



Cornell Law School Library

Marshall Equity Collection Gift of E. I. Marshall, C. T. B. 1894 3 1924 084 264 294



The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

STATUTES, RULES OF COURT, AND GENERAL ORDERS

RELATING TO THE

PRACTICE AND JURISDICTION

OF THE

Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal.

WITH COPIOUS NOTES.

BEING

THE SIXTH EDITION

OF

MORGAN'S CHANCERY ACTS AND ORDERS,

THOROUGHLY REVISED AND CONSIDERABLY ENLARGED.

BY

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

GEORGE OSBORNE MORGAN, Q.C., M.P.,

Her Majesty's Judge Advocate General,

AND

EDWARD ALBERT WURTZBURG.

Of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law.

LONDON:

STEVENS AND SONS, 119, CHANCERY LANE, Entr Publishers and Booksellers.

1885.



C. F. ROWOETH, PEINTER, GREAT NEW STREET, FETTER LANE—E.C.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

ROUNDELL, EARL OF SELBORNE,

LORD HIGH CHANCELLOR OF GREAT BRITAIN, ETC., ETC.,

UNDER WHOSE AUSPICES THE GREAT WORK OF CONSOLIDATING INTO AN HARMONIOUS

WHOLE THE VARIOUS AND DISCORDANT SYSTEMS OF ENGLISH JUDICATURE

WAS SUCCESSFULLY INAUGURATED,

The Sixth Edition of this Work

IS BY PERMISSION

Respectfully Inscribed.

PREFACE TO THE SIXTH EDITION.

In preparing the present Edition for the Press, the Editors, while omitting nothing which they considered to be of practical utility, have eliminated everything calculated unnecessarily to swell the bulk and cost of the Volume. The last Edition, which was brought out under circumstances of exceptional pressure, has been thoroughly revised, and the greater part of it has been entirely re-written; every case, within the scope of the work, has been carefully noted up down to the date of publication; and in a word, no effort has been spared to retain for the present Volume the popularity which its predecessors have now for more than a quarter of a century enjoyed.

G. O. M.

E. A. W.

February, 1885.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Solicitors Act, 1843		PAGE
Solicitors Act, 1860		. 15
Attorneys and Solicitors Act, 1870		. 19
Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845		. 24
PARLIAMENTARY DEPOSITS ACT, 1846		. 49
TRUSTEE RELIEF Act, 1847		. 50
TRUSTEE RELIEF ACT, 1849		. 60
Trustee Act, 1850		·. 61
TRUSTEE EXTENSION ACT, 1852		. 90
Charitable Trusts Act, 1853		. 94
Infants' Settlement Act		. 96
Custody of Infants Act, 1873		. 97
LORD St. LEONARDS' (PROPERTY AND TRUSTEES) ACT, 1859		. 99
LAW OF PROPERTY FURTHER AMENDMENT ACT, 1860 .		. 104
VENDOR AND PURCHASER ACT, 1874		. 106
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 .		. 109
Conveyancing Act, 1882		. 127
Rules under Conveyancing Acts, 1881, 1882		. 131
SETTLED LAND ACT, 1882		. 139
SETTLED LAND ACT RULES, 1882		. 157
SETTLED LAND ACT, 1884		. 165
RAILWAY COMPANIES ACT, 1867		. 167
JUDGMENT ACT, 1864		. 177
Partition Act, 1868		. 179
Partition Act, 1876		. 184
Debtors Act, 1869		. 187
MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1882		. 192
Petition of Right Act, 1860		. 201
CHANCERY FUNDS ACT, 1872		. 203
CHANCERY FUNDS AMENDED ORDERS, 1874		. 211
JUDICATURE (FUNDS, &c.) ACT, 1883.		. 213

P.	AGE
Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884	215
I. Operation of Rules and Interpretation of Terms	215
1. Commencement of Rules and short title	215
	215
	215
o. Intolproduced of terms	
II. Preparation of Orders in the Chancery Division and in Lunacy to be acted on by the Paymaster, and particulars relating thereto .	217
4. Application of Rules 5 to 27 inclusive	217
5. Order for funds to be brought into Court to have a Lodg- ment Schedule	217
6. Order for funds to be paid out, &c. to have a Payment Schedule	217
7. When a separate account is opened	218
8. When both a Lodgment and Payment Schedule to be annexed	218
9. Separate Schedule for each ledger credit	218
10. Instructions to Paymaster to be solely contained in	218
11. Schedule to state when sums are to be ascertained by cer-	219
tificate, &c.	219
12. Certificate for payment of taxed costs	219
13. Interest how ascertained	219
	219
15. When interest certified by a Chief Clerk, &c.	219
10. 11 202 1200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	220
17. Deduction of income tax from interest	220
8	220
19. Periodical payments	220
	220
,,,,,,,,,,	221
22. Draft Schedule to be prepared by party having conduct of proceedings	221
23. Orders how drawn up and entered	221
24. Authentication and record of Orders, and copy of Schedules for Paymaster	221
25. Paymaster to act on copy of Schedules	222
	222
27. Amendment of accidental errors in printed Orders	222
	222
	222
30. Manner of lodgment of funds in Chancery Division, and particulars to be stated in request. Lodgment in Court in actions for debt or damages	223
31. Conditional lodgment of money at the Bank in urgent cases	22 3
33. Lodgments under Orders XXII. and XXXI. of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, to be distinguished in Pay Office books	00.1
35. Requests and directions may be sent by nost	224

SUPREME CO	URT FUNDS RULES, 1884—continued.	
		AGE
36. 3	Persons may bring funds into Court in the Chancery Division, though time limited by Order has expired	224
37.	Upon receipt or transfer of funds, direction to be returned	
	to Paymaster	224
	Certificate of lodgment to be filed	225
	When money is lodged under Act 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69, disability to be stated	225
	Money lodged under the Copyhold Acts to be specially described	225
	Lodgments under the Trustee Relief Act (10 & 11 Vict. c. 96)	225
42.	Credit to which proceeds of securities and dividends are to be placed	226
VI. Payme	nt, Delivery, and Transfer of Funds out of Court, and other dealings with Funds	226
44		220
	Payment out of Court of money lodged in actions for debts and damages	226
40.	In other cases funds to be dealt with only in pursuance of an Order	227
46.	A copy of every Payment Schedule or Order dealing with funds in Court to be left at Pay Office	227
47.	Paymaster to prepare directions giving effect to Orders upon receipt of the necessary authority and information	228
48.		228
	Paymaster's directions to be sufficient authority to the Bank or other Company	228
50.	Discharge to Paymaster	228
52.	Payments to official persons to be made by transfer	229
53.	Payments for securities purchased; and transfers of securities sold	229
54.	Accounts to which investments, sales, &c., are to be credited	229
	Application of dividends accruing on securities transferred	229
	When such dividends have been invested	230
57.	When dividends otherwise applicable have been invested .	230
	Dividends on residue	230
		230
		230
	Funds ordered to be paid or transferred to women who afterwards marry .	230
	Payments, &c. to representatives of deceased persons	231
	J, <u>1</u>	231
	Payments, &c. to surviving representatives	231
	Within what time probate or letters of administration must have been granted	232
66.	Payment of legacy or succession duty	232
67. 1 68. 1	Carrying over fees on proceedings and taxation Deduction of income tax on payments of or out of dividends	232 232
VII. Investr	nents	233
•		233
	Prophese of Exchequer hills or hands	233

SUPREME COURT FUNDS RULES, 1884—continued.	
VII. Investments—continued.	GE
 Bank to renew Exchequer bills, and to receive principal and interest of securities when paid off 	233
	234
(Illiant legatects.)	234
74. Investment of money lodged under the Trustee Relief Act.	234
75. Investing stayed or discontinued on request	235
VIII. Money on Deposit, and Interest the state of	235
70. Money to be praced on deposit	235
11. Money not to be placed on deposit 12 decimal	235
16. When money shall be withdrawn from deposit	236
13. Time for placing money on deposit .	236
80. As to placing on deposit cash arising from conversion of Government securities	236
or, 140 medical compared on a macach of 21	236
62. 101 what portous interest is to be compared	236
Oo. Which interest is to be created.	237
84. Mode of calculating interest in certain cases on parts of money withdrawn .	237
	237
IX. Exchange or Conversion of Government Securities, and Transactions with the National Debt Commissioners	237
86. Exchanges of securities in lieu of actual purchases and sales	237
X. Calculation of Residues, Evidence of Life, &c	238
	238
	238
	238
XI. Copies of Orders and other Documents for Audit Office	238
of our or by the state of the s	238
98. Office copies of certificates and other documents to be sent.	238
XII. Miscellaneous	239
99. Paymaster to give certificates of funds in Court	239
100. Paymaster may issue transcripts of accounts and furnish other information	239
101. List of dormant funds, &c. to be made triennially and published	239
102. Transfer of small balances to a special account	240
103. Titles of accounts not to exceed 36 words	240
104. Outstanding cheques of late Accountant-General	24 0
105. Index of documents filed	240
106. Names and addresses of suitors	241
107. Paymaster's directions to be issued and signed as Treasury may prescribe	241
108. Identification of persons to be paid	241
109. When stocks or shares of companies or other securities are	
converted . 110. When allotments of new stock are made by companies .	241
111. Rules not to apply to District Registries	241 241
- 11. manny man an abbil an autom ton the Stratton	~ I I

SUPREME COURT FUNDS RULES, 1884—continued.

Appendix No. 1.	PAGE
Form of Lodgment Schedule referred to in Rule 5, and specimen	1 . 242
Appendix No. 2.	
Form of Payment Schedule referred to in Rule 6, and specimen Payment Schedules	ı . 243
Appendix No. 3.	
Form of combined Lodgment and Payment Schedule referred to in Rule 8	l . 244
Appendix No. 5.	
Form of Request for Lodgment of Money in Chancery Division referred to in Rule 30	1 . 245
Appendix No. 6.	
Form of Request for Lodgment or Transfer of Securities in Chancery Division referred to in Rule 30	1 245
Appendix No. 7.	
Form of Request for Lodgment in Chancery Division, in an action for debt or damages, referred to in Rule 30	246
Appendix No. 11 (A).	
Form of Request for Payment of Money lodged "in satisfaction," referred to in Rule 44 (A)	2 1 7
Appendix No. 11 (B).	
Form of Request for Payment of Money lodged "against claim" referred to in Rule 44 (B).	247
Appendix No. 12.	
Form of Request for a remittance by Post of Money payable under an Order of the Court referred to in Rule 48	
under an Order of the Court referred to in Rule 48	248
JUDICATURE ACT, 1873	248
JUDICATURE ACT, 1875	277
Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876	286
JUDIOATURE ACT, 1877	290
JUDICATURE (OFFICERS) ACT, 1879	291
JUDICATURE ACT, 1881	296
JUDICATURE ACT, 1884	301
RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT, 1883 AND 1884	305
ORDER I.—Form and Commencement of Action. Rules 1 to 2 305-	-306
II.—Writ of Summons. Rules 1 to 8 306-	-307
III.—Indorsement of Claim. Rules 1 to 8 307—	-309
IV.—Indorsement of Address. Rules 1 to 4 309—	-311
V.—Issue of Writs of Summons. 1. Place of Issue.	311
Rules 1 to 4	
3. Generally. Rules 10 to 14. · · · · · · · 313—	
VI.—Concurrent Writs. Rules 1 to 2	314
VII.—1. Disclosure by Solicitors, &c. Rules 1 to 2 314—	
2. Change of Solicitors. Rule 3	315

RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT, 1883 AND 1884—continua	ed.
	PAGE
ORD. VIII.—Renewal of Writ. Rules 1 to 3.	310-316
ORD. VIII.—Renewal of Writ. Rules 1 to 3. IX.—Service of Writ. 1. Mode. Rules 1 to 2 2. Particular Defendants. Rules 3 to 5 3. Partners, &c. Rules 6 to 8. 4. Particular Actions. Rule 9 5. Generally. Rule 15 X.—Substituted Service. Rule 1 XI.—Service out of Jurisdiction. Rules 1 to 7 XIII.—Appearance. Rules 1 to 30 XIII.—Default of Appearance. Rules 1 to 14 XIV.—Summary Judgment. Rules 1 to 6. XV.—Application for Account. Rules 1 to 2 XVI.—Parties. 1. Generally. Rules 1 to 13 2. Partners. Rules 1 to 15	010
2. Particular Defendants. Rules 3 to 5	317-318
3. Partners, &c. Rules 6 to 8	318-319
4. Particular Actions. Rule 9	319
5. Generally. Rule 15	319
X.—Substituted Service. Rule 1	920
XI.—Service out of Jurisdiction. Rules 1 to 7	320-322
XII.—Appearance. Rules 1 to 30	322-320
XIII.—Default of Appearance. Rules 1 to 14	326—330
XIV.—Summary Judgment. Rules 1 to 6.	330-332
XV.—Application for Account. Rules 1 to 2.	332
XVI.—Parties. 1. Generally. Rules 1 to 13	333—337
2. Partners. Rules 14 to 15	337338
3. Persons under Disability. Rules 16 to 21 .	338340
4. Paupers. Rules 22 to 31	340—341
5. Administrations, &c. Rules 32 to 47	342-347
6. Third Parties. Rules 48 to 55	347350
6. Third Parties. Rules 48 to 55 XVII.—Change of Parties by Death. Rules 1 to 10 XVIII.—Joinder of Causes of Action. Rules 1 to 9	350-353
XVIII.—Joinder of Causes of Action. Rules 1 to 9	353-354
XIX.—Pleading Generally. Rules 1 to 27	354—360
XIX.—Pleading Generally. Rules 1 to 27	361—362
XXI.—Defence and Counterclaim. Rules 1 to 21.	362—365
XXII.—Payment into Court. Rules 1 to 18	365—368
XXIII.—Reply. Rules 1 to 6	369-370
XXIV.—Matters arising pending the Action. Rules 1 to 3.	370-371
XXV.—Proceedings in lieu of Demurrer. Rules 1 to 5.	371—372
XXVI.—Discontinuance. Rules 1 to 4	373—374
XXVII.—Default of Pleading. Rules 1 to 15	374—377
XXVI.—Discontinuance. Rules 1 to 4	377—381
XXX.—Summons for Directions. Rules 1 to 3	381
XXXI.—Discovery. Rules I to 28	381—394
XXXII.—Admissions. Rules 1 to 9	394—396
XXXIII.—Issues, Inquiries, and Accounts. Rules 1 to 9	397—400
AAAIV.—1. Special Case. Rules 1 to 8	400—403
2. Issues of Fact. Rules 9 to 12	405—406
AAAy.—District Registries. Rules 1 to 24 .	406-410
XXXIV.—1. Special Case. Rules 1 to 8 2. Issues of Fact. Rules 9 to 12 XXXV.—District Registries. Rules 1 to 24 XXXVI.—Trial. 1. Place. Rules 1 to 1a. 2. Mode of Trial. Rules 3 to 10 3. Notice and Entry. Rules 11 to 21	410—411
2. Mode of Trial. Rules 3 to 10	411—413
o. Nomec and Entry. Rules II to 21	419-419
4. Entry in District Registries. Rules 22a to 28.	415—416
5. London and Middlesex. Rule 29	417
6. Papers. Rule 30	417
7. Proceedings. Rules 31 to 42	417—419
8. Assessors, &c. Rules 43 to 55.	419—421
9. Writ of Inquiry. Rules 56 to 58	421422
XXXVII.—Evidence. 1. Generally. Rules 1 to 4	422-423
	423-428
3. Subpœna. Rules 26 to 34	428429
4. Perpetuating Testimony. Rules 35 to 38.	429-430
5. Examiners of the Court. Rules 39 to 50	430-432.

RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT, 1883 AND 1884-continue	d.
Ord.	PAGE
XXXVIII.—Affidavits. 1. Affidavits. Rules 1 to 19	433—438
2. Chambers. Rules 20 to 24 3. Trial. Rules 25 to 30 XXXIX.—Motion for New Trial. Rules 1 to 8 XL.—Motion for Judgment. Rules 1 to 10 XLI.—Entry of Judgment. Rules 1 to 10 XLII.—Execution. Rules 1 to 31 2. Discovery in aid. Rules 32 to 34 XLIII.—Writs of Fi. Fa., &c., Rules 1 to 7	438 - 439
3. Trial. Rules 25 to 30	439-441
XXXIX.—Motion for New Trial. Rules 1 to 8	441-442
XL.—Motion for Judgment. Rules 1 to 10	442-444
XLI.—Entry of Judgment, Rules 1 to 10.	444446
XLII.—Execution. Rules 1 to 31	446452
2. Discovery in aid. Rules 32 to 34	452-453
XLIII.—Writs of Fi. Fa., &c. Rules 1 to 7	453454
XLIV.—Attachments. Rules 1 to 2	,
XLV.—Attachment of Debts. Rules 1 to 9	455-458
XLVI.—Charging Stock Rules 1 to 13	458-462
XLVII.—Charging Stock. Rules 1 to 13 XLVII.—Writ of Possession. Rules 1 to 3 XLVIII.—Writ of Delivery. Rules 1 to 2 XLIX.—Transfers, &c. Rules 1 to 8	462—463
XI.VIII Writ of Delivery Rules 1 to 2	463
VIIV Transfers & Dules 1 to 2	169 165
T. Tuturla sutary Ordana 1 Tatarla sutary Ordana	100100
L.—Interlocutory Orders. 1. Interlocutory Orders.	466_471
Rules 1 to 15a. 2. Receivers. Rules 16 to 22.	471 479
3. Liquidatore. Rules 23 to 24	474
LI.—Sales by Court in Chancery Division. Rules 1 to 6	
2. Conveyancing Counsel. Rules 7 to 13	470-477
LII.—Motions. Rules I to 22	400 400
LII.—Motions. Rules 1 to 22	402-403
LIV.—Chambers, 1. General. Rules 1 to 10	483-480
LV.—Chambers in Chancery Division. 1. General. Rules 1 to 2	485
Rules 1 to 2	
	400-491
3. Powers and Duties of Chief Clerks. Rules 15 to 18	492_403
4. Assistance of Experts. Rule 19	493
5. Summonses in Chambers. Rules 20 to 24	404_405
6. Proceedings relating to Infants. Rules 25 to 27	405 406
7. Documents to be left at Chambers. Rules 28	400-400
7. Documents to be left at Chambers. Acres 20	496
to 31	496_497
6. Summonses to proceed. Itules 52 to 51	498
9. Summons Book. Rules 38 to 39	498—499
11. Advertisements for Creditors and Claimants.	
Pulse 44 to 61	499503
Rules 44 to 61	503—504
13. Certificates of the Chief Clerk. Rules 65 to 71	504—506
14. Further consideration. Rule 72	
14. Further consideration. Indee 12	000
15. Registering and drawing up of Orders in Chambers. Rules 73 to 75	507
LVII.—Interpleader. Rules 1 to 15.	507509
LVIII.—Appeale. Rules 1 to 19.	509518
LVIII.—Appeals. Rules 1 to 19	519
LX.—Officers. Rules 1 to 4	
LXI.—Central Office. Rules 1 to 33	514574
	519524 531534
LXII.—Chancery Registrars. Rules 1 to 18	531534
LXII.—Chancery Registrars. Rules 1 to 18 LXIII.—Vacations. Rules 1 to 16 LXIV—Time Rules 1 to 14	

SECTION IV. PAGE
Actions included in Ord. III. r. 6, classes A., B., C., D., E. and F. 592—593
SECTION V. Actions for damages for breach of contract or duty arising out of contract
SECTION VI.
Actions claiming injunctions, damages, or declarations of right founded on wrongs
SECTION VII.
Actions for recovery of land, &c

Appendix D.
Forms of Defence to be used pursuant to Ord XIX. r. 5.
SECTION I.
General form
Section II.
To actions specially assigned to the Chancery Division by sect. 34 of the Principal Act. Appendix C., Sect. II
Section IV.
To actions included in Ord. III. r. 6, Classes A., B., C., D., E. and F
SECTION V.
To actions for damages for breach of contract or duty. Appendix C., Sect. V
Section VI.
To actions claiming injunctions, damages, or declarations of right, founded upon wrongs. Appendix C., Sect. VI 600—601
SECTION VII.
To actions for recovery of land. Appendix C., Sect. VII 601
SECTION VIII.
Counter-claims
APPENDIX E.
Forms of Reply, &c. to be used pursuant to Ord. XIX. r. 5.
SECTION I. General form
SECTION II. Example of a statement of claim, defence, and reply 602—603
SECTION III.
Defence, including an objection in point of law

APPENDICES TO RULES OF SUPREME COURT—continued.

APPENDIX F.

	Forms of Judgment.	P	AGE
1.	Default of appearance and defence in case of liquidated demand		604
	Interlocutory judgment in default of appearance or defence whe demand unliquidated	re	604
3.	Judgment in default of appearance in action for recovery of land	•	604
	Judgment in default of appearance and defence after assessment damages .	of	604
5.	Judgment after appearance and order under Ord. XIV., r. 1		605
	Judgment at trial without a jury	·	605
	Judgment after trial with a jury		605
	Judgment after trial before referee		605
	Judgment after trial of questions of account by referee .		606
	Judgment upon motion for judgment		606
	Judgment after trial by Court without jury		606
	Judgment in pursuance of order		606
	Judgment on certificate of registrar of County Court .		607
	Judgment for defendant's costs on discontinuance		607
	Judgment for plaintiff's costs after confession of defence .		607
	Judgment for costs after acceptance of money paid into Court .		607
	Judgment where no judgment entered at trial by jury .		608
18.	Judgment on motion after trial of issue		608
	Appendix G.		
	PART I.		
	$Forms\ of\ Precipe.$		
1.	Fieri facias		608
2.	Elegit	•	609
	Venditioni exponas · · · · · · ·	•	609
	Fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis	•	609
5.	Sequestrari facias de bonis ecclesiasticis	•	609
	Writ of sequestration	•	609
7.	Writ of possession	•	610
8.	Writ of delivery · · · · · ·	٠	610
	Writ of attachment	•	610
11.	Distringas against ex-sheriff	•	610
12.	Inquiry · · · · · · · ·	•	610
	Certiorari · · · · · · ·	•	611
14.	Prohibition	•	611
	Mandamus	•	611
16.	Habeas corpus ad testificandum	•	611
	Commission to examine witnesses	•	612
	Commission of partition	•	612
	Amended summons	•	612
20.	Renewed summons	•	612 612
	Subpœna	•	613
	Entry of action for trial	•	613
23.	Entry of appeal	•	613
24.	Entry for argument generally	ı .	019
	36	o '	

	SECTION IV. PAGE
Actions included in Ord. III. 1	c. 6, classes A., B., C., D., E. and F. 592—593
	SECTION V.
Actions for damages for breach tract	n of contract or duty arising out of con-
	SECTION VI.
Actions claiming injunctions, on wrongs	damages, or declarations of right founded
	SECTION VII.
Actions for recovery of land, &	re. , 596
	Appendix D.
Forms of Defence to	be used pursuant to Ord XIX. r. 5.
	Section I.
General form	
	SECTION II.
To actions specially assigned t Principal Act. Appendix C.	o the Chancery Division by sect. 34 of the . , Sect. II
	SECTION IV.
To actions included in Ord. and F	III. r. 6, Classes A., B., C., D., E.
	SECTION V.
To actions for damages for br Sect. V	reach of contract or duty. Appendix C.,
	SECTION VI.
To actions claiming injunction founded upon wrongs. App	ons, damages, or declarations of right, endix C., Sect. VI 600—601
	Section VII.
To actions for recovery of land	. Appendix C., Sect. VII 601
	SECTION VIII.
Counter-claims	601
	Appendix E.
France of Donlar See 4	· ···
rorms of nepty, we.	to be used pursuant to Ord. XIX. r. 5.
General form	SECTION I.
Example of a statement of clai	SECTION II.
TAMEN OF A SPANORED OF CINI	
Dolomoo imaludina aa 150 ah	Section III.
Defence, including an objection	n in noint of law

APPENDICES TO RULES OF SUPREME COURT—continued.

APPENDIX F.

	$Forms\ of\ Judgment.$	1	AGE	
1.	1. Default of appearance and defence in case of liquidated demand . 60			
	Interlocutory judgment in default of appearance or defence whe demand unliquidated		604	
3.	Judgment in default of appearance in action for recovery of land	•	604	
	Judgment in default of appearance and defence after assessment damages	of		
ō.	Judgment after appearance and order under Ord. XIV., r. 1		605	
	Judgment at trial without a jury		605	
	Judgment after trial with a jury		605	
	Judgment after trial before referee		605	
	Judgment after trial of questions of account by referee .		606	
	Judgment upon motion for judgment		606	
	Judgment after trial by Court without jury		606	
	Judgment in pursuance of order		606	
	Judgment on certificate of registrar of County Court .		607	
14.	Judgment for defendant's costs on discontinuance		607	
	Judgment for plaintiff's costs after confession of defence .		607	
	Judgment for costs after acceptance of money paid into Court .		607	
	Judgment where no judgment entered at trial by jury .		608	
	Judgment on motion after trial of issue		608	
	Appendix G.			
	Part I.			
	Forms of Præcipe.			
1.	Fieri facias		608	
2.	Elegit		609	
	Venditioni exponas		609	
	Fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis		609	
5.	Sequestrari facias de bonis ecclesiasticis		609	
	Writ of sequestration		609	
	Writ of possession		610	
	Writ of delivery		610	
	Writ of attachment		610	
11.	Distringas against ex-sheriff		610	
12.	Inquiry		610	
13.	Certiorari	•	611	
14.	Prohibition		611	
15.	Mandamus	•	611	
16.	Habeas corpus ad testificandum		611	
17.	Commission to examine witnesses		612	
	Commission of partition		612	
	Amended summons		612	
20.	Renewed summons		612	
21.	Subpœna · · · · · · · ·	•	612	
22.	Entry of action for trial	•	613	
23.	Entry of appeal	•	613	
24.	Entry for argument generally	٠.	613	
	м.	b ·		

AP	PENDICES TO RULES OF SUPREME COUR	R T —	-con	tinued.'		
	PENDIX G.—continued.				F	AGE
	Entry of special case					613
	Memorandum of service of notice of judgment			,		613
	Search					614
28.	Memorandum on notice of judgment .					614
	Appendix H.					
	Forms of Writs.					014
	Fieri facias	•		•	•	614
	Fieri facias on order for costs	•	•		•	615 615
	Elegit	•		•	•	616
	Venditioni exponas Fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis	•	•	•	•	616
	Fieri facias to the archbishop de bonis eccles	io ati	ois	dumina	tho.	010
0.	vacancy of a bishop's see	Tabli	UIS .	dming.	PILO	617
7.	Sequestrari facias de bonis ecclesiasticis .	٠.				617
	Possession	. '				617
	Delivery					618
	Delivery					618
	Attachment		-			618
	Sequestration	. '				619
	Distringas against ex-sheriff					619
	Appendix J.					
	Forms of Subpæna, &c.					
1.	Subpœna ad testificandum (general form) .			_		620
	Habeas corpus ad testificandum	•				620
	Subpœna duces tecum (general form) .		•		•	620
	Subpœna ad testificandum at assizes	•		٠.		620
	Subpœna duces tecum at assizes					621
	Subpæna ad testificandum at sittings of High Co	urt				621
	Subpœna duces tecum at sittings of High Court					621
	Writ of inquiry for assessment of damages .	-				621
	Certiorari to County Court					622
	Certiorari (general)			٠.		622
	Prohibition		-			622
12.	Mandamus					622
13.	Commission to examine witnesses				323-	-624
	APPENDIX K.					
1.	Summons (general form)					625
	Order (general form)					625
	Summons for directions pursuant to Ord. XXX.					625
4.	Order for directions pursuant to Ord. XXX.					625
	Order for time					626
	Order under Ord. XIV., No. 1		-			626
	Order under Ord. XIV., No. 2			•		626
	Order under Ord. XIV., No. 3		•			626
	Order under Ord. XIV., No. 4					627
	Order to amend					627
11.	Order for particulars (partnership)				•	697

	PENDICES TO RULES OF SUPREME COURT—contin	ued	•		
	PENDIX K.—continued.			P	AGE
	Order for particulars (general)				627
14.	Order to discharge or vary on application by third party				628
1ō.	Order to dismiss for want of prosecution				628
16.	Order for delivery of interrogatories				628
17.	Order for affidavit as to documents				628
	Order to produce documents for inspection.				628
	Order for service out of jurisdiction				629
21.	Order for substituted service				629
	Order for renewal of writ				629
23.	Order for issue of notice claiming contribution				629
	Order of reference				630
25.	Order for examination of witnesses before arbitrator .				630
	Order for examination of witnesses and production of docu-	mer	ts		630
	Order charging stock—nisi				631
	Order charging stock—absolute	•		•	631
	Charging order. Solicitor's costs		•	•	631
	Order to remove judgment from County Court .	•		•	631
	Order for arrest (capias) under Debtors Act .		•	•	632
	Order of reference under sect. 56 of the Supreme Court of	• T-11	dina	•	002
04.	ture Act, 1873	o u	uica	,-	632
33.	Order of reference under sect. 57 of the Supreme Court of	Ju	dica		
00.	ture Act, 1873	•	4104		632
34.	Order of reference to master				633
	Order for examination of witnesses before trial .				633
	Short order for issue of commission to examine witnesses				633
	Long order for issue of commission to examine witnesses		63	3	635
	A. Order for issue of letter of request				635
	B. Request to examine witnesses	•			635
	Order for examination of judgment debtor		•	·	635
	Garnishee order (attaching debt)	•		·	636
	Garnishee order (absolute)		•	•	636
	Order on client's application to tax solicitor's bill of costs	•		•	636
			•	•	637
	Order on solicitor's application to tax bill of costs .	•		•	637
	Order to tax after action brought		•	•	638
44.	Order to try action in County Court	•		•	638
45.	Order to give security or try action in County Court .		٠	•	
46.	Order for examination touching means	•		•	638
47.	Order for payment of judgment debt by instalments .		•	•	639
48.	Order for committal of judgment debtor	•	a. •	-	639
49.	Order for committal of judgment debtor on non-payment	it o	f 17	n-	639
	stalment	•		•	
	Interpleader Order No. 1		•	•	640
	Interpleader Order No. 2	•		•	640
52.	Interpleader Order No. 3		•	•	640
	Interpleader Order No. 4	•		•	641
54.	Interpleader Order No. 5		•	•	641
55.	Interpleader Order No. 6	•		٠	641
56.	Interpleader Order No. 7		•	•	642
57.	Order dismissing summons (generally)	•		•	642
58.	Summons for entry of satisfaction on a registered bill of sal	Le	•	•	642

GENERAL INDEX

APPENDICES TO RULES OF SUPREME COURT—continued.
APPENDIX L.
Chancery Division. PAGE
1. Summons by chief clerk
2. Form of advertisement for claimants not being creditors
3. Form of advertisement for creditors
4. Notice to creditor to produce documents
5. Affidavit of executor or administrator as to claims of creditors . 644
6. Exhibit referred to in Affidavit No. 5
7. Notice to creditor of allowance of claim
8. Notice to creditor to prove his claim
9. Notice that cheques may be received
10. Certificate of chief clerk
11. Affidavit verifying accounts and answering usual inquiries as to real
and personal estate
12. Account of personal estate, being Account A. referred to in Form No. 11
13. Account of rents and profits, being the Account B. referred to in
No. 11
14. Receiver's account
15. Ordinary conditions of sale
16. Affidavit of result of sale
17. List of debts allowed 655
18. List of legacies remaining unpaid
19. List of annuities and arrears due 656
20. List of apportionments among creditors or legatees 656
21. Receiver's recognizance
22. Affidavit verifying receiver's report
23. Affidavit verifying abstract
24. Affidavit verifying engrossment of deeds
25. Originating summons
26. Request to set down cause for further consideration
27. Notice that cause has been set down for further consideration . 659
28. Form of ordering accounts and inquiries
20. 2012 of ordering according and inquiries
APPENDIX M.
Rules 1 to 18
1000-001
A 37
APPENDIX N. Costs
COSTS
Appendix O.
Repeals
ORDER AS TO FEES AND PERCENTAGES TO BE TAKEN BY STAMPS. 669-677
Order as to Supreme Court Fees, 1884 678—685
Order as to Supreme Court Fees (October), 1884 686
, ,,

. 687—723

TABLE OF CASES.

	PAGE
Α.	Ambroise v . Evelyn
PAGE	Amos v. Chadwick
A. v. B 324	Andenshaw School, Re 43
A. & B., Re	Anderson v. Bank of British Co-
Abadom v. Abadom 428	lumbia260, 382, 383, 388
Abbot, Re 55	v. Stather (9 Jur. 1085) 436
Abbott, Re (18 Beav. 393) 13	v (10 Jur. 383) 327
- (4 L. T. 576)4, 10	Anderton v . Yates
Aberaman Iron Works v. Wickens	Andrew v. Aitken
336, 518	Poshum 410
Above twith Poilmon Po	v. Raeburn
Abraya Naman Re 50	Andrews, Ex parte
Abrey v. Newman	Re
Abud r. Riches	v. Bohannon 380
Accidental Co. v. Mercati 542	v. Salt98, 372
Ackers v. Ackers 540	
Adair v. Young	Angen v. Haddon 503
Adam, Re 196	Angelo, Re
Adams, Re	Anglo-Egyptian Navigation Co.,
Adamson, Re 6	Re 548
v. Gill 494	Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies 177,
Adcock v. Peters 308	178, 331, 452, 468
Adderly v. Smith 542	Angove, Re 12
Addies' Charity (Feoffees of), Ex	Anon. (2 H. & M. 124) 372
parte	(8 W. R. 333)
Adkins v. Bliss 445, 450	(W N (1876) 210) 481
Agar-Ellis, Re 98	(W. N. (1876) 219)
	(W. N. (1876) 12) 275
	(W. N. (1876) 12) 275
Agriculturist Cattle Insurance Co.,	—— (W. N. (1876) 39' 388
Re485, 493	—— (9 Hare, App. 27) 326
Albert (Prince) v. Strange 341	— (18 Jur. 770) 326
Albezette, Re	— (1 Jur. N. S. 974) 52
Albion Steel Co., Re 280	— (3 Jur. N. S. 839) 231
Alcock, Ex parte 9	— (3 Ves. 515) 469
—— Re 12	— v. Christopher
v. Gill	v. Jolland 469
Alderson v . Elgey114, 130	Anstey v. North Woolwich Co 386
Aldred, Re 29	Appleton v. Chapel Town Paper
Aldridge v. Westbrook 548	Čo
Alexander v. Nurse 435	Apthorpe, Re 56
Allaway v. Oakley 156	Archer v. Hudson 518
Allen, Re (W. N. (1867) 11) 31	Arden, Ex parte 516
(Kay, App. 51)57, 58 (40 L. T. 456; 27 W.	(Lord), Re39, 43
(40 L. T. 456: 27 W	Armitage v. Askham 45
R. 529)53, 462	
———— Davies v. Chatwood 7	v. Coates
v. Aldridge 8	Armour v. Walker 423
v. Allen 180	Armston, Re
" Tomis 0 11 10	Armstrong, Ex parte
v. Jarvis	
v. Kennett 554	v. Armstrong 400
v. M'Pherson 341	Arnold v. Dixon
v. Norris 343	Arrowsmith, Ex parte 4
v. Taylor 436	Re
Allhusen v. Labouchere 385	Artistic Colour Co., Re 257
Allum v. Dickinson401, 510	Ashby v. Taylor 386
Alsager (Incumbent of), Ex parte 45	Ashford v. L. C. & D. Rly.
Alsop v. Oxford (Lord) 558	Co 47
Alston, Re	Ashley v . Ashley 499
	•

	PAGE
PAGE	Aut C I C
Ashley v . Taylor 337	Attorney-General v. Swansea Im-
A-111 337 246 347	provements
Ashmall v . Wood346, 347	
Ashton v. Shorrock 478	Co 517
11 0 0 000 510 540	
Ashworth v . Outram266, 510, 549	v. Vigor
Asiatic Banking Co. v. Anderson 317	v. Vigor 469
Askew v. Peddle 380	v. waru 15
11311017 0. 1 011110 1111111111111111111111	v. Whitwood
v. Woodhead34, 43, 44	
Aslatt v. Southampton (Corpora-	Local Board 391
	v. Wiltshire 305, 339
tion of)	v. Williamire 300, 300
	v. Wyville 548
azopada v azot i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1 1 1070 70 00 00
Asser v . Goetze	Attorneys Act, 1870, Re20, 22
	Attwood v. Small 334
Associated Home Co. v. Which-	1100WOOd V. Sillan 1000 Co.
cord	Atty v. Etough
Cora	200 277
Association of Land Financiers,	Atwood v. Chichester329, 377
	v. Miller 355
Re 280	U, marianoa e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Aste v . Stumore 393	Aubrey, Re 33
	Andley Hall Co., Re 17
Aston's Case 426	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Aston, Re	Austen v . Bird
ASIOH, Me	Austin v Amhurst 29
v. Hurwitz 309	Austin v. Amhurst 29
WJill 100	v. Austin 98
v. Meredith 182	J. 111000111
Atherton v. British Nation Assur-	Australian Co., Re 52
	Avery v. Andrews
ance Society 518	
Atkins, Re 352	Ayles v. Cox
Augus, 46 502	11,100 v. com
Atkinson, Re 6, 7	
and Dilamina Do 552	
and Pilgrim, Re 553	
v. Mackreth 334	
A.I. O 1 A10-1 55 50	В.
Attorney-General v. Alford 55, 56	ъ,
a Arkcoll 411	
v. Arkcoll 411	
v. Bermondsey	
(Vestry of) 334	BACHE, Re 79
(Vesity OI) 334	
v. Birmingham	Back, Ex parte 31
	v. Hay 411
(Corporation	
òf337, 378	Bacon v. Bacon
01	TO OOR
v. Carrington	— v. Turner 306
(Lord)551, 558	Badcock, Re
(1014)001, 000	Daucock, Me
v. Castleford	Baddeley v. Harding 541
T 000 1D 00md 907	10 mot 20 /10 W/7 10 607\ 98 44
LecalBoard 387	Bagot, Re (10 W. R. 607)28, 44
LecalBoard 387	Bagot, Re (10 W. R. 607)28, 44
LocalBoard 387v. Chambers 494	Bagot, Re (10 W. R. 607)28, 44 ———————————————————————————————————
LocalBoard 387 v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch	Bagot, Re (10 W. R. 607)28, 44
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co.	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co.	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557,	
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 (W. W. (1889) 43) 177
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 (W. W. (1889) 43) 177
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 (W. W. (1889) 43) 177
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	- (14 W. R. 471) 40 - v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 - (3 W. R. 31) 56 - (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 - v. Birchall 18 - v. Gundry 541
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385	- (14 W. R. 471) 40 - v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 - (3 W. R. 31) 56 - (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 - v. Birchall 18 - v. Gundry 541
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385	— — (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 — (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 — v. Birchall 18 — v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 (3 W. R. 31) 56 (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 v. Birchall 18 v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516 v. Jackson 435
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 (3 W. R. 31) 56 (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 v. Birchall 18 v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 — (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 — v. Birchall 18 — v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516 — v. Jackson 436 Bainbrigge v. Blair 472
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 — (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 — v. Birchall 18 — v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516 — v. Jackson 436 Bainbrigge v. Blair 472
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 — (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 — v. Birchall 18 — v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516 — v. Jackson 436 Bainbrigge v. Blair 472 Baines v. Wormsley 553
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	— — (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 — (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 — v. Birchall 18 — v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of), 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersel-	— (14 W. R. 471) 40 — v. Easton 334, 353 Bagshawe, Re 7 Baile v. Baile 16, 18 Bailey, Re (34 Beav. 392) 4, 14 — (3 W. R. 31) 56 — (W. N. (1869) 43) 177 — v. Birchall 18 — v. Gundry 541 Baillie, Re 51, 60, 514, 516 — v. Jackson 435 Bainbrigge v. Blair 472 Baines v. Wormsley 553 Baker, Re (32 Beav. 526) 10 — (11 W. R. 1127) 427 — v. Baker 188 — v. Holmes 327
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Marsh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Marsh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69)557, 558 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Marsh 378 v. Marsh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69)557, 568 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 382, 385 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Mareh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 42 v. Rochester 422	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69)557, 568 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 382, 385 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Mareh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 42 v. Rochester 422	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board . 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Mareh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murray 305, 339 v. Pagham Harbour Co 422 v. Rochester (Mayor of) 43, 542	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69)557, 568 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 382, 385 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Mareh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 42 v. Rochester 422	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 c. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69)557, 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board 306 v. Knight 542 v. Lewis 438 v. Lewis 438 v. Mareh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murdoch 88 v. Pagham Harbour Co 422 v. Rochester (Mayor of) 43, 542 v. Shrewsbury	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Chambers 494 v. Colney Hatch Asylum 494 v. Cooper 51, 79 v. Drapers' Co. (9 Eq. 69) 558 v. East Retford (Burgesses of) 385 v. Emerson 384, 387 v. Gaskill 382, 385 v. Gee 469 v. Hackney Local Board . 306 v. Knight 542 v. Leathersellers' Co 188 v. Lewis 438 v. Mareh 378 v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health 494 v. Murdoch 88 v. Murray 305, 339 v. Pagham Harbour Co 422 v. Rochester (Mayor of) 43, 542	——————————————————————————————————————

PAGE (PAGE
Banque Franco Egyptienne v.	Bayley v. Miles 404
Lutscher	Baylies v. Baylies 469
Barber, Re (14 M. & W. 720) 10	Bools a Dools
(1 9 . 0 . 110)	Bayly v. Bayly 341
(1 Sm. & G. 118) 59	v. Went 115
	Baynton v . Collins
——————————————————————————————————————	Beale v . Ruston 342
—— v. Blaiberg 355	Beall v. Smith
r. Mackrell 397	Dean v. Dittuii
Pondenil Cl. C. 11 TT	Beardsall v. Cheetham 9
Daild well v. Shellield Waterworks	Beattie v. Ebury (Lord) 548
_ Co 404	Beaty v . Curson
Bareham, Re 44	Beauclerk, Re
Barfield and Rush, Re 189, 455	
Borhom a Tonoman	Beaufort (Duke of) v. Lord Ash-
Barham v. Longman	burnham548, 549
Barker, Re (1 Ch. D. 43) 80	——— v. Crawshay 427
	Beaufoy, Re 34
(W. N. (1884) 237) 52	Bosson a Comportor 490
4 Homming 550	Beavan v. Carpenter 430
v. Hemming 553	Beck, Re 150
v. Peile 56	Becke, Re
v. Fiele	Beckett v. Attwood 510
Barnaby v. Tassell 402	
Barnard, Ex parte57, 58	
Darmard, Ex parte	v. Sutton
——— Re7, 8	Beckingham v . Owen 331
v. Weiland 396	Beckitt v. Bilbrough 271
Barned's Banking Co 385	Bedborough v. Army Co 268
Barnes, Re (Seton, 542) 80	Daddall Mailland
/5 T TT 505	Beddall v. Maitland355, 370
(5 L. T. 587) 433	Beddgelert Ry., Re 168
v. Addy 334	Beddoes, Ex parte 40
Barrett, Ex parte	Beddow v. Beddow 468
——— Re 491	
	/
v. Buck 397	Beetlestone, Re 550
v. Hammond 189	Befford, Re 59
Barrington, Re 103	Begg v. Cooper 330
Barrow, Re	Belaney v . Ffrench
Barrs-Haden, Re 152	
D To-1	
Barrs v. Fewkes 517	Belfast Water Commissioners, Re 29
Barry Rail. Co., Re 50	Bell v. Cade372, 404, 405
Barter v. Dubeux 351	v. Dadelszen 349
Bartholomew, Re 56	v. Kilmorey (Earl of) 305
Bartholomew's Hospital (Go-	425 474
Darmoiomew B Hospital (CO-	v. Turner
vernors of), Ex parte. 39	v. Vincent 316
v. Freeman 467	Bellamy, Re 123
Bartlett, Re 475	v. Brickenden 399
v. Bartlett 86	Bellchamber v. Giani 479
v. Wood 540	Bellew v. Bellew 499
TD 41 TD 41	
Bartley v. Bartley 391	Belton, Ex parte 9
Barton, Ex parte 13	Benand, Re
v. Barton	Benbow v. Low 359, 385, 392
Bartrum, Re	Bendyshe, Re
Barwell v. Brooks	
	Bennett, Ex parte 52
Basnett v. Moxon 78	——— Re (8 Beav. 467) 13
Bateman v. Cook 435	(18 Jur. 33) 476 v. Baxter 400
Bateman's Estate, Re 32	v. Baxter 400
Bates v. Burchell 350	v. Hill 7
	v. Lytton 101 29. Moore 396
- v. Christ's College, Cam-	v. Lytton 101
bridge (Master of) 383	——— v. Moore 396
v. Eley 399	Bentley v . Craven
Bath River Co. v. Willis 35	Berdan v. Birmingham Small Arms
D. 11 17	
Batley v. Kynock 548, 555	Co 516
Battel (Dean of), Ex parte 34	v. Greenwood 423
Battell, Re	Berkeley v. Standard Discount
Batten v. Wedgwood Coal Co 553	Co385, 386
	Berkeley's Will (Earl of), Re 33
Battersby, Re (10 Ch. D. 228) 59 (16 Jur. 900) 81	
(16 Jur. 900) 81	Berkley, Re 81
Batthyany v. Walford 371	Berrie v. Howitt
Batty, Re 30	Berry, Re 57
Baugham, Ex parte 59	v. Exchange Trading Co 478
Domina D.	v. Gibbons
Baxter, Re 74	ν. στουυμε 4/0
	TD / TD.
Bayley, Re	Besant, Re

PAGE	PAGE
Besemeres v . Besemeres 428	Blakesly, Re8
Bessant v. Noble 346	Blaksley, Re
Bethell v. Abraham 491	Blanchard, Re79, 80, 83, 84
—— v. Casson 388, 389, 390	Blanchard, Re
Bethlem Hospital, Re 40	$+$ Bland v v De Burgh $\cdots +$
Betts v. Cleaver	Blaxland v. Blaxland 476
v. Clifford	Blease, Ex parte 512
v. De Vitre	Blenkinsopp v. Blenkinsopp 322
v. Doughty 378	Bligh, Re 339
v. Menzies	
v. Menzies	Blinston v. Warburton 402
v. Thompson	Bliss v. Putman 346
Betty v . L. C. and D. Ry 47	Blomefield, Re 29
Bevan, Re	Bloomar, Re
and Whitting, Re 9	Diomar, Ae
Bewicke v. Graham 388	Blount, Re 308
Bianca, The	Bloxam v. Whipham 506
Bicester (Churchwardens of), Ex	Bloye, Re55, 57
parte 31	Blunt, Re
Bicknell v. Bicknell 337	v. Clitherow 469
Bidder v. Bridges423, 434	- v. Heslop
Biddle, Re 88	Blyth, Re40, 550
Biddulph, Re 54	and Young, Re 108, 510, 512,
v. Lord Camovs 326	514, 516
	v. Green 327
Bierton, Re 79	Boden, Re 71
Biggs, Re	Boddington v. Woodley 341
v. Peacock	Boddy v. Wall 379
Bignold, Re6, 10, 12, 79, 80, 83	Boehm v. Wood 469
Bigsby v. Dickinson253, 511, 515,	Bogg v. Midland Rly37, 372
549	Bolckow v. Fisher 382, 385
Billing v. Coppock	Bolivia (Republic of) v. National
	Bolivian Navigation Co401, 444
Bingham v. Hallam 6	
Bingley v. Marshall	
Binns v. Hey	Re
Birch, Re	v. Bolton
—— v. Birch	v. Corporation of Liverpool 383
v. Williams418, 541	v. London School Board 106, 468
Birchall v. Pugin	
Bird, Re 58	Bond v . Barnes
v. Bird	— v. Freke 113
v. Heath	Bonelli, Re
Birkett, Re52, 56	Bonfield v. Grant 326
Birkenhead Docks (Trustees of) v.	Bonnardet v. Taylor 391
Laird	Bonnewell v. Jenkins 418
Birmingham and Lichfield Ry.,	Bonser v. Bradshaw
Re	Booth v. Booth 549
Estates Co. v. Smith, 355,	—— v. Briscos 333
363	—— v. Smith
———— (Mayor of) v. Allen 421	v. Trail
	Doruter v. Durrett 411
————— Waste Co. v. Lane. 406	Borneman v . Wilson 351 Borough v . James 349
	Borough v. James 349
_ Dudley_Ry 48	Borthwick v. Ransford 332, 491
Bishop, Ex parte	Boswell v . Coaks
v. Bishop 369	Bothomley v. Squire 537
Bishop's Waltham Rly. Co., Re . 178	Bouch v. Sevenoaks Rly 456
Bissicks v. Bath Colliery Co 449	Boughton, Re, Boughton v. Bough-
Blackburn Union v . Brooks 422	_ ton 17
Blackford v. Davis 398, 399	Boulton, Re
Blackie v. Osmaston 357	Bourke, Re 73, 83
Blackmore, Re	Bourne v. Buckton 518
——— v. Howett 327	v. Coulter 379
Blagrave v. Routh	Bouverie, Ex parte
Blain, Ex parte	Bovill v. Cowan 388, 389
Blair, Ex parte 7	Bowden v. Russell 188
v. Massev	Bowen, Re
Blake v. Albion Assurance Society 360	v. Pearson 389
v. Blake 566	v. Price
Blakeney v. Dufaur	Bower, Re
g	

PAGE	PAGE
Bower v. Cooper	Brice v. Bannister 259
Bowes Re	Bridges, Re 280
v. Bute (Marquis of) 183	Bridgewater v. De Winton 384
v. Ferniè	Bridgman, Re 79
Bowmer, Re	Bridson v. Smith
Bowra v. Wright 78	Brier, Re
Bowyer v. Marshall 347	Bright v. Tyndall
Box, Re 103 Boyce, Re (12 W. R. 359; 4 De G.	Brigstocke v. Roch
J. & S. 205) 65, 66, 80	Briscoe, Re 41 Bristed v. Wilkins 459
(15 W. R. 827) 369	
Boycott, Re 80	Bristol Free Grammar School, Re. 30 ——— (Mayor of) v. Cox 382
Boyd, Re 463	and North Somerset Ry.
v. Allen 180 181	Co., Re
v. Petrie	Bristow v. Booth
Boyle, <i>Re</i> 13	v. Whitmore 372
Boynton v . Boynton 351	Bristowe v. Needham 469
Boyee, Re , Crofton v. Crofton 423	British Farmers' Co., Re 511
v. Colclough 440	— Imperial Corporation, Re 321,
Bozon v , Bolland	322
Brace v. Taylor 271	Dynamite Co. v. Krebs 380
Bracey, Re	Waggon Co. ν. Lea 259
Brackenbury, Re80, 81, 88	Brittlebank v. Smith 435
Bradberry v. Brooke	Broadhurst v. Willey 367
Bradford, Re	Broadwood, Re (1 Ch. D. 438) 30
v. Young252, 264, 517	B (8 L. T. 632) 61
Bradley v. Munton	Brocas v. Lloyd
	Brocklesby, Re 54 Broder v. Saillard 268
& G. 900) 64, 73	Broke (Lord), Exparte 39
	Bromley, Re 39
v. Fane 41	v. Williams 335
Bradv. Re	Brompton Waterworks, Ex parte. 50
Braginton v. Yates 268	Brook, Re
Brailey, Re 42	Brooke v. Bockett 4
Braithwaite. Re 40	v. Brooke 435
v. Kearns 428	v. Todd 353
Bramble, Exparte, Re Toleman and	v. Wigg 411
England 17	Brookfield v. Bradley 380
Brampton Ry. Co., Re 50	Brooking, Re
Brancker, Re 78	Brown, Re (4 Eq. 464)11, 558
Brandon, Re	(29 Beav. 401) 404
v. Brandon (1 Dr. & Sm.	Word # Worse 540
	(29 Beav. 401) 404 — (Ethel) 98, 260 — Ward v. Morse 540 — and Sibly 108 — v. Dawson 342
305; 9Jur.	and Story
N. S. 11) 34,	
37, 41	l —— — «» Kenworck 20
(11 Jur. N.S.	v. (fellativ 548
`30) 41	v. ∟ee 386
Brandram, Re 485	v. Oakshot 475
Branford v . Branford	v. Pearson 396
Branmer, Re 43	v. Rve 271
Branson, Ex parte 6	
Branwhite, Ex parte 280	
Brasnett's Case, Re 492	
Brass, Re	v. weathernead 338
Brassington v. Cussons	Brown's Will, Re143, 149
Braund v. Earl of Devon	Browne, Re
Re	TO '/ TO' 1
Breeze v. English	Brunt, Re 80
Brent, Re	Bryan, Re 456
Brentnall, Re	Bryant, Re 61
Brewer, Ex parte 28	
Re 26	Bryson v. Warwick and Birming-
v. Yorke 517	ham Canal Co 426
Brewster v. Thorpe 327	Bnck, Ex parte 45

PAGE	PAGE
Buck v. Robson	Byron, Re (1 De G. J. & S. 358) 39
Buckingham, Re	
	(31 W. R. 517) ····· 144
Buckinghamshire Rlv., Re 29	(W. N. (1883), 67) 30
Buckley, Re (17 Beav. 110; 17	Byron's Settled Estates, Re 39
Jur. 478) 92	
(Johns. 700) 57 v. Cooke	~
v. Cooke	С.
Bucks Rly., Re 41	Cabburn, Re, Gage v. Rutland 540
Buckton v. Higgs	Cadman v. Cadman 402
Budding v. Murdoch 377 Budge v. Budge 374	Caillard v. Caillard 469
Budge v. Budge	Caister v. Chapman 349
Bugden v. South 383	Caldwell v. Pagham Harbour Co. 305,
Buist v. Bridge 455	378
Bulkeley v. Earl of Eglinton 80, 88	Calne Valley Co., Re
v. Hope	Calton, Re 31, 486
Bulley v. Bulley 18	Calvert v. Davidson 344
Bullock v. Corry 383	v. Godfrey 497
Bunn, Ex parte 493	Cambrian Rly., Re 170, 171, 176
v. Bunn 383	Cambridge (Corporation of), Ex
Burbridge v. Robinson 388	parte
Burchell v. Giles 379, 553, 556	Cameron's Coalbrook Co., Re. 383, 388
Burdick v. Garrick	Camille v. Donati 549
Burgoine v. Moordaff 411	Campana v. Webb 438
	Campbell, Re (3 De G. M. & G.
Burke, Re 57	585)4. 10
v. Hutchinson 542 v. Rooney 374	(19 W. R. 427) 15 (31 Beav. 176; 8 Jur.
v. Rooney 374	(31 Beav. 176; 8 Jur.
Burkinshaw v. Wilson 566	N. S. 1199) 81
Burlinson v. Hall 259	v. Andrews
Burnell, Re	# Holwland 351 478 552
v. Burnell 183, 396	
Burns v. Irving	Camps v. Marshall 327
Burr v. Hubbard 393	Canadian Oilworks v. Hay 538
Burrard v . Calisher	Candy v. Maughan 508
Burrell v. Maxwell 87	Cane v . Martin
Burroughs, Re 108	Cann, Re 28
Burry Port Co. v. Bowser 341	Cannon v. Johnson 183
Bursill v. Tanner 193, 200, 330	Cannot v. Morgan 464
Burstall v. Beyfus 334, 353, 371	Cant, Ex parte
v. Bryant	Canterbury (Archbishop of), Ex
Burt, Re 77, 89	parte
	Capes v. Brewer
Burton v. Roberts 456	Capital Fire Insurance Co., Re 17
Bush, Re 4, 5	Capper, Re 407
v. Martin 7	Cardell v. Hawke 501
Bustros v . Bustros 322, 327	Carden, Ex parte
v. White 382, 388, 510	Cardinall v. Cardinall 263
Butcher v. Pooler 266	Cardross, Re
Bute (Marquis of), Re 69 Butler's Will, Re 30	Carew, Re
Butler, Re 267	Carmarthen Rly., Ex parte 48
v. Butler 335, 350	Carney, Re
— v. Gardener 341, 478	Carpenter, Re 77, 89.
Butlin v. Arnold	v. Churchill (Lord) 89
Butterfield, Re	Carpmael v. Proffitt 40, 44
v. Mott 491	Carr v. Morice
Byam v. Byam 372	Carta Para Mining Co., Re 542
v. Sutton 345	Carter v. Carter
Bye v. Kirby 330, 544	v. Sebright
Byng v. Clark	v. Stubbs 374, 538
Byrch, Re	Cartwright, Re
Byrne, Re 79	Carver v. Pinto Leite 392
Byrom, Re 41, 42, 43	Case v. Midland Rly. Co
Tom, 100 100000000000000000000000000000000	

PAGE		AGE
Casey v. Arnott	Chilton v. Carrington	463
Cash v. Parker 351	v. London (Corporation of)	396
Cashin v. Craddock 387	China (Imperial Bank of) v. Bank	
v. Cradock	of Hindustan	542
Cass v. Fitzgerald 389	China Steamship Co. v. Marine	
Cassiopeia, The316, 378		165
Cast at Porror	Insurance Co	
Cast v. Poyser	Cholmondeley v. Clinton	17
Catter, Ate	Chorlton v. Dickie358,	
Catholio Publishing Co. v. Wy-	Christ (Coll. of) v. Martin	261
man . 497 l	Christ's Hospital, Ex parte Go-	
Catlin, Re (18 Beav. 512)8, 484,	vernors of (20 Eq. 605)	41
549, 558 1	Christ's Hospital. Ex parte Go-	
(23 Beav. 412) 13	Christ's Hospital, Ex parte Governors of (27 W. R. 458)	39^
Catlow v. Catlow	Christ's Hospital Fr. nanta Go	•
Cator v. Croydon Canal Co 33	Christ's Hospital, Ex parte Governors of (2 H. & M. 166)	20
Cottlin Pa	Chairly Transital (C. M. 100)	39
Cattlin, Re	Christ's Hospital (Governors of),	
Catton v. Bennett348, 350	Re	40
Cavander, Re 512	Christchurch (Dean of), Ex parte	
Cave, Ex parte 45	(23 L. J., Ch. 149)	34
v. Cave 464	Christchurch (Dean of), Ex parte	
Cawdross (Lord), Ex parte 4	(W. N. (1872) 201)	39
Cawley and Whatley, Re 12	Christchurch (Dean of) v. East	
Cawthorne, Re54, 55	and West Junction Rly	172
Cazneau, Re		
Cool a Topodon	Christ Church, Ex parte	39
Cecil v. Langdon 118	Christie v . Cameron318,	
Central African Co. v. Grove 356	v. Christie	360
Central News Co. v. Eastern Tele-	v. Ovington	107
graph Co 424	Christy, Ex parte	9
Cercle Co. v. Lavery 257	Chubh v. Carter	470
Chaffers v. Baker 327	——v. Pettipher	182
v. Headlam346, 347	Chuck v. Cremer	
Chalmers v. Laurie 344	Church v. Perry	386
Chamberlain, Ex parte30, 37	Churchill v. Bank of England	459
	Churton v. Frewen (15 W. R. 559;	100
	W. N. (1867) 101)	548
Chambers, Re 8	v. Frewin (2 Dr. & Sm.	010
v. Kingham 258		201
	390) 383, Clack v. Wood	511
Character States 4 5	Claust De	011
Champ v. Stokes 4, 5	Clagett, Re	010
Champernowne v. Brooke 380	Clapham v. Andrews	110
Champion v. Formby 371	Clarbrough v. Toothill	
Champneys v. Burland177, 178	Clark, Ex parte	
Chaplin, Re	Re	_ 9
Chapman, Re 551	v. Callow	359
	v. Clark	541
v. Real Property Trust 267,	v. Cullen	448
464	# Ferensson	541
Chappell v. Davidson 468	v. Gill	426
Chard v. Jervis 512	v. Malpas	549
Charlton v. Allen 513	v. School Board for Lon-	
v Charlton 18 557	don	47
v. Charlton18, 557 v. Coombes383 v. West326	v. Ward77	84
- # West 326	v. Waters	397
Champs a Dioleomina 549	v. Woodward	
Charras v. Pickering	Olamba Da 42 00	231
Chatfield v. Sedgwick 271	Clarke, Re	212
Chatterton v. Watney 456	v. Bradlaugh311,	313
Chauncey, Re	v. Clarke	378
Chauntler v. Robinson 36	v. Cookson411,	412
Chaytor, Re141, 144, 543	v. Jacques	478
Cheltenham Wagon Co., Re 188	v. Law	427
Chennell, Re 266, 415, 511, 512,	— v. Lord Rivers	274
546	v Roche	516
Cherry's Estate, Re 39	- v. Skipper	411
Cheshunt College, Re29, 51	Clarkson v. Parker	5
Chesterfield v. Black378, 386	Claxton, Ex parte	338
	Clay, Re	510
Child, Ex parte	Clarden a Final	910
v. Douglas 378	Claydon v. Finch	
v. Stenning 354, 353	Claypole (Rector of), Ex parte	29
,		

PAGE	PAGE
Clayton v. Clarke 338	Colyer v. Colyer (9 W. R. 452) 388
Clegg v. Edmondson 382, 383	(10 W. R. 748)503,
v. Rowland102, 343, 499	518
Clement v. Griffith	(11 W. R. 355) 344
Clements, Re	v. Finch
- v . Beresford	Commercial v. Poynton
	Commissioners of Sewers v. Gel-
	latly272, 336
Clennell v. Clennell	v. Glasse 383
Clergy Corporation, Re 105	Compagnie Financière v. Peruvian
Cleveland's (Duke of) Harte Es-	
tates, Re	
Cliffe v. Wilkinson 542	Compagnie du Pacifique v. Guano
Clifford v. Budds 330	Co 393
v. Turrill 17	Compton v. Preston 353
Clinch v. Financial Corporation 389	Conacher v. Conacher 480
Clinton, Re	Congreve, Re
Jackson v. Slanev 85	Conn v. Garland 454
v. Clinton 454	Conolan v . Leyland
Clissold, <i>Re</i> 81	Constantine, The 516
Clitheroe's Trusts, Re 29	Contract Corporation, Re, Gooch's
Clough, Re 220, 380	Caro 385
Clover v. Adams	v. Tottenham
v. Wilts Building Society 332	Ry 168
Clowes v. Hilliard	Conybeare, Re
Clutton, Ex parte	
Cobbett v. Lewin	Conyer's School, Re
Cobbold v. Pryke	Cook, Re
	v. Broomhead 541
	v. Collingridge 399
Cockayne v. Harrison 18	
Cockburn v. Edwards 540	v. Dey
v. Peel	v. Enchmarch 354
v. Raphael 472	v. Gillard 5
Cockerell v. Cholmeley	— v. Heynes 396
Cockle v. Joyce 417	v. Rosslyn (Earl of) 13
Cockshott v. London Cab Co 417	Cooke v. Turner
Cocq v. Hunasgeria Coffee Co 464	v. Wilby 435
Coddington v . Jacksonville Ry 467	Cooke's Contract, Re 108
Codrington, Re	Cookes v. Cookes 398
Coe, Re 56	Cookson v. Bingham 402
Cofield, Ex parte 31	Coombes v . Brookes 80
Cohen v. Hale $\dots 456$	Cooper, Ex parte
v. Waley 369	and Allen, Re
Cole, Ex parte	v. Cooper 518
—— Re 9	l v. Ince Hall Co. 467
Colebourne v. Colebourne, 306, 468, 469	1 # Jones 76
Colebroke v. Jones 541	1 — v. L. C. & D. R.v. Co. 38
Colegrave v . Manley 17	v. Macdonald 80
Coleman v. Mellersh 398	v. Moore 435
v. West Hartlepool Co188,	— v Pitcher 552
391	# Vogov 295 510
Coles v . Benbow	v. Whittingham 540
- v. Civil Service Association 348,	Coore, Re
350	Coorg (Rajah of) v. East India Co. 382
Collander v. Hawkins 371	Cope v. Russell
Collard v. Roe	Corplor For monta
Collette v. Goods358, 378	Copley, Ex parte
	v. Jackson362, 544
Collingwood, Re	Copp, Re 274
Collins, Charity, Re	Coppard v. Allen
Collins, Ex parte	Corbett v. Corbett
v. Paddington Vestry 515, 516	— ν. Lewin 463
Collinson, Re	Corcoran v. Witt 478
v. Ballard 397	Cork (Earl of) v. Russell 177
v. Collinson85, 89	Cormack v. Beisley
Collis's Estate, Re	Corpus Christi College, Ex parte 39
Collyer v. Isaacs 550	Corsellis, Re
Colman v. Sarell 380	Corser v. Jones 463
Colquhoun, Re	Costa Rica (Republic of) v. Er-
Colson, Re 59	langer385, 541, 542
Colyer, Re 81	v. Strousberg 387, 452
• •	

PAGE	PAGE
Cotter v. Metropolitan Rly 47	Crowe, Re (13 Eq. 26) 64, 74, 78, 91
Cotterell v. Stratton 266, 540	(74 Ob TO 004)
	———— (I4 Ch. D. 304) 75
Cotterill, Re 81	————— (14 Ch. D. 610)66, 70, 81
Coulson, Re (17 L. T. 27) 32	v. Barnicot 363, 378
	Crowle v . Russell
Course v Humphron 549	Charalin Co. Re.
Course v. Humphrey 548	Crumlin Co., Re 280
Courtney v. Stock 541	Crump v. Baker 399
Courtois, Re 58	v. Cavendish 331
Courts of Justice Commissioners.	Culbertson v . Wood
Re 44	Crill Da 54
Correnter (Treations of) D. 50	Cull, Re 54
Coventry (Justices of), Re 59	Cuming, Re
Coveny v. Athill	Cumming, Re
Covington, Re 55	Cummins v. Fletcher 115
Cowan, Re 456	v. Herron 515
Coward, Re	
Combridge Poilman D. 177	Cunard, Re 81
Cowbridge Railway, Re 177	Curd v. Curd
Cowdell, <i>Re</i>	Curlewis v. Whidborne 463
v. Neale 4	Curling v. Austin 397
Cowley (Earl) v . Wellesley 402	Currie, Re 12, 13, 67, 73, 81
Cox v. Barker 334	Curtis v. Sheffield 352, 372, 516
# Cor	
— v. Cox	Curtius v. Caledonian Insurance
—— v. Foley 271	Co 346
# Stophone 345 346	Curwen, Re 30
- v. Taylor	Cust, Re 77
Coyle v. Cumming 360	
Chapters Ps	Cuthbert v. Wharmby 500
Crabtree, Re	Cutler, Re 57
Cracknall v. Janson 437, 511, 512,	
553	
Cradock v. Owen 506	
Cragg v. Taylor	D.
Chair Dilli-	υ.
Craig v. Phillips	
Cramer, Ex parte 345	
— v. Cramer 91	D'Adhemar (Viscountess) v. Ber-
Crane, Re	trond on or
- 4 Julion 317	trand
v. Jullion	Daintree v . Haynes 342
v. Jullion	Daintree v . Haynes
v. Kilpin 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Jultion	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
v. Julion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
v. Julion 317 v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Julion 317 v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co 549	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co 549	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Julion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 6 De G. M. & G. 201;
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftus 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 57 — (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077)
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Exparte 42	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dallow v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 6 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Exparte 42	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dallow v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 6 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 — (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 — v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 — Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Exparte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - V. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Exparte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 6 Jur. 253) 57 — (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - V. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Exparte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftins 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re. 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Creswell, Re. 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 — (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39
	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 — (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Exparte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Danbuz v. Laxington 309 330
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Crofts v. Collingwood 396 Crofts v. Collingwood 396 Crofts v. Middleton 423	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Danbuz v. Laxington 309 330
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Crofts v. Collingwood 396 Crofts v. Collingwood 396 Crofts v. Middleton 423	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Crofton, Re 59 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dallow, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 365 Danford v. McAnulty 365 361 Danger v. Nelson 408 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 51 Darby v. Darby 404 49 Darvy v. Whittaker 351 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport v. King 18 Davenport v. King 18 Davengort v. Stafford 380, 531
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Creswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crickton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Crofker, Re 29	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dallow, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 365 Danford v. McAnulty 365 361 Danger v. Nelson 408 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 51 Darby v. Darby 404 49 Darvy v. Whittaker 351 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport v. King 18 Davenport v. King 18 Davengort v. Stafford 380, 531
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftins 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dallow v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 6 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport v. King 180 — v. Stafford 380, 531 Davenport's Charity, Re 86
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Crookes v. Whitworth 186	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Exparte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport's Charity, Re 31 Davenport's Charity, Re 36 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413
- v. Jullion 317 - v. Kilpin 504 - v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 - v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 - Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Creswell, Re 52 - v. Byron 17 - v. Parker 320 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Croker, Re 29 Crompton v. Huber 505 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darby 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenport v. King 180 v. Stafford 380, 531 Davenport v. King 180 v. Stafford 380, 531 Davenport v. Ke 413 v. Durrant 424
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Crooker, Re 29 Crompton v. Huber 505 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Darby v. Darby 369 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport v. King 180 — v. Stafford 380, 531 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413 — v. Durrant 424 — v. Miller 65
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 52 v. Hyron 451 Creswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Croker, Re 29 Crompton v. Huber 505 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517 Crosland v. Routledge 367	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Darby v. Darby 369 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Dashwood, Ex parte 39 Daubney v. Leake 499 — v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport v. King 180 — v. Stafford 380, 531 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413 — v. Durrant 424 — v. Miller 65
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftins 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re. 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Creswell, Re. 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517 Crosland v. Routledge 367 Cross, Re. 510	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Daubney v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413 v. Miller 65 David, Re 66 David, Re 66
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517 Crossand v. Routledge 367 Cross, Re . 510 v. Maltby 506	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Daubney v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413 v. Miller 65 David, Re 66 David, Re 66
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundense v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croftor, Re 69 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Croftor v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Croker, Re 29 Crompton v. Huber 505 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517 Crossland v. Routledge 367 Cross, Re 506 Crossley v. Stewart 386, 392	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darby 390 Darwin v. Darby 390 Davenport v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenport's Charity, Re 31 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413 v. Durrant 424 v. Miller 65 David, Re 6 v. Frowd 499 v. Howe 271
v. Jullion 317 v. Kilpin 504 v. Loftns 351 Crause v. Cooper 54 Craven, Ex parte 29 Crawcour v. Salter 510 Crawford v. Chorley 395 v. Hornsea Brick Co. 549 Steam Co. 514 Craycraft, Re 449 Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede 508 Creech, St. Michael (Vicar of), Ex parte 42 Cremetti v. Crom 451 Cresswell, Re 52 v. Byron 17 v. Parker 320 Crichton, Re 59 Crick v. Hewlett 413, 414 Cripps v. Wood 116 Crispin v. Cumano 454 Croft v. Collingwood 396 Crofton v. Crofton, Re Boyse 423 Crofts v. Middleton 423 Croggan v. Allen 540 Crookes v. Whitworth 186 Cropper v. Smith 517 Crossand v. Routledge 367 Cross, Re . 510 v. Maltby 506	Daintree v. Haynes 342 Dakins v. Garratt 546 Dalby, Re 6 Dale v. Hamilton 475 Dalgleish, Re 66, 70, 82 Dallas v. Glyn 455 Dallow v. Garrold 18 Dally v. Worhan 386 Dalton, Re (1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253) 57 (6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077) 96 Danford v. McAnulty 365 Danger v. Nelson 408 Dann v. Simmins 514 Darby v. Darby 404 Darcy v. Whittaker 351 Dartmouth Railway, Re 50 Darwin v. Darwin 369 Daubney v. Leake 499 v. Shuttleworth 566 Daubuz v. Lavington 309, 330 Davenant's Charity, Re 31 Davenport's Charity, Re 86 Davey, Re 413 v. Miller 65 David, Re 66 David, Re 66

DACE.	PAGE
Davies, Ex parte (16 Jur. 882) 88,	Dennis, Re (12 W.R. 575; 3 N.R.
544	636)
Re (3 M. & G. 278) 89	(5 Jur. N. S. 1358) 102 v. Crompton 354
(9 W. R. 134) 103	v. Morris 464
	v. Seymour 331
v. Chatwood, Re Allen 7, 548	Densem v. Elworthy 335
v. Marshall (No. 2) 549, 551 v. Nixon 341	Dent v. Basham 9 — v. Dent 454
v. Otty 428	v. Sovereign Life Assurance
v. Stevens 330	Co 411
	Derbishire v. Home
Davis, Re (8 Eq. 98)	Derby Municipal Estates, Re 28 Derriman, Re 39
(12 Eq. 214)64, 81 ————————————————————————————————————	Desborough v. Harris 55
Jur. N. S. 1029) 29	Devaynes v. Robinson 334, 335, 345
v. Andrews 451 v. Barrett 469	De Visme, Re
a Chanter 79	175
	De Voy v. De Voy 91
n links of Warlborones 469	Dewdney, Ex parte 4 De Windt v. De Windt 372
v. Dysart (Earl of) (20 Beav. 413) 372	De Windt v. De Windt 372 De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon 469
(2İ	Diamond Fuel Co., Re 517
Beav. 124)	Dick v. Munden 541
v. Flagstaff Co 275 v. James	Dicken v. Hamer
v. Morris 324, 338, 448	Dickin v. Dickin
— v. Spence 331	Diokinson, Re
Davis's Estate, Re 28	Dicks v. Brooks
Davy v. Garrett355, 356, 360, 510 	— v. Yates
Daw v. Eley 188, 383	11, 13
Dawkins v. Morton 506	
Dawson, Re (28 Beav. 605)11, 13	
(6 N. R. 346) 65 (3 N. R. 397) 78, 80, 81,	/1 Sim N S 24\ 55 56
85	
v. Beeson	Dier v. Martin, Re Martin 506
	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Dav. Re 462	Dinning v. Henderson 41, 504
v. Batty	Disney, Re 57
v. Browning 468 v. Croft 472	Ditton, Ex parte, Re Woods 4 Divers, Re28, 39, 45
v. Radcliffe 337	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
v. Whittaker	Dixie v . Wright
Dean v. Thwaite	Dixon, Re
Deanes v. Kitchin	_ a Morlon 50
Dear v. Sworder 364	v. Wilkinson 472 v. Wrench 459
Dearden, Re	v. Wrench 459
De Bay v . Griffin	v. Wyatt
De Brito v. Hillel 441	13
D'Epineuil, Re 280	Docwra, Re, Docwra v. Faith 498
Deere, Re	Dodd, Re (W. N. (1871), 83) 40 ————————————————————————————————————
De Hart v. Stevenson 336	Dodds v. Gronow
D'Hormusgee v . Grey 333, 541	—— v. Shepherd 509
De la Rue v. Dickinson 392	v. Tuke
De la Touche, Re	Dodkin v. Brunt
De Montbrun v . Hirsch 318	575; 9 W. R.
Dempsey v. Dempsey 476	887) 101
Dence v. Mason	Dodsworth, Re
Denison v. Hardings 318	Dodsworth, Re
Denning v. Henderson 475	Bury & Rosendale Rly. Co 36
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

_ PAGE	PAGE
Doggett v. Eastern Counties Rly. 24	
Dolman Pa	Earl, Re
Dolman, Re 5	Earle v . Sidebottom
Dolphin v. Layton 456	and Webster, Re 167
Domville v. Lamb	Earp v. Lloyd 386
Doodson v. Turner 189	East, Re (2 W. R. 111) 42
Doody v. Higgins 344	(0 (0, 707)
Donalog a Applicate	(8 Ch. 735) 80, 83, 84
Douglas v. Archbutt 440	East Lancashire Rly. Co. v. Hat-
v. L. & North Western	tersley
RIV. Co 36	- and West Junction Bly Co.
Douthwaite v. Spensley 506	D ₀ 171 172
Dove Re 500	Re 171, 173 Eastern Counties Rly. Co., Ex
Dove, 118	Eastern Counties Rly. Co., Ex
Dove, Re	parte 48
418	ν . Tufnell 45
Dowling, Re	Eastwood v. Clark
v. Dowling 379	Eaton v. Storer 369, 374, 538
v. Dowling 372 v. Hudson 469	
Domes P. Hudson 409	Ebersley's Co., Re
Downes, Re 10, 12, 14	Ebrard v. Gassier 541
Downing College Case 559	Eccles v. Liverpool Borough Bank 479
Doyle v . Kaufman 316, 538	Ecclesiastical Commissioners, Ex
Drake Re (22 Beay 438) 11 12	manta /19 TV TD 575\ 49
	parte (13 W. R. 575) 43
(8 Beav. 123) 13	(39 L. J., Ch. 623) 40
v. Drake 518	(39 L. J., Ch. 623) 40 (W. N. (1873), 173) 39
—— v. Symes 337	Eckersley v. Eckersley 380
	Eden v. Naish
Draper, Re (2 W. R. 440) 81	
(0 W/ D 005) 77 70	v. Thompson 41, 42, 44
(5 W.16. 805)(1, 18	v. Weardale 348, 356
(9 W. R. 805)77, 78 v. Manchester, &c., Rly.	Edgington v. Fitzmaurice 543
Co 391	Edmonds v. Foley 388
Drapers' Co. v. McCann 108	Edmunds, Re 15, 40, 43
Drennan v. Andrew 341	Edward Sixth's Almshouse, Re 30
Dresser v. Morton 341	
Duescon v. Mondalan 470	
Drever v. Maudsley	Edwards, Ex parte
Drevon v . Drevon	Re 54, 189, 339
Drew, Re 13	
v. Clifford 5	
Drewe, Re 81	v. Harvey 232
Drewery, Re 57	
	v. Lowther
Drinkwater v . Ratcliffe 180, 181	v. Lowther
Driver, Re 66, 79	
Dryden v. F oster	Egerton v . Anderson 363
v. Frost	Eggington v . Cumberlege 4
Duce, Re 65, 80	Eglington (Lord) v. Lamb 388
Duckett v. Gover 333	Egremont (Lord), Re 32
Dudley, Re	Elderton, Re 98
Dufaur v. Sigel 540	Eldridge, <i>Re</i> 6
Duffield v . Elwes	v. Burgess 351, 417
Dummer, Re	Electric Telegraph Co. v. Nott 439
Dunkirk Colliery Co. v. Lever 421	Elgar, Re 55
Dunn v. Dunn	Ellerthorpe, Re 66, 68
— v. Hales 4	Ellice v. Roupell
Dunraven Co., Re	Elliot, Re 55
Dunt v. Dunt	Ellis, Re 64, 66, 75, 82
Dupuy v . Welsford	v. Robins 433
Durrant v. Ricketts 330	Ellison, Ex parte 30
Dyke v. Cannell 268	Re (8 De G. M. & G. 62). 39
	(2 Jur. N. S. 62) 81, 82
	(2 Jul. 14. D. U2) 01, 02
Dymond v. Croft 317, 357	v. Thomas
Dynevor Co., Re	Elliston v. Sheldrake 327
Dyson v . Pickles	Elmer v. Creasy
•	Elmore, Re
	Elmslie, Re (12 Beav. 538) 6, 12
	and Co Pa /0 Pa 70\ = 10
	and Co., Re (9 Eq. 72) 5, 10
E.	(16 Eq. 326) 7
	Elsom, Re 267
	Elwes, Re
E. v. F 305	v. Elwes
	Ely (Dean of) v. Gayford 345, 347
v. Winser 457	Emden v. Carte 17, 18
Eager v. Johnstone 321	Emeny v . Sandes
~	•

PAGE	PAGE
Emma Mining Company v. Grant 413	Faulkner v. Daniel
——— Silver Mining Co., Re 429	Faversham Charities, Re30, 32 Favethrop & Stocks183
Emmet, Re 515	Law third to become
England v. Lord Tredegar 101	Fesrne v. Wilson
English Re 44	Feaver v. Williams 383
English, Re 44 v. Tottie	Felkin v. Lord Herbert 383
Enthoven v. Cobb 389	Fellowes v. Deere 337
Equitable Reversionary Interest	Fellows, Re83, 84, 88, 484
Society v. Fuller 369	v. Barratt 338
Ernest v. Partridge 549	- V. Indiaton
Esgair Mining Co., Re 493	Feltham, Re
Etherington v. Wilson 266	Fennel v. Brown
Eton College, Ex parte (3 Rly. Ca. 271; 15 Jur. 45)	Fennings v. Humphrey 566
European Banking Co., Re 548	Fenton v . Crickett
Evans, Ex parte	v. Cumberlege428, 439, 490
<i>Re</i> Watking 177 1	Ferguson v . Benyon
——————————————————————————————————————	v. Ferguson 189
(14 Ch. D. 511) 30	
(W. N. (1873) 46) 37	Fernandes, Re 20 Fernandez, Re 426
(7 Ch. 609; 41 L. J., Ch. 512; 20 W. R.	Fernyhough v. Naylor
695; 26 L. T. 815) 56,	Ferrand v. Mayor of Bradford 566
59	Ferrers (Earl) v. Stafford & Ut-
Jane 30	toxeter Ry 38
v. Bear 189	Ferrier v. Atwool 383
—— v. Buck 334	Ferrior, Re 65 Fiddev. Re. Heinrich v. Sutton 16
v. Edwards	Field, Re (16 Beav. 593) 7
v. Evans	
v. Williams 104	(W. N. (1877), 244) 7 v. Carnarvon Rly. Co 47
Evelyn v . Chippendals 541	v. Field 465
v. Evelyn374, 413	v. Seward 504
Everett, Re 53	Fielden v. Hornby 227
v. Prythergch 188	Finch, Re 42 — v . Jukes 108
Everson v . Matthews 341 Ewart v . Williams 398	v. Jukes
Exchange Bank v. Billinghurst 511	v. Scott 348
Eyre, Re (49 L. T. 259; W. N.	Finnegan v. James 392
$(1883), 153) \dots 122$	Finney, Re 68
(10 Beav. 569) 7 (4 Kay & J. 268) 476	v. Hinde
(4 Kay & J. 268) 476	Fiott v. Mullins
v. Barrow	Firmin v. Pullen
, Re Jones315, 538	(W. N. (1881), 137; 30
— v. Hughes 255	W. R. 57) 60
v. Morsring 337	v. Bunbury 541
— v. Shaftesbury (Countess of) 98	v. Coffsy 433
·	v. Fisher 38
	v. Hughes
F.	v. Val Travers Asphalte
- ·	Co
	Fishmongers' Co., Ex parte 41
· <u>F</u> —, Re	Fitton v. Macclesfield 341
Fagg, Re	Fitzwater, Re 376
Fairclough v. Marshall 258 Faithfull, Re 17	Flack, Re 58 Flamank, Ex parte 3'
	Flattery v. Anderson 341
Fane v. Fans	Fleming v. Crouch
Farington, Re	v. East 500
Farquharson v. Pitcher 479	Flemon, Re 40
Farr v . Sheriffe	Fletcher, Ex parte
Farrant, Re	v. Dodd 47
Farrell v . Wale	v. Rodgers 463
Farrer v . Sykes	Flintoff v. Haynes 502, 50 Flitchcroft, Re 7
v. Rees 549	Flitcheroft, Re
	1000 1000 1000 1200 1200 1200 120

TABLE OF CASES.

PAGE	PAGE
Flower, Ex parte	Froeman r. Butler 384
—— Re 5	v. Fairlie 388
, and Metropolitan Board	Freemen and Stallingers of Sun-
01 Works 123	derland, Ex parte36, 37
v. Bright	French, Re 102
v. Leyton (Local Board of) 305	Freston, Re
v. Lloyd 253, 467, 510	Friend v. Solly
	Fritz v. Hobson 380, 478
v. Todd 350	Frodsham v. Frodsham85, 92, 487
Fidia v. Fidia	Frost v. Hamilton 397
Fluker, Re	Frowd v. Stillard 5
Folar Engate (Mayor of) 306	Fry, Ex parte
Foley, Ex parte	
v. Smith	Fryer v. Wiseman340, 422
Foliamba Re	Fulham, Re
Fools Re 14	Fulica, The 465 Fuller v . Alexander 331
Fooks, Re	
Forbes, Re	
v. Tanner	Furber v. King
Ford and Hill, Re	Furness v. Booth
v. De Pontes	Fussell v. Dowding 352
v. Dolphin	Futvoye v. Kennard 188
v. Tennant	Fyfe v. Arbuthnot 372
v. Tynte	Fyfe's Case
Fore Street Co. v. Durrant 318	Fyler v. Fyler 380
Forest, Re	Fyson, Re 10
Forester v. Read	
Forsbrook v. Forsbrook 405	
Forshaw, Re	
Forster v. Davies	G.
v. Menzies 317	۵.
Fortescue v. Fortescue	G. v. H 361
Fortune, Re	Gage v. Rutland, Re Cabburn 540
Foster, Re	Gaitskell, Re
	1 CHILDROIL, ILV
a G W Br Co 540	
v. G. W. Ry. Co 540	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36
——— and Lister, Re 108	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of Lon-
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of Lon-
	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of London
	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of London
	Galliers v . Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v . Corporation of London
	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of London
	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of London 7 100
	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry 36 Galloway v. Corporation of London
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry
and Lister, Re	Galliers v. Metropolitan Ry

PAGE (PAGE
Gaudet, Re	Gordon, Re 56
Gawthorpe v. Gawthorpe 468	v. Dalzell 10
The state of the s	v. Jennings 456
Gedye, Re 5, 7	Gore Langton, Re 39, 42, 43
Con a Con	Gosling v. Gosling 372, 405
Gee v. Gee	Goss, Re
General Estates Co., Re 505	Gosset v . Campbell
Exchange Bank v. Horner 188	Gough v . Bage
Share Co. v. Wetley Co 380	v. Heatley 396
Gething v. Keighley 398	Gould, Ex parte 113
Gibbin, Re	Gover v. Stilwell
	Gowran v. Barnett 541
Gibbon, Re	Grace v. Baynton64, 78
Gibbs v. Daniel	Graham v . Campbell 265, 468, 512.
v. Haydon 116	v. Graham 399
v. Phillipson	v. Wickham 558
Gibraltar Banking Co., Re 439	Grainge, Ex parte 30
Gibson v. Wills 345	Grange, Re
v. Woollard 474	Grange, Re
Giddings v. Giddings 542	Grant, Re (2 J. & H. 764) 88
Gilbert v. Comedy Opera Co 440	v. Banque Franco-Egyp-
v. Endean434, 445	tienne516, 517, 518
v. Guignon 558	v. Easton309, 330
v. Russell 444	v. Grant (34 L.J., Ch. 641;
v. Smith180, 181, 183, 396	
Giles v. Hamer	6 N. R. 347)
Gill v. Gilbard 437	Gray v . Lewis
v. Woodfin.,	v. Webb
Gill's Case 280	Grayson, Re 91
Gillatt, Re	Great Australian Co. v. Martin 322
Gillespie v. Alexander 500	Eastern Rly. Co. v. Nor-
Gillow v. Rider 7	mich and Spalding Ply
Giraud, Re 79	wich and Spalding Rly.
Girvin v. Grepe	Northern Rly. Ex parte. 48, 49
Glanville, Re	Re 111
Glazbrook v. Gillatt 462	Re 111 Co. v. Ta-
Glebe Lands of Great Yeldham,	hourdin 168
Re	——————————————————————————————————————
Gledhill v. Hunter325, 353	Ex parte 44
Gledstanes, Re	Western Colliers of Tricker 302
Glendenning, Re	Western Colliery v. Tucker 392 Rly. Co., Ex parte 46
Glossop v. Heston Local Board . 422	Greaves, Re 308
Gloucester Charities, Re 79	- v. Fleming 367
——— (Dean of), Ex parte 34	
Gloucestershire Banking Co. v.	Green, Re (26 Ch. D. 16)
Phillipps193, 285, 348, 349	(10 Ch 272)
Glover v. Ellison 399	——— (10 Ch. 272) 83 ——— (2 De G. F. & J. 121) 101
Goddard v. Jeffreys	(8 W. R. 403, 6 Jur.
v. Haslam 345	N. S. 530)102, 103
v. Parr	v. Angell 106
Godden v. Corsten 309	v. Bennett 411
Godfrey, Re53, 70, 73, 76	v. Charnock 541
Goe, Re	v Measures 344
Gold, Re 10	1 # Sovin 358 359 378
Golding v. Wharton Saltworks	v. Smith
Co	Greening v. Beckford 461
Goldsmith v. Goldsmith 342	Greensill, Re 456
Gombault, Re	Greenwood. Re 69
Gooch, Re 30	Greenwood, Re
Gooch's Case, Re Contract Cor-	v. Sutherland 405
poration 385	Greer v. Young
Good Intent Benefit Society, Re. 65	Gregg, Re
v. Blewitt	v. Taylor 6
Goodale v. Gawthorn 426	Gregson, Re
Goode v. West	Greig v. Somerville
Goodrich v. Marsh 183, 335	Gresley v. Mousley 383, 389
Goodsman, Re	Gretton v. Mees 367
Goodwin v. Archer 542	Greycoat Hospital v. Westminster
Goold, Re	Commissioners

PAGE	PAGE
Gridley v. Austen 4, 5	Hall v. Liardet 384, 393
Grierson v. Astle	—— v. Pritchett
Griffin v. Allen 513 Griffith, Re 10	Hallett, Re
v. Blake	Halsey, Re 30
Griffiths v. Cambrian Rly 172	Halstead United Charities, Re 42
v. Cowper 317	
v. Griffiths17, 475	Haly v . Barry
Grills v. Dillon 517	Hamer v. Giles17, 18, 456, 457
Grimoldby (Rector of), Ex parte. 28 Grimsby v. Webster 462	Hamilton v . Davies
Grinwood v. Bartels 182	v. Nott 383
v. Shave 341	Hamlyn v. Bettely 420
Groom, Re 89	Hamond v. Walker 335
Groome v. Rathbone	Hampden v. Wallis 445, 455, 479
Grove, Re 57	Hampson v. Hampson 383
v. Samson	Ham's Trust, Re
v. Groves	Hanbury, Re
—— v. Levi 345	Hancock v. Guerin 387
Grundy v. Buckeridge 81	Hancox v . Spittle66,72, 82
——, Kershaw, and Co., Re 10	Handley v . Davies 54
Guéret v. Young	v. Metcalfe 58
Guest v . Cowbridge Rly 177, 179	Haney, Re 60
\(\nu\). Neames	Hankey v . Morley
Guibert, Re	Hannaford v. Hannaford 17
Guilden Sutton (Incumbent of),	Hanover (King of) v. Bank of
Ex parte	England 79
Gunnell v. Whitear54, 55	Hansen v. Maddox 509
Gunson v. Simpson	Hansford, Re
Gurney v . Gurney	835) 553
5 m j 02 v 1 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(1 De G. J. &
	S. 440) 387
,	21 210/1111 001
,	Harbord v. Monk
,	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
,	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 Ex parte 40
,	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
н.	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
Н.	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 Hardiman, Re
H., Re 468	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ————————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ——————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 Hardman, Re
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 Hardman, Re
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 Hardiman, Re
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ————————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ————————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 Hardiman, Re
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35
H., Re	Harborough (Earl of) v. Shardlow. 35 ———————————————————————————————————

PAGE	PAGE
Harris v. Petherick 539	Headington, Re 54
—— v. Start 6	Heald v . Hay 317
Harrison, Re (Seton, 516) 64	Heapby, Re
	Heard v . Borgwardt 337
	v. Cuthbert 88
Re (13 Ch. D. 603) 512 Re (22 L. J., Ch. 69) 79, 80 Re (W. N. (1883), 31) 119	Heath v. Fisher 474
Bottenheim 331	v. Pugh260, 353
v. Bottenheim 331 v. Boydell 472	v. Wallingford 440
v. Cernwall Rly. Co. 18, 401,	Heather, Re 8
	Heatley v. Newton267, 334
402, 512	Hedges v. Clarke 231
v. Leuther 3/3, 3/4, 333, 300	Hedley v. Bates
v. Leutner 373, 374, 555, 560 v. Smith	Heinrich The
v. Stewardson 316	
Harrop, Re (3 Drew. 726) 28	v. Sutton 16, 548
Re (24 Ch. D. 717)148, 149	Hele v . Lord Bexley 347
—— Ex parte 37	v. Ogle 316
Harry v. Davey	Hellier v . Ellis 384
Hart v. Hart 257	Heming, Re
v Herwig 317	v. Leifchild 558
v. Tulk	Hemming, Ex parte 12, 13, 14, 191
Harter v. Colman 115	v. Wilton 8
Hartington, Rector of, Ex parte 29	Henderson v. Atkins 538
Hartley, Re (2 Jur. N. S. 448) 9	v. Ripley 393
(30 Beav. 620) 10	Heneage v. Aikin 478
(30 Beav. 620) 10 — (W. N. (1879), 197) 181 — v. Dilke 317	Hennessy v. Bohmann 467
	Henniker v. Chafy 41, 42
v. Owen 392	Henshaw, Re 52
Hartmont v. Foster 266	v. Angell 506
	Hereford, &c., Railway Co., Re. 41
Hartwell v. Colvin	Horitage De Francis Desker 5 10 19
22.02.011.02.01.02.12.	Herring v. Clark
Harvey, Re	9
v. Bradley 398	v. Clobery 517
v. Brooke 476	Hertford (Marquis of), Re 460
v. Croydon Sanitary Au-	Heslop v. Metcalfe
thority 265	Hetherington v. Longrigg 396
v. Hall188, 189, 455	Heugh v . Chamberlain 360
v. Harvey 455 v. Mayhew 8	v. Garrett391, 392
v. Maynew 8	Hewetson v . Todhunter 346, 347
Harwood, Re 91	Hewitt, Re 71, 89
Hastie v. Hastie	Hextall v. Cheadle
Hastings v. Hurley320, 538	Hey, Re 76
Hatch v. Searles 503	Heymann, Re
Hattatt, Re 81	Hick v. Lockwood 469
Hatton v. Haywood 177	Hicks v. Hicks 472
Haw v. Vickers 346	$\mathbf{Higginbottom} \ v. \ \mathbf{Aynsley} \dots 374$
Hawes v . Bamford	Higgins, Re 108
Hawkes, Re	v. Woolcott 8
Hawkesley v. Gowan 461	Higginson v. Hall 38'
Hawkins, Ex parte 37	Higgs v. Dorkis 185
—— v. Hall 188	v. Schrader 10
——— v. Morgan 464	Highton v. Treherne 51
Hawks v . Hawks	Hildick, Re
Hawthorn v. Harris 316	Hill, Re
Haycock, Re 52	— v. Bonner 34
Hayes, <i>Ře</i> 30	v Campbell . 38:
Hayne, Re 37	v. Hart-Davis 437, 468, 55
v. Cavell 552	— v. King 49
Haynes v. Barton 41	- v. Metropolitan Asylums
- v. Cooper	Board 54
Hays v. Trotter 8	Hillary, Re 5
Hayter, Re 491	Hillman v. Mayhew 264, 265, 363, 46
Hayton v. Beall	46
Hayward, Re	
	Hilton v. Hilton 50
v. Hayward492, 493	Hincks, Re 18
v. Pyle 346	Hind v. Whitmore
v. Smith 182	Hindle v. Taylor 40
7. Stephens 435	Hinton, Re
Hazle, Re	Hirst v. Procter 43
Headden v. Emmott 345	Hitch v. Wells 32

PAGE	PAGE
Hitchin v. Hughes 537	
Hitchins v. Tate 4	Hooper v. Strutton 64
Hoon a Too	Hooson, Ex parte
Hoar v. Loe	Hope, Re
Hoare, Re (4 Giff. 254) 58	- v. Carnegie (1 Eq. 126) 317
	$v. {}$ $v. {}$ $(4 \text{ Ch. } 264) \dots 266$ $v. \text{ Hope } \dots 317, 372$
v. Wilson 390, 391	v. Hope 317, 372
Hobbs v. Reid 346	- v . Liddell 388
Hobhouse v. Courtney $\dots 317$	- v. Threlfall
Hobson, Re	Hopewell r . Barnes 459
—— v. Jones 398	Hopkins, Re 280, 351
v. Monk309, 330	Hopkinson v. Lord Burghley 389
v. Monks 363	Hopton v. Robertson 330
Hoby v. Hitchcock 541	Hordern, Ex parte
Hoch v. Boor	
Hodge, Ex parte 43	
Hodges, Re (4 De G. M. & G.	Horfield Trust (Trustees of), Ex
491) 58	parte
- (6 W. R. 487) 59	Horlock v. Smith
——————————————————————————————————————	Hornby v. Holmes 317
N. S. 860 26	Horner, Re 28
—— v. Hodges 120, 478	Horton v. Bott 385
Hodgson, Re (18 Jur. 786; 2 Eq.	Horwood, Re
R. 1083) 56, 58, 59	Hoskin, Re 55, 266
——————————————————————————————————————	Hoskins, Re
——————————————————————————————————————	v. Campbell 466
Hodgson's Will, Re (22 L. J., Ch.	Hotham (Lord), Re
1055)	Hough v. Edwards 457
Hodson, Re	v. Windus
—— v. Mochi355, 364	Hounston v. Sligo (Marquis of) 384
Hoffman v. Duncan 469	How Pa 21 59
Hoffmann v. Postill	How, Re
	Howard, he to De G. & Sm.
Holbrook, Re85, 91	435)
Holbrooke v. Cracraft 374	(3 W. R. 605) 76
Holden, Re	435)
v. Silkstone Co 465	Howell v. Dawson 509
Holland, Re	v. Keightley 506
ν. Prior 334	- v. Metropolitan Rly. Co 456
—— v. Gwynne 6	458
—— v. Worley 543	v. West 334
Hollick, Ex parte 30	Howes v. McKernan 383
Hollier v. Burne 34	Howkins, Re 101
Holloway v. Cheston 266, 485	v. Bennett 317
v. York363, 463, 464	Hubbard Re (15 Beav. 251) 13
Holmes, Re	/23 Besv. 481\ 558
v. Harvey464, 465	v. Hubbard 78
— v. Magrath 5	v. Latham 499
	Huddersfield (Corporation of) v.
Holroyde, Re 8	Jacomb
v. Garnett 189	Hudson v. Carmichael 505
	- v. Osgerby 543
	Hue, Re 55
Holywell - cum - Needingworth	Huggons v. Tweed 355, 356
(Rector of), Ex parte	Hughes, Re
Home Assurance Association, Re 542	ν. Jones 380, 417
—— Counties Life Assurance	v. Murray 13
Co., Re 493	Hulkes v. Day
Honduras Rly. Co. v. Tucker 334, 336	Hull and County Bank, Re 513
Honywood v. Honywood 148	— and Hornsea Rly Co., Re 179
$\mathbf{Hood}\ v.\ \mathbf{Cooper}\ \dots 380$	Humber Ironworks Co., Re 548
Hook, Re	Hume v . Richardson 105
Hoole v. Earnshaw 309	Humphreys v . Edwards 463, 464
——— v. Roberts	Humphry, Re 80
Hooper, Ex parte 43, 44	Hungerford's Trusts, Re 31
	Hungerford, Re 31, 42, 44
	Hunnings v. Williamson 382
Re (9 Jur. N. S. 570) 506	Hunt v. Austin 17, 317
	v. Chambers, Re Martin411,
** ***********************************	412, 510
v. Gumm 383, 390	v. Elmes 383
v. Smith 516	v. Emnes 500

PAGE	PAGE
Hunter v. Hunter 510	Issauchaud, Ex parte 37
— v. Myatt 376	Ivory, Re
v. Wortley 24	v. Cruikshank 328, 463
v. Young 102, 343, 499	
Hunney v. Chunerbuck	
Hurry v. Hurry 183	_
Hurst, Re 76	J.
v. Hurst 317	
Husband, Re	J 2, J 371
Hussey v. Horne-Payne 512	J v. J
Hutchins & Romer, Ex parte 517	Jackson v. Litchfield 324, 448
Hutchinson, Re (1 Dr. & Sm. 27). 58	
W.N. (1884), 35). 494 (W.N. (1867) 49) 566	v. N. E. Railway Co 351
v. East Lancashire	v. Shanks 317
Rly. Co 36	v. Slaney, Re Clinton 85
# Glover 382, 389	
v. Hartmont 189, 466	
v. Manchester Bury	v. Turnley 372 v. Tyas 369
and Rosendale	Jacob, Re (9 W. R. 474) 103
Rly. Co 36	29 Beav. 402) 103
v. Massarene 469	Jacobs v. Brett 477
C	# Brown 348
v. Ward, Re Smith. 406	v. G. W. Railway Co 387
Hutchison v. Colorado Co 348	Jacques, Re 514, 516
Hutley, Re 463	v. Harrison 113, 377
Hutton v . Mansell	Jacquot v. Boura 309
Hyatt, Re 74	James, Re (4 De G. & S. 183) 8
Hyde, Ex parte 39	
	v. Barraud 192
v. Darge 317	- v. Crow 417
Hyett v. Mekin	1 4 Dozo 341
Hyman v. Helm 257	v. Gwynne
22, 2202 77 22022 77777777777777	Jaquet v. Jaquet 485, 506
,	Jarmain v. Chatterton 266, 510
·	Jarman, Ex parte 6, 9
	Jarvis's Charity, Re 51, 79
	Jefferson v. Warrington 5
	Jefferys v. Smith 380
-	Jeffreys v. Evans 4
I.	Jeffryes v. Drysdale 65, 85
	Jenkins, Re (3 N. R. 408) 54, 55 (10 Jur. N. S. 332) 56
Tidenton Pa 5 6	v. Bryant 315, 504
Ilderton, Re	v. Davies 396
Imperial Land Co. of Marseilles,	v. Davies
Re	Jenkyns v. Bushby 383
Impsrial Land Co. of Marseilles v.	Jenner v. Morris 15, 438
Masterman 423	Jennings v . Johnson
Inderwick, Re 7	v. Jordan 115
Indian Mining Co., Re 516, 517	
Ingilby v. Shafto 383, 384	Феризон, дес
Ingle, Re 7	Jervis v. Berridge 362
v. McCutchan 4	Jesse v. Bennett 335
Inglis v. Mansfield	Jessop, Re
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jesus College, Ex parte 486 Jewitt, Re (34 Beav. 22) 9
v. Little	
Insole, Re 56	Jiminez v . Owen 564
Irby, Re 54, 65	Joad v. Ripley 486
Ireland (Land Credit Co. of), Re. 102	Job v. Job
v. Lord Fermoy 450	Johns v. James 385
Irlam v. Irlam 406, 407	Johnson, Ex parte 434
Isaacs, Ex parte 517	
v. Diamond	————— & Tustin. Re 109
Isis, The	(W.N. (1884)
Isle of Wight Ferry Co., Re 178	200 110

Dig	P. or
Johnson Pa (2 N D 655) PAGE	PAGE
Johnson, Re (3 N. R. 655) 341	Kay v. Hargreaves 392
- v. Burgess 178 - v. Diamond 456 - v. Moffatt 464	Kaye, Re 98
v. Diamond 456	Keane, Re, Lumley v. Desborough
v. Moffatt	16, 18
Junison's Patent, Re 252	Kearsley v. Philips 388
Johnston v. Brown 402	Keate v. Phillips 306
Johnstone v. Cox $\dots 266, 512$	Keeler, Re 64, 70
v. Royal Courts Co 267	Keene v. Ward 5
Joint Stock Discount Co., Re 391	Keith v. Butcher 337, 378
& Brown 346	Kelland v. Fulford 28
Jolliffe, Ex parte 43, 44	
v. Hector 399	Kelly v. Byles 514, 549
Tonos Es neutr	v. Hutton 517
Jones, Exparte	Kemp, Re 149
——————————————————————————————————————	v. Waddingham 104
——— (9 Eq. 63)	Kemptner, Re
——— (13 Eq. 336) 6	Kenah, Re
	Kemptner, Re 52 Kenah, Re 435 Kendall v. Hamilton 260
W. D. 312; W. N.	Kendrick v. Roberts 354
(1870), 7),,,,,, 29, 40, 42	Kennaway v. Tripp 541
———— (24 Ch. D. 583; 26 Ch.	Kennedy v. Edwards 542
D . 736) 141, 153	v. Lewis 378
/8 Rosy 470\ 9 10	Kenny v. Hollings 310
(3 Drow 679) 54 55	Kenrick v. Wood
- (6 W. R. 276) 345 - (2 De G. F. & J. 554) 88	Kensington (Lord) v. Bouverie 397
(9 To C TF & T 554) 99	
(4 Jur. N. S. 581, 887;	Kensington Station Act, Re 50
(4 Jur. N. S. 551, 557;	Kent, Ex parte 461
0 W . D. 014. 0721. aa. aa	Ker, Re 9
(8 W. R. 36) 306	Kernick v. Kernick 437
———, Eyre v. Cox 315, 538	\mathbb{K} err v . Gillespie
	Kettlewell v. Barstow 391, 566
v. Batten 4/8	Key v. Key 405
Brandon 317	Kidd v. Cheyne 510
—— v. Cargill 317	Kidderminster (Vicar of), Ex parte 43
v. Elderton	Kidstone v. Empire Insurance
—— v. Fonlkes 345	Co 551
v Frost 16.18	Kilkenny Ry. v. Feilden 541
v. Jones (W. N. (1884) 17) 394	Kincaid, Re
(14 Ch D 593) 413	King, Re (16 Eq. 521)
(14 Ch. D. 593). 413 ————————————————————————————————————	
" To-in (Kay, App. 0) 304	(16 Jur. 1153; 5 De G.
v. Lewis	& S. 644)
v. London Road Car Co 384	v. Bryant 188
v. Monte Video Gas Co 387	v. Corke 377
—— v. Roberts	—— v. Davenport 374
—— v. Thompson	v. Hawkesworth 275
v. Wedgewood 413	v. King 54, 55, 88
r. Williams 460	l « Sandeman 418
Jopp's Case, 518	v. Savery
Joselyne, Ex parte 280	v. Smith 88
Joseph v. Goode 400, 499	King's College, Ex parte 43
Joseph's Will, Re 53	Kington Ry., Re
Joy v. Hadley 393, 455	Kinloch v. Reg., Re Banda & Kir-
Joyce, Re	wee Booty 20
Jubb v. Bibbs 394	Kinnaird v. Kinnaird 322
	Kinneir, Re
Judd v. Green	17ima Desilian 227 419
Judkin, Re	Kino v. Rudkin
Judkins, Re 406	Kinsey, Re 41
Justice v. Mersey Steel Co 511	Kinshela v. Lee 397
	Kirk v. The Queen 201
	v. Todd 350
	Kirksmeaton (Rector of), Ex parte 28,
	31
	Kirkwood v. Webster 549, 569
	Kitching v. Kitching 354
K.	Kitton, Re 6
_ -	Knapping v. Tomlinson 30
	Knatchbull, Re
Traits a Saanhanarah 517	
Kanitz v. Scarborough 517	
Kathleen Mayourneen, Re 516	Knierim v . Schmauss 500

	, PAGE
PAGE	
Knight, Re, Knight v. Gardiner. 265,	Lunginous V. Commercial Commercia
455	Earlight and of Country of the Count
——— Knight v. Gardner 441 ———— (27 Beav. 45) 55	Langton v. Waite
	Lapworth, Re
(Sarah), Re 88, 117, 266,	Largan v. Bowen
(Baran), ne 60, 117, 200,	Larken, Re
539, 540 v. Cory	Lashley v. Hogg 499
v Knight (16 Beay 358) 470	Latch v. Latch 343
v. Knight (16 Beav. 358) . 470 (14 L. T. 161; W. N. (1866),	Latham v. Hyde 8
W. N. (1866).	Lathropp's Charity, Re 40, 41
114) 91	Latta, Re 542
v. Lord Plymouth 472	Laugharne Rly., Re 50
Knott, Re 280	Lauretta, The 512
v. Cottee 475	Lautour v. Holcombe 543
Knowles, Re 103, 141	Law, Re (4 Beav. 509) 85
Krehl v. Burrell 266, 515	——————————————————————————————————————
	(21 Beav. 481) 6 (30 L. J., Ch. 512; 7
	Jur., N. S. 410) 57
	Lawrance v. Galsworthy 64
	Lawrence, Re 59, 516
	v. Campbell 382
_	Lawrenson v. Dublin Rly. Co 319
L.	Lawson, Exparte
T 11 T 1	
Ladd v. Puleston 465	Lazarus, Re
Laffitte Charles, & Co., Re 548	v. Mozley 387, 389
Lafone v. Falkland Islands Co 383	Lazenby v. White
La Grange v. McAndrew 260, 374, 542	— Conservancy Board v. Button 549
Lainson v. Lainson 504	Leadbitter, Re
Laird v. Briggs	Leader, The 18, 457
Lake v. Eastern Counties Rly 45, 46	Leake, Re
— Megentic, The 542	Leathley v. McAndrew 310, 336
Lamb, Re 81	Lechmers and Lloyd, Re 108
v. Munster 385 v. Orton 505	v. Clamp
v. Orton	Leconfield (Lord), Ex parte 28
Lambert v. Turner 327	Lee and Hemingway, Re 39
Lambeth (Rector of), Ex parte 34	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Lambie v. Lambie	v. Angas 429
Laming v. Gee 398, 514	v. Nuttall
Lamotte, Re	v. Ryder
and Yorkshire Rly., Re 28	v. Sturrock 344
Lancaster, Re	Leeds Banking Company, Re 449
	v. Lewis 484 485 492
Charities, Re	v. Lewis484, 485, 492 Leeming, Re468
Lancefield v. Iggulden 500	
Land Credit Society, Re 383	Lees, Re
Landfield, Re, Landfield v. Land-	l v. Coulton 78 183
field	v. Lees 435 474
Landon, Re 56	v. Patterson 355
Landoré Co., Re	Leese v. Knight 326
Lane, Re (24 L. T., O. S. 181) 54	Legs v . Knight 326 Legg v . Mackrell
	Leggott v. Western258, 460
—— v. Debenham 405	Leicester, Ex parte 439
v. Glenny 4	Leigh, Re (6 Ch. 887) 29, 39, 43
v. Gray 387	——————————————————————————————————————
v. Sterne 409	v. Brooks 268
Langdale Re	v. Turner 506
Langen v. Tate	Lemann, Re 80Lenders v . Anderson320
Langford, Re	Lenders v . Anderson
Langford, Rs	v. Clifford 332
Langhorn v. Langhorn 66	Lester v. Alexander
Langlev. Exparte 468	v. Lazarus 4
v. Sugden 544	Lett, Re

PAGE	PAGE
Lett v. Parry 392	London, Brighton, and S. C. Rly.,
Levett, Re 58	Re
Levinger v. Crombie	London and Brighton Rly. Co. v.
Lievitt v. Levitt 435	Shropshire Rly. Co 39
Lewes, Re 88	London and Brighton Rly. Co., Re
(Earl of) v. Barnett 188	Re40, 41, 43
Lewin, Re 5, 98, 341	London and County Banking Co.
Lewis, Re. Ex parte Minnro 20	ν. Dover
	v. Dover
—— v. Hillman	39
v. Nobbs	London, Chatham, and Dover
—— v. Primrose 5	Rly., Ex parte (W. N.(1868), 75) 48
v. South Wales Rly. Co 28	London, Chatham, and Dover
Ley v. Ley	Rly, Ex parte (8 W. R. 636)48, 50
Liberia (Republic of) v. Roye 385,	London, Chatham, and Dover
392	Rly. v. Imperial Credit Asso-
Liddell, Re 120	ciation 513
v. Norton	London and County Assurance
Liddiard, Re 81	London and County Assurance Co., Re492, 493 London Financial Association v.
Lietch and Kewney, Re 45	London Finencial Association
Lighthody's Trusts, Re84, 117	Wrexham Rly. Co
Lightowler v. Lightowler 321	Wrexham Rly. Co
Lilley, Re	London Land Co. v. Harris 464
Lillwall, Re	
	London (Mayor of), Ex parte 31,
(Mayor of), Ex parte29, 43	272, 303, 305, 486 London and North Western Rly.
Lind v. Isle of Wight Ferry Co 383	
	Act, Re
Lindsay v. Gladstone 391	
v. Tyrrell 341	Re 47
Lingren v. Lingren 327	London and North Western Rly.
Lister v. Tidd	v. Corporation of Lancaster 28
Lister's Hospital, Re30, 31	London Scottish Society v. Chorley 540
Litchfield v. Jones 386, 392, 479	L. & S. W. Rly. Act, Re 40
Little B. 449	Co., Ex parte 42 v. Gomm 550
Little, Re 84	
Little's case	L. T. & S. Rly. Co., Ex parte 47
Liverpool (Rector of), Ex parte 31	Long, Re (10 Jur., N. S. 417) 38
Docks, Re	——— (1 W. R. 226)
Improvement Act, Re, 45, 88 Rly. Co., Re	(17 W. R. 218) 81
Riy. Co., Re 40	v. Crossiey 333, 337, 377
Llanover v. Homfray, Phillips v.	v. Storic 010, 040
Llanover265, 430, 559	— v. Tottenham 541
Llewellyn, Re	Longdendale Spinning Co., Re 253
Lloyd, Re54, 58, 469	Longman v. East 268
v. Attwood 347 v. Cocker 402	Longstaff, Re 437
v. Cocker	Longuet v. Hockley 56
v. Dimmack334, 351	Longworth, Re 44
v. Jones	Lonsdale (Earl of) v. Church472
v. Lloyd	Loog v. Bean
v. Whitty	Lord, Re
THOM & Danking Co. A. Odie agr	v. Colvin 518
Lockett v. Carey 388	— v. Lord
	v. Wormleighton 17
Lockey, Re 472	Lorenz, Re
Lockhart v. Hardy 5	Lorimer, Re 55
Lockwood, Ex parte 28, 33	Loughborough, Re
Lodge v. Pritchard 398	Loughton (Rector of), Ex parte 41
Logan v. Baines 397	Loveband, Re
Lomax, Re 40	Lovell v. Wallis
London (Bishop of), Ex parte (2 Do	Lowe v. Lowe
G., F. & J. 14)39, 40, 42	Lowry, Re
London, Birmingham, and Bucks	Lows, Ex parte 417
_ Rly. Co., Re 494	Lucas v. Peacock
London, Birmingham, and Bucks	v. Rudd 369
Rlv. Act. Re 558	— v. Siggers
London and Birmingham Rly.	Lucy v. Wood 456
Co., Re	Ludgator v. Channell 472
London and Blackwall Rly. v.	Luke v. Tonkin, Re Symons 397
Limehouse Board of Works, 479, 546	Lumb v. Beaumont 467

PAGE	PAGE
Lumb v . Osburn	Macrae v. Smith 466
	M'Veagh, Re
— v. Whiteley	Maddeford v. Austwick 4
Lumley v. Desborough, Re Keane 16, 18	
Lush, Re	
Lyall v . Weldhen	Madgwick, Re
Lydall v. Martinson 418	Madras Irrigation Co., Re465, 514
Laddon a Moss	Magdalen College, Re 30
Lyddon v. Moss	Magnay v. Davidson 346
Lydney Co. v. Bird 542	BE-id-tone De En noute 31 486
Lye, Re	Maidstone Ry., Ex parte31, 486
Lyell v. Kennedy 382, 384, 385, 386	Mainwaring, Re
Lyle v . Ellwood 435	Mais, Re 80
Lymington Chapel, Re 28	Maggi, Re, Winehouse v. Wine-
Lymnagoon Chaper, 10	house
Lyon v . Tweddell	
Lys v. Lys	management of the second of th
Lysaght v. Edwards 68, 78	Malet, Re 58
Lytton, Re 28	Malins v. Price 549
	Manby v. Bewicke (1) (8 De G. M.
	F (3, 468) 541
	(a) (8 Do G M
	(2) (8 De 4.70)
	0. CT. 410) 561
М.	(3) (8 De G. M.
	` & G. 476) 388
	(27 L. T. O. S.
Man Allinton Don't to /Dishare	55) 387
MacAllister v. Rochester (Bishop	Month and Desiration Continue De 510
of)	Manchester Building Society, Re 510,
M'Alpine v. Moore, Re Moore 64, 81	576
M'Andrew v . Barker 515, 516	Burial Board, Ex parte 41
Macann v. Borrodaile 338	(Dean & Canons of),
Macaulay, Re	Ex marte 43
M'Arthur v. Dudgeon 399	——— & Milford Ry., Re 50,
	169, 173
M'Carthy v. Gould 454	
Macclesfield Canal Act, Re 31	
M'Corquodale v. Bell 382	& Southport Ry. Co.,
Macdonald. Re 41	$R\ell$
v. Antelme 328, 422 v. Carington 354, 355 v. Foster 406 v. Tacquah Co 456	Ry., Re
v. Carington 354, 355	Mandeno v . Mandeno
# Foster 406	Mander, Re 5
7. Tacquan Co 400	Manisty v. Kenealy 354
Macfarlan v. Rolt 382	
Macfarlane, Re 57	Manners v . Furze
M'Gachen v . Dew 334	Manning, Re 66
M'Gewan v. Middleton 356	v. Glyn 4
M'Gregor v. Keily	Mansel, Re
M'Henry v. Lewis 257	Mansell, Ex parte 472
M'Ilroy v. Duncan 385	
M Intosh v. G. W. Ry. Co. (4 De	Mansergh v. Rimell309, 330
G., M. & G. 544)388, 429	Mansfield v . Childerhouse 385
————— (13 W. R.	Mant v. Smith 4
1029) 518	Mapleson v . Masini 542
(3 Sm. & G.	March, Re 193
146) 494	Marfell v. Rudge 475
Mack v. Ward 456	Markham, Re
M'Kay, Re	
M May, Re	1 == == = = 1 /
Mackenzie, Re	Marlborough (Duke of), Re 33
v. Mackenzie (5 De G.	Marman, Re 339
& Sm. 338)74, 433	Marner, Re42, 56, 59
(Seton,	Marriage, Re
525) 85	Marriott, Re
Mackintosh, Re	v. Anchor, &c. Co 382
	Marrie a Ingram 100 100 070
	Marris v. Ingram 188, 189, 272
Mackley v. Chillingworth 549	Marrow, Re
Mackreth v. Nicholson	Marsh v. AttGen 103
\mathbf{M} 'Lachlan v . Lord	—— v. Keith 372, 383
Maclean's Trusts, Re 55	Marshall, Ex parte (1 Ph. 560)38, 39
Maclean v. Dawson 345	(3 De G. & Sm. 679) 84
M'Leed v. Buchanan 462	Re
3 <i>E/</i> 3 <i>E</i>	
M'Murray v. Spicer 68 M'Phail Ex marta	v. Berridge 512
M'Phail, Ex parte 321	Martano v. Mann
M'Rae, Re 343	Martin, Re , Dier v . Martin 506

PAGE	PAGE
Martin, Re, Hunt v. Chambers 411,	Merchant Tailore' Co., Re 41
412, 510	Merry v. Nickalls 518
(22 L. J. Ch. 248) 477	
——— v. Bannister 275	Mersey Steamship Co. v. Shuttle-
v. Earl Beauchamp 374	worth 396
v. Frost 378	
v. Gale 396	Mertene v. Haigh 388, 390
v. Hadlow 474	Merton College, Re 39
# T. C & D B+ Co 48	Metcalfe, Re (2 De G. J. & S. 122) 55
v. Hadlow	Melicane, 16 (2 De C. J. C D. 122)
v. Whitmore 341	(3 N. R. 657) 55 (13 Ch. D. 236) 499
Martinez, Re 79	————— (13 Ch. D. 236) 499
	(30 Beav. 406) 8
Martyn, Re	(80 Beav. 400)
Marylebone Improvement Act, Re 28,	Metcalfe's Case 189
38, 39	Metropolitan Asylum District v.
	Hill 266
	TD 1 0 TO 1
Mash, Re 12, 13, 15 Mason, Re 29, 41, 56, 65, 66, 337, 383	Board of Works v.
Mason, Re 29, 41, 56, 65, 66, 337, 383	New River Co 401
— v. Franklin 336	Inner Circle Ry. v.
—— v. Bogg 280	Metropolitan Ry 463
—— v. Brentini	Ry., Ex parte 42
	and Cosh, Re., 108
Masselin, Re 58	and Cosn, Ac., 100
Massey, Re 10	Co. and Maire,
v. Allen 542	Re 43
and Carey, Re 8	
Massie v. Drake	Metzler v. Wood 418
Masters v. Barnes 334	Mexico (Bank of) v. Hart 423
Mather v . Skelmerdine 342	Meymott v. Meymott 557
Mathias v. Yetts 334	Meyrick, Re 71
Matthew v. Northern Assur. Co. 52	n .Tampa 441
	7. James
Matthews v . Antrobus 373	
Re (26 Beav. 463; 5 Jur.	Michel, Re 103
(2 W. R. 85) 64, 84	Mickelthwaite v. Fletcher 188
v. Palmer 466	Middle Level Drainage and Navi-
3.5 TO	
Mavor v. Dry 379, 556	
May, Ex parte 281	Middleton v. Chichester188, 189
	Midland Counties Ry. v. Caldecott 45
Re	v. Westcomb 45
v. Biggenden 9 v. Dowse 486	
— v. Dowse 486	Ry. Re 42
—— v. Prinsep	Co. v. Oswin 28 Waggon Co. v. The Pot-
	Wagger Co at The Pot-
— v. Thompson	Waggon Co. v. Ine I or
Maybery v. Brooking 344	teries, &c. Co 168
Mayer v. Murray 397	Milan Ćo., Re
	Mildmay v. Methuen (Lord) 494
Mayes v. Mayes	Mildinay v. Methden (Dold) 131
v. Spence 428	v. Quicke 182, 183, 462, 548
Maynard, Re 84	Mildred v. Austin 178
	Miles v. Jarvis 180, 347, 474
Mayne v. Butter 435	Miles v. Jarvas
Meacham v. Cooper 399, 427	Millard v . Baddeley 331
	——— v. Burroughes 552
Meaden v. Sealey 469	
Meek v. Michaelsen 317	Miller, Re 553
v. Ward 436	v. Hales541, 543
Meinertzhagen v. Davis 397	" Tradillostome 259 454
	v. Huddlestone352, 454
Melhuish v. Milton 467	v. Huddlestone352, 454
THE CAME AND A STATE OF THE STA	— v. Huddlestone352, 454 — v. Marriott 183
Melling v. Bird	v. Huddlestone 352, 454 v. Marriott 183 v. Miller 402, 454
Melling v . Bird30, 42, 43 Mellor. Re 196	v. Huddlestone
Melling v . Bird30, 42, 43 Mellor. Re 196	v. Huddlestone
Melling v. Bird	v. Huddlestone
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v: Sidebottom 396	v. Huddlestone
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — r. Swire 343	v. Huddlestone
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — r. Swire 343	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512,	v. Huddlestone 352, 454 v. Marriott 183 v. Miller 402, 454 v. Mynn 456 Millington v. Fox 539 Mills, Re 491 v. Griffiths 337 v. Jennings 335 Milltown (Lord) v. Stuart 440
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 v. Porter 78 v: Sidebottom 396 x. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 v. Porter 78 v: Sidebottom 396 x. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe 544	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe 544 Mendes v. Guedalla 336, 347	- v. Huddlestone 352, 454 - v. Marriott 183 - v. Miller 402, 454 - v. Mynn 456 Millington v. Fox 539 Mills, Re 491 - v. Griffiths 337 - v. Jennings 335 Milltown (Lord) v. Stuart 440 Milne, Re 30 Milnes, Re 28, 41 Milton, Re 266
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe 544 Mendes v. Guedalla 336, 347 Mennard v. Welford 80	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe 544 Mendes v. Guedalla 336, 347 Mennard v. Welford 80	- v. Huddlestone 352, 454 - v. Marriott 183 - v. Miller 402, 454 - v. Mynn 456 Millington v. Fox 539 Mills, Re 491 - v. Griffiths 337 - v. Jennings 335 Milltown (Lord) v. Stuart 440 Milne, Re 30 Milnes, Re 28, 41 Milton, Re 266
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. .6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe .544 Mendes v. Guedalla 336, 347 Mennard v. Welford 80 Mercer v. Lawrence 451	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. 6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe 544 Mendes v. Guedalla 336, 347 Mennard v. Welford 80 Mercer v. Lawrence 451 Mercers' Co., Ex parte 38, 39, 539	
Melling v. Bird 30, 42, 43 Mellor, Re 196 — v. Porter 78 — v. Sidebottom 396 — v. Swire 343 Melward, Ex parte 29 Mem. .6, 380, 440, 463, 478, 512, 515, 559 Mendelssohn v. Hoppe .544 Mendes v. Guedalla 336, 347 Mennard v. Welford 80 Mercer v. Lawrence 451	

_	PAGE
PAGE	770 556
Mitchell v. Cobb	Mounsey v. Burnham379, 556
v. Condy 511	v. Lonsdale (Earl of) 478
v. Darley Co 266	Mount, Re 91
v. Lee	Mountain v. Young 54
Moate, Re	Moxham, M., The 425
Mobbs, Ex parte 5	Mozlev v. Cowie 410
	Muoreridge, Re
	Mulcaster, Re
	Mullows v. Bannister 317
	Mundel, Re
Money, Re (13 Beav. 109) 59, 341	Munns, Re
(2 Dr. & Sm. 94) 34	Munro Er narte Re Lewis 20
Moneypenny v	
Monohan, Re 53	Munroe v. Wivenhoe, &c. Ry. Co. 439
Montefiore v. Guedalla 369	Munster v. Railton324, 448
Montefiori v. Browne 500	Munton, Re 56
Montellano, (Duke de) v. Christin 542	Murphy v. Nolan548, 552
v. Mulligan 193	v. Vincent 08
Monypenny v. Monypenny 518	Murray, Re103, 281
Moone v. Rose 189	v. Barlee 5 v. Simpson 456 v. Walter 388
Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank 280	v, Simpson
Moore, Re, M'Alpine v. Moore. 64, 81	v. Walter 388
v. Cantlev 326	Murrell v. Clapham 338
v. Cantley	Murrow v. Wilson 5
v. Mortis	Musgrave, Re
v. Platel	Hitagravo, 200 illinois vitarios
v. Smith	v. Stevens
v. Walter 380	7. Stevens
Morant, Re 60	Mutlow, Re 47, 48
Moravian Society, Re 80	v. Mutlow 55
Mordaunt v. Benwell 182	Mutual Society, Re 387
Morecroft v. Evans516, 517	v. Langley 462
Morey v. Vandenburg 440	
Morgan, Re (24 Ch. D. 114) 153	
(2 W. R. 439)57, 59	
(2 W. R. 439)57, 59 (Seton, 516) 91	N.
. a Elford 518 I	
4 Greatres 470	Nadin, Ex parte
n Hidding 4 398 1	
v. Morgan 545	Naersnoss Shipping v. Royal Mail
v. Ruddock 4	Co
v. Ruddock 2	Co
v. Swansea Sanitary	Nalder v. Hawkins
Authority 107	
Morgan Jones, Re 40	Nalty v. Aylett 189
Morley v. Clavering 445	Napton (Overseers of), Re 5
v. Morley 428	Nash, Re42, 67, 81, 88
Mornington v. Mornington 383	—— v. Coombs
Morres v . Hodges 34	—— v. Dickenson
Morrice v. Avlmer 64	v. Nash 31
Morris, Re (27 L. T. 554) 10	Nason v. Clamp 428
(20 Eq. 470)32, 42	National Provincial Bank v. Evans 358
(20 Eq. 470) 32, 42 	376
v. Llanelly Ry. Co 494	v. Harle 259
v. Morris 430	National, &c., Association (Official
Morrison v. Arnold 430	Manager) v. Carstairs 427
v. Morrison 188	- Funds Assurance Co., Re 388
Morse, Re 58	
110156, 100	
Mostimor Ra 558	465, 513, 514
Mortimer, Re 558	465, 513, 514 Navan Railway, Re 47
a Mortimer 346	465, 513, 514 Navan Railway, Re
v. Mortimer 346 v. Picton 369	465, 513, 514 Navan Railway, Re
v. Mortimer 346 v. Picton 369 Mortimore v. Cragg 449	465, 513, 514 Navan Railway, Re
v. Mortimer 346 v. Picton 369 Mortimore v. Cragg 449 v. Mortimore 403	Mavan Railway, Re
	Navan Railway, Re
- v. Mortimer 346 Mortimore v. Picton 369 Mortimore v. Cragg 449 - v. Mortimore 403 Morton v. Miller 357 Moscow (City of) Gas Co. v. International Finance Co. 542 Mosely, Re 9	1465, 513, 514 Navan Railway, Re
	1465, 513, 514 Navan Railway, Re
- v. Mortimer 346 - v. Picton 369 Mortimore v. Cragg 449 - v. Mortimore 403 Morton v. Miller 357 Moscow (City of) Gas Co. v. International Finance Co. 542 Mosely, Re 9 Mosley, Re 54	Navan Railway, Re

PAGE	PAGE
New British Co. v. Peed 387	
Now College Po 494 510 510	Norton v. Gover
New Callao, Re	v. L. & N. W. Rly. Co 513
New Westminster Brewery Co. v.	Norway v. Norway 88
Hannah	Notice437, 514
Newall v. Telegraph Co 382, 387	Nott v. Sands451, 456
Newbery, Re	Nowell, Re
Newhirein Gas Co a Armstrong 260	— v. Whittaker 341
210 Wolfgin Gas Co. V. Almstrong 200	NT
306, 311	Nugent, Re 115
Newbury v. Marten78, 544	v. Vetzera 98
Newby v. Harrison 373	Nurse v . Durnford260, 306, 311
	·
v. Von Oppen 319	
Newcastle (Duke of), Re 142, 152, 154,	
177, 179	
Newcomen v. Coulson 373	0.
Newell v. National Provincial Bank 355	
Newen v . Wetten 398	
Newland v . Steer	Oakden, Re 88
v. Steere 429	Oakham School, Re 43
Newman, Re (30 Beav. 196) 7	Oakwell Collieries, Re 513
	O'Brien v. Lewis 4
r. Selfe 327	v. Maitland 327
Newton, Ex parte	v. Tyssen 371
v. Boodle	O'Donnell, Re 91
—— v. Dimes	Ogden v. Battams 398
r. Metropolitan biv 28	Ogle v . Brandling
—— v. Ricketts	Ohlsen v . Terrero
Charmer 100	Oldfield v. Cobbett
v. Sherry 102	
Nicholas v. Dracachis 467	Oldham, Re
Nicholl, Re41, 42, 74	
Nicholls, Re 43	Olivant v. Wright 512
Nichols v . Evens	Olive, Re
Nicholson, Re	Oliver, Re 8
	O'Meara v. Stone
Missil Temes 200 207	
Nicoll v. Jones	Ommaney, Ex parte
Nicoll's Estate, Re 33	O'Neil v. Clason
Niemann v . Harris	Ongley v. Hill 423
Nightingale v . Lawson 399	Onslow, Re 459
Nixon v . Sheldon 516	Ord, Re 65
Nobel's Co. v. Jones 378	Ordé, Re81, 93, 436
Noble v . Edwardes	Orger v. Sparke
Nock v. Nock	Oriental Bank, Re
	Original Hartlengel Co. v. Cibb. 270
Noel v. Noel 387	Original Hartlepool Co. v. Gibb. 370
Nokes v . Gibbon	Ormerod, Re
— v. Warton 13	v. Todmorden Co 268
Norcop, Re 30	Ormsby, Re 472
Nordon v. Defries 382	Orr, Ewing & Cc. v. Johnston &
Norfolk Clergy, Governors of, Ex	Co 549
parte	Orrell Colliery Co., Re 374
Norfolk's Estates (Duke of), Re. 44	Ortner v. Fitzgibbon 330
Norman, Re 542	Osbaldiston, Ex parte 43
——— v. Johnson 372, 539	Osborn, Re
Normanton Iron Co., Re 516	v. Osborn 183
Normanville v . Stanning 428	Osborne, Re
Norris, Re80, 103	——— to Rowlett 108
v. Beazley 337	Otto v. Lindford 517, 318
North v. Huber388, 389	Outwin, Re
NOTED V. ELUDET	
London Rly. Co., Re 37	Overington v. Ward 469
Northampton Coal Co. v. Midland	Owen, Re 65
Waggon Co267, 542	— v. Henshaw 16
Northern Insurance Co., Re 280	— v. Homan 469
North London Co. v. Jacques 113	— v. Pritchard 455
Rly. v. Great North-	v. Wynn 383
	Oxford, &c. Rly., Re
ern Rly 468	
Northrop, Re	
Northumberland (Duke of) v. Todd 438	
Northwick, Ex parte 28	
Norton v. Compton512, 515	
v. Gould	

Р.	Peacock v. Harper 440
PAGE	Pearce, Re
Packman and Moss, Re 108	v. Lindsay 559
Paddon, Re 41	Peareth v. Marriott 97 Peares v. Pearse
v. Winch	Pearse v. Pearse
Padgett v. Binns	v. Wilcox 433
Padstow Association, Re 516	Peatfield v. Barlow
Padwick v. Scott 354, 355, 364	Pedley's Estate, Re
Page, Re	Peek v. Trinsmaran Iron Co 469 Peers, Re 9
	Pegg v. Wisden 476
Palairet v. Carew	Peils, Re 9
Palermo, The	v. Stoddart
Palmer, Ex parte	Peillow v. Brookings 369 Pellas v. Neptune Insurance Co. 355
	Pelling v. Goddard 58
v. Gould's Co319, 321	Pelly v. Wathen 17
	Pemberton, Ex parte
v. Locks	Pender, Re (2 Ph. 69) 7
	(8 Beav. 299) 5, 9
Panton v. Labertouchs 542	(10 Beav. 390) 5
Papayanni v. Coutpas 331	Penley v. Anstruther 5
Paradice v. Sheppard 341	Penn v . Bibbey
Parby, Re	v. Millar 380, 506
Pare v. Clegg	Penney v. Goode 389
Parke, Re 81	Pennington v. Dalbiac 181
Parker, Re (13 Eq. 495) 28, 29, 40	Penny v . Penny
(2 W. R. 139) 57 (32 Beav. 580) 80, 84	Penrice v. Williams
	Peppitt, Re 342
v. Wells 382, 385, 392	Perkins, Re
Parkinson v. Chambers 341	v. Beresford 266
v. Hanbury 341, 342, 551	Perks, Re
	v. Mylrea
Parr v. Lovegrove 505	Perry, Re 37, 53, 54, 57, 61 v. Knott 334
Parrot v. Pawlet 271	v. Knott 334
Parrott, Re	Peru (Republic of) v. Weguelin. 385,
Parry, Re (6 Hare, 306; 12 Jur. 721) 54	391, 517
——— (12 Jur. 615) 56	Peruvian Co. v. Bockwoldt 257
(W. N. (1884), 43) 149, 153	Petar v. Lailey
Parsons v. Burton	Peter v . Thomas-Peter
v. Harris	Pett's Will, Re 103
Partington, Re	Peyton, Re (25 Beav. 317; 2 De
v. Reynolds 397	G. & J. 290; 4 Jur.
Pascoe v . Richards	N. S. 370, 469), 66, 73, 74
Pashler v . Vincent	
Patch v. Ward 384	Peyton's Settlement, Re (10 W. R.
Patching v. Bull 111	515) 103
Paterson v. Paterson (10 L. T. 183) 41	Phelps, Re 57
Patey v. Flint	
Patman v. Harland	Philby v. Hazle (7 Jur. N. S. 125) 7
Patterson v. Wooler 422	(8 C. B. N. S. 647) 5
Pattison, Re 42, 43	Philipps v. Philipps 356, 556
Paull, Re 9 Paxton v. Bell 542	Philips v. Beale 263, 411, 413 Phillipine, The
Payne, Ex parte	Phillpotts, Re 12
v. Parker 335	Phillips, Ex parte 42, 45
Peace, Re	Re (6 Eq. 250) 34
${}$ and Waller, Re 5 Peach, Re 7	W. N. (1882), 134) . 55 ——————————————————————————————————
Peach, Re	(Cr. & Ph. 147) 65
y , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

PAGE	PAGE
Phillips v. Gibbons 380	Pooley's Trustee v. Whetham 542
	Poplar School, Re51, 58
4 Homfron 220 250	Domaile and Domaile D. 100
v. Homiray	Popple and Barratt, Re 108
v. Llanover, Llanover v.	Portadown, Ex parte 29
Homfray 265, 430	Portarlington v. Damer 518 Portsr, Re (3 W. R. 583) 66
v. Phillips 341, 387	Donton Do /2 TV D 500\ 66
v. I minips	Torter, At (5 W. R. 965) 00
——— v. Prentice 436	——— (2 Jur. N. S. 349) 79, 81
v. Warde 440	—— v. Lopes 181, 183
Philip Re 7	n Wood 16 19 464
Philp, Re 7	v. West16, 18, 464
Phipps v . Daubney	Potter, Re 97
Phœnix Steel Co., Re 280	v. Cotton
Phosphate Sewage Co. v. Hart-	Potteries, Shrewsbury and North
mont 188, 189	Wales Rly. Co., Re 171, 172
mont	Potts, Re 489
Photographic Artists' Association	a Toimhau 470
Photographic Artists' Association,	v. Leighton 472
Re 517	Powell, Re (4 K. & J. 338) 65
Pioard v. Mitchell 41	v. Jewesbury257, 379
Disease w Manmont II-law	
Picasso v. Maryport Harbour	v. Matthews 68
(Trustees of) 549	v. Matthews 00 v. Oakley 96
Pick, Re 40, 43	—— v. Powell183, 492
D'-1 70	
Pickance, Re 84	v. Williams
Pickard v. G. N. Rly. Co 467	Power, Re 39
Pickering Pa 201	D1-44 (W1) TT3
Pickering, Re 391	Powlett (Earl) v. Hood 103
Pickett v. Logon 374	Powys v. Blagrove 469
Picton, Re 41	Practice (W. N. (1884), 91) 265
Dianam v. V	T 44 377 17
Piercy v. Young 413	Pratt v. Walker 478
Pierson v. Knutsford Estates Co. 18	Pratt v. Walker 478 Proscott v. Wood 38
Piffard v. Vanrenen 466	Press and Inskip, Re 10
Pigott and S. W. Rly., Re 108	Prestney v. Colchester (Corpora-
Pike v. Keene 338, 392	tion of) 391
	Preston v. Dania
v. Robinson 427	Freston v. Dama
Pilcher, Re 538	v. Dickinson 322
v. Arden 17, 18	v. Lamont 321
" TT:= J. 050	D-1 D- 70 75 70 154 000
v. Hinds 353	Price, $R_{\underline{e}}$ 72, 75, 79, 154, 369
Pilgrim v. Hirschfeld 5	— v. Berrington 336
Pilley v. Baylis 411	
	— v. Hutchison 188
Pilling, Re 117	v. Salisbury 518
Pince v. Beattie 7	Prideaux, Ex parte 4
Pincke, Ex parte	Priestman v . Thomas252, 264
Pinkerton v . Easton	Primrose, Re 88
Pinney v. Hunt 252, 264	Prince v. Cooper 474
Dinmostra Doilor 400	" Trimo 540
Pinnock v. Bailey 462	v. Hine 548
Piper v. Piper 455	v. Howard 380
Pitt, Re 78	Prince of Wales, &c., Co. v.
	Dolmon 247
— v. Brewster 334	Palmer 347
—— v. Jones 180	Pring, Re 88
Pitts, Re 121	Pringle v. Gloag 557
Plant v. Kendrick 389	Printing Co., Re 280
Platt v . Mendel	Prioleau v . United States 385
— v. Walter 464	Pritchard v. Roberts16, 18
Diodos a Rusa 970 EE0	Prole v. Soady 427
Pledge v. Buss 379, 556	
Plestow v . Johnson 542	Protector Co. v . Whitlam 452
Plimpton v. Malcolmson 514	Prothero v. Thomas
	D
Plomer v. Macdonough 188	Prowse v . Spurgin500, 506
Plomley, Re 98	Pryor, Re41, 43
	v. Pryor 180
Plumer v. Gregory 334	7. 21yor 100
Plumley v. Horrell 382	Pryse, Re 84
Plyer, <i>Re</i> 69	v. Pryse 405
	Duch Pa /17 Book 336)
Pole v. Pole	Pugh, Re (17 Beav. 336) 5
Polini v. Gray, Sturla v. Freccia . 517	(32 Beav. 173; 1 De G.
Pollack v. Birmingham Rly 30	J. & S. 673)7, 12, 14
Pollock v. Rabbits 497	Pullen, Ex parte 9
Pommerania, The 373	Pumfrey, Ex parte
Ponsford v. Swayne 382	
Ponsonby v. Hartley 387	Puttrell, Re 58
Pontifex v. Foord 348	Pyman v. Burt, 449
Poole v. Gould 316	
Pooley v. Bosanquet 413	
—— v. Driver 401	

$\mathbf{Q}.$	PAGI
PAGE	Reg. v. Pratt
Quartz Hill Co., Re	Renden v. Wesley 42'
v. Eyre 540	Rehohoth Chapel, Re
Queade, Re	
Queen Camel (Vicar of), Re 29, 40	
Queen's College, Ex parte28, 59	1 =
Quilter, Ex parte	
v. Heatly389, 391	
Quin v. Ratcliff	Renshaw, Re
Quin v. 10amm	
	Reynault, Re
	v. Caswell 5
	v. Godlee
	Rhodes, Re 46
R.	v. Rhodes
	Rhys v. Dare Valley Ry 47
	Richards, Ex parte (1 J. & W.
Rabbits v. Woodward 453	264)
Radcliffe, Re	———— Re (8 Eq. 119)
Rafael v. Ongley 317	(5 De G. & Sm. 636) 83, 84 ————————————————————————————————————
Raggett, Re	(11 Ch. D. 676) 280
Railston, Ex parte 39	
Ralli v. Universal Assurance Co. 517	
Ralph v. Carrick 512	v. Kitchen 455
Ramsbotham v. Senior 383	v. Scarhorough Market
Rance, Re 12	Co 315
Randall, Re 73	Richardson v. Elmit 456
Randfield v. Randfield 469	
Ranelagh, Re	v. Grubb 88 v. Hastings 389
Ranking, Re 347	v. Leake 308
Ransom, Re 7	Ridgway v. Edwards 341
Ranson v. Patton 352	Ridley v. Ridley 428
Raphael, Re 79	v. Sutton 549
Rasbotham v. Shropshire Union	v. Sutton
Co 385	Ridsdale, Allanlee v. Great W.
Rathbone, Re66, 70, 79, 82	Ry. Co 317
Raven, Re	Rigg, Re 492
Rawley v. Rawley 355	Rimington v. Hartley 186 Rio Grande Do Sul Steamship
Rawlins v. McMahon 345	Rio Grande Do Sul Steamship
v. Wickham 423	Co., Re 266
Rawlinson v . Miller 183	Kives v. Kives 91
——— v. Moss	Robarts v. Buèe
Ray, Re150, 155	Roberts, Re (9 W. R. 758) 81
— v. Barker330, 331, 332	(17 W. R. 639) 54, 55 (7 Jur. N. S. 818) 30
Raymond v. Lakeman 10	——— (7 Jur. N. S. 818) 30
——— v. Tapson 427	
Reading v. Hamilton 40	v. Ball
Real and Personal Advance Co. v.	v. Death 457
_ McCarthy373, 374	v. Lucas 4, ő
Reaston, Re 30	v. Oppenhem 384, 390
Record and Writ Clerks, Re 434	TODELISON TO
Reddish, Ex parte 512	v. Wrexham Ry. Co. 171,
Redgrave v. Hurd 260	173
Redondo v. Chaytor 541	Robey v. Whitewood 544
Reece v. laylor 459	Robins, Re
Reed v. Don Pedro Mining Co 397	v. Goldingham 9, 17
v. Prest 335	v. Mills 6
Kees, Ke	Robinson, $Re(I_1, R, 3 E_X 4)$ 7
v. Brailley	(11 W. R. 1035) 64 (W. N. (1873), 28 380
v. Metpn. Bd. of Works 399	
v. Williams	"s Trust, Re 54
Reeve v. Reeve 506	—— v. Aston 544
Reg. v. Boyes	v. Barton (Local Board
- v. Cheshunt Local Board 372	IOF)
v. Eastwood 9	v. Budgett 387
v. Keyn 321	
v. L. C. & D. Ry. Co 558	v. Drakes 512
v. Nash 98	v. Neshitt 450

PAGE	PAGE
Robinson v. Robinson 420	St. Gilss' Volunteer Corps, Re 51
v. Trevor 107	St. James' (Rector of), Ex parte 43
v. Webster 388	St. John's Coll., Ex parte 31, 49, 369
Robson v. Dodds 188	St. Katharine (Hospital of), Ex
v. Flight	parte39, 40, 41, 539
Ros v. Davies 377	St. Katharine's Dock Co., Re. 39, 41
— v. Hammond 449	St. Luke's (Vestry of), Re 30
Roebuck v. Chadebet 180	St. Margaret (Prebend of), Re 42
Roffey v. Miller 351	St. Martin (De) v. Davis 541
Rogers v. Hooper 341	St. Martin's, Birmingham (Rector
v. Jones 263, 346	of), Ex parte
—— v. Mort 493	St. Mary's (Vicar of), Ex parte 31
Rolfe v. Maclaren 365, 396	St Neggino Co. Pa. 052 510
	St. Nazaire Co., R_{θ}
Romilly v. Grint	
Romnor Pa	St. Paneras Burial Ground, Re. 31, 37
Romney, Re	St. Paul's (Dean of), Ex parte (11
Rooney v. Whiteley 420	W. R. 482). 34
Roskell, Re	(18 W. R.
Ross, Re 54, 55, 61	724; W. N. (1870) 93) 445
— v. Ashwin	St. Paul's Schools, Re 43
v. Gibbs 383	(Precentor of), Ex parte. 34
v. Laughton 17	St. Sepulchre's (Vicar of), Ex parte 38
Rotherham v. Priest 331	St. Thomas' Church, Bristol (Trus-
(Mayor of) v. Peace. 408	tees of), Ex parte. 34
Roughton v. Gibson 181	Hospital, Re 29 (Governors
Rourke v. White Moss Colliery Co. 516	(Governors
Routh v. Tomlinson 493	of), $Ex parte \dots 39$
Rowcliffe v. Leigh 268, 385, 392	St. Victor v . Devereux 341, 342
Rowe v. Gray 181	Sabin v. Heaps 403
Rowlands v. Evans 345, 347	Saffery, Ex parte514, 516, 537
Rowley, Re	Salisbury (Marquis of), Re 108
v. Burgess 470	Salm Kyrburg v. Posnanski, 265, 455
Rowsell v. Morris 343, 345	Salomon v. Stalman
Royal Society and Thompson 108	Salt v. Cooper177, 252, 257
Royle, Re 308	Saltash (Corporation of) v. Good-
Rubery v. Grant 360	man
Rucker v. Scholefield 346	Salvidge v. Tutton 433
Rudge v. Weedon 59	Salway v. Salway
Rudyerd, Re 29	
Rumbold v. Forteath (3 Kay & J.	
44) 383, 387	Sams v. Cronin 97
————— (4 Jur. N. S.	Sanders v. Homer 91
608 478	v. Sanders 511
Rumsey v. Rumsey 476, 551	Sandford v. Sandford 326
v. Reade	Saner v. Bilton 540
Runnacles v. Mesquita 331	Sansom v. Sansom 454
Rush, Re (9 Eq. 147; 18 W. R.	Sargant v. Read
331)189, 455	Sargent v. Gannon 5
- (10 Eq. 442)	Saull v. Browne
Russell, Re (12 Jur. N. S. 224) 78	Saumarez, Re
(1 Sim. N. S. 404) 74	Saunders v. Jones385, 392
—— v. Nicholls 548	v. Richardson 518
—— v. Shenton 36	v. Walter 492
v. Tapping 445 Rustomjee v. Reg 201	Savage, Re
Rustomjee v . Reg 201	v. Snell
Ruston v . Tobin	
Rutter v. Marriott 475	Sawyer, Ex parte 549
v. Tregent 359, 396	v. Sawyer
Ruttledge v . Whelan	Sawston (Vicar of), Ex parte 40
Ryalls v. Reg 4	Saxby v. Gloucester Wagon Co 268
Ryan, Re	Saxton v. Bartley 180
Rymer v. De Rosaz 549	Sayer v. Wagstaff7, 12, 13, 14
•	Sayers v. Corrie
	Saywood v. Cross 544
S.	Schneider v. Batt 350
~•	Schofield, Re
St. Aubyn v. Smart 334	Scholefield v. Lockwood 16, 18, 399
St. Bartholomew's Hospital (Trus-	Schreeder v. Central Bank 259
tees of), Ex parte	Scorfield v. Jones 558
,, <u>,</u>	d
M,	w

PAGE	PAGE
Scott v. Fleming	Sidebotham v. Watson 402
Scott v. Hersch 79	Sidebottom v. Sidebottom 463
— v. Lord Hastings 459	Sidney v. Wilmer 41
v. Matthew Brown & Co 113	Silver v. Stein 345
- v. Mayor of Liverpool 440	v. Udall 183
v. Mayor of Liverpool 440 v. Reyal Wax Candle Co 321	Valley Mines, Re 266
Scriven, Re 435	Simmonds v. G. E. R. Co
Scrivener v. Smith 56	Carried to the control of the contro
Scully v. Dundonald	Simons v . Bagnell
	v. Brown
Searle v. Choat	" Donny 183 335
Seaton v. Grant	v. Ritchie 183
Sedgwick v. Thomas 120	Simson, Re 103
Seear v. Lawson337, 351, 352	Singer v. Audsley 421
— v. Webb 440	Co. v. Loog411, 550, 552
Seilaz v. Hanson	Singleton, Re
Seligmann v. Young 357	
Senior v. Hereford 183	Skegg, Re 28 Skinner v. Great Northern Rail-
Severance v. Civil Service Associa- tion	way 382
tion	
Sewell, Re	Skitter, Re
Shackell, <i>Re</i>	Sladden, Re 13
Shakespeare Walk School, Re 43	Slade, Re 317
Shapcott v. Chappell 442	v. Hulme 454
Shapland, Re	Sleight v. Lawson 398
Sharp v. Lush344, 347, 499	Sloman v. Governor of New Zea-
v. Wright	land
Sharpe, Re	Sloper, Re
Sharshaw v. Gibbs 57	Smith, Ex parte (6 Rly. Cas.
Shaw, Ex parte	150) 42
——— Re (20 L. J., Q. B. 280) 9	(22 W. R. 294) 96
/10 Tr ~ 104 109 I	——— Re (9 Eq. 178) 31, 40
	(9 Eq. 3/4) 30
v. Hardingbam 355	(1 20011 000) 1111111 0,0
v. Jersey (Earl of) 468 v. Johnson 548	9 Beav. 342) 8
v. Rhodes	(W. N. (1872) 134; 20
Shearman v. Findlay 322	W. R. 695)81, 103
Sheffield (Corporation of), Ex parte 28,	———— (9 W. R. 396) 17
40	/1 TD TD 200\` 201
(Town Trustees of), Ex	Hutchinson v. Ward., 406
parte	—— & Stott, Re 123
v. Sheffield	Compton, Re
Shelford v. Louth Rly 330	v. Andrews
Shelmerdiue, Re	V. Armstrong 506
Shepherd v. Churchill72, 78	v. Armstrong 506 v. Baker 566
v. Stone 317	v. Barnes 384
Sheppard, Re 83	v. Bell
Sherard, Re	v. Boucher 68
Sherratt v. Bentley 88	v British &c Association 360
Sherwin v. Selkirk 280	v. Buller548, 555, 558
Sheward v. Lonsdale (Lord) 385	# Libadwick 549
Shillito v. Child & Co317, 318	v. Confloot 541
Shipperdson, Re	v. Cowell
Shippey v. Grey	v. Daniell
tor of), Ex parte	v. 1)av
Shoetensack v. Price 513	—— v. Dimes 8
Shrewsbury (Earl of) v. North Staf-	v. Dixon
fordshire Rly. Co 33	# Dobbin 311
v. Trappes 518	— v. Grindley 513
Shubrook v. Tufnell 515	
Shurmer v. Hodge 321	—— v. marshan 318
Shuttleworth, Re	v. Morgan
Sickles v. Morris 514	v. Nelson 475

PAGE	PAGE
Smith v. Nth. Staffordshire Rly.	Spittle v. Walton 437
Co 411	Spooner, Re
v. Pawson	Spradbery, Re
v. Pilgrim 440	Spratt's Patent v. Ward 411
* Reed 393	Sproat v. Peckett320, 538
	Springall, Re
v. Robinson 100	Sprunt v. Pugh
v. Smith (3 Drew. 72; 18	Spurstowe's Charity, Re 30
	Stace v. Gage
——————————————————————————————————————	Stacey v. Southey 470
Jur. 1047) 69 ————————————————————————————————————	Stafford Rlv., Re
r Swansea Dock Co 439	v. Coxon
—— v Watta 347	—— & Uttoxeter Rly., Re 168
# Wechell	Staffordshire Bank v . Weaver 539
——— v. Went	Stahlschmidt v . Walford 373
——— « Whichcord 465	Stainbank v. Beckett 514
v. White 516	Staines Rly., Re
# Wilson 309 1	Stainton v. Carron Co
v. Winter 18	Standard Co. v. La Grange 330, 515
Smith's Leaseholds, Re 30	Discount Co. v. Barton 464
Smyth, Ex parte 30	Standering v. Hall30, 182
	Stanes v. Parker
Tue 644) 99 00	Stanger Leathes v. Stanger Leathes 447,
Jur. 644)82, 92 ————————————————————————————————————	Stanhope Co., Re
2 De G. & Sm. 761)68,	Stanhope Co., Re
Sneary v . Abdy	Stanlake, Re
Snell, Re	Stanley v . Stanley
Snow v. Bolton 454	Stannard v . Vestry of St. Giles 468
Solicitor, Re A (26 Sol. J. 8) 513	Stansfield v. Hobson 335
(W. N. (1884)	Stanton v. Baring 551
217) 446	Staples, Ex parte32, 42
(14 Ch. D. 152;	Stebbing v . Atlee
28 L. T. 310) 455	Steed, Re 36
————— (1 Ch. D. 445;	— v. Preece
24 W.R. 103) 455	Steel v. Cobb 327
Somerset & Dorset Rly., Re 173	Steele, $Ex parte$ 4, 17
Somerville, Ex parte	——————————————————————————————————————
Somes v. Martin 552	v. Scott
Soutar, Re196, 200	v. Stewart 382
South, Re 177	Stening, Re
— Essex Co., Re	Stent v. Wickens 386
— Wales Rly., Ex parte 48 ————————————————————————————————————	Stephen, Re
Western Bank v. Turner 116	Stephens, Re
Loan Co. v. Robert-	v. Wanklin 426
son	Sterry, Re 37
Southwark Water Co. v. Quick 382,	Steuart v. Gladstone422, 423
385	Stevens. Ex parte (15 Jur. 243) 41
Southwell v. Scotter 259	——————————————————————————————————————
Southwold Bill, Re 49	v. Mid-Hants Rly. Co 173 v. Newborough (Lord) 559
Sowry, Re 30	v. Newborough (Lord) 559
Sparks, Re87, 88	v. Phelips 456
Sparrow, Re 80	Stevenson v. Adington 499
Spartali v. Van Hoorn 356	Steward, Re 34
Spawforth, Re 74	Stewart, Re (1 Sm. & Giff. 32) 28
Speckhart v. Campbell 321	———— (8 W. R. 297)65, 67, 80
Speer, Re	(8 W. R. 425) 66 (2 De G., F. & J. 1) 73
Speight, Re	(2 De G., F. & J. 1) 73
Speller v. Bristol Navigation Co321,	v. England (Bank of) 307
348 348 420	v. Stewart504, 541
Spencer (Earl) v. Peek 430	Stigand v . Stigand
Re, Spencer v. Hart 40	
v. Bryant 341	Stock, Ex parte 58
Spicer Re	
Spicer, Re	Works 516
Spiller, Re	Stockbridge Rly. Bill, Ex parte . 50
v. Paris Skating Rink Co 423	Stockton Iron Co., Re511, 515
- V. Latto Chauting Lumin Cost 120	d 2
	CV &

PAGE	PAGE
Stoer, Re 430	Sweeper, Re 55
Stokes, Re 81	Swift v. Swift 98
v. City Offices Co 494	Swindell v. Birmingham Syndi-
v. City Offices Co 494	cate373, 411, 516
Stone v. Stone 91	Swire, Re 466
v. Wishart	Sykes, Re
Stonor, Re	v. Dyson 190
Stooke v. Taylor	v. Firth 411
Storey v. Waddle463, 464 Straford, Re10, 11	v. Hastings 469
Straight, Ex parte	v. Schofield180, 183, 406
Strathmore Estates, Re 33	Symons, Re, Luke v. Tonkin 397
Street, Re	,
—— v. Crump 377	
v. Gover	
Strelley v. Pearson 467	m.
Strickland v. Strickland379, 556	т.
Strong, Re96, 97	
v. Moore	T., Re103, 339
Strother, Re	Taitt, Re
Stroud, Re	Talbot v. Keay 566
v. Norman	v. Marshfield383, 391
Strousberg v. Costa Rica (Re-	v. Talbot 338
public of) 319	Tamplin v . Miller
Strugnell v. Balkis Co 426	Tanfield v . Irvine
v. Strugnell 182	Tanner, Re 56
Stuart, Re 88	Tanqueray-Willaume, Re 108 Tanswell v . Scurrah 428
v. Cockerell	Tapp v. Jones
Stubbs, Re	Taprell v . Taylor 341
Studdert, Ex parte 28	Tarbuck v. Tarbuck 8
Sturch v. Young 478	— v. Woodcock 548
Sturge, Re 57	Tardiff v . Robinson 34
v. Dimsdale558, 559	Tardrew v. Howell 16
Sturla v. Frecoia, Polini v. Gray 517,	Tarn v. Commercial Banking Co 371
Stutely. Ex parte	Tarrett v. Lloyd 346 Tasmanian Co. v. Clark 413
Stutely, Ex parte 60 Styan, Ex parte 44	Tate, Re
Suche & Co., Re	— v. Hitchens 5
Sudlow, Re 6	Tatham, Re
Suffelk v. Lawrence 488	Tatham, Re
Sullivan v. Rivington 268	_ Co 401
Summerfield v. Pritchard 391	Tawell v. Slate Co
Summers, Re280, 504	Tayleur, Re
Sumner, Re	Taylor, Re (4 Ch. D. 157; 25 W.
Sunderland (Freemen and Stallingers of), Ex parte36, 37	R. 69) 98 ————————————————————————————————————
Surr v. Walmsley 425	(1 Eq. 495)
Sutcliffe v. James	(15 Door 145) C
Sutherland (Duke of) v. Tunstall	——— (18 Beav. 165) 10
Board 337	(18 Beav. 165)
v. De Virenne 346,	
347	1 (W. N. (1866), 5) 78
Sutton, Re12, 52, 56, 259, 552	
	(1883), 95) 155 ——— Tomlin v. Underhay 401
Swaine v. Denby	
Swale v. Swale	v. Ansley 327 v. Batten 388
Swallow v. Binns 346, 347, 404	v. Collier
Swan, Re 54	v. Directors of the Chi-
v. Webb 474	chester Rlv 33
Swann v . Barber	v. Dowlen 266
Swansea Building Society v.	v. Eokersley468, 469, 472 v. Grange181, 511
Davies	v. Grange181, 511
(Mayor of) v. Quirk 385 Vale Rly. Co. v. Budd 391	v. Hodgson 4. 5
Swanzy v. Swanzy 541	v. Keily
Swayne v. Swayne 461	v. Milner 380
,	

PAGE 1	PAGE
Taylor v. Mostyn 497	Thornhill v. Millbank 30
— v. Oliver 388	v. Thornhill 469
v. Oliver	Thorniley, Re
v. Phillips	Thornton, Re 58, 78
	v. Finch 177
Te-le-7 C. Rundell 387, 388, 389	Thorold, Re
Taylor's Case 516	Thorp v. Holdsworth 358, 359, 396
Teague, Re	v. Owen 484
Teale v. Teale	v. Thorp
Teall v. Watts 183	Threlfall v . Wilson
Teed v . Beere 505	Thurgood, Re 7
Tees Bottle Co., Re 516	Tibbs, Re 103
Teevan v . Smith	Tichborne v. Mostyn 188
<u>Tegg, Re</u>	Tid St. Giles' Charity (Trustees
Teign Valley Rly. Co., Re170, 171 Tempest, Re	of, Ex parte 30
Tempest, Re	Tiel, Re 380
v. Camoys (Lord) 491	Tiffin v. Parker 464
v. Ord	Tildesley v. Harper 333, 337, 358, 359,
Temple Church Lands, Bristol,	377 396
<i>Re</i>	377, 396 Tilleard, Re
Templer, Re	Tillett v. Nixon
Tennant v. Trenchard 380	Tillstone's Trusts, Re 53, 59
Tetley, Ex parte	Tilner a Stanefold 100 455
Thakeham Monies. Re. 55	Tilney v. Stansfeld 189, 455
	Timins, Re
Than v. Smith	Tipper v. Soilleux 30
Tharp, In the goods of 257	Tipton Green Co. v. Tipton Moat
Thatcher, Re	Co
Theys, Ex parte 259	Tohin v. The Queen 201, 202
Thistlethwaite v. Garnier 404	Todd v. Wilson
Thomas, Re 52	Toghill v. Grant
(12 W. R. 546) 42	Toke v. Andrews 356, 370
——— (11 W. R. 276) 59	Toleman and England, Re, Exparte
Thomas, Re	Bramble
(W. N. (1882), 7; 30	Tolson v. Jervis
W. R. 244) 30	Tomlin v. Underhay, Re Taylor 401
v. Cross 4	Tomline v . The Queen 201, 387
v. Ellis 340	Tookey, Re 44
41 (3-riffith 499 505	Topping v. Searson 315
	Torkington, Ex parte 436
v. Palin 373, 555, 560	Tottenham Railway, Re 48
	v Barry 322
v. Patent Lionite Co 280	Tournay, Ex parte
	Towle, Re
7. Kawings (27 Beav. 383 ——————————————————————————————————	1 10wnsend (2 Pn. 348)
——————————————————————————————————————	——————————————————————————————————————
375) 386	v. Townsend 253, 343
v. The Qneen 201, 387	Townshend's Estates, Marquis, Re 28
Thomas 326	Towse v. Loveridge 350
	Tracey, Re
v. Williams 141, 411,	Trafford, Ex parte
468	Trail v. Jackson
Thompson, Ex parte (3 L. T. 317) 18	Travis v. Illingworth 80
(N/ N /1004)	
(W.M.(1004),	
	Treleven v. Bray
πe (14 L. T.) /	Trevelyan v. Charter 380
(8 Beav. 237) 13	Trick, Re
v. Dunn 392	Triminger v. Keene
	Trinity College, Cambridge, Ex
——— v. Marshall 331	parte 29
	House (Corporation of),
v. Ringer 108	Ex parte 40
v. Tomkins 56	Trowell v. Shenton 515
v. Woodfine 413	Trower, Re 58
Thomson, Re 79	Truman v. Redgrave 468
v. S. E. Rly 257, 465	Tubb, Re
Thorley's Cattle Food Co. v.	Tuck, Re 102
Massam 549	Tucker, Ex parte514, 516
Thorn v. Smith 318	Tufnell, Re 201
Thorne v. Seel	Tugwell, Re 37
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

PAGE	٧.
	PAOE
Tunstall, Re (4 De G. & Sm. 421;	V., Re189, 455
	Vacv v. Vacv
15 Jur. 645)89, 81	
Turnhull v. Janson 548, 549	Val de Travers Co. v. London
T	
Turner, $Ex parte$	
, Re Cumming 19 (2 De G. F. & J.	Vale v. Oppert
, and a man a	
(2 De G. F. & J.	Valentine v . Hall 423
354) 456	Vallance, Re
——, Re (33 L. J., Ch. 232; 12	v. Birmingham Invest-
$\hat{\mathbf{W}}$. R. 337) 104	ment Corporation 336
17. 10. 001/	
——'s Estate, Re(10 W. R. 128) 39	Vancouver v . Bliss 539
v. Bridgett 509	Van der Kan v. Ashworth 564
v. Ditageor	7 011 QC1 12001 0. 1401 WOLDI 001
v. Burkenshaw 384	Vanderwell v . Vanderwell 400
v. Clifford 454	Vane v. Vane
v. Chiloru 101	
v. Hancock 266, 540	Van Gheluive v. Nerinckx 104
v. Hand	Vansittart v. Vansittart '99
v. manu	
	Vardy, Re 10
v. Hodgson 380	Varley, Re
v. Hodgson	
v. 11. & S. W. B.IV 440	Varteg Chapel, Re 433
—— v. Mullineux	Vaudrey, Re 43
v. manneux 00	
v. Snowdon 327	Vaughan v . Fitzgerald 430
v. Speakman	v. Marquis of Headfort 227
v. Speakman 00	
v. Turner (7 W.R. 573).424, 558	Vavasseur v. Krupp 356
v. Turner (7W.R. 573).424, 558 	Vawdrey, Re 41
To ut. 1100) 413	
Turney v . Bayley 392	$Veal v. Veal \dots 231$
	Veitch v. Irving 543
	Tribut v. Ilving
Turquand v . Fearon	Velati v. Braham 467
v. Wilson 396	Venables v. Schweitzer 493
Turton v . Barber 382	Venour's Settled Estates, Re 29
Tweedale, Re	Ventnor Harhour Co., Re 179
Tweedy, Re	Verminck v. Edwards 392
Twinberrow v. Braid 549	Vernon v. St. James's, Westmin-
	-t (\$7tf)
Twycross v . Grant351, 392	ster (Vestry of) 549
Twyford Abbey, Re 149	Verrall v . Cathcart
Twynam v. Porter 15, 18, 346	Viall, Re 88
Tylee v . Tylee 472	Vickers, Re 65, 80
	Tr'11 TO
Tyler, Re 56	Vidler v. Parrott 369
Tyrone (Earl of) v. Marquis of	Villeboisnet v . Tobin 383
	TT'
Waterford 405	Vincent, Re 308
	v. Venner 10
	T7' - D
	Vines, Re 8
	Viney, Ex parte514, 537
	7 moj, ma parat 11111111111111111111111111111111111
	—— Re 18
Ŭ.	v. Chaplin380, 478
•	77: TII
,	Vivar, The 321
Umfreville v. Johnson 336	Vivian v. Little 389
	Variation a Distant
Underdown v. Stannard 433	Vorley v. Richardson 404
Undertaking of West Riding, &c.,	Vyse v. Brown 456
	n Trooten 10
Re 49	— v. Foster 18
Underwood, Re	
v. Secretary of State	
in Committee	717
in Council 552	W.
Ungless v . Tuff	
Thian Dank a Tarana	W1 D. OF F4
Union Bank v. Ingram 116	Wacher, Re
v. Manby 387	Waddell, Ex parte 266
United Kingdom Assurance Co.,	D. 70 100
	——————————————————————————————————————
$Re \dots 52$	
Telegraph Co.,	Waddilove a Taylor
Telegraph Co.,	Waddilove v. Taylor 462
Re	Wade v. Wilson 116
——— States of America v. M'Rae 383	
States of Triadellea V. Mr That God	Wagner v. Mears 342
v. Wagner 385	Wagstaff v. Jacobowitz 330
Telephone Co. v. Dale 468	
TI_6.11 D	Waite v. Bingley 180
Upfull, Re 54, 57	v. Littlewood 105
Upmann v . Forester	Wske v. Wake
TT	TT7-13. T 13
Upperton, Re 8	Walburn v. Ingilby 517
Upton v. Brown	Walford v. Walford517, 518
Heil a Recorder	
Usil v. Brearley 516	Walhampton Estate, Re 52
Usill v . Whelpton 411	Walker's Estate, Re (22 L. J., Ch.
Usticke v. Peters	0000
USHUME V. Felers 40%	888)

PAGE	PAGE
Walker, Re (24 Ch. D. 698) 117	Warwick Pearson, Re 55
(7 Rlm Cos 190) 41	
(3 Ch D 200) 64 60	
v. Balfour 348	
v. Blackmore 351 v. Bunkell 268, 421	
v. Dunken	Waterton v. Burt 503
v. Cratbree 409 v. Hioks 309	Watkin, Re
—— v. Hioks 309	Watkins, Re, Ex parte Evans . 177
v. Poole	v. Atchison
—— v. Rooke	v. Parker 341
	Watlington, Re 52
11 11 1120, 1101, 111, 1001 11	Watson, Re
Wallace v. Greenwood 30, 182, 186	v. Cave 336, 510, 511
Waller v. Holmes	v. Cave336, 510, 511
Walley, Re	v. G. W. Railway Com-
Wallingford v. Mutual Society 331,	pany 550
360	—— v. Holliday 351
Wallis v. Bastard 484	v. Rodwell14, 360, 510,
v. Hepburn 374	558
—— v. Jackson	Watt v. Barnett317, 329, 377
— v. Lichfield 420	v. Leach 186
v. Lichfield	Watts, Ex parte
Walsh, Re 13	Re (24 W. R. 701) 52
— v. Lonsdale 260	(22 Ch. D. 5)485, 492,
v. Wason 462	505, 540
Walsham v. Stainton 382	———— (9 Hare, 106) 69, 80
Walter v. Smith 337	v. Jefferys 459
Walters, Re 53	v. Kelly 54i
v. Earl of Shaftesbury . 383	v. Manning379, 556
v. Woodbridge 548	v. Porter 459
Walton, Re	Waugh, Re (15 Beav. 508) 5
War (Secretary for) v. Chubb 468	29 Beav. 666) 6 2 De G. M. & G.
Warburg, Ex parte 511	\(\)2 De G. M. & G.
Warburton v . Hill459, 461	279)65, 93
Ward, Ex parte	v. Waddell4, 5
Ward, Ex parte	Wavell, Re
(14 W. R. 96) 102 (W. N. (1884), 211) 30	Way, Re 58
——— (W. N. (1884), 211) 30	Webb v. Bornford 386
v. Booth 454	v. Byng372, 405
v. Eyre	v. East385, 388
— v. Hall	v. Grace 6
—— v. Hepple 17	v. Salmon 317
v. Lawson	— v. Stenton 456
——. v. Morse	Webster, Ex parte37, 510
v Pillev 268	v. British Association
—— v. Swift 472	Co 346
v. Ward	- v. Le Hunt
v. Wyld	v. Mver 539
Warde, Re 105	v. Whewall 389
Warden v. Peddington 382	Wedderburn, Re103, 105
Warder v. Saunders 351	v. Pickering 411
Ware v. Watson506, 537	Wedderburne v . Llewellyn 479
Waring, Re55, 56	Weeding, Re
	Weeks v. Stourton 384
v. Manchester, Sheffield,	Weightman v. Powell 378
and Lincolnshire Rail-	Weise v. Wardle 334
way 478	Welchman, Re
v. Williams 8	Weldon v. Neal
Warner v. Armstrong 479	———— v. Riviere 192
# Mosses 422 423 552 555	Weller v. Fitzhugh 54
v. Mosses422, 423, 552, 555 v. Murdoch	Wellesley v. Beanfort (Duke of) 98
a Twining 255	v. Mornington 462
Warr, De la, Re	v. Wellesley 341
(For De la) a Miles 514 540	Wells, Re (8 Beav. 416) 8, 10, 13
— (Earl De la) v. Miles514, 549	(31 W. R. 764; W. N.
Warren, Re 120, 490	(1883), 111) 153
Warrick v. Queen's College. 383, 391	—— v. Chelmsford Local Board 36
VERTWICK COEFFICIEN, R.C	v. Onemistoru Locai Doard 30

PAGE	PAGE
Wells v. Gibbs 459	Whitworth v. Whyddon 506
—— v. Kilpin 177	
v. Malbon 54	Whycherley v. Barnard 483
v. Mitcham Gas Co 549	Whyte v. Ahrens 357, 392
Welsh v. Silwell 4	Widgery v. Tepper 460
W	Wisses Dellares A.A.D.
Wentworth v. Lloyd 549, 559	Wigan Railways Act, Re 50
Wesson v . Stalker 307	Wight, Re 29
West v. White 411	Wightman v. Wheelton 428
West of England Bank, Re 280	Wildow a Direct
	Wilder v. Pigott 339
Westbourne Grove Co., Re 280	Wilding v. Bolder 81
Westerman v . Rees 509	Wiles v. Cooper 548
Western Benefit Building Society 439	William Pa
CO 3- Old Comment	Wilkes, Re
——— of Canada Oil Company,	v. Saunnion 399
Re	Wilkins v. Stevens 566
Westhead v. Rilev	v. Sibley
v. Sale 87	Williams En mant /0 D. C. 6
v. Date	Wilkinson, Ex parte (3 De G. &
Westman v. Aktiebolaget, &c 321	Wikinson, Ex parte (8 De G. & Sm. 633) 31, 34
Westminster Co. (Duchess of), Re, 514,	Re (9 Eq. 343) 369
549	(16 W/ TO 597) 40
	(10 W. R. 931) 40
Co. v. Clayton 387 ———— (Dean and Chapter	——————————————————————————————————————
(Dean and Chapter	Jur. N S 716) 70 78
of), Re 34	v. Belcher 341
Weston v. Cohen 386	- v. Castle
— v. Davidson 116	v. Joberns., 180, 181, 183
—— v. Filer 77, 90	Schneider 221
Westwood, Re	. C
	v. Smart 5
Weymann v. Corcoran 550	1 11 11 15 0 GIOOM
Weymouth v. Lambert 317	Willan, Re 84
Whalley, Re 6, 8, 558	Willook a Tornell 454
	Willcock v. Terrell 454
v. Williamson 9	Willey v. South Eastern Railway
Wheeler, Re	Co 47
v. Gill 504	William of Kyngeston's Charity,
v. Gill	De De Comment of Ingligostoff & Charley,
" IInited Telephone	Re 30, 31
v. Umited Telephone	Williams, Re (15 Eq. 270) 104
Company 366	(15 Beav. 417) 7 (16 Beav. 417) 7 (28 Beav. 465) 9, 17 (4 Kay & J. 87) 55, 56 (5 De G. & Sm. 516) 77 (7 Jur. N. S. 323) 389 (8 W. R. 678) 96 • v. Allen 345, 346 • v. Aylesbury and Buck-ingham Riv. Com-
Wheelwright v. Walker 141, 149	(28 Book 465) 0 17
Whetstone v . Dewis 353, 354	(20 Deav. 100) 9, 17
TITA!-1 D- 10 14	(4 Kay & J. 87)55, 56
Whicher, Re	(5 De G. & Sm. 515) 77
Whistler v. Hancock 374	(7 Jur. N. S. 323) / 389
Whitaker, Re 53	
v. Robinson 465	477
- 7. Induition	v. Allen 345, 346
v. Thurston 357	v. Aylesbury and Buck-
White, Re (5 Ch. 698) 66, 67, 74	ingham Rly. Com-
(W. N. (1881), 115;	non-
29 W. R. 820)71, 107	pany 29
/10 TV TO 00 00 T	v. Brisco 377
——————————————————————————————————————	# (÷9mog 101
T. 387) 189, 455	
v. Baugh 472	
v. Chitty	v. Fage 347
v. Chrony	v. Preston 511
v. Stewart , 344	- v. Prince of Wales Assu-
v. Witt 515	rance Co 389, 390
Whiteaves v . Melville 346	
Whitehaven (Bank of) v. Thompson 317	v. Snowden
	v. Snowden 256
Whitehouse, Re	
Whitfield (Incumbent of), $Re 29, 43$	v. L. & N. W. Rly 359,
v. Roberts 16	
	360
Whiting, Re	Willis v. Childe 386
v. Bassett433, 435	Willings a Barner Ein
——— to Loomes 108	Willyams v. Hodge 326
v. East London Water-	Wills Pa
	77 1115, 215, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 4
works Co	v. Farkinson
Whitley v . Honeywell 317	Wilmott v. Young 376
Transmita 0.4	Wilson, Ex parte 510
Re 57	Wilson, Ex parte
Whitling De	Wilson, Ex parte
Whitling, Re 42, 59	L (Ch 191\ 99
Whitney v. Smith 344	(W. N. (1867) 110)
Whittington v. Gooding 347	(W. N. (1867), 110) 44
	= 1.43 W . 10.1 10.1
	v. Alltree217, 219, 241, 407,
Whitworth (Curate of), Exparte. 33	550

PAGE	PAGE
Wilson v. Applegarth 397	Wood v. Wood 102
v. Bates	Woodall, Ex parte 451
v. Church 336, 337, 385, 516, 517	Woodbridge Pa 267
v. De Coulon	Woodbridge, Re
v. Dundas 456	(1 De G. & J. 351)54,
a Emmett 0 17	55, 88
a) R'ogton 41 49	Woodcock v. Oxford, Worcester &
v. Gray	Wolverhampton Ry. Co 479
v. Hood	Woodgate, Re
v. Metcalfe	Woodhatch v. Freeland 388
v. Northampton Ry 382	Woodhouse v. Woodhouse 345 Woods, Re, Ex parte Ditton 4
v. Rastall	v. M'Innes 322
—— * Kound 18	v. Unver
v Smith - 16	v. Woods 553
v. Thornbury 389	Woodward v. Pratt 231
v. Watson 537	Woollard, Re57, 58
v. West Hartlepool Ry.	Woollett, Re
v. Wilson 472	Wootton, Re 34
Wilton, Re 13	v. Wootton 539
v. Hill 338	Working Men's Mutual Society,
Wiltshire v. Marshall 424	Re 424
Winchester (Bishop of), Ex parte 34	Wormsley v. Sturt 399
v. Bowker 383,	Worraker v. Pryer 308 Worrall v. White 542
	Worrall v. White
Ex parte	v. Mackenzie 327
Winder, Ex parte	Wortham v. Pemberton 97
	Wortley, Re
Windsor Ry. Re	Wragg, Re 83
Winehouse v . Winehouse, Ke Maggi	v. Morley
Wing v . Harvey	Wren v. Kirton 472
- v. Tottenham Ry 47	Wrench v. Wynne 462
Wingrove v. Thompson 347	Wrey, Re 31
Winkley v . Winkley 445	Wright, Re (3 Kay & J. 419)53, 56
Winterbotham, Re 7	(1 Sm. & G. App. V.) 58 ————————————————————————————————————
Winterfield v. Bradnum 542	24 Cm. D. 002)140, 149
Winteringham, Re	v. Clifford 417
Wiseman, Re	# King
Witham v. Vane348, 350	v. Larmuth 503
Withernsea Brick Works, Re 280	v. Pitt 387
Withey v. Haigh 479	v. Redgrave 257 v. Swindon Ry 374
Withington v. Withington 80	v. Tatham
Witt v. Corcoran	v. Wilkin
Wolff, Re	Wyche, Re
v. Vanderzee 398	Wycherley v. Barnard 506
Wolley, Re 41	Wye Valley Ry. v. Hawes 348
v. Ainley 540	Wylde, Re
v. Goodwin 370	Wylly, Re
v. Kay	Wymer v. Dodds
Wolverhampton Co. v. Bond 317	Wynne v. Humberston 383
Wombwell v. Corporation of	•
Barnsley	
Wood, Re (10 Eq. 572) 34	
(11 Eq. 155) 56	
(15 Sim. 469) 59 (3 De G. F. &. J. 125)64,	Y.
(3 De G. F. &. J. 125)04, 67, 84	<u></u> .
v. Anglo-Italian Bank 392	
v. Beetlestone 77, 85	
v Farthing	YARMOUTH Ry., Re
v. Weightman102, 500	Yates, Exparte (W.N. (1869), 150;
v. Wheater 353, 447, 462	17 W. R. 872; 20 L. T. 940) 44
м.	e

TABLE OF CASES.

PAGE	PAGE
Yates, Re 96	Yorkshire Waggon Co. v. New-
v. Plumbe · 476	port Coal Co 348
Yearley, Re	Young, Ex parte338, 448
Yearsley v. Yearsley546, 559	—— Re 59
Yeates, Re	v. Brassey 307, 322, 433, 439
Yeatman v . Snow	—— v. King 464
v. Yeatman 533	—— v. Kitchin259, 355, 364
Yeomans v . Haynes 503	v. Ward 335
Yetts, Re 5, 6	
York v. Stowers	
Yorkshire Banking Co. v. Beatson 332	
Ry. Waggon Co. v.	\mathbf{Z} .
Maclure 168	_,
Tramway Co. v. Egling-	Zambaco v. Cassavetti 466
ton Co 321	Zulueta v. Vincent

ADDENDA.

- Page 18, line 30. Add a reference to Re United Shepherd's Wheal Co., W. N. (1885), 15.
 - ,, 34, line 45. ,, 148, line 35. Add a reference to Cottrell v. Cottrell, W. N. (1885), 23.
 - ,, 153, line 43. Add a reference to Re Duke of Buceleuch's Estate, W. N. (1885), 14.
 - , 183, line 30. Add—"As to an annuitant, see Poole v. Poole, W. N. (1885), 15."
 - ,, 193, line 10. Add—"Pearson, J., has held, that in the case of a woman married before the Act, sect. 1 applies only as to property acquired by her after the Act (Re Harris, 28 Ch. D. 171)."
 - ,, 266, line 5. Add—"An order dismissing an action for want of prosecution without costs is not subject to appeal (Snelling v. Pulling, N. W. (1885), 13)."
 - ,, line 50. Add—"On an appeal for costs the decision of the judge below will rarely be interfered with (Re Gilbert, W. N. (1885), 21)."
 - oxhibit an information in the High Court of Justice (Attorney-General of the Duchy of Lancaster v. Duke of Devonshire, 14 Q. B. D. 195)."
 - ,, 320, line 22. Agnew v. Usher is now reported in 14 Q. B. D. 78.
 - 324, line 31. Add—"Where a writ is issued against a firm and served on one member, who appears in his own name, but there is no service on or appearance by the other members, judgment cannot be signed against the firm for default of appearance (Adam v. Townend, 14 Q. B. D. 103)."
 - ,, 337, line 11. Add—"And see Drage v. Hartopp, W. N. (1885), 17."
 - 357, line 22. Add—" In Blackie v. Osmaston, now reported in 23 Ch. D. 119, it was held that where a plaintiff claims a definite sum made up of a number of items, he must give particulars of demand, though he will not be ordered to do so if he only claims an account."
- ,, 374, line 52. Add—"An action may be dismissed for want of prosecution without costs, and there is no appeal in such case (Snelling v. Pulling, W. N. (1885), 13)."
- , 383, line 36. Add—"Communications made by a solicitor to his client before the commission of a crime, for the purpose of being guided or helped in the commission of it, are not privileged from disclosure (The Queen v. Cox and Railton, 14 Q. B. D. 153)."
- 398, line 32. Add—"Under an order directing an account, and not referring to settled accounts, the accounting party may set up settled accounts, though the order does not direct that settled accounts shall not be disturbed, and the opposite party may impeach them though the order does not expressly give him liberty to do so (Holyate v. Shutt, 28 Ch. D. 111)."
- ,, 487, line 7. Add-"Varied on appeal, W. N. (1885), 10."

Page 509, line 15. Add a reference to Dawson v. Fox, W. N. (1885), 11.

- ,, 512, line 23. Ex parte Blease is now reported in 14 Q. B. D. 123.
- ,, ,, line 45. Add—"When a respondent has given notice that he will contend that the decision below should be varied, and the appellant subsequently withdraws his appeal, such notice entitles the respondent to elect whether to continue or withdraw his cross-appeal. If he continues it the appellant may give a cross-notice that he will bring forward his original contention on the hearing of the respondent's appeal (The Beeswing, 10 P. D. 18)."
- ,, 516, line 28. Ex parte Arden is now reported in 14 Q. B. D. 121.
- ,, 544, line 17. Add a reference to Furness v. Davis, W. N. (1885), 14.
- ,, 681, line 21. Add a reference to Ex parte Hasker, 14 Q. B. D. 82.

STATUTES, ORDERS AND RULES

RELATING TO THE

CHANCERY DIVISION

OF THE

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

SOLICITORS ACT, 1843.

6 & 7 Vict. u. 73, ss. 37-43

6 & 7 VICT., CAP. 73, 88. 37-43.

An Act for consolidating and amending several of the Laws relating to Attorneys and Solicitors practising in England and Wales.

[22nd August, 1843.]

XXXVII. And be it enacted, that from and after the passing of Solicitors to this Act no attorney or solicitor (a), nor any executor, administrator, and not to or assignee (b) of any attorney or solicitor, shall commence or main-commence tain any action or suit (c) for the recovery of any fees, charges, or action for fees till one month disbursements for any business done by such attorney or solicitor (d), after delivery. until the expiration of one month (e) after such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney, or solicitor, shall have delivered (f) unto the party to be charged therewith, or sent by the post to or left (q) for him at his counting-house, office of business, dwelling-house, or last known place of abode, a bill (h) of such fees, charges, and disbursements, and which bill shall either be subscribed (i) with the proper hand of such attorney or solicitor (or, in the case of a partnership, by any of the partners, either with his own name or with the name or style of such partnership), or of the executor, administrator, or assignee (k) of such attorney or solicitor, or be enclosed in or accompanied by a letter subscribed in like manner referring to such bill (k);

AND upon the application of the party chargeable (1) by such bill Reference of within such month it shall be lawful, in case the business contained bills, whether in such bill or any part thereof shall have been transacted in the High business Court of Chancery (m), or in any other Court of Equity, or in any transacted in Court or not, matter of bankruptcy or lunacy, or in case no part of such business for taxation. shall have been transacted in any Court of Law or Equity (m) for the Lord High Chancellor or the Master of the Rolls (m), and in case any part of such business shall have been transacted in any other Court, for the Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, Exchequer (m), Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, or Court of Pleas at Durham. or any judge of either of them, and they are hereby respectively

6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, ss.37-43 required to refer(n) such bill, and the demand of such attorney or solicitor, executor, administrator, or assignee, thereupon to be taxed and settled by the proper officer of the court in which such reference shall be made without any money being brought into court; and the Court or judge making such reference shall restrain such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, from commencing any action or suit touching such demand pending such reference;

Taxation after one month.

And in case no such application as aforesaid shall be made within such month as aforesaid, then it shall be lawful for such reference to be made as aforesaid, either upon the application of the attorney or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, or assignee of the attorney or solicitor, whose bill may have been so as aforesaid delivered, sent, or left, or upon the application of the party chargeable by such bill, with such directions and subject to such conditions as the Court or judge making such reference shall think proper (o); and such Court or judge may restrain such attorney or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, from commencing or prosecuting any action or suit touching such demand pending such reference, upon such terms as shall be thought proper (p): Provided always, that no such reference as aforesaid shall be

Taxation after verdict;

directed upon an application made by the party chargeable with such bill after a verdict shall have been obtained or a writ of inquiry executed in any action(q) for the recovery of the demand of such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such or after twelve attorney or solicitor, or after the expiration of twelve months after such bill shall have been delivered, sent, or left as aforesaid, except under special circumstances (r), to be proved to the satisfaction of the Court or judge to whom the application for such reference shall be made; and upon every such reference, if either the attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of the attorney or solicitor, whose bill shall have been delivered, sent, or left, or the party chargeable with such bill, having due notice shall refuse or neglect to attend such taxation, the officer to whom such reference shall be made

may proceed to tax and settle such bill and demand ex parte;

months, under special circumstances.

Payment of costs of taxation.

And in case any such reference as aforesaid shall be made upon the application of the party chargeable with such bill, or upon the application of such attorney or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, and the party chargeable with such bill shall attend (s) upon such taxation, the costs of such reference shall, except as hereinafter provided for, be paid according to the event of such taxation; that is to say, if such bill when taxed be less by a sixth part than the bill delivered, sent, or left, then such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, shall pay such costs; and if such bill when taxed shall not be less by a sixth part than the bill delivered, sent, or left, then the party

6 & 7 Vict.

chargeable with such bill, making such application or so attending, shall pay such costs (t); and every order to be made for such reference c. 73, ss. 37-43 as aforesaid shall direct the officer to whom such reference shall be made to tax such costs of such reference to be so paid as aforesaid, and to certify what, upon such reference, shall be found to be due to or from such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, in respect of such bill and demand, and of the costs of such reference if payable: Provided also, that such officer shall in all cases be at liberty to certify specially any circumstances relating to such bill or taxation, and the Court or judge shall be at liberty to make thereupon any such order as such Court or judge may think right respecting the payment of the costs of such taxation: Provided also, that where such reference as aforesaid shall be made when the same is not authorised to be made except under special circumstances, as hereinbefore provided, then the said Court or judge shall be at liberty, if it shall be thought fit, to give any special directions relative to the costs of such reference:

Provided also, that it shall be lawful for the said respective Courts Court may and judges, in the same cases in which they are respectively authorised to deliver his to refer a bill which has been so as aforesaid delivered, sent, or left, to bill, and to make such order for the delivery (u) by any attorney or solicitor, or the deeds, &c. executor, administrator, or assignee of any attorney or solicitor, of such bill as aforesaid, and for the delivery (u) up of deeds, documents, or papers in his possession, custody, or power, or otherwise touching the same, in the same manner as has heretofore been done as regards such attorney or solicitor, by such Courts or judges respectively, where any such business had been transacted in the Court in which such order was made:

PROVIDED ALSO, that it shall not in any case be necessary in the first Evidence of instance for such attorney or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, bill. or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, in proving a compliance with this Act, to prove the contents of the bill he may have delivered, sent, or left, but it shall be sufficient to prove that a bill of fees, charges, or disbursements, subscribed in the manner aforesaid, or enclosed in or accompanied by such letter as aforesaid, was delivered, sent, or left in manner aforesaid; but nevertheless it shall be competent for the other party to show that the bill so delivered, sent, or left, was not such a bill as constituted a bond fide compliance with this Act.

It shall be lawful for any judge of the superior Courts of law and Power to equity to authorise an attorney or solicitor to commence an action or authorise action before suit for the recovery of his fees, charges, or disbursements against the expiration of party chargeable therewith, and also to refer his bill of fees, charges, month: Legal Pracand disbursements, and the demand of such attorney and solicitor titioners Act, thereupon, to be taxed and settled by the proper officer of the Court in 1875, s. 2. which such reference shall be made, although one month shall not have expired from the delivery of the bill of fees, charges, or disbursements, on proof to the satisfaction of the said judge that there is pro-

6 & 7 Vict.

bable cause for believing that the party chargeable therewith is about c. 73, 8s. 37-43 to quit England or to become a bankrupt or a liquidating or compounding debtor, or to take any other steps or do any other act which, in the opinion of the judge, would tend to defeat or delay such attorney or solicitor in obtaining payment (v).

Short title.

The Act is new called "The Solicitors Act, 1843;" see The Solicitors Act, 1877, 40 & 41 Vict. c. 25, s. 1.

"Attorney or selicitor." (a) Attorneys and solicitors are now styled "Solicitors of the Supreme Court" (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 87); and see Judicature Act, 1875, s. 14, and Judicature Act, 1881, s. 24, infra, as to power of adapting enactments to solicitors of the Supreme Court.

General jurisdiction over eolicitors.

As to the general jurisdiction over solicitors, see Cowdell v. Neale, 1 C. B. N. S. 332; Ex parte Lord Cawdross, 5 M. & W. 545; Ex parte Arrowsmith, 13 Ves. 125; Re Forsyth, 34 Beav. 140; on appeal, 2 De G. J. & S. 509; 13 W. R. 932; 12 L. T. 687; Judicature Act, 1873, s. 87.

The Act should be construed liberally for the client (Engleheart v. Moore, 15 M. & W. 548; Maddeford v. Austwick, 3 M. & Cr. 423; Williams v. Griffiths, 10 M.

& W. 125).

Action for account and taxation.

The summary jurisdiction given by the Act does not exclude the right of a client to bring an action against his solicitor for an account (Morgan v. Higgins, 5 Jur. N. S. 236; Lyddon v. Moss, 4 De G. & J. 104; O'Brien v. Lewis, 9 Jur. N. S. 321); N. S. 236; Lyddon v. Moss, 4 De G. & J. 104; O'Brien v. Lewis, 9 Jur. N. S. 321); or to enforce an agreement for delivery by petition (Re Bailey, 34 Beav. 392). But a cestui que trust, out of whose property the bills have been paid, cannot sue the solicitors of the trustees for an account and taxation of the bills (In re Spencer, Spencer v. Hart, 30 W. R. 296; W. N. (1881), 170).

(b) An assignee in bankruptcy was held to be within the Act (Re Walton, 4 K. & J. 78); and see Ingle v. McCutchan, 12 Q. B. D. 518, and note (k), infra, p. 5.

(c) As to pleading non-delivery, see Lane v. Glenny, 7 Ad. & Ell. 83; Morgan v. Ruddock, 10 Dowl. Pr. Ca. 311; Hitchins v. Tate, 7 C. B. 875; Flower v. Newton, 11 Jur. 875

Non-delivery.

11 Jur. 875.

Remedies of solicitor.

A solicitor may set off a bill before delivery (Lester v. Lazarus, 2 C. M. & R. 665; Brown v. Tibbits, 31 L. J. C. P. 406; 10 W. R. 465); or prove in bankruptcy (Ex parte Prideaux, 1 Gl. & Jam. 28; and see Ex parte Dewdney, 2 Rose, 59; Ex parte Steele, 16 Ves. 166); or sue on a promissory note or other collateral agreement (Jeffreys v. Evans, 14 M. & W. 210; 14 L. J. Ex. 363; Re Moss, 17 Beav. 346; Thomas v. Cross, 10 Jur. N. S. 1163; 13 W. R. 166). But he cannot recover on an account stated in respect of a bill of costs, unless the bill has been duly delivered (Brooks v. Bockett, 9 Q. B. 847). In Waugh v. Waddell, 16 Beav. 521, it was held that rending a reference for taxation a solicitor could not by with enforce a charge that pending a reference for taxation a solicitor could not by suit enforce a charge for costs given him by a married woman on her separate estate; see this case commented on in *Thomas* v. Cross. After taxation, an action by the solicitor on his bill is a contempt (Re Campbell, 3 De G. M. & G. 585).

A solicitor has no statutory right to have the amount of his charges ascertained by taxation only (Ex parte Ditton, Re Woods, 13 Ch. D. 318; 28 W. R. 408; 42 L. T. 161; where a solicitor who tendered a proof in the bankruptcy of his client in respect of costs due to him, was held not entitled to have his bill referred for taxation, as the registrar had jurisdiction to determine the amount due, availing himself, if necessary, of the advice of the taxing master).

"Business."

(d) These words only include business done in the character of solicitor, see note (t), infra, p. 8.

"Month."

(e) A calendar month is meant (s. 48 of the Act; Ryalls v. Reg., 12 Jur. 458). It is to be calculated exclusively of the days on which the bill is delivered and the

What is "de-livery."

It is to be calculated exclusively of the days on which the bill is delivered and the action brought (Blunt v. Heslop, 8 Ad. & Ell. 577).

(f) As to what constitutes delivery, see Eggington v. Cumberlege, 11 Jur. 932; Welsh v. Silwell, 11 Jur. 471; Blandy v. De Burgh, 6 C. B. 623; Dunn v. Hales, 1 F. & F. 174; Phipps v. Daubney, 16 Q. B. 514; Gridley v. Austen, 16 Q. B. 504, 511; Spier v. Bernard, 8 L. T. 396; Flower v. Newton, 11 Jur. 875; and in the case of a public officer, see Champ v. Stokes, 6 H. & N. 683; 7 Jur. N. S. 607; and in that of the committee of a public company, Edwards v. Lawless, 5 Rly. Ca. 357; Mant v. Smith, 4 H. & N. 324; Blandy v. De Burgh; Phipps v. Daubney. Delivery to a duly authorised agent of the client is sufficient (Rs Bush, 8 Beav. 66), or, semble, to his servant (M'Gregor v. Keily. 3 Exch. 794); but a delivery to his solicitor, or to a duly authorised agent of the chem is sufficient (its Buen, c Beav. 00), or, semous, to his servant (M'Gregor v. Keily, 3 Exch. 794); but a delivery to his solicitor, or to a friend or relation (Gridley v. Austen, 16 Q. B. 504, 511; Re Abbott, 4 L. T. 576), is not. The bill may be sent by post (Roberts v. Lucas, 11 Exch. 41), and in such a case it is enough if the envelope and a signed letter accompanying it be addressed to the party chargeable (ibid.; Taylor v. Hodgson, 3 D. & L. 115; and

see Manning v. Glyn, 1 Jones, Ir. Ex. Rep. 513). Where the action was brought against the executors of the client, a dolivery to the client himself in his lifetime c.73, ss. 37-43 was held enough (Reynolds v. Caswell, 4 Taunt. 193, under the 2 Geo. 2, c. 23; see, too, Tate v. Hitchens, 7 C. B. 875). Where clients were liable on a joint contract, delivery of the bill to one of them was held sufficient (Mant v. Smith). As to the mode of enforcing the order, see note (u), infra.

(g) The bill must be left, not merely shown (Phipps v. Daubney, 16 Q. B. 514; "Sent or left."

Crowder v. Shee, 1 Camp. 437).

(h) The bill, or some accompanying document (Taylor v. Hodgson, 3 D. & L. 115; Form of bill Roberts v. Lucas, 11 Exch. 41), must specify the persons to be charged (Gridley v. Austen, 16 Q. B. 504; Champ v. Stokes, 6 H. & N. 683), the Court in which the business was done (Lewis v. Primrose, 6 Q. B. 265; Dimes v. Wright, 8 C. B. 831), the name of the cause (Keene v. Ward, 13 Q. B. 515), and the particular items charged for (Drew v. Clifford, 2 C. & P. 69; Re Smith, 4 Beav. 309; Philby v. Hazle, 8 C. B. N. S. 647; Re Fender, 10 Beav. 390; Re Tilleard, 32 Beav. 476; Pilgrim v. Hirschfeld, 12 W. R. 51; Wilkinson v. Smart, 24 W. R. 42); and as to specifying the number of folios in deeds, see Re Foster, 2 De G. F. & J. 105.

As a general rule, it is sufficient if the bill gives such information as will enable

the client to obtain advice as to the taxation (Haigh v. Ousey, 7 Ell. & Bl. 578; Cook v. Gillard, 1 Ell. & Bl. 26; Frowd v. Stillard, 4 C. & P. 51; Sargent v. Gannon, 7 C. B. 742); and it need not be drawn in the technical form of a debtor and cre-

ditor account (Holmes v. Magrath, 5 Ir. Law Rep. 376).

(i) An unsigned bill accompanied by a signed letter reterring to the bills is sumcient (Re Bush, 8 Beav. 66; Renley v. Anstruther, 52 L. J. Ch. 367; 48 L. T. 665; W. N. (1883), 48). An unsigned bill of costs may be referred to taxation by the Client may party chargeable if he chooses to waive the irregularity (Re Pender, 8 Beav. 299; on waive irregularity (Re Pender, 9 Beav. 299; on waive irregularity is not bound to do so (Billing v. Coppock, 1 Exch. 14).

(k) The words of the Act are satisfied by an unsigned bill being sent enclosed "Assignee." Assignee." (i) An unsigned bill accompanied by a signed letter referring to the bills is suffi- Unsigned bill.

with a letter referring to the bill, and signed by a new firm of solicitors who have taken over the business and debts of an old firm, some of the business charged for having been done by the old firm and some by the new (Penley v. Anstruther, 52 L. J. Ch. 367; 48 L. T. 665; W. N. (1883), 48).

(l) A married woman having separate estate, which she has by agreement made Who, as party liable (Waugh v. Waddell, 16 Beav. 521; and see Re Pugh, 17 Beav. 336; Murray v. chargeable, Barlee, 3 M. & K. 209; Penley v. Anstruther; and the Married Women's Property msy apply for Act, 1882, infra); the next friend of an infant (Re Fluker, 20 Beav. 143; Re Flower, taxation. 19 W. R. 578); the executors (Jefferson v. Warrington, 7 M. & W. 137); or trustee in bankruptcy (Clarkson v. Parker, 7 Dowl. 87; but see Re Elmslie & Co., 9 Eq. 72), of the party originally liable, are parties chargeable within the Act; but an insolvent is not (Re Halsall, 11 Beav. 163; and see Re Leadbitter, 10 Ch. D. 388); nor an outlaw (Re Mander, 6 Q. B. 867); but see Re Heritage, Ex parte Docker, 3 Q. B. D. 726; 47 L. J. Q. B. 509; 26 W. R. 633; 38 L. T. 509; Re Simpson, W. N. (1878), 214; and the cases cited in note (w), infra, p. 10). A party in contempt is not incapacitated from applying (Newton v. Ricketts, 11 Beav. 67). As to enforcing payment by a married woman of a bill of costs which she has taxed, see Re Peace and Waller, 24 Ch. D. 405. Where exercise presents are ignitly chargestile they should concur in Ch. D. 405. Where several persons are jointly chargeable, they should concur in Persons the application (Re Lewin, 16 Beav. 608; Ex parte Mobbs, 8 Beav. 499; Re Perkins, jointly 8 Beav. 241); and an order obtained by one of them alone on an allegation that he chargeable. alone employed the solicitor, will be discharged as irregular (Re Perkins; Re Ilderton, 33 Beav. 201); and see Re Yetts, 33 Beav. 412. But it seems that if one of the parties so liable refuses to concur, the order may be obtained by the other (Lockhart v. Hardy, 4 Beav. 224: Re Hair, 10 Beav. 187). In Re Colquhoun, 5 De G. M. & G. 35; 23 L. J. Ch. 515, taxation was ordered on the application of one party, the retainer having been separate. See, too, Re Stephen, 2 Ph. 562; 17 L. J. Ch. 219.

As to the cases in which security for costs will be required from the person applying, see Re Pasmore, 1 Beav. 94; Re Dolman, 11 Jur. 1095; Ex parte Foley, 11 Beav. costs of taxation.

Overseers of the poor are bound to have a solicitor's bill taxed before they pay it; Who must and in case of neglect the payment may be disallowed (Re Overseers of Napton, 27

L. T. (O. S.) 124).

The client obtaining the order for taxation has to undertake to pay what shall be Undertaking

found due, and may have to pay charges which could not have been actively recovered by the solicitor; see Re Jones, 9 Eq. 63; Re Elmslie & Co., ibid. 72.

(m) The Court of Chancery is now the Chancery Division of the High Court of Chancery; and the Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas and Exchequer, have become the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court; see Judicature Act, 1873, &c. s. 31, as modified by Order in Council of December 16, 1880.

By the Judicature Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 68), s. 2, the Master of the Rolls Master of the

apply.

Rolls.

6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, ss. 37-43 Appeal.

ceased to be a judge of the High Court, but continues to be a judge of the Court of

As to the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster and the Court of Pleas at Durham,

see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 16.

Where orders for taxation may be obtained.

An order for taxation of costs in any Court may now be made by any judge of the High Court of Justice, the jurisdiction conferred by this section being transferred by sect. 16 of the Judicature Act, 1873, to the judges of the High Court (per Jessel, M. R. in Re Worth, 18 Ch. D. 521; 50 L. J. Ch. 262; 29 W. R. 371; 44 L. T. 462, where it was held that costs as between solicitor and client in a County Court action where the claim exceeded 201. might be taxed in the Chancery Division). Perhaps, too, an order for taxation of costs for business done in no Court, or in any matter of bankruptcy or lunacy, might now be obtained elsewhere than in the Chancery Division; see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 37; Re Simpson, W. N. (1878), 214; see, however, Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34 (2); Daniell, 2017. As to the scale of charges to be allowed, see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. rr. 8, 9, 10, infra.

Business done in no Court.

The following descriptions of charges were held to be for business done in no Court and therefore taxable in Chancery:

Charges for Parliamentary business (the equitable jurisdiction not being taken away by the House of Commons Costs Taxation Act, 1847, 10 & 11 Vict. c. 69, or the House of Lords Costs Taxation Act, 1849, 12 & 13 Vict. c. 78; Re Strother, 3 K. & J. 518; Re Station, 11 Beav. 400; Re Osborne, 25 Beav. 353); for obtaining an order at chambers for leave to enter up satisfaction on a bond given to the Crown (Re Gaitskell, 1 Ph. 576); for obtaining a married woman's acknowledgment (Exparte Branson, 4 Scott, 539); for business done in the Court of a revising barrister (Re Andrews, 17 Beav. 510). Where a solicitor was appointed returning officer for the election of a school heard and sent in his bill of expenses in the usual form of a bill of coefficient was held the bill of the sent of the se Will of costs, it was held the bill could be taxed (Re Jones, 13 Eq. 336); and see Wombwell v. Corporation of Barnsley, 36 L. T. 708.

Where the solicitor retained his bill and refused to produce it, it was assumed

that the Court had jurisdiction (*Ee Loughborough*, 23 Beav. 439).

The rule in the Court of Chancery was, that though, in general, the application need not be made to that branch of the Court which heard the suit (*Robins* v. *Mills*, 1 Beav. 227; Re Elmslie, 12 Beav. 538; Bingham v. Hallam, 9 L. J. Ch. 104), it was otherwise when the merits of the case entered into the question (Webb v. Grace, 12 Beav. 489).

Solicitor's agent's bill.

A solicitor may have his agent's bill taxed under the statute (Toghill v. Grant, 2 Beav. 261; Re Smith, 4 Beav. 309, and see note (t), p. 8, as to the items to be included).

Order before
(n) Orders of course, for delivery and taxation, may now be issued by any of the twelvemenths chief clerks in the Chancery Division (Mem. W. N. (1880), 7); and for forms of exparte and of orders to refer hills for taxation, see Seton, 604 seq. In the absence of special circumstances the order may be obtained exparte and as of course before twelve months. have elapsed from delivery (Holland v. Gwynne, 8 Beav. 124; Seton, 607); and on an application for taxation within the month the Court has no discretion to refuse to make the order, it is bound to make it (Ex parts Jarman, 4 Ch. D. 835). The costs of a special application may be refused where an ex parte application would have been sufficient (Re Bignold, 9 Beav. 269); and see Re Taylor, 15 Beav. 145; Re Atkinson, 26 Beav. 151; Re Adamson, 18 Beav. 460; Re Lett, 31 Beav. 488; Re Cattlin, 8 Beav. 121; Re Bracey, ibid. 338.

If sither party dies an order to continue the proceedings may be obtained on an

Order to continue. If either party dies an order to continue the proceedings may be obtained on an ex parte application (R. S. C. 1883, Ord. XVII. r. 4; Re Waugh, 29 Beav. 666; Re Nicholson, 29 Beav. 665; Re Whalley, 20 Beav. 576).

An order of course, though right on the merits, will be discharged if obtained in

An order of course, though right on the ments, will be discharged it obtained in a case where a special application was necessary (Harris v. Start, 4 M. & C. 261; Grove v. Sansom, 1 Beav. 297; Gregg v. Taylor, 1 Beav. 123).

An order of course was held not irregular merely because the solicitor had commenced an action for his costs (Re Farington, 33 Beav. 346), though after final judgment given in an action, the Court has no jurisdiction; see note (q), infra. So where the solicitor had set up his bill in defence to an action, but the bill had not have gone into this reached to be no recent for requiring a gravilla article in the second course. been gone into, this was held to be no reason for requiring a special application in Chancery for taxation (Re David, 30 Beav. 278)

Special appli-

A special application is necessary under the following circumstances:-

A special application is necessary under the following circumstances:—

(1) If the application is to tax only part of the bill claimed by the solicitor (Re necessary,
(1) If part
(1) If part
(2) Beav. 481; Re Byrch, 8 Beav. 124, followed in Ex parte Jarman, 4 Ch. D.
(3) Beav. 481; Re Byrch, 8 Beav. 124, followed in Ex parte Jarman, 4 Ch. D.
(3) Style Beav. 481; Re Byrch, 8 Beav. 124, followed in Ex parte Jarman, 4 Ch. D.
(4) Law, 21 Beav. 481; Re Hinton, 15 Beav. 192; Re Yetts, 33 Beav.
(4) Style Beav. 481; Re Hinton, 15 Beav. 192; Re Yetts, 33 Beav.
(4) Style Beav. 481; Re Byrch, 8 Beav. 192; Re Yetts, 18 Beav.
(4) Particular 32 Beav.
(4) Partic Perkins, 8 Beav. 241; Re Ilderton, 33 Beav. 201; Re Kitton, 35 Beav. 369); secus, where they are severally liable (Rs Hair, 10 Beav. 187).

(2) Where

(2) Where the professional employment or retainer is disputed (Re Eldridge, 12.

Beav. 387; Re Thurgood, 19 Beav. 51; and see Gillow v. Rider, 15 C. B. 729; Re Inderwick, 25 Ch. D. 279); but a special application need not be made because the client considers some of the proceedings to have been unnecessary—the taxing master will go into these questions (Re Atkinson, 26 Beav. 153); and see as to retainer being joint or several, Re Allen, Davies v. Chatwood, 11 Ch. D. 244.

(3) Where there is a special agreement (Re Winterbotham, 15 Beav. 80), e.g., to give the solicitor a lien (Re Mass 17 Beav 59: and see Re Rausom. 18 Beav. 220: (3) Where

give the solicitor a lien (Re Moss, 17 Beav. 59; and see Re Ranson, 18 Beav. 220; Re Fisher, 18 Beav. 183; Ward v. Lawson, 8 Ch. 65). A special agreement, respecting some part of the costs, unless it goes to the whole bill, is not held now to be necessarily a bar to a common order for taxation, though it was formerly considered to be so (Re Eyre, 10 Beav. 569; 2 Ph. 367; and see Re Philp, 2 Giff. 35; Re Forsyth, 34 Beav. 140; Re Thompson, 14 L. T. 6); but there must be no suppression of the fact of such an agreement, or the order will be discharged (Re Ingle, 21 Beav. 275. Re Carnen, 8 Beav. 436. Re Holland, 19 Beav. 314). Under the old 21 Beav. 275; Re Carven, 8 Beav. 436; Re Holland, 19 Beav. 314). Under the old law an agreement to charge a fixed sum in lieu of costs hereafter to be incurred was void; see Re Neuman, 30 Beav. 196; Pince v. Beattie, 11 W. R. 979; Philby v. Hazle, 7 Jur. N. S. 125; but see as to an agreement to pay a solicitor a fixed salary, Bush v. Martin, 33 L. J. Ex. 17; Galloway v. Corporation of London, 4 Eq. 90. For the present law as to agreements between solicitors and their clients, see the Solicitors Act, 1870, 33 & 34 Vict. c. 28, ss. 4—15, infra, p. 20.

Any irregularity in obtaining the order may be waived by the solicitor (Re Field, 16 Reav 502: Re Warrell 29 Reav 624: Re Hair 11 Reav 96: Re Revum 12 W R.

16 Beav. 593; Re Wavell, 22 Beav. 634; Re Hair, 11 Beav. 96; Re Bevan, 12 W. R.

196; Re Bartrum, ibid. 660).

For cases where a bill might have been filed, see Re Forsyth, 34 Beav. 140; Ward

v. Lawson, 8 Ch. 65; Rees v. Williams, L. R. 10 Ex. 200.

(o) This application may be made ex parte. See note(n), and see also Re Gaitskell, Application 1 Ph. 576; Re Pender, 2 Ph. 69. For forms of order under this clause, see Re after one Bromley, 7 Beav. 488; Re Becke, 5 Beav. 406; Re Field, 16 Beav. 593.

(p) See note (c), supra. Under the present practice the order only restrains the commencement of proceedings (Re Field, W. N. (1877), 244).

(q) See Re Barnard, 2 De G. M. & G. 359. But where judgment at law had gone Verdict a bar against the client by default, but no writ of inquiry to assess the damages had been to taxation. executed, such judgment was held not to be a final judgment so as to prevent taxation in equity (S. C. 16 Beav. 5).

(r) Special applications are made by summons in chambers (R. S. C. 1883, Ord. After twelve LV. Pt. I. r. 2 (15)).

Twelve months after delivery, even an unsigned bill can only be taxed under Special cirspecial circumstances (Re Gedye, 14 Beav. 56), which may be matters appearing on the face of the bill (Re Robinson, L. R. 3 Ex. 4), so that to entitle a client to taxation after twelve months he must show either "pressure accompanied by some overcharge," or, "gross overcharge amounting to fraud" (Re Strother, 3 K. & J. 528; Re M'Ray, 15 L. T. 101); mere overcharge, not amounting to fraud, is not enough (Re Harle, 17 W. R. 21; 19 L. T. 305).

But as to taxation after twelve months in cases where there is an order to tax outside the Act, see Ex parte Blair, 5 Ch. 482; De Bay v. Griffin, 10 Ch. 291.

As to what circumstances constitute such pressure or fraudulent overcharge, see Pressure and note (g) to sect. 41, infra, on "application to tax after payment" on which appli- overcharge.

cations the question more frequently arises (Re Williams, 15 Beav. 417).

The Court will require the delay to be accounted for. Thus, a dispute and correspondence as to alleged omissions in the hill were held to be a sufficient apology accounting for for the lateness of the application in Re Bagshawe, 2 De G. & Sm. 205; and see Binns the delay. v. Hey, 1 D. & L. 661; 13 L. J. Q. B. 28; but the circumstance that the solicitor was suing at law for his fees (Bennett v. Hill, 21 L. T. (O. S.) 101), or that he had possession of the papers in the suit (Re Gedye, 14 Beav. 56; Sayer v. Wagstaff, 5 Beav. 415; Re Pugh, 32 Beav. 173; 1 De G. J. & S. 673), was not.

Where a solicitor has been retained for a particular business, his bill of costs for Where there

carrying it through generally constitutes one bill (Stokes v. Trumper, 2 K. & J. 232; are successive and see Re Peach, 2 D. & L. 33). But successive bills of costs in such matters as bills, the last bankruptcy, administration, and winding-up, are not necessarily to be treated as only delivered one bill brought down to the date of the latest delivery (Re Hall and Barker (Jessel, M.R.) within the 9 Ch. D. 538; 47 L. J. Ch. 625; 26 W. R. 501); and see Re Cartwright (Selborne, year. L.C.) 16 Eq. 469, where, however, under the circumstances, taxation of a series of bills, most of them delivered more than twelve months, was directed (Re Street, 10 Eq. 165). The continuance of the relation of solicitor and client after delivery has of relation been considered a material circumstance (Re Nicholson, 3 De G. F. & J. 93; Exparte Flower, 18 L. T. 457; S. C. nom. Re F., 16 W. R. 749); but in Re Elmslie & Co., 16 Eq. 326; 42 L. J. Ch. 570; 28 L. T. 731, this alone was held not sufficient; and see Re Cartwright.

So where a client has not had proper opportunity to examine the bill, taxation Where client after twelve months has been allowed (Re Williams, 15 Beav. 417, where the bill has not had

6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, ss. 37-43

there is a special agree-

Irregularity in order waived.

Continuance of relation of solicitor and client.

6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, ss. 37-43

opportunity to examine. Laches and acquiescence. Limita of

taxation. Winding-up order.

Where party chargeable doea not attend. Coats of

taxation. Items to be included.

Disbursements.

was delivered just as the client was going abroad); but the client must show that there has been no undue laches or acquiescence on his part, that the special circumstances are such as he could not with reason have availed himself of aconer (Re Barnard, 2 De G. M. & G. 359; but see Rs Strother, 3 K. & J. 518). For cases where lapse of time and laches have been a bar to taxation, see Rs Vines, 2 De G. M. & G. 842; Blagrave v. Routh, 8 De G. M. & G. 620 (where the application was by bill). And

ace note (h), p. 13, post.

In ordering taxation after twelve months the Court will, if necessary, restrict the taxation within certain limits (Re Nicholson, 3 De G. F. & J. 93). Compare Allen v.

Jarvis, 4 Ch. 616.

A bill taxable in point of time at the date of a winding-up order, and a bill aubsequently delivered to the official liquidator, must both be taxed before payment, although twelve months may have elapsed since delivery of the second bill, the effect of the winding-up order being to suspend the operation of the twelve months' rule (Ex parte Evans, 11 Eq. 151; and see Re James, 4 De G. & Sm. 183).

(s) Solicitors proceeding under the common order for taxation (which follows the

words of the statute) must, in any event, pay the coats of the reference themselves if the party chargeable does not attend the taxation (Re Upperton, 30 W. R. 840).

(t) It is important with reference to the costs of taxation to consider what items included by the solicitor in his bill will be allowed him on a reference for taxation.

Only those payments are allowed on taxation which are made by the solicitor in his professional capacity; see the certificate of the taxing-masters in Re Rennant, 11 Beav. 603; and for the taxing-master's discretion as to the amount, see Re Page, 32 Beav. 487. Other disbursements should be included in a separate account; but see Waring v. Williams, 2 Beav. 1. Payments made to counsel for business have been held professional disbursements (Franklin v. Featherstonhaugh, 1 Ad. & Ell. 478); even when the client appropriated a special sum for such payment, leaving the solicitor no discretion (Re Bedson, 9 Beav. 5; but see Re Metcaife, 30 Beav. 406; Daniell, 2032). Cash payments made by the solicitor in proceedings where he was not professionally concerned are not allowed to be included (*Hemming v. Witton*, 4 C. & P. 318; *Prothero v. Thomas*, 6 Taunt. 196; *Re Lees*, 5 Beav. 410); and see *Latham v. Hyde*, 1 Cromp. & Mees. 128; *Fearne v. Wilson*, 6 B. & Cr. 86. Thus the fees of a solicitor acting as ateward to a manor, are not professional disbursements (*Allen v. Aldridge*, 5 Beav. 401); but business done by a solicitor "retained to act as electionegring agent and to advise and assist the committee," may be to act as electioneering agent, and to advise and assist the committee," may be included (*Re Osborne*, 25 Beav. 353; 4 Jur. N. S. 296). Compare *Re Oliver*, 15 W. R. 331; 36 L. J. Ch. 261.

As to the power of the taxing-master to disallow items caused by the solicitor's

negligence, see Re Massey and Carey, 26 Ch. D. 459.

A solicitor may apply for taxation of his agent's bill of costa (see p. 6, ante,) and though agency business includes many transactions it may be taxed in one bill, generally on the terms of the applicant paying a aum of money into Court (Billing v. Coppock, 1 Exch. 14; Smith v. Dimes, 4 Ex. 32; Re Smith, 9 Beav. 342; Jones v. Roberts, 8 Sim. 397; Harvey v. Mayhew, 2 W. R. 128); and see Re Bowen, 41 L. J. Ch. 327; 20 W. R. 395.

No alteration after reference to master, ex-cept by leave of Court.

Taxation of agency bills.

> After the bill has been referred for taxation under this statute (see Davis v. Earl of Dysart, 21 Beav. 124; 8 De G. M. & G. 33), no alteration can be made in it (Haye v Trotter, 5 B. & Ad. 1106; Re Wells, 8 Beav. 416; Re Catlin, 18 Beav. 519), except by consent, or on a special application for leave to amend (Re Andrews, 17 Beav. 510, 514). Thus leave was given to amend by inserting omitted items, and increasing undercharges (see, however, Re Tilleard, 32 Beav. 476); but not to decrease overcharges (Re Whalley, 20 Beav. 576), nor to withdraw items improperly inserted (Re Carven, 25 Beav. 476). Re Richard items improperly inserted (Re Carven, 27 Beav. 376).

8 Beav. 436; Re Jones, ibid. 479; Re Blakesly, 32 Beav. 379).

So a solicitor, whose bill was referred for taxation, was not allowed to have a previous bill against the same client, which he had retained, included in the taxation, for the purpose of saving coats of taxation (Re Gregg, 30 Beav. 259); but it has been doubted whether under an order for a general taxation, a solicitor can have items included which have been taxed and paid under a previous particular taxation (Tarbuck v. Tarbuck, 4 Beav. 149; and see Ex parte Quilter, 4 De G. & Sm.

Nor any time after delivery, unless under special ciroumstances.

Rule as to one-sixth.

As soon as a solicitor has delivered his bill he is bound by it, and cannot substitute a second bill for it, even before notice of an order to tax is served on him; and unless there are very special reasons he must abide by the bill as delivered (Re Heather, 5 Ch. 694; 39 L. J. Ch. 781; 18 W. R. 1079; In re Hobroyde, 29 W. R. 599; 43 L. T. 722; W. N. (1881), 6; Re Chambers, 34 Beav. 177; 13 W. R. 375). The rule as to one-sixth is imperative in an ordinary reference to taxation (Re Woollett, 12 M. & W. 504; and see Higgins v. Woolcott, 5 B. & C. 760). Where

the reference is special, or the master has certified specially, the statute makes an exception, and the costs of the reference are in the discretion of the judge.

Where in a suit against a solicitor for a general account, more than one-sixth was

taken off in the suit outside the taxation, but less than one-sixth on the taxation, 6 & 7 Vict. the Court allowed the solicitor the costs of the taxation (May v. Biggenden, 24 Beav. c. 73, ss. 37-43 207). Where taxation was directed pending an action for the costs, and more than one-sixth was taken off, the Court ordered the costs of the reference to be paid by the solicitor, and the costs of the action by the client (Re Hair, 11 Beav. 96). See contrà, before the Act, Toghill v. Grant, 6 Beav. 348. As to the costs of taxation of several bills, see Beardsall v. Cheetham, 31 L. T. (O. S.) 115; Ex parte Barrett, 3

D. & Ch. 731; Ex parte Pullen, W. N. (1883), 20.

When more than one-sixth is taken off the bill of an insolvent or bankrupt ecli- Where citor, see as to the costs of the taxation Re Peers, 21 Beav. 520; Re Peile, 25 Beav. Solicitor is bankrupt. 561; Re Cole, 2 Sim. & St. 463; Whalley v. Williamson, 6 Man. & Gr. 269; Re Bar-bankrupt. trum, 12 W. R. 699.

Where the master disallows some items and adds others, the bill is to be con-Calculation sidered as increased by the items allowed and then reduced by the sums disallowed of one-sixth. (Re Hartley, 2 Jur. N. S. 448; and see Reg. v. Eastwood, 6 Ell. & Bl. 285; Re Clark, 13 Beav. 173; 1 De G. M. & G. 43; and in bankruptcy, Exparte Watts, 2 M. & A. 621; Exparte Christy, 3 M. & A. 88); and as to taking into account items struck out as chargeable against another person, see Re Clark, and the taxing-master's certificate in that case, 13 Beav. 181—3; Re Colquboun, 1 Sm. & G. app. 1; S. C. on appeal, 5 De G. M. & G. 35, from which it seems that such items must be reckoned in as against the solicitor.

Where a bill was ordered to be taxed (questions as to liability being reserved) and Where client less than a sixth was struck off, it was held that, whatever might be the result of pays costs. the question reserved, the client must pay the costs of taxation (Re Shaw, 20 L. J.

Q. B. 280).

As to the costs where the solicitor delivers a bill but offers to take less, see Re

Carthew, 27 Ch. D. 485; Re Paull, ibid.; 32 W. R. 876, 901.

(u) Orders under this section for delivery of a solicitor's bill or papers may be en-Delivery of forced by writ of attachment or by committal; see R. S. C. (1883), Ord. XI-I. r. bill, how 5, Ord. XI-II. rr. 7, 24, infra; Ex parte Alcock, 1 C. P. D. 68; 24 W. R. 320; 33 enforced. L. T. 523; Ex parte Belton, 25 Beav. 368.

When the order was disobeyed, and the solicitor swore that he had no papers from which he could make out his bill, the Court refused to commit him for non-delivery (Re Ker, 12 Beav. 390). No action lay at law for disobedience to the order (Dent v. Busham, 9 Exch. 469). A solicitor had further time given him to make out his bill on payment of the costs of his motion (Re Dendy, 21 Beav. 565).

The Court will, before the completion of a taxation, order the delivery up of Delivery of papers by a solicitor to his client, either upon payment into Court of the amount papers to claimed, or on security being given for payment (Re Jewitt, 34 Beav. 22); or in case client. it appears from the solicitor's own account that a balance is due from him to his client (Re Bevan and Whitting, 33 Beav. 439, where the solicitor had been dis-

charged; see 6 Eq. 328).

It is discretionary with the Court whether or not to insert a direction for delivery up of papers in the common order for taxation; see Ex parte Jarman, 4 Ch. D. 835; 46 L. J. Ch. 485, where the rule is laid down by Jessel, M. R., following Re Byrch, 8 Beav. 124, in preference to Re Teague, 11 Beav. 318. See also Re Pender, 8 Beav. 299.

As to the solicitor's right to enforce his lien for costs by retaining papers, see Re Mosely, 15 W. R. 975, and post, p. 17. If the solicitor discharges himself, pendente lite, an order may be obtained for delivery up of papers to the new solicitor, without prejudice to the lien, the new solicitor undertaking to return them within a limited time after the conclusion of the action (Robins v. Goldingham, 13 Eq. 440; Hesley v. Metcalfe, 3 M. & C. 183; Wilson v. Emmet, 19 Beav. 233; Re Williams, 28 Beav. 465); and see Cane v. Martin, 2 Beav. 584.
(v) The Legal Practitioners' Act, 1875, 38 & 39 Vict. c. 79, repealed the proviso

at the end of s. 37 of the Solicitors Act, 1843, and substituted for it the more com-

prehensive provision given in the text.

XXXVIII. And be it enacted, that where any person (w) not the Bills may be party chargeable with any such bill within the meaning of the pro-the applicavisions hereinbefore contained, shall be liable to pay or shall have tion of third paid such bill, either to the attorney or solicitor, his executor, adminis- parties liable trator, or assignee, or to the party chargeable with such bill as aforesaid; it shall be lawful for such person, his executor, administrator, or assignee, to make such application (x) for a reference for the taxation and settlement of such bill as the party chargeable therewith might

6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, ss. 37-43

himself make, and the same reference and order shall be made thereupon, and the same course pursued in all respects, as if such application was made by the party so chargeable with such bill as aforesaid: Provided always, that in case such application is made when, under the provisions herein contained, a reference is not authorized to be made except under special circumstances (y), it shall be lawful for the Court or judge to whom such application shall be made to take into consideration any additional special circumstances applicable to the person making such application, although such circumstances might not be applicable to the party so chargeable with the said bill as aforesaid if he was the party making the application (z).

Who entitled

(w) Under this section, the bill of a mortgagee's solicitor for business done in

Who entitled to apply under this section, the bill of a mortgagee's solicitor for business done in to apply under this section. This section. This section. This section is the mortgaged estate, may be taxed by the mortgageo (Re Carew, 8 Beav. 160; Re Less, 5 Beav. 410; Re Weils, 8 Beav. 416), or by subsequent incumbrancers (Re Taylor, 18 Beav. 165; Re Jessop, 32 Beav. 406). The official manager of a wound-up company obtained an order under this section (Re Vardy, 20 L. J. Ch. 467; 325); as to a trustee in bankruptcy, see Re Elmsite, 9 Eq. 72.

A person who has undertaken to pay the costs of another as between party and party is not entitled to an order for taxation under this section (Re Grundy, Kershave & Co.; Venney, 1, 17 Ch. D. 108; 50 L. J. Ch. 467; 29 W. R. 581; Re Coundell, 52 L. J. Ch. 246; 31 W. R. 335; W. N. (1883), 18); seeus, where the undertaking is to pay the costs as between solicitor and client (Vincent v. Venner, 1 My. & Ke. 212; Re Hartley, 30 Beav. 620, as explained in Re Grundy, Kershave & Co.; Wombwell v. Compraction of Barnsley, 36 L. T. 708). As to an agreement to pay a lump sum for costs, see Re Heritage, 3 Q. B. D. 726; 47 L. J. Q. B. 509; 26 W. R. 633; 38 L. T. 509; Re Morris, 27 L. T. 554; Re Griffith, 32 W. R. 350. A mere volunteer, under no previous liability, who undertakes to pay a solicitor's bill, cannot have it taxed (Re Beske, 5 Beav. 406); nor can a person who is only remotely interested in the payment of the bill (Re Barber, 14 M. & W. 720).

Not unless the feliant of the solicitor of the bill (Re Barber, 14 M. & W. 720).

Taxation on what principle.

Not all the solicitor of the solicitor and the complete of the bill (Re Barber, 14 M. & W. 720).

Taxation on what principle.

Taxation on what principle.

Taxation on What principle.

A private and private arrangement between the solicitor and the temediate client, not as between the solicitor and the third party paying was not to affect the mode of taxation.

He have the solicitor and the section in the section is a sequence

Court may direct taxation of bills chargeable on executors, &c.

XXXIX. And be it enacted, that it shall be lawful in any case in which a trustee, executor, or administrator has become chargeable with any such bill as aforesaid, for the Lord High Chancellor or the Master of the Rolls, if in his discretion he shall think fit (a) upon the application of a party interested (b) in the property out of which such

6 & 7 Vict.

trustee (b), executor, or administrator may have paid or be entitled to pay such bill, to refer the same, and such attorney's or solicitor's, or c. 73, ss. 37-43 executor's, administrator's, or assignee's demand thereupon, to be taxed and settled by the proper officer of the High Court of Chancery, with such directions and subject to such conditions as such judge shall think fit, and to make such order as such judge shall think fit for the payment of what may be found due, and of the costs of such reference to or by such attorney, or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, by or to the party making such application, having regard to the provisions herein contained relative to applications for the like purpose by the party chargeable with such bill, so far as the same shall be applicable to such cases, and in exercising such discretion as aforesaid, the said judge may take into consideration the extent and nature of the interest of the party making the application: Provided always, that where any money shall be so directed to be paid by such attorney or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, it shall be lawful for such judge, if he should think fit, to order the same, or any part thereof, to be paid to such trustee, executor, or administrator so chargeable with such bill, instead of being paid to the party making such application; and when the party making such application shall pay any money to such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor, in respect of such bill, he shall have the same right to be paid by such trustee, executor, or administrator so chargeable with such bill as such attorney or solicitor, or executor, administrator, or assignee of such attorney or solicitor. had.

(a) For form of order, see Re Dawson, 28 Beav. 605. The proceedings under this Application section must be by special application (Re Straford, 16 Beav. 27); i. e., by summons by cestui que in chambers (R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. Pt. I. r. 2 (15)).

The taxation under this section is as between the solicitor and the immediate trust.

Taxation,

client; but a solicitor cannot charge against a trust estate anything not necessary on what for the administration thereof, although expressly directed by the trustee; for payment of such charges he must look to the trustee personally (Re Brown, 4 Eq. 464;

ment of such charges he must look to the trustee personally (Re Brown, 4 Eq. 464; Principle. 15 W. R. 1030; 16 L. T. 729).

Taxation of a bill may be ordered under this and the last section after payment or security given for the amount by the trustee or executor (Re Dickson, 8 De G. ment.

M. & G. 655), but in such case the cestui que trust seeking to tax must show overcharges amounting to fraud (ibid.). Lord Romilly, M. R., seems to have held a different opinion, see Re Drake, 22 Beav. 438; Re Blackmore, 13 Beav. 154; Re Dawson, 28 Beav. 605; and see note (w), p. 10, on taxation by third party.

For form of order where the trustee was dead, see Re Hallett, 21 Beav. 250, and Where trustee Allen v. Jarvis, 4 Ch. 616, where the trustee was also solicitor, and had retained dead.

his bill.

(b) A bankrupt who has obtained his discharge and become entitled to the surplus "Party info his estate cannot obtain taxation of a bill of costs paid by the trustee in the terested." bankruptcy; he is not a "party interested," and the trustee in bankruptcy is not a "trustee" within the meaning of the Act (Re Leadbitter, 10 Ch. D. 388; 26 W. R. 853; 39 L. T. 12).

XL. And be it enacted, that for the purpose of any such reference Copy of bill to upon the application (c) of the person not being the party chargeable to person within the meaning of the provisions of this Act as aforesaid, or of a making appliparty interested as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for such Court or reference for

taxation.

6 & 7 Vict. o. 73, ss. 37-43

No retaxation.

judge to order any such attorney or solicitor, or the executor, administrator, or assignee of any such attorney or solicitor, to deliver to the party making such application a copy of such bill, upon payment of the costs of such copy: Provided always, that no bill which shall have been previously taxed and settled shall be again referred unless. under special circumstances, the Court or judge to whom such application is made shall think fit to direct a re-taxation thereof.

Delivery of bill to cestui que trust.

(c) For form of order under this section, see Seton, 622; and see Re Blackmore, 13 Beav. 154, 161.

Taxation of bill after payment.

XLI. And be it enacted, that the payment (d) of any such bill as aforesaid (e) shall in no case preclude the Court or judge to whom application shall be made from referring such bill for taxation (f)if the special circumstances of the case(g) shall in the opinion of such Court or judge appear to require the same, upon such terms and conditions and subject to such directions as to such Court or judge shall seem right, provided the application for such reference be made within twelve calendar months after payment (h).

Security is equivalent to payment. Retainer by solicitor is not.

(d) The giving of security is for the purpose of this section equivalent to payment (Ex parte Turner, 5 De G. M. & G. 540; Sayer v. Wagstaff, 5 Beav. 415; 13 L. J. Ch. 161; 14 L. J. Ch. 116; Re Harper, 10 Beav. 284; Re Currie, 9 Beav. 602; but see Re Drake, 22 Beav. 438; Re Harries, 13 M. & W. 3); but retainer by the solicitor without delivery of a bill or settlement of accounts is not (Re Bignold, 9 Beav. 270; Re Steele, 20 L. J. Ch. 562; Re Street, 10 Eq. 165; Re Angove, 46 L. T. 280; Re Cawley and Whatley, 18 W. R. 1125; Re Brady, 15 W. R. 632). See, however, Ex parte Hemming, 28 L. T. (O. S.) 144; Re Gregg, 30 Beav. 259; Ex parte Shackell, 2 De G. M. & G. 842; Allen v. Jarvis, 4 Ch. 616.

Payment on account is not such payment as to require a special application where

Payment on account is not such payment as to require a special application where the application is made within twelve months from the delivery of the bill (Re Woodard, 18 W. R. 37).

(e) These words apply to all bills mentioned in the previous part of the Act, including an unsigned bill (Re Sutton, 11 Q. B. D. 377), and bills sought to be taxed by third parties (Re Downes, 5 Beav. 425).

(f) For form of order for taxation after payment, see Seton, 618.

The application was formerly by petition served on the solicitor, and it was held

Form of order.

Mode of application. that the petition should state when payment was made (Re Mash, 15 Beav. 83). It is now made by summons in chambers (R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. Pt. I. r. 2 (15)). As to the effect of delay in making the application, see "laches and acquiescence,"

"Special circumstances.'

(g) A great variety of cases have been decided as to the meaning of the words "special circumstances." They have generally been held to signify either "pressure, accompanied by some overcharge," or "overcharges or errors so gross as to amount to fraud." It will be convenient to consider each ground under a separate

(1) Pressure accompanied by some overcharge. Refusal to

(I) Cases of "pressure accompanied by some overcharge" generally occur where a client has been practically obliged to pay a bill of costs without having an opportunity of inspecting and examining it, either because—

(a) The solicitor having deeds in his possession which he knew it was of import-

deliver up papers.

(a) The solicitor naving useds in his possession which he knew it was or importance to the client to obtain without delay, refused to deliver them up unless his bill was first paid (Re Pugh, 32 Beav. 173; 1 De G. J. & S. 673; 11 W. R. 762, and cases there cited; Re Elmslie, 12 Beav. 538; Re Alcock, 2 Coll. 92; Re Lett, 31 Beav. 488; 32 L. J. Ch. 100; 11 W. R. 15; 7 L. T. 303, where the solicitor delivered two bills, one on a higher the other on a lower scale, and the client having paid the higher bill under pressure, the delivery of the lower one was held no defence to the order for taxation; Re Phillpotts, 18 Beav. 84; Re Newman, 2 Ch. 707, where the defence was set up that the client had himself created the difficulty which made the deeds necessary to him);

Threats to enforce securities.

(6) Or because the solicitor having securities of the client in his possession threatened to enforce them unless the bill was paid at once (Re Rance, 22 Beav. 177; Re Kinneir, 7 W. R. 175; Re Foster, 2 De G. F. & J. 105);

(y) Or, generally, where the solicitor has taken undue advantage of the exigencies of the client's position (Re Stephen, 2 Ph. 562; Nokes v. Warton, 5 Beav. 448; see

Re Wyche, 11 Beav. 209).

If the client has had an opportunity of examining and taxing the bill, these cases do not apply, and even a week was held sufficient opportunity in Re Welchman, 11 Beav. 319 (comp. Re Mash, 15 Beav. 83); a fortnight in Re Neate, 10 Beav. 181; three weeks in Re Neate, ibid. 57; and see Re Drew, ibid. 368; Re Currie, 9 Beav. 602; Nokes v. Warton, 5 Beav. 448; Re Boyle, 5 De G. M. & G. 540; Re Browne, 1 De G. M. & G. 322, where it was said that the pressure must have been of such a kind as to have rendered it impossible or difficult to have had the costs taxed before payment and in the ordinary course; Re Barrow, 17 Beav. 547, where the M. R. said that the doctrine of pressure in cases of taxation after payment is not to be extended; and Re Heritage, Ex parte Docker, 3 Q. B. D. 726; 47 L. J. Q. B. 509; 26 W. R. 633; 38 L. T. 509.

In all such cases the Court will only direct taxation if there is at least a reasonable belief that if the bill be taxed, some of the charges will be disallowed (Re Stadden, 10 Beav. 488); therefore some items of overcharge ought to be pointed out (Re Brady, 15 W. R. 632); though not necessarily such as to amount to fraud (Re Wells, 8 Beav. 416; Re Hubbard, 15 Beav. 251; Re Abbott, 18 Beav. 393; Re Towle, 30 Beav. 170; Re Newman, 2 Ch. 707); and the absence of any affidavit or other proof as to items of overcharge will be a reason for dismissing the application (Ex parte Barton, 4 De G. M. & G. 108; 16 Beav. 585).

So the fact that the bill was paid under protest is only material if connected with Payment circumstances of pressure or overcharge (Re Neate, 10 Beav. 181-183); and where a bill is paid under protest, the particular items objected to should, if possible, be pointed out (Re Davie, 8 W. R. 15); and see Re Dearden, 9 Ex. 210; Re Bayley, 18 Beav. 415.

Payment for the purpose of etaying proceedings in an action is no bar to taxation, Payment in

R. S. C. 1883, Ord. III. r. 7, infra.

(2) Where there is no pressure the Court will only order taxation after payment on proof of "overcharges amounting to fraud" (Re Strother, 3 K & J. 518; Horlock v. Smith, 2 M. & Cr. 495; Re Dickson, 8 De G. M. & G. 655).

Some of the particular charges relied on must be pointed out (Re Browne, 1 De G. M. & G. 322, 333; Re Foster, 2 De G. F. & J. 105; Dunt v. Dunt, 9 Beav. 146; Re Towle, 30 Beav. 170); but not all (Re Dawson, 28 Beav. 605).

Trifling items will be insufficient (Re Drake, 8 Beav. 123; Re Thompson, ibid. 237;

Re Bayley, 18 Beav. 415).

And generally the onus is on the applicant to show that the charges under the Onus is on special circumstances were frandulent; see Re Walsh, 12 Beav. 490; Re Towle, 30 the client. Beav. 170, where charges for eight attendances in one day were held not sufficient to open a paid bill; Re Boyle, 5 De G. M. & G. 540; 24 L. J. Ch. 71, where 240 letters were written in one year; and Re Harle, 17 W. R. 21.

But where the solicitor had before action offered to take 40% in lieu of a bill of Circum-681., it was held a case for reference to taxation after twelve months (Hughes v. stances evi-Murray, 9 L. T. 93); and in Re Loughborough, 23 Beav. 439, the solicitor kept back dencing

his bill, and fraud was presumed against him.

And when a considerable portion of the bill is for business which in the exercise Fraudulent of a fair and honest discretion ought never to have been done, the Court directs items. taxation (Massie v. Drake, 4 Beav. 433: Re Lees, 5 Beav. 410; Re Barrow, 17 Beav. 547; Re Dickson, 8 De G. M. & G. 655; Horlock v. Smith, 2 M. & Cr. 495; Waters v. Taylor, ibid. 526; Re Brady, 15 W. R. 632); and see Cook v. Earl of Rosslyn, 8 Jur. N. S. 875; Re Hook, 3 Giff. 372.

Where the overcharges evidence actual fraud, very slight circumstances will Actual fraud. induce the Court to re-open the taxation (Re Harding, 10 Beav. 250; Nokes v. Warton, 5 Beav. 448). So where the solicitor has also acted as a trustee (Todd v. Wilson, 15 L. J. Ch. 450; but see Stanes v. Parker, 10 Jur. 603).

See further, with reference to great overcharges, in addition to the cases cited Gross overabove, Ex parte Andrews, 13 L. J. Ch. 222; Ex parte Hemming, 28 L. T. (O. S.) 144; charges. Sayer v. Wagstaff, 5 Beav. 415; Re Bennett, 8 Beav. 467; Re Sladden, 10 Beav. 488; Newton v. Boodle, 4 C. B. 359; Re Dearden, 9 Ex. 210.

Where on a petition being presented for taxation of a paid bill, the solicitor offered to repay items objected to, and the petitioner nevertheless brought on his petition for hearing, the Court ordered taxation, treating those items as omitted (Re Catlin, 23 Beav. 412; but see Ex parte Hemming).

See further, as to special circumstances, Re Whicher, 13 M. & W. 549; Re Wilton,

(h) As to the mode of calculating the time, see Blunt v. Heslop, 8 Ad. & Ell. 577, Twelve cited in note (e), p. 4; and in cases where security has been given, see cases cited months after in nete (d), p. 12. When (under the former practice) the application was by petition, payment,

6 & 7 Viet. c. 73, ss. 37-43

Generally taking an advantage. Exception where client has had opportunity to examine bill.

Some overcharge should be proved.

under protest.

stay of preceedings.

(2) Fraudulent overcharge (without pressure).

6 & 7 Viet.

the petition was considered as presented on the day of answering it (Sayer v. c. 73, ss. 37-43 Wagstaff, 5 Beav. 415).

strictly

Where a petition was presented within twelve months, but no order was made, the Court refused to allowit to stand over for amendment, twelve months having in

calculated, an absolute bar to taxation.

the meantime expired (Burwell v. Brooks, 7 Beav. 345).

The twelve months are an absolute bar to the application if made under the Act (Re Harper, 10 Beav. 284; Re Downes, 5 Beav. 425; Binns v. Hey, 1 D. & L. 661; 13 L. J. Q. B. 28; Ex parte Hemming, 28 L. T. (O. S.) 144; Ex parte Pemberton, 2 De G. M. & G. 960); unless, perhaps, actual fraud be shown (ibid. per Lord Cranworth); and the rule is the same in the case of an application by a third party or a

Laches and acquiescence. worth), and that the transition of the control of t

Where a client having fresh advice kept the bill two months in his possession and then paid it, such delay was held by Vice-Chancellor Wood to be fatal to an application for taxation on the ground of overcharge; but the Lords Justices, being of opinion that the bill was originally paid under circumstances amounting to pressure, reversed this decision, and ordered taxation of the bill (Re Foster, 2 De G. F. & J.

Where time does not run against client. 105; 8 W. R. 620; and see note (r), p. 7, ante).

But the Court may order delivery of the bill, though more than twelve months have elapsed from its payment, the solicitor having on payment undertaken to deliver the bills, but neglected to do so (Re Foljambe, 9 Beav. 402; Re Bailey, 34 Beav. 392; Rs Fisher, 18 Beav. 183).

Re-opening bills of costs by action.

As to re-opening bills of costs by action, see Barwell v. Brooks, 8 Beav. 121; Blagrave v. Routh, 2 K. & J. 509; 8 De G. M. & G. 620; Foley v. Smith, 12 Beav. 154. In Turner v. Hand, 27 Beav. 561, a solicitor delivered a general estimate of costs due to him, without specifying the particulars, and the client signed a memorandum agreeing to the statement, and requesting a third person, to whom he had given his acceptances, to pay it; and a bill filed by the client three years afterwards for delivery and taxation was dismissed with costs. See, also, Todd v. Wilson, 15 L. J. Ch. 450; Stanes v. Parker, 10 Jur. 603; Watson v. Rodwell, 7 Ch. D. 625; on appeal, 11 Ch. D. 150; 48 L. J. Ch. 209; 27 W. R. 265; 39 L. T. 614, where paid bills were set aside after a lapse of two years.

Power for taxing officer to request officers of other Courts to tax por-tions of the bill.

XLII. And be it enacted, that in all cases in which such bill shall have been referred to be taxed and settled, the officer to whom such reference is made shall be at liberty to request the proper officer of any other Court having such an officer to assist him in taxing and settling any part of such bill, and such officer so requested shall thereupon proceed to tax and settle the same, and shall have the same powers and may receive the same fees in respect thereof as upon a reference to him by the Court of which he is such officer, and shall return the same, with his opinion thereon, to the officer who shall have so requested him to tax and settle the same; and the officer to whom such reference is made shall not be paid any fee for that portion of the bill which shall have been so taxed and settled by the officer of such other Court at his request (i).

(i) See R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. infra, and notes, as to the taxing masters.

Applications for taxing bill of costs, how to be made.

XLIII. And be it enacted, that all applications (k) made under this Act to refer any such bill as aforesaid to be taxed and settled, and for the delivery of such bill, and for the delivering up of deeds, documents, and papers shall be made in the matter of such attorney or solicitor (1) and that upon the taxation and settlement of any such bill the certificate of the officer by whom such bill shall be taxed shall (unless set aside or altered by order, decree, or rule of Court) be final and conclusive as to the amount thereof, and payment of the amount

Certificate of taxation to be final.

certified to be due and directed to be paid may be enforced (m) according to the course of the Court in which such reference shall be o. 73, ss. 37-43 made; and in case such reference shall be made in any Court of common law, it shall be lawful for such Court or any judge thereof to Judgment order judgment to be entered up for such amount, with costs, unless may be the retainer shall be disputed, or to make such other order thereon as such Court or judge shall deem proper.

(k) By R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. Pt. I. r. 2 (15), all special applications for Special application and delivery of bills and delivery of papers under the Act must be made cation for by summons, and this applies, though the client also asks that a sum of money taxation. improperly retained by the solicitor may be refunded (Re May, 34 Beav. 132; 34 L. J. Ch. 236; 13 W. R. 377; Re Edmunds, 19 W. R. 104).

(I) As to the title of the application, see Re Vallance, 8 Scott, N. R. 232; Re Hair, Title and form ibid. 231; Re Walton, 4 K. & J. 78. It need not be headed in the matter of the of application. Act (ibid.). It must state the time of payment, if presented after payment (Re

Mash, 15 Beav. 83).

(m) An action brought after taxation has been ordered is a contempt (Re Campbell, Action after 3 De G. M. & G. 585). As to enforcing the order, see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. XLII. taxation is a infra; Daniell, p. 1262.

contempt.

SOLICITORS ACT, 1860.

23 & 24 VICT., CAP. 127, 66. 27-29.

23 & 24 Vict. c.127,ss.27-29

An Act to amend the Laws relating to Attorneys, Solicitors, Proctors, and Certificated Conveyancers. [28th August, 1860.]

XXVII. Whenever a decree or order is made by the Court of Interest on Chancery in which the payment of any costs previously taxed, either costs. in the suit or proceeding in which such decree or order is made, or in any other suit or proceeding is ordered, and whether the certificate of such previous taxation have been made before the passing of this Act, or be made thereafter, it shall be lawful for the Court or judge making such decree or order to order and direct the amount of such costs, as taxed, including the costs of taxation as ascertained by the said certificate, to be paid with interest (a) thereon at the rate of 4l. per cent. per annum, from the date of the certificate, the amount of such interest to be verified by affidavit, and to be payable and recoverable out of the same fund or in the same manner as the amount of such costs.

The Act is now called "The Solicitors Act, 1860;" see the Solicitors Act, 1877, Short title. 40 & 41 Vict. c. 25, s. 1.

(a) It seems that this section only enables the solicitor, and not a party to the Only solicitor suit, to claim interest (Jenner v. Morris, 11 W. R. 943). Orders were made under can apply. the Act in cases where payment of costs had been unavoidably delayed; see Carter v. Carter, 2 N. R. 512; 8 L. T. 692; Fox v. Charlton, 6 N. R. 352; Re Campbell, 19 W. R. 427. Where there were mortgages on the estate charged with the costs the Court declined to make any order until there had been an inquiry as to incumbrances (Twynam v. Porter, W. N. (1872), 111). In directing payment of a mort-Interest on

23 & 24 Vict. gsges's principal, interest and costs out of the purchase-money or the moregaged c.127,ss.27-29 property, the Court directed interest to be paid on the costs from the date of the certificate (Whitfield v. Roberts, 9 W. R. 844). By the Attorneys and Solicitors Act, 1870, 33 & 34 Vict. c. 28, s. 17, infra, interest may be allowed on taxation in respect of disbursements and advances; and see the Solicitors' Remuneration Act,

Power for Court or judge to charge property reco-vered or preserved with payment of costa.

XXVIII. In every case in which an attorney or solicitor (b) shall be employed (c) to prosecute or defend any suit, matter, or proceeding in any Court of justice, it shall be lawful for the Court or judge (d) before whom any such suit, matter, or proceeding has been heard, or shall be depending, to declare such attorney or solicitor entitled to a charge (e) upon the property recovered or preserved (f) and upon such declaration being made, such attorney or solicitor shall have a charge upon and against and a right to payment out of the property, of whatsoever nature, tenure, or kind the same may be, which shall have been recovered or preserved through the instrumentality of any such attorney or solicitor, for the taxed costs, charges, and expenses of or in reference to such suit, matter, or proceeding; and it shall be lawful for such Court or judge to make such order or orders for taxation of and for raising and payment of such costs, charges, and expenses out of the said property as to such Court or judge shall appear just and proper; and all conveyances and acts done to defeat, or which shall operate to defeat, such charge or right, shall, unless made to a bond fide purchaser for value without notice, be absolutely void and of no effect as against such charge or right (q): Provided always, that no such order shall be made by any such Court or judge in any case in which the right to recover payment of such costs, charges, and expenses is barred by any Statute of Limitations (h).

Act to be construed

of solicitor. Employment by infant;

by married woman.

The Act is to be construed liberally (Scholefield v. Lockwood, 7 Eq. 83; Berrie v. Howitt, 9 Eq. 1; 39 L. J. Ch. 119; Baile v. Baile, 13 Eq. 497).

construed liberally.

Town agent:

(b) The town agent of a country solicitor may be declared entitled to a charge for an unascertained balance due to him from the country solicitor (Tardrew v. Howell, 3 Giff. 381; 7 Jur. N. S. 1120; 10 W. R. 32). Attornsys and solicitors are now styled "solicitors of the Supreme Court" (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 87).

The charge may be declared in favour of the legal personal representative of a solicitor (Baile).

(c) An infant's prometty can be charged under the Act, but when the solicitor and the solicitor and the solicitor and the solicitor (Baile).

solicitor (Baile v. Baile).

(c) An infant's property can be charged under the Act; but where the property recovered or preserved consists of a fund, no part of it will be actually paid to the solicitor till the infant attains twenty-one, and has an opportunity of disputing the charge (Greer v. Young (C. A.), 24 Ch. D. 545). See further as to charging the property of infants, Baile v. Baile; Bonser v. Bradshaw, 30 L. J. Ch. 159; 9 W. R. 229; S. C. on appeal, 10 W. R. 481; S. C. on further hearing after the infant had attained twenty-one, 4 Giff. 260; 9 Jur. N. S. 1048. An infant's costs can he made a charge in an action (Pritchard v. Roberts, 17 Eq. 222). A married woman's property, though subject to a restraint on anticipation, may be charged (Re Ksane, Tumlen v. Desborough, 12 Eq. 115).

woman.

perty, though subject to a restraint on anticipation, may be charged (Re Ksane, Lumley v. Desborough, 12 Eq. 115).

(a) The order declaring the charge must be made in that branch of the Court to which the suit is attached, and may be made though the suit has come to an end (Heinrich v. Sutton, 6 Ch. 865; Jones v. Frost, 7 Ch. 773). It must be made by the judge who tried the case (Higgs v. Schrader, 3 C. P. D. 252; 47 L. J. C. P. 426; 26 W. R. 831; Porter v. West, 50 L. J. Ch. 231; W. N. (1880), 195; 29 W. R. 236; 43 L. T. 569); and where the action, though intituled in the Chancery Division, is tried before a judge and jury, the application must be made to the judge who tried the action and not to the Chancery judge (Owen v. Henshaw, 7 Ch. D. 385; 47 L. J. Ch. 267; 26 W. R. 188). Where the cause was tried in the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, the application for a charging order was rightly made to the Common Pleas Division (Catlow v. Catlow, 2 C. P. D. 362,

following Wilson v. Hood, 3 H. & C. 148; 33 L. J. Ex. 204). The Court in which 23 & 24 Vict. the action was brought may make the order notwithstanding a decree for adminis- c.127, ss.27-29

tration (Wilson v. Hood; Catlow v. Catlow).

The order may be made either on petition (Brown v. Trotman, 12 Ch. D. 880; 48 L. J. Ch. 862; 41 L. T. 179; 28 W. R. 164) or on summons (Closer v. Adams, 6 made on Q. B. D. 622; Hamer v. Giles, Giles v. Hamer (M. R.), 11 Ch. D. 942; 48 L. J. Ch. petition; 508; 41 L. T. 270; 27 W. R. 834); and the other parties to the action should not be served (Brown v. Trotman). The petition or summons must be initialled in the action, but not necessarily in the matter of the Act or of the solicitor (Hamer v. Giles, intituled in Giles v. Hamer). A solicitor who has properly discharged himself may obtain an the action. order (Clover v. Adams). As to the form of the order see Seton, p. 643; Pilcher v. Form of Arden, 7 Ch. D. 318; 27 W. R. 273; and as to the time when an application may be order. made for raising the amount charged by a sale, see Re Green, 26 Ch. D. 16; Jackson v. Smith, W. N. (1884), 151. Where an order had been made charging a fund that had been paid into Court, and the solicitor took out a summons calling on the party to show cause why the fund should not be paid out to him, and the party evaded service of the summons, substituted service was allowed (Hunt v. Austin, 9 Q. B. D. 598). In making the order it is the duty of the Court to limit it to costs properly incurred (*Emden* v. Carte, 19 Ch. D. 311; 30 W. R. 17; 45 L. T. 328).

(s) As to solicitor's lien generally, see Fisher, 194, seq.; Daniell, 1975; Morgan and As to solici-Wurtzburg on Costs, 551. The lien is of two kinds; (1) A general lien on all tor's lien papers and documents of the client which may happen to be in the solicitor's hands generally; papers and documents of the client which may happen to be in the solicitor's hands generally; in the way of business; this lien extends to all professional costs, but cannot be lien of two actively enforced. (2) A lien on a fund recovered in an action; this lien extends kinds: only to the costs of the particular suit under which the fund arises, but to this

extent the solicitor may actively enforce it.

A solicitor who conducts a cause to its conclusion in the place of one who by arrangement with his client retires from conducting it, has priority for his costs in case of a deficiency of assets (Cormack v. Beisley, 3 De G. & J. 157; but see Re Audley Hall Co., 6 Eq. 245, as to priorities of successive solicitors).

Audley Hall Co., 6 Eq. 245, as to priorities of successive solicitors).

A solicitor who begins a cause, engages to continue to act till the end of it; and fine refuses to go through with it he has no lien and cannot even bring an action for his bill (Cresswell v. Byron, 14 Vee. 272; Commerell v. Poynton, 1 Swanst. 1).

But see Re Hall and Barker, 9 Ch. D. 538, ante, p. 7.

If a solicitor discharges himself pendente lite, he must deliver up all necessary papers to the new solicitor without prejudice to the lien, the latter undertaking to return them on the conclusion of the action (Robins v. Goldingham, 13 Eq. 440; Heslop v. Metcatfe, 3 My. & Cr. 183; 7 L. J. Ch. 49); and see Colegrave v. Manley, T. & R. 400; Wilson v. Emmett, 19 Beav. 233; Webster v. Le Hunt, 9 W. R. 804; Re H., 15 W. R. 168; Cane v. Martin, 2 Beav. 584. For the practice where no suit is pending see Ravilinson v. Moss, 7 Jur. N. S. 1053; 9 W. R. 733.

A solicitor who refuses or neglects to proceed is considered as having discharged himself (Robins v. Goldingham; Hannaford v. Hannaford, 19 W. R. 429; 24 L. T. 86); discharge by

himself (Robins v. Goldingham; Hannaford v. Hannaford, 19 W. R. 429; 24 L. T. 86); and see Re Williams, 28 Beav. 465; Steele v. Scott, 2 Hog. 141; and a dissolution of partnership is a discharge by the solicitors; see Cholmondeley v. Clinton, 19 Ves. 273; Griffiths v. Griffiths, 2 Ha. 587; 12 L. J. Ch. 397; Scott v. Fleming, 9 Jur. 1085; Rawlinson v. Moss. As to the effect of alterations in a firm of solicitors, see Pelly v. Wathen, 7 Ha. 351; 18 L. J. Ch. 285; 14 Jur. 9; Re Forshaw, 16 Sim. 121.

When a solicitor is discharged by his client pendente lite, he is not bound to give Where the latter any facilities for prosecuting the suit, and may to some extent embarrase him by retaining papers; see Bozon v. Bolland, 4 My. & Cr. 354; Lord v. Wormleighton, Jac. 580; Griffiths v. Griffiths, 2 Ha. 587; Re Smith, 9 W. R. 396; Re Faithfull, 6 Eq. 325; Pilcher v. Arden, Re Brook, 7 Ch. D. 318. But he cannot stop the progress of an administration suit or otherwise obstruct the course of the Court; 800 Belaney v. Ffrench, 8 Ch. 918; 43 L. J. Ch. 312; Re Boughton, Boughton v. Boughton, 23 Ch. D. 169; 31 W. R. 517; Clifford v. Turrill, 2 De G. & S. 1; Bird v. Heath, 6 Ha. 236; Simmonds v. Great Eastern Rail. Co., 3 Ch. 797; 16 W. R. 1100. If he is discharged by a person who comes in under but adversely to the client, e.g. a trustee in bankruptcy, it is clear that he cannot refuse to deliver up all necessary documents (Simmonds v. Great Eastern Rail. Co.); and see Re South Essex Co., 4 Ch. 215; Ross v. Laughton, 1 V. & B. 349; Re Toleman and England, Ex parte Bramble, 13 Ch. D. 885.

As to the lien of the solicitor of a company which is ordered to be wound up, see Winding-up. Re South Essex Co.; Re Capital Fire Insurance Co., 24 Ch. D. 408, where Belaney v.

Ffrench and Boughton v. Boughton are discussed.

The town agent has a lien on the client's papers for the amount due to him from Lien of town the country solicitor, but only to the extent of the amount due to the latter from agent. the client; and if the client pays the country solicitor without notice of the town agent's claim the lien is gone (Ward v. Hepple, 15 Ves. 297; Ex parte Steele, 16 Ves. 164; Waller v. Holmes, 1 J. & H. 239; Peatfield v. Barlow, 8 Eq. 61; 38 L. J. Ch.

Order may be

1. On papers. 2. On fund recovered. Priorities of solicitors.

discharge by the solicitor. Dissolution of partnership.

solicitor is discharged by client.

23 & 24 Viot.
c.127,ss.27-29
"Property recovered er preserved."

310; 20 L. T. 217; Cockayne v. Harrison, 15 Eq. 298; 42 L. J. Ch. 660; Vyse v. (f) As to the meaning of "property recovered or preserved," see the remarks of Jessel, M. R., and Mellish, L. J., in Foxon v. Gascoigne, 9 Ch. 654; 43 L. J. Ch. 729; the section extends to a chose in action (Birchall v. Pugin, L. R. 10 C. P. 397). Property has been held to be recovered or preserved in the following cases: Where a cestul one trust obtained the appointment of a receiver in a suit against a trustee. Property has been held to be recovered or preserved in the following cases: where a cestui que trust obtained the appointment of a receiver in a suit against a trustee, though the suit was subsequently compromised (Twynam v. Porter, 11 Eq. 181; 40 L. J. Ch. 617; and see Baile v. Baile, 13 Eq. 497); where a mertgagee obtained a foreclosure decree (Wilson v. Round, 4 Giff. 416; 10 Jur. N. S. 34); where land was recovered in ejectment (Wilson v. Hood, 33 L. J. Ex. 204; 3 H. & C. 148; 10 Jur. N. S. 592); where the client was defendant in a foreclosure suit, the result of the property of the supervised was lessened (Scholefield v. Lockwood. 7 Eq. which was that the chance of foreclesure was lessened (Scholefield v. Lockwood, 7 Eq. 83); where a suit was successfully conducted against an incumbrancer, whose incumbrance, though valueless, was a cloud upon the title (Jones v. Frost, 7 Ch. 773); where judgment was recovered in an action of detinue, and the proceeds of the goods were subsequently paid into Court in an administration suit (Catlow v. Catlow, goods were subsequently paid into Court in an administration suit (Catala V. Certala), 2 C. P. D. 362; 25 W. R. 866); where the defendant paid mency into Court in the action (Clover v. Adams, 6 Q. B. D. 622; Emden v. Carte, 19 Ch. D. 311; 45 L. T. 328; 30 W. R. 17; and see Jackson v. Smith, W. N. (1884), 151); where an order was made under the Declaration of Titles Act, 1862 (Pritchard v. Roberts, 17 Eq. 222); and see also Smith v. Winter, W. N. (1870), 34; 18 W. R. 447; Re Keame, Lumley v. Desborough, 12 Eq. 115; 40 L. J. Ch. 617; Morris v. Francis, cited 12 Sci. J. 718; The Phillipine, L. R. 1 A. & E. 309; 15 W. R. 462.

Where, however, a decree was made for administration and the appointment of where, however, a necree was made for administration and the appointment of a new trustee, and the decree was carried into chambers and the accounts brought in, but all further proceedings were then stopped, Lord Selborne, L. C., held that no property had been recovered or preserved (*Pinkerton v. Easton*, 16 Eq. 490; 42 L. J. Ch. 878; and see *Pierson v. Knutsford Estates Co.*, 13 Q. B. D. 666; 32 W. R. 451). An easement though preserved is not "property" within the section (*Foxon v. Gascoigne*, 9 Ch. 654; 43 L. J. Ch. 729). Where new trustees had been appointed to preserve and expenses of all parties had been ordered to on petition, and the costs, charges and expenses of all parties had been ordered to be paid, the Court refused to charge the preperty under the Act with the costs of the petition (Re Viney, W. N. (1868), 243; 18 L. T. 851). See also Harrison v. Cornwall Rail. Co., 32 W. R. 748.

Charge extends to the whole of the property recovered.

The solicitor is entitled under the Act to a charge upon the whole of the property he has recovered or preserved, and his right is not necessarily limited by the extent ne has recovered or preserved, and his right is not necessarily limited by the extent of his client's interest; his right, in fact, is that of a salver (Bulley v. Bulley (C. A.), 8 Ch. D. 479; 26 W. R. 310, 638; Bailey v. Birchall, 2 H. & M. 371; 11 Jur. N. S. 57; Porter v. West, 50 L. J. Ch. 231; 29 W. R. 236; 43 L. T. 569; W. N. (1880), 195; Greer v. Young (C. A.), 24 Ch. D. 545; Emden v. Carte (C. A.), 19 Ch. D. 311; 30 W. R. 17; 45 L. T. 328; Charlton v. Charlton, W. N. (1883), 141); Berrie v. Howitt, 9 Eq. 1, is overruled. Where no order had been made for the payment of the costs out of the estate, Fry, J., held that the solicitors were only entitled to an order for a charge on the share of their client (Lloyd v. Jones, 27 W. R. 655; 40 L. T. 514). The section enly applies to the costs of the suit in which the preperty L. T. 514). The section only applies to the costs of the suit in which the property has been recovered or preserved (Exparte Thompson, 3 L. T. 317).

(g) The solicitor's lien under this section has priority over all charges created by the client (Haynes v. Cooper, 33 Beav. 431; and see Baile v. Baile, 13 Eq. 497, 509;

Priority of lien under the statute.

Twynam v. Porter, 11 Eq. 181; The Heinrich, L. R. 3 A. & E. 505); even though the client has assigned his interest with the knowledge of the solicitor (Piloher v. Arden, 7 Ch. D. 318; 47 L. J. Ch. 479; 26 W. R. 273; 38 L. T. 111); and see also Farthfull v. Even, 7 Ch. D. 495; 47 L. J. Ch. 457; 26 W. R. 270; 37 L. T. also Fathfull V. Ewen, 7 Ch. D. 495; 47 L. J. Ch. 497; 20 W. R. 270; 57 L. 1.

805. As to priority as between the solicitor and an execution creditor, see Birchall
v. Pugin, L. R. 10 C. P. 397; Shippey v. Grey, 49 L. J. 524; 28 W. R. 877; 42
L. T. 673; The Leader, L. R. 2 A. & E. 314; Hamer v. Giles, Giles v. Hamer, 11 Ch.
D. 942; 48 L. J. Ch. 508; 27 W. R. 834; 41 L. T. 270; Dallow v. Garrold, 13
Q. B. D. 543; affirmed W. N. (1884), 231.

(h) The Statute of Limitations will not begin to run against a solicitor in respect of his claim for a charge under the Act while the suit is pending, and he remains

Statute of Limitations.

of his claim for a charge under the Act while the suit is pending, and he remains the solicitor on the record (Baile v. Baile, 13 Eq. 497, 509).

Taxation and payment of deceased lunatic's costs.

XXIX. In every case in which an attorney or solicitor has been or shall be employed to prosecute or oppose any inquiry whether a person is a lunatic, idiot, or of unsound mind, and incapable of managing himself or his affairs, or in or about any proceedings consequent upon such inquiry, and the costs of such attorney or solicitor have not been

paid in the lifetime of such person, it shall be lawful for the Lord 23 & 24 Vict. High Chancellor or the Lords Justices, or other the person or persons 0.127,88.27-29 intrusted by Her Majesty with the care and commitment of the custody of the persons and estates of persons found idiot, lunatic, or of unsound mind (hh), to make such and the like orders and to exercise the like power and authority for taxation of and for raising and payment of such costs after the death of such person as could or might have been exercised or made in his lifetime (i); and such orders and proceedings shall be as valid and effective to all intents and purposes as if made in the lifetime of the lunatic: Provided always, that it shall not be lawful for the Court or judge to make any such order but within six years next after the right to recover such costs, charges, and expenses shall have accrued (k).

(Ah) See now Jud. Act, 1875, s. 7, infra.
(i) See 16 & 17 Vict. c. 70 (Lunacy Regulation Act, 1853), s. 145, which provides that costs incurred under the Act may be charged on the lunatic's property.
(k) When a lunatic died in June, 1853, and the solicitor in the lunacy—having Within six Within six obtained an order for taxation of his costs in the lunacy matter in February, 1854, and completed such taxation in February, 1855—in October, 1860, presented a petition for an order to charge the lunatic's estate under this section, it was held by L. J. Knight Bruce that the right to recover accrued on the death of the lunatic; and by L. J. Turner that it accrued on the order for taxation being obtained. More than six years having elapsed since either of those periods, the petitioner was held to be barred of all remedy under the concluding provise of the section (Ex parte Turner, Re Cumming, 2 De G. F. & J. 376; 9 W. R. 213).

ATTORNEYS AND SOLICITORS ACT, 1870.

33 & 34 Vict. c. 28.

33 & 34 VICT. CAP. 28.

An Act to amend the law relating to the remuneration of Attorneys [14th July, 1870.] and Solicitors.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend the law relating to the remuneration of attorneys and solicitors:

Be it enacted, &c., as follows:

Preliminary.

I. This Act may be cited as "The Attorneys and Solicitors Act, Short title. 1870."

II. This Act shall not extend to Scotland.

III. In the construction of this Act, unless where the context otherwise requires, the words following have the significations hereinafter of terms. respectively assigned to them; that is to say,

Extent of Interpretation

The words "attorney or solicitor" (a) mean an attorney, solicitor, or proctor (a), qualified according to the provisions of the Acts for the time being in force, relating to the admission and qualification of attorneys, solicitors, or proctors:

"Person" includes a corporation:

33 & 34 Vict. c. 28.

- "Client" includes any person who, as a principal or on behalf of another person, retains or employs, or is about to retain or employ, an attorney or solicitor, and any person who is or may be liable to pay the bill of an attorney or solicitor for any services, fees, costs, charges, or disbursements (b).
- (a) Attorneys and solicitors are now styled "Solicitors of the Supreme Court." (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 87.) Section 20 of the Act empowered solicitors to perform acts appertaining to the office of proctor. This section is now repealed, and a more comprehensive provision to the same effect substituted. (Solicitors Act, 1877, 28.)

(b) Notwithstanding this definition of the word "client," the Act does not apply to accounts between country solicitors and their town agents (Ward v. Eyre, 15 Ch. D. 130; 49 L. J. Ch. 657; 28 W. R. 712; 43 L. T. 525).

Part I.—Agreements between Attorneys or Solicitors and their Clients.

The remuneration of attorneys and solicitors may be fixed by agreement.

Amount payable under agreement not to be paid until allowed by taxing officer.

IV. An attorney or solicitor may make an agreement in writing (c) with his client respecting the amount and manner of payment for the whole or any part of any past or future services, fees, charges, or disbursements in respect of business done or to be done by such attorney or solicitor, whether as an attorney or solicitor or as an advocate or conveyancer, either by a gross sum, or by commission or per-centage, or by salary or otherwise, and either at the same or at a greater or at a less rate as or than the rate at which he would otherwise be entitled to be remunerated, subject to the provisions and conditions in this part of this Act contained: Provided always, that when any such agreement shall be made in respect of business done or to be done in any action at law or suit in equity, the amount payable under the agreement shall not be received by the attorney or solicitor until the agreement has been examined and allowed by a taxing officer of a Court having power to enforce the agreement, and if it shall appear to such taxing officer that the agreement is not fair and reasonable he may require the opinion of a Court or a judge to be taken thereon by motion or petition (d), and such Court or judge shall have power either to reduce the amount payable under the agreement or to order the agreement to be cancelled and the costs, fees, charges, and disbursements in respect of the business done to be taxed in the same manner as if no such agreement had been made.

Agreement must be signed by hoth parties.

- (c) An agreement under the Act to take a fixed sum for costs must be in writing and signed by both solicitor and client (Re Lewis, Ex parte Munro, 1 Q. B. D. 724; 45 L. J. Q. B. 816; 24 W. R. 1017; Re Raven, 30 W. R. 134; 45 L. T. 742; and see Re Fernandes, W. N. (1878) 57). An agreement to charge the client nothing if the action is lost, and to take nothing for costs out of any money that may be awarded to the client in the action, need not be in writing (Jsnnings v. Johnson, L. R. 8 C. P. 425).
- (d) The opinion of the Court cannot be required to be taken before some money is payable under the agreement (Re Attorneys Act, 1870, 1 Ch. D. 573; 44 L. J. Ch. 47; 24 W. R. 38).

Saving of interests of third parties.

V. Such an agreement shall not affect the amount of, or any rights or remedies for the recovery of, any costs recoverable from the client by any other person, or payable to the client by any other person, and

any such other person may require any costs payable or recoverable by 33 & 34 Vict. him to or from the client to be taxed according to the rules for the time being in force for the taxation of such costs, unless such person has otherwise agreed: Provided always, that the client who has entered into such agreement shall not be entitled to recover from any other person under any order for the payment of any costs which are the subject of such agreement more than the amount payable by the client to his own attorney or solicitor under the same.

VI. Such an agreement shall be deemed to exclude any further Agreements claim of the attorney or solicitor beyond the terms of the agreement in shall exclude further respect of any services, fees, charges, or disbursements in relation to claims. the conduct and completion of the business in reference to which the agreement is made, except such services, fees, charges, or disbursements, if any, as are expressly excepted by the agreement.

VII. A provision in any such agreement that the attorney or Reservation solicitor shall not be liable for negligence, or that he shall be relieved bility for from any responsibility to which he would otherwise be subject as negligence. such attorney or solicitor, shall be wholly void.

VIII. No action or suit shall be brought or instituted upon any such Examination agreement; but every question respecting the validity or effect of any and enforcement of such agreement may be examined and determined, and the agreement agreements. may be enforced or set aside, without suit or action, on motion or petition of any person, or the representative of any person, a party to such agreement, or being or alleged to be liable to pay, or being or claiming to be entitled to be paid, the costs, fees, charges, or disbursements in respect of which the agreement is made, by the Court in which the business, or any part thereof, was done, or a judge thereof, or if the business was not done in any Court, then where the amount payable under the agreement exceeds fifty pounds, by any superior Court of law or equity, or a judge thereof, and where such amount does not exceed fifty pounds, by the judge of a County Court which would have jurisdiction in an action upon the agreement (e).

(e) This section is intended to prevent actions to recover the remuneration agreed upon in lieu of costs when the work has been done, and does not apply to the case of an action for refusing to allow the solicitor to do the work and earn the remuneration (Rees v. Williams, L. R. 10 Ex. 200; 44 L. J. Ex. 116; 23 W. R. 5, 50; 32 L. T. 462).

IX. Upon any such motion or petition as aforesaid, if it shall appear Improper to the Court or judge that such agreement is in all respects fair and agreements reasonable between the parties, the same may be enforced by such aside. Court or judge by rule or order in such manner and subject to such conditions, if any, as to the costs of such motion or petition as such Court or judge may think fit; but if the terms of such agreement shall not be deemed by the Court or judge to be fair and reasonable, the same may be declared void, and the Court or judge shall thereupon have power to order such agreement to be given up to be cancelled, and may direct the costs, fees, charges, and disbursements incurred or

33 & 34 Vict. c. 28. chargeable in respect of the matters included therein to be taxed in the same manner and according to the same rules as if such agreement had not been made; and the Court or judge may also make such order as to the costs of and relating to such motion or petition, and the proceedings thereon, as to the said Court or judge may seem fit.

Agreements may be reopened after payment in special cases. X. When the amount agreed for under any such agreement has been paid by or on behalf of the client, or by any person chargeable with or entitled to pay the same, any Court or judge having jurisdiction to examine and enforce such an agreement may, upon application by the person who has paid such amount, within twelve months after the payment thereof, if it appears to such Court or judge that the special circumstances of the case require the agreement to be re-opened, reopen the same, and order the costs, fees, charges, and disbursements to be taxed, and the whole or any portion of the amount received by the attorney or solicitor to be repaid by him, on such terms and conditions as to the Court or judge may seem just.

Where any such agreement is made by the client in the capacity of guardian, or of trustee under a deed or will, or of committee of any person or persons whose estate or property will be chargeable with the amount payable under such agreement, or with any part of such amount, the agreement shall before payment be laid before the taxing officer of a Court having jurisdiction to enforce the agreement, and such officer shall examine the same, and may disallow any part thereof, or may require the direction of the Court or a judge to be taken thereon by motion or petition; and if in any such case the client pay the whole or any part of the amount payable under the agreement, without the previous allowance of such officer or Court or judge as aforesaid, he shall be liable at any time to account to the person whose estate or property is charged with the amount paid, or with any part thereof for the amount so charged; and if in any such case the attorney or solicitor accept payment without such allowance, any Court which would have had jurisdiction to enforce the agreement may, if it think fit, order him to refund the amount so received by him under the agreement.

Prohibition of certain stipulations.

XI. Nothing in this Act contained shall be construed to give validity to any purchase by an attorney or solicitor of the interest, or any part of the interest, of his client in any suit, action, or other contentious proceeding to be brought or maintained, or to give validity to any agreement by which an attorney or solicitor retained or employed to prosecute any suit or action, stipulates for payment only in the event of success in such suit, action, or proceeding (f).

(f) It seems that an agreement giving the solicitor in case of success what is equivalent to one-tenth of the property recovered, is void for champerty (per Jessel, M. R.; Re Attorneys Act, 1870, 1 Ch. D. 573; 44 L. J. Ch. 47; 24 W. R. 38). But an agreement to charge the client nothing if he lost the action, and to take nothing for costs out of any money recovered in the action, is good (Jennings v. Johnson, L. R. 8 C. P. 425).

Not to give validity to

XII. Nothing in this Act contained shall give validity to any

disposition, contract, settlement, conveyance, delivery, dealing, or 33 & 34 Vict. transfer, which may be void or invalid against a trustee or creditor in bankruptcy, arrangement, or composition, under the provisions of contracts, &c. the laws relating to bankruptcy.

which may be void in bankattorney.

XIII. Where an attorney or solicitor has made an agreement with ruptcy. his client is pursuance of the provisions of this Act, and anything Provision in has been done by such attorney or solicitor under the agreement, and case of death or incapacity before the agreement has been completely performed by him, such of the attorney or solicitor dies or becomes incapable to act, an application may be made to any Court which would have jurisdiction to examine and enforce the agreement by any party thereto, or by the representatives of any such party, and such Court shall thereupon have the same power to enforce or set aside such agreement, so far as the same may have been acted upon, as if such death or incapacity had not happened; and such Court, if it shall deem the agreement to be in all respects fair and reasonable, may order the amount due in respect of the past performance of the agreement to be ascertained by taxation, and the taxing officer in ascertaining such amount shall have regard so far as may be to the terms of the agreement, and payment of the amount found to be due may be enforced in the same manner as if the agreement had been completely performed by the attorney or solicitor.

made, the client shall change his attorney or solicitor before the con- after agreeclusion of the business to which such agreement shall relate (which ment. he shall be at liberty to do notwithstanding such agreement), the attorney or solicitor, party to such agreement, shall be deemed to have become incapable to act under the same within the meaning of section thirteen of this Act; and upon any order being made for taxation of the amount due to such attorney or solicitor in respect of the past performance of such agreement, the Court shall direct the taxing master to have regard to the circumstance under which such change of attorney or solicitor has taken place; and, upon such taxation, the attorney or solicitor shall not be deemed entitled to the full

XIV. If, after any such agreement as aforesaid shall have been As to change

such change of attorney or solicitor. XV. Except as in this part of this Act provided, the bill of an Agreements attorney or solicitor for the amount due under an agreement made in shall be exempt from pursuance of the provisions of this Act shall not be subject to any taxation. taxation, nor to the provisions of the Act of the sixth and seventh Victoria, chapter seventy-three (g). and the Acts amending the same respecting the signing and delivery of the bill of an attorney or solicitor.

amount of the remuneration agreed to be paid to him unless it shall appear that there has been no default, negligence, improper delay, or other conduct on his part affording reasonable ground to the client for

⁽g) As to this Act, see ante, p. 1, et seq.

33 & 34 Viet. c. 28.

PART II.—General Provisions.

Security may be taken for future costs. XVI. An attorney or solicitor may take security from his client for his future fees, charges, and disbursements, to be ascertained by taxation or otherwise.

Interest may be allowed on taxations in respect of disbursements and advances.

XVII. Subject to any general rules or orders hereafter to be made upon every taxation of costs, fees, charges, or disbursements, the taxing officer may allow interest at such rate and from such time as he thinks just on moneys disbursed by the attorney or solicitor for his client, and on moneys of the client in the hands of the attorney or solicitor, and improperly retained by him.

Taxing officer to have regard to character of services. XVIII. Upon any taxation of costs, the taxing officer may, in determining the remuneration, if any, to be allowed to the attorney or solicitor for his services, have regard, subject to any general rules or orders hereafter to be made, to the skill, labour, and responsibility involved.

Revival of order for payment of costs. XIX. Whenever any decree or order shall have been made for payment of costs in any suit, and such suit shall afterwards become abated, it shall be lawful for any person interested under such decree or order to revive such suit, and thereupon to prosecute and enforce such decree or order, and so on from time to time as often as any such abatement shall happen (h).

Section not retrospective. "Person interested." (h) The section is not retrospective (Doggett v. Eastern Counties Ry., 6 Ch. 474; 19 W. R. 497).

Solicitors to whom costs have been ordered to be paid are not "persons interested" within this section (*Hunter* v. *Wortley*, W. N. (1873), 4). The legal personal representative of a deceased person is entitled to an order; see *Hawks* v. *Hawks*, 1 P. D. 137; 45 L. J. P. D. & A. 41; 24 W. R. 489; 34 L. T. 659.

7 & 8 Viet. c. 18.

LANDS CLAUSES CONSOLIDATION ACT, 1845.

7 & 8 VICT. CAP. 18.

An Act for consolidating in one Act certain Provisions usually inserted in Acts authorizing the taking of Lands for Undertakings of a Public Nature. [8th May, 1845.]

SS. I.—IV.; LXIX.—LXXXVII.

Act to apply to all undertakings authorized by Acts hereafter to be passed. Whereas it is expedient to comprise in one general Act sundry provisions usually introduced into Acts of Parliament relative to the acquisition of lands required for undertakings or works of a public nature, and to the compensation to be made for the same, and that as well for the purpose of avoiding the necessity of repeating such provisions in each of the several Acts relating to such undertakings as for ensuring greater uniformity in the provisious themselves; be it enacted, &c., that this Act shall apply to every undertaking authorized by any

7 & 8 Vict.

Act which shall hereafter be passed, and which shall authorize the purchase or taking of lands for such undertaking, and this Act shall c. 18, ss. 1-4. be incorporated with such Act; and all the clauses and provisions of this Act, save so far as they shall be expressly varied or excepted by any such Act, shall apply to the undertaking authorized thereby, so far as the same shall be applicable to such undertaking, and shall, as well as the clauses and provisions of every other Act which shall be incorporated with such Act, form part of such Act, and be construed together therewith as forming one Act.

With respect to the construction of this Act and of Acts to be incor- Interpretaporated therewith, be it enacted as follows:

tions in this

II. The expression "the special Act," used in this Act, shall be "Special construed to mean any Act which shall be hereafter passed which shall Act:" authorize the taking of lands for the undertaking to which the same relates, and with which this Act shall be so incorporated as aforesaid; and the word "prescribed," used in this Act in reference to any matter "prescribed:" herein stated, shall be construed to refer to such matter as the same shall be prescribed or provided for in the special Act, and the sentence in which such word shall occur shall he construed as if, instead of the word "prescribed," the expression "prescribed for that purpose in the special Act" had been used; and the expression "the works" or "the "the works:" undertaking" shall mean the works or undertaking, of whatever nature, which shall by the special Act be authorized to be executed; and the expression "the promoters of the undertaking" shall mean "promoters the parties, whether company, undertakers, commissioners, trustees, of the undertaking." corporations, or private persons, by the special Act empowered to execute such works or undertaking. III. The following words and expressions, both in this and the Interpreta-

special Act, shall have the several meanings hereby assigned to them, tions in this and the unless there be something either in the subject or context repugnant special Act:

to such construction; (that is to say), Words importing the singular number only shall include the plural Number: number, and words importing the plural number only shall include the singular number:

Words importing the masculine gender only shall include females: Gender: The word "lands" shall extend to messuages, lands, tenements, "Lands:" and hereditaments (a) of any tenure:

The word "lease" shall include an agreement for a lease:

"Lease:"

The word "month" shall mean calendar month:

" Month:"

The expression "superior Courts" shall mean her Majesty's superior "Superior Courts of Record at Westminster or Dublin, as the case may require:

The word "oath" shall include affirmation in the case of Quakers, "Oath:" or other declaration lawfully substituted for an oath in the case of any other persons exempted by law from the necessity of taking an oath:

The word "county" shall include any riding or other like division "County:"

7 & 8 Vict. u. 18, ss. 1-4.

"the sheriff:"

"the clerk of the peace:'

of a county, and shall also include county of a city or county of a town:

The word "sheriff" shall include under-sheriff, or other legally competent deputy; and where any matter in relation to any lands is required to be done by any sheriff or by any clerk of the peace, the expression "the sheriff," or the expression "the clerk of the peace," shall in such case be construed to mean the sheriff or the clerk of the peace of the county, city, borough, liberty, cinque port, or place where such lands shall be situate; and if the lands in question, being the property of one and the same party, be situate not wholly in one county, city, borough, liberty, cinque port, or place, the same expression shall be construed to mean the sheriff or clerk of the peace of any county, city, borough, liberty, cinque port, or place, where any part of such lands shall be situate:

"Justices:"

The word "justices" shall mean justices of the peace acting for the county, city, liberty, cinque port, or place where the matter requiring the cognizance of any such justice shall arise, and who shall not be interested in the matter; and where such matter shall arise in respect of lands being the property of one and the same party, situate not only in any one county, city, borough, liberty, cinque port, or place, the same shall mean a justice acting for the county, city, borough, liberty, cinque port, or place where any part of such lands shall be situate, and who shall not be interested in such matter; and where any matter shall be authorised or required to be done by two justices, the expression "two justices" shall be understood to mean two justices assembled and acting together:

"Two justices:'

> Where under the provisions of this or the special Act, or any Act incorporated therewith, any notice shall be required to be given to the owner of any lands, or where any act shall be authorised or required to be done with the consent of any such owner, the word "owner" (b) shall be understood to mean any person or corporation who, under the provisions of this or the special Act, would be enabled to sell and convey lands to the promoters of the undertaking:

"Owner:

"The Bank:

The expression "the Bank" shall mean the Bank of England where the same shall relate to monies to be paid or deposited in respect of lands situate in England, and shall mean the Bank of Ireland where the same shall relate to monies to be paid or deposited in respect of lands situate in Ireland.

(a) The power to purchase lands was held to include power to purchase a rent charge (Re Brewer, 1 Ch. D. 409).

"Owner."

(b) As to the meaning of the word "owner" in the Act, see post, note (x) to s. 76, p. 35.

Short title of the Act.

IV. And be it enacted, that in citing this Act in other Acts of Parliament, and in legal instruments, it shall be sufficient to use the expression "The Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845."

APPLICATION OF COMPENSATION.

And with respect to the purchase-money or compensation coming to parties having limited interests, or prevented from treating, or not money paymaking title, be it enacted as follows:

LXIX. If the purchase-money or compensation which shall be pay- ability able in respect of any lands or any interest therein, purchased or taken amounting by the promoters of the undertaking from any corporation, tenant for deposited in life or in tail, married woman seised in her own right or entitled to dower, guardian, committee of lunatic or idiot, trustee, executor or administrator, or person having a partial or qualified interest only in such lands, and not entitled to sell or convey the same except under the provisions of this or the special Act, or the compensation to be paid for any permanent damage to any such lands, amount to or exceed the sum of two hundred pounds, the same shall be paid into the bank (c), in the name and with the privity of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery in England, if the same relate to lands in England or Wales, or the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer in Ireland if the same relate to lands in Ireland, to be placed to the account there of such Accountant-General ex parte the promoters of the undertaking (describing them by their proper name), in the matter of the special Act (citing it), pursuant to the method prescribed by any Act for the time being in force for regulating monies paid into the said Courts: and such monies shall remain so deposited until the same be applied to some one or more of the following purposes (that is to say),

In the purchase or redemption of the land tax(d), or the discharge Application of any debt or incumbrance affecting the land in respect of deposited. which such money shall have been paid, or affecting other lands settled therewith to the same or the like uses, trusts, or purposes (e); or

In the purchase of other lands, to be conveyed, limited, and settled upon the like uses, trusts, and purposes, and in the same manner, as the lands in respect of which such money shall have been paid

stood settled (f); or

If such money shall be paid in respect of any buildings taken under the authority of this or the special Act, or injured by the proximity of the works, in removing or replacing such buildings, or substituting others in their stead, in such manner as the Court of Chancery shall direct (g); or

In payment to any person becoming absolutely entitled to such money(h).

By the Settled Land Act, 1882, s. 32, it is provided that where, under any Act incorporating or applying, wholly or in part, the Lands Clauses Consolidation Acts, 1845, 1860, and 1869, money is at the commencement of that Act in Court, or is afterwards paid into Court, and is liable to be laid out in the purchase of land to be made subject to a settlement, then, in addition to any mode of dealing therewith authorized by the Act under which the money is in Court, that money may be invested or applied as capital money arising under the Settled Land Act, on the like terms, if any, respecting costs and other things, as nearly as circumstances admit, and (notwithstanding anything in the Settled Land Act) according to the same

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69-87.

Purchaseable to parties under disto 2001. to be the Bank.

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69–87.

Payment into Court.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, r. 39.

Accountant-General.

When dispensed with.

Executors.

Infant.

Purchasemoney not converted into personalty.

Interest not payable by company.

Redeeming land tax.

"Discharge of any debt or incumbrance."

"Settled therewith."

Investment, in land, what is;

procedure, as if the modes of investment or application authorized by the Settled Land Act were authorized by the Act under which the monoy is in Court. This section and sect. 69 of the Lands Clauses Act are to be read together (*Re Byron*, 23 Ch. D. 171; and see *Re Lytton*, W. N. (1884), 193). As to investment under this provision, see the Settled Land Act, 1882, s. 21, infra.

(c) It is provided by the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, r. 39, infra, that money paid into Court pursuant to sect. 69, "in respect of lands in England or Wales, shall be placed in the books at the Pay Office to the credit of Ex parte the promoters of the undertaking, in the matter of the Special Act (citing it), and some words shall be added in each case briefly expressive of the nature of the disability to sell and convey, by reason of which the money shall be so paid in, which particulars shall be stated in the request for the direction to receive the money."

The duties of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery are now performed by the Paymaster-General; see the Chancery Funds Act, 1872, 35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, infra.

Payment into Court under this section may be dispensed with by the Court where the money is immediately required to be paid to another account, e. g. to a lunatic's account (Re Milnes, 1 Ch. D. 28); and see Re Buckingham, 2 Ch. D. 690.

Where the company, instead of paying the purchase-money into Court, paid it to the vendors, the latter were, on motion, ordered to pay it into Court for the purpose of interim protection (London and N. W. Railway v. Corporation of Lancaster. 15 Beav. 22; and see Re London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway, 4 W. R. 315).

The company is not entitled to pay the money into Court when there are executors to whom it is payable (Newton v. Metropolitan Railway, 8 Jur. N. S. 738).

Payment into Court under this section of the purchase-money of land helonging to an infant does not make the infant a ward of Court (Ex parte Brewer, 2 Dr. & S. 559)

Money paid into Court under section 69 remains impressed with the character of realty (Kelland v. Fulford, 6 Ch. D. 491; Re Harrop, 3 Drew. 726; Re Bagot, 10 W. R. 607; Re Taylor, 9 Hare, 596); secus where the land is taken from vendors competent to convey under section 76; see note (a) to section 78, post, p. 36; and Re Stewart, 1 Sm. & G. 32; Re Horner, 5 De G. & Sm. 483; Midland Railway Company v. Oswin, 1 Coll. 74; Dixie v. Wright, 32 Beav. 662, where accumulations of dividends on a fund paid in under this section were held to pass under a will as personalty; Re Skegg, 13 W. R. 567; Ex parte Hardy, 30 Beav. 206. But see Re Manchester and Southport Railway Company, 19 Beav. 365.

As soon as the money is paid into the Bank, interest ceases to be payable by the company (Lewis v. South Wales Railway Company, 10 Hare, 113), unless there is a special agreement. In Exparte Earl of Hardwicke, 1 De G. M. & G. 297, where the company were ordered to pay interest under a special agreement, it was by consent agreed that no objection should be taken for want of jurisdiction, but that the question should he decided as if a bill had been filed. See Re Divers, 1 Jur. N. S. 995; Chambers v. White, 14 Jur. 1129; Re Marylebone Improvement Act, 19 W. R. 1058.

(d) A tenant for life, who has redeemed the land-tax, will he allowed to reimburse himself out of the purchase-monies paid into Court (Ex parte Northwick, 1 Y. & Coll. Ex. 166); and see Re London, Brighton & South Coast Railway, 18 Beav. 608.

(e) The following applications of purchase-money have been held to be within this provision:—(But see now Settled Land Act, 1882, s. 32.) Paying off bonds or mortgages given by a corporation for repayment of money horrowed for sanitary purposes (Re Derby Municipal Estates, 3 Ch. D. 289; and see Ex parte Corporation of Cambridge, 6 Ha. 29; 5 Rly. Ca. 204): huying up leases (Ex parte Corporation of Sheffield, 21 Beav. 162; 25 L. J. Ch. 587; Ex parte Corporation of London, 5 Eq. 418; 37 L. J. Ch. 371; Re Marquis Townshend's Estates, W. N. (1882), 7); redeeming a quit rent (Ex parte Studdert, 6 Ir. Ch. Rep. 53; Ex parte Lord Leconfield, Ir. R. 8 Eq. 559); discharging expenses incurred under statutory powers and expressly charged on the land (Ex parte Queen's College, 14 Beav. 159, n.; Ex parte Lockwood, 14 Beav. 158; Re Davis's Estate, 3 De Cl. & J. 144; 27 L. J. Ch. 712). But a loan from the Governors of Queen Anne's Bounty (Ex parte Rector of Grimoldby, 2 Ch. D. 225), or a rent-charge charged on glebe lands in favour of a Land Improvement Company (Ex parte Rector of Kirksmeaton, 20 Ch. D. 203), or advances obtained by a tenant for life under a Drainage Aot (Ex parte Studdert, 6 Ir. Ch. 53), will not be discharged out of the fund.

discharged out of the fund.

The word "settled" in this clause simply means "standing limited" (Kelland v. Fulford, 6 Ch. D. 491).

(f) Under this provision money paid in respect of fresholds (Re Cann, 15 Jur. 3) or leaseholds (Re Liverpool Docks, 1 Sim. N. S. 202) may be laid out in the purchase of copyholds; and money paid in respect of leaseholds may be laid out in the purchase of freeholds (Re Parker, 13 Eq. 495). But purchase-money of freeholds and copyholds will not be laid out in the purchase of leaseholds (Re Lancashire and

Yorkshire Ry., 2 W. R. 667; S. C. nom. Re Macaulay, 23 L. J. Ch. 815); see how-7 & 8 Vict. ever Re Rechoboth Chapel, 19 Eq. 180; Ex parte Trinity Coll. Cambridge, 18 L. T. 849. c. 18, s. 69-87.

Enfranchisement of copyholds is a purchase of land within the Act (Dixon v. Jackson, 25 L. J. Ch. 588; Rc Cheshunt College, 1 Jur. N. S. 995); and so is an investment in ground rents (Re Mason, W. N. (1872), 77); nor need the land purchased be within the jurisdiction of the Court (Re Taylor, 40 L. J. Ch. 454, where it was in the Isle of Man).

But the money cannot be invested in the purchase of an equity of redemption what is not.

(Ex parte Craven, 17 L. J. Ch. 215; Ex parte Portadown, Ir. R. 10 Eq. 368).

The money in Court may be invested in the purchase of land, although the Where applicants are absolutely entitled (Re Jones, 39 L. J. Ch. 190; 18 W. R. 312; W. N. applicants

(1870), 7; Re Parker, 13 Eq. 495).

The money in Court may be expended in erecting new huildings on other parts of entitled. the settled land, whether in addition to those existing before or in substitution for such as have become ruinous, provided that (1) it is beneficial to the estate, and (2) the remaindermen do not object; but it cannot be applied in ordinary repairs and improvements (Re Leigh, 6 Ch. 887; Drake v. Trefusis, 10 Ch. 364; Re Speer, 3 Ch. D. 262; Ex parte Rector of Holywell-cum-Needingworth, 27 W. R. 707; Re Aldred, 21 Ch. D. 228; Ex parte Shaw, 4 Y. & C. 506; Re Dummer, 2 De G. J. & S. 515; Re Wight, 6 W. R. 718); and see also Re London and North-Western Ry. Act, 1 Ch. 596. Re Incomplete of Wickfield 1.2 H. 2.10. For any New York 20 Ch. 20

So money representing glebe land may be expended in building or improving a rectory-house, or in erecting farm buildings (Re Incumbent of Whitfield, 1 J. & H. 610; Ex parte Melward, 2 Giff. 394. So money representing glebe land may be expended in building or improving a rectory-house, or in erecting farm buildings (Re Incumbent of Whitfield; Ex parte money of Rector of Claygole, 16 Eq. 574; Ex parte Rector of Shipton-under-Wychwood, 19 W. R. glebe land. 549). In Re Lymington Chapel, W. N. (1877), 226, part of the fund in Court was laid out in the purchase of land and buildings, and the remainder applied in converting the huildings into a dwelling, house for the minister or changl keeper. As to buildthe buildings into a dwelling-house for the minister or chapel keeper.

ings generally, see now the Settled Land Act, ss. 21, 25 and 32, infra.

The money may be applied in making improvements which are a permanent Improve-addition to the estate (Re Leslie, 2 Ch. D. 185 (drainage); Re Croker, W. N. (1877) ments.

38 (water supply); and see Re Vicar of Queen Camel, 11 W. R. 503; Re Buckinghamshire Ry., 14 Jur. 1065); but not it would seem in making roads (Re Belfast Water Commissioners, Ir. R. 5 Eq. 63; Re Venour's Settled Estates, 2 Ch. D. 522); see now, however, the Settled Land Act, ss. 21, 25, and 32, infra.

Where the meaning to be leid aut in building it will not in coneral be paid out.

Where the money is to be laid out in building, it will not in general be paid out of Court till the buildings are finished (Re Dummer, 2 De G. J. & S. 515; 11 Jur. N. S. 615; Ex parte Rector of Shipton-under-Wychwood, 19 W. R. 549).

It seems doubtful whether the Court will apply the fund in recouping a limited Recouping owner money which he has expended without its previous sanction on other parts of limited the estate; see Williams v. Aylesbury and Buckingham Ry., 9 Ch. 684; Re Leigh, 6 owner. Ch. 887; Exparte Rector of Hartington, W. N. (1875) 40; 23 W. R. 484; Re Stock, 42 L. T. 46; W. N. (1880), 11 (where, however, the money was spent before the land had been taken). But, nevertheless, this has been done in some cases; see Ex parte Rector of Gamston, 1 Ch. D. 477; Ex parte Rector of Holywell-cum-Needingworth, 27 W. R. 707; Re Partington, 11 W. R. 160; 1 N. R. 177; Re Aldred, 21 Ch. D. 228; Re Davis, 3 De G. & J. 144; 4 Jur. N. S. 1029.

For the application of compensation money in the case of common lands, see Common Nash v. Coombs, 6 Eq. 51; Fox v. Amhurst, 20 Eq. 403; Austin v. Amhurst, 7 Ch. lands. D. 689; and as to lands in which freemen have an interest, see Ex parte Mayor of

Lincoln, 21 L. J. Ch. 621.

In a proper case the Court will sanction the investment of the money paid in, Re-investtogether with other trust-monies, in the purchase of estates of greater value (Ex ment in

parte Newton, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 518).

On application for re-investment in land, the Court approves of the investment greater value. either immediately or after inquiry, and then directs an inquiry whether a good title Reference to can be made; see Daniell, p. 1039 et seq. But the Court may dispense with the conveyancing investigation of the title (Re Blomefield, 25 W. R. 37; W. N. (1876) 242), or with the reference to the conveyancing counsel (Re Lapworth, W. N. (1879) 37).

(g) Providing temporary buildings until a new hospital (Re St. Thomas Register).

re-investment of part of the purchase-money of a hospital (Re St. Thomas's Hospital,

11 W. R. 1018).

Buildings may be "injured" by being severed from a farm so as to be rendered useless, although they sustain no structural damage (Ex parte Melward, 27 Beav. 571; 29 L. J. Ch. 245).

The Court of Chancery is now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud.

Act, 1873, es. 33, 34).

(h) The application for payment out or re-investment must be accompanied by an (n) The application of Payment of Agriculture affidavit of no incumbrances, see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LII. r. 18, which provides that person abso in the case of applications under Acts of Parliament directing the purchase-money lutely enti-

absolutely Money may be expended in building.

lands of

taken or injured.

Court of Chancery.

Payment to person absotled.

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69-87.

as to inoumbrances.

of any property sold to be paid into Court, any persons claiming to be entitled to the money so paid in must make an affidavit, not only verifying their title, but also stating that they are not aware of any right in any other person, or of any claim Applicants to made by any other person, to the sum claimed, or to any part thereof, or if the make affidavit petitioners are aware of any such right or claim, they must in such affidavit state or refer to and except the same.

See as to the necessity of the affidavit, Ex parte Grainge, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 62; Ex

parte Hollick, 4 Rly. Ca. 498.

The affidavit must be made though income only is proposed to be dealt with (Exparte Warden of Winchester College, 14 W. R. 788; W. N. (1866) 208; Re Milne, 8 L. T. 199, overruling Exparte Baroness of Braye, 9 Hare, App. vii).

An affidavit by one of several petitioners is sufficient (Re Vale of Neath Railway, W. N. (1866) 78). The tenant for life being infirm, and the remaindermen being infants, the order for payment of dividends to the tenant for life was made on the affidavit of the avecutors (Re Smith's Leveledde W. N. (1866) 200. 14 W. R. 040. affidavit of the executors (Re Smith's Leaseholds, W. N. (1866), 290; 14 W. R. 949; and see Re Batty, W. N. (1877) 212); and in another case on the affidavit of the petitioner's solicitor (Re Halsey, W. N. (1870) 68), and on a petition by trustees of a charity the affidavit of their clerk was sufficient (Re Edward VI. Almshouses, 16 W. R. 841).

The affidavit is necessary, although the application is by a large public body for interim investment and payment of dividends (Re Byron, W. N. (1883) 67); but see Re Magdalen Coll., W. N. (1880) 150.

Where a fund in Court arises from a settled estate, and a tenant in tail who has barred the entail applies for payment, an affidavit of no incumbrances must be made (Seton, 1504; Thornhill v. Millbank, 12 W. R. 523).

Where a married woman would be entitled there must be an affidavit of no settlement; Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, r. 61, infra, and see ibid. rr. 44-68, as

Payment out to charity trustees.

Affidavit of

no settlement.

to payment out of Court generally.

Payment to trustees has been allowed in the case of trustees for a charity (Ex Payment to trustees has deel allowed in the Case of Acades of Tid St. Giles' Charity, 17 W. R. 758; W. N. (1869) 116; Re Faversham Charities, 10 W. R. 291, where the trustees had a power of sale; Re Spurstowe's Charity, 18 Eq. 279). But in Exparte the Governors, &c. of Norfolk Clergy, W. N. (1882), 53, where there was no power of sale, Fry, J. declined to follow Re Spurstowe's Charity. As to dispensing with the consent of the Charity Commissioners, see Re Lister's Hospital, 6 De G. M. & G. 184; Re William of Kyngeston's Charity, 30 W. R. 70; W. N. (1881) 143; and note to s. 1 of Trustee Relief Act, post, p. 51.

Payment out to trustees.

The money may be paid out to trustees with a power of sale (Re Gooch, 3 Ch. D. 742; Re Hobson, 7 Ch. D. 708; Re Thomas, W. N. (1882) 7; 30 W. R. 244; Re Ward, 28 Ch. D. 100; but see Re Soury, 8 Ch. 736); whether the power is presently exerciseable or not (Re Evans, 14 Ch. D. 511; Re Vestry of St. Luke's, W. N. (1880) 58). See further as to payment out to trustees, Re Illman, 39 L. J. Ch. 760; 19 W. R. 962; W. N. (1870) 189; Re Reaston, 13 Eq. 564; Re Horwood, 3 Giff. 218; Re Roberts, 7 Jur. N. S. 818; 9 W. R. 758 (sole trustee). Under sect. 21 of the Settled Land Act the money may be paid to any person empowered to give an absolute discharge; see this Act, infra. The fund may also be paid out to trustees to be applied by them under a power of advancement (Re Curwen, W. N. (1880) 83).

Payment out of small sums to solicitors on their undertaking to distribute them is often allowed; and for order to pay out to trustees "or either of them," see note to

sect. 71, post.

A dowress is entitled to have the value of her right of dower paid to her out of

Tenant in

the fund in Court (Re Hall, 9 Eq. 179).

Where the land taken was entailed, the purchase-money will not be paid out to

Where the land tall unless he has executed a disentailing deed; see Re Reynolds (C. A.), 3 Ch. D. 61; Re Butler's Will, 16 Eq. 479 (Selborne, L. C.); Re Broadwood, 1 Ch. D. 438 (Jessel, M. R.); Re Norcop, 31 L. T. 85 (V.-C. B.); Ex parte Smyth, Ir. R. 10 Eq. 66; the cases to the contrary are all overruled.

Where the land belonged to a married woman it may be paid out on her consent in Court without an acknowledged deed (Re Robins, 27 W. R. 705; Re Hayes, 9 W. R. 769; Ex parte Ellison, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 528; Pollack v. Birmingham Ry., 11 Jur. N. S. 7; 13 W. R. 401; Knapping v. Tomlinson, 18 W. R. 604; W. N. (1870) 107); and see Standering v. Hall, 11 Ch. D. 652; Wallace v. Greenwood, 16 Ch. D. 362; and the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, infra.

Payment in under the Act does not prevent the Statute of Limitations run-

Payment in under the Act does not prevent the Statute of Limitations running in favour of a person who has merely a possessory title when the land is taken (Re Winder, 6 Ch. D. 696; Re Jane Evans, 42 L. J. Ch. 357; and see Exparte Chamberlain, 14 Ch. D. 323).

Transfer from the account to which the money was paid in to another account is a payment out within the Act (Melling v. Bird, 22 L. J. Ch. 599; 17 Jur. 155; Tipper v. Soilleux, W. N. (1875) 158; Re Bristol Free Grammar School, 47 L. J. Ch.

Dowress.

tail must execute disentailing assurance.

Land belonging to a married woman.

Statute of Limitations.

Transfer to another

317; W. N. (1878) 26; Ex parte Trustees of Horfield Trust, 29 W. R. 462; W. N. (1881) 16). In cases not within the Act, and where the special Act contained no c. 18, s. 69-87. provision for payment to persons absolutely entitled, such payment has nevertheless been made (Re Musgrave, 6 Jur. N. S. 797; Re Macclesfield Canal Act, 9 Jur. N. S. 224; Re Allen, W. N. (1867) 11).

7 & 8 Vict.

payment out.

LXX. Such money may be so applied as aforesaid upon an order of Interim the Court of Chancery in England or the Court of Exchequer in Ire-investment. land, made on the petition (i) of the party who would have been entitled to the rents and profits of the lands in respect of which such money shall have been deposited; and until the money can be so applied it may, upon the like order, be invested by the said Accountant-General in the purchase of three per centum consolidated or three per centum reduced bank annuities, or in government or real securities (k), and the interest, dividends, and annual proceeds thereof (l) paid to the party who would for the time being have been entitled to the rents and profits of the land (m).

(i) All applications for interim and permanent investment and payment of dividends under the Act, and applications for payment out where the fund does not exceed 1,000l, are now made by summons at chambers; see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2 (2,7), infra; Ex parte Maidstone Ry., 25 Ch. D. 168; Re Calton, ibid. 240; Ex parte Mayor of London, ibid. 384.

By the rules under the Settled Land Act, 1882, r. 2, all applications to the Court of mode that Act are the Settled Land Act, 1882, r. 2, all applications to the Court of

under that Act are to be made by summons in chambers; and see infra as to these Chancery. rules generally. The Court of Chancery is now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, ss. 33, 34, infra).

The duties of the Accountant-General are now discharged by the Paymaster Accountant-

(Chancery Funds Act, 1872, infra).

(k) The fund is cash under the control of the Court, and may be invested in any of (k) The fund is eash under the control of the Court, and may be invested in any of the securities authorized for the investment of funds in Court (Ex parte St. John's College, Oxford, 22 Ch. D. 93, overruling Ex parte Vicar of St. Mary's, 18 Ch. D. 646, and (on this point) Ex parte Rector of Kirksmeaton, 20 Ch. D. 203).

The Court will canction an interim investment in mortgage security (Re Smith, 9 Eq. 178), but not if the chief clerk has reported against it (Ex parte Franklyn, 1 De C. & Sm. 528)

G. & Sm. 528).

(l) Where the company are in possession, payment of the dividends to the tenant Dividends for life will be ordered before the conveyance (Re Hungerford's Trusts, 1 K. & J. paid before 413). It is not the duty of the Court to go into the title of the person claiming.

As to the form of an order under this section in the case of a corporation sole, see

Ex parte the Archbishop of Canterbury, 2 De G. & Sm. 365; and see Re Davenant's Charity, 2 W. R. 344; Re Pearce, 24 Beav. 491; Ex parte Churchwardens and Overseers of Bicester, 5 Rly. Ca. 702, where the dividends were ordered to be paid "to the vicar for the time being, and churchwardens and overseers, or either of them." See also Re Collins' Charity, 20 L. J. Ch. 168, cited in note to s. 71; Re How, 15 Jur. 266, and Re Codrington, 18 Eq. 658, where payment to the secretary of a charity was ordered there being no treesurer.

where the fund belonge to a charity the sanction of the Charity Commissioners Consent of to the application is not required (Re William of Kyngeston's Charity, 30 W. R. 70; Charity Com-W. N. (1881) 143; Re Lister's Hospital, 6 De G. M. & G. 184). As to the form of missioners.

the order for payment, &c. to private trustees, see note to s. 71, post.

(m) The Court cannot proceed under this section at the instance of an incum- Who may who may brancer, or annuitant, but only at the instance of the person who would have been apply for entitled to the rents and profits if the property had been unsold (Ex parte Back, 2 interim in-Y. & J. 386); and see Re Hungerford, 3 K. & J. 455; Ex parte Cofield, 11 Jur. N. S. vestment as 1; Re Wrey, 11 Jur. N. S. 206; Ex parte Wilkinson, 3 De G. & S. 633. In Re "party en-Pedley's Estate, 1 Jur. N. S. 654, an order was made on the petition of the tenant titled to for life, and annuitants (not bound by the contract) for payment of the dividends to rents and the former prefixed by an undertaking not to distrain on the lands. A remainder profits" the former, prefaced by an undertaking not to distrain on the lands. A remainder- profits." man, though plaintiff in an administration suit, was held to have no right to petition (Nash v. Nash, 37 L. J. Ch. 927; 16 W. R. 1105). Where the money was deposited in respect of a closed burial ground, the income was ordered to be paid to the person who would have been entitled to the burial fees (Re St. Pancras Burial Ground, 3 Eq. 173; Ex parte Rector of Liverpool, 11 Eq. 15; Ex parte Rector of St. Martin's Birmingham, 11 Eq. 23).

General.

7 & 8 Vict. As to the parties to he served, see Re Morris, 20 Eq. 470; Ex parte Staples, 1 De G. c.18, s. 69-87. M. & G. 294; Re Dowling, 24 W. R. 729; Seton, p. 1424.

Service. Sums from 201. to 2001. to be deposited or paid to trustees.

LXXI. If the purchase-money or compensation shall not amount to the sum of two hundred pounds and shall exceed the sum of twenty pounds, the same shall either be paid into the Bank, and applied in the manner hereinbefore directed with respect to sums amounting to or exceeding two hundred pounds, or the same may lawfully be paid to two trustees (n), to be nominated by the parties entitled to the rents or profits of the lands in respect whereof the same shall be payable, such nomination to be signified by writing under the hands of the party so entitled; and in case of the coverture, infancy, lunacy, or other incapacity of the parties entitled to such monies, such nomination may lawfully be made by their respective husbands, guardians, committees or trustees; but such last-mentioned application of the monies shall not be made unless the promoters of the undertaking approve thereof and of the trustees named for the purpose; and the money so paid to such trustees, and the produce arising therefrom, shall be by such trustees applied in the manner hereinbefore directed with respect to money paid into the Bank, but it shall not be necessary to obtain any order of the Court for that purpose.

Payment to trustees for time being, one of two trustees, &c. Charity trustees.

(n) Where the land taken had been vested in trustees under the Municipal Corporations Act, the Court, under this section, ordered payment of the dividends Corporations Act, the Court, under this section, ordered payment of the dividends of the investment to any two of the trustees for the time being (Re Collins' Charity, 20 L. J. Ch. 168). In Re Clinton, 8 W. R. 492, followed in Re Coulson, 17 L. T. 27; W. N. (1867) 233, V.-C. Wood made the order for payment to the two trustees "or either of them;" and this is the proper form (Seton, p. 88). The proceeds of the sale of charity lands taken by a railway company were paid to the trustees of the charity, with the consent of the Charity Commissioners (Re Faversham Charities, 10 W. R. 291), but see note at p. 30, ante.

Sums not exceeding 201. to be paid to parties.

LXXII. If such money shall not exceed the sum of twenty pounds (o), the same shall be paid to the parties entitled to the rents and profits of the lands in respect whereof the same shall be payable, for their own use and benefit, or in case of the coverture, infancy, idiotcy, lunacy, or other incapacity of any such parties, then such money shall be paid for their use to the respective husbands, guardians, committees, or trustees of such persons.

Purchasemoney under 201.

(o) Where it is probable that after re-investment of part of the sum paid in, the balance left will be under 201., the Court will order that the balance, if less than 201., be paid to the tenant for life (Re Lord Egremont, 12 Jur. 618: Re Bateman's Estate, 21 L. J. Ch. 691). But see Ex parte Vicar of Bredicot, 5 Rly. Ca. 209, where the Court refused to order payment of 201. 10s. (the balance of 2001. paid into Court for the purchase of land belonging to a rectory) to the rector in liquidation of extra costs beyond those allowed by the Act; and in Re Bateman, 21 L. J. Ch. 691, and Ex parte Barrett, 19 L. J. Ch. 415, applications to allow sums over 201 to be paid to the tenant for life, he undertaking to lay them out in lasting improvements, were refused.

All sums payable under contract with persons not absolutely

LXXIII. All sums of money exceeding twenty pounds which may be payable by the promoters of the undertaking in respect of the taking, using, or interfering with any lands, under a contract or agreement with any person (p) who shall not be entitled to dispose

of such lands or of the interest therein contracted to be sold by him absolutely for his own benefit, shall be paid into the Bank or to trustees c. 18, s. 69-87. in manner aforesaid; and it shall not be lawful for any contracting entitled to party not entitled as aforesaid to retain to his own use any portion of Bank. the sums so agreed or contracted to be paid for or in respect of the taking, using, or interfering with any such lands, or in lieu of bridges, tunnels, or other accommodation works, or for assenting to or not opposing the passing of the bill authorising the taking of such lands, but all such monies shall be deemed to have been contracted to be paid for and on account of the several parties interested in such lands, as well in possession as in remainder, reversion, or expectancy: Provided always, that it shall be in the discretion of the Court of Chancery in England, or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland, or the said trustees, as the case may be, to allot to any tenant for life or for any other partial or qualified estate, for his own use, a portion of the sum so paid into the Bank or to such trustees as aforesaid, as compensation for any injury, inconvenience, or annoyance which he may be considered to sustain, independently of the actual value of the lands to be taken, and of the damage occasioned to the lands held therewith, by reason of the taking of such lands and the making of the works (q).

7 & 8 Vict.

(p) See as to this section generally, Taylor v. Directors of the Chichester Railway, Section 4 H. L. 628.

These words were held to apply to the case of a landowner withdrawing his oppo- though no

These words were held to apply to the case of a landowner withdrawing his opposition to the bill authorising a railway, though he never entered into any contract contract. as to the land eventually taken under the Act, and though the section in terms only speaks of a "contracting party" (Pole v. Pole, 2 Dr. & Sm. 420; 11 Jur. N. S. 477); and see Re Wilson, 9 Jur. N. S. 1043; 32 L. J. Ch. 191.

(2) Costs and expenses incurred by a tenant for life which the company are not what monies bound to pay may be, under this section, ordered to be paid to the tenant for life, are within out of the purchase-money paid in (Re Aubrey, 1 W. R. 464; 17 Jur. 874); and see the section. Earl of Shrewsbury v. N. Staffordshire Ry. Co., 1 Eq. 593; Re Oldham, W. N. (1871), 190; Re Strathmore Estates, 18 Eq. 338; Ex parte Curate of Whitworth, 24 L. T. 126; W. N. (1871), 66; Re Earl of Berkeley's Will, 10 Ch. 56; Re Nicoll's Estate, W. N. (1878). 154. (1878), 154.

Under this section small sums will be ordered to be paid to the tenant for life, for shis own use, as compensation for "injury, inconvenience, and annoyance," sustained ordered to be by him; see Ex parte Lockwood, 14 Beav. 158; Re Collis's Estate, 14 L. T. 352. But paid to in Re Duke of Marlborough, 13 Jur. 738, a company having agreed with a tenant for life, to pay him a sum for the benefit of himself, "or other the owner for the time being, for indemnifying him from the expenses of making a new road, &c., and as a compensation for the annoyance which he, or such owners as aforesaid, might sustain, in consequence of the construction of such railway," the Court ordered the purchase-monies, after payment of the costs for making the road, to be invested, and refused to pay them to the tenant for life.

It was held that upon a reference to the master, under the old practice, to inquire Damage. as to the title to money paid in for compensation for damage done to lands, the

as to the title to money paid in for compensation for damage done to lands, the master ought to report whether the damage done be temporary or permanent (Cator v. Croydon Canal Company, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 405).

LXXIV. Where any purchase-money or compensation paid into the Court may Bank under the provisions of this or the special Act shall have been paid direct appliin respect of any lease for a life or lives or years, or for a life or lives and of money in years, or any estate in lands less than the whole fee simple thereof, or of respect of leases or any reversion dependent on any such lease or estate, it shall be lawful for reversions as the Court of Chancery in England or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland, they may think just. on the petition of any party interested in such money, to order that the

7 & 8 Vict. o. 18, s. 69-87. same shall be laid out, invested, accumulated, and paid in such manner as the said Court may consider will give to the parties interested in such money the same benefit therefrom as they might lawfully have had from the lease, estate, or reversion in respect of which such money shall have been paid, or as near thereto as may be (r).

Apportionment-(1) As between lessor and lessee.

(r) "Lease" includes an agreement for a lease (sect. 3).

As to apportionment between lessor and lesses, where there is a doubt on the title of either, see Brandon v. Brandon, 2 Dr. & S. 305, and Re Wood, 10 Eq. 572.

A yearly tenant holding over after notice to quit can claim no compensation (Exparte Nadin, 17 L. J. Ch. 421). Lessors and lessees should deal separately with the company in respect of their interests, for the Court has no jurisdiction to apportion the purchase-money between them (Exparte Ward, 2 De G. & S. 4; see Exparte Dean of Battel, 21 L. T. (O. S.) 55). See also Re King, 16 Eq. 521.

When property held under a lease is taken it often becomes a question between a tenant for life and remaindermen how the number a money is to be divided.

(2) As between successive owners. property taken was held on lease, and therefore of perishable nature. Property held on renewable leases

tenant for life and remainderman how the purchase-money is to be divided. It seems to be now settled that in such a case the tenant for life is entitled to receive (a) Where the an annuity of such an amount that the payment of it will exhaust the fund in the

Refusal to renew.

an annuity of such an amount that the payment of it will exhaust the fund in the number of years which the lease had to run; see Askew v. Woodhead (C. A.), 14 Ch. D. 27; 41 L. T. 670; 42 L. T. 567; Re Phillips, 6 Eq. 250; Re Sewell, 23 L. T. 835; Re Money, 2 Dr. & Sm. 94; the cases to the contrary cannot now be relied on. As to the case of an annuitant, see Ex parte Wilkinson, 3 De G. & S. 633. For the principle on which the Court will estimate the value of a lease held on a contingency, see Penny v. Penny, 5 Eq. 227. Where settled renewable leaseholds have been taken the rule seems to be that if there is an unqualified trust for renewal the tenant for life only takes the income of the purchase-money (Re Wood, 10 Eq. 572; Maddy v. Hale, 3 Ch. D. 327; Hollier v. Burne, 16 Eq. 163; Re Barber, 18 Ch. D. 624; Re Ranelagh, 26 Ch. D. 590); and see Morres v. Hodges, 27 Beav. 625, and Tardiff v. Robinson, ibid. 629, n. Where trustees had neglected to renew a renewable lease which therefore determined in the lifetime of the tenant for life thereof under a settlement, such tenant for life was allowed the whole of the thereof under a settlement, such tenant for life was allowed the whole of the purchase-money, Stuart, V.-C., declining to consider, on petition, the question of breach of trust in not renewing (Re Beaufoy, 1 Sm. & Giff. 20; 16 Jur. 1084).

On the other hand, where property is let on renewable leases at a low rent the present income of the purchase-money will exceed the rent reserved, and, in such

(β) Where property was let on leases, renewable on fines.

cases, the Court generally allows the persons entitled in possession so much of the dividends of the purchase-money as corresponds with the amount they would have dividends of the purchase-money as corresponds with the amount they would have received if the land were unconverted, and directs the surplus to be accumulated and invested, with liberty to apply at the periods when the leases would be renewable and the fines payable (Ex parte Dean of Glouester, 19 L. J. Ch. 400; Ex parte Dean of Christchurch, 23 L. J. Ch. 149; Ex parte Rector of Lambeth, 4 Rly. Ca. 231; Ex parte Bishop of Winchester, 10 Hare, 137; Ex parte Precentor of St. Paul's, 1 K. & J. 538; Ex parte Dean of St. Paul's, 11 W. R. 482, which were all cases of ecclesiastical or college leases). The same principle applies where the company take land of private persons, which is subject to a beneficial lease; see Re Wootton, 1 Eq. 589; Re Mette, 7 Eq. 72; Re Wilkes, 16 Ch. D. 597, where the form of order is given. But the whole dividends have, under special circumstances, been allowed to the persons entitled in possession (Re Dean and Chapter of Westminster, 26 Beav. 214, where the present value of the property taken was largely increased since the leases were granted; Ex parte Trustees of St. Thomas's Church, Bristol, W. N. (1870), 192; Re Steward, 1 Drew. 636).

See note to section 30, p. 42, post, as to the costs of remaindermen appearing

When whole dividends allowed.

See note to section 80, p. 42, post, as to the costs of remaindermen appearing upon petitions under this section.

Upon deposit being made the owners of the lands to convey, or in default the lands to vest in the promoters of the undertaking, upon deed pell being executed.

LXXV. Upon deposit in the Bank in manner hereinbefore provided of the purchase-money or compensation agreed or awarded to be paid in respect of any lands purchased or taken by the promoters of the undertaking under the provisions of this or the special Act, or any Act incorporated therewith, the owner (s) of such lands, including in such term all parties by this Act enabled to sell or convey lands, shall, when required so to do by the promoters of the undertaking, duly convey such lands to the promoters of the undertaking, or as they shall direct; and in default thereof (t), or if he fail to adduce a

good title to such lands to their satisfaction, it shall be lawful for the promoters of the undertaking, if they think fit, to execute a deed c. 18, s. 69-87. poll under their common seal if they be a corporation, or if they be not a corporation under the hands and seals of the promoters or any two of them, containing a description of the lands in respect of which such default shall be made, and reciting the purchase or taking thereof by the promoters of the undertaking, and the names of the parties from whom the same were purchased or taken, and the deposit made in respect thereof, and declaring the fact of such default having been made; and such deed poll shall be stamped with the stamp duty which would have been payable upon a conveyance to the promoters of the undertaking of the lands described therein; and thereupon all the estate and interest in such lands of or capable of being sold and conveyed by the party between whom and the promoters of the undertaking such agreement shall have been come to, or as between whom and the promoters of the undertaking such purchasemoney or compensation shall have been determined by a jury or by arbitrators or by a surveyor appointed by two justices as herein provided, and shall have been deposited as aforesaid, shall vest absolutely in the promoters of the undertaking, and as against such parties, and all parties on behalf of whom they are hereinbefore enabled to sell and convey, the promoters of the undertaking shall be entitled to immediate possession of such lands (u).

(s) As to the meaning of "owner," see next section and note.
(t) See, hefore the passing of the Act, Bruce v. Willis, 11 Ad. & Ell. 463; S. C. nom. Bath River Company v. Willis, 2 Rly. Ca. 7; The Earl of Harborough v. Shardlow, 2 Rly. Ca. 253; 7 M. & W. 87.
(u) The lands vest without a conveyance (Bruce v. Willis).

Vesting.

deposited.

LXXVI. If the owner (x) of any such lands purchased or taken by Where parties the promoters of the undertaking, or of any interest therein, on tender refuse to conof the purchase-money or compensation either agreed or awarded to show title, or be paid in respect thereof, refuse to accept the same, or neglect or fail cannot be found, the to make out a title to such lands, or to the interest therein claimed by purchasehim, to the satisfaction of the promoters of the undertaking, or if he money to be refuse to convey or release such lands as directed by the promoters of the undertaking, or if any such owner be absent from the kingdom, or cannot, after diligent inquiry, be found, or fail to appear on the inquiry before a jury, as herein provided for, it shall be lawful for the promoters of the undertaking to deposit the purchase-money or compensation payable in respect of such lands, or any interest therein, in the Bank, in the name, and with the privity of the Accountant-General* of the *See 35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, Court of Chancery in England or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland, s. 4, infra, to be placed, except in the cases herein otherwise provided for, to his p. 203. account there, to the credit of the parties interested in such lands (describing them, so far as the promoters of the undertaking can do), subject to the control and disposition of the said Court.

(z) A person in possession, but showing a bad title, is not an "owner" within Who is this section; and therefore, where a vendor under a contract for a sixty years' "owner" title, fails to show more than a title for thirty-six years, he cannot compel the (see s. 79).

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69–87.

company to deposit the purchase-money in the Bank under this section (Douglas v. London and North-Western Railway Co., 3 K. & J. 173; and see Ex parte Freemen and Stallingers of Sunderland, 1 Drew. 184; Doe d. Hutchinson v. Manchester, Bury, and Rosendale Railway Co., 14 M & W. 687; sub nom. Hutchinson v. East Lancashire Railway Co., 3 Rly. Ca. 748). But a surviving partner selling the property by virtue of his duty to wind no the nartnershin is an owner within the section (Douglae v. nanway Co., o MIY. Ca. 148). But a surviving partner seiling the property by virtue of his duty to wind up the partnership, is an owner within the section (Douglae v. London and North-Western Railway Co.). Comp. as to the meaning of the word owner, Russell v. Shenton, 3 Q. B. 449, and Chauntier v. Robinson, 4 Exch. 163; and see also as to this section generally, Ex parte Winder, 6 Ch. D. 696; Wells v. Chelmsford Local Board, 15 Ch. D. 108.

Upon deposit being made a receipt to be given, and the lands to vest upon a deed poll being exe-

LXXVII. Upon any such deposit of money as last aforesaid being made, the cashier of the Bank shall give to the promoters of the undertaking, or to the party paying in such money by their direction, a receipt for such money, specifying therein for what and for whose use (described as aforesaid) the same shall have been received, and in respect of what purchase the same shall have been paid in; and it shall be lawful for the promoters of the undertaking, if they think fit, to execute a deed poll, under their common seal, if they be a corporation, or if they be not a corporation under the hands and seals of the said promoters or any two of them, containing a description of the lands in respect whereof such deposit shall have been made, and declaring the circumstances under which and the names of the parties to whose credit such deposit shall have been made, and such deed poll shall be stamped with the stamp duty which would have been payable upon a conveyance to the promoters of the undertaking of the lands described therein; and thereupon all the estate and interest in such lands of the parties for whose use and in respect whereof such purchase-money or compensation shall have been deposited shall vest absolutely in the promoters of the undertaking, and as against such parties they shall be entitled to immediate possession of such lands.

Application of monies so deposited.

LXXVIII. Upon the application by petition (y) of any party making claim to the money so deposited as last aforesaid, or any part thereof, or to the lands in respect whereof the same shall have been so deposited, or any part of such lands, or any interest in the same, the said Court of Chancery in England or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland may, in a summary way, as to such Court shall seem fit, order such money to be laid out or invested in the public funds, or may order distribution thereof, or payment of the dividends thereof according to the respective estates, titles, or interests of the parties making claim to such money or lands, or any part thereof (z), and may make such other order in the premises as to such Court shall seem fit (a).

(y) The application for investment is now by summons, see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2 (7), infra.

Where the company alleged that the owners failed to make a title, and paid the purchase-money into Court under sect. 76, and the owners obtained a decree for purchase-money into court under setz. 76, and the owners obtained a decree for completion of the purchase in a suit, the order for payment out under this section was made on a petition entitled in the suit and in the matter of the Act (Galliers v. Metropolitan Railway, 11 Eq. 410).

(2) Under this section incumbrancers may petition for the money to be paid to them out of court (Re Marriage, 9 W. R. 848); but they cannot recover more than six years' arrears of interest (Re Stead, 2 Ch. D. 713).

(a) Purchase-money in Court, under this section, is converted into personalty, the verders from whom the land is taken being competent to convert (Exercise).

the vendors from whom the land is taken being competent to convey (Ex parts

Suit by owners.

Payment to incumbrancers. Conversion into personalty.

Flamank, 1 Sim. N. S. 260; Ex parte Harrop, 3 Drew. 726, 733; Ex parte Hawkins, 13 Sim. 569; and see note to sect. 69, ante, p. 28). See, hewever, Re Walker's c. 18, s. 69-87.

Estate, 22 L. J. Ch. 888; and if the company have taken the land from a person incompetent to deal with them the money in Court belongs to the heir (Re Tugwell, 27 Ch. D. 309), where Pearson, J. declined to fellow Ex parte Flamank.

A person claiming in respect of an interest evented after the notice to treat

A person claiming in respect of an interest created after the netice to treat

cannot apply for compensation (Ex parte Edwards, 12 Eq. 389).

LXXIX. If any question arise respecting the title to the lands in Party in respect whereof such monies shall have been so paid or deposited as be deemed the aforesaid, the parties respectively in possession of such lands, as being ewner. the owners thereof, or in receipt of the rents of such lands, as being entitled thereto at the time of such lands being purchased or taken, shall be deemed to have been lawfully entitled to such lands until the contrary be shown to the satisfaction of the Court (b), and unless the contrary be shown as aforesaid, the parties so in possession, and all parties claiming under them, or consistently with their possession, shall be deemed entitled to the money so deposited, and to the dividends or interest of the annuities or securities purchased therewith, and the same shall be paid and applied accordingly (c).

(b) See Ex parte Webster, W. N. (1866), 246.
(c) "The legislature has anxiously provided that the Court shall not upon the occasion of applications for payment of purchase-money, deal with the property in

occasion of applications for payment of purchase-meney, deal with the property in any way which can affect the title, unless it can be shown so clearly as to be beyond all question, that there must be litigation upon the question of title," per V.-C. Wood in Re St. Pancras Burial Ground, 3 Eq. 173, 183; and see Ex parte Chamberlain, 14 Ch. D. 323; Re Winder, 6 Ch. D. 696; Re Evans, W. N. (1873), 46; Re Perry, 1 Jur. N. S. 917; Re Sterry, 3 W. R. 561; Re Alston, 5 W. R. 189.

But where the title is proved to he doubtful, the Judge is bound to try the question, as formerly the Court would have directed an issue at law (Ex parte Issauchaud, 3 Y. & Coll. Exch. 721; Ex parte The Freemen, &c. of Sunderland, 1 Drew. 184). Where successive interests were claimed, and there was a dispute whether one of the claimants was really entitled, the Court would apply its ordinary machinery to ascertain the respective values of the particular interests, and after to part is paying the amount of the value of the interests as to which there was ne doubt to disputed. paying the amount of the value of the interests as to which there was no doubt to disputed. (Brandon v. Brandon, 2 Dr. & Sm. 305; 34 L. J. Ch. 333; 13 W. R. 251; Re N. London Railway Co., 2 Dr. & S. 312; 34 L. J. Ch. 373; 13 W. R. 364); and see Re Perks, 1 Sm. & Giff. 545; Re Hayne, 13 W. R. 492; Bogg v. Midland Railway, 4 Eq. 810.

Party in possession. When the vestigate title will be deter-

LXXX. In all cases of monies deposited in the Bank under the pro- Costs in cases visions of this or the special Act or an Act incorporated therewith (d), deposited. except where such monies shall have been so deposited by reason of the wilful refusal (e) of any party entitled thereto to receive the same, or to convey or release the lands in respect whereof the same shall be payable, or by reason of the wilful neglect of any party to make out a good title to the land required, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery in England or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland to order the costs of the following matters, including therein all reasonable charges and expenses incident thereto, to be paid by the promoters of the undertaking (f) (that is to say), the costs of the purchase or taking of the lands or which shall have been incurred in consequence thereof (g)other than such costs as are herein otherwise provided for, and the costs of the investment of such monies in government or real securi-

7 & 8 Vict. u. 18, s. 69-87.

ties (h), and of the reinvestment (i) thereof in the purchase of other lands, and also the costs of obtaining the proper orders (k) for any of the purposes aforesaid, and of the orders for the payment of the dividends and interest of the securities upon which such monies shall be invested, and for the payment out (1) of Court of the principal of such monies or of the securities whereon the same shall be invested, and of all proceedings relating thereto, except such as are occasioned by litigation between adverse claimants (m): Provided always, that the costs of one application only for reinvestment in land shall be allowed, unless it shall appear to the Court of Chancery in England or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland, that it is for the benefit of the parties interested in the said monies that the same should be invested in the purchase of lands in different sums and at different times, in which case it shall be lawful for the Court, if it think fit, to order the costs of any such investments to be paid by the promoters of the undertaking(n).

Costs payable by company.

As the section applies to cases where the company uses its compulsory powers either under the preceding sections, or under sect. 85 (see Ex parte Flover, 1 Ch. 599), the Court inclines in doubtful cases to make the company pay the costs (Ex parte Marshall, 1 Phill. 560; Re Long, 10 Jur. N. S. 417; Re Jones, 4 Jur. N. S. 581, 887; 6 W. R. 614, 762).

The costs payable by the company (or companies, see nots (f)) may be divided

(1) Costs of the purchase and consequential thereon, see note (g).
(2) Costs of interim investment in government or real securities, see note (h).
(3) Costs of reinvestment and payment out, including successive partial investments or abortive attempts to invest, see note (i).

(4) Costs of orders, see note (k).
(5) Costs of "proceedings relating thereto," including costs arising from the land taken being subject to suits, or to incumbrances, or belonging to persons under disability, see notes (k) and (m).
The section does not authorise the Court to order the company to pay the costs

The section does not authorise the Court to order the company to pay the costs ont of any particular fund (Re Neath & Brecon Railway, 9 Ch. 263).

The costs of all proceedings in Court are now in the discretion of the Court or Judge (Garnett v. Bradley, 3 App. Cas. 944; Ex parte Mercers' Co., 10 Ch. D. 481; R. S. C. (1883), Ord. LXV. r. 1, and notes to that rule, infra); but in proceedings under the Lands Clauses Act the Court follows the rules as to costs laid down by that Act as explained and illustrated by the decided cases.

As to the costs under special Acts, see note (d), infra.

The Court refused to declare that the landowner had a vendor's lien in respect of the costs (first express that the landowner had a vendor's lien in respect of

the costs (Earl Ferrers v. Stafford and Uttoxeter Railway, 20 W. R. 478).

If the landowner has put the company to unreasonable expense the Court may disallow him his costs, even of an application for payment out (Re Marylebone Improvement Act, 19 W. R. 1058).

Where, by arrangement, a landowner was to be paid principal, interest, and costs, the taxing master was directed to allow all reasonable costs incurred by the vendor before the Parliamentary Committee (Cooper v. L. C. & D. Railway Co., 17 L. T. 283).

When a company was insolvent, and the vendor was entitled for life only as rector, his costs were ordered to be paid out of the purchase-money (Re Glebe Lands

rector, his costs were ordered to be paid out of the purchase-money (Ke Gibbe Lanas of Great Yeldham, 9 Eq. 68).

When purchase-money paid into Court has been carried to the credit of a cause, but the account is not entitled in the matter of the Act, the company cannot be made to pay costs (Brown v. Fenwick, 14 W. R. 257; W. N. (1866), 6; Prescott v. Wood, 37 L. J. Ch. 691; W. N. (1868), 123; Fisher v. Fisher, 17 Eq. 340; Nock v. Nock, W. N. (1879), 125). On an application for payment out they ought not to be served, and if the applicant has served them he may be ordered to pay their costs, see Prescott v. Wood, and the other cases ofted.

(d) For the meaning of "the special Act," see sect. 2, supra. The Lands Clauses Act is held to he "incorporated" with all subsequent Acts, authorising the taking of lands (Ex parte Vicar of St. Sepulchre's, 4 De G. J. & S. 232; 12 W. R. 499), unless such subsequent Act contains provisions inconsistent with such incorporation

No vendor's lien for costs. Discretion of Court.

Arranged costs.

Where no jurisdiction.

"Special Act." "Incorporated therewith."

(Re Cherry's Estates, 4 De G. F. & J. 332; 10 W. R. 305; Re St. Katharine's Dock 7 & 8 Vict. Co., 14 W. R. 978). Where a special Act was repealed and re-enacted by a c.18, s. 69-87. new Act, with which was incorporated the Lands Clauses Act, the special Act was held to be incorporated with the Lands Clauses Act (Re Ellison, 8 De G. M. & G. 62), see Re Holden, 1 Jur. N. S. 995; Re Shuttleworth, 4 Giff. 87; Re Derriman, W. N. (1866), 269.

In cases where a special Act was dated before, and had not been incorporated Practice with the Lands Clauses Act, it was the practice of the Court of Exchequer to make under special the company pay costs, whether they were given by the special Act or not; but the Acts. Court of Chancery was more strict, and held that the company need only pay such costs as were provided for by their special Act. (See the cases collected in Morgan and Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 303.) The matter is of no great importance now as

and Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 303.) The matter is of no great importance now as the costs are in the discretion of the Court in all cases, and the Court generally adopts the rules laid down by the L. C. C. Act (Ex parte Mercers' Co., 10 Ch. D. 481; Re Hanbury, W. N. (1883), 116; Ex parte Hospital of St. Katharine, 17 Ch. D. 378; Re Lee and Hemingway, 24 Ch. D. 669).

(e) For cases of doubt, whether the refusal is wilful, see Re Jones, 4 Jur. N. S. What is not 581; Ex parte Marshall, 1 Phil. 560. A wilful refusal means a capricious refusal; a wilful and a reasonable objection, though ultimately overruled, is not a wilful refusal refusal. within the section; see Ex parte Bradshaw, 16 Sim. 174; Re Windsor Railway, 12 Beav. 522; Ex parte Railston, 15 Jur. 1028; and Ex parte Dashwood, 3 Jur. N. S. 103; and see also Ex parte Lawson, 17 W. R. 186; and Re Divers, 1 Jur. N. S. 995.

But where a vendor ineisted upon payment, not only of the purchase-money, but What is a of his costs also, before he gave up possession, and the company consequently paid wilful refusal. the purchase-money into the bank under the 76th section of the Act, V.-C. Wood, on a petition to obtain payment out of the money, held that the vendor had been guilty of "wilful refusal," and had thus disentitled himself to receive costs (Re Turner's Estate, 10 W. R. 128; and see also Exparte Hyde, cited in Seton, p. 1443). As to wilful neglect, see Re Woodburn, 13 L. T. 237; Re Marylebone Improvement Act, 19 W. R. 1058.

(f) Where lands are taken by several companies, the costs of an application for payment ont of the purchase-monies must be borne by the companies, or, if any of them have been amalgamated, by such of them as are subsisting at the time of the application, in equal shares (Ex parte Gaskell, 2 Ch. D. 360; 45 L. J. Ch. 368; 24 W. R. 752; Ex parte Ecclesiastical Commissioners, W. N. (1873), 173). And the same rule applies in general to the costs of an application for re-investment in land, except that the costs of the ad valorem stamp on the conveyance must be borne by except that the costs of the ad valorem stamp on the conveyance must be borne by the companies rateably, according to the amount contributed by each to the purchase-monies (Ex parte Bishop of London, 2 De G. F. & J. 14; Ex parte Corporation of London, 5 Eq. 418; Re Maryport and Carlisle Ry. Co., 1 N. R. 506; 11 W. R. 410 (S. C. 32 Beav. 397; 1 N. R. 545; 11 W. R. 507, contra, is overruled); Ex parte Corpus Christi College, Oxford, 13 Eq. 334; Re Leigh, 6 Ch. 887; Ex parte Governors of Christ's Hospital, 27 W. R. 458; Re Byron, 1 De G. J. & S. 368; 2 N. R. 294; 8 L. T. 562; Re Merton College, 1 De G. J. & S. 361; 3 N. R. 598; 10 Jur. N. S. 223; 12 W. R. 503; 10 L. T. 8; Ex parte Governors of Christ's Hospital, 2 H. & M. 166; London and Brighton Ry. Co. v. The Shropshire Ry. Co., 23 Beav. 605); and a surveyor's fee will be apportioned in the same way as the costs of the 605); and a surveyor's fee will be apportioned in the same way as the costs of the ad valorem stamp (Ex parte Corporation of London, 5 Eq. 418; Re Power, W. N.

neglect.

Where several companies paid in purchase-money.

Where, however, there is great inequality in the amounts, such as would produce extreme hardship and injustice, the costs may be apportioned (Ex parte Governors of Burtholomew's Hospital, 20 Eq. 369; Ex parte Christ Church, 9 W. R. 474; Ex parte Governors of St. Thomas's Hospital, 7 W. R. 425; Re Byron's Settled Estates, 1 De G. J. & S. 358; 2 N. R. 294; Ex parte Dean of Christ Church, W. N. (1872), 201); but see Ex parte Governors of Christ's Hospital, 2 H. & M. 166).

The landowner ought to make one application only for payment out, or reinvestment of the money paid in by the several companies (Ex parte Lord Broke, 11 W. R.

Where two funds paid into Court had been dealt with by different branches of When funds the Court, and it was desired to deal with both funds at the same time, leave was are in differgiven to present one petition in both matters in one branch of the Court without ent branches transferring either of the matters (Re Lord Arden, 10 Ch. 445). See, too, Re of the Court. Butterfield, 9 W. R. 805; Re Gore Langton, 10 Ch. 328.

(g) The costs of the purchase or taking of the lands, or which shall have been Costs of incurred in consequence thereof, include the costs of ascertaining the value of the purchase land conveyed, e.g., by apportionment of ground-rents (Ex parte Flower, 1 Ch. or taking. 599); secus in cases where the purchase is not compulsory, see note (p), p. 45).

They also include costs of a power of attorney from parties abroad (Re Godley, 10 Costs of con-Ir. Eq. Rep. 222; Ex parte Incumbent of Guilden Sutton, 8 De G. M. & G. 380; 2 veyance.

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69-87.

Costs of interim investment.

Jur. N. S. 793), and costs of conveyance generally. As to costs of taking lands

which are the subject of a suit, see note (k), infra.

(h) The costs of interim investment include the broker's commission (Ex parte

(n) The costs of interim investment include the broker's commission (Branch parts Corporation of Trinity House, 3 Hare, 95; Re Braithwaite, 1 Sm. & Giff. App. xv.; Ex parts Earl of Harborough, 22 L. T. (O. S.) 115).

The company must pay the costs of an interim investment either in stock (Re Liverpool Railway Co., 17 Beav. 392), or on real security (Re Flemon, 10 Eq. 612; Re Sewart, 18 Eq. 278; Re Smith, 9 Eq. 178; Re Blyth (Lord Chancellor Selborne), 16 Eq. 468; 21 W. R. 819; Reading v. Hamilton, 5 L. T. 628); and without any condition as to the costs of any future permanent investment (Re Blyth; Re Sewart; the cases of Re Lomax, 34 Beav. 294; Re Wilkinson, 16 W. R. 537; and Re Flemon (on this point) must be considered overruled). See also Ex parte Eton College, 15 Jur. 45; 3 Rlý. Ca. 271.

On an application for interim investment partly in securities authorised by the special Act, and partly in debenture stock under the provisions of the Settled Land Act, 1882, the public body must pay the costs of investment (Re Hanbury, W. N.

Costs of and incident to payment of dividends.

(1883), 116; 31 W. R. 784).

The costs of, and incident to, the payment of the dividends must be paid by the company (Ex parte Incumbent of Guilden Sutton, 8 De G. M. & G. 380; 2 Jur. N. S.

793; Ex parte Eccles. Commissioners, 39 L. J. Ch. 623).

So where the purchase-money of leaseholds was invested in consols, and the dividends thereon did not amount to the rents previously payable, so that yearly sales were necessary in order to satisfy the tenant for life, the costs of such sales were held to be payable by the company (Re Long, 1 W. R. 226; and see Re Edmunds, 35 L. J. Ch. 538; W. N. (1866), 111; 14 W. R. 507).

As to the costs of an application for payment of dividends to persons successively entitled, see Re Jolliffe, 9 Eq. 668, and other cases in note (k), infra.

Costs of reinvestment in land;

in redeeming incumbrances or land tax.

(i) The section provides that the company shall pay the costs of the reinvestment, in cases where the reinvestment is in lands or hereditaments (Re Lathropp's Charity, 1 Eq. 467); for if a company, acting under its compulsory powers, deprives a man of his land, it is bound, at its own cost, to place him in possession of land of equal value (Ex parte Rector of Holywell, 2 Dr. & Sm. 465). But the section does not value (Ex parte Ecctor of Holywell, 2 Dr. & Sm. 465). But the section does not provide that when the purchase-money is applied in any of the other modes authorised by the 69th section, the company shall pay any costs other than those of the application, and, accordingly, the costs of applying the purchase-money in discharge of incumbrances have been held not to be payable by the company (Ex parte Corporation of Sheffield, 21 Beav. 162; Ex parte Town Trustees of Sheffield, 8 W. R. 602; Ex parte Earl of Hardwicke, 1 De G. M. & G. 297; 17 L. J. Ch. 422; Re Yeates, 12 Jun. 279; Re Mark's Trust, W. N. (1877), 63); but the contrary was held in Ex parte Trafford, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 522; Ex parte Bishop of London, 2 De G. F. & J. 14; and see Re London & South Western Railbay Act. 2 J. & H. 390: and the III. Let purise Iragoria, 2 I. & U. Ex. 522; Ex parte Bishop of London, 2 De G. F. & J. 14; and see Re London & South Western Railway Act, 2 J. & H. 390; and the costs of proceedings to redeem the land tax can certainly be ordered to be paid by the company (Re London & Brighton Railway Co., 18 Beav. 608; Ex parte Beddoes, 2 Sm. & Giff. 466; Re Bethlem Hospital, 19 Eq. 457; 44 L. J. Ch. 406; 23 W. R. 644, where the cases are discussed by Jessel, M. R.; Re Vicar of Queen Camel, 11 W. R. 503; Ex parte Hospital of St. Katharine, 17 Ch. D. 378).

The company have had to pay the following costs under the head of costs of min.

What are costs of reinvesting in

land.

Petitions

The company have had to pay the following costs under the head of costs of reinvesting the purchase-money in other lands:

Costs of enrolling a purchase deed (Re Governors of Christ's Hospital, 12 W. R. 669)

Of referring the title to the conveyancing counsel of the Court (Re Morgan Jones, 6 W. R. 762).

Of a second petition rendered necessary by the lands selected for reinvestment being the subject of a chancery suit (Carpmael v. Proffit, 17 Jur. 875; 23 L. J. Ch.

165); and see infra, note (k).

Of a petition under the Trustee Act rendered necessary by the death of the vendor

under Trustee leaving an infant heir (Re Lowry, 15 Eq. 78). See cases cited, p. 45, post.

Act, &c. But not the costs of the vendor's appearance on the petition (Re Dylar, 1 Jur.

N. S. 975); nor the fine payable on a reinvestment in purchase of copyholds, the fine being part of the purchase-money (Ex parte Vicar of Sawston, 6 W. R. 492; 4 Jur. N. S. 473). The company is liable to pay the costs of reinvestment in land, even where a

Costs where reinvestment in land is asked for by person abso-lutely entitled.

The company is fiable to pay the costs of reinvestment in land, even where a person who has become absolutely entitled to the money asks for such reinvestment (Re Jones, 39 L. J. Ch. 190; 18 W. R. 312; Re Dodd, W. N. (1871), 83; and see Re Parker, 13 Eq. 495; 26 L. T. 12; 20 W. R. 289; Re Bagot, 14 W. R. 471; Re Pick, 10 W. R. 365; Re Lye, W. N. (1866), 20); and where a person absolutely entitled to the money died, having resettled it, the company had to pay the costs of reinvestment in land, to be settled to the uses of the will (Re De Bcauvoir, 2 De G. F. & J. 5; 8 W. R. 425; and see Re Lye, W. N. (1866), 20).

When the money is sought to be reinvested in land upon a contract which throws

Special costs of purchase.

upon the purchaser costs of the purchase, which in an open contract would be borne by the vendor, the costs directed to be paid by the company will be limited to those c. 18, s. 69-87. which in an open contract would be purchaser's oosts (Ex parte Governors of Christ's Hospital, 20 Eq. 605; Re Temple Church Lands, Bristol, 26 W. R. 259; W. N. (1877), 262; and see Re Mason, W. N. (1872), 77).

The costs of reinvestment in the purchase of other lands may include the costs of Costs of

successive reinvestments, and of bond fide but abortive attemps to reinvest. The costs of three successive reinvestments in land were ordered to be paid by the company in Re St. Katharine's Dock Co., 3 Rly. Ca. 514; and see Jones v. Lewis, 2 M. & G. 163; Re Merchant Tailors' Co., 10 Beav. 485; Ex parte Trustees of St. 2 M. & G. 103; Ke Merchant Inter's Co., 10 Beav. 485; Ex parte Trustees of St. Bartholomew's Hospital, 4 Drew. 425; Ex parte Eton College, 3 Rly. Ca. 271; Ex parte Bouverie, 4 Rly. Ca. 229; Re Hereford, &c. Ry. Co., 13 W. R. 134; Ex parte Rector of Loughton, 5 Rly. Ca. 591 (where the company had to pay the costs, though 6l. only was invested in the second purchase); Re Brandon, 2 Dr. & Sm. 162; Ex parts Fishmongers' Co., 11 W. R. 81; Re Paddon, W. N. (1878), 65. Where the purchase-money amounted to 125,000l. the Court did not consider six applications for reinvestment leving 28 400 ctill uninverted to he unreceased to Experime 28 400 ctill uninverted to he unreceased. reinvestment leaving 38,440% still uninvested to be unreasonable (Ex parte Hospital of St. Katharine, 17 Ch. D. 378). In Re Kinsey, 1 N. R. 303, the balance remaining uninvested was paid out to trustees under the 71st section.

Land having been purchased out of money in Court, an application was made for In redemption

reinvestment of a further sum in redemption of the land-tax, and the company paid of land tax.

the costs (Re London and Brighton Ry., 18 Beav. 608).

Where attempts not authorised by the Court to reinvest in land fail by reason of Of attempts the Court's disapproval or otherwise, the company does not pay the costs, but where to reinvest. the Court has approved, and the purchase goes off for other reasons, the company pays the costs of the bonk fide attempt (Ex parte Rector of Holywell, 2 Dr. & Sm. 463; 13 W. R. 960; Re Carney, 20 W. R. 407; W. N. (1872), 53; 26 L. T. 308; Ex parte Copley, 4 Jur. N. S. 297; Ex parte Eton College, 7 W. R. 710; Re Macdonald, 6 Jur. N. S. 865; 2 L. T. 168; Ex parte Eton College, 7 W. R. 710; Re Hardy, 18 Jur. 370; Re Wolley, 1 W. R. 407, 465; Re Vawdrey, 3 Giff. 224; Ex parte Fumfrey, 4 Rly. Ca. 490; Ex parte The Manchester Burial Board, W. N. (1866), 117).

(k) The costs of the application may be directed to be paid by the company in the Costs of obtaining the

following cases:-

If the application is for reinvestment, and that not merely strict reinvestment in orders. land, but also in any of the modes allowed by the Court under section 69; see Re For reinvest-Lathropp's Charity, 35 Beav. 297; 1 Eq. 467, where Re Bucks Railway, 14 Jur. 1065, ment.

and Re Oxford, &c. Railway, 27 Beav. 571, were reviewed.

If the application is for payment of the dividends of an interim investment, it is For payment decided that though there has been an application for interim investment and pay- of dividends ment of dividends to one tenant for life, the company must bear the costs of a to persons further application on the death of such tenant for life for payment of future entitled. dividends to the person subsequently entitled (Re Jolliffe, 9 Eq. 668, where Ex parte Incumbent of Guilden Sutton, 8 De G. M. & G. 380, was referred to); and see Re Lye, W. N. (1866), 20; Re Byron, 5 Jur. N. S. 261; but the company had not to pay the costs of a petition for payment of dividends to new trustees of a settlement, where the original petition was defective (Re Pryor, W. N. (1876), 141; 35 L. T. 202; see,

however, as to the costs of defective and unnecessary petitions, infra, p. 45.

If the application is for payment out to the person absolutely entitled, then if the For payment land belongs to several persons, though their interest is derived under the same out. will, they may each apply; but if two or more appear by one solicitor, they will only have one set of costs (*Re Nicholl*, W. N. (1866), 93).

The company must pay the costs not only of obtaining the orders, but of pro- Costs of

ceedings relating thereto except those caused by litigation between adverse orders and

claimants (as to which see note (m)).

Thus where the lands taken are the subject of an administration suit pending in relating the Chancery Division, the company will have to pay the costs of proceedings therein thereto. necessitated by the purchase; see Dinning v. Henderson, 2 De G. & Sm. 485; Paterson v. Paterson, 10 L. T. 183; Bradshaw v. Fane, 1 N. R. 159; 9 Jur. N. S. 166; Re Brandon, 2 Dr. & Sm. 162; S. C. nom. Brandon v. Brandon, 9 Jur. N. S. 11; Eden v. Thompson, 2 H. & M. 6; Brandon v. Brandon, 11 Jur. N. S. 30; Henniker v. Chafy, 35 Beav. 124; Picard v. Mitchell, 12 Beav. 486; Haynes v. Barton, 1 Dr. & Sm. 483; and see S. C. 1 Eq. 422; Henniker v. Chafy, 28 Beav. 621, 625; Re Walker, 7 Rly. Cases, 129; Re Taylor, 1 Mac. & G. 210; Re Hore's Estate, 5 Rly. Cases, 592; Re Merchant Tailors' Co., 10 Beav. 485; but see Hore v. Smith, 14 Jur. 55; Re Ficton, 3 W. R. 327; Wilson v. Foster, 26 Beav. 398; 5 Jur. N. S. 113; Sidney v. Wilmer, 31 Beav. 338.

Where lands belonging to a lunatic were taken, and the next of kin attended References in inquiries into the propriety of investment, &c., their costs were held payable by the lunacy. company (Re Briscoe, 2 De G. J. & S. 249; and see Re Taylor; Re Walker; Re Milnes,

1 Ch. D. 28).

7 & 8 Vict.

successive reinvestments

taining the

proceedings

7 & 8 Vict. u. 18, s. 69–87.

Costs of parties improperly served. Vexatious appearances. Costs of service upon and appearance of incumbrancers.

But the company is not liable to pay costs of the appearance of parties to the suit who have been improperly served (*Eden v. Thompson*, 2 H. & M. 6; and see *Melling v. Bird*, 22 L. J. Ch. 599; *Haire v. Lovitt*, 12 L. T. (O. S.) 306); and if parties who might and ought to appear together, vexatiously appear separately, and thereby increase the costs, the company will not have to pay these increased costs (*Ex parte Baroness Braye*, 11 W. R. 333; and see *Re Nicholl*, 14 W. R. 475; *Re Prebend of St. Margaret*, 10 L. T. 221).

The following rule seems to be now established as to service upon mortgagees and incumbrancers, and the costs consequent thereon: Where there are incumand incumbrancers, and the costs consequent thereon: Where there are incumbrancers who, as a matter of form, are necessary parties, but who have no interest in opposing the petition, the proper course is to serve them with a copy of the petition, and tender them thirty shillings for costs, with an intimation that if they appear they will be liable to pay their own costs; the rule applies equally to petitions for reinvestment in land and to petitions for payment out, either to or with the consent of incumbrancers; but the petitioners will be entitled to add to their costs of the petition (in addition to the thirty shillings), a sum sufficient to cover the costs of an affidavit of service; see Re Gore Langton's Estates, 10 Ch. 328; 44 L. J. Ch. 405; 23 W. R. 342; 32 L. T. 785; Re Halstead United Charities, 20 Eq. 48; Ex parts Jones, 14 Ch. D. 624; Re Pattison, 4 Ch. D. 207: R. S. C. (1883).

21. J. On. 400; 20 W. R. 642; 32 L. T. (83; Re Haistean United Unarities, 20 Eq. 48; Ex parte Jones, 14 Ch. D. 624; Re Pattison, 4 Ch. D. 207; R. S. C. (1883), Ord. LXV. r. 27 (19). The earlier cases were conflicting.

The company are not bound to pay the costs of incumbrancers on the interest of a tenant for life (Ex parte Smith, 6 Rly. Ca. 150), unless they are served at the instance of the company (Re Hungerford, 1 K. & J. 413), or their interests are affected (Re Nash, 1 Jur. N. S. 1082; and see Re Thomas, 12 W. R. 546). Nor is it necessary on a petition for interim investment and payment of dividends to serve persons having charges on the inharitance prior to the life extete and the costs of it necessary on a petition for interim investment and payment of dividends to serve persons having charges on the inheritance prior to the life estate, and the costs of such parties, if served, will not be allowed against the company (Re Morris, 20 Eq. 470; 23 W. R. 851; and see Re Dowling, 45 L. J. Ch. 568; 24 W. R. 729). So, when a mortgage affects part only of the land, but not the part taken by the company, the company will not be ordered to pay the costs of the mortgage if served (Re Yestes, 12 Jur. 279); and where a small portion of the estate only was in mortgage, and it became necessary to apply to the Court in a pending suit to obtain a release from the mortgages, it was held that the company were not liable to pay the costs of the application (Ex marte Phillins, 11 W. R. 54 reversing S. C. 2 J. 8 H the costs of the application (Ex parte Phillips, 11 W. R. 54, reversing S. C. 2 J. & H.

The costs of a mortgagee, whose incumbrance has been created after the lands were taken and the money paid into Court are not payable by the company (Re Middle Level Drainage and Navigation Commissioners, June 23, 1864, V.-C. K.; Re Jones, 39 L. J. Ch. 190; 18 W. R. 312).

Where the mortgagee of a tenant for life of lands taken by a railway company dies, and the mortgagor and the executors of the mortgagee petition for payment of the dividends to a transferee of the mortgage, the company are not liable to pay the costs of the petition (Re Byrom, 5 Jur. N. S. 261; 7 W. R. 367).

The general rule above laid down as to mortgagees applies also in the case of The general file above that down as to morgagees applies also in the case of trustees, but of course the company must pay the costs of trustees who have been properly served and appear (Re Finch, 14 W. R. 472; Re Duks of Cleveland's Harte Estates, 1 Dr. & Sm. 46; Henniker v. Chafy, 35 Beav. 124; overruling on this point Wilson v. Foster, 26 Beav. 398); and see Re Burnell, 12 W. R. 568; Re Bowes, 12 W. R. 929; Re East, 2 W. R. 111; Ex parte Metropolitan Ry., W. N. (1868), 204; 16 W. R. 997; Ex parte L. & S. W. Ry. Co., 38 L. J. Ch. 527; Re Pattison, 4 Ch. D. 207.

Generally speaking, if a tenant for life petitions for interim investment or for payment out, or for reinvestment in land, and there is no suit pending or other special circumstances, the petition should not be served on remaindermen or trustees (Ex parte Staples, 1 De G. M. & G. 294; Re Whitling, 9 W. R. 830; Re Marner, 3 Eq. 432; Re Dowling, 45 L. J. Ch. 568; 24 W. R. 729).

And where a petitioner is only entitled as one of a class to an aliquot part of the fund service on the other parties interested may be dispensed with (Re Midland

Ry., 11 Jur. 1095).

But where the petition is under section 74 for the application of purchase-money paid in respect of leases or reversions which are in settlement, and the apportionment thereof between tenant for life and remaindermen, the remaindermen are "parties interested" within the section, and are entitled to appear (Re Brailey, W. N. (1866), 109; Re Crane, 7 Eq. 322; and see Re Romney, 3 N. R. 287).

And when the petition is for reinvestment not in land or hereditaments but in

improvements, the remaindermen should be served (Re Leigh, 9 Ch. 684).

As to the costs of serving the ordinary, and of his appearance on the petition where his consent is required, see Ex parte Vicar of Creech St. Michael, 21 L. J. Ch. 677, where such costs were allowed; but compare Ex parte Bishop of London, 2 De G. F. & J. 14, where the costs of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, whose

Mortgage created since payment in.

vice upon and appearance of trustees.

Costs of ser-

Costs of appearance of remainder-

Service on entitled to other shares. When remaindermen should be served.

Ordinary. Ecclesiastical Commissioners.

consent was necessary to the investment, and Re Incumbent of Whitfield, 1 J. & H. 7 & 8 Vict. 610; 9 W. R. 764, where the costs of the governors of Queen Anne's Bounty, c. 18, s. 69-87. were disallowed against the company. In Ex parte Dean and Canons of Manchester, 28 L. T. 184, the costs of the Church Estates Commissioners were ordered to be paid out of the funds in Court.

Tenants in common interested in money paid into Court by a company are Bounty. entitled to their costs of appearance separately on an application by one of them Church for payment of the money to an incumbrancer of the whole (Re Braye, 9 Jur. Estates' Com-missioners.

The costs of the Attorney-General on a petition entitled under Sir S. Romilly's Act, 52 Geo. III. c. 101, are payable by the company (Re London, Brighton and

South Coast Railway Co., 18 Beav. 608).

The company are not liable to pay the costs of the official solicitor whom it has become necessary to serve through the fund not having been dealt with for general. upwards of fifteen years (Re Clarke, 21 Ch. D. 776).

The company were held liable to pay the costs of freemen of a city where the solicitor. land belonged to a corporation (Ex parte Mayor of Lincoln, 6 Rly. Ca. 738).

Freemen

The Court will take care that the company are not put to unnecessary costs; e.g., of unnecessary matter in a petition (Ex parte Osbaldiston, 8 Hare, 31; Haire v. Lovitt, 12 L. T. (O. S.) 306); but the introduction into the petition of clauses in special Acts is not necessarily impertinent (Re Lilley, 17 Sim. 110); and see as to costs. Lengthy costs of unnecessary matter generally, R. S. C. (1883), Ord. LXV. r. 27 (20), petitions. infra.

So, if a second petition is necessary by reason of a defect in the first, the com- and defective So, it a second petition is necessary by reason of a detect in the first, the com- and defect pany will not have to pay the costs; see Re London and Brighton Railway Co., 18 petitions. Beav. 608, 612; Ex parte Jolliffe, 3 Jur. N. S. 633; Re Byrom, 5 Jur. N. S. 261; Re Leigh, 6 Ch. 887; Ex parte Winder, 6 Ch. D. 696; secus where the defect was in the order (Re Goe, 3 W. R. 119, where the costs of two necessary petitions were allowed against the company; and see Re Metropolitan Railway Co. and Maire, W. N. (1876), 245); but see Re Oakham School, 23 L. T. (O. S.) 251; and Re Pryor, W. N. (1876), 141; 35 L. T. 202. See also Re Pattison, 4 Ch. D. 207; Re Nichells, W. N. (1866), 93.

Where two portions of a settled estate had been taken by different comporations.

Where two portions of a settled estate had been taken by different corporations, and the purchase-money had been paid into two different branches of the Court, and two petitions were presented for reinvestment of the two funds together in one purchase, the Court only allowed the costs of one as costs under the Act (Re Gore Langton's Estates, 10 Ch. 328); and see Re Butterfield, 9 W. R. 805; Rc Lord

Arden, 10 Ch. 445.

When the purchase-money has been once paid out, or transferred to a new Subsequent account (Melling v. Bird, 22 L. J. Ch. 599; 17 Jur. 155), the company ought not dealings with to pay the costs of any further applications rendered necessary by subsequent the money dealings with, or settlements of the property by, the landowner, or by the appoint- after transfer. ment of new trustees of the property (Re Andenshaw School, 1 N. R. 255); and see Ex parte Hordern, 2 De G. & Sm. 263; Re Byrem, 5 Jur. N. S. 261; 7 W. R. 367.

Where an order directed payment of dividends to a tenant for life, the company were held not liable to pay the costs of a subsequent order for payment of them to an assignee of the tenant for life (Ex parte Vicar of Kidderminster, 7 W. R. 482; Re Pick, 10 W. R. 365). As to the costs where the land belonged to a charity which it has subsequently become necessary to reconstitute, see Re Shakespeare Walk School, 12 Ch. D. 178; Re St. Paul's Schools, 52 L. J. Ch. 454; 31 W. R. 424.

Where other money is invested besides the sum paid in by the company, the Where other Court will take care that the costs are not thereby increased (Re Branmer, 14 Jur. money is in-236; Re Loveband, 9 W. R. 12; Ex parte Hodge, 16 Sim. 159; Ex parte Tetley, 4 vested besides Rly. Ca. 55 (but see Ex parte Lord Palmerston, ibid. 57); Ex parte King's College, that paid in. 5 De G. & Sm. 621; Ex parte Newton, 4 Y. & Coll. 518; Attorney-Gen. v. Mayor of Rochester, 15 W. R. 765; W. N. (1867), 142; Ex parte Ecclesiastical Commissioners, 13 W. R. 575) 13 W. R. 575).

(1) The costs of payment-out include those of a disentailing deed (Re Brooking, Costs of pay-2 Giff. 31; Ex party Vaudrey, 3 Giff. 224); and of half-yearly sales where necessary ment out. for apportionment as between tenant for life and remaindermen of leaseholds; see Re Long and Re Edmunds, cited note (h), ante.

As to costs of reinvestment when asked for by a person absolutely entitled, see

note at foot of p. 40, ante.

(m) The words "except such as are occasioned," &c., refer to "costs" and not Exception of to "proceedings" (Re Cant, 1 De G. F. & J. 153; Ex parte Rector of St. James, costs of ad-9 Jur. N. S. 1222). "Adverse litigation arises where different parties set up adverse verse litigatitles to the estate" (Askew v. Woodhead, 14 Ch. D. 27, per Jessel, M. R.). The tion. usual form of order (on which see Seton, p. 1441, and Ex parte Hooper, 1 Dr. 269), directs the company "to pay the costs of obtaining this order, &c., and of all the

Governors of Queen Anne's Tenants in common.

Attorney-Official ${f Freemen.}$

Unnecessary

Unnecessary

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, a. 69-87.

proceedings relating thereto." "Notwithstanding what is stated in Rs Cant, 1 De G. F. & J. 159, and Re Courts of Justice Commissioners, W. N. (1868), 124, it is not and has not been, the practice to insert the exception as to the costs of litigation between adverse claimants, unless it appears or is suggested that some litigation has taken place" (Seton, p. 1441). In a simple case the order should specify what costs fall within the exception (Re Tookey, 16 Jur. 708; Re Longworth, 1 K. & J. 1; Ex parte Collins, 15 L. T. (O. S.) 362; Ex parte Palmer, 13 Jur. 781). And see further as to the form of the order, Re Hayward, 9 L. T. 320; Ex parte Great Southern and Western Ry., Ir. R. 11 Eq. 497.

Adverse claimants.

This exception only applies where there is an actual litis contestatio (Re Longworth, In sexception only appnes where there is an actual tits contestatic centestatic contestatic feat between the Landscapes of the Court, 1 L. 1; Re Spooner, ibid. 220; Re Hungerford, ibid. 413; Ex parte Hooper, 1 Drew. 264). Costs incident to the ordinary administration of a fund by the Court, e.g. the costs of an inquiry, how much of a fund belongs to a mortgagor and how much to a mortgage must be borne by the Co. (Re Bareham, 17 Ch. D. 329; Eden v. Thompson, 2 H. & M. 6). Where the land belonged to a devisee for life with remainder to the testator's heirs, it was held that the company must pay the costs of two politions by two co-hoirs, and also the costs of investigating that the title costs of two petitions by two co-heirs, and also the costs of investigating the title of other parties who claimed to be heirs, in answer to advertisements ordered to be issued by the Court, except such costs as were occasioned by affidavits of the petitioners in answer to such claims (Re Spooner, 1 K. & J. 220; and see Haire v.

Lovitt, 12 L. T. (O. S.) 306).

A contest between tenant for life and remainderman as to how much of a fund belonged to one of them, and how much to the other, was held by V.-C. Bacon to

be within the exception: but this decision was disapproved of by Jessel, M. R. (Askew v. Woodhead, 14 Ch. D. 27; 41 L. T. 670; 42 L. T. 567).

It was said by V.-C. Kindersley, in Re Tookey, 16 Jur. 708, that the exception was not intended to apply to a question of construction decided by the tion was not intended to apply to a question of construction decided by the Court upon petition, but to a case where an action at law was necessary to decide the rights of the parties. See, too, Ex parte Palmer, 13 Jur. 781; Re Singleton, 11 W. R. 871; Re Wilson, W. N. (1867), 110. But where the petitioner, although there was no actual hostile litigation, was obliged to bring parties before the Court to contest questions with him he paid their costs, though the general costs were borne by the company (Ex parte Cooper, 2 Dr. & Sm. 312; 34 L. J. Ch. 373; 11 Jur. N. S. 103; 13 W. R. 364; 11 L. T. 661).

Where a question arising on the construction of a will relating to the property taken was argued by the petitioner and the respondents, the company was only ordered to pay one set of costs (Ex parte Styan, Johns. 387; Ex parte Tates, 17 W. R. 872; 20 L. T. 940; W. N. (1869), 150). In another case, an additional application having been rendered necessary by litigation, no order as to costs was made thereon, (Ex parte Joliffe, 3 Jur. N. S. 633). But in Carpmael v. Profitit, 23 L. J. Ch. 165; 17 Jur. 875, it was held that the fact of a second petition heing rendered necessary by

Jur. 875, it was held that the fact of a second petition being rendered necessary by the investment of the purchase-monies in other lands sold in a pending suit did not bring the case within the exception in the Act.

When a company has, hy virtue of two different Acts, taken two pieces of land held under the same title, with knowledge that such title is disputed, and taken a conveyance from both claimants, it must pay the costs of two applications for investment, including the costs in each case of the appearance of the adverse respondents (Re Butterfield, 9 W. R. 805). But where two parties claimed the money and the company paid it into Court, and one of them abandoned his claim, the company were held not liable for the costs of payment in or of the petition by the other for payment out, the Court doubting indeed whether the company were not in strictness entitled to have their costs paid by the claimant (Re English, 13 W. R. 932; 12 L. T. 561; see, however, Re Duke of Norfolk's Estates, W. N. (1874), 158; 22 W. R. 817). In Re Bagot, 10 W. R. 607, V.-C. Kindersley, upon a special Act containing clauses as to costs substantially the same as those in the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, decided that the company must pay all the costs of a petition to obtain payment of money out of Court involving a costing of directs. petition to obtain payment of money out of Court, involving a question of disputed conversion, except the costs of the petitioner and of a respondent, both of whom had failed in their contentions.

(n) See note (i), ante.

Successive reinvestmenta.

Conveyances

Form of conveyances.

And with respect to the conveyances of lands, be it enacted as follows:

LXXXI. Conveyances of lands to be purchased under the provisions of this or the special Act, or any Act incorporated therewith, may be according to the forms in the schedules (A) and (B) respectively to this Act annexed, or as near thereto as the circumstances of the case will

admit, or by deed in any other form which the promoters of the undertaking may think fit; and all conveyances made according to the forms o. 18, s. 69-87. in the said schedules, or as near thereto as the circumstances of the case will admit, shall be effectual to vest the lands thereby conveyed in the promoters of the undertaking, and shall operate to merge all terms of years attendant by express declaration or by construction of law on the estate or interest so thereby conveyed, and to bar and to destroy all such estates tail, and all other estates, rights, titles, remainders, reversions, limitations, trusts, and interests whatsoever of and in the lands comprised in such conveyances which shall have been purchased or compensated for by the consideration therein mentioned; but although terms of years be thereby merged they shall in equity afford the same protection as if they had been kept on foot and assigned to a trustee for the promoters of the undertaking, to attend the reversion and inheritance.

7 & 8 Vict.

LXXXII. The costs of all such conveyances (o) shall be borne by Costs of the promoters of the undertaking, and such costs shall include all conveyances. charges and expenses incurred (p) on the part as well of the seller as of the purchaser, of all conveyances and assurances of any such lands, and of any outstanding terms or interests therein, and of deducing. evidencing, and verifying the title to such lands, terms, or interests, and of making out and furnishing such abstracts and attested copies as the promoters of the undertaking may require, and all other reasonable expenses incident to the investigation, deduction, and verification of such title.

(e) The costs of a conveyance prepared but not used by reason of incumbrancers Costs of

(o) The costs of a conveyance prepared but not used by reason of incumbrancers refusing to join, were held to be payable by the company in Re Divers, 1 Jur. N. S. 995. The costs of conveyance under this section do not include costs of a collateral agreement with the vendor, which, though part of the consideration for the purchase, forms no part of the conveyance (Re Lietch and Kewney, 15 W. R. 1055).

(p) There is a distinction between the costs payable by the company under this section and under section 80, supra, the reason being that the former section refers this section to cases where the company uses its compulsory powers and therefore has to pay all different from the costs arising out of the transaction; but this section relates to purchases by agreement where the vendor can make his own terms, or, if he goes before a jury, can urge any incidental expenses before the jury as a ground for increase of compensation; therefore this section deals only with the legal expenses of making a title and conveying the property, taking those expenses in their largest sense (e. g., including tion; therefore this section deals only with the legal expenses of making a title and conveying the property, taking those expenses in their largest sense (e. g., including the taking out administration for purposes of conveyance, Re Liverpool Improvement Act, 5 Eq. 282; overruling Re S. Wales Ry., 14 Beav. 418), but not with any costs of ascertaining what that is which is to be put into the document (Ex parte Buck, 1 H. & M. 519; 33 L. J. Ch. 79, where the costs of apportioning ground-rents between Costs of houses taken and houses not taken were disallowed against the company on taxation): apportionand see Ex parte Incumbent of Alsager, 2 W. R. 324; Ex parte Feoffees of Addies' ment; Charity, 3 Hare, 22; Re Woodburn, 13 L. T. 237.

Charity, 3 Hare, 22; Re Woodburn, 13 L. T. 237.

As to the costs occasioned by a vendor dying and leaving an infant heir or devisee, of petition so that a suit or petition under the Trustee Act is necessary, see Lake v. Eastern under Trustee Counties Ry., 19 L. T. (O. S.) 323; Re Lowry, 15 Eq. 78; Re Manchester & Southport Act. Ry., 19 Beav. 365; Eastern Counties Ry. v. Tufnell, 3 Rly. Ca. 133; Midland Counties Ry. v. Westcomb, 11 Sim. 57; Midland Counties Ry. v. Caldecott, 2 Rly. Ca. 394; Armitage v. Askham, 1 Jur. N. S. 227; Ex parte Cave, 26 L. T. (O. S.) 176.

Where the agreement was to sell in fee simple, the company had not to hear the expense of discharging a mortgage (Ex parte Phillips, 3 De G. J. & S. 341; 11 W. R. 54; overruling S. C. 2 J. & H. 390).

LXXXIII. If the promoters of the undertaking and the party Taxation of entitled to any such costs shall not agree as to the amount thereof (q), costs of conveyances.

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69-87. such costs shall be taxed by one of the taxing-masters of the Court of Chancery, or by a Master in Chancery in Ireland, upon an order of the same Court, to be obtained upon petition in a summary way by either of the parties; and the promoters of the undertaking shall pay what the said master shall certify to be due in respect of such costs to the party entitled thereto, or, in default thereof, the same may be recovered in the same way as any other costs payable under an order of the said Court, or the same may be recovered by distress in the manner hereinbefore provided in other cases of costs; and the expense of taxing such costs shall be borne by the promoters of the undertaking, unless upon such taxation one-sixth part of the amount of such costs shall be disallowed, in which case the costs of such taxation shall be borne by the party whose costs shall be so taxed, and the amount thereof shall be ascertained by the said master, and deducted by him accordingly in his certificate of such taxation (r).

(q) See Re Rhodes, 8 Beav. 224; Lake v. Eastern Counties Ry. Co., 19 L. T. (O. S.) 323.

(r) See Ex parte The Great Western Ry. Co., 3 Rly. Ca. 516; and Re Spooner, 1 K. & J. 220. An order for taxation cannot be obtained after the costs have been paid (Ex parte Somerville, 23 Ch. D. 167; 31 W. R. 518).

[By sect. 84, the company may enter on the lands before purchase, in order to survey, but not for any other purpose except after deposit of the price under the following sections.]

Promoters to be allowed to enter on lands before purchase, on making deposit by way of security and giving bond.

* By 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, s. 36, the Board of Trade appoints a surveyor.

LXXXV. Provided also, that if the promoters of the undertaking shall be desirous of entering upon and using any such lands before an agreement shall have been come to, or an award made, or verdict given for the purchase-money, or compensation to be paid by them in respect of such lands, it shall be lawful for the promoters of the undertaking to deposit (s) in the Bank by way of security, as hereinafter mentioned, either the amount of purchase-money or compensation claimed by any party interested in or entitled to sell and convey such lands, and who shall not consent to such entry, or such a sum as shall, by a surveyor appointed by two justices* in the manner hereinbefore provided in the case of parties who cannot be found, be determined to be the value of such lands, or of the interest therein which such party is entitled to or enabled to sell and convey, and also to give to such party a bond (t), under the common seal of the promoters, if they be a corporation, or, if they be not a corporation, under the hands and seals of the promoters, or any two of them with two sufficient sureties, to be approved of by two justices* in case the parties differ, in a penal sum equal to the sum so to be deposited conditioned for payment to such party, or for deposit in the Bank (u) for the benefit of the parties interested in such lands, as the case may require under the provisions herein contained of all such purchase-money or compensation, as may in manner hereinbefore provided be determined to be payable by the promoters of the undertaking in respect of the lands so entered upon, together with interest thereon, at the rate of five pounds per centum per annum, from the time of entering on such lands, until such purchase-money or compensation shall be paid to any such party or deposited in the Bank for the benefit of the parties interested in such c.18, s. 69-87. lands, under the provisions herein contained (v); and upon such deposit by way of security being made as aforesaid, and such bond being delivered or tendered to such non-consenting party as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for the promoters of the undertaking to enter upon and use such lands without having first paid or deposited the purchase-money or compensation in other cases required to be paid or deposited by them before entering upon any lands to be taken by them under the provisions of this or the special Act.

7 & 8 Vict.

(s) See Kerr on Injunctions, p. 134, as to this section. The section does not Landowner's apply to interference with an easement (Clark v. School Board for London, 9 Ch. rights, inde-120). The company are not to proceed under this section. apply to interference with an easement (Clark v. School Board for London, 9 Ch. rights, inde120). The company are not to proceed under this section unless there is an urgent pendently of
need for immediate entry (Field v. Carnarvon Ry. Co., 5 Eq. 190); but they may
proceed under it after notice of their intention to summon a jury (Langham v.
G. N. Ry., 1 De G. & Sm. 486). The payment of the deposit by the railway company does not deprive the landowner of his right to have the value of the land
ascertained afterwards, and to have the ordinary lien of a vendor both for such
actual value and for the compensation for severance and inconvenience (Walker v.
Ware, &c. Ry. Co., 35 Beav. 52; 1 Eq. 195); and see Wing v. Tottenham Ry., 3 Ch.
740; Betty v. L. C. & D. Ry., W. N. (1867), 169. The valuation made of the
premises must be a proper one (Cotter v. Metropolitan Ry., 12 W. R. 1021; 10 Jur.
N. S. 1014).

As to what the deposit covers see note (v) to s. 87 infer

N. S. 1014).

As to what the deposit covers, see note (y) to s. 87, infra.

(t) The production of the bond by the company is sufficient evidence that the condition thereof has been performed (Re L. & N. W. Ry., 26 L. T. 687). If the bond is not performed the landowner may apply to have the money in Court paid out to him (Re Mutlow, 10 Ch. D. 131).

(u) See as to payment into Court of additional purchase-money, found to be payable (Ex parte London, Tilbury, and Southend Ry. Co., 1 W. R. 533; Ashford v. L. C. & D. Ry. Co., W. N. (1866), 288; 14 L. T. 787).

(v) As to the interest payable where the entry was originally wrongful, but the Interest. requirements of the statute have been afterwards satisfied, see Willey v. South Eastern Ry. Co., 1 M. & G. 59. See also as to interest Re Wolff, W. N. (1868), 66; Re Navan Ry., I. R., 10 Eq. 113; Rhys v. Dare Valley Ry., 19 Eq. 93.

LXXXVI. The money so to be deposited as last aforesaid shall be Upon deposit paid into the Bank in the name and with the privity of the Accountant- being made General* of the Court of Chancery in England, or the Court of give receipt. Exchequer in Ireland, to be placed to his account there to the credit * See now of the parties interested in or entitled to sell and convey the lands so c. 44, s. 4. to be entered upon and who shall not have consented to such entry, subject to the control and disposition of the said Court; and upon such deposit being made, the cashier of the Bank shall give to the promoters of the undertaking, or to the party paying in such money by their direction, a receipt for such money, specifying therein for what purpose and to whose credit the same shall have been paid in.

LXXXVII. The money so deposited as last aforesaid shall remain Deposit to in the Bank by way of security to the parties whose lands shall so remain as a security, and have been entered upon, for the performance of the condition of the to be applied bond to be given by the promoters of the undertaking as hereinbefore direction of mentioned, and the same may, on the application by petition of the the Court. promoters of the undertaking, be ordered to be invested (w) in Bank Annuities or Government securities, and accumulated; and upon the condition of such bond being fully performed it shall be lawful for the

7 & 8 Vict. c. 18, s. 69-87. * See Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34 (2).

Court of Chancery in England,* or the Court of Exchequer in Ireland, upon a like application, to order (x) the money so deposited or the funds in which the same shall have been invested, together with the accumulation thereof, to be repaid (y) or transferred to the promoters of the undertaking (z), or if such condition shall not be fully performed, it shall be lawful for the said Court to order the same to be applied in such manner as it shall think fit for the benefit of the parties, for whose security the same shall so have been deposited (zz).

Investment of deposit.

Repayment of deposit.

(w) On application by the promoters for investment the landowner need not be

(w) On application by the promoters for investment the landowner need not be served (Ex parte Carmarthen Ry., 2 N. R. 515). The application is now made by summons; see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2, infra.
(x) For form of order for repayment of deposit, see Seton, 1438, 1439.
As to when the application for repayment of the deposit may be made by summons, see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2, infra; and as to the costs of a landowner who is served and appears, see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. r. 27 (19), infra; Re Tottenham Ry., 14 W. R. 669; but see Ex parte Stevens, 2 Ph. 772.
(y) The deposit is not subject to any lien for the costs of the vendor, but upon due performance of the condition the company are entitled to have the money paid

due performance of the condition the company are entitled to have the money paid

paid money into Court in respect of lands on which they desired to enter, but an

opposition company had filed a bill to restrain such entry, and the Birmingham Company in consequence gave up their intention to purchase the lands, the opposi-

Company asked that the deposit should not be paid out to the Birmingham Company until they had paid the costs of the suit for an injunction, and contended that as the conditions of the bond given by the company had not been fulfilled, the Court had a discretion as to allowing the money to be paid out; but V.-C. Wood

beld that a descretain as to anothing the honey to be paid out, but y.-o. wood held that the words of the section relating to the non-fulfillment of the bond, did not apply to cases where the only reason why the condition of the bond was not fulfilled was that neither party desired it, and he allowed the money deposited to be paid out. The deposit is only applicable as security for the landowner actually

Deposit repaid though

paid though out, notwithstanding that a question may be pending with respect to such costs are not paid; (Ex parte Stevens, 2 Ph. 772; Ex parte Great Northern Ry., 16 Sim. 169; 5 Rly. Ca. 269; Ex parte London, Chatham and Dover Ry., W. N. (1868), 75; and see Re Neath and Brecon Ry. 9 Ch. 263); or notwithstanding that the landowner is proceeding at law to set aside the award (Re Fooks, 2 M. & G. 357). So in Re though award is discreted.

is disputed;

though the company has to pay costs of a suit;

though purchase goes off.

Not repaid where a contract is afterwards entered into.

Repayment to secretary.

treated with, and not as security for his mortgagees, though the company ought to have treated with them (Martin v. London, Chatham and Dover Ry. Co., 1 Ch. 501); nor is it subject to any lien for any sum beyond what is included in the bond, c. g., compensation for minerals (Ex parts Neath and Brecon Ry., 2 Ch. D. 201).

Where a company, after paying a deposit into Court and entering on lands under these sections, afterwards concluded the purchase by contract, the Court refused to pay the deposit out unless the vendor joined in the petition, or was served with a

copy of it (Ex parte South Wales Ry. Co., 6 Rly. Ca. 151); see Ex parte Eastern Counties Ry. Co., 5 Rly. Ca. 210.

(z) It was held on petition by a railway company for repayment of money deposited under these sections to the secretary of the company, that payment might be ordered on the petition being stamped with the company's seal, without the necessity of verifying the seal (Ex parte London, Chatham and Dover Ry. Co., 8 W. R. 636).

(zz) If the company do not perform the condition of their bond the landowner may apply to have the money paid out to him (Re Mutlow, 10 Ch. D. 131; 27 Ŵ. Ř. 245).

Repayment to landowner.

PARLIAMENTARY DEPOSITS ACT.

9 & 10 Vict. c. 20.

9 & 10 VICT. CAP. 20.

An Act to amend an Act of the Second Year of Her present Majesty for providing for the Custody of certain Monies paid, in pursuance of the Standing Orders of either House of Parliament, by Subscribers to Works or Undertakings to be effected under the authority of Parliament. [18th June, 1846.]

Ss. 1-4.

[Sections 1-4 provide for payment of sums of money, required by any Standing Order of Parliament to be deposited by the subscribers to any undertaking, which is to be executed under the authority of an Act of Parliament, into Court, by persons named in the warrant of one of the clerks of the House to the account ex parte the work or undertaking mentioned in such warrant or order; and for interim investment in Bank Annuities, or any Government security or securities at the option of the aforesaid person or persons, or the survivor or survivors of them. The fund may be invested in any of the securities sanctioned by the Court; see Re Southwold Bill, 1 Ch. D. 697; Ex parte St. John's College, 22 Ch. D. 93; but see Ex parte G. N. Ry., 9 Eq. 274. The petitioners must employ the broker of the Paymaster-General (Re Undertaking of West Riding, &c., W. N. (1876), 80; Ex parte Bolton Ry., 24 W. R. 451).]

V. And be it enacted, that on the termination of the Session of Repayment Parliament in which the petition or bill for the purpose of making of deposit. or sanctioning any such work or undertaking shall have been introduced into Parliament, or if such petition or bill shall be rejected or finally withdrawn (a) by some proceeding in either House of Parliament, or shall not be allowed to proceed, or if the person or persons by whom the said money was paid or security deposited shall have failed to present a petition, or if an Act be passed authorising the making of such work or undertaking, and if in any of the foregoing cases the person or persons named in such warrant or order, or the survivors or survivor of them, or the majority of such persons, apply by petition (b) to the Court, the Court shall by order direct the sum of money paid in pursuance of such warrant or order, or the stocks, funds, or securities in or upon which the same may have been invested, and the interest or dividends thereof, or the exchequer bills, stocks, or funds so deposited or transferred as aforesaid, and the interest and dividends thereof, to be paid or transferred to the party or parties so applying, or to any other person or persons (c) whom they may appoint in that behalf; but no such order shall be made in the case of any such petition or bill being rejected or not being allowed to proceed, or being withdrawn or not being presented, or of an Act being passed authorising the making of such work or undertaking, unless upon the production of the certificate of the Chairman of Committees of the House of Lords with reference to any proceeding in the House of Lords, or of the Speaker of the House of Commons (d) with reference to any proceeding in the House of Commons, that the said petition or bill was rejected or not allowed to proceed, or was withdrawn during its passage through one of the

9 & 10 Vict. c. 20, s. 5.

Granting certificate, &c., not to make the Chairman or Speaker signing the same liable.

Houses of Parliament, or was not presented, or that such Act was passed, which certificate the said Chairman or Speaker shall grant on the application in writing of the person or persons, or the majority of the persons named in such warrant, or the survivor or survivors of them: Provided always, that the granting of any such certificate, or any mistake or error therein or in relation thereto, shall not make the Chairman or Speaker signing the same liable in respect of any monies, stocks, funds, and securities which may be paid, deposited, invested, or transferred in pursuance of the provisions of this Act, or the interest or dividends thereof (e).

(a) Payment out of part of a deposit on withdrawal of part of the undertaking was refused (Re Aberystwith Ry., 3 De G. F. & J. 301; 7 Jur. N. S. 564).

(b) By R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2 (6), all applications under this Act for investment, payment of dividends, and payment out of Court, are to be made by summons. As to what is sufficient authority to enable the Court to order payment or transfer out, see Re Dartmouth Ry., 9 W. R. 609; Ex parte L. C. & D. Ry., 8 W. R. 636; Ex parte Brompton Waterworks, 8 W. R. 636, n.; Re Warwick Ry., 13 Sim. 31; Re Staines Ry., 9 Jur. 479; Daniell, p. 2133; Seton, p. 1457.

(c) Payment may be made to the bankers or solicitors of the company (Re Warwick Ry.; Re Dartmouth Ry.). An application for payment out may be made in the Long Vacation (Rc Wigan Railways Act, 10 Ch. 541).

(d) The Deputy-Speaker's certificate was held sufficient (Ex parte Stockbridge Railway Bill, 2 Eq. 364; 12 Jur. N. S. 465). The passing of the Act may also be proved by the production of a Queen's Printer's copy of the Act (Re Yarmouth Ry., W. N. (1871), 236).

Railway
Abandonment
Act, 1867.

Act, 20 Eq. 197; Re Manchester and Milford Ry., W. N. (1981), 125.

The costs of petition for payment out have been ordered to be paid out

Standing Orders, 1882. (1881), 125. The costs of petition for payment out have been ordered to be paid out of the general assets of the company (Re Laugharne Ry., 12 Eq. 454).

For the Standing Orders relative to Private Bills, 1882, providing for the insertion of clauses as to penalties, retention of the deposit (notwithetanding 9 & 10 Vict. c. 20), application of the deposit if the line is not duly completed, and other matters, see Daniell, vol. ii. p. 2133 et seq., and cases there cited.

10 & 11 Vict. o. 96.

TRUSTEE RELIEF ACT, 1847.

10 & 11 VICT. CAP. 96.

An Act for better securing Trust Funds, and for the Relief of Trustees. [22nd July, 1847.]

Trustees may pay trust monies or transfer stock and securities into Court.

Whereas it is expedient to provide means for better securing trust funds, and for relieving trustees from the responsibility of administering trust funds in cases where they are desirous of being so relieved: Be it enacted, &c., that all trustees, executors, administrators, or other persons (a), having in their hands any monies belonging to any trust whatsoever, or the major part of them, shall be at liberty, on filing an affidavit (b), shortly describing the instrument creating the trust, according to the best of their knowledge and belief to pay(c) the same,

with the privity of the Accountant-General* of the High Court of 10 & 11 Vict. Chancery,† into the Bank of England, to the account of such Accountant-General* in the matter of the particular trust (d), (describing the Paymastersame by the names of the parties, as accurately as may be, for the General, purpose of distinguishing it), in trust to attend the orders of the said 35 & 36 Vict. Court, and that all trustees or other persons having any annuities or 44, 6, 4. stocks (e) standing in their name in the books of the Governor and ture Act, Company of the Bank of England, or of the East India Company, or 1873, s. 34 (2), South See Company or one Company or one Region of the East India Company, or infra. South Sea Company, or any Government or Parliamentary securities standing in their names (f), or in the names of any deceased persons of whom they shall be personal representatives, upon any trusts whatsoever, or the major part of them (f), shall be at liberty (g) to transfer or deposit such stock or securities into or in the name of the suid Accountant-General, with his privity, in the matter of the particular trust (describing the same as aforesaid), in trust to attend the orders of the said Court (h); and in every such case the receipt of one of the Receipt of cashiers of the said Bank for the money so paid, or in the case of or certificate stocks or securities, the certificate of the proper officer, of the transfer of proper officer, to be or deposit of such stocks or securities, shall be a sufficient discharge (i) sufficient disto such trustees or other persons for the money so paid, or the stocks charge. or securities so transferred or deposited.

c. 96, s. 1. † See Judica-

As to costs under the Act, see note (h), infra, p. 54.

As to what questions will be decided on petition under the Act, see note (l), p. 57,

An order under this Act has the same force as a decree (Re Smyth, 11 W. R. 850) The time allowed for appealing from an order made under the Act is that allowed

The time allowed for appealing from an order made under the Act is that allowed for appealing from an interlocutory order, viz., twenty-one days (Re Baillie, 4 Ch. D. 785; R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LVIII. rr. 9, 15, infra).

Payment of money into Court, under an Act, is a "suit or matter actually Sanction of pending," so as to give the Court jurisdiction to make orders as to charity monies Charity Compaid in, without the sanction of the Charity Commissioners, which by 16 & 17 Vict. missioners to c. 137, s. 17, is necessary for all legal proceedings not being applications in a suit orders rematter actually pending (Re St. Giles' Volunteer Corps, 25 Beav. 313; and see Re lating to Cheshunt College, I Jur. N. S. 995; Att.-Gen. v. Cooper, 10 W. R. 31); but where charity an order has been made, which is in effect final, the matter is no longer "pending," money. and the sanction of the Commissioners must be obtained for further proceedings (Re Jarvis' Charity, 1 Dr. & Sm. 97); and see Braund v. Earl of Devon, 3 Ch. p. 806.

Noney belonging to a charity in the hands of trustees or other persons may now be paid by them to the Official Trustee of Charitable Trusts (18 & 19 Vict. c. 124, ss. 22, 23); and trustees of a charity fund should not as a rule bring the fund into Court under the Trustee Relief Act, but should apply to the Charity Commissioners for their advice and direction (*Re Poplar School*, 8 Ch. D. 543).

By the Judicature Act, 1873, s. 25 (6), any debtor trustee or other person liable in respect of any debt or other legal chose in action which has been absolutely assigned in writing, may if he has notice that the assignment is disputed by the s. 25 (6). assignor or any one claiming under him, or of any other opposing or conflicting claims to such debt or chose in action pay the same into Court in conformity with the provisions of the Trustee Relief Acts; see this section and notes thereto, infra.

Where the money which it is desired to pay into Court belongs to infants, use may be still made of the 36th Geo. 3, cap. 52, which applies whether there is any difficulty in the execution of the trust or not. The 32nd section enacts, that where by reason of the infancy or absence beyond the seas of any person entitled to any legacy, or to the residue of any personal estate or any part thereof chargeable with duty by virtue of this Act, the person or persons having or taking the burden of any will or testamentary instrument, or the administration of such personal estate, cannot pay such legacy or some part thereof, although he, she, or they may have effects for that purpose, or cannot pay such residue or some part thereof, although the effects for that purpose, or cannot pay such residue or some part thereof, although the second and the presonal estate, cannot pay such legacy or some part thereof, although he, she, or they may have

10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, a. 1.

he, she, or they may have the same or some part thereof in his, her, or their hands, it shall be lawful for such person or persons to pay such legacy or residue, or any parts or part thereof respectively, or any sum or sums of money on account thereof, after deducting the duty chargeable thereon, into the Bank of England, with the privity of the Paymaster-General, and such payment into the Bank shall be a sufficient discharge for the money so paid in, provided the duty be also paid thereon as aforesaid, and such money, with the dividends thereon, shall be transferred and paid to the person or persons entitled thereto, or otherwise applied for his or their benefit, on application to the Chancery Division by petition or motion in a summary way: Provided always that, if it shall afterwards appear that such money or any part thereof has been improperly paid into the Bank as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for the Court, upon

Payment in.

petition in a summary way, to dispose thereof, in such manner as justice shall require.

Where the legacy or share of residue consists of each no order is necessary for Where the legacy or share of residue consists of each no order is necessary for payment in; but where it consists of stock an order must be obtained, which may be made ex parte on motion (Ex parte Bennett, 15 Jur. 213), petition (Re Watlington, 1 W. R. 194), or summons (Re Thomas, M. R. at Chambers, 8 July, 1869, Reg. Lib. B. 2305). It is now usually made on summons; see Daniell, p. 2200.

The fund and the dividends thereon may be transferred and paid to the person entitled, or otherwise applied for his benefit, on application to the Court by ex parte petition or motion in a summary way (e. 32, and see Daniell, p. 2200). See also R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2 (1), infra; Re Barker, W. N. (1884), 237.

By R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2 (4), applications under the Legacy Duty Act, where the money or securities in Court do not exceed 1,000%. or 1,000% nominal value, must be made by summons at chambers.

Transfer and payment out.

Where the fund is under 1,000%.

value, must be made by summons at chambers.

Applications for advancement to an infant out of a fund in Court which exceeds

1,000. must be made by petition (Re Coore, W. N. (1883), 169).

For the mode of investment under the Act, see r. 73 of the Supreme Court Funds

Rules, 1884, infra, p. 234.

The Court has refused to pay out to the testamentary guardian of an infant sums paid in under the Legacy Duty Act (Re Cresswell, 30 W. R. 244). Payment into Court of an infant's legacy under the Act does not make the infant

a ward of Court (Re Hillary, 2 Dr. & Sm. 461).

For other cases under the Act, see Whopham v. Wingfield, 4 Ves. 630; Reinell v. Simpson, 18 L. J. Ch. 55.

County Court jurisdiction.

As to the power of a County Court judge to order payment into Court under the Legacy Duty Act, see 28 & 29 Vict. o. 99, a. 5; Pitt-Lewis on County Courts, p.

Trustee Relief \mathbf{A} ct.

Where it is doubtful to whom a legacy is payable it is often the better course to where to is doubtful to which a regacy is payable to a love the count to take the opinion of a judge on summons rather than pay the money into Court under the Trustee Relief Act; see Re Birkett, 9 Ch. D. 576; 47 L. J. Ch. 846; 27 W. R. 164; 39 L. T. 418; and see also R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 3, infra.

The County Courts have jurisdiction under the Trustee Relief Acts, where the trust fund does not exceed 500l.; see 28 & 29 Vict. c. 99, s. 1 (5); Pitt-Lewis on

County Court

County Courts, p. 1041.

jurisdiction. Who may

pay money into Court under the Act.

County Courts, p. 1041.

(a) A mortgagee who has sold under his power of sale, and is in doubt as to who is entitled to the balance of the money, may pay it into Court under this Act (Roberts v. Ball, 24 L. J. Ch. 471; 1 Jur. N. S. 585; 3 W. R. 466; Re Hardley, 10 Ch. D. 664; 48 L. J. Ch. 335; Re Walhampton Estate, 26 Ch. D. 391). But a purchaser of an estate subject to a charge is not within the Act (Re Buckley, 17 Beav. 110; 17 Jur. 478); nor is a banking company which has received notice of conflicting claims to money placed with it on deposit (Re Sutton, 12 Ch. D. 175; 48 L. J. Ch. 350; 27 W. R. 429); nor an insurance company, unless the policy is subject to some trust (Matthew v. Northern Assurance Co., 9 Ch. D. 80; 47 L. J. Ch. 562; 27 W. R. 51; 38 L. T. 468; Re Haycock, 1 Ch. D. 611; and see Re United Kingdom Assurance Co., 34 Beav. 493; 11 Jur. N. S. 424; 6 N. R. 59; Re Webb, 2 Eq. 456; 12 Jur. N. S. 595; Re Moseley, 18 W. R. 126; Hankey v. Morley, 4 Jur. N. S. 234; Re Hall, 10 W. R. 37; 5 L. T. 395). See, however, Jud. Act, 1873, a. 25 (6), cited above. 1873, a. 25 (6), cited above.

As to a stakeholder, see Re United Kingdom Assurance Co.; Re Hall; Matthew v. Northern Assurance Co.; Re Kemptner, 8 Eq. 286. Where there was a power of sale without a power of giving receipts, the Court intimated that the purchase-money might be paid into Court under the Act (Cox v. Cox, 1 K. & J. 251). Executors may pay in money which they claim beneficially (Re Henshaw, 10 Jur. N. S. 837). As to payment into Court by liquidators of a company, see Re Australian Co., W. N.

(1877), 37.

(b) In general the affidavit should be made by all the trustees, but the affidavit of one of two trustees has been held sufficient (Anen. 1 Jur. N. S. 974).

The amount to be brought into Court should be expressed in the affidavit in words and not in figures (Re Watts, 24 W. R. 701).

If between the filing of the affidavit and the actual bringing of the money into Court, the trustee becomes aware of further claims, he should file a supplemental

Affidavit of

one trustee.

Supplemental affidavit.

affidavit; and if he omits to do this he may have to pay any costs occasioned by 10 & 11 Vict. his omission (ReAllen, 27 W. R. 529; 40 L. T. 466). But see next paragraph. c. 96, s. 1.

As to the present form of affidavit on payment into Court under the Act, see r. 41 of the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, infra, p. 225. It differs consider- Form of ably from that prescribed by r. 34 of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874 affidavit. (9 Ch. xliii), now repealed. Notice of the payment into Court (in accordance with r. 5 of the Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874) should, however, still be given to the "persons interested in or entitled to" the fund, in the same way as if r. 34 were still in existence (Re Stening, W. N. (1884), 142); and it is a convenient practice, therefore, for the trustee to name these persons in his affidavit.

The Chancery Funds Amended Orders 1874 (which are only repealed so for

The Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874 (which are only repealed so far Chancery as they are inconsistent with the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884), provide as Funds

5. "A person having made a payment or transfer of money or securities into, or a Amended deposit of securities in Court under the above-mentioned Act of 10 & 11 Vict. Orders, 1874. "c. 96, shall forthwith give notice thereof to the several persons named in his "affidavit to be made in pursuance of Rule 34 of the Chancery Funds Consolidated "Rules, 1874, and the said Act, as interested in or entitled to such money or " securities.

6. "The persons interested in or entitled to any money or securities so paid or "transferred into, or deposited in Court, in pursuance of the said Act of 10 & 11 "Vict. c. 96, and named in the affidavit, or any of such persons, or the person so payment."

"paying or transferring into or depositing in Court, may apply by petition, or in Application cases where the fund does not exceed 300% cash or 300% in securities, by Application ammons, as occasion may require, respecting the investment, payment out, or for payment distribution of the money or securities, or of the dividends or interest of such securities. [See now Ord. LV. r. 2, infra.]

""" A person who has paid or transferred money or securities into, or deposited Or notice to

7. "A person who has paid or transferred money or securities into, or deposited On notice to "securities in Court pursuant to the said Act of the 10 & 11 Vict. o. 96, shall be trustees, &c. "served with notice of any application made to the Court, or judge in chambers, "respecting such money or securities, or the dividends thereof, by any person interested therein or entitled thereto.

8. "The persons interested in or entitled to such money or securities shall be And to "served with notice of any application made by the trustee to the Court, or judge,

"respecting such money or securities, or the dividends thereof.

9. "No petition relating to such money or securities as mentioned in the last four "preceding Orders shall be set down to he heard, and no summons relating thereto "shall be sealed until the petitioner or applicant has first named in his petition or "summons a place where he may be served with any petition or summons, or notice of any proceeding or order relating to such money or securities, or the dividends "thereof

10. "Petitions presented and summonses issued under the said Act of 10 & 11 Title of "Vict. c. 96, shall be entitled in the matter of the said Act, and in the matter of petition.

"the particular trust.

11. "Every petition for dealing with money or securities in Court, chargeable Payment of "with duty payable to the revenue under the Acts relating to legacy or succession duty... "duty, or the dividends on such securities, shall contain a statement whether such

"duty or any part thereof has or has not been paid."

The notice to the persons interested required by r. 5 may be dispensed with in Notice disthe case of persons who cannot be found (Re Hansford, 7 W. R. 199; Re Walters, pensed with. 22 L. T. 120; Re Whitaker, 31 W. R. 114; W. N. (1882), 158). Where they are resident abroad, notice may be given by registered letter (Re Merryweather, 22 L. T. 603); or if their address is not known, by advertisement in the newspapers (Re Goodsman, W. N. (1870), 152; Re Palmer, W. N. (1873), 101; Re Hardley, 10 Ch. D. 664; 48 L. J. Ch. 335). But the Court has no jurisdiction to give directions as to the mode of giving notice which will free the trustee from responsi-

bility (Re Hardley).

(c) No order for making the payment, transfer or deposit into or in Court, of money or of Government accurities is required (Daniell, 2071; Re Biggs, 11 Beav. 27). As to transfer of private securities, see post, note (e).

(d) The fund should be lodged to the credit of a particular trust (Re Joseph's Will, To what 11 Beav. 625; Re Everett, 12 Beav. 485; and see Re Godfrey, 2 Ir. Ch. Rep. 105; account fund Re Monohan, I. R. 8 Eq. 353); and the title of the trust should be as limited in should be designation as possible (Re Coulson, 4 Jur. N. S. 6). Comp. Re Tillstone's Trusts, paid in. 9 Hare, App. lix, where it was held that a fund bequeathed in aliquot parts on distinct trusts ought to be carried to separate accounts; ReWright, 3 K. & J. 419; and see Re Perry, W. N. (1874), 61; 22 W. R. 432, where the stock was of a kind not admitting of division below 1. Where a fund had been paid in to the general account of a testator's will, the Court at first declined to pay it out to the residuary legatees; but npon consideration of the will it was ordered that the fund should

Chancery Funds Amended Orders, rr. 5 Notice of

persons interested.

Place of ser-

account.

Where some claimante are not named.

What securities may be paid in.

Payment in by surviving trustees; or by a majority of the trustees. Trustee not compelled to pay in.

Coets under the Act.

Costs of trustees.

Payment into Court is justified where there are lunatic or infant cestuis que trust. Where there is a doubt who are interested.

to 8 11 Vict.

c. 96, s. 1.

Where fund is paid in its to be transferred to a particular account, except on admission of assets by the trusts of a will."

Transfer to separate account.

But in a later case (Re Coulson, 4 Jur. N. S. 6), V.-C. Wood ordered a fund paid in to the general account.

But in a later case (Re Coulson, 4 Jur. N. S. 6), V.-C. Wood ordered a fund paid in to the general account of a testator's will to be carried over to the separate account.

entitled, without previously directing the name of the account to be changed.

Where trustees paid a fund into Court to the account of one of the claimants, without giving, at the same time, notice to the Court of the adverse claims, payment of the fund to the person in whose name it stood was ordered by the Court, but without prejudice to any future question as between the trustees and the adverse claimants (Re Jenkins, 3 N. R. 408); and see Crause v. Cooper, 1 J. & H. 207, 214, and the cases cited post, p. 58. In Ex parte Tournay, 3 De G. & Sm. 677, money paid in by an executor in ignorance of debts was paid out to him by consent.

(e) Foreign bonds seem not to be within the Act (Re Lloyd, 2 W. R. 371). All Railway Stock and India Stock, may, on the application of the trustees, be directed to be brought into Court (Re Gledstanes, W. N. (1878), 26; Re Ross, 28 W. R. 418; Re Perry, 22 W. R. 432; W. N. (1874), 61); but this can only be done under the provisions of the Amendment Act, 12 & 13 Vict. c. 74, post.

(f) The payment in may be made by the survivors of several trustees (Re Parry, 6 Ha. 306; 12 Jur. 721); and as to the payment in by a majority of the trustees, see 12 & 13 Vict. c. 74, and notes thereto, infra, p. 60.

(g) A trustee who prefers to act personally in the trust cannot be compelled to (g) A trustee who prefers to act personally in the trust cannot be compensed to pay the trust fund into Court (Mountain v. Young, 18 Jur. 770; Handley v. Davies, 28 L. J. Ch. 873; 5 Jur. N. S. 190). But where a trustee being requested to pay money into Court, refused, and thereby made a suit necessary, he had to pay the costs of it (Handley v. Davies; and see Gunnell v. Whitear, 10 Eq. 664; 18 W. B. 883; 22 L. T. 645; Wells v. Malbon, 31 Beav. 48; Weller v. Fitzhugh, W. N. (1870), 144). An order may be made for payment in where the majority of the trustees are willing, though the rest do not concur (12 & 13 Vict. c. 74, post, p. 60);

(h) Though the Act makes no mention of costs, it was held that, as the fund paid in by the trustee is to be paid in in trust to attend the orders of the Court, it therefore became subject to the general jurisdiction of the Court, which included a power to order the payment of costs (Re Woodburn, 1 De G. & J. 351; Re Armston,

4 De G. J. & S. 454; 10 Jur. N. S. 715).

And now the costs of and incident to all proceedings in the High Court are in the discretion of the Court, with a saving of the right of an executor, trustee, or mortgagee to costs out of the estate unless he has misconducted himself (R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. r. 1, infra).

A trustee paying money into Court is prima facie entitled to his costs of such payment in, and of his appearance, when he is served upon the petition or summons for payment out, but the Court will exercise a discretion as to such costs if he pays

the money in vexatiously.

An executor or administrator, or trustee, may pay money into Court where he cannot get a valid discharge otherwise, for example, in cases of infant estuis que trust; see Re Cawthorne, 12 Beav. 56; Re Beaueterk, 11 W. R. 203; Re Coulson, 4 Jur. N. S. 6; Re Richards, 8 Eq. 119; or lunatic legatees (Re Unfull, 3 M. & G. 281; Re Irby, 17 Beav. 334; or where the cestui que trust is deaf, dumb, and blind (Re Biddulph 5 De G. & Sm. 469); but in other cases he must have a bond fide doubt, &c. as to the parties entitled (Re Jones, 3 Drew. 679; Re Headington, 6 W. R. 7, where a claim to a trust fund being made by the assignees, under a creditor's deed and also by the assigner, it was held that the trustee was not bound to assume the deed valid, and might pay into Court; compare Re Moseley, 18 W. R. 126). Jessel, M. R., held that the trustees of a trust fund to which their cestui que trust has become entitled in default of appointment by a tenant for life, are justified in paying it over to him on being informed in writing by the solicitor to the parties that he has reason to believe that no appointment has been made; and would be free from liability in doing so, and that trustees who, under such circumstances, pay the trust fund into Court under the Act will not, as a rule, be entitled to their costs (Re Cull, 20 Eq. 561; 23 W. R. 850; 32 L. T. 853). But payment into Court has been held to be justified where a person claimed by representation, for there is a possibility of a disposition by the deceased person (Re Lane, 24 L. T. (O. S.) 181; King v. King, 1 De G. & J. 663); or where under the old law a married woman might claim her equity to a settlement (Re Swan, 2 H. & M. 34; but see Re Roberts, 17 W. R. 639; W. N. (1869), 88; Re Brocklesby, 29 Beav. 652); and see Re Bendyshe, 3 Jur. N. S. 727; 5 W. R. 816; Re Wylly, 28 Beav. 458; Re 10 & 11 Vict. Williams, 4 K. & J. 87.

For cases where payment in has been justified on account of a bond fide doubt as to some question of law arising on the claim, see and consider King v. King, 1 De G. & J. 663; Re Metcalfe, 2 De G. J. & S. 122, where the cestui que trust was a is a doubt on professed nun; Gunnell v. Whitear, 10 Eq. 664; 22 L. T. 645; 18 W. R. 883, and a question of observations of Sir George Jessel, M. R., in Re Maclean's Truste, 19 Eq. 282, where law. it was held that a trustee who paid money into Court under the Act, in consequence of a claim supported by learned counsel, and brought forward bond fide by a responsible solicitor, and a threat that costs would be asked against him personally if he did not do so, was justified in the course which he took; see also Re Phillips, W. N. (1882), 134,

Trustees have been disallowed their costs of appearing on a petition for payment When payout where they acted with unreasonable caution and euspicion (Re Leake, 32 Beav. ment into 135; Re Heming, 3 K. & J. 40; 2 De G. J. & S. 122; Re Covington, 25 L. J. Ch. Court is not 238; 1 Jur. N. S. 57; Re Thakeham Monies, 12 Eq. 494; Desborough v. Harris, 5 De justified. G. M. & G. 439; Firmin v. Pullen, 2 De G. & Sm. 99; Re Warvick Pearson, 20 L. T. 8; 17 W. R. 365); or have paid the money in in order to anticipate a bill about to be fled against them. Proceedings of the contract of the to be filed against them (Re Waring, 21 L. J. Ch. 784; Re Fagg, 19 L. J. Ch. 175; Att.-Gen. v. Alford, 4 De G. M. & G. 843); or have caused unnecessary expense (Re Metcalfe, 3 N. R. 657: e.g., by requiring copies of affidavits, Re Lazarus, 3 K. & J. 555).

In cases of gross misconduct the trustee may even have to pay the costs of the In cases of application for payment out; see Re Woodburn, 1 De G. & J. 333, where the trustee gross mispaid the money into Court without waiting for evidence of title, or stating what evidence he should require; Re Cater (No. 1), 25 Beav. 361, where the ground of tructee may evidence he should require; Re Cater (No. 1), 25 Beav. 361, where the ground of trustee may paying the money in was an alleged refusal on the part of the persons to whom the have to pay fund was payable to give a release by deed; Re Fortune, I. R. 4 Eq. 351; Re Roberts, costs. 17 W. R. 639; Re Elgar, 11 L. T. 415; Re Elliot, 15 Eq. 194; 42 L. J. Ch. 289; 21 W. R. 455, where there seems to have been no reason for paying the money in except a wish to get rid of it; Re Glendenning, W. N. (1867), 191; Re Foligno, 32 Beav. 131; Re Wise, I. R. 3 Eq. 599, where the executors of a surviving trustee refused to pay the fund to new trustees properly appointed; Re Abbot, 38 L. T. 442; Re Knight, 27 Beav. 45; 5 Jur. N. S. 326, where the trustee neglected to make any inquiries as to whether the persone entitled were alive or dead.

In Re Hoskin, 5 Ch. D. 229: 6 Ch. D. 281, a married woman under a general

In Re Hoskin, 5 Ch. D. 229; 6 Ch. D. 281, a married woman under a general power appointed a fund by will, and appointed executors. The trustees paid the money into Court, and upon a petition for payment out by the appointees, the Court held that the trustees ought to have paid the fund to the executors, and they were therefore ordered to pay the costs; but as the executors were the proper persons to present the petition, the trustees were relieved from the costs occasioned by

the appointees proving their title.

The fact that trustees have under a misapprehension paid a fund to an account wrongly entitled, is no ground for depriving them of their costs (Re Jenkins, 3

N. R. 408).

If the trustee deducts his costs from the fund and pays the balance only into Where the Court (which is the usual course), the Court has no jurisdiction on the hearing of costs are Court (which is the usual course), the Court has no jurisdiction on the hearing of costs are the petition to make any order as to these costs (Re Bloye, 1 M. & G. 488, 504; deducted Re Leake, 32 Beav. 135; 9 Jur. N. S. 453; 1 N. R. 417; Re Barber, 2 N. R. 571; before pay-9 Jur. N. S. 1098; 11 W. R. 1056; Re Fortune, I. R. 4 Eq. 351). But on ordering payment out and taxation of costs, the trustee's costs of paying in may be included, and the sum then deducted by him set off (Re Hue, 27 Beav. 337; 5 Jur. N. S. 1235; 7 W. R. 562; Seton, p. 498; Re Sweeper, 19 W. R. 793; 24 L. T. 413); and the trustee must be careful not to deduct more than a reasonable amount for his costs (Beaty v. Curson, 7 Eq. 194; 38 L. J. Ch. 161; 17 W. R. 132; 20 L. T.

61).

The costs of paying the money into Court, as a general rule, ought to be paid Out of what

The costs of paying the money into Court, as a general rule, ought to be paid Out of what out of the general trust estate, if there be one (Re Cawthorne, 12 Beav. 56; Re Jones, 3 fund costs Drew. 679). But if there is no general residue, or if the difficulty relates to the are paidfund only, or if the fund paid in has been completely severed and appropriated, they (1) Of pa

may come out of the fund itself (Re Lorimer, 12 Beav. 521).

in and adm
In Mutlow v. Mutlow, 4 De G. & J. 539, a fund paid into Court under the Trustee nietration; Relief Act, was ordered to bear a proportionate share of the costs of a suit which had been instituted to administer the estate of which it formed part.

The costs of payment out generally come out of the fund itself (Re Dickson, 1 (2) of paying Sim. N. S. 37; Re Ross, ibid. 196; Re Jones, 3 Drew. 679; Re Robertson, 6 W. R. out the corpus: 405; Re Wilson, 14 W. R. 161). But as leave may be given to bring an action, which would have the effect of throwing such costs upon the general estate (Re Sharpe, 15 Sim. 470; Re Feltham, 1 K. & J. 528), so the Court has jurisdiction on a

gross mis-

- (1) Of paying in and admi-

10 & 11 Vict. с. 96, в. 1.

petition to order the costs of payment out to be paid out of the residue (Re Trick, 5 Ch. 170; 39 L. J. Ch. 201; 18 W. R. 123; 21 L. T. 739, overruling Re Bartholomew, 13 Jur. 380; Re Hodgson, 18 Jur. 786; 2 Eq. R. 1083). In Re Feltham, the costs of the executors who had paid the fund into Court were ordered to come out of

the general residue; and see Re Birkett, 9 Ch. D. 576.

Where a sum of stock representing sixteen shares in a legacy, five of which were held to have lapsed, was transferred into Court, Lord Cranworth, V.-C., held that the lapsed shares ought to bear the costs of the petitioners and respondents (Re Ham's Trust, 2 Sim. N. S. 106).

Trustees who are made respondents and have accepted 30s. for their costs, will not be allowed their costs of appearing on the petition, unless they come for some useful purpose (Re Sutton, 21 Ch. D. 855; 30 W. R. 657; R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. r. 27 (19), infra)

(3) of paying out income.

Upon an application by a tenant for life for payment to him of the dividends on a fund paid into Court under the Act, all the costs of the application, both those of the tenant for life and of the trustees, are payable out of the income (Re Marner, 3 Eq. 432; 36 L. J. Ch. 58; 15 W. R. 99; 15 L. T. 237; Re Evans, 7 Ch. 609; 41 L. J. Ch. 512; 20 W. R. 695; 26 L. T. 815; Re Whitton, 8 Eq. 352; Re Smith, 9 L. J. Ch. 512; 20 W. R. 695; 26 L. T. 815; Re Whitton, 8 Eq. 352; Re Smith, 9 Eq. 374; Re Battell, 21 W. R. 138; Re Cameron, I. R. 1 Eq. 258; Re Munton, 22 L. T. 293; W. N. (1870), 106; Re Mason, 12 Eq. 111); but the costs incurred by the trustee in and about and preliminary to the payment into Court are payable out of the corpus where not previously deducted (Re Whitton). The cases of Re Wood, 11 Eq. 155; Re Gordon, 6 Eq. 335; Re Knight, 37 L. J. Ch. 409; Re Tanner, 14 L. T. 589; and Re Turnley, 1 Ch. 152, are overruled. Where the money was paid into Court in a suit and not under the Act, Malins, V.-C., declined to follow Re Marner (Scrivener v. Smith, 8 Eq. 310; and see Longuet v. Hockley, 22 L. T. 198); and where an annuity was given free of duty, the costs of a petition for payment and where an annuity was given free of duty, the costs of a petition for payment thereof were given out of the surplus of the fund (Re Apthorpe, W. N. (1869), 64). The earlier cases were conflicting.

Two petitions.

As to the amount of costs allowed on a petition for payment out, see Gover v. Stilwell, 21 Beav. 182. The costs of two petitions bond fide separately prepared by different parties entitled to petition will generally he allowed; sccus, where the second petition is prepared with knowledge of the first (Re Chaplin, 33 L. J. Ch. 183; 3 N. R. 289).

Costs of persons served.

Trustce dis-

charged not from liability (except as to monies paid in),

Persons served with the petition or summons appear at the risk of costs, and if unsuccessful, will not generally he allowed them (Re Parry, 12 Jur. 615; Re Tyler, 2 Jur. N. S. 927; Re Birch, 2 K. & J. 369; Re Insole, 14 W. R. 160; Re Wilson, ibid. 161).

An unsuccessful claimant may, under special circumstances, be allowed his costs (Re Birch; Re Dickson, 1 Sim. N. S. 34).

A respondent whose unsuccessful claim was the cause of the payment into Court, will be ordered to pay the costs of the application for payment out (Re Armston, 4 De G. J. & Sm. 454; 10 Jur. N. S. 715).

As to costs of unnecessary services, see post, p. 59,

(i) These words of course do not mean that a trustee can by paying trust monies (1) These words of course do not mean that a trustee can by paying trust mones into Court discharge himself from liability for past breaches of trust in respect of those monies (Att.-Gen. v. Alford, 2 Sm. & Giff. 488; 4 De G. M. & G. 843; 1 Jur. N. S. 361; Re Fagg, 19 L. J. Ch. 175; Re Waring, 21 L. J. Ch. 783); nor from liability to pay in more if more is due (Goode v. West, 9 Ha. 378; Mitchell v. Cobb, 17 L. T. (O. S.) 25; Re Jephson, 1 L. T. 5); but the payment into Court is so far a discharge as to the monies paid in, that the remedy of the cesturis que trust in respect of any breach of trust as to such monies or if they wish to deduct the costs retained of any breach of trust as to such monies, or if they wish to deduct the costs retained by the trustee on payment in, is by action only; see Re Wright, 3 K. & J. 419, 422; Re Jenkins, 10 Jur. N. S. 332.

but from control and active duties, &c.

The trustee, by payment into Court, discharges himself from the future administration of, and control over, the trust fund paid in (Re Coe, 4 K. & J. 199; Re Wright, 3 K. & J. 421; Re Tegg, 15 W. R. 52; W. N. (1866), 317); and the Court undertakes such administration in his place, so that when a trustee had paid money into Court a new trustee was allowed to be appointed in his stead, he being considered as desirous of being discharged (Re Williams, 4 K. & J. 87; Re Bailey, 3 W. R. 31).

He is still liable to receive notices, &o.

But he is still trustee of the fund, at any rate until the Court deals with the fund, for the purpose of receiving notices as to incumbrances, &c. (Thompson v. Tomkins, 2 Dr. & Sm. 8; 8 Jur. N. S. 185); and he cannot get a full discharge except by proceeding to have his accounts taken (Barker v. Peile, 2 Dr. & Sm. 340; 11 Jur. N. S. 436); nor by bringing the fund into Court does he necessarily ahandon a discretionary power as to its application (Re Landon, 40 L. J. Ch. 370).

Payment into Court of a fund helonging to an infant, constitutes the infant a ward of Court (Re Hodges, 3 K. & J. 213; 3 Jur. N. S. 860; Re Tweedale, Johns. 109; Re Benand, 16 W. R. 538).

II. And be it enacted, that such orders as shall seem fit shall be 10 & 11 Vict. from time to time made by the High Court of Chancery in respect of the trust monies, stocks, or securities so paid in, transferred, and Court to make deposited as aforesaid, and for the investment (k) and payment of any orders on such monies, or of any dividends or interest on any such stocks or out suit, for securities, and for the transfer and delivery out of any such stocks and application of trust monies securities, and for the administration of any such trusts (1) generally, and adminisupon a petition (m) to be presented in a summary way to the Lord tration of Chancellor or the Master of the Rolls, without bill, by such party or parties (n) as to the Court shall appear to be competent and necessary in that behalf, and service of such petition shall be made upon such person or persons as the Court shall see fit and direct (o); and every order made upon any such petition shall have the same authority and effect, and shall be enforced and subject to rehearing and appeal (p) in the same manner as if the same had been made in a suit regularly instituted in the Court; and if it shall appear that any such trust funds cannot be safely distributed without the institution of one or more suit or suits, the Lord Chancellor or Master of the Rolls may direct any such suit or suits to be instituted (q).

(k) As to investment of funds lodged in Court under the Act, see Supreme Investment. Court Funds Rules, 1884, r. 74, infra, p. 234.

(1) The Court undertakes the control over, and administration of the fund paid in, Administra-

(i) The Court undertakes the control over, and administration of the fund paid in, in place of the trustee, see note (i), supra.

Where monies belonging to a person of unsound mind, not so found by inquisition of fund. Where monies belonging to a person of unsound mind, not so found by inquisition of fund. Jurisdiction tion, are in Court under the Act, the High Court may in the exercise of its ordinary jurisdiction entertain applications for payment out of such monies for his unatics and support (Re Macfarlane, 2 J. & H. 673; 8 Jur. N. S. 208; Re Dodsworth, 10 Ha. persons of unlifered in the support (Re Macfarlane, 2 J. & H. 673; 8 Jur. N. S. 208; Re Dodsworth, 10 Ha. persons of unlifered in the support (Re Macfarlane, 2 J. & H. 673; 8 Jur. N. S. 410; Re Berry, 13 Beav. 455; Re unfolded, 3 M. & G. 281; Re Parker, 2 W. R. 139; Re Ward, ibid. 406; Re Drewery, ibid. 436; Re Buckley, Johns. 700; Re Sturge, 5 Jur. N. S. 423; Re Phelps, 28 L. T. 350; Re Garnier, 13 Eq. 532; Re Perry, 23 W. R. 335; W. N. (1875), 17; Re Whitley, W. N. (1877), 208); see however, Re Burke, 2 De G. F. & J. 124; 6 Jur. N. S. 717.

Where the fund belongs to a person who has been found lunctic the activity.

Where the fund belongs to a person who has been found lunatic the application should be made in Chancery under the Act and in Lunacy, and the Lords Justices can then make an immediate order for the transfer of the fund to the

account of the lunatic (Re Tate, 20 Ch. D. 135).

The Court has the same jurisdiction upon a petition as in an action; and where Jurisdiction cross petitions are presented by the adverse claimants (which however in practice to decide is seldom dune), or where, by consent, the case is treated as if such cross petitions questions as had been presented, the Court has full power to declare the validity or invalidity in a suit; of any deed on which the claim is rested (Re Bloye, 1 M. & G. 488; S. C. nom.

Lewis v. Hillman, 3 H. L. C. 607).

Thus, it has been held that, when money is paid in under the Act, the Court e. g. questions has jurisdiction to decide all questions arising concerning it, just as well as in a suit, and may, if it thinks fit, direct an issue to try the sanity of a testator, or for suit, and may, if it thinks fit, direct an issue to try the sanity of a testator, or for any other similar purpose (Re Allen, Kay, App. 51); and make a binding declaration of right (Re Walker, 16 Jur. 1154; Re Morgan, 2 W. R. 439; but see Sharshaw v. Gibbs, Kay, 333); and may, of course, decide as to the construction of a settlement (Re Dalton, 1 De G. M. & G. 265; 16 Jur. 253); or give effect to a of married woman's equity to a settlement, by ordering such settlement out of the woman's fund in Court, either on her petition (Re Disney, 2 Jur. N. S. 206), or on that of another person (Re Cutter, 14 Beav. 220; Re Kincaid, 1 Drew. 326; Re Grove, 3 settlement, Giff. 575); and may even order payment to a person not petitioning (Re Woollard, 18 Jur. 1012); and the mere fact that pedigrees, deaths, &c., have to be proved, of pedigrees, which in an action might be admitted, will be no ground for directing an action to &c., be instituted (Re Harris, 2 W. R. 442, following Goode v. West, 9 Hare, 378); and see Ex parte Barnard, 6 Ir. Ch. Rep. 133 (decided on the Irish Act, 11 & 12 Vict. o. 68), where it was doubted whether the Court had jurisdiction on a petition under the Act to decide a question of election, as to which point see Stroud v. of election,

action may be necessary (Re Allen, Kay, App. 51); but compare Hankey v. Morley, 4 Jur. N. S. 234; Re Trower, 1 L. T. 54, where money paid in was ordered to be distributed as in an administration suit, proper inquiries being directed; and Re

Gombault, W. N. (1868), 243. As to a general administration being made necessary by generality in the title of the affidavit, see note (d), supra. It was held

that a deed could not be reformed or its validity impeached on petition under the Act (Re Malet, 30 Beav. 407; 8 Jur. N. S. 226; 10 W. R. 332, overruling Re Morse, 21 Beav. 174; Re Way (C. A.), 2 De G. J. & S. 365; 10 Jur. N. S. 1166); but in Re Hoare, 4 Giff. 254, the Court was held to have such jurisdiction; and in Re De La Touche, 10 Eq. 603, an order for payment out was made, prefaced by a declaration amounting to rectification of a patent error in a settlement; and soc. Taking

Where there are creditors or unascertained claims, an

It was held

10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, s. 2.

of general administration. But not to reform a deed,

nor remedy a breach of trust. nor decide a queetion of adverse title.

La Pouche, 10 Eq. 603, an order for payment out was made, pretaced by a declaration amounting to rectification of a patent error in a settlement; and see Lewis v. Hilman, 3 H. L. C. 607; Re Bird, 3 Ch. D. 214. It was held that a breach of trust could not be remedied (Re Lloyd, 2 W. R. 271); and that if a question of adverse title had to be decided, a suit should be directed (Re Fozard, 24 L. J. Ch. 441); and leave was given to the cestuis que trust to file a bill to have their rights declared (Thorp v. Thorp, 1 K. & J. 438; and see Re Sharpe, 15 Sim. 470). At the hearing of the petition it may be adjourned into Chambers, and an order upon it made there (Re Moate, 22 Ch. D. 635).

Norman, Kay, 313, 326.

The fund in Court may be ordered to be paid out to new trustees to be appointed by the order for payment out (Re Tubb, W. N. (1872), 73).

Where a fund is paid in under the Act, an assignee should obtain a stop order (Re Millar, 6 W. R. 238; Re Blunt, 10 W. R. 379).

Though the jurisdiction of the Court is properly confined to the trust money already paid in (Re Hodgson, 18 Jur. 786), yet a trustee who had paid a part of the trust fund into Court, was ordered, on the petition of the cestui que trust, whose title was clear, to pay to him the future instalments of the fund as they were received (Re Wright, 1 Sm. & G. App. v.). And, in a later case, Lord Romilly, M. R., made a prospective order for payment by the Accountant-General to a tenant for life of the income of any future fund paid to the same account (Re Chamberlain 22 Resy 286 and note to the case: Re Thornton 2 W. R. 475). Chamberlain, 22 Beav. 286, and note to the case; Re Thornton, 9 W. R. 475). So an order may be made for payment of dividends to successive tenants for life (Re How, 15 Jur. 266); and in Re Brent, 8 W. R. 270, an order was made for payment of the dividends of a fund in Court to one tenant for life, and on proof of his death to a second tenant for life, without further order.

(m) The application must be by petition (Pelling v. Goddard, 9 Ch. D. 185; Re Masselin, 15 Jur. 1073; Ex parte Stock, 5 Ir. Ch. Rep. 341); except where the trust fund does not exceed 1,000l. or 1,000l. nominal value, in which case it must be by summons in chambers (R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LV. r. 2 (5), infra). When, howtaken by summons in chambers (R. S. C. 1885, Oct. 197. F. 2 (9), wyra). When, however, an order has been made on petition, further proceedings upon it may be taken by summons in chambers (Re Hodges, 4 De G. M. & G. 491; 1 Jur. N. S. 73; Re Tracey, I. R. 6 Eq. 271; and see Ord. LV. r. 2 (1, 2, 3)). And when before the institution of an administration suit the trustees had paid in part of the money under the Act, a petition for payment out was dispensed with, the order for payment out being entitled in the matter of the Act (Dixon v. Mortey, W. N. (1869), 40)

49).
Where the claim is disputed, cross petitions may be presented (*Lewis* v. *Hillman*, 3 H. L. C. 607); but in practice this is seldom done, as the Court has jurisdiction

to order payment to a respondent (Re Woollard, 18 Jur. 1012).

The prayer of the petition and notice thereof should specify the exact order sought for, and the precise portions of the fund which are to be transferred to the several parties entitled to it (Ex parte Barnard, 6 Ir. Ch. Rep. 133, under the Irish Act, 11 & 12 Vict. c. 68, the provisions of which are similar to those of this Act). Where one of several persons interested applies, the petition should ask that the shares of the other persons may be carried to separate accounts (Re Hawkes, 18 Jur. 33; see Handley v. Metcalfe, 9 Beav. 495; Re Tillstone, 9 Hare, App. lix.). The petition should set out the effect of the affidavit upon which the money is paid in (Re Levett, 5 De G. & Sm. 619; Re Flack, 10 Hare, App. xxx.), but it need not, except in special cases, set out the whole affidavit (Re Courtois, 10 Hare, App. lxv.;

As to who may apply, see rule 6 of Chancery Funds Amended Orders, p. 53, ante. The trustees are not the proper persons to present the petition, though the Court (see Re Trower, 1 L. T. 54) will make the order on their petition (Re Hutchinson, 1 Dr. & Sm. 27, where V.-C. Kindersley, following the decision in Re Cazmeau, 2 K. & J. 249, allowed the trustees only respondents' costs, and gave the carriage of the order to the cestuis que trust); and eee also Re Poplar School, 8 Ch. D. 543; and the request of one of the cestuis que trust that they should present the petition is not sufficient to justify them in doing so.

A person not mentioned in the trustee's affidavit may apply (Re Puttrell, 7 Ch. D. 647, not following Re Jephson, 1 L. T. 5).

Stop order.

Prospective order for payment of future instalments and income.

Application by petition or summons.

Where claim disputed.

Form of petition.

Who may petition.

Persons not named in the affidavit.

On the application of an infant domiciled in Scotland (who was above the age of 10 & 11 Vict. puberty), and her curator properly appointed, a fund which had been brought into Court under this Act was ordered to be transferred into the joint names of the infant and her curator (Re Crichton, 24 L. T. (O. S.) 267).

In Re Garnier, 13 Eq. 532, the Court declined to pay out the fund to a curator bonis appointed by a foreign Court, but directed the income only to be paid to him.

The petition must be supported by proper evidence of the title of the applicant, Evidence and, if necessary, inquiries will be directed (Re Wood, 15 Sim. 469; Re Sharpe, ibid. inquiries. 470; Re Barber, 1 Sm. & G. 118; Re Morgan, 2 W. R. 439). And a person making an affidavit may be cross-examined (Re Bendyske, 5 W. R. 816). As to trustees taking copies of the affidavits, see Re Lazarus, 3 K. & J. 555.

(n) A petitioner may apply in forma pauperis (Re Money, 13 Beav. 109; and see

Re Lancaster, 18 Jur. 229).

(o) The following rule as to service is laid down by rules 7 and 8 of the Chancery Service and Funds Amended Orders, p. 53, ante: -(1), the trustees are to be served with notice costs of apof applications by persons interested in the fund; (2), the persons interested are to pearance-be served with notice of applications by the trustees.

(1) Trustees, &c., paying money into Court, have their costs of appearing as of trustees; respondents to a petition for a stop order (Re Blunt, 10 W. R. 379) or (except in cases of vexations conduct or needless appearance) to a petition for payment out; see note (h), ante; but where the title of a tenant for life petitioning for income is clear, the trustees ought not to appear (Re Evans, 7 Ch. 609; Re Battell, 21 W. R. 138; and see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. r. 27 (19), infra).

A petition for payment to a lunatic's executors of money paid in hy the committee was ordered to be served on the committee though he had passed his accounte (Re

Wylde, 5 De G. M. & G. 25).

Where a trustee avoided service, the order was made upon service at the place mentioned in his affidavit (Ex parte Baugham, 16 Jur. 325; but see Re Laurence, 14 W. R. 93). Service on a trustee may be dispensed with in a clear case (Re Young, 5 W. R. 400; Re Beauclerk, 11 W. R. 203; Re Thomas, ibid. 276); and where the house named by trustees for service was pulled down, and the trustees had not been heard of for ten years, service of the petition on them was dispensed with, and an inquiry was directed to ascertain who were entitled to the fund (*Re Bolton*, 18 W. R.

56; W. N. (1869), 226).

(2) As to dispensing with service on the ground that the party to be served is of cestuis que abroad and cannot be heard of, see Re Hansford, 7 W. R. 199, cited p. 53, ante; trust; Re Naylor, 28 L. T. 18. Where inquiries had been directed at the original hearing, and it appeared that persons not parties to the proceedings were interested, the Court ordered that the petition, the order made thereon, the chief clerk's certificate and the order for service, should be served on the persons named in the certificate, and that the petition should stand over till such service had been effected (Re Battersby, 10 Ch. D. 228). Parties served, who claim no interest, should not appear, and if they do, will get no costs (Re Smith, 3 Jur. N. S. 659; Re Birch, 2 K. & J. 369; Re Justices of Coventry, 19 Beav. 158; but see Ex parte Queen's College, 6 W. R. 9; Rudge v. Weedon, 11 W. R. 819). A fortiori, incumbrancers appearing on a petition by a prior incumbrancer, whose debt exhausted the fund in Court, in spite of a notice by the petitioner's solicitor that if they appeared the payment of their costs would be resisted, were held disentitled to costs (Roberts v. Ball, 24 L. J. Ch. 471).

Where a tenant for life petitions for payment of income, remaindermen need not on petition be served (Re Whitling, 9 W. R. 830; S. C. nom. Re Whiting, 7 Jur. N. S. 754; Re by tenant Marner, 3 Eq. 432); and the trustees need not appear (Re Evans, 7 Ch. 609; Re Battell, for life; 21 W. R. 138; and see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. r. 27 (19), infra). So on a petition that the dividends might be paid to several tenants for life, and the several shares of the corpus carried over to the accounts of numerous remaindermen (Re Hodges, 6 W.R. 487; and see Ex parts Fletcher, 12 Jur. 619; Strong v. Strong, 6 W.R. 455); and in such cases leave may be given to serve some of the parties interested on behalf of the class (Re Colson, 2 W. R. 111).

Where a fund has been carried over to a separate account, the parties interested of persons in the other shares need not be served (Re Hodgson, 2 Eq. R. 1083; Re Hawkes, interested in 18 Jur. 33); so a mortgaged share of funds paid into Court under the Act, may be other shares; paid to parties clearly entitled, in the absence of the parties interested in the other shares (Re Befford, 21 L. T. (O. S.) 164).

A married woman, having a power of appointment over a reversionary trust fund, of persons appointed it by way of mortgage, with a power of sale, under which it was afterwards sold. Her husband became bankrupt, and, after the determination of the claim adlife estate, the trustees paid the fund into Court under this Act. The purchasers versely. thereupon presented a petition for a transfer of the fund to them, which was served upon the trustees only. The Court made the order, subject to a direction that it should not be drawn up for a fortnight, and that the husband's assignees should be

Infant and

Evidence and

c. 96, e. 2.

Parties residing abroad. Substituted service.

10 & 11 Viot. served with notice that the fund would be paid out if no objections were taken (Ex parte Stutely, 1 De G. & Sm. 703).

parte Stutely, 1 De G. & Sm. 703).

On a reasonable application, in writing, by parties residing abroad, and served with notice of a petition under the Act, the Court may postpone the order for payment out of Court (Re Hodgson's Will, 22 L. J. Ch. 1055).

Substituted service of the petition (Re Bonelli, 18 Eq. 655), or service out of the jurisdiction (Re Haney, 10 Ch. 275; Re Bonelli; Re Fisher, W. N. (1881), 137; 30 W. R. 57; Re Morant, W. N. (1879), 144), may be ordered.

(p) As to the time for appealing from an order made under the Act, see Re Baillie, 4 Ch. D. 785, ante, p. 51.

(q) See Re Fozard, and other cases, p. 58, supra, as to directing an action. The direction is now given by a judge of the Chancery Division.

[Sect. III., regulating salary of Accountant-General, is repealed by the Chancery Funds Act, 35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, post.]

Lord Chancellor, with Master of the Rolls, &c., may make General Orders.

Construction of expression " Lord Chancellor."

IV. And be it enacted, that the Lord Chancellor, with the assistance of the Master of the Rolls, or of one of the Vice-Chancellors, shall have power, and is hereby authorised, to make such orders as from time to time shall seem necessary for better carrying the provisions of this Act into effect.

V. And be it enacted, that in the construction of this Act, the expression "the Lord Chancellor" shall mean and include the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, and Lords Commissioners for the custody of the Great Seal of Great Britain for the time being.

12 & 13 Vict. c. 74.

TRUSTEE RELIEF ACT, 1849.

12 & 13 VICT. CAP. 74.

An Act for the further Relief of Trustees.

[28th July, 1849.]

Whereas difficulties have arisen in the transfer of securities vested in trustees in certain cases under the provisions of an Act passed in the session of Parliament holden in the 10th and 11th years of the reign of her present Majesty, intituled "An Act for better securing trust funds, and for the relief of trustees," and it is expedient to make a further provision for carrying into effect the objects of the said recited Act: be it therefore enacted by the Queen's most excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, that if upon any petition presented to the Lord Chancellor or Master of the Rolls (a) in the matter of the said Act it shall appear to the judge of the Court of Chancery before whom such petition shall be heard that any monies, annuities, stocks, or securities (b), are vested in any persons as trustees, executors, or administrators, or otherwise upon trusts within the meaning of the said recited Act, and that the major part of such persons (c) are desirous of transferring, paying, or delivering the same to the Accountant- 12 & 13 Vict. General of the High Court of Chancery under the provisions of the said recited Act, but that for any reason the concurrence of the other or Court may, upon appliothers of them cannot be had (d), it shall be lawful for such judge as cation by aforesaid to order and direct such transfer, payment or delivery to be majority of made by the major part of such persons without the concurrence of the order payother or others of them; and where any such monies or Government ment or or Parliamentary securities shall be deposited with any banker, broker, trust monies, or other depositary, it shall be lawful for such judge as aforesaid to stocks, or make such order for the payment or delivery of such monies, Governinto Court. ment or Parliamentary securities, to the major part of such trustees, executors, administrators, or other persons as aforesaid, for the purpose of being paid or delivered to the said Accountant-General as to the said judge shall seem meet; and every transfer of any annuities, stocks, or securities, and every payment of money, or delivery of securities, in pursuance of any such order, shall be as valid and effectual as if the same had been made on the authority or by the act of all the persons entitled to the annuities, stocks, or securities so transferred, or the monies or securities so paid or delivered respectively, and shall fully protect and indemnify the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, the East India Company, and the South Sea Company, and all other persons acting under or in pursuance of such order.

transfer of

(a) The application is now made to a judge of the Chancery Division.

(a) The apphoanton is now made to a judge of the Chancery Division.

(b) Ordinary, debenture and preference stock of a railway company, and India 4 per Cent. Stock, may be directed to be transferred into Court under the Act; see Re Perry, W. N. (1874), 61; 22 W. R. 432; Re Gledstanes, W. N. (1878), 26; Re Ross, 28 W. R. 418.

(c) Where one of three trustees was ill the order was made on the petition of the other two (Re Broadwood, 8 L. T. 632; and see Re Perry; Re Gledstanes).

(d) A trustee who does not concur should be served with the petition (Re Bryant,

W. Ń. (1868), 123).

TRUSTEE ACT, 1850.

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60.

13 & 14 VICT. CAP. 60.

An Act to consolidate and amend the Laws relating to the Conveyance and Transfer of Real and Personal Property vested in Mort-[5th August, 1850.] gagees and Trustees (a).

(a) For the scope of the Act, see Bristow v. Booth, L. R. 5 C. P. 80, 91.
It provides for getting in the legal estate of land where trustees or mortgagees Provisions as are lunatic (1850, ss. 3, 4, pp. 64, 66), or infant (ss. 7, 8, pp. 67, 68), or trustees to land. or heirs of mortgagees are out of jurisdiction, or survivor uncertain, or they or their heirs are not known (ss. 9-15, 19, pp. 68-70), or refuse to convey (1852, ss. 2, 3,

infra);
Where there is a contingent right in an unborn trustee (1850, s. 16, p. 70);

13 & 14 Vict c. 60.

Copyhold or Duchy lands. Stock.

Bank of England.

Choses in action. Charity.

Appointment ofnew trustees.

Of persons to convey.

Money pay-able to infants Act, 1881.

Where the lands are copyhold (1850, s. 28, p. 76), or in the Duchy of Lancaster

or Durham (1850, s. 21, p. 72);
And for vesting orders of stock where trustees or mortgagees, or personal representatives are lunatio (1850, ss. 5, 6, pp. 56, 57; 1852, s. 6, p. 92), infant (1852, s. 3, p. 91), out of jurisdiction, or uncertain, or not known (1850, ss. 22, 25, pp. 73, 75), or refuse to assign (1850, ss. 23, 24, pp. 73, 74; 1852, ss. 4, 5, p. 91);

The bankers or companies are to be bound, see 1850, s. 26, p. 75.

And for vertical orders of chassic action where the product of the control of the contro

And for vesting orders of choses in action where trustees, or mortgagees, or personal representatives are lunatic (1850, s. 5, p. 66), or out of jurisdiction (1850, s. 22, p. 73), or refuse to sue (1850, ss. 23, 24, pp. 73, 74; 1852, s. 4, p. 91);

For the effect of such orders, see 1850, s. 27, p. 75.

Orders as to trustees of charities are provided for, 1850, s. 45, p. 86;

orders as to trustees of charities are provided for, 1850, s. 40, p. 86;
It provides for appointment of new trustees on petition, and the consequent conveyances and transfers, 1850, ss. 32—42, pp. 79—84;
For appointment of a person to convey or transfer if desirable, 1850, s. 20, p. 71;
And for declaration that persons against whom a decree is made are trustees, 1850, ss. 29, 30, pp. 76, 77; 1852, s. 1, p. 90.

Money payable to infants or lunatics may be paid into Court under the Act of

Money payable to infants
or lunatics.

By s. 30 of the Conveyancing Act, 1881, trust and mortgage estates on a death
after Dec. 31, 1881, vest in the legal personal representative of the deceased trustee
Conveyancing
or mortgagee; see the section and note thereto, infra.

[Sect. 1, repealing 11 Geo. 4 & 1 Will. 4, c. 60; 4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 23; 1 & 2 Viot. c. 69, was repealed by Stat. Law Revision Act, 1875.]

Interpretation of terms.

II. And whereas it is expedient to define the meaning in which certain words are hereafter used: it is declared that the several words hereinafter named are herein used and applied in the manner following respectively (that is to say)—

"Lands."

The word "lands" shall extend to and include manors, messuages, tenements, and hereditaments, corporeal and incorporeal, of every tenure or description, whatever may be the estate or interest therein (b):

"Stock."

The word "stock" (c) shall mean any fund, annuity, or security transferable in books kept by any company or society established or to be established, or transferable by deed alone, or by deed accompanied by other formalities, and any share or interest therein:

"Seised."

The word "seised" (d) shall be applicable to any vested estate for life or of a greater description, and shall extend to estates at law and in equity, in possession or in futurity, in any lands:

"Possessed."

The word "possessed" shall be applicable to any vested estate less than a life estate, at law or in equity in possession or in expectancy, in any lands:

"Contingent right."

The words "contingent right," as applied to lands, shall mean a contingent and executory interest, a possibility coupled with an interest, whether the object of the gift or limitation of such interest or possibility be or be not ascertained; also a right of entry, whether immediate or future, and whether vested or contingent:

"Convey." "Conveyance."

The words "convey" and "conveyance" applied to any person shall mean the execution (dd) by such person of every necessary or suitable assurance for conveying or disposing to another lands whereof such person is seised or entitled to a contingent right, either for the whole estate of the person conveying or disposing, or for any less estate, together with the performance of all 13 & 14 Vict. formalities required by law to the validity of such conveyance, _ including the acts to be performed by married women and tenants in tail in accordance with the provisions of an Act passed in the fourth year of the reign of his late Majesty King William the Fourth, intituled "An Act for the abolition of fines and re- 3 & 4 Will. 4, coveries, and the substitution of more simple modes of assurance," c. 74. and including also surrenders and other acts which a tenant of customary or copyhold lands can himself perform preparatory to or in aid of a complete assurance of such customary or copyhold

The words "assign" and "assignment" shall mean the execution "Assign." and performance by a person of every necessary or suitable deed "Assignor act for assigning, surrendering, or otherwise transferring lands ment. of which such person is possessed, either for the whole estate of the person so possessed or for any less estate:

The word "transfer" shall mean the execution and performance of "Transfer." every deed and act by which a person entitled to stock can transfer such stock from himself to another:

The words "Lord Chancellor" shall mean as well the Lord Chancellor "Lord of Great Britain as any Lord Keeper or Lords Commissioners of Chancellor." the Great Seal for the time being:

The words "Lord Chancellor of Ireland" shall mean as well the "Lord Lord Chancellor of Ireland as any Keeper or Lords Commissioners Chancellor of Ireland., of the Great Seal of Ireland for the time being:

The word "trust" shall not mean the duties incident to an estate "Trust." conveyed by way of mortgage (e); but, with this exception, the words "trust" and "trustee" (f) shall extend to and include "Trustee." implied and constructive trusts (g), and shall extend to and include cases where the trustee has some beneficial estate or interest in the subject of the trust (h), and shall extend to and include the duties incident to the office of personal representative of a deceased person(i):

The word "lunatic" shall mean any person who shall have been "Lunatic." found to be a lunatic upon a commission of inquiry in the nature of a writ De lunatico inquirendo (i):

The expression "person of unsound mind" shall mean any person, "Person of not an infant, who, not having been found to be a lunatic, shall unsound mind." be incapable from infirmity of mind to manage his own affairs:

The word "devisee" shall, in addition to its ordinary signification, "Devisee." mean the heir of a devisee and the devisee of an heir, and generally any person claiming an interest in the lands of a deceased person, not as heir of such deceased person, but by a title dependent solely upon the operation of the laws concerning devise and descent:

The word "mortgage" shall be applicable to every estate, interest, "Mortgage."

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 2.

"Person."

or property in lands or personal estate, which would in a Court of Equity be deemed merely a security for money (k):

The word "person," used and referred to in the masculine gender, shall include a female as well as a male, and shall include a body corporate (l):

Number and gender.

And generally, unless the contrary shall appear from the context, every word importing the singular number only shall extend to several persons or things (k), and every word importing the plural number shall apply to one person or thing, and every word importing the masculine gender only shall extend to a female.

"Lands."

(b) As to "lands" including "rent-charge," see Re Harrison, cited Seton, 516. (b) As to "lands" including "rent-charge," see Ke Harrison, cited Sewn, 510.
"Lands" do not include leaseholds in sect. 15, where the word "seised" is used (Re Harvey, Seton, 520; Re Mundel, 8 W. R. 683); but in Re Mundel, a vesting order was made under sect. 34, in which section the word "lands" includes leaseholds (Re Matthews, 2 W. R. 85; Re Robinson, 11 W. R. 1035).

(c) The word "stock" includes shares in a joint stock banking company (Rs Angelo, 5 De G. & S. 278; and see Morrice v. Aylmer, 10 Ch. 148), and shares in ships registered under the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 91,

"Stock."

(d) See Re Mundel.
(dd) The Act applies where a person has been directed to execute a lease, and has refused to do so (Hall v. Hale, W. N. (1884), 185; but see Grace v. Baynton, W. N. (1877), 79; 25 W. R. 506).

Mortgagee not trustee within Act. W. N. (1877), 79; 25 W. R. 506).

(e) Therefore a mortgagor cannot obtain an order for reconveyance or sale under the Act, without suit, under sections which relate only to trustees, e. g., sect. 9, and see note (g) to sect. 30; Re Osborn, 12 Eq. 392. Secus, where land was conveyed, not strictly by way of mortgage, but by way of trust for sale in default of payment of money borrowed; see Re Underwood, 3 K. & J. 745; or where the mortgagee has become a trustee (Re Croux, 13 Eq. 26; Re Walker, 3 Ch. D. 209).

(f) The following persons have been held to be trustees within the Act:—
The husband of a feme covert trustee (under sect. 5), Re Wood, 3 De G. F. & J. 125; and of an executrix (under sect. 22), Ex parte Bradshaw, 2 De G. M. & G. 900. See now Vendor and Purchaser Act, s. 6, post, p. 107; Married Women's Property Act, 1882, s. 18, post, p. 199.

The executrix of a surviving trustee (under sect. 4 of the Extension Act), Rs Ellis, 24 Beav. 426.

Who is trustec. Husband.

Executrix.

Heir.

Ellis, 24 Beav. 426.

A surviving executor (not a trustee) who died intestate, Ro Davis, 12 Eq. 214. An heir on whom trust estates descended by reason of the disclaimer of devisees in trust (under sect. 9), Wilks v. Groom, 6 De G. M. & G. 205; Hooper v. Strutton,

Heir of mortgagee. The heir-at-law of a deceased mortgages (under sects. 9 and 15), in cases not within the 19th section (Re Skitter, 4 W. R. 791; Re Underwood, 3 K. & J. 745; Re Keeler, 11 W. R. 62. See now Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30, post, p. 116).

An assignee of a bankrupt (under sect. 9), Re Joyce, 2 Eq. 576.

Assignee in bankruptcy. Trustee for

As to the Act applying to a trustee with a mere power of sale, see note (p), p. 65, on vesting orders.

eale. Dower trustee.

As to a mere dower trustee being a trustee within the Act, see Collard v. Roe, 4 De G. & J. 525, whence it seems that his interest might have been bound by a decree without any order under this Act. (g) On the queetion whether a suit for specific performance, foreclosure, &c., is

Vendors and mortgagors. Constructive

necessary before a mortgagor, or contracting party can be declared a constructive

trustees.

(h) This includes an infant beneficially entitled to a fund subject to trusts for maintenance (Gardner v. Cowles, 24 W. R. 920; 3 Ch. D. 304).

(i) See Re Moore, McAlpine v. Moore, 21 Ch. D. 778.

Personal representative.

Lunatic. Mortgage. Crown Estates (i) See now "The Lunacy Regulation Act, 1862," 25 & 26 Vict. c. 86.
(k) See Re Underwood, 3 K. & J. 745; Lawrance v. Galsworthy, 3 Jur. N. S. 1049; Re King, 5 De G. & Sm. 644; 16 Jur. 1153.
(l) Extended by the Crown Private Estates Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 37), s. 10,

Act, 1862.

to the trustees of the private estates of the Sovereign.

Lunatic trustees and

III. And be it enacted, that when any lunatic or person of unsound mind(m) shall be seised(n) or possessed of any lands upon any trust

or by way of mortgage, it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor (o) 13 & 14 Vict. intrusted by virtue of the Queen's Sign Manual with the care of the persons and estates of lunatics to make an order that such lands be mortgagees vested (p) in such person or persons in such manner and for such of lands. estate as he shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the trustee or mortgagee had been sane, and had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands in the same manner for the same estate (q).

(m) When the lunacy is disputed the Act does not apply (Re Phillips, Cr. & Ph. Lunacy 147); but a commission may be issued if the Court thinks fit; see sect. 52, disputed.

Where an infant trustee is also of unsound mind, the case falls within the Where ordinary jurisdiction of the Court (Re Arrowsmith, 6 W. R. 642; 4 Jur. N. S. lunatic is

infant.

(n) The word "seised" includes an estate in tail (Re Sherard, 1 De G. J. & S. 421).

(o) The words "the Lord Chancellor," with reference to the jurisdiction in lunacy, included the L.JJ. (Re Waugh, 2 De G. M. & G. 279; 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55, s. 11; Lord c. 87, s. 15). The jurisdiction in lunacy is now exercised by such judge or judges of the High Court or the Court of Appeal as may be intrusted by the Sign Manual with the care of lunatics (Jud. Act, 1875, s. 7; and see Seton, 518). The Lords Justices have been appointed judges of the Chancery Division for the purpose of applications connected with lunacy (Re Lamotte, 4 Ch. D. 325; Jud. Act, 1873, s. 51)

Whenever the trustee or mortgagee is of unsound mind, but not found a lunatic, Application the application under these Acts may be made in Chancery if the fund is already when to be the application under these Acts may be made in Chancery if the fund is already when to standing to the credit of a cause; see note to sect. 43; Herring v. Clark, 4 Ch. 167; made in Harrison v. Smith, 17 W. R. 646; and see Re Ferrior, 3 Ch. 178; but if no cause is lunacy. pending, the jurisdiction belongs not to the Chancery Division, but to the judges in lunacy, unless the trustee is also an infant (Re Arrowsmith), or is out of the jurisdiction (Re Gardner, 10 Ch. D. 29); see Re Good Intent Bensfit Society, 2 W. R. 671; Re Davidson, 20 L. J. Ch. 644; Re Chauncey, 14 W. R. 849; and comp. Re Irby, 17 Beav. 334, and the cases cited by Lord Justice Turner in Re Ormerod, 3 De G. & J. 249, where it was held that the V.-C. of the Duchy of Lancaster had no power under the 17 & 18 Vict. o. 82 to appropriate a new trustee in the place of a trustee of under the 17 & 18 Vict. c. 82, to appoint a new trustee in the place of a trustee of unsound mind, not found so by inquisition; see also Rs Oven, 4 Ch. 782; Re Mason, 10 Ch. 273; and sect. 10 of the Extension Act, post; and as to appointing a new

trustee in place of a lunatic, see note (l), p. 79, post.

In Re Viekers, 3 Ch. D. 112, it was held that a petition for the appointment of new trustees in the place of two deceased trustees and a trustee of unsound mind not so found, no vesting order being required, might be made in Chancery. Where one of several trustees is a lunatic, and it is desired to appoint a new trustee in his place, the petition must be entitled in Chancery as well as in lunacy; otherwise the vesting order would sever the joint tenancy (Re Pearson, 5 Ch. D. 982; Re Duce, 30 W. R. 759). As to the mode of applying where a fund standing in the name of a lunatic trustee is desired to be transferred to the credit of a cause, see Re Dawson, 6 N. R. 346, where Jeffryes v. Drysdale, 9 W. R. 428, is remarked upon.

The order ought to be made in Chancery as well as lunacy in all cases where it is Lue order ought to be made in Chancery as well as lunacy in all cases where it is desired to appoint a new trustee, as the power in lunacy is restricted to making a vesting order (Re Boyce, 4 De G. J. & S. 205; 12 W. R. 359), and in Re Stewart, 8 W. R. 297, the Lords Justices, under one petition presented in Chancery and lunacy, appointed new trustees in the place of one trustee of unsound mind, not found so by inquisition, one resident abroad, and one who was dead. See further as to the exercise of the jurisdiction where the trustee is a lunatic and the lands are in Ireland (Re Lamotte, 4 Ch. D. 325).

As to service on the committee, see note to sect. 40.

(p) Vesting orders may be made in pursuance of decrees, see s. 30, infra.

Vesting orders should contain some description of the property comprised in them (Re Ord, 3 W. R. 386).

The Cort will be the address of the property comprised in them Orders to the property comprised in them Orders to the property comprised in them Orders to the property comprised in them

The Court will make orders vesting lands to "such uses as a person shall appoint, and in default to such person in fee" (Re Powell, 4 K. & J. 338, where the object was to save the expense of an acknowledgment by a feme covert, a mortgages's executrix), or to uses in bar of dower (Re Lush, 5 De G. & Sm. 436; Davey v. To uses in Miller, 1 Sm. & G. App. xix.; overruling Re Hovard, 5 De G. & Sm. 435); but bar of dower. will not, it seems, insert in an order a declaration barring dower (Re Lush); see Seton, 519.

Service on committee.

ORDERS OF

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 3.

Subject to legacy. Or other reservations. Where no one has an existing estate.

Equitable interest.

Copyhold.

VESTING. ORDERS OF PERSONALTY, though no one hae an existing interest. How far order to hind Bank of England.

Form of order.

Directions as to transfer.

Vesting in cestuis que trust.

Contingentrights of lunatic trustees and mortgagees of lands.

Stock and

of lunatic

An order was made to vest a legal estate outstanding in an infant mortgagee in the devisees of the mortgagor, subject to a legacy charged on the land by his will (Re Ellerthorpe, 18 Jur. 666; see Re Winteringham's Trusts, 3 W. R. 578).

A vesting order was discharged and a conveyance directed, under e. 21, for the purpose of expressing reservations as to mines in Turner v. Speakman, Seton, 534; see Langhorn v. Langhorn, 21 L. J. Ch. 860.

see Langhorn v. Langhorn, 21 L. J. Ch. 860.

It was held that vesting orders of land under the Act could only be made where the person from whom the land was to be devested had a legal estate, and not where he had a mere power (Re Porter, 3 W. R. 583); but the order was subsequently made in that case, see Seton, 519; and see Re Boyce, 4 De G. J. & S. 205; 12 W. R. 359; and compare Re Rathbone, 2 Ch. D. 483, cited infra, as to vesting orders of personalty where there is no legal personal representative.

As to the propriety of a vesting order where the interest to be assigned is only equitable, see note (g), s. 30.

As to vesting orders of copyholds, see note (d), s. 28.

As to vesting orders of copyholds, see note (a), s. 28. See s. 20, by which the Court may appoint a person to convey in certain cases

instead of making a vesting order.

A vesting order may be made under the Act, even though there is no incapacity in the person seised of the legal estate to execute a conveyance; see Re Manning, Kay, App. xxviii. (under sect. 34); Hancox v. Spittle, 3 Sm. & G. 478.

As to costs of applications for vesting orders, see note (k), s. 51.

A vesting order of stock or other personalty can be made though the last trustee died intestate and had no personal representative, so that there is no existing interest to be vested (Re Rathbone, 2 Ch. D. 483; Re Mundel, 8 W. R. 683; Re Driver, 19 Eq. 352; Re Dalgleish, 4 Ch. D. 143); and see Re Dixon, 21 W. R. 220; Re Crowe, 14 Ch. D. 610.

The vesting order binds the Bank (ss. 20 and 26, and sect. 6 of the Extension Act, post); but the Bank appealed successfully against an order vesting the right to transfer a fractional part of a dividend of stock, and the new trustees in that case were consequently enabled to receive the arrears of the dividends, and directed to retain only such part as was subject to the trust (Re Stewart, 8 W. R. 425). Nor will the Court generally vest the right to receive or transfer future dividends of stock in a person in whose name the stock is not standing; see Re Hartnall, 5 De G. & Sm. 111; and even where an order was made (under sect. 22) vesting the right to receive "dividends now due or hereafter to accrue due," in three out of four trustees, one being out of the jurisdiction, this order was, on the appeal of the Bank, varied by limiting the right of the three trustees to receive the dividends to their joint lives (Re Peyton, 25 Beav. 317; 2 De G. & J. 290).

In the case of an order to be acted upon by the Bank the circumstances bringing the case within the Act should be shown upon the order (Re Ellis, 24 Beav. 426; Re

Mainwaring, 26 Beav. 172); see Seton, 514.

The Court may give directions as to how the right to transfer is to be exercised, e. g., may direct it to be paid into Court under the Trustee Relief Act; see post, sect. 31, and note.

The Court has vested the right to transfer stock, &c., in the cestuis que trust themselves under this section and sect. 24 combined; see Re White, 5 Ch. 698.

(q) An order under this section vesting lands vested in a lunatic trustee as tenant in tail will bar the estate tail, though the Fines and Recoveries Act be not referred to (Re Mason, 7 Ch. D. 707).

IV. And be it enacted, that when any lunatic or person of unsound mind shall be entitled to any contingent right (r) in any lands upon any trust or by way of mortgage, it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor (s), intrusted as aforesaid, to make an order wholly releasing such lands from such contingent right, or disposing of the same to such person or persons as the said Lord Chancellor shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the trustee or mortgagee had been sane, and had duly executed a deed so releasing or disposing of the contingent right.

- (r) As to the definition of "contingent right" see sect. 2, ante. (s) See note (a) to sect. 3, ante, p. 65.

V. And be it enacted, that when any lunatic or person of unsound chose in action mind shall be solely entitled to any stock or to any chose in action upon any trust or by way of mortgage, it shall be lawful for the Lord 13 & 14 Vict. Chancellor intrusted as aforesaid (t) to make an order vesting in any person or persons the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the trustees and dividends or income thereof, or to sue for and recover such chose in mortgagees. action, or any interest in respect thereof; and when any person or persons shall be entitled jointly with any lunatic or person of unsound mind to any stock or chose in action upon any trust or by way of mortgage, it shall be lawful for the said Lord Chancellor to make an order vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for and recover such chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, either in such person or persons so jointly entitled as aforesaid, or in such last-mentioned person or persons together with any other person or persons the said Lord Chancellor may appoint (u).

(t) See note (o) to sect. 3, ante, p. 65.

(u) See Re Stewart, 8 W. R. 297; Re Chauncey, W. N. (1866), 217; 14 W. R. 849. The order should not vest the stock in the persons beneficially entitled, except as trustees (Re Currie, 10 Ch. D. 93; and see Re Holland, 16 Ch. D. 672).

The Court has jurisdiction under this section to make an order vesting the right to transfer the stock solely in the co-trustees, and the order may be made in lunacy only (Re Watson, 19 Ch. D. 384, overruling Re Nash, 16 Ch. D. 503).

The husband of a married woman trustee was held to be a trustee within the meaning of the Act, and an order made accordingly (Re Wood, 3 De G. F. & J. 125); see now Married Women's Property Act, 1882, s. 18, infra.

Where one of the executors of a person in whose name stock was standing became a lunatic, an order was made in lunacy vesting the right to call for a transfer, and to transfer the stock, in the other executors (Re Wacher, 22 Ch. D. 535; Re White, 5 Ch. 698). 5 Ch. 698)

As to Irish railway stock standing in the name of a trustee who becomes of unsound mind, see Re Hodgson, 11 Ch. D. 888, cited in note to sect. 56, infra.

VI. And be it enacted, that when any stock shall be standing in Stock of dethe name of any deceased person whose personal representative is a ceased persons in name of lunatic or person of unsound mind, or when any chose in action shall lunatic perbe vested in any lunatic or person of unsound mind as the personal sonal reprerepresentative of a deceased person, it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, to make an order vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for and recover such chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, in any person or persons he may appoint (y).

sentative.

(y) See the notes to last section.

VII. And be it enacted, that where any infant shall be seised (z) or Estates of possessed of any lands upon any trust or by way of mortgage (a), it infant trustees and shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting mortgagees such lands in such person or persons in such manner and for such of land, estate as the said Court shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the infant trustee or mortgagee had been twenty-one years of age, and had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands in the same manner for the same estate (b).

⁽z) "Seised" includes an estate tail (Re Sherard, 1 De G. J. & S. 421).

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 7.

Reconveyance of mortgaged and trust estates. Order barring

(a) As to what words in a will are sufficient to carry estates held on trust or mortgage, and to prevent their descending on infant, &c., heirs, see Lewin, 207; Re Arrowsmith, 6 W. R. 642; Re Finney, 3 Giff. 465; Lysaght v. Edwards, 2 Ch. D. 499; and for the present law see Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30, and note thereto, infra.

(b) An order vesting the legal estate of an infant remainderman in tail, made with the consent of the tenant for life, as protector, will bar the entail (Powell v. Matthews, 1 Jur. N. S. 973). For form of order see Seton, 503. See also Hargreaves v. Wright, 1 W. R. 408; Singleton v. Hopkins, 4 W. R. 107; Re Bloomar, 2 De G. & J. 88; Re Lush, 5 De G. & Sm. 436; Re Ellerthorpe, 18 Jur. 669.

Contingent rights of infant trustees and mortgagees in land.

VIII. And be it enacted, that where any infant shall be entitled to any contingent right in any lands upon any trust or by way of mortgage (c) it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order wholly releasing such lands from such contingent right, or disposing of the same to such person or persons as the said Court shall direct: and the order shall have the same effect as if the infant had been twenty-one years of age, and had duly executed a deed so releasing or disposing of the contingent right (d).

(c) See note (a) to s. 7, supra.

(d) See last note, and as to contingent rights of unborn persons, see sect. 16.

Trustees of land out of the jurisdiction of the Court.

IX. And be it enacted, that when any person solely seised or possessed of any lands upon any trust (e) shall be out of the jurisdiction. of the Court of Chancery, or cannot be found, it shall be lawful for the said Court to make an order vesting such lands in such person or persons in such manner and for such estate as the said Court shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the trustee had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands in the same manner and for the same estate (f).

(e) As to the meaning of the word "trust," see s. 2, ante, p. 63.

A mortgagee is not a trustee within the section (s. 2, ante).

Mortgager is not a trustee within the section (s. 2, mire).

(f) An absconding mortgagor was declared a trustee for the mortgagee after a decree for foreclosure upon motion under this section (Lechmere v. Clamp, 31 Beav. 578; see 30 Beav. 218); but such a declaration could only be obtained on a separate application (Smith v. Boucher, 1 Sm. & G. 72); see the 30th section, and note (g) thereto, and Re Underwood, 3 K. & J. 745.

Where a testator devised copyholds to one of two daughters and after his death both the daughters being his co-heiresses were admitted as tenants in common it

both the daughters being his co-heiresses were admitted as tenants in common, it was held that an order vesting the legal estate of the daughter not beneficially entitled, who was out of the jurisdiction, in trustees for the other daughter, was rightly made under this section (McMurray v. Spicer, 5 Eq. 527). Where the defendant in a specific performance suit (who had been served by substitution) did not appear at the hearing the Court made a decree vesting the estate in the plaintiff (Murphy v. Vincent, 40 L. J. Ch. 378). See also Wilks v. Groom, 6 De G. M. & G. 205; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077; Re Skitter, 4 W. R. 791. Where the heir of the last surviving trustee was a lunatic and out of the jurisdiction the order was made in the Chancery Division only (Re Gardner, 10 Ch. D. 29).

Where persons are seised of lands jointly with persons out of jurisdiction of Court. &c.

X. And be it enacted, that when any person or persons shall be seised or possessed of any lands jointly (ff) with a person out of the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery, or who cannot be found, it shall be lawful for the said Court to make an order vesting the lands in the person or persons so jointly seised or possessed, or in such last-mentioned person or persons together with any other person or persons, in such manner and for such estate as the said Court shall direct: and

the order shall have the same effect as if the trustee out of the juris- 13 & 14 Vict. diction, or who cannot be found, had duly executed a conveyance or c. 60, s. 10. assignment of the lands in the same manner for the same estate (g).

(f) The words "seised jointly" are not limited to a strictly joint tenancy (Re Greenwood, 27 Ch. D. 359).

(g) The section only applies where the persons are so seised upon a trust. An order, therefore, will not be made vesting in a purchaser the state of an aheant mortgagee, unless such mortgagee be also a trustee (Re Osborn, 12 Eq. 392; Re Walker, 3 Ch. D. 209; Re Watkin, W. N. (1876), 232).

Where a new trustee is appointed, in the place of one out of the jurisdiction, or

who cannot be found, the estate may be vested in such new trustee and the continuing trustees, notwithstanding the words of the latter part of this section (Smith v. Smith, 3 Drew. 72; 18 Jur. 1047; Re Marquis of Bute, Johns. 15; 5 Jur. N. S. 487, overruling Re Watts, 9 Ha. 106; Re Plyer, ibid. 220).

Where a legal estate had descended on two co-heirs of a deceased mortgagee in

fee, one of whom was out of the jurisdiction, an order was made under this section vesting it in the other alone (Re Templer, 4 N. R. 494; Re Greenwood, 27 Ch. D.

359; but see Re Osborn; Re Walker).

XI. And be, it enacted, that when any person solely entitled to a Contingent contingent right in any lands upon any trust shall be out of the juris-rights of trustees out of diction of the Court of Chancery, or cannot be found, it shall be lawful jurisdiction in for the said Court to make an order wholly releasing such lands from lands. such contingent right, or disposing of the same to such person or persons as the said Court shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the trustee had duly executed a conveyance so releasing or disposing of the contingent right.

XII. And be it enacted, that when any person jointly entitled with Where perany other person or persons to a contingent right in any lands upon any trust shall be out of the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery, or titled with cannot be found, it shall be lawful for the said Court to make an order others out of the jurisdiction disposing of the contingent right of the person out of the jurisdiction, of the Court or who cannot be found, to the person or persons so jointly entitled as to a contingent right in lands. aforesaid, or to such last-mentioned person or persons together with any other person or persons; and the order shall have the same effect as if the trustee out of the jurisdiction, or who cannot be found, had duly executed a conveyance so releasing or disposing of the contingent right.

sons are jointly en-

XIII. And be it enacted, that where there shall have been two or When it is more persons jointly seised or possessed of any lands upon any trust uncertain which of and it shall be uncertain which of such trustees was the survivor, it several shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting trustees was the survivor, such lands in such person or persons in such manner and for such lands may be estate as the said Court shall direct; and the order shall have the same vested. effect as if the survivor of such trustees had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands in the same manner for the same estate.

XIV. And be it enacted, that where any one or more person or When it is persons shall have been seised or possessed of any lands upon any whether the trust and it shall not be known, as to the trustee last known to have last trustee been seised or possessed, whether he be living or dead, it shall be of land be living or dead. lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting such lands

c. 60, s. 14.

13 & 14 Vict. in such person or persons in such manner and for such estate as the said Court shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the last trustee had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands in the same manner for the same estate.

When trustee of land dies without an heir.

XV. And be it enacted, that when any person seised of any lands upon any trust shall have died intestate as to such lands without an heir (h), or shall have died and it shall not be known who is his heir or devisee, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting such lands in such person or persons in such manner and for such estate as the said Court shall direct; and the order shall have the same effect as if the heir or devisee of such trustee had duly executed a conveyance of the lands in the same manner for the same estate (hh).

(h) See Re Wilkinson, 10 Jur. N. S. 716; 12 W. R. 522.

(hh) This section does not apply to leaseholds (Re Mundel, 8 W. R. 683). But the difficulty may be got over by appainting new trustees of the leaseholds and making a vesting order under sect. 34 (Re Rathbone, 2 Ch. D. 483; Re Dalgleish, 4 Ch. D. 143; and see Re Crowe, 14 Ch. D. 610).

Where a testative deviced real extent in trust for sale, but the trustee died in her

Where a testatrix devised real estate in trust for sale, but the trustee died in her lifetime and it was not known who was her heir, the Court had no jurisdiction in the absence of the heir to appoint a trustee or make a vesting order (Gunson v.

Simpson, 5 Eq. 332).

Where a mortgage was made by way of trust for sale the mortgage was held to be a trustee within this section (*Re Underwood*, 3 K. & J. 745; *Re Keeler*, 9 Jur. N. S. 95; 11 W. R. 62). Where a trustee of copyholds held in trust for a beneficiary absolutely died without an heir, the Court vested the estate in the heneficiary (*Re Godfrey*, 23 Ch. D. 205; 31 W. R. 426; and see sect. 28, *post*).

As to the devolution of trust and mortgage estates on a death occurring after 31st December, 1881, see Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30, *infra*.

Contingent right of unborn trustee [in lands].

XVI. And be it enacted, that when any lands are subject to a contingent right in an unborn person (i) or class of unborn persons who upon coming into existence would in respect thereof become seised or possessed of such lands upon any trust, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order which shall wholly release and discharge such lands from such contingent right in such unborn person or class of unborn persons, or to make an order which shall vest in any person or persons the estate or estates which such unborn person or class of unborn persons would upon coming into existence be seised or possessed of in such lands (i).

Discharge of contingent rightsin suits.

(i) See a similar provision in s. 30, infra, for discharge of contingent rights in a suit. There "unborn persons" are held to include persons who cannot be ascertained, e.g., heirs of a living person, note (h), p. 78, post.

(j) For cases on this section, see Hargreaves v. Wright, 1 W. R. 408; Wake v. Wake, 17 Jur. 545; 1 W. R. 283.

Sects. 17 & 18.

[The 17th and 18th sections of this Act are repealed by the "Trustee Extension Act, 1852," s. 2, post, p. 90.]

Heir or devisee of mortgagee of land.

XIX. And be it enacted, that when any person to whom any lands have been conveyed by way of mortgage shall have died without having entered into the possession or into the receipt of the rents and profits thereof, and the money due in respect of such mortgage shall have been paid to a person entitled to receive the same, or such last-mentioned person shall consent to an order for the reconveyance of such land, then in any

of the following cases it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery (k) to 13 & 14 Vict. make an order vesting such lands in such person or persons in such c. 60, s. 19. manner and for such estate as the said Court shall direct; (that is to say),

When an heir or devisee of such mortgagee shall be out of the [Out of jurisdiction.] jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery, or cannot be found:

When an heir or devisee of such mortgagee shall upon a demand by [Refusing to a person entitled to require a conveyance of such lands, or a duly convey.] authorised agent of such last-mentioned person, have stated in writing that he will not convey the same, or shall not convey the same for the space of twenty-eight days next after a proper deed for conveying such lands shall have been tendered to him by a person entitled as aforesaid, or a duly authorised agent of such last-mentioned person:

When it shall be uncertain which of several devisees of such mort-[Uncertain.] gagee was the survivor:

When it shall be uncertain as to the survivor of several devisees of such mortgagee, or as to the heir of such mortgagee whether he be living or dead:

When such mortgagee shall have died intestate as to such lands, and [Intestate without an heir (l), or shall have died and it shall not be known heir.] who is his heir or devisee (m).

And the order of the said Court of Chancery made in any one of the foregoing cases shall have the same effect as if the heir or devisee or surviving devisee (as the case may be) had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands in the same manner and for the same estate (n).

(k) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, s. 34).

(l) See Re Minchin, 2 W. R. 179.

(m) See Re White, W. N. (1881), 115; 29 W. R. 820.

(n) It was at first held that this section applied only to cases of reconveyance after payment of the mortgage debt; and not to cases where, the mortgage money not having been paid, it was desired to vest in the personal representatives of the mortgage the legal estate ontstanding in his heir (Re Meyrick, 9 Ha. 116); but such orders have since been made (Re Boden, 1 De G. M. & G. 57; 16 Jur. 279; 9 Ha. 820; Re Lea, 6 W. R. 482). It seems doubtful, however, whether the order ought to be made unless a sale or transfer is contemplated (Re Hewitt, 27 L. J. Ch. 302; but see Re Lea). but see Re Lea).

For the recent enactments as to the devolution of trust and mortgage estates on death, see Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30, and note thereto, infra.

XX. And be it enacted, that in every case where the Lord Chan- Power to cellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, shall, under appoint a the provisions of this Act, be enabled to make an order having the convey in effect of a conveyance or assignment of any lands, or having the certain cases. effect of a release or disposition of the contingent right of any person or persons, born or unborn, it shall also be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery (as the case may be), should it be deemed more convenient, to make an order appointing a person to convey or assign such lands, or release or dispose of such contingent right (o); and the conveyance or assignment, or release or disposition, of the person so appointed, shall, when in

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 20.

conformity with the terms of the order by which he is appointed, have the same effect, in conveying or assigning the lands, or releasing or disposing of the contingent right, as an order of the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, would in the particular case have had under the provisions of this Act; and in every case where the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, shall, under the provisions of this Act, be enabled to make an order vesting in any person or persons the right to transfer any stock transferable in the books of the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, or of any other company or society established, or to be established, it shall also be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, if it be deemed more convenient, to make an order directing the secretary, deputy secretary, or accountant-general for the time being of the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, or any officer of such other company or society, at once to transfer or join in transferring the stock to the person or persons to be named in the order; and this Act shall be a full and complete indemnity and discharge to the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, and all other companies or societies and their officers and servants, for all acts done or permitted to be done pursuant thereto (p).

(c) For form of order, see Seton, 507, No. 15.
(p) See Re Dickson, W. N. (1872), 223; 27 L. T. 671; S. C. nom. Re Dixon, 21
W. R. 220; Re Price, W. N. (1883), 202, cited in note to sect. 25, post.
In determining whether to make a vesting order or to appoint a person to convey, the Court is guided by the relative expense of the two modes (Hancox v. Spittle, 3 Sm. & G. 478; and see Sheperd v. Churchil, 25 Beav. 21; Wilks v. Groom, 6 De G. M. & G. 205: 2 Jur. N. S. 1077).

As to lands in Durham.

XXI. And be it enacted, that as to any lands situated within the Lancaster and Duchy of Lancaster or the Counties Palatine of Lancaster or Durham, it shall be lawful for the Court of the Duchy Chamber of Lancaster, the Court of Chancery in the County Palatine of Lancaster (q), or the Court of Chancery in the County Palatine of Durham, to make a like order in the same cases as to any lands within the jurisdiction of the same Courts respectively, as the Court of Chancery has under the provisions hereinbefore contained been enabled to make concerning any lands; and every such order of the Court of the Duchy Chamber of Lancaster, the Court of Chancery in the County Palatine of Lancaster, or the Court of Chancery in the County Palatine of Durham, shall, as to such lands, have the same effect as an order of the Court of Chancery: Provided always, that no person who is anywhere within the limits of the jurisdiction of the High Court of Chancery shall be deemed by such local Courts to be an absent trustee or mortgagee within the meaning of this Act.

(q) See now 17 & 18 Vict. c. 82, s. 11, which extends all the powers of this and 17 & 18 Vict. the Extension Act to property in the County Palatine of Lancaster. But the Act does not give the Vice-Chancellor of the Duchy Court jurisdiction in lunacy (Re Ormerod, 3 De G. & J. 249). c. 82, s. 11.

XXII. And be it enacted, that when any person or persons shall 13 & 14 Vict. be jointly entitled with any person out of the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery (r), or who cannot be found, or concerning whom it shall Whentrustees be uncertain whether he be living or dead, to any stock or chose in of stock or chose in action action upon any trust, it shall be lawful for the said Court to make an out of the jurisorder vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the divi- diction, or dends or income thereof (s), or to sue for or recover such chose in uncertain. action, or any interest in respect thereof, either in such person or persons so jointly entitled as aforesaid, or in such last-mentioned person or persons together with any person or persons the said Court may appoint; and when any sole trustee of any stock or chose in action shall be out of the jurisdiction of the said Court, or cannot be found, or it shall be uncertain whether he be living or dead, it shall be lawful for the said Court to make an order vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof (s), or to sue for and recover such chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, in any person or persons the said Court may appoint (t).

r) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, s. 34).

(s) These words include future dividends; see cases decided upon next sections.
(t) For forms of orders see Seton, 508-510; Coles v. Benbow, W. N. (1873), 60.

An order was made where the death of a trustee could not be formally proved (Re Bourke, 2 De G. J. & S. 426).

Where the husband of an executrix was out of the jurisdiction a vesting order Husband of was made under this section (Ex parte Bradshaw, 2 De G. M. & G. 900; see now executrix. Married Women's Property Act, 1882, e. 18, infra).

Where one of two trustees was dead, and it was uncertain whether the other was Surviving alive or not, the Court refused to treat him as a sole trustee (Re Randall, 1 Drew. trustee. 401). Under special circumstances the right to the stock or chose in action has been Vesting vested in the persons beneficially entitled (Re Ryan, 9 W. R. 137; Ex parte Bradshaw; contra, Re Brass, 4 W. R. 764); and see Re Bowrke; Re Dickson, W. N. (1872), 223, S. C. nom. Re Dixon, 21 W. R. 220. But orders which would be in effect administering trusts will not be made; and it seems that instead of vesting a trust fund in the beneficiaries the Court will appoint new trustees, and leave the persons beneficially entitled to take the necessary steps for putting an end to the trusts (Re Currie, 10 Ch. D. 93; Re Holland, 16 Ch. D. 672; Re Dickson, W. N. (1872), 223, S. C. nom. Re Dixon, 21 W. R. 220; but see Re Godfrey, 23 Ch. D. 205; 31 W. R. 426). Where one of four trustees of stock was out of the jurisdiction and in three the Court vested in the other three the right to receive the dividends to accrue of four during their joint lives (Re Peyton, 2 De G. & J. 290; 25 Beav. 317; 4 Jur. N. S. trustees. 370, 469).

As to the order where a person of unsound mind was trustee of part of a sum of stock, and beneficially entitled to the rest, see Re Stewart,, 2 De G. F. & J. 1.

The Court has power under this section to vest the right to receive the future dividends, as well as those already accrued (Re Peyton; see, however, Re Hartnall, 5 De G. & S. 111; 16 Jur. 33).

XXIII. And be it enacted, that where any sole trustee of any stock When trustee or chose in action* shall neglect or refuse to transfer such stock, or to of stock or chose in action receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover such refuses to chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, according to the transfer. direction of the person absolutely entitled thereto for the space of provisions in twenty-eight days next after a request in writing for that purpose case of lands, shall have been made to him by the person absolutely entitled thereto, see Act of 1852, s. 2. it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting the sole right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or

u. 60, s. 23.

13 & 14 Vict. income thereof, or to sue for and recover such chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, in such person or persons as the said Court may appoint (u).

"Sole trustee."

(u) This section applies to the case of all the trustees refusing, where there are more than one, as well as to the case of a sole trustee refusing to transfer the stock or receive the dividends (Re Harmall, 5 De G. & S. 111; Re Hyatt, 21 Ch. D. 846; see, however, Re Spawforth, 12 W. R. 978). The Court has no power under this section to vest the right to receive future dividends (Re Harmall; but see Re Peyton, 2 De G. & J. 290; 25 Beav. 317; 4 Jur. N. S. 370, 469).

The 4th section of the Extension Act extends the provisions of this section to the case of a trustee neglecting to obey an order of the Court; see Mackenzie v. Mackenzie,

5 De G. & Sm. 338.

The words "person absolutely entitled" include new trustees of the stock sought to be transferred (Re Russell, 1 Sim. N. S. 404). But not one of two trustees; nor a tenant for life (Mackenzie v. Mackenzie), unless the application is for payment of dividends (Re Hartnall).

The refusing trustee need not be served (Re Baxter, 2 Sm. & G. App. v.; Ex parte Armstrong, 16 Sim. 296; Re Crowe, 13 Eq. 26).

Service on recusant trustee.

When one of several trustees of stock refuses to transfer or receive and pay over dividends.

XXIV. And be it enacted, that where any one of the trustees of any stock or chose in action shall neglect or refuse to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover such chose in action, according to the directions of the person absolutely entitled thereto (w), for the space of twenty-eight days next after a request in writing for that purpose shall have been made to him or her by such person, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery (x) to make an order vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for and recover such chose in action, in the other trustee or trustees (y) of the said stock or chose in action, or in any person or persons whom the said Court may appoint jointly with such other trustee or trustees.

" Person absolutely entitled." (w) As to these words see note (u) to s. 23.

(x) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34).
(y) Where one of the executors of a surviving trustee was a lunatic and the other executors refused to transfer shares, part of the trust property, to the person absolutely entitled, it was held that a vesting order could not be made on a petition presented in Chancery only (Re Nicholl, 18 W. R. 443); but a vesting order was made on a petition presented in Lunacy and Chancery (Re White, 5 Ch. 698; and see Re Wacher, 22 Ch. D. 535).

When stock is standing in the name of a deceased person, and the personal representative is out of jurisdiction, &c.

XXV. And be it enacted, that when any stock shall be standing in the sole name of a deceased person (z), and his or her personal representative (a) shall be out of the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery, or cannot be found, or it shall be uncertain whether such personal representative be living or dead, or such personal representative shall neglect or refuse to transfer such stock, or receive the dividends or income thereof, according to the direction of the person absolutely entitled thereunto, for the space of twenty-eight days next after a request in writing for that purpose shall have been made to him by the person entitled as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, in any person or persons whom the said Court may appoint (b).

"Sole name of a deceased person."

(z) This includes stock in the name of two deceased persons as being in the name of the survivor (Re Bradshaw, Seton, 523).

(a) An executor of a surviving trustee who has not proved is a personal representative within this section (Re Ellis, 24 Bcav. 426); and so is the next of kin, who is entitled to take out administration (Re Stroud, W. N. (1874), 180).

(b) An order was made under this section where the survivor of the two original "Personal

Where the survivor of the two original representative, and new trustees had been representative, without a legal personal representative, and new trustees had been representative, with the survivor of two trustees of stock died leaving no personal representative, Wickens, V.-C., appointed the person beneficially entitled a trustee under this section (Re Dickson, W. N. (1872), 223; 27 L. T. 671; S. C. nom. Re Dickson, 21 W. R. 220; and see Re Price, W. N. (1883), 202).

XXVI. And be it enacted, that where any order shall have been Effect of an made under any of the provisions of this Act, vesting the right (bb) to order vesting the legal any stock in any person or persons appointed by the Lord Chancellor, right to intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, such legal right shall transfer stock. vest accordingly, and thereupon the person or persons so appointed are hereby authorised and empowered to execute all deeds and powers of attorney, and to perform all acts relating to-the transfer of such stock into his or their own name or names or otherwise (c), or relating to the receipt of the dividends thereof, to the extent and in conformity with the terms of such order: and the Bank of England, and all companies and associations whatever, and all persons, shall be equally bound and compellable to comply with the requisitions of such person or persons so appointed as aforesaid, to the extent and in conformity with the terms of such order as the said Bank of England, or such companies, associations, or persons, would have been bound and compellable to comply with the requisitions of the person in whose place such appointment shall have been made, and shall be equally indemnified in complying with the requisition of such person or persons so appointed as they would have been indemnified in complying with the requisition of the person in whose place such appointment shall have been made; and after notice in writing of any such order of the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or of the Court of Chancery, concerning any stock, shall have been given, it shall not be lawful for the Bank of England, or any company or association whatever, or any person having received such notice, to act upon the requisition of the person in whose place an appointment shall have been made in any matter whatever relating to the transfer of such stock, or the payment of the dividends or produce thereof.

(bb) See s. 6 of the Extension Act, infra.
(c) Where it is sought to avoid an immediate transfer to the trustees the order may direct that the trustees are to have the right to call for a transfer of the funds to themselves or to any purchaser or purchasers, the trustees undertaking to hold the proceeds on the trusts of the settlement (*Re Peacock*, 14 Ch. D. 212; 43 L. T. 99; 28 W. R. 801).

XXVII. And be it enacted, that where any order shall have been Effect of an made under the provisions of this Act, either by the Lord Chancellor, order vesting legal right in intrusted as aforesaid, or by the Court of Chancery, vesting the legal a chose in right to sue for or recover any chose in action, or any interest in action. respect thereof, in any person or persons, such legal right shall vest accordingly, and thereupon it shall be lawful for the person or persons

13 & 14 Vict. o. 60, s. 27.

so appointed to carry on, commence, and prosecute, in his or their own name or names, any action, suit, or other proceeding at law or in equity for the recovery of such chose in action, in the same manner in all respects as the person in whose place an appointment shall have been made could have sued for or recovered such chose in action. XXVIII. And be it enacted, that whensoever, under any of the pro-

Effect of an order vesting copyhold lands, or appointing any person to convey copyhold lands.

visions of this Act, an order shall be made either by the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, vesting any copyhold or customary lands in any person or persons, and such order shall be made with the consent of the lord or lady of the manor whereof such lands are holden, then the lands shall, without any surrender or admittance in respect thereof, vest accordingly; and whenever, under any of the provisions of this Act, an order shall be made either by the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, appointing any person or persons to convey or assign any copyhold or customary lands, it shall be lawful for such person or persons to do all acts and execute all instruments for the purpose of completing the assurance of such lands; and all such acts and instruments so done and executed shall have the same effect, and every lord and lady of a manor, and every other person, shall, subject to the customs of the manor, and the usual payments, be equally bound and compellable to make admittance to such lands, and to do all other acts for the purpose of completing the assurance thereof, as if the persons in whose place an appointment shall have been made, being free from any disability, had duly done and executed such acts and instruments (d).

Copyholds vested with or without consent of lord.

Fine payable.

(d) This section dispenses with the necessity of surrender and admittance, where a vesting order is made with the lord's consent, but it does not require such consent, and where such consent is not given, the lord of the manor ought not to be

Cooper v. Jones, 25 L. J. Ch. 240, where a verified certificate of his consent was treated as sufficient).

Form of order.

For a form of an order appointing a person to convey under this section, see Re Hey, 9 Hare, 221; and as to the application of the Act to copyholds, Re Collingwood, 6 W. R. 536.

The Queen's Bench issued a mandamus to enforce an order made under the section (Re Lane, 12 W. R. 710).

Where a sole trustee of copyholds died intestate, and without an heir, the Court vested the premises in the sole beneficiary (Re Godfrey, 23 Ch. D. 205; 31 W. R. 426).

When a decree is made for sale of real estate for payment of debts.

XXIX. And be it enacted, that when a decree shall have been made by any Court of Equity, directing the sale of any lands for the payment of the debts of a deceased person (e), every person seised or possessed of such lands, or entitled to a contingent right therein, as heir, or under the will of such deceased debtor, shall be deemed to be so seised or possessed or entitled, as the case may be, upon a trust within the meaning of this Act; and the Court of Chancery is hereby empowered to make an order wholly discharging the contingent right, 13 & 14 Vict. under the will of such deceased debtor, of any unborn person (f).

c. 60, s. 29.

(e) For form of order under this section, see Seton, 527. The provisions of this Trustee under section are also extended to decrees for sales for payment of costs, &c. (as to which decree for words are "for any purpose whatever;" see 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55, s. 1, infra.

(f) See Wood v. Beetlestone, 1 K. & J. 213; Gough v. Bage, W. N. (1871), 237.

The application under this section must be made in chambers (Ord. LV.r. 2 (8), Application infra; Clark v. Ward, 14 W. R. 241).

in chambers.

XXX. And be it enacted, that where any decree (g) shall be made Court to by any Court of Equity for the specific performance of a contract declare what concerning any lands, or for the partition or exchange of any lands, trustees of or generally when any decree shall be made for the conveyance or lands comassignment of any lands, either in cases arising out of the doctrine of suit, and as election or otherwise, it shall be lawful for the said Court to declare to the inthat any of the parties to the said suit wherein such decree is made are persons trustees of such lands or any part thereof, within the meaning of this unbern. Act, or to declare concerning the interests of unborn persons (h) who might claim under any party to the said suit, or under the will or voluntary settlement of any person deceased, who was during his lifetime a party to the contract or transactions concerning which such decree is made, that such interests of unborn persons are the interests of persons who upon coming into existence would be trustees within the meaning of this Act; and thereupon it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, as the case may be, to make such order or orders as to the estates, rights and interests of such persons, born or unborn, as the said Court or the said Lord Chancellor might under the provisions of this Act make concerning the estates, rights, and interests of trustees born or unborn.

(g) Orders under this section may be made in an action without any separate Order in the proceeding under the Act (Harrison v. Smith, 17 W. R. 646; Hall v. Hale, W. N. suit.

(1884), 185).

It has been held that no vesting order is necessary to bind equitable interests. Decree bindwhich are affected by a decree (Re Williams, 5 De G. & S. 515), unless the constructive trustee is out of the jurisdiction; see Lechmere v. Clamp, 31 Beav. 578.

The Court has a discretion to declare a constructive trust by order on petition under the Act without decree in a suit (Re Angelo, 5 De G. & Sm. 278, where a constructive

under the Act without accree in a suit the Anguer, o Dec. A Sin. 210, which constructive trustee for the person to whom the shares were sold by the mortgagee upon the petition of such purchaser without suit). If it is desired to have a vendor or mortgager declared a trustee for a purchaser within the section, such declarations decree. cannot be obtained without action, unless the contract is executed by payment of purchase-money, &c. (Re Cuning, 5 Ch. 72).

Thus the infant heir of an alleged vendor could not be declared a constructive

Thus the infant heir of an alleged vendor could not be declared a constructive trustee for the purchaser, where the contract concerned realty, until the rights had been ascertained by a suit (Re Carpenter, Kay, 418); see Re Weeding, 4 Jur. N. S. 707; Cust v. Middleton, 9 W. R. 242; Re Draper, 9 W. R. 805; Re Burt, 9 Hare, 289, where the Court refused, on application under the Act without suit, to declare the infant heir of a deceased partner, whose surviving partner had exercised a right of purchasing the partnership property given to him by the articles of partnership, a constructive trustee for the surviving partner. In Re Collinson, 3 De G. M. & G. 409, the Court would not make an order on petition, declaring a constructive for the father of property purchased in the son's pame though shortly son trustee for the father of property purchased in the son's name, though shortly after it made a decree to that effect in the suit; but compare Re De Visme, 2 De G. J. & S. 17.

constructive trust can be declared without

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, a. 30.

Vendor a constructive trustes.

But where a contract for purchase is executed, as, for example, where the purchase-money has been paid, the vendor or his heir will be declared a trustee under the Act without suit (Re Cuning, 5 Ch. 72, following Re Collingwood, 6 W. R. 536, there cited; Re Crowe, 13 Eq. 26; Re Taylor, W. N. (1866), 5). So, where the equitable estate was clearly in the petitioner (Re Wilkinson, 12 W. R. 522; 10 Jur. N. S. 716). So, where a testator directed his executors to sell lands and apply the money, and before his death contracted to sell the lands, the Court made an order vesting the estate outstanding in his heir in the executors (Re and apply the money, and herore his death contracted to sen the tanks, the court made an order vesting the estate outstanding in his heir in the executors (Re Badeock, 2 W. R. 386). So, where a vendor died hefore completion of a compulsory sale to a railway company, his heir was held a constructive trustee without bill filed (Re Russell, 12 Jur. N. S. 224; Re Lowry, 15 Eq. 78); and again, where lands purchased with the money of a railway company had been conveyed to two persons as tenants in common without any express declaration of trust, it being clearly proved that the lands were only held in trust, the Court treated the infant heir of the toronte in common without are a trusted the infant

heir of one of the tenants in common who died, as a trustee for the company within the Act (Re Brancker, V.-C. W., Jan. 14, 1859).

Where a decree was made for apecific performance of an agreement to grant a lease, and the defendant refused to obey the order, he was declared a trustee of the premises and a person was appointed to execute the lease in his place (Hall v. Hale, W. N. (1884), 185; but see Grace v. Baynton, W. N. (1877), 79; 25 W. R. 506).

As to what applications under the Acts must be made in Chambers, see Ord.

LV. r. 2 (8), infra.

Compare Lysaght v. Edwards, 2 Ch. D. 499; where the question how far a vendor, who dies before completion, is a trustee for the purchaser, is fully

considered.

One object of the section is said to have been to obviate the necessity of inserting, in decrees of foreclosure made against infants, a day for the infant to show cause against the decree; see as to this, Newbury v. Marten, 15 Jur. 166; Foster v. Parker, 8 Ch. D. 147; Mellor v. Porter, 25 Ch. D. 158. In Bowra v. Wright, 4 De G. & Sm. 265, which was a partition suit, the Court declared the infant a trustee of auch of the shares as were allotted to other parties. See now s. 7 of the Partition Act, 1868, post; Re Bloomar, 2 De G. & J. 88; Re Molyneux, 4 De G. F. & J. 365; 10 W. R. 512, where, on a decree for partition being made against a lunatic tenant in tail, declaring her a trustee of certain hereditaments, the committee declining to take any steps to complete the partition, a vesting order was made under this section and the Lunacy Regulation Act, 16 & 17 Vict. c. 70; Shepherd v. Churchill, 25 Beav. 21, where the shares of the parties to a partition suit were very minute and complicated, and the Court declared each of the parties trustees as to the shares allotted to the other of them, and vested the whole in a

Unborn persons.

Infant

infanta. Partition auita.

defendant. Decree of foreclosure against

> single trustee, with directions to convey to each of the parties their allotted chares; Orger v. Sparke, 9 W. R. 180; Hubbard v. Hubbard, 2 H. & M. 38.
>
> (h) As to the power of the Court to bind unborn persons, see Hargreaves v. Wright, 1 W. R. 408, where on a bill filed by purchasers from a father and son having a joint power of appointment under a settlement, against the infant heir in tail of the son, who had died hefore the completion of the purchase, the Court made an order discharging the estate from the contingent rights of the unborn elsiments under the actilement and appointing a person to convey in the place of take an other distribution of the claimants under the settlement, and appointing a person to convey in the place of the infant; cf. Wake v. Wake, 1 W. R. 283; 17 Jur. 745. The word "unborn" is used in a large sense, and includes the right heire of living persons who cannot be ascertained, and therefore cannot be made parties to a suit (Basnett v. Moxon, 20 Eq. 182; Lees v. Coulton, 20 Eq. 20).

Power to give direc-tions how the right to transfer stock is to be exercised.

XXXI. And be it enacted, that it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, to make declarations and give directions concerning the manner in which the right to any stock or chose in action vested under the provisions of this Act shall be exercised; and thereupon the person or persons in whom such right shall be vested shall be compellable to obey such directions and declarations by the same process as that by which other orders under this Act are enforced (i).

Stock ordered to be transferred into Court.

(i) The Court may order the person to whom a fund is paid, to pay it into Court under the Trustee Relief Act (Re Thornton, 9 W. R. 475; Re Draper, 9 W. R. 805); but an order for payment direct into Court will not he made (Re Parby, 29 L. T. (O. S.) 72); see, however, Re Pitt, 1 Jur. N. S. 1155, and Re Dawson, 3 N. R. 397, cited in note (m), post, p. 81.

XXXII. And be it enacted, that whenever it shall be expedient to 13 & 14 Vict. appoint a new trustee or new trustees (k) and it shall be found inexpedient, difficult, or impracticable so to do without the assistance of the Power to Court of Chancery (l), it shall be lawful for the said Court of Chancery order appointto make an order appointing a new trustee or new trustees either in ing new substitution for or in addition to any existing trustee or trustees (m).

c. 60, s. 32.

Court to make

(k) New trustees of a composition deed for the benefit of creditors were appointed New trustees in Re Price's Trust, 6 Eq. 460; Re Backe, W. N. (1868), 223; Re Raphael, 9 Eq. of composi-233; Re Thomson, 10 Ch. 55; and see Re Waddell, 2 Ch. D. 172; and new trustees tion deed. in place of those appointed under the Settled Estates Act (Scott v. Hersch, 24 W. R.

Appointments of new trustees of charities may be obtained under the Act; but Charities. such appointments are generally made on summons in chambers under the Charitable Trusts Acts, 1853, infra, p. 94; see Re Conyer's School, 10 Hare, App. v.; or in simple cases, and where the income is under 500, by application to the Charity Commissioners under the Act of 1860, and the property may be vested under sect. 45 of this Act, p. 86, post. For the County Court jurisdiction where the property is under 5000, see 28 & 29 Vict. c. 99, s. 1.

Applications for the appointment of new trustees of a charity should be intituled ander Sir S. Romilly's Act, 52 Geo. III. c. 101, as well as the Trustee Acts, and such other Act as may be applicable (Lewin, 723; Rc Rolle's Charities, 3 De G. M. & G. 153; Re Bierton, 10 Ha. App. xxxviii.; Re Gloucester Charities, 10 Ha. App. iii.). The fiat of the Attorney-General and the sanction of the Charity Commissioners should be obtained (Re Rolle's Charities; Re Warviok Charities, 1 Phil. 559; Re Lancaster Charities, 9 W. R. 192); unless the application is in a pending matter or action (Att.-Gen. v. Cooper, 8 Jur. N. S. 50; 10 W. R. 31; Re Jarvis, 1 Dr. & Sm. 97).

New trustees of an alien's will were appointed, the Crown not opposing (Re Mar-NEW tinez, W. N. (1870), 70); see Re Giraud, 32 Beav. 385; and see now 33 & 34 Vict. TRUSTEES.

c. 14, s. 2, enabling aliens to hold property.

(1) This section only provides machinery in cases where, on the face of the instrument, it appears difficult, impracticable, or inexpedient to act without the Court's not on petiaid; and does not give the Court jurisdiction on petition to consider the validity of tion enter the instrument or the conduct of trustees.

(I) The Court will not consider the question of validity (Re Matthews, 26 Beav. 463; 5 Jur. N. S. 184; Re Harrison, 22 L. J. Ch. 69); and see Att.-Gen. v. Ward, 6 Hare, 477, where the deed declaring the trusts had not been enrolled (as it should ments; have been), but the Court appointed new trustees, the old trustees admitting the

(1) Validity

into-

(2) The Court will not, on petition, appoint a new trustee on any ground not (2) Misconappearing on the face of the instrument; e.g., on the ground of the trustee's mis-conduct (Re Bridgman, 1 Dr. & Sm. 164; Legg v. Mackrell, 1 Giff. 165); or because trustees. of disagreements between the trustee and cestui que trust (Forster v. Davies, 4 De G. F. & J. 133); or because the donee of the power is about to exercise it corruptly (Re Hodson, 9 Hare, 118; Re Hadley, 5 De G. & Sm. 67).

Nor will the Court, on petition under the section, remove a trustee without or against his consent (Re Blanchard, 3 De G. F. & J. 131; 9 W. R. 647; Re Garty, 10 L. T. 331; Re Dennis, 12 W. R. 575). In such cases an action must be brought (Lewin, 882); but see Re Byrne, 18 L. T. 631, where a trustee showed by his conduct that he declined to act; Re Bignold, 7 Ch. 223, where he had gone to reside abroad.

The Court has considered it expedient to exercise its statutory powers of appointing Cases: (A) new trustees in the following cases:-

Where a vesting order could not otherwise have been obtained; thus, at the instance of the Bank of England, a fund which belonged to the Lords of the Regency of Hanover, who ceased to exist as a corporation on the annexation by Prussia, was transferred by the intervention of trustees appointed by the Court (King of Hanover v. Bank of England, 8 Eq. 350); but see note (p), p. 66, for vesting orders made when there was no one who had an existing estate or interest, and Re Driver and Re Rathbone there cited.

Where there was great difficulty in obtaining administration to a deceased Difficulty in trustee (Re Matthews, 26 Beav. 463; Davis v. Chanter, 6 W. R. 416).

Where one of two trustees for sale was an infant (Re Porter, 2 Jur. N. S. 349). to deceased

And the Court will generally appoint a new trustee in the place of an infant, even trustee; though appointed by the testator himself (Re Gartside, 1 W. R. 196); but the order infance. should be without prejudice to any application by the infant to be restored to the

where *expe*dient to appoint new trustees.

For transfer by Bank of England.

administering

u. 60, s. 32.

abroad;

13 & 14 Vict. trusteeship on coming of age (Re Shelmerdine, 33 L. J. Ch. 474; Re Brunt, W. N. (1883), 220).

For the practice where a trustee becomes lunatic, see note (a), p. 65; and see Re East, 8 Ch. 735.

residence

It has been held, that the mere fact of a trustee residing out of the jurisdiction to me been new, that the mere fact of a trustee residing out of the jurisdiction of the Court is not always a ground for holding it expedient to appoint another in his place (Re Mais, 16 Jur. 608; Re Moravian Society, 26 Beav. 101; Re Watts, 9 Hare, 106; Withington v. Withington, 16 Sim. 104, where a trustee who had gone to reside in China was held not to be incapable of acting); and see Re Blanchard, and other cases, supra. But see Mennard v. Welford, 1 Sm. & G. 426; Re Guibert, 16 Jur. 852; Re Stewart, 8 W. R. 297; Re Joyce, 2 Eq. 576; Re Bignold, 7 Ch. 223, which decide the contrary 223, which decide the contrary

age and infirmity; bankruptcy. So, where a trustee is incapable of acting by reason of age and infirmity, the Court considers it expedient to appoint (Re Lemann, 22 Ch. D. 633).

The mere fact of a trustee becoming bankrupt was not a sufficient ground for the Court under the Trustee Act to appoint a new trustee in his stead (Re Renshaw, 4 Court under the Trustee Act to appoint a new trustee in his stead (** Achshaw, 4 Ch. 783); but by the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 147 (re-enacting with only verbal alterations s. 117 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869), "where a bankrupt is a trustee within the Trustee Act, 1850, s. 32 of that Act shall have effect so as to authorise the appointment of a new trustee in substitution for the bankrupt (whether voluntarily resigning or not) if it appears expedient to do so, and all provisions of that Act, and of any other Act relative thereto shall have effect accordingly;" and the Court will now remove a haptenut trustee or a trustee who has liquideted by and the Court will now remove a bankrupt trustee or a trustee who has liquidated by arrangement whenever he has trust money to receive, or deal with, which he can misappropriate (Re Barker, 1 Ch. D. 43; Re Adams, 12 Ch. D. 634; and see Coombes

v. Brookes, 12 Eq. 61).
Where of three trustees one was dead, another had become bankrupt and absconded. and the third had become lunatic, new trustees were appointed, and a right to call for a transfer of the trust estate vested in them (Re Duce, 30 W. R. 759).

As to appointing a new trustee in place of a person convicted of felony, see "Trustee Extension Act, 1852," post, s. 8.

Cases where it is impracticable to appoint new trustees without the aid of the

Cases where it is impracticable to appoint new trustees without the aid of the Court arise where there is a power given by an instrument for appointment of new trustees, but either (1) the donee of the power is incapacitated by lunacy or other causes, or (2) the words of the power do not apply to the case which has arisen.

(1) Where the incapacity of the donee arises from lunacy, the application may be made under the Lunacy Regulation Act, 16 & 17 Vict. c. 70, for the committee to appoint, and therefore the Court will not appoint in the absence of the committee (Re Parker, 32 Beav. 580; Re Bowmer, 3 De G. & J. 658); hut a new trustee in place of a lunatic trustee, whether so found or not, may be appointed under the Chancery jurisdiction (Re Sparrow, 5 Ch. 662); see Re Boyce, 3 N. R. 396; 12 W. R. 359; Re Vickers, 3 Ch. D. 112; Re Heaphy, 18 W. R. 1070.

So, where the donee of a power to appoint new trustees was in India, new trustees were appointed under the Act (Re Humphry, 1 Jur. N. S. 921).

(2) For cases where the power given by the instrument did not meet the case which happened, see Lewin, 559 et seq.; Re Woodgate, 5 W. R. 448; Re Harrison, 22 L. J. Ch. 69 (where the power provided for the case of a trustee being incapable, unwilling, or unable, and the event was that a trustee went abroad); Cooper v. Macdonald, 14 W. R. 755; Travis v. Illingworth, 2 Dr. & Sm. 345; Re Dawson, 3 N. R. 397, where a trustee was unable to sign from ill health. See also Re Glenny and Hartley, 25 Ch. D. 611, and Re Norris, 27 Ch. D. 333, where Travis v. Illingworth is discussed. Illingworth is discussed.

Where the application is in consequence of a trustee refusing to act, the disclaimer may be made at the bar of the Court (Foster v. Dawber, 1 Dr. & Sm. 172; Re Barnes.

Many cases where formerly it would have been impracticable to act without the aid of the Court are now provided for by the Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 31, which contains extensive powers for the appointment of new trustees; see post, p. 117; and where the appointment can be thus effected it is improper to apply under the Trustee Acts (Re Gibbon, W. N. (1882), 12; 30 W. R. 287). The section was not intended, however, to vary the practice of the Court under the Trustee Act (Re

Discretion of the Court. Number of trustees appointed.

Aston, 23 Ch. D. 217).

(m) The Court exercises a discretion in appointing new trustees; and as to its general rules and principles in the selection, see Re Tempest, 1 Ch. 485. The Court generally appoints such number of trustees as is necessary to make up the original number: it will increase the number if it thinks fit (Re Tunstall, 4 De G. & S. 421; 15 Jur. 645; Re Boycott, 5 W. R. 15; Re Brackenbury, 10 Eq. 45; and see Viscountess D' Adhemar v. Bertrand, 35 Beav. 19), but will not diminish it except under special circumstances (Bulkeley v. Earl of Eglinton, 1 Jur. N. S. 994; Re

Felony.

(B) Where impracticable to appoint without Court's aid:

(1) Where donee of power lunatic. 16 & 17 Vict.

c. 70.

(2) Where power in instrument insufficient.

Where

trustees

disclaim.

Act, 1881.

Conveyancing

Colyer, 43 L. T. 454; W. N. (1880), 131). Where, however, all that remained to 13 & 14 Vict. be done was to distribute the trust fund, and there was a difficulty in finding c. 60, s. 32. persons willing to act as trustees, the Court appointed two trustees in the place of three (Re Marriott, W. N. (1868), 215; and see Re Watson, 19 Ch. D. 384). The Court has appointed the continuing trustees to be sole trustees in the place of the continuing and retiring trustees (Re Stokes, 13 Eq. 333; Re Harford, 13 Ch. D. 135; Re Tatham, W. N. (1877), 259; Re Crowe, 14 Ch. D. 610; Re Gibbin, W. N. (1880), 99; Re Shipperdson, W. N. (1880), 155; Re Northrop, W. N. (1880), 184; 29 W. R. 134); but this practice has been disapproved of, and in a recent case was not followed (Re Aston, 23 Ch. D. 217; and see Re Lamb, 28 Ch. D. 77; Re Nash, 16 Ch. D. 503; Re Colyer, W. N. (1880), 131; 43 L. T. 454). Where, however, the fund is immediately disciple there is no objection to this course being ever, the fund is immediately divisible, there is no objection to this course being taken (Re Martyn, 26 Ch. D. 745, where the right to deal with the trust funds was vested in two out of three trustees, the third having become a lunatic). The Court will not generally appoint a single trustee (Re Dickinson, 1 Jur. N. S. 724; Re Ellison, 2 Jur. N. S. 62; Re Porter, 2 Jur. N. S. 349; Re Roberts, 9 W. R. 758; Grant v. Grant, 34 L. J. Ch. 641; 6 N. R. 347), even where there was only one originally (Re Tunstall, 4 De G. & S. 421; 15 Jur. 645). It was done so, however, on an allegation that the trust was almost wound up (Re Reynault, 16 Jur. 233; Re Dickson, W. N. (1872), 223; S. C. nom. Re Dixon, 21 W. R. 220). And where, by the will, only one trustee was appointed, the Court appointed an additional trustee at the cost of the reversioners who presented the petition (Re Brackenbury,

10 Eq. 45). The Court has appointed new trustees of one or more specific trusts created by Trustees an instrument, and not of the entire instrument (Re Cotterill, W. N. (1869), 183; appointed of Re Dennis, 3 N. R. 636; 12 W. R. 575; Re Cunard, 27 W. R. 52; Re Grange, part of the W. N. (1881), 50).

The Court will not appoint one of the cestuis que trust to be a trustee, except perty.

trustee at the cost of the reversioners who presented the petition (Re Brackenbury,

under very special circumstances; as where no disinterested person can be found to accept the office (Ex parte Clutton, 17 Jur. 988; Re Conybeare, 1 W. R. 458; Re Roskell, Seton, 547; Re Clissold, 10 L. T. 642); or where the cestuis que trust are entitled to receive the fund (Re Currie, 10 Ch. D. 93; Re Dickson, W. N. (1872), 223; S. C. nom. Re Dixon, 21 W. R. 220). The Court is also unwilling to appoint a near relation of the cestuis que trust (Wilding v. Bolder, 21 Bear. 222; Re Hattatt, W. N. (1870), 14; 18 W. R. 416; Re Burgess, W. N. (1877), 87).

The Court refused to appoint a foreigner resident abroad (Re Guibert, 16 Jur. 852; Re Long, 17 W. R. 218); but where the beneficiaries are residing abroad, or there are other special circumstances the Court will appoint persons resident out of

there are other special circumstances, the Court will appoint persons resident out of the jurisdiction (Re Smith, W. N. (1872), 134; 20 W. R. 695; Re Hill, W. N. (1874), 228; Re Drewe, W. N. (1876), 168; Re Cunard, 27 W. R. 52; Re Liddiard, 14 Ch. D. 310). A feme sole may be appointed (Re Campbell, 31 Beav. 176; Re Berkley, 9 Ch. 720). Where no one else could be found, the husband of one of the beneficiaries was appointed, on his undertaking to appoint a new trustee to act with him if ever he became sole trustee (Re Parrott, W. N. (1881), 158; Re Lightbody, W. N. (1885), 3; and the petitioner's solicitor has been appointed where there was a difficulty in getting anybody else (Re Brentnall, W. N. (1872), 77); though as a rule such an appointment will not be made (Re Orde, 24 Ch. D. p. 272).

The Court requires a written consent by the new trustees to act, unless counsel Consent of consent on their behalf (Re Parke, 21 L. T. (O. S.) 218); but they need not appear new trustees. to consent (Re Draper, 2 W. R. 440). An affidavit of their fitness must be produced (Re Battersby, 16 Jur. 900; Re Tunstall, 4 De G. & S. 421; 15 Jur. 645, of fitness. 981). As a general rule, an affidavit of fitness by the solicitor is not enough (Grundy v. Buckcridge, 22 L. J. Ch. 1007; 17 Jur. 731; Re Hartley, W. N. (1879), 107)

Where parties interested objected to the trustees proposed to be appointed, and New trustee desired the property to be paid in under the Trustee Relief Act, the Court made to pay into an order vesting the property in the proposed trustees, on an undertaking by them Court to transfer it into Court within a month (Re Dawson, 3 N. R. 397, and see note (i),

p. 78, supra).

Since the passing of the Trustee Extension Act, sect. 9, post, p. 93, the Court Where there can act under this section, when there are no existing trustees, even though the are no existrustees all died in the testator's lifetime (Re Smirthwaite, 11 Eq. 251), but in such ing trustees. a case the heir must be served (Gunson v. Simpson, 5 Eq. 332). Trustees were appointed where the testator had appointed executors, but no trustees (Re Davis, 12 Eq. 214; Dodkin v. Brunt, 6 Eq. 580; and see Re Gillatt, 25 W. R. 23; Re Moore, McAlpine v. Moore, 21 Ch. D. 778). See also Paterson v. Paterson, 2 Eq. 31; 35 Rep. 506, where the trustees had disclaimed 35 Beav. 506, where the trustees had disclaimed.

pointed.

XXXIII. And be it enacted, that the person or persons who, upon The new

trustees to

u. 60, в. 33.

have the pewers of trustees appeinted by decree in suit.

13 & 14 Vict. the making of such order as last aforesaid, shall be trustee or trustees, shall have all the same rights and powers as he or they would have had if appointed by a decree in a suit duly instituted (n).

> (n) As to the powers of trustees appointed by the Court, see Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 33, infra.

Power of Court to vest lands in new trustees.

XXXIV. And be it enacted, that it shall be lawful for the said Court of Chancery (o), upon making any order for appointing a new trustee or new trustees (p), either by the same or by any subsequent order to direct that any lands (q) subject to the trust shall vest in the person or persons who upon the appointment shall be the trustee or trustees, for such estate as the Court shall direct (r); and such order shall have the same effect as if the person or persons who before such order were the trustee or trustees (if any) had duly executed all proper conveyances and assignments of such lands for such estates.

Vesting lands under decree.

(c) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34). (p) A vesting order was made under this section, where trustees previously appointed by the parties were reappointed by the Court (Re Clay, W. N. (1873),

Where a mortgagee's executors had, by decree, been ordered to transfer the mortgage debt to the trustees of a settlement, it was held that this was an appointment of new trustees by the Court, and that a vesting order of the mortgaged property might be made under this section (*Re Hughes*, 2 H. & M. 695).

(a) Under this section "lands" include leasehelds; see note (b) to s. 2, ante, p. 64. Where there was no legal personal representative of the surviving trustee, the Court made a vesting order as to leasehelds (Re Bathbase 2 Ch. D. 483: Re.

the Court made a vesting order as to leaseholds (Re Rathbone, 2 Ch. D. 483; Re Dalgleish, 4 Ch. D. 143).

(r) Before the Court will under this section make an order devesting the estate out of a trustee declining to act, it seems to have been thought by V.-C. Wood that the trustee so declining must execute a deed of disclaimer; as an executor, even though he may not have preved the will, must finally reneunce before an order will be made to vest an estate in his co-executer (Re Badoock, 2 W. R. 386); a parol disclaimer, on the hearing of the petition, net being sufficient (Re Ellison, 2 Jur. N. S. 62). But this dictum has been deubted by other judges (Foster v. 2 Jur. N. S. 62). But th Dawber, 1 Dr. & Sm. 172).

For a form of vesting order under this section, see Seton, 539; Hancox v. Spittle, 3 Sm. & G. 478; Re Ellis, 24 Beav. 426, where the Court directed the circumstances bringing the case within the Act to be inserted in the order. See, tee, Re Mainwaring, 26 Beav. 172, from which it seems that where the Bank is required to transfer steck, this should always be done.

The Court may make an order vesting the estate which is outstanding in the old and continuing trustees in the new and continuing trustees as joint tenants (see note (g) to sect. 10), and for the cases on the form, &c., of vesting orders under the Act, see note (p), p. 65, ante.

XXXV. And be it enacted, that it shall be lawful for the said Court of Chancery upon making any order for appointing a new trustee or new trustees, either by the same or any subsequent order to vest the right to call for a transfer (s) of any stock subject to the trust, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover any chose in action, subject to the trust, or any interest in respect thereof, in the person or persons who upon the appointment shall be the trustee or trustees.

Whether a declining trustee must execute deed of disclaimer.

Form of order.

Vesting in new and eld trustees as joint tenants

⁽s) This section only empowers the Court to vest the right "to call for a transfer" of stock (Re Smyth, 4 De G. & S. 499; 15 Jur. 644); under s. 6 of the Extension Act the right to the stock itself is vested.

XXXVI. And be it enacted, that any such appointment by the 13 & 14 Vict. Court of new trustees, and any such conveyance, assignment, or transfer as aforesaid, shall operate no further or otherwise as a dis-Old trustees charge to any former or continuing trustee than an appointment of charged from new trustees under any power for that purpose contained in any liability. instrument would have done.

XXXVII. And be it enacted, that an order under any of the here- Who may inbefore contained provisions, for the appointment of a new trustee or trustees, or concerning any lands, stock, or chose in action subject to a trust, may be made upon the application of any person beneficially interested (t) in such lands, stock, or chose in action, whether under disability or not, or upon the application of any person duly appointed as a trustee thereof; and that an order under any of the provisions hereinbefore contained concerning any lands, stock, or chose in action subject to a mortgage may be made on the application of any person beneficially interested in the equity of redemption, whether under disability or not, or of any person interested in the monies secured by such mortgage (u).

(t) A person having a contingent interest in real estate (Re Sheppard, 4 De G. Who are F. & J. 423; 9 Jur. N. S. 59, overruling S. C. 8 Jur. N. S. 711; 10 W. R. 704), a persons creditor who has obtained a decree for administration and sale of real estate (Re beneficially

ereditor who has obtained a decree for administration and sale of real estate (Re beneficially Wragg, 1 De G. J. & S. 356), and a purchaser who has paid his purchase-money interested into Court (Ayles v. Cox, 17 Beav. 584), are "persons beneficially interested" within the meaning of the section; but the committee of a lunatic cestui que trust is not such a person (Re Bourke, 2 De G. J. & S. 426).

(u) As a general rule, all persons beneficially interested in the property, or parties to the suit, where the application is made in a suit, must join in the application concur. or be served (Re Richards, 5 De G. & S. 636; Re Fellows, 2 Jur. N. S. 62; Re Sloper, 18 Beav. 596). Where, however, the cestuis que trust are very numerous, or some other sufficient reason why they should not all be served is shown by affidavit, service on some of them may be dispensed with (Re Smyth, 2 De G. & S. 781; Re Sharpley, 1 W. R. 271; Re Blanchard, 3 De G. F. & J. 131; Re Lightbody, W. N. (1885), 3. If the application is for the appointment of new trustees and there are old trustees who

the application is for the appointment of new trustees and there are old trustees who are desirous of retiring they must be served or join as co-petitioners (Re Sloper, 18 Beav. 596), unless they are of unsound mind (Re East, 8 Ch. 735; Re Green, 10 Ch. 272), or permanently residing abroad (Re Bignold, 7 Ch. 223); or have absconded (Hyde v. Benbow, W. N. (1884), 117). Where all the trustees of a will have died in the testator's lifetime, a petition for the appointment of new trustees of the real vertex and a vertice and contact and the second on the heir (Re Switchesite 14). estate and a vesting order should be served on the heir (Re Smirthwaite, 11 Eq. 251).

The husband of a married woman petitioner need not now be a co-petitioner or respondent (Re Outwin, 31 W. R. 374; and see Married Women's Property Act,

1882, infra).

[Sects. 38 and 39 were repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1875.]

XL. And be it enacted, that any person or persons entitled in Power to premanner aforesaid to apply for an order from the said Court of Chan- sent petition in the first cery (v), or from the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, may, instance. should he so think fit, present a petition (w) in the first instance to the Court of Chancery, or the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, for such order as he may deem himself entitled to, and may give evidence (x) by affidavit or otherwise in support of such petition before the said Court, or the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, and may serve (y) such person or persons with notice of such petition as he may deem entitled to service thereof.

⁽v) Now the Chancery Division (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34 (2)).

13 & 14 Viet. c. 60, s. 40.

(w) Where there has been a judgment or order for the sale, conveyance or transfer of stock, or of any hereditaments, the application is made in chambers (Ord. LV. r. 2 (8), infra). If the application is unnecessarily made by petition the extra costs will be ordered to be paid by the petitioner (Clark v. Ward, 14 W. R.

Evidence.

(x) In Re Pickance, 10 Hare, App. xxxv., the Court allowed affidavits filed in a cause to be used as evidence on a petition in the matter of the Acts; and as to evidence in support of a petition under this Act, see Re Hoskins, 4 De G. & J. 436, where on a petition for appointing new trustees of a will containing gifts to classes, an affidavit of the solicitor was received as sufficient evidence of the persons con-

Service on estuis que rust and rustees.

Service of

petition by enant for ife;

y lunatic, y bankrupt, n incumrancers.

an andavit of the control was received as stituting the classes without the production of baptismal and other certificates.

(y) The general rule is, that all the cestuis que trust should be served, including infants (Re Fellows, 2 Jur. N. S. 62). In cases of retiring trustees, the old trustees also (Re Sloper, 18 Beav. 596) should be served; and see Ex parte Hardman, 3 M. D.

also (As Stoper, 18 Beav. 350) should be served; and see Expure Haraman, 3 M. D. & De G. 559, where the cestuis que trust were abroad; Re Richards, 5 De G. & Sm. 636; Re Lightbody, W. N. (1885), 3.

But service on all the cestuis que trust, where they are very numerous, may be dispensed with (Re Sharpley, 1 W. R. 271; Re Smyth, 2 De G. & Sm. 781), and trustees with a power of sale sufficiently represent their cestuis que trust (Re Rlanchard, 3 De G. F. & J. 131).

Sorvice were infert reproducts was dispensed with in Re Trucedy, 9 W. R. 398.

Service upon infant respondents was dispensed with in Re Tweedy, 9 W. R. 398; Re Willan, ibid. 689; Re Wise, 5 De G. & Sm. 415; Re Little, 7 Eq. 323. A trustee refusing to transfer need not be served with a petition under the 23rd

and 24th sections; see note (u), p. 74, ante.

The Court will not make a vesting order on petition of a lessee or tenant for life The Court will not make a vesting order on petition of a lessee or tenant for life without service on the remaindermen (Re Farrant, 20 L. J. Ch. 532; Re Maynard, 16 Jur. 1084); but eee Re Pryse, 10 Eq. 531. Where the lesse contained no clause prohibiting assignment, an order vesting leaseholds was made in the absence of the lessor (Re Matthews, 2 W. R. 85). A petition as to lands vested in a lunatic trustee must be served upon the committee (Re Saumarez, 8 De G. M. & G. 390; Re Wylde, 5 De G. M. & G. 25); and see Re Wood, 3 De G. F. & J. 125; Re Parker, 32 Beav. 580; Re East, 8 Ch. 735; as to service of a petition to appoint new trustees in the place of a bankrupt upon the trustee, see Ex parte Carden, 12 Jur. 391, and on the bankrupt himself, see Ex parte Whitley, 1 Dea. 478; Ex parte Harris, 11 L. J. Bkoy. 16. An order to vest lands subject to an annuity may be made in the absence of the annuitant (Re Winteringham, 3 W. R. 578); eee Ex parte Marshall, 3 De G. & Sm. 679. 3 De G. & Sm. 679.

See further as to services, the note on costs, note (k) to sect. 51, infra, p. 87.

What may be lone upon etition.

XLI. And be it enacted, that upon the hearing of any such motion or petition it shall be lawful for the said Court or for the said Lord Chancellor, should it be deemed necessary to direct a reference to one of the Masters in Ordinary of the Court of Chancery to inquire into any facts which require such an investigation, or it shall be lawful for the said Court or for the said Lord Chancellor to direct such motion or petition to stand over to enable the petitioner or petitioners to adduce evidence or further evidence before the said Court or before the said Lord Chancellor, or to enable notice or any further notice of such motion or petition to be served upon any person or persons (z).

(z) The words in italics were repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1875.

Court may ismiss peti-ion with or rithout costs.

XLII. And be it enacted, that upon the hearing of any such motion or petition, whether any certificate or report from a Master shall have been obtained or not, it shall be lawful for the Court, or the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, to dismiss such motion or petition. with or without costs, or to make an order thereupon in conformity with the provisions of this Act (a).

(a) The words in italics were repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1875.

XLIII. And be it enacted, that whensoever, in any cause or matter, 13 & 14 Vict. either by the evidence adduced therein, or by the admission of the c. 60, s. 43. parties, or by a report of one of the Masters of the Court of Chancery, Power to the facts necessary for an order under this Act shall appear to such make an order in a Court to be sufficiently proved, it shall be lawful for the said Court, cause. either upon the hearing of the said cause or of any petition or motion in the said cause or matter, to make such order under this Act (b).

(b) See as to this section, Frodsham v. Frodsham, 15 Ch. D. 317. Generally, an Orders under order may he made in a cause without a petition (Wood v. Beetlestone, 1 K. & J. Act made in 213; Viscountess D'Adhemar v. Bertrand, 35 Beav. 19); but where the trustee is a cause. lunatic, a petition in lunacy is requisite; see notes (m) and (o) to sect. 3, p. 65;

Jeffryes v. Drysdale, 9 W. R. 428; Re Dawson, 6 N. R. 346.

Vesting orders in a suit were made on motion in Mackenzie v. Mackenzie, Seton,

Vesting orders in a suit were made on motion in Mackenzie v. Mackenzie, Seton, 525, where a previous order appointing new trustees had been made in a cause; and see Re Holbrook's Will, 8 W. R. 3, where such order had been made on petition in a matter, and a subsequent vesting order was made on motion; see, too, Skynner v. Pelichet, 9 W. R. 191; and Fisher v. Hughes, 25 W. R. 528, where an order was made on motion for judgment in default of pleading.

The petition, though presented in a suit, should be intituled in the Act (Gough Petition, how v. Bage, W. N. (1871), 237; 25 L. T. 738; Re Law, 4 Beav. 509; Huntley v. Clutterbuck, W. N. (1872), 81); but see Seton, p. 544.

As to amending an order, see Re Clinton, Jackson v. Slaney, W. N. (1882), 176; Amendment and Re Sarage, 15 Ch. D. 557, where the names of some of the co-petitioners had of order. been used without their authority.

been used without their authority.

XLIV. And be it enacted, that whenever any order shall be made Orders made under this Act, either by the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, of Chancery, or by the Court of Chancery, for the purpose of conveying or assign-founded on ing any lands, or for the purpose of releasing or disposing of any certain allecontingent right, and such order shall be founded on an allegation of conclusive the personal incapacity of a trustee or mortgagee, or on an allegation evidence of the task of the trustee or mortgagee. that a trustee or the heir or devisee of a mortgagee is out of the juris-contained in diction of the Court of Chancery, or cannot be found, or that it is such alleuncertain which of several trustees, or which of several devisees of a mortgagee, was the survivor, or whether the last trustee, or the heir or last surviving devisee of a mortgagee, be living or dead, or on an allegation that any trustee or mortgagee has died intestate without an heir, or has died and it is not known who is his heir or devisee, then in any of such cases the fact that the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, has made an order upon such an Order to be allegation, shall be conclusive evidence (c) of the matter so alleged in conclusive any court of law or equity upon any question as to the legal validity facts on of the order: Provided always, that nothing herein contained shall which it was prevent the Court of Chancery directing a re-conveyance or re-assignment of any lands conveyed or assigned by any order under this Act, or a re-disposition of any contingent right conveyed or disposed of by such order; and it shall be lawful for the said Court to direct any of the parties to any suit concerning such lands or contingent right to pay any costs occasioned by the order under this Act, when the same shall appear to have been improperly obtained.

⁽c) See Collinson v. Collinson, 3 De G. M. & G. 409, 414.

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 45.

Trustees of charities.

XLV. And be it enacted, that it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery, to exercise the powers herein conferred, for the purpose of vesting any lands, stock, or chose in action in the trustee or trustees of any charity (d) or society, over which charity or society the said Court of Chancery would have jurisdiction upon suit duly instituted, whether such trustee or trustees shall have been duly appointed by any power contained in any deed or instrument, or by the decree of the said Court of Chancery, or by order made upon a petition to the said Court under any statute authorising the said Court to make an order to that effect in a summary way upon petition.

Vesting order on appointment of new charity trustees. (d) New trustees of a charity having heen appointed under the 16 & 17 Vict. c. 137, s. 28, a vesting order under this section was made in chambers (Re Davenport's Charity, 4 De G. M. & G. 839); and see Re Lincoln Chapel, 1 Jur. N. S. 1011; 3 W. R. 608.

No escheat of property held upon trust or mortgage. XLVI. And be it enacted, that no lands, stock, or chose in action vested in any person upon any trust or by way of mortgage, or any profits thereof, shall escheat or be forfeited to her Majesty, her heirs or successors, or to any corporation, lord or lady of a manor, or other person, by reason of the attainder or conviction for any offence of such trustee or mortgagee, but shall remain in such trustee or mortgagee, or survive to his or her co-trustee, or descend or vest in his or her representative, as if no such attainder or conviction had taken place (e).

Rights of Crown to escheat. (e) This section is taken from 4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 23, s. 3. See now 33 & 34 Vict. c. 23; and as to the present law of escheat, see the Intestates Estates Act, 1884.

Where an illegitimate mortgagee in fee devised her real and personal estate to a trustee upon certain trusts and died without issue, the Court, the Crown offering no opposition, vested the legal estate in a purchaser, the mortgage-money having heen paid off (Re Minchin, 2 W. R. 179). From a case of Bartlett v. Bartlett, however (cited in Ince's Trustee Acts, 2nd ed. p. 91), it would seem that where an illegitimate testator devises estates to which he is beneficially entitled to a trustee who predeceases him and dies without issue, a vesting order cannot be made so as to defeat the rights of the Crown.

Trustee felons.

By sect. 8 of the Extension Act, p. 92, post, the Court has power to appoint new trustees in lieu of persons convicted of felony.

Act not to prevent escheat or forfsiture of heneficial interest. XLVII. And be it enacted, that nothing contained in this Act shall prevent the escheat or forfeiture of any lands or personal estate vested in any such trustee or mortgagee, so far as relates to any beneficial interest therein of any such trustee or mortgagee, but such lands or personal estate, so far as relates to any such beneficial interest, shall be recoverable in the same manner as if this Act had not passed (f).

(f) This section is taken from 4 & 5 Will. 4, c. 23, s. 5. See now 33 & 34 Vict. c. 23; and as to escheat, the Intestates Estates Act, 1884.

Money of infants and persons of unsound mind to be paid into Court.

XLVIII. And be it enacted, that where any infant or person of unsound mind shall be entitled to any money payable in discharge of any lands, stock, or chose in action conveyed, assigned, or transferred under this Act, it shall be lawful for the person by whom such money is payable to pay the same into the Bank of England, in the name and with the privity of the Accountant-General (g), in trust in any

cause then depending concerning such money, or, if there shall be no 13 & 14 Vict. such cause, to the credit of such infant or person of unsound mind, subject to the order or disposition of the said Court; and it shall be lawful for the said Court, upon petition in a summary way, to order any money so paid to be invested in the public funds, and to order payment or distribution thereof, or payment of the dividends thereof, as to the said Court shall seem reasonable; and every cashier of the Bank of England who shall receive any such money is hereby required to give to the person paying the same a receipt for such money, and such receipt shall be an effectual discharge for the money therein respectively expressed to have been received (h).

- (g) The payment is now made to the account of the Paymaster-General.
 (h) See Re Sparks, 6 Ch. D. 361.

XLIX. And be it enacted, that where in any suit commenced or to Court may be commenced in the Court of Chancery, it shall be made to appear to make a decres in the absence the Court by affidavit that diligent search and inquiry has been made of a trustee. after any person made a defendant, who is only a trustee, to serve him with the process of the Court, and that he cannot be found, it shall be lawful for the said Court to hear and determine such cause, and to make such absolute decree therein against every person who shall appear to them to be only a trustee, and not otherwise concerned in interest in the matter in question, in such and the same manner as if such trustee had been duly served with the process of the Court, and had appeared and filed his answer thereto, and had also appeared by his counsel and solicitor at the hearing of such cause (i): Provided always, that no such decree shall bind, affect, or in any wise prejudice any person against whom the same shall be made, without service of process upon him as aforesaid, his heirs, executors, or administrators, for or in respect of any estate, right, or interest which such person shall have at the time of making such decree for his own use or benefit, or otherwise than as a trustee as aforesaid.

(i) The Court under this section ordered a cause to be certified as fit for hearing though a defendant trustee could not be found, and had not appeared (Westhead v. Sale, 6 W. R. 52; Burrell v. Maxwell, 25 L. T. 655).

[Sect. 50 was repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1875.]

LI. And be it enacted, that the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as afore- Costs may be said, and the Court of Chancery, may order the costs and expenses of the estate. and relating to the petitions, orders, directions, conveyances, assignments, and transfers to be made in pursuance of this Act, or any of them, to be paid and raised out of or from the lands or personal estate, or the rents or produce thereof, in respect of which the same respectively shall be made, or in such manner as the said Lord Chancellor or Court shall think proper (k).

(k) When a bill was filed for the appointment of a new trustes in a case where a petition might have been presented, the plaintiff was held to be liable for the additional costs (*Thomas* v. *Walker*, 18 Beav. 521).

The costs of applications in the matter of a trust, whether occasioned by the

Costs of cause instituted unnecessarily. applications.

13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 51.

Charged on trust property if for benefit of trust property.

Costs of

vesting order-

Costs of

(1) As between vendor's estate and purchaser; (2) as between mortgagee's estate and mortgagor redeeming. mortgagee

lunatic.

Costs of respondent trustees in case of misconduct. lunacy (Re Fulham, 15 Jur. 69), infancy (Ex parte Cant, 10 Ves. 554), or bankruptcy (Ex parte Painter, 2 Deac. & Ch. 584), of a trustee, must be borne by the cestui que trust or the trust estate, according as the application is for the benefit of the applicant solely, or generally for the benefit of the estate; see Carter v. Sebright, 26 Beav. 374; Re Brackenbury, 10 Eq. 45; Re Fellows, 2 Jur. N. S. 62. In Ex parte Davies, 16 Jur. 882, V.-C. Parker, upon appointing a new trustee and making a vesting order under the Act, by consent ordered the new trustee to pay the costs of the proceedings, and directed that such costs, with interest thereon at 4l, per cent. should form a charge on the inheritance; and see Re Crabtree, 14 W. R. 497, where costs were directed to be raised by mortgage to be settled by the Court. Where new trustees of two funds were appointed, the costs were borne rateably (Re Grant, 2 J. & H. 764). As to costs where two petitions are presented, see Re Pring, 42 L. J. Ch. 473; 28 L. T. 467.

When a trustee is served and appears on an application for appointment of a new trustee, he will, except in case of misconduct (see Ex parte Harris, 11 L. J. Bkcy. 16), be allowed his costs; see Turner v. Mullineux, 9 W. R. 252; 3 L. T. 687, where trustee.

trustee, he will, except in case of misconduct (see Ex parte Harris, 11 L. J. Bkcy. 16), be allowed his costs; see Turner v. Mullineux, 9 W. R. 252; 3 L. T. 687, where costs as between solicitor and client were given to a bankrupt trustee. For cases where it was held that infant respondents need not be served, see p. 84.

The costs of an application for a vesting order as between vendor and purchaser, ccasioned by the vendor leaving an infant heir or devisee, must be borne by the vendor's estate (Heard v. Cuthbert, 1 Ir. Ch. Rep. 369; Bradley v. Munton, 16 Beav. 294; Ayles v. Cox, 17 Beav. 584 (where the sale was under a decree); Re South Wales Ry. Co., 14 Beav. 418); see, however, Re Nash, 4 W. R. 111; Re Liverpool Improvement Act, 5 Eq. 282.

The costs of an application for a vesting order as between a mortgagor paying off The costs of an application for a vesting order as between a mortgager paying off the mortgage debt and the mortgagee, occasioned by the mortgagee leaving an hair who cannot be found, or is lunatic, or a bare trustee who is lunatic (Re Lewes, I M. & G. 435), are payable by the mortgager (Re Marrow, Cr. & Ph. 142; Ex parte Ommaney, 10 Sim. 298; King v. Smith, 6 Hare, 473; Re Jones, 2 De G. F. & J. 554; Re Stuart, 4 De G. & J. 317); but if the application was occasioned by the mortgagee himself becoming lunatic, it should be made by the committee of the lunatic's estate, and the committee will pay the costs out of the lunatic's estate (Re Rowley, 1 N. R. 251; 1 De G. J. & S. 417; Re Viall, 8 De G. M. & G. 439; Re Wheeler, 1 De G. M. & G. 435; Ex parte Richards, 1 J. & W. 264; Re Townsend, 2 Ph. 348; Re Thomas, 22 L. J. Ch. 858; Re Jones, 2 Ch. D. 70). The mortgager, if he appears, will not get his costs (Re Phillips, 4 Ch. 629). If, however, the lunatic mortgagee appears on the face of the mortgage-deed to be only a trustee, the trust estate pays the costs (Re Jones; and see Re Lewes, 1 M. & G. 23; Re Townsend, ibid. 686). And if the mortgagor makes the application in a case where the committee of the lunatic if the mortgager makes the application in a case where the committee of the lunatio

It the mortgagor makes the application in a case where the committee of the lunation has not declined to act, he must bear the costs (Re Wheeler, 1 De G. M. & G. 435). In Re Sparks, 6 Ch. D. 361; 25 W. R. 869, it was held that the Court has no jurisdiction to order the costs of a vesting order occasioned by the lunacy of the mortgagee to be paid out of the mortgage debt, and that each party must bear his own costs; this case overrules Re Biddle, 23 L. J. Ch. 23.

It was held in Re Primrose, 23 Beav. 590, that the Court has no jurisdiction under the Act to order a respondent to pay the costs of an application occasioned by his own misconduct; and it seems very doubtful whether the Court has any such jurisdiction even now notwithstanding the Lud Acts and Ord LXV r. 1

such jurisdiction even now, notwithstanding the Jud. Acts and Ord. LXV. r. 1, R. S. C. 1883 (Re Sarah Knight, 26 Ch. D. 82); but see the remarks on Re Primrose in Re Woodburn, 1 De G. & J. 346; and see also Re Adams, 12 Ch. D. 634; Re Wiseman, 18 W. R. 574; Re Wills, 12 W. R. 97; 9 Jur. N. S. 1225. The costs of Wisenam, 18 W. K. 9/4; Ke Wiss, 12 W. K. 9/; 9 Jur. N. S. 1229. The costs of appointing new trustees were ordered in a suit to be paid by trustees who improperly refused to retire; see Legg v. Mackrell, 2 De G. F. & J. 551; Attorney-General v. Murdoch, 2 K. & J. 571; Grierson v. Astle, 3 L. T. 288; King v. King, 1 De G. & J. 663; Palairet v. Carew, 32 Beav. 564; Re Wiseman, 18 W. R. 574. But the Court can dismiss a petition with costs (sect. 42), and will do so where the petition is needlessly presented (Re Gibbon, 30 W. R. 287; W. N. (1882), 12; Re Oakden, 26 Sol. J. 563). In Richardson v. Grubb, 16 W. R. 176 (Ireland), it was decided that failing health where the trusts were of a formal character only was not a sufficient failing health, where the trusts were of a formal character only, was not a sufficient reason for a petition asking that they might be discharged, and the petitioner was disallowed his costs. Where a trustee on a petition to appoint new trustees disclaims at the bar he will only be allowed costs as between party and party (Bulkeley v. Earl of Eglinton, 1 Jur. N. S. 994; and see Norway v. Norway, 2 M. & K. 278, overruling Sherratt v. Bentley, 1 R. & M. 655; and Legg v. Mackrell, ante).

LII. And be it enacted, that upon any petition being presented under this Act to the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, concerning a person of unsound mind, it shall be lawful for the said Lord

Commission concerning person of unsound mind.

Chancellor, should he so think fit, to direct that a commission in the 13 & 14 Vict. nature of a writ de lunatico inquirendo shall issue concerning such person, and to postpone making any order upon such petition until a return shall have been made to such commission.

LIII. And be it enacted, that upon any petition under this Act Suit may be being presented to the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or to directed. the Court of Chancery, it shall be lawful for the said Lord Chancellor or the said Court of Chancery to postpone making any order upon such petition until the right of the petitioner or petitioners shall have been declared in a suit duly instituted for that purpose (1).

(i) This section was acted on in Collinson v. Collinson, 3 De G. M. & G. 409; Re Cases where Burt, 9 Hare, 289; Re Carpenter, Kay, 418; Carpenter v. Lord Churchill, 2 W. R. suit directed. 364; Re Weeding, 4 Jur. N. S. 707.

LIV. And be it enacted, that the powers and authorities given by Powers of this Act to the Court of Chancery in England shall extend to all lands Court of and personal estate within the dominions, plantations, and colonies extend to belonging to her Majesty (except Scotland) (m).

the colonies.

(m) Under this section a vesting order was made as to lands in Canada (Re Lands in Schofield, 24 L. T. (O. S.) 322; Re Groom, 11 L. T. 336). As to lands in Ireland, Canada. see Re Davies, 3 Mac. & G. 278, where the Lord Chancellor held that an order could Ireland not be made to vest such estates in a trustee appointed in the place of a lunatic trustee (see post, ss. 55, 56, 57). But when the vestui que trust was in England, and the surviving trustee in Ireland, V.-C. Kindersley made an order vesting lands situate in Ireland in a new trustee appointed by the Court (Re Hewitt, 6 W. R. 537); and in Re Lamotte, 4 Ch. D. 325, an order was made vesting land in Ireland. See also Re Taitt, W. N. (1870), 257.

Ireland.

LV. And be it enacted, that the powers and authorities given by Powers given this Act to the Court of Chancery in England shall and may be to Court of Chancery exercised in like manner and are hereby given and extended to the may be Court of Chancery in Ireland with respect to all lands and personal exercised by estate in Ireland.

that Court in

LVI. And be it enacted, that the powers and authorities given by Powers this Act to the Lord Chancellor of Great Britain, intrusted as afore- of Lord Chancellor said, shall extend to all lands and personal estate within any of the in Lunacy dominions, plantations, and colonies belonging to her Majesty (except to extend to Scotland and Ireland) (n).

property in the colonies.

(n) Where one of two trustees of Irish railway stock became of unsound mind, an order was made appointing a person new trustee of the stock in his place, and directing such person to concur with the other trustee in transferring the stock (Re Hodgson, 11 Ch. D. 888).

LVII. And be it enacted, that the powers and authorities given by Powers this Act to the Lord Chancellor of Great Britain, intrusted as afore- of Lord said, shall and may be exercised in like manner by and are hereby in Lunacy given to the Lord Chancellor of Ireland, intrusted as aforesaid, with may be respect to all lands and personal estate in Ireland.

exercised

LVIII. And be it enacted, that in citing this Act in other Acts of Chancellor Parliament, and in legal instruments and in legal proceedings, it shall Short title. be sufficient to use the expression "The Trustee Act, 1850."

Sections 59 and 60 are repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1875.

15 & 16 Vict. c. 55.

TRUSTEE EXTENSION ACT, 1852.

15 & 16 VICT. CAP. 55.

An Act to extend the provisions of the "Trustee Act, 1850." [30th June, 1852.]

WHEREAS it is expedient to extend the provisions of the Trustee Act, 1850: Be it therefore enacted, &c.:

Court of Chancery may make an order for vesting the estate, in lieu of conveyance by a party to the suit after a decree or order for sale,

I. That when any decree or order (a) shall have been made by any Court of Equity directing the sale of any lands for any purpose whatever (b), every person seised or possessed of such land, or entitled to a contingent right therein, being a party to the suit or proceeding in which such decree or order shall have been made, and bound thereby or being otherwise bound by such decree or order, shall be deemed to be so seised or possessed or entitled (as the case may be) upon a trust within the meaning of the Trustee Act, 1850; and in every such case it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery (c), if the said Court shall think it expedient for the purpose of carrying such sale into effect, to make an order vesting such lands or any part thereof, for such estate as the Court shall think fit, either in any purchaser or in such other person as the Court shall direct; and every such order shall have the same effect as if such person so seised or possessed or entitled had been free from all disability, and had duly executed all proper conveyances and assignments of such lands for such estate (d).

Section retrospective. (a) This section applies retrospectively to a decree made before the passing of the Act (Wake v. Wake, 17 Jur. 545).

(b) This removes the difficulty which arose in Weston v. Filer, 5 De G. & S. 608;

(b) This removes the difficulty which arose in Weston v. Filer, 5 De G. & S. 608; the 29th section of the Trustee Act, 1850, only applied to a sale for payment of debts.

(c) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, s. 34).

(a) The order was made in the suit without a petition where one of the vendors was a lunatic (Harrison v. Smith, 17 W. R. 646). The section applies to sales under the Partition Acts, 1868 and 1876, and is not limited to cases of persons under disability (Beckett v. Sutton, 19 Ch. D. 646). The application under this section is by summons (Ord. LV. r. 2 (8), infra).

Power to make an order for vesting the estate, on refusal or neglect of a trustee to convey or release. II. That sections numbered 17 and 18 in the Queen's Printer's copy of the Trustee Act, 1850, be repealed; and in every case where any person is or shall be jointly or solely seised or possessed of any lands or entitled to a contingent right therein upon any trust, and a demand shall have been made upon such trustee by a person entitled to require a conveyance or assignment of such lands, or a duly authorised agent of such last-mentioned person, requiring such trustee to convey or assign the same, or to release such contingent right, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery, if the said Court shall be satisfied that such trustee has wilfully refused or neglected to convey or assign the said lands for the space of twenty-eight days after such demand, to make an order vesting such lands in such person in such manner and for such estate as the Court shall direct; or releasing such

contingent right in such manner as the Court shall direct; and the 15 & 16 Vict. said order shall have the same effect as if the trustee had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of the lands, or a release of such right, in the same manner and for the same estate (e).

(s) See sect. 23 of the Act of 1850, p. 73, ante, and notes. The refusing trustee need need not be served (Re Crowe, 13 Eq. 26).

A vesting order was made under this section to defeat an attempt at extortion on Vesting the part of the trustee (Re O' Donnell, 19 W. R. 522); and see Knight v. Knight, 14 orders.

L. T. 161; W. N. (1866), 114; Re Grayson, W. N. (1879), 52; 27 W. R. 534.

The section was acted on where a mortgagor of copyholds who had covenanted to surrender neglected to do so (Re Crowe, 13 Eq. 26).

III. That when any infant shall be solely entitled to any stock upon Power to any trust, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an make an order order vesting in any person or persons the right to transfer such stock, for the transfer or receipt or to receive the dividends or income thereof; and when any infant of dividends shall be entitled jointly with any other person or persons to any stock of stock in name of an upon, any trust, it shall be lawful for the said Court to make an order infant vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or trustee. income thereof, either in the person or persons jointly entitled with the infant, or in him or them together with any other person or persons the said Court may appoint (f).

not be served. Mortgagor of copy holds

refusing to

surrender.

for the trans-

(f) This section was passed to meet the case of Cramer v. Cramer, 5 De G. & S. 312; 16 Jur. 831. For cases under the section, see Sanders v. Homer, 25 Beav. 467; 6 W. R. 476; Devoy v. Devoy, 3 Sm. & G. 403; 3 Jur. N. S. 79; Stone v. Stone, 3 Jur. N. S. 708; Rives v. Rives, W. N. (1866), 144; Re Westwood, 6 N. R. 61, 316; and see Gardner v. Cowles, 3 Ch. D. 304; 24 W. R. 920, where the infant was the sole beneficial owner of the stock. In Re Morgan, Seton, 516, the right was vested in the infant's guardian. Where stock to which an infant was beneficially entitled had been invested in the joint names of himself and another person, the Court made an order under this section vesting the right to transfer the stock in the other an order under this section vesting the right to transfer the stock in the other person (Re Harwood, 20 Ch. D. 536).

IV. That where any person shall neglect or refuse to transfer any On neglect to stock(g), or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or transfer stockrecover any chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, for the order may be space of twenty-eight days next after an order of the Court of made vesting Chancery for that purpose shall have been served upon him (h) it shall transfer in be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting all the such person right of such person to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends shall appoint. or income thereof, or to sue for and recover such chose in action, or any interest in respect thereof, in such person or persons as the said Court may appoint (i).

(g) See sect. 23 of the former Act, p. 73, ante.

The order under this section may, it seems, be made on motion (Re Holbrook, of stock. 8 W. R. 3; 5 Jur. N. S. 1333; Skynner v. Pelichet, 9 W. R. 191).

Order m.

(h) The service should be personal, unless the order can be made under section 23 be made on of the principal Act (Coles v. Benbow, W. N. (1873), 60).

(i) An order may be made although the petition for the order which has not been complied with has not been served on the recalcitrant person (Re Mount, 24 L. T. 290).

Vesting order Order may

V. When any stock shall be standing in the sole name of a deceased On like person, and his personal representative shall refuse or neglect to executor.

15 & 16 Vict. c. 55, s. 5.

similar order may be made. transfer such stock or receive the dividends or income thereof for the space of twenty-eight days next after an order of the Court of Chancery for that purpose shall have been served upon him, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery to make an order vesting the right to transfer such stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, in any person or persons whom the said Court may appoint.

Bank of England and companies to comply with orders.
(See ss. 20 and 26 of Trustee Act, 1850.)

VI. When any order being or purporting to be under this Act or under the Trustee Act, 1850, shall be made by the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or by the Court of Chancery, vesting the right to any stock, or vesting the right to transfer any stock, or vesting the right to call for the transfer of any stock, in any person or persons, in every such case the legal right to transfer such stock shall vest accordingly(k); and the person or persons so appointed shall be authorised and empowered to execute all deeds and powers of attorney, and to perform all acts relating to the transfer of such stock into his or their own name or names, or otherwise, to the extent and in conformity with the terms of the order; and the Bank of England and all companies and associations whatever, and all persons, shall be equally bound and compellable to comply with the requisitions of such person or persons so appointed as aforesaid, to the extent and in conformity with the terms of such order, as the said Bank of England, or such companies, associations, or persons would have been bound and compellable to comply with the requisitions of the person in whose place such appointment shall have been made.

(k) This enactment was rendered necessary by Re Smyth, 4 De G. & Sm. 499, which decided that the former Act only gave the right to call for a transfer of the stock.

Indemnity to Bank and companies so obeying. VII. That every order made or to be made, being or purporting to be made under this or the Trustee Act, 1850, by the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or by the Court of Chancery, and duly passed and entered, shall be a complete indemnity to the Bank of England, and all companies and associations whatsoever, and all persons, for any act done pursuant thereto; and it shall not be necessary for the Bank of England, or such company or association, or person to inquire concerning the propriety of such order, or whether the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, or the Court of Chancery had jurisdiction to make the same (kk).

(kk) Notwithstanding this section the Bank is justified, where it considers an erroneous or defective order has been made, in requiring the point to be brought before the Court for reconsideration (Re Westwood, 6 N. R. 316; Frodsham v. Frodsham, 15 Ch. D. 317). Where, before the fund could be transferred into the names of new trustees appointed by the Court, one of them died, the order was amended by inserting the words "or the survivor of them" (Re Glanville, W. N. (1877), 248).

Power to appoint new trustees in lieu of persons convicted of felony. VIII. That when any person is or shall be jointly or solely seised or possessed of any lands or entitled to any stock upon any trust, and such person has been or shall be convicted of felony, it shall be lawful for the Court of Chancery, upon proof of such conviction, to appoint

any person to be a trustee in the place of such convict, and to make 15 & 16 Vict. an order for vesting such lands, or the right to transfer such stock, and to receive the dividends or income thereof, in such person to be so appointed trustee; and such order shall have the same effect as to lands as if the convict trustee had been free from any disability, and had duly executed a conveyance or assignment of his estate and interest in the same (l).

u. 55, s. 8.

(1) See note (e), ante, p. 86, as to escheat of trust property.

IX. That in all cases where it shall be expedient to appoint a new Power to the trustee, and it shall be found inexpedient, difficult or impracticable so to do without the assistance of the Court of Chancery, it shall be trustees lawful for the said Court to make an order appointing a new trustee is no existing or new trustees, whether there be any existing trustee or not at the trustee. time of making such order (m).

appoint new

(m) See notes to s. 32 of the Act of 1850, ante, p. 79. The Court sitting in lunacy has power under this section to appoint new trustees of the will of a deceased lunatic, where the trustees appointed by him have died in his lifetime, for the purpose of getting rid of the funds etanding to the credit of the lunacy (Re Orde, 24 Ch. D. 271).

X. In every case in which the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as afore- Chancellor said, has jurisdiction under this Act, or the Trustee Act, 1850, to order a conveyance or transfer of land or stock, or to make a vesting appointment order, it shall be lawful for him also to make an order appointing a of trustees, new trustee or new trustees in like manner as the Court of Chancery being necesmay do in like cases, without its being necessary that the order should sary that it be made in Chancery as well as in lunacy, or be passed and entered made in by the Registrar of the Court of Chancery (n).

may make order for without it should be Chancery, &c.

(n) See Re Waugh, 2 De G. M. & G. 279; and note (o) to the 3rd section of the Jurisdiction former Act, ante, p. 65.

in lunacy.

XI. That all the jurisdiction conferred by this Act, on the Lord As to powers Chancellor, intrusted by virtue of the Queen's sign manual with the intrusted care of the persons and estates of lunatics, shall and may be had, with the care exercised, and performed by the person or persons for the time being of lunatics. intrusted as aforesaid (o).

(o) See the Judicature Act, 1875, sect. 7, post.

XII. That this Act shall be read and construed according to the Act to be definitions and interpretations contained in the second section of the construed as Trustee Act, 1850, and the provisions of the said last-mentioned Act Trustee Act, (except so far as the same are altered by or inconsistent with this Act) 1850. shall extend and apply to the cases provided for by this Act, in the same way as if this Act had been incorporated with and had formed part of the said Trustee Act, 1850.

15 & 16 Viet. c. 55, s. 13.

All orders made under Trustee Act, 1850, or this Act to be chargeable with the same stamp duty as deeds of conveyance.

XIII. That every order to be made under the Trustee Act, 1850, or this Act, which shall have the effect of a conveyance or assignment of any lands, or a transfer of any such stock as can only be transferred by stamped deed, shall be chargeable with the like amount of stamp duty as it would have been chargeable with if it had been a deed executed by the person or persons seised or possessed of such lands or entitled to such stock; and every such order shall be duly stamped for denoting the payment of the said duty (p).

(p) As to the stamp duties, see the Stamp Act, 1870; Hadgett v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, 3 Ex. D. 46. No order will be passed by the registrar until it has been duly stamped as required by this section (Daniell, 2129).

16 & 17 Vict. c. 137.

CHARITABLE TRUSTS ACT, 1853.

16 & 17 VICT. CAP. 137, s. 28.

An Act for the better Administration of Charitable Trusts.

[20th Aug. 1853.]

Jurisdiction in chambers, where income of charities does not exceed 30l. to appoint or remove trustees or give other relief.

XXVIII. Where the appointment or removal of any trustee (a) or any other relief, order, or direction relating to any charity of which the gross annual income for the time being exceeds thirty pounds shall be considered desirable, and such appointment, removal, or other relief, order, or direction might now be made or given by the Court of Chancery, in respect either of its ordinary or its special or statutory jurisdiction, or by the Lord Chancellor intrusted with the care and commitment of the custody of lunatics, it shall be lawful for any person authorised in this behalf by the order or certificate of the said Board (b) or for the Attorney-General to make application (without any information, bill, or petition) to the Master of the Rolls, or one of the Vice-Chancellors (c) sitting at chambers, for such order, direction, or relief as the nature of the case may require; and the Master of the Rolls or the Vice-Chancellor (c) to whom any such application shall be made, shall and may proceed upon and dispose of such application in chambers, save where he may think fit otherwise to direct. and shall and may have and exercise thereupon all such jurisdiction, power, and authority, and make such orders, and give such directions in relation to the matter of such application as might now be exercised, made, or given by the Court of Chancery, or by the Lord Chancellor, intrusted as aforesaid, in a suit regularly instituted, or upon petition as the case may require; and the Master of the Rolls and Vice-Chancellors (c) respectively shall, in relation to such applications as

aforesaid, and the proceedings thereon (subject to any rules which 16 & 17 Vict. may be made by the Lord Chancellor, with the advice and consent of c. 137, s. 28. them or any two of them) have all such powers of directing matters to be heard in open Court, and of ordering what matters shall be heard and investigated by themselves and their chief clerks respectively, and such other powers and authorities as by the Act of the last session of Parliament, chapter eighty (d), are vested in or authorised to be exereised by them at chambers, and the provisions of the said Act applicable to orders made by the Master of the Rolls or any of the Vice-Chancellors (c) at chambers, shall extend to all orders so made under this Act: Provided always, that save as may be otherwise provided by any new rules to be made by the Lord Chancellor, with such advice and consent as aforesaid, the determinations of the Master of the Rolls and Vice-Chancellors (c) respectively, upon and in relation to such applications as aforesaid, shall not be subject to appeal in any case where the gross annual income of the charity does not exceed one hundred pounds: Provided also, that it shall be lawful for the Master of the Rolls or any Vice-Chancellor (c), where, under the circumstances of any application as aforesaid, he may so see fit, to direct that for obtaining the relief, order, or direction sought for by such application, an information, bill or petition, as the case may require, shall be filed or presented and prosecuted as now by law required, and to abstain from further proceeding on such application (e).

(a) The jurisdiction to appoint new trustees, on petition, under the Trustee Act, Trustee Act.

is not taken away, though it is seldom exercised; see nots (k), p. 79, ante.

The district Courts of Bankruptcy and County Courts were given similar juris- Jurisdiction diction over charities with an income not exceeding 30\(\). (s. 32); and now, by the of Bankruptcy Charitable Trusts Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 136, s. 11), over charities with an and County income up to 50l.

By the Act of 1860, sect. 2, a concurrent jurisdiction over charities is given to of Charit the Charity Commissioners, as to charities with an income exceeding 50t, on the Commisapplication of the majority of the trustees; and as to charities with a less income, sioners. on the application of the Attorney-General, the trustees, or any person interested in the charity, or inhabiting the place where it is situate, unless they think the case from some difficulty of law or fact, fitter for the adjudication of a Court.

(b) I. e. the Charity Commissioners for England and Wales sitting as a Board (16 & 17 Vict. c. 137, s. 66).

(c) The application is now made to a judge of the Chancery Division of the High Application, to whom (d) The Act here referred to is the Master in Chancery Abolition Act, 1852, made.

most of which is now repealed.

(e) The application under the section is by summons in chambers (Ord. LV. r. 13, R. S. C. 1883, infra). As to appealing from an order, see Ord. LV. r. 14, infra; and as to fees and costs, see Ord. LXV. rr. 24, 25, infra.

Courts;

of Charity

"The said Board."

15 & 16 Vict. c. 80.

Proceedings.

18 & 19 Vict. c. 43.

INFANTS' SETTLEMENT ACT.

18 & 19 VICT. CAP. 43.

An Act to enable Infants, with the Approbation of the Court of Chancery, to make binding Settlements of their Real and Per-[2nd July, 1855.] sonal Estate on Marriage.

Whereas great inconveniences and disadvantages arise in consequence of persons who marry during minority being incapable of making binding settlements of their property: For remedy whereof be it enacted, &c., as follows:

Settlements by infants with the approbation of the Court.

I. From and after the passing of this Act it shall be lawful for every infant upon or in contemplation of his or her marriage, with the sanction of the Court of Chancery (a), to make a valid and binding settlement (b) or contract for a settlement of all or any part of his or her property, or property over which he or she has any power of appointment, whether real or personal, and whether in possession, reversion, remainder, or expectancy; and every conveyance, appointment, and assignment of such real or personal estate, or contract to make a conveyance, appointment, or assignment thereof, executed by such infant, with the approbation of the said Court, for the purpose of giving effect to such settlement, shall be as valid and effectual as if the person executing the same were of the full age of twenty-one years: Provided always, that this enactment shall not extend to powers of which it is expressly declared that they shall not be exercised by an infant.

(a) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, s. 34 (2), infra).

(b) The Act did not impose on the Court any other duty than that of looking to

Duty of Court under this Ant.

(b) The Act did not impose on the Court any other duty than that of looking to the propriety of the settlement; and consequently, the propriety of the marriage itself is not a question for its consideration, though of course "what in each case may be a proper settlement must sometimes lead to an inquiry as to all the circumstances connected with the marriage" (Re Dalton, 6 De G. M. & G. 201; 2 Jur. N. S. 1077, overruling S. C. 3 Sm. & G. 331). See, however, Re Strong, 2 Jur. N. S. 1241; 26 L. J. Ch. 64.

See as to the employment of the conveyancing counsel, Re Williams, 8 W. R. 678; 6 Jur. N. S. 1064. Where the infant was a ward of Court in a suit, two petitions were presented, the first to obtain an order for a strict settlement, and the second to sanction the settlement when prepared (Pavelly v. Oakley, 34 Beay 575); and see

Draft how settled.

to sanction the settlement when prepared (Powell v. Oakley, 34 Beav. 575); and see Re Yates, 7 W. R. 711.

Reference to chambers.

Where a female infant's fortune was very considerable, the Court referred the whole matter to chambers (Re Olive, 11 W. R. 819); but under special circumstances the reference to chambers was dispensed with (Ex parte Smith, 22 W. R. 294). See now note (d) to sect. 3.

What clauses inserted in settlement.

The Master of the Rolls refused to allow a clause providing that, in the event of any person professing the Roman Catholic religion becoming entitled, he should forfeit his interest under the settlement (Re Williams). But the insertion of the usual name and arms clause was permitted (ibid.). For the form of settlement adopted when a man married an infant ward of Court in defiance of an order of the Court, see Re Sampson, 25 Ch. D. 482; 53 L. J. Ch. 457.

Settlement made out of Court.

The Court has no jurisdiction under this Act to approve a settlement of an infant's property originally made without its concurrence (per V.-C. Stuart in Re Fuller's Settlement, Feb. 10, 1860).

The Act extends to post-nuptial settlements (Re Sampson; Powell v. Oakley, 34 Beav. 575; and see Re Hoare, 11 W. R. 181). But the Court has no jurisdiction

Post-nuptial settlement.

over the property of an infant, not a ward of Court, who marries after she is of an 18 & 19 Vict. age to contract marriage (Re Potter, 7 Eq. 484).

Proceedings commenced with respect to an infant under the Act in no way pre-

vent the payment out to the infant when of age, if no settlement has been made (Sams v. Cronin, 22 W. R. 204).

II. Provided always, that in case any appointment under a power In case infant of appointment, or any disentailing assurance, shall have been executed by any infant tenant in tail under the provisions of this Act, and such ment, &c., to infant shall afterwards die under age, such appointment or disentailing assurance shall thereupon become absolutely void.

III. The sanction of the Court of Chancery (c) to any such settle. The sanction ment or contract for a settlement may be given, upon petition (d) presented by the infant or his or her guardian (e), in a summary way, to be given without the institution of a suit; and if there be no guardian, the Court may require a guardian to be appointed or not, as it shall think fit; and the Court also may, if it shall think fit, require that any person interested or appearing to be interested in the property should be served with notice of such petition (f).

age, appoint-

of the Court of Chancery upon petition.

(c) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, s. 34 (2),

(c) Now the Chancery Division of the right Court (stat. Act, 1010, 8. 02 (2), infra).

(d) The application is now made by summons (Ord. LV. r. 2 (10), infra). A Application petition was necessary (under the former practice), although a suit had been instituted (Peareth v. Marriott, W. N. (1866), 48).

(e) In Re Strong, 26 L. J. Ch. 64; 2 Jur. N. S. 1241, L. J. Knight Bruce thought that an affidavit of the respectability of the person who acted as guardian ought to be produced. The application must not be by a next friend, and a petition presented by a next friend without the infant's concurrence was dismissed with costs. sented by a next friend without the infant's concurrence was dismissed with costs. (Re Potter, 7 Eq. 484; but see Wortham v. Pemberton, 1 De G. & Sm. 644).

f) As to the evidence required on an application under the Act, see Ord. LV. Evidence.

r. 26, infra.

IV. Provided always, that nothing in this Act contained shall apply Not to apply to any male infant under the age of twenty years, or to any female tomales under 20, or to females under

(g) Evidence must be produced to show the age of the infant (Ord. LV. r. 26, age. R. S. C., infra).

infant under the age of seventeen years (g).

17 years of

CUSTODY OF INFANTS ACT.

36 & 37 Vict. c. 12.

36 & 37 VICT. CAP. 12.

An Act to amend the Law as to the Custody of Infants.

[24th April, 1873.]

WHEREAS it is expedient further to amend the law relating to the custody of infants (a).

(a) See 3 & 4 Vict. c. 90, which gives the Court of Chancery jurisdiction to assign Infant felon. the care of any infant convicted of felony to a voluntary guardian.

36 & 37 Vict. c. 12, s. 1.

Order that mother may have access to infant under 16 years.

Be it therefore enacted, &c., as follows:—

I. From and after the passing of this Act it shall be lawful for the High Court of Chancery (b) in England or in Ireland respectively, upon hearing the petition by her next friend (c) of the mother of any and custody of infant or infants under sixteen years of age, to order that the petitioner shall have access to such infant or infants at such times and subject to such regulations as the Court shall deem proper, or to order that such infant or infants shall be delivered to the mother, and remain in or under her custody or control, or shall, if already in her custody or under her control, remain therein until such infant or infants shall attain such age, not exceeding sixteen, as the Court shall direct; and further, to order that such custody or control shall be subject to such regulations as regards access by the father or guardian of such infant or infants, and otherwise, as the said Court shall deem proper (d).

The Court.

Married woman may petition alone. Principles on which jurisdiction exercised.

(b) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice (Jud. Act, 1873,

ss. 33, 34).

(c) Having regard to the provisions of the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, it would appear that a next friend is no longer required; see the Act, infra. The mother may by leave petition in forma pauperis (Re Lewin, W. N. (1884), 224).

(d) The effect of this section is to give the Court an absolute discretionary power

(d) The effect of this section is to give the Court an absolute discretionary power as to the custody of the infant on the application of the mother; but in exercising this jurisdiction the Court takes into consideration three matters—the paternal right, the marital duty, and the interests of the infant (Re Taylor, 4 Ch. D. 157; 25 W. R. 69; Re Elderton, 25 Ch. D. 220; 32 W. R. 227). Where by a covenant in a separation deed executed after the passing of the Act (see sect. 2, infra), the father had agreed that his infant daughter should remain in his wife's custody during elsven months in each year, but she held atheistical opinions, and had published and circulated an obscene book, and refused to allow the child to receive any religious instruction, the child was removed from the custody of the mother (Re Besant, 11 Ch. D. 508; and see Besant v. Wood, 12 Ch. D. 665). As to a breach of the marital duty by the father, see Re Elderton. The Court will look at all the surrounding circumstances before it will remove a female infant of tender years from the enstody of the mother, and other relations, whose conduct with regard to the child is unimpeached, and place her under the control of the father (Re Ethel

Father's right to custody.

from the enstody of the mother, and other relations, whose conduct with regard to the child is unimpeached, and place her under the control of the father (Re Ethel Brown, 13 Q. B. D. 614).

As to the general right of a father to the custody of his children, and his corresponding obligations, and the jurisdiction of the Court to remove the children from his care if he neglects his duties, or in consequence of his profligacy and immorality, see nots to Eyre v. Countess of Shaftesbury, 2 W. & T. Leading Cases; Swift v. Swift, 34 Beav. 266; Re Kaye, 1 Ch. 387; Andrews v. Salt, 8 Ch. 622; Wellesley v. Duke of Beaufort, 2 Russ. 1; Re Plomley, 47 L. T. 283; Re Agar-Ellis, 24 Ch. D. 317.

See generally for the principles of the Court as to guardianship and religious education of infants, Austin v. Austin, 34 Beav. 257; on app. 13 W. R. 761; Re Newbery, 1 Eq. 431; 1 Ch. 263; Nugent v. Vetzera, 2 Eq. 704; Re Kaye, 1 Ch. 387; Re Agar-Ellis, 10 Ch. D. 49; Re Clarke, 21 Ch. D. 817.

As to the right of the mother to the custody of an illegitimate child, see R. v. Nash, 10 Q. B. D. 454.

Where an order is made giving the custody to the mother "until further order."

Education of infants.

Illegitimate

Variation of order giving custody to mother.

Where an order is made giving the custody to the mother "until further order," an application to vary the order by reason of something subsequent to its date should be made, not by way of appeal, but by motion before the judge of first instance; and such a motion can be made by the respondent to the original petition. The provision in the section, that the application shall be made by the mother, applies only to the original petition (Re Holt, 16 Ch. D. 115).

In case of separation deed hetween father and mother.

II. No agreement contained in any separation deed made between the father and mother of an infant or infants shall be held to be invalid by reason only of its providing that the father of such infant or infants shall give up the custody or control thereof to the mother:

Provided always, that no Court shall enforce any such agreement if 36 & 37 Vict. the Court shall be of opinion that it will not be for the benefit of the c. 12, s. 2. infant or infants to give effect thereto (e).

(e) This enactment was rendered necessary by such cases as Vansittart v. Vansittart, 2 De G. & J. 249, and the other cases referred to in Hamilton v. Hsctor, 6 Ch. 701. See Re Besant, 11 Ch. D. 508; Besant v. Wood, 12 Ch. D. 605, cited in note (d) to s. 1.

III. The Act of the second and third Victoria, chapter fifty-four, Repeal of intituled "An Act to amend the law relating to the custody of in- 2 & 3 Vict. fants," shall be and is hereby repealed (f).

(f) For the practice under this Act, see 2 Dan. Ch. Pr., 5th ed., pp. 1926, 1927.

LAW OF PROPERTY AND TRUSTEES RELIEF AMENDMENT ACT.

22 & 23 Vict. c. 35.

22 & 23 VICT, CAP. 35.

An Act to further amend the Law of Property, and to relieve [13th August, 1859.] Trustees.

[Sections 1 and 2 relate to provises for re-entry on breach of covenants in a lease, Leases. and enact that a lessor giving license for, or waiving one breach of covenant (23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 6, post), or in the case of one of several lessees, is not to lose the benefit of the covenants.]

[By section 3 the apportionment of rent among lessors is not to destroy the right of re-entry. See Conv. Act, 1881, s. 12, infra.]

[Sections 4 to 9 are repealed by the Conv. Act, 1881.]

[The 10th section relates to apportionment of rentcharge, which is not to extin- Apportionguish the rentcharge; see Booth v. Smith, W. N. (1884), 230.]

ment of rentcharge.

[Section 11 provides that the release of part of land charged is not to affect a jndgment; but see now 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, post.]

[Section 12 relates to appointments under powers; and as, by common law, if Defective the formalities required by the instrument creating the power were not strictly complied with, the appointment was void, while Courts of Equity went to the other extreme, and aided defective execution of powers, in favour of purchasers, &c., even where the defect was in matter of substance, e.g., the want of a consent required by an instrument, this section provides that "a deed hereafter executed in "the presence of and attested by two or more witnesses in the manner in which Line presence of and attested by two or more witnesses in the mainer in which is deeds are ordinarily executed and attested shall, so far as respects the execution is and attestation thereof, be a valid execution of a power of appointment by deed, or by any instrument in writing not testamentary: Provided always, that this provision shall not operate to defeat any direction in the instrument creating the power that the consent of any particular person shall be necessary to a valid execution, or that any act shall be performed in order to give validity to any appointment."]

By section 13 a bond fide sale under a power is not to be avoided by reason of Sale under istaken payment to tenant for life. This section was to meet the case of power. mistaken payment to tenant for life. Cockerell v. Cholmeley, 1 R. & M. 418.]

[Sections 14 to 18 provide that (subject to the savings contained in section 18, Sale by see Lewin, 420, seq.) where by any will which shall come into operation after the trustees and passing of this Act the testator shall have charged his real estate with the payment executors.

c. 35.

22 & 23 Vict. of his debts, or with the payment of any legacy or other specific sum of money, and shall have devised the estate so charged to any trustee or trustees, the devisee in trust may raise money by sals, notwithstanding want of express power in the will; but if he shall not have devised the hereditaments charged as aforesaid in such terms as that his whole estate and interest therein shall become vested in any trustee or trustees, the executor or executors (see Re Clay, 16 Ch. D. 3) are to have the power of raising money.]

[Sections 19 and 20 supply a deficiency in the law of inheritance, 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 106, and will be found in Sugden's R. P. Statutes, 259.]

Assignment to self and others.

[Section 21 relates to the assignment of personalty, and provides that "any "person shall have power to assign personal property, now by law assignable, in"cluding chattels real, directly to himself and another person or other persons or
"corporation, by the like means as he might assign the same to another." See Conv. Act, 1881, s. 50, infra.

Registration

[Section 22 enacts that after December 31, 1859, the provisions as to registration of judgments. of judgments shall apply to Crown debts.]

[Section 23 relates to trustees' receipts; see now Conv. Act, 1881, s. 36, infra.]

Concealment of incumbrances a misdemeanor.

[Section 24 provides that any vendor or mortgagor concealing any settlement, deed, will, or other instrument material to the title, or any incumbrance, from the purchaser [or mortgagee], shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and punishable

The insertion of the words "or mortgages," which were accidentally omitted, was provided for by 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 8, post, p. 104. Semble, s. 24 does not apply to the concealment of an incumbrance prior to the date before which the conditions of sale stipulate that no title shall be shown (Smith v. Robinson, 13 Ch. D. 148).

Interpreta-

[Section 25 interprets "land," "mortgage," "mortgagor," "mortgagee," and tion of terms. "judgment," as used in the previous provisions of the Act.]

Trustees and executors.

[By section 26 trustees, executors, or administrators making payment under a power of attorney are not to be liable by reason of death of party giving such power. See now Conv. Act, 1881, s. 47, and Conv. Act, 1882, ss. 8, 9, infra.]

As to liability of executor or administrator in respect of rents, covenants, or agreements.

XXVII. Where an executor or administrator, liable as such to the rents, covenants, or agreements contained in any lease or agreement for a lease granted or assigned to the testator or intestate whose estate is being administered, shall have satisfied all such liabilities under the said lease or agreement for a lease as may have accrued due and been claimed up to the time of the assignment hereafter mentioned, and shall have set apart a sufficient fund to answer any future claim that may be made in respect of any fixed or ascertained sum covenanted or agreed by the lessee to be laid out on the property demised or agreed to be demised, although the period for laying out the same may not have arrived, and shall have assigned the lease or agreement for a lease to a purchaser thereof (a), he shall be at liberty to distribute the residuary personal estate of the deceased to and amongst the parties entitled thereto respectively, without appropriating any part, or any further part (as the case may be), of the personal estate of the deceased to meet any future liability under the said lease or agreement for a lease; and the executor or administrator so distributing the residuary estate shall not, after having assigned the said lease or agreement for a lease, and having, where necessary, set apart such sufficient fund as aforesaid, be personally liable in respect of any subsequent claim under the said lease or agreement for a lease; but nothing herein con-

LAW OF PROPERTY AND TRUSTEES RELIEF AMENDMENT ACT.

tained shall prejudice the right of the lessor or those claiming under 22 & 23 Vict. him to follow the assets of the deceased into the hands of the person or persons to or amongst whom the said assets may have been distributed.

(a) This section is retrospective (Smith v. Smith, 1 Dr. & Sm. 384; Re Green, 2 Section retro-De G. F. & J. 121; and see Reilly v. Reilly, 34 Beav. 406; Bennett v. Lytton, 2 J. spective. & H. 155, 158).

The case of a leasehold assigned to a residuary legatee is not within this section, Legatee not a such legatee not being a purchaser (Dodson v. Sammell, 1 Dr. & Sm. 575; 9 W. R. purchaser.

See as to the effect of the section, Dodson v. Sammell; Re Howkins, 10 Hare, App. xxxiii.; Re Forest, W. N. (1868), 194; and see England v. Lord Tredegar, 1 Eq. 344; Seton, 891.

XXVIII. In like manner, where an executor or administrator liable As to liability as such to the rents, covenants, or agreements, contained in any con- of executor; &c., in respect veyance on chief rent or rentcharge (whether any such rent be by limi- of rents, &c., tation of use, grant or reservation), or agreement for such conveyance, in conveyance, ances on rentgranted or assigned to or made and entered into with the testator or charge. intestate whose estate is being administered, shall have satisfied all such liabilities under the said conveyance, or agreement for a conveyance, as may have accrued due and been claimed up to the time of the conveyance hereafter mentioned, and shall have set apart a sufficient fund to answer any future claim that may be made in respect of any fixed and ascertained sum covenanted or agreed by the grantee to be laid out on the property conveyed, or agreed to be conveyed, although the period for laying out the same may not have arrived, and shall have conveyed such property, or assigned the said agreement for such conveyance as aforesaid, to a purchaser thereof, he shall be at liberty to distribute the residuary personal estate of the deceased to and amongst the parties entitled thereto respectively, without appropriating any part or any further part (as the case may be) of the personal estate of the deceased to meet any future liability under the said conveyance or agreement for a conveyance; and the executor or administrator so distributing the residuary estate shall not, after having made or executed such conveyance or assignment, and having, where necessary, set apart such sufficient fund as aforesaid, be personally liable in respect of any subsequent claim under the said conveyance, or agreement for conveyance; but nothing herein contained shall prejudice the right of the grantor, or those claiming under him, to follow the assets of the deceased into the hands of the person or persons to or among whom the said assets may have been distributed.

XXIX. Where an executor or administrator shall have given such As to disor the like notices as in the opinion of the Court in which such executor the assets of or administrator is sought to be charged would have been given by the testator er Court of Chancery in an administration suit, for creditors and others to intestate after send in to the executor or administrator their claims against the estate by executor or of the testator or intestate, such executor or administrator shall, at the administrator. expiration of the time named in the said notices or the last of the said notices for sending in such claims (b), be at liberty to distribute the

notice given

22 & 23 Vict. с. 35, в. 29.

assets of the testator or intestate, or any part thereof, amongst the parties entitled thereto, having regard to the claims of which such executor or administrator has then notice, and shall not be liable for the assets or any part thereof so distributed to any person of whose claim such executor or administrator shall not have had notice at the time of distribution of the said assets or a part thereof, as the case may be; but nothing in the present Act contained shall prejudice the right of any creditor or claimant to follow the assets or any part thereof into the hands of the person or persons who may have received the same respectively.

Claims by next of kin.

Indemnity of executors (b) As to the advertisements, see Ord. LV. r. 44 et seg. post; Wood v. Weightman, 13 Eq. 434. Executors acting under the provisions of this section, whether they have actually paid legacies over, or only appropriated them, have complete protection against future claims (Clegg v. Rowland, 3 Eq. 368; and see Hunter v. Young,

This section does not protect executors against claims of which they have in fact notice (Re Land Credit Company of Ireland, W. N. (1872), 210); and see Wood v. Wood, 21 W. R. 135.

The section protects an administrator who has given the required notices from claims by the next of kin (Newton v. Sherry, 1 C. P. D. 246; 24 W. R. 371).

Trustee, executor, &c., may apply by petition to Chancery for judge's opinion, advice, &c., in management, &c., of trust property.

XXX. Any trustee, executor, or administrator shall be at liberty, without the institution of a suit, to apply by petition to any judge of the High Court of Chancery, or by summons upon a written statement to any such judge at chambers, for the opinion, advice, or direction of such judge on any question respecting the management or administration of the trust property or the assets of any testator or intestate, such application to be served upon or the hearing thereof to be attended by all persons interested in such application, or such of them as the said judge shall think expedient; and the trustee, executor, or administrator acting upon the opinion, advice, or direction given by the said judge shall be deemed, so far as regards his own responsibility, to have discharged his duty as such trustee, executor, or administrator in the subject matter of the said application: Provided nevertheless. that this Act shall not extend to indemnify any trustee, executor, or administrator in respect of any act done in accordance with such opinion, advice, or direction as aforesaid, if such trustee, executor, or administrator shall have been guilty of any fraud or wilful concealment or misrepresentation in obtaining such opinion, advice, or direction; and the costs of such application as aforesaid shall be in the discretion of the judge to whom the said application shall be made (c). (c) The practice under this section is now regulated by Ord. LII. rr. 19-22,

Ord, LII. rr. 19-22. Application, how made. Petition to be signed by counsel.

(c) The practice under this section is now regulated by Ord. LII. rr. 19—22, infra.

The application is generally made by petition (Re Dennis, 5 Jur. N. S. 1388); though it may be made by summons (Seton, 492). The petition or statement should be signed by counsel (23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 9; Re Boulton, 30 W. R. 596; W. N. (1382), 62); and the "judge by whom it is to be answered, may require the petitioner or applicant to attend him by counsel, either in chambers or in Court, where he deems it necessary to have the assistance of counsel" (sect. 9). The Court made an order under the section on the petition of a cestui que trust (Re Ward, 14 W. R. 96); and of one trustee without his co-trustee's concurrence (Re Muggeridge, Johns. 625). 625).

Service.

The applicant should serve the petition or summons on the persons beneficially interested (Re Green, 6 Jur. N. S. 530; 8 W. R. 403); but see Re Tuck, W. N. (1869), 15; Re Larken, W. N. (1872), 85; Re French, 15 Eq. 68.

"As the Act does not give any right of appeal, it was not intended to decide 22 & 23 Vict. nice questions of law, its object being to procure for trustees at a small expense c. 35, s. 30. the assistance of the Court upon points of minor importance arising in the management of the trust" (Lewin, 535; Re Muggeridge, Johns. 625; Re Mockett, Johns. 628; Re Spiller, 2 L. T. 71; 8 W. R. 333; Re Leslie, W. N. (1876), 93; Re Jacob, tions enter 9 W. R. 474). So the Court will give advice as to investments (Re Lorenz, 1 Dr. & tained on Sm. 401; Re Knowles, 18 L. T. 809); or payment of debts (Re Box, 1 H. & M. 552); petition.

or the propriety of trustees consenting to a sale (Earl Powlett v. Hood, 5 Eq. 115); Questions of or advancing money for maintenance, or repairs (Re Lord Hotham, 12 Eq. 76; Culmanagement. bertson v. Wood, 19 W. R. 260); but as to leasing, see Re Shaw, 12 Eq. 124.

So the Court has determined whether a power to invest in the purchase of lands and hereditaments authorized a purchase of ground rents (Re Peyton, 7 Eq. 463; and see further as to investments, Re Langdale, 10 Eq. 39; Re Wedderburn, 9 Ch. D. 112; Re Cardross, 7 Ch. D. 728; Re T. 15 Ch. D. 78, where the consent of a person of unsward mind not so found was required): whether as a servery required. of unsound mind not so found, was required); whether an appropriation of a legacy ought to have been made (*Re Murray*, W. N. (1868), 195); and whether part of the capital of infants' shares might be applied to their maintenance (*Re Tibbs*, 17 W. R. 304). See also Re De la Warr, 16 Ch. D. 587; Re Mackintosh, 42 L. J. Ch. 208; Rs T. 15 Ch. D. 78; Re Smith, W. N. (1872), 134; 20 W. R. 695; Re Leslie, 2 Ch. D. 185; Re Thatcher, 26 Ch. D. 426; Daniell, 2229.

But where trustees were authorized by the settlement to lay out trust funds in Not (1) questions.

purchasing real estate, which was accordingly purchased, and a petition was pre-tions of detail sented to obtain a direction of the Court as to the employment of a further portion where affida-of the trust funds in the permanent improvement of the purchased estates, the vits are Court having no means of ascertaining the amount required or directing its applica-required; tion, refused to make an order on petition (Re Barrington, 1 J. & H. 142; comp. Re Mockett, Johns. 628). In another case (Re Simson, 1 J. & H. 89), where the nor (2) question was whether a trustee would be justified in investing in East India stock or in railway debentures, or on mortgage of freeholds, copyholds, or leaseholds, V:-C. Wood answered the inquiry by simply stating that the trustees would be justified in investing in freehold securities in England or Wales, and gave no answer to the rest of the question; and see Marsh v. Att. Gen., 2 J. & H. 61, where answer to the rest of the question; and see Marsh v. Att.-Gem., 2 J. & H. 61, where questions of the Court thought the question too difficult to decide on petition, and directed a bill difficulty; to be filed.

So, where questions of construction arise, an action must be instituted, or the nor (3) advice of the Court taken under the Trustee Relief Act or Ord. LV. r. 3; see Re questions of Evans, 30 Beav. 232; Re Muggeridge, Johns. 625; Re Lorenz, 1 Dr. & Sm. 401, construction; where V.-C. Kindersley refused, on a petition under this Act, to advise a sole trustee of a marriage settlement as to his discretionary power to make advances to the husband at the written request of the wife; and Re Hooper, 29 Beav. 657, where the Master of the Rolls stated that the object of the Act was to enable where the Master of the Rolls stated that the object of the Rot was to shahe trustees to obtain the opinion of the Court on matters of discretion vested in them, and not to determine questions of construction. These cases seem to overrule cases where questions as to the validity (Re Michel, 28 Beav. 39) or construction (Re Green, 8 W. R. 403; Re Davies, 9 W. R. 134; Re Elmore, 6 Jur. N. S. 1325; Re Jacob, 29 Beav. 402) of a bequest have been determined upon petition under the section, and Re Pett's Will, 27 Beav. 576, where an opinion was given turning to a certain extent upon extrinsic evidence admitted with a view to the sentent view of a will. See however, Re Parties's Statement, 10 W. R. 515, where construction of a will. See, however, Re Peyton's Settlement, 10 W. R. 515, where the Master of the Rolls on a petition under this Act decided that an absolute power of sale given to trustees, authorized them on a sale to fix a reserved hidding.

The Court will not pronounce an opinion on a hypothetical case; and, therefore, nor (4) refused to give any advice as to the incidence of future calls which might be made hypothetical

on account of shares bequeathed (Re Box, 1 H. & M. 552; 11 W. R. 945).

The opinion of the Court was held not to be subject to appeal (Re Mockett, Johns. No appeal. No evidence is admissible on the application (Re Mockett); the facts must be taken to be as represented, the responsibility of such representations resting with the trustees (Re Muggeridge, Johns. 625), and no inquiries will be directed (Re Mockett).

As a general rule the costs of an application under this section will be ordered to Costs.

come out of the corpus of the trust property (ReM'Veagh, Seton, 491; Re Elwes, ibid.).

But where the question arose as to the application of income, the costs came out of the income (Anon. 8 W. R. 333).

[By sect. 31, trust instruments are to be deemed to contain clauses for the indemnity of trustees. See Re Brier, 26 Ch. D. 238.]

[Sect. 32 relates to investments by trustees, and this section is made retrospective by Lord St. Leonards' Act, 1860, sect. 12, post, p. 105, where this and the other sections relating to investments will be found.]

[Sect. 33 provides that the Act shall not extend to Ireland.]

What questions enter-

23 & 24 Vict. LAW OF PROPERTY FURTHER AMENDMENT ACT.

23 & 24 VICT. CAP. 38.

An Act to further amend the Law of Property.

[23rd July, 1860.]

Judgments. Write of execution of judgments should be registered (see now 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, post).

[Section 1 provided that no judgment entered up after the passing of this Act (23 July, 1860) shall affect any land as to a bont fide purchaser for valuable consideration, or a mortgagee (whether such purchaser or mortgagee have notice or not of any such judgment), unless a writ or other due process of execution is issued and registered before the execution of the conveyance or mortgage, and the payment of the purchase or mortgage money by him: And no judgment entered up after the passing of the Act, nor any writ of execution or other process thereon, shall affect any land of whatever tenure as to a bona fide purchaser or mortgagee, although execution or other process shall have issued thereon, and have been duly registered, unless such execution or other process shall be executed and put in force within three calendar months from the time when it was registered. See now the Act of 1864, post; judgments registered before the date of this Act (23 July, 1860) affect purchasers, &c., according to the old law, though no writ of execution has been issued, see Evans v. Williams, 2 Dr. & Sm. 324; and as regards the debtor himself, and volunteers under him, the old law was in force until 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, which is general in its provisions.

Mode of registering.

[By section 2 the registry of any writ of execution, or other due process on any judgment, in order to bind a purchaser or mortgagee, was to be made by a memorandum or minute referring to the judgment already registered, so as to connect the registry of the writ of execution or other process therewith; and the senior master of the Court of Common Pleas at Westminster was to enter the particulars in a book in alphabetical order by the name of the person in whose behalf the judgment was registered. The registry of the writ of execution is now to be made in the name of the debtor by 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, s. 3, post.]

Double search necessary.

Protection of executors against unregistered judgments.

[Section 3, reciting 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 20 (since repealed), and other Acts as to the registration of judgments, provided that no judgment which had not already been, or which should not thereafter be entered or docketed so as to bind lands, tenements, or hereditaments as against purchasers, mortgagees, or creditors, should have any preference against heirs, executors, or administrators in their administra-tion of their ancestors', testators', or intestates' estates. See as to this section, Van Gheluive v. Nerinckx, 21 Ch. D. 189; Re Williams, 15 Eq. 270; Jennings v. Rigby, 33 Beav. 198.

The section applies to judgments signed before the Act (Kemp v. Waddingham, L. R. 1 Q. B. 355); and to County Court judgments (Re Turner, 12 W. R. 337; 33 L. J. Ch. 232).]

[Section 4 provided that judgments, in order to have preference in administration, must be re-registered every five years according to the Acts of 1 & 2 Vict., 2 & 3 Vict., and 18 & 19 Vict.

[Section 5 defined judgments as they are now defined by the latest judgment Act, 27 & 28 Vict. v. 112, s. 2, post.]

Section 6 amended the law of waiver as between lessor and lessee, see p. 99, ante.

[Section 7 relates to the law of uses, and the doctrine of sointilla juris, as to which see Sandars on Uses, pp. 112, 152.]

[Section 8 corrected a clerical error in sect. 24 of 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, p. 100, ante.]

[Section 9 provides that when trustees apply for the opinion, advice, or direction of a judge, under section 30 of 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, the petition or statement should be signed by counsel, see p. 102, ants.]

Power to Lord Chancellors, &c. of Eng-

X. It shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners for the custody of the Great Seal of England, with the

advice and assistance of the Master of the Rolls, the Lords Justices of 23 & 24 Vict. the Court of Appeal in Chancery, and the Vice-Chancellors of the said o. 38, s. 10. Court, or any three of them, and for the Lord Chancellor of Ireland, land and with the advice and assistance of the Lords Justices of Appeal and the make general Master of the Rolls in Ireland, to make such general orders from time orders as to to time as to the investment of cash under the control of the Court, of cash under either in the Three per Cent. Consolidated or Reduced or New Bank the control of Annuities, or in such other stocks, funds, or securities as he or they shall, with such advice or assistance, see fit; and it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners in England, and for the Lord Chancellor in Ireland, to make such orders as he or they shall deem proper for the conversion of any Three per Cent. Bank Annuities now standing or which may hereafter stand in the name of the Accountant-General of the said Court of Chancery, in trust in any cause or matter, into any such other stocks, funds, or securities upon which, by any such general order as aforesaid, cash under the control of the Court may be invested; all orders for such conversion of Bank Annuities into other funds or securities to be made upon petition to be presented by any of the parties interested in a summary way, and such parties shall be served with notice thereof as the Court shall direct (a).

the Court.

(a) See Ord. XXII. r. 18, and note thereto, infra.

XI. When any such general order as aforesaid shall have been made Powers of it shall be lawful for trustees, executors, or administrators having invest in the power to invest their trust funds upon Government securities, or upon stocks in Parliamentary stocks, funds, or securities, or any of them, to invest which cash under the such trust funds, or any part thereof, in any of the stocks, funds, or control of the securities in or upon which by such general order cash under the Court may be invested. control of the Court may from time to time be invested (b).

(b) This section applies notwithstanding a prohibition clause in the instrument (Re Wedderburn, 9 Ch. D. 112).

See also the following enactments as to investments by trustees:

22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 32, made retrospective by sect. 12 of the Act in the text, infra (but not so as to interfere with rights already accrued, Hume v. Richardson, 10 W. R. 528; 4 De G. F. & J. 29), provides that when a trustee, executor, or administrator "shall not, by some instruments creating his trust, be expressly forbidden "to invest any trust fund on real securities in any part of the United Kingdom, or "on the stock of the Bank of England or Ireland, or on East India Stock, it shall the latest that a great trust trust are a calculated as a constant of the latest and the stock of the Bank of England or Ireland, or on East India Stock, it shall "be lawful for such trustee, executor, or administrator to invest such trust fund on "such securities or stock; and he shall not be liable on that account as for a breach "of trust, provided that such investment shall in other respects be reasonable and "proper." It has been held that this section does not apply where a particular

Investments by trustees (22 & 23 Vict.

"proper." It has been held that this section does not apply where a particular fund is settled and there is no power to vary investments (Re Warde, 2 J. & H. 191); but see Waite v. Littlewood, 41 L. J. Ch. 636; Re Clergy Corporation, 18 Eq. 280.

30 & 31 Vict. c. 132, s. 1, provides that "the words 'East India Stock' in the said Act (22 & 23 Vict. c. 35) shall include and express as well the East India c. 132, s. 1.

"Stock which existed previously to the 13th August, 1859, when the said Act re"ceived the assent of her Majesty, as East India Stock charged on the revenues of "India, and created under and by virtue of any Act or Acts of Parliament which "received her Majesty's assent on or after the 13th August, 1859; and it shall be "lawful for every trustee, executor, or administrator to invest any trust fund in his "possession or under his control in the stock created by the last-mentioned Act or "Acts to the same extent, and for the same purposes and objects, as he can now

23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 11.

Sect. 2.

"invest such trust fund in the East India Stock, which existed previously to the

"13th August, 1859." Railway Stock, with a charge on the revenues of India, is not within this Act (Green v. Angell, W. N. (1867), 305).

30 & 31 Vict. c. 132, s. 2, provides that "it shall be lawful for every trustee, "executor, or administrator to invest any trust fund in his possession, or under his "control, in any securities the interest of which is or shall be guaranteed by par-

"Hament to the same extent and in the same manner as he may invest such trust "fund in such securities as aforesaid."

See also 33 & 34 Vict. c. 34, as to investment of charity funds on real security; 34 Vict. c. 27, authorizing trustees having power to invest in mortgages or bonds of a company, to invest in the debenture stock of such company; 34 & 35 Vict. c. 47, as to investment in Metropolitan Consolidated Stock; and the Local Loans Act, 1875, as to investment by trustees in debentures or debenture stock issued under that Act.

[Section 12 made 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 32, retrospective. See note (b), supra.]

Right to property of

[Section 13 recites 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 27, s. 40, which provides that money charged on land and legacies are to be deemed satisfied at the end of twenty years, if there shall be no interest paid or acknowledgment in writing in the meanwhile, and barred after twenty years. See now 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57.]

[Section 14 is repealed by Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883.]

Act not to extend to Scotland, &c.

XV. This Act is not to extend to Scotland, nor are any of the clauses, except clause six and the subsequent clauses, to extend to Ireland.

37 & 38 Vict. o. 78.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER ACT, 1874.

37 & 38 VICT. CAP. 78.

An Act to amend the Law of Vendor and Purchaser, and further to simplify Title to Land. [7th August, 1874.]

Whereas it is expedient to facilitate the transfer of land by means of certain amendments in the law of vendor and purchaser:

Be it enacted, &c., as follows:

By sect. 1, in the completion of any contract of sale of land made after Dec. 31, 1874, forty years is substituted for sixty years as the root of title.]

[By sect. 2-

First. Under a contract to grant or assign a term of years, the lessee or assign shall not be entitled to call for the title to the freehold. See Patman \mathbf{v} . $ilde{H}$ arland, 17 \mathbf{Ch} . \mathbf{D} . 353.

Second. Recitals, &c., contained in instruments, twenty years old at the date of the contract, shall, unless and except so far as they shall be proved to be inaccurate, be sufficient evidence. See Bolton v. London School Board,

7 Ch. D. 766.

Third. The inability of the vendor to furnish a legal covenant to produce and furnish copies of documents of title shall not be an objection to title

in case the purchaser will have an equitable right to the production.

Fourth. Covenants for production shall be furnished at the purchaser's expense, and the vendor shall bear the expense of perusal and execution on behalf of and by himself.

Fifth. Where the vendor retains any part of an estate to which any documents of title relate he shall be entitled to retain such documents.]

Sales by trustees.

III. Trustees who are either vendors or purchasers may sell or buy without excluding the application of the second section of this Act.

IV. The legal personal representative of a mortgagee of a freehold 37 & 38 Vict. estate, or of a copyhold estate to which the mortgagee shall have been admitted, may, on payment of all sums secured by the mortgage, convey or Legal persurrender the mortgaged estate, whether the mortgage be in form an sentative may assurance subject to redemption, or an assurance upon trust (a).

c. 78, s. 4. convey legal estate of

(a) This section has been repealed in cases of death after Dec. 31st, 1881, by the mortgaged Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30 (2), post, p. 117. It did not apply to a transfer of property. a mortgage (Re Spradbery, 14 Ch. D. 514; Re Brook, 25 W. R. 841), or to a sale under a power in the mortgage (Re White, W. N. (1881), 115; 29 W. R. 820).

simple to vest administrator.

V. Upon the death of a bare trustee of any corporeal or incorporeal Bare legal hereditament of which such trustee was seised in fee simple, such hereditament shall vest like a chattel real in the legal personal representative from in executor or time to time of such trustee (b).

(b) Sect. 48 of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, provided as follows:—"Section five of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, shall be repealed on and after the commencement [1st January, 1876] of this Act, except as to anything duly done thereunder c. 87, s. 48. before the commencement of this Act; and, instead thereof, be it enacted that upon the death of a bare trustee intestate as to any corporeal or incorporeal hereditament of which such trustee was seised in fee simple, such hereditament shall vest like a chattel real in the legal personal representative from time to time of such trustee; but the enactment by this section substituted for the aforesaid section of 'The Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874,' shall not apply to lands registered under this Act."

This section of the Land Transfer Act has been itself repealed, in cases of death after 31st Dec. 1881, by the Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30 (2), post, p. 117. As to

Ireland, see sect. 73 of the Conveyancing Act, 1881.

For the meaning of "bare trustee," see Christiev. Ovington, 1 Ch. D. 279; Morgan "Bare v. Swansea Sanitary Authority, 9 Ch. D. 582.

trustee."

VI. When any freehold or copyhold hereditament shall be vested in Married a married woman as a bare trustee (c) she may convey or surrender woman who is a bare the same as if she were a feme sole.

trustee may convey, &c.

(c) As to the meaning of "bare trustes," see note (b) to sect. 5. See now as to married women, the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, infra.

[The 7th section, by which protection and priority by legal estates and tacking Tacking. was not to be allowed after the commencement of the Act, was repealed by sect. 129 of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, except as to anything duly done thereunder before 1st January, 1876; see Robinson v. Trevor, 12 Q. B. D. 432. But see now as to lands in Yorkshire, the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884, s. 16.]

By sect. 8 the non-registration of a will in Middlesex or Yorkshire is cured if an Non-regisassurance to a purchaser by the devisee or by some one deriving title under him, is tration in registered before an assurance from the testator's heir-at-law. See now as to York- Middlesex or shire, the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884.]

Yorkshire.

IX. A vendor or purchaser of real or leasehold estate in England, or Vendor or their representatives respectively, may at any time or times and from purchaser time to time apply in a summary way to a judge of the Court of decision of Chancery in England in chambers, in respect of any requisitions or judge in chambers as objections, or any claim for compensation, or any other question to requisitions arising out of or connected with the contract (not being a question or objections, affecting the existence or validity of the contract), and the judge shall tion, &c. make such order upon the application as to him shall appear just, and shall order how and by whom all or any of the costs of and incident to the application shall be borne and paid (d).

may obtain or compensa-

(d) The Act was not intended to enable the Court to decide disputed questions of

37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 9.

fact (Re Popple and Barratt, 25 W. R. 248; Re Burroughs, 5 Ch. D. 601); but evidence is admissible as on a reference as to title under a judgment where the contract had been established (Re Burroughs); and it is convenient and not unusual for a concise written statement of the circumstances of the case to be agreed upon, which is signed by the solicitors, and a copy left at the Chambers, either before or upon the return of the summons (Daniell, 1382). Where a witness refused to make an affidavit, his evidence was directed to be taken before a special examiner (Re Springall, W. N. (1875), 225).

Applications under s. 9.

Order a bar to action for

specific performance. Appeal. Costs.

Springall, W. N. (1875), 225).

Applications have been made to the Court under the Act in a great variety of cases which will be found collected in Daniell, p. 1382 et seq. For instances of applications see Re Coward, 20 Eq. 179; Re Ford and Hill, 10 Ch. D. 365; Osborne to Rowlett, 13 Ch. D. 774; Re Tanqueray-Willaume, 20 Ch. D. 465; Royal Society and Thompson, 17 Ch. D. 407; Whiting to Loomes, 14 Ch. D. 822; 17 Ch. D. 10; Re Lechmere and Lloyd, 18 Ch. D. 524; Re Brown and Sibly, 3 Ch. D. 156; Re Cooper and Allen, 4 Ch. D. 802; Re Hall Dare, 21 Ch. D. 41; Re Foster and Lister, 6 Ch. D. 87; Re Pigott and G. W. Ry., 18 Ch. D. 146. The Act does not apply in cases of voluntary grants, but a nominal consideration is sufficient as a foundation for proceedings under it (Re Marquis of Salisbury, 23 W. R. 824). The summons may by leave be served out of the jurisdiction (Drapers' Co. v. McCann, 1 L. R. Ir. 13).

An action for specific performance cannot be brought after an order has been made under the Act disposing of the matters in dispute (Thompson v. Ringer, W. N. (1881), 48; 29 W. R. 520).

The time within which an appeal can be brought from an order under the section

(1881), 48; 29 W. R. 520).

The time within which an appeal can be brought from an order under the section is twenty-one days (Re Blyth and Young, 13 Ch. D. 416).

The general rule is, that the purchaser must pay the costs if he fails on a vendor's summons caused by an objection to the title (Osborne to Rowlett, 13 Ch. D. 774; 28 W. R. 365; Re Waddell's Contract, 2 Ch. D. 172; Re Cooke's Contract, 4 Ch. D. 454). Where, however, the purchaser failed on an objection as to incumbrances, but the case was a proper one to be brought before the Court, each party had to pay his own costs of a vendor's summons (Finch v. Jukes, W. N. (1877), 211; and see Re Coward, 20 Eq. 179; 23 W. R. 605). So, where the difficulty had arisen entirely from conflicting decisions no costs were given (Osborne to Rowlett); and so, where there was a fair point for discussion (Re Metrop. Ry. Co. and Cosh, 13 Ch. D. 613).

If the vendor is in the wrong his summons will be dismissed with costs (Re Packman and Moss, 1 Ch. D. 214; 24 W. R. 170; and see Re Higgins, 21 Ch. D. 99; Re Hill, W. N. (1884), 15).

Where the purchaser makes an improper requisition and takes out a summons for an

Where the purchaser makes an improper requisition and takes out a summons for an order on the vendors to answer it, the summons should be dismissed with costs (Re Ford and Hill, 10 Ch. D. 365, where on appeal the order below was reversed, and the vendors got the costs of the appeal, but apparently paid the costs below).

A vendor or purchaser of real or leasehold estate in Ireland, or their representatives respectively, may in like manner and for the same purpose apply to a judge of the Court of Chancery in Ireland, and the judge shall make such order upon the application as to him shall appear just, and shall order how and by whom all or any of the costs of and incident to the application shall be borne and paid.

Extent of Act.

X. This Act shall not apply to Scotland, and may be cited as the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874.

CONVEYANCING AND LAW OF PROPERTY ACT, 1881. 44 & 45 Vict.

44 & 45 VICT. CAP. 41.

An Act for simplifying and improving the practice of Conveyancing; and for vesting in Trustees, Mortgagees, and others various powers commonly conferred by provisions inserted in Settlements, Mortgages, Wills, and other Instruments; and for amending in various particulars the Law of Property; and for other purposes. [22nd August, 1881.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:

I.—Preliminary.

- 1.—(1) This Act may be cited as the Conveyancing and Law of Short title; Property Act, 1881.
- (2) This Act shall commence and take effect from and immediately commenceafter the thirty-first day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ment; eighty-one.
 - (3) This Act does not extend to Scotland.

extent.

- 2. In this Act—
- (i.) Property, unless a contrary intention appears, includes real and Interpretation personal property, and any estate or interest in any property real or of property, land, &c. personal, and any debt, and any thing in action, and any other right or interest:

- (ii.) Land, unless a contrary intention appears, includes land of any tenure, and tenements and hereditaments, corporeal or incorporeal, and houses and other buildings, also an undivided share in land:
- (iii.) In relation to land, income includes rents and profits, and possession includes receipt of income:
 - (iv.) Manor includes lordship, and reputed manor or lordship:
- (v.) Conveyance, unless a contrary intention appears, includes assignment, appointment, lease, settlement, and other assurance, and covenant to surrender, made by deed, on a sale, mortgage, demise, or settlement of any property, or on any other dealing with or for any property; and convey, unless a contrary intention appears, has a meaning corresponding with that of conveyance:
- (vi.) Mortgage includes any charge on any property for securing money or money's worth; and mortgage money means money, or money's worth, secured by a mortgage; and mortgagor includes any person from time to time deriving title under the original mortgagor, or entitled to redeem a mortgage, according to his estate, interest, or right, in the mortgaged property; and mortgagee includes any person from time to time deriving title under the original mortgagee; and mortgagee in possession is, for the purposes of this Act, a mortgagee who, in right of the mortgage, has entered into and is in possession of the mortgaged property:

44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 2.

- (vii.) Incumbrance includes a mortgage in fee, or for a less estate, and a trust for securing money, and a lien, and a charge of a portion, annuity, or other capital or annual sum; and incumbrancer has a meaning corresponding with that of incumbrance, and includes every person entitled to the benefit of an incumbrance, or to require payment or discharge thereof:
- (viii.) Purchaser, unless a contrary intention appears, includes a lessee or mortgagee, and an intending purchaser, lessee, or mortgagee, or other person, who, for valuable consideration, takes or deals for any property; and purchase, unless a contrary intention appears, has a meaning corresponding with that of purchaser; but sale means only a sale properly so called:
- (ix.) Rent includes yearly or other rent, toll, duty, royalty, or other reservation, by the acre, the ton, or otherwise; and fine includes premium or fore-gift, and any payment, consideration, or benefit in the nature of a fine, premium, or fore-gift:
- (x.) Building purposes include the erecting and the improving of, and the adding to, and the repairing of buildings; and a building lease is a lease for building purposes or purposes connected therewith:
- (xi.) A mining lease is a lease for mining purposes, that is, the searching for, winning, working, getting, making merchantable, carrying away, or disposing of mines and minerals, or purposes connected therewith, and includes a grant or licence for mining purposes:
 - (xii.) Will includes codicil:
- (xiii.) Instrument includes deed, will, inclosure award, and Act of Parliament:
 - (xiv.) Securities include stocks, funds, and shares:
- (xv.) Bankruptcy includes liquidation by arrangement, and any other act or proceeding in law having, under any Act for the time being in force, effects or results similar to those of bankruptcy; and bankrupt has a meaning corresponding with that of bankruptcy:
- (xvi.) Writing includes print; and words referring to any instrument, copy, extract, abstract, or other document include any such instrument, copy, extract, abstract, or other document being in writing or in print, or partly in writing and partly in print:
 - (xvii.) Person includes a corporation:
- (xviii.) Her Majesty's High Court of Justice is referred to as the Court.

II.—Sales and other Transactions.

Contracts for Sale.

[Sect. 3 provides that on sales made after the commencement of the Act certain stipulations commonly inserted in conditions of sale shall be implied, unless a contrary intention is expressed in the contract. See sect. 2 of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, ante, p. 106; and see also Re Johnson, 28 Ch. D. 84.]

Completion of contract after death.

4.—(1) Where at the death of any person there is subsisting a contract enforceable against his heir or devisee, for the sale of the fee simple or other freehold interest, descendible to his heirs general, in any land, his personal representatives shall, by virtue of this Act,

have power to convey the land for all the estate and interest vested in 44 & 45 Viot. him at his death, in any manner proper for giving effect to the con- c. 41, s. 4. tract.

- (2) A conveyance made under this section shall not affect the beneficial rights of any person claiming under any testamentary disposition or as heir or next of kin of a testator or intestate.
- (3) This section applies only in cases of death after the commencement of this Act.

Discharge of Incumbrances on Sale.

- 5.—(1) Where land subject to any incumbrance, whether imme- Provision by diately payable or not, is sold by the Court, or out of Court, the Court for incumbrances, may, if it thinks fit, on the application of any party to the sale, direct and sale freed or allow payment into Court, in case of an annual sum charged on the therefrom. land, or of a capital sum charged on a determinable interest in the land, of such amount as, when invested in Government securities, the Court considers will be sufficient, by means of the dividends thereof, to keep down or otherwise provide for that charge, and in any other case of capital money charged on the land, of the amount sufficient to meet the incumbrance and any interest due thereon; but in either case there shall also be paid into Court such additional amount as the Court considers will be sufficient to meet the contingency of further costs, expenses, and interest, and any other contingency, except depreciation of investments, not exceeding one-tenth part of the original amount to be paid in, unless the Court for special reason thinks fit to require a larger additional amount.
- (2) Thereupon, the Court may, if it thinks fit, and either after or without any notice to the incumbrancer, as the Court thinks fit, declare the land to be freed from the incumbrance, and make any order for conveyance, or vesting order, proper for giving effect to the sale, and give directions for the retention and investment of the money in Court.
- (3) After notice served on the persons interested in or entitled to the money or fund in Court, the Court may direct payment or transfer thereof to the persons entitled to receive or give a discharge for the same, and generally may give directions respecting the application or distribution of the capital or income thereof.
- (4) This section applies to sales not completed at the commencement of this Act, and to sales thereafter made (a).
- (a) An order under this section for the sale of land free from an incumbrance, Form of the incumbrancer not being a party to the action, should follow the words of the order. the incumbrancer not being a party to the action, should follow the words of the Act, and after directing payment into Court of the purchase-money, and setting aside of an amount sufficient to meet the incumbrance, proceed to declare that thereupon any person should be at liberty to apply in chambers for a declaration that the land is free from the incumbrance (Dickin v. Dickin, W. N. (1882), 113; 30 W. R. 887). See also Patching v. Bull, 30 W. R. 244. The Court will not compel a vendor to pay money into Court for the purpose of discharging an incumbrance when the result would be to inflict a great hardship on him (Re Great Northern Ry., 25 Ch. D. 788; 32 W. R. 519).

[Sect. 6 provides that general words shall be implied in conveyances of land, General buildings and manors.] words.

44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 7. [Sect. 7 provides that covenants for title shall be implied in assurances where the conveyance is expressed to be made in one or other of the characters mentioned in the section.]

Covenants for title.

Execution of Purchase Deed.

- Rights of purchaser as to execution.
- 8.—(1) On a sale, the purchaser shall not be entitled to require that the conveyance to him be executed in his presence, or in that of his solicitor, as such; but shall be entitled to have, at his own cost, the execution of the conveyance attested by some person appointed by him, who may, if he thinks fit, be his solicitor (b).
- (2) This section applies only to sales made after the commencement of this Act.
 - (b) See note (s) to sect. 56, infra, p. 123.

Production and Safe Custody of Title Deeds.

Acknowledgment of right to production, and undertaking for safe custody of documents.

[By sect. 9 for copies of cop

Acknowledge [By sect. 9 (1—6), an acknowledgment of the right to production and to delivery ment of right of copies of documents has the effect of and is substituted for the old covenant for to production.]

- (7.) Any person claiming to be entitled to the benefit of an acknow-ledgment may apply to the Court for an order directing the production of the documents to which it relates, or any of them, or the delivery of copies of or extracts from those documents or any of them to him, or some person on his behalf; and the Court may, if it thinks fit, order production, or production and delivery, accordingly, and may give directions respecting the time, place, terms, and mode of production or delivery, and may make such order as it thinks fit respecting the costs of the application, or any other matter connected with the application.
- (8.) An acknowledgment shall by virtue of this Act satisfy any liability to give a covenant for production and delivery of copies of or extracts from documents.

[By sub-sects. 9 and 11, an undertaking for safe custody of documents satisfies any liability for safe custody of documents.]

- (10.) Any person claiming to be entitled to the benefit of such an undertaking may apply to the Court to assess damages for any loss, destruction of, or injury to the documents or any of them, and the Court may, if it thinks fit, direct an inquiry respecting the amount of damages, and order payment thereof by the person liable, and may make such order as it thinks fit respecting the costs of the application, or any other matter connected with the application.
- (12.) The rights conferred by an acknowledgment or an undertaking under this section shall be in addition to all such other rights relative to the production, or inspection, or the obtaining of copies of documents as are not, by virtue of this Act, satisfied by the giving of the acknowledgment or undertaking, and shall have effect subject to the terms of the acknowledgment or undertaking, and to any provisions therein contained.

- (13.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention 44 & 45 Vict. u. 41, s. 9 is not expressed in the acknowledgment or undertaking.
- (14.) This section applies only to an acknowledgment or undertaking given, or a liability respecting documents incurred, after the commencement of this Act.

III.--LEASES.

[Sect. 10 provides that rent and the benefit of the lessee's covenants shall run Leases. with the reversion.

[Sect. 11 provides that the obligation of the lessor's covenants shall run with the reversion.]

[Sect. 12 provides for the apportionment of conditions on severance, &c.]

[Sect. 13 provides, that on a sub-demise the title to the leasehold reversion shall not be required.]

Forfeiture.

- 14.—(1.) A right of re-entry or forfeiture under any proviso or Restrictions stipulation in a lease, for a breach of any covenant or condition in the on and relief lease, shall not be enforceable, by action or otherwise, unless and feiture of until the lessor serves on the lessee a notice specifying the particular leases. breach complained of and, if the breach is capable of remedy, requiring the lessee to remedy the breach, and, in any case, requiring the lessee to make compensation in money for the breach, and the lessee fails, within a reasonable time thereafter, to remedy the breach, if it is capable of remedy, and to make reasonable compensation in money, to the satisfaction of the lessor, for the breach (c).
- (c) See Ex parte Gould, W. N. (1884), 154; Scott v. Matthew Brown & Co., W. N. (1884), 209.
- (2.) Where a lessor is proceeding, by action or otherwise, to enforce such a right of re-entry or forfeiture, the lessee may, in the lessor's action, if any, or in any action brought by himself, apply to the Court for relief; and the Court may grant or refuse relief, as the Court, having regard to the proceedings and conduct of the parties under the foregoing provisions of this section, and to all the other circumstances, thinks fit; and in case of relief may grant it on such terms, if any, as to costs, expenses, damages, compensation, penalty, or otherwise, including the granting of an injunction to restrain any like breach in the future, as the Court, in the circumstances of each case, thinks fit (d).
- (d) This sub-section is not confined to breaches taking place after the Act, but extends to breaches committed before the Act, and to proceedings pending when it came into operation (Quilter v. Mapleson, 9 Q. B. D. 672; 52 L. J. Q. B. 44; 31 W. R. 75; 47 L. T. 561). For a case in which, under special circumstances, and where no proper notice had been given, equitable mortgagees of a lessee were relieved from a forfeiture, see North London Co. v. Jacques, W. N. (1883), 187; Jacques v. Harrison, 12 Q. B. D. 136. Where the forfeiture had been incurred through breach of a covenant to repair. relief was granted on the terms of the Juoques v. Hurrison, 12 Q. B. D. 130. Where the forfeiture had been incurred through breach of a covenant to repair, relief was granted on the terms of the defendant executing proper repairs and paying arrears of rent and costs (Bond v. Freke, W. N. (1884), 47). Where the right of renewing a lease for lives had been lost by non-payment of renewal fees, though demanded by the reversioners, the Court refused to relieve (Ruttledge v. Whelan, 10 L. R. Ir. 263); and see Scott v. Matthew Brown & Co., W. N. (1884), 209.

44 & 45 Viot, o. 41, s. 14.

- (3.) For the purposes of this section a lease includes an original or derivative under-lease, also a grant at a fee farm rent, or securing a rent by condition; and a lessee includes an original or derivative under-lessee, and the heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of a lessee, also a grantee under such a grant as aforesaid, his heirs and assigns; and a lessor includes an original or derivative under-lessor, and the heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of a lessor, also a grantor as aforesaid, and his heirs and assigns.
- (4.) This section applies although the proviso or stipulation under which the right of re-entry or forfeiture accrues is inserted in the lease in pursuance of the directions of any Act of Parliament.
- (5.) For the purposes of this section a lease limited to continue as long only as the lessee abstains from committing a breach of covenant shall be and take effect as a lease to continue for any longer term for which it could subsist, but determinable by a proviso for re-entry on such a breach.
 - (6.) This section does not extend-
 - (i.) To a covenant or condition against the assigning, under-letting, parting with the possession, or disposing of the land leased; or to a condition for forfeiture on the bankruptcy of the lessee, or on the taking in execution of the lessee's interest; or
 - (ii.) In case of a mining lease, to a covenant or condition for allowing the lessor to have access to or inspect books, accounts, records, weighing machines or other things, or to enter or inspect the mine or the workings thereof.
- (7.) The enactments described in Part I. of the Second Schedule to this Act are hereby repealed.
- (8.) This section shall not affect the law relating to re-entry or forfeiture or relief in case of non-payment of rent.
- (9.) This section applies to leases made either before or after the commencement of this Act, and shall have effect notwithstanding any stipulation to the contrary.

IV .- MORTGAGES.

Obligation on mortgagee to transfer instead of reconveying.

- 15.—(1.) Where a mortgagor is entitled to redeem, he shall, by virtue of this Act, have power to require the mortgagee, instead of re-conveying, and on the terms on which he would be bound to re-convey, to assign the mortgage debt and convey the mortgaged property to any third person, as the mortgagor directs; and the mortgagee shall, by virtue of this Act, be bound to assign and convey accordingly (e).
- (e) See now Conveyancing Act, 1882, s. 12, infra, and note thereto. A tenant for life of mortgaged premises, who has failed to keep down the interest, and who has obtained the usual order permitting him to redeem, is not entitled as of right to require the mortgagee to transfer to a third person (Alderson v. Elgey, 26 Ch. D. 567).
- (2.) This section does not apply in the case of a mortgagee being or having been in possession.

(3.) This section applies to mortgages made either before or after 44 & 45 Vict. the commencement of this Act, and shall have effect notwithstanding c. 41, s. 15. any stipulation to the contrary.

[Sect. 16 empowers the mortgagor to inspect the title desds.]

17.-(1.) A mortgagor seeking to redeem any one mortgage, shall, Restriction by virtue of this Act, be entitled to do so, without paying any money tion of mortdue under any separate mortgage made by him, or by any person gages. through whom he claims, on property other than that comprised in the mortgage which he seeks to redeem (f).

- (2.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention is not expressed in the mortgage deeds or one of them.
- (3.) This section applies only where the mortgages or one of them are or is made after the commencement of this Act.
- (f) In an action to foreclose two mortgages the mortgagor cannot redeem either eather without paying the whole costs of the action (Clapham v. Andrews, 27 Ch. D.

The recent tendency of the Courts (apart from this Act) has been to restrict the doctrine of consolidation; see Jennings v. Jordan, 6 App. Cas. 698; Harter v. Colman, 19 Ch. D. 630; Re Raggett, 16 Ch. D. 117; Cummins v. Fletcher, 14 Ch. D.

[Sect. 18 gives power to the person in possession, whether mortgager or mortgagee, to make leases; see Re Nugent, W. N. (1883), 147.]

[Sect. 19 provides that a mortgagee, where the mortgage is made by deed, shall have power (i) to sell; (ii) to insure; (iii) to appoint a receiver (see *Tillett v. Nixon*, 25 Ch. D. 238; *Bayly v. Went*, W. N. (1884), 197); (iv) if in possession, to cut and sell timber.]

[Sect. 20 provides that the power of sale shall not be exercised unless (i) Notice has been given to pay off the principal, and default has been made for three months; or (ii) interest is two months in arrear; or (iii) there has been a breach of some provision in the mortgage other than the covenants for payment of principal and interest.]

Sect. 21 relates to conveyance, &c. on an exercise of the power of sale, and prescribes the application of the purchase-money.]

[Sect. 22 empowers the mortgagee to give receipts.]

[Sect. 23 relates to the amount and application of the insurance money.]

[Sect. 24 deals with the appointment, powers, remuneration, and duties of the receiver, who is to be deemed the agent of the mortgagor. Where an action is pending the receiver should be appointed by the Court rather than by the mortgages under the Act (Tillett v. Nixon, 25 Ch. D. 238). If the mortgages has appointed a receiver the mortgagor will not be allowed to distrain for rent due from the tenants (Bayly v. Went, W. N. (1884), 197).]

Action respecting Mortgage.

25.—(1.) Any person entitled to redeem mortgaged property may Sals of morthave a judgment or order for sale instead of for redemption in an gaged proaction brought by him either for redemption alone, or for sale alone, for foreor for sale or redemption in the alternative.

(2.) In any action, whether for foreclosure, or for redemption, or for sale, or for the raising and payment in any manner of mortgage money, the Court, on the request of the mortgagee, or of any person interested either in the mortgage money or in the right of redemption, and, notwithstanding the dissent of any other person, and notwithstanding 44 & 45 Vict. o. 41, s. 25. that the mortgagee or any person so interested does not appear in the action, and without allowing any time for redemption or for payment of any mortgage money, may, if it thinks fit, direct a sale of the mortgaged property, on such terms as it thinks fit, including, if it thinks fit, the deposit in Court of a reasonable sum fixed by the Court, to meet the expenses of sale and to secure performance of the terms.

- (3.) But, in an action brought by a person interested in the right of redemption and seeking a sale, the Court may, on the application of any defendant, direct the plaintiff to give such security for costs as the Court thinks fit, and may give the conduct of the sale to any defendant, and may give such directions as it thinks fit respecting the costs of the defendants or any of them.
- (4.) In any case within this section the Court may, if it thinks fit, direct a sale without previously determining the priorities of incumbrancers.
- (5.) This section applies to actions brought either before or after the commencement of this Act(g).

15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, e. 48.

- (6.) The enactment described in Part II. of the second schedule to this Act is hereby repealed.
 - (7.) This section does not extend to Ireland.

Order for eale.

(g) The Court has power at any time before foreclosure absolute to order a sale (Union Bank v. Ingram, 20 Ch. D. 463; 51 L. J. Ch. 508; 30 W. R. 375; 46 L. T. 507; Weston v. Davidson, W. N. (1882), 28; South Western Bank v. Turner, 31 W. R. 113). As to the form of order where the mortgagor has not appeared, see Wade v. Wilson, 22 Ch. D. 235; 52 L. J. Ch. 399; 31 W. R. 237; 47 L. T. 696; South Western Bank v. Turner. Although a sale may be directed in a foreclosure action without the plaintiff's consent, even where the mortgaged property ie only an equity of redemption, and there are prior mortgages not parties, a sale will not be directed at the request of a defendant who will not give security (Cripps v. Wood, 51 L. J. Ch. 584).

Where the application for a sale was made by the defendant, the mortgagor, after the time appointed for the payment of the mortgage money, the Court directed that on the defendant paying within one month into Court the sum of 150l. as a deposit to meet the expenses of sale, and also paying the plaintiff's costs of the application, there should he a sale of the property, but otherwise a foreclosure (Weston v. Davidson, W. N. (1882), 28). Where there were several mortgages a sale was directed, in a redemption action, upon the application, soon after the issue of the writ of summons, of the plaintiff who was the owner of the equity of redemption; but it was held that a reserved price large enough to cover what was due to mortgages who opposed the sale must be fixed, and that the plaintiff must give security for the costs of the eale, the conduct of which was given to him, and which was directed to take place out of Court, the proceeds of sale being directed to be brought into Court (Woolley v. Colman, 21 Ch. D. 169). A tenant in common who has mortgaged his ehare to another tenant in common cannot enforce a partition or sale of the whole property against the will of the mortgage without paying off the mortgage (Gibbs v. Haydon, 30 W. R. 726).

An equitable mortgagee by deposit may have a sale though there is no me-

An equitable mortgagee by deposit may have a sale though there is no memorandum of deposit and no agreement to execute a legal mortgage (Oldham v. Stringer, W. N. (1884), 235).

V.—STATUTORY MORTGAGE.

[Sects. 26—29 provide for forms of etatutory mortgages, transfers and reconveyances.]

VI.—Trust and Mortgage Estates on Death.

Devolution of trust and mortgage 30.—(1.) Where an estate or interest of inheritance, or limited to the heir as special occupant, in any tenements or hereditaments, corporeal

or incorporeal, is vested on any trust, or by way of mortgage, in any 44 & 45 Vict. person solely, the same shall, on his death, notwithstanding any testa- c. 41, s. 30. mentary disposition, devolve to and become vested in his personal estates on representatives or representative from time to time, in like manner as death. if the same were a chattel real vesting in them or him; and accordingly all the like powers, for one only of several joint personal representatives, as well as for a single personal representative, and for all the personal representatives together, to dispose of and otherwise deal with the same, shall belong to the deceased's personal representatives or representative from time to time, with all the like incidents, but subject to all the like rights, equities, and obligations, as if the same were a chattel real vesting in them or him; and, for the purposes of this section, the personal representatives, for the time being, of the deceased, shall be deemed in law his heirs and assigns, within the meaning of all trusts and powers (h).

(2.) Section four of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, and section 37 & 38 Vict. forty-eight of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, are hereby repealed (i).

38 & 39 Vict.

- (3.) This section, including the repeals therein, applies only in cases c. 87. of death after the commencement of this Act.
- (h) The section applies to copyholds (Re Hughes, W. N. (1884), 53). Qu. What becomes of the legal estate when there is no personal representative? See Re Pilling, 26 Ch. D. 432.

(i) See these sections, ante, p. 107.

VII.—Trustees and Executors.

31.—(1.) Where a trustee, either original or substituted, and Appointment whether appointed by a Court or otherwise, is dead, or remains out trustees, of the United Kingdom for more than twelve months, or desires to be vesting of discharged from the trusts or powers reposed in or conferred on him, perty, &c. or refuses or is unfit to act therein, or is incapable of acting therein, then the person or persons nominated for this purpose by the instrument, if any, creating the trust (k), or if there is no such person, or no such person able and willing to act, then the surviving or continuing trustees or trustee for the time being, or the personal representatives of the last surviving or continuing trustee (1), may, by writing, appoint another person or other persons to be a trustee or trustees in the place of the trustee dead, remaining out of the United Kingdom, desiring to be discharged, refusing or being unfit, or being incapable, as aforesaid (m).

(k) See Re Walker, 24 Ch. D. 698.
(l) The representative of a deceased trustee is not bound, at the request of the cestui que trust, to exercise the power (Re Sarah Knight, 26 Ch. D. 82).
(m) Where the power given by this section can be exercised, application ought not to be made to the Court (Re Gibbon, W. N. (1882), 12; 30 W. R. 287).

- (2.) On an appointment of a new trustee, the number of trustees may be increased.
- (3.) On an appointment of a new trustee, it shall not be obligatory to appoint more than one new trustee, where only one trustee was originally appointed, or to fill up the original number of trustees.

4 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 31. where more than two trustees were originally appointed; but, except where only one trustee was originally appointed, a trustee shall not be discharged under this section from his trust unless there will be at least two trustees to perform the trust.

- (4.) On an appointment of a new trustee any assurance or thing requisite for vesting the trust property, or any part thereof, jointly in the persons who are the trustees, shall be executed or done.
- (5.) Every new trustee so appointed, as well before as after all the trust property becomes by law, or by assurance, or otherwise, vested in him, shall have the same powers, authorities, and discretions, and may in all respects act, as if he had been originally appointed a trustee by the instrument, if any, creating the trust.
- (6.) The provisions of this section relative to a trustee who is dead include the case of a person nominated trustee in a will but dying before the testator (\mathcal{U}) ; and those relative to a continuing trustee include a refusing or retiring trustee, if willing to act in the execution of the provisions of this section.
- (7.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention (mm) is not expressed in the instrument, if any, creating the trust, and shall have effect subject to the terms of that instrument and to any provisions therein contained.
- (8.) This section applies to trusts created either before or after the commencement of this Act.
- (ii) If all the trustees predecease the testator, then (in the absence of an express power) recourse must be had to the Court (Re Orde, 24 Ch. D. 271; Re Lightbody, W. N. (1885), 3).

 (mm) See Cecil v. Langdon, 28 Ch. D. 1.

Retirement of trustee.

- 32.—(1.) Where there are more than two trustees, if one of them by deed declares that he is desirous of being discharged from the trust, and if his co-trustees and such other person, if any, as is empowered to appoint trustees, by deed consent to the discharge of the trustee, and to the vesting in the co-trustees alone of the trust property, then the trustee desirous of being discharged shall be deemed to have retired from the trust, and shall, by the deed, be discharged therefrom under this Act, without any new trustee being appointed in his place.
- (2.) Any assurance or thing requisite for vesting the trust property in the continuing trustees alone shall be executed or done.
- (3.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention is not expressed in the instrument, if any, creating the trust, and shall have effect subject to the terms of that instrument and to any provisions therein contained.
- (4.) This section applies to trusts created either before or after the commencement of this Act.

Powers of new rustee appointed by Jourt. 33.—(1.) Every trustee appointed by the Court of Chancery, or by the Chancery Division of the Court, or by any other Court of competent jurisdiction, shall, as well before as after the trust property becomes by law, or by assurance, or otherwise, vested in him, have the same powers, authorities, and discretions, and may in all respects act as if

he had been originally appointed a trustee by the instrument, if any, 44 & 45 Vict. o. 41, s. 33. creating the trust.

- (2.) This section applies to appointments made either before or after the commencement of this Act.
- 34.—(1.) Where a deed by which a new trustee is appointed to Vesting of perform any trust contains a declaration by the appointor to the effect trust property in new or that any estate or interest in any land subject to the trust, or in any continuing chattel so subject, or the right to recover and receive any debt or other trustees. thing in action so subject, shall vest in the persons who by virtue of the deed become and are the trustees for performing the trust, that declaration shall, without any conveyance or assignment, operate to vest in those persons, as joint tenants, and for the purposes of the trust, that estate, interest, or right.
- (2.) Where a deed by which a retiring trustee is discharged under this Act contains such a declaration as is in this section mentioned by the retiring and continuing trustees, and by the other person, if any, empowered to appoint trustees, that declaration shall, without any conveyance or assignment, operate to vest in the continuing trustees alone, as joint tenants, and for the purposes of the trust, the estate, interest, or right to which the declaration relates.
- (3.) This section does not extend to any legal estate or interest in copyhold or customary land, or to land conveyed by way of mortgage for securing money subject to the trust, or to any such share, stock, annuity or property as is only transferable in books kept by a company or other body, or in manner prescribed by or under Act of Parliament (n).
- (4.) For purposes of registration of the deed in any registry, the person or persons making the declaration shall be deemed the conveying party or parties, and the conveyance shall be deemed to be made by him or them under a power conferred by this Act.
- (5.) This section applies only to deeds executed after the commencement of this Act.
 - (n) See Re Harrison, W. N. (1883), 31.

[Sect. 35 provides that trustees with a trust for or power of sale under an instrument coming into operation since the Act, may sell in lots, by auction or private contract, subject to special conditions, &c.]

[Sect. 36 enables trustees to give receipts for money or personal property payable or transferable to them.]

[Sect. 37 empowers executors and trustees to compound, and compromise debts and claims.

[Sect. 38 provides that powers or trusts given since the Act to two or more executors or trustees jointly may be exercised by the survivors or survivor of them.]

VIII.-MARRIED WOMEN.

39.—(1.) Notwithstanding that a married woman is restrained from Power for anticipation, the Court may, if it thinks fit, where it appears to the Court to bind interest of

44 & 45 Vict. u. 41, s. 39.

woman. Where order binding mar-

ried woman's

married

Court to be for her benefit, by judgment or order, with her consent, bind her interest in any property (o).

(2.) This section applies only to judgments or orders made after the commencement of this Act.

(o) An order binding the interest of a married woman will only be made where it is clear that the removal of the restraint will be for her benefit, and not merely for the benefit of her husband (Tamplin v. Miller, W. N. (1882), 44; 30 W. R. 422). The order has been made where land in which a married woman had an interest interest made. the order has been made where tand in which a married woman had an interest settled to her separate use without power of anticipation, having been sold, part of the proceeds of the sale was to be paid to a mortgagee (Re Landfield, Landfield, Landfield, 30 W. R. 377); where the sanction of the Court was required to a compromise of the claims of a married woman to trust property, to which she was entitled for her separate use without power of anticipatiou (Tamplin v. Miller); and where a married woman was entitled for her separate use for life without power of anticipation to the income of a fund in Court, to the corpus of which, in the event which had happened, she, in default of the exercise of a testamentary power of appointment vested in herself, was entitled absolutely, the Court (she having contracted a number of debts, for payment of which her creditors were pressing her and causing her great annoyance) considered that the restraint on anticipation ought to be removed, and ordered part of the fund to be paid out to her in order to enable her to pay her debts (Hodges v. Hodges, 30 W. R. 483; 20 Ch. D. 749). See also Ex parte Thompson, W. N. (1884), 28; Musgrave v. Sandeman, 48 L. T. 215; Sedgwick v. Thomas, 48 L. T. 100. An order has been made under special circumstances authorizing the sale of a married woman's life interest in order to provide funds for the purpose of emigration; see Re Flood, 11 L. B. Ir order to provide funds for the purpose of emigration; see Re Flood, 11 L. R. Ir. 355, where the form of the order is given. But it must be clearly shown that the removal of the restraint will benefit the married woman (Re Warren, W. N. (1883), 125; 52 L. J., Ch. 928, where the object was to put an end to a marriage settlement on the ground that the wife was past child-bearing, and the Court of Appeal refused to allow it). As to married woman tenant for life, see the Settled Land Act, 1882, s. 61, infra.

Form of order.

Application for order; how made.

The order is made under the general power of the Court, conferred by the statute, and where made in any pending action or proceeding it need not be intituled in the Act (Re Landfield, Landfield v. Landfield, 30 W. R. 377). The application for the order is, where the subject of an independent proceeding, made hy summons (s. 69 (3); Re Lillwall, W. N. (1882), 6; 30 W. R. 243).

[Sect. 40 empowers a married woman, whether an infant or not, to appoint an attorney.]

IX.—Infants.

Sales and leases on beowner. c. 18.

half of infant 40 & 41 Vict.

Management of land and receipt and application of income during

minority.

- 41. Where a person in his own right seised of or entitled to land for an estate in fee simple, or for any leasehold interest at a rent, is an infant, the land shall be deemed to be a settled estate within the Settled Estates Act, 1877 (p).
 - (p) See Re Liddell, W. N. (1882), 183; 31 W. R. 238.
- 42.—(1.) If and as long as any person who would but for this section be beneficially entitled to the possession of any land is an infant, and being a woman is also unmarried, the trustees appointed for this purpose by the settlement, if any, or if there are none so appointed, then the persons, if any, who are for the time being under the settlement trustees with power of sale of the settled land, or of part thereof, or with power of consent to or approval of the exercise of such a power of sale, or if there are none, then any persons appointed as trustees for this purpose by the Court, on the application of a guardian or next friend of the infant, may enter into and continue in possession of the land; and in every such case the subsequent provisions of this section shall apply.

[Sub-sections 2 and 3 confer extensive powers of management on the trustees.]

(4.) The trustees may apply at discretion any income which, in the exercise of such discretion, they deem proper, according to the infant's age, for his or her maintenance, education, or benefit, or pay thereout any money to the infant's parent or guardian, to be applied for the same purposes.

(5.) The trustees shall lay out the residue of the income of the land in investment on securities on which they are by the settlement, if any, or by law, authorized to invest trust money, with power to vary investments; and shall accumulate the income of the investments so made in the way of compound interest, by from time to time similarly investing such income and the resulting income of investments; and shall stand possessed of the accumulated fund arising from income of the land and from investments of income on the trusts following (namely):

(i.) If the infant attains the age of twenty-one years, then in trust for the infant;
(ii.) If the infant is a woman and marries while an infant, then in trust for her separate use, independently of her husband, and so that her receipt after she marries, and though still an infant, shall be a good discharge; but

(iii.) If the infant dies while an infant, and being a woman without having been married, then, where the infant was, under a settlement, tenant for life, or hy purchase tenant in tail or tail male or tail female, on the trusts, if any, declared of the accumulated fund by that settlement; but where no such trusts are declared, or the infant has taken the land from which the accumulated fund is derived by descent, and not by purchase, or the infant is tenant for an estate in fee simple, absolute or determinable, then in trust for the infant's personal representatives, as part of the infant's personal estate; but the accumulations, or any part thereof, may at any time be applied as if the same

were income arising in the then current year.

(6.) Where the infant's estate or interest is in an undivided share of land, the powers of this section relative to the land may be exercised jointly with persons entitled to possession of, or having power to act in relation to, the other undivided

(7.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention is not expressed in the instrument under which the interest of the infant arises, and shall have effect enbject to the terms of that instrument and to the provisions therein contained.

(8.) This section applies only where that instrument comes into operation after the

commencement of this Act.

43.—(1.) Where any property is held by trustees in trust for an Application infant, either for life, or for any greater interest, and whether abso- by trustees of income of lutely, or contingently on his attaining the age of twenty-one years, or property of on the occurrence of any event before his attaining that age, the infant for trustees may, at their sole discretion, pay to the infant's parent or &c. guardian, if any, or otherwise apply for or towards the infant's maintenance, education, or benefit, the income of that property, or any part thereof, whether there is any other fund applicable to the same purpose, or any person bound by law to provide for the infant's maintenance or education, or not (q).

(q) Trustees cannot under this section apply the income of an infant's contingent legacy for the benefit of the infant, unless the income will go along with the capital of the legacy if and when such capital vests (Re Judkin, 25 Ch. D. 743); and see Re Dickson W. N. (1884), 235. Under this and the next sub-section trustees may apply past accumulations of income in payment of past maintenance (Re Pitts, W. N. (1884), 225).

- (2.) The trustees shall accumulate all the residue of that income in the way of compound interest, by investing the same and the resulting income thereof from time to time on securities on which they are by the settlement, if any, or by law, authorized to invest trust money, and shall hold those accumulations for the benefit of the person who ultimately becomes entitled to the property from which the same arise (qq); but so that the trustees may at any time, if they think fit, apply those accumulations, or any part thereof, as if the same were income arising in the then current year.
 - (qq) See Re Buckley, 22 Ch. D. 583.

maintenance,

44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 43.

- (3.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention (r) is not expressed in the instrument under which the interest of the infant arises, and shall have effect subject to the terms of that instrument and to the provisions therein contained.
- (4.) This section applies whether that instrument comes into operation before or after the commencement of this Act.
- (r) A direction for accumulation of income until the happening of the contingency on which infants are to become entitled does not show a "contrary intention" (Re Thatcher, 26 Ch. D. 426).

X.—RENT-CHARGES AND OTHER ANNUAL SUMS.

[Sect. 44 provides remedies for recovery of annual sums charged on land; it is limited to the case of instruments coming into operation since the Act.]

[Sect. 45 provides for the redemption of quit rents and other perpetual charges.]

XI.—Powers of Attorney.

[Sect. 46 provides that an attorney may execute his power in his own name.]

[Sect. 47 provides that acts done in pursuance of a power of attorney without notice of revocation shall be good.]

Deposit of original instruments creating powers of attorney.

- 48.—(1.) An instrument creating a power of attorney, its execution being verified by affidavit, statutory declaration, or other sufficient evidence, may, with the affidavit or declaration, if any, be deposited in the Central Office of the Supreme Court of Judicature.
- (2.) A separate file of instruments so deposited shall be kept, and any person may search that file, and inspect every instrument so deposited, and an office copy thereof shall be delivered out to him on request.
- (3.) A copy of an instrument so deposited may be presented at the office, and may be stamped or marked as an office copy, and when so stamped or marked shall become and be an office copy.
- (4.) An office copy of an instrument so deposited shall without further proof be sufficient evidence of the contents of the instrument and of the deposit thereof in the Central Office.
- (5.) General Rules may be made for purposes of this section, regulating the practice of the Central Office, and prescribing, with the concurrence of the Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury, the fees to be taken therein (rr).
- (6.) This section applies to instruments creating powers of attorney executed either before or after the commencement of this Act.
 - (rr) See post, p. 133.

XII.—Construction and Effect of Deeds and other Instruments. [Sect. 49 renders the use of the word "grant" unnecessary.]

[Sect. 50 provides that freeholds or a thing in action may be conveyed by a person to himself and another jointly, and by a husband to his wife, and by a wife to her husband, alone or jointly with another person.]

[Sect. 51 provides that the words "in fee simple," and "in tail," may be used instead of the old words of limitation.]

[Sect. 52 authorises the release of powers whether coupled with an interest or not. See Re Eyre, 49 L. T. 259; W. N. (1883), 153; Conv. Act, 1882, s. 6, post, p. 129.]

[Sect. 53 relates to the construction of supplemental or annexed deeds.]

[Sect. 54 enacts that a receipt in the body of a deed shall be sufficient.]

44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 56.

[Sect. 55 provides that a receipt in a deed or endorsed upon it, shall in favour of a subsequent purchaser, be sufficient evidence of payment.

56.—(1.) Where a solicitor produces a deed, having in the body Receipt in thereof or indorsed thereon a receipt for consideration money or other indorsed, consideration, the deed being executed, or the indorsed receipt being authority for signed, by the person entitled to give a receipt for that consideration, payment to selicitor. the deed shall be sufficient authority to the person liable to pay or give the same for his paying or giving the same to the solicitor, without the solicitor producing any separate or other direction or authority in that behalf from the person who executed or signed the deed or receipt.

- (2.) This section applies only in cases where consideration is to be paid or given after the commencement of this Act(s).
- (s) This section does not authorize fiduciary vendors to require the purchaser to Sales by pay the purchase-money to their solicitor on production of a duly-executed con- trustees. veyance in cases where before the Act they could not have required the purchaser to pay the purchase-money to their solicitor under a special authority (Re Bellamy, 24 Ch. D. 387; Re Flower and Metropolitan Board of Works, 27 Ch. D.

[Sect. 57 provides for statutory forms of deeds.]

[Sects. 58—60 relate to the construction of covenants.]

[Sect. 61 dispenses with the necessity for the joint account clause in mortgages.]

[Sect. 62 relates to the grant of easements, &c., by way of use.]

[Sect. 63 dispenses with the necessity for the "all the estate" clause.]

[Sect. 64 relates to the construction of the covenants, &c., implied by the Act.]

XIII.—Long Terms.

[Sect. 65 anthorises the enlargement in certain cases of long terms of years into fee simple estates. See Re Smith and Stott, 31 W. R. 411. The section is amended by sect. 11 of the Conv. Act, 1882.]

XIV.—Adoption of Act.

66.—(1.) It is hereby declared that the powers given by this Act to any person, Protection of and the covenants, provisions, stipulations, and words which under this Act are to be deemed included or implied in any instrument, or are by this Act made applicable to any contract for sale or other transaction, are and shall be deemed in law proper powers, covenants, provisions, stipulations, and words, to be given by or to be contained in any such instrument, or to be adopted in connexion with, or applied to, any such contract or transaction; and a solicitor shall not be deemed guilty of neglect or hreach of duty, or become in any way liable, by reason of his omitting, in good faith, in any such instrument, or in connexion with any such contract or transaction, to negative the giving, inclusion, implication, or application of any of those powers, covenants, provisions, stipulations, or words, or to insert or apply any others in place thereof, in any case where the provisions of this Act would allow of his doing so.

(2.) But nothing in this Act shall be taken to imply that the insertion in any 66.—(1.) It is hereby declared that the powers given by this Act to any person, Protection of

(2.) But nothing in this Act shall be taken to imply that the insertion in any such instrument, or the adoption in connexion with, or the application to, any contract or transaction, of any further or other powers, covenants, provisions, stipula-

ions, or words is improper.

(3.) Where the solicitor is acting for trustees, executors, or other persons in a fiduciary position, those persons shall also be protected in like manner.

(4.) Where such persons are acting without a solicitor, they shall also be pro-

tected in like manner.

44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 67.

Regulations

respecting

notice.

XV.—MISCELLANEOUS.

67.-(1.) Any notice required or authorized by this Act to be served shall he in writing.

(2.) Any notice required or authorized by this Act to be served on a lessee or mortgagor shall be sufficient, although only addressed to the lessee or mortgagor by that designation, without his name, or generally to the persons interested, without any name, and notwithstanding that any person to be affected by the notice is absent, under disability, unborn, or unascertained.

(3.) Any notice required or authorized by this Act to be served shall be suffi-

ciently served if it is left at the last-known place of abode or business in the United Kingdom of the lessee, lessor, mortgagee, mortgagor, or other person to be served, or, in case of a notice required or authorized to be served on a lessee or mortgagor, is affixed or left for bim on the land or any house or building comprised in the lease or mortgage, or, in case of a mining lease, is left for the lessee at the office or counting-house of the mine.

(4.) Any notice required or authorized by this Act to be served shall also be sufficiently served, if it is sent by post in a registered letter addressed to the lessee, lessor, mortgagee, mortgager, or other person to be served, by name, at the aforesaid place of abode or business, office, or counting-house, and if that letter is not returned through the post-office undelivered; and that service shall be deemed to be made at the time at which the registered letter would in the ordinary course be

Short title of 5 & 6 Will. 4, u. 62.

(5.) This section does not apply to notices served in proceedings in the Court.68. The Act described in Part II. of the First Schedule to this Act shall, by

virtue of this Act, have the short title of the Statutory Declarations Act, 1835, and may be cited by that short title in any declaration made for any purpose under or by virtue of that Act, or in any other document, or in any Act of Parliament.

XVI.—Court; Procedure; Orders.

Regulations respecting payments into Court and applications.

- 69.—(1.) All matters within the jurisdiction of the Court under this Act shall, subject to the Acts regulating the Court, be assigned to the Chancery Division of the Court.
- (2.) Payment of money into Court shall effectually exonerate therefrom the person making the payment.
- (3.) Every application to the Court shall, except where it is otherwise expressed, be by summons at chambers.
- (4.) On an application by a purchaser notice shall be served in the first instance on the vendor.
- (5.) On an application by a vendor notice shall be served in the first instance on the purchaser.
- (6.) On any application notice shall be served on such persons, if any, as the Court thinks fit.
- (7.) The Court shall have full power and discretion to make such order as it thinks fit respecting the costs, charges, or expenses of all or any of the parties to any application.

39 & 40 Vict. c. 59, s. 17.

- (8.) General Rules for purposes of this Act shall be deemed Rules of Court within section seventeen of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, and may be made accordingly.
- (9.) The powers of the Court may, as regards land in the County Palatine of Lancaster, be exercised also by the Court of Chancery of the County Palatine; and Rules for regulating proceedings in that Court shall be from time to time made by the Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster, with the advice and consent of a Judge of the High Court acting in the Chancery Division, and of the Vice-Chancellor of the County Palatine.

(10.) General Rules, and Rules of the Court of Chancery of the 44 & 45 Vict. County Palatine, under this Act may be made at any time after the c. 41, s. 69. passing of this Act, to take effect on or after the commencement of this Act.

70.—(1.) An order of the Court under any statutory or other juris- Orders of diction shall not as against a purchaser, be invalidated on the ground clusive. of want of jurisdiction, or of want of any concurrence, consent, notice, or service, whether the purchaser has notice of any such want or not.

(2.) This section shall have effect with respect to any lease, sale, or other act under the authority of the Court, and purporting to be in pursuance of the Settled Estates Act, 1877, notwithstanding the ex- 40 & 41 Vict. ception in section forty of that Act, or to be in pursuance of any o. 18, s. 40. former Act repealed by that Act, notwithstanding any exception in such former Act.

- (3.) This section applies to all orders made before or after the commencement of this Act, except any order which has before the commencement of this Act been set aside or determined to be invalid on any ground, and except any order as regards which an action or proceeding is at the commencement of this Act pending for having it set aside or determined to be invalid (t).
 - (t) See Re Hall Dare, 21 Ch. D. 41.

XVII.—REPEALS.

71. -(1.) The enactments described in Part III. of the Second Schedule to this Repeal of

Act are hereby repealed.

(2.) The repeal by this Act of any enactment shall not affect the validity or invalidity, or any operation, effect, or consequence, of any instrument executed or made, or of anything done or suffered, before the commencement of this Act, or any action, proceeding, or thing then pending or uncompleted; and every such action, proceeding, and thing may be carried on and completed as if there had been no such repeal in this Act; but this provision shall not be construed as qualifying the provision of this Act relating to section forty of the Settled Estates Act, 1877, or any former Act repealed by that Act.

enactments in Part III. of Second Schedule; restriction on all repeals.

XVIII.—IRELAND.

[Sects. 72 and 73 relate only to Ireland.]

SCHEDULES.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE.

ACTS AFFECTED.

PART I.

1 & 2 Vict. c. 110.—An Act for abolishing arrest on mesne process in civil actions, except in certain cases; for extending the remedies of creditors against the property of debtors; and for amending the laws for the relief of insolvent debtors in England.

2 & 3 Vict. c. 11.—An Act for the better protection of purchasers against judgments, crown debts, lis pendens, and fiats in bankruptcy.

18 & 19 Vict. c. 15 .- An Act for the better protection of purchasers against judgments, crown debts, cases of lis pendens, and life annuities or rentcharges.

22 & 23 Vict. c. 35.—An Act to further amend the law of property and to relieve

trustees.

23 & 24 Vict. c. 38.—An Act to further amend the law of property.
23 & 24 Vict. c. 115.—An Act to simplify and amend the practice as to the entry of satisfaction on Crown debts and ou judgments.

0. 41.

44 & 45 Vict. 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112.—An Act to amend the law relating to future judgments,

statutes, and recognizances.
28 & 29 Vict. c. 104.—The Crewn Suits, &c. Act, 1865.
31 & 32 Vict. c. 54.—The Judgments Extension Act, 1868.

PART II.

5. & 6 Will. 4. c. 62.—An Act to repeal an Act of the present session of Parliament, intituled "An Act for the more effectual abolition of eaths and affirmations taken and made in various Departments of the State, and to substitute declarations in lieu thereof; and for the more entire suppression of voluntary and extra-judical eaths and affidavits;" and to make other provisions for the abolition of provisions and affidavits. abolition of unnecessary eaths.

THE SECOND SCHEDULE.

REPEALS.

A description or citation of a portion of an Act is inclusive of the words, section, er other part, first er last mentioned, or otherwise referred to as ferming the beginning, or as forming the end, of the portion comprised in the description or citation.

PART I.

in part.	An Act to further amend the law of property and to relieve trustees Sections four to nine.	,
23 & 24 Vict. c. 126 in part.	The Common Law Precedure Act, 1860	in part; namely,—

PART II.

Section two.

15 & 16 Vict. c. 86 in part.	An Act te amend the practice and course of proceeding in the High Court of Chancery	in part; namely,—
	, ~ cours rorty organi	

PART III.

7/ / /1

8 & 9 Vict. c. 119	of real property.	•
23 & 24 Vict. c. 145 in part.	An Act to give to trustees, mort- gagees, and others certain powers now commonly inserted in settle- ments, mortgages, and wills Parts II. and III. (sections	

THE THIRD SCHEDULE.

[This schedule centains the statutory mertgages referred to in Part V. of the Act.]

THE FOURTH SCHEDULE.

[This schedule centains the forms of deeds referred to in sect. 57.]

CONVEYANCING ACT, 1882.

45 & 46 Vict. c. 39.

45 & 46 VICT. CAP. 39.

An Act for further improving the Practice of Conveyancing; and for other purposes. [10th August, 1882.]

Be it enacted, &c. as follows:—

Preliminary.

1.—(1.) This Act may be cited as the Conveyancing Act, 1882; and Short titles; the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (in this Act referred commence-ment: to as the Conveyancing Act of 1881) and this Act may be cited together extent; as the Conveyancing Acts, 1881, 1882.

interpretation.

- (2.) This Act, except where it is otherwise expressed, shall commence 44 & 45 Vict. and take effect from and immediately after the thirty-first day of c. 41. December, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two, which time is in this Act referred to as the commencement of this Act.
 - (3.) This Act does not extend to Scotland.
 - (4.) In this Act and in the schedule thereto—
 - (i.) Property includes real and personal property, and any debt, and any thing in action, and any other right or interest in the nature of property, whether in possession or not;
 - (ii.) Purchaser includes a lessee or mortgagee, or an intending purchaser, lessee, or mortgagee, or other person, who, for valuable consideration, takes or deals for property, and purchase has a meaning corresponding with that of purchaser;
 - (iii.) The Act of the session of the third and fourth years of King 3 & 4 Will. 4, William the Fourth (chapter seventy-four) "for the abolition of c. 74. Fines and Recoveries, and for the substitution of more simple modes of Assurance" is referred to as the Fines and Recoveries Act; and the Act of the session of the fourth and fifth years of 4 & 5 Will. 4, King William the Fourth (chapter ninety-two) "for the abolition c. 92. of Fines and Recoveries, and for the substitution of more simple modes of Assurance in Ireland" is referred to as the Fines and Recoveries (Ireland) Act.

Searches.

2.—(1.) Where any person requires, for purposes of this section, Official, negasearch to be made in the Central Office of the Supreme Court of tive and other certificates of Judicature for entries of judgments, deeds, or other matters or docu- searches for ments, whereof entries are required or allowed to be made in that office judgments, crown debts, by any Act described in Part I. of the First Schedule to the Con- &c. veyancing Act of 1881 (a), or by any other Act, he may deliver in the office a requisition in that behalf, referring to this section (b).

(2.) Thereupon the proper officer shall diligently make the search required, and shall make and file in the office a certificate setting forth

45 & 46 Vict. c. 39, s. 2.

the result thereof; and office copies of that certificate shall be issued on requisition, and an office copy shall be evidence of the certificate.

- (3.) In favour of a purchaser, as against persons interested under or in respect of judgments, deeds, or other matters or documents, whereof entries are required or allowed as aforesaid, the certificate, according to the tenour thereof, shall be conclusive, affirmatively or negatively, as the case may be.
- (4.) Every requisition under this section shall be in writing, signed by the person making the same, specifying the name against which he desires search to be made, or in relation to which he requires an office copy certificate of result of search, and other sufficient particulars; and the person making any such requisition shall not be entitled to a search, or an office copy certificate, until he has satisfied the proper officer that the same is required for the purposes of this section.
- (5.) General rules shall be made for purposes of this section, prescribing forms and contents of requisitions and certificates, and regulating the practice of the office, and prescribing, with the concurrence of the Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury, the fees to be taken therein; which rules shall be deemed rules of Court within section seventeen of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, as altered by section nineteen of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1881, and may be made, at any time after the passing of this Act, to take effect on or after the commencement of this Act (bb).

(6.) If any officer, clerk, or person employed in the office commits, or is party or privy to, any act of fraud or collusion, or is wilfully negligent, in the making of or otherwise in relation to any certificate or office copy under this section, he shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

- (7.) Nothing in this section or in any rule made thereunder shall take away, abridge, or prejudicially affect any right which any person may have independently of this section to make any search in the office; and every such search may be made as if this section or any such rule had not been enacted or made.
- (8.) Where a solicitor obtains an office copy certificate of result of search under this section, he shall not be answerable in respect of any loss that may arise from error in the certificate.
- (9.) Where the solicitor is acting for trustees, executors, agents, or other persons in a fiduciary position, those persons also shall not be so answerable.
- (10.) Where such persons obtain such an office copy without a solicitor, they shall also be protected in like manner.
- (11.) Nothing in this section applies to deeds inrolled under the 3 & 4 Will. 4. Fines and Recoveries Act, or under any other Act, or under any c. 74. statutory rule.
 - (12.) This section does not extend to Ireland.

 - (a) See this Schedule, ante, p. 125.
 (b) See R. S. C. 1883, Ord, LXI. r. 23, infra.
 - (bb) See post, p. 132.

39 & 40 Vict. c. 59. 44 & 45 Vict. v. 68.

45 & 46 Vict.

с. 39, в. 3.

Restriction on

oonstructive notice.

Notice.

3.—(1.) A purchaser shall not be prejudicially affected by notice of any instrument, fact, or thing unless-

(i.) It is within his own knowledge, or would have come to his knowledge if such inquiries and inspections had been made as ought reasonably to have been made by him; or

(ii.) In the same transaction with respect to which a question of notice to the purchaser arises, it has come to the knowledge of his counsel, as such, or of his solicitor, or other agent, as such, or would have come to the knowledge of his solicitor, or other agent, as such, if such inquiries and inspections had been made as ought reasonably to have been made by the solicitor or other

(2.) This section shall not exempt a purchaser from any liability under, or any obligation to perform or observe, any covenant, condition, provision, or restriction contained in any instrument under which his title is derived, mediately or immediately; and such liability or obligation may be enforced in the same manner and to the same extent as if this section had not been enacted.

(3.) A purchaser shall not by reason of anything in this section be affected by notice in any case where he would not have been so affected if this section had not

been enacted.

(4.) This section applies to purchases made either before or after the commencement of this Act; save that, where an action is pending at the commencement of this Act, the rights of the parties shall not be affected by this section.

Leases.

[Sect. 4 provides that a contract for a lease shall not form part of the title to the lease.]

Separate Trustees.

5.—(1.) On an appointment of new trustees, a separate set of trustees Appointment may be appointed for any part of the trust property held on trusts of separate distinct from those relating to any other part or parts of the trust trustees. property; or, if only one trustee was originally appointed, then one separate trustee may be so appointed for the first-mentioned part.

(2.) This section applies to trusts created either before or after the commencement of this Act.

Powers.

[Sect. 6 authorizes any person to whom any power, whether with or without an interest, is given, to disclaim it by deed, and thereupon the power may be exercised by the other donees.]

Married Women.

7.—(1.) In section seventy-nine of the Fines and Recoveries Act, and section Acknowledg-seventy of the Fines and Recoveries (Ireland) Act, there shall, by virtue of this ment of deeds Act, be substituted for the words "two of the perpetual commissioners, or two by married special commissioners," the words "one of the perpetual commissioners, or one special commissioner;" and in section eighty-three of the Fines and Recoveries Act, and section seventy-four of the Fines and Recoveries (Ireland) Act, there shall, by virtue of this Act, be substituted for the word "persons" the word "person," and for the word "commissioners" the words "a commissioner;" and all other provisions of those Acts, and all other enactments having reference in any manner to the sections aforesaid, shall be read and have effect accordingly.

(2.) Where the memorandum of acknowledgment by a married woman of a deed

purports to be signed by a person authorized to take the acknowledgment, the deed shall, as regards the execution thereof by the married woman, take effect at the time of acknowledgment, and shall be conclusively taken to have been duly

acknowledged.

(3.) A deed acknowledged before or after the commencement of this Act by a married woman, before a judge of the High Court of Justice in England or Ireland, or before a judge of a county court in England, or before a chairman in Ireland, or before a perpetual commissioner or a special commissioner, shall not be impeached or impeachable by reason only that such judge, chairman, or commissioner was interested or concerned either as a party, or as solicitor, or clerk to the solicitor for one of the parties, or otherwise, in the transaction giving occasion for the acknowledgment; and general rules shall be made for preventing any person interested or

45 & 46 Vict. c. 39, s. 7. 39 & 40 Vict. c. 59. 44 & 45 Vict.

c. 68. 40 & 41 Vict. c. 57.

concerned as aforesaid from taking an acknowledgment; but no such rule shall make invalid any acknowledgment; and those rules shall, as regards England, be make invalid any acknowledgment; and those rules shall, as regards England, be deemed Rules of Court within section seventeen of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, as altered by section nineteen of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1881, and shall, as regards Ireland, be deemed Rules of Court within the Supreme Court of Judicature Act (Ireland), 1877, and may be made accordingly, for England and Ireland respectively, at any time after the passing of this Act, to take effect on or after the commencement of this Act (c).

(4.) The enactments described in the schedule to this Act are hereby repealed.

(5.) The foregoing provisions of this section, including the repeal therein, apply only to the execution of deeds by married women after the commencement of this Act.

(6.) Notwithstanding the repeal or any other thing in this section, the certificate,

- (6.) Notwithstanding the repeal or any other thing in this section, the certificate, if not lodged before the commencement of this Act, of the taking of an acknowledgment by a married woman of a deed executed before the commencement of this Act, with any affidavit relating thereto, shall be lodged, examined, and filed in the like manner and with the like effects and consequences as if this section had not been enacted.
- (7.) There shall continue to be kept in the proper office of the Supreme Court of Judicature an index to all certificates of acknowledgments of deeds by married women lodged therein, before or after the commencement of this Act, containing the names of the married women and their husbands, alphabetically arranged, and the dates of the certificates and of the deeds to which they respectively relate, and other particulars found convenient; and every such certificate lodged after the commencement of this Act shall he entered in the index as soon as may be after the certificate is filed.
- (8.) An office copy of any such certificate filed before or after the commencement of this Act shall be delivered to any person applying for the same; and every such office copy shall be received as evidence of the acknowledgment of the deed to which the certificate refers.
 - (c) See p. 131, infra.

Powers of Attorney.

[Sect. 8 provides in favour of purchasers that powers of attorney, given for value and expressed to be irrevocable, shall not be revoked.]

[Sect. 9 makes powers of attorney expressed to be irrevocable (whether given for value or not) absolutely valid in favour of purchasers for a fixed time not exceeding one year.]

Executory Limitations.

[Sect. 10 contains a restriction on executory limitations contained in instruments coming into operation after the Act.]

Long Terms.

[Sect. 11 amends sect. 65 of the Conveyancing Act, 1881; see ante, p. 123.]

Mortgages.

Reconveyance on mortgage.

- 12. The right of the mortgagor, under section fifteen of the Conveyancing Act of 1881, to require a mortgagee, instead of re-conveying, to assign the mortgage debt and convey the mortgaged property to a third person, shall belong to and be capable of being enforced by each incumbrancer, or by the mortgagor, notwithstanding any intermediate incumbrance; but a requisition of an incumbrancer shall prevail over a requisition of the mortgagor, and, as between incumbrancers, a requisition of a prior incumbrancer shall prevail over a requisition of a subsequent incumbrancer (cc).
- (cc) This section was passed for the purpose of getting over the decision in *Teevan* v. *Smith*, 20 Ch. D. 724; see *Alderson* v. *Elgey*, 26 Ch. D. p. 570, cited in note (c) to sect. 15 of the Conveyancing Act, 1881, ante, p. 114.

Saving.

Act.

Restriction on 13. The repeal by this Act of any enactment shall not affect any right accrued or repeals in this obligation incurred thereunder before the commencement of this Act; nor shall the same affect the validity or invalidity, or any operation, effect, or consequence, of any

instrument executed or made, or of anything done or suffered, before the commence-45 & 46 Vict. ment of this Act; ner shall the same affect any action, proceeding, or thing then c. 39, s. 13. pending or uncompleted; and every such action, proceeding, and thing may be carried on and completed as if there had been no such repeal in this Act.

SCHEDULE.

REPEALS.

Section 7 (4).

TOTAL			
& 4 Will. IV. c. 74 in part. in part. Section sighty-four, from and including the words "and the same judge," to the end of that section. Sections eighty-five to eighty-eight, inclusive.			
The Fines and Recoveries (Ireland) in part; namely,— Act			
An Act to remove doubts concerning the due acknow- ledgments of deeds by married women in certain cases.			
The Acknowledgment of Deeds by Married Women (Ireland) Act, 1878.			

Rules under the Act for the Abolition of Fines and Recoveries, and Sect. 7 OF THE CONVEYANCING ACT, 1882.

 No person authorized or appointed under the Act 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 74 (in these rules referred to as the Fines and Recoveries Act) to take the acknowledgments of deeds by married women shall take any such acknowledgment if he is interested or concerned either as a party or as solicitor or clerk to the solicitor for one of the parties or otherwise in the transaction giving occasion for the acknow-

2. Before a Commissioner shall receive an acknowledgment, he shall inquire of the married woman separately and apart from her husband and from the solicitor concerned in the transaction whether she intends to give up her interest in the estate to be passed by the deed without having any provision made for her; and where the married woman answers in the affirmative and the Commissioner shall have no reason to doubt the truth of her answer, he shall proceed to receive the acknowledgment; but if it shall appear to him that it is intended that provision is to be made for the married woman, then the Commissioner shall not take her acknowledgment until he is satisfied that such provision has been actually made by some deed or writing produced to him; or if such provision shall not have been actually made before, then the Commissioner shall require the terms of the intended provision to be shortly reduced into writing, and shall verify the same by his signature in the margin, at the foot, or at the back thereof.

3. The memorandum to be indersed on or written at the feet or in the margin of a deed acknowledged by a married woman shall be in the following form in lieu of

the form set forth in sect. 84 of the Fines and Recoveries Act:

"This deed was this day produced before me and acknowledged by therein named to be her act and deed [or their several acts and deeds] previous to which acknowledgment [or acknowledgments] the said was [or were] examined by me separately and apart from her husband [or their respective husbands] touching her [or their] knowledge of the contents of the said deed and her [or their] consent thereto and [each of them] declared the same to be freely and reluntarily executed by her." voluntarily executed by her."

4. When an acknowledgment is taken by any person other than a judge, the following declaration shall be added to the memorandum of acknowledgment:

"And I declare that I am not interested or concerned either as a party or as a solicitor or clerk to the solicitor for one of the parties or otherwise in the trans-"action giving occasion for the said acknowledgment."

5. A memorandum of acknowledgment purporting to be signed according to any

of the following forms shall be deemed to be a memorandum purporting to be signed by a person authorized to take the acknowledgment:

(Signed) A judge of the High Court of Justice in England,

or a judge of the County Court of

or a perpetual commissioner for taking acknowledgments of deeds by married women,

or the special commissioner appointed to take the aforesaid acknow-

ledgment. But this rule is not to derogate from the effect of any memorandum purporting to be signed by a person authorized to take the acknowledgment, though not signed in accordance with any of the above forms.

6. Nothing in the five preceding rules contained shall make invalid any acknow-

ledgment which would have been valid if these rules had not been enacted.

- 7. Every commission appointing a special commissioner to take an acknowledgment by a married woman shall be returned to the office of the registrar of certificates of acknowledgments of deeds by married women, and shall be there filed. An index shall be prepared and kept in the said office, giving the names and addresses of the married women named in all such commissions filed in the said office after the 31st December, 1882. The same rules shall apply to searches in the index so to be prepared as to searches in the other indexes and registers kept in the Central
- 8. The costs to be allowed to solicitors in respect of the matters hereinafter mentioned, when not otherwise regulated by the general orders in force for the time being under the Solicitors' Remuneration Act, 1881, or by special agreement, shall be as follows: anything in the Rules of the Supreme Court as to costs, dated the 12th August, 1875, to the contrary notwithstanding:

Charges under the Act 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 74 (the Fines and Recoveries Act).

For the endorsements on deeds required by the Fines and Recoveries £ s. d. Act, to be entered on the Court rolls of manors of the memorandum of production and memorandum of entry on Court rolls, to be signed by the lord steward or deputy steward, each indorsement of memorandum 5s., together 0 10 0 For the entries on the Court rolls of deeds and the indorsements thereon,

at per folio of 72 words 6 For taking the consent of each protector of settlement of lands 0 13 For taking the surrender by each tenant in tail of lands 0 13 4 For entries of such surrenders or the memorandums thereof in the Court

thereto:

The General Rules of the Court of Common Pleas, Hilary Term, 1834. The General Rules of the Court of Common Pleas, Trinity Term, 1834.

The General Order of the Court of Common Pleas, dated the 24th November, 1862.

The General Order of the Court of Common Pleas, dated the 13th January, 1863. 10. These Rules shall take effect from and after the 31st December, 1882.

Rules under Section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882.

1. Every requisition for an official search shall state the name and address of the person requiring the search to be made. Every requisition and certificate shall be filed in the office where the search was made.

2. Every person requiring an official search to be made pursuant to section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, shall deliver to the officer a declaration according to the Forms I. and II. in the Appendix, purporting to be signed by the person requiring the search to be made, or by a solicitor, which declaration may be accepted by the officer as sufficient evidence that the search is required for the purposes of the said section. The declaration may be made in the requisition, or

in a separate document.

3. Requisitions for searches under section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, shall be in the Forms III. to VI. in the Appendix, and the certificates of the results of such searches shall be in the Forms VII. to X., with such modifications as the circumstances may require.

4. Where a certificate setting forth the result of a search in any name has been issued, and it is desired that the search be continued in that name, to a date not more than one calendar month subsequent to the date of the certificate, a requisition in writing in the Form XI. in the Appendix may be left with the proper officer, who shall cause the search to be continued, and the result of the continued search shall be endorsed on the original certificate and upon any office copy thereof which may have been issued, if produced to the officer for that purpose. The endorsement shall be in the Form XII. in the Appendix with such modifications as circumstances require.

5. Every person shall upon payment of the prescribed fee be entitled to have a copy of the whole or any part of any deed or document enrolled in the Enrolment

Department of the Central Office.

RULE UNDER THE CONVEYANCING AND LAW OF PROPERTY ACT, 1881.

6. An alphabetical index of the names of the grantors of all powers of attorney filed under section 48 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, shall be prepared and kept by the proper officer, and any person may search the index upon payment of the prescribed fee. No person shall take copies of or extracts from any power of attorney or other document filed under that section and produced for his inspection. All copies or extracts which may be required shall be made by the Office.

(Signed)

SELBORNE, C. COLERIDGE, L. C. J. G. JESSEL, M. R. NATH. LINDLEY, L. J. H. MANISTY, J. EDW. FRY, J.

APPENDIX.

FORM I.

DECLARATION BY SEPARATE INSTRUMENT AS TO PURPOSES OF SEARCH.

Supreme Court of Judicature,

Central Office.

To the Clerk of Enrolments

or The Registrar of

Royal Courts of Justice, London.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

I declare that the search (or searches) in the name (or names) of required to be made by the requisition for search, dated the is (or are) required for the purposes of a sale (or mortgage, or lease, or as the case may be), by A.B. to C.D.

Signature, address, and description.

Dated

FORM II.

DECLARATION AS TO PURPOSES OF SEABOR CONTAINED IN THE REQUISITION.

I declare that the above-mentioned search is required for the purposes of a sale (or mortgage, or lease, or as the case may be), by A.B. to C.D.

FORM III.

REQUISITION FOR SEARCH IN THE ENCOLMENT OFFICE, UNDER THE CONVEYANGING ACT, 1882, s. 2.

Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office.

Requisition for Search.

To the Clerk of Enrolments, Royal Courte of Justice,

London.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

Pursuant to section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, search for deeds and other documents enrolled during the period from 18 to 18 both inclusive, in the following name (or names).

Surname.	Christian Name or Names.	Usual or last known Place of Abode.	Title, Trade, or Pro- fession.
	•		

(Add declaration, Form II.)

(State if an office copy of the certificate is desired, and whether it is to be sent by post or called for.)

Signature, address, and description of person requiring the search.

Dated

FORM IV.

REQUISITION FOR SEARCH IN THE BILLS OF SALE DEPARTMENT UNDER THE CONVEY-ANCING ACT, 1882, s. 2.

Supreme Court of Judicature,

Central Office.

Requisition for Search.

To the Registrar of Bills of Sale, Royal Courts of Justice,

London.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

Pursuant to section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, search for instruments registered or re-registered as bills of sale during the period from 18 to 18 hoth inclusive in the following name (or names).

Surname.	Christian Name or Names.	Usual or last known Place of Abode.	Title, Trade, or Pro- fession.
,			

(Add declaration, Form II.)

(State if an office copy of the certificate is desired, and whether it is to be sent by post or called for.)

Signature, address, and description of person requiring the search.

Dated

FORM V.

REQUISITION FOR SEARCH IN THE REGISTRY OF CERTIFICATES OF ACKNOWLEDGMENTS of Dreds by Married Women under the Conveyancing Act, 1882, s. 2.

Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office.

Requisition for Search.

To the Registrar of Certificates of Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women. Royal Courts of Justice, London.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

Pursuant to section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, search for Certificates of Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women during the period from to 18 both inclusive, according to the particulars mentioned in the schedule hereto.

THE SCHEDULE.

Surname.	Christian Name or Names of Wife and Husband.	Date of Certificate if the Search relates to a parti- cular Certificate.	Date of Deed, if the Search relates to a par- ticular Deed.	County, Parish, or Place in which the Property is situate, or other description of the Property.
,				

(Add declaration, Form II.)

(State if an office copy of the certificate is desired, and whether it is to be sent by post or called for.)

Signature, address, and description of person requiring the search.)

Dated

FORM VI.

REQUISITION FOR SEARCH IN THE REGISTRY OF JUDGMENTS UNDER THE CONVEYANCING Act, 1882, s. 2.

Supreme Court of Judicature,

Central Office.

Requisition for Search.

To the Registrar of Judgments, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

Pursuant to section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, search for judgments, revivals, decrees, orders, rules, and lis pendens, and for judgments at the suit of the Crown, statutes, recognizances, Crown bonds, inquisitions, and acceptances of office for the period from

18 to
18, both inclusive and for executions for the period from the 29th July, 1864, (or as the case may require) to the

18 to
18, both inclusive, and for annuities for case may require) to the

the period from the 26th April, 1855 (or as the case may require) to the 18 , both inclusive in the following name (or names).

Surname.	Christian Name or Names.	Usual or last known Place of Abode.	Title, Trade, or Pro- fession.

(Add declaration, Form II.)

(State if an office copy of the certificate is desired, and whether it is to be sent by post or called for.)

Signature, address, and description of person requiring the search.

Dated

FORM VII.

CERTIFICATE OF SEARCH BY ENROLMENT DEPARTMENT UNDER THE CONVEYANGING ACT, 1882, s. 2.

Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office,

Enrolment Department.

Certificate of Search pursuant to Section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

This is to certify that a search has been diligently made in the Enrolment Office for deeds and other documents in the name (or names) of for the period from to , both inclusive, and that no deed or other document has been enrolled in the said office in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the period aforesaid.

or and that except the described in the schedule hereto no deed or document has been enrolled in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the period aforesaid.

THE SCHEDULE.

Dated

FORM VIII.

CERTIFICATE OF SEARCH BY THE REGISTRAR OF BILLS OF SALE UNDER THE CONVEYANCING ACT, 1882.

Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office, Bills of Sale Department.

Certificate of Search pursuant to Section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882. In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

This is to certify that a search has been diligently made in the Register of Bills of Sale in the name (or names) of for the period from 18 to 18 both inclusive, and that no instrument has been registered or re-registered as a bill of sale in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during that period,

or, and that except the described in the schedule hereto, no instrument has been registered or re-registered as a bill of eale in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the period aforesaid.

THE SCHEDULE.

Dated

FORM IX.

CERTIFICATE OF SEARCH BY REGISTRAR OF CERTIFICATES OF ACKNOWLEDGMENTS OF DEEDS BY MARRIED WOMEN UNDER THE CONVEYANCING ACT, 1882, S. 2.

> Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office.

Registry of Certificates of Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women. Certificate of Search pursuant to Section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

This is to certify that a search has been diligently made in the Office of the Registrar of Certificates of Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women in the name (or names) of for the period from to 18 , both inclusive, for a certificate dated the or for certificates of acknowledgment of a deed dated the

or for certificates of acknowledgments of deeds relating to (fill in the description and that no such certificate has been filed of the property from the Requisition) in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the period aforesaid,

or and that except the certificate (or certificates) described in the Schedule hereto, no such certificate has been filed in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the period aforesaid.

Surname.	Christian Names of Wife and Husband.	Date of Certificate.	Date of Deed.	County, Parish, or Place in which Property situated, or other description of the Property.
Dated	day of 188			

FORM X.

CERTIFICATE OF SEARCH BY REGISTRAR OF JUDGMENTS UNDER CONVEYANCING ACT, 1882, s. 2.

Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office.

The Registry of Judgments.

Certificate of Search pursuant to Section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882.

In the matter of A.B. and C.D.

This is to certify that a search has been diligently made in the Office of the Registrar of Judgments for judgments, revivals, decrees, orders, rules, lis pendene, judgments at the suit of the Crown, statutes, recognizances, Crown bonds, inquisitions, and acceptances of office, for the period from 18 to 18, both inclusive, and for executions for the period from 18 to 18, both inclusive, and for annuities for the period from to 18, both inclusive, and for annuities for the period from to 18, both inclusive, in the name (or names) of and that no judgment, revival, decree, order, rule, lis pendens, judgment at the suit of the Crown, statute, recognizance, Crown bond, inquisition, acceptance of office, execution, or annuity has been registered or re-registered in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the respective periods covered by the aforesaid searches,

or and that except the mentioned in the Schedule hersto, no judgment, revival, decree, order, rule, lis pendens, judgment at the suit of the Crown, statute, recognizance, Crown bond, inquisition, acceptance of office, execution, or annuity has been registered or re-registered in that name (or in any one or more of those names) during the respective periods covered by the aforesaid search.

THE SCHEDULE.

Dated the day of 188.

FORM XI.

REQUISITION FOR CONTINUATION OF SEARCH UNDER THE CONVEYANOING ACT, 1882.

Supreme Court of Judicature, Central Office.

Requisition for continuation of Search.

To the Clerk of Enrolments

or The Registrar of

Royal Courts of Justice, London, W.C.

In the matter of A. B. and C. D.

Pursuant to section 2 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, continue the search for [], made pursuant to the requisition dated the day of 18, in the name (or names) of , from the day of to the day of 18, both inclusive.

Signature, address, and description of person requiring the search.

Dated

FORM XII.

CERTIFICATE OF RESULT OF CONTINUED SEARCH UNDER THE CONVEYANCING ACT, 1882, s. 2, to be endorsed on Original Certificate.

This is to certify that the search (or searches) mentioned in the within written certificate has (or have) been diligently continued to the day of , 18, and that up to and including that date [except the mentioned in the schedule hereto (these words to be omitted where nothing is found)], no deed or other document has been enrolled, or no instrument has been registered, or re-registered, as a bill of sale, or no certificate has been filed, or no judgment, revival, decree, order, rule, lis pendens, judgment at the suit of the Crown, statute, recognizance, Crown bond, inquisition, acceptance of office, execution or annuity, has been registered or re-registered in the within-mentioned name (or in any one or more of the within-mentioned names).

Dated

SETTLED LAND ACT, 1882.

45 & 46 Vict. с. 38.

45 & 46 VICT. CAP. 38.

An Act for facilitating Sales, Leases, and other dispositions of Settled Land, and for promoting the execution of Improvements thereon.

[10th August, 1882.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:

I.—PRELIMINARY.

1.—(1.) This Act may be cited as the Settled Land Act, 1882.

Short title:

(2.) This Act, except where it is otherwise expressed, shall commence commenceand take effect from and immediately after the thirty-first day of December, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two, which time is in this Act referred to as the commencement of this Act.

(3.) This Act does not extend to Scotland.

extent.

II.—DEFINITIONS.

- 2.—(1.) Any deed, will, agreement for a settlement, or other agree- Definition of ment, covenant to surrender, copy of court roll, Act of Parliament, or settlement, tenant for other instrument, or any number of instruments, whether made or life, &c. passed before or after, or partly before and partly after, the commencement of this Act, under or by virtue of which instrument or instruments any land, or any estate or interest in land, stands for the time being limited to or in trust for any persons by way of succession, creates or is for purposes of this Act a settlement, and is in this Act referred to as a settlement, or as the settlement, as the case requires (a).
- (2.) An estate or interest in remainder or reversion not disposed of by a settlement, and reverting to the settler or descending to the testator's heir, is for purposes of this Act an estate or interest coming to the settler or heir under or by virtue of the settlement, and comprised in the subject of the settlement.
- (3.) Land, and any estate or interest therein, which is the subject of a settlement, is for purposes of this Act settled land, and is, in relation to the settlement, referred to in this Act as the settled land.
- (4.) The determination of the question whether land is settled land, for purposes of this Act, or not, is governed by the state of facts, and the limitations of the settlement, at the time of the settlement taking effect.
- (5.) The person who is for the time being, under a settlement, beneficially entitled to possession of settled land, for his life, is for purposes of this Act the tenant for life of that land, and the tenant for life under that settlement (aa).
- (6.) If, in any case, there are two or more persons so entitled as tenants in common, or as joint tenants, or for other concurrent estates or interests, they together constitute the tenant for life for purposes of this Act.

45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 2.

- (7.) A person being tenant for life within the foregoing definitions shall be deemed to be such notwithstanding that, under the settlement or otherwise, the settled land, or his estate or interest therein, is incumbered or charged in any manner or to any extent.
- (8.) The persons, if any, who are for the time being, under a settlement, trustees with power of sale of settled land, or with power of consent to or approval of the exercise of such a power of sale, or if under a settlement there are no such trustees, then the persons, if any, for the time being, who are by the settlement declared to be trustees thereof for purposes of this Act, are for purposes of this Act trustees of the settlement (b).
- (9.) Capital money arising under this Act, and receivable for the trusts and purposes of the settlement, is in this Act referred to as capital money arising under this Act.
 - (10.) In this Act-
- (i.) Land includes incorporeal hereditaments, also an undivided share in land; income includes rents and profits; and possession includes receipt of income:
- (ii.) Rent includes yearly or other rent, and toll, duty, royalty, or other reservation, by the acre, or the ton, or otherwise; and, in relation to rent, payment includes delivery; and fine includes premium or fore-gift, and any payment, consideration, or benefit in the nature of a fine, premium, or fore-gift:
- (iii.) Building purposes include the erecting and the improving of, and the adding to, and the repairing of buildings; and a building lease is a lease for any building purposes or purposes connected therewith:
- (iv.) Mines and minerals mean mines and minerals whether already opened or in work or not, and include all minerals and substances in, on, or under the land, obtainable by underground or by surface working; and mining purposes include the sinking and searching for, winning, working, getting, making merchantable, smelting or otherwise converting or working for the purposes of any manufacture, carrying away, and disposing of mines and minerals, in or under the settled land, or any other land, and the erection of buildings, and the execution of engineering and other works, suitable for those purposes; and a mining lease is a lease for any mining purposes or purposes connected therewith, and includes a grant or licence for any mining purposes:
 - (v.) Manor includes lordship, and reputed manor or lordship:
- (vi.) Steward includes deputy steward, or other proper officer of a manor:
- (vii.) Will includes codicil, and other testamentary instrument, and a writing in the nature of a will:
 - (viii.) Securities include stocks, funds, and shares:
- (ix.) Her Majesty's High Court of Justice is referred to as the Court:

(x.) The Land Commissioners for England as constituted by this Act 45 & 46 Viot. c. 38, s. 2. are referred to as the Land Commissioners:

(xi.) Person includes corporation.

(a) Where a share under a settlement has been settled, the original settlement "Settlenevertheless remains the "settlement" under the Act, and a summons for the appoint- ment." ment of new trustees need not be entitled in the matter of the derivative settlement

ment of new trustees need not be entitled in the matter of the derivative settlement nor be served on the trustees of it (Re Knowles, 27 Ch. D. 707, where Pearson, J. declined to appoint two members of the same family trustees).

(aa) See Re Iones, 24 Ch. D. 583; affd. 26 Ch. D. 736.

(b) Where property had been devised to trustees upon trust, subject to an annuity (which had ceased) and a mortgage, to pay the rents to a person for his life, or to permit him to receive the same, and after his death to sell the property and stand possessed of the proceeds for the benefit of his children, and the tenant for life was of advanced age, and had only one child, who had sold her reversionary interest in the property, it was held that the property was settled land within the meaning of the Act, and that the tenant for life had a power of sale over it; but meaning of the Act, and that the tenant for life had a power of sale over it; but that there were not, under the settlement, any trustees who, as required by the Act, had power to sell, or consent to a sale, and to whom notice had to be given, and accordingly the tenant for life was, at the instance of the purchaser of the reversion, restrained from selling the property until judgment or further order, or until trustees for the purposes of the Act were appointed, and due notice given to them of an intention to sell; and the Court, under the circumstances of the case, directed that notice should be given to the plaintiff of any application to appoint such trustees (Wheelwright v. Walker, 23 Ch. D. 752; 31 W.R. 363). See also as to the construction of this sub-section, Re Garnett Orme, 25 Ch. D. 595.

III.—Sale; Enfranchisement; Exchange; Partition.

General Powers and Regulations.

3. A tenant for life-

(i.) May sell the settled land, or any part thereof, or any easement, tenant for life right, or privilege of any kind, over or in relation to the to sell, &c. same (c); and

Powers to

- (ii.) Where the settlement comprises a manor, -- may sell the seignory of any freehold land within the manor, or the freehold and inheritance of any copyhold or customary land, parcel of the manor, with or without any exception or reservation of all or any mines or minerals, or of any rights or powers relative to mining purposes, so as in every such case to effect an enfranchisement; and
- (iii.) May make an exchange of the settled land, or any part thereof, for other land, including an exchange in consideration of money paid for equality of exchange; and
- (iv.) Where the settlement comprises an undivided share in land, or, under the settlement, the settled land has come to be held in undivided shares,-may concur in making partition of the entirety, including a partition in consideration of money paid for equality of partition.
- (c) As to the very extensive nature of the power of sale conferred on tenants for life by the Act, see Re Chaytor, 25 Ch. D. 651, where there was a previous private Act authorizing the trustees to sell subject to a certain restriction, and it was held that the tenant for life could sell free from the restriction; Thomas v. Williams, 24 Ch. D. 558. See, however, sect. 53, infra.

[Sect. 4 lays down certain rules to be observed in the exercise of the powers conferred by sect. 3. See sect. 53, infra.]

45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 5.

Special Powers.

[Sect. 5 provides for the transfer of incumbrances on land sold, exchanged, or partitioned.]

IV.--LEASES.

General Powers and Regulations.

Power for tenant for life to lease for ordinary or building or mining purposes.

- 6. A tenant for life may lease the settled land, or any part thereof, or any easement, right, or privilege of any kind, over or in relation to the same, for any purpose whatever, whether involving waste or not, for any term not exceeding—
 - (i.) In case of a building lease, ninety-nine years:
 - (ii.) In case of a mining lease, sixty years:
- (iii.) In case of any other lease, twenty-one years.

[Sect. 7 lays down certain rules to be observed in the granting of leases generally; they are to be by deed, at the best rent, &c.]

Building and Mining Leases.

[Sect. 8 lays down regulations to be observed respecting building leases.] [Sect. 9 lays down regulations to be observed in granting mining leases.]

Variation of huilding or mining lease according to circumstances of district.

- 10.—(1.) Where it is shown to the Court with respect to the district in which any settled land is situate, either—
 - (i.) That it is the custom for land therein to be leased or granted for building or mining purposes for a longer term or on other conditions than the term or conditions specified in that behalf in this Act, or in perpetuity; or
 - (ii.) That it is difficult to make leases or grants for building or mining purposes of land therein, except for a longer term or on other conditions than the term and conditions specified in that behalf in this Act, or except in perpetuity;

the Court may, if it thinks fit, authorize generally the tenant for life to make from time to time leases or grants of or affecting the settled land in that district, or parts thereof, for any term or in perpetuity, at fee-farm or other rents, secured by condition of re-entry, or otherwise, as in the order of the Court expressed, or may, if it thinks fit, authorize the tenant for life to make any such lease or grant in any particular case.

- (2.) Thereupon the tenant for life, and subject to any direction in the order of the Court to the contrary, each of his successors in title being a tenant for life, or having the powers of a tenant for life under this Act, may make in any case, or in the particular case, a lease or grant of or affecting the settled land, or part thereof, in conformity with the order (d).
- (d) As to the procedure on an application to the Court, see generally the Settled Land Act Rules, 1882, post, p. 157, and as to orders under this section see ibid. r. 9.

[By sect. 11, part of the rent under a mining lease (in the absence of a contrary intention in the settlement) is to be set aside as capital money. See Re Duke of Newcastle, 24 Ch. D. 129.]

Special Powers.

[Sect. 12 confers leasing powers for special objects.]

Surrenders.

[Sect. 13 authorises the tenant for life to accept surrenders and grant new c. 38, s. 13. leases.

45 & 46 Vict.

Copyholds.

[Sect. 14 empowers the tenant for life to grant licences to copyholders.]

V.—Sales, Leases, and other Dispositions.

Mansion and Park.

15. Notwithstanding anything in this Act, the principal mansion Restriction as house on any settled land, and the demesnes thereof, and other lands to mansion house, park, usually occupied therewith, shall not be sold or leased by the tenant &c. for life, without the consent of the trustees of the settlement, or an order of the Court (dd).

(dd) Where the tenant for life, who was in ill-health and resided permanently elsewhere, was about to sell the whole estate, which was in proximity to a large town, so that the bulk of it could not be sold advantageously without the mansion house and grounds, it was held a proper case for selling the house (Re Brown's Will, 27 Ch. D. 179). As to service, see S. L. A. Rules, r. 4, infra.

Streets and Open Spaces.

[Sect. 16 anthorises the tenant for life on a sale or grant for building purposes or a building lease to lay out any part of the settled estate for streets, open spaces, &c., for the benefit of the residents on the settled land.]

Surface and Minerals apart.

[Sect. 17 authorises separate dealing with the surface and the minerals, with or without a grant or reservation of wayleaves. See Re Duke of Newcastle, 24 Ch. D. 129.

Mortgage.

[Sect. 18 authorises the raising of money for equality of exchange, &c., by way of mortgage; the money to be capital money arising under the Act.]

Undivided Share.

[Sect. 19 empowers the tenant for life of an undivided share to concur in the exercise of any power.] Conveyance.

[Sect. 20 relates to the completion of any sale, lease, exchange, &c., by conveyance, &c.]

VI.—INVESTMENT OR OTHER APPLICATION OF CAPITAL TRUST MONEY.

21. Capital money arising under this Act, subject to payment of Capital money claims properly payable thereout, and to application thereof for any under Act; special authorized object for which the same was raised, shall, when &c. by trustees received, be invested or otherwise applied wholly in one, or partly or Court. in one and partly in another or others, of the following modes (namely):

(i.) In investment on Government securities, or on other securities on which the trustees of the settlement are by the settlement or by law authorized to invest trust money of the settlement, or on the security of the bonds, mortgages, or debentures, or in the purchase of the debenture stock, of any railway company in Great Britain or Ireland incorporated by special Act of Parliament, and having for ten years next before the 45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 21.

- date of investment paid a dividend on its ordinary stock or shares, with power to vary the investment into or for any other such securities (e):
- (ii.) In discharge, purchase, or redemption of incumbrances affecting the inheritance of the settled land, or other the whole estate the subject of the settlement, or of land-tax, rentcharge in lieu of tithe, Crown rent, chief rent, or quit rent, charged on or payable out of the settled land (f):
- (iii.) In payment for any improvement authorized by this Act:
- (iv.) In payment for equality of exchange or partition of settled land:
- (v.) In purchase of the seignory of any part of the settled land, being freehold land, or in purchase of the fee simple of any part of the settled land, being copyhold or customary land:
- (vi.) In purchase of the reversion or freehold in fee of any part of the settled land, being leasehold land held for years, or life, or years determinable on life:
- (vii.) In purchase of land in fee simple, or of copyhold or customary land, or of leasehold land held for sixty years or more unexpired at the time of purchase, subject or not to any exception or reservation of or in respect of mines or minerals therein, or of or in respect of rights or powers relative to the working of mines or minerals therein, or in other land:
- (viii.) In purchase, either in fee simple, or for a term of sixty years or more, of mines and minerals convenient to be held or worked with the settled land, or of any easement, right, or privilege convenient to be held with the settled land for mining or other purposes:
- (ix.) In payment to any person becoming absolutely entitled or empowered to give an absolute discharge:
- (x.) In payment of costs, charges, and expenses of or incidental to the exercise of any of the powers, or the execution of any of the provisions, of this Act:
- (xi.) In any other mode in which money produced by the exercise of a power of sale in the settlement is applicable thereunder.

Investment on debenture stock.

- (e) Money bequeathed to trustees to be laid out in the purchase of land to be settled in strict settlement may be invested in debenture stock (Re Mackenzie, 23 Ch. D. 750). The Court will not dispense with evidence that the company has paid the dividend on its ordinary stock (Re Byron, 31 W. R. 517). See S. L. A. Rules, r. 12, infra.
- (f) Sub-section 2 must be read thus: "In discharge of incumbrances affecting the inheritance of the settled land which is seld, or any other land which is the subject of the settlement." (Re Chaytor, 25 Ch. D. 651). The word "incumbrances" in sect. 21 does not include terminable charges, such as those created under the Improvement of Land Act, 1864, and similar statutes (Re Knatchbull, 27 Ch. D. 349).

Regulations respecting investment, 22.—(1.) Capital money arising under this Act shall, in order to its being invested or applied as aforesaid, be paid either to the trustees

devolution,

eccurities, &c.

of the settlement or into Court, at the option of the tenant for life, 45 & 46 Vict. and shall be invested or applied by the trustees, or under the direction c. 38, s. 22.

of the Court, as the case may be, accordingly.

(2.) The investment or other application by the trustees shall be and income of made according to the direction of the tenant for life, and in default thereof, according to the discretion of the trustees, but in the lastmentioned case subject to any consent required or direction given by the settlement with respect to the investment or other application by the trustees of trust money of the settlement; and any investment shall be in the names or under the control of the trustees.

- (3.) The investment or other application under the direction of the Court shall be made on the application of the tenant for life, or of the trustees.
- (4.) Any investment or other application shall not during the life of the tenant for life be altered without his consent.
- (5.) Capital money arising under this Act while remaining uninvested or unapplied, and securities on which an investment of any such capital money is made, shall, for all purposes of disposition, transmission, and devolution, be considered as land, and the same shall be held for and go to the same persons successively, in the same manner and for and on the same estates, interests, and trusts, as the land wherefrom the money arises would, if not disposed of, have been held and have gone under the settlement.
- (6.) The income of those securities shall be paid or applied as the income of that land, if not disposed of, would have been payable or applicable under the settlement.
- (7.) Those securities may be converted into money, which shall be capital money arising under this Act.
- 23. Capital money arising under this Act from settled land in Investment England shall not be applied in the purchase of land out of England, England. unless the settlement expressly authorizes the same.

[Sect. 24 directs how the land acquired by purchase, or in exchange, or on partition, is to be made subject to the settlement.

VII.—IMPROVEMENTS.

Improvements with Capital Trust Money.

25. Improvements authorized by this Act are the making or execu- Description of tion on, or in connection with, and for the benefit of settled land, of authorized by any of the following works, or of any works for any of the following Act. purposes, and any operation incident to or necessary or proper in the execution of any of those works, or necessary or proper for carrying into effect any of those purposes, or for securing the full benefit of any of those works or purposes (namely):

(i.) Drainage, including the straightening, widening, or deepening of drains, streams, and watercourses:

(ii.) Irrigation; warping:

45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 25.

- (iii.) Drains, pipes, and machinery for supply and distribution of sewage as manure:
 - (iv.) Embanking or weiring from a river or lake, or from the sea, or a tidal water:
 - (v.) Groynes; sea walls; defences against water:
 - (vi.) Inclosing; straightening of fences; re-division of fields:
 - (vii.) Reclamation; dry warping:
- (viii.) Farm roads; private roads; roads or streets in villages or towns:
- (ix.) Clearing; trenching; planting:
- (x.) Cottages for labourers, farm-servants, and artisans, employed on the settled land or not:
- (xi.) Farmhouses, offices, and outbuildings, and other buildings for farm purposes:
- (xii.) Saw-mills, scutch-mills, and other mills, water-wheels, engine-houses, and kilns, which will increase the value of the settled land for agricultural purposes or as woodland or otherwise:
- (xiii.) Reservoirs, tanks, conduits, watercourses, pipes, wells, ponds, shafts, dams, weirs, sluices, and other works and machinery for supply and distribution of water for agricultural, manufacturing, or other purposes, or for domestic or other consumption:
- (xiv.) Tramways; railways; canals; docks:
- (xv.) Jetties, piers, and landing places on rivers, lakes, the sea, or tidal waters, for facilitating transport of persons and of agricultural stock and produce, and of manure and other things required for agricultural purposes, and of minerals, and of things required for mining purposes:
- (xvi.) Markets and market-places:
- (xvii.) Streets, roads, paths, squares, gardens, or other open spaces for the use, gratuitously or on payment, of the public or of individuals, or for dedication to the public, the same being necessary or proper in connexion with the conversion of land into building land:
- (xviii.) Sewers, drains, watercourses, pipe-making, fencing, paving, brick-making, tile-making, and other works necessary or proper in connexion with any of the objects aforesaid:
- (xix.) Trial pits for mines, and other preliminary works necessary or proper in connexion with development of mines:
- (xx.) Reconstruction, enlargement, or improvement of any of those works.
- 26.—(1.) Where the tenant for life is desirous that capital money arising under this Act shall be applied in or towards payment for an improvement authorized by this Act, he may submit for approval to the trustees of the settlement, or to the Court, as the case may require, a scheme for the execution of the improvement, showing the proposed expenditure thereon.

Approval by Land Commissioners of scheme for improvement and payment thereon.

(2.) Where the capital money to be expended is in the hands of 45 & 46 Vict. trustees, then, after a scheme is approved by them, the trustees may c. 38, s. 26. apply that money in or towards payment for the whole or part of any work or operation comprised in the improvement, on—

- (i.) A certificate of the Land Commissioners certifying that the work or operation, or some specified part thereof, has been properly executed, and what amount is properly payable by the trustees in respect thereof, which certificate shall be conclusive in favour of the trustees as an authority and discharge for any payment made by them in pursuance thereof; or on
- (ii.) A like certificate of a competent engineer or able practical surveyor nominated by the trustees and approved by the Commissioners, or by the Court, which certificate shall be conclusive as aforesaid; or on
- (iii.) An order of the Court directing or authorizing the trustees to so apply a specified portion of the capital money.
- (3.) Where the capital money to be expended is in Court, then, after a scheme is approved by the Court, the Court may, if it thinks fit, on a report or certificate of the Commissioners, or of a competent engineer or able practical surveyor, approved by the Court, or on such other evidence as the Court thinks sufficient, make such order and give such directions as it thinks fit for the application of that money, or any part thereof, in or towards payment for the whole or part of any work or operation comprised in the improvement (ff).
- (ff) This section is not retrospective; see Re Knatchbull, 27 Ch. D. 349, cited in note (f) to sect. 21, ante, p. 144.

[By sects. 27, 28, and 29, the tenant for life may join or concur with any other person in executing any improvement authorized by the Act, or contributing to the cost thereof, and is bound to maintain and repair and keep insured every such improvement, and is not to be liable for waste in executing or repairing any such improvement. improvement.

[Sect. 30 extends sect. 9 of the Improvement of Land Act, 1864.]

VIII.—Contracts.

31. [Snb-sects. 1 and 2 empower the tenant for life to contract for sale, partition, Power for lease, &c., such contracts to bind and enurs for the benefit of the settled land.]

tenant for life to enter into

(3.) The Court may, on the application of the tenant for life, or of contracts. any such successor, or of any person interested in any contract, give directions respecting the enforcing, carrying into effect, varying, or rescinding thereof.

[By sub-sect. 4 any preliminary contract under the Act for a lease is not to form part of the title to the lease.]

IX.—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

32. Where, under an Act incorporating or applying, wholly or in Application part, the Lands Clauses Consolidation Acts, 1845, 1860, and 1869, or of money in Court under under the Settled Estates Act, 1877, or under any other Act, public, Lands Clauses local, personal, or private, money is at the commencement of this Act and other Acts.

c. 18.

45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 32. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 18. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 106. 32 & 33 Vict. c. 18, 40 & 41 Vict.

in Court, or is afterwards paid into Court, and is liable to be laid or in the purchase of land to be made subject to a settlement, then, i addition to any mode of dealing therewith authorized by the Act unde which the money is in Court, that money may be invested or applied a capital money arising under this Act, on the like terms, if any, respect ing costs and other things, as nearly as circumstances admit, and (not withstanding anything in this Act) according to the same procedure as if the modes of investment or application authorized by this Ac were authorized by the Act under which the money is in Court(g).

(g) See as to costs, Re Hanbury, W. N. (1883) 116; 31 W. R. 784. The fun has been ordered to be paid to trustees appointed for the purposes of the Act (h. Wright, 24 Ch. D. 662; Re Harrop, 24 Ch. D. 717).

Money paid into Court under the Lands Clauses Act, in respect of land belonging to the trustees of a charity without power of sale is money "liable to be laid ou in the purchase of land to be made subject to a settlement," and may be invested as capital money arising under this Act (Re Byron, 23 Ch. D. 171).

Application of money in hands of powers of settlement.

33. Where, under a settlement, money is in the hands of trustees and is liable to be laid out in the purchase of land to be made subjec trustees under to the settlement, then, in addition to such powers of dealing there with as the trustees have independently of this Act, they may, at th option of the tenant for life, invest or apply the same as capital mone arising under this Act.

Application of money paid for lease or reversion.

- 34. Where capital money arising under this Act is purchase mone paid in respect of a lease for years, or life, or years determinable or life, or in respect of any other estate or interest in land less than th fee simple, or in respect of a reversion dependent on any such lease estate, or interest, the trustees of the settlement or the Court, as th case may be, and in the case of the Court on the application of an party interested in that money, may, notwithstanding anything in thi Act, require and cause the same to be laid out, invested, accumulated and paid in such manner as, in the judgment of the trustees or of the Court, as the case may be, will give to the parties interested in tha money the like benefit therefrom as they might lawfully have had from the lease, estate, interest, or reversion in respect whereof the money was paid, or as near thereto as may be (h).
- (h) Cf. sect. 74 of the Lands Clauses Act and notes thereto, ante, p. 33, and sect. 37 of the Settled Estates Act, 1877. As to service on an application unde this section, see S. L. A. Rules, 1882, r. 4, infra.

Cutting and sale of timber, and part of proceeds to be set aside.

- 35.—(1.) Where a tenant for life is impeachable for waste in re spect of timber (hh), and there is on the settled land timber ripe and fi for cutting, the tenant for life, on obtaining the consent of the trustee of the settlement or an order of the Court, may cut and sell tha timber, or any part thereof.
- (2.) Three fourth parts of the net proceeds of the sale shall be se aside as and be capital money arising under this Act, and the other fourth part shall go as rents and profits.
 - (hh) As to what trees are timber, see Honywood v. Honywood, 18 Eq. 306.

Proceedings for protection 36. The Court may, if it thinks fit, approve of any action, defence

petition to Parliament, parliamentary opposition, or other proceeding 45 & 46 Vict. taken or proposed to be taken for protection of settled land, or of any action or proceeding taken or proposed to be taken for recovery of land or recovery of being or alleged to be subject to a settlement, and may direct that and or claimed any costs, charges, or expenses incurred or to be incurred in relation as settled. thereto, or any part thereof, be paid out of property subject to the settlement (i).

- (i) This section takes the place of sect. 17 of the Settled Estates Act, 1877, which is repealed by sect. 64 of this Act, infra. See Re De La Warr, 16 Ch. D. 587; Re Twyford Abbey, 30 W. R. 268.
- 37.—(1.) Where personal chattels are settled on trust so as to devolve Heirlooms. with land until a tenant in tail by purchase is born or attains the age of twenty-one years, or so as otherwise to vest in some person becoming entitled to an estate of freehold of inheritance in the land, a tenant for life of the land may sell the chattels or any of them.
- (2.) The money arising by the sale shall be capital money arising under this Act, and shall be paid, invested, or applied and otherwise dealt with in like manner in all respects as by this Act directed with respect to other capital money arising under this Act, or may be invested in the purchase of other chattels, of the same or any other nature, which, when purchased, shall be settled and held on the same trusts, and shall devolve in the same manner as the chattels sold.
- (3.) A sale or purchase of chattels under this section shall not be made without an order of the Court (j).
- (j) See Re Brown's Will, 27 Ch. D. 179, where an order was made for sale with liberty for the tenant for life to bid.

X.—TRUSTEES.

38.—(1.) If at any time there are no trustees of a settlement within Appointment the definition in this Act (k), or where in any other case it is expedient, of trustees by for purposes of this Act, that new trustees of a settlement be appointed, the Court may, if it thinks fit, on the application of the tenant for life or of any other person having, under the settlement, an estate or interest in the settled land, in possession, remainder, or otherwise, or, in the case of an infant, of his testamentary or other guardian, or next friend, appoint fit persons to be trustees under the settlement for purposes of this Act (l).

- (k) See Re Garnett Orme, 25 Ch. D. 595.

 (l) See Wheelwright v. Walker, 23 Ch. D. 752; 31 W. R. 363, cited in note (b) to s. 2, ante, p. 141; Re Taylor, 31 W. R. 596; W. N. (1883) 95, cited in note to sect. 62, infra. The solicitor of the tenant for life (Wheelwright v. Walker; Re Kemp, 24 Ch. D. 485), or the tenant for life himself (Re Harrop, 24 Ch. D. 717), should not be appointed trustee. As to payment of the fund to trustees appointed for the purposes of the Act, see Re Wright, 24 Ch. D. 662; Re Harrop, 24 Ch. D. 717. As to the title of the summons, see Re Parry, W. N. (1884) 43. The application to appoint new trustees is made by summons; see Settled Land Act Rules, r. 2, infra; and as to service, see ibid., r. 4.
- (2.) The persons so appointed, and the survivors and survivor of them, while continuing to be trustees or trustee, and, until the appointment of new trustees, the personal representatives or representative

45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 38. for the time being of the last surviving or continuing trustee, shall for purposes of this Act become and be the trustees or trustee of the settlement.

Number of trustees to act.

- 39.—(1.) Notwithstanding anything in this Act, capital money arising under this Act shall not be paid to fewer than two persons as trustees of a settlement, unless the settlement authorizes the receipt of capital trust money of the settlement by one trustee.
- (2.) Subject thereto, the provisions of this Act referring to the trustees of a settlement apply to the surviving or continuing trustees or trustee of the settlement for the time being.

[By sect. 40 trustees' receipts are to be good discharges, and sects. 41, 42 and 43 contain usual provisions for the protection and reimbursement of trustees.]

Reference of differences to Court.

- 44. If at any time a difference arises between a tenant for life and the trustees of the settlement, respecting the exercise of any of the powers of this Act, or respecting any matter relating thereto, the Court may, on the application of either party, give such directions respecting the matter in difference, and respecting the costs of the application, as the Court thinks fit (m).
- (m) See for directions as to costs of sales, Re Beck, 24 Ch. D. 608. As to service, see Settled Land Act Rules, r. 4, infra.

[By sect. 45 a tenant for life intending to make a sale, exchange, partition, lease, mortgage or charge, is required to give notice to the trustees. It was held that a merely general notice of intention to sell, &c. was not sufficient (Re Ray, 25 Ch. D. 464). See now sect. 5 of the Settled Land Act, 1884, infra.]

XI.—COURT; LAND COMMISSIONERS; PROCEDURE.

Regulations respecting payments into Court, applications, &c.

- 46.—(1.) All matters within the jurisdiction of the Court under this Act shall, subject to the Acts regulating the Court, be assigned to the Chancery Division of the Court.
- (2.) Payment of money into Court effectually exonerates therefrom the person making the payment.
- (3.) Every application to the Court shall be by petition, or by summons at Chambers.
- (4.) On an application by the trustees of a settlement notice shall be served in the first instance on the tenant for life.
- (5.) On any application notice shall be served on such persons, if any, as the Court thinks fit.
- (6.) The Court shall have full power and discretion to make such order as it thinks fit respecting the costs, charges, or expenses of all or any of the parties to any application, and may, if it thinks fit, order that all or any of those costs, charges, or expenses be paid out of property subject to the settlement.
- (7.) General Rules for purposes of this Act shall be deemed Rules of Court within section seventeen of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, as altered by section nineteen of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1881, and may be made accordingly (n).
 - (8.) The powers of the Court may, as regards land in the County

39 & 40 Vict. c. 59. 44 & 45 Vict. c. 68. Palatine of Lancaster, be exercised also by the Court of Chancery of 45 & 46 Viot. the County Palatine; and Rules for regulating proceedings in that c. 38, s. 46. Court shall be from time to time made by the Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster, with the advice and consent of a Judge of the High Court acting in the Chancery Division, and of the Vice-Chancellor of the County Palatine.

- (9.) General Rules, and Rules for the Court of Chancery of the County Palatine, may be made at any time after the passing of this Act, to take effect on or after the commencement of this Act(n).
- (10.) The powers of the Court may, as regards land not exceeding in capital value five hundred pounds, or in annual rateable value thirty pounds, and, as regards capital money arising under this Act, and securities in which the same is invested, not exceeding in amount or value five hundred pounds, and as regards personal chattels settled or to be settled, as in this Act mentioned, not exceeding in value five hundred pounds, be exercised by any County Court within the district whereof is situate any part of the land which is to be dealt with in the Court, or from which the capital money to be dealt with in the Court arises under this Act, or in connexion with which the personal chattels to be dealt with in the Court are settled.
- (n) For the rules made under this provision, see the Settled Land Act Rules, 1882, infra.
- 47. Where the Court directs that any costs, charges, or expenses be Payment of paid out of property subject to a settlement, the same shall, subject settled proand according to the directions of the Court, be raised and paid out of perty. capital money arising under this Act, or other money liable to be laid out in the purchase of land to be made subject to the settlement, or out of investments representing such money, or out of income of any such money or investments, or out of any accumulations of income of land, money, or investments, or by means of a sale of part of the settled land in respect whereof the costs, charges, or expenses are incurred, or of other settled land comprised in the same settlement and subject to the same limitations, or by means of a mortgage of the settled land or any part thereof, to be made by such person as the Court directs, and either by conveyance of the fee simple or other estate or interest the subject of the settlement, or by creation of a term, or otherwise, or by means of a charge on the settled land or anypart thereof, or partly in one of those modes and partly in another or others, or in any such other mode as the Court thinks fit.

[Sects. 48 and 49 provide that the Enclosure Commissioners, the Copyhold Commissioners and the Tithe Commissioners shall constitute one body, to be styled the Land Commissioners for England; and define their powers, &c.]

By sect. 50 the powers under the Act of a tenant for life are not assignable, and remain exerciseable by him notwithstanding any assignment, by law or otherwise, of his interest under the settlement; and a contract not to exercise any of his powers under the Act is void.

[By sects. 51 and 52 any prohibition or limitation against the exercise of the powers is void, and no exercise of any power under the Act is to create a forfeiture.

45 & 46 Vict. A tenant for life, in exercising any of the powers, is to be deemed a trustee for all c. 38, ss. 51-55. the persons entitled under the settlement (s. 53); a general protection is given to purchasers, &c. dealing in good faith (s. 54); and the powers and authorities conferred by the Act are to be exerciseable from time to time (e. 55).]

Saving for other powers.

- 56.—(1.) Nothing in this Act shall take away, abridge, or prejudicially affect any power for the time being subsisting under a settlement, or by statute or otherwise, exerciseable by a tenant for life, or by trustees with his consent, or on his request, or by his direction, or otherwise; and the powers given by this Act are cumulative.
- (2.) But, in case of conflict between the provisions of a settlement and the provisions of this Act, relative to any matter in respect whereof the tenant for life exercises or contracts or intends to exercise any power under this Act, the provisions of this Act shall prevail; and, accordingly, notwithstanding anything in the settlement, the consent of the tenant for life shall, by virtue of this Act, be necessary to the exercise by the trustees of the settlement or other person of any power conferred by the settlement exerciseable for any purpose provided for in this Act.
- (3.) If a question arises, or a doubt is entertained, respecting any matter within this section, the Court may, on the application of the trustees of the settlement, or of the tenant for life, or of any other person interested, give its decision, opinion, advice, or direction thereon (o).

(o) See as to this section, Re Barrs-Haden, W. N. (1883) 188; 32 W. R. 194, where an order had been made under the Settled Estates Act, 1877, enabling the trustees to sell, but it had not been acted on; Re Duke of Newcastle, 24 Ch. D. 129. See also sect. 6 of the Settled Land Act, 1884, infra.

A tenant for life with leasing powers under a will became bankrupt. The trustee in bankruptcy presented a petition asking that the powers of leasing might be exercised by the trustees of the will; it was suggested, but denied, that the tenant for life declined to do anything. Kay, J., held that it would be improper to grant general leasing powers to the trustees, and that the proper course would be for the parties interested to come to the Court with a scheme, and show that it was for the benefit of the estate that some particular lease should be granted; and then, if the tenant for life contumaciously refused to exercise his powers, the Court would know how to deal with the case; and his lordship, with the consent of all parties, ordered the petition to stand over, with liherty to amend (Re Mansel, W. N. (1884) 209).

[By sect. 57, additional powers may be conferred by the settlement, and will be exerciseable as if conferred by the Act.]

XIII.—LIMITED OWNERS GENERALLY.

Enumeration of other limited owners, to have powers of tenant for life.

- 58.—(1.) Each person as follows shall, when the estate or interest of each of them is in possession (p) have the powers of a tenant for life under this Act, as if each of them were a tenant for life as defined in this Act (namely):
 - (i.) A tenant in tail, including a tenant in tail who is by Act of Parliament restrained from barring or defeating his estate tail, and although the reversion is in the Crown, and so that the exercise by him of his powers under this Act shall bind the Crown, but not including such a tenant in tail where the land in respect whereof he is so restrained was purchased with

money provided by Parliament in consideration of public 45 & 46 Vict. e. 38, s. 58. services:

- (ii.) A tenant in fee simple, with an executory limitation, gift, or disposition over, on failure of his issue, or in any other event (q):
- (iii.) A person entitled to a base fee, although the reversion is in the Crown, and so that the exercise by him of his powers under this Act shall bind the Crown:
- (iv.) A tenant for years determinable on life, not holding merely under a lease at a rent(r):
- (v.) A tenant for the life of another, not holding merely under a lease at a rent:
- (vi.) A tenant for his own or any other life, or for years determinable on life, whose estate is liable to cease in any event during that life, whether by expiration of the estate, or by conditional limitation, or otherwise, or to be defeated by an executory limitation, gift, or disposition over, or is subject to a trust for accumulation of income for payment of debts or other purpose (r):
- (vii.) A tenant in tail after possibility of issue extinct:
- (viii.) A tenant by the curtesy:
- (ix.) A person entitled to the income of land under a trust or direction for payment thereof to him during his own or any other life, whether subject to expenses of management or not (s), or until sale of the land, or until forfeiture of his interest therein on bankruptcy or other event.
- (2.) In every such case the provisions of this Act referring to a tenant for life, either as conferring powers on him or otherwise, and to a settlement, and to settled land, shall extend to each of the persons aforesaid, and to the instrument under which his estate or interest arises, and to the land therein comprised.
- (3.) In any such case any reference in this Act to death as regards a tenant for life shall, where necessary, be deemed to refer to the determination by death or otherwise of such estate or interest as last aforesaid.

(p) See Re Parry, W. N. (1884) 43.

(q) See as to this sub-section, Re Morgan, 24 Ch. D. 114.
(r) See Re Hazle, 26 Ch. D. 428.
(s) A person may be "entitled to the income of land under a trust or direction for payment thereof to him during his life, subject to expenses of management," within this sub-section, although the estates are so heavily encumbered that he has never received anything, and is not likely to do so (Re Jones, 24 Ch. D. 583; affirmed, 26 Ch. D. 736).

XIV .- Infants; Married Women; Lunatics.

- 59. Where a person, who is in his own right seised of or entitled Infant absoin possession to land, is an infant, then for purposes of this Act the lutely entitled to be as tenant land is settled land, and the infant shall be deemed tenant for life for life. thereof (t).
 - (t) See Re Wells, 31 W. R. 764; W. N. (1883) 111.

45 & 46 Viet. c. 38, s. 60.

Tenant for life, infant.

- 60. Where a tenant for life, or a person having the powers of a tenant for life under this Act, is an infant, or an infant would, if he were of full age, be a tenant for life, or have the powers of a tenant for life under this Act may be exercised on his behalf by the trustees of the settlement, and if there are none, then by such person and in such manner as the Court, on the application of a testamentary or other guardian or next friend of the infant, either generally or in a particular instance, orders (u).
- (u) See Re Duke of Newcastle, 24 Ch. D. 129; 31 W. R. 782; Re James, W. N. (1884) 172. In appointing trustees to sell an infant's estate the Court may authorize the sale to be made out of Court (Re Price, 27 Ch. D. 552).

Married woman, how to be affected.

- 61.—(1.) The foregoing provisions of this Act do not apply in the case of a married woman.
- (2.) Where a married woman who, if she had not been a married woman, would have been a tenant for life or would have had the powers of a tenant for life under the foregoing provisions of this Act, is entitled for her separate use, or is entitled under any statute, passed or to be passed, for her separate property, or as a feme sole, then she, without her husband, shall have the powers of a tenant for life under this Act.
- (3.) Where she is entitled otherwise than as aforesaid, then she and her husband together shall have the powers of a tenant for life under this Act.
- (4.) The provisions of this Act referring to a tenant for life and a settlement and settled land shall extend to the married woman without her husband, or to her and her husband together, as the case may require, and to the instrument under which her estate or interest arises, and to the land therein comprised.
- (5.) The married woman may execute, make, and do all deeds, instruments, and things necessary or proper for giving effect to the provisions of this section.
- (6.) A restraint on anticipation in the settlement shall not prevent the exercise by her of any power under this Act.

Tenant for life, lunatic.

- 62. Where a tenant for life, or a person having the powers of a tenant for life under this Act, is a lunatic, so found by inquisition, the committee of his estate may, in his name and on his behalf, under an order of the Lord Chancellor, or other person intrusted by virtue of the Queen's Sign Manual with the care and commitment of the custody of the persons and estates of lunatics, exercise the powers of a tenant for life under this Act; and the order may be made on the petition of any person interested in the settled land, or of the committee of the estate (v).
- (v) Where there were no trustees of the settlement in existence it was considered that new trustees should be appointed before the powers of the Act could be exercised, and a petition by the committee of the tenant for life, who was a lunatic; for power to grant a building lease of part of the settled property was directed to stand over for the purpose of appointing new trustees, with liberty to amend by stating the appointment of trustees, and that they had been served with notice of the

petition (Re Taylor, 31 W. R. 596; W. N. (1883) 95). The committee of a lunatic 45 & 46 Vict. tenant for life cannot give a valid notice under sect. 45 unless he has obtained authority from the L.JJ. so to do (Re Ray, 25 Ch. D. 464).

XV.—Settlement by way of Trusts for Sale.

63.—(1.) Any land, or any estate or interest in land, which under Provision for or by virtue of any deed, will, or agreement, covenant to surrender, case of trust to sell and copy of court roll, Act of Parliament, or other instrument or any num-re-invest in ber of instruments, whether made or passed before or after, or partly land. before and partly after, the commencement of this Act, is subject to a trust or direction for sale of that land, estate, or interest, and for the application or disposal of the money to arise from the sale, or the income of that money, or the income of the land until sale, or any part of that money or income, for the benefit of any person for his life, or any other limited period, or for the benefit of two or more persons concurrently for any limited period (w), and whether absolutely, or subject to a trust for accumulation of income for payment of debts or other purpose, or to any other restriction, shall be deemed to be settled land, and the instrument or instruments under which the trust arises shall be deemed to be a settlement; and the person for the time being beneficially entitled to the income of the land, estate, or interest aforesaid until sale, whether absolutely or subject as aforesaid, shall be deemed to be tenant for life thereof; or if two or more persons are so entitled concurrently, then those persons shall be deemed to constitute together the tenant for life thereof; and the persons, if any, who are for the time being under the settlement trustees for sale of the settled land, or having power of consent to, or approval of, or control over the sale, or if under the settlement there are no such trustees, then the persons, if any, for the time being, who are by the settlement declared to be trustees thereof for purposes of this Act are for purposes of this Act trustees of the settlement.

(2.) In every such case the provisions of this Act referring to a tenant for life, and to a settlement, and to settled land, shall extend to the person or persons aforesaid, and to the instrument or instruments under which his or their estate or interest arises, and to the land therein comprised, subject and except as in this section provided (that is to say):

(i.) Any reference in this Act to the predecessors or successors in title of the tenant for life, or to the remaindermen, or reversioners or other persons interested in the settled land, shall be deemed to refer to the persons interested in succession or otherwise in the money to arise from sale of the land, or the income of that money, or the income of the land, until sale (as the case may require).

(ii.) Capital money arising under this Act from the settled land shall not be applied in the purchase of land unless such application is authorized by the settlement in the case of capital money arising thereunder from sales or other dispositions of the settled

45 & 46 Vict. u. 38, s. 63.

- land, but may, in addition to any other mode of application authorized by this Act, be applied in any mode in which capital money arising under the settlement from any such sale or other disposition is applicable thereunder, subject to any consent required or direction given by the settlement with respect to the application of trust money of the settlement.
- (iii.) Capital money arising under this Act from the settled land and the securities in which the same is invested, shall not for any purpose of disposition, transmission or devolution, be considered as land unless the same would, if arising under the settlement from a sale or disposition of the settled land, have been so considered, and the same shall be held in trust for and shall go to the same persons successively in the same manner, and for and on the same estates, interests, and trusts as the same would have gone and been held if arising under the settlement from a sale or disposition of the settled land, and the income of such capital money and securities shall be paid or applied accordingly.
- (iv.) Land of whatever tenure acquired under this Act by purchase, or in exchange, or on partition, shall be conveyed to and vested in the trustees of the settlement, on the trusts, and subject to the powers and provisions which, under the settlement or by reason of the exercise of any power of appointment or charging therein contained, are subsisting with respect to the settled land, or would be so subsisting if the same had not been sold, or as near thereto as circumstances permit, but so as not to increase or multiply charges or powers of charging (x).

(w) See Allaway v. Oakley, W. N. (1884)67.

(x) See the Settled Land Act, 1884, ss. 6 & 7, and notes thereto, infra, p. 166.

XVI.—REPEALS.

Repeal of enactments in schedule. 64.—(1.) The enactments described in the schedule to this Act are hereby repealed.

(2.) The repeal by this Act of any enactment shall not affect any right accrued or obligation incurred thereunder before the commencement of this Act; nor shall the same affect the validity or invalidity, or any operation, effect, or consequence, of any instrument executed or made, or of anything done or suffered, or of any order made, before the commencement of this Act; nor shall the same affect any action, proceeding, or thing then pending or uncompleted; and every such action, proceeding, and thing may be carried on and completed as if there had been no such repeal in this Act.

XVII.-IRELAND.

[Sect. 65 relates only to Ireland.]

THE SCHEDULE.

45 & 46 Viot. o. 38.

Section 64.

REPEALS.

23 & 24 Viot. c. 145. in part. .. An Act to give to trustees, mortgagees, and others, certain powers now commonly inserted in part; namely,in settlements, mortgages, and wills

Parts I. and IV.

(being so much of the Act as is not repealed by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881).

27 & 28 Vict. c. 114. in part. ..

The Improvement of Land Act, 1864...in part; namely,-Sections seventeen and eighteen: Section twenty-one, from "either by a party" to "benefice) or" (inclusive); and from "or if the land owner" to "minor or minors" (inclusive); and "or circumstance" (twice):

Except as regards Scotland.

40 & 41 Vict. c. 18. in part. . .

The Settled Estates Act, 1877in part; namely,— Section seventeen.

RULES UNDER THE SETTLED LAND ACT, 1882.

[The Rules as issued have no marginal notes.]

1. The expression "the Act" used in these rules means the Settled Definitions. Land Act, 1882.

Words defined by the Act when used in these rules have the same meanings as in the Act.

The expression "the tenant for life" includes the tenant for life as defined by the Act, and any person having the powers of a tenant for life under the Act.

2. All applications to the Court under the Act may be made by Applications summons in chambers; and if in any case a petition shall be presented to be by without the direction of the judge, no further costs shall be allowed than would be allowed upon a summons.

3. The forms in the appendix to these rules are to be followed as far Forms. as possible, with such modification as the circumstances require. All summonses, petitions, affidavits, and other proceedings under the Act are to be entitled according to Form 1 in the Appendix.

4. The persons to be served with notice of applications to the Court Persons to be served. shall, in the first instance, be as follows:-

In the case of applications by the tenant for life under sects. 15 and 34, the trustees.

In the case of applications under sect. 38, the trustees (if any), and the tenant for life if not the applicant.

In the case of applications under sect. 44, the tenant for life, or the trustees, as the case may be.

No other person shall in the first instance be served. Except as hereinbefore provided where an application under the Act is made by any person other than the tenant for life, the tenant for life alone shall be served in the first instance.

Where no service required.

5. Except in the cases mentioned in the last rule, applications by a tenant for life shall not in the first instance be served on any person.

Judge may direct service or dispense with service. 6. The judge may require notice of any application under the Act to be served upon such persons as he thinks fit, and may give all necessary directions as to the persons (if any) to be served, and such directions may be added to or varied from time to time as the case may require. Where a petition is presented, the petitioner may, after the petition has been filed, apply by summons in chambers (Appendix, Form XXIII.) for directions with regard to the persons on whom the petition ought to be served. If any person not already served is directed to be served with notice of an application, the application shall stand over generally, or until such time as the judge directs. The judge may in any particular case, upon such terms (if any) as he thinks fit, dispense with service upon any person upon whom, under these rules, or under any direction of the judge, any application is to be served.

Title of tenant for life to be verified by affidavit. 7. It shall be sufficient upon any application under the Act to verify by affidavit the title of the tenant for life and trustees or other persons interested in the application unless the judge in any particular case requires further evidence. Such affidavit may be in the form or to the effect of Form No. VIII. in the Appendix.

Sales to be carried into effect out of Court. 8. Any sale authorised or directed by the Court under the Act, shall be carried into effect out of Court, unless the judge shall otherwise order, and generally in such manner as the judge may direct.

Orders, when to direct leases, &c. to be settled by the judge.

9. Where the Court authorises generally the tenant for life to make from time to time leases or grants for building or mining purposes under section 10 of the Act, the order shall not direct any particular lease or grant to be settled or approved by the judge unless the judge shall consider that there is some special reason why such lease or grant should be settled or approved by him. Where the Court authorises any such lease or grant in any particular case, or where the Court authorises a lease under section 15 of the Act, the order may either approve a lease or grant already prepared or may direct that the lease or grant shall contain conditions specified in the order or such conditions as may be approved by the judge at chambers without directing the lease or grant to be settled by the judge.

Payment of capital money into Court.

10. Any person directed by the tenant for life to pay into court any capital money arising under the Act may apply by summons at chambers for leave to pay the money into court. (Appendix, Forms IX., XI.)

Evidence.

- 11. The summons shall be supported by an affidavit setting forth-
- 1. The name and address of the person desiring to make the payment.
- 2. The place where he is to be served with notice of any proceeding relating to the money.

- 3. The amount of money to be paid into court and the account to the credit of which it is to be placed.
- 4. The name and address of the tenant for life under the settlement by whose direction the money is to be paid into court.
- 5. The short particulars of the transaction in respect of which the money is payable.
- 12. The order made upon the summons for payment into court, Order may may contain directions for investment of the money on any securities contain directions for inauthorised by section 21, sub-section 1 of the Act, and for payment vestment. of the dividends to the tenant for life, either forthwith or upon production of the consent in writing of the applicant; the signature to such consent, to be verified by the affidavit of a solicitor. But if the transaction in respect of which the money arises, is not completed at the date of payment into court, the money shall not, without the consent of the applicant, be ordered to be invested in any securities other than those upon which cash under the control of the court may be invested.

13. Money paid into court under the Act shall be paid to an account, Title of to be entitled in the matter of the settlement, with a short description account. of the mode in which the money arises if it is necessary or desirable to identify it, and in the matter of the Act. (Appendix Forms IX., $X_{\cdot,i}$ and $XI_{\cdot,i}$.

14. Any person paying into court any capital money arising under Costs of paythe Act shall be entitled first to deduct the costs of paying the money ment in. into court.

15. In all cases not provided for by the Act or these rules, the exist- Saving of ing practice of the court as to costs and otherwise, so far as the same existing practice. may be applicable, shall apply to proceedings under the Act.

16. The fees and allowances to solicitors of the court in respect to Solicitors' proceedings under the Act shall be those provided by the Rules of the allowances. Supreme Court as to costs for the time being in force, so far as they are applicable to such proceedings.

17. The fees to be taken by the officers of the court in respect to Court fees. proceedings under the Act shall be those provided by the Rules of the Supreme Court as to court fees for the time being in force, so far as they are applicable to such proceedings.

18. These rules shall come into operation from and after the 31st Date of com-December, 1882.

mencement of rules.

19. These rules may be cited as the Settled Land Act Rules, 1882.

Short title.

(Signed)

SELBORNE, C. COLERIDGE, L. C. J. G. JESSEL, M. R. NATH. LINDLEY, L. J. H. MANISTY, J. E. FRY, J.

APPENDIX.

FORM I.

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS.

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, Vice-Chancellor Bacon,

or Mr. Justice Chitty,

[or other judge before whom the application is to be heard.]

In the matter of the estate [or, of the timber upon the in the county of , [or, of the chattels], settled hy a situate at settlement made by an indenture dated the between [or, by the Will of dated And in the matter of the Settled Land Act, 1882. day of , and made or, as the case may be].

FORM II.

FORMAL PART OF SUMMONS.

Title as in Form I.

Let all parties concerned attend at my chambers at the Royal Courts of Justice day, the 18 , at o'clock in the forencen, on day of on the hearing of an application-

(a.) On the part of A.B., the tenant for life [or, tenant in tail, or as the case may describing the nature of the applicant's estate] under the above-mentioned settlement.

Or, (b.) On the part of A.B., the tenant for life (or, as the case may be) under the above-mentioned settlement an infant, by X.Y., his testamentary guardian [or,

guardian appointed by order dated the , or next friend].

Or, (c.) On the part of C.D. and E.F., the trustees of the above-mentioned

settlement for the purposes of the above-mentioned Act.

Or, (d.) On the part of G.H., the tenant for life in remainder [or, tenant in tail in remainder, or as the case may be, describing the applicant's interest under the above-mentioned settlement subject to the life interest of A.B. [or as the case may be]. Or, (e.) On the part of I.J., the purchaser of the lands [or, the timber upon the

lands, or chattels, or as the case may be] settled by the above-mentioned settlement.

Or, (f.) On the part of I.J., the lessee under a mining lease dated the 18 , granted under the powers of the above-mentioned Act of the mines and

minerals under the lands settled by the above-mentioned settlement.

Or, (g.) On the part of I.J., the mortgagee under a mortgage intended to be created under sect. 18 of the above-mentioned Act of the lands settled by the above-mentioned settlement.

Or, (h). On the part of K.L., interested under the contract herein-after mentioned.

Dated the day of

This summons was taken out by of , solicitor for the applicant. T_0

(Add the names of the persons (if any) on whom the summons is to be served.)

FORM III.

SUMMONS UNDER SECT. 10 FOR GENERAL LEASING POWERS. Title and formal parts as in Forms I. and II. a. or b.

1. That the applicant [or in the case of an infant that the said X.Y. during the infancy of the said A.B.], and each of his successors in title [or in the case of an infant, each of the successors in title of the said A.B.], being a tenant for life or having the powers of a tenant for life under the above-mentioned Act, may pursuant to sect. 10 of the said Act be authorised from time to time to make building [or mining] leases of the lands comprised in the said settlement for the term of years [or in perpetuity] on the conditions specified in the said Act [or on other conditions than those specified in sects. 7 to 9 of the said Act].

2. That the costs of this application may be directed to be taxed as between

solicitor and client, and that the same when taxed may be paid out of the property subject to the said settlement, and that for that purpose all necessary directions may be given.

Note.—The proposed conditions ought not, except in simple cases, to be set forth

FORM IV.

Summons under Sections 10 or 15 for Authority to grant a particular Lease WHERE THE TENANT FOR LIFE HAS ENTERED INTO A CONTRACT.

Title as in Form I.!

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

1. That the conditional contract, dated the , and made between the applicant [or the said X.Y.] of the one part and of the other part, for a [building or mining] lease to the said of the hereditaments therein mentioned for the term, and upon the conditions therein stated, may, pursuant to sect. 10 [or 15] of the above-mentioned Act be approved, and that the said A.B. [or X.Y.] may be authorised to execute a lease in pursuance of the said contract.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM V.

Summons under Sections 10 or 15 for Authority to grant a particular Lease WHEN NO CONTRACT HAS BEEN ENTERED INTO.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

1. That the [building or mining] lease intended to be granted to of the lands [or of the mansion house, &c.], settled by the said settlement may, pursuant to sect. 10 [or 15] of the above-mentioned Act be approved, and that the applicant [or the said X.Y.] may be authorised to execute the same.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM VI.

Summons under Sections 15, 35, or 37 for a Sale out of Court of the principal Mansion House, and Demesnes, or of Timber or Chattels.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

- 1. That the applicant [or in the case of an infant the said X.Y.] may be authorised to sell the principal mansion house [or the timber ripe and fit for cutting] on the land [or the furniture and chattels] settled by the above-mentioned settlement in such manner and subject to such particulars, conditions, and provisions as he may
- 2. That the costs of this application may be taxed as between solicitor and client, and that C.D. and E.F., the trustees of the said settlement, may be at liberty to pay the costs when taxed out of the proceeds of the said sale [or, in the case of timber, out of the three-fourths of the proceeds of the said sale to be set aside as capital money arising under the said Act], or if this Form is not applicable as in Form III. 2.

FORM VII.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTIONS 15, 35, OR 37 FOR SALE BY THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL MANSION HOUSE, AND DEMESNES, OR OF TIMBER OR CHATTELS.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

1. That the principal mansion house [or the timber rips and fit for outting] on the land [or the furniture and chattels], settled by the above-mentioned settlement, may be sold under the direction of the Court.

2. (Application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM VIII.

AFFIDAVIT VERIFYING TITLE.

Title as in Form I.

make oath and say as follows:

1. By the above-mentioned settlement the above-mentioned lands [or certain chattels, shortly describing them] stand limited to uses [or upon trusts] under which A.B. is [or I am] beneficially entitled in possession as tenant for life [or tenant in tail or tenant in fee simple, with an executory gift over or as the case may be].

2. (If it is the fact.) The said A.B. is an infant of the age of ye

years or

thereabouts.

3. C.D. of are trustees under the said settlement, with and E.F. of a power of sale of the said lands [or with power of consent to or approval of the exercise of a power of sale of the said lands contained in the said settlement, or are the persons by the said settlement declared to be trustees thereof for purposes of the above-mentioned Act].

FORM IX.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 22 BY PURCHASER FOR PAYMENT INTO COURT OF PURCHASE-MONEY OF SETTLED LAND, TIMBER, OR CHATTELS.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. e.

1. That the applicant may be at liberty to pay into Court to the credit of "In "the matter of the settlement, dated the "the matter of the settlement, dated the and made between [or will, &c.] "proceeds of sale of the A. estate [or as the case may be], and in the matter of the Settled Land Act, 1882," the sum of £ on account of the purchase-money of the said A. estate [or as the case may be], settled by the said settlement [or will,

&c.].

2. That such directions may be given for the investment of the said sums when paid into Court, and the accumulation or payment of the dividends of the securities representing the same, as the Court may think proper.

FORM X.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 22 FOR PAYMENT INTO COURT BY LESSEE UNDER A MINING Lease (see Section 11).

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. f.

1. That the applicant may be at liberty to pay into Court to the credit of "In "the matter of the settlement dated the and made between "will, &c.] mineral rents under lease dated the and in the matter of Settled Land Act, 1882," the sum of £ being three-fourths [or one-foof the rents payable by him under the said lease for the half-year ending the e and in the matter of the being three-fourths [or one-fourth] less £

ss £ the costs of payment into Court.

2. That the applicant may be at liberty on or before the day of the day of in every year during the term created by the said lease to pay into Court to the credit aforesaid, so much of the rents payable by him under the said lease as is by section 11 of the above-mentioned Act directed to be set aside as capital money arising under the said Act after deducting therefrom the costs of

payment in, the amount paid in to be verified by affidavit.

3. That the said sum of £ and all other sume to be paid into Court to the oredit aforesaid, may be invested in the purchase of [name the investment], to the like credit, and that the dividends on the said when purchased, may be paid. to A.B., the tenant for life under the above-mentioned settlement, during his life

or until further order.

FORM XI.

Summons under Section 22 for Payment into Court by Mortgagee (see Section 18). Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. g.

1. That the applicant may be at liberty to pay into Court to the credit of "Money "advanced on mortgage of lands settled by the settlement dated the and made "between [or the will, &c.], and in the matter of the Settled Land Act, 1882," being the amount agreed to be advanced by him on mortgage of the lands convised in the costs of page. of the lands comprised in the above-mentioned settlement, less the costs of payment in

2. (Add directions for investment as in Form VIII. 2.)

FORM XII.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 26 (1).

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

 That the scheme left at my chambers this day for the execution of improvements on the lands settled by the above-mentioned settlement may be approved.

FORM XIII.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 26, SUB-SECTION (2) (ii), FOR APPOINTMENT OF AN ENGINEER OR SURVEYOR.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

1. That M.N., of engineer [or surveyor], may be approved as engineer [or surveyor], for the purposes of section 26, sub-section (2) (ii) of the abovementioned Act.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XIV.

NOMINATION OF AN ENGINEER OR SURVEYOR BY THE TRUSTEES.

Title as in Form I.

We C.D. of and E.F. of the trustees of the above-mentioned settlement for the purposes of the above-mentioned Act, hereby nominate ofengineer [or surveyor], for the purposes of section 26, sub-section (2) (ii) of the said Act.

(Signed) C.D.

E.F.

FORM XV.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 26, SUB-SECTION (2) (iii).

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

1. That C.D. and E.F. the trustees of the above-mentioned settlement, for the purposes of the above-mentioned Act may be directed to apply the sum of out of the capital money arising under the said Act in their hands subject to the said settlement in payment for [describe the work or operation] being [part of] an improvement executed upon the lands subject to the said settlement pursuant to a scheme approved by the said C.D. and E.F. under the said Act.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XVI.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 26, SUB-SECTION 3.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

in Court may be ordered to be raised out of the 1. That the sum of £ to the credit of and that the same when raised may be paid to upon his undertaking to apply the same in payment for [describe the works or operation] being part of an improvement executed upon the land settled by the above-mentioned settlement pursuant to the scheme approved by Order dated the 2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XVII.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 31.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. or b.

1. That the applicant may be at liberty to enforce [or carry into effect or vary or rescind as the case may be the contract entered into between the applicant of the one part, and of the other part.

2. Or that such directions may be given relating to the said contract as the judge

may think fit.

3. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XVIII.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 34 FOR APPLICATION OF MONRY PAID FOR A LEASE OR REVERSION.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a, b, or d.

1. That the sum of £ being the proceeds of sale of a lease for years [or life or a reversion or other interest, describing it] settled by the above-mentioned settlement, may, pursuant to section 34 of the above-mentioned Act, be directed to be applied for the benefit of the parties interested under the said settlement in such manner as the court may think fit.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XIX.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 38 FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF NEW TRUSTEES.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a, b, c, or d.

1. That G.H. and I.J. may be appointed trustees under the above-mentioned settlement for the purposes of the above-mentioned Act.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XX.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 44.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a, b, or c.

That it may be declared that (set out the declaration required).

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2, or as the circumstances require.)

FORM XXI.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 56 FOR ADVICE AND DIRECTION.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. a. to h.

For the opinion, advice, and direction of the judge on the following questions:—

Whether
 Whether

3. Whether

(or if the questions involve complicated facts)

for the opinion, advice and direction of the judge on the facts and questions submitted by the statement left in my chambers this day.

(Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XXII.

SUMMONS UNDER SECTION 60 FOR APPOINTMENT OF PERSONS TO EXERCISE POWERS ON BEHALF OF INFANT.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II. b.

1. That the powers conferred upon a tenant for life by sections 6 to 13, both inclusive, and sections 16 to 20, both inclusive, of the above-mentioned Act (or such other powers as it is desired to exercise) may be exercised by the said during his minority.

2. (Add application for costs as in Form III. 2.)

FORM XXIII.

SUMMONS FOR DIRECTIONS AS TO SERVICE OF A PETITION.

Title as in Form I.

Formal parts as in Form II.

That directions may be given as to the persons to be served with the petition presented in the above matter on the day of

SETTLED LAND ACT, 1884.

47 & 48 Vict. c. 18.

47 & 48 VICT. CAP. 18.

An Act to amend the Settled Land Act, 1882.

[3rd July, 1884.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c., as follows:

1. This Act may be cited as the Settled Land Act, 1884.

Short title.

- 2. The expression "the Act of 1882" used in this Act means the Interpreta-Settled Land Act, 1882.
- 3. The Act of 1882 and this Act are to be read and construed Construction together as one Act, and expressions used in this Act are to have the same meanings as those attached by the Act of 1882 to similar expressions used therein.

[By sect. 4, a fine on a lease is to be capital money.]

By sect. 5, the notice required by sect. 45 of the Settled Land Act, 1882, may, as to a sale, exchange, partition, or lease, be general.]

47 & 48 Vict. c. 18, s. 6. As to consents of tenants for life.

- 6.—(1.) In the case of a settlement within the meaning of section sixty-three of the Act of 1882, any consent not required by the terms of the settlement is not by force of anything contained in that Act to be deemed necessary to enable the trustees of the settlement, or any other person, to execute any of the trusts or powers created by the settlement.
- (2.) In the case of every other settlement, not within the meaning of section sixty-three of the Act of 1882, where two or more persons together constitute the tenant for life for the purposes of that Act, then, notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (2) of section fifty-six of that Act, requiring the consent of all those persons, the consent of one only of those persons is by force of that section to be deemed necessary to the exercise by the trustees of the settlement, or by any other person, of any power conferred by the settlement exerciseable for any purpose provided for in that Act.
- (3.) This section applies to dealings before, as well as after, the passing of this Act(a).
 - (a) See note to sect. 7.

Powers given by s. 63 to be exercised only with leave of the Court.

- 7. With respect to the powers conferred by section sixty-three of the Act of 1882, the following provisions are to have effect:—
 - (i.) Those powers are not to be exercised without the leave of the Court.
 - (ii.) The Court may by order, in any case in which it thinks fit, give leave to exercise all or any of those powers, and the order is to name the person or persons to whom leave is given.
 - (iii.) The Court may from time to time rescind, or vary, any order made under this section, or may make any new or further order.
 - (iv.) So long as an order under this section is in force, neither the trustees of the settlement, nor any person other than a person having the leave, shall execute any trust or power created by the settlement, for any purpose for which leave is by the order given, to exercise a power conferred by the Act of 1882.
 - (v.) An order under this section may be registered and re-registered, as a lis pendens, against the trustees of the settlement named in the order, describing them on the register as "Trustees for the purposes of the Settled Land Act, 1882."
 - (vi.) Any person dealing with the trustees from time to time, or with any other person acting under the trusts or powers of the settlement, is not to be affected by an order under this section, unless and until the order is duly registered, and when necessary re-registered as a lis pendens.
 - (vii.) An application to the Court under this section may be made by the tenant for life, or by the persons who together constitute the tenant for life, within the meaning of section sixty-three of the Act of 1882.

(viii.) An application to rescind or vary an order, or to make any 47 & 48 Vict. new or further order under this section, may be made also by the trustees of the settlement, or by any person beneficially interested under the settlement.

- (ix.) The person or persons to whom leave is given by an order under this section, shall be deemed the proper person or persons to exercise the powers conferred by section sixty-three of the Act of 1882, and shall have, and may exercise those powers accordingly.
- (x.) This section is not to affect any dealing which has taken place before the passing of this Act, under any trust or power to which this section applies (b).
- (b) This and the preceding section were passed in consequence of the difficulties which arose in *Re Earle and Webster*, 24 Ch. D. 144, and *Taylor* v. *Poncia*, 25 Ch. D. 646, where the question was raised whether trustees, selling under the common trust for sale, could make a good title without the concurrence of the tenants for life of the purchase-money.
- 8. For the purposes of the Act of 1882 the estate of a tenant by Curtesy to be the curtesy is to be deemed an estate arising under a settlement made deemed to by his wife.

arise under settlement.

RAILWAY COMPANIES ACT, 1867.

30 & 31 Vict. c. 127.

30 & 31 VICT. CAP. 127.

An Act to amend the Law relating to Railway Companies. [20th August, 1867.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:—

Preliminary.

I. This Act may be cited as The Railway Companies Act, 1867.

Short title. $\mathbf{Act.}$

II. Except as in this Act expressly otherwise provided, this Act shall Extent of not extend to Scotland.

Interpretation of terms.

III. In this Act—

The term "company" means a railway company; that is to say, a company constituted by Act of Parliament, or by certificate. under Act of Parliament, for the purpose of constructing, maintaining, or working a railway (either alone or in conjunction with any other purpose):

The term "action" includes suit or other proceeding:

The term "judgment" includes decree, order, or rule:

The term "share" includes stock:

The term "person" includes corporation:

The term "Court of Chancery" or "Court" means the Court of Judicature Chancery* in England or Ireland, as the case requires:

* See now Act, 1873, s. 34 (2). 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, s. 3. The 'term, "Gazette" means, with respect to England, the "London Gazette," and with respect to Ireland, the "Dublin Gazette."

Protection of Rolling Stock and Plant.

Interim restrictions on executions against property of railway open for traffic.

IV. The engines, tenders, carriages, trucks, machinery, tools, fittings, materials, and effects, constituting the rolling stock and plant used or provided by a company for the purposes of the traffic on their railway, or of their stations or workshops, shall not, after their railway or any part thereof is open for public traffic, be liable to be taken in execution at law or in equity at any time after the passing of this Act [and before the first day of September, one thousand eight hundred and sixty-eight] (a), where the judgment on which execution issues is recovered in an action on a contract entered into after the passing of this Act, or in an action not on a contract commenced after the passing of this Act, but the person who has recovered any such judgment may obtain the appointment of a receiver, and, if necessary, of a manager, of the undertaking of the company, on application by petition in a summary way to the Court of Chancery in England or in Ireland, according to the situation of the railway of the company; and all money received by such receiver or manager shall, after due provision for the working expenses of the railway and other proper outgoings in respect of the undertaking, be applied and distributed under the direction of the Court in payment of the debts of the company and otherwise according to the rights and priorities of the persons for the time being interested therein; and on payment of the amount due to every such judgment creditor as aforesaid the Court may, if it think fit, discharge such receiver or such receiver and manager (b).

(a) This time was extended to 1st September, 1870, by 31 & 32 Vict. c. 79; and the Act is now made perpetual by 38 & 39 Vict. c. 31, which repealed the words in brackets.

Extent of protection from seizure.

brackets.

(b) The protection under the section from seizure of the rolling stock and plant of a railway which has been opened for public traffic continues, although the railway is afterwards closed for traffic (Midland Waggon Co. v. The Potteries, Shrewsbury, and North Wales Ry. Co., 6 Q. B. D. 36), and applies to the railway plant of every company constituted by statute to construct or work a railway, though that may be a subordinate part of its undertaking (Great Northern Ry. Co. v. Tahourdin, 13 Q. B. D. 320). Where a railway company, being in want of money, and being advised that it had no power to borrow, sold part of its rolling stock to a waggon company, at the same time making a contract with the waggon company for the hire of the same rolling stock at a rent which would repay the purchasemoney with interest in five years, and then for its repurchase at a nominal price, the payment of the rent being also guaranteed by three of the directors of the railway company, it was held, that the transaction was not a borrowing of money, but a bond fide sale and hiring of the rolling stock, and was valid against both the railway company and the directors (Yorkshire Ry. Waggon Co. v. Macture, 21 Ch. D. 309).

Open for public traffic.

Contract after the Act.

Form of order.

A railway, part of which had been occupied by another company, but not opened by the company which made it, was held not to be open for public traffic within the Act (Re Beddgelert Ry., 19 W. R. 427; W. N. (1871), 3).

Where a judgment was recovered for money due for services rendered both before and after the passing of the Act, the Act was held not to apply (Re Beddgelert Ry.). For form of order, see Re Stafford and Uttoxeter Ry., W. N. (1868), 113; Contract Corporation v. Tottenham Ry., ibid. 242; Seton, 422. See also note (c) to sect. 5.

V. If in any case where property of a company has been taken in 30. & 31 Vict. execution a question arises whether or not it is liable to be so taken notwithstanding this Act, the same may be heard and determined on Determinaan application by either party by summons in a summary way to the direction of Court out of which the execution issued, or if the Court is one of the respecting superior Courts of law, then to a judge of any one of those Courts, and executions. such determination shall be final and binding (c).

(e) The rules 29-32 of the Order of 24 Jan. 1868, which regulate the practice

under these sections (see 3 Ch. xlii.) are as follows:—
29. Every petition under "The Railway Companies Act, 1867," sect. 4, shall be intituled in the matter of the Act, and in the matter of the company in question, and shall be marked either with the words "Lord Chancellor," and the name of

one of the Vice-Chancellors, or with the words "Master of the Rolls."

30. Such petition shall be served on the company only, but the Court may at the hearing, if it shall so think fit, adjourn the same for the purpose of service on such

hearing, if it shall so think fit, adjourn the same for the purpose of service on such other parties, if any, as the Court shall think fit.

31. Every order appointing a receiver or manager under the last-mentioned section, shall direct such accounts and inquiries as the Court may think fit for ascertaining the debts of the company, and the rights and priorities of the persons interested in the money, to come to the hands of such receiver or manager.

32. Every summons in Chancery under "The Railway Companies Act, 1867," sect. 5, shall be intituled in the matter of the said Act, and in the cause or matter in which the execution in question was issued, and such summons shall be issued out of the chambers of the judge to whose Court such cause or matter is attached. out of the chambers of the judge to whose Court such cause or matter is attached, and such rules and practice of the Court of Chancery as are applicable to summonses for the purpose of proceedings not originating in chambers, and to the proceedings thereunder, shall be applicable to such summons and the proceedings thereunder.

The only evidence required in support of a petition by an unpaid judgment Evidence on creditor is an affidavit that he is such a creditor, and that his judgment debt is application.

creditor is an affidavit that he is such a creditor, and that his judgment debt is unsatisfied; and that the company is a going concern carrying on its own business, and conducting its own traffic in the ordinary way (Re Manchester and Milford Ry. Co., 14 Ch. D. 645, C. A.).

A railway company which has never commenced to acquire the lands, or to construct the railway authorised by its special Act, is not an "undertaking" within the meaning of the Act, of which a receiver can be appointed (Re Birmingham within Act. and Lichfield Junction Ry. Co., 18 Ch. D. 155, M. R.).

Wherever the judgment creditor of a railway company is unpaid, the appointment of a receiver or manager is a matter of right; and where the company is carrying on its business in the ordinary way, conducting its own traffic arrangements, the appointment of a receiver is necessary within the meaning of the Act, and, as a general rule, the directors, or secretary, or some of them, will be appointed managers where they are acting fairly; and the order for the appointment of a manager will be made without prejudice to any application on the part of the directors to propose themselves, or some of their number; to act as managers (Re Manchester and Milford Ry. Co., 14 Ch. D. 645).

The powers of the receiver do not, it seems, extend to getting in unpaid calls (Re

The powers of the receiver do not, it seems, extend to getting in unpaid calls (Re Birmingham and Lichfield Junction Ry. Co., 18 Ch. D. 155).

Arrangements.

VI. Where a company are unable to meet their engagements with Preparation their creditors, the directors may prepare a scheme of arrangement scheme of between the company and their creditors (with or without provisions arrangement. for settling and defining any rights of shareholders of the company as among themselves, and for raising, if necessary, additional share and loan capital, or either of them), and may file the same in the Court of Chancery* in England or in Ireland, according to the situation of the * See Judiprincipal office of the company, with a declaration in writing under cature Act, 1873, s. 34 the common seal of the company to the effect that the company are (2). unable to meet their engagements with their creditors, and with an affidavit of the truth of such declaration made by the chairman of the

c. 127, s. 6.

30 & 31 Vict. board of directors and by the other directors, or the major part in number of them, to the best of their respective judgment and belief (d).

Form of scheme.

(d) For a form of scheme, see Re Trign Valley Ry. Co., 18 L. T. 809; and for leave given to vary a scheme when filed, see Re Cambrian Ry., 3 Ch. 278; 17 L. T. 394.

Form of for persons to apply for copies.

The General Order of Jan. 24, 1868, provides in the third schedule the following advertisement form for advertisement of the scheme:

> In the Matter of the Railway Company; and In the Matter of the Railway Companies Act, 1867.

Notice is hereby given, that on the

, a Scheme of Arrangement between the above-named company and their creditors [state here whether the scheme contains or not any provisions for settling the rights of any and what classes of shareholders as among themselves, or for raising additional share or loan capital, and which, and to what extent] was filed in the Court of Chancery, and a copy of the said scheme will be furnished to any person requiring the same by the undersigned, or at the office of the company at on payment of the regulated charges for the same.],

[Agents for C. and D., of Solicitors for the Company.

The same order provides with reference to the preparation and filing of the Ord. Jan. 24, scheme as follows: 1868.

Title of scheme and proceedings.

1. Every scheme to be filed in the Court of Chancery, pursuant to the statute 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, s. 6, and every declaration, affidavit, petition, summons, notice, or other proceeding relative thereto, shall be intituled in the matter of "The Railway Companies

To be attached to Court.

Act, 1867," and in the matter of the company in question.

2. Every such scheme shall be marked either with the words "Lord Chancellor," and the name of one of the Vice-Chancellors, or with the words "Master of the Rolls," and the matter of such scheme (unless removed by some special order of the Lord Chancellor or the Lords Justices) shall accordingly be attached to the Court of such Vice-Chancellor, or to the Court of the Master of the Rolls, as the case may be, in like manner and for the same purposes as causes are attached to a particular Court.

To be printed.

3. Every scheme to be filed as aforesaid shall be printed on paper of the same size and description, and in the same style and manner as bills in Chancery are required to be printed, or shall be written bookwise upon paper of the same size and description as last aforesaid. 4. Every declaration and affidavit to be filed as mentioned in the 6th section of the said Act shall be written* bookwise upon paper of the same size and description as that on

Form of affidavits, &c. * See now Ord. LXVI.

which bills are printed.

infra. Filing of scheme.

5. Every such scheme shall be filed in the office of the Clerks of Records and Writs,†
and the declaration and affidavit required by section 6 of the said Act shall be annexed to such scheme and filed at the same time therewith, and the Clerks of Records and Writs shall not file any such scheme unless accompanied by such declaration and affidavit.

† See now Judicature (Officers) Act, the Court.

6. There shall be indorsed upon every scheme so filed as aforesaid the name and address of the solicitor and London agent (if any) of the company, and also the address for service of such solicitor in cases where an address for service is required by the General Orders of

LXI. infra. Address for service.

1879; 7. Where a written scheme is filed, the person bringing the same to be filed shall, at Ords. L.X. and the same time, leave with the Clerks of Records and Writs† a fair copy thereof, and the Clerks of Records and Writs are to examine such copy with the scheme filed, and return it so examined with a certificate thereon that it is correct and proper to be printed.

Filing a written scheme. To be printed in four days. Lines to be numbered.

8. The directors are then to cause that it is correct that proper to be printed.

8. The directors are then to cause the scheme to be printed from such certified copy, on paper of the same size and description, and in the same type, style, and manner, as bill are required to be printed, and, before the expiration of four days from the filing of the scheme are to leave a printed copy thereof with the Clerks of Records and Writs, with a written certificate thereon by the solicitor of the company that such print is a true copy of the scheme so certified, and after the expiration of such four days no evidence of the scheme having been filed shall be admissible until such printed copy thereof has been filed. 9. Every fifth line of each page of a printed scheme shall be numbered.

Copies of Scheme.

Copies of scheme.

10. At any time after the expiration of four days from the filing of a scheme, whethe printed or written, any person may demand, by a requisition in writing, delivered at th principal office of the company, or at the office of their solicitor, or of his London agen

c. 127, s. 6.

* See form of

advertisement for such

persons to

Payment for † P. 175, poet.

‡ See sect. 8,

Certificate of filing

Form of

(if any) any number, not exceeding ten, of printed copies* of the echeme, and the copies 30 & 31 Vict. so required shall on such demand be delivered to the person so requiring the same, with c. 127, s. 6. a written certificate thereon by the solicitor of the company that they are true copies of the scheme filed.

11. Every such copy is on delivery to be paid for at the rate of one halfpenny per folio, except in the case provided for by the 20th section of the eaid Act, t in which case it is to

be paid for at the rate prescribed by the said Act.

12. The notice to be published in the "Gazette," of the filing of the scheme, thall be come in, eigned by the solicitor of the company, or his London agent, and shall state whether the suprascheme contains any provisions for settling and defining any rights of shareholders among themselves, or for raising any and what amount of share or loan capital, and which, and copies. ehall set forth the name and address of the solicitor and London agent (if any) of the company, and may be in the form No. 1 in the 3rd schedulc hereto, with such variations.

Form of as the circumstances of the case may require.

13. When a scheme has been filed one of the Clerks of Records and Writs shall, at the notice. request of any person, give and sign a certificate of the filing thereof, or of the filing of a printed copy thereof; and such certificate may be in the following form, with such infra.

variations as the circumstances of the case may require:—

Certifications as the circumstances of the case may require:—

In the Matter of the Railway Companies Act, 1867, and In the Matter scheme. of The Railway Company.

I do hereby certify that a [printed or written, as the case may be] Scheme of Arrangement between the above-named company and their creditors, under the statute 30 & 31 Victoria, chapter 127, section 6, was on the day of duly filed in the High Court of Chancery in England, together with the declaration and affidavit required by the said statute [and that a printed copy of such scheme was on the day of duly filed in the said Court pursuant to the General Order of Court made in that behalf], as appears by my book. Dated, &c.

A. B., Clerk of Records and Writs, of the High Court of Chancery in England.

VII. After the filing of the scheme, the Court may, on the applica- Stay of tion of the company on summons or motion in a summary way, restrain any action against the company on such terms as the Court thinks fit(e).

(e) Under a special Act it was provided that a company might issue debenture Restraint of stock to certain creditors, and a suspense period was created within which no action actions, &c., was to be commenced without leave, except in respect of liabilities contracted after during the passing of the Act, and it was held that the debenture stock so created was suspended within the protection of the Act, and that the holders thereof could not without period. leave institute a suit for the purpose of obtaining payment of interest in arrear (London Financial Association v. Wrexham Ry. Co., 18 Eq. 566); see Re Cambrian Ry. Co., 3 Ch. 278; Re Devon and Somerset Ry., 6 Eq. 610, as to the jurisdiction to restrain suits by landowners under these sections while the scheme is maturing.

As the scheme when confirmed will not bind (under section 18) such outside Whether ereditors or unpaid landowners without their individual consent (only mortgagees, landowners holders of rent-charges, shareholders, and leasing companies, being bound by and outside majorities, as mentioned in ss. X—XIV.), the Court ought not during the maturing creditors not of the scheme, to suspend the remedies of such outside creditors or unpaid landowners (Re Cambrian Ry. Co., supra), nor without their written consent confirm any scheme which purports to bind them (Re Bristol and North Somerset Ry. Co., 6 Eq. 448), unless it is satisfied that a scheme is proposed in good faith which, if it reaches naturity, will afford a reasonable prospect of providing for the payment of the strained from claim of the person whose remedies are thus interfered with, and thus compensate suing the claimant for the temporary suspension of his remedies (Re Cambrian Ry. Co., 3 Ch. 278). See Robertson v. Wrezham, &c., Ry. Co., 17 W. R. 137.

When the scheme is confirmed and inrolled, outside creditors not included or No juris-

bound by it may pursue their remedies without any leave of the Court (Re Teign diction under Valley Ry. Co., 17 W. R. 817; Re East and West Junction Ry. Co., 8 Eq. 87, 91), and sects. 7 and 9 this section and the 9th have no longer any application, as they only give the Court after inrolan interim jurisdiction during the period of suspense (Re Potteriee, Shrewsbury, and ment of North Wills Ry. Co. 5 (1), 67?

North Wales Ry. Co., 5 Ch. 67).

The scheme, though not confirmed within three months under section 17, infra, was held to be pending so far as regarded protection by interim orders against creditors (Robertson v. Wrexham, &c., Ry. Co., 17 W. R. 137).

capable of being bound by majorities can be re-

scheme.

30 & 31 Viet. c. 127, s. 7. The Order of 24 Jan., 1868, provides as to restraining actions after scheme filed, as follows:—

Ord. 24 Jan. 1868. Undertaking as to damages.

14. No order, under section 7 of the said Act, for restraining an action against the company, by reason of a scheme having been filed, shall be made, except on an undertaking by the company to be answerable in such damages (if any) as the Court, or the judge in chambers, may think fit to award in the event of the plaintiff being ultimately held entitled to proceed with such action; and on such further terms (if any) as the Court or judge may think reasonable.

Notice in "Gazette."

VIII. Notice of the filing of the scheme shall be published in the "Gazette" (f).

(f) See Sched. III. to the Order 24 Jan. 1868, cited in note (d), p. 170, ante.

Stay of executions, &c.

IX. After such publication of notice no execution, attachment, or other process against the property of the company (g) shall be available without leave of the Court, to be obtained on summons or motion in a summary way (h).

Stay of scire facias against shareholders.

(g) Unpaid calls are property of the company within this section, and an injunction will issue to restrain execution against shareholders in a scire facias action (Re Devon and Somerset Ry. Co., 6 Eq. 610). As to the circumstances under which a creditor will be allowed to pursue his remedies notwithstanding this section, see Griffiths v. Cambrian Railway, 17 W. R. 979, decided under a special act containing similar provisions.

Application by creditor after sanction or inrolment of scheme.

(h) An application to issue execution notwithstanding the pendency of the scheme should, if it is confirmed, be made before the judge who sanctioned it (Dean of Christchurch v. East and West Junction Railway, 17 W. R. 819). After the inrolment of the scheme this section has no longer any application (Re Potteries, &c. Rail. Co., 5 Ch. 67).

Assent by mortgagees, &c.

X. The scheme shall be deemed to be assented to by the holders of mortgages or bonds issued under the authority of the company's special Acts when it is assented to in writing by three-fourths in value of the holders of such mortgages or bonds, and shall be deemed to be assented to by the holders of debenture stock of the company when it is assented to in writing by three-fourths in value of the holders of such stock.

Assent by holders of rentcharge, &c. XI. Where any rentcharge or other payment is charged on receipts of or is payable by the company in consideration of the purchase of the undertaking of another company, the scheme shall be deemed to be assented to by the holders of such rentcharge or other payment when it is assented to in writing by three-fourths in value of such holders.

Assent by preference shareholders.

XII. The scheme shall be deemed to be assented to by the guaranteed or preference shareholders of the company when it is assented to in writing as follows: If there is only one class of guaranteed or preference shareholders, then by three-fourths in value of that class, and if there are more classes of guaranteed or preference shareholders than one, then by three-fourths in value of each such class.

Assent by ordinary shareholders.

XIII. The scheme shall be deemed to be assented to by the ordinary shareholders of the company when it is assented to at an extraordinary general meeting of the company specially called for that purpose.

XIV. Where the company are lessees of a railway the scheme shall 30 & 31 Vict. be deemed to be assented to by the leasing company when it is assented c. 127, s. 14. to as follows:—

In writing by three-fourths in value of the holders of mortgages, pany. bonds, and debenture stock of the leasing company;

Assent hy leasing com-

If there is only one class of guaranteed or preference shareholders of the leasing company, then in writing by three-fourths in value of that class, and if there are more classes of guaranteed or preference shareholders in the leasing company than one, then in writing by three-fourths in value of each such class;

By the ordinary shareholders of the leasing company at an extraordinary general meeting of that company specially called for that purpose.

XV. Provided that the assent to the scheme of any class of holders Assent of of mortgages, bonds, or debenture stock, or of any class of holders of creditors, &c., not affected, a rentcharge or other payment as aforesaid, or of any class of guaranteed unnecessary. or preference shareholders, or of a leasing company, shall not be requisite in case the scheme does not prejudicially affect any right or

XVI. If at any time within three months (i) after the filing of the Application scheme, or within such extended time as the Court from time to time for confirmathinks fit to allow, the directors of the company consider the scheme to scheme. be assented to as by this Act required, they may apply to the Court by petition in a summary way for confirmation of the scheme.

interest of such class or company.

Notice of any such application, when intended, shall be published in the Gazette.

(i) A scheme was considered to be pending after the three months so as to afford protection against creditors (Robertson v. Wrexham, &c. Ry., 17 W. R. 137).

XVII. After hearing the directors, and any creditors shareholders, Confirmation or other parties whom the Court thinks entitled to be heard on the of scheme. application (k), the Court, if satisfied that the scheme has been within three months after the filing of it, or such extended time (if any) as the Court has allowed (1), assented to as required by this Act, and that no sufficient objection to the scheme has been established, may confirm the scheme.

(k) Dehenture holders will not be heard, if there was a statutory majority, unless Who will in case of fraud (Re East and West Junction Ry., 8 Eq. 87). The Court required the consent of outside creditors (who are not within the classes of creditors who can the consent of outside creditors (who are not within the classes of creditors who can be bound by a majority) before it confirmed a scheme, in Re Bristol and North Somerset Ry., 6 Eq. 448; but in Re Somerset and Dorset Ry., 18 W. R. 333, Stuart, V.-C., said that if a creditor came to oppose the confirmation, who could not show that his dissent was reasonable or based upon a due regard for his own interests, he would not regard the dissent of a creditor of that kind, but would confirm the scheme. In Re East and West Junction Ry. (ubi sup.) it was held that the assent of outside creditors was not wanted. In any case they ought not to be put in a better position by the scheme (Stevens v. Mid-Hants Rail. Co., 8 Ch. 1064). See also Re Manchester and Milford Ry., W. N. (1881), 121; Re Stafford Ry. W. N. (1872), 165, 174; 20 W. R. 921. As to unpaid vendors appearing on the petition and their costs, see Re Kington Ry., W. N. (1877), 33.

(1) The Order of Jan. 24, 1868, provides with reference to the petition for confirmation of scheme, as follows:—

be heard. Outside creditors.

firmation of scheme, as follows:-

Ord. 24 Jan.

15. Every petition for confirmation of a scheme shall be presented by the directors or the to be by major part of them. Such petition shall not set forth the scheme, but only refer thereto; directors.

c. 127, s. 17.

30 & 31 Vict. and may be in the form No. 2, in the 3rd schedule hereto, with such variations as the circumstances of the case may require.

Company not to appear.

The following is the form referred to:-

24 Jan. 1868. Order under Railway Act,

1867.

No. 2. Petition to confirm Scheme.

In the Matter of the Railway Company; and in the Matter of the Railway Companies Act, 1867.

The humble petition of

Directors of the above-named company

Showeth

, the directors of the above-named company filed That on the day of in this Honourable Court a Scheme of Arrangement between the above-named company and their creditors.

> Your petitioners therefore humbly pray that the scheme so filed as aforesaid may be confirmed by the Order of this Honourable Court.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

Company net to appear.

16. The petitioners presenting such petition as aforesaid shall, for the purposes of such petition, be treated as representing the company, and the company shall not otherwise appear on the hearing of such petition.

Hearing of petition for confirmation after notice.

17. When any petition to confirm a scheme is presented, the directors shall apply to the judge in chambers to appoint the day on which the same is to come into the paper for hearing, such day not to be before the expiration of three weeks from the time of such application, and shall cause a notice of the presentation thereof to be inserted as follows (that is to say):

(1) In the case of a company whose principal office is within ten miles from the General Post Office in the "London Gazette," and in such two London daily morning newspapers

as the judge in chambers shall direct.

(2) In the case of any other company, in the "London Gazette," and in such two local newspapers circulating in the district where the principal office of such company is situate,

as the judge in chambers shall direct.

Such notice shall state the day on which the scheme was filed, and the day on which the petition was presented, and the day on which the same is directed to come into the paper for hearing, and the name and address of the solicitor and London agent (if any) of the company, and may be in the form No. 3 in the 3rd schedule hereto, with such variations as the circumstances of the case may require.

The following is the form referred to:-

Advertisement of petition to confirm scheme.

he Matter of the Railway Company, and In the Matter of the Railway Companies Act, 1867. In the Matter of the

Notice is hereby given that a petition was, on the day of sented to the Lord Chancellor [or the Master of the Rolls] by the Directors of the above-named company, praying the confirmation of a Scheme of Arrangement hetween the said company and their creditors, filed in the Court of Chancery on And that the said petition is directed to be heard before [or before the Master of the Rolls] on the day day of the Vice-Chancellor Sir of , 18 , and any person whose interests are affected by such scheme, and who may be desirous to oppose the making of an order for the confirmation thereof under the above Act, should enter an appearance at the Office of the Clerks of Records and Writs on or before the day of , 18 , and appear by him-Records and Writs on or before the day of , 18 , and appear by himself or counsel at the hearing of the said petition. And a copy of the scheme and petition will be furnished to any person requiring the same by the undersigned, or at the office of the company, at , on payment of the regulated charge for the

A. and B., of Agents for C. and D., of], Solicitors for the Petitioners.

Further notice directed in chambers.

18. The petition shall not come on to be heard until at least fourteen clear days after the insertion of such notice as aforesaid. Such notice shall, at least once in every entire week, reckoned from Sunday morning to Saturday evening, which shall have elapsed between the time of the first insertion thereof, and the day on which such petition is directed to come into the paper for hearing, be again inserted in such two London or local newspapers as aforesaid on such day or days as the judge in chambers shall direct.

Parties to be heard in opposition.

19. Any creditor, shareholder, or other party whose rights or interests are affected by such scheme, and who shall be desirous to be heard in opposition to the confirmation thereof, shall, at least two clear days before the day on which the petition for confirmation is directed to come into the paper for hearing, enter an appearance at the Office of the Clerks of Records and Writs; and, in default of so doing, shall not be entitled to be heard, 30 & 31 Vict. unless by the special leave of the Court.

20. Any person so entering an appearance shall be deemed to have submitted himself to the jurisdiction of the Court as to the payment of costs and otherwise.

c. 127, s. 17. Jurisdiction

Rules 21-28 of the Order of 24th Jan. 1868, which related to the enrolment of Enrolment. the Scheme, were annulled by R. S. C., April, 1880. See now as to enrolment, Ord. LXI. rr. 10, 11, infra.

as to costs.

XVIII. The scheme when confirmed shall be enrolled in the Enrolment of Court (m), and thenceforth the same shall be binding and effectual to all intents, and the provisions thereof shall, against and in favour of the company and all parties assenting thereto or bound thereby, have the like effect as if they had been enacted by parliament.

(m) An application by a judgment creditor to restrain a company from enrolling an order confirming a scheme was granted under the powers given by the 35th rule, post, p. 176 (Re Devon and Somerset Ry., 6 Eq. 615).

XIX. Notice of the confirmation and enrolment of the scheme shall Notice of be published in the Gazette.

confirmation of scheme. keep printed copies of sale.

XX. The company shall at all times keep at their principal office Company to printed copies of the scheme, when confirmed and enrolled, and shall sell such copies to all persons desiring to buy the same at a reasonable scheme for price, not exceeding sixpence for each copy.

neglect.

If the company fail to comply with this provision they shall be liable Penalty for to a penalty not exceeding twenty pounds, and to a further penalty not exceeding five pounds for every day during which such failure continues after the first penalty is incurred, which penalties shall be recovered and applied as penalties under "The Railways Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845," are recoverable and applicable.

XXI. Where a company whose principal office is situate in England Provision for have a railway or part of a railway in Scotland the following provisions shall have effect:

(1.) Any scheme under this Act shall be filed in the Court of Chancery* in England;

- (2.) Where, after the filing of the scheme, any person who is not 1873, s. 34 (2). amenable to the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery* in England brings any action against the company in Scotland, the Court of Session may, on the application of the company by petition in a summary way, sist, stay, or interdict the same on such terms as the Court thinks fit;
- (3.) Notice of the filing of the scheme shall be published in the "Edinburgh Gazette," and after such publication no diligence against the property of the company in Scotland shall be available for any person who is not amenable to the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery in England without the leave of the Court of Session, to be obtained on petition in a summary way.

In this section the term "Court of Session" means either division of the Court of Session, or in time of vacation the Lord Ordinary officiating on the Bills.

cases where railways or part in Scotland.

* See Judicature Act, 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, s. 22.

General orders for regulation of practice in Court of Chancery.

XXII. The Lord Chancellor of Great Britain, with the advice and assistance of the Lords Justices of the Court of Appeal in Chancery, the Master of the Rolls, and the Vice-Chancellors, or any two of those judges, and the Lord Chancellor of Ireland, with the advice and assistance of the Lord Justice of Appeal in Chancery and the Master of the Rolls, or one of them, may from time to time make general orders for the regulation of the practice of the Courts of Chancery in England and Ireland respectively under this Act (n).

(n) The order of 24th January, 1868, provided as to the general practice under this Act as follows:-

Orders in chambers under the Act. General practice applicable.

Powers of judge in ohambers.

Order to be a General Order.

Date of order.

33. All orders made in chambers under "The Railway Companies Act, 1867," shall be drawn up in chambers unless specially directed to be drawn up by the registrar, and shall be entered in the same manner and in the same office as other orders drawn up in chambers.

34. In cases not expressly provided for by the said Act or by the rules of this order, the 34. In cases not expressly provided for by the said Act or by the rules of this order, the General Orders and practice of the Court (including the course of proceeding and practice in the judges' chambers, and the course of proceeding and practice as to rehearings before the same judge, or before the Lord Chancellor or Lords Justices) shall, so far as such General Orders and practice are applicable and not inconsistent with the said Act or this order, apply to all proceedings in the Court of Chancery under the said Act.

35. The power of the Court and of the judge in chambers to enlarge or abridge the time for doing any act or taking any proceeding, to adjourn or review any proceeding, and to give any direction as to the course of proceeding, shall be the same in proceedings in Chancery under the said Act, as in proceedings under the ordinary jurisdiction of the Court.

38. The general interpretation clauses contained in the Consolidated Orders of the Court of Chancery shall extend and annly to this order, and this order shall be deemed one of the

of Chancery shall extend and apply to this order, and this order shall be deemed one of the General Orders of the Court.

39. This order shall come into operation on Monday the 3rd day of February, 1868, and shall apply to all schemes filed under the said Act, and to all proceedings in Chancery to be had under the same Act, provided always, that all proceedings taken under the said Act before this order shall have come into operation shall have the same validity as they would have had if this order had not been made.

Loan Capital.

Priority of mortgages.

XXIII. All money borrowed or to be borrowed by a company on mortgage or bond or debenture stock under the provisions of any Act authorizing the borrowing thereof shall have priority against the company and the property from time to time of the company over all other claims on account of any debts incurred or engagements entered into by them after the passing of this Act: Provided always, that this priority shall not affect any claim against the company in respect of any rentcharge granted or to be granted by them in pursuance of "The Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845," or "The Lands Clauses Consolidation Acts Amendment Act, 1860," or in respect of any rent or sum reserved by or payable under any lease granted or made to the company by any person in pursuance of any Act relating to the company which is entitled to rank in priority to, or pari passu with, the interest or dividends on the mortgages, bonds, and debenture stock: nor shall anything hereinbefore contained affect (o) any claim for landtaken, used, or occupied by the company for the purposes of the railway, or injuriously affected by the construction thereof, or by the exercise of any powers conferred on the company.

⁽o) These words only relate to the contents of this section, and landowners are not exempted from the operation of the previous clauses of the Act (Re Cambrian Ry. Co., 3 Ch. 278).

[Sects. 24—26 relate to the issue of railway debenture stock, subject to the provisions of the Companies Clauses Act, 1863. By sects. 27—29, railway companies c. 127, may issue shares or stock at a discount. Sect. 30 relates to the audit of railway ss. 24—37. accounts. By sects. 31—35 the provisions as to abandonment of railways under stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 83, are amended, and it is provided that on the abandonment Sections of a railway being authorized, the parliamentary deposit shall be paid out to the XXIV.—persons who would have been entitled to it if the railway had been opened; see XXXVII. 9 & 10 Vict. c. 20, p. 49, ante. Sect. 36 amended sect. 85 of 8 & 9 Vict. c. 18; see marginal note, p. 46, ante. Sect. 37 relates to the costs of arbitrations as to lands taken under the Lands Clauses Act.]

JUDGMENT ACT, 1864.

27 & 28 VICT. CAP. 112.

27 & 28 Vict. c. 112.

An Act to amend the Law relating to future Judgments, Statutes, and Recognizances.[29th July, 1864.]

Whereas it is desirable to assimilate the law affecting freehold, copyhold, and leasehold estates to that affecting purely personal estates in respect of future judgments, statutes, and recognizances (a): Therefore be it enacted, &c. as follows:—

- (a) This must mean common law recognizances, and not those which are entered into by persons who give security to the Court of Chancery (now the Chancery Division), for the latter are never registered but enrolled. See Fisher on Mortgages, 111, note (o).
- I. No judgment, statute, or recognizance to be entered up after the Future judgpassing of this Act shall affect any land (of whatever tenure) until ments, &c., not to affect such land shall have been actually delivered in execution (b) by virtue land until of a writ of elegit or other lawful authority (c) in pursuance of such land delivered in execution. judgment, statute, or recognizance.

(b) These words mean that in the case of lands as in the case of chattels no lien Priority of is obtained by a delivery of the writ, unless followed by a return to the writ and judgments is obtained by a delivery of the writ, unless followed by a return to the writ and judgments actual execution, but the question of priority of judgments inter se, depends on the inter se. date at which the active step of delivery of the writ to the sheriff was taken (Champneys v. Burland, 19 W. R. 148; Re Cowbridge Ry., 5 Eq. 413; Guest v. Cowbridge Ry., 6 Eq. 619; Re Bailey, W. N. (1869), 43; Re Duke of Newcastle, 8 Eq. 700; Earl of Cork v. Russell, 13 Eq. 210).

(c) The Act does not apply to an interest which cannot be taken in execution, e. g., Interest a remainder (Re South, 9 Ch. 369). If there is any obstacle, e. g., if the debtor's which cannot interest is an equity of redemption, the creditor's remedy was to institute an action be taken in to remove the obstacle (Re Cowbridge Ry., 5 Eq. 413; Thornton v. Finch, 4 Giff. 515; execution. Guest v. Cowbridge Ry., 6 Eq. 619; 17 W. R. 7; Beckett v. Buckley, 17 Eq. 435; Equitable Hatton v. Haywood, 9 Ch. 229; Wells v. Kilpin, 18 Eq. 298).

Under the present practice it is not necessary to institute a fresh action for the

Under the present practice it is not necessary to institute a fresh action for the purpose; and "equitable execution," as it is called, may he obtained by the appointment of a receiver in the original action (Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies, 9 Ch. D. 275; Smith v. Cowell, 6 Q. B. D. 75; Salt v. Cooper, 16 Ch. D. 544); who may be appointed even after final judgment (Salt v. Cooper). Nor is it necessary now for the creditor previously to sue out an elegit where the interest is not extendible (Ex parte Evans, Re Watkins, 11 Ch. D. 691; 13 Ch. D. 252); and a receiver may be appointed of debts and sums of money to which garnishee proceedings are not applicable (Westhead v. Riley, 25 Ch. D. 413). A receiver may also be appointed of the separate estate of a married woman at the instance of a solicitor whose bill she had taxed under the Solicitors Act, 1843 (Re Peace, 24 Ch. D. 405).

c. 112, s. 1.

judgment creditor to redeem.

Interpretation of terms.

27 & 28 Vict. As to the power of the Court to direct inquiries before appointing a receiver, see Ord. L. r. 15a, infra.

Where persons holding the debtor's land under a voluntary conveyance yielded Sequestration. their claims and gave up possession to sequestrators, this was held delivery in execution by lawful authority (Re Rush, 10 Eq. 442).

As to the judgment creditor's right to redeem prior incumbrances, see Mildred v.

Austin, 8 Eq. 220.

II. In the construction of this Act the term "judgment" shall be taken to include registered decrees, orders of Courts of Equity and Bankruptcy, and other orders having the operation of a judgment; and the term "land" shall be taken to include all hereditaments, corporeal or incorporeal, or any interest therein; and the term "debtor" shall be taken to include husbands of married women, assignees of bankrupts, committees of lunatics, and the heirs or devisees of deceased persons.

[By sect. 3 every writ or other process of execution of any such judgment, statute or recognizance, by virtue whereof any land shall have been actually delivered in execution, shall be registered in the name of the debtor. See 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 2, p. 104, ante. The registration may be made before the return to the writ, Champneys v. Burland, 19 W. R. 148.]

Creditor to whom land delivered in execution entitled to obtain summary order.

IV. Every creditor to whom (d) any land of his debtor shall have been actually delivered in execution (dd) by virtue of any such judgment, statute, or recognizance, and whose writ or other process of execution shall be duly registered, shall be entitled forthwith, or at any time afterwards while the registry of such writ or process shall continue in force, to obtain from the Court of Chancery, upon petition in a summary way, an order for the sale (e) of his debtor's interest in such land, and every such petition may be served upon the debtor only; and thereupon the Court shall direct all such inquiries to be made as to the nature and particulars of the debtor's interest in such land, and his title thereto, as shall appear to be necessary or proper; and in making such inquiries, and generally in carrying into effect such order for sale, the practice of the said Court with respect to sales of real estates of deceased persons for the payment of debts shall be adopted and followed, so far as the same may be found conveniently applicable (f).

(a) A creditor who had obtained an order in an administration suit against a defendant for payment of money into Court, which order had been enforced by the sequestration of the Court, was held not to be within the section, the land having been delivered in execution not to him but to the Court (Johnson v. Burgess, 15 Eq.

(dd) That is, "delivered in execution"; see Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies, 9 Ch. D. p. 283.

(e) The section applies only to judgments entered up after the passing of the Act, 29th July, 1864; see sect. 1, and Re Isle of Wight Ferry Co., 34 L. J. Ch. 194; 11 Jur. N. S. 279.

A railway company's lands may be ordered to be sold under the section; but the Court will not order a sale if the debtor's interest is not of a saleable nature, but will direct inquiries (Re Bishop's Waltham Ry. Co., 2 Ch. 382; Gardner v. London, Chatham and Dover Ry. Co., ibid. 385); and see now 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, ante, p. 167. 167, protecting the rolling stock and plant of railways from execution, and anthorising railway companies, who are unable to meet their engagements, to file schemes of arrangement, and after filing such scheme to apply for stay of actions, suits, and executions.

"Actually delivered in execution." Sale at instance of judgment creditor. Railway Companies Act,

Ī867.

(f) For form of inquiries in the case of lands belonging to a railway company, 27 & 28 Vict. see *ibid.*, and *Re Hull and Hornsea Ry. Co.*, 2 Eq. 262; and *Re Ventnor Harbour co.* 112, c. 4. Co., W. N. (1866), 9; 13 L. T. 793; and for form of inquiries in ordinary cases, see *Ex parte Clark*, 6 N. R. 335, and compare Seton, 1140. Where surplus lands Form of had been taken under an *elegit* a sale was ordered without inquiries (*Re Coine Valley inquiry*. Co., 9 Eq. 658).

V. If it shall appear on making such inquiries that any other debt Where there due on any judgment, statute, or recognizance is a charge on such are other creditors, land, the creditor entitled to the benefit of such charge (whether prior notice of sale or subsequent to the charge of the petitioner) shall be served with upon them. notice of the said order for sale, and shall after such service be bound thereby, and shall be at liberty to attend the proceedings under the same, and to have the benefit thereof; and the proceeds of such sale shall be distributed among the persons who may be found entitled thereto, according to their respective priorities (g).

- (g) See Guest v. Cowbridge Ry., 5 Eq. 413; Re Duke of Newcastle, 8 Eq. 700.
- VI. Every person claiming any interest in such land through or Parties claimunder the debtor, by any means subsequent to the delivery of such through land in execution as aforesaid, shall be bound by every such order for debtor bound sale, and by all the proceedings consequent thereon.

VII. This Act shall not extend to Ireland.

by order for sale. Extent of Act.

PARTITION ACT, 1868.

31 & 32 Vict. c. 40.

31 & 32 VICT. CAP. 40.

An Act to amend the Law relating to Partition.

 $\lceil 25 \text{th June}, 1868. \rceil$

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:

I. This Act may be cited as the Partition Act, 1868.

Short title.

II. In this Act the term "the Court" means the Court of Chancery As to the in England (a), the Court of Chancery in Ireland, the Landed Estates Court in Ireland, and the Court of Chancery of the County Palatine of Lancaster, within their respective jurisdictions.

term "the

- (a) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court (Jud. Act, 1873, sect. 34 The Court. (2), infra.
- III. In a suit for partition where, if this Act had not been passed, a Power to decree for partition might have been made (aa), then if it appears to order sale the Court that, by reason of the nature of the property to which the instead of suit relates, or of the number of the parties interested or presumptively division. interested therein, or of the absence or disability of some of those parties, or of any other circumstance, a sale of the property and a

31 & 32 Vict. c. 40, s. 3.

distribution of the proceeds would be more beneficial for the partie interested than a division of the property between or among them, th · Court may, if it thinks fit, on the request of any of the partie interested (b), and notwithstanding the dissent or disability of an others of them, direct a sale of the property accordingly, and ma give all necessary or proper consequential directions (bb).

(aa) See Biggs v. Peacock, 20 Ch. D. 200; 22 Ch. D. 284, and Boyd v. Allen, 2 Ch. D. 622; 31 W. R. 544, cited in note (c) to sect. 4; Miles v. Jarvis, W. N. (1883), 203.

(b) Incumbrancers upon the shares of persons entitled in common to real estat are parties interested within the section (Davenport v. King, W. N. (1883), 133 31 W. R. 911).

(bb) As to the Act being retrospective, see Lys v. Lys, 7 Eq. 126; Pryor v. Pryor

Act retro-19 **E**q. 595.

spective. Sale of interest subject to executory devise over. Sale of part. Sale, where ordered.

"Parties

interested."

Where property had been left to three persons, subject to an executory devis over, if all died without issue, the Court directed a sale, subject to the executor gift over (*Groves* v. *Carbert*, 29 L. T. 129; W. N. (1873), 29).

A decree was made for sale of part of a property and partition of the rest (Roebuc

v. Chadebet, 8 Eq. 127). This section gives the Court power to sell where for any reason it thinks a sal This section gives the Court power to sell where for any reason it thinks a sall would be more beneficial than a partition; and the sale may be directed on the application of any person interested (Drinkwater v. Ratcliffe, 20 Eq. 528). The power is discretionary and is not controlled by the provisions of sect. 5 (Gilbert v. Smith, 11 Ch. D. 78, affirmed, non. Pitt v. Jones, 5 App. Cas. 651). The ten "beneficial" means beneficial in a pecuniary sense (Drinkwater v. Ratcliffe); se also Allen v. Allen, 21 W. R. 842. A sale was directed where the propert consisted of a farmhouse and buildings and thirty acres of land divisible int thirty-six shares (Drinkwater v. Ratcliffe); and so, where houses were divisible int 336 parts, and the owners of 63 parts requested a sale (Gilbert v. Smith, affirmed 336 parts, and the owners of 63 parts requested a sale (Gilbert v. Smith, affirmed nom. Pitt v. Jones). But a sale must be more beneficial for all parties intereste (Corporation of Huddersfield v. Jacomb, W. N. (1874), 80; Fleming v. Crouch, W. N.

(1884), 111).

If the plaintiff claims a sale, he should allege in his pleadings that it will be more beneficial than a partition (Evans v Evans, W. N. (1883), 48; 31 W. R. 495).

For form of order see Seton, 1005, No. 3; as varied in Sykes v. Schofield, 1 Ch. D. 629; Re Hardiman, 16 Ch. D. 360; Waite v. Bingley, 21 Ch. D. 674; 3 W. R. 698.

Form of order.

Sale on application of certain proportion of parties interested.

IV. In a suit for partition, where, if this Act had not been passed a decree for partition might have been made, then if the party o parties interested, individually or collectively, to the extent of on moiety or upwards in the property to which the suit relates, reques the Court to direct a sale of the property and a distribution of th proceeds instead of a division of the property between or among th parties interested, the Court shall, unless it sees good reason to th contrary, direct a sale of the property accordingly, and give all neces sary or proper consequential directions (c).

What discretion the Court has under this section.

(c) "The 4th section seems to me to be perfectly distinct from the 3rd, for wheres "the 3rd section in terms applies only where the Court is satisfied that a partitio "is inconvenient and not beneficial for the parties, there is no such condition in "serted in the 4th section; and whereas under the 3rd section a discretionar "power was given to the Court to order a sale, if it thought a sale more beneficis "than a partition, the 4th section makes it imperative on the Court, in a certai "state of circumstances, to order a sale, and if less than half desire a partition, the "the half requiring the sale shall have the preponderating voice, and the Cour" shall be bound to give them a sale wholly irrespective of the 3rd section. Bu still there is a certain discretion left to the Court, so that the Court can refuse "sale where it is manifestly asked through vindictive feeling, or is on any othe "ground unreasonable."—Per Lord Hatherley, in Pemberton v. Barnes, 6 Ch. 686 See also Lys v. Lys. 7 Eq. 126; Saxton v. Bartley, W. N. (1879), 94; 27 W. R. 615 where a sale was refused; Wilkinson v. Johenns, 16 Eq. 14, where it was held the though the owner of one moiety of property was yearly tenant of the whole, and 31 & 32 Vict. occupied it for commercial purposes, and also resided thereon, this was no sufficient reason sgainst a sale under the section; Roughton v. Gibson, W. N. (1877), 32; 46 L. J. Ch. 366; 25 W. R. 269; Rowe v. Gray, 5 Ch. D. 263, where it was held that the fact that the income of an infant defendant was likely to be materially dimi-

the fact that the income of an infant defendant was likely to be materially diminished was not a good reason against a sale (but see Langmead v. Cockerton, W. N. (1877), 43; 25 W. R. 315); Porter v. Lopes, 7 Ch. D. 358; Fleming v. Crouch, W. N. (1884), 111. A married woman, tenant for life of a moiety for her separate use with remainder as she shall appoint, is an owner of that moiety within the section (Parker v. Trigg, W. N. (1874), 27).

Where there is a subsisting trust for sale the Court has no jurisdiction under the Trust for Act at all, the property being money and not land (Biggs v. Peacock, 22 Ch. D. 284; sale. 20 Ch. D. 200); secus, where there is a mere power (Boyd v. Allen, 24 Ch. D. 622; 31 W. R. 544). See also Taylor v. Grange, 15 Ch. D. 165; 13 Ch. D. 223; Swaine v. Denby, 14 Ch. D. 326, where the testator had himself fixed the time at which a sale was to take place, and it was held that the Court could not anticipate it. sale was to take place, and it was held that the Court could not anticipate it.

V. In a suit for partition where, if this Act had not been passed, a Any party decree for partition might have been made, then if any party interested may call for sale unless in the property to which the suit relates requests the Court to direct a other parties sale of the property and a distribution of the proceeds instead of a purchase share of party division of the property between or among the parties interested, the desiring sale. Court may, if it thinks fit, unless the other parties interested in the property, or some of them, undertake to purchase the share of the party requesting a sale, direct a sale of the property, and give all necessary or proper consequential directions, and in case of such undertaking being given the Court may order a valuation of the share of the party requesting a sale in such manner as the Court thinks fit, and may give all necessary or proper consequential directions (d).

(d) The 3rd and 4th sections having provided that a decree for sale shall be made Sale on when a sale is beneficial, or when persons entitled to more than a moiety of the pro- application perty ask for it, the 5th section is an extension, not a limitation, of those sections, of any party perty ask for it, the 5th section is an extension, not a limitation, of those sections, of any pand provides that any party, plaintiff or defendant, may ask for and have a sale entitled whether the Court considers it beneficial or not, unless the other parties interested undertake to purchase the applicant's share (Drinkwater v. Ratoliffe, 20 Eq. 528; Gilbert v. Smith, 11 Ch. D. 78). If the other parties undertake to purchase the applicant's share, and so prevent the sale of the property as a whole, the spplicant for the sale of the property as a whole may decline to sell his share, and may withdraw his application and ask for a partition (Williams v. Games, 10 Ch. 204). See, however, Lord Hatherley's remarks as to the connection of ss. 3, 4, 5, 6, in Pembertary Raynes, 6 Ch. 685 ton v. Barnes, 6 Ch. 685.

VI. On any sale under this Act the Court may, if it thinks fit, allow Authority for any of the parties interested in the property to bid at the sale, on such parties to bid. terms as to non-payment of deposit, or as to setting off or accounting for the purchase-money or any part thereof instead of paying the same, or as to any other matters, as to the Court seem reasonable (e).

(e) The party having the conduct of the sale will not usually he allowed to hid Leave to hid. (Verrall v. Cathcart, W. N. (1879), 100; 27 W. R. 645); but under special circumstances this may be permitted (Pennington v. Dalbiac, 18 W. R. 684); see also Wilkinson v. Joberns, 16 Eq. 14; Roughton v. Gibson, W. N. (1877), 32; 25 W. R. 269; 46 L. J. Ch. 366.

VII. Section thirty of the Trustee Act, 1850, shall extend and apply Application of to cases where, in suits for partition, the Court directs a sale instead of Trustee Act. a division of the property (f).

(f) See sect. 30 of the Trustee Act, 1850, ante, p. 77, and note thereto. Sect. 1

31 & 32 Vict. c. 40, s. 8.

of the Trustee Extension Act, 1852, applies to sales under the Partition Acts, and is not limited to cases of persons under disability (Beckett v. Sutton, 19 Ch. D. 646).

Application of proceeds of sale.

VIII. Sections twenty-three to twenty-five (both inclusive) of the Act of the session of the nineteenth and twentieth years of her Majesty's reign (chapter one hundred and twenty), "to facilitate leases and sales of settled estates" (g), shall extend and apply to money to be received on any sale effected under the authority of this Act(h).

Leases and Sales of Settled Estates Act, 1856.

(g) The Leases and Sales of Settled Estates Act, 1856, the Act here referred to, was repealed by the Settled Estates Act, 1877, s. 58, but this does not affect the application of these sections to proceedings under the Partition Act, 1868; see Mordaunt v. Benwell, 19 Ch. D. 302; Re Barker, 17 Ch. D. 241. The sections are as follows: "All money to be received on any sale effected under the authority of this Act, or to be set aside out of the rent or payments reserved on any lease of earth, coal, stone, or minerals as aforesaid, may, if the Court shall think fit, he paid to any trustees of whom it shall approve, or otherwise the same shall be paid into the Bank of England or Ireland, as the case may be, to the account of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery, ex parte the applicant in the matter of this Act, and in either case such money shall be applied as the Court shall from time to time direct to some one or more of the following purposes (namely):

"The purchase or redemption of the land tax, or the discharge or redemption of any incompressee affecting the hereditaments in respect of which such money.

any incumbrance affecting the hereditaments in respect of which such money was paid; or, affecting any other hereditaments subject to the same uses or

"The purchase of other hereditaments to be settled in the same manner as the

"The purchase of other hereditaments to be settled in the same manner as the hereditaments in respect of which the money was paid; or "The payment to any person becoming absolutely entitled" (sect. 23). "The application of the money in manner aforesaid may, if the Court shall so direct, be made by the trustees (if any) without any application to the Court, or otherwise upon an order of the Court upon the petition of the person who would be entitled to the possession or the receipt of the rents and profits of the land if the money had been invested in the purchase of land" (sect. 24).

"Until the money can be applied as aforesaid, the same shall be from time to time invested in Exchequer Bills, or in Three per Centum Consolidated Bank Annuities, as the Court shall think fit: and the interest and dividends of such Exchequer

ties, as the Court shall think fit: and the interest and dividends of such Exchequer Bills or Bank Annuities shall be paid to the person who would have been entitled to the rents and profits of the land if the money had been invested in the purchase of land" (sect. 25).

Sale out of Court.

The corresponding sections of the Settled Estates Act, 1877, are ss. 34-36.

Judgment for sale, how far a conversion.

(h) A sale may be directed to take place out of Court, and without bringing the proceeds of sale into Court (Hayward v. Smith, W. N. (1869), 37; 20 L. T. 70; Chubb v. Pettipher, W. N. (1872), 110). But the Court refused to direct payment of the proceeds of sale to trustees where the only persons interested were a married woman and an infant (Higgs v. Dorkis, 13 Eq. 280), and where some of the persons interested were married women resident in Australia (Aston v. Meredith, 13 Eq. 492); and see Struggell v. Struggell 27 (b. D. 258. A independ for sale in a restition. and see Strugnell v. Strugnell, 27 Ch. D. 258. A judgment for sale in a partition action converts the shares of parties not under disability who die before the sale (Arnold v. Dixon, 19 Eq. 113; Steed v. Preece, 18 Eq. 192); and this is also the case with respect to the shares of infants (Hyett v. Mekin, 25 Ch. D. 735), or married women who may have re-converted, or consented to the sale (Wallace v. Greenwood. 16 Ch. D. 362); and where a married woman has elected to treat the property as converted (Fowler v. Scott, 19 W. R. 972). In the case of persons under disability, however, an equity for re-conversion arises by force of the above sections of the Settled Estates Act, 1856; and consequently the share of an infant (Foster v. Foster, Settled Estates Act, 1856; and consequently the share of an infant (Foster v. Foster, 1 Ch. D. 588), of a married woman who has done nothing to affect her equity to re-conversion (Mildmay v. Quicke, 6 Ch. D. 553), and of a lunatic (Grimwood v. Bartels, W. N. (1877), 177; 25 W. R. 843; Re Barker, 17 Ch. D. 241; Re Fares, 12 Ch. D. 333), so dying, will be treated as realty; but the heir takes it as personal estate (Mordaunt v. Benwell, 19 Ch. D. 302). The share of a married woman who elects by examination in Court to take it as personal estate may with her consent he paid to her husband (Standering v. Hall, 11 Ch. D. 652). Where the fund was under 2001. it was paid to her on her separate receipt, without separate examination, on an affidavit of no settlement (Wallace v. Greenwood). See further as to married women, the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, infra.

Parties to partition suits.

IX. Any person who, if this Act had not been passed, might have maintained a suit for partition, may maintain such suit against any one or more of the parties interested, without serving the other or others 31 & 32 Vict. (if any) of those parties; and it shall not be competent to any defendant in the suit to object for want of parties; and at the hearing of the cause the Court may direct such inquiries as to the nature of the property, and the persons interested therein and other matters, as it thinks necessary or proper with a view to an order for partition or sale being made on further consideration; but all persons, who, if this Further Act had not been passed, would have been necessary parties to the consideration. suit, shall be served with notice of the decree or order on the hearing, and after such notice shall be bound by the proceedings as if they had been originally parties to the suit, and shall be deemed parties to the suit; and all such persons may have liberty to attend the proceedings; and any such person may, within a time limited by general orders, apply to the Court to add to the decree or order (i).

(i) A sale cannot be ordered until all persons interested are before the Court Where an (Mildmay v. Quicke, 20 Eq. 537), or service on absent persons has been dispensed with order for sale (Mumay V. Quene, 20 Eq. 337), or service on absent persons has been dispensed with order for sale (Partition Act, 1876, ss. 3, 4, post). If all persons interested are parties, then, if will be made, the title is proved at the hearing, an immediate judgment for sale may be given (Less v. Coulton, 20 Eq. 20; Gilbert v. Smith, 2 Ch. D. 686; Burnell v. Burnell, 11 Ch. D. 213); but if their titles are not proved at the hearing an order for sale may be made conditional on it being certified that all persons interested are parties (Senior v. Hereford, 4 Ch. D. 494; Seton, 1004, 1005). If all persons interested are not parties, the Court can only order a sale on further consideration, or give liberty not parties, the Court can only order a sale on further consideration, or give liberty to apply in chambers with reference to a sale, when it shall have been cartified that all persons interested are either parties or have been served with notice of the judgment (Mildmay v. Quieke; Buckingham v. Sellick, 22 L. T. 370; Powell v. Powell, 10 Ch. 130; Gilbert v. Smith, 2 Ch. D. 686), or the Court dispenses with service on the absent party, or presumes his death (Jackson v. Lomas, 23 W. R. 744; Rawlinson v. Miller, 1 Ch. D. 52). An inquiry may be directed as to incumbrances (Fawthrop v. Stocks, W. N. (1884), 118).

The application for a sale should be made in the index's chambers than the same of the sa

The application for a sale should be made in the judge's chambers, though inquiries may be directed in a district registry (Sykes v. Schofteld, 14 Ch. D. 629).

Trustees represent their cestuis que trust in actions for partition or sale (Stace v. Trustees Gage, 8 Ch. D. 451; Goodrich v. Marsh, W. N. (1878), 186; Simpson v. Denny, 10

Cb. D. 28).

The Act does not compel the Court to act in the absence of any parties interested trust. (Dodds v. Gronow, 20 L. T. 104; 17 W. R. 511; Lester v. Alexander, W. N. (1869), Service 75); and where a decree for sale had been made in the absence of such parties who were out of the jurisdiction, the Court refused to allow the decree to be acted on in their absence, and directed notice to be given to them by advertisement (Peters v. Bacon, 8 Eq. 125; and see Teall v. Watts, 11 Eq. 213); and when the parties out of Bacon, 8 Eq. 125; and see Ieau v. Watts, 11 Eq. 215), and when the parties out of the jurisdiction were interested in the legal estate it was held that they must be served (Hurry v. Hurry, 10 Eq. 346; 18 W. R. 829); but not where the legal estate was in trustees who were before the Court (Silver v. Udall, 9 Eq. 227).

The words "further consideration" in this section do not necessarily imply that "Further the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration.

there must be a further consideration in Court (Powell v. Powell, 10 Ch. 130). The considera-Court may give liberty to apply that the hearing on further consideration may be in tion.' chambers (Gilbert v. Smith, 2 Ch. D. 686; 24 W. R. 568).

cestuis que

Service on parties not before the

partition

X. In a suit for partition the Court may make such order as it Costs in thinks just respecting costs up to the time of the hearing (k).

(k) See R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. The general rule is that the entire costs of Costs. (k) See R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LXV. The general rule is that the entire costs of the action are borne by the parties in proportion to their shares (Cannon v. Johnson, 11 Eq. 90; 40 L. J. Ch. 46; 19 W. R. 175; 23 L. T. 583; Osborn, Osborn, 6 Eq. 338; 18 L. T. 679; Miller v. Marriott, 7 Eq. 1; 17 W. R. 41; 19 L. T. 304; Simpson v. Ritchie, 16 Eq. 103; Ball v. Kemp-Welch, 14 Ch. D. 512; 49 L. J. Ch. 528; 43 L. T. 116, where a sale was directed; Bowes v. Marquis of Bute, 27 W. R. 750, where there was a partition). But the Court has a discretion, and this rule may be departed from under special circumstances. See Wilkinson v. Johenns, 16 Eq. 14; Wilkinson v. Castle, 37 L. J. Ch. 467; 16 W. R. 501; Porter v. Lopes, 7 Ch. D. 367. See also Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 240 et seq.

31 & 32 Vict. c. 40, s. 12. [Sect. 11 is repealed by Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1881.]

Jurisdiction of County Courts in partition. XII. In England the County Courts shall have and exercise the like power and authority as the Court of Chancery in suits for partition (including the power and authority conferred by this Act) in any case where the property to which the suit relates does not exceed in value the sum of five hundred pounds, and the same shall be had and exercised in like manner and subject to the like provisions as the power and authority conferred by sect. 1 of the County Courts Act, 1865.

28 & 29 Vict. c. 99.

39 & 40 Vict. c. 17.

PARTITION ACT, 1876.

39 & 40 VICT. CAP. 17.

An Act to amend the Partition Act, 1868.

[27th June, 1876.]

Short title.

I. This Act may be cited as the Partition Act, 1876, and shall be read as one with the Partition Act, 1868.

Application of Act.

II. This Act shall apply to actions pending at the time of the passing of this Act as well as to actions commenced after the passing thereof, and the term "action," includes a suit, and the term "judgment" includes decree or order.

Power to dispense with service of notice of decree or order in special cases.

III. Where in an action for partition it appears to the Court that notice of the judgment on the hearing of the cause cannot be served on all the persons on whom that notice is by the Partition Act, 1868, required to be served, or cannot be so served without expense disproportionate to the value of the property to which the action relates, the Court may, if it thinks fit, on the request of any of the parties interested in the property, and notwithstanding the dissent or disability of any others of them, by order, dispense with that service on any person or class of persons specified in the order, and, instead thereof, may direct advertisements to be published at such times and in such manner as the Court shall think fit, calling upon all persons claiming to be interested in such property who have not been so served to come in and establish their respective claims in respect thereof before the Judge in Chambers within a time to be thereby limited. After the expiration of the time so limited all persons who shall not have so come in and established such claims, whether they are within or without the jurisdiction of the Court (including persons under any disability), shall be bound by the proceedings in the action as if on the day of the date of the order dispensing with service they had been served with notice of the judgment, service whereof is dispensed with; and thereupon the powers of the Court under the Trustee Act, 1850, 39 & 40 Vict. shall extend to their interests in the property to which the action _ relates as if they had been parties to the action; and the Court may thereupon, if it shall think fit, direct a sale of the property and give all necessary or proper consequential directions (a).

(a) For form of order giving leave to apply at Chambers for an order dispensing Dispensing with service, see Re Hardiman, 16 Ch. D. 360. An order dispensing with service with service can only be made by the Judge himself. Where two of the persons interested were of judgment. out of the jurisdiction, and one of them had not been heard of for seven and the other for three years, service was dispensed with, and no advertisement was issued (Barton v. Barton, W. N. (1877), 23); but service of the judgment, and advertisements, should not both be dispensed with (Hacking v. Whalley, W. N. (1882), 135).

IV. Where an order is made under this Act dispensing with service Proceedings of notice on any person or class of persons, and property is sold by where service is dispensed order of the Court, the following provisions shall have effect:-

with.

- (1.) The proceeds of sale shall be paid into Court to abide the further order of the Court.
- (2.) The Court shall, by order, fix a time, at the expiration of which the proceeds will be distributed, and may from time to time, by further order, extend that time.
- (3.) The Court shall direct such notices to be given by advertisements or otherwise as it thinks best adapted for notifying to any persons on whom service is dispensed with, who may not have previously come in and established their claims, the fact of the sale, the time of the intended distribution, and the time within which a claim to participate in the proceeds must be made.
- (4.) If at the expiration of the time so fixed or extended the interests of all the persons interested have been ascertained, the Court shall distribute the proceeds in accordance with the rights of those persons.
- (5.) If at the expiration of the time so fixed or extended the interests of all the persons interested have not been ascertained, and it appears to the Court that they cannot be ascertained, or cannot be ascertained without expense disproportionate to the value of the property or of the unascertained interests. the Court shall distribute the proceeds in such manner as appears to the Court to be most in accordance with the rights of the persons whose claims to participate in the proceeds have been established, whether all those persons are or are not before the Court, and with such reservations (if any) as to the Court may seem fit in favour of any other persons (whether ascertained or not) who may appear from the evidence before the Court to have any primâ facie rights which ought to be so provided for, although such rights may not have been fully established, but to the exclusion of all other persons, and thereupon all such other persons shall by virtue of this Act be excluded from participation in those

39 & 40 Vict. c. 17, s. 4. proceeds on the distribution thereof, but notwithstanding the distribution any excluded person may recover from any participating person any portion received by him of the share of the excluded person.

Provision for case of successive sales in same action. V. Where in an action for partition two or more sales are made, if any person who has by virtue of this Act been excluded from participation in the proceeds of any of those sales establishes his claim to participate in the proceeds of a subsequent sale, the shares of the other persons interested in the proceeds of the subsequent sale shall abate to the extent (if any) to which they were increased by the non-participation of the excluded person in the proceeds of the previous sale, and shall to that extent be applied in or towards payment to that person of the share to which he would have been entitled in the proceeds of the previous sale if his claim thereto had been established in due time.

Request by married woman, infant, or person under disability. VI. In an action for partition a request for sale may be made or an undertaking to purchase given on the part of a married woman, infant, person of unsound mind, or person under any other disability, by the next friend, guardian, committee in lunacy (if so authorized by order in lunacy), or other person authorized to act on behalf of the person under such disability; but the Court shall not be bound to comply with any such request or undertaking on the part of an infant, unless it appear that the sale or purchase will be for his benefit (b).

Request for sale.

(b) The request for a sale made on behalf of a person under disability should be made by a person specially authorized to act on his behalf in the action (Wallace v. Greenwood, 16 Ch. D. 362; Grange v. White, 18 Ch. D. 612; contrà, Crookes v. Whitworth, 10 Ch. D. 289).

Person of unsound mind. A person of unsound mind not so found may, by his next friend, be plaintiff in an action for sale (Watt v. Leach, 26 W. R. 475). Where a lunatic is tenant in tail of an undivided share the committee may be directed to request a sale (Re Pares, 12 Ch. D. 333).

Infant.

The request for a sale may be made by the guardian ad litem of an infant defendant (Rimington v. Hartley, 14 Ch. D. 630).

Prayer for partition unnecessary.

VII. For the purposes of the Partition Act, 1868, and of this Act, an action for partition shall include an action for sale and distribution of the proceeds, and in an action for partition it shall be sufficient to claim a sale and distribution of the proceeds, and it shall not be necessary to claim a partition.

DEBTORS ACT, 1869.

32 & 33 VICT. CAP. 62.

32 & 33 Vict. c. 62.

An Act for the Abolition of Imprisonment for Debt, for the punishment of fraudulent Debtors, and for other purposes.

[9th August, 1869.]

Be it enacted, &c. as follows:—

Preliminary.

I. This Act may be cited for all purposes as "The Debtors Act, Short title. 1869."

II. This Act shall not extend to Scotland or Ireland.

III. This Act shall not come into operation until the day on which Act. the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, comes into operation, which day is hereinafter referred to as the commencement of this Act, and words and construction expressions defined or explained in the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, shall have the same meaning in this Act(a).

Extent of

(a) The Bankruptcy Act, 1869, came into operation on January 1st, 1870. See now Bankruptcy Act, 1883.

PART I.

Abolition of Imprisonment for Debt.

IV. With the exceptions hereinafter mentioned, no person shall after No imprisonthe commencement of this Act be arrested or imprisoned (b) for making ment for debt except default in payment of a sum of money.

There shall be excepted from the operation of the above enactment :-

1. Default in payment of a penalty, or sum in the nature of a penalty, (1) Upon other than a penalty in respect of any contract (c):

2. Default in payment of any sum recoverable summarily before a a penalty; justice or justices of the peace (d):

3. Default by a trustee or person acting in a fiduciary capacity (e) justices; and ordered to pay by a Court of equity (f) any sum in his posses- (3) By trustee; sion or under his control (g):

4. Default by an attorney or solicitor in payment of costs when (4) By atordered to pay costs for misconduct as such, or in payment of a sum of money when ordered to pay the same in his character of au officer of the Court making the order (h):

5. Default in payment for the benefit of creditors of any portion of (5) In paya salary or other income in respect of the payment of which any income to Court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy is authorized to make an creditors. order:

6. Default in payment of sums in respect of the payment of which (6) In payment under orders are in this Act authorized (i) to be made:

Provided, first, that no person shall be imprisoned in any case excepted No imprisonfrom the operation of this section for a longer period than one year (j); a year.

default in payment of

(2) Under order of

this Act.

32 & 33 Vict. c. 62, s. 4.

and, secondly, that nothing in this section shall alter the effect of any judgment or order of any Court for payment of money except as regards the arrest and imprisonment of the person making default in paying such money (k).

Imprisonment for contempt.

(b) The power of the Court to imprison for contempt of Court in cases not provided for by the Act remains unaffected (Harvey v. Hall, 11 Eq. 31; and see Ord. XLII. r. 7, infra).

Special contempt by arresting officers of Court. By violent language. Special contempt.

Officers and attendants upon the Court, suitors, and witnesses, have privilege, eundo, redeundo, et morando, for their necessary attendance, but not otherwise, and where any of them are arrested at such times of necessary attendance, it is a contempt of Court. And any one who uses violence or abusive language to a person serving the process or orders of the Court, or uses scandalous or contemptuous words against the Court or the process thereof, is liable to be committed upon motion, on notice to the person so offending (Cons. Ord. XLII. rr. 1, 2).

See Price v. Hutchison, 9 Eq. 534; 18 W. R. 204; Re Clements, 46 L. J. Ch. 375, as to abusive words against officers of the Court. As to publication by a newspaper of evidence in a cause with comments, before the hearing, being a contempt of Court, see Tichborne v. Mostyn, 7 Eq. 55, n.; Daw v. Eley, Ibid. 42; General Exchange Bank v. Horner, W. N. (1868), 259; but see Re London Flour Co., 16 W. R. 474. Publiv. Horner, W. N. (1808), 259; but see Re London Flour Co., 16 W. R. 148. Fubication without comment of a pleading, affidavit, petition, or other ex parte statement before trial is a contempt (Re Chellenham Wagon Co., 8 Eq. 580; Coleman v. West Hartlepool Co., 8 W. R. 734; 2 L. T. 766; Tichborne v. Mostyn; Robson v. Dodds, 17 W. R. 782). See also Bowden v. Russell, W. N. (1877), 55; Buenos Ayres Gas Co. v. Wilde, 29 W. R. 43; 42 L. T. 657; W. N. (1880), 95.

The privilege of a solicitor as officer of the Court extends to him when proceeding to attend an experiment with a person for whom he is setting in a suit (Eure v.

Extent of solicitor's privilege. to attend an appointment with a person for whom he is acting in a suit (Eyre v. Barrow, 6 W. R. 767); or on his way to attend a summons at judge's chambers (Re Jewitt, 33 Beav. 559); and see Attorney-General v. Leathersellers' Company, 7 Beav. 157; Gibbs v. Phillipson, 1 R. & M. 19; Piomer v. Macdonough, 1 De G. & S. 232; Andrews v. Watton, 1 M. & G. 380, and the other cases cited in Seton, 1590. See, however, Re Freston and Re Dudley, cited in note (h) post, p. 189.

A defendant in contempt may take any step necessary for his defence (Fry v. Expect 12 W. R. 97). Thus he may be heard to show that proceedings subsequent

Party in contempt may take defensive proceedings.

Ernest, 12 W. R. 97). Thus, he may be heard to show that proceedings subsequent to the order placing him in contempt were irregular (Morrison v. Morrison, 4 Hare, 590; King v. Bryant, 3 M. & Cr. 191; and see Wilson v. Bates, id. 197; Hawkins v. Hall, 1 Beav. 73; 4 M. & Cr. 280). Or he may apply for taxation of a bill of costs (Newton v. Ricketts, 11 Beav. 67; and see Everett v. Prythergch, 12 Sim. 363; Daniell, 904.

A party in contempt should generally apply by petition (Nicholson v. Squire, 16 Ves. 259); but a plaintiff in contempt was, in Futvoye v. Kennard, 2 Giff. 110, held entitled to be heard on a motion to discharge an order made against him at chambers.

Waiver of contempt.

A contempt may be waived, e.g., if the defendant is in contempt for want of sufficient answer, the plaintiff, by taking a step in the cause, waives the contempt,

Release of person imprisoned for contempt of Court.

and cannot claim the costs of it (Roberts v. Albert Bridge Company, 8 Ch. 753).

The Act makes this difference as to imprisonment for contempt, that a person im-The Act makes this difference as to imprisonment for contempt, that a person imprisoned for contempt of Court, cannot, after clearing his contempt, be kept in prison till he pays costs of the contempt (Jackson v. Mawby, 1 Ch. D. 86; 24 W. R. 92; 45 L. J. Ch. 53; Mickelthwaite v. Fletcher, 27 W. R. 793); secus, where the contempt is not cleared (S. v. L., W. N. (1876), 220; S. C. nom. Re M., 46 L. J. Ch. 24). See also Baker v. Baker, W. N. (1876), 256.

A person who is excepted from the operation of the section, and therefore liable to be attached, will, nevertheless, if he become a bankrupt, be protected pending the bankruptcy proceedings (Cobham v. Dalton, 10 Ch. 656; 23 W. R. 865; Re Neil, W. N. (1882), 46; Phosphate Sewage Co. v. Hartmont, 25 W. R. 743; secus, where the ettechment is not for non-payment of a sum of money but for numishment (Re

Privilege of bankrupt.

the attachment is not for non-payment of a sum of money, but for punishment (Re Deere, 10 Ch. 658), or the bankruptcy takes place after the attachment (Earl of Leves v. Barnett, 6 Ch. D. 252; 26 W. R. 101). A compounding debtor is not protected (Pashler v. Vincent, 8 Ch. D. 825; 27 W. R. 2).

Where two trustees were ordered in an action to pay money into Court, and on their failing to do so one of them was served with a notice of motion for attachment, but before the motion came on he filed a petition in bankruptcy, Cave, J. refused to

Penalty.

stay further proceedings in the action (Re Mackintosh, W. N. (1884), 114).

(c) When the Act allows imprisonment for default in payment of a penalty, it means a penalty for non-observance of a positive law. See Middleton v. Chichester, 6 Ch. 152, 155. See also Marris v. Ingram, 13 Ch. D. 342.
(d) See R. v. Pratt, L. R. 5 Q. B. 176; 18 W. R. 626; S. C. nom. Ex parte Cole, 21 L. T. 750.

Sum recoverable before justices.

(e) A "person acting in a fiduciary capacity," means a person who stands in a 32 & 33 Vict. fiduciary relation towards any other person who may be entitled to call upon him to c. 62, s. 4. pay a sum of money, whether such person is or is not the plaintiff, or one of the plaintiffs, in the action in which the order for payment has been made (Marris v. Fiduciary Ingram, 13 Ch. D. 338); and see Hutchinson v. Hartmont, W. N. (1877), 29. A capacity. director ordered to pay the full value of shares received from promoters, on which no money had been paid, was held not to be in a fiduciary oppacity in relation thereto (Metealfe's Case, 13 Ch. D. 815; 28 W. R. 435; 42 L. T. 178; and see Phosphate Sewage Co. v. Hartmont, 25 W. R. 743; W. N. (1877), 167; Ex parte Hosson, 8 Ch. 231; 21 W. R. 152; 28 L. T. 4).

(f) These words must now be read "High Court of Justice" (Marris v. Ingram).

(g) A trustee who has not made away with trust funds, but has only neglected to Equity." get them in, is not a defaulting trustee within the section, for the money has not Default been in his possession or under his control (Ferguson v. Ferguson, 10 Ch. 661); but a trustee. trustee who has had the money in his possession or control is liable to imprisonment, though he has lost the money, and is not therefore, strictly speaking, cootumacious in Possession not paying (Middleton v. Chichester, 6 Ch. 152; and see Digby v. Turner, W. N. (1873), 65; 21 W. R. 471). An attachment, however, issued against a trustee on an order directing the payment of a sum composed of principal and interest not distinguished was discharged, inasmuch as the interest might not, at any time, have been in his possession or under his control (Middleton v. Chichester; and see Re Hincks, W. N. (1876), 188; 24 W. R. 931).

A trustee may be attacked though the trust funds were only under his control Joint control. jointly with another trustee (Evans v. Bear, 10 Ch. 76); and see Re A. and B., W. N. (1877), 207.

The amount to be paid must be specified in the order for payment (Re Spicer, Amount must W. N. (1881), 85).

(h) For an order for attachment against a solicitor who had failed to pay costs which he had been ordered to pay for misconduct, see Tilney v. Stansfeld, 28 W. R. 582; W. N. (1880), 77.

A solicitor may be attached for default in payment of a balance found due from him upon taxation of his bill of costs under the common order (Re Rush, 9 Eq. 147; 18 W. R. 331; Re White, 19 W. R. 39; 23 L. T. 387; and see Re V——, Ir. R., 8 Eq. 355). But he cannot be attached for non-payment of costs incurred simply as an unsuccessful litigant (Re Hope, 7 Ch. £23; overruling Re Barfield and Rush, 19 W. R. 466; 24 L. T. 248); seeus, where he is ordered to pay the costs of an action brought by him without authority (Jenkins v. Fereday, L. R. 7 C. P. 358).

The right to an attachment may be lost by making terms with the solicitor

(Harvey v. Hall, 16 Eq. 324).

A solicitor cannot claim privilege when arrested under this sub-section (Re Freston, 11 Q. B. D. 545; 31 W. R. 804; 49 L. T. 290; Re Dudley, 12 Q. B. D. 44).

The words "sum of money" in this sub-section include stock (Digby v. Turner, "Sum of

21 W. R. 471; W. N. (1873), 65).

(i) See sect. 5 of the Act.

(j) As to an action by the debtor for detaining him beyond the year, see *Greaves* Length of v. *Keene*, 4 Ex. D. 73; 27 W. R. 416; 40 L. T. 216. No order is necessary for imprisonthe discharge of the prisoner where the writ is in the ordinary form (Re Edwards, ment. 21 Ch. D. 230; 30 W. R. 656); see also Moore v. Rose, L. R. 4 Q. B. 486; Nalty v. Aylett, W. N. (1874), 182; 43 L. J. Ch. 721; 22 W. R. 857.

(k) The Debtors Act, 1878, 41 & 42 Vict. c. 54, s. 1, provides as follows:—"In Debtors Act, any case coming within the exceptions numbered 3 and 4 in the fourth section of 1878. the Debtors Act, 1869, and in the fifth section of the Debtors Act (Ireland), 1872, respectively, or within either of those exceptions, any Court or judge, making the order for payment, or having jurisdiction in the action or proceeding in which the order for payment is made, may inquire into the case, and (subject to the provisoes contained in the said sections respectively) may grant or refuse, either absolutely or upon terms, any application for a writ of attachment, or other process or order of arrest or imprisonment, and any application to stay the operation of any such writ, process, or order, or for discharge from arrest or imprisonment thereunder.

As to the history and object of this enactment, see Marris v. Ingram, 13 Ch. D.

p. 343.

The Debtors Act, 1869, is vindictive in this sense, that it is intended for the Debtors Act, punishment of fraudulent or dishonest debtors (Marris v. Ingram; Doodson v. Turner, 1869, how far W. N. (1883), 53; 52 L. J. Ch. 685; 48 L. T. 760); see, however, Re Freston, 11 vindictive. Q. B. D. 545; 31 W. R. 804; 49 L. T. 290; Barrett v. Hammond, 10 Ch. D. 285; Holroyde v. Garnett, 20 Ch. D. 532; 30 W. R. 604.

By G. O., January 7th, 1870, rules were made under the Debtors Act, 1869; sse G. O. Jan. 7, 5 Ch. pp. xxxiii—xxxvi. Though not expressly repealed, these rules appear to be 1870. now obsolets, except those under sect. 5 of the Act, and it has accordingly not been

thought necessary to reproduce them here.

"Court of Defaulting

and control.

be specified.

Attachment of solicitor.

money."

32 & 33 Vict. c. 62, s. 5.

The jurisdiction and powers of the High Court under sect. 5 of the Act are now vested in the judge and registrars in bankruptcy; see Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 103 Bankruptcy Rules, 1883, r. 265; G. O. 1st January, 1884.]

Ord. 7 Jan. 1870.

The Order made under this Act, dated 7th January, 1870 (see 5 Ch. p. xxxvi et seq.), contains the following provisions :-

tice under Act.

Rule 19.

19. The general practice of the Court shall, in all cases not provided for by "th General prac- Debtors Act, 1869," or these rules, and so far as the same is applicable, and not inconsis. tent with the said Act or these rules, apply to all proceedings under the 4th and 5th section of the said Act.

Rule 20. Solicitors' fees.

20. The charges to be allowed to solicitors for duties performed in respect of such proceedings as last aforesaid, and the fees of Court in respect of the same proceedings, shall be the same as those allowable and payable in respect of other proceedings of the same nature in the cause or matters in which such proceedings respectively are taken.

Rule 21. To be read with General Orders.

21. This order shall be read and construed as part of the General Consolidated Order. of the Court, and the interpretation clause in the same Consolidated General Orders contained shall apply to the rules of this order.

Power under certain circumstances to arrest defendant about to quit England.

VI. After the commencement of this Act a person shall not be arrested upon mesne process in any action.

Where the plaintiff in any action in any of her Majesty's Superior Courts of law at Westminister, in which, if brought before the commencement of this Act, the defendant would have been liable to arrest, proves at any time before final judgment by evidence on oath, to the satisfaction of a judge of one of those Courts, that the plaintiff has good cause of action against the defendant to the amount of fifty pounds or upwards, and that there is probable cause for believing that the defendant is about to quit England unless he be apprehended, and that the absence of the defendant from England will materially prejudice the plaintiff in the prosecution of his action, such judge may in the prescribed manner order such defendant to be arrested and imprisoned for a period not exceeding six months, unless and until he has sooner given the prescribed security, not exceeding the amount claimed in the action, that he will not go out of England without the leave of the Court.

Where the action is for a penalty or sum in the nature of a penalty, other than a penalty in respect of any contract, it shall not be necessary to prove that the absence of the defendant from England will materially prejudice the plaintiff in the prosecution of his action. and the security given (instead of being that the defendant will not go out of England) shall be to the effect that any sum recovered against the defendant in the action shall be paid, or that the defendant shall be rendered to prison (l).

(1) See as to proceedings under this section, Ord. LXIX., R. S. C. 1883.

[Sect. 7 is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

Sequestration against property.

VIII. Sequestration against the property of a debtor may, after the commencement of this Act, be issued by any Court of equity in the same manner as if such debtor had been actually arrested (m).

(m) See Sykes v. Dyson, 9 Eq. 228.

IX. Nothing in this part of this Act shall in any way affect any 32 & 33 Vict. right or power, under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, to arrest or imprison any person.

X. In this part of this Act the term "prescribed" means as follows :-

As respects the Superior Courts of common law, prescribed by "prescribed." general rules to be made in pursuance of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852;

As respects the Superior Courts of equity, prescribed by general rules and orders to be made in pursuance of the Act of the session of the fifteenth and sixteenth years of the reign of her present Majesty, chapter eighty;

As respects the County Courts, prescribed by general rules to be made under the County Court Act, 1856; and

As respects any other Court, prescribed by the rules to be made, with the approval of the Lord Chancellor, by the persons having power to make rules in relation to the practice of such Court; or if there be no such persons, by the judge of such Court;

And general rules and orders may respectively be made by such authorities as aforesaid, for the purpose of carrying into effect this part of this Act.

PART II.

Punishment of Fraudulent Debtors.

[By sect. 11, any bankrupt, or person whose affairs are in liquidation, is to be guilty of middemeanor, and to be liable to imprisonment, not exceeding two years, for certain specified acts of concealment and fraud. See Re Stanlake, 10 Ch. D. 774.]

[Sects. 12 and 13 enact a penalty for absconding with property and for fraudulently obtaining credit.]

By sect. 14, a false claim in any bankruptcy or liquidation is to be punisbable as a misdemeanor.]

[By sect. 15, an arranging or compounding debtor is to remain liable for fraudulent debts. See Ex parte Hemming, 13 Ch. D. 163.]

By sects. 16-20, the mode of prosecution under the preceding sections is pointed out.]

[Sects. 21 and 22 are repealed by Bankruptcy Act, 1883.]

[By sect. 23 punishments under this Act are to be cumulative.]

PART III.

Warrants of Attorney, Cognovits, and Orders for Judgment.

[Sects. 24-28 relate to the manner of executing warrants of attorney and cognovits, and to the filing of the same.]

[Sect. 29 relates to foreign attachment.]

c. 62, s. 9.

Saving for Bankruptcy Act, 1869. Definition of 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75.

MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1882.

45 & 46 VICT. CAP. 75.

An Act to consolidate and amend the Acts relating to the Property [18th August, 1882.] of Married Women.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate and amend the Act of the thirty-third and thirty-fourth Victoria, chapter ninety-three, intituled "The Married Women's Property Act, 1870," and the Act of the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth Victoria, chapter fifty, intituled "An Act to amend the Married Women's Property Act (1870)":

Be it enacted, &c. as follows:-

- 1.—(1.) A married woman shall, in accordance with the provisions of this Act, be capable of acquiring, holding, and disposing by will or otherwise, of any real or personal property as her separate property, in the same manner as if she were a feme sole, without the intervention of any trustee.
- (2.) A married woman shall be capable of entering into and rendering herself liable in respect of and to the extent of her separate property on any contract, and of suing and being sued, either in contract or in tort, or otherwise, in all respects as if she were a feme sole, and her husband need not be joined with her as plaintiff or defendant, or be made a party to any action or other legal proceeding brought by or taken against her(a); and any damages or costs recovered by her in any such action or proceeding shall be her separate property; and any damages or costs recovered against her in any such action or proceeding shall be payable out of her separate property. and not otherwise.
- (3.) Every contract entered into by a married woman shall be deemed to be a contract entered into by her with respect to and to bind her separate property, unless the contrary be shown.
- (4.) Every contract entered into by a married woman with respect to and to bind her separate property shall bind not only the separate property which she is possessed of or entitled to at the date of the contract, but also all separate property which she may thereafter acquire (b).
- (5.) Every married woman carrying on a trade separately from her husband shall, in respect of her separate property, be subject to the bankruptcy laws in the same way as if she were a feme sole.

Actions, &c.,

(a) The husband of a married woman need not be joined as co-petitioner with Actions, &c.,

(a) The husband of a married woman need not be joined as co-petitioner with by and against his wife in a petition presented under the statutory jurisdiction of the Court (Re married Outwin, 31 W. R. 374; 48 L. T. 410). A married woman may sue in her own name for a tort committed before the Act (James v. Barraud, 31 W. R. 786; Severance v. Civil Service Association, 48 L. T. 435; Weldon v. Winslow, 13 Q. B. D. 784; see, however, Weldon v. Riviere, W. N. (1884), 154. See also Weldon v. Neal, W. N. (1884), 153 (Statute of Limitations).

Judgment in default, or under Ord. XIV., may be signed against a married woman in respect of her separate estate, but execution should issue only against such separate estate estate state, but execution wholes sends retrieved.

such separate estate as she is not restrained from anticipating unless such restraint

Married woman to be capable of holding property and of contracting as a feme sole.

exists under a settlement by herself of her own property (Bursill v. Tanner, 13 45 & 46 Vict. Q. B. D. 691; Perks v. Mylrea, W. N. (1884), 64); see, however, Moore v. Mulligan, c. 75, s. 1. W. N. (1884), 34). So judgment may now be ordered against a married woman, third party, as a feme sole, declaring her separate property chargeable in respect of a liability created before the Act (Gloucestershire Banking Co. v. Phillipps, 12 Q. B. D. 533). Security for costs is not now required from a married woman suing alone (Theological v. Wilson, S. P. D. 18)

alone (Threlfall v. Wilson, 8 P. D. 18).

(b) This sub-section does not operate retrospectively so as to include contracts entered into by a married woman before the Act (Conolan v. Leyland, 27 Ch. D.

2. Every woman who marries after the commencement of this Act Property of shall be entitled to have and to hold as her separate property and to a woman married after dispose of in manner aforesaid all real and personal property which the Act to shall belong to her at the time of marriage, or shall be acquired by her as a feme or devolve upon her after marriage, including any wages, earnings, sole. money, and property gained or acquired by her in any employment, trade, or occupation, in which she is engaged, or which she carries on separately from her husband, or by the exercise of any literary, artistic, or scientific skill.

3. Any money or other estate of the wife lent or entrusted by her Loans by to her husband for the purpose of any trade or business carried on by wife to husband. him, or otherwise, shall be treated as assets of her husband's estate in case of his bankruptcy, under reservation of the wife's claim to a dividend as a creditor for the amount or value of such money or other estate after, but not before, all claims of the other creditors of the husband for valuable consideration in money or money's worth have been satisfied.

4. The execution of a general power by will by a married woman Execution of shall have the effect of making the property appointed liable for her general debts and other liabilities in the same manner as her separate estate is made liable under this Act.

5. Every woman married before the commencement of this Act shall Property be entitled to have and to hold and to dispose of in manner aforesaid acquired after as her separate property all real and personal property, her title to a woman which, whether vested or contingent, and whether in possession, reversion, or remainder, shall accrue after the commencement of this to be held Act, including any wages, earnings, money, and property so gained or by her as a feme sole. acquired by her as aforesaid (c).

(c) Property, to which a woman married before the Act was entitled in reversion at the commencement of the Act, but which, since the commencement of the Act, has fallen into possession, is within this section (Baynton v. Collins, 27 Ch. D.

A marriage settlement made in 1862 contained an agreement for settlement of A marriage settlement made in 1862 contained an agreement for settlement of after-acquired property above a specified amount, except interests settled and limited to the wife's separate use. The wife, after the Act, became absolutely entitled to a bequest above the specified amount, and not to her separate use. It was held that sect. 19 of the Act exempted the settlement from the 5th and other sections, and that the bequest must be dealt with as if the Act had not been passed (Re Stonor, 24 Ch. D. 195; 52 L. J. Ch. 776; 48 L. T. 963). See also Re Queade, W. N. (1884), 225, where the married woman was an infant when the settlement was executed, and was therefore not bound by it.

As to the effect of a gift to lushand and wife and a third person see Re March.

As to the effect of a gift to husband and wife and a third person, see Re March,

27 Ch. D. 166, reversing S. C. below, 24 Ch. D. 222.

45 & 46 Vict. o. 75, s. 6.

As to stock, &c. to which a married woman is entitled.

6. All deposits in any post office or other savings bank, or in any other bank, all annuities granted by the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt or by any other person, and all sums forming part of the public stocks or funds, or of any other stocks or funds transferable in the books of the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, or of any other bank, which at the commencement of this Act are standing in the sole name of a married woman, and all shares, stock, debentures, debenture stock, or other interests of or in any corporation, company, or public body, municipal, commercial, or otherwise, or of or in any industrial, provident, friendly, benefit, building, or loan society, which at the commencement of this Act are standing in her name, shall be deemed, unless and until the contrary be shown, to be the separate property of such married woman; and the fact that any such deposit, annuity, sum forming part of the public stocks or funds, or of any other stocks or funds transferable in the books of the Governor and Company of the Bank of England or of any other bank, share, stock, debenture, debenture stock, or other interest as aforesaid, is standing in the sole name of a married woman, shall be sufficient primâ facie evidence that she is beneficially entitled thereto for her separate use, so as to authorise and empower her to receive or transfer the same, and to receive the dividends, interest, and profits thereof, without the concurrence of her husband, and to indemnify the Postmaster-General, the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt, the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, the Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland, and all directors, managers, and trustees of every such bank, corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, in respect thereof.

7. All sums forming part of the public stocks or funds, or of any other stocks or funds transferable in the books of the Bank of England or of any other bank, and all such deposits and annuities respectively as are mentioned in the last preceding section, and all shares, stock, debentures, debenture stock, and other interests of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, which after the commencement of this Act shall be allotted to or placed, registered, or transferred in or into or made to stand in the sole name of any married woman shall be deemed, unless and until the contrary be shown, to be her separate property, in respect of which so far as any liability may be incident thereto her separate estate shall alone be liable, whether the same shall be so expressed in the document whereby her title to the same is created or certified, or in the books or register wherein her title is entered or recorded, or not.

Provided always, that nothing in this Act shall require or authorise any corporation or joint stock company to admit any married woman to be a holder of any shares or stock therein to which any liability may be incident, contrary to the provisions of any Act of Parliament, charter, byelaw, articles of association, or deed of settlement regulating such corporation or company.

As to stock, &c. to be transferred, &c. to a married woman.

8. All the provisions hereinbefore contained as to deposits in any 45 & 46 Vict. post-office or other savings bank, or in any other bank, annuities granted by the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt Investments or by any other person, sums forming part of the public stocks or in joint names of funds, or of any other stocks or funds transferable in the books of the married Bank of England or of any other bank, shares, stock, debentures, women others. debenture stock, or other interests of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid respectively, which at the commencement of this Act shall be standing in the sole name of a married woman, or which, after that time, shall be allotted to, or placed, registered, or transferred to or into, or made to stand in, the sole name of a married woman, shall respectively extend and apply, so far as relates to the estate, right, title, or interest of the married woman, to any of the particulars aforesaid which, at the commencement of this Act, or at any time afterwards, shall be standing in, or shall be allotted to, placed, registered, or transferred to or into, or made to stand in, the name of any married woman jointly with any persons or person other than her husband.

9. It shall not be necessary for the husband of any married woman, As to stock, in respect of her interest, to join in the transfer of any such annuity or &c. standing in the joint deposit as aforesaid, or any sum forming part of the public stocks or names of a funds, or of any other stocks or funds transferable as aforesaid, or any married share, stock, debenture, debenture stock, or other benefit, right, claim, others, or other interest of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, which is now or shall at any time hereafter be standing in the sole name of any married woman, or in the joint names of such married woman and any other person or persons not being her husband.

10. If any investment in any such deposit or annuity as aforesaid, Fraudulent or in any of the public stocks or funds, or in any other stocks or funds investments transferable as aforesaid, or in any share, stock, debenture, or deben- of husband. ture stock of any corporation, company, or public body, municipal, commercial, or otherwise, or in any share, debenture, benefit, right, or claim whatsoever in, to, or upon the funds of any industrial, provident, friendly, benefit, building, or loan society, shall have been made by a married woman by means of moneys of her husband, without his consent, the Court may, upon an application under section seventeen of this Act, order such investment, and the dividends thereof, or any part thereof, to be transferred and paid respectively to the husband: and nothing in this Act contained shall give validity as against creditors of the husband to any gift, by a husband to his wife, of any property, which, after such gift, shall continue to be in the order and disposition or reputed ownership of the husband, or to any deposit or other investment of moneys of the husband made by or in the name of his wife in fraud of his creditors; but any moneys so deposited or invested may be followed as if this Act had not passed.

45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, s. 11.

Moneys payable under policy of assurance not to form part of estate of the insured. 11. A married woman may by virtue of the power of making contracts hereinbefore contained effect a policy upon her own life or the life of her husband for her separate use; and the same and all benefit thereof shall enure accordingly.

A policy of assurance effected by any man on his own life, and expressed to be for the benefit of his wife, or of his children, or of his wife and children, or any of them, or by any woman on her own life, and expressed to be for the benefit of her husband, or of her children, or of her husband and children, or any of them, shall create a trust in favour of the objects therein named, and the moneys payable under any such policy shall not, so long as any object of the trust remains unperformed, form part of the estate of the insured, or be subject to his or her debts: Provided, that if it shall be proved that the policy was effected and the premiums paid with intent to defraud the creditors of the insured, they shall be entitled to receive, out of the moneys payable under the policy, a sum equal to the premiums so paid. The insured may by the policy, or by any memorandum under his or her hand, appoint a trustee or trustees of the moneys payable under the policy, and from time to time appoint a new trustee or new trustees thereof, and may make provision for the appointment of a new trustee or new trustees thereof, and for the investment of the moneys payable under any such policy. In default of any such appointment of a trustee, such policy, immediately on its being effected, shall vest in the insured and his or her legal personal representatives, in trust for the purposes aforesaid. If, at the time of the death of the insured, or at any time afterwards, there shall be no trustee, or it shall be expedient to appoint a new trustee or new trustees, a trustee or trustees or a new trustee or new trustees may be appointed by any Court having jurisdiction under the provisions of the Trustee Act, 1850, or the Acts amending and extending the same. The receipt of a trustee or trustees duly appointed, or, in default of any such appointment, or in default of notice to the insurance office, the receipt of the legal personal representative of the insured shall be a discharge to the office for the sum secured by the policy, or for the value thereof, in whole or in part (d).

13 & 14 Vict.

(d) For cases decided under the corresponding section (sect. 10) of the Act of 1870 (now repealed, see sect. 22, post), see Re Mellor, 6 Ch. D. 127; 7 Ch. D. 200; Re Adam, 23 Ch. D. 525, where Re Mellor was not followed. As to the title of a petition for the appointment of trustees of the policy, see Re Soutar, W. N. (1884), 61.

Remedies of married woman for protection and security of separate property. 12. Every woman, whether married before or after this Act, shall have in her own name against all persons whomsoever, including her husband, the same civil remedies, and also (subject, as regards her husband, to the proviso hereinafter contained) the same remedies and redress by way of criminal proceedings, for the protection and security of her own separate property, as if such property belonged to her as a feme sole, but, except as aforesaid, no husband or wife shall be

entitled to sue the other for a tort. In any indictment or other pro- 45 & 46 Vict. ceeding under this section it shall be sufficient to allege such property c. 75, s. 12. to be her property; and in any proceeding under this section a husband or wife shall be competent to give evidence against each other, any statute or rule of law to the contrary notwithstanding: Provided always, that no criminal proceeding shall be taken by any wife against her husband by virtue of this Act while they are living together, as to or concerning any property claimed by her, nor while they are living apart, as to or concerning any act done by the husband while they were living together, concerning property claimed by the wife, unless such property shall have been wrongfully taken by the husband when leaving or deserting, or about to leave or desert, his wife (e).

(c) As to evidence in criminal proceedings, see Married Women's Property Act, 1884.

13. A woman after her marriage shall continue to be liable in Wife's anterespect and to the extent of her separate property for all debts con-nuptial debts and liabilities. tracted, and all contracts entered into or wrongs committed by her before her marriage, including any sums for which she may be liable as a contributory, either before or after she has been placed on the list of contributories, under and by virtue of the Acts relating to joint stock companies; and she may be sued for any such debt and for any liability in damages or otherwise under any such contract, or in respect of any such wrong; and all sums recovered against her in respect thereof, or for any costs relating thereto, shall be payable out of her separate property; and, as between her and her husband, unless there be any contract between them to the contrary, her separate property shall be deemed to be primarily liable for all such debts, contracts, or wrongs, and for all damages or costs recovered in respect thereof: Provided always, that nothing in this Act shall operate to increase or diminish the liability of any woman married before the commencement of this Act for any such debt, contract, or wrong, as aforesaid, except as to any separate property to which she may become entitled by virtue of this Act, and to which she would not have been entitled for her separate use under the Acts hereby repealed or otherwise, if this Act had not passed.

14. A husband shall be liable for the debts of his wife contracted, Husband to and for all contracts entered into and wrongs committed by her, before his wife's marriage, including any liabilities to which she may be so subject debts conunder the Acts relating to joint stock companies as aforesaid, to the tracted before extent of all property whatsoever belonging to his wife which he shall a certain have acquired or become entitled to from or through his wife, after extent. deducting therefrom any payments made by him, and any sums for which judgment may have been bonâ fide recovered against him in any proceeding at law, in respect of any such debts, contracts, or wrongs for or in respect of which his wife was liable before her marriage as aforesaid; but he shall not be liable for the same any further or otherwise; and any court in which a husband shall be sued for any

45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, s. 14. such debt shall have power to direct any inquiry or proceedings whic it may think proper for the purpose of ascertaining the nature, amoun or value of such property: Provided always, that nothing in this Accontained shall operate to increase or diminish the liability of an husband married before the commencement of this Act for or in respect of any such debt or other liability of his wife as aforesaid.

Suits for antenuptial liabilities.

15. A husband and wife may be jointly sued in respect of an such debt or other liability (whether by contract or for any wrong contracted or incurred by the wife before marriage as aforesaid, i the plaintiff in the action shall seek to establish his claim, eithe wholly or in part, against both of them; and if in any such action or in any action brought in respect of any such debt or liability agains the husband alone, it is not found that the husband is liable in respec of any property of the wife so acquired by him or to which he shal have become so entitled as aforesaid, he shall have judgment for his costs of defence, whatever may be the result of the action against the wife if jointly sued with him; and in any such action against husband and wife jointly, if it appears that the husband is liable for the debi or damages recovered, or any part thereof, the judgment to the extent of the amount for which the husband is liable shall be a joint judgment against the husband personally and against the wife as to her separate property; and as to the residue, if any, of such debt and damages, the judgment shall be a separate judgment against the wife as to her separate property only.

Act of wife liable to criminal proceedings. 16. A wife doing any act with respect to any property of her husband, which, if done by the husband with respect to property of the wife, would make the husband liable to criminal proceedings by the wife under this Act, shall in like manner be liable to criminal proceedings by her husband (f).

(f) See note to s. 12.

Questions between husband and wife as to property to be decided in a summary way. 17. In any question between husband and wife as to the title to or possession of property, either party, or any such bank, corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid in whose books any stocks, funds, or shares of either party are standing, may apply by summons or otherwise in a summary way to any judge of the High Court of Justice in England or in Ireland, according as such property is in England or Ireland, or (at the option of the applicant irrespectively of the value of the property in dispute) in England to the judge of the County Court of the district, or in Ireland to the chairman of the Civil Bill Court of Justice or of the County Court, or the chairman of the Civil Bill Court (as the case may be) may make such order with respect to the property in dispute, and as to the costs of and consequent on the application as he thinks fit, or may direct such application to stand over from time to time, and any inquiry touching

the matters in question to be made in such manner as he shall think 45 & 46 Vict. fit: Provided always, that any order of a judge of the High Court of Justice to be made under the provisions of this section shall be subject to appeal in the same way as an order made by the same judge in a suit pending or on an equitable plaint in the said Court would be; and any order of a County or Civil Bill Court under the provisions of this section shall be subject to appeal in the same way as any other order made by the same Court would be, and all proceedings in a County Court or Civil Bill Court under this section in which, by reason of the value of the property in dispute, such Court would not have had jurisdiction if this Act or the Married Women's Property Act, 1870, had not passed, may, at the option of the defendant or respondent to such proceedings, be removed as of right into the High Court of Justice in England or Ireland (as the case may be), by writ of certiorari or otherwise as may be prescribed by any rule of such High Court; but any order made or act done in the course of such proceedings prior to such removal shall be valid, unless order shall be made to the contrary by such High Court: Provided also, that the judge of the High Court of Justice or of the County Court, or the chairman of the Civil Bill Court, if either party so require, may hear any such application in his private room: Provided also, that any such bank, corporation, company, public body, or society as aforesaid, shall, in the matter of any such application for the purposes of costs or otherwise, be treated as a stakeholder only.

- 18. A married woman who is an executrix or administratrix alone Married or jointly with any other person or persons of the estate of any de- woman as an ceased person, or a trustee alone or jointly as aforesaid of property trustee. subject to any trust, may sue or be sued, and may transfer or join in transferring any such annuity or deposit as aforesaid, or any sum forming part of the public stocks or funds, or of any other stocks or funds transferable as aforesaid, or any share, stock, debenture, debenture stock, or other benefit, right, claim, or other interest of or in any such corporation, company, public body, or society in that character, without her husband, as if she were a feme sole.
- 19. Nothing in this Act contained shall interfere with or affect any Saving of settlement or agreement for a settlement made or to be made, whether existing settlements, before or after marriage, respecting the property of any married and the power woman, or shall interfere with or render inoperative any restriction to make future against anticipation at present attached or to be hereafter attached to settlements. the enjoyment of any property or income by a woman under any settlement, agreement for a settlement, will, or other instrument; but no restriction against anticipation contained in any settlement or agreement for a settlement of a woman's own property to be made or entered into by herself shall have any validity against debts contracted by her before marriage, and no settlement or agreement for a settlement shall have any greater force or validity against creditors of such

45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, s. 21.

woman than a like settlement or agreement for a settlement made or entered into by a man would have against his creditors (g).

(g) See Re Stonor, cited in note to s. 5, ante, p. 193. In Bursill v. Tanner, 13 Q. B. D. 691, it was held that an order giving leave to enter judgment against a married woman in respect of her separate estate should state that execution is to be limited to such separate estate as she is not restrained from anticipating, unless such restraint exists under a settlement of her own property made by herself.

[By sects. 20 and 21, a married woman having separate estate is to be liable to the parish for the maintenance of her husband, children, and grandchildren.]

Repeal of 33 & 34 Vict. c. 93, 37 & 38 Vict. c. 50.

- 22. The Married Women's Property Act, 1870, and the Married Women's Property Act, 1870, Amendment Act, 1874, are hereby repealed: Provided that such repeal shall not affect any act done or right acquired while either of such Acts was in force, or any right or liability of any husband or wife, married before the commencement of this Act, to sue or be sued under the provisions of the said repealed Acts or either of them, for or in respect of any debt, contract, wrong, or other matter or thing whatsoever, for or in respect of which any such right or liability shall have accrued to or against such husband or wife before the commencement of this Act (h).
 - (h) See Re Soutar, W. N. (1884), 61, as to the extent of this repeal.

Legal representative of married woman,

23. For the purposes of this Act the legal personal representative of any married woman shall in respect of her separate estate have the same rights and liabilities and be subject to the same jurisdiction as she would be if she were living.

Interpretation of terms.

24. The word "contract" in this Act shall include the acceptance of any trust, or of the office of executrix or administratrix, and the provisions of this Act as to liabilities of married women shall extend to all liabilities by reason of any breach of trust or devastavit committed by any married woman being a trustee or executrix or administratrix either before or after her marriage, and her husband shall not be subject to such liabilities unless he has acted or intermeddled in the trust or administration. The word "property" in this Act includes a thing in action.

Commencement of Act.

25. The date of the commencement of this Act shall be the first of January, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three.

Extent of Act.

26. This Act shall not extend to Scotland.

Short title.

27. This Act may be cited as the Married Women's Property Act, 1882.

PETITION OF RIGHT ACT, 1860.

23 & 24 Vict. c. 34.

23 & 24 VICT. CAP. 34.

[As to the operation of this Act and the history of the remedy by petition of right, see the remarks of Wickens, V.-C., in Kirk v. The Queen, 14 Eq. 558. A petition of right will not lie in respect of lands situate in a colony, which have been vested by an Act of the provincial legislature in the Crown for the purposes of the province (Re Holmes, 2 J. & H. 527 : S. C. nom. Holmes v. Reg., 8 Jur. N. S. 76); nor for damages for the loss occasioned by an act of a servant of the Crown in the supposed performance of a duty imposed upon him by an Act of Parliament (Tobin v. posed performance of a duty imposed upon him by an Act of Parliament (Tobin v. Reg., 16 C. B. N. S. 310: 10 Jur. N. S. 1029); nor for the dismissal, without cause assigned, of an officer in the army (Re Tufnell, 3 Ch. D. 164); nor to obtain, out of assigned, of an officer in the army (Re Tufnell, 3 Ch. D. 164); nor to obtain, out of a fund paid by a foreign government as compensation for debts due to British subjects, the payment of an amount claimed to be due in respect of one of those debts (Rustomjee v. Reg., 1 Q. B. D. 487; 2 Q. B. D. 69); nor to obtain the payment to the persons entitled of booty granted by the Crown to the Secretary of State for India, in trust for the officers and men of certain forces, and to be distributed according to certain scales and proportions (Re Banda and Kirwee Booty, Kinloch v. Reg., W. N. (1882), 164; W. N. (1884), 80). As to the form of a Petition of Right, see sects. I and 2 of the Act. The suppliant cannot obtain discovery of documents by the Crown (Thomas v. Reg., L. R. 10 Q. B. 31, but see Tomline v. Reg., 4 Ex. D. 252); but the Crown can have discovery and production of documents as against 252); but the Crown can have discovery and production of documents as against the suppliant (Tomline v. Reg.).]

The following is the General Order in Chancery under the Act:-

ORDER, 1st February, 1862.

PETITIONS OF RIGHT.

Ord., 1 Feb. 1862. Petitions of right.

1. Upon her Majesty's fiat being obtained to any petition of right presented in pursuance of the said Act and intituled in the Court of Petition of Chancery, such petition, with the fiat thereon, together with a printed right to be filed. copy of such petition and fiat (if the petition is in writing), shall be filed at the office of the Clerks of Records and Writs (a).

Rule 1.

- (a) The petition is now filed at the Central Office, the Record and Writ Clerks being abolished; see Jud. (Officers) Act, 1879; R. S. C., Ord. LXI. See as to printing, R. S. C., Ord. LXVI. r. 7, infra.
- 2. Every such petition, or the printed copy thereof, so filed shall be marked with the words "Lord Chancellor" or "Master of the Rolls," Marked with and if with the words "Lord Chancellor," then also with the title of judge. the Vice-Chancellor before whom it is intended to be prosecuted.

3. Every copy of a petition of right left at the office of the Solicitor of the Treasury in pursuance of the said Act, and every copy of a stamped petition of right served upon or left at the last, or usual, or last known copies for place of abode of any person under the provisions of that Act shall be a printed copy, sealed with the seal of the office of the Clerks of Records and Writs, in the same manner as copies of bills are now sealed. And the leaving or serving of any copy not printed or not sealed with the office seal shall be of no effect for any of the purposes of the said Act.

Rule 3. Printed and

4. A suppliant in any petition under the said Act desiring to file interrogatories for the examination of any person or persons who may tories for be required to plead or answer thereto (other than her Majesty's examination Attorney-General) shall file such interrogatories at the same time as of respondents.

Rule 4. Interroga-

23 & 24 Viet. such petition. And a copy, examined and marked by the Clerks of Records and Writs, of the interrogatories which any respondent is required to answer shall be served upon such respondent, together with the copy of the petition.

Rule 5. Right to petition in formâ pauperis.

- 5. Any person who might be admitted to prosecute a suit in this Court in formá pauperis (b) may be admitted to prosecute in formá pauperis a petition of right intituled in this Court. And any person who might, if a defendant to au ordinary suit in this Court, have been admitted to defend in formal pauperis may be admitted to make his defence in formal pauperis to any petition of right instituted in this Court which he may be required to plead or answer to. But no person shall be admitted to prosecute any petition in formal pauperis without a certificate of counsel that he conceives the case to be proper for relief in this Court.
 - (b) As to suing in formal pauperis, see Ord. XVI. rr. 22-31, infra, pp. 340, 341. 6. The same orders and rules shall apply with regard to any person

admitted to sue or defend in forma pauperis under those orders as are

applicable with regard to paupers in suits between subject and

Rule 6. Rules as to petitioning in formâ pauperis.

Rule 7. Practice in reference to

suits shall apply to pro-

ceedings by

petition of right.

subject. 7. So far as the same may be applicable, and except in so far as may be inconsistent with the said Act and with the preceding orders, the general orders from time to time in force as to proceedings in suits in this Court, and the practice and course of proceeding in this Court in reference to such suits, shall be applicable, and apply and extend to proceedings in this Court in petitions under the said Act, which are, for the purposes of this order, to be considered as bills (c).

Rule 8. Defence to petition of right.

(c) The petition being left at the office of the solicitor to the Treasury (r. 3), may be pleaded or demurred to, or answered, for which purpose further time may be allowed. In default it may be taken pro confesso, 23 & 24 Vict. c. 34, s. 8; a decree may be made and costs given as in an ordinary suit, ibid. ss. 9—14. See Tobin v. The Queen, 11 W. R. 915.

Notwithstanding the Act and these rules, any suppliant may proceed according to the practice before the Act (s. 18); under which the Court did not at the hearing of the petition enter into the merits, but directed a commission to inquire whether

a suit should be instituted.

Duties and fees of officers of the Court.

8. The duties which under the said Act and the said orders may be required to be performed by officers of this Court, shall be performed by the officers respectively, who perform duties of a similar nature in suits in this Court between subject and subject. And the fees and allowances payable to all officers and solicitors of this Court in respect of matters under the said Act shall be such fees and allowances as, by the practice of the Court and the general orders from time to time in force, they are entitled to take and charge for similar proceedings in cases between subject and subject.

35 & 36 Vict. c. 44.

CHANCERY FUNDS ACT, 1872.

35 & 36 VICT. CAP. 44.

An Act to abolish the Office of Accountant-General of the High Court of Chancery in England, and to amend the Law respecting the Investment of Money paid into that Court, and the Security and Management of the Moneys and Effects of the Suitors thereof.

[6th August, 1872.]

Whereas it is expedient to abolish the office of the Accountant-General of the High Court of Chancery in England, and to make provision respecting the transaction of the business of the office of the said Accountant-General, and the securing on the Consolidated Fund and managing the moneys, effects, and securities of the suitors of the said Court:

And whereas the Commissioners acting under a commission issued by her Majesty to inquire amongst other matters into the provisions for the custody and management of the stocks and funds of the Court of Chancery of England, and to suggest improvements therein, by their report, dated the seventeenth of February, one thousand eight hundred and sixty-four, reported that it was expedient to establish a deposit account for suitors' moneys in the Court of Chancery, and to allow to the suitors interest at the rate of two per cent. per annum upon the moneys belonging to them whilst in the custody of the Court, but without depriving them of the right to require the investment thereof at any time on their own behalf and at their own risk:

And whereas it is expedient to provide for the establishment of such deposit account:

Be it enacted, &c., as follows (that is to say):

Preliminary.

I. This Act may be cited as "The Court of Chancery (Funds) Act, Short title. 1872."

II. This Act shall, save as regards the making of rules and general Commenceorders as hereinafter mentioned, come into operation upon a day to be ment of Act. fixed by a rule to be made under this Act in that behalf, which day is hereinafter referred to as the commencement of this Act, and as to the making of any rules and general orders thereunder this Act shall come into operation on the day of the passing thereof (a).

(a) See the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, infra.

III. In this Act-

The term "the Treasury" means the Commissioners of her Majesty's Definitions. Treasury for the time being, or any two or more of them.

The term "Court of Chancery" means the High Court of Chancery of England, and includes the Lord Chancellor and any other 35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, s. 3. judge intrusted with the care and commitment of the custody of the persons and estates of persons found idiot, lunatic, or of unsound mind.

The term "order of the Court of Chancery" means such order, decree, report, certificate, or direction of the Court of Chancery as defined by this Act, or any judge or officer thereof, as may be prescribed by a rule made under this Act.

The term "General Order of the Court of Chancery" means a general order made by the Lord Chancellor, either alone or with the assistance of other judges, and either in Chancery or in Lunacy.

The term "person" includes a body corporate and company.

The term "dividends" includes interest or other periodical produce. The term "Government securities" means any annuities, exchequer bonds, exchequer bills, and other parliamentary securities of the Government of the United Kingdom.

"Securities."

The term "securities" includes Government securities and any security of any foreign state, any part of her Majesty's dominions out of the United Kingdom, or any body corporate or company, or standing in books kept by any body corporate, company, or person in the United Kingdom, and all stock, funds and effects.

"Securities in Court."

The term "securities in Court" means any securities as defined by this Act standing or deposited in the name or to the credit or account of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery or of the Paymaster-General on behalf of the Court of Chancery, or placed to the credit of a cause, matter, or account in that Court.

" Money in Court." The term "money in Court" means any sum of money paid into the Bank of England with the privity of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery or of the Paymaster-General on behalf of the Court of Chancery, or placed to the credit of any cause, matter, or account in the Court of Chancery, and includes dividends on securities in Court and interest on money on deposit.

Accountant-General's Office.

Abolition of office of Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery, and performance of duties by Paymaster-General.

IV. On the commencement of this Act the office of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery shall be abolished, and her Majesty's Paymaster-General (in this Act referred to as the Paymaster-General) for the time being shall perform all the duties and exercise all the powers and authorities which before the commencement of this Act were performed by or vested in or capable of being exercised by the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery: Provided that nothing in this Act shall render the Paymaster-General incapable of being elected to or sitting or voting in the House of Commons, or cause a member of the House of Commons upon becoming Paymaster-General to vacate his seat.

The Paymaster-General may do any act, sign or execute any instrument, and exercise any authority required or authorised to be done, signed, executed, or exercised by him for the purposes of this Act, or

any rule made thereunder, by a deputy or deputies appointed by him 35 & 36 Vict. in writing under his hand.

V. The Consolidated Fund of the United Kingdom shall be liable to Liability of make good to the suitors of the Court of Chancery all money in Court Fund for and all securities in Court, whether the same have been paid, trans- default of ferred, or deposited into or in Court before or after the commencement General. of this Act, and all money and securities vested in the Paymaster-General for the time being by or in pursuance of this Act; and if the Lord Chancellor, either with or without a representation made to him by any suitor of the Court of Chancery, certifies to the Treasury in writing that the Paymaster-General has failed to pay any money in Court, or transfer or deliver any securities in Court, required by any order of the Court of Chancery to be paid, transferred, or delivered from his account, or has been guilty of any default with respect to such money or securities, the Treasury shall cause to be paid out of the growing produce of the Consolidated Fund into the Bank of England, to the credit of the Paymaster-General for the time being on behalf of the Court of Chancery, such sum of money as may be certified by the Lord Chancellor in writing to be required to pay the money so required to be paid, or to replace the securities so required to be transferred or delivered or make good such default.

VI. Where under any Act (whether passed before or after the com- Construction mencement of this Act), or otherwise, any money or securities would, of Acts, &c., referring to if this Act had not passed, be capable of being paid, transferred, or Accountantdeposited to or into or in the name of or to the account or credit of or General. with the privity of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery, or the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer, or to or into or in the Court of Chancery, the same shall after the commencement of this Act be paid, transferred, or deposited to the credit or account of or with the privity of the Paymaster-General for the time being (b) on behalf of the Court of Chancery, and shall be subject to the like trusts, orders, directions, powers, and provisions as if he were the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery or Court of Exchequer, as the case may be, and the orders of the Court of Chancery relating thereto shall have the same effect as the like orders of the Court of Chancery or Court of Exchequer would have had if this Act had not passed.

All Acts of Parliament, all rules and orders made in pursuance of any Act of Parliament, all general orders of the Court of Chancery, all orders of the Court of Chancery, and all instruments and proceedings of every description referring to the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery or Court of Exchequer, shall, subject to the provisions of this Act and of any rule made thereunder, be construed and put into execution as if the Paymaster-General for the time being were therein named or referred to in place of such Accountant-General, so however that all money and securities shall be paid, transferred, or deposited to the credit or account of the Paymaster-General for the time being on behalf of the Court of Chancery, and not into the name of the person who is such Paymaster-General.

35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, s. 6. Provided that nothing in this section shall affect the Queen's Remembrancer, or the performance by him of any duties formerly performed by the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer, or apply to any act, rule, order, instrument, or proceeding relating to such duties.

(b) No securities will be transferred to the account of the Paymaster-General on which there can be any liability (Re Stephens, 8 Ch. 465).

Framing of orders.

VII. All general orders of the Court of Chancery, and all orders of the Court of Chancery, and all instruments and proceedings relative to business of the Court of Chancery to be transacted by the Paymaster-General in pursuance of this Act (in this Act referred to as chancery business) shall, after the commencement of this Act, be framed and expressed in such manner as may be necessary for carrying the provisions of this Act with respect to the Accountant-General and Paymaster-General into effect.

Office of Paymaster-General for Chancery business. VIII. The Treasury shall cause the Paymaster-General to keep, in the neighbourhood of the place where the Court of Chancery ordinarily holds its sittings, an office for the purpose of carrying on chancery business, and for making for the purpose of chancery business payments of small amount in cash, and shall from time to time provide such clerks and officers as are necessary for conducting such business and making such payments.

Vesting of property in Paymaster-General for time being. 12 Geo. 1, c. 32, s. 7; 54 Geo. 3, c. 14.

IX. All securities and money vested in the Paymaster-General in pursuance of this Act shall vest in the Paymaster-General for the time being on behalf of the Court of Chancery without any conveyance, assignment, or transfer, notwithstanding the death or removal from office of the person who is Paymaster-General, and shall be held by him in trust to attend the orders of the Court of Chancery, and all acts done by the Paymaster-General with reference to such securities and money in pursuance of an order of the Court of Chancery shall be valid and effectual.

X. All securities from time to time transferred, standing, or depo-

Transfer of securities and receipts of dividends.

sited into, in, or to the account of the Paymaster-General in pursuance of this Act, shall be held by the Paymaster-General in trust in the several causes and matters in which such securities are transferred, standing, or deposited respectively, and shall not be transferred, sold, or delivered out except in pursuance of an order of the Court of Chancery, but the certificate of a registrar of the Court of Chancery or of a master or registrar in lunacy countersigned by the Paymaster-General shall be sufficient evidence of the order referred to in the certificate, and of the directions contained in such order, and shall be a necessary and sufficient authority to the Governor and Company of the Bank of England and every person for transferring on sale or otherwise or delivering out any securities standing in the books of or deposited with such bank or person to the credit or account of the Paymaster-General for the time being on behalf of the Court of

Chancery, and the securities directed by any such certificate to be

Certificate of registrar.

transferred or delivered out shall be transferred or delivered out 35 & 36 Vict. accordingly on behalf of the Paymaster-General by some officer of o. 44, s. 10. such bank or person.

The Governor and Company of the Bank of England shall, by one of their cashiers or some other proper officer, from time to time receive all dividends accruing due on all securities which are standing to the account of the Paymaster-General for the time being on behalf of the Court of Chancery, of which a certificate has been sent to them by the Paymaster-General, and shall also receive any principal money payable in respect of any of such securities, and the said certificate shall be a sufficient authority to them to receive such dividends and principal money; and any receipt given by the said Governor and Company, or one of their cashiers or other proper officer, for any dividends on any securities standing to the said account, or any principal money payable in respect of any such securities, shall be a good discharge for the same; and the said Governor and Company shall place all money received by them in pursuance of this section to the credit of the Paymaster-General for the time being, on behalf of the Court of Chancery (c).

(c) See the Supreme Court Funds Act, 1883, s. 7, infra.

XI. Section 19 of the Act of the session of the 16th and 17th years Indorsement of the reign of her present Majesty, c. 59, intituled, "An Act to on cheques, &c., of repeal certain stamp duties, and to grant others in lieu thereof, to Paymasteramend the laws relating to stamp duties, and to make perpetual certain General. stamp duties in Ireland" (which section relates to the endorsement of drafts or orders drawn upon bankers for the payment of money), shall extend to any document issued by the Paymaster-General in pursuance of this Act, which authorises the payment of money.

XII. The provisions of the Act of the 24th and 25th years of the Forgery of reign of her present Majesty, c. 98, intituled, "An Act to consolidate Signature of Paymasterand amend the statute law of England and Ireland relating to indict- General or able offences by forgery," which have reference to the forging or his deputy.

24 & 25 Vict. altering of any instrument made or purporting to be made by the c. 98, s. 33. Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery, shall apply to every instrument made, signed, or countersigned, or purporting to be made, signed, or countersigned, by the Paymaster-General, or any deputy, clerk, or officer of the Paymaster-General, and to the forgery and alteration of any signature or counter-signature of such Paymaster-General, deputy, clerk, or officer.

XIII. Nothing in this Act shall be deemed to require the Governor Indemnity and Company of the Bank of England to keep the account of the to Bank of England. Paymaster-General on behalf of the Court of Chancery causewise, and the Governor and Company of the Bank of England are hereby indemnified for all acts and things done or permitted to be done in pursuance of this Act, or of any rule purporting to be made thereunder, or of any order of the Court of Chancery made or purporting to be made in pur-

35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, s. 13.

suance of this Act or of any such rule, or done or permitted to be done in pursuance of any certificate signed and countersigned as directed by this Act, and such acts and things respectively shall not be questioned or impeached in any Court of law or equity to the detriment of such Governor and Company.

Establish ment of suitors' deposit account.

XIV. Save as otherwise provided by any rule made under this Act, all money in Court paid in either before or after the commencement of this Act shall, subject to the provisions of this Act and of any rule made thereunder, be placed on deposit, and in the case of money in Court paid in after the commencement of this Act without any application or request for that purpose, and when so placed on deposit shall bear interest at the rate of 2 per cent. per annum, together with any income tax chargeable thereon.

Any money which may at any time be standing to the credit of the Paymaster-General on behalf of the Court of Chancery beyond the amount which the Paymaster-General considers to be required for meeting current demands shall be placed in the hands of the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt, who shall from time to time pay to the credit of the Paymaster-General on behalf of the Court of Chancery such sum as, with the money to the like credit, may be certified by him to be required to meet current demands, and the Consolidated Fund of the United Kingdom shall be liable to make good all money so placed in the hands of the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt, and the interest payable on sums placed on deposit, in like manner as it is liable to make good money in Court.

Saving for investments made under order of Court.

XV. Any money in Court paid in either before or after the commencement of this Act which under any general order of the Court of Chancery or rule under this Act, or under an order of the Court of Chancery, is required to be laid out in any particular investment, shall, subject to any rule made under this Act, be so laid out notwithstanding anything in this Act.

[Section 16 (empowering the Court to make orders for the conversion of stock in Court and the transfer of the proceeds to the suitors' deposit account) was repealed by the Judicature Act, 1875, s. 16, post, which directs that rules may be made as to investment of money in Court in securities and conversion of Government securities into money.

[Section 17 provides for the application of money placed in the hands of the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt.

Rules and Accounts.

Treasury rules proceedings. (See 12 Geo. 1, c. 32, and 32 & 33 Vict. s. 91.)

XVIII. The Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, for regulating may from time to time make rules for carrying this Act into effect, and regulating the deposit, payment, delivery, and transfer in, into, and out of the Court of Chancery of money and securities which belong to the suitors of that Court, or are otherwise capable of being deposited in or paid or transferred into that Court, or in or into the Bank of England with the privity of the Paymaster-General, or are under the custody of the Court of Chancery, and the evidence of such deposit, 35 & 36 Vict. payment, delivery, or transfer, and the investment of and other dealing with money and securities in Court in pursuance of the orders of the Court of Chancery, and the execution of the orders of the Court of Chancery and the powers and duties of the Paymaster-General with reference to such money and securities, and in particular for doing all or any of the following things:-

- (1.) Carrying into effect the transfer of the office of the Accountant-General to the Paymaster-General:
- (2.) Regulating the mode in which the Paymaster-General is to Dealing with deal with money and securities in pursuance of the orders of the money and securities in Court of Chancery, and the mode in which effect is to be given to pursuance of an order of the Court of Chancery which is to be executed by or orders of through the office of the Paymaster-General for Chancery busi- Certificates of ness, and generally the arrangements between that office and the Paymaster-Court of Chancery and the officers thereof, and the certificates and General. information to be given by the Paymaster-General with reference to Chancery business:

(3.) Regulating the deposit, payment, sale, transfer, and delivery Payment to with, to, and by the Paymaster-General of the said money and Paymastersecurities, and the proceedings, evidence, and duties of persons in (See 12 Geo. 1, relation thereto:

c. 32, es. 3-6.)

- (4.) Determining the mode of ascertaining the value of Government securities transferred to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt, or otherwise ordered to be dealt with by the Paymaster-General:
- (5.) Regulating the placing on and withdrawal from deposit of Placing money in Court, whether paid in before or after the commence- money on ment of this Act, and the payment or crediting of interest on money placed on deposit:

- (6.) Determining the smallest amount which is to be invested in securities, unless directed to be invested notwithstanding the smallness of the amount, and determining the smallest amount which is to be placed or remain on deposit, and the smallest amount of money on deposit on which interest in pursuance of this Act is to be credited to an account to which money placed on deposit belongs:
- (7.) Determining the time at which money in Court is to be placed Computing on deposit, and at which interest on money so placed on deposit is interest. to begin and cease, and the mode of computing such interest:

- (8.) Determining the cases in which interest on money placed on deposit, and the dividends on any securities standing to the account of the Paymaster-General on behalf of the Court of Chancery, is or are to be placed on deposit:
- (9.) Dealing with accounts on which the balance of money and securities together is less than five pounds, and providing for the

35 & 36 Vict. c. 44, s. 18.

periodical publication of a list of the accounts not dealt with for a period of at least fifteen years:

- (10.) Regulating the mode of framing and expressing orders of the Court of Chancery, instruments and proceedings relative to the Chancery business of the Paymaster-General:
- (11.) Abrogating any general orders of the Court of Chancery relative to the matters aforesaid:
- (12.) Revoking and altering any rules previously made.

Every rule purporting to be made in pursuance of this section shall come into operation at the date of such rule or any later date therein in that behalf mentioned, and have effect as if it were enacted in this

[Sect. 19 authorises the making of general orders for regulating the practice of the Court of Chancery for the purpose of carrying into effect the Act and any rules made thereunder.

[By sect. 20 the Treasury are to keep accounts and have them audited.]

[Sect. 21 relates to the transfer of huildings.]

[Sects. 22—25 provide for a pension to the then Accountant-General; the status of the then existing officers of the Accountant-General; the transfer of securities to the Paymaster-General and alteration of accounts at Bank of England, &c.; and for dealing with certain slave compensation funds standing in the name of the Accountant-General.

Repealing clause.

[Sect. 26 repealed wholly the Acts specified in schedule 2, part 1, and partly those specified in schedule 2, with the usual savings as to anything done or title to land or right to pension, &c., gained under those Acts.]

SCHEDULES.

SCHEDULE ONE.

[Schedule I. enumerated certain Acts relating to Slave Compensation, referred to in sect. 25.7

SCHEDULE TWO.

PART I .- ACTS WHOLLY REPEALED.

12 Gec. 1, c. 32; 12 Gec. 1, c. 33; 32 Gec. 3, c. 42; 37 Gec. 3, c. 135; 52 Gec. 3, c. liv. (local); 54 Gec. 3, c. 14; 55 Gec. 3, c. lxiv. (local); 58 Gec. 3, c. lxxx. (local); 59 Gec. 3, c. xxvii. (local); 5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 45; 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 5; 6 & 7 Will. 4, c. 82; 4 & 5 Vict. c. 18; 9 & 10 Vict. c. 81.

PART II .- ACTS PARTLY REPEALED.

36 Geo. 3, c. 52, Legacy Duty Act (see pp. 51, 52, ante). So much of sect. 32 as requires the Accountant-General to invest the money therein mentioned in the purchase of Three per Cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities or to give any certificate.

purchase of Three per Cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities or to give any certificate. Part of 3 Geo. 4, c. 69, an Act relating to Fees.
Part of 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 73, Abolition of Slavery.
Part of 5 Vict. c. 5, Administration of Justice.
10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, an Act for hetter securing Trust Funds and for the relief of Trustees, section 3, p. 60, ante.
15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, sect. 59.
Parts of 15 & 16 Vict. c. 87, Relief of Suitors.
16 & 17 Vict. c. 98, Relief of Suitors. The whole Act, except sect. 11.
30 & 31 Vict. c. 87, The Court of Chancery (Officers) Act, 1867, sects. 11 and 12.
32 & 33 Vict. c. 91, The Courte of Justice (Salaries and Funds) Act, 1869, sects. 4 to 7. sects. 4 to 7.

CHANCERY FUNDS AMENDED ORDERS, 1874.

ORDERS OF COURT.

Under the Court of Chancery (Funds) Act, 1872, 35 & 36 Vict. Cap. 44; and THE TRUSTEE RELIEF ACT, 1847, 10 & 11 VICT. CAP. 96.

The 22nd day of December, 1874.

[Rule 1 revoked the Chancery Funds Orders, 1872, and provided that these Commence-Amended Orders should come into operation on January 11th, 1875.]

ment of orders.

- 2. In these orders, and in orders as herein defined, terms shall Interpretation have the same meaning as the same terms are defined to have in the of terms. Court of Chancery (Funds) Act, 1872, and as prescribed by the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874 (a); and the term "Court" shall mean the Court of Chancery, and include a judge thereof, whether sitting in court or at chambers; and the term "order" shall include a decree; and the term "cause or matter" shall, in these orders, include a separate account in a cause or matter, and a matter intituled merely as an account; the words importing the singular number shall include the plural number, and words importing the plural number shall include the singular number; the words importing males shall include females.
- (a) The Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874, are expressly revoked by the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, r. 1, infra, but the Amended Orders of 1874 appear to be revoked only so far as they are inconsistent with the Supreme Court Rules, and it is therefore considered necessary to retain them in the present edition. The Chancery Funds Rules, 1874, will be found in 9 Ch. xxix.

[Rule 3 abrogated certain orders in Chancery.]

4. A person who shall make a transfer or payment of money or Notice of securities into Court or a deposit of securities in Court, as provided payment, transfer, or by rule 27 of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874 (b), shall deposit on forthwith give notice thereof to the solicitors of the persons upon request. whose application the order directing such transfer, payment, or deposit was made, or to such persons if they have no solicitor; or if the order was made on the application of the person making such transfer or payment, to the solicitors of the other parties appearing on the application.

A person making a transfer, payment, or deposit upon request to the credit of a cause or matter, as provided by rule 25 (c) of the said rules, shall forthwith give notice thereof to the solicitors on the record for the parties to the cause, or in case of a matter, to the persons interested, if known, or to their solicitors, if any, stating in such notice what the money or securities comprised in such transfer, payment, or deposit represent, and for what purpose such transfer, payment, or deposit has been made; and such notices may be sent by post (cc).

⁽b) The rule here referred to is substantially reproduced by r. 36 of the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, infra.

Chancery Funds $\mathbf{Amsnded}$ Orders, 1874.

(c) The rule here referred to (which related to the bringing of funds into Court otherwise than in pursuance of an order) is substantially reproduced by r. 30 of the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, infra.

(co) On the question of giving notice under the present practice in pursuance of this rule, see Re Stening, W. N. (1884), 142, a case under the Trustee Relief Act, cited ante, p. 53.

Practice [Rules 5—10 relating to notice of payment or transfer into Court under the under Trustee Relief Act (10 & 11 Vict. c. 96), and to the application by petition or summons for payment out, will be found set out, p. 53, ante.]

Petitions to state whether duty is paid or not.

11. Every petition for dealing with money or securities in Court, chargeable with duty payable to the revenue under the Acts relating to legacy or succession duty, or the dividends on such securities, shall contain a statement whether such duty or any part thereof has or has not been paid.

[Rule 12 relating to registrars' certificates appears to be obsolete.]

[Rule 13, providing that certain applications may be made at Chambers, appears to be obsolete.]

Petitions respecting money or securities on list of undealt-with funds.

- 14. When a cause or matter has been inserted in the list mentioned in rule 91 (d) of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874, the fact shall be stated in every petition or summons affecting any money or securities to the credit of such cause or matter. In cases in which the money or securities affected by such petition shall together amount to or exceed in value 500l., a copy of such petition, and notice of all proceedings in Court or at chambers shall (unless the Court otherwise directs), be served on the official solicitor of the Court, who shall be at liberty to appear and attend thereon (e).
- (d) The rule here referred to is substantially reproduced by r. 101 of the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, infra.

(e) As to the costs of the official solicitor, see Re Clarke, 21 Ch. D. 776.

[Rule 15 is reproduced by Ord. LV. r. 2 (11), infra.]

Certain articles and securities not to be received by Clerks of Records and Writs.

- 16. The Clerks of Records and Writs (f) shall not receive into their custody effects of the suitors consisting of jewels or plate, or other articles of a like nature, or negociable securities.
 - (f) See now Ord. LX. r. 3; Ord. LXI. r. 1, infra.

17. No order in a cause shall be passed or entered, and no certificate Proceedings and docuin a cause of a chief clerk, or of a taxing master of the Court, shall ments in a be signed or filed, and no petition in a cause shall be answered, and cause to be marked with no summons in a cause shall be issued, and no affidavit made in a reference to cause shall be filed, until the same respectively be either marked with record. the reference to the record, as prescribed by the 1st of the Consolidated Orders, rule 48, or be inscribed with a note indicating that the cause was commenced prior to 2nd November, 1852, and the correctness of such reference may be required to be authenticated by the official seal of the Clerks of Records and Writs being impressed on every such document (q).

(g) See Ord. LXI. r. 19, infra.

18. The duplicate orders or records to be deposited with the clerks of entries pursuant to rule 18(h) of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874, shall annually (or oftener if the senior registrar shall Orders, 1874. direct), be bound up in volumes of convenient size, and indexed, and transmitted to the Report Office, in the same manner as written orders are now bound up, indexed, and transmitted, and written office copies deposited or extracts may be made therefrom, subject to the existing regulations of entries. relating thereto.

Chancery Funds $\mathbf{Amended}$

Duplicate orders to be

- (h) The rule here referred to is reproduced mutatis mutandis by r. 24 of the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, infra.
- 19. Solicitors shall be entitled to charge and shall be allowed the Solicitors' same fees on proceedings under these orders [and under the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules 1874] as they are, by the general orders and practice of the Court, entitled to charge and to be allowed in respect to proceedings of a similar or analogous description; and shall be entitled to charge and shall be allowed the same fees for printed copies of orders as they are now entitled to charge and to be allowed for written copies thereof (i).

(i) An order of Court of the same date as these Amended Orders gave a schedule of fees to be paid in the Report Office for printed copies of orders to be acted upon by the Chancery Paymaster, and for printed office or certified copies thereof. The Report Office is now merged in the Central Office (Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879, s. 5, 6); and the fees for copies are those provided by the Order as to Court Fees, 1884, infra.

JUDICATURE (FUNDS, &c.) ACT, 1883.

c. 29.

46 & 47 VICT. CAP. 29.

An Act to consolidate the Accounting Departments of the Supreme Court of Judicature, and for other purposes.

[20th August, 1883.]

WHEREAS it is expedient that there should be but one accounting department for the Supreme Court of Judicature and all the Courts and divisions thereof, and it is further expedient to amend certain provisions of the Chancery Funds Act, 1872, and to provide for facili- 35 & 36 Vict. tating the business of the said department:

c. 44, s. 10.

46 & 47 Vict.

Be it enacted, &c., as follows:

- 1. From and after the commencement of this Act there shall be Pay office of one accounting department for the Supreme Court of Judicature.
- 2. All securities and money at the time of the commencement of Funds in this Act vested in the Paymaster-General in pursuance of the Chancery Chancery Funds Act, 1872 (a), and all securities and money at any time after the Division. commencement of this Act transferred or paid into or deposited in

the Supreme Court.

c. 29, s. 2.

46 & 47 Vict. Court, to the credit of any cause, matter, or account, in the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, shall be vested in her Majesty's Paymaster-General for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature, and shall continue to be and be subject to all the provisions of the Chancery Funds Act, 1872, and to the rules heretofore made and now in force under that Act, subject to such alterations therein and to such other and further rules as shall from time to time be made as thereby provided.

35 & 36 Vict. o 44, s. 10.

(a) See this Act, ante, p. 203.

[Sections 3 and 4 relate to funds in other divisions.]

Validity of payments, &c. pursuant to Rules of Court.

5. All acts done by the Paymaster-General with reference to money and securities in Court (whether such money and securities be paid, transferred, or delivered into Court under this Act or under the provisions of the Chancery Funds Act, 1872), pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of any general rules of the Supreme Court of Judicature made under the provisions of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, and Acts amending the same, shall be as valid and effectual as if they had been done in pursuance of an order of the High Court of Justice or of the Court of Appeal.

38 & 39 Vict. c. 77.

Remittances by post.

6. If under any rules made by the Lord Chancellor with the concurrence of the Treasury, or any regulations of the Treasury, the Paymaster-General be authorized to make payments of money to persons entitled thereto upon their request by transmitting by post to such persons crossed cheques or other documents intended to enable such persons to obtain payment of the sums expressed therein, the posting of a letter containing such cheque or document, and addressed to any such person entitled thereto at the address given by him in his request, shall, as respects the liability of the Paymaster-General and of the Consolidated Fund respectively, be equivalent to the delivery of such cheque or document to such person himself.

Amendment of 35 & 36 Vict. v. 44, s: 10.

7. Any rules made by the Lord Chancellor with the concurrence of the Treasury under the provisions of the Chancery Funds Act, 1872, or this Act, may determine what evidence of an order of the High Court of Justice or Court of Appeal, and of the directions contained in such order, shall be necessary or sufficient, or necessary and sufficient to authorise the Governor and Company of the Bank of England or any other person to transfer on sale or otherwise, or to deliver out, any securities or other things standing in the books of or deposited with such bank or person to the credit or account of the said Paymaster-General for the time being under this or the aforesaid Act; and such securities or things shall be transferred or delivered out accordingly, on behalf of the Paymaster-General, by some officer of such bank or person, anything in sect. 10 of the Chancery Funds Act, 1872, to the contrary thereof notwithstanding.

Short title.

8. This Act may be cited as the Supreme Court of Judicature (Funds, &c.) Act, 1883.

SUPREME COURT FUNDS RULES, 1884.

I, the Right Honourable Roundell, Earl of Selborne, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, with the concurrence of the Lords Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury, do hereby, in pursuance of the powers contained in "The Court of Chancery Funds Act, 1872," "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875," "The Supreme Court of Judicature (Funds, &c.) Act, 1883," and of every other power enabling me in that behalf, make the following rules:-

I. OPERATION OF RULES AND INTERPRETATION OF TERMS.

1. These rules shall come into operation on the 1st day of March, Commence-1884, and may be cited as "The Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884." ment of rules and

2. The Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874,* are hereby re-short title. voked as from the day on which these rules come into operation; and Repeal of all other rules or general orders prescribing the mode of dealing with rules. funds in Court, and containing any provisions relating to funds in * For these Court inconsistent with these rules, are hereby revoked, and these rules, see rules substituted therefor, as from the same day:-Provided, that the rules hereby revoked shall continue to apply to orders made but not fully acted upon before these rules come into operation, so far as is indispensable for the purpose of duly giving effect to such orders: but a certificate of a registrar as an authority for a sale or transfer of securities shall not in such cases be required.

3. In these rules and in orders as herein prescribed and defined, Interpretation terms shall have the same meaning as the same terms are defined to have in the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, and the following words shall have the several meanings hereby assigned to them, viz. :-

- "Paymaster" means her Majesty's Paymaster-General for the time being for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature, or
- behalf for such business: "Pay Office" means the Paymaster-General's Office for business of the Supreme Court of Judicature:

the Assistant Paymaster-General for Supreme Court business for the time being deputed by the Paymaster-General to act on his

- "Pay Office Account" means the account of the Paymaster-General for the time being for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature:
- "Audit Office" means the branch of the Department of the Comptroller and Auditor General, in which the audit of the accounts of the Pay Office is conducted:
- "Bank" means the Bank of England, or the Governor and Company of the Bank of England:
- "Company" includes corporation or body corporate:
- "Government securities" means Consolidated 31. per centum Annuities, or Reduced 3l. per centum Annuities, or New 3l. per centum Annuities, or 2½l. per centum Annuities:

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

- "Funds," or "funds in Court," means any money, Government stock or annuities, or other securities, or any part thereof standing or to be placed to the Pay Office Account in the books of the Bank of England or of any other company:
- "Lodge in Court" means pay or transfer into Court, or deposit in Court.
- "Lodgment in Court" means payment or transfer into Court, or deposit in Court:
 - 'Title of the cause or matter' means the short title of the cause or matter, with the reference to the record:
 - 'Ledger credit' means the title of the cause or matter and the separate account (if any) opened, or to be opened, under an order or otherwise, in the books of the paymaster, to which any funds are credited or to be credited:
- "Order" means an Order of the Supreme Court of Judicature or of the High Court of Justice or Court of Appeal, whether made in Court or in chambers, and an Order in Lunacy, and includes a judgment or decree, and a report of a master in lunacy, confirmed by fiat, and thereby receiving the operation of an order under the Lunacy Regulation Acts for the time being in force; and a certificate of a master in lunacy to be acted on without further order; and includes the schedule or schedules to an order:
- "Direction" means any cheque, draft, or authority issued to the Bank of England, or to any other company, which relates to money or securities standing or to be placed to the Pay Office Account:
- "Court" means the Supreme Court of Judicature or the High Court of Justice, or any division thereof, or the Court of Appeal:
- "Registrar" means a registrar of the Chancery or of the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Divisions of the High Court of Justice; and includes the officer whose duty it may be under the General Orders in Lunacy for the time-being in force to draw up and issue Orders in Lunacy:
- "Chief clerk's certificate" or "certificate of a chief clerk" means a certificate made by a chief clerk of the Chancery Division of the Court:
- "Taxing officer" (a) means a taxing master in the Chancery Division of the Court, and the master or person whose duty it is to tax the costs in the other divisions or in lunacy:
- "National Debt Commissioners" means the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt:
- In causes and matters proceeding in a district registry, master, chief clerk, and taxing officer means district registrar:
- Words importing the singular number only include the plural number, and words importing the plural number only include the singular number:

Words importing males include females.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

- (a) The term "taxing officer" includes "district registrar," where the Court has directed taxation to be made by that officer, and the paymaster must act on his certificate of taxation accordingly. The costs of an action in a district registry however will almost invariably be taxed in London (Wilson v. Alltree, 27 Ch. D. 242; Day v. Whittaker, 6 Ch. D. 734).
- II. Preparation of Orders in the Chancery Division and in Lunacy TO BE ACTED ON BY THE PAYMASTER, AND PARTICULARS RELATING THERETO.
- 4. The rules next following, numbered severally 5 to 27 inclusive, Application shall apply only to causes and matters in the Chancery Division, and 27 inclusive. (so far as the same are applicable) to matters in lunacy.
- 5. Every order which directs funds to be lodged in Court, shall have Order for annexed thereto as part thereof a schedule, to be styled the Lodgment brought into Schedule, which shall be headed with the title of the cause or matter, Court to have the date of the order, and the title of the ledger credit to which the schedule. funds are to be placed; and shall set out in a tabular form:-
 - (a) The name, or a sufficiently identifying description of the person by whom the funds are to be lodged:
 - (b) The amount of money and the description and amount of securities, if ascertained.

The lodgment schedule shall be prepared upon a printed form according to the Form No. 1 in the Appendix to these rules, and as nearly as may be in the manner shown by the specimen entries appended to such form; and may direct the investment and accumulation of the funds or the dividends or interest on the funds to be lodged.

- 6. Every order which directs funds in Court to be paid, sold, trans-Order for ferred, or delivered to any person, or carried over to any other ledger paid out, &c. credit than that to which the same are standing, or to be otherwise to have a dealt with by the paymaster, shall have annexed thereto as part schedule. thereof a schedule, to be styled the payment schedule, which shall be headed with the title of the cause or matter, the date of the order, and the ledger credit to which the funds dealt with are standing. The payment schedule shall contain as part of the heading a statement of the funds with which, or with part of which, or with the interest or dividends on which the paymaster is to deal, describing them if already in Court as they appear in the paymaster's certificate, or if not already in Court stating the source from which they are to be derived. The payment schedule shall set out in a tabular form :-
 - (a) The name of each person to whom a payment, transfer, or delivery of any funds is to be made (the name to be in full and the christian name to precede the surname): unless the name is to be stated in a certificate of a chief clerk or a master in lunacy or a taxing officer, or unless such payment, transfer, or delivery is to be made to trustees or other persons in succession, or to

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. representatives when no probate or letters of administration shall have been taken out at the date of the order:

- (b) The title of the ledger credit or separate account to which any funds are to be carried over:
- (c) The amount of money and the description and amount of the securities in each case to be paid, sold, transferred, or delivered, so far as the same can be then stated; and where the actual amounts to be dealt with cannot be ascertained at the date of the order, and are not to be subsequently ascertained by any means provided for by the order or by these rules, the aliquot parts to be dealt with:
- (d) The nature and necessary particulars of any other dealings with such funds by the paymaster.

In the body of the schedule short descriptions may be used, and it shall not be necessary to add that the specific amounts dealt with form part of the larger amount of any like funds mentioned in the heading. The word "interest" in the schedule shall, unless otherwise specified, mean the dividends and interest on all the funds mentioned in the heading.

The payment schedule shall be prepared upon a printed form according to the Form No. 2 in the Appendix to these rules, and as nearly as may be in the manner shown by the specimen entries appended to such form.

- 7. When funds in Court are by an order directed to be carried over to a separate account, the title of the ledger credit to be opened for the purpose shall, unless the order otherwise directs, commence with the title of the cause or matter to which such funds are standing.
- 8. Every order which both directs or authorises the lodgment of funds in Court and also deals with such funds or any part thereof, or with any funds already in Court to the same ledger credit, shall have annexed thereto as part thereof a combined lodgment and payment schedule, in the Form No. 3 in the Appendix to these rules.
- 9. When funds to be lodged in Court under an order are by the same order directed to be placed to two or more ledger credits, separate lodgment schedules shall be made out for such respective ledger credits; and when funds standing to two or more ledger credits are dealt with by the same order, separate payment schedules shall be made out for such ledger credits respectively.
- 10. The lodgment and payment schedules, respectively, shall contain the whole of the instructions intended by the orders of which they severally form part to be acted upon by the paymaster, and all particulars necessary to be known by him, so far as such instructions and particulars are capable of being expressed at the date of the order, and the paymaster shall only be responsible for giving effect to such instructions so intended to be given by the order as are expressed in the lodgment or payment schedule thereto. The instructions and particulars contained in a lodgment or payment schedule shall not be set

When a separate account is opened.

When both a lodgment and payment schedule to be annexed.

Separate schedule for each ledger credit.

Instructions to paymaster to be solely contained in schedules, forth in the body of the order, but shall only be therein referred to as appearing by the schedule, unless for any special cause it shall, in the opinion of the judge by whom the order is made, or the registrar by whom the same is drawn up, be necessary to set forth some part of such instructions or particulars, both in the body of the order and in the schedule.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

11. When an order directs any sums to be ascertained by the certi- Schedule to ficate of a chief clerk or taxing officer (b), or in any other manner, and sums are to to be afterwards dealt with by the paymaster, it shall be so expressed be ascertained in the payment schedule; and the paymaster shall deal with the amount when ascertained on receipt of the necessary certificate, or other authority, which shall be retained by him.

by certificate,

- (b) See Wilson v. Alltree, 27 Ch. D. 242, cited in note (a) to r. 3.
- 12. When an order directs payment out of a fund in Court of any Certificate costs directed to be taxed by a taxing officer (c), the taxing officer shall for payment of taxed costs. state in his certificate the name of the solicitor to whom such costs are payable, and the paymaster shall, upon production of such certificate, issue a direction for payment of the same to such solicitor.

- (c) See Wilson v. Alltree, 27 Ch. D. 242, cited in note (a) to r. 3.
- 13. When interest not directed to be certified is payable in respect Interest how of any money in Court directed by an order to be dealt with by the paymaster, there shall be stated in the payment schedule the rate per centum at which, and (if the day to which interest is payable can be fixed by the order) the day (inclusive) to which such interest is to be computed, and the amount of such interest (d).

ascertained.

- (d) This and the four following rules are taken with only slight alteration from r. 10 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 14. If the day to which interest is payable cannot be fixed by the When the order, the day from which (exclusive) such interest is to be computed day to which interest is shall (except in the case of a computation of subsequent interest in the payable certificate of a chief clerk, or a master in lunacy) be stated in the pay- cannot be ment schedule, and such interest may be directed to be computed and certified by a chief clerk, or a master in lunacy, or (where the computation is dependent upon the taxation of costs) by a taxing officer (e).

- (e) See note (d) to r. 13.
- 15. Interest certified by a chief clerk, or a master in lunacy, or a When interest taxing officer, may, unless the order otherwise directs, be computed chief clerk, to a day subsequent to the date of the certificate and to be named &c. therein as the day for payment, so as to allow a reasonable time for doing all necessary acts to enable the payment to be made; and the chief clerk, or master in lunacy, or taxing officer, may, if he thinks fit, require a statement in writing of such computation, authenticated by the signature of the solicitor of the person having

Supreme Court Funds Rulcs, 1884. the carriage of the order, to be produced before preparing the certificate, but no affidavit verifying such computation shall be required (f).

(f) See note (d) to r. 13.

When interest to be ascertained by affidavit.

- 16. When the day for payment is not fixed by the order, and the interest is not directed to be certified as in the last preceding rule mentioned, such interest shall, without any provision in the order for that purpose, be ascertained by an affidavit, or by a statutory declaration under the Act 5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 62, in which case such interest shall be computed to a day (inclusive) to be named in such affidavit or declaration, as the day for payment; which day shall not be more than fourteen days after the day of swearing such affidavit, or making such declaration; and such affidavit or declaration shall be a sufficient authority to the paymaster to pay or apply the amount of interest so ascertained in the manner directed by such order (g).
 - (g) See note (d) to r. 13.

Deduction of income tax from interest.

- 17. In every case in which interest is to be computed, income tax (if any) shall, in making such computation, be deducted therefrom at the rate payable during the time such interest accrues, unless the order otherwise directs; and if income tax has been deducted, it shall be so stated in every such affidavit or declaration as is mentioned in the last preceding rule (h).
 - (h) See note (d) to r. 13.

Documents on which any dealings by the paymaster are made contingent to be described.

18. Whenever the dealing by the paymaster with funds in Court is, by an order, made contingent upon the execution of some document, the document shall be described (i), and the parties thereto by whom it is to be executed shall be named in the payment schedule, or in a certificate of a master in lunacy or of a chief clerk. The execution of such document shall be certified by a master in lunacy, or by a chief clerk, or may be verified by affidavit, if the order by which such execution is required shall so direct (k).

(i) See Re Clough, 32 L. T. 195.

(k) This rule is taken from r. 11 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Periodical payments.

- 19. When an order directs the payment of dividends, annuities, or other periodical payments, to be made by the paymaster, there shall be stated in the payment schedule (except in the case of dividends directed to be paid as they accrue due), the time when the first of such payments and all subsequent periodical payments, whether quarterly, half-yearly, yearly, or otherwise, are to be made (l).
 - (1) This rule is taken from r. 13 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Legacy and succession duty. 20. When an order directs the payment, transfer, or delivery of funds in Court, in respect of which duty shall be payable to the revenue under the Acts relating to legacy or succession duty, and does

not direct the payment of such duty, it shall be stated in the payment schedule that such payment, transfer, or delivery is subject to duty, and in such case the paymaster is to have regard to the circumstance that such duty is payable; and when by an order funds in respect of which such duty may be chargeable are directed to be invested, carried over, or placed to a separate account, the words "subject to duty" shall be added in the schedule to the separate account directed to be opened (m).

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

- (m) This rule is taken from r. 14 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 21. When a person to whom payment, transfer, or delivery of funds Payment, in Court is directed is entitled thereto as real estate, or as trustee, transfer, or delivery to executor, or administrator, or otherwise than in his own right or for trustees, &c. his own use, the fact that he is entitled to the same as real estate, or the character in which he is so entitled, shall be stated in the payment schedule to the order, or in the certificate of a chief clerk, or of a taxing officer, or of a master in lunacy (n).

- (n) This rule is taken from the first part of r. 53 of the Chancery Funds Rules,
- 22. When an order is made dealing in any way with funds in Court Draft scheor to be brought into Court in accordance with minutes agreed upon dule to be prepared by by the parties, the solicitor of the party whose duty it is to procure party having the order to be drawn up and entered shall prepare and lodge with proceedings. the registrar or other proper officer, for his consideration, draft lodgment and payment schedules, as the case may be, in the same form as the lodgment and payment schedules to an order, and containing the particulars so far as the same have been ascertained, which are required by these rules to be contained in the lodgment and payment schedules of the order.

23. Every order which is to be acted upon by the paymaster shall Orders how be drawn up and entered by the registrar, and shall either be wholly drawn up and entered. printed, or, in cases in which printed forms can be used, may be partly in print and partly in writing (o).

- (o) This rule is taken from the first part of r. 15 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 24. The registrar shall cause a duplicate of every printed or partly Authenticaprinted order and a further copy of the schedules thereto to be made tion and record of at the same time with the original; and the original order shall be orders, and passed by the registrar in the usual way, and together with the copy of schedules for further copy of the schedules thereto be stamped with his official seal paymaster. on every leaf thereof, and transmitted by him to the clerks of entries with the duplicate. The duplicate order shall be retained and filed by the clerks of entries as the record, and the original order and further copy of the schedules, when examined and stamped by them and marked with a reference thereon to the duplicate or record so filed, shall be returned to the registrar to be delivered out to the solicitor

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

having the carriage of the order, whose duty it shall be forthwith to leave such further copy of the schedules at the pay office.

schedules.

25. The copy of the schedules to an order left with the paymaster caymaster to act on copy of pursuant to the last preceding rule shall be the paymaster's authority for giving effect to the several operations directed therein. No part of the order other than the schedules thereto shall be left with the paymaster.

Additional copies of printed orders.

26. The registrar may cause to be made or printed additional copies of orders or schedules according to the requirements of the parties or their solicitors, and when such orders have been passed and entered, such additional copies shall be transmitted to the Central Office, and upon being duly completed and signed or certified by the proper officer, may be issued as office or certified copies.

Amendment of accidental errors in printed orders.

27. Clerical mistakes or errors, or accidental omissions in printed orders may be amended in writing; and every such amendment shall be stamped by the clerks of entries or other proper officer, with the official seal, as evidence that the duplicate or record has been also amended: Provided that no amendment shall be made in any order to provide for a new state of circumstances arising after the date of the order; nor shall any order be amended for the purpose of extending the time thereby limited for making any lodgment of funds in Court.

When any such amendment is made in a schedule to an order, the copy of such schedule to be left at the pay office under rule 24 (if not already so left) shall be amended and stamped in the manner above provided. If such copy has prior to the amendment been left at the pay office, a notification of the amendment, signed by a registrar, shall be delivered to the solicitor having the carriage of the order, who shall leave such notification at the pay office, and produce therewith the amended original order; and the paymaster shall note such amendment on his copy of the schedule and act in accordance therewith (p).

(p) The first part of this rule is taken from rule 16 of the Chancery Funds Rules,

[Rule 28 relates only to the Queen's Bench and Probate Divisions.]

IV. LODGMENT OF FUNDS IN COURT.

All funds lodged in Court to be placed to the account of the paymaster.

29. All money and securities to be paid into or deposited in Court shall be paid or deposited at the Bank of England (Law Courts Branch) and placed in the books of the bank to the account of the Paymaster-General for the time being, for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature; and the bank shall cause a receipt to be given to the person making the payment or deposit.

All securities to be transferred into Court shall be transferred to the said account in the books of the bank, or other company in whose books such securities are registered.

Manner of lodgment of funds in Chancery

30. In the Chancery Division a lodgment of funds in Court not directed by an order may be made upon a direction to the bank or other company, to be issued by the paymaster on a request signed by or on behalf of the person desiring to make such lodgment: Provided that no such lodgment shall be placed in the pay office books to a separate account in a cause or matter (except to a security for costs account) unless an order has directed such separate account to be opened.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

Division; and particulars to be stated in request.

A direction for a lodgment directed by an order shall be issued by the paymaster upon receipt of a copy of the lodgment schedule; and a direction for a lodgment under the Trustee Relief Act shall be issued by him, as provided by rule 41, upon receipt of an office copy of the schedule mentioned in that rule.

The request for a direction under this rule shall state the name of the person by or on whose behalf the funds are to be lodged, the ledger credit in the pay office books to which the funds are to be placed, and the date of the authority or certificate (if any) in pursuance of which the funds are to be lodged.

In cases of funds to be lodged in pursuance of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, or of the Copyhold Acts, the further particulars required under rules 39 and 40 shall be stated in the request. And when (otherwise than as hereinbefore provided) funds are lodged in Court in pursuance of an Act of Parliament, under which some specific authority is necessary for such lodgment, the request for a direction for lodgment shall contain a reference to such Act and authority, and the requisite authority shall be left at the pay office.

Except in the cases next mentioned, the requests under this rule shall be in the Forms No. 5 (for money) and No. 6 (for securities), in the Appendix to these Rules.

When money is to be lodged (in any action brought to recover a Lodgment in debt or damages) under the provisions of Order XXII. or of Rule 26 detions for of Order XXXI. of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, the request debt or shall be in the Form No. 7 in the Appendix to these Rules, and shall damages. contain a statement of the circumstances under which the money is to be lodged, in such of the following terms as may be applicable to the case, viz.:-

- (A.) When the money is to be lodged under the provisions of Rule 5 of the said Order XXII., a statement in the following terms: "Paid in in satisfaction of claim of above-named \[\int name of \] party]."
- (B.) When the money is to be lodged under the provisions of Rule 6 of Order XXII., a statement in the following terms:--"Paid in against claim of above-named [name of party], with defence denying liability."
- (C.) When the money is to be lodged under the provisions of Rule 26 of Order XXXI., a statement in the following terms:-"Paid in to Security for Costs Account."
- 31. When it is desired to bring money into Court in the Chancery Conditional Division without waiting the time necessary to obtain a direction for lodgment of money at the the bank to receive such money, it may be lodged at the bank to the bank in

urgent cases.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

credit of a Supreme Court Suspense Account (subject to being dealt with as hereinafter mentioned, and not otherwise), upon an application signed by the person desiring to lodge the same, or his solicitor, and addressed to the bank, specifying the amount, and the title of the ledger credit to which it is desired to be lodged, and upon such lodgment being made one of the cashiers of the bank shall give a certificate that the amount has been lodged to the credit of the said Suspense Account; and in every case the person making such lodgment, or his solicitor, shall forthwith request the direction of the paymaster for the bank to receive the money in the manner provided by the last preceding rule, and shall leave such direction at the bank for the purpose of having the money so previously lodged transferred to the Pay Office Account, and placed in the books of the Pay Office to the ledger credit mentioned in such direction (q).

(q) This rule is taken from rule 31 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

[Rule 32 relates to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Lodgments under Ords. XXII. and XXXI. to be distinguished in pay office books.

33. In every case of a lodgment in the Chancery and Queen's Bench Divisions under the provisions of the said Orders XXII. and XXXI., as provided in the preceding Rules 30 and 32, the paymaster shall cause an entry to be made in his books indicating the circumstances under which the money is stated to be lodged.

[Rule 34 relates to the Probate, &c. Division.]

Request and be sent by post.

35. A request or authority for the issue by the paymaster of a directions may direction for the lodgment of funds in Court may be sent to the paymaster by post, and, if so desired by the person sending the same, the paymaster shall send such direction by post to the address specified by such person.

Persons may bring funds into Court in Chancery Division, though time limited by order has expired.

- 36. A person directed by an order in the Chancery Division to make a lodgment in Court shall be at liberty to make the same without further order, notwithstanding the order may not have been served, or the time thereby limited for making such lodgment may have expired; and if any further sum of money has by reason of such default become payable by such person for interest, or in respect of dividends, he shall be at liberty to lodge in Court such further sum upon a request as hereinbefore provided: Provided, that any such subsequent lodgment shall not affect or prejudice any liability, process, or other consequences which such person may have become subject to by reason of his default in making the same within the time so limited (r).
- (r) This rule is substantially identical with rule 27 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874. See rule 4 of the Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874, ante, p. 211.

Upon receipt or transfer of funds, direction to be returned to paymaster

37. When funds have been received by the bank, and when securities have been transferred in the books of the bank or any other company to the Pay Office Account in accordance with a direction, the bank or other company shall forthwith send such direction to the paymaster,

with a certificate thereon that the funds specified have been received or transferred as therein authorized.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

Certificate of lodgment to

38. In the Chancery and Queen's Bench Divisions, when any direction or other authority for the lodgment of funds in Court is returned to the Pay Office, with a certificate thereon that the funds therein be filed. mentioned have been lodged, the paymaster shall file at the Central Office a certificate of such lodgment, and shall therein state the ledger credit to which such funds have been placed in the books at the Pay Office; and an office copy of such certificate of the paymaster shall be received as evidence of the lodgment (s).

- (s) This is taken from rule 30 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 39. Money lodged in Court in the Chancery Division pursuant to When money the 69th section of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, in respect is lodged of lands in England or Wales, shall be placed in the books at the 8 Vict. c. 18, Pay Office to the credit of ex parte the promoters of the undertaking, 8. 69, disin the matter of the special Act (citing it), and some words shall be be stated. added in each case briefly expressive of the nature of the disability to sell and convey, by reason of which the money shall be so paid in, which particulars shall be stated in the request for the direction to receive the money (t).

- (t) This rule is almost identical with rule 32 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 40. Money lodged in Court in the Chancery Division pursuant to Money lodged the Copyhold Acts shall be placed in the books at the Pay Office to under the Copyhold the credit of "Ex parte the Land Commissioners for England," and Acts to be of the particular manor in respect of which the money shall be so paid described. in; and in the request for a direction to receive such money the name and locality of such particular manor shall be stated (u).

- (u) This is taken from rule 33 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 41. When a trustee or other person desires to lodge funds in Court Lodgments in the Chancery Division under the Act 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, he shall Trustee annex to the affidavit to be filed by him pursuant to the said Act a Relief Act. schedule in the same printed form as the lodgment schedule to an order, setting forth:-

- (a.) His own name and address:
- (b.) The amount of money and description and amount of securities proposed to be lodged in Court:
- (c.) The ledger credit to be opened in the Pay Office books, in the matter of the particular trust, to which the funds are to be
- (d.) A statement whether legacy or succession duty (if chargeable) or any part thereof has or has not been paid:
- (e.) A statement whether the money or the dividends on the securities so to be lodged in Court, and all accumulations of dividends thereon, are desired to be invested in any and what description

of Government securities, or whether it is deemed unnecessary so to invest the same.

The paymaster, on receipt of an office copy of such schedule (which is to be retained by him), shall issue the necessary direction for giving effect to such lodgment (v).

(v) This rule is taken from rule 34 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874, 9 Ch. xliii; but whereas that rule required the trustee to file an affdavit, setting forth certain things, this one requires him to annex to his affdavit a schedule, setting forth certain things; and the things to be set forth are not quite the same. As to the notice to be given of payment in (as required by rule 5 of the Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874), see Re Stening, W. N. (1884), 142, cited ante, p. 53. The affidavit under the present practice should contain in the body of it a short description of the instrument creating the trust (Trustee Relief Act, s. 1), and the names and addresses of the persons entitled (Re Stening); and the schedule to be appended should contain the particulars mentioned in this rule.

Credit to which proceeds of securities and dividends are to be placed.

- 42. Any principal money or dividends received by the bank in respect of securities standing to the Pay Office account shall be placed in the books at the Pay Office, in the case of principal money to the ledger credit to which the securities whereon such money arose were standing at the time of the receipt thereof, and in the case of dividends to the ledger credit to which the securities whereon such dividends accrued were standing at the time of the closing of the transfer books of such securities previously to the dividends becoming due (w).
 - (w) This is taken from rule 35 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

[Rule 43 relates to the appropriation in the Queen's Bench Division of money lodged under Ord. XIV.]

VI. PAYMENT, DELIVERY, AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS OUT OF COURT, AND OTHER DEALINGS WITH FUNDS.

Payment out of Court of money lodged in actions for debts and damages.

- 44. In the Chancery and Queen's Bench Divisions, when money has been lodged in actions for debts and damages under Orders XXII. and XXXI. of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883 (as described in rules 30 and 32 of these Rules), and when and so far as money lodged under Order XIV. of the said Rules of the Supreme Court has been appropriated in the manner provided in the last preceding rule, payment of the money shall be made to the person in satisfaction of whose claim it has been lodged, or to the person otherwise entitled thereto, or, on the written authority of either such person respectively, to his solicitor, as under:—unless an order restraining such payment has been lodged at the Pay Office prior to the issue of the paymaster's direction for payment.
 - (A.) When the money has been lodged or appropriated in satisfaction of a claim, under rules 30 (A.) and 32 (A.) of these Rules, or the last preceding rule, a direction for payment shall be issued by the paymaster upon a request in the Form No. 11 (A.) in the Appendix to these Rules.
 - (B.) When the money has been lodged or appropriated against a claim, with a defence denying liability, under rules 30 (B.) and

32 (B.) of these Rules, or the last preceding rule, a direction for payment shall be issued by the paymaster upon receipt of a notification that the plaintiff accepts the sum lodged in satisfaction, and that due notice has been given of such acceptance, and upon a request for payment of the same; such notification and request to be in the form No. 11 (B.) in the Appendix to these Rules.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

(C.) When the money has been lodged to a Security for Costs Account under rules 30 (C.) and 32 (C.) of these Rules, a direction for payment shall be issued by the paymaster upon receipt of a certificate of a taxing officer, master or chief clerk (as the case may be), as to the person who is entitled to have paid out to him the money so lodged (x).

When a request is made for payment of money lodged on a notice or pleading, the original receipted notice or pleading must be produced at the Pay Office.

Except as in this rule is provided, the money so lodged or appropriated as mentioned herein, shall only be paid out in pursuance of an order.

- (x) This paragraph (C.) was substituted for that originally contained in the rule by the Supreme Court Funds Rules (October), 1884, to take effect from and after October 24th, 1884 (W. N. (1884), Pt. II. p. 495).
- 45. Except as provided in the last preceding rule, and subject to the In other cases provisions contained in rules 55, 56, 57, 70, 73, and 74, funds in Court dealt with shall not be paid, delivered, or transferred out of Court, nor invested, only in pursold, or carried over unless in pursuance of an order, or in the case of suance of an order. an investment of money or application of dividends unless in pursuance of an authority contained in a certificate of a Master in Lunacy (y).

- (y) This rule is taken from rule 36 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874. A proepective order for payment out of sums hereafter to be paid in was made in Re Chamberlain, 22 Beav. 286; Lambie v. Lambie, 9 Ha. App. 84; but see Re Bowes, 12 W. R. 569. For examples of prospective orders for sale of funds in Court, and payment to persons who would become entitled in the vacation, see Fielden v. Hornby, W. N. (1870), 213. As to transfer from the English to the Irish Chancery, see Vaughan v. Marquis of Headfort, 5 Eq. 173.
- 46. A duly-authenticated copy of every payment schedule in the A copy of Chancery Division and in Lunacy, and of every order in the Queen's every payment schedule Bench and Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Divisions which directs or order dealfunds to be dealt with, shall be left at the Pay Office, and shall be funds in the paymaster's authority for the issue of directions giving effect to Court to be such orders.

In the Chancery Division it shall be the duty of the solicitor having the carriage of the order forthwith to leave such copy (as provided in rule 24). In the Queen's Bench and Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Divisions such copy shall be left by or on behalf of the person entitled to payment or interested in any other dealings with such funds directed or authorized by the order.

left at the pay office.

Paymaster to prepare directions giving effect to orders upon receipt of the necessary authority and information,

be

47. The directions of the paymaster for the payment of money under these rules, and for the delivery of securities out of Court in pursuance of an order shall be prepared by the paymaster forthwith, or from time to time, upon receipt of a copy of the order and any further necessary authority or information; and except as provided in the next following rule such directions shall be delivered upon the personal application of the persons entitled thereto.

Investments of money, transfers of securities out of Court, and carrying over of funds, in pursuance of an order, shall be made by the paymaster upon receipt of the necessary authority and information.

Sales of securities in pursuance of an order, of which a copy has been left at the pay office, shall be made by the paymaster upon application by or on behalf of the persons interested therein, and such application may be sent by post.

Payments may be made by post.

48. Any person residing within the United Kingdom entitled under an order to any dividend, annuity, or other periodical payment, and any person so resident entitled to any other payment not exceeding ,500l., may obtain a remittance of the same by post, by sending to the paymaster a request in the Form No. 12 in the Appendix to these Rules, attested by two witnesses, of whom one shall be a justice of the peace, a commissioner to administer oaths, or a clerk in holy orders, or notary public. Upon receipt of such request (and, when necessary, of evidence of the fulfilment of any conditions of payment, as referred to in Rule 95), the paymaster shall send by post to the address specified in the request a direction or other document by which payment may be obtained; and such direction or other document shall be crossed, so as to be payable only through a bank: Provided that the paymaster may refuse to comply with any such request if he see reason for so doing, and provided also that the said transmission of such crossed direction or other document shall be at the sole risk of the person sending the said request. The proper attestation of the said request pursuant to this rule shall be sufficient evidence to the paymaster that the person making the request is the person named in the order referred to in such request.

Paymaster's directions to be sufficient authority to the Bank or other company.

49. The directions of the paymaster issued under these rules (signed and countersigned by such officers as may be prescribed or approved by the Treasury, under Rule 107) shall be sufficient authority to the bank for the payment of the money specified in any such directions, and shall be the necessary and sufficient evidence of an order of the Court to authorize the bank or other company to transfer, on sale or otherwise, or to deliver, any securities standing to the pay office account which may be specified in any such directions.

Discharge to paymaster.

- 50. A direction or other document by which payment of money is effected, when indorsed or signed by the payee or his lawful attorney, shall be a good discharge to the paymaster for the amount therein expressed (z).
 - (z) A similar provision was contained in rule 38 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

[Rule 51 applies to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Supreme Court Funds

52. When money in Court or any sum payable thereout is by an Rules, 1884. order directed to be paid to any public officer or department or to the official liquidator of any company, or any other official persons for Payments to whom an account is kept at the bank, payment thereof shall, on a official perrequisition to that effect, be made by a direction to the bank to transfer made by the amount of such payment to the account at the bank of such public transfer. officer or official person accordingly. When any duty is directed to be paid out of funds in Court, such duty shall, without any words in the order to that effect, be assessed, and on a requisition made by or on behalf of the Commissioners of Inland Revenue be transferred to the proper account at the bank (a).

- (a) The first part of this rule is taken from rule 41 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 53. When money in Court is invested by purchase, the payment for Payments for such investment, which, unless otherwise ordered, shall include brokerpurchased; age, shall be made conditionally upon the transfer or deposit to the pay and transfers office account of the securities purchased. And when securities in of securities sold. Court are sold, the transfer or delivery of such securities shall not be made until the money proceeds of such sale, after deduction, unless otherwise ordered, of brokerage, shall have been paid to the pay office account.

54. Upon an investment of money in Court or the sale of securities Accounts to in Court, the securities purchased by such investment or the money which invest-ments, sales, realised by such sale, respectively, shall in every case be placed to the &c. are to be ledger credit to which the money invested or the securities sold pre-credited. viously stood, unless, in the case of an investment, otherwise specially ordered.

55. When securities in Court are directed to be transferred, delivered Application out, or carried over, dividends accruing thereon subsequently to the of dividends date of the order directing the transfer, delivery, or carrying over (when securities the amount of the securities to be transferred, delivered, or carried over transferred. is specified in such order, or if not so specified then subsequently to the time when the amount of such securities shall be ascertained) shall be paid to the persons to whom or carried over to the ledger credit to which the securities are to be transferred, delivered, or carried over unless such order otherwise directs. When securities in Court are directed to be realised, and the whole of the proceeds paid out or carried over in one sum, or in aliquot parts (except when the realisation is to raise a specific sum of money), any dividends accruing on such securities subsequent to the date of the order directing the realisation (if the amount of such securities is specified in the order, or if not so specified, then subsequently to the time when such amount shall be ascertained) shall be added to such proceeds, and applied in like manner therewith, unless such order otherwise directs (b).

(b) This rule is substantially identical with rule 46 of the Chancery Funds Rules,

When such dividends have been invested.

- 56. When such dividends as in the last preceding rule mentioned have pursuant to a general or other previous order been invested, the securities purchased with such dividends shall, unless otherwise directed, be transferred or delivered, and any dividends accrued in respect thereof be paid, to the persons to whom or carried over to the ledger credit to which such first-mentioned dividends would if uninvested have been paid or carried over (c).
 - (c) This is taken from rule 47 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

When dividends otherwise applicable have been invested.

- 57. In every case (other than that provided for by the last preceding rule), when by an order money or dividends are directed to be dealt with so that the same ought not to be invested, and subsequently to the date of such order such money or dividends or any part thereof shall have been invested, the securities purchased with such dividends shall, unless otherwise directed, be sold, and the proceeds of such sale and any dividends accrued in respect of such securities shall be applied in the same manner as the money or dividends so invested would have been applied under such order, if they had not been so invested (d).
 - (d) This is from rule 48 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Dividends on residue.

58. When under any order dividends on securities in Court are directed to be dealt with, and a subsequent order is made dealing with part of such securities, the dividends on the residue shall, unless such subsequent order shall otherwise direct, continue to be dealt with in the same manner as the dividends on such securities were by the prior order directed to be dealt with.

Application of money or dividends placed on deposit after date of order dealing therewith.

- 59. When subsequently to the date of an order dealing with money in Court such money shall have been placed on deposit, as hereinafter provided, or when dividends accruing subsequently to the date of an order under which such dividends are applicable shall have been placed on deposit, the same when withdrawn from deposit, and any interest accredited in respect thereof, shall, unless the order otherwise directs, be applied in the same manner as such money or dividends would have been applied had the same not been so placed on deposit (e).
 - (e) This is taken from rule 50 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Application of interest on money placed on deposit after date of order directment.

- 60. When an order directs money in Court to be invested, and subsequently to the date of such order the money shall have been placed on deposit, interest accruing in respect of such money shall be applied in the same manner as the dividends arising from such investment are ing its invest- directed to be applied (f).
 - (f) This is taken from rule 51 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Funds ordered to be paid or transferred to women who

61. When funds in Court are by an order directed to be paid, transferred, or delivered to a woman who is not married at the date of the order, or who, being married at that date, shall become a widow, and such woman shall marry before payment, transfer or delivery of such funds, upon an affidavit of such woman and her husband that no settlement or agreement for a settlement whatsoever has been made or entered into, before, upon, or since their marriage, or in case any such marry. settlement or agreement for a settlement has been made or entered into, then upon an affidavit of such woman and her husband identifying such settlement or agreement for a settlement, and stating that no other settlement or agreement for a settlement has been made or entered into as aforesaid, and an affidavit of the solicitor of such woman and her husband that such solicitor has carefully perused such settlement or agreement for a settlement, and that, according to the best of his judgment, such funds are not, nor is any part thereof, subject to the trusts of such settlement or agreement for a settlement, or in any manner comprised therein or affected thereby, such funds shall be paid, transferred, or delivered to such woman without the intervention or concurrence of her husband in the same manner as if she had remained unmarried (q).

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

afterwards

- (g) This rule is taken from rule 52 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874, but with important alterations. See as to the Court dispensing wholly or partially with the affidavit of no settlement, Anon., 3 Jur. N. S. 839; Hedges v. Clarke, 1 De G. & Sm. 354; Clark v. Woodward, 25 Beav. 455; Veal v. Veal, 4 Eq. 115; Wilkinson v. Schneider, 9 Eq. 424; Woodward v. Pratt, 16 Eq. 127; Guest v. Neames, W. N. 1884 (1884), 227.
- 62. When funds in Court are by an order directed to be paid, trans- Payments, &c. ferred, or delivered to any person named or described in an order, or tives of in a certificate of a chief clerk, or of a taxing officer, or of a master in deceased lunacy (except to a person therein expressed to be entitled to such funds persons. as real estate, or to be entitled thereto as a trustee, executor or administrator, or otherwise than in his own right, or for his own use), such funds, or any portion thereof for the time being remaining unpaid or untransferred or undelivered, may, unless the order otherwise directs, on proof of the death of such person, whether on or after, or, in the case of payment directed to be made to creditors as such, before the date of such order, be paid or transferred or delivered to the legal personal representatives of such deceased person, or to the survivors or survivor of them (h).

to representa-

- (h) This is taken from part of rule 53, Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 63. When money in Court is by an order directed to be paid to any Payments, &c. persons described in the order, or in a certificate of a chief clerk, or of to partners. a taxing officer, or of a master in lunacy, as co-partners, such money may be paid to any one or more of such co-partners, or to the survivor of them (i).

- (i) Cf. rule 53, Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 64. When funds in Court are by an order directed-to be paid, Payments, &c. transferred, or delivered to any persons as legal personal representa- to surviving tives, such funds, or any portion thereof for the time being remaining tives.

unpaid, untransferred, or undelivered, may, upon proof of the death of any of such representatives, whether on or after the date of the order directing such payment, transfer, or delivery, be paid, transferred, or delivered to the survivors or survivor of them (k).

(k) This rule is from rule 54 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Within what time probate or letters of administration must have been granted.

- 65. No funds shall, under Rules 62 and 64, be paid, transferred, or delivered out of Court to the legal personal representatives of any person under any probate or letters of administration purporting to be granted at any time subsequent to the expiration of six years from the date of the order directing such payment, transfer, or delivery, or in case such funds consist of interest or dividends from the date of the last receipt of such interest or dividends under such order (1).
- (l) This rule is taken from rule 56 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874. See Edwards v. Harvey, 11 W. R. 330, where the Court would not pay out money to the personal representatives of a person long dead but required the consent of the beneficiaries.

Payment of legacy or succession duty.

- 66. The paymaster, before acting upon an order for the payment, transfer, or delivery of funds in respect of which legacy or succession duty is (under Rule 20) stated to be payable, shall require the production of the official receipt for such duty, or a certificate from the proper officer of the payment thereof, or that no such duty is payable; and the paymaster, on receiving notice from the proper officer in any case that such duty is payable, shall cause a memorandum to that effect to be made in his books (m).
 - (m) This rule is from rule 57 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Carrying over fees on proceedings and taxation.

- 67. When costs are by an order directed to be paid out of funds in Court, the taxing officer shall certify the names of the solicitors respectively to whom such costs are payable, and the amount of any fees which have not been paid but are payable, and are proper to be paid out of such funds, in respect of any proceedings in the cause or matter, whether the amount shall or shall not have been previously ascertained, and in respect of the taxation of such costs. The paymaster shall carry over the amount so certified to be payable from the account to which such funds are placed to an account in the Pay Office books for fees on proceedings and taxation; and the amount so carried over shall from time to time, as the Treasury may direct, be paid to the account of her Majesty's Exchequer (n).
 - (n) This is from rule 58 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Deduction of income tax on payments of or out of dividends. 68. In acting on orders directing any annuities or maintenance to be paid, or any other periodical payments to be made, out of dividends to accrue on securities in Court in respect of which dividends income tax shall have been deducted, the paymaster shall draw only for so much of the sums directed by such orders respectively to be paid as shall remain after making a deduction therefrom at the same rate as the bank shall certify to have been deducted from such dividends for

income tax, except in cases in which such sums shall be directed to be paid without making any such deduction (o).

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

(o) This is from rule 59 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

VII. INVESTMENTS.

69. When an order directs the investment and accumulation of divi- Investment dends accruing on securities in Court, or to be transferred into Court, dividends or directed to be purchased with money in Court, or to be lodged in under an Court, the paymaster upon receipt of the copy of such order shall, without any request, from time to time (until he shall receive a request or copy of an order to the contrary) invest such dividends, if amounting to or exceeding 40%. half-yearly, together with all accumulations of dividends thereon, as soon as conveniently may be after they shall accrue due and have been received, in the particular description of securities named in the order directing such investment and accumulation (p).

- (p) This and the six following rules are taken from rules 61—67 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 70. When money in Court is invested in exchequer bills or exche- Purchase of quer bonds, and when exchequer bills or exchequer bonds are, in purbills or bonds. suance of an order, deposited in Court to any ledger credit, any principal money or interest which may thereafter be received and paid into the bank in respect of such bills or bonds, or in respect of any such bills or bonds for which the same may be exchanged, shall from time to time, as the same shall be so received and paid into the bank, be also invested by the paymaster, unless such order otherwise directs, or until he receives a request or a notice of a further order to the contrary, in exchequer bills or exchequer bonds which shall be placed to the same credit (q).

- (q) See note (p) to rule 69.
- 71. When and so often as any exchequer bills or other securities Bank to deposited at the bank to the credit of the pay office account shall be in renew exchequer bills, course of payment, the bank shall, without any direction from the pay- and to receive master, cause all such bills or other securities so in course of payment principal and to be delivered to one of the cashiers of the bank, who is to receive securities the principal money or interest due thereon, or in the case of exchequer when paid off. bills to exchange the same for new bills, if new bills are issued, or otherwise to receive the principal money and interest due on such of the said bills so in course of payment as cannot be exchanged, and pay such interest or principal and interest (as the case may be) into and deposit all such new bills in the bank to the pay office account; and the bank shall forthwith after every such exchange or receipt of principal or interest certify to the paymaster, without any direction from him for that purpose, the numbers, dates, and amounts of the exchequer bills or other securities so exchanged or paid off, and also the

numbers, dates, and amounts of the new bills taken in exchange, and the amount of the interest, or principal money and interest (as the case may be), received on each bill or set of bills or other securities; and upon receiving such certificate the paymaster shall place such new bills and such principal money and interest to the ledger credit in the books at the pay office to which the bills or other securities so exchanged or paid off were placed (r).

(r) See note (p) to rule 69.

Limit of amount to be invested.

- 72. A sum of money in Court less than 40*l*. shall not be invested in securities, except in the cases provided for by the two rules next following, and unless an order directs such investment notwithstanding the smallness of the amount. This rule shall extend to the investment of dividends accruing on securities in Court which are directed to be invested, and such dividends when amounting to less than 40*l*. half-yearly, and not less than 10*l*. (subject to the two rules next following) to be placed on deposit (s).
 - (s) See note (p) to rule 69.

Investment of money lodged under 36 Geo. 3, c. 52. (Infant legatees.)

- 73. A sum of money amounting to or exceeding 40l. lodged in Court, under the 32nd section of the Act 36 Geo. 3, c. 52, shall, upon a request signed by or on behalf of the person paying it in, or by or on behalf of a person claiming to be entitled thereto or interested therein, be invested (without an order) in the Government securities specified in such request; and the dividends accruing in respect thereof, when or so soon as they shall amount to or exceed 10%, shall be from time to time invested in like securities. And if such money shall have been placed on deposit before such request shall be left at the pay office, such money and any interest to be credited in respect thereof, if amounting to 40l., shall, upon a like request, be withdrawn from deposit and invested as before mentioned. Dividends accruing on funds or on investments or accumulations of funds lodged in Court under the said Act prior to the commencement of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1872, may, when or so soon as they amount to or exceed 101. be invested in like manner (t).
 - (t) See note (p) to rule 69. For the Act here referred to see ante, p. 51.

Investment of money lodged under the Trustee Relief Act. 74. When it is stated in the schedule to the affidavit made pursuant to rule 41 that it is desired that any money to be lodged in Court, or the dividends accruing on any securities to be lodged in Court in pursuance of the Act 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, and the accumulations thereof, shall be invested in any description of Government securities, the paymaster shall (if or so soon as such money shall amount to or exceed 40*l.*, or so soon as dividends accruing on such securities shall amount to or exceed 10*l.*) invest the same accordingly, without any order or further request for that purpose. If such money does not amount to 40*l.* (and is not less than 10*l.*) the paymaster shall place such money on deposit without a request for that purpose, unless the said schedule

contains a statement that it is deemed unnecessary to place such money on deposit, or unless notice in writing be left at the pay office of an order having been made, or of an intended application to the Court, affecting such money, securities, or dividends. Dividends accruing on funds or on investments or accumulations of funds lodged in Court under the said Act prior to the commencement of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1872, may, when or so soon as they amount to or exceed 101., be invested without any request (u).

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

- (u) See note (p) to rule 69.
- 75. In all cases, upon a request signed by a solicitor acting on Investing behalf of any person claiming to be entitled to or interested in securi-discontinued ties in Court, that the dividends or interest accruing on any specified on request. securities may not be invested, being at any time left at the pay office, the paymaster shall be at liberty to cease to invest any more dividends or interest accruing on such securities or to place the same on deposit until he has received notice of an order in that behalf (v).

(v) See note (p) to rule 69.

VIII. MONEY ON DEPOSIT, AND INTEREST THEREON.

76. Subject to the two rules next following all money to be lodged Money to be in Court in the Chancery Division shall be placed on deposit without a deposit. request. But money arising by the sale, conversion, or payment off of securities in Court in that division shall only be placed on deposit upon a request to that effect (w).

- (w) This and the nine following rules are taken with certain alterations from rules 68-80 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
 - 77. Money shall not be placed on deposit in the following cases:—
 - (a.) In any cause or matter in the Queen's Bench or Probate to be placed Divorce and Admiralty Divisions.

Money not on deposit in certain cases.

- (b.) When lodged under the standing orders of either House of Parliament, pursuant to the Act 9 & 10 Vict. c. 20, or any Act amending the same, in respect of works or undertakings to be executed under the authority of Parliament.
- (c.) If lodged prior to the commencement of the Court of Chancery Funds Act, 1872, pursuant to the Copyhold Acts, or to sect. 69 of, the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845.
- (d.) When the amount is less than 101.
- (e.) When a payment schedule dealing with the money otherwise than by directing it to be placed on deposit has been left at the pay office.
- (f.) When a request that the money shall not be placed on deposit, signed by a solicitor acting on behalf of a person claiming to be entitled to or interested in the money, is left at the pay office: Provided that the person making such request may at any time

- withdraw the same, and request that the money may be placed on deposit (x).
- (x) See note (w) to rule 76.

When money shall be withdrawn from deposit.

- 78. Money shall be withdrawn from deposit in the following cases:—
 - (a.) When an order directs the money to be dealt with to such an amount as may be sufficient to comply therewith:
 - (b.) When the amount is reduced below 10l.:
 - (c.) Upon a request signed by a solicitor acting on behalf of a person interested, and countereigned by a chief clerk or registrar, containing a notification that the money is about to be dealt with by an order (y).
 - (y) See note (w) to rule 76.

Time for placing money on deposit.

- 79. The placing on deposit of money lodged in Court shall not be deferred beyond the 15th or the last day of the month in which it shall be lodged in Court, whichever day shall first happen after such lodgment, or in the case of money lodged in Court on the last day of a month, the placing on deposit shall not be deferred beyond the 15th day of the following month; and when a request to place money in Court on deposit shall be sent to or left at the Pay Office, the money shall be so placed on the day succeeding the day on which such request shall be so left or received at the Pay Office (z).
 - (z) See note (w) to rule 76.

As to placing on deposit cash arising from conversion of government securities.

- 80. When an order directs Government securities to be sold and the whole of the money arising thereby to be placed on deposit, and when such securities are realized by exchange as hereinafter provided, such money shall be deemed to have been placed on deposit (without a request for that purpose) on the day on which such exchange shall be effected (a).
 - (a) See note (w) to rule 76.

No interest computed on a fraction of 1*l*.

- 81. Interest upon money on deposit shall not be computed on a fraction of 1l.(b).
 - (b) See note (w) to rule 76.

For what periods interest is to be computed. 82. Except as in this rule otherwise provided, interest upon money on deposit shall accrue by half calendar months, and shall not be computed for any less period. The periods from the 1st to the 15th of a month, both days inclusive, and from the 16th to the last day of a month, both days inclusive, shall, for the purpose of computing such interest, be reckoned as half calendar months; and such interest shall begin on the first day of the half calendar month next succeeding that in which the money is placed on deposit, and shall cease from the last day of the half calendar month next preceding the withdrawal of the money from deposit: Provided that when a sum of money in Court

amounting to not less than 500% shall be placed on deposit, pursuant to a request signed by or on behalf of a person claiming to be interested therein, and shall remain on deposit undealt with until the 1st of April or the 1st of October next succeeding the day on which it is placed on deposit, interest shall begin on the day inclusive next succeeding such day of placing on deposit (c).

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

- (c) See note (w) to rule 76.
- 83. Interest which has accrued for or during the half years ending When interest respectively the 31st of March and the 30th of September in every oredited. year on money then on deposit shall, on or before the 20th days of the months respectively following, be placed by the paymaster to the ledger credit to which such money shall be standing on every such half-yearly day. And when money on deposit is withdrawn from deposit, except as to money withdrawn during the first 15 days of the months of April and October respectively, the interest thereon which has accrued and has not been credited shall, at the time of withdrawal, be placed to the ledger credit to which the money is then standing (d).

- (d) See note (w) to rule 76.
- 84. When money on deposit to a ledger credit consists of sums Mode of which have been placed on deposit at different times, and an order is calculating interest in made dealing with the money, and part of such money has to be with- certain cases drawn from deposit for the purpose of executing such order, the part of money or parts of the money dealt with by such order last placed and remain- withdrawn. ing on deposit at the time of such withdrawal shall, for the purpose of computing interest, be treated as so withdrawn, unless the order otherwise directs (e).

- (e) See note (w) to rule 76.
- 85. Unless otherwise directed by an order, interest credited pur- Placing of suant to rule 83 on money on deposit shall, when or so soon as it interest on deposit. amounts to or exceeds 10l., be placed on deposit, and for the purpose of computing interest upon it shall be treated as having been placed on deposit on the last half-yearly day on which any such interest became due (f).

- (f) See note (w) to rule 76.
- IX. Exchange or Conversion of Government Securities and TRANSACTIONS WITH THE NATIONAL DEBT COMMISSIONERS.
- 86. When Government securities in Court are directed to be sold, Exchanges such securities may be realised by exchange in the pay office books in of securities in lieu of the manner hereinafter provided. And when money in Court is re- actual purquired to be invested in Government securities, such investment may chases and sales. be made by exchange in like manner.

[Rules 87—93 provide for the manner of recording exchanges; the commission to be charged on exchanges and paid to the Exchequer; the periodical adjustment of the exchange account; the adjustment of dividends on Government securities in Court; and the settling of accounts between the pay office and the National Debt Commissioners.]

X. CALCULATION OF RESIDUES, EVIDENCE OF LIFE, &c.

Calculations of residues to be made in pay office. 94. For the purpose of ascertaining the amounts of any residue or aliquot part of money or securities dealt with by an order, when such amounts cannot be stated in the payment schedule and are not directed to be certified, the necessary calculations shall be made in the pay office: Provided that the paymaster may require such calculations to be first stated in a certificate signed by the solicitor of the party interested.

Evidence of life, &c.

95. When any person is entitled, under an order, to receive dividends or other periodical payments from the pay office, and the paymaster requires evidence of life or of the fulfilment of any conditions affecting such payments, such evidence may be furnished by a declaration signed by a solicitor acting on behalf of such person, or by a declaration signed by the person entitled to the payment, and attested by a justice of the peace, commissioner to administer oaths, clerk in holy orders, or notary public; and the paymaster shall act on such evidence unless in any case he thinks fit to require such evidence to be by affidavit. The paymaster may prescribe, with the approval of the Treasury, the terms in which such declaration or affidavit shall be made, and the forms to be used for that purpose. The provisions of this rule shall apply to orders made before these rules come into operation, notwithstanding anything as to evidence in such orders contained.

Affidavits in other cases.

- 96. When in carrying into effect the directions of an order evidence is required by the paymaster for any purposes other than those included in the immediately preceding rules, he may receive and act upon an affidavit, or upon a statutory declaration under the Act of 5 & 6 Will. 4, c. 62, instead of an affidavit, and every such affidavit or statutory declaration shall be filed in the Central Office when the paymaster shall consider it necessary (g).
 - (g) Cf. rule 26 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

XI. Copies of Orders and other Documents for Audit Office.

Office copy of schedules, &c. to be sent to audit office.

97. An office copy of the schedules to every order in the Chancery Division and in Lunacy, and of every order in the Queen's Bench and Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Divisions, to be left with and acted upon by the paymaster, shall be transmitted by the proper officer to the audit office; and in case of any amendments being made in any such schedule or order, such office copy shall be likewise amended.

Office copies of certificates and other 98. An office copy of every certificate or other authority of a master of the Supreme Court, chief clerk, or taxing officer, or of a master in

lunacy, which is to be acted upon by the paymaster, or so much thereof as may be necessary, and an office copy of any certificate, affidavit, or statutory declaration which may be received in evidence by the paymaster, shall, when requested, be transmitted by the proper officer to be sent. the audit office.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

documents to

XII. MISCELLANEOUS.

- 99. The paymaster, upon a request signed by or on behalf of a Paymaster person claiming to be interested in any funds in Court standing to to give certificates a ledger credit specified in such request, may, in his discretion, issue of funds in a certificate of the amount and description of such funds, and such Court. certificate shall have reference to the morning of the day of the date thereof, and shall not include the transactions of that day, and the paymaster shall notify on such certificate the dates of any orders restraining the transfer, sale, delivery out, or payment, or other dealing with the funds in Court to the ledger credit mentioned in such certificate, and whether such orders affect principal or interest, and any charging orders, affecting such funds, of which respectively he has received notice, and the names of the persons to whom notice is to be given, or in whose favour such restraining or charging orders have been made. The paymaster may re-date any such certificate, provided that no alteration in the amount or description of the funds has been made since the certificate was issued. And when a cause or matter has been inserted in the list referred to in Rule 101, the fact shall be notified on the certificate relating thereto (h).
- (h) This rule is taken with certain alterations from rule 87 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 100. Upon a request signed by or on behalf of a person claiming Paymaster to be interested in funds in Court, the paymaster may, in his discretranscripts of tion, issue a transcript of the account in his books of the ledger credit accounts and specified in such request; and if so required by the person to whom it furnish other information. is issued, such transcript shall be authenticated at the audit office. He may also upon a like request supply such other information or issue such certificates with respect to any transactions or dealings with funds in Court as may from time to time be required in any particular case (i).

- (i) This is taken from rule 88 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.
- 101. On or before the 1st day of March in every third year the List of dorpaymaster shall prepare, in such form and with such particulars as mant funds, &c. to be made the Treasury may from time to time direct, a list or statement of the triennially ledger credits of causes and matters in the books of the pay office and published. (other than those referred to in the next following rule) to which there stood on the 1st day of September then next preceding any securities or any money not less than 50%, which money or the dividends on which securities have not been dealt with, otherwise than by the continuous investment or placing on deposit of dividends, during the fifteen years immediately preceding the last-mentioned date.

The said list or statement shall be filed in the Central Office, and a copy thereof shall be inserted in the "London Gazette" and exhibited in the several offices of the Court.

The paymaster shall not give any information respecting any funds in Court mentioned in such list or statement except upon a request signed by the person applying for such information. If such request be made by a solicitor, such information shall not be given unless the request states the name of the person on whose behalf it is made, and that such person is in the opinion of the applicant beneficially interested in such funds. If such request be made by any person other than a solicitor, such information shall not be given unless the applicant is able to satisfy the paymaster that the request is such as may in the particular case be properly complied with (k).

(k) This is taken from rule 91 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Transfer of small balances to a special account.

- 102. The paymaster may from time to time carry over to a special ledger account for small balances such ledger credit balances of money and securities as do not together amount to 5l., and on which the money or securities shall not have been dealt with during the preceding five years. When an order dealing with funds carried over under this rule is to be acted upon, the paymaster shall carry back such funds and any dividends accrued thereon to the ledger credit from which they were so carried over, and shall deal therewith as directed by such order (l).
 - (1) This is taken from rule 92 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Titles of accounts not to exceed 36 words.

- 103. The length of the title of any ledger credit shall not exceed thirty-six words, exclusive, in the case of a separate account in a cause or matter, of the title of the cause or matter in which such separate account is opened: Provided that if a sufficient reason be assigned to the satisfaction of the registrar or master of the Supreme Court for extending beyond thirty-six words the title of a ledger credit, such title may be so extended; and the registrar or master shall in such case add to the instruction to open such credit the words "notwithstanding rule 103;" and provided that the paymaster may extend the title of a ledger credit if in his opinion a sufficient reason be assigned for so doing. In such title four figures shall be reckoned as one word (m).
 - (m) This is taken from rule 94 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Outstanding cheques of late Accountant-General,

- 104. Unpaid cheques signed by the late Accountant-General, or any of his predecessors, shall be a sufficient authority to the paymenter for making the payments therein purporting to be intended to be made (n).
 - (n) This is taken from rule 40 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

Index of documents filed.

- 105. An index shall be made and kept in the Central Office of all documents by these rules directed to be filed there (o).
 - (e) This is taken from rule 95 of the Chancery Funds Rules, 1874.

106. Upon the request of any person, or of a solicitor acting on behalf of any person, named in an order and entitled to or interested in funds in Court, the paymaster shall record in such manner as he shall consider convenient for reference, the name and address of such person, or of the solicitor for the time being acting on his behalf, and of suitors. also any change of such address which may be notified to him.

107. The directions of the paymaster for giving effect to these rules shall be prepared and issued in such form and manner as the Treasury may from time to time direct, and shall be signed by such officers as the Treasury may prescribe or approve.

108. It shall be the duty of the paymaster to comply with any in- Identification structions which may be given to him by the Treasury as to the means of persons to of identifying any person to whom a direction for payment of money or for delivery of securities out of Court is issued, when such identification may be deemed necessary.

109. Whenever any amount or number of stock, shares, or other When stocks security in Court (in this rule referred to as the original security) is companies or converted into any other stock, shares, or other security (in this rule other securireferred to as the substituted security), so that the description thereof verted. will differ from the description given of the original security in the order or other authority under which the paymaster acts respecting the same, the paymaster shall write off from the ledger credit to which the same may be standing the original security so converted, and shall place to the same ledger credit a proportionate part of the substituted security; and except in so far as any original security may be affected by any order brought to the Pay Office in due time for that purpose, the paymaster shall, as far as may be practicable, give effect to every part of any order or other authority under which he has been acting which shall refer to any such original security so converted as aforesaid, or the dividends thereon, as if it referred to the substituted security or the dividends thereon, but no payments of income shall be made in pursuance hereof without an order in any case where the substituted security is in the nature of a terminable annuity.

110. Whenever any allotment letters, scrip allotments, or other When allotsecurities are allotted or assigned in respect of any sums of stock, or stock are made of any shares or other security in Court, such allotment letters, scrip by companies. allotments, or other securities (excepting such of them, if any, as may be affected by any order of which the paymaster has notice) shall be The money to arise by the said sale shall be paid (without deduction for brokerage) by the broker to the Pay Office Account at the bank and placed in the books of the Pay Office to the respective ledger credits to which the said stock or shares or other security are standing, in respect of which such allotment letters, scrip allotments, or other securities have been allotted or assigned.

111. These rules shall not apply in district registries to funds in Rules not to Court or hereafter lodged in Court (p).

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884.

Names and addresses

Paymaster's directions to be issued and signed as Treasury may prescribe.

be paid, &c.

apply to district regie-

⁽p) See Wilson v. Alltree, 27 Ch. D. 242, cited in note (a) to rule 3, ante, p. 217.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 1.

APPENDIX No. 1.

[Form of Lodgment Schedule, referred to in Rule 5.]

LODGMENT SCHEDULE.

Date of Order, A. No.

18 .

Title of Cause or Matter 1883.

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division.

Ledger credit. [If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

Person to make the	Amounts.			
Lodgment.	Money.	Securities		
		_ -		
	Lodgment.	Lodgment. Money.		

[Specimen Lodgment Schedules.]

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division. 21st July, 1883.

Re Morton, deceased, Morton v. Matthews. 1881. M. 391.

Ledger credit. As above.

Particulars of Funds to be lodged.	Person to make the Lodgment.	Amounts.					
			Money	7.	Se	euriti	ies.
Balance to be certified to be due on passing final account as receiver. Balance of the 87t. 5s. 9d. certified to be due from him as executor after retaining thereout his costs.	Edmund James White (the receiver). James Matthews (defendant).	£	8.	d.	£	8.	d.

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division. 15th June, 1883.

A. v. B. 1883. A. 16.

Ledger oredit. As above.

Particulars of Funds to be	Person to make the	Amounts. Money. Securities.		Amour			
lodged,	Lodgment.	Z.	Coney.		Sec	urities	
Consols	J. A. and J. B Do J. B.	£	8.	d.	£ 15,000 1,500	8.	d.

APPENDIX No. 2.

[Form of Payment Schedule, referred to in Rule 6.]

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 2.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE.

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division.

Date of Order,

18 .

Title of Cause or Matter

1883. A. No.

Ledger credit. [If same as title of cause, state "As above."] Funds in Court.

Particulars of payments, transfers, or other operations ordered.	Payees and transferees, or separate	Amounts.				
	ed. accounts.		Securities			

[Specimen Payment Schedules.]

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division. 2nd August, 1883.

B. v. D. 1883. B. 165.

Ledger credit. As above.

Funds in Court { 7301. 7s. 7d. New 3 per Cent. Annuities. 101. 13s. 2d. Cash.

Particulars of payments, transfers, or other operations ordered.	Payees and transferees, or separate accounts.	Amounts.					
		Money.			Securities.		
Pay	John Park	£ 5 —	6	7	£ 730	s. 7	d.
One-fourth Ont of one-fourth Residue of last-named one-fourth Invest one-fourth in New 3 per Cent. Annuities, and carry over same, and accumulate the dividends in like annuities.	John Smith (petitioner). Emma Joy (petitioner), wife of Wm. Joy, on her separate receipt. Eliza Joy (widow) Edward Sparkes. Separate account of William Peters(plaintiff).	79	10	6			

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 2.

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division. 4th September, 1883.

1871. S. 103.

Smith v. Williams. Ledger credit. In above cause. Trust legacy of 8001. for Charles Pearce and Susan his wife, and their children and incumbrancers.

Funds in Court $\begin{cases}
308l. 4s. 1d. \text{ Consolidated 3 per Cent. Annuities,} \\
512l. 11s. \text{ New 3 per Cent. Annuities,} \\
50l. \text{ Money on deposit.} \\
48l. 1s. 3d. Cash.}
\end{cases}$

Particulars of Payees and		Amounts.					
payments, transfers, or other operations ordered.	transferees, or separate accounts.	,	Money	7.	Se	curiti	es.
Sell consols	(David Shore)	£ 	8. 6	2	£ 308 512	s. 4 11	d. 1 0
Pay residue of funds as under:— One-fifth Out of one-fifth Residue of last-named one-fifth.	George Turner. James Watson Birmingham Banking Company, mortga-	100	0	0			
Out of one-fifth Residue of last-named one-fifth. One-fifth	gees. Henry Earle (as mortgagee). Robert Wild and Joseph Hunter, trustees of Arthur Turner. Matthew Field William Long.	140	. 8	4			

APPENDIX No. 3.

[Form of combined Lodgment and Payment Schedule, referred to in Rule 8.]

LODGMENT AND PAYMENT SCHEDULE.

In the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division.

Date of Order,

18 .

Title of Cause or Matter

1883. A. No.

Ledger credit. [If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

I. LODGMENT.

Particulars of Funds to be lodged.	lars of Funds to be Person to make the	Amounts.				
	Lodgment,	.Money.	Securities.			

II. PAYMENT.

Funds (if any) already in Court and now dealt with.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 3.

Particulars of payments, transfers, or other operations ordered.	Payees and transferees, or separate accounts.	Amounts.			
		Money.	Securities.		

APPENDIX No. 5.

[Form of Request for Lodgment of Money in Chancery Division, referred to in Rule 30.] HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—CHANCERY DIVISION.

I .- Request for Direction for Lodgment.

Title of Cause or Matter

1883, A. No.

Ledger credit to which lodged. } [If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

Date of order or certificate (if any) under which lodged Further particulars (if any) required to be stated

The Paymaster is hereby requested to issue a direction to the bank to receive for the ledger credit in the books of the Pay Office fromthe sum of \pounds (Signature) above specified.

II.—Paymaster's Direction for Lodgment.

To the Cashier of the Bank of England (Law Courts Branch). Please receive the above-stated sum, and place it to the account of the Paymaster-General for the time being for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature. (Signature)

III.—Bank Certificate of Receipt.

To the Assistant Paymaster-General.

Bank of England

The above-stated sum has been this day received.

(Signature) [Entd. No.

APPENDIX No. 6.

[Form of Request for Lodgment or Transfer of Securities in Chancery Division, referred to in Rule 30.]

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—CHANGERY DIVISION.

I .- Request for Direction for Lodgment or Transfer of Securities.

1883. A. No. Title of Cause or Matter

Ledger credit to which lodged. [If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

Authority is hereby requested for the lodgment or transfer to the account of the Paymaster-General for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature of the securities mentioned below, for the ledger credit in the books of the Pay Office above specified.

To be lodged or transferred by

Description and amount of securities

18 . Date of order (if any)

(Signature)

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 6.

II .- Paymaster's Direction for Lodgment or Transfer.

Authority is hereby given for the lodgment or transfer of the above-mentioned securities to the account of the Paymaster-General for the time being for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature.

(Signature)

III .- Certificate of Lodgment or Transfer.

Address . Date

It is hereby certified that in accordance with the above authority the securities herein mentioned have this day been lodged or transferred to the account of the Paymaster-General. (Signature)

N.B.—Under rules made in pursuance of Acts of Parliament, the hank or other company in whose books the transfer herein authorized is made, must certify such transfer hereon, and return this document to Assistant Paymaster-General, Royal Courts of Justice, London. [Entd. No. .]

APPENDIX No. 7.

[Form of Request for Lodgment in Chancery Division in an Action for Debt or Damages, referred to in Rule 30.7

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—CHANCERY DIVISION.

 Request for Lodgment of Money in an Action for Dcbt or Damages (under Order XXII. or Rule 26 of Order XXXI.

Title of Cause or Matter

1883. A. No. 42.

Ledger credit to which lodged. } [If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

The Paymaster is requested to issue a direction to the Bank to receive £ for the ledger credit in the hooks of the Pay Office above specified; which amount is paid in*

(Signature)

* Insert one of the following statements, in accordance with the circumstances:

(A.) "in satisfaction of claim of above-named" [state name of party].

(B.) "against claim of above-named" [state name of party] "with defence denying liability."

(C.) "to security for costs account."

II.—Paymaster's Direction for Lodgment.

To the Cashier of the Bank of England (Law Courts Branch).

Please receive the above-stated sum and place it to the account of the Paymaster-General for the time being for and on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature. (Signature)

III .- Bank Certificate of Receipt.

To the Assistant Paymaster-General.

Bank of England

18

The above-stated sum has been this day received.

(Signature)

Entd. No. ٠]

APPENDIX No. 11 (A).

[Form of Request for Payment of Money lodged "in satisfaction," referred to in Rule 44 (A).]

Suprems Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 11 (A).

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE,

DIVISION.

Request for Payment of Money lodged, or appropriated, in satisfaction of Claim [under Rule 5 or Rule 11 of Order XXII].

Title of Cause or Matter

1883. A. No.

Ledger credit to which the money is standing.

[If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

To the Assistant Paymaster-General.

I hereby request that payment of the sum of £ , paid in in the above action may be made to*

(Signature) (Address)

(Date) 18 .

* N.B.—If this request is signed by the plaintiff's solicitor (or other person on his behalf) the words "the said plaintiff" (naming him) must be inserted here. But if signed by the plaintiff, he may insert either "ms, the said plaintiff," or "the solicitor to me, the said plaintiff" (naming the person to be paid), and payment will be made accordingly. Such payment will be made by a crossed cheque or crossed form of receipt, which must be passed through a bank.

Direction No.

.]

APPENDIX No. 11 (B).

[Form of Request for Payment of Money lodged "against Claim," referred to in Rule 44 (B).]

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE,

DIVISION.

Request for Payment of Money lodged or appropriated against Claim, with Defence denying Liability [under Rule 6 or Rule 11 of Order XXII.]

Title of Cause or Matter

1883. A. No.

Ledger credit to which the money is standing.

ey [If same as title of cause, state "As above."]

To the Assistant Paymaster-General.

I hereby notify that the sum of £ paid in in the above action has been accepted by the plaintiff in satisfaction, and I declare that due notice has been given of such acceptance thereof. And I request that payment of the said sum may be made to *

(Signature)
(Address)
(Date)
18

* N.B.—If this request is signed by the plaintiff's solicitor (or other person on his behalf) the words "the said plaintiff" (naming him) must be inserted here. But if signed by the plaintiff, he may insert either "me, the said plaintiff," or, "the solicitor to me, the said plaintiff," (naming the person to be paid), and payment will be made accordingly. Such payment will be made by a crossed cheque or crossed form of receipt, which must be passed through a bank.

Direction No.

Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884. App. 12.

APPENDIX No. 12.

[Form of Request for a Remittance by Post of Money payable under an Order of the Court, referred to in Rule 48.

Postal Address

Date 18 .
Division.
1883. A. No.

Reference to Order of Court. { In the High Court of Justice, Title of Cause or Matter, Date of Order,

Precise title of ledger credit of cause or matter in pay office books.

I, the undersigned, declare that I am the person to whom the sum of £ [or, a sum of £ half-yearly, or as the case may be] is directed to be paid by the above-cited order of the High Court of Justice, and I request the Paymaster-General to transmit to me by post, to the above address, the necessary direction or other authority to enable me to obtain payment of the said sum.

(Signature)

We certify that the person who has signed this request is known to us, and is the person to whom the sum therein mentioned is directed to be paid by the above-mentioned Order.

Signatures * {

To the Assistant Paymaster-General, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

* To be signed by two persons, one of whom must be a justice of the peace, a commissioner to administer oaths, or a clerk in holy orders, or a notary public.

SELBORNE, C.

7th February, 1884.

We certify that these rules are made with the concurrence of the Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury.

HUGH C. E. CHILDERS. HERBERT J. GLADSTONE.

36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

JUDICATURE ACT, 1873.

36 & 37 VICT, CAP. 66.

An Act for the constitution of a Supreme Court, and for other purposes relating to the better Administration of Justice in England; and to authorise the transfer to the Appellate Division of such Supreme Court of the Jurisdiction of the Judicial Committee of Her Majesty's Privy Council. [5th August, 1873.]

Whereas it is expedient to constitute a Supreme Court, and to make provision for the better administration of justice in England:

And whereas it is also expedient to alter and amend the law relating to the Judicial Committee of her Majesty's Privy Council:

Be it enacted, &c. as follows:—

36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 1.

Preliminary.

- 1. This Act may be cited for all purposes as the "Supreme Court of Short title. Judicature Act, 1873" (a).
- (a) Sect. 2 named Nov. 2nd, 1874, for the commencement of the Act. This section Commencewas repealed by s. 1 of the Judicature (Commencement) Act, 1874, s. 2 of which ment of the substituted Nov. 1st, 1875, as the date of the commencement, except as to any provisions directed to take effect on the passing of the Act. Sect. 1 of the Judicature (Commencement) Act was itself repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, 46 & 47 Vict. c. 39, s. 1.

PART I.

Constitution and Judges of Supreme Court.

3. From and after the time appointed for the commencement of this Union of Act, the several Courts hereinafter mentioned, (that is to say,) the existing Courts into High Court of Chancery of England, the Court of Queen's Bench, the one Supreme Court of Common Pleas at Westminster, the Court of Exchequer, the Court. High Court of Admiralty, the Court of Probate, the Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes, and the London Court of Bankruptcy, shall be united and consolidated together, and shall constitute, under and subject to the provisions of this Act, one Supreme Court of Judicature in England (b).

- (b) By ss. 9 and 33 of the Judicature Act, 1875, so much of this section as related to the Bankruptcy Court was repealed. But by s. 93 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, the London Bankruptcy Court is united with the Supreme Court, and its jurisdiction transferred to the High Court.
- 4. The said Supreme Court shall consist of two permanent divisions, Division of one of which, under the name of "Her Majesty's High Court of Court into a Justice," shall have and exercise original jurisdiction, with such Court of appellate jurisdiction from inferior Courts as is hereinafter mentioned, a Court of and the other of which, under the name of "Her Majesty's Court of appellate Appeal," shall have and exercise appellate jurisdiction, with such jurisdiction. original jurisdiction as hereinafter mentioned as may be incident to the determination of any appeal (c).

- (c) As to the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal, see ss. 18, 19, post, pp. 252, 253. And see R. S. C. 1883, Ord. LVIII., infra.
- 5. Her Majesty's High Court of Justice shall be constituted as Constitution follows:—The first judges thereof shall be the Lord Chancellor, the Court of Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice. Justice of the Common Pleas, the Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer, the several Vice-Chancellors of the High Court of Chancery, the Judge of the Court of Probate and of the Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes, the several puisne justices of the Courts of Queen's Bench and Common Pleas respectively, the several junior barons of the Court of Exchequer, and the judge of the High Court of Admiralty, except such, if any, of the aforesaid judges as shall be appointed ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal.

36 & 37 Vict. u. 66, s. 5.

Subject to the provisions hereinafter contained, whenever the office of a judge of the said High Court shall become vacant, a new judge may be appointed thereto by her Majesty, by letters patent. All persons to be hereafter appointed to fill the places of the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls (d), the Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and the Lord Chief Baron (c), and their successors respectively, shall continue to be appointed to the same respective offices, with the same precedence, and by the same respective titles, and in the same manner, respectively as heretofore. Every judge who shall be appointed to fill the place of any other judge of the said High Court of Justice shall be styled in his appointment "Judge of her Majesty's High Court of Justice," and (f) shall be appointed in the same manner in which the puisne justices and junior barons of the Superior Courts of Common Law have been heretofore appointed (g).

All the judges of the said Court shall have in all respects, save as in this Act is otherwise expressly provided, equal power, authority, and jurisdiction; and shall be addressed in the manner which is now customary in addressing the judges of the Superior Courts of Common Law.

The Lord Chief Justice of England for the time being shall be President of the said High Court of Justice in the absence of the Lord Chancellor.

Master of the Rolls.

(d) By the Judicature Act, 1881, s. 2, the Master of the Rolls was made a judge of the Court of Appeal only; and by s. 5 of the same Act an additional judge of the High Court may be appointed in his place; see the Act, post, p. 296.

(e) These words in italics were repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, 46 & 47 Vict. c. 39, s. 1. By Order in Council dated December 16, 1880, the offices of Chief Baron were abolished.

Chief Baron.

(f) These words in italics were repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, s. 1; the judges are now styled "Justices of the High Court" (Judicature Act, 1877, (g) A provise followed here as to the number of judges, which was repealed by Judicature Act, 1875, s. 3.

Court of Appeal.

[Sect. 6, as to the constitution of the Court of Appeal, was repealed by the Judicature Act, 1875; the constitution of the Court of Appeal is now governed by sect. 4 of the latter Act, as modified by sect. 15 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876.]

[Sect. 7 relates to vacancies by resignation of judges, and effect of vacancies generally.]

Qualifications of judges. Not required to be serjeants-at~ law.

- 8. Any barrister of not less than ten years' standing shall be qualified to be appointed a judge of the said High Court of Justice; and any person who if this Act had not passed would have been qualified by law (h) to be appointed a lord justice of the Court of Appeal in Chancery, or has been a judge of the High Court of Justice of not less than one year's standing shall be qualified to be appointed an ordinary judge of the said Court of Appeal: Provided, that no person appointed a judge of either of the said Courts shall henceforth be required to take, or to have taken, the degree of serjeant-at-law.
- (h) Under 14 & 15 Vict. c. 83, s. 1, any barrister of fifteen years' standing might be appointed a Lord Justice.

[Sects. 9 and 10, as to the office and precedence of judges, were repealed by Judicature Λ ct, 1875, and the substance of them re-enacted by sects. 5 and 6 of that Act.]

[Sect. 11 (extended by sect. 8 of the Act of 1875 to the judge of the Admiralty 36 & 37 Vict. Court) saved the rights of patronage, &c., and obligations of existing judges.]

u. 66, s. 12.

extraordinary

12. If, in any case not expressly provided for by this Act, a liability Provisions for to any duty, or any authority or power, not incident to the administra- duties of tion of justice in any Court, whose jurisdiction is transferred by this judges of the Act to the High Court of Justice, shall have been imposed or conferred former Courts. by any statute, law, or custom upon the judges or any judge of any of such Courts, save as hereinafter mentioned, every judge of the said High Court shall be capable of performing and exercising, and shall be liable to perform and empowered to exercise every such duty, authority, and power, in the same manner as if this Act had not passed, and as if he had been duly appointed the successor of a judge liable to such duty, or possessing such authority or power, before the passing of this Act. Any such duty, authority, or power imposed or conferred by any statute, law or custom, in any such case as aforesaid, upon the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, or the Lord Chief Baron, shall continue to be performed and exercised by them respectively, and by their respective successors, in the same manner as if this Act had not passed.

[Sects. 13-15 relate to salaries and pensions of judges. One clause of sect. 13 was repealed by the Act of 1875.]

PART II.

Jurisdiction and Law.

16. The High Court of Justice shall be a Superior Court of Record, Jurisdiction and, subject as in this Act mentioned, there shall be transferred to of High and vested in the said High Court of Justice the jurisdiction which, at Justice. the commencement of this Act, was vested in, or capable of being exercised by, all or any of the Courts following; (that is to say,)

- (1.) The High Court of Chancery, as a Common Law Court as well as a Court of Equity, including the jurisdiction of the Master of the Rolls, as a Judge or Master of the Court of Chancery, and any jurisdiction exercised by him in relation to the Court of Chancery as a Common Law Court;
- (2.) The Court of Queen's Bench;
- (3.) The Court of Common Pleas at Westminster;
- (4.) The Court of Exchequer, as a Court of Revenue, as well as a Common Law Court;
- (5.) The High Court of Admiralty;
- (6.) The Court of Probate;
- (7.) The Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes;
- (8.) The London Court of Bankruptcy (i);
- (9.) The Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster;
- (10.) The Court of Pleas at Durham;
- (11.) The Courts created by Commissions of Assize, of Oyer and Terminer, and of Gaol Delivery, or any of such Commissions.

c. 66, s. 16.

36 & 37 Vict. The jurisdiction by this Act transferred to the High Court of Justice shall include (subject to the exceptions hereinafter contained) the jurisdiction which, at the commencement of this Act, was vested in, or capable of being exercised by, all or any one or more of the Judges of the said Courts, respectively, sitting in Court or Chambers, or elsewhere, when acting as Judges or a Judge, in pursuance of any statute, law, or custom, and all powers given to any such Court, or to any such Judges or Judge, by any statute; and also all ministerial powers, duties, and authorities, incident to any and every part of the jurisdictions so transferred (k).

> (i) As to the London Court of Bankruptcy, see note (b) to sect. 3, ante, p. 249.
> (k) As to the jurisdiction of the High Court, see Salt v. Cooper, 16 Ch. D. p. 549.
> A Chancery judge has jurisdiction (but see Priestman v. Thomas, 9 P. D. 210) to grant or recall probate of a will, but as a matter of discretion will not exercise it (Prince) v. Hunt, 6 Ch. D. 98; Re Ivory, 10 Ch. D. p. 375; Bradford v. Young, 26 Ch. D. 656). So, too, he may make an order on summons under 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42, s. 40, requiring the attendance of a witness before an arbitrator (Clarbrough v. Toothill, 17 Ch. D. 787).

Jurisdiction not transferred to High Court.

- 17. There shall not be transferred to or vested in the said High-Court of Justice, by virtue of this Act,-
 - (1.) Any appellate jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal in Chancery, or of the same Court sitting as a Court of Appeal in Bankruptcy:
 - (2.) Any jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal in Chancery of the County Palatine of Lancaster:
 - (3.) Any jurisdiction usually vested in the Lord Chancellor or in the Lords Justices of Appeal in Chancery, or either of them, in relation to the custody of the persons and estates of idiots, lunatics, and persons of unsound mind (l):
 - (4.) Any jurisdiction vested in the Lord Chancellor in relation to grants of Letters Patent, or the issue of commissions or other writings, to be passed under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom:
 - (5.) Any jurisdiction exercised by the Lord Chancellor in right of or on behalf of her Majesty as visitor of any College, or of any charitable or other foundation:
 - (6.) Any jurisdiction of the Master of the Rolls in relation to records in London or elsewhere in England (m).

(1) See Judicature Act, 1875, s. 7, post, p. 279.
(m) The Master of the Rolls, it was held, could direct the amendment of a clerical error in a specification filed in the Patent Office (Re Johnson's Patent, 5 Ch. D. 503; Re Gare, 26 Ch. D. 105).

Jurisdiction transferred to Court of Appeal.

- 18. The Court of Appeal established by this Act shall be a Superior Court of Record, and there shall be transferred to and vested in such Court all jurisdiction and powers of the Courts following; (that is to
 - (1.) All jurisdiction and powers of the Lord Chancellor and of the Court of Appeal in Chancery, in the exercise of his and its appellate jurisdiction, and of the same Court as a Court of Appeal in Bankruptcy (n):

- (2.) All jurisdiction and powers of the Court of Appeal in Chancery 36 & 37 Vict. of the county palatine of Lancaster, and all jurisdiction and powers of the Chancellor of the duchy and county palatine of Lancaster when sitting alone or apart from the Lords Justices of Appeal in Chancery as a Judge of re-hearing or appeal from decrees or orders of the Court of Chancery of the county palatine of Lancaster (o):
- (3.) All jurisdiction and powers of the Court of the Lord Warden of the Stannaries assisted by his assessors, including all jurisdiction and powers of the said Lord Warden when sitting in his capacity of Judge:
- (4.) All jurisdiction and powers of the Court of Exchequer Chamber:
- (5.) All jurisdiction vested in or capable of being exercised by her Majesty in Council, or the Judicial Committee of her Majesty's Privy Council, upon appeal from any judgment or order of the High Court of Admiralty, or from any order in lunacy made by the Lord Chancellor, or any other person having jurisdiction in lunacy.

(n) No judge of the High Court can now re-hear a case, whether decided by Re-hearing. himself or any other judge, the power to re-hear being part of the appellate jurisdiction (Re St. Nazaire Co., 12 Ch. D. 88); and see Re Hooper, 14 Ch. D. 1; Flower v. Lloyd, 6 Ch. D. 297, as to the power of the Appeal Court to re-hear an appeal.

The Court of Appeal cannot hear an original petition, its jurisdiction being purely appellate (Re Dunraven Co., 24 W. R. 37; 33 L. T. 371).

(o) As to the Palatine Court, see Re Longdendale Spinning Co., 8 Ch. D. 150; Lee v. Nuttall, 12 Ch. D. 61; Toursend v. Toursend, 23 Ch. D. 100.

19. The said Court of Appeal shall have jurisdiction and power to Appeals from hear and determine appeals from any judgment or order, save as hereinafter mentioned (p), of her Majesty's High Court of Justice, or of any Judges or Judge thereof, subject to the provisions of this Act, and to such Rules and Orders of Court for regulating the terms and conditions on which such appeals shall be allowed, as may be made pursuant to this Act.

For all the purposes of and incidental to the hearing and determination of any appeal within its jurisdiction, and the amendment, execution, and enforcement of any judgment or order made on any such appeal, and for the purpose of every other authority expressly given to the Court of Appeal by this Act, the said Court of Appeal shall have all the power, authority, and jurisdiction by this Act vested

in the High Court of Justice (q).

(p) There is no appeal except by leave from an order made by consent, or only What orders as to costs in the discretion of the Court; see sect. 49, post, p. 265; and there is no not appeal appeal direct to the Court of Appeal from an order made at Chamhers, except by leave; see sect. 50, post, p. 266. By sect. 20 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act. 1876, no appeal lies where it is provided that the decision of a Court or judge shall be final.

(q) An appeal lies from a decision upon a question of fact; but where the evidence has been taken vivâ voce and the judge below has consequently had an opportunity of observing the demeanour of the witnesses, the Court of Appeal will be very slow to differ from him (Bigsby v. Dickinson, 4 Ch. D. 21).

[Sects. 20 and 21 are repealed by sect. 24 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876.]

36 & 37 Vict.

Transfer of pending business.

22. From and after the commencement of this Act the several jurisdictions which by this Act are transferred to and vested in the said High Court of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively shall cease to be exercised, except by the said High Court of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively, as provided by this Act; and no further or other appointment of any judge to any Court whose jurisdiction is so transferred shall be made except as provided by this Act: Provided. that in all causes, matters, and proceedings whatsoever which shall have been fully heard, and in which judgment shall not have been given, or having been given shall not have been signed, drawn up. passed, entered, or otherwise perfected at the time appointed for the commencement of this Act, such judgment, decree, rule, or order may be given or made, signed, drawn up, passed, entered, or perfected respectively, after the commencement of this Act, in the name of the same Court, and by the same judges and officers, and generally in the same manner, in all respects as if this Act had not passed; and the same shall take effect, to all intents and purposes, as if the same had been duly perfected before the commencement of this Act; and every judgment, decree, rule, or order of any Court whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High Court of Justice or the said Court of Appeal, which shall have been duly perfected at any time before the commencement of this Act, may be executed and enforced, and, if necessary, amended or discharged by the said High Court of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively, in the same manner as if it had been a judgment, decree, rule, or order of the said High Court or of the said Court of Appeal; and all causes, matters and proceedings whatsoever, whether civil or criminal, which shall be pending in any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is so transferred as aforesaid at the commencement of this Act, shall be continued and concluded, as follows (that is to say), in the case of proceedings in error or on appeal, or of proceedings before the Court of Appeal in Chancery, in and before her Majesty's Court of Appeal; and, as to all other proceedings, in and before her Majesty's High Court of Justice. The said Courts respectively shall have the same jurisdiction in relation to all such causes. matters and proceedings as if the same had been commenced in the said High Court of Justice, and continued therein (or in the said Court of Appeal, as the case may be) down to the point at which the transfer takes place; and, so far as relates to the form and manner of procedure, such causes, matters and proceedings, or any of them, may be continued and concluded, in and before the said Courts respectively, either in the same or the like manner as they would have been continued and concluded in the respective Courts from which they shall have been transferred as aforesaid, or according to the ordinary course of the said High Court of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively (so far as the same may be applicable thereto), as the said Courts respectively may think fit to direct.

23. The jurisdiction by this Act transferred to the said High Court

of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively shall be exercised 36 & 37 Vict. (so far as regards procedure and practice) in the manner provided by this Act, or by such rules and orders of Court as may be made pur-exercise of suant to this Act(r); and where no special provision is contained in this Act or in any such rules or orders of Court with reference thereto, it shall be exercised as nearly as may be in the same manner as the same might have been exercised by the respective Courts from which such jurisdiction shall have been transferred, or by any of such Courts.

jurisdiction.

- (r) For the rules of Court now in force, see infra.
- 24. In every civil cause or matter commenced in the High Court Law and of Justice law and equity shall be administered by the High Court of concurrently Justice and the Court of Appeal respectively according to the rules administered. following:

- (1.) If any plaintiff or petitioner claims to be entitled to any equitable estate or right, or to relief upon any equitable ground against any deed, instrument, or contract, or against any right, title, or claim whatsoever asserted by any defendant or respondent in such cause or matter, or to any relief founded upon a legal right, which heretofore could only have been given by a Court of equity, the said Courts respectively, and every judge thereof, shall give to such plaintiff or petitioner such and the same relief as ought to have been given by the Court of Chancery in a suit or proceeding for the same or the like purpose properly instituted before the passing of this Act.
- (2.) If any defendant claims to be entitled to any equitable estate or right, or to relief upon any equitable ground against any deed, instrument, or contract, or against any right, title, or claim asserted by any plaintiff or petitioner in such cause or matter, or alleges any ground of equitable defence to any claim of the plaintiff or petitioner in such cause or matter, the said Courts respectively, and every judge thereof, shall give to every equitable estate, right, or ground of relief so claimed, and to every equitable defence so alleged, such and the same effect, by way of defence against the claim of such plaintiff or petitioner, as the Court of Chancery ought to have given if the same or the like matters had been relied on by way of defence in any suit or proceeding instituted in that Court for the same or the like purpose before the passing of this Act(s).
- (s) See as to this sub-section, Eyre v. Hughes, 2 Ch. D. 148; Mostyn v. West Mostyn Co., 1 C. P. D. 145.
 - (3.) The said Courts respectively, and every judge thereof, shall also have power to grant to any defendant in respect of any equitable estate or right, or other matter of equity, and also in respect of any legal estate, right, or title claimed or asserted by him, all such relief against any plaintiff or petitioner as such defendant shall have properly claimed by his pleading.

36 & 37 Viet. c. 66, s. 24.

and as the said Courts respectively, or any judge thereof, might have granted in any suit instituted for that purpose by the same defendant against the same plaintiff or petitioner; and also all such relief relating to or connected with the original subject of the cause or matter, and in like manner claimed against any other person, whether already a party to the same cause or matter or not, who shall have been duly served with notice in writing of such claim pursuant to any rule of Court or any order of the Court, as might properly have been granted against such person if he had been made a defendant to a cause duly instituted by the same defendant for the like purpose; and every person served with any such notice shall thenceforth be deemed a party to such cause or matter, with the same rights in respect of his defence against such claim, as if he had been duly sued in the ordinary way by such defendant (t).

- (t) See Ord. XIX. r. 3, and note (k) thereto, post, p. 355.
 - (4.) The said Courts respectively, and every judge thereof, shall recognize and take notice of all equitable estates, titles, and rights, and all equitable duties and liabilities appearing incidentally (u) in the course of any cause or matter, in the same manner in which the Court of Chancery would have recognized and taken notice of the same in any suit or proceeding duly instituted therein before the passing of this Act.
- (u) See Williams v. Snowden, W. N. (1880), 124.
- (5.) No cause or proceeding at any time pending in the High Court of Justice, or before the Court of Appeal, shall be restrained by prohibition or injunction; but every matter of equity on which an injunction against the prosecution of any such cause or proceeding might have been obtained, if this Act had not passed, either unconditionally or on any terms or conditions, may be relied on by way of defence thereto: Provided always, that nothing in this Act contained shall disable either of the said Courts from directing a stay of proceedings in any cause or matter pending before it if it shall think fit; and any person, whether a party or not to any such cause or matter, who would have been entitled, if this Act had not passed, to apply to any Court to restrain the prosecution thereof, or who may be entitled to enforce, by attachment or otherwise, any judgment, decree, rule, or order, contrary to which all or any part of the proceedings in such cause or matter may have been taken, shall be at liberty to apply to the said Courts respectively, by motion in a summary way, for a stay of proceedings in such cause or matter, either generally, or so far as may be necessary for the purposes of justice; and

the Court shall thereupon make such order as shall be 36 & 37 Viot. c. 66, s. 24. just(v).

(v) An action pending in one division cannot now be stayed by another division (Garbutt v. Fawcus, 1 Ch. D. 155); but a person can be restrained from instituting proceedings (Besant v. Wood, 12 Ch. D. 605; Cercle Co. v. Lavery, 18 Ch. D. 555, where a person claiming to be a creditor of a company was restrained from presenting a winding-up petition; Hart v. Hart, 18 Ch. D. 670). So where an action is brought against a company pending a winding-up petition, any application to stay proceedings in the action must be made to the Court in which the action is proceeding (Re Artistic Colour Co., 14 Ch. D. 502); and see Buckley, 4th ed. p. 206. As to the practice when a winding-up order has been made, see Ord. XLIX. r. 5, and note thereto, post, p. 465.

A judge of the Chancery Division cannot restrain a sheriff from dealing with

A judge of the Chancery Division cannot restrain a sheriff from dealing with goods taken in execution under a judgment of the Queen's Bench Division (Wright v. Redgrave, 11 Ch. D. 24; and see Powell v. Jewesbury, 9 Ch. D. p. 39; Crowle v. Russell, 4 C. P. D. 186).

A County Court before which an administration suit is pending cannot stay proceedings in the High Court in respect of claims proveable in the administration suit (Cobbold v. Pryke, 4 Ex. D. 315).

As to staying proceedings to enforce a compromise, see Eden v. Naish, 7 Ch. D. 781; and as to staying proceedings on the ground that there is another action pending for the same matter, see McHenry v. Lewis, 22 Ch. D. 397; Peruvian Co. v. Bockwoldi, 23 Ch. D. 225; Hyman v. Helm, 24 Ch. D. 531.

- (6.) Subject to the aforesaid provisions for giving effect to equitable rights and other matters of equity in manner aforesaid, and to the other express provisions of this Act, the said Courts respectively, and every judge thereof, shall recognise and give effect to all legal claims and demands, and all estates, titles, rights, duties, obligations, and liabilities existing by the common law or by any custom, or created by any statute, in the same manner as the same would have been recognised and given effect to if this Act had not passed by any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High Court of Justice.
- (7.) The High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal respectively, in the exercise of the jurisdiction vested in them by this Act in every cause or matter pending before them respectively, shall have power to grant, and shall grant, either absolutely or on such reasonable terms and conditions as to them shall seem just, all such remedies whatsoever as any of the parties thereto may appear to be entitled to in respect of any and every legal or equitable claim properly brought forward by them respectively in such cause or matter; so that, as far as possible, all matters so in controversy between the said parties respectively may be completely and finally determined, and all multiplicity of legal proceedings concerning any of such matters avoided (w).

⁽w) As to this sub-section, see In the goods of Tharp, 3 P. D. 76; Hedley v. Bates, 13 Ch. D. 498; Dowdeswell v. Dowdeswell, 9 Ch. D. 294; and (as to cross actions) Thomson v. South Eastern Ry., 9 Q. B. D. 320. Under it the Court may enforce a compromise entered into pending an action on a summons in the action (Eden v. Naish, 7 Ch. D. 781); and see further as to enforcing a compromise, Scully v. Lord Dundonald, 8 Ch. D. 658; Re Gaudet, 12 Ch. D. 882; Hart v. Hart, 18 Ch. D. 670. So long as the final judgment in an action remains unsatisfied, the action is a "cause or matter pending" within this sub-section (Salt v. Cooper, 16 Ch. D. 544);

36 & 37 Vict. see, however, Leggott v. Western, 12 Q. B. D. 287. "A cause is said to be pending c. 66, s. 24. in a Court of justice when any proceeding can be taken in it" (Re Clagett, 20 Ch. D. p. 653).

Rules of law upon certain points.

25. And whereas it is expedient to take occasion of the union of the several Courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High Court of Justice to amend and declare the law to be hereafter administered in England as to the matters next hereinafter mentioned: Be it enacted as follows:-

[Sub-sect. 1, as to the administration of assets of insolvent estates, was repealed, and sect. 10 of the Judicature Act, 1875, substituted for it; see this section, post, p. 279.]

Statutes of Limitation inapplicable to express trusts.

- (2.) No claim of a cestui que trust against his trustee for any property held on an express trust, or in respect of any breach of such trust, shall be held to be barred by any Statute of Limitations (x).
- (x) See 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 27, s. 25; 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 10; Lewin, p. 733 et seq., 7th ed.; this section of the Statute of Limitations is now, it seems, extended to personal estate (Banner v. Berridge, 18 Ch. D. p. 262).

Equitable waste.

(3.) An estate for life without impeachment of waste shall not confer or be deemed to have conferred upon the tenant for life any legal right to commit waste of the description known as equitable waste, unless an intention to confer such right shall expressly appear by the instrument creating such estate.

Merger.

- (4.) There shall not, after the commencement of this Act, be any merger by operation of law only of any estate, the beneficial interest in which would not be deemed to be merged or extinguished in equity (y).
- (y) See Chambers v. Kingham, 10 Ch. D. 743; Hyde v. Warden, 3 Ex. D. 72.

Suits for possession of fand by mortgagors.

- (5.) A mortgagor entitled for the time being to the possession or receipt of the rents and profits of any land as to which no notice of his intention to take possession or to enter into the receipt of the rents and profits thereof shall have been given by the mortgagee, may sue for such possession, or for the recovery of such rents or profits, or to prevent or recover damages in respect of any trespass or other wrong relative thereto, in his own name only, unless the cause of action arises upon a lease or other contract made by him jointly with any other person (z).
- (z) A mortgagor in receipt of the rents and profits may maintain an action for an injunction to restrain an injury to the mortgaged property without joining the mortgagee; see Fairclough v. Marshall, 4 Ex. D. 37.

Assignment of debts and choses in action.

(6.) Any absolute assignment, by writing under the hand of the assignor (not purporting to be by way of charge only), of any debt or other legal chose in action, of which express notice in writing shall have been given to the debtor, trustee,

or other person from whom the assignor would have been 36 & 37 Vict. entitled to receive or claim such debt or chose in action, shall be, and be deemed to have been effectual in law (subject to all equities which would have been entitled to priority over the right of the assignee if this Act had not passed), to pass and transfer the legal right to such debt or chose in action from the date of such notice, and all legal and other remedies for the same, and the power to give a good discharge for the same, without the concurrence of the assignor: Provided always, that if the debtor, trustee, or other person liable in respect of such debt or chose in action shall have had notice that such assignment is disputed by the assignor or any one claiming under him, or of any other opposing or conflicting claims to such debt or chose in action, he shall be entitled, if he think fit, to call upon the several persons making claim thereto to interplead concerning the same, or he may, if he think fit, pay the same into the High Court of Justice under and in conformity with the provisions of the Acts for the relief

c. 66, s. 25.

(a) This sub-section relates only to procedure, and does not make that an assign- Assignment ment which was not so before the Judicature Acts, e.g. a cheque (Schrader v. Central of choses in Bank, 24 W. R. 710).

of trustees (a).

action.

Bank, 24 W. R. 710).

As to the effect of the words "subject to all equities, &c.," see Young v. Kitchin, 3 Ex. D. 127; Ex parte Theys, 22 Ch. D. 122; affirmed, (C. A.) 25 Ch. D. 587.

The proviso at the end of the sub-section only applies to a case where there has been an absolute assignment in writing (Re Sutton, 12 Ch. D. 175). As to what is such an assignment, see Southwell v. Scotter, 49 L. J., Q. B. 356; Brice v. Bannister, 3 Q. B. D. 569; Buck v. Robson, ibid. 686; Exparte Hall, 10 Ch. D. 615; British Waggon Co. v. Lea, 5 Q. B. D. 149. An assignment of a mortgage debt on a sub-mortgage is not, it seems, an "absolute assignment" within the rule (National Provincial Bank v. Harle, 6 Q. B. D. 626); secus, as to a deed by which debts are assigned to the plaintiff upon trust to receive the same, and thereout pay himself a sum due to him and hand the surplus to the assignor (Burlinson v. Hall, 12 Q. B. D. 347); and see Walker v. Bradford Bank. 12 Q. B. D. 511. As to payment into 347); and see Walker v. Bradford Bank, 12 Q. B. D. 511. As to payment into Trustee Court under the Trustee Relief Act, see ante, p. 50 et seq.

Relief Act.

(7.) Stipulations in contracts, as to time or otherwise, which would Stipulations not before the passing of this Act(b) have been deemed to be not of the or to have become of the essence of such contracts in a Court contracts. of equity, shall receive in all Courts the same construction and effect as they would have heretofore received in equity.

- (b) By Judicature Act, 1875, s. 10, the reference to the dats of the passing of the Act of 1873 is to be deemed to refer to the date of the commencement of the Act; and by Judicature (Commencement) Act, 1874, s. 2, the date fixed for the commencement of the Act of 1873 was November 1st, 1875. As to time being of the essence of the contract, see Noble v. Edwardes, 5 Ch. D. 378.
 - (8.) A mandamus or an injunction may be granted, or a receiver Injunctions appointed by an interlocutory order of the Court in all cases in which it shall appear to the Court to be just or convenient that such order should be made; and any such order may be made either unconditionally or upon such terms and conditions as the Court shall think just; and if an injunction is asked, either before, or at, or after the hearing of any cause or

36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25. matter, to prevent any threatened or apprehended waste or trespass, such injunction may be granted, if the Court shall think fit, whether the person against whom such injunction is sought is or is not in possession under any claim of title or otherwise, or (if out of possession) does or does not claim a right to do the act sought to be restrained under any colour of title; and whether the estates claimed by both or by either of the parties are legal or equitable (c).

(c) See Ord. L. r. 6, and notes thereto, post, p. 467.

Damages by collisions at sea. (9.) In any cause or proceeding for damages arising out of a collision between two ships, if both ships shall be found to have been in fault, the rules hitherto in force in the Court of Admiralty, so far as they have been at variance with the rules in force in the Courts of Common Law, shall prevail.

Infants.

- (10.) In questions relating to the custody and education of infants the rules of equity shall prevail (d).
- (d) As to the custody and education of infants, see the Custody of Infants Act, 1873, and notes thereto, ante, p. 97; Re Ethel Brown, 13 Q. B. D. 614.

Cases of conflict not enumerated.

- (11.) Generally in all matters not hereinbefore particularly mentioned, in which there is any conflict or variance between the rules of equity and the rules of the common law with reference to the same matter, the rules of equity shall prevail (e).
- (e) An executor or administrator is now in the position of a gratuitous bailes, both at law and in equity, and cannot be charged with loss of the assets without wilful default (Job v. Job, 6 Ch. D. 564). So where an executor or administrator voluntarily pays one creditor in full before judgment, in an administration action, the equity rule prevails, and the executor will be allowed the payment in his accounts (Re Radcliffe, 7 Ch. D. 733). Again, a person occupying under an executory agreement for a lease no longer becomes a mere tenant from year to year by the payment of rent, but must be treated as holding on the terms of the agreement (Walsh v. Lonsdale, 21 Ch. D. 9; 31 W. R. 110). See also Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia, 2 Ch. D. pp. 654, 658 (discovery); Kendall v. Hamilton, 4 App. Cas. 504 (joint and several liability of partners on contracts); Heath v. Pugh, 6 Q. B. D. p. 362 (mortgagor); Redgrave v. Hurd, 20 Ch. D. p. 12 (rescission of contracts).

The sub-section applies only to matters of substantive law (La Grange v. McAndrew, 4 Q. B. D. 210); in matters of practice, where no rule is laid down by the orders, and the old practice in equity and at law differed, the more convenient rule will be followed (Newbiggin Gas Co. v. Armstrong, 13 Ch. D. 310; Nurse v.

Durnford, ibid. 764).

PART III.

Sittings and Distribution of Business.

Abolition of terms.

26. The division of the legal year into terms shall be abolished so far as relates to the administration of justice; and there shall no longer be terms applicable to any sitting or business of the High Court of Justice, or of the Court of Appeal, or of any commissioners to whom any jurisdiction may be assigned under this Act; but in all other cases in which, under the law now existing, the terms into which the legal year is divided are used as a measure for determining the time at or within which any act is required to be done, the same may

continue to be referred to for the same or the like purpose, unless and 36 & 37 Vict. until provision is otherwise made by any lawful authority. Subject to rules of Court, the High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal, and the judges thereof respectively, or any such commissioners as aforesaid, shall have power to sit and act, at any time, and at any place, for the transaction of any part of the business of such Courts respectively, or of such judges or commissioners, or for the discharge of any duty which by any Act of Parliament, or otherwise, is required to be discharged during or after term (f).

(f) As to sittings and vacations, see Ord. LXIII. and notes thereto, post, p. 534. Notwithstanding this section "terms" may still be referred to for some purposes (College of Christ v. Martin, 3 Q. B. D. 16).

[Sect. 27 gives power by Order in Council to regulate vacations; see Ord. LXIII. post, p. 534.]

28. Provision shall be made by rules of Court for the hearing, in Sittings in London or Middlesex, during vacation by judges of the High Court vacation. of Justice and the Court of Appeal respectively, of all such applications as may require to be immediately or promptly heard.

29. Her Majesty, by commission of assize or by any other commis- Jurisdiction sion, either general or special, may assign to any judge or judges of High Court the High Court of Justice or other persons usually named in commis- on circuit. sions of assize, the duty of trying and determining within any place or district specially fixed for that purpose by such commission, any causes or matters, or any questions or issues of fact or of law, or partly of fact and partly of law, in any cause or matter depending in the said High Court, or the exercise of any civil or criminal jurisdiction capable of being exercised by the said High Court; and any commission so granted by her Majesty shall be of the same validity as if it were enacted in the body of this Act; and any commissioner or commissioners appointed in pursuance of this section shall, when engaged in the exercise of any jurisdiction assigned to him or them in pursuance of this Act, be deemed to constitute a Court of the said High Court of Justice; and, subject to any restrictions or conditions imposed by Rules of Court and to the power of transfer, any party to any cause or matter involving the trial of a question or issue of fact, or partly of fact and partly of law, may, with the leave of the judge or judges to whom or to whose division the cause or matter is assigned, require the question or issue to be tried and determined by a commissioner or commissioners as aforesaid, or at sittings to be held in Middlesex or London as hereinafter in this Act mentioned, and such question or issue shall be tried and determined accordingly.

A cause or matter not involving any question or issue of fact may be tried and determined in like manner with the consent of all the parties

30. Subject to Rules of Court, sittings for the trial by jury of causes Sittings for and questions or issues of fact shall be held in Middlesex and London, in London and such sittings shall, so far as is reasonably practicable, and subject and Middle-

o. 66, s. 30.

36 & 37 Vict. to vacations, be held continuously throughout the year by as many judges as the business to be disposed of may render necessary. judge of the High Court of Justice sitting for the trial of causes and issues in Middlesex or London, at any place heretofore accustomed, or to be hereafter determined by Rules of Court, shall be deemed to constitute a Court of the said High Court of Justice.

Divisions of the High Court of Justice.

- 31. For the more convenient despatch of business in the said High Court of Justice (but not so as to prevent any judge from sitting whenever required in any Divisional Court, or for any judge of a different division from his own,) there shall be in the said High Court five divisions consisting of such number of judges respectively as hereinafter mentioned. Such five divisions shall respectively include, immediately on the commencement of this Act, the several judges following; (that is to say,)
 - (1.) One division shall consist of the following judges; (that is to say), The Lord Chancellor, who shall be president thereof, the Master of the Rolls, and the Vice-Chancellors of the Court of Chancery, or such of them as shall not be appointed ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal.

The section provides similarly for the judges of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, Exchequer, and Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Divisions.]

Any judge of any of the said divisions may be transferred by her Majesty, under her royal sign manual, from one to another of the said divisions.

Upon any vacancy happening among the judges of the said High Court, the judge appointed to fill such vacancy shall, subject to the provisions of this Act, and to any Rules of Court which may be made pursuant thereto, become a member of the same division to which the judge whose place has become vacant belonged (g).

(g) By an Order in Council dated December 16th, 1880, the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas and Exchequer Divisions were consolidated into one division, called the Queen's Bench Division, under the presidency of the Lord Chief Justice of England, and the offices of Chief Justice of the Common Pleas and Chief Baron were abolished.

The section originally contained a provision as to supplying any deficiency in the number of judges, but this was repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act,

[Sect. 32 gives power to alter the divisions by Order in Council; see note to sect. 31.]

Rules of Court to provide for distribution of business.

33. All causes and matters which may be commenced in, or which shall be transferred by this Act to, the High Court of Justice, shall be distributed among the several divisions and judges of the said High Court, in such manner as may from time to time be determined by any Rules of Court, or Orders of Transfer, to be made under the authority of this Act; and in the meantime, and subject thereto, all such causes and matters shall be assigned to the said divisions respectively, in the manner hereinafter provided. Every document by which any cause or matter may be commenced in the said High Court shall be marked with the name of the division, or with the name of the judge, to which 36 & 37 Vict. or to whom the same is assigned.

e. 66, s. 33.

34. There shall be assigned (subject as aforesaid) to the Chancery Assignment Division of the said Court:

(1.) All causes and matters pending in the Court of Chancery at the commencement of this Act:

of certain business to particular divisions of High Court,

- (2.) All causes and matters to be commenced after the commence-subject to ment of this Act, under any Act of Parliament by which rules. exclusive jurisdiction, in respect to such causes or matters. has been given to the Court of Chancery, or to any judges or judge thereof respectively, except appeals from County Courts:
- (3.) All causes and matters for any of the following purposes:

The administration of the estates of deceased persons; The dissolution of partnerships or the taking of partnership or other accounts;

The redemption or foreclosure of mortgages;

The raising of portions, or other charges on land;

The sale and distribution of the proceeds of property subject to any lien or charge;

The execution of trusts, charitable or private;

The rectification, or setting aside, or cancellation of deeds or other written instruments:

The specific performance of contracts between vendors and purchasers of real estates, including contracts for leases; The partition or sale of real estates;

The wardship of infants and the care of infants' estates (h). There shall be assigned (subject as aforesaid) to the Queen's Bench Division of the said Court:

- (1.) All causes and matters, civil and criminal, pending in the Court of Queen's Bench at the commencement of this Act:
- (2.) All causes and matters, civil and criminal, which would have been within the exclusive cognizance of the Court of Queen's Bench in the exercise of its original jurisdiction, if this Act had not passed.

[The remainder of the section similarly assigns to the Common Pleas, Exchequer, and Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Divisions (1), All matters pending in the Court of Common Pless, &c., respectively; and (2), causes and matters which would have been within the exclusive cognisance of the Court of Common Pless, &c., respectively, if the Act had not passed. By the Order in Council of Dec. 16th, 1880, all causes, matters, and other proceedings assigned or belonging to the Queen's Bench, Common Pless, and Exchequer Divisions respectively were assigned to the Queen's Bench Division.]

(h) This enumeration does not exhaust all the actions which were subject to Jurisdiction the old equity jurisdiction (Rogers v. Jones, 7 Ch. D. 349). An action falling of the within one of the classes enumerated will not be sent for trial with a jury unless it Chancery involves a simple issue of fact, the determination of which will decide the action (Cardinall v. Cardinall, 25 Ch. D. 772). But see Philips v. Beale, 26 Ch. D. 621, cited in note (z) to Ord. XXXVI. r. 1.

Where a partnership action, instituted in the Common Place Division.

Where s. partnership action, instituted in the Common Pless Division, was considered by the Court better adapted for a reference than for a transfer to the Chancery Division, such transfer was refused (Warner v. Dell, W. N. (1875), 259);

36 & 37 Vict.

c. 66, s. 34.

but see Hillman v. Mayhew, 1 Ex. D. 132, where a counterclaim involving the right to specific performance was transferred to the Chancery Division by a judge of the Common Pleas Division, though the action was in the Exchequer Division. Where the defendant in an action in the Common Pleas Division relied on an equity to have a deed set aside or reformed as part of his defence, that Division held that it could give effect to the equity so far as was incidental to the purposes of the defence

(Mostyn v. The West Mostyn Coal and Iron Co., 1 C. P. D. 145).

A judge of the Chancery Division has now, it is said, jurisdiction (but see Priestman v. Thomas, 9 P. D. 210) to grant or recall probate of a will, but he would not be using a sound discretion if he were to exercise that jurisdiction (Pinney v. Hunt, 6 Ch. D. 98; Bradford v. Young, 26 Ch. D. 656).

Option to choose division.

[Sect. 35, giving a plaintiff option to choose in what division he will sue, is repealed by Judicature Act, 1875, and substantially re-enacted by sect. 11 of that

Power of transfer.

- 36. Any cause or matter may at any time, and at any stage thereof, and either with or without application from any of the parties thereto, be transferred by such authority and in such manner as rules of Court may direct, from one division or judge of the High Court of Justice to any other division or judge thereof, or may by the like authority be retained in the division in which the same was commenced, although such may not be the proper division to which the same cause or matter ought, in the first instance, to have been assigned (i).
 - (i) As to transfers, see Ord. XLIX. rr. 1-4, and notes thereto, post, pp. 463-465.

Sittings in London and Middlesex and on circuits.

- 37. Subject to any arrangements which may be from time to time made by mutual agreement between the judges of the said High Court, the sittings for trials by jury in London and Middlesex (k), and the sittings of judges of the said High Court under commissions of assize, over and terminer, and gaol delivery, shall be held by or before judges of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer Division of the said High Court; provided that it shall be lawful for her Majesty, if she shall think fit, to include in any such commission any ordinary judge of the Court of Appeal or any judge of the Chancery Division to be appointed after the commencement of this Act, or any serjeantat-law, or any of her Majesty's counsel learned in the law, who, for the purposes of such commission, shall have all the power, authority, and jurisdiction of a judge of the said High Court (l).
- (k) The judges of the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division are included (Judicature Act, 1875, s. 8). (1) The words in italics are repealed (Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, s. 1).

[Sect. 38, relating to the rota of judges for election petitions, is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, s. 1. See now Judicature Act, 1881, s. 15.]

Powers of one or more judges not constituting a divisional Court.

39. Any judge of the said High Court of Justice may, subject to any rules of Court, exercise in Court or in chambers all or any part of the jurisdiction by this Act vested in the said High Court, in all such causes and matters, and in all such proceedings in any causes or matters, as before the passing of this Act might have been heard in Court or in chambers respectively, by a single judge of any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High Court, or as may be directed or authorized to be so heard by any rules of Court to be hereafter made. In all such cases, any judge sitting in Court shall be 36 & 37 Vict. deemed to constitute a Court (m).

c. 66, s. 39.

(m) In the Chancery Division an application for a charging order under sect. 28 of the Solicitors Act, 1860, is properly made by petition in the action (Brown v. Trotman, 12 Ch. D. 880); though in the Queen's Bench Division the order may be made at chambers (Clover v. Adams, 6 Q. B. D. 622). As to the jurisdiction at chambers generally, see Hillman v. Mayhew, 1 Ex. D. 132; Baker v. Oakes, 2 Q. B. D. 171; Hoch v. Boor, 43 L. T. 425. An order for attachment will not in the Chancery Division be made on summons (Re Keight K. Gardiner, W. N. (1883) 169). Division be made on summons (Re Knight, Knight v. Gardiner, W. N. (1883), 162); secus, in the Queen's Bench Division (Salm Kyrburg v. Posnanski, 13 Q. B. D. 218).

[By sect. 40 such causes and matters as are not proper to be heard by a single judge were to be heard by Divisional Courts. Sect. 41 made provision for Divisional Courts, for the business of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchaquer Divisions. By sect. 42, business arising out of any cause or matter assigned to the Chancery Division is to be transacted and disposed of in the first instance by one judge only, as heretofore accustomed, and every cause or matter commenced in the Chancery Division of the said High Court is to be assigned to one of the judges thereof, by marking the same with the name of such of the said judges as the plaintiff or petitioner (subject to the power of transfer) may in his option think fit. See now Ord. V. r. 9, post, p. 312. By sect. 43, Divisional Courts might be held for the transaction of business assigned to the said Chancery Division; and sect. 44 contains a provision similar to that in sect. 43, as to the Probate Division. All these five sections, so far as inconsistent with sect. 17 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, were repealed by that section; and the whole of sect. 43, and parts of sects. 40, 42 and 44, were repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 45 provides that appeals from inferior Courts shall be determined by Divisional Courts. It is amended by Judicature Act, 1884, s. 8.]

46. Subject to any Rules of Court, any judge of the said High Cases and Court, sitting in the exercise of its jurisdiction elsewhere than in a be reserved Divisional Court, may reserve any case, or any point in a case, for the for or directed consideration of a Divisional Court, or may direct any case, or point in before divia case, to be argued before a Divisional Court; and any Divisional sional Courts. Court of the said High Court shall have power to hear and determine any such case or point so reserved or so directed to be argued (n).

(n) See Judicature Act, 1875, s. 22, and Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, s. 17.

[Sect. 47 relates to Crown cases reserved; part of it was repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

Sect. 48, relating to motions for new trials, was repealed by Judicature Act, 1875.]

49. No order made by the High Court of Justice or any judge What orders thereof, by the consent of parties (o) or as to costs only, which by law shall not be subject to are left to the discretion of the Court (p), shall be subject to any ap-appeal. peal, except by leave (q) of the Court or judge making such order.

(o) As to orders by consent, see Dan. 687; Att.-Gen. v. Tomline, 7 Ch. D. 388; Orders by Davis v. Davis, 13 Ch. D. 861. A consent given by the authority of the client canconsent. not be arbitrarily withdrawn; if it is given under a mistake it can be withdrawn, but only on application to the Court (Harvey v. Croydon Sanitary Authority, 26 Ch. D. 249).

Ch. D. 249).

Where a case has been settled without the interference of the Court, and the parties subsequently differ as to the terms, the Court will treat the matter as if no order had been made (Practice, W. N. (1884), 91).

(p) The rule against appealing for costs in the discretion of the Court is imperative; see Harris v. Aaron, 4 Ch. D. 749; 46 L. J. Ch. 488; 25 W. R. 353; 36 costs.

L. T. 43; Harpham v. Shacklock, 19 Ch. D. 215; Llanover v. Homfray, Phillips v. Llanover, ibid. p. 231; Graham v. Campbell, 7 Ch. D. 490; 47 L. J. Ch. 593; 26 W. R. 336; 38 L. T. 195. No appeal lies from a judge's order as to the plaintiff's

Order merely directing a party guilty of contempt to pay the costs may be appealed from.

Secus, where the application to commit is refused.

Costs of trustees and mortgagees.

Where a question of principle is involved an appeal liee.

Leave to appeal.

As to discharging orders made in chambers.

36 & 37 Vict. costs in an interpleader issue (Hartmont v. Foster, 8 Q. B. D. 82), nor from an order giving costs out of an estate which in effect belongs to the defendant, the successful party (Butcher v. Pooler, 24 Ch. D. 273); nor from an order as to the costs of an inspection (Mitchell v. Darley Co., 10 Q. B. D. 457); and see Perkins v. Beresford,

An order declaring that a defendant has committed a breach of an injunction, but giving no directions, except that he pay the costs of the application to commit, is not within the section, and may be appealed from (Witt v. Corcoran, 2 Ch. D. 69; 45 L. J. Ch. 603; 24 W. R. 501; 34 L. T. 550; Re Clements, 46 L. J. Ch. 375). Where the application is refused, however, there can be no appeal (Ashworth v. Outran (No. 2), 5 Ch. D. 953; Hope v. Carnegie, 4 Ch. 264; and see also Krehl v. Burrell, W. N. (1883), 177); but see contra, Jarmain v. Chatterton, 20 Ch. D. 493. In the same way, where at the trial the Court simply orders the defendant to pay the costs of the action, an appeal will lie; for no such order could have been made without admitting that the plaintiff was entitled to bring the action, and this is therefore the real question at issue in the appeal (Dicks v. Yates, 18 Ch. D. 76).

The right of a trustee or mortegage to costs out of the estate is a matter of contract: An order declaring that a defendant has committed a breach of an injunction, but

The right of a trustee or mortgagee to costs out of the estate is a matter of contract; such costs are not in the discretion of the Court, in the ordinary sense of the term, and if a trustee or mortgagee is deprived of costs or ordered to pay them he may appeal; for the real question involved in the appeal is whether he has been guilty appear; for the real question involved in the appear is whether lie has been gintly of misconduct (Re Sarah Knight, 26 Ch. D. p. 90; Cotterell v. Stratton, 8 Ch. 295; Turner v. Hancock, 20 Ch. D. 303; Re Chennell, 8 Ch. D. 492; 47 L. J. Ch. 583; 26 W. R. 595; 38 L. T. 494); Re Hoskin, 6 Ch. D. 281, and Taylor v. Dovlen, 4 Ch. 697, are overruled. So an official liquidator may appeal when refused costs out of the estate (Re Silver Valley Mines, 21 Ch. D. 381); and a solicitor ordered to pay costs personally may appeal (Re Bradford, W. N. (1883), 230; reversing S. C. below, 11 Q. B. D. 373; Re Milton, 32 W. R. 238).

Where in a suit between incumbrancers to ascertain priorities in a fund, the Court decided in favour of one of the defendants, and ordered the costs of the action to be paid out of the fund, an appeal by the successful defendant as to the costs was allowed (Johnstone v. Cox, 19 Ch. D. 17).

If a decision, although relating to costs, also involves a question of law and principle, it is clearly the subject of appeal (Re Rio Grande Do Sul Steamship Co., 5 Ch. D. 282; 46 L. J. Ch. 277; 25 W. R. 328; 36 L. T. 603; see also Ex parte Waddell, 6 Ch. D. 331). And where an innocent vicar and churchwardens had been ordered to pay the costs of a suit to which they were parties merely in their representative character, it was said that an appeal by them for costs only would have been entertained (Etherington v. Wilson, 1 Ch. D. 160; 45 L. J. Ch. 153; 24 W. R. 303; 33 L. T. 652).

The section does not apply to a master or a district registrar, and therefore a judge can vary as to costs the order of a district registrar, dismissing an action

without costs (Foster v. Edwards, 48 L. J. C. P. 767).

No appeal lies to the House of Lords for costs alone (Inglis v. Mansfield, 3 Cl. & F. 362; Metropolitan Asylum District v. Hill, 5 App. Cas. 582). But an appeal against an order which imposes as a condition of having a new trial the payment within a certain time of the costs of the first trial is not within the rule (ibid.).

(q) If a defendant to an action which is dismissed without costs wishes to appeal, he should apply when the action is dismissed; leave to appeal will not be given on an application by him after the plaintiff has given notice of, and set down, an appeal (May v. Thompson (2), W. N. (1882), 53).

- 50. Every order made by a judge of the said High Court in Chambers, except orders made in the exercise of such discretion as aforesaid, may be set aside or discharged upon notice by any Divisional Court, or by the judge sitting in Court, according to the course and practice of the division of the High Court to which the particular cause or matter in which such order is made may be assigned (r); and no appeal shall lie from any such order, to set aside or discharge which no such motion has been made, unless by special leave of the judge by whom such order was made, or of the Court of Appeal (s).
- (r) If the case has been heard in Chambers only and not adjourned into Court, the intending appellant should move to discharge the order, so as to give the judge an opportunity of stating his reasons (Holloway v. Cheston, 19 Ch. D. 516; but see

contra, Re Butler, 21 Ch. D. 131. The motion should be made within twenty-one 36 & 37 Vict.

c. 66, s. 50.

- contra, Re Butler, 21 Ch. D. 131. The motion should be made within twenty-one days from the time the order was pronounced or the appellant first had notice of it, or from the refusal, if the order is refused (Re Woodbridge, W. N. (1884), 187; Re Hardwidge, ibid. 204; Heatley v. Newton, 19 Ch. D. 326).

 (s) The Court of Appeal only requires to be satisfied that the judge has so fully heard the case that he does not desire to hear further argument. The judge's own certificate is the best means of proving this; but if no certificate has been obtained, application should be made to the Court of Appeal for leave to set down the appeal without any certificate, which will be granted as a matter of course (Re Elsom, 6 Ch. D. 346; Dickson v. Harrison, 9 Ch. D. 243; Northampton Co. v. Midland Waggon Co.. 7 Ch. D. 500). Co., 7 Ch. D. 500).
- 51. Upon the request of the Lord Chancellor, it shall be lawful Provision for for any judge of the Court of Appeal, who may consent so to do, vacancy in to sit and act as a judge of the said High Court or to perform any the office of other official or ministerial acts for or on behalf of any judge absent a judge. from illness or any other cause, or in the place of any judge whose office has become vacant, or as an additional judge of any division; and while so sitting and acting any such judge of the Court of Appeal shall have all the power and authority of a judge of the said High Court (t).

- (t) See Chapman v. Real Property Trust, 7 Ch. D. 732; Johnstone v. Royal Courts Co., W. N. (1883), 5.
- 52. In any cause or matter pending before the Court of Appeal, any Power of a direction incidental thereto, not involving the decision of the appeal, single judge may be given by a single judge of the Court of Appeal; and a single Appeal. judge of the Court of Appeal may at any time during vacation make any interim order to prevent prejudice to the claims of any parties pending an appeal as he may think fit; but every such order made by a single judge may be discharged or varied by the Court of Appeal or a Divisional Court thereof.

- [Sect. 53, relating to Divisional Courts of the Court of Appeal, is repealed; see Judicature Act, 1875, ss. 12, 33.]
- [Sect. 54, as to judges sitting on appeal from their own judgments, is repealed. See sect. 4 of the Act of 1875.]

[Sect. 55, relating to arrangements for the business of the Court of Appeal, and for hearing appeals transferred from the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, is repealed by Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, s. 24.]

PART IV.

Trial and Procedure.

56. Subject to any rules of Court and to such right as may now References exist to have particular cases submitted to the verdict of a jury, any and assessors question arising in any cause or matter (other than a criminal proceeding by the Crown) before the High Court of Justice or before the Court of Appeal, may be referred by the Court or by any Divisional Court or judge before whom such cause or matter may be pending. for inquiry and report to any official or special referee, and the report of any such referee may be adopted wholly or partially by the Court, and may (if so adopted) be enforced as a judgment by the Court. The High Court or the Court of Appeal may also, in any such cause or matter as aforesaid in which it may think it expedient so to do.

o. 66, s. 56.

36 & 37 Vict. call in the aid of one or more assessors specially qualified, and try and hear such cause or matter wholly or partially with the assistance of such assessors. The remuneration, if any, to be paid to such special referees or assessors shall be determined by the Court.

Power to direct trials before referees.

57. In any cause or matter (other than a criminal proceeding by the Crown) before the said High Court in which all parties interested who are under no disability consent thereto, and also without such consent in any such cause or matter requiring any prolonged examination of documents or accounts, or any scientific or local investigation which cannot, in the opinion of the Court or a judge, conveniently be made before a jury, or conducted by the Court through its other ordinary officers, the Court or a judge may at any time, on such terms as may be thought proper, order any question or issue of fact or any question of account arising therein to be tried either before an official referee, to be appointed as hereinafter provided, or before a special referee to be agreed on between the parties; and any such special referee so agreed on shall have the same powers and duties and proceed in the same manner as an official referee. All such trials before referees shall be conducted in such manner as may be prescribed by rules of Court, and subject thereto in such manner as the Court or judge ordering the same shall direct (u).

Reference to official referee.

(u) Under this section the Court had no power to order an action to be referred to an official referee (Longman v. East, 3 C. P. D. 142; Braginton v. Yates, W. N. (1880), 150); though all the issues in an action might be referred (Ward v. Pilley, 5 Q. B. D. 427); see now Judicature Act, 1884, e. 9, infra. A large construction is given to the word "account," so as to include questions requiring scientific investigation (Rowcliffe v. Leigh, 3 Ch. D. 292; 24 W. R. 782). But queetions involving charges of fraud ought not to be referred (Leigh v. Brooks, 5 Ch. D. 592); nor mixed questions of fact and account (Ward v. Hall, W. N. (1880), 69). For instances where a reference was directed, see Saxby v. Gloucester Wagon Co., W. N. (1880), 23 (issues of fact in a patent action); Broder v. Saillard, 2 Ch. D. 694 (nuisance); Stafford v. Coxon, W. N. (1877), 138 (damages in an action for specific performance); Hoch v. Boor, W. N. (1880), 93 (issues in an action to recover halance of salary). As to the meaning of "prolonged examination of documents," see Ormerod v. Todnorden Co., 8 Q. B. D. 664. An appeal lies from an order under this section (ibid.). An application to eet aside the findings of a referee is made by motion on notice (Dyke v. Cannell, 11 Q. B. D. 180; Bedborough v. Army Co., 53 L. J. Ch. 658; 50 L. T. 173). As to proceedings before a referee, see Ord. XXXVI. Part VIII., infra. See also Judicature Act, 1884, sect. 11, infra. (u) Under this section the Court had no power to order an action to be referred

Power of referees and effect of their findings.

- 58. In all cases of any reference to or trial by referees under this Act the referees shall be deemed to be officers of the Court, and shall have such authority for the purpose of such reference or trial as shall be prescribed by rules of Court or (subject to such rules) by the Court or judge ordering such reference or trial; and the report of any referee upon any question of fact on any such trial shall (unless set aside by the Court) be equivalent to the verdict of a jury (v).
- (v) See note to s. 57; and eee also Sullivan v. Rivington, 28 W. R. 372; Walker v. Bunkell, 22 Ch. D. 722.

Powers of Court with respect to proceedings ĥefore referees.

59. With respect to all such proceedings before referees and their reports, the Court or such judge as aforesaid shall have, in addition to any other powers, the same or the like powers as are given to any Court whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High Court with respect to references to arbitration and proceedings before arbitrators and their awards respectively, by the Common Law Procedure 36 & 37 Vict. Act, 1854(w).

o. 66, s. 59.

(w) See Ord. XXXVI. r. 10, and note thereto, infra.

60. And whereas it is expedient to facilitate the prosecution in Her Majesty country districts of such proceedings as may be more speedily, cheaply, may establish district regisand conveniently carried on therein, it shall be lawful for her Majesty, tries in the by Order in Council, from time to time to direct that there shall be country for district registrars in such places as shall be in such order mentioned Court. for districts to be thereby defined, from which writs of summons for the commencement of actions in the High Court of Justice may be issued, and in which such proceedings may be taken and recorded as are hereinafter mentioned; and her Majesty may thereby appoint that any registrar of any County Court, or any registrar or prothonotary or district prothonotary of any local Court whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High Court of Justice, or from which an appeal is hereby given to the said Court of Appeal, or any person who, having been a district registrar of the Court of Probate, or of the Admiralty Court, shall under this Act become and be a district registrar of the said High Court of Justice, or who shall hereafter be appointed such district registrar, shall and may be a district registrar of the said High Court for the purpose of issuing such writs as aforesaid, and having such proceedings taken before him as are hereinafter mentioned. This section shall come into operation immediately upon the passing of this Act(x).

(x) This section is amended by sect. 13 of the Judicature Act, 1875, which provides that there may be joint registrars, and also that "every district registrar" shall be deemed to be an officer of the Supreme Court, and he subject accordingly

"to the jurisdiction of such Court, and of the divisions thereof."

An Order of her Majesty in Council, dated 12th August, 1875, after reciting this section appointed certain officers to be district registrars in Liverpool, Manchester, Preston, and Durham, and provided that the registrar of the County Court should be the district registrar in the following places:

Bangor. Barnsley Barnstaple. Bedford. Birkenhead. Birmingham. Boston. Bradford. Bridgewater. Brighton. Bristol. Bury St. Edmunds. Cambridge. Cardiff. Carliele. Carmarthen. Cheltenham. Chester. Colchester. Derby. Dewsbury. Dover.

Dorchester.

Dudley.

East Stonehouse. Exeter. Gloucester. Great Grimsby. Great Yarmouth. Halifax. Hanley. Hartlepool. Hereford. Huddersfield. Ipswich. Kingston-on-Hull. Kings Lynn. Leeds. Leicester. Lincoln. Lowestoft. Maidstone. Newcastle-upon-Tyne. Newport, Monmouth. Newport, Isle of Wight. Newfown.

Pembroke Docks. Peterborough. Poole. Portsmouth. Ramegate. Rochester. Sheffield. Shrewsbury. Southampton Stockton-on-Tees. Sunderland. Swansea. Truro. Totass. Wakefield. Walsall. Whitehaven. Wolverhampton. \mathbf{W} orcester. York.

Norwich.

Oxford.

Nottingham.

By an Order in Council, dated Aug. 11th, 1884, Aberystwith, Carnarvon, and Winchester, were added to this list (W. N. (1884), Part II., p. 425). See also Judicature Act, 1881, s. 22.

Northampton.

36 & 37 Viet. c. 66, s. 61.

Seals of district registries. 61. In every such district registry such seal shall be used as the Lord Chancellor shall from time to time, either before or after the time fixed for the commencement of this Act, direct, which seal shall be impressed on every writ and other document issued out of or filed in such district registry, and all such writs and documents, and all exemplifications and copies thereof, purporting to be sealed with the seal of any such district registry, shall in all parts of the United Kingdom be received in evidence without further proof thereof.

Powers of district registrars.

62. All such district registrars shall have power to administer oaths and perform such other duties in respect of any proceedings pending in the said High Court of Justice or in the said Court of Appeal as may be assigned to them from time to time by rules of Court, or by any special order of the Court.

[Sect. 63, as to fees in district registries, is repealed by the Act of 1875; and see sect. 26 of that Act.]

Proceedings to be taken in district registries.

64. Subject to the Rules of Court in force for the time being, writs of summons for the commencement of actions in the High Court of Justice shall be issued by the district registrars when thereunto required; and unless any order to the contrary shall be made by the High Court of Justice, or by any judge thereof, all such further proceedings, including proceedings for the arrest or detention of a ship, her tackle, apparel, furniture, cargo, or freight, as may and ought to be taken by the respective parties to such action in the said High Court down to and including entry for trial, or (if the plaintiff is entitled to sign final judgment or to obtain an order for an account by reason of the non-appearance of the defendant) down to and including final judgment, or an order for an account, may be taken before the district registrar, and recorded in the district registry, in such manner as may be prescribed by Rules of Court; and all such other proceedings in any such action as may be prescribed by Rules of Court shall be taken and if necessary may be recorded in the same district registry.

Power for Court to remove proceedings from district registries.

65. Any party to an action in which a writ of summons shall have been issued from any such district registry shall be at liberty at any time to apply, in such manner as shall be prescribed by Rules of Court, to the said High Court, or to a judge in chambers of the division of the said High Court to which the action may be assigned, to remove the proceedings from such district registry into the proper office of the said High Court; and the Court or judge may, if it be thought fit, grant such application, and in such case the proceedings and such original documents, if any, as may be filed therein shall upon receipt of such order be transmitted by the district registrar to the proper officer of the said High Court, and the said action shall thenceforth proceed in the said High Court in the same manner as if it had been originally commenced by a writ of summons issued out of the proper office in London; or the Court or judge, if it be thought right, may

thereupon direct that the proceedings may continue to be taken in such 36 & 37 Vict.

district registry.

66. It shall be lawful for the Court, or any judge of the division to Accounts and which any cause or matter pending in the said High Court is assigned, inquiries may be referred if it shall be thought fit, to order that any books or documents may be to district produced, or any accounts taken or inquiries made, in the office of or registrars. by any such district registrar, as aforesaid; and in any such case the district registrar shall proceed to carry all such directions into effect in the manner prescribed; and in any case in which any such accounts or inquiries shall have been directed to be taken or made by any district registrar, the report in writing of such district registrar as to the result of such accounts or inquiries may be acted upon by the Court, as to the Court shall seem fit (y).

o. 66, a. 65.

- (y) The report of the registrar ought to be in the form of a chief clerk's certificate (Re Bowen, 20 Ch. D. 538).
- 67. The provisions contained in the fifth, seventh, eighth, and tenth sections of the County Courts Acts,* 1867, shall apply to all actions commenced or pending in the said High Court of Justice in which any relief is sought which can be given in a County Court(z).

(z) These sections provide that in certain cases where small sums are in dispute the judge of a Superior Court may order a cause to he tried in a County Court, and proceedings in equity which might have been commenced in a County Court may be transferred to such Court.

Also, that if in any action commenced in a Superior Court the plaintiff shall recover a sum not exceeding 201. if the action is founded in contract, or 101. if founded in tort, he shall not be entitled to costs, unless the judge certifies that there was sufficient reason for proceeding in the Superior Court, or certifies for costs; Brown v. Rye, 17 Eq. 343, where it was held that these sections did not prevent a mortgagee recovering his usual costs in equity, will probably ne longer

prevent a mortgagee recovering his usual costs in equity, will probably he longer apply.

For these sections and the cases decided on them, see Wilson, p. 68 et seq. And as to the effect of sect. 67, see Garnett v. Bradley, 3 App. Cas. 944; Chatfield v. Sedgwick, 4 C. P. D. 459; Stocke v. Taylor, 5 Q. B. D. 569. Where an order has been made for a transfer the High Court retains jurisdiction till the transfer has been finally completed (David v. Howe, 27 Ch. D. 533).

Cons. Ord. IX. r. 1, provided that every suit, the subject-matter of which was Cons. Ord. under the value of 10t, should be dismissed, unless it were instituted to establish a IX. r. 1. general right, or unless there were some other special circumstance, which, in the Value of orninion of the Court, made it reasonable that such suit should be retained. See subjectopinion of the Court, made it reasonable that such suit should be retained. See subject-Cox v. Foley, 1 Vern. 359, where a bill for establishing a right to ancient quit rents matter n of very small value was allowed to be filed; and the small interest of a plaintiff be 10%. swing on behalf of himself and other shareholders was held no objection (Seaton v. Grant, 2 Ch. 459). Where the suit is for the benefit of a charity it will be entertained though for a smaller amount than 10l. (Parrot v. Pawlet, Cary's Rep. 103). Where the sum recovered was only 9t., it was held that the suit was sustainable, and the plaintiff was entitled to his costs, because the defendants had withheld circumsinformation, and the plaintiff was justified in supposing that he might have recovered more (Beckitt v. Bilbrough, 8 Hare, 188). The objection might be taken advantage of by demurrer, or at the hearing (Brace v. Taylor, 2 Atk. 253).

sums are in dispute.

30 & 31 Vict.

c. 142, ss. 5, 7, 8 and 10,

to extend to

Where small

actions in High Court.

matter must Bill to establish rights. Other special

Sects. 68-74, providing for rules as to precedure under the Act, saving the rules as to evidence, were repealed by the Act of 1875, and sects. 16-21 of that Act are substituted.]

By sect. 75, councils of the judges are to be held to consider precedure and administration of justice.]

76. All Acts of Parliament relating to the several Courts and Acts of Parliament judges, whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the said High relating to

c. 66, s. 76.

former Courts to be read as applying to Courts under this Act.

36 & 37 Vict. Court of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively, or wherein any of such Courts or judges are mentioned or referred to, shall be construed and take effect, so far as relates to anything done or to be done after the commencement of this Act, as if the said High Court of Justice or the said Court of Appeal, and the judges thereof, respectively, as the case may be, had been named therein instead of such Courts or judges whose jurisdiction is so transferred respectively; and in all cases not hereby expressly provided for in which, under any such Act, the concurrence or the advice or consent of the judge or any judges, or of any number of the judges, of any one or more of the Courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the High Court of Justice is made necessary to the exercise of any power or authority capable of being exercised after the commencement of this Act, such power or authority may be exercised by and with the concurrence, advice, or consent of the same or a like number of judges of the said High Court of Justice; and all general and other commissions, issued under the Acts relating to the Central Criminal Court or otherwise, by virtue whereof any judges of any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is so transferred may, at the commencement of this Act, be empowered to try, hear, or determine any causes or matters, criminal or civil, shall remain and be in full force and effect, unless and until they shall respectively be in due course of law revoked or altered (a).

> (a) See Commissioners of Sewers v. Gellatly, 24 W. R. 1059; Padley v. Camphausen, 10 Ch. D. 550; Marris v. Ingram, 13 Ch. D. 338; Ex parte Mayor of London, 25 Ch. D. 384.

> [Sect. 77 provides for the transfer of the existing staff of officers to the Supreme Court.]

> [Sect. 78, relating to officers of the Courts of Pleas at Lancaster and Durham, and sect. 79, relating to the personal officers of the judges, were partly repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 80, containing provisions as to officers paid out of fees, was repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[By sect. 81, doubts as to the status of officers shall be determined by rules of Court.]

Powers of commissioners to administer oaths.

- 82. Every person who at the commencement of this Act shall be authorized to administer oaths in any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the High Court of Justice shall be a commissioner to administer oaths in all causes and matters whatsoever which may from time to time be depending in the said High Court or in the Court of Appeal (b).
 - (b) See Ord. XXXVIII. and note thereto, infra.

Official referees to be appointed.

83. There shall be attached to the Supreme Court permanent officers to be called official referees, for the trial of such questions as shall under the provisions of this Act be directed to be tried by The number and the qualifications of the persons to be so appointed from time to time, and the tenure of their offices, shall be determined by the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Presidents of the Divisions of the High Court of Justice, or a 36 & 37 Vict. majority of them (of which majority the Lord Chief Justice of o. 66, s. 83. England shall be one), and with the sanction of the Treasury. Such official referees shall perform the duties entrusted to them in such places, whether in London or in the country, as may from time to time be directed or authorized by any order of the said High Court, or of the Court of Appeal; and all proper and reasonable travelling expenses incurred by them in the discharge of their duties shall be paid by the Treasury out of moneys to be provided by Parliament.

84. Subject to the provisions in this Act contained with respect to Duties, apexisting officers of the Courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred pointment, and removal to the Supreme Court, there shall be attached to the Supreme Court of officers of such officers as the Lord Chancellor with the concurrence of the Presi-Court, dents of the Divisions of the High Court of Justice, or the major part of them, of which majority the Lord Chief Justice of England shall be one, and with the sanction of the Treasury, may from time to time determine.

Such of the said several officers respectively as may be thought necessary or proper for the performance of any special duties, with respect either to the Supreme Court generally, or with respect to the High Court of Justice or the Court of Appeal, or with respect to any one of the divisions of the said High Court, or with respect to any particular judge or judges of either of the said Courts, may by the same authority, and with the like sanction as aforesaid, be attached to the said respective Courts, divisions, and judges accordingly.

All officers assigned to perform duties with respect to the Supreme Court generally, or attached to the High Court of Justice or the Court of Appeal, and all commissioners to take oaths or affidavits in the Supreme Court, shall be appointed by the Lord Chancellor.

All officers attached to the Chancery Division of the said High Court, who have been heretofore appointed by the Master of the Rolls, shall continue, while so attached, to be appointed by the Master of the Rolls.

All other officers attached to any division of the said High Court shall be appointed by the President of that division.

All officers attached to any judge shall be appointed by the judge to whom they are attached.

Any officer of the Supreme Court (other than such officers attached to the person of a judge as are hereinbefore declared to be removable by him at his pleasure), may be removed by the person having the right of appointment to the office held by him, with the approval of the Lord Chancellor, for reasons to be assigned in the order of removal.

The authority of the Supreme Court over all or any of its officers may be exercised in and by the said High Court and the said Court of Appeal respectively, and also in the case of officers attached to any c. 66, s. 84.

36 & 37 Vict. division of the High Court by the President of such division, with respect to any duties to be discharged by them respectively.

> [Sect. 85, relating to salaries and pensions of officers, is repealed by Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879, s. 29.]

> [Sect. 86 relates to rights of patronage and other powers of Courts or judges not otherwise provided for.

Solicitors and attorneys.

87. From and after the commencement of this Act all persons admitted as solicitors, attorneys, or proctors of or by law empowered to practise in any Court, the jurisdiction of which is hereby transferred to the High Court of Justice or the Court of Appeal, shall be called solicitors of the Supreme Court, and shall be entitled to the same privileges and be subject to the same obligations, so far as circumstances will permit, as if this Act had not passed; and all persons who from time to time, if this Act had not passed, would have been entitled to be admitted as solicitors, attorneys, or proctors of or been by law empowered to practise in any such Courts, shall be entitled to be admitted and to be called solicitors of the Supreme Court, and shall be admitted by the Master of the Rolls, and shall, as far as circumstances will permit, be entitled as such solicitors to the same privileges and be subject to the same obligations as if this Act had not passed.

Any solicitors, attorneys, or proctors to whom this section applies shall be deemed to be officers of the Supreme Court; and that Court, and the High Court of Justice, and the Court of Appeal respectively, or any division or judge thereof, may exercise the same jurisdiction in respect of such solicitors or attorneys as any one of her Majesty's superior Courts of law or equity might previously to the passing of this Act have exercised in respect of any solicitor or attorney admitted to practise therein (c).

(c) See sect. 14 of the Act of 1875, and Judicature Act, 1881, s. 24. See also Re (c) See sect. 14 of the Act of 1870, and Judicature Act, 1881, s. 24. See also Re Copp., 32 W. R. 25. As to the duties of solicitors of the Court of Chancery, see Cons. Ord. III. r. 1; and see also rule 11 of the same Order, providing that an agreement by a solicitor as to his client's cause shall not be binding unless in writing, and signed by the party to be bound or his solicitor (Clarke v. Lord Rivers, 5 Eq. 91).

PART VI.

Jurisdiction of Inferior Courts.

Power by Order in Council to confer jurisdiction on inferior Courts.

- 88. It shall be lawful for her Majesty from time to time by Order in Council to confer on any inferior Court of civil jurisdiction, the same jurisdiction in equity and in admiralty, respectively, as any County Court now has, or may hereafter have, and such jurisdiction, if and when conferred, shall be exercised in the manner by this Act directed (d).
 - (d) See Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883, s. 8.

Powers of inferior Courts having equity and

89. Every inferior Court which now has or which may after the passing of this Act have jurisdiction in equity, or at law and in equity, and in admiralty respectively, shall as regards all causes of action within its jurisdiction for the time being, have power to grant, and 36 & 37 Vict. shall grant in any proceeding before such Court, such relief, distress,* or remedy, or combination of remedies, either absolute or conditional, admiralty and shall in every such proceeding give such and the like effect to *Qy. "reevery ground of defence or counterclaim, equitable or legal (subject to dress." the provision next hereinafter contained), in as full and ample a manner as might and ought to be done in the like case by the High Court of Justice (e).

- (e) A County Court can under this section grant an injunction against a nuisance, and enforce obedience to it by committal (Martin v. Bannister, 4 Q. B. D. 212, 491; 28 W. R. 143; and see Richards v. Cullerne, 7 Q. B. D. 623).
- 90. Where in any proceeding before any such inferior Court any Counterdefence or counterclaim of the defendant involves matter beyond the inferior jurisdiction of the Court, such defence or counterclaim shall not affect Courts and the competence or the duty of the Court to dispose of the whole matter therefrom. in controversy so far as relates to the demand of the plaintiff and the defence thereto, but no relief exceeding that which the Court has jurisdiction to administer shall be given to the defendant upon any such counterclaim: Provided always, that in such case it shall be lawful for the High Court or any division or judge thereof, if it shall be thought fit, on the application of any party to the proceeding, to order that the whole proceeding be transferred from such inferior Court to the High Court, or to any division thereof; and in such case the record in such proceeding shall be transmitted by the registrar, or other proper officer, of the inferior Court to the said High Court; and the same shall thenceforth be continued and prosecuted in the said High Court as if it had been originally commenced therein (f).

- (f) See as to this section, Davis v. Flagstaff Co., 3 C. P. D. 228; Anon., W. N. (1876), 12; Davies v. Williams, 13 Ch. D. 550. Where a plaintiff commenced a suit in the County Court, which at the hearing was transferred to the High Court hecause the snhject-matter was over 500l., the plaintiff, though successful, paid the costs of the hearing before the County Court (Ward v. Wyld, 5 Ch. D. 779). See now as to the jurisdiction of inferior Courts in cases of counterclaim, Judicature Act 1884 a 18 infer Act, 1884, s. 18, infra.
- 91. The several rules of law enacted and declared by this Act shall Rules of law be in force and receive effect in all Courts whatsoever in England, so to apply to inferior far as the matters to which such rules relate shall be respectively Courts. cognizable by such Courts (g).

(g) See King v. Hawkesworth, 4 Q. B. D. 371.

PART VII.

Miscellaneous Provisions.

[Sect. 92 provides for the transfer of books and papers to the Supreme Court.] [Sect. 93 relates to savings as to circuits.]

94. This Act, except so far as herein is expressly directed, shall not Saving as to affect the office or position of Lord Chancellor; and the officers of the Lord Chancellor.

c. 66, s. 94.

36 & 37 Vict. Lord Chancellor shall continue attached to him in the same manner as if this Act had not passed; and all duties, which any officer of the Court of Chancery may now be required to perform in aid of any duty whatsoever of the Lord Chancellor, may in like manner be required to be performed by such officer when transferred to the Supreme Court, and by his successors.

Saving as to Chancellor of Lancaster.

95. This Act, except so far as is herein expressly directed, shall not affect the offices, position, or functions of the Chancellor of the County Palatine of Lancaster.

[Sect. 96, saving as to the Chancellor of the Exchequer and sheriffs, is partly repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883; see Judicature Act, 1881, s. 16.]

[Sects. 97—99 contain saving as to Lord Treasurer and office of Receipt of Exchequer, and provisions as to the Great Seal being in commission, and as to commissions in Counties Palatine.]

Interpretation of terms.

- 100. In the construction of this Act, unless there is anything in the subject or context repugnant thereto, the several words hereinafter mentioned shall have, or include, the meanings following; (that is to say,)
 - "Lord Chancellor" shall include Lord Keeper of the Great Seal.
 - "The High Court of Chancery" shall include the Lord Chancellor.
 - "The Court of Appeal in Chancery" shall include the Lord Chancellor as a judge on rehearing or appeal.
 - "London Court of Bankruptcy" shall include the Chief Judge in bankruptcy(h).
 - "The Treasury" shall mean the commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury for the time being, or any two of them.
 - "Rules of Court" shall include forms.
 - "Cause" shall include any action, suit, or other original proceeding between a plaintiff and a defendant, and any criminal proceeding by the Crown.
 - "Suit" shall include action.
 - "Action" shall mean a civil proceeding commenced by writ, or in such other manner as may be prescribed by rules of Court; and shall not include a criminal proceeding by the Crown.
 - "Plaintiff" shall include every person asking any relief (otherwise than by way of counter-claim as a defendant) against any other person by any form of proceeding, whether the same be taken by action, suit, petition, motion, summons, or otherwise.
 - "Petitioner" shall include every person making any application to the Court, either by petition, motion, or summons, otherwise than as against any defendant.
 - "Defendant" shall include every person served with any writ of summons or process, or served with notice of, or entitled to attend any proceedings.
 - "Party" shall include every person served with notice of, or attending any proceeding, although not named on the record.

- "Matter" shall include every proceeding in the Court not in a 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 100. cause.
- "Pleading" shall include any petition or summons, and also shall include the statements in writing of the claim or demand of any plaintiff, and of the defence of any defendant thereto, and of the reply of the plaintiff to any counter-claim of a defendant (i).

"Judgment" shall include decree.

- "Order" shall include rule.
- "Oath" shall include solemn affirmation and statutory declaration.
- "Crown cases reserved" shall mean such questions of law reserved in criminal trials as are mentioned in the Act of the eleventh and twelfth years of her Majesty's reign, chapter seventy-eight.
- "Pension" shall include retirement and superannuation allowance.
- "Existing" shall mean existing at the time appointed for the commencement of this Act.
- (h) This paragraph is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.
 (i) See Re Boulton, 30 W. R. 596.

Court of Bankruptcy.

JUDICATURE ACT, 1875.

38 & 39 Vict. c. 77.

38 & 39 VICT. CAP. 77.

An Act to amend and extend the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, [11th August, 1875.] 1873.

WHEREAS it is expedient to amend and extend the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873:

Be it therefore enacted, &c., as follows:-

1. This Act shall, so far as is consistent with the tenor thereof, be Short title, construed as one with the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873 (in and construction with this Act referred to as the principal Act), and together with the prin- 36 & 37 Vict. cipal Act may be cited as the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 c. 66. and 1875, and this Act may be cited separately as the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875.

- 2. This Act, except any provision thereof which is declared to take Commenceeffect before the commencement of this Act, shall commence and come ment of Act. into operation on the first day of November, 1875 (a).
- (a) The remainder of this section was repealed by the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, s. 24.
- 3. Sect. 3 repeals part of sect. 5 of the Act of 1878, as to the number of judges, and continues:

The Lord Chancellor shall not be deemed to be a permanent judge of that Court, and the provisions of the said section relating to

38 & 39 Vict. the appointment and style of the judges of the said High Court shall not apply to the Lord Chancellor (b).

> (b) The Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, repeals the whole section, except the paragraph in the text, and repeals also the words "and style" in that paragraph.

Constitution of Court of Appeal.

4. Her Majesty's Court of Appeal, in this Act and in the principal Act referred to as the Court of Appeal, shall be constituted as follows: There shall be five ex-officio judges thereof, and also so many ordinary judges, not exceeding three at any one time (c) as her Majesty shall from time to time appoint.

The ex-officio judges shall be the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and the Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer (d).

The first ordinary judges of the said Court shall be the present Lords Justices of Appeal in Chancery, and such one other person as her Majesty may be pleased to appoint by letters patent. appointment may be made either before or after the commencement of this Act, but if made before shall take effect at the commencement of the Act.

The ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal shall be styled Justices of Appeal(d).

The Lord Chancellor may by writing addressed to the President of any one or more of the following divisions of the High Court of Justice, that is to say, the Queen's Bench Division, the Common Pleas Division, the Exchequer Division (d), and the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division, request the attendance at any time, except during the times of the spring or summer circuits, of an additional judge from such division or divisions (not being ex-officio judge or judges of the Court of Appeal) at the sittings of the Court of Appeal, and a judge, to be selected by the division from which his attendance is requested, shall attend accordingly.

Every additional judge, during the time that he attends the sittings of her Majesty's Court of Appeal, shall have all the jurisdiction and powers of a judge of the said Court of Appeal, but he shall not otherwise be deemed to be a judge of the said Court, or to have ceased to be a judge of the division of the High Court of Justice to which he belongs.

Section fifty-four of the principal Act is hereby repealed, and instead thereof the following enactment shall take effect (d): No judge of the said Court of Appeal shall sit as a judge on the hearing of an appeal from any judgment or order made by himself, or made by any Divisional Court of the High Court of which he was and is a mem-

Whenever the office of an ordinary judge of the Court of Appeal becomes vacant a new judge may be appointed thereto by her Majesty by letters patent.

⁽c) These words in italies are repealed by sect. 15 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876.

(d) These words in italics are repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883. The style of the ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal is "Lords Justices of Appeal" (Judicature Act, 1877, s. 4). See also as to the Court of Appeal, Judicature Act, 1881, s. 11, and the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876.
(e) See Fisher v. Val Travers Asphalte Co., 1 C. P. D. 259.

38 & 39 Vict. o. 77, s. 4.

Style of judges.

[Sect. 5 provides for the tenure of office of judges, and oaths of office, and that the judges are not to sit in the House of Commons; and sect. 6 provides for the precedence of the judges.]

7. Any jurisdiction usually vested in the Lords Justices of Appeal Jurisdiction in Chancery, or either of them, in relation to the persons and estates of Lords Justices in of idiots, lunatics, and persons of unsound mind, shall be exercised respect of by such judge or judges of the High Court of Justice or Court of lunatics. Appeal as may be intrusted by the sign manual of her Majesty or her successors with the care and commitment of the custody of such persons and estates; and all enactments referring to the Lords Justices as so intrusted shall be construed as if such judge or judges so intrusted had been named therein instead of such Lords Justices: Provided that each of the persons who may at the commencement of the principal Act be Lords Justices of Appeal in Chancery shall, during such time as he continues to be a judge of the Court of Appeal, and is intrusted as aforesaid, retain the jurisdiction vested in him in relation to such persons and estates as aforesaid (f).

(f) See Judicature Act, 1873, s. 17, ante, p. 252.

[Sect. 8 relates to the judge and registrar of the Court of Admiralty; it is partly repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 9, relating to the London Bankruptcy Court, is repealed by sect. 169 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883.]

10. Whereas, by section twenty-five of the principal Act, after reciting Amendment that it is expedient to amend and declare the law to be thereafter of 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, administered in England as to the matters next thereinafter mentioned, s. 25, as to certain enactments are made with respect to the law, and it is expedient rules of law upon certain to amend the said section: Be it therefore enacted as follows:-

points.

Sub-section one of clause twenty-five of the principal Act is hereby repealed, and instead thereof the following enactment shall take effect; (that is to say,) in the administration by the Court of the assets of any person who may die after the commencement of this Act, and whose estate may prove to be insufficient for the payment in full of his debts and liabilities, and in the winding-up of any company under the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, whose assets may prove to be insufficient for the payment of its debts and liabilities and the cost of winding-up, the same rules shall prevail and be observed as to the respective rights of secured and unsecured creditors, and as to debts and liabilities provable, and as to the valuation of annuities and future and contingent liabilities respectively, as may be in force for the time being under the law of bankruptcy with respect to the estates of persons adjudged bankrupt; and all persons who in any such case would be entitled to prove for and receive dividends out of the estate of any such 38 & 39 Vict. c. 77, s. 10.

deceased person, or out of the assets of any such company, may come in under the decree or order for the administration of such estate, or under the winding-up of such company, and make such claims against the same as they may respectively be entitled to by virtue of this Act.

In sub-section seven of the said section the reference to the date of the passing of the principal Act shall be deemed to refer to the date of the commencement of the principal Act(g).

Rights of secured creditor in administration and winding-up. (g) Before this Act a creditor who had not realized his security could prove against the assets of his deceased debtor for the whole debt, and receive a dividend. He could then reslize his security, and if he received in the whole more than 20s. in the pound, he paid over the excess (Mason v. Bogg, 2 My. & Cr. 443). The same rule prevailed in the winding-up of a company (Kellock's Case, 3 Ch. 769). In bankruptcy the rule was different. The creditor could only prove for the balance of his debt after deducting the value of his security. The principal object of this section is to make this rule in bankruptcy applicable to administration of the assets of deceased persons, and to winding-up (Re Withernsea Brick Works, 16 Ch. D. 337); it is not intended to enlarge the assets of an insolvent estate, but only to vary the rights of the persons entitled to them (Re D'Epineuil, 20 Ch. D. 217); and see also Lee v. Nuttail, 12 Ch. D. 61. And it affects only the rights of the class of secured creditors as against those of the class of unsecured creditors; it does not affect the rights inter se of the members of those classes (Re Maggi, Winehouse v. Winehouse, 20 Ch. D. 545; Smith v. Morgan, 5 C. P. D. 337). See also as to the object of the section, Mersey Steel Co. v. Naylor, 9 Q. B. D. 648; Re Hopkins, 18 Ch. D. 370; Re Albion Steel Co., 7 Ch. D. 547. As to the meaning of "secured creditor," see Re Stanhope Collieries Co., 11 Ch. D. 160; Ex parte Joselyne, 8 Ch. D. 327; Ex parte Nelson, 14 Ch. (g) Before this Act a creditor who had not realized his security could prove against Collieries Co., 11 Ch. D. 160; Ex parte Joselyne, 8 Ch. D. 327; Ex parte Nelson, 14 Ch. D. 41. An executor's right of retainer does not make him a secured creditor, nor is such right affected by the section (Lee v. Nuttall). The section is not retrospective (Re Suche & Co., 1 Ch. D. 48; Re Phanix Steel Co., 24 W. R. 19; W. N. (1875), 187; Sherwin v. Selkirk, 12 Ch. D. 68).

"Secured creditor."

Bankruptcy Act 1869.

The provisions of sect. 6 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, were held not to be imported into winding-up proceedings by this section (Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank, imported into winding-up proceedings by this section (Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank, 10 Ch. D. 681); nor those of sect. 37 as to the sheriff holding for fourteen days the proceeds of goods taken in execution (Re Withernsea Brick Works, 16 Ch. D. 337, approving Re Richards, 11 Ch. D. 676, and overruling Re Printing Co., 8 Ch. D. 535); nor those of sect. 34 as to the landlord's right of distress for one year's rent (Thomas v. Patent Lionite Co., 17 Ch. D. 250); nor the rules as to reputed ownership (Re Crumlin Co., 11 Ch. D. 755); and see also Re Westbourne Grove Co., 5 Ch. D. 248. As to sect. 32 (priority of wages) see Re Association of Land Financiers, 16 Ch. D. 373, and cases there cited, (see now Companies Act, 1883); Re Albion Steel Co., 7 Ch. D. 547. But the section enables a claim to be made in respect of a contingent liability which ripens into an actual debt during the winding-up (Rs Northern Insurance Co., 17 Ch. D. 337; Re Bridges, ibid. 342). Northern Insurance Co., 17 Ch. D. 337; Re Bridges, ibid. 342).

The mutual credit rules do not apply to the case of calls on shareholders (Gill's Case, 12 Ch. D. 755; Re Whitehouse, 9 Ch. D. 595; Ex parte Branwhite, 48 L. J. Ch. 463); and see Green v. Smith, 22 Ch. D. 586. The section has not altered the rule entitling a creditor, who is also a shareholder, to receive a dividend on his debt if he has paid all calls made on him (Re West of England Bank, 12 Ch. D. 823). A company in liquidation must be deemed to be insolvent until the contrary is

shown (Re Milan Co., 25 Ch. D. p. 591).

The provisions of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, which take away the priority of the The provisions of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, which take away the priority of the Crown in the distribution of assets in bankruptcy, have not been incorporated into the Companies Act, 1862, so as to bar the prerogative right of the Crown to issue process and obtain payment in full against a company in liquidation (Re Oriental Bank (2), W. N. (1884), 204).

In administration, the rules as to valuation when a secured creditor seeks to prove for a balance now apply (Re Hopkins, 18 Ch. D. 370); but it was held that the section did not apply the rules in bankruptcy so as to make an unregistered bill of sale vaid as against the pursequed creditors of an insolvent estate (Re

Administration.

bill of sale void as against the unsecured creditors of an insolvent estate (Re D'Epineuil, 20 Ch. D. 217; Re Knott, 7 Ch. D. 549, n.; see now 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43). A creditor of an insolvent estate whose debt bears interest is not entitled to interest up to the day of payment, but only to the date of the judgment for administration, which by this section is equivalent to an adjudication in bankruptcy (Re Summers, 13 Ch. D. 136).

The section does not make all debts payable pari passu; and if a creditor recovers

U. 77, S. 10.

judgment against the executor before a judgment for administration he is still 38 & 39 Vict. entitled to priority over other creditors of equal degree (Re Maggi, Winehouse v. Winehouse, 20 Ch. D. 545).

In Green v. Smith, 22 Ch. D. 586, it was held that the mutual credit clause (c. 39) of the Bankruptey Act, 1869, would not be applied in administration until it was shown that the estate was insolvent, but the Court might direct that a debt claimed on behalf of the estate from a creditor should be paid into Court to a separate account, with liberty to the creditor to apply in case the estate should prove to be insolvent.

As to the form of the judgment in a creditor's administration action when it is anticipated that the estate may prove insolvent, see Re Hildick, 29 W. R. 733;

contra, Re Murray, 30 W. R. 283.

By section 125 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, any creditor of a deceased debtor, Adminiswhose debt would have been sufficient to support a bankruptcy petition against the tratien in
debter if he had been alive, may present a petition praying for an order for the
administration of the estate of the deceased debtor according to the law of bankruptcy. The order may be made unless the Court is satisfied that there is a rearuptcy Act,
sonable probability that the estate will be sufficient for the payment of debts. But
no order can be made for one menth after the grant of probate or administration,
except with the concurrence of the personal representative, or unless the petitioner
proves that the debtor committed an act of hankruptcy within three months before except with the cencurrence of the personal representative, or unless the petitioner proves that the debtor committed an act of bankruptcy within three months before his death; and no petition can be presented after proceedings have been commenced for administration of the cetate in any Court of justice. The latter Court, however, may on proof that the estate is insufficient for payment of debts transfer the preceedings to the Bankruptcy Court, which may thereupon make an order for administration in bankruptcy. The estate will then vest in the official receiver, and he will deal with it in accordance with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Act; but the funeral and testamentary expenses will be paid in priority to other claims. See Ex parte May, 13 Q. B. D. 552.

11. Subject to any rules of Court and to the provisions of the prin- Provision as cipal Act and this Act and to the power of transfer, every person by to option for whom any cause or matter may be commenced in the said High Court (subject to of Justice shall assign (h) such cause or matter to one of the divisions rules) to choose in of the said High Court as he may think fit, by marking the document what division by which the same is commenced with the name of such division, and in substitugiving notice thereof to the proper officer of the Court: Provided tion for 36 & that—

any plaintiff 37 Vict. c. 66,

- (1.) All interlocutory and other steps and proceedings in or before the said High Court in any cause or matter subsequent to the commencement thereof, shall be taken (subject to any rules of Court and to the power of transfer) in the division of the said High Court to which such cause or matter is for the time being attached; and,
- (2.) If any plaintiff or petitioner shall at any time assign his cause or matter to any division of the said High Court to which, according to the rules of Court or the provisions of the principal Act or this Act, the same ought not to be assigned, the Court, or any judge of such division, upon being informed thereof, may, on a summary application at any stage of the cause or matter, direct the same to be transferred to the division of the said Court to which, according to such rules or provisions, the same ought to have been assigned, or he may, if he think it expedient so to do, retain the same in the division in which the same was commenced; and all steps and proceedings whatsoever taken by the plaintiff or petitioner or by any other party in any such cause or matter, and

38 & 39 Vict. c. 77, s. 11.

all orders made therein by the Court or any judge thereof before any such transfer shall be valid and effectual to all intents and purposes in the same manner as if the same respectively had been taken and made in the proper division of the said Court to which such cause or matter ought to have been assigned; and,

[Sub-sect. 3 relates to the Probate Division only.]

(h) As to choice of division, see Ord. V., rr. 5-9, infra.

Sittings of Court of Appeal.

12. Every appeal to the Court of Appeal shall, where the subjectmatter of the appeal is a final order, decree, or judgment, be heard before not less than three judges of the said Court sitting together, and shall when the subject-matter of the appeal is an interlocutory order, decree, or judgment, be heard before not less than two judges of the said Court sitting together.

Any doubt which may arise as to what decrees, orders, or judgments are final, and what are interlocutory, shall be determined by the Court of Appeal (i).

Subject to the provisions contained in this section the Court of Appeal may sit in two divisions at the same time.

(i) As to final and interlocutory decrees, &c., see Ord. LVIII. rr. 3, 15, infra.

[Sect. 13 amends sect. 60 of the Act of 1873, as to the appointment of district registrars; see note (x), ante, p. 269.]

Amendment of 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 87, as to enactments relating to attorneys.

14. Whereas under section eighty-seven of the principal Act, solicitors and attorneys will after the commencement of that Act be called solicitors of the Supreme Court: Be it therefore enacted that—

The registrar of attorneys and solicitors in England shall be called the registrar of solicitors, and the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas, and the Lord Chief Baron, or any two of them, may, from time to time, by regulation adapt any enactments relating to attorneys, and any declaration, certificate, or form required under those enactments, to the solicitors of the Supreme Court under section eighty-seven of the principal Act(k).

(k) The words in italics are repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.

Appeal from inferior Court of Record.

15. It shall be lawful for her Majesty from time to time, by Order in Council, to direct that the enactments relating to appeals from County Courts shall apply to any other inferior Court of Record; and those enactments, subject to any exceptions, conditions and limitations contained in the order, shall apply accordingly, as from the date mentioned in the order.

[Sect. 16, as to rules of Court, is repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act.

Provision as to making,

17. Her Majesty may at any time after the passing and before the &c. of rules of commencement of this Act, by Order in Council, made upon the recommendation of the Lord Chancellor, and the Lord Chief Justice of Eng. 38 & 39 Vict. land, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice of the Common c. 77, s. 17. Pleas, the Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and the Lords Justices Court before of Appeal in Chancery, or any five of them, and the other judges of commencethe several Courts intended to be united and consolidated by the prin- ment of the cipal Act as amended by this Act, or of a majority of such other judges, stitution for make any further or additional rules of Court for carrying the principal 36 & 37 Viot. Act and this Act into effect, and in particular for all or any of the fol- c. 66, ss. 68, lowing matters, so far as they are not provided for by the rules in the Sch. first schedule to this Act; that is to say,

- (1.) For regulating the sittings of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal, and of any divisional or other Courts thereof respectively, and of the judges of the said High Court sitting in chambers; and,
- (2.) For regulating the pleading, practice and procedure in the High Court of Justice and Court of Appeal; and,
- (3.) Generally, for regulating any matters relating to the practice and procedure of the said Courts respectively, or to the duties of the officers thereof, or of the Supreme Court, or to the costs of proceedings therein.

From and after the commencement of this Act, the Supreme Court In substitumay at any time, with the concurrence of a majority of the judges 37 Vict. c. 66, thereof present at any meeting for that purpose held (of which s. 74. majority the Lord Chancellor shall be one), alter and annul any rules of Court for the time being in force, and have and exercise the same power of making rules of Court as is by this section vested in her Majesty in Council on the recommendation of the said judges before the commencement of this Act.

All rules of Court made in pursuance of this section shall be laid before each House of Parliament within such time and shall be subject to be annulled in such manner as is in this Act provided.

All rules of Court made in pursuance of this section, if made before the commencement of this Act, shall from and after the commencement of this Act, and if made after the commencement of this Act shall from and after they come into operation, regulate all matters to which they extend, until annulled or altered in pursuance of this section.

The reference to certain judges in section twenty-seven of the principal Act shall be deemed to refer to the judges mentioned in this section as the judges on whose recommendation an Order in Council may be made (l).

- (!) The words in italics are repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.
 As to the power to make rules, see Appellate Jurisdiotion Act, 1876, s. 17;
 Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879, s. 22; Judicature Act, 1881, s. 19; Judicature Act, 1884, s. 23.
- 18. All rules and orders of Court in force at the time of the Provision as commencement of this Act in the Court of Probate, the Court for Probate,

c. 77, s. 18.

Divorce and Admiralty Court, being rules of the High Court, —in substitution for 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 70.

38 & 39 Vict. Divorce and Matrimonial Causes, and the Admiralty Court, or in relation to appeals from the Chief Judge in Bankruptcy, or from the Court of Appeal in Chancery in bankruptcy matters, except so far as they are expressly varied by the first schedule hereto or by rules of Court made by Order in Council before the commencement of this Act, shall remain and be in force in the High Court of Justice and in the Court of Appeal respectively until they shall respectively be altered or annulled by any rules of Court made after the commencement of this Act(m).

[The rest of the section relates only to the Probate and Divorce Court.]

- (m) The words in italics are repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.
- [Sect. 19, relating to criminal procedure, was repealed in part by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.

Provision as to Act not affecting rules of evidence or juries,-in substitution for 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 72.

- 20. Nothing in this Act or in the first schedule hereto, or in any rules of Court to be made under this Act, save as far as relates to the power of the Court for special reasons to allow depositions or affidavits to be read, shall affect the mode of giving evidence by the oral examination of witnesses in trials by jury, or the rules of evidence, or the law relating to jurymen or juries (n).
 - (n) The words in italics are repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.

Provision for saving of existing procedure of Courts when not inconsistent with this Act or rules of Court,—in substitution for 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, в. 73.

21. Save as by the principal Act or this Act, or by any rules of Court, may be otherwise provided, all forms and methods of procedure which at the commencement of this Act were in force in any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is by the principal Act or this Act transferred to the said High Court and to the said Court of Appeal respectively, under or by virtue of any law, custom, general order, or rules whatsoever, and which are not inconsistent with the principal Act or this Act or with any rules of Court, may continue to be used and practised, in the said High Court of Justice and the said Court of Appeal respectively, in such and the like cases, and for such and the like purposes, as those to which they would have been applicable in the respective Courts of which the jurisdiction is so transferred, if the principal Act and this Act had not passed.

Nothing in principal Act to prejudice right to have issues submitted, &c.

22. Whereas by section forty-six of the principal Act it is enacted that "any judge of the said High Court sitting in the exercise of its jurisdiction elsewhere than in a Divisional Court may reserve any case, or any point in a case, for the consideration of a Divisional Court, or may direct any case or point in a case to be argued before a Divisional Court:" Be it hereby enacted, that nothing in the said Act, nor in any rule or order made under the powers thereof or of this Act, shall take away or prejudice the right of any party to any action to have the issues for trial by jury submitted and left by the judge to the jury before whom the same shall come for trial, with a proper and complete direction to the jury upon the law, and as to the evidence applicable to such issues:

Provided also, that the said right may be enforced either by motion 38 & 39 Vict. in the High Court of Justice or by motion in the Court of Appeal, founded upon an exception entered upon or annexed to the record.

[Sect. 23 relates to alterations in the regulation of the circuits.]

24. Where any provisions in respect of the practice or procedure of Additional any Courts the jurisdiction of which is transferred by the principal power as to Act or this Act to the High Court of Justice or the Court of Appeal, practice and are contained in any Act of Parliament, rules of Court may be made procedure by rules of Court. for modifying such provisions to any extent that may be deemed necessary for adapting the same to the High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal, without prejudice nevertheless to any power of the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, to make any rules with respect to the Paymaster-General, or otherwise.

Any provisions relating to the payment, transfer, or deposit into, or in, or out of any Court of any money or property, or to the dealing therewith, shall, for the purposes of this section, be deemed to be provisions relating to practice and procedure.

The Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, may from time to time, by order, determine to what accounts and how intituled any such money or property as last aforesaid, whether paid, transferred, or deposited before or after the commencement of this Act, is to be carried, and modify all or any forms relating to such accounts; and the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, and all other companies, bodies corporate, and persons, shall make such entries and alterations in their books as may be directed by the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, for the purpose of carrying into effect any such order (o).

- (o) See Gloucestershire Banking Co. v. Phillipps, 12 Q. B. D. 533.
- 25. Every Order in Council and rule of Court required by this Act to Orders and be laid before each House of Parliament shall be so laid within forty rules to be laid before days next after it is made, if Parliament is then sitting, or if not, Parliament, within forty days after the commencement of the then next ensuing and may be annulled on session; and if an address is presented to her Majesty by either House address from of Parliament, within the next subsequent forty days on which the either House. said House shall have sat, praying that any such rule or order may be annulled, her Majesty may thereupon by Order in Council annul the same; and the rule or order so annulled shall thenceforth become void and of no effect, but without prejudice to the validity of any proceedings which may in the meantime have been taken under the same.

This section shall come into operation immediately on the passing of this Act.

[Sect. 26 relates to the fixing and collection of fees in the High Court and Court of Appeal; it was partly repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883. As to Court fees, see infra.]

[Sect. 27, relating to the Courts at Lancaster and Durham, was repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

38 & 39 Vict. [By sects. 28 and 29 the Treasury is to account for fees and expenditure, and the c.77, ss. 28, 29. law as to payments to the senior puisne judge of the Queen's Bench and Queen's coroner is amended; sect. 29 is partly repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 30 repeals sect. 16 of the Chancery Funds Act, 1872 (p. 203, ante), and provides that rules may be made under sect. 18 of the same Act (p. 208, ante), with respect to the investment of monies in Court in securities, and the conversion of securities in Court into money.]

[Sect. 31 aholished the office of Secretary to the Visitors of Lunatics; it was repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 32, amending the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Acts of 1869 as to payment of unclaimed bankruptcy dividends, was repealed, as from Jan. 1, 1884, by the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 169.]

Repeal.

- 33. From and after the commencement of this Act there shall be repealed—
 - (1.) The Acts specified in the Second Schedule to this Act, to the extent in the third column of that schedule mentioned, without prejudice to anything done or suffered before the said commencement under the enactments hereby repealed; also,
 - (2.) Any other enactment inconsistent with this Act or the principal Act (p).
 - (p) The words in italics were repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.

[Sect. 34, amending part of sect. 77 of the Act of 1873, is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 35 amends sect. 79 of the Act of 1873 as to chamber clerks.]

First Schedule.

[This schedule, containing the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1875, is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

Second Schedule.

[This schedule, repealing certain sections of the Bankruptcy and other Acts, and of the Judicature Act, 1873, is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

39 & 40 Vict. c. 59.

APPELLATE JURISDICTION ACT, 1876.

39 & 40 VICT. CAP. 59.

An Act for amending the Law in respect of the Appellate Jurisdiction of the House of Lords; and for other purposes.

[11th August, 1876.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:--

Preliminary.

Short title.

1. This Act may be cited for all purposes as "The Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876."

Commencement of Act. 2. This Act shall, except where it is otherwise expressly provided, come into operation on the first day of November, one thousand eight

hundred and seventy-six, which day is hereinafter referred to as the 39 & 40 Vict. c. 59, s. 2. commencement of this Act.

Appeal.

[Sects. 3-13 relate to the House of Lords.]

Amendment of Acts.

[Sect. 14 amends the constitution of the Judicial Committee under 34 & 35 Vict. c. 91, and provides that on the death or resignation of the paid judges of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, her Majesty may appoint a third and fourth Lord of Appeal iu Ordinary; and also provides for the appointment of assessors in the hearing of ecclesiastical cases.]

[Sect. 15 has been in part repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883, and now stands as follows:—"Whereas it is expedient to amend the constitution of her Majesty's Court of Appeal in manner hereinafter mentioned. In addition to the number of ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal authorised to be appointed by "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875," her Majesty may appoint three additional ordinary judges of that Court. The first three appointments of additional judges under this Act shall be made by such transfer to the Court of Appeal, as is in this section mentioned of three judges of the High Court of Justice, and the vacancies so created in the High Court of Justice shall not be filled up, except in the event and to the extent hereinafter mentioned. Every additional ordinary judge of the said Court of Appeal appointed in pursuance of this Act shall be subjudge of the said Court of Appeal appointed in pursuance of this Act shall be subject to the provisions of sections twenty-nine and thirty-seven of "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873," and shall be under an obligation to go circuits and to act as commissioner under commissions of assize or other commissions authorised to be issued in pursuance of the said Act, in the same manner in all respects as if he were a judge of the High Court of Justice. There shall be paid to every additional ordinary judge appointed in pursuance of this Act, in addition to the salary which he would otherwise receive as an ordinary judge of the Court of Appeal, such sum on account of his expenses on circuit or under such commission as aforesaid as may he approved by the Treasury upon the recommendation of the Lord Chancellor. Subject as aforesaid, the provisions of the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, for the time being in force in relation to the appointment of ordinary judges of her Majesty's Court of Appeal, and to their tenurs of office, and to their precedence, and to their salaries and pensions, and to the officers to be attached to such judges, and all other provisions relating to such ordinary judges, shall apply to the additional ordinary judges appointed in pursuance of this section in the same manner as they apply to the other ordinary judges of the said Court; and for the purpose of the pension of any person appointed under this Act, an additional ordinary judge of appeal, service in the High Court of Justice, or in any Court whose jurisdiction is transferred to the High Court of Justice or to the Court of Appeal, shall be deemed to have been service in the Court of Appeal."] ject to the provisions of sections twenty-nine and thirty-seven of "The Supreme the Court of Appeal."]

16. Orders for constituting and holding Divisional Courts of the Orders in Court of Appeal, and for regulating the sittings of the Court of Appeal relation to and of the Divisional Courts of Appeal, may be made, and when made, business in in like manner rescinded or altered, by the President of the Court of her Majesty's Appeal, with the concurrence of the ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal. Appeal, or any three of them; and so much of section seventeen of "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875," as relates to the regulation of any matters subject to be regulated by orders under this section, and so much of any rules of Court as may be inconsistent with any order made under this section, shall be repealed, without prejudice nevertheless to any rules of Court made in pursuance of the section so repealed, so long as such rules of Court remain unaffected by orders made in pursuance of this section (a).

(a) The words in italics are repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.

39 & 40 Vict. c. 59, a. 17.

Regulations as to business of High Court Divisional Courta of High Court.

17. On and after the first day of December, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-six, every action and proceeding in the High Court of Justice, and all business arising out of the same, except as is hereinafter provided, shall, so far as is practicable and convenient, be of Justice and heard, determined and disposed of before a single judge, and all proceedings in an action subsequent to the hearing or trial, and down to and including the final judgment or order, except as aforesaid, and always excepting any proceedings on appeal in the Court of Appeal, shall, so far as is practicable and convenient, be had and taken before the judge before whom the trial or hearing of the cause took place: Provided nevertheless, that Divisional Courts of the High Court of Justice may be held for the transaction of any business which may for the time being be ordered by rules of Court to be heard by a Divisional Court; and any such Divisional Court when held shall be constituted of two judges of the Court and no more, unless the President of the Division to which such Divisional Court belongs, with the concurrence of the other judges of such division, or a majority thereof, is of opinion that such Divisional Court should be constituted of a greater number of judges than two, in which case such Court may be constituted of such number of judges as the President, with such concurrence as aforesaid, may think expedient; nevertheless the decisions of a Divisional Court shall not be invalidated by reason of such Court being constituted of a greater number than two judges; and

> Rules of Court for carrying into effect the enactments contained in this section shall be made on or before the first day of December, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-six, and may be afterwards altered; and all rules of Court to be made after the passing of this Act, whether made under "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875," or this Act, shall be made by any three or more of the following persons, of whom the Lord Chancellor shall be one, namely, the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, the Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and four other judges of the Supreme Court of Judicature, to be from time to time appointed for the purpose by the Lord Chancellor in writing under his hand, such appointment to continue for such time as shall be specified therein, and all such rules of Court shall be laid before each House of Parliament within such time and subject to be annulled in such manner as is provided by "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875."

> There shall be repealed on and after the first day of December, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-six, so much of sections forty, fortyone, forty-two, forty-three, forty-four, and forty-six of "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873," as is inconsistent with the provisions of this section (b).

⁽b) The words in italica are repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883; there appears to be some mistake with regard to the second paragraph. The first part of the section is amended as to the Queen's Bench Division by Judicature Act,

1884, sect. 4, in the marginal note to which "c. 39" appears to have been inserted 39 & 40 Vict. instead of "o. 59." o. 59, s. 17.

[Sect. 18 gives power in certain events to fill vacancies occasioned in the High Court by removal of judges to the Court of Appeal.]

19. Where a judge of the High Court of Justice has been Attendance requested to attend as an additional judge at the sittings of the Court of judges of of Appeal under section four of "The Supreme Court of Judicature of Justice on Act, 1875," such judge shall, although the period has expired during Court of which his attendance was requested, attend the sittings of the Court of Appeal for the purpose of giving judgment or otherwise in relation to any case which may have been heard by the Court of Appeal during his attendance on the Court of Appeal.

High Court

20. Where by Act of Parliament it is provided that the decision of Amendment any Court or judge the jurisdiction of which Court or judge is trans- of Judicature ferred to the High Court of Justice is to be final, an appeal shall not appeals from lie in any such case from the decision of the High Court of Justice, or High Court of any judge thereof, to her Majesty's Court of Appeal.

of Justice in certain cases.

[Sect. 21, as to vacancies in legal offices, is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

22. A district registrar of the Supreme Court of Judicature may Appointment from time to time, but in each case with the approval of the Lord of deputy by Chancellor and subject to such regulations as the Lord Chancellor may district registrar. from time to time make, appoint a deputy, and all acts authorized or required to be done by, to, or before a district registrar may be done by, to, or before any deputy so appointed: Provided always, that in no case such appointment shall be made for a period exceeding three This section shall come into force at the time of the passing of this Act.

[Sect. 23 appoints a vice-admiral, judge, and officers of the Vice-Admiralty Court.]

Repeal and Definitions.

[Sect. 24, repealing certain sections of the Church Discipline Act, the Judicature Act, 1873, and the Judicature Act, 1875, is repealed by the Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

25. In this Act, if not inconsistent with the context, the following Definitions: expressions have the meaning hereinafter respectively assigned to them; that is to say,

"High judicial office" means any of the following offices; that is to "High say,

judicial office;"

The office of Lord Chancellor of Great Britain or Ireland, or of paid judge of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, or of judge of one of her Majesty's superior Courts of Great Britain and Ireland:

"Superior Courts of Great Britain and Ireland" means and includes,-

As to England, her Majesty's High Court of Justice and her "superior Courts; M.

39 & 40 Vict. c. 59, s. 25. Majesty's Court of Appeal, and the superior Courts of law and equity in England as they existed before the constitution of her Majesty's High Court of Justice; and

As to Ireland, the superior Courts of law and equity at Dublin; and

As to Scotland, the Court of Session.

"error."

"Error" includes a writ of error or any proceedings in or by way of error.

40 Vict. c. 9.

JUDICATURE ACT, 1877.

40 VICT, CAP. 9.

An Act for amending the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875. [24th April, 1877.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:

Construction and short title of Act. 1. This Act shall, so far as is consistent with the tenor thereof, be construed as one with the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, and together with the said Acts may be cited as the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873, 1875, 1877, and this Act may be cited separately as "The Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1877."

[Sect. 2 gives power to appoint an additional judge of the High Court of Justice.]

[By sect. 3,-the judge appointed is to be in the same position as if he had been appointed a puisne judge of the High Court in pursuance of the Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, and to be attached to the Chancery Division.]

[Sect. 4 provides that the ordinary judges of the Court of Appeal shall be styled Lords Justices of Appeal, and the judges of the High Court of Justice (other than the Presidents of Divisions) shall be styled Justices of the High Court. By sect. 8 of the Judicature Act, 1881, the exception of Presidents of Divisions is not to apply to any future judge of the Probate Division.]

[Sect. 5 defines * puisne judge; it is partly repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

[Sect. 6, providing for the continuation until 1st January, 1879, of sect. 34 of the Judicature Act, 1875, is repealed by Statute Law Revision Act, 1883.]

JUDICATURE (OFFICERS) ACT, 1879.

42 & 43 Vict. U. 78.

42 & 43 VICT. CAP. 78.

An Act to amend the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts.

[15th August, 1879.]

BE IT ENACTED, &c. as follows:

Preliminary.

1. This Act shall be construed as one with the Supreme Court of Construction Judicature Acts, 1873, 1875, and 1877, and may be cited together with and short those Acts as the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 to 1879, and separately as the Supreme Court of Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879.

2. This Act shall, except where it is otherwise expressed, come into 38 & 39 Vict. operation on the twenty-eighth day of October, one thousand eight 40 & 41 Vict. hundred and seventy-nine, which day is in this Act referred to as the c. 9. commencement of this Act.

3. In this Act "existing" means existing at the commencement of this Act.

title of Act. 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

Commencement of Act. Definition of "existing."

Central Office.

- 4. There shall be established a central office of the Supreme Court Establishof Judicature.
- 5. There shall be concentrated in and amalgamated with the central Certain offices office the following offices; namely,

The record and writ clerks office:

The enrolment office;

The report office;

The offices of the masters of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions, including the bills of sale office;

The offices of the associates in the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions;

The Crown office of the Queen's Bench Division;

The Queen's remembrancer's office;

The office of the registrar of certificates of acknowledgments of deeds by married women;

The office of the registrar of judgments; and such other offices of the Supreme Court as may from time to time be amalgamated with the central office by rules of court.

6. There shall be transferred to the central office,—

(a.) The existing record and writ clerks;

The existing clerk of enrolments;

The existing clerks in the report office;

The existing masters of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions;

The existing associates in the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions;

The existing Queen's remembrancer;

central office.

amalgamated with central office.

Transfer of certain officers to central office.

42 & 43 Vict. c. 78, s. 6. The existing Queen's coroner and attorney, and the existing master of the Crown office other than the Queen's coroner and attorney;

The existing registrar of certificates of acknowledgment of deeds by married women; and

The existing registrar of judgments;

with their respective clerks and messengers, or the clerks and messengers employed in their respective offices:

- (b.) Such of the existing officers employed under the registrars of the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division as the judges of that division respectively select as necessary for the performance of the duties to be performed in the central office; and
- (c.) Such other officers of and persons employed in the Supreme Court or the offices thereof as are from time to time transferred to the central office by rules of court.

7. The central office shall be under the control and superintendence of officers called masters of the Supreme Court of Judicature.

Provided that the existing clerk of enrolments shall as long as he continues to hold that office retain his control and superintendence over the business heretofore performed in his office and over the persons for the time being employed in the performance of that business.

First masters of Supreme Court.

Central office

to be under

control of

masters of Supreme

Court.

8.—(1.) The first masters of the Supreme Court of Judicature shall be—

The existing masters of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions;

The existing Queen's coroner and attorney;

The existing master of the Crown office other than the Queen's coroner and attorney;

The existing record and writ clerks; and

The existing associates in the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions.

[Sub-s. 2. Salaries of first masters.]

(3.) A vacancy in the office of any master of the Supreme Court other than a master being Queen's coroner and attorney or master of the Crown office, shall not be filled until the number of masters is reduced to eighteen.

[Sect. 9 makes provision for the appointment and removal of officers of central office.]

[Sect. 10 prescribes qualification of masters.]

[Sect. 11. Masters to hold office during good behaviour.]

Business of central office. 12.—(1.) The business to be performed in the central office shall, subject to rules of Court, comprise all the business performed in the offices by or in pursuance of this Act amalgamated with the central office, and shall be distributed among the several officers of the central office in such manner as may be directed by rules of Court.

- (2.) The several officers of the central office shall be interchangeable 42 & 43 Vict. one with another, and shall be capable of performing and liable to perform the duties of each other in any department of the office, and generally shall perform such duties and have such powers in relation to the business of the Supreme Court as may be directed by rules of Court, subject to this qualification, that the duties required to be performed by any officer transferred to the central office by or in pursuance of this Act shall, except as far as they are medified with his consent, be the same as or analogous to those which he performed before being so transferred.
- (3.) Subject as aforesaid, all officers of the central office shall continue to perform the duties heretofore performed by them in their respective offices, and to have and exercise the powers heretofore vested in them, in the same manner, as nearly as may be, as if this Act had not passed.
- 13. The clerks employed in the central office shall be classified as Classification principal clerks, first-class clerks, second-class clerks, and copying central office. clerks, or in such other manner as the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, from time to time directs.

14.—(1.) The offices specified in the first part of the first schedule Abolition of to this Act are hereby abolished as from the commencement of this certain offices Act.

and continuance of others.

- (2.) Each of the offices specified in the second part of the first schedule to this Act shall be abolished on the occurrence of the next vacancy therein.
- (3.) On and after the occurrence of the next vacancy in any of the offices specified in the third part of the first schedule to this Act, the senior master for the time being of the Supreme Court shall hold and perform the duties of the office, with such additional salary in respect of the office of Queen's remembrancer as the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, may determine.
 - (4.) Provided as follows:-
 - (a.) For the purposes of this section the existing masters of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions shall collectively rank as senior to the other first masters of the Supreme Court;
 - (b.) Subject as aforesaid, each of the first masters of the Supreme Court shall, for the purposee of this section, rank in seniority according to the date of his first appointment to an office in the Supreme Court, or in any Court of which the jurisdiction has been transferred to the Supreme Court.

Salaries and Pensions.

[Sects. 15-21 contain provisions as to salaries, pensions, &c. of officers of Supreme Court.]

Rules of Court.

22 .- (1.) Section seventeen of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, Making 1875, as amended by section seventeen of the Appellate Jurisdiction rules of Court.

c. 78, s. 22. 38 & 39 Vict. c. 77. 39 & 40 Vict. c. 59.

42 & 43 Vict. Act, 1876, shall extend to authorize the making, in pursuance of those sections, of rules of Court under or for the purposes of this Act, and under or for the purposes of any Act passed after the passing of this Act which expressly or by implication authorizes or directs the making of rules of Court, and also under or for the purposes of any Act passed before the passing of this Act, which, so far as unrepealed, expressly or by implication authorizes or directe the making of any orders, rules, or regulations for any purpose for which rules of Court can be made under the above-mentioned sections, or for any similar purpose; provided that where the concurrence of the Treasury is required in making rules of Court, or any such orders, rules, or regulations, rules of Court under this section shall not be made without that concurrence.

(2.) Such rules of Court as are requisite for bringing this Act into operation shall be made as soon as may be after the passing of this Act, but no rules of Court made under this Act shall come into operation before the commencement of this Act.

Supplemental.

Saving rights of officers transferred.

23. Subject to the express provisions of this Act, the officers transferred by or in pursuance of this Act shall have the same rank and hold their offices by the same tenure and upon the same terms and conditions, and receive the same salaries, and, if entitled to pensions, be entitled to the same pensions as if this Act had not passed.

[Sect. 24 provides that doubts as to status, &c. of officers shall be determined by rules of Court.]

[Sect. 25 relates to compensation for prejudice to right or privilege.]

[Sect. 26 contains saving as to payment of fees.]

Construction of enactments, &c. referring to officers or offices affected by this Act.

Name of new law Courts. 28 & 29 Vict. c. 48. 28 & 29 Vict. c. 49.

Repeal of enactments in second schedule. 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

- 27. Any enactment or document referring to an officer or office abolished by or under this Act, shall, as far as it continues applicable, be construed as referring to the officer or office substituted by or under this Act, and rules of Court may be made for determining what officer or office is so substituted.
- 28. The buildings erected under the Courts of Justice Building Act, 1865, and the Courts of Justice Concentration (Site) Act, 1865, together with all additions thereto, shall be styled the Royal Courts of Justice.
- 29. Whereas by reason of the provisions of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873, and the Acts amending the same, including this Act, divers enactments relating to officers and offices of the Supreme Court, and to the making of orders, rules, and regulations for purposes connected with the Supreme Court, have become unnecessary, and it is expedient that they be specifically repealed, therefore the Acts specified in the second schedule to this Act are hereby repealed to the extent specified in the third column of that schedule:

Provided that-

(1.) This repeal shall not affect—

- (a.) Anything done or suffered before the commencement of this Act 42 & 43 Vict. c. 78, s. 29. under any enactment repealed by this Act; or
- (b.) Any right, duty, or liability acquired, imposed, or incurred by or under any enactment hereby repealed; or
- (c.) Any penalty, forfeiture, or punishment incurred in respect of any offence committed against any enactment hereby repealed; or
- (d.) The institution or prosecution to its termination of any legal proceeding, or other remedy for ascertaining, enforcing, or recovering any such liability, penalty, forfeiture, or punishment as aforesaid: or
- (e.) The validity of any rule, order, or regulation made under any enactment hereby repealed; and
- (2.) In particular, but without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing provisions, the repeal effected by this section shall not deprive any person who at the commencement of this Act enjoys any compensation, pension, retiring annuity, superannuation allowance, or salary mentioned in any enactment repealed by this section, of his right to receive the same compensation, pension, retiring annuity, superannuation allowance, or salary, or of any right he may have to receive any progressive or prospective increase of salary, or to obtain any promotion, or succession, or any pension, retiring annuity, or superannuation allowance, or affect or diminish any such right, or affect any right of appointment vested in any existing judge, or alter the duties, conditions, or restrictions attached to any office held by any existing officer; and
- (3.) This repeal shall not revive any enactment, right, office, privilege, matter, or thing not in force or existing at the commencement of this Act.

SCHEDULES.

FIRST SCHEDULE.

Section 14.

FIRST PART.

Offices to be abolished as from commencement of Act.

The offices of-

Record and Writ Clerk:

Master in the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions of the

High Court of Justice:
Associate in the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions of the High Court of Justice.

SECOND PART.

Offices to be abolished on next vacancy.

The offices of-Clerk of Enrolments: Clerk of Petty Bag.

42 & 43 Vict. c. 78.

THIRD PART.

Offices to be filled on vacancy by the Senior Master of the Supreme Court.

The offices of-

Queen's Remembrancer:

Registrar of Certificates of Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women:

Registrar of Judgments.

Section 29.

SECOND SCHEDULE.

ENACTMENTS REPEALED.

[This schedule contains a long list of statutes which it is not thought necessary to produce. Amongst those partly repealed are Sir George Turner's Act, the Master in Chancery Abolition Act, the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, Lord Cairns's Act, and the Common Law Procedure Acts, 1852, 1854, and 1860. See also the Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883.]

44 & 45 Vict. c. 68.

JUDICATURE ACT, 1881.

44 & 45 VICT. CAP. 68.

An Act to amend the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts; and for other purposes. [27th August, 1881.]

Whereas it is expedient to amend the constitution of her Majesty's Court of Appeal, and to make further provision concerning the Supreme Court of Judicature and the officers thereof, and such other matters as are hereinafter mentioned:

Be it enacted, &c., as follows:

Short title.

1. This Act may be cited as the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1881.

Master of the Rolls to be Judge of Appeal only.

2. From and after the passing of this Act the present and every future Master of the Rolls shall cease to be a judge of her Majesty's High Court of Justice, but shall continue by virtue of his office to be a judge of her Majesty's Court of Appeal, and shall retain the same rank, title, salary, right of pension, patronage, and powers of appointment or dismissal, and all other powers, privileges, and disqualifications now and heretofore belonging to the said office of Master of the Rolls and all other duties of the said office except that of a judge of her Majesty's High Court of Justice: provided that the present Master of the Rolls shall not by virtue of this Act be subject to any disqualification to which he is not by law now subject, nor shall be required to act under any commission of assize, nisi prius, oyer and terminer, or gaol delivery; and the existing personal officers of the Master of the Rolls shall continue to be attached to him and be under his authority, and to hold their respective offices upon the same tenure and in the same manner in all respects as if this Act had not passed; provided also, that any Master of the Rolls to be hereafter

appointed shall be under an obligation to go circuits and to act as a 44 & 45 Vict. commissioner under commissions of assize, or other commissions authorized to be issued in pursuance of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873, in the same manner in all respects as he would have been under the last-mentioned Act, or any Acts or Act amending the same, if he had continued to be a judge of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice.

3. The vacancy now existing among the ordinary judges of the said Existing Court of Appeal shall not be filled up, and the number of ordinary vacancy in Court of judges of that Court shall henceforth be five.

Appeal not to be filled

[By sect. 4, the President of the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division is to up. be an ex-officio judge of the Court of Appeal.]

5. It shall be lawful for her Majesty to supply the vacancy in the New judge High Court of Justice, to be occasioned by the removal therefrom of of High Court instead the Master of the Rolls, by the appointment, immediately after the of Master of passing of this Act, and from time to time afterwards, of a judge, the Rolls. who shall be in the same position as if he had been appointed a puisne judge of the said High Court in pursuance of the Judicature Acts,

1873 and 1875; and all the provisions of the Supreme Court of Judi- 36 & 37 Vict. cature Acts, 1873 and 1875, for the time being in force in relation to 38 & 39 Vict. the qualification and appointment of puisne judges of the said High c. 77. Court, and to their duties and tenure of office, and to their precedence, and to their salaries and pensions, and to the officers to be attached to

puisne judges, or any of them, with the exception of such provisions as apply to existing judges only, shall apply to the judge appointed in pursuance of this section, in the same manner as they apply to the other puisne judges of the said High Court respectively. The judge so appointed shall be attached to the Chancery Division of the said

the persons of such judges, and all other provisions relating to such

High Court, subject to such power of transfer as is in the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873, mentioned.

6. The power given to her Majesty by the Supreme Court of Judi- Judge under cature Act, 1877, to appoint a judge of the High Court of Justice in 40 & 41 Vict. addition to the number of judges authorized to be appointed by the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, may be exercised by her Majesty from time to time, so as at all times to make due provision for the business of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice: provided that no such appointment shall be made unless or until the number of judges attached for the time being to the Chancery Division of the High Court, other than the Lord Chancellor, is, by death, resignation, or otherwise, reduced below five.

7. The Lord Chancellor shall have power by order under his hand Rolls Court to direct that the Court and chambers, heretofore used by the Master chambers and clerks, &c. of the Rolls as a judge of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, shall (so long as may be necessary or convenient) be used by such judge of the said Chancery Division of the said High Court as shall be in any such order in that behalf named; and the chief and

c. 68, ș. 7.

44 & 45 Vict. other clerks, and other officers, heretofore attached to the said Court and chambers respectively, shall (subject to any rules or orders of Court) be and continue attached to the judge to be named in any such order, and, after such Court and chambers shall have ceased to be so used, to the judge to whom the business previously transacted in such Court and chambers respectively shall be for the time being assigned.

[By sect. 8, any future President of the Probate, &c. Division is to be styled "Justice." See Judicature Act, 1877, s. 4.]

[Sect. 9 relates to appeals under the Divorce Act; and sect. 10 to appeals against decrees nisi for dissolution or nullity of marriage.]

Qualification of judges to sit on appeals.

11. A judge who was not present and acting as a member of a Divisional Court of the High Court of Justice, at the time when any decision which may be appealed from was made, or at the argument of the case decided, shall not, for the purposes of the fourth section of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, be deemed to be, or to have been, a member of such Divisional Court.

In cases of urgency, &c. one judge may officiate for another.

12. In any case of urgency arising during the absence from illness or any other cause or during any vacancy in the office of any judge of the High Court of Justice to whom any cause or matter may have been according to the course of the said Court or of any division thereof specially assigned, it shall be lawful for any other judge of the said Court, who may consent so to do, to hear and dispose of any application for an injunction or other interlocutory order for or on behalf of the judge so absent, or in the place of the judge whose office may have so become vacant.

[Sect. 13 relates to selection of judges for trial of election petitions.]

[Sect. 14 relates to the jurisdiction of the High Court in registration and election

[Sect. 15 relates to criminal appeals; sect. 16 to proceedings with regard to nomination of sheriffs; sect. 17 to the presentation and swearing of the Lord Mayor; and sect. 18 to the Central Criminal Court].

Power to make rules under 39 & 40 Vict. c. 59.

19. The power of making rules of Court, conferred by section seventeen of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, upon the several judges therein mentioned, shall henceforth be vested in and exercised by any five or more of the following persons, of whom the Lord Chancellor shall be one; namely, the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the President of the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division of the High Court of Justice, and four other judges of the Supreme Court of Judicature to be from time to time appointed for the purpose by the Lord Chancellor in writing under his hand, such appointment to continue for such time as shall be specified therein.

[Sect. 20 extends the provisions of the Courts of Justice (Salaries and Funds) Act, 1869, s. 14.

[Sect. 21. Notice of vacancies in offices of Supreme Court.]

22. And whereas by the Judicature Acts, 1873, 1875 and 1877, and 44 & 45 Vict. the Supreme Court of Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879, no provision is made for the appointment of district registrars of the High Court of Appointment Justice other than persons holding or having held the offices in section of district registrars, sixty of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873, and section 42 & 43 Vict. thirteen of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, respectively c. 78. mentioned: Be it enacted, that if on any vacancy in the office of district registrar under the said Acts, or upon the appointment by any Order in Council to be hereafter made of any new district within which there shall be a district registrar (unless by such Order in Council it shall be otherwise directed), it shall appear to the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, that from the nature and amount of the business to be transacted by such district registrar it is expedient that such office should be conferred upon a person not so qualified as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Treasury, to appoint to such office any solicitor of the Supreme Court of Judicature of not less than five years' standing.

A district registrar shall not, either by himself or his partner, be directly or indirectly engaged as solicitor or agent for a party to any proceeding whatsoever in the district registry of which he is registrar.

[Sect. 23. Appointment of persons to keep order, &c., in Royal Courts of

24. The powers which by an Act passed in the session of the sixth Powers as to and seventh years of her present Majesty, intituled "An Act for con- solicitors. 6 & 7 Vict. solidating and amending several of the Laws relating to Attornies and c. 73. Solicitors practising in England and Wales," and by sect. 14 of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, and by the Solicitors Act, 23 & 24 Vict. 1860, and by the Solicitors Act, 1877, and by any Act amending the c. 127. said Acts respectively, are vested in the Master of the Rolls jointly c. 62. with the Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench, the Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas, and the Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer, or with any of them, or jointly with the Presidents of the Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions of the High Court, or with any of them, shall henceforth be vested in the Master of the Rolls, with the concurrence of the Lord Chancellor and the Lord Chief Justice of England, or (in case of difference) of one of them, and anything required by the said Acts to be done to or before the said Lord Chief Justices and Lord Chief Baron, or the said Presidents jointly with the Master of the Rolls, may be done to or before the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chancellor, and the Lord Chief Justice of England.

Provision may be made by the Master of the Rolls, with the concurrence of the Lord Chancellor and the Lord Chief Justice of England. or (in case of difference) of one of them, for the care and custody of the roll of solicitors after the abolition of the office of Clerk of the Petty Bag (a).

(a) As to solicitors, see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 87; Judicature Act, 1875, s. 14.

44 & 45 Vict. [By sect. 25, the Lord Chief Justice of England is to have the powers of the Chief c. 68, s. 25. Justice of the Common Pleas and the Chief Baron of the Exchequer.]

Commissioners for acknowledgments by married women.

26. And whereas under the Act of the third and fourth years of King William the Fourth, chapter seventy-four, the Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas was empowered to appoint such proper persons as he should think fit to be perpetual commissioners for taking the acknowledgments by married women of deeds to be executed by them as in the same Act provided, and such commissioners were made removable by and at the pleasure of the said Lord Chief Justice; and by divers subsequent Acts provision was made for further and other duties to be performed by such commissioners: And whereas the present Lord Chief Justice of England was before and down to the time of his appointment to that office Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and after his appointment to be Lord Chief Justice of England no other person was appointed to be Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and that office has since been abolished: Be it enacted and declared, that every appointment of any person to be a commissioner for taking such acknowledgments and performing such other duties as aforesaid, and every order for the removal of any person from such office of commissioner, which shall have been made by the present Lord Chief Justice of England at any time since his appointment to that office, or shall be hereafter made by the Lord Chief Justice of England for the time being, shall be and be deemed to have been valid and effectual in the law, to all intents and purposes whatsoever, in the same manner as if it had been made by a Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas before the abolition of that office.

[Sect. 27. Powers to make rules for practice of County Courts.]

JUDICATURE ACT, 1884.

47 & 48 Vict. c. 61.

47 & 48 VICT, CAP, 61.

An Act to amend the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts; and for other purposes. [14th August, 1884.]

Whereas it is expedient to make further provision concerning the Supreme Court of Judicature, and the officers thereof, and such other matters as are hereinafter mentioned:

Be it enacted, &c. as follows:

This Act may be cited as the Supreme Court of Judicature Short title. Act, 1884; and this Act, together with the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 to 1879, and the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1881, may be cited as the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 to

2. This Act shall come into operation on the twenty-fourth day of Commence-October, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-four, which day is in ment of Act. this Act referred to as the commencement of this Act.

[Sect. 3. Precedence of President of Probate, &c. Division.]

[Sect. 4 relates to the Queen's Bench Division.]

5. Upon the request of the Lord Chancellor, it shall be lawful for Absence, any judge of any division of the High Court, who may consent so to insufficiency do, to sit and act for or on behalf of any other judge of the High in number of Court absent from illness or any other cause, or in the place of any judges. judge whose office has become vacant, or as an additional judge of any division for the purpose of hearing any causes or matters which may be assigned to him by the Lord Chancellor, or any applications therein; and while so sitting and acting any such judge shall have all the power and authority which such other judge would have had, or which ordinarily belong to a judge of such division, as the case may be. Provided that no such additional judge shall sit and act in any division, except with the concurrence of the respective Presidents of the division to which such judge belongs, and of the division in which he may have been requested to sit and act as additional judge; and the assignment to such judge of any causes or matters, depending in the division in which he shall so sit and act, shall likewise not be made except with the concurrence of the President of such lastmentioned division.

6. Any proceeding in any cause or matter assigned to any judge of Power of one the High Court of Justice, may at any time, upon the request and on for another. behalf of such judge, be heard and disposed of by any other judge of the same division, who may be willing to hear and dispose of the same, without any transfer: Provided that, if any party to such proceeding shall object to the same being so heard and disposed of, the same shall not be so heard and disposed of without the concurrence

e. 61, s. 6.

47 & 48 Vict. of the Lord Chancellor, to be signified by an order in writing under his hand.

> [By sect. 7, judges of County Courts are to have every qualification conferred on Queen's counsel by 13 & 14 Vict. c. 25.]

[Sect. 8 relates to appeals from referees, Queen's Bench Division.]

Judge may order trial by an official referee in certain cases.

9. In any cause or matter (other than a criminal proceeding by the Crown) now pending or hereafter commenced before the High Court of Justice or Court of Appeal, in which all parties who are under no disability consent thereto, the Court or a judge may at any time, on such terms as may be thought proper, order the whole cause or matter to be tried before an official referee, who shall have power to direct in what manner the judgment of the Court shall be entered, and to exercise the same discretion as to costs as the Court or judge could have exercised.

Causes which may be referred to arbitrator may be referred to official referee.

10. In all cases in which the Court or a judge may, under sections three, six, or twelve of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, direct any matter to be ascertained by a master or referred to an arbitrator, or to an officer of the Court, or appoint an arbitrator, such Court or judge may direct such matter to be ascertained by or referred to an official referee, who shall in that case perform all such duties and exercise all such powers as would have been performed or could have been exercised by such master, arbitrator, or officer.

Parties under agreement of reference may refer to official referee.

11. Whenever the parties to any deed or instrument in writing, made or executed after the commencement of this Act, or any of them. shall agree that any existing or future difference between them, or any of them, shall be referred to an official referee, it shall be the duty of any one of the official referees to whom application shall be made for the purpose, subject to any order which may be made by the Court or a judge for the transfer of the matter to any other official referee, or otherwise, to hear and determine any difference so agreed to be referred, and every such agreement shall be deemed to be an agreement to refer to arbitration within the meaning of sections eleven and seventeen of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854.

Saving as to district registrars. Summary applications under statutes. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 134, s. 16.

- 12. Nothing in this Act shall interfere with any existing provisions as to any proceedings before district registrars.
- 13. The provisions of section sixteen of the Act eighteen and nineteen Victoria, chapter one hundred and thirty-four, shall extend to all applications under any Act of Parliament heretofore passed, or hereafter to be passed, under or by virtue of which the High Court of Justice, or any judge thereof, is empowered to make orders in respect of trust funds, or any other matters, upon petition presented, or motion made, in a summary way (a).

Business which Court is not empowered to dispose of in (a) Sect. 16 of the Act here referred to (Despatch of Business (Court of Chancery) Act, 1855) is as follows:—"And whereas by divers Acts of Parliament the Court of Chancery is empowered to make orders in respect of the disposition of trust funds, and other matters under its jurisdiction, upon petition presented or motion made in a summary way, without bill, but such orders cannot be made in respect

of the same matters upon application at chambers: Be it therefore enacted, that 47 & 48 Vict. the husiness to be disposed of by the Master of the Rolls and the Vice-Chancellore, c. 61, s. 13. respectively, while sitting at Chambers, shall comprise such of the matters in respect of which the Court of Chancery is so as aforesaid empowered to make orders in a summary summary way, as the Lord Chancellor, with the advice and assistance of the Master way, may be of the Rolls and the Vice-Chancellors, or any two of them, may by any general disposed of at order direct." The section has not been repealed either expressly or by implication; chambers. see Ex parte Mayor of London, 25 Ch. D. 384.

14. Where any person neglects or refuses to comply with a judg- Execution of ment or order directing him to execute any conveyance, contract, or by order of other document, or to indorse any negotiable instrument, the Court the Court. may, on such terms and conditions (if any) as may be just, order that such conveyance, contract, or other document shall be executed, or that such negotiable instrument shall be indorsed by such person as the Court may nominate for that purpose; and in such case the conveyance, contract, document, or instrument so executed or indorsed shall operate and be for all purposes available as if it had been executed or indorsed by the person originally directed to execute or indorse it.

[Sect. 15. Proceedings in que warrante to be deemed civil proceedings.]

16. Section one of the Act seventeen and eighteen Victoria, chapter Amendment thirty-four, entitled, "An Act to enable Courts of law in England, Vict. c. 34, Ireland, and Scotland to issue process to compel the attendance of s. 1. witnesses out of their jurisdiction, and to give effect to the service of such process in any part of the United Kingdom," is hereby amended so as to authorise and empower a judge of the High Court to make orders as therein mentioned as well when the Court is sitting as at any other time.

17. If it shall appear to the Court or a judge that any proceeding Power to now pending or hereafter commenced in the High Court of Justice by transfer interpleader way of interpleader, in which the amount or value of the matter in proceedings dispute does not exceed the sum of five hundred pounds (being the county limit of the equitable jurisdiction given to County Courts by the County Courts Act, 1865) may be more conveniently tried and deter- 28 & 29 Vict. mined in a County Court, the Court or judge may at any time order the transfer thereof to any County Court, in which an action or proceeding might have been brought by any one or more of the parties to such interpleader against the others or other of them, if there had been a trust to be executed concerning the matter in question; and every such order shall have the same effect as if it had been for the transfer of a suit or proceeding under section eight of the County 30 & 31 Viot. Courts Act, 1867; and the County Court shall have jurisdiction and c. 142. authority to proceed therein, as may be prescribed by any County Court rules for the time being in force.

18. The jurisdiction of an inferior Court in cases of counterclaim Jurisdiction under sections eighty-nine and ninety of the Supreme Court of Judica- of inferior Courts in ture Act, 1873, shall not be excluded by reason (1) that any such counter-

c. 61, s. 18. 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

47 & 48 Vict. counterclaim involves matter not within the local jurisdiction of such inferior Court, but within the jurisdiction of any other inferior Court in England; or (2) that, where the counterclaim involves more than one cause of action, as to each of which the defendant might have maintained a separate action, each such cause of action being within the jurisdiction of the Court, the aggregate amount of the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of the Court; or (3) that the counterclaim is for an amount of money exceeding the jurisdiction of the Court, provided that the plaintiff does not object in writing, within such time as may be prescribed by any rules, to the Court giving relief exceeding that which the Court would have had jurisdiction to administer prior to the commencement of this Act. In any case where the counterclaim involves matter beyond the jurisdiction of the Court, notwithstanding the provisions of this section, the Court may, on such terms (if any) as the Court may think just, either adjourn the hearing of the case, or stay execution on the judgment, for such time as may be necessary to enable any party to apply to remove the proceedings into the High Court of Justice, or to enable the defendant to prosecute in a Court of competent jurisdiction an action for the purpose of establishing his counterclaim; and in default of any such application being made, or action brought, the Court shall, after the expiration of the time limited, have jurisdiction to hear and determine the whole matter in controversy, to the same extent as if all parties had consented thereto.

[Sect. 19 relates to patronage under 42 & 43 Vict. c. 78.]

[Sect. 20 relates to salaries and pensions.]

[Sect. 21 relates to the appointment of circuit officers.]

[Sect. 22 abolishes the offices of the sworn clerks formerly attached to the office of the Chancery examiners.]

[By sect. 23, the power to make rules conferred by sect. 17 of the Judicature Act, 1875, and enactments amending the same is to include the power to make rules for regulating the procedure on appeals from inferior Courts to the High Court.]

[Sect. 24 relates to rules for inferior Courts.]

RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT, 1883.

THE following orders and rules may be cited as "The Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883," they shall come into operation on the twentyfourth day of October, 1883, and shall also apply, so far as may be practicable (unless otherwise expressly provided), to all proceedings taken on or after that day in all causes and matters then pending.

The orders and rules mentioned in Appendix O. hereto are hereby annulled, and the following orders and rules shall stand in lieu thereof.

For Appendix O., see infra. See also the Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883, and the schedule thereto, which repeals several important statutes. As to the authority under which these rules are made, see Judicature Act, 1875, s. 17; Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, s. 17; Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879, s. 22; Judicature Act, 1881, s. 19; Ex parte Mayor of London, 25 Ch. D. 384.

For interpretation of terms, see Ord. LXXI., post. As to the application of the orders and rules to proceedings pending on October 24th, 1883, see Bell v. Earl of Kilmorey, W. N. (1883), 207; E. v. F. ib. 207.

The Rules as issued have no marginal notes

The Rules as issued have no marginal notes.

ORDER I.

FORM AND COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION.

1. All actions which, previously to the commencement of the prin- Institution of cipal Act (a), were commenced by writ in the superior Courts of action. common law at Westminster, or in the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, or in the Court of Pleas at Durham, and all suits (b) which, previously to the commencement of the principal Act (a), were commenced by bill or information (c) in the High Court of Chancery, or by a cause in rem or in personam in the High Court of Admiralty, or by citation or otherwise in the Court of Probate, shall be instituted in the High Court of Justice by a proceeding to be called an action (d).

(a) The "principal Act" means the Judicature Act, 1873; see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, "Principal post.

(b) As to suits involving less than 20l., see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 67, and note thereto, ante. p. 271. If the plaintiff in an action founded on contract does not recover more than 50l. he will (in the absence of special order) only get County Court costs (Ord. LXV. r. 12, and cases there cited, post).

(c) The title "information" ought not now to be used, and was struck out of a statement of claim by Jessel, M. R. (Attorney-General v. Shrewsbury Bridge Co., W. N. (1880), 23; 42 L. T. 79). Where there is no relator the Attorney-General's signature on the writ is not required; but where there is a relator (whether a person or a body corporate) the original writ (not the copy filed) must be signed by the Attorney-General; and if any amendment be made it must be authorized by his signature on the original writ or draft (Central Office Practice Rules, Ord, LXI. signature on the original writ or draft (Central Office Practice Rules, Ord. LXI. r. 33, infra). See also Caldwell v. Pagham Harbour Co., 2 Ch. D. 221, where an action was turned into an information and action.

Before the name of any person can be used as relator his written authority for Writtsn that purpose must be filed in the central office or district registry (Ord. XVI. r. 20). authority to

In a pressing case the authority may be allowed to be filed after the institution of be filed. the suit (A.-G. v. Murray, 13 W. R. 65; A.-G. v. Wiltshire, 45 L. J. Ch. 53).

(d) "Action" means a civil proceeding commenced by writ, or in such other manner as may be prescribed by rules of Court (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 100, ante, p. 276). As to cases in which notice of action is required, see Addison on Torts, Notice of p. 712. Where the action is for an injunction to restrain a nuisance, notice is not action. necessary; see Flower v. Local Board of Low Leyton, 5 Ch. D. 347; 25 W. R. 423;

A.-G. v. Hackney Local Board, 20 Eq. 626; Baker v. Corporation of Wisbeach, W. N. Ord. I. (1877), 56; and see also Foat v. Mayor of Margate, 11 Q. B. D. 299.

Saving of former practice.

- 2. All other proceedings in and applications to the High Court may, subject to these rules, be taken and made in the same manner as they would have been taken and made in any Court in which any proceeding or application of the like kind could have been taken or made if the Acts (e) had not been passed (f).
- (e) "The Acts" mean the Judicature Acts, 1873—1879, the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, and the Judicature Act, 1881 (Ord. LXXI. r. 1).

 (f) See Judicature Act, 1875, s. 21, ante, p. 284, and Ord. LXXII. r. 2, infra, which continue the existing practice where not inconsistent with the Act or rules. Where there is a difference between the old chancery and common law practice, and the new rules contain no requirement that repetits is to properly which the new rules contain no provision on the point, that practice is to prevail which the Court considers the more convenient; see Fowler v. Barstow, 20 Ch. D. 240; Newbiggin Gas Co. v. Armstrong (C. A.), 13 Ch. D. 310; Nurse v. Durnford, ibid., 764.

ORDER II.

WRIT OF SUMMONS AND PROCEDURE, &c.

Commencement by writ of summons.

 Every action in the High Court shall be commenced by a writ of summons, which shall be indersed (g) with a statement of the nature of the claim made, or of the relief or remedy required in the action (h), and which shall specify the division of the High Court to which it is intended that the action should be assigned (i).

Indorsement.

(g) As to the indorsement, see Ord. III., infra.
(h) If the plaintiff seeks for an injunction, a receiver, or any special order or relief, it is usual and proper to indorse the writ with a specific claim for this purpose; relief, it is usual and proper to indorse the writ with a specime claim for this purpose; but it is not absolutely necessary (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 25 (8), ante, p. 259; Ord. L. r. 6, infra; Colebourne v. Colebourne, I Ch. D. 690; Norton v. Gover, W. N. (1877), 206; Ord. III. r. 2, post, p. 307); and a defective indorsement may be amended (Ord. XXVIII. r. 1, infra).

(i) The writ of summons in an action in the Chancery Division must also be marked by the officer issuing it with the name of one of the judges of the Chancery Division to whom for the time being chambers are attached (Ord. V. r. 9, post,

Marking writ with name of a judge.

District registry.

As to the requisite statements on the face of the writ where it is issued out of a district registry, see Ord. V. rr. 3, 4, post, p. 312.

Costs of prolixity.

- 2. Any costs occasioned by the use of any forms (j) of writs, and of indorsements thereon, other or more prolix than the forms hereinafter prescribed, shall be borne by the party using the same, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct (k).
 - (j) For forms of writs and indorsements, see Appendix A., infra.

(k) See also Ord. LXV. r. 27 (20), post, as to costs of unnecessary matter.

Form of writ.

3. The writ of summons for the commencement of an action shall, except in the cases in which any different form is hereinafter provided, be in one of the Forms Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4, in Appendix A., Part I., with such variations as circumstances may require (l).

Title of writ in administration action.

(1) For these forms, see post. Variations may be allowed in a proper case (Bacon v. Turner, 3 Ch. D. 275; Keate v. Phillips, W. N. (1878), 186). In the case of an administration action the writ must be intituled "In the matter of the estate of A. B. deceased. Between &c."; see Eyre v. Cox. 24 W. R. 217. The object of this is to enable the action to be indexed under the name of the estate to be administered.

4. No writ of summons for service out of the jurisdiction, or of which notice is to be given out of the jurisdiction, shall be issued Writ for without the leave of the Court or a judge (m).

Ord. II.

service out of jurisdiction.

(m) As to service out of the jurisdiction generally, see Ord. XI., post. Applications for leave to issue a writ for service out of the jurisdiction, and for Applications leave to serve out of the jurisdiction the writ when issued, are made at Chambers, for leave to and either simultaneously or separately. When they are made separately, a copy issue and of the writ is brought to the chief clerk, and a verbal statement made to him of leave to serve the nature of the action, whereupon, unless the case is one which requires to be in the brought under the personal consideration of the judge, a course which is adopted Chancery in all but very plain cases, the chief clerk indorses on the copy of the writ the leave Division. to issue it, and the subsequent order for leave to serve is made upon affidavit in the action. If the applications are made simultaneously the applicaintituled in the action. If the applications are made simultaneously, the application for leave to serve must be supported by an affidavit intituled in the matter of the intended action (Stigand v. Stigand, 19 Ch. D. 460, not following Young v. Brassey, 1 Ch. D. 277).

When the defendant is neither a British subject nor in British dominions, notice Notice in lieu

of writ.

of the writ, and not the writ itself, is served upon him (Ord. XI. r. 6, post).

An order for leave to issue need not be drawn up (Ord. LII. r. 14, post).

The Court will not allow service on an ambassador of a writ against a foreign sovereign (Stewart v. Bank of England, W. N. (1876), 263).

 A writ of summons to be served out of the jurisdiction, or of Form of writ which notice is to be given out of the jurisdiction, shall be in one of for service out of iuri the Forms Nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Appendix A., Part I., with such varia-diction. tions as circumstances may require. Such notice shall be in one of the Forms Nos. 9 and 10 in the same part, with such variations as circumstances may require (n).

out of juris-

- (n) For the forms here referred to, see post.
- 6. No writ shall hereafter be issued under the Summary Procedure Bills of Exon Bills of Exchange Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 67) (0).

change Act, 1855.

(e) This Act is repealed by the Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883, 46 & 47 Vict. c. 49, s. 4.

[Rule 7 relates to the writ in Admiralty actions.]

8. Every writ of summons, and also (unless by any statute or by Date and these rules it is otherwise provided) every other writ, shall bear date teste of writ on the day on which the same shall be issued, and shall be tested in the name of the Lord Chancellor, or, if the office of Lord Chancellor shall be vacant, in the name of the Lord Chief Justice of England (p).

(p) As to vacancies in these offices, see Ord. LXXII., post. A mistake in the teste is unimportant (Wesson v. Stalker, 47 L. T. 444).

A writ is issued by being sealed by the proper officer, see Ord. V. r. 11.

ORDER III.

Indorsements of Claim.

1. The indorsement of claim shall be made on every writ of summons Indorsement before it is issued.

of claim.

2. In the indorsement required by Order II., Rule 1, it shall not be Precise essential to set forth the precise ground of complaint, or the precise ground of remedy or relief to which the plaintiff considers himself entitled (q).

complaint need not be stated.

(q) See note (h), ante, p. 306. Whenever a statement of claim is delivered, the plaintiff may alter his claim without amending the indorsement on the writ (Ord.

Ord. III.

XX. r. 4, post, p. 362). As to amendments generally, see Ord. XXVIII. r. 1, post, p. 362; and the Central Office Practice Rules, given in note to Ord. LXI. r. 33, infra.

Account.

Where the plaintiff seeks for an account, the writ must be indorsed with a claim for this purpose, see rule 8, post, p. 309.

Form of indorsement.

- 3. The indorsement of claim shall be to the effect of such of the Forms in Appendix A., Part III., as shall be applicable to the case, or, if none be found applicable, then such other similarly concise form as the nature of the case may require (r).
 - (r) For these forms, see infra.

Where plaintiff or defendant sues or is sued in a representative capacity.

- 4. If the plaintiff sues, or the defendant or any of the defendants is sued, in a representative capacity, the indorsement shall show, in manner appearing by such of the Forms in Appendix A., Part III., sect. 7, as shall be applicable to the case, or by any other statement to the like effect, in what capacity the plaintiff or defendant sues or is sued (s).
- (s) For these forms, see infra. Where a creditor seeks to have the real and personal estate of a deceased debtor administered by the Court, he must sue on behalf of himself and other the creditors, and the writ must be indorsed accordingly (Worraker v. Pryer, 2 Ch. D. 109; Re Royle, 5 Ch. D. 540; Re Vincent, 26 W. R. 94; W. N. (1877), 249; Adocok v. Peters, W. N. (1876), 139; Richardson v. Leake, W. N. (1879), 181); secus, where administration of the personal estate only is sought (Re Blount, 27 W. R. 865; Re Greaves, 18 Ch. D. p. 554); see also Ord. XVI. r. 9, post, p. 335. If either party wish to deny the representative character of the other, he must do so specifically; see Ord. XXI. r. 5, post, p. 363.

[Rule 5 relates to Probate actions.]

Special indersement in case of liquidated demand.

6. In all actions where the plaintiff seeks only to recover a debt or liquidated demand in money payable by the defendant, with or without interest, arising (A.) upon a contract, express or implied, (as, for instance, on a bill of exchange, promissory note, or cheque, or other simple contract debt); or (B.) on a bond or contract under seal for payment of a liquidated amount of money; or (C.) on a statute where the sum sought to be recovered is a fixed sum of money or in the nature of a debt other than a penalty; or (D.) on a guaranty, whether under seal or not, where the claim against the principal is in respect of a debt or liquidated demand only; or (E.) on a trust; or (F.) in actions for the recovery of land, with or without a claim for rent or mesne profits, by a landlord against a tenant whose term has expired or has been duly determined by notice to quit, or against persons claiming under such tenant; the writ of summons may, at the option of the plaintiff, be specially indorsed with a statement of his claim, or of the remedy or relief to which he claims to be entitled (t). special indorsement shall be to the effect of such of the Forms in Appendix C., sect. 4, as shall be applicable to the case (u).

Advantage of special indorsement. (t) Supposing the plaintiff to have specially indersed his writ, then—
 (1) If the defendant does not appear, the plaintiff may enter final judgment (Ord. XIII. rr. 3, 4, post, p. 327); though, indeed, this applies in every case in which the writ is indersed for a liquidated demand, whether specially or otherwise (ibid.).

(2) Notwithetanding appearance, the plaintiff may sign final judgment unless the defendant can satisfy the judge that he ought to be allowed to defend

(Ord. XIV. r. 1, post, p. 330).

Ord. III.

(3) No statement of claim is necessary, or indeed allowable (Ord. XX. r. 1,

post, p. 361).

Under the old rule the indersement had to give particulars of the amount claimed after giving credit for any payment or set-off. As to what was a sufficient indersement under this rule, see Smith v. Wilson, 5 C. P. D. 25; Walker v. Hicks, 3 Q. B. D. 8; Parpatite v. Dickenson, 26 W. R. 479; W. N. (1878), 51; Aston v. Harwitz, W. N. (1879), 194; 41 L. T. 521; Yeatman v. Snow, 28 W. R. 574; Godden v. Corsten, 5 C. P. D. 17. An action on a foreign judgment is within this rule (Grant v. Easton, W. N. (1883), 218).

This rule applies in the case of an action by mortgagee against mortgagor who has attorned tenant to him (Daubuz v. Lavington, 13 Q. B. D. 347); but see Hobson land. v. Monk, W. N. (1884), 17. It does not apply to an action by landlord against tenant under a forfeiture clause (Burns v. Walford, W. N. (1884), 31; Mansergh v. Rimell, W. N. (1884), 34).

(w) As to these forms, see infra. The use of them when applicable is obli-

(u) As to these forms, see infra. The use of them when applicable is obligatory (Ord. XIX. r. 5, post, p. 356).

7. Wherever the plaintiff's claim is for a debt or liquidated demand Amount of only, the indorsement, besides stating the nature of the claim, shall debt and costs to be state the amount claimed for debt, or in respect of such demand, and indersed. for costs respectively, and shall further state, that upon payment thereof within four days after service, or in case of a writ not for service within the jurisdiction within the time allowed for appearance, further proceedings will be stayed (w). Such statement shall be in the Form in Appendix A., Pt. III., sect. 3(x). The defendant may, not withstanding such payment, have the costs taxed, and if more than onesixth shall be disallowed, the plaintiff's solicitor shall pay the costs of taxation(y).

(w) The use of this indorsement is obligatory. As to the effect of such an indorsement, see ${\it Jacquot}$ v. ${\it Boura},$ 5 Mee. & W. p. 156.

(x) For form, see infra.
(y) Cf. the Solicitors' Act, 1843, s. 37, ante, p. 2. If the plaintiff accept payment after the four days the defendant is entitled to have the costs taxed under this rule (Hoole v. Earnshaw, 39 L. T. 410; W. N. (1878), 227).

8. In all cases in which the plaintiff, in the first instance, desires to Claim for an have an account taken, the writ of summons shall be indorsed with a account. claim that such account be taken (z).

(z) When the writ is thus indersed an order for the account can be made at

chambers; see Ord. XV. r. 1, post, p. 332, and cases there cited.

In the old rules the words were "ordinary account," and it was held that this did not include an account on the footing of wilful neglect (Re Bowen, 20 Ch. D. 538).

ORDER IV.

Indorsement of Address.

1. In all cases where a writ of summons is issued out of the Central Indorsement Office, the solicitor of a plaintiff suing by a solicitor shall indorse upon of address. the writ and notice in lieu of service of a writ the address of the plaintiff, and also his own name or firm and place of business, and also. if his place of business shall be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice, another proper place, to be called his address for service, which shall not be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall

Ord. IV.

at the Royal Courts of Justice, where writs, notices, pleadings, petitions, orders, summonses, warrants, and other documents, proceedings, and written communications may be left for him. And where any such solicitor is only agent of another solicitor, he shall add to his own name or firm and place of business the name or firm and place of business of the principal solicitor (a).

(a) As to service of orders, &c., generally, see Ord. LXVII., infra.

This rule does not enable a defendant where one plaintiff sues on behalf of others to call for the address of their solicitors (*Leathley* v. McAndrew, W. N. (1875), 259; but as to partners, see Ord. VII. r. 2, post, p. 314.

Indorsement of address where plaintiff sues in person.

- 2. In all cases where a writ of summons is issued out of the central office, a plaintiff suing in person shall indorse upon the writ and notice in lieu of service of a writ his place of residence and occupation, and also, if his place of residence shall be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice, another proper place, to be called his address for service, which shall not be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice, where writs, notices, pleadings, petitions, orders, summonses, warrants, and other documents, proceedings, and written communications may be left for him (b).
- (b) If the plaintiff's address is not stated, or not correctly stated, he may be ordered to amend the writ by inserting his correct address, and in default of his so doing the action may be stayed; if he resides abroad, security for costs may be required (Kenny v. Hollings, Seton, 1644). As to security for costs generally, see Ord. LXV. r. 6, and notes thereto, infra.

Where writ issued out of district registry.

- 3. In all cases where a writ of summons is issued out of a district registry the solicitor of a plaintiff suing by a solicitor shall indorse upon the writ, and notice in lieu of service of a writ, the address of the plaintiff, and his own name or firm and place of business, which shall, if his place of business be within the district of the registry, be an address for service, and if such place be not within the district, he shall add an address for service within the district, and, where the defendant does not reside within the district, he shall add a further address for service, which shall not be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice; and where the solicitor issuing the writ is only agent of another solicitor, he shall add to his own name or firm and place of business the name or firm and place of business of the principal solicitor. Where the plaintiff sues in person, he shall indorse upon the writ, and notice in lieu of service of a writ, his place of residence and occupation, which shall, if his place of residence be within the district, be an address for service, and if such place be not within the district, he shall add an address for service within the district, and, where the defendant does not reside within the district, he shall add a further address for service, which shall not be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice (c).
 - (c) Where a writ is issued out of a district registry and served outside the

district, notice of appearance must be sent to the address for service within the district; notice of appearance given at the address for service in London is insufficient (Smith v. Dobbin, 3 Ex. D. 338).

Ord. IV.

4. In all cases where proceedings are commenced otherwise than by Where writ of summons, the preceding rules of this order shall apply to the commenced document by which such proceedings shall be originated as if it were otherwise a writ of summons.

than by writ.

ORDER V.

ISSUE OF WRITS OF SUMMONS.

I. Place of Issue.

1. In any action other than a probate action, the plaintiff (d) Issue of writ wherever resident may issue (e) a writ of summons out of any district registry. registry.

(d) No one can issue a writ but a soliciter or the plaintiff in person; if anyone Who may else issues a writ, the writ and all subsequent proceedings will be set aside (Wood issue writ. v. Swan, 25 Sol. J. 134). An action commenced by a solicitor without authority will be dismissed with costs to be paid by him. (Newbiggin-by-the-Sea Gas Co. v. Armstrong, 13 Ch. D. 310; Nurse v. Durnford, ibid., 764).

(e) The Court may inquire at what period of the day a writ was issued (Clarke v. Issuing a Bradlaugh, 8 Q. B. D. 63).

writ is not a judicial act. Issue of writ out of central

office.

- 2. Every writ of summons not issued out of a district registry shall be issued out of the central office (f).
- (f) All writs issued in London are issued out of the Writ Appearance and Judgment Department of the Central Office; see Ord. LXI. r. 1, post,
- 3. In all cases where a defendant neither resides nor carries on Place of business (g) within the district out of the registry whereof a writ of defendant's summons is issued, there shall be a statement on the face of the writ of summons that such defendant may cause an appearance to be entered at his option either at the district registry or at the central office, or a statement to the like effect.

appearance.

- (g) As to the meaning of "resides or carries on business," see Daniell, p. 319, and cases there cited. See forms, Appendix A., Part I., Nos. 3, 4, infra.
- 4. In all cases where a defendant resides or carries on business Appearance within the district, and a writ of summons is issued out of the district at district registry, there shall be a statement on the face of the writ of summons that the defendant do cause an appearance to be entered at the district registry, or a statement to the like effect (h).

registry.

(h) See forms, Appendix A., Part I., Nos. 3, 4, infra.

II. Assignment of Causes, &c.

5. Subject to the power of transfer, every person by whom any Assignment cause or matter may be commenced in the High Court of Justice, of cause to a which would have been within the non-exclusive cognizance of the High Court. High Court of Admiralty if the principal Act had not passed, shall assign such cause or matter to any one of the divisions of the said High Court, including the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division,

Ord. V.

as he may think fit, by marking the document by which the same is commenced with the name of the division, and giving notice thereof to the proper officer of the Court (i).

(i) As to the causes and matters specially assigned (subject to rules of Court) to the Chancery Division, see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34, ante, p. 263; and as to the power of the plaintiff to choose in what division he will bring his action, see Judicature Act, 1875, s. 11, ante, p. 281.
As to transfers, see Ord. XLIX., post, and notes thereto.

[Rules 6, 7 and 8 apply only to actions in the Queen's Bench Division.]

Causes and matters commenced in the Chancery Division to be assigned to and marked with the name of a judge,

- 9. Subject to the power of transfer, and to the special provision contained in sub-section (e.) of this rule, and subject also to the power of the Lord Chancellor by order from time to time otherwise to direct, every cause or matter which shall hereafter be commenced in the Chancery Division shall be assigned to and marked with the name of one of the judges thereof in manner hereinafter mentioned, and shall no longer be marked with the name of such judge as the plaintiff or petitioner may in his option think fit:-
 - (a.) Where the commencement is by writ, it shall be the duty of the officer issuing such writ to mark the same with the name of one of the judges of the Chancery Division to whom for the time being chambers are attached (to be ascertained in the manner now used in the distribution of business amongst the conveyancing counsel of the Court) (j);
- (j) As to the conveyancing counsel and the mode in which business is distributed among them, see Ord. LI., Part II.
 - (b.) Where the commencement is by originating summons, such summons shall be taken out in the writ department of the central office, and it shall be the duty of the officer issuing such summons to mark the same with the name of one of the said judges, to be ascertained in manner aforesaid;
 - (c.) Where the commencement is by notice of motion, such notice of motion shall be brought to the writ department of the central office, and it shall be the duty of the officer by whom originating summonses are issued to mark the same with the name of one of the said judges, to be ascertained in manner aforesaid;
 - (d.) Where the commencement is by petition, such petition shall be brought to the office of the registrars of the Chancery Division, and shall be marked by an officer to be charged by the registrars with that duty with the name of one of the said judges, to be ascertained in manner aforesaid;
 - (e.) Where a cause or matter has been assigned to one of the said judges as above mentioned, every subsequent writ, summons, or petition, relating to the administration of the same trust, or the winding-up of the same company, or so connected therewith as to be conveniently dealt with by the same judge, shall whenever practicable be marked by the proper officer

with the name of such judge; and the party or solicitor presenting such writ, summons, or petition shall, if there be to his knowledge such relation or connexion, so certify; such certificate shall be in the Form No. 19, in Appendix A., Part I., with such variations as circumstances may require (k).

Ord. V.

(k) For this form see infra.

III. Generally.

10. Writs of summons shall be prepared by the plaintiff or his soli- Preparation citor, and shall be written or printed, or partly written and partly of writ. printed, on paper of the same description as by these rules directed in the case of proceedings directed to be printed (l).

(1) The Central Office Practice Rules (Ord. LXI. r. 33, and note thereto, infra) Central office

contain the following general provisions as to writs of summons:—
Writs of summons issued before the Judicature Acts came into force may he renewed without an order.

A female plaintiff must be described as "spinster," "married woman," or

"widow," and if an infant, as an infant.
Where an infant is plaintiff, the authority of the next friend (duly attested) must be filed before the writ of summons can he issued.

11. Every writ of summons shall be sealed by the proper officer, and Writ to be shall thereupon be deemed to be issued (m).

(m) The Court may inquire at what time of the day a writ was issued (Clarke v. Bradlaugh, 8 Q. B. D. 63.)

As to the issue of writs, see Ord. LXI., post, providing for the distribution of business in the central office.

12. The plaintiff or his solicitor shall, on presenting any writ of Writ to be summons for sealing, leave with the officer a copy, written or printed, left at central office. or partly written and partly printed, on paper of the description aforesaid, of such writ and all the indorsements thereon, and such copy shall be signed (n) by or for the solicitor leaving the same, or by the plaintiff himself if he sues in person.

- (n) Copies of writs of summons should be signed with the name of the solicitor, or solicitor's clerk suing them out, thus, "C. D. & Co.;" or, "A. B. for C. D. & Co." The stamp is to be on the copy writ filed (Central Office Practice Rules; Ord. LXI. r. 33, and note thereto, infra).
- 13. The officer receiving such copy shall file the same, and an entry Copy of writ of the filing thereof shall be made in a book to be called the Cause to be filed. Book (o), which is to be kept in the manner in which cause books are now kept, and the action shall be distinguished by the date of the year, a letter, and a number, in the manner in which causes are now distinguished in such cause books; and when such action shall be commenced in a district registry it shall be further distinguished by the name of such registry.

- (o) As to the cause book, see Central Office Practice Rules, Ord. LXI. r. 33.
- 14. Notice to the proper officer (p) of the assignment of an action Notice of

Ord. V.
assignment
of action.
"Proper
officer."

to any division of the Court shall be sufficiently given by leaving with him the copy of the writ of summons.

- (p) As to the meaning of "proper officer," see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, infra.
- [Rule 15 relates to Probate actions.]

[Rules 16 and 17 relate to Admiralty actions.]

ORDER VI.

CONCURRENT WRITS.

Issue of concurrent writs.

- 1. The plaintiff in any action may, at the time of or at any time during twelve months after the issuing of the original writ of summons, issue one or more concurrent writ or writs, each concurrent writ to bear *teste* of the same day as the original writ, and to be marked with a seal bearing the word "concurrent," and the date of issuing the concurrent writ; and such seal shall be impressed upon the writ by the proper officer: Provided always, that such concurrent writ or writs shall only be in force for the period during which the original writ in such action shall be in force (q).
 - (q) As to the cases in which concurrent writs are required, see Daniell, p. 325.

Writs for service within and without jurisdiction may be concurrent. 2. A writ for service within the jurisdiction may be issued and marked as a concurrent writ with one for service, or whereof notice in lieu of service is to be given, out of the jurisdiction; and a writ for service, or whereof notice in lieu of service is to be given, out of the jurisdiction may be issued and marked as a concurrent writ with one for service within the jurisdiction.

ORDER VII.

I. DISCLOSURE BY SOLICITORS AND PLAINTIFFS.

Solicitor on demand to declare whether writ issued by his authority. 1. Every solicitor whose name shall be indorsed on any writ of summons shall, on demand in writing made by or on behalf of any defendant who has been served therewith or has appeared thereto, declare forthwith in writing whether such writ has been issued by him or with his authority or privity; and if such solicitor shall declare that the writ was not issued by him or with his authority or privity, all proceedings upon the same shall be stayed, and no further proceedings shall be taken thereupon without leave of the Court or a judge.

Partners suing in name of their firm to give, on demand, names, &c. of partners. 2. When a writ is sued out by partners in the name of their firm, the plaintiffs or their solicitors shall, on demand in writing by or on behalf of any defendant, forthwith declare in writing the names and places of residence of all the persons constituting the firm on whose behalf the action is brought. And if the plaintiffs or their solicitors shall fail to comply with such demand, all proceedings in the action may, upon an application for that purpose, be stayed upon such terms as the Court or a judge may direct. And

Ord. VII.

when the names of the partners are so declared, the action shall proceed in the same manner and the same consequences in all respects shall follow as if they had been named as the plaintiffs in the writ. But all proceedings shall, nevertheless, continue in the name of the firm (r).

(r) By Ord. XVI. r. 14, any party to an action by or against partners in the name of their firm, may apply by summons to a judge for the names of the partners, to be furnished and verified in such manner as the judge may direct. As to proceedings by and against partners in the name of their firm generally, see Ord. IX., Pt. III., rr. 6, 7, post, p. 318.

II. CHANGE OF SOLICITORS.

3. A party suing or defending by a solicitor shall be at liberty to Change of change his solicitor (s) in any cause or matter, without an order for that purpose, upon notice of such change being filed in the central office, or in the district registry, if the cause or matter is proceeding therein; but until such notice is filed and a copy thereof served, and (in causes or matters pending in the Chancery Division) left in the chambers of the judge to whom the cause or matter is assigned, the former solicitor shall be considered the solicitor of the party.

(s) Where there was a special contract respecting the employment of a solicitor, and an order of course to change solicitors was obtained, the special contract heing contract as suppressed, such order was discharged with costs (Richards v. Scarborough Market to employ-ment of a solicitor was discharged with costs (Richards v. Scarborough Market to employ-ment of a solicitor was discharged with costs (Richards v. Scarborough Market to employ-ment of a solicitor was discharged with costs (Richards v. Scarborough Market to employ-ment of a solicitor.) H. & M. 205).

contract as solicitor.

ORDER VIII.

RENEWAL OF WRIT.

1. No original writ of summons shall be in force for more than Renewal of twelve months (t) from the day of the date thereof, including the day writ of summons. of such date; but if any defendant therein named shall not have been served therewith, the plaintiff may, before the expiration of the twelve months, apply to the Court or a judge for leave to renew the writ; and the Court or judge, if satisfied that reasonable efforts have been made to serve such defendant, or for other good reason, may order that the original or concurrent writ of summons be renewed for six months from the date of such renewal inclusive, and so from time to time during the currency of the renewed writ. And the writ shall in such case be renewed by being marked with a seal bearing the date of the day, month, and year of such renewal; such seal to be provided and kept for that purpose at the proper office, and to be impressed upon the writ by the proper officer, upon delivery to him by the plaintiff or his solicitor of a memorandum in Form No. 18 in Appendix A., Part I., with such variations as circumstances may require; and a writ of summons so renewed shall remain in force, and be available to prevent the operation of any statute whereby the time for the commencement of the action may be limited, and for all other purposes, from the date of the issuing of the original writ of summons.

(t) The twelve months run from the date of issue (Re Jones, Eyre v. Cox, 25

Ord. VIII.

W. R. 303; 46 L. J. Ch. 316; W. N. (1877), 38, where there had been an amendment). In that case the Court allowed the writ to be renewed under R. S. C. 1875, Ord. LVII. r. 6, (now Ord. LXIV. r. 7, post); but where the Statute of Limitations had run in the meantime, renewal was refused (Doyle v. Kaufman, 3 Q. B. D. 7, 340).

Production of renewed writ.

2. The production of a writ of summons purporting to be marked with the seal of the Court, showing the same to have been renewed in manner aforesaid, shall be sufficient evidence of its having been so renewed, and of the commencement of the action as of the first date of such renewed writ for all purposes.

Lost writ.

3. Where a writ, of which the production is necessary, has been lost (u), the Court or a judge, upon being satisfied of the loss, and of the correctness of a copy thereof, may order that such copy shall be sealed and served in lieu of the original writ.

Filed copy of lost writ.

(u) The filed copy of a writ that has been lost may be treated as a duplicate, but only by leave of a practice master, and on the party giving an undertaking to produce the original at the central office when found (C. O. Pr. Rules; Ord. LXI. r. 33, infra).

ORDER IX.

SERVICE OF WRIT OF SUMMONS.

I. Mode of Service.

Undertaking to accept service. 1. No service of writ shall be required when the defendant, by his solicitor, undertakes in writing (w) to accept service and enters an appearance.

Ord. XII. r. 18. (w) A solicitor not entering an appearance in pursuance of his written undertaking so to do is liable to an attachment (Ord. XII. r. 18, post, p. 324).

Service of writ personal or substituted. 2. When service is required the writ shall, wherever it is practicable, be served in the manner in which personal service is now made (x), but if it be made to appear to the Court or a judge that the plaintiff is from any cause unable to effect prompt personal service (y), the Court or judge may make such order for substituted or other service (z), or for the substitution for service of notice (a), by advertisement or otherwise, as may be just.

Personal service. See Ord. LXVII. r. 6, post.

(z) Personal service is generally effected by delivering a copy of the writ to the defendant personally, and at the same time showing him the original if demanded; see Poole v. Gould, 1 H. & N. 99; Hawthorn v. Harris, 23 W. R. 214; see also Ord. LXVII. rr. 1, 6, post. If the defendant refuses to receive the copy of the writ, and he be then informed of the nature of the process, and the copy be thrown down in his presence, it is sufficient personal service (Bell v. Vincent, 7 D. & R. 233).

When service may be effected. Service of Service on Sunday is wholly void (Maekreth v. Nieholson, 19 Ves. 367; Taylor v. Phillips, 3 East, 155). On other days, except Saturday, service must be effected before six; on Saturday it must be before two (Ord. LXIV. r. 11).

An amended writ must be served in the same way as an original writ (The Cas-

amended writ. "Prompt personal service." An amended writ must be served in the same way as an original writ (The Cassiopeia, 4 P. D. 188).

(y) Under this rule if the plaintiff cannot effect "prompt personal service," he may obtain leave either (i) to serve a substitute in lieu of the defendant himself, or (ii), instead of serving the defendant, to give notice, by advertisement or otherwise as the Court may direct. Such leave may be obtained when the defendant absconds or keeps out of the way (Cook v. Dey, 2 Ch. D. 218; Harrison v. Stewardson, 2 Ha. 530; Hele v. Ogle, ibid. 623; Zulueta v. Vincent, 15 Beav. 272; Cope v. Russell, 2 Ph. 404); and substituted service has been allowed where the defendant was not

absconding, but residing permanently abroad (Griffiths v. Cowper, 2 De G. F. & J. 208; and see Deanes v. Kitchin, 13 Eq. 461).

(z) As to the general principle on which substituted service is allowed, see Hope (2) As to the general principle on which substituted service is allowed, see Hope Substitute V. Hope, 19 Beav. 237; 4 De G. M. & G. 328; Wolverhampton, &c. Co. v. Bond, 29 or other W. R. 599; W. N. (1881), 6. The principle seems to be that the substitute must service. be either (a) an authorized agent to receive service, or (β) a person who will be morally certain to communicate the fact of service to the party sought to be served (Re Slade, 30 W. R. 28; Furber v. King (No. 1), 29 W. R. 535). See also Heald v. Hay, 9 W. R. 369; Dicker v. Clarke, 11 W. R. 635. Thus substituted service has been ordered on the agents (Jones v. Cargill, 11 L. T. 566; Jackson v. Shanks, 13 W. R. 287; Hobhouse v. Courtney, 12 Sim. 140); solicitors (Hornby v. Holmes, 4 Ha. 306; Hope v. Carnegie, 1 Eq. 126; Rees v. Brailley, 22 L. T. 470; Dicker v. Clarke, 11 W. R. 635; 9 Jur. N. S. 636; Houkins v. Bennett, 1 Giff. 215; Ridsdale Allanlee v. G. W. Ry. Co., W. N. (1869), 269); or attorney (Weymouth v. Lambert, 3 Beav. 33) of the party; but the Court has refused to order it where the agent or solicitor was not acting in the matter of the suit, and refused to accept the agent (Hurst v. was not acting in the matter of the suit, and refused to accept the agency (Hurst v. was not acting in the matter of the suit, and refused to accept the agency (Hurst v. Hurst, 1 De G. & S. 694; Webb v. Salmon, 2 Ha. 251; and see Furber v. King (No. 1), 29 W. R. 535; Asiatic Banking Co. v. Anderson, 13 L. T. 272); and see further as to service on solicitors, Dymond v. Croft, 3 Ch. D. 512; Armitage v. Fitzwilliam, W. N. (1875), 238; Waters v. Waters, 24 W. R. 190. Substituted service has been allowed on a general agent (Jones v. Cargill); on a solicitor with general power of attorney (Forster v. Menzies, 16 Beav. 568, and see Barker v. Piele, 11 W. R. 658); on the master of a vessel for the owners (Hart v. Herwig, 8 Ch. 860; 21 W. R. 663); and on a solicitor in spite of his objecting to accept it (Governors of Grew Coat Hasnital v. Westminster Commissioners. 1 De G. & J. 254)

Grey Coat Hospital v. Westminster Commissioners, 1 De G. & J. 254.

Substituted service may be ordered when the defendant is sued in the name of a Where firm, and no person having control or management can be found at the place of defendant

business (Shillito v. Child & Co., W. N. (1883), 208).

Substituted service has all the effect of personal service (Watt v. Barnett, 3 of firm.

Q. B. D. p. 186). But it can only be had where personal service (if there were not Substituted). difficulties in the way) would be possible (Sloman v. Governor of New Zealand, 1 scruice, effect C. P. D. 567, where the defendant was a colonial government, and could not, of therefore, in any case be effectually served with a writ).

Service may be ordered to be effected by leaving a copy of the writ at the plaintiff's Service at house, or with his wife, and by advertising; see Cook v. Dey, 2 Ch. D. 218; Bank of defendant's Whitehaven v. Thompson, W. N. (1877), 45; Capes v. Brewer, W. N. (1875), 193; house.

24 W. R. 40; and see also Mullows v. Bannister, W. N. (1882), 183.

(a) Service may be effected by sending notice through the post, either with or Substitution without an advertisement in addition; see Ord. LXVII, r. 6, post; Rafael v. Ongley, of notice for 34 L. T. 124; Capes v. Brewer; Hamilton v. Davies, W. N. (1880), 82; or notice service. may be ordered to be given by advertisement alone, without any service (Whitley v. Honeywell, 24 W. R. 851; Hartley v. Dilke, 35 L. T. 706; Hyde v. Large, 19 Eq. 48); and as to errors in the advertisements, see Jones v. Brandon, 3 Jur. N. S. 1146; Shepherd v. Stone, W. N. (1868), 170.

See further, as to substituted service, Crane v. Jullion, 2 Ch. D. 220; Meek v. Michaelsen, W. N. (1876), 111; Hunt v. Austin, 9 Q. B. D. 598.

In a suit against a foreign state substituted service on its minister in England Where may be ordered (Smith v. Weguelin, W. N. (1867), 273).

Where an order has been made and judgment obtained the defendant may still be a foreign allowed to come in and defend if a proper case can be shown (Watt v. Barnett, state.

3 Q. B. D. 183, 366).

An order for substituted service is obtained ex parte either on motion or summons; and the application must be supported by a proper affidavit. See Ord. X., post, The order must be served with the writ; and it must be stated in the order that it is to be served; and the service must be effected in accordance with the terms of the order (Daniell, p. 339).

Where the order limits no time for appearance, an appearance must be entered within

eight days from service or from the appearance of the advertisements, if any, whichever is the later (Cook v. Dey, 2 Ch. D. 218; Crane v. Jullion, 2 Ch. D. 220). Where the defendant is out of the jurisdiction the order names the time (Ord. XI. r. 5,

past, p. 322).

II. On particular Defendants.

3. When husband and wife are both defendants to the action, they Service on shall both be served unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise husband and

(b) As to actions by or against married women, see Ord. XVI. r. 16, post, p. 338, and notes thereto.

Substituted

Ord. IX.

sued in name Substituted

defendant is

Leave to defend. Order for substituted service, how obtained.

Ord. IX.

Service on infant.

- 4. When an infant is a defendant to the action, service on his father or guardian, or if none, then upon the person with whom the infant resides or under whose care he is (c), shall, unless the Court or a judge otherwise orders, be deemed good service on the infant; provided that the Court or judge may order that service made or to be made on the infant shall be deemed good service.
- (c) In Christie v. Cameron, 4 W. R. 589, service on the rector of a college of which the infant was an undergraduate was held sufficient, the plaintiff being unable to discover where the defendant's father lived. See also Smith v. Marshall, 2 Atk. 70; Thompson v. Jones, 8 Ves. 141; Ord. XIII. r. 1, and note thereto, post, p. 326. As to proceedings by or against infants generally, see Ord. XVI. r. 16, and notes thereto, post, p. 338.

Service on lunatic or person of unsound mind.

- 5. When a lunatic or person of unsound mind not so found by inquisition is a defendant to the action, service on the committee of the lunatic, or on the person with whom the person of unsound mind resides or under whose care he is (d), shall, unless the Court or a judge otherwise orders, be deemed good service on such defendant.
- (d) In Than v. Smith, 27 W. R. 617; S. C. nom. Thorn v. Smith, W. N. (1879), 81, service was ordered on the keeper of an asylum, in which a person of unsound mind so found but who had no committee, was residing. The keeper of an asylum is bound to allow a writ to be served, and if he hinders the service he will be liable to attachment (Denison v. Hardings, W. N. (1867), 17). Service upon the manager of the lunatic's business is bad (Fore Street Co. v. Durrant, 10 Q. B. D. 471; 31 W. R. 768). See also Re Pepper, 50 L. T. 580; 32 W. R. 765; W. N. (1884), 141; De Montbrun v. Hirsch, 27 Sol. J. 199; Ord. XVI. r. 17, post, p. 338.

III. On Partners and other Bodies.

Service on partners. 6. Where persons are sued as partners in the name of their firm, the writ shall be served either upon any one or more of the partners, or at the principal place within the jurisdiction of the business of the partnership upon any person having at the time of service the control or management of the partnership business there; and, subject to these rules, such service shall be deemed good service upon the firm.

Service on person carrying on business in name of a firm.

- 7. Where one person carrying on business in the name of a firm apparently consisting of more than one person shall be sued in the firm name, the writ may be served at the principal place within the jurisdiction of the business so carried on upon any person having at the time of service the control or management of the business there (e); and such service, if sufficient in other respects, shall be deemed good service on the person so sued.
- (e) See Shillito v. Child & Co., W. N. (1883), 208, cited ante, p. 317.
 Where a person residing abroad carries on business in this country, the writ may be served at his place of business under this rule (O'Neil v. Clason, 46 L. J. Q. B. 191). As to the case of a lunatic, see Fore Street Co. v. Durrant, 10 Q. B. D. 471; 31 W. R. 768, cited in note (d) above.

Service on corporation aggregate, &c. 8. In the absence of any statutory provision regulating service of process, every writ of summons issued against a corporation aggregate may be served on the mayor or other head officer, or on the town clerk, clerk, treasurer, or secretary of such corporation (f); and every

Ord. IX.

writ of summons issued against the inhabitants of a hundred or other like district may be served on the high constables thereof, or any one of them, or, where there is no high constable, on any other acting chief officer of police of the county in which such hundred or district is situate; and every writ of summons issued against the inhabitants of any county of any city or town, or the inhabitants of any franchise, liberty, city, town, or place, not being a part of a hundred or other like district, on some peace officer thereof: and where by any statute (g)provision is made for service of any writ of summons, bill, petition, summons, or other process upon any corporation, or upon any society or fellowship, or any body or number of persons, whether corporate or unincorporate, every writ of summons may be served in the manner so provided.

(f) A foreign corporation carrying on business in England may he served by Corporations, serving the head officer of the English branch (Newby v. Van Oppen, L. R. 7 Q. B. how served. 293; and see Palmer v. Gould's Co., W. N. (1884), 63). But service on a booking clerk of a Scotch railway company at a station on an English line, over which the company had running powers, was held insufficient (Mackereth v. Glasgow Ry. Co., L. R. 8 Ex. 149). Neither a colonial (Sloman v. Governor of New Zealand, 1 C. P. D. 563), nor a foreign (Strousberg v. Republic of Costa Rica, 29 W. R. 125) government is a corporation capable of being served with a writ under the above rule.

(g) As to statutory provisions, see the Companies Act, 1862, 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89, S. 62, which provides that any summons, notice, order or other document may he served upon the company by leaving the same, or sending it by post in a prepaid letter addressed to the company at their registered office. A similar provision is contained in the Companies Clauses Act (8 & 9 Vict. c. 16, s. 135), the Lands Clauses Act (8 & 9 Vict. c. 18, s. 134), and the Railways Clauses Act (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20, s. 138).

c. 20, s. 138).

. Where the business of a company had virtually ceased, but the company had

where the business of a company had virtually deased, but the company had never been dissolved, service was ordered on the late chairman and secretary (Gaskell v. Chambers, 26 Beav. 252; 5 Jur. N. S. 52).

Where the secretary himself sued the company, and served one director, it was held (under the Companies Clauses Act, s. 135) that the service was bad (Lawrenson v. Dublin Ry. Co., W. N. (1877), 149).

IV. In particular Actions.

9. Service of a writ of summons in an action to recover land (h) Service of may, in case of vacant possession (i), when it cannot otherwise be writ in action to recover effected, be made by posting a copy of the writ upon the door of the land. dwelling-house or other conspicuous part of the property.

(h) As to what is an action to recover land, see Ord. XVIII. r. 2, and notes thereto, post, p. 353.

(i) As to vacant possession, see Isaacs v. Diamond, W. N. (1880), 75.

Vacant possession.

[Rules 10—14 relate to Admiralty actions.]

V. Generally.

15. The person serving a writ of summons shall, within three days Indorsement at most after such service (k), indorse on the writ the day of the month of date of service. and week of the service thereof, otherwise the plaintiff shall not be at liberty, in case of non-appearance, to proceed by default; and every affidavit of service of such writ shall mention the day on which such indorsement was made. This rule shall apply to substituted as well as other service.

(k) Where the indorsement was inadvertently omitted to be made within the

Ord. IX. three days the Court extended the time, but a new affidavit of service was required (Hastings v. Hurley, 16 Ch. D. 734; 29 W. R. 440; and see Sproat v. Peckett, W. N. (1883), 76, for form of order).

ORDER X.

SUBSTITUTED SERVICE.

Substituted service.

Every application to the Court or a judge for an order for substituted or other service, or for the substitution of notice for service, shall be supported by an affidavit setting forth the grounds upon which the application is made (l).

(1) As to substituted service generally, see Ord. IX. r. 2, and notes thereto, ante, p. 316, and Ord. LXVII. r. 6, post.

Unless the order shall otherwise direct, a copy of the order, and of the writ, shall

Unless the order shall otherwise direct, a copy of the order, and of the writ, shall be deemed to have heen served on the day following the day on which a prepaid letter containing such copy shall have heen posted (C. O. Pr. R., Ord. LXI. r. 33, infra.)

ORDER XI.

SERVICE OUT OF THE JURISDICTION.

Service out of the jurisdiction.

- 1. Service out of the jurisdiction of a writ of summons or notice of a writ of summons may be allowed by the Court or a judge whenever—
 - (a.) The whole subject-matter of the action is land situate within the jurisdiction (with or without rents or profits); or
 - (b.) Any act, deed, will, contract, obligation, or liability affecting (m) land or hereditaments situate within the jurisdiction, is sought to be construed, rectified, set aside, or enforced in the action; or
 - (m) See Casey v. Arnott, 2 C. P. D. 24; Agnew v. Usher, W. N. (1884), 220; 231.
 - (c.) Any relief is sought against any person domiciled or ordinarily resident within the jurisdiction; or
 - (d.) The action is for the administration of the personal estate of any deceased person, who at the time of his death was domiciled within the jurisdiction, or for the execution (as to property, situate within the jurisdiction) of the trusts of any written instrument, of which the person to be served is a trustee, which ought to be executed according to the law of England; or
 - (e.) The action is founded on any breach or alleged breach within the jurisdiction of any contract wherever made, which, according to the terms thereof ought to be performed within the jurisdiction, unless the defendant is domiciled or ordinarily resident in Scotland or Ireland (n); or
- (n) See Harris v. Fleming, 13 Ch. D. 208; Cresswell v. Parker, 11 Ch. D. 601, decided under the repealed rules. If the defendant is domiciled in Scotland or Ireland the Court has no power to allow service out of the jurisdiction (Lenders v. Anderson, 12 Q. B. D. 50); and see Agnew v. Usher, where defendants in Scotland were sued for reut of premises in Liverpool.

(f) Any injunction is sought as to anything to be done within the jurisdiction, or any nuisance within the jurisdiction is sought to be prevented or removed, whether damages are or are not also sought in respect thereof (o); or

Ord. XI.

(o) See Ex parte McPhail, 12 Ch. D. 632, decided under the repealed rule. Where the defendants carried on business at Aberdeen but sold goods (alleged to Injunction. be an infringement of the plaintiff's patent) at Liverpool, the Court allowed the writ in an action for an injunction, and damages to be served in Scotland (Speckhart v. Campbell, W. N. (1884), 24). Sed quære.

(g) Any person out of the jurisdiction is a necessary or proper party to an action properly brought against some other person duly served within the jurisdiction (p).

(p) See Lightowler v. Lightowler, W. N. (1884), 8; Yorkshire Tramway Co. v. Eglington Co., W. N. (1884), 200. This sub-section does not apply to service out of the jurisdiction of a third party notice on a third party domiciled, or ordinarily resident in Scotland (Speller v. Bristol Navigation Co., 13 Q. B. D. 96; 32 W. R. 670).

No leave will be given to serve a defendant out of the jurisdiction except in the cases above specified (Eager v. Johnstone, 22 Ch. D. 86).

"Within the jurisdiction" means the territorial jurisdiction, which does not extend below low water mark; see Re Smith, 1 P. D. 300; The Vivar, 2 P. D. 29; cases specifi Harris v. Owners of the Franconia, 2 C. P. D. 173; R. v. Keyn, 2 Ex. D. 63.

The objection that the cause of action is not such that a writ ought to be issued out of the jurisdiction cannot be raised by statement of defence (Preston v. Lamont, 1 Ex. D. 361). A foreign corporation may be served abroad; see Scott v. Royal Wax Candle Co., 1 Q. B. D. 404; Westman v. Aktiebolaget, &c., 1 Ex. D. 237.

As to petitions and summonses in liquidations, see Re Bonelli, 18 Eq. 655; Shurmer v. Hodge, W. N. (1866), 304; Re British Imperial Corporation, 5 Ch. 749. If the defendant is in England he can be served whoever he is, and wherever the cause of action arose: per Field, J., Palmer v. Gould's Co. W. N. (1884), 63.

cases specified in the rules.

jurisdiction.

2. Where leave is asked from the Court or a judge to serve a writ, Service in under the last preceding rule, in Scotland or in Ireland, if it shall appear to the Court or judge that there may be a concurrent remedy in Scotland or Ireland (as the case may be) the Court or judge shall have regard to the comparative cost and convenience of proceeding in England, or in the place of residence of the defendant, or person sought to be served, and particularly in cases of small demands to the powers and jurisdiction, under the statutes establishing or regulating them, of the Sheriffs' Courts, or Small Debts Courts in Scotland, and of the Civil Bill Courts in Ireland, respectively (q).

Scotland or Ireland.

(q) This rule is taken from Ord. XI. r. la, 1876, as to which see Fowler v. Barstow, 20 Ch. D. 240; Exparte McPhail, 12 Ch. D. 632.

[Rule 3 applies only to Probate actions.]

4. Every application for leave to serve such writ or notice on a Evidence on defendant out of the jurisdiction shall be supported by affidavit (r), or application for leave to other evidence, stating that in the belief of the deponent the plaintiff serve out of has a good cause of action, and showing in what place or country such diction. defendant is or probably may be found, and whether such defendant is a British subject or not (s), and the grounds upon which the application is made; and no such leave shall be granted unless it shall be

Ord, XI.

made sufficiently to appear to the Court or judge that the case is a proper one for service out of the jurisdiction under this order.

Affidavit.

- (r) As to the affidavit see Great Australian Co. v. Martin, 5 Ch. D. 1; Shearman v. Findlay, 32 W. R. 122. Affidavits are admissible on behalf of the defendant to show the cause of action did not arise within the jurisdiction (Fowler v. Barstow, 20 Ch. D. 240). To obtain leave to serve a defendant in Scotland or Ireland, the affidavit should show in what respect it would be cheaper and more convenient to lay the case in England; see Woods v. McInnes, 4 C. P. D. 67; Tottenham v. Barry,
- (s) If the defendant resides in Scotland or Ireland, the omission of the statement that he is a British subject is not material (Fowler v. Barstow, 20 Ch. D. 240).

Limiting time for appearance.

5. Any order giving leave to effect such service or give such notice shall limit a time (t) after such service or notice within which such defendant is to enter an appearance, such time to depend on the place or country where or within which (u) the writ is to be served or the notice given.

Time for appearance.

(t) As to the time for appearance in case of a liquidation summons, see Re British

(a) The service need not be limited to any particular spot; thus leave was given to serve the defendant "in Scotland" (Blenkinsopp v. Blenkinsopp, 2 Ph. 1); "in the Grand Duchy of Baden" (Preston v. Dickinson, 9 Jur. 919); "in the City of New York or elsewhere in the United States" (Kinnaird v. Kinnaird, 18 L. T. 52); but not "in Scotland or elsewhere out of the jurisdiction" (Phospho-Guano Co. v. Guild, 17 E. 432) 17 Eq. 432).

The order for service out of the jurisdiction may provide for service of interroga-

tories and the issuing of an injunction (Young v. Brassey, 1 Ch. D. 277).

Notice in lieu of service.

6. When the defendant is neither a British subject, nor in British dominions, notice of the writ, and not the writ itself, is to be served upon him.

Notice, how to be given.

- 7. Notice (v) in lieu of service shall be given in the manner in which writs of summous are served (w).
- (v) See form of notice, App. A., Part I., No. 9, post. As to proof of service of notice, see Bustros v. Bustros, 14 Ch. D. 849.
 (w) See Ord. IX. r. 2, ante, p. 316.

ORDER XII.

APPEARANCE.

Appearance in London.

1. Except in the cases otherwise provided for by these rules a defendant shall enter his appearance in London.

Entry.

2. Appearances entered in London shall be entered in the central office.

[Rule 3 applies only to Probate and Admiralty actions.]

Appearance in district registry.

- 4. If any defendant to a writ issued in a district registry resides or carries on business within the district, he shall appear in the district registry (x).
 - (x) See Ord. V. r. 4, ante, p. 311.

Appearance in district

5. If any defendant neither resides nor carries on business in the

district, he may appear either in the district registry or at the central Ord. XII. office (y).

registry or - central office.

(y) See Ord. V. r. 3, ante, p. 311.

6. If a sole defendant appears, or all the defendants appear in the When action district registry, or if all the defendants who appear appear in the district district registry and the others make default in appearance, then, registry. subject to the power of removal in Order XXXV., Rules 13 to 16 provided, the action shall proceed in the district registry.

7. If the defendant appears, or any of the defendants appear, in When action London the action shall proceed in London; provided that if the Court London, or a judge shall be satisfied that the defendant appearing in London is a merely formal defendant, or has no substantial cause to interfere in the conduct of the action, such Court or judge may order that the action may proceed in the district registry, notwithstanding such appearance in London.

8. A defendant shall enter his appearance to a writ of summons by Entry of delivering to the proper officer (z) a memorandum in writing (a) dated appearance. on the day of its delivery, and containing the name of the defendant's solicitor, or stating that the defendant defends in person. the same time deliver to the officer a duplicate of the memorandum, which the officer shall seal with the official seal, showing the date on which it is sealed, and then return it to the person entering the appearance, and the duplicate memorandum so sealed shall be a certificate that the appearance was entered on the day indicated by the seal.

(z) For the meaning of "proper officer," see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, infra.
 (a) For form of memorandum see Appendix A., Part II., No. 1, past.

"Proper officer."

9. A defendant shall, on the day on which he enters an appearance Notice of to a writ of summons, give notice of his appearance (Form No. 2 in appearance. Appendix A., Part II.) to the plaintiff's solicitor, or, if the plaintiff sues in person, to the plaintiff himself. The notice may be given either by notice in writing served in the ordinary way at the address for service (which, in the case of a writ issued out of a district registry, must be the address for service within the district), or by prepaid letter directed to that address and posted on the day of entering appearance in due course of post, and shall in either case be accompanied by the sealed duplicate memorandum (b).

- (b) For this form see infra.
- 10. The solicitor of a defendant appearing by a solicitor shall state Defendant's in such memorandum his place of business, and, if the appearance is solicitor's address for entered in the central office, a place, to be called his address for service. service, which shall not be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice, and if the appearance is entered in a district registry, a place, to be called his address for service, which shall be within the district, and where any

Ord. XII.

such solicitor is only agent of another solicitor, he shall add to his own name or firm and place of business the name or firm and place of business of the principal solicitor.

Address for service of defendant appearing in person.

- 11. A defendant appearing in person shall state in such memorandum his address (c) and, if the appearance is entered in the central office, a place, to be called his address for service, which shall not be more than three miles from the principal entrance of the central hall at the Royal Courts of Justice, and if the appearance is entered in a district registry, a place, to be called his address for service, which shall be within the district.
 - (c) See note to rule 12.

Memorandum of appearance without address not to be received. 12. If the memorandum does not contain such address it shall not be received; and if any such address shall be illusory or fictitious (d) the appearance may be set aside by the Court or a judge, on the application of the plaintiff.

Illusory address. (d) When a defendant gives an address for service at which he cannot be found and where there is no person authorized to take in documents such address is illusory, and the appearance will be set aside (A. v. B., W. N. (1883), 174; —v.—, W. N. (1884), 241.)

Memorandum of appearance.

- 13. The memorandum of appearance shall be in the Form No. 1 in Appendix A., Part II. (e), with such variations as circumstances may require.
 - (e) For this form, see post.

Entry in cause book.

14. Upon receipt of a memorandum of appearance, the officer shall forthwith enter the appearance in the cause book.

Partners, how to appear.

15. Where persons are sued as partners in the name of their firm, they shall appear individually in their own names; but all subsequent proceedings shall, nevertheless, continue in the name of the firm (f).

Judgment.

(f) The judgment must be against the firm, and cannot be entered against one member of it who has failed to appear (Jackson v. Litchfield, 8 Q. B. D. 474). See further as to actions against partners in the name of their firm, Davis v. Morris, 10 Q. B. D. 436; Munster v. Railton, 11 Q. B. D. 435; reversing S. C. below, 10 Q. B. D. 475.

Appearance of person carrying on business in name of a firm. 16. Where any person carrying on business in the name of a firm apparently consisting of more than one person shall be sued in the name of the firm, he shall appear in his own name; but all subsequent proceedings shall, nevertheless, continue in the name of the firm.

Where several defendants appear by one solicitor.

17. If two or more defendants in the same action shall appear by the same solicitor and at the same time, the names of all the defendants so appearing shall be inserted in one memorandum.

Where solicitor fails to appear. 18. A solicitor not entering an appearance or putting in bail, or paying money iuto Court in lieu of bail in an Admiralty action in rem, in pursuance of his written undertaking so to do shall be liable to an attachment.

[Rules 19-21 apply only to Admiralty actions.]

Ord. XII.

22. A defendant may appear at any time before judgment. If he Time for appear at any time after the time limited by the writ for appearance, he shall not, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order, be entitled to any further time for delivering his defence, or for any other purpose, than if he had appeared according to the writ.

[Rule 23 applies only to Probate actions.]

[Rule 24 applies only to Admiralty actions in rem.]

25. Any person not named as a defendant in a writ of summons for Appearance the recovery of land (g) may by leave of the Court or a judge appear by person in and defend, on filing an affidavit showing that he is in possession of land. the land either by himself or by his tenant.

- (g) As to what is an action for the recovery of land, see Gledhill v. Hunter, 14 Ch. D. 492, and other cases cited in note (d) to Ord. XVIII. r. 2, post, p. 353.
- 26. Any person appearing to defend an action for the recovery of Appearance land (h) as landlord, in respect of property whereof he is in possession and defence by landlord. only by his tenant, shall state in his appearance that he appears as landlord.

- (h) See note to rule 25.
- 27. Where a person not named as defendant in any writ of summons Appearance for the recovery of land (i) has obtained leave of the Court or a judge by person who has to appear and defend, he shall enter an appearance, according to the obtained foregoing rules of this order, intituled in the action against the party leave to named in the writ as defendant, and shall forthwith give notice of such appearance to the plaintiff's solicitor, or to the plaintiff if he sues in person, and shall in all subsequent proceedings be named as a party defendant to the action.

- (i) See note to rule 25.
- 28. Any person appearing to a writ of summons for the recovery of Notice to land (k) shall be at liberty to limit his defence to a part only of the defend for property mentioned in the writ, describing that part with reasonable part of land. certainty in his memorandum of appearance, or in a notice intituled in the action and signed by him or his solicitor. Such notice shall be served within four days after appearance; and an appearance, where the defence is not limited as above mentioned, shall be deemed an appearance to defend for the whole.

- (k) See note to rule 25.
- 29. The notice mentioned in the last preceding rule shall be in the Form of Form No. 3 in Appendix A., Part II., with such variations as circum- notice. stances may require (l).
 - (l) For this form, see post.

Ord. XII.

Defendant may move to set aside service without appearing.

30. A defendant before appearing shall be at liberty, without obtaining an order to enter or entering a conditional appearance, to serve notice of motion to set aside the service upon him of the writ or of notice of the writ, or to discharge the order authorising such service.

ORDER XIII.

DEFAULT OF APPEARANCE.

Default of appearance by infant or person of unsound mind, appointment of guardian ad litem.

1. Where no appearance has been entered to a writ of summons for a defendant who is an infant or a person of unsound mind not so found by inquisition the plaintiff shall, before further proceeding with the action against the defendant, apply to the Court or a judge for an order that some proper person be assigned guardian of such defendant, by whom he may appear and defend the action. But no such order shall be made unless it appears on the hearing of such application that the writ of summons was duly served, and that notice of such application was, after the expiration of the time allowed for appearance, and at least six clear days before the day in such notice named for hearing the application, served upon or left at the dwelling-house of the person with whom or under whose care such defendant was at the time of serving such writ of summons, and also (in the case of such defendant being an infant not residing with or under the care of his father or guardian) served upon or left at the dwelling-house of the father or guardian, if any, of such infant, unless the Court or judge at the time of hearing such application shall dispense with such last-mentioned service (m).

(m) This rule is substantially identical with Cons. Ord. VII. r. 3, except that it is obligatory whereas that was permissive; see Taylor v. Pede, 29 W. R. 627; 44 L. T. 514; W. N. (1881), 74; see further Ord. XVI. rr. 16-21 as to persons under disability.

As to the appointment of a guardian for an infant to answer in a special case, see note to Ord. XXXIV.r.1. If no relation will act (see Moore v. Platel, 7 Beav. 583; Moore v. Cantley, 10 Hare, App. xxiv.), the Court will name a solicitor guardian ad litem (Thomas v. Thomas, 7 Beav. 47; Biddulph v. Camoys, 9 Beav. 548); but attend to the recommendation of the defendant's family (Charlton v. West, 3 De G. F. & J. 156).

De G. F. & J. 156).

A person under disability will not be appointed guardian ad litem, nor the plaintiff in the suit. But there is no objection to appointing a defendant who has not a conflicting interest (Anon., 18 Jur. 770); for example, a lunatic's brother who was a co-defendant, and had no adverse interest was appointed his guardian ad litem in Bonfield v. Grant, 10 W. R. 275. See Sandford v. Sandford, 11 W. R. 336; Gee v. Gee, 12 W. R. 187; Biddulph v. Dayrell, 15 L. J. Ch. 320, where the wife's solicitor was appointed guardian ad litem of her husband, a lunatic defendant, on proof that the husband had no adverse interest; and Leese v Knight, 10 W. R. 711, where a mother, who had made a claim to dower adverse to her children, was reproof that the husband had no adverse interest; and Leese v Knight, 10 W. R. 711, where a mother, who had made a claim to dower adverse to her children, was required to make a special application to be appointed their guardian ad litem (though she had already been appointed by a common order). See, too, Anon., 9 Hare, App. xxvii., where it was said the Court would prefer some adult and competent relative, having no adverse interest, to a solicitor or other stranger.

When the guardian dies, a special application to appoint a new one is necessary (Needham v. Smith, 6 Beav. 130).

A guardian ad litem will not be appointed to a person merely because he is in weak health (Willyams v. Hodge, 1 M. & G. 516). In an old case where the defendant's competency was disputed, an inquiry was directed (Lee v. Ryder, 6 Madd. 294).

Who will be appointed guardian of infant.

Person in weak health.

A person of great age and incapable of giving a continuous attention to business may be ordered to defend by guardian ad litem (Newman v. Selfe, 11 W. R. 764;

Steel v. Cobb, ibid. 298).

A lunatic defendant may move to discharge the guardian on his recovery, and Order disshould do so, or he will continue liable for the costs of the solicitor appointed by charged on his guardian (Frampton v. Webb, 11 W. R. 1018; Blyth v. Green, W. N. (1876), lunatic's

Where a defendant was of unsound mind, and confined in an asylum, the Court, on proof that she was made aware of the general nature of the claim against her, appointed a guardian under Cons. Ord. VII. r. 3 (Elliston v. Sheldrake, 2 L. T. 48); and see Re Pepper, W. N. (1984), 141; 50 L. T. 580; 32 W. R. 765.

In the case of a lunatic found so by inquisition, the committee will be appointed guardian as of course. If the committee have an adverse interest another guardian found.

will be appointed (Worth v. Mackenzie, 3 M. & G. 363).

It is quite irregular for a plaintiff to instruct a solicitor to take steps on behalf of a defendant of unsound mind, though in the same interest, without applying to the Court (Camps v. Marshall, 8 Ch. 462).

Where the notice was served at the house of the mother of the infants and her Service of second husband, the father being proved to be dead, such service was held to be notice on sufficient (Hitch v. Wells, 8 Beav. 576; see, too, Baker v. Holmes, Dick. 18; Thomp- person under son v. Jones, 8 Ves. 14; Lane v. Hardwicke, 5 Beav. 222). So where the infant whose care defondant waves convected in their method; house the raid. defendants were concealed in their mother's house to avoid service, it was held that the infant putting a copy of the subptena under the door of the mother's dwelling-house was resides. good service (Clark v. Waters, Smith's Ch. Pr., p. 253). In another case service on the rector of the college of which the infant was an undergraduate member, was the rector of the college of which the mant was an undergraduate member, was held sufficient, the plaintiff being unable to discover where the father lived (Christie v. Cameron, 4 W. R. 589). But service on the uncle of an infant, not being his guardian, is improper (Blackmore v. Howett, 30 L. T. (O. S.) 101); and where the infant was articled to, and resident with, a surgeon, and it appeared from the affidavit that the notice was served upon him while so residing, but it did not state that the notice was served either personally upon or at the dwelling-house of the surgeon, the service was held insufficient (Taylor v. Ansley, 9 Jur. 1055).

Though the rule applies to infants residing abroad (O'Brier v. Mailland, 10 W. R.

Though the rule applies to infants residing abroad (O'Brien v. Maitland, 10 W. R. Service dis-375; Anderson v. Stather, 10 Jur. 383), yet where an infant defendant, having no pensed with. substantial interest in the suit, was abroad, the Court dispensed with service of notice of the application (Lambert v. Turner, 10 W. R. 335; Turner v. Snowdon, 2 Dr. & S. 265; see Chaffers v. Baker, 5 De G. M. & G. 482; Lingren v. Lingren, 7 Beav. 66); and where service could not be effected the Court ordered amendment by striking out the name of the infant, saving just exceptions (Blackmore v. Howett).

The rule applies to the case of proceedings commenced by originating summons Originating (Re Pepper, W. N. (1884), 141; 50 L. T. 580; 32 W. R. 765).

Sunday was reckened as one of the six days (Brewster v. Thorp, 11 Jur. 6).

2. Where any defendant fails to appear to a writ of summons, and Affidavit of the plaintiff is desirous of proceeding upon default of appearance service. under any of the following rules of this order, or under Ord. XV. r. 1, he shall, before taking such proceeding upon default, file an affidavit of service (n), or of notice in lieu of service, as the case may

(n) As to filing affidavits, see Ord. XXXVIII. r. 10, post. Affidavits of service Affidavit of must state when, where, how, and by whom service was effected (Ord. LXVII. service.

For form of affidavit, see App. B., No. 23, post. In Bustros v. Bustros, 14 Ch. D. 849, it was held that an affidavit that the defendant had been personally served with a "notice in writing, a true copy of which is hereunto annexed," was sufficient.

3. Where the writ of summons is indorsed for a liquidated demand, Final judgwhether specially (o) or otherwise, and the defendant fails, or all the ment upon writindorsed defendants, if more than one, fail, to appear thereto, the plaintiff may for liquidated enter final judgment (p) for any sum not exceeding the sum indorsed demand. on the writ, together with interest at the rate specified (if any), or (if

Ord. XIII.

Of great age. charged on

"Six clear days.'

Ord. XIII.

no rate be specified) at the rate of five per cent. per annum, to the date of the judgment, and costs.

Special indorsement. Entering judgment.

- (o) As to specially indorsing a writ, see Ord. III. r. 6, ante, p. 308, and note thereto.
- (p) For the mode of entering judgment in the Chancery Division on default of appearance, see Seton, p. 12.

Final judgment against defendants who do not appear.

4. Where the writ of summons is indorsed for a liquidated demand, whether specially or otherwise, and there are several defendants, of whom one or more appear to the writ, and another or others of them fail to appear, the plaintiff may enter final judgment, as in the preceding rule, against such as have not appeared, and may issue execution upon such judgment without prejudice to his right to proceed with the action against such as have appeared.

Interlocutory judgment for detention of goods.

5. Where the writ is indersed with a claim for detention of goods (q)where claim is and pecuniary damages, or either of them, and the defendant fails, or all the defendants if more than one fail, to appear, the plaintiff may enter interlocutory judgment and a writ of inquiry shall issue to assess the value of the goods and the damages, or the damages only, as the case may be, in respect of the causes of action disclosed by the indorsement on the writ of summons. But the Court or a judge may order that, instead of a writ of inquiry, the value and amount of damages, or either of them, shall be ascertained in any way which the Court or judge may direct (r).

Action to recover chattels.

(q) See Cobbett v. Lewin, W. N. (1884), 62; Ivory v. Cruikshank, W. N. (1875), 249. (r) See Macdonald v. Antelme, W. N. (1884), 72, where an inquiry as to damages was ordered before a master.

Interlocutory judgment against defendants who do not appear.

6. Where the writ is indorsed as in the last preceding rule mentioned, and there are several defendants, of whom one or more appear to the writ, and another or others of them fail to appear, the plaintiff may sign interlocutory judgment against the defendant or defendants so failing to appear, and the value of the goods and the damages, or either of them, as the case may be, may be assessed, as against the defendant or defendants suffering judgment by default, at the same time as the trial of the action or issue therein against the other defendant or defendants, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct. Provided that the Court or a judge may order that instead of a writ of inquiry or trial, the value and amount of damages, or either of them, shall be ascertained in any way which the Court or judge may direct.

Where claim is for detention of goods and a liquidated demand.

7. Where the writ is indorsed with a claim for detention of goods and pecuniary damages, or either of them, and is further indorsed for a liquidated demand, whether specially or otherwise, and any defendant fails to appear to the writ, the plaintiff may enter final judgment for the debt or liquidated demand interest and costs against the defendant or defendants failing to appear, and interlocutory judgment for the value of the goods and the damages, or the damages only, as

the case may be, and proceed as mentioned in such of the preceding Ord. XIII. rules of this order as may be applicable.

8. In case no appearance shall be entered in an action for the reco- Where claim very of land (s), within the time limited by the writ for appearance, or is for recovery of land. if an appearance be entered but the defence be limited to part only, the plaintiff shall be at liberty to enter a judgment that the person whose title is asserted in the writ shall recover possession of the land, or of the part thereof to which the defence does not apply.

- (s) As to what is an action for the recovery of land, see Ord. XVIII. r. 2, post.
- 9. Where the plaintiff has indorsed a claim for mesne profits, arrears Joining claim of rent, or damages for breach of contract, upon a writ for the reco- for mesne very of land (t) he may enter judgment as in the last preceding rule profits, &c. mentioned for the land; and may proceed as in the other preceding rules of this order mentioned as to such other claim so indorsed.

- (t) No other claim than those mentioned in this rule can, except by leave, he joined with a claim for the recovery of land (Ord. XVIII. r. 2, post).
- 10. Where judgment is entered pursuant to any of the preceding Setting aside rules of this order, it shall be lawful for the Court or a judge to set judgment. aside or vary such judgment upon such terms as may be just (u).

- (u) Where no irreparable wrong will be done to the plaintiff, mere lapse of time is not a bar to an application to set aside a judgment (Atwood v. Chichester, 3 Q. B. D. 722). See also Watt v. Barnett, 3 Q. B. D. 183, 363.
- 11. Where a defendant fails to appear to a writ of summons issued Judgment for out of a district registry, and the defendant had the option of enter- want of ing an appearance either in the district registry or in the central in district office, judgment for want of appearance shall not be entered by the registry. plaintiff until after such time as a letter posted in London on the previous evening, in due time for delivery to him on the following morning, ought, in due course of post, to have reached him.

appearance

12. In all actions not by the rules of this order otherwise specially Procedure in provided for (v), in case the party served with the writ, or in Admiralty default of actions in rem the defendant, does not appear within the time limited for appearance, upon the filing by the plaintiff of a proper affidavit of specially proservice, and, if the writ is not specially indorsed under Ord. III. r. 6, of a statement of claim, the action may proceed as if such party had appeared, subject, as to actions where an account is claimed, to the provisions of Ord. XV.

appearance in actions not vided for.

(v) As to moving for judgment on admissions in a partition action where one de- Partition. fendant does not appear and all the other defendants appear and defend, see Parsons v. Harris, 6 Ch. D. 694.

Where a defendant did not appear and the statement of claim was filed against Foreclosure. him, an order for immediate foreclosure absolute was directed, but before it was drawn up the usual foreclosure judgment was substituted (Patey v. Flint, W. N. (1879), 86, 100; 48 L. J. Ch. 691; 27 W. R. 529, 595).

Ord. XIII.

Claim on a bond within 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 11.

14. Where the writ is indorsed with a claim on a bond within 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 11 (w), and the defendant fails to appear thereto, no statement of claim shall be delivered, and the plaintiff may at once suggest breaches by delivering a suggestion thereof to the defendant or his solicitor, and proceed as mentioned in the said statute and in 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 16.

(w) As to this Act, see Preston v. Dania, L. R. 8 Ex. 19.

ORDER XIV.

LEAVE TO SIGN JUDGMENT AND DEFEND WHERE WRIT SPECIALLY INDORSED.

Application to sign judgment where writ specially indorsed.

1. Where the defendant appears to a writ of summons specially indorsed under Ord. III. r. 6, the plaintiff may, on affidavit made by himself, or by any other person who can swear positively to the facts, verifying the cause of action and the amount claimed (if any), and stating that in his belief there is no defence to the action, apply to a judge for liberty to enter final judgment for the amount so indorsed, together with interest, if any, or for recovery of the land (with or without rent or mesne profits), as the case may be, and costs. The judge may thereupon, unless the defendant by affidavit or otherwise shall satisfy him that he has a good defence to the action on the merits, or disclose such facts as may be deemed sufficient to entitle him to defend, make an order empowering the plaintiff to enter judgment accordingly (x).

Foreign judgments. Corporation. Defendant must be per-sonally liable.

(x) The provisions of this order extend to actions on foreign judgments (Grant v. Easton, 13 Q. B. D. 302); and to cases where the defendant is a corporation (Shelford v. Louth Ry., 4 Ex. D. 317). But the plaintiff's case must be clear (Ray v. Barker, 4 Ex. D. 279); and no order can be made unless the defendant is personally liable (Durrant v. Ricketts, 8 Q. B. D. 177; Ortner v. Fitzgibbon, 50 L. J. Ch. 17, where the defendant was a married woman). Under the present law, however, the procedure may be adopted against a married woman (Bursill v. Tanner, 13 Q. B. D. 691, qu. vid. for the proper form of the order giving leave to enter final judgment).

The time for delivering a statement of defence does not run between the time of the taking out and the hearing of a summons under this order; see *Bobson v. Monks*,

Recovery of land.

W. N. (1884), 8.

A writ may be specially indorsed in an action by mortgagee against mortgagor to A writ may be specially indersed in an action by mortgagee against mortgager to recover possession of the mortgaged premises under an attornment clause (Daubuz v. Lavington, 13 Q. B. D. 347; but see Hobson v. Monk, W. N. (1884), 17); but not, it seems, in an action by landlord against tenant to recover possession under a forfeiture clause (Burns v. Walford, W. N. (1884), 31). See also Mansergh v. Rimell, W. N. (1884), 34.

The dismissal of a summons under this order is no bar to a fresh application on fresh materials (Wagstaff v. Jacobowitz, W. N. (1884), 17).

The plaintiff's affidavit need not be made before his summons is issued (Begg v. Cooper, 27 W. R. 224; 40 L. T. 29).

As to costs, where less than 50l. is recovered. see Bue v. Kirhu. W. N. (1882), 105.

Dismissal of summons. Affidavit.

Cooper, 27 W. R. 224; 40 L. T. 29).

As to costs, where less than 50l. is recovered, see Bye v. Kirby, W. N. (1883), 195;

Davies v. Stevens, W. N. (1884), 9. See also W. N. (1884), Pt. II. p. 90; post, p. 531.

The fact that the defendant has gone into liquidation since action brought will not prevent judgment heing given against him if the Court of Bankruptcy has refused to stay the action (Clifford v. Budds, W. N. (1884), 40).

An appeal from an order for judgment under this rule must be brought within 21 days (Standard Co. v. La Grange, 3 C. P. D. 67; Phillips v. Homfray, W. N. (1883), 40).

An order giving leave to sign induced.

Appeal.

Costs.

An order giving leave to sign judgment, unless a sum is paid before a day named, need not be served upon the defendant before judgment is signed under it (*Hopton* v. *Robertson*, W. N. (1884), 77).

2. The application by the plaintiff for leave to enter final judgment Ord. XIV. under the last preceding rule shall be made by summons returnable not Application less than four clear days after service, accompanied by a copy of the to be by affidavit and exhibits referred to therein.

summons.

3. The defendant may show cause against such application by affi- Defendant davit, or (except in actions for the recovery of land) by offering to bring may show cause against into Court the sum indorsed on the writ (y). Such affidavit shall state application by whether the defence alleged goes to the whole or to part only, and (if so) navment into to what part, of the plaintiff's claim. And the judge may, if he think Court. fit, order the defendant, or, in the case of a corporation, any officer thereof, to attend and be examined upon oath (z): or to produce any leases, deeds, books, or documents, or copies of or extracts therefrom.

payment into

(y) As to the general principles of giving leave to defend, see Wallingford v. Leave to Mutual Society, 5 App. Cas. p. 704. The order only applies to cases that are really defend. undefended (Thompson v. Marshall, 28 W. R. 220). If the defendant can show a primal facie defence (Harrison v. Bottenheim, 26 W. R. 362), or (without disputing the claim) has a substantial counter-claim (Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies, W. N. (1877), 263; W. N. (1878), 10; 38 L. T. 197); or a set-off (Groome v. Rathbone, 41 L. T. 591), or is a surety who has not acknowledged that he is indebted, and there is nothing to show that the defence is merely for delay (Lloyd's Banking Co. v. Ogle, 1 Ex. D. 262), he will be allowed to defend; and see further, Runnacles v. Mesquita, 1 Q. B. D. 416; Beckingham v. Owen, W. N. (1878), 215; Thorne v. Secl, ibid.; Golding v. Wharton Saltworks Co., 1 Q. B. D. 374; Fuller v. Alexander, 47 L. T. 443; Davis v. Spence, 1 C. P. D. 721.

Where the nature of the claim involves taking an account Ord. XIV. is not Account.

Where the nature of the claim involves taking an account Ord. XIV. is not Account. applicable (Wallingford v. Mutual Society).

Hearsay evidence is admissible for the purpose of resisting the plaintiff's application (Harrison v. Bottenheim, 26 W. R. 362).

A defendant is not necessarily entitled to defend merely because he brings the Bringing

sum claimed into Court (Crump v. Cavendish, 5 Ex. D. 211)

sum claimed into Court (Crump v. Cavendish, 5 Ex. D. 211).

As to conditional leave to defend, see Ray v. Barker, 4 Ex. D. 279; and rule 6, Court.

post, p. 332. Where leave to defend has been given an appeal will not readily be entertained (Papayanni v. Coutpas, W. N. (1880), 109). The judge may allow the plaintiff to file affidavits in reply to the defendant's affidavit (Girvin v. Grepe, 13 defend.

Ch. D. 174; 28 W. R. 123; Rotherham v. Priest, 49 L. J. C. P. 105; Davis v. Spence, 1 C. P. D. 719).

(z) The power of examining parties given by this rule is only to be exercised in exceptional cases (Millard v. Baddeley, W. N. (1884), 96).

4. If it appear that the defence set up by the defendant applies only Examination to a part of the plaintiff's claim, or that any part of his claim is Judgment admitted, the plaintiff shall have judgment forthwith for such part of may be given his claim as the defence does not apply to or as is admitted, subject to amount such terms, if any, as to suspending execution, or the payment of claimed. the amount levied or any part thereof into Court by the sheriff, the taxation of costs, or otherwise, as the judge may think fit. And the defendant may be allowed to defend as to the residue of the plaintiff's $\operatorname{claim}(a)$.

money into in reply.

- (a) As to this rule see Dennis v. Seymour, 4 Ex. D. 80; Hanmer v. Flight, 24 W. R. 346; 36 L. T. 279.
- 5. If it appears to the judge that any defendant has a good defence Judgment to or ought to be permitted to defend the action, and that any other against some defendant has not such defence and ought not to be permitted to defend, of the defenthe former may be permitted to defend, and the plaintiff shall be entitled to enter final judgment against the latter, and may issue exe-

Ord. XIV.

cution upon such judgment without prejudice to his right to proceed with his action against the former.

Leave to defend.

6. Leave to defend may be given unconditionally or subject to such terms as to giving security, or time and mode of trial (in cases which, under these rules, may be tried without a jury) or otherwise, as the judge may think fit (b).

Conditional leave to defend.

(b) As to conditional leave to defend, see Ray v. Barker, 4 Ex. D. 279. Where (2), 4 C. P. D. 213).

ORDER XV.

Application for an Account.

Order for accounts with usual directions.

1. Where a writ of summons has been indorsed for an account, under Ord. III. r. 8(c), or where the indersement on a writ of summons involves taking an account, if the defendant either fails to appear, or does not after appearance, by affidavit or otherwise, satisfy the Court or a judge that there is some preliminary question to be tried, an order for the proper accounts, with all necessary inquiries and directions now usual in the Chancery Division in similar cases (d) shall be forthwith

Order for accounts. (c) For Ord. III. r. 8, see ante, p. 309. Under the corresponding repealed rule (which, however, was limited to cases of "ordinary account") it was held that an account against an executor on the footing of wilful default (as to which see post, p. 397), could not be ordered (Re Bowen, 20 Ch. D. 538). The present rule contains no such restriction, and extends to all cases in which the plaintiff in the first instance desires to have an account taken. The words "or where the indoresment on a writ of summons involves taking an account," were not in the repealed rule.

(d) For form of order, see Seton. 8. hut the words added at the end of that

Form of order.

(a) For form of order, see Seton, p. 8; hut the words added at the end of that form "and the judge not requiring any trial of this action other than this application" are not to be used indiscriminately so as to prejudice any issues that may be raised by the subsequent pleadings (Gatti v. Webster, 12 Ch. D. 771).

The usual decree for administration of the real and personal estate of a deceased

Administration. Foreclosure.

person may, it is said, be made under this order (Seton, pp. 801, 803, 848; sed qu.); but not, it seems, an order for foreclosure in default of payment by the mortgagor of what may be found due from him (Lloyd v. Lloyd, 26 W. R. 572); and in a of what may be found due from him (Lloyd v. Lloyd, 26 W. R. 572); and in a recent case, Bacon, V.-C. refused to make a redemption decree on a summons under the order, saying it was a mistake to imagine that the order was meant to enable the Court to do what would be equivalent to making a decree; and his lordship limited the order to one for accounts only (Clover v. Wilts Building Society, 50 L. T. 382; 32 W. R. 895; W. N. (1884), 110; and see Borthwick v. Ransford, W. N. (1884), 199). But see contra, Davies v. Smith, W. N. (1884), 242.

An order for an account may be made, and the account taken, in the Queen's Bench Division (York v. Stowers, W. N. (1883), 174); but see Leslie v. Clifford, 50

Order may be

made in Queen's Bench Division. Application to be by

summons.

2. An application for such order as mentioned in the last preceding rule shall be made by summons, and be supported by an affidavit when necessary, filed on behalf of the plaintiff, stating concisely the grounds of his claim to an account. The application may be made at any time after the time for entering an appearance has expired (e).

(e) See further as to the power of the Court to order accounts, Ord. XXXIII. rr. 2-9, poet, p. 397, seq.

ORDER XVI.

Parties.

I. Generally.

1. All persons may be joined as plaintiffs in whom the right to any Joinder of relief claimed is alleged to exist, whether jointly, severally, or in the plaintiffs alternative (f). And judgment may be given for such one or more jointly, severof the plaintiffs as may be found to be entitled to relief, for such relief ally, or in the alternative. as he or they may be entitled to, without any amendment. But the defendant, though unsuccessful, shall be entitled to his costs occasioned by so joining any person who shall not be found entitled to relief, unless the Court or a judge in disposing of the costs shall otherwise direct (g).

(f) See Booth v. Briscoe, 2 Q. B. D. 496, where it was held that eight persons Joinder of (not jointly interested) might join in bringing an action of libel, but that the plaintiffs. damages ought to be separately assessed. See, however, Appleton v. Chapel Town Paper Co., 45 L. J. Ch. 276.

(g) The rule makes no alteration in the practice as regards security for costs Security for (D'Hornusgee v. Grey, 10 Q. B. D. 13). A joinder which is embarrassing will be struck out (Smith v. Richardson, 4 C. P.

2. Where an action has been commenced in the name of the wrong Substitution person as plaintiff, or where it is doubtful whether it has been or addition of commenced in the name of the right plaintiff, the Court or a judge may, if satisfied that it has been so commenced through a bond fide mistake (h), and that it is necessary for the determination of the real matter in dispute so to do, order any other person to be substituted or added as plaintiff upon such terms as may be just (i).

plaintiff.

(h) A mistake in law is within the rule (Duckett v. Gover, 6 Ch. D. 82). But Mistake in there must have been a bond fide mistake (Clowes v. Hilliard, 4 Ch. D. 413).

(i) As to the time and mode of application, see r. 12, post, p. 337, and note Substituting

thereto.

D. 112).

As to the conditions on which the assignor of a debt will be added as plaintiff when an action has been commenced by the assignee, see Turquand v. Fearon, 4 Q. B. D. 280; and as to substituting infant cestuis que trust as plaintiffs instead of their trustee, see Tildesley v. Harper, 3 Ch. D. 277.

or adding plaintiffs.

their trustee, see Tildesley v. Harper, 3 Ch. D. 277.

Where an action was brought by a tenant for life for specific performance of an Cases. agreement to accept a lease and the plaintiff died, and it was then discovered she had no power of leasing, the Court added her executor and the remaindermen as co-plaintiffs (Long v. Crossley, 13 Ch. D. 388). Where a paving company paved a road and contracted with the vestry to keep it in repair, and the road was damaged by a tramway company, the vestry was substituted as plaintiff in lieu of the paving company in an action against the tramway company (Val de Travers Co. v. London Tramways Co., 48 L. J. C. P. 312; W. N. (1879), 46). Where a shareholder brought on behalf of himself and the other shareholders an action which ought to have been brought in the name of the company, the company was added ought to have been brought in the name of the company, the company was added as a co-plaintiff (Duckett v. Gover, 6 Ch. D. 82); and so where the plaintiffs had assigned to a company all their rights under an agreement, which they sought to set aside (Ruston v. Tobin, W. N. (1880), 19). And see r. 11, post, p. 336, and notes thereto.

3. Where in an action any person has been improperly or unneces- Improper sarily joined as a co-plaintiff, and a defendant has set up a counterclaim or set-off, he may obtain the benefit thereof by establishing his where there is set-off or counterclaim as against the parties other than the co-plaintiff claim or set-

joinder of plaintiff off.

so joined, notwithstanding the misjoinder of such plaintiff or any proceeding consequent thereon.

Joinder of defendants liable jointly, severally, or in the alternative.

4. All persons may be joined as defendants against whom the right to any relief (k) is alleged to exist, whether jointly, severally, or in the alternative (1). And judgment may be given against such one or more of the defendants as may be found to be liable, according to their respective liabilities, without any amendment.

Who may be made parties for payment of costs only.

(k) It has been held that a solicitor, an agent, or an arbitrator might be made a (k) It has been held that a solicitor, an agent, or an arbitrator might be made a party simply for the purpose of praying costs against him (Mathias v. Yetts, 46 L. T. 497; A.-G. v. Vestry of Bermondsey, 23 Ch. D. 60; and see Heatley v. Newton, 19 Ch. D. 326); but no other person could be made a party merely for this purpose (Weise v. Wardle, 19 Eq. 172; see Attwood v. Small, 6 Cl. & F. 232; A.-G. v. Vestry of Bermondsey, where it was held that it did not extend to corporators or vestrymen). Having regard, however, to the decision of the Court of Appeal in the recent case of Burstall v. Beyfus, 26 Ch. D. 35, it may be doubted whether this rule is still in existence, and it would certainly not be safe to make a solicitor a party merely in order to ask costs against him. except in a very strong

Inconsistent alternatives. whether this thre is still in exterior, and it would certainly how be safe to intact a solicitor a party merely in order to ask costs against him, except in a very strong case; see also Barnes v. Addy, 9 Ch. 244.

(l) As to the alternatives being inconsistent, see Honduras Ry. Co. v. Tucker, 2 Ex. D. 301; Evans v. Buck, 4 Ch. D. 432; Child v. Stenning, 5 Ch. D. 695; Bagot v. Easton, 7 Ch. D. 1; Howell v. West, W. N. (1879), 90.

Defendant not interested as to all the

- 5. It shall not be necessary that every defendant shall be interested as to all the relief prayed for, or as to every cause of action included relief claimed. in any proceeding against him; but the Court or a judge may make such order as may appear just to prevent any defendant from being embarrassed or put to expense by being required to attend any proceedings in which he may have no interest (m).
 - (m) See Cox v. Barker, 3 Ch. D. 359.

Parties jointly and severally liable.

6. The plaintiff may, at his option, join as parties to the same action all or any of the persons severally, or jointly and severally liable on any one contract, including parties to bills of exchange and promissory notes (n).

Cons. Ord. VII. r. 2.

(n) This rule is similar to Cons. Ord. VII. r. 2 (now repealed), by which it was provided as follows:

Where the plaintiff has a joint and several demand against several persons, either as principals or sureties, it shall not be necessary to bring before the Court, as parties to a suit concerning such demand, all the persons liable thereto; but the plaintiff may proceed against one or more of the persons severally liable.

As to suing trustees, &c. separately from cotrustees. Where the suit will lead to a general administration. Where cotrustee never

acted.

The following cases were decided under the repealed rule as to suing partners or trustees separately from their co-partners or co-trustees; Coppard v. Allen, 2 De G. J. & S. 173, 180; M'Gachen v. Dew, 15 Beav. 84; Devaynes v. Robinson, 24 Beav. 99; Atkinson v. Mackreth, 2 Eq. 570; Gray v. Lewis, 8 Eq. 526; 8 Ch. 1052; St. Aubyn v. Smart, 3 Ch. 646; Plumer v. Gregory, 18 Eq. 621, 627; from which it would seem that where a general account and general administration was sought, or might be involved in the suit all the partners or trustees should be parties: but or might be involved in the suit, all the partners or trustees should be partiss; but where such persons were sought to be made liable for an ascertained amount, the consolidated order applied.

The personal representative of an executor or trustee who has never acted or

The personal representative of an executor or trustee who has never acted or received assets was not, even before this rule, a necessary party to a suit for administration of the estate: see Pitt v. Brewster, Dick. 37; and comp. Masters v. Barnes, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 616, with Hall v. Austin, 2 Coll. 570, and Holland v. Prior, 1 M. & K. 237, where the executor had both acted and received assets.

In Wilson v. Rhodes, 8 Ch. D. 777, Fry, J., held, following Perry v. Knott, 5 Beav. 293, that where a breach of trust had been committed, the executors of a trustee by whom a fund had been appropriated might be sued without making the other trustees parties. See also Lloyd v. Dimmack, 7 Ch. D. 398, where two out of three defendants jointly and severally liable to the plaintiff became bankrupt.

7. Where the plaintiff is in doubt as to the person from whom he is Ord. XVI. entitled to redress, he may, in such manner as hereinafter mentioned, Where it is or as may be prescribed by any special order, join two or more doubtful defendants, to the intent that the question as to which, if any, of the which defendants is liable. defendants is liable, and to what extent, may be determined as between all parties (o).

- (o) See note to rule 4.
- 8. Trustees, executors, and administrators may sue and be sued on Trustees, behalf of or as representing the property or estate of which they are and administrustees or representatives, without joining any of the persons bene-trators ficially interested in the trust or estate, and shall be considered as beneficiaries. representing such persons; but the Court or a judge may, at any stage of the proceedings, order any of such persons to be made parties either in addition to or in lieu of the previously existing parties (p).

(p) This rule is an extension of 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 42 (9), which it was held Where did not apply where the trustees had disclaimed (Young v. Ward, 10 Ha. App. Iviii); trustees, &c. and see Stansfield v. Hobson, 16 Beav. 189. The above rule as to representation is represent one of general application. Thus, it has been held that trustees represent their their cestuis cestuis que trust in creditors suits (Smith v. Andrews, 4 W. R. 353); administration que trust. suits (Densem v. Elworthy, 9 Ha. App. xlii); actions for foreclosure (Stansfield v. Hobson), and redemption (Mills v. Jennings, 13 Ch. D. 649; 6 App. Cas. 698); actions for partition (Goodrich v. Marsh, W. N. (1878), 186; Simpson v. Denny, 10 Ch. D. 28; Stace v. Gage, 8 Ch. D. 451; 26 W. R. 606); and actions to obtain a declaration of forfeiture (White v. Chitty, 14 W. R. 366); and that executors with a power of sale, and devisees in trust subject to payment of debts, are within the rule (Shaw v. Hardingham, 2 W. R. 657; Smith v. Andrews). But an executor with only an implied power of sale has been held not to be within the rule (Bolton v. Stannard, 4 Jur. N. S. 576; eee, however, 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, ss. 14, 16).

A friendly society which had no treasurer or board to represent it, and had become Trustees of insolvent, and long since ceased to exist, was held to be sufficiently represented on friendly

insolvent, and long since ceased to exist, was held to be sufficiently represented on friendly the 'record by the trustees (*Pare v. Clegg*, 29 Beav. 589). See, too, *Bromley v.* society. Williams, 1 N. R. 413.

On the other hand, trustees were held not to represent their cestuis que trust on a Not in suit bill to set aside a settlement (Reed v. Prest, 1 K. & J. 183); and in a suit to restore to set aside trust property instituted by the representatives of a trustee against his co-trustee, a settlement. both of whom had committed breaches of trust, in which some of the cestuis que trust had concurred, such cessuis que trust were held necessary parties (Jesse v. Bennett, 6 De G. M. & G. 609; see, too, Devaynes v. Robinson, 24 Beav. 86, 99, and Payne v. Parker, 1 Ch. 327).

Trustees cannot represent some of the cestuis que trust in any contention inter se, but only where the contention is between all the cestuis que trust on the one hand and a stranger on the other (Hamond v. Walker, 3 Jur. N. S. 686; Payne v. Parker); and when trustees do not agree as to realizing a security and an action is brought by one trustee for that purpose, the cestuis que trust ehould be parties (Butler v. Butler, 7 Ch. D. 116).

Trustees brought an action to set aside mortgages, making their beneficiaries defendants; the Court of Appeal held that the beneficiaries were improperly joined, and an order that the mortgagees (against whom relief was obtained) should pay improperly their costs was discharged (Cooper v. Vesey, 20 Ch. D. 611).

9. Where there are numerous persons having the same interest Where there in one cause or matter, one or more of such persons may sue or be are numerous parties having sued, or may be authorised by the Court or a judge to defend in such same interest. cause or matter, on behalf or for the benefit of all persons so interested (q).

(q) As to the practice of one person suing on behalf of himself and others, see Suits by one Daniell, p. 229 seq., and cases there collected. It has been applied in many cases, member of a e.g. suits by creditors, next of kin, legatees, testamentary appointees of a class on

behalf of himself and the others.

married woman, proprietors of a trading concern, shareholders of an unregistered company, foreign bondholders, tenants of a manor, inhabitants of a parish, and company, foreign bondholders, tenants of a manor, inhabitants of a parish, and others. As a general rule, it must appear that the relief sought by the plaintiff is beneficial to those he undertakes to represent. Where one person sues on behalf of others, their names and addresses cannot be obtained under Ord. VII. r. 2 (Leathley v. McAndrew, W. N. (1875), 259). For recent cases on representative suits see Watson v. Cave, 17 Ch. D. 19; Fraser v. Caoper, 21 Ch. D. 718 (bondholder's actions, where one of the parties represented dissented from the plaintiff's proceedings, and see Wilson v. Church, 9 Ch. D. 552); Commissioners of Sewers v. Gellatly, 3 Ch. D. 610; De Hart v. Stevenson, 1 Q. B. D. 313.

[Rule 10 applies only to Prohate actions.]

Misjoinder of parties not to defeat actions.

Parties may be struck out or added.

11. No cause or matter shall be defeated by reason of the misjoinder or nonjoinder of parties, and the Court may in every cause or matter deal with the matter in controversy so far as regards the rights and interests of the parties actually before it. The Court or a judge may, at any stage of the proceedings, either upon or without the application of either party, and on such terms as may appear to the Court or a judge to be just, order that the names of any parties improperly joined, whether as plaintiffs or as defendants, be struck out, and that the names of any parties, whether plaintiffs or defendants, who ought to have been joined, or whose presence before the Court may be necessary in order to enable the Court effectually and completely to adjudicate upon and settle all the questions involved in the cause or matter, be added. No person shall be added as a plaintiff suing without a next friend, or as the next friend of a plaintiff under any disability, without his own consent in writing thereto. Every party whose name is so added as defendant shall be served with a writ of summons or notice in manner hereinafter mentioned, or in such manner as may be prescribed by any special order, and the proceedings as against such party, shall be deemed to have begun only on the service of such writ or notice (r).

defective as to parties. Striking out and adding parties.

Cases under
15 & 16 Vict.
16 Vict.
17 This rule is an extension of 15 & 16 Vict.
18 Court was
19 Court was
10 W. R. 485; Betts v. Thompson, 6 Ch. 735; Unfreville v. Johnson, 10 Ch. 580, where two owners of distinct properties joined in a suit to restrain a nuisance; and see for a similar case, Appleton v. Chapel Town Paper Co., 45 L. J. Ch. 278.

The defendant is entitled to the costs occasioned where a plaintiff's action is defective as to parties, and so is struck out of the paper. See Price v. Berrington, 2 Beav. 285; Mitchell v. Bailey, 3 Madd. 61; Mason v. Franklin, 1 Y. & C. Ch. 242, defective as decided under Cons. Ord. XL. r. 21.

decided under Cons. Ord. XL. r. 21.

Defendants were struck out on their own application, though they had put in a statement of defence (Vallance v. Birmingham Investment Corporation, 2 Ch. D. 369). See Aberaman Iron Works v. Wickens, 4 Ch. 101.

The Court refused to add parties, on behalf of whom an action was instituted under r. 9, as plaintiffs, in order to make them liable for costs (De Hart v. Stevenson,

under r. 9, as plaintiffs, in order to make them liable for costs (De Hart v. Stevenson, 1 Q. B. D. 318). Any one who might have been fairly joined as a defendant under r. 4 may be added (Edwards v. Lowther, 24 W. R. 434, where a proprietor of a newspaper was added as a co-defendant with the publisher after issue joined; and see Hondwras Ry. Co. v. Tucker, 2 Ex. D. 301). In an action for specific performance by a mortgagee selling under his power of sale, residuary legatees for whom the mortgagee had been a trustee claimed an interest in the property; but a motion to add them as defendants was refused with costs, as their presence was not necessary to enable the Court effectually and completely to adjudicate on and settle the questions of title involved in the action (Harry v. Davey, 2 Ch. D. 721; 24 W. R. 576). When a company having a right of action against a former director for breach of trust, assigned its property, &c. (hut not the right of action), to a new

General.

company, it was held that the new company could not join the directors of the old company as plaintiffs, and so sue for the breach of trust (New Westminster Brewery v. Hannah, W. N. (1876), 215; W. N. (1877), 35).

The Attorney-General was added as informant by amendment (Duke of Sutherland Attorney Plaintiffs were added or substituted under the repealed rule (which was substantially the same as the present one) in the following goessts. Long v. Constant 13.

Flaintiffs were added or substituted under the repealed rule (which was substantially the same as the present one), in the following cases:—Long v. Crossley, 13 Ch. D. 388; Walter v. Smith, 46 L. T. 473. Defendants were added in Day v. Radeliffe, 24 W. R. 844; Kino v. Rudkin, 6 Ch. D. 160; Ashley v. Taylor, 10 Ch. D. 768. Applications to add parties were refused in Norris v. Beazley, 2 C. P. D. 80; Mills v. Griffiths, 45 L. J. Q. B. 771; Eyre v. Morering, W. N. (1884), 58.

Fresh parties cannot be added after final judgment (A.-G. v. Corporation of Birmingham, 15 Ch. D. 423; Heard v. Borgwardt, W. N. (1883), 173). But subsequent incumbrancers were added in a foreclosure suit after judgment had been pronounced, but before it was passed and entered (Knith v. Rutcher, 25 Ch. D. 750). And if the

hut before it was passed and entered (Keith v. Butcher, 25 Ch. D. 750). And if the

proposed new party consents he may he added after judgment and issue of the chief clerk's certificate (Re Mason, W. N. (1883), 134, 147).

In Seear v. Lawson, 16 Ch. D. 121, a trustee in hankruptcy commenced an action, and then assigned his interest pendente lite. The assignee was ordered to amend the title of the action, and to introduce such averments into the statement of claim as would disclose his title. as would disclose his title.

Under an order to strike out the name of one defendant, and giving general liherty to amend, the plaintiff may not strike out the name of another defendant (Wymer v. Dodds, 11 Ch. D. 436).

Where, in an action against a corporation, one of its officers was made a party

merely for purposes of discovery, his name was struck out (Wilson v. Church, 9 Ch. D. 552).

The practice has heen that if plaintiffs are struck out after any of the defendants have appeared, the continuing plaintiff must give eccurity for costs (Fellowes v. Deere, 3 Beav. 353; Drake v. Symes, 3 De G. F. & J. 491). An infant plaintiff, on coming of age, being desirous of retiring from the suit, was made a defendant instead (Bicknell v. Bicknell, 32 Beav. 381).

12. Any application to add or strike out or substitute a plaintiff or Application defendant may be made to the Court or a judge at any time before to amend trial by motion or summons, or at the trial of the action in a summary manner (s).

- (s) The application is usually made by summons at chambers (Wilson v. Church, 9 Ch. D. 552; it should not be made ex parte (Tildesley v. Harper, 3 Ch. D. 277; but see Ord. XVII. r. 4, post, p. 351, and cases there cited).
- 13. Where a defendant is added or substituted, the plaintiff shall, Service of unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a judge, file an amended copy writ on new defendant. of and sue out a writ of summons, and serve such new defendant with such writ or notice in lieu of service thereof in the same manner as original defendants are served (t).

(t) As to consolidated actions, see Re Wortley, 4 Ch. D. 180, which, however, is Consolidated not quite correctly reported. See also Austen v. Bird, W. N. (1881), 129.

II. Partners.

14. Any two or more persons claiming or being liable as co-partners Suits by or may sue or be sued in the name of the respective firms, if any, of which against partners in name such persons were co-partners at the time of the accruing of the cause of firm. of action; and any party to an action may in such case apply by summons to a judge for a statement of the names of the persons who were, at the time of the accruing of the cause of action, co-partners in any such firm, to be furnished in such manner, and verified on oath or otherwise, as the judge may direct. Provided that, in the case of a

co-partnership which has been dissolved, to the knowledge of the plaintiff, before the commencement of the action, the writ of summons shall be served upon every person sought to be made liable (u).

(u) This is a modification of the repealed rule 10 (1875), as to which see Ex parte Young, 19 Ch. D. 124; Ex parte Rlain, 12 Ch. D. 522; Davis v. Morris, 10 Q. B. D.

Disclosure of

As to disclosure of the names of the partners, see Pike v. Keene, 24 W. R. 322; W. N. (1876), 36.

partners. Suit against individual in name of firm.

names of

- 15. Any person carrying on business in the name of a firm apparently consisting of more than one person may be sued in the name of such firm (v).
 - (v) See Ord. IX. r. 7, ante, p. 318, and note thereto. A firm cannot enter an appearance; see Taylor v. Collier, W. N. (1882), 83.

III. Persons under Disability.

Suits by and against infants;

and married women.

16. Infants may sue as plaintiffs by their next friends (w), in the manner heretofore practised in the Chancery Division, and may, in like manner, defend by their guardians appointed for that purpose (x). Married women may sue and be sued as provided by the Married Women's Property Act, 1882(y).

Next friend of infant.

(w) Any person may commence an action as next friend of an infant, but he thereby renders himself liable for the costs of the suit. As between himself and the infant, however, the next friend will be entitled to his costs of a suit reasonably the infant, however, the next friend will be entitled to his costs of a suit reasonativy and properly instituted, even though it fail; and in general, as between solicitor and client. See Bligh v. Tredgett, 5 De G. & Sm. 74; Clayton v. Clarke, 9 W. R. 718, reversing S. C. 2 Giff. 575; Brown v. Weatherhead, 4 Ha. 122; Palmer v. Jones, 22 W. R. 909; Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 351, seq.

A defendant or other person having any adverse interest to the infant should not be next friend (Lewis v. Nobbs, 8 Ch. D. 591; Gee v. Gee, 12 W. R. 187); and may be removed on this ground alone (Re Burgess, 25 Ch. D. 243). In general, the next friend should be some relative or friend, and not a mere volunteer (Foster v. Cautheu, 10 Ha. App. xxiv): a guardian may sue as next friend.

Removal of next friend. **Cantley, 10 Ha. App. xxiv.); a guardian may sue as next friend.

If the next friend fail to do his duty, e. g. will not proceed with the suit (Ward v. Ward, 3 Mer. 706), or appeal when desired to do so (Dupuy v. Welsford, 28 W. R. 762; W. N. (1880), 121), he may be removed (Dan. p. 111); but hs will first be heard in his own defence (Re Corsellis, W. N. (1884), 126).

Death of next friend.

On the death of the next friend the nearest paternal relations of the infant are entitled to nominate the new next friend, and the order appointing him need not be supported by any affidavit as to his fitness (Talbot v. Talbot, 17 Eq. 347). Security for costs cannot be required from the next friends of infants (either

Security for costs from next friend of infant.

original or substituted) on the ground of poverty, as the Court is always anxious that questions in which infants are concerned should be brought under its notice, and it has a jurisdiction over suits by infants to stay them if improper (Fellows v. Barratt, 1 Keen, 119; Murrell v. Clapham, 8 Sim. 74; Nalder v. Hawkins, 2 My. & K. 243). It was otherwise in the case of married women.

Next friend bankrupt. Guardian ad litem.

As to the effect of a next friend becoming hankrupt, see Wilton v. Hill, 2 De G. M. & G. 807; Macann v. Borrodaile, 16 W. R. 175; Ex parte Claxton, 7 Ch. 532.

(x) Guardians ad litem for an infant defendant are appointed on the plaintiff's application, if the infant has not appeared, under Ord. XIII. r. 1, ante, p. 326. As to the person who will be appointed, see note (m), p. 326, ante; when the guardian dies a special application for a new one must be made (Needham v. Smith, 6 Beav. 120)

130). Consents as to procedure may be given by guardians ad litem, see rule 21, post. As to costs of a solicitor appointed guardian ad litem, see Ord. LXV. r. 13, post. (y) As to actions by and against married women, see the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, ante, p. 192, and notes thereto.

Married women.

> 17. Where lunatics and persons of unsound mind not so found by inquisition might respectively before the passing of the principal Act

Suits by and against lunatics and

have sued as plaintiffs or would have been liable to be sued as defen- Ord. XVI. dants in any action or suit, they may respectively sue as plaintiffs in persons of any action by their committee or next friend according to the practice unsound of the Chancery Division, and may in like manner defend any action mind. by their committees or guardians appointed for that purpose (z).

(z) In the Chancery Division a lunatic sues by the committee of his estate, if Lunatic any; or if none, or none who can sue, by his next friend; but the committee must plaintiff. obtain the sanction of the Lord Chancellor or Lords Justices before suing (Dan.

obtain the sanction of the Lord Chancellor or Lords Justices before suing (Dan. pp. 117, 118). A lunatic made defendant defends hy the committee of his estate, who, as well as the lunatic, is a necessary party. If he has no committee, or the committee is a plaintiff or other person having an adverse interest, he defends by a guardian ad litem. The committee must obtain the sanction of the Lord Chancellor or the Lords Justices before defending (Dan. pp. 181, 182).

Persons of unsound mind not so found, and persons incapacitated by age or infirmity, sue by a next friend and defend by a guardian ad litem (Dan. pp. 118, 182).

Fersons of unsound mind not so found, and persons of unsound or otherwise mind, see Beall v. Smith, 9 Ch. 93 (a very important case); Palmer v. Walesby, 3 incapable.

Ch. 732 (where the supposed lunatic turned out to be sane); Re Edwards, 10 Ch. D. 605 (infant ward of Court of unsound mind); Wilder v. Pigott, 31 W. R. 377 (election); Re Marman, 8 Ch. D. 256. The Chancery Division has power, in the administration of the trusts of the property of a person of unsound mind not so found, to give directions for his maintenance, but has no jurisdiction to appoint a guardian of his person (Re Bligh, 12 Ch. D. 364; Re Brandon, 13 Ch. D. 773, correcting Vane v. Vane, 2 Ch. D. 124). See also Re T., 15 Ch. D. 78.

18. An infant shall not enter an appearance except by his guardian Infant to ad litem. No order for the appointment of such guardian shall be appear by necessary, but the solicitor applying to enter such appearance, shall ad litem. make and file an affidavit in the Form No. 8 in Appendix A., Part II. (a), with such variations as circumstances may require.

- (a) See this form, post, 576.
- 19. Every infant served with a petition or notice of motion, or Appearance summons in a matter, shall appear on the hearing thereof by a petition, &c. guardian ad litem, in all cases in which the appointment of a special guardian is not provided for. No order for the appointment of such guardian shall be necessary, but the solicitor by whom he appears shall previously make and file an affidavit as in the last rule mentioned.

20. Before the name of any person shall be used in any action as Written next friend of any infant, or other party, or as relator, such person authority of next friend or shall sign a written authority to the solicitor for that purpose, and the relator. authority shall be filed in the central office, or in the district registry, if the cause or matter is proceeding therein (b).

- (b) In a pressing case the authority may be allowed to be filed after the institution of the suit (A.-G. v. Murray, 13 W. R. 65; A.-G. v. Wiltshire, 45 L. J. Ch. 53).
- 21. In all causes or matters to which any infant or person of Consents as to unsound mind, whether so found by inquisition or not, or person procedure in under any other disability, is a party, any consent as to the mode of sons under taking evidence or as to any other procedure shall, if given with the disability. consent of the Court or a judge by the next friend, guardian, committee, or other person acting on behalf of the person under disability, have the same force and effect as if such party were under no dis-

ability and had given such consent. Provided that no such consent by any committee of a lunatic shall be valid as between him and the lunatic unless given with the sanction of the Lord Chancellor or Lords Justices sitting in Lunacy (c).

(c) This rule is taken from the General Order, 5th February, 1861, r. 24. See Knatchbull v. Fowle, 1 Ch. D. 604; Fryer v. Wiseman, W. N. (1876), 3; 33 L. T. 779; Leeming v. Murray, 28 W. R. 339.

IV. Proceedings by or against Paupers.

Suits by or against paupers.

- 22. Any person may be admitted in the manner heretofore accustomed to sue or defend as a pauper on proof that he is not worth 25l., his wearing apparel and the subject-matter of the cause or matter only excepted (d).
 - (d) See note (f) to rule 31.

Case to be laid before counsel. 23. A person desirous of suing as a pauper shall lay a case before counsel for his opinion whether or not he has reasonable grounds for proceeding.

Case to be produced to the Court. 24. No person shall be permitted to sue as a pauper unless the case laid before counsel for his opinion, and his opinion thereon, with an affidavit of the party, or his solicitor, that the case contains a full and true statement of all the material facts to the best of his knowledge and belief, shall be produced before the Court or judge or proper officer to whom the application is made, and no fee shall be payable by a pauper to his counsel or solicitor.

Court fees.

- 25. A person admitted to sue or defend as a pauper shall not be liable to any Court fee (e).
 - (e) See Thomas v. Ellis, 8 Ch. D. 518.

Assignment of counsel or solicitor.

26. Where a person is admitted to sue or defend as a pauper, the Court or a judge may, if necessary, assign a counsel or solicitor, or both, to assist him, and a counsel or solicitor so assigned shall not be at liberty to refuse his assistance unless he satisfies the Court or judge that he has some good reason for refusing.

Pauper not to give any remuneration. 27. Whilst a person sues or defends as a pauper no person shall take, or agree to take, or seek to obtain from him any fee, profit, or reward, for the conduct of his business in the Court, and any person who takes, or agrees to take, or seeks to obtain any such fee, profit, or reward shall be guilty of a contempt of Court.

Dispaupering.

28. If any person admitted to sue or defend as a pauper gives, or agrees to give, any such fee, profit or reward, he shall be forthwith dispaupered, and shall not be afterwards admitted again in the same cause to sue or defend as a pauper.

Notices, &c. to be signed by solicitor. 29. No notice of motion shall be served or summons issued, and no petition shall be presented, on behalf of any person admitted to sue or defend as a pauper, except for the discharge of his solicitor, unless it is signed by his solicitor.

30. It shall be the duty of the solicitor assigned to a person admitted to sue or defend as a pauper to take care that no notice No step to be is served, or summons issued, or petition presented, without good taken without cause.

Ord. XVI. good cause.

31. Costs ordered to be paid to a person admitted to sue or defend Costs. as a pauper shall, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct, be taxed as in other cases (f).

(f) These rules as to pauper suits are taken from Cons. Ord. VII. rr. 8—11, but 25l. is substituted for 5l. As to pauper suits generally, see Allen v. McPherson, 5 Beav. 469; Davies v. Nixon, 11 W. R. 62; Bird v. Bird, 17 W. R. 155.

It was held that the 51. meant 51. available for the suit (Dresser v. Morton, 2 Ph. Suing in 286). Where a person's property was not worth 51 independently of the property formal the subject of the suit, but he was in present possession of that property, he was pauperis. dispaupered (Spencer v. Bryant, 11 Ves. 49; Ridgway v. Edwards, 9 Ch. 143; Tayrell Affidavit. v. Taylor, 9 Beav. 493; Butler v. Gardener, 12 Beav. 525; and see Burry Port Company v. Bowser, 26 L. J. Ch. 319); so was an officer, though his half-pay was liable Officer on to be taken through his having passed through the Insolvent Court (Boddington v. half-pay.

to be taken through his having passed through the Insolvent Court (Boddington v. half-pay. Woodley, 5 Beav. 555); and a person who offered to redeem a mortgage (Fowler v. Davies, 16 Sim. 182); and it was held not enough that he should swear that he had only 51. "after payment of his just debts" (Perry v. Walker, 1 Coll. 229).

An executor, though without assets, cannot sue or defend in forma payeris (Oldfield v. Cobbett, 1 Ph. 613); secus, where he is also interested as legatee (Bayly v. Bayly, 11 Beav. 256; Everson v. Matthews, 3 W. R. 159; Flattery v. Anderson, 11 Ir. Eq. Rep. 586; Parkinson v. Chambers, 3 W. R. 343; Martin v. Whitmore, 17 W. R. 809; Rogers v. Hooper, 1 W. R. 474); and in general the same rule applies to all persons filling representative characters (St. Victor v. Devereux, 6 Beav. applies to all persons filling representative characters (St. Victor v. Devereux, 6 Beav. 584; Paradice v. Sheppard, 1 Dick. 136).

Infants may under special circumstances, it seems, sue by their next friends in Infants. formá pauperis (Lindsay v. Tyrrell, 24 Beav. 124; 2 De G. & J. 7). A peeress was admitted to sue in formá pauperis (Wellesley v. Wellesley, 16 Sim. 1).

The application to sue in formá pauperis should, it seems, be made by ex parte application (Re Lewin, W. N. (1884), 224; and see Lindsay v. Tyrrell).

Counsel and solicitor will not be assigned to a defendant under r. 26, upon the application of the plaintiff (Garrod v. Holden, 4 Beav. 245; see Watkins v. Parker, solicitor and 3 M. & C. 370); after they have been assigned the pauper cannot be heard in person counsel. (Parkinson v. Hanbury, 4 De G. M. & G. 508).

A person may appeal in formá pauperis (Bland v. Lamb, 2 J. & W. 402; Crouch Appeals and v. Waller, 4 De G. & J. 43; Fitton v. Macclesfield, 1 Vern. 263; Bradberry v. Brooke, other pro-4 W. R. 699; Grimwood v. Shave, 5 W. R. 482; Phillips v. Phillips, 8 Jur. N. S. ceedings in 145; but see Re Johnson, 3 N. R. 655). When an order to sue in formá pauperis formá had been made in the Court below, it was held unnecessary to obtain a fresh order pauperis. to appeal in forma pauperis (Drennan v. Andrew, 1 Ch. 300).

So a person may be examined pro interesse suo in forma pauperis (James v. Dore, 2 Dick. 728), or present a petition (Re Money, 13 Beav. 109; Ex parts Hakewell, 3 De G. M. & G. 116); or sue as a creditor of a joint-stock company which is being wound up (Ex parte Fry, 1 Dr. & Sm. 318).

A party suing in forma pauperis, though unsuccessful, cannot be ordered to pay When pauper costs, unless he has been unsuccessful by his own default in proceeding. See Wilkinson v. Belcher, 2 Bro. C. C. 272; and see also Parkinson v. Hanbury, 4 De G. M. & G. 508.

A person who during the pendency of a suit obtains an order to sue or defend in forma pauperis may be ordered to pay costs up to the date of the order (Prince Albert

v. Strange, 13 Jur. 507; Smith v. Pauson, 2 De G. & Sm. 490).

A party who has obtained the order to sue in forma pauperis must serve notice Costs where thereof on the other side, and if he omits such service, malk fide, i. e., with the in- order not tention of getting dives costs if he succeeds, but paying pauper costs if he fails, served. he will have to pay dives costs in respect of a step taken in the suit before such service (Ballard v. Calling, 2 Keen, 606; Smith v. Pawson, 2 De G. & Sm. 490).

If the order was obtained irregularly, and on suppression of a material circum- A party may stance (Nowell v. Whittaker, 6 Beav. 407); or if at any time pending the suit the be disparty suing or defending in forma pauperis becomes of ability to sue, or to defend paupered on himself, the Court will dispauper him (Perry v. Walker, 1 Coll. 229; and see cases becoming in the reporter's note); but under certain circumstances he may be re-admitted to sue of ability to or defend in forma pauperis. The mere possession of property, however, is not sufscient if it is wrongful (Perry v. Walker, 1 Y. & Coll. C. C. 676); nor will the cirhimself,

pays costs.

cumstance of the pauper having sued another person at law not in forma pauperis (ibid.), or the pauper being in regular employment (ibid.), be sufficient. If it is made to appear to the Court that the party was not in fact "a pauper" when he made his affidavit, the order will be discharged with costs (Romilly v. Grint, 2 Beav. 186; and see Goldsmith v. Goldsmith, 5 Hare, 123; Mather v. Skelmerdine, 7 Beav. 267); and a person may be dispaupered, though indebted and embarrassed (Perry v. Walker, 1 Coll. 229; Romitly v. Grint).

The circumstance of a subscription having been made to help the plaintiff in the suit, however objectionable on the ground of maintenance, is no ground for dispaupering (Corbett v. Corbett, 16 Ves. 409).

It was held to be too late three years after the order, and after the defendants had answered, and the plaintiff had filed replication, to move to discharge for irregularity an order for the plaintiff to sue in forma pauperis (Parkinson v. Hanbury, 4 De G. M. & G. 508; St. Victor v. Deuereux, 6-Beav. 586).

So, if a pauper behaves vexatiously in the conduct of the suit, he may be dis-

or if he behaves vexatiously. So, if a pauper behaves vexatiously in the conduct of the suit, he may be dispaupered (Wagner v. Mears, 3 Sin. 127; Daintrie v. Hagnes, 12 Jur. 594; Perry v. Walker, 1 Coll. 229); but vexatious conduct in a former suit, is no ground for dispaupering (Corbett v. Corbett).

The application to dispanper is made by special motion on notice, Dan. p. 91.

A papper solicitor may be ordered to pay personally the costs of irregular proceedings (Brown v. Dawson, 2 Hog. 76).

V. Administration and Execution of Trusts.

Appointment of person to represent heir, next of kin or class.

- 32. In any case in which the right of an heir-at-law or the next of kin or a class shall depend upon the construction which the Court or a judge may put upon an instrument, and it shall not be known or shall be difficult to ascertain who is or are such heir-at-law or next of kin or class, and the Court or judge shall consider that in order to save expense or for some other reason it will be convenient to have the questions of construction determined before such heir-at-law, next of kin or class shall have been ascertained by means of inquiry or otherwise, the Court or judge may appoint some one or more persons to represent such heir-at-law, next of kin or class, and the judgment of the Court or judge in the presence of such persons shall be binding upon the heir-at-law, next of kin or class so represented (g).
- (g) See Re Peppitt, 4 Ch. D. 230 ; Seton, 1532, for form of order. See also Beale v. Ruston, W. N. (1878), 179.

Service dispensed with:--Residuary legatees or next of kin: Legatee interested in

real estate:

33. Any residuary legatee or next of kin entitled to a judgment or order for the administration of the personal estate of a deceased person, may have the same without serving the remaining residuary legatees or next of kin.

Residuary devisee or heir:

34. Any legatee interested in a legacy charged upon real estate, and any person interested in the proceeds of real estate directed to be sold, and who may be entitled to a judgment or order for the administration of the estate of a deceased person, may have the same without serving any other legatee or person interested in the proceeds of the estate.

Cestui que trust.

35. Any residuary devisee or heir entitled to the like judgment or order, may have the same without serving any co-residuary devisee or co-heir.

36. Any one of several cestuis que trust under any deed or instrument entitled to a judgment or order for the execution of the trusts of the deed or instrument, may have the same without serving any other cestui que trust.

37. In all cases of actions for the prevention of waste or otherwise for the protection of property, one person may sue on behalf of himself Action by and all persons having the same interest.

38. Any executor, administrator, or trustee entitled thereto may of property, have a judgment or order against any one legatee, next of kin, or Administracestui que trust for the administration of the estate or the execution of tion at suit the trusts (h).

- (h) The general administration of an estate cannot be carried on without all the executors or accounting trustees being parties (Latch v. Latch, 10 Ch. 464); and if the legal personal representative of a testator is not made a party to a suit for the administration of his real and personal estate, no decree can be made, although the administration of his real and personal estate, no decree can be made, although the trustees of the realty and an executor de son tort are before the Court (Rowsell v. Morris, 17 Eq. 20, Jessel, M. R., where the cases are discussed). So, in the case of an intestate, a general administrator, and not a mere administrator ad litem, is a necessary party (Dowdeswell v. Dowdeswell, 9 Ch. D. 294). See also Seton, p. 312. As to a suit by a creditor against a residuary legatee (who had received assets) without making the surviving executor a party, see Hunter v. Young, 4 Ex. D. 256; 27 W. R. 637; and see also Clegg v. Rowland, 3 Eq. 368.
- 39. The Court or a judge may require any person to be made a Conduct of party to any action or proceeding, and may give the conduct of the action. action or proceeding to such person as he may think fit, and may make such order in any particular case as he may think just for placing the defendant on the record on the same footing in regard to costs as other parties having a common interest with him in the matters in question (i).

(i) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, sect. 42, r. 7. Where two actions are brought for the administration of the same estate, the general rule is that the plaintiff in the first action has the conduct of the proceedings, although the judgment is first obtained in the second action (Mellor v. Swire (C. A.), 21 Ch. D. 647; Townsend v. Townsend, 23 Ch. D. 100); and see Seton, p. 325; Daniell, p. 1953, where the cases are collected.

If, however, the first suit is not properly constituted the rule will not apply; see Re McRae, 25 Ch. D. 16, where the creditor of a partnership firm brought an action for administration against the executor of a deceased partner, and then a separate

creditor brought a similar action against the executor.

Where there is only one action the plaintiff has the conduct of it unless the Court in its discretion gives the conduct to some other party; see e.g., Allen v. Norris, W. N. (1884), 118, where the conduct of the action was taken away from the plaintiffs, on the ground that they were the accounting parties.

40. Wherever, in any action for the administration of the estate of Service of a deceased person or the execution of the trusts of any deed or instru-judgment or ment, or for the partition or sale of any hereditaments, a judgment or persons inan order has been pronounced or made-

terested.

- (a.) Under Order XV.;
- (b.) Under Order XXXIII.;
- (c.) Affecting the rights or interests of persons not parties to the action;

the Court or a judge may direct that any persons interested in the estate or under the trust or in the hereditaments, shall be served with notice of the judgment or order; and after such notice such persons shall be bound by the proceedings, in the same manner as if they had originally been made parties, and shall be at liberty to attend the proceedings under the judgment or order. Any person so served may, Ord. XVI.

one person for protection executor, administrator or trustee. All executors must be parties to suit for general administra-

within one month after such service, apply to the Court or judge to discharge, vary, or add to the judgment or order (k).

(k) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 42, r. 8, and Cons. Ord. XXIII.

r. 18, both now repealed.

Effect of aerving notice of judgment.

The effect of service of the judgment or order is to bind the interest of the persons served in the subject-matter of the suit, see Doody v. Higgins, 9 Ha., App. xxxii.; but they cannot be made to account without some independent proceeding to enforce the liability (Walker v. Seligmann, 12 Eq. 152; but see Re Rees, 15 Ch. D. 490); nor, on the other hand, can direct relief, e.g., based on wilful default of trustees, be given on their behalf as if they were plaintiffs (Whitney v. Smith, 4 Ch. 513).

Service out of jurisdiction.

Notice of the judgment or order may, by leave, be served out of the jurisdiction (Strong v. Moore, 22 L. J. Ch. 917; Chalmers v. Laurie, 10 Hare, App. xxvii.; Maybery v. Brooking, 7 De G. M. & G. 673); and see Lee v. Sturrock, W. N. (1876), 226.

Parties who acquire interest in euit.

Where a person served with the decree afterwards married, the proper way to bring the trustees of the marriage settlement before the Court was held to be by service of the decree (White v. Stewart, 35 Beav. 304); but when trustees appointed aster decree obtained an order of course to attend the proceedings, it was discharged as irregular (Cotyer v. Colyer, 11 W. R. 355).

When persons served with notice of a judgment or order do not attend proceedings at chambers, it is not necessary before signing the certificate to serve them with a summons to proceed (Green v. Measures, W. N. (1866), 122).

Persons served may attend without an order on entering an appearance.

- 41. It shall not be necessary for any person served with notice of any judgment or order, to obtain an order for liberty to attend the proceedings under such judgment or order, but such person shall be at liberty to attend the proceedings upon entering an appearance in the central office in the same manner, and subject to the same provisions, as a defendant entering an appearance (l).
- (1) Notwithstanding this rule anyone attending unnecessarily would probably be made to pay all the costs occasioned by such attendance; see Sharp v. Lush, 10 Ch. D. 468.

Entry of memorandum of service.

42. A memorandum of the service upon any person of notice of the judgment or order in any action under rule 40 shall be entered in the central office upon due proof by affidavit of such service.

Form of notice of judgment.

- 43. Notice of a judgment or order served pursuant to rule 40 shall be entitled in the action and there shall be endorsed thereon a memorandum in the Form No. 28 in Appendix G. (m).
 - (m) For this form, see post.

Service on infant or person of unsound Action to

44. Notice of a judgment or order on an infant or person of unsound mind not so found by inquisition shall be served in the same manner as a writ of summons in an action.

mind. execute trusts of will.

45. In any cause or matter to execute the trusts of a will it shall not be necessary to make the heir-at-law a party, but the plaintiff shall be at liberty to make the heir-at-law a party where he desires to have the will established against him.

Court may proceed without of deceased person or may appoint one.

46. If in any cause, matter, or other proceeding it shall appear to the Court or a judge that any deceased person who was interested in representative the matter in question has no legal personal representative, the Court or judge may proceed in the absence of any person representing the estate of the deceased person, or may appoint some person to represent his estate for all the purposes of the cause, matter, or other proceeding on such notice to such persons, if any, as the Court or judge shall think fit, either specially or generally by public advertisement, and the order so made, and any order consequent thereon, shall bind the estate of the deceased person in the same manner in every respect as if a dulyconstituted legal personal representative of the deceased had been a party to the cause, matter, or proceeding (n).

(n) This rule is substantially identical with 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 44, now 15 & 16 Vict. It may be presumed, therefore, that the decisions on that section will still c. 86, s. 44, be followed by the Courts.

An action for administration is defective when there is no legal personal repre- thereon. sentative before the Court (Rowsell v. Morris, 17 Eq. 20) except by consent (Jones v.

Foulkes, 10 W. R. 55).

See as to administrators ad litem appointed by the Probate Division, Groves v. Levi, 9 Ha. App. xlvii. n.; Williams v. Allen, 10 W. R. 512, reversing S. C., 32 Beav. 656; and 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77 (the Probate Act).

The Court will not appoint a person to represent an estate where there is personal responsibility (Fyfe's Case, 17 W. R. 870); and see Devaynes v. Robinson, 24 Beav. 86; and Williams v. Allen; nor would the Court order money to be paid out to an administrator ad liter (ibid.): and it is enacted by the Probate Act, 20 & 21 Vict. softministrator as their (total). and it is chapted by the Flobate along a 21 - 100 co. 77, s. 70, "that the administrator so appointed shall have all the rights and powers of a general administrator other than the right of distributing the residue of such personal estate." The administrator may also be appointed receiver of the rents of real estate (s. 71). By the 73rd section of the same Act, the Court of Probate may, "whenever it shall appear necessary or convenient by reason of the insolvency of the deceased or other special circumstances," appoint a nominee of its own to be administrator of the personal estate of a deceased person, and "every such administration may be limited as the Court shall see fit." See Re John Jones, 6 W. R. 276.

A person may be appointed to represent an heir, or next of kin, or a class, for Appointing the purpose of construing an instrument on which their right may depend; persons to

see Ord. XVI. r. 32, ante, p. 342.

It was held that the section was not intended to apply to cases (1) Where there is no difficulty in obtaining representation: see Long v. Storie, Kay, App. xii. (in which case V.-C. Wood refused to act behind the back of a person who was on which case v.-C. Wood related to set belind the back of a person who was on the point of administering to the estate); Woodhouse v. Woodhouse, 8 Eq. 514.

(2) Where the interest of the party sought to be bound is not otherwise represented in the suit (Cox v. Stephens, 11 W. R. 929); see Gibson v. Wills, 21 Beav. 620; Headden v. Emmott, 22 L. T. O. S. 166, the dicta in which case, however, must be taken with some qualification (see the observations of the Master of the Rolls in Dean of Ely v. Gayford, 16 Beav. 561). So a general representative is required when a decree is sought against the very party to be represented, as where a submortgagee sought a decree for foreclosure, without making the personal representatives of the first mortgagee parties (Rowlands v. Evans, 33 Beav. 202; Bruiton v. Birch, 22 L. J. Ch. 911); the objection will not apply, however, when the heir-at-law and executors named in the will of a deceased person, whose estate may be charged by the suit, are parties, though the executors have not proved (Goddard v. general ad Haslam, 3 W. R. 357); comp. Ex parte Cramer, 9 Ha. App. xlvii.; Williams v. ministratic Rowlands, 3 N. R. 233. Nor does the section apply (3) where the object of the suit is not only to hind but to administrate the estate of the intestate (Silver v. Stein, 1200). 1 Drew. 295; but see Jones v. Foulkes, 10 W. R. 55); see also 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 70, supra. In James v. Aston, 2 Jur. N. S. 224; Maclean v. Dawson, 27 Beav. 21, the hill was filed to set aside transactions on the ground of fraud of the intestate, hut as the result of such setting aside would have been an administration decree, it was held that the section did not apply. (4) Nor where a personal representative of the intestate would have active duties to perform in the execution of a decree (Fowler v. Bayldon, 9 Ha. App. lxxviii.). So the Court will not, under this section, appoint a person to receive a sum of money in Court, payable to a deceased person, though the amount be small (Rawlins v. McMahon, 1 Drew. 225); and even where a representative has been appointed in the suit, it will not direct the money to be paid to him, but will order it to be carried over to a separate account (Byam v. Sutton, 19 Beav. 646). Where one of two trustees died after the chief clerk's certificate, the cause was allowed to proceed in the absence of his representative (Moore v. Morris, 13 Eq. 139). In the last case Lord Romilly, M. R., said the section did not apply (1) Where the estate of the deceased person is that which is being administered in the suit; (2) Where the interest of the deceased person is adverse to

Ord. XVI.

and decisions

represent estate.

Rule does not apply—
(1) Where no difficulty in ohtaining representation;

- (2) Where interest of party to be bound is not represented;
- (3) Where ministration
- (4) Or the administrator ad litem would have to he active.

Where the Court will act under rule.

Where intestate was insolvent.

Refusal of next of kin to administer.

Will proved abroad.

or identical with that of parties represented.

that of the plaintiff; (3) Where the representative of the deceased person has active duties to perform.

Where a necessary party to a suit had disappeared many years before in Australia, and it was not certain that he was dead, so that his legal personal

Australia, and it was not certain that he was dead, so that his legal personal representative could not be made a party, a representative ad litem to protect his interest was appointed (Mortimer v. Mortimer, 11 W. R. 740).

Where there is any difficulty in obtaining representation to the intestate's estate, and it is not important that his interest should be represented, the Court will act under the rule. Thus, where an action was brought by an equitable mortgages of a policy of insurance against the insurance company, the insured having died intestate and insolvent and the mortgage debt exceeding the amount due on the reliev tate and insolvent, and the mortgage debt exceeding the amount due on the policy, the Court dispensed with a personal representative (Curtius v. Caledonian Insurance Co., 19 Ch. D. 534; but see Webster v. British Assurance Co., 15 Ch. D. 169). See also Chaffers v. Headlam, 9 Ha. App. xlvi.; Cox v. Stephens, 11 W. R. 929. So where there were two executors, co-defendants, and one of them who was also a residuary legatee, but who had not proved the will, or acted in the trusts thereof, died incolvent and without a representative, after the usual order for taking the accounts had been made, it was held that the suit might proceed, as if his legal accounts had been made, it was held that the suit might proceed, as if his legal personal representative had been served and had appeared; see Rogers v. Jones, 1 Sm. & G. 17; Davies v. Boulcott, 1 Dr. & Sm. 23; Ashmall v. Wood, 4 W. R. 60, 110; cf. Bessant v. Noble, 26 L. J. Ch. 236; Band v. Randle, 2 W. R. 331, where a representative was dispensed with; Twynam v. Porter, W. N. (1869), 228; Hayward v. Pyle, 7 Ch. 634. And, as a general rule, the Court, it seems, will incline to act under the rule, when the next of kin expressly refuses to administer (Haw v. Vickers, 1 W. R. 242; Turrett v. Lloyd, 2 Jur. N. S. 371); or pays no attention to a notice calling upon him to administer (Whiteaves v. Mclville, 5 W. R. 676; Davies v. Boulcott; see Joint Stock Discount Company v. Brown, 8 Eq. 376); or dies without doing so (Swallow v. Binns, 9 Ha. App. xlviii.; but see 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 73, ante. under which the Court of Probate may grant limited administration to its own ante, under which the Court of Probate may grant limited administration to its own nominee).

In a suit for an account of a trading association in India, and contribution, the abroad. Court appointed the Indian executor of a deceased associate his representative under the section (Sutherland v. De Virenne, 2 Jur. N. S. 301). And where a defendant, interested in an estate which was being administered by the Court, died abroad, and his executors proved the will abroad but refused to prove it in this country, the Master of the Rolls (following Hewetson v. Todhunter, 22 L. J. Ch. 76) appointed a representative of the deceased defendant in order that the suit might be revived against him (Bliss v. Putnam, 29 Beav. 20). The section, too, was held to apply where the claim of the deceased defendant was consequent upon a remote possibility; see Hobbs v. Reid, W. N. (1876), 95. So a claim for the appointment of new trustees was allowed to proceed in the absence of a personal representative of a deceased person, when such deceased person had an interest in the trust funds, in the event of the death of his child (the infant plaintiff), but had died indebted, and without any other property (Magnay v. Davidson, 9 Ha. App. lxxxii.); and à fortiori, Court appointed the Indian executor of a deceased associate his representative under without any other property (Magnay v. Davidson, 9 Ha. App. lxxxii.); and à fortiori, when the interests of the deceased defendant are identical with those of the plaintiff, or with those of other parties represented; see Hewetson v. Todhunter; Cox v. Taylor, 22 L. J. Ch. 910; and Ling v. Storie, Kay, App. xii., where a subsequent mort-gagee (one of eight persons standing in a precisely similar situation, and in respect of whose mortgages only one right of redemption was given), having died after a decree for foreclosure, the Court, there being difficulty in obtaining representation the core for forestedies, the court, there being difficulty in obtaining representation to his estate, held that the suit might proceed without any person representing it, see the marginal note; and comp. Abrey v. Newman, 10 Ha. App. lviiii., n., where a declaration that children took per capita, and not per stirpes, was made in the absence of the personal representatives of the deceased children; and see also Tarrett v. Lloyd, 2 Jur. N. S. 371, where on a bill filed for the specific performance of an agreement for a lease entered into by four defendants in joint tenancy, one of whom died after the suit was instituted, intestate and insolvent, the Court, on the post of him expering in Court, and declarate to take out administration (see Parise). next of kin appearing in Court, and declining to take out administration (see Davies v. Boulcott, 1 Dr. & Sm. 23), ordered a person to be appointed to represent the estate of the deceased person, and Williams v. Allen, 10 W. R. 512, reversing S. C. 29 Beav. 292, where the suit was instituted against a trustee to make him personally liable for trust funds come into the hands of tenants for life, and the Lords Justices held that the estates of such tenants for life were sufficiently represented by an administrator ad litem. an administrator ad litem. See also Williams on Executors.

Where a decree had been made in ignorance of the death of a defendant before

decree, a statement was inscrited that the Court proceeded in the absence of his personal representative (Rucker v. Scholefield, 1 N. R. 180).

Where a cause was ordered to stand over for want of parties, with liberty to the plaintiff to amend, by adding them or their representatives, a motion that the suit might proceed in the absence of a representative of one of such parties who had died without leaving one, was refused with costs (Williams v. Page, 27 Beav. 373). In Wingrove v. Thompson, 11 Ch. D. 419, a sole plaintiff died intestate and insolvent: the Court appointed a person to represent his estate so that the defendant might be

ahle to move to dismiss for want of prosecution.

The proper person to he appointed under this rule is the person who would be will not be defendant in a suit died and his will was not proved in consequence of a contest as appointed. to one of his testamentary papers, the Court appointed the executor named in his will to represent him (Hele v. Lord Bexley, 15 Beav. 340. Cf. Ashmall v. Wood, 4 W. R. 60). So the executor of a teststor who had proved the will in India but Executor W. R. 60). So the executor of a testator who had proved the will in India, but Executor had refused to take out letters of administration in England, was appointed to who proved represent his estate (Sutherland v. De Virenne, 2 Jur. N. S. 301; see, too, Hewetson abroad. v. Todhunter, 22 L. J. Ch. 76). But where a will appointing a person executrix, and giving the testator's estate to her, was heing contested in the Probate Court, the Court refused to appoint such person to represent the testator's estate in a suit to take the partnership accounts of a firm of which the testator was a member (Rowlands v. Evans, 33 Beav. 202).

In Swallow v. Binns, 9 Ha. App. xlvii., the executors of a father (who had sur- Executors of vived and become the rext sole of kin of his deceased children) were appointed to next of kin. represent the estates of his deceased children. In The Dean of Ely v. Gayford, 16 Widow. Beav. 561, a widow was appointed to represent the estate of her husband, who was a tenant for life of tithes, and had died without a personal representative.

No order can be made without the consent of the person sought to be appointed Consent must (Hill v. Bonner, 26 Beav. 372; The Prince of Wales, &c. Company v. Palmer, 25 Beav. he given. 605). In a suit instituted to establish a settlement, the Court refused to appoint a

600). In a suit instituted to establish a settlement, the Court refused to appoint a person disputing the settlement, and already appointed receiver of the deceased settlor's estate, to represent the settlor (Vacy v. Vacy, 1 L. T. 267).

As to the form of the order, see Hele v. Lord Bexley, 15 Beav. 340; Whittington Form, &c. of v. Gooding, 10 Ha. App. xxix.; Seton on Decrees, p. 1531. Before the order is order. drawn up notice should be given to the persons entitled to administer (Davies v. Bouloott, 1 Drew. & Sm. 23); but it seems that the order may be made at the hearing (Hewetson v. Todhunter, 22 L. J. Ch. 76; Mendes v. Guedalla, 10 W. R. 485). This course was pursued in Lloyd v. Attwood, L. J. Nov. 3, 1858. In Chaffers v. Headlem, 9 Ha. App. 150; it was made on motion on notice to all parties.

Headlam, 9 Ha. App. xlvi., it was made on motion on notice to all parties.

Where duties were payable and a representative ad litem had been appointed Duties under the section, the Court dispensed with production of letters of administration payable. on evidence that the Commissioners of Inland Revenue would be willing to accept a sum equal to administration duty without the production of an actual grant of letters of administration (Re Ranking, 6 Eq. 601).

The Court cannot appoint a person to represent the possible estate of unborn children under legal limitations (Miles v. Jarvis, W. N. (1883), 203).

47. In any cause or matter for the administration of the estate of a Appearance deceased person, no party other than the executor or administrator against estate shall, unless by leave of the Court or a judge, be entitled to appear in admieither in Court or in chambers on the claim of any person not a party actions. to the cause or matter against the estate of the deceased person in respect of any debt or liability (o). The Court or a judge may direct or give liberty to any other party to the cause or matter to appear, either in addition to or in the place of the executor or administrator, upon such terms as to costs or otherwise as they or he shall think fit.

(a) Persons who appear unnecessarily may have to pay all the costs occasioned by their appearance (Sharp v. Lush, 10 Ch. D. 473; 27 W. R. 528; and see Bowyer v. Marshall, W. N. (1879), 12; Day v. Batty, 21 Ch. D. 830).

Generally speaking, when a claim is brought against the estats in an administration suit the executor or administrator alone should attend (Smith v. Watts, 22 Ch. D.

12).

VI. Third Party Procedure.

48. Where a defendant claims to be entitled to contribution, or Where indemnity over against any person not a party to the action, he may, defendant claims conby leave of the Court or a judge, issue a notice (hereinafter called the tribution or

Ord. XVI.

Ord. XVI. indemnity from third party.

third-party notice) to that effect, stamped with the seal with which writs of summons are sealed. A copy of such notice shall be filed with the proper officer, and served on such person according to the rules relating to the service of writs of summons. The notice shall state the nature and grounds of the claim, and shall, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a judge, be served within the time limited for delivering his defence. Such notice may be in the form or to the effect of the Form No. 1 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require, and therewith shall be served a copy of the statement of claim, or if there be no statement of claim, then a copy of the writ of summons in the action (p).

Contribution or indemnity. (p) Under the present rules a third party can be brought in only where the defendant claims contribution or indemnity; and this rule prescribes the defendant's course when his claim is against a third person not a party to the action. As to his course when his claim is against a co-defendant, see rule 55, post, p. 350, and the cases there cited.

Cases.

Where a lessor sues his lessee for breach of covenant to repair, the latter cannot bring in a sub-lessee as third party, although the covenant to repair in the under-lesse is identical in its terms with that in the lesse (Pontifex v. Foord, 12 Q. B. D. 152). See also Catton v. Bennett, 26 Ch. D. 161.

Where an action was brought to compel the defendants to register the plaintiff as the owner of certain shares, and the defendants had received notice from a person as the owner of certain shares, and the detendants had received notice from a person abroad not to register the plaintiff, as the shares in question had been transferred to him, the Court refused to give leave to serve a third-party notice, doubting if it were a claim for indemnity within the rule (*Hutchison* v. *Colorado Co.*, W. N. (1884), 40). In *Coles* v. *Civil Service Association*, 26 Ch. D. 529, it was held by Kay, J., that the proper order when an indemnity is claimed is this:—If the third party admits his liability to indemnify the defendant, the Court should give him liberty to defend the action. If he does not admit his liability, then the Court should give him liberty to appear at the trial and take such part therein as the judge shall think proper to allow, and should direct the question as to his liability to indemnify the defendant to be determined immediately after the trial of the action. The third party cannot counter-claim against the original plaintiff (Eden v. Weardale Co., W. N. (1884), 232).

Indemnity.

See, for a case of indemnity, Finlay v. Scott, W. N. (1884), 8, where the contracts under which the plaintiffs claimed against the defendants, and the defendants against the third parties, were identical; and see also Jacobs v. Brown, W. N. (1884), 23.

To entitle a defendant to indemnity under the rule there must be a contract to indemnify (Speller v. Bristol Navigation Co., 13 Q. B. D. 96).

Married woman.

A married woman without separate estate cannot be brought in as third party by her husband (Jones v. Elderton, W. N. (1884), 39). But a married woman may be brought in as third party by a stranger, and an order made against her separate estate. See Gloucestershire Banking Co. v. Phillipps, 12 Q. B. D. 533.

Leave will be refused if the plaintiff would be prejudiced in his action by its being granted (Associated Home Co. v. Whichcord, 8 Ch. D. 457; Wye Valley Ry. v. Haure 16 Ch. D. 480). Notice of the application chould be private the plaintiff (ibid.)

Leave.

Hawes, 16 Ch. D. 489). Notice of the application should be given to the plaintiff (ibid.).

Fourth parties. As to the power of a third party to bring in subsequent parties, see Yorkshire Woggon Co. v. Newport Coal Co., 5 Q. B. D. 269; Fowler v. Knoop, 36 L. T. 219; W. N. (1877), 68; Walker v. Balfour, 25 W. R. 511; Witham v. Vane, 28 W. R. 276.

Where third party disputes plaintiff's claim.

49. If a person not a party to the action, who is served as mentioned in rule 48 (hereinafter called the third party), desires to dispute the plaintiff's claim in the action as against the defendant on whose behalf the notice has been given, or his own liability to the defendant, the third party must enter an appearance in the action within eight days from the service of the notice. In default of his so doing, he shall be deemed to admit the validity of the judgment obtained against such defendant, whether obtained by consent or otherwise, and his own liability to contribute or indemnify, as the case may be, to the extent

claimed in the third-party notice. Provided always, that a person so served and failing to appear within the said period of eight days, may apply to the Court or a judge for leave to appear, and such leave may be given upon such terms, if any, as the Court or judge shall think fit.

Ord. XVI.

50. Where a third party makes default in entering an appearance Where third in the action, in case the defendant giving the notice suffer judgment to enter by default, he shall be entitled at any time, after satisfaction of the appearance judgment against himself, or before such satisfaction by leave of the and defendant suffers judg-Court or a judge, to enter judgment against the third party to the ment by extent of the contribution or indemnity claimed in the third-party notice: provided that it shall be lawful for the Court or a judge to set aside or vary such judgment upon such terms as may seem just (q).

- (q) See Jablochkoff Co. v. McMurdo, W. N. (1884), 94.
- 51. Where a third party makes default in entering an appearance in Where third the action, in case the action is tried and results in favour of the party fails to plaintiff, the judge who tries the action may, at or after the trial, enter plaintiff such judgment as the nature of the case may require, for the defendant giving the notice against the third party: provided that execution thereof be not issued without leave of the judge until after satisfaction by such defendant of the verdict or judgment against him. And if the action is finally decided in the plaintiff's favour, otherwise than by trial, the Court or a judge may, on application by motion or summons, as the case may be, order such judgment, as the nature of the case may require to be entered for the defendant, giving the notice against the third party at any time after satisfaction by the defendant of the amount recovered by the plaintiff against him.

52. If a third party appears pursuant to the third-party notice, the Application defendant giving the notice may apply to the Court or a judge for by defendant for directions. directions, and the Court or judge, upon the hearing of such application, may, if satisfied that there is a question proper to be tried as to the liability of the third party to make the contribution or indemnity claimed, in whole or in part, order the question of such liability, as between the third party and the defendant giving the notice, to be tried in such manner, at or after the trial of the action, as the Court or judge may direct; and, if not so satisfied, may order such judgment as the nature of the case may require to be entered in favour of the defendant giving the notice against the third party (r).

- (r) Under this rule, judgment against a third party who has appeared pursuant to a third-party notice, but on an application by the defendant for directions declines to state any defence, may be ordered, if the judge is not satisfied that there is any question proper to be tried as to the liability of the third party (Gloucestershire Banking Co. v. Phillipps, 12 Q. B. D. 533). See also Bell v. Dadelszen, W. N. (1883), 208; Caister v. Chapman, W. N. (1884), 31; Borough v. James, W. N. (1884), 32; and the cases cited in notes to r. 48, ante, p. 348.
- 53. The Court or a judge upon the hearing of the application mentioned in rule 52, may, if it shall appear desirable to do so, give the leave to

defend.

third party liberty to defend the action, upon such terms as may be just, or to appear at the trial and take such part therein as may be just, and generally may order such proceedings to be taken, documents to be delivered, or amendments to be made, and give such directions as to the Court or judge shall appear proper for having the question most conveniently determined, and as to the mode and extent in or to which the third party shall be bound or made liable by the judgment in the action (s).

(s) See MacAllister v. Bishop of Rochester, 5 C. P. D. 194; Witham v. Vane, 49 L. J. Ch. 242; Schneider v. Batt, 8 Q. B. D. 701; The Bianca, 8 P. D. 91; Coles v. Civil Service Association, 26 Ch. D. 529, cited in note (p) to r. 48, ante, p. 348.

Costs.

- 54. The Court or a judge may decide all questions of costs, as between a third party and the other parties to the action, and may order any one or more to pay the costs of any other, or others, or give such direction as to costs as the justice of the case may require (t).
- (t) See Jablochkoff Co. v. McMurdo, W. N. (1884), 94; Bates v. Burchell, W. N. (1884), 108.

Where defendant claims contribution or iudemnity against codefendant.

55. Where a defendant claims to be entitled to contribution or indemnity against any other defendant to the action (u), a notice may be issued and the same procedure shall be adopted, for the determination of such questions between the defendants as would be issued and taken against such other defendant, if such last-mentioned defendant were a third party; but nothing herein contained shall prejudice the rights of the plaintiff against any defendant in the

Claim against co-defendant.

- (u) Where the claim is against a co-defendant no leave is required before issuing the notice; but of course the defendant may move to discharge the service (Towse v. Loveridge, 25 Ch. D. 76). See also Butler v. Butler, 14 Ch. D. 329; Sawyer v. Sawyer, W. N. (1883), 181, 212; Flower v. Todd, W. N. (1884), 47.

 In Catton v. Bennett, 26 Ch. D. 161, a vendor sued for specific performance, making the auctioneer who held the deposit a co-defendant. The defence was, that the purchaser had been misled by the auctioneer's advertisement, to which the plaintiff replied, denying the auctioneer's authority to issue the advertisement. Held, that the purchaser could not claim indemnity against his co-defendant, the auctioneer auctioneer.

ORDER XVII.

CHANGE OF PARTIES BY DEATH, &c.

Proceedings not to abate by marriage, death or bankruptcy, or become defective by devolution of estate.

1. A cause or matter shall not become abated by reason of the marriage, death or bankruptcy of any of the parties, if the cause of action survive or continue (v); and shall not become defective by the assignment, creation, or devolution of any estate or title pendente lite; and, whether the cause of action survives or not, there shall be no abatement by reason of the death of either party between the verdict or finding of the issues of fact and the judgment, but judgment may in such case be entered, notwithstanding the death.

This order does not alter the law as to what actions do and what do not survive, see Kirk v. Todd, 21 Ch. D. 484. As to the survival of actions, see Phillips v. Homfray, 24 Ch. D. 439; Kirk v. Todd.

(v) The rule applies only where the cause of action "survives or continues" in Some person who is before the Court; accordingly, where a sole plaintiff becomes bankrupt, the action is at an end (Eldridge v. Burgess, 7 Ch. D. 411; Jackson v. N. Abatement E. Ry. Co., 5 Ch. D. 844; see also Lloyd v. Dimmack, 7 Ch. D. 398; Warder v. of cause or Saunders, 10 Q. B. D. 114; Twycross v. Grant, 4 C. P. D. 40).

In case of the marriage, death, or bankruptcy, or devolution of Husband, &c. estate by operation of law, of any party to a cause or matter, the may be made a party or Court or a judge may, if it be deemed necessary for the complete served with settlement of all the questions involved, order that the husband, per- notice. sonal representative, trustee, or other successor in interest, if any, of such party be made a party, or be served with notice in such manner and form as hereinafter prescribed, and on such terms as the Court or judge shall think just, and shall make such order for the disposal of the cause or matter as may be just (w).

(w) On the bankruptcy of a sole plaintiff he cannot continue the action; if his Bankruptcy. trustee declines to proceed with it, the action may be stayed by a judge at Chambers; if there are two trustees, and one refuses to go on, the other may have an order to continue, making his co-trustees a defendant: see Jackson v. N. E. Ry. Co., 5 Ch. D. 844; Warder v. Saunders, 10 Q. B. D. 114; Rc Hopkins, 30 W. R. 601. Where the defendant in an action on a bill of exchange became bankrupt, the Court refused to allow the action to proceed against the trustee (Barter v. Dubcux, 7 Q. B.

As to the plaintiff's course when the sole defendant in a creditor's administration suit dies, pending an application for the appointment of a receiver, see Cash v. Parker, 12 Ch. D. 293.

3. In case of an assignment (x), creation, or devolution of any Continuation estate or title pendente lite, the cause or matter may be continued by or of proceedings. against the person to or upon whom such estate or title has come or devolved.

(x) See Seear v. Lawson, 16 Ch. D. 121. If a party to a foreclosure suit has Assignment assigned his interest after decree, the assignee may be made a party even after the pendente lite. order for foreclosure absolute (Campbell v. Holyland, 7 Ch. D. 166).

4. Where by reason of marriage, death, or bankruptcy, or any Order to other event occurring after the commencement of a cause or matter, continue. and causing a change or transmission of interest or liability (y), or by reason of any person interested coming into existence after the commencement of the cause or matter, it becomes necessary or desirable that any person not already a party should be made a party, or that any person already a party should be made a party in another capacity, an order that the proceedings shall be carried on between the continuing parties, and such new party or parties, may be obtained ex parte on application to the Court or a judge, upon an allegation of such change, or transmission of interest or liability, or of such person interested having come into existence (z).

(y) See Re Goold, W. N. (1884), 185.
(z) The order is obtained in Chambers, or by petition of course, or by motion of Order to course (Roffey v. Miller, 24 W. R. 109; W. N. (1875), 225; Darcy v. Whittaker, continue, how 33 L. T. 178; W. N. (1876), 17; Walker v. Blackmore, W. N. (1876), 112; cf. obtained. Crane v. Loftus, 24 W. R. 93; Seton, 1530).

An executor who obtains an order to continue, renders himself personally liable Costs. for the costs of the action (Boynton v. Boynton, 4 App. Cas. 733); and see Borneman v. Wilson, 28 Ch. D. 53; Watson v. Holliday, 20 Ch. D. 780; 31 W. R. 536, where trustees in hankruptcy of a defendant had to pay the costs.

As to the course on the appointment of a new trustee in a bankruptcy, see Pooloy's Trustee in Trustee v. Whetham, 28 Ch. D. 38.

bankruptcy.

Death of appellant. Counterclaim.

Birth of an infant pendente lite.

"Cause or matter."

Title of action.

Person attending proceedings.

Service of order to continue.

Application to discharge order.

Person under disability.

Order to proceed.

On the death of an appellant his executor may carry on the appeal under the common order of revivor (Ranson v. Patton, 17 Ch. D. 767).

An order of revivor of the original action obtained by the plaintiff against the representatives of a deceased defendant who had delivered a counterclaim does not authorize them to continue the counterclaim against the plaintiff; a separate order is necessary (Andrew v. Aitken, 21 Ch. D. 175).

After a great lapse of time the right to revive is not absolute, and the Court will exercise a discretion as to allowing it; see Curtis v. Sheffield, 20 Ch. D. 398; Fussell

v. Dowding, 27 Ch. D. 237.

Where proceedings have been taken in an action after it has become defective Where proceedings have been taken in an action after it has become defective by the birth of an infant who is a necessary party, the infant should he made a party by the common order to carry on proceedings between the continuing parties and the infant; and the order should go on to direct an inquiry whether any proceedings affecting the interest of the infant have been taken in the action since its birth, and, if so, whether it will be fit and proper and for the henefit of the infant that he should be bound thereby; and if so certified the infant to be bound accordingly. If the inquiry be answered in the negative, the plaintiff or person having the conduct can still proceed by supplemental action (*Peter v. Thomas-Peter*, 26 Ch. D. 181; and see Seton, 1527, Form 3).

A petition may of course be ordered to be carried on by an executor: see, e.g.,

A petition may of course be ordered to be carried on by an executor; see, e. g., Re Atkins, 1 Ch. D. 82; Re Dynevor Co., W. N. (1878), 199, decided under the rules

of 1875.

As to altering the title of the action where an order of revivor is obtained, see Miller v. Huddlestone, W. N. (1881), 171; Seear v. Lawson, 16 Ch. D. 121.

A person attending the proceedings under an administration judgment may obtain an order to revive (Burstall v. Fearon, 24 Ch. D. 126).

- 5. An order obtained as in the last preceding rule mentioned shall, unless the Court or judge shall otherwise direct, be served upon the continuing party or parties, or their solicitors, and also upon each such new party, unless the person making the application be himself the only new party, and the order shall from the time of such service, subject nevertheless to the next two following rules, be binding on the persons served therewith, and every person served therewith who is not already a party to the cause or matter shall be bound to enter an appearance thereto within the same time and in the same manner as if he had been served with a writ of summons.
- 6. Where any person who is under no disability or under no disability other than coverture, or being under any disability other than coverture, but having a guardian ad litem in the cause or matter shall be served with such order as in rule 4 mentioned, such person may apply to the Court or a judge to discharge or vary such order at any time within twelve days from the service thereof.
- 7. Where any person being under any disability other than coverture, and not having a guardian ad litem in the cause or matter, is served with any order as in rule 4 mentioned, such person may apply to the Court or a judge to discharge or vary such order at any time within twelve days from the appointment of a guardian ad litem for such party, and until such period of twelve days shall have expired such order shall have no force or effect as against such last-mentioned person.
- 8. When the plaintiff or defendant in a cause or matter dies, and the cause of action survives, but the person entitled to proceed fails to proceed, the defendant (or the person against whom the cause or matter may be continued) may apply by summons to compel the plaintiff (or the person entitled to proceed) to proceed within such time as may be ordered; and in default of such proceeding, judgment may be entered for the defendant, or, as the case may be, for the person

against whom the cause or matter might have been continued; and in Ord. XVII. such case, if the plaintiff has died, execution may issue as in the case provided for by Ord. XLII. r. 23.

9. Where any cause or matter becomes abated or in the case of any Entry of such change of interest as is by this order provided for, the solicitor abatement in cause-book. for the plaintiff or person having the conduct of the cause or matter, as the case may be, shall certify the fact to the proper officer, who shall cause an entry thereof to be made in the cause-book opposite to the name of such cause or matter (a).

- (a) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXI. r. 7.
- 10. Where any cause or matter shall have been standing for one Abated causes year in the cause-book marked as "abated," or standing over generally, out of causesuch cause or matter at the expiration of the year shall be struck out book. of the cause-book (b).

(b) This rule is almost identical with Cons. Ord. XXI. r. 8. Under special circumstances a cause has (by consent) been retained (Brooke v. Todd, 6 Jur. N. S. 664).

ORDER XVIII.

Joinder of Causes of Action.

1. Subject to the following rules of this order, the plaintiff may Joinder of unite in the same action several causes of action, but if it appear to the causes of Court or a judge that any such causes of action cannot be conveniently tried or disposed of together, the Court or judge may order separate trials of any of such causes of action to be had, or may make such other order as may be necessary or expedient for the separate disposal thereof (c).

(c) Cf. the provisions of Ord. XVI., ante, p. 333. As to alternative claims, see Alternative Bagot v. Easton, 7 Ch. D. 1; Child v. Stenning, 7 Ch. D. 413; 11 Ch. D. 82; Smith claims. v. Richardson, 4 C. P. D. 112. Where the cause of action against one defendant is totally disconnected with that against the other defendants except so far as it arises out of an incident in the same transaction there is a misjoinder (Burstall v. Beyfus, 26 Ch. D. 35).

2. No cause of action shall unless by leave of the Court or a judge What causes be joined with an action for the recovery of land except claims in or action may be joined with respect of mesne profits or arrears of rent or double value in respect action to of the premises claimed, or any part thereof, and damages for breach recover land. of any contract under which the same or any part thereof are held or for any wrong or injury to the premises claimed (d).

(d) Leave to join causes of action under this rule must be obtained before the Leave to be writ is issued (*Pilcher v. Hinds*, 11 Ch. D. 905; see, however, *Musgrave v. Stevens*, obtained W. N. (1881), 163); and the rule applies to a counter-claim (*Compton v. Preston*, before writ 21 Ch. D. 138).

issued. What is an action for the recovery of

A foreclosure action is an action for the recovery of land within the meaning of this rule (*Hoar* v. *Lee*, W. N. (1884), 241, not following *Tawell* v. *State Co.*, 3 Ch. D. 629; and see *Harlock* v. *Ashberry*, 19 Ch. D. 539; *Heath* v. *Pugh*, 7 App. Cas. 235; 6 Q. B. D. 345; *Wood* v. *Wheater*, 22 Ch. D. 281); but an action "to establish title to land," not claiming possession, is not (*Gledhill* v. *Hunter*, 14 Ch. D. 492, not following *Whetstone* v. *Dewis*, 1 Ch. D. 99).

Ord. XVIII.

Causes of
action joined
with action for action for recovery of land a claim for a
receiver (Allen v. Kennett, 24 W. R. 845); for administration of personal estate
(Kitching v. Kitching, 24 W. R. 901; W. N. (1876), 225; Whetstone v. Dewis, 1
Ch. D. 99; for delivery up and cancellation of a deed and further relief (Cook v.
mith action to
recover land.

Exchange, 2 Ch. D. 111); for the conveyance of property vested in the defendant
as trustee (Manisty v. Kenealy, 24 W. R. 918); for damages for trespass and assault
(Dennis v. Crompton, W. N. (1882), 121).

Claims for a

Claims for declaration of title, declaration that a lease was granted under a mistake, recovery of rents and profits, a receiver, and possession may be combined without leave; see Gledhill v. Hunter. See also Kendrick v. Roberts, 30 W. R. 365.

Claims by trustee in bankruptcy.

Claims by a trustee in bankruptcy as such shall not, unless by leave of the Court or a judge, be joined with any claim by him in any other capacity.

By or against husband and wife.

4. Claims by or against husband and wife may be joined with claims by or against either of them separately.

By or against executor or administrator.

- 5. Claims by or against an executor or administrator as such may be joined with claims by or against him personally, provided the lastmentioned claims are alleged to arise with reference to the estate in respect of which the plaintiff or defendant sues or is sued as executor or administrator (e).
- (e) See Padwick v. Scott, 2 Ch. D. 736. The rule does not apply to counterclaims (Macdonald v. Carington, 4 C. P. D. 28).

Joint and several claims.

6. Claims by plaintiffs jointly may be joined with claims by them or any of them separately against the same defendant.

Provise as to rr. 4, 5, 6.

7. The last three preceding rules shall be subject to Rules 1, 8 and 9 of this order.

Order to confine the action.

8. Any defendant alleging that the plaintiff has united in the same action several causes of action which cannot be conveniently disposed of together, may at any time apply to the Court or a judge for an order confining the action to such of the causes of action as may be conveniently disposed of together.

Exclusion of causes of action.

- 9. If, on the hearing of such application as in the last preceding rule mentioned, it shall appear to the Court or a judge that the causes of action are such as cannot all be conveniently disposed of together, the Court or judge may order any of such causes of action to be excluded, and consequential amendments to be made, and may make such order as to costs as may be just (f).
 - (f) See Smith v. Richardson, 4 C. P. D. 112.

ORDER XIX.

PLEADING GENERALLY.

Rules of pleading.

1. The following rules of pleading shall be used in the High Court of Justice (g).

Pleading.

(g) As to the definition of a "pleading," see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 100, ante,

Statement of claim, statement of

2. The plaintiff shall, subject to the provisions of Ord. XX., and at such time and in such manner as therein prescribed, deliver to the

defendant a statement of his claim, and of the relief or remedy to which he claims to be entitled. The defendant shall, subject to the defence and provisions of Ord. XXI., and at such time and in such manner as reply. therein prescribed, deliver to the plaintiff his defence, set-off, or counterclaim (if any), and the plaintiff shall, subject to the provisions of Ord. XXIII., and at such time and in such manner as therein prescribed, deliver his reply (if any) to such defence, set-off, or counterclaim (h). Such statements shall be as brief as the nature of the case will admit, and the taxing officer in adjusting the costs of the action shall at the instance of any party, or may without any request, inquire into any unnecessary prolixity, and order the costs occasioned by such prolixity to be borne by the party chargeable with the same (i).

(h) A plaintiff may reply by traverse or by confession and avoidance, or by both combined (Hall v. Eve, 4 Ch. D. 341).
(i) See Davy v. Garrett, 7 Ch. D. 473; and see Ord. LXV. r. 27 (20), post.

Prolixi

Prolixity.

3. A defendant in an action may set off or set up by way of counter. Set-off and claim against the claims of the plaintiff, any right or claim, whether counterclaim by defendant. such set-off or counterclaim sound in damages or not, and such set-off or counterclaim shall have the same effect as a cross action, so as to enable the Court to pronounce a final judgment in the same action, both on the original and on the cross claim. But the Court or a judge may, on the application of the plaintiff before trial, if in the opinion of the Court or judge such set-off or counterclaim cannot be conveniently disposed of in the pending action, or ought not to be allowed, refuse permission to the defendant to avail himself thereof (k).

(k) This rule is sufficiently wide to allow a counterclaim to include any case Set-off and raised by way of defence, whether it is or is not connected with, or of the same counterclaim. raised by way of defence, whether it is or is not connected with, or of the same character as, the plaintiff's claim, and whether it sound in damages or not; see Gray v. Webb, 21 Ch. D. 802; Beddall v. Maitland, 17 Ch. D. 174; see, however, Pellas v. Neptune Insurance Company, 5 C. P. D. 34; 28 W. R. 406; Macdonald v. Carington, 4 C. P. D. 28. Thus the defendant to an action for an account may counterclaim for damages for arrest under a writ of ne exeat (Lees v. Patterson, 7 Ch. D. 866); a claim to enforce a separation deed may be met by a counterclaim for a judicial separation (Besant v. Wood, 12 Ch. D. 605); an action for rent by a set-off for the price of goods supplied to the plaintiff, and a counterclaim for damages and specific performance of an agreement for a lease (Atmosd v. Miller). set-off for the price of goods supplied to the plainth, and a counterclaim for damages and specific performance of an agreement for a lease (Atwood v. Miller, W. N. (1876), 11); and see Hodson v. Mochi, 8 Ch. D. 569; Huggons v. Tweed, 10 Ch. D. 359). Nor is it essential to a counterclaim that it should show a claim to an amount equalling the plaintiff's claim (Mostyn v. West Mostyn Co., 1 C. P. D. 145); and where a defendant is sued by two plaintiffs jointly, he may counterclaim against them separately (Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Ry. v. Brooks, 2 Ex. D. 243). As to a set-off and counterclaim for damages against the assignee of a chose Pellas v. Neptune Insurance Co., 5 C. P. D. 34.

But a set-off or counterclaim can only be for matters for which an action would Must be for

But a set-off or counterclaim can only be for matters for which an action would lie: see Rawley v. Rawley, 1 Q. B. D. 460; Newell v. National Provincial Bank, 1 matters for C. P. D. 496; Birmingham Estates Co. v. Smith, 13 Ch. D. 506; Gathercole v. Smith, which an 17 Ch. D. 4. And though by Judicature Act, 1873, s. 24 (3), the defendant may action would have relief not only against the plaintiff but also against any other person whether a party to the action or not, still in this case the relief sought must (by the words of the section) "relate to or be connected with the original subject of the cause or matter" (Barber v. Blaiberg, 19 Ch. D. 475; Padwick v. Scott, 2 Ch. D. 736); and further, no counterclaim can be set up which does not seek relief against the plaintiff, either separately or jointly with some other person (Furness v. Booth, 4 Ch. D. 586; Harris v. Gamble, 6 Ch. D. 748; Warner v. Twining, 24 W. R. 536; Treleven v. Bray, 45 L. J. Ch. 113; 1 Ch. D. 176).

Ord. XIX.

Accordingly, if the defendant claims indemnity or contribution against a third party in which the plaintiff is not interested, and wishes to bring such third party into the action, he must adopt the course pointed out by Ord. XVI. rr. 48 et seq.,

into the action, he must adopt the course pointed out by Ord. XVI. rr. 48 et seq., ante, p. 347; but he cannot proceed by way of counterclaim.

It is no objection, however, that the third party added by the counterclaim could not have been a party to the plaintiff's original claim; see Turner v. Hednesford Gas Co., 3 Ex. D. 145. A defendant cannot counterclaim either against the plaintiff or a third party in the alternative (Central African Co. v. Grove, 48 L. J. Ex. 510); nor can a third party, brought in by a counterclaim, counterclaim against the defendant who brought him in (Street v. Gover, 2 Q. B. D. 498). Nor can a third party brought in under Ord. XVI. r. 48, counterclaim against the plaintiff (Eden v. Weardale Co., W. N. (1884), 232). But a plaintiff, in reply to a defendant's counterclaim, may counterclaim in respect of a cause of action arising at the same time and out of the same transaction as the defendant's counterclaim (Toke v. Andrews, 8 Q. B. D. 428).

A counterclaim is, in effect, a cross action, and therefore a plaintiff by discon-

Counterclaim is in effect a crossaction.

A counterclaim is, in effect, a cross action, and therefore a plaintiff by discontinuing his action after a counterclaim has been delivered, cannot put an end to it ac as to prevent the defendant from enforcing against him the causes of action contained in the counterclaim. See Ord. XXI. r. 16, post, p. 364; and see McGowan v. Middleton, 11 Q. B. D. 464; overruling Vavasseur v. Krupp, 15 Ch. D. 474, both decided under the Rules of 1875.

Disallowance of aet-off or counterclaim.

The Court in its discretion may disallow a set-off or counterclaim; see, for instances, Ord. XXI. r. 15, post, p. 364, and note thereto. In such case, the Court of Appeal will not interfere except under very special circumstances (Huggons v. Tweed, 10 Ch. D. 359).

Revivor.

An order to revive the original action against the representatives of a deceased defendant does not authorize them to prosecute a counterclaim (Andrew v. Aitken, 21 Ch. D. 175).

As to the difference between set-off and counterclaim, see Gathercole v. Smith, 7 Q. B. D. 626; Stooke v. Taylor, 5 Q. B. D. 569.

Where there is an agreement to refer the subject-matter of a counterclaim, the counterclaim will be stayed on the application of the plaintiff (Spartali v. Van Hoorn, W. N. (1884), 32).

Facts, not evidence, to be pleaded.

4. Every pleading shall contain, and contain only, a statement in a summary form of the material facts on which the party pleading relies for his claim or defence, as the case may be, but not the evidence by which they are to be proved, and shall, when necessary, be divided into paragraphs, numbered consecutively. Dates, sums, and numbers shall be expressed in figures and not in words. Signature of counsel shall not be necessary; but where pleadings have been settled by counsel or a special pleader they shall be signed by him; and if not so settled they shall be signed by the solicitor, or by the party if he sues or defends in person (l).

Pleadings to be signed.

> (l) In an action to recover land, the atatement of claim must show how the plaintiff's title is made out (Philipps v. Philipps, 4 Q. B. D. 127); and so in an action on the covenants in a lease, the plaintiff claiming as assign of the reversion must show how the reversion became vested in him (Davis v. James, 26 Ch. D. 778). In an action to restrain the obstruction of a right of way, the plaintiff must show whether he claims by prescription or grant, and with reasonable certainty the termini of the way, and its course (Harris v. Jenkins, 22 Ch. D. 481).

> The rule that evidence is not to be pleaded applies to admissions as well as to other evidence (Davy v. Garrett, 7 Ch. D. 473).

Forms of pleadings.

5. The forms in Appendices C., D. and E., when applicable, and where they are not applicable forms of the like character, as near as may be, shall be used for all pleadings, and where such forms are applicable and sufficient any longer forms shall be deemed prolix, and the costs occasioned by such prolixity shall be disallowed to or borne by the party so using the same, as the case may be (m).

(m) For these forms, see infra; and see as to their use, The Isis, 8 P. D. 227; 32 W. Ŕ. 171.

6. In all cases in which the party pleading relies on any mis- Ord. XIX. representation, fraud, breach of trust, wilful default, or undue influ-Particulars of ence, and in all other cases in which particulars may be necessary misrepresenbeyond such as are exemplified in the forms aforesaid, particulars be stated. (with dates and items if necessary) shall be stated in the pleading (n); provided that, if the particulars be of debt, expenses, or damages, and exceed three folios, the fact must be so stated, with a reference to full particulars already delivered or to be delivered with the pleading.

- (n) See Seligmann v. Young, W. N. (1884), 93. The plaintiffs sued their agents for an account, alleging fraud in general terms. The defendants denied the charges, and pleaded a settled account. The plaintiffs applied for production of documents. Cotton, L. J., held, affirming Bacon, V.-C., that the plaintiffs were not bound to give particulars of fraud under this rule before obtaining discovery. Fry, L. J., held, that the allegations of fraud not being sufficient to open a settled account, the allegations ought to be made sufficient before discovery was allowed (Whyte v. Ahrens, 26 Ch. D. 717).
- 7. A further and better statement of the nature of the claim or Further and defence, or further and better particulars of any matter stated in any better statepleading, notice, or written proceeding requiring particulars, may in all cases be ordered, upon such terms, as to costs and otherwise, as may be just (o).

- (o) See Seligmann v. Young, W. N. (1884), 93; Blackie v. Osmaston, ibid. 222.
- 8. The party at whose instance particulars have been delivered Time for under a judge's order shall, unless the order otherwise provides, have pleading after the same length of time for pleading after the delivery of the particulars that he had at the return of the summons. Save as in this rule provided, an order for particulars shall not, unless the order otherwise provides, operate as a stay of proceedings, or give any extension of time.

9. Every pleading which shall contain less than ten folios (p) (every Pleadings figure being counted as one word) may be either printed or written, when to be printed. or partly printed and partly written, and every other pleading, not being a petition or summons, shall be printed.

- (p) A folio is seventy-two words (Ord. LXV. r. 27 (14), infra).
- 10. Every pleading or other document required to be delivered to a Pleadings and party, or between parties, shall be delivered in the manner now in use documents how to be to the solicitor of every party who appears by a solicitor, or to the delivered. party if he does not appear by a solicitor, but if no appearance has been entered for any party, then such pleading or document shall be delivered by being filed with the proper officer (q).

(q) See as to this rule generally, Dymond v. Croft, 3 Ch. D. 512; a notice of motion may be filed (Dymond v. Croft; Morton v. Miller, 3 Ch. D. 516).

Filing is unnecessary when personal services has been effected (Whitaker v. Thurston, W. N. (1876), 232; Renshaw v. Renshaw, W. N. (1880), 7).

In the Chancery Division judgments, orders, notices of motion for attachment, and other documents requiring personal service, cannot be filed in default of appearance without an order or leave of a master, and no pleadings or documents can be filed under this rule unless an affidavit of service or an office copy thereof be first produced to the officer (C. O. Pr. Rules, post, p. 527).

Ord. XIX.

"Proper officer."

Where a defendant becomes bankrupt after notice of trial, and an order of revivor is made and served on the trustee, it is not necessary to file the pleadings if the trustee does not appear (Chorlton v. Dickie, 13 Ch. D. 160).

As to the meaning of "proper officer," see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, infra.

Pleadings to be delivered and marked. 11. Every pleading shall be delivered between parties, and shall be marked on the face with the date of the day on which it is delivered, the reference to the letter and number of the action, the division to which the judge (if any) to whom the action is assigned belongs, the title of the action, and the description of the pleading, and shall be indorsed with the name and place of business of the solicitor and agent, if any, delivering the same, or the name and address of the party delivering the same if he does not act by a solicitor.

Plea of not guilty.

12. Nothing in these rules contained shall affect the right of any defendant to plead not guilty by statute. And every defence of not guilty by statute shall have the same effect as a plea of not guilty by statute has heretofore had. But if the defendant so plead, he shall not plead any other defence to the same cause of action without the leave of the Court or a judge.

Allegations of fact must be specifically denied.

- 13. Every allegation of fact in any pleading, not being a petition or summons, if not denied specifically or by necessary implication, or stated to be not admitted in the pleading of the opposite party, shall be taken to be admitted, except as against an infant, lunatic, or person of unsound mind not so found by inquisition (r).
- (r) A defendant who by his statement of defence simply "puts the plaintiffs to proof of the several allegations in their statement of claim," thereby admits the facts alleged in the statement of claim (Harris v. Gamble, 7 Ch. D. 877). See generally as to allegations, admissions, and denials in pleadings, Green v. Sevin, 13 Ch. D. 589; Collette v. Goode, 7 Ch. D. 842; Tildesley v. Harper, 10 Ch. D. 393; Thorp v. Holdsworth, 3 Ch. D. 637.

As to the course when one of several defendants is an infant, see National and Provincial Bank v. Evans, 30 W. R. 177, and Ord. XVI. r. 21, ante, p. 339.

Conditions precedent.

- 14. Any condition precedent, the performance or occurrence of which is intended to be contested, shall be distinctly specified in his pleading by the plaintiff or defendant (as the case may be); and, subject thereto, an averment of the performance or occurrence of all conditions precedent necessary for the case of the plaintiff or defendant shall be implied in his pleading (s).
 - (s) See Whiting v. East London Waterworks Co., W. N. (1884), 10.

Mattere to be pleaded.

15. The defendant or plaintiff (as the case may be) must raise by his pleading all matters which show the action or counterclaim not to be maintainable, or that the transaction is either void or voidable in point of law, and all such grounds of defence or reply, as the case may be, as if not raised would be likely to take the opposite party by surprise, or would raise issues of fact not arising out of the preceding pleadings, as for instance, fraud, Statute of Limitations, release, payment, performance, facts showing illegality either by statute or common law, or Statute of Frauds.

16. No pleading, not being a petition or summons, shall, except by Ord. XIX. way of amendment, raise any new ground of claim or contain any Pleading new allegation of fact inconsistent with the previous pleadings of the party and inconpleading the same.

sistent claims.

17. It shall not be sufficient for a defendant in his statement of Denials to be defence to deny generally the grounds alleged by the statement of specific. claim, or for a plaintiff in his reply to deny generally the grounds alleged in a defence by way of counter-claim, but each party must deal specifically with each allegation of fact of which he does not admit the truth, except damages (t).

(t) See Thorp v. Holdsworth, 3 Ch. D. 637; Byrd v. Nunn, 5 Ch. D. 781; 7 Ch. Denial of D. 284; Harris v. Gamble, 7 Ch. D. 877; Tildesley v. Harper, 10 Ch. D. 393. allegations. Unless the allegations in the statement of claim are specifically denied the plaintiff may move for judgment as upon an admission of fact in the pleadings (Rutter v. Tregent, 12 Ch. D. 758).

This rule does not, it seems, apply to a reply to an ordinary defence unaccompanied by a counterclaim (Williamson v. L. & N. W. Ry., 12 Ch. D. 787); but where there is a defence and counterclaim the plaintiff in his reply must deny specifically all the allegations he does not wish to admit (Green v. Sevin, 13 Ch. D. 589: Benbow v. Lov. 13 Ch. D. 553). 589; Benbow v. Low, 13 Ch. D. 553).

18. Subject to the last preceding rule, the plaintiff by his reply may Joinder of join issue upon the defence, and each party in his pleading (if any) issue. subsequent to reply may join issue upon the previous pleading. Such joinder of issue shall operate as a denial of every material allegation of facts in the pleading upon which issue is joined, but it may except any facts which the party may be willing to admit, and shall then operate as a denial of the facts not so admitted (u).

- (u) See note to r. 17. See also as to reply, Hall v. Eve, 4 Ch. D. 341.
- 19. When a party in any pleading denies an allegation of fact in Evasive the previous pleading of the opposite party, he must not do so pleadings. evasively, but answer the point of substance. Thus, if it be alleged that he received a certain sum of money, it shall not be sufficient to deny that he received that particular amount, but he must deny that he received that sum or any part thereof, or else set out how much he received. And if an allegation is made with divers circumstances, it shall not be sufficient to deny it along with those circumstances (v).

- (v) This rule is founded on Cons. Ord. XV. r. 2. For cases see Thorp v. Holdsworth, 3 Ch. D. 637; Byrd v. Nunn, 5 Ch. D. 711; 7 Ch. D. 284; Tildesley v. Harper, 10 Ch. D. 393.
- 20. When a contract, promise, or agreement is alleged in any Pleading pleading, a bare denial of the same by the opposite party shall be illegality or insufficiency construed only as a denial in fact of the express contract, promise, or of contract. agreement alleged, or of the matters of fact from which the same may be implied by law, and not as a denial of the legality or sufficiency in law of such contract, promise, or agreement, whether with reference to the Statute of Frauds or otherwise (w).

(w) For form of defence setting up the Statute of Frauds, see App. D., sect. 4, infra. See Clark v. Callow, W. N. (1876), 262; 46 L. J. Q. B. 53; Byrd v. Nunn, 7 Ch. D. 284.

Ord. XIX.

Pleading effect of documents.

21. Wherever the contents of any document are material, it shall be sufficient in any pleading to state the effect thereof as briefly as possible, without setting out the whole or any part thereof unless the precise words of the document or any part thereof are material.

Pleading malice, &c.

22. Wherever it is material to allege malice, fraudulent intention, knowledge, or other condition of the mind of any person, it shall be sufficient to allege the same as a fact without setting out the circumstances from which the same is to be inferred (x).

Fraud.

(x) As to alleging fraud see Davy v. Garrett, 7 Ch. D. p. 489; Wallingford v. Mutual Society, 5 App. Cas. 685.

Pleading notice.

23. Wherever it is material to allege notice to any person of any fact, matter, or thing, it shall be sufficient to allege such notice as a fact, unless the form or the precise terms of such notice, or the circumstances from which such notice is to be inferred, be material.

Pleading letters, conversations, &c.

24. Whenever any contract or any relation between any persons is to be implied from a series of letters or conversations, or otherwise from a number of circumstances, it shall be sufficient to allege such contract or relation as a fact, and to refer generally to such letters, conversations, or circumstances without setting them out in detail. And if in such case the person so pleading desires to rely in the alternative upon more contracts or relations than one as to be implied from such circumstances, he may state the same in the alternative.

Presumptions of law.

25. Neither party need in any pleading allege any matter of fact which the law presumes in his favour or as to which the burden of proof lies upon the other side, unless the same has first been specifically denied: (e.g., consideration for a bill of exchange, where the plaintiff sues only on the bill, and not for the consideration as a substantive ground of claim.)

Want of form.

26. No technical objection shall be raised to any pleading on the ground of any alleged want of form.

Striking out unnecessarv or scandalous matter.

27. The Court or a judge may at any stage of the proceedings order to be struck out or amended any matter in any indorsement or pleading which may be unnecessary or scandalous or which may tend to prejudice, embarrass, or delay the fair trial of the action (y); and may in any such case, if they or he shall think fit, order the costs of the application to be paid as between solicitor and client (z).

Striking out unnecessary or improper matter.

(y) This rule is substantially the same as Ord. XXVII. r. 1, R. S. C. 1875. power thus given to the Court has been freely exercised; see for instances Cashin power thus given to the Court has been freely exercised; see for instances Cashin v. Cradock, 3 Ch. D. 376; Blake v. Albion Assurance Society, 45 L. J. C. P. 663; Coyle v. Cumming, 27 W. R. 529 (scandal and irrelevancy); Williamson v. L. & N. W. Ry. Co., 12 Ch. D. 787; Heugh v. Chamberlain, 25 W. R. 742; Davy v. Garrett, 7 Ch. D. 473; Smith v. British-Marine Insurance Association, W. N. (1883), 232 (embarrassing pleadings). The striking out of pleadings is in the discretion of the judge, and the Court of Appeal will only interfere in extreme cases (Davy v. Garrett; Golding v. Wharton Salt Works, 1 Q. B. D. 374; Watson v. Roduell, 3 Ch. D. 380). Nothing relevant to the issue (however objectionable in itself) can be scandalous (Christie v. Christie, 8 Ch. 499; 21 W. R. 493; Rubery v. Grant, 13 Eq. 443; 26 L. T. 538; Fisher v. Owen, 8 Ch. D. 645).

Improper pleadings should be struck out, rather than be left to be dealt with as a

Nothing relevant is scandalous.

Improper pleadings should be struck out, rather than be left to be dealt with as a question of costs (Watson v. Rodwell).

Affidavits.

As to scandalous matter in affidavits, see Ord. XXXVIII. r. 11, post.

Applications to strike out should be made by summons (Marriott v. Marriott, 26 W. R. 416; W. N. (1878), 57). Ord. XIX.

(z) A party who introduces scandalous matter will generally be ordered to pay Application the whole costs thereby occasioned as between solicitor and client, including the to strike out. costs of an appeal (Christie v. Christie; Forester v. Read, 6 Ch. 40; 19 W. R. 114; Costs. Rubery v. Grant); and see Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 36, seq.

[Rule 28 applies only to actions for damage by collision between vessels, and provides for the filing of a document to be called a Preliminary Act.]

ORDER XX.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM.

- 1. The delivery of statements of claim shall be regulated as Statement of follows :-
 - (a.) Where the writ is specially indorsed under Ord. III. r. 6, no further statement of claim shall be delivered, but the indorsement on the writ shall be deemed to be the statement of claim(a):
 - (a) As to a further and better statement, see Ord. XIX. r. 7, ante, p. 357.
 - (b.) Subject to the provisions of Ord. XIII. r. 12, as to filing a statement of claim when there is no appearance, no statement of claim need be delivered unless the defendant at the time of entering appearance, or within eight days thereafter, gives notice in writing to the plaintiff or his solicitor that he requires a statement of claim to be delivered (b).
- (b) In no case where the writ is specially indorsed can the defendant require a statement of claim (G. v. H., W. N. (1883), 233).
 - (c.) If no statement of claim has been delivered and the defendant gives notice requiring the delivery of a statement of claim, the plaintiff shall, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a judge, deliver it within five weeks from the time of the plaintiff receiving such notice:
 - (d.) The plaintiff may (except as in (a.) mentioned) deliver a statement of claim, either with the writ of summons or notice in lieu of writ of summons, or at any time afterwards either before or after appearance, notwithstanding that the defendant may have appeared and not required the delivery of a statement of claim: Provided that in no case where a defendant has appeared shall a statement be delivered more than six weeks after the appearance has been entered unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a judge:
 - (e.) Where the plaintiff delivers a statement of claim without being required to do so, or the defendant unnecessarily requires such statement, the Court or a judge may make such order as to the costs occasioned thereby as shall be just, if it appears that the delivery of a statement of claim was unnecessary or improper.

Ord. XX.

[Rule 2 applies only to Probate actions.]

[Rule 3 applies only to Admiralty actions in rem.]

Alteration of indorsement on writ.

4. Whenever a statement of claim is delivered the plaintiff may therein alter, modify, or extend his claim without any amendment of the indorsement of the writ.

Place of trial.

5. The statement of claim must in all cases in which it is proposed that the trial should be elsewhere than in Middlesex, show the proposed place of trial.

Relief to be specifically claimed.

6. Every statement of claim shall state specifically the relief which the plaintiff claims, either simply or in the alternative, and it shall not be necessary to ask for general or other relief, which may always be given, as the Court or a judge may think just, to the same extent as if it had been asked for (c). And the same rule shall apply to any counterclaim made, or relief claimed by the defendant, in his defence.

Prayer for

Prayer for (c) As to what may be asked for under a prayer for general relief, see Cargill v. gsneral relief. Bower, 10 Ch. D. 508; Jervis v. Berridge, 8 Ch. 357.

Distinct causes of complaint.

7. Where the plaintiff seeks relief in respect of several distinct claims or causes of complaint founded upon separate and distinct grounds, they shall be stated, as far as may be, separately and distinctly. And the same rule shall apply where the defendant relies upon several distinct grounds of defence, set-off, or counterclaim founded upon separate and distinct facts.

Stated or settled account.

8. In every case in which the cause of action is a stated or settled account, the same shall be alleged with particulars, but in every case in which a statement of account is relied on by way of evidence or admission of any other cause of action which is pleaded, the same shall not be alleged in the pleadings.

[Rule 9 applies only to Probate actions.]

ORDER XXI.

DEFENCE AND COUNTERCLAIM.

Actions for debt or liquidated demand.

- 1. In actions for a debt or liquidated demand in money comprised in Ord. III. r. 6, a mere denial of the debt shall be inadmissible (d).
 - (d) See Copley v. Jackson, W. N. (1884), 39.

Bills of exchange, &o.

2. In actions upon bills of exchange, promissory notes, or cheques, a defence in denial must deny some matter of fact: e.g., the drawing, making, endorsing, accepting, presenting, or notice of dishonour of the bill or note.

Denial of matters of fact.

3. In actions comprised in Ord. III. r. 6, classes (A.) and (B.), a defence in denial must deny such matters of fact, from which the liability of the defendant is alleged to arise, as are disputed; e.g., in actions for goods bargained and sold or sold and delivered, the defence

must deny the order or contract, the delivery, or the amount claimed; in an action for money had and received, it must deny the receipt of the money, or the existence of those facts which are alleged to make such receipt by the defendant a receipt to the use of the plaintiff.

4. No denial or defence shall be necessary as to damages claimed or Damages. their amount; but they shall be deemed to be put in issue in all cases, unless expressly admitted.

5. If either party wishes to deny the right of any other party to Denial of claim as executor, or as trustee whether in bankruptcy or otherwise, character, &c. or in any representative or other alleged capacity, or the alleged constitution of any partnership firm, he shall deny the same specifically.

6. Where a statement of claim is delivered to a defendant he shall Delivery of deliver his defence within ten days from the delivery of the statement where stateof claim, or from the time limited for appearance, whichever shall be ment of last, unless such time is extended by the Court or a judge.

7. A defendant who has appeared in an action, and who has neither Where no received nor required the delivery of a statement of claim, must deliver claim: his defence (if any) at any time within ten days after his appearance, unless such time is extended by the Court or a judge (e).

- (e) See note (g) to next rule.
- 8. Where leave has been given to a defendant to defend under Under Ord. Ord. XIV., he shall deliver his defence (if any) within such time as XIV. shall be limited by the order giving him leave to defend, or if no time is thereby limited then within eight days (f) after the order (g).

- (f) See Egerton v. Anderson, W. N. (1884), 95.
 (g) The time for delivering a statement of defence does not run between the time of the taking out and the hearing of a summons under Ord. XIV. (Hobson v. Monks, W. N. (1884), 8).
- 9. Where the Court or a judge shall be of opinion that any allega- Costs occations of fact denied or not admitted by the defence ought to have been improper admitted, the Court or judge may make such order as shall be just denial of with respect to any extra costs occasioned by their having been denied facts. or not admitted (h).

- (h) As to notice to admit facts, see Ord. XXXII. r. 4, post, p. 395. See also Ord. XIX. r. 13, ante, p. 358.
- 10. Where any defendant seeks to rely upon any grounds as sup- Counterporting a right of counterclaim, he shall, in his statement of defence, claim. state specifically that he does so by way of counterclaim (i).
- (i) The defendant must state specifically in his counterclaim the facts upon which Counter-he relies for relief (Crowe v. Barnicot, 6 Ch. D. 756; Holloway v. York, 25 W. R. claim must 627; and see Hillman v. Mayhew, 24 W. R. 485). But a counterclaim may refer to state facts. statements of fact in the pleadings on which the defendant relies without setting them out in extense (Birmingham Estates Co. v. Smith, 13 Ch. D. 506).
- 11. Where a defendant by his defence sets up any counterclaim Further title which raises questions between himself and the plaintiff along with of defence and counterany other persons, he shall add to the title of his defence a further claim.

Ord. XXI.

title similar to the title in a statement of claim setting forth the names of all the persons who, if such counterclaim were to be enforced by cross action, would be defendants to such cross action, and shall deliver his statement of defence to such of them as are parties to the action within the period within which he is required to deliver it to the plaintiff (k).

(k) See Ord. XIX. r. 3, and note thereto, ante, p. 355.

Service on third party.

- 12. Where any such person as in the last preceding rule mentioned is not a party to the action, he shall be summoned to appear by being served with a copy of the defence, and such service shall be regulated by the same rules as are hereinbefore contained with respect to the service of a writ of summons, and every defence so served shall be indorsed in the Form No. 2 in Appendix B. or to the like effect (I).
- (1) Until such service no person has a right to be treated as a defendant or to enter an appearance (Fraser v. Cooper Hall & Co., 23 Ch. D. 685).

 As to service of writs of summons, see Ord. IX., ante, p. 316.

 For this form, see infra.

Appearance.

- 13. Any person not a defendant (m) to the action, who is served with a defence and counterclaim as aforesaid, must appear thereto as if he had been served with a writ of summons to appear in an action.
 - (m) Query, should this be "party?"

Reply to counterclaim.

- 14. Any person named in a defence as a party to a counterclaim thereby made may deliver a reply within the time within which he might deliver a defence if it were a statement of claim (n).
 - (n) The time is ten days; see rule 6, ante, p. 363.

Exclusion of counterclaim.

- 15. Where a defendant sets up a counterclaim, if the plaintiff or any other person named in manner aforesaid as party to such counterclaim contends that the claim thereby raised ought not to be disposed of by way of counterclaim, but in an independent action, he may at any time before reply apply to the Court or a judge for an order that such counterclaim may be excluded, and the Court or a judge may, on the hearing of such application, make such order as shall be just (o).
- (o) For instances of counterclaims being excluded, see Naylor v. Farrer, W. N. (1878), 187; 26 W. R. 809; Padwick v. Scott, 2 Ch. D. 736; Young v. Kitchin, 3 Ex. D. 127; Harris v. Gamble, 6 Ch. D. 748. In Huggons v. Tweed, 10 Ch. D. 359; Hodson v. Mochi, 8 Ch. D. 569; and Dear v. Sworder, 4 Ch. D. 476, the application to exclude failed.

The application is usually made by motion, but may be made by summons: see Naylor ∇ . Farrer; Huggons ∇ . Tweed.

Counterclaim not stayed by dismissal, &c., of action. Defendant may have

- 16. If, in any case in which the defendant sets up a counterclaim, the action of the plaintiff is stayed, discontinued, or dismissed, the counterclaim may nevertheless be proceeded with.
- 17. Where in any action a set-off or counterclaim is established as a defence against the plaintiff's claim, the Court or a judge may, if the

balance is in favour of the defendant, give judgment for the defendant for such balance, or may otherwise adjudge to the defendant such relief as he may be entitled to upon the merits of the case (p).

Ord. XXI. judgment for balance found

due to him.

(p) The "balance" referred to in this rule is the balance which results upon the hearing of the action (Rolfe v. Maclaren, 3 Ch. D. 106). As to the costs, where claim and counterclaim are both successful, see Ward v. Morse, 23 Ch. D. 377.

[Rule 18 applies only to Probate actions.]

- 19. In every case in which a party shall plead the general issue, Pleading the intending to give the special matter in evidence by virtue of an Act of general issue. Parliament, he shall insert in the margin of his pleading the words "by statute," together with the year of the reign in which the Act of Parliament on which he relies was passed, and also the chapter and section of such Act, and shall specify whether such Act is public or otherwise; otherwise such defence shall be taken not to have been pleaded by virtue of any Act of Parliament.
 - 20. No plea or defence shall be pleaded in abatement.
- 21. No defendant in an action for the recovery of land (q) who is in possession by himself or his tenant need plead his title, unless his recover land. defence depends on an equitable estate or right or he claims relief upon any equitable ground against any right or title asserted by the plaintiff. But, except in the cases hereinbefore mentioned, it shall be sufficient to state by way of defence that he is so in possession, and it shall be taken to be implied in such statement that he denies, or does not admit, the allegations of fact contained in the plaintiff's statement of claim. He may nevertheless rely upon any ground of defence which he can prove except as hereinbefore mentioned.

Pleas in abatement. Action to

(q) As to what is an action for the recovery of land, see Ord. XVIII. r. 2, and Action for notes thereto, ante, p. 353. This rule adopts the decision in Danford v. McAnulty, recovery of 8 App. Cas. 456, decided under R. S. C. 1875.

Where the defendant relies on an equitable title, he must set out the material facts on which he relies (Sutcliffe v. James, 27 W. R. 750).

ORDER XXII.

PAYMENT INTO AND OUT OF COURT AND TENDER.

1. Where any action is brought to recover a debt or damages (r), Payment into any defendant may, before or at the time of delivering his defence, or Court in at any later time by leave of the Court or a judge, pay into Court a debt or sum of money by way of satisfaction, which shall be taken to admit the damages. claim or cause of action in respect of which the payment is made; or he may, with a defence denying liability (except in actions or counterclaims for libel or slander) pay money into Court which shall be subject to the provisions of rule 6: provided that in an action on a bond under the statute 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 11 (s), payment into Court shall be admissible to particular breaches only, and not to the whole action (ss).

(r) The rule only applies to actions for debt or damages strictly so called; it does "Debt or not apply to an action for an account (Nichols v. Evens, 22 Ch. D. 611). damages." c. 11.

Ord. XXII. 8 & 9 Will. 3,

(s) As to this statute, see Preston v. Dania, L. R. 8 Ex. 19; and see also Ord. XIII. r. 14, ante, p. 330. (88) As to payment into Court without admitting liability, see Wheeler v. United

Telephone Co., 13 Q. B. D. 597.

Payment in to be signified in defence.

2. Payment into Court shall be signified in the defence, and the claim or cause of action in satisfaction of which such payment is made shall be specified therein.

Tender.

3. With a defence setting up a tender before action, the sum of money alleged to have been tendered must be brought into Court.

Payment into Court before defence.

- 4. If the defendant pays money into Court before delivering his defence, he shall serve upon the plaintiff a notice specifying both the fact that he has paid in such money, and also the claim or cause of action in respect of which such payment has been made. Such notice shall be in the Form No. 3 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (t).
 - (t) For this form, see infra.

Payment out of money paid in.

- 5. In the following cases of payment into Court under this order,
- (a.) When payment into Court is made before delivery of defence:
- (b.) When the liability of the defendant, in respect of the claim or cause of action in satisfaction of which the payment into Court is made, is not denied in the defence:
- (c.) When payment into Court is made with a defence setting up a tender of the sum paid:

the money paid into Court shall be paid out to the plaintiff on his request, or to his solicitor on the plaintiff's written authority, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order.

Payment into Court with denial of liability.

- 6. When the liability of the defendant, in respect of the claim or cause of action in satisfaction of which the payment into Court has been made, is denied in the defence (u), the following rules shall apply:-
 - (a.) The plaintiff may accept, in satisfaction of the claim or cause of action in respect of which the payment into Court has been made, the sum so paid in, in which case he shall be entitled to have the money paid out to him as hereinafter provided, notwithstanding the defendant's denial of liability, whereupon all further proceedings, in respect of such claim or cause of action, except as to costs shall be stayed; or the plaintiff may refuse to accept the money in satisfaction and reply accordingly, in which case the money shall remain in Court subject to the provisions hereinafter mentioned:
 - (b.) If the plaintiff accepts the money so paid in, he shall, after service of such notice in the Form No. 4 in Appendix B. as is in rule 7 mentioned, or after delivery of a reply accepting the money, be entitled to have the money paid out to himself on request, or to his solicitor on the plaintiff's written authority, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order:

- (c.) If the plaintiff does not accept, in satisfaction of the claim or Ord. XXII. cause of action in respect of which the payment into Court has been made, the sum so paid in, but proceeds with the action in respect of such claim or cause of action, or any part thereof, the money shall remain in Court and be subject to the order of the Court or a judge, and shall not be paid out of Court except in pursuance of an order. If the plaintiff proceeds with the action in respect of such claim or cause of action, or any part thereof, and recovers less than the amount paid into Court, the amount paid in shall be applied, so far as is necessary, in satisfaction of the plaintiff's claim, and the balance (if any) shall, under such order, be repaid to the defendant. If the defendant succeeds in respect of such claim or cause of action, the whole amount shall, under such order, be repaid to him.
- (u) See Crosland v. Routledge, W. N. (1883), 228, cited in note to next rule.
- 7. The plaintiff, when payment into Court is made before delivery Acceptance of defence, may within four days after the receipt of notice of such by plaintiff in satisfacpayment, or when such payment is first signified in a defence, may tion. before reply, accept in satisfaction of the claim or cause of action in respect of which such payment has been made the sum so paid in, in which case he shall give notice to the defendant in the Form No. 4 in Appendix B., and shall be at liberty, in case the entire claim or cause of action is thereby satisfied, to tax his costs after the expiration of Costs. four days from the service of such notice, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order, and in case of non-payment of the costs within forty-eight hours after such taxation, to sign judgment for his costs so taxed(v).

(v) This rule is substantially identical with Ord. XXX. r. 4, R. S. C. 1875, under Costs. which it was decided that if the plaintiff did not accept the sum paid in, but went on with his action and failed to recover more than that amount, he was entitled to on with his action and failed to recover more than that amount, he was entitled to the costs up to the time of payment in, and the defendant was entitled to the subsequent costs; see Buckton v. Higgs, 4 Ex. D. 174; 27 W. R. 803; Gretton v. Mees, 7 Ch. D. 839; 26 W. R. 607; see, however, Langridge v. Campbell, 2 Ex. D. 281; 25 W. R. 351. See also, as to costs under this rule, Greaves v. Fleming, 4 Q. B. D. 226; 27 W. R. 458; Broadhurst v. Willey, W. N. (1876), 21. In Nichols v. Evens, 22 Ch. D. 611, it was held that the rule did not apply to an action for an account, and that even if the relief account, in string account, and that even if the relief account, in the relief account. and that even if the plaintiff accepted, in satisfaction of his whole cause of action, the sum paid in, the Court still had a discretion as to the costs.

Where money is paid into Court with a denial of liability, and the plaintiff accepts the sum paid in in satisfaction of his claim, he cannot proceed after four days to tax his costs under rule 7 (*Crosland* v. *Routledge*, W. N. (1883), 228). But see M'Ilwraith v. Green, 13 Q. B. D. 897.

For this form, see infra.

8. Where money is paid into Court in two or more actions which Consolidated are consolidated, and the plaintiff proceeds to trial in one, and fails, the money paid in and the costs in all the actions shall be dealt with under this order in the same manner as in the action tried (w).

- (w) As to consolidation of actions, see Ord. XLIX. r. 8.
- 9. A plaintiff may, in answer to a counterclaim, pay money into Payment into Court in

Ord. XXII.

answer to counterclaim. Court, in satisfaction thereof, subject to the like conditions as to costs and otherwise as upon payment into Court by a defendant.

[Rule 10 applies only to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Payment into Court under an order. 11. Money paid into Court under an order of the Court or a judge or certificate of a master or associate shall not be paid out of Court except in pursuance of an order of the Court or a judge: Provided that, where before the delivery of defence money has been paid into Court by the defendant pursuant to an order under the provisions of Ord. XIV., he may (unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order) by his pleading appropriate the whole or any part of such money, and any additional payment if necessary, to the whole or any specified portion of the plaintiff's claim; and the money so appropriated shall thereupon be deemed to be money paid into Court pursuant to the preceding rules of this order relating to money paid into Court, and shall be subject in all respects thereto.

Chancery Division.

- 12. In the Chancery Division, the manner of payment into and out of Court, and the manner in which money in Court shall be dealt with, shall be subject to the rules for the time being in force under the Court of Chancery Funds Act, 1872(x).
- (x) For the present mode of dealing with funds in Court, which differs in many important respects from that formerly in use, see the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, ante, p. 215 et seq.

Rules relating to funds in Court.

13. [The first part of this rule relates only to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Provided that if any Act shall be passed relating to funds in Court in any division of the Supreme Court, all money so paid into Court shall be subject to such rules as may be made under that Act, so far as applicable thereto.

[Rules 14, 15 and 16 apply only to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Investment of funds in Court.

- 17. Cash under the control of or subject to the order of the Court may be invested in Bank Stock, East India Stock, Exchequer Bills, and 2l. 10s. per cent. annuities, and upon mortgage of freehold and copyhold estates respectively in England and Wales, as well as in Consolidated, Reduced, and New 3l. per cent. annuities (y).
 - (y) See note to next rule.

Application to vary investment.

- 18. Every application for the purpose of the conversion of any stocks, funds, or securities into any other stocks, funds, or securities authorized by the last preceding rule, shall be served upon the trustees thereof, if any, and upon such other persons, if any, as the Court or judge shall think fit (z).
- (z) This and the preceding rule are adapted with certain alterations from rules 1 and 2 of the General Order of February 1st, 1861, issued under the provisions of 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 10; see this section ante, p. 104.

 In the old rule the words were, "Cash under the control of the Court" (omitting

Cash under the control or subject to the In the old rule the words were, "Cash under the control of the Court" (omitting the words "or subject to the order"); it was held that this meant oach standing in Court in any cause or matter, and included money paid in under the Lands

securities in which it was to be invested.
"East India Stock" includes New £3½ per cent. East India Stock (Ex parte "East India Stock")

St. John's College).

Cash under the control of the Court is usually invested in consols (Darwin v. Change of Darwin, 17 Jur. 781). The Court will refuse an application for conversion from investment bank annuities into some other of the securities mentioned in the rule if either the when allowed. tenant for life or remaindermen would suffer unduly by the change (Cockburn v. Peel, 3 De G. F. & J. 170; 7 Jur. N. S. 810). And it seems that when there are no special circumstances in the case, such as exigencies in the family which make it no special circumstances in the case, such as exigencies in the family which make it desirable for the children entitled in remainder that their parents' income should be increased, the application will be refused; see Re Langford, 2 J. & H 458; Re Boyce, 15 W. R. 827. Where there are such special circumstances the Court exercises a liberal discretion (Peillow v. Brookings, 4 L. T. 731, where the tenant for life had a wife and five children and an income not exceeding 70l.); and see Equitable Reversionary Interest Society v. Fuller, 1 J. & H. 379; Re Price, W. N. (1872), 159; Bishop v. Bishop, 9 W. R. 649; and compare Cohen v. Waley, 9 W. R. 137. In Mortimer v. Picton, 10 Jur. N. S. 83; 12 W. R. 292, it was held that where the primary object of the trusts on which funds are held (in that case the payment of 500l. in lieu of jointure, to the plaintiff) would otherwise be defeated, payment of 500L, in lieu of jointure, to the plaintiff would otherwise be defeated, the Court will authorize a change of investments; and see Fluid v. Fluid, 7 L. T. 590.

Where a married woman had a life interest in the fund, and was also entitled absolutely in the event of her having no children, there being little probability of her having children, V.-C. Kindersley allowed an investment in East India Stock (Vidler v. Parrott, 12 W. R. 976; Montefore v. Guedalla, W. N. (1868), 87). And where an applicant was very poor, the usual provision against receiving three dividends in the year by reason of the change was omitted (Re Ingram, 11 W. R.

In Ungless v. Tuff, 9 W. R. 729, the Court made no special declaration in the Liberty to decree, but sanctioned generally investment in real securities, and gave liberty to apply in apply in chambers. A direction as to investments may be inserted in the decree chambers. itself (Lucas v. Rudd, 16 W. R. 325; W. N. (1868), 24).

The costs of an application to vary an investment are generally payable out of Costs of income (Equitable Reversionary Interest Society v. Fuller, 1 J. & H. 379); secus, where a petition would in any case have been necessary (Re Langford, 2 J. & H. 458).

An application for investment of cash under the control of the Court may be made by the tenant for life without service on the trustees (Re Adams, W. N. (1868), 58; for investment.

17 L. T. 641).

Stock."

[Rules 19, 20 and 21 apply only to Admiralty actions.]

ORDER XXIII.

REPLY AND SUBSEQUENT PLEADINGS.

1. A plaintiff shall deliver his reply, if any, in Admiralty actions Time for within six days, and in other actions within twenty-one days, after the delivery of reply. defence or the last of the defences shall have been delivered, unless the time shall be extended by the Court or a judge (a).

- (a) Where the plaintiff is out of time the Court will generally give him time to take the next step on payment of costs (Eaton v. Slorer, 22 Ch. D. 91, where the time to reply had already been extended twice).
- 2. No pleading subsequent to reply other than a joinder of issue Pleadings shall be pleaded without leave of the Court or a judge, and then shall subsequent to be pleaded only upon such terms as the Court or judge shall think fit(b).

(b) The pleadings subsequent to reply would appear to be rejoinder, surrejoinder, rebutter, and surrebutter (Dan. 374, n. (b)).

Ord. XXIII.

Time for delivery of subsequent pleadings. Reply to counter-

- 3. Subject to the last preceding rule, every pleading subsequent to reply shall be delivered within four days after the delivery of the previous pleading, unless the time shall be extended by the Court or a judge.
- 4. Where a counterclaim is pleaded, a reply thereto shall be subject to the rules applicable to statements of defence (c).
- (e) For the rules relating to statements of defence, see Ord. XXI., ante, p. 362. And see Ord. XXVII. r. 13, and note thereto, post, p. 376.

Close of pleadings.

claim.

5. As soon as any party has joined issue upon the preceding pleading of the opposite party simply without adding any further or other pleading thereto, or has made default as mentioned in Ord. XXVII. r. 13, the pleadings as between such parties shall be deemed to be closed.

New assignment. 6. No new assignment shall be necessary or used. But everything which was formerly alleged by way of new assignment may hereafter be introduced by amendment of the statement of claim, or by way of reply.

ORDER XXIV.

MATTERS ARISING PENDING THE ACTION.

Ground of defence arising after action brought.

- 1. Any ground of defence which has arisen after action brought, but before the defendant has delivered his statement of defence, and before the time limited for his doing so has expired, may be raised by the defendant in his statement of defence, either alone or together with other grounds of defence (d). And if, after a statement of defence has been delivered, any ground of defence arises to any set-off or counterclaim alleged therein by the defendant, it may be raised by the plaintiff in his reply, either alone or together with any other ground of reply (e).
- (d) A plaintiff in his reply to a counterclaim may himself counterclaim in respect of a cause of action accrued after the issue of the writ, but arising at the same time and out of the same transaction as the defendant's counterclaim (Toke v. Andrews, 8 Q. B. D. 428).

(e) Relief can be given on a counterclaim in respect of a cause of action accrued to the defendant subsequently to the issue of the writ in the original action (Beddall v. Maitland, 17 Ch. D. 174; but see Original Hartlepool Collieries Co. v. Gibb, 5 Ch. D. 713). See alse Wood v. Goodwin, W. N. (1884), 17.

Ground of defence arising after delivery of defence. 2. Where any ground of defence arises after the defendant has delivered a statement of defence, or after the time limited for his doing so has expired, the defendant may, and where any ground of defence to any set-off or counterclaim arises after reply, or after the time limited for delivering a reply has expired, the plaintiff may, within eight days after such ground of defence has arisen, or at any subsequent time by leave of the Court or a judge, deliver a further defence or further reply as the case may be, setting forth the same.

Confession of defence arisen after action brought. 3. Whenever any defendant, in his statement of defence, or in any further statement of defence as in the last rule mentioned, alleges any ground of defence which has arisen after the commencement of the action, the plaintiff may deliver a confession of such defence (which Ord. XXIV. confession may be in the Form No. 5 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require), and may thereupon sign judgment for his costs up to the time of the pleading of such defence, unless the Costs. Court or a judge shall, either before or after the delivery of such confession, otherwise order (f).

(f) The plea of an adjudication in bankruptcy four months after service of the writ is a "ground of defence which has arisen after the commencement of the action" (Champion v. Formby, 7 Ch. D. 373). See further as to this rule, Foster v. Gangee, 1 Q. B. D. 666; Callander v. Hawkins, 2 C. P. D. 592. For this form, see

ORDER XXV.

PROCEEDINGS IN LIEU OF DEMURRER.

1. No demurrer shall be allowed (g).

Demurrers · abolished.

- (g) As to this order, see Burstall v. Beyfus, 26 Ch. D. 35.
- 2. Any party shall be entitled to raise by his pleading any point of Points of law law (h), and any point so raised shall be disposed of by the judge who to be raised by pleading. tries the cause at or after the trial, provided that by consent of the parties, or by order of the Court or a judge on the application of either party, the same may be set down for hearing and disposed of at any time before the trial (i).

- (h) See note to rule 4.
 (i) Where the determination of the point of law will decide the whole action, the Question of action goes into the general list; but if the point is only a preliminary one, the law. decision of which will not dispose of the action, an application should be made to the Court as to setting it down (Re Thorniley, W. N. (1894), 83; 32 W. R. 539).

3. If, in the opinion of the Court or a judge, the decision of such Decision of point of law substantially disposes of the whole action, or of any point of law. distinct cause of action, ground of defence, set-off, counterclaim, or reply therein, the Court or judge may thereupon dismiss the action or make such other order therein as may be just (j).

- (j) See O'Brien v. Tyssen, W. N. (1885), 2.
- 4. The Court or a judge may order any pleading to be struck out, Frivolous on the ground that it discloses no reasonable cause of action or answer, action or defence. and in any such case or in case of the action or defence being shown by the pleadings to be frivolous or vexatious, the Court or a judge may order the action to be stayed or dismissed, or judgment to be entered accordingly, as may be just (k).

(k) Applications under this rule are not intended to take the place of demurrers (k) Applications under this rule are not intended to take the place of demurrers where there is any question of law to be argued, but are only intended to get rid of frivolons actions; if there is a point of law, the defendant should raise it by his pleading under r. 2 (Parsons v. Burton, W. N. (1883), 215; see also Batthyany v. Walford, 32 W. R. 379; W. N. (1884), 37). Where solicitors were improperly made defendants the action was dismissed as against them under this rule (Burstall v. Beyfus, 26 Ch. D. 35; 32 W. R. 418); and see J—— v. J——, W. N. (1884), 193; 32 W. R. 1016. An action brought by executors before probate was stayed (Tarn v. Commercial Banking Co., 12 Q. B. D. 294; 32 W. R. 492). A Ord. XXV.

return to a writ of mandamus is not a pleading, and, therefore, cannot be struck out under this rule (Reg. v. Cheshunt Local Board, W. N. (1884), 78).

Declaratory judgment.

5. No action or proceeding shall be open to objection, on the ground that a merely declaratory judgment or order is sought thereby, and the Court may make binding declarations of right whether any consequential relief is or could be claimed, or not (l).

Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 50.

(1) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 50 (now repealed), which provided as follows:—" No suit in the said Court shall be open to objection on the ground that a merely declaratory decree or order is sought thereby, and it shall be lawful for the Court to make binding declarations of right without granting consequential relief." The tendency of the decisions on this section was considerably to restrict its operation; the power to make declaratory judgments given by the new rule, however, is more extensive; for (1) The rule applies to any "action or proceeding," and (2) It enables the Court to make a declaratory judgment even though no consequential relief could be claimed. It is believed that the following cases decided on the repealed sections may still be of use, and

No power to declare future rights.

they are accordingly retained in the present edition.

It was held by Turner, L. J., that the section gave the Court no power to declare future rights (Lady Langdale v. Briggs, 8 Ds G. M. & G. 391); see Garlick v. Lawson, 10 Hare, App. xv., where the Court, on a special case, refused to make a binding declaration as to the interests of parties entitled in reversion; Bright v. Tyndall, 4 Ch. D. 189, where the Court (also on a special case) refused to decide whether persons not in see would be entitled under circumstances which wight Nyidall, 4 Ch. D. 189, where the Court (also on a special case) refused to decide whether persons not in esse would be entitled, under circumstances which might never arise, to a share in property; Hampton v. Holman, 5 Ch. D. 183. See, also, Gosling v. Gosling, 1 Jo. 265; Fyfe v. Arbuthnot, 1 De G. & J. 406; Bell v. Cade, 10 W. R. 38; Dowling v. Dowling, 1 Ch. 612; Davis v. Earl of Dysart, 20 Beav. 413; Pennell v. Earl of Dysart, 27 Beav. 542, where the suits were nominally for production of title deeds, but really sought to obtain declarations of future rights.

Or to bind infants.

When some of the parties were infants, and therefore unable to bind themselves, it was held that the Court had no power, even by consent, to decide a purely legal question, so as to bind the infants (Webb v. Byng, 8 De G. M. & G. 633); and see De Windt v. De Windt, 1 H. L. 87, 92.

Court would not make order guarding against claim which might not arise.

Nor did the section entitle a party to a prospective declaration guarding against a claim which might never he made. Thus, where a lease was granted to two partners, the covenants being only joint at law, and the representative of one partner deceased filed a bill against the lessor, alleging that he claimed a right under the covenants if a breach should arise, and praying a declaration that he that he toverlands it a breach should arise, and praying a declaration that he had no such right, notwithstanding the section, a demurrer was allowed (Jackson v. Turnley, 1 Drew. 617). Nor, it seems, could the Court, under this section, make a decree declaratory of a merely legal right (Trustees of the Birkenhead Docks v. Laird, 4 De G. M. & G. 732, 738); see, too, Bristow v. Whitmore, 4 K. & J. 743; Norman v. Johnson, 8 W. R. 300; and the remarks of Sir James Colville in L. R. 2 Ind. App. 184-186.

Nor declaration of legal right.

> In Byam v. Byam (19 Beav. 58), the Court, to save expense, made a declaration respecting the construction of certain marriage articles, instead of directing a settlement. Comp. Wright v. King, 18 Beav. 461, where a similar course was adopted in the case of a ward of Court whose fortune was small. In Hope v. Hope, 4 De G. M. & G. 328, a declaration upon a point of English law was made for the information of a foreign court.

When Court makes declaration to save expense, &c.

> For cases where a small settlement has been made for the purpose of raising a question as to legitimacy and getting a declaratory decree, see Gurney v. Gurney, 1 H. & M. 413; Anon., 2 H. & M. 124; Andrews v. Salt, 8 Ch. 622.
>
> Compare note to Ord. XXXIV. r. 1, post, as to questions which will be decided

Fictitious snit.

on special cases.

Special cases. Lands Clauses

A decree declaratory of a future right was made in Bogg v. Midland Ry. Co., 4 Eq. 310, where the amount of the purchase-money to which a lessee was entitled under the Lands Clauses Act, depended on the question whether he was entitled to a prospective right of renewal; see note to s. 79 of the Lands Clauses Act,

Act.

where a declaration is asked, and also an injunction, such injunction is consequential relief (Marsh v. Keith, 1 Dr. & Sm. 342).

Consequential relief.

As to allowing an appeal after a great number of years from a decree declaring future rights, see Curtis v. Sheffield, 21 Ch. D. 1.

Appeal.

ORDER XXVI.

DISCONTINUANCE.

1. The plaintiff may, at any time before receipt of the defendant's Discondefence, or after the receipt thereof before taking any other proceed-tinuance by ing in the action (save any interlocutory application), by notice without in writing (m), wholly discontinue his action against all or any of the leave. defendants or withdraw any part or parts of his alleged cause of complaint, and thereupon he shall pay such defendant's costs of the action, or, if the action be not wholly discontinued, the costs occasioned by the matter so withdrawn (n). Such costs shall be taxed, and such dis-Disconcontinuance or withdrawal, as the case may be, shall not be a defence to be pleaded to any subsequent action. Save as in this rule otherwise provided, it in bar shall not be competent for the plaintiff to withdraw the record or dis- Disconcontinue the action without leave of the Court or a judge, but the leave of the Court or a judge may before, or at, or after the hearing or trial, upon Court. such terms as to costs, and as to any other action, and otherwise, as may be just, order the action to be discontinued, or any part of the alleged cause of complaint to be struck out (o). The Court or a judge Withdrawal may, in like manner, and with the like discretion as to terms, upon of defence or counterthe application of a defendant, order the whole or any part of his claim. alleged grounds of defence or counterclaim to be withdrawn or struck out, but it shall not be competent to a defendant to withdraw his defence, or any part thereof, without such leave (p).

(m) A written notice by plaintiff's solicitors, "We are instructed to proceed no "Notice in further with the action" is a sufficient notice of discontinuance (The Pommerania, writing." 4 P. D. 195). Notice of discontinuance puts an end to a pending appeal by the party giving the notice (Conybeare v. Lewis, 13 Ch. D. 469; 28 W. R. 330).

Where the plaintiff has given an undertaking as to damages, the Court will direct a reference as to damages, notwithstanding the action has been discontinued (Newcomen v. Coulson, 7 Ch. D. 764; and see Newbu v. Harrison, 3 Da C. F. & I.

(Newcomen v. Coulson, 7 Ch. D. 764; and see Newby v. Harrison, 3 Ds G. F. & J. 287).

(n) As to the provisions of this rule with regard to costs, see The St. Olaf, 2 P. Costs. D. 114.

(c) The discretion to allow the plaintiff to discontinue will not be exercised so as to Discondeprive the defendant of any advantage to which he is fairly entitled; see Stahltinuance hy schmidt v. Walford, 4 Q. B. D. 217; 27 W. R. 412. See also Matthews v. Antrobus, leave of 49 L. J. Ch. 80.

Discontinuance will not prevent a defendant from proceeding with a counterclaim (Ord. XXI. r. 16, ante, p. 364).

As to the discontinuance of a test action, see Robinson v. Chadwick, 7 Ch. D. Test action.

878.

As to taxation of costs on a discontinuance, see Harrison v. Leutner, 16 Ch. D. 559; 29 W. R. 393; 44 L. T. 331; and see also Thomas v. Palin, 21 Ch. D. 360.

(p) In Real and Personal Advance Co. v. McCarthy, 14 Ch. D. 188; 28 W. R. 418, one of several defendants was allowed to withdraw his defence upon the terms of (1) giving the plaintiffs all the relief to which they could be entitled at the trial, and (2) paying the costs of the defence and of a summons for leave to withdraw. As to what costs are included under "costs of defence," see S. C., 18 Ch. D. 362; 44

L. T. 514. For form of order giving leave to withdraw a defence, see Swindell v. Rigningham Syndicate. W. N. (1884). 98. Birmingham Syndicate, W. N. (1884), 98.

2. When a cause has been entered for trial, it may be withdrawn Withdrawal by either plaintiff or defendant, upon producing to the proper officer of cause by a consent in writing, signed by the parties.

Ord. XXVI.

Costs on discontinuance.

- 3. Any defendant may enter judgment for the costs of the action, if it is wholly discontinued against him, or for the costs occasioned by the matter withdrawn, if the action be not wholly discontinued, in case such respective costs are not paid within four days after taxation (q).
- (q) See Harrison v. Leutner, 16 Ch. D. 559, as to taxation of costs on a discontinuance. See also Real and Personal Advance Co. v. McCarthy, 18 Ch. D. 362.

Subsequent action for the same cause may be stayed till payment of costs of discontinued action.

Staying second suit till costs of former one are paid.

- 4. If any subsequent action shall be brought before payment of the costs of a discontinued action, for the same, or substantially the same, cause of action, the Court or a judge may, if they or he think fit, order a stay of such subsequent action, until such costs shall have been paid (r).
- (r) For the practice in Chancery as to costs when the plaintiff dismissed his own suit, see Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 75. The present rule adopts the same practice in regard to discontinued actions as has always prevailed in the Court of Chancery and the Chancery Division with respect to actions dismissed with costs, viz., that proceedings in a second suit for substantially the same matter will be stayed till the costs of the first suit are paid; see Martin v. Earl Beauchamp, 25 Ch. D. 12; Pickett v. Logon, 5 Vec. 702; Holbrooke v. Cracraft, ibid. 706, n.; Budge v. Budge, 12 Beav. 385; Foley v. Smith, ibid. 154; and see Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 536, seq. where the cases are collected.

ORDER XXVII.

DEFAULT OF PLEADING.

Dismissal for want of prosecution.

1. If the plaintiff, being bound to deliver a statement of claim, does not deliver the same within the time allowed for that purpose (s), the defendant may, at the expiration of that time, apply to the Court or a judge to dismiss the action with costs, for want of prosecution; and on the hearing of such application the Court or judge may, if no statement of claim shall have been delivered, order the action to be dismissed accordingly, or may make such other order on such terms as the Court or judge shall think just (t).

Time for delivering statement of claim.

Application to dismiss, how made.

(s) As to the time allowed for delivery of a statement of claim, see Ord. XX. r. 1,

(8) As to the lime allowed and the anti-question and the p. 361.

(t) The application to dismiss should generally be made by summens rather than by motion in Court (Freason v. Loe, 26 W. R. 138), but may be made either way (Evelyn v. Evelyn, 13 Ch. D. 138). If the usual notice is given, and the plaintiff does not at once submit to speed the cause and tender the costs of the notice, the defendant, if the usual order is made, will have his costs of making the motion in Court (Evelum v. Evelum). Court (Evelyn v. Evelyn).

A defendant may move to diemiss for want of prosecution without abandoning an order for security of costs (La Grange v. McAndrew, 4 Q. B. D. 210).

It is almost of course to give the plaintiff time to take the next step upon payment of costs (Eaton v. Storer, 22 Ch. D. 91; Higginbottom v. Aynsley, 3 Ch. D. 288). Where the plaintiff had become bankrupt, notice of motion to dismiss was ordered to be eerved on his trustee in bankruptcy (Wright v. Swindon Ry. Co., 4 Ch. D.

Where plaintiff makes default.

Where an order is made dismissing an action unless the plaintiff does some act within a specified time, and the plaintiff fails to comply with the order, the action is at an end, and no further time can be given (Whistler v. Hancock, 3 Q. B. D. 83; Wallis v. Hepburn, 3 Q. B. D. 84, n.; King v. Davenport, 4 Q. B. D. 402); see, however, Burke v. Rooney, 4 C. P. D. 226; Carter v. Stubbs, 6 Q. B. D. 116.

The dismissal of an action against a company for non-presention, does not prevent the plaintiff from bringing forward a claim in the same matter in the winding-up (Re Orrell Colliery Co., 12 Ch. D. 681).

2. If the plaintiff's claim be only for a debt or liquidated demand, Ord. XXVII. and the defendant does not, within the time allowed for that purpose, Signing deliver a defence, the plaintiff may, at the expiration of such time, judgment in enter final judgment for the amount claimed, with costs (u).

default of defence.

- (u) See Dix v. Groom, 5 Ex. D. 91.
- 3. When in any such action as in the last preceding rule mentioned Against one there are several defendants, if one of them make default as mentioned defendants. in the last preceding rule, the plaintiff may enter final judgment against the defendant so making default, and issue execution upon such judgment without prejudice to his right to proceed with his action against the other defendants.

4. If the plaintiff's claim be for detention of goods and pecuniary Default of damages, or either of them, and the defendant or all the defendants, defence to if more than one, make default as mentioned in rule 2, the plaintiff detention of may enter an interlocutory judgment against the defendant or defendances. dants, and a writ of inquiry shall issue to assess the value of the goods, and the damages, or the damages only, as the case may be. But the Court or a judge may order that, instead of a writ of inquiry, the value and amount of damages, or either of them, shall be ascertained in any way which the Court or judge may direct.

5. When in any such action as in rule 4 mentioned there are several Against defendants, if one or more of them make default as mentioned in defaulting defendants. rule 2, the plaintiff may enter an interlocutory judgment against the defendant or defendants so making default, and proceed with his action against the others. And in such case the value and amount of damages against the defendant making default shall be assessed at the same time with the trial of the action or issues therein against the other defendants, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct.

6. If the plaintiff's claim be for a debt or liquidated demand, and Default of also for detention of goods and pecuniary damages, or pecuniary defence to damages only, and any defendant make default as mentioned in and detention rule 2, the plaintiff may enter final judgment for the debt or liquidated of goods. demand, and also enter interlocutory judgment for the value of the goods and the damages, or the damages only, as the case may be, and proceed as mentioned in rules 4 and 5.

7. In an action for the recovery of land (v) if the defendant makes Default of default as mentioned in rule 2, the plaintiff make enter a judgment action to that the person whose title is asserted in the writ of summons shall recover land. recover possession of the land, with his costs.

- (v) As to what is an action for the recovery of land, see Ord. XVIII. r. 2, and Action to note thereto, ante, p. 353.
- 8. Where the plaintiff has endorsed a claim for mesne profits, Claim for arrears of rent, or double value in respect of the premises claimed or mesne profits, any part of them, or damages for breach of contract upon a writ for rent, double the recovery of land, if the defendant makes default as mentioned in value, or damages for

breach of

contract.

Ord. XXVII. rule 2, or if there be more than one defendant, some or one of the defendants make such default, the plaintiff may enter judgment against the defaulting defendant or defendants and proceed as mentioned in rules 4 and 5 (w).

(w) See Gosset v. Campbell, W. N. (1877), 134.

Claim for liquidated demand, detention of goods and damages, or recovery of land.

9. If the plaintiff's claim be for a debt or liquidated demand, the detention of goods and pecuniary damages, or for any of such matters, or for the recovery of land, and the defendant delivers a defence, which purports to offer an answer to part only of the plaintiff's alleged cause of action, the plaintiff may by leave of the Court or a judge enter judgment, final, or interlocutory, as the case may be, for the part unanswered; provided that the unanswered part consists of a separate cause of action, or is severable from the rest, as in the case of part of a debt or liquidated demand: provided also that, where there is a counterclaim, execution on any such judgment as above mentioned in respect of the plaintiff's claim shall not issue without leave of the Court or a judge.

[Rule 10 applies only to Probate actions.]

Motion for judgment in default of defence.

11. In all other actions than those in the preceding rules of this order mentioned, if the defendant makes default in delivering a defence, the plaintiff may set down the action on motion for judgment, and such judgment shall be given as upon the statement of claim the Court or a judge shall consider the plaintiff to be entitled to (x).

Form of judgment. Motion for judgment. No pleadings.

Infant defendant.

(x) For forms of judgment in the Chancery Division see Seton, p. 38. As to motions for judgment, see Ord. XL. r. 1, post, p. 442. If there are no pleadings, the action can, if the defendant does not oppose, be heard If there are no pleadings, the action can, if the defendant does not oppose, be heard on motion for judgment; otherwise it seems an application should be made for directions as to the mode of trial, when the action will be directed to be tried either upon affidavit evidence, or upon issues; see Wilnott v. Young, 29 W. R. 413; Wallis v. Jackson, 31 W. R. 519; 23 Ch. D. 204; Daniell, 663.

As to the course where there is an infant defendant, see National Provincial Bank v. Evans, W. N. (1881), 171; 30 W. R. 177; Re Fitzwater, W. N. (1882), 176.

If, after notice of motion for judgment in default of defence but before the motion is heard, the defendant puts in a defence, it cannot be treated as a nullity; but where the statement of defence showed no real ground of defence the Court of

but where the statement of defence showed no real ground of defence the Court of Appeal amended the notice of motion under Ord. LVIII. r. 4, so as to ask for judgment on the admissions in the defence (Gill v. Woodfin, 25 Ch. D. 707; see

Form of judgment in foreolosure suit.

also Gibbings v. Strong, 26 Ch. D. 66).

On the question whether, in a foreclosure suit by first mortgagee against mortgager and subsequent incumbrancers, there should be one period fixed for redemption or successive periods, see Platt v. Mendel, 27 Ch. D. 246 (Chitty, J.), and cases there cited. As to inserting a personal order against the mortgager for payment of the mortgage money, see Hunter v. Myatt, W. N. (1884), 236.

Against one of several defendants.

12. Where, in any such action as mentioned in the last preceding rule, there are several defendants, then, if one of such defendants make such default as aforesaid, the plaintiff may either (if the cause of action is severable) set down the action at once on motion for judgment against the defendant so making default, or may set it down against him at the time when it is entered for trial or set down on motion for judgment against the other defendants.

Close of pleadings.

13. If the plaintiff does not deliver a reply, or any party does not

deliver any subsequent pleading within the period allowed for that Ord. XXVII. purpose, the pleadings shall be deemed to be closed at the expiration of that period, and all the material statements of fact in the pleading last delivered shall be deemed to have been denied and put in issue (y).

(y) By Ord. XXIX. r. 12 (1875), from which this rule is taken, the statements of fact in the pleading last delivered were deemed to be admitted.

It would seem that if a counterclaim is not pleaded to, the statements in it will Counterbe taken to be admitted, and that this rule does not apply in that case; see Ord. claim. XIX. rr. 3, 13, 17, and Ord. XXIII. r. 4.

Where a defendant to a counterclaim has made default in pleading to it the plaintiff in the counterclaim may move for judgment against him (Street v. Crump,

14. In any case in which issues arise in an action other than Default by between plaintiff and defendant, if any party to any such issue makes issue not default in delivering any pleading, the opposite party may apply to between the Court or a judge for such judgment, if any, as upon the pleadings plaintiff and defendant. he may appear to be entitled to. And the Court or judge may order judgment to be entered accordingly, or may make such other order as may be necessary to do complete justice between the parties.

15. Any judgment by default, whether under this order or under Setting aside any other of these rules, may be set aside by the Court or a judge, judgment by default. upon such terms as to costs or otherwise as such Court or judge may think fit (z).

(z) Unless irreparable wrong will be done to a plaintiff who has obtained (2) Unless irreparable wrong will be done to a plaintil who has obtained judgment by default, lapse of time is not a bar to an application to set it aside (Atwood v. Chichester, 3 Q. B. D. 722); and see, generally, as to setting aside judgments, Burgoine v. Taylor, 9 Ch. D. 1; Watt v. Barnett, 3 Q. B. D. 363; Davies v. Ballenden, W. N. (1882), 92; Williams v. Brisco, 29 W. R. 713.

If a person not a party to the record seeks to set aside a judgment, which the defendant has allowed to go by default, he ought by summons, taken out in the name of the defendant, or if not entitled to use the defendant's name, then taken out in the own name but it has easy served on both the plaintiff and the defendant.

name of the defendant, or if not entitled to use the defendant's name, then taken out in his own name but in that case served on both the plaintiff and the defendant, to apply for leave to have the judgment set aside, and to be allowed either to defend the action on such terms of indemnifying the defendant as the judge may consider right, or to intervene in the action in the manner pointed out by the Judicature Act, 1873, s. 24, sub-s. 5. Rule 15 is designed to enable judgments by default to be set aside by those who have or who can acquire a locus standi, and does not give a locus standi to those who have none (Jacques v. Harrison (C. A.), 12 Q. B. D. 165).

ORDER XXVIII.

AMENDMENT.

 The Court or a judge may, at any stage of the proceedings, allow Amendment either party to alter or amend his indorsement or pleadings, in such of writ or pleadings. manner and on such terms as may be just, and all such amendments shall be made as may be necessary for the purpose of determining the real questions in controversy between the parties (a).

(a) As a general rule leave to amend ought not to be refused unless the Court is Leave to (a) As a general rule leave to amend ought not to be refused unless the Court is Leave t satisfied that the party applying is acting mall file, or that his blunder has done some injury to the other side which cannot be compensated by payment of costs or otherwise (Tildesley v. Harper, 10 Ch. D. 393). A party will be allowed to amend his pleadings at any time before, or even at, the trial; see Roe v. Davies, 2 Ch. D. 729; Budding v. Murdoch, 1 Ch. D. 42; King v. Corke, 1 Ch. D. 57. See also for cases where amendments were allowed, Long v. Crossley, 13 Ch. D. 388 (adding

O. XXVIII. plaintiffs); Green v. Sevin, 13 Ch. D. 589 (amendment of reply); Nobel's Explosives
Co. v. Jones, 17 Ch. D. 721 (adding allegations to statement of claim at the trial);
Betts v. Doughty, 5 P. D. 26 (adding allegations to statement of defence and setting up a fresh case). But in Newby v. Sharpe, 8 Ch. D. 39, it was intimated that an amendment altering the whole nature of an action ought not to be allowed; and see Cargill v. Bover, 10 Ch. D. 502; Crove v. Barnicot, 6 Ch. D. 753; Collette v. Goode, 7 Ch. D. 842, where leave to amend was refused; see, however, Laird v. Briggs, 19 Ch. D. 22. See also Bourne v. Coulter, W. N. (1884), 111; 50 L. T. 321, cited post, p. 379, as to the defendant's course where the plaintiff amends by setting up a fresh case. case

When at the trial an application for leave to amend the pleadings is refused, the refusal forms part of the judgment and it is not necessary to appeal from it separately; but on an appeal from the judgment the Court of Appeal may give leave to amend. The judgment as drawn up should not contain any mention of the refusal

of leave to amend (Laird v. Briggs, 16 Ch. D. 663).

An application for leave to amend need not be supported by evidence of the materiality of the proposed amendment (Cargill v. Bower, 4 Ch. D. 78; Chesterfield Co. v. Black, W. N. (1877), 65; 25 W. R. 409).

Giving or refusing leave to amend being discretionary with the judge below, the Court of Appeal will not generally interfere (Byrd v. Nunn, 7 Ch. D. 284).

A statement of claim cannot be amended after final judgment (Att.-Gen. v. Cornecation of Rivmingham 15 Ch. D. 423). but see Keith v. Butcher, 25 Ch. D. 750.

poration of Birmingham, 15 Ch. D. 423); but see Keith v. Butcher, 25 Ch. D. 750, cited ante, p. 337.

An action may be turned by amendment into an information at the suit of the Attorney-General (Caldwell v. Pagham Harbour Co., 2 Ch. D. 221).

An amended writ must in general be served in the same way as an original writ

(The Cassiopeia, 4 P. D. 188).

An order under this rule need not be drawn up; see Ord. LII. r. 14, post.

Effect of order on injunctions and notices of motion.

An order to amend is without prejudice to an injunction previously obtained (Kennedy v. Lewis, 14 Jur. 166; and see Att.-Gen. v. Marsh, 10 Sim. 572; Clarke v. Clarke, 13 W. R. 133; Harding v. Tingey, 10 Jur. N. S. 872; 12 W. R. 793), or to a writ of ne exeat (Grant v. Grant, 5 Russ. 189); but where a plaintiff amended

Amendment of statement of claim.

- 2. The plaintiff may, without any leave, amend his statement of claim, whether indorsed on the writ or not, once at any time before the expiration of the time limited for reply (b) and before replying, or, where no defence is delivered, at any time before the expiration of four weeks from the appearance of the defendant who shall have last appeared.
 - (b) As to time for reply, see Ord. XXIII. r. 1, ante, p. 369.

Amendment of counterclaim or set-off.

- 3. A defendant who has set up any counterclaim or set-off may, without any leave, amend such counterclaim or set-off at any time before the expiration of the time allowed him for answering the reply (c) and before such answer, or in case there be no reply then at any time before the expiration of twenty-eight days from defence.
 - (e) As to the time allowed to plead to a reply, see Ord. XXIII. r. 3, ante, p. 370.

Disallowance of amendment.

4. Where any party has amended his pleading under either of the last two preceding rules, the opposite party may, within eight days after the delivery to him of the amended pleading apply to the Court or a judge to disallow the amendment, or any part thereof, and the Court or judge may, if satisfied that the justice of the case requires it, disallow the same, or allow it subject to such terms as to costs or O. XXVIII. otherwise as may be just (d).

(d) See as to directions to the taxing-master to tax the costs of unnecessary Costs of amendments, Burchell v. Giles, 11 Beav. 34; Watts v. Manning, 1 S. & S. 421; unnecessary Pleage v. Buss, Johns. 663. Where important allegations contained in the original amendments. bill were struck out by amendment the plaintiff had to pay the additional costs occasioned (Strickland v. Strickland, 3 Beav. 242); and see Bower v. Cooper, 2 Ha. 408; Mavor v. Dry, 2 S. & S. 113; Mounsey v. Burnham, 1 Ha. 22. As to costs of unnecessary matter generally, see Ord. LXV. r. 27 (20), post.

Where the plaintiff amends by (in effect) setting up a fresh case, the defendant's Amendment course is to move (within the eight days) that the amended pleading may be dissetting up a allowed, or allowed only on proper terms as to costs (Bourne v. Coulter, W. N. fresh case. (1884), 111; 50 L. T. 321). See also note (a), p. 377, ante.

5. Where any party has amended his pleading under rules 2 or 3, Pleading to the opposite party shall plead to the amended pleading, or amend his amended pleading. pleading, within the time he then has to plead or within eight days from the delivery of the amendment, whichever shall last expire; and in case the opposite party has pleaded before the delivery of the amendment, and does not plead again or amend within the time above mentioned, he shall be deemed to rely on his original pleading in answer to such amendment (e).

- (e) See Beddy v. Wall, 7 Ch. D. 164; Powell v. Jewesbury, 9 Ch. D. 34.
- 6. In all cases not provided for by the preceding rules of this order, Amendment application for leave to amend may be made by either party to the by leave of Court or a judge or to the judge at the trial of the action, and such amendment may be allowed upon such terms as to costs or otherwise as may be just.

7. If a party who has obtained an order for leave to amend does not Time allowed amend accordingly within the time limited for that purpose by the for amending after order order, or if no time is thereby limited, then within fourteen days from for leave to the date of the order, such order to amend shall, on the expiration of amend. such limited time as aforesaid, or of such fourteen days, as the case may be, become ipso facto void, unless the time is extended by the Court or a judge (f).

- (f) This rule is substantially the same as Cons. Ord. IX. r. 24.
- 8. An indorsement or pleading may be amended by written altera- Amendment tions in the copy which has been delivered, and by additions on paper by written alteration or to be interleaved therewith if necessary, unless the amendments re-reprint. quire the insertion of more than 144 words in any one place, or are so numerous or of such a nature that the making them in writing would render the document difficult or inconvenient to read, in either of which cases the amendment must be made by delivering a print of the document as amended.

9. Whenever any indorsement or pleading is amended, the same, Amended when amended, shall be marked with the date of the order, if any, writ or under which the same is so amended, and of the day on which such be marked. amendment is made, in manner following, viz.: "Amended pursuant to order of dated the \mathbf{of}

O. XXVIII.

Delivery to opposite party. Clerical errors in judgments or orders.

- 10. Whenever any indorsement or pleading is amended, such amended document shall be delivered to the opposite party within the time allowed for amending the same.
- 11. Clerical mistakes in judgments or orders, or errors arising therein from any accidental slip or omission, may at any time be corrected by the Court or a judge on motion or summons without an appeal (g).
- (g) This rule is substantially identical with Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 21, now repealed.

Alterations in judgments. A registrar in drawing up orders, &c. may by consent introduce such alterations as he thinks the judge would sanction; see Davenport v. Stafford, 8 Beav. 503, 511; Phillips v. Gibbons, 1 V. & B. 84, 6; Taylor v. Milner, 10 Ves. 444.

What corrections not within rule.

The rule does not extend to the omission of any term which could only have been introduced under the express judgment of the Court (Bird v. Heath, 6 Hare, 236; and see Fyler v. Fyler, 1 Coll. 93; Dodson v. Sammell, 8 W. R. 252), or to any alteration which is equivalent to a new direction (Colman v. Sarell, 2 Cox, 206; Willis v. Parkinson, 3 Swanst. 233; Brookfield v. Bradley, 2 S. & S. 64; Champernowne v. Brooke, 9 Bli. N. S. 199).

What corrections are within the rule.

But an accidental slip (Turner v. Hodgson, 9 Beav. 265), ex. gr., the omission of the usual direction to settle the conveyance, may be supplied under it (Trerelyan v. Charter, id. 140); and see Hughes v. Jones, 26 Beav. 24, where a decree for specific performance having been made against the defendant (who made default) without a reference as to title, the Court, on the motion of the defendant, ordered a reference as to title to be inserted in the decree, the defendant paying the costs of the application (Re Clough, 32 L. T. 194: Eekersley v. Eekersley, W. N. (1884), 133). In Jefferys v. Smith, 11 W. R. 479, the words "survivors or survivor" were added to a decree for payment to four executors; and the grade "consistence".

In Jefferys v. Smith, 11 W. R. 479, the words "survivors or survivor" were added to a decree for payment to four executors; and the words "executor or administrator" in Ex parte Straight, 16 W. R. 661; and see Re Glanville, W. N. (1878), 21; Andrews v. Bohannon, W. N. (1869), 80. In Re Tiel, 3 De G. J. & S. 426; 11 W. R. 351, a decree, though passed and entered, was altered on motion, the plaintiff, who was directed by the decree to pay costs, having been dead when the decree was pronounced; and see Pennell v. Millar, 23 Beav. 172; Moore v. Walter, 11 W. R. 713; Viney v. Chaplin, 3 De G. & J. 282; Fritz v. Hobson, 14 Ch. D. 542. In Askew v. Peddle, 14 Sim. 301, a mistake in a decree was corrected, although it had heen pronounced seven years, and the case had been heard on further directions. further directions.

Where a person to whom money was ordered to be paid was described as "widow," she being in fact married again, the error was rectified under this rule (Re Robinson,

Applying on minutes.

W. N. (1873), 28).
Where a party has liberty given him to apply to the Court on the minutes of a decree, he must do so within a fortnight; after that time, notice of motion, specifying the variations sought to be introduced, must be regularly given (Hood v. Cooper, 26 Beav. 373; and see General Share Co. v. Wetley Co., 20 Ch. D. 130). See, too, Prince v. Howard, 14 Beav. 203; Tennant v. Trenchard, 4 Ch. 537. On motion to vary the minutes, the only question that can properly be argued is, What was the order made? The only exceptions are when the parties consent to something being added to the minutes, or where it cannot be ascertained what order was made, and then the case may be put in the paper and argued again (Mem. per M. R., W. N. (1876), 296). See also British Dynamite Co. v. Krebs, W. N. (1877), 193; 25 W. R. 846. The party moving should be prepared with a copy of the registrar's note of what took place when the order was made (Robinson v. Local Board for Barton, 21 Ch. D. 621)

"Liberty to apply."

Every order of the Court, not of a final character, carries with it liberty to apply, though not expressly reserved; see Fritz v. Hobson, 14 Ch. D. 542; Penrice v. Williams, 23 Ch. D. 353; and see also Viney v. Chaplin, 3 De G. & J. 282.

Court of Appeal.

A party dissatisfied with the drawing up of an order of the Court of Appeal must give notice of motion to vary the minutes (General Share Co. v. Wetley Co., 20 Ch. D. Ĭ30).

General power for Court to amend.

12. The Court or a judge may at any time, and on such terms as to costs or otherwise as the Court or judge may think just, amend any defect or error in any proceedings, and all necessary amendments shall be made for the purpose of determining the real question or issue raised by or depending on the proceedings.

13. The costs of and occasioned by any amendment made pursuant O. XXVIII. to rules 2 and 3 of this order shall be borne by the party making the Costs of same, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order.

[Ord. XXIX. applies only to Admiralty actions.]

amendment under rules 2 and 3.

ORDER XXX.

SUMMONS FOR DIRECTIONS.

1. In every cause or matter one general summons for directions may General be taken out at any time by any party with respect to the following directions. matters and proceedings: particulars of claim, defence, or reply, statement of special case, discovery (including interrogatories), commissions and examinations of witnesses, mode of trial (including proceedings in lieu of demurrer, trial on motion for judgment, and reference), place of trial, and any other matter or proceeding in the cause or matter previous to trial.

2. Such summons for directions shall be a summons returnable in Form of not less than four days, in the Form No. 3 in Appendix K., with such summons. variations as circumstances may require, and shall be addressed to and served upon all such parties to the cause or matter as may be affected thereby. The applicant shall, so far as practicable, include in the summons all or as many of the above-mentioned matters and proceedings as, having regard to the nature of the cause or matter, can conveniently be dealt with by the order and directions of the Court or judge. Upon the hearing of the summons, any party to whom the summons is addressed shall be at liberty to apply for any order or directions as to any of the above-mentioned matters or proceedings which he may desire, and thereupon, after giving notice to such parties (if any) as the Court or judge may direct, any order may be made and all necessary directions given, as to all or any of such matters and proceedings as may be just, whether applied for or not: such order shall be in the Form No. 4 in Appendix K., with such variations as circumstances may require (h).

- (h) For these forms, see infra.
- 3. If, upon any other application as to any of the above-mentioned Costs of other matters or proceedings, it shall appear to the Court or judge that could that the application is one that could and ought to have been have been included in or made upon the general summons for directions, such included in the summons. application shall be granted only at the costs of the party making the same.

ORDER XXXI.

DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION.

1. In any action where relief by way of damages or otherwise is Interrogasought on the ground of fraud or breach of trust, the plaintiff may at tories for

of opposite party.

Ord. XXXI. any time after delivering his statement of claim (i), and a defendant may at or after the time of delivering his defence, without any order for that purpose, and in every other cause or matter the plaintiff or defendant may by leave of the Court or a judge, deliver (k) interrogatories in writing for the examination of the opposite parties (1), or any one or more of such parties, and such interrogatories when delivered shall have a note at the foot thereof, stating which of such interrogatories each of such persons is required to answer: Provided that no party shall deliver more than one set of interrogatories to the same party without an order for that purpose (m): Provided also that interrogatories which do not relate to any matters in question in the cause or matter shall be deemed irrelevant, notwithstanding that they might be admissible on the oral cross-examination of a witness (n).

"Opposite parties."

- Time for delivering inbefore the statement of defence (Harbord v. Monk, 9 Ch. D. 616).

 (k) In Bowen v. Price, 2 De G. M. & G. 899, overruling S. C., 1 Drew. 307, it was held that a copy of the interrogatories left at the office of the defendant's solicitor was "delivered."
 - (l) As to who are "opposite parties" within this rule, see Molloy v. Kilby, 15 Ch. D. 162; Ingram v. Little, 11 Q. B. D. 251, where it was held that a guardian ad litem is not "a party" who can be interrogated; and MacAllister v. Bishop of

(m) See Newall v. Telegraph Co., 2 Eq. 756; Warden v. Peddington, 32 Beav. 639.

(n) The right to discovery is now regulated (subject to the Rules of Court) by the (n) The light to discovery is now regulated (stanject to the lidies of court of the practice of the Court of Chancery (Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia (C. A.), 2 Ch. D. 644; Att.-Gen. v. Gaskill, 20°Ch. D. 519; and see Bustros v. White, 1 Q. B. D. 423; Lyell v. Kennedy, 8 App. Cas. 217. See, however, Parker v. Wells, 18 Ch. D. 477; Bolekow v. Fisher, 10 Q. B. D. 161). Where no discovery could have been obtained before the Judicature Acts, either at law or in equity, nons can be obtained now (Hunnings v. Williamson, 10 Q. B. D. 459).

Under certain circumstances a party may refuse to give discovery, whether it is sought by interpostaries or under so order for production of documents. The grapers

Privilege.

professional advisers.

sought by interrogatories or under an order for production of documents. The general rules as to privilege (which are applicable to either kind of discovery, see Clegg v. Edmondson, 22 Beav. 125; Rajah of Coorg v. East India Co., 2 Jur. N. S. 407; but see Southwark Water Co. v. Quick, 3 Q. B. D. 321), are as follows:—

(1) Communications with

person is not compelled to make discovery of—

(1) Communications that have passed between himself and his solicitor relating to the matters in dispute, although no litigation had actually arisen, or was even in contemplation, at the time; and all documents prepared in relation to an intended action, whether prepared at the request of the solicitor or not, and whether ultimately laid before him or not, are privileged if prepared with a bond fide intention of being laid before him for the purpose of taking his advice. See Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia, 2 Ch. D. 644; Southwark Water Co. v. Quick, 3 Q. B. D. 315; Minet v. Morgan, 8 Ch. 361; Lyell v. Kennedy, 27 Ch. D. 1 (photographs of tombstone and copies of entries in public records); Nordon v. Defries, 8 Q. B. D. 508; The Palermo, 9 P. D. 6; Bustros v. White, 1 Q. B. D. 423; English v. Tottie, 1 Q. B. D. 141; McCorquodale v. Bell, 1 C. P. D. 473; Peurse v. Pearse, 1 De G. & S. 12; and see also Paddon v. Winch, 9 Eq. 666; Ford v. De Pontes, 7 W. R. 299; Lauvrence v. Campbell, 4 Drew. 485; Simpson v. Brown, 33 Beav. 482; Turton v. Barber, 17 Eq. 329; Smith v. Daniell, 18 Eq. 649; Skinner v. Great Northern Ry., 43 L. J., Ex. 150; Macfarlan v. Rolt, 14 Eq. 580; Wilson v. Northampton Ry., 14 Eq. 477. As to communications with a former solicitor, see Marriott v. Anchor, &c. Co., 3 Giff. 304. Counsel's drafts and briefs, and cases laid before them are protected (Nicoll v. Jones, 2 H. & M. 588; Mayor of Bristol v. Cox, 26 Ch. D. 678), except so far as the indorsements, &c. thereon were matters publici juris (Walsham v. Stainton, 2 H. & M. 1; Plumley v. Horrell, W. N. (1868), 240); as to arbitrations, see Ponsford v. Swayne, 1 J. & H. 433; and as to compromises of former suits, see Hutchinson v. Glover, 1 Q. B. D. 138. A person is not compelled to make discovery ofand as to compromises of former suits, see Hutchinson v. Glover, 1 Q. B. D. 138.

Communications with a solicitor out of the jurisdiction (Lawrence v. Campbell, 4 Drew. 485), or with the solicitor's clerk, or an accountant or skilled person employed by the solicitor are within the principle (Steele v. Stewart, 1 Ph.

Ord. XXXI.

471; Churton v. Frewin, 2 Dr. & Sm. 390; Hooper v. Gumm, 2 J. & H. 602; 10 W. R. 644). So, too, an application addressed by defendants to their agents abroad, by direction of the solicitor, for the purpose of procuring evidence in support of their case (Lafone v. Falkland Islands Co., (No. 1), 4 K. & J. 34); but a letter from an agent to his principal, sent before suit in answer to inquiries, but not at the instance of the solicitor, is not privileged (Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia, 2 Ch. D. 644); and see further, as to agents, Ross v. Gibbs, 8 Eq. 522; Hooper v. Gumm, 2 J. & H. 602; 10 W. R. 644; Jenkyns v. Bushby, 2 Eq. 547. The privilege does not extend to communications made to the solicitor but not in his character of solicitor (Thomas v. Rawlings, 27 Beav. 140; and see Hampson v. Hampson, 26 L. J. Ch. 612); nor to letters passing between the defendant and third parties or their agents (English v. Tottie, 1 Q. B. D. 141); nor to letters passing between co-defendants (Betts v. Menzies, 1 Q. B. D. 528; but see Hamilton v. Nott, 16 Eq. 112); nor to information which the solicitor has derived from collateral

sources, not directly from the client (Marsh v. Keith, 1 Dr. & Sm. 342; Ford v. Tennant, 32 Beav. 162; Re Land Credit Society, 15 W. R. 703; Page v. Ward, W. N. (1869), 51; Wheeler v. Le Marchant, 17 Ch. D. 675).

The privilege is the privilege of the client, and the solicitor cannot object to produce if his client does not or cannot claim privilege (Re Cameron's Coalbrook Co., 25 Beav. 1; Wilson v. Rastall, 31 W. R. 320); thus, where a ward of Court was keeping out of the way the solicitor had to give all inof Court was keeping out of the way the solicitor had to give all information he could to aid in finding her (Rumsbotham v. Senior, 8 Eq. 575). As to the cases between trustes and cestui que trust, see Bacon v. Bacon, 34

L. J. Ch. 349.

Professional opinions given partly for the benefit of the party requiring production are not privileged (Reynolds v. Godlee, 4 K. & J. 88; Talbot v. Marshfield, 2 Dr. & Sm. 549; 13 W. R. 885; Wynne v. Humberston, 27 Beav. 421; on appeal, 32 L. T. O. S. 306). In an action by cestuic que trust against trustees to make good a breach of trust, the trustees must produce correspondence between themselves and their solicitors relating to the matters in question in the action ante litem motam (Re Mason, 22 Ch. D. 609).

Where fraud is charged no privilege can be claimed for documents relating to the alleged fraud (Phillips v. Holmer, 15 W. R. 578; Feaver v. Williams, 11 Jur. N. S. 902; but see Mornington v. Mornington, 2 J. & H. 697; Charlton v. Coombes, 4 Giff, 372).

A document once privileged is always privileged, c. g., in future litigation (Bullock v. Corry, 3 Q. B. D. 356; and see Branford v. Branford, 4 P. D. 72).

(2) Matters tending to criminate; see Walters v. Earl of Shaftesbury, 14 W. R. 259; Howes v. McKernan, 30 Beav. 547; U. S. of America v. McRae, 4 Eq. 337; Villeboisnet v. Tobin, L. R., 4 C. P. 184; Hill v. Campbell, L. R., 10 C. P. 222; and the cases cited post, p. 385; but it is not the practice to allow either of the Statutes of Elizabeth to be an excuse for resisting discovery (Bunn v. Burn. 12 W. P. 561) Bunn, 12 W. R. 561).

(3) Documents, &c., which manifestly have no hearing on the issue to be tried, (3) Irrelevant. or which relate exclusively to the defendant's own title, or to the evidence by which his case is to be established (Daw v. Eley, 2 H. & M. 725; Ingilby v. Shafto, 33 Beav. 31; Commissioners of Sewers v. Glasse, 15 Eq. 302); and

see Owen v. Wynn, 9 Ch. D. 29.

For cases where the right to discovery depends on the main question at Where right issue in the action, so that if the plaintiff succeeds he will have the discovery depends on as part of the relief, see r. 20, post, and cases there cited, note (h), p. 392,

post.

The party refusing production must show that the documents in question Documents The party returning production must show that the doctalents in question Documents relate to his title and do not relate to the plaintiff's title (Clegg v. Edmondson, relating only 3 Jur. N. S. 299; Lind v. Isle of Wight Ferry Company, 8 W. R. 540; Bishop to defendant's of Winchester v. Bowker, 29 Beav. 479; Felkin v. Lord Herbert, 9 W. R. 756; title. Bolton v. Corporation of Liverpool, 1 M. & K. 88; Jenkyns v. Bushby, 14 W. R. 531). Thus a purchaser without notice of a fee simple estate need not produce his title-deeds to the mortgages of a term (Hunt v. Elmes, 27 Beav. 62). But a defendant cannot refuse production merely on the ground that if the plaintiff's claim is unfounded, he has no interest in them (Gresly v. Mousley, 2 K. & J. 288; and see Rumbold v. Forteath, 3 K. & J. 44; Ferrier v. Atwool, 14 W. R. 597; Bugden v. South, 26 L. J. Ch. 425; Bates v. Master of Christ's College, Cambridge, ibid. 449; Quin v. Rateliff, 9 W. R. 65; but see Robson v. Flight, 33 Beav. 268). Production of the Court rolls of a manor was ordered in a suit to establish a custom (Warrick v. Queen's College, 3 Eq. 683); but see Owen v. Wynn.

Where a party claims privilege on the ground that the documents support his own title, and do not relate to that of his opponent, his affidavit is con-

(2) Tending to criminate.

the issue of the action.

Ord. XXXI.

Mortgagee's title deeds.

(4) Documentsrelating to party's mode of conducting his case. Leave to administer interrogatories.

clusive, unless the Court can see from the nature of the case, or of the docu-

clusive, unless the Court can see from the nature of the case, or of the documents, that the party has misunderstood the effect of the documents (Roberts v. Oppenheim, 26 Ch. D. 724, distinguishing A.-G. v. Emerson, 10 Q. B. D. 191). See also Lyell v. Kennedy, 27 Ch. D. 1.

A mortgages need not produce the mortgage deed until he is paid what is dus (Chichester v. Donegall, 5 Ch. 497); see, however, Patch v. Ward, 1 Eq. 436, and observations of Lindley, J., W. N. (1876), 27; Jones v. Jones, Kay, App. vi.; Bridgewater v. De Winton, 12 W. R. 40; Howard v. Robinson, 4 Drew. 526; Weeks v. Stourton, 13 W. R. 489; Freeman v. Butler, 33 Beav. 289; Smith v. Barnes, 1 Eq. 65; and as to mortgages made after 31st December, 1881, see the Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 16.
4) Documents relating only to the party's mode of conducting his case (Ingilby v. Shafto, 33 Beav. 31; Gandee v. Stansfield, 4 De G. & J. 1; Peile v. Stoddart, 1 M. & G. 192; Turner v. Burkenshaw, 11 W. R. 851).

See also note to rule 14, post, p. 388.

It is not necessary before the hearing of a summons for leave to administer interrogatories to serve the opposite party with a copy of the proposed inter-

It is not necessary before the hearing of a summons for leave to administer interrogatories to serve the opposite party with a copy of the proposed interrogatories (Hall v. Liurdet, W. N. (1883), 165); nor on an application for leave to interrogate will the judge decide as to the relevancy of particular interrogatories (Hall v. Liurdet (No. 2), W. N. (1883), 176, 194). For cases where leave has been given to interrogate, see Jones v. London Road Car Co., W. N. (1883), 196; Hellier v. Ellis, W. N. (1884), 9. Where the action related to land in Ireland, and an action had been commenced there, though subsequently to the English action, Pearson, J., refused leave to interrogate, but the Court of Appeal reversed this decision (Hounston v. Marquis of Sligo, W. N. (1884), 29). Leave will be refused where the desired information can be obtained by particulars (O'Meara v. Stone, W. N. (1884), 72). A petitioner for the revocation of a patent was allowed to interrogate the claimant (Re Haddan, W. N. (1884), 192).

Offer to deliver particulars, &c. to be taken into account.

2. In deciding upon any application for leave to exhibit interrogatories, the Court or judge shall take into account any offer which may be made by the party sought to be interrogated, to deliver particulars, or to make admissions, or to produce documents relating to the matter in question, or any of them.

Costs of improper interrogatories.

- 3. In adjusting the costs of the cause or matter inquiry shall at the instance of any party be made into the propriety of exhibiting such interrogatories, and if it is the opinion of the taxing officer or of the Court or judge, either with or without an application for inquiry, that such interrogatories have been exhibited unreasonably, vexatiously, or at improper length, the costs occasioned by the said interrogatories and the answers thereto shall be paid in any event by the party in fault (o).
- (o) As to the costs of improper matter generally, see Ord. LXV. r. 27 (20), post.

Form of interrogatories.

- 4. Interrogatories shall be in the Form No. 6 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (p).
 - (p) For this form, see post.

Interrogating officer, &c. of corporation.

5. If any party to a cause or matter be a body corporate or a joint stock company, whether incorporated or not, or any other body of persons, empowered by law to sue or be sued, whether in its own name or in the name of any officer or other person, any opposite party may apply for an order allowing him to deliver interrogatories to any member or officer of such corporation, company or body, and an order Ord. XXXI. may be made accordingly (q).

(q) It is irregular to make an officer of a company a party for the purpose of Company or discovery, and if this is done the name of the officer will be struck out (Wilson v. corporation. Church, 9 Ch. D. 552). A member of a company should not be interrogated if there is an officer who can give the required information (Berkeley v. Standard Discount Co., 13 Ch. D. 97). When a corporation answers by an officer who is also its solicitor in the action, he cannot refuse to answer on the ground of privilege (Mayor of Swansea v. Quirk, 5 C. P. D. 106). As to the duty of a corporation with respect to discovery, see Att.-Gen. v. Burgesses of East Retford, 2 My. & K. 40;
Southwark Water Co. v. Quick, 3 Q. B. D. p. 321.

A corporation sole may be interrogated as if he were a private individual, see Corporation

Daniell, vol. ii. p. 1812.

A foreign state or power suing as plaintiff is bound to name a person to give discovery at the instance of an opposite party; see Republic of Costa Rica v. Erlanger, I Ch. D. 171; United States of America v. Wagner, 2 Ch. 582; Republic of Peru v. Weguelin, 20 Eq. 140; Prioleau v. United States, 2 Eq. 659; Republic of Liberia v. Roye, 1 App. Cas. 139.

As to garting discovery from the official liquidator in a winding-up, see Rc Confract Corporation, Gooch's Case, 7 Ch. 207; Barned's Banking Co., 2 Ch. 350.

As to inquiries from agents, see Bolckow v. Fisher, 10 Q. B. D. 161; Rasbotham v. Agents.

Shropshire Union Co., 24 Ch. D. 110.

liquidator.

6. Any objection to answering any one or more of several inter- Objection to rogatories on the ground that it or they is or are scandalous or he taken in irrelevant, or not bond fide for the purpose of the cause or matter, or affidavit in

that the matters inquired into are not sufficiently material at that stage, or on any other ground, may be taken in the affidavit in answer (r).

Scandal.

(r) As to scandal, see Ord. XIX. r. 27, and note thereto, ante, p. 360.

The following cases may be consulted as to the propriety or impropriety of particular interrogatories: Eade v. Jacobs, 3 Ex. D. 335 (conversations with deceased troular interrogatories: Eade v. Jacobs, 3 Ex. D. 335 (conversations with deceased person); Johns v. James, 13 Ch. D. 370 (inquiry as to the truth of allegations in statement of claim); Saunders v. Jones, 7 Ch. D. 435 (inquiry as to specific acts of misconduct in action for wrongful dismissal); Benbow v. Low, 16 Ch. D. 93 (an attempt to learn the details of the evidence of the other side); Lyon v. Tweddell, 13 Ch. D. 375; Roweliffe v. Leigh, 6 Ch. D. 256; Mansfield v. Childerhouse, 4 Ch. D. 82 (inquiry as to irrelevant breach of trust); Parker v. Wells, 18 Ch. D. 477; Att.-Gen. v. Gaskill, 20 Ch. D. 519; Sheward v. Lord Lonsdale, 5 C. P. D. 47.

A party may object to answer questions tending to criminate him (Fisher v. Owen, 8 Ch. D. 645; Webb v. East, 5 Ex. D. 108; Lamb v. Munster, 10 Q. B. D. 110; tending to criminate.

Where a party by his answer claims privilege, the Court will not go behind his

Improper interrogatories.

Where a party by his answer claims privilege, the Court will not go behind his answer unless it appears clearly either from the answer itself or some incorporated

document that the claim is bad (Lyell v. Kennedy, 27 Ch. D. 1).

In an action to recover land the plaintiff is entitled to discovery as to all matters Action to relevant to his own and not to the defendant's case (Lyell v. Kennedy, 8 App. Cas. 217; recover land. Horton v. Bott, 2 H. & N. 249; 26 L. J. Ex. 267).

7. Any interrogatories may be set aside on the ground that they Setting aside have been exhibited unreasonably or vexatiously (s), or struck out on or striking out interrothe ground that they are prolix, oppressive, unnecessary, or scandalous; gatories. and any application for this purpose may be made within seven days after service of the interrogatories (t).

As to these words, see Gay v. Labouchere, 4 Q. B. D. p. 207.

"Unreason-

exhibited at all, and then only where no leave has been obtained to exhibit them; tiously." the objection that any particular interrogatory is improper must be taken in the affidavit in answer, under r. 6; see McIlroy v. Duncan, W. N. (1884), 48.

Ord. XXXI.

8. Interrogatories shall be answered by affidavit to be filed within ton days, or within such other time as a judge may allow (u).

Time for answering. Costs of answer.

(u) A member of a company who has been interrogated cannot refuse to file his answer until he has been paid his costs, nor will the Court make an order for payment of his costs separately from those of the company (Berkeley v. Standard Discount Co. 13 Ch. D. 97).

Extension of time.

For form of order to extend the time, see Weston v. Cohen, W. N. (1869), 74.

The application must be supported by affidavit (Brown v. Lee, 11 Beav. 162). In Byng v. Clark, 13 Beav. 92, the time for answering was extended on five successive

Form of answer.

9. An affidavit in answer to interrogatories shall, unless otherwise ordered by a judge, if exceeding ten folios (v), be printed and shall be in the Form No. 7 in Appendix B. with such variations as circumstances may require.

Folio.

(v) A folio is seventy-two words (Ord. LXV. r. 27 (14)). As to the discretion of the Court to dispense with the printing of a schedule to the affidavit, see Webb v. Bornford, 46 L. J. Ch. 288; W. N. (1877), 5. For the form here referred to, see post.

Sufficiency of answer to be determined on motion or summons.

- 10. No exceptions shall be taken to any affidavit in answer, but the sufficiency or otherwise of any such affidavit objected to as insufficient shall be determined by the Court or a judge on motion or summons (vv).
- (vv) The duty of the Court under rules 10 and 11 is limited to considering the sufficiency or insufficiency of the answer, i.e., whether the party interrogated has answered that which he has no excuse for not answering; and only in case of insufficiency can it require a further answer (Lyell v. Kennedy, 27 Ch. D. 1).

Order for further answer.

11. If any person interrogated omits to answer, or answers insufficiently, the party interrogating may apply to the Court or a judge for an order requiring him to answer, or to answer further, as the case may be. And an order may be made requiring him to answer or answer further, either by affidavit or by vivd voce examination, as the judge may direct (w).

Application for further answer.

(w) The application should be by summons at chambers (Chesterfield v. Black, 24 W. R. 783; 13 Ch. D. 138, n.), which, in the case of a summons for a further answer, should specify the interrogatories or parts of interrogatories to which a further answer is required (Anstey v. North Woolwich Co., 11 Ch. D. 439). See also Church v. Perry, 36 L. T. 513; Ashby v. Taylor, 38 L. T. 44.

An answer may be "insufficient" by reason of its containing, in addition to the information required, impertinent or otherwise objectionable matter (Peyton v. Harting, L. R. 9 C. P. 9; Lyell v. Kennedy, 27 Ch. D. 28; and see Furber v. King, 29 W. R. 436).

Costs where answer insufficient.

As to the costs occasioned by an insufficient answer, see Litchfield v. Jones, W. N. (1884), 218. Under the old practice whether exceptions were allowed (Newton v. Dimes, 3 Jur. N. S. 583), or overruled (Stent v. Wickens, 5 De G. & Sm. 384), the costs followed the result (comp. Willis v. Childe, 13 Beav. 454); and such costs were payable immediately (Thomas v. Rawvings, 27 Beav. 375). But it was said that they should be asked for by the successful party (Earp v. Lloyd, 4 K. & J. 58; Crossley v. Stewart, 2 N. R. 57). But where an interrogatory included several questions which ought to have been distinct, and exceptions as to such interrogatory were only partly allowed, the defendant had not to pay costs (Langton v. Waite, 15 W. R.

Where some exceptions were allowed and others overruled, the costs of those warmled (Willis v. Childe; Dally v. Worhan, 32 Beav. 69).

Order for discovery of documents.

12. Any party may, without filing any affidavit, apply to the Court or a judge for an order directing any other party to any cause or matter to make discovery on oath of the documents which are or have been in Ord. XXXI. his possession or power, relating to any matter in question therein. On the hearing of such application the Court or judge may either refuse or adjourn the same, if satisfied that such discovery is not necessary, or not necessary at that stage of the cause or matter, or make such order, either generally or limited to certain classes of documents, as may, in their or his discretion, be thought fit (x).

whom order will be made.

(x) An order for discovery of documents may be made against a next friend Against (Hinginson v. Hall, 10 Ch. D. 235; but see Re Corsellis, W. N. (1883), 60; 31 W. R. whom of 414); in a petition of right against the suppliant (Tomline v. The Queen, 4 Ex. D. 252), but not against the Crown (Thomas v. The Queen, L. B. 10 Q. B. 44); and against a third party who has appeared (MacAllister v. Bishop of Rochester, 5 C. P. D. 194). See also Re M'Veagh, 1 De G. J. & S. 399; Newland v. Steer, 11 Jur. N. S. 506

liquidator.

An official liquidator will not be ordered to make an affidavit as to documents Official except under special circumstances (Re Mutual Society, 22 Ch. D. 714).

A party who has not obtained an order for discovery of documents cannot get such discovery by means of interrogatories which he has obtained leave to administer (Jacobs v. Great Western Ry. Co., W. N. (1884), 33).

A plaintiff will in general be entitled to discovery of documents after, but not Time. before, delivery of a statement of claim; see Cashin v. Craddock, 2 Ch. D. 140; Davies v. Williams, 13 Ch. D. 550; Union Bank v. Manby, ibid. 239; Hancock v. Guerin, 4 Ex. D. 3; Republic of Costa Rica v. Strousberg, 11 Ch. D. 323; Phillips v. Phillips, 40 L. T. 815.

Where administration to an intestate's estate had been granted to the solicitor to the Treasury, and subsequently an alleged next of kin instituted a suit and asked discovery as to documents, it was held that the affidavit as to documents need not he made until a prima facie case had been made by the plaintiff (Lane v. Gray, 16

Eq. 552).

The defendant must make the affidavit, though he insists that he cannot be com-The detendant must make the affidavit, though he insists that he cannot he compelled to produce any documents, for the question of liability to production is distinct from the question of sufficiency of affidavit (New British Co. v. Peed, 3 C. P. D. 196; Rumbold v. Forteath, 3 K. & J. 44; Lazarus v. Mozley, 5 Jur. N. S. 1119; Nicoll v. Jones, 13 W. R. 451; and comp. Taylor v. Rumdell, Cr. & Ph. 104, 111; Fortescue v. Fortescue, 24 W. R. 945); see, too, as to the necessity of making the affidavit, Manby v. Bewicke, 27 L. T., O. S. 55; Quin v. Ratchiff, 9 W. R. 65; Hanslip v. Kitton, 1 De G. J. & S. 440; Evans v. Louis, L. R. 1 C. P. 656.

An affidavit of documents is conclusive against the party seeking discovery unless Affidavit, it can be shown from the affidavit itself or from the documents referred to in it how far

it can be shown from the affidavit itself, or from the documents referred to in it, how far or from admissions in the pleadings, that it is insufficient, in which case an order conclusive. will be made for a further affidavit, or leave may be obtained to administer interrogatories; but it cannot be shown by a contentious affidavit that the affidavit terrogatories; but it cannot he shown by a contentious affidavit that the affidavit of documents is insufficient; see Robinson v. Budgett, W. N. (1884), 94; Jones v. Monte Video Gas Co. (C. A.), 5 Q. B. D. 556; A.-G. v. Emerson, 10 Q. B. D. 191; Ponsonby v. Hartley, W. N. (1883), 13, 44; Compagnie Financière v. Peruvian Guano Co., 11 Q. B. D. 55. Nor can there be any cross-examination on the affidavit as to documents (Manby v. Bewicke (No. 2), 8 De G. M. & G. 470; Newall v. Telegraph Co., 2 Eq. 756; Alcock v. Gill, W. N. (1869), 270). See also, as to insufficiency of the affidavit, Wright v. Pitt, 3 Ch. 809; A.-G. v. Castleford Local Board, 21 W. R. 117; Saull v. Browne, 17 Eq. 402; Nocl v. Noel, 1 De G. J. & S. 468; Westminster Co. v. Clayton, 12 W. R. 123; Bowes v. Fernie, 3 My. & C. 632; Minet v. Morgan, 8 Ch. 361.

Documents are material to the matters in question in the action if it is not un- What docureasonable to suppose that they may contain information enabling the party seeking ments matediscovery either to advance his own case or to damage that of his opponent (Com- rial. pagnie Financière v. Peruvian Guano Co., 11 Q. B. D. 55, where a further affidavit was ordered).

Even though a defendant is in contempt for non-compliance with orders of the Where Court, he is entitled to take any steps required for the purposes of his defence; and defendant where a defendant being in contempt for not having made an affidavit as to docuin contempt. ments, applied for an order that the plaintiff should make an affidavit of documents, James, V.-C., held he was entitled to the order, but the affidavit and production by the plaintiff were to be after an affidavit and production by the defendant (Haldane v. Eckford, 7 Eq. 425).

Ord. XXXI.

Affidavit to specify documents objected to be produced.

13. The affidavit, to be made by a party against whom such order as is mentioned in the last preceding rule has been made, shall specify which, if any, of the documents therein mentioned he objects to produce, and it shall be in the Form No. 8 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (y).

Objections to production.

(y) For the form of the affidavit, see post; it should be adhered to as far as possible (Anon. W. N. (1876), 39); and see Woodhatch v. Freeland, 11 W. R. 398; Mansell v. Feeney, 2 J. & H. 320. The grounds of objection to production must be clearly stated in the affidavit (Gardner v. Irvin, 4 Ex. D. 49; Webb v. East, 5 Ex. D.

As to what is a sufficient description of documents in the affidavit, see Taylor v. Batten, 4 Q. B. D. 85; Imman v. Whitley, 4 Beav. 548; Phelps v. Olive, 4 Beav. 599, n.; Fortescue v. Fortescue, 24 W. R. 945; 34 L. T. 847; Taylor v. Oliver, W. N. (1876), 241; 34 L. T. 902; Bewicke v. Graham, 7 Q. B. D. 400. An affidavit of improper length will be ordered to be taken off the file, with costs (Walker v. Poole, 110). 21. Ch. D. 835, and cases there cited).

Insufficiency of affidavit.

As to costs of perusing the affidavit, see Betts v. Cleaver, 7 Ch. 513. If the affidavit is considered to be informal or insufficient, a summons should be taken out to consider its sufficiency (Daniell, vol. ii. p. 1838; Robinson v. Webster, W. N. (1869), 81).

Court may order produc-tion of documents.

14. It shall be lawful for the Court or a judge, at any time during the pendency of any cause or matter, to order the production by any party thereto, upon oath, of such of the documents in his possession or power, relating to any matter in question in such cause or matter, as the Court or judge shall think right; and the Court may deal with such documents, when produced, in such manner as shall appear just(z).

Production of documents.

(z) Production is a matter of right and not a matter in the discretion of the judge, provided, of course, that the documents are not privileged; see *Bustros* v. *White*, 1 Q. B. D. 423; *Anderson* v. *Bank of British Columbia*, 2 Ch. D. 644. For the principal grounds of objection to discovery or production, see note (n) to rule 1, ante, p. 382; and Daniell, vol. ii. p. 1852.

An order may be made to produce documents for the purpose of an appeal (Re

Production for purpose of appeal.

Possession of agent. Solicitor's

An order may be made to produce documents for the purpose of an appeal (Re National Funds Assurance Co., W. N. (1876), 192).

A party must produce relevant documents in the possession of his solicitor or agent, and cannot refuse because the solicitor says they are irrelevant, unless he himself has inspected them (M'Intosh v. Great Western Ry. Co., 4 De G. M. & G. 544; Manby v. Bevicke (3), 8 De G. M. & G. 476); nor can the solicitor refuse, because he claims his ordinary lien (Lockett v. Carey, 10 Jur. N. S. 144; Höpe v. Liddell, 7 De G. M. & G. 331; Re Cameron's Coalbrook Company, 25 Beav. 1); see Re Gregson, 26 Beav. 87; North v. Huber, 29 Beav. 437; Vale v. Oppert, 10 Ch. 340; Belaney v. Ffrench, 8 Ch. 918; and cases, infra, on documents in pawn. If they are the agent's private property they need not be produced (Colyer v. Colyer, 9 W. R. 452; but see Bishop of Winchester v. Bowker, ibid. 404).

Where the documents ordered to be produced are in a foreign country, the party required to produce them must show not only that it would be difficult to obtain them, but that he has tried and failed (Mertens v. Haigh, 11 W. R. 792); and as to documents abroad, see Freeman v. Fairlie, 3 Mer. 44.

Documents abroad.

lien.

documents ahroad, see Freeman v. Fairlie, 3 Mer. 44.

 \mathbf{W} here stranger to the suit has possession,

Documents in the possession of a stranger to the suit previously to its institution cannot be ordered to be produced in his absence (Burbridge v. Robinson, 2 M. & G. 244; see Bovill v. Cowan, 18 W. R. 533); and if such person has covenanted to produce the documents for the maintenance and justification of the title of a party to the suit, he cannot be ordered to produce them in a suit hostile to such party (Bethell v. Casson, 1 H. & M. 806); but full information must be given as to the nature of such documents.

or joint interest. Similarly, where a person, not a party to the suit, has a joint interest in the documents (*Edmonds* v. *Foley*, 30 Beav. 282; *Bayley* v. *Cass*, 10 W. R. 370; *Ford* v. *Dolphin*, 1 Dr. 222; *Lord Eglinton* v. *Lamb*, 14 W. R. 170), the documents will be protected (*Murray* v. *Walter*, Cr. & Ph. 114; *Kearsley* v. *Philips*, 10 Q. B. D. 465); but full information must be given in the affidavit (*Bovill* v. *Cowan*, 39 L. J. Ch. 768, and see observations of Lord Cottenham in Taylor v. Rundell, Cr. & Ph. 104,

111). Thus, directors of a company could not be ordered to produce documents in Ord. XXXI,

111). Thus, directors of a company could not be ordered to produce documents in which other directors had a joint interest (Penney v. Goode, 1 Dr. 474; Reid v. Langlois, 1 M. & G. 627; Lazarus v. Mozley, 5 Jur. N. S. 1120; Hadley v. Macdongall, 7 Ch. 312; but of. Plant v. Kendrick, L. R. 10 C. P. 692). And see, for other cases of joint interest, Liddell v. Norton, Kay, App. xi, where the documents had been pawned before the institution of the cuit, and the defendant was too poor to redeem them; Re Williams, 7 Jur. N. S. 323; North v. Huber, 29 Beav. 437.

So no order can be made for inspection of documents in the custody of the Court having jurisdiction in lunacy (Vivian v. Little, 11 Q. B. D. 370).

But where a defendant had in his possession letters written to him by a person not party to the suit, which were admitted to be material, he was compelled to produce them, though they were marked "private and confidential," and though the sender objected to the production (Hopkinson v. Lord Burghley, 2 Ch. 447; 16

W. R. 543, where Lord Cairns, L. J., said, "the sender of the letter must be supposed to have given an authority to the receiver to use it for every lawful purpose, and it has been held that publication is not such a lawful purpose. But if there can be one purpose more lawful than another, it would be to produce the letter in a court of justice for the furtherance of the ends of justice"); and see Penkethman v. White, 2 W. R. 380; Lee v. Hammerton, 12 W. R. 975, where the report of a medical officer of an insurance company being material, had to be produced, though confidential. An undertaking not to use the letters for any collateral purpose must be given in such a case (Hopkinson v. Lord Burghley; letters. collateral purpose must be given in such a case (Hopkinson v. Lord Burghley; Richardson v. Hastings, 7 Beav. 354); and generally when the plaintiff obtains an order for production and inspection of documents, he does so upon an implied under-

order for production and inspection of documents, he does so upon an implied undertaking not to make public any information so obtained, or to communicate such information to persons not parties to the suit (Williams v. The Prince of Wales Assurance Co., 23 Beav. 338); and, it seems, the Court would grant an injunction to restrain him from so doing (ibid.). See, too, Reynolds v. Godlee, 4 K. & J. 88; and Enthoven v. Cobb, 5 De G. & Sm. 595; on appeal, 2 De G. M. & G. 632; Bowen v. Pearson, 11 W. R. 819; Hutchinson v. Glover, 1 Q. B. D. 138.

Where a co-defendant has an interest in the documents scheduled, he should be served with the summons to produce (Gresley v. Mousley, 2 K. & J. 288). Even if the Court should hold that there are grounds for not ordering production of the be given as documents on account of a third person's interest therein, still the party must give to protected all the information in his power as to such documents as he has partial possession documents. of, and make discovery of their contents so far as they are material (Taylor v. Rundell, Cr. & Ph. 104; Bovill v. Covan, 15 W. R. 608; Clinch v. Financial Corporation, 2 Eq. 271). And as to the expense of getting such information, see Bethell v. Casson, 1 H. & M. 806.

As to relevancy, see note (x), supra. It has been doubted whether a document Relating to

As to relevancy, see note (x), supra. It has been doubted whether a document Relating to required only for comparison of handwriting is a relevant document (Wilson v. matters in Thornbury, 17 Eq. 517).

15. Every party to a cause or matter shall be entitled, at any time, Notice to by notice in writing, to give notice to any other party, in whose produce for pleadings or affidavits (a) reference is made to any document, to documents produce such document for the inspection of the party giving such referred to in notice, or of his solicitor, and to permit him or them to take copies affidavits. thereof; and any party not complying with such notice shall not afterwards be at liberty to put any such document in evidence on his behalf in such cause or matter, unless he shall satisfy the Court or a judge that such document relates only to his own title, he being a defendant to the cause or matter, or that he had some other cause or excuse which the Court or judge shall deem sufficient for not complying with such notice: in which case the Court or judge may allow the same to be put in evidence on such terms as to costs and otherwise as the Court or judge shall think fit (b).

 (a) These words include particulars of claim (Cass v. Fitzgerald, W. N. (1884), 18). "Pleadings or
 (b) Under this rule production will be ordered at once of documents referred to in affidavits." the pleadings, unless some good reason against it can be shown; see Quiter v. Heatly, 23 Ch. D. 42; Webster v. Whewall, 15 Ch. D. 120. The privilege claimed for documents is not lost by their being referred to in the pleadings; the penalty

question.

Ord. XXXI. for non-production is that they cannot afterwards be used in evidence (Roberts v. Oppenheim, 26 Ch. D. 724).

No costs of a notice or inspection under this rule will be allowed unless there was good reason for it (Ord. LXV. r. 27 (17)).

Form of notice.

Costs.

- 16. Notice to any party to produce any documents referred to in his pleading or affidavits shall be in the Form No. 9 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (c).
 - (c) For this form, see post.

Time and place for inspection.

17. The party to whom such notice is given shall, within two days from the receipt of such notice, if all the documents therein referred to have been set forth by him in such affidavit as is mentioned in rule 13, or if any of the documents referred to in such notice have not been set forth by him in any such affidavit, then within four days from the receipt of such notice, deliver to the party giving the same a notice stating a time within three days from the delivery thereof at which the documents, or such of them as he does not object to produce, may be inspected at the office of his solicitor, or in the case of bankers' books. or other books of account, or books in constant use for the purposes of any trade or business, at their usual place of custody, and stating which (if any) of the documents he objects to produce, and on what ground (d). Such notice shall be in the Form No. 10 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (e).

Books.

Form.

(d) This provision as to books in use in business merely adopts the old practice in Chancery; see Mertens v. Haigh, Johns. 735; Hooper v. Gumm, 2 J. & H. 602. As to costs where documents are produced at the solicitor's office, see Brown v. Sewell, 16 Ch. D. 517.

(e) For this form, see post.

Order for inspection.

18. If the party served with notice under rule 17 omits to give such notice of a time for inspection or objects to give inspection, or offers inspection elsewhere than at the office of his solicitor, the judge may, on the application of the party desiring it, make an order for inspection in such place and in such manner as he may think fit; and, except in the case of documents referred to in the pleadings or affidavits of the party against whom the application is made, or disclosed in his affidavit of documents, such application shall be founded upon an affidavit showing of what documents inspection is sought, that the party applying is entitled to inspect them, and that they are in the possession or power of the other party (f).

(f) If the defendant is required to produce or obtain information as to deeds, &c., not in his possession, he is entitled to have the costs of so doing first tendered to him (Bethell v. Casson, 1 H. & M. 806); see note to r. 14, ante.

A plaintiff who obtains the common order for production may inspect documents which are ordinarily produced only on payment of customary fees (e.g., court rolls), without payment of such fees (Hoare v. Wilson, 4 Eq. 1).

The order gives liberty to inspect to "the applicant, his solicitor and agent:"

Seton, p. 136.

Inspection by agent.

So an undertaking to produce "to the plaintiff," means "to the plaintiff, his solicitors and agents," unless that be guarded against by the terms of the undertaking (Williams v. Prince of Wales Assurance Company, 23 Beav. 338); but neither it nor the common order authorises inspection by a non-professional relative of the plaintiff, though alleged to be the only person acquainted with the accounts to be inspected

(Summerfield v. Pritchard, 17 Beav. 9). The plaintiff's agent, for the purposes of inspection, ought, it seems, to be a legal agent, or at least a general agent, and not one appointed for the special purpose (Draper v. Manchester, &c. Railway Company, 3 De

G. F. & J. 23).

But on a special application, and on a special case being made out for it, an accountant may be allowed to inspect documents (Bonnardet v. Taylor, 1 J. & H. 383); but not an accountant who has any personal interest in the case (Draper v. Manchester, But not an accountant who has any personal interest in the case (Draper v. Manchester, &c. Railway); see, however, Lindsay v. Gladstone, 3 Eq. 132; Att.-Gen. v. Whitwood Local Board, 19 W. R. 1107. Thus, in Re Joint Stock Discount Company, 15 W. R. 99, an accountant was allowed to inspect the books of a company in course of being wound up on behalf of the shareholders on certain conditions; and where the issue in a cause depended in a great measure upon the state of the originals of certain engineering plans and documents, and the defendant deposed that he was not-possessed of any engineering knowledge, and that the inspection of the documents would be useless to him without the aid and assistance of an engineer, the order for production and inspection was directed to extend to the defendant's surveyor (Stoansea Vale and inspection was directed to extend to the defendant's surveyor (Swansea Vale Railway Company v. Budd, 2 Eq. 274). In Republic of Peru v. Weguelin, 41 L. J. Ch. 165, where the documents were very numerous, a room was hired and special directions given as to inspection. Where a creditor supported his claim in chambers by the respective of the state of t by the production of certain documents which the plaintiff believed to be forged, liberty was given to have them examined by scientific persons to test their genuineness, the creditor's solicitor being allowed to be present at such examination (Groves v. Groves, Kay, App. xix.; 2 W. R. 86); hut it is only under special circumstances that an order will be made for inspection by intended witnesses (Boyd v. Petrie, 3 Ch. 818). The common order for production does not authorise inspection by a co-defendant (Bartley v. Bartley, 1 Dr. 233). Inspection by plaintiff's counsel was allowed in Blair v. Massey, I. R. 5 Eq. 623.

The fact that an order has been made for production of documents at a particular place, does not prevent the Court making a fresh order appointing a different place if circumstances render it desirable (Prestney v. Corporation of Colchester, 24 Ch.

No lien for costs attaches, even in favour of the next friend of an infant No lien for plaintiff, who has repudiated the suit, upon any deed brought in merely to enable costs on deeds the plaintiff to obtain inspection for the purposes of discovery (Dunn, v. Dunn, produced. 3 Drew. 17; 7 De G. M. & G. 635). As soon, therefore, as the purposes of discovery are answered, the deed will be ordered to be re-delivered to the producing party

The order for production will give leave to seal up immaterial parts (Mansell v. Sealing up Feeney (2), 2 J. & H. 320; Heugh v. Garrett, 32 L. T. 45; Quilter v. Heatly, 23 Ch. irrelevant D. 42); and where defendants ordered to produce had omitted to state their desire parts. to seal up part of a book in their original affidavit, they were allowed to make a special application for leave to do so by summons without paying the costs thereof

(Talbot v. Marshfield, 1 Eq. 6).

A party under such order is justified in making copies, &c., of all parts of the document produced not sealed up under the terms of the order (Coleman v. West Hartlepool Harbour Company, 5 L. T. 266).

As to the course where the parts of a document for which privilege is claimed are

so interspersed with the rest of the document that sealing up would be impossible, see Churton v. Frewin, 2 Dr. & S. 394; Kettlewell v. Barstow, 7 Ch. 686.

As to production of partnership books, see Re Pickering, 25 Ch. D. 247, where it Partnership was held that as the plaintiff and defendant were both interested in the partnership books. property the defendant was not entitled to the ordinary power to seal up such entries as he might swear to be irrelevant, but only entries relating to certain specified private matters mentioned in the order.

19. An order upon the lord of a manor to allow limited inspection Inspection of of the Court rolls may be made on the application of a copyhold tenant Court rolls supported by an affidavit that he has applied for inspection, and that the same has been refused (q).

- (g) Where an order for production has been obtained the tenant is entitled to Fees. inspection without payment of the customary fees (*Hoare* v. Wilson, 4 Eq. 1). As to production of Court rolls of a manor, see Warrick v. Queen's College, 3 Eq. 683).
- 20. If the party from whom discovery of any kind or inspection is Determinasought objects to the same, or any part thereof, the Court or a judge tion of ques-

tions before discovery.

Ord. XXXI. may, if satisfied that the right to the discovery or inspection sought depends on the determination of any issue or question in dispute in the cause or matter, or that for any other reason it is desirable that any issue or question in dispute in the cause or matter should be determined before deciding upon the right to the discovery or inspection, order that such issue or question be determined first, and reserve the question as to the discovery or inspection (h).

Right to discovery depending on decision of action.

(h) Thus, where the issue raised was whether the defendant had agreed to pay the plaintiff a sixth of his profits, the plaintiff was not entitled to the production of the books and accounts of the defendant before the hearing (Turney v. Bayley, 12 W. R. 633; see Mansell v. Feeney, 2 J. & H. 323); so where in a patent suit an interrogatory assumed infringement (De la Rue v. Dickinson, 3 K. & J. 388; Crossley v. Stevart, 1 N. R. 426; Forbes v. Tanner, ibid. 464; Kay v. Hargreaves, 14 L. T. 281; Finnegan v. James, 19 Eq. 72: Hoffman v. Postill, 4 Ch. 673); see as to the discretion of the Court in these cases, where the plaintiff asks for discovery to which he is not entitled if he is wrong, and which if he wins, will be his as a

discretion of the Court in these cases, where the plaintiff asks for discovery to which he is not entitled if he is wrong, and which, if he wins, will be his as a matter of course, Elmer v. Creasy, 9 Ch. 69; Lett v. Parry, 1 H. & M. 517; Lockett v. Lockett, 4 Ch. 336: Saull v. Browne, 17 Eq. 402; 9 Ch. 364; G. W. Colliery Company v. Tucker, 9 Ch. 376; Thompson v. Dunn, 5 Ch. 573; Carver v. Pinto Leite, 5 Ch. 90; Heugh v. Garrett, 32 L. T. 45.

Where the materiality of the discovery depends upon the determination of the question in dispute, and the discovery sought is likely to cause considerable trouble and to prove offensive to the person from whom it is sought, the Court will, under this rule, postpone the discovery until the question has been determined (Wood v. Anglo-Italian Bank, 34 L. T. 255). See also Re Leigh, Roweliffe v. Leigh, 6 Ch. D. 256; Saunders v. Jones, 7 Ch. D. 435; Benbow v. Low, 16 Ch. D. 93; Verminek v. Edwards, 29 W. R. 189; Parker v. Wells, 18 Ch. D. 477; Whyte v. Ahrens, 26 Ch. D. 717.

D. 717.

Penalties for refusing to answer or make discovery.

- 21. If any party fails to comply with any order to answer interrogatories, or for discovery or inspection of documents, he shall be liable to attachment. He shall also, if a plaintiff, be liable to have his action dismissed for want of prosecution, and, if a defendant, to have his defence, if any, struck out, and to be placed in the same position as if he had not defended, and the party interrogating may apply to the Court or a judge for an order to that effect, and an order may be made accordingly (i).
- (i) Where a husband and wife were ordered to make discovery and the husband where a ausuana and whe were ordered to make discovery and the husband absoonded and the wife alone made the discovery, it was held a substantial compliance with the order (Hartley v. Owen, W. N. (1876), 193; 34 L. T. 752).

 This rule takes the place of Cons. Ord. XII., by which a defendant might be attached for not answering. It does not authorize attachment for not giving names of partners under Ord. XVI. r. 14, or for not giving in accounts under Ord. XV. (Pike v. Keene, 24 W. R. 322).

 This not immerative on the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the Court to dismiss the entire under the rule (Hartley or the rule (

It is not imperative on the Court to dismiss the action under the rule (Hartley v. Owen; and see also Twyeross v. Grant, W. N. (1875), 201, 225); but it will generally be dismissed for default on the part of the plaintiff (Republic of Liberia v. Roye, 9 Ch. 569; 1 App. Cas, 139).

Ord. LII. r. 4, applies to a notice of motion to commit under this rule (*Litchfield* v. Jones, 25 Ch. D. 64).

See, generally, as to attachment, Ord. XLIV., post.

Order for interrogatories, &c. may be served on solicitor.

22. Service of an order for interrogatories or discovery or inspection made against any party on his solicitor shall be sufficient service to found an application for an attachment for disobedience to the order (k). But the party against whom the application for an attachment is made may show in answer to the application that he has had no notice or Ord. XXXI. knowledge of the order.

- (k) See Joy v. Hadley, 22 Ch. D. 571; Re Muloaster, W. N. (1878), 81; 26 W. R. Service.
- 23. A solicitor, upon whom an order against any party for interro. Solicitor to gatories or discovery or inspection is served under the last preceding give notice of order to rule, who neglects without reasonable excuse to give notice thereof to client. his client, shall be liable to attachment.

24. Any party may, at the trial of a cause, matter, or issue, use in Part only of evidence any one or more of the answers or any part of an answer of be used in the opposite party to interrogatories without putting in the others or evidence at the whole of such answer: Provided always, that in such case the trial. judge may look at the whole of the answers, and if he shall be of opinion that any others of them are so connected with those put in that the last-mentioned answers ought not to be used without them, he may direct them to be put in (l).

- (l) For the practice in the Court of Chancery, as to reading the defendant's answer to interrogatories, see Seton, p. 29, and cases there cited.
- 25. In every cause, or matter, the costs of discovery, by interroga- Security to be tories or otherwise, shall, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a given for costs of discovery. judge, be secured in the first instance as provided by Rule 26 of this Order, by the party seeking such discovery, and shall be allowed as part of his costs where, and only where, such discovery shall appear to the judge at the trial, or, if there is no trial, to the Court or a judge. or shall appear to the taxing officer, to have been reasonably asked for (m).

(m) Security will not be dispensed with except for some good reason (Compagnie Dispensing du Pacifique v. Guano Co., W. N. (1883), 166), and certainly not merely because the with security. other side is ready to waive the deposit (Hall v. Liardet (No. 2), W. N. (1883), 175; Aste v. Stumore, 13 Q. B. D. 326). But poverty is a sufficient ground (Burr v. Hubbard, W. N. (1883), 198; Smith v. Went, W. N. (1884), 81). Where, however, though the plaintiff was poor, his action was supported by an association and a public subscription, security was required (Henderson v. Ripley, W. N. (1884), 85).

Where interrogatories are delivered to several defendants, the deposit must be Several sets made in respect of each set of interrogatories (Smith v. Reed, W. N. (1883), 196). of interroga-But a single deposit is sufficient before an application for an order for discovery of tories. documents is made against several plaintiffs (Campbell v. Lord Poulett, W. N. (1884),

48).

26. Any party seeking discovery by interrogatories shall, before Amount of delivery of interrogatories, pay into Court to a separate account in the security. action, to be called "Security for Costs Account," (n) to abide further order, the sum of 51., and, if the number of folios exceeds five, the further sum of 10s. for every additional folio. Any party seeking discovery otherwise than by interrogatories shall, before making application for discovery, pay into Court, to a like account, to abide further order, the sum of 5l., and may be ordered further to pay into Court as aforesaid such additional sum as the Court or a judge shall direct. The party seeking discovery shall, with his interrogatories or order for discovery, serve a copy of the receipt for the said payment

Ord. XXXI. into Court, and the time for answering or making discovery shall in all cases commence from the date of such service (o). The party from whom discovery is sought shall not be required to answer or make discovery unless and until the said payment has been made.

Security for costs. Receipt.

- (n) The deposit is security for the general costs of the suit (Jubb v. Bibbs, W. N.
- (1883), 208).

 (o) This rule is construed strictly (Jones v. Jones, W. N. (1884), 17).

 See also note to r. 25; and see Supreme Court Funds Rules, rr. 30 and 44, ante, pp. 222, 226.

Security, how to be dealt with.

- 27. Unless the Court or a judge shall at or before the trial otherwise order, the amount standing to the credit of the "Security for Costs Account" in any cause or matter, shall after the cause or matter has been finally disposed of be paid out to the party by whom the same was paid in on his request, or to his solicitor on such party's written authority, in the event of the costs of the cause or matter being adjudged to him, but, in the event of the Court or judge ordering him to pay the costs of the cause or matter, the amount in Court shall be subject to a lien for the costs ordered to be paid to any other party (p).
 - (p) See Jubb v. Bibbs, cited in note to rule 26.

Where no taxation is required.

- 27A. If after a cause or matter has been finally disposed of, by consent or otherwise, no taxation of costs shall be required, the taxing officer, master, or chief clerk (as the case may be) may, either by consent of the parties, or on being satisfied that any party who has lodged any money to the "Security for Costs Account" in such cause or matter has become entitled to have the same paid out to him, give a certificate to that effect, which certificate shall be acted on and have effect in all respects as if the same had been an order made in the said cause or matter (pp).
 - (pp) This rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884.

Discovery in action against or by sheriff.

28. In any action against or by a sheriff in respect of any matters connected with the execution of his office, the Court or a judge may, on the application of either party, order that the affidavit to be made in answer either to interrogatories or to an order for discovery shall be made by the officer actually concerned.

ORDER XXXII.

Admissions.

Notice of admissions.

- 1. Any party to a cause or matter may give notice, by his pleading, or otherwise in writing, that he admits the truth of the whole or any part of the case of any other party (q).
 - (q) As to admissions on the pleadings, see Ord. XIX. r. 13, ante, p. 358.

2. Either party may call upon the other party to admit any docu- Ord. XXXII. ment, saving all just exceptions; and in case of refusal or neglect to Notice to admit, after such notice, the costs of proving any such document shall admit docube paid by the party so neglecting or refusing, whatever the result of ments. the cause or matter may be, unless at the trial or hearing the Court or a judge shall certify that the refusal to admit was reasonable; and no costs of proving any document shall be allowed unless such notice be given, except where the omission to give the notice is, in the opinion of the taxing officer, a saving of expense (r).

- (r) This rule is taken from sect. 7 of Lord Cairns' Act (now repealed). Admissions between co-defendants to which the plaintiff is not a party cannot be entered as evidence as against the plaintiff, and therefore cannot be included in an order for payment of the costs of the action (Dodds v. Tuke, 25 Ch. D. 617).
- 3. A notice to admit documents shall be in the Form No. 11 in Form of Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (s).
 - (s) For this form, see post.
- 4. Any party may, by notice in writing, at any time not later than Notice to nine days before the day for which notice of trial has been given, call on any other party to admit, for the purposes of the cause, matter, or issue only, any specific fact or facts mentioned in such notice. And in case of refusal or neglect to admit the same within six days after service of such notice, or within such further time as may be allowed by the Court or a judge, the costs of proving such fact or facts shall be paid by the party so neglecting or refusing, whatever the result of the cause, matter, or issue may be, unless at the trial or hearing the Court or a judge certify that the refusal to admit was reasonable, or unless the Court or a judge shall at any time otherwise order or direct. Provided that any admission made in pursuance of such notice is to be deemed to be made only for the purposes of the particular cause, matter, or issue, and not as an admission to be used against the party on any other occasion or in favour of any person other than the party giving the notice: provided also, that the Court or a judge may at any time allow any party to amend or withdraw any admission so made on such terms as may be just (t).
- (t) A notice to admit facts cannot be set aside (Crawford v. Chorley, W. N. (1883), 198).
- 5. A notice to admit facts shall be in the Form No. 12 in Appendix Form of B., and admissions of facts shall be in the Form No. 13 in Appendix notice. B., with such variations as circumstances may require (u).
 - (u) For the forms here referred to, see post.
- 6. Any party may at any stage of a cause or matter, where admissions Judgment on of fact have been made, either on the pleadings, or otherwise, apply to the Court or a judge for such judgment or order as upon such admissions he may be entitled to, without waiting for the determination of any other question between the parties; and the Court or a judge

Ord. XXXII. may upon such application make such order, or give such judgment, as the Court or judge may think just (v).

Judgment on admissions.

Further consideration

may be reserved. Order not made as of course.

(v) The corresponding provision of the repealed rules (Ord. XL. r. 11), was limited to "admissions of fact in the pleadings," under the present rule the admissions may be made "either on the pleadings or otherwise." The application for judgment is usually made by motion in Court, though under special circumstances where it is very desirable to avoid expense it may be made by summons (Cook v. Heynes, W. N. (1884), 75; Gough v. Heatley, W. N. (1884), 14; 32 W. R. 385; 49 L. T. 772; Padgett v. Binns, W. N. (1884), 10).

Cases.

Orders were made under the repealed rule in the following cases: for administration (Hetherington v. Longrigg, 10 Ch. D. 162); for accounts (Turquand v. Wilson, 1 Ch. D. 85; Martin v. Gale, 4 Ch. D. 428; Runsey v. Reade, 1 Ch. D. 643); for execution of the trusts of a settlement (Bennett v. Moore, 1 Ch. D. 692); for dissolutions of the trusts of the trust Execution of partnership (Thorp v. Holdsworth, 3 Ch. D. 637); for partition (Burnell v. Burnell, 11 Ch. D. 213; Gilbert v. Smith, 2 Ch. D. 686); for foreclosure (Rutter v. Tregent, 12 Ch. D. 758; Barnard v. Weiland, W. N. (1882), 103; 30 W. R. 947); and in an action against husband and wife where the joint statement of defence showed no defence as regarded the husband (Jenkins v. Davies, 1 Ch. D. 696).

The order may reserve further consideration, in which case it should contain a statement that the Court does not require any further trial of the action (Bennett v.

Moore; Gilbert v. Smith; Brassington v. Cussons, 24 W. R. 881).

It is discretionary with the judge whether or not to make an order, and the Court of Appeal will not interfere with his discretion (Mellor v. Sidebottom, 5 Ch. D. 342). An order will only he made in a clear case (Chilton v. Corporation of London, 7 Ch. D. 735); and see Mersey Steamship Co. v. Shuttleworth, 11 Q. B. D. 531; 10

Q. B. D. 486. The procedure under the rule is optional, and a party who does not avail himself of it does not lose his right to rely on admissions at the trial (Tildesley v. Harper, 7. Ch. D. 403; 10 Ch. D. 393).

An order may be made after issus joined and notice of trial given (Brown v. Pears, W. N. (1882), 45; 30 W. R. 436).

son, W. N. (1882), 45; 30 W. R. 400).

Where defendants in a foreclosure action craved leave to refer to the deeds menwhere detendants in a forecostic action craved leave to refer to the deeds inentioned in the statement of claim, and only admitted them subject to their heing produced and proving to be to the effect stated (which was done), this was held a sufficient admission (Barnard v. Weiland, W. N. (1882), 103; 30 W. R. 947).

A lessor who claims possession is entitled to judgment against the lessee on admissions when the only defence set up is that the lessee is not in possession, and that all his interest has passed to his trustee in hankruptcy (Croft v. Collingwood,

W. N. (1884), 33).
Where one defendant does not appear and another appears and defends, the where one detendant does not appear and another appears and defends, the plaintiff may apply for judgment upon admissions against the latter, and proceed against the former under Ord. XXVII. (Parsons v. Harris, 6 Ch. D. 634; Bridson v. Smith, 24 W. R. 392; W. N. (1876), 103).

Where the plaintiff has replied specially the defendant may apply on admissions to dismiss the action (Pascoe v. Richards, W. N. (1881), 11; 29 W. R. 330); but it has been held that a defendant cannot move on admissions for judgment on his counterplain only (Paster Marloyee, 2 Ch. D. 106)

counterclaim only (Rolfe v. Maelaren, 3 Ch. D. 106).

Evidence of admissions.

Where one

defendant does not appear. Application hy defendant.

> 7. An affidavit of the solicitor or his clerk, of the due signature of any admissions made in pursuance of any notice to admit documents or facts, shall be sufficient evidence of such admissions, if evidence thereof be required.

Form of notice to produce.

- 8. Notice to produce documents shall be in the Form No. 14 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require. An affidavit of the solicitor, or his clerk, of the service of any notice to produce, and of the time when it was served, with a copy of the notice to produce, shall in all cases be sufficient evidence of the service of the notice, and of the time when it was served (w).
 - (w) For this form, see post.

9. If a notice to admit or produce comprises documents which are not documents.

Unnecessary

necessary, the costs occasioned thereby shall be borne by the party Ord. XXXII. giving such notice.

ORDER XXXIII.

Issues, Inquiries, and Accounts.

1. Where in any cause or matter it appears to the Court or a judge Settlement that the issues of fact in dispute are not sufficiently defined, the parties of issues. may be directed to prepare issues, and such issues shall, if the parties differ, be settled by the Court or a judge.

2. The Court or a judge may, at any stage of the proceedings in a Inquiries or cause or matter, direct any necessary inquiries or accounts to be made be directed. or taken, notwithstanding that it may appear that there is some special or further relief sought for or some special issue to be tried, as to which it may be proper that the cause or matter should proceed in the. ordinary manner (x).

(x) This rule generalises the provisions of Cons. Ord. XX., which extended only to preliminary accounts and inquiries, and Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 19, which authorised the Court to order any further accounts or inquiries which might become expedient in prosecuting a decree. Both these orders are now repealed. Under the former (Cons. Ord. XX.) it was held that the Court would not act where some the former (Cons. Ord. XX.) it was held that the Court would not act where some of the defendants were out of the jurisdiction (Derbishire v. Home, 14 Jur. 969; see Barrett v. Buck, 2 Hare, 520); or where some of the defendants objected that persons not parties were interested (Ibid.; and see Logan v. Baines, 10 Sim. 604); for the inquiry must be binding on all parties (Meinertzhagen v. Davis, 10 Sim. 289; but see now Ord. XVI. r. 9, ante). Nor was the rule acted upon where the plaintiff's title (Wilson v. Applegarth, 10 Sim. 657; Kinshela v. Lee, 7 Beav. 300), or the substantial equity of the case (Beleher v. Whitemore, 7 Beav. 245) was denied by the defendant. See, too, Wallis v. Sarel, 8 Jur. 640; and Reed v. Don Pedro Mining Company, 11 W. R. 935, where the Lords Justices refused to direct a reference as to title, one of the defences set up by the answer being that the title was not made out in time. not made ont in time.

In Foxlowe v. Amcoats, 4 Jur. 1053, a suit for specific performance, the Court on motion under this rule referred it to the master to inquire whether the plaintiff had shown a good title, and when first, without prejudice to the question of specific performance. And in general the inquiries were such as would have been directed at the hearing (Meinertzhagen v. Davis, 10 Sim. 289); and they were regulated by the nature of the suit (Collinson v. Ballard, 2 Hare, 119); and they were not such as would involve the decision of the questions at issue in the cause (Curd v. Curd, 2 Hare, 116; Breeze v. English, id. 118; Lee v. Shaw, 10 Sim. 369; Frost v.

Hamilton, 4 Beav. 33).

Any further accounts or inquiries directed after judgment must, it is conceived, be such only as are auxiliary to the working out of the judgment, not such as are at variance with its principle (Partington v. Reynolds, 4 Drew. 253; 4 Jur. N. S. 200); and no addition can in general be made to a judgment, except as to something raised upon the pleadings (Foster v. Foster, 3 Ch. 330). See, also, Nelson v. Booth, 3 De G. & J. 119; Curling v. Austin, 2 Dr. & Sm. 129.

An order charging an executor with wilful default may be made at any time during the progress of the action, provided he is so charged in the pleadings, either originally or by amendment properly introduced, i. e., before judgment (Mayer v. Murray, 8 Ch. D. 424; Job v. Job, 6 Ch. D. 562; Barber v. Mackrell, 12 Ch. D. 534; and see Re Brier, 26 Ch. D. 238). Such an order may be made where the statement of claim alleges wilful default, though at the trial the Court gave no relief on that footing, but, nevertheless, did not dismiss the claim to such relief (Re Symons, Luke v. Tonkin, 21 Ch. D. 757). Charges of wilful default, however, ought as a rule to be disposed of at the hearing, and not left over to be raised afterwards (Smith v. Armitage, 24 Ch. D. 727). wards (Smith v. Armitage, 24 Ch. D. 727).

An exception to the above rule occurs in the case of a mortgagee in possession, Exception. who is always ordered to account on the footing of wilful default in respect of property of which he has taken possession, though no charge of wilful default has been made on the pleadings or proved at the trial (Mayer v. Murray, 8 Ch. D. 424; Lord Kensington v. Bouverie, 7 De G. M. & G. 134).

O. XXXIII.

Where the plaintiff has obtained a common administration decree he cannot bring a subsequent action against the same defendant, charging wilful default, without the leave of the Court (Laming v. Gee, 10 Ch. D. 715; Harvey v. Bradley, 4 Eq. 13). See further, as to wilful default, Seton, 476, and cases there cited.

An application for accounts or inquiries under this rule is ordinarily made by summons served on all parties; it need not, as a general rule, be supported by evidence (Derivell 570, 1011).

Application, how made.

dence (Daniell, 570, 1011).

An order, adding to a judgment, may be appealed from in the usual way (Foster v. Foster, 3 Ch. 330).

Special directions as to mode of taking account.

Appeal.

3. The Court or a judge may, either by the judgment or order directing an account to be taken or by any subsequent order, give special directions with regard to the mode in which the account is to be taken or vouched, and in particular may direct that in taking the account, the books of account in which the accounts in question have been kept shall be taken as prima facie evidence of the truth of the matters therein contained, with liberty to the parties interested to take such objections thereto as they may be advised (y).

(y) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 54, now repealed.

Application,

c. 86, s. 54, now repeated.

Applications under this rule, after the hearing, should be made by summons at chambers; see Hardwick v. Wright, 15 W. R. 953; Banks v. Cartwright, W. N. (1867), 27; 15 W. R. 417.

Special directions for including certain costs were held unnecessary in a redemption suit (Blackford v. Davis, 4 Ch. 304).

Special accounts of the sale of a mortgaged estate were directed against a mortgagee with had been in possession (Wolff v. Vanderzee, 17 W. R. 547); and see Nelson v. Booth, 3 De G. & J. 119; Dean v. Thwaite, 21 Beav. 621; Hobson v. Jones, 0. Fo. 453, 469. 9 Eq. 456, 462.

Books prima facie evidence.

how made. Special directions as to mortgaged property.

> In taking the ordinary accounts in an administration suit, the chief clerk admits a settled account without an order (Newen v. Wetten, 31 Beav. 315); but he may not take books as prima facie evidence without such order (Cookes v. Cookes, 11 W. R. 817).

Where Court will and will not act under the rule.

As to special directions where vouchers have been lost, &c., see Lodge v. Pritehard, 3 De G. M. & G. 906; Ewart v. Williams, 7 De G. M. & G. 74.

For cases where accounts were ordered to be taken as prima facie evidence of the truth of the matters therein contained against the plaintiff, with liberty to surcharge and falsify, see Sleight v. Lawson, 3 K. & J. 292; Stainton v. Carron Co., 24 Beav. 346. Where the books of a manufactory, of which the plaintiff was manager, were kept by the defendant, it was held by V.-C. Wood that the contents, though not hinding on the plaintiff, might, as he had free access thereto, be taken as prima facie evidence against him, with liberty to him to surcharge and falsify (Ogden v. Battams, 1 Jur. N. S. 791, qu. via.). In partnership cases the hooks are evidence by the general law, and no special direction is necessary; see Gething v. Keighley, 9 Ch. D. p. 551. Accounts which went hack nearly thirty years were ordered to be taken as prima facie evidence as against cestuis que trust, who had always had access to them (Banks v. Carturight, W. N. (1867), 27; 15 W. R. 417); and see Hardwick v. Wright, 15 W. R. 953.

In Morgan v. Higgins, 5 Jur. N. S. 240. where a solicitor was ordered to deliver a

Entries by solicitor.

In Morgan v. Higgins, 5 Jur. N. S. 240, where a solicitor was ordered to deliver a bill of costs, an agreement for payment of a fixed sum heing set aside, V.-C. Stuart refused to direct that entries made by him several years before, and contemporaneous with the transactions, should be taken as prima facie evidence under this section; and see Coleman v. Mellersh, 2 Mac. & G. 309; and as to limiting an account to a certain time, Dean v. Thwaite, 21 Beav. 621.

Account to he verified by affidavit.

- 4. Where any account is directed to be taken, the accounting party, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct, shall make out his account and verify the same by affidavit. The items on each side of the account shall be numbered consecutively, and the account shall be referred to by the affidavit as an exhibit and be left in the judge's chambers, or with the official or other referee, as the case may be (z).
 - (z) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 33, now repealed.

The accounting party may be cross-examined on his affidavit, but he is entitled to O. XXXIII. notice of the points on which he is to be cross-examined (Lord v. Lord, 2 Eq. 605;

Wormsley v. Sturt, 22 Beav. 398; McArthur v. Dudgeon, 15 Eq. 102; Glover v. CrossEllison, 20 W. R. 408; Woods v. Oliver, W. N. (1880), 51; and see next rule). The examination cross-examination may take place before the account is vouched (Meacham v. Cooper, on affidavit.

The charging party may in like manner and subject to the same rule as to notice Crossbe cross-examined upon the particulars of the amount with which he wishes to charge the accounting party (Bates v. Eley, 1 Ch. D. 473).

party.

5. Any party seeking to charge any accounting party beyond what Notice to he has by his account admitted to have received shall give notice accounting thereof to the accounting party, stating, so far as he is able, the amount sought to be charged and the particulars thereof in a short and succinct manner (a).

- (a) This rule is identical with Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 34, now repealed. See note to last rule.
- 6. Every judgment or order for a general account of the personal Inquiry as to estate of a testator or intestate shall contain a direction for an inquiry outstanding personal what parts (if any) of such personal estate are outstanding or un-estate. disposed of, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct (b).

- (b) This rule reproduces Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 14, now repealed.
- 7. Where by any judgment or order, whether made in Court or in Directions chambers, any accounts are directed to be taken or inquiries to be for accounts and inquiries made, each such direction shall be numbered so that, as far as may be, to be numeach distinct account and inquiry may be designated by a number, and bered. such judgment or order shall be in the Form No. 28 in Appendix L., with such variations as the circumstances of the case may require (c).

- (c) This rule reproduces Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 15, now repealed. For the form here referred to, see post.
- 8. In taking any account directed by any judgment or order, all Just allowjust allowances shall be made without any direction for that purpose (d). ances made.

(d) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 16 (now repealed), with only verbal alterations.

What are "just allowancea" depends on the circumstances of the case, and is "Just allowanter not usually determined by the Court in the first instance. See Brown v. De ances." Tastet, Jac. 294. Just allowances have been held to include executor's charges and expenses (Fearns v. Young, 10 Ves. 184); payments by them in discharge of legacies (Nightingale v. Lawson, 1 Cox, 23); deduction for dower out of rents receivable by widow-trustee (Graham v. Graham, 1 Ves. sen. 262); expenses of managing and carrying on a partnership business (Brown v. De Tastet; Cook v. Collingridge, Jac. 607); expenses of a sale (Crump v. Baker, 18 Ves. 285; Wilkes v. Saunion, 7 Ch. D. 188); but not to include setting off taxed costs by a solicitor accountable for rents received by him as steward or agent (Jolliffe v. Heetor, 12 Sim. 398; Waters v. Shaftesbury, 2 Ch. 231; 14 W. R. 572).

As to what are just allowances as between mortgagor and mortgagee, see Black-ford v. Davis, 4 Ch. 304; Wilkes v. Saunion, 7 Ch. D. 188; Tipton Green Co. v. and mort-Tipton Moat Co., 7 Ch. D. 192; Scholefield v. Lockwood, 11 W. R. 555; Bellamy v. gagee. Brickenden, 2 J. & H. 137; Shepard v. Jones, 21 Ch. D. 469; Rees v. Metropolitan Board of Works, 14 Ch. D. 372; Seton, 1079. Tastet, Jac. 294. Just allowances have been held to include executor's charges and

9. If it shall appear to the Court or a judge, on the representation Delay in proof any chief clerk or otherwise, that there is any undue delay in the ceeding under judgprosecution of any accounts or inquiries, or in any other proceedings ment.

O. XXXIII. under any judgment or order, the Court or judge may require the party having the conduct of the proceedings, or any other party, to explain the delay, and may thereupon make such order with regard to expediting the proceedings or the conduct thereof, or the stay thereof, and as to the costs of the proceedings, as the circumstances of the case may require; and for the purposes aforesaid, any party or the official solicitor may be directed to summon the persons whose attendance is required, and to conduct any proceedings and carry out any directions which may be given; and any costs of the official solicitor shall be paid by such parties or out of such funds as the Court or judge may direct; and if any such costs be not otherwise paid, the same shall be paid out of such moneys (if any) as may be provided by Parliament (e).

(e) This rule is substantially the same as Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 23, now repealed. See Ord. LXI. r. 24, post, as to the right of any person interested to obtain information as to the state of the action.

Conduct of

See as to applying for the conduct of a cause on the plaintiff's delay, Earle v. Sidebottom, W. N. (1868), 121; Butlin v. Arnold, 1 H. & M. 715; Simons v. Bagnell, 19 W. R. 217. cause.

Delay for three years:

Where a plaintiff obtained a decree giving him the right to prosecute inquiries in chambers, and produced no evidence to enable the master to prosecute the inquiry onamoers, and produced no evidence to ename the master to prosecute the inquiry for three years, on a certificate by the master to that effect, an application by the plaintiff that he might be permitted to prosecute the inquiry was refused with costs (James v. Gwynne, 2 Jur. N. S. 436); and where a plaintiff neglected to prosecute the suit for a year, he had to pay the costs of the master's report (Ridley v. Tiplady, 20 Beav. 44); and see Parkinson v. Lucas, 28 Beav. 627, in which case a reference under the old practice to the master had not been prosecuted for ten

for a year:

years, and the Court transferred the reference to chambers, to be there disposed of at once, and intimated that if this was not done, it would be disposed of summarily.

When the plaintiff in the first of several suits has been guilty of negligence in prosecuting certain orders, the Court will give the conduct of such orders to the plaintiff in one of the other suits, though it will not take from the plaintiff in the

for ten years.

The party to whom the causes generally (Vanderwell v. Vanderwell, 1 L. T. 266). The party to whom the carriage of the suit is transferred may inspect briefs, documents, &c., in the possession of the original plaintiff necessary to the carrying on of the suit (Bennett v. Baxter, 10 Sim. 417; and see further, Smith v. Guy, 2 C. P. D. 298).

Transferring conduct of suit.

Powers of

As to carrying on a creditor's suit after the death of the plaintiff, see Dixon v. Wyatt, 4 Madd. 392; and as to the costs of a plaintiff from whom the carriage of a suit is taken, see Re Taylor, 1 Eq. 495; Armstrong v. Armstrong, 12 Eq. 614; Joseph v. Goode, W. N. (1875), 4; 23 W. R. 215.

party to whom carriage of suit is transferred.

As to removing a next friend and substituting the official solicitor, see Re Corsellis, W. N. (1884), 126.

ORDER XXXIV.

I. Special Case.

Questions of law may be stated as a special case.

1. The parties to any cause or matter (f) may concur in stating the questions of law arising therein in the form of a special case for the opinion of the Court. Every such special case shall be divided into paragraphs numbered consecutively, and shall concisely state such facts and documents as may be necessary to enable the Court to decide the questions raised thereby. Upon the argument of such case the Court and the parties shall be at liberty to refer to the whole contents of such documents, and the Court shall be at liberty to draw from the facts and documents stated in any such special case any inference,

whether of fact or law, which might have been drawn therefrom if Ord. XXXIV. proved at a trial.

(f) For the definition of the words "cause or matter," see Judicature Act, 1873, "Cause or s. 100, ante, p. 276. The repealed rule was limited to questions of law arising in matter." an action.

Where the answers to a special case stated in an action in fact dispose of the action, the proper course is to take the answers in the shape of a judgment, making declarations to the effect of the answers, the action being, if necessary, set down pro forma for trial on motion for judgment (Harrison v. Cornwall Minerals Ry. Co., 16 Ch. D. 66).

The judgment given on a special case may be appealed from in the ordinary way Appeal. (Re Taylor, Tomlin v. Underhay, 22 Ch. D. 495, where the case was stated by order of the Court); but it is doubtful whether a party to the case, who did not appear at the hearing below, is entitled to appeal (Allum v. Dickinson, 9 Q. B. D. 632).

2. If it appear to the Court or a judge, that there is in any cause or Court may matter a question of law, which it would be convenient to have decided order question of law to be before any evidence is given or any question or issue of fact is tried, raised by or before any reference is made to a referee or an arbitrator, the Court special case or otherwise. or judge may make an order accordingly, and may direct such question of law to be raised for the opinion of the Court, either by special case or in such other manner as the Court or judge may deem expedient, and all such further proceedings as the decision of such question of law may render unnecessary may thereupon be stayed (g).

(g) Under this rule a judge has power, after writ and appearance and before Cases. statement of claim, to order a point of law to he raised by special case or otherwise; and if such an order has been made the Court of Appeal will not readily interfere (Metropolitan Board of Works v. New River Co., 1 Q. B. D. 727; 2 Q. B. D. 67). The rule has reference only to cases where the action has not yet come on for trial, but by analogy to the rule the Court will, at the trial of an action involving questions both of law and fact, decide the questions of law first if such decision may render it unnecessary to decide the questions of fact (Pooley v. Driver, 5 Ch. D. 458). The Court will aways he ready in any proper case to raise a question of 458). The Court will always be ready in any proper case to raise a question of law under this rule (*Tattersall v. National Steamship Co.*, W. N. (1884), 32). Questions of law will not be tried speculatively, i. e. they must be necessary for the decision of the action (*Republic of Bolivia v. National Bolivian Navigation Co.*, 24 W. R. 361; W. N. (1876), 77).

Where the Court orders a special case to be stated in an action, and a decision is Mistake. given upon it under a mistake of fact, the special case cannot be amended, but the Court is not bound by the decision unless it has been adopted by subsequent orders, but may disregard it, direct the action to go on to trial, and direct inquiries to ascertain the real facts (Re Taylor, Tomlin v. Underhay, 22 Ch. D. 495).

3. Every special case shall be printed by the plaintiff, and signed Printing and by the several parties or their counsel or solicitors, and shall be filed signature. by the plaintiff. Printed copies for the use of the judges shall be delivered by the plaintiff (h).

(h) Signature of counsel is not necessary (Hare v. Hare, W. N. (1876), 44); and Signature of see Ord. XIX. r. 4. As to printing, see Ord. LXVI., post.

counsel. Printing.

4. No special case in any cause or matter to which a married woman, Persons under (not being a party thereto in respect of her separate property or of disability. any separate right of action by or against her,) infant, or person of unsound mind not so found by inquisition is a party, shall be set down for argument without leave of the Court or a judge (i), the application for which must be supported by sufficient evidence that the statements

Ord. XXXIV. contained in such special case, so far as the same affect the interest of such married woman, infant, or person of unsound mind, are true (k).

Application for leave to set down.

(i) The application under this rule for leave to set down is made by ex parte motion (Daniell, 1969; Seton, 1647; Sidebotham v. Watson, 1 W. R. 229); the rule is substantially the same as sect. 13 of Sir G. Turner's Act.

Marriage or birth of party interested after case set down.

For the practice under that Act when a woman, who was a party, married after the case was set down, see Johnston v. Brown, 8 Eq. 584; Atty v. Etough, 13 Eq. 462. As to married women, see now the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, antc, p. 192.

Where an infant interested in the case was born after the setting down, a decree was made, prefaced by an order to amend and set down against the infant (Barnaby v. Tassell, 11 Eq. 363). See Savage v. Snell, 11 Eq. 264; Cadman v. Cadman, W. N.

(1871), 76.
(k) Counsel's statement that the statements in the case were true has been held

sufficient (Elwes v. Elwes, 20 W. R. 480).

Entry for argument.

5. Either party may enter a special case for argument by delivering to the proper officer a memorandum of entry, in the Form No. 25 in Appendix G., and also if any married woman, infant, or person of unsound mind not so found by inquisition be a party to the cause or matter, producing a copy of the order giving leave to enter the same for argument (l).

"Proper officer."

(1) As to the meaning of "proper officer," see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, post. For the form here referred to, see infra.

Agreement as to payment of money and costs.

6. The parties to a special case may, if they think fit, enter into an agreement in writing, which shall not be subject to any stamp duty. that, on the judgment of the Court being given in the affirmative or negative of the questions of law raised by the special case, a sum of money, fixed by the parties, or to be ascertained by the Court, or in such manner as the Court may direct, shall be paid by one of the parties to the other of them, either with or without costs of the cause or matter; and the judgment of the Court may be entered for the sum so agreed or ascertained, with or without costs, as the case may be, and execution may issue upon such judgment forthwith, unless otherwise agreed, or unless stayed on appeal (m).

Costs of special cases.

(m) In the absence of any special agreement, the costs are in the discretion of the Court, and the ordinary rules as to costs apply; and see Usticke v. Peters, oited below. In practice, however, the costs are frequently arranged, see Blinston v. Warburton, 2 K. & J. 406; or a question is asked how and by whom the costs of the action and special case are to be horne (Harrison v. Cornwall Minerals Ry. Co., 16 Ch. D. 66; 29 W. R. 258).

Former practice. General rule as to costs of special case.

Ch. D. 66; 29 W. R. 258).

Under the old Special Case Act, 13 & 14 Vict. c. 35, the costs were also in the discretion of the Court, and as a general rule, the Court, in disposing of them, was governed by the rules which regulated it in ordering payment of the costs of a suit instituted by bill. Thus, if the difficulty arose out of a testator's will, the costs, as in an administration suit, were ordered to be borne by the testator's general estate (Cookeon v. Bingham, 17 Besv. 262; Hindle v. Taylor, 5 De G. M. & G. 577; Armitage v. Coates, 35 Beav. 1; Earl Cowley v. Wellesley, ibid. 635; but see Lloyd v. Cocker, 27 Besv. 649); or if there were no general estate, by the fund specifically bequeathed (Cookeon v. Bingham, but see also, Lloyd v. Cocker). In Barnaby v. Tassell, 11 Eq. 363, the costs of all parties to a special case on the construction of a will were ordered to be paid out of the estate, the personal estate heing first liable; and see Miller v. Miller, 13 Eq. 263, where solicitor and client costs of all parties were given out of the fund in dispute.

In Usticke v. Peters, 4 K. & J. 457, however, V.-C. Wood held that the costs of a

ordered to be

Where costs of special case in *Usticke* v. *Peters*, 4 K. & J. 457, however, V.-O. Wood held that the costs of a special case were not to be decided on the same principle as those of an administration suit, and that a plaintiff succeeding upon a special case arising out of the construction of a will was entitled to his costs from the defendant, each party fairly Ord. XXXIV. daiming what he thought himself entitled to; and there being no question of conduct involved. From the report of the case, it does not appear that any question paid by unasto the costs was inserted in the special case. So in *Mortimore* v. *Mortimore*, 4 De successful G. & J. 472, a special case having been stated for the opinion of the Court at the party. instance of a tenant for life, with a view to obtaining an increase of her income by an investment, of which the Court in its judgment expressed disapprobation, the income of the tenant for life was ordered to bear the costs; and sess Sabin v. Heape, 27 Beav. 561.

7. This order shall apply to every special case stated in a cause or Application matter, or in any proceeding incidental thereto.

8. Any special case may hereafter be stated, for the same purposes Special case and in the same manner as was provided by the Act 13 & 14 Vict. c. under Sir G. 35 (n), and the same shall be deemed to be a special case stated in a matter within the meaning of this order.

(n) The practice under this Act (commonly known as Sir G. Turner's Act) fell Sir George into disuse; and by R. S. C., April, 1880 (now repealed), it was provided that no Turner's Act, special case should thereafter be stated under it. By the Statute Law Revision 13 & 14 Vict. and Civil Procedure Act, 1881, 44 & 45 Vict. c. 59 (27th August, 1881), the Act c. 35. was repealed, except sects. 19 to 25. These sections enabled an account of debts and liabilities to be taken on the application of an executor or administrator. They were reproduced in the form of draft rules, and submitted to the Rule Committee, who considered the practice under them to be obsolete and no longer wanted; and by the Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883, 46 & 47 Vict. c. 49 (25th August, 1883), the whole Act was repealed.

It does not seem to be quite clear what is the precise effect of this order upon the practice under the repealed Act; and it is therefore thought advisable that the following portions of the Act should be retained in the present edition.

Sect. 1 provides as follows:

"It shall be lawful for persons interested or claiming to be interested in any S. 1, power "question cognizable in the said Court [of Chancery] as to the construction of any "Act of Parliament, will, deed, or other instrument in writing, or any article, interested clause, matter, or thing therein contained, or as to the title or svidence of title in questions to any real or personal estate contracted to be sold or otherwise dealt with, or as cognizable in "to the parties to or the form of any deed or instrument for carrying any such Court of "contract into effect, or as to any other matter falling within the original jurisdiction of the said Court as a Court of Equity, or made subject to the jurisdiction or authority of the said Court by any statute not being one of the statutes "relating to bankrupts, and including among such persons all lunatics, married for the "women, and infants, in the manner and under the restrictions hereinafter con- opinion of the "tained, to concur in stating such questions in the form of a special case for the Court." opinion of the said Court, and it shall also be lawful for all executors, adminis-"trators, and trustees to concur in such case."

to persons cognizable in Chancery to state original special cases

By s. 2 of the Act "the committee of the estate of any lunatic interested or claiming S. 2, lunatics; "to be interested in any such question as aforesaid may, after having been authorized in that behalf by the Lord Chancellor concur in such case in his own name and in the name and on the behalf of the lunatic;" and by s. 3, "a husband interested S. 3, married "or claiming to be interested in the right of his wife in any such question as aforesaid may concur in such case in his own name and in the name of his wife "where the wife has no claim to any interest distinct from her husband, and a "married woman having or claiming any interest in any such question as aforesaid "distinct from her husband may in her own right concur in such case, provided "that her husband also concurs therein;" and by s. 4, "the guardian of any S. 4, infant;

"infant interested or claiming to be interested in any such question as aforesaid "may concur in such case in the name and on the behalf of the infant, unless such

"gnardian has an adverse interest."

By s. 5, "the Court may by order to be made in the matter of any lunatic not so Ss. 5, 6,
"found, or in the matter of any infant [and in the matter of the Act, Star v. special
"Newberry, 20 Beav. 14], upon the application of any person, on behalf of the guardian.

"the lunatic or infant, and any such person so appointed may lawfully so concur: Provided always, that it shall be lawful for the said Court to require notice of

Ord. XXXIV.

"such application to be given to such person, if any, as the Court shall think "fit."

By s. 6, "in any case in which any such order as aforesaid shall have been made by the said Court in the matter of any infant without notice to the guardian of the infant, the Court, if it shall think fit, may discharge such order, upon the application of such guardian by motion or petition; and may appoint some other fit person to be the special guardian of such infant for the purpose of such special case, and may also give such directions as may be necessary for substituting in such special case either the name of the guardian so applying, or of the special guardian so appointed in lieu of the name of the special guardian so displaced; "Provided always, that the discharge of any order appointing a special guardian shall not invalidate anything which shall in the meantime have been done by so direct."

Parties to original special case.

It has been held that persons might represent classes for the purpose of special cases under the Act; see Ord. XVI. rr. 8, 9, 11, ante; Bayley v. Miles, W. N. (1870), 42; 30 L. T. 784; Bardwell v. Sheffield Waterworks Co., 14 Eq. 517; Re Brown, 29 Beav. 401; Swallow v. Binns, 9 Hare, App. xlvii.

Where a special case under the Act sought to have the construction of a trust deed determined, it was held that the trustees ought to be parties (*Vorley* v. *Richardson*, 8 De G. M. & G. 126, overruling *Darby* v. *Darby*, 18 Beav. 412).

Ss. 7—9. Form of original special case; amendment. Sect. 7 provided as to the form of an original special case (which might be amended, Thistlethwaite v. Garnier, 5 De G. & Sm. 73; Domville v. Lamb, 9 Hare, App. lv.; Palmer v. Floyer, 18 W. R. 887; Bell v. Cade, 2 J. & H. 122) as follows:—

"Every such special case is to be entitled as a cause between some or one of the parties interested or claiming to be interested as plaintiffs or plaintiff, and the tothers or other of them as defendants or defendant, and that in the title to such cases lunatics and infants shall be described as such, and their committees, guardians, or special guardians named; and that where in any such case a married woman is named as a plaintiff and her husband as a defendant thereto, a next friend of such married woman shall be named in the title to such case."

Sect. 8 is substantially the same as rule 1 in the text; and by a. 9, "every such "special case to which an infant or lunatic is a party by his guardian or special "guardian shall also state how such guardian or special guardian was constituted; "and where any married woman having or claiming any interest distinct from her "husband is a party to such case, it shall be stated therein that she concurs in such "case in her own right."

S. 10. Signature by counsel, &c. By s. 10, original special cases are to be signed by counsel (but this is no longer necessary, Ord. XIX. r. 4; *Hare* v. *Hare*, W. N. (1876), 44), and appearances are to be entered by defendants.

Ss. 11-13. Setting down for hearing. Sects. 11—13 related to setting down for hearing, and the parties are to be bound by the statements in the case after the defendants have appeared, except married women, infants, and lunatics, who are not to be bound till leave is given by the Court to set the case down.

Upon hearing, Court to determine question and make declaration.

Provise that a case may be sent to common law Court.

Provise that Court may refuse to decide. By s. 14, "it shall be lawful for the Court, upon the hearing of any such special "case as aforesaid, to determine the questions raised therein, or any of them, and by decree to declare its opinion thereon, and, so far as the case shall admit of "the same, upon the right involved therein, without proceeding to administer any relief consequent upon such declaration; and that every such declaration of the said Court contained in any such decree shall have the same force and effect as such declaration would have had, and shall be binding to the same extent as such declaration would have been, if contained in a decree made in a suit between the same parties instituted by bill: Provided always, that it shall be lawful for the said Court, if it shall see fit so to do, before proceeding to make such decree as "aforesaid, to send any case or cases for the opinion of any of her Majesty's Courts of common law, reserving the consideration of all further directions: Provided always, and to make such decree as aforesaid upon such further directions: Provided also, that if upon the hearing of such special case as aforesaid the Court shall be of opinion that the questions raised thereby or any of them cannot properly be decided upon such case, the said Court may refuse to decide the same."

Under this section it was held that the Court had no jurisdiction upon a special Ord. XXXIV. Under this section it was held that the Court had no jurisdiction upon a special case under the Act to make binding declarations of future rights (Burt v. Sturt. 1 Declarations v. R. 145; Greenwood v. Sutherland, 10 Hare, App. xii.; Garlick v. Lawson, ibid. Declarations xiv.; Gosling v. Gosling, Jo. 265. But see Earl of Tyrone v. Marquis of Waterford, as to future 1 De G. F. & J. 613). In Bell v. Cade, 2 J. & H. 122, it was held that the Court might declare whether a person claiming a future right in remainder took such an interest in the property in question as to entitle him to file a hill to have it secured for his benefit, and compare Webb v. Byng, 8 De G. M. & G. 633; Savage v. Tyers, 7 Ch. 376; Key v. Key, 4 De G. M. & G. 73; Forsbrook v. Forsbrook, 3 Ch. 93; Pryse v. Pryse, 15 Eq. 86. See further, as to the discretion of the Court to refuse to decide a question on a special case, Bright v. Tyndall, 4 Ch. D. 189; Bulkeley v. Hope, 8 De G. M. & G. 36. G. M. & G. 36.

By s. 15, "every executor, administrator, trustee, or other persons making S. 15. "any payment or doing any act in conformity with the declaration contained in Trustees, &c. "any decree made upon a special case is in all respects to be as fully and effectually protected. "protected and indemnified by such declaration as if such payment had been made "or act done under or in pursuance of the express order of the Court made in a "orit between the parties save only as to any rights or claims of any person in

"suit between the parties, save only as to any rights or claims of any person in "respect of matters not determined by such declaration."

By s. 16, "where any person shall be desirous to have a special case reheard, S. 16. "or to appeal from the decision thereon, the Court may, upon application for that Rehearings purpose, either at the time of the decree upon such special case being made or at and appeals. "any time afterwards, and upon such conditions, if any, as the Court shall think "fit order that the declaration contained in such degree shall not be acted upon for "fit, order that the declaration contained in such decree shall not be acted upon for

" such time as the said Court shall think just."

By s. 17, "the filing of a special case, and the entering of appearances S. 17. "thereto by the persons named as defendants therein, shall be taken to be a lis Original "pendens, and may be registered under 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, and, until so registered, special case

"thereof, or extracts therefrom, identified by the signature of the solicitors for all Production of "parties, or of the London agents of such solicitors, may be produced and read documents. "at the hearing of such case, without further proof; the Court may at any time after the filing of the special case, and the entering of appearances thereto by the persons named as defendants therein, order any document which may be admitted thereby to be in the possession of any party to count ease to be deposited that the possession of any party to count shell think fit." "and produced in such manner and for such purposes as the Court shall think fit."

See, as to the statement of facts, Domville v. Lamb, 9 Hare, App. iv.; Bulkeley v. Statement Hope, 8 De G. M. & G. 36; and as to prefacing the order where they are omitted, of facts.

Lane v. Debenham, 17 Jur. 1005.

Ss. 31, 32, gave power to make general rules and orders under the Act. None such were made as to special cases; but an order as to advertisements for creditors was made; see p. 499, post.

By s. 33, all decrees and orders under the Act were to be subject to appeal.

Sect. 34 provided, inter alia, that the word "lunatics" shall include idiots and persons of unsound mind, and whether found such by inquisition or not:

The word "guardian" shall mean father or testamentary guardian, or guardian appointed by the Court of Chancery (not being a special guardian appointed under "guardian." the provisions of the Act).

II. Issues of Fact without Pleadings.

9. When the parties to a cause or matter are agreed as to the Trial of quesquestions of fact to be decided between them, they may, after writ without issued and before judgment, by consent and order of the Court or a pleadings. judge, proceed to the trial of any such questions of fact without formal pleadings; and such questions may be stated for trial in an issue in the form No. 15 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require, and such issue may be entered for trial and tried in the same manner as any issue joined in an ordinary action, and the proceedings shall be under the control and jurisdiction of the Court or judge, in the same way as the proceedings in an action (o).

(o) This and the three following rules are taken from sects, 42—45 of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852. For the form here referred to, see infra.

Ord, XXXIV.

Judgment for

10. The Court or a judge may by consent of the parties order that, upon the finding in the affirmative or negative of such issue as in the fixed amount. last preceding rule mentioned, a sum of money, fixed by the parties, or to be ascertained upon a question inserted in the issue for that purpose, shall be paid by one of the parties to the other of them either with or without the costs of the cause or matter.

Entry of judgment and execution.

11. Upon the finding on any such issue, as in Rule 9 mentioned, judgment may be entered for the sum so agreed or ascertained as aforesaid with or without costs, as the case may be, and execution may issue upon such judgment forthwith, unless otherwise agreed, or unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order for the purpose of giving either party an opportunity for moving to set aside the finding or for a new trial.

Record of proceedings.

12. The proceedings upon such issue, as in Rule 9 mentioned, may be recorded at the instance of either party, and the judgment, whether actually recorded or not, shall have the same effect as any other judgment in a contested action.

ORDER XXXV.

Proceedings in District Registries.

Proceedings in district registry.

1. Where a cause or matter is proceeding in a district registry, all proceedings, except where by these rules it is otherwise provided, or the Court or a judge shall otherwise order, shall be taken in the district registry, down to and including the entry of final judgment. and every final judgment and every order for an account, by reason of the default of the defendant, or by consent, shall be entered in the district registry in the proper book, in the same manner as a like judgment or order in an action proceeding in London would be entered in the central office (p).

District registries. (p) See as to the establishment of District Registries, Judicature Act, 1873, s. 60, amended by Judicature Act, 1875, s. 13, and ss. 61—66, and notes thereto, ante, р. 269.

As to the issue of writs out of district registries, see Ord. V. rr. 1, 3, 4, ante, p. 311; and as to the plaintiff's address, see Ord. IV. r. 3, ante, p. 310. As to appearance, see Ord. XII., ante, p. 322; and as to proceeding in default of appearance, see Ord. XIII. r. 11.

It would seem that chancery actions commenced in district registries ought to be 2 Ch. D. 538). The action is set down in the district registry for hearing in London, and the papers are then sent up to London for the hearing without further order (Birmingham Waste Co. v. Lane, 24 W. R. 292; Lumb v. Whiteley, W. N. (1877), 40).

W. N. (1877), 40).

A district registrar has the same powers as a judge at chambers (r. 6); but he has no power to appoint a receiver, or direct a banking account to be opened; nor can he take accounts directed by the judge unless the judgment specially directs him to do so (Re Smith, Hutchinson v. Ward). But he may, it seems, make an order for an account under Ord. XV. r. 1, and (if the order so directs) he can then proceed to take the account himself (Re Boven).

In making a report of the result of accounts and inquiries in an administration suit the registrar should adopt the form of a chief clerk's certificate (Re Boven).

The Court may order accounts and inquiries to be taken and made in a district.

The Court may order accounts and inquiries to be taken and made in a district registry; see *Macdonald* v. *Foster*, 6 Ch. D. 193 (administration); *Sykes* v. *Schofield*, 14 Ch. D. 629 (partition); *Re Judkins*, W. N. (1880), 198 (where the order was

made on a petition). An application for a sale, however, should be made to the Ord. XXXV. judge of the Chancery Division (Sykes v. Schofield); and he may then direct the sale to take place either in his own chambers or in the country, and whichever way he decides the Court of Appeal will not interfere (Sykes v. Schofield; Macdonald v.

A receiver appointed in an action commenced in a district registry must give security in London, but may pass his accounts in the country (Re Capper, W. N.

2. Where the writ of summons issues out of a district registry, and Entry of the plaintiff is entitled to enter interlocutory judgment under any of judgment in the rules of Order XIII., or where the cause or matter is proceeding in registry. the district registry and the plaintiff is entitled to enter interlocutory judgment under any of the rules of Order XXVII., in either case such interlocutory judgment, and when damages shall have been assessed, final judgment, shall be entered in the district registry, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order.

3. Where a cause or matter is proceeding in a district registry, and Entry of the judgment or any other order therein is directed to be entered in judgment in central office. the central office, the same shall be so entered, and an office copy of every such judgment or order shall be transmitted to the district registry to be filed with the proceedings in the action.

4. Where a cause or matter is proceeding in a district registry all Writs of exewrits of execution for enforcing any judgment or order therein, and all summonses summonses under the Debtors Act, 1869, shall issue from the district under Debtors registry, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct. Where Act, 1869. final judgment is entered in the district registry, costs shall be taxed Costs. in such registry unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order (q).

- (q) Except under very special circumstances the Court will not order costs of an action commenced in a district registry to be taxed elsewhere than in London (Day v. Whittaker, 6 Ch. D. 734; Wilson v. Alltree, 27 Ch. D. 242; and see Irlam v. Irlam, 24 W. R. 949). Where the costs are taxed in the country the same rules as to fees, &c., apply as in London (Ord. LXV. r. 27 (43)).
- 5. Where a cause or matter is proceeding in a district registry, all Proceedings proceedings relating to the following matters, namely,-

to be taken in district

- (a.) Leave to enter judgments under Order XVI., rules 50 and 51; registry.
- (b.) Leave to issue or renew writs of execution;
- (c.) Examination of judgment debtors for garnishee purposes, or under Order XLII., rule 32;
- (d.) Garnishee orders;
- (e.) Charging orders nisi;

shall, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order, be taken in the district registry.

6. Where a cause or matter is proceeding in a district registry the Jurisdiction district registrar may exercise all such authority and jurisdiction in of district registrar. respect thereof as may be exercised by a judge at chambers, except such as by these rules a master is precluded from exercising (r).

(r) If a motion be made in the action in London, the effect is to remove the case from the district registry, and the registrar has no longer power to make orders in the action (*Dyson* v. *Pickles*, W. N. (1879), 12; 27 W. R. 376). See note (p), ante, p. 406.

Ord. XXXV. Applications,

how made. Reference to judge.

- 7. Every application to a district registrar shall be made in the same manner in which applications at chambers are directed to be made by these rules.
- 8. If any matter appears to the district registrar proper for the decision of a judge, the registrar may refer the same to a judge, and the judge may either dispose of the matter or refer the same back to the registrar with such directions as he may think fit (s).
 - (s) See W. N. (1875), 250.

Appeal to judge.

- 9. Any person affected by any order, finding, or decision of a district registrar may appeal to a judge. Such appeal may be made notwithstanding that the order or decision was in respect of a proceeding or matter as to which the district registrar had jurisdiction only by con-Such appeal shall be by way of indorsement on the summons by the registrar at the request of any party (t) or by notice in writing (u)to attend before the judge without a fresh summons within six days after the party complaining has notice of the order, finding, or decision complained of, or such further time as may be allowed by a judge or the registrar (v).
- (t) The appealing party can insist on having the summons endorsed by the registrar (Danger v. Nelson, W. N. (1884), 96).

 (u) A notice of appeal signed by the country solicitor alone is a good notice (Mayor of Rotherham v. Peace, W. N. (1883), 216).

 (v) Section 49 of the Judicature Act, 1873, does not apply to a master or a district

registrar, and therefore the judge can vary as to costs the order of a district registrar dismissing an action without costs (Foster v. Edwards, 48 L. J. C. P. 767).

Appeal no stay of proceedings.

Notice of appeal.

Proceedings to be subject to control of judge.

Of what judge.

Removal of action from district registry.

10. An appeal from a district registrar shall be no stay of proceedings unless so ordered by a judge or the registrar.

11. Every district registrar and other officer of a district registry shall be subject to the orders and directions of the Court or a judge. as fully as any other officer of the Court, and every proceeding in a district registry shall be subject to the control of the Court or a judge, as fully as a like proceeding in London.

12. Every reference to a judge by or appeal to a judge from a district registrar in any cause or matter in the Chancery Division shall be to the judge to whom the cause or matter is assigned.

- 13. In any action which would, under the foregoing rules, proceed in the district registry, the action may, subject to rule 14, be removed from the district registry as of right in the cases and within the times following :-
 - (1.) Where the writ is specially indorsed under Order III. rule 6. and the plaintiff does not within four days after the appearance of such defendant give notice of an application for an order against him under Order XIV.; then such defendant may remove the action as of right at any time after the expiration of such four days, and before delivering a defence. and before the expiration of the time for doing so:
 - (2.) Where the writ is specially indorsed, and the plaintiff has

made such application as in the last paragraph mentioned, Ord. XXXV. and the defendant has obtained leave to defend in manner provided by Order XIV.; then such defendant may remove the action as of right at any time after the order giving him leave to defend, and before delivering a defence, and before the expiration of the time for doing so:

- (3.) Where the writ is not specially indorsed under Order III. rule 6, any defendant may remove the action as of right at any time after appearance, and before delivering a defence, and before the expiration of the time for doing so (w).
- (w) Ord. V. r. 1 gives the plaintiff the right to sue in a district registry; Ord. XXXV. r. 13 gives the defendant the right in certain events to have the cause removed to London; but by rule 14 this right of the defendant is subject to the discretion of the judge, who may, for good cause shown, order the action to proceed in the country; as to what will or will not be considered such "good cause," see Smith v. Bell, W. N. (1883), 196; Walker v. Cratbree, ib. 197.
 - (4.) [Applies only to Admiralty actions in rem.]
- 14. Any party or person desirous to remove an action as of right Mode of under the last preceding rule may do so by serving upon the other removal. parties to the action, and delivering to the district registrar, a notice, signed by himself or his solicitor, to the effect that he desires the action to be removed to London, and the action shall be removed accordingly: Provided, that if the Court or a judge shall be satisfied that the defendant giving such notice is a merely formal defendant, or has no substantial cause to interfere in the conduct of the action, or that there is other good cause for proceeding in the district registry, such Court or judge may order that the action may proceed in the district registry notwithstanding such notice (x).

(x) See note to r. 13.

15. Except in Admiralty actions in rem, the notice for removal shall Certificate on be accompanied by a certificate signed by the defendant or his solicitor removal. that his defence has not been delivered, and that the time for delivering the same has not expired.

16. In any case not provided for by rules 13 and 14, any party to a Removal to cause or matter proceeding in a district registry may apply to the London. Court or a judge, or to the district registrar, for an order to remove the cause or matter from the district registry to London, and the Court, judge, or registrar, may make an order accordingly, if satisfied that there is sufficient reason for doing so, upon such terms, if any, as shall be just (xx).

- (xx) See Re Ebersley's Co., W. N. (1884), 252.
- 17. Any party to a cause or matter proceeding in London may apply Removal to to the Court or a judge for an order to remove the cause or matter district from London to any district registry, and the Court or judge may make an order accordingly, if satisfied that there is sufficient reason for doing so, upon such terms, if any, as shall be just.

18. Where, under the preceding rules of this order, a cause or Address for matter is removed from a district registry, the defendant shall, upon service.

Ord. XXXV. such removal, give notice to the plaintiff of an address for service in London, in all respects as if the appearance had been originally entered in London.

Filing in district registry.

19. Where a cause or matter is proceeding in a district registry all pleadings and other documents required to be filed shall be filed in the district registry.

Transmission of documents.

20. Whenever a defendant appears in London to a writ issued out of a district registry or any proceedings are removed from the district registry to London, by notice under rule 14 of this order, or by order of the Court or a judge, the district registrar shall transmit to the Central Office all original documents (if any) filed in the district registry, and a copy of all entries of the proceedings in the books of the district registry.

Filing of chief clerk's certificate, &c.

21. When a cause or matter in the Chancery Division is proceeding in a district registry, all certificates of the chief clerk and taxing officers and other documents (required to be filed) used in London before the judge in Chambers, or before any taxing officer or referee, and not already filed in the district registry, shall be filed in the same office as they would have been filed in if the proceedings had originally commenced in London; and if the Court or judge shall so direct, office copies thereof shall be transmitted to the district registry.

Removal of documents from district registry.

22. No affidavit or record of the Court shall be taken out of a district registry (except upon removal of the proceedings to London) without the order of a judge or of the district registrar, and no subpana for the production of any such document shall be issued.

District registrars to account.

- 23. Every district registrar shall account for and pay over to the Treasury all moneys paid into Court at the registry of which he is registrar, in such manner and at such times as may be from time to time directed by the Treasury (y).
- (y) Money ordered to be paid into Court by a receiver should be paid into Court in the ordinary way, and not be paid into a bank "to the credit of the district registrar" (Finlay v. Davis, 12 Ch. D. 735).

Forms.

24. The forms contained in the Appendices shall, as far as they are applicable, be used in or for the purposes of district registries, with such variations as circumstances may require.

ORDER XXXVI.

TRIAL.

I. Place.

Place of trial.

1. There shall be no local venue for the trial of any action, except where otherwise provided by statute. Every action in every division shall, unless the Court or a judge otherwise orders, be tried in the county or place named on the statement of claim, or (where no statement of claim has been delivered or required) by a notice in writing to be served on the defendant, or his solicitor, within six days after appearance. Where no place of trial is named, the place of trial shall, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order, be the county of Ord. XXXVI. Middlesex(z).

- (z) Where notice of trial had been given for the assizes, the Court refused to order the trial to be removed to London merely because the action was one of those assigned to the Chancery Division (*Philips v. Beale*, 26 Ch. D. 621); and see r. 1 a. See however, *Green v. Bennett*, 32 W. R. 848.
- 1a. The provisions of Ord. XXXVI. r. 1, shall apply to every action, Chancery notwithstanding that it may have been assigned to any judge (zz).

(zz) This rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884.

II. Mode of Trial.

[Rule 2 applies only to actions of slander, libel, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, seduction, or breach of promise of marriage.]

3. Causes or matters assigned by the principal Act to the Chancery Actions Division (a) shall be tried by a judge without a jury, unless the Court assigned to or a judge shall otherwise order (b).

(a) For these causes and matters, see Jud. Act, 1873, s. 34, ante, p. 263.

(b) Chancery actions where the questions raised are mixed questions of law and fact and the verdict of a jury would not decide the case, but the judge himself would and the verdet of a jury would not decide the scale, but the judge himsen would actions; afterwards have to decide the whole issue between the parties, will not be ordered which would decide the issues in the action (Cardinall v. Cardinall, 25 Ch. D. 772; with a jury Ruston v. Tobin, 10 Ch. D. p. 563). The following actions have been held unsuitable for trial with a jury: actions to establish a partnership where a long correspondence and a number of books of account had to be put in evidence (Cardinall v. Cardinall v. C Spondence and a full mer of books of account had to be put in evidence (Caramala v. Caramala); specific performance (Swindell v. Birmingham Syndicate, 3 Ch. D. 127; Sykes v. Firth, W. N. (1877), 38; 46 L. J. Ch. 627; Filley v. Baylis, 5 Ch. D. 241; Usill v. Whelpton, 29 W. R. 799; Wood v. Kay, W. N. (1879), 206); actions to set aside agreements on the ground of fraud (Ruston v. Tobin, 10 Ch. D. 558; Back v. Hay, 5 Ch. D. 235; Moss v. Bradburn, W. N. (1884), 14); to restrain the use of a trade name where special questions were involved (Singer Co. v. Loog, 11 Ch. D. 656; and see Spratt's Patent v. Ward, 11 Ch. D. 240); to obtain the delivery up of promissory notes obtained by misrepresentation, where there was a voluminous correspondence and the case was one of complexity (Garling v. Royds, W. N. (1876), 291; 25 W. R. 123): where the question in the action was one of conveyancing depending on deeds, plans, and photographs (Wedderburn v. Pickering, 13 Ch. D. 769; Att.-Gen. v. Arkoul, W. N. (1882), 182); where the question in the action was whether lands had become superfluous within the meaning of the Lands Clauses Act (Smith v. North Staffordshire Ry. Co., 44 L. T. 85). So, where the vertice had correct to take the critical was acted on superfluous parties had agreed to take the evidence by affidavit and had acted on such agreement for two years and at great expense, the Court refused to order a trial with a jury (Brooke v. Wigg, 8 Ch. D. 510; and see Dent v. Sovereign Life Assurance Co., 27 W. R. 379).

As to an action to restrain a trade libel, see Thomas v. Williams, 14 Ch. D. 864. One of several defendants will not generally be allowed to have the action tried with a jury if his co-defendants oppose (Back v. Hay; Mirehouse v. Barnett, W. N.

(1878), 110; 47 L. J. Ch. 689).

On the other hand, in actions for administration by a creditor on a bill of exchange Charke v. Cookson, 2 Ch. D. 746; and see Re Martin, Hunt v. Chambers, 20 Ch. D. 365); for an injunction and damages in respect of a nuisance (West v. White, 4 Ch. D. 631; Powell v. Williams, 12 Ch. D. 234; Clarke v. Skipper, 21 Ch. D. 134); to restrain an interference with ancient lights (Bordier v. Burrell, 5 Ch. D. 512); to restrain an interference with a right to pen back water (Petar v. Lailey, W. N. (1881), 22); and for dissolution of partnership (Clements v. Norris, 26 W. R. 94), it has been held that the issues of fact might well be tried by a jury.

Where the case is a proper one to be tried by a jury, the better course is at once to transfer the whole action to the Queen's Bench Division (Re Martin, Hunt v.

Chambers).

The discretion of the judge as to the mode of trial will rarely be interfered with by the Court of Appeal (Swindell v. Birmingham Syndicate; Brooke v. Wigg; Ruston v. Tobin ; Re Martin, Hunt v. Chambers ; Burgoine v. Moordaff, 8 P. D. 205).

the Chancery Division to be tried without a jury.

Chancery with a jury. Ord. XXXVI.

without a jury.

- 4. The Court or a judge may, if it shall appear desirable, direct a Trial of issues trial without a jury of any question or issue of fact, or partly of fact and partly of law, arising in any cause or matter which previously to the passing of the principal Act could, without any consent of parties, have been tried without a jury (c).
 - (c) This rule is substantially identical with the repealed Ord. XXXVI. r. 26.

Actions requiring prolonged examination of documents,

- 5. The Court or a judge may direct the trial without a jury of any cause, matter or issue requiring any prolonged examination of documents or accounts, or any scientific or local investigation, which cannot in their or his opinion conveniently be made with a jury (d).
 - (d) Comp. Judicature Act, 1873, s. 57, ante, p. 268.

General power to order trial with a jury.

- 6. In any other cause or matter, upon the application of any party thereto for a trial with a jury of the cause or matter or any issue of fact, an order shall be made for a trial with a jury (e).

(e) Under this rule it would seem that in any cause or matter, other than those mentioned in the preceding rules of this Order, any party if he likes can insist on a trial with a jury, and the Court has no discretion in the matter.

Actions are not tried with a jury in the Chancery Division. Chancery actions, if they are to be tried by a jury, and are not transferred, must be set down in the general list to be tried by one of the judges of the Queen's Bench Division (Warner Wardsch 4 Ch. D. 750). Charles Y. Chalcon 2 Ch. D. 746), but as a rule good v. Murdoch, 4 Ch. D. 750; Clarke v. Cookson, 2 Ch. D. 746); but as a rule such actions should be transferred (Re Martin, Hunt v. Chambers, 20 Ch. D. 365).

Normal mode of trial to be by a judge alone.

7.—(a.), In every cause or matter, unless under the provisions of rule 6 of this order a trial with a jury is ordered, or under rule 2 of this order either party has signified a desire to have a trial with a jury, the mode of trial shall be by a judge without a jury; provided that in any such case the Court or a judge may at any time order any cause, matter, or issue to be tried by a judge with a jury, or by a judge sitting with assessors, or by an official referee or special referee with or without assessors:

Special jury, at instance of plaintiff:

(b.) The plaintiff in any cause or matter in which he is entitled to a jury may have the issues tried by a special jury, upon giving notice in writing to that effect to the defendant at the time when he gives notice

at instance of defendant.

(c.) The defendant, in any cause or matter in which he is entitled to a jury, may have the issues tried by a special jury, on giving notice in writing to that effect at any time after the close of the pleadings or settlement of the issues and before notice of trial, or if notice of trial has been given, then not less than six clear days before the day for which notice of trial has been given:

Costs.

(d.) Provided that a judge may at any time make an order for a special jury upon such terms, if any, as to costs and otherwise as may be just (f).

Hearings in private.

- (f) As to hearings in private, see Andrew v. Raeburn, 9 Ch. 522; Nagle-Gillman v. Christopher, 4 Ch. D. 173; Ogle v. Brandling, 2 R. & My. 688.
- 8. Subject to the provisions of the preceding rules of this order, Different questions may the Court or a judge may, in any cause or matter, at any time or from be tried by

time to time, order that different questions of fact arising therein be Ord. XXXVI. tried by different modes of trial, or that one or more questions of fact different be tried before the others, and may appoint the places for such trials, modes. and in all cases may order that one or more issues of fact be tried before any other or others (g).

- (g) An order to try one issue in an action before another will only be made on very special grounds (Piercy v. Young, 15 Ch. D. 475). See also Emma Mining Co. v. Grant, 11 Ch. D. 918; Tasmanian Co. v. Clark, 27 W. R. 677; Thompson v. Woodfine, 26 W. R. 678.
- 9. Every trial of any question or issue of fact with a jury shall be Jury trial to by a single judge, unless such trial be specially ordered to be by two he with a single judge. or more judges.

10. Nothing in this order shall affect any proceedings under any of Arbitration the provisions of the Common Law Procedure Acts relating to arbitra- under C. L. P. tion (h).

(h) For these provisions, see the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854 (17 & 18 Vict. c. 125), ss. 3—17; sects 3 and 6 are repealed as to County Courts by 21 & 22 Vict. c. 74, s. 5. See also Wilson's Judicature Acts, 4th ed. p. 340, seq. Where a reference to arbitration has been made under an agreement the submission ought to be made a rule of Court according to the common law practice before the Judicature Acts (Jones v. Jones, 14 Ch. D. 593); and this may be done by an ex parte application at chambers (Re Davey, 49 L. J. Ch. 568). Where an action has been referred by the Court, it is not necessary to make the award a rule of Court before an order can be made founded on the award (Jones v. Wedgewood, 19 Ch. D. 56). A general agreement to refer to arbitration cannot be revoked by one of the parties (Piercy v. Young, 14 Ch. D. 200).

III. Notice and Entry of Trial.

11. Notice of trial may be given in any cause or matter by the Notice of plaintiff or other party in the position of plaintiff. Such notice may trial. be given with the reply (if any) whether it closes the pleadings or not, or at any time after the issues of fact are ready for trial (i).

(i) See Philips v. Beale, 26 Ch. D. 621, cited in note (z) to r. 1 of this order.

12. If the plaintiff does not within six weeks after the close of the Default by pleadings, or within such extended time as the Court or a judge may plaintiff in allow, give notice of trial, the defendant may, before notice of trial of trial. given by the plaintiff, give notice of trial, or may apply to the Court or judge to dismiss the action for want of prosecution; and on the hearing of such application, the Court or a judge may order the action to be dismissed accordingly, or may make such other order, and on such terms, as to the Court or judge may seem just (j).

(j) An application to dismiss for want of prosecution should generally be made by summons rather than by motion in Court (Freason v. Loe, 26 W. R. 138), but want of promay be made either way (Evelyn v. Evelyn, 13 Ch. D. 138; Crick v. Hewlett, 27 Secution. Ch. D. 354; 32 W. R. 922). If the usual notice of motion is given and the plaintiff does not at once submit to speed the cause and tender the costs of the motion, the defendant, if the usual order is made, will have his costs of making the motion in Court (Evelyn v. Evelyn). If the plaintiff, after giving notice of trial omits to enter the trial within six days his notice becomes nugatory, and the action was he dismissed under this rule (Crick v. Hewlett). As to the close of the pleadings may be dismissed under this rule (Crick v. Hewlett). As to the close of the pleadings when there are several defendants, see Ambroise v. Evelyn, 11 Ch. D. 759.

As to vacating the registration as a lis pendens of an action which has been dis- Lis pendens. missed for want of prosecution, see Pooley v. Bosanquet, 7 Ch. D. 541.

Ord. XXXVI.

Form of

- 13. Notice of trial shall state whether it is for the trial of the cause or matter or of issues therein; and in actions in the Queen's Bench notice of trial. Division the place and day for which it is to be entered for trial. shall be in the Form No. 16 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require (k).
 - (k) Notice of trial "by a judge in Middlesex" is sufficient in the case of a Chancery action (Harris v. Gamble, 7 Ch. D. 877). See this form, infra. By R. S. C., October, 1884, r. 5, rule 13 is to be read as if the words in italics were omitted therefrom.

Ten days' notice to be given.

14. Ten days' notice of trial shall be given, unless the party to whom it is given has consented, or is under terms or has been ordered to take short notice of trial; and shall be sufficient in all cases, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a judge. Short notice of trial shall be four days' notice, unless otherwise ordered.

Notice to be given before entry of trial.

15. Notice of trial shall be given before entering the trial; and the trial may be entered notwithstanding that the pleadings are not closed provided that notice of trial has been given.

Time of entry.

- 16. In London and Middlesex, unless within six days after notice of trial is given the trial shall be entered by one party or the other, the notice of trial shall be no longer in force (l).
- (1) See Crick v. Hewlett, 27 Ch. D. 354, cited in note (j) to rule 12, ante, p. 413. See r. 20.

Notice for what day in London or Middlesex.

17. Notice of trial for London or Middlesex shall not be or operate as for any particular sittings; but shall be deemed to be for any day after the expiration of the notice on which the trial may come on in its order upon the list.

At the assizes.

18. Notice of trial elsewhere than in London or Middlesex shall be deemed to be for the first day of the then next assizes at the place for which notice of trial is given.

Countermanding notice.

19. No notice of trial shall be countermanded except by consent, or by leave of the Court or a judge, which leave may be given subject to such terms as to costs, or otherwise, as may be just.

Omission to enter trial.

20. If the party giving notice of trial for London or Middlesex omits to enter the trial on the day or day after giving notice of trial, the party to whom notice has been given may, unless the notice has been countermanded under the last preceding rule, within four days enter the trial.

Setting down for further consideration in the Chancery Division.

21. When any cause or matter in the Chancery Division shall have been adjourned for further consideration, the same may, after the expiration of eight days, and within fourteen days from the filing of the chief clerk's certificate, be set down by the registrar in the cause book for further consideration, on the written request of the solicitor for the plaintiff or party having the conduct of the proceedings, and after the expiration of such fourteen days the cause or matter may be set down by the registrar on the written request of the solicitor for the plaintiff or for any other party; and in either case, upon production of the judgment or order adjourning further consideration, or an office copy thereof, and an office copy of the chief Ord.XXXVI. clerk's certificate or a memorandum of the date when the certificate was filed, endorsed on the request by the proper officer. The request may be in the Form No. 26 in Appendix L. The cause or matter when so set down shall not be put into the paper for further consideration until after the expiration of ten days from the day on which the same was so set down, and shall be marked in the cause book accordingly. Notice thereof shall be given to the other parties in the action at least six days before the day for which the same may be so marked for further consideration. Such notice may be in the Form No. 27 in Appendix L. (m).

(m) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXI. r. 10. For these forms, see infra. Evidence referred to in the chief clerk's certificate cannot be read at the hearing on further consideration, unless notice to read it has been given (*Re Chennell*, 8 Ch. D. p. 504); and see *Re Brier*, 26 Ch. D. p. 242.

IV. Entry in District Registry.

22A. If, when the lists in Order XXXVI. Part IV., mentioned have Special been closed for the Autumn and Spring Assizes respectively at Liver-the trial of pool or Manchester, it shall appear that ten or more witness causes by Chancery the principal Act assigned to the Chancery Division are included in the list of trials for such sittings, special sittings for the trial of such causes, commencing on the first day of December or the tenth day of June then next respectively or as near those times as conveniently may be, shall be held before one of the judges of the High Court (according to such arrangements as may be from time to time determined among themselves): Provided that in any case where at the time aforesaid it shall appear that the number of such causes is less than ten, no special sittings shall take place for that occasion at that place, but such business shall be, subject to any application which may be made for changing the place of trial, transacted at the then current assizes: Provided also, that no cause shall be included in the list for any such special sittings in which all the parties are resident within the County Palatine of Lancaster: And provided also, that if it shall appear to the judge holding any such special sittings that any cause appearing in the list for trial thereat ought not to have been included therein, he may order the same to be struck out, and give such directions as he may think fit as to the trial thereof in the High Court (mm).

(mm) This and the next rule were added by R. S. C., October, 1884.

Order XXXVI. rule 22, is hereby annulled, and the following rule shall stand in lieu thereof:

22B. After notice of trial has been given of any cause, matter, or Entering issue to be tried elsewhere than in London or Middlesex, either party trial. may, at any time not less than seven days before the commission day appointed for such place, enter the trial at the next assizes in the district registry (if any) of the city or town where the trial is to be

Ord. XXXVI. had, or with the associate. No later entry shall be allowed, except by leave of a judge going that circuit, or by order of a judge at chambers subject to the consent of a judge going that circuit (nn).

(nn) See note to rule 22A.

Place of entry.

23. So long as there is no district registry in the places enumerated in the first of the following columns, entries for trial may be made in the district registries in the second of the following columns, i.e., trials at—

Bodmin -	may be entered in registry at	$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} ext{the district} \ - & - \end{array} ight\} ext{Truro.}$
Carnaryon	,,	Bangor.
Chelmsford	,,	Colchester.
Lancaster	,,	Preston.
Lewes	,,	Brighton.
Monmouth	,,	Newport, Monmouthshire.
Stafford	,,	Hanley.
\mathbf{Wells}	,,	Bridgwater.
Warwick	"	Birmingham.
${\bf Winchester}$	"	Southampton.

District registries are now established at Aberystwith, Carnaryon and Winchester (W. N. (1884), Part II. p. 425).

Lists of trials.

24. The district registrars shall provide two numbered lists for trials with juries and trials without juries respectively. The entry shall be made in the proper list in such vacant number as the party entering shall select, and the lists shall be open for the inspection of all parties interested therein at all times during office hours. At the time of entry two copies of the documents mentioned in rule 30 of this Order shall be delivered as directed by the said rule, one of which shall be duly stamped with the amount of the fee payable on entry of the action or issue for trial.

Postponement or withdrawal of trial.

25. When a trial which has been entered has been postponed or withdrawn under Order XXVI. rule 2, or settled, the party who made the entry shall immediately thereupon give notice thereof to the district registrar, and such entry shall be expunged from the list.

Close of lists.

26. The district registrar shall close the lists and transmit a corrected copy of the said lists, together with the two copies of the documents above referred to, to the associate at the assize town in such time that the same may be received at his office before the opening of the commission.

Entry of trial by associate.

27. Trials shall be entered by the associate in such vacant numbers in the lists so transmitted as the party entering may select. The lists shall then be re-numbered consecutively, and shall be the cause lists for the assizes.

Entry by both parties.

28. If a trial be entered by both parties, it shall be tried in the order of the plaintiff's entry, and the defendant's entry shall be vacated.

V. Lists for London and Middlesex.

Ord. XXXVI.

29. Separate lists of trials with juries and trials without juries Lists in respectively, to be tried at the sittings of the Queen's Bench Division Bench for London and Middlesex respectively, shall be prepared, and the Division. trials on each list shall be allotted without reference to any other list, and shall be tried at such times and in such Courts of the said Division as the Lord Chief Justice of England may arrange.

VI. Papers for Judge.

30. The party entering the trial shall deliver to the proper officer (n) Copies of two copies of the whole of the pleadings, one of which shall be for the be delivered use of the judge at the trial. Such copies shall be in print, except as to the registo such parts, (if any), of the documents as are by these rules permitted trar. to be written.

(n) The registrar is the "proper officer" in the Chancery Division. See Ord. Proper officer. LXXI. r. 1, post.

VII. Proceedings at Trial.

31. If, when a trial is called on, the plaintiff appears, and the Default of defendant does not appear, the plaintiff may prove his claim, so far as the trial by the burden of proof lies upon him (o).

the defendant.

(o) The plaintiff is not generally required to prove service of notice of trial (Chorl-Service of ton v. Dickie, 13 Ch. D. 160, overruling Cockshott v. London Cab Co., 47 L. J. Ch. notice of trial. 126; W. N. (1877), 214; 26 W. R. 31).

For the former practice, see Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 12; Hakewell v. Webber, 9 Ha. 541; Hughes v. Jones, 26 Beav. 24.

32. If, when a trial is called on, the defendant appears, and the By the plaintiff does not appear, the defendant, if he has no counterclaim, plaintiff. shall be entitled to judgment dismissing the action, but if he has a counterclaim, then he may prove such counterclaim so far as the burden of proof lies upon him (p).

- (p) A test action has been dismissed with costs under this rule (Robinson v. Chadwick, 7 Ch. D. 378; 26 W. R. 556); but another may be substituted for it (Amos v. Chadwick, 9 Ch. D. 459; 26 W. R. 840). The defendant need not prove that he has been served with notice of trial (James v. Crow, 7 Ch. D. 410; 26 W. R. 236; Exparte Lows, 7 Ch. D. 160; 26 W. R. 229). Where the action has abated by the bankruptcy of the sole plaintiff the rule does not apply, and the action will merely be struck out of the list (Eldridge v. Burgess, 7 Ch. D. 411). Where no papers were delivered, and the plaintiff did not appear, the action was dismissed with costs (Farrell v. Wale, 36 L. T. 95).
- 33. Any verdict or judgment obtained where one party does not Setting aside appear at the trial may be set aside by the Court or a judge upon such judgment by terms as may seem fit, upon an application made within six days after the trial; such application may be made either at the assizes or in Middlesex(q).

(q) A judgment will be set aside under this rule whenever a proper case can be Terms on shown, but the party in default must pay the actual costs of the day when the action which judgwas called on, and of the application to restore (Cockle v. Joyce, 7 Ch. D. 56; 47 L. J. ment set Ch. 543; 26 W. R. 59; 37 L. T. 428; Wright v. Clifford, 26 W. R. 369); including aside. all costs thrown away, and the costs of applications both to a Divisional Court and

Ord.XXXVI. to the Court of Appeal (King v. Sandeman, 26 W. R. 569; 38 L. T. 461; but see

Burgoine v. Taylor, 26 W. R. 568; 38 L. T. 438, where no costs of the appeal were given). In Birch v. Williams, 24 W. R. 700, the solicitor, through whose oversight the dismissal was caused, had to pay the costs.

Postponement of trial.

- 34. The judge may, if he think it expedient for the interests of justice, postpone or adjourn a trial for such time, and to such place, and upon such terms, if any, as he shall think fit (r).
- (r) Where the plaintiffs brought the action to a hearing in an imperfect state, and (r) Where the plaintiffs brought the action to a hearing if an imperence scate, and it was allowed to stand over in order that they might amend, they were required to pay the actual costs of the day (*Lydall* v. *Martinson*, 5 Ch. D. 780; 25 W. R. 866; 37 L. T. 69); including the expenses of the defendant's witnesses, who had been kept in attendance (*Ibid*.). See also *Dowdeswell* v. *Dowdeswell*, W. N. (1877), 228; *Mozley* v. *Cowie*, 47 L. J. Ch. 271; 26 W. R. 854; 38 L. T. 908.

Issue of new habeas corpus where trial is postponed

- 35. Where a party is brought up to attend the trial or hearing of a cause or matter by virtue of any writ of habeas corpus duly issued from the central office, and by reason of the pressure of other business, or from any other cause, the trial or hearing of the cause or matter in which such party is concerned is postponed to a future day, a new writ of habeas corpus may be issued for such future day, if the Court or a judge shall so direct, without payment of any fee (s).
 - (s) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXX. r. 3.

Order of addresses to the jury.

- 36. Upon a trial with a jury, the addresses to the jury shall be regulated as follows: the party who begins, or his counsel, shall be allowed at the close of his case, if his opponent does not announce any intention to adduce evidence, to address the jury a second time for the purpose of summing up the evidence, and the opposite party, or his counsel, shall be allowed to open his case, and also to sum up the evidence, if any, and the right to reply shall be the same as heretofore(t).
- (t) As to the order in which the speeches of counsel should be made on the trial of a witness action in the Chancery Division, see Kino v. Rudkin, 6 Ch. D. 163; Bonnewell v. Jenkins, W. N. (1877), 202; Metzler v. Wood, W. N. (1877), 260.

[Rule 37 applies only to actions for libel or slander.]

Disallowance of questions in crossexamination.

38. The judge may in all cases disallow any questions put in crossexamination of any party or other witness which may appear to him to be vexatious, and not relevant to any matter proper to be inquired into in the cause or matter.

Entry of judgment.

39. The judge may, at or after a trial, direct that judgment be entered for any or either party, or adjourn the case for further consideration, or leave any party to move for judgment. No judgment shall be entered after a trial without the order of a Court or judge.

Officer to note time of commencement and termination of trial.

40. The registrar, master, or other proper officer present at any hearing or trial, shall make a note of the times at which such hearing or trial shall commence and terminate respectively, on each day on which the same shall take place, for communication to the taxing officer if required.

41. Upon every trial at the assizes, or at the sittings of the Queen's Ord.XXXVI. Bench Division for London and Middlesex, where the officer present Entry of findat the trial is not the officer by whom judgments ought to be entered, ings of fact. the associate or master shall enter all such findings of fact as the judge may direct to be entered, and the directions, if any, of the judge as to judgment, and the certificates, if any, granted by the judge, in a book to be kept for the purpose.

42. If the judge shall direct that any judgment be entered for any Certificate of party absolutely, the certificate of the associate or master to that effect shall be a sufficient authority to the proper officer to enter judgment accordingly. The certificate shall be in the Form No. 17 in Appendix B. with such variations as circumstances may require (u).

judgment.

(u) See this form, infra.

VIII. Assessors, Commissioners, and Referees.

43. Trials with assessors shall take place in such manner and upon Trial with such terms as the Court or a judge shall direct.

44. In any cause or matter the Court, or a judge of the division to Trial by comwhich the cause or matter is assigned, may, at any time, or from time missioner. to time, order the trial and determination of such cause or matter, or of any issue of fact, or partly of fact and partly of law, therein, by any commissioner appointed in pursuance of the 29th section of the principal Act, or at the sittings to be held in London and Middlesex, and such cause, matter, or issue shall be tried and determined accordingly.

45. The business to be referred to the official referees appointed Distribution under the principal Act (v), shall be distributed among such official of business among official referees in rotation by the clerks to the registrars of the Supreme referees. Court, Chancery Division, in the manner now used in the distribution of business amongst the conveyancing counsel of the Court (w).

(v) See Judicature Act, 1873, ss. 56, 57, and notes thereto, ante, p. 267.
 (w) As to the rota for the conveyancing counsel, see Ord. LI. rr. 9—13, post.

Jud. Act, 1873,ss. 56,57. Conveyancing counsel.

46. When an order shall have been made referring any business to the official referee in rotation, such order, or a duplicate of it, shall be Mode of produced to the registrar's clerk, whose duty it is to make such distribution as in the last rule mentioned; and such clerk shall (except in the case provided for by rule 47 of this order), indorse on the reference a note specifying the name of the official referee in rotation to whom such business is to be referred; and the order so indorsed shall be a sufficient authority for the official referee to proceed with the business so referred.

distribution.

47. The two last preceding rules of this order are not to interfere Reference to with the power of the Court or a judge to direct or transfer a refer- particular ence to any one in particular of the said official referees, where it referee. appears to the Court or judge to be expedient; but every such reference or transfer shall be recorded in the manner mentioned in Ord. LI.

Ord. XXXVI. r. 10, and a note to that effect be endorsed on the order of reference or transfer; and in case any such reference or transfer shall have been or shall be made to any one in particular of the said referees, then the clerk in making the distribution of the business according to such rotation as aforesaid shall have regard to any such reference or transfer.

Mode of trial before referee.

- 48. Where any cause or matter, or any question in any cause or matter, is referred to a referee, he may, subject to the order of the Court or a judge, hold the trial at or adjourn it to any place which he may deem most convenient, and have any inspection or view, either by himself or with his assessors (if any), which he may deem expedient for the better disposal of the controversy before him. He shall, unless otherwise directed by the Court or a judge, proceed with the trial de die in diem, in a similar manner as in actions tried with a $\operatorname{jury}(x)$.
- (x) This last provision is directory only, and a party who has acquiesced in a

Fees of official referee.

Robinson, 24 W. R. 675). See Hamlyn v. Bettely, 6 Q. B. D. 63.

As to the sittings of the official referees, see also Ord. LXIII. r. 16, post.

By an order of 24th of April, 1877, it is provided that the fee to be taken by an official referee shall be 5t. for the entire reference, irrespective of the time occupied, which sum is to be paid before the reference is proceeded with, and is to be collected by stemps by stamps.

Sittings out of London. Room. Additional

Where the sittings under a reference are to be held elsewhere than in London, a convenient place in which the sittings may be held must be provided to the satisfaction of the official referee, by and at the expense of the party proceeding with the reference; and there must be paid (in addition to the above fee of 5t.), 1t. 11s. 6d. for every night the official referee, and 15s. for every night the referee's clerk, is absent from London on the business of the reference, together with the reasonable expenses of their travelling from London and back.

Deposit.

fee.

A deposit on account of expenses may be required before proceeding with the reference, or at any time during the course thereof; and a memorandum of the amount deposited must be delivered to the party making the deposit. The fees and expenses, and deposit (if any), must be paid, in the first instance,

Party to pay fees.

by the party proceeding with the reference.

Where a Queen's counsel sat as special referee the remuneration allowed was five guineas a sitting (Wallis v. Lichfield, W. N. (1876), 130).

Evidence and attendance of witnesses.

- 49. Subject to any order to be made by the Court or judge ordering the same, evidence shall be taken at any trial before a referee, and the attendance of witnesses may be enforced by subpana (y), and every such trial shall be conducted in the same manner, as nearly as circumstances will admit, as trials are conducted before a judge.
- (y) This provision only applies to a reference to an official or special referee (Rooney v. Whiteley, W. N. (1883), 225).

Discovery and production of documents.

50. Subject to any such order as last aforesaid, the referee shall have the same authority with respect to discovery and production of documents, and in the conduct of any reference or trial, and the same power to direct that judgment be entered for any or either party, as a judge of the High Court.

Committal or attachment.

51. Nothing in these rules contained shall authorize any referee to commit any person to prison or to enforce any order by attachment or otherwise.

52. The referee may, before the conclusion of any trial before him, Ord. XXXVI. or by his report under the reference made to him, submit any question Referee may arising therein for the decision of the Court, or state any facts specially, submit queswith power to the Court to draw inferences therefrom, and in any such Court or case the order to be made on such submission or statement shall be state facts entered as the Court may direct; and the Court shall have power to require any explanation or reasons from the referee, and to remit the cause or matter, or any part thereof, for re-trial or further consideration to the same or any other referee; or the Court may decide the question referred to any referee on the evidence taken before him, either with or without additional evidence as the Court may direct (z).

tions to the specially.

(z) For an instance of a case stated by an official referee for the opinion of the Official Court, see Asser v. Goetze, W. N. (1880), 204.

The referee in his report should state the facts upon which his report is based,

and the principle upon which he may have assessed any damages (Mayor of Birmingham v. Allen, W. N. (1877), 190; but see Dunkirk Colliery Co. v. Lever, 9 Ch. D. 20). See also Walker v. Bunkell, 22 Ch. D. 726; Burrard v. Calisher, W. N. (1882), 11.

53. Whenever a report shall be made by a referee, he shall on the Notice of same day cause notice thereof to be given to all the parties to the referee's report to be trial or the reference before him by prepaid post letter directed to the given to the address for service of each party, who shall in due course of post be parties. deemed to have notice of such report.

54. Where under the fifty-sixth section of the principal Act the Adoption of report of the referee has been made in a cause or matter, the further further conconsideration of which has been adjourned, it shall be lawful for any sideration. party, on the hearing of such further consideration, without notice of motion or summons, to apply to the Court or judge to adopt the report, or without leave of the Court or a judge to give not less than four days' notice of motion, to come on with the further consideration, to vary the report or to remit the cause or matter or any part thereof for re-hearing or further consideration to the same or any other referee (a).

- (a) See note to next rule.
- 55. Where under the fifty-sixth section of the principal Act the Adoption of report of the referee has been made in a cause or matter, the further no further consideration of which has not been adjourned, it shall be lawful for consideration. any party by an eight days' notice of motion to apply to the Court to adopt and carry into effect the report of the referee, or to vary the report, or to remit the cause or matter or any part thereof for rehearing or further consideration to the same or any other referee (b).

(b) This and the preceding rule which are new, embody the decision in Burrard v. Calisher, 19 Ch. D. 644.

IX. Writ of Inquiry and Reference as to Damages.

56. The provisions of Rules 14, 15, 19, 34, 35, 36 and 37 of this Writ of Order, shall, with the necessary modifications, apply to an inquiry, inquiry. pursuant to a writ of inquiry.

Ord. XXXVI.

[Rule 57 applies only to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Continuing cause of action.

58. Where damages are to be assessed in respect of any continuing cause of action, they shall be assessed down to the time of the assessment.

ORDER XXXVII.

I. EVIDENCE GENERALLY.

In the absence of agreement to the contrary, evidence to be taken vivd

1. In the absence of any agreement in writing (c) between the solicitors of all parties, and subject to these rules, the witnesses at the trial of any action or at any assessment of damages shall be examined vivd voce and in open Court, but the Court or a judge may at any time for sufficient reason order that any particular fact or facts may be proved by affidavit (d), or that the affidavit of any witness may be read at the hearing or trial, on such conditions as the Court or judge may think reasonable, or that any witness whose attendance in Court ought for some sufficient cause to be dispensed with be examined by interrogatories or otherwise before a commissioner or examiner; provided that, where it appears to the Court or judge that the other party bond fide desires the production of a witness for cross-examination, and that such witness can be produced, an order shall not be made authorising the evidence of such witness to be given by affidavit (e).

Agreement to take evidence by affidavit.

(c) The agreement should be a formal one (New Westminster Brewery Co. v. Hannah, 1 Ch. D. 278, decided under the old rule). Parties who unreasonably refuse to consent to the evidence being taken by affidavit may be visited with costs (Patterson v. Wooler, 2 Ch. D. 586; 24 W. R. 455; and see Att.-Gen. v. Pagham Harbour Co., W. N. (1876), 94). A censent may be given by the guardian ad litem of an infant defendant without the leave of the Court (Knatchbull v. Fowle, 1 Ch. D. 604; Fryer v. Wiscman, W. N. (1876), 3; 24 W. R. 205).

Even though the parties have agreed to take the evidence by affidavit, the judge has power at the trial, if he thinks the affidavits unsatisfactory, to transfer the action into the witness list, and direct the evidence to be given vival voce. without

action into the witness list, and direct the evidence to be given viva voce, without allowing the affidavits to be read at all (Lovell v. Wallis, W. N. (1883), 231).

As to the course to be pursued where after an agreement to take evidence by affidavit one of the parties finds himself unable to procure affidavit evidence, see Warner

v. Mosses, 16 Ch. D. 100.

Unless the agreement provides that the evidence shall be given by affidavit alone, a witness who has made an affidavit may supplement it by vivâ voce evidence (Glossop v. Heston Local Board, W. N. (1878), 72).

(d) The evidence of witnesses residing in New South Wales was ordered to be given by affidavit under this rule (Macdonald v. Antelne, W. N. (1884), 72).

(e) Where one party desires the production of a witness for cross-examination the Court cannot order an affidavit used on a previous interlocutory application to be

Court cannot order an affidavit used on a previous interlocutory application to be read at the trial (Blackburn Union v. Brooks, 7 Ch. D. 68; but see Patterson v. Wooler, 2 Ch. D. 586).

When an action has been in the paper for trial and has been adjourned for a month at the plaintiff's request, it is too late for the plaintiff to move for a commission to take the evidence of himself and another witness who is in India, and to postpone the trial till the return of the depositions (Steuart v. Gladstone, 7 Ch. D.

[Rule 2 applies only to Admiralty actions.]

Evidence taken in another cause may be read without leave.

3. An order to read evidence taken in another cause or matter shall not be necessary, but such evidence may, saving all just exceptions, be read on ex parte applications by leave of the Court or a judge, to be obtained at the time of making any such application, and in any O. XXXVII. other case upon the party desiring to use such evidence giving two days' previous notice to the other parties of his intention to read such evidence (f).

- (f) This rule is new; under the old practice an order was necessary before evidence taken in one cause could be read in another; see Cons. Ord. XIX. rr. 4, 5; Daniell, 596.
- 4. Office copies of all writs, records, pleadings, and documents filed Office copies in the High Court of Justice shall be admissible in evidence in all to be admissible in evidence and make the sible in evicauses and matters and between all persons or parties, to the same dence to the extent as the original would be admissible.

same extent as originals.

II. Examination of Witnesses.

5. The Court or a judge may, in any cause or matter (g) where it Court may shall appear necessary for the purposes of justice, make any order for make an order the examination upon soft hef. the examination upon oath before the Court or judge or any officer of mination of the Court, or any other person and at any place, of any witness or witnesses. person (h), and may empower any party to any such cause or matter to give such deposition in evidence therein on such terms, if any, as the Court or a judge may direct (i).

(g) E. g. a summons under the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874; see Re Springall, "Cause or W. N. (1875), 225.

matter."

(h) These words include a party to the cause (Nadin v. Bassett, 25 Ch. D. 21).
(i) An order will be made under this rule whenever a necessary witness is going abroad, or is likely from illness, age, or other infirmity, to be unable to attend the trial, or, in short, whenever the interests of justice really require it: see Warner v. Mosses, 16 Ch. D. 100; Nadin v. Bassett.

"Witness or person." Order, when

In a proper case the Court will make an order for the examination of witnesses Evidence de bene esse upon an ex parte application, but the order may be discharged on de bene esse. sufficient grounds; see Bidder v. Bridges, 26 Ch. D. 1, where it was held that though the fact of a witness being seventy years of age is generally a good ground for such an order, the practice will not necessarily be applied to an extraordinary case, e.g.

an order, the practice will not necessarily be applied to an extraordinary case, e.g. where an order has been made to examine thirty witnesses.

Where the witnesses are abroad the usual practice is to issue a commission or Commission appoint a special examiner (Re Imperial Land Co. of Marseilles, W. N. (1877), 244; to examine Spiller v. Paris Skating Rink Co., W. N. (1878), 228; London Bank of Mexico v. Hart, witnesses. 6 Eq. 467; Crofts v. Middleton, 9 Ha. App. xviii.; Rawlins v. Wickham, 4 Jur. N. S. 990; Edwards v. Spaight, 2 J. & H. 617). In Ongley v. Hill, W. N. (1874), 157, the British Minister at Teheran was appointed though his own attendant was one of the witnesses. In The M. Moxham, 1 P. D. 107, and Steuart v. Gladstone, 7 Ch. D. 394. applications for a commission were refused. A commission will not be granted 394, applications for a commission were refused. A commission will not be granted unless the evidence is material to the issue raised (Langen v. Tate, 24 Ch. D. 522). Nor will a plaintiff who is abroad be allowed to have his own evidence taken by commission when it is clear that he is making the application merely because he wishes to keep out of the way and avoid cross-examination in Court (Berdan v. Greenwood, 20 Ch. D. 764, n.; Re Boyse, Crofton v. Crofton, 20 Ch. D. 760; and see Langen v. Tate). But, in the absence of special circumstances, there is no objection to the evidence of a plaintiff who resides abroad being taken by commission (Armour v. Walker, 25 Ch. D. 673; 32 W. R. 214; Banque Franco-Egypticnne v. Lutscher, 28 W. R. 133). If a plaintiff desires to have the evidence of one of his own witnesses taken always to the plaintiff the same is only by the interests of instige that taken abroad, the onus is on him to show that it is for the interests of justice that this should be done (Lawson v. Vacuum Brake Co., 27 Ch. D. 137, where the application was refused). The witnesses need not necessarily be named in the commission (Nadin v. Bassett, 25 Ch. D. 21; Armour v. Walker).

A commission may be issued to a foreign Court; but where it appeared that

under the ordinary procedure of the foreign Court; but where it appeared that under the ordinary procedure of the foreign Court the witness would not be cross-examined the application was refused (Re Bayse, Crofton v. Crofton, 20 Ch. D. 760); see Valentine v. Hall, W. N. (1866), 50; Imperial Land Co. of Marscilles v. Masterman, W. N. (1873), 223.

o. xxxvII.

Form of order.

6. An order for a commission to examine witnesses shall be in the Form No. 36 in Appendix K., and the writ of commission shall be in the Form No. 13 in Appendix J., with such variations as circumstances may require (k).

Single commissioner. (k) A single commissioner may be authorized to administer the oath to himself (Wilson v. De Coulon, 22 Ch. D. 841; 31 W. R. 839).

For these forms, see infra.

Request to examine witnesses.

- 6a. If in any case the Court or a judge shall so order, there shall be issued a request to examine witnesses in lieu of a commission. The Forms 1 and 2 in the Appendix hereto shall be used for such order and request respectively, with such variation as circumstances may require, and may be cited as Forms 37A and 37B in Appendix K. (kk).
 - (kk) This rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884. See these forms, infra.

Court may order production of documents at any stage of the proceedings.

- 7. The Court or a judge may in any cause or matter at any stage of the proceedings order the attendance of any person for the purpose of producing any writings or other documents named in the order which the Court or judge may think fit to be produced: Provided that no person shall be compelled to produce under any such order any writing or other document which he could not be compelled to produce at the hearing or trial (1).
- (l) An order will not be made for production before the trial by a person not a party to the action, except for the purpose of some particular application (Central News Co. v. Eastern Telegraph Co., W. N. (1884), 23; 53 L. J. Q. B. 236).

Person disobsying order to be guilty of contempt.

- 8. Any person wilfully disobeying any order requiring his attendance for the purpose of being examined or producing any document shall be deemed guilty of contempt of Court, and may be dealt with accordingly (m).
 - (m) See rule 13 and note thereto, post, p. 425.

Costs of person producing documents.

9. Any person required to attend for the purpose of being examined or of producing any document, shall be entitled to the like conduct money and payment for expenses and loss of time as upon attendance at a trial in Court(n).

Costs and expenses of witnesses.

(n) As to costs and expenses of witnesses generally, see Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 41.

It seems that professional witnesses, even though residing within the bills of mortality, may require compensation before giving evidence (Clark v. Gill, 1 K. & J. 19). The scale of allowance in such a case does not depend on a witness's valuation of his own time, but consists of a fixed payment corresponding with that allowed in the old Courts of common law (Nokes v. Gibbon, 3 Jur. N. S. 282; Turner v. Turner, 7 W. R. 573; Thomas v. Parry, W. N. (1880), 184; Re Working Men's Mutual Society, 21 Ch. D. 831). A witness may require to have his travelling expenses paid before attending to be examined (Brocas v. Lloyd, 23 Beav. 129); and when brought up to be examined he may insist, before giving evidence, on being paid for his loss of time also (Witshire v. Marshall, W. N. (1866), 80; Re Working Men's Mutual Society, where a country auctioneer was held entitled to a guinea a day compensation and his expenses); and the rule applies to parties to suits as well as to other witnesses (Davey v. Durrant, 24 Beav. 493; 2 De G. & J. 506).

Examiner to be furnished with copy of

10. Where any witness or person is ordered to be examined before any officer of the Court, or before any person appointed for the pur-

pose, the person taking the examination shall be furnished by the O. XXXVII. party on whose application the order was made with a copy of the necessary writ and pleadings, if any, or with a copy of the documents necessary documents. to inform the person taking the examination of the questions at issue between the parties (o).

- (o) See note to next rule.
- 11. The examination shall take place in the presence of the parties, Conduct of their counsel, solicitors or agents, and the witnesses shall be subject to examination. cross-examination and re-examination (p).

(p) This and the preceding rule are taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Viot. c. 86, s. 31. The examiner's room is not a public Court (Re Western of Canada Oil Co., 6

Ch. D. 109).

12. The depositions taken before an officer of the Court, or before Depositions any other person appointed to take the examination, shall be taken to be taken down in down in writing by or in the presence of the examiner, not ordinarily writing and by question and answer, but so as to represent as nearly as may be signed. the statement of the witness, and when completed shall be read over to the witness and signed by him in the presence of the parties, or such of them as may think fit to attend. If the witness shall refuse to sign the depositions, the examiner shall sign the same. The examiner may put down any particular question or answer if there should appear any special reason for doing so, and may put any question to the witness as to the meaning of any answer, or as to any matter arising in the course of the examination. Any questions which Where quesmay be objected to shall be taken down by the examiner in the depositions, and he shall state his opinion thereon to the counsel, solicitors, or parties, and shall refer to such statement in the depositions, but he shall not have power to decide upon the materiality or relevancy of any question (q).

(q) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, s. 32.

The examiner ought not, where the examination is taken ex parts, to refuse to Powers of allow questions to be put unless upon matters which would clearly not be evidence examiner. (Surr v. Walmsley, 2 Eq. 439). He has power, it seems, to determine question as to the adverse nature of a witness's evidence (Ohlsen v. Terrero, 10 Ch. 127; but see Buckley v. Cooke, 1 K. & J. 29; Wright v. Wilkin, 6 W. R. 643; 4 Jur. N. S.

13. If any person duly summoned by subpæna to attend for exami- Witness nation shall refuse to attend, or if, having attended, he shall refuse refusing to attend or to be sworn or to answer any lawful question, a certificate of such be sworn. refusal, signed by the examiner, shall be filed at the central office, and thereupon the party requiring the attendance of the witness may apply to the Court or a judge ex parte or on notice for an order directing the witness to attend, or to be sworn, or to answer any question, as the case may be (r).

r) This rule is taken from Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, s. 33, now repealed. The principal grounds upon which a witness may refuse to answer a question are Grounds of (1), that the answer may criminate him; (2), that he cannot answer without a refusal by

witness.

O. XXXVII. breach of professional confidence: see Dan. p. 659; and see Ord. XXXI., and notes

thereto, ante, p. 381.

A witness may object to answering a question on the ground that the answer would criminate him, without giving his reasons; but if he gives his reasons the Court will consider them, and if they are unfounded will compel him to answer (Aston's Case, 27 Beav. 474; 4 De G. & J. 320; R. v. Boyes, 7 Jur. N. S. 1158; Re Fernandez, 10 C. B. N. S. 3, 39, 40).

Where an order has been made for taking the examination of a witness before one of the examination.

one of the examiners of the Court, and the witness fails to attend or refuses to be sworn, the proper course is to serve him with a subpæna, and if he still fails to attend or refuses to be sworn, then to move for an order that he attend at his own expense (Stuart v. Balkis Company, W. N. (1884), 122).

Validity of objection, how decided.

14. If any witness shall object to any question which may be put to him before an examiner, the question so put, and the objection of the witness thereto, shall be taken down by the examiner, and transmitted by him to the central office to be there filed, and the validity of the objection shall be decided by the Court or a judge (s).

Motion that witness attend and be examined. (s) The validity of the objection is considered on a motion by the examining party that the witness attend the examiner at his own expense and be examined, notice of the motion being served on the witness (Daniell, 661); and the costs follow the

Where, prior to the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, a commissioner, notwith-standing the demurrer of a witness, took upon himself to examine him, the depositions were suppressed, though the demurrer was held untenable (Goodale v. Gawthorn, 4 De G. & Sm. 97).

Costs.

- 15. In any case under the two last preceding rules, the Court or a judge shall have power to order the witness to pay any costs occasioned by his refusal or objection (t).
 - (t) See notes to the last two rules.

Depositions to be signed by the examiner and filed.

16. When the examination of any witness before any examiner shall have been coucluded, the original depositions, authenticated by the signature of the examiner, shall be transmitted by him to the central office, and there filed (u).

Omission to sign deposition.

(u) This rule is taken from Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, s. 34. Where an examiner omitted to sign the depositions, they were allowed to be filed without delay upon payment of costs, and upon a proper explanation being given (Stephens v. Wanklin, 19 Beav. 585). And when an examiner dies without signing, they may be signed by his successor (Bryson v. Warwick and Birmingham, Canal Company, 1 W. R. 124); or filed without any signature (Felthouse v. Bailey, 14 W. R. 827).

Filing of depositions.

An examiner appointed to take evidence for the hearing of the cause, must return all the depositions at once (Clark v. Gill, 1 K. & J. 13).

Examiner may make a special report.

- 17. The person taking the examination of a witness under these rules may, and if need be shall, make a special report to the Court touching such examination and the conduct or absence of any witness or other person thereon, and the Court or a judge may direct such proceedings and make such order as upon the report they or he may think just (v).
- (v) This rule is taken from 1 Will. IV. c. 22, s. 8, and the C. L. P. Act, 1854, ss. 55 and 56.

Depositions, when to be given in evi-

18. Except where by this order otherwise provided, or directed by the Court or a judge, no deposition shall be given in evidence at the hearing or trial of the cause or matter without the consent of the party O. XXXVII. against whom the same may be offered, unless the Court or judge is dence at the satisfied that the deponent is dead, or beyond the jurisdiction of trial. the Court, or unable from sickness or other infirmity to attend the hearing or trial, in any of which cases the depositions certified under the hand of the person taking the examination shall be admissible in evidence saving all just exceptions without proof of the signature to such certificate (w).

- (w) This rule is taken from 1 Will. IV. c. 22, s. 10. See Duke of Beaufort v. Crawshay, L. R. 1 C. P. 699.
- 19. Any officer of the Court, or other person directed to take the ex- Examiner amination of any witness or person, may administer oaths (x).

may administer oaths.

- (x) This rule reproduces the latter part of sect. 35 of the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852.
- 20. Any party in any cause or matter may by subpana ad testifican- Power to dum or duces tecum require the attendance of any witness before an require officer of the Court, or other person appointed to take the examination, of witnesses. for the purpose of using his evidence upon any proceeding in the cause or matter in like manner as such witness would be bound to attend and be examined at the hearing or trial; and any party or Cross-examiwitness having made an affidavit to be used or which shall be used on nation on any proceeding in the cause or matter shall be bound on being served with such subpana to attend before such officer or person for crossexamination (y).

(y) This rule is taken, with only slight alterations, from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 40. The effect of this and the next rule is that any party may, without leave of the Court, issue a subpæna for the examination of a witness at any stage of an action; but the Court will exercise a control over 1800 of the Court will exe privilege to prevent its being used oppressively (Raymond v. Tapson, 22 Ch. D. 430).

The following affidavits have been decided to be open to cross-examination:—

An affidavit made in support of a petition under the Trustee Relief Act (Re Ben-davits are

dyshe, 5 W. R. 816).

A creditor's affidavit as to his claim, even though he had obtained a judgment at law (Cast v. Poyser, 3 Sm. & Gif. 369; Re Baker, 11 W. R. 1127).

An accounting defendant's affidavit verifying his claim (Re Lord, 2 Eq. 605; Meacham v. Cooper, 16 Eq. 102); and see note to Ord. XXXIII. r. 4, ante, p. 398.

An affidavit filed in support of an application for leave to amend (Catholic Publishing Co. v. Wyman, 11 W. R. 399); but when the Court, exercising its discretion, had given leave to amend, it was held that no purpose could be answered by allowing the cross-examination, which was therefore refused (ibid.).

Affidavits in support of, or against interlocutory motions (Lloyd v. Whitty, 19). Beav. 57). But when an affidavit had been filed in support of a motion for injunction, but the motion went off, it was held that the affidavit was not open to cross-examination.

ante, p. 337.

But the cross-examination cannot be read against co-defendants (Rehden v. Wesley,

26 Beav. 432).

A person (whether a party or not) who has made an affidavit cannot withdraw it Affidavit A person (whether a party of hot) who has made an annual trained withdraw it Affidavit in order to escape cross-examination (Re Quartz Hill Co., 21 Ch. D. 642; Catholic Publishing Co. v. Wyman; Clarke v. Law, 2 K. & J. 28; Prole v. Soady, 3 Ch. 220; Pike withdrawn. v. Robinson, W. N. (1873), 178; but see Re Sykes, 2 J. & H. 415).

Where the affidavit was a formal one, merely proving service almoad, and had no

relation to the merits of the case, a motion for cross-examination was disallowed (Official Manager of National, &c. Association v. Carstairs, 11 W. R. 866); and so

What affiopen to cross-

documents.

O. XXXVII. where the proposed cross-examination was vexatious (Fenton v. Cumberlege, W. N.

If no opportunity to cross-examine affidavit has less weight, but may be admitted.

(1883), 116).

As to a cause standing over when a witness was too ill to appear for cross-examination, see Nason v. Clamp, 12 W. R. 973.

Affidavits which the opposite party has had no opportunity of testing by cross-examination may, in pressing cases (but see Bingley v. Marshall, 6 L. T. 682), he admitted, but will have less weight attached to them: e.g. where a plaintiff at law moved to dissolve an injunction, and the motion was not allowed to stand over that the other side might cross-examine his witnesses (Normanville v. Stanning, 10 Hare, App. xx.; Mayes v. Spenee, 1 J. & H. 87; Wightman v. Wheelton, 23 Beav. 397; but see Besemeres v. Besemeres, Kay, App. xviii., where the motion stood over that the witnesses might be cross-examined). For other cases where affidavits have been admitted for what they were worth, though on account of death or from other causes, no cross-examination had taken place on them, see Abadom v. Abadom, 24 Beav. 243; Morley v. Morley, 5 De G. M. & G. 610; Davies v. Otty, 13 W. R. 484; Brathwaite v. Kearns, 34 Beav. 202; Ridley v. Ridley, ibid. 329; Tanswell v. Scurrah, 11 L. T. 761. rah, 11 L. T. 761.

Mode of taking evidence subsequently to trial.

- 21. Evidence taken subsequently to the hearing or trial of any cause or matter shall be taken as nearly as may be in the same manner as evidence taken at or with a view to a trial (z).
 - (z) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, s. 41.

Evidence at any stage to be taken as at the trial

- 22. The practice with reference to the examination, cross-examination, and re-examination of witnesses at a trial shall extend and be applicable to evidence taken in any cause or matter at any stage (a).
 - (a) Cf. Cons. Ord. XIX. r. 10, and Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, s. 31.

Special directions.

- 23. The practice of the Court with respect to evidence at a trial, when applied to evidence to be taken before an officer of the Court or other person in any cause or matter after the hearing or trial, shall be subject to any special directions which may be given in any case (b).
 - (b) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XIX. r. 11.

Evidence taken before issue joined.

- 24. No affidavit or deposition filed or made before issue joined in any cause or matter shall without special leave of the Court or a judge be received at the hearing or trial thereof, unless within one month after issue joined, or within such longer time as may be allowed by special leave of the Court or a judge, notice in writing shall have been given by the party intending to use the same to the opposite party of his intention in that behalf (c).
 - (e) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XIX. r. 12.

Evidence taken at the trial may be used subsequently.

- 25. All evidence taken at the hearing or trial of any cause or matter may be used in any subsequent proceedings in the same cause or matter (d).
 - (d) This rule is taken from G. O., 5th February, 1861, rule 15.

III. SUBPCENA.

Delivery and filing of præcipe.

26. Where it is intended to sue out a subpœna, a præcipe for that purpose, in the Form No. 21 in Appendix G., and containing the name or firm and the place of business or residence of the solicitor intending to sue out the same, and, where such solicitor is agent only, then also the name or firm and place of business or residence of the O. XXXVII. principal solicitor, shall in all cases be delivered and filed at the central office (e).

- (e) The rules in this part of the order are taken from Cons. Ord. XXVIII. For the form here referred to, see infra.
- 27. A writ of subpœna shall be in one of the Forms 1 to 7 in Ap- Form of pendix J., with such variations as circumstances may require (f). subpœna.

(f) For these forms, see post.

28. Where a subpœna is required for the attendance of a witness Issue of for the purpose of proceedings in chambers, such subpoena shall issue subpoena. from the central office upon a note from the judge.

29. Every subpœna other than a subpœna duces tecum shall contain Subpœna to three names where necessary or required, but may contain any larger contain three names. number of names.

30. No more than three persons shall be included in one subpœna Subpœna duces tecum, and the party suing out the same shall be at liberty to duces tecum sue out a subpœna for each person if it shall be deemed necessary or more than desirable (q).

not to contain three names.

(g) A subpoena for documents must specify the documents required, and must be Subpoena for founded on the ordinary affidavit as to documents (Fiott v. Mullins, 1 Sm. & Giff. 1; documents Wing v. Harvey, ibid. App. x.; McIntosh v. Great Western Ry. Co., 4 De G. M. & G. must not be 544); or the application for documents under it will be dismissed with costs (Lee v. vague. Angas, 2 Eq. 59; Newland v. Steere, 13 W. R. 1014); but see Re Emma Silver Mining Co., 10 Ch. 194.

31. In the interval between the suing out and service of any sub- Correction of poena the party suing out the same may correct any error in the names errors in of parties or witnesses, and may have the writ re-sealed upon leaving a corrected præcipe of such subpœna marked with the words "altered and re-sealed," and signed with the name and address of the solicitor suing out the same. 32. The service of a subpœna shall be effected by delivering a copy Service of

of the writ, and of the indorsement thereon, and at the same time pro-subpœna. ducing the original writ. 33. Affidavits filed for the purpose of proving the service of a sub- Affidavit of

poena upon any defendant must state when, where, and how, and by subpoena. whom, such service was effected.

34. The service of any subpœna shall be of no validity if not made Time of within twelve weeks after the teste of the writ.

service.

IV. PERPETUATING TESTIMONY.

35. Any person who would under the circumstances alleged by him Action to to exist become entitled, upon the happening of any future event, to perpetuate testimony. any honour, title, dignity, or office, or to any estate or interest in any property, real or personal, the right or claim to which cannot by him be brought to trial before the happening of such event, may commence an action to perpetuate any testimony which may be material for establishing such right or claim (h).

(h) See note to next rule.

O. XXXVII.

Where Crown interested. attorneygeneral may be made a defendant.

36. In all actions to perpetuate testimony touching any honour, title, dignity, or office, or any other matter or thing in which the Crown may have any estate or interest, the Attorney-General may be made a defendant, and in all proceedings in which the depositions taken in any such action, in which the Attorney-General was so made a defendant, may be offered in evidence, such depositions shall be admissible notwithstanding any objection to such depositions upon the ground that the Crown was not a party to the action in which such depositions were taken (i).

5 & 6 Vict. c. 69.

(i) This and the preceding rule are taken from 5 & 6 Vict. c. 69, ss. 1 and 2, now repealed; as to proceedings under this Act generally, see Campbell v. Lord Dalhousic, L. R. 1 Sc. & Div. 462; Daniell, 1512—1515.

If an action is actually pending concerning certain rights another action to perpetuate testimony in favour of such rights is improper; the witnesses must be examined de bene esse in the pending suit (Earl Spencer v. Peek, 3 Eq. 415). As to whether persons interested in a will made by a lunatic still alive before his lunacy can institute such an action to support the will against future litigation, see Re Tayleur, 6 Ch. 416. For a recent instance of an action to perpetuate testimony at the instance of the committee of a lunatic, see Re Stoer, 9 P. D. 120.

The Court has jurisdiction to perpetuate testimony with a view to proceedings in a forsign Court (*Morris* v. *Morris*, 2 Ph. 205).

Witnesses not to be examined till action commenced.

- 37. Witnesses shall not be examined to perpetuate testimony unless an action has been commenced for the purpose (k).
 - (k) See note to next ruls.

Action not to be set down for trial.

38. No action to perpetuate the testimony of witnesses shall be set down for trial (l).

Admitting right to examine.

Want of prosecution. (I) This and the preceding rule are taken from Cons. Ord. IX. rr. 6 and 7. In a suit for the perpetuation of testimony a defendant need make no discovery beyond an admission of the plaintiff's title to examine the witnesses (Ellice v. Roupell, 32 Beav. 299; see Lancaster v. Lancaster, 6 Sim. 439; Coveny v. Athill, 1 Dick. 355). See also Brigstocke v. Roch, 7 Jur. N. S. 63.

If a suit to perpetuate testimony is not diligently prosecuted (Wright v. Tatham, 2 Sim. 459; Beavan v. Carpenter, 11 Sim. 22), the proper order is that the plaintiff do proceed within a certain time, or pay the defendant his costs (ibid.; and see Barham v. Longman, 2 Sim. 460).

As to setting down, see Ellice v. Roupell, 32 Beav. 308, and cases there cited; and Vaughan v. Fitzgerald, 1 Sch. & Lefroy, 316.

When the witnesses have been examined there is an end of the cause (Morrison v.

Setting down.

Costs of defendant.

When the witnesses have been examined there is an end of the cause (Morrison v. Arnold, 19 Ves. 670); and the defendant upon an allegation that he did not examine any witnesses is entitled to his costs (Foulds v. Midgeley, 1 V. & B. 138); but if he examines witnesses himself he is not entitled to any costs (Shrine v. Powell, 15 Sim. 81). Where the defendant obtains the usual order for his costs, and such 15 Sim. 81). Where the defendant obtains the usual order for his costs, and such order recites that the testimony of witnesses has been taken, the examination must be regarded as completely taken, and cannot be excluded because there was no sufficient cross-examination (Watkins v. Atchison, 10 Ha. App. xlvi.).

Unless the witnesses are incapacitated from travelling their depositions taken in a suit to perpetuate testimony cannot be read (Morrison v. Arnold, 19 Ves. 670; and see Watkins v. Atchison). For an order directing publication of testimony taken in an old suit, see Vane v. Vane, 24 W. R. 453, 565; W. N. (1876), 109, 132; and see also Llanover v. Homfray, 13 Ch. D. 380.

V. Examiners of the Court.

Examination to be taken before an examiner of the Court.

39. The examination of any witness or person ordered to be taken under Rules 1 and 5 of this Order shall, in any cause or matter in the Chancery Division, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct, be taken before one of the examiners of the Court, and may, in any

cause or matter in the Queen's Bench and Probate, Divorce and Admi- 0. XXXVII. ralty Divisions, if the Court or a judge shall so direct, be taken before one of such examiners (m).

- (m) The rules in this part of the order were added in February, 1884, upon the retirement of the examiners in Chancery; they provide for the appointment of examiners of the Court to take the examination of witnesses in rotation, but do not interfere with the appointment, where necessary, of a special examiner.
- 40. A sufficient number of barristers-at-law, of not less than three Examiners to years' standing, shall be from time to time appointed by the Lord by the Lord Chancellor to act as examiners of the Court for a period not exceeding Chancellor. five years, and shall be at any time removable by the same authority.

41. The examinations to be taken before the examiners of the Court Examinations shall be distributed among them in rotation by the first clerk to the buted among registrars of the Chancery Division, and in his absence by the second examiners. clerk, and in the absence of the first and second clerks by such of the other clerks to the registrars as the senior registrar may determine.

42. The clerk in the last preceding rule mentioned shall be respon- Rota of sible for making the distribution according to regular and just rotation examiners. and in such manner as to keep secret from all persons the rota or succession of examiners of the Court: and it shall be his duty to keep a record thereof with proper indexes and dates.

43. The party prosecuting the order or his solicitor shall produce Reference to such order or a duplicate thereof to the clerk in rule 41 mentioned, examiner. who shall, except in the case provided for in rule 49, add at the foot thereof a memorandum specifying the name of the examiner of the Court in rotation before whom the examination is appointed to be taken; and the order or duplicate shall be left by the party prosecuting the same, or his solicitor, with the examiner so appointed, and shall be a sufficient authority for him to proceed with the examination.

44. Upon production of the order indorsed with his name the Examiner to examiner of the Court shall give an appointment in writing specifying give appointment. the place and time (within not more than seven days) at which, subject to any application from the parties, the examination shall be taken; and the party prosecuting the order or his solicitor shall within twenty-four hours, or such shorter time (if any) as may be mentioned in the order, give notice of the appointment to all parties.

45. In determining the place and time at which an examination Place and shall be taken, the examiner shall have regard to the convenience of time of the witnesses or persons to be examined and all the circumstances of the case; and he shall proceed with such examination at the place and time appointed, and subject to such adjournment as he shall think necessary or just continue the same de die in diem (n).

examination.

- (n) This rule was substituted for the original r. 45 by Rules of the Supreme Court, October, 1884.
- 46. The examiner may, with the consent in writing of all parties, Examination take the examination of any witnesses or persons in addition to those witnesses.

O. XXXVII. named or provided for in the order, and shall annex such consent to the original depositions.

Completion of examination.

- 47. Upon the completion of an examination taken before an examiner of the Court, he shall indorse the original depositions with a note, authenticated by his signature, certifying the number of hours or days (as the case may be) exclusively employed thereupon, and the fees received in respect thereof (o).
- (o) The present rules 47, 48 and 50, were substituted for those originally issued by Rules of the Supreme Court, October, 1884.

Where examiner unable to act, examination to be offered to next examiner.

- 48. In case any examiner of the Court, before whom according to the rotation any examination is to be taken, shall be engaged as counsel in the cause or matter to which such examination relates, or shall from illness or from any other cause be unable or decline to take such examination, the same shall be assigned by the clerk in rule 41 mentioned to another examiner of the Court according to the rotation aforesaid: Provided that it shall be the duty of any examiner before whom any examination is pending to decline any other examination in any case where the acceptance thereof is likely to create delay or inconvenience in the taking of any examination before him (p).
 - (p) See note (o) to rule 47.

Reference to particular examiner.

49. The Court or a judge may, if they or he think fit, direct or transfer an examination to any one in particular of the examiners of the Court.

Fees and expenses.

- 50. The Court or a judge may, on the application of an examiner, order the payment to him by the party prosecuting the order of the fees and expenses payable to him on account of any examination, but without prejudice to any question on the taxation of costs as to the party by whom the costs of such examination should eventually be borne (q).
 - (q) See note (o) to rule 47.

FEES.

Fees on examination.

	£	8.	d.
1. For every examination before an examiner of the			
Court in London or Middlesex (r)	1	1	0
2. For the examiner's clerk	0	2	6
3. For each hour, or part of an hour, occupied in such			
examination beyond two hours	0	10	6
4. For the examiner's clerk, where such examination			
occupies more than three hours, (in addition to fee			
No. 2) per day	0	2	6
5. For every examination before an examiner of the Court			
elsewhere than in London or Middlesex (r)	5	5	0
6. For every day of six hours, or part of a day, occupied			
in such examination beyond the first day	5	5	0

The party prosecuting the order, or his solicitor, shall also pay all O. XXXVII. reasonable travelling and other expenses, including charges for the room (other than the examiner's chambers) where the examination is taken.

- N.B. The fees, Nos. 1, 2, and 5 (as the case may be) shall be paid by the party prosecuting the order, or his solicitor, on obtaining the examiner's note of time and place for the examination. The fees, Nos. 3, 4, and 6 (as the case may be), shall be paid so soon as the examination has been concluded, together with any travelling or other expenses as above mentioned.
- (r) The following rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884:—

Examiners' Fees.

Instead of the words "in London or Middlesex" in fees 1 and 5, read "within three miles from the principal entrance of the Royal Courts of Justice."

ORDER XXXVIII.

I. Affidavits and Depositions.

1. Upon any motion (00), petition, or summons evidence may be Evidence on given by affidavit; but the Court or a judge may, on the application motion, petiof either party, order the attendance for cross-examination of the mons. person making any such affidavit (pp).

 (vo) As to motions for judgment, see Ellis v. Robins, 50 L. J. Ch. 512.
 (pp) As to what affidavits are open to cross-examination, see note to Ord. XXXVII.
 r. 20, ante, p. 427. Where the parties resided in the country, the registrar of the County Court was directed to take the cross-examination (Lumb v. Osburn, W. N. (1884), 218).

2. Every affidavit shall be intituled in the cause or matter in which Affidavits, it is sworn (qq); but in every case in which there are more than one how intituled. plaintiff or defendant, it shall be sufficient to state the full name of the first plaintiff or defendant respectively, and that there are other plaintiffs or defendants, as the case may be; and the costs occasioned by Costs. any unnecessary prolixity in any such title shall be disallowed by the taxing officer.

(qq) Affidavits must be correctly intituled, see May v. Prinsep, 11 Jur. 1032; Title must be Mackenzie v. Mackenzie, 5 De G. & Sm. 338; Salomon v. Stalman, 4 Beav. 243, where correct. a misnomer of the defendant in an affidavit of service was held a ground for discorrection of a minomer of the defendant in an aindavit of service was field a ground for discharging with costs the order obtained on the motion; but see Hawes v. Bamford, 9 Sim. 653; Re Varteg Chapel, 10 Hare, App. xxxvii.; Pearson v. Wilcox, 10 Hare, App. xxxvv., where affidavits erroneously intituled were allowed to be taken off the file and re-sworn without a fresh stamp; Fisher v. Coffey, 1 Jur. N. S. 956. See also Underdown v. Stannard, W. N. (1871), 170; Re Harris, 7 Jur. N. S. 166; Re Barnes, 5 L. T. 587.

Correction of

errors in title.

Under special circumstances an affidavit may be ordered to be filed though not intituled in any cause or matter (Salvidge v. Tutton, 20 L. T. 300); and a statutory declaration of no settlement, made in New South Wales, but not intituled in the cause, was allowed to be filed with an affidavit verifying the signatures (Whiting v.

Bassett, 14 Eq. 70).

An affidavit in a contemplated action should be intituled in the contemplated Contemplated An affidavit in a contemplated action should be intituled in the contemplated Contemplated action. action and in the matter of the Judicature Acts (Young v. Brassey, 1 Ch. D. 277).

O.XXXVIII.

Facts deposed to must be within knowledge of witness, except on interlocutory applications.

Costs.

"Interlocutory motions."

Grounds of belief must be shown. Costs.

Affidavits in England,

where sworn.

Commissioners for oaths.

3. Affidavits shall be confined to such facts as the witness is able of his own knowledge to prove, except on interlocutory motions, on which statements as to his belief, with the grounds thereof, may be admitted (rr). The costs of every affidavit which shall unnecessarily set forth matters of hearsay, or argumentative matter, or copies of or extracts from documents, shall be paid by the party filing the same(s).

(rr) The words "interlocutory motions" include all interlocutory applications (Re New Callao, 26 Sol. J. 403). Where the proceeding, though interlocutory in form, finally decides the rights of the parties, evidence on information and belief is not admissible, and the opposite party is not bound to contradict it; but if in the Court below he deals with the evidence as admissible, he may be precluded from objecting to it in the Court of Appeal (Gilbert v. Endean, 9 Ch. D. 259).

The affidavit must show the grounds of the witness's belief (Bidder v. Bridges, 26 Ch. D. 1)

26 Ch. D. 1). (s) Affidavits by persons having no personal knowledge of the matters deposed to cannot be used at the hearing, and the costs of them will be disallowed: per Jessel, M. R., W. N. (1876), 59; and see also Hirst v. Procter, W. N. (1882), 12; and Ord. LXV. r. 27 (20), infra. As to scandalous matter in affidavits see r. 11.

4. Affidavits sworn in England shall be sworn before a judge, district registrar, commissioner to administer oaths (t), or officer empowered under these rules to administer oaths (u).

(t) As to commissioners to administer oaths in England, see the Oaths in Chancery Act, 16 & 17 Vict. c. 78. By s. 1 of this Act, the "masters extraordinary" were to cease to be so styled, and they and all persons thereafter appointed to discharge their duties were to be designated "commissioners to administer oaths in Chancery in England," and by Cons. Ord. IV. the commissioners were not to do any act incident to their office within ten miles of Lincoln's Inn Hall. Seet. 2 empowers the Lord Chancellor to appoint any persons practising as solicitors within ten miles of Lincoln's Inn Hall, at their place of business (see Re Record and Writ Clerks, 3 De G. M. & G. 723), to administer oaths and take declarations in Chancery, who are to be styled "London commissioners to administer oaths in Chancery," and to be entitled to a fee of one shilling and sixpence for every oath administered by them, and every declaration, affirmation, or attestation of honour taken by them. Sect. 3 provides for the appointment of commissioners for the Channel, Islands (see Re Dodd, 6 W. R. 174), and the Isle of Man; sect. 4 relates to stamps on appointments; sect. 5 contains a saving of the power of the Lord Chancellor to appoint persons to administer oaths, and provides that any reference in Acts of Parliament to the masters extraordinary shall apply to commissioners; and sect. 7 provides that persons authorised to administer oaths in Chancery may administer oaths in the Chancery of the county palatine of Lancaster.

By the 77th section of the Judicature Act, 1873, the commissioners are attached to the Supreme Court; and by sect. 82 of the same Act, they are made commissioners to administer oaths in all causes and matters which may from time to time be depending in the High Court or the Court of Appeal. See further as to the (t) As to commissioners to administer oaths in England, see the Oaths in Chancery

be depending in the High Court or the Court of Appeal. See further as to the appointment and removal of the commissioners, sect. 84 of the same Act.

(u) Any officer of the Court may administer oaths (Ord. XXXVII. r. 19,

Officer.

Commissioners to express time and place of taking affidavits.

- 5. Every commissioner to administer oaths shall express the time when and the place where he shall take any affidavit, or the acknowledgment of any deed, or recognizance; otherwise the same shall not be held authentic, nor be admitted to be filed or enrolled without the leave of the Court or a judge; and every such commissioner shall express the time when, and the place where, he shall do any other act incident to his office (v).
 - (v) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. IV. An affidavit filed on the registration of a bill of sale was held sufficient where the commissioner signed his name in the jurat but did not add his title as commissioner (Ex parte Johnson, 26 Ch. D. 338).

6. All examinations, affidavits, declarations, affirmations, and attes- O. XXXVIII. tations of honour in causes or matters depending in the High Court, Examinaand also acknowledgments required for the purpose of enrolling any tions, &c., deed in the central office, may be sworn and taken in Scotland or Scotland, Irs-Ireland or the Channel Islands, or in any colony, island, plantation, land, Channel or place under the dominion of her Majesty in foreign parts, before colonies and any judge, Court, notary public, or person lawfully authorised to abroad. administer oaths in such country, colony, island, plantation, or place respectively, or before any of her Majesty's consuls or vice-consuls in any foreign parts out of her Majesty's dominions; and the judges and other officers of the High Court shall take judicial notice of the seal or signature, as the case may be, of any such Court, judge, notary public, person, consul, or vice-consul, attached, appended, or subscribed to any such examinations, affidavits, affirmations, attestations of honour, declarations, acknowledgments, or to any other deed or document (w).

(w) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 22; but the concluding words of that section, "to be used in the said Court," are omitted; see as to these words, Brooke v. Brooke, 17 Ch. D. 833.

As to the Isle of Man, see 16 & 17 Vict. c. 78, s. 6.

(A.) Under this rule, when affidavits in the colonies and within her Majesty's Affidavits of the index Courts and prosent mentioned in the text.

dominions are sworn before the judges, Courts, and persons mentioned in the text, no verification of their signature is necessary (Hayward v. Stephens, W. N. (1866), colonies; 318; 36 L. J. Ch. 135), because the Court is directed to take judicial notice of such signature; and see Re Goss, 12 Jur. N. S. 595; W. N. (1866), 256.

(B.) Affidavits in foreign countries not forming part of her Majesty's dominions in foreign

may be sworn in two ways-

(1.) Under the rule, before the resident consul or vice-consul, and judicial notice

(1.) Under the rule, before the resident consul or vice-consul, and judicial notice will be taken of his authority and official seal without formal verification: compare the cases in which such official seal is taken in proof of the qualification of a notary public, &c.,—e.g., Haggitt v. Iniff, 5 Ds G. M. & G. 910; and see Ferguson v. Benyon, 16 W. R. 71; Bateman v. Cook, 3 De G. M. & G. 39.

(2.) Though the most regular course is now to have the affidavit sworn before the consul, it is settled that there is nothing to prevent its being sworn, if more provenient, according to the former practice, irrespectively of the rule—viz., before notaries public, or before persons duly authorised by the law of the foreign country to administer oaths there; (Cooke v. Wilby, 25 Ch. D. 769; Cooper v. Moore, W. N. (1884), 78; Brittlebank v. Smith, ibid. 120; Levitt v. Levitt, 2 H. & M. 626; Haggitt v. Iniff; Re Kenah, 15 W. R. 781); but when affidavits are thus sworn the Court is not authorised to take judicial notice of such person's authority and signature, but will require proper proof of both (Baillie v. Jackson, 3 De G. M. & G. 38; Re Earl, 4 K. & J. 300; Re Davis, 8 Eq. 98). A certificate of the clerk of a superior Court of New York was held sufficient verification in Levitt v. Levitt; see Alexander v. Nurse, W. N. (1871), 249; and where the fund was small such verification Court of New York was held sufficient verification in Levitt v. Levitt; see Alexander v. Nurse, W. N. (1871), 249; and where the fund was small such verification of signature was dispensed with (Mayne v. Butter, 13 W. R. 128); and so where the suit was uncontested (Lees v. Lees, W. N. (1868), 268). See also Smith v. Davies, 17 W. R. 69; Lyle v. Ellwood, 15 Eq. 67, where a written consent was given; Whiting v. Bassett, 14 Eq. 70, where a declaration having been made before a notary public abroad, the declarants' signatures were allowed to be verified by an affidavit in the cause; Bell v. Turner, 17 Eq. 439.

In Drevon v. Drevon, 12 W. R. 66, where the person desiring to make an affidavit lived a hundred miles from any resident consul. and there was great difficulty in

lived a hundred miles from any resident consul, and there was great difficulty in a manared mass from any resident consul, and there was great difficulty in swearing it before any notary public, the Court appointed a resident solicitor special examiner to take the evidence; and in Re Scriven, 17 L. T. 641, affidavits made in the state of Missouri, U. S., attested by the governor as being sealed with the great seal of the state, were admitted, there heing no resident consul; and see Cooper v. Moore, W. N. (1884), 78.

It must be remembered, that the fact of a consul or other duly authorised person's Signature of signature being attached to a document does not make the document itself receivable person authoin evidence, the Court being only bound to take judicial notice of the seal or signarized to adture (Re Forbes, 1 W. R. 32; and see Re Goss, 12 Jur. N. S. 595; W. N. (1866), minister oath. 256).

Isle of Man. sworn in the

before consul;

o. XXXVIII.

As to the general words at the end of the rule, see Armstrong v. Stockham, 11 Jur. 97.

False swearing or forging official seal.

Sections 23 and 24 of the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, are still in force, and provide penalties for false swearing before any person authorized to administer oaths, or for forging the signature or seal of any such person, or of any judge or notary public.

Affidavits to be in first person, and divided into paragraphs.

Costs.

7. Every affidavit shall be drawn up in the first person, and shall be divided into paragraphs, and every paragraph shall be numbered consecutively, and as nearly as may be shall be confined to a distinct portion of the subject. Every affidavit shall be written or printed bookwise. No costs shall be allowed for any affidavit or part of an affidavit substantially departing from this rule (x).

(x) The first paragraph of this rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XVIII. r. 1, and sect. 37 of the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852.

The form must be "I make oath and say," (Allen v. Taylor, 10 Eq. 52), but

Form of affidavit.

Irregular affidavits, when admitted. The form must be "I make oath and say," (Allen v. Taylor, 10 Eq. 52), but affidavits sworn in America in the third person were received as evidence in Re Husband, 12 L. T. 303; Dryden v. Frost, 8 Sim. 380; and trifling irregularities have been averlooked; see r. 14; Gates v. Buckland, 13 W. R. 67; Meek v. Ward, 10 Hare, App. i.; but the signature of the party cannot be dispensed with (Anderson v. Stather, 9 Jur. 1085; as to markemen, see rule 13, and Anon. v. Christopher, 11 Sim. 409); nor the words "make oath" (Phillips v. Prentice, 2 Hare, 542; Re Newton, 2 De G. F. & J. 3). But after the affidavit had been filed it was held too late to take the objection that the words "make oath and say" were omitted (Ex parte Torkington, 9 Ch. 298).

Description of deponent.

- 8. Every affidavit shall state the description and true place of abode of the deponent (y).
- (y) This rule does not apply to affidavits by the parties to the action, who may be described as "the above-named plaintiff," or "the above-named defendant," simply; see Daniell, 625. It is not sufficient to describe a deponent as "gentleman" (Re Orde, 24 Ch. D. 271; 31 W. R. 801, where the costs of the affidavit were disallowed on this ground).

Several deponents.

9. In every affidavit made by two or more deponents the names of the several persons making the affidavit shall be inserted in the jurat, except that if the affidavit of all the deponents is taken at one time by the same officer it shall be sufficient to state that it was sworn by both (or all) of the "above-named" deponents (z).

Signature of deponent.

(z) An affidavit should be signed by the deponent at the side of the jurat (Anderson v. Stather, 9 Jur. 1085; and see Re Yearley, W. N. (1874), 158); and the person before whom it is sworn must sign his name at the foot of the jurat (Ex parte Heymann, 7 Ch. 488).

Affidavits, where to be filed.

- 10. Every affidavit or other proof used in admiralty actions shall be filed in the admiralty registry: every affidavit used in probate actions shall be filed in the probate registry: every affidavit used on the Crown side of the Queen's Bench Division shall be filed in the crown office department: every affidavit used in a cause or matter proceeding in a district registry shall be filed there: and every other affidavit used shall be filed in the central office (a). There shall be appended to every affidavit a note showing on whose behalf it is filed, and no affidavit shall be filed or used without such note, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct (b).
 - (a) As to the time for filing affidavits to be used on interlocutory applications, see

rule 25 of this order and note thereto, post, p. 439. Affidavits are filed in the filing o. XXXVIII. and record department of the office (Ord. LXI. r. 1, infra).

(b) The latter part of this rule is taken from G. O., 5th February, 1861, rule 18.

11. The Court or a judge may order to be struck out from any Scandalous affidavit any matter which is scandalous, and may order the costs of any application to strike out such matter to be paid as between solicitor and client (c).

(c) This rule is new, but it only adopts the practice of the old Court of Chancery; see Cracknall v. Janson, 11 Ch. D. 1; Goddard v. Parr, 3 W. R. 633; 24 L. J. Ch. 783; Kernick v. Kernick, 12 W. R. 335; Taylor v. Keily, W. N. (1876), 139; and as to scandalous matter generally, see Ord. XIX. r. 27, ante, p. 360; Ord. XXXI.

rr. 6, 7, ante, p. 385.

The Court has jurisdiction, apart from any rule, to order oppressive and improper documents to be taken off the file (Hill v. Hart Davis, 26 Ch. D. 470).

Taking documents off the file.

file.

12. No affidavit having in the jurat or body thereof any interlinea- Alterations in tion, alteration, or erasure, shall without leave of the Court or a judge be read or made use of in any matter depending in Court unless the interlineation or alteration (other than by erasure) is authenticated by the initials of the officer taking the affidavit, or if taken at the central office, either by his initials or by the stamp of that office, nor in the case of an erasure, unless the words or figures appearing at the time of taking the affidavit to be written on the erasure are rewritten and signed or initialed in the margin of the affidavit by the officer taking it (d).

affidavits.

- (d) See Gill v. Gilbard, 9 Ha. App. xvi. No alteration can properly be made in any affidavit after it has been sworn, and any commissioner initialing such an alteration commits an irregularity, and renders himself liable to the revocation of his commission. (Notice, per L. C.; W. N. (1882), Part II., 81).
- 13. Where an affidavit is sworn by any person who appears to the Affidavit of officer taking the affidavit to be illiterate or blind, the officer shall illiterate or blind person. certify in the jurat that the affidavit was read in his presence to the deponent, that the deponent seemed perfectly to understand it, and that the deponent made his signature in the presence of the officer. No such affidavit shall be used in evidence in the absence of this certificate, unless the Court or a judge is otherwise satisfied that the affidavit was read over to and appeared to be perfectly understood by the deponent (e).

(e) Where a marksman signed an affidavit with his name, his hand being guided, Marksman. (e) where a marksman signed an amdavit with his name, his hand being guided, the affidavit was ordered to be taken off the file (Anon. v. Christopher, 11 Sim. 409); and so, where it did not appear that the affidavit of an illiterate deponent had been read over to him in the presence of the commissioner (Re Longstaff, W. N. (1884), 216). See further, as to affidavits by blind or illiterate persons, Daniell, 629; Fernyhough v. Naylor, W. N. (1875), 22; 23 W. R. 228. Where a deponent is of unsound mind on any point the fact should be noticed in the jurat (Spittle v. Walton, 11 Et. 420). 11 Eq. 420).

14. The Court or a judge may receive any affidavit sworn for the Court may purpose of being used in any cause or matter, notwithstanding any receive defective or defect by misdescription of parties or otherwise in the title or jurat, irregular or any other irregularity in the form thereof, and may direct a affidavit.

- O.XXXVIII. memorandum to be made on the document that it has been so received (ee).
 - (ee) See note to r. 7.

Original affidavit.

 In cases in which by the present practice an original affidavit is allowed to be used, it shall before it is used be stamped with a proper filing stamp, and shall at the time when it is used be delivered to and left with the proper officer in Court or in Chambers, who shall send it to be filed. An office copy of an affidavit may in all cases be used, the original affidavit having been previously filed, and the copy duly authenticated with the seal of the office (f).

Unfiled affidavit. (f) Unfiled affidavits may be used on an ex parte application where the matter is pressing and when the offices are closed (A.-G. v. Lewis, 8 Beav. 179; Carr v. Morice, 16 Eq. 125; Campana v. Webb, 22 W. R. 622; Niemann v. Harris, W. N. (1870), 6; Mercers' Co. v. Great Northern Railway, 14 Beav. 20); but not where the respondent has been served and does not appear and the application is made on an affidavit of service (Farrer v. Sykes, 43 L. J. Ch. 392).

Affidavit not to be sworn before soli-

- 16. No affidavit shall be sufficient if sworn before the solicitor acting for the party on whose behalf the affidavit is to be used, or before any citor of party. agent or correspondent of such solicitor, or before the party himself (q).
 - (g) This and the next rule are new but adopt the settled practice of the Court; see Duke of Northumberland v. Todd, 7 Ch. D. 777, and cases there cited. It has been held, however, that a plaintiff, being a solicitor but not the solicitor in the cause, may put in an affidavit sworn before his clerk (Foster v. Harvey, 4 De G. J. & Sm. 59; 9 L. T. 405). See next rule.

Clerk or partner of solicitor.

- 17. Any affidavit which would be insufficient if sworn before the solicitor himself shall be insufficient if sworn before his clerk, or partner (h).
 - (h) See note to rule 16.

Affidavit filed after time.

- 18. Where a special time is limited for filing affidavits, no affidavit filed after that time shall be used, unless by leave of the Court or a judge (i).
 - (i) This and the next rule are taken from R. G. H. T. 1853, rr. 145, 146.

Affidavit in support of ex parte appli-cation to be made before application.

- 19. Except by leave of the Court or a judge no order made ex parte in Court founded on any affidavit shall be of any force unless the affidavit on which the application was made was actually made before the order was applied for, and produced or filed at the time of making the motion (k).
 - (k) See note to rule 18.

II. Affidavits and Evidence in Chambers.

Notice to be given of intention to use affidavit in chambers.

20. The party intending to use any affidavit in support of any application made by him in Chambers in the Chancery Division shall give notice to the other parties concerned of his intention in that behalf (l).

(1) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 27.

An undertaking not to use an affidavit at the hearing does not preclude its being used upon an inquiry in Chambers (*Jenner v. Morris*, 10 W. R. 640).

21. All affidavits which have been previously made and read in O.XXXVIII. Court upon any proceeding in a cause or matter may be used before Affidavits the judge in Chambers (m).

used in Court may be used

(m) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 28; cf. G. O., February 5, in Chambers. 1861, r. 15.

Affidavits used in Chambers are open to cross-examination; but an application to compel attendance for cross-examination will be refused if made vexatiously (Fenton v. Cumberlege, W. N. (1883), 116).

22. Every alteration in an account verified by affidavit to be left at Alterations in chambers shall be marked with the initials of the commissioner or initialed. officer before whom the affidavit is sworn, and such alterations shall not be made by erasure (n).

- (n) This and the two following rules are taken from rules 10, 11 and 12 of the Regulations as to Business in Chambers of 8th August, 1857.
- 23. Accounts, extracts from parish registers, particulars of creditors' Accounts, &c. debts, and other documents referred to by affidavit, shall not be to be referred to as exhibits. annexed to the affidavit, or referred to in the affidavit as annexed, but shall be referred to as exhibits (o).

- (a) See note to rule 22.
- 24. Every certificate on an exhibit referred to in an affidavit signed Certificates on by the commissioner or officer before whom the affidavit is sworn shall exhibits to be intituled in be marked with the short title of the cause or matter (p).

the cause.

(p) See note to rule 22.

III. TRIAL ON AFFIDAVIT.

25. Within fourteen days after a consent for taking evidence by Time forfiling affidavit as between the parties has been given, or within such time affidavits by the plaintiff. as the parties may agree upon, or the Court or a judge may allow, the plaintiff shall file his affidavits and deliver to the defendant or his solicitor a list thereof (q).

(q) The rules in this part of the order apply only to evidence at the trial of the Evidence at action. As to the consent here mentioned, see Ord. XXXVII. r. 1, and note there-

to, ante, p. 422.

Under the old practice no affidavit to be used at the hearing, even of a suit for Affidavit in an injunction, could be sworn before bill filed (Francome v. Francome, 13 W. R. 355; action not Fennel v. Brown, 18 Jur. 1051); but see now Young v. Brassey, 1 Ch. D. 277, where yet begun. an affidavit was allowed to be entitled in an action not yet begun and in the matter of the Judicature Acts.

So in general affidavits to be used in support of a petition should be sworn after

Gibraltar Banking Co., 13 L. T. 263).

O. XXXVIII.

Affidavits of service.

Affidavits of service ought properly to be filed before the rising of the Court on the day on which the application is made (*Lord Milltown* v. Stuart, 8 Sim. 34); but this rule has often been departed from since the Judicature Acts, and the Court of Appeal recently declined to discharge an order simply because the rule had not been complied with (Secar v. Webb, 25 Ch. D. 84); and the registrars are now authorized to accept an affidavit of service sworn and filed at any time before the order is drawn up (Memorandum, 28 Sol. J. 591).

Time, when enlarged.

Cases of surprise. For purpose of answering

late affidavits

to accept an amidavit or service sworn and filed at any time before the order is drawn up (Memorandum, 28 Sol. J. 591).

The time for filing affidavits and taking evidence may he enlarged in proper cases; see Anderton v. Yates, 15 Jur. 833; Mayes v. Mayes, 11 Jur. N. S. 1033; Wragg v. Wragg, 11 Jur. 701.

Thus, where affidavits were filed just before the close of the time, containing charges as to which no issue had been raised in the pleadings, counter affidavits were allowed (Scott v. Mayor of Liverpool, 1 De G. & J. 369); and similar leave was given, the period for cross-examination being also enlarged, in Phillips v. Warde, 2 Jur. N. S. 33; and see Hope v. Threlfall, 1 Sm. & G. App. xxi.; Douglas v. Archbutt, 23 Beav. 293. So the Court has a discretion to allow such affidavits to be read at the hearing (Boyse v. Colclough, 1 K. & J. 124; Thompson v. Partridge, 4 De G. M. & G. 794; Bayley v. Cass, 10 W. R. 370; but see Smith v. Pilgrim, 2 Ch. D. 133); and the cause may be ordered to stand over, to give the other side time to answer them (Heath v. Wallingford, 12 L. T. 631).

Where the Court gave a plaintiff leave, seven months after he had given notice of motion for decree, to use as evidence an examination of the defendant taken in another cause, the defendant was allowed to file affidavits in explanation, though the cause was in the paper (Watson v. Cleaver, 20 Beav. 137).

The Court has a discretion to allow one party to cross-examine the other's witnesses before filing his own affidavits; see Morey v. Vandenburg, 14 L. T. 542.

The application for extended time should not be made ex parte (Richards v. Curlewis, 2 W. R. 481).

or to explain them.

Not applied for ex parte.

Leave was granted, after the time for closing evidence had passed, to file affidavits verifying extracts from a register of a Scotch Court of law (McLachlan v. Lord,

This and the two next rules are founded on Cons. Ord. XXXIII. Pt. II, rr. 5, 6 and 7.

By the defendant.

26. The defendant, within fourteen days after delivery of such list, or within such time as the parties may agree upon, or the Court or a judge may allow, shall file his affidavits and deliver to the plaintiff or his solicitor a list thereof.

Affidavits in reply.

27. Within seven days after the expiration of the last-mentioned fourteen days, or such other time as aforesaid, the plaintiff shall file his affidavits in reply, which affidavits shall be confined to matters strictly in reply (r), and shall deliver to the defendant or his solicitor a list thereof.

Affidavits strictly in. reply.

(r) The plaintiff's affidavits in reply may be confirmatory of his evidence in chief (Peacock v. Harper, 7 Ch. D. 648).

Affidavits in reply will not be ordered to be taken off the file on the defendant's allegation that they are not confined to matters strictly in reply; but, if at the hearing they turn out to be so, the Court will disregard them, or give the defendant leave to answer them (Gilbert v. Comedy Opera Co., 16 Ch. D. 594).

Crossexamination of deponent.

28. When the evidence is taken by affidavit, any party desiring to cross-examine a deponent who has made an affidavit filed on behalf of the opposite party may serve upon the party by whom such affidavit has been filed a notice in writing, requiring the production of the deponent for cross-examination at the trial, such notice to be served at any time before the expiration of fourteen days next after the end of the time allowed for filing affidavits in reply, or within such time as in any case the Court or a judge may specially appoint; and unless such deponent is produced accordingly, his affidavit shall not be used as evidence unless by the special leave of the Court or a judge. The

party producing such deponent for cross-examination shall not be O.XXXVIII. entitled to demand the expenses thereof in the first instance from the party requiring such production (s).

(s) This and the next rule are taken from General Order, 5th February, 1861, G.O. 5th Feb. r. 19.

The deponent may be cross-examined, even though his affidavit has not been Cross-used by the party who filed it (Ex parte Child, 20 Ch. D. 126).

If the deponent is not produced for cross-examination when required, his affidavit of deponent.

cannot be used without special leave, and it is therefore irregular to move to take it off the file (Meyrick v. James, W. N. (1877), 120; 46 L. J. Ch. 579; and see De Brito v. Hillel, 15 Eq. 213).

The party producing the deponent bears the cost thereof in the first instance, Expense of whether the cross-examination takes place at the trial, or, it would seem, on an affiproducing davit filed after decree for the purpose of proceedings in Chambers; see Ord. deponent for XXXVII. rr. 21, 22, ante, p. 428; Re Knight, Knight v. Gardner, 25 Ch. D. 297, cross-examination.

29. The party to whom such notice as is mentioned in the last pre- Attendance ceding rule is given shall be entitled to compel the attendance of the examination, deponent for cross-examination in the same way as he might compel how enforced. the attendance of a witness to be examined (t).

(t) See Ord. XXXVII., Pt. II., ante, p. 423.

30. When the evidence under this order is taken by affidavit, such Evidence to evidence shall be printed, and the notice of trial shall be given at the be printed. same time after the close of the evidence as in other cases is by these rules provided after the close of the pleadings (u): provided that other affidavits may be printed if all the parties interested consent thereto, or the Court or a judge so order: provided also, that this rule shall not apply in the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division to default actions in rem, or references in actions, or actions for limitation of liability, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order.

(u) As to notice of trial, see Ord. XXXVI., Pt. III., ante, p. 413. Evidence may be taken by affidavit, under a judge's order, after notice of trial has been given, notwithstanding this rule (Waring v. Lacey, 24 W. R. 318).

As to printing, see Ord. LXVI., infra.

ORDER XXXIX.

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

1. Every motion for a new trial or to set aside a verdict, finding, or Motion for judgment, shall be made (1) in every cause or matter by the principal new trial. Act assigned to the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division, where there has been a trial thereof or of any issue therein with a jury, to a Divisional Court of that Division, one of the judges of which shall (when practicable) sit on the hearing of such motion; (2) in every other cause or matter, where there has been a trial thereof or of any issue therein with a jury, to a Divisional Court of the Queen's Bench Division; and (3) where there has been a trial without a jury, by appeal to the Court of Appeal (v).

(v) Where an action has been tried by a County Court judge without a jury, the application must be made to the Divisional Court, and not to the Court of Appeal (Swansea Building Society v. Davies, 12 Q. B. D. 21).

O. XXXIX.

Judge.
Application
for new trial

to he by notice

of motion.

- 2. No judge shall sit on the hearing of any motion for a new trial in any cause or matter tried with a jury before himself.
- 3. Every application for a new trial shall be by notice of motion, and no rule nisi, order to show cause, or formal proceeding other than such notice of motion, shall be made or taken. The notice shall state the grounds of the application, and whether all or part only of the verdict or findings is complained of.

Notice of motion.

4. The notice of motion shall be an eight days' notice, and shall be served within the times following: viz., if the trial has taken place in London or Middlesex, within eight days after the trial; if the trial has taken place elsewhere than in London or Middlesex, within seven days after the last day of sitting on the circuits for England and Wales during which the trial shall have taken place. The time of the vacations shall not be reckoned in the computation of the time for serving the notice of motion.

Amendment.

5. The notice may be amended at any time by leave of the Court or a judge on such terms as the Court or judge may think just.

Grounds for granting new trial.

- 6. A new trial shall not be granted on the ground of misdirection or of the improper admission or rejection of evidence, or because the verdict of the jury was not taken upon a question which the judge at the trial was not asked to leave to them, unless in the opinion of the Court to which the application is made some substantial wrong or miscarriage has been thereby occasioned in the trial; and if it appear to such Court that such wrong or miscarriage affects part only of the matter in controversy, or some or one only of the parties, the Court may give final judgment as to part thereof, or some or one only of the parties, and direct a new trial as to the other part only or as to the other party or parties (w).
- (w) As to the practice of the Court of Chancery in granting new trials of issues, see Daniell, p. 757 et seq. This rule applies to a motion in the High Court for a new trial in a County Court action (Shapcott v. Chappell, 12 Q. B. D. 58).

New trial on some question only. 7. A new trial may be ordered on any question, whatever be the grounds for the new trial, without interfering with the finding or decision upon any other question.

Stamping not a sufficient ground.

8. A new trial shall not be granted by reason of the ruling of any judge that the stamp upon any document it sufficient, or that the document does not require a stamp.

ORDER XL.

MOTION FOR JUDGMENT.

Motion for judgment.

1. Except where by the Acts or by these rules it is provided that judgment may be obtained in any other manner, the judgment of the Court shall be obtained by motion for judgment (x).

Motion for judgment to be set down in $\langle x \rangle$ The following notice was issued in 1876 as to the hearing of motions for judgment and of short causes—

"The Master of the Rolls and the Vice-Chancellors have given directions that

" motions for judgment in actions shall not be brought on as ordinary motions but shall be set down in the cause book.

Ord. XL.

"They can be marked short on production of the usual certificate of counsel, and cause book, " will then be placed in the paper on the first short cause day after the day for which but can be "notice is given. If not marked short, they will come into the general paper in heard as " their regular turn.

short cause.

"It will be advisable that the notices of motion for judgment should, if it is in-

"It will be advisable that the notices of motion for judgment should, it it is intended to mark them short, contain a statement to that effect, and also a state-ment that no further notice will be given of their having been so marked. Such statement will dispense with the necessity for giving defendants further notice that motions for judgment have been marked short.

"Where a defendant makes his defence and the plaintiff moves under Ord. XL. "r. 11 [now Ord. XXXII. r. 6, ante, p. 395], for such order as he is entitled to on the admissions of the defendant, the action need not be set down, but if, on the motion being made it appears that there must be a discussion or argument, it may be ordered to motion the general papersubject to any order for its heing advanced."

"motion being made it appears that there must be a discussion or argument, it may be ordered to go into the general papersubject to any order for its being advanced." See W. N. (1877), p. 88 (Pt. II.); Seton, p. 38.

An action for the rectification of a settlement will not be heard as a short cause (Clemell v. Clemell, W. N. (1884), 14).

"In order to set the motion down a copy of the notice of motion must, if the action is proceeding in London, be produced to the officer of the registrar's office of the Royal Courts of Justice, and two printed copies of the pleadings must, at the same time, be delivered to him, one for the use of the judge at the trial and the other for the use of the registrar. The copy of the notice of motion produced to the officer is retained by him, and should be indorsed with a memorandum signed by the solicitor of the party setting down the motion that a guardian ad litem has been appointed for any infant defendant, or that there is not any infant defendant." (Daniell, 666).

2. Where at the trial the judge or referee abstains from directing Setting down any judgment to be entered, the plaintiff may set down a motion for motion. judgment. If he does not set down such a motion and give notice thereof to the other parties within ten days after the trial, any defendant may set down a motion for judgment, and give notice thereof to the other parties.

3. Where, at or after a trial with a jury, the judge has directed that Setting aside any judgment be entered, any party may apply to set aside such judgimproper ment and enter any other judgment, on the ground that the judgment entry of finddirected to be entered is wrong by reason that the finding of the jury ing of jury. upon the questions submitted to them has not been properly entered.

4. Where, at or after a trial by a judge, either with or without a For entry of jury, the judge has directed that any judgment be entered, any party wrong judgment. may apply to set aside such judgment and to enter any other judgment, upon the ground that, upon the finding as entered, the judgment so directed is wrong.

5. An application under rules 3 and 4 of this order shall be to the Application, Court of Appeal, unless, where there has been a trial with a jury, to what Court. there is also a motion for a new trial, in which case it shall be to the Divisional Court by which such motion shall be heard.

6. Where at a trial by a referee he has directed that any judgment Trial by be entered, any party may move to set aside such judgment, and to referee. enter any other judgment, on the ground that upon the finding as entered the judgment so directed is wrong: Provided that in the Queen's Bench Division such motion shall be made to a Divisional

7: Where issues have been ordered to be tried, or issues or questions Setting down of fact to be determined in any manner, the plaintiff may set down a motion after trial of issues.

Ord. XL.

motion for judgment as soon as such issues or questions have been determined. If he does not set down such a motion, and give notice thereof to the other parties within ten days after his right so to do has arisen, then after the expiration of such ten days any defendant may set down a motion for judgment, and give notice thereof to the other parties.

Setting down motion before trial of issues.

- 8. Where issues have been ordered to be tried, or issues or questions of fact to be determined in any manner, and some only of such issues or questions of fact have been tried or determined, any party who considers that the result of such trial or determination renders the trial or determination of the others of them unnecessary, or renders it desirable that the trial or determination thereof should be postponed, may apply to the Court or a judge for leave to set down a motion for judgment, without waiting for such trial or determination (y). And the Court or judge may, if satisfied of the expediency thereof, give such leave, upon such terms, if any, as shall appear just, and may give any directions which may appear desirable as to postponing the trial of the other issues of fact.
- (y) Such leave is not given unless it is certain what issues are necessary to the decision of the action (Republic of Bolivia v. National Bolivian Navigation Co., 24 W. R. 361).

Motion to be set down within one year.

Powers of Court on a motion for judgment.

- 9. No motion for judgment shall, except by leave of the Court or a judge, be set down after the expiration of one year from the time when the party seeking to set down the same first became entitled so to do.
- 10. Upon a motion for judgment, or upon an application for a new trial, the Court may draw all inferences of fact, not inconsistent with the finding of the jury, and if satisfied that it has before it all the materials necessary for finally determining the questions in dispute, or any of them, or for awarding any relief sought, give judgment accordingly, or may, if it shall be of opinion that it has not sufficient materials before it to enable it to give judgment, direct the motion to stand over for further consideration, and direct such issues or questions to be tried or determined, and such accounts and inquiries to be taken and made, as it may think fit (z).
- (z) Where there is no further question of law to be tried, further consideration will not generally be reserved a second time in Court, but liberty will be given to apply in chambers (Gilbert v. Russell, W. N. (1875), 225).

ORDER XII.

Entry of Judgment.

Entry of judgment.

1. Every judgment shall be entered by the proper officer in the book to be kept for the purpose. The party entering the judgment shall deliver to the officer a copy of the whole of the pleadings in the cause, other than any petition or summons; such copy shall be in print,

Ord. XLI.

except such parts (if any) thereof as are by these rules permitted to be written: Provided that no copy need be delivered of any document a copy of which has been delivered on entering any previous judgment in such cause. The Forms in Appendix F. shall be used, with such variations as circumstances may require (a).

(a) An order of which there was no entry and the original of which was lost was directed to be redrawn (Ex parte Dean of St. Paul's, W. N. (1870), 93; 18 W. R. 724; and see Russell v. Tapping, 3 W. R. 379). For these forms, see infra.

[Rule 2 applies only to the Queen's Bench Division.]

Where any judgment is pronounced by the Court or a judge in Date of entry Court, the entry of the judgment shall be dated as of the day on which where judgment prosuch judgment is pronounced, unless the Court-or judge shall other- nounced in wise order, and the judgment shall take effect from that date(b): Court. Provided that by special leave of the Court or a judge a judgment may be ante-dated or post-dated (c).

(b) See Re Risca Coal Co., 10 W. R. 701. (c) As to ante-dating or post-dating orders, see Turner v. London & South Western Ry., 17 Eq. 561; Winkley v. Winkley, 29 W. R. 629; 44 L. T. 572; Daniell, 810; Seton, 1546. In the former case the plaintiff died after hearing but before judgment, and the Court dated the judgment as of the date of the hearing.

4. In all cases not within the last preceding rule, the entry of judg- In other ment shall be dated as of the day on which the requisite documents are cases. left with the proper officer for the purpose of such entry, and the judgment shall take effect from that date.

5. Every judgment or order made in any cause or matter requiring Order to do any person to do an act(d) thereby ordered shall state the time, or the time for pertime after service of the judgment or order, within which the act is to formance. be done, and upon the copy of the judgment or order which shall be served upon the person required to obey the same there shall be indorsed a memorandum in the words or to the effect (d) following, viz. :---

"If you, the within-named A. B., neglect to obey this judgment [or Indorsement. order] by the time therein limited, you will be liable to process of execution for the purpose of compelling you to obey the same judgment [or order]" (dd).

(d) See Treherne v. Dale, 27 Ch. D. 66.
(dd) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 10, as varied by Ord. 7 Jan.
1870, rule 1 (L. R. 5 Ch. xxxiii.); it applies to an order which may be served on the solicitor of the party (Hampden v. Wallis, 26 Ch. D. 746). If the order omits to fix a time the Court on motion will make a supplemental order for that purpose (Needham v. Needham, 1 Ha. 633; Morley v. Clavering, 30 Beav. 108; Gilbert v. Endean, 9 Ch. D. p. 266); "forthwith" is a sufficient expression of time (Thomas v. Nokes, 6 Eq. 521; Re Nowell, 11 W. R. 896).

Where the order names a day for doing the act and does not merely limit a time after service for that purpose, it must be served before the day named (Adkins v. Bliss, 2 De G. & J. 286); if the service cannot be effected before that day an order must be obtained enlarging the time, or fixing a new period where the day appointed has passed (Duffield v. Elwes, 2 Beav. 268); and such further order must be endorsed and served like the original order (Adkins v. Bliss).

Substituted service of the judgment or order may be permitted if a proper case can Service. be shown, the order for which is obtained on ex parte motion; see Daniell, p. 878; and see Ord. IX. and notes thereto, ante, p. 316, and Ord. LXVII. infra, as to service generally.

service generally.

Ord. XLI.

Entry of judgment on filing of affidavit or production of document.

Entry of judgment pursuant to order or certificate.

Certificate of amount of judgment to be filed.

Entry of judgment by consent where appeared by solicitor.

Where defendant has not appeared, or appeared in person.

- 6. Where under the Acts or these rules, or otherwise, it is provided that any judgment may be entered upon the filing of any affidavit or production of any document, the officer shall examine the affidavit or document produced, and if the same be regular and contain all that is by law required, he shall enter judgment accordingly.
- 7. Where by the Acts or these rules, or otherwise, any judgment may be entered pursuant to any order or certificate, or return to any writ, the production of such order or certificate sealed with the seal of the Court, or of such return, shall be a sufficient authority to the officer to enter judgment accordingly.
- 8. Where reference is made to a master to ascertain the amount for which final judgment is to be entered, the master's certificate shall be filed in the central office when judgment is entered.
- 9. In any cause or matter where the defendant has appeared by solicitor, no order for entering judgment shall be made by consent defendant has unless the consent of the defendant is given by his solicitor or agent.
 - 10. Where the defendant has not appeared, or has appeared in person, no such order shall be made unless the defendant attends before a judge and gives his consent in person, or unless his written consent is attested by a solicitor acting on his behalf, except in cases where the defendant is a barrister, conveyancer, special pleader, or solicitor.

ORDER XLII.

EXECUTION.

No demand necessary when money ordered to be paid, or property to be delivered up or transferred.

- 1. Where any person is by any judgment or order directed to pay any money, or to deliver up or transfer any property real or personal to another, it shall not to be necessary to make any demand thereof, but the person so directed shall be bound to obey such judgment or order upon being duly served with the same without demand (e).
- (e) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 1. As to whether the service should be personal or not, see Re a Solicitor, W. N. (1884), 217. See, however, note (s) to r. 17, post, p. 449.

Non-performance of condition on which judgment, &c. has been obtained.

- 2. Where any person who has obtained any judgment or order upon condition does not perform or comply with such condition, he shall be considered to have waived or abandoned such judgment or order so far as the same is beneficial to himself, and any other person interested in the matter may on breach or non-performance of the condition take either such proceedings as the judgment or order may in such case warrant, or such proceedings as might have been taken if no such judgment or order had been made, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct (f).
 - (f) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 22.

Judgment for recovery of money.

3. A judgment (g) for the recovery by or payment to any person of money may be enforced by any of the modes by which a judgment or decree for the payment of money of any Court whose jurisdiction is transferred by the principal Act (h) might have been enforced at the Ord. XLII. time of the passing thereof (i).

(g) "Judgment" includes "decree" (Judicature Act, 1873, s. 100); and orders "Judgment." may be enforced in the same way as judgments to the same effect (rule 24, post, p. 451, and note thereto).

(h) "The principal Act" means the Judicature Act, 1873 (Ord. LXXI. r. l, "Principal Act")

infra).

(i) There were five different modes of enforcing a judgment for money, viz.:— Former modes

(1) By writ of fi. fa. or elegit; (2) by sequestration; (3) by attachment of debts; of enforcing (4) by attachment or committal for six weeks in cases allowed by the Debtors Act, judgment.

1869; (5) by proceeding under the Judgment Acts.

As to the Debtors Act, see ante, p. 187 et seq.; and as to execution generally, see Daniell, 823; Seton, 1555.

4. A judgment for the payment of money into Court may be en- For payment forced by writ of sequestration, or in cases in which attachment is of money into Court. authorised by law, by attachment (k).

(k) As to sequestration, see Ord. XLIII. r. 6, post, p. 454; and as to attachment, see Ord. XLIV., post, p. 455.
A judgment for payment of money into Court may also be enforced by the ap-

pointment of a receiver (Stanger Leathes v. Stanger Leathes, W. N. (1882), 71).

5. A judgment for the recovery or for the delivery of the possession For recovery of land may be enforced by writ of possession (l).

of land.

(1) As to the writ of possession, see Ord. XLVII., post, p. 462. An order for foreclosure absolute is not a judgment for the recovery of land within the meaning of this rule (Wood v. Wheater, 22 Ch. D. 281).

6. A judgment for the recovery of any property other than land or For recovery money may be enforced:

of property other than money or

land.

(a.) By writ for delivery of the property:

(b.) By writ of attachment:

(c.) By writ of sequestration (m).

(m) As to these writs, see Ord. XLVIII., post, p. 463; Ord. XLIV., post, p. 455; and Ord. XLIII. r. 6, post, p. 454.

7. A judgment requiring any person to do any act other than the Todo act payment of money, or to abstain from doing anything, may be en- other than forced by writ of attachment, or by committal.

8. In these rules the term "writ of execution" shall include writs abstain from of fieri facias, capias, elegit, sequestration, and attachment, and all thing. subsequent writs that may issue for giving effect thereto. And the Definition of term "issuing execution against any party" shall mean the issuing of any such process against his person or property as under the preced- and "issuing ing rules of this order shall be applicable to the case.

9. Where a judgment or order is to the effect that any party is entitled to any relief subject to or upon the fulfilment of any condition Where judgor contingency, the party so entitled may, upon the fulfilment of the ment is upon condition or contingency, and demand made upon the party against whom he is entitled to relief, apply to the Court or a judge for leave to issue execution against such party. And the Court or judge may, Leave to issue if satisfied that the right to relief has arisen according to the terms of execution. the judgment or order, order that execution issue accordingly, or may

payment of money, or to doing any-"writ of execution," execution

conditions.

against any

party."

Ord. XLII.

direct that any issue or question necessary for the determination of the rights of the parties be tried in any of the ways in which questions arising in an action may be tried.

Execution against part-nership firm.

- 10. Where a judgment or order is against a firm, execution may issue:
 - (a.) Against any property of the partnership;
 - (b.) Against any person who has appeared in his own name under Ord. XII. r. 15, or who has admitted on the pleadings that he is, or who has been adjudged to be, a partner;
 - (c.) Against any person who has been served, as a partner, with the writ of summons, and has failed to appear.

If the party who has obtained judgment or an order claims to be entitled to issue execution against any other person as being a member of the firm, he may apply to the Court or a judge for leave so to do; and the Court or judge may give such leave if the liability be not disputed, or if such liability be disputed, may order that the liability of such person be tried and determined in any manner in which any issue or question in an action may be tried and determined (n).

(n) Where a firm is sued in the firm's name the judgment must be against the firm, and cannot be separately entered against one partner who has failed to appear (Jackson v. Litchfield, 8 Q. B. D. 474).

But where judgment has been recovered against the firm the plaintiff may bring an action on the judgment against any individual member (Clark v. Cullen, 9 Q. B. D. 355). See further, as to execution against partners, Davis v. Morris, 10 Q. B. D. 436; Munster v. Railton, 10 Q. B. D. 475; 11 Q. B. D. 435, reversing the decision below; Ex parte Blain, 12 Ch. D. 522; Ex parte Young, 19 Ch. D. 124.

Issue of writ of execution.

11. No writ of execution shall be issued without the production to the officer by whom the same should be issued of the judgment or order upon which the writ of execution is to issue, or an office copy thereof, showing the date of entry. And the officer shall be satisfied that the proper time has elapsed to entitle the creditor to execution.

Præcipe for writ.

- 12. No writ of execution shall be issued without the party issuing it, or his solicitor, filing a pracipe for that purpose. The pracipe shall contain the title of the action, the reference to the record, the date of the judgment, and of the order, if any, directing the execution to be issued, the names of the parties against whom, or of the firm against whose goods, the execution is to be issued; and shall be signed by or on behalf of the solicitor of the party issuing it, or by the party issuing it, if he do so in person. The Forms in Appendix G. shall be used. with such variations as circumstances may require (o).
 - (o) For these forms, see infra. -

Name of solicitor or party suing out writ to be indorsed on writ.

13. Every writ of execution shall be indorsed with the name and place of abode or office of business of the solicitor actually suing out the same, and when the solicitor actually suing out the writ shall sue out the same as agent for another solicitor, the name and place of abode of such other solicitor shall also be indorsed upon the writ; and in case no solicitor shall be employed to issue the writ, then it shall be indorsed with a memorandum expressing that the same has been sued out by the plaintiff or defendant in person, as the case may be, Ord. XLII. mentioning the city, town, or parish, and also the name of the hamlet, street, and number of the house of such plaintiff's or defendant's residence, if any such there be.

- 14. Every writ of execution shall bear date of the day on which it Date of issue. is issued. The Forms in Appendix H. shall be used, with such variations as circumstances may require (p).
- (p) For these forms, see infra. For a variation in the form see Bolton v. Bolton, Form of writs. 3 Ch. D. 276; and see also Pyman v. Burt, W. N. (1884), 100.
- 15. In every case of execution the party entitled to execution may Expenses of levy the poundage, fees, and expenses of execution, over and above execution. the sum recovered (a).

- (q) A sheriff who recovers a judgment debt by compulsion of a f. fa. is entitled Poundage. to poundage, though he is paid out without a sale of any of the goods seized (Mortimore v. Cragg, 3 C. P. D. 216, overruling Roe v. Hammond, 2 C. P. D. 300); but there must have been an actual seizure (Bissicks v. Bath Colliery Company, 3 Ex. D. 174). See also Re Craycraft, 8 Ch. D. 596; Ex parte Lithgow, 10 Ch. D. 169; Sneary v. Abdy, 1 Ex. D. 299; Nash v. Diokenson, L. R. 2 C. P. 252.
- 16. Every writ of execution for the recovery of money shall be Writ for indorsed with a direction to the sheriff, or other officer or person to recovery of whom the writ is directed, to levy the money really due and payable state amount and sought to be recovered under the judgment or order, stating the and interest. amount, and also to levy interest thereon, if sought to be recovered, at the rate of 4l. per cent. per annum from the time when the judgment or order was entered or made, provided that in cases where there is an agreement between the parties that more than 4l. per cent. interest shall be secured by the judgment or order, then the indorsement may be accordingly to levy the amount of interest so agreed (r).

money to

(r) The writ should be delivered to the sheriff and not to the sheriff's officer

(Triminger v. Keene, W. N. (1882), 106).

The costs of an action in the absence of any special order bear interest from the date of the judgment and not from the date of the allocatur only (Pyman v. Burt, W. N. (1884), 100).

17. Every person to whom any sum of money or any costs shall be When execupayable under a judgment or order shall, so soon as the money or or costs may costs shall be payable, be entitled to sue out one or more writ or writs be issued. of fieri facias or one or more writ or writs of elegit to enforce payment thereof, subject nevertheless as follows:

- (a.) If the judgment or order is for payment within a period therein mentioned, no such writ as aforesaid shall be issued until after the expiration of such period:
- (b.) The Court or a judge may, at or after the time of giving judgment or making an order, stay execution until such time as they or he shall think fit (s).

(s) This rule is founded on Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 6.
In order to issue writs of fieri facius or elegit (as to which see Ord. XLIII., infra), Form of order the order must be for payment to a person, not to his account in a bank (Re Leeds for payment Banking Company, 1 Ch. 150). Under Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 1, from which r. 1 of of money.

Ord. XLII.

Naming period for payment.

Issue of separate writs for money and costs. this Order is taken (see ante, p. 446), it was held that the decree need not be served before the writs were issued (Land Credit Company of Ireland v. Fermoy, 5 Ch. 323). It was held under the old practice that the period named must not be before the

day of entering the judgment (Adkins v. Bliss, 2 De G. & J. 286).

- 18. Upon any judgment or order for the recovery or payment of a sum of money and costs, there may be, at the election of the party entitled thereto, either one writ or separate writs of execution for the recovery of the sum and for the recovery of the costs, but a second writ shall only be for costs and shall be issued not less than eight days after the first writ (t).
 - (t) See as to this rule Harris v. Jewell, W. N. (1883), 216.

Execution, except for money, costs or land, may be issued within fourteen days.

Writ to remain in force for one year only unless renewed. 19. A party who has obtained judgment or an order, not being a judgment for payment of money or costs, or for the recovery of land, may issue execution in fourteen days, unless the Court or a judge shall order execution to issue at an earlier or later date with or without terms.

20. A writ of execution if unexecuted shall remain in force for one year only from its issue, unless renewed in the manner hereinafter provided; but such writ may, at any time before its expiration, by leave of the Court or a judge, be renewed by the party issuing it for one year from the date of such renewal, and so on from time to time during the continuance of the renewed writ, either by being marked with a seal of the Court bearing the date of the day, month, and year of such renewal, or by such party giving a written notice of renewal to the sheriff, signed by the party or his solicitor, and bearing the like seal of the Court; and a writ of execution so renewed shall have effect, and be entitled to priority, according to the time of the original delivery thereof.

Evidence of renewal.

21. The production of a writ of execution, or of the notice renewing the same, purporting to be marked with such seal as in the last preceding rule mentioned, showing the same to have been renewed, shall be sufficient evidence of its having been so renewed.

Execution may issue within six years of judgment.

Where leave to issue execution necessary.

- 22. As between the original parties to a judgment or order, execution may issue at any time within six years from the recovery of the judgment or the date of the order.
 - 23. In the following cases, viz.:
 - (a.) Where six years have elapsed since the judgment or date of the order, or any change has taken place by death or otherwise in the parties entitled or liable to execution (u);
 - (b.) Where a husband is entitled or liable to execution upon a judgment or order for or against a wife:
 - (c.) Where a party is entitled to execution upon a judgment of assets in futuro;
 - (d.) Where a party is entitled to execution against any of the shareholders of a joint stock company upon a judgment recorded against such company, or against a public officer or other person representing such company;

the party alleging himself to be entitled to execution may apply to the Ord. XLII. Court or a judge for leave to issue execution accordingly. And such Court or judge may, if satisfied that the party so applying is entitled to issue execution, make an order to that effect, or may order that any issue or question necessary to determine the rights of the parties shall be tried in any of the ways in which any question in an action may be And in either case such Court or judge may impose such terms as to costs or otherwise as shall be just.

(u) Where a plaintiff obtained judgment with costs and died, his executors Change in the obtained leave to issue execution on an ex parte application, but without costs parties. (Mercer v. Lawrence, 26 W. R. 506; W. N. (1878), 103); and see Davis v. Andrews, W. N. (1884), 94, where one of two partners who had recovered judgment died before execution. The executor of a creditor who has obtained final judgment cannot issue a bankruptcy notice unless he has obtained leave under this rule to issue execution on the judgment (Fr. natt. Woods), 13 O. R. D. 470). issue execution on the judgment (Ex parte Woodall, 13 Q. B. D. 479).

24. Every order of the Court or a judge in any cause or matter may be Enforcement enforced against all persons bound thereby in the same manner as a of orders. judgment to the same effect (v).

(r) This rule is substantially the same as Ord. XLII, r. 20 of the repealed rules, under which it was held that an order dismissing an action with costs for want of prosecution could not be enforced by attachment of debts (Cremetti v. Crom, 4 Q. B. D. 225; sed qu., see Nott v. Sands, W. N. (1883), 74; and see now Ord. XLV. r. 1, post, p. 455).

A sequestration may be issued (without leave) against a receiver for disobeying an order to pay money into Court (Sprunt v. Pugh, 7 Ch. D. 567).

25. An order of commitment under the Debtors Act, 1869, shall Commitment bear date on the day on which such order was made, and shall con- under Debtors Act, 1869. tinue in force for one year from such date and no longer; but it may be renewed in the manner provided for writs of execution by Rule 20 of this Order(w).

- (w) As to the Debtors Act, 1869, see ante, p. 187.
- 26. Any person not being a party to a cause or matter, who obtains Enforcing any order or in whose favour any order is made, shall be entitled to and against enforce obedience to such order by the same process as if he were a persons not party to such cause or matter; and any person not being a party to a parties. cause or matter, against whom obedience to any judgment or order may be enforced, shall be liable to the same process for enforcing obedience to such judgment or order as if he were a party to such cause or matter (x).

- (x) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 2.
- 27. No proceeding by audita querela shall hereafter be used; but Audita querela any party against whom judgment has been given may apply to the application Court or a judge for a stay of execution or other relief against such for stay of judgment, upon the ground of facts which have arisen too late to be execution. pleaded; and the Court or judge may give such relief and upon such terms as may be just.

abolished:

28. Nothing in this Order shall take away or curtail any right here. Saving as to

process.

Ord. XLII. tofore existing to enforce or give effect to any judgment or order in any manner or against any person or property whatsoever (y).

(y) See Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies, 9 Ch. D. 275.

Issue of several writs.

29. Nothing in this Order shall affect the order in which writs of execution may be issued.

Court may direct performance of judgment by another person, at the costs of the disobedient party.

- 30. If a mandamus, granted in an action or otherwise, or a mandatory order, injunction, or judgment for the specific performance of any contract be not complied with, the Court or a judge, besides or instead of proceedings against the disobedient party for contempt, may direct that the act required to be done may be done so far as practicable by the party by whom the judgment or order has been obtained, or some other person appointed by the Court or judge, at the cost of the disobedient party, and upon the act being done, the expenses incurred may be ascertained in such manner as the Court or a judge may direct, and execution may issue for the amount so ascertained, and costs (yy).
 - (yy) See Judicature Act, 1884, s. 14, ante, p. 303.

Execution against corporation. 31. Any judgment or order against a corporation wilfully disobeyed may, by leave of the Court or a judge, be enforced by sequestration against the corporate property, or by attachment against the directors or other officers thereof, or by writ of sequestration against their property.

II. DISCOVERY IN AID OF EXECUTION.

Examination of judgment debtor as to debts due to him.

- 32. When a judgment or order is for the recovery or payment of money, the party entitled to enforce it may apply to the Court or a judge for an order that the debtor liable under such judgment or order, or in the case of a corporation that any officer thereof, be orally examined, as to whether any and what debts are owing to the debtor, and whether the debtor has any and what other property or means of satisfying the judgment or order, before a judge or an officer of the Court as the Court or judge shall appoint; and the Court or judge may make an order for the attendance and the examination of such debtor, or of any other person, and for the production of any books or documents(z).
- (z) This rule corresponds to Ord. XLV. r. 1, of the repealed rules, but is more extensive; the rule is taken from C. L. P. Act, 1854, s. 60.

The debtor is liable under this rule to be subjected to a cross-examination of the most rigorous description (Republic of Costa Rica v. Strousberg, 16 Ch. D. 8); but he is entitled to his expenses before attending to be examined (Protector Co. v. Whitlam, 36 L. T. 467).

Where the creditor has obtained an order for payment by instalments, and no default has been made, the Court will refuse to order an examination (*Hayton* v. *Beall*, W. N. (1881), 12; 29 W. R. 333).

Removal of difficulties in execution of judgment other than for recovery of money.

33. In case of any judgment or order other than for the recovery or payment of money, if any difficulty shall arise in or about the execution or enforcement thereof, any party interested may apply to the Court or a judge, and the Court or judge may make such order thereon for the attendance and examination of any party or otherwise as may be just.

34. The costs of any application under the last two preceding rules Ord. XLII. or either of them, and of any proceedings arising from or incidental Costs. thereto, shall be in the discretion of the Court or a judge, or in the discretion of such officer as in rule 32 mentioned, if the Court or a judge shall so direct.

ORDER XLIII.

- I. WRITS OF FIERI FACIAS, ELEGIT, AND SEQUESTRATION.
- 1. Writs of fieri facias and of elegit shall have the same force and Effect and effect as the like writs have heretofore had, and shall be executed in execution of writs of f. fa. the same manner in which the like writs have heretofore been and clegit. executed (a).

(a) Sect. 146 (1) of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, new provides that the writ of elegit shall not extend to goods; see Hough v. Windus, 12 Q. B. D. 224. As to equitable execution, see the Judgment Act, 1864, ante, p. 177.

2. Where it appears, upon the return of any writ of fieri facias, that Writ of the sheriff or other officer has by virtue of such writ seized, but not exponse. sold, any goods of the person directed to pay a sum of money or costs, the person to whom such sum of money or costs is payable shall, immediately after such writ with such return shall have been filed as of record, be at liberty to sue out a writ of venditioni exponas (b).

- (b) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 9.
- 3. Where it appears, upon the return of any writ of fieri facias or Writ of fi. fa. any writ of elegit, that the person against whom such writ was so de bonis eccleissued is a beneficed clerk, and has no goods or chattels, nor any lay fee in the bailiwick of the sheriff to whom such writ was directed, the person to whom the sum of money or costs mentioned in such writ is or are payable shall, immediately after such writ with such return shall have been filed as of record, be at liberty to sue out one or more writs of fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis, or one or more writs of sequestration (c).

- (e) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 11. Before a ft. fu. de bonis ecclesiasticis can issue it must be shown that the clerk has no goods or chattels, not only that they are insufficient (Rabbits v. Woodward, W. N. (1869), 152, 179; 20 L. T. 693, 778).
- 4. Such writs as in the last preceding rule mentioned, when sealed, Execution by shall be delivered to the bishop to be executed by him, and such writs, when returned by the bishop, shall be delivered to the parties or solicitors by whom respectively they were sued out, and shall thereupon be filed as of record in the central office; and for the execution of such writs the bishop or his officers shall not take or be allowed any fees other than such as are or shall be from time to time allowed by lawful authority (d).

(d) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIX. r. 13.

Ord. XLIII.

Writs in aid of fi. fa. or elegit.

5. Writs of venditioni exponas, distringas nuper vice comitem, fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis, sequestrari facias de bonis ecclesiasticis, and all other writs in aid of a writ of fieri facias or of elegit, may be issued and executed in the same cases and in the same manner as heretofore.

Writ of sequestration.

6. Where any person is by any judgment or order directed to pay money into Court or to do any other act in a limited time, and after due service of such judgment or order refuses or neglects to obey the same according to the exigency thereof, the person prosecuting such judgment or order shall, at the expiration of the time limited for the performance thereof, be entitled, without obtaining any order for that purpose, to issue a writ of sequestration against the estate and effects of such disobedient person. Such writ of sequestration shall have the same effect as a writ of sequestration in Chancery had before the commencement of the principal Act, and the proceeds of such sequestration may be dealt with in the same manner as the proceeds of writs of sequestration were before the same date dealt with by the Court of Chancery (e).

(e) This rule is substantially the same as Ord. XLVII. r. 1, of the repealed rules, and appears to supersede rules 3 and 7 of the General Order, 7th January, 1870, though these are not expressly repealed; for these rules see 5 Ch. xxxiv.

As to sequestration generally, see Daniell, 908. It is doubtful whether a sequestration can properly be issued to enforce a simple judgment for a debt; see Ex parte Nelson, 14 Ch. D. 41. The writ may be issued without leave of the Court (Sprunt v. Pugh, 7 Ch. D. 567), except to enforce payment of costs (rule 7). As to the priority of a sequestration over a mortgage, see Ward v. Booth, 14 Eq. 195. An order for sale ought to be obtained in chambers (Turner v. Clifford, W. N. (1870), 190)

What property liable to the accrued dividend on a fund in Court payable to a married woman for her sepasequestration.

The following different kinds of property have been held liable to sequestration: the accrued dividend on a fund in Court payable to a married woman for her separate use without power of anticipation (Claydon v. Finch, 15 Eq. 266; and see Slade v. Hulme, 30 W. R. 28; Miller v. Miller, L. R. 2 P. & M. 54); a balance at a bank (Miller v. Huddlestone, 22 Ch. D. 233); a deposit on appeal (Conn v. Garland, 9 Ch. 101); pensions for past services (Willocok v. Terrell, 3 Ex. D. 323; 39 L. T. 84; Sansom v. Sansom, 4 P. D. 69; 48 L. J. P. D. & A. 25; 27 W. R. 692; 39 L. T. 612; Dent v. Dent, L. R. 1 P. & M. 366; McCarthy v. Gould, 1 Ba. & B. 387); a rentcharge (Wilson v. Metaalfe, 1 Beav. 263; and see Clinton v. Clinton, L. R. 1 P. & M. 216); but not the pension of an officer in the Indian army (Birch v. Birch, 8 P. D. 163). The Court has no jurisdiction to order the Lords of the Treasury or the Paymaster-General to pay a pension charged on the Consolidated Fund to sequestrators; 163). The Court has no jurisdiction to order the Lords of the Treasury or the Paymaster-General to pay a pension charged on the Consolidated Fund to sequestrators; but an order will be made restraining the pensioner from receiving and empowering the sequestrators to receive the pension (Willcook v. Terrell; and see also Crispin v. Cumano, L. R. 1 P. & M. 622). Where sequestration could not be obtained a receiver was appointed (Bryant v. Bull, 10 Ch. D. 153; 48 L. J. Ch. 325; 27 W. R. 246; 39 L. T. 470). Where the party had no goods, and his only property was an army pension, the Court made a four-day order for payment, and that in default sequestration might issue (Snow v. Bolton; 17 Ch. D. 433; 29 W. R. 583; 44 L. T. 571; but see Birch v. Birch). The costs of a sequestration, when discharged, are taxed as between party and party (Re Shapland, 23 W. R. 40; W. N. (1874), 202).

Costs of sequestration.

Sequestration for costs.

- 7. No subpœna for the payment of costs, and, unless by leave of the Court or a judge, no sequestration to enforce such payment, shall be issued (f).
- (f) For an instance of such leave being given, see Snow v. Bolton, cited in note to rule 6: the application for leave is made in chambers (ibid.).

ORDER XLIV.

ATTACHMENT.

- 1. A writ of attachment shall have the same effect as a writ of Effect of writ attachment issued out of the Chancery Division has heretofore had (g). ment.
 - (g) See note to next rule.
- 2. No writ of attachment shall be issued without the leave of the Attachment Court or a judge, to be applied for on notice to the party against whom issued withthe attachment is to be issued (h).

out leave.

(h) Service of the notice of motion on the solicitor on the record of the party to be attached is sufficient (Browning v. Sabim, 5 Ch. D. 511; Richards v. Kitchen, W. notice of N. (1877), 128; 25 W. R. 602; hut see Mann v. Perry, W. N. (1881), 4; 44 L. T. 248); or the notice may be served by leaving it at the residence of the party (Re a Solicitor, 14 Ch. D. 152; S. C., nom. Re Ryan, 28 W. R. 529); and see as to service generally, Ord. LXVII., infra. An order for attachment obtained without notice will be discharged (Dallas v. Glyn, 3 Ch. D. 190; 46 L. J., Ch. 51; 24 W. R. 881; 34 L. T. 897; Re a Solicitor, 1 Ch. D. 445; 24 W. R. 103). As to service when the residence of the party is not known, see Tilney v. Stansfeld, 28 W. R. 582; W. N. (1880), 77. No date need be specified for the return of the writ by the sheriff (Owen v. Pritchard, W. N. (1876), 147). See also Ord. LII. r. 4, post, p. 479. A writ of attachment may be ordered to issue on a notice of motion to commit for contempt (Piper v. Piper, W. N. (1876), 202); but where leave has been given to issue a writ of attachment an order for committal will not be made instead (Buist v. Bridge, 29 W. R. 117; 43 L. T. 432; W. N. (1880), 176). An exact copy of the order, for non-compliance with which the attachment was issued, must be served, otherwise the attachment will he set aside (Re Holt, 11 Ch. D. 168; 27 W. R. 485;

Bridge, 29 W. K. 117; 43 L. T. 432; W. N. (1880), 176). An exact copy of the order, for non-compliance with which the attachment was issued, must be served, otherwise the attachment will be set aside (Re Holt, 11 Ch. D. 168; 27 W. R. 485; 40 L. T. 207; and see Seton, p. 1597). As to service of an order for discovery or inspection, see Ord. XXXI. r. 22, ante, p. 392; Joy v. Hadley, 22 Ch. D. 571. The order which it is desired to enforce must have been properly framed and endorsed; see Ord. XLII. r. 5, ante, p. 445; Hampden v. Wallis, 26 Ch. D. 746.

A common law judge at chambers can grant leave to issue an attachment (Salm Kyrburg v. Posnanski, W. N. (1884), 146); but not a chancery judge (Re Knight, Knight v. Gardiner, W. N. (1883), 162).

As to the right of a sheriff to break open an outer door in executing a writ of attachment, see Harvey v. Harvey, 26 Ch. D. 644.

For an order for attachment against a solicitor who had failed to pay costs which he had been ordered to pay for misconduct, see Tilney v. Stansfeld, 28 W. R. of solicitor.

582; W. N. (1880), 77.

A solicitor may be attached for default in payment of a balance found due from him upon taxation of his bill of costs under the common order (Re Rush, 9 Eq. 147; 18 W. R. 331; Re White, 19 W. R. 39; 23 L. T. 387; and see Re V——, Ir. R. 8 Eq. 355). But he cannot be attached for non-payment of costs incurred simply as an unsuccessful litigant (Re Hope, 7 Ch. 523; overruling Re Barfield and Rush, 19 W. R. 466; 24 L. T. 248). And the right to an attachment may be lost by making terms with him (Harvey v. Hall, 16 Eq. 324).

The costs of an attachment are taxed costs (Abud v. Riches, 2 Ch. D. 528; 24 W. Costs.

R. 637); they should be asked for on the application for leave to issue the writ

R. 637); they should be asked for on the application for leave to issue the writ (ibid.; Tilney v. Stansfeld, 28 W. R. 582; W. N. (1880), 77).

ORDER XLV.

ATTACHMENT OF DEBTS.

1. The Court or a judge may, upon the ex parte application of any Power to person who has obtained a judgment or order for the recovery or pay-make garnishee order ment of money, either before or after any oral examination of the debtor liable under such judgment or order, and upon affidavit by himself or his solicitor stating that judgment has been recovered, or

Ord. XLV.

the order made, and that it is still unsatisfied, and to what amount, and that any other person is indebted to such debtor, and is within the jurisdiction, order that all debts owing or accruing from such third person (hereinafter called the garnishee) to such debtor shall be attached to answer the judgment or order; and by the same or any subsequent order it may be ordered that the garnishee shall appear before the Court or a judge or an officer of the Court, as such Court or judge shall appoint, to show cause why he should not pay to the person who has obtained such judgment or order the debt due from him to such debtor, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the judgment or order (i).

Oral examination.

Attachment of debts.

What debts attachable.

(i) As to the oral examination of the debtor, see Ord. XLII. rr. 32—34, ante, p. 452.

P. 452.

As to the force and effect of the rule, which is taken from sect. 61 of the C.L.P. Act, 1854, see generally Tapp v. Jones, L. R. 10 Q. B. 591; Howell v. Metropolitan Ry. Co., 19 Ch. D. 508; Chatterton v. Wainey, 16 Ch. D. p. 382; 17 Ch. D. p. 262; and the recent important case of Webb v. Stenton (C. A.), 11 Q. B. D. 518.

The following have been held to be attachable:—Rent (Mitchell v. Lee, L. R. 2 Q. B. 259); procesds of an execution in the hands of the sheriff (Murray v. Simpson, 8 Ir. C. L. App. xlv.); a debt for which a cheque had been given, the cheque having been stopped before presentation (Cohen v. Hale, 3 Q. B. D. 371); an equitable debt (Wilson v. Dundus, W. N. (1875), 232; Webb v. Stenton, 11 Q. B. D. 518); money in the hands of an official liquidator (Ex parte Turner, 2 De G. F. & J. 354); money payable by a receiver (Re Cowan, 14 Ch. D. 638, but see Webb v. 510); money in the hands of an official inducator (Ex parte Inviter), 2De G. 1. & J. 354); money payable by a receiver (Re Cowan, 14 Ch. D. 638, but see Webb v. Stenton); interest on railway stock guaranteed by one railway company to another (Bouch v. Sevenoaks Ry., 4 Ex. D. 133); a debt due to the testator's estate upon a judgment against the executor (Fowler v. Roberts, 2 Giff. 226; Burton v. Roberts, 6 H. & N. 93); and see also Miller v. Mynn, 1 E. & E. 1075; Ward v. Ward, 14 Ch. D. 506; Re Bryan, 14 Ch. D. 516; Booth v. Trail, 12 Q. B. D. 8; Gordon v. Jennings, 9 Q. B. D. 45.

But there can be no attachment except of a debt, i. e., a sum of money presently owing, debitum in præsent:—whether solvendum in præsenti or only in futuro (Webb v. Stenton (C. A.), 11 Q. B. D. 518, where it was held that there could be no attachment of the future income of a tenant for life of a trust fund). This case shakes the authority of Re Cowan, and shows that the form of the garnishee order absolute in

What not attachable.

"Debts

owing or accruing."

Affidavit.

order for

Garnishee costs.

Service of garnishee order binds the debt.

2. Service of an order that debts, due or accruing to a debtor liable under a judgment or order, shall be attached, or notice thereof to the garnishee, in such manner as the Court or judge shall direct, shall bind such debts in his hands (k).

(k) A garnishee order nisi does not create a charge until service of it on the garnishee (*Hamer* v. Giles, 11 Ch. D. 942); and see Re Stanhope Co., 11 Ch. D. 160. By sect. 45 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, where a creditor has attached any debt

due to the debtor, he cannot retain the benefit of the attachment against the trustee

Effect of bankruptcy of debtor.

in bankruptcy of the debtor unless he has received the debt before the date of the receiving order, and before notice of the presentation of any bankruptcy petition by or against the debtor, or of the commission of any available act of bankruptcy by the debtor.

The attachment of a debt overrides the general lien of a solicitor over the judgThe attachment of a debt overrides the general lien of a solicitor over the judgment in respect of general costs due to him from the garnishee (Hough v. Edwards, lien, how
1 H. & N. 171); but, as to the solicitor's right to obtain a charging order under the affected by
statute, notwithstanding a previous garnishee order, see Birchall v. Pugin, L. R. 10 garnishee
C. P. 397; Shippey v. Grey, 49 L. J. 524; 28 W. R. 877; 42 L. T. 673; The Leader, order.
L. R. 2 A. & E. 314; Hamer v. Giles, 11 Ch. D. 942.

As to set-off by a garnishee, see Tapp v. Jones, L. R. 10 Q. B. 591; Sampson v. Set-off.
Seaton Ry. Co., ibid. 28.

3. If the garnisheo does not forthwith pay into Court the amount Issue of exedue from him to the debtor, liable under a judgment or order, or an cution against garnishee. amount equal to the judgment or order, and does not dispute the debt due or claimed to be due from him to such debtor, or if he does not appear upon summons, then the Court or judge may order execution to issue, and it may issue accordingly, without any previous writ or process, to levy the amount due from such garnishee, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the judgment or order.

4. If the garnishee disputes his liability, the Court or judge, instead Procedure of making an order that execution shall issue, may order that any issue where garnishee disor question necessary for determining his liability be tried or deter- putes his mined in any manner in which any issue or question in an action may liability. be tried or determined.

5. Whenever in proceedings to obtain an attachment of debts it Where third is suggested by the garnishee that the debt sought to be attached party intebelongs to some third person, or that any third person has a lien or charge upon it, the Court or a judge may order such third person to appear, and state the nature and particulars of his claim upon such debt(l).

- (1) As to the proper mode of proceeding where there is a suggestion that the money sought to be attached is trust money, see Roberts v. Death, 8 Q. B. D. 319; 30 W. R. 76. And see next rule.
- 6. After hearing the allegations of any third person under such Determinaorder, as in rule 5 mentioned, and of any other person whom by the tion of questions where same or any subsequent order the Court or a judge may order to third party appear, or in case of such third person not appearing when ordered. interested. the Court or judge may order execution to issue to levy the amount due from such garnishee, or any issue or question to be tried or determined according to the preceding rules of this order, and may bar the claim of such third person, or make such other order as such Court or judge shall think fit, upon such terms, in all cases, with respect to the lien or charge (if any) of such third person, and to costs, as the Court or judge shall think just and reasonable (m).

- (m) An order made by consent under this rule is final (Eade v. Winser, 47 L. J. Q. B. 584).
- 7. Payment made by or execution levied upon the garnishee under Garnishee any such proceeding as aforesaid shall be a valid discharge to him as discharged by against the debtor, liable under a judgment or order, to the amount execution.

- Ord. XLV. paid or levied, although such proceeding may be set aside, or the judgment or order reversed (n).
 - (n) The provisions of this rule do not apply to a conditional debt (Howell v. Metropolitan Ry., 19 Ch. D. 508).

Debt attachment book.

Costs.

8. There shall be kept by the proper officer a debt attachment book, and in such book entries shall be made of the attachment and proceedings thereon, with names, dates, and statements of the amount recovered, and otherwise; and copies of any entries made therein may be taken by any person upon application to the proper officer.

9. The costs of any application for an attachment of debts, and of any proceedings arising from or incidental to such application, shall be in the discretion of the Court or a judge.

ORDER XLVI.

CHARGING ORDERS, DISTRINGAS, AND STOP ORDERS.

Charging order.

1. An order charging stock or shares may be made by any Divisional Court or by any judge, and the proceedings for obtaining such order shall be such as are directed, and the effect shall be such as is provided, by the Acts 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, ss. 14 and 15, and 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, s. 1 (o).

1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14. Charging order.

(o) The following are the sections referred to:—XIV. "And be it enacted, that if any person against whom any judgment shall "have been entered up in any of her Majesty's superior Courts at Westminster "have been entered up in any of her Majesty's superior Courts at Westminster is shall have any government stock, funds, or annuities, or any stock or shares of or in any public company in England (whether incorporated or not), standing in his name in his own right, or in the name of any person in trust for him, it shall be lawful for a judge of one of the superior Courts, on the application of any judgment creditor, to order that such stock, funds, annuities, or shares, or such of them or such part thereof respectively as he shall think fit, shall stand the country of the argument for which indements shall have been seen "such of them of such part thereof respectively as he shall think hi, shall stand "charged with the payment of the amount for which judgment shall have been so "recovered, and interest thereon, and such order shall entitle the judgment creditor to all such remedies as he would have been entitled to if such charge had been made in his favour by the judgment debtor; provided that no proceedings shall be taken to have the benefit of such charge until after the expiration of six calendar months from the date of such order."

3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, s. 1. Provisions extended to contingent interest and to stock in Court.

I. "The aforesaid provisions of the said Act (i. e, 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14) shall be deemed and taken to extend to the interest of any judgment debtor, whether "in possession, remainder, or reversion, and whether vested or contingent, as well "in any such stocks, funds, annuities, or shares as aforesaid as also in the dividends, interest, or annual produce of any such stock, funds, annuities or shares; "and whenever any such judgment debtor shall have any estate, right, title or interest, vested or contingent, in possession, remainder, or reversion, in, to, or out of any such stocks, funds, annuities or shares as aforesaid, which now are, or "shall hereafter, be standing in the name of the Accountant-General of the Court "of Chancery, or the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer, or in, to, "or out of the dividends, interest, or annual produce thereof, it shall be lawful "for such judge to make any order as to such stock, funds, annuities, or shares, "for such judge to make any order as to such stock, funds, annuities, or shares, or the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, in the same way as if "the same had been standing in the name of a trustee of such judgment debtor: "Provided always, that no order of any judge as to any stock, funds, annuities, or "shares standing in the name of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery or the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer, or as to the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, shall prevent the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, or any public company, from permitting any transfer of such "stocks, funds, annuities, or shares, or payment of the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, in such manner as the Court of Chancery or the Court of Exchequer respectively may direct, or shall have any greater effect than if such "debtor had charged such stock, funds, annuities or shares, or the interest, divi-"dends, or annual produce thereof, in favour of the judgment creditor, with the

amount of the sum to be mentioned in any such order.'

XV. "And in order to prevent any person against whom judgment shall have 1 & 2 Vict. "been obtained from transferring, receiving or disposing of any stock, funds, c. 110, s. 15. "annuities, or shares hereby authorised to be charged for the benefit of the judg-"ment creditor under an order of a judge, be it further enacted, that every order to be made in "of a judge charging any government stock, funds, or annuities, or any stock or the first inshares in any public company, under this Act, shall be made in the first instance stance ex "ex parte, and without any notice to the judgment debtor, and shall be an order to parte, and show cause only; and such order, if any government stock, funds, or annuities standing in the name of the judgment debtor in his own right, or in the name of "any person in trust for him, is to be affected by such order, shall restrain the interim "Governor and Company of the Bank of England from permitting a transfer of such restraint of "stock in the meantime and until such order shall be made absolute or discharged; transfer by "and if any stock or shares of or in any public company, standing in the name of company, &c. "the judgment debtor in his own right, or in the name of any person in trust for "him, is or are to be affected by any such order, shall in like manner restrain such public company from permitting a transfer thereof; and that if, after notice of such order to the person or persons to be restrained thereby, or in case of corpo-"rations to any authorised agent of such corporation, and before the same order " shall be discharged or made absolute, such corporation or person or persons shall " permit any such transfer to be made, then and in such case the corporation or "person or persons so permitting such transfer shall be liable to the judgment creditor for the value or amount of the property so charged and so transferred, or such part thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy his judgment; and that no dis-"position of the judgment debtor in the meantime shall be valid or effectual as against the judgment creditor; and further, that, unless the judgment debtor "shall within a time to be mentioned in such order show to a judge of one of the "said superior Courts sufficient cause to the contrary, the said order shall, after proof of notice thereof to the judgment debtor, his attorney or agent, be made "absolute: provided that any such judge shall, upon the application of the judg"ment debtor, or any person interested, have full power to discharge or vary such
"order, and to award such costs upon such application as be may think fit."

The duties of the accountant-general are now performed by the paymaster- Accountant-

general; see Chancery Funds Act, 1872, ss. 4, 6, ante, p. 204.

An application for a charging order is made by summons (Daniell, 939); and must be supported by evidence of the title of the applicant to the debt and of the debtor's title to the property sought to be charged (bid.). The order may be made by any judge of the High Court; see Hopewell v. Barnes, 1 Ch. D. 630.

As to the charging of contingent interests, see Cragg v. Taylor, L. R. 2 Ex. 131; Contingent Dixon v. Wrench, L. R. 4 Ex. 154; South Western Loan Co. v. Robertson, 8 Q. B. D. interests. 17; 30 W. R. 102, where it was held that stock is none the less chargeable because it is standing in the name of a trustee for other persons besides the judgment debtor.

It does not follow from sect. 14 of the Act that collateral proceedings may not Six months' be instituted before the six months expire (Bristed v. Wilkins, 3 Hare, 235, 239); rule. e. g., a stop order may be obtained (Watts v. Jefferys, 3 M. & G. 372; and see Wells v. Gibbs, 22 Beav. 204).

When the order is made absolute, the bank or public company, which is bound Effect of by the statute to hold its hand during the pendency of the order nisi, will no longer interim and by the statute to hold its hand during the pendency of the order nisi, will no longer interim and be bound to take notice of the charge, unless the judgment creditor has instituted absolute order an action or taken some other steps (see Reeee v. Taylor, 13 Sim. 480), but must on the compay the dividends to the persons legally entitled thereto as before the charging pany or the order was made, and his receipt will discharge the company (Churchill v. Bank of bank. England, 11 M. & W. 323; Bristed v. Wilkins, 3 Hare, 235). Such person will be bound, the order having been made absolute, to give effect to the charge on the stock or shares, and the bank or company is no longer concerned with the questions arising between the judgment creditor and other persons interested.

Nothing which has taken place subsequent to the original charging order is a Order, when sufficient cause to prevent its being made absolute; therefore, where a decree had to be made been made for administration of the debtor's estate subsequently to the order nisi, absolute. an injunction to restrain the creditor from applying to have the order made absolute was refused (Haly v. Barry, 3 Ch. 452; Scott v. Lord Hastings, 1 K. & J. 633); but if the debtor had assigned the property before the original charging order was made, the creditor does not by such order obtain even an inchaste right (Warburton v. Hill, Kay, 470, as explained in Haly v. Barry); and ses Robinson v. Nesbitt, L. R. 3 C. P. 264, overruling Watts v. Porter, 3 E. & B. 743.

A charging order has no greater effect than an instrument of charge executed by the judgment debtor would have had (Re Onslow, 20 Eq. 677); and separate

Ord, XLVI.

Order of judge

general.

Application. how made.

Ord. XLVI.

proceedings are still necessary to enforce it as before the Judicature Acts (Leggott

v. Western, 12 Q. B. D. 287)

A charging order cannot be made absolute when it appears that the judgment debtor was dead when the order nisi was obtained (Finney v. Hinde, 4 Q. B. D. 102; 27 W. R. 413; 40 L. T. 193).

Charging order for costs.

A charging order for costs cannot be obtained till the costs have been taxed (Widgery v. Tepper (C. A.), 6 Ch. D. 364; 25 W. R. 872; 37 L. T. 297; Jones v. Williams, 8 M. & W. 349); Burns v. Irving, 3 Ch. D. 291, has not been followed. A charging order cannot affect the income of a fund to which a married woman

is entitled for her separate use without power of anticipation (Stanley v. Stanley, 7 Ch. D. 589).

Distringas abolished.

2. No writ of distringus shall hereafter be issued under the Act 5 Vict. c. 5, s. 5.

Definition of "company" and "stock."

3. In the following rules of this order the expression "company" includes the Governor and Company of the Bank of England and any other public company, whether incorporated or not, and the expression "stock" includes shares, securities, and money.

Service of affidavit and filed notice on company.

- 4. Any person claiming to be interested in any stock standing in the books of a company may, on an affidavit by himself or his solicitor in the Form No. 27 in Appendix B., with such variations as circumstances may require, and on filing the same in the central office with a notice in the Form No. 22 in the same Appendix, with such váriations as circumstances may require, and on procuring an office copy of the affidavit and a duplicate of the filed notice authenticated by the seal of the central office, serve the office copy and duplicate notice on the company (p).
 - (p) For these forms, see infra.

Name and address of claimant to be given. Service of

notices on

claimant.

- 5. There shall be appended to the affidavit a note stating the person on whose behalf it is filed, and to what address notices (if any) for that person are to be sent.
- 6. All such notices shall be deemed to have been duly sent if sent through the post by a prepaid letter directed to that person at the address so stated or at any such substituted address as hereinafter mentioned, whether the person to whom the notice is sent is living or not.

Alteration of address.

7. The address so stated may, from time to time, be altered by the person by or on whose behalf the affidavit is filed, but no notice sent by post before the alteration to the address originally given or for the time being substituted therefor shall be affected by any subsequent alteration. Any such alteration of address may be made by service of a memorandum thereof on the company in the manner required for service of a notice under this order.

Service of affidavit and filed notice to of distringus.

8. The service of the office copy of the affidavit and of the duplicate of the filed notice shall have the same force and effect against the have the effect company as a writ of distringas duly issued under the Act 5 Vict. c. 5, s. 5, would have had against the Bank of England if these rules had not been made (q).

Effect of distringas. (q) As to the effect of a distringas, see Re Marquis of Hertford, 1 Ha. 584; Wilkins v. Sibley, 4 Giff, 442; 9 Jur. N. S. SSS. The effect of it is to prevent the stock being dealt with without notice to the person putting on the distringus.

9. A notice filed under rule 4 of this order may at any time be Ord. XLVI. withdrawn by the person by whom or on whose behalf it was given on Withdrawal a written request signed by him, or its operation may be made to cease of notice by by an order to be obtained by motion on notice or by petition or by summons at chambers duly served by any other person claiming to be interested in the stock sought to be affected by the notice.

10. If, whilst a notice filed under rule 4 of this order continues in Company canforce, the company on whom it is served receive from the person in not remse to deal with the whose name the stock specified in the notice is standing, or from some stock for more person acting on his behalf or representing him, a request to permit than eight days after the stock to be transferred or to pay the dividends thereon, the com- request. pany shall not, by force or in consequence of the service of the notice, be authorized, without the order of the Court or a judge, to refuse to permit the transfer to be made or to withhold the payment of the dividends for more than eight days after the date of the request (r).

(r) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXVII. r. 4. Where the bank gave notice that an application had been made to them to allow where the balk gave house that an application has been made or held to allow a transfer of the stock and to pay the dividends thereon, the Court, on ex parte motion to restrain the bank from dealing with the stock, granted an interim injunction over the next motion day, and directed notice of the order to be served on the legal owners of the stock (Re Blaksley, 23 Ch. D. 549).

11. If the person who files a notice under rule 4 of this order Description of desires to correct the description of the stock referred to in the filed the stock may be corrected. notice, he may file an amended notice and serve on the company a duplicate thereof sealed with the seal of the central office, and in that case the service of the notice shall be deemed to have been made on the day on which the amended duplicate is so served.

12. Where any monies or securities are in Court to the general Costs occacredit of any cause or matter, or to the account of any class of persons, sioned by stop and an order is made to prevent the transfer or payment of such in Court. monies or securities, or any part thereof, without notice to the assignee of any person entitled in expectancy or otherwise to any share or portion of such monies or securities, the person by whom any such order shall be obtained on the shares of such monies or securities affected by such order shall be liable, at the discretion of the Court or a judge, to pay any costs, charges, and expenses which, by reason of any such order having been obtained, shall be occasioned to any party to the cause or matter, or any persons interested in any such monies or securities (s).

(s) This and the next rule are taken from Cons. Ord. XXVI. rr. 1, 2.

As to stop orders generally, see Daniell, 1633 et seq., and cases there cited. A stop order gives no priority among charges equal in degree (Warburton v. Hill, Kay, 470; Greening v. Beekford, 5 Sim. 195; Swayne v. Swayne, 11 Beav. 463; Hulkes v. Day, 10 Sim. 41; Lister v. Tidd, 4 Eq. 462; Ex parte Kent, 19 W. R. 596); for by granting a stop order the Court decides nothing as to the rights and priorities of contending parties (Lucas v. Peacock, 9 Beav. 118; Hawkesley v. Gowan, 12 W. R. 1100; and see Re Blunt, 10 W. R. 379). Trustees in bankruptcy who obtained no stop order were postponed to a mortgagee who obtained one after the bankruptcy (Stuart v. Cockerell, 8 Eq. 607); and see Palmer v. Locke, 18 Ch. D. 381.

But a person who puts a stop order on a fund in Court obtains priority over a person who merely gives notice to the trustees, although the notice is given before (s) This and the next rule are taken from Cens. Ord. XXVI. rr. 1, 2.

Ord. XLVI.

Costs of obtaining stop order. the stop order is obtained (Pinnock v. Bailey, 23 Ch. D. 497; 31 W. R. 912); and see Mutual Society v. Langley, 26 Ch. D. 686; 32 W. R. 792.

Persons having claims on funds in Court are not entitled under all circumstances to the costs of obtaining a stop order (Grimsby v. Webster, 8 W. R. 725, but such costs were allowed in that case); and see Edwards v. Grove, 29 L. J. Ch. 839. But the mortgagee of a fund in Court empowered by his mortgage deed to apply for a stop order, is entitled to the costs of his so doing (Waddilove v. Taylor, 6 Ha. 307); he must, however, ask specially for them, or they will not be allowed by the taxing-master under the common order to tax the mortgagee's costs (ibid.). A trustee who, before paying into Court, became aware that a distringus had been placed on the fund, and omitted to mention the claim, was made personally liable for the

Application should he by summons.

before paying into Court, became aware that a distringas had been placed on the fund, and omitted to mention the claim, was made personally liable for the assignee's costs of obtaining a stop order (Re Allen, 40 L. T. 456). In Hoole v. Roberts, 12 Jur. 108, an incumbrancer petitioning for a stop order, after notice that a petition had been presented for payment out of the fund, was not allowed his costs. See also Mildmay v. Quicke, 6 Ch. D. 553.

The application for a stop order should be made by summons (Wrench v. Wynne, 17 W. R. 198; 38 L. J. Ch. 235; Wellesley v. Mornington, 41 L. J. Ch. 776; Walsh v. Wason, 22 W. R. 676; 30 L. T. 743), whether the assignor concurs or opposes; the costs of a petition will be refused (Walsh v. Wason); and in Wellesley v. Mornington the petitioner was ordered to pay the difference between the costs of obtaining the order on a summons at Chambers and the costs of the petition. But see Re Day. 49 L. T. 499; and see next rule.

Prospective order.

ordaning the order on a summons at Chambers and the costs of the pention. But see Re Day, 49 L. T. 499; and see next rule.

In Re Duke of Cleveland's Harte Estates, January 17, 1862, V.-C. Kindersley granted a prospective stop order, restraining the payment of funds hereafter to be paid in to a particular account; but refused to make such a prospective order where there was no certainty that any fund would be brought into Court (Wellesley v. Mornington, 11 W. R. 17). The operation of the order, though general in terms, is confined to the amount on which the order was founded (Macleod v. Buchanan, 33 Beav. 234).

Service on the assignor is necessary, though a party to the cause (*Parsons* v. *Groome*, 4 Beav. 521; *Levinger* v. *Crombie*, 21 W. R. 37; *Re Nowell*, 11 W. R. 896); but not on other parties to the cause (*Glazbrook* v. *Gillatt*, 9 Beav. 611); and see r. 13.

Service of application for stop order.

- 13. Any person presenting a petition or taking out a summons for any such order as aforesaid shall not be required to serve such petition or summons upon the parties to the cause or matter, or upon the persons interested in such parts of the monies or securities as are not sought to be affected by any such order (t).
 - (t) See note to rule 12.

ORDER XLVII.

WRIT OF POSSESSION.

Writ of possession.

- 1. A judgment or order that a party do recover possession of any land may be enforced by writ of possession in manner before the commencement of the principal Act used in actions of ejectment in the superior Courts of common law (u).
- (u) An order for forclosure absolute cannot be enforced by writ of possession (Wood v. Wheater, 22 Ch. D. 281).

As to the writ of possession generally, see Daniell, 948.

The old writ of assistance is superseded by this writ (Hall v. Hall, 47 L. J. Ch. 680).

Suing out writ of possession.

2. Where by any judgment or order any person therein named is directed to deliver up possession of any lands to some other person, the person prosecuting such judgment or order shall, without any order for that purpose, be entitled to sue out a writ of possession on filing an affidavit showing due service of such judgment or order and that the same has not been obeyed.

3. Upon any judgment or order for the recovery of any land and Ord. XLVII. costs, there may be either one writ or separate writs of execution for Separate writs the recovery of possession and for the costs at the election of the for recovery successful party.

of possession and for costs.

ORDER XLVIII.

WRIT OF DELIVERY.

1. Where it is sought to enforce a judgment or order for the re- Enforcing covery of any property other than land or money by writ of delivery, judgment, &c. the Court or a judge may, upon the application of the plaintiff, order of property that execution shall issue for the delivery of the property, without other than land or money giving the defendant the option of retaining the property, upon paying by writ of the value assessed, if any, and that if the property cannot be found, delivery. and unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order, the sheriff shall distrain the defendant by all his lands and chattels in the sheriff's bailiwick, till the defendant deliver the property; or, at the option of the plaintiff, that the sheriff cause to be made of the defendant's goods the assessed value, if any, of the property (v).

- (v) This rule is taken from sect. 78 of the C. L. P. Act, 1854.
 As to the writ of delivery generally, see Daniell, 952; Ivory v. Cruickshank, W. N. (1875), 249; Chilton v. Carrington, 15 C. B. 730; Corbett v. Lewin, W. N. (1884), 62.
- 2. A writ of delivery shall be in the Form No. 10 in Appendix H.; Form of writ and when a writ of delivery is issued, the plaintiff shall, either by the of delivery. same or a separate writ of execution, be entitled to have made of the defendant's goods the damages and costs awarded, and interest (w).

(w) For this form, see infra.

ORDER XLIX.

Transfers and Consolidation.

1. Causes or matters may be transferred from one division to Transfer of another of the High Court or from one judge to another of the causes or matters. Chancery Division by an order of the Lord Chancellor, provided that no transfer shall be made from or to any division without the consent of the President of the Division (x).

(x) When all parties consent the transfer will be made on a written application Transfers. to the Lord Chancellor's secretary, otherwise the application must be to the Lord Chancellor in Court (Mem. 1 Ch. D. 41). The Court of Appeal has no power to Chancellor in Court (Mem. 1 Ch. D. 41). The Court of Appeal has no power to order a transfer from one judge to another of the same division (Re Hutley, 1 Ch. D. 11; Re Boyd, ib. 12). See also Hillman v. Mayhow, 1 Ex. D. 132; Humphreys v. Edwards, W. N. (1875), 208; 45 L. J. Ch. 112; Holloway v. York, 2 Ex. D. 333; Storey v. Waddle, 4 Q. B. D. 289; Daniell, pp. 29, 1892. As to transfers from one division to another, see Metropolitan Inner Circle Ry. v. Metropolitan Ry., W. N. (1879), 193; China Steamship Co. v. Marine Insurance Co., W. N. (1881), 89.

An action will be transferred from one judge of the Chancery Division to another whenever it appears to be convenient so to do; see Curlewis v. Whidborne, 10 W. R. 281; Sidebottom v. Sidebottom, 14 W. R. 507; Corser v. Jones, 14 W. R. 704; Sayers v. Corrie. 9 Ch. 52.

v. Corrie, 9 Ch. 52.

If the parties neglect to apply the judge will, on his attention being called to the propriety of a transfer, apply to the Lord Chancellor himself (Swale v. Swale, 22 Beav. 401). Ord. XLIX.

Notice of application. One clear day's notice should be given to the other parties to the suit by the party desiring to transfer (Sidebottom v. Sidebottom; Bond v. Barnes, 2 De G. F. & J. 387; Humphreys v. Edwards, 45 L. J. Ch. 112; W. N. (1875), 208).

Refusal on insufficient grounds to consent may be visited with costs (Coeq v. Hunasgeria Coffee Company, 4 Ch. 415); and see further, as to costs, Lyall v. Weldhen, 9 Ch. 287; Sayers v. Corrie; Lucas v. Siggers, 7 Ch. 517.

Where an action has been transferred by general order from one Court to another, a retransfer will not, without consent he ordered where it will delay the beginn

Retransfer of actions.

a retransfer will not, without consent, be ordered where it will delay the hearing. where it will not cause delay, the Court will give weight to the fact that the judge from whom it has been transferred has by means of interlocutory applications gained an acquaintance with the facts (*Platt v. Walter*, 1 Ch. 471); but it will not take into consideration that such judge has decided a similar point in another case (*Wilson v. Gray*, 14 W. R. 783).

Before an application for retransfer is made, notice must be given to the other side (*Partin y. Marsin y. Marsin y. M. R. 43*).

side (Dennis v. Morris, 21 W. R. 43).

A retransfer of a cause was asked for on the ground that Queen's counsel had advised in the cause before transfer and briefs had been delivered two months, but it was held that the reasons were not sufficient (Tiffin v. Parker, 12 W. R. 698).

Transfer for hearing or trial only.

- 2. In the Chancery Division a transfer of a cause or matter from one judge to another may by the same or a separate order be ordered to be made or to be deemed to have been made for the purpose only of hearing or of trial, and in such case the original and any further hearing shall take place before the judge to whom the cause or matter shall be so transferred; but all other proceedings therein, whether before or after the hearing or trial of the cause or matter, shall be taken and prosecuted in the same manner as if such cause or matter had not been transferred from the judge to whom it was assigned at the time of transfer, and as if such judge had given or made the judgment or order, if any, therein, unless the judge to whom the cause or matter is transferred shall direct that any further proceedings therein, before or after the hearing or trial thereof, shall be taken and prosecuted before himself or before an official referee or special referee (y).
- (y) See, as to this rule, Cave v. Cave, W. N. (1880), 108; 28 W. R. 764; Porter v. West, W. N. (1880), 195; 29 W. R. 236; Lloyd v. Jones, 7 Ch. D. 390; Shaw v. Brown, W. N. (1881), 27.

one division to another.

- Transfer from . 3. Any cause or matter may, at any stage, be transferred from one division to another by an order made by the Court or any judge of the division to which the cause or matter is assigned: Provided that no such transfer shall be made without the consent of the President of the Division to which the cause or matter is proposed to be transferred (z).
 - (a) This rule refers only to a transfer from one division to another (Chapman v. Real Property Trust, 7 Ch. D. 732). The order to transfer may be made without the consent of the President, but not the transfer itself (Humphreys v. Edwards, W. N. (1875), 208; 45 L. J. Ch. 112). An action will be transferred to or from the Chancery Division whenever it is convenient to do so. Actions of the kind usually commenced in the Queen's Bench Division were transferred to the Chancery Division in the following cases:—Holloway v. York, 2 Ex. D. 333; Hillman v. Mayhew, 1 Ex. D. 132; London Land Company v. Harris, 13 Q. B. D. 540 (in each of which there was a counterclaim for specific performance); Young v. King, W. N. (1876), 11; Johnson v. Moffatt, ibid. 21; Holmes v. Harvey, 25 W. R. 80. But in Storey v. Waddle, 4 Q. B. D. 289; Standard Discount Company v. Barton, 37 L. T. 581, the application to transfer was refused. See further, as to transfers from one division to another, Cannot v. Morgan, 1 Ch. D. 1; Humphreys v. Edwards, 45 L. J. Ch. 112; W. N. (1875), 112; Hawkins v. Morgan, 49 L. J. Q. B. 618;

The Fulica, W. N. (1880), 172; China Steamship Company v. Marine Insurance Ord. XLIX. Company, W. N. (1881), 89; Ladd v. Puleston, W. N. (1883), 72.

4. A particular application in any cause or matter may by the direc- Order for tion of the Lord Chancellor be heard and disposed of by any judge of hearing of the High Court who shall consent so to do, to whatever division or a particular judge such cause or matter may have been assigned.

application by judge.

- 5. When an order has been made by any judge of the Chancery Transfer after Division for the winding-up of any company, or for the administration order for of the assets of any testator or intestate, the judge in whose Court or adminissuch winding-up or administration shall be pending shall have power, tration. without any further consent, to order the transfer to such judge of any cause or matter pending in any other Court or division brought or continued by or against such company, or by or against the executors or administrators of the testator or intestate whose assets are being so administered, as the case may be (a).
- (a) The words of this rule are wider than those of the corresponding repealed Assurance Co., 25 W. R. 23; and Re Madras Irrigation Co., 16 Ch. D. 702, over-ruling Re Landore Co., 10 Ch. D. 489. See Re Sharpe, W. N. (1884), 28.

 An action against an executor personally may be transferred (Re Timins, W. N. (1878), 141; 26 W. R. 692; Re Stubbs, 8 Ch. D. 154; but see Chapman v. Mason, W. N. (1879), 93).

The application to transfer is made ex parte (Re Landore Co.; Field v. Field, W. N. Application, (1877), 98; Whitaker v. Robinson, W. N. (1877), 201; Re United Kingdom Telegraph how made. Co., 29 W. R. 332).

6. When any summons under Ord. LV. rr. 3, 4, shall have been Transfer of marked with the name of a judge other than the judge by rule 11 of $\frac{\text{summons}}{\text{under}}$ the same order prescribed, such last-mentioned judge shall, unless Ord. LV. cause shall appear to him to the contrary, without any further consent, order the transfer to such judge of the summons so improperly marked.

7. Any cause or matter transferred from any other division to the Causes, &c. Chancery Division, shall, by the order directing the transfer, be transferred to the Chancery assigned to one of the judges of that division to be named in the Division to be order.

8. Causes or matters pending in the same division may be consoli- judge. dated by order of the Court or a judge in the manner in use before Consolidation the commencement of the principal Act in the superior Courts of of causes or matters. common law (b).

- assigned to a particular
- (b) This rule adopts the old common law practice with regard to the consolidation of actions. An order to consolidate may be obtained where two or more actions of actions. are pending between the same plaintiff and the same defendant, or between the same plaintiff and different defendants, or between different plaintiffs and the same defendant, or between different plaintiffs and different plaintiffs and whatever the nature of the actions. See Daniell, 1888; Chitty's Archbold, 1085 et seq.; Amos v. Chadwick, 4 Ch. D. 869; 9 Ch. D. 459; Holden v. Silkstone Co., 30 W. R. 98; Talle v. Teale, W. N. (1882), 83; Holmes v. Harvey, W. N. (1876), 276 (where the actions were in different divisions, and were transferred before being consolidated); Smith v. Whichcord, 24 W. R. 900; Thomson v. South Eastern Ry., 9 Q. B. D. 320.

 A defendant only, and not a plaintiff, can apply for an order to consolidate (b) This rule adopts the old common law practice with regard to the consolidation Consolidation

A defendant only, and not a plaintiff, can apply for an order to consolidate (Amos v. Chadwick). After consolidation the several plaintiffs are in the same condition as if they had originally been co-plaintiffs (Holden v. Silkstone Co.).

Ord. XLIX. The application is made by motion or summons; the other parties should be served, and the notice of motion or summons should be intituled in all the actions

(Daniell, 1889).

Staying proceedings.

Where two suits were instituted for administration the Court stayed proceedings in the second suit, although it prayed additional relief, on the defendant in the first suit undertaking not to offer opposition to any matters not covered by the original decree which the judge in chambers might think fit to add thereto (Gwyer v. Peterson, 26 Beav. 83; Matthews v. Palmer, 11 W. R. 610); and in another case, instead of staying proceedings in the second suit, the Court ordered the two suits to be consolidated, and the inquiries directed by the first decree to be extended (Hoskins v. Campbell, 2 H. & M., 43; Re Wortley, 4 Ch. D. 180, which, however, is not accurately reported). See also Zambaco v. Cassavetti, 11 Eq. 439; Re Swire, 21 Ch. D. 647; Townsend v. Townsend, 23 Ch. D. 100; Macrae v. Smith, 2 K. & J. 411; Lankester v. Wood, 14 L. T. 512.

In another case the Court stayed proceedings in the second suit only so far as the first suit gave identical relief (*Dryden* v. *Foster*, 6 Beav. 146). Compare *Piffard* v. *Vanrenen*, 13 W. R. 425; and for a case of consolidation of a large number of suits, see *Foxwell* v. *Webster*, 2 Dr. & Sm. 257; 12 W. R. 186; 4 De G. J. & Sm. 77.

ORDER L.

I. Interlocutory Orders as to Mandamus Injunctions or Interim Preservation of Property, &c.

Order for preservation or interim custody of subject-matter of litigation.

- 1. When by any contract a *primd facie* case of liability is established, and there is alleged as matter of defence a right to be relieved wholly or partially from such liability, the Court or a judge may make an order for the preservation or interim custody of the subject-matter of the litigation, or may order that the amount in dispute be brought into Court or otherwise secured (c).
- (c) An order under this rule for payment into Court of money received by a person acting in a fiduciary character may be enforced by attachment (*Hutchinson* v. *Hartmont*, W. N. (1877), 29).

As to the time when the plaintiff may apply under this rule, see rule 7, post, p. 469.

Order for early trial.

- 1A. Whenever an application shall be made before trial for an injunction or other order, and on the opening of such application, or at any time during the hearing thereof, it shall appear to the judge that the matter in controversy in the cause or matter is one which can be most conveniently dealt with by an early trial, without first going into the whole merits on affidavit or other evidence for the purposes of the application, it shall be lawful for the judge to make an order for such trial accordingly, and to direct such trial to be held at the next or any other assizes for any place, if from local or other circumstances it shall appear to him to be convenient so to do, and in the meantime to make such order as the justice of the case may require (cc).
 - (\vec{ce}) This rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884.

Order for sale of perishable goods. 2. It shall be lawful for the Court or a judge, on the application of any party, to make any order for the sale, by any person or persons named in such order, and in such manner, and on such terms as the Court or judge may think desirable, of any goods, wares, or merchandise which may be of a perishable nature or likely to injure from keeping,

or which for any other just and sufficient reason it may be desirable to have sold at once (d).

Ord, L.

- (d) Under this rule the sale of a horse has been ordered (Bartholomew v. Freeman, 3 C. P. D. 316). As to a sale of bonds see Coddington v. Jacksonville Ry., 39 L. T. 12.
- 3. It shall be lawful for the Court or a judge upon the application Order for preof any party to a cause or matter, and upon such terms as may be just, servation or inspection of to make any order for the detention, preservation, or inspection of any property. property or thing, being the subject of such cause or matter, or as to which any question may arise therein, and for all or any of the purposes aforesaid to authorize any persons to enter upon or into any land or building in the possession of any party to such cause or matter, and for all or any of the purposes aforesaid to authorize any samples to be taken, or any observation to be made or experiment to be tried, which may be necessary or expedient for the purpose of obtaining full information or evidence (e).

(e) The application for an order under this rule is by motion, which should ordiarly be made on notice (rule 6; Habershon v. Gill, W. N. (1875), 231), though, for order, how under special circumstances, orders have been made on ex parte applications (Methuish made. v. Milton, 24 W. R. 609; Hennessy v. Bohmann, W. N. (1877), 14). Orders have been made under this rule for inspection of premises (Hennessy v. Bohmann); for inspection of mines (Cooper v. Ince Hall Co., W. N. (1876), 24; Miltohll v. Darley Colliery Co., 10 Q. B. D. 457); for detention and preservation of property (Methuish v. Milton); to open and inspect a drain and try an experiment (Lumb v. Beaumont, 27 Ch. D. 356; 32 W. R. 985); and to restrain a defendant from ceasing to pump water out of a mine (Strelley v. Pearson, 15 Ch. D. 113). See also Nicholas v. Dracachis, 1 P. D. 72; Velati v. Braham, 46 L. J. C. P. 415; Flower v. Lloya, W. N. (1876), 169, 230; 24 W. R. 703. An order directing the costs of the inspection to be paid by the applicant cannot be appealed against without leave (Mitchell v. Darley Colliery Co.).

4. It shall be lawful for any judge, by whom any cause or matter Inspection may be heard or tried with or without a jury, or before whom any by judge. cause or matter may be brought by way of appeal, to inspect any property or thing concerning which any question may arise therein.

5. The provisions of rule 3 of this order shall apply to inspection by Inspection a jury, and in such case the Court or a judge may make all such orders by jury. upon the sheriff or other person as may be necessary to procure the attendance of a special or common jury at such time and place, and in such manner as they or he may think fit (f).

- (f) Cf. sects. 58, 59 of the C. L. P. Act, 1854. As to inspection by a jury, see Pickard v. Great Northern Ry. Co., W. N. (1883), 194.
- 6. An application for an order under section 25, sub-section 8, of Application the principal Act, or under rules 2 or 3 of this order, may be made to for mandamus, injuncthe Court or a judge by any party. If the application be by the plaintion or tiff for an order under the said sub-section 8 it may be made either ex receiver, or for sale, inparte or with notice, and if for an order under rules 2 or 3 of this spection, &c. order it may be made after notice to the defendant at any time after the issue of the writ of summons, and if it be by any other party, then on notice to the plaintiff, and at any time after appearance by the party making the application (g).

(g) The "principal Act" is the Judicature Act, 1873, and sect. 25 (8) here referred to empowers the Court, by interlocutory order, (1) to grant a mandamus, (2) to

Ord. L.

grant an injunction, and (3) to appoint a receiver, whenever it appears to be "just

or convenient" so to do; see ante, p. 259.

As to the meaning of the words "interlocutory order," see Smith v. Cowell, 6

Q. B. D. 7ő.

Mandamus. Injunctions. Jurisdiction.

1. As to mandamus, see Ord. LIII., post, p. 482; Daniell, p. 1638.
2. Any act which a common law Court (under the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, ss. 79, 81, 82), or a Court of Equity only, could formerly restrain by injunction, can now be restrained by the High Court. The jurisdiction of granting injunctions thus vested in the High Court is practically unlimited, and can be exercised by any judge of the Court, whenever it is right or just to do so, having regard to settled legal reasons or principles (*Beddow* v. *Beddow*, 9 Ch. D. 89, *per Jessel*, M. R.). But the Judicature Act has given no power to the High Court to issue an injunc-But the Judicature Act has given no power to the High Court to issue an injunction in a case in which no Court before that Act had power to give any remedy whatever (North London Ry. v. Great Northern Ry. (C. A.), 11 Q. B. D. 30). In the last-mentioned case, Brett, M. R., expressed the opinion that the Judicature Act has dealt only with procedure, and not with jurisdiction at all, and that where no Court had power to issue an injunction before that Act, the High Court has no such power now. See further, as to the jurisdiction of the High Court to grant injunctions, Healey v. Bates, 13 Ch. D. 498; Day v. Brownrigg, 10 Ch. D. 294; Gaskin v. Balls, 13 Ch. D. 324; Thomas v. Williams, 14 Ch. D. 864; Hill v. Hart Davis, 21 Ch. D. 798 (both cases of libel); Fletcher v. Rodgers, 27 W. R. 97; Shaw v. Earl of Jersey, 4 C. P. D. 120, 359; Stawnard v. Vestry of St. Giles, 20 Ch. D. 190; Aslatt v. Corporation of Southampton, 16 Ch. D. 143; Loog v. Bean, 26 Ch. D. 306 (slander). Where an injunction is desired it is usual, though not necessary, to claim it by Where an injunction is desired it is usual, though not necessary, to claim it by the indorsement on the writ; see Colebourne v. Colebourne, 1 Ch. D. 690.

Writ should be indorsed for injunction.

An application for an interlocutory injunction should be made without delay. In a pressing case an injunction may be obtained ex parte, or before service of the writ of summons (Re H., 1 Ch. D. 276; Colebourne v. Colebourne, ibid. 690); or, in a very urgent case, even before the writ is issued; but ordinarily an injunction will only

be granted on notice: see Daniell, 1608.

On an ex parte application the facts must be fully and fairly stated to the Court, or the injunction will be dissolved, whatever the merits. On ex parte applications or the injunction will be dissolved, whatever the merits. On ex parts applications the usual practice now is to grant an interim order restraining the defendant until after a particular day, and giving the plaintiff leave to serve notice of motion for the day before such day; and the plaintiff is almost invariably required to give an undertaking as to damages; see Daniell, 1611; Bolton v. London School Board, 7 Ch. D. 766; Graham v. Campbell, 7 Ch. D. 490; Chappell v. Davidson, 8 De G. M. & G. 1; Secretary for War v. Chubb, W. N. (1880), 128; and as to what the undertaking extends to, see Smith v. Day, 21 Ch. D. 421; Ex parte Hall, 23 Ch. D. 644; Griffith v. Blake, 27 Ch. D. 474; 32 W. R. 833. Notice that an injunction has been granted may be given by telegram (Ex marte Langley, 13 Ch. D. 110); or in any other way may be given by telegram (Ex parte Langley, 13 Ch. D. 110); or in any other way (Avory v. Andrews, 51 L. J. Ch. 414).

A defendant may be committed for breach of an injunction, although the order was not served on him, provided he had notice of the order annule, and knew the plaintiff intended to enforce it (United Telephone Co. v. Dale, 25 Ch. D. 778).

See further as to injunctions, r. 12, post, p. 471.

3. There is no limit to the power of the Court to appoint a receiver on motion, except that it is only to be exercised when it is "just and convenient" (Gauthorpe v. Gauthorpe, W. N. (1878), 91; Day v. Brownrigg, 10 Ch. D. p. 307; Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies, 9 Ch. D. 275; Westhead v. Riley, 25 Ch. D. 413); and the Court will now appoint a receiver in many cases in which the Court of Chancery would have refused to do so. e.g. at the instance of a legal mortcage (Trungar v. Red. have refused to do so, e.g., at the instance of a legal mortgagee (Truman v. Redgrave, 18 Ch. D. 547, where the form of order appointing a receiver and manager, with an injunction, is given; *Tillett* v. *Nixon*, 25 Ch. D. 238). As to obtaining equitable execution by the appointment of a receiver, see note (c) to sect. 1 of the

duitable execution by the appointment of a receiver, see how (), and a superintered by or under an order of the Court; see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, infra; and as to the difference between a receiver and a manager, see Re Manchester Ry., 14 Ch. D. 645.

The Court of Appeal appointed a plaintiff (who was appealing from a decision in the court of the Court of Appeal appointed a plaintiff (who was appealing round a decision in the court of th

involving a lease of his own property) receiver and manager without security (Hyde v. Warden, 1 Ex. D. 309); and in another case a plaintiff was appointed interim receiver for fourteen days without security (Taylor v. Eckersley, 2 Ch. D.

Where an infant was interested, a receiver was appointed on petition (Re Leeming, 20 L. J. Ch. 550, and see Re Baron, cited in Seton, 723, where a receiver was appointed on summons in chambers), and if the parties consent, or the application is to supply the vacant place of a receiver already appointed, it may be made in chambers. No appeal to the Court above lay from the appointment of a receiver by a judge in chambers (*Ley* v. *Ley*, 27 L. T. O. S. 267); but see Jud. Act, 1873, s. 50.

Receivers.

"Receiver" includes consignee and manager. Receiver

appointed without security.

Application in chambers. An interim receiver was appointed before defendant's appearance (Taylor v. Eckersley, 2 Ch. D. 302; Meaden v. Sealey, 6 Hare, 620; Hart v. Tulk, ibid. 611;

Tanfield v. Irvine, 2 Russ. 149).

The appointment was made on an ex parte motion in Taylor v. Eckersley; and see Deviling v. Hudson, 14 Beav. 423, in a note to which all the cases will be found collected. But when the defendant had not absconded, it was held that the motion could not be made ex parte (Caillard v. Caillard, 25 Beav. 512).

For example of an application by a defendant under this rule, see Sargant v. Read, Application 1 Ch. D. 600. A defendant who has appeared may move ex parte for a receiver by defendant.

(Hick v. Lockwood, W. N. (1883), 48).

Jessel, M. R., made an interlocutory order for the appointment of a receiver and Manager of a manager of an iron company on the application of a debenture holder in an action company. for foreclosure (Peek v. Trinsmaran Iron Co., 2 Ch. D. 115; and see Taylor v. Eckersley, 2 Ch. D. 302)

A plaintiff should endorse his writ with a claim for a receiver when this is a Indorsement

substantial object of his action (Colebourne v. Colebourne, 1 Ch. D. 690); but it is not of writ. absolutely necessary for him to do so (Norton v. Gould, W. N. (1877), 206).

A party to the suit has been appointed receiver (Davis v. Barrett, 13 L. J. Ch. Who may be 304; Taylor v. Eckersley, 2 Ch. D. 302). But he must act without salary, except appointed by express order and by consent (Powy's v. Blagrove, 18 Jur. 462; Hoffman v. Duncan, receiver. ibid. 69; Baylies v. Baylies, 1 Coll. 548). A practising barrister may be appointed (Garland v. Garland, 2 Ves. jun. 137); but not the solicitor in the cause (ibid.), nor the solicitor under a commission of lunacy (Ex parte Pincke, 2 Meriv. 452), nor the next friend of infant plaintiffs (Stone v. Wishart, 2 Madd. 64), nor a trustee (Anon, 3 Ves. 515; Anon. v. Jolland, 8 Ves. 72); except in a special case, and without salary (Sutton v. Jones, 15 Ves. 584; Sykes v. Hastings, 11 Ves. 363; and see Banks v. Banks, 14 Jur. 659). A peer will not generally be appointed (Att.-Gen. v. Ges, 2 V. & B. 208). Where a member of the firm of solicitors acting for the plaintiff had been appointed the Court of Appeal displayment and good him any the had been appointed, the Court of Appeal discharged him, and made him pay the costs (Re Lloyd, 12 Ch. D. 447).

The granting of a receiver is a matter of discretion, to be governed by a view of Appointment the whole circumstances of the case, one of such circumstances being the probability a matter of of the plaintiff being ultimately entitled to a decree (Owen v. Homan, 3 M. & G. discretion.

As to the mode of applying for a receiver to protect the property of a deceased Receiver of person, until a legal personal representative can be appointed, see Overington v. Ward, 34 Beav. 175, and cases there cited.

As to the powers of a receiver generally, see De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon, 26 persons. Beav. 533; 5 Jur. N. S. 882; and for the powers of receivers of railway and other Powers companies, see Gardner v. London, Chatham and Dover Ry. Co., 2 Ch. 223; but see as

to railway companies, 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, e. 4, ante, p. 168.

A receiver may, on its being ascertained to be for the benefit of the estate, be Allowances entitled to an allowance for money laid out on the estate without previous order for money (Tempest v. Ord, 2 Meriv. 55; Blunt v. Clitherow, 6 Ves. 799; but see Att.-Gen. v. expended. Vigor, 11 Ves. 563); and eee as to receiver's allowances, rule 16, post, p. 471.

The direction that a receiver shall manage as well as sell and let the estate, Duty to

authorizes him to bring in proposals for ordinary repairs of the buildings on the defend action. estate (Thornhill v. Thornhill, 14 Sim. 600); and see as to the costs, where a receiver, without the express sanction of the Court, defends an action, Swaby v. Dickon, 5 Sim. 629; Bristowe v. Needham, 2 Ph. 190; and cf. De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon, 28 Beav. 200; 6 Jur. N. S. 1046.

A receiver is appointed for the benefit of all parties (Faulkner v. Daniel, 3 Hare, Receiver 204); and he will not be discharged merely on the application of the party on whose appointed for application he was appointed (bid. - Langary Rauses 1 Sch. & Lef. 296. Danie v. benefit of all

application he was appointed (ibid.; Largan v. Bowen, 1 Sch. & Lef. 296; Davis v. benefit of all Duke of Marlborough, 2 Sw. 108); and, on the other hand, all parties are bound by parties. his possession (Neate v. Pink, 3 M. & G. 476); and if any loss arises from his default the estate must bear it (Hutchinson v. Massarene, 2 Ball & B. 55). But where the rights of any party to the property have been established, the receiver is to be treated as his receiver (Boehm v. Wood, T. & R. 345).

The possession of the receiver cannot be disturbed without the leave of the Court, Possession of even when he is appointed without prejudice to the rights of persons having prior receiver not

estates, and when the right to take possession is clear (Randfield v. Randfield, I Drew. disturbed & Sm. 310; Lane v. Sterne, 3 Giff. 629); and see Searle v. Choat, 25 Ch. D. 723, without leave where the party aggrieved brought an action against the receiver. But the re- of Court. ceiver is not in possession until his recognizances are completed (Defries v. Creed, 6 N. R. 17; Edwards v. Edwards, 2 Ch. D. 291); see, however, Ex parte Evans, 13

Ch. D. 252. See further as to receivers, rules 16-22, post, p. 471 seq.

7. An application for an order under rule 1 of this order may be Time for

Ord. L.

property of deceased Powers of receivers.

application

Ord. L.

for order for
custody or
preservation.
Order for
delivery up
of property on
payment into
Court of
amount
claimed.

made by the plaintiff at any time after his right thereto appears from the pleadings; or, if there be no pleadings, is made to appear by affidavit or otherwise to the satisfaction of the Court or a judge.

- 8. Where an action is brought to recover, or a defendant in his defence seeks by way of counterclaim to recover specific property other than land, and the party from whom such recovery is sought does not dispute the title of the party seeking to recover the same, but claims to retain the property by virtue of a lien or otherwise as security for any sum of money, the Court or a judge may, at any time after such last-mentioned claim appears from the pleadings, or, if there be no pleadings, by affidavit or otherwise to the satisfaction of such Court or judge, order that the party claiming to recover the property be at liberty to pay into Court, to abide the event of the action, the amount of money in respect of which the lien or security is claimed, and such further sum (if any) for interest and costs as such Court or judge may direct, and that, upon such payment into Court being made, the property claimed be given up to the party claiming it (h).
 - (h) See Morgan v. Greatrex, W. N. (1884), 2.

Where real or personal property is subject of proceedings, Court may allow whole or part of annual income. 9. Where any real or personal estate forms the subject of any proceedings in the Chancery Division, and the judge is satisfied that the same will be more than sufficient to answer all the claims thereon which ought to be provided for in such proceedings, the judge may, at any time after the commencement of the proceedings, allow to the parties interested therein, or any one or more of them, the whole or part of the annual income of the real estate or a part of the personal estate, or the whole or part of the income thereof, up to such time as the judge shall direct (i).

(i) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 67, now repealed.

Where allowance will be made.

The allowance will not be made unless the executors admit assets (Knight v. Knight, 16 Beav. 358); nor unless the parties are clearly entitled, and there is some pressing reason for making it (Rowley v. Burgess, 2 W. R. 652; Chubb v. Carter, W. N. (1867), 179). In Stacey v. Southey, 1 Drew. 400, an allowance of the income of a married woman's separate estate was ordered to be made to her, pending a suit to charge her with the value of timber cut, security having been given for the value of the timber.

Applications under this rule should be made in chambers (Bentley v. Craven, 1 W. R. 362).

Application made in chambers.

Conduct of sale in action for administration or execution of trusts.

- 10. Whenever in an action for the administration of the estate of a deceased person, or execution of the trusts of a written instrument, a sale is ordered of any property vested in any executor, administrator, or trustee, the conduct of such sale shall be given to such executor, administrator, or trustee, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct (j).
- (j) The corresponding repealed rule applied only to trustees. Where one of four trustees is plaintiff and the other three are defendants, the latter are the proper persons to have the conduct of the sale (*Re Gardner*, 48 L. J. Ch. 644).

Injunction to be by judg11. No writ of injunction shall be issued. An injunction shall be

by a judgment or order, and any such judgment or order shall have the effect which a writ of injunction previously had (k).

ment or order instead of

- (k) As to injunctions see rule 6 and note thereto, ante, p. 467.
- 12. In any cause or matter in which an injunction has been, or General might have been claimed, the plaintiff may, before or after judgment, power of apply for an injunction to restrain the defendant or respondent from injunction. the repetition or continuance of the wrongful act or breach of contract complained of, or from the commission of any injury or breach of contract of a like kind relating to the same property or right, or arising out of the same contract; and the Court or a judge may grant the injunction, either upon or without terms, as may be just.

writ.

13. Leave to compound a penal action shall not be given in cases Compoundwhere part of the penalty goes to the Crown, unless notice shall first ing penal have been given to the proper officer; but in other cases it may be given without notice to any officer (l).

- (1) This and the two following rules are taken from R. G. H. T. rules 118-120.
- 14. The order to compound a penal action shall expressly state that Undertaking the defendant undertakes to pay the sum for which the Court has given to pay comhim leave to compound the action (m).

- (m) See note to rule 13.
- 15. When leave is given to compound a penal action, where part of Disposition the penalty goes to the Crown, the Queen's half of the composition of Queen's moiety of shall be paid into the hands of the Master of the Crown Office Depart- composition. ment of the central office for the use of her Majesty (n).

- (n) See note to rule 13.
- 15A. In every case in which an application is made for the appoint- Appointment ment of a receiver by way of equitable execution, the Court or a judge of receiver by way of equitin determining whether it is just or convenient that such appointment able execushould be made shall have regard to the amount of the debt claimed tion. by the applicant, to the amount which may probably be obtained by the receiver, and to the probable costs of his appointment, and may, if they or he shall so think fit, direct any inquiries on these or other matters before making the appointment (nn).

(nn) This rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884. See ante, p. 177.

II. RECEIVERS.

16. Where an order is made directing a receiver to be appointed, Receiver to unless otherwise ordered, the person to be appointed shall first give give security. security, to be allowed by the Court or a judge and taken before a person authorised to administer oaths, duly to account for what he shall receive as such receiver, and to pay the same as the Court or judge shall direct; and the person so to be appointed shall, unless otherwise ordered, be allowed a proper salary or allowance. Such

Ord. L.

security shall be by recognizance in the Form No. 21 in Appendix L., unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise order (o).

Salary and allowances of receivers.

(e) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIV. r. 1. The receiver's allowance depends on the degree of difficulty or facility with which the rents are collected (Day v. Croft, 2 Beav. 488). The maximum allowance is usually 51. per cent. (Seton, 425). But for extraordinary trouble and expenses an additional allowance may, by express order, be made (Potts v. Leighton, 15 Ves. 276; Re Ormsby, 1 B. & B. 189; Malcolm v. O'Callagham, 3 M. & Cr. 52). Where a receiver has been appointed and received rents with the knowledge of a mortgagee, the latter is only entitled to the rents in the receiver's hands after deduction of his remunera-

Recognizances. When dispensed with.

tion and expenses (Davy v. Price, W. N. (1883), 226).

The security usually required is the recognizance of the receiver, together with two sureties for double the amount of the annual income (Seton, 426; Mead v. Lord Orrery, 3 Atk. 237). In certain cases a receiver may be appointed on his own recognizances only (Wilson v. Wilson, 11 Jur. 793), and in some cases without recognizances; see Bainbrigge v. Blair, 3 Beav. 424; Manners v. Furze, 11 Beav. 30; Hyde v. Warden, 1 Ex. D. 309; Taylor v. Eckersley, 2 Ch. D. 302. If parties not competent to consent are interested, security must always be given (Tylee v. Tylee, 17 Beav. 583).

The sureties must be persons resident in England, even where the property to be collected lies out of the country (Cockburn v. Raphael, 2 S. & S. 453). The sureties

Who may be sureties. Their liability. Their lien.

for costs of proceedings against the receiver, and to appoint a new one (Ex parte Mansell, 3 J. & Lat. 351; and see Re Lockey, 2 Ph. 509). As to the surety's lien on the receiver's property, see Brandon v. Brandon, 7 W. R. 250.

A receiver is not constituted receiver till he has given security (Edwards v. Edwards, 2 Ch. D. 291, explained in Ex parte Evans, 13 Ch. D. 252).

As to the invisition over defaulting receivers are Printed in Management of the printed in the parte Evans in the contract of the invisition over defaulting receivers. are liable for interest as well as the receiver (Dawson v. Raynes, 2 Russ. 466), and

Defaulting receiver.

As to the jurisdiction over defaulting receivers, see Bristowe v. Needham, 11 W. R. 926; Brandon v. Brandon, 1 Dr. & Sm. 16; Dixon v. Wilkinson, 4 Drew. 614; 4 De G. & J. 508.

Receiver, when charged with interest.

A receiver who keeps money in his hands even for a quarter of a year after it ought to have been paid in, will be charged with interest (Fletcher v. Dodd, 1 Ves. jun. 85). Interest may be charged though the accounts have been settled (Hicks v. Hicks, 3 Atk. 274; Fletcher v. Dodd); and even though the receiver has been discharged (Harrison v. Boydell, 6 Sim. 211, where the receiver having failed to pay in his balance was ordered to pay the same and the amount allowed for his salary with interest).

Under the old practice it was held that, unless the objection to the allowance of poundage to the receiver was raised before the Master, the Court would not enter

into it (Ward v. Swift, 8 Hare, 139).

Where the default was made by the executors of a receiver (see Ludgator v. Channell, 3 M. & G. 175), it was held by V.-C. Knight-Bruce that they ought only to be

Not allowed to make interest on balances in his hands. For what losses

answerable.

Rate of interest.

3 M. & G. 175), it was held by V.-C. Knight-Bruce that they ought only to be charged with interest at 4l. per cent. (Clements v. Beresford, 10 Jur. 771).

A receiver being in the position of a quasi trustee, will not be allowed to make interest on the balance in his hands (Shaw v. Rhodes, 2 Russ. 529; and see Drever v. Maudsley, 8 Jur. 547; Earl of Lonsdale v. Church, 3 B. C. C. 41); and will be answerable for the loss of monies with the control of which he has parted, ex. gr., monies deposited in a bank in the name of his sureties (Salway v. Salway, 2 Russ. & M. 215; on appeal to the House of Lords, 9 Bligh, N. S. 181, sub nom. White v. Baugh). So, if he remits the money to his own credit at his banker's, and the banker fails (Wren v. Kirton, 11 Ves. 377). So, if he places it in what he knows to be improper hands (Knight v. Lord Plymouth, 3 Atk. 480). Secus, if the money be paid to a person apparently solvent at the time for the purpose of its safe transpaid to a person apparently solvent at the time for the purpose of its safe transmission (*ibid.*) or for safe custody (see 3 Ves. 566, and *Salway* v. *Salway*, 4 Russ. 60). A receiver, being a trustee of the money due from him, cannot as against the persons entitled thereto plead the Statute of Limitations (Seagram v. Tuck, 18 Ch. D. 296). For the form here referred to, see infra.

Adjournment to chambers for receiver to

- 17. Where any judgment or order is pronounced or made in Court appointing a person therein named to be receiver, the Court or a judge may adjourn to chambers the cause or matter then pending, in order that the person named as receiver may give security as in the last preceding rule mentioned, and may thereupon direct such judgment or order to be drawn up (p).
 - (p) This rule is new.

18. When a receiver is appointed with a direction that he shall pass accounts, the Court or judge shall fix the days upon which he shall Appointing (annually, or at longer or shorter periods), leave and pass such days for accounts, and also the days upon which he shall pay the balances receiver to appearing due on the accounts so left, or such part thereof as shall be accounts and certified as proper to be paid by him. And with respect to any such pay balances. receiver as shall neglect to leave and pass his accounts and pay the neglect. balances thereof at the times so to be fixed for that purpose as aforesaid, the judge before whom any such receiver is to account may from time to time, when his subsequent accounts are produced to be examined and passed, disallow the salary therein claimed by such receiver, and may also, if he shall think fit, charge him with interest at the rate of 51. per cent. per annum upon the balances so neglected to be paid by him during the time the same shall appear to have remained in the hands of any such receiver (q).

- (q) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXIV. r. 2. See note to rule 16, ante, p. 472.
- 19. Receivers' accounts shall be in the Form No. 14 in Appendix L., Form of with such variations as circumstances may require (r).
 - (r) For this form, see infra.
- 20. Every receiver shall leave in the Chambers of the judge to whom Accounts to the cause or matter is assigned his account, together with an affidavit chambers. verifying the same in the Form No. 22 in Appendix L., with such variations as circumstances may require. An appointment shall there- Passing upon be obtained by the plaintiff or person having the conduct of the accounts. cause for the purpose of passing such account (s).

- (8) Cf. Cons. Ord. XXIV. r. 3. For this form, see infra.
- 21. In case of any receiver failing to leave any account or affidavit, Default by or to pass such account, or to make any payment, or otherwise, the receiver in leaving or receiver or the parties, or any of them, may be required to attend at passing Chambers to show cause why such account or affidavit has not been accounts or left, or such account passed, or such payment made, or any other proper proceeding taken, and thereupon such directions as shall be proper may be given at Chambers or by adjournment into Court, including the discharge of any receiver and appointment of another, and payment of costs (t).

- (t) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 23.
- 22. A certificate of the chief clerk stating the result of a receiver's Result of account shall from time to time be taken. Form 3 in the Appendix receiver's hereto shall be substituted for Form 22 in Appendix L. (tt).

account to be certified.

(tt) This rule is substituted for the original r. 22 by R. S. C., October, 1884.

Ord. L.

III. LIQUIDATORS.

Accounts of liquidators.

- 23. The accounts of liquidators shall be passed and verified in the same manner as is by this order directed as to receivers' accounts.
- Accounts of 24. The accounts of guardians shall be passed and verified in the guardians. same manner as is by this order directed as to receivers' accounts (u).
 - . (u) This rule was added by R. S. C., October, 1884.

ORDER LI.

SALES BY THE COURT.

I.—In the Chancery Division.

Order for sale of real estate.

- 1. If in any cause or matter relating to any real estate, it shall appear necessary or expedient that the real estate or any part thereof should be sold, the Court or a judge may order the same to be sold, and any party bound by the order and in possession of the estate, or in receipt of the rents and profits thereof, shall be compelled to deliver up such possession or receipt to the purchaser, or such other person as may be thereby directed (v).
- (v) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 55 (now repealed), but is more extensive. The following cases may be con-

s. 55 (now repealed), but is more extensive. The following cases may be consulted on that section:—London & County Banking Company v. Dover, 11 Ch. D. 204; Bell v. Turner, 2 Ch. D. 409; Tulloch v. Tulloch, 3 Eq. 574; Prince v. Cooper, 16 Beav. 546; Heath v. Fisher, 17 W. R. 69; Martin v. Hadlow, 1 W. R. 101.

In a suit to execute the trusts of a will the Court may direct a sale to raise the costs of the suit, even though some of the plaintiffs are infants (Mandeno v. Mandeno, Kay, App. ii.; Swan v. Webb, 1 W. R. 90); but not against the will of a person beneficially interested who submits to pay his share of the costs (Lees v. Lees, 15 Ec. 151) 15 Eq. 151).

A sale will not be ordered under this rule unless the Court is satisfied that it is

really "necessary or expedient" (Miles v. Jarvis, W. N. (1883), 203).

As to directing a sale in a mortgage action see the Conveyancing Act, 1881, sect. 25, and notes thereto, ante, p. 115.

Reference to conveyancing counsel on sale by the Court.

- 2. Before any estate or interest shall be put up for sale under a judgment or order, an abstract of the title shall unless otherwise ordered be laid before some conveyancing counsel approved by the Court or judge for his opinion thereon, to enable proper directions to be given respecting the conditions of sale and other matters connected with the sale. The conditions of sale shall specify a time for the delivery of the abstract of title to the purchaser or to a solicitor (w).
- (w) This rule is taken from the Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, sect. 56, now repealed. As to the discretion of the Court under that section, see Gibson v. Woollard, 5 De G. M. & G. 835.

Sale, how to be effected.

3. Where a judgment or order is given or made, whether in Court or in chambers, directing any property to be sold unless otherwise ordered, the same shall be sold with the approbation of the judge to whom the cause or matter is assigned, to the best purchaser that can

be got, the same to be allowed by the judge, and all proper parties shall join in the sale and conveyance as the judge shall direct (x).

Ord. LI.

(x) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 13, now repealed,

The judge may (1) fix reserved biddings upon a sale, (2) direct deposits to be Questions as made, and appoint persons to receive the same, and (3) receive proposals for private to sale con-

The conduct of the sale is ordinarily entrusted to the plaintiff (Knott v. Cottee (No. 4), 27 Beav. 33), even though he would not have been entitled thereto according to the contract if performed without suit (Dale v. Hamilton, 10 Hare, App. vii.). sale. When, however, it appears to be for the benefit of all parties it may be given to a defendant (Knott v. Cottss). When a sale is directed, every party to the suit having the title deeds is bound to facilitate the sale (ibid.).

As to the conduct of a sale in an action for administration, or for execution of

trusts, see Ord. L. r. 10, ante, p. 470.

When the conduct of a sale has been given to one party, no other party will be allowed to interfere in any way without the leave of the Court (Dean v. Wilson, 10

Ch. D. 136).

A purchaser under a decree cannot generally take possession (Hutton v. Mansell, Effect of 2 Beav. 260), or pay his money into Court (Denning v. Henderson, 1 De G. & Sm. taking po 689), without accepting the title; but see Dempsey v. Dempsey, 1 De G. & Sm. 691. sion or pay and an application to pay the money into Court, and to be let into possession purchase without prejudice to objections to the title, will be refused (Rutter v. Marriott, 10 money in Beav. 33; but see Marfell v. Rudge, 2 Y. & Coll. Exch. R. 566). A purchaser Court up under a degree is entitled to his costs when the title is had (Smith v. Velson, 2, objection). Beav. 33; but see Marfell v. Rudge, 2 Y. & Coll. Exch. R. 566). A purchaser under a decree is entitled to his costs when the title is bad (Smith v. Nelson, 2 S. &. S. 557)

If the estate is not sold, there must be a new sale by auction, unless the judge

authorises a sale by private tender or otherwise (Berry v. Gibbons, 15 Eq. 150).

The practice of opening the biddings on a sale by the Court was abolished, except in cases of fraud, by the Sale of Land by Auction Act, 1867, 30 & 31 Vict. c. 48, s. 7 of which enacts, that "The practice of opening the biddings on any sale by auction of land under or by virtue of any order of the High Court of Chancery chall, from and after the time appointed for the commencement of this Act (viz., Aug. 1, 1867), be discontinued, and the highest bond fide bidder at such sale, provided he c. 48, ss. 7-9. shall have bid a sum equal to or higher than the reserved price (if any), shall be declared and allowed the purchaser, unless the Court or judge shall, on the ground Except in of fraud or improper conduct in the management of the sale, upon the application of any cases person interested in the land (such application to be made to the Court or judge of fraud. before the chief clerk's certificate of the result of the sale shall have become binding), either open the biddings, holding such bidder bound by his bidding, or discharge him from being the purchaser, and order the land to be resold upon such terms as to costs or otherwise as the Court or judge shall think fit."

Sect. 5 of the same Act enacts, that "the particulars or conditions of sale by auction of any land shall state whether such land will be sold without reserve, or subject to a reserved price, or whether a right to bid is reserved; if it is stated that such land will be sold without reserve, or to that effect, then it shall not be lawful for the seller to employ any person to bid at such sale, or for the auctioneer to take knowingly any bidding from any such person."

In order to entitle parties to open the biddings after a sale by auction under the Ormisconduct Court eince the passing of this Act, there must be either fraud or such misconduct bordering on as borders on fraud; and for a consideration of the circumstances necessary to fraud. justify the presumption of such misconduct, see Delves v. Delves, 20 Eq. 77; Brown v. Oakshott, W. N. (1869), 207; Griffiths v. Jones, 15 Eq. 279; Guest v. Smith, 5 Ch. 551, where the purchaser was by reason of his fiduciary position disqualified. The principle of the Act applies equally to a sale by private contract entered into under the sanction of the Court (Re Bartlett, 16 Ch. D. 561).

As to the position of the solicitor to one of the parties to the suit who (i.e., the solicitor) has obtained leave to bid and his duty to disclose to the Court facts within his knowledge, see *Boswell v. Coaks*, 27 Ch. D. 424; 23 Ch. D. 302.

4. Affidavits for the purpose of enabling the judge to fix reserved Affidavits as biddings shall state the value of the property by reference to an exhibit containing such value, so that the value may not be disclosed by the affidavit when filed (y).

(y) This and the two following rules are taken from the Regulations as to Business, August 8, 1857, rr. 13-15.

sidered in chambers. Conduct of

taking possession or paying purchasemoney into Court upon objections for

Costs where title bad.

Opening biddings abolished 30 & 31 Vict.

Ord, LI.

Particulare of sale.

- 5. As soon as particulars and conditions of sale settled at chambers have been printed, two prints thereof, certified by the solicitor to be and conditions correct prints of the particulars and conditions settled at the judge's chambers, shall be left at chambers (z).
 - (z) See note to rule 4.

Affidavit of result of sale.

- 6. An office copy of the affidavit of the person appointed to sell of the result of the sale, with the hidding paper and particulars therein referred to, shall be left at chambers at least one clear day before the day appointed for settling the certificate of the result of the sale (a).
 - (a) See note to rule 4.

II .- Conveyancing Counsel.

Court or judge may take the opinion of conveyancing counsel of the Court.

- 7. The Court or a judge may refer to the conveyancing counsel of the Court any matter relating to the investigation of the title to an estate with a view to an investment of money in the purchase or on mortgage thereof, or with a view to a sale thereof, or to the settlement of a draft of a conveyance, mortgage, settlement, or other instrument, or any other matter which the Court or judge may think fit to refer, and may receive and act upon the opinion given in the matter referred(b).
 - (b) See note to next rule.

Objections to opinion to be disposed of in chambers or in Court.

Conveyancing counsel of the

Court.

8. Any party may object to the opinion given by any conveyancing counsel, and thereupon the point in dispute shall be disposed of by the judge at chambers or in court, as he may think fit (c).

(c) This and the preceding rule are taken from the Master in Chancery Abolition Act, 1852, 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 40, now repealed. Section 41 of that Act empowers the Lord Chancellor to nominate any number of conveyancing counsel in actual practice, not less than six, who shall have practised as such for ten years at least, to be the conveyancing counsel of the Court.

As between the vendor and the purchaser the conveyancing counsel of the Court must be treated as the agent of the vendor (Re Banister, 12 Ch. D. 131).

The fees of the conveyancing counsel are in the taxing master's discretion (Rumsey

Fees of conveyancing connsel.

Model conveyance.

v. Rumsey, 21 Beav. 40).

Where land is ordered to be sold in lots, and one conveyance has been settled by the conveyancing counsel, it may be adopted by the chief clerk for all the other lots in cases where no special circumstances exist to render such a course inconvenient (Re Eyre, 4 K. & J. 268).

The judge in chambers will, in a proper case, direct the opinion of onc of the

To approve deeds where infants, &c., are interested.

To approve deeds where infants, are interested.

To approve deeds where infants, are interested.

As to the manner of settling deeds to be executed by incapacitated persons under the decree of the Court, see *Harvey* v. *Brooke*, 9 Hare, App. xi.; *Re Bennett*, 18

When conveyancing counsel dispensed with. Jur. 33; Pegg v. Wisden, 16 Jur. 1105.

Where the expense of a reference to the conveyancing counsel would have been out of proportion to the amount to be settled, the trusts were inserted in the order on the petition (Chamberlain v. Chamberlain, 1 Sm. & Giff. App. xxviii.); nor is it an imperative rule, that the title of land in which the purchase monies of settled lands are about to be invested must in every case be laid before the conveyancing counsel of the Court.

9. The business to be referred to the conveyancing counsel of the Court shall be distributed among them in rotation by the first clerk to Business the registrars of the Chancery Division, and in his absence by the referred to second clerk, and in the absence of the first and second clerks, by such conveyancing conveyancing of the other clerks to the registrars as the senior registrar may deter- distributed in $\min_{\theta}(d)$.

rotation.

- (d) This and the four following rules reproduce Cons. Ord. II. rr. 1-5.
- 10. The clerk making such distribution shall be responsible for the Duty of clerk business being distributed according to regular and just rotation, and distribution. in such manner as to keep secret from all persons the rota or succession of conveyancing counsel of the Court, and it shall be his duty to keep a record of the references with proper indexes, and to enter therein all such references, with the dates when the same are made.

11. When any business is referred to the conveyancing counsel of Opinion, &c. the Court, a short memorandum or minute of the order of reference of conveyshall be prepared and signed by the registrar if made in Court, or by sel, how the chief clerk if made in chambers, and the party prosecuting the order, or his solicitor, shall take the memorandum or minute to the registrar's clerk, whose duty it is to make such distribution as aforesaid, and such clerk shall add at the foot thereof a note specifying the name of the conveyancing counsel of the Court in rotation to whom the business is to be referred, and the memorandum or minute shall be left by the party prosecuting the order, or his solicitor, with the conveyancing counsel, and shall be a sufficient authority for him to proceed with the business so referred.

ancing coun-

12. In case the conveyancing counsel of the Court in rotation shall, Where from illness or from any other cause, be unable or decline to accept rotation unthe reference, the same shall be offered to the other conveyancing able to act. counsel of the Court successively according to their seniority at the bar, until some one of them shall accept the same.

13. The judge may, if he thinks fit, direct or transfer a reference to Reference to any one in particular of the conveyancing counsel of the Court (e).

one in particular of conveyancing counsel.

(e) See Re Martin, 22 L. J. Ch. 248.

[Rules 14-16 of this order apply only to Admiralty actions.]

ORDER LII.

Motions and other Applications.

1. Where by these rules any application is authorised to be made Motions to the Court or a judge, such application, if made to a Divisional Court or to a judge in Court, shall be made by motion (f).

(f) The evidence on motion or petition may be given by affidavit; see Ord. Evidence on

XXXVIII. r. 1, ante, p. 433.

After a motion has been opened no addition can be made to the evidence, except as a matter of indulgence (Jacobs v. Brett, 20 Eq. p. 5).

Ord. LII.

Costs of motions. General rules. In disposing of the costs of a motion in an action, the Court is generally guided by the following rules, laid down in 1823 by V.-C. Sir John Leach, 1 Sim. & St. 357:-

1. That the party making a successful motion is entitled to his costs, as costs in the action (Mounsey v. Earl of Lonsdale, 10 Eq. 557; 6 Ch. 141), but the party opposing it is not entitled to his costs as costs in the action. [This rule does not apply where the motion is occasioned by the default of the moving party; see Morgan & Wurtzhurg on Costs, 51, or where he is seeking an indulgence, e. g., to stay proceedings pending an appeal.]

2. That the party making a motion which fails is not entitled to his costs, as costs in the action; but the party opposing it is entitled to his costs, as costs in the action. See Corcoran v. Witt, 13 Eq. 53; 25 L. T. 653.

3. That where a motion is made by one party, and not opposed by the other, the costs of both parties are costs in the action. [This rule does not apply where the motion is rendered necessary by the other party's default, Morgan & Wurtzburg, 54, e. g., on motions to dismiss for want of prosecution, or to commit for contempt or to discharge an irregular order.]

Where no order is made as to costs.

The above rules are now followed when the order is silent as to costs; but there are exceptions: e.g., where a motion for an injunction to stay the infringement of a patent was ordered to stand over until after the trial of an action, nothing being said as to costs, and the plaintiff failed in establishing the validity of the patent, it was held, on the bill heing dismissed with costs, that the defendant's costs of the motion were costs in the cause (Betts v. Clifford, 1 J. & H. 74).

Where a motion was adjourned to the trial, nothing being said as to costs, and at the trial the plaintiff got judgment with costs, the taxing master refused to allow him the costs of the motion, and the plaintiff only obtained them on a subsequent application to the judge (Fritz v. Hobson, 14 Ch. D. 542; 28 W. R. 722; 42 L. T. 677; see also Viney v. Chaplin, 3 De G. & J. 282; Mounsey v. Earl of Lonsdale).

The costs of an interlocutory motion which rests upon affidavits which may be

Where costs are reserved till hearing.

displaced by evidence in the cause, will, as a general rule, be reserved till the hearing (Waring v. Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Ry. Co., 14 Jur. 613—616. See Jones v. Batten, 10 Hare, App. xi.). And it is a useful precaution to ask that the costs may be reserved, not simply until the hearing, but until the hearing or further order; otherwise there may be a difficulty in obtaining them, if the action be dismissed without ever coming to trial; see Rumbold v. Forteath, 4 Jur. N. S. 608. Where an action is dismissed with costs this includes all costs reserved (Hodges v. Hodges, 25 W. R. 162 · Men. W. N. (1876) 271) Hodges, 25 W. R. 162; Mem. W. N. (1876), 271).

"It is the common course that where a party asks for something he is entitled to, and also for something that he is not entitled to, he pays the costs of the motion though he succeeds" (per Lord Langdale in Lancashire v. Lancashire, 9 Beav. 130;

and see Sturch v. Young, 6 Beav. 557).

Costs may be given though not asked for by the notice of motion (Clarke v. Jacques, 11 Beav. 623; Butler v. Gardener, 12 Beav. 625; Dawson v. Jay, 2 W. R. 598), but semble, not if the respondent does not appear (Pratt v. Walker, 19 Beav. 281).

Parties served with a notice of motion are not, according to the modern practice of the Court, entitled to appear merely to ask for their costs (Campbell v. Holyland,

or the Court, entitled to appear merely to ask for their costs (Campoeu v. Aoiyuma, 7 Ch. D. 166), though the old rule was different (Honeage v. Aikin, 1 J. & W. 377; Bamford v. Watts, 2 Beav. 202); but if they have any real interest in the matter which will justify their appearing, they will be entitled to their costs.

Where, however, a party was served without any intimation that he need not appear, Jessel, M. R., allowed him 40s. costs (Campbell v. Holyland); probably he would only get 30s. under the present rules; see Ord. LXV. r. 27 (19). The proper would only get 30s. Under the present rules; see Ord. LLXV. F. 27 (19). The proper course is for the moving party, when he serves his notice of motion on a person who has no interest, to tender him 30s. costs, with an intimation that if the respondent appears his costs will be objected to; the respondent will then appear at his own risk as to costs. See Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 67.

As to directing payment of a sum in gross in lieu of taxed costs, see Ord. LXV.

r. 23, post. A motion to discharge a prisoner from custody has precedence over all others (Ashton v. Shorrock, 23 W. R. 117).

The practice as to the costs of an abandoned motion is laid down by Cons. Ord. XL. r. 23, which is still followed, though the rule itself has been repealed. The rule was as follows:

Where a party gives a notice of motion and does not move accordingly, he shall pay to the other side costs to be taxed by the taxing-master, unless the Court itself shall direct, upon production of the notice of motion, what sum shall be paid

This rule was acted upon under the new practice (Berry v. Exchange Trading Co., 1 Q. B. D. 77; 45 L. J. Q. B. D. 224).

Right of parties served to costs of appearance.

Gross sum in lieu of taxed costs.

Motion to discharge prisoner.

Costs of abandoned metion.

Cons. Ord. XL. r. 23. A motion is abandoned-

(a) If the plaintiff amends, and gives a new notice of motion (Eccles v. Liverpool

(β) If the plaintiff amenas, and gives a new notice of motion (access v. Liverpow Borough Bank, Johns. 402; London and Blackwall Ry. Co. v. Limehouse Board of What is Works, 3 K. & J. 123; Smith v. Dixon, 12 W. R. 934).

(β) If counsel is not instructed to move on the seal day mentioned in the notice motion. (Re Compton Smith, 23 Beav. 284, and see Wedderburne v. Lievellyn, 13 W. R. 939); and a motion before the Appeal Court will be treated as abandoned if not made when called on (Turner v. Turner, 15 Jur. 1165). Where the motion is renewed it will not be heard till the costs of the abandoned motion have been paid (Bellchamber v. Giani. 3 Madd. 450: and see Oldfald v. Cabbett. 12 Beav. 91, and note to 23 Beav. v. Giani, 3 Madd. 450; and see Oldfield v. Cobbett, 12 Beav. 91, and note to 23 Beav. 550, ibid.).

When the party giving the notice of motion dies before it is brought on, and his Representaexecutors decline to proceed with the motion, the other side is not entitled to the tives reviving

executors decline to proceed with the motion, the other side is not entitled to the costs of the motion, as costs of the abandoned motion or as costs in the cause (Lewis and not v. Armstrong, 3 M. & K. 69; Warner v. Armstrong, 4 Sim. 140).

If a party applies for the costs under this rule he must mention the abandoned notice of motion to the Court, and produce it to the registrar before the order is drawn up (Withey v. Haigh, 3 Madd. 487).

It is too late to ask for the costs of an abandoned motion at the hearing, or at least on speaking to minutes (Eccles v. Liverpool Borough Bank, Johns. 402); and see Woodcock v. Oxford, Worcester and Wolverhampton Ry. Co., 17 Jur. 33, where it was held that such costs were to be applied for on the seal day after the day for which the notice of motion was given. See, too, Farquharson v. Pitcher, 4 Russ. 510, where it was held that after a cause has been dismissed for want of prosecution, the plaintiff cannot obtain the costs of an abandoned motion; Wedderburne v. Llewellyn, plaintiff cannot obtain the costs of an abandoned motion; Wedderburne v. Llewellyn, 13 W. R. 939, and the other cases cited in Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 65.

Ord. LII.

What is an abandoned

2. No motion or application for a rule nisi or order to show cause No applicashall hereafter be made in any action, or (a) to set aside, remit, or tion to be made for a enforce an award, or (b) for attachment, or (c) to answer the matters rule nisi or in an affidavit, or (d) to strike off the rolls, or (e) against a sheriff to order to show cause. pay money levied under an execution.

3. Except where according to the practice existing at the time of the Notice of passing of the principal Act (g) any order or rule might be made motion to be absolute ex parte in the first instance, and except where notwithstanding in certain rule 2 a motion or application may be made for an order to show cause cases. only, no motion shall be made without previous notice to the parties affected thereby. But the Court or a judge, if satisfied that the delay caused by proceeding in the ordinary way would or might entail irreparable or serious mischief, may make any order ex parte (h) upon such terms as to costs or otherwise, and subject to such undertaking, if any, as the Court or judge may think just; and any party affected by such order may move to set it aside.

(g) The "principal Act" is the Judicature Act, 1873; see Ord. LXXI. r. 1, "Principal infra.

Act."

(h) As to moving ex parte for an injunction or a receiver, see Ord. L. r. 6, and note, ante, p. 467.

4. Every notice of motion to set aside, remit, or enforce an award, Where or for attachment, or to strike off the rolls, shall state in general terms application the grounds of the application; and, where any such motion is founded to be stated. on evidence by affidavit, a copy of any affidavit intended to be used shall be served with the notice of motion (i).

- (i) See Litchfield v. Jones, 25 Ch. D. 64; Hampden v. Wallis, 26 Ch. D. 746.
- 5. Unless the Court or a judge give special leave to the contrary Two days' there must be at least two clear days between the service of a notice of given.

Ord. LII.

motion and the day named in the notice for hearing the motion (k): provided that in applications to answer the matters in an affidavit or to strike off the rolls, the notice of motion shall be served on the parties not less than ten clear days before the time fixed by the notice for making the motion.

Cons. Ord. XXXIII. r. 2. Short notice of motion. (k) This first part of the rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXIII. r. 2.

Leave to serve short notice of motion will be given whenever the circumstances of the case require it, but the applicant must state to the Court that the notice applied for is short, and the same fact must appear on the notice of motion (Dawson v. Beson, 22 Ch. D. 504, and cases there cited). During vacation, leave to serve short notice of motion can only he granted by a judge (Conacher v. Conacher, W. N. (1881), 2;

Where persons omitted to he served.

6. If on the hearing of a motion or other application the Court or a judge shall be of opinion that any person to whom notice has not been given ought to have or to have had such notice, the Court or judge may either dismiss the motion or application, or adjourn the hearing thereof, in order that such notice may be given, upon such terms, if any, as the Court or judge may think fit to impose.

Adjournment.

7. The hearing of any motion or application may from time to time be adjourned upon such terms, if any, as the Court or judge shall think fit.

Service on defendant who has not appeared. 8. The plaintiff shall, without any special leave, be at liberty to serve any notice of motion or other notice or any petition or summons upon any defendant, who, having been duly served with a writ of summons to appear, has not appeared within the time limited for that purpose.

Service of notice of motion with the writ. 9. The plaintiff may, by leave of the Court or a judge to be obtained ex parte, serve any notice of motion upon any defendant along with the writ of summons, or at any time after service of the writ of summons and before the time limited for the appearance of such defendant.

[Rule 10 applies only to Admiralty actions.]

No order for return of writ. 11. No order shall issue for the return of any writ, or to bring in the body of a person ordered to be attached or committed; but a notice from the person issuing the writ or obtaining the order for attachment or committal (if not represented by a solicitor), or by his solicitor, calling upon the sheriff to return such writ or to bring in the body within a given time, if not complied with, shall entitle such person to apply for an order for the committal of such sheriff.

Sheriff going out of office.

12. When any sheriff shall, before going out of office, arrest any defendant, and render return of *cepi corpus*, he may be called upon by a notice, as provided by the last preceding rule, to bring in the body within the time allowed by law, although he may be out of office before such notice is given.

Date of order.

13. Every order, if and when drawn up, shall be dated the day of the week, month and year, on which the same was made, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct, and shall take effect accordingly.

14. Where an order has been made not embodying any special terms, nor including any special directions, but simply enlarging time for What orders taking any proceeding or doing any act or giving leave (a) for the issue need not be of any writ other than a writ of attachment; (b) for the amendment of any writ or pleadings; (c) for the filing of any document; or (d) for any act to be done by any officer of the Court other than a solicitor, it shall not be necessary to draw up such order unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct; but the production of a note or memorandum of such order, signed by a judge, registrar, master, chief clerk, or district registrar, shall be sufficient authority for such enlargement of time, issue, amendment, filing, or other act. A direction that the costs of such order shall be costs in any cause or matter shall not be deemed a special direction within the meaning of this rule. The solicitor of the person on whose application such order is made, shall forthwith give notice in writing thereof to such person (if any) as would, if this rule had not been made, have been required to be served with such order.

drawn up.

15. It shall not be necessary to obtain an order to enter a judgment Order not or order nunc pro tune, but in all cases in which such entries were enter judgformerly made under orders of course, the solicitor applying to have a ment nunc pro judgment or order so entered, shall leave with the clerk of entries a memorandum in writing countersigned by the Chancery registrar, and bearing a stamp according to the scale of Court fees for the time being in force.

16. At the foot of every petition (not being a petition of course) Statement of presented to the Court, and of every copy thereof, a statement shall persons to be be made of the persons (if any) intended to be served therewith, and petitions. if no person is intended to be served, a statement to that effect shall be made at the foot of the petition and of every copy thereof (l).

(1) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXIV. r. 1.

The foot note should describe the persons to be served by name, and not simply as XXXIV. r. 1.

plaintiffs or defendants (Anon. W. N. (1876), 219; Meyrick v. Laws, W. N. (1877), Eds. See as to amendment of the foot note, Re Tweedy, 9 W. R. 398. As to petitions generally, see Daniell, 1561.

17. Unless the Court or a judge gives leave to the contrary, there Time for must be at least two clear days between the service and the day ap-service. pointed for hearing a petition.

18. In the case of applications under Acts of Parliament directing Affidavit on the purchase-money of any property sold to be paid into Court, any application as to money in persons claiming to be entitled to the money so paid in must make an Court under affidavit not only verifying their title, but also stating that they are Act of Parlianot aware of any right in any other person, or of any claim made by any other person, to the sum claimed, or to any part thereof, or, if the petitioners* are aware of any such right or claim, they must in such * Sic. affidavit state or refer to and except the same (m).

⁽m) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXIV. r. 3. See note (h), ante, p. 29.

Ord. LII.

Title and form of petitions, &c. under 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 30.

- 19. All petitions, summonses, statements, affidavits, and other written proceedings for the opinion, advice, or direction of a judge under the 30th section of the Act 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, shall be intituled in the matter of that Act, and in the matter of the particular trust, will, or administration, and every such petition or statement shall state the facts concisely, and shall be divided into paragraphs numbered consecutively (n).
- (n) This and the three succeeding rules are taken from the Order of March 20th, 1860, which prescribed the manner of proceeding under 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35 (Lord St. Leonards' Act), s. 30. For this section, see ante, p. 102.

Statement on which summons is grounded to be left at chambers and transmitted to registrar.

- 20. At the time when any such summons, as in the last preceding rule mentioned, is sealed, the statement upon which the same is grounded shall be left at the chambers of the judge to whom the same is assigned, and shall on the conclusion of the proceeding be transmitted to the Chancery registrar by the chief clerk, with the minutes of the opinion, advice, or direction given by the judge, and the registrar shall cause such statement to be transmitted to the central office, to be there filed (o).
 - (a) See note to rule 19.

Service of petition or summons.

- 21. Every such petition or summons as in rule 19 mentioned, shall be served seven clear days before the hearing thereof, unless the person served shall consent to a shorter time (p).
 - (p) See note to rule 19.

Opinion, &c. of judge, how passed and entered.

- 22. The opinion, advice, or direction of the judge, as in rule 19 mentioned, shall be passed and entered and remain as of record in the same manner as any order made by the Court or a judge, and the same shall be termed "a judicial opinion," or "judicial advice," or "judicial direction," as the case may be (q).
 - (q) See note to rule 19.

[Rule 23 applies only to Admiralty actions.]

ORDER LIII.

I. ACTION OF MANDAMUS.

Claim for, mandamus to be indorsed on writ.

1. The plaintiff, in any action in which he shall claim a mandamus to command the defendant to fulfil any duty in the fulfilment of which the plaintiff is personally interested, shall indorse such claim upon the writ of summons.

Form of indorsement.

- 2. The indersement shall be in the form given in section IV. of Appendix A., Part III. (r).
 - (r) See this form, infra.

3. If judgment be given for the plaintiff the Court or judge may by Ord. LIII. the judgment command the defendant either forthwith, or on the ex- Judgment. piration of such time and upon such terms as may appear to the Court or a judge to be just, to perform the duty in question. The Court or a judge may also extend the time for the performance of the duty.

4. No writ of mandamus shall hereafter be issued in an action, but Mandamus to a mandamus shall be by judgment or order, which shall have the same be by judgment or order. effect as a writ of mandamus formerly had.

[Rules 5—15 of this order refer only to prerogative mandamus, which must be applied for in the Queen's Bench Division.]

ORDER LIV.

Applications and Proceedings at Chambers.

I.—General.

- 1. Every application at chambers not made ex parte shall be made Application on notice to be by summons (s). by summons.
 - (s) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 28.
- 2. Every application for payment or transfer out of Court made Exparte ex parte, and every other application made ex parte in which the judge when to be or proper officer shall think fit so to require, shall be made by by summons. summons.

3. Summonses shall not be altered after they are sealed except upon Alteration of summons. application at chambers (t).

- (t) This rule is taken from Regulations as to Business, Aug. 8, 1857, r. 1.
- 4. An originating summons, where service is necessary, shall be Service of served seven clear days before the return thereof. Every other summons. summons shall be served two clear days before the return thereof, unless in any case it shall be otherwise ordered (u).

- (u) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 7. The summons may be returnable after the seven days (Wycherley v. Barnard, Johns. 41).
- 5. Where any of the parties to a summons fail to attend, whether Proceeding upon the return of the summons, or at any time appointed for the ex parte where consideration or further consideration of the matter, the judge may moned makes proceed ex parte, if, considering the nature of the case, he think it default. expedient so to do; no affidavit of non-attendance shall be required or allowed, but the judge may require such evidence of service as he may think just (v).

- (v) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 10.
- 6. Where the judge has proceeded ex parte, such proceeding shall Reconsideranot in any manner be reconsidered in the judge's chambers, unless proceedings. the judge shall be satisfied that the party failing to attend was not

guilty of wilful delay or negligence; and in such case the costs occasioned by his non-attendance shall be in the discretion of the judge, who may fix the same at the time, and direct them to be paid by the party or his solicitor before he shall be permitted to have such proceeding reconsidered, or make such other order as to such costs as he may think just (w).

(w) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 11.

Costs where proceeding fails by reason of nonattendance of any party.

- 7. Where a proceeding in chambers fails by reason of the nonattendance of any party, and the judge does not think it expedient to proceed ex parte, the judge may order such an amount of costs (if any) as he shall think reasonable to be paid to the party attending by the absent party or by his solicitor personally (x).
 - (x) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. 1. 31.

Further consideration in cbambers.

8. Where matters in respect of which summonses have been issued are not disposed of upon the return of the summons, the parties shall attend from time to time without further summons, at such time or times as may be appointed for the consideration or further consideration of the matter (y).

Adjournments in chambers.

New appointment not necessary.

Further consideration dispensed with.

(y) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 14.

The costs of obtaining a new appointment, such being unnecessary, will be disallowed (Re Catlin, 18 Beav. 512), but this applies only where a regular adjournment has been made; and where a witness had been summoned for examination, but, having received notice that the examination would not be proceeded with, did not attend, and no adjournment was thereon made, it was held that he could not be forced to attend again without a fresh summons being taken out (Lawson v. Stoddart, 12 W B 286) 12 W. R. 286).

Where small leaseholds had been ordered to be sold, the proceeds were distributed among numerous parties, upon the certificate of the chief clerk, without adjournment, to save expense, the purchasers being served with a summons to show cause in chambers why the proceeds should not be distributed by the chief clerk (Thorp v. Owen, 2 Sm. & G. App. i.).

Including several matters in one application.

9. In every cause or matter where any party thereto makes any application at chambers, either by way of summons or otherwise, he shall be at liberty to include in one and the same application all matters upon which he then desires the order or directions of the Court or judge; and upon the hearing of such application it shall be lawful for the Court or judge to make any order and give any directions relative to or consequential on the matter of such application as may be just; any such application may, if the judge thinks fit, be adjourned from chambers into Court, or from Court into chambers (z).

Costs reserved without special direction.

(z) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 27.
When a cause is adjourned to chambers, the reservation of costs is implied without an express direction to that effect (Wallis v. Bastard, 2 W. R. 47; and see Leeds v. Lewis, 3 Jur. N. S. 1290); so, too, when vice versa, the cause is adjourned into Court (Dicken v. Hamer, 2 L. T. 276); see also Re Fellows, 2 Jur. N. S. 62, from which it seems that a petition ought not to ask for the costs "incidental to an

Practice on adjournment to be acted on in chambers without any order being drawn up, a registrar's note into chambers.

When any matter is adjourned to chambers, or any directions are given in Court to be acted on in chambers without any order being drawn up, a registrar's note into chambers.

The hearing of the case by the judge, when adjourned, is only a continuation of the hearing before the chief clerk (*Leeds* v. *Lewis*, 3 Jur. N. S. 1290; *Re Mitchell*, 9 Jur. N. S. 1272); and is not in the nature of an appeal (*Re Watts*, 22 Ch. D. 5). See also *Holloway* v. *Cheston*, 19 Ch. D. 516. In *Jaquet* v. *Jaquet*, 7 W. R. 543, the Master of the Rolls refused to hear in support of the summons a party who did not joint by the summons.

Ord. LIV.

It is in the discretion of the judge to make a rule that he will hear matters Discretion of requiring his personal attention in Court and not in chambers (Re Agriculturist judge. Cattle Insurance Company, 3 De G. F. & J. 194; 11 W. R. 330, 386).

An application to discharge an order made by a judge at chambers is made by notion in Court but no further evidence in Cavital (Re Manus W. N. (1994)).

motion in Court, but no further evidence is admissible (Re Munns, W. N. (1884), 117).

10. A summons other than an originating summons shall be in the Form of Form No. 1 in Appendix K., with such variations as circumstances summons. may require, and shall be addressed to all the persons on whom it is to be served (a).

(a) For this form, see infra.

[Rules 11—29 apply only to the Queen's Bench and the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Divisions. 1

ORDER LV.

CHAMBERS IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION.

I.—General.

1. The business in chambers of the judges of the Chancery Division, Business in to whom chambers are attached, shall be carried on in conjunction with chambers to be carried on their Court business (b).

in conjunction with Court business.

(b) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 12.

2. The business to be disposed of in chambers by judges of the Business to be Chancery Division, shall consist of the following matters, in addition disposed of in chambers, to the matters which under any other rule or by statute may be disposed of in chambers (c):

- (c) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 1, but the jurisdiction at chambers is considerably extended.
 - (1.) Applications for payment or transfer to any person of any cash Payment out or securities standing to the credit of any cause or matter of Court after where there has been a judgment or order declaring the declaring rights (d), or where the title depends only upon proof of the rights, &c. identity or the birth, marriage or death of any person (e):

(d) As to what will amount to an "order declaring the rights" of a person under this sub-section, see Re Brandram, 25 Ch. D. 366.

(e) The generality of this sub-section is not cut down or qualified by sub-sect. 7, or any of the sub-sections of rule 2, following sub-sect. 1 (Re Brandram).

(2.) Applications for payment or transfer to any person of any cash Where fund or securities standing to the credit of any cause or matter does not exceed 1,000%. where the cash does not exceed 1,000% or the securities do not exceed 1,000l. nominal value (f):

(f) The general expressions of this sub-section are not qualified or cut down by

the subsequent sub-sections. Consequently an application for payment out of a sum not exceeding 1,000l. paid in under the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, must be by summons, and the costs of a petition will not be allowed; any such summons asking for payment out to a person on behalf of the company must be sealed with the company's seal (Ex parte Maidstone Ry. Co., 25 Ch. D. 168; Re Calton, 25 Ch. D. 240; Re Madgwick, 25 Ch. D. 371).

But an application for payment out of a share amounting to less than 1,000l. of a fund in Court exceeding 1,000l. can only be made by petition (May v. Dowse, W. N.

(1884), 122).

For payment of dividends.

- (3.) Applications for payment to any person of the dividend or interest on any securities standing to the credit of any cause or matter, whether to a separate account or otherwise (ff):
- (ff) See Joad v. Ripley, 3 Jur. N. S. 432, decided under Cons. Ord. XXXV.

Applications under Legacy Duty Act.

- (4.) Applications under 36 Geo. III. c. 52, s. 32 (the Legacy Duty Act), in all cases where the money or securities in Court do not exceed 1,000*l*. or 1,000*l*. nominal value (*g*):
- (g) As to this Act, see ante, p. 51. Applications for advancement to an infant out of funds in Court exceeding 1,000*l*. paid in under the Act must be made by petition (*Re Coore*, W. N. (1883), 169).

Applications under Trustee Relief Acts.

- (5.) Applications under 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, and 12 & 13 Vict. c. 74 (the Trustee Relief Acts) in all cases where the money or securities in Court do not exceed 1,000l. or 1,000l. nominal value (h):
- (h) As to payment out under the Trustee Relief Act, see ante, p. 57.

Applications under Parliamentary Deposits Act.

- (6.) Applications under 9 & 10 Vict. c. 20 (the Parliamentary Deposits Act) for investment, payment of dividends, and payment out of Court (i):
- (i) As to this Aot, see ante, p. 49.

Applications under Lands Clauses Act.

- (7.) Applications for interim and permanent investment and for payment of dividends under the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, and any other Act passed before the 14th of August, 1855, whereby the purchase-money of any property sold is directed to be paid into Court (j):
- (j) See as to this sub-section, Ex parte Mayor of London, 25 Ch. D. 384, where it was held by Kay, J., that the rule is not ultra vires, and consequently that all these applications must be made by summons, and the costs of a petition will not be allowed. An application, however, for payment out of a sum of 7,000l. to be laid out in building, though analogous to an application for a permanent investment is not within the sub-section, and is properly made by petition (Ex parte Jesus College, W. N. (1884), 37).

Applications under Trustee Acts.

- (8.) Applications under the Trustee Acts, 1850 and 1852, in all cases where a judgment or order has been given or made for the sale, conveyance, or transfer of any stock or of any hereditaments, corporeal or incorporeal, of any tenure or description, whatever may be the estate or interest therein (k):
- (k) This is taken from Cons. Ord. XXX. r. 1 (4), but is more extensive; the old

rule did not extend to the case of stock. See Frodsham v. Frodsham, 15 Ch. D. 317; Re Moate, 22 Ch. D. 635. Where an order has been made in Court directing that new trustees be appointed and that application be made in chambers for vesting the trust property in them when appointed, and directing an inquiry as to what the trust funds consisted of, an order may be subsequently made in chambers appointing new trustees and vesting in them the right to call for a transfer of the trust property (Re Tweedy, W. N. (1884), 233).

Ord. LV.

- (9.) Applications on behalf of infants under 1 Will. IV. c. 65, ss. Applications 12, 16 and 17, where the infant is a ward of Court, or the under 1 Will. IV. administration of the estate of the infant, or the maintenance v. 65. of the infant is under the direction of the Court (l):
- Comp. Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 1 (5).
- (10.) Applications under 18 & 19 Vict. c. 43, for the settlement of Applications under Infants any property of any infant on marriage (m): Settlement Act.
- (m) See as to this Act, ante, p. 96.
- (11.) Applications under the Copyhold Acts respecting any securities Applications or money in Court. Notice of any such application is not to under Copy-hold Acts. be given to the copyhold commissioners unless the judge shall so direct (mm):
- (mm) This is taken from rule 15 of the Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874.
- (12.) Applications as to the guardianship and maintenance or Guardianship of infants. advancement of infants (n):
- (n) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 26. See Re Coore, cited in note (g) to sub-sect. 4.
- (13.) Applications connected with the management of property (o): Management of property.
- (o) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 26.
- (14.) Applications for or relating to the sale by auction or private Sales. contract of property, and as to the manner in which the sale is to be conducted, and for payment into Court and investment of the purchase-money:
- (15.) All applications under 6 & 7 Vict. c. 73 (not being applications Taxation for orders of course) for the taxation and delivery of bills of under Solicitors Act, costs and for the delivery by any solicitor of deeds, documents, 1843. and papers (p):
- (p) This rule is taken from Gen. Ord. Apr. 17, 1867; as to the Solicitors Act, 1843, the statute here referred to, see ante, p. 1.
 - (16.) Applications for orders on the further consideration of any Orders on cause or matter where the order to be made is for the dis-sideration in tribution of an insolvent estate or for the distribution of the certain cases. estate of an intestate, or for the distribution of a fund among creditors or debenture holders (pp):

- (pp) See Re Sumner, W. N. (1884), 121.
- (17.) Applications for time to plead, for leave to amend pleadings, Time to plead, for discovery and production of documents, and generally all &c.

applications relating to the conduct of any cause or matter (q):

- (q) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 26.
- Other matters.
- (18.) Such other matters as the judge may think fit to dispose of at chambers (r).
- (r) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 26.

II.—Administrations and Trusts.

Originating summons for specific relief without administration,

- 3. The executors or administrators of a deceased person or any of them, and the trustees under any deed or instrument or any of them, and any person claiming to be interested in the relief sought as creditor, devisee, legatee, next of kin, or heir-at-law or customary heir of a deceased person, or as cestuique trust under the trust of any deed or instrument, or as claiming by assignment or otherwise under any such creditor or other person as aforesaid, may take out, as of course, an originating summons returnable in the chambers of a judge of the Chancery Division for such relief of the nature or kind following, as may by the summons be specified and as the circumstances of the case may require, (that is to say), the determination, without an administration of the estate or trust, of any of the following questions or matters:—
 - (a) any question affecting the rights or interests of the person claiming to be creditor, devisee, legatee, next of kin, or heirat-law, or cestuique trust:
 - (b) the ascertainment of any class of creditors, legatees, devisees, next of kin, or others:
 - (c) the furnishing of any particular accounts by the executors or administrators or trustees, and the vouching (when necessary) of such accounts:
 - (d) the payment into Court of any money in the hands of the executors or administrators or trustees:
 - (e) directing the executors or administrators or trustees to do or abstain from doing any particular act in their character as such executors or administrators or trustees (s):
 - (f) the approval of any sale, purchase, compromise, or other transaction:
 - (g) the determination of any question arising in the administration of the estate or trust (t).

⁽s) This sub-rule applies only to an order to trustees to do or abstain from doing something within their trust (Suffolk v. Laurence, 32 W. R. 899; W. N. (1884), 158). See Re Walley, W. N. (1884), 144.

(t) The following notice was issued in January 1884; see W. N. (1884), Pt. II. p. 90:-

Ord. LV.

NOTICE.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

Titles, &c. of Summonses issued out of the Central Office.

Solicitors issuing originating summonses are recommended to use the following forms as far as practicable for general use in chambers. But the officers of this department cannot be responsible for any alterations which may be required by the chief clerk in any particular case.

Administration Summonses.

Are to be entitled

"In the Matter of the Estate of A. B., deceased."

. Plaintiff.

N.B.—This regulation is to apply to summonses under Ord. LV. r. 3, for determining particular questions with regard to an estate.

ORIGINATING SUMMONSES.

In all cases where an originating summons is taken out under the authority of an Act of Parliament, or the Rules of the Supreme Court, the summons must be entitled in a substantial matter (as the first title), and also in the matter of the particular Act, as well as any general Act applicable (such as the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, as the Courteled Act).

solidation Act, 1845, or the Copyhold Acts).
(1.) If it he a railway or other local Act, and under its powers a portion of the estate of any testator or intestate has been taken, the summons must be entitled in the matter of the estate of such testator or intestate.

And in the matter of the Act or Acts.

(2.) If property settled by any deed of settlement then in the matter of such settlement.

And in the matter of the Act or Acts.

(3.) If land belonging to a rector, vicar, or corporate body then it must be entitled "Ex parte the rector, vicar, or corporate body," as the case may be. And in the matter of the Act or Acts.

(4.) Summonses for payment of money out of Court should bear the same title as that of the proceeding under which the fund was paid in.

(5.) Summonses under the Settled Land Act, 1882, should be entitled as directed by the rules under the said Act, and in other respects should be in the form given in Appendix L., No. 25, of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883.

The address and description of the applicant and of the next friend (if any), should in all cases be stated in the summons, and if the applicant or the parties

should in all cases be stated in the summons, and if the applicant or the partics summoned apply or are summoned as trustees or in a representative capacity the fact should appear in the summons, and the rule (if any) under which the application is made should be stated therein. See also rr. 20—24, post, p. 494.

An executor who intends to take out a summons to determine a question arising in respect of one share only of a fund should reserve a sum for costs out of the whole fund before making any distribution, as the costs of the summons cannot be thrown on the one share (Re Potts, W. N. (1884), 106).

It is a common and convenient practice on taking out a summons under this rule for a statement of facts to be prepared and agreed to by the parties in order to save expense. The validity of a release in respect of a share in the estate of a deceased testator can be determined on a summons under this rule when administration of his estate is asked for, even if it is admitted that administration is not wanted (Re his estate is asked for, even if it is admitted that administration is not wanted (Re Garnett, 32 W. R. 474).

- 4. Any of the persons named in the last preceding rule may in Administralike manner apply for and obtain an order for-
 - (a) The administration of the personal estate of the deceased:
 - (b) The administration of the real estate of the deceased (u):
 - (c) The administration of the trust (v).
 - (u) Under this rule the sanction of the Court can be obtained to the raising of

money by mortgage for the purpose of paying a testator's debts (Re Walley, W. N.

(1884), 144).

(v) Where the estate had been already distributed in ignorance of the fact that the plaintiff was one of the class of persons entitled, Kay, J., held that an originating summons was not the proper proceeding for obtaining administration (Re Warren, W. N. (1884), 112).

Cf. Chancery Procedure Act, 1852, ss. 45—47, which, however, did not extend to (c). The Court of Chancery would decline to make an order for administration under these sections where there was reason to apprehend that difficult questions might arise; see 2 Dan. 5th ed. 1071, 1072; Seton, 855. A chief clerk cannot make an order for general administration; see rule 15, post, p. 492.

Persons to be served.

- 5. The persons to be served with the summons under the last two preceding rules in the first instance, shall be the following (that is to say.)—
 - A. Where the summons is taken out by an executor or administrator or trustee,—
 - (a) For the determination of any question under sub-sections (a), (e), (f), or (g) of rule 3, the persons or one of the persons, whose rights or interests are sought to be affected:
 - (b) For the determination of any question, under sub-section (b) of rule 3, any member or alleged member of the class:
 - (c) For the determination of any question, under sub-section (c) of rule 3, any person interested in taking such accounts:
 - (d) For the determination of any question, under sub-section (d) of rule 3, any person interested in such money:
 - (e) For relief under sub-section (a) of rule 4, the residuary legatees, or next of kin, or some of them:
 - (f) For relief under sub-section (b) of rule 4, the residuary devisees, or heirs, or some of them:
 - (g) For relief under sub-section (c) of rule 4, the cestuis que trust, or some of them:
 - (h) If there are more than one executor or administrator or trustee, and they do not all concur in taking out the summons, those who do not concur:
 - B. Where the summons is taken out by any person other than the executors, administrators or trustees, the said executors, administrators or trustees (w).
- (w) Where the defendant, an administratrix, was a person of unsound mind, not so found, and no appearance had been entered for her, the Court appointed the official solicitor guardian ad litem under Ord. XIII. r. 1 (Re Pepper, W. N. (1884), 141; 50 L. T. 580; 32 W. R. 765).

Other persons.

6. The Court or a judge may direct such other persons to be served with the summons as they or he may think fit.

Evidence.

- 7. The application shall be supported by such evidence (x) as the Court or a judge may require, and directions may be given as they or he may think just for the trial of any questions arising thereout.
- (x) As to cross-examination on the affidavit in support of the summons, see Fenton v. Cumberlege, W. N. (1883), 116.

8. It shall be lawful for the Court or a judge upon such summons to pronounce such judgment as the nature of the case may require.

Ord. LV.

9. The Court or a judge may give any special directions touching Special directhe carriage or execution of the judgment, or the service thereof upon persons not parties, as they or he may think just.

Judgment.

10. It shall not be obligatory on the Court or a judge to pronounce General ador make a judgment or order, whether on summons or otherwise, for ministration may be disthe administration of any trust or of the estate of any deceased person, pensed with. if the questions between the parties can be properly determined without such judgment or order (y).

- (y) This rule applies to administration actions commenced before, but tried after the Rules of 1883 came into operation (Re Llewellyn, 25 Ch. D. 66, where the action was referred to chambers to determine whether a general administration of the estate should be directed). In Re Mills, W. N. (1884), 21, Pearson, J., said he endeavoured to avoid making a general administration decree where he could, but it was not always possible to do so, and made the usual decree, except that instead of the real estate being sold, an inquiry was directed. See also Re Hayter, 32 W. R. 26; Butterfield v. Mott, W. N. (1884), 164, where the action was dismissed with costs; Re Barrett, W. N. (1884), 224; and compare the cases cited in note (h) to Ord. LXV. r. 1, post, p. 540. If a proper case can be shown, the Court will of course make a decree for general administration (Re Dickinson, W. N. (1884), 199). The plaintiff in an action cannot take out a summons to determine questions which The plaintiff in an action cannot take out a summons to determine questions which are at issue in the action (Borthwich v. Ransford, 28 Ch. D. 79).
- 11. When any summons under rules 3 or 4 of this order has been Subsequent taken out, every subsequent summons relating to the same estate or summonses, how marked. trust shall be marked with the name of the judge, to whom, for the time being, the matter is assigned, and in case any such subsequent summons shall be marked with the name of another judge it shall be the duty of the executors, administrators, or trustees, to apply for the transfer to such first-mentioned judge of such subsequent summons (yy).

- (yy) See Ord. XLIX. r. 6, ante, p. 465.
- 12. The issue of a summons under rule 3 of this order shall not Powers of interfere with or control any power or discretion vested in any executor, trustees, &c., not to be administrator, or trustee, except so far as such interference or control affected. may necessarily be involved in the particular relief sought (z).

- (z) As to the effect of a decree for execution of the trusts on the powers of trustees, see Bethell v. Abraham, 17 Eq. 24; Eastwood v. Clark, 23 Ch. D. 134; 31 W. R. 417; Tempest v. Lord Camoys, 21 Ch. D. 571.
- 13. Any application to a judge in chambers under "The Charitable Applications Trusts Act, 1853," sect. 28, shall be made by summons (a).

under Charitable Trusts Act, 1853.

- (a) See note to next rule.
- 14. No order made under the Act in the last preceding rule men- Appeals in tioned by the judge in chambers shall be subject to appeal where the charity cases. gross annual income of the charity has not been declared by the Charity Commissioners for England and Wales to exceed 1001., unless the judge by whom such order may have been made shall certify that such appeal ought to be permitted either absolutely or on such terms as the judge may think fit to impose (b).
- (b) This and the preceding rule are taken from Cons. Ord. XL. rr. 10 and 13. See as to the Charitable Trusts Act, 1853, antc, p. 94.

III.—Powers and Duties of Chief Clerks.

attached shall have power, subject to these rules, to order what matters

shall be heard and investigated by their chief clerks, either with or

without their direction, during their progress; and what matters shall

be heard and investigated by themselves, and particularly if the judge

shall so direct, his chief clerks shall take such accounts and make such

inquiries as have usually been taken and made by the chief clerks, and the judge shall give such aid and directions in every such account or inquiry as he may think fit, but subject to the right hereinafter provided for the parties to bring any particular point before the judge;

made under rule 4 of this order or otherwise by a chief clerk (c).

15. The judges of the Chancery Division to whom chambers are

Power to judges to direct what matters, &c. shall be heard by themselves and what by their chief

clerks.

to make an order for general administration.

Right of party to see judge himself.

Chief clerk net provided that no judgment or order for general administration shall be

(c) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 29. All orders made in chambers are considered to be made by the judge himself, and,

All orders made in chambers are considered to be made by the judge himself, and, consequently, the judge in chambers is always accessible to any of the parties engaged in proceedings there, who wish to see him, and it is the invariable practice to give any party, suggesting that he wishes to see the judge personally, the opportunity of doing so directly (Hayward v. Hayward, Kay, App. xxxi.; Re Rigg, 10 W. R. 365). See also Saunders v. Walter, 9 Hare, App. v.; Re London and County Assurance Co., 5 W. R. 794, where upon a motion to commit a party, who refused to answer a question, and requested that the case might be adjourned for hearing before the judge, it was held, that either party has a right on the minutest point to require an adjournment of the case before the judge himself in chambers, and the motion to commit was refused with costs, Williamson v. Jeffreys, 9 Hare, App. Ivi.; Leeds v. Lewis, 3 Jur. N. S. 1290; Upton v. Brown, 20 Ch. D. 731; Re Watts, 22 Ch. D. 5; and see also rule 69, and note thereto, post, p. 505. An adjournment from the chief clerk to the judge is not an appeal so as to subject the party who asked for the adjournment to costs if he fail (Re Watts).

Pearson, J., has recently laid down the following rule as to adjournments from

Adjournment to judge.

Pearson, J., has recently laid down the following rule as to adjournments from the chief clerk to the judge:—

Adjournment to the judge will not be granted unless an application is made to the chief clerk, at the time when the summons is heard by him, either for an adjournment or for time to consider whether an adjournment shall be asked for. If no application is made to the chief clerk at the time, the order can only be altered by means of a motion in Court to discharge it. If an order is made against a party properly served in his absence, the result is the same as if, being present, he does not ask for an adjournment. Time to consider whether an adjournment shall be asked for will be granted, if an application for it is made at the hearing in a proper case, as if only a clerk who is not fully instructed is present, or in a country case when reference to the country solicitor is necessary (W. N. (1884), 218).

As to time of appealing from a decision of the chief clerk, see Brasnett's Case, W. N. (1884), 223. journment or for time to consider whether an adjournment shall be asked for. If

Power to chief clerks to issue advertisements and summonses, administer oaths, &c., as judge shall direct.

16. Each chief clerk shall, for the purpose of any proceedings directed to be taken before him, have full power to issue advertisements, to summon parties and witnesses, to administer oaths, to require the production of documents, to take affidavits and acknowledgments, other than acknowledgements by married women, and when so directed by the judge to examine parties and witnesses either upon interrogatories or vivd voce, as the judge shall direct (d).

(d) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. e. 80, s. 30.

See as to the position and functions of the chief clerk, Powell v. Powell, 10 Ch. p. 135.

As to affidavits and evidence in chambers, see Ord. XXXVIII., Pt. II. ante, p. 438.

Practice

If a party examined in chambers refuse to give a sufficient answer, the judge

should be asked to examine him personally, and if he then refuse to answer he may be committed at once (Hayward v. Hayward, Kay, App. xxxi.).

A witness has a right, if he wishes, to require that his examination should be conducted before the judge in person (Re London and County Assurance Co., 5 examined in W. R. 794); and cf. Hayward v. Hayward; Re Home Counties Life Assurance Co., chambers 10 W. R. 457; Re Agriculturist Cattle Insurance Society, 3 De G. F. & J. 194; Exparte Bunn, 24 Beav. 137, where on a witness refusing to be sworn before the chief clerk, on the ground that he required the assistance of counsel, it was held, on a motion to commit the witness, that the proper course was, after he was sworn, to apply that his examination might be taken before the judge, or an examiner, or, if necessary, that the case might be adjourned into Court. See, too, Re Esgair Mining Co., 8 W. R. 669, where it was held, that, although the chief clerk had power in a winding-up case to summon a witness before him until the list of contributories was definitely settled, yet a motion to commit such witness for refusing to attend could not be entertained until such refusal had been certified by the judge to attend could not be entertained until such refusal had been certified by the judge in chambers under the 11 & 12 Vict. c. 45.

In one case, witnesses, who had been examined before the hearing, upon inter- Examination rogatories, were examined again vivâ voce, before the chief clerk, as to the same first in Court matters (Rogers v. Mort, 10 Hare, App. liii.; cf. Hextall v. Cheadle, 1 Sm. & G. 78; and again in

Routh v. Tomlinson, 16 Beav. 251).

No special order was required for the transfer of a witness's examination from Chambers to the examiner's office (Stebbing v. Atlee, 26 L. J. Ch. 265).

chambers.

Transfer of examination from Chambers to the examiner's office (Stebbing v. Atlee, 26 L. J. Ch. 265).

examination.

17. Parties and witnesses summoned to attend before a chief clerk Process of shall be bound to attend in pursuance of the summons, and shall be contempt. liable to process of contempt in like manner as parties or witnesses are liable thereto in case of disobedience to any order of the Court, or in case of default in attendance, in pursuance of any order of the Court or of any writ of subpana ad testificandum, and all persons swearing or affirming before any chief clerk shall be liable to all such penalties, punishments, and consequences for any wilful and corrupt false swearing or affirming contained therein, as if the matters sworn or affirmed had been sworn and affirmed before any other person by law authorised to administer oaths, to take affidavits, and to receive affirmations (e).

(e) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 31. In the prosecution before the chief clerk of an inquiry directed by an administration decree any person able to give information about the assets may be summoned by subpœna, and is bound to attend before an examiner and answer all proper questions put to him by the person having the conduct of the decree (Venables v. Schweitzer, 16 Eq. 76). See as to subpœnas, Ord. XXXVII., Pt. III., ante, p. 428.

18. The Court or judge may direct any computation of interest, or Computation the apportionment of any fund, to be certified by the chief clerk, and of interest or apportionto be acted upon by the paymaster-general or other person without ment of fund. further order (f).

(f) Cf. Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 45. See as to interest the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, ante, p. 235.

IV.—Assistance of Experts.

19. The judge in chambers may, in such way as he thinks fit, obtain Court may the assistance of accountants, merchants, engineers, actuaries, and obtain assistance of acother scientific persons the better to enable any matter at once to be countants, determined, and he may act upon the certificate of any such person (g). $\overset{\text{merchants}}{\&c}$.

(g) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 42, now repealed.

Assessors under Judicature Act.

Accountants. Expert cannot call witnesses.

References to experts. Judge cannot delegate to chief clerk.

When reference is refused.

See Judicature Act, 1873, 56th and following sections, as to trial with assessors, and Ord. XXXVI., Pt. VIII., ante, p. 419.

See, as to the employment of accountants, Re Hutchinson, 32 W. R. 392; W. N. (1884), 35; Re London, Birmingham and Bucks Ry. Co., 6 W. R. 141. It seems they need not always be employed in the presence of the parties (ibid.).

The expert has no jurisdiction to call witnesses (Morris v. Llanelly Ry. Co.,

W. N. (1868), 46). The object of the rule is to facilitate inquiries necessary to found a decree; thus, complicated claims of creditors, which would have had to go to arbitration if tried at common law, were referred to chambers to be disposed of by the judge with the aid of an accountant (Mildmay v. Lord Methuen, 1 Drew. 216, where it was held that the judge cannot delegate this power to the chief clerk; M'Intosh v. Great Wostern Ry. Co., 3 Sm. & Giff. 146); and the amount of encroachment of alluvium on a sea-shore was referred to an expert in Att.-Gen. v. Chambers, 4 De G. & J. 55, 58. The rule does not give a judge power to adjourn the decision of a cause for further inquiries from engineers or others in a case where the plaintiff has a clear right to an injunction, although the injunction may be a very difficult one for the defendant to obey (Att.-Gen. v. Colney Hatch Asylum, 4 Ch. 146, where an injunction was granted to restrain a nuisance, and the Court of Appeal reversed an order by granted to restrain a nuisance, and the Court of Appeal reversed an order by which it was referred to an engineer to inquire how the nuisance might he abated); so a general inquiry as to what ought to be done to preserve the plaintiff's light and air was refused in Stokes v. City Offices Co., 13 W. R. 537. See also Case v. Midland Ry. Co., 27 Beav. 247, where an inquiry as to the effect of using steamboats on a canal was directed; and after the decree the opinion may be taken as to time which ought to be allowed for carrying it into effect (Att.-Gen. v. Merthyr Tydfil Local Board of Health, W. N. (1870), 148).

The Court will not obtain the assistance of a scientific person until an issue has been raised between the parties (Stokes v. City Offices Co.; Baltic Co. v. Simpson, 24 W. R. 390).

24 W. R. 390).

The report of an expert is not to decide the question referred to him, like an arbitrator's award, though it may give material information and guidance to the judge (Ford v. Tynte, 2 De G. J. & S. 127, where it was said affidavits might be received in opposition; Adamson v. Gill, 16 W. R. 306).

The chief clerk must not file such report as part of his certificate; see Hill v. King, 9 Jur. N. S. 527; 3 De G. J. & S. 418.

V.—Summonses in Chambers.

Form and preparation of originating summonses.

- 20. An originating summons shall be in the Form No 25 in Appendix L., with such variations as circumstances may require. shall be prepared by the applicant or his solicitor, and shall be sealed in the central office, and when so sealed shall be deemed to be issued. The person obtaining the summons shall leave at the central office a copy thereof, which shall be filed and stamped in the manner required by law (h).
 - (h) Cf. Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 6. For the form here referred to, see infra.

Time for attendance under originating summons to be added.

New summons.

- 21. The day and hour for attendance under an originating summons shall be left to be added, after the sealing thereof, in the margin or at the foot of the same, and shall be there inserted when such day and hour shall have been fixed at the chambers of the judge to whom the matter is assigned by the chief clerk, who shall mark the summons with the seal used in such chambers.
- 22. Where from any cause an originating summons may not have been served upon any party seven clear days before the return thereof, an indorsement may be made upon the summons, and upon a copy thereof stamped for service appointing a new time for the parties not before served to attend at the chambers of the judge, and such indorsements shall be sealed at the judge's chambers, and the service of the

copy so indorsed and sealed shall have the same force and effect as the service of an originating summons, and where any party has been served before such indorsement, the hearing thereof may, upon the return of the summons, be adjourned to the new time so appointed (i).

Ord. LV.

- (i) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 8.
- 23. The parties served with an originating summons shall, before Entry of they are heard in chambers, enter appearances in the central office and appearance. give notice thereof (k).

- (k) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 9.
- 24. The summons by the chief clerk requiring the attendance of Form of parties, witnesses or others, shall be in the form No. 1 in Appendix L., with such variations as the circumstances of the case may require (1).

(1) For this form, see infra.

VI.—Proceedings relating to Infants.

25. Upon applications for the appointment of guardians of infants Evidence on and allowance for maintenance the evidence shall show-

(a.) The ages of the infants;

- applications for guardians and maintenance.
- (b.) The nature and amount of the infants' fortunes and incomes;
- (c.) What relations the infants have (m).
- (m) This rule is taken from Regulations as to Business, August 8, 1857, r. 19.
- 26. Upon applications to obtain the sanction of the Court to infants Evidence on making settlements on marriage under 18 & 19 Vict. c. 43, evidence applications shall be produced to show-

under Infants Settlement

- (a.) The age of the infant;
- (b.) Whether the infant has any parents or guardians;
- (c.) With whom or under whose care the infant is living, and, if the infant has no parents or guardians, what near relations the infant has;
- (d.) The rank and position in life of the infant and parents;
- (e.) What the infant's property and fortune consist of;
- (f.) The age, rank and position in life of the person to whom the infant is about to be married;
- (g.) What property, fortune and income, such person has;
- (h.) The fitness of the proposed trustees, and their consent to act; The proposals for the settlement of the property of the infant, and of the person to whom such infant is proposed to be married, shall be submitted to the judge (n).
- (n) This rule is taken from Regulations as to Business, Aug. 8, 1857, r. 20. For this Act, see ante, p. 96.
- 27. At any time during the proceedings at any judge's chambers Appointment under any judgment or order, the judge may, if he shall think fit, of guardian require a guardian ad litem to be appointed for any infant or person

- of unsound mind not so found by inquisition, who has been served with notice of such judgment or order (0).
 - (o) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. VII. r. 7.

VII.—Documents to be left at Chambers.

Proceedings under judgment or order.

- 28. In all cases of proceedings in chambers under any judgment or order, the party prosecuting the same shall leave a copy of such judgment or order at the judge's chambers, and shall certify the same to be a true copy of the judgment or order as passed and entered (p).
- (p) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 15. As to passing and entering judgments and orders, see Ord. LXII., infra.

Registrar's note on adjournment to chambers.

- 29. Whenever any matter is adjourned from the Court to chambers, or any directions are given in Court to be acted upon at chambers, whether upon a matter adjourned into Court from chambers, or upon any other occasion, without an order being drawn up, a note signed by the registrar, stating for what purpose such matter is adjourned to chambers, or the directions given, shall be procured from the registrar and left at chambers (q).
- (q) This and the two following rules are taken from Regulations as to Business, Aug. 8, 1857, rules 3, 6 and 8.

Note of names of solicitors and parties.

- 30. A note stating the names of the solicitors for all the parties, and showing for which of the parties such solicitors are concerned, shall be left at chambers with every judgment or order (r).
 - (r) See note to rule 29.

Copy of certificate of entry, &c. to be left at chambers.

- 31. A copy of every certificate of the central office of entry of a memorandum of service of notice of a judgment or order, and of every appearance entered by a person served with such notice to attend the proceedings, certified by the solicitor, shall be left at chambers (s).
 - (s) See note to rule 29.

VIII.—Summonses to proceed.

Time for bringing in judgment or order directing accounts or inquiries. Consequences of default.

- 32. Every judgment or order directing accounts or inquiries to be taken or made shall be brought into the judge's chambers by the party entitled to prosecute the same within ten days after the same shall have been passed and entered, and in default thereof any other party to the cause or matter shall be at liberty to bring in the same, and such party shall have the prosecution of such judgment or order unless the judge shall otherwise direct (t).
 - (t) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 22.

Summons to proceed with accounts or inquiries. 33. Upon a copy of the judgment or order being left, a summons shall be issued to proceed with the accounts or inquiries directed, and upon the return of such summons the judge, if satisfied by proper evidence that all necessary parties have been served with notice of the

judgment or order, shall thereupon give directions as to the manner in which each of the accounts and inquiries is to be prosecuted, the Directions. evidence to be adduced in support thereof, the parties who are to Evidence. attend on the several accounts and inquiries, and the time within which each proceeding is to be taken, and a day or days may be appointed for the further attendance of the parties, and all such directions may afterwards be varied, by addition thereto or otherwise, as may be found necessary (u).

- (u) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 16. Although an account has been properly directed by a decree, semble, the Court would stay the taking of it if it could be shown that it would be useless (Taylor v. Mostyn, 25 Ch. D. 48).
- 34. Where by a judgment or order a deed is directed to be settled by Settling deed the judge in chambers in case the parties differ, a summons to proceed ment, &c., in shall be issued, and upon the return of the summons the party entitled case parties to prepare the draft deed shall be directed to deliver a copy thereof, within such time as the judge shall think fit, to the party entitled to object thereto, and the party so entitled to object shall be directed to deliver to the other party a statement in writing of his objections (if any) within eight days after the delivery of such copy, and the proceeding shall be adjourned until after the expiration of the said period of eight days (v).

(v) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 17. Where an infant is a necessary party, the words "in case the parties differ" are omitted (Calvert v. God-frame 2 Prince 2

frey, 2 Beav. 267).

The order of a judge settling the form of a conveyance is subject to appeal (Pollock v. Rabbits, 21 Ch. D. 466).

35. Where, upon the hearing of the summons to proceed, it appears Service of to the judge that by reason of absence, or for any other sufficient notice of judgment or cause, the service of notice of the judgment or order upon any party order, where cannot be made or ought to be dispensed with, the judge may, if he dispensed with. shall think fit, wholly dispense with such service, or may, at his discretion, order any substituted service or notice by advertisement or otherwise in lieu of such service (w).

- (w) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 18.
- 36. If on the hearing of the summons to proceed it shall appear that Proceedings all necessary parties are not parties to the action or have not been where necessary parties served with notice of the judgment or order, directions may be given are not parties for advertisement for creditors, and for leaving the accounts in to the action or have not chambers, but the adjudication on creditors' claims and the accounts been served. are not to be proceeded with, and no other proceeding is to be taken, except for the purpose of ascertaining the parties to be served, until all necessary parties shall have been served, and are bound, or service shall have been dispensed with, and until directions shall have been given as to the parties who are to attend on the proceedings.

37. The course of proceeding in chambers shall ordinarily be the Course of prosame as the course of proceeding in Court upon motions.

Copies, ceeding in chambers.

Copies.

abstracts, or extracts of or from accounts, deeds, or other documents and pedigrees and concise statements shall, if directed, be supplied for the use of the judge and his chief clerks, and where so directed, copies shall be handed over to the other parties. But no copies shall be made of deeds or documents where the originals can be brought in unless the judge shall otherwise direct (x).

(x) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 26.

IX.—Summons Book.

Summons book.

- 38. At the time any summons is obtained, an entry thereof shall be made in "the Summons Book," stating the date on which the summons is issued, the name of the cause or matter, and by what party, and shortly for what purpose such summons is obtained, and at what time such summons is returnable (y).
 - (y) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 24.

Lists of matters to be made out.

- 39. Lists of matters appointed for each day shall be made out and affixed outside the doors of the chambers of the respective judges; and, subject to any special direction, such matters shall be heard in the order in which they appear in such lists (z).
 - (z) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 25.

X .- Attendances.

Judge may nominate one solicitor for a class.

40. Where, upon the hearing of the summons to proceed, or at any time during the prosecution of the judgment or order, it appears to the judge, with respect to the whole or any portion of the proceedings, that the interests of the parties can be classified, he may require the parties constituting each or any class to be represented by the same solicitor, and may direct what parties may attend all or any part of the proceedings, and where the parties constituting any class cannot agree upon the solicitor to represent them, the judge may nominate such solicitor for the purpose of the proceedings before him, and where any one of the parties constituting such class declines to authorise the solicitor so nominated to act for him, and insists upon being represented by a different solicitor, such party shall personally pay the costs of his own solicitor of and relating to the proceedings before the judge, with repect to which such nomination shall have been made, and all such further costs as shall be occasioned to any of the parties by his being represented by a different solicitor from the solicitor so to be nominated (a).

(a) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 20.

Where, in an administration action, the parties beneficially entitled appeared by several solicitors but were unable to agree as to which solicitor should represent the whole class in the proceedings under the judgment, the Court appointed the official solicitor to represent the class (Re Docura, Docura v. Faith, W. N. (1884), 174, 232).

Where a number of persons in the same interest having liberty to attend the proceedings in an administration suit appeared separately on an adjourned summons,

only one set of costs was allowed (Stevenson v. Abington, 11 W. R. 936; Bellew v. Bellew, W. N. (1868), 253; Foxen v. Foxen, 13 W. R. 33). Where the plaintiff and defendant in an administration suit employ the same solicitor, other residuary legatees the tendant in an administration suit employ the same sourcier, other residuary legances having liherty to attend the proceedings will be allowed one set of costs between them (Daubney v. Leake, 1 Eq. 495; 35 Beav. 311; Hubbard v. Latham, 35 L. J. Ch. 402; 14 W. R. 553; 14 L. T. 616; Wragg v. Morley, 14 W. R. 549; Joseph v. Goode, W. N. (1875), 4; 23 W. R. 225). See also as to costs of attendances in chambers, r. 42; Ord. LXV. r. 27 (23), post; Sharp v. Lush, 10 Ch. D. 468; 27 W. R. 528; Re Marshall, W. N. (1879), 12; Day v. Batty, 21 Ch. D. 830; Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs p. 137 & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 137.

41. Whenever in any proceeding before a judge in chambers the Judge may same solicitor is employed for two or more parties, such judge may to be repreat his discretion require that any of the said parties shall be represented sented by disbefore him by a distinct solicitor, and adjourn such proceedings until such party is so represented (b).

- (b) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 21.
- 42. Any of the parties other than those who shall have been directed Costs of to attend may attend at their own expense, and upon paying the costs, parties not directed to if any, occasioned by such attendance, or, if they think fit, they may attend. apply by summons for liberty to attend at the expense of the estate, or to have the conduct of the action either in addition to or in substitution for any of the parties who shall have been directed to attend.

43. An order is to be drawn up on a summons to be taken out by Order. the plaintiff or the party having the conduct of the action, stating the parties who shall have been directed to attend and such of them (if any) as shall have elected to attend at their own expense, and such order is to be recited in the chief clerk's certificate.

XI.—Advertisements for Creditors and Claimants.

44. Where a judgment or order is given or made, whether in Court Claimants not or in chambers, directing an account of debts, claims, or liabilities, or coming in to an inquiry for heirs, next of kin, or other unascertained persons, excluded. unless otherwise ordered, all persons who do not come in and prove their claims within the time, which may be fixed for that purpose by advertisement, shall be excluded from the benefit of the judgment or order (c).

(c) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 12.

After the time fixed by the advertisement no claim can he received (except in case

After the time fixed by the advertisement no claim can be received (except in case of an adjournment) without special leave; see r. 57, post.

After distribution under a decree, persons making further claims may proceed by action against those persons who have received the assets, but not against the executors who are indemnified by the decree (Clegg v. Rowland, 3 Eq. 368; David v. Frowd, 1 My. & K. 209; Good v. Blewitt, 19 Ves. 339; Greig v. Somerville, 1 R. & M. 338; Thomas v. Griffith, 2 Giff. 504; 2 De G. F. & J. 555); and even where the assets have been distributed without a suit the executors ought not to be made parties (Hunter v. Young, 4 Ex. D. 256).

For the practice where a fund becomes distributable after a great lapse of time

and some only of the creditors come in to claim, see Ashley v. Ashley, 1 Ch. D. 243;

affirmed 4 Ch. D. 757.

A creditor may come in as long as there are assets (Lashley v. Hogg, 11 Ves. 602; Hartwell v. Colvin, 16 Beav. 140; Re Metcalfe, 13 Ch. D. 236); and although the

fund has been apportioned (Gillespie v. Alexander, 3 Russ. 130; Montefiori v. Browne, 7 H. L. C. 241; 4 Jur. N. S. 1201; Knierim v. Schmauss, 10 W. R. 860).

In Prowse v. Spurgin, 5 Eq. 99, the plaintiff, a residuary legatee was held [liable

In Prowse v. Spurgin, 5 Eq. 99, the plaintiff, a residuary legatee was held [liable to pay legacies more than twenty years after the testator's death, owing to the form of the certificate to which he had assented.

Advertisements.

- 45. Where an advertisement is required for the purpose of any proceeding in chambers, a peremptory advertisement, and only one, shall be issued, unless for any special reason it may be thought necessary to issue a second advertisement or further advertisements, and any advertisement may be repeated as many times and in such papers as may be directed (d).
 - (d) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 35.
 As to the advertisements required, see Wood v. Weightman, 13 Eq. 434.

By whom prepared. Signature of chief clerk.

- 46. The advertisement shall be prepared by the party prosecuting the judgment or order, and submitted to the chief clerk for approval, and when approved shall be signed by him, and such signature shall be sufficient authority to the printer of the Gazette to insert the same (e).
 - (e) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 36. See note to r. 45.

Time to be fixed for sending in claims.

47. Advertisements for creditors and other claimants shall fix a time, within which each claimant, not being a creditor, is to come in and prove his claim, and within which each creditor is to send to the executor or administrator of the deceased, or to such other party as the judge shall direct, or to his solicitor, to be named and described in the advertisement, the name and address of such creditor and the full particulars of his claim, and a statement of his account and the nature of the security (if any) held by him. Such advertisements shall be in one of the Forms No. 2 and 3, in Appendix L., with such variations as the circumstances of the case may require. At the time of directing such advertisement a time shall be fixed for adjudicating on the claims (f).

Form of advertisement.

(f) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 37, and General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 1.

For these forms, see infra.

As to using in chambers advertisements previously issued by the executor, see Cuthbert v. Wharmby, W. N. (1869), 12.

Office copies of affidavits.

48. Claimants filing affidavits shall not be required to take office copies, but the person who examines the claims shall take office copies and produce the same at the hearing, unless the judge shall otherwise direct (g).

(g) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 39.

A claimant may be cross-examined upon his affidavit in support of his claim (Cast v. Poyser, 3 Sm. & Giff. 369; affirmed, 26 L. J. Ch. 353); and after his cross-examination the other side may file fresh evidence (Lancefield v. Iggulden, W. N. (1872), 111; 20 W. R. 621).

Creditor not to make affi-

Æ.

49. No creditor need make any affidavit nor attend in support of

his claim (except to produce his security) unless he is served with a notice requiring him to do so as hereinafter provided (h).

Ord. LV.

(h) This Rule is taken from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 2.

davit nor attend unless required.

50. Every creditor shall produce the security (if any) held by him Creditor to before the judge at such time as shall be specified in the advertise- produce secument for that purpose, being the time appointed for adjudicating on evidence. the claims, and every creditor shall, if required by notice in writing (Form No. 4 in Appendix L.) to be given by the executor or administrator of the deceased, or by such other party as the judge shall direct, produce all other deeds and documents necessary to substantiate his claim before the judge at his chambers at such time as shall be specified in such notice (i).

- (i) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 3. As to disputing debts in chambers, and in particular the debt of the plaintiff creditor, see Cardell v. Hawke, 6 Eq. 464.
- 51. In case any creditor shall neglect or refuse to comply with the Creditor faillast preceding rule, he shall not be allowed any costs of proving his ing to comply claim unless the judge shall otherwise direct (k).

not to be allowed costs.

- (k) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 4. As to costs of proving, see rule 58, post.
- 52. The executor or administrator of the deceased, or such other Claims to be party as the judge shall direct, shall examine the claims of creditors examined and sent in pursuant to the advertisement, and shall ascertain, so far as he by affidavit of is able, to which of such claims the estate of the deceased is justly executor or liable, and he shall, at least seven clear days prior to the time appointed by appointed for adjudication, file an affidavit (Form No. 5 in Appen-judge. dix L.), to be made by such executor or administrator, or one of the executors or administrators, or such other party, either alone or jointly with his solicitor or other competent person, or otherwise, as the judge shall direct, verifying a list of the claims (Form No. 6 in Appendix L.), the particulars of which have been sent in pursuant to the advertisement, and stating to which of such claims, or parts thereof respectively, the estate of the deceased is in the opinion of the deponent justly liable, and his belief that such claims, or parts thereof respectively, are justly due and proper to be allowed, and the reasons for such belief (l).

result verified

- 7) This rule is taken from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 5. For these forms, see infra.
- 53. In case the judge shall think fit so to direct, the making of the Affidavit may affidavit referred to in the last preceding rule shall be postponed till be postponed. after the day appointed for adjudication, and shall then be subject to such directions as the judge may give (m).

- (m) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 6.
- 54. Where on the day appointed for hearing the claims any of them Adjournment. remain undisposed of, an adjournment day for hearing such claims

Close of evidence.

shall be fixed, and where further evidence is to be adduced, a time may be named within which the evidence on both sides is to be closed, and directions may be given as to the mode in which such evidence is to be adduced (n).

(n) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 40.

Adjudication on claims.

- 55. At the time appointed for adjudicating upon the claims of creditors, or at any adjournment thereof, the judge may in his discretion allow any of the claims, or any part thereof respectively, without proof by the creditors, and direct such investigation of all or any of the claims not allowed, and require such further particulars, information, or evidence relating thereto as he may think fit, and may, if he so think fit, require any creditor to attend and prove his claim, or any part thereof, and the adjudication on such claims as are not then allowed shall be adjourned to a time to be then fixed (o).
 - (o) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 7.

Notice to creditor of allowance or disallowance of claims.

- 56. Notice (Form No. 7 in Appendix L.) shall be given by the executor or administrator, or such other party as the judge shall direct, to every creditor whose claim, or any part thereof, has been allowed without proof by the creditor, of such allowance, and to every such creditor as the judge shall direct to attend and prove his claim or such part thereof as is not allowed by a time to be named in such notice (Form No. 8 in Appendix L.), not being less than seven days after such notice, and to attend at a time to be therein named, being the time to which the adjudication thereon shall have been adjourned, and in case any creditor shall not comply with such notice, his claim, or such part thereof as aforesaid, shall be disallowed (p).
- (p) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 8. For these forms, see infra.

Special leave to make claims after time fixed by advertisement.

- 57. After the time fixed by the advertisement no claims shall be received (except as hereinbefore provided in case of an adjournment), unless the judge at chambers shall think fit to give special leave, upon application made by summons, and then upon such terms and conditions as to costs and otherwise as the judge shall think fit (q).
- (q) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 10. See notes to rule 44, ante, p. 499.

Costs of oreditor establishing his debt. 58. A creditor who has come in and established his debt in the judge's chambers under any judgment or order shall be entitled to the costs of so establishing his debt, and the sum to be allowed for such costs shall be fixed by the judge, unless he shall think fit to direct the taxation thereof; and the amount of such costs, or the sum allowed in respect thereof, shall be added to the debt so established (r).

Costs.

(r) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 24. It does not affect the costs to which the plaintiff in a creditor's suit is entitled (*Flintoff v. Haynes*, 4 Ha. 309). In general, all creditors required to prove their debts, are allowed a fixed sum of 11. 13s. 4d, if the debt is under 51. and 21. 2s. if above (Seton, 832). In Waterlow v.

Burt, 18 W. R. 683, S. C. sub nom. Waterton v. Burt, 39 L. J. Ch. 425; W. N. (1870), 106, three guineas was held a proper sum to allow. A creditor failing to produce his security or other evidence of his claim, will get no costs (rule 51). Creditors attending under rule 50, to produce securities or other evidence, will be allowed a proper fee for such attendance. Where an estate, which was insufficient, had been apportioned amongst the creditors, but not actually distributed, a creditor was allowed to come in on payment of the costs of the application and of the reaphad been apportioned amongst the creditors, but not actually distributed, a creditor was allowed to come in on payment of the costs of the application and of the reapportionment (Angell v. Haddon, 1 Mad. 529). If a person claiming to be a creditor Costs of a fails in his claim, he must pay the costs (Hatch v. Scarles, 2 Sm. & G. 157; Yeomans person failing v. Haynes, 24 Beav. 127; Colyer v. Colyer, 10 W. R. 748; and see Wright v. Larmuth, in his claim W. N. (1869), 36). The proper course is to ask for the costs when the claim is to be a adjudicated on, but an order for payment of them may be made on a distinct summons (Yeomans v. Haynes); and notwithstanding the pendency of an appeal against the order disallowing the claim (Colyer v. Colyer).

Ord. LV.

59. A list of all claims allowed shall, when required by the judge, Claims be made out and left in the judge's chambers by the person who ex- allowed. amines the claims (s).

- (s) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 44.
- 60. Where any judgment or order is made for payments by the Pay- Notice to master-general to creditors, the party whose duty it is to prosecute cheques being such judgment or order, shall send to each such creditor or his soli- ready. citor (if any) a notice (Form No. 9 in Appendix L.), that the cheques may be received from the Paymaster-general, and such party shall, when required, produce such judgment or order and any other papers necessary to enable such creditors to receive their cheques and get them passed (t).

- (t) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 12. See this form, infra.
- 61. Every notice by this order required to be given to creditors or Notice by post other claimants shall, unless the judge shall otherwise direct, be to be suffideemed sufficiently given and served if transmitted by the post prepaid to the creditor or other claimant to be served according to the address given in the claim sent in by him pursuant to the advertisement, or in case such creditor or other claimant shall have employed a solicitor, to such solicitor according to the address given by him(u).

(u) This rule is from General Order, 27th May, 1865, r. 13.

XII.—Interest.

62. Where a judgment or order is made directing an account of the Interest debts of a deceased person, unless otherwise ordered, interest shall be on debts. computed on such debts as to such of them as carry interest after the rate they respectively carry, and as to all others after the rate of four per cent. per annum from the date of the judgment or order (v).

- (v) This and the two following rules are from Cons. Ord. XLII. rr. 9, 10 and 11.
- 63. A creditor whose debt does not carry interest, who comes in and Debts not establishes the same before the judge in chambers under a judgment carrying interest, or order of the court or of the judge in chambers, shall be entitled to interest upon his debt at the rate of four per cent. per annum from the date of the judgment or order out of any assets which may remain

after satisfying the costs of the cause or matter, the debts established, and the interest of such debts as by law carry interest (w).

(w) See note to rule 62.

These rules do not apply to decrees made before 1841 (Wheeler v. Gill, 19 Eq. 316).

A creditor is entitled to interest on a debt which accrnes subsequently to the judgment only from the time of proof (Lainson v. Lainson, 18 Beav. 7; 17 Jur. 1044). As to allowing interest on the arrears of an annuity which the testator had covenanted to pay, see Jenkins v. Bryant, 16 Sim. 272, and cases there cited. As to deducting income tax, see Dinning v. Henderson, 3 De G. & S. 702; Crane v. Kilpin, 6 Eq. 334. The direction to compute interest may be given on further consideration (Flintoff v. Haynes, 4 Ha. 309). See further as to interest, Daniell, 1027 et seq. If the estate is insolvent a creditor (under sect. 10 of the Judicature Act, 1875) is entitled to interest only up to the date of the judgment for administration (Re Summers, 13 Ch. D. 136).

Interest on legacies.

- 64. Where a judgment or order is made directing an account of legacies, interest shall be computed on such legacies after the rate of four per cent. per annum from the end of one year after the testator's death, unless otherwise ordered, or unless any other time of payment or rate of interest is directed by the will, and in that case according to the will (x).
- (x) See note to rule 62; and as to interest on legacies generally, see Re Olive, W. N. (1884), 81; Seton, 874; Daniell, 1037. Interest computed on an advancement is computed from the death of the testator (Hilton v. Hilton, 14 Eq. 468; Field v. Seward, 5 Ch. D. 538. See also Stewart v. Stewart, 15 Ch. D. 539).

XIII.—Certificates of the Chief Clerk.

Result of proceedings before chief clerk to be embodied in concise certificate.

- 65. The directions to be given for or touching any proceedings before the chief clerk shall require no particular form, but the result of such proceedings shall be stated in the shape of a concise certificate to the judge. It shall not be necessary for the judge to sign such certificate, and unless an order to discharge or vary the same is made, the certificate shall be deemed to be approved and adopted by the judge (y).
 - (y) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 32.

Reference to judgment or order, documents or evidence.

- 66. The certificate of the chief clerk shall not, unless the circumstances of the case render it necessary, set out the judgment or order or any documents or evidence or reasons, but shall refer to the judgment, or order, documents, and evidence or particular paragraphs thereof, so that it may appear upon what the result stated in the certificate is founded (z).
 - (z) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 47.

Form of certificate.
Transcript.

- 67. The certificate of the chief clerk shall be in the Form No. 10 in Appendix L., with such variations as the circumstances may require, and when prepared and settled shall be transcribed in such form, and within such time as the chief clerk shall require, and shall be signed by the chief clerk either then or (if necessary) at an adjournment to be made for the purpose (a).
 - (a) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 47. For this form, see infra.

68. Where an account is directed, the certificate shall state the result of such account, and not set the same out by way of schedule, Contents of but shall refer to the account verified by the affidavit filed, and shall certificate in specify by the numbers attached to the items in the account which, cases of account. if any, of such items have been disallowed or varied, and shall state what additions, if any, have been made by way of surcharge or otherwise, and where the account verified by the affidavit has been so altered that it is necessary to have a fair transcript of the account Transcript. as altered, such transcript may be required to be made by the party prosecuting the judgment or order, and shall then be referred to by the certificate. The accounts and the transcripts (if any) referred to Accounts to by certificates shall be filed therewith, or retained in chambers be filed. and subsequently filed, as the judge in chambers may direct. No copy of any such account shall be required to be taken by any party (b).

- (b) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 46.
- 69. Any party may, before the proceedings before the chief clerk Reference to are concluded, take the opinion of the judge upon any matter arising the judge before proin the course of the proceedings without any fresh summons for the ceedings are purpose (c).

concluded.

(c) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, ss. 29, 33. As to the right of a suitor to adjourn any matter before the judge, see *Upton* v. *Brown*, 20 Ch. D. 731; 30 W. R. 817; Re Watts, 22 Ch. D. 5; and as to an appeal in such a case, see Rhodes v. Rhodes, 1 Ch.

Watts, 22 Ch. D. 5; and as to an appear in such a case, see Rhodes v. Rhodes, 1 Ch. 483. See also r. 15 and note thereto, ante, p. 492.

The objections to the chief clerk's finding should, if possible, be taken before the certificate is made and at once referred to the judge in chambers, so as to save expense (Parr v. Lovegrove, 6 W. R. 201). If a solicitor should unreasonably insist upon his right to take a matter before the judge, e.g., insist on referring every disputed item in an account, he may be ordered to pay the costs personally (Upton v. Brown).

70. Every certificate, with the accounts (if any) to be filed there- Certificate to with, shall be transmitted by the chief clerk to the central office to be filed at the central office. be there filed, and shall thenceforth be binding on all the parties to Application the proceedings unless discharged or varied upon application by to discharge summons to be made before the expiration of eight clear days after or vary. the filing of the certificate; provided that the time for applying to discharge or vary certificates, to be acted upon by the Paymastergeneral without further order, or certificates on passing receivers' accounts, shall be two clear days after the filing thereof (d).

(d) Cf. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 34; Cons. Ord. XXXV. rr. 52—56.

The fact of a claim being disallowed by the chief clerk in one suit, was held no Certificate, bar to its being prosecuted in another (Teed v. Beere, 7 W. R. 394; but see contra, how far an Thomas v. Griffith, 2 Giff. 504; 2 De G. F. & J. 562). See, however, as to new estoppel. claimants coming in after the certificate is adopted, r. 44, ante, p. 499.

The certificate cannot be objected to unless the application to vary or discharge Application it is properly made (Lamb v. Orton, 8 W. R. 111). The application to vary will to vary must be adjourned into Court, if necessary; and as to what costs are to be paid by the be properly unsuccessful party, see Re General Estates Co., 8 Eq. 123; Aleock v. Gill, W. N. made.

If the cause is coming on to be heard on further consideration, such hearing and Coming on the application to vary will come on together (Crompton v. Huber, 3 W. R. 347; with further Hudson v. Carmichael, 18 Jur. 852). And where such hearing and application to consideration.

vary come on together, it may be necessary to appeal from the order as a whole; see Bloxam v. Whipham, 8 W. R. 2.

Where error is apparent.

When there was error apparent in the chief clerk's certificate, and the decree founded thereon, the Court altered and corrected both the decree and the certificate, without a rehearing (Cradock v. Owen, 2 Sm. & G. 241); and cf. Purcell v. Manning, 3 Jur. N. S. 1070, where, in a somewhat similar case, the Court gave leave to move to vary the chief clerk's certificate, and to present a petition of rehearing. As to rectifying a direction to take accounts on rehearing, see Pennell v. Millar, 23 Beav. 172.

Evidence on application to vary.

Affidavits not used before the chief clerk cannot be used on applications to vary his certificate (*Re Hooper*, 9 Jur. N. S. 570; and see *Whitworth* v. *Whyddon*, 2 M. & G. 56; *Floming* v. *East*, Kay, App. lii.). Nor was cross-examination in Court allowed on those affidavits which were used before him (*Dawkins* v. *Morton*, 10 W. R. 339). Whether affidavits referred to in the certificate can be read on further consideration when there is no summons to vary is doubtful; see *Re Brier*, 26 Ch. D. p. 242.

Where the summons had been obtained within the eight days, even though not returnable until after that time, it was held sufficient (Wycherley v. Barnard, John. 41; but see Henshaw v. Angell, 9 Eq. 451); and where it was impossible to move on a seal day within the eight days the Court allowed the motion to be made on a day not a seal day, and to be saved till next seal (Cross v. Maltby, 8 W. R. 646). The eight days ran during vacations (Ware v. Watson, 7 De G. M. & G. 739; and see Re Jones, 8 W. R. 56).

When a motion was made for payment of money found due on a certificate before the eight days had elapsed, the Court ordered the motion to stand over till the expiration of that period (*Douthwaite* v. *Spensley*, 18 Beav. 74).

Certificate may, under special circumstances, be discharged after it has become binding.

- 71. The judge may, if the special circumstances of the case require it, upon an application by motion or summons for the purpose, direct a certificate to be discharged or varied at any time after the same has become binding on the parties (e).
- (e) The certificate will only be opened under special circumstances (Re Martin, Dier v. Martin, W. N. (1884), 112; Howell v. Keightley, 8 De G. M. & G. 325; 2 Jur. N. S. 455; Reeve v. Reeve, W. N. (1871), 52); for the certificate, whatever effect it may have on the hearing on further consideration, is conclusive against parties who have neither taken out a summons nor moved to have it varied (Smith v. Armstrong, 6 De G. M. & G. 150); and see Jaquet v. Jaquet, 7 W. R. 543; Leigh v. Turner, 14 W. R. 361; Aspinall v. Brown, 29 Beav. 462; Ware v. Watson, 7 De G. M. & G. 739; and it may operate to revive a statute-barred claim (Prowse v. Spurgin, 5 Eq. 99). In Re Dove, 27 Ch. D. 687, the time for applying to vary the certificate was extended at the hearing on further consideration, but the judge directed a summons to be taken out pro forma.

Where an order is made varying a certificate, the certificate itself, i.e. the actual document, is not altered (Fox v. Bearblock, 30 W. R. 342; W. N. (1882), 9).

XIV.—Further Consideration.

Adjournment of matter in chambers,

72. Where any matter originating in chambers shall, at the original or any subsequent hearing, have been adjourned for further consideration in chambers, such matter may, after the expiration of eight days and within fourteen days from the filing of the chief clerk's certificate, be brought on for further consideration by a summons, to be taken out by the party having the conduct of the matter, and after the expiration of such fourteen days by a summons, to be taken out by any other party. Such summons shall be in the form following:—

"That this matter, the further consideration whereof was adjourned by the order of the day of 18, may be further considered," and shall be served six clear days before the return. Provided that this rule shall not apply to any matter, the further con-

sideration whereof shall, at the original or any subsequent hearing, have been adjourned into Court (f).

Ord. LV.

(f) This rule is taken from Regulations as to Business, Aug. 8, 1857, r. 18.

XV.—Registering and Drawing up of Orders in Chambers.

73. Notes shall be kept of all proceedings in the judges' chambers Notes to be with proper dates, so that all such proceedings in each cause or matter kept of proceedings in may appear consecutively, and in chronological order, with a short chambers. statement of the questions or points decided or ruled at every hearing (g).

- (g) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 57.
- 74. The judge may direct any order made in chambers to be drawn Order made in up by the registrars, and any such order shall be entered in the same chambers may manner as orders made in open Court (h).

be directed to be drawn up by registrar.

. (h) Cf. Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 32; 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 14. An order made in chambers must, it would seem, be drawn up and entered before it can be enforced (Ballard v. Tomlinson, W. N. (1883), 90; 31 W. R. 563); unless it comes within the provisions of Ord. LII. r. 14, ante, p. 481.

As to appeals from orders made in chambers, see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 50, ante, p. 266.

- 75. The Forms Nos. 11 to 24 in Appendix L. shall be used for the Forms. respective purposes therein mentioned, with such variations as circumstances may require (i).
 - (i) For these forms, see infra.

[Ord. LVI. refers only to Admiralty actions.]

ORDER LVII.

INTERPLEADER.

1. Relief by way of interpleader may be granted,—

Interpleader:

- (a.) Where the person seeking relief (in this order called the appli- by private cant) is under liability for any debt, money, goods, or person; chattels, for or in respect of which he is, or expects to be, sued by two or more parties (in this order called the claimants) making adverse claims thereto:
- (b.) Where the applicant is a sheriff or other officer charged with by sheriff or the execution of process by or under the authority of the other officer. High Court, and claim is made to any money, goods, or chattels taken or intended to be taken in execution under any process, or to the proceeds or value of any such goods or chattels by any person other than the person against whom the process issued (k).

(k) The Interpleader Act, 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 58, and the sections of the Common 1 & 2 Will. IV. Law Procedure Act, 1860, relating to interpleader (except sect. 17), on which this c. 58.

Ord. LVII.

order is founded, are repealed by the Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure

A foreigner without the jurisdiction may be made to interplead (Credits Gerundeuse v. Van Weede, 12 Q. B. D. 171), but not the Crown (Candy v. Maughan, 1 D. & L. 745)

What applicant must prove.

- 2. The applicant must satisfy the Court or a judge by affidavit or otherwise—
 - (a.) That the applicant claims no interest in the subject-matter in dispute, other than for charges or costs; and
 - (b.) That the applicant does not collude with any of the claimants; and
 - (c.) That the applicant is willing to pay or transfer the subjectmatter into Court or to dispose of it as the Court or a judge may direct.

Titles of claimants may be adverse. 3. The applicant shall not be disentitled to relief by reason only that the titles of the claimants have not a common origin but are adverse to and independent of one another.

Time of application.

4. Where the applicant is a defendant, application for relief may be made at any time after service of the writ of summons.

Summons.

*5. The applicant may take out a summons calling on the claimants to appear and state the nature and particulars of their claims, and either to maintain or relinquish them.

Stay of proceedings.

6. If the application is made by a defendant in an action the Court or a judge may stay all further proceedings in the action.

Proceedings when claimants appear. 7. If the claimants appear in pursuance of the summons, the Court or a judge may order either that any claimant be made a defendant in any action already commenced in respect of the subject-matter in dispute in lieu of or in addition to the applicant, or that an issue between the claimants be stated and tried, and in the latter case may direct which of the claimants is to be plaintiff, and which defendant.

Power to decide summarily. 8. The Court or a judge may, with the consent of both claimants or on the request of any claimant, if, having regard to the value of the subject-matter in dispute, it seems desirable so to do, dispose of the merits of their claims, and decide the same in a summary manner and on such terms as may be just.

Question of law.

9. Where the question is a question of law, and the facts are not in dispute, the Court or a judge may either decide the question without directing the trial of an issue, or order that a special case be stated for the opinion of the Court. If a special case is stated, Ord. XXXIV. shall, as far as applicable, apply thereto.

Claimant not appearing or refusing to comply with order may be barred. 10. If a claimant, having been duly served with a summons calling on him to appear and maintain, or relinquish, his claim, does not appear in pursuance of the summons, or, having appeared, neglects or refuses to comply with any order made after his appearance, the Court or a judge may make an order declaring him, and all persons claiming under him, for ever barred against the applicant, and persons claiming under him, but the order shall not affect the rights of the claimants as between themselves.

11. Except where otherwise provided by statute, the judgment in Ord. LVII. any action or on any issue ordered to be tried or stated in an inter- Decision to be pleader proceeding, and the decision of the Court or a judge in a sum-final. mary way, under rule 8 of this order, shall be final and conclusive against the claimants, and all persons claiming under them, unless by special leave of the Court or judge, as the case may be, or of the Court of Appeal (l).

(1) By sect. 17 of the C. L. P. Act, 1860, "the judgment in any such action or C. L. P. Act, (b) By Sect. 17 of the C. L. P. Act, 1860, "the judgment in any such action of C. L. I. Actions as may be directed by the Court or judge in any interpleader proceedings, and 1860, s. 17. the decision of the Court or judge in a summary manner, shall be final and conclusive against the parties and all persons claiming by, from, or under them;" and see sect. 20 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, ante, p. 289. See, as to the construction of these sections, Dodds v. Shepherd, 1 Ex. D. 75; Turner v. Bridgett, 9 Q. B. D. 55; Witt v. Parker, 46 L. J. Q. B. 450. As to the construction of rule 11, see Burstall v. Bryant, 12 Q. B. D. 103; Westerman v. Rees, W. N. (1883), 228.

12. When goods or chattels have been seized in execution by a Sale of goods sheriff or other officer charged with the execution of process of the seized in exc-High Court, and any claimant alleges that he is entitled, under a bill of sale or otherwise, to the goods or chattels by way of security for debt, the Court or a judge may order the sale of the whole or a part thereof, and direct the application of the proceeds of the sale in such manner and upon such terms as may be just.

13. Ords. XXXI. and XXXVI. shall, with the necessary modifica- Ords. XXXI. tions, apply to an interpleader issue; and the Court or judge who tries and XXXVI. to apply to the issue may finally dispose of the whole matter of the interpleader interpleader proceedings, including all costs not otherwise provided for.

14. Where in any interpleader proceeding it is necessary or expe- One order dient to make one order in several causes or matters pending in several in matters in divisions, or before different judges of the same division, such order several divimay be made by the Court or judge before whom the interpleader sions, or before different proceeding may be taken, and shall be entitled in all such causes or judges of matters; and any such order (subject to the right of appeal) shall be same division. binding on the parties in all such causes or matters.

15. The Court or a judge may, in or for the purposes of any inter- Costs. pleader proceedings, make all such orders as to costs(m) and all other matters (n) as may be just and reasonable.

(m) See, as to costs, Hansen v. Maddox, 12 Q. B. D. 100; Searle v. Matthews, W. N. (1883), 176; C. v. D., W. N. (1883), 207.
(n) See Howell v. Dawson, 13 Q. B. D. 67.

ORDER LVIII.

APPEALS TO THE COURT OF APPEAL.

1. All appeals to the Court of Appeal (o) shall be by way of rehear- Rehearing by ing, and shall be brought by notice of motion in a summary way, and motion. no petition, case, or other formal proceeding other than such notice of motion shall be necessary. The appellant may by the notice of motion appeal from the whole or any part of any judgment or order, and the

Ord. LVIII. notice of motion shall state whether the whole or part only of such judgment or order is complained of, and in the latter case shall specify such part (p).

Rehearings and appeals.

No appeal for trifling

amount,

(o) As to the constitution of the Court of Appeal, see Judicature Act, 1875, s. 4, ante, p. 278, and Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, s. 15, ante, p. 287; as to its jurisdiction, see Judicature Act, 1873, ss. 18, 19, ante, pp. 252, 253, and r. 4, post, p. 511; and as to the style of the judges, see Judicature Act, 1877, s. 4, ante, p. 290.

No judge can now rehear a case (Re St. Nazaire Co., 12 Ch. D. 88; Re Manchester Building Society, 24 Ch. D. 488): nor can the Court of Appeal rehear an appeal, even where the judgment had been obtained by fraud (Flower v. Lloyd, 6 Ch. D. 297). Semble, in such a case the proper course is to bring a fresh action to set aside the judgment on the ground of fraud (ibid.); but see Flower v. Lloyd, 10 Ch. D. 327.

(p) Appeals are not allowed where the amount at stake is insignificant (Re Cross, 7 Ch. 221); nor in the following cases:—

(1.) From an order made by consent, or as to costs only in the discretion of the Court, except by leave; see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 49, and cases there cited, ante, p. 265.

 Where the submission was to the judge personally (Ex parte Wilson, 7 Ch. 45; Bustros v. White, 1 Q. B. D. 423; 45 L. J. Q. B. 642).
 Where it is provided by Act of Parliament that the decision of the Court below shall be final (Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, s. 20, ante, p. 289).
 Where the order was made in a matter within the discretion of the judge (Golding v. Wharton Saltworks Co., 1 Q. B. D. 374; Sheffield v. Sheffield, 10 Ch. 206; Watson v. Rodwell, 3 Ch. D. 380; 24 W. R. 1009); when the Court of Arpeal will only interfere in a very strong case (Re Martin, Hunt. Court of Appeal will only interfere in a very strong case (Re Martin, Hunt v. Chambers, 20 Ch. D. 365; Davy v. Garrett, 7 Ch. D. 473; Jarmain v. Chatterton, 20 Ch. D. p. 499).

As to appealing from the refusal of a judge to commit for contempt, see Jarmain v. Chatterton, 20 Ch. D. 493; Ashworth v. Outram, 5 Ch. D. 943.

As to undertakings not to appeal, see Re Hull and County Bank, 13 Ch. D. 261.
As to appeals from orders in chambers, see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 50.
Any person interested may appeal (Re Markham, 16 Ch. D. 1; Crawcour v. Salter, 30 W. R. 329; Daniell, 1269); but if not a party to the record he must obtain permission from the Court of Appeal, the application for which is made ex parte (Re Markham).

Persons served with notice of a decree (Ellison v. Thomas, 1 De G. J. & S. 18; Kidd v. Cheync, 18 Jur. 348), and one of several co-plaintiffs (Beckett v. Attwood, 18 Ch. D. 54; 29 W. R. 796) can appeal. As to an appeal by a party to a special case who did not appear at the hearing, see Allum v. Dickinson, 9 Q. B. D. 632.

Where the plaintiff in a representative suit obtains an order with which one of

the class represented is dissatisfied, the latter cannot appeal; his proper course is to apply to the Court below to be made a defendant (Watson v. Cave (No. 1), 17 Ch. D. 19).

Notice of appeal to parties affected.

2. The notice of appeal shall be served upon all parties directly affected by the appeal, and it shall not be necessary to serve parties not so affected (q); but the Court of Appeal may direct notice of the appeal to be served on all or any parties to the action or other proceeding, or upon any person not a party, and in the meantime may postpone or adjourn the hearing of the appeal upon such terms as may be just, and may give such judgment and make such order as might have been given or made if the persons served with such notice had been originally parties. Any notice of appeal may be amended at any time as the Court of Appeal may think fit (r).

Service.

(q) All parties who will be affected ought to be served (Hunter v. Hunter, 24 W. R. 504), and if such a party is not served he may nevertheless appear and obtain his costs (Re New Callao, 22 Ch. D. 484). On the other hand, a party served but appearing unnecessarily will be allowed no costs (Ex parte Webster, 22 Ch. D. 136). An informal notice of appeal is sufficient (Little's Case, 8 Ch. D. 806); notice of an intention to give notice of appeal is not (Re New Callao; Re Blyth and

from consent orders; for costs alone; from point submitted to judge; where decision is final;

from orders in judge's discretion.

Who may appeal.

Foung, 13 Ch. D. 416). As to substituted service, see Ex parte Warburg, 24 Ord. LVIII. Ch. D. 364; 25 Ch. D. 336.

Where the appellant has withdrawn his appeal with the consent of the other side he cannot afterwards revoke his withdrawal (Watson v. Cave (No. 2), 17 Ch. D. 23).

(r) The Court will allow an amendment without any special circumstances being Amendment.

shown (Re Stockton Iron Co., 10 Ch. D. 335).

3. Notice of appeal from any judgment, whether final or interlocu- Length of tory, or from a final order, shall be a fourteen days' notice, and notice notice. of appeal from any interlocutory order shall be a four days' notice (s).

(8) See Re Stockton Iron Co., 10 Ch. D. 335. As to final and interlocutory judgments and orders, see Judicature Act, 1875, s. 12, ante, p. 282.

4. The Court of Appeal shall have all the powers and duties as to Court of amendment and otherwise of the High Court (t), together with full Appeal may receive further discretionary power to receive further evidence upon questions of fact, evidence on such evidence to be either by oral examination in Court, by affidavit, special or by deposition taken before an examiner or commissioner. Such and evidence further evidence may be given without special leave upon interlocutory of facts occurapplications, or in any case as to matters which have occurred after the decree. date of the decision from which the appeal is brought. Upon appeals. from a judgment after trial or hearing of any cause or matter upon the merits, such further evidence (save as to matters subsequent as aforesaid) shall be admitted on special grounds only, and not without Special leave. special leave of the Court (u). The Court of Appeal shall have power to draw inferences of fact and to give any judgment and make any order which ought to have been made, and to make such further or other order as the case may require. The powers aforesaid may be exercised by the said Court, notwithstanding that the notice of appeal may be that part only of the decision may be reversed or varied, and varying such powers may also be exercised in favour of all or any of the decree for respondents or parties, although such respondents or parties may not appealing. have appealed from or complained of the decision. The Court of Appeal shall have power to make such order as to the whole or any part of the costs of the appeal as may be just (v).

(t) As to amendments, see Clack v. Wood, 9 Q. B. D. 276; Williams v. Preston, Amendment. 20 Ch. D. 672.

The Court of Appeal in discharging an order may direct money paid under the order discharged to be refunded (Re British Farmers Co., 7 Ch. D. 533).

(u) As to the admission of fresh evidence on appeals, see Sanders v. Sanders, 19 Admission of Ch. D. 373; 30 W. R. 281. An appellant who wishes to produce further evidence fresh evidence to the other side of his intention to apply at the hearing of the appeal for leave to produce such evidence (Hastie v. Hastie, 1 Ch. D. 562; Justiev v. Mersey Steel Co., 24 W. R. 199; Re Chennell, 8 Ch. D. 492); but if he wishes to examine fresh witnesses he must make a previous application by motion for leave so to do (Dioks v. Brooks, 13 Ch. D. 652); and see Exchange Bank v. Billinghurst, W. N. (1880), 2. As to the mode of objecting to new evidence on an appeal, see Michell v. Condy, W. N. (1881), 83. Where witnesses have been examined vival voce at the trial further evidence by affidavit of the same witnesses will not generally be admitted on an appeal (Taylor v. Grange, 15 Ch. D. 165).

Although the Court of Appeal, when called on to review the conclusion of the Court below after hearing evidence vival voce, will give great weight to the consideration that the demeanour and manner of the witnesses are not hefore it, yet it will

in a proper case act upon its own view of conflicting evidence (Bigsby v. Dickinson, 4 Ch. D. 24). See also Cracknall v. Janson, 11 Ch. D. 1.

tion that the demeanour and manner of the witnesses are not hefore it, yet it will

suit. Costs.

Ord. LVIII.

An appellant is not at liberty to raise a new case inconsistent with that raised before the Court below, even though the evidence supports such a case (Ex parte Reddish, 5 Ch. D. 882). The words "further evidence" mean evidence not used at the trial or hearing

"Further in the Court below (Re Chennell, 8 Ch. D. p. 505).

Further evidence on an appeal from an order rejecting a creditor's claim in an evidence." Claim in adadministration suit cannot be admitted without leave (Norton v. Compton, 27 Ch. D. ministration

(v) As a general rule a successful appellant gets his costs (Mem. 1 Ch. D. 41; Olivant v. Wright, 45 L. J. Ch. 1); but he may be deprived of them for any sufficient reason. Thus, where an appellant succeeded on a point not raised in the Court below (Hussey v. Horne Payne, 8 Ch. D. 670; affirmed, 4 App. Cas. 311; Goddard v. Jeffreys, 46 L. T. 904; and see Chard v. Jervis, 9 Q. B. D. 178), or failed in proving allegations of fraud, and succeeded on a mere point of law (Ex parte Cooper, 10 Ch. D. 313; Re Harrison, 13 Ch. D. 603), he got no costs; and so where he has been quilty of misconduct been guilty of misconduct.

Where the Court of Appeal reverses the decision below and dismisses the action with costs, this will not include costs incurred in chambers under the decree which

Dismissal of appeal is usually with costs.

is reversed (Marshall v. Berridge, 19 Ch. D. 245).

If the appeal is dismissed it is usually with costs; but, for misconduct of the respondent or other sufficient reason, the dismissal may be without costs. See Re Blyth and Young, 13 Ch. D. 416; Re Speight, 13 Q. B. D. 42, followed in Ex parte Blease, W. N. (1884), 238; Cooper v. Vesey, 20 Ch. D. p. 636, where the appellants were innocent persons who had been defrauded.

When the appeal is dismissed the Court of Appeal will not vary the order as to costs of the Court below (Harpham v. Shacklock, 19 Ch. D. 215; and see Graham v. Campbell, 7 Ch. D. 490; 26 W. R. 336; 38 L. T. 195; Harris v. Aaron, 4 Ch. D. 749; 25 W. R. 353; 36 L. T. 43).

See further, as to costs of appeals, Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 141

Security for

As to security for costs of appeal, see rule 15, and notes thereto, post, p. 515.

Power to order new trial.

costs.

5. If, upon hearing of an appeal, it shall appear to the Court of Appeal that a new trial ought to be had, it shall be lawful for the said Court of Appeal, if it shall think fit, to order that the verdict and judgment shall be set aside, and that a new trial shall be had.

Notice of cross appeal by respondent.

6. It shall not, under any circumstances, be necessary for a respondent to give notice of motion by way of cross appeal, but if a respondent intends, upon the hearing of the appeal, to contend that the decision of the Court below should be varied, he shall within the time specified in the next rule, or such time as may be prescribed by special order, give notice of such intention to any parties who may be affected by such contention. The omission to give such notice shall not diminish the powers conferred by the Act upon the Court of Appeal, but may, in the discretion of the Court, be ground for an adjournment of the appeal, or for a special order as to costs (w).

(w) The notice given by the respondent under this rule need not be given within the time prescribed for appealing (Ex parte Bishop, 15 Ch. D. 400)

A respondent who seeks to have an order varied on a point in which the appellant has no interest, cannot proceed by notice under this rule, but must give a notice of appeal (*Re Cavander*, 16 Ch. D. 270); see, however, *Ralph* v. *Carrick*, 11 Ch. D. 873.

appeal (the Cavanaer, 16 Ch. D. 270); see, however, Ralph v. Carrick, 11 Ch. D. 873. A respondent may give notice to a co-respondent in whose favour an order has been made that on the appeal he will ask for a variation of the order in his own favour (Ex parte Payne, 11 Ch. D. 539; and see Harrison v. Cornwall Minerals Ry. Co., 18 Ch. D. 334; Johnstone v. Cox, 19 Ch. D. 17).

A respondent who has given cross notice of appeal under this rule is in the same position as to costs as if he had presented a cross appeal (Harrison v. Cornwall Minerals Ry. Co.); and see further, as to costs, Robinson v. Drakes, 23 Ch. D. 98; Johnstone v. Cox; Cracknall v. Janson, 11 Ch. D. 1; The Lauretta, 4 P. D. 25; 27 W. R. 902; 40 L. T. 444.

Costs.

7. Subject to any special order which may be made, notice by a Ord. LVIII. respondent under the last preceding rule shall in the case of any appeal Time for from a final judgment be an eight days' notice, and in the case of an notice. appeal from an interlocutory order a two days' notice (x).

(x) See note to rule 6.

8. The party appealing from a judgment or order shall produce to Entering the proper officer (y) of the Court of Appeal the judgment or order or an office copy thereof, and shall leave with him a copy of the notice of appeal to be filed, and such officer shall thereupon set down the appeal by entering the same in the proper list of appeals, and it shall come on to be heard according to its order in such list, unless the Court of Appeal or a judge thereof shall otherwise direct, but so as not to come into the paper for hearing before the day named in the notice of appeal (z).

(y) See Ord. LXXI. r. 1, infra.

(z) An appeal from the refusal of an application may be set down without pro- "Proper duction of the order appealed from, or a copy of it (Smith v. Grindley, 3 Ch. D. 80; officer.

24 W. R. 956).

An appeal must be entered before the day mentioned in the notice of appeal for Appeal from the hearing, or if that day happens to be in a vacation, then before the next day of refusal of an the sitting of the Court, otherwise the respondent will be entitled to have the appeal application. motion dismissed as an abandoned motion, although the notice of appeal was given Entry of in time (Re National Funds Assurance Co., 4 Ch. D. 305; Re Mansel, 7 Ch. D. 711; appeal. Shoetensack v. Price, W. N. (1880), 69). But where the respondents' own delay was the cause of the appeal not having been set down, they were not allowed to raise the

objection (Re Harker, 10 Ch. D. 613).

A party applying to discharge an abandoned notice of appeal with costs must Costs of abanapply on notice (Re Oakwell Collieries, 7 Ch. D. 706; 26 W. R. 577); and an application for the costs of such abandoned notice will not be allowed unless a previous motion. demand for them has been made (Griffin v. Allen, 11 Ch. D. 913). See also Charlton

v. Charlton, 16 Ch. D. 273.

As to serving a second notice of appeal when the first had not been set down in As to set will a second notice of appear when the first had not been set down in time and the costs in such a case, see Norton v. London and North Western Ry. Co., 11 Ch. D. 118; 27 W. R. 773; 40 L. T. 597. A statement made by counsel on the hearing below that he does not intend to appeal, in consequence of which the counsel on the other side does not ask for costs, will not prevent an appeal if the undertaking not to appeal is not embodied in the order (Rc Hull and County Bank, 13 Ch. D. 261). But if the appeal is dismissed the appellant will, if the respondent asks for them, be ordered to pay the costs in the Court below (ibid.).

See Lazenby v. White, 6 Ch. 89; London, Chatham and Dover Ry. v. Imperial Credit Advancing Association, 3 Ch. 231 (where the right to an injunction was involved), for cases in appeals. which appeals will be advanced. An application to advance should be made on notice (Re a Solicitor, 26 Sol. J. 8).

The following notice was issued from the Chancery Registrars' Office, on January

29th, 1877; see W. N. (1877), 88, Pt. II.
"The senior registrar has been directed to give notice that, in future, appeals from interlocutory orders in any of the following cases, will be set down for hearing in a separate list :-

"1. On applications for injunctions, prohibitions, writs of ne exeat regno, or certiorari, and for stop orders on securities or documents in court.

"2. On applications for and relating to the appointment of receivers, managers, or official liquidators.

"3. On applications for enlarging the time for redemption, for payment into Court, or for doing any other act, or for taking any proceedings.

4. On applications relating to wards or infants and the management of their

property.

"5. On applications relating to all matters of contempt and to the execution of decrees, judgments and orders.

"6. On applications relating to the discovery and inspection of documents.

"7. And, generally, on all applications relating merely to matters of practice or procedure.

Ord. LVIII.

Papers to be left for the use of the Court.

"The solicitor applying to set down any appeal in such list will be required to produce his notice of motion and certify at the foot thereof the class to which it belongs.''

The papers required for the use of the Court should be left at least one week before the appeal is likely to be in the paper. The papers required are, three copies of the notice of appeal, three copies of the judgment or order appealed from, and three copies of the pleadings or any documents showing the nature of the appeal; they should be put together in three sets so as to form a complete set for each judge (Notice, 21 Nov. 1881; W. N. (1881), Pt. II. 581).

Time for appeal in winding-up or bankruptcy cases;

9. The time for appealing from any order or decision made or given in the matter of the winding-up of a company under the provisions of the Companies Act, 1862, or any Act amending the same, or any order or decision made in the matter of any bankruptcy, or in any other matter not being an action, shall be the same as the time limited for appeal from an interlocutory order under rule 15 (a).

from interlocutory order; winding-up order; bankruptcy

(a) The time of appealing from an interlocutory order under rule 15 is twenty-one days; see rule 15, post, p. 515. An appeal from a winding-up order must be brought within twenty-one days (Re National Funds Assurance Co., 4 Ch. D. 305). See Re Madras Co., 23 Ch. D. 248.

As to bankruptcy appeals, see Ex parte Viney, 4 Ch. D. 794; Ex parte Garrard,
5 Ch. D. 61; Ex parte Saffery, 5 Ch. D. 365; Ex parte Tucker, 12 Ch. D. 308; Ex parte Hall, 16 Ch. D. 501.

appeals. "Any other matter."

An appeal from an order made under the Trustee Relief Act (Re Baillie, 4 Ch. D. 785), or under the Vendor and Purchaser Act (Re Blyth and Young, 13 Ch. D. 416), must be brought within twenty-one days. As to extending the time, see Re Jacques, 18 Ch. D. 392; 30 W. R. 394; Re Baillie.

From refusal of ex parte applications.

10. Where an ex parte application has been refused by the Court below, an application for a similar purpose may be made to the Court of Appeal ex parte within four days from the date of such refusal, or within such enlarged time as a judge of the Court below or of the Court of Appeal may allow.

Evidence of questions of fact on appeal.

- 11. When any question of fact is involved in an appeal, the evidence taken in the Court below bearing on such question shall, subject to any special order, be brought before the Court of Appeal, as follows:
 - (a.) As to any evidence taken by affidavit, by the production of printed copies of such of the affidavits as have been printed, and office copies of such of them as have not been printed:
 - (b.) As to any evidence given orally, by the production of a copy of the judge's notes (b), or such other materials as the Court may deem expedient.

Judge's notes.

(b) Where the affidavits were long and had not been printed, the officer of the Court below who had charge of them was ordered to attend with them for the use of the Court of Appeal to save expense (Sickles v. Morris, 24 W. R. 102; and see Crawford v. Hornsea Steam Co., 24 W. R. 422; 34 L. T. 923).

The judge's notes are not entered as evidence (Plimpton v. Malcolmson, W. N. (1876), 89). If they are required an application for them should be made to the Court of Appeal, it is irregular merely to bespeak them from the judge's clerk (Swann v. Barber, W. N. (1879), 171; Dann v. Simmins, W. N. (1879), 178). The application is made to the registrar (Stainbank v. Beckett, W. N. (1879), 203).

The notes of the judge below, supplemented by those of counsel, will generally be sufficient for the purposes of the Court of Appeal, and the costs of shorthand notes of the evidence will not be allowed unless a direction to that effect has been inserted in the-order, for which a special application must be made at the hearing (Earl de la Warr v. Miles, 19 Ch. D. 80; Kelly v. Byles, 13 Ch. D. 682; Re Duckess of Westminster Silver Lead Co., 10 Ch. D. 307; Laming v. Gee, 28 W. R. 217). See, further, as to the costs of shorthand notes, Ord. LXV. r. 27 (9), post, p. 549.

12. Where evidence has not been printed in the Court below, the Ord. LVIII. Court below or a judge thereof, or the Court of Appeal or a judge Printing thereof, may order the whole or any part thereof to be printed for the evidence. purpose of the appeal (c). Any party printing evidence for the purpose of an appeal without such order shall bear the costs thereof, unless the Court of Appeal or a judge thereof shall otherwise order (d).

(c) Copies of the judge's notes were ordered to be printed for use on an appeal (Anon. W. N. (1876), 23).

(d) Where the viva voce evidence was very voluminous, and the appeal could not have been properly argued without referring to all parts of it, the costs of printing it were allowed (Bigsby v. Dickinson, 4 Ch. D. 24).

13. If, upon the hearing of an appeal, a question arise as to the Notes of ruling or direction of the judge to a jury or assessors, the Court shall judge's ruling or direction. have regard to verified notes or other evidence, and to such other materials as the Court may deem expedient.

14. No interlocutory order or rule from which there has been no Interlocutory appeal shall operate so as to bar or prejudice the Court of Appeal from prejudice giving such decision upon the appeal as may be just (e).

appeal.

(e) See as to this rule, White v. Witt, 5 Ch. D. 589.

15. No appeal to the Court of Appeal from any interlocutory order (f), Appeals from or from any order, whether final or interlocutory, in any matter not interlocutory being an action, shall, except by special leave of the Court of Appeal, from orders in be brought after the expiration of twenty-one days, and no other matters not appeal shall, except by such leave, be brought after the expiration of to be brought one year. The said respective periods shall be calculated, in the case within of an appeal from an order in chambers, from the time when such days; other order was pronounced, or when the appellant first had notice thereof, appeals within and in all other cases, from the time at which the judgment or order is signed, entered, or otherwise perfected, or, in the case of the refusal of an application, from the date of such refusal (g). Such deposit or other security for the costs to be occasioned by any appeal shall be made or given as may be directed under special circumstances by the Court of Appeal (h).

orders, or being actions, twenty-one one year.

(f) As to what orders are final and what interlocutory, see, generally, Seton, Orders: pp. 1607—1610; Mem. 1 Ch. D. 41; Standard Co. v. La Grange, 3 C. P. D. 67.

The following have been held to be interlocutory:—Orders on applications to vary what interthe chief clerk's certificate, though combined with an order on further consideration locutory; (Cummins v. Herron, 4 Ch. D. 787; White v. Witt, 5 Ch. D. 589); order against an execution creditor on summons in an administration suit (Pheysey v. Pheysey, 12 an execution creditor on summons in an administration suit (Pheysey v. Pheysey, 12
Ch. D. 305), though such an order is final in this sense, that fresh evidence cannot
be used on the appeal without leave (Norton v. Compton, 27 Ch. D. 392); finding in
an interpleader issue (M'Andrew v. Barker, 7 Ch. D. 701); a decision upon a special
case stated by an arbitrator (Collins v. Paddington Vestry, 5 Q. B. D. 368; but see,
contra, Shubrook v. Tufnell, 9 Q. B. D. 621); findings of a chancery judge on agreed
issues of fact, judgment being then reserved (Krehl v. Burrell, 10 Ch. D. 420;
explained, Lowe v. Lowe, ibid. 432; commented on, Potter v. Cotton, 5 Ex. D. 137).
The following have been held to be final:—An order overruling a demurrer what final.
(Trowell v. Shenton, 8 Ch. D. 318); order on further consideration (Cummins v. Herron,
4 Ch. D. 787): and see Re Emmet. 13 Ch. D. 484: Re Stockton Iron Co.. 10 Ch. D.

4 Ch. D. 787); and see Re Emmet, 13 Ch. D. 484; Re Stockton Iron Co., 10 Ch. D.

As to the time for appealing from an order not made in an action, see r. 9, and Order not notes thereto, ante, p. 514.

made in an action.

Ord. LVIII.

Service of notice of appeal.

"Refusal of an application.

The notice of appeal must be served within the twenty-one days (Ex parte Saffery, 5 Ch. D. 365).

(g) The dismissal of an action at the trial (International Society v. Moscow Gas Co., 7 Ch. D. 241), and the disallowance of a creditor's claim in an administration suit (Re Clagett, 20 Ch. D. 134), are "refusals" within this rule.

The addition, to the refusal, of an order as to costs does not extend the time for appealing (Swindell v. Birmingham Syndicate, 3 Ch. D. 127; 24 W. R. 911; Hooper v. Smith, 26 Ch. D. 614); but where the order contains a declaration so as to bind the rights of the parties, it is not a simple "refusal" which must be appealed from within twenty-one days (Re Clay and Tetley, 16 Ch. D. 3; and see Re Michell,

Where, of several claims joined in one application, some are allowed and some refused, an appeal from the refusal must be brought within twenty-one days from the date of the refusal (Trail v. Jackson, 4 Ch. D. 7; Berdan v. Birmingham Small Arms Co., 7 Ch. D. 24).

Extension of time for appealing.

The Court may give special leave to extend the time for appealing, and, exercising The Court may give special leave to extend the time for appealing, and, exercising its judicial discretion, is bound to give that leave whenever the interests of justice really require it (Re Manchester Building Society, 24 Ch. D. 488). For instances of the application of this rule, see International Society v. Moscow Gas Co., 7 Ch. D. 241; McAndrew v. Barker, 7 Ch. D. 701; Highton v. Treherne, 48 L. J. Ex. 167; Re Blyth and Young, 13 Ch. D. 416; Re New Callao, 22 Ch. D. 484; Ex parte Ward, 15 Ch. D. 292; Re Mansel, 7 Ch. D. 711; Craig v. Phillips, 7 Ch. D. 249; Collins v. Paddington Vestry, 5 Q. B. D. 368; Pheysey v. Pheysey, 12 Ch. D. 305, in which an extension of time was refused; and Re Baillie, 4 Ch. D. 785; Ex parte Tucker, 12 Ch. D. 308; Re Jacques, 18 Ch. D. 392; Re Normanton Iron Co., 29 W. R. 300; Taular's Case. 8 Ch. D. 643: Re Padstow Association. 20 Ch. D. 137 (where the Taylor's Case, 8 Ch. D. 643; Re Padstow Association, 20 Ch. D. 137 (where the appellant was not a party to the order, and appealed as soon as he knew of it); Exparte Arden, W. N. (1884), 237, in which it was granted. Leave will not be given on an exparte application (Re Lawrence, 4 Ch. D. 139). As to applying for leave to appeal after a great lapse of years, see Curtis v. Sheffield, 21 Ch. D. 1. As to

Appeals from chambers.

Security for costs, when to be given. to appeal after a great lapse of years, see Curtis v. Sheffield, 21 Ch. D. 1. As to appeals from chambers, see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 50, and note thereto, ante, p. 266.

(h) Security for costs was required in the following cases:—Wilson v. Smith, 2 Ch. D. 67; 45 L. J. Ch. 692; 24 W. R. 421; 34 L. T. 471, where the special circumstances were the appellant's poverty, and the great length of the evidence; Clarke v. Roche, 46 L. J. Ch. 372; 25 W. R. 309; 36 L. T. 78, where the appellants had failed to pay costs already incurred; Waddell v. Blockey, 10 Ch. D. 416; 27 W. R. 233; 40 L. T. 286, where the appellant was insolvent, and three appeals had been brought when one would have sufficed; Wilson v. Cherch, 11 Ch. D. 576; 27 W. R. 843, where in a heavy case the appellants were ordered to pay 2001 into 27 W. R. 843, where in a heavy case the appellants were ordered to pay 2001. into Court; Smith v. White, W. N. (1879), 203, where there had been great delay in prosecuting the action; Stock v. Hooper's Telegraph Works, W. N. (1876), 230; Re Tees Bottle Co., 20 Sol. J. 584.

It makes no difference that both parties are appealing (Dence v. Mason, W. N. (1879), 31).

Appellant foreigner domiciled abroad.

Insolvent appellant. The fact that the appellants are foreigners not domiciled in England is a "special circumstance," entitling the respondents to security (Grant v. Banque Franco-Egyptienne, 2 C. P. D. 430; 47 L. J. Ch. 41; 26 W. R. 68; 38 L. T. 622; Nacrsnoss Shipping v. Royal Mail Co., W. N. (1880), 133). So where the appellant is resident out of the jurisdiction (Re Kathleen Mavourneen, W. N. (1878), 215). If an appellant is insolvent and the Court is of opinion that he is vexatiously

and unreasonably prosecuting the appeal, he will be ordered to give security (Usil v. Brearley, 3 C. P. D. 206; 26 W. R. 371). Where the question at issue had not been previously considered in a Court of Error, the Court of Appeal refused to order an insolvent appellant to give security (Rourke v. White Moss Colliery Co., 1 C. P. D. 556).

The fact that the appellant has not complied with a bankruptcy summons will entitle the other side to security (Nixon v. Sheldon, W. N. (1884), 81; 53 L. J. Ch. 624; 50 L. T. 425).

Poverty of appellant.

The mere poverty of the appellant, moreover, is sufficient ground for requiring security (Harlock v. Ashberry, 19 Ch. D. 84; 30 W. R. 112); and see Gathercole v. Smith, W. N. (1880), 102; Morecroft v. Evans, W. N. (1882), 189; Re Indian Mining Co., 22 Ch. D. 83. An appellant who is clearly liable to give security ought to offer it without waiting for an application to be made to the Court, and such offer, if reasonable, ought to be accepted. If afterwards an application is made to the Court, the Court in dealing with the costs will consider whose conduct made the application necessary (The Constantine, 4 P. D. 156; 27 W. R. 747).

Wherever an order absolute for winding-up a company has been made, and that order is appealed from by the company itself, without anyone else being made

Appeal from winding-up order.

order is appealed from by the company itself, without anyone else being made responsible for costs, the Court will be ready to entertain an application for security

(Re Diamond Fuel Co., 13 Ch. D. 400; 28 W. R. 309; 41 L. T. 373; Re Photographic Artists' Association, 23 Ch. D. 370; 31 W. R. 509).

An application for security must be made promptly, otherwise it will be refused (Corporation of Saltash v. Goodman, W. N. (1880), 167; 43 L. T. 464).

In Grant v. Banque Franco-Egyptienne, 1 C. P. D. 143; 24 W. R. 339; 34 L. T. 470, it was held that after the costs incident to an appeal had been actually incurred by the respondent, and after the time had been fixed for hearing the appeal, it was too late to apply for security; and see Ex parte Hutchins and Romer, W. N. (1879), 99.

But special circumstances may justify an application at a very late moment (Re In-But special circumstances may justify an application at a very late moment (Re Indian Mining Co., 22 Ch. D. 83). The applicant must of course make good the grounds on which his application is based, otherwise it will be dismissed (Potter v. Cotton, W. N. (1879), 204)

It is not the practice of the Court of Appeal when ordering an appellant to give Order to give Sturla v. Freecia; 11 Ch. D. 372); if the order is not complied with within a "reasonable time" the respondent may move to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution; reasonable time' the respondent may move to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution; reasonable time' the reasonable time' depends on the circumstances of the case (Folini v. time. Gray; Sturla v. Freecia; Vale v. Oppert, 5 Ch. D. 633; 25 W. R. 610). Where an appellant had neglected to comply with the order for nine months, the appeal was dismissed with costs for want of prosecution (Judd v Green. 4 Ch. D. 784: 46 L. J. dismissed with costs for want of prosecution (Judd v. Green, 4 Ch. D. 784; 46 L. J. Ch. 257; 25 W. R. 293; 35 L. T. 873); and see Ex parts Isaacs, 10 Ch. D. 1; 47 L. J. Bkcy. 111; 27 W. R. 297; 39 L. T. 520; Kanitz v. Scarborough, W. N. (1878), 216. If a time is limited and security is not given within that time, the right to appeal is gone (Harris v. Fleming, 30 W. R. 555).

Application for security is made by motion on notice, but leave to serve the notice is not necessary (Grills v. Dillon, 2 Ch. D. 325; 45 L. J. Ch. 432; 24 W. R. 481; 34 J. T. 781)

34 L. T. 781).

The security is to be of such amount and given at such times and in such manner Amount and and form as the Court or judge shall direct (Ord. LXV. r. 6, post, p. 541); the form of amount depends on the probable costs of the appeal (Morecroft v. Evans, W. N. security. (1882), 189).

16. An appeal shall not operate as a stay of execution or of pro-Stay of proceedings under the decision appealed from, except so far as the Court ceedings. appealed from, or any judge thereof, or the Court of Appeal, may order; and no intermediate act or proceeding shall be invalidated, except so far as the Court appealed from may direct (i).

(i) This rule gives concurrent jurisdiction to the Court below and the Court of Jurisdiction Appeal as to staying proceedings pending an appeal; and rule 17 does not take of Court of away the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal, but only requires that it shall not be Appeal and below has refused the application, a renewal of it before the Court below; if the Court below bas refused the application, a renewal of it before the Court of Appeal is not strictly an appeal, and need not be brought within twenty-one days (Cropper v. Smith, 24 Ch. D. 305), and see Att.-Gen. v. Swansea Improvements Co., 9 Ch. D. 46, and Otto v. Lindford, 18 Ch. D. 394. If the action has been dismissed the Court below would seem to have no further jurisdiction in the matter, and if for any reason it is desired to keep matters in statu quo pending an appeal, application must be made to the Court of Appeal (Wilson v. Church, 11 Ch. D. 576); but any proceeding under the order of dismissal, e. g., recovery of costs, may be stayed by the Court below (Otto v. Lindford).

The application is by motion on notice (Herring v. Clobery, 12 Sim. 410; Republic of Peru v. Weguelin, 24 W. R. 297).

Where an appellant obtains an order to stay payment out of Court pending an appeal he must undertake to pay the difference between the income actually produced by the fund and interest at four per cent., and (if the fund has been sold) the expenses of the sale and re-investment (Brewer v. Yorke, 20 Ch. D. 669; Bradford v.

Young, 28 Ch. D. 18).

The Court will stay proceedings pending an appeal where it is necessary to Stay of proprevent the appeal, if successful, from being nugatory (Wilson v. Church, (No. 2), ceedings, 12 Ch. D. 454; Polini v. Gray, 12 Ch. D. 438; Walburn v. Ingilby, 1 My. & K. 79; when granted. Bradford v. Young); or if irreparable mischief might otherwise result (Walford v. Walford, 3 Ch. 812; Barrs v. Fewkes, 1 Eq. 392); and see Gibbs v. Daniel, 4 Giff. 41, n.; Ralli v. Universal Assurance, 10 W. R. 327; Adair v. Young, 11 Ch. D. 136. A plaintiff having obtained an order for production of documents, was restrained from inspecting them, pending an appeal from the order (Kelly v. Hutton, 15 W. R. 916). A shareholder pronounced a contributory was allowed to

security must

pay calls into Court, pending an appeal (Jopp's Case, W. N. (1867), 192); and see Colyer v. Finch, 20 Beav. 555; Bourne v. Buckton, 35 L. J. Ch. 851; Lord v. Colvin, 1 Dr. & Sm. 475; Wood v. Farthing, 8 W. R. 425; Portarlington v. Damer, 11 W. R. 869; Price v. Salisbury, ibid. 1014; Garcias v. Ricardo, 1 Ph. 498; Aberaman Iron Works v. Wickens, 5 Eq. 485, 519.

When defendant need not answer pending appeal as to demurrer.

So where a demurrer to discovery has been overruled, and the defendant appeals, the Court will stay proceedings to enforce an answer if there has been no delay, or if the defendant would be prejudiced by answering (Drake v. Drake, 3 Hare, 528; Garcias v. Ricardo, 1 Ph. 498; Saunders v. Richardson, 2 W. R. 358).

But the application has been refused where the appeal has been considered hope-

When refused.

less (Lord v. Colvin, 1 Dr. & Sm. 475), or if the effect of staying proceedings would be a reversal of the order; thus, where an injunction had been granted and a motion for a new trial of issues was being carried by appeal to the House of Lords, the Court refused to dissolve the injunction pending the appeal (Penn v. Bibbey, 3 Eq. 308). So, where an injunction was refused the defendant could not be restrained, pending an appeal, (Galloway v. Mayor of London, 4 De G. J. & S. 59; see Atherton v. British Nation Assurance Society, 5 Ch. 720). And where the defendant had been ordered to execute a conveyance, and applied to suspend such execution, pending an appeal, the application was refused, on the plaintiff consenting that notice of the appeal should be endorsed on the conveyance (Wilson v. West Hartlepool Railway Company, 34 Beav. 414). A defendant ordered to transfer articles of furniture to the plaintiff was not allowed to retain them pending

In case of injunction.

Terms imposed on party appealing. Costs of motion to stay proceedings.

transfer articles of furniture to the plaintiff was not allowed to retain them pending an appeal (Harrington v. Harrington, 3 Ch. 564). And costs have been ordered to be paid into Court notwithstanding an appeal (Colyer v. Colyer, 10 W. R. 748; Archer v. Hudson, 8 Beav. 321; see Burdick v. Garrick, 5 Ch. 453; but see Merry v. Nickalls, 8 Ch. 205; Cooper v. Cooper, 2 Ch. D. 492); and terms have been imposed on the party appealing and desiring to stay proceedings (Taylor v. Midland Railway Company, 9 W. R. 154; McIntosh v. Great Western Railway Company, 13 W. R. 1029; Monypenny v. Monypenny, 8 W. R. 430).

The costs of the motion to stay must, as a general rule, be paid by the applicant, whether successful or not (Merry v. Nickalls, 8 Ch. 205; 21 W. R. 306; Cooper v. Cooper, 2 Ch. D. 492; Morgan v. Elford, 4 Ch. D. 352; 25 W. R. 136; Atherton v. British Nation Assurance Company, 5 Ch. 720; Grant v. Banque Franco-Egyptienne, 3 C. P. D. 202; 26 W. R. 669). But the Court has a discretion (Earl of Shrewsburg v. Trappes, 2 De G. F. & J.172; Burdick v. Garrick, 5 Ch. 453; Walford v. Walford, 3 Ch. 812; 5 Ch. 455, n. (4); Adair v. Young, 11 Ch. D. 136).

The Court will not stay execution for costs pending an appeal; the costs must be paid at once on the undertaking of the solicitor who receives them to refund in case the decision is reversed. If the solicitor declines to give the undertaking the costs

No stay of execution for costs pending an appeal.

the decision is reversed. If the solicitor declines to give the undertaking the costs must be paid into Court (Grant v. Banque Franco-Egyptienne, 3 C. P. D. 202; 26 W. R. 669; Morgan v. Elford, 4 Ch. D. 388; 25 W. R. 136; Cooper v. Cooper, 2 Ch. D. 492; 24 W. R. 628); and see Otto v. Lindford, 18 Ch. D. 394.

Applications to be made first to the below.

- 17. Wherever under these rules an application may be made either to the Court below or to the Court of Appeal, or to a judge of the Court or judge Court below or of the Court of Appeal, it shall be made in the first instance to the Court or judge below (j).
 - (j) See note to r. 16.

Application to be by motion.

18. Every application to a judge of the Court of Appeal shall be by motion, and the provisions of Order LII. shall apply thereto.

Allowance of interest on stay of execution.

- 19. On an appeal from the High Court, interest for such time as execution has been delayed by the appeal shall be allowed unless the Court or a judge otherwise orders, and the taxing officer may compute such interest without any order for that purpose (k).
 - (k) This rule is new.

[Ord. LIX. relates to Divisional Courts. Two further rules were added by R. S. C., October, 1884.]

ORDER LX.

Officers.

1. All officers who at the time when these rules come into operation Existing are attached to the Chancery Division of the High Court shall remain officers to remain with attached to the said division; and all officers who at the time afore- their divisaid are attached to the Queen's Bench Division shall remain attached sions. to the said division; and all officers who at the time aforesaid are attached to the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division shall remain attached to the said division.

2. Officers attached to any division shall follow the appeals from Officers to the same division, and shall perform in the Court of Appeal analogous appeals. duties in reference to such appeals as the registrars and officers of the Court of Chancery usually performed as to rehearings in the Court of Appeal in Chancery, and as the masters and officers of the Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer respectively performed as to appeals heard by the Court of Exchequer Chamber.

3. The office of Master of the Supreme Court of Judicature shall be Masters of deemed to be substituted for the several offices specified in the first Court to be part of the first schedule to the Supreme Court of Judicature (Officers) substituted Act, 1879, and all enactments and documents referring to any of those officers. offices, or to any of the persons holding them, shall, unless the context otherwise requires, be construed and have effect accordingly (l).

- (1) See this Act, ante, p. 291.
- 4. Where, by the practice of the Chancery Division, recognizances Recogniare required to be given, such recognizances shall be given to the two given to two senior chief clerks for the time being of the judge to whom the cause senior chief or matter is assigned; and whon the same are, by any judgment or order, directed to be vacated, the proper officer shall, on due notice thereof, attend one of the said chief clerks, who shall thereupon vacate such recognizances in the usual manner.

ORDER LXI.

CENTRAL OFFICE.

1. The central office shall, for the convenient despatch of business, be Central office divided into the departments specified in the first column of the follow- into departing scheme, and the business of the office shall be distributed among ments, and the departments in accordance with that scheme, and shall be performed by the several officers and clerks in the said office who are now accordingly. charged with the same or similar duties, and by such others as may from time to time be appointed by lawful authority for that purpose.

SCHEME.

Name of Department.	Business.
1. Writ, appearance, and judgment.	The sealing and issue of writs of summons for the commencement of actions. The entry in the cause book of writs of summons, appearances, and judgments. The sealing and issue of notices for service under Ord. XVI. r. 48. The receipt and filing of pleadings and notices delivered on entry of judgment. The transaction of all business heretofore conducted in the Record and Writ Office, except such part thereof as is transacted in the Record Department.
2. Summons and order.	The issue of summonses in the Queen's Bench Division, and the drawing up of all orders made either in Court or in chambers in that division.
3. Filing and record.	The filing of all affidavits to be filed in the Central Office, and all depositions to be used in the Chancery Division, and such other documents as may from time to time be directed by the masters to be filed, and the making and examination of office copies of documents filed in the department. The custody of all deeds and documents ordered to be left with the masters. The business heretofore performed in the Report Office under the direction and control of the clerks of records and writs.
4. Taxing	The taxation of costs in the Queen's Bench Division, except such costs as have heretofore been taxed in the Queen's Remembrancer's Office or the Crown Office.
5. Enrolment	The business heretofore performed in the Enrolment Office.
6. Judgments and married wo- men's acknow- ments.	The registry of judgments, execution, &c., and the registry of acknowledgments of deeds by married women.
7. Bills of sale	The registry of bills of sale and other duties connected therewith.
8. Queen's Remembrancer.	The business heretofore performed in the Queen's Remembrancer's Office.
9. Crown Office	The business heretofore performed in the Crown Office.
10. Associates	The business heretofore performed in the Associates' Offices.

Despatch of business at the central office. 2. It shall be the special duty of one of the masters to be present at, and control the business of, the central office, and to give the necessary directions with respect to questions of practice and procedure relating to the business thereof. The masters shall select five of their number to discharge this duty in turn, according to a rota to be fixed by themselves, and each of such masters according to his turn shall discharge such duty daily for a period of not less than one month at a time.

[Rule 3 applies only to taxation of costs in the Queen's Bench Division. In the Chancery Division costs are taxed by taxing masters appointed under 5 & 6 Vict. c. 103, s. 4. The taxing masters attend daily at their offices in the Royal Courts, except in vacation, when one master only attends and taxes costs in urgent cases.]

Ord. LXI.

4. The arrangements made under the two last preceding rules shall Arrangebe publicly announced in such manner as the Lord Chief Justice of announced. England shall from time to time direct.

5. Every master, and every first and second-class clerk in the filing Authority to and record department, shall, by virtue of his office, have authority to and affidavits. take oaths and affidavits in the Supreme Court.

- 6. The official seals to be used in the central office shall be such as Official seals. the Lord Chancellor from time to time directs.
- 7. All copies, certificates, and other documents appearing to be Sealed copies, sealed with a seal of the central office shall be presumed to be office &c. may be received in copies or certificates or other documents issued from the central office, evidence. and if duly stamped may be received in evidence, and no signature or other formality, except the sealing with a seal of the central office, shall be required for the authentication of any such copy, certificate, or other document.

- 8. It shall not be necessary to enrol any judgment or order, Enrolment whether dated before or since the commencement of the Principal Act. of judgments, &c. to be
- 9. All deeds which by any statute or statutory rule are directed or unnecessary. permitted to be enrolled in any of the Courts whose jurisdiction has Deeds to be been transferred to the High Court of Justice may be enrolled in the Enrolment enrolment department of the central office.

10. A scheme under the Railway Companies Act, 1867, shall be Scheme under enrolled in the enrolment department of the central office.

11. A scheme under the Act in rule 10 mentioned shall not be Act, 1867. enrolled unless notice of the order confirming it has at least once in Order of conevery entire week, reckoned from Sunday morning to Saturday be advertised. evening, which elapses between the pronouncing of the order and the expiration of thirty days from the pronouncing thereof, been inserted in such two newspapers as shall have been appointed by the judge for the insertion of advertisements under the order made pursuant to that Act, nor unless the newspapers containing those notices are produced to the proper officer when the scheme is presented for enrolment.

Department.

Railway Companies

12. All acknowledgments required for the purpose of enrolling any Acknowledgdeed or other document may be made before the clerk of enrolments ments for enrolling deeds, or before a master, as occasion may require (m).

&c.

- (m) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 40.
- 13. The records of all deeds and recognizances enrolled shall be Enrolments. sent by the clerk of enrolments, so long as that office shall continue, mitted to the or by the proper officer of the enrolment department, to the Public Record Record Office, Rolls Yard, within two years from the time of the Office. enrolment thereof (n).

(n) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 41.

Time for enrolment.

- 14. No recognizance shall be enrolled after six months from the acknowledgment thereof, except under special circumstances, and by an order made by the Court or a judge upon motion for the enrolment thereof after that time (o).
 - (o) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XLII. r. 12.

No order on petition, &c., passed until original petition, &c., filed.

- 15. No order made on a petition, and no order to make a submission to arbitration, or an award, an order of the Court, and no judgment or order wherein any written admissions of evidence are entered as read, shall be passed, until the original petition, submission to arbitration, or award, or written admissions of evidence, shall have been filed in the central office, or, where the proceedings are taken in a district registry, in the district registry, and a note thereof made on the judgment or order by the proper officer (p).
 - (p) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XXIII. r. 23.

Date of filing proceedings.

- 16. Upon every pleading or other proceeding which is filed in the central office, the date of filing the same shall be printed or written (q).
 - (q) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 45.

Indexes to reports, &c., to be kept and to be accessible.

- 17. Proper indexes or calendars to the files or bundles of all documents filed at the central office shall be kept, so that the same may be conveniently referred to when required; and such indexes or calendars and documents shall, at all times during office hours, be accessible to the public on payment of the usual fee (r).
 - (r) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 46.

Entry of time of delivering certificate, with name of cause and date of certificate: of time of delivery of other documents; such entry to be accessible.

- 18. There shall also be entered in proper books kept for the purpose the time when any certificate is delivered at the central office to be filed, with the name of the cause and the date of the certificate; and the like entry shall be made of the time of delivery of every other document filed at the central office; and such books shall, at all times during office hours, be accessible to the public on payment of the usual fee (s).
 - (s) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 47.

Reference to record to be written or stamped on documents.

- 19. Every judgment, order, certificate, petition, or document made, presented, or used in any cause or matter, shall be distinguished by having plainly written or stamped on the first page thereof the year, the letter, and the number by which the cause or matter is distinguished in the books kept at the central office (t).
 - (t) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 48.

Dates of judgments, &c. to be entered in cause books.

- 20. There shall also be entered in the cause books, the date of every judgment, order, and certificate made in every cause or matter (u).
 - (u) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 49.

21. The entry of every judgment and order in such cause books in the Chancery Division, shall contain a reference to the date and folio References to of the registrar's book in which the judgment or order has been dates and entered (v).

folios of re-

gistrar's book.

Ord. LXI.

- (v) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 50.
- 22. The registrar of judgments shall not receive any memorandum Time for of a judgment, execution, lis pendens, order, rule, annuity, Crown delivering memorandum debt, or other incumbrance, or any memorandum of satisfaction of judgment, relating to the same, for registration, after the hour of two in the &c. for registration. afternoon.

23. The clerk of enrolments and each of the following registrars, Official namely-

searches.

- (a) The registrar of bills of sale;
- (b) The registrar of certificates of acknowledgments of deeds by married women;
- (c) The registrar of judgments;
- shall, on a request in writing giving sufficient particulars, and on payment of the prescribed fee, cause a search to be made in the registers or indexes under his custody, and issue a certificate of the result of the search.
- 24. For the purpose of enabling all persons to obtain precise Certificate of information as to the state of any cause or matter, and to take the proceedings means of preventing improper delay in the progress thereof, the matter to be proper officer shall at the request of any person, whether a party or given. not to the cause or matter inquired after, but on payment of the usual fee, give a certificate specifying therein the dates and general description of the several proceedings which have been taken in such cause or matter in the central office (w).

- (w) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 53.
- 25. The masters shall execute the office of the registrar for the Masters to act purposes of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878, and the Bills of Sale Act, 1878, as registrar under Bills of Amendment Act, 1882, and any one of the masters may perform all or Sale Acts. any of the duties of the registrar.

26. A memorandum of satisfaction may be ordered to be written Entry of satisupon a registered copy of a bill of sale, on a consent to the satisfaction, of sale. signed by the person entitled to the benefit of the bill of sale, and verified by affidavit, being produced to the registrar, and filed in the central office.

27. Where the consent in the last preceding rule mentioned cannot How effected be obtained, the registrar may, on application by summons, and on where no consent given. hearing the person entitled to the benefit of the bill of sale, or on affidavit of service of the summons on that person, and in either case on proof to the satisfaction of the registrar that the debt (if any) for which the bill of sale was made has been satisfied or discharged, order

a memorandum of satisfaction to be written upon a registered copy thereof.

Records not to be removed.

- 28. No affidavit or record of the Court shall be taken out of the central office without the order of a judge or master, and no subpana for the production of any such document shall be issued (x).
 - (x) Cf. Cons. Ord. I. r. 42.

Deposit to answer fees.

- 29. Any officer of the central office, being required to attend with any record or document at any assizes or at any Court or place out of the Royal Courts of Justice, shall be entitled to require that the solicitor or party desiring his attendance shall deposit with him a sufficient sum of money to answer his just fees, charges, and expenses in respect of such attendance, and undertake to pay any further just fees, charges, and expenses which may not be fully answered by such deposit (y).
 - (y) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 43.

Documents, where deposited.

- 30. Where any deeds or other documents are ordered to be left or deposited, whether for safe custody or for the purpose of any inquiry in chambers, or otherwise, the same shall be left or deposited in the central office, and shall be subject to such directions as may be given for the production thereof (z).
 - (z) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XLII. r. 3.

Filing and transmitting of certificates, petitions, admissions of evidence, submissions to arbitration, and awards made orders of Court.

- 31. All certificates of the chief clerk of a judge and all petitions and written admissions of evidence whereon any order is founded, and all submissions to arbitration made orders of the Court, shall be transmitted to and left at the central office, to be there filed or preserved. And all office copies thereof, or of any part thereof that may be required, shall be ready to be delivered to the party requiring the same within forty-eight hours after the same shall have been bespoken (a).
 - (a) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 44.

Forms.

- 32. The forms contained in the Appendices shall be used in or for the purposes of the central office, with such variations as circumstances may require (b).
 - (b) For these forms, see infra.

Power to prescribe forms.

- 33. The masters may from time to time prescribe the use in or for the purpose of the central office of such modified or additional forms as may be deemed expedient (c).
- (c) The Practice Rules drawn up by the masters, so far as they relate to the Chancery Division, are as follows:—

CENTRAL OFFICE.

Ord. LXI.

OFFICE RULES SETTLED BY THE PRACTICE MASTERS, 1880, 1881, 1882.

DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED IN THE WRIT AND APPEARANCE AND SUMMONS AND ORDER DEPARTMENTS.

Originating summonses issued from chancery chambers,

Petitions of right.

Affidavits of service.

Lower scale certificates (chancery).

Schemes of arrangement under Kailway Abandonment Act.

Pleadings left on entering judgment (Ord. XLI. r. 1)

Pleadings and other documents filed under Ord. XIX. r. 6, in default of appearance.
Writs and returns to writs, orders, &c.

All documents required by rules or orders of Court to be filed, such as warrants of attorney, and cognovits on signing judgments (rule 25, of Hilary, 1853), orders for assessment of damages and masters findings thereon (rule 171, of Hilary, 1853), also satisfaction pieces and orders to satisfy, strike out, or amend any judgment or proceeding, or directing any act to be done in the office (except Chancery Orders and Orders of Court in Queen's Bench Division). [A copy of the order marked that the original was produced may be taken at the discretion of the officer in cases in which the original is required to be retained by the parties.]

All pleadings to be entered in the cause books are to be opened and stamped on the day of filing, with the date seal at the top of the front page, and returned to the general filing department on Monday morning in each week.

Copies writs filed.

Præcipes for writs of execution.

Præcipes for subpænas and miscellaneous writs.

Appearances.

Lower scale certificates.

Certificate of costs.

All these should be sent to the general filing department when more than a year old.

Orders of commitment and returns thereto may be filed and indexed in the writ, &c. department in the same way as (and with) writs of execution.

CAUSE BOOK, DISTINCTIVE MARKS, AND INDEXES.

Actions and matters in the title of which a limited company is first must be indexed under the first letter of the first word or initial.

Courtesy titles of eldest sons of peers are not to govern the distinctive mark which is to follow the surname, viz., "Campbell" and not "Marquis of Lorne." In cases, such as mayor and corporation of, &c., the initial letter of the city or

borough should govern the distinctive mark.

Owners of ships by name of ship.

Overseers of parishes by name of parish.

Names in which "de" occurs as part of the surname, or is preceded only by christian names, should be indexed under "D."

Foreign companies should be indexed under the initial letter of the first word in their name, e.g., Banco de Lima under "B," Société d'Acclimatisation, "S."

Foreign titles should be indexed under the initial letter of the proper or local name in the title, e.g., Comte de Paris under "P," Duc de Montebello under "M."

The christian and surnames of all parties to an action should be entered in full in the cause book.

Parties are not to be allowed to see the cause book unless by express leave

obtained from a master or an order by a judge.

All searches in the cause book for writs of summons or otherwise are to be made by the clerks in the central office, and the result communicated to the party

applying.

When a certificate is given, and no inspection of a præcipe is required, only one fee of 1s. is to be taken (or 4s. if higher scale).

A separate index is to be kept of writs in administration actions and of administration actions and of administration actions.

nistration summonses, which index the public may search without fee.

Separate books are to be kept for entering returns to writs of execution, index to lower scale certificates in chancery matters not actions, and return books, and debt attachment book.

No other books to be kept for entries except the cause books (and desk book for facilitating reference). The judgment books may be kept in the cause book room with the cause books, or in a separate room.

Writs of Summons, Appearances, and Amendments.

Copies of writs of summons should be signed with the name of the solicitor or solicitor's clerk suing them out as under :-

C. D. and Co. or A. B. for C. D. and Co.

The stamp is to be on the copy writ filed.

In the Chancery Division an order of course to amend a writ of summons as the plaintiff may be advised will not justify an alteration that strikes out the name of

any plaintiff or defendant, or makes a person out of the jurisdiction a party.

In all the divisions an amendment of a writ of summons may be made by leave of a master (on payment of fee) before service. A plaintiff can be struck out only by special leave given in the order to amend; a defendant, by special leave, or on the middle of the plaintiff can be struck out only by special leave, or on the middle of the plaintiff can be struck out only by special leave, or on the written statement (to be filed) of the plaintiff's solicitors that a notice of discontinuance under Ord. XXIII. has been duly given.

In Chancery actions an amendment to a writ of summons pursuant to an order of Court or judge, may be made either on au undertaking to get the order drawn up, or on a separate memorandum or certificate being left for filing, signed or initialed

by the judge or registrar, showing the order to have been made.

In an information where there is no relator, the Attorney-General's signature on the writ is not required; but where there is a relator (whether a person or body corporate) the original writ (not the copy filed) must be signed by the Attorney-General, and if any amendment be made it must be authorized by his signature on the original writ or draft.

In entering appearances a note should be made in the cause books "statement of claim required" or "statement of claim not required," and in cases where the action is for recovery of land, and the defence is limited, a further note to that effect

should be added.

If no time is specified in an order to amend, the amendment must be made

within 14 days.

If a solicitor has caused an appearance to be entered by mistake, the mistake may be rectified with the consent in writing of the solicitor for the plaintiffs, and on the fiat (on the production of such consent) of a practice master to be given on a practice with a 2s. 6d. (search) stamp.

A defendant in person may change his address for service (without order to change

address) by leave of master, but must forthwith give notice to the other side.

In the case of infants the appearance is accepted without any authority or order; an order being obtained by the defendant's solicitor after the appearance has been

In the case of a married woman, an order to defend separately must be obtained

before appearance is entered.

If a writ of summons has been lost the filed copy may, for the purpose of amendment, or for any other purpose, be treated as a duplicate, but only by leave of a practice master, and on the party giving an undertaking to produce the original at the central office when found.

Writs of summons issued before the Judicature Acts came into force may be

renewed without an order.

A female plaintiff must be described as "spinster," "married woman," or widow," and if an infant, as an infant.

Where an infant or married woman is plaintiff the authority of the next friend (duly attested) must be filed before the writ of summons can be issued.

SUBSTITUTED SERVICE. Affidavit of Service.

Unless the order shall otherwise direct, a copy of the order and of the writ shall be deemed to have been served on the day following the day on which a prepaid letter containing such copy shall have been posted.

SUBPŒNAS.

Subpænas remain in force only till the end of the sitting or assize for which they A new writ must afterwards be issued or the former writ may be (at were issued. the option of the parties) altered as to date and sitting, or assize, and re-issued as a new writ.

The date of return in the writ and præcipe may, before service, be amended without the direction of a master, and without fee, provided the amended date be within the sitting or assize for which the subpœna issued. A subpoena in an interpleader issue should be headed in the title of the original action, and in the title of the interpleader issue, and should be applied for in, and issued out of, the room in which the writ of summons in the original action was issued.

Ord. LXI.

REMOVAL BY APPEARANCE TO LONDON OF ACTIONS COMMENCED IN DISTRICT REGISTRIES.

A fresh London distinctive mark to be given.

No separate district registry cause book to be kept.

No letter need be sent to the district registrar.

Writs of summons issued out of a district registry cannot be amended by order or fiat of master unless the action has been removed to London by appearance or otherwise.

No writ issued out of a district registry can be amended in the central office unless the duplicate filed in the district registry has been previously received in the central office.

If it becomes necessary to send to London (for amendment or otherwise) the copy writ filed in the district registry, authority may be given to send the copy writ to the central office by sealing a duplicate of the præcipe for appearance, which shall be transmitted to the district registrar by the solicitors concerned.

DISTRINGAS.

When the settlement comprises more than one sum, and the sums are in the shares or securities of different companies, a separate affidavit and notice should be made for each company, and the affidavit should be that the funds comprise "amongst others" the sum of, &c. [specifying the sum in the books of the one company], and a stamp of 10s. will be required for each separate notice.

If there are more sums than one, but all in the books of the Bank of England, or in the books of any one company, one affidavit and notice will be sufficient for all

the sums

In actions not specifically assigned to the Chancery Division by the Judicature Act, 1873, s. 34 (i.e., so called common law actions brought in the Chancery Division), no certificate of lower scale shall be given out till after appearance. In the cause books such actions shall be distinguished by the letters L.S.

When deposited documents, or documents on the file, are ordered to be delivered to a solicitor, on his undertaking to return them, he must sign a receipt and undertaking to return (which may be endorsed on the order), and leave the order and endorsement at the central office to be returned to him on his bringing back the documents. The signature of the solicitor must be witnessed by his clerk, or by someone known to the officer delivering out the documents.

PLEADINGS AND DOCUMENTS FILED IN DEFAULT.

None of these documents will be placed in the bundles containing the writs of summons and pleadings filed on entering judgment, but will be made up into two sets of separate bundles.

The first containing all statements of claim filed in default.

The second containing summonses, warrants to tax, notices, and miscellaneous documents.

All these documents must have the date of filing and the name of the defendant against whom they were filed written on them, and be entered in the cause books under the head of pleadings, such entry to show the date of filing, nature of document, and name of defendant against whom they are filed.

None of these documents will (for the present) be delivered out without an order, but any defendant against whom documents have been filed may, after appearance,

inspect the same without fee.

As to filing generally.

In the Chancery Division, judgments, orders, notices of motion for attachment, and other documents requiring personal service, cannot be filed in default of appearance without an order or leave of a master, and no pleadings or other documents can be filed under Ord. XIX. r. 6, unless an affidavit of service under Ord. XIII. rr. 2 and 9, or an office copy thereof, be first produced to the officer.

ORDERS AND JUDOMENTS.

When parties have not drawn up their orders on the day of the hearing of the summons, the solicitor shall, before having his order issued, take it to the filing office, and having endorsed on the back the words "the affidavits referred to within are on the file," the seal will be affixed to certify that the affidavits are filed. Such certificate will have the same effect as producing the affidavits on drawing the order.

As to County Court certificate of result of trial, no fee to be charged for search. Judgment may be signed on a certificate of "no affidavit filed in answer to interrogatories," or on a certificate of non-payment of money into Court without affidavit.

On entering judgments under Ord. XLI. r. 1, in actions in the Chancery Division, when drawn up by the chancery registrars, the engrossment of the judgment together with the pleadings to be filed shall be brought to the writ appearance and judgment department, and the officer receiving the same shall make a note in the margin of the engrossment that the pleadings have been filed, and shall authenticate such note with the small seal of the office, and return the engrossment to the solicitor.

The date of the judgment as shown by the engrossment of the order and the date

of leaving the pleadings shall be entered in the cause book.

The solicitor on leaving the pleadings must endorse thereon and sign a certificate in the words or to the effect following:—

"I certify that these are all the pleadings required to be left for filing."

When judgment is signed under Ord. XLI. rr. 4 and 5, on any order, certificate, or other document, such document shall be filed.

Original stamped judgment to be filed and office copy to be delivered out at 6d. a lio. The judgment need not be signed by the solicitor entering it.

If judgment removed from Lord Mayor's Court the fixed cost of removal to be

one guinea in all cases.

An allocatur for costs is to be placed on a certificate in the form settled.

Judgments are to be numbered consecutively in each alphabetical division in the

right-hand corner, and the number entered in the cause book.

In cases where the plaintiff is entitled to a final judgment as to part of his claim, and to an interlocutory judgment as to the remainder, one judgment only is necessary, final as to part and interlocutory as to the rest, and one fee paid.

In the case of cross judgments in the same action where after a trial there is a direction for judgment for plaintiff against some of the defendants, and for some of the defendants against the plaintiff, and also for some of the defendants against the others, the whole direction may be embodied in one judgment, and the different parties may take office copies for use.

Date of filing of pleadings filed on entering judgment and of certificates of costs

are to be entered in cause books and on the documents.

As to Costs on Judgments for Default of Appearance.

d.In town cases ______ 3 14 In country and agency cases and cases in which service effected beyond five miles from General Post Office, St. Martin's-le-Grand..... And 6s. in addition for each service beyond one defendant. The above allowances include all mileage.

As to the Costs of removing Judgments from Inferior Courts for Purposes of EXECUTION.

The order should direct that the party removing the judgment have his costs of and relating to the removal (to be taxed).

Note.—All questions of practice, sufficiency of affidavits, &c., are to be referred to a practice master, and not to any other master.

ADDITIONAL OFFICE RULES SETTLED BY THE PRACTICE MASTERS, March, 1884.

As to signing Copy Writ. (Ord. V. r. 12.)

The signature to the statement of claim indorsed on the writ is not to be taken as a sufficient compliance with the rule requiring the writ to be signed.

LOST WRIT. (Ord. VIII. r. 3, FEE, &c.)

When a copy writ is sealed in lieu of the original, under the above rule, no fee is to be taken.

A note should be made on the face of the copy near the seal, showing that it is so sealed under the above rule pursuant to order, setting out the name of the judge and date of the order, and an entry made in the cause book of the sealing and name of the judge, date of order, and date and time of filing.

ORIGINATING SUMMONSES.

Originating summonses in the Chancery Division are to be issued in the same manner as writs of summons. The stamp denoting the fee is to be put on the copy filed, and the original sealed and delivered to the party issuing, but no other duplicates or copies for service are to be sealed.

All other originating summonses are to be issued in the summons and order

department in the same manner as ordinary summonses for chambers.

Assigning Judge.

The assignment to a particular judge of every cause or matter commenced in the Chancery Division (otherwise than by petition) shall be made in room No. 65, before the issue of the writ or summons or service of the notice of motion.

APPEARANCE AFTER JUDGMENT.

When a memorandum of appearance by a defendant is handed in without a previous search for judgment (for which search the proper fee should be taken) and judgment has been signed, the appearance must not be entered in the usual way, but the stamp on the memorandum of appearance must be retained as a used stamp, and not treated as fit for allowance. The duplicate is not to be sealed, but the party who has handed in the memorandum may be informed without further payment, that judgment has been signed. A note should, in such cases, be made in the cause book that a memorandum of appearance was brought in after judgment signed, and the fee should be accounted for amongst the appearance fees.

OFFICE COPIES OF JUDGMENTS.

An office copy of a judgment may be obtained in the same manner as an office copy of any other document on a fiat of a judge or master.

On an application on behalf of a judgment creditor for an office copy of a judgment for bankruptcy proceedings, no fee for the search to be taken or required.

When application is made to produce a judgment for the purpose of setting it aside or otherwise a search fee of 2s. 6d. is payable.

JUDOMENTS, COURT FEES, 1884.

On entering judgments on certificates of registrars of County Courts and on returns to writs of inquiry the fee of 10s. is to be taken. (Court Fees, Nos. 57 and 58.)

Depositions, &c. Filing Fee.

No copy of any deposition or other document requiring a filing fee shall be issued or examined until such filing fee shall have been paid.

FILING DOCUMENTS. DATE AND TIME OF FILING.

Every document left for filing must be marked with the year, day, hour, and minute when so left, and if filed in writ and appearance department, an entry made thereof in the cause book, or if there is no cause or matter there, then in an index book.

FILING MASTERS CERTIFICATES.

Ord. XLI. r. 8 (No. 576.) This rule is to apply to certificates or awards made on references under the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, which must be filed. (A 2s. 6d. fee is payable on filing these as awards.)

ORDERS BY CONSENT.

An order is not to be drawn up upon a consent, signed by a party or his solicitor, written upon a summons, unless it has been initialed by a judge or master.

As to Satisfaction of Bills of Sale.

If the attesting witness and deponent is a solicitor, and described as such, the entry of the satisfaction will be directed by the registrar (the papers being otherwise correct) as of course; but under special circumstances the registrar may accept any other deponent if satisfied that he is a proper person to attest and verify the signature and consent.

AS TO COGNOVITS AND WARRANTS OF ATTORNEY.

The filing for the purpose of signing judgment shall be either by registering the original or by filing the original (before eigning judgment) in the bills of sale department. A certificate of the filing shall in either case be given by that department, which certificate shall show the parties to the cognovit or warrant of attorney and the amount for which judgment is to be signed. Judgment may be signed

M M

on this certificate being produced and filed in the writ, appearance, and judgment department.

As to Writs of Elegit.

From 1st January, 1884, the new form (which under the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 146, does not extend to goods) is only to be used. And the amount indorsed to be levied for costs of the execution, including warrant, but exclusive of inquisition and expenses of execution, is not to exceed 2l., without the express leave of a master.

As to Subprenas and Orders for the Attendance of Witnesses.

Order not subpœna (see 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42).

Subpœna ad test. or duces tecum to be issued as of course.

Subpœna on a note from a judge.

Subpœna ad test. or duces tecum as of course.

rr. 49, 57. Ord. LXXII.

r. 2.

Ord. XXXVI.

For attendance before an arbitrator under an agreement or order by consent referring action or matter in difference to arbitration.

Or before a master upon a reference under the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854. Or for attendance of any person in any cause or matter for producing documents at any stage of the proceedings under Ord. XXXVII. r. 7, of Rules of Supreme Court, 1883.

For attendance before an officer of the Court or other person appointed to take an examination for the purpose of using witness' evidence upon any proceeding in a cause or matter, or for cross-examination on affidavit already made, Ord. XXXVII. r. 20.

On proceedings in chambers, Ord. XXXVII. r. 28.
For attendance upon trial before a judge or before an official or special referee when trial ordered to take place before a referee.

Or for attendance before an officer of the Court to whom it has been referred to ascertain the amount for which final judgment is to be entered under Ord. XXXVI. г. 57.

Or on execution of a writ of inquiry.

For witnesses residing out of the jurisdiction of the Court but within the United Kingdom an order of Court, if sitting, or of a judge, if Court not sitting, for a subpoena to issue. The subpoena to have a note at the foot showing that it is issued by the special leave of Court or judge. (17 & 18 Vict. o. 34, ss. 1, 2.)

In all other cases not specially provided for by Acts of Parliament or Rules of

Court the old practice to continue.

The subpoena is to be marked legibly in the margin near the seal, with the number of witnesses for which it is issued, c. g., "for three witnesses only."

The fee of 5s is payable for not exceeding three witnesses, and the like fee for

every additional three and for any less number heyond. As to Costs of Judgments by Default.

If for a sum exceeding 50l. on specially indorsed Writs issued on or	aft	er 2	5 <i>th</i>
January, 1884:—			
Country and agency cases, and in cases where service effected more	æ	8.	d.
than five miles from General Post Office, St. Martin's-le-Grand	5	6	0
Town cases		14	
A T TTYLE CONTRACTOR OF THE CO			
And in addition for each extra service	U	6	U
The above allowances to include all mileage.			
If writ indersed for a liquidated claim exceeding 50l., but not specially,			
and in all cases in which sum recovered amounts to 201. and upwards.			
but does not exceed 50l., on writs issued on or after 25th January, 1884:—			
Country and agency cases, or where service effected more than five			
miles from General Post Office, St. Martin's-le-Grand	4	12	0
Town cases		0	Õ
And in addition for each extra service			-
	0	0	0
The above allowances to include all mileage.			
In cases under 201. no costs unless a judge's order for costs.			
In cases where the writ was issued prior to 25th January, 1884, the			
old scale of allowances to be made, viz.:—			
old scale of anowances to be made, viz.:—			
ON WRITS ISSUED PRIOR TO 24TH OCTORER, 1883.			
Country, &o	4	6	0
Town		14	Õ
And in addition for any angular control of the second			-
And in addition for each extra service	U	6	0
On Writs issued on or after 24th October, 1883, down to a	ND		
inglusive of 24th January, 1884, above 50%.	-		
Country, &c. special indorsement (Statement of Claim)	5	0	0
m	4		
Town And in addition for each extra service	4	8 6	0
And in addition for each extra service	0	6	0

And in Cases not exceeding 501., or where the Writ is indorsed	0		ı	
FOR A LIQUIDATED CLAIM BUT NOT SPECIALLY.		8.	a.	
Country, &c. Town casee And in addition for each extra service		6 14		
		6		
Fixed Costs in Cases of Judgment under Order XIV. for Sums not exceeding 501., as settlen by Mr. Justice Field, November, 1883.		`		
Town cases Country And 6s. extra for each additional defendant. "And any extraordinary costs that have been incurred" may be added by the Master. The amount of costs should be inserted in the order for judgment.	6 7	10 0		
FIXED COSTS OF JUDGMENT UNDER ORDER LXV., RULE 27, SUB- SECTION 38.				
Costs	1	10	0	
The Master will give a certificate for the above amount for costs of	jud	gm	ent,	

without taxation of such costs. This regulation will be particularly applicable to judgments for costs under Ord. XXII. r. 7 (for non-payment of costs on payment into Court), and to judgments for non-payment of costs under Ord. XXVI. r. 3 (for non-payment of costs on discontinuance), and will also be applicable to any other case where parties are entitled to sign judgment for costs of judgment, either alone or in addition to other costs previously taxed and allowed.

Form of Certificate for Costs usually adopted in such Cases.

have been taxed and allowed at £ I certify that the costs of the the costs of judgment, if, and when, signed, in case the above costs are not paid, at 11. 10s.)

(Signature.)

SUMMONS AND ORDER DEPARTMENT.

When any of the orders mentioned below are drawn up, a copy (to be made in the Stationer's Department, and stamped with the seal of the Summons and Order Department) shall be sent to the General Filing Office to be filed. The order shall, if possible, be ready for delivery out on the afternoon of the day after it is bespoken ;-

For appointment of receiver. For injunction.

For attachment or committal.

To inspect hanker's books.

Interpleader orders by which provision is made for payment of money into

Charging orders under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14, and 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82.

And any other special order which, in the opinion of the officer drawing it up, ought to be recorded.

ORDER LXII.

REGISTRARS OF THE CHANCERY DIVISION.

1. The registrars of the Chancery Division shall attend the judges Attendance of of the Chancery Division, and the Court of Appeal upon the hearing registrars. of appeals from the Chancery Division, in rotation as they may arrange amongst themselves, and in default of arrangement week by week on alternate days (d).

(d) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 17. See as to the powers and duties of the registrars generally, Davenport v. Stafford, 8 Beav. 503; 9 Jur. 801; Seton, 1546; and see Ord. XXVIII. r. 11, ante, p. 380.

Entry of judgments, orders, præcipes for attachments, and other documents. 2. All judgments and orders drawn up the registrars, or by the chief clerks to the judges, and all pracipes for attachments, and such other documents (if any) as, according to the present practice or the practice for the time being, ought to be entered by the entering clerks to the registrars, shall be entered by them without abbreviations, and in a clear and legible hand, under the direction of the senior registrar for the time being, within one clear day after the same shall be left for entry, and all such entries shall be examined by one of the said entering clerks, and be marked with his initials to denote such examination (e).

Passing and entry of judgments, &c. (e) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 18.

A judgment or order is said to be passed when the registrar has signed his initials in the margin at the foot of the last page of the engrossment or print, as an authority to the clerk of entries to enter it in the registrar's book. When passed, the order is left by the registrar for entry (Seton, 1546). All proceedings on a decree or order before it is entered are voidable and irregular (Tolson v. Jervis, 8 Beav. 364); though in the case of an injunction parties are bound by notice of the order, however received, from the time it is pronounced.

Indexes to such entries.

- 3. Proper calendars or indexes of such entries shall be made by the entering clerks, so that the same may be conveniently referred to when required, and the calendars or indexes and the books in which the entries are made shall when completed be transmitted to the filing and record department of the central office to be there preserved, and shall at all times during office hours be accessible to the public on payment of the usual fee (f).
 - (f) This is taken from Cons. Ord. I. r. 19.

Counsel's brief, &c. to be left with the registrar by person bespeaking judgment or order. 4. At the time of bespeaking a judgment or order, the party bespeaking the same shall leave with the registrar his counsel's brief, and such other documents as may be required by the registrar for the purpose of enabling him to draw up the same (g).

(g) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. I. r. 20.
As to the documents required by the registrar, see Daniell, 801; and as to entering evidence as read, see Daniell, 793; Seton, 18.

Judgment or order to be bespoken, and other documents left with registrar, within seven days after judgment pronounced. Consequence

of default.

- 5. Every judgment or order shall be bespoken, and the briefs and other documents mentioned in the last preceding rule shall be left with the registrar within seven days after the judgment or order is pronounced or finally disposed of by the Court or judge (h).
 - (h) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 21.
- 6. In case any judgment or order is not be spoken, and the briefs and other requisite documents are not left with the registrar within the time prescribed by the last preceding rule, the registrar may decline to draw up the judgment or order without the leave of the Court or judge (i).
 - (i) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 22.

Time for settling draft judgment or 7. At the time of delivering out the draft of any judgment or order which requires to be settled by the registrar in the presence of the

parties, the registrar shall deliver out to the party on whose application the draft has been prepared, an appointment in writing of a time for order to be settling the same (k).

Ord. LXII. appointed in writing.

- (k) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 23.
- 8. A notice of the appointment shall be served on the opposite party one clear day at least before the time fixed thereby for settling the appointment draft judgment or order, and the party serving the notice, and the party so served shall attend the appointment, and produce to the registrar their briefs, and such other documents as may be necessary the time apto enable him to settle the draft (l).

Service of a copy of such on opposite party. Attendance at pointed with briefs and documents.

- (1) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 24.
- 9. Service of the notice of appointment shall be effected by leaving Notice of it at the place for service of the party to be served, or by transmitting appointment, how served. it by post to such party at such place for service (m).

- (m) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 25.
- 10. At the time fixed for settling the draft the registrar shall satisfy Proof of himself in such manner as he may think fit that service of the notice of appointment has been duly effected (n).
 - (n) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 26.
- 11. When the draft judgment or order has been settled by the Time for registrar, he shall name a time in the presence of the several parties, passing the or else deliver out an appointment in writing of a time for passing the order to be judgment or order, and in the latter case notice of the appointment namedor. shall be served on the opposite party in like manner as directed by Notice of rules 8 and 9 of this order, with reference to an appointment to settle appointment. the draft judgment or order (o).

- (e) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 27.
- 12. If any party fails to attend the registrar's appointment for Default in settling the draft of or passing any judgment or order, or fails to appointment produce his briefs and such other documents as the registrar may with briefs require to enable him to cettle such draft, or pass such judgment or and docuorder, the registrar may proceed to settle the draft, or pass the judgment or order in his absence, and the registrar shall be at liberty to dispense with the production of counsel's briefs, and to act upon such evidence as he may think fit of the actual appearance by counsel of the party failing to attend or to produce such documents or papers as aforesaid, or may require the matter to be mentioned to the Court or judge (p).

- (p) This is taken from Cons. Ord. I. r. 28. See Yeatman v. Yeatman, 14 W. R. 123, for a motion under this rule.
- 13. The registrar may adjourn any appointment for settling the Adjournment draft of or passing any judgment or order to such time as he may of appointment.

think fit, and the parties who attended the appointment shall be bound to attend such adjournment without further notice (q).

(q) This rule is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 31.

Settling or passing judgment or order without appointment or notice.

- 14. Notwithstanding the preceding rules of this order, the registrar shall be at liberty, in any case in which he may think it expedient so to do, to settle and pass the judgment or order, without making any appointment for either purpose and without notice to any party (r).
 - (r) This is from Cons. Ord. I. r. 32.

Registrar may certify for special allowance.

- 15. The registrar shall, at the time of any attendance before him for the purpose of settling the terms of and passing any judgment or order, if requested to do so by any party, on the ground that it is of a special nature or of unusual length or difficulty, certify, for the information of the taxing officer, whether in his opinion any special allowance ought to be made in taxation of costs in respect thereof (s).
 - (s) See Ord. LXV. r. 27 (11), post, p. 550.

Money orders to be drawn up in accordance with rules.

- 16. All orders for the payment or transfer of money or securities into Court to the account or credit of the Paymaster-general, and for the payment or transfer of money or securities out of Court by the Paymaster-general shall be drawn up in conformity with such rules relating thereto as shall be from time to time made under the Court of Chancery Funds Act, 1872, or any Act amending the same (t).
 - (t) See Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, ante, p. 215 et seq.

Registrars to keep lists of causes, &c.

- 17. The registrars of the Chancery Division shall keep distinct lists of the causes and matters set down to be heard before each judge of that division (u).
 - (u) This rule is from Cons. Ord. VI. r. 8.

Petitions to be answered in name of senior registrar; orders on petitions to be drawn up by registrars. 18. All petitions which require to be answered, shall be answered in the name of the senior registrar for the time being, and any orders on petitions which, according to the practice formerly prevailing in the Chancery Division, were drawn up, passed, and entered in the office of the secretaries of the Master of the Rolls, shall be drawn up, passed, and entered by or under the direction of the registrars of the Chancery Division.

ORDER LXIII.

SITTINGS AND VACATIONS.

Sittings of Court of Appeal and High Court. 1. The sittings of the Court of Appeal and the sittings in London and Middlesex of the High Court of Justice shall be four in every year, viz., the Michaelmas sittings, the Hilary sittings, the Easter sittings, and the Trinity sittings. The Michaelmas sittings shall commence on the 2nd of November and terminate on the 21st of December; the Hilary sittings shall commence on the 11th of January

and terminate on the Wednesday before Easter; the Easter sittings Ord. LXIII. shall commence on the Tuesday after Easter week and terminate on the Friday before Whit Sunday; and the Trinity sittings shall commence on the Tuesday after Whitsun week and terminate on the 8th of August (v).

(v) By an Order in Council, dated the 12th December, 1883, it was ordered:— Order in That the Trinity sittings of the Court of Appeal, and in London and Middlesex Council as to of the High Court of Justice, shall for the future be extended till the 12th of sittings and Appeals inclusive, and that the long vacation in the several Courts and offices of vacations. the Supreme Court shall for all purposes commence on the 13th of August, that the Michaelmas sittings of the same Courts respectively, shall for the future commence on the 24th of October, and that the long vacation in the several Courts and offices of the Supreme Court shall for all purposes terminate on the 23rd of October. See W. N. (1883), Pt. II., p. 591.

2. It shall not be necessary for the Court of Appeal or the High Queen's Court of Justice to sit on the day appointed to be kept as the Queen's hirthday. birthday.

3. The sittings of the several offices of the Supreme Court shall Sittings of extend over the whole of the four periods between the vacations.

4. The vacations to be observed in the several Courts and offices of Vacations in the Supreme Court shall be four in every year, viz., the long vacation, Courts and offices. the Christmas vacation, the Easter vacation, and the Whitsun vacation. The long vacation shall commence on the 10th of August and terminate on the 24th of October; the Christmas vacation shall commence on the 24th of December and terminate on the 6th of January; the Easter vacation shall commence on Good Friday and terminate on Easter Tuesday; and the Whitsun vacation shall commence on the Saturday before Whit Sunday and shall terminate on the Tuesday after Whit Sunday (w).

(w) See rule 1, and note thereto.

5. The days of the commencement and termination of each sitting First and last and vacation shall be included in such sitting and vacation respect- days to be included. ively.

6. The several offices of the Supreme Court shall be open on every Offices, when day of the year, except Sundays, Good Friday, Easter Eve, Monday to be open. and Tuesday in Easter week, Whit Monday, Christmas Day, and the next following working day, and all days appointed by proclamation to be observed as days of general fast, humiliation, or thanksgiving.

7. The offices of each district registrar of the High Court of Justice District shall be open on every day and hour in the year on which the offices registries. of the registrar of the County Court of the place in which the district registry is situate are required to be kept open.

8. The offices of the Supreme Court (including the judge's chambers) Saturdays. shall, save as hereinafter mentioned, close on Saturdays at 2 o'clock.

9. The office hours in the several offices of the Supreme Court, Office hours. other than the summons and order, crown office, and associates departments of the central office, shall be from ten in the forenoon

Ord. LXIII. to four in the afternoon, except on Saturday and in vacation, when the offices shall close at two in the afternoon. In the excepted departments the hours shall be from eleven in the forenoon to five in the afternoon, except on Saturday and in vacation, when the hours shall be from eleven in the forenoon to three in the afternoon.

Manchester district registry. Vacation judges.

- 10. The office of the district registry at Manchester shall not be open in any year on the five days next following Whit Monday.
- 11. Two of the judges of the High Court shall be selected at the commencement of each long vacation for the hearing in London or Middlesex, during vacation, of all such applications as may require to be immediately or promptly heard. Such two judges shall act as vacation judges for one year from their appointment. In the absence of arrangement between the judges, the two vacation judges shall be the two judges last appointed (whether as judges of the said High Court or of any Court whose jurisdiction is by the principal Act transferred to the said High Court) who have not already served as vacation judges of any such Court, and if there shall not be two judges for the time being of the said High Court who shall not have so served, then the two vacation judges shall be the judge (if any) who has not so served and the senior judge or judges who has or have so served once only according to seniority of appointment, whether in the said High Court or such other Court as aforesaid. The Lord Chancellor shall not be liable to serve as a vacation judge.

Sittings of vacation judgos.

12. The vacation judges may sit either separately or together as a Divisional Court as occasion shall require, and may hear and dispose of all causes, matters, and other business, to whichever division the same may be assigned. No order made by a vacation judge shall be reversed or varied except by a Divisional Court or the Court of Appeal, or the judge who made the order. Any other judge of the High Court may sit in vacation for any vacation judge.

Chambers in the Chancery Division.

- 13. Any judge of the Chancery Division whose chambers may be open for business during any vacation, or any vacation judge acting on his behalf, may issue summonses for the purpose of any proceeding before any other judge of that division at chambers after the vacation (x).
 - (x) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 58.

Proceedings under judgments, &c. during vacation.

- 14. In the interval between the close of any sittings and the commencement of the next sittings, the judgments or orders of any judge may be prosecuted at the chambers of any other judge by his permission; and in case the prosecution thereof shall not be completed during such interval, the prosecution may be continued at the chambers of the same judge if and so far as he shall think fit (y).
 - (y) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XXXV. r. 59.

Intervals between sittings.

15. Any interval between the sittings of the High Court or any division thereof, not included in a vacation, shall, so far as the disposal of business by the vacation judges is concerned, be deemed to be a Ord. LXIII. portion of the vacation (yy).

- (yy) See Wilson v. Watson, 38 L. T. 380.
- 16. The official referees shall sit at least from 10 A.M. to 4 P.M. on Sittings of every day during the Michaelmas, Hilary, Easter, and Trinity sittings official of the High Court of Justice, except on Saturdays, during such sittings, when they shall sit, at least, from 10 A.M. to 1 P.M.; but nothing in this rule shall prevent their sitting on any other days.

ORDER LXIV.

TIME.

1. Where by these rules, or by any judgment or order given or "Month" made after the commencement of the principal Act (z), time for doing dar month. any act or taking any proceeding is limited by months, and where the word "month" occurs in any document which is part of any legal procedure under these rules, such time shall be computed by calendar months, unless otherwise expressed.

(z) The principal Act is the Judicature Act, 1873; see Ord. LXXI. c. 1, post, "Principal p. 567.

Act."

2. Where any limited time less than six days from or after any date When Sunor event is appointed or allowed for doing any act or taking any proceeding, Sunday, Christmas Day, and Good Friday shall not be reckoned in the computation of such limited time (a).

- (a) See Ex parte Viney, 4 Ch. D. 794.
- 3. Where the time for doing any act or taking any proceeding Where time expires on a Sunday, or other day on which the offices are closed, and expires on a by reason thereof such act or proceeding cannot be done or taken on that day, such act or proceeding shall, so far as regards the time of doing or taking the same, be held to be duly done or taken if done or taken on the day on which the offices shall next be open (b).

Sunday.

- (b) This rule is identical with Cons. Ord. XXXVII. r. 12; under which it was held that where the time for doing an act, or taking a proceeding is expressly fixed by Act of Parliament, the rule does not enable such act or proceeding to be done or taken after the expiration of the time so fixed (Flower v. Bright, 2 J. & H. 590). See also as to the rule, Ex parte Saffery, 5 Ch. D. 365.
- 4. No pleadings shall be amended or delivered in the long vacation, Amendment unless directed by a Court or a judge.
- 5. The time of the long vacation shall not be reckoned in the computation of the times appointed or allowed by these rules for filing, amending, or delivering any pleading, unless otherwise directed by not to be the Court or a judge (c).
- (c) In all cases not specified in the corresponding rule, Cons. Ord. XXXVII. r. 13, of time. vacations were counted in the computation of time. See Bothomley v. Squire, 7 De G. M. & G. 246; Ware v. Watson, ibid. 739; Hitchin v. Hughes, 14 W. R. 93.

and delivery of pleadings in long vacation.

Long vacation reckoned in computation

Time not to run after service of order for security until security is given.

- 6. The day on which an order for security for costs is served, and the time thenceforward until and including the day on which such security is given, shall not be reckoned in the computation of time allowed to plead, answer interrogatories, or take any other proceeding in the cause or matter (d).
- (d) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XXXVII. r. 14. Merely taking out the summons for security for costs does not prevent the time from running (*Henderson* v. *Atkins*, 7 W. R. 318).

As to security for costs generally, see Ord. LXV. 1. 6, post, p. 541.

Time may be enlarged or abridged.

- 7. The Court or a judge shall have power to enlarge or abridge the time appointed by these rules, or fixed by any order enlarging time, for doing any act or taking any proceeding, upon such terms (if any) as the justice of the case may require, and any such enlargement may be ordered although the application for the same is not made until after the expiration of the time appointed or allowed (e).
- (e) The rule only applies where a limited time is fixed for something to be done, not where it is ordered that some act must be done before another (Re Pileher, 11 Ch. D. p. 907).

A judge may enlarge the time for appealing against an order dismissing an action for want of prosecution, even after the order has taken effect and the action has therefore been dismissed; and when he has so enlarged the time for appealing he may vary or amend the order dismissing the action (*Carter* v. *Stubbs*, 6 Q. B. D. 116; and see the case there cited).

For instances in which time has been enlarged, see Hastings v. Hurley, 16 Ch. D. 734; Sproat v. Peckett, W. N. (1883), 76 (endorsement on writ of date of service); Re Jones, Eyre v. Cox, W. N. (1877), 38; 46 L. J. Ch. 316; 25 W. R. 303 (renewal of writ; see, however, Doyle v. Kaufman, 3 Q. B. D. 7, 340); Canadian Oil Works v. Hay, W. N. (1878), 107 (delivery of statement of claim); Eaton v. Storer, 22 Ch. D. 91 (delivery of reply).

As to an extension of time for appealing, see Ord. LVIII. r. 15, and note thereto, ante, p. 516.

Enlarging time by consent. 8. The time for delivering, amending, or filing any pleading, answer, or other document may be enlarged by consent in writing, without application to the Court or a judge.

[Rules 9 and 10 apply only to Admiralty actions.]

Time for effecting service.

- 11. Service of pleadings, notices, summonses, orders, rules, and other proceedings, shall be effected before the hour of six in the afternoon, except on Saturdays, when it shall be effected before the hour of two in the afternoon. Service effected after six in the afternoon on any weekday except Saturday shall, for the purpose of computing any period of time subsequent to such service, be deemed to have been effected on the following day. Service effected after two in the afternoon on Saturday shall for the like purpose be deemed to have been effected on the following Monday (f).
 - (f) See Re Clay, 16 Ch. D. 3.

Number of days, how to be reckoned.

12. In any case in which any particular number of days, not expressed to be clear days, is prescribed by these rules, the same shall be reckoned exclusively of the first day and inclusively of the last day.

13. In any cause or matter in which there has been no proceeding Ord. LXIV. for one year from the last proceeding had, the party who desires to $\frac{1}{1}$ proceed shall give a month's notice to the other party of his intention intention to to proceed (g). A summons on which no order has been made shall proceed, when to be given. not, but notice of trial although countermanded shall, be deemed a proceeding within this rule.

- (g) See Staffordshire Bank v. Weaver, W. N. (1884), 78; Webster v. Myer, W. N. (1884), 223.
- 14. An application to set aside an award may be made at any time Setting aside before the last day of the sittings next after such award has been award. made and published to the parties.

[Rule 15 applies only to Admiralty actions.]

ORDER LXV.

Costs.

1. Subject to the provisions of the Acts and these rules, the costs Costs to be in of and incident to all proceedings in the Supreme Court, including the discretion of the Court. the administration of estates and trusts, shall be in the discretion of the Court or judge: Provided that nothing herein contained shall Trustees, &c. deprive an executor, administrator, trustee, or mortgagee who has not unreasonably instituted or carried on or resisted any proceedings, of any right to costs out of a particular estate or fund to which he would be entitled according to the rules hitherto acted upon in the Jury trials. Chancery Division: Provided also that, where any action, cause, matter, or issue is tried with a jury, the costs shall follow the event, unless the judge by whom such action, cause, matter, or issue is tried, or the Court shall, for good cause, otherwise order (h).

(h) The combined effect of the Judicature Act and of this rule is, it seems, to repeal, with certain specified exceptious (see Judicature Act, 1873, s. 67), all previous Acts directing costs to follow certain rules without leaving the Court a discretion; and where a previous Act contains no provision as to the costs of proceedings under it to supply the omission by leaving the costs in the discretion of the Court; see Garnett v. Bradley, 3 App. Cas. 944; 48 L. J. Ex. 186; 26 W. R. 698; 39 L. T. 261; Ex parte Mercers' Co., 10 Ch. D. 481; 48 L. J. Ch. 384; 27 W. R. 424; Ex parte Hospital of St. Katharine, 17 Ch. D. 378; Re Lee, 24 Ch. D. 669. Cotton, L. J., however, has recently expressed a doubt whether the Act and rules mean more than this, that where the Court can give costs they shall be in the discretion of the Court (Re Sarah Knight, 26 Ch. D. p. 91, a case under the Trustee Act): and it is clear, notwithstanding the wide terms in which

shall be in the discretion of the Court (Re Sarah Knight, 26 Ch. D. p. 91, a case under the Trustee Act); and it is clear, notwithstanding the wide terms in which the rule is expressed, that the Court has no jurisdiction to dismiss an action and order the defendant to pay the costs; see Dicks v. Yates, and the other cases cited below. As a matter of practice the Court, in the case of proceedings under an Act of Parliament (e.g., the Lands Clauses Act), follows the rule as to costs prescribed by the particular Act under which the proceedings are taken, as explained and illustrated by the decided cases. In the case of proceedings under the general jurisdiction the costs have always been in the discretion of the Court; and that discretion is generally exercised by making the unsuccessful party pay them (Vancouver v. Bliss, 11 Ves. 463; Millington v. Fox, 3 My. & Cr. 352; Ferguson v. Wilson, 2 Ch. p. 92). The Court can, however, make a decree in favour of a plaintiff without costs, or it may even under very special circumstances make a decree in his favour and order him to pay all the costs (Wootton v. Wootton, W. N. (1869), 175; Norman v. Johnson, 29 Beav. 77; Harrie v. Petherick, 4 Q. B. D. 611). On the other hand it may dismiss an action without costs; but it cannot dismiss an

Ord. LXV.

action and order the defendant to pay all the costs (Dicks v. Yates, 18 Ch. D. 76; Re Foster v. Great Western Ry. Co., 8 Q. B. D. 515; Witt v. Corcoran, 2 Ch. D. 69); though he may be ordered to pay some particular costs incurred in the action, e. q., costs occasioned by his improper conduct of the litigation (Dufaur v. Sigel, 4 De G. M. & G. 520; Re Foster v. Great Western Ry. Co.).

Where the plaintiff comes to enforce a legal right and there has been no miscon-

where the plantam comes to entore a legal right and there has been no inisconduct on his part he is entitled to his costs as of right (Cooper v. Whitlingham, 15 Ch. D. 501); and see Upmann v. Forester, 24 Ch. D. 231.

The judge has no power to impose costs by way of penalty, beyond the costs of the suit (Willmott v. Barber, 17 Ch. D. 772); nor can he give the difference between solicitor and client and party and party costs as damages (Cockburn v. Edwards, 18 Ch. D. 449; Quartz Hill Co. v. Eyre, 31 W. R. 668).

Costs of administration actions.

The costs of administration actions are now in the discretion of the Court. Under the former practice a residuary legatee plaintiff, in the absence of special circumstances, was entitled to his costs out of the estate as of right (Farrow v. Austin, 18 Ch. D. 58); but this is no longer the rule (Re Hodgson, W. N. (1884), 117, where, however, the costs were allowed, judgment having been given before the present rules came into operation). Even under the former practice the costs might be refused in a proper case; see Bartlett v. Wood, 9 W. R. 817; Croggan v. Allen, 22 Ch. D. 101; Fane v. Fane, 13 Ch. D. 228; 28 W. R. 348; 41 L. T. 551; Sykes v. Brook, 29 W. R. 821. In Re Cabburn, Gage v. Rutland, W. N. (1882), 92; 46 L. T.

Costs of trustees and mortgagees. Brook, 29 W. R. 821. In Re Cabburn, Gage v. Rutland, W. N. (1882), 92; 46 L. T. 848, an administration action instituted by a trustee was dismissed with costs; and see also Wood v. Ainley, W. N. (1883), 133; Ackers v. Ackers, W. N. (1884), 82. The saving of the right of trustees and mortgagees to costs out of the estate is less extensive than that in the corresponding repealed rule, being restricted to the case of a trustee or mortgages "who has not unreasonably instituted or carried on or resisted any proceedings." But these words have not, it seems, made any real alteration in the law (Re Sarah Knight, 26 Ch. D. 90); the right of a trustee or mortgagee to his costs rests substantially upon contract (Cotterell v. Stratton, 8 Ch. 295; Turner v. Hancock, 20 Ch. D. 303); for improper conduct, whether of the kind specified in the rule or any other, he may be deprived of them, but not otherwise (Cotterell v. Stratton; Re Chennell, 8 Ch. D. 492; Turner v. Hancock; Re Watts, 22 Ch. D. 5). If a trustee or mortgagee is deprived of his costs he may appeal on this ground alone; see the cases above cited. In suits between themselves and persons strangers to the trust, trustees, executors, and administrators suing in that persons strangers to the trust, trustees, executors, and administrators suing in that character are, of course, in no better position than parties suing in their own right. For the general law and practice as to costs in the Chancery Division, see Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs.

Solicitor.

A solicitor who brings or defends an action in person is entitled to the same costs as an ordinary litigant appearing in person, subject to this restriction, that no costs which are really unnecessary can be recovered (London Scottish Society v. Chorley, 13 Q. B. D. 872).

Costs of issues.

2. When issues in fact and law are raised upon a claim or counterclaim, the costs of the several issues respectively, both in law and fact, shall, unless otherwise ordered, follow the event (i).

Costs where claim and counterclaim both dismissed with costs:

(i) Where a claim and a counterclaim are both dismissed with costs, the plaintiff pays to the defendant the general costs of the action, and the defendant pays to the plaintiff only the amount by which the costs have been increased by reason of the counterclaim; there is no apportionment (Mason v. Brentimi (C. A., 15 Ch. D. 287; 29 W. R. 126; 42 L. T. 726; 43 L. T. 557; Saner v. Bilton, 11 Ch. D. 416; 48 L. J. Ch. 545; 27 W. R. 472; 40 L. T. 134).

where both successful.

Where the claim and the counterclaim are both successful the plaintiff, in the absence of special directions to the contrary, is entitled to the general costs of the action, although the result of the litigation as a whole is in favour of the defendant (Re Brown, Ward v. Morse, 23 Ch. D. 377); there is no apportionment of the common charges in the action.

Costs in inferior Court where cause removed.

3. If a cause be removed from an inferior Court, having jurisdiction in the cause, the costs in the Court below shall be costs in the cause.

Where action ordered to be tried in County Court.

4. Where an action is ordered to be tried in a County Court under the provisions of 19 & 20 Vict. c. 108, s. 26, the costs of the action shall, subject to the provisions of the principal Act and these rules, follow the event, unless by the registrar's certificate of the result of the trial it shall appear that the judge before whom the action was tried was of opinion that the question of costs ought to be referred to a judge of the High Court, in which case no costs shall be recovered unless ordered by the Court or a judge (k).

Ord. LXV.

- (k) See Evans v. Edwards, W. N. (1883), 194; Emeny v. Sandes, 14 Q. B. D. 6.
- 5. Where upon the trial of any cause or matter it appears that the Where cause, same cannot conveniently proceed by reason of the solicitor for any &c. cannot party having neglected to attend personally, or by some proper person through on his behalf, or having omitted to deliver any paper necessary for the neglect of use of the Court or judge, and which according to the practice ought to have been delivered, such solicitor shall personally pay to all or any of the parties such costs as the Court or judge shall think fit to award(l).

solicitor.

- (l) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XXI. r. 12. See Cook v. Broomhead, 16 Vcs. 133, where a solicitor having undertaken to appear for a defendant at the hearing was ordered to pay all the costs occasioned by his neglect so to do; and see also Courtney v. Stock, 2 Dr. & W. 251; Birch v. Williams, 24 W. R. 700; W. N. (1876), 168.
- 6. In any cause or matter in which security for costs is required (m) Security for the security shall be of such amount (n) and be given at such times (o)and in such manner and form (p) as the Court or a judge shall direct.

(m) The following are the cases in which a plaintiff is required to give security Security for for costs:-

costs may be required from a plaintiff: (1) When resident out of the jurisdic-

- for costs:—

 (1) When he is resident out of the jurisdiction (Republic of Costa Rica v. Erlanger, 3 Ch. D. 62; 24 W. R. 955; 35 L. T. 19); or goes to reside permanently abroad during the suit (Green v. Charnock, 1 Ves. jun. 396; Hoby v. Hitchcock, 5 Ves. 699; Blakeney v. Dufaur, 2 De G. M. & G. 271; Edwardes v. Burke, 9 L. T. 406; Kennaway v. Tripp, 11 Beav. 588; Stewart v. Stewart, 20 Beav. 322); and see Baddeley v. Harding, 6 Madd. 214, where the plaintiff was under sentence of transportation; Seilaz v. Hanson, 5 Ves. 261; but if the plaintiff goes abroad on public service or for a temporary purpose the rule does not apply; see Colebroke v. Jones, Dick. 154 (where the plaintiff was a consul abroad). As to officers, see Evelyn v. Chippendale, 9 Sim. 407; Clark v. Fergusson, 1 Giff. 184; Fisher v. Bunbury, Sa. & Sc. 625; Miller v. Hales, 17 Eq. 430; 43 L. J. Ch. 446; but from Long v. Tottenham, 1 Ch. Rep. 127, it seems it must distinctly appear that the plaintiff is abroad on foreign service. 127, it seems it must distinctly appear that the plaintiff is abroad on foreign service. As to seafaring men, see Stewart v. Stewart, 20 Beav. 322; Gowran v. Barnett, Sa. &
- Sc. 651.

 If, however, at the time of the application for security, the plaintiff (whether an Englishman or a foreigner) is within the jurisdiction, though only temporarily, security cannot be required (Redondo v. Chaytor, 4 Q. B. D. 453; 27 W. R. 701; 40 L. T. 797; Ebrard v. Gassier, W. N. (1885), 1); and so where he is permanently resident without the jurisdiction but has property within it (Hamburger v. Poetting, 30 W. R. 769; Kilkenny Ry. v. Feilden, 6 Ex. 81); or the defendant admits his liability (De St. Martin v. Davis, W. N. (1884), 86.

 Where an action was brought by two plaintiffs, one residing abroad, alleging a contract by the defendant with the plaintiffs jointly, and in the alternative with each of them separately, security could not be required from the plaintiff residing abroad (D'Hormusgee v. Grey, 10 Q. B. D. 18).

 (2) When a plaintiff misdescribes his residence, or is keeping out of the way (2) If plaintiff (Redondo v. Chaytor, 4 Q. B. D. 453; Swanzy v. Swanzy, 4 K. & J. 237); and see as misdescribes to misdescription, the plaintiff having changed his residence, Keer v. Gillespie, 7 his residence Beav. 269; Campbell v. Andrews, 12 Sim. 578; but if a misdescription is innocently or keeps out inserted, and the defendant knows the real address, a motion for security for costs of the way.

inserted, and the defendant knows the real address, a motion for security for costs of the way. inserted, and the derendant knows the real address, a motion for security for costs will be refused (Smith v. Cornfoot, 1 De G. & S. 684); and for instances of accidental errors in the plaintiff's description on account of which security was not required, see Watts v. Kelly, 6 W. R. 206; Clark v. Clark, 14 W. R. 449; and it is the defendant's duty, if the plaintiff cannot be found at the place of residence named in the writ, to make inquiries from the solicitor before he applies for security (Knight v. Cory, 11 W. R. 254; Bailey v. Gundry, 2 Keen, 53; Manby v. Bewicke, 8 De G. M. & G. 468); and see Hutchinson v. Swift, 13 W. R. 532; Dick v. Munden, ibid.

Ord. LXV.

(3) Ambassador.

(4) Insolvent.

Not merely because plaintiff is poor,

except from a relator.

(5) Limited

Trustee in

company.

bankruptcy. Petitioner.

Security not required from a defendant or person compelled to litigate. Counterclaim.

Amount of security.

Security may be required at any stage.

Manner and form of security.

Default in giving security.

Bond to be given to person requiring security.

Security, how given by bond. Who may be sureties.

1013, where the order was that the plaintiff should amend within a week by inserting his proper address, or else should give security.

(3) An ambassador's servant being a person privileged under 7 Anne, c. 12, must give security (Goodwin v. Archer, 2 P. Wms. 452; Adderley v. Smith, 1 Dick. 355); but, semble, not an ambassador himself (Duke de Montellano v. Christin, 5 M. & S. 593).

(4) A plaintiff or petitioner who is actually insolvent may be required to give security (Re Carta Para Mining Co., 19 Ch. D. 457, and cases there cited; The Lake Megantic, 36 L. T. 183; Smith v. Smith, 7 P. D. 227). As to a trustee in bankruptcy suing in his official name, see Pooley's Trustee v. Whetham, 28 Ch. D. 38. But security for costs is not required merely on account of poverty (*Hind* v. *Whitmore*, 2 J. & H. 562); but see *Burke* v. *Hutchinson*, 7 Ir. Eq. Rep. 708, where a pauper was ordered to give security for costs; this case, however, depended on the special circumstances, and would not probably be generally followed; see the comments on it in Worrall v. White, 3 Jo. & Lat. 513.

A relator in a charity information, however, may be required to give security for costs on the ground of his poverty (Attorney-General v. Skinners' Company, 1 C. P. C. 1, 5; Attorney-General v. Mayor of Rochester, Reg. Lib. A. fol. 271, cited in Shelford on Mortmain); but semble, not where in an information and bill the relator is also

on Mortmain); but semble, not where in an information and bill the relator is also the plaintiff (Attorney-General v. Knight, 3 My. & Cr. 154).

An appellant, however, may be required to give security on the ground of poverty; see the cases cited in Ord. LVIII. r. 16, ante, p. 516.

(5) Where a limited company is plaintiff, security may be required if there is reason to believe that the assets will be insufficient to pay the defendant his costs if he is successful; see 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89 (Companies' Act, 1862), s. 69; Northampton Coal Co. v. Midland Waggon Co., 7 Ch. D. 500; 26 W. R. 485; City of Moscow Gas Co. v. International Finance Co., 7 Ch. 225; Accidental Co. v Mercati, 3 Eq. 200.

Security cannot, it seems, be required from a plaintiff merely because he sues "as trustee of the property of A. B., a bankrupt," without his own name appearing on the record (Pooley's Trustee v. Whetham, 28 Ch. D. 38).

Security for costs will be required from a petitioner under the same circumstances

Security for costs will be required from a petitioner under the same circumstances as from a plaintiff (Re Latta, 3 De G. & S. 186; Ex parte Foley, 11 Beav. 456; Re Norman, 11 Beav. 401; Re Home Assurance Association, 12 Eq. 112).

Security will not be required from a defendant, or from a person who, though nominally a plaintiff, is compelled to litigate; see Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 18, where the cases are collected. As to security for costs where there is a counterclaim, see Winterfield v. Bradnum, 3 Q. B. D. 324; 47 L. J. Q. B. 270; 26 W. R. 472; 38 L. T. 250; Mapleson v. Masini, 5 Q. B. D. 144; 49 L. J. Q. B. 423; 28 W. R. 488; 42 L. T. 531.

(n) The amount of the security is in the discretion of the judge. In an ordinary (a) The amount of the security is in the discretion of the judge. In an ordinary case 100l. will be required from a plaintiff, and 40l. from a petitioner (Seton, pp. 1643-1645; Paxton v. Bell, 24 W. R. 1013; W. N. (1876), 221, 249; but the amount may be very largely increased (Massey v. Allen, 12 Ch. D. 807; 48 L. J. Ch. 692; 28 W. R. 243; Sturla v. Freecia, W. N. (1878), 161, 188; Republic of Costa Rica v. Erlanger, 3 Ch. D. 62; 45 L. J. Ch. 743; 24 W. R. 955). In the case of a company, the security must be "sufficient," and for an amount equal to the probable expount of the costs (Imperial Book of China, w. Book of Hindusters 1 Ch. 437; Evan

amount of the costs (Imperial Bank of China v. Bank of Hindustan, 1 Ch. 437; Free-hold Land Co. v. Spargo, W. N. (1868), 94).

(o) The Court may direct security to be given at any stage of the suit (Martano v. Mann, 14 Ch. D. 419; 42 L. T. 890; Lydney Co. v. Bird, 23 Ch. D. 358); and for past as well as future costs (Massey v. Allen).

(p) Security is given either in the shape of a bond, as to which see next rule and note thereto; or by payment into Court.

Application for security is made by summons (Lydney Co. v. Bird). The order is that all proceedings be stayed till the plaintiff gives security (Fox v. Blew, 5 Mad.

147; Seton, 1643).

If the plaintiff makes default in giving security he will be ordered to give security within a limited time (generally a fortnight), or his action be dismissed (Giddings v. Giddings, 10 Beav. 29; Kennedy v. Edwards, 11 Jur. N. S. 153; Charras v. Pickering, 39 L. J. Ch. 190); and see La Grange v. McAndrew, 4 Q. B. D. 210.

7. Where a bond is to be given as security for costs, it shall, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct, be given to the party or person requiring the security, and not to an officer of the Court (q).

(q) As to the mode of giving security by bond, see Daniell, 1928.

The plaintiff's proposed sureties must be solvent persons (Cliffe v. Wilkinson, 4 Sim. 122), and it is improper that his solicitor should be his surety (Panton v. Labertouche, 1 Ph. 265; 7 Jur. 589); but in Plestow v. Johnson, 1 Sm. & G. App. xx.,

2 W. R. 3, the bond of the British Guarantee Association, incorporated by Act of Parliament, was held sufficient security. And the bond of an officer in the army whose regiment is at the time quartered in Scotland is sufficient (Miller v. Hales, 17 Eq. 430; 43 L. J. Ch. 436; 30 L. T. 10; 22 W. R. 625). If the surety dies or becomes bankrupt, the plaintiff must find fresh security (Lautour v. Holcombe, 1 Ph. 263; Feitch v. Irving, 11 Sim. 122); but the defendant must not delay his application for that purpose, otherwise proceedings will not be stayed in the mean time (Lautour v. Holcombe).

Ord. LXV.

8. In causes and matters commenced after these rules come into Scale of costs: operation, solicitors shall be entitled to charge and be allowed the fees set forth in the column headed "lower scale" in Appendix N. in all lower scale. causes and matters, and no higher fees shall be allowed in any case, except such as are by this order otherwise provided for; and in causes and matters pending at the time when these rules come into operation, to which the higher scale of costs previously in force was applicable, the same scale shall continue to be applied (r).

- (r) See Appendix N., infra.
- 9. The fees set forth in the column headed "higher scale" in Higher scale. Appendix N. may be allowed, either generally in any cause or matter, or as to the costs of any particular application made, or business done, in any cause or matter, if, on special grounds arising out of the nature and importance, or the difficulty or urgency of the case, the Court or a judge shall, at the trial or hearing, or further consideration of the cause or matter, or at the hearing of any application therein, whether the cause or matter shall or shall not be brought to trial or hearing or to further consideration (as the case may be), so order(s); or if the taxing officer, under directions given to him for that purpose by the Court or a judge, shall think that such allowance ought to be so made upon such special grounds as aforesaid.
- (s) For Appendix N., see infra. See Re Chaytor, 25 Ch. D. 655; and Holland v. Worley, W. N. (1884), 90, in which the costs were ordered to be taxed on the higher scale. In Hudson v. Osgerby, W. N. (1884), 83; 32 W. R. 566; and Re Spettigue, W. N. (1884), 6; 32 W. R. 385, applications for this purpose were refused. The rule does not apply to actions pending at the time the present rules came into operation (Edgington v. Fitzmaurice, 32 W. R. 848).
- 10. Upon any reference to a taxing officer to tax a bill of costs of a Higher scale solicitor for the purpose of ascertaining the amount due to such solicitor may be allowed on in respect thereof from the person to be charged therewith, if such bill special shall include charges for business done in any cause or matter, the grounds. taxing officer may allow the fees set forth in the column headed "higher scale" in Appendix N. in respect of such cause or matter, or in respect of any particular application made or business done therein, if on such special grounds, as are in the last preceding rule mentioned, he shall think that such allowance ought to be so made.

11. If in any case it shall appear to the Court or a judge that costs Costs occahave been improperly or without any reasonable cause incurred, or misconduct that by reason of any undue delay in proceeding under any judgment of solicitor. or order, or of any misconduct or default of the solicitor, any costs properly incurred have nevertheless proved fruitless to the person

Ord. LXV.

incurring the same, the Court or judge may call on the solicitor of the person by whom such costs have been so incurred to show cause why such costs should not be disallowed as between the solicitor and his client, and also (if the circumstances of the case shall require) why the solicitor should not repay to his client any costs which the client may have been ordered to pay to any other person, and thereupon may make such order as the justice of the case may require. The Court or judge may, if they or he think fit, refer the matter to a taxing officer for inquiry and report; and direct the solicitor in the first place to show cause before such taxing officer, and may also, if they or he think fit, direct or authorise the official solicitor of the Supreme Court to attend and take part in such inquiry. Such notice (if any) of the proceedings or order shall be given to the client in such manner as the Court or judge may direct. Any costs of the official solicitor shall be paid by such parties, or out of such funds as the Court or a judge may direct; or, if not otherwise paid, may be paid out of such moneys (if any) as may be provided by Parliament.

Plaintiff recovering only 501. in contract, to be allowed County Court costs.

- 12. In actions founded on contract, in which the plaintiff recovers, by judgment or otherwise, a sum (exclusive of costs) not exceeding 501., he shall be entitled to no more costs than he would have been entitled to, had he brought his action in a County Court, unless the Court or a judge otherwise orders (t).
- (t) See as to this rule, Bye v. Kirby, W. N. (1883), 195; Langley v. Sugden, ibid. 198; Calvert v. Davidson, W. N. (1884), 18; Mendelssohn v. Hoppe, ibid. 31; Copley v. Jackson, ibid. 94; Saywood v. Cross, 14 Q. B. D. 53.

Cost of guardian ad litem where solicitor is guardian.

13. Where the Court or a judge appoints one of the solicitors of the Court to be guardian ad litem of an infant or person of unsound mind, the Court or judge may direct that the costs to be incurred in the performance of the duties of such office shall be borne and paid either by the parties or some one or more of the parties to the cause or matter in which such appointment is made, or out of any fund in Court in which such infant or person of unsound mind may be interested, and may give directions for the repayment or allowance of such costs as the justice and circumstances of the case may require (u).

Costs of soli-

(u) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 4. Where the official solicitor is appointed guardian to a defendant, who is an infant Costs of solicitor guardian or of unsound mind, at the instance of the plaintiff, it is the settled rule that the plaintiff shall pay his costs in the first instance, and add them to his own (Fraser v. Thompson, 4 De G. & J. 659; Newbury v. Marten, 15 Jur. 166), even in a foreclosure suit, where the security is insufficient (Harris v. Hamlyn, 3 De G. & S. 470; see Exparte Davies, 16 Jur. 882). But in a partition suit, the guardian's costs were ultimately charged on the infant's share (Robinson v. Aston, 9 Jur. 224; and see Robey

whitewood, there cited).

The Court had no jurisdiction to order the costs of a defendant, to whom the solicitor to the Suitors' Fee Fund was appointed guardian, to be paid out of the suitors' fund (Fraser v. Thompson, 4 De G. & J. 652).

Where a person of unsound mind, to whom a guardian ad litem has been appointed.

recovers before the hearing, he must pay the costs of the guardian hefore obtaining an order to substitute his own solicitor, but may add such costs to his own costs of suit (Frampton v. Webb, 11 W. R. 1018).

Costs where lunatic recovers.

The guardian ad litem is not liable for costs except in case of gross misconduct Ord. LXV. (Morgan v. Morgan, 11 Jur. N. S. 233).

14. A set-off for damages or costs between parties may be allowed Solicitor's lien notwithstanding the solicitor's lien for costs in the particular cause or fere with matter in which the set-off is sought.

not to interset-off.

15. Costs may be taxed on an award, notwithstanding the time for Taxation of setting aside the award has not elapsed.

costs on award.

16. One day's notice of taxing costs, together with a copy of the Notice of bill of costs and affidavit of increase (if any) (v), shall be given by the taxing costs. solicitor of the party whose costs are to be taxed to the other party or his solicitor, in all cases where a notice to tax is necessary.

- (v) An affidavit of increase is not generally required on taxations in the Chancery Division (Smith v. Day, 16 Ch. D. 726).
- 17. Notice of taxing costs shall not be necessary in any case where Where dethe defendant has not appeared in person, or by his solicitor or not appeared. guardian.

18. Every reference for the taxation of costs in the Chancery Order of Division shall be made to the taxing master in rotation; provided that in any case where there shall have been any former taxation in the same cause or matter, or in any summons under Order LV., rules 3 or 4, relating to the same estate or trust, the reference shall be to the taxing master before whom such former taxation took place (w).

- (w) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 2.
- 19. The taxing masters shall be respectively assistant to each other, Taxing and in the discharge of their duties; and, for the better despatch of assist each the business of their respective offices, any taxing master may tax or other. assist in the taxation of a bill of costs which has been referred to any other taxing master for taxation, and for ascertaining what is due in respect of such costs, and in such case shall certify accordingly (x).

- (x) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 3. As to the mode of carrying in objections when part of the bill has been taxed by a Chancery taxing master and part by a common law master, see Ross v. Ashwin, W. N. (1884), 86.
- 20. Where, upon the taxation of any bill of costs in the Chancery Books, &c. to Division, it appears to the taxing master that for the purpose of duly be transmitted by the judge's taxing the same it is necessary to inspect any books, papers, or docu-chief clerk to ments, relating to the cause or matter in the chambers of any judge, the taxing master. the taxing master shall be at liberty to request the chief clerk of such judge to cause the same to be transmitted to the office of the taxing master, and also to request such chief clerk to certify any proceedings in the said chambers which may be comprised in the bill of costs under taxation, and in such cases the chief clerk, when and so soon, and at and for such times, as the due transaction of the business at the said chambers will permit, shall direct such books, papers, and documents, to be transmitted to the office of the taxing master for his

Ord. LXV.

use during the taxation, and shall certify the proceedings which have taken place in the said chambers according to the request of the taxing master; and after the costs in respect of which such request of the taxing master was made shall have been certified, the taxing master shall cause the same books, papers, and documents, which have been so transmitted to his office, if then remaining there, to be returned to the chambers of the judge (y).

(y) This is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 26.

Memorandum of transmission, &c.

- 21. When any book, paper, or document, shall be transmitted from the chambers of a judge to the office of a taxing master, a memorandum of such transmission shall be made and signed by the taxing master or the clerk of the taxing master, at whose request, such book, paper, or document, may be transmitted, and shall be delivered to the chief clerk of such judge; and when any such book, paper, or document, shall be returned from the office of the taxing master to the judge's chambers, a memorandum of such return shall be made and signed by such chief clerk, or by one of his clerks, and shall be delivered to the taxing master (z).
 - (z) This is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 27.

Costs of drafts settled by private counsel before or after they are settled by conveyancing counsel of Court.

- 22. Where in pursuance of any direction by the Court or a judge in chambers drafts are settled by any of the conveyancing counsel of the Court, the expense of procuring such drafts to be previously or subsequently settled by other counsel, on behalf of the same parties on whose behalf such drafts are settled by the conveyancing counsel of the Court, shall not be allowed on taxation as between party and party, or as between solicitor and client, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct (a).
 - (a) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 30.

Gross sum in lieu of taxed costs.

- 23. Upon interlocutory applications where the Court or a judge shall think fit to award costs to any party, the Court or judge may by the order direct payment of a sum in gross in lieu of taxed costs, and direct by and to whom such sum in gross shall be paid (b).
- (b) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 37. In London & Blackwall Ry. v. Limehouse Board of Works, 26 L. J. Ch. p. 170, V.-C. Wood is reported to have said that the Court would not act on this rule unless the parties were poor and anxious to put an end to the matter; but see Yearsley v. Yearsley, 19 Bsav. 1; Dakins v. Garratt, 4 Jur. N. S. 579. The rule is frequently acted on in chambers (Seton, 126).

Fees on proceedings under Charitable Trusts Act in chambers:

- 24. The fees payable on proceedings before a judge in chambers under the Charitable Trusts Act, 1853, s. 28, shall be the same as the fees payable according to the rules relating to costs in respect of other proceedings commencing by summons, and shall also in all other respects be regulated by these rules (c).
 - (c) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XLI. r. 11. As to this Act, see ante, p. 94.

25. Where the judge directs that any matter commenced by summons Ord. LXV. under the Act in the last preceding rule mentioned shall be heard in in Court. open Court, the same fees shall be payable and the same costs shall be allowed as would have been payable in respect of any other matter so heard (d).

- (d) This is from Cons. Ord. XLI. r. 12.
- 26. The fees and allowances to solicitors on proceedings under the Proceedings Act 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 30, shall be the same as are payable under under Lord St. Leonards' these rules, and by the practice of the Court for business of a similar Act. nature (e).

(e) This rule is from Gen. Ord. March 20th, 1860, r. 5; for the Act here referred to, see ante, p. 102.

Special Allowances and General Regulations.

27. The following special allowances and general regulations shall Special apply to all proceedings and all taxations in the Supreme Court of allowances. Judicature.

1. As to writs of summons requiring special indorsement, and as to Writs requirspecial cases, pleadings, and affidavits in answer to interrogatories, ing special indorsement, &c. and other special affidavits, and admissions under Ord. XXXII. r. 4, the taxing officer may, in lieu of the allowances for instructions and preparing or drawing, and attendances, make such allowance for work, labour, and expenses in or about the preparation of such documents as in his discretion he may think proper.

2. As to drawing any pleading or other document, the fees allowed Drawing fee shall include any copy made for the use of the solicitor, agent, or copy. client, or for counsel to settle.

3. As to instructions to sue or defend, or the preparation of briefs, Instructions if the taxing officer shall on special grounds consider the fee in either defend. scale provided inadequate, he may make such further allowance as he shall in his discretion consider reasonable.

4. As to affidavits, when there are several deponents to be sworn, or Affidavits. it is necessary for the purpose of an affidavit being sworn to go to a distance, or to employ an agent, such reasonable allowance may be made as the taxing officer in his discretion may think fit.

5. The allowances for instructions and drawing an affidavit in answer Attendances. to interrogatories and other special affidavits, and attending the deponent to be sworn, include all attendances on the deponent to settle and read over.

6. As to delivery of pleadings, services, and notices, the fees are Delivery of not to be allowed when the same solicitor is for both parties, unless it pleadings, &c. be necessary for the purpose of making an affidavit of service.

- 7. As to perusals the fees are not to apply where the same solicitor Perusals. is for both parties.
- 8. Where the same solicitor is employed for two or more defendants, Separate proand separate pleadings are delivered or other proceedings had by or co-defendants.

Ord. LXV.

for two or more such defendants separately, the taxing officer shall consider in the taxation of such solicitor's bill of costs, either between party and party or between solicitor and client, whether such separate pleadings or other proceedings were necessary or proper, and if he is of opinion that any part of the costs occasioned thereby has been unnecessarily or improperly incurred, the same shall be disallowed(f).

(f) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 12. Whether the costs should be allowed or not is a matter entirely in the discretion of the taxing master and the Court will not interfere (Beattie v. Lord Ebury, 22 W. R. 68; 43 L. J. Ch. 80;

Attending for two parties.

Where the same solicitor appeared for the receiver and a party to the suit, he was only allowed to charge for one copy of the receiver's account (Sharp v. Wright, only allowed to charge for one copy of the receiver's account (starp'v. Wright, 1 Eq. 634); and where one solicitor attended in chambers for two parties in different interests, the costs of one attendance only were allowed (Brown v. Gellatly, 15 W. R. 887); see also Tarbuck v. Woodcock, 3 Beav. 239.

The liability for costs as between themselves, of several plaintiffs or defendants employing the same solicitor, is discussed and the rules stated in Re Colquboum, 5 De G. M. & G. 35; and see Davies v. Chatwood, 11 Ch. D. 244.

Defendants in the same interest should appear by one solicitor, but it is difficult to lead down any process rules as the circumstances under which parties are held.

Defendants appearing by ons or more solicitors.

to lay down any precise rule as to the circumstances under which parties are held to be in the same interest, so as to be allowed only one set of costs, if they sever in defence. See Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 124, where the cases are collected.

Where one of a class of defendants is separately charged, and relief is prayed against him, he may appear separately and have his costs (Shaw v. Johnson, 9 W. R. 629); and see Re Humber Ironworks Co., 2 Eq. 15, where the costs payable on winding-up petitions are considered; and Re European Banking Co., ibid. 521; Re Anglo-Egyptian Navigation Co., 8 Eq. 660.

Winding-up petitions. Trustees severing.

Re Anglo-Egyptian Navigation Co., 8 Eq. 660.

Trustees ought not generally to sever (Gaunt v. Taylor, 2 Beav. 346); and see Course v. Humphrey, 26 Beav. 402; Att.-Gen. v. Wyville, 28 Beav. 464, where only one set of costs was allowed, the division being left to the taxing-master. In Prince v. Hine (No. 2), 27 Beav. 345, where only one set of costs was allowed, one of the trustees, who had alone obeyed an order for payment of money into Court, by paying in the whole sum ordered to be paid in, was held entitled to the whole of the costs. Charges of fraud against one of them will justify trustees in severing (Walters v. Woodbridge, 7 Ch. D. 504).

In general, trustees and their cestuis que trust are not justified in severing (Farr v. Sheriffe, 4 Hare, 528); and so with mortgagor and mortgages (Remnant v. Hood, 27 Beav. 613); and see Heinrich v. Sutton, 6 Ch. 220.

A husband and wife living apart were held entitled only to one set of costs (Garey

Husband and wife.

A husband and wife living apart were held entitled only to one set of costs (Garey v. Whittingham, 5 Beav. 268); and see Mildnay v. Quicke, 46 L. J. Ch. 667.

Where defendants live at a distance, this may be a sufficient ground for putting in several defences (Aldridge v. Westbrook, 4 Beav. 212; Wiles v. Cooper, 9 Beav. 299; Russell v. Nicholls, 9 Jur. 613; but see Farr v. Sherife). Parties living at a distance.

Procuring evidence.

9. As to evidence, such just and reasonable charges and expenses as appear to have been properly incurred in procuring evidence, and the attendance of witnesses, are to be allowed (g).

Attendance of scientific witnesses at the rial.

(g) This rule gives the taxing master power to allow so much for the attendance of scientific witnesses at the trial as shall appear to him to be "just and reasonable" (Turnbull v. Janson, 3 C. P. D. 264; 26 W. R. 815).

Expenses of witnesses qualifying.

A reasonable sum will ordinarily be allowed for a scientific witness to get up a A reasonable sum will ordinarily be allowed for a scientific witness to get up a case for the purpose of giving svidence; see Smith v. Buller, 19 Eq. 473; 23 W. R. 332; 31 L. T. 473, where seven guineas a day were allowed to a scientific witness for reading up a case; Churton v. Frewen, 15 W. R. 559; W. N. (1867), 101; Duke of Beaufort v. Lord Ashburnham, 13 C. B. N. S. 598; 11 W. R. 267; 32 L. J. C. P. 97; 7 L. T. 710, where charges of an expert for searching for and translating ancient records and documents were allowed; Re Charles Laffitte & Co., 20 Eq. 650; Adv. J. Ch. 632, 24 W. R. 7, 23 T. T. 91, where an account was employed as 44 L. J. Ch. 633; 24 W. R. 7; 33 L. T. 91, where an accountant was employed as a skilled witness to give evidence in support of a claim; Batley v. Kynock, 20 Eq. 632; but great care is necessary in dealing with such charges in party and party costs (Batley v. Kynock). See also Murphy v. Nolan, I. R. 7 Eq. 598. The same

practice in this respect now prevails in the Queen's Bench Division (Mackley v. Chillingworth, 2 C. P. D. 273; 46 L. J. C. P. 484; 25 W. R. 650; 36 L. T. 514; Turnbull v. Janson, 3 C. P. D. 264; 26 W. R. 815). In Stanger Leathes v. Stanger Leathes, W. N. (1879), 86, the Court would not allow the costs of more than three experts to prove a county custom.

The costs of keeping a witness abroad, in addition to the costs of bringing him over here to give evidence, may be allowed (Pieasso v. Trustees of Maryport Harbour, W. N. (1884), 85; and see as to costs and expenses of witnesses, Ord. XXXVII.

r. 9, and note thereto, ante, p. 424.

The costs of all necessary evidence will of course be allowed; see Stimpson v. Jepson, 18 W. R. 962. As to the costs of unnecessary evidence, see Booth v. Booth, 1 Beav.

130 ; Farrow v. Rees, 4 Beav. 24.

The costs of affidavits filed, but not entered in the order, will not be allowed even Costs of on a taxation as between solicitor and client (Stephens v. Lord Newborough, 11 Beav. affidavits. 403; Stuart v. Greenall, 13 Price, 755); and see further as to costs of affidavits, Camille v. Donati, 13 W. R. 358. A solicitor is entitled to the costs of an affidavit made on delivering up papers under an order (Re Catlin, 18 Beav. 514; see Raw-linson v. Moss, 9 W. R. 733).

Where notice was given to cross-examine witnesses at the hearing, and they were Witnesses brought up accordingly, but were not, in fact, cross-examined, it was held that the hrought up costs of bringing them up ought to be allowed in taxation as between party and for cross-party (Clark v. Malpas, 31 Beav. 554; 1 N. R. 221). Where interrogatories, though examination prepared, were not filed in order to save expense, the costs of preparing them were at hearing. allowed on taxation as between party and party (Davies v. Marshall (No. 2), 1 Dr. & Sm. 564; 9 W. R. 756). But where a demurrer was allowed the costs of perusing interrogatories, served before the demurrer was filed, were disallowed (Ernest v. Partridge, 2 N. R. 232). The costs of taking depositions which became useless were disallowed (Ridley v. Sutton, 1 H. & C. 741; but see Duke of Beaufort v. Lord Ashburnham, 13 C. B. N. S. 598). A colicitor will be allowed a reasonable sum for reading depositions taken abroad (Wentworth v. Lloyd, 2 Eq. 607); and may be allowed costs of perusing exhibits to affidavits (Rymer v. De Rosaz, 24 Ch. D. 684). Where a similar affidavit has been filed in each of several suits, a solicitor is not entitled to charge for perusing, when he has simply taken an office copy of the affidavit in one suit and examined the affidavits in the other suits (Betts v. Cleaver,

The costs of shorthand notes of the evidence and proceedings, including both the Costs of shortsum paid to the shorthand writer and the costs of copies, will not be allowed on taxation without a special direction from the judge at the time of giving judgment (Ashworth v. Outram, 9 Ch. D. 483; 27 W. R. 98; 39 L. T. 441; Kirkwood v. Webster, 9 Ch. D. 239; 26 W. R. 812; 47 L. J. Ch. 880; Wells v. Mitcham Gas Co., 4 Ex. D. 1; 48 L. J. Ex. 75; 27 W. R. 112; 39 L. T. 667). Where, however, shorthand notes of evidence are essential to the proper hearing of the case, the costs of such notes will be allowed (Lee Conservancy Board v. Button, 12 Ch. D. 383; 41 L. T. 500; Clark v. Malpas, 31 Beav. 554; 1 N. R. 221; 11 W. R. 251; and see Re London and Birmingham Railway Co., 6 W. R. 141; Malins v. Price, 1 Ph. 590; Twinberrow v. Braid, W. N. (1878), 169). Pearson, J., allows the costs in all but very trivial cases (Gandy v. Reddaway, W. N. (1883), 89). In Thorley's Cattle Food Co. v. Massam, 41 L. T. 543, the Court declined to give the successful plaintiff the costs of the shorthand writer's notes of the proceedings, sum paid to the shorthand writer and the costs of copies, will not be allowed on hand notes. successful plaintiff the costs of the shorthand writer's notes of the proceedings, which had been taken by each side, as the Court had not required them for its own use. The Court of Appeal, of course, has power to allow the costs of all shorthand notes properly used in the appeal, whether taken for the purposes of the appeal or not; but an application to be allowed such costs should be made when judgment is delivered (*Hill v. Metropolitan Asylums Board*, 49 L. J. Q. B. 668; 28 W. R. 664; W. N. (1880), 98). In *Crawford v. Hornsea Brick Co.*, W. N. (1876), 215, an order allowing the costs of shorthand notes was made at chambers by V.-C. Malins. As allowing the costs of shorthand notes was made at chambers by V.-C. Malins. As a general rule, however, the costs of shorthand notes of evidence in the Court below will not be allowed; the judge's notes of the evidence, supplemented by those of counsel, ought in all ordinary cases to be sufficient for the purposes of the appeal (Kelly v. Byles, 13 Ch. D. 682; 28 W. R. 585; 42 L. T. 338; 49 L. J. Ch. 181; Re Duchess of Westminster Co., 10 Ch. D. 307; 27 W. R. 539; 40 L. T. 300; Vernon v. Vestry of St. James, Westminster, 16 Ch. D. 449, 473; 50 L. J. Ch. 81; 44 L. T. 229; Earl de la Warr v. Miles, 19 Ch. D. 80; 30 W. R. 35; W. N. (1881), 140). Where the vivá voce evidence was voluminous and the appeal could not have been properly argued without referring to all parts of it the corts of could not have been properly argued without referring to all parts of it, the costs of printing and transcribing, but not the costs of taking, the notes, were allowed (Bigsby v. Dickinson, 4 Ch. D. 24; 46 L. J. Ch. 280; 25 W. R. 89, 122; 35 L. T. 679); and see Orr, Ewing & Co. v. Johnston & Co., 13 Ch. D. 465; Smith v. Chadwick, 20 Ch. D. p. 81. In Ex parte Sawyer, 1 Ch. D. 698, the charge for a copy of a shorthand writer's notes of the proceedings in a County Court was allowed as part of the costs of an

Ord. LXV.

Ord. LXV.

appeal to the chief judge; see also Watson v. Great Western Ry. Co., 6 Q. B. D. 163; 50 L. J. C. P. 302; Re Albezette, 8 Ch. D. 599. In Re Beetlestone, W. N. (1876), 1, which was heard in private, the costs of shorthand notes of viva voce evidence were ordered to be paid out of the estate.

Shorthand notes of judgment. Where the Court of Appeal makes use of shorthand notes of the judgment below, it allows the costs of the notes (Collyer v. Isaacs, 45 L. T. 567); and see London & South Western Ry. v. Gomm, 20 Ch. D. 589.

South Western Ry. v. Gomm, 20 Ch. D. 589.

The costs of a shorthand writer's notes of the argument will never, it seems, be allowed (Re London & Birmingham Ry. Co., 6 W. R. 141). In Weymann v. Corcoran, 41 L. T. 592, the costs of copies of the transcript of the notes of the judgment below, furnished to the defendant's counsel, were allowed. And see Singer Co. v. Loog, 31 W. R. 392; W. N. (1883), 15.

The costs of shorthand notes of the evidence will not be allowed as between a solicitor and his own client, unless the solicitor has expressly told the client that he may have to pay them even if he gets the general costs of the action (Re Blyth, 100, B. D. 207).

10 Q. B. D. 207).

Agency correspondence.

10. As to agency correspondence, in country agency causes, and matters, if it be shown to the satisfaction of the taxing officer that such correspondence has been special and extensive, he is to be at liberty to make such special allowance in respect thereof as in his discretion he may think proper.

Attendance of solicitor to settle judgment.

11. As to the attendance of solicitors upon the registrars in the Chancery Division for the purpose of settling the terms of and passing judgments or orders, the taxing officer may, in such cases as are provided for by Order LXII., rule 15, make such special allowances in respect thereof as he shall consider reasonable.

Proceedings in chambers.

12. As to attendances at the judges' chambers, where, from the length of the attendance, or from the difficulty of the case, the judge or master shall think the highest of the fees an insufficient remuneration for the services performed, or where the preparation of the case or matter to lay it before the judge or master in chambers, or on a summons, shall have required skill and labour for which no fee has been allowed, the judge or master may allow such fee, in lieu of the fee of 1l. 1s. provided, not exceeding 2l. 2s., or where the higher scale is applicable 31.3s., or in proceedings to wind up a company 51.5s., as in his discretion he may think fit; and where the preparation of the case or matter to lay it before a judge at chambers on a summons shall have required and received from the solicitor such extraordinary skill and labour as materially to conduce to the satisfactory and speedy disposal of the business, and therefore shall appear to the judge to deserve higher remuneration than the ordinary fees, the judge may allow to the solicitor, by a memorandum in writing expressly made for that purpose and signed by the judge, specifying distinctly the grounds of such allowance, such fee, not exceeding ten guineas, as in his discretion he may think fit, instead of the fees of 2l. 2s., 3l. 3s., and

Non-attendance at chambers.

13. As to attendances at the judges' chambers, where by reason of the non-attendance of any party (unless it be considered expedient to proceed ex parte), or where by reason of the neglect of any party in not being prepared with any proper evidence, account, or other proceeding, the attendance is adjourned without any useful progress being made, the judge may order such an amount of costs (if any), as he

shall think reasonable to be paid to the party attending by the party Ord. LXV. so absent or neglectful, or by his solicitor personally; and the party so absent or neglectful is not to be allowed any fee as against any other party, or any estate or fund in which any other party is interested.

14. A folio is to comprise seventy-two words, every figure comprised Folio to be in a column, or authorized to be used, being counted as one word.

seventy-two words.

- 15. Such costs of procuring the advice of counsel on the pleadings, Counsel. evidence, and proceedings in any cause or matter as the taxing officer shall in his discretion think just and reasonable, and of procuring counsel to settle such pleadings and special affidavits as the taxing officer shall in his discretion think proper to be settled by counsel, are to be allowed; but as to affidavits a separate fee is not to be allowed for each affidavit, but one fee for all the affidavits proper to be so settled, which are or ought to be filed at the same time (h).
- (h) This rule supersedes Cons. Ord. XL. r. 17; the fees for counsel settling affi-fees to davits are generally allowed; see *Davies v. Marshall* (No. 2), 1 Dr. & Sm. 564. Fees counsel are in cavits are generally allowed; see Davies v. Marshall (No. 2), 1 Dr. & Sm. 564. Fees counsel are in to counsel are almost invariably left to the discretion of the taxing master (Att.-Gen. the discretion v. Lord Carrington, 6 Beav. 454; Parkinson v. Hanbury, 13 W. R. 1056; 11 Jur. of the taxing N. S. 475; 12 L. T. 624; Smith v. Daniell, 34 L. T. 899; Stanton v. Baring, W. N. (1875), 188); including the conveyancing counsel of the Court (Rumsey v. Rumsey, 21 Beav. 40); see also r. 38, post, p. 557. The Court, in fact, will not interfere unless a gross mistake has been made (Brown v. Sewell, 16 Ch. D. 517; 29 W. R. 295; Hargreaves v. Scott, 4 C. P. D. 21; 27 W. R. 323; 40 L. T. 35; Kidstone v. Empire Insurance Co., 16 L. T. 286).

16. As to counsel attending at judges' chambers, no costs thereof Counsel at shall in any case be allowed, unless the judge certifies it to be a proper chambers. case for counsel to attend (i).

- (i) This rule applies on a taxation as between a solicitor and his own client (Re Chapman, 9 Q. B. D. 254; affirmed, 10 Q. B. D. 54).
- 17. As to inspection of documents under Ord. XXXI. r. 15, no Inspection of allowance is to be made for any notice or inspection, unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the taxing officer that there were good and sufficient reasons for giving such notice and making such inspection (k).

documents.

- (k) Where an order is made for production of documents at the office of the producing party's solicitor, that party, if ultimately successful, is not entitled as between party and party to his solicitor's costs of the production, nor to his own costs of inspecting the documents of the other party (*Brown v. Sewell*, 16 Ch. D. 517).
- 18. As to taking copies of documents in possession of another party, Copies. or extracts therefrom, under rules of Court or any special order, the party entitled to take the copy or extract is to pay the solicitor of the party producing the document for such copy or extract as he may, by writing, require, at the rate of 4d. per folio; and if the solicitor of the party producing the document refuses or neglects to supply the same, the solicitor requiring the copy or extract is to be at liberty to make it, and the solicitor for the party producing is not to be entitled to any fee in respect thereof (l).
 - (l) As to the costs of copies of pleadings on an interlocutory application, see Warner

Ord. LXV.

v. Mosses, 19 Ch. D. 72; if the copies are necessary or proper for the attainment of justice they must be allowed.

Solicitor concerned for several parties.

Documents previously existing in print cannot be charged for as copies (Underwood v. Secretary of State in Council, 16 W. R. 752, 926; 18 L. T. 351).

A solicitor concerned for two or more parties is not allowed to charge for supplying to himself copies of documents which he has himself prepared (Sharp v. Wright, 1 Eq. 634). Where there is a voluminous correspondence which the Court must read, the expense of having copies made by a law stationer will be allowed; see Hayne v. Cavell, W. N. (1875), 141.

Sce further, as to the costs of copies, Millard v. Burroughes, W. N. (1880), 4; Murphy v. Nolan, I. R. 7 Eq. 498; Wyman v. Bockett, W. N. (1866), 318; Singer Co. v. Loog, 31 W. R. 392; W. N. (1883), 15. As to the costs of a copy of a document already on the file, see Ex parts Hall, 19 Ch. D. 580; and as to defendant's costs of taking copies of and perusing answer of co-defendants, see Great Eastern Ry. Co. v. Norwich and Spalding Ry. Co., W. N. (1881), 92.

Unnecessary appearances on petition.

- 19. Where any petition in a cause or matter assigned to the Chancery Division is served, and notice is given to the party served that in case of his appearance in Court his costs will be objected to, and accompanied by a tender of costs for perusing the same, the amount to be tendered shall be 11. 10s. The party making such payment shall be allowed the same in his costs, provided such service was proper, but not otherwise; but this order is without prejudice to the rights of either party to costs, or to object to costs where no such tender is made, or where the Court or judge shall consider the party entitled, notwithstanding such notice or tender, to appear in Court. In any other case in which a solicitor of a party served necessarily or properly peruses any such petition, without appearing thereon, he is to be allowed a fee not exceeding the amount aforesaid (m).
- (m) Trustees respondents to a petition under the Trustee Relief Act who have accepted the sum tendered will not be allowed their costs of appearance unless they come for some good reason (Re Sutton, 21 Ch. D. 855; 30 W. R. 657). Where parties whose appearance is unnecessary are served without any ender, they will be allowed a small fixed sum, probably 30s. (Campbell v. Holyland, 7 Ch. D. 166; Somes v. Martin, W. N. (1882), 113); and see ante, p. 478; r. 23, post, p. 553; Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 67, seq.

Improper and unnecessary matter.

20. The Court or judge may, at the hearing of any cause or matter, or upon any application or proceeding in any cause or matter in Court or at chambers, and whether the same is objected to or not, direct the costs of any indorsement on a writ of summons, pleading, summons, affidavit, evidence, notice requiring a statement of claim, notice to produce, admit, or cross-examine witnesses, account, statement, procuring discovery by interrogatories or order, applications for time, bills of costs, service of notice of motion or summons, or other proceeding, or any part thereof, which is improper, vexatious, unnecessary, or contains vexatious or unnecessary matter, or is of unnecessary length, or caused by misconduct or negligence, to be disallowed, or may direct the taxing officer to look into the same and to disallow the costs thereof, or of such part thereof as he shall find to be improper, unnecessary, vexatious, or to contain unnecessary matter, or to be of unnecessary length, or caused by misconduct or negligence; and in such case the party whose costs are so disallowed shall pay the costs occasioned thereby to the other parties; and in any case where such

question shall not have been raised before and dealt with by the Ord. LXV. Court or judge, it shall be the duty of the taxing officer to look into the same (and, as to evidence, although the same may be entered as read in any decree or order) for the purpose aforesaid, and thereupon the same consequences shall ensue as if he had been specially directed to do so: and in the Queen's Bench Division the master shall make such order as may be required to effect the object of this regulation (n).

(n) This rule takes the place of Cons. Ord. XL. rr. 9, 10. The taxing master Unnecessary must exercise the discretion thus given him without special directions from the matter. judge (Baines v. Wormsley, 47 L. J. Ch. 844; 27 W. R. 36; 39 L. T. 85; W. N. (1878), 193).

The Court can act under this rule mero motu and without any application by the aggrieved party (Cracknall v. Janson, 11 Ch. D. 1). And apart from any rule, it has power to order oppressive documents to be taken off the file (Hill v. Hart-Davis,

The Court will in general leave to the taxing master the province of distinguish-

ing what parts are unnecessary. See Re Atkinson and Pilgrim, 26 Beav. 151 (decided under the similar rule, Cons. Ord. XL. r. 9); Watson v. Rodwell, W. N. (1876), 214.

For form of direction, see Cracknall v. Janson; Burchell v. Giles, 11 Beav. 34, Woods v. Woods, 5 Hare, 229; Hanslip v. Kitton, 8 Jur. N. S. 835; and observations of Lord Romilly in Moore v. Smith, 14 Beav. 396.

As to disallowing the costs occasioned by the issue of a great number of writs of summons where one might have sufficed, see Guéret v. Young, W. N. (1883), 216. And as to scandalous matter in a bill of costs, see Re Miller, W. N. (1884), 234.

21. In any case in which, under the last preceding regulation, or Set-off. any other rule of Court, or by the order or direction of a Court or judge, or otherwise, a party entitled to receive costs is liable to pay costs to any other party, the taxing officer may tax the costs such party is so liable to pay, and may adjust the same by way of deduction or set-off, or may, if he shall think fit, delay the allowance of the costs such party is entitled to receive until he has paid or tendered the costs he is liable to pay; or such officer may allow or certify the costs to be paid, and direct payment thereof, and the same may be recovered by the party entitled thereto in the same manner as costs ordered to be paid may be recovered (o).

- (e) See Batten v. Wedgwood Coal Co., W. N. (1884), 218. A set-off for costs may be allowed notwithstanding the solicitor's lien; see r. 14, ante, p. 545.

 See as to set-off generally, Robarts v. Buèe, 8 Ch. D. 198; Cooper v. Pitcher, 4 Ha.

 485; Barker v. Hemming, 5 Q. B. D. 609; Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 133.
- 22. Where in the Chancery Division any question as to any costs is Note of under regulation 20 dealt with at chambers, the chief clerk is to make under Reg. 20. a note thereof, and state the same on his allowance of the fees for attendances at chambers, or otherwise as may be convenient for the information of the taxing officer.

23. Where any party appears upon any application or proceeding Unnecessary in Court or at chambers, in which he is not interested, or upon which, appearance. according to the practice of the Court, he ought not to attend, he is not to be allowed any costs of such appearance unless the Court or judge shall expressly direct such costs to be allowed (p).

⁽p) See note (a) to Ord. LV. r. 40, ante, p. 498; and r. 19, ante, p. 552.

Ord. LXV.

Applications to extend time.

24. The costs of applications to extend the time for taking any proceedings shall be in the discretion of the taxing officer, unless the Court or judge shall have specially directed how the costs are to be paid or borne. The taxing officer shall not allow the costs of more than one extension of time, unless he is satisfied that such extension was necessary, and could not, with due diligence, have been avoided. The costs of a summons to extend time shall not be allowed in cases to which rule 8 of Ord. LXIV. applies, unless the party taking out such summons has previously applied to the opposite party to consent, and he has not given a consent, to a sufficient extension of time, or the taxing officer shall consider there was a good reason for not making such application; and in case the taxing officer shall not allow the costs of such summons, and shall consider that the party applying ought to pay the costs of any other party occasioned thereby, he may direct such payment, or deal with such costs, in the manner provided by regulation 21.

Duties, &c. of taxing masters.

- 25. The taxing officers of the Supreme Court, or of any division thereof, shall, for the purpose of any proceeding before them, have power and authority to administer oaths, and shall, in relation to the taxation of costs, perform all such duties as have heretofore been or are by general orders directed to be performed by any of the masters, taxing masters, registrars, or other officers of any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is by the principal Act transferred to the High Court of Justice or Court of Appeal, and shall, in respect thereof, have such powers and authorities as previous to the commencement of the principal Act were, or by general orders are, vested in any of such officers, including examining witnesses, directing production of books, papers, and documents, making separate certificates or allocaturs, requiring any party to be represented by a separate solicitor, and to direct and adopt all such other proceedings as could be directed and adopted by any such officer on references for the taxation of costs, and taking accounts of what is due in respect of such costs, and such other accounts connected therewith, as may be directed by the Court or a $\mathrm{judge}\left(q\right) .$
- (q) This rule is substantially identical with Cons. Ord. XL. r. 1. Matters unconnected with bills of costs cannot be referred to the taxing master (King v. Savery, 8 De G. M. & G. 311).

Where costs form part of an account.

26. Where an account consists in part of any bill of costs, the Court or judge may direct the taxing officer to assist in settling such costs, not being the ordinary costs of passing the account of a receiver, and the taxing officer, on receiving such direction, shall proceed to tax such costs, and shall have the same powers, and the same fees shall be payable in respect thereof, as if the same had been referred to the taxing officer by an order; and he shall return the same, with his opinion thereon, to the Court or judge by whose direction the same were taxed.

Attendance

27. The taxing officer shall have authority to arrange and direct

what parties are to attend before him on the taxation of costs to be borne by a fund or estate, and to disallow the costs of any party before taxing whose attendance such officer shall in his discretion consider un-master. necessary in consequence of the interest of such party in such fund or estate being small or remote, or sufficiently protected by other parties interested.

Ord. LXV.

28. When any party entitled to costs refuses or neglects to bring in Refusal to his costs for taxation, or to procure the same to be taxed, and thereby for taxation. prejudices any other party, the taxing officer shall be at liberty to certify the costs of the other parties, and certify such refusal or neglect, or may allow such party refusing or neglecting a nominal or other sum for such costs, so as to prevent any other party being prejudiced by such refusal or neglect.

29. As to costs to be paid or borne by another party, no costs are Allowances to be allowed which do not appear to the taxing officer to have been as between necessary or proper for the attainment of justice or defending the party and rights of the party, or which appear to the taxing officer to have been party. incurred through over-caution, negligence, or mistake, or merely at the desire of the party (r).

- (r) Cf. Cons. Ord. XL. r. 32.

 As to what costs will be allowed by the taxing master, see generally Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 482 et seq. The general principle is, that, as between party and party, only such costs are chargeable as were reasonably necessary for the conduct of the litigation; other charges are considered "luxuries," and must be paid by the party incurring them; see Smith v. Buller, 19 Eq. 473; 45 L. J. Ch. 69; 23 W. R. 322; 31 L. T. 473; Batley v. Kynock, 20 Eq. 632; Warner v. Mosses, 19 Ch. D. 72.
- 30. As to any work and labour properly performed (s) and not Work, &c. not herein provided for, and in respect of which fees have heretofore been provided for. allowed, the same or similar fees are to be allowed for such work and labour as have heretofore been allowed.

- (s) As to taxation of costs where the work is really thrown away, e.g. by a motion being abandoned or an action discontinued, see Harrison v. Leutner, 16 Ch. D. 559; and see also Thomas v. Palin, 21 Ch. D. 360.
- 31. Where the plaintiff is directed to pay to the defendant the costs Costs of of the cause, the costs occasioned to a defendant by any amendment of of pleadings. the plaintiff's pleadings shall be deemed to be part of such defendant's costs in the cause (except as to any amendment which shall appear to have been rendered necessary by the default of such defendant); but there shall be deducted from such costs any sum which may have been paid by the plaintiff according to the course of the Court at the time of any amendment (t).

- (t) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 7.
- 32. Where upon taxation a plaintiff who has obtained a judgment Whereamendwith costs is not allowed the costs of any amendment of his pleadings ment unnecessary. on the ground of the same having been unnecessary, the defendant's costs occasioned by such amendment shall be taxed, and the amount

Ord. LXV. thereof deducted from the costs to be paid by the defendant to the plaintiff (u).

Unnecessary amendments.

(a) This is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 8. See as to directions to the taxing master to tax the costs occasioned by unnecessary amendments, Burchell v. Giles, 11 Beav. 34; Watts v. Manning, 1 S. & S. 421; Plodge v. Buss, Johns. 663; and where important allegations contained in the original bill were struck out by amendment, the plaintiff had to pay the additional costs occasioned (Strickland v. Strickland, 3 Beav. 242); and see Bower v. Cooper, 2 Hare, 408; Mavor v. Dry, 2 S. & S. 113; Mounsey v. Burnham, 1 Hare, 22.

Order for taxation, when unnecessary.

- 33. Where an action or petition is dismissed with costs, or a motion is refused with costs, or any costs are by any general or special order directed to be paid, the taxing officer may tax such costs without any order referring the same for taxation, unless the Court or a judge upon the application of the party alleging himself to be aggrieved prohibits the taxation of such costs (v).
- (v) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 38; it is not generally acted upon, and it is still the practice to insert the direction for taxation.

If nothing is said to the contrary, an order directing costs to be paid, means that they shall be taxed and paid forthwith (*Philipps* v. *Philipps*, 5 Q. B. D. 60; 28 W. R. 376).

Taxation of costs where parties differ.

- 34. Where it is directed that costs shall be taxed in case the parties differ about the same, the party claiming the costs shall bring the bill of costs into the office of the proper taxing officer, and give notice of his having so done to the other party, and at any time within eight days after such notice such other party shall have liberty to inspect the same without fee, if he thinks fit. And at or before the expiration of the eight days, or such further time as the taxing officer shall in his discretion allow, such other party shall either agree to pay the costs or signify his dissent therefrom, and shall thereupon be at liberty to tender a sum of money for the costs; but where he makes no such tender, or where the party claiming the costs refuses to accept the sum so tendered, the taxing officer shall proceed to tax the costs; and where the taxed costs shall not exceed the sum tendered, the costs of the taxation shall be borne by the party claiming the costs (w).
 - (w) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 39.

Total amount of costs taxed to he stated.

- 35. Where any costs are by any judgment or order directed to be taxed and to be paid out of any money or fund in Court, the taxing officer in his certificate of taxation shall state the total amount of all such costs as taxed without any direction for that purpose in such judgment or order (x).
 - (x) This is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 40.

Conveyancing counsel, scientific persons, &c.

- 36. The allowances in respect of fees to the conveyancing counsel of the Court, and to any accountants, merchants, engineers, actuaries, and other scientific persons to whom any question is referred, shall be regulated by the taxing officers, subject to appeal to the Court or judge, whose decision shall be final (y).
 - (y) This rule is taken from 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 43. As to accountants' charges,

see Meymott v. Meymott (No. 2), 33 Beav. 590; as to surveyors, see A.-G. v. Drapers' Co., 9 Eq. 69.

Ord. LXV.

37. The rules, orders, and practice of any Court whose jurisdiction Existing is transferred to the High Court of Justice or Court of Appeal, relating practice to continue to costs, and the allowance of the fees of solicitors and attorneys, and where not inthe taxation of costs, existing prior to the commencement of the prin- with Act and cipal Act, shall, in so far as they are not inconsistent with the principal rules. Act and these rules, remain in force and be applicable to costs of the same or analogous proceedings, and to the allowance of the fees of solicitors of the Supreme Court and the taxation of costs in the High Court of Justice and Court of Appeal (z).

- (z) See as to this rule, Pringle v. Gloag, 10 Ch. D. 678.
- 38. As to all fees or allowances which are discretionary, the same Discretionary are, unless otherwise provided, to be allowed at the discretion of the fees and taxing officer, who, in the exercise of such discretion, is to take into consideration the other fees and allowances to the solicitor and counsel, if any, in respect of the work to which any such allowance applies, the nature and importance of the cause or matter, the amount involved, the interest of the parties, the fund or persons to bear the costs, the general conduct and costs of the proceedings, and all other circumstances: and where a party is entitled to sign judgment for his costs, the taxing officer, in taxing the costs, may allow a fixed sum for the costs of the judgment.

39. Any party who may be dissatisfied with the allowance or dis- Objection to allowance by the taxing officer, in any bill of costs taxed by him, of an allowance the whole or any part of any items, may, at any time before the certi- ance by the ficate or allocatur is signed, deliver to the other party interested taxing master, therein, and carry in before the taxing officer, an objection in writing how made. to such allowance or disallowance, specifying therein by a list, in a short and concise form, the items, or parts thereof, objected to, and the grounds and reasons for such objections, and may thereupon apply to the taxing officer to review the taxation in respect of the same (a).

- (a) This rule is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 33; the corresponding repealed (2) This rate is taken from Cons. Ott. AL. 1. 33, the corresponding repeated rule did not oblige the objecting party to state his reasons (Simmons v. Storer, 14 Ch. D. 154; 49 L. J. Ch. 121; 28 W. R. 408; 42 L. T. 291). A person not a party to an order for taxation who wishes the taxation reviewed should apply to have the order to tax set aside, and not move to review the taxation (Charlton v. Charlton, W. N. (1882), 183; 31 W. R. 237).
- 40. Upon such application the taxing officer shall reconsider and Review of review his taxation upon such objections, and he may, if he shall taxation upon think fit, receive further evidence in respect thereof, and, if so required, by either party, he shall state either in his certificate of taxation or allocatur, or by reference to such objection, the grounds and reasons of his decision thereon, and any special facts or circumstances relating thereto (b).

objection.

(b) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 34.

Ord. LXV.

Review of taxation on summons.

41. Any party who may be dissatisfied with the certificate or allocatur of the taxing officer, as to any item or part of an item which may have been objected to as aforesaid, may within fourteen days from the date of the certificate or allocatur, or such other time as the Court or judge, or taxing officer, at the time he signs his certificate or allocatur, may allow, apply to a judge at chambers for an order to review the taxation as to the same item or part of an item, and the judge may thereupon make such order as the judge may think just; but the certificate or allocatur of the taxing officer shall be final and conclusive as to all matters which shall not have been objected to in manner aforesaid (c).

What questions entertained on application to review taxation. (c) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 35.

A re-taxation will in no case be directed if the items alleged to be overcharged do not amount to forty shillings (Newton v. Boodle, 4 C. B. 359); and on an application to review, the amount must be stated (Re Dearden, 9 Exch. 210); and the items alleged to have been improperly allowed or disallowed must be specified (Re Congreve, 4 Beav. 87). A taxation of costs cannot be reviewed on a point not raised before the taxing master (Scorfield v. Jones, 18 Sol. J. 86). Unless there has been some very gross overcharge (Smith v. Buller, 19 Eq. 473), the Court, on an application to review, will only determine questions which involve some principle, and not those relating to quantum only, which will be left to the discretion of the taxing master (Re Catlin, 18 Beav. 508; Friend v. Solly, 10 Beav. 329; Re Congreve, 4 Beav. 37; Turner v. Turner, 7 W. R. 573; Re Hubbard, 23 Beav. 481; Att.-Gen. v. Lord Carrington, 6 Beav. 454; Alsop v. Lord Oxford, 1 M. & K. 564; Att.-Gen. v. Drapers' Co., 9 Eq. 69; Re Mortimer, Ir. R. 4 Eq. 96; 18 W. R. 367). And the discretion of the taxing master applies not only to the quantum but to the quoties, e.g., in the case of interviews, to the number of interviews as well as to the amount to be allowed for each (Re Brown, 4 Eq. 464). Where, however, there had been some irregularity in the proceedings before the taxing master (Fenton v. Crickett, 3 Mad. 496), or where costs had been wrongly omitted from taxation (Greenwood v. Churchill, 14 Beav. 160), or taxed on the higher instead of on the lower scale (Paddon v. Winch, 20 Eq. 449), or where the master refused to allow any costs in respect of a particular proceeding (Heming v. Leighild, 8 W. R. 352, affirmed on appeal, 9 W. R. 174), the taxation was ordered to be reviewed; see also R. v. L. C. & D. Ry. Co., 12 Jur. N. S. 230; Gilbert v. Guignon, 21 W. R. 745. Where the objections were carried in before the certificate was filed, but were not proceeded with, it was held that the Court in exercise of

Where reference is from common law master.

What matters within province of taxing master.

Costs of application to review.

Where, in taxing costs at law, the common law master referred equity matters to a Chancery taxing master, an application to review such taxation was refused (*Re Lett*, 10 W. R. 6).

It is not the province of the taxing master to deal with any but ordinary costs: any other question which arises must be dealt with by the judge in chambers (Turner v. Turner, 7 W. R. 573; King v. Savery, 8 De G. M. & G. 311). Thus the master has no jurisdiction to inquire into the propriety of a compromise entered into between the solicitor and the client which the client has not sought to impeach (Re Catlin, 18 Beav. 511), and his doing so will be a ground for reviewing the taxation (ibid.). In Graham v. Wickham, 34 L. J. Ch. 220; 11 Jur. N. S. 168; 13 W. R. 396; 12 L. T. 39, it was held that the taxing master might allow executors their costs of litigation, though no direction was given in the suits in which such costs were incurred that they should be so allowed.

396; 12 L. T. 39, it was held that the taxing master might allow executors their costs of litigation, though no direction was given in the suits in which such costs were incurred that they should be so allowed.

Where the applicant had not taken proper steps to satisfy the master when the matter was in his office, he was, though successful on his application to review, ordered to pay the costs of the application (Sturge v. Dimsdale, 9 Beav. 170). Where the taxation was upheld in some respects, and ordered to be reviewed in others, no costs were given (Re Catlin, 18 Beav. 508). See, too, Re Whalley, 20 Beav. 578; Re Colquhoun, 5 De G. M. & G. 35; 1 Sm. & Giff. App. 1; Re London, Birmingham and Bucks Ry. Act, 6 W. R. 141.

Evidence on application.

42. Such application shall be heard and determined by the judge upon the evidence which shall have been brought in before the taxing

officer, and no further evidence shall be received upon the hearing Ord. LXV. thereof, unless the judge shall otherwise direct (d).

- (d) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 36. See note to last rule.
- 43. When a writ of summons for the commencement of an action Fees, &c. in shall be issued from a district registry, and when an action proceeds actions in district regisin a district registry, all fees and allowances, and rules and directions tries. relating to costs, which would be applicable to such proceeding if the writ of summons were issued at the central office, and if the action proceeded in London, shall apply to such writ of summons issued from and other proceedings in the district registry (dd).

- (dd) See Wilson v. Alltree, 27 Ch. D. 242.
- 44. No retaining fee to counsel shall be allowed on taxation as Retaining between party and party.
- 45. Fees for conferences are not to be allowed in any cause or matter Conferences. in addition to the solicitor's and counsel's fees for drawing and settling. or perusing any pleadings, affidavits, deeds, or other proceedings or abstracts of title, or for advising thereon, unless it shall appear to the taxing officer for some special reason that a conference was necessary

46. In any case in which under rule 12 of this order the scale of Costs of one costs in County Courts is applicable, the costs of briefing more than counsel. one counsel shall not be allowed, unless the taxing officer shall, for special reasons, be of opinion that briefing more than one counsel was proper.

47. Where the costs of retaining two counsel may properly be Costs of two allowed, such allowance may be made although both such counsel may counsel. have been selected from the outer bar (e).

(e) This is taken from Cons. Ord. XL. r. 20. The costs of two counsel should Number of be allowed in all ordinary cases (*Llanover v. Homfray*, W. N. (1884), 134; *Cooke v.* counsel Turner, 12 Sim. 649; Stevens v. Lord Newborough, 11 Beav. 403; Sturge v. Dimsdale, allowed. 9 Beav. 170; hut see Friend v. Solly, 10 Beav. 329; Yearsley v. Yearsley, 19 Beav. 1).

The costs of a third counsel will not be allowed, as between party and party, The costs of a third counsel will not be allowed, as between party and party, except under very special circumstances; see Pearce v. Lindsay, 1 De G. F. & J. 577; Kirkwood v. Webster, 9 Ch. D. 239; Wentworth v. Lloyd, 2 Eq. 607; Morgan & Wurtzburg on Costs, p. 491 et seq., where the cases are collected. And even in a solicitor and client taxation the general rule is to allow only the costs of two (Friend v. Solly, 10 Beav. 329; Downing College Case, 3 My. & Cr. 474). The mere fact of a junior having been appointed a Queen's counsel is not a sufficient reason for allowing the costs of three counsel (Mem. 10 Ch. 540); and see, further, as to fees to counsel, rr. 15, 16, and notes thereto, ante, p. 551; Morgan & Wurtzburg, 489— 497.

48. As to refresher fees, when any cause or matter is to be tried or Refreshers. heard upon viva voce evidence in open Court, if the trial shall extend over more than one day, and shall occupy either on the first day only, or partly on the first and partly on a subsequent day or days, more than five hours, without being concluded, the taxing officer may allow,

* Sic.

Ord. LXV.

for every clear day subsequent to that on which the five hours shall have expired, the following fees:—

To the leading counsel..... from 5 to 10 guineas.

To the second, if three counsel ,, 3 to 7 ,

To the third, if three counsel, or the

second, if only two,, 3 to 5

The like allowances may be made where the evidence in chief is not taken *vivd voce*, if the trial on * hearing shall be substantially prolonged beyond such period of five hours, to be so computed as aforesaid, by the cross-examination of witnesses whose affidavits or depositions have been used (*ee*).

(ee) Term refreshers may also be allowed (Levetus v. Newton, 28 Sol. J. 166).

Costs prematurely incurred.

- 49. Where a cause or matter shall not be brought on for trial or hearing, the costs of and consequent on the preparation and delivery of briefs shall not be allowed if the taxing officer shall be of opinion that such costs were prematurely incurred (f).
 - (f) See Harrison v. Leutner, 16 Ch. D. 559; Thomas v. Palin, 21 Ch. D. 360.

Costs of cause struck out for defect on part of plaintiff, and again set down.

- 50. Where a cause or matter which stands for trial is called on to be tried, but cannot be decided by reason of a want of parties or other defect on part of the plaintiff, and is therefore struck out of the paper, and the same cause is again set down, the defendant shall be allowed the taxed costs occasioned by the first setting down, although he does not obtain the costs of the cause or matter (g).
 - (g) This rule is from Cons. Ord. XL, r. 21.

Counsel's clerks.

51. The following fees are to be allowed to counsel's clerks:—

	£	s.	d.	
Upon a fee under 5 guineas	0	2	6	
5 guineas and under 10 guineas	0	5	0	
10 guineas and under 20 guineas	0	10	0	
20 guineas and under 30 guineas	0	15	0	
30 guineas and under 50 guineas	1	0	0	
50 guineas and upwards, per cent	2	10	0	
On consultations, senior's clerk			0	
On consultations, junior's clerk			6	
On conferences			0	
On retainers (where allowed):—				
General retainer	0	10	6	
Common retainer		2	6	

Fees to be vouched.

- 52. No fee to counsel shall be allowed on taxation unless vouched by his signature (h).
 - (h) This rule is not retrospective (Perks v. Gillott, W. N. (1883), 189).

Office copy of original affidavit: 53. In cases in which an original affidavit can be used, and to which Order XXXVIII. r. 15. applies, it shall not be necessary to take an office copy.

54. It shall not be necessary to take an office copy of an affidavit of Ord. LXV. discovery of documents, and the copy delivered by the party filing it of affidavit of may be used as against such party.

discovery of documents.

55. Where, in proceedings before the taxing officer, any party is guilty of neglect or delay, or puts any other party to any unnecessary in proceedings or improper expense relative to such proceedings, the taxing officer before taxing may direct such party or his solicitor to pay such costs as he may

Neglect, &c.

think proper, or deal with them under Regulation 21.

56. Where in any cause or matter any bill of costs is directed to be On taxation taxed for the purpose of being paid or raised out of any fund or pro- and payment out of a fund. perty, the taxing officer may, if he shall consider there is a reasonable taxing master ground for so doing, require the solicitor to deliver or send to his may require clients, or any of them, free of charge, a copy of such bill, or any deliver copy part thereof, previously to such officer completing the taxation thereof, of bill to the accompanied by any statement such officer may direct, and by a letter informing such client that the bill of costs has been referred to the taxing officer, giving his name and address for taxation, and will be proceeded with at the time the officer shall have appointed for this purpose, and such officer may suspend the taxation for such time as he may consider reasonable.

57. The taxing officer shall have power to limit or extend the time Taxingmaster for any proceeding before him, and where, by any general order, or may limit or any order of the Court or a judge, a time is appointed for any proceed- for proceeding before or by a taxing officer, unless the Court or judge shall ings before otherwise direct, such officer shall have power from time to time to extend the time appointed upon such terms (if any) as the justice of the case may require, and although the application for the same is not made until after the expiration of the time appointed, it shall not be necessary to make a certificate or order for this purpose, unless required for any special purpose.

58. Every bill of costs which shall be left for taxation shall be Endorsement endorsed with the name and address of the solicitor by whom it is so on bill of left, and also the name and address of the solicitor, if any, for whom he is agent, including any solicitor who is entitled or intended to par-

ticipate in the costs to be so taxed.

ORDER LXVI.

NOTICES, PRINTING, PAPER, COPIES, OFFICE COPIES, MINUTES, &C.

1. All notices required by these rules shall be in writing, unless Notices to be expressly authorised by the Court or a judge to be given orally.

2. All accounts, copies, and papers left at chambers, shall be written Accounts, upon foolscap paper, bookwise, unless the nature of the document &c. left at renders it impracticable (i).

(i) This is taken from Regulations as to Business, August 8, 1857, r. 17. M.

chambers to be written on foolscap,

bookwise.

in writing,

Ord. LXVI.

Paper and printing.

3. Proceedings required to be printed shall be printed on cream wove machine drawing foolscap folio paper, 19 lbs. per mill ream, or thereabouts, in pica type leaded, with an inner margin about three quarters of an inch wide, and an outer margin about two inches and a half wide.

Affidavits in print or manuscript. Filed deposition to be

4. Any affidavit may be sworn to either in print or in manuscript, or partly in print and partly in manuscript.

printed.
Depositions and affidavits previously used.

5. Where any written deposition of a witness has been filed, such deposition shall be printed, unless otherwise ordered.

Rules where pleadings, &c. are to be printed and office copies to be taken; 6. The rules of Court as to printing depositions and affidavits to be used on a trial shall not apply to depositions and affidavits which have previously been used upon any proceeding without having been printed.

Printing.

7. Where, pursuant to these rules, any pleading, notice, special case, petition of right, deposition, or affidavit is to be printed, and where any printed or other office copy of any such document is to be taken, the following regulations shall be observed:

Delivery of copy for printing.

(a) The party on whose behalf the deposition or affidavit is taken and filed is to print the same in the manner provided by rule 3 of this order:

Furnishing printed copies to other party.

(b) To enable the party printing, to print any deposition or affidavit, the officer with whom it is filed shall on demand deliver to such party a copy written on draft paper on one side only:(c) The party printing shall, on demand in writing, furnish to any

Payment for copies.

other party printing shall, on demand in writing, turnish to any other party any number of printed copies, not exceeding ten, upon payment therefor, at the rate of 1d. per folio for one copy, and ½d. per folio for every other copy:

(d) As between a solicitor delivering any printed copies and his

Charge for written copy.

client, credit shall be given by the solicitor for the whole amount payable by any other party for such printed copies:

(a) The party entitled to be furnished with a print shall not be

(e) The party entitled to be furnished with a print shall not be allowed any charge in respect of a written copy, unless the Court or a judge shall otherwise direct:

Office copy.

(f) Except as provided by Ord. LV. r. 48, the party by or on whose behalf any deposition, affidavit, or certificate is filed shall leave a copy with the officer with whom the same is filed, who shall examine it with the original and mark it as an office copy; such copy shall be a copy printed as above provided where such deposition or affidavit is to be printed:

Production of office copy.

(g) The party or solicitor who has taken any printed or written office copy of any deposition or affidavit is to produce the same upon every proceeding to which the same relates:

Furnishing written copies.

(h) Where any party is entitled to a copy of any deposition, affidavit, proceeding, or document filed or prepared by or on behalf of another party, which is not required to be printed, such copy shall be furnished by the party by or on whose behalf the same has been filed or prepared:

(i) The party requiring any such copy, or his solicitor, is to make Ord. LXVI. a written application to the party by whom the copy is to be Application furnished, or his solicitor, with an undertaking to pay the for copy, how proper charges, and thereupon such copy is to be made and made. ready to be delivered at the expiration of twenty-four hours after the receipt of such request and undertaking, or within such other time as the Court or a judge may in any case direct, and is to be furnished accordingly upon demand and payment of the proper charges:

(j) In the case of an ex parte application for an injunction or writ Ex parte apof ne exeat regno, the party making such application is to plications for injunction or furnish copies of the affidavits upon which it is granted upon ne exeat. payment of the proper charges immediately upon the receipt of such written request and undertaking as aforesaid, or within such time as may be specified in such request, or may have been directed by the Court or a judge:

(k) It shall be stated in a note at the foot of every affidavit filed on Foot note to whose behalf it is so filed, and such note shall be printed on affidavit. every printed copy of an affidavit or set of affidavits, and copied on every office copy and copy furnished to a party:

(1) The name and address of the party or solicitor by whom any Party furcopy is furnished is to be indorsed thereon in like manner as nishing copy to be reupon proceedings in Court, and such party or solicitor is to sponsible for be answerable for the same being a true copy of the original, its accuracy. or of an office copy of the original, of which it purports to be a copy, as the case may be:

(m) The folios of all printed and written office copies, and copies Folios to be delivered or furnished to a party, shall be numbered con- numbered. secutively in the margin thereof, and such written copies shall be written in a neat and legible manner on the same paper as in the case of printed copies:

(n) In case any party or solicitor who shall be required to furnish Refusal to any such written copy as aforesaid shall either refuse or, for furnish copy. twenty-four hours from the time when the application for such copy has been made, neglect to furnish the same, the person by whom such application shall be made shall be at liberty to procure an office copy from the office in which the original shall have been filed, and in such case no costs shall be payable to the solicitor so making default in respect of the copy so applied for:

(o) Where, by any order of the Court (whether of appeal or other- Costs of printwise) or a judge, any pleading, evidence or other document is ing ordered by the Court. ordered to be printed, the Court or judge may order the expense of printing to be borne and allowed, and printed copies to be furnished by and to such parties and upon such terms as shall be thought fit.

ORDER LXVII.

I. SERVICE OF ORDERS, &c.

Original order, when to be shown.

1. Except in the case of an order for attachment, it shall not be necessary to the regular service of an order that the original order be shown if an office copy of it be exhibited.

Service other than personal, how effected.

- 2. All writs, notices, pleadings, orders, summonses, warrants and other documents, proceedings and written communications in respect of which personal service is not requisite shall be sufficiently served if left within the prescribed hours, at the address for service of the person to be served as defined by Ords. IV. and XII., with any person resident at or belonging to such place (k).
- (k) Where there was no one at the address for service but a housekeeper, who refused to receive documents, and a summons was left in the letter-box, it was held that the service was bad (*Jiminez v. Owen*, W. N. (1883), 232).

Notices from any office of Court may be posted. 3. Notices sent from any office of the Supreme Court may be sent by post; and the time at which the notice so posted would be delivered in the ordinary course of post shall be considered as the time of service thereof, and the posting thereof shall be a sufficient service.

Where no appearance.

4. Where no appearance has been entered for a party, or where a party or his solicitor, as the case may be, has omitted to give an address for service as required by Ords. IV. and XII., all writs, notices, pleadings, orders, summonses, warrants, and other documents, proceedings, and written communications in respect of which personal service is not requisite may be served by filing them with the proper officer.

Personal service, how effected.

5. Where personal service of any writ, notice, pleading, order, summons, warrant, or other document, proceeding, or written communication is required by these rules or otherwise, the service shall be effected as nearly as may be in the manner prescribed for the personal service of a writ of summons.

Substituted service.

- 6. Where personal service of any writ, notice, pleading, summons, order, warrant, or other document, proceeding, or written communication is required by these rules or otherwise, and it is made to appear to the Court or a judge that prompt personal service cannot be effected, the Court or judge may make such order for substituted or other service, or for the substitution of notice for service by letter, public advertisement, or otherwise, as may be just (I).
- (1) As to service abroad, see Van der Kan v. Ashworth, W. N. (1884), 58. See also Ord. IX. r. 2, and note thereto, ante, p. 316.

Service on authorised solicitor. 7. Where a party after having sued or appeared in person has given notice in writing to the opposite party or his solicitor, through a solicitor, that such solicitor is authorised to act in the cause or matter on his behalf, all writs, notices, pleadings, summonses, orders, warrants, and other documents, proceedings, and written communications which ought to be delivered to or served upon the party on whose

behalf the notice is given shall thereafter be delivered to or served Ord. LXVII. upon such solicitor.

8. Where a person who is not a party appears in any proceeding Service on either before the Court or in chambers, service upon the solicitor in person not a London by whom such person appears, whether such solicitor act as principal or agent, shall be deemed good service except in matters requiring personal service.

9. Affidavits of service shall state when, where, and how and by Affidavit of whom, such service was effected.

[Rules 10—14 apply only to Admiralty actions.]

[Ord. LXVIII. applies only to Crown, Revenue, and Matrimonial Cases.]

ORDER LXIX.

ARREST OF DEFENDANT UNDER SECT. 6 OF THE DEBTORS ACT, 1869.

1. An order to arrest under the 6th section of the Debtors Act, 1869 Form of order. (which shall be in the Form No. 31 in Appendix K., with such variations as circumstances may require), shall be made upon affidavit and ex parte; but the defendant may at any time after arrest apply to the Court or a judge to rescind or vary the order or to be discharged from custody, or for such other relief as may be just (m).

- (m) As to the Debtors Act, 1869, see ante, p. 187. For this form, see infra.
- 2. An order to arrest shall before delivery to the sheriff be indorsed Indorsement with the plaintiff's address for service as required by Ord. IV. rr. 1 and 2. Concurrent orders may be issued for arrest in different counties. The sheriff or other officer executing the order shall be entitled to the same fees as heretofore.

3. The security to be given by the defendant may be a deposit in Mode of Court of the amount mentioned in the order, or a bond to the plaintiff giving secuby the defendant and two sufficient sureties (or with the leave of the Court or a judge either one surety or more than two), or, with the plaintiff's consent, any other form of security. The plaintiff may, within four days after receiving particulars of the names and addresses of the proposed sureties, give notice that he objects thereto, stating in the notice the particulars of his objections. In such case the sufficiency of the security shall be determined by a master who shall have power to award costs to either party. It shall be the duty of the plaintiff to obtain an appointment for that purpose, and unless he do so within four days after giving notice of objection, the security shall be deemed sufficient.

- 4. The money deposited, and the security, and all proceedings Security to be thereon, shall be subject to the order and control of the Court or a subject to order of iudge.
- 5. Unless otherwise ordered, the costs of and incidental to an order Costs. of arrest, shall be costs in the cause.

Ord. LXIX.

Payment into Court.

6. Upon payment into Court of the amount mentioned in the order, a receipt shall be given; and upon receiving the bond or other security, a certificate to that effect shall be given, signed or attested by the plaintiff's solicitor if he have one, or by the plaintiff, if he sue in person. The delivery of such receipt, or a certificate to the sheriff or other officer executing the order, shall entitle the defendant to be discharged out of custody.

Date of arrest to be indersed on the order.

7. The sheriff or other officer named in an order to arrest shall, within two days after the arrest, indorse on the order the true date of such arrest.

ORDER LXX.

EFFECT OF NON-COMPLIANCE.

Non-compliance with rules.

1. Non-compliance with any of these rules, or with any rule of practice for the time being in force, shall not render any proceedings void unless the Court or a judge shall so direct, but such proceedings may be set aside either wholly or in part as irregular, or amended, or otherwise dealt with in such manner and upon such terms as the Court or judge shall think fit (n).

(n) This rule enables the Court to do justice without regard to technicalities (Dawson v. Bseson, 22 Ch. D. 504).

It was held under the old practice that an order irregularly obtained must be properly discharged, and could not be treated as a nullity. See Wilkins v. Stevens, 10 Sim. 617; Blake v. Blake, 7 Beav. 514; Fennings v. Humphrey, 4 Beav. 1; Chuck v. Cremer, 2 Ph. 113.

But where a notice of motion was irregularly given it was held that the parties to whom it was given need not have appeared upon the motion, and they were not

whom it was given need not have appeared upon the motion, and they were not allowed their costs of doing so (Daubney v. Shuttleworth, 1 Ex. D. 53; 34 L. T. 357). As to the power to dispense with the strict requirements of the general orders, where justice requires, see Smith v. Baker, 2 H. & M. 498; Ferrand v. Mayor of Bradford, 8 De G. M. & G. 93; Betts v. De Vitre, 15 W. R. 701; Re Hutchinson, W. N. (1867), 49; Re Snell, 19 W. R. 1000; and the rules must not be construed too strictly in bad faith (Talbot v. Keay, 8 Eq. 610).

An irregularity may be waived by the other side; see Kettlewell v. Barstow, 10 Eq. 210; but an application to restore a suit which was dismissed after an order to speed, was refused (Burkinshaw v. Wilson, 12 Eq. 103). Power to dispense with strictness of rules.

speed, was refused (Burkinshaw v. Wilson, 12 Eq. 103).

Application to set aside for irregularity.

Waiver of irregularity.

> 2. No application to set aside any proceeding for irregularity shall be allowed unless made within reasonable time, nor if the party applying has taken any fresh step after knowledge of the irregularity.

Objections must be stated.

3. Where an application is made to set aside proceedings for irregularity, the several objections intended to be insisted upon shall be stated in the summons or notice of motion.

Costs.

4. When a summons is taken out to set aside any process or proceeding for irregularity with costs, and the summons is dismissed generally without any special direction as to costs, it is to be understood as dismissed with costs.

ORDER LXXI.

Interpretation of Terms.

1. The provisions of the 100th section of the principal Act shall Jud. Act, 1873, s. 100. apply to these rules.

In the construction of these rules, unless there is anything in the Definitions. subject or context repugnant thereto, the several words hereinafter mentioned or referred to shall have or include the meanings following :--

"Originating summons" means a summons by which proceedings "Originating are commenced without writ:

summons:"

"Person" includes a body corporate or politic:

"Person:"

- "Probate actions" include actions and other matters relating to the "Probate grant or recall of probate or of letters of administration other actions:" than common form business:
- "Proper officer" means an officer to be ascertained as follows:—

"Proper

- (a) Where any duty to be discharged under the Acts or these officer:" rules is a duty which has heretofore been discharged by any officer, such officer shall continue to be the proper officer to discharge the same:
- (b) Where any new duty is under the Acts or these rules to be discharged, the proper officer to discharge the same shall be such officer as may from time to time be directed to discharge the same, in the case of an officer of the Supreme Court, or the High Court of Justice, or the Court of Appeal, not attached to any Division, by the Lord Chancellor, and in the case of an officer attached to any Division, by the President of the Division, and in the case of an officer attached to any judge, by such judge:

"Master" means a Master of the Supreme Court of Judicature: "Master:"

- "Receiver" includes consignee or manager appointed by or under "Receiver:" an order of the Court:
- "Taxing Officer" means taxing master in the Chancery Division, "Taxing and the master or person whose duty it is to tax the costs to be officer:' taxed in the other divisions respectively:

"The Principal Act" means the Supreme Court of Judicature "Principal Act, 1873:

- "The Acts" means the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 to "The Acts:" 1879, the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, and the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1881:
- "Central Office" means the Central Office of the Supreme Court "Central of Judicature.
- 2. In these rules, unless repugnant to the context, the singular Singular to number shall include the plural, and the plural number shall include include plural, and vice versa. the singular.

ORDER LXXII.

GENERAL RULES.

Annulled orders not revived by rules.
Former practice.
Vacanoy in office of Lord Chancellor or Lord Chief

Justice.

1. No order or rule annulled by any former order shall be revived by any of these rules, unless expressly so declared.

2. Where no other provision is made by the Acts or these rules, the

present procedure and practice remain in force.

3. During the period of any vacancy in the office of Lord Chancellor, and when the great seal is not in commission, these rules shall operate as if wherever the words "Lord Chancellor" are used, the words "Lord Chief Justice of England" were used; and during the period of any vacancy in the office of Lord Chief Justice of England, as if wherever the words "Lord Chief Justice of England" are used, the words "Lord Chancellor" were used.

App. A. Pt. I.

APPENDIX A.

PART I.

FORMS OF WRITS OF SUMMONS, &c.

No. 1.

General Form of Writ of Summons.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.] Between A. B. Plaintiff,

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

andC. D. and E. F. Defendants.

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c.

in the county of

We command you, that within eight days after the service of this writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you do cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of A. B.; and take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

Witness, Roundell, Earl of Selborne, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, e day of in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and . the

Memorandum to be subscribed on the writ.

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar menths from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar menths from the date of the last renewal, including the day of such date, and not afterwards.

The defendant [or defendants] may appear hereto by entering an appearance [or appearances] either personally or by solicitor at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

Indorsements to be made on the writ before issue thereof.

The plaintiff's claim is for, &c.

This writ was issued by the said plaintiff, who resides at issued by E. F., of , whose address for service is plaintiff, who resides at , or, this writ was issued by , or, this writ was hose address for service is , solicitor for the said , or, this writ was issued by G. H., of , whose G. H., of , whose , selicitor for the said address for service is , agent for , of , selicitor for the said plaintiff, who resides at [mention the city, town, or parish, and also the name of the street and number of the house of the plaintiff's residence, if any].

Indorsement to be made on the writ after service thereof.

on the defendant This writ was served by me at 18 day of

Indorsed the day of 18 . (Signed)

(Address)

No. 2.

Specially Indorsed Writ, Order III., Rule 6.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

on

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Between Plaintiff, and

Defendant.

the

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c., to of in the county of
We command you, that within eight days after the service of this writ on you,
inclusive of the day of such service, you cause an appearance to be entered for you
in an action at the suit of . And take notice, that in default of your so doing
the plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.
Witness, Roundell, Earl of Selborne, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain,
the day of in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and

App. A. Pt. I.

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal, including the day of such date, and not afterwards.

Appearance is to be entered at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice,

London.

Statement of Claim :-

The plaintiff's claim is

Particulars: -

Place of trial

(Signed)

And the sum of £ , [or such sum as may be allowed on taxation,] for costs. If the amount claimed is paid to the plaintiff or h solicitor or agent within four days from the service hereof, further proceedings will be stayed.

This writ was issued by the said plaintiff, who resides at was issued by E. F., of , whose address for service is said plaintiff, who resides at , [or] this writ was issued , [or] this writ hose address for service is , solicitor for the , [or] this writ was issued by G. H., of , whose address for service is , agent for , solicitor for the , of said plaintiff, who resides at

This writ was served by me at on the defendant the on 18 . day of Indorsed the day of 18

(Signed) (Address)

No. 3.

Writ for Issue from District Registry.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Between Plaintiff.

> and Defendant.

(MANCHESTER) DISTRICT REGISTRY.

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c., to \mathbf{of} in the We command you, that within eight days after the service of this writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of . And take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

Witness, Roundell, Earl of Selborne, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, the day of in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal, and not afterwards.

A defendant who resides or carries on business within the above-named district

must enter appearance at the office of the registrar of that district.*

A defendant who neither resides nor carries on business within the said district may enter appearance either at the office of the said registrar or at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

The plaintiff's claim is

This writ, &c.

* Insert ad-

dress of office.

N.B.—The address for service must be within the district.

This writ was served, &c.

No. 4.

App. A. Pt. I.

Specially Indorsed Writ for Issue from District Registry.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Between and

Plaintiff, Defendant.

(MANCHESTER) DISTRICT REGISTRY.

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c., to ofin the We command you, that within eight days after the service of this writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of . And take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

Witness, &c.

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal, including the day of such date and not afterwards.

A defendant who resides or carries on business within the above-named district must enter appearance at the office of the registrar of that district.*

* Insert ad-

A defendant who neither resides nor carries on business within the said district dress of office. may enter appearance either at the office of the said registrar or at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

Statement of Claim: -

The plaintiff's claim is

Particulars:—

Place of trial (Signed)

And the sum of £ , [or such sum as may be allowed on taxation,] for costs. If the amount claimed is paid to the plaintiff or h solicitor or agent within four days from the service hereof, further proceedings will be stayed.

This writ, &c.

N.B.—The address for service must be within the district.

This writ was served, &c.

No. 5.

Writ for Service out of the Jurisdiction, or where Notice in Lieu of Service is to be given out of the Jurisdiction.

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.] Between A. B. Plaintiff, and

C. D. and E. F. Defendants.

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c.

To C. D. of We command you, C. D., that within [here insert the number of days directed by the Court or judge ordering the service or notice] after the service of this writ [or notice of this writ as the case may be] on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you do cause an appearance to be entered for you in the Division of our High Court of Justice in an action at the suit of A. B.; and take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your Witness, &c.

Memoranda and Indorsement as in Form No. 1.

Indorsement to be made on the writ before the issue thereof.

N.B.—This writ is to be used where the defendant or all the defendants or one or more defendant or defendants is or are out of the jurisdiction. When the defendant to be served is not a British subject, and is not in British dominion, notice of the writ, and not the writ itself, is to be served upon him.

App. A. Pt. I.

No. 6.

Specially Indorsed Writ for Service out of the Jurisdiction.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

* Insert No. of days directed by Court or judge. † If notice of the writ is to be served, in-sert here "of

notice."

ance.

† If notice to

be served, in-sert here "of

notice."

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c., to οf in the We command you, that within* days after service † of this writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of

And take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed there-

in, and judgment may be given in your absence. Witness, &c.

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal, including the day of such date, and not afterwards.

Appearance is to be entered at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice,

London.

Statement of Claim: —

The plaintiff's claim is:-

Particulars:-

Place of trial

(Signed) * Insert No. And £ [or such sum as may be allowed on taxation] for costs. If the of days limited amount claimed is paid to the plaintiff or h solicitor or agent within * for appear- days from service † hereof, further proceedings will be stayed.

This writ was issued, &c.

This writ [or notice of this writ] was served, &c.

N.B.—This writ is to be used where the defendant, or all the defendants, or one or more defendant or defendants, is or arc out of the jurisdiction. When the defendant to be served is not a British subject, and is not in British dominions, notice of the writ and not the writ itself is to be served upon him.

No. 7.

Writ from District Registry for Service out of the Jurisdiction.

[Heading as in Form 3.]

* Insert No. of days directed by Court or

judge.

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c., to We command you, that within* days after service of this writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of

And take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

Witness, &c.

† If notice of writ is to be served, insert here, "notice of."

! Insert address of office.

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal, including the day of such date, and not afterwards.

A defendant who resides or carries on business within the above-named district, must enter appearance at the office of the registrar of that district. I

A defendant who neither resides nor carries on business within the said district may enter appearance either at the office of the said registrar or at the central office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

The plaintiff's claim is

This writ was issued by, &c.

N.B.—The address for service must be within the district.

This writ [or notice of this writ] was served, &c.

N.B.—This writ is to be used where the defendant, or all the defendants, or one or more defendant or defendants, is or are out of the jurisdiction. Where the defendant to be served is not a British subject, and is not in British dominions, notice of the writ and not the writ itself is to be served upon him.

No. 8.

App. A. Pt. I.

* Insert No.

directed by

judge.

Specially Indorsed Writ from District Registry for Service out of the Jurisdiction.

[Heading as in Form 3.]

Victoria, by the Grace of God, &c. to \mathbf{of} in the days after service of† this writ on you, We command you, that within* inclusive of the day of such service, you cause an appearance to be entered for you of days in an action at the suit of

And take notice, that in default of your so doing the plaintiff may proceed Court or

therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

Witness, &c.

Witness, &c.

N.B.—This writ is to be served within twelve calendar months from the date served, insert thereof, or, if renewed, within six calendar months from the date of the last renewal, insert here, "notice of."

A defendant who resides or carries on business within the above-named district

must enter appearance at the office of the registrar of that district.‡

A defendant who neither resides nor carries on business within the said district may enter appearance either at the office of the said registrar or at the central office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

‡ Insert address of office.

Statement of Claim : --

The plaintiff's claim is

Particulars:-

Place of Trial

(Signed) and £ , [or such sum as may be allowed on taxation] for costs. If the amount claimed be paid to the plaintiff or h solicitor or agent within* days from servicef hereof, further proceedings will be stayed.

This writ was issued by, &c.

N.B.—The address for service must be within the district.

This writ [or notice of this writ] was served, &c.

N.B.—This writ is to be used where the defendant or all the defendants, or one or more defendant or defendants, is or are out of the jurisdiction. Where the person to be served is not a British subject, and is not in British dominions, notice of the writ and not the writ itself is to be served upon him.

days * Insert No. of days limited for appearance. writ is to be

† If notice of served, insert here, "of notice."

No. 9.

Notice of Writ in lieu of Service to be given out of the Jurisdiction.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

To G. H. of

Take notice, that A. B., of has commenced an action against you, G. H., Division of Her Majesty's High Court of Justice in England, by writ of that Court, dated the day of , A.D. 18; which writ is indorsed as follows [copy in full the indorsements], and you are required within days after the receipt of this notice, inclusive of the day of such receipt, to defend the said action, by causing an appearance to be entered for you in the said Court to the said action; and in default of your so doing, the said A. B. may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

You may appear to the said writ by entering an appearance personally or by your solicitor at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

(Signed) A. B. of &c.

X. Y. of &c. Solicitor for A. B.

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

App. A. Pt. I.

No. 10.

Notice of Writ in lieu of Service to be given out of the Jurisdiction.

[Heading as in No. 3.]

Take notice, that , of , has commenced an action against you the Division of Her Majesty's High Court of Justice in England, by writ in the of that Court, dated the day of , 18 , which writ is indorsed as

And you are hereby required within days after the receipt of this notice, inclusive of the day of such receipt, to defend this action by causing an appearance to be entered for you thereto, and in default of your so doing the said may proceed therein, and judgment may be given in your absence.

If you reside or carry on business within the above-named district, appearance is

* Insert adto be entered at the office of the registrar for that district.*

dress of office.

If you do not either reside or carry on business within that district, appearance is to be entered either at the office of the said registrar or at the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice, London.

(Signed)

This notice was served by, &c.

N.B.—This notice is to be used where the person to be served is not a British subject, and is not in British dominions.

No. 18.

Form of Memorandum for Renewed Writ.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal renewed writ of summons in this action indorsed as follows:-[Copy original writ and the indorsements.]

No. 19.

Certificate of Solicitor as to Assignment of Cause or Matter.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

I, A. B., solicitor for the above-named hereby certify that the writ [summons or petition] annexed hereto relates to the administration of the same trust, [or, the winding-up of the same company, as or is so connected with, the cause or matter entitled [insert title] and assigned to the Hon. Mr. Justice , as to be conveniently dealt with by the same judge.

PART II.

App. A. Pt. II.

* If this

address be

beyond three

miles from the

Royal Courts of Justice, an

address for service within

three miles thereof must

be given.

FORMS OF ENTRY OF APPEARANCE.

No. 1.

Memorandum of Appearance in General.

In the High Court of Justice.

No. 18 Plaintiff, Between

Division.

in this action. 18

Defendant.

Enter an appearance for Dated the day of (Signed)

of * Agent for of

No. 2.

Notice of Entry of Appearance.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice, that have this day entered an appearance at the central office, Royal Courts of Justice [or at the office of the registrar of the district registry) for the defendant to the writ of summons in this action.

[If statement of claim is required, add] The said defendant require delivery of a statement of claim.

18 .

Dated the day of

(Signed)

of Agent for

Solicitor for the defendant .

To

No. 3.

Notice limiting Defence.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice, that the [above-named] defendant [A.B.] limits his defence to part only of the property mentioned in the writ of summons, namely, to the close, called "The Big Field."

18

Dated the day of

(Signed) of

Agent for

of

Solicitors for the above-named defendant.

To Messrs.

The Plaintiff's Solicitors.

No. 4.

Entry of Appearance limiting Defence.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Enter an appearance for the defendant in this action. The said defendant limits his defence to part only of the property mentioned in the writ of summons, namely, to the close called "The Big Field." is

The address of

Dated the day of 18 (Signed)

of*

Agent for

address be beyond three miles from the Royal Courts of Justice, an address for service within three miles thereof must be given.

* If this

App. A. Pt. II.

service within three miles thereof must be given.

No. 5.

Entry of Appearance, Order XVI., Rule 49.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

dav

to the notice issued in this action on the Enter an appearance for 18 by the defendant under the Rules of the Supreme Court, * If this 1883, Ord. XVI. r. 49. address be Dated the day of 18 beyond three (Signed) miles from the of* Royal Courts Agent for of Justice, an of address for

No. 6.

Entry of Appearance, Order XVII., Rule 5.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

* If this address be beyond three miles from the Royal Courts of Justice, an address for service within three miles thereof must be given.

* If this

address be

beyond three

miles from the

Royal Courts

of Justice, an

address for service within

three miles thereof must be given.

Enter an appearance for who has been served with an order dated the to carry on and prosecute the proceedings in this action. day of Dated the 18 day of (Signed)

of* Agent for of

No. 7.

Entry of Appearance to Counter Claim. [Heading as in Form 1.]

Enter an appearance for in this action. day of Dated the

to the counter-claim of the above-named defendant

(Signed) of*

18 .

Agent for of

No. 8.

Affidavit for Entry of Appearance as Guardian.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

A. B., of , make oath and say as follows:-A. B., of , is a fit and proper person to act as guardian ad litem of the above-named infant defendant, and has no interest in the matters in question in this action [matter] adverse to that of the said infant, and the consent of the said A. B., to act as such guardian is hereto annexed. Sworn, &c.

[To this affidavit shall be annexed the document signed by such guardian in testimony of his consent to act.

PART III.

App. A. Pt. III. Sect. 1.

GENERAL INDORSEMENTS ON WRITS OF SUMMONS.

SECTION I.

In Matters assigned by the 34th Section of the Act to the Chancery Division.

The plaintiff's claim is as a creditor of X. Y., of , deceased, to have the Creditor to [real and] personal estate of the said X. Y. administered. The defendant C. D. is administer sued as the administrator of the said X. Y. [and the defendants E. F. and G. H. estate. as his co-heirs-at-law].

The plaintiff's claim is as a legatee under the will dated the day of The plainth's claim is as a legatee under the will dated the day of . Legatee to 18, of X. Y., deceased, to have the [real and] personal estate of the said X. Y. administer administered. The defendant C. D. is sued as the executor of the said X. Y. [and estate.] the defendants E. F. and G. H. as his devisees].

The plaintiff's claim is to have an account taken of the partnership dealings Partnership between the plaintiff and defendant [under articles of partnership dated the day of , and to have the affairs of the partnership wound up.

The plaintiff's claim is to have an account taken of what is due to him for prin- By mortmade between gagee. cipal, interest, and costs on a mortgage dated the day of [or by deposit of title deeds], and that the mortgage may be enforced by foreclosure or sale.

The plaintiff's claim is to have an account taken of what, if anything, is due on By morta mortgage dated and made between [parties], and to redeem the property gagor. comprised therein.

The plaintiff's claim is that the sum of l., which by an indenture of settle- Raising porwas provided for the portions of the younger children of , tions. ment datedmay be raised.

The plaintiff's claim is to have the trusts of an indenture dated and made Execution of , carried into execution. between trusts.

The plaintiff's claim is to have a deed dated and made between [parties], Cancellation set aside or rectified. or rectification.

The plaintiff's claim is for specific performance of an agreement dated the Specific perday of , for the sale by the plaintiff to the defendant of certain [freehold] formance. hereditaments at

SECTION II.

Money Claims where no Special Indorsement under Order III., Rule 6.

· l., for the price of goods sold. The plaintiff's claim is [This Form shall suffice whether the claim be in respect of goods sold and delivered, or of goods bargained and sold.]

l., for money lent [and interest]. The plaintiff's claim is l. is for the price of goods sold, and Several The plaintiff's claim is i. for money lent, and l., whereof l. for interest.

The plaintiff's claim is l. for arrears of rent. [The Appendix contains many additional forms.]

Goods sold.

Money lent.

demands.

Rent.

PP

М.

App. A. Pt. III. Sect. 3.

SECTION III.

Indorsements for Costs.

Add to the above forms :-

And l. for costs; and if the amount claimed he paid to the plaintiff or his solicitor within four days [or if the writ is to be served out of the jurisdiction, or notice in lieu of scrvice allowed, insert the time for appearances limited by the rules] from the service hereof, further proceedings will be stayed.

SECTION IV.

Damages and other Claims.

Account.

The plaintiffs claim that an account he taken of [say what].

Agent, &c.

The plaintiff's claim is for damages for breach of a contract to employ the plaintiff

as traveller.

Nuisance.

The plaintiff's claim is for damages to his house, trees, crops, &c., caused by

noxious vapours from the defendant's factory [or, &c.].

The plaintiff's claim is for damages from nuisance by noise from the defendant's orks [or stables, or, &c.]. The plaintiff's claim is for damages from loss of the plaintiff's goods in the defen-

dant's inn.

Innkeeper.

Add to Indorsement:—
And for a mandamus commanding the defendant to

Mandamus. Injunction.

Add to Indorsement :-And for an injunction to restrain the defendant from

Add to Indorsement where claim is to land, or to establish title, or both.

And for mesne profits.

Mesne profits.

Arrears of rent.

And for an account of rents or arrears of rent. And for breach of covenant for [repairs]. [The Appendix contains many additional forms.]

Breach of covenant.

SECTION VII.

Indorsements of Character of Parties.

Executors.

The plaintiff's claim is as executor [or administrator] of C. D., deceased, for, &c. The plaintiff's claim is against the defendant A. B., as executor [or, &c.] of C. D., deceased, for, &c.

The plaintiff's claim is against the defendant A. B., as executor of X. Y., deceased, for, &c., and against the defendant C. D., in his personal capacity, for, &c.

The plaintiff's claim is as trustee under the bankruptcy of A. B., for

Trustee in bankruptcy. Trustee.

The plaintiff's claim is as [or the plaintiff's claim is against the defendant as] trustee under the will of A. B. [or under the settlement upon the marriage of A. B. and X. Y., his wife].

Public officer.

The plaintiff's claim is as public officer of the bank, for The plaintiff's claim is against the defendant as public officer of the bank,

The plaintiff's claim is against the defendant A. B., as principal, and against the

Heir and devisee.

defendant C. D., as public officer of the bank, as surety, for The plaintiff's claim is against the defendant as heir-at-law of A. B., deceased. The plaintiff's claim is against the defendant C. D., as heir-at-law, and against the defendant E. F., as devisee of lands under the will of A. B.

Qui tam action.

The plaintiff's claim is as well for the Queen as for himself, for

APPENDIX B.

NOTICES, &c.

No. 1.

Third Party Notice.

188. . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Between A. B. Plaintiff, and

To Mr. X. Y.

C. D. Defendant. Notice filed , 188 .

Take notice that this action has been brought by the plaintiff, against the defendant [as surety for M. N.,] upon a hond conditioned for payment of 2,000% and interest to the plaintiff.

The defendant claims to be entitled to contribution from you to the extent of one-half of any sum which the plaintiff may recover against him, on the ground that you are (his co-surety under the said bond, or, also surety for the said M. N. in respect of the said matter, under another bond made by you in favour of the said plaintiff, dated the day of , A.D.)].

plaintiff, dated the day of , a.n.)]. Or [as acceptor of a bill of exchange for 500l., dated the day of , a.n. , drawn by you upon and accepted by the defendant, and payable three months after date.

The defendant claims to be indemnified by you against liability under the said bill, on the ground that it was accepted for your accommodation].

Or [as acceptor of a bill of exchange for 5001, dated the day of A.D.

Or [as acceptor of a bill of exchange for 500%, dated the day of A.n., drawn by you before and accepted by the defendant, and payable three months after date.

The defendant claims to be indemnified by you against liability under the said bill, on the ground that it was accepted for your accommodation].

Or to recover damages for a breach of a contract for the sale and delivery to the

plaintiff of 1,000 tons of coal.

The defendant claims to be indemnified by you against liability in respect of the said contract, or any breach thereof, on the ground that it was made by him on your behalf and as your agent].

your behalf and as your agent].

And take notice that, if you wish to dispute the plaintiff's claim in this action as against the defendant C. D. or your liability to the defendant C. D., you must cause an appearance to be entered for you within eight days after service of this notice.

In default of your so appearing, you will be deemed to admit the validity of any judgment obtained against the defendant C. D., and your own liability to contribute or indemnify to the extent herein claimed, which may be summarily enforced against you pursuant to the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, Order XVI., Part VI.

(Signed) E. T

or, X. Y. Solicitor for the defendant,

Appearance to be entered at

No. 2.

Notice of Counterclaim.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

"To the within-named X. Y.

"Take notice that if you do not appear to the within counterclaim of the withinnamed C. D. within eight days from the service of this defence and counterclaim upon you, you will be liable to have judgment given against you in your absence.

"Appearance to be entered at

App. B.

No. 3.

Notice of Payment into Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the defendant has paid into Court £, and says that that sum is enough to satisfy the plaintiff's claim [or the plaintiff's elaim for, &e.]

To Mr. X. Y., the plaintiff's solicitor.

Z., Defendant's solicitor.

No. 4.

Acceptance of Sum paid into Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the plaintiff accepts the sum of £ paid by you into Court in satisfaction of the claim in respect of which it is paid in.

No. 5.

Confession of Defence.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

The plaintiff confesses the defence stated in the defence $\lceil or$, of the defendant's further defence.

paragraph of the defendant's

No. 6.

Interrogatories.

18

. [Here put the letter and number.]
Between A. B. Plaintiff,
and

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

C. D., E. F., and G. H., Defendants.

Interrogatories on behalf of the above-named [plaintiff, or defendant C. D.] for the examination of the above-named [defendants E. F. and G. H., or plaintiff].

Did not, &c.
 Has not, &c.

&c. &c. &

occ. occ.

[The defendant E. F. is required to answer the interrogatories numbered .]

[The defendant G. H. is required to answer the interrogatories numbered .]

No. 7.

Answer to Interrogatories.

[Heading as in Form 6.]

The answer of the above-named defendant E. F. to the interrogatories for his examination by the above-named plaintiff.

In answer to the said interrogatories, I, the above-named E. F., make oath and say as follows:—

App. B.

No. 8.

Affidavit as to Documents.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

- I, the above-named defendant C. D., make oath and say as follows:—
 1. I have in my possession or power the documents relating to the matters in question in this suit set forth in the first and second parts of the first schedule
- 2. I object to produce the said documents set forth in the second part of the said first schedule hereto.
- 3. That [here state upon what grounds the objection is made, and verify the facts as far
- as may be].

 4. I have had, but have not now, in my possession or power the documents relating to the matters in question in this suit set forth in the second schedule hereto.

 5. The last-mentioned documents were last in my possession or power on [state

when].
6. That [here state what has become of the last-mentioned documents, and in whose

7. According to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, I have not To According to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, I have not now, and never had in my possession, custody, or power, or in the possession, custody, or power of my solicitors or agents, solicitor or agent, or in the possession, custody, or power of any other persons or person on my behalf, any deed, account, book of account, voucher, receipt, letter, memorandum, paper, or writing, or any copy of or extract from any such document, or any other document whatsoever, relating to the matters in question in this suit, or any of them, or wherein any entry has been made relative to such matters or any of them other than and excent entry has been made relative to such matters, or any of them, other than and except the documents set forth in the said first and second schedules hereto.

No. 9.

Notice to produce Documents.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the [plaintiff or defendant] requires you to produce for his inspection the following documents referred to in your [statement of claim, or defence, or affidavit, dated the day of A.D. Describe documents required.

X. Y., Solicitor to the

To Z., Solicitor for

No. 10.

Notice to inspect Documents.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that you can inspect the documents mentioned in your notice of the day of A.D. [cxcept the deed numbered in that notice] at [insert place of inspection] on Thursday next the inst., between the hours of 12 and 4 o'clock. Or, that the [plaintiff or defendant] objects to giving you inspection of the documents mentioned in your notice of the day of A.D. , on the ground that [state the ground]:—

No. 11.

Notice to admit Documents.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the plaintiff [or defendant] in this cause proposes to adduce in evidence the several documents hereunder specified, and that the same may be inspected by the defendant [or plaintiff], his solicitor or agent, at on , between the hours of ; and the defendant [or plaintiff] is hereby required, within forty-eight hours from the last-mentioned hour, to admit that such of the said documents as are specified to be originals were respectively written, signed, or executed as they purport respectively to have been; that such as are specified as copies are true copies; and such documents as are stated to have been served, sent, or delivered, were so served, sent, or delivered respectively; saving all just exceptions to the admissibility of all such documents as evidence in this cause.

Dated. &c. (Signed)

Dated, &c.

(Signed)
To E. F., solicitor [or agent] for defendant [or plaintiff].

G. H., solicitor [or agent] for plaintiff [or defendant]. [Here describe the documents, the manner of doing which may be as follows:--]

ORIGINALS.

Description of Documents.	Dates.
Deed of covenant between A. B. and C. D. first part, and E. F. second part Indenture of lease from A. B. to C. D. Indenture of release between A. B., C. D. first part, &c Letter, defendant to plaintiff Policy of insurance on goods by ship "Isabella," on voyage from Oporto to London. Memorandum of agreement between C. D., captain of said ship, and E. F. Bill of exchange for 100% at three months, drawn by A. B. on and accepted by C. D., indorsed by E. F. and G. H	January 1, 1848. February 1, 1848. February 2, 1848. March 1, 1848. December 3, 1847. January 1, 1848. May 1, 1849.

COPIES.

Description of Documents.	Dates.	Original or Duplicate served, eent, or delivered, when, how, and by whom.
Registrar of baptism of A. B. in the parish of X	January 1, 1848. February 1, 1848 March 1, 1848	Sent by General Post, February 2, 1848. Served March 2, 1848, on defendant's attor- ney by E. F., of
Record of a judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench in an action, F. S. v. F. N Letters Patent of King Charles II. in the Rolls Chapel	Trinity Term, 10th Vict. January 1, 1680.	

No. 12.

Notice to admit Facts.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the plaintiff [or defendant] in this cause requires the defendant [or plaintiff] to admit, for the purposes of this cause only, the several facts respectively hereunder specified; and the defendant [or plaintiff] is hereby required, within six days from the service of this notice, to admit the said several facts, saving all just exceptions to the admissibility of such facts as evidence in this cause.

Dated, &c.

To E. F., solicitor [or agent] for the plaintiff [or defendant].

The facts, the admission of which is required, are

1. That John Smith died on the 1st of January, 1870.

That he died intestate.
 That James Smith was his only lawful son.
 That Julius Smith died on the 1st of April, 1876.

5. That Julius Smith never was married.

No. 13.

Admission of Facts, pursuant to Notice.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

The defendant [or plaintiff] in this cause, for the purposes of this cause only, hereby admits the several facts respectively hereunder specified, subject to the qualifications or limitations, if any, hereunder specified, saving all just exceptions

to the admissibility of such facts, or any of them, as evidence in this cause.

Provided that this admission is made for the purposes of this action only, and is not an admission to be used against the defendant [or plaintiff] on any other occasion, or by anyone other than the plaintiff [or defendant or party requiring the admission .

Delivered, &c.

E. F., solicitor [or agent] for the defendant [or plaintiff]. To G. H., solicitor [or agent] for the plaintiff [or defendant].

Facts admitted.	Qualifications or Limitations, if any, subject to which they are admitted.
 That John Smith died on the 1st of January, 1870. That he died intestate. That James Smith was his lawful son. That Julius Smith died. That Julius Smith never was married. 	2. 3. But not that he was his only lawful son. 4. But not that he died on the 1st of April, 1876. 5.

No. 14.

Notice to produce (General Form).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that you are hereby required to produce and show to the Court on all books, papers, letters, copies of letters, and other writings the trial of this

App. B.

App. B. and documents in your custody, possession, or power, containing any entry, memorandum, or minute relating to the matters in question in this cause, and particularly

Dated the day of 1
To the above-named

18

(Signed)

h solicitor or ag

agent for solicitor for the above-named

No. 15.

Issue.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Whereas A. B. affirms, and C. D. denies [here state the question or questions of fact to be tried], and it has been ordered by the Hon. Mr. Justice that the said question shall be tried [here state mode of trial, whether with or without a jury], therefore let the same be tried accordingly.

No. 16.

Notice of Trial.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice of trial of this [or of the issues in this [or as the ease may be] in [or as the case may be] for the X. Y., plaintiff's solicitor [or as the case may be].

ordered to be tried]
day of next.

Dated

To Z., defendant's solicitor [or as the case may be].

No. 17.

Certificate of Officer after Trial with a Jury.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

I certify that this was tried before the Honourable Mr. Justice with a special jury of the county of , on the 12th and 13th days of November, 1876. The jury found [state findings].

The judge directed that judgment should be entered for the plaintiff for with costs of summons [or as the case may be].

A. B., [Title of officer.]

l.

The

day of

18

No. 18.

Notice of Motion.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the Court will be moved on day the day of 18 at o'clock in the forenoon, or so soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, by that

Dated the day of (Signed)

of

agent for solicitor for the

18

 T_0

No. 19.

Notice of Discontinuance.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the plaintiff hereby *

Dated the

day of

18 (Signed)

agent for

solicitor for the plaintiff.

To

* "Wholly discontinues this action," or "with-draws so much of his claim in this action as relates to," &c. † If not

App. B.

against all the defendants, add "as against the defendant,"

&c.

No. 20.

Notice of Cross-examination of Deponents at Trial.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the intend at the trial of this action to cross-examine the several deponents named and described in the schedule hereto on their affidavits therein specified.

And also take notice that you are hereby required to produce the said deponents for such cross-examination before the Court aforesaid.

Dated the

day of

18 (Signed)

Agent for

 T_0

Solicitor for the

The Schedule above referred to.

Name of Deponent.	Address and Description.	Date when Affidavit filed
	1	

No. 21.

Notice of Renewal of Writ of Execution.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Take notice that the writ of and bearing date the issued in this action directed to the sheriff of day of 18, has been renewed for one

18 .

year from the day of 18
Dated the day of 18

(Signed)

Agent for Solicitor for the

To the sheriff of

App. B.

No. 22.

Notice as to Stock under Order XLVI.

To the [here add the name of the Company].

Take notice that the stock comprised in and now subject to the trusts of the [settlement, will, &c.] referred to in the affidavit to which this notice is annexed consists of the following (that is to say) [here specify the stock].

consists of the following (that is to say) [here specify the stock].

This notice is intended to stop the transfer of the stock only, and not the receipt of dividends [or, the receipt of the dividends on the stock as well as the transfer of the stock].

(Signed) A. B.

No. 23.

Affidavit of Service of Summons.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

for the above-named T of solicitor make oath and say as follows:-I did on the day of before the hour of in the noon. in this action with a true copy duly stamped of the above-named the summons hereto annexed marked A, by leaving it at the of the said situate with there Sworn at this day of 18 Before me This affidavit is filed on behalf of the

No. 24.

Affidavit on Registration of Bill of Sale.

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

18 . No. .

- I of make oath and say as follows:—

 1. The paper writing hereto annexed and marked A is a true copy of a bill of sale, and of every schedule or inventory thereto annexed or therein referred to, and of every attestation of the execution thereof, as made and given and executed by

 2. The said bill of sale was made and given by the said on the day of
- 18 .
 3. I was present and saw the said duly execute the said bill of sale on the
- said day of 18.

 4. The said resides at [state residence at time of swearing affidavit] and is [state
- occupation].

 5. The name subscribed to the said bill of sale as that of the witness attesting the due execution thereof is in the proper handwriting of me this deponent.
- 6. I am a solicitor of the Supreme Court, and reside at
 7. Before the execution of the said bill of sale by the said
 to the nature and effect thereof.
 Sworn, &c.

 I fully explained

App. B.

No. 25.

Affidavit in support of Garnishee Order.

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Between

18 . No. . Judgment Creditor, and

Judgment Debtor.

I of the above-named judgment creditor [or, solicitor for the above-named judgment creditor] make oath and say as follows:—

1. By a judgment of the Court given in this action, and dated the day of 18, it was adjudged that I [or the above-named judgment creditor] should recover against the above-named judgment debtor the sum of £, and costs to be taxed, and the said costs were by a master's certificate dated the day of 18 allowed at £.

2. The said still remains unsatisfied to the extent of and interest

amounting to £ .
3. * is indebted to the judgment debtor thereabouts.

in the sum of £

or * Name, address and description of garnishee.

* "is" or

† If claim in

writing, make

the writing an

"are."

exhibit.

4. The said is within the jurisdiction of this Court. Sworn, &c.

No. 26.

Affidavit on Interpleader.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

I of the defendant in the above action make oath and say as follows:—
1. The writ of summons herein was issued on the day of 18, and was served on me on the day of 18.

2. The action is brought to recover

The said * in my possession, but I claim no interest therein.

3. The right to the said subject matter of this action has been and is claimed † by one who ‡

by one who ‡
4. I do not in any manner collude with the said or with the above-named plaintiff, but I am ready to bring into court or to pay or dispose of the said in such manner as the Court may order or direct.

Sworn, &c.

&c.

\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}

\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\$\frac{1}{2} \text{State expectation of suit, or that} \\
\text{he has already sued.}
\end{align*}

No. 27.

Affidavit as to Stock under Order XLVI.

In the matter of [here state the nature of the document comprising the stock, and add the date and other particulars, so far as known to the deponent, sufficiently to identify the document];

In the matter of the Act of Parliament, 5 Vict. c. 5.

I of make oath and say that according to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, I am [or, if the affidavit is made by the solicitor, A. B. of is] beneficially interested in the stock comprised in the [settlement, will, &c.] above mentioned, which stock, according to the best of my knowledge and belief, now consists of the stock specified in the notice hereto annexed.

This affidavit is filed on behalf of A. B., whose address is [state address for

service].

App. C. Sect. I.

APPENDIX C.

FORMS OF STATEMENTS OF CLAIM TO BE USED PURSUANT TO ORDER XIX., RULE 5.

SECTION I.

General.

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

Writ issued the

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

Between A. B. Plaintiff,

and C. D. Defendant.

Statement of Claim.

The plaintiff, &c.

The plaintiff's claim is, &c.

[To be filled up in manner exemplified in the following forms.] The plaintiff claims [as in following forms].

18 .

18

Place of trial

(Signed) Delivered the

of

SECTION II.

Actions specially assigned to the Chancery Division by s. 34, subs. 3 of the Principal Act.

No. 1.

Administration.

The plaintiff is a creditor of X. Y. deceased, of whom the defendant C. D. is executor [or administrator] and the defendant E. F. is heir at law [or devisee]. Particulars of the claim:

Principal due on the bond of the testator [or intestate] dated the

18 of at 5 per cent. Interest from the of

£2,000 250 O n

£2,250 0 0 The plaintiff claims to be paid the amount due to him, or to have the real and personal estate of the said X. Y. administered.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 2.

 \mathbf{Wilful} default. 1. The plaintiff is residuary legatee of A. B. of the city of Bath, who died March 3rd, 1882, having made his will dated March 2nd, 1882, and appointed the defendants his executors, who proved his will April 6th, 1882.

2. The defendants have been guilty of wilful default in not getting in certain

property of the testator.

3. The wilful default on which the plaintiff relies is as follows: C. D. owed to the testator 1,000l., in respect of which no interest had been paid or acknowledgment given for five years before the testator's death. The defendants were aware of this fact, but never applied to C. D. for payment until more than a year after testator's death, whereby the said sum was lost. The plaintiff claims:

Account of testator's personal estate on footing of wilful default.

2. Administration of the testator's personal estate.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 3.

1. The plaintiff on December 20th, 1875, entered into partnership articles with

the defendant for 10 years. 2. The defendant has broken the partnership articles as follows:-

App. C. Sect. II. Dissolution of

partnership.

(b.) (c.)

The plaintiff claims:-

1. Dissolution.

Accounts and inquiries. 3. A receiver and manager.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 4.

For accounts.

 The plaintiffs are executors of A., deceased.
 From the year 1875 till his death A. employed the defendant as his confidential agent in the management of a large building estate at X.

3. The defendant as such agent received large sums of money for the said A., for which he refuses to account.

The plaintiffs claim:-

1. Accounts of all sums received and paid by the defendant as agent of A.

2. Payment of the amount found due.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 5.

1. The plaintiff is mortgagee of lands belonging to the defendant.

Foreclosure or sale.

2. The following are the particulars of the mortgage :-(a.) (Date and names of mortgagor and mortgagee.)
(b.) (Sum secured.)
(c.) (Rate of interest.)
(d.) (Property subject to mortgage.)

(e.) (Amount now due.)
(If the plaintiff's title is a derivative title, state shortly the assignments under which he claims.)

(If the plaintiff is mortgagee in possession add):
3. The plaintiff took possession of the mortgaged property on the

and is ready to account as mortgagee in possession from that time.

The plaintiff claims payment, or, in default, sale, or foreclosure (and possession). (Signed)

Delivered

No. 6.

The plaintiff is mortgager of lands, of which the defendant is mortgagee.
 The following are the particulars of the mortgage:

Redemption.

(a.) (Date.) (b.) (Sum secured.)

(c.) (Rate of interest.)
(d.) (Property subject to mortgage.)
(If the plaintiff's title is derivative, state shortly the deeds under which he claims.)
(If the defendant is mortgagee in possession add):

3. The defendant has taken possession [or has received the rents] of the mortgaged property.

The plaintiff claims to redeem the said premises, and to have the same reconveyed

to him [and to have possession thereof].

(Signed) Delivered

No. 7.

1. By a settlement on the marriage of A. B. and C. B., dated January 10, 1850, For raising Whiteacre was demised to trustees for 1,000 years on trust after the deaths of A. B. portions or and C. B. to raise 5,000%. for the younger children of the marriage who should other charges on land. attain 21.

2. A. B. died February 15, 1870.

3. C. B. died June 10, 1875.

App. C. Sect. II.

- 4. There were five children only of the marriage of A. B. and C. B., all of whom are now living and have attained twenty-one. The plaintiff is the second born child.
 - 5. The defendants were on April 5, 1877, appointed trustees of the settlement.
 - The plaintiff claims:
 1. To have 5,000%, raised by sale or mortgage, and distributed among the persons entitled.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 8.

Sale and distribution of proceeds of property subect to any

1. On November 12, 1880, A. and the defendant B. deposited with the plaintiff 500 Russian Government bonds as security for a debt of 1,000%, and interest at 4 per cent. due from A. and the defendant B. to the plaintiff.

2. A. died March 12, 1881.

3. On March 30, 1881, administration of the estate of A. was granted to the lien or charge, defendant C. 4. 8001. and 301. for interest is owing to the plaintiff on the security of the said bonds.

The plaintiff claims:

1. Sale of the said honds.

2. Application of the proceeds in payment of his debt. 3. Distribution of the surplus among the parties entitled. (Signed)

Delivered

No. 9.

Breach of trust.

1. By a settlement dated July 3rd, 1872, on the marriage of the plaintiffs' father and mother, of which the defendant A. B. and one C. D. were trustees, the plaintiffs are absolutely entitled on the deaths of their father and mother.

2. On August 5, 1874, C. D. died, and the defendant E. F. was appointed in

his place.

3. On December 1, 1879, the plaintiffs' father died.
4. On January 1, 1880, the plaintiffs' mother died.

5. The defendants have committed the following breaches of trust by:

(a.) Sale of 3,000l. Bank Stock and investment of the proceeds in the business of the defendant A. B.

(b.) Sale of leasehold property worth 5,000%. to G. H. for 1,000% [without taking any proper steps to ascertain its value or to obtain such value.

The plaintiffs claim:

1. The replacement of 3,000l. Bank Stock and 5l. per cent. interest on the proceeds of the Bank Stock sold from the date of sale till replacement.

2. Payment of 4,000l. and interest at 5 per cent. per annum from the date of

the sale.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 10.

Execution of trust.

1. By a settlement dated June 10, 1856, upon trust for A. B. and C. B. successively for life, with remainder for their children who should attain twenty-one, the following property was assured:-

(a.) A sum of 5,7351. 14s. 2d. consolidated 3l. per cent. annuities.
(b.) 4,000l. invested on mortgage of land at X.

(c.) One-fifth of the residuary estate of D. deceased, subject to a prior life interest.

On August 15, 1862, C. B. died.
 On February 18, 1875, A. B. died.
 On September 10, 1879, D. died.

5. A. B. and C. B. had five children only, of whom the plaintiff is one.

6. The defendants are the present trustees of the settlement.

The plaintiff claims:

1. Execution of the trusts of the settlement.

All necessary accounts and inquiries.

A receiver.

(Signed) Delivered

App. C. Sect. II.

No. 11.

1. In 1865 a marriage was arranged between A. B. and the plaintiff.

2. By an agreement contained in two letters, dated February 10 and 12, 1865, it For rectificawas agreed between C. B., the father of A. B., and D. the father of the plaintiff, tion, &c. of that each should settle 10,000% on trust, for A. B. and the plaintiff successively for life, with remainder on the usual trusts for the children of the marriage.

3. By letter, dated March 7, 1865, from D. to Messrs. E. & Co., his solicitors, he

instructed them to prepare a settlement.

4. A settlement dated April 25, 1865, was executed upon the marriage of A. B. and the plaintiff, accidentally omitting to give a life interest to the plaintiff after the life interest of A. B.

5. On May 20, 1882, A. B. died.
6. The defendants H. and K. are the present trustees of the settlement. 7. The defendants L., M., and N., are the only children of the marriage.

The plaintiff claims:

Rectification of the settlement.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 12.

1. By an agreement [\varphi, letters] dated [\varphi r, made verbally at interviews on or Specific per-tout] the day the plaintiff agreed to sell to the defendant the Home formance.

The sale was to be completed on the of about] the Farm, Kent, for £

(If the agreement was verbal, add—)
2. The agreement so entered into has been part performed as follows [state how]. The plaintiff claims specific performance of the above agreement, and that the defendant may be ordered to execute a proper conveyance of the premises to the plaintiff [stating in each case what the defendant is required specifically to do]. (Signed)

Delivered

No. 13.

1. By will, dated January 5, 1864, A. devised Whiteacre to B., C. and D., as Partition or sale of real tenants in common. estates.

2. On March 10, 1865, A. died.

On March 20, 1865, A.'s will was proved.
 On June 25, 1867, B. conveyed to the plaintiff his share of Whiteaere.
 On July 30, 1869, C. conveyed his share to the defendants on trust for sale.

6. By will, dated November 5, 1872, D. devised his share among his children equally.

7. On December 2, 1872, D. died.

8. On December 15, 1872, D.'s will was proved.

9. There were ten children of D. living at his decease, some of whom have since died.

10. Whiteacre consists of a mansion, house, and grounds.

11. A sale of the property and a division of the proceeds will be more beneficial than a division of the property.]

The plaintiff claims:—
A division of Whiteacre among the parties interested.

[or, a sale of Whiteacre and distribution of the proceeds among the parties interested.]

(Signed)Delivered

No. 14.

1. By will, dated August 10, 1882, A. devised Whiteacre and 10,000% to defen. Wardship of dant on trust for plaintiff.

2. On August 15, 1882, A. died.

3. On August 30, 1882, probate was granted to the defendant, the sole executor.

fants' estates.

4. The plaintiff is an infant twelve years old.

The plaintiff claims:—

1. That the plaintiff may become a ward of Court.

2. Administration of the trusts of the will of A. so far as necessary.

(Signed) Delivered

592	FORMS OF THE SUPREME COURT, 1883.	
App. C. Sect. IV.	SECTION IV.	
	Actions included in Order III., Rule 6, Classes A., B., C., D., E. and F.	
	No. 1.	
Goods sold and delivered.	The plaintiff's claim is for the price of goods sold and delivered. Particulars:— 1881—31st December,—	
	Balance of account for butcher's meat to this date	
	Butcher's meat	
	109 15 0 45 0 0	
	Balance due	
	Place of trial, London.	
	(Signed) Delivered	
	No. 8.	
Covenantee against cove- nantor on a covenant to	The plaintiff's claim is for principal and interest due under a covenant in a deed dated the 1st of January, 1882. Particulars:—	
pay money.	£ 100 Paid	
	Principal due	
	Amount due 88	
	Place of trial, London.	
	(Signed) Delivered	
	No. 9.	
Against shareholder for allotment money and calls by a com- pany under 25 & 26 Vict.	The plaintiff's claim is for money in which the defendant, as a member of the company, is indebted to the plaintiffs (being a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1862) for allotment money of per share on shares in the company allotted to the defendant, as such member, at his request and for calls of £ each upon shares in the company of which the defendant is a holder, whereby an action has accrued to the plaintiffs. Particulars:—	
с. 89.	18 —Allotment of shares to the defendant at £ per share£ 18 —(1st) call at £ per share£ (2nd) call at £ per share£	

Place of trial,

Amount due (Signed) Delivered

No. 12.

Debt upon a trust.

The plaintiff's claim is against the defendants as trustees under the settlement upon the marriage of A. B. and X. Y., dated January 1st, 1870, whereby 10,000l. invested on mortgage of land at Z. was vested in the defendants as trustees upon trust to pay the income thereof half-yearly to the plaintiff.

Particulars:—

1882, December 25th, half a year's income 200 [Several additional forms are contained in the Appendix.]

No. 13. See Sect. VII. Form No. 1. App. C. Sect. IV.

Landlord against tenant whose term has expired or has been de-

SECTION V.

termined by Actions for Damages for Breach of Contract or Duty arising out of notice to quit, Contract.

No. 1.

1. The plaintiff has suffered damage by breach of contract for sale and delivery Buyer against by the defendant to the plaintiff of 100 tens of Scotch pig iron at 5l. per ton to be seller of goods delivered on rail at Middlesborough on the 15th of March, 1882. 2. The defendant did not deliver any (or

tons, as the case may be) of the livering.

said iron.

Particulars of damage :-

Loss of profit at 11. per ton on 100 tons.................... 100

The plaintiff claims 100%. Place of trial, London.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 8.

1. The plaintiff has suffered damage from the defendant's negligence in his con- Client against duct for the plaintiff, as his solicitor, of business undertaken by the defendant on solicitor for the plaintiff's retainer.

negligence.

2. The negligence was in making an application under Order XIV., Rule 1, in the case of A. B. (the plaintiff) v. C. D., where the case was one of unliquidated damages and not of debt.

Particulars of damage:

Taxed costs paid to defendant on dismissal of summons £

The plaintiff claims £

Place of trial.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 9.

1. By a repairing covenant contained in a lease under seal from the plaintiff to Landlord the defendant, dated the 1st of January, 1876, of a house No. 401, Piccadilly, for against tenant seven years from the 25th day of December, 1875, the defendant covenanted to keep for breach of the premises in such repair and condition as therein mentioned.

2. The premises were during the term out of such repair as was required by the repair.

 They were yielded up out of such repair at the expiration of the term.
 Particulars of dilapidations were delivered to the defendant's solicitor on e of 18, and exceed three folios.
The plaintiff claims £

Place of trial.

(Signed) Delivered

[The Appendix contains several other Forms.]

SECTION VI.

Actions claiming Injunctions, Damages, or Declarations of Right founded on Wrongs.

No. 1.

The plaintiff has suffered damage by the defendant wrongfully depriving the Conversion plaintiff of two casks of oil by refusing to give them up on demand (or, throwing of goods. them overboard out of a boat in the London Docks, &c.)

App. C. Sect. VI.

[If any special damage is claimed add :]—Particulars [fill them in].
The plaintiff claims 100l.

Place of trial, London.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 6.

Injunction, &c. for infringement of patent.

The defendant has infringed the plaintiff's patent, No. 14,084, granted for the term of 14 years, from the 21st of May, 1880, for certain improvements in the manufacture of iron and steel, whereof the plaintiff was the first inventor.

The plaintiff claims an injunction to restrain the defendant from further infringe-

ment and 100l. damages.

Particulars of breaches are delivered herewith. Place of trial, Durham.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 7.

Damages for infringement of copyright.

The defendant has infringed the plaintiff's copyright in a book entitled "The History of Rome," registered on the day of Particulars of special damage are as follows:—

Loss of sale of 50 copies £50 Loss of profit in the copyright 50 £100

The plaintiff claims 100%. Place of trial, Surrey.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 8.

Injunction, &c. for infringement of trade mark.

1. The defendant has infringed the plaintiff's trade mark.

2. The trade mark is (describe it).

[If the plaintiff is not the original proprietor of the trade mark, show shortly how his title is derived.]

3. The following are the acts complained of, viz.:-

(Set them out.)

The plaintiff claims an injunction to restrain the defendant, his servants, and agents, from infringing the plaintiff's said trade mark, and in particular from [stating any particular injunction sought].

The plaintiff also claims an account or damages.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 10.

Obstruction of lights.

1. The plaintiff is the owner [or, lessee] and occupier of a house, 700, Regent Street, in which are the following ancient lights:—

(1.) The kitchen window in the basement on the south side.

(2.) The two back dining room windows on the ground floor on the south side.

(3.) The landing window and back drawing room window on the south side.

2. The defendant is erecting a building which will, if not stopped, materially diminish the light coming through the said windows. The plaintiff claims an injunction to restrain the defendant, his contractors,

servants, and workmen, from continuing the erection of the building, so as to obstruct or diminish the access of light to the said windows or any of them.

The plaintiff will also, if necessary, claim to have the said building pulled down,

or damages for the injury he will sustain if the same is completed and not pulled down.

> (Signed) Delivered

No. 11.

App. C. Sect. VI.

The plaintiff has suffered damage from offensive and pestilential smells and Nuisance by vapours caused by the defendant in the plaintiff's dwelling-house, No. 15, James smells, Street, Durham.

The plaintiff claims:—
(1.) £50.

(2.) An injunction to restrain the defendant from the continuance or repetition of the said injury or the committal of any injury of a like kind in respect of the same property.

Place of trial, Yorkshire, West Riding.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 12.

1. The plaintiff is the owner (or lessee) and occupier of a farm known as

, Nuisance by pollution of water.

through which there runs a river known as

2. The defendant or persons in his employ pollute the water in the said river by passing into the same the refuse of the defendant's dye works, situate higher up the said river.

The plaintiff claims an injunction to restrain the defendant, his servants and agents, from sending from the said dye works into the said river any matter so as to pollute the waters thereof, or to render them unwholesome or unfit for use, to the injury of the plaintiff (or, as the case may be).

The plaintiff will also claim damages in respect of the said nuisance.

Place of trial.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 13.

1. On 31st January, 1883, the defendant issued a prospectus to the public relating to the A. B. Company, Limited.

2. On Feb. 1st, 1883, the plaintiff received a copy of this prospectus. 3. The plaintiff subscribed for 100 shares in the company on the faith of this prospectus.

The prospectus contained misrepresentations, of which the following are par-ticulars:—

(a.) The prospectus stated "... whereas in fact (b.) The prospectus stated "... whereas in fact (c.) The prospectus stated "... whereas in fact

5. The defendant knew of the real facts as to the above particulars.

6. The following facts, which were within the knowledge of the defendants, are material, and were not stated in the prospectus:

(a.) (b.)

7. The plaintiff has paid calls to the company to the extent of 1,000l. The plaintiff claims:

1. Repayment of 1,000l. and interest.

2. Indemnity.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 14.

The plaintiff has suffered damage from the defendant inducing the plaintiff to buy Fraudulent the goodwill and lease of the George public-house, Stepney, by fraudulently representing to the plaintiff that the takings of the said public-house were 40% a week, whereas in fact they were much less, to the defendant's knowledge.

Particulars of special damage :-

Fill them in.)

The plaintiff claims £

(Signed) Delivered

[The Appendix contains other Forms besides those here given.]

App. C. Sect. VII.

SECTION VII.

Actions for Recovery of Land, &c.

No. 1.

has expired, &c.

Landlord
against tenant
The plaintiff is entitled to the possession of a farm and premises called Church
Farm, in the parish of St. James, in the county of Surrey, which was let by the
whose term
plaintiff to the defendant for the term of three years from the 29th of September, 1879, which term has expired [or as tenant from year to year from the 29th September, 1875, which said tenancy was duly determined by notice to quit expiring on the 29th of September, 1881.]

The plaintiff claims possession and 50l. for mesne profits.

Place of trial, Surrey.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 2.

Heir-at-law against stranger.

1. The plaintiff is entitled to the possession of Blackacre in the parish of [or, of No. 2, Bridge Street, Bristol], in the county of

2. On and before the 188, A. B. was seised in fee and in possession of

of the premises.
3. On the 188 the said A. B. died so seised, whereupon-

 The estate descended to the plaintiff, his eldest son and heir-at-law.
 After the death of the said A. B. the defendant wrongfully took possession of the premises.

The plaintiff claims:— Possession of the premises.
 Mesne profits from the

Place of trial,

(Signed) Delivered

APPENDIX D.

FORMS OF DEFENCE TO BE USED PURSUANT TO ORDER XIX., RULE 5.

SECTION I.

General Form.

18 . No.

In the High Court of Justice, Division.

Between

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

Defence.

The defendant says that :--

(To be filled up in the manner exemplified in the following forms.)

(Signed) Delivered

App. D.

Sect. I.

To actions for

administra-

tion.

Counter-claim.

The defendant says that:—

 $\left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1. \\ 2. \end{array}
ight\}$ (To be filled up in the manner exemplified in the following forms.)

The defendant counter-claims.

(Signed) Delivered

Defence and Counter-claim.

Defence.

The defendant says:-

 ${1 \choose 2}$ (To be filled up.)

Counter-claim.

The defendant repeats paragraph 2 of his defence, and says that:-

 $\left\{\begin{array}{c}3.\\4.\end{array}\right\}$ (To be filled up.)

The defendant counter-claims.

(Signed) Delivered

SECTION II.

To Actions specially assigned to the Chancery Division by Section 34 of the Principal Act. Appendix C., Sect. II.

1. The defendants do not admit the plaintiff's claim.

[or] The defendant A. B. admits the plaintiff's claim, but not assets.

The defendant C. D. admits assets, but not the plaintiff's claim.

The claim is barred by the Statute of Limitations.

[State which.]

3. Payment was made by deceased.

4. The claim is fraudulent in the following particulars:

[Set out particulars.] 5. The defendant is entitled to a set-off, of which the following are the particulars :-

6. The claim was released by deed dated the of

7. Notice was given and assets distributed under Statute 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 29.

Particulars of the Notice.

Advertisements in the Times of January 1, 1880.

New York Herald, February, 1881. Bombay Gazette of January 25, 1881.

giving the titles of the newspapers and the dates of those in which the advertisement appeared.

8. The personal estate of the testator is sufficient to pay the plaintiff his debt if established.

9. The defendant is not heir-at-law or devisee of the deceased.

(Signed) Delivered

No. 1.

 The defendant did not execute the mortgage.
 The mortgage was not assigned to the plaintiff (if more than one assignment is To actions for alleged say which is denied).

3. The debt is barred by the Statute of Limitations.

4. Payments have been made, viz.:-

10 July, 1874, 1,000%.

18 October, 1875, 500l.

foreclosure by mortgagee.

and has received the rents App. D. 5. The plaintiff took possession on the of ever since. 6. The plaintiff released the debt by deed, dated 1 June, 1882. 7. The defendant conveyed all his interest to A. B. by deed, dated 25 November, 1880. The defendant claims:— Account.
 Re-conveyance. (Signed) Delivered No. 2. To same by alleged second 2. incumbrancer 3. (As in preceding Form.) who claims priority. 6. By a deed dated 1st June, 1880, the mortgager A. B. mortgaged the property in question to the defendant to secure 5,000%, and interest at 5 per cent. per annum. The defendant claims- A declaration of priority and foreclosure (and a receiver). Delivered [If the plaintiff claims payment of the mortgage debt, the defendant must, if he disputes his liability, show the grounds on which he does so as in other cases of debt; or he can claim indemnity against the owner of the equity of redemption under Order XVI., Rule 48. To actions for 1. The plaintiff's right to redeem is barred by the Statute of Limitations.—[State redemption. which.]
2. The plaintiff assigned all interest in the property to A. B. 3. The defendant by deed, dated the assigned all his interest day of in the mortgage debt and property comprised in the mortgage to A. B. 4. The defendant never took possession of the mortgaged property, or received the rents thereof. [If the defendant admits possession for a time only, he should state the time, and deny possession beyond what he admits.] (Signed) Delivered To actions for The defendant did not enter into the agreement. 2. A. B. was not the agent of the defendant (if alleged by plaintiff).

3. The plaintiff has not performed the following conditions.—(Conditions.)

4. The defendants did not.—[Alleged acts of part performance.]

5. The plaintiff's title to the property agreed to be sold is not such as the defendant is bound to accept by reason of the following matters:—[State why.] specific performance. 6. The Statute of Frauds has not been complied with.
7. The agreement is uncertain in the following respects.—[State them.] 9. [or] The defendant has been guilty of delay;
9. [or] The defendant has been guilty of fraud [or misrepresentation];
10. [or] The agreement is unfair;
11. [or] The agreement was entered into by mistake. The following are particulars of (8), (9), (10), (11), [or as the case may be].

12. The agreement was rescinded under Conditions of Sale, No. 11, (or, by mutual agreement). (Signed) Delivered

[In cases where damages are claimed and the defendant disputes his liability to damages, he must deny the agreement or the alleged breaches, or show whatever other ground of defence he intends to rely on, e.g., Statute of Limitations, accord

and satisfaction, release, fraud, &c.]

SECTION IV.

App. D. Sect. IV.

To Actions included in Order III., Rule 6, Classes A., B., C., D., E., and F.

The defendant did not accept the bill.

2. The defendant did not make the note.

The defendant did not draw the check.
 The defendant did not indorse to A. B.

5. The defendant (or A. B.) did not indorse to the plaintiff.

6. The bill was not presented for payment.

The defendant had not due notice of dishonour.
 The plaintiff was not the holder at the commencement of the action.

9. The bill was accepted (or, the note was made) for the accommodation of the defendant without consideration.

10. The bill was accepted for the accommodation of the drawer and indorsed to

the plaintiff without consideration.

11. The bill was accepted and delivered to the drawer without consideration for the purpose of his getting it discounted for the defendant, and the drawer, in fraud of the defendant, and contrary to the said purpose indorsed the bill to the plaintiff without consideration (or, with notice of the said fraud, or, overdue).

12. The defendant was induced to accept by the fraud of the drawer, who indorsed to the plaintiff without consideration (or, with notice of the fraud, or,

overdue).

Particulars of the fraud are as follows:-The drawer on or about the 15th of May, 1882, falsely and fraudulently stated to the defendant that he had shipped 20 tons of pig iron for the defendant on board the "Ajax," which he had not

13. The defendant accepted the bill (or, made the note) for and on account of the price of 50 tons of coal to be delivered by the plaintiff to the defendant by the 1st

of May, 1882, and the plaintiff failed to deliver the goods.

14. The bill (or, note, or, check) was rendered void after issue by a material alteration, viz., by the alteration of the date from the 21st of January to the 2nd of January.

> (Signed) Delivered

General Defences.

1. On 5th April, 1882, a brown horse was delivered by the defendant to and Accord and satisfaction.

accepted by the plaintiff in discharge of the alleged cause of action; (or, on 5th April, 1882, an agreement between the plaintiff and the defendant whereby it was agreed between the plaintiff and the defendant that the defendant should deliver the cargo of the "Mary" at the Surrey Commercial Docks instead of at Hull as per charter-party of 1st March, 1882, was accepted in discharge of the alleged cause of action).

 The defendant became bankrupt.
 The plaintiff became bankrupt before action, and the cause of action vested in &c. the trustees of his property.

4. The defendant was discharged under a liquidation by arrangement pursuant

to the 125th section of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.
5. The defendant compounded with his creditors under the 126th section of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, and duly paid to the plaintiff the composition on the day appointed.

6. The defendant was covert at the time of making the alleged contract (or, Coverture,

contracting the alleged debt).

7. The defendant was an infant at the time of making the alleged contract (or, Infancy.

contracting the alleged debt).

8. The defendant as to the whole action (or, as to of £, parcel of the money Payment into claimed, or, as to the plaintiff's claim on the guarantee of the of 18, Court. or, as the case may be), has paid into court £, and says that sum is enough to satisfy the plaintiff's claim, (or, the plaintiff's claim herein pleaded to).

9. The causes of action were released by deed dated the 1st of May, 1882, between Release.

the plaintiff of the first part and the defendant of the second part.

10. The contract was rescinded (or, the defendant was exonerated by the plaintiff) Rescission before breach. Particulars are as follows:—An arrangement between the plaintiff before breach, and the defendant, made verbally on the 15th of April, 1882 (or, by letter from the defendant to the plaintiff, and answer of the plaintiff dated the 14th and 15th of April, 1882).

To actions on bills of exchange, promissory notes or checks.

Bankruptcy,

App. D. Sect. IV.

11. The debt was barred by the Statute of Limitations [state which].

Statute of Limitations. Statute of

Frauds.

12. (17th) section of the Statute of Frauds has not been complied with. (Signed) Delivered

[Other forms are given in the Appendix.]

SECTION V.

To Actions for Damages for Breach of Contract or Duty. Appendix C.,

Denials.

1. The defendant did not contract (or, promise, or, agree) as alleged.

2. The defendant did not receive the goods for the alleged purpose (or, on the

alleged terms).

3. The defendant did not receive the plaintiff as a passenger to be carried as alleged.

Other forms are given in the Appendix.]

SECTION VI.

To Actions claiming Injunctions, Damages, or Declarations of Right, founded upon Wrongs. Appendix C., Sect. VI.

To all actions for wrongs.

1. Denial of the several acts (or, matters) complained of.

(Signed) Delivered

To actions for infringement of a patent.

The defendant did not infringe the patent.

2. The invention was not new.

3. The plaintiff was not the first or true inventor.

The invention was not useful.

5. [Denial of any other matter of fact affecting the validity of the patent.]
6. The patent was not assigned to the plaintiff.

(Signed) Delivered

Copyright.

(1.) The plaintiff is not the author [assignee, &c. as the case may be].

(2.) The book was not registered.

(3.) The defendant did not infringe.

(Signed) Delivered

Trade mark.

The trade mark is not the plaintiff's.
 The alleged trade mark is not a trade mark.

(3.) The defendant did not infringe.

(Signed) Delivered

Light.

The plaintiff's lights are not ancient for deny his other alleged prescriptive

rights].2. The plaintiff's lights will not be materially interfered with by the defendant's buildings.

3. The defendant denies that he or his servants pollute the water [or, do what is complained of].

App. D. Sect. VI.

 $[\emph{\emph{If}}$ the defendant claims the right by prescription or otherwise to do what is complained of, he must say so, and must state the grounds of his claim, Nuisance.
i. e. whether by prescription, grant, or what.]
4. The plaintiff has been guilty of laches, of which the following are par-

tioulars :-

1870. Plaintiff's mill began to work. 1871. Plaintiff came into possession.

1883. First complaint.

5. As to the plaintiff's claim for damages, the defendant will rely on the above grounds of defence, and says that the acts complained of have not produced any damage to the plaintiff. [If other grounds are relied on, they must be stated, e. g. the Statute of Limitations as to past damage].

> (Signed) Delivered

[Other forms are given in the Appendix.]

SECTION VII.

To Actions for Recovery of Land. Appendix C., Sect. VII.

1. The defendant is in possession of the premises by himself or his tenant.

2. The defendant had no notice to quit.

(Signed) Delivered

SECTION VIII.

Counterclaims.

The defendant lent 500l. to the plaintiff on 1st May, 1882.

The defendant counterclaims 500l.

the sale and delivery by the plaintiff to the defendant of 5,000 tons of Merthyr steam coal at 18s. 6d. per ton F.O.B. at Cardiff by equal monthly deliveries over the first five months of 1882.

2. The April and May instalments were not delivered.

Particulare of the damages:-

8. d. £

Difference between market price in April and May, and the 250 contract price, 2s. 6d. per ton on 2,000 tons The defendant counterclaims 2501.

(Signed) Delivered App. E. Sect. I.

APPENDIX E.

FORMS OF REPLY, &c., TO BE USED PURSUANT TO ORDER XIX., RULE 5.

SECTION I.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

General form. In the High Court of Justice, Division. Between Plaintiff,

Defendant.

Reply.

The plaintiff as to the defence says that-

1. 2.

The plaintiff as to the counter-claim says that-

1. 2.

(Signed)
Delivered

Reply.

To actions on a guarantee to which defence raised of time given to the principal and counterclaim for nondelivery of

goods.

The plaintiff as to the defence says that-

He joins issue.
 The agreement giving time to the principal expressly reserved remedies against the surety.

The plaintiff as to the counter-claim says that—

 The defendant was not ready and willing to accept and pay for the goods. (Signed)

Delivered

SECTION II.

Example of a Statement of Claim, Defence, and Reply.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division. Between A. B., Plaintiff, and

C. D., Defendant.

Statement of Claim.

The plaintiff's claim is for work done and materials provided by the plaintiff for the defendant at his request.

The plaintiff also seeks to recover interest on the above balance from the 31st May, 1882, till payment or judgment.
Place of trial, Lancashire, Northern Division.

(Signed)
Delivered the 1st of January, 1883.

[Heading as in General Form.] Defence and Counter-claim.

App. E. Sect. II.

Defence.

The defendant says that—

1. Except as to 2001., parcel of the money claimed, the architect did not grant his certificate pursuant to the contract.

2. As to 2001., parcel of the money claimed, the defendant brings (or has brought) into Court 2001., and says that sum is enough to satisfy the plaintiff's claim herein pleaded to.

Counter-claim.

The defendant says that—

1. The contract contained a clause whereby it was provided that the plaintiff should complete the works by the 31st of March, 1882, or in default pay to the defendant 11. a day for every subsequent day during which the works should remain unfinished, and they so remained unfinished for 61 days to the 31st of May.

The defendant counter-claims 611.

(Signed) Delivered the 22nd of January, 1883.

[Heading as in General Form.]

Reply.

The plaintiff says that-

 As to the first paragraph of the defence, he joins issue.
 As to the second paragraph thereof, the plaintiff accepts the £ in

The plaintiff as to the counterclaim says that-

3. The liquidated damages were waived by ordering extras and material alterations in the works.

4. The defendant waived the liquidated damages by preventing the plaintiff from having access to the premises till a week after the agreed time.

Signed) Delivered the 5th of February, 1883.

SECTION III.

Defence including an objection in Point of Law.

No. 1.

[Heading.]

Defence.

The defendant says that—

1. The goods were not supplied to E. F. on the guarantee.
2. The defendant will object that the guarantee discloses a past consideration on for the price the face of it.

To action on of goods.

(Signed) Delivered

[Other forms are given in the Appendix.]

App. F.

APPENDIX F.

FORMS OF JUDGMENT.

No. 1.

Default of Appearance and Defence in Case of Liquidated Demand.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice,

Between A. B. Plaintiff, and

Division.

C. D. and E. F. Defendants,

30th November, 18

The defendants [or the defendant C. D.] not having appeared to the writ of summons herein [or not having delivered any defence], it is this day adjudged that , and costs, to be taxed. the plaintiff recover against the said defendant £

No. 2.

Interlocutory Judgment in Default of Appearance or Defence where Demand unliquidated.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

day of

No appearance having been entered to the writ of summons or no defence having been delivered by the defendant herein.

It is this day adjudged that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the value of the goods [or damages or both, as the case may be] to be assessed.

No. 3.

Judgment in Default of Appearance in Action for Recovery of Land.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

30th November, 18

No appearance having been entered to the writ of summons herein, it is this day adjudged that the plaintiff recover possession of the land in the indorsement on the writ described as

No. 4.

Judgment in Default of Appearance and Defence after Assessment of Damages.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

30th November, 18

The defendants not having appeared to the writ of summons herein [or not having delivered any defence], and a writ of inquiry, dated 1876, having been issued directed to the sheriff of to assess the damages which the plaintiff was entitled to recover, and the said sheriff having by his return dated the that the said damages have been assessed, at \pounds , it is adj 18 , it is adjudged that the plainand costs to be taxed. tiff recover £

No. 5.

App. F.

Judgment after Appearance and Order under Order XIV., Rule 1.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

day of The defendant having appeared to the writ of summons herein, and the plaintiff having by the order of , dated the day of 18, obtained leave to sign judgment under the Rules of the Supreme Court, Order XIV., Rule 1, for [recite order].

It is this day adjudged that the plaintiff recover against the defendant £ possession of the land in the indorsement on the writ described as

to be taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ , as appears by a (taxing officer's) certificate dated the day of

No. 6.

Judgment at Trial by Judge without a Jury.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

[If in Chancery Division, name of judge.]

This action coming on for trial [the day of and] this day, before in the presence of counsel for the plaintiff and the defendants [or, if some of the defendants do not appear, for the plaintiff and the defendant C. D., no one appearing for the defendants E. F. and G. H., although they were duly served with notice of trial as by the affidavit of filed the day of appears,] upon bearing the probate of the will of the answers of the defendants C. D., E. F., and G. H., to interrogatories, the admission in writing, dated and signed by [Mr. the solicitor for] the plaintiff A. B. and by [Mr. the solicitor for] the defendant C. D., the affidavit of filed the day of the affidavit of filed the day of , the evidence of taken on their oral examination at the trial, and an exhibit marked X., being an indenture dated, &c. and made between [parties], and what was alleged by counsel on both sides: This Court doth declare, &c.

And this Court doth order and adjudge, &c.

No. 7.

Judgment after Trial with a Jury.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

15th November, 18 .

The action having on the 12th and 13th November, 18, been tried before the concurable Mr. Justice with a special jury of the county of and the Honourable Mr. Justice jury having found [state findings as in officer's certificate], and the said Mr. Justice
having ordered that judgment be entered for the plaintiff for £ and
costs [or as the case may be]: Therefore it is adjudged that the plaintiff recover
against the defendant £ and £ for his costs [or that the plaintiff recover nothing against the defendant, and that the defendant recover against the plaintiff for his costs of defence, or as the case may be].

No. 8.

Judgment after Trial before Referee.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

30th November, 18 The action having on the 27th November, 18, been tried before X. Y., Esq., an official [or special] referee, and the said X. Y. having found? [or having ordered that judgment be entered] [state substance of referee's certificate], it is this day adjudged that—

App. F.

No. 9.

Judgment after Trial of Questions of Account by Referee.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

18 day of

The questions of account in this action having been referred to and he It is this day adjudged that the recover except to be taxed. the sum of £ and

recover against the said and

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ as appears by a (taxing officer's) certificate dated the day of

No. 10.

Judgment upon Motion for Judgment.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

30th November, 18

Mr. X. of counsel for the plaintiff [or as the ease may be], This day before [state judgment moved for], and the said Mr. X. and Mr. Y. of counsel for the Court moved on behalf of the said having heen heard of counsel for adjudged

No. 11.

Judgment after Trial by Court without Jury.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

This action having on the day of 18 been tried before said on the day of 18 having ordered that judgment be entered for the for £

It is this day adjudged that the recover from the and costs to be taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ officer's) certificate dated the 18 day of day of 18 Judgment entered the

as appears by a (taxing

and

No. 12.

Judgment in pursuance of Order.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

dated 18 whereby it was ordered Pursuant to the order of default having been made

It is this day adjudged that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant £ and costs to be taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ , as appears by a (taxing officer's) certificate dated the day of 18 .

No. 13.

Judgment on Certificate of Registrar of County Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

day of 18

This action having been ordered under section 26 of the County Court Act, 1856 (19 & 20 Vict. o. 108), to be tried in the County Court of and the registrar of

that Court having certified that the result was
It is this day adjudged that recover a recover against and costs to be

taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ , as appears by a (taxing officer's) certificate dated the day of

No. 14.

Judgment for Defendant's Costs on Discontinuance.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

The plaintiff having by a notice in writing dated the day of wholly discontinued this action or withdrawn his claim in this action for or withdrawn so much of his claim in this action as relates to [or as the case may be].

It is this day adjudged that the defendant recover against the plaintiff costs to be

taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ as appears by a (taxing officer's) certificate dated the day of 18 .

No. 15.

Judgment for Plaintiff's Costs after Confession of Defence.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

day of 18

The defendant in his defence herein having alleged a ground of defence which arose after the commencement of this action, and the plaintiff having on the 18 delivered a confession of that defence,

It is this day adjudged that the plaintiff recover against the defendant costs to

be taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ as appears by a (taxing day of officer's) certificate dated the 18 .

No. 16.

Judgment for Costs after Acceptance of Money paid into Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

day of 18

The defendant having paid into Court in this action the sum of \pounds in satisfaction of the plaintiff's claim, and the plaintiff having by his notice dated the day of 18, accepted that sum in satisfaction of his entire cause of action, and the plaintiff's costs herein having been taxed, and the defendant not having paid the same within forty-eight hours after the said taxation;

It is this day adjudged that the plaintiff recover against the defendant costs to

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ , as appears by a (taxing officer's) certificate dated the day of 18 .

App. F.

App. F.

No. 17.

Judgment where no Judgment entered at Trial by Jury.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Theday of 18

This action having on the 18 been tried before and a jury of the of , and the jury having found and the thought fit to order any judgment to be entered . Now the Court for judgment on behalf of the , the Court having and the not having . Now on motion before

It is this day adjudged that the recover against the the sum of £ and costs to be taxed.

The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ , as appears by a master's certificate dated the day of 18 Judgment entered the day of

No. 18.

Judgment on Motion after Trial of Issue.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

day of 18

The issues or questions of fact arising in this action [or cause or matter] by the order dated the ordered to be tried before having on the day of been tried before and the having found day of Now on motion before the Court for judgment on behalf of the , the Court having

It is this day adjudged that the recover against the the sum of , and costs to be taxed.

, as appears by a master's The above costs have been taxed and allowed at £ certificate dated the day of 18 of . Judgment entered the day

APPENDIX G.

PART I.

FORMS OF PRÆCIPE.

No. 1.

Of Fieri Facias.

T18

In the High Court of Justice, Division.

Here put the letter and number.] Between A. B. Plaintiff, and

C. D. and others, Defendants. per centum per

Seal a writ of fieri facias directed to the sheriff of to levy against C. D. the sum of £ and interest thereon at the rate of £ per centum per judgment [or order] annum from the day of fand £ costs] to day of dated Taxing officers' certificate, dated day of

i ...] X. Y., solicitor for [party on whose behalf writ is to issue].

No. 2.

App. G. Pt. I.

Of Elegit.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of elegit directed to the sheriff of against of in the county of for not paying to A. B. the sum of £ together with interest thereon, from the day of [and the sum of £ for costs,] with interest thereon at the rate of 4*l*. per centum per annum.

thereon at the rate of 4l. per centum per annum.

Judgment [or order] dated day of 18

[Taxing officer's certificate, dated day of

18 X. Y., Solicitor for

No. 3.

Of Venditioni Exponas.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of venditioni exponas directed to the sheriff of to sell the goods and of C. D. taken under a writ of fieri facias in this action tested day of . $\begin{array}{c} X.\ Y., \\ Solicitor\ for \end{array}$

No. 4.

Of Fieri Facias de Bonis Ecclesiasticis.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis directed to the Bishop [or Archbishop, as the case may be] of to levy against C. D. the sum of l.

Judgment [or order] dated
[Taxing officer's certificate dated

day of day of

X. Y., Solicitor for

No. 5.

Of Sequestrari Facias de Bonis Esclesiasticis.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of sequestrari facias directed to the Bishop of against C. D. for not paying to A B. the sum of l.

No. 6.

Of Writ of Sequestration.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of sequestration against C. D. for not at the suit of A. B. directed to [names of Commissioners].

Order dated day of

RR

M.

No. 7.

Of Writ of Possession.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of possession directed to the sheriff of A. B. of

to deliver possession to

Judgment dated

day of

No. 8.

Of Writ of Delivery.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of delivery directed to the sheriff of of

to make delivery to A. B.

No. 10.

Of Writ of Attachment.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal in pursuance of order dated day of an attachment directed to the against C. D. for not delivering to A. B. sheriff of

No. 11.

Of Distringas against Ex-Sheriff.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of distringas nuper vicecomitem quod venditioni exponat, directed to the sheriff of , to sell the goods and fieri facias in this action tested the day of of taken under a writ of 18 day of

Dated the day of (Signed)

(Address) Solicitor for the

No. 12.

Of Inquiry.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal a writ of inquiry directed to the sheriff of to assess the damages in this action.

Judgment dated

Dated the day of 18 (Signed)

Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 13.

Of Certiorari.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal in pursuance of order dated Dated the day of

a writ of certiorari directed to

(Signed)(Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 14.

Of Prohibition.

18 . [Here put letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice, &c.

Division.

In the matter of a certain now depending in the Court.

Between

and

Plaintiff, Defendant.

Seal a writ of prohibition directed to the judge of the above-named Court and to the above-named plaintiff to prohibit them from further proceeding in the said 18 18

Dated the day of

(Signed) (Addres)

Solicitor for the

No. 15.

Of Mandamus.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

18

Seal in pursuance of order dated a writ of mandamus directed to commanding returnable

Dated the day of

(Signed) (Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 16.

Of Habeas Corpus ad Testificandum.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal in pursuance of order dated a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum before directed to the to bring 18

Dated the

(Signed) (Address)

Solicitor for the

day of

R R 2

No. 17.

Of Commission to examine Witnesses.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal in pursuance of order dated a writ in the nature of a mandamus or commission to examine witnesses directed to Dated the day of 18 (Signed)

Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 18.

Of Commission of Partition.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal in pursuance of order dated , a commission of partition directed to returnable Dated the day of 18

(Signed) (Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 19.

Of Amended Summons.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Amend in pursuance of order [or fiat] dated the writ of summons in this action by [set out amendments when required].

Dated the day of 18.

(Signed) (Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 20.

Of Renewed Summons.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Seal in pursuance of order dated , a renewed writ of summons in this action indorsed as follows

Dated the (Signed) day of

 $(\mathbf{Address})$

Solicitor for the

No. 21.

Of Subpana,

[Heading as in Form 1.]

on behalf of the Seal writ of subpæna directed to returnable

Dated the day of 18 (Signed) Address)

Solicitor for the

No. 22.
Entry of Action for Trial.
[Heading as in Form 1.7
Enter this action for trial. Dated the day of 18 . (Signed)
$({ m Address})$
No. 23.
. Entry of Appeal.
[Heading as in Form 1.]
Enter this appeal from the order [or judgment] of in this action, dated the day of , 18 .
Dated the day of 18 . (Signed) (Address)
No. 24.
Entry for Argument generally.
[Heading as in Form 1.]
Set down for argument the
Dated the day of 18 .
(Signed) (Address)
No. 25.
Entry of Special Case.
- · · · -
[Heading as in Form 1.] Set down the dated the day of 18, of Mr. the
referee in this for hearing as a special case.
Dated the day of 18 (Signed)
$(\mathbf{Address})$
No. 26.
Memorandum of Scrvice of Notice of Judgment.
[Heading as in Form 1.] Enter memorandum of service of notice of judgment made in this action, and
dated the day of 18, on the under-mentioned persons, viz.:—
Name of Party served. Date of Service.
D. (.) (1)
Dated the day of 18 . (Signed) (Address)

No. 27.

Search.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Search for .
Dated the day of 18
(Signed)
(Address)
Agent for
Solicitor for

No. 28.

Memorandum on Notice of Judgment.

Take notice that from the time of the service of this notice you [or as the case may be, the infant or person of unsound mind] will be bound by the proceedings in the above cause in the same manner as if you [or the said infant or person of unsound mind] had been originally made a party and that you [or the said infant or person of unsound mind] may, on entering an appearance at the central office, attend the proceedings under the within-mentioned judgment [or order] and that you [or the said infant or person of unsound mind] may within one month after the service of this notice apply to the Court to add to the judgment [or order].

APPENDIX H.

FORMS OF WRITS.

No. 1.

Writ of Fieri Facias.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.] 18 . B. No. Between A. B. Plaintiff,

and

In the High Court of Justice, Division.

C. D. Defendant. of Great Britain and Ireland Queen,

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. Defender of the Faith,

Defender of the Falli,

To the sheriff of greeting.

We command you that of the goods and chattels of C. D. in your bailiwick you cause to be made the sum of £ and also interest thereon at the rate of £ per centum per annum from the day of *which said sum of money and interest were lately before us in our High Court of Justice in a certain action [or certain actions, as the case may be] wherein A. B. is plaintiff and C. D. defendant [or in a certain matter there depending intituled "In the matter of E. F." as the case may be] by a judgment [or order, as the case may be] of our said Court, bearing

date the day of adjudged [or ordered, as the case may be] to be paid by the said C. D. to A. B., together with certain costs in the said judgment [or order, as the case may be] mentioned, and which costs have been taxed and allowed by one of the taxing officers of our said Court at the sum of \(\cdot \). as appears by the certificate of the said taxing officer, dated the day of \(\cdot \). And that of the goods and chattels of the said C. D. in your bailiwick you further cause to be made the said sum of \(\xi \) [costs] together with interest thereon at the rate of \(\xi 4 \) per centum per annum from the \(\text{day} \) day of \(\text{,** and that you have that money and that you have that money and the said sum of \(\xi \).

^{*} Day of the judgment or order, or day on which money directed to be paid, or day from which interest is directed by the order to run, as the case may be.

interest before us in our said Court immediately after the execution hereof to be paid to the said A. B. in pursuance of the said judgment [or order, as the case may be]. And in what manner you shall have executed this our writ make appear to us in our said Court immediately after the execution thereof. And have there then this writ.

App. H.

Witness, &c.

No. 2.

Fieri Facias on Order for Costs.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to the sheriff of greeting: We command you, that of the goods and chattels of in your bailiwick you cause to be made the sum of for certain costs which by an order of our High d the day of 18 were ordered to be paid by the and which have been taxed and allowed at the said sum, and Court of Justice dated the interest on the said sum at the rate of 41. per centum per annum from the of 18, and that you have the said sum and interest before us in our said Court, immediately after the execution hereof, to be rendered to the said . And in . And in what manner, &c. And have there then this writ.

Witness, &c. Levy £ and £ for costs of execution, &c., and also interest on £ at 41. per centum per annum from the day of 18, until payment; besides sheriff's poundage, officers' fees, costs of levying, and all other legal incidental

This writ was issued by, &c., of agent for of solicitor for the The is a and resides at in your bailiwick.

No. 3.

Writ of Elegit.*

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c.

To the sheriff of greetin

To the sheriff of greeting.

Whereas lately in our High Court of Justice in a certain action [or certain actions, as the case may be] there depending, wherein A. B. is plaintiff and C. D. defendant Act, 1883, [or in a certain matter there depending, intituled "In the matter of E. F." as the s. 146. case may be] by a judgment [or order, as the case may be] of our said Court made in the said action [or matter, as the case may be], and bearing date the day of , it was adjudged [or ordered, as the case may be] that C. D. should pay unto I., together with interest thereon after the rate of A. B. the sum of per centum per annum from the day of , together also with certain costs as in the said judgment [or order, as the case may be] mentioned, and which costs have been taxed and allowed by one of the taxing officers of our said Court, at the sum of l. as appears by the certificate of the said taxing officer, dated the day of . And afterwards the said A. B. came into our said Court, and according to the estatute in such case and and allowed by the said taxing officer. and according to the statute in such case made and provided, chose to be delivered to him all the goods and chattels of the said C. D. in your bailiwick, except his oxen and beasts of the plough, and also all such lands, tenements, rectories, tithes, rents, and hereditaments, including lands and hereditaments of copyhold or customary tenure, in your bailiwick as the said C. D., or any one in trust for him, was seised or possessed of on the day of in the year of our Lord to the said C. D., or any one in trust for him, was seised or possessed of on the day of in the year of our Lord to said C. D., or the said C. D., and the said C. D., or any one in trust for him, was seised or possessed of on the day of in the year of our Lord to said C. D., or the said C. D seised or possessed of on the day of in the year of our Lord † or at any time afterwards, or over which the said C. D. on the said day of or at any time afterwards had any disposing power which he might without the assent of any other person exercise for his own benefit, to hold to him the said goods and chattels as his proper goods and chattels, and to hold the said lands, tenements, rectories, tithes, rents, and hereditaments respectively, according to the nature and

* The writ of elegit no longer ex-tends to goods; see Bankruptcy

[†] The day on which the judgment or order was made.

App. H.

tenure thereof, to him and to his assigns, until the said two several sums of l. at the rate of 1. together with interest upon the said sum of 1. per centum per annum from the said day of and on the said
1. (costs) at the rate of 41. per centum per annum from the day shall have been levied. Therefore we command you that without delay and on the said \mathbf{sum} of you cause to be delivered to the said A. B. by a reasonable price and extent all the goods and chattels of the said C. D. in your bailiwick, except his oxen and beasts of the plough, and also all such lands and tenements, rectories, tithes, rents, and hereditaments, including lands and hereditaments of copyhold or customary tenure, in your bailiwick as the said C. D., or any person or persons in trust for him was or were seised or possessed of on the said ,* or at any time after-day of ,* or at any day of wards, or over which the said C. D. on the said time afterwards had any disposing power which he might without the assent of any other person, exercise for his own benefit, to hold the said goods and chattels to the said A. B. as his proper goods and chattels, and also to hold the said lands, tenements, rectories, titles, rents, and hereditaments respectively, according to the nature and tenure thereof, to him and to his assigns until the said two several sums l. together with interest as aforesaid, shall have been levied. And in what manner you shall have executed this our writ make appear to us in our Court aforesaid, immediately after the execution thereof, under your seals, and the seals of those by whose oath you shall make the said extent and appraisement. And have there then this writ.

Witness, &c.

No. 4.

Writ of Venditioni Exponas.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c.

Whereas by our writ we lately commanded you that of the goods and chattels of C. D. [here recite the fieri facius to the end]. And on the day of you returned to us in the Division of our High Court of Justice aforesaid, that by virtue of the said writ to you directed you had taken goods and chattels of the said C. D. to the value of the money and interest aforesaid, which said goods and chattels remained in your hands unsold for want of buyers. Therefore, we being desirous that the said A. B. should be satisfied his money and interest aforesaid, command you that you expose to sale and sell, or cause to be sold, the goods and chattels of the said C. D., by you in form aforesaid taken, and every part thereof, for the best price that can be gotten for the same, and have the money arising from such sale before us in our said Court of Justice immediately after the execution hereof, to be paid to the said A. B. And have there then this writ.

Witness, &c.

No. 5.

Writ of Fieri Facias de Bonis Ecclesiasticis.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

^{*} The date of the certificate of taxation. The writ must be so moulded as follow the substance of the judgment or order.

App. H.

from the day of and have that money, together with such interest as aforesaid, before us in our said Court immediately after the execution hereof, to he rendered to the said A. B., for that our sheriff of returned to us in our said Court on [or "at a day now past"] that the said C. D. had not any goods or chattels or any lay fee in his bailiwick whereof he could cause to he made the said I and interest aforesaid or any part thereof, and that the said C. D. was a beneficed clerk (to wit) rector of rectory [or vicar of the vicarage] and parish church of , in the said sheriff's county, and within your diocese [as in the return]. And in what manner. &c.: And have you there then this wrift. And in what manner, &c.; And have you there then this writ. Witness, &c..

No. 6.

Writ of Fieri Facias to the Archbishop de bonis Ecclesiasticis during the vacancy of a Bishop's See.

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. To the Right Reverend Father in God [John] by Divine Providence Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, Primate of all England and Metropolitan, greeting: We command you, that of the ecclesiastical goods of C. D., clerk in the diocese of which is within the province of Canterbury, as ordinary of that church, the episcopal see of now being vacant, you cause to be made [&c., conclude as in the preceding form].

No. 7.

Writ of Sequestrari Facias de bonis Ecclesiasticis.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. To the Right Reverend Father in God [John] by Divine permission Lord Bishop of greeting: Whereas we lately commanded our sheriff of that he should omit not by reason of any liberty of his county, but that he should enter the same, and cause [to be made, if after the return to a fieri facias, or delivered, if after the return to an elegit, &e., and in either ease recite the former writ]. And whereupon our said sheriff of on [or "at a day past"] returned to us in the Division of our said Court of Justice, that the said C. D. was a beneficed clerk; that is to say, rector of the rectory [or vicar of the vicarage] and parish church of in the county of , and within your diocese, and that he had not any goods or chattels, or any lay fee in his balliwick [here follow the words of the sheriff's return]. Therefore, we command you, that you enter into the said rectory [or vicarage] and parish church of , and take and sequester the same into your possession, and that you hold the same in your possession until you same into your possession, and that you hold the same in your possession until you shall have levied the said ... and interest aforesaid, of the rents, tithes, rentsnan have levied the said \(\tau_i\) and interest atoresaid, or the rents, titnes, rentcharges in lieu of tithes, oblations, obventions, fruits, issues, and profits thereof, and other ecclesiastical goods in your diocese of and belonging to the said rectory [or vicarage] and parish church of and to the said C. D. as rector [or vicar] thereof to be rendered to the said A. B., and in what manner, &c.

And have you there then this writ.

Witness, &c.

No. 8.

Writ of Possession.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. To the sheriff of stately in our High Court of Justice, by a judgment of the Division of the same Court [A. B. recovered] or [E. F. was ordered to deliver to A. B.] possession of all that with the appurtenances in your bailiwick: Therefore, we command you that you omit not by reason of any liberty of your county, but that you enter the same, and without delay you cause the said A. B. to have possession of the said land and premises with the appurtenances. And in what manner, &c.

And have you there then this writ.

Witness, &c.

App. H.

No. 10.

Writ of Delivery.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. To the sheriff of greeting: We command you, that without delay you cause the following chattels, that is to say [here enumerate the chattels recovered by the judgment or order for the return of which execution has been ordered to issue], to be returned to A. B., which the said A. B. lately in our High Court of Justice recovered against C. D. [or C. D. was ordered to deliver to the said A. B.] in an action in the Division of our said Court.* And we further command you, that if the said chattels cannot be found in your bailiwick, you distrain the said C. D. by all his lands and chattels in your balliwick, so that neither the said C. D. nor any one for him do lay hands on the same until the said C. D. render to the said A. B. the said chattels.†

And in what manner, &c.

And have you there then this writ.

Witness, &c.

No. 11.

The like, but instead of a distress until the chattel is returned, commanding the Sheriff to levy on defendant's goods the assessed value of it.

[Proceed as in the preceding form until the*, and then thus:] And we further command you that if the said chattels cannot be found in your bailiwick, of the goods and chattels of the said C. D. in your bailiwick you cause to £ [the assessed value of the chattels].† And in what manner, &c.

And have you there then this writ.

Witness, &c.

[If in either of the preceding forms it is wished to include damages, costs, and interest, proceed to the † and continue thus.]

And we further command you that of the goods and chattels of the said C. D. in your bailiwick, you cause to be made the sum of £ your bailiwick, you cause to be made the sum of # [aamages]. And also interest thereon at the rate of £4 per centum per annum, from the day of which said sum of money and interest were in the said action by the judgment therein [or by order dated the day of] adjudged [or ordered] to be paid by the said C. D. to A. B. together with certain costs in the said judgment [or order] mentioned, and which costs have been taxed and allowed by one of the taxing officers of court at the sum of £ as appears by the certificate of the said taxing [damages]. And also interest our said Court at the sum of £ as appears by the certificate of the said taxing officer dated the day of . And that of the goods and chattels of the said C. D. in your bailiwick you further cause to be made the said sum of £ [costs], together with interest thereon at the rate of £4 per centum per annum from the day of and that you have that money and interest before us in our said Court immediately after the execution hereof to be paid to the said A. B. in

pursuance of the said judgment [or order]. And in what manner, &o. And have you there this writ.

Witness, &c.

No. 12.

Writ of Attachment.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. To the sheriff of greeting. We command you to attach C. D. so as to have him before us in the sion of our High Court of Justice wheresoever the said Court shall then be, there to answer to us, as well touching a contempt which he it is alleged hath committed against us, as also such other matters as shall be then and there laid to his charge, and further to perform and abide such order as our said Court shall make in this behalf, and hereof fail not, and bring this writ with you.

Witness, &c.

No. 13.

Writ of Sequestration.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Viotoria, by the grace of God, &o. To [names of not less than four Commissioners] greeting.

Whereas lately in the Division of our High Court of Justice in a certain action there depending, wherein A. B. is plaintiff and C. D. and others are defendants [or, in a certain matter then depending, intituled "In the matter of E. F.," as the case may be] by a judgment [or order, as the case may be] of our said Court made in the said action [or matter], and bearing date the day of , 187, it was ordered that the said C. D. should [pay into Court to the credit of the said action the sum of l., or, as the case may be]. Know ye, therefore, that we, in confidence of your prudence and fidelity, have given, and by these presents do give to you, or any three or two of you, full power and authority to enter upon all the messuages, lands, tenements, and real estate whatsoever of the said C. D., and to collect receive and sequester into your hands not only all the receive and profits of collect, receive, and sequester into your hands not only all the rents and profits of his said messuages, lands, tenements, and real estate, but also all his goods, chattels, and personal estates whatsoever; and therefore we command you, any three or two of you, that you do at certain proper and convenient days and hours, go to and enter upon all the messuages, lands, tenements, and real estates of the said C. D., and that you do collect, take, and get into your hands not only the rents and profits of his said real estate, but also all his goods, chattels, and personal estate, and detain and keep the same under sequestration in your hands until the said C.D. shall pay into Court to the credit of the said action the sum of l., or, as the case may l., or, as the case may be, clear his contempt, and our said Court make other order to the contrary. Witness, &c.

No. 14.

Distringas against Ex-Sheriff.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to the sheriff of greeting. We command you that you distrain late sheriff of your county aforesaid by all his land and chattels in your bailiwick, so that neither he nor any one by him do lay hands on the same until you shall have another command from us in that behalf, and that you answer to us for the issues of the same, so that the said expose for sale and sell or cause to be sold for the best price that can be gotten for the same, those goods and chattels which were of in your bailiwick, to the value of l.,* the sum of l. which lately before us in our High Court of our said Court bearing date the day of the said of Justice in a certain action wherein defendant ng date the day of , was t to be or "part and of the sum of l, the amount at + "judgmentioned have been taxed and allowed, and of ment" or paid by the said to the said which the costs in the said † l. at the rate of 4l. per centum per annum from the "order." interest on the said sum of

day of , and on the said sum of ... at the same rate from the day of , which goods and chattels he lately took by virtue of our writ, and which remain in his hands for want of buyers, as the said late sheriff hath lately returned to us in our said Court. And have the money arising from such sale before us in our said Court immediately after the execution hereof, to be paid to the id , and have there then this writ. Witness, &c. said

This writ was issued by, &c.

in your bailiwick. The defendant is a and resides at

* " the , by amount of." to be or "part of."

App. J.

APPENDIX J.

FORMS OF SUBPŒNA. &c.

No. 1.

Subpæna Ad Testificandum (General Form).

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Between Plaintiff, and

Division.

Defendant.

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. to [the names of three witnesses may be inserted] greeting: We command you to attend before at on day the day of 18, at the hour of in the noon, and so from day to day noon, and so from day to day until the above cause is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the plaintiff [or defendant].

Witness, &c.

No. 2.

Habeas Corpus ad Testificandum.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. to the [keeper of our prison at]
We command you that you bring , who it is said is detained in our prison at on day the day of day the day of the shows action under your custody , before at on day the day of at the hour of in the noon, and so from day to day until the above action is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the . And that immediately after the said shall have so given his evidence you safely conduct him to the prison from which he shall have been brought. Witness, &c.

This writ was issued. &c.

No. 3.

Subpæna Duces Tecum (General Form).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. to [the names of three witnesses may be inserted] greeting: We command you to attend before at on day the day of 18, at the hour of in the noon, and so from day to day until the above cause is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the and also to bring with you and produce at the time and place aforesaid [specify documents to be produced].

Witness, &c.

No. 4.

Subpæna Ad Testificandum at Assizcs.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. to [the names of three witnesses may be inserted] greeting: We command you to attend before our justices assigned to take the assizes in and for the county of to be holden at on day the 18, at the hour of in the noon, and so from day to day dr the said assizes until the above cause is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the noon, and so from day to day during Witness, &c.

No. 5.

App. J.

Subpana Duces Tecum at Assizes.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. to [the names of three witnesses may be inserted] greeting: We command you to attend before our justices assigned to take the assizes in and for the county of to be holden at on day the day of

18, at the hour of in the noon, and so from day to day during the said assizes, until the above cause is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the, and also to bring with you and produce at the time and place aforesaid [specify documents to be produced].

Witness, &c.

No. 6.

Subpæna Ad Testificandum at Sittings of High Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to [the names of three witnesses may be inserted] greeting: We command you to attend at the sittings of the Division of our High Court of Justice, for to be holden at on day the day of 18, at the hour of in the noon, and so from day to day during the said sittings, until the above cause is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the

Witness, &c.

No. 7.

Subpæna Duces Tecum at Sittings of High Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to [the names of three witnesses may be inserted]. We command you to attend at the sittings of the Division of our High Court , to be holden at of Justice for day the day of 18 on at the hour of noon, and so from day to day until the o'clock in the above cause is tried, to give evidence on behalf of the and also to bring with you and produce at the time and place aforesaid [specify documents to be produced]. Witness, &c.

No. 8.

Writ of Inquiry for Assessment of Damages.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to the sheriff of greeting.

Whereas it has been adjudged that the plaintiff recover against the defendant

damages to be assessed.

Therefore we command you, that by the oaths of twelve good and lawful men of your bailiwick you inquire what damages the plaintiff is entitled to recover under the said judgment, and that forthwith thereafter you send the inquisition which you shall take thereupon to our said Court, under your seal, and the seals of those by whose oaths you take the inquisition, together with this writ.

Witness, &c.

This writ was issued by, &c.

The defendant is a and reside at in your bailiwick.

App. J.

No. 9.

Certiorari to County Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to the judge of the County Court holden greeting.

We, willing for certain causes to be certified of a plaint levied in our Court before you against at the suit of command you that you send to us forthwith in the Division of our High Court of Justice the said plaint with all things touching the same, as fully and entirely as the same remain in our said Court before you, by whatsoever names the parties may be called therein, together with this writ, that we may further cause to be done thereupen what of right we shall see fit to be done.

Witness, &c.

This writ was issued by, &c.

No. 10.

Certiorari (General).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to the greeting.

We, willing for certain causes to be certified of com
to us in our High Court of Justice on the day of command you that you send day of the aforesaid, with all things touching the same, as fully and entirely as they remain in together with this writ, that we may further cause to be done thereupon what of right we shall see fit to be done.

Witness, &c.

This writ was issued by. &c.

No. 11.

Prohibition.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to the [judge of the County Court holden at]

and to [name of plaintiff] of greeting.

Whereas we have been given to understand that you the said have [entered a plaint against] C. D. in the said Court, and that the said Court has no jurisdiction in the said [cause] or to hear and determine the said [plaint] by reason that [state facts showing want of jurisdiction].

We therefore hereby prohibit you from further proceeding in the said [action] in

the said Court.

Witness, &c.

This writ was issued by, &c.

No. 12.

Mandamus.

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c. to of greeting.

Whereas by [here recite Act of Parliament or Charter if the act required to be done is founded on either one or the other]. And whereas we have been given to underetand and be informed in the Queen's Bench Division of our High Court of Justice before us that [insert necessary inducement and averments]. And you the said were then and there required by [insert demand] but that you the said

well knowing the premises, but not regarding your duty in that behalf then and there wholly neglected and refused to [insert refusal] nor have you or any of you at

me since in contempt of us and to the great damage and grievance of as we have been informed from their complaint made to us. Whereupon we being willing that due and speedy justice should be done in the premises as it is reasonable, do command you the said and every of you firmly enjoining you that you [insert command] or that you show us cause to the contrary thereof, lest by your default the same complaint should be repeated to us and how you shall have executed this our writ make known to us in our said Court forthwith then returning to us this our said writ, and this you are not to omit.
Witness, John Duke, Baron Coleridge, the day

day of in the year

of our reign.

By the Court, (Signed) COOKBURN.

No. 13.

Commission to examine Witnesses.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Victoria, by the grace of God, &c., to Commisandof sioners named by and on behalf of the and to of andCommissioners named by and on behalf of the greeting: Know ye that we in confidence of your prudence and fidelity have appointed you and by these presents give you power and authority to examine on interrogatories and viva voce as hereinafter mentioned witnesses on behalf of the said and respectively at before you or any two of you, so that one Commissioner only on each side be

present and act at the examination.—And we command you as follows:

1. Both the said and the said shall be at liberty to examine on interrogatories and viva voce on the subject matter thereof or arising out of the answers thereto such witnesses as shall be produced on their behalf with liberty to the other party to cross-examine the said witnesses on cross-interrogatories and vivâ voce, the party producing any witness for examination being at liberty to re-examine him viva voce; and all such additional viva vocc questions, whether on examination, cross-examination, or re-examination, shall be reduced into writing, and with the answers thereto shall be returned with the said Commission.

2. Not less than days before the examination of any witness on behalf of either of the said parties, notice in writing, signed by any one of you, the Commissioners of the party on whose behalf the witness is to be examined, and stating the time and place of the intended examination and the names of the witnesses to be examined, shall be given to the Commissioners of the other party by delivering the notice to them, or by leaving it at their usual place of abode or business, and if the Commissioners or Commissioner of that party neglect to attend pursuant to the notice, then one of you, the Commissioners of the party on whose behalf the notice is given, shall be at liberty to proceed with and take the examination of the witness or witnesses ex parte, and adjourn any meeting or meetings, or continue the same from day to day until all the witnesses intended to be examined by virtue of the notice have been examined, without giving any further or other notice of the subsequent meeting or meetings.

3. In the event of any witness on his examination, cross-examination, or re-examination producing any book, document, letter, paper, or writing, and refusing for good cause to be stated in his deposition to part with the original thereof, then a copy thereof, or extract therefrom, certified by the Commissioners or Commissioner present and acting to be a true and correct copy or extract shall be annexed to the

witnesses' deposition.

4. Each witness to be examined under this Commission shall be examined on oath, affirmation, or otherwise in accordance with his religion by or before the

Commissioners or Commissioner present at the examination.

5. If any one or more of the witnesses do not understand the English language (the interrogatories, cross-interrogatories, and viva voce questions, if any, being previously translated into the language with which he or they is or are conversant), then the examination shall be taken in English through the medium of an interpreter or interpreters to be nominated by the Commissioners or Commissioner present at the examination, and to be previously sworn according to his or their several religions by or before the said Commissioners or Commissioner truly to interpret the questions to be put to the witness and his answers thereto.

App. J.

App. J.

6. The depositions to be taken under this Commission shall be subscribed by the witness or witnesses, and by the Commissioners or Commissioner who shall have taken the depositions.

7. The interrogatories, cross-interrogatories, and depositions, together with any documents referred to therein, or certified copies thereof or extracts therefrom, shall be sent to the senior master of the Supreme Court of Judicature on or before the day of enclosed in a cover under the seals or seal of the Commissioners

or Commissioner.

8. Before you or any of you, in any manner act in the execution hereof you shall severally take the oath hereon indorsed on the Holy Evangelists or otherwise in such other manner as is sanctioned by the form of your several religions and is considered by you respectively to be binding on your respective consciences. In the absence of any other Commissioner, a Commissioner may himself take the oath.

And we give you or any one of you authority to administer such oath to the other

or others of you. Witness, &c.

This writ was issued by, &c.

WITNESSES' OATH.

You are true answer to make to all such questions as shall be asked you, without favour or affection to either party, and therein you shall speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

So help you God.

COMMISSIONERS' OATH.

You $[or\ I]$ shall, according to the best of your $[or\ my]$ skill and knowledge, truly and faithfully, and without partiality to any or either of the parties in this cause, take the examinations and depositions of all and every witness and witnesses produced and examined by virtue of the Commission within written. So help you $[or\ me]$ God.

INTERPRETER'S OATH.

You shall truly and faithfully, and without partiality to any or either of the parties in this cause, and to the best of your ability, interpret and translate the oath or oaths, affirmation or affirmations which he shall administer to, and all and every the questions which shall be exhibited or put to, all and every witness and witnesses produced before and examined by the Commissioners named in the Commission within written, as far forth as you are directed and employed by the said Commissioners, to interpret and translate the same out of the English into the language of such witness or witnesses, and also in like manner to interpret and translate the respective depositions taken and made to such questions out of the language of such witness or witnesses into the English language. So help you God.

CLERK'S OATH.

You shall truly, faithfully, and without partiality to any or either of the parties in this cause, take, write down, transcribe, and engross all and every the questions which shall be exhibited or put to all and every witness and witnesses, and also the depositions of all and every such witness and witnesses produced before and examined by the said Commissioners named in the Commission within written, as far forth as you are directed and employed by the Commissioners to take, write down, transcribe or engross the said questions and depositions. So help you God.

Direction of Interrogatories, &c., when returned by the Commissioners.

THE SENIOR MASTER OF THE SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE, ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE, LONDON.

APPENDIX K.

No. 1.

Summons (General Form).

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.] $\mathbf{Between}$ Plaintiff.

and Defendant. Let all parties concerned attend the Judge [or Master] in chambers on o'clock in the noon, on the hearing of

the day of 18, at an application on the part of Dated the 18 . day of This summons was taken out by

 \mathbf{of}

No. 2.

Order (General Form).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

*Judge [or Master] in Chambers.

Between Upon hearing

, and upon reading the affidavit of

* Insert name of judge or filed the day master.

18 and It is ordered

and that the costs of this application he

Dated the

day of

No. 3.

Summons for Directions pursuant to Order XXX.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Let all the parties concerned attend Master [] in Chambers on day Fill in a date an application on the part of o'clock in the noon, on the hearing of not less than for directions for. four days [Here state all matters or proceedings previous to trial on which directions are required.] from service Dated the day of 18 of summons.

This summons was taken out by solicitor for To

No. 4.

Order for Directions pursuant to Order XXX.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading it is ordered as follows:-Upon hearing

1. That the plaintiff deliver to the defendant further and better particulars with dates and items of his claim, and that unless such particulars be delivered within days from the date of this order, all further proceedings be stayed until the delivery thereof.

2. That the plaintiff and defendant be at liberty to deliver to each other interrogatories in writing, and that the said parties do respectively answer the said interrogatories as prescribed by Ord. XXXI. rr. 8 and 26.

3. That the be at liberty to issue a commission for the examination of witnesses on his behalf at and that the trial of the action be stayed until the

return of the said commission, the usual long order for the said commission to be drawn up, and unless agreed upon by the parties within one week, to be settled by the master.

4. That the action be tried in the county of by a judge.
5. That either party be at liberty without further summons, to apply to the master herein for further directions, such application to be made upon two clear days' notice to be served upon the other party.

6. That the costs of this application be costs in the action. 18

Dated day of

No. 5.

Order for Time.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of Upon hearing filed the day 18 , and It is ordered that the shall have time, and that the costs of this application be

18 .

Dated the day of

No. 6.

Order under Order XIV., No. 1.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of

filed the

day

18 , and It is ordered that the plaintiff may sign final judgment in this action for the amount indorsed on the writ, with interest, if any, [or possession of the land in the indorsement of the writ described as] and costs to be taxed, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18 .

No. 7.

Order under Order XIV., No. 2.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of Upon hearing 18 , and

filed the day of

It is ordered that the defendant be at liberty to defend this action by delivering a defence within days after service of this order, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 8.

Order under Order XIV., No. 3.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of Upon hearing 18 , and

It is ordered that if the defendant pay into Court within a week from the , he be at liberty to defend this action by days after service of this order, but that if that date of this order the sum of £ delivering a defence within sum be not so paid the plaintiff be at liberty to sign final judgment for the amount indorsed on the writ of summons, with interest, if any, and costs, and that in either event the costs of this application be

Dated the day of

. No. 9.

App. K.

Order under Order XIV., No. 4.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18 , and

It is ordered that if the defendant pay into Court within a week from the date of this order the sum of £ , he he at liberty to defend this action as to the whole of the plaintiff's claim.

And it is ordered that if that sum he not so paid the plaintiff he at liberty to sign judgment for that sum and the defendant be at liberty to defend this action as to the residue of the plaintiff's claim.

And it is ordered that in either event the defence be delivered within days

after service of this order, and that the costs of this application be Dated the day of

No. 10.

Order to Amend.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing 18, and and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of

It is ordered that the plaintiff be at liberty to amend the writ of summons in this action by and that the costs of, this application be

18

Dated the day of

No. 11.

Order for Particulars (Partnership).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of Upon hearing

18 , and furnish the with a statement in writing, verified It is ordered that the by affidavit, setting forth the names of the persons constituting the members or copartners of their firm, pursuant to the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, Ord. XVI. r. 14, and that the costs of this application be Dated the day of 18.

No. 12.

Order for Particulars (General).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the Upon hearing day of

, and It is ordered that the plaintiff deliver to the defendant an account in writing of the particulars of the plaintiff's claim in this action, and that unless such particulars be delivered within days from the date of this order all further proceedings be stayed until the delivery thereof, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of

No. 14.

Order to discharge or vary on Application by Third Party.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered that the order of in this action dated the day of 18, be discharged [or varied by], and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18.

No. 15.

Order to dismiss for want of Prosecution.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered that this action be, for want of prosecution, dismissed with costs to be taxed and paid to the defendant by the plaintiff, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 16.

Order for Delivery of Interrogatories.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of , filed the day of 18, and .

It is ordered that the be at liberty to deliver to the interrogatories in writing, and that the said do answer the interrogatories as prescribed by Order XXXI. Rules 8 and 26 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, and that the costs of

this application be .
Dated the day of 18 .

No. 17.

Order for Affidavit as to Documents.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing
It is ordered that the do, within days from the date of this order answer on affidavit stating what documents are or have been in possession or power relating to the matters in question in this action, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18.

No. 18.

Order to produce Documents for Inspection.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered that the do, at all seasonable times, on reasonable notice, produce at [insert place of inspection], situate at the following documents, namely be at liberty to inspect and peruse the documents so

, and that the be at liberty to inspect and peruse the documents so produced, and to take copies and abstracts thereof and extracts therefrom, at expense, and that in the meantime all further proceedings be stayed, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 20.

App. K.

Order for Service out of Jurisdiction.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and .

It is ordered that the plaintiff be at liberty to issue a writ for service out of the jurisdiction against

And it is further ordered that the time for appearance to the said writ be within days after the service thereof, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 21.

Order for Substituted Service.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered that service of a copy of this order, and of a copy of the writ of summons in this action, by sending the same by a prepaid post letter, addressed to the defendant at shall be good and sufficient service of the writ.

Dated the \mathbf{day} of 18.

No. 22.

Order for Renewal of Writ.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of $18\,$, and

It is ordered that the writ in this action be renewed for six months from the date of its renewal, pursuant to the Rules of the Supreme Court Order VIII., Rule 1.

Dated the day of 18.

No. 23.

Order for Issue of Notice claiming Contribution.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered that the defendant be at liberty to issue a notice claiming over against , pursuant to the Rules of the Supreme Court Order XVI., Rule 48.

Dated the day of 18.

No. 24.

Order of Reference.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and by consent

It is ordered as follows:

[State matters to be referred] shall be referred to the award of
 The arbitrator shall have all the powers as to certifying and amending of a

judge of the High Court of Justice.

3. The arbitrator shall make and publish his award in writing of and concerning the matters referred, ready to be delivered to the parties in difference, or such of them as require the same (or their respective personal representatives, if either of the said parties die before the making of the award) on or before the next, or on or before such further day as the arbitrator may from time to time appoint and signify in writing signed by him and indorsed on this order.

4. The said parties shall in all things abide by and obey the award so to be

made.

5. The costs of the said cause and the costs of the reference and award shall be 6. The arbitrator may (if he think fit) examine the said parties to this cause, and

their respective witnesses, upon oath or affirmation.
7. The said parties shall produce before the arbitrator all books, deeds, papers, and writings in their or either of their custody or power relating to the matters in

8. Neither the plaintiff nor the defendant shall bring or prosecute any action against the arbitrator of or concerning the matters so to be referred.

9. If either party by affected delay or otherwise wilfully prevent the said arbitrator from making an award, he or they shall pay such costs to the other as may think reasonable and just.

10. In the event of either of the said parties disputing the validity of the said ward, or moving the to set it aside, the said shall have power to remit award, or moving the the matters hereby referred or any or either of them to the reconsideration of the arbitrator.

11. In the event of the arbitrator declining to act or dying before he has made his award, the said parties may, or if they cannot agree, the master may, on application by either side, appoint a new arbitrator.

12. Unless restrained by any order of the Court or a judge, the party or parties in whose favour the award shall be made shall be at liberty within days after service of a copy of the award on the solicitor or agent of the other party to sign final judgment in accordance with the award, and for all costs that he or they may be entitled to under this order, and under the award, together with the costs of the said judgment.

Dated the day of 18 .

No. 25.

Order for Examination of Witnesses before Arbitrator.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of Upon hearing

18 , and It is ordered that attend before the arbitrator herein on the , at days of 18 and then and there submit to be examined on oath or affirmation on behalf of the touching the matters referred to the said arbitrator.

Dated the day of 18 .

No. 26.

Order for Examination of Witnesses and Production of Documents.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of Upon hearing filed day of 18 , and It is ordered that attend before the arbitrator herein on the 18 , at , and then and there submit to be examined days

on oath or affirmation on behalf of the said arbitrator.

touching the matters referred to the

App. K.

And it is further ordered that the said do at the time and place aforesaid produce and deliver to the said arbitrator the papers, documents, and writings hereafter mentioned, that is to say [specify documents to be produced].

Dated the

day of 18

No. 27.

Order Charging Stock—Nisi.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18 , whereby it appears

It is ordered that unless sufficient cause be shown to the contrary before day the day of 18, at o'clock in the forenoon, the defendant's interest in the so standing as aforesaid shall, and that it in the meantime do, stand charged with the payment of the above-mentioned amount due on the said judgment.

Dated the

day of

18 .

No. 28.

Order Charging Stock-Absolute.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of and an order nisi made herein on the filed the day of 18 day of reciting the affidavit of whereby it appeared

It is ordered that the defendant's interest in the so standing as aforesaid stand charged with the payment of the above-mentioned amount due on the said judgment.

Dated the

day of

18 .

No. 29.

Charging Order. Solicitor's Costs.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day 18 , and

It is ordered that the said the solicitor for the in this action shall have a charge upon for his costs, charges, and expenses of and in reference to this action.

18 .

Dated the

day of

No. 30.

Order to remove Judgment from County Court.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Division. Master in Chambers.

In the matter of a plaint in the County Court of holden at wherein

plaintiff, and defendant. Upon reading the affidavit of filed the - day of and the certified copy of the judgment in the plaint above mentioned.

It is ordered that a writ of certiorari issue to remove the said judgment from the above-named County Court into the Division of the High Court of Justice.

Dated the day of 18

No. 31.

Order for Arrest (Capias) under Debtors Act.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing of 18, and

and upon reading the affidavit of

filed the

day

It is ordered that the defendant be arrested and imprisoned for the term of from the date of his arrest, including the day of such date, unless and until he shall sconer deposit in Court the sum of £, or give to the plaintiff a bond executed by him and two sufficient sureties in the penalty of £, or some other security satisfactory to the plaintiff, that

And it is further ordered that the sheriff of do within one calendar month

And it is further ordered that the sheriff of do within one calendar month from the date hereof, including the day of such date, and not afterwards, take the defendant for the purpose aforesaid, if he shall be found in the said sheriff's building the day of the shall be found in the said sheriff's

bailiwick.

Dated the

day of

18 .

No. 32.

Order of Reference under Sect. 56 of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing 18, and

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of

It is ordered that the following question arising in this action, namely, be referred for inquiry and report to under section 56 of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18.

No. 33.

Order of Reference under Sect. 57 of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of 18, and

filed the

day of

It is ordered that the [state whether all or some, and if so which, of the questions are to be tried] in this action be tried by who shall have all the powers as to certifying and amending of a judge of the High Court of Justice, and shall make his report of and concerning the matters ordered to be tried as aforesaid pursuant to the statute [or direct judgment to be entered and otherwise deal with the whole action pursuant to Order XXXVI., Rule 50].

And it is further ordered that the said referee may, if he think fit, examine the parties to this action, and their respective witnesses, upon eath or affirmation, and that the said parties shall produce before the said referee all books, deeds, papers, and writings in their or either of their custody or power relating to the matters so

ordered to be tried.

And it is further ordered that neither the plaintiff nor the defendant shall bring or prosecute any action against the said referee, or against each other, of or concerning the matters so ordered to be tried, and that if either party by affected delay or otherwise wilfully prevent the said referee from making his report, he or they shall pay such costs to the other as the Court, or a judge, may think reasonable and just.

able and just.

And it is further ordered that in the event of the said referee declining to act, or dying before he has made his report, the said parties may, or if they cannot agree, one of the judges of the High Court may, upon application by either party, appoint a new referee.

And it is ordered that the costs of this application be

Dated the

day of

18 .

No. 34.

App. K.

Order of Reference to Master.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered that this action [or the matters of account in this action, or the following questions in this action being matters of account, namely, stating them] be referred to the certificate of the master, with all the powers as to certifying and amending of a judge of the High Court of Justice, and that the costs of the and of the reference be in the discretion of the master, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18.

No. 35.

Order for Examination of Witnesses before Trial.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of

18, and
It is ordered that a witness on behalf of the be examined vivâ voce (on oath or affirmation) before the master [or before esquire, special examiner], the solicitor or agent giving to the solicitor or agent notice in writing of the time and place where the examination is to take place.

And it is further ordered that the examination so taken be filed in the Central Office of the Supreme Court of Judicature, and that an office copy or copies thereof may be read and given in evidence on the trial of this cause, saving all just exceptions, without any further proof of the absence of the said witness than the affidavit of the solicitor or agent of the as to his belief, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 36.

Short Order for Issue of Commission to examine Witnesses.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of

18, and
It is ordered that the be at liberty to issue a commission for the examina-

And it is further ordered that the trial of this action be stayed until the return of the said commission, the usual long order to be drawn up, and unless agreed upon by the parties within one week, to be settled by the master [or as the case may be], and that the costs of this application be

and that the costs of this application be Dated the day of 18.

No. 37.

Long Order for Commission to examine Witnesses.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and

It is ordered as follows:—
1. A commission may issue directed to of and of commissioners named by and on behalf of the and to of and

commissioners named by and on behalf of the for the examination upon interrogatories and viva voce of witnesses on behalf of the said aforesaid before the said commissioners, or any two of them, respectively at so that one commissioner only on each side be present and act at the examination.

shall be at liberty to examine upon interroga-2. Both the said and tories and viva vocc upon the subject matter thereof or arising out of the answers thereto such witnesses as may be produced on their behalf, with liberty to the other party to cross-examine the said witnesses upon cross-interrogatories and vivâ voce

the party producing the witness for examination being at liberty to re-examine him vivá roce; and all such additional vivá roce questions, whether on examination, cross-examination, or re-examination, shall be reduced into writing, and, with the

answers thereto, returned with the said commission.

days from the date of this order the solicitors or agents of the 3. Within \mathbf{said} and shall exchange the interrogatories they propose to administer days from the exchange of to their respective witnesses, and shall also within such interrogatories, exchange copies of the cross-interrogatories intended to be administered to the said witnesses.

days previously to the sending out of the said commission, the solicitor of the said shall give to the solicitor of the said writing of the mail or other conveyance by which the commission is to be sent out. days previously to the examination of any witness on hehalf of the said

respectively, notice in writing signed by any one of the commissioners of the party on whose behalf the witness is to be examined and stating the time and place of the intended examination, and the names of the witnesses intended to be examined, shall be given to the commissioners of the other party by delivering the notice to them personally, or by leaving it at their usual place of abode or husiness, and if the commissioners of that party neglect to attend pursuant to the notice, then one of the commissioners of the party on whose behalf the notice is given shall be at liberty to proceed with and take the examination of the witness or witnesses ex parte, and adjourn any meeting or meetings, or continue the same, from day to day until all the witnesses intended to be examined by virtue of the notice have been examined, without giving any further or other notice of the subsequent meeting or meetings.

6. In the event of any witness on his examination, cross-examination, or re-examination producing any hook, document, letter, paper, or writing, and refusing for good cause to be atated in his deposition, to part with the original thereof, then a copy thereof, or extract therefrom, certified by the commissioners or commissioner present to be a true and correct copy or extracts shall be annexed to the witnesses'

deposition.

 $\bar{7}$. Each witness to be examined under the commission shall be examined on oath, affirmation, or otherwise in accordance with his religion by or before the said com-

missioners or commissioner.

8. If any one or more of the witnesses do not understand the English language (the interrogatories, cross-interrogatories, and vivâ voce questions, if any, being previously translated into the language with which he or they is or are conversant), then the examination shall be taken in English through the medium of an inter-preter or interpreters, to be nominated by the commissioners or commissioner, and to be previously sworn according to his or their several religions by or before the said commissioners or commissioner truly to interpret the questions to be put to the witness or witnesses, and his and their answers thereto.

9. The depositions to be taken under and by virtue of the said commission shall be subscribed by the witness or witnesses, and by the commissioners or commis-

sioner who shall have taken such depositions.

 The interrogatories, cross-interrogatories, and depositions, together with any documents referred to therein, or certified copies thereof or extracts therefrom, shall be sent to the senior master of the Supreme Court of Judicature on or before the day of , or such further or other day as may be ordered, enclosed in a cover under the seal or seals of the said commissioners or commissioner, and office copies thereof may be given in evidence on the trial of this action by and on respectively, saving all just exceptions, without behalf of the said and any other proof of the absence from this country of the witness or witnesses therein of the said named, than an affidavit of the solicitor or agent

respectively, as to his helief of the

11. The trial of this cause is to be stayed until the return of the said commission.

12. The costs of this order, and of the commission to be issued in pursuance hersof, and of the interrogatories, cross-interrogatories, and depositions to be taken thereunder, together with any such document, copy, or extract as aforesaid, and official copies thereof, and all other costs incidental thereto, shall be

Dated the day of

No. 37A.*

Order for Issue of Letter of Request.

App. K. * This and the

next rule were It is ordered that a letter of request de issue directed to the proper tribunal for added by , G. H. R. S. C. Oct. 1884.

the examination of the following witnesses, that is to say: E. F. ef , and I. J. of And it is ordered that the depositions taken pursuant thereto when received be

filed at the central office, and be given in evidence en the trial of this action, saving all just exceptions.

No. 37B.

Request to Examine Witnesses.

Whereas an action is now pending in the Division of the High Court of Justice in England, in which A. B. is plaintiff and C. D. is defendant. And in the said action the plaintiff claims [endorsement upon writ].

And whereas it has been represented to the said Court that it is necessary for the purposes of justice and for the due determination of the matters in dispute between the parties, that the following persons should be examined as witnesses upon oath touching such matters, that is to say: E. F. of G. H. of and I. J. of And it appearing that such witnesses are resident within the jurisdiction of your

honourable Court.

as the President of the said Division of the High Ceurt of Justice have the honour to request, and do hereby request, that for the reasons aforesaid and for the assistance of the High Court of Justice, you as the President and judges of the said or some one or more of you, will be pleased to summen the said witnesses (and such other witnesses as the agents of the said plaintiff and defendant shall humbly request you in writing so to summen) to attend at such time and place as you shall appoint before some one or more of you, or such other person as according to the procedure of your Court is competent to take the examination of witnesses, and that you will cause such witnesses to be examined upon the interrogatories which accompany this letter of request (or vivâ voee) touch-

ing the said matters in question in the presence of the agents of the plaintiff and defendant, or such of them as shall, on due notice given, attend such examination. defendant, or such of them as shall, on due notice given, attend such examination.

And I further have the honour to request that you will be pleased to cause the answers of the said witnesses to be reduced into writing, and all books, letters, papers, and documents produced upon such examination to be duly marked for identification, and that you will be further pleased to authenticate such examination by the seal of your tribunal, or in such other way as is in accordance with your procedure, and to return the same, together with such request in writing, if any, for the examination of other witnesses, through her Majesty's Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, for transmission to the said High Court of Justice in England.

No. 38.

Order for Examination of Judgment Debtor.

. [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Upon hearing

Between

Judgment Creditor, and

Judgment Debtor.

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the

18 , and It is ordered that the above-named judgment debtor attend and be orally examined as to whether any and what debts are ewing to him, before in chambers, at such time and place as he may appoint, and that the said judgment debtor produce his beoks [or as may be ordered] before the said at the time of the examination, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the 18 day of

No. 39.

Garnishee Order (Attaching Debt).

In the High Court of Justice.

Between

. [Here put the letter and number.] Judgment Creditor,

Division.

in Chambers.

and Judgment Debtor,

Upon hearing 18 , and and upon reading the affidavit of

filed the

Garnishee.

It is ordered that all debts owing or accruing due from the above-named garnishee to the above-named judgment debtor be attached to answer a judgment recovered against the said judgment debtor by the above-named judgment creditor in the High Court of Justice on the day of 18, for the sum of on which judgment the said sum of £ remains due and unpaid.

And it is further ordered that the said garnishee attend the in chambers on day the day of 18, at o'clock in the noon, on an application by the said judgment creditor, that the said garnishee pay the debt due from him to the said judgment debtor, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the judgment.

And that the costs of this application be Dated the day of

No. 40.

Garnishee Order (Absolute).

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.] Between Judgment Creditor, and

in Chambers.

Judgment Debtor, Garnishee.

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the 18 , and whereby it was ordered that all debts owing or accruing due from the above-named garnishee to the above-named judgment debtor should be attached to answer a judgment recovered against the said judgment debtor by the above-named judgment creditor in the High Court of Justice on the day of 18, for the sum of £ on which judgment the said sum of £ remained due and unpaid.

It is ordered that the said garnishee do forthwith pay the said judgment creditor the debt due from him to the said judgment debtor (or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the judgment debt), and that in default thereof execution may issue for the same, and that the costs of this application be

day of

No. 41.

Order on Client's Application to tax Solicitor's Bill of Costs.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

gentleman, one

In the High Court of Justice. Division.

Dated the

in Chambers. In the matter of the taxation of costs, and in the matter of

of the solicitors of the Supreme Court.

It is ordered that the bill of fees, charges, and disbursements delivered to the applicant by the above-named solicitor be referred to the taxing officer to be taxed, and that the said solicitor give credit for all sums of money by him received of or on account of the applicant, and that he refund what, if any thing, he may on such taxation appear to have been overpaid.

And it is further ordered that if the said solicitor attends on the taxation, the taxing officer tax the costs of the reference, and certify what shall be found due to or from either party in respect of the bill and demand and of the costs of the reference, to be charged (if payable) according to the event of the taxation, pursuant to the statute.

And it is further ordered that the said solicitor do not commence or prosecute any

cause or matter touching the demand pending the reference.

And it is further ordered that upon payment by the applicant of what (if anything) may appear to be due to the said solicitor the said solicitor do (if required) deliver up to the applicant, or as he may direct, all deeds, books, papers, and writings in the said solicitor's possession, custody, or power, belonging to the applicant.

And it is ordered that the costs of this application be

Dated the

day of

No. 42.

Order on Solicitor's Application to tax Bill of Costs.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

in Chambers.

In the matter of the taxation of costs, and in the matter of gentleman, one of the solicitors of the Supreme Court.

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the 18 , and . It is ordered that the above-named solicitor's bill of fees, charges and disbursements, delivered to (hereinafter called the said client) be referred to the taxing officer to be taxed, and that the said solicitor give credit for all sums of money by him received from or on account of the said olient, and that he refund what (if anything) he may on such taxation appear to have been over-

paid. And it is further ordered that the taxing officer tax the costs of the reference and certify what shall be found due to or from either party in respect of the bill and demand and of the costs of the reference, to be paid according to the event of the

taxation pursuant to the statute.

And it is further ordered that the said solicitor do not commence or prosecute any

cause or matter touching the demand pending the reference.

And it is further ordered that upon payment by the said client of what (if anything) may appear to be due to the said solicitor the said solicitor do (if required) deliver to the said client, or as he may direct, all deeds, books, papers and writings in the said solicitor's possession, custody, or power, belonging to the said client.

And it is ordered that the costs of this application be

Dated the

day of

No. 43.

Order to tax after Action brought.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

and upon reading the affidavit of filed the Upon hearing It is ordered that the plaintiff's bill of costs, charges 18 , and and disbursements delivered to the defendant, for the recovery of which this action is brought, be referred to the taxing officer to be taxed, and that the plaintiff give credit of the time of taxation for all sums of money by him received from or on account of the defendant.

And it is further ordered that the taxing officer tax the costs of the reference, and certify what upon such reference shall be found due to or from either party in respect of the bill and demand, and of the costs of the reference, pursuant to the

statute. And it is further ordered that the plaintiff do not prosecute this action touching the demand pending the reference.

App. K.

And it is further ordered that upon payment of what (if anything) may appear to be due to the plaintiff, together with the costs of this action (which are to be also taxed and paid), all further proceedings therein be stayed, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of

No. 44.

Order to try Action in County Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the of 18, and

It is ordered that this action be tried before the County Court of holden at , and that the costs of this application be Dated the day of 18

No. 45.

Order to give Security or try Action in County Court.

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day

of 18, and .

It is ordered that unless the plaintiff within give full security for the defendant's costs to the satisfaction of the Master [or as the case may be], this action be remitted for trial before the County Court of holden at and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 46.

Order for Examination touching Means.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

Master in Chambers.

Between Judgment Creditor, and

day

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day 18, and .

It is ordered that the above-named do attend before the master on the day of next, at in the noon, to be examined upon oath touching his means of paying the judgment debt, and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

Order for Payment of Judgment Debt by Instalments.

. [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

Master in Chambers.

Judgment Creditor,

day of

and

Upon hearing

Judgment Debter.

, and

and upon reading the affidavit of

filed the

It is ordered that the above-named judgment debtor do pay to the above-named judgment creditor the sum of together with interest thereon at the rate of 24 per centum per annum from the day of 18, the date of the judgment, the costs of this application in manner following; namely, [here and also £ describe the mode in which the payment is to be made].

Dated the

day of 18

No. 48.

Order for Committal of Judgment Debtor.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

Between

Judgment Creditor,

Judge in Chambers.

and upon reading the affidavit of

Judgment Debtor. filed the day of

It is ordered that the above-named judgment debtor he, for default in payment of the debt hereinafter mentioned, committed to prison for the term of the date of his arrest, including the day of such date, or until he shall pay £ being the amount due from him in pursuance of a judgment [or order] of the High Court of Justice, bearing date the day of 18, together with interest thereon at 4*l*. per cent. per annum from the aforesaid date, and 1*l*. 6*s*. 8*d*. for costs of this order, and sheriff's fees for the execution thereof.

And it is further ordered that the sheriff take the said debtor for the purpose

aforesaid if he is found within his bailiwick.

And it is ordered that the costs of this application be

Dated the

Upen hearing

day of

No. 49.

Order for Committal of Judgment Debtor on Non-payment of Instalment.

. [Here put the letter and number.]

In the High Court of Justice.

Division.

Judgment Creditor,

Judge in Chambers.

and

and upon reading the affidavit of Upon hearing

Judgment Debtor. filed the day of

18 , and It is ordered that the above-named judgment debter he for default in payment of

the solution of the substitute above-named judgment debter he for default in payment of fine the saction directed to be paid pursuant to the order of the bearing date the day of 18, committed to prison for the term of the said instalment together with 13s. 4d. the costs of this order, and sheriff's fees for the execution thereof. And it is further ordered that the sheriff of take the said debtor for the purpose aforesaid if he is found in his bailiwick.

And it is ordered that the costs of this application be

Dated the

day of

18

No. 50.

Interpleader Order, No. 1.

In the High Court of Justice.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]
Between Plaintiff,
and

in Chambers. Defendant, and between Claimant,

and
Respondent.

pon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day of 18, and
It is ordered that the claimant be barred, that no action be brought against the above-named [sheriff], and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 51.

Interpleader Order, No. 2.

Justice. 18 . [Here put the letter and number.]

Between Plaintiff,

In the High Court of Justice. Between Division.

in Chambers. Defendant,

and
Claimant.
Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day
of 18 and

of , 18 , and
It is ordered that the above-named claimant be substituted as defendant in this action in lieu of the present defendant and that the costs of this application be

Dated the day of 18

No. 52.

Interpleader Order, No. 3.

In the High Court of Justice.

18 . [Here put the letter and number.]
Between Plaintiff,

Division. and in Chambers. Defendant, and between Claimant,

and the said execution creditor, and the sheriff of

Respondents.

Upon hearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day

of 18, and
It is ordered that the said sheriff proceed to sell the goods seized by him under

It is ordered that the said sherin proceed to sell the goods serzed by him interthe writ of fieri facias issued herein, and pay the net proceeds of the sale, afterdeducting the expenses thereof, into Court in this cause, to abide further order herein.

And it is further ordered that the parties proceed to the trial of an issue in the High Court of Justice, in which the said claimant shall be the plaintiff and the said execution creditor shall be the defendant, and that the question to be tried shall be whether at the time of the seizure by the sheriff the goods seized were the property of the claimant as against the execution creditor.

And it is further ordered that this issue be prepared and delivered by the plaintiff therein within from this date, and be returned by the defendant therein within days, and be tried at

And it is further ordered that the question of costs and all further questions be reserved until the trial of the said issue, and that no action shall be brought against the said sheriff for the seizure of the said goods.

Dated the day of 18

No. 53.

Interpleader Order, No. 4.

[Heading as in Form 52.]

Upon hearing, &c.

It is ordered that upon payment of the sum of £ into Court by the said claimant within from this date, or upon his giving within the same time security to the satisfaction of the master [or as the case may be] for the payment of the same amount by the said elaimant according to the directions of any order to be made herein, and upon payment to the above-named sheriff of the possession money from this date, the said sheriff do withdraw from the possession of the goods seized by him under the writ of ficri facias herein.

And it is further ordered that unless such payment be made or security given within the time aforesaid the said sheriff proceed to sell the said goods, and pay the proceeds of the sale, after deducting the expenses thereof and the possession money

from this date, into Court in the cause, to abide further order herein.

And it is further ordered that the parties proceed, &c.
And it is further ordered that this issue, &c.
And it is further ordered that the question of costs, &c.
Dated the day of 18.

No. 54.

Interpleader Order, No. 5.

[Heading as in Form 52.]

Upon hearing, &c.

It is ordered that upon payment of the sum of £ into Court by the said claimant, or upon his giving security to the satisfaction of the master [or as the case may be] for the payment of the same amount by the claimant according to the directions of any order to he made herein, the above-named sheriff withdraw from the possession of the goods seized by him under the writ of fieri facias issued herein.

And it is further ordered that in the meantime, and until such payment made or security given, the sheriff continue in possession of the goods, and the claimant pay possession money for the time he so continues, unless the claimant desire the goods to be sold by the sheriff, in which case the sheriff is to sell them and pay the proceeds of the sale, after deducting the expenses thereof and the possession money from this date, into Court in the cause, to abide further order herein.

And it is further ordered that the parties proceed, &c.

And it is further ordered that this issue, &c.

And it is further ordered that the question of costs, &c.

Dated the

day of

No. 55.

Interpleader Order, No. 6.

[Heading as in Form 52.]

The claimant and the execution creditor having requested and consented that the merits of the claim made by the claimant be disposed of and determined in a , and upon reading the affidavit of summary manner, now upon hearing filed the day of 18 , and

It is ordered that

And that the costs of this application be

Dated

day of

18 .

тт

No. 56.

Interpleader Order, No. 7.

[Heading as in Form 52.]

and upon reading the affidavit of Upon hearing

filed the

day of 18, and

It is ordered that the above-named sheriff proceed to sell enough of the goods seized under the writ of fieri facias issued in this action to satisfy the expenses of

the said sale, the rent (if any) due, the claim of the claimant, and this execution.

And it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of the said sale, (after deducting the expenses thereof, and rent, if any,) the said sheriff pay to the claimant the amount of his said claim, and to the execution creditor the amount of his execution, and the residue, if any, to the defendant.

And it is further ordered that no action be brought against the said sheriff, and

that the costs of this application be

Dated the

day of

No. 57.

Order dismissing Summons (generally).

[Heading as in Form 1.]

Upon bearing and upon reading the affidavit of filed the day

18, and It is ordered that the application of be dismissed* with costs to be taxed and paid by the to the (or, and that the costs of and occasioned by this application be the 's in any event).

Dated the day of 18

No. 58.

Summons for Entry of Satisfaction on a Registered Bill of Sale.

In the High Court of Justice.

In the matter of a bill of sale by to dated the day of 18, and registered on the day of 18

Let all parties concerned attend the registrar of bills of sale at the central office, Royal Courts of Justice, London, on the 18

day of o'olock noon, on the hearing of an application on the part of in the that satisfaction be entered on the above-mentioned bill of sale.

Dated the day of

This summons was taken out by of

APPENDIX L.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

No. 1.

Summons by Chief Clerk.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division.

Mr. Justice

In the matter of the estate of A.B., late of in the county of deceased.

Between C. D., petitioner, and

E. F., defendant.

The defendant E. F. [or G. H., of, &c.], is hereby summoned to attend at the Chambers of Mr. Justice , at the Royal Courts of Justice, on o'clock in the noon, to be exam noon, to be examined for to be $^{\mathrm{at}}$ examined as a witness] on the part of the , for the purpose of the proceedings directed by Mr. Justice to be taken before me,

Dated this day of 18

Chief Clerk.

This summons was taken out by \mathbf{of} , in the county of , solicitors for

No. 2.

Form of Advertisement for Claimants not being Creditors.

Pursuant to a judgment [or order] of the Chancery Division of the High Court de in [the matter of the estate of , and in] an action by , the persons claiming to be next of kin to [or the heir of, as the case , late of , in the county of , who died in or about the , are by their solicitors, on or before the day of , to prove their claims at the Chamber of T. of Justice made in [the matter of the estate of against may be], month of come in and prove their claims at the Chambers of Mr. Justice , at the Royal Courts of Justice, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said judgment [or order]. The day of , at noon, at the said Chambers, is appointed for hearing and o'clock in the adjudicating upon the claims.

Dated the

A. B., Chief Clerk.

No. 3.

Form of Advertisement for Creditors.

Pursuant to a judgment [or an order] of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice made in [the matter of the estate of A. B., and in] an action S. against P., the creditors of A. B., late of , in the county of , who died in or about before the day of 18, to send by the erentors of A. B., late of , in the country of , who died in or about the month of 18, are on or before the day of 18, to send by post, prepaid, to E. F., of , the solicitor of the defendant C. D., the executor [or administrator] of the deceased [or as may be directed], their christian and surname, addresses and descriptions, the full particulars of their claims, a statement of their accounts, and the nature of the securities (if any) held by them, or in default thereof, they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said judgment [or order]. Every creditor holding any security is to produce the same before Mr.

Justice , at his chambers, the Royal Courts of Justice, London, on the day of 18, at o'clock in the noon, being the time appointed for adjudication on the claims.

Dated this day of 18

G. H., Chief Clerk.

No. 4.

Notice to Creditor to produce Documents.

(Short Title.)

You are hereby required to produce in support of the claim sent in by you against the estate of A. B. deceased [describe the document required to be produced], before Mr. , at his chambers at the Royal Courts of Justice, London, on the 18, at o'clock in the noon. Justice day of

day of Dated this 18

G. R., of, &c., solicitor for plaintiff [or defendant, or as the case may be]. To Mr. S. T.

No. 5.

Affidavit of Executor or Administrator as to Claims of Creditors.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division.

Mr. Justice

(Title.)

We, C. D., of, &c., the above-named plaintiff [or defendant, or as may be], the centor [or administrator] of A. B., late of , in the county of , deceased, executor [or administrator] of A. B., late of and E. F., of, &c., solicitor, severally make oath and say as follows:

and E. F., of, &c., solicitor, severally make call and say as a follows:

I, the said E. F., for myself, say as follows:

1. I have in the paper writing now produced, and shown to me, and marked A., set forth a list of all the claims the particulars of which have been sent in to me by persons claiming to be creditors of the said A. B., deceased, pursuant to the adverticement issued in that behalf, dated the day of 18. And I, the said C. D., for myself, say as follows:

2. I have examined the particulars of the several claims mentioned in the paper writing now produced, and shown to me, and marked A., and I have compared the same with the books, accounts, and documents of the said A. B. [or as may be, and state any other inquiries or investigations made], in order to ascertain, so far as I am able, to which of such claims the estate of the said A. B. is justly liable.

3. From such examination [and state any other reasons] I am of opinion and verily believe, that the estate of the said A. B. is justly liable to the amounts set forth in the sixth column of the first part of the said paper writing, marked A., and to the best of my knowledge and belief, such several amounts are justly due from the estate of the said A. B., and proper to be allowed to the respective claimants named

in the said schedule.

4. I am of opinion that the estate of the said A. B. is not justly liable to the claims set forth in the second part of the said paper writing, marked A., and that the same ought not to be allowed without proof by the respective claimants [or, I am not able to state whether the estate of the said A. B. is justly liable to the claims set forth in the second part of the said paper writing, marked A., or whether such claims, or any parts thereof, are proper to be allowed without further evidence].

5. Except as hereinbefore mentioned, there are not, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, any other claims against the estate of the said A. B.

Sworn, &c.

No. 6.

Exhibit referred to in Affidavit, No. 5.

(Short Title.)

List of claims, the particulars of which have been sent in to E. F., the solicitor of the plaintiff [or defendant, or as may be], by persons claiming to be creditors of A. B., deceased, pursuant to the advertisement issued in that behalf, dated the day of 18.

App. L.

This paper writing marked A. was produced and shown to and is the same as is referred to in his affidavit sworn before me this day of 18. W. B. &c.

FIRST PART.—Claims proper to be allowed without further evidence.

Serial No.	Names of Claimants.	Addrssses and Descriptions.	Particulars of Claim.	Amount claimed.	Amount proper to be allowed.
				£ s. d.	£ s, d.

SECOND PART.—Claims which ought to be proved by the claimants.

Amount claime	Particulars of Claim.	Addresses and Descriptions.	Names of Claimants.	Serial No.
£ s. d.				

No. 7.

Notice to Creditor of Allowance of Claim.

(Short Title.)

The claim sent in by you against the estate of A. B. deceased, has been allowed at the sum of £ with interest thereon at £ per cent. per annum, from the day of 18, and £ for costs.

[If part only allowed, add, If you claim to have a larger sum allowed, you are hereby required to prove such further claim, and you are to file such affidavit as you rough be advised in support of your claim and give notice thereof to me on or before

lf part only allowed, add, if you claim to have a larger sum allowed, you are hereby required to prove such further claim, and you are to file such affidavit as you may be advised in support of your claim and give notice thereof to me on or before the day of 18 next, and to attend by your solicitor at the chambers of Mr. Justice , at the Royal Courts of Justice on day of 18, at o'clock in the noon, being the time appointed for adjudicating on the claim.

Dated this day of 18. G. R., of, &c., solicitor for the plaintiff [or defendant, or as may be]. To Mr. P. R.

No. 8.

Notice to Creditor to prove his Claim.

(Short Title.)

You are hereby required to prove the claim sent in by you against the estate of A. B., deceased. You are to file such affidavit as you may be advised in support of your claim, and give notice thereof to me on or before the day of next, and to attend by your solicitor at the chambers of Mr. Justice at the Royal Courts of Justice on the day of 18 at o'clock in the noon, being the time appointed for adjudicating on the claim.

Dated this day of 18 .

G. R., of, &c., solicitor for the plaintiff [or defendant, or as may be].
To Mr. S. T.

No. 9.

Notice that Cheques may be received.

(Short Title.)

The cheques for the amounts directed to be paid to the creditors of A.B., deceased, by an order made in this [matter and] action dated the day of 18 may be received at the Paymaster-General's office on and after the day of

G. R., of, &c., solicitor for the plaintiff [or defendant, or as may be]. To Mr. W. S.

No. 10.

Certificate of Chief Clerk.

(Title.)

In pursuance of the directions given to me by Mr. Justice , I hereby certify that the result of the accounts and inquiries which have been taken and made in pursuance of the judgment [or order] in this cause dated the . day of is as follows:

1. The defendants the executors of the testator, have received personal estate to the amount of £ and they have paid or are entitled to be allowed on account thereof, sums to the amount of £ having a balance due from $[or\ to]$ them of £ on that account.

The particulars of the above receipts and payments appear in the account marked verified by the affidavit of filed on the day of and which account is to be filed with this certificate, except that in addition to the sums appearing on such account to have been received, the said defendants are charged with the following sums [state the same here or in a schedule] and except that I have disallowed the items of disbursement in the said account numbered , and,

[Or in cases where a transcript has been made.]

The defendants have brought in an account verified by the affidavit of , filed on the day of and which account is marked and is to be filed with this certificate. The account has been altered, and the account marked and which is also to be filed with this certificate, is a transcript of the account as altered and passed.

2. The debts of the testator which have been allowed, are set forth in the Schedule hereto, and with the interest thereon and costs mentioned in the Schedule are due to the persons therein named, and amount altogother to £

3. The funeral expenses of the testator amount to the sum of £ which I have allowed the said executors in the said account of personal estate.

4. The legacies given by the testator are set forth in the Schedule hereto, and with the interest therein mentioned remain due to the persons therein named, and amount altogether to £

5. The outstanding personal estate of the testator consists of the particulars set forth in the Schedule hereto.

6. The real estate to which the testator was entitled consists of the particulars set forth in the Schedule hereto.

7. The defendants have received rents and profits of the testator's real estate, &c. [in a form similar to that provided with respect to the personal estate].

8. The incumbrances affecting the said testator's real estate are specified in the Schedule hereto.

9. The real estates of the testator directed to be sold, have been sold, and the purchase monies amounting altogether to £ have been paid into Court.

N.B.—The above numbers are to correspond with the numbers in the order after

each statement, the evidence produced is to be stated as follows:-The evidence produced on this account [or inquiry] consists of the probate of the testator's will, the affidavit of A. B. filed and paragraph numbered of the affidavit of C. D., filed.

No. 11.

Affidavit verifying Accounts and answering usual Inquiries as to Real and Personal Estate.

In the High Court of Justice.

Chancery Division.

Mr. Justice

(Title.)

We A. B., of &c., C. D., of, &c., and E. F., on named defendants, severally make oath and say as follows: and E. F., of, &c., the above-

1. We have according to the best of our knowledge, information, and helief, set forth in Schedule I. hereto a full account and inventory of the personal estate of or to which G. H. , the testator in the judgment [or order] dated this action [or matter] named, who died on the day of , was possessed or entitled at the time of his death, and not by him specifically bequeathed.

2. Save what is set forth in the said Schedule I., and what is by the said testator The words in specifically bequeathed, the said testator was not to the best of our knowledge, information, or belief, at the time of his death possessed of or entitled to any debt or sum of money due to him from us or any of us on any account whatsoever, nor to any leasehold or other personal estate whatsoever.

3. The said testator's funeral expenses have been paid. The same consist of the take an ems of disbursement numbered and in the account hereinafter account of items of disbursement numbered referred to [or if not paid, it should be so stated with the amount due and to whom due].

4. We have in the account marked A., now produced and shown to us, according to the best of our knowledge, information, and belief, set forth a full account of the personal estate of the said testator, not by him specifically bequeathed, which has come to our hands or to the hands of any of us, or to the hands of any person or persons by our order or the order of any of us, or for our use or the use of any of us, with the times when, the names of the persons from whom, and on what account the same has been received, and also a like account of the disbursements, allowances, and payments made by us or any of us on account of the said testator's funeral expenses, debts, and personal estate, together with the times when the names of the persons to whom, and the purposes for which the same were dishursed, allowed, or paid.

5. And we, each speaking positively for himself and to the best of his knowledge and belief as to other persons, further say that except as appears in the said account marked A., we have not, nor has any of us, nor have nor has any other person or persons by our order or the order of any of us, or for our use or the use of any of us, possessed, received, or got in any part of the said testator's personal estate, nor any money in respect thereof, and that the said account marked A. does not contain any item of disbursement, allowance, or payment, other than such as has actually been disbursed, paid, or allowed on the account aforesaid.

6. To the best of our knowledge, information, and belief, the personal estate of the said testator, now outstanding or undisposed of, consists of the particulars set forth in Schedule II. hereto.

7. Save what is set forth in the Schedule II., there is not to our knowledge, information, or belief, any part of the said testator's personal estate now outstanding or undisposed of.

8. We have, according to the best of our knowledge, information, and belief, set forth in Schedule III. hereto the particulars of all the real estate which the said G. H. was seised of or entitled to at the date of his death.

italies to he inserted only where the direction is to personal estate not specifically bequeathed. This should accord with directing the

- 9. Save what is set forth in the said schedule, the said testator was not to the best of our knowledge, information, or belief, at the time of his death seised of or entitled to any real estate whatsoever.
- 10. We have, according to the best of our knowledge, information, and belief, set forth in Schedule IV. hereto the particulars of all the incumbrances affecting the said testator's real estate, and what part thereof such incumbrances respectively

This should accord with the order directing the account.

- affect.

 11. We have in the account marked B., now produced and shown to us, according to the best of our knowledge, information, and belief, set forth a full account of all the rents and profits of the said testator's real estate which has come to our hands or to the hands of any of us, or to the hands of any person or persons by our order, or the order of any of us, or for our use, or the use of any of us, and the times when, the names of the persons from whom, on what account, in respect of what part of such estate the same have been received, and the times when the same became due, and also a like account of the disbursements, allowances, and payments made by us, or any or either of us, in respect of the said testator's real estate, or the rents and profits thereof, and the times when, the names of the persons to whom, and the purposes for which, the same were made.
- 12. And we, each speaking positively for himself, and to the best of his knowledge and belief as to other persons, further say that, except as appears in the said account marked B., we have not, nor has any of us, nor has any other person by our order, or the order of any of us, or for our use, or the use of any of us, possessed, received, or got in any rents or profits of the said testator's real estate, nor any money in respect thereof, and that the said account marked B. does not contain any item of disbursement, payment, or allowance, other than such as has actually been disbursed, paid, or allowed, as above stated.

The First Schedule above referred to.

£50 cash in the house.

£100 cash at the testator's bankers, Messrs. A. and B.

3. £1,000 Consolidated £3 per cent. annuities, standing in the testator's name. 4. £10 due from John James, for half year's rent of house at

Michaelmas, 1882. 5. £32: 6s. 8d., balance remaining due from John Thomas on account of half year's

nt of farm at , to Michaelmas, 1882. 6. £300, a debt due from Samuel Jones on a bond, with interest from rent of farm at

per cent.
7. A leasehold house situate at 7. A leasehold house situate at , held under a lease for a term of , which will expire on , at a rent of £ a year, underlet to James Evans for a term which will expire on , at a rent of £50 a year.

8. £25, half a year's rent due from the said James Evans to

The Second Schedule above referred to.

[The particulars to be set forth in the same manner as above.]

The THIRD SCHEDULE above referred to.

[To contain a short particular of the real estate.]

The FOURTH SCHEDULE above referred to.

[To contain a short particular of the incumbrances, and showing what part of the above real estate is subject to each.]

No. 12.

Account of Personal Estate, being Account A. referred to in Form No. 11.

Α.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

(Title.)

This account marked A. was produced and shown to A. B., C. D., and E. F., and is the account referred to in their affidavit sworn this day of .

Before me [to be signed here by Commissioner or officer before whom the affidavit is sworn].

RECEIPTS.

No. of Item.	Date when received.	Names of Persons f whom received.	rom	On what Account received.	Amount received.
1 2 3 4	18 .	Evans and Co John James Samuel Jones		Found in house. Balance at bankers. Half year's dividend on 2,0001, 31, per cent. annuities due. Bond debt of 3001, and interest from to Bond debt of 3001 and interest from	£ s. d.
6		James Evans	••	to . Half year's rent of lease- hold house due	
7		William Williams		Produce of sale of the above leasehold house.	

DISBURSEMENTS.

No. of Item.	Date when paid or allowed.	Names of Persons to whom paid or allowed.	For what Purpose paid or allowed.	Amount paid or allowed.
1 2 3 4	18 .	James Price Messrs. A. & B John George James Price	Undertaker's bill for funeral. Expenses of probate. A debt due to him for medical attendance. Bond debt of 1,000% and 25% for interest thereon from to	£ s. d.

No. 13.

Account of Rents and Profits, being the Account B. referred to in No. 11.

В.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

(Title.)

This account marked B. was produced and shown to A. B., C. D., and E. F., and is the account referred to in their affidavit sworn this day of Before me [to be signed here by Commissioner or officer before whom affidavit sworn].

RECEIPTS.

No. of Item.	Date when received.	Names of Persons from whom received,	On what Account and in respect of what Part of the Estate received, and when due.		mou	
1 2 3	18	John James Thomas James John James	Half year's rent for farm in parish of , due . One quarter year's rent of house at , due . Same as No. 1, due .	£	8.	d.

DISBURSEMENTS.

No. of Item.	Date when paid or allowed,	Names of Persons to whom paid or allowed.	For what Purpose paid or allowed.	Amount paid or allowed.
	18	`S. T		£ s. d.
1		Sun Insurance Office	One year's insurance against fire, due	
2		Thomas Carpenter	Repairs at John James' farm.	
3		James Francis	Income tax, half year due 10th October.	

No. 14.

Receiver's Account.

[TITE.]

The [asy of , to receive the receiver appointed in this cause [or, pursuant to] an order made in this cause, dated the day of , to receive the rents and profits of the real estate, and to collect and get in the outstanding personal estate of C. D., the testator [or, intestate] in this cause, named from the day of to the

(To accord with the order.

REAL ESTATE—RECEIPTS.

No. of Late when Ienan's Names. Tenant's Names. John Jones	s Names.				_					_					_	
1 John Jon		Description of Premises.	Annual Rent	Rent.	Arré	Arrears due at		Amount due at	t due		Amount received.	ig tr	A remai	Arrears emaining du	gi,	Obser- vations.
1 John Jon				_			<u> </u> 	-	_	_		_			Ì	
	опев	Home Farm, in the parish	e# 	s. 6,	еtŝ	«·	ā.	~ ~	 		ಳು ———	ġ.	48	%	ā.	
		of Oxford, in the county of Oxford.	-													
2 Thomas Jones	s Jones	Houseat Norten, aforesaid														

PAYMENTS AND ALLOWANCES ON ACCOUNT OF REAL ESTATE.

Amount.	% %
For what Purpose paid or allowed.	One year's insurance of, due Bill for repairs at house let to Thomas Jones
Names of Persons to whom paid or allowed.	Sun Fire Office Thomas Carpenter James Francis
No. of Date of Payment or Allowance.	
No. of Item.	8 22 11

App. L.		20						
	at Estate.	Amount paid or allowed.						
	COUNT OF PERSON	For what Purpose paid or allowed.	t,		d. £ s. d.	c43		F
	PAYMENTS AND ALLOWANCES ON ACCOUNT OF PERSONAL ESTATE.	Date when paid Names of Persons to or allowed. whom paid or allowed.			eg : : .	Balance due from the receiver on account of real estate		Balance due from the receiver on account of personal estate
	MAND A	nen paid	,		# : :::	f real es		sonal es
4 7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	AYMENT	Date wi			t accoun	scount o	te	nt of per
	7	No. of Item.		SUMMARY.	e on las	rer on a	nal esta	n accou
		Amount received.		SUM	unt of real estateal estates	e from the receiv	account of persor ersonal estate bove account of ount as to persor	m the receiver o
	ON ACCOUNT OF PERSONAL ESTATE.	On what Account received.	•		from receiver on acco the above account of r paid into Court nd allowances on the s ets of passing this acc	Balance du	from receiver on last the above account of p paid into Court d allowances on the asts of passing this acc	Balance due fro
	Весептв он Ассоинт	Names of Persons from whom received.			Amount of balance due from receiver on account of real estate on last account Amount of receipts on the above account of real estate Balance of last account paid into Court Amount of payments and allowances on the above account of real estate Amount of receiver's costs of passing this account as to real estate Amount of receiver's costs of passing this account as to real estate		Amount of balance due from receiver on last account of personal estate. Amount of receipts on the above account of personal estate. Balance of last account paid into Court Amount of payments and allowances on the above account of personal estate. Amount of receiver's costs of passing this account as to personal estate.	
	#	Date when received.			नय मस्य		44 A44	
		No. of Item.						

Ordinary Conditions of Sale.

Conditions of Sale.

No person is to advance less than £ at each bidding.
 The sale is subject to a reserved bidding for each lot which has been fixed by

the judge to whom this cause is assigned.

3. Each purchaser is at the time of sale to subscribe his name and address to his bidding, and the abstract of title, and all written notices and communications and summonses are to be deemed duly delivered to and served upon the purchaser by being left for him at such address, unless or until he is represented by a solicitor.

4. Each purchaser is at the time of sale to pay a deposit of £ per cent. on

the amount of his purchase money to , the person appointed by the said judge

to receive the same.

5. The chief clerk of the said judge will after the sale proceed to certify the result, and the day of at of the clock noon is appointed as the time at which the purchasers may, if they think fit, attend by their solicitors at the Chambers of the said judge at the Royal Courts of Justice, London, to settle such certificate will then be settled, and will in due course be signed and filed, and become binding without further notice or expense to the purchasers.

6. The vendor is within [] days after such certificate has become binding to deliver to each purchaser, or his solicitor, an abstract of the title to the lot or lots purchased by him, subject to the stipulations contained in these conditions. And each purchaser is, within four days after the actual delivery of the abstract, to deliver at the office of , solicitor, at , in the county of , a statement in writing of his objections and requisitions (if any) to or on the title as deduced by such abstract, and upon the expiration of such last-mentioned time and in this respect time is to be deemed of the essence of the contract—the title is to be considered as approved of and accepted by such purchaser, subject only to such objections and requisitions, if any.

7. Each purchaser is, in addition to the amount of his bidding at the sale, to pay The Each purchaser is, in addition to the amount of his bidding at the sale, to pay the value of all timber and timber-like trees, tellers, and pollards, if any, on the lot purchased by him, down to 1s. per stick, inclusive, the amount thereof to be ascertained by a valuation to be made in manner following; that is to say, each party (vendor and purchaser), or their respective solicitors, is within days after the chief clerk's certificate has become binding to appoint by writing one valuer, and give notice in writing to the other party of such appointment, and the valuer are appointed age to make such valuation but before they commence their valuers so appointed are to make such valuation, but before they commence their duty they are to appoint an umpire by writing, and the decision of such valuers if they agree, or of such umpire if they disagree, is to be final; and in case the purchaser shall neglect or refuse to appoint a valuer, and give notice thereof in the manner and within the time above specified, the valuation is to be made by the

valuer appointed by the vendor alone, and his valuation is to be final.

8. Each purchaser is under an order for that purpose to be obtained by him, or in case of his neglect by the vendors at the costs of the purchaser, upon application at the Chambers of the said judge, to pay the amount of his purchase money (after To be altered deducting the amount paid as a deposit), together with the amount of the valuation under if the 4th or the seventh condition, if any, into Court to the credit of this cause , on or before 7th condition the said day of , and if the same is not so paid, then the purchaser is to not inserted. , on or before 7th condition pay interest on his purchase money, including the amount of such valuation at the rate of £ per cent. per annum from the day of to the day on which the same is actually paid. Upon payment of the purchase money in manner This to be in aforesaid, the purchaser is to be entitled to possession, or to the rents and profits, accordance , down to which time all outgoings are to be paid with the order day of as from the

by the vendors.

9. If any error or mis-statement shall appear to have been made in the above sale. particulars, such error or mis-statement is not to annul the sale or entitle the purchaser to be discharged from his purchase, but a compensation is to be made to or by the purchaser, as the case may be, and the amount of such compensation is to be settled by the said judge at Chambers.

[Add to these such conditions respecting the title and title deeds as the conveyancing

counsel shall advise to be necessary or proper.]

Lastly. If the purchaser shall not pay his purchase-money at the time above specified, or at any other time which may be named in any order for that purpose, and in all other respects perform these conditions, an order may be made by the said judge upon application at Chambers for the re-sale of the lot purchased by such purchaser, and for payment by the purchaser of the deficiency, if any, in the price which may be obtained upon such re-sale and of all costs and expenses occasioned by such default.

directing the

No. 16.

Affidavit of Result of Sale.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

(Title.)

I, A. B., of &c., auctioneer, the person appointed by the judge to whom this cause is assigned to sell the estates comprised in the particulars hereinafter referred, de make oath and say as fellows:

1. I did at the time and place in the lets, and subject to the conditions specified in the particulars and conditions of sale now produced and shown to me, and marked with the letter A., put up for sale by auction the estates described in such particulars. The result of such sale is truly set forth in the bidding paper marked

with the letter B. new produced and shown to me.

2. The sums set forth in the second column of such bidding paper are the highest sums bid for the respective lots, the numbers of which are set forth in the first column opposite to such respective sums, and the persons whose names are subscribed in the third column of such bidding paper as purchasers were respectively the highest bidders for and became the purchasers of the respective lots, the numbers whereof are set opposite to such respective names in the said first column of the said bidding paper at the prices or sums set opposite to their respective names in the said second column thereof.

3. The several lets epposite to the numbers of which I have in the third column of the said bidding paper written the words "not sold" were not sold, no person having bid a sum equal to or higher than the reserved bidding fixed by the said judge.

4. No person bid any sum whatever for either of the lets opposite the numbers of which I have in the second column of the said hidding paper written the words "ne

hidding."

5. The said sale was conducted by me in a fair, open and candid manner, and according to the best of my skill and judgment.

I have received the sums set forth in the fourth column of the schedule hereto as deposits from the respective purchasers whose names are set forth in the second column of such schedule opposite the said respective sums, in respect of their said respective purchase monies, leaving due in respect of the said purchase monies the respective sums set forth in the fifth column of the said schedule.

The SCHEDULE above referred to.

No. of Lot.	Name of Purchaser.	Amount of Purchase Money.	Amount of Deposit received.	Amount remaining due.
_				

No. 17.

List of Debts allowed.

James v. Jones.

James v. Jones. List of Debts.

No. of Entry of Claim.	Names of Creditors.	Addresses.	Amounts allowed for Principal, Interest, and Costs.	Total Amounts due.
2	James Allen	Boston, in the county of Lincoln, Surgeon	£ s. d. 100 0 0 4 0 0 2 2 0	£ s. d.
1	Charles Cohen	98, Piccadilly, in the county of Middlesex, gentleman, executor of John Thomas Interest from 5th October, 1850, at 5t. per cent. Costs	67 0 0 4 2 0 2 2 0	73 4 0
5	John Dennis and Owen Thomas	16, Fleet Street, London, Grocers, and co-partners Interest from 16th October, 1852, at 5l. per cent Another debt Interest Costs	100 0 0 5 0 0 62 0 0 2 10 0 2 4 6	171 14 6

No. 18. List of Legacies remaining unpaid.

James v. Jones. List of Legacies.

Name of Legatees.	Descriptions,	Amounts of Principal and Interest.	Total Amounts due.
James Oliver	Son of testator, an infant Interest	£ s. d. 100 0 0 7 5 6	£ s. d.
Mary Russell	Of 20, Cheapside, London, Widow Interest from 1st January, 1850, the death of testator	50 0 0	54 8 0
Jane, the wife of John Williams.	Of Lincoln, Esq	250 0 0 50 0 0	
	Interest	200 0 0 14 11 0.	214 11 0
		Total£	

App. L.

No. 19. List of Annuities and Arrears due.

List of Annuities.

Names of Annuitants.	Description of Annuitants and Nature of Annuitants.	Amounts of Annuities,			Amounts of Arrears due.			
Mary Jones	Spinster, daughter of testa- tor, during her life.	£ 50	s. 0	<i>d</i> . 0		£ 25	s. 0	$_{0}^{d}$.
Maria Williams	Widow of testator, during her life and widowhood.	200	0	0				
	Arrears due from 7th August, 1882, down to which it has been paid.	_			300	.0	0	
	Totals £				£			

No. 20. List of Apportionments among Creditors or Legatees. Apportionment among Creditors (or Legatees).

Names of Creditors (or Legatees).	Addresses.	Amounts before certified to be due and sub- sequent Interest.	Totals due.	Amounts apportioned.	
John Jones	20, Cheapside, London, woollen draper. Suhsequent interest	£ s. d. 200 0 0			
Thomas Young and Robert Young.	Braintree, in the county of Essex, executors of William Young, de- ceased.	200 0 0	217 10 0	57 4 8	
	Subsequent interest	17 10 0	217 10 0 Total £		

No. 21.

Receiver's Recognizance.

, o , of , and , of , Before our Sovereign Lady the Queen in her High Court of Justice personally appearing, do acknowledge themselves, and each of them doth acknowledge himself,

to owe to and , two of the chief clerks of the Chancery Division, the sum of , to be paid to the said and , or one of them, or the execu-fors or administrators of them, or one of them, and unless they do pay the same, they, the said do grant, and each of them doth grant for himself, his heirs, executors, and administrators, that the said sum of shall be levied, recovered, and received, of and from them and each of them, and of and from all and singular the manors, messuages, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, goods and chattels, of them and each of them wheresoever the same shall or may be found. Witness our said Sovereign Lady Viotoria, by the Grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland Queen, Defender of the Faith, and so forth, at the Royal Courts of Justice, the day of

Whereas, by an order of the High Court of Justice made in a cause wherein

are plaintiffs and defendants, and dated the day of

It was ordered that a proper person should be appointed to receive [or that upon e above bounden first giving security he should be appointed receiver of] the above bounden the rents and profits of the real estate, and to collect and get in the outstanding personal estate of in the said order named. this cause is assigned hath [approved of the said in the said order named. And whereas the judge to whom as a proper person to be such receiver, and hath] approved of the above bounden and as sureties for the said and hath also approved of the above written recognizance with the under-written condition as a proper security to be entered into by the said

pursuant to the said order and the general orders of the said Court in that behalf, and in testimony of such approbation the chief clerk of the said judge hath

signed an allowance in the margin hereof

Now the condition of the above written recognizance is such that if the said do and shall duly account for all and every the sum and sums of money which he shall so receive on account of the rents and profits of the real estate, and in respect of the personal estate of the said , at such periods as the said judge shall appoint, and do and shall duly pay the balances which shall from time to time be certified to be due from him as the said Court or judge hath directed or shall hereafter direct, then the above recognizance shall be void and of none effect, otherwise the same is to be and remain in full force and virtue.

Taken and acknowledged by the above-named, &c.

No. 22.*

Affidavit verifying Receiver's Report.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division.

Mr. Justice

(Title.)

, the receiver appointed in this cause, make oath and say as follows:

1. The account marked with the letter A. produced and shown to me at the time This is to of swearing this my affidavit, and purporting to be my account of the rents and accord with , the testator [or the order profits of the real estate and of the outstanding personal estate of intestate] in this cause, from the day of 18, to 18, to the day of 18 , both inclusive, contains a true account of all and every sum of money received the receiver. by me or by any other person or persons by my order or, to my knowledge or belief, for my use on account, or in respect of the said rents and profits accrued due on or which the before the said day of on an account or in respect of the said personal estate, account is before the said day of on an account or in respect of the said personal estate, account is except what is included as received in my former account [or accounts] sworn made up. by me.

2. The several sums of money mentioned in the said account, hereby verified to have been paid and allowed, have been actually and truly so paid and allowed for the several purposes in the said account mentioned.

3. The said account is just and true in all and every the items and particulars therein contained, according to the best of my knowledge and belief.

4. W. X. and Y. Z.

, the sureties named in the recognizance, dated the

dY. Z. , the sureties named in the recognizance, dated the 18 , are both alive, and neither of them has become bankrupt or of insolvent.

App. L.

26. Mr. Justice , the judge to whose Court this cause attached, has approved of and allowed this recognizance. Chief Clerk.

* This form was substituted by R. S. C. Oct. 1884, for that contained in the Rules of 1883.

appointing The day to App. L.

No. 23.

Affidavit verifying Abstract.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division.

Mr. Justice

(Title.)

I, A. B., of, &c., solicitor for in this cause [or matter], make oath and say as

I have carefully examined and compared the abstract written on sheets of paper, now produced and shown to me at the time of swearing this affidavit, and marked with the letter A, with the several deeds and documents thereby purported to be abstracted. Such abstract is a true and correct abstract of the said deeds and documents, so far as such deeds and documents relate to the hereditaments referred to in an order made in this action [or matter] dated the

No. 24.

Affidavit verifying Engrossments of Deeds.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

(Title.)

I, A. B., of, &c. , make oath and say as follows:

1. I have carefully examined and compared the parchment writing now produced and shown to me at the time of swearing this affidavit, and marked with the letter A, with the draft or paper writing now produced and shown to me at the time of swearing this affidavit, and marked with the letter B, being the draft of the conveyance [or settlement, &c.] settled at the chambers of the judge to whom this cause [or matter] is assigned pursuant to the order made in this cause [or matter]

2. The said parchment writing is a true and correct transcript and engrossment of the said draft.

No. 25.

Originating Summons.

In the High Court of Justice. Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

In the matter of the estate of A. B., deceased.

Between C. D. Plaintiff,

and E. F. Defendant.

Let E. F., the executor of the said A. B., attend at the chambers of Mr. Justice , at the Royal Courts of Justice, at the time specified in the margin [or, at the foot] hereof, upon the application of C. D., of , Esq., who claims to be a creditor [or, as the case may be] upon the estate of the above-named A. B., for an order for the administration of the personal [or real and personal] estate of the said A. B.

Dated the day of 18 .

(Seal.) This summons was taken out by , of , solicitors for the above-named

The following note to be added to the original summons and when the time is altered by indorsement the indorsement to be referred to as below:-

Note.—If you do not attend either in person or by your solicitor at the time and place above mentioned [or at the place above mentioned at the time men-tioned in the indorsement hereon], such order will be made and proceedings taken as the judge may think just and expedient.

No. 26.

App. L.

Request to set down Cause for further Consideration.

In the High Court of Justice.

Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

I request that this cause, the further consideration whereof was adjourned by order of the day of , may be set down for further consideration before Mr. Justice

> C. D., plaintiff's [or defendant's] solicitor.

No. 27.

Notice that Cause has been set down for further Consideration.

In the High Court of Justice.

Chancery Division. Mr. Justice

A. v. B.

Take notice that this cause, the further consideration whereof was adjourned by the order of the day of , was on the day of set down for further consideration before Mr. Justice for the day of

Dated, &c.

C. D.,

To Mr.

Solicitor for

Solicitor for

No. 28.

Form of ordering Accounts and Inquiries.

This Court doth order that the following accounts and inquiry be taken and made; that is to say,

1. An account of the personal estate not specifically bequeathed of A. B., deceased, the testator in the pleadings named, come to the hands of, &c.

2. An account of the testator's debts.

3. An account of the testator's funeral expenses.

4. An account of the testator's legacies and annuities (if any), given by the testator's will.

5. An inquiry what parts (if any) of the testator's said personal estate are out-

standing or undisposed of.

And it is ordered that the testator's personal estate not specifically bequeathed be applied in payment of his debts and funeral expenses in a due course of administration, and then in payment of the legacies and annuities (if any) given by his will.

(If ordered.)

And it is ordered that the following further inquiries and accounts be made and taken; that is to say,

6. An inquiry what real estate the testator was seised of or entitled to at the time of his death.

7. An account of the rents and profits of the testator's real estate received by, &c. 8. An inquiry what incumbrances (if any) affect the testator's real estate, or any and what parts thereof.

(If Sale ordered.)

9. An account of what is due to such of the incumbrancers as shall consent to the sale hereinafter directed in respect of their incumbrances.

10. An inquiry, what are the priorities of such last-mentioned incumbrances? And it is ordered that the testator's real estate be sold with the approbation of

the judge, &c., &c. And it is ordered that the further consideration of this cause be adjourned, and any of the parties are to be at liberty to apply as they may be advised.

v v 2

App. M.

* These rules are repealed so far as they are inconsistent with the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, ante, p. 215.

APPENDIX M.*

PAYMENT INTO AND OUT OF COURT.

1. Any party who intends to pay money into Court will on request at the Bank of England (Law Courts Branch), hereinafter called the Bank, be furnished with a form of request which must be filled up as hereinafter provided, and signed by such party or his solicitor. The money will then be received by the Bank, and an official receipt for the money will be given. Where the money is paid in upon a notice or pleading, such notice or pleading must be produced at the Bank at the time the money is paid in, and the receipt will be given on the margin thereof.

2. In filling up the request mentioned in the last preceding regulation, the party paying the money into Court shall enter thereon the letter, number and short title of the action, and the name of the party by whom the payment is made, and also

of the action, and the name of the party by whom the payment is made, and also such one of the following statements as may be applicable to the circumstances

under which the money is paid in, viz.:—

(a) Where the money is paid in, under the provisions of Rule 5 of Order XXII., an entry in the following form:

A. Paid in in satisfaction of claim of above-named (name of party (b) Where the money is paid in under the provisions of Rule 6 of Order XXII., an entry in the following form:

B. Paid in against claim of above-named (name of party), with defence, denying liability.

(c) Where the money is paid in under the provisions of Rule 26 of Order XXXI., an entry in the following form :-

C. Paid in to "Security for Costs Account."

(d) Where the money is paid in under an order or certificate, an entry in the fol-

lowing form: D. Paid in under order (or certificate) dated the day of

Upon the money being paid in, an entry corresponding with the entry in the request shall be made in the books of the Bank, and in the receipt given by the Bank for the money, whether such receipt be endorsed on a notice or pleading, or be a separate document.

3. Where a defendant has paid money into Court under an order, and desires to appropriate the whole or any part of such money to the whole or any epecified portion of the plaintiff's claim, pursuant to Rule II of Order XXII., he or his solicitor shall lodge at the Bank the original receipted order, and a notice, entitled with the letter, number, and short title of the action, and in such one of the following forms

as may be applicable to the case, viz.:A. Take notice that £ of the n of the money in Court herein, is appropriated by the above-named (name of party) to the satisfaction of claim of the above-named

(name of party).

B. Take notice that £ Take notice that £ of the money in Court herein, is placed by the above-named (name of party) against the claim of the above-named (name of party) with a defence, denying liability.

Upon such notice being lodged at the Bank, an entry corresponding thereto shall be made in the books of the Bank, and the money mentioned in the notice shall thereupon, for the purposes of payment out, be subject, in all respects, to regulations 4 and 5. A record of such appropriation shall be made by the Bank on the original receipted order, and the Bank will give a receipt in the usual form for the

money so appropriated.

4. Where, upon the payment of the money into Court, the request contains a statement in the Form A. of regulations 2 and 3, unless an order restraining the payment out of Court has, prior to the issue of the cheque hereinafter mentioned, been lodged at the Bank, the money will be paid out on request to the plaintiff, or

on his written authority to his solicitor.

5. Where, upon the payment of the money into Court, the request contains a statement in the Form B. of regulations 2 and 3, the following regulations shall apply:

(a.) If the plaintiff accepts the sum paid in in satisfaction, he or his solicitor shall lodge at the Bank a notice, entitled with the letter, number, and short title of the action, and in the following form:—
"Take notice that the sum paid in herein has been accepted by the above-

named [name of party] in satisfaction, and that I have given due notice

of my acceptance thereof."

Such notice shall be sufficient evidence to the Bank, of compliance by the plaintiff with all the conditions entitling him under Order XXII. to have the sum in question paid out to him, and such notice being lodged, the money will, on request, be paid out to the party mentioned in such notice, or on his written authority to his solicitor.

(b.) Unless such a notice as is above mentioned is lodged at the Bank, the money

App. M.

will not be paid out except on production at the Bank of an order of the

Court, or a judge.

6. Where, upon the payment of the money into Court, the request contains a statement in the Form C. of regulations 2 and 3, if, after the cause or matter has been finally disposed of, the party who paid the money in is entitled, under Order XXXI., Rule 27, to have the money paid out to him the taxing officer shall, on the taxation of the costs, give to such party a certificate that he is so entitled; and upon production of such certificate at the Bank, unless an order restraining the payment out of Court has previously been lodged at the Bank, the money mentioned in the certificate, will en request, be paid out to the party mentioned in the certificate as entitled thereto, or on his written authority to his soliciter. Except as above provided, where, upon the payment of the money into Ceurt, the request contains a statement in either of the Forms C. or D., the money will not be paid out except on production at the Bank of an order of the Court or a judge.

7. On bespeaking payment out of Court of meney paid in on a notice or pleading, the original receipted notice or pleading must be ledged at the Bank.

8. Where money is to be paid out under an order or authority, on bespeaking the payment out the order or authority must be ledged at the Bank, and after having

payment out the order or authority must be ledged at the Bank, and after having been examined by the Bank must be filed in the Filing Department of the Central Office; and a certificate of its having been se filed must be lodged at the Bank on receiving the cheque.

9. Every authority for the payment of money out of Court must be attested by a witness, whose residence and description must be added to his attestation.

10. Each sum paid into Court shall, as regards its payment out of Court, be deemed (when the time for payment out arrives) to be money standing to the credit of the Masters.

11. All payments out shall be authorized by cheques upon the Bank, filled in by the Bank, and drawn in favour of the party claiming to receive the meney. One clear day shall be allowed for the preparation of the cheque and it shall be signed by one of the Masters, and made payable to order, crossed specially or generally,

and marked "net negotiable."

12. Whenever the cheque is required to be drawn in favour of any person, not a solicitor of the Supreme Court, the Bank may require him to be identified by a selicitor. If such person shall be represented in the cause or matter by a selicitor, the identifying solicitor must be such selicitor; and in case a selicitor on requiring the cheque to be made payable to himself, or on identifying any person receiving such cheque, shall not be known at the Bank, the Bank may, at their discretion, require, on delivery of the cheque, the production by such selicitor of his annual certificate.

13. Where an order directs that money paid into Court is to be invested, the Master to whom the cause or matter is assigned, shall, in the case of an investment, direct the Bank to invest such money in the securities mentioned in the order, and to pay the money necessary for such investment to the Government broker, conditionally, upon his causing the securities to be transferred to the credit of the Masters or persons named in the order or direction; and the said direction shall specify the title of the cause or matter to the credit of which the securities purchased,

is to be placed in the books of the Bank.

14. The Bank, on receipt of a direction to invest, shall cause the securities mentioned therein to be purchased in the name of the Masters, or other persons mentioned in the direction, and shall receive and retain the certificate issued by the body corporate, or company, in whose books the securities purchased are registered, and the said certificate shall be sufficient evidence for all purpeses that the purchase of such securities has been actually made; and the securities so purchased shall be placed in the beeks of the Bank to the same credit as that to which the money was paid in, unless the order of the Court or a judge otherwise directs.

15. The dividends on the securities purchased, shall, as and when the same respectively are received, or become dus, be placed in the books to the same credit as that to which the money was originally paid in.

16. When securities are to be sold, the Master to whom the cause or matter is

assigned shall direct the Bank to receive the proceeds of the sale, and place the same to the credit of such cause or matter, and the Bank shall, upon receipt of the necessary direction, cause the necessary sale to be carried out and the proceeds of such sale to be placed to the credit of the cause or matter mentioned in the direction.

17. The books kept by the Bank relating to payments of money into and out of Court shall be open at all times for inspection by the Masters; but no other person, not belonging to the Bank, shall be entitled to inspect such books without the

written authority of a master.

18. In any case in which an affidavit is required, an office copy must be produced at the Bank. All forms to be used under these regulations shall be framed by the Masters, with the approval of the Governor and Company of the Bank of England.

APPENDIX N.

COSTS.						
	H	ighe cale.	r		owe	
Writ of summons for the commencement of any action And for indorsement of claim, if special	æ	8. 13 5	d. 4 0	£ 0 0		<i>d</i> . 8 0
Concurrent writ of summons	0	6	8	0	6 6	8 8
Notice of a writ for service in lieu of writ out of jurisdiction. Writ of inquiry Writ of mandamus	0 1 1	5 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 0	4 1 10	0 0 0
Or per folio	0	6	4 8	0	1 6	4 8
And if more than four folios, for each folio beyond four Writ or writs of subpœna ad testificandum for any number of persons not exceeding three, and the same for every ad-	0	1	4	0	1	4
ditional number not exceeding three	0	6 13	8 4	0	6 13	8 4
or order And if more than four folios, for each folio beyond four Procuring a writ of execution or notice to the sheriff,	0	10 1	0 4	0	7 1	0 4
marked with a seal of renewal Notice thereof to serve on sheriff	0	6 5	8	0	6	8
Any writ not included in the above These fees include all indorsements and copies, or præcipes, for the officer sealing them, and attendances to issue or seal, except where otherwise provided, but not the Court fees.	U	10	0	0	7	0
Summonses to attend at judges' chambers	0 1	6 1	8 0		3 13	0 4
Copy for the judge, when required Or per folio Originating summons for proceedings in chambers in the	0	2 0	0 4	0	2 0	0 4
Chancery Division at taxing officer's discretion, not exceeding	1	1	0	1	1	0
proper office to file duplicate and get copies for service stamped	0	13 2	4 0	0	13 2	4 0
Or per folio	0	0 6	4 8	0	0 6	4 8
Services and Notices.						
Service, or filing in lieu of service, of any writ, summons, warrant, interrogatories, petition, order, or notice on a party who has not entered an appearance, and if not						
authorized to be served by post	0	5	0	0	5	0
same, for each mile beyond such two miles therefrom Where, in consequence of the distance of the party to be served, it is proper to effect such service through an agent	0	1	0	0	1	0
(other than the London agent), for correspondence in addition Where more than one attendance is necessary to effect service,	0	7	0	0	7	0
or to ground an application for substituted service, such further allowance may be made as the taxing officer shall think fit.						
For service out of the jurisdiction such allowance is to be made as the faxing officer shall think fit.						
Service where an appearance has been entered on the solicitor or party	0	2 1	6 6	0	2 1	6 6

				Lower			App. N.
Where any writ, order, and notice, or any two of them, have to be served together, one fee only for service is to be	е	8.	u.	25	8.	d.	***
allowed. In addition to the above fees, the following allowances are							
to be made:— As to writs, if exceeding two folios, for copy for service	,						
per folio beyond such two	1	0	4	0	0	4	
or per folio	. 0	0	0 4	0	0	0 4	
As to notices in proceedings to wind up companies, for pre- paring or filling up each notice to creditors to attend and receive dehts, and to contributories to settle list of con-	i						
And for preparing or filling up each notice to contributories to be served with a general order for a call, or an order for	В	1	0	0	I	0	
payment of a call	. 0	1	0	0	1	0	
creditors of a meeting, per folio	. 0	1 0	0 4	0	1 0	0 4	
For preparing or filling up for service in any other cause or matter, each notice to creditors to prove claims, and each notice that cheques may be received, specifying the amount	r 1						
to he received for principal and interest, and costs, if any . For preparing notice to produce on the trial or hearing of	, 0	1	0	0	1	0	
an action, or notice to admit If special or necessarily long, such allowance as the taxing	0	7	6	0	5	0	
officer shall think proper, not exceeding per folio	U	1	0	0	0	8	
think proper, not exceeding per folio	. 0	ō	4	0	0	4	
For preparing notice of motion		5	0	0	3	0	
Or per folio	. 0	1	0	0	1	0	
Or per folio	ŏ	ō	4	ŏ	ô	4	
For preparing any necessary or proper notice, not otherwise provided for, or any demand, pursuant to Ord. VII. rr. 1	e L	1	6	0	1	6	
and 2 Or if special, and necessarily exceeding three folios, for pre-	•	_			_		
paring same, for each folio beyond three	0	0	0 4	0	0	0 4	
orders with necessary notices (if any) to accompany, per	r	0	4	0	0	4	
folio Except as otherwise provided, the allowances for services include copies for service.	3	Ŭ	•	Ū	·	•	
Where notice of filing affidavits is required, only one notice is to be allowed for a set of affidavits filed, or which ought to be filed together.	e t						
In proceedings to wind up a company, the usual charges relating to printing shall be allowed in lieu of copies for	ľ						
service, where the fee for copies would exceed the charges for printing, and amount to more than 31.							
Where any appointment is or ought to be adjourned, service of a notice of the adjournment, or next appointment, is not to be allowed.	e t						
Appearances.							
Entoring any annearance	0	6	8	0	6	8	
Entering any appearance	-		0	0		•	
defendant beyond the first If a person appearing to a writ of summons to recover land limits his defence by his memorandum of appearance, in	l L				_		
addition to the above	0	6	٥	0	0	ō	
Instructions.							
To sue or defend		$^{13}_{\ 2}$	4 0	0	6 13	8 4	

001	Politico Or Title Socialization of Commission						
App. N.			er Se		Lowe	er Sc 8.	
	For indorsement of writ of summons when no further statement of claim	1	1	0 0 4		13 1	4 0 8
	For defence or further defence For counter-claim For reply when defendant sets up a counter-claim For reply or further reply in any other case with or without	0	13	0	0	6 13	8 4
	joinder of issue For confession of defence For joinder of issue without other matter For special petition, any other pleading (not being a summons), and interrogatories for examination of a party or	0 0 0	13 13 13	4 4	0 0 0	6 6 6	8 8 8
	witness To amend any pleading For affidavit in answer to interrogatories, and other special affidavite	0	13 13 6	4 4 8	0 0 0	6 6	8 8 8
	To appeal against order of Court or judge, and to appear thereon To add parties by order of Court or judge For counsel to advise on evidence when the evidence in chief	1	1 13	0 4	0	13 6	4 8
	is to be taken orally	0	6	8	0	6	8
	where no other brief For brief on motion for special injunction For brief on hearing or trial of action upon notice of trial or notice for judgment given, whether such trial be before a judge, with or without a jury, or before an official or special referee, or on trial of an issue of fact before a judge, commissioner, or referee, or on assessment of da-	1	10	0		6 13	8 4
	mages For such brief, and for brief on the hearing of an appeal when witnesses are to be examined or cross-examined, such fee may be allowed as the taxing officer shall think fit, having regard to all the circumstances of the case, and to other allowances, if any, for attendances on witnesses and procuring evidence. The fees for instructions for brief are to apply to a hearing on further consideration in Court only where an order for accounts and inquiries was made without such hearing or trial, as above mentioned.	. •	2	0	1	1	0
	Deawing Pleadings and other Documents. Statement of claim Or per folio Defence Or per folio Counter-claim Or per folio Reply, with or without joinder of issue, confession of defence, joinder of issue without other matter, and any other pleading (not being a petition or summons) and amendments of	0 1 0	1 1 10 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	10 1 5 1 5 1	0 0 0 0 0
	any pleading Or per folio Particulare, breaches and objections, when required, and one	_	10 1	0	0	5 1	0
	or such amount as the taxing officer shall think fit, not ex-	0	6	8	0	5	0
	ceeding per folio	0	1	0	0	0	8
	per folio Special case, whether original or in an action, affidavits in answer to interrogatories and other special affidavits, enecial	0	0	4	0	0	4
	petitions and interrogatories, per folio	O	1	0	0	1	0

	High £			Lowe	r Sc		App. N.
and proper in addition to pleadings, including necessary an	ıd	_	_		_	_	
proper observations, per folio	. 0	1 10		0	1 6	8	
Or per folio	. 0	1	0	0	1	0	
Brief on further consideration, per sheet of 10 folios Accounts, statements, and other documents for the judger chambers, when required, not exceeding per folio	e '		8	0	6	8	
Advertisements to be signed by judge's clerk, including	or			_	_		
attendance therefor Bills of costs for taxation, including copy for the taxin	g	13	4	0	6	8	
officer	. 0	0	8	0	0	8	
Copies.							
Of pleadings, briefs, and other documents where no other	er						
provision is made, at per folio	se of ne ;),	0	4	0	0	4	Λ
at per folio	(0	0	4	
And for examining the proof print, at per folio		0	2	0	0	2	
printer, not exceeding per folio		1	0	0	1	0	
per folio And where any part shall properly be printed in a foreig	(0	1	0	0	1	
language, or as a fac-simile, or in any unusual or speci- manner, or where any alteration in the document bein printed becomes necessary after the first proof, such furth allowance shall be made as the taxing officer shall thin reasonable.	al g er						
These allowances are to include all attendances on the	1e						
printer. The solicitor for a party entitled to take printed copies shabe allowed, for such number of copies as he shall necessity.							
earily or properly take, the amount he shall pay therefor. In addition to the allowances for printing and taking printe							
copies, there shall be allowed for such printed copies a may be necessary or proper for the following, but for n	3.5						
other purposes (videlicet): Of any pleading for delivery to the opposite party, or filin	g						
in default of appearance	•						
Of any petition of right for presentation, if presented i print, and for the solicitor of the Treasury, and service	n						
on any party							
of the Court or judge							
And of any pleading, special case, petition of right, of evidence for the use of counsel in Court, and in countragency causes when proper to be sent as a close copy for	or Y						
the use of the country solicitor, at per folio	. 0	0	3	0	0	2	
or judge, and for counsel, are not to be made where writted copies have been made previously to printing, and are not in any case to be made more than once in the progress of	n ot						
the cause.							
Close copies, whether printed or written, are not to be allowed as of course, but the allowance is to depend on the propriety of making or sending the copies, which in each case of the copies, which in each case of the copies	-						
is to be shown and considered by the taxing officer. Inserting amendments in a printed copy of any pleading							
special case, or petition of right, when not reprinted Or per folio		5 0	0 4	0	0	0 4	

Ann N		TT:~	hom S	lan Ia	T	- C-	o I o
App. N.				d.	Lowe £	8.	
	Perusals.						
	Of statement of claim, defence, reply, joinder of issue, and other pleading (not being a petition in a pending cause of matter, or summons other than an originating summons) by the solicitor of the party to whom the same are delivered	•	13	4	0	6	8
	Or per folio	0	0	4	0	0	4
	Of amendment of any such pleading in writing Or per folio	0	_	8 4	0	6	8 4
	If same reprinted	ő		4	ŏ	6	8
	Or per folio of amendment	0		4	0	0	4
	Of interrogatories to be answered by a party by his solicitor. Or per folio	ő	13	4	0	6 0	8 4
	Of special case by the solicitor of any party except the one by	_				_	
	whom it is prepared	0	13	4	0	6 0	8 4
	Of copy order to add parties, notice of defendant's claim	Ī	·	-		·	•
	against any person not a party to the action under Ord. XVI. r. 49, and of defendant's defence and counter-						
	claim served on a person not a party under Ord. XXI. r. 13,						
	by the solicitor of the party served therewith, and in these several cases the perusal of the plaintiff's statement of claim						
	is also to be allowed unless the solicitor has been previously						
	allowed such perusal	_	13 0	4	0	6	8
	Or per felic	0	U	*	0	0	4
	to admit by the soliciter of the party served	0		4	0	6	8
	Or (if to admit facts) under Ord. XXXII. r. 4, per felio Of affidavit in answer to interrogatories by the selicitor of	0	1	0	0	1	0
	the party interrogating, and of other special affidavits by						
	the solicitor of the party against whom the same can be read, per folio	0	0	4	0	0	4
	,						
	ATTENDANCES.						
	To obtain consent of next friend to sue in his name or of a guardian ad litem	0	13	4	0	6.	8
	To deliver, or file in lieu of delivery, any pleading (not being	Ĭ			·		Ü
	a petition or summons) and a special case To inspect, or preduce for inspection, documents pursuant to	0	6	8	0	3	4
	a notice to admit	0	13	4	0	6	8
	Or per hour	0	6 13	8 4	0	6 6	8
	To inspect, or produce for inspection, documents referred	٠	10	•	Ū	٠	٠
	to in any pleading, notice in lieu of pleading, or affidavit,	0	6	8	٥	a	Q
	pursuant to notice under Ord. XXXI. r. 14	0	6	8	0	6 6	8
	To obtain or give any necessary or proper consent	0	6 6	8	0	6 6	8 8
	To obtain an appointment to examine witnesses On examination of witnesses before any examiner, commis-	U	U	o	0	0	0
	sioner, officer, or other person		13	4	0	13	4
	Or according to circumstances, not to exceed	2 3	2 3	0	2 3	2	0
	On deponents being sworn, or by a solicitor or his clerk to						
	be sworn, to an affidavit in answer to interrogatories or other special affidavit	0	6	8	0	6	8
	On a summons at judges' chambers	0	6	8	0	6	8
	Or according to circumstances, not to exceed In the Chancery Division, all allowances for attending at	1	1	0	1	1	0
	the judges' chambers are to be by the judge or chief clerk						
	as heretofore. To file chief clerks' and taxing masters' certificates, and get						
	copy marked as an office copy	0	6	8	0	6	8
	On counsel with brief or other papers— If counsel's fee one guinea	0	6	8	Λ	3	,
	If more and under five guineas	ő	-	8	0	8	8
	If five guineas and under twenty guineas		13	4	0	6	8
	If twenty guineas	1 2	1 2	0	U	13	4
	• • •						

		er S		Lowe £	r Sa		App. N.
On consultation or conference with counsel		13	4		13	4	
hearing or trial In Court on motion of course and on counsel and for order	0	6 13	8 4	0	6 10	8 0	
To present petition for order of course and for order In Court on every special motion, each day	0	13 13	4	0	10 6	0 8	
On same when heard each day	0	13	4		13	4	
Or according to circumstances, not to exceed	2	2	0	2	2	0	
from the judges' chambers, when in the special paper for the day, or likely to be heard	0	10	0	0	6	8	
Or according to circumstances, not to exceed	$\frac{1}{2}$		0	$\frac{0}{2}$	13 2	4 0	
On hearing or trial of any cause, or matter, or issue of fact, in London or Middlesex, or the town where the	_	_	·	-	_		
solicitor resides or carries on business, whether before a							
judge with or without a jury, or commissioner, or referee, or on assessment of damages, when in the paper	0	10	0	0	10	0	
When heard or tried Or according to circumstances, not to exceed	1 3	1 3	0	0 3	13 3	4 0	
When not in London or Middlessx, nor in the town where the solicitor resides or carries on business, for each day							
(except Sundays) he is necessarily absent	3	3	0	3	3	0	
And expenses (besides actual reasonable travelling expenses) each day, including Sundays	1	1	0	1	1	0	
Or if the solicitor has to attend on more than one trial or assessment at the same time and place, in each case		11	6	1	1	0	
The expenses in such case to be rateably divided. To hear judgment when same adjourned	0	13	4	0	6	8	
Or according to circumstances	1	1	0	0	13	4	
to a hearing	0	6 13	8 4	0	6 13	8 4	
If more than one judge	0	6	8	0	6	8	
Or according to circumstances, not to exceed	2	2	0	2	2	0	
the taxing officer shall consider such amount inadequate, in which case he may allow such further fee as he shall							
think proper. In actions and matters for purposes within the cognizance of							
the Court of Chancery before the Principal Act came into	•						
operation, such further fee as the taxing officer may think fit, not exceeding the allowances heretofore made.							
To obtain or give an undertaking to appear		_		0	6 6		
On printer to insert advertisement in Gazette	0	_	_	0	6	8	
Or every two		_	-	0	6	8	
On registrar to certify that a cause set down is settled, or for any reason not to come into the paper for hearing	0	_		0	6		
For an order drawn up by chief clerk, and to get same entered On counsel to procure certificate that cause proper to be heard	. 0	6	8	0	6	8	
as a short cause, and on registrar to mark same To mark conveyancing counsel or taxing master		_		0	6 6	_	
For preparing and drawing up an order made at chambers in proceedings to wind up a company and attending for same,		-					
and to get same entered	0	13	4 4		13		
And for engrossing every such order, per folio	. 0	U	*	U	0	4	
ex parte application, and to which a party is entitled as of right on his own statement and at his own risk.							
To examine an abstract of title with deeds, per hour, in a cause or matter		10	0	0	10	0	
To produce deeds for such purpose, per hour		6				8	
OATHS AND EXHIBITS.							
Commissioners to take oaths or affidavits. For every oath, declaration, affirmation, or attestation upon honour in Lon-							
don or the country	0	1	6	0	1	6	

App. N.			er Sc		Lowe	r Sce 8.	
	The solicitor for preparing each exhibit in town or country The commissioner for marking each exhibit	0	1 1	0	0	_	0
	TERM FEES.						
	For every term commencing on the day the sittings in London and Middlesex of the High Court of Justice commence, and terminating on the day preceding the next such sittings, in which a proceeding in the cause or matter by or affecting						
		15 6		0	15 6	0	
	the circumstances require it. In addition to the above an allowance is to be made for the necessary expense of postages, carriage and transmission of documents.						
	APPENDIX O.						
	 (1.) The several Rules, Orders, and Forms contained in the Stote to the Supreme Court of Judicature Act (1873), Ame (2.) The additional Rules to the Judicature Act, 1875. 	chedu ndme	ıle a ent .	nd Act	Appe	ndi	x
	 (3.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, December, 1875. (4.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, February, 1876. (5.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, June, 1876. (6.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, December, 1876. 						
	(7.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, May, 1877. (8.) The Rules of the Supreme Court (Costs). (9.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, June, 1877.						
	 (10.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, November, 1878. (11.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, March, 1879. (12.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, December, 1879. 						
	(13.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, April, 1880.						

(14.) The Rules of the Supreme Court, May, 1880.
(15.) Rules of the Supreme Court, May, 1883.
(16.) The Regulæ Generales of Hilary Term, 1853, dated 11th January, 1853, (16.) The Regulæ Generales of Hilary Term, 1853, dated 11th January, 1853, (except the Rules as to juries).
(17.) Regulæ Generales, as to pleading made by the judges in pursuance of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852, dated the 10th of May, 1853.
(18.) The Rules under the 6th section of the Debtors Act, 1869.
(19.) The Chancery Consolidated General Orders of 1860.
(20.) The Chancery Orders dated—

March 6th, 1860. March 20th, 1860. February 1st, 1861. February 5th, 1861. July 13th, 1861. January 1st, 1862. May 16th, 1862. May 27th, 1865. May 7th, 1866. November 22nd, 1866. April 17th, 1867.

(21.) The Chancery Regulations, dated August 8th, 1857, and March 15th, 1860.
(22.) The Rules, Orders, and Regulations for the High Court of Admiralty of England, 1859 and 1871.

(Signed)

SELBORNE, C. Coleridge, C. J. W. B. Brett, M. R. James Hannen. Nath. Lindley, L. J. EDW. FEY, L. J. C. E. POLLOCK, B. H. MANISTY, J.

HENRY COTTON, L. J., (Signed in respect of Rules as to sittings of Court of Appeal.)

ORDER AS TO THE FEES AND PERCENTAGES WHICH

ARE REQUIRED TO BE TAKEN IN THE SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE BY MEANS OF STAMPS.

[4th July, 1884.

WHEREAS by sect. 26 of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, it is provided that the fees and percentages appointed to be taken in the High Court of Justice and in the Court of Appeal, and in any Court to be created by any Commission, and in any office which is connected with any of those Courts, or in which any business connected with any of those Courts is conducted, shall, except so far as may be otherwise directed, be taken by means of stamps; and further, that such stamps shall be impressed or adhesive, as the Treasury may from time to time direct; and that the Treasury, with the concurrence of the Lord Chancellor, may from time to time make such rules as may seem fit for publishing the amount of the fees and regulating the use of such stamps, and particularly for prescribing the application thereof to documents from time to time in use or required to be used for the purposes of such stamps, and for ensuring the proper cancellation of such stamps, and for keeping accounts of such stamps.

Now, we, the undersigned, being two of the Lords Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury, do, with the concurrence of the Lord Chancellor, hereby give notice, and order and direct:—

1. That from and after the date at which this Order shall come into operation the stamps used for denoting the said fees and percentages shall be of the character, and be applied and otherwise dealt with in the manner, prescribed in the schedule

2. That the adhesive stamps at present in use in the Supreme Court of Judicature shall continue to be used so long as they are supplied by the Commissioners of

Inland Revenue.

3. That in any case in which a deposit of stamps is required, pursuant to the Order as to Supreme Court Fees, 1884, such deposit shall be made in the manner provided by such Order.

THE SCHEDULE above referred to.

The official forms, with impressed or adhesive stamps (as the case may be), required in any Court or Office of the Supreme Court, in respect of any proceedings herein referred to, may be obtained at the Inland Revenue Offices, Royal Courts of

Forms and stamps for use in the Principal Probate Registry (which except for searches are all adhesive), can be purchased from the licensed vendors at Somerset House.

Summonses, Writs, Commissions and Warrants.

	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
On sealing a writ of summons for commencement of an action On sealing a concurrent, renewed, or amended writ of summons for commencement of an action	Writof sum- mons.	Impressed.	
On sealing a notice for ser- vice under Ord. XVI.r. 48	Notice	Impressed or adhesive.	
On sealing a writ of mandamus	Præcipe left at time of issuing writ	(Impressed, adhesive in ProbateRegistry.	

	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
On sealing or issuing any originating summons.	Summons	Impressed.	
	Præcipe	Adhesive.	
On sealing or issuing a sum- mons for directions under Ord. XXX.	Summons	Impressed or adhesive.	
On sealing or issuing any other summons or taxing master's warrant.	Summons or warrant.	Impressed or adhesive.	
On filing a notice to have a reference to an Admiralty registrar placed in the list for hearing	Notice	Impressed.	
On sealing or issuing a commission to take oaths or affidavits in the Su-	Commission	Impressed.	
preme Court.			The commission or the copy of petition to be written on im-
On every other commission	Commission	Impressed, ad- hesive in Pro- bate Registry	pressed paper, or the document to be produced at the
On marking a copy of a petition of right for service.	Copy of petition		Inland Revenue, Royal Courts of Justice, to be stamped.

Appearances.

The fee payable on entering or amending an appearance shall be denoted by an impressed stamp on the form of memorandum as prescribed by the Appendix to the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, and where the appearance of more than one person is entered by the same memorandum, the fees for all persons beyond the first shall be denoted by means of impressed stamps.

Forms of memorandum of appearance with the impressed stamp for one or more defendants will be sold at the Inland Revenue Office, Royal Courts of Justice.

Copies.

	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.
On a copy of a written deposition of a witness to enable a party to print	Сору	Impressed or adhesive
the same. On examining a written or printed copy, and marking or sealing same as an office copy.	Сору	Impressed or adhesive
On making a copy, and marking same as an office copy.	Сору	Impressed or adhesive
On a copy in a foreign language	Copy Præcipe or copy	Impressed or adhesive Impressed or adhesive
On a printed copy of an order, not being an office or certified copy.	Сору	Impressed or adhesive

Attendances.

The fees payable under this heading shall be denoted either by an impressed or adhesive stamp on the subposna, notice or other document requiring the attendance of the officer.

Oaths, &c.

	Carros, S	,,,,		
On taking an affidavit or an affirmation or attestation upon honour in lieu of an affidavit or a declaration, except for the purpose of receipt of dividends from the Paymaster-General.	Document to be Stamped. Affidavit or other document answering thereto.	Character Stamp to be ————————————————————————————————————	or	Regulations and Observations.
	Documents to be s Character of Stam		Regu	ılations and Observations.
And in addition thereto, for each exhibit therein referred to and required to be marked.	Stamps to be or adhesive o		sh	amount of stamps nould be marked on the fice copy.

Filing.

	Filing	7.	
	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
On filing a special case or petition of right.	Special case, petition of right, or præ- cipe.	Impressed	Where practicable stamp to be on spe- cial case or petition of right, and in other cases on præ-
On filing, except in Admiralty actions, an affidavit, depositions (including any exhibits annexed to any such affidavit or deposition), statement of claim in default of appearance, official and special referees' certificates, petition, preliminary act, submission to arbitration, award, warrant of attorney, cognovit, bail satisfaction piece, bond, writ of execution with return, and power of attorney, and every other proceeding in a probate action or in a divorce or other matrimonial cause or matter required by Act of Parliament, general order, or order in the action, cause, or matter to be filed in the Principal Probate Regietry.	Document filed	Impressed or adhesive.	cipe filed.

•——	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
On filing a scheme pursuant to the statute 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, or the Liqui-	Scheme	Impressed.	
dation Act, 1868. On filing scripts in a probate action or on depositing, pursuant to an order in any cause or matter, any documents for safe custody or production.	Affidavit or order.	Adhesive.	
	Receipt	Adhesive.	
On filing an affidavit and notice under Ord. XLVI.	Affidavit	Impressed.	
_ =	Minute	Impressed or adhesive.	
On filing a bill of sale and affidavit therewith.	Bill of sale	Impressed.	
On filing under the Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, any other document.	Document	Impressed.	
On filing an affidavit of re- registration of a bill of sale.	Affidavit	Impressed.	
On filing a fiat of satisfac- tion.	Fiat	Impressed.	

Certificates.

	Document to be	Character of	Regulations and
	Stamped.	Stamp to be used.	Observations,
On a certificate of appearance or of a pleading, affidavit, or proceeding having been entered, filed, or taken, or of the negative thereof, including certificate for use in a foreign country, and certificate of proceedings pursuant to Ord. LXI. r. 24.	Certificate	Impressed or adhesive.	

Searches and Inspections.

The fees on searches and inspections shall be taken by means of impressed stamps on a form of application which will be issued and sold at the Inland Revenue Office, Royal Courts of Justice; or, for the Principal Probate Registry, at Somerset House.

Examination of Witnesses.

The fees under this heading may still be denoted by means of adhesive stamps, which may be affixed either to the deposition or to the order or memorandum of appointment for an examination.

Hearing.

	Document to be	Character of	Regulations and
	Stamped.	Stamp to be used.	Observations.
the judge at a trial and certifying same if re- quired.	In the Chancery Registrar's Office, on forms provided for the purpose At offices of Associates on copy of pleadings. At all other offices of the High Court or Court of Appeal on præcipe Præcipe	Impressed or adhesive. Impressed or adhesive in Probate Registry. Impressed or adhesive. Impressed or adhesive. Impressed.	

Judgments, Decrees, and Orders.

		,	
	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
ing a judgment, decree, or order, whether on the original hearing of a cause or on further consideration, including a cause commenced by summons at chambers, and an order on the hearing of a special case or petition, and any order by the Court of Appeal or any other order or judgment.	cree, or order.	Stamp to be impressed on the judgment or order except at the Crown Office, where adhesive stamps may for the present be also a dimitted, but, as far as practicable, a præcipe, with an impressed stamp, should in all cases be used. Adhesive stamps to be used in the Principal Probate Registry.	
On signing a note or memorandum of an order, pursuant to Ord. LII. r. 14, when required for production, where no order is drawn up.	randum.	Impressed or adhesive.	
On a memorandum to enter an order nunc pro tunc. For copy of a plan, map, section, drawing, photo- graph, or diagram re- quired to accompany any order.	Memorandum . Copy	•	Where an adhesive stamp would damage the copy, a præcipe with the impressed stamp should be used.

Proceedings at Judge's Chambers or before a Master, Registrar, District Registrar or Official Referee.

The fees payable on these proceedings shall be paid in the manner provided by the order as to Supreme Court Fees, 1884, either by impressed or adhesive stamps, and where any such fees become due and payable upon making a certificate or order they shall be impressed or attached on the certificate or order. When any such fee is impressed or attached on an order, the officer who enters the order shall note on the entry the amount of the fee appearing on the order; and where any such fee is impressed or attached on a certificate the amount thereof shall be noted on every office copy thereof.

Taxation of Costs.

 Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
Bill	adhesivė.	In any case in which the fees have not been paid by stamps on the bill of costs, and a certificate is used, the fee to be denoted by impressed stamp on the certi- ficate.

On Proceedings in the Pay Office of the Supreme Court.

	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be us	
On a certificate of the amount and description of any money, funds, or securities, including the	Request	Impressed.	
request therefor. On a transcript of an account for each opening, including the request therefor.	Transcript	Impressed.	
on a request to the Paymaster, Bank of England, or a Registrar, of the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division (unless otherwise provided), for any of the following purposes: paying, lodging, transferring, or depositing money, funds, or securities in Court without an order, or money in addition to the amount directed by an order to be paid in; paying out of Court any money without an order or a certificate of a taxing officer.	Request	Impressed.	
On a request for informa- tion in writing in respect of any money, funds, or securities, or any tran-	Request	Impressed adhesive.	or
saction in the Pay Office. On a request for informa- tion respecting any money, funds, or securi- ties to the credit of any cause or matter contained in any list prepared by the Paymaster of causes and matters to the credit of which any money, funds, or securities have not been dealt with dur- ing 15 years.	Request	adhesive.	or
on an affidavit for the pur- pose of paying, transfer- ring, or depositing any money, funds, or securi- ties in Court pursuant to the Statute 10 & 11	Office copy of schedule.	Impressed.	
Vict. c. 96. On preparing a power of attorney.	Power of at- torney.	Impressed.	

Register of Judgments and Lis Pendens.

-		т————	1
	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
On registering a judgment or lis pendens On re-registering same On a search	Memoran- dum of re- gistry General form of search præcipe	$\left. \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right.$ Impressed.	
On a certificate of entry of satisfaction.		J	
On a request for search and certificate pursuant to Ord. LXI. r. 23.	,	Impressed er adhesive.	
On a duplicate certificate	Certificate	Impressed or adhesive.	
On a continuation search	Original certi- ficate.	Impressed or adhesive.	
On a certificate of a judgment for registration in Ireland or Scotland under the Judgments Extension Act, 1868, including affidavit		Impressed or adhesive.	

Miscellaneous.

	Document to be Stamped.	Character of Stamp to be used.	Regulations and Observations.
On a report of a private Bill in Parliament.	Report	Impressed.	
On an allowance of bye- laws or table of fees.	Allowance	Impressed.	
On a fiat of a judge	Fiat	Impressed.	
On signing, settling or approving an advertisement.	Advertisement.	Impressed, or adhesive in Probate Re- gistry.	
On taking acknowledgment of a deed by a married weman.		Impressed.	
On taking a recognizance or bond.	Recognizance .	Impressed.	
On assignment of a bend			
On taking bail, and taking same off the file and deli- vering.	Bail piece	Impressed.	
On a commitment	Commitment	1	
On an application to produce judge's notes.		$\left. \left. \right \right.$ Impressed.	

	Document to be Stamped.	Character o Stamp to be us		Regulations and Observations.
On appointment of commissioners under glebe exchange.	Appointment	Impressed.		
On vacating a recognizance	Recognizance	Impressed.		
On a citation	Præcipe	Adhesive.		
On admission or re-admis-	Admission	Impressed.		
sion of a solicitor.	120111111111111111111111111111111111111	impresseu.		1
On filing a claim in the Admiralty Registry for	Claim	Impressed adhesive.	or	
repayment of the excess of wages paid to a substi- tute hired in the place of a volunteer into the Royal Navy, including copy sent		adnesive.		
to the Admiralty.	D	T		1
On the opinion of the Admiralty Registrar objecting to the claim.	Document	Impressed.		
On a certificate of the Ad-	Certificate	Impressed.		
miralty Registrar order-		1		İ
ing payment of amount		İ		
due, including the copy to				
be sent to the Account-				
ant-General of the Navy.		}		
On registering in the Ad-	Power of at-	Impressed.		1
miralty Registry a power	torney.	zarprossou.		
of attorney for a Queen's	,			
ship generally, and a				
copy thereof for the Ac-				
countant-General of the				
Navy.				
On registering same specially.	Power of at- terney.	Impressed.		
On taking accounts by the	Account	Impressed	\mathbf{or}	
Admiralty Registrar in		adhesive.	-	
naval prize matters.				
On Admiralty Registrar	Document	Impressed	or	
writing letters in regard	Documono	adhesive.	OI.	
to naval prize matters.		aunesive.		
	Account	Impressed	or	
of 50l., paid out of the	Account	adhesive.	OI.	
Admiralty Registry in		aunesive.		
any action, or to the				
Naval Prize Account.				
	Dogument	Tenamagaad	~ ***	These are to be :
y			υr	These are to be im-
pleading, or document	Præcipe.	adhesive.		pressed, if practic-
not hereinbefore speci- fied.				able, where not filed in the office.
meu.				in the onice.

General Directions.

In any case in which the use of impressed stamps is prescribed, paper or parchment on which the document requiring a stamp is to be written may be stamped at the Inland Revenue Office, Royal Courts of Justice, notwithstanding that stamped forms are also provided by the Commissioners of Inland Revenue.

The cancellation shall be effected in such manner as the Commissioners of Inland Revenue shall from time to time direct.

Revenue shall from time to time direct.

It shall be obligatory on all officers of the Supreme Court charged with the duty of cancelling adhesive stamps to see that all such stamps, although obliterated by a written or printed cancellation, be afterwards cancelled by means of perforation.
This order shall come into operation on the 18th day of July, 1884.
Dated the 4th day of July, 1884.

CHARLES C. COTES, R. W. DUFF, Two of the Lords of Her Majesty's Treasury. I concur in this order SELBORNE, C.

ORDER AS TO SUPREME COURT FEES, 1884.

The Right Honourable ROUNDELL, EARL OF SELBORNE, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, by and with the advice and consent of the undersigned Judges of the Supreme Court, and with the concurrence of the Lords Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury, doth hereby in pursuance and execution of the powers given by the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, and all other powers and authorities enabling him in this behalf, order and direct in manner following:—

I.

The fees and percentages contained in the schedulo hereto are fixed and appointed to be, and shall be taken in the High Court of Justice, and in the Court of Appeal, and in any Court to be created by any commission, and in any office which is connected with any of those Courts, or in which any business connected with any of those Courts is conducted, and by any officer paid wholly or partly out of public moneys who is attached to any of those Courts, or the Supreme Court or any judge of those Courts, or any of them. And the said fees and percentages shall, until otherwise determined by the Treasury, be taken by stamps in the same manner as heretofore, except those taken in the District Registries, which shall, until otherwise determined by the Treasury, be taken as the fees and percentages are now taken.

TT

The provisions in this Order shall not apply to or affect any of the matters following (that is to say):—

The existing fees and percentages in respect of any of the jurisdictions which are not, by the Supreme Court of Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, transferred to the High Court of Justice or the Court of Appeal;

The existing fees and percentages in respect of any matters within the jurisdiction of the Court of Probate at the time of the passing of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1875, other than probate actions, or in respect of any appeal in Bankruptcy;

The existing fees and percentages in respect of any criminal proceedings, other than such proceedings on the Crown side of the Queen's Bench Division as the

scale contained in the schedule hereto may be applicable to;
The existing fees and percentages in respect of matters on the revenue side of
the Queen's Bench Division, and proceedings and business in the office of the
Queen's Remembrancer, other than such matters, proceedings, and business as

the scale contained in the schedule hereto may be applicable to;
The existing fees and percentages authorised to be taken by any sheriff, under sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, or other officer or minister of a sheriff;

The existing fees and percentages directed to be taken or paid by any Act of Parliament, and in respect of which no fee or percentage is hereby provided; The existing fees and percentages which shall have become due or payable before this Order comes into operation.

III.

Save as otherwise provided by this Order all existing fees and percentages which may be taken in any of the Courts whose jurisdiction is, by the Judicature Acts, 1873 and 1875, transferred to the High Court of Justice or Court of Appeal, or in any office which is connected with any of those Courts, or in which any business connected with any of those Courts is conducted, or by any officer paid wholly or partly out of public moneys who is attached to any of those Courts, or the Supreme Court, or any judge of those Courts or any of them, shall be and are hereby abolished.

IV

A folio is to comprise 72 words, every figure comprised in a column, or authorised to be used, being counted as one word.

v.

The provisions of Order LXXI. of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, shall apply to this Order.

VI.

This order shall come into operation on the 25th day of January, 1884, and may be cited as "The Order as to Supreme Court Fees, 1884."

The SCHEDULE above referred to.

An Order or Rule herein referred to by number shall mean the Order or Rule so numbered in the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883.

	numbered in the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883.			
	SUMMONSES, WRITS, NOTICES, COMMISSIONS, AND WARRANTS.			
2.	On sealing a writ of summons for commencement of an action On sealing a concurrent, renewed or amended writ of summons for	£	s. 10	<i>d</i> . 0
9	commencement of an action On sealing a notice for service under Ord. XVI. r. 48	0	2	6
3. 4.	On sealing a writ of mandamus	0	2 0	6 0
5.	On sealing a writ of mandamus On sealing a writ of subpœna for witnesses, not exceeding three	1	U	U
G	persons On sealing a writ of execution, a subpoena pursuant to the Court of	0	5	0
٥.	Probate Act, 1858, sect. 23, and every other writ	0	5	0
7.	On sealing or issuing an originating summons under the Act 6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, for the taxation of a solicitor's bill of costs within twelve months after delivery, or delivery of a bill of costs by a		Ů	Ů
	solicitor, including the order to be made thereon	0	10	0
8.	On sealing any other originating summons	0	10	0
10	On amending same On sealing or issuing a summons for directions under Ord. XXX	0	5	0
11.	On sealing or issuing any other summons, or Taxing Master's	0	10	0
12.	warrant On filing a notice to have a reference to an Admiralty Registrar	_		
13.	On a notice in admiralty actions pursuant to Ord. LXVII. r. 10	_	10 15	0
14.	On sealing or issuing a commission to take oaths or affidavits in the Supreme Court	5	0	0
15.	On every other commission	ĭ	Ö	ŏ
16.	On marking a copy of a petition of right for service	0	5	0
	Appearances.			
17	On entering an appearance, for each person	0	2	0
	On amending same	ŏ	2	ŏ
	COPIES.			
19.	On a copy of a written deposition of a witness to enable a party to			
20.	print the same, for each folio	0	0	4
21.	On making a copy and marking same as an office copy, for each	0	0	2
00	folio On a copy in a foreign language—the actual cost.	0	0	6
22. 23.	On a copy in a foreign language—the actual cost. On a copy of a plan, map, section, drawing, photograph, or diagram—the actual cost.			
24.	On a printed copy of an order, not being an office or certified copy,			
	for each folio	0	0	1
	ATTENDANCES.			
25	On an application, with or without a subpœna, for any officer to			
20.	attend as a witness, or to produce records or documents to be given in evidence (in addition to the reasonable expenses of the officer) for each day or part of a day he shall necessarily be absent			
	from his office The officer may require a deposit of stamps on account of any further fees, and a deposit of money on account of any further expenses which may probably become payable beyond the amount paid for fees and expenses on the application, and the officer or his clerk taking such deposit shall thereupon make a memoran-	1	0	U
	dum thereof on the application. The officer may also require an undertaking in writing to pay any further fees and expenses which may become payable beyond the amounts so paid and deposited.			

6

OATHS, &C. 26. On taking an affidavit or an affirmation or attestation upon honour in lieu of an affidavit or a declaration, except for the purpose of receipt of dividends from the Paymaster-General, for each 1 27. And in addition thereto for each exhibit therein referred to and 1 required to be marked..... FILING. On filing a special case or petition of right..... On filing, except in admiralty actions, and unless otherwise provided, an affidavit, deposition, or set of depositions (including 0 0 any exhibits annexed to any such affidavit or deposition), statement of claim in default of appearance, official and special referees' certificates, petition, preliminary act, submission to arbitration, award, warrant of attorney, cognovit, bail, satisfaction piece, bond, writ of execution with return, and power of attorney, and every other proceeding in a probate action or in a divorce or other matrimonial cause or matter required by Act of Parliament general order, or order in the action, cause, or matter to be filed in the Principal Probate Registry 30. On filing a scheme pursuant to the Railway Companies Act, 1867, or the Liquidation Act, 1868. 0 0 31. On filing scripts in a probate action or on depositing pursuant to an order in any cause or matter, any documents for safe custody or production, if the number does not exceed five...... 32. If exceeding five 0 10 33. On a receipt for any document or documents to which the two last fees apply, when delivered out, or for any other document or documents when delivered out of the Principal Probate Registry. 34. On filing an affidavit and notice under Ord. XLVI. r. 4 0 10 35. On every minute in admiralty actions pursuant to Ord. LXVI. r. 8, for every instrument or document to which the minute relates (other than an exhibit, or any instrument or document previously issued from the Registry or the Marshal's office), unless otherwise provided.. 5 tion (including further advances) does not exceed 1001. 37. Above 1001. and not exceeding 2001...... 0 10 Above 200l. 39. On filing under the Bills of Sale Acts, 1878 and 1882, any other document to which the fees Nos. 36, 37, and 38 do not apply . . . 0 10 0 40. On filing an affidavit of re-registration of a bill of sale or any such other document as in No. 39 mentioned 0 10 41. On filing a flat of satisfaction 5 CERTIFICATES. 42. On a certificate of appearance, or of a pleading, affidavit or proceeding having been entered, filed, or taken, or of the negative thereof, unless otherwise provided SEARCHES AND INSPECTIONS. 45. On an application to search for an appearance or an affidavit, and inspecting the same. 46. On an application to search an index, and inspect a pleading, judg-1 ment, decree, order, or other record, unless otherwise expressly provided for by any Act of Parliament or this order, and to in-spect scripts filed or documents deposited pursuant to an order for safe custody or production, for each hour or part of an hour occupied..... 47. Not exceeding on one day 0 10 Examination of Witnesses. 48. On every memorandum of appointment for an examination to be taken before an Examiner of the Court O 5 0 49. On every witness sworn and examined by an officer of the Court in his office, unless otherwise provided, including oath, for each

hour or part of an hour

50. On an examination of witnesses by any such officer away from the office (in addition to reasonable travelling and other expenses)	e d	§ 8.	d.
per day 51. The officer may require a deposit of stamps on account of fees an a deposit of money on account of expenses, which may probabl become payable beyond any amount paid for fees and expense upon the examination, and the officer, or his clerk, taking suc deposit shall thereupon make a memorandum thereof and delive the same to the party making the deposit. The officer may also require an undertaking, in writing, t pay any further fees and expenses which may become payabl beyond the amount so paid and deposited.	d y s h r	3 0	0
HEARING.			
52. On entering or setting down, or re-entering or re-setting down as appeal to the Court of Appeal, or a cause or matter for trial of hearing in any Court in London or Middlesex or at any assizes including hearing on further consideration where no such fee wat paid on the original hearing, whether on summons adjourned from chambers or otherwise, and including special case, a petition in divorce or matrimonial cause or matter by which a proceeding if commenced, and petition of right, but not any other petition, not	r s a		
any other summons adjourned from chambers	. 2	0	0
 53. On entering directions of the judge at a trial pursuant to Ord XXXVI. rr. 41 and 42, and certifying same when required 54. On writing for the attendance of Trinity masters or other assessor 	. 1	0	0
on the hearing of an admiralty action		10	0
55. On answering and setting down for hearing in Court a petition by which any proceeding is commenced, unless otherwise provided.	7 1	Λ	Λ
56. Any other petition		10	0
JUDGMENTS, DECREES AND ORDERS, On drawing up and entering judgments, decrees, and orders— 57. If made in Court on the original hearing or hearing on further consideration of a cause, or on the hearing of a special case or petition, or on any application to the Court of Appeal unless other-		•	•
wise provided Where in a divorce or matrimonial cause or matter a decree nis is made, and afterwards a decree absolute, no fee shall be payable on the decree absolute. 58. If a judgment without hearing in Court or a final order in a probate action made by a registrar, or if an order made in a probate action or in a divorce or matrimonial cause or matter on a motion, including filing the case or application on which the order is	i		0
made59. If made on the hearing of an originating summons, unless other	. 0	10	0
wise provided	. 0	10	0
cause or matter on further consideration	0	10	0
of the same rule		10 10	0
64. If an order for a commission on a petition of right	1	0	0
applicable	U	10	0
67. On signing a note or memorandum of an order pursuant to Ord. LII. r. 14, when required for production, where no order is	0	5	0
drawn up	0	3 5	0

On I	Proceedings in the Chancery Division, at the Judges' Chambers, of a Taxing Master of District Registrar.	RI	EFO	RE
69.	On the sale or mortgage of any land or hereditaments pursuant to any order directing a sale or mortgage with the approbation of the judge made in any cause or matter for the purpose of raising money to be dealt with by the Court in such cause or matter, for	£	8.	d.
70.	every 100% or fraction of 100% of the amount raised On the approval of the purchase of any land or hereditaments, or of the title to any land or hereditaments, to be purchased pursuant to any order in any cause or matter with money under the control	0	2	0
71.	of the Court in such cause or matter, for every 100l. or fraction of 100l. of the amount of the purchase money	0	2	0
	the amount of the outstanding or undisposed of estate of a de- ceased person or of the estate subject to any trust or partnership shall be ascertained for the purpose of being dealt with in such cause or matter without deducting any payment to creditors or parties interested after the commencement of the cause or matter,	0	,	0
72.	for every 100 <i>l</i> ., or portion of 100 <i>l</i> ., of the amount or value thereof On taking an account of moneys received by an executor, administrator, trustee, agent, solicitor, mortgagee, co-tenant, partner, receiver, guardian, consignee, bailee, manager, provisional official or other liquidator, sequestrator, or execution creditor, or other person liable to account, for every 100 <i>l</i> . or fraction of 100 <i>l</i> . of the amount found to have been received without deducting any pay-		1	0
73.	ment On taking an account of the debts or ascertaining the amount of any debt due from a deceased person or from any company in any cause or matter when any creditor shall be required to prove his debt otherwise than by production of his security, for every 100% or fraction of 100% of the amount found to be due to such creditor, or (if more than one) of the aggregate amount found to be due to all such creditors.	0	1	0
74.	be due to all such creditors	Ü	1	0
75.	claim shall be disallowed, on each such claim	0	10	0
76. (be due. On an inquiry to ascertain the heir and next-of-kin, or the heir or next-of-kin of any one or more than one deceased person whose estate is being administered in any cause or matter or in respect of whose estate an application is made under Ord. LV. r. 3, and on any such inquiry at chambers upon an application under the Act 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96 (the Trustee Relief Act), or the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, or any other Act whereby the purchase		L	Ū
77. (money of any property sold is directed to be paid into Court On settling a list of shareholders entitled to a return, where there is any money to be returned, or a list of contributories, for every	1	0	0
78.	person settled on either such list not exceeding 2,000 On settling under the 13th section of the Companies Act, 1867, the list of the creditors of a limited company which proposes to re-	0	2	0
79. (duce its capitalOn settling a scheme pursuant to the Railway Companies Act, 1867,	5	0	0
80. (or the Liquidation Act, 1868	5 2	0	0 0
81. (On a certificate of a chief clerk, taxing master, or district registrar of the result of any proceeding or taxation of costs before him, including one or any number of matters	0	10	۵
which i	amount on which the fee No. 69 is payable shall not include the may be payable out of the money raised to any mortgages or other to any charge, estate, or interest, on or in the property sold what ges or other person is not in respect of his mortgage, charge, e	a: er j	mou pers su	nt on ch
proceed The	t a party to the cause or matter in which the order is made or bound lings although he may consent to or concur in the sale. amount on which the fee No. 71 is payable shall not include any out	di sta	by t	he ne
undefin	elieved to be bad or irrecoverable, nor any property the value of led or uncertain, nor any property to which the fee No. 69 is applicated oney on which the fee No. 72 shall be payable in the same cause or m	abl	e, n	is or

The amount on which either of the fees Nos. 70 and 72 is payable shall not include any sum of money or any money arising from the sale of any property upon which either of the fees Nos. 69 and 71 shall have been previously paid.

which either of the fees Nos. 69 and 71 shall have been previously paid.

The value of any stocks, funds, debentures, securities, shares, or other property, the price of which is quoted in the London Daily Stock and Share List, published by the authority of the Committee of the Stock Exchange, to which the fee No. 71 is applicable, shall be the closing price quoted in such published list on the day previous to the fixing the amount of such fee.

When the fee No. 72 shall be applicable to any money received which shall be invested or deposited in a bank, and again be received from such investment or deposit, or shall he paid by one person accounting to any other person accounting in the same cause or matter, or in any other same raises or matter.

able twice on the same money in the same cause or matter.

When a fee shall be payable on the money raised by the sale of property, and the same property shall be reseld, in the same cause or matter, the fee payable on the first sale shall be deducted from the fee payable on the second sale.

The amounts for or in respect of which the following fees are payable shall be limited to 200,000% in the following cases—(a) the amount raised at any time or times in the same cause or matter in the cases to which the fee No. 69 is applicable; (b) the amount of purchase-money to be invested pursuant to any one order in the cases to which the fee No. 70 is applicable; (c) the amount in the same cause or matter of the value of the outstanding or undisposed of estate whenever ascertained in the cases to which the fee No. 71 is applicable; (a) the amount at any time or times in the same cause or matter found to have been received by any executor, administrator, or trustee in the cases to which the fee No. 72 is applicable, except in the case of a trustee directed to account periodically, and in that case, and in all other cases to which the fee No. 72 is applicable, the amount found to be due by any one certificate or on any one account; (e) the amount at any time or times in the same cause or matter found to be due to a creditor or creditors in the cases to which the fee No. 73 is applicable; (f) the amount found to be due in respect of debentures or bonds in the cases to which the fee No. 75 is applicable.

The fees Nos. 69 to 80 inclusive shall become due and payable by the party conducting the proceedings to which they apply as part of his costs of such proceedings, and be allowed as follows or otherwise as the Court or a judge shall direct; that is to say, the fee No. 71 shall become due and payable upon making the certificate or order by which the outstanding or undisposed of estate is ascertained or as to any part thereof the value of which is at that time undefined or uncertain, and which during the further proceedings in the cause or matter shall be realised or the value of which shall be ascertained upon any order or certificate made when or after the same shall be so realised or the value thereof ascertained. The fee No 72 on taking the account of a receiver, guardian, consignee, bailee, manager, liquidator, sequestrator, or execution creditor, or a trustee directed to pass his accounts periodically shall, upon payment, be allowed in the account, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or a judge. The fee No. 72 in the other cases to which it applies, and the fees Nos. 69, 70 and 73 to 80 inclusive, shall become due and payable by the party conducting the proceeding, on making the certificate or order on the result of the sale, purchase, account, inquiry or other proceeding to which the fee is applicable; but if the Court or a judge shall be of opinion that the costs of the party liable to the payment of any such fees will become payable out of any funds or moneys in Court or to be brought into Court, the Court or judge may suspend the payment of any such fees until such funds or moneys are dealt with, or for such other time as may be thought fit, in which case the amount payable shall be stated in the certificate or order upon which the same are payable, or in some subsequent certificate or order, and where such fees have not been paid, and the costs are directed to be paid out of money in Court or out of the proceeds of securities in Court, the taxing master shall certify the amount of fees payable in respect of such proceedings, and the paymaster shall, if so provided by the Rules under the Supreme Court of Judicature (Funds, &c.) Act, 1883, carry over the amount so certified to be payable from the account to which such moneys or proceeds are placed to a separate account in the books of the Pay Office for fees on proceedings or otherwise as shall be provided by such rules, and the amount shall from time to time, as the Treasury may direct. be paid to the account of her Majesty's Exchequer.

Nos. 82-87 apply only to the Queen's Bench and Probate Divisions.

Proceedings before an Official Referee.	£	ŏ.	d.
88. On every reference	5	0	0
89. And for every hour or part of an nour ne is occupied neyond two	0	10	0

90. On every sitting elsewhere than in London or Middlesex a further fee for every night the official referee shall be absent from London 1 11 6

hereinafter provided, where no such report is made.

The above-mentioned fees, Nos. 69 to 80 and 82 to 91 inclusive, shall be due and payable, when no certificate, report or order is made, by the party conducting the proceedings on the completion of such proceedings, or, if not completed, a due proportion shall be payable on so much of the proceedings as shall have taken place, the amount to be fixed by the officer.

In these cases the fees shall be paid by stamps impressed upon or affixed to a memorandum stating on what account such fees are paid.

A deposit of stamps on account of the fees applicable to any proceeding may be required before such proceeding is commenced, or at any time during the course thereof, and in admiralty actions, when Ord. LVI. r. 4, applies, such stamps shall be affixed as therein provided, and in all other cases a memorandum of the amount deposited shall be delivered to the party making the deposit.

Nos. 92—101 apply only to admiralty proceedings.

TAXATION OF COSTS

TAXATION OF COSTS.			
102. On taxing a bill of costs where the amount allowed does not ex-		8.	_
ceed 4 <i>l</i>	0	2	0
thereof These fees, unless otherwise provided, shall be taken on signing the certificate or on the allowance of the bill of costs as taxed; but the fees shall be due and payable, if no certificate or allocatur is required, on the amount of the bill as taxed, or on the amount of such part thereof as may be taxed, and the solicitor or party suing in person shall in such case cause the proper stamps (the amount thereof to be fixed by the officer) to be impressed on or affixed to the bill of costs. The taxing officer may require a deposit of stamps on account of fees before taxation not exceeding the fees on the full amount of the costs as submitted for taxation, and the officer or his clerk on taking such deposit shall make a memorandum thereof on the bill of costs.	0	1	0
Ord. V. r. 58 of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874, shall			
continue to be acted upon in cases to which it is applicable.			
ON PROCEEDINGS IN THE PAY OFFICE OF THE SUPREME COURT.			
 104. On a certificate of the amount and description of any money, funds, or securities, including the request therefor	0	1	0
request therefor	0	2	0
106. On a request to the Paymaster, Bank of England, or a registrar of the Probate, Divorce and Admiralty Division (unless otherwise provided), for any of the following purposes: paying, lodging, transferring, or depositing money, funds, or securities in Court without an order, or money in addition to the amount directed by an order to be paid in; paying out of Court any money without an order or a certificate of a taxing officer; information in writing in respect of any money, funds, or securities, or any transaction in the Pay Office.	0	1	0
107. On a request for information respecting any money, funds, or securities to the credit of any cause or matter contained in any list prepared by the paymaster of causes and matters to the credit of which any money, funds, or securities have not been		•	v
dealt with during fifteen years 108. On an affidavit for the purpose of paying, transferring, or depositing any money, funds, or securities in Court pursuant to the statute 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96.	0	2	6
statute 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96	0	1 3	0
REGISTER OF JUDGMENTS AND LIS PENDENS.			
110. On registering a judgment or his pendens, although more than one			
name may have to be registered	0	2 1	6 U

		£	8.	đ.
110.	On a search for each name. On a certificate of entry of satisfaction	0	1 1	0
114.	r. 23	0	5	0
110.	I more than one name included in the same request, for each	۸	2	0
116.	additional name On a duplicate certificate, if not more than three folios	0	1	Ö
117.	For every additional folio	ŏ	Ô	6
118.	For every additional folio On every continuation search, if requested within fourteen days of any former search (the result to be endorsed on such certificate)	0	I	0
119.	On a certificate of a judgment for registration in Ireland or Sociand under the Judgments Extension Act, 1868, including affidavit	0	2	0
120.	On filing for registration a certificate issued out of the Courts of Dublin or Court of Session in Scotland under the last-mentioned Act, although more than one name may have to be registered			
121.	under the said Act On every certificate of the entry of a satisfaction under the last-	0	7	0
	mentioned Act On a search made in one or both of the registers of Irish and Scotch	0	1	0
	judgments for each name	0	1	0
	MISCELLANEOUS.			
123.	On a report of a private bill in Parliament	5	0	0
124.	On an allowance of byelaws or table of fees	1	0	0
125.	On a fiat of a judge	0	5	0
126.	On signing, settling, or approving an advertisement	0		0
127.	On taking the acknowledgment of a deed by a married woman	1	0	0
120.	On an appointment of a receiver in a probate action	1	0	0
123.	On taking a recognizance or bond, whether one or more than one recognisor or obligor, and whether entered into by all at one time	Λ	10	Δ
130	or not	0	10 5	0
131	On taking bail, and taking same off the file and delivering	ŏ	.2	Ö
132.	On a commitment	ŏ	5	ŏ
133.	On an application to produce judges' notes	ŏ	5	ŏ
134.	On appointment of commissioners under glebe exchange	ĭ	ő	ŏ
135.	On vacating a recognizance		10	ŏ
136.	On a citation	ŏ	5	ō
137.	On the admission or re-admission of a solicitor	õ	ō	Ō
138.	On filing a claim in the Admiralty Registry for repayment of the			
	excess of wages paid to a substitute hired in the place of a			
	volunteer into the Royal Navy, including copy sent to the			
	Admiralty	0	10	0
139. 140.	On the opinion of the Admiralty Registrar objecting to the claim. On a certificate of the Admiralty Registrar ordering payment of amount due, including the copy to be sent to the Accountant-	0	10	U
	amount due, including the copy to be sent to the Accountant-	Λ	10	0
141	General of the Navy On registering in the Admiralty Registry a power of attorney for a	U	10	v
111.	Queen's ship generally, and a copy thereof for the Accountant-			
	General of the Navy	1	10	0
142.	On registering same specially		10	0
143.	On taking accounts by the Admiralty Registrar in Naval Prize	0	5	0
144.	On Admiralty Registrar writing letters in regard to Naval Prize	0	10	0
145.	matters On every 50l., or fraction of 50l., paid out of the Admiralty Registry in any action, or to the Naval Prize Account	0	5	0
No	fee is payable on the transfer of money from the Admiralty Regist			
Naval	Prize Account.	•		-
	(Signed) SELBORNE, C.			
	COLERIDGE, C. J.	_		
	W. B. BRETT, M.	R.		
	JAMES HANNEN,	_		

JAMES HANNEN,
Prest. P.D.A. Divn.
We concur in the above order.
(Signed) C. C. COTES,
H. J. GLADSTONE,
Lords Commissioners of Her
Majesty's Treasury.

ORDER AS TO SUPREME COURT FEES (OCTOBER), 1884.

I, the Right Honourable Roundell, Earl of Selborne, Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain, by and with the advice and consent of the undersigned judges of the Supreme Court, and with the concurrence of the Lords Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury, do hereby, in pursuance and execution of the Cords Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury, do hereby, in pursuance and execution of the powers given by the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1876, and all other powers and authorities enabling me in this behalf, order and direct in manner following:—

The fees hereunder written are fixed and appointed to be, and shall be, taken on appeals brought on or after the Twenty-fourth day of October, 1884, from inferior Courts, notwithstanding anything in the Order as to Supreme Court Fees, 1884, contained

contained.

	£ 8.	
On filing	0 10	0
On hearing	1 0	0
On drawing up judgment	0 10	0

The 21st day of August, 1884.

SELBORNE, C. COLERIDGE, C. J. W. B. BRETT, M. R. C. E. POLLOCK, B.

We concur, CHARLES C. COTES, HERBERT J. GLADSTONE, Lords Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury.

ADDITIONAL RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT.

RULE OF JULY 27, 1885.

Note.—The following Rule may be cited, with reference to the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, as Order XLVI. Rule 14.

Any person who, under Order XLVI. of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1880, may have served in the manner thereby prescribed a notice, operating in lieu of a writ of distringas, which at the time of making this present Rule may be still in force, may at any time during the currency thereof file in the Central Office, without any affidavit in support thereof, a further notice under his hand, stating that the same shall thenceforth have effect without any further renewal, in the same manner as if it had been a notice filed in the Central Office on affidavit under Order XLVI. rr. 4 and 5 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883, and serve a duplicate of such notice under the seal of the Central Office upon the company upon which such first-mentioned notice was served; and the service of the duplicate of such notice so filed shall have the same effect as a writ of distringas duly issued under the Act 5 Vict. c. 5, s. 5, would have had against the Bank of England.

Under the Rules of 1880 (r. 27) the notice required to be renewed every five years if it were desired to keep it on foot.

RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT, DECEMBER, 1885.

Note.—The following Rules may be cited as the "Rules of the Supreme Court," December, 1885, and each Rule may be cited separately according to the heading thereof with reference to the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883. They shall come into operation on the 1st of January, 1886.

ORDER V. RULE 9 (e).

1. Order V. r. 9 (e), shall be read as if the following words were added thereto:—

And such certificate shall be countersigned by the chief clerk, to whom, according to the distribution of business, such cause or matter belongs.

 $x \times 8$

Ord. V.

ORDER V. RULE 9(f).

2. For the purposes of subsections (a), (b), and (c) of this Rule separate rotations shall be kept.

For Ord. V. r. 9, see ante, p. 312. The rule is that which provides for causes and matters commenced in the Chancery Division being assigned to and marked with the name of some particular judge.

ORDER VII. RULE 3.

3. Ord. VII. r. 3, shall be read as if the following words were added thereto:—

"Until the final conclusion of the cause or matter, whether in the High Court or the Court of Appeal."

Ord. VII. r. 3, ante, p. 315, provides that a party may change his solicitor by simply filing a notice; but until the notice is filed, and a copy of it served and (in Chancery matters) left at the judge's chambers, the former solicitor will be considered the solicitor of the party. To this rule the above addition is now made.

ORDER XIII. RULE 9.

4. Ord. XIII. r. 9, shall be read as if after the words "arrears of rent" the words "double value" were inserted, and after the words "breach of contract" the words "or wrong or injury to the premises claimed" were inserted.

Ord. XIII., ante, p. 326, relates to proceedings in default of appearance; and r. 9, ante, p. 329, prescribes the plaintiff's course where he has indersed a claim for mesne profits, arrears of rent or damages for breach of contract upon a writ for the recovery of land.

ORDER XIV. RULE 7.

5. The Court or a judge may, with the consent of all parties, dispose of the action finally and without appeal in a summary manner, and on such terms as to costs or otherwise as the Court or judge shall think just.

Ord. XIV., ante, p. 330, provides for the prompt dealing with actions where the writ is specially indorsed. This rule extends the power of the judge to deal summarily with this class of cases.

ORDER XVIII. RULE 2.

6. Ord. XVIII. r. 2, shall be read as if the following words were added thereto:—

Provided that nothing in this order contained shall prevent any plaintiff in an action for foreclosure or redemption from asking for or obtaining an order against the defendant for delivery of the possession of the mortgaged property to the plaintiff on or after the order absolute for foreclosure or redemption, as the case may be, and such an action for foreclosure or redemption and for such delivery of possession shall not be deemed an action for the recovery of land within the meaning of these rules.

Ord. XVIII.

Provided also, that in case any mortgage security shall be foreclosed by reason of the default to redeem by any plaintiff in a redemption action, the defendant in whose favour such foreclosure has taken place may by motion or summons apply to the Court or a judge for an order for delivery to him of possession of the mortgaged property, and such order may be made thereupon as the justice of the case shall

require. Ord. XVIII. r. 2, ante, p. 353, provides that, with certain specified exceptions, no cause of action shall he joined with an action for the recovery of land without the leave of the Court or a judge. There was some doubt whether an action for foreclosure was an "action for the recovery of land" within the meaning of the rule. This doubt is removed by the additional words now added to the rule. Actions relating to mortgages are now commenced by originating summons; see Ord LV. r. 5a. most p. 692

ORDER XXVII. RULE 8.

Ord. LV. r. 5a, post, p. 692.

7. Ord. XXVII. r. 8, shall be read as if after the words "breach of contract" the words "or wrong or injury to the premises claimed" were inserted.

Ord. XXVII., ante, p. 374, regulates proceedings where default is made in pleading.

ORDER XXXIII. RULE 4A.

8. Upon the taking of any account the Court or a judge may direct that the vouchers shall be produced at the office of the solicitor of the accounting party, or at any other convenient place, and that only such items as may be contested or surcharged shall be brought before the judge in chambers.

This is a new and very convenient provision. For Ord. XXXIII. see ante, p. 397.

ORDER XXXIV. RULE 3.

9. Ord. XXXIV. r. 3, shall be read as if the words "printed copies for the use of the judges shall be delivered by the plaintiff" were omitted, and the words "three printed copies for the use of the judges shall be left therewith" were substituted therefor.

Ord. XXXIV., which regulates proceedings by way of special case, will be found at p. 400, ante.

ORDER XXXV. RULE 6.

10. Ord. XXXV. r. 6, shall be read as if after the word "master" the words "or chief clerk" were inserted.

Ord. XXXV. ante, p. 406, relates to proceedings in district registries; and r. 6, ante, p. 407, gives the district registrar the same powers as a judge at chambers, except such as by the rules "a master" is precluded from exercising.

Ord. XXXVI.

ORDER XXXVI. RULE 6.

11. Order XXXVI. r. 6, shall be read as if the words "within ten days after notice of trial has been given" were therein inserted after the words "upon application."

Ord. XXXVI. r. 6, ante, p. 412, is the rule giving the Court a general power to order an action to be tried with a jury; it gives no absolute right to a jury in actions which, before the Judicature Act, 1873, would have been tried without a jury (The Temple Bar, 11 P. D. 6).

As to the new rule, see Moore v. Deakin, W. N. (1886), 5.

ORDER XXXVII. RULE 51.

12. The examiners of the Court shall be entitled to charge the fees mentioned in the Appendix hereto, in substitution for the fees heretofore allowed.

The scale of fees hitherto in force will be found at p. 432, ante. For this Appendix, see post, p. 698.

ORDER XXXVIII. RULE 10.

13. Order XXXVIII. r. 10, shall be read as if the words "indorsed on" were substituted for the words "appended to."

The result of this alteration is that the note showing on whose behalf an affidavit is filed must for the future be indorsed on the affidavit instead of being appended

ORDER XXXVIII. RULE 19A.

14. The consent of a new trustee to act shall be sufficiently evidenced by a written consent signed by him and verified by the signature of his solicitor. Form I. in the Appendix hereto shall be used with such variations as circumstances may require, and may be cited as Form 29 in Appendix L.

The practice hitherto has been to require the signature of the trustee to be verified by affidavit; for the future the certificate of his solicitor in the prescribed form will suffice.

For the form see post, p. 697.

ORDER XLIX. RULE 4A.

15. Any judge of the Chancery Division may, at the request or with the consent of any other judge of that division before whom a cause or matter is pending, hear such cause or matter or any application therein, and for that purpose it shall not be necessary that any order for transfer shall be made or consent of the parties obtained.

Ord. XLIX., ante, p. 463, deals with the subjects of transfer and consolidation. The new provision appears to be a very convenient one.

ORDER LI. RULE 1A.

Ord. LI.

- 16. In all cases where a sale, mortgage, partition, or exchange is ordered, the Court or a judge shall have power, in addition to the powers already existing, with a view to avoiding expense or delay, or for other good reason, to authorise the same to be carried out, either as at present—
 - (a) by laying proposals before the judge in chambers for his sanction; or
 - (b) by proceedings altogether out of Court, any moneys produced thereby being paid into Court or to trustees, or otherwise dealt with as the judge in chambers may order.

ORDER LI. RULE 3A.

17. No order for the payment of purchase-money into Court shall be necessary, but a direction for that purpose signed by the chief clerk shall be sufficient authority for the paymaster-general to receive the money.

ORDER LI. RULE 6A.

18. In the case of sales under the direction of the Court, the particulars of sale shall be signed by and the result of the sale shall be certified under the hands of the auctioneer and the solicitor of the party having the conduct of the sale. It shall not be necessary to file any affidavit verifying the particulars or the result of the sale. Form 2 in the Appendix hereto shall be substituted for Form 16 in Appendix L., which is hereby annulled.

Ord. LI., ante, p. 474, deals with the subject of sales by the Court; the new rules vary the practice hitherto followed in several important particulars.

For the form of the certificate of the result of a sale, see post, p. 697.

ORDER LV. RULE 1A.

19. In any proceeding before the judge in chambers any party may, if he so desire, be represented by counsel.

Only Mr. Justice Kay and Mr. Justice Chitty are in the habit of hearing counsel in chambers.

ORDER LV. RULE 2.

20. Sub-sect. (6) shall be read as if the words "any other Act relating to Parliamentary deposits" were inserted before "for investment"; and sub-sect. (7) shall be read as if the words "passed before the 14th of August, 1855," were omitted.

The effect of these alterations is to further extend the jurisdiction in chambers. It would seem that the word "or" should have been added hefore the words "any other," &c. Although a proceeding falls, strictly speaking, within Ord. LV. r. 2 (7), the Court has a discretion under Ord. LXX. r. 1, and will not disallow the costs of a petition where that is a cheaper and more expeditious mode of proceeding than a summons (Re Bethlehem and Bridewell Hospitals, 30 Ch. D. 541).

Ord. LV.

ORDER LV. RULE 5A.

21. Any mortgagee or mortgagor, whether legal or equitable, or any person entitled to or having property subject to a legal or equitable charge, or any person having the right to foreclose or redeem any mortgage, whether legal or equitable, may take out as of course an originating summons, returnable in the chambers of a judge of the Chancery Division, for such relief of the nature or kind following as may by the summons be specified, and as the circumstances of the case may require; that is to say,-

Sale, foreclosure, delivery of possession by the mortgagor, redemption, reconveyance, delivery of possession by the mortgagee.

Note.—Add to the heading of Ord. LV. Part II. the words "Foreclosure and Redemption."

This rule effects a very important alteration in the practice of the Court. The result will be, that in all simple cases the whole proceedings in suits relating to mortgages will be conducted in chambers, and only those cases will come into Court where questions of real difficulty have arisen, e.g. disputes as to the right to redeem,

questions of priority, the right to costs, &c.

Compare Ord. XVIII. r. 2, ante, p. 688.

In a suit for foreclosure under the present law the mortgagee is entitled (1) If the amount of the debt and interest is proved, admitted or agreed at the trial, to judgment for immediate payment of the whole amount: (2) If the amount is not so proved, admitted or agreed, to an account of what is due to him for principal and interest in respect thereof, and to judgment for payment of the whole amount immediately the same is certified. But in either case the judge has a discretion to allow time for payment. In the order for personal payment the costs will be limited to such as would have been incurred if the action had been brought for payment only of the debt (Farrer v. Lacy Hartland & Co., 31 Ch. D. 42).

ORDER LV. RULE 5B.

22. The persons to be served with the summons under the last preceding rule shall be such persons as, under the existing practice of the Chancery Division, would be the proper defendants to an action for the like relief as that specified by the summons.

As to parties, see Fisher on Mortgages, p. 811 et seq.; Seton, p. 1050; Daniell, pp. 1387, 1413; the general rule is that all persons who have an interest either in the right of redemption or in the security must be joined.

ORDER LV. RULE 10A.

- 23. Upon an application for administration or execution of trusts by a creditor or beneficiary under a will, intestacy, or deed of trust, where no accounts or insufficient accounts have been rendered, the Court or a judge may, in addition to the powers already existing,—
 - (a.) Order that the application shall stand over for a certain time, and that the executors, administrators, or trustees in the meantime shall render to the applicant a proper statement of their accounts, with an intimation that if this is not done they may be made to pay the costs of the proceedings:
 - (b.) When necessary, to prevent proceedings by other creditors. make the usual judgment or order for administration, with a

proviso that no proceedings are to be taken under such judgment or order without leave of the judge in person.

Ord. LV,

Ord. LV. r. 10, ante, p. 491, authorized the Court to dispense with an order for general administration; but in the absence of such an order it was difficult to see how creditors could be bound. This sub-rule (b) removes any objection on this ground.

As to the principles which should guide the Court in deciding whether to make an order for general administration or not, see Re Wilson, 28 Ch. D. 457; Re Blake, 29 Ch. D. 913, both decided previously to the new rule.

ORDER LV. RULE 15.

24. The proviso at the end of Order LV. r. 15, is hereby annulled, and the following proviso is substituted therefor:—

Provided, that no order for general administration or for the execution of a trust, or for accounts or inquiries concerning the property of a deceased person, or other property held upon any trust, or the parties entitled thereto, shall be made except by the judge in person: Provided also that summonses under Rule 3 of this Order, the object of which is to obtain the opinion of the Court or a judge upon the construction of a document or any question of law, and any application for the appointment of a provisional liquidator, and applications for substituted service and for service out of the jurisdiction shall be brought before the judge in person.

ORDER LV. RULE 17A.

25. Any chief clerk shall have power without any transfer of the cause or matter to take any business of any other chief clerk, unless the judge to whose chambers any such chief clerk may be for the time being attached shall otherwise direct.

ORDER LV. RULE 39A.

26. Matters coming before the chief clerk shall, unless the judge otherwise directs, when ready for hearing, be entered in daily lists and taken in their order on such lists; and every matter commenced shall be continued until completion, subject to such adjournments as the chief clerk shall for good cause, and upon such terms as to costs or otherwise as he shall think fit, consider necessary.

ORDER LV. RULE 46.

27. Order LV. r. 46, shall be read as if the words "for claimants" were inserted after the word "advertisement."

ORDER LV. RULE 46A.

28. The advertisement for creditors shall be prepared and signed by the solicitor of the party prosecuting the judgment or order; and such signature shall be sufficient authority to the printer of the Gazette to insert the same. Ord. LV.

ORDER LV. RULE 66A.

29. The certificate shall, when the judge shall so direct, be prepared by the solicitor of one of the parties, who shall obtain an appointment to settle the certificate, and shall give notice of such appointment to the other parties. No summons to settle the certificate of the chief clerk shall hereafter be issued.

ORDER LV. RULE 74.

30. Order LV. r. 74, is hereby annulled, and the following rule shall stand in lieu thereof:—

Orders made in chambers to be acted on by the paymaster-general shall, unless the judge otherwise directs, be drawn up by the registrar; but every other order made in chambers shall, unless the judge otherwise directs, be drawn up by the chief clerk, to whom, according to the distribution of business, the cause or matter in which such order is made belongs; and all orders drawn up by the registrars shall be entered in the same manner as orders made in open Court.

ORDER LV. RULE 74A.

31. In the case of orders to be drawn up by the chief clerks, as in the last preceding rule mentioned, an order signed by a chief clerk, or a note or memorandum indersed on the summons upon which any such order is made and signed or initialled by a chief clerk, shall be sufficient evidence of the order having been made.

ORDER LVIII. RULE 15A.

32. The time for appealing against an order made on the further consideration of a cause, and on the hearing of a summons to vary the certificate on which such order is made, shall be the same as the time for appealing against the order on further consideration.

It had been decided that though an order on further consideration was a final order, and therefore appealable any time within a year, yet an order on further consideration, combined with an order on a summons to vary the chief clerk's certificate, was interlocutory, and therefore only appealable within twenty-one days (Cunmins v. Herron, 4 Ch. D. 787; White v. Witt, 5 Ch. D. 589). The new rule abolishes this distinction, and a year will now be allowed in each case.

[The nine following rules, numbered Ord. LIX. rr. 9—17, apply only to appeals to the Queen's Bench Division, and other inferior Courts of record of civil jurisdiction, in all proceedings other than proceedings in bankruptcy.]

ORDER LXV. RULE 6A.

42. A plaintiff ordinarily resident out of the jurisdiction may be ordered to give security for costs, though he may be temporarily resident within the jurisdiction.

This rule very properly reverses the practice laid down in *Redondo* v. *Chaytor*, 4 Q. B. D. 453, and *Ebrard* v. *Gassier*, 28 Ch. D. 432. The old rule frequently worked gross injustice.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19A.

Ord. LXV.

43. The following warrants in the office of the taxing masters of the Chancery Division shall be abolished: Warrant on leaving, warrant to bring in, and warrant to tax.

This and the remaining seven rules relate to the taxation of costs, and make alterations in various important particulars.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19B.

44. Within seven days from the date of the passing of an order directing a taxation of costs, the solicitor having the conduct of the order shall leave at the office of the proper taxing master a copy of the order, and (annexed thereto) a statement containing the names and addresses of the parties appearing in person, and of the solicitors representing the several parties to the cause or matter who do not appear in person, and the names and the nature of the interest of the parties represented by each solicitor.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19c.

45. On the copy order being left a notice of an appointment to proceed with the taxation shall forthwith be issued by the taxing master to the solicitor having the conduct of the order, and a copy of such order shall be sent by post by the solicitor having the conduct of the order to the solicitors of such of the parties as the taxing master shall direct.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19D.

46. At the time mentioned in the notice the taxing master shall appoint a time within which the bills of costs (with all necessary papers and vouchers) shall be left at his office, and he shall give all requisite directions for the conduct of the taxation pursuant to Regulation (27) of this Order.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19E.

47. The taxation shall if possible be continued without interruption till completed, but if adjourned for any reason notice of the adjournment shall be sent by the taxing master by post to any solicitor not present at the time of the adjournment whose attendance he may desire at the next appointment.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19F.

48. In cases in which the solicitors leave their bills with the proper papers and vouchers and with the copy order as above mentioned, the taxing master may, if he shall think fit, forthwith issue a notice as in these rules provided, fixing a time at which the taxation shall be proceeded with.

Ord. LXV.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19G.

49. Any solicitor who shall fail to leave his bills of costs (with the necessary papers and vouchers) within the time or extended time fixed by the taxing master for that purpose, or who shall in any way delay or impede the taxation shall, unless the taxing master otherwise directs, forfeit the fees to which he would otherwise be entitled for drawing his bill of costs and for attending the taxation, and the taxing master may also, if he shall think fit, exercise all or any of the powers vested in him by Regulations (28) and (55) of this Order.

ORDER LXV. RULE 19H.

50. In every bill of costs the professional charges shall be entered in a separate column from the disbursements, and every column shall be cast before the bill is left for taxation.

(Signed)

HALSBURY, C.
COLERIDGE, C.J.
ESHER, M.R.
JAMES HANNEN.
NATH. LINDLEY, L.J.
EDW. FRY, L.J.
C. E. POLLOCK, B.
H. MANISTY, J.

December 18, 1885.

APPENDIX.

FORM 1.

APPENDIX L. No. 29.

Consent to act.

I, A. B., of , hereby consent to act as a trustee of the [describe the instrument].

(Signed) A. B.

I, C.D., of , solicitor, hereby certify that the above-written signature is the signature of A.B., the person mentioned in the above-written consent.

(Signed) C. D.

FORM 2.

APPENDIX K. No. 16.

Certificate of Result of Sale.

In the High Court of Justice,

Chancery Division.

I, A. B., , of , auctioneer, the person appointed to sell the estate comprised in the particulars hereinafter referred to, hereby certify as follows:

1. I did at the time and place, in the lots and subject to the conditions specified in the said particulars and conditions of sale hereto annexed and marked A., put up for sale by auction the estates described in the said particulars.

The result of the sale is truly set forth in the bidding paper hereto annexed and marked B.

2. I have received the sums set forth in the fourth column of the schedule hereto as deposits from the respective purchasers whose names are set forth in the second column of the said schedule opposite the said sums in respect of their purchase-money, leaving the sums set forth in the fifth column of the said schedule due in respect thereof.

Appendix.

The Schedule above referred to.

No. of Lot.	Name of Purchaser.	Amount of Purchase-Money.	Amount of Deposit received.	Amount remaining due.
		(S: 3)	4 P	·

(Signed)

A. B.,

Auctioneer.

To the best of my belief the above certificate is correct.

(Signed)

C. D.

The solicitor for the party having the conduct of the above-mentioned sale.

EXAMINERS' FEES.

£	8.	d.	
1	1	0	
0	2	6	
0	10	6	
5	5	0	
0	2	6	
	0	1 1 0 2 0 10 5 5	0 2 6 0 10 6 5 5 0

The party prosecuting the order, or his solicitor, shall also pay all reasonable travelling and other expenses, including charges for the room (other than the examiner's chambers) where the examination is taken.

Note.—The fees, Nos. 1 and 2, shall be paid by the party prosecuting the order, or his solicitor, at the time of obtaining the appointment, and may be retained by the examiner and his clerk, respectively, whether the examination is taken or not. The other fees shall be paid so soon as the examination has been concluded, together with any travelling or other expenses as above mentioned.

ABATEMENT,

Abated cause to be struck out, 353.

No plea or defence to be pleaded in, 365. Proceedings not to abate if cause of action survive, 350.

Entry of, in cause book, 353.

ABSCONDING DEFENDANT.

Power to arrest defendant intending to go abroad, 190, 565.

ACCEPTING SERVICE, 316.

ACCOUNTANT,

Assistance of, may be obtained, 493.

Costs of employing, 548.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Office abolished, 204, 205.

ACCOUNTING PARTY,

Charging, 399.

ACCOUNTS, 397—400.

May be directed at any time, 397.

Special directions as to mode of taking, 398.

Bill of costs included in, 554.

Verification of, 398.

Cross-examination on, 399.

Just allowances, 399. Delay in taking, 397, 400.

Jurisdiction as to, assigned to Chancery division, 263; where writ indorsed for, or indorsement involves taking, 305, 332.

Reference of, to referees, 268; to district registrar, 271.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS,

For the purpose of enrolling deeds taken before Clerk of Enrolments, 508; searches, 510.

Of right to production of deeds, 112.

ACT OF PARLIAMENT. [See STATUTE.]

ACTION,

Interpretation of word, 305.

Costs of, 539; where for insignificant amount, 271.

Form and commencement of, 305; assignment of, to judge, 281, 312.

Transfer and consolidation of, 463 et seq.; where two are instituted for same matter, 466.

Withdrawal or discontinuance of, 373.

Railway company, against, stay of, 171.

ACTUARY,

Assistance of, may be obtained, 493; fees of, 556.

ADDITION.

Of plaintiff by Court or judge, 333.

ADDITIONAL JUDGE

Of Court of Appeal, 278.

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE,

To be indorsed on writ by solicitor for plaintiff, 309; by plaintiff in person,

310; by solicitor for defendant, 323; by defendant in person, 324. Where writ issues out of district registry, 310.

ADJOURNMENT,

Of action, 418.

In chambers, 484, 501.

```
ADMINISTRATION,
     Action for, assigned to Chancery division, 263; parties to, 342-347.
     Execution of trusts, 342.
     Priorities of secured and unsecured creditors, 280, 281.
     Summons for, 488-491.
     Under Bankruptcy Act, 1883..281.
ADMINISTRATOR. [See EXECUTOR.]
ADMISSIONS, 394—397.
     Of facts on notice, 395
     Of documents, 395; filing of, 524.
Judgment on, 395, 396.
    Of case of other party, 394.
Costs occasioned by refusal to make, 395.
     Signature of, 396.
"ADVERSE LITIGATION."
    Meaning of, in Lands Clauses Act, 43.
ADVERTISEMENTS,
    For creditors and claimants, 499; persons not claiming in time fixed, how
         far excluded, 499, 502.
    By executors, 101.
    Petition to confirm scheme under Railway Companies Act, 1867...174.
    Notice in lieu of service by, 316.
ADVICE OF COURT.
    May be applied for by trustees, &c., 102; practice on petition for, 482;
         allowances to solicitors, 547.
AFFIDAVITS, 433—441.
    Chambers, in, 438, 439.
    Counsel's fees for settling, 551.
    Cross-examination upon, 427, 433, 440.
    Documents, as to, 386.
    Evidence by, 422; on motion, petition, or summons, 433; printing, 441,
    Funds in Court, interest on, 220.
    Of no incumbrances, 30.
    Of no settlement, 30, 230, 231.
    Of service, 440, 565.
    Swearing of, in England and abroad, 434, 435; before solicitor of party,
         insufficient, 438
    Reserved biddings, 475.
Result of sale, 476.
    Several deponents, 547.
    Scandalous matter in, 437.
    Trustee Relief Act, under, 50, 52, 53.
    Increase, of, 545.
    Withdrawal of, not allowed, 427.
    Trial on, 439—441.
How intituled, 433.
    Must be confined to facts within witness's own knowledge, except on inter-
         locutory applications, 434.
    How drawn up, 436.
Filing, 436, 438; on interlocutory applications, 439.
Description of deponents, 436.
Alteration in, 437.
Defective or irregular, may be received, 437.
    Blind, &c., person, of, 437.
    Ex parte application, on, 438; motion or petition, 433.
    Office copies, and generally as to, 560, 561.
AFFIRMATIONS, 435.
    Business, costs of, may be taxed, 6, 8; allowance for correspondence, 550.
AGREEMENT,
    Cancellation of, 263.
    Costs, as to, 20-23.
    Solicitor, by, as to cause to be in writing, 274.
```

ALLOWANCE,

Of property or income of property, when made pendente lite, 470. Just, to be made in taking accounts, without special directions, 399.

ALTERATION,

When allowed in bill of costs after delivery, 8.

AMENDMENT, 377—381.

Costs, 379, 381.

Of judgment or order, 380. Of indorsement or pleadings, 377; by adding parties, 336, 337; by pleading matters arising pending the action, 370; in lieu of new assignment, 370; on appeal, 510, 511.

Of proceedings not in compliance with rules, 566.

Time for, 378, 379; written or printed, 379. Disallowance of, 378, 379.

ANSWER

By affidavit, to interrogatories, 386; exceptions, not to be taken to, 386; order for further answer, 386.

Sufficiency of, determined on motion or summons, 386.

Part of, may be used as evidence at trial of action or issue, 393.

ANSWERING PETITION,

In name of Senior Registrar, 534.

ANTICIPATION,

Release of restraint on, 119, 120.

APPEAL, 509--518.

Amendments, 511.

Bankruptey, in, 514. Chambers, from, 266, 267. Consent order, from, 265.

Costs, as to, 265. Costs of, 511. Court of, 252, 253, 509; constitution and jurisdiction of, 252, 278, 287.

Cross appeal, 512.

District registry, from, 408.

Entering, 513.

Evidence allowed on, 511.

Judgment on, in favour of non-appealing party, 511.

Inferior courts, from, 282.

Interlocutory orders from, 282, 515. Lunacy, in, 253. None, in what cases, 265, 510.

Notice of, 510, 511, 513. Order made ex parte, from, 514; from part of order, 509.

Printing evidence, 515.

Regulation of practice of, 285.

Security for costs of, 515, 516.

Stay of proceedings pending, 517, 518.

Time for, 514, 515.

Who may, 510.

APPELLATE JURISDICTION ACT, 1876..286.

APPEARANCE,

Order as to, 322—326.
Default of, 326—330; at trial, 417.
Of third party served with notice, 348.

Of mortgagees, trustees, &c., under Lands Clauses Act, 42.

Solicitor undertaking to enter, and failing, 324.

Time for, 325; where defendant out of jurisdiction, 322. Unnecessary, in Court or in chambers, costs occasioned by, 347; rule as to offer of thirty shillings costs, 552; costs of separate proceedings, 547, 548.

APPOINTMENT,

Of persons to represent estate, generally, 345-347.

Power of, how to be executed, 99.

APPORTIONMENT.

Conditions of re-entry, of, provision for, 99, 113; of rent-charge, 99. Of fund, 493.

ARBITRATION,

Powers of Court as to, 268.

ARRANGEMENT,

Under Railway Companies Act, 1867..169.

ARREARS OF RENT,

Claims in respect of, 353.

ARREST. [See IMPRISONMENT.]

Privilege from, of officers, attendants, suitors, and witnesses, 188. Of defendant intending to go abroad, 190, 565.

ASSESSOR.

Trial with, 419.

ASSETS.

Distribution of, by executor, 100, 101.

ASSIZES, 261.

Trial of chancery actions at, 415.

"ASSIGNEE,"

Meaning of, in Solicitors Act, 1843..4, 5.

ASSIGNMENT. [See Transfer.]
Of action to division, 311; to judge, of chancery action, 312.

Of debts and choses in action, 258, 259.

By one to himself and another, 100, 122.

ATTACHMENT, 188, 189, 455.

Writ of, 455. Of solicitor undertaking to appear and not appearing, 324.

For not answering interrogatories or making discovery, 392; after service on solicitor, 392.

Referee not to have power of, 420.

Non-payment of money, for, 188, 189.

ATTACHMENT OF DEBTS, 455—458. What debts attachable, 456.

Issue of execution against garnishee, 457.

Third party interested, 457.

Costs, 458.

ATTENDANCE

At chambers, 498, 499; unnecessary, 553; default in, 550. Of witnesses out of jurisdiction, compelled, 303.

ATTORNEY

Powers of, 122, 130.

ATTORNEY-GENERAL, Must sign writ when, 305.

Represents Crown in actions to perpetuate testimony, 430.

ATTORNEYS AND SOLICITORS ACT, 1870..19.

AUDIT OFFICE,

Documents to be sent to, 238.

AUDITÂ QUERELÂ,

Proceedings by, abolished, 451.

AUTHORITY TO SUE.

Next friends and relators to give, 305, 339.

Solicitor to declare whether writ was issued by his authority, 314.

Stay of action for want of, 314.

BANK OF ENGLAND.

To receive dividends on securities, &c., to account of Paymaster-General,

Bound by vesting orders made under Trustee Acts, 66, 75, 92.

Duty of, on service of affidavit and filed notice, 460. Indemnity to, under Chancery Funds Act, 207.

BANKRUPTCY,

Administration in, 281.

Trustee in, not to join actions in other capacity without leave, 354. Effect of, on action, 350, seq.

BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1883.

Administration under, 281.

"BARE TRUSTEE," 107.

Married woman, 107.

BENEFICIARIES.

Improperly joined, costs, 335.

BIDDINGS,

Not to be opened on sales by the Court, 475.

Of costs, 1—15. [See Costs, Taxation]; alteration of, 8; form of, 5; unsigned, 5.

BILLS OF SALE ACTS.

Masters to act as registrar under, 523.

BLIND PERSONS

Affidavits by, 437.

BOOKS OF ACCOUNT.

When prima facie evidence, 398.

BUILDINGS,

Expenditure on, under Lands Clauses Act, 29.

CALENDARS,

Of documents to be kept, 522; of entries, 532.

CANCELLATION

Of deeds, assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

"CAPITAL MONEY,"

Under Settled Land Act, investment of, 143, 144, 145.

CASH,

Under control of Court, 105, 368, 369.

"CAUSE."

Interpretation of word, 276.

CAUSES OF ACTION,

Joinder of, 353; survival of, 350.

CENTRAL OFFICE, 291, 519-531.

Control of, 292.

Business of, 292, 519.

Practice Rules, 525—531.

CERTIFICATE.

Of chief clerk, 504—506. [See CHIEF CLERK.] Of acknowledgments, 292.

CESTUI QUE TRUST. [See TRUSTEE.]
Parties in suits by and against, rules as to, 335.

CHAMBERS,

GENERALLY, 482-485.

In Chancery Division, 485-507.

Accounts and inquiries, summons to proceed with, 496.

Adjournments into Court and vice versa, 484, 492.

Administration, by summons in, of personal estate, real estate, or trust,

Advertisements for purposes of proceedings in, 499, seq.

Affidavits in, 438, 439.

Appeal from order, made by judge in, 266, 267.

Attendances in, 498, 499.

Business to be done in, 485—488. Chief clerk in, 492. [See CHIEF CLERK.] Claimants not coming in to prove claims in, when excluded, 499. Costs of proceedings in, 499, 501, 502, 543; special allowances, 547; of un-

necessary proceedings in, 552, 553; where one party makes default, 484, 550

Evidence in, 490, 492; by affidavit, 438, 439.

Counsel, costs of, 551.

Further consideration of cause in, 484, 487, 506.

Judge sitting in, jurisdiction and powers of, 485, seq.; may be seen personally, 492, 505.

Orders made in, how registered and drawn up, 507.

Originating summons, in, for specific relief without administration, 488-491; form, &c., of, 494, 495.

Charitable Trusts Act, under, 491.

CHAMBERS—continued.

Experts, assistance of, in, 493, 494.

Infants, proceedings relating to, 495.

Documents to be left at, 496.

Summons book, 498.

Creditors, claims by, in, 499, seq. Course of proceeding in, 497. Classification order in, 498.

Proceeding in, ex parte, 483; under judgment or order, 496; during vacation, 536. Process of contempt, 493.

Register of proceedings in, to be kept, 507.

Solicitor may be nominated to appear for a class in, 498; or a distinct solicitor may be nominated, 499.

Summons in, 483—485; originating, 488—491, 494, 495.

Witnesses, examination of, in proceedings in, 492, 493.

CHANCELLOR. [See Lord Chancellor.]

CHANCERY DIVISION,

Business assigned to, 263.

Constitution of, 262.

Jurisdiction of, 263.

CHANCERY FUNDS ACT, 1872..203; rules under, 208.

CHANCERY FUNDS AMENDED ORDERS, 1874, rr. 5-11..53, 211.

CHANGE OF PARTIES BY DEATH, &c., 350-353.

CHARGE

Jurisdiction for raising, assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

CHARGING ORDER,

On stock or shares, 458; stop order, where in hands of Paymaster-General, 461; effect of, when made absolute, 459; for costs under the statute,

CHARITABLE TRUSTS ACT, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 137), 94.

CHARITIES

Charity Commissioners' sanction necessary to all applications not in a matter or suit actually pending, 51; when dispensed with on payment out under Lands Clauses Act, 30.

Moneys of, payment into Court under Trustee Relief Acts, 51.

New trustees of, how appointed, 79; under Charitable Trusts Acts, 79, 94, 491.

CHEQUES.

Outstanding, 240; payment out of Court by, 503.

CHIEF CLERKS, 492, 493.

Certificate of, 504; form of, 504; when binding, 505; summons to vary, 505, 506; filing, 524.

Examination of witnesses before, 493.

CHILDREN. [See Infants.]

CHOSES IN ACTION.

Orders made under Trustee Acts respecting, 62.

Assignment of, 258, 259.

CHRISTMAS DAY, a dies non, 537,

CIRCUITS, 261.

CITY,

Service on inhabitants of, 318.

CLAIM,

Chambers, in, 499, seq.

Who may appear on, against estate, 347.

Appointment of person to represent, 335, 342; in chambers, 498.

CLERICAL ERRORS, 223, 380.

CLERKS

Fees to barristers', 560.

CLOSE OF PLEADINGS, 370.

Last pleading to be deemed to be denied, 376.

COLONIES.

Affidavits, &c., how sworn in, 435.

COMMISSIONER,

For acknowledgments by married women, 300.

For examination of witnesses, 423.

Of oaths, 272; appointment of, 273.

COMMITTEE OF LUNATIC,

Consents by, as to procedure, 339. [See LUNATIC.]
Powers vested in lunatic may be exercised by, under the direction of the Lord Chancellor, 80.

COMMON LAW,

Legal right to be recognized in all cases, 257.

Equity to prevail where conflict, 260.

COMPANY,

Enforcing judgment against, 452.

Discovery in aid of execution against, 452. Shareholders in, execution against, 450.

Indemnified for acting on orders made under Trustee Acts, 75.

Officer or member of, may be interrogated, 384, 385.

Service on, 318, 319.
Winding-up of, rule as to secured and unsecured creditors, 279; transfer, after order for, 465.

COMPENSATION.

Under Lands Clauses Act, 33.

COMPOUNDING PENAL ACTION,

Leave for, 471.

COMPROMISE,

Solicitor, by, not to be binding unless reduced into writing, 274.

CONCURRENT WRITS, 314.

CONDITION PRECEDENT,

To be pleaded when, 358.

CONDUCT OF PROCEEDINGS,

Applications as to, to be made in chambers, 488.

May be taken from plaintiff and given to any person, 343; for delay in prosecuting decree, 399, 400.

Of sale, 470, 475.

Where two or more suits for same matter, 343.

CONDUCT MONEY, 424.

CONFESSION,

Of defence arising pending the action, 370, 371.

CONFIRMATION,

Of scheme under Railway Companies Act, 1867..173.

CONFLICT OF LAW AND EQUITY,

Matters of substantive law, 260.

Matters of practice, 260.

CONSENT

Of next friend, &c., to action, 339.

Procedure, as to, may be given on behalf of persons under disability, 339.

CONSENT ORDER,

No appeal from, 265.

CONSOLIDATION,

Of causes or matters, 367, 465, 466.

Of mortgages, 115.

CONTEMPT,

Imprisonment for, 188.

CONTINUATION OF PROCEEDINGS, 351, 352.

Cancellation of, 263.

What stipulations are of the essence of, rules of equity to prevail, 259. Specific performance of, assigned to Chancery Division, 263. Meaning of, in Married Women's Property Act, 1882...200.

Completion of, after death of vendor, 110. How pleaded, 359, 360.

CONTRIBUTION,

Claim for, by defendant against stranger, 347; against co-defendant, 350.

CONVERSION,

Equitable, of purchase-money under Lands Clauses Act, 28, 36; under Partition Act, 1868..182.

CONVEYANCES.

Costs of, under Lands Clauses Act, 45; taxation, 45; form of, 45.

CONVEYANCING ACTS, 1881..109; 1882..127.

Applications under, how made, 124; C. A. 1882..127.

CONVEYANCING COUNSEL OF THE COURT, 476, 477.

Costs of private counsel settling drafts in addition to, 546. Fees of, 476, 551.

COPIES,

Order as to, 561, seq.

For use of paymaster, 221. Pleadings, of, for use of judge, 417.

Costs of, 551, 552.

COPYHOLD ACTS

Applications under, 487.

Lodgment in Court under, 225.

COPYHOLDS.

Vesting orders of, under Trustee Act, 76.

CORPORATION,

Issue of execution against, 452; service of writ upon, 318; discovery from, 384, 385.

COSTS. [See SOLIGITOR, TAXATION.]
Order as to, 539-561; application of former practice, 557.

Action for, not to be commenced till a month after delivery of bill, except by leave, 1, 3; pleading non-delivery of bill to, 4; restrained pending taxation, 2.

Action, of the, 539, 540.

Where sums under 201. are recovered, 271.

For not making admissions, 395.

Misjoinder, 333

Prolixity, scandal, &c., 361. Improper attendances, 498, 499, 552, 553.

Discontinuance, 373, 374.

Agreement as to, 7, 19—24. Amendments, 379, 381, 555. Appeal as to, 265, 266.

Appearances, unnecessary, 478, 552, 553. Bill of, 1—15. [See BILL of Costs.]

Bond given as security for, 542.

Chambers, in, 550.

Charging, on property preserved or recovered, 16—18.

Counsel, of, in chambers, 551; of conferences, 559; settling affidavits, 551; two or more, 559; refreshers, 569, 560; clerks, 560. Creditors proving, of, 501, 502, 503.

Day, of the, payable on adjournment, 417, 418.

Discontinuance, on, 373, 374. Evidence, of, what allowed, 548, seq.

Guardian ad litem, of, 544.

Improper and unnecessary matter, of, 552, 553.

```
COSTS-continued.
     Interest on, 15.
    Issues, of, 540.
     Jury trial, of, 539.
     Lands Clauses Act, under, 38—44.
     Neglect, &c., of solicitor, occasioned by, 541, 543.
    Next friend, of, 338.
     Partition suit, in, 183.
    Party and party, what allowed as between, 555. Pauper suits, in, 341.

Payment into Court by defendant, where, 367.
     Persons made parties for, 334.
     Prolix endorsement of writ, 306.
     Revival of order for payment of, 24.
     Scale of, 543.
     Security for future, may be taken from client by solicitor, 24; of taxation, 5;
          when required from plaintiff, 541, 542; from petitioner, 542; from
     appellant, 515, 516.
Set-off of, by taxing-master, 553.
Settled Land Act, 1882, under, 150.
     Settled property, payment of, out of, 151.
     Severing in defence, of, 547, 548.
Shorthand notes, of, 549, 550.
     Solicitor's lien for, 17, 18; not to interfere with set-off, 545.
     Special allowances, 547, seq.
Vendor and Purchaser Act, under, 108.
     Vary investment, application to, 369.

Taxation of, 1—15, 545, 546. [See Taxation.]

Trustee Acts, under, 87, 88.
     Trustee Relief Acts, under, 54-56.
COUNSEL,
     Addresses of, on trials, 418.
     Conveyancing, 476, 477.
     Costs of, 551, 557—560.
Signature of, to pleadings, not necessary, 356; to petition under 22 & 23
           Vict. c. 35..102.
COUNTERCLAIM, 333, 355, 362—364.
     Dismissal of action not to affect, 364.
     Exclusion of, 364.
     Reply to, 364, 370.
     Third party introduced by, 364, 365.
     Jurisdiction of inferior courts on, 303.
COUNTIES PALATINE, 253, 276.
COUNTY COURTS,
     Jurisdiction of, under Legacy Duty Act, 52; under Trustee Relief Act, 52;
           Trustee Act, 79; Partition Act, 184.
COUNTY COURTS ACT, 1867..271.
 COURT,
      Examiners of the, 430—433.
     Inferior, jurisdiction of, 274, 275.
COURT OF APPEAL, 287, 509-518.
     Sittings of, 282.
COURT FEES, 669, seq.
COURT ROLLS.
     Of manor, inspection of, 391.
      Administration order may be obtained by, on summons, 489.
      Advertisements for, by executors, 101; in administration action, 499, 500.
      Against land delivered in execution, 178. Claims by, 499—503.
      Costs of, proving debts, 501, 502.
      Cross-examination of, on affidavit, 427.
      Secured and unsecured, priorities of, 279, 280.
```

CROSS-APPEALS, Not necessary, 512.

CROSS-EXAMINATION,

Expense of production of deponent for, 440, 441. On affidavits, 427, 428, 433, 500; of accounting party, 399.

CURTESY.

To be deemed to arise under settlement, 167.

CUSTODY OF INFANTS ACT (36 & 37 Vict. c. 12), 97.

DAMAGES, 421.

DAY, costs of, 417, 418.

Day to show cause, whether allowed to infant defendant, 78.

DEATH.

Change of parties by, 350.

DEBTORS ACT, 1869..187; 1878..189.

DEBTS. [See Administration, Creditor.]

Action for, with specially indorsed writ, 308, 309. Attachment of, 455—458.

Charge of, authorises sale by devisees or executors, 99.

Imprisonment for, 187—189. Interest on, 503, 504.

Proving, in administration suit, 499-503.

DECEASED PERSON,

Court may proceed without representative of, 344.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS, 372.

DECREE. [See JUDGMENT.] Included in "judgment," 277.

Settlement of, in chambers, 497.

Rescission or reformation, jurisdiction, 263.

DEFAULT.

Affidavit of service, 327.

District registry, 329.

Infant or person of unsound mind, 326.

Judgment on, 327—329.

Of appearance, 326—330; pleadings, &c., may be filed, 357.

Of attendance at chambers, 483.

Of pleading, 374—377. Setting aside judgment, 329, 377, 417.

Trial, at, 417.

DEFENCE AND COUNTERCLAIM, 362-365.

Action to recover land, 365.

Counterclaim not etayed by dismissal, &c., of action, 364.

Specially endorsed writ, 330.

Severing in, 547, 548.
Third party introduced, 347—350, 363, 364.

Time for, 363.

Withdrawal of, by leave of Court, 373.

Ground of, arising after action brought, 370.

DEFENDANT,

Word includes person served, &c., 276.

How served, 316.

Appearance of, 322—326; default of, 326—330. Dismissal for want of prosecution. [See DISMISSAL.]

Infant, or of unsound mind, 338.

Need not be interested as to all the relief claimed, 334.

New, service of writ on, 337.

Pauper, 340, 341.

Severing of defence by, 547, 548.

Sued in representative capacity, endorsement of writ, 308. Account against, order for, 332.

DEFENDANT—continued.

Contribution or indemnity against third party, claim for, 347-350.

Costs against, 540.

Security for costs not required from, 542.

DEFINITIONS.

Of terms in R. S. C. 1883., 567, 568.

DELAY [See Default] effect of, in applications for taxation, 14; in chambers, 473, 496.

DELIVERY, Defence, 363.

Pleadings, 357.

Reply, 369.

Statement of claim, 361.

Of bill of costs, 1-15.

Of papers to client, 9.

Of property on payment into Court of amount claimed, 470.

DELIVERY, WRIT OF, 463.

DEMURRER.

Proceedings in lieu of, 371, 372.

DENIALS.

In pleadings to be specific, 359.

DEPOSIT

ACCOUNT,

Funds in Court, when to be placed on, 235; and withdrawn from, 236; interest, how computed, 236, 237.

Parliamentary, Required by Standing Order of Parliament, 49.

Repayment of, 49; on abandonment of railway, 50; under Lands Clauses Act, 46-48.

DEPOSITIONS,

Court may allow, to be given in evidence, 423.

Examiner, taken by, 425.

Filing of, 426.

Made before issue joined, 428.

DETENTION OF GOODS, 328.

Order for, pendente lite, 467.

DIRECTIONS,

Summons for, 381.

DISCHARGE,

Of incumbrances on sale, 111.

Of order of revivor, 352.

DISCLOSURE, by solicitor as to authority, 314.

DISCONTINUANCE, 373, 374.

Costs, 374.

DISCOVERY, 381-394.

By interrogatories, 381, seq.; costs of, 384; setting aside, 385. Fraud or breach of trust, in action for, 381.

Privileged communications, 382, seq.

In aid of execution, 452.

Costs, 384, 386.

Of documents, 386, seq. Security for costs of, 393, 394.

From corporation, &c., 384, 385.

DISENTAILING DEED,

Required on payment out of monies in Court under Lands Clauses Act, 30. By infant, under Infants Settlement Act, 97.

DISMISSAL,

DISCONTINUANCE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF, 373; by consent, 373. FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION; default in pleading, 374; in giving discovery, 392; in giving notice of trial, 413; non-appearance at trial, 417.

DISTRICT REGISTRAR. Appointment of, 299. Appeal from, 408. Deputy, 289. Jurisdiction of, 407. Saving as to, 302. DISTRICT REGISTRY, 269, 406-410. Accounts and inquiries referred to, 271. Action when to proceed in, 270; removal of action, 270; proceedings in, 406, seq. Appeal, 408. Filing of pleadings in, 410. Proceedings in, 406; entry of judgment, 407. Writ issued out of, 269, 310. Funds in, 241. Removal from, 408, 409. Entry for trial in, 415, 416. Offices, when open, 535. DISTRINGAS, Service of affidavit and notice to have effect of, 460. DIVIDENDS. On funds in Court, 229, 230. DIVISIONS OF HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE, Constitution of, 262. Option to choose, 281, 311. DOCUMENTS. Discovery of, 386, 387; affidavit, how far conclusive, 387; what documents material, 387. Production of, 388; what, protected, 388, 389; may be ordered at any stage, 424. Referred to in pleadings, &c., notice to produce, 389. Inspection of, time and place for, 390. Order for inspection of, 390, 391; Court rolls, 391. Determination of questions before discovery of, 391, 392. Penalty for refusing discovery, 392. Security for costs of discovery of, 393. To be left at chambers, 496. DORMANT FUNDS List of, to be published triennially, 239. DRAWING UP, Of orders and judgments, procedure upon, 507, 534. EARLY TRIAL. Order for, 466. "EAST INDIA STOCK," What is, 105, 369. ECCLESIASTICAL LEASEHOLDS, Purchase-money paid under Lands Clauses Act in respect of, how applied, 34. EJECTMENT. [See Land.] ELEGIT,

Writ of, 453. EMBARRASSING PLEADINGS. [See Parties, Pleadings.]

ENGINEERS,

Assistance of, may be obtained by the Court, 493.

ENROLMENT,

Clerk of enrolments, 521, 523.

Department of Central Office, 521.

Of deeds, 521.

Scheme under Railway Companies Act, 1867..521.

Of judgments, unnecessary, 521.

ENTERING

Actions for trial, 413—416.

Evidence of, as read, 532.

Judgments, 444; by entering clerks of registrars, 532.

EQUITABLE EXECUTION, 177, 471.

EQUITABLE WASTE, 258.

EQUITY,

Rules of, to prevail, 260.

To be concurrently administered with law, 255-257.

ESCHEAT.

Property held upon trust or mortgage, of, 86.

ESSENCE OF CONTRACT.

Stipulation, when of, 259.

EVIDENCE, 422-433.

Agreement to take by affidavit, 422. Other cause, taken in, 422.

Office copies admissible in, 423.

Commission to take, 423.

Viva voce, to be given, 422. Perpetuating, 429, 430.

Books of account, 398.

Costs of, what allowed on taxation, 548.

Chambers in, 438, 439, 492.

De bene esse, 423.

Entering, as read, in decree, 532.

Inspection, &c., of property for purposes of, 467.

Petitions, &c., on, taken by affidavit, 433.

Rules of practice as to, not affected, 284. Time for, 439, 440.

EXAMINATION, [See Cross-Examination.]

Witnesses, of, by order, 423.

EXAMINER, 423, seq.

Examination of witnesses by or before, 424-426.

Power of, 425; to administer oaths, 427.

Special, 423.

EXAMINERS OF THE COURT, 430-433.

Fees of, 432.

EXCHANGE,

By tenant for life, 141.

EXECUTION, 446-463.

Delivery in, 177.

Equitable, 177, 471.
Of instruments, by order of Court, 303.

Railway company, against, 168, 169, 172.

Partnership firm, against, 448. Writ of, issue of, 447, 448, 449; to remain in force for a year, 450; renewal, 450.

Upon conditional judgment, 447.

Poundage, 449.

Where leave to issue, necessary, 450.

Orders, on, 451. Persons not parties, against, 451.

Corporation, against, 452.

Discovery in aid of, 452.

Money and costs, for, 449.

Stay of, 451.

Garnishee, against, 457.

EXECUTION OF PURCHASE DEED, 112.

EXECUTOR.

Continuing action, is liable for costs, 351; claims by or against, joinder of, 354; judicial opinion how obtained by, 102; protection for acting under power of attorney, 100; or assigning leaseholds, 101; distributing assets after setting apart fund or giving notices to creditors, 100, 101; representative character to be shown on writ, 308; powers of, under Conveyancing Act, 1881..119; represents estate, 335.

EXHIBITS.

To affidavits, 439.

EXPERT,

Assistance of, may be obtained, 493, 494.

EXTENSION OF TIME, 538.

EXTENT

Writ of, still existing, 451.

Trial of questions of, without pleadings, 405, 406.

FEES.

Counsel to, what allowed on taxation, 551, 557, 559, 560, 632; counsel attending chambers, 551. Court, 669—686.

Solicitors, of, 662—668.

FIERI FACIAS, WRIT OF, 453, 454. De bonis ecclesiasticis, 453.

FILING,

Of petitions, &c., 522, 524.

Affidavit, 436.

Chief clerk's certificate, 505.

In default of appearance, 357.

Generally, 527.

In District Registry, 410. Order for, not to be drawn up, 481.

Authority of next friend or relator, 339.

Special case, 401.

FOLIO, To be 72 words, 551.

FORECLOSURE.

Action for, not action for recovery of land, 353. Decree for, form of, 376.

Sale in lieu of, 115.

FOREIGN,

Court,

Declaration of English law made for information of, 372.

GOVERNMENT.

Suing as plaintiff, bow discovery to be obtained from, 385.

PARTS.

Affidavits, how sworn in, 435.

FORFEITURE,

Of leases, restrictions on and relief against, 113, 114.

FORMÂ PAUPERIS,

Suing or defending in, 340, 341; petition of right, 202.

FORMS.

Rules of the Supreme Court, under. [See Table of Contents.] Conveyancing Act, 1882, under, 133—138. Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1884, under, 242—248. Settled Land Act, under, 160—165.

FRAUD,

Overcharges in bill of costs amounting to, 12-14. How pleaded, 357.

FRIVOLOUS ACTION OR DEFENCE, 371.

FUNDS IN COURT, Chancery Funds Act, 1872, relating to, 203, seq.; Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874...211, seq.; Judicature Funds Act, 1883...213. Deposit account for suitors' moneys, 208.

Investment of, 233-235.

Payment out of Court, 226; conversion of government securities, 237. Undealt with, list of, 239.

Petition dealing with, 212. Lodgment of, 222, seq. Money on deposit and interest thereon, 235—237.

Allotments of new stock in respect of, 241.

FURTHER CONSIDERATION,

Setting down on, 414.

Of matter originating at chambers, 506.

Evidence on, 415.

Adjournment for, 418.

GARNISHEE, 455. [See Attachment of Debts.]

GENERAL ORDERS, 1st February, 1862, Petition of Right, 201, 202. 24th January, 1868, Railway Act, 1867..170, 173, 174, 176. 7th January, 1870, Debtors Act, 1869..189, 190. 22nd December, 1874, Chancery Funds Amended Orders, 1874..211.

GENERAL RELIEF,

Need not be prayed, 362.

GENERAL WORDS,

Implication of, 111.

GOOD FRIDAY, a dies non, 537.

Action for detention of, 328.

Order for sale of, pendente lite, 466.

GROUNDS OF MOTION,

When to be stated in motion, 479.

GUARDIAN,

Ad litem, appointment of, where defendant in a suit is infant, or of unsound mind, 326, 338, 339.

Costs of, 338.

Consent by, as to procedure, 339.

Passing accounts, 474.

HEARING. [See TRIAL.]

HEARSAY EVIDENCE, 434.

HEIR-AT-LAW

Party to suit to execute trusts of a will, need not be, 344.

Trustee Acts, trustee within, 64.

HEIRLOOMS.

Sale of, 149.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE,

Constitution and jurisdiction of, as a superior court of record, 249, 251, 254. Powers of, with respect to proceedings before referees, 268. Regulation of practice of by rules, 285.

HUSBAND AND WIFE. [See MARRIED WOMAN.]

Joinder of claims by or against, 354.

Service on, 315, 351.

IDENTIFICATION,

Of person to be paid, 241.

ILLITERATE PERSON,

Affidavit of, 437.

IMPRISONMENT,

For contempt of Court, 188; for non-payment of money, when allowed, 187-189. [See ATTACHMENT.]

IMPROVEMENTS

Lands Clauses Act, under, 29.

Settled Land Act, under, 27, 145—147.

INCOME,

Allowance of, pendente lite, 470.

INCOME TAX, Deduction of, from dividends on funds in Court, 232.

INCUMBRANCES.

Discharge of, on sale, 111; discharge of, under Settled Land Act, 144.

INDEMNITY, Claim for, by defendant against third party, 347, 348.

INDEXES.

To be kept, 522.

INDORSEMENT,

Address, 309, 310.

Date of service, 319.

On judgment or order to do an act, 445.

Of claim, 307—309.

Special, 308; advantages of, 309.

INFANT

Absolutely entitled, to be as tenant for life, 153.

Appearance of, 339.

Birth of, pendente lite, 352.

Jurisdiction as to, assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

Chambers, what matters relating to, are determined in, 487.

Charging order for costs on property of, 16.

Consent to procedure, 339.
Custody of, under sixteen years, given to mother under Custody of Infants Act, 98; under separation deed, 98; rules of equity to prevail, 260.

Day to show cause, whether given to, 78.

Default of appearance by, 326.

Defends by guardian, 338. Guardian of, 326, 338, 339.

Legacy of, paid in under Legacy Duty Act, 51, 52.

Maintenance and advancement of, trustees may apply income of property in, 121; applications for, made in chambers, 487; evidence required, 495.

Management of property of, 120.

Mortgagee, order made under Trustee Acts, in case of, as to lands, 67; contingent rights, 68.

Next friend of, 338; to sign a written authority, 339.

Plaintiff to sue by next friend, 338.

Service on, 318.

Settlement on marriage of, may be made by, with the approbation of the Court, 96; when void on death of infant under age, 97.

Suits by and against, 338.

Trustee Act, vesting order made under, in case of, as to lands, 67; contingent rights, 68; stock, 91; where infant trustee is a lunatic, 65; where infant is beneficially entitled, 91.

INFANTS' SETTLEMENT ACT (18 & 19 Vict. c. 43), 96.

Evidence on applications under, 495; application made by summons, 97, 487.

INFERENCES OF FACT,

Court may draw, when, 400, 444.

INFERIOR COURTS

Jurisdiction of, 274, 275; on counterclaims, 303; appeal from, to Divisional Court, 282.

Transfers from, 275.

INFORMALITY.

Effect of non-compliance with R. S. C., 566.

INFORMATION, 305.

INJUNCTION, 259, 468, 470.

Actions in other Court not to be stayed by, but Court to stay proceedings, 256, 257. [See STAY OF PROCEEDINGS.]
Affidavits used on motion for, 439.

INJUNCTION—continued.

Indorsement of writ in action for, 468.

Interlocutory application for, 468; ex parte, 468. Jurisdiction as to granting, 259, 468, 470.

INQUIRIES

May be directed at any time, 397. District Registry, in, 406.

INSPECTION,

Of documents, 388. [See DOCUMENTS.]

Of property, 467; by judge, 467; by jury, 467.

INSURE.

Power for mortgagee to, 115.

INTEREST.

Costs, on, 15, 16. Debts and legacies, 503, 504.

During stay of proceedings pending appeal, 517.

Fund in Court, on, 219, 220.

Money of client in hands of solicitor, 24.

INTERIM,

Investment, 40.

Custody or preservation of property, 466.

Order, for an injunction, 468.

INTERLOCUTORY PROCEEDINGS,

To be taken before judge to whom action is attached, 281; costs of, 478, 479. Interim preservation, mandamus, injunction, &c., 259, 466; for sale, deten-

tion of property, or inspection for purposes of evidence, 466.

Motion for judgment on admissions, 395, 396.

Not to prejudice appeal, 515. Appeal from, time for, 515; to be heard by two judges, 282.

Written evidence used on, need not be printed, 562.

Transfer of, 281.

INTERPLEADER,

Procedure in, 507—509.

By holder of chose in action, 259.

Power to transfer proceedings to County Court, 303.

INTERROGATORIES,

For examination of opposite party, 381; body corporate, &c., 384; scandalous or irrelevant, 384, 385; affidavit in answer to, 386.

For examination of witnesses in chambers, 492.

Setting aside or striking out, 385.

Further answer, 386.

Sufficiency of answer to, 386.

Penalties for refusing to answer, 392. Security for costs of, 393, 394. Where fraud or breach of trust charged, 381.

INVESTMENT, by Paymaster-General, 233—235. Of funds in Court or interest or dividends under order, 233.

Amount to be invested, 234.

Staying of, 235.

Cash under the control of the Court, of, 105, 368.

Change of, on application of tenant for life, &c., 368. Lands Clauses Act, under, interim, 31; final, in discharge of incumbrances, purchase of other lands, &c., 28, 29; application for, how made, 31.

Legacy Duty Act, under, 234.

Married women, by, 195. Settled Land Act, under, 143.

Trustee Relief Act, under, 234.

Trustees, by, generally, 105.

IRELAND,

Transfer of funds to Chancery of, 227.

Service of writ in, 321.

IRREGULARITY.

Effect of non-compliance with R. S. C., 566.

IRRELEVANCY,

Of interrogatories, 385.

ISSUE, 261, 397.

Joinder of, 359.

Jury, trial of, with, 285, 412. Motion for judgment after trial of, 443; before, 444.

Power to postpone or dispense with, 444; settlement of, by judge, 397.

Order to prepare, 397.

Of fact, without pleadings, 405, 406. Costs of, 540.

JOINDER,

Of parties, 333, 334; of causes of action, 353, 354; in cases of husband and wife, 354; executor, 354; trustee in bankruptcy, 354; joint and several liability, 354; of action for recovery of land, 353; order to confine the action, 354; embarrassing, struck out, 333.

JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITY, rule as to parties in case of, 334.

Attachment of action to Court of, 312; one may sit for another, 298, 301.

Absence of, 301.

Appointment of, 297.

Insufficiency in number of, 301.

Qualifications of, 250, 298.

Vacancy in office of, 267, 301.

Inspection by, 467. Vacation, 536.

Extraordinary duties of, 251.
Single, powers of, 264; in Court of Appeal, 267.

May issue process to compel attendance of witnesses, 303.

JUDGMENT OR ORDER,
Default, upon, 327—329, 375—377; setting aside, 417.
Entry of, 444—446.

Execution upon, 446, seq.

Executors protected from unregistered, 104.

Land not to be affected by, until delivered in execution, 177; land to be sold upon summary order, 178. Motion for, 376, 442—444.

Upon conditions, &c., 446, 447.

Admissions, upon, 395, 396. Absent trustee, against, 87. Notice of, 343, 344.

Service of, dispensing with, in partition suit, 184, 185.

Declaratory, 372. Antedating, 445.

To do an act, to limit time for performance, 445; indorsement, 445. Alteration of, by registrar, 380.

Drawing up, passing and entering, 532; orders in chambers, 507. Setting aside, 329, 417.

JUDGMENT ACT, 1864..177.

JUDGMENT DEBTOR, examination of, 452.

JUDICATURE ACT, 1873...248; 1875...277; 1877...290; 1881...296; 1884...

JUDICATURE (FUNDS) ACT, 1883..213.

JUDICATURE (OFFICERS) ACT, 1879..291.

JUDICIAL OPINION, &c., of the Court, trustees, executors, &c., may apply for, 102; proceedings and fees, 482, 547.

JURAT, 436, 437.

JURISDICTION.

Service out of, 320-322.

Attendance of witnesses out of, 303.

JURY,

Trial by, 411-413; of Chancery actions, 411.

"JUST ALLOWANCES," 399.

KNOWLEDGE,

Alleging in pleading, 360.

LANCASTER, COUNTY PALATINE OF,

Chancellor of, how far affected by Judicature Act, 276.

Action for recovery of, service of writ in, 319; may be joined with other cause of action by leave, 353; what is, 353.

Meaning of, in Lands Clauses Act, 64, 70, 82; in Conveyancing Act, 1881,

LAND COMMISSIONERS FOR ENGLAND, 151.

Special indorsement of writ in action by, against tenant, 308.

LAND TAX, costs of redemption of, under Lands Clauses Act, 40, 41.

LAND TRANSFER ACT, 1875..107.

LANDS CLAUSES CONSOLIDATION ACT, 1845..24.

Compensation money under, application of, 27, 147; may be allowed to tenant for life, 33; or apportioned, 34.

Costs under, 37, seq.

Agreement, in case of, 45.

Agreement, in case of, 45.

Compulsory purchase, in case of, what are payable by the company, 38—44.

Deposit may be paid by promoters under, and they may then enter on lands before purchase, 46; deposit to be repaid on fulfilment of bond, 47, 48.

Interpretation clause, 25, 26.

Lands,

How to vest in promoters on purchase from persons under disability, 34; where owner refuses to convey or cannot show title, 35.

Party in possession of, to be deemed the owner of, 37.

Leaseholds or reversions, application of money in respect of, 34.

Purchase-money under, when converted into personalty, 28, 36.

If amounting to 2007. to be deposited in bank until applied in purchase of other lands, or otherwise, as mentioned in s. 69..27; interest not

payable by company while money in bank, 28.

If between 20% and 200% to be deposited in bank, or paid to two trustees nominated by parties entitled and approved by promoters of undertaking, 32.

If under 201, to be paid to parties entitled to rents and profits, 32.

Reinvestment under, in land, 28.

Taxation of costs under, 45, 46.

Lodgment in Court under, 225.

To be administered concurrently with Equity, 255.

Questions of, 371.

LAW COURTS,

Name of new, 294.

Executors, liability of, to rents, covenants, or agreements in, provision for determining, 100, 101.

Lands Clauses Act, purchase-money paid under, in respect of, to be applied according to the rights of lessees and reversioners, 34; renewable and

ecclesiastical leaseholds, 34.

Forfeiture of, restrictions and relief against, 113, 114. Building and mining, power to grant under Settled Land Act, 1882..142; how settled, 158.

Settled Land Act, money paid for, under, 148.

LEAVE

To bid, in partition suit, 181.

To defend, where writ specially indersed, 330-332.

To renew writ, 315; writ of execution, 450. To serve writ, 321.

To compound penal action, 471.

zz

LEGACY.

Petition to state whether duty paid, 212.

Duty to be provided for, in orders dealing with funds, 220, 232.

Interest to be computed on, 504.

LEGACY DUTY ACT, Applications under, in chambers, 486.

Payment into Court under, 51, 52. Investment of money lodged under, 234.

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS ACT, 1875..3.

LEGAL RIGHT,

To be recognised in all Courts, 257.

LEGATEES.

Administration by, 342.

What are not privileged, 389.

"LIBERTY TO APPLY," 380.

"LIBERTY TO ATTEND," 344.

LIEN.

Chancery jurisdiction as to sale in case of, 263.

Delivery of property subject to, on payment into Court, 470. Solicitor's, on property preserved, 16—18; on papers, 17.

LIMITATIONS, STATUTE OF,

Bars legacies and claims under estates of intestates, 106.

Trusts not barred by, 258.

Solicitor's charge, how affected by, 16, 18. Must be pleaded as a defence, 358.

LIMITED OWNERS.

Powers of, under Settled Land Act, 1882..152.

LIQUIDATED DEMAND,

Indorsement of writ for, 308.

LISTS OF ACTIONS,

Registrars to keep, 534.

LODGMENT IN COURT, 222-226.

In satisfaction of claim, 365, seg.
Under Lands Clauses Act, 27, 225; Legacy Duty Act, 51; Trustee Act, 78; money of infants and persons of unsound mind, 86; Trustee Relief Act, 50, 225; Copyhold Acts, 225.
Notice of, 211.

Schedule, 217; form, 242; combined lodgment and payment schedule, 218; form, 244.

LODGMENT SCHEDULE, 217, 218.

LONDON COMMISSIONERS TO ADMINISTER OATHS IN CHANCERY, 434.

LORD CHANCELLOR,

Interpretation of word, 276.
Appointment of officers by, 273.

Duties of, 251; how affected by Judicature Act, 275, 276.

Not a permanent judge of the High Court, 277; president of Chancery division, 262.

LORDS JUSTICES.

Lunacy, jurisdiction of, in, 279.

LUNACY. [See LUNATIO.] Appeal in, 253.

Jurisdiction in, to be exercised by persons entrusted, 279; included in words "Court of Chancery," 204.

Orders in, how to be made and entered under Trustee Act, 65; under Trustse Relief Act, 57.

LUNATIC. [See Next Friend; Lunacy; Unsound Mind.]

Meaning of word in Trustes Acts, 63.

Action by and against person of unsound mind not so found, 338, 339.

Consent by, as to procedure, 339.

Costs of deceased, 18.

LUNATIC-continued.

Guardian ad litem to, appointed on application of plaintiff, 326. Jurisdiction of Lords Justices over, 279.

Not so found, jurisdiction as to, 339.

Orders as to, how made under Trustee Act, 65; under Trustee Relief Act, 57.

Service of writ of summons on, 318.

Trustee Act, appointment of new trustee in the place of, under, 80; vesting order, in case of, 62. Tenant for life, position of, 154.

MAINTENANCE,

Allowance for, out of property the subject of a suit, 470.

Infants, of, application for, how made, 487; evidence required, 495. Trustees may apply income of property in, 121.

MANAGEMENT OF PROPERTY, Applications as to, made at chambers, 102.

MANAGER.

Included in word receiver, 567.

MANDAMUS, 259, 467.

Action of, 482, 483.

MANSION HOUSE

Sale or lease of, 143.

MARKING,

With name of judge, 312; with reference to record, 212, 525.

MARRIAGE,

Proceedings not to abate by, if cause of action continue, 350.

MARRIED WOMAN

Ante-nuptial liabilities of, 197, 198.

Actions by and against, 192, 193.

Acknowledgment of deeds by, 129, 130.

Bare trustee, 107.

Questions as to property of, may be summarily decided, 198.

Executrix, 199.

Existing settlements, 199.

Legal personal representative of, 200.

Access of, to children, and custody of those under sixteen, may be ordered on petition, 98. [See INFANT.]

Allowance, pendente lite, of income of separate estate, made to, 470.

Defendant, 192, 338.

Payment of money out of Court, to, 30, 230; of purchase-money under Lands Clauses Act without acknowledged deed, 30.

Plaintiff, how to sue, 192. Restraint against anticipation by, release of, 119, 120.

Tenant for life, position of, 154.

Property and position of, under Married Women's Property Act, 1882.. 192, seq. Trustee and executrix, 199.

Remedies of, 196.

MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT, 1882..192, 338.

MASTER OF THE ROLLS, 5, 250.

Appointments of officers by, 273. To be judge of appeal only, 296; duties of, 297.

MASTERS OF SUPREME COURT, 292, 524.

MAYOR,

Service of writ on, 348.

MERGER,

Rules of equity as to, to prevail, 258.

MESNE PROCESS

Arrest on, abolished, 190.

MINUTES,

Motion to vary, 380.

MISJOINDER,

Action not to be defeated by reason of, 336. Of plaintiffs, costs of, 333.

MISREPRESENTATION,

Particulars of, to be pleaded, 357.

MONEY IN COURT. [See Funds in Court.]

MORTGAGE

Consolidation, 115.

Action respecting, 115.

Conveyancing Act, 1881, provisions of, as to, 115. Priority, under Railway Companies Act, 1867...176. Deed of, when ordered to be produced, 384.

Jurisdiction as to redemption and foreclosure assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

Mortgagor, where mortgagee not in possession, may sue for rents, damages, &c., in his own name, 258.

Reconveyance of mortgaged land, 67, 70, 71; transfer instead of, 114, 130.

Sale of property in action respecting, 115, 116.

Trustee Aots, meaning of word in, 63; orders under, when mortgagee is lunatic, refuses to convey, &c., 61.

MORTGAGEE,

Powers of, under Conveyancing Act, 1881..115.

May appeal for costs, 266.

May petition for custody of infant children, 98.

MOTION, 477, seq.
Abandoned, what is, 479; costs of, 478, 479.

Adjournment of, 480.

Affidavits may be used on, 433; may be filed at any time before the hearing, 439.

Costs of, 478; of parties served though not interested, 478.

Evidence on, by affidavit, 433.

Notice of, 479; short, 480; with the writ, 480. FOR JUDGMENT, 442—444. In default of pleading, 376.

On admissions in pleadings, 395, 396.

FOR NEW TRIAL, 441.

NATIONAL DEBT COMMISSIONERS,

Transactions with, as to funds in Court, 237.

NE EXEAT REGNO,

Delivery of copies of affidavits used on application for, 563.

NEGLIGENCE,

Liability of solicitor for, 21, 543.

NEW TRIAL,

Motion for, 441, 442.

NEW TRUSTEES

Appointment of,

under general power given by the Conveyancing Act, 117.
under Trustee Acts, 79—81; to receive sale moneys under Settled Land
Act, 1882, where no existing trustee, 149.
Powers of, appointed under the general powers given by the Conveyancing

Act, or by the Court, to be those of the original trustees, 82, 118.

NEXT FRIEND.

Generally, 338.

Written authority of, to be filed, 339.

NEXT OF KIN,

Administration of assets by, 342.

NON-COMPLIANCE WITH RULES, Effect of, 566.

NOTARY PUBLIC,

Affidavits may be sworn before, out of the jurisdiction, 435. Seal or signature of, when judicially noticed, 435.

```
NOTICE, 561, seq.
        Appearance, of, 323.
        Decree, of, 343, 344.
Trial, of, 413, ssq.
        Written, to be, 561.
        Constructive, restriction on, 129.
        Before action to restrain nuisance, unnecessary, 305.
        In lien of writ, 307.
        Of payment in under Truetee Relief Act, 53.
        How pleaded, 360.
        Motion, of, 479
        Of fresh proceeding after lapse of a year, 539.
        To admit facts, 395.
       Of appeal, 510.
Limiting defence, 325.
   NUNC PRO TUNC,
       Order to enter judgment, unnecessary, 481.
       Includee affirmation and statutory declarations, 277.
       Administration of, 434, 435.
  OATHS IN CHANCERY ACT (16 & 17 Vict. c. 78), 434.
   OFFICE COPIES,
       To be admissible in evidence, 423, 521.
       Marking, 562.
       Of affidavit, may be used, 438.
Of schedules for Paymaster, 238.
  OFFICERS,
      Order as to, 519; duties, appointment and removal of, 273; transfer of,
          to new Courts, 294.
      Abuse or arrest of, a contempt, 188.
  OFFICES, 291, 519.
      When to be open, 535.
  OFFICIAL PERSONS,
      Payment ont of Court to, 229.
 OFFICIAL REFEREE. [See REFEREE.]
      Trial by, 302, 419.
      Sittings of, 537.
 OPENING BIDDINGS
      Practice of, abolished, 475.
 OPINION OF COURT,
     Petition for, 102; practice and fees on, 482, 547.
 OPTION OF PLAINTIFF,
     To choose division to sue in, 281.
 ORDERS,
     What, need not be drawn up, 481.
     May be enforced as judgments, 451.
     Of course for taxation, 6.
     To enter judgment nunc pro tune, not necessary, 481.
         And see JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS.
ORDERS AND RULES,
    Provisions for making, 282, 285, 293, 298.
ORIGINATING SUMMONS, 488, seq.
     Addrese of applicant to be stated in, 489.
    For administration or execution of trusts, 489.
    Form of, 494.
    Marking with name of judge, 312.
Service of, 483.
    Transfer of, wrongly marked, 465.
OVER-CHARGES.
    In bill of costs, 12—14.
```

"OWNER,"

Meaning of, in Lands Clauses Act, 35, 37.

Provisions as to, 561.

PARLIAMENTARY DEPOSITS ACT, 49.

PARTICULARS, 357.

PARTIES,

Order as to, 333—350. Administration suits, rules as to, in, 342—347.

Amendment of, 337.

Change of, by death, &c., 350-353.

Deceased, representatives of, dispensed with or appointed by Court, 344—346. For payment of costs only, 334.

Joinder of, 333, 334.

Misjoinder of, 336.

Numerous, in same interest, 335.

Partition suits, to, 182.

Partners, 337. Paupers, 340—342.

Persons under disability, 338—340. Represented by some of a class, 342. Substitution of, 333.

Striking out and adding, by amendment, 336, 337.

Third parties, 347-350.

Trustees and cestuis que trust, 335.

PARTITION,

Jurisdiction assigned to Chancery Division, 263; action for, includes action

for sale, 186.

Act of 1868, power under, to order sale instead of division, 179, 180; sale under, on application of majority of parties interested, 180; of some parties interested, 181; parties to suits under, 182, 183; costs under, 183; order made under, and Trustee Act, 1850...78, 181; application of proceeds of sale, 182.

Act of 1876, as to dispensing with parties, 184.

Settled Land Act, concurrence of tenant for life in, under, 141.

PARTNER,

O337 Jurisdiction as to partnership assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

Action by or against, in name of firm, 337, 338; names of partners plaintiffs to be disclosed to defendant, 314; defendants how to enter appearance, 324; how person carrying on business in name of a firm is to appear,

Judgment against, how enforced, 448.

Payment, how to be made to, by Paymaster-General, 231.

Service of writ on, 318.

PASSING judgments or orders, 532.

PATENT

Jurisdiction of Master of the Rolls as to, 252.

PAUPER SUITS, 340-342.

PAYMASTER-GENERAL. [See Funds in Court.]
Duties of, substituted for Accountant-General, 204, 205; liability of Consolidated Fund for default of, 205; office of, 206; may act on affidavits or statutory declarations as to apportionment, interest, &c., of funds, 238; may furnish particulars of funds in Court, 239; instructions to, to be contained in schedules, 218.

PAYMENT,

Of bill of costs, 12, 13.

PAYMENT INTO COURT AND TENDER, 365—368. Consolidated actions, in, 367.

Acceptance by plaintiff, 367.

Costs, 367.

With denial of liability, 366,

PAYMENT OUT OF COURT, 226—232.

Application for, when made in chambers, 485—487.

Lands Clauses Act, under, 29, 30. [See Lands Clauses Act.]

Legacy Duty Act, under the, application for, made in chambers, 52.

Married women, &c., to, 30.

Official persons, to, 229.

Partners, ordered to be made to may be made to one or more of the

Partners, ordered to be made to, may be made to one or more of them, 231.

Payment schedule, 217; form, 243. Post, payment may be made by, 228.

Representatives of deceased persons to, 231.

Tenant in tail, 30.

Trustee Relief Acts, under the, 57; costs of petition, for, 55.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE, 217, 218.

PENAL ACTION,

Compounding, 471.

PERISHABLE GOODS,

Sale of, may be ordered, 466.

PERPETUATION OF TESTIMONY, 429, 430.

PERSONS UNDER DISABILITY,

Order as to, 338-340.

PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES

Payment, &c., ordered to be made by the Paymaster-General to, 231.

Married women, of, 200.

Parties, as, Court may dispense with, 344.

Trust and mortgage estates, may be conveyed by, 107; vest in, 116.

PERSONAL SERVICE,

What is, 316, 564.

PETITION,

Advice of Court for, 102, 482.

Appearance of infant on, 339.
Petitioner, meaning of, 276; includes applicant by motion or summons, 276. Allegations allowed in, and new grounds of claim may be raised by, 359.

Costs of unnecessary appearances, 42.

Confirmation of scheme under Railway Companies Act, 1867...173, 174.

Evidence on, by affidavit, 433.

Filing of, 522.

Funds in Court, dealing with, 212, 481.

Foot note, 481. Service of, 481; under Lands Clauses Act, on mortgagees and remaindermen, 42; tender of thirty shillings, 42.

Trustee Acts, under, 83, 104; evidence on, and service of, 84.

Trustee Relief Acts, undsr, 57, 58; service of, 53, 59.

Two, costs of, 43, 56.

PETITION OF RIGHT ACT, 1860..201. General Order under, 201.

PETTY BAG,

Clerk of, 299.

PLAINTIFF.

Meaning of word in Judicature Act, 276.

Option to choose his division, 281.

Substitution or addition of, by judge, 333.

Suing in representative capacity, 308.

PLEA

None in abatement, 365.

PLEADING, 354—361. Close of, 370, 376.

Law, question of, 371.

Conditions precedent, 358.

Letters, &c., 360.

PLEADING—continued.

Particulars of misrepresentation, &c., to be stated, 357.

Signature, 356.

Printing, 357.

Scandalous, 360. Statutes of Limitations, Frauds, &c., 358. Costs of improper, 355, 356, 360.

Default of, 374—377.
Delivery of, 357.
Facts to be pleaded not evidence, 356; evasive, 359; costs of unnecessary matter, 552; stating effect of documents, 360; fraud and notice, 360.
Allegations in, not denied, are to be held admitted, 358.
Marking with date, &c., 358.

Matters arising pending the action, 370. Statement of claim, 361; defence and counterclaim, 362; reply and subsequent pleadings, 369.

POLICY OF ASSURANCE,

Effected by husband or wife for benefit of family, 196.

Payment into Court of money due under, 52.

PORTIONS.

Raising of, assigned to Chancery jurisdiction, 263.

POSSESSION, WRIT OF, 462.

Payment by, of dividends on funds in Court, 228.

POWER OF ATTORNEY, 122, 130.

PRACTICE RULES.

Provisions for making, 524.

PRAYER FOR GENERAL RELIEF, 362.

PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY, interim order for, 466, 467.

PRESSURE.

Payment of bill under, 7, 12, 13.

"PRINCIPAL ACT,"

Meaning of, 305.

PRINTING, 561, seq.

Affidavite and depositions, 441, 562; except when used on interlocutory proceedings, 562; sworn to partly in manuscript, 562; written depositions to be printed, 562.

Evidence on appeals, 515.

Copies for use of judge, 444.

Paper for, 562.

Pleadings, when necessary, 357.

Special case, 401.

Writ of summons, 313.

Costs of, ordered by the Court, 563.

PRIVATE HEARING, 412.

PRIVILEGE.

Arrest, of officers of the Court, suitors, and witnesses, from, 188, 189. To refuse discovery, 382, 383.

PROBATE DIVISION,

Rules as to, 283.

PROCEDURE.

Not inconsistent, to be retained, 284.

PROCESS OF CONTEMPT, 188.

Arrest on mesne process abolished, 190.

PRODUCTION OF DEEDS,

Acknowledgment of right to, 112.

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, 388.

Referred to in pleadings, &c., 389.

District registry, in, 271.

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS—continued.

Inspection of documents produced, who is entitled to, 390, 391.

Time and place for, 390. Subpœna duces tecum, for, 429.

May be ordered at any stage, 424.

PROLIXITY

Costs of, 306.

"PROPER OFFICER,"

Meaning of, 567.

PROPERTY.

Allowance of income of, pendente lite, 470.

Delivery up of, on payment into Court of charge, 470.

Inspection of, 467.

Management of, applications to be made in chambers, 487. Protection of, pendente lite, 466.

Recovered or preserved, charge on, for costs, 16-18.

PROPERTY AND TRUSTEES AMENDMENT ACTS, 99, 104.

PROTECTION OF SETTLED LAND,

Proceedings for, 149.

PURCHASE DEED,

Execution of, 112.

PURCHASE-MONEY,

Lands Clauses Act, under the, application of, 27, 31.

QUESTIONS,

Fact, of, 405, 406.

Law, of, 400-405. [See Special Case.]

RAILWAY COMPANIES,

Service of writs on, 318.

RAILWAY COMPANIES ACT, 1867...167. [See Lands Clauses Act.]

RAISING OF PORTIONS OR CHARGES,

Assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

RECEIPT,

Trustees, of, to he a sufficient discharge, 119.

Endorsed or in deed, effect of, 123.

RECEIVER, 259, 467-469, 471-473.

Accounts of, when to be passed, 473; charging with interest, 472, 473;

default, 473. Allowances to, 472.

Interlocutory application for, 259, 467.

Security to be given by, 471, 472. Conveyancing Act, 1881, appointment of, under, 115. Jurisdiction to appoint, 259, 260, 468.

Equitable execution by appointment of, 177, 471. Certificate on passing accounts, discharge of, 505.

Endorsing writ with claim for, 469.

RECOGNIZANCES.

To whom given, 519.

RECONVEYANCE.

Transfer instead of, 114.

RECORD,

Custody of, provisions as to the, reference to, in proceedings, 212, 522.

RECORD AND WRIT CLERKS,

Abolition of office, 291.

Transferred to central office, 519.

RECOVERY OF LAND,
Action for, joinder of, with other causes of action, 353, 354.

Defendant in possession need not prove his title, 365.

RECTIFICATION,

Of deeds assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

REFEREE,

Attachment, may not order, 420. Attendance of witnesses before, 420.

Setting aside findings of, 268.

Appointment of, 272.

Reference of questions to, 267, 268.
Trial before official or special, 267, 268; 419-421.

Report of, 421.

REFERENCE, 267, 419. [And see REFERE.]

REFRESHERS, 559, 560.

REGISTRAR,

CHANGERY DIVISION, OF, 531-534.

Alterations in decrees may be made by, by consent, 380.

Attendance of, 531; entry of decrees, 532; index of entries, 532; briefs, &c., to be left with, 533; appointments, how made, 533; time for passing decrees, 533; special allowances, 534.

Answer of petitions in name of senior, 534.

To keep lists of causes, 534.

DISTRICT REGISTRY, OF, 269, 406, seq. [See DISTRICT REGISTRY.]

REGISTRY, 269. [See DISTRICT REGISTRY.]

REHEARING, 509, 510. [See Appeal.]

REINVESTMENT of purchase-monies under Lands Clauses Act, 27-29.

REJOINDER, 369.

RELATOR,

Where, Attorney-General must sign original writ, 305. Written authority of, to be filed, 305, 339.

RELIEF,

Claim for specific and general, 362; in respect of distinct claims, 362.

REMIT,

Power of Court to, to referee, 421.

REMOVAL OF ACTIONS,

From District Registry, 408, 409.

RENEWABLE LEASEHOLDS,

Apportionment of purchase-money for, under Lands Clauses Act, 34.

RENEWAL OF WRIT,

Of execution, 450.

Of summons, 315.

REPAIRS.

Application of purchase-money in, under Lands Clauses Act, 29.

REPLY, 369, 370.

REPORT,

Of examiner, 426; of referee, 421.

REPRESENTATIVE,

Capacity to be shown on writ, 308; denial of, 363.

Deceased party, of, dispensed with or appointed by the Court, 344. Payment out to, 231.

REQUEST.

For lodgment in Court, 222; investment, 234; transcript of account, 239. For sale under Partition Acts, 180, 181.

REQUISITIONS.

Questions arising on, may be determined on summons, 107.

RESERVED BIDDINGS, 475.

RESIDUARY LEGATEE,

Administration of assets by, 342.

RESIDUES

Calculation to be made in pay office, 238.

RETAINER,

Of counsel, 559.

REVERSION,

Application of money paid for, 33, 148.

REVIVOR,

Order on change of parties, 350—352.

ROLLING STOCK AND PLANT

Protection of, from execution, 168.

ROLLS. [See MASTER OF THE ROLLS.] Application to strike off, 479.

ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE, 294.

RULES.

Under the Fines and Recoveries Act and the Conveyancing Act, 1882, s. 7..131; s. 2..132; the Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 48..133; the Settled Land Act, 1882..157.

RULES OF COURT, 41883. [See Table of Contents.] Provisions as to making, 282, 293, 298.

SAFE CUSTODY OF DEEDS, Undertaking for, 112.

SALE.

Conduct of, in administration action, 470.

May be ordered of real estate, 474; of goods, 466; who has conduct of, 475.

Abstract of title, &c., when to be laid before conveyancing counsel, 476,

Biddings, when opened on, 475; reserved, 475. Conveyancing counsel may be employed to settle deeds, 476, 477. Jurisdiction assigned to Chancery Division, 263.

Judgment creditor, by, 178, 179.

Mortgaged property, of, may be directed in action, 115, 116.

Partition suit, in, 179—181; successive sales in, 186; persons under disability, 186.

Practice on, in chambers, 474, 475.

Perishable goods, of, when ordered, 466.

Power of, under Conveyancing Act, 1881..115.

Tenant for life, by, under Settled Land Act, 1882..141.

SALE OF LAND BY AUCTION ACT, 1867..475.

SCANDAL, 360, 552.

In pleadings, 360; in interrogatories, 385; in affidavits, 437; in bills of

SCHEDULE,

Directions to Paymaster to be contained in, 218, 221.

SCHEME of arrangement may be filed in Chancery by railway companies unable to meet their engagements with their creditors, 169-173.

May be confirmed by the Court on application by the directors, 173; and inrolled, 175, 521; where railway in Scotland, 175.

SCIENTIFIC PERSONS,

Assistance of, may be obtained by the Court, 493.

SCOTLAND

Service of writ in, 321.

SEARCHES,

Under Conveyancing Act, 1882..127, 128. Official, 523.

SECURED CREDITOR, rights of, 280.

```
SECURITY FOR COSTS,
       Appeal, of, 515—517.
Discovery, of, 393, 394.
       Plaintiff or petitioner, from, 541-543.
       Not from defendant or person compelled to litigate, 542.
      How given, 541, 542.
On application for eale under Conveyancing Act, 1881..115, 116.
      Counter-claim, in case where, 542.
      Of taxation, 5.
  "SECURITY FOR COSTS ACCOUNT,"
      Payment into Court to, 393.
  SEPARATION DEED not invalid because custody of infants given to mother.
 SEQUESTRATION, 190, 454.
      For costs, 451.
 SERVICE, 316—320; 564, 565.
Address for, 309.
      Agreement to accept, 316.
      Dispensed with, 342
      Jurisdiction, out of the, 307, 320-322.
      Memorandum of, to be entered, 344.
      Notice in lieu of, 316, 322; filing documents and pleadings where no appearance entered, 357.
      Particular defendants, on, infants, lunatics, and married women, 317, 318;
          partners, 318; on corporations, &c., 319; on persons interested not being parties, 343.
      Partition suit, dispensing with, in, 184, 185.
     Personal, 316, 564.
Petitions, of, 481, 552.
Substituted, 60, 316, 320.
Settled Land Act, 1882, under, 157.
     Trustee Relief Act, under, 53, 59.
Writ of summons, 316—320.
Date of, to be indorsed, 319.
 SET-OFF AND COUNTER-CLAIM, 333, 355. [And see Counter-claim.]
 SETTING ASIDE JUDGMENT, 329, 377, 417.
SETTING DOWN.
     Appeal, of, 513.
     Action, of, 413, 414; for further consideration, 414; in default of notice for
          trial, defendant may move to dismiss, or set down himself, 413.
     Further consideration, on, 414; short cause, 442.
     Special case, 402.
SETTLED ACCOUNT, 398.
     Allegation of, 362.
SETTLED LAND,
     What is, 138; proceedings for protection of, 148.
SETTLED LAND ACTS, 1882..139; 1884..165.
     Affidavit of none, in case of payment out to married woman, 30, 230.
     Infants may make binding, on marriage with the sanction of the Court, 96.
     Definition of, in Settled Land Act, 1882..139.
SEVERING IN DEFENCE.
     Costs of parties, 547, 548.
SHERIFF.
    Discovery from, 394.
SHORT CAUSE, 442.
SHORTHAND NOTES,
    Costs of, when allowed, 549.
SITTINGS AND VACATIONS, 534-537.
```

INDEX. 717

```
SOLICITOR,
       Acts of Parliament relating to, 1-24. [See Taxation.]
      Account against, action for, 14.
       Admission and privileges, &c., of, 188, 274.
       Acceptance of service by, 316.
       Address for service of, for plaintiff, 309; for defendant, 323.
      Agreement by, as to costs, 7, 19—24.
Attachment of, 189.
Attendance of, liability for costs in default, 541, 551.
Authority of, for issue of writ, 314.
      Bill of costs of, 1, seq.
      Chambers in, one may be nominated for a class, 498.
      Change of, 315; as affecting lien, 17; as affecting costs, 23. Conveyancing Act may be adopted by, 123.
      Defendant, not to be made, for costs, 334.
      Guardian ad litem, costs of, 544.
       Jurisdiction over, 4.
      Lien of, for costs, on property recovered, 16—18; on papers of client, 17, 18.
      Misconduct of, costs occasioned by, 543.
      Pauper, assigned to, 340.
      Powers as to, 299.
      Privileged from arrest, 188, 189.
      Service on, 564; of order for discovery, 392, 393.
      Special allowances to, 547. [See Costs.]
      Suing without nominal plaintiff's authority, 311.
      Title of, 274.
      Town agent of, lien of, 17.
SPECIAL ALLOWANCES, 547-561.
SPECIAL CASE, 400-403.
      Stated by parties, 400; by order of Court, 401. Printing and signature of, 401.
      Persons under disability, 401.
      Costs, 402.
      Original, under Sir G. Turner's Act, 403-405.
SPECIALLY-INDORSED WRIT, 308, 309. [See Costs.]
      Leave to sign judgment and defend, 330-332.
SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE,
      Jurisdiction assigned to Chancery Division, 263.
      Effect under Trustee Acts of decree for, 77, 78.
SPEECHES ON TRIAL,
      Order of, of counsel, 418.
STAMPS.
      Order as to, 669; on orders under Trustee Acts, 94.
STANDING OVER, 353.
STATEMENT,
      For advice of judge, 482.
STATEMENT OF CLAIM, 361, 362. [See Pleading.]
STATEMENT OF DEFENCE, 362-365. [See Pleading.]
STATUTES, construction of, 271, 272, 294.
STATUTES
    Will. III. 8 & 9, c. 11...330.
Geo. III. 36, c. 52 (Legacy Duty Act), 51, 52.
Will. IV. 1 & 2, c. 58 (Interpleader), 507.
3 & 4, c. 27 (Limitatione), 106.
Vict. 1 & 2, c. 110 (Charging Orders), 458, 459.
2 & 3, c. 54 (Custody of Infants), 99.
3 & 4, c. 82 (Charging Orders on Stock in Court), 458.
5, c. 5 (Distringas), 460.
6 & 7, c. 73 (Solicitors Act, 1843), 1.
7 & 8, c. 18 (Lands Clauses Act, 1845), 24.
9 & 10, c. 20 (Parliamentary Deposits Act), 49.
10 & 11, c. 96 (Trustee Relief Act, 1847), 50.
12 & 13, c. 74 (Trustee Relief Act, 1849), 60.
13 & 14, c. 35 (Sir G. Turner's Act), 403.
      Will. III. 8 & 9, c. 11..330.
```

718

```
STATUTES-continued.
      Vict. 13 & 14, c. 60 (Trustee Act, 1850), 61.

15 & 16, c. 55 (Trustee Extension Act, 1852), 90.

c. 78 (Oaths in Chancery), 434.

16 & 17, c. 70 (Lunacy Regulation Act, 1853), 80.

c. 137 (Charitable Trusts Act, 1853), 94.

18 & 19, c. 43 (Infants Settlement Act), 96.

c. 67 (Bills of Exchange), 307.

a. 134 (Cheritable Trusts 1855), 51.
                       c. 124 (Charitable Trusts, 1855), 51.
19 & 20, c. 120 (Settled Estates Act, 1856), 182.
                      19 & 20, c. 120 (Settlet Estates Act, 1808), 1822
20 & 21, c. 77 (Probate Act), 345.
22 & 23, c. 35 (Lord St. Leonards' Act, 1859), 99.
23 & 24, c. 34 (Petition of Right Act, 1860), 201.
c. 38 (Lord St. Leonards' Act, 1860), 104.
c. 127 (Solicitors Act, 1860), 15.
25 & 26, c. 37 (Crown Private Estates Act, 1862), 64.
                     c. 127 (Solicitors Act, 1860), 15.

25 & 26, c. 37 (Crown Private Estates Act, 1862), 64.

27 & 28, c. 112 (Judgment Act, 1864), 177.

30 & 31, c. 48 (Sale of Land by Auction Act, 1867), 475.

c. 127 (Railway Companies Act, 1867), 167.

o. 132 (Investment), 105, 106.

31 & 32, c. 40 (Partition Act, 1868), 179.

32 & 33, c. 62 (Debtors Act, 1869), 187.

33 & 34, c. 28 (Attorneys and Solicitors Act, 1870), 19.

c. 34 (Charity Funds), 106.

34, c. 27 (Debenture Stock), 106.

35 & 36, c. 47 (Metropolitan Stock), 106.

36 & 37, c. 12 (Custody of Infants Act, 1872), 203.

36 & 37, c. 12 (Custody of Infants Act, 1873), 97.

c. 66 (Judicature Act, 1873), 248.

37 & 38, c. 78 (Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874), 106.

38 & 39, c. 77 (Judicature Act, 1875), 277.

o. 78 (Legal Practitioners Act, 1875), 3.

c. 87 (Land Transfer Act, 1875), 107.

39 & 40, c. 17 (Partition Act, 1876), 184.

c. 59 (Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876), 286.

40, c. 9 (Judicature Act, 1877), 290.

41 & 42, c. 54 (Debtors Act, 1878), 189.

42 & 43, c. 78 (Judicature (Officers) Act, 1879), 291.

44 & 45, c. 41 (Conveyancing Act, 1881), 109.

c. 68 (Judicature Act, 1881), 296.

45 & 46, c. 38 (Settled Land Act, 1882), 127.

c. 75 (Married Women's Property Act, 1882), 192.

46 & 47, c. 29 (Judicature (Funds) Act, 1883), 213.

47 & 48, c. 18 (Settled Land Act, 1884), 165.
                       46 & 47, c. 29 (Judicature (Funds) Act, 1883), 213.
47 & 48, c. 18 (Settled Land Act, 1884), 165.
c. 61 (Judicature Act, 1884), 301.
 STAY OF PROCEEDINGS, 466.
              For non-payment of costs of former suit, 374.
             Pending appeal, 517.
             Two actions for same matter, 257.
             By plaintiff, 373.
              Injunction, in lieu of, 256, 257.
             Pending decision of question of law, 401.
              When writ was issued without solicitor's authority, 314; when partner's
                          names are not disclosed, 314.
STOCK,
              Distringas on, 460.
              Vesting orders as to, under Trustee Acts, 62.
STOP ORDER, 461, 462.
            Application for, made in chambers, 462; costs of, 462. Effect of, as to priorities, 461.
STRIKING OFF ROLLS,
              Application for, how made, 479.
STRIKING OUT PLEADINGS, 360.
SUBJECT-MATTER OF ACTION,
              Value of, 271.
SUBMISSIONS TO ARBITRATION,
             Filing of, 524.
```

719 INDEX.

```
SUBPŒNA, 428, 429.
```

SUBSTITUTED SERVICE, 320-322.

SUBSTITUTION,

Of plaintiff by Court or judge, 333.

SUCCESSION DUTY,

To be provided for in orders dealing with funds in Court, 220, 232.

Petitions relating to money or securities chargeable, to state whether paid, 212.

SUFFICIENCY OF AFFIDAVIT, How determined, 386.

SUIT. [See Action.] Includes action, 276.

SUITORS' MONEY. [See Funds in Court.]
Deposit account established, and application of money towards reduction of National Debt, 208.

SUMMARY APPLICATIONS UNDER STATUTES, 302.

Power to make orders as to, 302, 303.

SUMMONS. [See Chambers.]

To proceed, 496.

Administration, for, 489.

Originating, 488-491; on further consideration, 506.

Book, 498. Chambers, in, 483-485, 494, 495.

Evidence on, 492.

Writ of, 311. [See Writ of Summons.]

SUPERIOR COURTS, meaning of, 289.

SUPREME COURT FUNDS RULES, 1884..215.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE, 249.

First Masters of, 292.

Officers of, 293.

Rules of, 1883..305.

SURVIVORS.

Payment out to, 231.

TAXATION.

Action brought after order for, restrained, 2.

Agreement, how far a bar to, 7, 20-23.

Costs of, 2, 8, 9, 556, 561.

Lands Clauses Act, under the, 45. Order for, who may apply for as parties chargeable, 5; as third parties interested, 10, 11; as third parties liable, 9, 10; application for, to what court, 1, 6; how enforced, 15; effect of delay of client, 14; where

parties differ, 556; where unnecessary, 556. Common, may be made within a month after bill delivered by motion or petition of course, 6; without any money being brought into court, 2; after one and before twelve months, subject to conditions, 2; unless verdict for costs has been obtained, or writ of inquiry executed, 2.

Special, not necessary because action is pending, 6; but is necessary if part only of a bill is to be taxed, or if the retainer is disputed or there is a special agreement, 6, 7; or after verdict twelve months, or payment, 2, 7, 12; to be made by summons, 7, 487.

Party and party, as between, what allowances made, 555.

Payment, after, within twelve months, may be ordered under special circumstances, 12.

Pressure, in case of, 12—14. Notice of, 545.

Reference for, 545.

Refusal to bring in costs for, 555.

Attendance on, 554. Review of, 557; before judge, 558; not in matters of discretion, &c., 558; costs of, 558.

Revival of order for, 6.

Special allowances on, 547—561. Special circumstances, 2, 7, 12. "Where parties differ," 556.

720 INDEX.

TAXING MASTER. [See TAXATION.]

```
Amount payable under agreement, must be approved by, 20.
       Set-off by, 553.
       Assisted by each other, 14, 545; powers, &c., of, 545, 546, 554, 558.
       As to costs of parties appearing before, 554; scale allowed by, 543.
  TENANT FOR LIFE.
      Infant, 154.
      Limited owners to have powers of, 152.
      Lunatic, 154.
      Married woman, 154.
      Powers of, under Settled Land Act, 1882..141; contracts by, 147.
 TENDER, 366.
  TERMS
      Abolished, 260.
 TESTE.
      Of writ, 307.
 THIRD PARTY, 347—350.
      Taxation by, under Solicitors Act, 1843..9, 10.
      Contribution or indemnity, against stranger, 347; against co-defendant, 350.
      Costs, 350.
      Leave to defend, 350.
      Directions, application for, by defendant, 351.
      Subsequent parties, power of, to bring in, 348.
      Sale of, by tenant for life, 148.
 TIME.
      Order as to, 537-539.
      Order to do an act to state, for performance, 445.
      when pleaded, 365.
 TITLE OF ACCOUNT,
     Length of, 240.
TOWN AGENT,
     Lien of, 17; account between solicitor and, 20.
TRANSFER, 463-465.
     Action, of, 264, 463, 464.
     After order for winding-up or administration, 465.
     From district registry, 270, 408, 409.
From inferior Courts, 275.
TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE,
     In lieu of reconveyance, 114.
TRESPASS.
     Injunction to restrain, 260.
TRIAL, 410—422.
Affidavit, on, 439—441.
     Assessors, &c., with, 419-422.
     Chancery actions, with a jury, 411.
    Early, order for, 466.
Entry, in district registry, 415, 416.
    Mode of, 411.
    Notice and entry of, 413, 414.
    Papers for judge, 417.
Jury, by, 411, 412.
    Place of, 410.
    Proceedings at, 417, 418.
TRUST.
    Action for liquidated amount arising on, 308; discovery in action for breach
      of, 381, 382.
    Constructive, under Trustee Act, 63, 77.
    Limitation, Statutes of, not to apply to, 258.
Property held on, when not to escheat, or be forfeited, 86.
    Sale, for, settlement by way of, 155, 166; excludes jurisdiction to partition, 181.
```

721

TRUST AND MORTGAGE ESTATES, Devolution of, on death, 107, 116.

TRUSTEE,

Absence of, decree made in, 87.

Appeal for costs by, 266, 540.

Attachment of, for non-payment of money, 189.

Bankrupt, removal of, 80.

Bankruptcy, in, joinder of claims by, 354. Bare, dying intestate, 107.

Conveyancing Act, 1881, may be adopted by, 123.

Costs of, 266, 540; under Trustee Relief Act, 54, 55; Trustee Act, 88.

INDEX.

Investment by, of trust funds, 105.

Judicial opinion as to management or administration of trust property or assets may be obtained by, 102.

Lunatic, 65, 67.

Maintenance, &c., power to allow, 121.

New, appointed by the Court under Trustee Acts, 79—81; powers of, 81, 118; provisions of Conveyancing Act, 1881, as to, 117—119; appointment under Settled Land Act, 1882..149. Originating summons by, 488—491. Payment out of Court to, 30; form of order, 32.

Power of sale, how exerciseable by, 119.

Powers of, not affected by originating summons, 491. Receipt of, a discharge for purchase or mortgage-money, 119.

Represents cestuis que trust in suits, 183, 335; under Trustce Act, 84.

Retirement of, 118.

Severing in defence, costs of, 548.

Separate, appointment of, 129. Settled Land Act, under, 140.

Tenant for life, differences with, 150.

Word includes executor, constructive trustee, &c., but not mortgagee under Trustee Acts, 63.

Married woman, 200.

TRUSTEE ACT, 1850..61; 1852..90.

Costs under, 87, 88.

Orders under, made on petition, 83, 84; on motion, 85; after decree for specific performance, &c., 77, 78.

When made in lunacy, 65; in chambers, 84; as to charities, 86. [See New TRUSTEES.

For getting in legal estate in land, or for vesting right to transfer stock or chose in action, where trustees, &c., are lunatic, infant, &c., see analysis of Acts, 61, 62; appointment of person to convey, 71; as to copyholds, 76; money of infants, and persons of unsound mind, to be paid into Court, 86; suit may be directed, 89; where property is in the colonies, &c., 89.

Partition suit, application of, to, 78, 181. New trustee appointed under, 79—82. [See New Trustee.]

Vesting orders under, of land, or personalty, 65, 66; made by decree in suit, 77, 78; on appointment of new trustees, 82.

TRUSTEE RELIEF ACTS, 1847 and 1849 (10 & 11 Vict. c. 96), (12 & 13 Vict. c. 74), 50, 60.

Applications under, when in chambers, 58.

Costs under, 54-56.

Investment of money paid in under, 234.

Payment into Court under, 51, 52, 225; by holder of chose in action, 51, 259; what securities may be paid in, 54; when justified, 54, 55; by mortgagees who have sold under a power of sale, &c., 52; by survivors, or majority of trustees, 50, 54; Chancery Funds Orders, as to payment in, 53; to what account money should be paid in, 53; what notices to be given of payment in, and application for payment out, 53, 59; how far trustee discharged by, 56.

Petition, 58; how far equivalent to suit, 57; service of petition on cestuis que trust, 59; on trustees, 59.

TURNER'S ACT (SIR GEORGE) (13 & 14 Vict. c. 35), 403-405.

722 INDEX.

UNBORN PERSONS,

Trustee Acts, order made under, in case of, who on coming into existence would be trustees, as to contingent rights, 70; other interests, 78.

UNCLAIMED FUNDS IN COURT,

List of, 239.

UNDERTAKING,

For safe custody of deeds, 112.

To accept service, 316.

UNSOUND MIND, PERSONS OF. [See LUNATIC.]

Meaning of the words in Trustee Acts, 63.

Commission de lunatico inquirendo directed concerning, under the Trustee Acts, 88.

Jurisdiction as to, in Chancery, 339.

Trustee Acts, application respecting, under, whether made in Chancery or lunacy, 65.

Trustee Relicf Acts, order under, in case of, 57.

VACANT POSSESSION.

Service of writ in case of, 319.

VACATION, 534-537.

Judges in, 56.

Summons in chambers, issued by one judge for another, during, 536. Sittings in, 261, 536.

VALUE,

Of subject-matter of action, 271.

VENDITIONI EXPONAS,

Writ of, 454.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER ACT, 1874..106.

VESTING ORDER,

Jurisdiction to make, in case of disability of trustees, and form of, 65, 66; in case of neglect to transfer stock, &c., 73, 74, 91, 92.

In pursuance of a decree, 77.
of appointment of new trustee, 82.

Power (if convenient) to make alternative order, appointing person to convey, 71, 72.

VEXATIOUS INTERROGATORIES, 385; matter, costs of, 552.

VOUCHERS OF COUNSEL, 560.

WAGES,

Priority of payment of, 280.

WARD OF COURT,

Infant constituted a, by payment in under Trustee Relief Act, 56; but not by payment in under Lands Clauses Act, 28; or Legacy Duty Act, 52. Marriage of, 96.

WASTE,

Equitable rules as to, to prevail, 258.

WIFE. [See MARRIED WOMAN.]

WILFUL DEFAULT, 397.

WINDING-UP,

Transfer of action, in cases of, 465.

WITHDRAWAL OF RECORD, 373.

WITNESSES, 423, seq. [See EVIDENCE.]

Abroad, how examined, 423.

Cross-examination of, 427, 428, 440, 441. [See Cross-Examination.]

INDEX. 723

WITNESSES—continued. Out of jurisdiction, 303. Costs and expenses of, 424, 426. Refusal to answer by, 425, 426. Commission to examine, 423, 424. Attendance of, may be required, 427. Perpetuating testimony of, 429, 430. Affidavits by, 433-441. Before examiner of the Court, 430-433. Examination of, 423; de bene esse, 423; old, infirm, &c., 423. [Sec Exami-NATION.] Privilege of, from arrest, 188. Production of, for cross-examination, costs of, 440, 411. OF EXECUTION. [See EXECUTION.]
OF SUMMONS. [See SPECIALLY-INDORSED WRIT.]
Commencement of action by, 306. Order as to, 306; teste of, 307.

How to be issued, 311; and filed, 313; concurrent, 314; renewal of, 315.

Indorsement of date of service on, 307.

of claim on, 306; indorsement may be altered by statement of claim, 362. of claim for injunction, &c., 468, 469. of liquidated demand, 308; of claim for account, 309. of address, 309. Renewal of, 315. In administration action, form of, 306. Lost, 316. -322. Service, 316-

FINIS.

Fieri facias, 453; elegit, 453; habeas corpus, 455; attachment, 455; sequestration, 454; delivery, 463; possession, 462; renditioni exponas, 454.

CATALOGUE

LAW WORKS

STEVENS AND SONS, LTD.

119 & 120, Chancery Lane, London.

- A Catalogue of Modern Law Works, together with a complete Chronological List of all the English, Irish, and Scotch Reports, an Alphabetical Table of Abbreviations used in reference to Law Reports and Text Books, and an Index of Subjects. Demy 8vo. Jan. 1903 (120 pp.), limp binding, post free
- Acts of Parliament.—Public and Local Acts from an early date may be had of the Publishers of this Catalogue, who have also on sale the largest collection of $Private\ Acts$, relating to Estates, Enclosures, Railways, Roads, &c., &c.
- A. B. C. (The) GUIDE TO THE PRACTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, 1903.—By Francis A. Stringer, Esq., of the Central Office of the Supreme Court. Roy. 12mo. 5s.

Office of the Supreme Court. Locy. 12110.

"A useful companion."—Law Journal.

"The idea of the volume strikes us as a very happy one, and Mr. Stringer appears to have successfully carried it out and to have produced a book which will be of great service to the profession."—Solicitors Journal.

"It is said that if it is found useful it is proposed to issue it annually, and we

feel sure, therefore, that we shall have to chronicle its appearance for many years to come."—Law Times.

- ACCOUNT.—Bulliams' Law of Account.—Being a concise Treatiss on the Right and Liability to Account, the taking of Accounts, and Accountants' Charges. By SYDNEY E. WILLIAMS, Esq., Author of "Law relating to Legal Representatives," &c. Demy 8vo. 1899. 10s. "A well-arranged book, which should be very useful to receivers and accountants generally, as well as to both branches of the legal profession."— Law Journal.
- ADMIRALTY.-Roscoe's Admiralty Practice.-A Treatise on the Admiralty Jurisdiction and Practice of the High Court of Justice and on the Vice-Admiralty Courts and the Cinque Ports, &c., with an Appendix containing Statutes, Rules as to Fees and Costs, Forms, Precedents of Pleadings and of Bills of Costs. Third Edition. By E. S. Roscor, Assistant Registrar, Admiralty Court, and T. LAMBERT Mars, Eagres, Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1903. 1/. 5s.
 "We find little to criticise and much to praise in this edition... We can unhesitatingly recommend it as a reliable guide to the practice of the High Court in Admiralty matters."—Law Journal.
- ADULTERATION.—Bartley's Adulteration of Food.—Statutes and Cases dealing with Coffee, Tea, Bread, Seeds, Food and Drugs, Margarine, Fertilisers and Feeding Stuffs, &c., &c., including the Food and Drugs Act, 1899. Second Edition. By Douglas C. Bartley, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Roy. 12mo. 1899.

 "Not only concise but precise."—Law Times.
- * * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

ADVOCACY.—Harris' Hints on Advocacy.—Conduct of Cases, Civil and Criminal. Classes of Witnesses and Suggestions for Crossexamining them, &c., &c. By Richard Harris, K.C. Twelfth Edition, with an Introduction. Royal 12mo. 1903. 7s. 6d.

"A very complete Manual of the Advocate's art in Trial by Jury."—Sol. Jour.
"Deserves to be carefully read by the young barrister whose career is yet before him."—Law Magazine.

AFFILIATION.—Bott's Manual of the Law and Practice in

Affiliation Proceedings, with Statutes and Forms, Table of Gestation, Forms of Agreement, &c. By W. Holloway Bott, Solicitor. Demy 12mo. 1894.

AGRICULTURAL LAW.—Dixon.—Vide "Farm."

Spencer's Agricultural Holdings (England) Acts, 1883—1900, with Explanatory Notes.—Second Edition. By Aubrey J. Spencer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901.

"We do not hesitate to recommend this book. The value of the hook is enhanced by the addition of a large number of useful forms. The Index has satisfactorily etood the test to which we subjected it."—Law Journal.

ANNUAL COUNTY COURTS PRACTICE.—The Annual County Courts Practice, 1903.—By Hie Honour Judge Smyly, K.C., assisted by W. J. Brooks, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. 11. 58. Demy 8vo.

"The profession generally bave gratefully recognized the very great value of this hook. It admirably fulfils the essential requisites of a practice book. It is complete without heing discursive or of unwieldy hulk: it is accurate and easy of reference, and throughout bears the etamp of baving heen compiled by a man who is thoroughly acquainted with his subject."—Law Times.

ANNUAL DIGEST.—Mews!.—Vide "Digest."

ANNUAL LIBRARY, THE LAWYER'S:—

1) The Annual Practice.—Snow, Burney, and Stringer.
(2) The A. B. C. Guide to the Practice.—Stringer.

(3) The Annual Digest.—Mrws. (Also issued Quarterly.)
(4) The Annual Statutes.—Lely.

(5) The Annual County Court Practice.—SMYLY.

Annual Subscriptions. For Complete Series, as above, delivered on

Thomas Snow, Barrister-at-Law; Charles Bueney, a Master of the Supreme Court; and Francis A. Stringer, of the Central Office. 2 vols. 8vo.

2 Vols. ovo.

** A thin paper edition in 1 Vol. may be had, price net 25s.

**A book which every practising English lawyer must have."—Law Quarterly.

"It is only by the help of this established book of practice that a practitioner can carry on his business."—Law Times.

"Every member of the bar, in practice, and every London colicitor, at all events, finds the last edition of the Annual Practice a necessity."—Solicitors' Journal.

ANNUAL STATUTES.—Lely.—Vide "Statutes."

ARBITRATION.—Mozley-Stark's Duties of an Arbitrator under the Workman's Companyation Act. 1897.—With Notes on the Act.

Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897.—With Notes on the Act and Rules, &c. By A. Mozley-Stark, Solicitor. Roy. 12mo. 1898. 5s.

Russell's Treatise on the Power and Duty of an Arbitrator, and the Law of Submissions and Awards; with an Appendix of Forms, and of the Statutes relating to Arbitration. By Francis Russell. Eighth Edition. By Edward Pollock, Esq., an Official Referee of the Supreme Court of Judicature, and the late HERBERT

RUSSELL, Eeq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1900. 11. 10s.

"After a careful examination of the way in which the work has been done, we may say that nothing which the practitioner will want to know seems to have been omitted."—Law Journal.

AUCTIONEERS.—Hart's Law relating to Auctioneers, House Agents and Valuers, and to Commission.—By HERER HART, Esq.,

LL.D., Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 1903. 15s. "The book can be recommended not only to lawyers, but also to auctioneers and property agents who wish to inform themselves as to their legal position."—

Law Journal.

*** All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

AVERAGE.—Hopkins' Hand-Book of Average.—Fourth Edition.
By MANLEY HOPKINS, Esq. Demy 8vo. 1884. 11. 1s.
Lowndes' Law of General Average.—English and Foreign.
Fourth Edition. By RICHARD LOWNDES, Average Adjuster. Author of "The Law of Marine Insurance," &c. Royal 8vo. 1888. 12. 10s.

- BANKING.—Walker's Treatise on Banking Law.—Second Edition. By J. D. Walker, Esq., K.C. Demy 8vo. 1885. 16s.
- BANKRUPTCY.—Lawrance's Precedents of Deeds of Arrangement between Debtors and their Creditors; including Forms, with Introductory Chapters, also the Deeds of Arrangement Acts, 1887 and 1890, with Notes. Fifth Ed. By ARTHUR LAWRENCE, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1900. 7s. 6d.
 "Concise, practical, and reliable."—Law Times.
 Williams' Law and Practice in Bankruptcy.—Comprising the

Bankruptcy Acts, 1883 to 1890, the Bankruptcy Rules and Forms, &c. By the Right Hon. Sir Roland L. Vaughan Williams, a Lord Justice of Appeal. Seventh Edition. By Edward Wm. Hansell, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Roy. 8vo. 1898.

"The leading text-book on bankruptcy."—Law Journal.

- BILLS OF EXCHANGE.—Chalmers' Digest of the Law of Bills of Exchange, Promissory Notes, Cheques and Negotiable Securities. Fifth Edition. By His Honour Judge Chalmers, Draughtsman of the Bills of Exchange Act. Demy 8vo. 1896. 188.

 - "The leading book on bills of exchange."—Law Journal.
 "Each section having appended to it illustrations in the nature of short statements of decided cases. These are prepared with that skilful conciseness of which the learned Judge is a master."—Law Times.
- BILLS OF LADING.—Pollock's Bill of Lading Exceptions.—By HENEY E. POLLOCK. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 1896. 10s. 6d.
- LDING SOCIETIES.—Wurtzburg on Building Societies.— The Law relating to Building Societies, with Appendices containing BUILDING SOCIETIES .the Statutes, Regulations, Act of Sederunt, Forms of Annual Account and Statement, and Precedents of Rules and Assurances. Fourth Edit.

By E. A. Wurzeueg, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1902. 16s.

"A carefully arranged and carefully written book."—Law Times.

"We are glad to see another edition of Mr. Wurtzburg's treatise on the law of building societies. It has recommended itself in practice as a useful work on a subject of frequent importance, and it is convenient to have it brought up to date."—Solicitors' Journal.

CARRIERS.—Carver's Treatise on the Law relating to the Carriage of Goods by Sea.—Third Edition. By Thomas Gilbert
Carvers, Esq., K.C. Royal 8vo. 1900.

"A recognized authority."—Solicitors' Journal.

"Mr. Carver's work stands in the first rank of text-books written by living authors."—Law Quarterly Review.

"The law of common carriers is nowhere better explained."—Law Times.

Macnamara's Digest of the Law of Carriers of Goods and Passengers by Land and Internal Navigation.—By WALTER HENEY MACNAMARA, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1888. 11.8s.

CHANCERY, and Vide "Equity."

Daniell's Chancery Practice.—The Practice of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice and on appeal therefrom. Seventh Edition, with references to the companion volume of Forms, and to the Sixth Edition of Seton's Forms of Judgments and Orders. By the Sixth Edition of Seton's Forms of Judgments and Orders. By Cecil C. M. Dale, Charles W. Greenwood, Sydney E. Williams, Esgrs., Barristers-at-Law, and Francis A. Stringer, Esq., of the Central Office. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1901.

"An accurate and exhaustive store of the information required for conducting proceedings in the Chancery Division."—Solicitors' Journal.

"With Daniell the practitioner is 'personally conducted,' and there are very few lawyers who will not be grateful for such guidance, carried out as it is by the collaboration of the most competent hands."—Law Journal.

* _* All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

CHANCERY—continued.

Daniell's Forms and Precedents of Proceedings in the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice and on Appeal there-from. Fifth Edition, with summaries of the Rules of the Supreme Court: Practical Notes; and references to the Seventh Edition of Daniell's Chancery Practice, and to the Sixth Edition of Seton's Forms of Judgments and Orders. By Charles Burney, Eq., a Master of the Supreme Court. Royal 8vo. 1901. 21, 108. "The book is too well-established in professional favour to stand in need of commendation, but its reputation is likely to be enhanced by the present edition."—Solicitors' Journal.

CHILDREN.—Hall's Law Relating to Children. By W. CLARKE HALL, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1894.

CHURCH LAW. --Whitehead's Church Law.—Being a Concise Dictionary of Statutes, Canone, Regulations, and Decided Cases

WHITEHEAD, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899. 10s. 6d.

"A perfect mine of learning on all topics ecclesiastical."—Daity Telegraph.

The Statutes relating to Church and Clergy, with Preface and Index. By Benjamin Whitehead, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1894.

CIVIL ENGINEERS .- Macassey and Strahan's Law relating to Civil Engineers, Architects and Contractors.—With a Chapter on Arbitrations. Second Edition. By L. Livingston Macassey and J. A. Steahan, Eeqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1897. 12s. 6d.

COAL.—Cockburn's Law of Coal, Coal Mining, and the Coal Trade, and of the Holding, Working, and Trading with Minerals generally.—By JOHN HENEY COCKBUEN, Solicitor. 1902. Royal 8vo. 1l. 16s.

"A book in which the whole law of mines and minerals is discussed fully and with considerable ability."—Law Journal.
"The work contains features not to be found in any other eingle book on the subject, and abounds with practical hints which make it an invaluable text-book of the law upon this particular subject."—The Sovereign. COLLISIONS.-Marsden's Treatise on the Law of Collisions at

Sea.—Fourth Edition. By REGINALD G. MARSDEN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1897. 11.8s.

COMMON LAW.—A. B. C. (The) Guide to the Practice of the Supreme Court, 1903.—By Francis A. Steinger, Eq., of the Central Office of the Supreme Court. Roy. 12mo. 5s.

Chitty's Forms .- Vide "Forms."

Elliott's Outlines of Common Law.—By MARTIN ELLIOTT, Eeq. Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1898. 10s. 6d Pollock and Wright's Possession in the Common Law.— 10s. 6d.

Parts I. and II. by Sir F. Pollock, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Part III. by R. S. WRIGHT, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 8vo. 1888. Shirley.—Vide "Leading Cases."

Smith's Manual of Common Law.—For Practitioners and Students.

Comprising the Fundamental Principles, with useful Practical Rules and Decisions. Eleventh Edition. By C. Spurling, Esq., Barristerat-Law. Demy 8vo. 1898.

COMPANY LAW.—Goirand.—Vide "French Law."

Hamilton's Manual of Company Law. By W. F. Hamilton, Egq., LL.D. Lond., K.C. Second Edition. By the Author, assisted by PERCY TINDAL-ROBERTSON, Esq., B.A., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901.

8vo. 1901.

"A sound and eminently useful manual of company law."—Solicitors' Journal.

"Mr. Hamilton has resolved the Companies Acts into a number of propositions which make a sort of codification of the law, the notes are very full, and all cases on the subject seem to be cited."—Law Magazine.

"Everyone interested in the working of a company will find in this new edition all that is necessary from the legal point of view."—The Stock Exchange.

"It is difficult to conceive a question relating to the law affecting companies which cannot be answered by reference to this work."—Southampton Times.

_ All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

COMPANY LAW—continued.

Palmer's Company Law.—A Practical Handbook for Lawyers and Business Men. With an Appendix containing the Companies Acts, 1862 to 1900, and Rules. Fourth Edition. By Francis Beaufort Palmer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1902. 12s. 6d.

"Palmer's 'Company Law' is one of the most useful and convenient text-books on the practitioner's bookshelf."—Law Times.

"The work is a marvel—for clearness, fulness, and accuracy, nothing could be better."—Inw Notes.

"Of especial use to students and business men who need a clear exposition by

be better."—Inw Notes.

"Of especial use to students and business men who need a clear exposition by a master hand."—Law Journal.

"The subject is dealt with in a clear and comprehensive manner, and in such a way as to be intelligible not only to lawyers but to others to whom a knowledge of Company Law may be essential."—Law Students Journal.

"All the principal topics of company are dealt with in a substantial manner, the arrangement and typography are excellent, and the whole of the Statute Law—an indispensable adjunct—is collected in an appendix. Perhaps what practising lawyers and business men will value most is the precious quality of practicality."—Law Quartely Review.

"Popular in style, also accurate, with sufficient references to authorities to make the hook useful to the practitioner."—The Times.

Palmer's Companies Act, 1900, with Explanatory Notes, and Appendix containing Prescribed and other Forms, together with

Appendix containing Prescribed and other Forms, together with Addendato "Company Precedents." Second Edition. By Francis BEAUFORT PAIMER, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1901. 7s. 6d.

"It is essentially a book that all interested in companies or company law should procure."—Law Times.

Palmer's Company Precedents.—For use in relation to Companies

subject to the Companies Acts.

Part I. GENERAL FORMS. Arranged as follows:-Promoters, Prospectuses, Underwriting, Agreements, Memoranda and Articles of Association, Private Companies, Employes' Benefits, Resolutions, Notices, Certificates, Powers of Attorney, Banking and Advance Securities, Petitions, Writs, Pleadings, Judgments and Orders, Reconstruction, Amalgamation, Special Acts. With Copious Notes and an Appendix containing the Acts and Rules. Eighth Edition. By Francis Beaufort Palmer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, assisted by the Hon. Charles Magnathten, K.C., and Frank Evans, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1902.

"Despite his many competitors, Mr. Palmer
"Holds solely sovereign sway and masterdom," and he does so by reason of his thoroughness, his practical good sense, and his familiarity with the business as well as the legal side of his subject."—Law Construte Review.

Quarterly Review.

"Mr. Palmer's works on Company Law are all beyond criticism. He knowe more of the subject than, perhaps, any other member of the legal profession. His books have for many practical purposes been treated as being, in fact, the very law itself. It is a subject for congratulation of all concerned that this book has been brought up to date, and that the important statute 63 & 64 Vict. c. 48 is now considered throughout the text."—Law Magazine.

"No company lawyer can afford to be without it."—Law Journal.

Part II. WINDING-UP FORMS AND PRACTICE. Arranged as follows:—Compulsory Winding-Up, Voluntary Winding-Up, Winding-Up under Supervision, Arrangements and Compromises, with Copious Notes, and an Appendix of Acts and Rules. Eighth Edition. By Francis Beaufort Palmer, assisted by Frank Evans, Esqrs., 17. 128.

Barristers-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1900.

11. 12s.

"Palmer's 'Company Precedents' is the book par excellence for practitioners.
There is nothing we can think of which should be within the covers which we do not find."—Law Journal.

Part III. DEBENTURES AND DEBENTURE STOCK, including Debentures, Trust Deeds, Stock Certificates, Resolutions, Prospectuses, Writs, Pleadings, Judgments, Orders, Receiverships, Notices, Miswrits, readings, Judgments, Orders, Receiverships, Notices, Miscellaneous. With Copious Notes. Ninth Edition. By Francis Beautorf Palmer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1903. 25s.

"The result of much careful study...... Simply invaluable to desenture-holders and to the legal advisers of such investors."—Financial News.
"Embraces practically the whole law relating to debentures and debenture stock.... Must take front rank among the works on the subject."—Law Times.

* * * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

COMPANY LAW—continued.

- Palmer's Private Companies and Syndicates, their Formation and Advantages; being a Concise Popular Statement of the Mode of Converting a Business into a Private Company, and of establishing and working Private Companies and Syndicates for Miscellaneous Purposes. Eighteenth Edition. By F. B. Palmer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 12mo. 1903.
- Palmer's Shareholders, Directors, and Voluntary Liquidators' Legal Companion.—A Manual of Every-day Law and Practice for Promoters, Shareholders, Directors, Secretaries, Creditors, Solicitors, and Voluntary Liquidators of Companies under the Companies Acts, 1862 to 1900, with Appendix of useful Forms. Twenty-first Edit. By F. B. Palmer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 12mo. 1902. Net, 2s. 6d.
- COMPENSATION.—Cripps' Treatise on the Principles of the Law of Compensation. Fourth Edition. By C. A. CERPS, Esq., K.C. Royal 8vo. 1900. 11. 5s
 "Mr. Cripps' book is recognized as one of the best. . . . There are few me whose practical knowledge of the subject exceeds that of the learned author."Law Quarterly Review. . There are few men
- COMPOSITION DEEDS.—Lawrance.—Vide "Bankruptey."
- CONDITIONS OF SALE.—Farrer.—Vide "Vendors & Purchasers." Webster.—Vide "Vendors and Purchasers."
- CONFLICT OF LAWS,—Dicey's Digest of the Law of England with reference to the Conflict of Laws.—By A. V. Dicey, Esq., K.C., B.C.L. With Notes of American Cases, by Professor Moore. Royal 8vo. 1896.
- CONSTITUTION.—Anson's Law and Custom of the Constitution. By Sir WILLIAM R. Anson, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo.
 Part I. Parliament. Third Edition. 1897.
 Part II. The Crown. Second Edition. 1896. 12s. 6d.
- CONTRACT OF SALE,—Blackburn,—Vide "Sales."
 - Moyle's_Contract of Sale in the Civil Law.—By J. B. Moxle, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 8vo. 1892.
- CONTRACTS.—Addison on Contracts.—A Treatise on the Law of Contracts. Tenth Edition. By A. P. PERCEVAL KEEP and WILLIAM E. Gordon, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1903. 27. 2s. "'Addison on Contracts' is essentially the practitioner's text-book."—Law

Journal, Jan. 17, 1908.

"Among all the works on Contracts, there is none more useful to the practitioner than Addison."—Law Times, Jan. 24, 1908.

- Anson's Principles of the English Law of Contract.—By Sir W. R. Anson, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Ninth Edit. 1899. 10s. 6d. Fry.- Vide "Specific Performance."
- Leake's Law of Contracts.—Principles of the Law of Contracts.

 By the late S. Martin Leake. Fourth Edition. By A. E. Randall,

Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1902.

"In this edition the high standard attained in the former issues has been well sustained, and the work carefully revised and brought well up to date."—Law

Sustained, and the work of the English Law of Contract Times.

"A full and reliable guide to the principles of the English Law of Contract this edition will fully maintain the reputation which the book has made for itself."—Law Journal.

"Admirably suited to serve the purpose of the practitioner the work is complete, accurate, and easy of reference."—Solicitors' Journal.

"Line Principles of Contract.—A Treatise on the General

- Pollock's Principles of Contract.—A Treatise on the General Principles concerning the Validity of Agreements in the Law of England. Seventh Edition. By Sir Frederick Pollock, Bart., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "The Law of Torts," "Digest of the Law of Partnership," &c. Demy 8vo. 1902.
- "A work which, in our opinion, shows great ability, a discerning intellect, a comprehensive mind, and painstaking industry."—Law Journal.
- *_*All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

119 & 120, OHANCERY LANE, LONDON, W.O. CONVEYANCING.—Brickdale & Sheldon.—Vide "Land Transfer." Dickins' Precedents of General Requisitions on Title, with Explanatory Notes and Observations. Second Edition. By HERBERT A. Dickins, Esq., Solicitor. Royal 12mo. 1898.

"We cannot do better than advise every lawyer with a conveyancing practice to purchase the little book and place it on hie shelves forthwith."—Law Notes.

Eaton and Purcell.—Vide "Land Charges Acts." Farrer .-- Vide "Vendors and Purchasers." Greenwood's Manual of the Practice of Conveyancing. To which are added Concise Common Forms in Conveyancing.—Ninth Edit. Edited by HARRY GREENWOOD, M.A., LL.D., Esq., Barristerat-Law. Roy. 8vo. 1897.

"We should like to see it placed by his principal in the hands of every articled clerk. One of the most useful practical works we have ever seen."—Law Stu. Jo. Hood and Challis' Conveyancing, Settled Land, and Trustee Acts, and other recent Acts affecting Conveyancing. With Commentaries. Sixth Edition. By PERCY F. WHEELER, assisted by J. I. STIRLING. Esgrs., Barristers-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1901.

"This is the best collection of conveyancing statutes with which we are acquainted. . . . The excellence of the commentaries which form part of this book is so well known that it needs no recommendation from us."—Law Journal. Jackson and Gosset's Precedents of Purchase and Mortgage Deeds.—By W. Howland Jackson and Thorold Gosset, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899. 7s. 6d.
"Not the least merit of the collection is that each Precedent is complete in itself, so that no dipping about and adaptation from other parts of the book are necessary."—Law Journal. This forms a companion volume to "Investigation of Title" by the same Authors, vide p. 17.

Prideaux's Precedents in Conveyancing—With Dissertations on its Law and Practice. 18th Edit. By John Whitcombe and Benjamin Lennard Cherry, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1900. 3l. 10s.

"'Prideaux' is the best work on Conveyancing."—Law Journal.

"Accurate, concise, clear, and comprehensive in scope, and we know of no treatise upon Conveyancing which is so generally useful to the practitioner."—

Strachan's Practical Conveyancing. By WALTER STRACHAN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1901. Webster.—Vide "Vendors and Purchasers." 8s. 6d.

CORONERS.—Jervis on Coroners.—The Coroners Acts, 1887 and 1892. With Forms and Precedents. Sixth Edition. By R. E. 1892. With Forms and Precedents. Sixth Edition. Meisheimer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Post 8vo. 1898. 10s. 6d.

COSTS.-Hough's Handy Guide to County Court Costs.-Containing the Scales of Costs and Fees authorized in County Courte; with useful Precedents of Bills of Costs on Ordinary and Default Summonses, Employers' Liability, Companies Winding Up, Remitted Actions, Garnishee, Interpleader, Admiralty, and other proceedings, also extracts from the County Court Act, 1888, the Rules, with Practice Notes and Notes of Decisions; together with extracts from the Workmen's Compensation Act, the Rules and Precedents of Bills of Costs thereunder, and of Costs of Appeal from the County Court. Third Edition. By A. Percy Hough, Law Accountant and Costs Draftsman. Demy 8vo. 1903.

Johnson's Bills of Costs in the High Court of Justice and Court of Appeal, in the House of Lords and the Privy Council. Proceedings in the County Court and the Mayor's Courts, &c. Conveyancing Costs and Costs hetween Solicitors and their Clients; with Orders and Rules as to Costs and Court Fees, and Notes and Decisions relating thereto. By Horace Maxwell Johnson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition. Royal 8vo. 1901.

Summerhays and Toogood's Precedents of Bills of Costs. Seventh Edition. By Thoenton Toogood, Thomas Charles Summerhays, and C. Gilbert Barber, Solicitors. Royal 8vo. 1896. 17. 10s.

* * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

COSTS-continued.

Webster's Parliamentary Costs.—Private Bills, Election Petitions, Appeals, House of Lords. Fourth Edition. By C. CAVANAGH, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Post 8vo. 1881.

COUNTY COURTS.—The Annual County Courts Practice, 1903. By His Honour Judge SMYLY, K.C., assisted by W. J. Brooks, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Demy 8vo.

"Invaluable to the County Court practitioner."—Law Journal.

Hough's County Court Costs.—Vide "Costs."

COVENANTS.-Hamilton's Concise Treatise on the Law of Covenants.—By G. BALDWIN HAMILTON, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1888.

CRIMINAL LAW.—Archbold's Pleading, Evidence and Practice in Criminal Cases.—With the Statutes, Precedents of Indictments, &c. Twenty-second Edition. By WILLIAM F. CRAIES and GUY STEPHEN-"Archbold' is the one indispensable book for every barrister or solicitor who practises regularly in the criminal Courts."—Solicitors' Journal.

Chitty's Collection of Statutes relating to Criminal Law.—(Reprinted from "Chitty's Statutes.") With an Introduction and Index. By W. F. Craies, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1894.

Disney and Gundry's Criminal Law.—A Sketch of its Principles and Practice. By Heney W. Disney and Harold Gundry, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1895. 7s. 6d.

Kenny's Outlines of Criminal Law. Demy 8vo. 1902.

Kenny's Selection of Cases Illustrative of English Criminal Law.—Demy 8vo. 1901. 12s. 6d. Kershaw's Brief Aids to Criminal Law.-With Notes on the Pro-

cedure and Evidence. By Hilton Kershaw, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1897.

Roscoe's Digest of the Law of Evidence in Criminal Cases.-Twelfth Edition. By A. P. Perceval Krep, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1898. 1l. 11s. 6d. "To the criminal lawyer it is his guide, philosopher and friend. What Roscoe says most judges will accept without question."—Law Times.

Russell's Treatise on Crimes and Misdemeanors.—Sixth Edit. By Horace Smith, Esq., Metropolitan Police Magistrate, and A. P. Perceval Krep, Esq. 3 vols. Roy. 8vo. 1896. 5l. 15s. 6d. "No library can be said to be complete without Russell on Crimes."—Law Times. "Indispensable in every Court of criminal justice."—The Times.

Shirley's Sketch of the Criminal Law.—Second Edition. By CHARLES STEPHEN HUNTER, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1889. 7s. 6d. Warburton .- Vide "Leading Cases."

DEATH DUTIES.—Freeth's Acts relating to the Estate Duty and other Death Duties, with an Appendix containing the Rules Regulating Proceedings in England, Scotland and Ireland in Appeals under the Acts and a List of the Estate Duty Forms, with copies of some which are only issued on Special Application. Third Edition. By EVELYN FREETH, Esq., Registrar of Estate Duties for Ireland, formerly Deputy-Controller of Legacy and Succession Duties. Demy 8vo. 1901. 12s. 6d.

"The official position of the Author renders his opinion on questions of procedure of great value, and we think that this book will be found very useful to solicitors who have to prepare accounts for duty."—Solicitors Journal.

Harman's Finance Act, 1894, and the Acts amending the same

so far as they relate to the Death Duties, and more especially to Estate Duty and Settlement Estate Duty. With an Introduction and Notes, and an Appendix. By J. E. HARMAN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition. Roy. 12mo.

"Can be recommended as a reliable guide to an Act which depends to a great extent on the definitions of its expressions."—Law Quarterly Review.

^{*}_* All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

DEBENTURES AND DEBENTURE STOCK.—Palmer's Company Precedents.—For use in relation to Companies subject to
the Companies Acts.
Part III. DEBENTURES AND DEBENTURE STOCK, including
Debentures, Trust Deeds, Stock Certificates, Resolutions, Prospectuses,
Writs, Pleadings, Judgments, Orders, Receiverships, Notices, Miscel-
Income With Conjour Notes Ninth Edition Pro Francis Provent
Palmer, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1903. 25s.
"The result of much careful study Simply invaluable to debenture-
PALMER, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1903. 25s. "The result of much careful study Simply invaluable to debenture-holders and to the legal advisers of such investors."—Financial News. "Embraces practically the whole law relating to debentures and debenture stock Must take front rankamong the works on the subject."—Law Times. DECISIONS OF SIR GEORGE 15.5551. Ottobic Actions and supplied the supplied of the subject.
stock Must take front rankamong the works on the subject."—Law Times.
DESIGNO OF OTH GLORGE VESSEL—Feler's Analysis and
Digest of the Decisions of Sir George Jessel: with Notes. &c.
By Apsley Peter Peter, Solicitor. Demy 8vo. 1883. 16s.
DIARYLawyers' Companion (The) and Diary, and London and
Provincial Law Directory for 1903.—For the use of the Legal
Profession, Public Companies, Justices, Merchants, Estate Agents,
Auctioneers, &c., &c. Edited by EDWIN LAYMAN, Esq., Barrister-at-
Law; and contains Tables of Costs in the High Court of Judicature
and County Court, &c. Monthly Diary of County, Local Government,
and Parish Business: Oaths in Supreme Court; Summary of Sta-
tutes of 1902; Alphabetical Index to the Practical Statutes since 1820;
Schedule of Stamp Duties; Legal Time, Interest, Discount, Income,
Wages and other Tables; the New Death Duties; and a variety of
matters of practical utility: together with a complete List of the English
Bar, and London and Country Solicitors, with date of admission and
appointments. Published Annually. Fifty-seventh Issue. 1903.
Issued in the following forms, octave size, strongly bound in cloth:
1. Two days on a page, plain
2. The above, INTERLEAVED with plain paper 7 0 3. Two days on a page, ruled, with or without money columns . 5 6
4. The above, with money columns, INTERLEAVED with plain paper 8 0
5. Whole page for each day, plain
6. The above, interleaved with plain paper
7. Whole page for each day, ruled, with or without money columns 8 6
8. The above, interleaved with plain paper 10 6
9. Three days on a page, ruled blue lines, without money columns. 3 6
The Duary contains memoranda of Legal Business throughout the Year, with
an Index for ready reference.
"The legal Whitaker." Saturday Review.
the second secon

"The legal whiteker."—Savaraay terrew.

"The amount of information packed within the covers of this well-known book of reference is almost incredible. In addition to the Diary, it contains nearly 800 pages of closely printed matter, none of which could be omitted without, perhaps, detracting from the usefulness of the book. The publishers seem to have made it their aim to include in the Companion every item of information which the most exacting lawyer could reasonably expect to find in its pages, and jt may safely be said that no practising adictor, who has experienced the luxury of having it at his elbow, will ever be likely to try to do without it."—Law Journal.

DICTIONARY.—The Pocket Law Lexicon.—Explaining Technical Words, Phrases and Maxims of the English, Scotch and Roman Law. Third Edition. By Henry G. Rawson and James F. Remnant, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Fcap. 8vo. 1893. 6s. 6d. "A wonderful little legal Dictionary."—Indermaur's Law Students' Journal.

"A wonderful little legal Dictionary."—Innorman's Law Semician Sourman, Wharton's Law Lexicon.—Forming an Epitome of the Law of England, and containing full Explanations of Technical Terms and Phrases, both Ancient and Modern, and Commercial, with selected Titles from the Civil, Scots and Indian Law. Tenth Edition. With a New Treatment of the Maxims. By J. M. Lely, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Super-royal 8vo. 1902.

Barrister-at-Law. Super-royal 8vo. 1902.

"An encyclopædia of the law."

"The new edition seems to us to be very complete and perfect, and a copy of it should be procured by every practising solicitor without delay. A better value for his money in the law look market a practitioner could not, we sre sure, get. Of the many books we have to refer to in our work no volume is, we believe, more often taken down from the shelf than 'Wharton.'"—Law Notes.

_ All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

DIGESTS.

MEWS' DIGEST OF ENGLISH CASE LAW.—Containing the Reported Decisions of the Superior Courts, and a Selection from those of the Decisions of the Superior Courts, and a Selection from those of the Irish Courts, to the end of 1897. (Being a New Edition of "Fisher's Common Law Digest and Chitty's Equity Index.") Under the general Editorship of John Mews, assisted by W. F. Barex, E. E. H. Birch, A. H. Bittleston, B. A. Cohen, W. I. Cook, E. W. Hansell, J. S. Henderson, A. Lawrence, J. M. Lely, R. C. Mackenzie, E. Manson, R. G. Mareden, H. J. Newholt, A. E. Randall, J. Ritchie, J. Smith, J. F. Waley, T. H. Walker, and W. A. G. Woods, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. In 16 vols. Royal 8vo. £20 (Bound in half calf, gilt top, £3 net extra.)

"A vast undertaking... We have tested several parts of the work, with the result of confirming our impression as to the accuracy of a work which is indispensable to lawyers."—The Times.

* Cases overruled, approved, questioned, &c., have been omitted from this Digest, but a Digest of Cases overruled, approved, or otherwise specially considered, brought down to the end of 1902, by W. A. G. Woods and J. Ritching, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law (being a New Edition of Dale and Lemmann). 2 vols. Royal 8vo. (In the press.)

The Annual Digest for 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901 and 1902. By John Mews, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. each 15s.
*** This Digest is also issued quarterly, each part being cumulative. Price to Subscribers, for the four parts payable in advance, net 17s.

"The practice of the law without Mews' Annual would be almost an impos-eibility."—Law Times.

Mews' Digest of Cases relating to Criminal Law down to the end of 1897.—By John Mews, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 1898.

- Law Journal Quinquennial Digest, 1896-1900.—An Analytical Digest of Cases Published in the Law Journal Reports, and the Law Reports, during the years 1896-1900, with references to the Statutes passed during the same period. By James S. Henderson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 1901. 11. 10s.
- Talbot and Fort's Index of Cases Judicially noticed (1865— 1890); being a List of all Cases cited in Judgments reported from Michaelmas Term, 1865 to the end of 1890, with the places where they are so cited.—By Grorge John Talbot and Hugh Fort, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Lew. Royal 8vo. 1891.
- Woods and Ritchie's Digest of Cases, Overruled, Approved, or otherwise specially considered in the English Courts to the end of 1902: with Extracts from the Judgments dealing with the same. By W. A. G. Woods and J. RITCHIE, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law.—Being a New Edition of "Dale and Lehmann's Digest." (In the press.)
- DISCOVERY.—Sichel and Chance's Discovery.—The Law relating to Interrogatories, Production, Inspection of Documents, and Discovery. By Walter S. Sighel and William Chance, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1883.
- DISTRESS.-Oldham and Foster on the Law of Distress.-A Treatise on the Law of Distress, with an Appendix of Forms, Table of Statutes, &c. Second Edition. By AETHUR OLDHAM and A. LA TROBE FOSTER, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1889. 18s.
- DISTRICT COUNCILS,-Chambers' Digest of the Law relating to District Councils, so far as regards the Constitution, Powers and Duties of such Councils (including Municipal Corporations) in the matter of Public Health and Local Government. Ninth Edition. -By George F. Chambers, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1895.
- *** All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- DIVORCE.—Browne and Powles' Law and Practice in Divorce and Matrimonial Causes. Sixth Edition. By L. D. Powles, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1897.
 - "The practitioner's standard work on divorce practice."—Law Quar. Rev.
 - Kelly's French Law .- Vide "Marriage."
- DOGS.—Lupton's Law relating to Dogs.—By Frederick Lupton, Solicitor. Royal 12mo, 1888, 5s.
- DOMESDAY BOOK AND BEYOND.—Three Essays in the Early History of England. By Professor MAITLAND. 1897. 8vo. 15s.
- EASEMENTS.—Goddard's Treatise on the Law of Easements.— By JOHN LEYEOURN GODDARD, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Fifth Edition. Demy 8vo. 1896.
 - "Nowhere has the subject been treated so exhaustively, and, we may add, so scientifically, as by Mr. Goddard. We recommend it to the most careful study of the law student, as well as to the library of the practitioner."—Law Times.
 - Innes' Digest of the Law of Easements. Sixth Edition. By L. C. Innes, lately one of the Judges of Her Majesty's High Court of Judicature, Madras. Royal 12mo. 1900. 7s. 6d.
 - "Constructed with considerable care and pains."-Law Journal.
 - "We have only the pleasing duty remaining of recommending the book to those in search of a concise treatise on the law of Easements."—Law Notes.
- ECCLESIASTICAL LAW.—Phillimore's Ecclesiastical Law of the Church of England. By the late Sir Robert Phillimore, Bart., D.C.L. Second Edition, by his son Sir Walter George Frank Phillimore, Bart., D.C.L., assisted by C. F. Jemmert, B.C.L., LL.M., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1895. 3l. 3s.
 - "The task of re-editing Phillimore's 'Ecolesiastical Law' was not an easy one. Sir Walter Phillimore has executed it with hrilliant success. He has brought to the work all his father's subdued enthusiasm for the Church, he has omitted nothing that lent value to the original treatise, he has expunged from it what could be spared, and has added to it everything that the ecclesiastical lawyer can possibly need to know."—Law Journal.
 - Whitehead's Church Law.—Being a Concise Dictionary of Statutes, Canous, Regulations, and Decided Cases affecting the Clergy and Laity. Second Edition. By Benjamin Whitehead, Esq., Barristerat-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899.
 - "A perfect mine of learning on all topics ecclesiastical."—Daily Telegraph.
 - "Mr. Whitehead has amassed a great deal of information which it would be very difficult to find in any other book, and he has presented it in a clear and concise form. It is a book which will be useful to lawyers and laymen."—Law Times.
- ELECTIONS.—Day's Election Cases in 1892 and 1893.—Being a Collection of the Points of Law and Practice, together with Reports of the Judgments. By S. H. Day, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Editor of "Rogers on Elections." Royal 12mo.; 1894. 7s. 6d.
- Hedderwick's Parliamentary Election Manual: A Practical Handbook on the Law and Conduct of Parliamentary Elections in Great Britain and Ireland, designed for the Instruction and Guidance of Candidates, Agents, Canvassers, Volunteer Assistants, &c. Second Edition. By T. C. H. Hedderwick, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 12mo. 1900.
 - "The work is pre-eminently practical, concise and clear."—Solicitors' Journal.
 "One of the best books of the kind that we are acquainted with."—Law Journal.
- Hunt's Metropolitan Borough Councils Elections: A Guide to the Election of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Councillors of Metropolitan Boroughs. By John Hunt, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1900.

 3s. 6d.
- * * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

```
STEVENS AND SONS, LIMITED,
12
ELECTIONS—continued.
    Rogers' Law and Practice of Elections.-
       Vol. I. Registration, including the Practice in Registration
Appeals; Parliamentary, Municipal, and Local Government; with
Appendices of Statutes, Orders in Council, and Forms. Sixteenth
       Edition; with Addenda of Statutes to 1900. By MAURICE POWELL, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1897. 1l. 1s.

"The practitioner will find within these covers everything which he can be expected to know, well arranged and carefully stated."—Law Times.

Vol. II. Parliamentary Elections and Petitions; with Appen-
        dices of Statutes, Rules and Forms. Seventeenth Edition. Revised by
       S. H. Day, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1900. 11. 1s. "The acknowledged authority on election law."—Law Journal. "The leading book on the difficult subjects of elections and election peti-
       tions."—Low Times.

"We have nothing but praise for this work as a trustworthy guide for caudidates and acoust"—Solicitors' Journal.

Vol. III. MUNICIPAL AND OTHER ELECTIONS AND PETITIONS, with
        Appendices of Statutes, Rules, and Forms. Seventeenth Edit.
        Samuel H. Day, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1894. 1l. 1s.
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY.—Mozley-Stark.—Vide "Arbitration."
Robinson's Employers' Liability. By ARTHUR ROBINSON, Esq.,
Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition. Including Precedents of
       Schemes of Compensation, certified by the Registrar of Friendly Societies. By the Author and J. D. STUART SIM, Esq., Barristerat-Law, Assistant Registrar of Friendly Societies. Royal 12mo.
        1898.
ENGLISH LAW.—Pollock and Maitland's History of English Law
        before the time of Edward I.—By Sir Frederick Pollock, Bart.,
        and Feed. W. Maitland, Esq., Barristers-at-Law. Second Edition.
        2 vols. roy. 8vo. 1898.
EQUITY, and Vide CHANCERY.
    Seton's Forms of Judgments and Orders in the High Court of
       Justice and in the Court of Appeal, having especial reference to the Chancery Division, with Practical Notes. Sixth Edition, with
       references to the Seventh Edition of Daniell's Chancery Practice, and
       the Fifth Edition of Daniell's Chancery Forms. By CECIL C. M.
       Dale, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, W. Tindal King, Esq., a Registrar of the Supreme Court, and W. O. Goldschmidt, Esq., of the
       Registrars' Office. In 3 vols. Royal 8vo. 1901. 6l. 6s.
"A monument of learned and laborious accuracy."—Law Quarterly Review.
"The new e'ition of 'Seton' is from every point of view. indeed, a most valuable and indispensable work, and well worthy of the book's high reputation."
   Smith's Manual of Equity Jurisprudence.—A Manual of Equity Jurisprudence for Practitioners and Students, founded on the Works
       of Story and other writers, comprising the Fundamental Principles and the points of Equity usually occurring in General Practice. Fifteenth Edition. By SYDNEY E. WILLIAMS, Esq., Barrister-at-
        Law. 12mo. 1900.
                                                                                                                    12s, 6d.
       "We can safely recommend 'Smith's Equity' in its new clothes to the attention of students reading for their Examinations."—Law Notes.
    Smith's Practical Exposition of the Principles of Equity, illus-
       trated by the Leading Decisions thereon. For the use of Students and Practitioners. Third Edition. By H. AETHUE SMITH, M.A.,
```

LL.B., Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1902.

"This well-known text-book maintains its high reputation. . . . This third edition has been brought up to date in a way which should also make it useful to practitioners in search of the latest authorities on any given point. . . . The addit onal cases referred to in the text and notes amount to many hundreds."—
Law Journal, Dec. 6, 1902.

Williams' Outlines of Equity.—A Concise View of the Principles of Modern Equity. By Synnyr E. Williams' Eng. Barriston at Law. Modern Equity. By Sydney E. Williams, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Author of "The Law relating to Legal Representatives," &c.

Royal 12mo. 1900. 5s. "The accuracy it combines with conciseness is remarkable."—Law Magazine. * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

ESTATE DUTIES.—Freeth.—Vide "Death Duties."

- ESTOPPEL.—Everest and Strode's Law of Estoppel. By LANGELOT FIELDING EVEREST, and EDMUND STRODE, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1884.
 - Ewart's Exposition of the Principles of Estoppel by Misrepresentation.—By John S. Ewart, Esq., K.C. of the Canadian Bar. Demy 8vo. 1900.
- EVIDENCE.—Wills' Theory and Practice of the Law of Evidence.-By Wm. Wills, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1894. 10s. 6d. "It contains a large amount of valuable information, very tersely and accurately conveyed."—Law Times.
 "We consider that Mr. Wills has given the profession a useful book on a difficult subject."—Law Notes.
- EVIDENCE ON COMMISSION.—Hume-Williams and Macklin's Taking of Evidence on Commission: including therein Special Examinations, Letters of Request, Mandamus and Examinations before an Examiner of the Court. Second Edition. By W. E. HUME-WILLIAMS, Esq., K.C., and A. ROMER MACKLIN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1903.
 - "We have tested it carefully, and have no hesitation in commending it to the profession as an accurate and complets manual on this important branch of the law. Every point that is likely to occur in practice has been noted, and there are appendices of statutes, rules, orders, precedents; and—which is, so far as we are aware, a novel feature—principles of our law of avidence for the guidance of foreign advocates, in English, French, and German, and a good index."—Law
- EXAMINATION GUIDES.—Bar Examination Guide. By H. D. WOODCOCK, and R. C. MAXWELL, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Vols. I. to V. (1895—1899). Each, net 7s. 6d.
 - Barham's Students' Text-Book of Roman Law. By C. NICOLAS Barham. Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 12mo. 1903. Net, 2s. 6d.

 "This is a first primer of Roman Law for the beginner. It is plain and clear, is we'l arranged, and so simply put that any student can follow it."—Law Student's Journal.
 - Uttley's How to Become a Solicitor; or, Hints for Articled Clerks.—By T. F. Uttley, Solicitor. Royal 12mo. 1894. 5s.
- EXECUTIONS.—Edwards' Law of Execution upon Judgments and Orders of the Chancery and Queen's Bench Divisions. By C. J. Edwards, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1888. 16s.
- EXECUTORS.—Goffin's Testamentary Executor in England and Elsewhere. By R. J. R. Goffin, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy
 - Macaskie's Treatise on the Law of Executors and Administrators. By S. C. MACASKIE, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 8vo. 1881.
 - Williams' Law of Executors and Administrators.—Ninth Edition. By the Right Hon. Sir ROLAND VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, a Lord Justice of Appeal. 2 vols. Roy. 8vo. 1893. 3l. 16s.
 "We can conscienticualy say that the present edition will not only sustain, but enhance the high reputation which the book has always enjoyed."—Law
 - Williams' Law relating to Legal Representatives.—Real and Personal. By SYDNEY E. WILLIAMS, Esq., Author of "Law of Account," "Outlines of Equity," &c. Demy 8vo. 1899. 10s.

 - "We can commend to both branches of the profession, and more especially to solicutora."—Law Times.

 "An excellent law book, excellently got up, and though it deals with a subject on which there is an ample literature, its existence is justified by its aim at being in as short a form as possible, a summary of the law of legal representatives as modified by the Land Transfer Act, 1897."—Pall Mall Gazette.
- * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- FACTORIES AND WORKSHOPS.—Ruegg and Mossop's Law of Factories and Workshops. By A. H. RUEGG, Esq., K.C., and L. Mossop, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1902. 12s. 6d.
 "We welcome this book, for it is, in our opinion, one of the best treatisee on the law of factories which have lately appeared."—Law Journal, March 29, 1902.
 "Prepared with an evident intention of saying all there is to be said on the legal aspect of the subject.
 Destined to take its place as the book on the Acts."—Saturday Review, May 3, 1902.
- FARM, LAW OF.—Dixon's Law of the Farm: including the Cases and Statutes relating to the subject; and the Agricultural Customs of England and Wales. Fifth Edition. By AUBREY J. SPENGER, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1892.

"A complete modern compendium on agricultural matters."-Law Times. FIXTURES.—Amos and Ferard on the Law of Fixtures and other

Property partaking both of a Real and Personal Nature. Third Edition. By C. A. Feraed and W. Howland Roberts, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1883.

FORMS.—Chitty's Forms of Civil Proceedings in the King's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice, and on Appeal therefrom to the Court of Appeal and the House of Lords.—Thirteenth Edition. By T. W. Chitty, Esq., a Master of the Supreme Court, HERBERT CHITTY, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, and P. E. VIZARD, Esq., of the Central Office. Royal 8vo. 1902. 11. 16s.

of the Central Office. Royal 8vo. 1902. 11. 16s.

"The book is accurste, reliable and exhaustive."—Solicitors' Journal.

"The forms are practically exhaustive, and the notes very good, so that this edition will be invaluable to practitioners whose work is of a litigious kind."—
Law Journal.

Daniell's Forms and Precedents of Proceedings in the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice and on Appeal therefrom.—Fifth Edition, with summaries of the Rules of the Supreme Court; Practical Notes; and references to the Seventh Edition of Daniell's Chancery Practice. By CHARLES BURNEY, B.A., a Master of the Supreme Court. Royal 8vo. 1901. 2l. 10s.

"The standard work on Chancery Procedure."—Law Quarterly Review.

Seton.—Vide "Equity."

RENCH LAW.—Cachard's French Civil Code.

FRENCH LAW. — Cachard's French Civil Code. — By HENRY Cachard, B.A., and Counsellor-at-Law of the New York Bar, Licencié en Droit de la Faculté de Paris. Demy 8vo. 1895. 11.

Goirand's Treatise upon French Commercial Law and the Practice of all the Courts.—With a Dictionary of French Judicial Terms. Second Edition. By LEOPOLD GOIRAND, Licencié en droit. Demy 8vo. 1898.

Goirand's Treatise upon the French Law relating to English Companies carrying on Business in France,—By LEGGLING GOLBAND, French Solicitor. Crown 8vo. 1902. Net, 2s. 6d.

Sewell's Outline of French Law as affecting British Subjects.— By J. T. B. Sewell, LL.D., Solicitor. Demy 8vo. 1897. 10s. 6d.

- GAMBIA.—Ordinances of the Colony of the Gambia. With Index. 2 Vols. Folio. 1900. Net, 31.
- GAME LAWS.—Warry's Game Laws of England. With an Appendix of the Statutes relating to Game. By G. TAYLOR WARRY, With an Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1896. 10s. 6d.
- GOODWILL.—Allan's Law relating to Goodwill.—By CHARLES E. ALLAN, M.A., LL.B., Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1889. 7s. 6d. Sebastian .- Vide "Trade Marks."
- HIGHWAYS.—Chambers' Law relating to Highways and Bridges. By George F. Chambers, Esq., Barrister at Law. 1878.
- HOUSE TAX.—Ellis' Guide to the House Tex Acts, for the use of the Payer of Inhabited House Duty in England.—By ARTHUR M. ELLIS, LL.B. (Lond.), Solicitor, Author of "A Guide to the Income Tax Acts." Royal 12mo. 1885. "Accurate, complete and very clearly expressed."-Solicitors' Journal.
- *_* All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- HUSBAND AND WIFE.—Lush's Law of Husband and Wife, within the jurisdiction of the Queen's Bench and Chancery Divisions. By C. Montague Lush, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition. By the Author and W. H. Geiffith, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1896.
 - "To the practising lawyer the work will be of the utmost importance."—Law Times. "This book will certainly be consulted when difficulties arise relative to the position of married women."—Law Journal.
- COME TAX.—Ellis' Guide to the Income Tax Acts.—For the use of the English Income Tax Payer. Third Edition. By ARTHUR M. ELLIS, I.L.B. (Lond.), Solicitor. Royal 12mo. 1893. 7s. 6d. INCOME TAX.-

Robinson's Law relating to Income Tax; with the Statutes, Forms, and Decided Cases in the Courts of England, Scotland, and Ireland.—By ARTHUE ROBINSON, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1895. "The standard work on a complicated and difficult subject."-Law Journal.

- INDIA.—Ilbert's Government of India.—Being a Digest of the Statute Law relating thereto, with Historical Introduction and Illustrative Documents. By Sir Courtenay Ilbert, K.C.S.I. Demy 8vo. 1898. 11. 1s.
- INLAND REVENUE. Highmore's Summary Proceedings in Inland Revenue Cases in England and Wales. Including Appeals to Quarter Sessions and by Special Case, and Proceedings by Collector's Warrants for Recovery of Duties of Excise and Taxes. Third Edition. By N. J. Highmore, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Assistant Solicitor of Inland Revenue. Roy. 12mo. 1901. 7s. 6d. Highmore's Inland Revenue Regulation Act, 1890, as amended by the Public Accounts and Charges Act, 1891, and the Finance Act, 1896, with other Acts; with Notes, Table of Cases, &c. By NATHANIEL J. HIGHMORE, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Assistant Solicitor of Inland Revenue. Demy 8vo. 1896.
- INSURANCE.—Arnould on the Law of Marine Insurance.—Seventh Edition. By EDWARD LOUIS DE HART and RALPH ILIFF SIMEY, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1901.
 - "The authors have availed themselves of the advice and assistance of men of practical experience in marine insurance, so that the book may be relied on as accurate from a business as well as from a legal point of view. The book can best be described by the one word 'excellent."—Law Journal.
 - Tyser's Law relating to Losses under a Policy of Marine Insur-ance.—By Charles Robert Tyser, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 1894. 10s. 6d.
 - "A clear, correct, full, and yet concise statement of the law."-Law Times.
- INTERNATIONAL LAW .- Baker's First Steps in International Law. By Sir Sherston Baker, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Domy 8vo.

Dicey .- Vide "Conflict of Laws."

- Hall's International Law.—Fourth Edit. Demy 8vo. 1895. 11.2s.6d. Hall's Treatise on the Foreign Powers and Jurisdiction of the British Crown. By W. E. HALL, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 10s. 6d. 8vo. 1894.
- Holland's Studies in International Law.—By Thomas Ersking Holland, D.C.L., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1898. 10s. 6d. Kent's Commentary on International Law.—Edited by J. T. Abdy, LL.D. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 1878. 10s. 6d.
- Nelson's Private International Law.—By Horace Nelson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Roy. 8vo. 1889.
- Rattigan's Private International Law.—By Sir William Heney Rattigan, LL.D., K.C., Vice-Chancellor of the University of the Punjah. Demy 8vo. 1895.
 "Written with admirable clearness."—Law Journal. 10s. 6d.

- Walker's Manual of Public International Law.—By T. A. WALKER, M.A., LL.D., Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1895.
- * * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

INTERNATIONAL LAW—continued.

Walker's History of the Law of Nations.—Vol. I., from the Earliest Times to the Peace of Westphalia, 1648. By T. A. WALKER, M.A., LL.D., Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899. Net, 10s. Westlake's International Law.—Chapters on the Principles of International Law. By J. Westlake, K.C., LL.D. Demy 8vo. 1894. 10s. Wheaton's Elements of International Law; Third English Edition. Edited with Notes and Appendix of Statutes and Treaties. By A. C. Boyd, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1889. Net, 1l. 10s. "Wheaton stands too high for criticism."—Law Times.

INTERPLEADER.-Maclennan's Law of Interpleader, as administered by the English, Irish, American, Canadian, and Australian Courts. With an Appendix of Statutes. By Roderick James MacLennan, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Toronto. Demy 8vo. 1901. 11. 5s.

INVESTIGATION OF TITLE,-Jackson and Gosset's Investigation of Title.—Being a Practical Treatise and Alphabetical Digest tion of lifte.—Being a Fractical Treatise and Alphabetical Digest of the Law connected with the Title to Land, with Precedents of Requisitions. Second Edition. By W. Howland Jackson and Thorold Gosser, Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899. 12s. 6d.

"The new edition contains the following additional subjects—namely, boundaries, compromise, corporations, glebs lands, parcels, quit-rents and recitals; and the changes effected by the statute law of 1899 are noticed in their proper places... Jackson and Gosset's book is well worth having."—Law Times.

"Will be of real help to the busy conveyancer."—Law Notes.

** See "Conveyancing." p. 7, for companion volume, "Precedents of Purchase and Mortgage Deeds," by the same Authors.

JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS,—Seton,—Vide "Equity."

JURISPRUDENCE.—Holland's Elements of Jurisprudence.— Ninth Edition. By T. E. Holland, K.C., D.C.L. 8vo. 1900. 10s. 6d. Markby's Elements of Law. By Sir William Markby, D.C.L. Demy 8vo. 1896.

JURY LAWS,-Huband's Practical Treatise on the Law relating to the Grand Jury in Criminal Cases, the Coroner's Jury, and the Petty Jury in Ireland.—By Wm. G. Hubann, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1896.

Net, 11. 5s.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE.-Magistrate's Annual Practice for 1900.—Being a Compendium of the Law and Practice relating to matters occupying the attention of Courts of Summary Jurisdiction, matters occupying the attention of County of Rules, List of Punishments, with an Appendix of Statutes and Rules, List of Punishments, Calendar for Magistrates, &c. By Charles Milner Atkinson, Esq., Calendar for Magistrates, &c. By Charles Milner Atkinson, Esq., 1200. Stipendiary Magistrate for Leeds. Demy 8vo. 1900.

"An excellent magisterial guide."—Law Journal.

Magistrates' Cases, 1893 to 1902.—Cases relating to the Poor Law, the Criminal Law, Licensing, and other subjects chiefly connected with the duties and office of Magistrates. 1894-1902. Each, net 11. * These Reports, published as part of the Law Journal Reports, are issued Quarterly. Each Part, net 5s.

Annual Subscription, payable in advance, 15s. post free.

Shirley's Magisterial Law—An Elementary Treatise on Magisterial Law, and on the Practice of Magistrates' Courts. Second Edition.

By Leonard H. Wrst, LL.D., Solicitor. Demy 8vo. 1896. 7s. 6d.

Wigram's Justice's Note-Book.—Containing a short account of the Jurisdiction and Duties of Justices, and an Epitome of Criminal Law. Seventh Edition. By HENRY WARBURTON and LEONARD W. KERSHAW, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1900. 10s. 6d.

"The information given is complete and accurate."—Law Journal.

"Contains a great deal of valuable information in a small compass, which has been brought well up to date."—Law Times.

LAND CHARGES ACTS.—Eaton and Purcell's Land Charges Acts, 1888 and 1900.—A Practical Guide to Registration and Searches. By ERNEST W. EATON, Esq., Senior Clerk, Land Charges Department, Land Registry, and J. POYNTZ FURGELL, Esq., of the same Department, Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1901. Net, 2s. 6d. * * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

LAND LAW.—Jenks' Modern Land Law. By Edward Jenes, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899.

LAND TAX.—Bourdin's Land Tax.—An Exposition of the Land Tax.
Including the Latest Judicial Decisions, and the Changes in the Law effected by the Taxes Management Act, &c. Fourth Edition. By the late Frederick Humphers, Deputy Registrar of Land Tax; and Digests of Cases decided in the Courts by Charles C. Atchison, Deputy Registrar of Land Tax. Royal 12mo. 1894. 7s. 6d.
Atchison's Land Tax.—Changes Effected in the Processes of Assessment and Redemption by Part VI. of the Finance Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. o. 28). By Charles C. Atchison, Deputy Registrar of Land

Tax. Royal 12mo. 1897. (A Supplement to above.) Net, 2s. 6d.

LAND TRANSFER.—Brickdale and Sheldon's Land Transfer
Acts, 1875 and 1897.—With a Commentary on the Acts, and
Introductory Chapters explanatory of the Acts, and the Conveyancing
Practice thereunder; also the Land Registry Rules, Forms, and Fee
Order, Orders in Council for Compulsory Registration, &c., with
Forms of Precedents and Model Registers, &c. By C. Fortescue
Brickdale, Registrar at the Land Registry, and W. R. Sheldon,
Esgrs., Barristers-at-Law. Second Edition. (In preparation.)

"Not often is a statute so carefully edited."—The Times.
"Contains not only lengthy and valuable notes and annotations on the Land Transfer Acts and Rules, but also full and separate dissertations on the law, procedure, and practice thereunder."—Law Times.

LANDLORD and TENANT.—Redman's Law of Landlord and Tenant.—Including the Practice of Ejectment. Fifth Edition. By JOSEPH H. REDMAN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo 1901. 11.5s.

"We can confidently recommend the present edition."—Law Journal.
Woodfall's Law of Landlord and Tenant.—With a full Collection of Precedents and Forms of Procedure; containing also a collection of Leading Propositions. Seventeenth Edition. By J. M. Lely, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Roy. 8vo. 1902.

"Woodfall is really indispensable to the practising lawyer, of whatever degree he may bc."—Law Journal, Nov. 15, 1902.

LANDS CLAUSES ACTS.—Jepson's Lands Clauses Acts; with Decisions, Forms, and Tables of Costs. Second Edition. By J. M. Lightwood, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1900. 1l. 1s.

"This work, in its new and practically re-written form, may be described and the Lands Clauses Acts." Solicitors."

"This work, in its new and practically re-written form, may be described as a handy and well-arranged treatise on the Lands Clauses Acts."—Solicitors' Journal.

LAW JOURNAL REPORTS.—Edited by John Mews, Eq., Barrister-

at-Law. Published monthly. Annual Subscription:—
Reports and Public General Statutes
Reps. Stats. & Mews' Annual Digest (Issued Quarterly)
Thin paper Edition, forming one handy Vol. for the year
Or, without the Statutes
The Law Journal weekly, 1l. extra.

LAW LIST.—Law List (The).—Comprising the Judges and Officers of the Courts of Justice, Counsel, Special Pleaders, Conveyancers, Solicitors, Proctors, Notaries, &c., in England and Wales; the Circuits, Judges, Treasurers, Registrars, and High Bailiffs of the County Courts; Metropolitan and Stipendiary Magistrates, Official Receivers under the Bankruptcy Act, Law and Public Officers in England, Colonial and Foreign Lawyers with their English Agents, Clerks of the Peace, Town Clerks, Coroners, Commissioners for taking Oaths, Conveyancers Practising in England under Certificates obtained in Scotland, &c., &c. Compiled, so far as relates to Special Pleaders, Conveyancers, Solicitors, Proctors and Notaries, by Ernest Cleave, Controller of Stamps, and Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, and Published by the Authority of the Commissioners of Inland Revenue and of the Incorporated Law Society. 1903.

Net, 10s. 6d.

• All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

LAW QUARTERLY REVIEW—Edited by Sir Frederick Pollock, Bart., D.C.L., LL.D. Vols. I.—XVIII. (with General Indices to Vols. I. to XV.) Royal 8vo. 1885-1902. Each, 12s.

Annual Subscription post free 12s. 6d., net. Singls numbers, each 5s.

"A little criticism, a few quotations, and a batch of anecdotes, afford a sauce that makes even a quarter's law reporting amusing reading."-Law Journal.

"The greatest of legal quarterly reviews . . . the series of 'Notes' always so entertaining and illustrative, not merely of the learning of the accomplished jurist (the Editor) but of the grace of language with which such learning can be unfolded."-Law Jour.

LAWYER'S ANNUAL LIBRARY-

- The Annual Practice.-Snow, Burney, and Stringer.
- (2) The A. B. C. Guide to the Practice.—Stringer.
- (3) The Annual Digest.—Mrws. (Also Issued Quarterly.)
 (4) The Annual Statutes.—Lely.

(4) The Annual Statutes.—LEELY.
(5) The Annual County Court Practice.—SMYLY.

Annual Subscriptions. For Complete Series, as above, delivered on the day of publication, net, 2l. 8s. Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 only, net, 1l. 18s.

Nos. 3, 4, and 5 only, net, 1l. 15s. (Carriage catra, 2s.)

Full prospectus forwarded on application.

LAWYER'S COMPANION.—Vide "Diary."

LAWYER'S OFFICE.—The Modern Lawyer's Office: being Suggestions for Improvements in the Organization of Law Offices and for the adoption of certain American Appliances and Business Methods. By A Solicitor of the Supreme Court. Royal 12mo.

"We strongly recommend overy solicitor who attaches importance to the organization of his office to make himself acquainted with the system explained so clearly in this little work."—Law Journal.

LEADING CASES.—Ball's Leading Cases. Vide "Torts." Shirley's Selection of Leading Cases in the Common Law. With

Notes. By W. S. Shieler, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Sixth Edition. By Richard Warson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1900. 16s.

"A sound knowledge of common law can be gleaned from Shirley."—Law Notes.

"The selection is very large, though all are distinctly 'Leading Cases,' and the notes are by no means the least meritorious part of the work."—Law Sournal.

"Calculated to be of great service to students."—Law Students' Journal.

"Will so long as Mr. Watson remains the Editor retain its hold on the student world."—Law Notes.

(archystron) Selection of Leading Cases in the Criminal Law.

Warburton's Selection of Leading Cases in the Criminal Law. With Notes. By Henry Warbueton, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Founded on "Shirley's Leading Cases." Second Edition. Demy With Notes. 8vo. 1897. Net, 10s. 6d.

"The cases bave been well selected, and arranged. . . . We consider that it will amply repay the student or the practitioner to read both the cases and the notes."—Justice of the Peace.

LEGAL INTERPRETATION.—Beal's Cardinal Rules of Legal Interpretation.—Collected and Arranged by EDWARD BEAL, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1896. 12s. 6d.

"Invaluable to the student. To those with a limited library, or a busy practice, it will be indispensable."—Justice of the Peace.

LEGISLATIVE METHODS.—Ilbert's Legislative Methods and

Forms.—By Sir Courtenay Ilbert, K.C.S.I., C.I.E., Parliamentary Counsel to the Treasury. Demy 8vo. 1901.

LEXICON .- Vide "Dictionary."

LIBEL AND SLANDER.—Odgers on Libel and Slander.-Digest of the Law of Libel and Slander: with the Evidence, Procedure, Practice, and Precedents of Pleadings, both in Civil and Criminal Cases. Third Edition. By W. BLAKE ODGERS, LL.D., one of His Majesty's Counsel. Royal 8vo. 1896.

or The majesty's Counsel. Royal ovo. 1896. 18. 12s.
"The best modern book on the law of libel."—Daily News.
"The most scientific of all our law books. . . . In its new dress this volume is secure of an appreciative professional welcome."—Law Times.
"The general opinion of the profession has alwaye accorded a high place to Mr. Blake Odgers' learned work."—Law Journal.

_ All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law ealf and other bindings.

LICENSING.—Lathom's Handy Guide to the Licensing Acts. By H. W. Lathom, Solicitor. Royal 12mo. 1894. 58. "The mass of confusing statute and case law on this wide subject has been most ably codified."—Law Times.

Talbot's Law and Practice of Licensing.—Being a Digest of the Law regulating the Sale by Retail of Intoxicating Liquor. With a full Appendix of Statutes and Forms. With Addendum containing the decision of the House of Lords in Boulter v. Justices of Kent. By GEORGE JOHN TALBOT, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 12mo. 1896. 7s. 6d. "His method gives professional men a guide to the legislation afforded by no other book."—Law Journal.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT.—Bazalgette and Humphreys' Law relating to County Councils.—Third Edition. By George Humphreys, Eq. Royal 8vo. 1889. 7s. 6d. Bazalgette and Humphreys' Law relating to Local and Municipal Government. Comprising the Statutes relating to Public Health, Municipal Corporations, Highways, Burial, Gas and Water, Public Loans, Compulsory Taking of Lands, Tramways, Electric Lighting, &c. With Addenda. By C. NORMAN BAZALGETTE and G. HUMPHERYS, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Sup. royal 8vo. 1888. 3l. 3s.

Chambers. Fide "District Councils."

Humphreys .- Vide "Parish Law."

LONDON LOCAL GOVERNMENT. — Hunt's London Local Government. The Law relating to the London County Council, the Vestries and District Boards elected under the Metropolis Management Acts, and other Local Authorities. By John Hunt, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1897. 31. 3s.

This very comprehensive and well-arranged code of London Local Government will be invaluable to local authorities, the legal profession and others directly interested in the subject."—London.
"Concise, accurate and useful."—Law Journal.
"We heartily recommend Mr. Hunt's work."—County Council Times.

LUNACY.—Heywood and Massey's Lunacy Practice.—By AETHUE HEYWOOD and AENOLD MASSEY, Solicitors. Demy 8vo. 1900. 7s.6d. "A very useful little handbook, which contains a clear account of the practice in lunacy."—Law Journal.

"An exceedingly useful handbook on lunacy practice."—Law Notes.
"A clear and able handbook.... A feature of the work are the precedents given, which have nearly all stood the test of actual practice."—Law Times.

MAGISTRATES' PRACTICE and MAGISTERIAL LAW, - Vids "Justice of the Peace."

MARINE INSURANCE.—Vide "Insurance."

MARITIME DECISIONS.—Douglas' Maritime Law Decisions.-Compiled by ROBT. R. DOUGLAS. Demy 8vo. 1888.

MARRIAGE,-Kelly's French Law of Marriage, Marriage Contracts, and Divorce, and the Conflict of Laws arising therefrom. Second Edition. By OLIVER E. BODINGTON, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Licencié en Droit de la Faculté de Paris. Roy. 8vo. 1895. 1l. 1s.

MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY.-Lush's Married Women's Rights and Liabilities in relation to Contracts, Torts, and Trusts. By MONTAGUE LUSH, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "The Law of Husband and Wife." Royal 12mo. 1887. 5s.

MASTER AND SERVANT.—Macdonell's Law of Master and Servant. Second Edition. By JOHN MACDONELL, Esq., LL.D., M.A., C.B., a Master of the Supreme Court, and EDWARD A. MITCHELL INNES, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (In preparation.)

MEDICAL PARTNERSHIPS.—Barnard and Stocker's Medical Partnerships, Transfers, and Assistantships.—By WILLIAM BAENAED, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, and G. Beeteam Stocker, Esq., Managing Director of the Scholastic, Clerical and Medical Association (Limited). Demy 8vo. 1895.

* * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- MERCANTILE LAW.—Smith's Compendium of Mercantile Law.
 —Tenth Edition. By JOHN MACDONELL, Esq., C.B., a Master of the Supreme Court of Judicature, assisted by GEO. HUMPHEEYS, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1890.
 - "Of the greatest value to the mercantile lawyer."—Law Times.
 "One of the most scientific treatises extant on mercantile law."—Sol. Jl.

 - Tudor's Selection of Leading Cases on Mercantile and Maritime Law.—With Notes. By O. D. Tudor, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition. Royal 8vo. 1884.
 - Wilson's Mercantile Handbook of the Liabilities of Merchant, Shipowner, and Underwriter on Shipments by General Vessels.—By A. Wilson, Solicitor and Notary. Royal 12mo. 1883. 6s.
- MERCHANDISE MARKS ACT.—Payn's Merchandise Marks Act,1887.—By H. Payn, Barrister-at-Law. Royal 12mo. 1888. 3s. 6d. "A safe guide to all who are interested in the Act."-Law Times.
- METROPOLIS BUILDING ACTS. Craies' London Building Act, 1894; with Introduction, Notes, and Index, and a Table showing how the Former Enactments relating to Buildings have been dealt with.—By W. F. Craies, Esq., Barrister-at Law. Royal8vo. 1894. 5s.
- MINES AND MINING,-Cockburn,-Vide "Coal."
- MORALS AND LEGISLATION.—Bentham's Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation.—By JEREMY BENTHAM, M.A., Bencher of Lincoln's Inn. Crown 8vo. 1879. 6s. 6d.
- MORTGAGE.—Beddoes' Concise Treatise on the Law of Mortgage.—By W. F. Beddoes, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 8vo. 1893. 10s. "We commend the work as a reliable and useful little manual,"-Law
 - Students' Journal. "We can cordially recommend this work to a practitioner who likes to have small compact books at hand on all subjects."—Law Notes.

- Robbins' Treatise on the Law of Mortgages, Pledges and Hypothecations.—By L. G. Gordon Robbins, Assisted by F. T. Maw, Esgrs., Barristers-at-Law. Founded on "Coote's Law of Mortgage." 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1897. 31.
 - "It is not a patched-up edition of an old work; it is a new book, containing of the old what is good and is still law, with the advantage of the work of a modern edition."—Isaw Journal,
 "The precisis g lawyer will find in detail everything that he can possibly want."—Solicitors Journal.

"A complete treatise on the law of mortgages."-Law Quarterly Review.

- MOTOR CARS.—Bonner's Law of Motor Cars, Hackney and other Carriages.—An Epitome of the Law, Statutes, and Regulations. By G. A. Bonner, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1897. 7s. 6d. "The book is full of useful information, and will undoubtedly prove of service to those who require advice on this subject."—Law Times.
- MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.—Bazalgette and Humphreys.— Vide " Local and Municipal Government.
- NAVY.—Manual of Naval Law and Court Martial Procedure; in which is embodied Thring's Criminal Law of the Navy, together with the Naval Discipline Act and an Appendix of Practical Forms.—By J. E. R. Stephens, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, C. E. Gifford, Esq., C.B., Fleet Paymaster, Royal Navy, and F. Harrison Smith, Esq., Staff Paymaster, Royal Navy. Demy 870.
 - "Well written, excellently stranged, and fully comprehensive."—Law Journal.
 "Well up to data May be thoroughly relied upon."—Law Times.
- NEGLIGENCE.-Smith's Treatise on the Law of Negligence. Second Edition. By Horace Smith, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Editor of "Addison on Contraots, and Torta," &c. 8vo. 1884. 12s. 6d.
- * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

NISI PRIUS.—Roscoe's Digest of the Law of Evidence on the Trial of Actions at Nisi Prius.—Seventeenth Edition. By MAURICE Powell, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Domy 8vo. 1900. 2l. 2s.

"Continues to be a vast end closely packed etorehouse of information on practice at Nisi Prius."—Law Journal.

"Almost invaluable to a Nisi Priue practitioner. . . . We have nothing but praise for the new edition."—Law Quarterly Review.

NOTARY.-Brooke's Treatise on the Office and Practice of a Notary of England.—With a full collection of Precedents. Sixth Edition. By James Cranstoun, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 17 58.

"The book is an eminently practical one, and contains a very complete collection of notarial precedents. The editor is to be congratulated upon the execution of a very thorough piece of work."—Law Journal.

OATHS.—Stringer's Oaths and Affirmations in Great Britain and Ireland; heing a Collection of Statutes, Cases, and Forms, with Notes and Practical Directions for the use of Commissioners for Oaths, and of all Courts of Civil Procedure and Offices attached thereto. By Francis A. Stringer, of the Central Office, Royal Courts of Justice, one of the Editors of the "Annual Practice." Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 1893.
"Indispensable to all commissioners."—Solicitors' Journal.

ORANGE RIVER.—The Statute Law of the Orange River Colony. -Translated. Royal 8vo. 1901.

OTTOMAN CIVIL LAW.-Grigsby's Medjellé, or Ottoman Civil Law.—Translated into English. By W. E. Geigsby, LL.D., Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1895.

PARISH LAW.—Humphreys' Parish Councils.—The Law relating to Parish Councils, being the Local Government Act, 1894; with an Appendix of Statutes, together with an Introduction, Notes, and a Copious Index. Second Edition. By George Humpheeys, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "The Law relating to County Councils, &c. Royal 8vo. 1895. 108.

Steer's Parish Law. Being a Digest of the Law relating to the Civil and Ecclesiastical Government of Parishes and the Relief of the Poor. Sixth Edition. By W. H. MAGNAMARA, Esq., Assistant Master of the Supreme Court, Registrar of the Court constituted under the Benefices Act, 1898. Demy 8vo. 1899. 11. "Of great service both to lawyers and to parochial officers."—Solicitors' Jour. "A most useful book of reference on all matters connected with the parish, both civil and ecclesiastical."—Law Journal.

PARTNERSHIP.—Pollock's Digest of the Law of Partnership. Seventh Edition. With an Appendix of Forms. By Sir Frederick Pollock, Bart., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "Principles of Contract," "The Law of Torts," &c. Demy 8vo. 1900. 10s. "Of the execution of the work we can speak in terms of the highest praise. The language is simple, concise, and clear."—L:w Magazine.
"Praiseworthy in design, echolarly and complete in execution."—Sat. Review.

PATENTS.—Edmunds on Patents.—The Law and Practice of Letters Patent for Inventions By Lewis Edmunds, Esq., K.C. Second Edition. By T. M. Stevens, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Roy. 8vo. 11. 128.

"We have nothing but commendation for the book."—Solicitors' Journal.

"It would be difficult to make it more complete."—Law Times.

Edmunds' Patents, Designs and Trade Marks Acts, 1883 to 1888, Consolidated with an Index. Second Edition. By Lewis Edmunds, K.C., D.Sc., LL.B. Imp. 8vo. 1895. Net 2s. 64. Gordon's Monopolies by Patents and the Statutable Remedies available to the Public. By J. W. Gordon, Esq., Barrister-at-

Law. Demy 8vo. 1897. "Must take a unique place in our legal literature."-Law Times.

Gordon's Compulsory Licences under the Patents Acts. ByJ. W. Gordon, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "Monopolies by Patent." Demy 8vo. 1899.

* * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

PATENTS—continued.

Johnson's Patentees' Manual.—A Treatise on the Law and Practice of Patents for Inventions. Sixth Edition. By James Johnson son, Esq., Barrister-at-Law; and J. Heney Johnson, Solicitor and Patent Agent. Demy 8vo. 1890. Johnson's Epitome of Patent Laws and Practice. Third Edition.

Crown 8vo. 1900. Net, 2s. 6d.

Morris's Patents Conveyancing.—Being a Collection of Precedents in Conveyancing in relation to Letters Patent for Inventions. With Dissertations and Copious Notes on the Law and Practice. By Robert Morris, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1887. 11. 5s.

Thompson's Handbook of Patent Law of all Countries.—By Wm. P. Thompson. Twelfth Edition. 12mo. 1902. Net, 2s. 6d. Thompson's Handbook of British Patent Law. Eleventh Edition. 12mo. 1899.

PAWNBROKING.—Attenborough's Law of Pawnbroking, with the Pawnbrokers Act, 1872, and the Factors Act, 1889, and Notes thereon. By Charles L. Attenborough, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Post 8vo. 1897. Net, 3s.

PLEADING.—Bullen and Leake's Precedents of Pleadings, with Notes and Rules relating to Pleading. Fifth Edition. Revised and Adapted to the Present Practice in the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice. By Thomas J. Bullen, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Cyell Dodd, Esq., K.C., and C. W. Clifford, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1897.

rister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1897.

"The standard work on modern pleading."—Law Jaurnal.

"A very large number of precedents are collected together, and the notes are full and clear."—Law Times.

"The Editors have in every way preserved the high standard of the work, and brought it down to date effectively and conscientiously."—Law Magasine.

Odgers' Principles of Procedure, Pleading and Practice in Civil Actions in the High Court of Justice.—Fifth Edition. By W. Blake Onoers, ILl.D., K.C., Recorder of Flymouth, Author of "A Digest of the Law of Libel and Slander." Demy 8vo. 1903. 12s. 6d.

"The student or practitioner who desires instruction and practical guidance in our modern system of pleading cannot do better than possess himself of Mr. Odgers' book."—Law Journal.

"Includes a careful outline of the procedure in an ordinary action at law. This sketch will be of the utmost value to students, and ought to win the approval also of examining bodies, as it is remarkably free from any adaptability to the purposes of the more crammer."—Literature.

"An invaluable hook."—Low Nates.

"Terse, clear and pointed,"—Law Quarterly Review.

POISONS.—Reports of Trials for Murder by Poisoning.—With

POISONS.—Reports of Trials for Murder by Poisoning.—With Chemical Introductions and Notes. By G. Latham Browns, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, and C. G. Stewaet, Senior Assistant in the Lahoratory of St. Thomas's Hospital, &c. Demy 8vo. 1883. 12s. 6d.

POWERS.—Farwell on Powers.—A Concise Treatise on Powers. Second Edition. By George Farwell, Esq., Q.C. (now a Justice of the High Court), assisted by W. R. Sheldon, Esq., Barristerat-Law. Royal 8vo. 1893.

PRINCIPAL AND AGENT.—Wright's Law of Principal and Agent.
By E. Blackwoon Wright, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 1901.

"Clearly arranged and clearly written."—Law Times.

"May with confidence be recommended to all legal practitioners as an accurate and handy text book on the subjects comprised in it."—Solicitors' Journal.

"An excellent book."—Law Quarterly Review, April, 1902.

PRIVY COUNCIL LAW.—Wheeler's Privy Council Law: A Synopsis of all the Appeals decided by the Judicial Committee (including Indian Appeals) from 1876 to 1891. Together with a precis of the Cases from the Supreme Court of Canada. By George Wheeler, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, and of the Judicial Department of the Privy Council. Royal 8vo. 1893. Council. Royal 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.

* * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

PROBATE.—Nelson's Handbook on Probate Practice (Non-Contentious), (Ireland).—By Howard A. Nelson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo.

Powles and Oakley's Law and Practice relating to Probate and Administration. By L. D. Powles, Barrister-at-Law, and T. W. H. Oakley, of the Probate Registry. (Being a Third Edition of "Browne on Probate.") Demy 8vo. 1892. 11. 10s.

PROPERTY.—See also "Real Property."

Raleigh's Outline of the Law of Property.—Demy 8vo. 1890. 7s. 6d. Strahan's General View of the Law of Property.—Third Edition.
By J. A. Strahan, assisted by J. Sinclair Baxter, Eegre., Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901. "The student will not easily find a better general view of the law of property than that which is contained in this book."—Solicitors' Journal.
"We know of no better book for the class-room."—Law Times.

PUBLIC MEETINGS.—Chambers' Handbook for Public Meetings.—Second Edition. By George F. Chambers, Esq., Barrieterat-Law. Demy 8vo. 1888.

QUARTER SESSIONS.—See "Criminal Law."

RAILWAY RATES.—Darlington's Railway Rates and the Carriage of Merchandise by Railway.—By H. R. Darlington, Eeq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1893.

RAILWAYS.—Browne and Theobald's Law of Railway Com-panies.—Being a Collection of the Acts and Orders relating to Railway Companies in Great Britain and Ireland, with Notes of all the Cases decided thereon. Third Edition. By J. H. BALFOUR BROWNE, Esq., one of His Majesty's Counsel, and Frank Balfour Browne, Esq., one of His Majesty's Counsel, and Frank Balfour Browne, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1899. 2l. 2s. "Contains in a very concise form the whole law of railways."—The Times. "It is difficult to find in this work any subject in connection with railways which is not dealt with."—Law Times.
"Practitioners who require a comprehensive treating or pailway law will feed the

"Practitioners who require a comprehensive treatise on railway law will find it indispensable."—Law Journal.

Powell's Relation of Property to Tube Railways.—By Maurice Powell, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1903. Net 1s. 6d.

RATES AND RATING.—Castle's Law and Practice of Rating.—
Third Edition. By EDWARD JAMES CASTLE, Esq., one of His Majesty's Counsel. Demy 8vo. 1895.

"A sure and safe guide."—Law Magazine.

"A compendious treatise, which bas earned the goodwill of the Profession on account of its conciseness, its lucidity, and its accuracy."—Law Times.

Chambers' Law relating to Local Rates; comprising the Statutes in full and a Digest of 718 Casee. Second Edition. By G. F. Chambers, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1889. 10s. 6d.

Hamilton and Forbes' Digest of the Statutory Law relating to the Management and Rating of Collieries.—For the use of Colliery Owners, Viewers and Inspectors. By H. B. Hans HAMILTON and URQUHART A. FORBES, Esqrs., Barrieters-at-Law. Net, 17s. 6d. Demy 8vo. 1902.

REAL PROPERTY.—Carson's Real Property Statutes, comprising, AL PROPERTY.—Carson's Real Property Statutes, comprising, among others, the Statutes relating to Prescription, Limitation of Actions, Married Women's Property, Payment of Debts out of Resl Estate, Wills, Judgments, Conveyancing, Settled Land, Partition, Trustees. Being a Tenth Edition of Shelford's Real Property Statutes. By T. H. Carson, Esq., K.C., and H. B. Bompas, Esq., Barrieter-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1902. 35s. "Absolutely indispensable to conveyancing and equity lawyers." "The labours of the editor and assistant-editor must have been immense, and the congratulations of both branches of the profession on the production of such a useful work, so skilfully prepared, are carned by both editors and publishers."—Law Notes.

Law Notes.

* All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law ealf and other bindings.

REAL PROPERTY—continued.

De Villier's History of the Legislation concerning Real and Personal Property in England during the Reign of Queen Victoria.—Crown 8vo. 1901. 3s. 6d.

Digby's History of the Law of Real Property. Fifth Edition. Ďemy 8vo. 1897.

Lightwood's Treatise on Possession of Land: with a chapter on the Real Property Limitation Acts, 1833 and 1874.—By JOHN M. Lightwoon, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1894.

Maclaurin's Nature and Evidence of Title to Realty. torical Sketch. By RICHARD C. MACLAURIN, Esq., of Lincoln's Inn. Demy 8vo. 1901.

Shelford's Real Property Statutes .- Vide "Carson."

Smith's Real and Personal Property.—A Compendium of the Law of Real and Personal Property, primarily connected with Conveyancing. Designed as a Second Book for Students, and as a Digest of the most useful learning for Practitioners. Sixth Edition. By the AUTHOR and J. TRUSTRAM, LL.M., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Demy 8vo. 1884.

"A book which he (the student) may read over and over again with profit and pleasure."—Law Times.
"Will be found of very great service to the practitioner."—Solicitors' Journal.
"A really useful and valuable work on our system of Conveyancing."—Law Students' Journal.
Strahan.—Vide "Property."

REGISTRATION .- Rogers .- Vide "Elections."

Fox and Smith's Registration Cases. (1886-1895). Royal 8vo. Calf, net, 21. 10s.

Smith's (C. Lacey) Registration Cases. Part I. (1895-96).

Net. 6s. 6d. Part II. (1896), 5s. Part III. (1897), 4s. Part IV. (1898-9), 6s. Part V. (1899-1900), 4s. Part VI. (1900-1901), 4s. 6d.

Lawson's Notes of Decisions under the Representation of the People Acts and the Registration Acts.—By Wm. Lawson, Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1894.

24s.

Ditto, ditto, for 1894, 1895, 1896 and 1897.

Each net 4s. 6d.

Net, 7s. 6d. Net. 4s. 6d. Net, 4s. 6d. Ditto, ditto, for 1898. Ditto, ditto, for 1899. Ditto, ditto, for 1900. Ditto, ditto, for 1901. Net, 4s. 6d.

REQUISITIONS ON TITLE .- Dickins .- Vide "Conveyancing."

RIVERS POLLUTION.—Haworth's Rivers Pollution,—The Statute Law relating to Rivers Pollution, containing the Rivers Pollution Prevention Acts, 1876 and 1893, together with the Special Acts in force in the West Riding of Yorkshire and the County of Lancaster. By Charles Joseph Haworth, Solicitor, B.A. (Cantab.), LL.B. (London). Royal 12mo. 1897.

ROMAN LAW.—Abdy and Walker's Institutes of Justinian, Translated, with Notes, by J. T. Abdy, LL.D., and the late Bryan Walker, M.A., LL.D. Crown 8vo. 1876.

Abdy and Walker's Commentaries of Gaius and Rules of Ulpian. With a Translation and Notes, by J. T. ABDY, LL.D., late Regius Professor of Laws in the University of Cambridge, and the late BRYAN WALKER, M.A., LL.D. New Edition by BRYAN WALKER. Crown 8vo. 1885.

Barham's Students' Text-Book of Roman Law.—By C. NICOLAS BARHAM, Esq., Barrister at-Law. Demy 12mo. 1903. Net, 2n. 6d. "This little work, consisting of 119 rages, is a collection of notes, clearly and simply expressed, upon the principal topics of Roman Law as they are stated in the Institutes of Gaius and Justinian. It is neatly arranged, and forms a complete outline of the subject."—Law Notes.

** All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- ROMAN LAW—continued.
 - Goodwin's XII. Tables.—By Frederick Goodwin, LL.D. London. Royal 12mo. 1886. 3s. 6d.
 - Greene's Outlines of Roman Law.—Consisting chiefly of an Analysis and Summary of the Institutes. For the use of Students. By T. Whitcombe Greene, Barrister-at-law. Fourth Edition. Foolsoap 8vo. 1884.
 - Grueber's Lex Aquilia.—The Roman Law of Damage to Property: heing a Commentary on the Title of the Digest "Ad Legem Aquiliam" (ix. 2). With an Introduction to the Study of the Corpus Iuris Civilis. By Erwin Grueber, Dr. Jur., M.A. 8vo. 1886. 10s. cd.
 - Holland's Institutes of Justinian.—Second Edition. Extra feap. 8vo. 1881.
 - Holland and Shadwell's Select Titles from the Digest of Justinian,—Demy 8vo. 1881.
 - Holland's Gentilis Alberici, I.C.D., I.C.P.R., de lure Belli Libri Tres.—Edidit T. E. Holland, I.C.D. Small 4to., halfmorocco. 11.1s.
 - Monro's Digest IX. 2. Lex Aquilia. Translated, with Notes, by C. H. Monro, M.A. Crown 8vo. 1898.
 - Monro's Digest XIX. 2, Locati Conducti. Translated, with Notes, by C. H. Monro, M.A. Crown 8vo. 1891.
 - Monro's Digest XLVII. 2, De Furtis. Translated, with Notes, by C. H. Monro, M.A. Crown 8vo. 1893. 5s.
- Monro's Digest XLI. 1, De Adquirendo Rerum Dominio. Translated, with Notes, by C. H. Monro, M.A. Crown 8vo. 1900. 5s.
- Moyle's Imperatoris Justiniani Institutiones,—Third Edition. 2 vols. Demy 8vo. 1896.
- Poste's Elements of Roman Law.—By Gaius. With a Translation and Commentary. Third Edition. By EDWARD POSTE, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1890.
- Roby's Introduction to the Study of Justinian's Digest, containing an account of its composition and of the Jurists used or referred to therein. By H. J. Rory, M.A. Demy 8vo. 1886. 9s.
- Roby's Justinian's Digest.—Lib. VII., Tit. I. De Usufructu, with a Legal and Philological Commentary. By H. J. Rory, M.A. Demy 8vo. 1884.

 Or the Two Parts complete in One Volume. Demy 8vo. 18s.
- Sohm's Institutes of Roman Law.—Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 1901.
- Walker's Selected Titles from Justinian's Digest.—Annotated by the late Bryan Walker, M.A., LL.D. Part I. Mandati vel Contra. Digest xvII. I. Crown 8vo. 1879. 5s.
 - Part I. Mandati vel Contra. Digest xvii. I. Crown 8vo. 1879. 5s. Part III. De Condictionibus. Digest xvii. 1 and 4—7, and Digest xvii. 1—3. Crown 8vo. 1881. 6s.
- Walker's Fragments of the Perpetual Edict of Salvius Julianus.
 Collected and annotated by Bryan Walker, M.A., LL.D. Crown
 8vo. 1877.
- Whewell's Grotius de Jure Belli et Pacis, with the Notes of Barbeyrac and others; accompanied by an abridged Translation of the Text, by W. WHEWELL, D.D. 3 vols. Demy 8vo. 1853. 12s.
- * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

RULING CASES.—Campbell's Ruling Cases.—Arranged, Annotated, and Edited by ROBERT CAMPBELL, of Lincoln's Inn, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Advocate of the Scotch Bar, assisted by other Members of the Bar. With American Notes by Invine Browne, formerly Editor of the American Reports, and the Hon. LEONARD A. Jones, A.B., LL.B. (Harv.). Royal 8vo. 1894-1902. Half vellum, gilt top. Complete in XXVI. Volumes. Price for the set, net, 251.

** The Volumes sold separately, net, each 11. 5s.

```
J.—Abandonment—Action.
  II.—Action—Amendment.
 III.—Ancient Light—Banker.
IV.—Bankruptcy—Bill of Lading.
V.—Bill of Sale—Conflict of Laws.
 VI.-Contract.
VII.—Conversion—Counsel.
VIII.—Criminal Law—Deed.
IX.—Defamation— Dramatic and
               Musical Copyright.
X.—Easement—Estate.
XI.—Estoppel—Execution.
XII.—Executor—Indemnity.
XIII.—Infant—Insurance.
```

```
XIV.-Insurance-Interpretation.
  XV.—Judge—Landlord and Tenant.
XVI.—Largeny—Mandate.
XVII.—Manorial Right—Mistake.
XVIII.—Mortgage—Negligence.
 XIX.—Negligence—Partnership.
 XX.—Patent.
XXI.—Payment—Purchase for Value
           without Notice,
XXII.-Quo Warranto-Release.
XXIII.—Řelief—Sea.
XXIV.—Search Warrant—Telegraph.
 XXV.—Tenant—Wills.
XXVI.—Table of Cases; Index.
```

THIS SERIES PRESENTS-

The best English Decisions (in full), From the earlier Reports to the present time, Grouped under topics alphabetically arranged.

UNDER EACH TOPIC IS GIVEN-

A "Rule" of law deduced from the cases; The early or "leading" case (in full); English notes abstracting collateral cases; American notes.

THE OBJECT OF THE SERIES IS-

To state legal principles clearly, Through cases of accepted authority, With sufficient annotation

To aid the application of these principles to any given state of facts.

EXTRACTS FROM PRESS NOTICES.

"A. Cyclopædia of law... most ably executed, learned, accurate, clear, concise; but perhaps its chief merit is that it impresses on us what the practising English lawyer is too apt to forget—that English law really is a body of principles."—The British Review.

"One of the most ambitions, and ought to be, when it is complete, one of the most generally useful legal works which the present century has produced."—

Literature.

"A perfect storehouse of the principles established and illustrated by our case law and that of the United States."—Law Times.

"The general scheme appears to be excellent, and its execution reflects the greatest credit on everyhody concerned. It may, indeed, be said to constitute, for the present, the high-water mark of the acience of hook-making."—Sat. Rev.

"A work of unusual value and interest. . . . Each leading case or group of cases is praceded by a statement in bold type of the rule which they are quoted as establishing. The work is happy in conception, and this first volume shows that it will be adequately and successfully carried out."—Solicitors' Journal.

"The English Ruling Cases seem generally to have been well and carefully chosen, and a great amount of work has been expended. . . Great accuracy and care are shown in the preparation of the Notea."—Law Quarterly Review.

"The Serice has been maintained at a high level of excellence."—

"The Beries has been maintained at a high level of excellence."-

* * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- SALES.—Blackburn on Sales. A Treatise on the Effect of the Contract of Sale on the Legal Rights of Property and Possession in Goods, Wares, and Merchandise. By Lord BLAUKBURN. 2nd Edit. By J. C. Graham, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1885. 11. 1s. "We have no hesitation in saying that the work has been edited with remarkable ability and success."—Law Quarterly Review.
- SALVAGE.—Kennedy's Treatise on the Law of Civil Salvage.—By WILLIAM R. KENNEDY, Esq., Q.C. (now a Justice of the High Court). Royal 8vo. 1891.

"The best work on the law of salvage. It is a complete exposition of the subject, and as each is accurate and exhaustive."—Law Times.

SHERIFF LAW.—Mather's Compendium of Sheriff and Execution Law. Second Edition. By PHILIP E. MATHER, Solicitor and Notary, formerly Under-Sheriff of Newcastle-on-Tyne. Royal 8vo.

"We think that this book will he of very great assistance to any persons who may fill the positions of high sheriff and under-sheriff from this time forth. The whole of the legal profession will derive great advantage from having this volume to consult."—Law Times.

"The subject is one of great practical importance, and this edition will be most valuable in the office of sheriffs and solicitors."—Law Journal.

SHIPPING .- Carver .- Vide "Carriers."

Marsden's Digest of Cases relating to Shipping, Admiralty, and Insurance Law, down to the end of 1897.—By REGINALD and Insurance Law, down to the end of 1897.—By REGINALD G. MARSDEN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "The Law of Collisions at Sea." Royal 8vo. 1899.

Pulling's Merchant Shipping Act, 1894.—With Introduction, Notes, and Index. By ALEXANDER PULLING, Eq., Barrister-at-Notes, and Index. By Law. Royal 8vo. 1894.

Pulling's Shipping Code; being the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 60). With Introduction, Notes, Tables, Rules, Orders, Forms, and a Full Index.—By ALEXANDER PULLING, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1894. Net, 7s. 6d.

Temperley's Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 60). With an Introduction; Notes, including all Cases decided under the former enactments consolidated in this Act; a Comparative Table of Sections of the Former and Present Acts; a Comparative Table of Sections of the Former and Present Acts; an Appendix of Rules, Regulations, Forms, etc., and a Copious Index.—By Robert Temperley, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1895. 11.5s.

"A full, complete, and most satisfactory work."—Law Quarterly Review.
"A monument of well-directed industry and knowledge directed to the elucidation of the most comprehensive and complicated Act."—Law Journal.

SLANDER.—Odgers.—Vide "Libel and Slander."

SOLICITORS.-Cordery's Law relating to Solicitors of the Supreme Court of Judicature. With an Appendix of Statutes and Rules, the Colonial Attornies Relief Acts, and Notes on Appointments open to Solicitors, and the Right to Admission to the Colonies, to which is added an Appendix of Precedents. Third Edition. By A. CORDREY, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1899. 11.
"The leading authority on the law relating to solicitors."—Law Journal.
"A complete compendium of the law."—Law Times.
"Thoroughly up to date in every respect."—Law Quarterly Review. 1l. 1s.

Turner.- Vide "Conveyancing" and "Vendors and Purchasers."

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE.—Fry's Treatise on the Specific Performance of Contracts. By the Right Hon. Sir Edward Fry. Fourth Edition. By W. D. Rawlins, Esq., K.C. Royal 8vo. 17. 168. 1903.

"The leading anthority on its subject."-Law Journal.

* * All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

STAMP LAWS.—Highmore's Stamp Laws.—Being the Stamp Acts of 1891: with the Acts amending and extending the same, including the Finance Act, 1902, together with other Acts imposing or relating to Stamp Duties, and Notes of Decided Cases; also an Introduction, and an Appendix containing Tables showing the comparison with the antecedent Law. Second Edition. By NATHANIEL JOSEPH HIGHMORE, Assistant-Solicitor of the Inland Revenue. Demy

JOSEPH HIGHMORE, Assistant-Solicitor of the Inland Revenue. Demy 8vo. 1902.

"The recognized work on the subject."—Law Quarterly Review, Jan., 1903.

"Mr. Highmore has incorporated in the new edition of this work the legislation of the last three years, so far as it affects the Stamp Laws, including the Finance Act, 1902. He has revised the text, added the new authorities, and increased the notes upon departmental practice, a subject which he is peculiarly qualified to discuss. This edition, like the former one, will be found of the greatest use by solicitors, officers of companies, and men of business."—Law Journal, Dec. 6, 1902.

"A very comprehensive volume, fulfilling every requirement. . . The various notes to the sections of the several Acts incorporated in the volume are fully and accurately set out, the points of the decided cases clearly expressed, and the effect and object of the enactment indicated; and what must he of especial value to the practitioner, the practice at Somerset House with regard to all matters coming before that institution is stated."—Justice of the Paace.

"Mr. Highmore's 'Stamp Laws' leaves nothing undone."—The Civilian.

STATUTES, and vide "Acts of Parliament."

Chitty's Statutes.—The Statutes of Practical Utility, from the earliest times to 1894, with Supplemental Volume to 1901 inclusive. Arranged in Alphabetical and Chronological Order; with Notes and Indexes. Fifth Edition. By J. M. Lely, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. Complete with Index. In 14 Volumes. 1894-1902. 151. 15s.

The Supplementary Volume, 1895 to 1901. Consolidated with Index. By J. M. Lely, Esq. May be had separately.

21. 28.

"To those who already possess 'Chitty's Statutes' this new volume is indispensable."—Law Notes, June, 1902.

The Annual Supplements. Separately:—1895, 5s. 1896, 10s. 1897, 5s. 1898, 7s. 6d. 1899, 7s. 6d. 1900, 7s. 6d. 1901, 7s. 6d. 1902, 7s. 6d.

"It is a book which no public library should be without."—

Spectator.

"A work of permanent value to the practising lawyer." -- Solicitors' Journal.

"The profession will feel grateful both to the editor and the publishers of a work which will be found of the highest value."-Law Journal.

"A legal work of the very highest importance. . . . Few besides lawyers will, we suspect, realise the amount of work which such an undertaking involves to the editor, who appears to have spared no pains to give a clear, orderly, and methodical character to the compilation."—Daily News.

"This collection has fulfilled a purpose of usefulness only to be understood by those who are acquainted with the amazing complexity of English statute law, with its bewildering incoherence

and painful heterogeneity."—Pall Mall Gazette.

"Indispensable in the library of every lawyer."—Saturday Review. "To all concerned with the laws of England, Chitty's Statutes of Practical Utility are of essential importance, whilst to the practising

lawyer they are an absolute necessity."—Law Times.

"It is apparently the belief of some popular novelists that lawyers in their difficulties still uniformly consult daily Coke upon Littleton and Blackstone. Those who know better are aware that the lawyer's Bible is the 'Statutes of Practical Utility'-that they are his working tools, even more than accredited text-books or 'authorised reports.' More than one judge has been heard to say that with the 'Statutes of Practical Utility' at his elbow on the bench he was apprehensive of no difficulties which might arise.".

_ All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

- STATUTE LAW.—Wilberforce on Statute Law. The Principles which govern the Construction and Operation of Statutes. By E. Wilberforce, Esq., a Master of the Supreme Court. 1881.
- SUCCESSION.—Holdsworth and Vickers' Law of Succession, Testamentary and Intestate. Demy 8vo. 1899.
- SUMMARY CONVICTIONS.—Paley's Law and Practice of Summary Convictions under the Summary Jurisdiction Acts, 1848—1884; including Proceedings Preliminary and Subsequent to Convictions, and the Responsibility of Convicting Magistrates and their Officers, with the Summary Jurisdiction Rules, 1886, and Forms.—Seventh Edition. By W. H. MAGNAMARA, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1892.
- TAXPAYERS' GUIDES .- Vide "House," "Income," & "Land Tax."
- THEATRES AND MUSIC HALLS.—Geary's Law of Theatres and Music Halls, including Contracts and Precedents of Contracts.—By W. N. M. Geary, J.P. With Historical Introduc-tion. By James Williams, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. 8vo. 1885. 58.
- TITLE.—Jackson and Gosset.—Vide "Investigation of Title."
- TORTS.—Addison on Torts.—A Treatise on the Law of Torts; or Wrongs and their Remedies. Seventh Edition. By HORACE SMITH, Esq., Bencher of the Inner Temple, Metropolitan Magistrate, and A. P. Perceval Keep, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 17, 188.
 - "As an exhaustive digest of all the cases which are likely to be cited in practice it stands without a rival."—Law Journal.
 - "As now presented, this valuable treatise must prove highly acceptable to judges and the profession."—Low Times.
 "An indispensable addition to every lawyer's library."—Law Magasine.

 - Ball's Leading Cases on the Law of Torts, with Notes. Edited by W. E. Ball, LL.D., Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "Principles of Torts and Contracts." Royal 8vo. 1884. 11. 1s.
 - Bigelow's Elements of the Law of Torts.—A Text-Book for Students. By MELVILLE M. BIGELOW, Ph.D., Lecturer in the Law School of the University of Boston, U.S.A. Crown 8vo. 1889. 10s. 6d.
 - Innes' Principles of the Law of Torts.—By L. C. INNES, lately one of the Judges of the High Court, Madras, Author of "A Digest of the Law of Easements." Demy 8vo. 1891. 10s. 6d. "A useful addition to any law library."—Law Quarterly Review.
 - Pollock's Law of Torts: a Treatise on the Principles of Obligations arising from Civil Wrongs in the Common Law. Sixth Edition. By Sir Frederick Pollock, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Author of "Principles of Contract," "A Digest of the Law of Partnership," &c. Demy 8vo. 1901.
 - "Concise, logically arranged, and accurate."—Law Times.
 "Incomparably the best work that has been written on the subject."—
 - Literature.
 - Literature.

 A book which is well worthy to stand beside the companion volume on "Contracts." Unlike so many law-books, especially on this subject, it is no mere digest of cases, but bears the impress of the mind of the writer from beginning to end."—Law Journal.

 "The work is one 'professing to select rather than to collect authorities,' but the leading cases on each branch of the subject will be found ably dealt with A work bearing Mr. Pollock's name requires no recommendation. If it did, we could heartily recommend this able, thoughtful, and valuable book . . . as a very successful and instructive attempt to seek out and expound the principles of duty and liability underlying a branch of the law in which the Scottish and English systems do not materially differ."—Journal of Jurisprudence.
- * _* All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law culf and other bindings.

TRADE MARKS.—Sebastian on the Law of Trade Marks and their Registration, and matters connected therewith, including a chapter on Goodwill; the Patents, Designs and Trade Marks Acts, 1883-8, and the Trade Marks Rules and Instructions thereunder; with Forms and Precedents; the Merchandize Marks Acts, 1887-94, and other Statutory Enactments; the United States Statutes, 1870-82, and the Rules and Forms thereunder; and the Treaty with the United States, 1877. By Lewis Boyd Sebastian, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Fourth Edition. By the Author and Harry Baird Hemming, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1899.

"Stands alone as an authority upon the law of trade-marks and their registration."—Law Journal.

"It is rarely we come across a law book which embodies the results of years of careful investigation and practical experience in a branch of law, or that can be unhesitatingly appealed to as a standard authority. This is what can be said of Mr. Sebastian's book."—Solicitors' Journal.

Sebastian's Digest of Cases of Trade Mark, Trade Name, Trade Secret, Goodwill, &c., decided in the Courts of the United Ringdom, India, the Colonies, and the United States of America. By Lewis BOYD SEBASTIAN, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 8vo. 1879. 11. 1s. "Will be of very great value to all practitioners who have to advise on matters connected with trade marks."—Solicitors' Journal.

- TRAMWAYS.—Sutton's Tramway Acts of the United Kingdom; with Notes on the Law and Practice, an Introduction, including the Proceedings before the Committees, Decisions of the Referees with respect to Locus Standi, and a Summary of the Principles of Tramway Rating, and an Appendix containing the Standing Orders of Parliament. Rules of the Board of Trade relating to Tramways, &c. By Heney Sutton, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition, including the Light Railways Acts. By George S. Robertson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (In preparation.)
- TRANSVAAL.—The Statute Law of the Transvaal. Translated. Royal 8vo. 1901. 21. 2s.
- TRUSTS AND TRUSTEES.—Ellis' Trustee Acts, including a Guide for Trustees to Investments. By ARTHUR LEE ELLIS, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Sixth Edition. By L. W. BYRNE, Esq., Barristerat-Law. Roy. 12mo. 1903.
 - Godefroi's Law Relating to Trusts and Trustees.—Second Edit. By Henry Godefroi, of Lincoln's Inn, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1891.
- VENDORS AND PURCHASERS. Dart's Vendors and Purchasers.—A Treatise on the Law and Practice relating to Vendors and Purchasers of Real Estate. By the late J. Henry Darr, Esq., one of the Six Conveyancing Counsel of the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division. Sixth Edition. By the late William Barber, Q.C., Richard Burdon Haldane, K.C., and William Robert Sheldon, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 2 vols. Royal 8vo. 1888.

** A new Edition under the Editorship of Benjamin Lennard Cherry, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, is in preparation.

Farrer's Precedents of Conditions of Sale of Real Estate, Reversions, Policies, &c.; with exhaustive Footnotes, Introductory Chapters, and Appendices.—By FREDERICK EDWARD FARRER, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1902.

"Mr. Farrer has written a rare thing—a new book which will be of real value a conveyancer's library. We venture to predict that this book will be

"Mr. Farrer has written a rare thing—a new book which will be of real value in a conveyancer's library. . . We venture to predict that this book will be popular."—Law Journal, June 7, 1902.
"The work, while sufficiently elementary to be of extreme use to students and young practitioners, will also be very serviceable to the more experienced. The notes are essentially practical and are evidently largely derived from experience, and the forms are adapted to recent decisions. Mr. Farrer's book strikes a new vein, and deserves—and will no doubt secure—the support of the profession."—Law Times, May 24, 1902.

_ All standard Law Works are kept in Stock, in law calf and other bindings.

VENDORS AND PURCHASERS—continued.

Turner's Duties of Solicitor to Client as to Sales, Purchases, and

Mortgages of Land.—Second Edition. By W. L. HACON, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1893.

Webster's Law Relating to Particulars and Conditions of Sale on a Sale of Land.—With Appendix of Forms. Second Edition. By W. F. Webster, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Roy. 8vo. 1896. 11.5s.

"This is the Second Edition of a well arranged and useful book, and the usefulness will not be impaired by the fact that the authority for each proposition and the reference to such authority are cited in the text itself instead of being relegated to a footnote."—Law Journal.

Webster's Conditions of Sale under the Land Transfer Acts.

Webster's Conditions of Sale under the Land Transfer Acts. Being a Supplement to above. Royal 8vo. 1899.

WAR, DECLARATION OF .- Owen's Declaration of War.-Survey of the Position of Belligerents and Neutrals, with relativeconsiderations of Shipping and Marine Insurance during War. By Douglas Owen, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1889. 1l. 1s.

Owen's Maritime Warfare and Merchant Shipping.—A Summary of the Rights of Capture at Sea. By Douglas Owen, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1898.

WATER.—Bartley's Metropolis Water Act, 1902, together with the Circulars, Notices and Orders issued by the Local Government Board and the Court of Arbitration in relation thereto. By Douglas C. BARTLEY, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author of "Adulteration of Food." Royal 12mo. 1903.

**ILLS,—Theobald's Concise Treatise on the Law of Wills.—Fifth Edition. By H. S. Theobald, Esq., one of His Majesty's Counsel. Royal 8vo. 1900. 11, 12s.

"Comprehensive though easy to use, and we advise all conveyancers to get a copy of it without loss of time."—Law Journal.

"Of great ability and value. It bears on every page traces of care and sound judgment."—Solicitors' Journal.

"The work is, in our opinion, an excellent one, and of very great value, not only as a work of reference, but also for those who can afford to give special time to the study of the subject with which it deals."—Law Student's Journal.

Weaver's Precedents of Wills.—A Collection of Concise Precedents of Wills, with Introduction. Notes, and an Appendix of Statutes.

of Wills, with Introduction, Notes, and an Appendix of Statutes. By Charles Wraver, B.A. Post 8vo. 1882. 5s. By CHARLES WEAVER, B.A.

WINDING UP.—Palmer's Company Precedents.—For use in relation to Companies subject to the Companies Acts. Part II.
WINDING-UP FORMS AND PRACTICE. Arranged as follows:—Compulsory Winding-Up, Voluntary Winding-Up, Winding-Up under Supervision, Arrangements and Compromises, with copious Notes, and an Appendix of Acts and Rules. Eighth Edition. By Francis Beautoper Palmer, assisted by Frank Evans, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law Royal 879, 1900. Law. Royal 8vo. 1900.

Haw. Hoyal 8vo. 1900.

"Palmer's 'Company Precedents' is the book par excellence for practitioners.

It is needless to recommend Mr. Palmer's book to the profession, for it is already known and appreciated. We advise those who have any doubts to consult it, and they will be in agreement with us."—Law Journal.

"Simply invaluable, not only to company lawyers, but to everybody connected with companies."—Financial News.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION.—Vide "Employers' Liability." Robertson and Glegg's Digest of Cases under the Workmen's Compensation Acts. Royal 8vo. 1902. Net. 10s.

WRECK INQUIRIES.—Murton's Law and Practice relating to Formal Investigations in the United Kingdom, British Possessions and before Naval Courts into Shipping Casualties and the Incompetency and Misconduct of Ships' Officers. With an Introduction. By WALTER MURTON, Solicitor to the Board of Trade. Demy 8vo. 1884.

WRONGS.—Addison, Ball, Bigelow, Pollock.—Vide "Torts."

PREPARING FOR PUBLICATION.

- Brickdale and Sheldon's Land Transfer Acts.-By C. FORTESCUE BEICKDALE, Registrar at the Land Registry, and W. R. SHELDON, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Second Edition. (In preparation.)
- Dart's Vendors and Purchasers. A Treatise on the Law and Practice relating to Vendors and Purchasers of Real Estate. Seventh Edition. By Benjamin L. Cherry, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (In preparation.)
- Digest of Cases, Overruled, Approved, or otherwise specially considered in the English Courts to the end of 1902. With extracts from the Judgments dealing with the same. By W. A. G. Woods and J. RITCHIE, Esqrs., Barristers-at-Law. Being a new edition of "Dale and Lehmann's Digest." (In the press.)
- English Reports.—A complete Re-issue of all the Decisions prior to 1866 in about 150 Volumes. Third Series. Chancery. 125 Vols. to be issued in about 25 Volumes. (Vol. VII. in the press.)
- Macdonell's Law of Master and Servent.—Second Edition. By JOHN MACDONELL, Esq., a Master of the Supreme Court, and EDWARD A. MITCHELL INNES, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (In preparation.)
- Pritchard's Quarter Sessions.—Second Edition. By V. Geaham MILWARD and JOSEPH B. MATTHEWS, Esqrs., Barristere-at-Law.
 - (In preparation.)
- Stroud's Judicial Dictionary of Words and Phrases Judicially Interpreted, to which has been added Statutory Definitions. -Second Edition. By F. STROUD, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. 3 Vols. Royal 8vo. (In the press.)
- Sutton's Tramways Acts.—Third Edition, including the Light Railways Acts. By George S. Robertson, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (In preparation.)
- Warburton's Selection of Leading Cases in the Criminal Law,-With Notes. By Henry Warburton, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. [Founded on "Shirley's Leading Cases."] Third Edition.

(In preparation.)

- Prideaux's Precedents in Conveyancing.—With Dissertations on its Law and Practice. Eighteenth Edition. By JOHN WHITCOMBE and B. L. CHERRY, Barristers-at-Law. Two Yols: Royal 8vo. 1900. Price 3l. 10s. cloth. "'Prideaux' is the best work on Conveyancing."—Law Journal.
- Hood & Challis' Conveyancing, Settled Land and Trustee Acts, and other recent Acts affecting Conveyancing. With Commentaries. Sixth Edition. By PERCY F. WHEELER, assisted hy J. I. STIRLING, Barristers-at-Law. Royal 8vo. 1901. Price 20s. cloth.

"We are thoroughly satisfied with this new edition, and we heartily recommend it. . . . We I nothing but unqualified praise to award to this edition."—Law Students' Journal, December, 1901.

- Pollock's Principles of Contract.—A Treatise General Principles concerning the Validity of Agreements in the Law of England. Seventh Edition. By Sir FREDERICK POLLOCK, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1902. Price 28s. cloth.
- Pollock's Law of Torts: A Treatise on the Principles of Obligations arising from Civil Wrongs in the Common Law. Sixth Edition. By Sir FREDERICK POLLOCK, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901. Price 25s. cl.
- Pollock's Digest of the Law of Partnership.—Seventh Edition. With an Appendix of Forms. By Sie FREDERICK POLLOCK, Bart., Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1900. Price 10s. cloth.
- Wurtzburg's Law relating to Building Societies, with Appendices containing the Statutes, Regulations, Act of Sederunt, Forms of Annual Account and Statement, and Precedents of Rules and Assurances. Fourth Edition. By E. A. WURTZBURG, Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1902. Price 16s. cloth. "A carefully arranged and carefully written book."—Law Times.
- Chitty's Statutes, 1895—1901, Consolidated.—With Index.
- By J. M. LELY, Barrister-at-Law. In One Vol. Royal 8vo. 1902. Price 2l. 2s. cloth.

 The Modern Lawyer's Office.—Being suggestions for Improvements in the Organization of Law Offices, and for the adoption of certain American appliances and business methods. By a Solicitor of the Supreme Court. Royal 12vo. 1902. Price 6s. cloth. Royal 12mo. 1902. Price 6s. cloth.
- Redman's Law of Landlord and Tenant.—Including the Practice in Ejectment, with an Appendix containing the Agricultural Holdings Acts and the Orders and Rules thereunder annotated. Fifth Edition. By JOSEPH H. REDMAN, Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901. Price 25s. cloth.

 "Has long heen familiar as a concise and conveniently arranged book on Landlord and Tenant.... There can be no doubt as to the painstaking industry which has been devoted to making the work complete."—Solicitors' Journal.

- Spencer's Agricultural Holdings (England) Acts, 1883-
- 1900, with Explanatory Notes and General Forms; also the Board of Agriculture and County Court Rules and Forms, together with the Allotments and Cottage Gardens Compensation for Crops Act, 1887.—Second Edition. By AUBREY J. SPENCER, Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901. Price 7s. 6d. cloth.

 Freeth's Estate Duty.—The Acts relating to the Estate Duty and other Death Duties; an Appendix containing the Rules regulating Proceedings in England, Scotland, and Ireland in Appeals under the Acts, and a List of the Estate Duty Forms, with copies of some which are only issued on special application. Thura Edition. By EVELYN FREETH, Registrar of Estate Duties for Ireland. Jemy 8vo. 1901. Price 12s. 6d. cloth.

 Strahan's General View of the Law of Property.—Thind
- Strahan's General View of the Law of Property.—Third Edition. By J. A. STRAHAN, assisted by J. SINCLAIR BAXTER, Barristers-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901. Price 12s. 6d. cloth.
- Arnould on the Law of Marine Insurance.—Seventh Edit. By EDWARD LOUIS DE HART and R. I. SIMEY, Barristers-at-Law. Two Vols. Royal 8vo. 1901. Price 3l. 3s. cloth.
- Brooke's Treatise on the Office and Practice of a Notary of England.—With a full Collection of Precedents. Sixth Edition. By JAMES CRANSTOUN, Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 1901. Price 25s. cloth.

 Wright's Law of Principal and Agent.—Second Edition.
- By E. BLACKWOOD WRIGHT, Barrister-at-Law. Demy 8vo. 18s. cloth.

THE ENGLISH REPORTS

WITHIN THE REACH OF ALL.

Complete RE-ISSUE of ALL THE DECISIONS prior to 1866 in about 150 volumes.

THE objects of this great scheme of complete re-issue of all the English Reports up to the commencement of the Law Reports in 1866 are now well known, and the House of Lords Series in 11 Volumes, and the Privy Council Series in 9 Volumes are now ready. The Chancery Series, to be completed in about 25 Volumes, is in course of publication.

The Cases are noted with references to later decisions in which a particular case may have been overruled, or distinguished, and a reference to the titles of the digests in which similar cases will be found.

Consultative Committee:

The Right Hon. THE EARL OF HALSBURY, Lord Chancellor;

The Right Hon. LORD ALVERSTONE, G.C.M.G.,

Lord Chief Justice of England;

The Right Hon. SIR RICHARD HENN COLLINS, Master of the Rolls;

The Hon. Sir Robert Samuel Wright, a Justice of the High Court;

Sir R. B. FINLAY, K.C., M.P., Attorney-General.

The first Series, HOUSE OF LORDS, 58 vols., complete in 11 vols. royal 8vo. (uniform with the Law Reports), now ready. Price, half bound, net £22.

The second Series, the PRIVY COUNCIL, 43 vols., complete in 9 vols., now ready. Price, half bound, net £13:10s.

The third Series, CHANCERY, 125 vols., complete in about 25 vols. Price per volume, half bound, net 30s. In course of publication.

*** Volume I. now ready, contains Cary, Choyce Cases in Chancery, Tothill, Dickens (2 vols.), Reports in Chancery, Nelson, and Equity Cases Abridged, Vol. I.

Full particulars sent on application to

STEVENS & SONS, LIMITED,

119 & 120, Chancery Lane, London.

