hermann, Velsen. Blaydes (contrary to all the authorities) writes ἐπιτήδειόν ἐστ' ἄδειν ταδί.

1309. deváois R. m. F¹. F⁵. and every edition except Brunck, Invernizzi, and Bothe. It is indeed required by the metre. devváois the other MSS. and the three excepted editors.

1311. $\nu\sigma\tau i \sigma i s$ R. Invernizzi, and all subsequent editors except as mentioned below. $\nu\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma i s$ V. P. M. U. and several other MSS. $\nu\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho i s$ editions before Invernizzi. $\nu\sigma\tau i \sigma i s$ H. O. C. V¹. V². W¹. W². Dindorf, Bothe, Green.

1315. ίστότονα MSS. (except R.) vulgo. ίστόπονα R. Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Van Leeuwen.

1316. $\kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa i\delta\sigma R. H. P^{5}$. Brunck, recentiores, except Bergk, who, with the other MSS. and older editions, reads $\kappa a i \kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa i\delta\sigma s$.

1323. τόνδ' Reisig, Blaydes, which seems necessary, the line being glyconic. τοῦτον vulgo. Porson suggests, and Van Leeuwen reads, τοῦτον τὸν πόδ' ὅρậs; And other suggestions have been made to bring the line into the metre.

1362. $\delta\xi\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau as$ R. V. M. P. U. and the MSS. generally, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen. I follow the MSS. with reluctance, since the common reading $\delta\xi\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau a\iota\nu$, which is only found in m. V¹ W¹. F². and C., seems better, and is indeed adopted in the translation. $\delta\xi\dot{\nu}\tau\alpha\tau\iota$ Fritzsche.

1366. $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$. . . $\mu \delta \nu \sigma \nu$ R. V. Bekker, Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Green, Merry. $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$. . . $\mu \delta \nu \sigma s$ vulgo.

1374. $\mu \dot{a} \tau \dot{o} \nu$ vulgo. P. alone adds $\Delta \iota'$.

1376. $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \delta \mu \eta \nu$ (originally the suggestion of Bentley) is found in P². W². F². F³. F⁴. C¹. L. and E. and is adopted by Brunck and all subsequent editors. But R. V. P. M. U. and all the best MSS. and all the editions before Brunck have $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \delta \mu \eta \nu$, which is of course contrary to the metre.

1378. AIS. Kai EY. Almost all the MSS. attribute to both poets the words attributed in the text to the two: some naming them, and others prefixing of $d\mu\phi \phi \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma$ or of $\delta v \sigma$. P. gives them to All the editions before Brunck EY. give $\partial \delta o \dot{v}$ in this line and $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ in 1381 to Aeschylus, and $\eta \nu$ idov in 1390 to Euripides. Brunck gave them all three to Euripides, and so Invernizzi, Fritzsche, and Paley. Bekker first introduced both names; and he is followed by Dindorf, Bergk, and (except as aforesaid) all recent editors.

1380. $\mu\epsilon\theta\hat{\eta}\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$ R. U. P². P³. W². F² F³. F⁴. Invernizzi, recentiores. $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$ the other MSS. and the editions before Invernizzi.

1384. $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\tau\epsilon$ MSS. vulgo. Porson (at Orestes 141) proposed $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$, thinking that here the middle was preferable to the active verb, and $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ is, accord-

ingly, inserted by Meineke, Holden, Kock, Paley, Green, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. So below 1393.

1394. κακῶν P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and vulgo. κακόν R. V. Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Velsen.

1396. καὶ νοῦν MSS. vulgo. κὤγκον (from a conjecture of Herwerden) Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

1397. $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \iota$ V. M. vulgo. $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$ R. P. U. and other MSS.

1399. τοιοῦτο R. P. M. vulgo. τοιοῦτον V. U. and other MSS. Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Paley, Green, and Blaydes. Before Dindorf the entire line was given to Euripides. Bentley was the first to perceive that $\phi_{\rho a \sigma \omega}$ belonged to another speaker, and he gave the word and the succeeding line to Aeschylus, making the speech of Dionysus commence (and so it is found in R.) with $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \iota \tau' \, a \nu$. But possibly the allusion to the $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa'$ 'Ayiλλεύs line is beneath the dignity of Aeschylus: and the arrangement in the text was suggested by Seidler, and is adopted by Dindorf and all subsequent editors.

1405. $\epsilon i \sigma \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon$ R. (which, however, adds a final ν) P⁴. Brunck, recentiores, except Bergk, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen, who, with the other MSS. and the older editions, read $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$.

1406. οῦς MSS. vulgo. ὅσ' Dobree, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.

1410. $\mu \acute{o} \nu \circ \nu R$. U. W². F². F⁴. L. Bekker, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\mu \acute{o} \nu a$ vulgo.

1411. ävdpes. The MSS. omit the aspirate, save that a corrector of M. writes of over ävdpes. It was first suggested by Seager, introduced into the text by Dindorf, and is now universally accepted. $-\phi i \lambda o R$. m. C. V¹. W¹. P⁴. Fracini, Gormont, Gelenius, Portus, Scaliger, Faber, Kuster, Bergler, Invernizzi, Bekker, Bothe, recentiores. σοφοί the other MSS. and editions.

1428. $\pi \epsilon \phi \nu \kappa \epsilon$ V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, and all editions except those mentioned below. $\phi a \nu \epsilon i \tau a \iota R$. Invernizzi, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Holden, Merry.

1432. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. This line is omitted in V. P. P². P³. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Meineke, and Van Leeuwen: enclosed in brackets by Bergk, Kock. Paley, and Blaydes: and given to Dionysus by Fritzsche, Holden, and Velsen. On the other hand, the preceding line is, with much more reason, enclosed in brackets by Dindorf and Green. See the Commentary. The other MSS. and editions give all three lines to Aeschylus. In the next line $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \tau is$ is the reading of all the MSS., and of all the editions except Dindorf and Green. Hermann pronounced "Male legitur $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho a \phi \hat{y}$," Opuscula, ii. 332, and Dindorf introduced $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \eta$, which is read in a quotation of this passage by Plutarch, Alcibiades, chap. 16.

1436. σωτηρίαν MSS. vulgo. Wecklein suggested σωτηρίας, taking it as ήντινα γνώμην ἔχετον περὶ σωτηρίας τῆς πόλεως, in conformity with Eccl. 396. And so Kock, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. But Aristophanes would hardly have written περὶ τῆς πόλεως, had he not intended the words to be taken together.

1437-1441. $\epsilon \tilde{i} \tau \iota s \ldots \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i \omega \nu$. These lines were marked as an interpolation, the Scholiast tells us, by Aristarchus and Apollonius, and they are now almost universally omitted or enclosed in brackets. It is plain that the answer of Euripides commences with 1442. And lines 1452, 1453, must of course share the fate of these.

1448. $\chi\rho\eta\sigma ai\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta a \sigma\omega\theta\epsilon i\eta\mu\epsilon\nu R.$ Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Bergk, Kock, Paley, Green, Merry. $\chi\rho\eta\sigma ai\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta'$ (or $-\mu\epsilon\theta'$) " $\sigma\omega s \sigma\omega\theta\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu F^2$. F^3 . C¹. editions before Brunck. $\chi\rho\eta\sigma ai\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta'$ " $\sigma\omega s \sigma\omega\theta\epsilon i$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ Bentley, Brunck, Bothe, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. The readings of the other MSS. are unmetrical. $\chi\rho\eta\sigma ai\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta'$ " $\sigma\omega s \sigma\omega\theta\epsilon i\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ V. and others. $\chi\rho\eta\sigma ai\mu\epsilon\theta'$ " $\sigma\omega s \sigma\omega\theta\epsilon i\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ P. M. U. and others.

1450. $\tau d\nu a\nu \tau i^{*} d\nu$ Dobree, Bothe, Fritzsche, and nearly all the subsequent editors. $\tau d\nu a\nu \tau i a$ MSS. vulgo.— $\pi \rho \dot{a} \tau$ - $\tau o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ V. P. M. U. and the MSS. generally, the editions before Bekker, and Bothe, Bergk, Meineke, Velsen, Paley, Blaydes. $\pi \rho \dot{a} \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ R. V¹. W¹. Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Holden, Kock, Green, Merry.

1454. $\tau i \ \delta a i$; $\sigma v \ \tau i \ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s$; R. and (placing the first note of interrogation after σv) Fritzsche, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen. I have placed it after $\delta a i$. $\tau i \ \delta a i \ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s \ \sigma v$; \mathbf{F}^2 . \mathbf{F}^3 . vulgo. $\tau i \ \delta a i \ \sigma v \ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s$; P. M. V. $\tau i \ \delta \epsilon i \ \sigma v \ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ U.

1466. $\epsilon \hat{\upsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma$ R. U. V². W². F⁴. Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores, except that Meineke omits the line. $\epsilon \hat{\upsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ P. m. F². F³. edd. veteres and Bothe. $\epsilon \hat{\upsilon} \gamma \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ V. M. and other MSS.

1474. προσβλέπεις μ' εἰργασμένος F¹. F⁵. V². and (as corrected) M. Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Bergk, Paley, recentiores. μ' ἐργασάμενος προσβλέπεις F². F³. vulgo. μ' εἰργασμένος προσβλέπεις P. U. and (originally) M. εἰργασμένος πρὸς βλέπεις (inserting μ' before ἕργον) V. εἰργασμένος προβλέπεις R.

1480. ξενίσωμεν. All the MSS. read $\xi \epsilon \nu i \sigma \omega$, and down to the time of Brunck all the editions had read, later in the verse, $\pi \rho i \nu \gamma' d\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$. P². has $\pi \rho i \nu$ $d\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$, but all the other MSS. have $\pi \rho i \nu \, d \pi o \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$. Brunck therefore changed $\pi \rho i \nu \gamma' d\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ into $\pi \rho i \nu d\pi o \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, and to save the metre inserted TOL between $\epsilon \hat{v}$ and $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon i s$, referring to Peace 934, Plutus 198. And in this he is followed by all succeeding editors, except Bergk, Meineke, Kock, Velsen, and Blaydes. Bergk omitted Brunck's To:, and inserted 'yù between Eevíou and opù, as if Aristophanes could have endured such a combination of syllables as -σω 'γώ $\sigma \phi \dot{\omega}$, and as if Pluto was likely to emphasize so strongly that he alone, without his Queen and Court, was to entertain the guests. Nevertheless, this sorry emendation is adopted by Meineke and Blaydes. Meineke, however, suggested $\xi \epsilon \nu i \zeta \omega$, which is introduced into the text by Kock and Velsen. It seems to me that the true reading is either πρίν γ' ἀπελθείν or else ξενίσωμεν, as in Lysistrata 1184. And on the whole, considering that every MS. but one reads $d\pi o \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, I think it safer to read $\xi \epsilon \nu i \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. It may have been the very fact that Persephone is joined in the invitation that made Dionysus accept it so cheerfully.

1482. μακάριός γ' R. V. U. Invernizzi, recentiores. μακάριος P. M. μακάριόν γ' all editions before Invernizzi, and this was the reading of the Scholiast.

1484. πολλοίσιν R. Brunck, recentiores. πολλοίσι the other MSS. and the editions before Brunck. Brunck too was the first to change σεμνοίσι into σεμνοίσιν, infra 1496, where even R. has σεμνοίσι.

FROGS

1486. $oi\kappa a\delta$ $a\vartheta$. The MSS. and editions before Dindorf have $oi\kappa a\delta$ $a\vartheta\theta\iota_s$, but Dindorf changed $a\vartheta\theta\iota_s$ into $a\vartheta$ to make the line correspond with its antistrophical line, $\tau \eta s \tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta \iota \kappa \eta s \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s$. For a similar reason he changed $\phi i \lambda \sigma \iota_s$ into $\phi i \lambda \sigma \iota_s$, three lines below.

1497. $\sigma \kappa a \rho \iota \phi \eta \sigma \mu o \hat{i} \sigma \iota$ R. Fritzsche, recentiores. $\sigma \kappa a \rho a \phi i \sigma \mu o \hat{i} \sigma \iota$ the other MSS. and editions before Fritzsche.

1501. $\eta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho a\nu$ MSS. vulgo. $\vartheta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho a\nu$ (a suggestion attributed to Scaliger, and a most unfortunate suggestion, whoever made it) is actually introduced into the text by Meineke, Holden, Kock, Velsen, Van Leeuwen.

1505. rouri P. P3. V2. F1. F5. Brunck, Bekker, Dindorf, Bothe, Holden, Paley, Green, Merry. τουτοί V. U. τοῦτο R. τουτοισί M. Meineke. τούτοις F². editions before Brunck. One would not expect a paroemiac line in this place, and many critics have endeavoured to add the syllable required for a full anapaestic dimeter. It would be easy, as indeed Blaydes remarks, to do this by writing the final word $\pi o \rho_i \sigma \tau a i \sigma_i \nu$. Bentley suggested τούτοισιν. Bergk writes τουτουσί (subaud. βρόχους), and so Kock, Velsen, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen: but the use of the $\delta\mu o\hat{v}$ as well as the $\tau\epsilon \ldots \kappa a\hat{v}$ in the next line show that the $\pi o \rho_i \sigma \tau a i$ were not to have separate instruments of self-slaughter.

1510. η κωσιν, $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma \dot{\omega}$ R. V. M. and other MSS. Brunck, recentiores. η κωσι (without $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma \dot{\omega}$) most of the MSS. and all the editions before Brunck.

d

1515. θ âκον. Seven lines below, all the MSS. and editions have θ âκον (variously accented) or · θ âκον. But here, with the exception of U. W². F⁴. L., all the MSS. and all the editions before Brunck have θ ρ άνον, contrary to the metre. Bentley proposed, and Brunck and all subsequent editors read, θ âκον.

1517. καὶ διασώζειν R. Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Bothe, Meineke, Velsen, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. καὶ σώζειν the other MSS. and all editions before Brunck. Bentley (before R.'s reading was known) proposed καί μοι σώζειν, and so Tyrwhitt and (in the form of κἀμοὶ) Porson. And κἀμοὶ is read by Dindorf and (except as aforesaid) all subsequent editors.

1526. τούτου τοῦτου. This is very awkward, and Bentley's suggestion of ϵ *aυτο*ῦ for τούτου has been generally approved, though never adopted.

1529. $\gamma aias$ R. Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\gamma a av$ the other MSS. and the editions before Invernizzi.

1530. $\tau \hat{y} \tau \epsilon$ MSS. (except R.) and vulgo. $\tau \hat{y} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ R. Bekker, Fritzsche, recentiores. "Praestat $\tau \hat{y} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$," says Blaydes, "respondent enim hace precedentibus $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$." But it is the $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ after K $\lambda \epsilon o \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ which responds to the preceding $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$. The Chorus put up two petitions; the first for the success of Aeschylus, the second for the retirement of Cleophon.

