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In commencing the present Work, I proposed to myself to compile a Syntax, which should smbrace all that was valuable in Winer, and all that was applicable in Donaldson. From the large and copious use which I have made of Dr. Donaldson's "Complete Greek Grammar," I may be considered to have fulfilled one part of my original design. The references I have made to the Syntax of this eminent philologist by no means adequately represent the full amount of my obligations to him. In analyzing the varied uses of the Prepositions and of the Oblique Cases, I have followed Donaldson paragraph by paragraph, adopting his language, with such modifications as seemed advisable, quoting some of his instances, and appending appropriate examples from the New Testament. In the arrangement of the Syntax, I have frequently followed Rost, borrowing occasionally the language of the "Bromsgrove Greek Grammar," compiled by Dr. Jacob, of Christ's Hospital, wherever the philosophical principles and logical method of Donaldson appeared to rise above the level of a work designed for popular comprehension.

Of Winer I have made very little use; chiefly because I have found more reliable matter in the grammatical comments of Bishop Ellicott, Dean Alford, Dr. Wordsworth, Dr. Vaughan, and some others, among whom, I am indebted to the writer of an article in the Quarterly Review for January, 1863. I would hope that the annotations which I have selected from recent editions of the New Testament will be of service to those students, who, from the deficiency of means or leisure, are unable, according to the advice of the "Quarterly Review," to use Dean

Alford's work in combination with Dr. Wordsworth and Bishop Ellicott. If, too, these gleanings from their pages prove acceptable to the laity generally, and to the large class of readers who feel the force of the saying, $\mu$ éva $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \nu \mu e ́ \gamma a$ $\kappa a \kappa o ́ v$, I shall not have written in vain.

Another reason for having so sparingly availed myself of the criticisms of Winer is, I must honestly confess, my want of sympathy in the commendations so generally, and, I cannot but think, extravagantly bestowed upon him. The use I have made of his work has been out of deference to public opinion, rather than from a personal sense of its merits. The Grammar by Dr. S. Ch. Schirlitz (Giessen), although it is but little known, is a decidedly better work. There may be valuable matter in Winer which I have not collected from other sources, but his pages present to my mind an accumulation of unnecessary authorities and unnecessary polemics. By unnecessary authoritios, I mean repeated references to authors whose works by no means deserve that degree of credit which can entitle thein to be made standards of appeal. By unnecessary polemics, I mean the refutation of absurd and extravagant errors in all branches of Biblical Literature, of which one would think no earnest and sensible student would take any notice, except to dismiss them with contempt.

I do not expect that those who find or affect to find it necessary to resort to Germany for their scholarship, will allow that there are sufficient grounds for the opinion I have formed of German critics, annotators, grammarians. But as far as my own reading has extended, I see good reason to withhold from German scholars that measure of confidence which I cheerfully extend to many who have written on Biblical literature in our own country during the last thirty years, and this for the following considerations: (1) Germany is the land of speculation; but it is not the land of action or of common sense; fow of their writers romember the salutary maxim, $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$ дaкрá, $\beta \rho a \chi$ ̀̀s ó $\beta l o s$. (2) We may cheerfully assign to German students the palm of laborious industry; but can we saly that this industry is sanctified by the highest motives, and is directed to the noblest end $P$ Of what advantage is ju $\bar{\sigma} \iota \varsigma$, provided oopia is excluded? (3) Whatever be the defects of our Authorized Version, there can be no doubt of its great superiority to the Vulgate, or to Luther's translation.

I have read many a tedious note of German writers, particularly of Fritzsche, in which much ponderous erudition is directed to the correction of a blunder in the Vulgate, or some Continental Version, which does not exist in our own. (4) We ought not to be unmindful of the advantage which most of us possess by being trained in a form of sound words, by acknowledging a definite, but yet, Catholic system of faith and practice. Of the Germans, it is difficult to say who of them receive, and who reject the facts of Christianity embodied in the three Creeds; while none of them enjoy the benefit of that practical Commentary on Holy Writ which is provided in our Liturgy and Articles. To the absence of this salutary check on the vagaries of 'free handling' we may attribute that monstrous combination of errors which is happily confined to German and Germanized theology.

Dr. Wordsworth (in the Preface to his edition of the Greek Testament, p. xvi) has brought forward Lord Bacon's remark, that one of the best commentaries on Scripture might be extracted from the writings of English divines. This remark may be amplified so as to include the grammatical and critical exegesis of the New Testament. Our Anglo-Germans, like Continental Tourists in search of scenery, need to be reminded of the beautiful spots which they have never visited at home. We may apply to them the admonition of the Roman Satirist, IIor. Ep. i. 12. 30,

> "Quod petis, hic est;
> . . . Animus si to non deficit sequus."

No one English annotator has availed himself of half the materials extant in our own tongue. Among recent editors Bishop Ellicott is the only one who scems to have consulted the sound and valuable suggestions of the late Professor Scholcfield, in his IIints for an Improved Translation. It is much to be regretted that many of our learned men, who have edited the Tragedians, have not commented on the Greek Testament; yet there will be found in their notes much valuable matter directly and indirectly bearing on the grammatical interpretation of the Sacred Oracles. Bishop Blomfield's Fschylus contains many criticisms of this nature; in the preparation of the First Volume of the Greek Testament, in conjunction with my friend Mr. Wilkinson, I derived more real assistance from this source,
which promised little, than from the laborious pretentiousness of any German annotator.

In Chapter X. I have made but slight use of the Second Series of Synonyms by His Grace the Archbishop of Dublin. I have adopted freely the matter contained in the First Series, which has become rapidly incorporated in the general stock of Theological literature. As I have attempted to desynonymize some words which have not been treated by previous writers, I will only hope that all my readers will extend to me that favourable indulgence, with which His Grace welcomed my earlier efforts in this interesting and instructive pursuit.

In conclusion, I would express a hope that this Work will be of some service in promoting the intelligent study of that Book which is the basis of sound learning and religious education. Great benefit has resulted and is likely to result from the institution of Competitive Examinations. Why should not a portion. of the Greek Testament be required from all candidates for apppintments in the Military and Civil Service $P$ The eulogium which Dr. Wordsworth has pronounced (Pref. p. xvii) on the study of Moly Scripture in the Original, as the best Instrument of Education, is a happy combination of rhetorical power with sober truth:-
" The Bible alone, of all books in the world, addresses itself to the whole man. It exercises his memory, strengthens his reason, controlis his passions, informs his judgment, regulates his conscibnce, sanctifies his will, enlivens his fancy, warms his imagination, cherishes his affections, stimulates his practice, quichens his hope, and animates his faith."
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# THE <br> SYNTAX AND SYNONYMS 

OF THE

## NEW TESTAMENT.

## CHAPTER I.

## INTRODUCTORY.

on the peculiarities of hellenistic greek.
The term "Hellenistic" is the especial designation which is usually employed to denote the Greek which is found in the writings of the New Testament.

The word 'Eג bitants of Attica to all foreigners who learnt to speak their language by the ear for political purposes, commercial designs, or social intercourse, without giving accurate attention to the usages and expressions of the Attic dialects. These foreigners were said to Hellenize, é $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, to imitate Greeks from their combining vernacular expressions and provincial peculiarities with Greek phrases and idioms.

When we consider the language of any single biography, treatise, or letter, and still more when our attention is directed to a collection of such writings, we must carefully bear in mind all the historical circumstances of the several writers, such as the time and the place of their respective compositions, the previous education and the present position of the writers, the objects they proposed to themselves in the work under consideration.

Our own language as it is now spoken in difforent parts of the globe furnishes a striking instance of the influence produced by
time and place in moulding the distinguishing characteristics of every tongue. The origin of Modern English is generally ascribed to the days of Elizabeth; grammarians enumerate various phases of Middle English, Old English, Semi-Saxon, all exhibiting peculiar features of divergence from the language, either written or oral, which provailed in the days of Alfred. The familiar term Anglo-Saxon brings up before our minds two distinct peoples, Angles and Saxons, who contributed their different dialects to form a single tongue. Hellenistic Greek was a composite language derived from different sources like our own; it was also an imported language, not the vernacular speech of those who used it; it was acquired by the Apostles and Evangelists, and was adopted, under the guidance of the IIoly Spirit, as the most fitting medium for the communications they were inspired to make, and it was adapted by them for the imparting of truths and sentiments which tried to the utmost the capacity of language and the power of thought.
In Palestine, Greek was an exotic. The sources from which it was transplanted were of the widest description. When we speak of Classical Greek, we refer to the language which was spoken in Græcia Antiqua or Greece Proper, but when we speak of Hellenistic Greek we must include in our conception the speech of Magna Greccia, the south-east of Italy with Sicily, of the colonies included in the discontinuous or sporadio Greece, of the settlements in Asia Minor, and those which were founded by the success which attended the Macedonian arms. In this respect Hellenistio Greek resembles the English which is spoken in America, Australia, and Hindostan far more closely than its ordinary use in our native land. But even at home every distinet region of the United Kingdom, and every quarter of the globe, contributes its peculiar phrases and idioms to increase the treasures of the Anglo-Saxon tongue. Some of these additions and excrescences obtain only a partial reception, as they are confined to the district which gave them birth, or are limited to the local exigencies which called them into life; but many obtain from time to time universal acceptance, are gradually incorporated into an ordinary speech, and maintain $a^{-}$position in the temple of literature. In every branch of writing, especially in our periodical organs of public information, words will be found which have been imported from the Hebrew, Arabic, Persian, from Turkoy and China, from the East
and West Indies, from North and South America, from Holland, Italy, Portugal, Spain. But in our foreign marts, in cities of commercial enterprise, beyond the limits of British civilization, in the extreme East, West, and South, this confusion of tongues ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \tau \tau 0 \sigma u ́ r \chi \nu \sigma t s$ ) will be especially found to prevail. We ought not then to be surprised at the occurrence of similar characteristics in the records of the religious dispensation which has spread through the world from Galilee,-that populous agglomeration of inhabitants of all classcs and countries, which Hebrew-speaking inhabitants of Jerusalem regarded with contempt and disdain, as beyond Jordan, Galilce of the Gentiles.
But influences more powerful than the commixture of theso varieties of speech combined to cast the language of the Greek Testament into its present mould. The inspired writings of the New Covenant, are not conveyed in the language which our Lord and His Apostles, as well as the earliest disciples, very generally used in conversational intercourse. The vernacular tongue in Judza, after the return from the Babylonish captivity, was the Syro-Chaldaic or Aramaic. In reading the Gospels, it is highly important to bear in mind the caution given by Michaelis, "Syriace locutum Jesum, non Greoce." Thus Greek was a language which the writers acquired after attaining a mature age, for it is probable that St. Luke was the only one who knew it from his birth.
The writers of the Epistles had to contend with difficulties from which the Evangelists were, in a measure, exempt. The subjects which they had to discuss taxed to the utmost the varied and copious resources of the richest language on earth. They were required to expound and elucidate truths which affect the temporal and eternal welfare of the universal family of man, truths which in length and breadth and depth and height far exceeded the loftiest conceptions of philosophers, orators, and poets; these they had to present in a style and manner which was capable of being comprehended by the whole human race, intelligible to the wise and unwise, level to the apprehension of barbarian, Scythian, bond and free.

If then we attach the weight which is unquestionably due to these peculiarities, if we consider the influence which each of them would have singly, and the predominating sway which all united would exercise by acting in combination one with another, we shall not hesitate to draw the inference that,
without a miracle, the Greek of the New Testament could not have been different from that which we find it to be. A regard to the circumstances of the writers, to the age in which thoy lived, to the locality in which they wrote, to the associations of birth, of education, and position, a consideration of their end and aim in writing what was to be a кт $\hat{\eta} \mu a$ és $\dot{a} \varepsilon i$, unconscious though they were of the fact, all these justify the conclusion that the sweeping charges of solocisms, of grammatical improprieties, of forced constructions, may be disregarded by us as vague declamation. 'To expect that Palestinian Jews should write Greek according to the refinements and elegancies of the language which was current at Athens in the days of Pericles, is as unreasonable as it would be to require that modern English should retain the idiomatic usages, expressions, and orthography, which prevailed under the Plantagenets, or to oonceive that a collection of writings from different authors, designed not only for the instruction of the intelligent and thoughtful, but for the use of the Boors at the Cape, the Pariahs of IIindostan, the Aborigines of Australia, the Red Indians of North America, ought to bear upon its front the characteristics of language, expression, and style, which we reasonably require in a critical essay, a philosophical treatise, or an academical address. In such a collection of writings, whether we regard the component parts singly, or as a whole, we might with good reason require that no unwarrantable liberties should be taken with the language, that there should be no offences against good taste, no violations of decorum, nothing to debase the mind, vitiate the feeling, or corrupt the judgment. But all refinements of language, all elegant turns of expression might well be sacrificed in order to secure more thoroughly and effectually the writers' end and aim, the instruction and edification of universal humanity.

As it is quite unreasonable to judge of New Testament Greek by the conventional standard adopted 300 b.c. in a particular city and district, so by a reference to what is now going on in many parts of the world, we need not be surprised at the mixture of various elements contained therein. For if we consider the extent to which our own tongue is adulterated in both hemisphercs, by the use of extraneous words and incongruous expressions, we shall deem it truly marvellous, and
a result which surpasses human wisdom, that writerd of Galilee,
 gences the language of Thucydides, Plato, and Xenophon, in a connected series of works, which in subject-matter, complexion, and object, throw into the shade the choicest specimens of classical literature.

One striking characteristio of the Greek of the New Testament is the Christian element arising from the subject-matter, which the writers had to unfold.

A second arises from the position of the writers, as Hebrewspeaking Jews, who had to complete a revelation which had already been partially revealed in Hebrew. This we may call the Hebrew element.
There is a third element, which we may call the Alexandrine, consisting of Latinisms, Cilicisms, Syriacisms, and extraneous terms.
There is a fourth element, which had an important influence on the employment of the preceding, viz., the oral. The style is colloquial rather than literary. The diction is the Greek of conversation rather than of composition. We have very imperfect memorials of the ordinary language used by the Greeks in the mart, the forum, and domestic life. The expressions employed by philosophers and poets, by orators and tragedians, afford no clue to the conversational Greek which regulated their social intercourse. The principal source from which we can form an opinion of their vernacular speech is the comedies of Aristophanes: "Illa Comoedia vetus, speculum quotidiane vitæ, plebeias quasdam offert loquutiones."Valckner ${ }^{1}$. The speeches in the Acts of the Apostles are faithful recitals of words actually uttered; and many of the epistles were written from dictation. "To the oral element," says Bishop Ellicott," we may ascribe the combined simplicity and force of the narrative portions; the suspended structures and relapses to the nominative case observable in the writings of St. Paul." Its style is free from all tinge of vulgarity, and from every trace of artistic diction. In fact it exhibits, as Professor Masson has well remarked, the only genuine facsimile of the colloquial diction employed by unsophisticated Grecian gentlemen of the first century, who spoke without pedantry, as $i \delta i \omega t \tau a l$, and not as $\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau a l$.

[^0]
## THE CHRISTIAN ELEMENT.

In every branch of science or department of study we find peculiar words and technical terms which are formed by enlarging the vocabulary of the language, or are old words with a new and appropriate meaning. The doctrine of the kingdom of heaven could not possibly be made known to man, without the introduction of new words, or an accession of meaning to words which were already in use. The writers of heathen antiquity had no occasion to speak of $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{i} a \operatorname{\tau ov} \Theta_{\epsilon} \hat{v}-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 sense in which they so frequently recur in the Books of the New Covenant.
The historical facts upon which Christianity rests could be recorded in the language of Thucydides and Xenophon; but the revelation of God manifest in the flesh, the dignity of the Redeemer's person, the sufficiency of His atoning work, the operation of the Holy Ghost, the condition of man as fallen in the first Adam, the characteristics of his state as restored in tho second Adam, the constitution of the Christian Church,--these and similar topics of discourse rendered it necessary to find thought-breathing words, which no writer of an earlier age had any occasion to use. With this agrees the fact which we might naturally anticipate, that the Christian element is more strongly marked in the writings of John, Paul, and Peter, than in the synoptical Gospels, or the Acts of the Apostles.

The writers of the New Testament, if for a moment we leave out of sight the divine superintendence, suggestion, and control which attended them in all their labours, must have felt the same difficulties which beset modern translators of the Bible in finding suitable words and expressions to be the vehicle of superhuman thoughts. The Chinese, for instance, with all their literary, scientific, and philosophical attainments, hate no appropriate word for expressing "Deity," and perhaps no language which has not been amplified and enriched by the leaven of Christianity, contains tolerable equivalents for the words grace, Jumility, faith, hope, charity.

A test of the character and habits of the people is furnished by the copiousness or scantiness of their vocabulary on moral subjects. The languages of the South Sea Islanders are particularly copious in words which convey the crime of murder;
there are several distinct terms to express different ways in which infanticide may be committed. The universal prevalence of this abominable atrocity has given birth to a deplorable richness of vocabulary in expressing the practice. We must ascribe it to the happy influence of the oracles of God committed to our trust, that our language has so many words which denote sympathy, condolence, fellow-feeling, compassion, pity, affection, tenderness, but has no term nearer than the words "maliciousness," "spitefulness," to express єं $\pi \iota \chi a \iota \rho \epsilon к а к i a$. Exultation at the ills which befall other men is happily so rare a feeling, that no distinguishing word has been adopted or compounded to convey the idea. The spirit of genuine benevolence, of disinterested kindness in secking the welfare of other men, was so little recognized among the Greeks, that the Apostles had no suitable word made ready to their hands, but were compelled to borrow one from the $\chi o \rho \eta \gamma$ ós, who defrayed the expenses incident on providing a chorus, suitably trained and equipped, to carry out the secnic representation of the Grecian drama. Although the Septuagint translation had done much to render Greek an appropriate vehicle for imparting Christian truth, and became "a viaduct between the two covenants," yet the Apostles found the language too narrow and shallow for the truths they had to declare. Of some words they enlarged and deepened the -signification; other words they boldly coined, but always in true analogy with the genius of the language which they served to enrich.

We may trace both in the Old and New Testaments the gradual growth of human language till it became fitted to communicate the things which were revealed, $\pi ⿰ \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \omega ิ \varsigma ~ к а i ~ \pi о \lambda \nu-~$ тро́т $\omega \varsigma$, in manifold portions and manifold methods. The Greek, notwithstanding its abundant copiousness in comparison with the Hebrew, required many subsidiary rills to form the broad channel of language, through which the final revelation of the Divine mind could be imparted to man and diffused through the world.

As an illustration of the Christian element, we may remark that the classical terms for virtue, $\dot{a} \rho \in \tau \bar{\eta}$, -for morality, $\ddot{\eta} \theta \eta$ (mores), seldom occur; the latter but once in a quotation, while in their place we have a rich cluster of qualitics and graces enumerated under the term карло̀s $\pi \nu \in \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau o s,-a n ~ i d e a ~ w h i c h ~$ never entered into the conception of Grecian sages.

Lest the Christian ministry should be confounded with the

Jewish priesthood, the writers of the New Testament altogether avoid the word iepeús as a designation of those who labour in the Word and doctrine. To mark the contrast between Christianity and heathenism they use $\theta \nu \sigma c a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \circ \nu$, трофф $\eta \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$, in preference to $\beta \omega \mu \dot{o}, \mu a \nu \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} \in \sigma \theta a l$. It is also remarkable that oкávסajov, which occurs fifteen times in the New Testament, and twenty times in LXX and Apocrypha, is scarcely ever found in profane writers; though Aristophanes, Acharn. 687, uses $\sigma \kappa a v \delta a ́ \lambda \eta \theta \rho o \nu$ in a metaphorical sense. The idea of putting a stumbling-block, or an occasion to fall in a brother's way, never entered into the mind of the heathen.

To the Christian element we attribute the use of the following





 in opposition to $\psi u \chi$ ккós, баркıкós,-the combination of катd


 $\chi^{\text {ápıctos roù } \Theta c o u ̄, ~ T o ~ t h e s e ~ w e ~ m a y ~ a d d ~ t h e ~ t e c h n i c a l ~ s e n s e s ~}$


 in our list of synonymm.

In this class we may enumerate the words which mark the contrast in which Christianity stands to heathenism and Judaism,
 poov.

## THE HEBREW ELEMENT.

It is unnecessary to give in detail an account of the unprofitable controversy which raged for a long period between the Purists and the Hebraists. If the illustration which we haye adduced from the local incidents which affect our own tongue in all parts of the world in our own day be deemed apposite and analogous, no reasonable man will expect to find Attic purity in the pages of the New Testament, though he will resist as altogether unnecersary and unfounded the inference that Hellen-
istic Greek is a heterogeneous confusion of several languages and dialects. He will not be surprised to meet with a few strange and anomalous forms of declension and conjugation; he will not stumble at the omission of the augment in some verbs, or at its irregular use in other verbs; he will not expect to find consistency or uniformity in orthography or orthoepy.

The position laid down by Blackwall (Sacred Classics, i. 153) will not be easily assailed. "The main substance and groundwork of the language of the Gospels and Epistles is incontestably the same with that of the older Grecians, excepting when the rites of the Jewish and new revelations of the Christian religion required new terms, or where the usage of Hebrew modes of speech, and allusions to oriental customs expressed the thing with more vigour, and were more intelligible to the people. Even in the Hebraisms and peculiarities of the New Testament, as good a regard has been paid to the general analogy and true propriety of grammar as in the writings which make up the standard of the Greek language."

With Michaelis we may assign the causes of these disputes to a want of sufficient knowledge of the Greek, the prejudices of pedantry and school orthodoxy, the injudicious custom of choosing the Greek Testament as the first book to be read by learners. This last cause has not perhaps exerted much influence during the last thirty years; but to these a further reason may be assigned which has had very unhappy influence in England, viz. that our most eminent scholars have deemed the study of the Greek Testament beneath their notice; so that the seven plays of Aschylus alone have received more attention from learned divines than the whole of the New Testament. Others again have simply noticed the oracles of truth in order to contrast the Christian element with the philosophy of Aristotle and Plato. Until a very recent period the peculiarities of Hellenistic Greek had been treated of by English scholars, who were certainly not well qualified for the task. These have suffered themselves to be led away by implicit deference to the authority of German grammarians and lexicographers, one of whom gives the following view of their labours: "Studio querendorum Hebraismorum nimis indulsisse, in interpretandis singulis verbis, imprimis præpositionibus, conjunctionibus, particulis leges Grece linguæ migrasse, significationes temere effinxisse, et subtilitatem grammaticam mire neglexisse." In
fact, whether we look to English or foreign theologians, we-may say with Hermann, "Tristissima profecto sors obtigit scriptoribus sacris . . . Diligenter caveant tirones, ne putent, viros Spiritu Sancto adflatos, sprevisse sermonem mortalium, sed meminerint potius, illam interpretandi rationem qua nonnulli theologorum utuntur, nihil esse nisi blasphemiam." Or we may apply to the interpretation of the New Testament the language which Dr. Pusey has employed of the Old: "The comparison of the cognate dialects opened for a time an unlimited licence of innovation. Fvery principle of interpretation, every rule of language was violated. The Bible was misinterpreted with a wild recklessness to which no other book was ever subjected. A subordinate meaning of mere half-understood Arabic words was always at hand to remove whatever any one misliked. Now the manifoldness of this reign of misrule has subsided. But interpretations as arbitrary as any which had perished still hold their away." (Intrqduction to the Minor Prophets, p. vii.)

One of the terms which is frequently considered Hebraistic is the occurrence of the phrase, 'to be called,' 'to be found,' instead of the verb substantive 'to be.' The first continually occurs in Greek, as may be seen by looking at caléco, in any Lexicon, or at our notes on L. 1. 32. The second phrase might be called a Gallicism or an Anglicism with as much propriety as a Hebraism. Meyer renders A. 8. зо, є́vét $\theta \eta$ eis "A $\zeta \omega \tau o \nu$, 'il se trouva.' We might well render M. 1. 18, eí $\rho \in ́ \theta \eta \eta$ é $\nu$ yaotpl éXovoa, 'found herself with child.' We may even say, without great impropriety, "How do you find yourself $P$ ' for 'How are you P'

The use of the word 'son' to signify relation in general, such as cause and effect, dependence of one thing upon another, likeness, is frequently considered a Hebraism. But many similar expressions are found in Classical Greek, as well as in all othor languages. The Red Indians employ perpetually the word 'son.' This genitive may well be referred to the genitive of quality denoting a permanent and abiding possession. (See numerous examples in Chapter IV.)

So too, the use of the word 'name,' denoting substance, personality, J. 1. 12; M. 28. 19. The word ölopa expresse日 the means of identification, and implies the knowledge of one in his real person and character.

It seems very doubtful whother the uses of the word 'know,'
in the sense of 'approve,' or 'hear,' in the sense of 'heed,' can be called Hebraistic.

The prefixes $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\zeta$ undoubtedly exercised a considerable influence on the diction of Hellenists; but these have been made responsible for every kind of construction which the annotator could not explain. The insertion or omission of the Article was doubtless very much affected by the use of the Hebrew ‥ There is, however, great justice in the remarks of Meyer, who confirms the view already quoted from Blackwall: "Ut autem Hebraismos permiscerent, non modo hwo causa fuit quia Hebræi erant, sed quia cum de iis rebus dissererent quæ Hebraicis literis erant traditz, necesse fuit multa retinere, ne doctrinam quampiam novam adferre viderentur. Et certe tam multos Hebraismos ab illis servatos fuisse minime miror, cum plerique sint ejusmodi ut nullo alio idiomate tam feliciter exprimi possint; imo interdum ne exprimi quidem; ut nisi illas formulas retinuissent, nova illis interdum vocabula et nova dicendi genera comminiscenda fuerint, que nemo plane intellexisset."

## spurious hebraisms.


 $\mu \varepsilon \nu$, A. 5. 28, have so many counterparts in classical authors, that they ought not to be considered Hebraistic becuuse they frequently occur in the Old Testament. Hdt. vii. 10. 1, тì







 as a double Hebraism. But in correspondence with $\mu$ еуá $\eta \nu$
 тинepiat. .


 Пépoŋs (Herodotus); homo gladiator (Cicero).

The use of the word $\xi$ juiov, for 'tree,' L. 23. 31, Rev. 2. 7; 22. 2. 14, has been commęnted upon. But we have in Xen.
 $\xi$ گ́дols.
 liarity of this passage consists in the union of the two metaphors, hunger and thirst, directed at the same time to one object. Each metaphor aingly occurs in many, perhaps in all languages. Shakspere, Henry IV., Part II., 'Dost thou so hunger for my empty chair $P^{\prime}$ Oicero, 'sitire honores ; aitire sanguinem.' Xen.

 It is the eame as Zeph. 1. 18 ; 3. 8, ,
 devouring flame, 'ignis edaxi,' is an expression which occurs in many languages. Hom. 1h. xxiii. 182, тoùs ä́ $\mu a$ бol đávtas $\pi \tilde{\rho} \rho$ doEiec. Virg. AEn. iv. 66, 'est mollis flamma medullas.'




 ápalwy aùtòs èктivet $\mu$ о入ள́v. Forcellini explains Plautus, 'ut senex hoc eodem poculo, quo ego bibi, biberet,' by 'ut eamdem sentiret calamitatem.'
$\kappa \circ \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a 6$ in reference to the sleep of death, M. 27. 52 ; J. 11. 11. 13 ; A. 7. 60, may be compared with Hom. Il. xi. 241,

äעáyk in the sẹnse of distress, calamity, L. 21. 23; 1 C. 7. 26, correaponds to piצD, Ps. 119. 143 ; तָָָ צ, Job 27. 9. But

 Tao. Annal. xi. 37, 'Supremis ejus necessitatibus ad miserationem evicta erat.'






## GENUINE HEBRAIBMS.

After we have deducted the instances in which the Hebraistic tone and colouring is at least doubtful, there will remain many phrases and idioms in which the Hebrew element must unquestionably be acknowledged. It is difficult to conceive how it could be otherwise. A work which is written by a foreigner who has learnt English will have a peculiar tinge derived from his native tongue, and from the literature with which he was conversant from his youth. This tinge will be more marked if his work is designed for the use of his own countrymen.

The frequent occurrence of the phrase кal évéveтo is doubtless owing to 'T!̣:- The use of aût $\eta$ in M. 21. 42, where we might
 the feminine $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in Ps. 118. 23.
Some peculiar forms of superlative, H. 9. 3, áyía árí




 1 S. 6. 12 ; M. 11. 25. éso Ps. 30. 13.

- Expressions for eternity and continuity, L. 1. 50, eis yeveàs

 $\tau \hat{̣}$ Ba入ák, the dative correspondis to 5 . A. 6. 5 , ท̆peaey $\dot{\text { o }}$

 plained under ei among the Particles, Chapter VIII.

Among Hebraisms there is reckoned the accumulation of synonyms which give force and variety to the sentiment, Mk. 12. 30 ; R. 2.4 ; E. 1. 21.

It will be observed from this summary that the pure Hebraisms are more of a lexical than of a grammatical character, the effect of early association rather than ignorance of more ordinary modes of construction. The manner in which different writers have followed one another in ascribing to this element every term and expression which they did not understand,
justifies the remark of Liucke that Hebraism has been their hidden helper in all need．

## THE ALEKANDRINB ELEMENT．

The diction of the New Testament has been called the Alex－ andrine dialect from its affinity to the Septuagint version，which was executed at Alexandria．This appellation is far from correct，as the inspired writers were not citizens of Alexandria， and it is wrong to assume that they adopted the Alexandrine dialect because they made some use of the Alexandrine version．

Attic Greek beare the same relation to the language spoken at Alexandria which the English spoken by the educated classes in Great Britain has to the speeoh of many foreign commercial cities where all the provinoial varieties in tone，acoent，and expression which England，Scotland，and Ireland can furnish， astonish and confuse the ear．While many of these provincial－ isms are confined to commercial intercourse，not a few will find their way into local literature as more intelligible and expressive to the parties immediately addressed．
After the subjugation of the Greeks by the Macedonians，and the extension of their conquests into Asia and Africa，the various dialects of ancient Greece were fused into io кoulì סuánecros，with an admixture of foreign words from Syrians， Persians，and Jews．Of this Macedonian tongue the dialect of Alexandria was a corrupt progeny engendered by the confluence of Greeks，Macedonianns，Africans，Carthaginians，Sicilians，and of strangers from the remoter regions of the East．We need not then be surprised that writers of Galilee should employ terms which were commonly received by their countrymen， without any nice discrimination of the source from which the words arose．
cilicisms．
Under the Alexandrine element we may argange the Ciliciams of St．Paul．
It is tecorded of Wschylus，himself a native of Attica，that the effects of his visit to the court of Hiero at Syracuse were seen in the Sicilian tinge which is discernible in his later plays． Though this visit occurred aftor he had roached maturity，and was in duration less than a year，his writings contain some фewal $\sum_{\text {icke入ıcal as the result．One of these，} \beta \text { pouvós，is adopted }}$
by St．Paul＇s associate and companion in travel，L．3． 6 ； 23. 30．As this was the case with Aschylus，we need not be sur－ prised that some Cilicisms are found in the Epistles of a native of Tarsus，and of a student in its flourishing school of philo－ sophy．
 Euripides and Sophocles in $\boldsymbol{\mu e ́ \rho a} \lambda v \pi \rho a ́, ~ \grave{\eta} \mu$ épal èmimoval，＂days of toil and sortow ；＂but not à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi t \nu \eta$ ．$\dot{\eta} \mu \in ́ \rho a$ ，＂the day in which man beara sway．＂
 human weakness．＂Plato uses the word in a nearly similar
 Thucydides，iii．40，has ámapreîv àv日pwrivws，＂human in－ firmity．＂
2 C．11．9，катаעаркầ tıvos，to be slothfuk towards，press heavily upon，hang as a dead weight．vapcáw，be torpid， torpere．
 of the palm；pass an unjust decision．Conc．Laod．，tò $\mu \grave{̀}$ тòv
 $\mu$ évou rov̂ עınŋjбavros．This however is hardly a Cilicism．Dem． Mid．544，इтрátova ímò Meiסiov катаßpaßev日évta，＂had an unfair decision against him．＂

## LATINISMS．

The departure of the sceptre from Judah by the reduction of Palestine into a Roman province，was followed by the adoption of Roman laws and customs，and by the use of Latin words and phrases，such especially as had reference to the imposition of tribute，commercial transactions，and military rule．

Such are dं $\sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \iota o \nu, ~ \kappa \eta ̂ \nu \sigma о \varsigma, ~ к е \nu т о \nu р i \omega \nu, ~ к о \lambda \omega \nu i a, ~ к о v \sigma т \omega \delta i a, ~$




The following words are indifferently styled Aramæisms， Syraisms，and Chaldaisms：＂A ${ }^{2} \beta a$ ，＇Aкє ${ }^{\prime} \delta a \mu a ́, ~ ' A p \mu a \gamma \epsilon \delta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$, B $\eta \theta \sigma a i ̈ \delta a ́, ~ K \eta \phi a ̂ s, ~ K o ́ p \beta a v, ~ " E \lambda \omega l ~ " E \lambda \omega \iota ~ \lambda a ́ \mu a ~ \sigma a \beta a ́ \chi \theta a \nu \ell, ~$
 Xepovßlи．

Some terms may be styled Rabbinical；for although the Talmud was of much later date，the Rabbis in the time of
our Lord, used language whioh was subsequently known by the designation Talmudical.

There are also a few Persian words: àryapєícıv, yá̧a, páyos, нapyapltทs, $\mu$ еүlotâves.

If then the object of the writers of the New Testament be kept in mind, we shall see that the fusion of the Greek styles effected in the коь̀̀ $\delta$ เá $\lambda$ eктоя, the language of the masses, was admirably adapted to be the vehicle of communicating divine truth to the world. Dr. Blackwall well argues (Sacred Classics, i. 38), "The old Greek writers have many foreign words, as well as the sacred classics. In the times when the most eminent Greels writers flourished, the Persian empire was of vast extent, and had a mighty influence upon all Greece; by their wars, commerce, and travels, many of their words became familiart in the Greoian language. So in the time of the Apostles and Evangelists, the Roman empire had extended its conquests over the greatest part of the world where.Greek was spoken, which led them to introduce some of the Roman words and phrases. These terms, put into Greek characters, were very well understood by the persons to whom they were addressed, and, upon several considerations, might be more pleasing and emphatical than the original words of the language. Shall it be allowed to Xenophon, Herodotus, and Thucydides, freely to use Persian, 死gyptian, and other oriental words $P$ and can it be an unpardonable fault for St. Matthew, St. Mark, St. Luke, St. Paul, upon occasion, to use Roman ?'"

If it be said that by acknowledging this fusion we surrender the whole question as to the classical propriety of Hellenistic Greek, we would point to the accuracy with which the inspired writers have used nouns, verbs, and tenses, according to their distinctive power (Chapters II., VI.), to the correctness with whioh they have employed the several particles (Chapter VIII.), and to the preeision with which they have preserved the characteristic differences between words apparently synonymous (Chapter X.), as proofs of their acquaintance with the more delicate usages of the language, at a time when Greek was sulject to many influences of deterioration and decay.

## CHAPTER II.

## ON THE FORMATION OF WORDS.

CLASSES OF SUBSTANTIVES.
Substantives are to a considerable extent derived from, or connected with verbs, and may be classed according to their several affixes. To each affix a particular meaning is attached.

The male agent or doer is represented by the affixes - $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} s$,
 orator ; Baaı入cús, king; $\mu \in \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s, ~ \beta \iota a \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$. The corresponding terminations for the female agent are -тe८pa, -трía, -тьs, -eia,


Other terminations for the agent are -ós, -oû : тpoфós, nurturer ( $\tau \rho \hat{\ell} \phi \omega$ ) ; tayós, marshaller ( $\tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ) ; то $\mu \pi$ ós, conductor
 - $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$, -óvos, $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \in \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$, leader.

Nouns ending in $-\sigma t a,-\sigma a,-\sigma t s$, generally denote the action

 Sóga, סórך $\sigma t$ s, seeming.

Nouns in - $\mu$ ós express the action of the verb proceeding from the subject; the action and its result: $\delta(\omega \boldsymbol{j} \mu \mathrm{o}$ s, pursuing, or being pursued ; ódup $\dot{o s}$, act of lamenting, or lament ; $\sigma \in \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$, shaking, earthquake; $\sigma \tau a 0 \mu o ́ s$, standing, station, halting-place; $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o ́ s$, binding, bond; ì $\omega \in \delta \tau \sigma \mu o ́ s$, reproaching and reproach; $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v e \sigma \mu$ ós, self-control, soundness of mind.
Nouns in $-\mu a,-\mu u \tau o s$, denote the thing done, or the passive object; the rosult or product of an act: $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma} \mu a$, thing done; $\sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \mu a$, thing sown; тоiŋ $\mu a$, product of composition; $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$, memorial; עó $\mu a$, that which is percoived; $\sigma \in ́ \beta a \sigma \mu a$, object of worship.
: Some nouns in - $\sigma$ Is denote an action and the result: סóocts,
giving，gift，as in English＇dose；＇тepstrol $\eta \sigma$ os，acquisition．The act of Redemption was an act of $\pi$ repuroinots，by which the Rodeemer acquired the redeemed as a possession to Himself． We may observe，aplats，the act or time of pronouncing sen－ tence；крî $\mu a$ ，the sentence pronounced；кฑjpukıs，preaching； $\kappa \dot{j} \rho \nu \gamma \mu a$ ，the matter preached ；$\beta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota s$ ，the act of eating，to be distinguished from $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ，the substance eaten，R．14． 15. 17．20；кaúXךбts，act of glorying；кaúX $\eta \mu a$ ，subject－matter，
 of the ḋтóкрtots， 2 O．1．9．$\pi \lambda$ ýpaua has two meanings：
 impletum est，or，id quo res impletur，1 O．10．26；Mk．8． 20.
 i．e．a temporal space filled up as it were by the flowing in of time；or passively，id quo tamporis spatium expletur．Hdt．
 So atiots may mean the act of creation，R．1．20，or the thing oreated， 2 O．5． 17 ；R．8．19．Either meaning may be adopted

 poutes，exhibiting in my person the putting to death of the Lord Jesus：Ja．1．25，द̀v тท̂̀ тotท́नet aùtov̂，in his doing，in his practical observance of the law．

Nouns in－os，－eos，denote the result or product of an action：
廿eî́os，falsehood．

Nouns of the first deolension in $-\mu \dot{\eta},-\eta \dot{\eta}$ ，denote the action of the root，actively；or passively：$\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta$ ，memory，that which remembers，үра $\mu \mu \gamma^{\prime}$ ，line which has been drawn，$\delta e \sigma \mu \eta$ ，bundle， т $\mu \mu \eta_{\text {，}}$ honour，price，àconj，hearing，report，$\chi$ apá，delight，$\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta^{\prime}$ ， process of teaohing，or the thing taught．ग melorovi may mean conviction，the state of being persuaded，or the art of persuading，persuadendi sollertiaj G．5．8；$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu$ ov ${ }^{\prime}$ ，fulness， satietas，the atate，and expletio，the act of filling，O．2．23．

Nouns in－os，ou，express the action of the verb，and some－ times the result：$\lambda$ óyos，speaking，discourse，$\sigma \pi$ ópos，sowing， seed，фópos，bringing in，revenue．

## SURSTANTIVES DERIVED FROM＂ADJECTIVESS．

These are abstract words，such as those which correspond to our terminations in－ness，－dom，－hood，and end in－ia，－ótys
（－ótøтоя），－$\sigma o ́ v \eta,-o s ~(-\epsilon o s): ~ \sigma o ф i a, ~ w i s d o m, ~ a ̀ \lambda \eta i \theta e l a, ~ t r u t h f u l-~$ ness，cưvola，kindness，סıкaloovivn，righteousness，$\sigma \omega \phi p o \sigma u ́ v \eta$, sound－mindedness，тá $\chi o \varsigma$, temporary swiftness，тaхuтทs，habitual speed．áyıcovvivך differs but little from áycóтทs（2 C．1． 12 ； H．12．10），except perhaps that it represents more the condition than the abstract quality；while áycaacoos points primarily to the process（ $1 \mathrm{Th} .4 .3,4 ; 1$ P．1．2），and thence，with the gradual approach of the termination in $-\mu \circ$ ，to that in－$\sigma \dot{\delta} \nu \eta$ ， which is so oharacteristic of the New Testament，the state， frame of mind，or holy disposition，in which the action of the verb is evinced and exemplified．（Ellicott．）

From nouns of agenoy we have other substantives in－Tipfoy， －тpoi，－eiov，－pa，which signify the place of action，the means or instrument with which the agent acts：roтipoov，cup， тe入んveiov，toll－house，ci $\delta \omega \lambda \in i o v$ ，seat of an idol，$\delta \in \sigma \mu \omega \tau \dot{\eta} p i o v$,
 exercise，éठ $\rho a$ ，seat，ка入úmт $\rho a$, veil，$\beta \lambda$ éфароу，eyelid，$\lambda u ́ \tau \rho o v$, ransom，i入actípıov，the place or means of propitiation，$\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́-$


Personal designations signifying locality end in－evs，－stทs （－єitıs，fem．），－$\omega \frac{1}{},-\nu o s$, or parentage，in $-\kappa \delta \eta \varsigma,-a \delta \eta \varsigma,-\omega \nu$ ，and




Diminutives end in－iov，－i i cov，－－$\sigma \kappa 0 \varsigma$ ，and belong to the class of gentile nouns，or patronymics．From tais we have

 are used without any diminutive meaning：Anpiov，a wild beast，$\beta_{九} \beta \lambda i o v, ~ a ~ b o o k, ~ \phi o p t i o v, ~ a ~ b u r d e n, ~ \pi e \delta i o v, ~ a ~ p l a i n . ~ S o ~$ in Latin＇puella＇is the only word for girl．

Appropriated places end in－ผ́v，－шvia．Thus＇E入acciv，olive－ yard，áy $\dot{\omega} \nu$, place of assembly，$\dot{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ ，vineyard，$\mu \nu \lambda \dot{c}^{\nu} \nu$, mill．

## CLASSES OF ADJECTIVES．

Adjectives derived from substantives end in－九os，－ıcós， denoting possession of，belonging to，as $\delta \eta \mu$ ó $\sigma$ os，тотá $\mu \iota o s$ ，
 peculiar，from $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o u \sigma i a$, supplies ；értov́cos，of the passing day． So dícalos，мátalosi，yєpalós，таданós，ápacós：סьסaктькós，apt to teach，akilled in teaching．

Adjectives denoting the material are formed in－cos and－ıvos： xpúreos，golden，$\chi$ di ${ }^{\text {кeeos，}}$ ，made of bronze or copper，áprúpeos，
 or true materials，бápucvos，of flesh，partaking of human nature， but $\sigma a p \kappa \ldots \kappa o ́ s$, swayed by fleshly lusts．óápicios indicates the nature of the person，баркскós the bent of his mind．áкро－ yavcaios，the stone of the principal corner，as in Him the －two wails from the Gentile and Jewish world meet and are united．＇Lapis angularis；omnia sustinens et in unam fidem Abrahæ colligens eos qui ex utroque Testamento apti sunt
 sufficient for the current day．Like ${ }^{\prime} \phi \phi^{\delta} \delta \omega o s$ ，lasting for the
 the whole year．Hom．Od．vii．118．（See Wratislaw＇s Notes and Dissertations．）

Formations in－vós，－九vós，denote time，place：caOךиepıvós，
 mountain，тaxıvós，with speed，$\theta$ eplvós，in the summer．
Adjectives which denote the full expression of the quality expressed in English by the affixes－ful，－able，are formed in －pós，－álıos，－eıs：oiccoós，full of oictos，pitiful，pitiable， $\lambda \nu \pi \eta \rho o ́ s$, sorrowful，$\theta a \rho \sigma a ́ \lambda \epsilon o s$, full of confidence，$\phi \theta o \nu \in \rho o ́ s, ~ f u l l$ of envy，$\chi$ apies，graceful．

## adjectives derived from verbs．

Adjectives in－$\sigma \iota \mu$ os or－九 $\mu$ s express suitableness or capa－

 nutritious．
 of the verb（transitively or intransitively）：фavós，фaecyós， shining，$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \rho \rho_{s}$, bright，$\lambda$ outós，remaining，$\sigma \tau u y v o ́ s, ~ o d i o u s, ~$ то日eıvós，longed for，$\delta e \iota \nu o ́ s, ~ f e a r f u l, ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda o ́ s, ~ c o w a r d l y, ~ a ̀ m a \tau \eta \lambda o ́ s, ~$ deceitful，deceiving．The terminations in－$\eta \lambda$ ós，$-\omega \lambda$ ós，denote habit，custom ：ópridos，soon angry，irascible．Aristotle（Ethics



Adjectives in－$\mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ make the action．of the verb the pro－ minent attribute of the person：aioj $\mu \omega \nu$ ，bashful，Eौej $\mu \omega \nu$ ， compassionate，$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ ，mindful，è $\pi \iota \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \mu \mu \nu \nu$ ，forgetful．

Gerundial adjectives are formed from the 2 aor．in－ros，
－teos．These，like the Latin gerundials in－ndus，and the supines in－tum，－tu，have the same meaning as the active infinitive，conveying the idea of capability or adaptation． Sometimes verbals in－ros express the result of the capability or adaptation，both actively and passively ：aiperós，an eligible man，a man adapted for choice，and one actually chosen； $\mu е \mu \pi т o ́ s$, capable of blaming and deserving blame；ántє $\rho a \sigma \tau o ́ s$, incapable of tempting and of being tempted，Ja．1．13； тареlбактоs，insidiously present，G．2．4，either introducing themselves，or introduced by others；$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ́ s$, one who is capable of being known；ádácpıros，without making distinc－ tion；àvutóкpıros，without false assumption，unhypocritical； ả入á入 $\eta$ ros，inexpressible．With these we may compare Latin adjectives in－bilis，and for the use of the same word in an active and passive sense，we may compare＇unfeignedly＇for －unfeigningly．＇

The termination－Téos expresses the infinitive with the idea of necessity or duty：$\dot{a} \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \in \mathfrak{e} a$ ool $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$, virtue
 for you to cultivate virtue；Mk．2．22，L．5．38，olvò ע＇́oע eis


VERBS DERIVED FROM NOUNS．
Verbs which imply to be or to have that which the noun
 I have daring（ $\tau \dot{o} \lambda \mu \eta$ ），$\phi \iota \lambda \in ́ \omega$, I am a friend（ $\left.\phi \lambda_{0}\right)^{\circ}$ ），кoıpavé $\omega$ ， I am a ruler（кoípavos），фovcúd，I am a murderer（фoveús），

 Greek（ ${ }^{" E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu), ~ ' I o v \delta a i \zeta \omega . ~ I n ~ P h . ~ 2 . ~ 30, ~ т а р а ß о \lambda є \nu \sigma a ́ \mu e \nu o s ~}$ （Lashmann），from тapáßoえos，making venturesome； 1 C．13．4，

 eival．

Words in－ev́w are joined to the dative when they express the being in some state，or in the possession of some quality，
 when they express some action implied in，or consequent
 M．28． 19.

Causative verbs，expressing that we carry out the act which
is proper to the noun，are formed in－ó $\omega$ ，－i $\ddagger \omega_{1}-a ́ \zeta \omega$ ，－$v i v \omega$ ，
 тьनóo，make тгनtós，firm，sure，to be relied on，LXX， 1 Ki ．

 I make rich，but $\pi \lambda o u \tau \epsilon \in, ~ I ~ a m ~ r i c h ~(~(\pi \lambda о 仑 ̂ \tau o s), ~ a i \chi \mu a \lambda \omega \tau i \zeta \omega, ~$

 tend as shepherd（ $\pi 0<\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ）．
Frequentatives，Inchoatives，and Desideratives，are formed from other verbs：$\sigma \tau e \nu a ́ \zeta \omega$ ，groan frequently（ $\sigma \tau e ́ \nu \omega)$ ，форeiv， wear，gestare（фépeuv，gerere），oтpwфầ，whirl over，voluto
 tipey（ $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \omega$ ），ye入aбeí $\omega$ ，desire to laugh（ $\gamma \in \lambda$ á $\omega$ ），то $\lambda \in \mu \eta \sigma \in i \omega$ ， desire to be at war（ $\left.\pi 0 \lambda_{e \mu}{ }^{\prime} \omega\right)$ ，$\delta \rho a \sigma e l \omega$ ，desire to do（ $\left.\delta \rho a ́ \omega\right)$ ．

## parathetio compounds．

Compound words are divided into two classes，parathetic and synthetic．In the parathetic class the several parts of the word which are found side by side in the compound word may exist distinct from each other：mapá $\theta \in \sigma \iota s$ ，juxta－position．In the synthetic class the inflexions of the earlier part of the combina－ tion are modified so as to appear in a dependent，inseparable， and constant state．

In parathetic compounds we have separable juxta－positions in which one or both members are adverbs，as oúкétc，oúdeic， oúdenia，oủסév，oưrts，as a proper name for Mr．Nobody；ev̉ár－


The most common of the uninflected words which stand at the beginning of uninflected and separable compounds are the eighteen ordinary prepositions．This facility of combination distinguishes the ordinary prepositions from other word， which are set before the cases of nouns，such as adverbs and quasi－prepositions．In many of these combinations a new and single meaning has superseded those of the preposition and verb taken by themselves．Thus from qurvéoкeci we have
 भ $\boldsymbol{\gamma \nu \omega \dot { \sigma } \sigma \kappa \omega}$ ，I change my mind，I repent；$\sigma u \gamma \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，I pardon．From ákoúo is formed rapakoúv，hear aside，hear amiss，refuse to hear．

In some cases the construction follows the adopted meaning：
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi i \sigma \tau a \mu a l$, I stand out of，takes an accusative in the sense of
 reverence．
Two or more prepositions may be found in the same com－

 primary force of thoroughness，completeness，intensifying ； таратрıßal，collisions，hence hostilities，enmities．In ávtato－ Sioóvat，render back a due（1 Th．3．9； 2 Th．1．6；R．12．19）， the $\dot{a} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{i}$ marks the idea of return，the ámó hints at the debt incurred． 2 Tim．4．16，$\sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho$ ééveтo，stood forward with me as a＇patronus＇to plead in my defence，or as an＇advocatus，＇ to support by his counsel．Demosth．ovرitaparєvó $\mu \in \nu o s$ aủt $\hat{\omega}$ бокєцаگоре́ンч．

## SYNTHETIC COMPOUNDS．

In the New Testament there are many compounds which are properly synthetic，or，as they are frequently termed， organic，though the parts which form the combination are so obvious that they may be reckoned as juxta－positions．Such

 $\phi \in i \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$. Here we may mention à àлотрıеєтíкотоя， 1 P．


 2．б，סıкаьо入оуіа，סıкаьотрауlа，סıкаьоуоміа：бıторе́трьоу，L．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi^{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \omega \mu a$ ：$\pi \rho \omega \tau$ т́токоя，C．1．15，born before all the crea－ tion；for the Word was the instrument of creation；in C．1．18， raised before all from the dead．This use is analogous to $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ ór $\lambda$ ovs，spoken of the Argo（Eur．Andr．865）going to sea before all other ships；$\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \pi$ ópos，going before the rest of the army ；$\pi \rho \omega \tau$ óṕṕotos，flowing first．（Donaldson．）

In synthetic compounds the former part is an ordinary noun （substantive or adjective），a verb or verbal noun，an uninflected word or particle．Instances of ordinary nouns in addition to many already given are ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \delta o v \lambda \epsilon i a$ ，i $\sigma$ árye $\lambda o s$ ；verbs or verbal nouns，$\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \lambda 0 \lambda_{\rho \eta \sigma \kappa \in i a . ~}^{\text {．}}$

Separable adverbs which form compounds are ắyav，ã $\mu a$ ，ă $\rho \tau \iota$, $\epsilon \dot{v}, \pi a ́ \lambda a \imath, \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu, \pi a ̂ \nu, \pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}, ~ \tau \eta ̂ \lambda \epsilon . \quad \ddot{a} \mu a$ usually means con－
 $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，at the same time，together with them．Sometimes ä $\mu a$
 $a_{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \chi \rho е \kappa \dot{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．（See Chapter VIII．）
In the New Testament compounds with eṽ frequently occur：

 $\nu \eta \pi o s ;$ with $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}, \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \in \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} s$.
The numeral adjectives appear in $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v ̂ s$, double ；$\delta \iota \pi \lambda \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, twofold，more than ；8iatopos，double－mouthed，two－edged；$\tau \rho i$ ßo八os，three－pointed；т $\rho / \mu \eta \nu 0 \varsigma$ ，of three months；rerpád $\omega \nu 0 \varsigma$ ， four－cornered；тeтрádıov，company of four men；тeтрá $\mu \eta \nu o s$, four months；тeтрáтоиs，тeтрá $\rho \chi \eta{ }^{2}$ ．
Inseparable prefixes are $\dot{j \mu \iota}$ ，half：$\eta \mu c \theta a \nu \eta$＇s，half dead；${ }_{\eta} \mu \nu-$ ©́poov，half an hour ； $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu \iota \sigma} \varepsilon$ ，half．$\delta v \sigma$－，implying difficulty， adversity，like the English prefixes，dis－，mis－，un－，ill－：$\delta v \sigma \beta a ́ \sigma-$ тактоs，unbearable；סúбкодоs，hard to please；סúव $\mu$ рофоs，mis－ shapen；$\delta v \sigma \tau u \chi$ ฑ̀s，unlucky ；\＆úaopyos，ill－natured．
$\dot{a}$ is used in three senses，collective，intensive，negative．As a collective or copulative prefix，$\dot{a}$ is part of á $\mu a$ ：áкó入ou $\begin{gathered}\text { os，}\end{gathered}$ sharer of the same way；áde入 фós，sprung from the same womb． As an intensive $\dot{a}$ is part of $\dot{a} \nu \dot{a}$ in the sense of remoteness，dis－ tance，extent：àтevฑ＇s，intense，exceedingly stretched ；áтeví $\omega$, gaze earnostly ；$\dot{a} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \chi^{\ell} \varsigma$ ，very eagerly．As a negative it has the forms of $\dot{a} \nu \dot{a}, \dot{a} \nu-$ ，in the sense of privation：${ }_{a} \phi p \omega \nu$ ，without mind ；ăvouos，without law，lawless ；ávi $\lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，merciless；ávé $\gamma$－
 fierce；à $\tau a ́ \tau \omega \rho, \dot{a} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ ，äß

The latter part of the compound is frequently of verbal origin，
 transitive or intransitive sense is sometimes determined by the accent ：$\lambda_{l} \theta_{0} \beta_{0} \lambda^{\prime} o s$, throwing stones（actively）；$\lambda_{1} \theta_{o ́} \beta_{o} \lambda_{o s,}$ ，pelted with stones（passively）；xecpoypáфos，．writing with the hand； $\chi \in \oplus \rho^{\prime}$ paфos，written with the hand．

Oompounds with épyá̧opal are accented on the ultimate when they signify a bodily or material action：$\lambda_{t} \theta_{o v p}$ ós，worker in stone；yєшpyós，husbandman；á $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o v p y o ́ s, ~ v i n e d r e s s e r ; ~ b u t ~$ receive the accent on the antepenultimate or have the penulti－ mate circumflexed when they denote a moral action，or an operation and habit of the mind：ravoûpyos，unscrupulous

worker of good in a moral sense，but áyaAocpyós might be used of an active worker．

Attention to the affix will frequently decide the meaning of the passage．It has been remarked（p．17）that nouns in－$\mu \mathrm{o}$ s， $-\mu 0 \hat{v}$ ，express the action and its result．Apply this to Ph．2．6，
 to be grasped at，no appropriation of what was not his own，to be on an equality with God．Here diptarرós is virtually equi－
 The transition is very easy from the actus rapiendi to the res rapienda，from＇the act of seizing＇to＇the object worth seizing．＇
 foolishness of the subject－matter of the Gospel message．H． 10.
 т $̀ \nu$ eícóva $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\rho a y \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，having a shadowing forth of evan－ gelical blessing，but no designed representation of the facts， the historical transactions on which Christianity rests．Ja． 1.

 faculty of giving which is in its nature good，and every gift imparted which is in its nature complete．＂
There is no marked difference between the use of these affixes and compounds in the New Tiestament from their general usage in classical Greek．This alone ought to have exempted the inspired writers from the slurs which have been cast upon them．There is the tendency of the Hellenists to give graphic expression by strengthening the verb with prepositions，so as to describe the mode of action with greater clearness．Com－ pound adverbs and prepositions frequently occur，but not so freely as in Byzantine authors．

## CHAPTER III.

## SYNTAX, OR CONSTRUOTION.

NOUNS BY THEMBRLVES, AND IN COMBINATION WITH OTHER NOMINAL FORMS.

Construction, or Syntax, gives the rules for expressing and connecting sentences. Every perfect sentence contains one or more propositions. A proposition consists of three parts,
 Solon is judge, Fód $\omega \nu$ is the subject, the person or thing spoken of, $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ is the predicate, or that which is said of the subject, $\dot{\text { e } \sigma \tau i}$ is the copula or substantive verb which connects the subject with the predicate.

## THE ARTICLE.

The article is not an essential part of speech. There is no trace of it in Latin; in-Syriac and Chaldee it can hardly be said to exist; with this exception, the parts of speech are essentially the same in all languages.

The use of a part of speech not absolutely essential cannot be expected to be fixed by the certain laws which govern the use of other parts of speech. With this agrees the fact that no two languages agree in thoir mode of employing the article. Though it is a kind of indispensable constituent in Hebrew, Greek, English, French, German, Italian, no two languages are bound by the same rules.

We may look upon the article as a kind of universal pronoun, a pronoun of reference. Though it occurs occasionally in the New Testament as a demonstrative, yet its power became softoned down, so as simply to express specification or emphasis. Hence $\dot{\delta}, \eta$, tó was used as a prepositive article corresponding
more nearly to the German der, die, das, than to the English the, or the French $l e, l a$.

The insertion or omission of the article would be directed by the taste and judgment of the writer or speaker, as well as by the genius of the language he used. That which the writer supposes, imagines, or intends to be definite and distinct, he speaks of as if it were really so. His subjective views are to him objective. The views of the writer, however, are not equally clear to the reader, or can, at the best, be imperfectly apprehended. The reason, then, for the insertion or omission of the article will not be evident, unless we can look at the matter from the same point of view as that in which the writer regarded it. Moreover the writers of the New Testament were affected to some extent by the vernacular use of the Hebrew article in, which could hardly fail to impart a shade of colouring to their employment of the article in Greek: e. g., II. 11. 31,


The leading use of the article to express definiteness or distinctness will be evident from the fact that it is omitted with


 Ocoû are used without any definite article to designate the Holy Scriptures of God, as being sufficiently definite in themselves, and having the distinctness of a proper name. Wordsworth.
peculiar omissions of the article.
The article is omitted where no specification is designed, though we naturally insert the definite article in English: M.
 ßре́ $\chi \in \iota$ èmi סıкaıov̀s кal ádıкoús, i. e. upon the class evil, and class good, without specifying individuals of the same class, or opposing one to the other: M. 9. 13, ov ràp j̀入Oov кa入é $\sigma a \iota$
 фòv cis $\theta$ ávatov кal тarŋ̀p tekvov, i. e., one holding the relationship of brother, father, without specifying the individual: 1 T.






 a law doer, but if thou be a law transgressor, \&c. The absence
 indicative of the character of the person which would else have been restricted to the observance of the Jewish law in particular. It is as if עó $\mu о \nu \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\nu o ́ \mu o v ~ \pi а р а ß a ́ т \eta s ~ w e r e ~ s e v e r a l l y, ~$
 compound word. So in the use of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta, \dot{a} \kappa \rho о \beta \nu \sigma \tau i a$, the absence of the article indicates that the meaning is not the whole class of Jews and Gentiles severally, but persons having the characteristio of the one and of the other. (Vaughan.)

Hence the article is often omitted with reference to our Lord: M. 4. 6, ci vids al tov̂ $\mathrm{Oeovi}_{\text {, }}^{\text {, if }}$ thou hast the relation- of Son to
 relation of son. It is often inserted in Greek where we omit
 Tîy the speakers specified those who were present on the particular occasion. Moreover, by the single insertion we shall see that the tencuai and a $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ol were virtually regarded by
 bishop, a bishop; this is called the generic use.

## HOMRRIC UBE OF THE ARTICLE.

Before wé bring forward at length the Hellenistic use of the article, we will briefly illustrate the Homeric: Il. i. 11, 12,
 $d \pi i \nu \eta a_{\varsigma}$ ' $A \chi a \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, because Atreides treated disrespectfully the well-known Chryses, acting as priest, for he came to the swift ships of the Achæans. Here rò X Xúaŋy is often rendered, that famfous, that venerable man, Chryses; but the true reason is that the writer spoke of him according to his subjective view; he knew no other Chryses, and assumed that the mind of his readers would go with him. In the next instance ( $\dot{\delta}$ yd $\rho \bar{j} \lambda \theta c$ ) the article is clearly used as a personal pronoun to obviate the necessity of again mentioning Chryses, as in
 he spake in prayer ; but him Phoebus Apollo heard.

The article expressed also the relative and the demonstrative:
 which we took from the sacked cities, that has been divided.

With this use of $\tau a ́$, compare the A. V., 'Take that thine is,' 'commanded him to be sold and all that he had.'
Again we have its use as a relative in 71, 72: кaì $\nu \eta \eta^{\prime} e \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$
 $\Phi_{0 i ̋}^{\beta o s}$ ' $A \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, and acted .as guide to the ships of the Achæans bound to Ilium, owing to his skill in divination, which Phœebus Apollo gave him.
In other passages the demonstrative force of the article is
 $\mu e i \hat{\zeta}$ ov, but if any time distribution comes, you have the gift far more distinguished, 165.

## 

There is an apparent vagueness and uncertainty in the use of the article with words like $\Theta \in$ és, $\pi \nu \in \hat{\mu} \mu a$, кúplos, X but the following hints are worthy of attention (Q. R. No. 225, p. 115). Eeós occurs without the article (1), where the Deity is contrasted with what is human, or with the universe as distinct from its Creator, or with the nature and acts of evil spirits; (2), when the essential attributes of Deity are spoken of ; (3), when operations proceeding from God are appropriated to one of the three Divine Persons; (4), when the Deity is spoken of as heathens would speak, or a Jew who denied the existence of the Son and of the Holy Spirit. But the article seems to be used (1), when the Deity is spoken of in the Christian point of view, as the one true God, opposed to the gods of Heathenism; (2), when the First Person of the blessed Trinity is specially designated, unless its insertion is unnecessary by the addition of $\pi a t \eta \rho$, or some distinctive epithet. $\Pi_{\nu \in \cup ̄ \mu a, ~ w i t h o u t ~ t h e ~ a r t i c l e, ~ d e n o t e s ~ t h e ~ H o l y ~ S p i r i t ~}^{\text {, }}$ as inspiring human nature, and blended with it; with the article, it denotes the Holy Spirit as distinct from the nature of man. The article is found with Kúpos, when our Lord is spoken of under attributes and relations peculiar to the Second Person of the Trinity; but the article is omitted when these attributes or relations are those of the one Godhead. Xpıotós, 'anointed,' gradually took the meaning of the Anointed One, and then became a personal appellative. When our blessed Lord is spoken of in His more divine and imperial relations, the article is employed; when in His human personal relations to man, it is omitted. (Quarterly Review, Jan., 1863.)

## THE ARTICRE AB A DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUN.

The article in the singular is used in the words cited from
 "Ayap, for the word Hagar.

In distinctions and distributions, M. 13. 23, ó $\mu$ è̀ èкađóv,
 antithesis to all implied in mpoбexúv $\begin{aligned} & \sigma a \nu . ~ A . ~ 14 . ~ 4, ~ o i ~ \\ & \mu \\ & \nu\end{aligned}$





In the narrative style, $\dot{\phi} \delta \dot{e}$ marks transition to a person already mentioned, though $\delta \mu$ éy does not precede: L. 7. 40,

the article as a possessive.
The article often becomes equivalent to a possessive pronoun. This use forms an intermediate step between its strict use as a demonstrative and its general prepositive use. Thus nouns which are in themselves indefinite become definite, as standing in some certain relation to the definite person or thing there
 $\dot{\text { á }} \mu v \nu \dot{\rho} \mu$ evol, defending yourselves, not by your power, but by your threatening aspect: id $\pi a \iota \delta i o \nu \beta_{0} \hat{q}_{4}$ the baby is crying.







 $\kappa a \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \varphi \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \kappa \kappa \phi a \lambda \hat{p}$, with her head uncovered: R. 14. 13, тो $\mu \eta े$

 the third living creature had its face as a human being:
 Phil. 3. 19, $\dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\circ} \theta \in e ̀ s \dot{\eta}$ coitla, the god they acknowledge is
 priesthood not transferable, his priesthood which he holds
passes on to no other. átapáßatov is the tertiary predicate, as,
 honourable to me is the reproach you cast. J. 5. 36, éy $8 \dot{e}$
 I have had borne to me is greater than the testimony given by John. Isocr. тò $\sigma \hat{\omega \mu a}$ Oעךтòv тávтes ê $\chi o \mu \varepsilon \nu$.

With this we may compare the use of the definite article in English: "who have not bowed the knee to Baal," R. 11. 4 : "the heart was affected in his case," De Quincy.

## THE ARTICLE AS A PREPOSITIVE.

The prepositive article is used to distinguish the subject from the predicate. This use may be traced back to an apposition of the name of the person or thing, with the article as the pronoun of reference: J. 1. 1, $\Theta$ eòs $\bar{\eta} \boldsymbol{y}$ ó $\lambda$ óyos: J. 4. 24,








In convertible or reciprocating propositions the predicate has the article as well as the subject: M. 6. 22, ó $\lambda$ úx vos tov




 Ecouv.

The predicate has the article, but the subject is anarthrous when the subject is a proper name, a personal or demonstrative



 man, i. e. mankind, the genus man as opposed to an individual. When there was no reason to mark specification or emphasis the






## ANTIOIPATIVE OE HYPOTHETIC USE

The article is inserted where the existence of the person or object expressed by the noun is fairly assumed: M. $5.25,{ }_{l} \boldsymbol{l} \sigma \theta_{\iota}$

 $\beta \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \eta$. The individual addressed in $\ell \sigma \theta \iota$ is assumed to have an àvilícos who brings the cause before a particular крıtris, while the крит before $\phi u \lambda a k{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}_{\text {, }}$ as no single or definite mode of punishment entered into the mind of the speaker. E. 6. 12, oúк éroiv $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \pi a ́ \lambda \eta$ : the contest assumed by the exhortation, (11) èvסv́raa $\theta e$

 the $\delta \delta \mu a$ has the retrospective article, $\kappa a \rho \pi o ́ \nu$ the anticipative.
 is a threefold gradation (1) as existing but not appropriated; (2) as appropriated through faith in Christ; (3) as perfected by full communion in His blessedness and glory.

## RETROSPECTIVE USE.

The article is inserted in the renewed mention of a person or thing, or when it recalls to the mind some familiar object: M.

 (20). M. 2. 11, è $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ óvtes eis tì̀ oixlay, the house referred to in (9),
 the boat of which our Lord had ordered (Mk. 3. 9), "עa $\pi \lambda o c^{\prime}-$
 $\dot{\text { un}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ éry, the parchment or roll mentioned in (17), the attendant who was in readiness to receive it: L. 9. 16, тò̀s $\pi$ тévte ăprous


 L. 1. 2, ímๆрétal yevópevol тov̂ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v: ~ G . ~ 4 . ~ 6, ~ " A \beta \beta a ̂ ~ o ́ ~ \pi a т \grave{p ~}$ was a customary formula of Christian prayer. At a very early period the Aramaic title, Mk. 14. 36; R. 8. 15, was united to the Greek synonym in reverent and affectionate remembrance of Him who had taught us and enabled us truly to call God our

Father. Among the Jews a freedman by addressing any one by the title Abba, might prepare the way for being adopted by him.

## RHETORICAL DSE.

The article is inserted when the object is so well known that the mere mention may be regarded as a repeated reference: $M$.
 épónevos, the common expression for the Messiah, Heb. 10. 37 :
 weeping naturally associated with the outward darkness: M.



 Ja. 2. 25, ímoסegajév roùs áyré ${ }^{2}$ ous, 'the spies who came to Jericho.' Sometimes the rhetorical use serves to mark contempt
 heathen man, who may be a good man in his way, but as the heathen in his heathenism." Wordsworth. With this compare 2 Chr. 28. 22, 'this is that king Ahaz.'

Under the rhetorical use may be placed monadic nouns, indicating objects of which only one exists, or can be spoken of: M. 4. 5, тò mтєрúyoov, 'the apex of Solomon's porch :' M. 5. 15 ,
 moтipoov, 'the cup used at the Paschal feast.' So of the period known as the period of the day,pweek, year: M. 20. 2, és $\delta \eta$ va-
 tov̂ eviaurov̂. Here the article is used in a distributive sense, where we employ an indefinite article, as 'so muoh $a$ month.'

In many of these cases where the article is not required in English, we can account for its insertion in Greek by putting ourselves in the position of the writer or speaker. His subjective views are to him objective. The article limits what might have been a general predicate to some particular object or period present or presumed to be present to the thoughts of the speaker and hearer. 'Multa qua nos indefinite cogitata pronuntiamus, definite proferre soliti sunt Greci, ejus de quo sermo esset notitiam animo informatam presumentes.' (Sintenis, quoted by Winer, §18.) Ph. 3. 2, $\beta \lambda$ étrete toùs cúvas, $\beta \lambda$ émete toìs какоі̀s 'épyátas, 'the dogs, the evil workers,' whom the
 oovtat: we may account for the insertion of the article by considering that in the mind of the Apostle the errors of the future would be only exaggerations and expansions of those which
 ro $\kappa \rho$ áros: the article limits the blessing, the honour, the glory, the might, to those to whom it is here ascribed, as belonging to them exolusively. In dorologies, with the exception of $L .2$. 14; 19. 38, Sóka regularly takes the article when used alone; K. 2. 30 ; 16. 27. E. 3. 21. Ph. 4. 20. 2 T. 4. 18. H. 13. 21. 2 P. 8. 18. When it in joined with one or mare substantives it appeare sometimen with the artiole, 1 P. 4. It. Rev. 1.6; 7. 12 ; sometimes without it, R. 2. 10. 1 T. 1. 47. Jude 25. In some cases $\delta \delta^{\prime} \xi a$ may take the artiole as an abstract noun, but it is preferable to consider it as used rhetorically. (Ellicott on $\mathbf{G}$. 1. 6.)

## GBNERIC USE.

The article from its hypothetio use is applied to distinguish all the individuals, members, or objects, belonging to a particular class, species, or genus. This is the case in English: "The poet's eye in a fine frenzy rolling." ó áyaAòs ronít $\eta \mathrm{s}$, any one answering to this description; rd̀ むффѐıца aipetá. 1 T, 3, 2,

 12. $35, \delta$ ajyaÒs du $\begin{gathered}\text { peamos, 'every man of whom we assume that }\end{gathered}$ he is good:' Ja. 2, s, tovis mrwaous, 'those assumed to be poor:' M. 12. 29, rov̂ loxupoû, 'any strong man:' $\mathrm{L}_{4} 18.27$, тà ádívara. 'the thinge assumed to be impossible with men:' J. 4. 23, oi ä $\lambda_{\eta} \theta_{t} \nu \mathrm{l}$ т тробкขथทтah.

It is important to bear in mind that the noun substantive is annexed to the article by means of the participle of existence understood. $\delta d y \eta^{\prime} \rho$ signifies the male being assumed to be a man; $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda$ víccos, the person assumed to be rich. Sometimes the partioiple of existence is inserted, Arist. Eth. Nio. iv. 2, oi
 when it is expressed by a participle, contains an assumption within itself: M. 4. 3, $\delta$ т $\varepsilon \iota \rho a ́ \zeta \omega \nu: 13.3$, $\dot{\sigma}$ नreip $\omega \nu$, the agent assumed to be the tempter, the sower: 1 Th. 5. 7, oi ydे cateviSovtes, for sleepers: 10.9.13, oi тà iepà épya̧óцеvol.

From the generic use the article may be omittod in English

 orojov, 'the credentials of (one who is) an Apostle:' G. 3. 20, $\dot{\delta}$

 ' ye however count without honour any one who is poor,' 'the poor man,' or 'a poor man:' R. 2. 13, oi àkpoarai yónov, oi тоитral עо́ $\mu о \nu$, the article denotes a class; $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о a t a l-\nu o ́ \mu о \nu$, тoוךтal-vó $\mu$ ov, farm virtually one word, and the translation 'hearers of the law,' 'doers of the law,' is correct.

As the predicate when it is expressed by a participle always
 respectively the class assumed to be saved, lost, and may be rendered 'the saved, the perishing:' L. 13. 23, Kúple, ei ò̉írou







Bishop Ellicott remark on these present pariticiples, "How simply yet how instructively they place before us the two classes, each under its aspects of progress and development, each capable of reversed attitudes and directions, but each at the time of consideration wending its way, the one silently moving onward to light and life, the other turning its sed steps to darkness and to death! The mere tense is in itself a sermon and a protest, a sormon of blended warning, consolation, and hope to those who will pause to meditate on its significance; a protest against those who tell us that the existence of two classes of men animated by two opposing principles is contrary to the teaching of experience." (Aids to Faith.)

## the article with attributives.

When two or more attributives are assumed of the same person or thing, or where several subjects are viewed as belonging to the same class, the article is inserted before the first attributive, and omitted before the attributives which follow.

In English, the Secretary and Treasurer means one person, the Secretary and the Treasurer mean two persons. In speakD 2
ing of horses, the black and white means the piebald, but the black and the white mean two different horses.
 ëкрацоу. The insertion of the article before áкодоuӨойvтes indicates that the party which followed was distinct from that which preceded. A. 13. 16, "Avסןes 'Iбраך入ítal caì oi $\phi \circ \beta o u ́-$ $\mu e v o c ~ t o ̀ \nu ~ Ө e o ́ v . ~ T h e ~ i n s e r t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ a r t i c l e ~ b e f o r e ~ ф о \beta o v ́ \mu e v o t ~$ indicates that the proselytes formed a distinct party from the
 äroopí̧ovtas èv тథ̣́ iepp̂. The buyers and sellers are regarded as one class of traders, hence the article is prefixed only to the first attributive.

In the following instances one person or object only is meant:


 'Atodत $\omega \nu$ lav, Amphipolis and Apollonia were regarded by the writer as one district: Mk. 15. 1, oi áp $\chi \iota e \rho e i ̄ s ~ \mu e \tau d ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi p \in \sigma \beta \nu-~$ $\tau \ell \rho \omega \nu$ каl rра $\mu \mu a t \in \omega \nu$, the elders and scribes are regarded as forming a class by themselves, distinct from the chief priests:
 as much one as the other, regarding them as the joint per-
 Einav kai Trıó $\theta$ cov, Paul at Athens regarded Silas and Timothy as one, and sent a message to one as much as the other, but Silas and Timothy acted independently in staying at Thessalonica. This is marked in 14 by the double insertion of the

 a kind of double duty; it serves to turn àvtıкeípevos into a substantive, and also indicates that the two participles refer to the same individual. (Ellicott.) 1 Tim .4 . 3, toîs rıбтoîs cal
 latter term being explanatory of the former. Tit. 2. 13, $\pi$ poor-

 receive the blessed hope and manifestation of the glory:' tis $\delta o ́ \xi \eta s$ depends on $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \delta a$ as well as on èrıфávecav. The two substantives are closely united, and under the vinculum of a common article. Thero is a twofold ėrıфáveca, the first tîs


The latter clause must be translated, 'of our great God and Saviour,' but more from exegetical considerations than from the position of the single article, as émıфávєla is a term specially and peculiarly applied to the Son, but never to the Father.
nouns in regimen.
When the noun has a genitive case depending upon it, the general practice is, that the article is inserted with the noun that is governed, ais well as with the noun that governs: M.
 Sometimes the article is repeated after the governing noun for the sake of significancy and emphasis: M. 26. 28 , тò aimá


There are, however, so many deviations, that we cannot lay down a positive rule, or point out any deoided principle on which the usage rests, except by referring it to the subjective views of the writer. In H. 9. 13 we have to aima tav́pov кaì трáy $\omega \nu$, where alma has the distinctive article referring to a well-known fact, but taúp $\omega \nu$ and т $\rho a ́ y \omega \nu$ denote these animals generally. In the mind of the writer the phrase may have formed but one idea; or it may have been his object to lay a stress on the aI $\mu a$, not on the animals.

## the article with the defining claude,

- The article is generally omitted in the defining clause, as the words form but one idea, and is only inserted when the object of the writer is to give that clause prominence and emphasis.
In Attic Greek the article is rarely omitted in the defining clause, except after verbal substantives, or where the structural connexion of the clause is palpably close with what precedes.

Where contrast is intended the article is inserted; 1 T. 3. 13,
 insertion of the article, two shades of thought are expressed; the latter of which explains and expands the former. "In fide eáque in Christo Jesu collocatâ." míarıs, the foundation, the








Where no contrast is intended the article is omitted : 2 Th.



There is no contrast between $\sigma a ́ p k a$ and $\pi \nu \in \dot{\jmath} \mu a$ (or àva-




The use or the omission of the article before a participle will frequently depend on the subjective impression of the writer. Some indeed have attributed to it a derisive import, which is virtually contained in its rhetorical use: "Articulus irrisioni



The article is omitted when the primitive verb has already been construed with a particular preposition, or when the adjunct clause is implied in the particular noun: E. 3. 4,




 $i \pi \epsilon ̇ p$ Kalaapos. In all these the attributive, together with the substantive, denotes but one leading idea.

## THE ARTICLE WIMHOUT THE NOUN.

The artiole is the pronoun of reference. Where the article by itself is sufficient to denote the reference the noun is omitted. "These omissions fall into two classes: (1) when a substantive just named would be repented in the same sentence; (2) when the substantive is some general term which is implied in the words accompanying the article." Donaldson, §\$ 399.
The following words are very generally taken for granted:

 Oùplov (yvvaîkos): M. 4. 31, 'Iáкळßò тò̀ тồ $Z_{\epsilon} \beta \in \delta a i o v ~(v i o ̛ v): ~$
 то仑̂ Kגavaà ( $\gamma v v \dot{\prime}$ ).
(2) General terms referring to location, possessions, employ-
 part of the inhabited world, or of the world subject to the Roman




Dr. Donaldson remarks, the omission of трâyma or трáyната is regular whenever we wish to express as generally as possible all that belongs to or proceeds from the person or thing signified by the accompanying word: $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \in \omega \hat{\nu}$, all that proceeds from, or belongs to the gods: rd rîs nódews; the state and all belonging thereto: тd rồ mo入é $\mu \circ v_{\text {, war and }}$ its

 to liberty: rdे rov̂ $\nu \eta \pi i o u$, childish things: $\tau \dot{d} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta i u \eta s$, the
 we may edify one another : тò тepí $\tau \iota$, тò cacá $\tau \iota$, the particular ciroumstance: rò rpós th relation: td mapá tıvos, whatever proceeds from a person, information, commands, presents, and the like.
(3) The word à $\nu$ 0 $\rho \omega \pi$ os is constantly omitted in expressing association, connexion with others in time and place: Mk. 3. 21, oi $\pi \rho^{\circ}$ au̇rov̂, his kindred, the members of his household:


 Philip and his supporters.
(4) Obvious nouns, i. e., such as express the words or works, the sentiments or condition of a person, are inferred from the structure of the sentence or the gender of the article: M. 6. 34,









 коута тара̀̀ $\mu i a \nu$ ë̀aßov.

## thi article in formation of the subject．

Prodicable words or sentences may be turned into subjects by prefixing the article．




 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i o v$ for $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p l a s:$ the converse to which is $\dot{\eta}$ emutupla， 2 C．2．6，for тò èmutluцоу．
It is desirable in a literal translation to preserve the dis－ tinction between a participle with，and a participle without the article．Hence we should render 2 T．1．10，кaтapyウ＇бautos $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ tò̀ Өávarov，having made of none effect：M．5．22，тâs ó

 at the time of prayer：Xen．Anab．i．2．25，oi $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ é ${ }^{2} a \sigma a \nu$

 oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota r q \mu \nu \partial \rho^{\mu} \varepsilon \nu o l$ ，those who are getting themselves circum－
 submitting to be circumcised；not $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \in \rho \iota \tau \mu \eta \theta \in \varepsilon \tau \tau \iota$ ，or $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu$ évq．It was not the circumcised as such who beoame in a strict sense ó ó६i入єтal ö̀ but they who submitted to the rite with this objert，

Infinitives，R．7．18，тò ө́̀̀ен тара́кєєтаl $\mu \circ$ ：G．4．18，

 of deafness．


 theocratio＇kingdom；梠ă $\omega$＇Iepovбa $\lambda \eta$＇$\mu$ ，the typical representa－ tion of Christianity and the Messianio kingdom．Of．＇the out－ patient，＇＇the then mayor，＇＇my sometime daughter＇（K．Lear）．
Cases of nouns，L．20．25，тà Kaíaapos，тà тov̂ $\Theta_{\text {eov̂ }}$ ：M． 21.

A conditional clause，Mk．9．23，tò ei Súvaбaı $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota:$

 $\mu e i \zeta \omega \nu$ av่т $\omega \nu$ ；

## the article after verbs of existence．

When the article is inserted after a simple verb of existence， the real predicate of the sentence is the identity of the subject with another object；but if the word or combination of words after the verb of existence is without the article，the attribute or circumstances signified by that word are predicated of the subject．

Identity is expressed in convertible or reciprocating pro－

 generate sin？If the article had been inserted，the question would have been，are law and sin abstractedly the same $P \quad R$ ． 7．13，тò oùv áyaOò̀ énol rérove Gávatos；did then that which
 xápls，grace loses its property of grace；grace ceases to be
 oi סe $\theta$ eptotal ăypedoi ciour．The article is omitted before ouvté $\overline{\text { ela }}$ ，as more than one event is signified，of each of which the harvest may be symbolical；＇the reapers are angels，＇not

 is of a fleshly，is of a spiritual character．The insertion of the article has a tendency to divert the attention from the inherent meaning of the word．If in J．1．1，$\Theta$ còs ìv ó $\lambda$ óyos， the article had been prefixed to $\theta$ eós，the sense would have been that the Word was identical with the entire essence of the sole Deity；but by the omission of the article，all that is involved in the notion of $\theta$ eós is predicated of the Word， viz．，the proper nature and attributes of Deity．M．16．16，
 víòs тồ $\Theta$ єoṽ occur in Mk．3． 11 ；L．4．41；22．70；J．1．34， $50 ; 1$ J．4． $15 ; 5.5$ ，and the point involved is the identity of the person spoken of with the Christ，i．e．，the Messiah．In other passages，where viós is without the article，the point involved is the intrinsic meaning of the expression viós rov $\Theta_{\text {cov．}}$ In M．4．3．6，the challenge is not，＇if thou art the Messiah，＇but＇if thou claimest relationship of Son to God，＇＇if thou hast extraordinary power in virtue of that divine gene－
ration．＇The enemies of our Lord oharged Him with blasphemy， and taunted Him on the oross with the use of the expression viòs roî Oeoû eipl．The charge brought against Him was not that He assumed to be the Messiah，but that He professed

 quvórevon the divinities made by hands have not the character of gods．The predicate is generally without the article，LXXX，

with varbs of calling，appointing．
The article is omitted after verbs of calling，appointing，in order to fix attention on the peculiar inherent meaning of the

 Kpaviov．

It is found，howover，after such verbs in the mense of affixing the name：Xen．Cymopa iii．3．1，dvana



The idiom of the Greek language requires the article with many words where it is not required or admitted in English． Thus the article is used with indicative pronouns， $8 \delta$ e，oivos， iceûmos，and oven with correlatives，rocoùtos，tocoûtos：L．2．35，


 тaidiar，one of children who are such； 2 O．12．23；J．4．3， Trivoe civy wodul，this city here，pointing to one in view．

So with possessive adjectives，especially when they are used
 for the purpose of calling me to mind：R．11，31，T仑̂ i i

 d̀a入úgeas．
 modifications of meaning：R．5．15，oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o l$ ，the many，the －mass of mankind： 1 C．10．17，oi mol入ol is opposed to ets，and means though many： 2 C．2．17，is oi ro of the teachers at that time．R．12． 5 ，oi то入入oi $\mathbb{e} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{d}$ \＆$\sigma \mu \in \nu$

one body，individually we are related to each other as the members of one body．Vaughan．M．5．39；10． 23 ；12．13， т $̀ \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu a \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ，the other： 1 O．14．29，oi a $\lambda \lambda \lambda 0$ ，the rest，cceteri， J．20． 25 ；21． $8:$ Rev．17．10，$\dot{\dot{o}}{ }^{\text {äl }} \lambda \lambda \alpha o s$ ，the remaining one of seven：M．4．21，ä入入ous $\delta u u_{o}$ ả $\delta e \lambda \phi u u_{s}$.
The radical signification of $\pi$ âs is all：when it is used of one object its meaning is the vohole，entive，all the，in an intensive sense；of several objects its meaning is every，in an extensive sense，like ëкабтоs．

Intensive sense，the whole，M．21．10，râaa if $\pi$ ó̀cs：Mk．4．1，
 та̂́aav $\mu$ aкро日vulav，the fulness of his long－suffering．Some－ times the intensive and extensive senses are found in different clauses of the same sentence：Phil，1．3，eixגapıotê $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$
 thanks to my God on the ground of my whole remembrance of you，at all times，in every request．

The extensive sense，every kind，species，variety：M．3．10，
 7，wẫa фú $u s$ ，all varieties of natural disposition：M．4．23，
 all manner of concupiscence，A．V．every kind of irregular

 $\theta \omega \sigma a v$ ，of honour in every form and case in which it is due to
 exhibition of long－suffering，and every mode of teaching：Tit． 2．15，цeтdे тáoŋs drutariss，with every exhibition of authority：
 тáons ámoঠo ${ }^{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ，every kind of acceptation．

When the article is inserted $\pi \hat{a} s$ stands before the article and noun，but when $\pi \bar{a} \bar{s}$ is emphatic it stands between the article and substantive：A．20．18，тò̀ đávra xpóvov：G．5．14； 1 T． 1. 16．In the plural，A．19． 7 ；27．37．The adjeetive without the article expresses not an intrinsic quality belonging to the noun， but a circumstance or condition predicated of it．The adjective is thus a kind of indirect predicate：E．2．21，$\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ oiko $\delta \rho \mu \eta^{\prime}$, the building in every part．So in Latin，＂non omnis moriar．＂ This may be remarked more closely in the use of önos：M． 4.


quently $\lambda_{\text {os }}$ without the artiole may be rendered adverbially: J. 7. $23,8 \lambda_{0 \nu} \alpha_{\nu} \theta_{\rho \omega 0 \pi r o v, ~ a ~ m a n ~ i n ~ e v e r y ~ p a r t, ~ o r ~ e n t i r e l y ~: ~ J . ~}^{9}$.

 some interpret rà návia of creation generally. But in R. 11.
 difference between toùs távtas and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi d \alpha \tau a$ is about the same as between 'all men' and 'all mankind.' The use of the neuter is natural and suitable when the object is to express a sentiment in general terms: O. 1. 16, тd $\pi$ rávra, the universe.
äтravres is stronger than тávres. "átavres universos nemine excepto designat; тávess sæpe tantum plerosque." Valckner.

The use of autos may be compared with the Latin 'is,' and its derivatives 'ipse,' 'idem.' $\delta$ viôs aùrov̂ is equivalent to 'filius ejus :' d dum̀ aúrós, 'vir ipse,' the man himself: í aùròs ávinp, 'vir idem,' the same man.

- í aútós, the same, is followed by a dative of the person: 1 C .

 $\lambda e i \sigma \theta a l$. See the Dative of Coincidence.
aúrós is never used as a pronoun in the nominative case, but merely in concord with the subject of the verb, meaning 'alone,' 'of one's own accord,' 'he, and no other:' M. 1 21, aùròs gàp

 said. Of. the Pythagorean term aùròs ế中a. L. 6. 42, aùtòs





aúròs is used to give emphasis to the action or state signified by the verb, especially where a series of actions or circumstanees is recorded respecting a certain subject: L. 16. $23,24, \delta \rho \bar{q} \tau \delta \nu$
 17. 16; 24. 31, кal aứol: L. 2. 50 ; 17. 13; 18. 34; 24. 35. With this we may compare the use of cai oviros in L. 20. 28, éáv
 Here no greater stress can be laid on the person in the second clause than in the first; the introduction of ouros calls attention
to the circumstance as expressing the condition on the occurrence of which the injunction rested. Where a succession of facts is stated, rising one above the other in importance, cal aujòs



In classical Greek aùròs is used in the oblique cases when there is no occasion for the express mention of the subject represented by it. But in the New Testament the oblique cases are used so frequently as to amount to actual redundancy. This probably arose from the familiarity of the writers with the system of prenominal affixes in Hebrew. To this we may also attribute the repetition of $\sigma 0 v$ in M. 6. 6 , of $\mu 0 \nu$ in L. 12. 18 :




In other cases aỉròs occurs more frequently than perspi-




In some instances where aưtos has preceded, a further description of the person meant is given to add vivacity to the


autol in the plural is used as a collective to express the in. habitants of a place or district, the persons present on a particular occasion, or more remotely those embraced in the




 i. e. the people He was teaching: 1 P. 3. 14, тò $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \phi_{o ́ \beta o v}$ aùtồ $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi 0 \beta \eta \theta \ddot{\eta} r e$, the fear which might be impressed on them by




## PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

The older writers used the personal pronouns, déć, $\sigma$ v́, without any particular emphasis. But these expressions for the subject do not occur in the New Testament, except as in Attic

Greek，for the purpose of emphasis，antithesis，or contrast．









 кal ounc diycl пupoûmat；In the second clause the excitement of feeling is marked by the insertion of expé．
Sometimes the personal pronoun is repeated：R．7．21，
 то̀ како̀̀ тара́кестан．
The pronoun of the Second Person is expressed when there is a pointed manner in the address：J．9．35，б亢 пıбтevers eis rò





 бте́ঠסо

The reflexive pronoun eavrov̂ is used in a reciprocal sense with nouns of the First and Second Person：R．8．23，jueîs aútol $\ell \nu$ éautoîs $\sigma$ revá̧ouev：R．13．9，dyanvícels tò̀ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lov бov ís éautóv： 1 O．6．19，oủk dotè èautஸ̂̀： 2 O．1．9，aủroì
 $\lambda$ f́youtes $\pi$ pòs éautoús（i．e．ád $\lambda$ ク̀ $\lambda o u s)$ ．So in provincial German， ＇wir wollon sich wasohen，statt，wir wollen uns waschen．＇

The indicative or demonstrative pronouns，$\delta \delta \delta_{\text {，}}$ ovitos， $\boldsymbol{1} \kappa \in i v o s$, are equivalent to the Latin＇hic，＇＇iste，＇＂ille，＂as distinguishing the three positions，where I am，where you are，whore he is．Thus öde is used for the first，and oitos for the second personal pronoun ：
 oúros $\sigma u ́$, you there；тi тoûro $\lambda$ ह́yecs；what is that which you say？ The most emphatic pronoun for the third person is éceivos： Thuoyd．iv．28，oùk ěфŋ aủtòs à $\lambda \lambda$＇．dкeîvov orparŋүєîv，Cleon said that not he himself，but the other（Nicias）was general．
éceives denotes special distiaction either of credit or disoredit：
 Mıдárov крivaytos éreeivou àmo入úev，when that unrighteous judge；where eiceivov is emphatio as opposed to imeîs（14）． In 2 Tim．2．26，aútòs and èкềvos are by some referred to the
 ekelvov $\theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta \mu \mu$ ，being taken captive by him to do his will； where autoû is inserted，as èceivos is unfit for mere reflective use，and conveys the idea of the subject with emphasis；but exeivou brings out emphatically the danger and degradation of those persons who had just been taken captive at the pleasure of éceivos，their mortal foe．Some explain the passage，＇being rescued by the servant of the Lord to do the will of God．＇ Others render the passage，＇having been taken captive by the devil，they may recover themselves put of his snare to do the will of God．＇

In the distinction of different persons，oúros generally means the latter，i．e．，the nearer，and éreîvos，the former，i．e．，the more
 écievos，the latter，namely，the publican，rather than the former， the Pharisee．

Oiros does not always refer to the substantive last mentioned，
 oiko $\delta$ о $م$ ovivtov．Here oùtos is appropriate because of $\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$

 épŋnos．Here aüry must refer to ódós，as Gaza was at that time a flourishing city．

In a continued narrative ö $\delta e$ generally refers to the particulara about to be mentioned，but ourtos to what has been already told． So we have repeatedly in Herodotus ráde，the following，raûra， the preceding：Rev．2．1，тáסe $\lambda$ éyec ó кратஸ̄, к．т．$\lambda$ ，，says the

 cai oi трофฑ̄тal кре́ $\mu a \nu \tau a \ell$ ，on these two commandments just enumerated．The same distinction applies to toloûtos and totós $\delta e$ ．The opposition between oũtos and ö $\delta \mathrm{e}$ is sometimes found in the same sentence，Plato，Phcedr．p．76，e，ei $\mu \grave{\eta}$ тaûtá évтıд oúdè táסe．In consequence of this reference of oúros to what has preceded we find caì taûta used adverbially，＇and this too，＇to introduce a further and stronger consideration．Thus
we may explain R. 18. 11, cal toûto, and this do ye, i. e. practise this obedience founded on love eiठóres tò $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ кalfóv, recognizing the proper season for action: 2 P. 1. 5, aủtò toûto $\delta$ é, but for this very reason. $\delta \delta$ has an adversative force; the false teachers may abuse God's grace as a plea and occasion for sin, but ( $\delta \dot{e}$ ) do you regard it as a reasgn and encouragement for holiness. Such is the use of каi тои̂то, каì таиิта, in 1 C. 6. 6, $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda d$



 каl таи̂тa veveкрळرêvov.

This distinction between ouros and $8 \delta e$ is not marked in the New Teatament. In the following instances ouvos refers to the



 roüto is prospective. ö $\delta$ e has a stronger demonstrative power than ovitos, as if pointing to the object in sight: Ja. 4. 13, тopev$\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \in \theta a$ єis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta e \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$, to this city here, which we see before us: L. 16. 25, vûv $\delta \underset{\text { è ö }}{\text { ofe }} \pi$ тарака入єîtal, this one as you see. Sometimes ofe refers to one previously mentioned: L. 10.


## INTERROGATIVE.

The interrogative $\tau l^{\prime}$ is used in direct and indirect questions:
 25, Tiva $\mu$ e úrovoeitre eivar; Sometimes it is used as equivalent

 instances some render tus by 'aliquis,' without any interrogation. In L. 19. 18 , we have a double question, iva qup̂ tis ti $\delta \iota e \pi \rho a \gamma-$ رarev́cato, 'who had gained and what he had gained.' So Mk.
 248, тiv" äpa $\tau l_{s}{ }^{\wedge} \lambda a \chi e \nu$, who has gained the first choice by lot, and whom he has chosen. Demosth. de Corona, 73, ànò toútw


With $a \nu$ followed by the optative, $r l$ increases the idea of



In some cases tıs is used for öctıs: M. 15. 32, ovik è éXovat tí


Occasionally ti's and ös are interchanged: M. 26. 60, è ecîpe, é $\phi^{\prime} \dot{\psi} \pi a ́ \rho \in \iota$; But this may be a short and hasty inquiry, 'Comrade, the business for which you are come:' Mk. 4. 24, $\beta \lambda$ étece



Tis is sometimes used for tórepos, which of the two, as quis





Sometimes ris is used in conjunction with lya, ut quid: M.

 fear lest it occur?
The indefinite tus is used to denote importance, as aliquis,


 $\lambda$ 'ércu, some one-of great dignity and authority: J. 11. 49, els
 tis may also be attached to any words which we wish to use in a vague or general sense: Ja. 1. 18, á $\pi a \rho \chi \eta{ }^{\prime}$ tts, a kind of first-fruits: H. 10. 27, фоßepá tıs éк $\delta o \chi \eta \eta_{n}$, terribilis quædam expectatio. So we have фoßєpóv tı $\theta^{\prime}$ éaua: étritrovós tıs $\beta$ ios. Thus tas is used after abstract nouns to soften their import:
 $\dot{a} \pi \varepsilon i v a b$, he considered that these were absent by some want of self-restraint, by injustice, or negligence.
ó Seiva is used when we refer to some person whose name we do not know, or do not wish to mention: M. 26. 18, ímáyete $\epsilon i \mathcal{S}$


## CHAPTER IV．

## CONNEXION OF THE SUBJEOT WITH THE PRE－ DIOATE AND COPULA．

THE THREE CONCORDS．
We have seen that a proposition consists of three parts：（1）an object of which something is declared－subject ；（2）a property attributed to the object－predicate；（3）a word which connects the subject and predicate－copula．

The subject is designated by a noun or nominal equivalent， and stands in the nominative，as it is named directly and inde－ pendently．The predicate is designated by an adjective or adjectival equivalent as the indication of a property．The simple copula is designated by some verb of existence（elva，


As the subject is the most important part of a proposition， the two other parts must agree with it in external form；hence the copula stands in the same number with the subject；the pre－ dicate in the same number and case，and where an adjective is employed，in the same gender．

There are three kinds of grammatical concord：（1）between the subjeot and its verb；（2）between the substantive and its adjective；（3）between the antecedent and its relative．

## FIRST CONCORD．

A verb agrees with its subject in number and person：éy
 men come．

When the subject is a neuter plural the verb is generally
 $\mu a \tau a ́$ è $\sigma \tau t \quad \chi a \lambda \in \pi a ́$, ，the things are difficult．

The neuter plural is，strictly speaking，an objective case：$\tau \mathbf{d}$
$\zeta \omega a$ т $\tau \ell \chi \in \iota$ ，as to the animals there is running．Another reason for this usage seems to have been a notion that life or mind gives to objects an individual existence，whereas a number of inanimate things may be regarded as a single mass．Hence a plural verb is used when living persons are meant，tà té $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 of inanimate things is particularly marked，фavepa $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a \nu$＂$\chi \chi \nu$



Sometimes both usages are combined：J．10．27，td̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \beta a \tau a$
 collective unity of rd $\pi \rho o ́ \beta a \tau a$ is denoted by the singular verb $\dot{a}$ áoúet，but the individuality of the several members is expressed
 general account of the whole transaction：é $\pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ oủc cla aúrà
 are viewed in detail，one by one． 1 T．5．25，rà кa入d éppa $\pi$ т $\rho^{\prime}-$ $\delta \eta \lambda a ́$ モ̇ढть каì тà ă入入 are manifest before all，and those which are not openly manifest cannot be kept concealed，i．e．they come to light one by one．

 mastery over his desires；and then they（individuals among them）lay the blame on love．
Two or more subjects require the verb to be in the plural：A．



Frequently the verb agrees with the nearest subject：L．2．43，


When the subject is a noun of multitude the verb may be in


The noun in its collective sense has a singular verb，but when its component parts are separately regarded the verb will be in

 éà $\nu \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ è $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ loctec．The same usage occurs in English and in Hebrew，＇my people are destroyed for lack of knowledge，＇ are not is．The word＇people＇speaks of them as a whole；are
relates to the individuals of whom that whole is composed. Together the words express the destruction of the whole, one and all. (Dr. Pusey on Hosea 4. 6.)
The substantive is used in the singular in a collective or

 lective ideas the copula and predicate frequently stand in the





The plural is frequently used though one only is meant:





The subject of a verb is often omitted when some customary or familiar action is expressed, also when it is some unknown or imaginary agent, and the action alone is regarded : 1 C. 15. 52, $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \in \ell$ (sc. í $\left.\sigma a \lambda \pi \tau \gamma \kappa \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}\right)$ ). Thus $\dot{\text { o }}$ Oeós may be supplied
 H. 7. 17: ä $\nu$ O $\rho \omega \pi$ rot may be supplied as the subject in M. 1. 23 ,





 2; Rev. 11. 9.

The simple copula is omitted when the connexion between the subject and predicate is obvious: L. 1. 45, $\mu$ акарia $\boldsymbol{\eta}$


 каlцò̀s $\beta \lambda \eta \tau$ éo ${ }^{2}$.

Sometimes the imperative is omitted: R. 12. 9, $\dot{\eta}$ äyánך



## BECOND OONCORD.

Adjectives, pronouns, and participles, agree with their substantives in gender, number, and case: रрךбтòs à $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ colvòv áyaOóv, a good man is a public benefit.

To this general rule there are many exceptions, which fall under the head of rational concord, catà oúvecuv, the concord being regulated by the sense rather than by the grammatical






Hence a collective noun in the singular is joined to an adjectival attributive in the plural, and sometimes in a different






Sometimes the word to which the adjectival attributive refers is suggested by the nature of the context: A. $8,5, \Phi i \lambda \imath \pi \pi r o s$


 Here aư่oîs refers to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ taîs $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma a i ̂ s ~ a u ̛ T \omega ิ \nu, ~ v . ~ 17, ~ a n d ~ i s ~$ opposed to toîs ĕ $\theta \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, meaning roîs 'Iovסalocs or $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 入ậ.

 the second aưtoîs refers to roîs upooфépovaıv, as in Mk. 10. 13.
 which oi какс́боутes would inspire, v. 13 : L. 23. 51, oütos oüк





 ever he speaks falsehood, he speaks out of his own nature, inasmuch as he is a liar, and the father of lying, i. e., tov̂ $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ y ~ r o ̀ ~ \psi \epsilon e ̂ ̃ \delta o s . ~$

When the substantives are of different genders，and inani－ mate objects are signified，the neuter plural is generally used， but with animated beings the masculine gender is preferred：

 eortu．

We may remark here，that in 2 Tim．2．19，the adjective is
 Өeov̂ đбтทкєy，nevertheless the firm foundation of God is placed．

## THIRD CONCORD．

The relative agrees with its antecedent in gender，number，
 etrolnaa．

The case of the relative，which is naturally determined by the words in its own clanse，generally takes the case of the antecedent．This is called the genitive or dative of attrac－






The antecedent is generally omitted when it is a demonstra－ tive pronoun，and the relative takes its case：Mk．15．12，il

 eis

 ̇̀えá入 $\eta \sigma a \nu$.

8s is often used with an explanatory or slightly causal force：
 is that all men should be saved．$\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ is the ultimate，the
 rally and directly to the former，i．e．$\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a u$ ．

CONCORD BETWEEN THE RELATIVE AND ANTECEDENT．
The substantive is often put in the same clause and case as





When another noun is added by way of explanation the relative may agroe either with the antecedent or the subsequent noun，especially with verbs of existence，calling，and the like：





 owt $\quad$ plas．
ofotis is often used to express the reason，quippe qui，and is thus more expressive than ös： 1 P．2．11，àmé $\chi e \sigma \theta \in \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$
 cause they are warring：R．1．25，oiltuves $\mu \in \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda a \xi \alpha \nu \nu$ т $\nu$ $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\lambda} \theta_{\epsilon} \dot{\sim}$ the true idea of God，resting in falsehood：R．6．2，oítues

 $\dot{\text { étépous }} \delta i \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\xi}$ al，to faithful men of such a stamp as shall be able，\＆cc．See R．1．32；2．16；1 T．1．4；6．9．ö ötes in fact is often applied to an object as coming under some class to denote its genus or essence．Jelf，$\S 816$ ．4．Hence $\delta$ ö $\sigma \tau \iota$ is used indefinitely，where the antecedent is indefinite from the way the subject is presented，C．2． 23 ；Ph．1． 28 ；G． 4.24 （äđ८va）， or in its own nature as involving some general notion ；classifi－ cally，where the subject is represented as one of a class or category， 1 C．3．17；G．2． 4 ；explicatively，E．1．23；G．4． 26 ； differentially，where it denotes an attribute which essentially belongs to the nature of the antecedent，G． 4.24 （ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \tau \varsigma$ ）．

As the particle of relation $\underset{\sim}{\text { Nu }}$ is indeclinable，the Hebrews introduced a pronominal affix in the relative clause，which the LXX represent by the oblique cases of aútós to mark the gender，case，and number．Of this redundancy we have the following instances：Mk．7．25，ท̄s єl义є тò $\theta$ vyátpıov aútท̂s


Occasionally the relative combines this usage with rational


 12. 14, ठ̈то⿱ тре́фетаи èкей каıро́v.

## APPOSITIOM.

Nouns which belong to the same regimen, and are used to explain or describe another, are placed side by side in the same








Apposition is sometimes expressed by means of $\omega \sigma \pi e \rho$,




Sometimes the noun which in ordinary apposition would stand first is put in the genitive, i. e., the genitive is identical with the governing noun; this is called the genitive of appo-





 ä $\lambda \eta \theta_{\text {elas }}$ тov evaryye $\lambda$ lou, the second genitive is appositive, or of

 efficiens) in the bond which is peace, genitive of identical idea: E. 6. 16, 17, गlorews, $\sigma \omega$ rךplov, are appositional genitives with



The subject of a verb is in the nominative case: maîdes Siठáokovral, boys are taught.
A noun in the predicate is in the same case as the subject when the verb requires a noun to complete.its meaning:
 the Argives.

Verbs which require a noun to complete their meaning are
 'called,' калоv̂$\mu a t$, ג̇кov́ш: 'to be chosen' or 'elected,' aipov̂$\mu \mathrm{as}$ : 'to seem' or ' be thought,' фаivoцаи, ейка.

## adjectives used as substantives.

In addition to the cases in which the article is inserted without a noun, there are several adjectives which are used regularly as substantives, such as $\phi / \lambda_{0}{ }^{\circ}$, é $\chi$ Opós, $\mu \hat{\omega} \rho o s$, áyaOós, како́s.

In many languages the article with an adjective is used for a substantive, 'the sublime and beautiful.' The Greeks have tò






This usage is largely employed in the New Testament: R. 1.


 in opposition to roùs $\sigma 0 \phi$ oús: 2 Th. 2. 6, тò кaтध́ $\chi$ оע: H. 7. 18,

 $\mu a \tau \iota \kappa d$ т $\hat{S}$ s тоunplas, the spirituality of wickedness, spiritual powers, bands, hosts, confraternities whose essence is to work wickedness (compare tà $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho \iota \kappa a ́$, robber hordes): tà aủroû, one's own affairs: $\tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, the dispensations of providence. tó with a neuter adjective is sometimes adverbial, as тò $\lambda o u r o ́ \nu$, toùvantiov. With a neuter in -cкóv it is a personal collective,


## comparative, superlative adjectives.

The standard of comparison is represented by the genitive in the sense 'in relation to,' 'in regard to,' or by the conjunction $\eta{ }^{\eta}$, signifying 'as,' ' in the manner or degree in which.'

Sometimes the comparison is tacit rather than express; a single subject is mentioned, but reference is tacitly made to objocts and circumstances passing through the mind. Thus
we have the comparative in form though not in sense in Il. i. 382, oi \$e vu 入aol $\theta$ ví $\sigma \kappa 0 \nu$ émaббúrcpoc, the soldiers then kept dying one after another.

We may thus explain M. 18. 1, where the comparative is said to be used for the superlative, $\tau$ l's ăpa $\mu \mathrm{c}$ (̧ $\omega \nu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$; 'who then is greater than others $P$ ' Also $\delta$ никро́тepos, M. 11. 11, he who is less than many; he who holds a subordinate office.
Under this tacit comparison we may quote J. 13. 27, 8 moceis moinoov táxov, what thou art doing, get done more quickly
 кauvótepov, newa more fresh than the latest: 18. -26, áкрь $\beta$ é $\sigma-$

 fully knowest better than thou choosest to admit, or better than

 love which I have towards you is far greater than you imagine
 more than at the simple coming of Titus: 1 C .13 . 13 , $\mu \mathrm{e} / \zeta^{\prime} \omega \nu$
 spiritual rank, as it presupposes and comprehends faith and love.

## COMPARATIVE ADJECTIVES.

Frequently the comparison refers to the suppressed feelings
 тepl $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ídov̂, 'although he had more accurate knowledge of Christianity than to require the information.' This use of the comparative is very convenient as' suggestive of something understood which it might be uncourteous to express, as in $\mathbf{A}$. 25. 10. Other instances are 2 Tim. 1. 17, otrovסaió $\mu e$, Onesimus sought out Paul with the greater diligence when

 тáxıov, sooner than I anticipate, sooner than these instructions
 return more quickly than I expect.
The comparative is frequently expressed by $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu: 2$ T. 3. 4,


When the comparative contrasts two subjects, the one which
marks the contrast or serves as the standard of comparison is subjoined in the genitive, or is put in the same case as the other subject after $\eta$. (See the Genitive of Relation.) J. 4. 12,





## COMPARATIVE ADNECTIVES.

When the substantive is the same on both sides of the comparison, its repetition in the genitive is frequently omitted:
 honour of the house: 1 O. 1. 25, тò $\mu \omega \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ тov̂ Өeov̂ бофผ́тєроע
 $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega{ }^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu \dot{d} \sigma \tau l$, wiser than the wisdom of men, stronger than the strength of men; so obviously in M. 5. 20 ; J. 5. 36.
For other modes of expressing comparison, see on $\pi a \rho a ́, ~ u ́ \pi e ́ \rho . ~$.
Sometimes the comparative adjective is exaggerated by the




The adverb in the comparative is similarly used: 1 T. 5.9,
 15. 11, ïva $\mu a ̄ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ B a j ̣ \rho a ß a ̂ ̀ ~ a ̀ m o \lambda u ́ \sigma \eta ~ a u ̛ r o i ̂ s ~(\hat{\eta}$ тòv 'I ${ }^{\prime} \sigma 0$ ồ $)$.

## superlatives.

We have some unusual forms of the superlative: L. 1. 42,
 $\pi \rho \hat{\tau o c . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ c o n s i d e r e d ~ a ~ H e b r a i s m, ~ L X X, ~ C a n t . ~ 1 . ~ 8, ~ \kappa a \lambda \grave{~}}$


 $\beta a \sigma i \lambda e ́ \omega \nu$, кúpıos кupi$i \omega$. With these we may compare Soph.
 $\phi i \lambda^{\prime} a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$.

The force of the superlative is sometimes increased by the


viz. What is the first commandment and principal of all


## NUMERALB.

It is remarkable that the first four numerals in Greek and Sanscrit, and the first three in Latin, are declined, while the others remain without inflexion. This is accounted for by the fact that in the division of the oldest Greek year into three seasons of four months each, the first four numerals would be more frequently used as adjectives than the others. A similar remark applies to the numerals among the Romans, whose fundamental number was three. The inflexions were omitted in the other numerals without inconvenience as their use was more adverbial.
cls is very often used instead of the indefinite pronoun $\tau \iota s$ :
 el $\dot{\omega} \delta e$. The substantive in the singular is often used without cis, as in English the indefinite article ' $a$ ' is preferred to the
 12. 14, трéфetaı èkєê кaцpóv. But in Ja. 4. 13 some copies read


Some think that eis is used to mark a person of distinction :
 passage L. 18. 18, ă $\rho \chi \omega \nu \tau t s$. See. J. 11. 49.

In enumerations els may be rendered as an ordinal or a

eis is used for the ordinal $\pi$ ри̂tos: M. 28. 1, eis $\mu$ lav $\sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \omega \nu: M k .16 .2, \pi \rho \omega t$ t $\hat{y}_{S} \mu \iota a ̂ ̧ ~ \sigma a \beta \beta a ́ t \omega \nu: ~ T i t . ~ 3 . ~ 10, ~$

Instead of the compounds oviסcís, $\mu \eta \delta \in i ́ s$, the adjective $\pi a ̂ s$ is frequently used with a negative particle closely connected with






Reciprocity is sometimes expressed by the repetition of els
 1 Th. 5. 11, oiкoסoнeîte єis tòv ëva. Similar forms are A. 2. 12,

ing expressions are peouliar: Rev. 21. 21, divd eis êcaortos: J.



Distributives are expressed by doubling cardinal numbers:





An ordinal may be employed to denote the companions of the person so designated: tplios auroós, myself and two others:
 with him.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ v \omega$ is used adverbially with cardinals: Mk. 14. 5, $\pi \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ énáve триacoalay $\delta \eta \nu a \rho i \omega \nu$, to be sold for three hundred pence
 appeared to five hundred brethren and more. Similar usages
 ad hominum millibus quatuor."

## CHAPTER V.

## ON THE OBLIQUE OASES.

Erary object may be considered, (1) as an object by itself or individual whole without combination or contact with other things; (2) as a member of a greater whole in combination with and relation to other objects.

An object considered by itself is in the nominative case, but when it is considered in combination with and relation to other objects, one only can be adduced as independent, while the others must be represented as dependent and standing in relation to the one which is independent. This dependence is designated by a change of the definite appellation, which we call a dependent or oblique case. (Rost; pp. 371, 372.)
. The oblique cases denote the relations of things to each other, and are especially used to express the object of an action or feeling.

The object is either immediate or remote. The immediate object is the thing produced or acted upon, noఒ тoûto, I do this : $\tau$ úntre aùtóv, I strike him.

The remote object is the thing or person for which an action is done, or towards which it is directed.

The accuagtive, in its primary meaning, appears to denote the immediate object of an action, whether it be a thing produced by the action, or a previously existing object immediately affected by it.

The dative in its primary meaning appears to denote the remote object of an action as the thing or person to whom something is given. Hence it signifies the receiver; the object toward which any thing is directed; the object to which any thing is near or united; and (from the notion of union) the instrument with which an action is performed. Hence the dative in Greek answers to the Latin dative and ablative.

The genitive in its primary meaning appears to denote an object from which something proceeds, and then the possessor, to whom something belongs. Hence it signifies the author or cause of an action or thing; the quality which marks the class to which any thing belongs; the whole from which a part is taken; the object of an action or feeling; and the object to which some relation is expressed. Thus the genitive in Greek answers to the Latin genitive and ablative. (Jacob, § 123.)
A. 9. $4, \eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa o v \sigma e ~ \phi \omega \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda$ érovaav, he heard and understood its
 hearing the sound but not the words of the speaker.

## IMMEDIATE OBJBCT.

The accusative is the case of transition, and expresses the immediate object of verbs, in which the action passes on from the subject to an object.

All verbs take an accusative of the immediate object when its expression is necessary to complete the sense which the verb in that particular instance is intended to convey. Cf. Lat. 'adire





 кеıтаı à $\sigma$ Өévelav.
The accusative signifies that the object referred to is considered as the point toward which something is proceeding; that it is the end of the action or motion described, or the space traversed in such motion or direction. Hence it denotes (a) motion to an object; (b) distance in space; (c) duration in time; (d) the immediate object of a transitive verb; (e) the more remote object of any verb, whether it has another accusative or not; ( $f$ ) the accusative of cognate signification, i. e., the secondary predication by way of emphasis of that which is already predicated by the verb itself; (g) an apposition to the object of the whole sentence; ( $h$ ) the subject of the objective sentence when this is expressed in the infinitive mood. (Donaldson, §460.)

The object regarded is supposed to rest and dwell upon the
mind for a certain time ; is exhibited in length, extension, or, at least, continuous repetition and duration. (Q. R. No. 223.)





## MOTION TO AN OBJECT.

The use of the simple accusative to denote motion to a place is confined to the poets. Some, however, refer to this head,
 older writers used the affix - $\delta$ : O $\dot{\sim} \lambda \nu \mu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \nu \delta e, ~ t o ~ O l y m p u s: ~$
 Athens. Sometimes - $\sigma$ e was used as oúpavóve, to heaven.

## ACCUSATIVE OF THE REMOTE OBJECT.

Of this there are two distinct usages: (1) when the transitive verb takes two accusatives, one of which denotes the immediate, and the other the remote object of the action; (2) where one accusative denotes the whole body, the other a particular







 L. 11. 46, фортļete toùs ảvӨрátrous фортla סvбßáoтакта: A.





The second accusative often appears as a tertiery predicate





## THE ACCUSATIVE OP COGNATE SLGNIFICATION.

This is found with verbs active, passive, and neuter, by the figura etymologica: 1 P. 3. 14, тòv фóßov aủт $\omega$ ע $\mu \eta े ~ ф о \beta \eta \theta \hat{\tau T e: ~}$





The adverbial use of the accusative expressing a secondary predicate is very frequent: A. 20. 35, vávta ívéfeç̧a ij $\mu \hat{v} v$,






 $\mu \in \theta a$, after the same model we are in process of transformation:
 "upon the same principle of returning like for like be ye also widened." Wratislaw.

To this we may refer the accusative of time and space: 1 P. 4. 2, тò̀ èminoutrov èv $\sigma a \rho \kappa i ̀ ~ \beta \iota \omega ̂ \sigma a l ~ \chi p o ́ v o \nu: ~ J . ~ 4 . ~ 52, ~$


The neuters of ovitos, aủtós, Tls, are often thus employed adverbially to denote 'why,' 'for this reason:' Latin, 'quid cunctaris?' German, 'was mögest du P' Cicero, Ad Div. vii. 1, 'utrumque letor,' I feel both delights, I am delighted on both accounts: Xen. Anab. i. 9. 21, kal yà $\rho$ aùrò toûto : Plato, Protag. 310 в, aùtà taûta עùv $\eta \mathrm{\eta} \kappa \omega$ тapá $\sigma e$ : Demosth. Fals. Leg., ठ кal $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega$, wherefore I wonder: Aristoph. Rance 703,


This adverbial accusative is used in G. 2. 10; 2 P. 1. 5, aùtò тои̂to, for this very reason: Ph. 2. 18, тò $\delta^{\prime}$ aùto каl í $\mu$ eís $\chi^{a l \rho e r e, ~ a n d ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ r e a s o n ~ d o ~ y e ~ a l s o ~ r e j o i c e: ~ P h . ~ 1 . ~ 9, ~}$
 Ph. 1: 25, кal тои̃то тетон $\dot{\omega}$ s ol $\delta a$, and therefore I know with
 write for this very reason: 1 C. 10. 6 , тaûta $\delta$ è тútol $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ejcuin $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$, in these things, however, they became models to warn us; where Mr. Wratislaw quotes Aristoph. Pax 414,
 the reasons why they had long been stealing off a portion of
 ä入入or＇ä̀入入ov rгฑ about，and approaches sometimes one person，sometimes another． （Notes and Dissertations，pp．94．100．117）．

THE ACCUSATIVE IN APPOSITION TO THE WHOLE BENTENCE．
Sometimes an accusative is put in apposition to the object of a sentence：R．8．3，ті̀ ádívatov то才 $\nu \delta \mu о \nu . . . \delta$ Qeds тòv \＆avtov̂ vidv тémұas ．．．катéxpıve тìv ámaptiay：12．1，



## THE ACCUSATIVE AS BOBNECT OF THE INFINITIVE．

The subject of the verb in the infinitive mood is put in the accusative case；but after verbs of commanding，entreating， Iva with the conditional mood is used：M．16．13，tiva $\mu$ e









## THE GENTITVE．

The genitive denotes every kind of relationship．The pri－ mary idea is the＇whence－case，＇and invariably expresses the antecedent notion．Its regular uses may be divided into the three heads of ablative，partitive，relative．Under ablative and partitive may be arranged all those usages which are expressed by the prepositions＂of，＇＇from．＇Thus Donaldson remarks，\＆448，＂Whenever we wish to express that an object is the starting－point from whioh we set out，the cause of some action，the substance from which we derive a sensation，or the source from which something else proceeds，the material of which it is made，or of which it is full；that it is something． from which we desist，from whioh we are separated or set free， or of which we are deprived，in all these instances we have the．

Greek genitive as an ablative case．And when we wish to express that an object is a whole，from or out of which we take or give a part，we employ the Greek genitive as a partitive case．＂

With this we may compare the account of the genitive in English，given in Angus＇s Handbook of the English Tongue： ＂The genitive has a double force，attributive and objective．The attributive genitive indicates some quality of the nown on which it is dependent，as origin，or agency，possession，mutual relation of persons，quality，material or substance of which something is made，or the class to which it belongs as part of a whole； the genitive of definition，or partitive genitive，as this last is sometimes called．The ohjective genitive expresses the object of some feeling or action．＂

## THE GENITIVE OF ABLATION．






 2．14，áкатaлaúбrous áんaprias．Sometimes à preposition is


With verbs denoting production or its result：Hdt．₹．82，
 $\lambda i \theta o v$ ．This use of the genitive is sometimes accompanied



THE GENITIVE OF ORIGIN．
To this head of ablation we may refer the genitive of deriva－


 тavorilian rov̂ Qeov̂，source，origin，whence the armour comes：
 Spirit supplies，the word of God，the $\delta$ invaucs $\Theta e o v$, R．1． 16 ； 1 C．1． 18 ；H．4． 12 ；E．2．14，то̀ $\mu \in \sigma o ́ т о \iota \chi o v ~ т о и ̆ ~ ф р а ү \mu о \tilde{j . ~}$ the wall which resulted from the fence between Jew and Gentile： 1 T．4．1，סiסaбкa入laws סaцpovlav，doctrines sug－
gested by devils (gen. aubjecti): E. 6. 4, iv maiסeía кal vovesola Kupiov, Him from whom they proceed, and by whose Spirit they must be regulated.
Thus the genitive is used with substantives to denote the cause or origin of a thing: 2 T. 1. \&, סéf $\mu$ ov aủrov̂, gen. auctoris, whom He has made a prisoner: 2 O.11.26, кıvס̛́vols

 gen. substantias, truth was its very essence and substance.

 works in the heart (gen. of the causa efficiens): 1 Th. 1. 6, xapâs Mveúmatos, joy inspired by and emanating from the


## THE GENITIVE OF FULNESS AND DEFICIENCY.

To this we may refer verbs and nouns of fulness, as these denote the matter or substance; also of want, as these imply separation or removal from the object: ${ }^{\text {M. }} 23.28$, нестol















To this class belong the collective words which are followed






Quantitative nouns estimated by measurement: A. 1. 12,


This is frequently used with anó, marking its use as the



the genitive of perception.
The perceptions of the senses, hearing, smelling, taste, and mental emotions, are expressed by the genitive of ablation. The object itself is regarded as the source or material from which the perception emanates, and the percipient is supposed to draw his perception from that object, which is therefore placed in the genitive. In a secondary sense the object may be said to be the generic origin of the sensation: L. 14. 24, oviסels


 M. 15. 27 ; 1 C. 11. 28 ; H. 13. 10. Of this there is no exact
 it: A. 9. 1, è $\mu \pi \nu \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ảrec $\lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \kappa a l ~ \phi o ́ v o v, ~ i n w a r d l y ~ b r e a t h i n g ~(r e d o-~$ lent of) threatening and murder: Arist. Equit. 457, oviros $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$
 $\chi$ орผิv: Mk. 14. 64, ทัкоบ́бате тท̂s $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a s: ~ L . ~ 17 . ~ 32, ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu o-~$
 verbs of remembrance are followed by a genitive the meaning is simply 'to remember,' the object being regarded as that from which the memory emanates; by an accusative, 'to keep in remembrance,' 'to bear in mind:' A. 20. 33, ápyuplov $\eta$ xpuoiou ที iцатเซ



## THE GENITIVE OF PARTITION.

In some of the preceding instances which denote the measurement of time, space, or which express mental perceptions, the genitive of ablation passes insensibly into the genitive of partition.
The genitive of time expresses within the space of a certain amount of time, or within the limits of the year or day: of
 supply $\mu$ epet or $\omega \rho q$, which renders it partitive or possessive:

if Merovvcriou, \& diencopopoulas, where a portion of the night is



The partitive use of the genitive is clear in 10.10 .21 , ov





So also with adjectives of an indefinite number, with pronouns or superlatives, where the substantive is considered as a whole,





slvac and rlyvectac are repeatedly used in this sense: M. 6.




 ' $\sigma$ тiv $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ Bacidela tov̂ $\theta$ eov̂, for to such belongs the kingdom of
 darl, for to those who win the battle belongs the sovereignty as


Verbs which do not in themselves denote participation, but which imply acquisition of part of the object, are followed regularly by a genitive of partition: L. 16. 24, lva Báquy rò




 measures of ungodliness,
the oenitive of relation.
Under this head come all the uses where we may introduce the words 'in regard or respect to,' ' pertaining to:' Mk. 14. 64, ĕvоХоу $\theta a \nu a ́ r o v: ~ H . ~ 6 . ~ 9, ~ т \grave{~ к р е і т т о у а ~ к а і ̀ ~ e ̀ \chi o ́ \mu є \nu a ~ \sigma \omega т \eta p l a s, ~}$ quse ad salutem faciunt; ques ad salutem proxime adducunt:




The gen. marks the standard of comparison with comparatives, superlatives, and all words whioh denote comparison, value, buying, selling, exchanging: Mk. 4. 32, тávt $\omega \nu$ т $\omega \hat{\nu}$




 parison, or resemblance in internal character. E. 4. 16, Scd
 dominant use, purpose, or destination of the á $\phi \eta$ ' is specified and characterized 'through every joint for the supply,' t y s denotes the specific è $\pi$ ťop., which Christ supplies: E. 2. 12, $\xi \in \nu 0<~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$, strangers in regard to the covenants; gen. of 'the point of view.' So 1 Tim. 1. 16, тгòs ímotúm $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$ т


 vessels for the service: E. 1. 14, cis àmo $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$, to effect redemption in respect to purchasing: Rev. 18.
 $\mu \in \hat{i}$ of $\psi v \chi \eta$ gov, the fruits which thy soul desires) : E. 4. 29, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o i \kappa o \delta o \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta \eta_{s} \quad \chi \rho \varepsilon i a s$, for edification in respect of the need, the genitive of remote reference or of the point of view;
 xpela, Theophylact.

Hence varied relations of time and place: M.1. II, Tท̂s $\mu$ etol$\kappa \in \sigma l a s ~ B a \beta u \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} 0 \varsigma$, the transmigration in regard to Babylon:



From the genitive of price there is an immediate transition


 $\kappa \pi \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$, is unassailed as far as regards evil thoughts.

IDIOMATIC USAGES OF THE GENITIVE.
Besides these there are certain idiomatic usages which are
stamped with a special impress, such as the possessive genitive, the genitive of contact, the tentative use, and the genitive absolute. (Donaldson, § 454.)

The possessive genitive is nearly allied to the genitive of partition, and may be rendered by the English 'of or belonging to:' E. 1. 1, ámócrohos Xp. 'I $\eta$ rov̂, the Master whose minister and servant he was: A. 27. $23 ;$ R. 1. $1 ; 1 \mathrm{Th} .2$. 6. This must be distinguished from the gen. of ablation, which would mark the source of his commission. The principle adopted by the poets of using this genitive as a substitute for an epithet is greatly extended by the writers of the New Testament from the influence of corresponding expressions in Hebrew. Thus we have Soph. Antig. 114, $\lambda_{\text {evev̂s }} \chi$ lovos $\pi \tau$ épug, a snow-white wing: Electra 19, äorpw evंфpóvn, a starry night: Eurip. Phoen. 1616, rpaímata alpatos, bloody wounds. These genitives express much greater intensity than any mere qualifying adjective, as the quality is considered to be an essential and component part of the subject to which it is attributed.
 2 Th. 2. 11, ¿̀véprecav $\pi \lambda$ áv $\eta$ s, an efficacy of delusion: 1 T. 6.


 E. 2. 2, тoîs viois $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\pi \in \epsilon \theta_{\epsilon} l a s$, a disobedience to which they belong as children to a parent. "This marks more vividly than the adjectival construction the essential and innate disobedience of the subjects." Ellicott. E. 1: 13, tò evadyèion Tîs $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ i \mu \omega \nu$, " the good news which turns upon and reveals your salvation: 1. 10, eis oiкоעo $\mu \mathbf{l a \nu} \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кau $\omega \omega \nu$, the dispensation characterized by, and so to be set forth in, the fulness of time. "Propria plenitudini temporum." R. 11. $5, \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ éc $\lambda o \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \chi$ ápıtos, according to a selection of favour, $i$. $\theta$. on the principle of a selection made by gratuitous favour.

The genitive of possession may denote either the subject or the object: E. 6. 19, тò $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ evary
 $\theta \in \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau 0 s$ objecti, in the matter of, concerning the will. In Rev. 19. 10 both senses may be involved: $\hat{\eta}$ paptupia toû
 Jesus, or, the testimony proceeding from Jesus.

The following are objective genitives; тô̂ ä $\nu \delta \rho o s$ củ $\mu e ́ y e c a, ~$
 the snow: M. 10. 1, égovolà $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu a ́ t \omega \nu \dot{a}$ ákaӨáprcu, power over
 tiles: H. 11. 26, тòv óvéסıఠ

 specifying the object about which the $\zeta \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \mathrm{O}$ s was displayed: 2 T . 1. 8, тò $\mu$ aptúpıov $\tau 0 \hat{\nu}$ Kvpíov $\grave{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, about our Lord. "Omnis predicatio vel confessio quæ de Christo fit apud homines." R.
 mercy: A. 9. 15, $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o s, ~ e ́ \kappa \lambda o \gamma \eta \hat{s}$, an instrument, which is an object of selection: E. 4. 23, т $\hat{\varphi} \Pi_{\nu \in u ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \nu o o ̀ s ~}^{i \mu} \hat{\nu} \nu$, the Divine spirit with which the vóos is endued, of which it is the receptaculum.
 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, for remembrance of me. The genitive (subjecti) is also used in apposition with the personal pronoun implied in the
 Пaúдov.

## the genitive of predominating quality.

The genitive is used to define the characteristic quality and design of the preceding substantive: M. 22. 11, êv $\nu \cup \nu \mu a$ fá $\mu \nu v:$

 aipévecs á $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ elas, perdition was their mark and character: 2. 14, catápas técua, they had not the character of children at all except in relation to the curse: 1 P.5.14, $\dot{a} \sigma \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \gamma^{\eta} \lambda o u s$
 натıкd $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi о$ ouplas, the spiritual communities of wickedness, characterized by essential тоиŋpía: 1 P. 1. 14, тéィขа íтакоэ̂s, children of obedience, to whom obedience is as a mother communicating her nature to yours (Wordsworth): Mk. 1. 4, Bántropa $\mu$ etavolas, baptism which binds to repentance, to change of heart and life: H. 4. 2, $\dot{\delta}$ 入óyos $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{a} \kappa о \hat{\eta} s ;$ the word of hearing, the word uttered in order to be heard: Ja. 2. 4,


 $\grave{a} \pi \tau \kappa \delta є \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. The Jew regarded $\delta_{\iota x a l o \sigma i ́ v \eta ~ a s ~ s o m e t h i n g ~}^{\text {a }}$
outward，present，realizable；the Christian as something in－ ward，future，and，wave through faith in Christ，unattainable． $\triangle$ «calooúvn is one of the divine results which stretch into eternity，and involves the idea of future blessedness and glorifi－
 author of peace，the God of whom peace is a characterizing attribute；the gen．marks the deep inward peace and tran－ quillity which as God＇s especial gift stands in close alliance with holiness．In cognate expressions，as A．7．2，$\delta \quad \theta \in \delta \mathrm{s}$ т $\hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$

 having merely the qualifying power of an adjective．But no
 enlightened father，＇rather than the source of all enlightening qualities．Hence we may consider $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{0} \xi \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ to mean the Author of Glory to whom all glory belongs，in whom all glory
 the promised spirit，as it means the spirit，the main subject and

 ＂Deus qui largitur itrouovíy．＂Tittmann．God who imparts brave patience． 1 P．5．10，Ocòs $\chi$ ápıros，God who is the author of grace．

Many substantives are joined with rvev̂ma to denote the specific $\chi$ ápьo $\mu a$ ，or characteristic quality．Thus J．14．17， тò $\pi \nu \in \hat{i} \mu a$ ．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \in l a c$ ，the Spirit，who is the author and
 grace：R．1．4，пTveîua áyしんनívŋs，essentially holy，and the efficient agent of holiness．＂Non quidem id ipsum quod $\pi v e \hat{\jmath} \mu a$ äyıov，sed gravius et \＆$\mu$ фатıкŵs sermone venerandum．＂（Bret－ schneider．）．Then we have a number of other passages where $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \mathrm{a}$ ultimatoly refers to the Holy Spirit as the inworking power，but immediately to the state of the inward spirit，as



In R．11． 8 ，we have $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ кcaravíg $\epsilon \omega s$ ，spirit of slumber． The word is used for deep sleep by LXX，in Is．29． 10.
 A．2．37．The connexion between karávuદ̆cs and torpidity， apathy，numbnoss，insensibility，is not apparent．Mr．Wratis－
law traces it by reminding us of the effect produced by the habitual use of a needle，which causes insensibility by constant pricking．＂A person who habitually neglects the prickings of conscience becomes dead and insensible to them，just like the needle－woman＇s fingers．And the metaphor would natu－ rally come to a tent－maker，which was the ordinary trade of St．Paul．＂（Notes and Dissertations，p．87．）
the genitive of contace．
The genitive is used after verbs of contact and adhesion， on the principle that the thing touched becomes part of the object with which it is in contact：Eurip．Hec．398，öroía




 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a s$ ，things conjoined with salvation：Mk．5．41，крати́бas
 ＂In all such adhesions and attachments the object attached is regarded as really separable；the idea of conjunction is conveyed by the verb，and the genitive according to its proper ablative meaning，implies that there is at least a partial dis－ junction．＂Donaldson．
the tentative use of the genitive．
The genitive is joined to a number of verbs implying an attompt to attain an object．This is in strict accordance with the primary idea of the genitive as expressing the antecedent． notion；the source from which the act of body or mind takes its rise： 1 T．3．1，єl tus èmıбкотท̂s ópéyeral，кa入ov̂ èppov


Here we may place A．3．12，тeтоıทкóб九 тои̂ тepıтатеî̀




This usage is explained in two ways．The verbs mean to set one＇s mind towards the attainment of an object，and may thus be connected with verbs which imply fulness or want；
or they indicate motion in a presumed direction, so that until the object is reached, the genitive is used as the case of separation. If, however, the motion is supposed to be completed, and the object is reached, then the accusative is used as the case of terminated action.

## THE GENTIVE ABSOLUTB.

The genitive absolute expresses the time when, or the cause why-the source, the fact, the event, from whioh something





 all these instances the participle asserts a secondary predicate, which was the occasion of the action stated by the primary predicate.

## THE DATIVE.

The dative is the where-case, the case of rest, generally with the aid of a preposition.

The object referred to is considered as the point of juxtaposition, or immediate proximity; as receptive of accession or gain, or as having additions made to it.

The usages of the genitive and dative may be thus contrasted: the genitive denotes separation, subtraction, comparison of different things ; the dative denotes proximity, addition, equality, or sameness.

The usages of the dative are four: coincidence or contingency; instruments, or proximate causes of the action; recipients, or persons immediately interested in the action; special limitations.

The dative expresses the second term of two nouns standing in relation to each other, but with the additional notion of an interval lying between the two objects. (Q. R. No. 225.)

## the dative of coincidence.

The point of time is regularly expressed by the dative:



Mk. 6. 21, 'Hpádis tô̂s yeveaious aủtov̂ סeintvov étroles: L.


Sometimes also the duration: R. 16. 25, катd̀ àmoкá入uч̧ıv $\mu \nu \sigma$ тทplov xpóvots aioulots $\sigma e \sigma=r \eta \mu$ évov, in accordance with the unveiling of a secret hidden in silence through eternal times, i. e., throughout the whole period from the commencement of time: 1 Tim. 2. 6, тò $\mu$ aptúptov caupoîs iiblocs, the import of the testimony to be set forth in its proper seasons; the dative of the time wherein the action takes place. This form of the temporal dative approximates to the ordinary use of the temporal genitive, which rarely occurs in the New Testament:


Thus it indicates a coincident or contingent circumstance of manner, accompaniment, so that it is really equivalent to an





 elkamev $\tau \hat{p} \hat{i} \boldsymbol{i} \pi o \tau a r \hat{p}$, by yielding the subjection they claimed (Rhetorical Use of the Article).

## the dative of qualifying circumstance.

Hence the dative is used to indicate the definitive or quali-



The general limiting nature of the dative may here be fully recognized. St. Paul was not unknown to the churches in every sense, but only in regard to his outward appearance. This particular dative, commonly called the dative ' of reference to,' must be clearly distinguished both from the instrumental and the modal dative ( 1 C. 11. 5), though allied to them: It must rather be considered a local dative ethically used.
 єن̉X the dative marks the objects for whom the food was created ( $\beta$ ро́ната). This was indeed created for all, but it was only in the case of the riotol, after a receiving $\mu \in \tau \dot{d}$ eijxapıotias, which is the condition attached, that the true end of creation was fully satisfied.

The dative of norm or rule: A. 15. 1, ed $\nu \mu \eta े ~ т е р \iota т e ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta e ~$



The specific part in whioh one is affected. The local dative







External accompaniments are regularly expressed by the dative, even without a preposition: Rev. 8. 4, à $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta$ ó катvòs

 Xecpóypaфov roîs Sóy $\mu a \sigma t \nu$, having cancelled the hostile bond, together with its ordinances.
From this use we may explain its connexion with verbs denoting companionship, contact, close intercourse: M. 8. 1,






 $\pi \nu \in \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu: 20.5 .20, \kappa а т а \lambda \lambda a ́ \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \in \hat{\varphi}$.
Verbs and nouns denoting juxta-position, similarity, peculiar appropriation, and the reverse, take the dative of proximity or





## the dative of proximate cause.

The dative is used to express the instrument, the proximate cause, occasion, or ground of an act: Mk. 15. 19, 氏̆тutrov aùtoû

 unbelief, but thou standest by reason of thy faith; so 2 C .1.


 $\delta \omega^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu \tau a l$, only to avoid persecution by reason of the cross of



 The first $\Pi \nu e v ́ \mu a t \iota ~ i s ~ a ~ s p e c i e s ~ o f ~ i n s t r u m e n t a l ~ d a t i v e, ~ i f ~ w e ~ l i v e ~$ by (the gift and efficacy of) the Spirit; the second Пעєúpatィ is the dative of norm, or rule, to which we are to be conformed :

Hence the dative is used to express the agent even with passive verbs, where we regularly find the genitive with imó:










## the dative of bthical relation.

Hence the dative is used to denote the final cause, the ethical relation, the party or object 'on account of' whom the thing is done; dativus commodi, the party interested: L. 7. 32, $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}-$





 $\mu e ̀ \nu . . . \nu a u ̂ s ~ e ̀ m \epsilon \tau a ́ \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$, on which Dr. Arnold remarks: "It would not be easy to parallel the obscurity and grammatical solecisms of this sentence.- Aace $\delta a \iota \mu \mathrm{v}$ locs may be either the dative of the agent (proximate cause), and depend on énerá $\chi$ O $\eta \sigma a y$, or it may be that dative which is called 'dativus commodi,' extending the term 'commodi' in a very wide sense, so as to make it hardly more than mere relation. Compare v.


## CHAPTER VI.

## VERBS, VOIOES, TENSES.

As every verb has reference to action, and all action must take place in time, whatever is predicated by a verb is a predication of time.

The time and state of an action are represented by tenses. The time of an action is present, past, future. The state of an -action is imperfect, perfect, or indefinite. In the imperfect state the action is described as going on; in the perfect as finished; in the indefinite or aorist as simply acted, without any distinct statement of progress or completion.

As there are three times, and three states of an action, nine tenses would be required to express all the different modifications; viz., three imperfects, three indefinites, three perfects; but neither the Greek nor the English verb has all these nine tenses. The English too has no imperfect without a circumlocution, and except in the indicative mood has no aorist or past indefinite, so that the Greek aorist in all the other moods must be rendered by the present or perfect. A writer in the Quarterly Review (No. 255) remarks, the Greek tenses do not primarily imply time. They are not primarily distinguished as past, present, future. If aorists and preterperfects necessarily implied past time, these tenses could not occur in the imperative mood. The infinitives and participles could not be applied alike to present and past times. Their real meaning relates to four stages of progress in the action: (1) preparatory to the commencement, ypá $\psi \in \Delta y$, to be about to write; (2) the being engaged in writing while the act is going on, ypádecv; (3) the completion of the act, ypáqua; (4) the completion, with the additional notion of subsequent continuance, rejpaфéval, to have written a letter and still retain it in possession. These
four atages of an act represent the four primary Greek tenses; the several forms of the future and aorist do not differ in meaning. The future is wanting in the imperative, as the impatience of the Greek mind never contemplated commanding a person to be about to do a'thing.

## the tensea of verbs.

The tenses in the indicative mood describe both the time and the state of an action; in other moods the tenses denote only the state as continuing, completed, simply acted, or intended.

The declaration of time inay be definite as regards the time of speaking, or indefinitt as regards some other point of time which must be defined.

The definite tenses are the present, expressing simultaneity, ypádo, I write or am writing now at the moment of speaking. The future, expressing posteriority, $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi o w$, I shall write at some time after the moment of speaking. The perfect, expressing anteriority, y'eypaфa, I have written at some time before the moment of speaking.

The indefinite tenses are the imperfect, expressing simultaneity, ecypaфov, I was writing at some specified time. The aorist, expressing posteriority, eै $\gamma p a \psi a$, I wrote after some specified time. The pluperfect, expressing anteriority, èveypádeiv, I had written, before some specified time.

THE TENSES IN THE INDICATIVE MOOD.
We proceed to illustrate these tenses as they are used in the indicative mood.
The present expresses a general sentiment, or assigns properties permanently connected with an object, though they are not particularly evinced at the present time : тávta тd̀ áya $\theta$ à $\delta \delta \delta \omega-$

 to men.

The present denotes the beginning, attempting, or desiring to do an act, though it may not be done; also something usually
 Euboea: єlтtep $\beta$ ád $\lambda \in \epsilon$ тoùs émtópкovs, if he is wont to strike the
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cation of the time after which it will happen; the periphrastic future requires or implies a definition of time: Plato, Gorg. ©s
 actual truth in what I am now going to say: M. 2. 13, $\mu$ è $\lambda$ e 6


The future often conveys the meaning of obligation: Eur.
 whatever you like, but you shall never touch me with your hand. Hence it becomes equivalent to an imperative: Жsch. Sept. 252, $\mu \eta \delta \delta \nu$ rêvס 'ipeês, 'you will not say,' i. e., do not say.

The usage of the future for the imperative occurs repeatedly in the New Testament, and has been unnecessarily accounted a

 the imperatival future appears in three cases in the New Testament: (l) as a mild imperative, e. g., in prohibition: M. 6. b, oủk écy wis oi ínoкpıral: (2) as a strong imperative,
 doovs Kupiov; (3) as a legislative imperative, negatively, M. 5. 21 ; R. 7. 7, and positively, G. 5. 14; R. 13. 9. The latter usage is Hebraistic. The uses of the future in the LXX are more varied, and almost purely Hebraistic. They express "quod non convenil, Gen. 20. 9: quod non potest, 32. 12: quod licet, Numb. 32. 24: quod solet, Deut. 2. 11." (Ellicott.)

The future expresses a resolution, general sentiment, or
 d$\lambda \lambda 10 \nu_{\text {, }}$ a wise man will bear misfortunes more easily than


"Futurum in sententia generali recte ponitur, quandoquidem rei qua in nullum tempus non convenire videatur, etiam futuro tempore locum futurum esse jure sumitur." (Fritsohe, Rom. ii. p. 9.)

The future denotes a supposed or possible case: L. 18. 22 ,

 èniotevalà;

The future indicates not mere futurity, but the ethical possibility of an action, and with ou', something that neither can nor


- $\sigma a ́ p \xi$. With $\pi$ mês it involves the notion' of 'ability;' 'possi-



## the indicative perfect.

The perfect marks the abiding effect of a terminated action :
 deserted from us, are deserters: Il. i. 37, ôs Xpúqŋv à $\mu \phi$ -

 her ecen to Clytemnestra (I have preferred, and do prefer).

In the second perfect, erroneously called the perfect middle, the intransitive signification predominates. Very few verbs
 from this time I have always fared well: àv étı $\mu i a \nu \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$
 over the Romans we are ruined.

Several perfects denote only finished action, of which the effect is permanent, and must therefore be rendered by a present in English: кéктŋнаи, I possess, I have acquired for myself (ктáo $\mu a \iota): ~ \mu e ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a \iota, ~ I ~ r e m e m b e r, ~ I ~ h a v e ~ c a l l e d ~ t o ~ m i n d ~$

 have been frightened ( $\phi 0 \beta^{\prime} \in \omega$ ).

The perfect often denotes the completion of an act, especially the fixed result of a thought or determination: Dem. Phil. i.
 sentiments which I maintain ought to be the fixed convictions


 resignation of his son to the demand of God, his mental, though not actual offoring of him; but $\pi \rho o \pi$ é $\phi \in \rho \in \nu$ expresses ' was in the act of sacrificing him,' when stopped by Divine interposition (Green, p. 21): R. 8. 38, тétтeஎбцal yáp, I am persuaded: C. 4. 3, $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ o $\mathrm{cal} \delta_{e ́ \delta \epsilon \mu}(\mathrm{l}$, for which I am actually in


 $\mu e ́ v o s$. The perfect here calls attention to the permanent character acquired by the crucifixion, that of a Saviour; in the Creeds, where the mere fact is recorded, the participle





 for who resisteth His will $P$ who has placed himself in oppo-

 the married woman remains bound by the law to her husband for his lifetime.

It is to be regretted that our language does not furnish an adequate equivalent for this passive perfect. Luther uniformly

 I have given my mlotes, in whom I have put my trust and still
 have loved and still love his appearing;-in a present sense only as it points to the persistence of the feeling: A. 22. 29, ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$
 in which the officer had placed himself. A. 25. 11, ci! $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ yà
 marks the permanent result of the supposed adicŋ $\mu a$. A. 27.

 àv $\begin{aligned} & \text { pómıvos, has not formerly, and does not now: L. 5. 32, oúc }\end{aligned}$


The perfect often denotes an immediate consequence: Xen.




 $\nu \omega \kappa \epsilon$ is the consequence of eiaryaryev, and teӨcucois the result of кате́крицед.
Mr. Green points out a delicate propriety of expression in the parable of the talents, M. 25. 14-30. At the time of receiving the money, the aorist is used to describe the unprofitable servant, $\dot{\delta}$ тò $\mathbb{E} \nu \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ : at the time of reckoning he is de-
 sunaltered condition, as far as the money was concerned. With
the other servants, the aorist is used both at the time of receiving and the time of reckoning. The money which they had received was modified by their own subsequent exertions, and the aorist could then alone be uised.
 desoribes a state in which they continued, a state of remoteness from God's mercy. The aorist describes an act, Ye were made objects of mercy. 1 J. 3. 9, tâs ó reereumpévos ék tov̂ Oeoû duapriay ov motêt, every one who hath been born of God, and continues in that state, doth not work sin habitually: ov̀
 to be a sinner, because he hath been born of God, and the life given him at his spiritual birth abides in him. "The Apostle does not say, oú סúvatal a $\mu$ apteîv, he cannot fall into sin, by igoorance, error, and infirmity. Such an assertion would be inconsistent with the whole tenor of Scripture." Wordsworth.

## INDEFINITE TENSES.

## THE LMPERFRCT.

Hermann thus explains the imperfect: "In eo, quod quis voluit facere, neo tamen perfecit, quod aptius adhiberi tempus potest, quam quod ab ea ipsa ratione nomen habet imperfectum ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ "

Thus too, Donaldson, § 426 : "The' imperfect denotes an incomplete action, one that is in its course, and is not yet brought to its intended accomplishment. It implies that a certain thing was going on at a specified time, but excludes the assertion that the end of the action was attained." It may often be expressed by the paraphrase, 'began to,' 'proceeded to,' 'attempted to,' especially by the side of the aorist, which indicates the single or completed action: Thuo. ii. 92. 2, ws in vaís
 ship was (in process of) sinking, he slew himself, and fell overboard into the habour. The suicide and its result being single and momentary aots, are expressed by the aorist. Xen. ©ं $\pi$ el
 phalanx proceeded to meet them, and at the same time the trumpet sounded (é $\phi \theta^{\prime}$ és. aor., 'single and completed act):
 awaited their attack, but proceeded to flee ( $(\delta \hat{\xi} \xi$, aor., completed

 the dog ran out and kept barking at them.

The imperfect has the idea of incompletenese: M. 3. 14, od $\delta$


 $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \in i \nu$, was doing my utmost to make them blaspheme:



 atrict use of the imperfect to designate an action which still epiritually continues.
This idea of incompleteness frequently passes into that of repetition, especially in contrast with the aorist: 10.10 .4 ,
 $\mu a \tau \iota \kappa \bar{s}$ áko the action, without conveying any idea of duration; the imperfect, entryov, implies the repetition of the act, 'they kept continually drinking:' A. 28. 9, oi خouтoì of è éovtes á áveveías
 and were getting healed: L. 24. 21, 升 $\mu \hat{i}$ §è $\eta \lambda \lambda \pi i \zeta o \mu \in \nu$, 'we for our part were hoping,' implying that this had been their habitual expectation for some period of time: M. 13. 34, $\chi \omega \rho{ }^{\rho}{ }^{5}$







The imperfect is used (in verbs and phrases like ě $\delta e!$, é $\chi \rho \eta \nu$, cikòs $\bar{\eta} \nu$, $\omega \phi e \lambda 0 \nu$ ) to signify a dissatisfaction with the present state of things, and a wish that the result was different: A. 13.











${ }_{a} y$ is often omitted colloquially, especially when the conditional protasis is omitted. The want of absoluteness in such statements is sufficiently expressed by the general indefinite character of the imperfect: A. 25. 22, ęßou入ó $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ кal aủtòs toû
 elval.

The following passages illustrate the difference between the








 $\pi є \rho เ \tau о \mu \hat{\varsigma}$.
In 1 C. 3. 6, е́yஸे '̇фйт $\eta \tilde{\xi}^{\xi} a \nu \in \nu$, the transitory acts of human teaohers are expressed by aorists; the continual bestowal of Divine grace by the im-


 we have three imperfects to denote continual and repeated acts ; but an aorist to denote an act done once for all. R. 6. 13,

 repetition, habit, continuance; the aorist, a single irrevocable act of surrender. The verb rapıotával occurs five times in this passage in the sense of 'presenting for use or service.' Vaughan.

## the iorist.

The aorist is connected with the future by the adjunct $\sigma$, and with the past by the augment e. Hence it confines the action predicated within certain limits of previous and aubsequent time. From this arises its epistolary use, anticipatory

 behold in what large characters I write to you with my own
 therefore the sooner; so O. 4. 8; A. 23. 30; 2 O. 8. 18,
 adendous. This is often called the epistolary aorist ; a graceful mode of expression, by which the writer puts himself in the place of the reader, and looks at the thing written from the reader's point of view. Thus we may explain G. 2. 10, $\delta \mathrm{kal}$
 was for this very reason eager to do. If St. Paul had been speaking of his habitual action, we should have expected donovidakov, or if he desaribed one which continued to that time, we should have looked for domoúdaka.

## PERFECT AND AORIST COMBINED.

In some cases the action is really momentary, or of short




 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ d́d $\eta \theta$ जैs $\dot{\text { o }}$ Xpıotós; is it possible the rulers really decided that this is really the Ohrist $P$ M. 17. 7, èveponte кal $\mu$ ग


Hence we have the perfeot to mark a permanent state, the
 Buorlas. The perfect indicates permanent duration, 'concre-


 H. 6. 4-6 mark a temporary condition or a single act, and the two presents denote a continued state or an action still going




 they crucify afresh to their own perdition the Son of God, and while they expose him to shame: 20.5.17, тà ápxaía map $\lambda$ -
 between two aorists to show that the absence is continual in Ja.

 action of creation is regarded, but in тà тávta $\delta b^{\circ}$ aủzov̂ caì cis aúrò êcricoral, the permanent result of creation is introduced, and the aorist is changed into the perfect. In other cases, though the action is one of prolonged duration, there is no occasion for bringing this circumstance into notice: Xen. Cyrop., терì оиксш

 aưoòs $\lambda a ́ \beta \eta$; desired him to undertake and retain the custody,



 Kúpos. In 1 P. 5. 2, тo九цávate tò èv í $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ròl $\mu \nu 1 \circ \nu$, tend ye the flock that is among you, the aorist gathers together the whole work of teaching, feeding, watching, leading into one act, occupying the entire life; 1 P. 1. 13, тe入elws eोmíate, direct fully your hope. Their whole life is to be one act of hope,
 is the prophetic past tense signifying that although the event is still future it is certain, and in the divine foreknowledge and decree it is already done: Rev. 15. I. Cf. $\dot{e} \beta \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \theta \eta$, J. 15. 6.
 $\mu e y a ́ \lambda \eta$, the prophetic aorist expresses the certainty and suddenness of the fall as if by a single blow.

When a specific time of duration is expressed by other words in the sentence the aorist is used, as this tense does not repeat the idea already introduced: J. 2. 20, teббара́коута каі $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered}$.


 Aóyou tîs $\zeta \omega \hat{s}$. Here the aorists point to the action of the Apostles in gasing at our Lord as He ascended into heaven, and to their handling His person after the resurrection, L. 24. 39.
 тд̀ $\kappa \dot{o} \sigma \mu o \nu$. The perfect is used, as the effect of that mission


$\eta \dot{\eta} \omega \bar{\nu}$. Here $\dot{d} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau e l \lambda e$ refers to the remarkable proof of divine love, and denotes that the propitiation was effected by one aot. Rev. 5. 7, cai $\overline{\eta \lambda \theta e} \kappa a l$ e $\lambda \lambda \eta \phi e$ тò $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i \neq \nu$. The perfect marke not only an act but a state, he has taken a book and holds it.

 a single occurrenoe, signs were frequently, habitually per-


 'тal тฑ̂s $\delta \delta \hat{\xi} \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ т тov $\Theta \in o \hat{0}$. The aorist gathers up as it were the sins of the world into one aot regarded as prior to the manifestation of the סucalocúvn, and of which the result is expressed in
 believed the message he heard from us $\rho$. The aorist expresses the reflection of the prophet on his ministry as one act, he returns into his Master's presence and says, Lord, when I went forth in Thy name who believed? (Vaughan.)
Sometimes the aorist draws attention to the completion of a



The force of the aorist as referring to single acts is borne out by the use of the aorist in the other moods and participles: M.


 Aáßere, фáyete. In E. 6. 14, 15, 16, there are four aorist participlos specifying different acts which were completed before the soldier took up his position. The imperative presents, ypáde, $\kappa \lambda$ étre, oкávree, mean 'go on writing, stealing, digging.' The imperative aorists, ypá $\nmid o v, ~ \kappa \lambda e ́ q u a \nu, ~ \sigma \kappa a ́ \psi r o v, ~ m e a n, ~ w r i t e, ~ s t e a l, ~$ dig, some particular object and have done with it.
Dr. Donaldson translates M. 3. 8, тoiñбare oûv кáptous ả̉lous $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \in \tau a v o l a s$, bring forth at once and completely fruits worthy of repentance. So Bishop Andrews remarks, the word is not bring forth at this time now, then it should be moteĩe, but it is

 completely; тípet would imply, continue to keep: J. 13. 27, ò moveîs, moinoov ráxcov, what thou art doing, get done with
 the 1 aor., éevíquare, implies a momentary act; the present, $\dot{\text { á }} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ артáveтe, one whịch requires continuous efforts.
In exhortations we frequently have the aorist imperative following the present imperative: 1 T. 6. 12, áywuí̧ov тò̀ ка入òv áyผิva т marks a distinct act in the ágஸ́v. R. 6. 13, $\mu \eta \delta \mathrm{e}$ т $\pi$ apıनтávete
 крáß阝arov. "Quid mirum qui modo lenius jusserat бкотєî̀e eumdem statim cum majore quadam vi et quasi intentius flagitantem addere $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ?" Schaefer, Demosthenes.
In prohibitions Attic usage compels us to use the subjunctive when we forbid a single act, $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa \lambda$ én $\pi \tau e$, do not steal in general; $\mu \eta{ }^{\mu} \lambda \epsilon \Psi \eta$, do not steal this particular thing.
 $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \mathrm{s} \pi$ épa, be silent at once, and do not question further, where both verbs refer to the completion of the single act; but $\mu$ ) áтока́aŋ!s, à $\lambda \lambda d$ бко́т $\tau \epsilon$, do not be wearied, but go on considering, where the former verb indicates the completeness of the consideration by the weariness and consequent cessation of the
 Oíyns, of single acts prohibited; but in an important passage which has often been misunderstood, J. 20. 17, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ноv äлтоv,
 aùroîs, к.т.. ., do not continue to cling to me, for $I$ have not yet ascended, but proceed to my brethren, and tell them that I am about to ascend.
The Greek aorist has been compared to the force of the common Hibernicism, 'to be after,' joined with a verb. Thus we may render Il. i. 26. $28, \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{e}, ~ \gamma e ́ \rho o v, ~ к о i \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ e ́ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ т a \rho \grave{~}$ $\nu \eta v a l \kappa \iota \chi \in l \omega$, let me not be after finding thee: $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \mathbf{v}$ тoc ov
 thee, will the sceptre and fillet of the god be after availing

 crucifying,' i. e., crucify. The following is the substance of Bishop Ellicott's note on G. 5. 24: "The ethical crucifixion is here designated as an act past (R. 6. 6), though it really is, and must be, a continuing act as well (R. 8. 13). St. Paul here presents us with the idea of the true Christian, the character in all its highest perfection and completeness. The aorist,
'éraúpouray, is neither for the perfect, nor for the present, but enunciates a general truth, correcting, marking an action which was in operation at indefinite moments of the past." Compare Soph. Antig. 1318, where Wex remarks on é $\delta i \delta a \xi a \nu$, "unum exemplum quod aliquando evenerit tanquam norma proponitur." Hence the aorist has been said to express what is wont to happen.

The aorist infinitive (å ${ }^{2} a y \nu \omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a l, 1$ Th. 5. 27), especially after verbs of 'hoping,' 'commanding,' is often used in reference, not merely to single acts, but to what is either timeless ('ab omni temporis definiti conditione libera et immunis'), or simply eventual and dependent on the action expressed by the finite verb. (Ellicott.)

тєбтєīवat, to make a profession of faith, or an act of faith at a particular time: тиarevéc, to believe, to be a believer: סou入ê̂नal, to do an act of service: $\delta o u \lambda e \dot{e} \epsilon \iota \nu$, to be a slàve: $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \in i ̂ \nu, ~ t o ~ c o m m i t ~ a ~ s i n ~: ~ a ́ \mu a \rho \tau a ́ v e l v, ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ s i n n e r . ~(W o r d s-~$ worth on 1 J. 3. 9.) H. 11. 6, $\chi \omega \rho i s$ sd miotews ádivatoy cúapбorच̂бal, to perform a single act well pleasing to God:


The aorist in a negative sentence gives the exclusive meaning, 'at any time,' 'at all,' as it expresses simply the verbal idea, without reference to time. Compare Xenophon's lan-
 oưt' cimóvta oûтe трá̧avta (on no single occasion), totaûta סè

 1. 20). 2 Tim. 2. 11, ei $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ $\sigma \nu \nu a \tau \epsilon \theta$ ávo $\epsilon \in \nu$. The aorist marks a single past act that took place when we gave ourselves up to a life that involved similar exposure to sufferings and death : the Apostle died when he embraced the lot of a daily death



## THE PLUPERPECT.

The pluperfect expresses the completion of some act before a specified time: Xen. Anab. ii. 2. 14, '̇тúrүave $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ ' ' $\phi$ ' $\dot{a} \mu a ́ \xi \eta \xi$ торєиó $\mu \in \nu$ оs $\delta$ cóтє d่тét $\rho \omega т$, for he happened to be travelling in a carriage, because he was suffering the effects of a wound: $L$.


In some passages we find the pluperfect when we should
 goddess was already gone to Olympus: v. 65, tòv $\mu$ 立
 overtook him, he smote him at once. In the New Testament this usage is found in verbs where the perfect has the force of the present, and the pluperfect of the imperfect: M. 12. 46, $\dot{\eta}$




The paulo-post future expresses the permanent effect of a future action.
From the perfects of intransitive verbs are formed present tenses, which may have their own futures: $\theta \nu \eta \dot{j} \kappa \omega$, I am dying. té $\theta \nu \eta \kappa a$, $I$ am dead; from this is formed a present,
 I am placing, ধ́ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$, I have placed myself, or I stand, $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$,


This rule is particularly applicable to perfects of a passive form: $\mu \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$, I call to mind, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \mu a$, , I remember,
 remain written, yeypáqoual, I shall remain written: Aristoph.
 éryeypáqeatal, no one shall be transferred by private interest to another catalogue, but shall remain enrolled as he was at first:

 ween, will long continue angry.

## volces.'

Donaldson remarks, $\mathbb{\$} 430$, "The intransitive usage of verbs is anterior to the transitive. The transitive is merely a causative or secondary signification, and requires an objective case, as a secondary predication, to complete the meaning. Even after the transitive use had become the common and established signification, there was a tendency to fall back on the neuter or independent construction."
Verbs which are habitually spoken of as transitive are used also as intransitive: émi इápoees ग̄yev ó Kîpos, Cyrus was pro-
 made an irruption into Hellas: Thuc. i. 79, т $\omega$ ע $\mu$ ѐे $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \in$ cióv $\omega \nu$



 worse. If this view is correct, it is altogether gratuitous to assert that the transitive and intransitive significations of verbs are continually interchanged, or to speak of multiplied deviations of the voices from their proper meanings. The usage of Greek writers, Homeric, Attic, Hellenistic, is in many respects analogous to our own. There are many expressions we occasionally use for the sake of convenience, though we are not prepared to maintain their grammatical propriety, e. g., the land grows wheat; he walks his horse; they horsed the coach.

These considerations will be sufficient to show the shallowness of the grounds on which many expressions in the New Testament have been pronounced anomalous; such as M. 5. 45,







 тepiotaro, withdraw from, 'make a circuit so as to avoid.'

No difficulty ought to be felt with the following expressions, where the reflective pronoun is sometimes supplied: Mk. 4. 29,




## Passive vorce.

The active verb expresses an action of the subject which is directed to a certain object. The passive represents the object as receiving the action thus directed.

In Greek, the object, whether it is immediate or remote, becomes the subject of the passive verb: тúntci $\mu e$, he strikes




Other prepositions besides úmó are used to express the agent, as éc, àtó, тгós, mapá. The dative is also used for the agent, especially with verbs of the passive perfect.
Those verbs which are followed by two accusatives in the active retain in the passive the accusative of the thing, and many others which in the active have generally the remote








## USAGES OF TEE MIDDLE VERB.

## MIDDLE VOICE.

The middle is only an idiomatic application of the intransitive passive; sometimes it is almost impossible to say whether the verb is middle or passive.

There are four ordinary usages of the Greek middle verb: (1) the reflexive, where it denotes action on the agent with or without an accusative of the part: M. 27. 5, ám $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{F}}$ aro: Mk. 7.



 shall all present ourselves before: G. 6. 7, $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \pi \lambda a v a ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : Mk.
 sense, where the reflexive pronoun is sometimes added by way



 í $\mu \hat{\nu}$ : Tit. 2. 7, бєаитòv тарєХо́ $\mu \in \nu 0$ т тúrov. (3) The causative sense, to get a thing done by intermediate agency: L. 2. 5,
 Oúrarpa, to get his daughter set free: 1 C. 6. 7, סcarl oủxi $\mu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{a} \delta_{ı c \varepsilon} \sigma \theta \theta$; why do ye not rather submit to injury? 11. 6, кєєрáo $\theta \omega$, let her get herself shaven: A. 15. 1, éd̀ $\mu \eta$ $\pi є \rho \iota \tau \in \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \sigma \theta \in$, except ye get yourselves circumcised. (4) The
reciprocal sense，where the parties mutually act with each
 2 C．13．11，катарті广є $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，таракалєї $\theta \epsilon$ ，amend each other＇s



 object is represented as emanating from，or belonging to，the subject of the verb；sometimes called the appropriative middle． In some verbs the reflexive is joined with the reciprocal： J．12．10，¿̉ßou入súvavto dè oi ápxıepeîs，consulted among them－
 already settled among themselves．

In many verbs the causative middle is the correlative of the active：$\phi 0 \beta \in \hat{i} \nu$, to frighten，$\phi о \beta \in \hat{c} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to fear；$\lambda \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ ，to release，$\lambda u ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ ，to ransom ：A．21．24，25，кail aúròs фu入áa－
 2 T．4．15，ôv кaì $\sigma \dot{~} \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o v: ~ M . ~ 5 . ~ 42, ~ \delta a v \epsilon i \sigma a \sigma \theta a b, ~ t o ~ b o r r o w, ~$ to cause money to be lent to oneself ：20．1．7，$\mu \iota \sigma \theta o v \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，to hire，to cause to let to oneself．This relation is frequently expressed in Latin by curare，and in German by the auxiliary verb（sich）lussen．

The term dynamic，or intensive middle，has lately been in－ troduced to denote the appropriate and causative usages when the subject of the verb has a peculiar personal interest and anxiety in the action which he does or causes to be done． Thus Mk．14．47，$\sigma \pi a \sigma a ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o s ~ t \grave{\nu} \nu \mu a ́ \chi a \iota \rho a \nu$ ，expresses more
 of the uses of roceíOal may be referred to this head：A．1． 1 ，


 parts of the body reciprocally and mutually acting on one


 middle is the application of the simple meaning of the active to





 middle voice points to the animus displayed in the outward acts of injury and wrong．

The middle form of a neuter verb is often used to denote the appropriation to an individual of a state or condition．This is particularly common with verbs in－єúc．Some，like ápla $\pi \rho \omega \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} \in \iota \nu, \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ ，are used only in the active form，because they denote a condition，belonging actually or naturally to the subject．Others employ both active and middle forms，because they admit of the idea of an appropriation of the condition： otpatevély，to march on an expedition：$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau e v \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，to be a soldier ： 2 T．2．4，oujסєis otpatevó $\mu \in \nu 0 \varsigma$ ，no one while serving as a soldier：L．3．14，бтparevó $\mu \in \nu 00$ ，men on actual service： тo入ıтevély，to be a citizen，live in a free state ：$\pi$ o入cтev́er $\theta a l$ ，to appropriate the condition of a citizen to oneself individually， to take a part in politics：Phil．1．27，$\mu$ óvod ághos rov̂

 to be an ambassador ：$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta a t$ ，to act by means of an
 counsellor：Thucyd．ii．15，aủтol ěкабтоь émo入ıтєט́òto каl غßovえeviouto．（Donaldson，§432．2．）

Some verbs，though active in form，are used in a middle




 A．27． 43 ，$\dot{a} \pi о \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\prime} \psi$ avtas，having cast themselves out of the


## PASSIVE AND MIDDLE DEPONENTS．

Some middle deponents，in addition to an aorist middle，have an aorist in the passive form，which is completely passive in sense ：$\theta \in a ́ o \mu a \iota, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \theta \in a \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ \grave{e} \theta \in a ́ \theta \eta \nu-i a ́ o \mu a \iota$ ，ia $a \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ i a ́ \theta \eta \nu-$

－Other deponents have an aorist，which is passive in form but active in signification：as $\dot{\eta} \beta_{0} \nu \lambda \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta_{\nu}, \dot{\eta} \delta v \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ，є่ $\pi e \mu e \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}-$ $\theta \eta \nu, \eta \dot{\nu} \lambda a \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ ，$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \nu i \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ ．These are called passive de－ ponents．

## CHAPTER VII.

## THE MOODS OF VERBS.

HYPOTHETICAL PBOPOSITIONS. INFINITIVE AND PARTICIPLES.
The subjunctive and optative in Greek form one mood, corresponding to the subjunctive mood in Latin. The Greek subjunctive supplies the tenses which answer to the subjunotive present and perfect in Latin, while the Greek optative fills up the place of the subjunctive imperfect and pluperfect.
The indicative mood expresses certainty; the conditional mood of present and past tenses formed by the subjunctive and optative expresses uncertainty. "When the result is regarded as certain, the verb is in the indicative; when uncertain, in the subjunotive; when doubly uncertain, depending on some contingency not likely to occur, the optative is used. In English there are three degrees of expectation or likelihood, e. g., Will you come P Can you come? Could you come? You will recover; You may recover; You might recover. These degrees have their exact parallels in Greek, and are expressed respeotively by the indicative, the subjunctive, the optative" (Q. R. No. 225).

This conditional mood frequently appears to be independent; but generally its dependence upon other words is plainly marked by the employment of hypothetical particles and final conjunctions. Some verb may be supplied to show the dependence of the subjunctive and optative, even when they appear to be independent. The subjunctive had originally the idea of futurity, next of duty, corresponding to the English 'ought.' Hence it is frequently hortative, resembling the imperative, or expresses a doubt, especially in questions. The optative seems, as the word implies, to have originally ex-
pressed the idea of wishing, 'could you come $P$ ' then of possibility, resembling the English 'may,' 'might.' In process of time the latter meaning was distinguished by the insertion of ă ${ }^{2}$.
As the subjunctive involved the idea of duty, it was naturally used to denote a purpose: 'I give you this,-you are to use it,' is much the same as, ' I give you this that you may use it.'
As the optative involved the idea of wishing, this mood was also used to denote a purpose: 'I gave you this-may you use it,' is much the same as, 'I gave you this, that you might use it.'
Thus both moods merged into a common idea of conditionality, and were used to denote a purpose, and other relations which involve doubt, uncertainty, or indefiniteness in their statement. From what has been already mentioned, it must be remembered that the subjunctive mood refers to present or future time, like the subjunctive present in Latin; and that the optative refers to past time, like the subjunctive imperfect. But when the past is represented as present, the subjunctive is used after other tenses besides the present and future: G. 3. 19,
 The omission of $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ in this sentence evinces the idea in the mind of St. Paul that all obstacles and difficulties were removed.

SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD.
A qualified future is expressed by the subjunctive, especially when the aorist is used: "Ubique in conjunctivo inest futuri notatio." Hermann : II. i. 262, oủ үáp $\pi \omega$ tolous İov ảvépas oủסè tionuat, 'for never yet have I seen such heroes, nor is it probable I shall behold their like.' Here oứe ò '̛ooual would mean 'I shall certainly not see them.'
 would be, 'whensoever the Lord shall have come.' This, however, is not in accordance with our usual mode of expression,

 spirits with reference to Christ, as will probably be the case, they wish to marry." When the reference to the actual futurity of the subsequent event is less specially contemplated, we may translate it by the present: M. 21. 40 , ö́cav oiv $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta$ o

 whenever the fruit presente itself．If this reference to actual futurity is more distinot and prominent，we must translate it by the future：Mk．8．38，ofs ydp $\Delta_{\nu} \epsilon^{2} \pi a \iota \sigma \chi v \nu \theta \hat{p} \mu \in$ ，for whoever shall be ashamed of me：A．23．35，סıaкоv́ropal бov ठ̈тav nal оі катәүоро！бои тарауฝ̀шутаи．So R．11． 27 ；1 C．16．12，


## 1DEAS EXPRESBED BY THE BDBJUNCTIVE．

From the idea of futurity，the subjunotive is used in horta－ tory and deliberative sentences：il revouat；What will be－
 turn myself？Thus in the hortative sense：M．17．4，тoи ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu e \nu$


 ข่ $\dot{\phi} \phi \omega \mu e \nu: ~ H . ~ 4 . ~ 1, ~ \phi о \beta \eta \theta \omega ิ \mu e \nu ~ o u ̊ v ~ к . т . \lambda . ~$

In some passages the hortative and deliberative ideas are blended together：M．6．31，$\lambda e ́ y o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{\bullet}$ Tl фáy $\omega \mu \in \nu$ ；Mk．4．30，







In the following there is a double act of deliberation as to the person and the part：Mk．15．24，Bád



The idea of duty is prominent in L．12． 5 ，ímodeikw de $\dot{i \mu i v}$ riva $\phi 0 \beta \eta \theta$ चेтe．

After verbs implying command，exhortation，the subjunctive with izva marks the purpose contemplated by the command as well as the immediate subject：L．10．40，cimè oûv aủtp̂，ïva $\mu \mathrm{O}$ бvvàтi入áßəŋтац．

## the ofitative．

The optative expresses a matter subjectively，as conceived of




 1 P．1．2，xápıs i $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ кай єip $\eta \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu \nu \theta e l \eta$ ．
The optative is employed in the Oratio Obliqua，when the sentiments of a speaker are recorded，but not given in his own


 ＂Xoヶ таûta oŭтws．
When an inquirer anticipates uncertainty or indecision in a reply，the presumed contingency or conjectural circumstance passing through his mind is marked by the insertion of äy：





the indicative conjoined with the optatieg．
In some sentences the indicative is used in conjunction with the optative．Here two questions are asked，one of which can be answered without great difficulty，while respecting the other the inquirer assumes some uncertainty：A．21．33，è ivvン日áyeto
 little difficulty in ascertaining what Paul had recently done，but implies some doubt as to learning who he was．A converse change of mood occurs in Xenophon，èteӨavpáket тlves te j̈бav кal il ßoúdouvto．In like manner a greater degree of uncer－ tainty or contingency is marked by the optative than by the

 case he himself is preserved，to give him back the votive offering；but if he were to suffer any mischance to devote the offering．＇The greater amount of indefiniteness implied in the mischance over the fact of preservation is marked by the optative．
conditional propositions．
In the conditional hypothetical the conditional or relative
sentence is called the protasis．（mpótaras），while the sentence which follows is called the apodosis（dंォóסocts）．Donaldson，§ 500－502．
：The protasis is regularly expressed by the particle ei，and when it is thought necessary to express an antecedent to this relative，the particle ăy appears in the apodosis．

There are four classes of conditional propositions，which imply respectively：

I．Possibility，without the expression of uncertainty：el $\tau 6$ ＂Xeb Siסact，＇si quid habet dat，＇if he has any thing he gives it．

II．Uncertainty，with some small amount of probability ：tád Tt＂XZn $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ，＇si quid habeat dabit，＇if he shall have any thing （which is not improbable），he will give it．Uneertainty with the prospect of decision．Objective uncertainty．

III．Mere assumption，without any subordinate idea：$\epsilon_{l} \tau$ ＇＇Xo، $\delta i \delta o i \eta a y \nu$ ，＇si quid habeat det，＇if he were to have any thing he would give it；or，as often as he had any thing he would give it．Subjective uncertainty．

IV．Impossibility，i．$\theta$ ．When we wish to indicate that the thing is not so．
 thing，which is not the case，he would give it．
（b）el̆ $\tau \iota$ ě $\sigma \chi \in \nu$ édewcev ă $\nu$ ，＇si quid habuisset dedisset，＇if he had had any thing，which was not the case，he would have given it． The logical form is，he gives it，therefore he has it．Hence what is logically consequent is grammatically antecedent．

Repeated instances of these forms occur in the New Testa－ ment，with the exception of the third，which is merely assump－ tive or conjectural．

The first class includes all conditional propositions in which the apodosis is expressed by the indicative without ăd or by the imperative．In these cases there is simple supposition，a mere expression of possibility．Any tense of the indicative mood may be used in the protasis with the relative particle ei．Thus the present，future，and aorist occur in the same passage： 2 Tim ．












```
SECOND FORM.
```

This expresses uncertainty，with some small amount of pro－ bability or contingency，with the idea of realization：fád with the subjunctive in the protasis，the indicative future in the

 ผ́s ко́ккоע бıขáтє


 vivid present，assigning a permanent property．So in R．2． 25 ： J．9．31．L．19．40，èàv oṽто九 $\sigma \omega \pi \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ，oi $\lambda(\theta$ o८ кєкра́झovтац：
 for future．Compare G．1． 8 ：R．12．20；13．4．J．12．32，


 трòs aứóv．

The first and second forms occur in A．5．38，39，èà j̉ $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$
 סívaб⿴e ката入ûбaı．

## THIRD FORM．

Mere assumption or conjecture：optative with ei in the protasis，followed by optative with ăy in the apodosis．Of this form no decided instance occurs in the New Testament．But

 happy would ye be．
The protasis is often expressed by a participle：Xen．Anab．



## FOURTH FORM．

The hypothetical condition is expressed as impossible or as contrary to fact：$e i$ with a past tense of the indicative mood in


 42, ei ěrpus ral $\sigma \dot{v}-$ where the apodosis is omitted: J. 4. 10, ei








 them rest he would not continue speaking of another day after


There was a tendency in the later Greek to omit ă $\nu$ in the apodosis; but some think that $a_{\nu}$ was omitted designedly, to express the utmost certainty of an event having taken place, if the restriction implied or expressed in the protasis had not




 admit åy.

## the imperative.

The imperative differs very little in any of its usages from the subjunctive. The subjunctive was originally a determinate tense, like the future, and signified the probable occurrence of something after the time of speaking. By bearing this in mind we may account for the interchange between the indicative future, the subjunctive aorist, and the imperative.
The following are virtually imperative: A. 13. 10, ov่ maúgn



USES OF THE IMPERATIVE MOOD.
The imperative is often used as a mere exclamation, as in sirre, äre, фépe. This may account for its use in M. 8. 32, єlmev
 тaтép $\quad \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, go on till you have equalled the iniquities of

The imperative present and the subjunctive aorist with $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ are used to express prohibitions: Mk. 9. 39, $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \omega \lambda$ úeтє aùtóv: J.
 $\sigma \eta \mathrm{s}: ~ A . ~ 18 . ~ 9, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi o \beta o v ̂, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda d ̀ ~ \lambda a \lambda e i ̂ ~ \kappa a l ~ \mu \eta े ~ \sigma \omega \omega \pi \eta \sigma_{\eta}$.
The distinction of tenses is generally preserved, the present denoting continued or frequently repeated action, and the


In the two following instances the aorist implies, ' do it at once, do it quickly, do it for this once, not constantly:' J. 2.
 Scakoolous. "Presens et aoristus in cemteris prester indicativum modis eo maximo differunt, quod presens rem durantem vel sospius repetitam, aoristus rem absolutam aut semel factam indicat.

 hoc brevi temporis momento fit." Hermann.

Two imperatives are sometimes united, either with or without cal, where the second denotes a consequence of the first: J. 1.




The second imperative is here equivalent to a future, as in the phrase, 'divide et impera.' This usage may be arranged under the second form of hypothetical propositions, where the





The infinitive is used in a preceptive sense as a substitute for the imperative, to express what must or ought to take place. This is especially the case in aphorisms and the language of legislation, where a tone of importance, authority, or solemnity





## the INfintilive mood and participle．

＂The use of the infinitive in dependent clause $/$ may be thus distinguished from the use of the participle．The infinitive is used when the real olject of the governing verb is an act or
 ticiple is used when the real object of the governing verb is a person or thing whose act or state is described by the participle， $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} a ̆ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \theta \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau a$ ．The infinitive is a substantive expressing an act or state；the participle is an adjective ex－ pressing an act or state；if，then，the object of the verb is an act or state，the verb is followed by an infinitive used like a common noun．But when the object of a verb is a person or thing the participle agrees with the object，and expresses its act or state．＂（Jacob，§ 135．）
（The infinitive is most frequently used as a supplement of other ideas，and especially of verbal ideas．If the idea expressed by a verb is complete，the verb denotes an independent event， or an action finished in itself．If the idea is incomplete，the verb requires a more accurate definition by way of supplement to convey the idea with perfect clearness．）Verbs which express an incomplete idea are such as denote some internal faculty and power，the operation of the will，of thought，or of sensation．
（Verbs which denote the operation of sensation require only． the supplement of the object to which the feeling is directed， and by which it is excited．This is expressed by the participle， Other verbs which express an incomplete idea are supplemented by the epexegetical infinitive，expressive of object，design，pur－ pose．In this way the infinitive becomes one form of the final sentence，though aftor verbs of＇command，＇＇entreaty，＇Iva with a conditional mood is often used instead．

USES OF THE INFINITIVE MOOD．
The infinitive is used for one form of the final sentence，mark－





．Frequently the infinitive is used as the Latin supine，which is merely a verbal substantive presenting a supplementary idea：


Sometimes the infinitive is merely explanatory，as in 1 Th .4.
 its negative side；the positive side is set forth by eióéval ฮ̌кабтоע $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda .$, of which there follows a specific exemplifi－


## the infinitive as a verbal noun．

The infinitive is also used to denote the subject of a pro－ position，as in English ：
＂To meet，to know，to love，and then to part，
Is the sad tale of many a haman heart．＂







The infinitive is also employed to express the object ：Il．ii． 290，ódúpovtal oicóvסe vée $\theta a l$ ，they lament the voyage home－ ward：Xen．Cyr．vii．1，т⿳⺈⿵⺆一 סè Kípe кal тoîs á $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ aủròv




 of the prayer： 1 Th .3 .13 ，cis tò $\sigma \pi \eta \rho / \xi a \iota ~ i \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \nu$ tas кap $\delta l a s$, the end and aim of the $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \iota: ~ 2 ~ T h . ~ 3 . ~ 6, ~$


The infinitive is used as a verbal substantive in the genitive to explain the purpose，design，or object，from which the action







Thus the infinitive is used according to the usual rules
affecting the genitive, 'in relation to,' 'in respect to:' L. 24.

 íтобтрéфeıv סù Maкeלovlas.

The infinitive is also used in the dative: M. 13. 25, in $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 as the subject of the infinitive is very common: L. $1.8 ; 9.36$;
 1 Th. 3. 3, т $\hat{\varphi} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu a \quad \sigma a l \nu \in \sigma \theta a!$.

## - Particirles.

The participle describes an act or state, and agrees with the

 coming.
The use of the participle in Greek is much more frequent and diversified than in other languages. We may arrange its employment under two general heads.
I. In a dependent proposition as a supplement to verbs which express an incomplete idea.
II. In intermediate propositions to assign closer definitions of the principal verb, or of a noun in the principal proposition.

## I. the participle in dependent propositions.

Verbs which denote a result of sensation and express a perception by the organs of sense or by internal comprehension, as well as those which describe a state of feeling, take their eupplement in the participle: olda $\theta_{u \eta \tau o ̀ s ~}^{\omega} \nu$ : $\mu \notin \mu \nu \eta \sigma o$ ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega-$



 assured that you have.

## the participle to express the supplementary idea.

The participle imparts the supplementary idea to verbs of ceasing, beginning, continuing, because the action of the principal verb can only be realized by the occurrence of the act expressed by the dependent verb. As the ideas of commencement, cessation, \&c., can only be predicated of a real action, this reality is inconsistent with the abstract nature of the


 $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l$. These may be considered temporal sentences where the participle appears as a secondary predicate.

If the subject which belongs to the participle stands with the principal verb as the remote object in the genitive or dative, the participle agrees with it in case: $\mathfrak{j} \sigma \theta \dot{O} \mu \eta \nu$ aút $\hat{\nu} \nu$ oio $\rho \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ cival $\sigma 0 \phi \omega \tau \dot{a} \tau \omega \psi$, I perceived that they fancied themselves very
 $\lambda$ ákes, I at no time repented of being silent, but many times of speaking. With these verbs a participle is used, because in the dependent proposition a state is assigned in which the subject or object of the chief proposition exists. If however the state is one which either has yet to take place, or merely might take place, the reason for the use of the participle disappears and the verbs are followed by the infinitive. Hence many verbs differ in meaning as they are followed by the infinitive or participle. Aiaxúvopat is followed by the infinitive when the action is not performed through shame, but by the purticiple when an action has been performed of which the doer is ashamed : à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ IV $\sigma \omega$ s aio $\chi$ vivø $\lambda$ éryelv тaîta, but perhaps you are ashamed to say these
 ashamed to do it; I refrain from doing it through shame: éỳ
 admonish you: aio $\chi^{\prime} \nu 0 \mu a l ~ \pi o ו \eta ́ \sigma a s, ~ I ~ a m ~ a s h a m e d ~ t h a t ~ I ~ d i d ~$ it. "ApXoual is followed by the participle when the assigned state has already taken place, but by the infinitive when it is

 beginning to come on. But in the New Testament äpXo $\mu a \iota$ is



'Aкov́ $\omega$, $\mu a \nu \theta$ áv $\omega$ take the participle when a fact is adduced which we know or perceive with our own senses; the infinitive when we rely on the authority of others: $\dot{\eta} \kappa 0 \cup \sigma^{\sigma} \theta \eta \nu$ тov $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta$ évous $\lambda$ érovtos, I heard Demosthenes speak; I heard his voice: áкov́e тò̀ $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta_{\text {év }} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ 入éretv, I am told that Demosthenes вays.
$\Phi$ aivopar is followed by the participle when the object really
is as it appears to be；by the infinitive when the likeness is not real，but only apparent：фalvetal $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } \nu \text { aja日ós，he is evidently }\end{aligned}$ and really good ：фalvetal elvar áyaOós，he appears to be good：
 things，he was just as though he wept；but кдaiwy éфalveтo，
 unctevoures，that in the sight of men they may really fast： unotevect would imply that they were satisfied with the appear－ ance，even though it was considered to be an outward show．

## the Participle in depindennt propasitions．

Verbs of declaring，announcing，take the participle when an event is stated as a fact；the infinitive when an event is stated as a matter of intelligence ：à $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma^{e} \lambda \theta \eta \eta$ Потiסaıa mo入ıop－
 Hoтiठaca то入ьоркeíOAt，when the intelligence comes in the form of a report．
Verbs which express subordinate definitions of an action take frequently the participle of the verb which expresses the principal action．Such verbs are $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega$ ，$\lambda a v \theta a ́ v \omega, \phi \theta a ́ v \omega$,


 they have：Xaípovouv èmaıvoûעтes，they praise gladly：è $\phi \theta \eta \nu$
 In these cases the participle expresses the principal idea，to which the idea of the verb is merely accessary（see 1 Tim .1 .12 ， 13，quoted in Chapter VIII．，under öтc）：M．17．25，троé $\theta a \sigma \in \nu$


 secretly maintained for him．Thus we may explain A．10．33，

 account I know confidently：LXX，Jer．23．6，＇I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda \lambda$ катa－


II．the participle in intermediate propositions．
tertiary predicate．
The participle in intermediate propositions may be arranged under seven heads：（1）explanatory，giving collateral defini－
tions of single words，expressed by the relative who，which；（2） temporal，marking relations of time，expressed by particles， while，after，when；（3）causal，adducing reasons，expressed by the particles because，since，as；（4）conditional，marking rela－ tions of condition，concession，expressed by the particles if， although；（5）the final sentence；（6）periphrastic tenses；（7） absolute use．The correct translation of participles will always be modified by the context；as from this alone we can deter－ mine to which of these uses it ought to be assigned．
（1）As an instance of collateral definitions we may refer to M．10．4，＇Ioúסas＇Iбкариútฑs ó каì ттараסoùs aủtóy：A．4．36， ＇I $\omega \sigma \eta_{\mathrm{s}}$ ó è $\left.\pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \theta_{\mathrm{E}}\right)_{\varsigma}$ Bapváßas．
the temporal and caubal relations of participles．
（2）Temporal relations of participles may denote coincident





When a participle and verb are combined together，both in the past tense，we can only determine by the sense whether the action described by the participle is antecedent to that of the verb or is coincident with it．In the following it seems to be





In the following，the action denoted by the participle is antecedent to the action described by the verb：as in Il．i．6，
 at first they quarrelled and separated：Mk．15．43，тo $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a$ s $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ，had the courage to go in：L．11．8，єi кai ov＇$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$





（3）Causal relations，＇because，＇＇since：＇A．4．21，oi סè тробатєє入ךбव́á $\frac{1}{}$ кo入docoutal aúroús，since they found no matter on which to punish them：R．6．6，тои̂to yıvéoкоขтes，since we know this
 áкov́бavtes $\tau \grave{̀} \nu$ т $\boldsymbol{l} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega ิ \nu$, because we heard: E. 1. 12, eis
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$, seeing that we previously hoped in Christ: 1 T. 1. 12,










CONDITIONAL RELATTONS.
(4) Conditional relations, ' although,' 'if:' J. 12. 37, тобаиิтa







The participle in a concessive sense is often used with kal,




The Hellenistio use of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with the participle embraces the ideas 'if not,' ' because not,' ' though not:' L. 9. 33, $\mu \bar{\eta}$ єidìs ó $\lambda$ éyet: here $\mu \eta$ introduces an apology. 1 T. 6. 4, $\mu \eta \delta \not ̇ \nu$
 have been a somewhat more emphatic declaration of absolute ignorance. Frequently $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ introduces a reason: M. 1. 19, кai
 rpaфás: R. 4. 19, кaì $\mu \grave{̀} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̂ ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota: ~ 9 . ~ 11, ~ \mu \eta ́ \pi \omega ~$
 VIII.

## the final sentence.

(5) The future participle is employed in one form of the
 $\sigma \omega \nu$ eis 'Iepouval ${ }^{\prime} \mu$. When the actions of the participle and the verb are coincident the present is used: R. 15. 25 , vuvt
 my way to Jerusalem, ministering to the saints.' His whole journey was an act of ministration.

## PERIPHRASTIC TENSES.

(6) Periphrastic tenses are often formed with the participle
 the man he keeps him so; i. e. he keeps dishonouring, he con-
 But the expression is probably a Latinism: "Excusatum me habeas, rogo." This periphrastic usage is very common in the New Testament, with ci i, yivo ${ }^{\prime}$, denoting habit, or the uninterrupted continuance of an action : M. 7. 29, $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ rà $\rho \delta_{\iota} \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ aủroús (L. 13. 10) : Mk. 15. 43, ท̊ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi$ о́ $\mu \in \nu 0$ : M. 19. 22,


 yá̀aктоৎ, ye have become such as have need: Mk. 1. 4, é'ध́́veтo 'I $\omega$ áv $\bar{n}$ : $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega \nu$ ('extitit;' there arose, there appeared): L .

 dктєvท̀s $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ strain.

Mr. Wratislaw remarks: "Almost any verb may be periphrased by the corresponding substantive with é $\chi \omega$, either in an


 $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho о \pi \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{v} \gamma^{\prime}$ é̀ $\chi \omega \nu$; what do you desire? Plato, Menex.



 $\kappa a \rho \pi \delta^{\prime} \nu$ is used in the sense of $\sigma v \nu a ́ y \epsilon \iota \nu$, reap, or $\phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$, produce. It may be doubtful which sense is to be preferred in R. 1. 13,
 what fruit did ye then reap? 22 , ë $\chi$ cтe tò̀ карто̀̀ $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ cis áyıa $\mu$ óv, ye bear your fruit resulting in holiness. So $\lambda a \mu \beta$ á$\nu \in L \nu$ with a substantive is frequently used as a periphrasis for a
 (Notes and Dissertations, pp. 47. 126.)

## absolute cases.

(7) The participle is not only attached to one of the nouns in the principal proposition, but may receive a new subject of its own. Thus the participle forms with its subject a distinct member in the proposition, and as it is put in a case which is independent of the principal verb, the participle is said to be used absolutely. As the general use of the participle absolute is to designate a relation of time or to assign a cause, we find that the genitive is used absolutely more frequently than any other case, though all the cases are thus used- occasionally. Instances of the genitive absolute have been already given in Chapter V.

The nominative is used absolutely in expressions of time :
 $\kappa a l$ таралаß $̀ \nu$ к.т.入. Hęre we may supply $\delta \iota a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu a, \chi \rho o ́ v o s: ~$
 instances may be classed under the head of anacoluthon or change of construction: M. 12. 36, тầ $\dot{\rho} \eta \mathrm{\eta} \mu a$ ápyò̀ $\delta$ दà ${ }^{2} \nu$

 ávoji $\phi \rho o v / \mu \varphi$. Participles in the nominative are put absolutely in proverbial expressions and quotations: 2 P. 2. 22, Kú $\omega \boldsymbol{\iota} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$

 aủcôv. By supplying the verb of existence, participles and adjectives become equivalent to imperatives. Repeated instances occur in R. 12. 9-13. 16-19: 1 P. 3. 1, $\dot{\rho} \mu \mathrm{oi} \omega \mathrm{s}$, ai
 occurs in the same passage by adopting the correct punctuation,

 $\pi r o ́ \eta \sigma \iota \nu$. The aorist points to a definite, special act. Hence we must understand it to mean, "Whose daughters ye became on the profession of your faith in Christ. Go on doing good, and have no fear of any sudden alarm."

The dative may be put absolutely in M. 8. 1, катаßávтı aìт̣̂̀
 second aúrê may be regarded as redundant, Chapter III.) In classical Greek this dative defines the time and assigns the


 they were cut off from the sea, and ravaged by land, some attempted to bring the state to the Athenians.

The accusative is used absolutely when a narrator assigns by conjecture the motives which influenced the agent. Frequently we can only account for the accusative by considering that the construction is defective, or that the mode of ex-






 in $\kappa \eta \rho u \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota):$ E. 1. 18, $\pi \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ évous toùs $\dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o u ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ิ s$ $\delta \iota a \nu o i a s ~ \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$. This we may regard as a quasi-apposition with the preceding verse, or may consider that St. Paul intended to begin the verse with ciç tò cióévac ímâs, as denoting the effect




## CHAPTER VIII.

## PARTICLES.

Under the term particles are included all the parts of speech which are not declined or conjugated, as adverbs, conjunctions, prepositions.

In the present chapter the conjunctions and some of the adverbs are presented as nearly as possible in alphabetical order. Copulatives like cal, тé, negatives as ov̉, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, and final particles as IVa, $\bar{\circ} \pi \omega \mathrm{s}$, will be considered together.
(Jacob, § 102. Donaldson, § 547.)
"Conjunctions connect words or sentences, and mark the nature of their connexion. Connected sentences are either coordinate, or one of them is subordinate to the other.
"Co-ordinate sentences are connected (a) by copulatives connecting objects to be considered conjointly, as каí, т́́, тé-кaĺ, both-and; (b) by disjunctives connecting objects to be considered separately, as $\eta$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ roo, either; (c) by adversatives expressing opposition or distinction, à $\lambda \lambda a ́, \mu e ́ \nu-\delta e ́ . ~$
"Subordinate sentences are appended to the principal by temporal conjunctions when they are supplementary."
'A $\lambda \lambda a ́$, pl. of ä $\lambda \lambda$ os, 'other,' 'otherwise.' Generally rendered 'but,' ' but on the contrary,' 'but still ;' an adversative conjunction used after negative sentences, seclusive and antithetical, to express something different from what was before said; limiting or opposing whole sontences or single clauses. The sentence introduced by ả入入á corrects and explains the preceding clause. In many cases the denial is comparative rather than absolute; but the negative clause precedes to give emphasis to the positive assertion: A. 5. 4, oùn é $\psi \in v \in \sigma \omega \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{-}$






Sometimes à $\lambda \lambda a ́$ is omitted : Tit. 2. 3, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oì $\omega$ то $\pi \lambda \hat{\varphi}$


ả $\lambda \lambda a ́$ introduces an emphatic antithesis after a full negative:

 After a negative clause followed by a parenthesis, where the translation may be, 'Nay rather-on the contrary:' H. 10.3,

$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda c^{\prime}$ is used in exhortations and entreaties where a negative clause is suppressed: Hom. Il. i. 32, ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \check{\imath} \theta \iota, \mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \rho^{\prime} \in \iota \iota \zeta$,
 ‘'but do you, I pray, at once give up:' Arrian, v. 26, á $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$


In a series of questions involving distinct or opposite ideas:
 tion, or series of objections: R. x. 16, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s . \dot{u} \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa o v \sigma a \nu$
 exyipoytal oi veкpol; In an exclusive sense, ' except:' G. 1. 12,




$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ́$ introduces a new and cumulative argument, 'sand,'

 ' Nay, a man will rightly say.'
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$ augments and strengthens a previous idea: L. 12. 7,




 the Word of God has not been and is not bound.'
à $\lambda \lambda a ́$ confirms a preceding statement, 'yea:' 10.3 .2 , oữ $\omega$

 tenendum est oppositione Græcis id efficere, quod nos affirmatione consequi studemus.' Klotz. This is especially the case after ov


 тón $\omega, \kappa$ к.т.入., ' posterior notio, ut gravior, in locum prioris substituitur, priore non plane sublato.'
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$ strengthens the inference after a hypothetical clause, and may be rendered 'assuredly:' Mk. 14..29, кai ei mávres





 a verb or clause may be supplied: Mk. 14. 49, à ald (roûto


 oùc eíal тávtes ék $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$. Some would quote Mk. 9. 8 ; 10. 40, but in these passages $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}^{\prime}$ has the exclusive sense 'except.'
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ after a negation, followed by $\eta_{\eta}$, may be rendered 'other than,' though here it is the neuter ä̀ $\lambda \lambda 0$, ă $\lambda \lambda a$, and not $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ :
 oú $\chi$ l, $\lambda$ é $\gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \delta_{\delta a \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v, ~ n o ~ o t h e r ~ t h a n ~ d i v i s i o n: ~}^{\text {a }}$
 So in 1 C. 3. 5, though some editors omit $a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$. Xen. Anab.




$a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a a^{i}$ is often joined with other particles, and in this combination has a special idiomatic value: $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \varsigma$, but still: $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ oìv, but at any rate: $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \vec{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀{ }_{a} \mu \in ́ \nu \tau o \iota$, but surely: ád $\lambda \lambda a ́$
 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu, \dot{u} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau o c$, followed by $\gamma \epsilon$, but at any rate not.
ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{c}$, otherwise than rightly, fruitlessly: 1 T. 5. 25, $\tau \dot{d}$
 openly manifest, cannot remain concealed: Thucyd. i. 109, тà $\chi \propto \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega s$ ávaloûto, otherwise than for any good, i.e. in
vain : ă $\lambda \lambda \omega s$ тe $\kappa a l$, both otherwise and яo, i. e. especially above all.
ä $\mu a$, 'at the same time,' strengthens the participle in temporal sentences, and often indicates emphatically an additional circumstance: A. 24. 26, ä $\mu a$ סè кaì è $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \nu: 27.40, a ̆ \mu a \dot{a} \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$



 serves to unite very different actions: Il. viii. 64, ă $\mu$ ’ oi $\mu \omega \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ т тe
 e $\beta_{0} \dot{j} \theta o v v$, as soon as the tidings came they rushed to give help. All instances of the adverb have the notion of time, though it sometimes involves that of place or quality.
äpa, 'still farther,' 'beyond that,' an illative particle marking a transition, or drawing a conclusion, 'therefore;' sometimes as in Homer it merely fixes attention on a word: 1l. i. 96, тoüvec'



 refers to a previous discourse on the subject: Mk. 9. 34: H. 4.

 $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ v o l a \nu$ éd $\omega \kappa \kappa \epsilon$ єis $\zeta \omega \eta ̀ \nu-$. Denoting slight surprise, sudden and unexpected inference: A. 21. 38, oùk ăpa $\sigma \dot{v}$ cỉí Aivúrtioos, thou art not then as I supposed. In Epic usage äpa marks immediate transition and actual connexion; in Attic usage it has a regular illative force in direct conclusions and by way of oblique inference.

Logical conclusion in the apodosis of hypothetical proposi-




 significationem levioris cujusdam ratiocinationis quæ indicat rebus ita comparatis aliquid ita aut esse aut fieri." Klotz.

The weaker ratiocinative force of apo is sometimes supported
 tò à áaOòv $\pi$ тòs đávtas, accordingly then.
ci with ăpa marks a result about which some uncertainty is




${ }_{a} \rho \rho$ is also used as an interrogative，and is written apa． When $\tilde{a}^{\rho} a$ stands first in the sentence the stress is laid on the verb，when it stands second the stress is laid on the interroga－



 standest $P$ expecting the answer in the negative：G．2．17，ei $\delta \mathrm{d}$


In some of these instances ápa ocours in combination with $\gamma e$ ， which is one of the particles employed to invigorate discourse， to give strength and prominence to single ideas．Other par－ ticles of the same class are $\pi \epsilon \rho, \delta \eta \dot{\prime} . \quad \gamma \in$ repeatedly occurs pre－ ceding ăpa，and thus forms the compound ráp．
The force of $\gamma \in$ is to strengthen the idea of the word to which it is attached．It is found in combination with other particles， and is frequently joined to personal pronouns．Generally it is used in rejoinders and answers，either to confirm or restrict，and in exhortations to render them more impressive．In English re can only be rendered by laying an emphasis on the word to which it is attached，but frequently it may be translated by certainly，at least，now，yes，quite，very，surely．L．11．8，入éry

 heightens the contrast between the two grounds，friendship and emergency；between the two acts，rising up and raised from sleep：R．8．32，ös $\gamma \in$ tov̂ îlov vioû oủk é申elfato，he surely seeing that he spared not－＇quippe qui：＇1．C．4．s，cail ǒфe入óv ye
 strong satire，and I quite wish ye were lings，that we too may be kings jointly with you．
So also it is used with adverbs and conjunctions：$\mu \in \nu=\bar{\nu} \gamma \in$ ， $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}+i \boldsymbol{\gamma e}$ ．Thus in L．24． 21 it increases the tone of despondency：
 à $\phi$＇ой таüта évévєто．

「áp is regularly used in causal sentences，expressing the force of $\boldsymbol{y}$ ，verily，combined with ăpa，therefore，further，nearly the same in signification as yov̂v（ $\gamma \in$ oủv）：yáp signifies＇the fact is，＇＇in fact，＇＇as the case stands，＇having a more extensive meaning than the English for，since it expresses the cause， reason，motive，principle，occasion，inducement，of what has been previously affirmed or implied．

Explanatory of a preceding statement，＇namely，＇＇to wit：＇
 the preceding oütcos，which implies that there was something extraordinary in the manner of Christ＇s birth：R．2．12，öcol

 The ráp explains the reason why night is not mentioned in the preceding clause．

Introductory of a reason for a statement or an inquiry：Mk．


 $\dot{a} \tau \mu$ is $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ á $\dot{\jmath} \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda .$, a vapour in fact it is．

To introduce the discussion of a proposition ： 2 C．12．1，cav－


 $\kappa . \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．

To recall attention to a thought already expressed ： 1 Th .2.



 second ráp corrects the preceding thought in reference to
 they thought good，at the same time their debtors they are： 1
 our sakes in every sense does the law say it？for our sakes，in fact，it was written．Such is its force in answers；the a apa serves to sum up the premisses in which the assertion is made；

 ráp，no indeed，as the case stands．
 ஸ̀v èk тávt $\kappa \in \rho \delta \delta^{\eta} \sigma \omega$.

With an interrogative ráp introduces a remonstrance: A. 19.
 this disturbance) for what man-? M. 27. 23, rl yà $\kappa$ какòv èmoincev ; (why this demand) for what evil did he? 9. 5, il yà $\rho$

 then do we draw, in case some disbelieved P J. 7. 41, $\mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \bar{e} \kappa$
 Christ comes out of the Galilee-country $P$
$\delta \eta$ is probably shortened from $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$, and as the weaker form is put after one or more words in a sentence, it usually serves to strengthen or limit the word to which it is attached. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ denotes the definiteness and certainty of an expression. We find it with adverbs of place and time, to restrict their indefinite sense to some certain point, like the English just, even, now, only; with interrogative particles and hortatives for stronger emphasis, but, then, well, nay; for a sign of authenticity, of a truth, really, assuredly. Compare $\gamma \epsilon, \pi \epsilon \rho: \pi \epsilon \iota a ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ каl


 кai t $\bar{\varphi} \delta i \kappa a i \varphi \varphi \delta \eta_{n}$, this then befits the free man, and certainly

 12. $1, \kappa a \nu \chi a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ oú $\sigma \nu \mu \phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon t$. $\mu \circ$, to boast assuredly is not for my advantage.
$\delta{ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$ indicates an act to be executed without delay: 1 C. 6. 20,



$\delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v$ is equivalent to 'opinor,' I wot and you allow. ठ $\eta$ ' with an assertion gives decision and confidence. mou universalizes this decision and confidence, implies the success of an universal appeal for the truth of what is said. (Alford.) $\delta \eta^{\prime} \pi o v$
 $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v e \tau a l$. $\delta \tilde{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$, 'as they said,' is used when the writer states the declarations of other persons, and wishes to remind
the reader that he does not vouch for their sincerity, but merely repeats what they themselves professed to be true. (Arnold, Thucyd. i. 92.)
éáv, єí, in case-. (See on äpa, and on Hypothetical Propositions, Chapter VII.) If and $\epsilon i$ have in reality no connexion:
$\epsilon i$ is used after verbs expressing mental emotion: Mk. 15. 44,


Thus we may explain the alleged use of $\epsilon i$ for $\epsilon i \theta \varepsilon:$ L. 12. 49, cai ri $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega$; $\epsilon i \quad \eta \eta \delta \eta \dot{a} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \eta$, and what will I? in case it were already kindled I should have my desire. $\epsilon i$ is used with the optative mood to express a wish, but with past tenses of the indicative to express an impossible wish. ei introduces a statement which is hardly credible: A. 26. 8, тi ätıotov крlvetal map í $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ei ó Ocòs veкрoùs éreíper; what! is it past belief in your judgment, in case we assert God raises the dead?

As an interrogative particle in a question implying some doubt or uncertainty, an, ne: L. 22. 49, Kúp $\iota \epsilon$, є $\boldsymbol{i}$ тaтágo $\mu \in \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ raxaipa; in case we shall strike with the sword will it please

 трผิтos, к.т.入., discussing whether the Christ is to suffer, whether first after rising from the dead he is to announce light to the people and to the Gentiles.
Also where a negative reply is anticipated, num: Mk. 15. 44,


In some cases, as in A. 26. 8. 23, ei may be considered as equivalent to ö̃t, especially in H. 7. 15, where ö $\tau \iota$ is in the

 more abundant evidence still it is manifest, that according to the similitude of Melchizedek there arises a priest of a different line. Mr. Wratislaw refers to Plato as using ei for örı after
 aí $\chi$ úve $\sigma \theta a \nu$, and in Hdt. i. 24, after $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \eta^{\nu}$.

In oaths and solemn assertions there is an ellipsis of a clause, ' non vivam,' giving to $\epsilon i$ the force of a negative: I stake my existence upon the truth of what I say. The full form is found



 ноv.
ei with $\tau l_{s}$ loses its hypothetical force, like 'si quis,' in case any, whosoever, whatever: L. 14. 26, cll Tis $\theta$ ề $\epsilon \iota:$ Mk. 4. 23,

 ferent precept there is.
$\epsilon i$ with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ introduces an incredible or untenable hypothesis:



Hence we may explain the alleged use of $e l \mu \eta$ for $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ : L.

 the hypothesis, that they would bring forward this case as an
 єiбıv oi тарáббоутes к.т.д., you cannot apply the name of Gospel to the teaching of such men: G. 2. 16, oủ סıкацои̂тal ä̀ $\nu$ Oрштоs
 may translate , '̇à $\nu \mu \eta$ literally and simply, 'in case he is not
 seeing that (the day will not arrive at all) in case there come not the falling away first.
cira is connected with ei, as relative to antecedent, marking succession of time, then, next, Lat. deinde; succession of thought, accordingly, Lat. ita, itaque. Closely connected is éveita, the relative of $\boldsymbol{e}^{2} \pi \in$, marking the sequence of one thing from another, thereupon, immediately aftervards: IL. 16. 7 , ä $\pi \in \epsilon \tau a$

eita strengthens a concessive sentence, and introduces a


$\cdot \boldsymbol{d} \pi \epsilon l$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta \delta{ }^{\prime}$ are causal particles, since, since if so, otherwise,


 protasis; the apodosis to which commences (9) with äpa: H .

 dead, otherwise we can never conceive of its having force when he who disposed of the property continues alive: H. 10. 2, èmei oùx àv «̇пaúvavto пробферó $\mu \in \nu a l$; since if otherwise (if these
sacrifices could have rendered complete the drawere-nigh), would they not have ceased in being offered ?
 be the thought; for if so, if there be any force in such an objection, how shall God judge the world $P$ Dr. Vaughan well remarks: "Thus intellectual difficulties in religion are best met by moral axioms. It may sound plausible to say, 'If man's sin contributes ultimately to God's justification, God cannot justly punish it.' But conscience, ever a safer guide than the intellect, echoes the language of revelation, which declares the coming judgment; and that judgment presupposes that sin can be, and will be, justly punished. Let this suffice us. So also in R.9.18. The method of Scripture is to state each of two apparently conflicting principles (e. g., God's grace, and man's responsibility) singly and separately, and leave conscience, rather than intellect, to reconcile and adjust them."
$\eta$, a disjunctive particle, 'either-or,' like 'vel,' and a comparative, like ' quam.'

In the disjunctive sense, $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ is sometimes united with rol: $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$.
 íтакоฑ̂ऽ єis סıкаьобúvŋข ;
The positive adjective with $\eta^{\prime}$ (quam) is equivalent to the

 aíćntov.
 єỉs oúס̇̇̀ èт
$\eta_{\eta}$, truly, verily, is used to strengthen or confirm an assertion in close combination with other vocables.
$\eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, the usual intensive form of oaths: H. 6. 14, $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu$
 ă $\sigma t v$.

- ${ }^{\circ} \delta \eta$, now, already, a temporal particle, marks an action as




Also of the immediate future, presently, soon: R. 1. 10, elimws
 relation, what is near to this place : Hdt. iii. 5, ámò тaúr $\eta s, \eta$ グ $\delta \eta$ Alyumros, directly after this is Egypt. Hence what is near
to the present time，calling attention to what is taking place on the spot，and at the moment：Aristoph．Rance 527，ov $\tau \dot{\prime} \chi$＇， $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta \pi o \omega \omega$ ．Both place and time are combined in Mk．8．2，
 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \ell \delta o \mu a \iota$ ．

Zua and ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega c$ are final particles，indicating purpose，＇to the end that，＇＇in order that．＇They also mark the event or result of an action，that in which the action terminates，so that．In these cases the final sentence approximates to the illative． The eventual oonclusion is so prominently contemplated，as to obscure the notion of finality．These senses are termed re－ spectively the telic and ecbatic．There is also a third sense， partially final，marking the purport of prayer，in which the telic and ecbatio are combined．The telio is the original sense， the other meanings arise from the context．

The object or end designed：J．5．34，taûta $\lambda$ éryw Ĩva ímeîs




 law comes in by the way for a special purpose－that the trans－ gression may be more clearly displayed．20．4．7，éxouev $\delta \underset{\text { et }}{ }$ тòv $\left.\begin{array}{l}\eta \\ \eta\end{array}\right)$ Suvá $\mu \epsilon \omega$ ！̀̉ тov̂ $\theta \in e \hat{v}$ ，＇in order that，＇marking God＇s provi－ dential design．Cf． 1 C． 1.15 ；Philem．（13）： 1 J．1．9，$\pi \iota \sigma$ тós
 just，in order to forgive us our sins．The divine attributes of faithfulness and righteousness are exercised in order to our pardon．God is able to treat sinners as righteous without any impeachment of His own righteousness．In fulfilling the pro－ mises of forgiveness through Christ，He establishes His own

 tained，＇tending to prevent you doing．＇There are two op－ posing principles．The ultimate end of either principle is to prevent man executing what the other principle would lead him to．＂Tò $\Pi_{\nu \in \dot{v} \mu a}$ impedit vos quo minus perficiatis $\tau \grave{a} \cdot \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ $\sigma a \rho \kappa o ́ s, ~ c o n t r a ~ \grave{\eta}$ бáp $\xi$ adversatur vobis ubi đà $\tau 0 \hat{v} \Pi \nu \in v ́ \mu a r o s$ peragere studetis．＂Winer．

There are three instances in which iva occurs in a telic sense
particles－iva， $8 \pi \omega s$ ．
with verbs of the indicative mood：C．4．17，iva aưrخ̀ $\pi \lambda_{\eta \eta \rho o i ̂ s ~: ~}^{\text {：}}$
 $\phi \nu \sigma \iota \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta e \kappa a t a ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ étépou．But these forms may be considered subjunctive，as all these verbs end in－ów．＂The subjunctive and optative were both formed by lengthening the vowel of the indicative．Where that lengthening had already taken place， the Greeks remained content with it，and employed the one inflexion for the double purpose．So $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \hat{a} \sigma \theta e$ stands both for the indicative and the subjunctive．＂（Q．R．Jan．1863．）This will explain 1 Th .4 ．13，where many read îva $\mu \eta{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ e．In Tit．2．4，Scholz reads lva $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu i \zeta \omega \sigma$ 亿．
：
In G．2．4，the indicative future is probably the correct
 кaraסou入círovolv：the future conveys the idea of duration more distinctly than would have been done by the aorist．An ecbatic or eventual sense may here be given to Iva，＇in which case，＇ ＇under these circumstances，＇analogous to the use of liva by classical writers as an adverb marking place，circumstance： ＇Va rฑ̂s，ubi terrarum：Soph．Ajax 386，oùx ópậs＂$\nu$＇єl какоv̂； seest thou not in what a depth of woe thou art？So öтov， which is properly an adverb of place，is also used as an illative particle．

It is not easy to state the exact difference between ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \varsigma$ and Iva in this usage．It may be that the relatival compound ${ }^{\circ} \pi \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}$ involves reference to manner，while ${ }^{2} v a$ retains some tinge of its primary reference to locality．＂The real practical differences are that ötios has often more of an eventual aspect，and is used with the future，and occasionally associated with äy－both which constructions are inadmissible with the final＂va．＂ （Ellicott， 2 Th．1．12．）ö $\pi \omega s$ is not only a final conjunction， denoting end or purpose，but is also a simple conjunction，cor－ relative to $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ，denoting the way or manner．In Latin，ut is used te入cкผิs and écßatıкผ̂s，both to express a design and result．The later Greek writers frequently violated the dis－ tinction between iva and あ゙नтe from confusion of thought．Dr． Arnold well remarks（Thuc．i．72）：＂ó ôatє ö ö $\omega$ s is＇videte quâ ratione，＇and in this sense the indicative future is more usual and more reasonable than the subjunctive aorist．The alleged differences between the future and aorist are so fine that com－ mon language cannot be expected always to distinguish be－ tween them；nor can we say with confidence which of the twa
the context most requires, even if we could be sure that the author was aware of the distinction and meant to observe it."

The following are generally referred to the ecbatic or eventual sense, as marking the event, result, consequence: M. 1. 22,






 order that.' In A. 3. 19, $\delta \pi \omega$ s in the eventual sense may be rendered, ' with the effeet that,' 'so that this being the oase.' But it will be better to connect $\mu e \tau a \nu o \eta j \sigma a r e$ with ais rò
 order that.' Thus the force of ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ will be to mark that the further spiritual blessings implied in кatpol àva $\psi \dot{v} \xi \in \omega s$ are contingent on the reality of the change denoted by $\mu$ eravociv and
 éká̀ $\varepsilon \sigma a$ rò̀ vióv $\mu \mathrm{ov}$. The passage in Hosea (11. 1) refers historically to the deliverance of Israel from the land of bondage. But from the typical connexion between Christ and Iscael, the record of the past was regarded as prophetically indicative of something under the Gospel. "The Soripture fulfilled was prophetical simply because the circumstance it-recorded was typical." (Fairbairn's Typology, i. 140.)-

Zua and $\delta \pi \omega s$ combine the telic and ecbatic sense when they describe the subject and object of prayer: 2 Th. 1. 11, mporev-



 épaáras cis tò̀ $\theta_{\text {epiopòv aùrov̂. Zva marks the subject of the }}$ prayer blended with the purpose of making it in Mk. 5. 18 ; 7. 26 ; 8. 22 ; 14. 35; L. 8. 31 ; 1 C. 1. 10 ; 16. 12 ; 2 C. 9.5 ; Ph. 1. 9. So д̈т
 övoua к.т. ․, in order that the name may be glorified.

After verbs of telling, commanding, iva is used in the New.



 тері тоиิ à $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\sim} т о \nu$.
Sometimes the principal verb is omitted: E. 5. 33, $\hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta}$ quvì ïva $\phi о \beta \hat{\eta} \tau a c$ tò $\nu$ ă $\nu \delta \rho a$, I command that, or, let the wife see that -. Sometimes ivva is simply exegetical: J. 17. 3, aúrך

The notion of finality seems lost in the eventual sense in




Some, however, would retain the telic force of lva in the foregoing passages. Thus 1 Th. 5. 4, may be explained, 'Ye are not in the element or region of darkness-darkness, not only of the mind and understanding (E. 4. 18), but of the heart and will (1 J. 2. 9), in order that the day should surprise you as a thief.' Thus the passage is not merely a statement of result, but of the purpose contemplated by God in His merciful


## THE EXTERNAL CONNEXION OF PROPOSITYONG.

Among the particles which are employed for the external connexion of propositions kai and te unite, while $\mu \in ́ v$, , $\delta e ́ ~ o p p o s e ~$ the parts one to another.
In the union of the members of a proposition, either several subjects are assigned as belonging to certain predicates, or séveral predicates are enumerated as belonging to one subject.
The distinction between the copulatives cal and the enclitic re is not clearly marked. But it may be said that cal unites ideas which follow directly and necessarily from what precedes, while $\tau e$ annexes something which does not thus directly and necessarily follow. " $\kappa a l$ conjungit, $\tau \varepsilon$ adnectit. $\tau \in$ non copulat sed lenius affirmat quam roc, unde natum est." (Herm.)

Practically there is no great difference between them, cal and re singly indicate mere addition; re cal join the two statements or objects so closely together that they may almost be considered as one. The first clause with $\tau \varepsilon$ is often less emphatio than that which has cal. Thus we bave tá $\tau \in \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda a$ cai-, ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \omega_{g} \tau e \kappa a i-$, as weell in matters not worth mentioning, as \&o.

The usages of cai combine the usages of et, etiam in Latin. к 2


 a general term is appended to foregoing details．



Epexegetic，explanatory of a previous word or clanse：L． 3.

 eis eniүข



 epexegetic，marking the temptations that will be sure to follow the loss of character，＂．quid spei restat ubi nullus est peccandi pudor $P^{\prime \prime}$ Calvin．
Adversative，marking comparison or contrast，used in ex－ pressions of similarity and identity，ac，atque：Thuc．ii．60，$\dot{s}$
 knows，supposing he gives no clear information，is in the same position as if he never had the thought：M．11．19，iסoù






Denoting emphasis：Eurip．Mippol．1171，$\pi \omega \hat{s}$ кal $\delta \dot{0} \dot{\omega} \lambda \in \tau^{\circ}$ cint́；say，how did he die P Thucyd．ii．87，t力 $\delta$ é tis ăpa cal $\beta_{0} \nu \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}$ ，but if any one should choose to behave so；where Dr． Arnold remarks：＂The force of the cai here，and in other similar passages，is given in English by an emphasis on the auxiliary verb．＂ 2 C．3．6，ôs кal iкávшбè ทímâs dıaкóvous кau»ฑิs $\delta<a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ，，who did qualify us to be ministers of the
 тараттю́ $\mu a \tau \iota$ ，if ever a man be surprised in any transgression．



 кai òvє $\iota \iota \zeta^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，we both labour and are the objeots of reproach， ＇as well the one as the other；＇both parts are simultaneously presented in one predication；te－kal would mean，＇where shame，there toil．＇
cal is inserted in Greek after monús，which is considered as a substantival word：$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d$ cai $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha_{0}$, many fearful events：Tit．

 many heavy charges．
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha_{1}, \delta \dot{e}, \tau \epsilon$ ，are often mere notices that the speaker had something else to say，some additional fact or thought to com－ municate．On this principle $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}, \tau \varepsilon$ are sometimes used in the apodosis of a sentence，and are equivalent to cira．But with reference to these subtle uses Dr．Arnold has well remarked （Thuc．i．133）：＂The errors of etymology committed by very eminent men in past times from a want of sufficient knowledge should make us suspect that we too may fall into the same snare，if while we are really making progress we overrate that progress as compared with what remains to be accomplished， and think that the very sanctuary of the mysteries of language is already on the point of being opened to us．I cannot think that we are yet in a condition to understand the process by which language was formed，if indeed it ever was formed and not rather given，and to explain the nature of its very simplest elements．And I am quite certain that what has hitherto been attempted in this way，although as all such attempts do，it contains in it much that is valuable，and will aid our further researches，has yet failed of attaining its object．＂Though this was written twenty－four years ago with reference to some long dissertations on the particle $\tau \epsilon$ in the New Cratylus，the above remarks supply a caution applicable to the labours of all modern philologists．

Opposition between the different members of a proposition is marked by $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，the first thing（the old neuter of $\mu \in \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}, \mu l a, \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu)$ ， and $\delta$ é a short form of $\delta v_{0}$ ，the second thing．
$\mu e ́ \nu$ is generally followed by $\delta e ́$ when a mutual relation between two propositions is indicated：M．3．11，év⿳亠二口丿 $\mu$ ѐ̀ ß＇am－
 corresponding $\delta$ é，as in A．1．1，or the adversative sentence may be mentally supplied：A．19． 4 ；26．4：R．1．－8．Instead of $\delta 6$
an equivalent particle is sometimes used after $\mu$ év. Thus cal,


When $\mu \dot{d} \nu$ stands by itself without any corresponding $\delta e ́$, the latter or some equivalent is virtually implied, and $\mu^{e ́ v}$ looks forward to the completion of the sentence, just as oun looks back to what has been already said.

Sé is adversative: 1 C. 11. 17: R. 6. 17, 18. In some cases it is simply transitional, marking the introduction of a fresh subject, 1 C.8.1: in other cases it is resumptive, 20.6.1: and in negative sentences has the conjunctive force which attaches to cal in affirmative sentences.

If the first clause is intended to prepare the reader for the opposition in the second, $\mu e ́ \nu$ is inserted, but $\mu$ év is omitted where the first clause is not necessarily connected with a sub-

 $\delta \underset{e}{e}$ éк карסias к.т. $\lambda$. ., whereas ye were once servants of sin, yet now ye obey from the heart: where inticovioate (aorist) denotes a single act which transpired once for all at the time of conversion.

The formula cai- $\delta$ é is like the Latin 'et-vero,' 'et-autem :'

 $\pi \rho о ф \hat{\tau} \tau a \iota:$ R. 11. 23, кảкєî̀oc סé. While each particle retains its proper force, both together often have 'notionis quandam consociationem.' Thus while кai connects or enhances, and dé contrasts, the union of the two frequently causes $\delta$ é to revert from its more marked to its primary and less marked oppositive force, 'in the second place,' so that the whole formula has more of an adjunctive character, and only retains enough of a retrospective opposition to define more sharply, expand, or atrengthen, the tenor of the preceding words. 'кai conjungit, $\delta^{\prime}$ intendit.' The true rationale of the construction is best seen when $\mu$ év is found in the preceding clause, as in A. 3. 22, followed by cai vávtes $\delta \in ́(24)$. The formula may be translated and-also, and-too. The form repeatedly occurs, especially in St. Luke and St. John. In L. 10. 8 the true reading is cai cis

 бó $\mu \in \theta a$. In the preceding verse the Apostle said, 'we brought nothing into the world, \&o.' the dé points to a suppressed
thought which is suggested by oúde éşveyceî̀ ic $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, viz., it is true that we must have something while we are in this world, but if we have, é é $\chi$ vies $\delta \mathrm{e}$ к.т. $\lambda$. Thus the adversative force of the particle is preserved, 'aliquid in mente habet ad quod respiciens oppositionem infert.' (Klotz.) Tit. 1. 1, סoûגos
 exact definition, $\delta$ é distinguishes and specifies the subject by the action of another relation in which it stood to another
 image in the second place, cal consecutive pointing to the previous image of the soldier.
clrep, 'in case that,' 'if indeed,' 'if at all,' assuming the proposition as true, whether justly or not. $\pi \in \rho$ like $\gamma e$ is an enclitic intimately allied to $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in$ in signification, denoting comprehension or inclusion. $\pi \epsilon \rho$ frequently is combined with relative pronouns, with temporal, causal, and conditional particles, to confirm their signification. Like $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ it imparts emphasis, and may be rendered by very, even; with a participle it may be rendered allhough:

 do thou, however brave thou art, take away the damsel from
 diately he proceeds to Cyrus just as he was: R. 8. 9, eilmep


 not be confounded with elाтep, 'si omnino.' "eilrєp usurpatur de re quæe esse sumitur" (Hermann) : 2 Th. 1. 6, eiँлєp diксаиov, regards as an assumption what is really felt to be a certain and recognized verity. каiтєp, concessive, is often followed by a participle,


 ciठóras. See Ph. 3. 4. каӨáтєр, 'even as,' 'just as,' where кäá marks the comparison, $\pi \in \rho$ the extent of the application: 1 Th . 2. 11, каӨátтє oíठate: Н. 4. 2; 5.4.
val, 'yes,' is used in affirmations or affirmative answers: M. 9.





 is a particle of inference.

Spoken of the actual present: J. 12. 27, vîv $\dot{\eta} \Psi u \chi \eta$ r $\mu$ ou тeтá-
 троסóraı каl фoveís yeyévno $\theta$ e. Of time future, "just at hand,"



As a particle of transition marking a conclusion or inference, like $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ enclitio in earlier Greek, 'now then :' A. 12. 11, ขט̂u


Enforcing an exhortation: Ja. 5. 1, ăye vviv oi $\pi \lambda$ av́ctor: A. 7.

${ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$, 'at the same time,' 'nevertheless,' strengthens a con-
 оúdeis à $\theta_{\text {cte }}$
$\dot{\dot{o}} \mu \hat{\omega}$, 'equally,' ' in like manner,' is a synonym of ${ }^{j} \mu \mathrm{oi} \omega \mathrm{s}$ : 1


öтov is properly an adverb of place, answering to êceî as ite antecedent, but is used as an illative particle referring to an



 єіко́т $\omega \varsigma$ そे $\theta$ úrovथ ;
$\check{\sigma} \pi \omega \varsigma$ is an adverb of manner answering to $\pi$ ©̂s; used as a particle of comparison with oüv $\omega \varsigma$, $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{e}$, as its antecedent, how,
 'as quickly as possible;' as the correlative of $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ in oblique in-
 where $\quad$ ötws continues the answer to $\pi \mathbf{o i a}$ (19) : Alsch. Prom.,

For the use of of $\pi \omega$ s as a final particle see iva, p. 128.
The most common particles of time are ö ö $\epsilon$, örav, ó $\pi \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon$,

öтe is regularly used with the indicative as relating to an actual event, usually of time past, but sometimes of the future, used once with subjunctive aorist: L. 13. 35, zews $\not A_{\nu} \eta \xi \xi \eta$, öтe єไTทTe.
ötav has the accessary idea of uncertainty, probability, whensoever, so ofter as; used regularly with the subjunctive; once with the imperfect in narrating events which occurred re-
peatedly: Mk. 3. 11, кail пขеú $\mu a \tau a$ тd̀ àкáӨapta öтaע aùтò̀ è $\theta \in \omega \dot{\rho} \in \iota$.
ö $\tau$ t, 'that,' is used in objeotive sentences as an equivalent for the accusative with the infinitive, and as a particle of explanation, ' because,' ' inasmuch as,' ' seeing that.'

 ' I affirm him to be,' and, ' I affirm that he is.'

Alleging a reason or proof: M. 16. 17, $\mu a \kappa a ́ p \iota o s ~ e l, ~ \Sigma i \mu \omega \nu$


 many sins, have been, and are remitted; of this you have proof,


 as; this may be called the sub-oausal, or secondary causal uso


 haps, should be rendered, "And I thank Christ Jesus our Lord, who gives me strength to fulfil the ministry, seeing that He put me into it, esteeming me faithful . . . but I had meroy shown me, because I knew not what I did in my atate of unbelief." The participles, $\theta$ é $\mu$ evos, àyvoôv, present the principal ideas to which the verbs in the indicative mood are merely accebsary.
In H. 8. 9, 10 , the considerations which explain $\delta<a \theta \dot{\eta}$ кю $\nu$
 $\mu 0 \nu$, seeing that they failed to abide in my covenant: ö $\tau \iota$ a $ั \tau \eta$ $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \kappa$ к.т.入., now, I say, for this is the covenant, \&c. : 2 Th .
 matter or grounds.
Referring to known facts as confirmatory of a preceding


 sentence, defining the matter and grounds of the eixapıaria.
öтt is used with forms of solemn asseveration: R. 14. 11, $\zeta \hat{\omega}$



11． $10:$ G．1． 20 ，öть oủ 中eúסoцat，I testify that I lie not： compare A．10．42，סєaرaprúpaбӨal öть．
oúx ốt conveys a limitation of a previous statement：J．6．16， oủx ö́T८ тò̀ татépa tıs éต́paкєע：7． 22 ： 2 C．1．23，24，тò̀


 as if deeming ourselves competent to form any conclusion of

Between the merely objective and the strictly causal force of öth，it is not only very difficult to decide，but in several passages（e．g．，R．8．21）exegetical considerations of some moment will be found to depend on the decision．（Ellicott on 2 Th．1．3．）See 1 Tim．4．4， 5 ，where of $\tau \iota$ ，the objective，is followed by yáp，the subjective causal particle．Dean Alford remarks：＂${ }_{o}$ ть introduces that which rests on a putent fact，as here on a Scripture quotation；ráp introduces that which is in the writer＇s mind，and forms part of his own reasoning．＂

## negative particles．

The two simple negative particles are，ov̉，$\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ．＂oủ conveys a direct and absolute denial ；$\mu \dot{\eta}$ conveys a subjective and con－ ditional denial．In a conditional proposition，$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ belongs to the protasis，ou＇to the apodosis．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ negatives a supposition；it prohibits or forbids．où negatives an affirmation，affirming that it is not so．oú is used when an object is regarded in－ dependently in itself ；$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，when it is regarded as depending on some thought，wish，purpose．＂oú negat rem ipsam；$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，cogi－ tationom rei．＂ov́ implies non－existence simply；but $\mu \dot{\eta}$ implies non－existence，when existence was probable or possible．oú is negative；$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is privative．＂We may render $\mu \dot{\eta}$ by＇except，＇ ＇without，＇＇omitting，＇＇abstaining from，＇＇avoiding ；＇in every instance including the idea，that what is said not to exist，might
 who，having the opportunity，refused to believe．A． $9.9, \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$
 would simply mean that he was blind．$\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\beta} \beta \lambda_{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu$ ，without sight，one in whom the faculty of sight was suspended for a season．＂oúk éầ $\nu$ simpliciter est prohibere ；$\mu \bar{\eta} \eta$ éầ $\nu$ autem dicitur quum quem credas siturum non sinit．＂ $1 \mathrm{~J} .5 .16, \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau a ́ v o \nu \tau a$
 death：17，absolutely，ếcт兀̀ ápaptía oủ трòs $\theta$ ávatov．

When the negation is confined to a single word，the direct opposite is affirmed，as oǔ $\phi \eta \mu$ ，I deny：$\dot{\eta}$ oú $\delta \dot{u}{ }^{\prime} \lambda v \sigma \iota s$ ，the
 refuse．If the single word refers to something dependent on the thought or mental idea，then $\mu \eta$ is used：Thuc．i． 22 ，rò $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\mu \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon_{\text {a }}$ ait $\omega \nu_{\text {，}}$＇their want of fables，＇in the reader＇s estima－ tion．

The spirit of many passages depends on our preserving this privative or reversive power of the negative：1 C．2．14，
 oúc ếть ка入ò̀ 入aßeî̀ к．т． ．，it is unfair，unhandsome to take：



 éprá̧eral，love refuses to work ill to his neighbour： 1 C．7．9，
 11．22，катalo $\chi$ viveтe $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{̀}$ é $\chi$ ovtas，do ye put to the blush those who are without houses： 1 P．2． 10 ；R．9． 26 ；10．19， oủc ë́ $\theta y o s$, ov̉ $\lambda a o ́ s$, a non－people．
From this privative power of ov we may account for its occurrence after $\epsilon i$ ，as in 1 C．7．8．Here ov coalesces with the verb so as to form a single and opposite idea，or imparts a direct and absolute negation to the entire period ：M．26．24，ei



 of the dead is a nullity．
The privative or reversive power of the negative prevails in Latin and English，＇nego（ne aio），＇means，＇I say no．＇The Lord will not hold him guiltless $=$ the Lord will hold him guilty． So＇a thing of nought，＇lit．a non－thing（no－whit，nought），not merely a thing valueless，but a non－thing，that has no existence at all，as nothing has any substantial existence out of God．（Dr． Pusey on Amos 6．13．）
$\mu \eta$ is often used with the participle to introduce delicate
 not in my opinion without law as regards God： 2 C．5．21，tò
 oũoıl $\theta$ eoîs, 'si qui haudquaquam naturâ, sed ex hominum opinione tantum dii sunt.' But if we read roîs фúбel oùc oũcıע $\theta$ cois, then we have an unconditional denial of their being gods



 might have learnt the reality of the matter: 1 T .1 .7, dédoutes
 not;' the participle has a slight antithetical, or perhaps even conoessive force. See other examples in Chapter VII,

In a question, ou implies that an answer is expected in the
 $\mu \eta$ forbids or negatives an assumption, anticipating a reply in the negative: $\mathfrak{d} \rho a \mu \eta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{a} \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta^{\prime} s$; he is not ill, I supposeor, he is not ill, is he P M. 7. 9, $\mu \mathfrak{\eta} \lambda i \theta o \nu$ è $\pi \iota \delta \omega \in \sigma e c$ aútê ; 22 , oú



The Greeks were fond of coupling the ov and $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, and of prefixing them to a single verb used interrogatively. ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$, with the second person of the future, conveyed a prohibition; with the other persons of the future, and with the subjunctive, it expressed a categorical negation.

The difference between the indicative future and subjunctive aorist is, that the former implies duration and futurity, the latter denotes spoedy occurrence. Of this rule there are some violations in the Now Testament, as 1 Th. 4. 15 ; but in many passages where ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ occurs, the readings vary; and in later Greek there was a tendency to use the subjunctive mood rather


 aùtó;

After verbs of denying, hindering, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is inserted where, according to the English idiom, it seems unnecessary: G. 5. 7,
 $\mu \eta$ is to be explained by the lax way in which the infinitive is used to denote result or effect. The negative particle must thus be considered closely bound up with the infinitive, and the result is stated as the non-recurrence of the action represented


$\mu \dot{\eta}$ is followed by the indicative mood, after verbs of anxiety, fear, circumspection, when the contingency is regarded as already realized: " $\mu \eta$ ' etiam indicativum adjunctum habet, ubi rem a nobis pro verâ haberi indicare voluimus." Hermann.

 hensive of you, lest somehow I have extended my labour to you in vain.
$\mu \dot{\eta}$, with the future after verbs of fearing, gives prominence


$\mu \eta$ being generally used after verbs of apprehension, as
 power became forgotten; and it was used in later Greek in combination with other words, without any adversative force. So $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi т$ отe became really equivalent to el $\boldsymbol{\text { тотe, or implied only a }}$ latent apprehension concerning the contemplated result: 2 T.



Sometimes $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о т e$ is used to express expectation or doubt:

 $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$. The use of $\mu \eta$ arises from $\beta$ oun ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu a l$ (8), which is the regular and natural particle after verbs of 'will,' expressing what is thought of in the mind.
à oú $\delta \in \hat{i}$ points to things which are definitely improper or forbidden; $\hat{\alpha} \mu \eta{ }_{j} \delta \epsilon \hat{i}$, to things which are so either in the mind of the writer, or which derive a seeming contingency only from the mode in which they are presented: Tit. 1. 11, $\delta i \delta$ ácnovtes a $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{i}$, the class is spoken of as only conceived to be in existence, though in reality that existence was not doubtful.

In questions where $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov is used, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ alone is interrogative, and oú coalesces with the verb: R. 10. 18, $\mu \eta$ ो oúc ทัккоvaay;

 was Israel not instructed P 1 C. 9. 4, $\mu \grave{~ o u ̀ \kappa ~ e ̈ \chi ~} \chi \mu \in \nu$ égovaià фayeî cail $\pi \kappa$ eì ; is it to be supposed we have no right to eat and drink? So 1 O.11. 22.

## THE INDICATIVE MOOD WITH $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$.

The following passage illustrates the force of $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ with the indicative when the occurrence of the result is anticipated; the change of mood expresses a second consequence, resulting from the fulfilment of the first: Eurip. Phoen. 92, emilo $\chi$ ©s $\dot{\omega}$

 that I may previously examine the road, whether any of the citizens is in the path, lest an evil reproach come alike to me, as servant, and to thee, as mistress,' where the indicative фavtá̧eral indicates the probability, that there would be some one in the street.

In the following, the indicative marks a consequence which the Apostle feared had already occurred, and the subjunctive, a second event resulting from the first: $1 \mathrm{Th} .3 . \mathrm{s}$, ${ }^{\text {è } \pi e} \mu \psi a$ eis tò

 is used of a transient state occurring in particular cases, the future would have represented something to occur at some indefinite future time. This change of mood is also found after




In the New Testament the indicative is used to mark the second or remote consequence, where in earlier Greek the subjunctive or optative would have been employed: L. 14. 8, $\mu$ 门̀

 $\Delta$ òs тоútч тótov. Here $\mathfrak{\eta}$ кeк $\lambda \eta \mu$ évos marks the immediate






In Greek, two or more negatives have the effect of strengthening the negation: Mk. 9. 8, oùkétc oú óéva elíov: 11. 14, $\mu \eta \kappa$ кéть êk $\sigma 0 \hat{1}$ cis tò̀ aî̂va $\mu \eta \delta E i s ~ \kappa a \rho \pi o ̀ \nu ~ ф a ́ \gamma o u . ~$
' Nor never,' in a negative sense, occurs in Shakspere: "I never was, nor never will be false." So Milton, on Ezek, 40-

48: " the description is typical and shadowy, but in such manner as never yet came to pass, nor never must.literally, unless we mean to annihilate the Gospel."
oúkét is often used in its simple logical sense, without any


 oviкét $\mathfrak{\epsilon \xi} \boldsymbol{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a s$, the latter supposition is excluded by the former: G. 2. 20.
ouvicoûy introduces a consequence, which is expressed in the form of a question, anticipating an affirmative reply: J. 18. 37, oùkoû̀ ßaбi入è̀s el $\sigma$ ú;

In oùkoûv the meaning of oủk is dropped, "is it then P" In oưkouv the meaning of oûv is dropped, "is it not ?" Soph. Aj.,
 $\sigma \theta \in \nu \omega$ тєтайбоцаи ;
oưre, $\mu$ ทंтe, may be considered as connecting negative particles employed in couplets, but oúסé, $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime}$, strengthen the negation, so that clause rises above clause or word above word at each successive repetition of the particle: M. 6. 20, öтоข oûre $\sigma \grave{\eta}$ s oữe

 $\delta_{\iota a} \beta \in \beta a \iota o u v \tau a l$, the objects to which the negation applies, and with respect to which the ignorance of the false teachers extends, are stated in two clauses. Their ignorance was thus complete; it extended alike to the assertions they made and the subjects on which they made them (Ellicott). M. 5. 34, the negation $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\partial} \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a c$ ö $\lambda \omega$ s is divided into four heads by the adjunctive negatives $\mu$ ض́те: compare Ja. 5. 12.

The ascending scale with ou $\delta \dot{e}, \mu \eta \delta e ́$, is very observable in M.



When oú $£ \in, \mu \eta \delta_{c}^{\prime}$ are used singly they must be rendered, ' not
 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{e}$ é $\sigma \theta 1$ ét $\omega$, in case any one refuses to work, let him not even


In G. 3. 28 the alterable social distinctions are contrasted by ovioé, the unalterable natural one is expressed by cal.

Sometimes $\mu \eta \delta$ é connects a new clause with the preceding

sentences $\delta$ h has practically much of the conjunctive force which belongs to $\kappa a l$ in affirmative sentences．＂Sé sequentia adjungit prioribus，non apte connexa，sed potius fortuito concursa acce－

 from your ordinary state of mind，nor yet be terrified．

## illative particles．

oiv．Its uses may be divided into two general heads，col－ lective and reflexive，＇accordingly，＇in accordance with what has been said ；＇a particle of retrospeotive reference，collecting into one sentence the preceding argument．oviv does not imply a logical inference like aspa，but merely recalls attention to what has been said in the way of confirmation and correction．ov̀ looks back to the line of reasoning，as $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ looks forward to the completion of the sentence，while dé appends an explanatory statement．In composition with relatives and relative particles
 another，whoever he may be；${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \varsigma$ oviv，in whatever way．

Mere external connexion，transition，or continuation，there－ upon，now，then：L．6．9，єlтev oũvं＇I Inбoûs тןòs aùroús：J． 19.
 temporal particles：J．6．14，oi ov̂v ăv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ol i\＆óvtes．

The internal connexion of two sentences，the relation of



 àveт $\lambda \lambda \eta \pi \tau \circ \nu$ eiva．

Illative，expressing an inference：L．20．14，$\Delta a \beta i \delta$ oùv кúplov aủ่ò̀ ка入є̂̂，кal $\pi \omega ̂ s ~ v i o ̀ s ~ a \dot{u} \tau o v ̂ ~ E ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ; ~$

Resumptive，where a sentence has been interrupted by a parenthesis or by intervening clauses：M．7．24，$\pi a ̂ s$ oùv ö ơtıs

 тク̀̀ ката́таиб८ц．
In interrogative sentences referring to a previous assertion：


oiv frequently introduces the summing up of the whole，par－
ticularly after a digression： $10.10 .31 ; 15.11: \mu \notin \nu$ oìv sums up what is to be said on the topic in hand，and prepares the way for a transition to another subject：A．5． $41 ; 8.4 ; 9.31 ; 11$ ．
乌nulay elval．Here the main point is conceded，but some emphatic addition or correction is appended to the concession．
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$（derived from $\pi \lambda e$－fill up）introduces an additional idea，something that is necessary to express more fully the sentiment of the speaker，and is thus different from $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ ，the disjunctive conjunction，which apart from the idea of filling up may introduce another circumstance．
$\pi \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \nu$ may be rendered＇nevertheless，＇＇moreover，＇＇besides，＇ ＇except；＇and is used as an adverb，quasi－preposition，conjunc－ tion，also as a substitute for $\delta \dot{e}$ in distributive sentences．$\pi \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta}$ is often united with $\epsilon i$ or some other particle to introduce an
 écлeұev．


$A_{s}$ an adverb $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ is an adversative particle： 1 C．11．11，



After a digression marking the return to a previous topic：
 oútcus áyarátco $\dot{\omega}$ s éautóv，but in addition to what has been said，waiving all further considerations．
$\pi \rho i \nu$ is a temporal particle，＇before，＇＇until，＇a locative form of $\pi \rho \rho_{0}$ ．The full form is $\pi \rho i \nu \ddot{\eta}$ or $\pi \rho i \nu \hat{\eta}$ ö $\tau \epsilon$ ，before that when． But the adverbial relative is generally omitted，so that $\pi \rho i \nu$ ， which is properly an antecedent，is used as a relative．In the adverbial sentence after $\pi \rho i \nu$ we may use the indicative，sub－ junctive，optative，or infinitive．＂Post $\pi \rho i \nu$ perfecto status indi－ catur，qui factum sequitur（ $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu \delta \varepsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \eta \kappa \in ́ v a l$ ，before I have risen from supper）；aoristo，perfectio rei（ $\pi \rho i \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \eta \tilde{j} a \iota$ ，before I take my supper）；prosente，initium（ $\pi \rho i \nu \delta \in \iota \pi \nu \in i \nu$, before I go to supper）．＂
$\pi \rho \ell \nu$ is used with the indicative to express past actions both

 I was considered the most distinguished man of the citizens

 no means of defence，none at all－until I showed to them the way of compounding soothing remedies（Жsch．Prom．）．There is no instance of $\pi \rho i \nu$ with the indicative in the New Testament．
$\Pi \rho i \nu$ is used with the subjunctive of an act，both probable


 eí̄évat $\mu$ ．Here $\pi \rho i \nu$ 号 may be rendered＇until；＇thus Dr． Donaldson explains the ellipse in 2 Th 2．3，öт九 $\grave{\text { èà } \nu \mu \eta े ~ e ̂ ̀ ~} \lambda \theta \eta$ ク̀

$\Pi \rho l \nu$ is used with an optative in oratione obliqua after an optative；also after a negative sentence if the oratio directa has passed into the obliqua：Xen．Anab．vii．7．57，ééćo $\quad \mu \mathrm{\eta}$



 optative indicates what was passing in the mind of the speaker．
$\Pi \rho i \nu$ is used with the infinitive whenever the subsequent act is regarded as an object：J．8．58，трiv＂Aßpaà $\mu$ yevé $\theta$ Oab érஸ́



rol，＇assuredly，＇is often an enclitic affixed to other particles ； as ク̈rol，＇either，＇or，кaltocye，＇and yet．＇In certain cases it is
 and the like，signifying an inference ：ク̈rot，R．6．16，see under



rorүapoũy，＇by certain consequence：＇ 1 Th．4．8，toryapoũy í



тoìvy，drawing an inference：L．20．25，àmóסoтe toìuv тd


$\dot{\omega} s$ in comparative sentences means＇as；＇in objective，＇that；＇ in final，＇in order to ；＇in causal，＇for on the ground that．＇In the comparative sense it is strengthened by $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ：$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，＂just as．＇In the old combination， $\mathbf{\omega} \sigma \tau \operatorname{lo}$ is the regular attendant of
the illative clause，signifying＇so as to ；＇in which sense，how－ ever，it is sometimes superseded by the simple $\dot{\text { w }}: ~ 2$ C．10． 9.
In comparative sentences： 1 O．3．15，aủù̀̀s $\delta$ è $\sigma \omega \theta_{\dot{\eta}} \sigma e$ etal


 ойт $\omega \varsigma \lambda a \lambda o v ิ \mu \in \nu$ ．
$\kappa a \theta \omega \dot{s}$ stands in correlation to ovitcs，marking the measure or proportion existing between their approval by God to preach the Gospel，and their actual performance of the commission．
With $\dot{\omega} s$ ，$\omega \sigma \tau e$ ，cival is sometimes omitted ： 2 C．11．15，of


In objective sentences，equivalent to örc，＇to wit that，＇＇how


 èautஸ̂．

In final sentences，＇in order to：＇H．7．9，$\dot{\text { es ễ }}$ ，

 comparative sense：＇I do not even regard my life precious in my own estimation，as I hold precious the teletêaat．＇

In causal sentences，ws assigns the reason：L．16． 1 ，oútos



 ground that I had to make any accusation against my nation．
$\dot{\omega}$ s is also used with participles，＇considering that，＇＇being convinced that，＇and imparts to the verbal notion the impress of
 aủ̃ov̂ тd̀ трòs $\zeta \omega \eta ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon \grave{v} \sigma \in ́ \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s: ~ X e n . ~ C y r . ~ i i i . ~$

 teaching what you know not．
©s characterizes the action and defines the aspect in which
 $\dot{a} \rho$ éc коутєs，$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ ，not as striving to please men，but as



In A．17． $14 \dot{\omega}$ © seems to be used for č̈s，＇usque ad ：＇tòv

Пaî̀ they sent him forth on his journey，even to the sea：Pausan．




 $\dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon l$ терьттєрáv．

 cial $\theta$ eol $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda o l$ ．＂Trí vim eam comparativam quam habet iss usitato more auget atque effert．＂Klotz．
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi e \rho \varepsilon l$ softens the boldness of the figure（iâtal тdे тодرпра́，
 as to the untimely－born one，he appeared even to me．－

ẅrre is used with the infinitive when the result is represented as a necessary and logical consequence of what has been already stated；with the indicative，when the result is represented as a simple and unconditional fact．
The indicative describing a fact which actually takes place：






The infinitive describing acts contemplated but not realized； acts capable of occurring or likely to occur ：M．27．1，$\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ oú－


 è $\lambda \pi i \delta a$ clvar eis $\theta$ cóv：the degree，extent，amount，especially



Introducing a concluding exhortation：1 O．15．58，صぁбтe





## CHAPTER IX．

## PREPOSITIONS．

＂Prbpositions represent primarily the local relation of one object to another；and this in the most preciso manner by suggesting the geometrical parts of an object considered in a geometrical point of view；as a line，a superficies，a solid．By analyzing these three ideas we obtain an exact mathematical analysis and enumeration of the Greek prepositions．A solid， or cube，has six geometrical parts；the upper plane，intíp， the under，imó，the front，$\dot{a} \nu \tau i$ ，the side，mapa，both sides， $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ ：the rear，out of sight，may be expressed by $\% \pi \iota \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ． The plane of the superficies is $\ell \pi i$, the boundary line round it is $r \in \rho l$ ，the inside of the line $\dot{\ell} \nu$ ，the outside $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ ：the surface， divided into two by an intersecting line，is $\delta$ cá．Lines are either vertical or horizontal．－Of vertical lines the top is àja， the bottom кcatá ：of horizontal lines the front is rpó，the hinder extremity may be indicated by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t$ ，or $\dot{i} \pi \boldsymbol{j}_{\delta}$ ．A line has three parts；the extremities，and the middle．But the middle may be of three kinds；if it is of the same substance as the extremities，as the middle of a beam，$\mu$ erá is used；if a different substance，as when a rope connects two trees，$\sigma u v_{v}$ is used；if it is empty space，as in drawing a line from one star to another， the relation between them is indicated by $\dot{a} \pi \boldsymbol{m}_{0}$ ．If the particle of motion，$\sigma \varepsilon$ ，is added to $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ ，it becomes $\pi \rho o o^{\prime}$ ，towards，to the point of an object；if it is added to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, it becomes $\epsilon i \varsigma$ ，into． Prepositions do not govern cases in the sense of determining them．That which determines the case is the idea which the case expresses．The preposition only adds a more precise geometrical viow of the rolation in which tho two objocts stand to each other．＂（Q．R．，Jan．，1863．）

In ascertaining the respective powers of prepositions, we must keep in view (1) the extent to which later Greek, and the colloquial Hellenic of the Apostles, extended the use of prepositions, overlooked nice distinctions, or sanctioned irregular usages; (2) the influence of the Aramæan on the writers of the New Testament, which delights in the use of prepositions, and views numerous relations under aspects different from the Greek; (3) the effect of the Christian element on the use of particular prepositions. In different languages the same relation, being viewed under different aspects, is expressed by prepositions of opposite significations. There is apparently no connexion between the English prepositions in and under; but we may often use indifferently, in these circumstances, and under these circumstances. So also we say, under arms, where with, in, would be equally applicable.

àvtíand $\pi \rho o$ are nearly synonymous: 'in front of,' 'on behalf of,' 'instead of,' 'for the sake of.' $\pi \rho o$ is the more general word, as it denotes whatever is before one, or in view; à $u \tau l$ denotes what is in a definite place, or stands in a specific relation.

The primary meaning of $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ is 'in sight,' in some place

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ a u ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. Repeatedly $\pi \rho \grave{̀} \pi \rho o \sigma \omega ́ \pi \pi o v$.

From this meaning $\pi \rho^{\prime}$ passes on to denote priority in timer J. 17. 24, тро̀ катаßо入ท̂̀s ко́б $\mu$ v: A. 5. 36, $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$





Hence $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ has the idea of preference, superiority, import-
 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ă ${ }^{2} เ o s$.
 estimate a thing more than much, to set a very high value upon it.

Somotimes трó means in behalf of, as mpò т $\hat{\text { ¢ }}$ ' $E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s$ $\dot{a} \pi \pi o \theta \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'pro patriâ mori.' mpó may be used in all these senses in C.: 1. 17, àutós éctィ т $\rho o ̀$ тávtav.
$\pi \rho o ́$ in composition has generally a temporal reference: Tit.

good works for supplying necessary wants, that they be not unfruitful, i. e., without showing practical proofs of their faith by acts of love.
 1 Tim. 5. 24, openly manifest.

The primary signification of avel is 'over-against.' The original form may be ăyra. Cf. áy $\bar{j} \lambda c o s$, opposite to the sun. Akin to German ' ant-,' 'antworten,' ' Antlitz.'



Hence it denotes an equivalent, 'set against;' Latin, pro, instar; that which may take the place of an object in value:

 Hutchinson remarks: "Phrasi illa Noster vicariam plane mortem denotat." In the previous sentence intép is used in the same

 "vice nostra, ut nos scilicet mortis pœena liberaremur." à $\nu \tau \boldsymbol{l}$ is the more definite 'instead of,' denoting equivalence and exchange. úrép implies merely 'for the deliverance of men,' leaving undetermined the precise sense in which Christ died for



'In behalf of;' 'to be set to the account of:' M. 17. 27,


Adducing a principle, cause, reason, motive: E. 5. 31, à aji

This use occurs frequently with the relative: $\dot{a} \nu \theta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \nu$, because,
 2 Th. 2. 10, 'in requital for this that.'

In J. 1. 16, $\chi$ ápıv ávtl $\chi$ ápıтos, the preposition is used in all these senses, ' one grace or blessing in place of,' • accumulating upon,' and 'multiplied after,' another. Compare Theognis 344, $\dot{a} \nu \tau^{\prime} a^{\prime} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \dot{\prime} \dot{a}$, , grief upon grief.

In composition, à àt means opposition, as ádrı $\lambda$ é $\mathbf{y} \omega$ : in turn,
 $\dot{a} \nu \theta \dot{u} \pi a \tau o s, \dot{a} \nu \tau i \lambda u \tau \rho o \nu$. In ávté $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ the preposition involves a faint idea of holding out against something hostile, or opposing
which, however, passes into that of stedfast application, Tit. 1. 9.
$\dot{a} \pi \sigma^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$, corresponding in origin and signification to the Latin 'ab,' 'ex,' are followed by a genitive of ablation. a and denotes motion from the surface of an object (extrinsecus), as a line drawn from the circumference of a circle; $\dot{e} \boldsymbol{c}$ denotes motion from within an object (intrinsecus), as a line drawn from the centre of a circle.

Separation in space with the idea of motion : M. 3. 16, ávé $\beta \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ and departed from Pamphylia.



Subsequence in time: Mk. 7. 4, àmò àropâs: M. 19. 4, ản'



Origin of all kinds; place of birth, descent, residence: M.





The occasion or the effect produced by a cause: A. 11. 19,
 einaßcias, graciously heard by reason of his piety; as in the margin of the Authorized Version, 'for his piety,' i. e., because
 $\lambda \omega \hat{\nu} a$, by reason of her joy she opened not the door: M. 14.





 stedfast in consequence of his brave soul.

Derivation from a source: A. 17. 2, $\delta_{\iota} \ell \lambda$ éfeto aủtois ảmò $\tau \omega ̂ \nu$


 $\lambda$ lov. ámó may donote subordinate agency, 'on the part of,'



 тò $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$ âtoù ámò $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{u} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, i. e., by what we saw and heard; direct efforts for that purpose would be marked by inó:
 $\mu a \sigma \mu e ́ v o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ t o u ̂ ~ O c o u ̂ . ~ C o m p a r e ~ H d t . ~ v i i . ~ 130, ~ т d ̀ ~ a ́ \pi r o ́ ~ r ı \nu o s ~$ fevó $\mu \in \nu a$, the things done on any one's part: Thuc. i. 17,


From this signification, ' on the part of,' it has been thought that $\dot{a} \pi r^{\prime}$ is used for $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \mathbf{o}^{\prime}$, but $\dot{i} \pi r^{\prime}$ implies a cause immediate and active; $\dot{a} \pi \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ intimates that the cause is less immediate, and virtually passive.

Of the state from which deliverance is effected : M. 1. 21,

 $\dot{a} \sigma \theta e v e l a s$.

Adverbial use, änò $\mu$ épous, 'partially :' R. 11. 25, 'with many exceptions already:' Thucyd. ì. 76, ámò тồ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon i o v ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi т o v, ~$ remote from the common practice of mankind.

 'back again,' as ámo $\delta \delta \omega \omega \mu$ : sometimes it merely strengthens the force of the simple verb, as ámé $\chi \omega, \dot{a} \pi о \theta \lambda / \beta \omega, \dot{a} \pi о \delta є \kappa а т o ́ \omega: ~$



In à $\pi о$ Пך from which, and the process by which they are to make their enaaupoús, 'reponendo thesaurum colligere.' The rich are exhorted to take from (ámó) their own plenty, and by devoting it to the service of God and the relief of the poor, to treasure it up as a good foundation for the future. So ámodécet, 2 T. 4. 8, alludes to the reward as having been laid up, and taken as out of some reserved treasures; cf. R. 2. 6.
$e^{e} \kappa$ denotes removal or procession from the interior of an object, and is used of place, time, origin.




$2 \kappa$ ，＇out of，＇as distinguished from $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ ，＇away from，＇is marked



 ＇neither seeking high estimation out of men（ $\epsilon \xi$ ），＇this was the result of internal feeling；no money or temporal benefit from you（ $\dot{\alpha} \phi{ }^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ），this was external assistance．
$\dot{e} \kappa$ marks position with verbs of rest：M．20． $21, \dot{e}^{\kappa} \kappa \delta \in \xi \omega \bar{\nu}$
 sit on the heights and look from them．

Of time：${ }^{\mathfrak{~} \xi \xi}$ ovi（ $\chi$ póvou），Lat．＇ex quo．＇
Of particular points of time：M．19．20，èк veótทtós $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ：A．
 évelpetal én tov̂ delityov，he riseth from or after supper．Of．Hdt． i． 50 ，ér $\theta v \sigma l a s ~ y e v e ́ \sigma \theta a l, ~ t o ~ h a v e ~ j u s t ~ f i n i s h e d ~ s a c r i f i o e: ~ T h u o ., ~$


Origin from，material，means：M．3．9，èкc т $\hat{\nu} \nu \lambda l \theta \omega \nu$ тоút $\omega \nu$


 Boûs cal b̌vous．

Of physical origin，birth，descent：J．3．6，тò yeyevvmpévov èk
 матоs áyiov è $\sigma \tau i:$ M．1．16．In G．4．4，yevó $\mu \in \nu о \nu$ èk yuvaцкós is added to tò̀ viò̀ aùroû to attest the real manhood of Ohrist：


 Author of Truth．

Derivation from some source，occasion，cause，inducement： 2

 $i \delta i \omega \nu \lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$ ，he speaks from the essential properties of his nature．
 $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu l a$ ，if the inheritance be the result of obedience to the



In its primary ethical sense ${ }^{2} \mathrm{k}$ denotes more immediate origin；ánó more remote origin；it then passes through the
intermediate ideas of result from，consequence of，to that of nearly direct causality．Immediate origin may be rendered from， direct causality by．We may employ of to express．the inter－
 Toùs $\delta$ è $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ ímo千ias，some from calumny，others from suspicion：
 Sou入eviovтes к．т．入．＂éк $\psi v \chi \eta \hat{s}$ marks the relation of a servant to his work；$\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ evjvoias points to his relation to his master with a well－affected mind．＂（Ellicott．）

From its sense of derivation ès is used with some verbs for




To distinguish a part from the whole：M．10．29，हैv $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$ a



$\boldsymbol{e} \kappa$ is used in a periphrasis for adjectives and adverbs：R． 2.

 ness which proceeds from the law： 1 J．2．19，$\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$,
 Xen．Anab．iii．4．28，oùc $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ loov $\dot{\prime} \sigma \mu \hat{\prime} \nu$ ，we are not on equal
 distance．
$\dot{\boldsymbol{e} \kappa}$ is sometimes used in a combination of senses：R．1．4， $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ $\dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ עєкр $\omega \nu$ ，from，after，by his resurrection from the dead：1．17，eic miotews eis miotiv，out of faith as a root，to faith as a tree：4．14，oi èk $\nu o \mu_{\mu}$ ，those who are of the law， they who spring forth from it，and rest upon it，as a tree rises from and stands upon its root；opposed to oí éc $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{G} .3$.


A contrast between énc and $\delta i a ́$ is marked in R．3．30，ôs
 who shall account righteous the circumcision，out of or by faith， which they have as members of the covenant，and the uncir－ cumcision passing through the door of faith．＂The Gentiles oi ${ }_{c} \xi \xi \omega$ must enter the door of the faith of Abraham，and pass through it in order to be justified＂（Wordsworth）：R．11．36，
 the agent，and the end of all things．＂（Vaughan．）
$\dot{e} k$ indicates the closest connexion；úndo，one less strict；rapá， $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi \mathbf{o ́}_{\text {，}}$ connexions more remote；ánó denotes simply the point from which action proceeds，if that point is a person mapá or intó is employed．If the person is indicated merely in general terms as a spring of action mapá is used；but if it is represented as the special，efficient，and producing cause $\boldsymbol{v} \pi$ ó is required． $\dot{a} \pi$ dé denotes distance and separation．The notions of disjoining and removal are implied in àmó，$\delta \kappa$ ，which are not conveyed by тарá，úró．（Winer．）
In composition the signification of removal prevails，out， away，off；as è $\kappa \beta$ á $\lambda \lambda \omega$ ，$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \nu_{v} \omega$ ，origin；èкүovos，carrying out，
 T．2．14，êkatary $\theta e i ̄ \sigma a$, being oompletely，thoroughly deceived： Phil．3．11，тì द́kaváotaoıv，the thorough，complete resurrec－ tion．•

PREPOSITIONS GOVERNING THE DATIVE ONLY．
＇E $\nu$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ agree in origin and ${ }^{\circ}$ signification with the Latin in and cum．But the Greeks employ the larger form eis（èvs） for the Latin in with the accusative．
é $\nu$ denotes inclusion，$\sigma$ óv conjunction：
Of place，of all situated within a given space：L．11．1，è $\nu$

 $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ ท ̊ ~ \gamma \rho a \phi \eta ́ ; ~ H . ~ 4 . ~ 7, ~ e ̀ \nu ~ \Delta a \beta i \delta ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu . ~$





 sphere in which their emptiness revelled：R．6．4，èv кauvórचтı乡 $\omega \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，walk in a new state，of which the charac－
 works as the field or area in which the motion or conduct is


 т̀̀̀ $\delta \in \delta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{e} \kappa \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a c s$ ，the gift of God＇s grace which


 sin reigned in death，the arena of its triumph．（Vaughan．）

Element of pxistence：R．8．1，oủסさ̀v ăpa vôv кaтáкрıца тoîs èv Xpıनт $\hat{\varphi}$＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ ，those who are included in Christ，having been inserted into Him，J．15．2；clothed with Him，G．3． 27 ；

 presses the opposite of $\chi$ wpis Xpıनтoû E．2．12，J．15．5，extra－ neous to，or independent of Christ，）under the influence of Him who is the truth，included in Him，and exercising that union in the particular judgment formed and expressed： 1 T．4．15，èv



 sphere of action：1．17，द̀v è $\pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \ell$ aủrov̀，in mature acquaint－ ance with Him．The knowledge of God was to be the sphere， the circumambient element in which they were to receive
 aphere in which they usually moved：4．1，ó déo $\mu \boldsymbol{o}{ }^{\circ}$ év Kupíc， the captivity is referred to union with Christ and devotion to His service；so 6．21，ס́ákovos èv Kuplu：3．18，ėv àyátrp ẻp̀pl－
 dation if they would realize all the majestic proportions of Christ＇s love to man．Both these meanings are sometimes combined：L．4．32，$\lambda$ óros èv ćgovalá： 1 T．2．7，סi $\delta$ ó́кка入os èv

 Tท̂s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \theta^{\prime} \sigma \mu \mu \nu$ èv $\dot{a} \sigma \in \lambda y \in i ́ a ~ a ̉ \nu a \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta ̂ s . ~$

 $\dot{e} \sigma \mu \dot{E} \nu$ ，in the fulfilment of which will（9）．
Instrumental adjunct，or adjunct of manner，the $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ of investi－




 $\lambda i a$, in point of promise；the first command we meet with which involves a promise．

confidence; dv, the predication of manner, defining the tone of mind in which the admission is enjoyed and realized: $\mathbf{E}$. 4. 17, мaprúpouac d $\nu K \nu \rho i \varphi$, the element in which, the sphere in which the declaration is made; so R.9.1: 2 C. 2. 17: 1 Th. 4. 1.
d $\nu \boldsymbol{X} X_{\rho} \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$, a term of deep significance, implying union and fellowship with Christ.

 or frame of mind in which they wrought the áca $\theta a \rho \sigma i a$.
 be a propitiation for our sins: O. 3. 17, тर́ava d̀ ò óóparı Kuplou 'I $\eta \sigma 001:$ E. 5. 20, the name of Christ is that general holy element in which every thing is to be received, to be enjoined, to be
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$, the prevailing feeling or sentiment in which $\dot{\boldsymbol{u} \pi o \tau a r y}$ is to be exhibited. "Ex timore Christi, quia scilicet Ohristum veneremur, eumque timemus offendere." (Corn.-a-Lap.)
E. 6. 1 , ข̇такои́ere тoîs yovev̂oıv $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ èv $K \nu \rho i ́ \varphi$, this defines and characterizes the nature of the obedience: $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ois $a \nu \mu \eta$ трогкрои́бэs Kuplq. (Chrysostom.)
 When the defining prepositional clause is incorporated with, appended to, or structurally assimilated with the substantive as to form only a single conception, the article is correctly omitted. See p. 37.

 was prepared for the work of the ministry subjectively by deep inward revelations, as well as objectively by outward manifestations. (Ellicott.)

1 Th. 2. $3,{ }^{2} \nu \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \mu$, in any deliberate intention to deceive. "The use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, especially with abstract or non-personal substantives, is always somewhat debateable in the New Testament, and can only be fixed by the context: it sometimes librates towards $\delta$ )á, both with genitives (1 P. 1. 5), and accusatives (M. 6. 7), sometimes towards $\mu$ efá (C. 4. 2), sometimes towards cará (H. 4. 11), but is commonly best referred to the imaginary sphere in which the action takes place." (Ellicott.)
 wore to be unblameable (proleptic use of the adjective, like

PREPOSITIONS-ĖV.
 blameless, but in a sphere and element of holiness.
1 Th .4 . 18, the $\dot{d} \nu$ is here used in that species of instrumental sense in which the action of the verb is conceived as existing in the means. The ta áк $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ may be conceived as contained in the divinely-inspired words themselves: "Solent Greeci pro Latinorum ablativo instrumenti sæpe ì præpositionem ponere, significaturi in eá re cujus nomini præpositio adjuncta est, vim aut facultatem alicujus rei agendæ sitam esse." Wünder.
 iuas. Christ is represented as the sphere, in which the $\theta^{\prime} \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \eta \mu a$ is evinced, and has its manifestation.
 ajic. div, simply instrumental, the $\phi i \lambda \eta \mu a \cdots$ being that in which the diamafuós was involved, where 'the object may be considered as received into, contained, held, existing in the means.' Jelf, Gr. § 622. 3.
 denotes the spiritual state in which the einato cis $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$ was realized.
 element of grace and love.
 spheres in which the Apostle performed his mission. We may refer $\pi i \sigma \pi \iota s$ to the subjective faith of the Apostle, $a^{\lambda} \lambda \eta^{j} \theta \in \iota a$ the objective truth of the doctrine he delivered.
 jective had been used, though the meaning would have been nearly the same, the idea presented to the mind would have been different; in the one case, subjection would have been noticed as a kind of attribute ; in the present case it is represented as the moral element with which they were surrounded. "The transition from actual, L. 7. 25, to figurative environment, M. 6. 29, and thence to moral deportment, 1 T. 2. 9, or as here, to moral conditions, seems easy and natural." (Ellicott, 1 T. 3. 4.)
 miбтe, the object of the sharp reproof was to restore them to hoalth; the sphere and element in which that doctrinal element was to be enjoyed was $\pi l \sigma \tau t s$.

Ground, or occasion, of mental emotion : R. 2. 23, ठ́s ̇̇̀ $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \varphi$

 ̇̀v тaîs $\theta \lambda / \psi \in \sigma i$ ноv.



To result in, take effect in, time yet future: R. 2. 5, ө naaupl


Adverbial uses are ìv rê фavep $\hat{\varphi}$, openly: A. 26. 28 : E. 3. 3,

$\epsilon \nu$ in composition retains its usual signification, near, at, in, as


oviv denotes close connexion, union, identification in time and circumstances. aúv implies coherence, a closer conjunction than $\mu \in \tau a ́$, coexistence; as, in English, with differs from amid, among.

 4. 13, бі̀v тஸ̂̀ 'Iŋбov̂ ท̈бav: 1 Th. 4. 17, тávтote oìv Kvpiø




Identification: A. 14. 4, oi $\mu$ è̀ $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ бìv тoîs 'Iouסalous, oì $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{̣}{ }^{\text {' }} A \beta \rho a a_{\mu} \mu$. Compare oi $\sigma \tilde{u}_{\nu} \tau \iota \nu \iota$, the friends of any one: oúv rivi cival, or yiyver⿻at, to be of his party.

Assistance, co-operation: 1 O. 5. 4, бìv Tश̂ סvváuec tav̂ Kupiov:


Addition, accession, over and above, besides: M. 25. 27,


Thus $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ is used of necessary connexion, consequence: Xen. Cyr. $\sigma \dot{u} \nu \tau \bar{\varphi} \sigma \hat{\psi} \dot{\alpha} \dot{y} y \theta \theta \hat{\varphi}$, to your advantage, 'tuo cum commodo:'
 $\sigma u{ }^{\prime} \nu$ is often used in combination with ä $\mu a$, which generally means connexion in respect of time: as $1 \mathrm{Th} .4 .17, \tilde{a}_{\mu a} \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ aưoôs á $\rho \pi a \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu e \theta a$, at the same time, together with them; but in some passages has the further idea of aggregation: $\mathbf{R}$.
3. 12, ă $\mu a \dot{\eta} \chi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta_{\eta \sigma a \nu . ~ H e n c e ~ t h e ~ f o r c e ~ o f ~} 1$ Th. 5. 10, $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mu a}$

ouv in composition denotes fellowship, union, agreement, as $\sigma v \nu \in \sigma \theta i \omega, \sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \in \epsilon$ : the completion of an action, as $\sigma v \mu-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$ : intensity, as $\sigma u y \kappa v ่ \pi \tau \omega$.
$e^{i} \mathrm{i}$ is a lengthened form of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu(=\dot{e} \nu \mathrm{~s}$ ), and signifies 'to' or ' into,' with a decided expression of motion or tendency; ad or in c. accus.
Motion to an object: M. 2. 11, è $\begin{aligned} & \text { óvives eis } \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \text { oikiav: 3. 10, }\end{aligned}$

 ad me.' So perhaps A. 23. 11, eis 'Iepovaa $\eta_{\eta} \mu$, eis ' $P \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$, as thou bearest witness by coming to Jerusalem, so thou shalt bear witness by going to Rome.
The object to which an action is directed: M. 18. 15, éà $\nu$
 cis é $\mu$ é.
 were admitted into Christ by baptism; "ut Christo addicti


 with Christ crucified; with Christ, not as a man living upon earth before death, but as one who has died, and with distinct reference to His death. See J. 12. 24. Before death He was a Teacher: death alone could make Him a Saviour." (Vaughan.) Compare R. 8. 34 : 1 P. 3. 22.

The meaning of $\epsilon i$ with $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ appears twofold : unto, object, purpose, M. 3. 11 ; A. 2.38 ; into, union and communion with; the context showing whether it be of the most complete and mystical nature, as G. 3. 27 ; R. 6. 3 ; 1 C. 12. 13, or as in 1 C. 10. 2 , necessarily less comprehensive and significant. The
 not identical in meaning with $\beta a \pi \tau$. \&̀ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ỏ $\nu o ́ \mu a \tau \iota$, but ever implies a spiritual and mystical union with Him in whose name the sacrament was administered. M. 10.41, $\dot{\delta}$ Sexó ${ }^{\prime}$ evos
 prophet.

In the cases where cis is said to be used for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, motion is implied. This is obviously the case in M. 2. 23, è $\lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \kappa a \tau \not ̣ ์ \mu \eta-$


We may observe，too，that $\eta \lambda \lambda \theta \nu$ precedes in Mk．1．9，

 have gone to bed，and are there still：Rev．6．15，ĕepufay éautov̀s eis rdे $\sigma \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a i a$ ，ran into the caves for shelter，and kept themselves hid：Cesar，B．G．，＂abdiderunt se in silvas：＂ Thucyd．i．133，és ग̂v（ $\kappa a \lambda u ́ \beta \eta \nu)$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \in$ é申óp $\omega \nu$ évrós tıvas ë́криұє．L．7．во，тореúou єis єip $\eta$ й $\nu$ ：here cis marks a tran－ sition of feeling；in Ja．2．16，íááyeтe è $\nu$ eip $\eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ ，no such change is implied．

In other cases where $\boldsymbol{d} \nu$ is said to be used for $\epsilon i$ ，continuance
 èv raîs кapסlaıs í $\mu \hat{\nu}$ ； 1 T．3．16，à $\nu \in \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \phi \theta \eta$ è $\nu$ סógñ．With
 Zıкe入ia ：Ovid，Fast．iii．664，＇in sacri vertice montis abit．＇

The use of cis in the New Testament closely corresponds with the three idiomatic meanings，with respect to，with a view to，to the ainount of．（Donaldson，478．）
＇With respect to：＇A．2． $25, \Delta a \beta i \delta$ 入érєı eis aủróv：A．



Mental direction towards：R．16．6，èкoтiaбè cis í $\mu a ̂$ â．
＇With a view to，＇＇the end designed，＇＇destination：＇Mk．1．4，




To this ethical sense of destination we may ascribe some passages in which the primary force seems to be lost：Ph．2．16，
 object：G．2．2： 1 Th．3．s，eí§ кevò̀ yév $\quad$ tal，prove in vain．
＇To the amount of，＇＇the end attained：＇A．19．27，кıעסuvevé



 è $\sigma \tau i \nu$ єis $\sigma \omega t \eta \rho i a \nu: 1$ P．1．5，фpoupounévous dià riatews cis

 ful performance of the Apostloship：$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \iota \nu$ cis Xpıбтóv，eis

тò övoua aùtov̂，is to be brought by belief into the body of Christ，to be made a member of His body．The spirit which He gave，eis $\dot{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}$ ，not merely $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, is the spirit infused into us．

Though the above comprehend the principal uses of eis，yet from the frequency of its occurrence in the New Testament we may make further subdivisions．
＇Intention，＇＇aim，＇without the accessory idea of attain－



 крlбє Ocov，not purely the purpose，but rather the object to which the edv $\delta \epsilon 宀 y \mu a$ tended；＂the general direction and tendency of the kpions was that patient and holy sufferers should be ac－ counted worthy of God＇s kingdom．Their sufferings established no olaim to the kingdom，but formed the avenue which led to it， A．14． 22 ；R．8．17．＂（Ellicott．）
Attainment is implied in 2 C．4．4，eis тò $\mu \eta$ à à̇yáбac aùroîs

 ท̀ $\mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ réyovev cis Xpıctóy，so that the law became our slave－ tutor，handing us over to Christ．

Result，without any expression of intention or aim：R．1．20，




 resulting in death，or of obedience resulting in righteousness：



The preposition indicates the purpose of the foregoing ex－ hortation and appeal：perhaps in no case does it simply indicate result；yet there are several passages in which the purpose is so far blended with the subject of the prayer，entreaty，\＆c．，or the issues of the action，that we may recognize a secondary and weakened force in reference to purpose，analogous to the ecbatic use of liva．

In some passages we have $\pi$ pós marking one object，which is м 2
the means of accomplishing an ulterior object denoted by eis:

 means of being incorporated into Him: R.4. 26, $\pi \rho d s$



The following adverbial usages occur in Xenophon: cis
 to the utmost of our power : $\epsilon i$ is $\delta \iota c a \iota o \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu, \phi i \lambda l a \nu$, as far as regards justice, friendship: єis rì orpartáv, for the use of
 respect: cis $\mu$ èv $\tau$ uûta, as to this; Latin, 'quod attinet:' cis кouyóv.
In composition eis retains its chief signification, into: eia-
 cis has a peculiar force after $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, in 2 T. 4. 18, $\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ cis
 Tो̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ '̇movo., emphatic from position. The present sovereignty and kingdom of Christ in heaven is implied in E. 1. 20 ; O. 3. 1, and expressed in 1 C. 15.25.
àá occurs in the New Testament only in the accusative, with the radical signification up, upon, formed from ăv $\omega$, as caтá from cátw. The poets use it with other cases, equivalent to $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$ with the genitive, $\dot{d} \pi i$ with the dative.

With the accusative there is a constant antithesis between àvá and катá. If àvá implies vertically, upward, catá means perpendicularly, downward. If àvá expresses horizontal motion to the east, cará would express motion to the west.


 brother and brother.
With numerals ává has a distributive force: M. 20. 9, ä $\lambda a \beta o \nu$ ùvad $\delta \eta \nu a ́ p \iota o \nu: ~ L . ~ 9 . ~ 3, ~ a ̉ v d ~ \delta u ́ o ~ \chi ı \tau \omega ิ \nu a s ~ e ̣ \chi є \iota \nu: ~ J . ~ 2 . ~ 6, ~ i ́ \delta p i a \iota ~$ $\chi \omega \rho o u ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ a ̀ \nu a ̀ ~ \mu e \tau \rho \eta \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta u ́ o ~ \eta ̂ ~ т \rho e i ̂ s . ~$
Adverbial usages: 1 C. 14. 27, ảvà $\mu$ épos, by turns: Rev. 21. 21, ávà є $\boldsymbol{s}_{S}$ ếкабтоs, each one severally.

In composition ayá has the force of upwards, as ajvaßaive :
 as àvaßخéric: improvement, as àvaкacv/(う).

Prepositions which govern a genitive and accusative are $\delta$ oá, $\kappa а т a ́, \pi \epsilon \rho l$, íтє́ $\rho$.

סiá denotes separation and disjunction. With the accusative it indicates the final cause, the primary, remote invisible end; with the genitive it indicates the medium, the secondary, proximate visible means. $\delta c^{\prime}$ with the genitive corresponds to per; with the accusative to propter. This distinction is well preserved in the following passages: Arist. Eth. iv. 13, § 16, $a_{\text {: }}^{\text {: }}$




 for the sake of whom : $\delta i^{i} \dot{\omega} \nu$, by the instrumentality of whom.
This distinction is not invariably maintained, as in answer to the question 'whither $P$ ' (quo,) סuć has the accusative, and is rendered by per, e. g., $\delta i a ̀$ тóvtiov кîpa, along the ocean wave. Yet here we may render $\delta(a$, , ' by favour of,' ' by the aid of,' ' owing to.'

## סıá with the aenitive.

סiá, c. gen., has the local sense of passing through, which includes that of proceeding from, and passing out: Mk. 11. 16,



 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \sigma \dot{1}} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \quad \delta \iota^{\prime}$ vi $\delta a \tau o s$, 'through and out of :' Cicero de Divin., "Non nasci longe optimum, nec in hos scopulos incidere vitæ; proximum autem, si natus sis, tanquam ex incendio effugere fortunæ."
In a temporal sense; after an interval: M. 26. 61, $\delta<d$ т $\tau \omega \omega \bar{\nu}$

 ขขктòs коттьáбаутеร.

From the ideas of space and time $\delta t a a_{\text {acquires }}$ the general idea of intervention, and denotes any cause, primary or secondary, material or instrumental, through the medium of which an action passes to its accomplishment: J. 1. 3, тávтa $\delta i^{\prime}$ aùтov̂


 סoğá̧ovtes tò̀ $\Theta$ eóv: 2 P. 1. 3, tô̂ кa入é каl ápeт $\overline{5}$, by a display of glory and goodness: G. 2. 16, סıкаю
 mean by which grace is wrought or conferred, but the mean whereby it is accepted or received ' (Waterland) : G. 3. 18, $\tau \hat{\omega}$
 inheritance to Abraham by means of promise. "The enjoyment of the inheritance depended on no conditions, came through no other medium save that of promise." (Ellicott.)

So $\delta u u^{\prime}$ denotes any attending circumstance or quality, particularly in a state of transition, literally passing through a state, being in the state, way, or manner: 20.3 . 11, $\epsilon i$ yàp tò
 that which was in a vanishing condition was invested with glory, much more that which remaineth abideth in glory. The law passes, the prophets pass $\delta i d \delta_{0} \delta_{\eta}$ y, but the Gospel remains,
 סid eídous, by faith, by sight, as the means by which we are guided, the way we pass through : comp. Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 2,
 every river is difficult to pass: iii. 2. 4, סià míवтews aủtoîs





This usage may be traced to its local sense, and is generally found with verbs of motion marking the road or line of action:
 $\mu a \tau о \varsigma$ каl тєрєтонฑ̂s тараßátทע, that hast broken through the barrier of the written law, and hast violated the rite of circumcision.
 it is evil to the man who eats breaking through the consideration of the scandal. Comp. Cessar, B. G. i. 46, "eos a se per fidem in colloquio circumventos," under cover of plighted
 rd $\delta$ oà rov̂ бஸ́patos, that eạch one may receive for himself his store, by the instrumentality of the body: 2 C. 10. 1, таракал $\omega$
 strument and means by which I would move you. So R. 12.

1; 15. 30, $\delta t$ ex expresses the instrument of exhortation, that consideration which will avail in giving effect to the charge. $\pi \rho o ́ s$ would be the classical equivalent: 1 Th. 4. 2, oídavє rà $\rho$
 as the 'causa medians' through whom the raparye入ial were declared, by whose blessed influence the Apostle was moved to deliver them. The remembrance of this enhances the impor-
 'Inбoû, those who through his mediation are accounted as
 'coram multis testibus,' the presbyters who were present and assisted at Timothy's ordination, by the mediation of the witnesses who were adjuncts to the solemnity. Thuc. i. 40 , ou $\delta$ é
 truce;' where Dr. Arnold remarks, " $\delta i a$ denotes the circumstances accompanying the action or situation spoken of, i.e. whatever is interposed between the beginning and end of an action, such as the instrument, the state or condition which must be gone through before the thing can be done, or simply the circumstances attending it." R.7. $5, \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a \theta \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a \tau a \tau \omega ̂ \nu \dot{\nu} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega ̂ \nu$ td̀ Sıà toû עó $\mu o v$, the passions of sins which were by occasion
 $\sigma \pi o u \delta \eta \eta^{5}$.



In an adverbial sense: R. 14. 14, oủס̇̇v kolvòv $\delta i$ aữoû, nothing is unclean in and of its own nature.

## סlá with the accusative.

The general signification of $\delta u a^{\prime}$ with an accusative is 'on account of,' 'because of:' M. 27. 18, Sià $\phi$ Óávov mapé $\delta \omega \kappa$ каע
 4. 11, Scà тò $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \eta \eta \mu \alpha ́ ~ \sigma o v ~ \eta ̈ \sigma a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ e ̀ к т i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu . ~$




 $\lambda \iota \sigma$ ć $\mu \eta \nu \quad i \mu i ̂ \nu$, his bodily weakness gave him the opportunity


Satan takes advantage of the want of self-control to tempt: R.
 $\delta_{\iota \kappa a l \omega \sigma \iota \nu}^{\eta} \mu \omega \omega \nu$, for the sake of our offences which rendered his death necessary, for the sake of securing our acceptance: R. 13.
 for the sake of wrath to avoid it, but also for the sake of conscience to preserve it, caӨapá̀ 1 T. 3. 9, and àmpóvкотод A.


 infirmity of your flesh demands such a mode of instruction: $H$.
 infirmity he is morally bound: R. 14. 15, ci yà סià $\beta \rho \omega \hat{\mu} \mu$ í á $\delta \in \lambda \phi o ́ s$ sov $\lambda$ vereîtal, for if owing to meat thy brother is


We may regard as adverbial usages: H. 5. 12, $\delta$ ià rò̀ xpónov, by reason of the length of time: $\delta \iota a d$; or $\delta c a \tau l$; for what? wherefore: comp. Od., $\delta i^{\prime}$ àta $\theta a \lambda i a s$ émaӨov, they suffered for their follies: $\delta \iota a ̀ m o \lambda \lambda a ́$, for many reasons.
In composition $\delta \iota a$ has the meaning of all through, across, as



## natá with the genitive.

Katá with the genitive denotes vertical motion or direction, doon upon; with the accusative it signifies horizontal motion or direction, along.
Descent from a higher place: M. 8. 32, ẅ $\rho \mu \eta \sigma e \nu ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~_{\eta}^{\eta}$
 $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \mathrm{s}$.

Motion or direction upon, through: A. 27. 14, ä $\beta a \lambda e$ кaт'
 $\pi \in \rho \iota \chi \omega \rho o v$, hence from the action of raising the hand in attesta-

The object to which the action is directed: Jude 16, $\pi 0 \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma a b$
 àdfcínov toútov ; So we say, 'down upon him,' in a hostile

 кal лàs кaт' aỉrov̂ aítlas $\sigma \eta \mu a ̂ \nu a t . ~$
 their deep-sunk poverty, their penury which reached downward to the depth.

## cará WITH THE ACCUSATTVE

With an accusative кará denotes the point to which an object tends, the course along which an action proceeds: L. 8. 39, cal ${ }^{\prime}$
 тoùs áaधeveîs: L. 10. 33, ódev́ $\omega \nu$ ग̉ $\lambda \theta \in \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ aùtóv, alongside him.
From this arises the distributive sense: L. 8. 1, סúwíeve кatà

Direction towards: A. 8. 26, торєúov катà $\mu$ ебך $\mu$ קpiav: Ph. 3. 14, катдे бкотòv бкஸккш.

Sometimes purpose, object, intention: 2 T. 1. 1, á $\pi$ ó́


 for the furtherance of godliness, or, "quæ pietati consentanea est." Some idea of purpose is implied in such expressions as кard $\theta$ Єáv, Thuc. vi. 31 : $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ á $\rho \pi a \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu$, Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 3. Object is expressed by eis, т $\boldsymbol{\text { pós, catá. eis marks immediate purpose; }}$



The temporal sense of the word is derived from its local usage, denoting the period through which the action passes:
H. 3


In a tropical sense catá expresses the relation in which one thing stands towards another.
Accordance, conformity, proportion: M. 23. 3, кaтà tà êpya

 §vivapıv.



Hence it is used of any general reference or allusion: 20. 11. 21, кат árıuiad $\lambda$ ' $\gamma \omega$, , I speak on the subject of disgrace:

 reference to the Gospel.

We may notice too some adverbial and adjectival uses.
Adverbial: L. 10. 31, катà бuүкvpiav: M. 1. 19, "кат' дурар: J. 10. з, кат' ŏ уома: А. 18. 14, катà خóyov: G. 2. 2, катà ủлокádufıv, in accordance with revelation, not for my own purposes: кат' ioiav, privately.

Adjectival: 2 C. 7. 10, " $\eta$ кcã̀à $\Theta$ eò $\nu \lambda u ̛ \pi \eta \eta$, dolor animi Deum
 dolor animi mundum spectantis et sequentis; dolor amissi;




In composition cará denotes reference, frequently of an unfavourable kind : кareıreî̀ ti tivos, to say any thing of another, to make him the subject of some assertion or statement: cararıv⿻iбкet $\tau i ́$ revos, to think or impute in our judgment any
 катакрivelv. Opposed to these are verbs compounded with ámó, which deny and in a manner remove the thing spoken of from the subject, and make a separation between them. So ajroн
 ever, кatnүopeîv, and other similar verbs, are generally taken in
 катафроуєì, 'to think down upon,' to despise. (Arnold, Thucyd. i. 95.)
$\pi \epsilon \rho /$ has nearly the same meaning as $\dot{a} \mu \phi i$, which does not occur in the New Testament. repi means around, ' circum,' a completed circle. à $\mu \phi i$ means about, 'utrinque,' an imperfect circlo.

The object about which the action is executed: M. 2. 8, áкр८-

 $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu e \omega \nu$ : E. 6. 22, тà $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ ( $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ), the circumstances
 $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, propitiation on account of our sins: Xen. Anab. i. 2. 8,

$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ marks the object round about which the action of the verb takes place. In the use of $\pi \in \rho i$ with a genitive the derivative moanings, 'as concerns,' 'as regards,' greatly predominate ; tho primary idea howover still remains: $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ with a
genitive serves to mark an object which is the central point of
 єiv, the тгєuдáтıка $\delta \omega \bar{p} a$ formed the centre of the áyvola, the further idea of any action or motion round it is supplied by
 (Ellicott.)
$\pi \epsilon \rho /$ represents the notion of visible inferiority, one rising above the rest from a visible circle of objects, ' pro ceteris:' Il.

$\pi e \rho l$ with the accusative signifies motion or extension around :



The object about which an action is exerted: L. 10. 40,


 truth missed their aim : comp. Sıaтplßєı $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \eta े \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i a \nu$, $\sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ \zeta \epsilon L \nu \pi \epsilon \rho!$ т८.

With vague indications of time and number: M. 20.3, тepi
 three thousand, more or less.

## ítép with the genitive.

$i \pi{ }^{\prime} \rho$ with the genitive signifies 'super,' above, over an object, in a relative rather than absolute sense; with the accusative the meaning is 'ultra,' with motion implied beyond an object.
'In the place of:' Plato, Gorg. 515 c , èjळ̀ ímèp $\sigma o \hat{v}$ àmoкрıvoû-





 non tantum in N. T., sed et apud scriptores profanos significare mori loco alterius." (Valckner.) This comes from the notion of standing over to protect, as a bird will receive a blow intended for her young ones, or as a shield receives a blow in place of the person who raises it in defence.

In these passages imép may mean 'in commodum alicujus,' or
‘in loco alicujus.' In doctrinal passages imép admits the second meaning united with the first, where the context and nature of the argument seem to require it. Where the second is exclusively meant the preposition would be $\dot{a} \nu \tau i$ (vice alicujus).
 ưдâs: A. 26. 1, є̇тıт



For the purpose of; to carry out, to accomplish : Ph. 2. 13,

 - $\in \mathfrak{v}$.

Concerning, as to talk over a matter: Hdt., tà 入eyó $\mu$ eva imép tıvas: Virg., "Multa super Priamo rogitans:" R. 9. 27,


 тò̀ Kúpьo тарєкá入єба.
In these instances $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ has the sense of $\pi \epsilon \rho l$, with which it is connected. itué designates the apex of the compass, whereas $\pi \in \rho i ́$ denotes the circle described. int́f expresses more feeling than $\pi \varepsilon \rho l$, as it applies to an advocate pleading in the place of a client; maintaining a cause which has been misrepresented by others. Xpıनтòs àmé $\theta a \nu \epsilon \dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$, in their stead, but $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\dot{a}} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, which rendered it necessary that He should die. Thus $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$ is used with the person, 'sinners,' but mepi with the
 Siкalos $\dot{\boldsymbol{j} \pi} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ádikwy. Perhaps the only exception is H. 5. 3, for we must not overlook the presence of $\eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in 10.15 . 3. In 2 Th. 2.1 úné may mark the duty and interest of believers in furthering the mapovaia. So vintep 1 T. 2.1, 2.

## utite with the accusative.

With the accusative, inté $\dot{\rho}$ denotes 'beyond,' excess in honour,






## $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ with the genitive.

From the idea of excess comes the notion of 'contrary to:'


In composition $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ expresses over, above, of place, as $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ -



prepostions governing genitive, dative, accusative.

## ènl with the genitive.

enl denotes superposition, and with the genitive, answers to



 трaтéSTs, of the things upon my table: A. 5. 30, крє $\mu$ á $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 which treats upon the bush: A. 21. 23, ăv





 With the same local sense it conveys the presence of:' M. 28. 14, éà à áкova $\theta \hat{\eta}$ тoûto émi $\pi$ idea, 'in the


In a temporal sense. Past time is considered up


 a $v \delta \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \phi$ ' $\bar{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, the man above all others of those in our day: Il. ii. 797, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ eip $\eta^{\prime} u{ }^{\prime}$ s, in time of peace.
Authority, dignity, power, upon, over: R. 9. 5, $\dot{\circ} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e} \pi i$




The concurrent circumstances and relations in which, and
under which，an event takes place：R．1．9，тávtote d $\pi i$ tôv

 на．

## ent $\boldsymbol{l}$ with the＇dative．

＊With a dative $\dot{e} \pi l$ implies actual superposition，rest upon，

















 1 P．2．6．Christ is represented as the basis，foundation，on which faith rests．With the primary meaning of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the dative，＇absolute superposition，＇is connected the accessory notion of＇dependence on：＇Xen．Anab．i．4，ßovacuétac öт
 which he shall no longer be dependent on his brother．
The ethical basis；occasion or cause of an action or emotion：






Both these uses are found in 1 Th．3．7，тарек $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu$
 the first $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell$ marks the objects which were the substratum of
the Apostle＇s comforts；points to the basis on which the тара́клクбぃs rested ：＇fundamentum cui veluti superstructa est：＇
 second $\dot{\ell} \pi i$ marks the occasion，with a semi－local force noticing that with which the mapácino兀s stands in immediate contact


Moving principle；suggesting motive；accompanying con－


 $\mu \eta$ èmì $\pi \rho \rho \nu \mathrm{eiaq}$ ．

 with a view to good works．Here $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi l$ denotes the object or aim of an action considered as the motive or foundation thereof， ＇on the understanding of．＇

Repeated instances of this occur in olassical writers：Xen．
 to do him good：vii．6．3，raגei à̀rov̀s èmi $\xi \in \nu l a$, to share his

 é $\phi$＇$\$$ te ejklacıv，on the condition of their emigrating：113，
 on the condition that they shall carry off their men：Demosth．
 Ths idias $\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \in \xi i a s ~ e \lambda \pi i \delta \delta$ ，the party which overlooked these gain：284，é $\mu \sigma \theta \dot{\theta} \theta$ 埌

 ever，they made their practice，with a view to villainy，not on
 $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \tau e$ ，ye assign what is fair and equal on the principle of not annoying others．
Sometimes $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ includes the result，together with the purpose

 words，a cause useful for nothing，resulting in the subversion of
 roútous，＇what was the next best thing to be done．＇

## ètí WITH THR ACCUSATIVE.

With accusatives érl signifies motion, with a view to super-




Any extended motion: M. 27. 45, бко́тоऽ є́үє́vєто Є̇тi mâбav
 aijca入òv єiбтท́ce, had gone to the shore and stationed them-





Motion from heaven to earth: Mk. 1. 10, т $\Pi \quad \Pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a \dot{\omega} \dot{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma-$




 the point to which the ovvarorฑ' was directed, and loses its idea of superposition in that of approximation or juxtaposition. The difference between émi and $\pi \rho o s^{\prime}$ in this combination is, that while $\pi \rho o ́ s p o i n t s ~ m o r e ~ t o ~ t h e ~ d i r e c t i o n ~ t o ~ b e ~ t a k e n, ~ e ́ \pi i ́ m a r k s ~$ more the point to be reached. (Ellicott, 2 Th. 2. 1.)




The direction, bent of the mind or feeling: H.6.1, miotews



 $\mu a \rho т u ́ p ı \nu$ é $\phi$ ' íấs, a testimony directed to you, involving some idea of ' nearness or approximation :' M. 10. 21, éтауабтท́боутац

 тávta $\Theta$ cóv. é erl with its general local meaning involves the more specific and ethical one of opposition.

The difference between eis and érí may be marked in R. 3.
 кai èmi тávtas тoùs тьनтєúovtas, God's plan of justifying
through faith in Christ Jesus extending to and resting upon; reaching to and efficacious for all who believe.
 direction, ė $\pi \not \subset \chi \in \omega$ : motion towards, è $\pi \iota \chi \in \varphi \rho \in \omega$ : increase, com-
 tion, èrıßoác.
 tion, that on which the feeling is based; used with persons Mk. 8. 38 ; L. 9. 26 , and with things R. 1. 16 ; 1 T. 1. 16. $\pi เ \sigma \tau \in v \in \epsilon \nu$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \pi l_{\xi \in L \nu}$ are followed by $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \nu}, \epsilon i \mathcal{S}$, $e \pi l$, but generally with a difference of meaning, as the exercise of faith is contemplated under different aspects. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, R. 10.9, involves the idea of being in Christ as the substratum of spiritual life; $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \cup \cup \in \nu$ eis, M. 18. 6 ; J. 3. 15 ; A. 22. 19 ; Ph. 1. 29, implies union of a fuller and more mystical nature, with probably some accessory ideas of mental direction towards the object of faith; $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$, with the dative, R. 10. 11; 1 T. 1. 16, involves repose,

 marka the basis or foundation of hope, 1 C. 15. 19; è $\lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \nu \nu$ eis, the direction of the hope with the further idea of union and communion with the object of hope, J. 5. 45; 2 C. 1. $10 ; 1$ P. 3. 5. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, with the dative, marks the foundation on which the hope rests, 1 T. 6. 17; R. 15. 12. è $\lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{e} \pi l$, with the accusative, the mental direction, 1 T. 5.5.

Metá, in common Greek, is joined only with the gen. and accus. In poetry it is found with the dative.
$\mu \in \tau a ́$ denotes companionship, or pursuit with a view to companionship, and thus differs from $\sigma \dot{v} v$, which expresses conjunction, union, coherence. Thus E. 6. 23, áyáт $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ нетà $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, love in company with faith, implying their coesistence. árár $\eta$ oiv riarel would denote their coherence, or confusion; the ono quality being identified with the other, which would have no meaning ; yet we have, E. 4. 31, тıкрía . . . бv̀v какia, as bitterness is identical with some badness of disposition, and in 1 C .
 rably united with the other: 1 Thess. 3. 13, $\mu \in \tau a ̀ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ cioliw aúrov̂, the saints are represented as attending our Lord at His coming and swelling the majesty of His train : oivy tois áylots would describe them as united with Him. Hence $\sigma u v^{v}$ is


 aùt $\omega \nu$ : Mk. 1. 13, $\eta_{\nu} \nu \mu e \tau \alpha े ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \theta \eta p l \omega \nu . ~ T h e ~ o r i g i n a l ~ s i g n i f i c a-~$ tion of $\mu$ etá is connected with $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma$ os, ' medius,' with the German ' mit,' ' Mittel,' and the English 'mid,' ' middle.'




$\mu e \tau a ́$ refers especially to the mental feeling and disposition with which an action is performed: L. 1. 39, $\mu e \tau a ̀$ a $\sigma$ ov $\delta \hat{\eta} s: 2$

 sympathy, of one's side or party: M. 12. 30 , $\dot{\text { d }} \mu \eta \eta_{\eta}^{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$


Occasionally with the idea of aid or blessing: J. 8. 29, $\dot{\text { o }}$

 vov.

Mutual action, interest, feeling: M. 12. 30, $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \nu \nu a ́ y \omega \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau '$


 mutual action of giver and receiver: 2 J .2 , $\delta_{\iota}$ т $\tau \bar{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$
 tive doctrinal truth is expressed by $\dot{e} v$. The personal truth Ohrist Himself who aids us, as sharing our nature, is expressed by $\mu \epsilon \tau$ á.

After words implying accord, discord: L. 23. 12, évévòto

 16, $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \omega \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aủт $\omega \nu$.





The concomitant of an action, marking the circumstance or condition with which another event is attended: M. 14. 7, $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$




 faith.
$\mu e \tau a^{\prime}$ with the accusative implies succession in time: M. 17. 1,

 behind.
In composition $\mu \in \tau$ é expresses community, participation, $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta \omega \mu l$, $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$ : sequence or succession in time, $\mu \epsilon \tau a v o e ́ \omega$, $\mu \epsilon \tau а \mu e ́ \lambda o \mu a \iota: ~ b a c k w a r d s$, reversion, $\mu \epsilon \tau$ 'á $\epsilon \sigma \iota s$ : change of place or condition, $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta a i \nu \omega, \mu є \tau a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$. Thucyd. $\mu є \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \chi \in i p a \varsigma$ è $\chi \in(\nu$, to have in hand.
The uniform meaning of $\pi a \rho a ́$ is, 'by the side of :' $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂$,
 thy side.

## mapá with the genitive.

Genitive of person after verbs of motion, coming, sending:



The source whence any thing proeeeds: L. 6. 19, סívalis



 à $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \in \epsilon \nu$.
Sometimes it appears to be used for the agent; but mapá
 'Iovoal $\omega \nu$. Here ínó could not have been used, as the Jews had laid no formal charge. "If the action proceeds from a person, тapá or i $\boldsymbol{\pi} o ́$ is employed. tapá indicates merely in general terms the source of motion; inó indicates the special efficient and producing cause." Winer, \& 47.
Hence it is used as a periphrasis for the genitive of possession or relation: Mk. 3. 21, oi $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aùrov̂, his kindred: 5. 26, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{d}$ $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ éavtท̂s mávta, all her property: i. q., L. 8. 43, ő $\lambda o \nu$ tò $\nu$ Biov.

тapá denotes emanation from a personal source; àmó, emanation simply: d'm' oủpavoû, predication of place: Mk. 8. ${ }^{11}$,

trapá WITH THE DATIVE.
Dative of person or place, expressing rest, position: J.
 тıv! El $\mu \omega \nu$.
 16. 2, тt $\theta$ ét $\pi \pi \rho^{\prime}$ ' eavtê, at home.







In the court of heaven: R. 2. 13, Sicalol тapà rệ $\theta \in \oplus ̣: ~: ~$



In fellowship with God: 10.7.24, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$


The following may be classed under the head of rest, posi-



Accusative. Motion to a place; alongside: M. 15. 29, nal



With verbs of rest where previous motion is implied: M.



## trapá WITH THE ACOUSATIVE.

Of the ground or reason, along with which a conclusion
 not for this reason is it no part of the body.
Hence it has the force of 'beside' in English, which means, 'by the side.' Compare 'beside the question,' 'inconsistent with,' 'different from,' 'beyond,' 'except:' G. 1. s, ċàv ärye入os



 ктloavta, to the neglect of the Creator. Compare R. 1. 26 ,

тарà $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu: 4.18$, $\pi a \rho$ ' $̀ \lambda \pi / \delta a$, 'præter naturam,' 'præter spem.'
Beside, less than: 2 C. 11. 24, теббарáкоутa тapà $\mu i a \nu:$ more than, beyond a line real or imaginary: L. 13. $2, \dot{a} \mu a \rho$. twloi mapd távtas. This has been referred to the use of the Hebrew particle p, but compare mapà $\pi a ́ v \tau a s ~ ' A \chi a \iota o u ̀ s ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a s: ~$

 тра́ббете: R. 12. з, тар' ò $\delta \in \imath ̂ ~ ф \rho о \nu є i ̂ v . ~$

So 'proter,' 'passing beyond,' is nearly synonymous with 'propter,' ' preter opinionem.'

In composition tapá has the meaning of 'alongside,' ' by the
 'to one's hand,' тарабíi $\omega \mu$, тарє́ $\chi \omega$, тарака入є́ш: 'to one side of,' 'past,' таре́p $\chi$ о $\mu a \iota, ~ т а р а \pi \lambda e ́ \omega ~: ~ ' b e y o n d, ' ~ ' a m i s s, ' ~ ' w r o n g l y, ' ~$
 Compare the German 'ver-,' in 'verschwören,' 'forswear.' тараıтоиิ $\mu u$, decline, have nothing to do with: Thucyd. i. 132, таратог $\eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu=\varsigma ~ \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i ̂ \sigma$, , having counterfeited the seal.
$\dot{u} \pi \dot{0}$, from which intép is formed, is used in the New Testament with genitive and accusative. The significations are, with the genitive, motion from beneath; with the dative, position below; with the accusative, motion or extension underneath.
$\dot{u} \pi \delta^{\prime}$ is used with verbs neuter and passive to mark the efficient or instrumental cause, and denotes the subject or agent from under whose hand, power, agency, causation, the action of the verb generally proceeds.

The local signification may be traced in 2 P. 1. 17, $\phi \omega \nu \eta$ §


The agent: M. 1. 22, tò $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta \dot{e} \nu$ únò tov̂ Kuplov: 2. 16,



 $\nu \in ф е ́ \lambda a \iota ~ i ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \lambda a b \lambda a \pi o s ~ e ̀ \lambda a v \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a l . ~$

Though ímó is generally used with verbs of the passive voice, it is joined also to neuter verbs having a passive force, and to transitive verbs where a passive sense is implied: $\mathbf{L}$.


 тарà $\mu i{ }^{2} \nu^{\text {è }} \lambda a \beta o \nu$.
into with the accusative of place, whither, or extension under-

 ímò tò úmotiódtón $\mu$ ov.




 ти́тая.

In composition $\dot{\text { und }} \boldsymbol{o}$ has the force of 'under,' irmpétŋs,




Ipós has a signification of motion onwards. The full form is тротi, a lengthened form of mapá, denoting adversus rather than apud. The general meaning with the several cases is трòs roúr $\omega \nu$, in consideration of these things, as a motive: $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ́ r o \iota s, ~ i n ~ a d d i t i o n ~ t o ~ t h e s e ~ t h i n g s, ~ a s ~ a n ~ a c t: ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau a, ~$ with a viow to these things, as an end. mapá denotes an actual motion or change of place in some object; $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ m e r e l y ~ i n d i-~$ cates a direction or tendency. Hence mapá and mpós nearly concur in their use with the dative, as the case of rest; but most plainly differ in their use with the genitive and accusative.

## tpós with the genitive and dative.

Genitive, in consideration of, in behalf of, for the benefit of : A. 27. 34, тоиิто тго̀s тท̂s $\dot{\nu} \mu \in \tau \in ́ p a s ~ \sigma \omega т \eta \rho l a s ~ i ́ \pi a ́ p \chi e c . ~ S u c h ~$ is the repeated use of тгós in classical authors. Thucyd. iv. 92,

 narrow sea is in favour of the Lacodemonians: Xen. Mem. ii.
 and by no means to your credit: Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 20, $\pi$ pòs $\mu$ è $\nu$
 gods, of men. Hence the use of mpós with the genitive in adjurations: mpos $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, as rogarded by the gods. In this
usage $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}{ }^{s}$ answers to the Latin 'per,' which is otherwise equivalent to $\delta u$ á, c. gen.

трós, on the part of, refers to external agency, while és denotes internal action: Soph. Trach. 676,7, סıáßopov $\pi \rho o ̀ s$


т $\rho$ ós often means, in accordance with: Xen. Anab. i. 2. 11,





Dative; at, near: J. 18. 16, eiorínce $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ т \hat{~ \theta u ́ p a ̨: ~ 20 . ~ 12, ~}$

 тov̂ b bous, as he drew near Jerusalem, being already at the declivity of the mount.

## t $\rho$ ós with the accusative.

т $\rho$ ós with the accusative signifies the direction of motion, or the relation between two objects.





Also where antecedent motion is implied: Mk. 5. 22, $\pi l \pi \tau \in b$






Mere direction: L. 7. 44, бтpaфels трòs тทे रuvaîкa: E. 3. 14, кáرттш тdे үóvatá Mov трòs тòv тaтépa: Mk. 14. 54,
 трòs тávtas. From this arises its use with verbs of speaking.

The object of a disposition or feeling: 2 C. 3. 4, тєтоiӨ $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \sigma \nu\end{aligned}$


 $\dot{\dot{a}} \lambda \lambda$ ク́ $\lambda o u s$.

The relation which one object has towards another.








Conformity to a rule or standard: L. 12. 47, $\mu \eta \delta$ è moı ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$








Looking to, the occasion of an act: Mk. 10. 5 , $\pi$ गò̀s т $\quad$ गे


The subjective purpose of the agent: A. 3. 10, ovitos $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{j} \dot{o}$






 Lord was the object towards which their feelings were directed ( $\pi \rho o o^{\prime}$ ) ; the operation of their feelings, as testified by their acts of benevolence among the saints, is set forth by cis.

Of a result or tendency: 2 P. 3. 16, â $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \sigma \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~$
 5. 16, á $\mu a \rho т i ́ a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta a ́ v a z o \nu . ~$

In some expressions the primary idea of direction is lost,

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{u} \mu a ̂ s ~ \hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu: 2$ Th. 2. 5. $\pi \rho o ́ s$ is followed by the accusative with verbs of rest, and is equivalent to mapá with the dative, 'apud aliquem.' In several of these instances previous motion is implied; so that with this use of $\pi$ mós we may compare the alleged interchange of $\epsilon i s$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$.
In the expressions; J. 1. 1, ó Lóyos $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ mpòs tò $\nu$ Єcóv, and
 in English. The meaning is 'united to God;' ever abiding in and with Him.




т $\rho$ ós in composition has the meaning of motion towards,

 $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \lambda i \nu \omega$ : intensity, strengthening the force of the simple


## adVERBS USED AS PREPOSITIONS.

The following adverbial prepositions are used with a genitive: ăvev, without, 1 P. 3. 1: ă ápl, $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota$, of place and time, as far as, until, M. 13. 30 : A. 11. $5:$ R. 5. 13; 15. 19: äxpıs oṽ, until, whilst, as long as: èryús, near, J. 3. 23 ; 6. 19 : H. 6. 8 ; 8. $13:{ }_{\mu} \mu \pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta e \nu$, before, of place, M. 5. 24 : in the presence of, 6. 1: precedence, J. 1. 15 : ęvavtı, èvaurfov, èvผ́тьov, in the presence of: ëveка, $\chi$ ápıv, on account of, for the sake of: ध̇ $\pi$ áv, above, of place, price, dignity, M. 21. 7: Mk. 14. 5: L. 19. 17, 18 : é c , as far as, of place, until, of
 after : $\pi \lambda \eta \eta \sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$, near.
$\chi$ áptv does not always mean in gratiam, but is used especially by later writers to express all shades of meaning, from those of favour, furtherance, to those of mere causal relation. The meanings of $\chi^{\text {ápıl }}$ range from in gratiam to causá and propter, just as those of ẽveca range from causă to quod attinet ad. In G. 3. 19, т $\hat{\nu}$ тapaßá $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \chi$ ápı ${ }^{2}$, some give a negative meaning to $\chi$ áplv: 'pecoatorum coercendorum gratiâ,' but the correct meaning probably is, "Transgressionum causî ut transgressiones palam faceret, eoque modo homines cogeret ad agnitionem sui reatus." Calvin. "The object of the law was to make transgressions palpable, to awaken a conviction of sin in the heart, and make man feel his need of a Saviour. It was thus also
 the seed did come, higher influences began to work within." (Ellioott, G. 3. 19.)

## CHAPTER X.

## SYNONYMS.

'AyaO's, good in its kind, morally good, virtuous, the opposite of cakós, bad in its kind. Oontrasted with Sicalos, áyaOós describes a man of eminent kindness and philanthropy, a distinguished benefactor, 'qui commodum aliis prebet;' whereas Sicacos describes one who does what is just and right according to law, 'qui recti et honesti legem sequitur.' The dícatos may exemplify the maxim, 'Summum jus, summa injuria,' and thus forfeit his title to be regarded as áyaOós. In contrast with
 tempers the rigour of strict justice, corrects its inaccuracies and supplies its defects with the gentleness and firmness of equity.
 eik $\omega$, cedo, others correctly from ciкós (ëоикa), 'quod decet.' X $\rho \eta \sigma$ тós, 'well disposed,' actively beneficent in spite of ingratitude: L. 6. 35, aùtòs $\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ тós éctıv émi toùs áxaplotous



 the Divine attributes, showing itself by $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi{ }^{\prime}$ a, benevolence to man. In human agents $\chi$ р $\eta \sigma$ óto $\eta \mathrm{s}$ is 'attractiveness,' "benignitas quar in dandis beneficiis cernitur; sive suavitas invitans ad familiaritatem sui, dulcis alloquio, moribus temperata:" àyaOcoúvn, sterling goodness apart from winning attractiveness. "Potest bonitas esse tristior, et fronte severis moribus irrugatâ bene quidem facere, et prestare quod poscitur."
 perhaps denote that benevolence and sweetness of disposition which finds its sphere and exercise in our intercourse with one
 occurs R. 15. 14; E. 5.9 ; G. 5. 22 ; 2 Th. 1.11 , seems to mark that propension of mind which leads a man both to avill and to do what is good, including necessarily the idea of bountifulness, Neh. 9. 25. áyaOór $\eta$ s is a later word, and may be distinguished from ára $0 \omega \sigma u v^{\nu} \eta$ as denoting rather 'goodness in its essence,' and is thus commonly used in reference to God." (Ellicott, G. 5. 22.)



 Plato. "óclws and $\delta \iota \kappa a l \omega s$ form on the positive side a compound idea of holy purity and righteousness, whether towards God or towards men, while $\dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{e} \mu \pi \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$ states on the negative side the general blamelessness in both aspects and relations." (Ellicott, 1 Th. 2. 10.)

In the New Testament ca入ós is equally co-extensive in meaning with áraOós, and frequently denotes what is simply
 кадòs ó עó $\mu o s$, morally good, not merely useful but positively excellent. Archbishop Trench remarks that the usual distinction between of $\sigma t o s$ and $\delta i \kappa a \iota o s$, which would refer ö $\sigma \omega o s$ to the keeper of the first table of the law, and Sicaios to the keeper of the second, is not observed, and could hardly be maintained in the New Testament. The Scripture which recognizes all righteousness as one, as growing out of a single root, and obedient to a single law, gives no room for such an antithesis. He who loves his brother, and fulfils his duties towards him, loves him in God and for God. The second great commandment is not coordinated with the first greatest, but subordinated to, and in part included in it. (Mk. 12. 30, 31.)
áyatác denotes the result of the deliberative exercise of the judgment; the giving a decided preference to one object or person out of many; love for the character; 'deligere; frequently it implies regard and satisfaction rather than affection with especial reference to external acts. $\phi\rangle \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ denotes greater strength of feeling, springing from passion or instinct, love for the person, 'amare,' 'delight in doing,' hence 'am wont to do.' Sexual love is expressed by épạ̀.
áyán $\eta$ is more expressive and diffusive than $\phi_{i} \lambda a y \theta \rho \omega \pi{ }^{2} a_{8}$

It extends not only to the brotherhood, but to all men, even enemies. Hence ájánt is the crown of Christian virtues.

áyos, any matter inspiring religious awe or reverence (ă弓ouat, stand in awe of), áyvos, pure, clean in a ceremonial sense, clear of reproach, honest, free from suspicion and above suspicion. áyvós implies properly an outward, and thence an inward purity, "in quo nihil est impuri." (Tittmann.) A simplicity of holy motive carried out in consistency of holy action. árvisc, áyurajós, applied to the purifications which the Jews adopted previous to the celebration of the Jewish festivals; hence applied to the purification of the heart, 1 P. 1. 22; 1 J .3 .3 ; Ja. 4. 8. äycos, consecrated to God, 'sanctus,' separated from a common to a holy use, 1 P. 1. 1s; implying essential purity,

 any one, combined with, $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau o ́ s: ~ H . ~ 3.1, ~ a ̀ \delta e \lambda \phi o l ~ a ̈ y \iota o c, ~ \kappa \lambda \eta j \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~$ étoupaviou $\mu$ éto ${ }^{\circ}$ ol áyvós denotes freedom from inward impurity ; d $\mu$ iavtos, from stain outwardly contracted, or pollution; кäapós, from alien admixture. "In ärcos cogitatur potissimum verecundia quæ áyvẹ rei vel personæ debetur."-ä́yos corresponds to the Latin word 'sacer,' and implies 'set apart' or 'devoted,' whether for good or for evil. In Thucyd. i. 126, tò alyos tŷs $\theta e o \hat{v}$, is the accursed thing devoted to the vengeance of the goddess, or that would draw down her vengeance. (Arnold.)
áyopá ${ }^{\circ} \omega$, buy, as in a market-place, for a certain price ( $\tau \iota \mu \eta$ ); $\lambda u \tau \rho o ́ \omega$, effect deliverance, by the payment of ransom and exertion of power. $\lambda u^{\prime}$ poo is the price paid for releasing any one from captivity, punishment, or death ( $\lambda v^{\prime} \omega$, loose), the buying back by paying the price of what had been sold (ärouva), or the redeeming what had been devoted by substituting something in its place. So àvt $\lambda_{\nu u \tau \rho o \nu, ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ f u r t h e r ~}^{\text {a }}$ idea 'in room of,' denoting exchange, the price paid for procuring the liberation of another by ransom or forfeit. $\lambda$ úrpeots,
 are the same as $\lambda u^{\prime}$ poov, with the leading idea of propitiation, expiation, the means of averting displeasure, and of providing for the exercise of mercy in harmony with justice; applied to our Lord as the propitiator, in 1 J. 2. 2; 4. 10. Thus the death of Christ has an effect on our salvation over and above its subjective power in subduing the heart and moulding the
will, for it is a ransoming and redemption from the penalties of àvoula, as well as its bondage, Tit. 2. 14. теритоtéo $\mu a \imath$, make one's own, acquire,for oneself, without reference to the manner.
 deliverance by purchase; the end and purpose of which was to acquire the inheritance in heaven, a deliverance from shame and woe, and an acquisition of an inheritance in glory and bliss. Hence the redeemed are called $\lambda$ aòs eis $\pi \epsilon \rho и \pi o i \eta \sigma \iota \nu, 1$ P. 2. 9 ; $\lambda$ aòs rtepeov́atos, Tit. 2. 14. Christ has made them His own; their title to the kingdom of heaven consists in their being His.

 $\lambda u t \rho o \nu(1 \mathrm{~T} .2 .6$ ), a price or valuable consideration; (3) a consequent deliverance. Sometimes the ámo $\hat{u}^{\prime} \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ is spoken of as completed, R. 3.24 ; E. 1.7 ; C. 1. 14 : sometimes as future, R. 8. 23 ; E. 1.14 ; 4. 30. It is. the difference between the spiritual resurrection of J. 5. 25, and the bodily resurrection of J. 6. 28, 29. (Vaughan.) The $\dot{a} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{i}$ in $\dot{a} \nu \tau i \lambda u t \rho o \nu$ is not redundant, but expresses the idea of exchange; "permutationem quâ veluti capite caput et vitâ vitam redemit." (Waterland on Fundamentals, v. 72.) Bishop Ellicott has well remarked, "All the modern theories of atonement seem to overlook that God hates sin as $\sin$, not as a personal offence against Himself."
áCóкı $\mu$ os, in a passive sense, ' rejected on trial,' not standing the test, spurious; in an active sense, undiscerning, unable to distinguish truth from error, alien to; кaтє $\phi$ Oap $\mu$ '́vol тò $\nu$ עoûv, vitiated in principle; $\mu \epsilon \mu \iota a \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, polluted in heart; äтьбтоs, unfaithful to profession; à $\pi$ оঠоксц $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega$, reject as unsuitable or disqualified; Baбavi $\zeta \omega$, apply a touchstone, examine by words
 is used in the two senses, prove by test, approve on trial: R. 2.
 differ. So Ph. 1. 10, to test right and wrong, true and false.
aidós, the inner grace of reverence, 'verecundia;' the turning in upon oneself (è $\nu \tau \rho o \pi \eta^{\prime}$ ), which recoils from any thing unseemly or impure, an innate moral repugnance to the doing of the dishonourable act; 'shamefastness.' aio $\chi$ úv $\eta$, the sense of disgrace; the feeling of shame, 'pudor,' which attends the performance of a dishonourable deed, and the feeling which deters a man from bad conduct, through fear of being put to
shame. ai $\delta \dot{c}$ s will always restrain a good man from an unworthy act; aio $\chi$ v́vך will sometimes restrain a bad man. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma u v_{n}$ is to the intellectual faculty what aidos is to the heart and spirit: $\sigma \omega \phi$ робưvך $\lambda$ éveral à à̀ tov̂ бẃas tàs фpévas
 i. 84. The soundness of mind or discretion which regulates and controls all inordinate desires, and exercises a dignified restraint on the actions and deportment. A well-balanced state of mind, resulting from habitual self-restraint: A, 20. 25 ,


The importance of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v_{u} \eta$ is significantly shown by the connexion in which it stands in 1 T. 2. 15, edd $\mu \in l \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{\nu}$

aitéc, entreat, beg, supplicate, implies a distinction in position and circumstances between the parties, and expresses a petition from an inferior to a superior. épotầ is a word of wider meaning; to ask for information, question, as well as supplicate. Both words are used in A. 3. 2, aireîv, beg alms ; dparầv, make inquiry with that object. This distinction may be traced in 1 J. 5. 16. Many of the difficulties in J. 16. 23, 24 ; 17. 8, 9. 15, will be removed by bearing in mind this distinction. In J. 11. 22, Martha applies aiteĩ to our Lord in addressing His Father; perhaps from overlooking His divine nature. Our Lord uses ép $\omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$, not aité $\omega$, when He speaks of the Father. épotá $\omega$, in the sense of besecch, is a derivative and non-classical use sug-

 2. 1: Ph. 4. 3.
aitia, affair, matter; not necessarily fault or accusation; charge, whether true or false. Ěyк $\lambda \eta \mu a$, formal indictment; everXos, charge, of which the offender is self-convinced; padooupyla, wanton mischief, whatever is done carelessly or at random; $\dot{\rho} a \delta \iota o u ́ p \gamma \eta \mu a$, deed of wanton villainy, against person, property, or religion; mavoîpyos, one who is ready for any thing, 'facinorosus;' generally, but not necessarily, in a bad sense, like 'facinus;' mavovpyia, the character of such an one. L. 20. 23, n .
"alpeots schisma inveteratum; $\sigma \chi{ }^{\boldsymbol{l} \sigma \mu a}$ recens congregationis ex aliquâ sententiarum diversitate dissensio." Aug.
aioxpo 0 oyia, foul-mouthed abusiveness of every kind; the
licence of the ungoverned tongue; but incorrectly limited to obscene discourse, 'turpiloquium;' such communication as ministers to wantonness: ${ }^{\circ} \chi \eta \mu a$ ropvelas, Chrysostom. aic$\chi$ रо́тŋs, filthiness, 'immunditia;' whatever is offensive to modesty and Christian purity; joined by Plato to á $\sigma u \mu \mu e \tau \rho i a$, impropriety of conduct. $\mu \omega \rho o \lambda o \gamma l a$, 'stultiloquium,' that talk of fools which is alike folly and sin; the $\pi \hat{a} \nu \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \eta \mu a$ ápyóv of our Lord, M. 12. 36 ; the $\pi$ ấs $\lambda$ óyos vampós of St. Paul, E. 4. 29. edrpate ${ }^{\text {ia }}$, the power of giving a witty turn to the discourse which often showed itself in indelicacy of language; ( $\epsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ $\pi \rho$ éter $\theta a \iota$ ), wit and elegance enlisted in the service of sin. "In $\mu \omega \rho o \lambda o \gamma i a$ the foolishness, in aioxpo 0 orla the foulness, in єútpateєla the false refinement of discourse which is not seasoned with the salt of grace, are especially noted and denounced." Trench.
aicóv, 'a limited space of time,' hence 'that which is transitory, as opposed to that which is permanent;' the present world, as the seat of moral and physical evil, the universal course and tenor of human proceedings, the dispensation of fallen humanity. The term aî̄$\nu \in$, H. 1. $2 ; 11.3$, denotes 'the ages,' the temporal periods whose sum and aggregation adumbrate the conception of eternity. Baci入є̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aiciv $\omega \nu$, the sovereign dispenser and disposer of the ages of the world, 1 T. 1. 17. кó $\sigma \mu o s$, the present actual state, system, and constitution of things, frequently put for the inhabitants of the earth, 'toute le monde.' кó $\sigma \mu \circ$, the world, or universe, from its perfect arrangement; muudus, opposed to the indigesta moles of Chaos. " кó $\sigma \mu$ es est quiddam exterius, aín subtilius; seculum, proesens mundus in suâ indole cursu et censu." Bengel. In Homer aióv is 'short period of time,' lifetime; in Plato, 'long space,' ' eternity.'

кó́ $\mu$ os has practically three meanings: physical, M. 25. 34 ; collective, J. 3. $16: 1$ T. 1. 15 ; ethical, 1 C. 2. 12. $\sigma$ тolरeion is used both in a physical, 2 P. 3. 10. 12, and in an ethical sense, H. 5. 12. Hence a great variety of interpretations has been given to G. 4. 3, тdे $\sigma$ тoıxєía тoû кó $\sigma \mu o \nu$, which are separable into two general classes: (1) the physical, elementa mundi, such as the festivals of Judaism, Zabianism, and abstractedly religion in sensible forms; (2) the ethical, rudimenta mundi, the first principles of religious knowledge among men, whether Jews or heathens. Grammatical considerations are in favour of the
physical sense, but exegetical may lead us to prefer the ethical. (Ellicott, G. 4. 3.)
$\kappa o ́ \sigma \mu o s, ~ G . ~ 6 . ~ 14, ~ т a ̀ ~ B \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \grave{a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a, ~ C h r y s . ~ " M u n d u s ~}$ procul dubio opponitur nova creaturæ; quicquid ergo contrarium est spirituali Ohristi regno mundus est, quia ad veterem hominem pertinet. Mundus est quasi objectum et scopus veteris hominis." Calvin.
àкє́paıos, unmixed, pure, guileless, 'integer;' ă $\mu \in \mu \pi \tau о$, $^{\prime}$ unblamed, ' is in quo nihil desiderari potest;' ${ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \mu \mathrm{os}$, with no stain on the conscience ; $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \tau \sigma$, not open to censure ; á $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \gamma-$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 \varsigma$, not accused, with nothing laid to one's charge ; ä $\mu \omega \mu=s$, without blemish of sin in himself; ă $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda o s$, without contracting any spot or stain of sin in the world; à $\lambda a \zeta \omega \dot{\omega} y$, boastful in words, vaunting; $\dot{\nu} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$, outrageous in personal insults; ímep ${ }^{\prime} \phi$ a 10 os, proud in thoughts, overbearing, highminded: 1 J .
 ostentation.
$\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu o ́ s$, very, real, genuine ; opposed to 'apparent' or 'fictitious;' that which has truth for its substance, and is all which it pretends to be. dं $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a$, that which is true; $\psi \in \hat{v} \delta o s$,


 those who might know God's true character, and yet live in unrighteousness. ả $\lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta}$, credible, truth-loving, upright, relates to the essential difference between right and wrong; $\sigma \in \mu \nu o ́ s ~ e x p r e s s e s ~ t h e ~ m o r a l ~ s e n s e ~ m e n ~ h a v e ~ o f ~ t h i s ~ d i f f e r e n c e, ~$ honourable in action, grave in demeanour.
$a ̈ \pi \lambda o s$, another in number; one besides that which has been mentioned, alius. drefos, the other; one of two, alter, 1 C . 4. 6 , implying therefore a stronger expression of difference than äd $\lambda o s$, and equivalent to $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda o i=s$, of other sort, diverse
 to a gospel of different character, which is not another, i. e., no gospel at all; has no claim to be called a gospel. 20.
 distinction of kind ; ă $\lambda \lambda$ os, of individuality: M. 11. 3, $\Sigma \dot{\text { è el }} \boldsymbol{j}$

 who is brought into opposition with thee: R. 2. 1, the other to whom thou art united in the fellowship of the faith: A.
 ád $\lambda$ os would not exclude the meaning of a king of the same line: 17. 7, ë $\tau \in \rho \rho \nu \quad \beta a \sigma i \lambda$ éa, a different kind of king: 27. 1, cal тıvas étépous $\delta \in \sigma \mu \omega \dot{\tau} a s$, certain prisoners also of a different
 i $\in \rho^{\prime} a$, that one of a different line, according to the order of Mẹlchizedek, should arise up as priest: R.7. 23, é éepò עó $\mu$ оу, another and an opposite rule. The charge against Socrates
 caìd $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v l a$, other deities of the same kind, there would perhaps have been no objection. This distinction between äd $\lambda$ os and écepos is very generally observed; as Theodoret
 Sometimes ' $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ means 'the second,' where more than two



ả $\lambda \lambda о \gamma \in \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, alien, of a different race: $\beta a ́ p \beta a \rho o s$, foreigner, speaking a different language: R. 1. 14, "E $1 \lambda \eta \sigma / \nu$ тe каl Bapßápoıs, бофоîs тe каl ávoŋ́rots, civilized and uncivilized, intellectual and unintellectual men, (1) of all races, and (2) of all capacities; where àvońrocs is used as a parallel expression
 R. 10. 19, all other nations being as inferior to the Jews in religious knowledge, as all other nations were to the Greeks in human culture. (Vaughan.)
$\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a$, aberration from prescribed law, or the voice of conscience, evil propensity. The general term for sin, J. 1. 29 ; 9. 34, all forms, phases, and movements of sin, whether entertained in thought or consummated in act: . тapá $\tau \tau \omega \mu a$, the particular special act of sin, falling aside from ignorance, inadvertence, negligence, Ja. 5. 16: G. 6. 1. $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau / a$ has more of sinfulness and presumption in it. Hence the continual expression, äфevıs $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$. The difference is marked in
 $\dot{a} \pi$ ò $\dot{a} \mu a \rho t i a s ~ \mu е у a ́ \lambda \eta s$. The law came in incidentally, in order that the transgression might abound, $\nu$ ó $\mu \circ \mathrm{s} \pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ lva
 act of sin became more clearly an act of transgression, as the standard of right was more clearly exhibited : $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ós, the evident transgressor: $\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} s_{\text {, }}$ one who has no reverence for God: díáfeca, sin against God, ungodliness; practical im-
 right，sin against our neighbour，＇unrighteousness，＇the oppo－ site of $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma v i v \eta$ ，joined by Plato with $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \not \psi v \chi \eta \tilde{j}_{s} \pi \% \nu \eta$－ pia．In its Christian usage and application it is similar in meaning to，but of wider reference than，ávopia，of $1 \mathrm{~J} .5 .1 \%$.

 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ं \kappa a \lambda \omega ิ \nu ~ \kappa a l ~ a ́ y a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，so ảסcкia is the union and accumulation of all that is the reverse．à $\nu \boldsymbol{\mu} / a$ ，lawlessness， the state of moral licence，which either knows not or regards not law， 1 J．3．4．mounpia implies delight in evil，frequently joined with caкia，＇malice．＇The wicked act of the mind is implied by rounpla：the evil habit by кacla，which meant vice generally，and was not restricted to malevolence．како－ $\eta^{\prime} \theta \varepsilon \iota a$ ，spitefulness．

In 1 T．1．9，ằоцои，ávитótaктои，imply overt opposition to law；ăvouos，a passive disregard of its enactments；ávuró－ тактоৎ，a more active violation arising from a refractory will． In Tit．1．10，ávurnótactoc stands in near connexion with
 $\dot{d} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda{ }^{\prime} \dot{\text { d }}$ denote want of reverence toward God；áyócioc and $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta \eta \lambda_{0}$ ，want of inner purity and holiness．In classical authors $\dot{a} \nu \nu^{\prime} \sigma c o s$ is frequently combined with ädinos，and marks the violation of $f a s$, in contradistinction to $j u s$ ．
$a^{\prime} \mu a \chi o s$, the man who is not aggressive or pugnacious，who does not contend；the èmieuvis goes further，and is not only passively non－contentious，but actively considerate and forbear－ ing，waiving even just，legal redress ：è $\lambda a \tau \tau \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \kappa a l \pi \epsilon \rho ~ e ́ \chi ~ \chi \omega \nu ~$ тò̀ עópò ßonOóv．（Ellicott， 1 T．3．3．）
áváə $\eta \mu a$ ，votive offerings，as tripods，statues in honour of
 presses the＇sacrum＇in a better sense；àvá $\theta \epsilon \mu a$ ，in a worse； separation from God is the central idea of $\dot{a} \nu \dot{d} \theta \in \mu a$ ；separation
 クеуо́va
àyátavots，pause，cessation from labour，the rest of the Sabbath，LXX äveots，loosing，relaxation of imprisonment， mitigation of trouble，anxiety，freedom from obligation：máp－ $\epsilon \sigma \iota$ ，temporary pretermission，suspension of punishment，pass－ ing over，tolerating without special intervention：ädecıs，total remission，forgiveness，excluding the idea of punishment．God
 a $\mu a \rho \tau i a s$ in，by，and after it．The former was a work of àvo $\chi \eta$ ，or forbearance；the latter，a work of $\chi$ áp८s，or grace．
ávti $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ ，lay hold of with a view to help，L．1． 54 ： A．20． $\mathbf{3 5}$ ：claim，take part in，1 T．6．2．So émı $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in \sigma \theta a \imath$ ， 1 T．6．12．19：H．2．16：$\sigma v v a \nu \tau i \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，lay hold of a thing，together with a person，and so to assist that person： $\beta o \eta \theta \varepsilon i v$, run to help，＇opitulari．＇

In classical Greek ávtı $\lambda a \mu \beta$ is＇take a part in，＇＇engage in ：＇ Thuc．ii．8，à $\nu \tau \iota \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \pi \sigma \lambda \in \ell \mu \nu \nu$ ，cling to，secure，get possession of：iii． 22 ，à $\nu \tau \iota \lambda a \mu \beta$ ．тov̂ $\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda o u ̂ s$, with a sub－ dued，intensive force，＇percipere，＇＇frui ：＇Euseb．H．E．v．15，

àvactроф $\eta$ ，mode of life，behaviour，deportment，i．q．，$\beta l \omega \sigma \iota s$. тодíтєuma，citizenship，commonwealth；life of common interest， duty，privilege．
àrıotia，unbelief，the general term applicable to persons of all conditions，without regard to their circumstances or oppor－ tunities of knowing the truth ：ämel $\theta$ eta，disobedience，restricted to those who know the path of duty．ámet $\theta_{\eta}$ s is uniformly，dis－ obedient ：ăтıбтоя，faithless，disbelieving，incredible．ám $\epsilon \epsilon \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, à $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota a$, may be rendered＇disobey，＇＇disobedience，＇as denoting
 well translated by Dr．Wordsworth，E．2．2，as unbelief in action；$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ámıбтia， 1 T．1．13，in a state of unbelief，before I had been received into the Church by a profession of faith in Christ．
$\dot{a} \pi \lambda_{0} \hat{\nu}_{5}$ ，single，clear，as the eye，which presents a well－ defined and single image to the brain；opposed to mov $\quad$ oós， perverse，as the eye which dims and distorts the visual images． （Alford on M．6．23．）á àót $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ，singleness of purpose，in－ tegrity，disinterestedness，generosity，impartiality．à $\pi \lambda o ́ \tau \eta s$ marks＂that openness and sincerity of heart which repudiates duplicity in thought（2 C．11．3）or action（R．12．8）．It is joined with áyaOítทs（Wisd．1．1），áкакс́a（Philo），and is
 Ellicott．cỉıcpiveia，transparent sincerity，the opposite of какia：то⿱\zh7р ${ }^{2}$ ，craftiness，dishonesty ：ei入ıкрıv＇s，tested by
 to be perfect，clear，free from stain or mixture；àvyтóкритos， not acting a part，genuine，in real character．
 keep at home: $\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon \omega$, am away from home.
á $\dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} a \beta \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu}$, earnest-money to ratify a contract: $\pi \rho \rho_{\delta} \delta \rho \mu a$, as present and part payment, and as a pledge for future and full payment: $\sigma \phi \rho a y l s$, seal, authentication, proof of ownership.
$\dot{a} \sigma \in \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota a$, excess in any thing, insolence, lewdness, unrestrained lust, amounting to $\mu a v i a: \dot{a} \sigma \omega \tau i a$, self-abandonment, wretchlessness, the conduct of one 'qui nihil sibi servat,' 'qui servari non potest,' ' wastefulness.' à áxuous has the idea of letting the reins loose, giving oneself up: 1 P. 4. 4, cis tì $\nu$
 $\dot{a} \sigma \omega t i a$ is joined with к $\omega \hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{0}, 2$ Maco. 6. 4. Some derive $\dot{a} \sigma^{\prime} \lambda y \in i a$ from Selge, a city of Pisidia, where the inhabitants were infamous for their vices; others derive it from $\theta^{\prime}$ élyetv, probably the same word as the German 'schwellen.' The fundamental notion of $\dot{a} \sigma \omega \tau l a$ is 'wastefulness' 'and 'riotous excess;' that of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\hat{e}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \iota a$, 'lawless insolence' and 'wanton caprice.'
$\dot{a} \sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \in \tau o s$, without regard to covenants or agreements in private life: ăconovoos, without regard to public treaties:
 merciless in the treatment of enemies.
á $\phi \theta a \rho$ тos, not liable to corruption, immaterial, as opposed to
 being of the inheritance: aj $\mu$ iaytos, its unalloyed condition :
 tegance of personal identity, from the imperishable and incorruptible nature of the life to come, and its complete exemption from death : $\delta a a \phi \theta_{0} \rho \alpha$, corruption, turning to decay.
ă $\phi \rho \omega \nu$, without mind, senseless, destitute of any sound or intelligible principle. In E. 5. 17 ă apoves is opposed to
 duct, stupid, silly: ảvóvros, unreflecting, never applying their mind to moral and religious truth, opposed to roфós, R. 1.14 ; but it may mark the especial folly of those who own the right but do the wrong; hence $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \bar{s}, \pi \lambda a \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$, Tit. 3. 3. $\dot{a} \phi \rho o \sigma u ̈ v \eta$, senselessness, 'dementia,' stupidity, folly: ă $\nu o u a$, passion, rashness, 'amentia,' milder than $\mu$ avía, madness: $\kappa а к о \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta s$, maliciously cunning : єiv' $\theta \eta \rho$, foolishly simple.
à̇Ád $\delta ¢$ s, a self-loving spirit, which in the gratification of self is regardless of others, and is hence commonly irtep ${ }^{\prime} \phi$ avos,
$\theta_{v} \mu \omega ́ \delta \eta \varepsilon, \pi a \rho \dot{a} \nu o \mu o s$, rightly defined as "qui se non accommodat aliis, ideoque omnibus incommodus est, morosus." Tittmann.
 2. 10 .
$\beta a ́ \pi \tau \omega, \quad \beta a \pi \tau l \zeta \omega$, differ chiefly in intensity, like 'to black,' and 'to blacken.' $\beta$ árit $\omega$, dip or dye: $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$, make a thing dipped or dyed : $\dot{\rho} a \nu \tau i \zeta \omega$, make a thing $\mathfrak{e} \dot{\rho} \rho \bar{\rho} a \sigma \mu e ́ v o \nu . ~ V e r b s ~ i n ~$ -i $\zeta \omega$ are always factitive, as 'civilize,' or frequentative, as ' Hellenize,' 'philosophize,' until by the decay of language they lost their factitive or frequentative meaning. $\dot{\rho} a v \tau l \zeta \omega$ and $\beta a \pi r i \zeta \omega$ are largely used as.religious words, the former referring to the sprinkling of the atonement, and the latter to the dipping, and consequent washing of personal purification. Neither dyeing nor washing is strictly in $\beta a n r i \zeta \omega$, though dipping may be used for either purpose; and then dye or cleanse comes to be the secondary or even the common meaning. Compare our word 'joiner,' one who joins any thing, as equivalent to 'house-carpenter.' Hence the question started on the part of John's disciples, J. 3. 25, was, we may suppose,



 difference between $\dot{\rho} a \nu \tau i \zeta \omega$ and $\beta a \pi r i \zeta \omega$ is best arrived at by treating both as theological terms, bringing up to the mind of the Jews temple-rites rather than common trades. (Angus.)

Bápos, heavy weight, of trial or temptation, internal or external, some portion of which is transferable, and can be borne by others, 'onus:' фoptlov, a burden which we bring upon ourselves, and must bear ourselves, as the burden. of sin; 'sarcina,' G. 6. 2. 5. Bishop Ellicott considers $\beta$ áp $\eta$ to be used in a general way, with reference to the community at large; фoptiov, with reference to the burden of sins and infirmities, which each one, like a wayfarer, has to carry. Wisd, 21. 6. Xen. Mem. iii. 13. 6.

Boúdoual expresses a wish, intention, purpose, formed after deliberation and upon considering all the circumstances of the case. $\theta$ én $\omega$ denotes a natural impulse or desire, the ground of which is generally obvious, or for which it is unnecessary to assign a reason: M. 1. 19, $\mu \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{e} \lambda} \omega \nu$, being reluctant, as was
naturally the case：${ }^{1} \beta$ ou $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ，＇was minded，＇deliberately pur－ posed，intended after careful consideration．Dr．Wordsworth says（ 1 Th .2 .18 ）that $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega$ expresses a stronger desire than Bov́dopat．But natural impulses are generally more violent than reasonable resolves．$\theta_{\text {é }} \lambda_{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ has been explained of active volition and purpose；$\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，of mere inclination，passive desire，or propensity；but the idea of deliberate intent is im－ plied in Ja．4．4，ôs å̀ $\beta$ ou $\eta \eta \theta \hat{g}$ ф／$\lambda_{\text {os }}$ elva九 tov̂ кó $\sigma \mu o v$ é $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ s$

 would be altogether inappropriate．Compare M．1．19；11． 27. So also $\beta$ oúnomaı would be very unsuitable in 1 T．5．11，0é̀ova九 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} a \mu \in \mathrm{i} \nu$ ，where the Apostle remarks on the natural impulse of the desire，and not on the Bouly formed in the fear of God．
 $\kappa a \tau \varepsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ к．т．入．，my intention was，considering the service he could render me，to keep him with myself，but apart from your expressed opinion，I repress，put aside my natural desire （ $\dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\jmath} \lambda \eta \sigma a$ ），and will do nothing of this kind，i．e．，I have no wish in the matter．While Boúnopal implies the exercise of some deliberation，which is almost excluded from $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ ，it seems to indicate a less formal resolve than $\beta$ ounev́o $\mu a$ ．Hence while קoúл $\eta \mu a$ indicates deliberate intention，$\beta$ oúlєv $\mu a$ implies deter－ mined resolve；$\pi \rho_{0}^{\prime} \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ ，purpose，delíberate resolution，or plan．
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ，to injure a person＇s character，to hurt his good name，speak to his prejudice： 1 C．4．ı3，$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu о$ и́ $\mu е \nu о \iota ~ \pi a \rho a-~$ $\kappa a \lambda o v j \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，i．e．being slandered we implore the slanderers； mildly and humbly deprecating their slander．$\lambda o i \delta o \rho \in \epsilon, \omega$ ，abuse a man to his face，revile him personally；＂maledicto tanquam aculeo vulnerare hominem，＂by the use of language which is likely to sting a man，and pierce him to the quick．The oppo－
 P．3．9，$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$ ，defamation，the speaking to a man＇s preju－ dice，the invasion of his prerogative；in connexion with the name of God it naturally has the more special and terrible meaning of＇blasphemy，＇$\dot{\eta}$ cis $\Theta$ eòv $\boldsymbol{u} \beta$ $\beta \iota s$ ．（See air $\chi \rho o \lambda o \gamma i a$. en $\pi \dot{\eta} \rho \in i a$ ，spitefulness，the satisfaction which is felt in injuring another，like the dog in the manger：où î̀ iva rı aítệ，à $\lambda \lambda$＇Zva $\mu \eta$ èкelv $\varphi$ ，Demosth．de Corond．rıкрia，bitterness of feeling and disposition，A．8． $23 ;$ H．12． 25 ；the prevailing tempera－
ment and frame of mind，opposed to xpךбтóтทs．какla，evil habit，baseness，uncharitableness in all its forms；the genus of which $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$ ，èr $\eta \dot{\rho} \epsilon \iota a, \pi \iota \kappa \rho i a, ~ к . т . \lambda$ ．are species． aioxpodoyia has nearly the same relation to крavyn that ópyń has to $\theta \cup \mu o ́ s$. rounpia，the active manifestation of cacia．So тouๆpós，one who is actively wicked．mapd rov̂ móvos $\gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, Suidas．
yevєá，progeny，offepring，generation；yévos，race，people having a common descent；févvŋua，produce of the ground，of trees，of animals；${ }^{2} \theta \nu o s$, a people living under common institu－ tions；$\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ ，free citizens，enjoying a popular constitution； $\lambda a o ́ s$ ，the people at large，as a ruling power．
 to be originally，by birth，by primary and essential condition．
 the simple elva ：for $\gamma i v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ implies change of state，character， or condition；whereas imápXecv calls attention to the original condition of the subject，that he is as he always was．H．1．4，n．； Ph．2．6，n．The distinction between the words will be apparent in the following： $2 \mathrm{C} .3 .7,8$ ，érevj̀ $\theta_{\eta} \dot{e}^{\prime} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \xi \eta$ ，was made to be in glory for a time；ě $\sigma \tau a l$ év $\delta \delta \delta_{\xi} \eta$ ，shall be in glory permanently：
 that he exists，and to those who earnestly seek him，becomes a
 not $\boldsymbol{y} \dot{\nu} \dot{\rho} \mu \in \nu o s$, and more than $\tilde{\omega} \nu$ ，as it shows his antecedent spiritual condition：A．17．24，oìros oùpavoû kal જŋ̂s Kúplos



 that ye become not dull： 1 Th．2．5，oưтe yáp тотe ėv dóyq
 in：${ }^{\prime}$ flywoual $\dot{e} \nu$ implies the entrance into，and existence in the given thing or condition： 1 Th．2．14，év $\pi a \rho a \beta a ́ \sigma e \iota ~ \gamma e ́ q o \nu e v, ~$ became involved in transgression ：L．22．44，év áywula：A． 22.



 $\dot{\eta} \gamma o \hat{v} \mu a$, ，for $I$ consider that you know this from the beginning．
 dresser．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ ，the decision formed，mind made up，resolution，senten－ tia；$\nu 0$ ôs，perception，apprehension，way of thinking，sensus． $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ is also personal opinion，uttered upon reflection and deliberation，in opposition to èm $\tau \tau a \gamma{ }^{\eta}$ ，express injunction．＂voûs intus in credendis，$\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ sententia prolata in agendis．＂$\nu 0 \in i \nu$, perceive，＇merken；＇ouvíval，understand，＇verstehen．＇＂Plus
 attente expendere．＂E．5．17：L．18． 34 ：M．13． 13.

خעŵots，faculty of knowing，intelligence，comprehension， insight into divine truth ：emfry $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ，the act of coming to full knowledge，perfect knowledge．$\quad \pi \pi \nmid \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ is the additional advanced instruction given subsequent to the rudimental cate－

 of the truth they had received，they rejected the retention of God in their mind by expanding and developing that funda－ mental knowledge．When $\lambda_{o ́}^{\text {óos }}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma \nu} \hat{\omega} \sigma t s$ are distinguished from each other，$\lambda$ óyos means speech，utterance，power of ex－ pression：$\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota \varsigma$, spiritual intelligence and insight，doctrinal knowledge：入óros $\gamma \nu \omega \in \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ，the faculty of unfolding and ex－ pounding Gospel truth．In $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau$ cia there is prominent the notion of inspired utterance，public declaration to all classes of hearers，oracular declaration of the Divine will，but not necessa－ rily with regard to things to come．Dr．Vaughan remarks （R．12．6），＂$\pi \rho \rho \phi \eta \tau e l a$ was the most desirable of all the spi－ ritual gifts of the early Church，（1）because unlike the gift of



 bringing him to worship God；（4）it was exercised under
 30）；but（5）it was capable of control by the possessor，for the avoidance of confusion and disorder，vv．31，32．It was a gift therefore（according to the proper meaning of the term $\pi \rho o \phi \eta^{\prime}$－ т $\eta$ ），not of prediction，but of inspired preacling；of forth－telling， not of foretelling；pradicandi，not pradicendi．＂In Ph．1．9， $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ is accurate knowledge of moral and practical truth；
alceljots，the power of apprehension，moral tact，perceptivity， the contrary of that dulness and inactivity of the mental sense which induces moral want of judgment and indifference．$\sigma 0 \phi l a$ implies a divine affection of the heart，which produces a right application of the ryw̄⿱⺌兀⿱⺊口灬．（Compare Cowper，Task，on the con－ trast between Wisdom and Knowledge．See also the first poem in Tennyson＇s In Memoriam．）ooфia may be regarded as wisdom residing in the mind，while фfóvorus is wisdom in action，the faculty which applies the principles of wisdom． Thus $\phi \rho \delta \nu \eta \sigma t s$ is said to be a fruit of $\sigma 0 \phi / a$ ：LXX，Prov．8．1，



 See Prov．3．19．Comp．the use of $\phi \rho o v^{2} \mu \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{M} .10 .16 ; 25.2$ ： $\phi \rho o \nu i \mu \omega s$ é $\pi o l \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, L．16．8．The seven wise men of Greece were practical men，$\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \tau o i$ ，rather than the $\sigma 0 \phi 0 l$ of a later age．Dicæarchus remarks（Laert．i．40），oŭтє бофоѝs oṽтє фı入о－

 knowledge which falsely arrogates to itself that name：＂non enim vera scientia esse potest，quæ veritati contraria est．＂

भıレஸ́бкん implies knowledge which produces some emotion and affection of the mind；it occurs repeatedly in St．John＇s first Epistle．Christian $\pi \rho \hat{a} \mathfrak{\xi} \check{ }$ s is the test of Christian $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota s$. We may infor our knowledge of Christ from our obedience to Him，J．7．17．To know the Lord in the language of Scripture is to believe in Him，to fear，to love，to obey． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \pi a \mu a l$ ，rest upon，implies knowledge of a lower degree，apprehend as a fact， without reflection，and sometimes only as an instinct，Jude 10. olסa，know as a doctrine of the Christian faith ；$\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，know


 $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ë $\chi \in \iota \dot{\delta}$ © $\Theta_{\text {còs }} \dot{e} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，and we by our own personal experience know，reflect upon with acquiescence and satisfaction，ap－

 ＇consider，＇A．7． 18 ；23． 5 ：R．7．7：E．6．8：C．3．24．In 1 Th．5． 12 eidéeval means＇reoognize fully，＇＂ut rationem et respec－ tum habentis，＂analogous to
 in classical Greek. Cf. G. 4. 9: 1 O. 8. 3: J. 10. 14.
roryufuós, the outward expression of sullen discontent, illconcealed dissatisfaction: $\delta \iota a \lambda$ orıбرós, the inward disposition to murmur and object, evil thoughts, unreasonable reasoning.
$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda i i_{a}$, moral cowardice, 'timor' (the contrary extreme to physical cowardice is $\theta$ pacúvins, foolhardiness). фóßos is a middle term, 'metus,' used both in a good and bad sense. In
 being afraid of God; in 1 P. 3. 14, intimidation. In a good sense, 'the fear of God,' passim: cùnáßcia, reverence, object of fear, cautious observance resulting from salutary fear: củ入aß $\eta^{\prime}$, cautious and careful in conduct, one who takes heed to the thing which is right, especially applied to pious Jews, 'devout' in A. V., which is also used as the English equivalent for
 evं $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \in \in \iota a$, denote practical piety of every kind in the sense of the Latin 'pius,' ' pietas,' reverence ( $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \beta a s$ ) well and rightly
 necessarily refer to piety toward God, which is not always the
 is practically the same as $\theta$ eooćßeca, "vis pietatis in ipsâ vitâ

 toûtov $\zeta \omega \eta$ (Eusebius): Opך $\begin{gathered}\text { кєia, the ceremonial service of }\end{gathered}$ religion, the external form, of which $\theta_{\text {eofé }} \beta_{\varepsilon \iota}$ is the animating spirit: $\theta \rho \eta$ ๆккоs, ' religiosus,' the zealous and diligent performer of the outward service of God: $\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta a l \mu \omega \nu, \delta \in \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i a$ were originally neutral terms, expressing respect for dead men, awe of invisible beings : $\pi$ tónots, alarm, trepidation, from the apprehension of real or imaginary dangers.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta S$ is applied to one who has absolute and unlimited power, as a master over slaves: кúpoos implies a power subject to limitation, as a husband over his wife, as a father over his children: кúpıos $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ v i ̂ ̂ ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ к а l ~ \pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho, ~ \delta є \sigma \pi o ́ т \eta s ~$
 application of $\delta$ éctoтa implies greater submission: кúpıe, greater respect. Hence the Greeks refused to apply the title of $\delta \in \sigma \pi \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta$ s to any but the gods. As however the $\delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta s$
 would often be gratified, if they acknowledged him as кúplos.

St. Paul applies the terms кúpьo, סeनтótal, to masters without distinction. Both terms are applied to the Father and to the Son (2 P. 2. 1: Jude 5). But $\delta \in \sigma \pi$ ót ${ }^{2}$ s expresses more decidedly than rúpos the absolute dominion of God over His creatures.
Sááßo入os, slanderer, traducer, spoken of men, 2 T. 3. 3; of women, 1 T. 3. 11 ; Tit. 2. 3 : кaтádaגos, Theoph. इavavâs is applied to any tempter or adversary of the truth, M. 16. 23. The noun in Hebrew denotes an adversary or opposer. The verb means 'to lie in wait,' ' oppose,' ' resist.' Both words are applied to the prince of the fallen angels: Rev. $12.9, \dot{\delta} \delta \phi \iota s \dot{\delta}$
 oavavâs is more generic than the Greek $\delta$ oćáßonos. The former expresses his character as an opposer of all good; the latter denotes his relation to the saints as their accuser, calumniator, traducer, Job 1. 7-12; Zech. 3. 1, 2. The sacred writers adopt all the forms of personal agency in setting forth the conduct and character of Satan. (M. 12. 26, n.)

סuáкovos, attendant, one in subordinate station, a word of very extensive signification, formed perhaps from $\delta i \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \omega$, run to serve. Applied to our Lord, L. 22. 27 ; to St. Paul, 2 C. 6. 4 ; to magistrates, R. 13.4. íт $\eta \rho \in ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$, subordinate agent, implying a superior, attendant in the synagogue, or in the council. So íт $\eta \rho \epsilon \tau \in ́ \omega$, 'act for,' serve under any one. $\theta \in \rho a ́ \pi \pi \nu$, one who holds a confidential position, as ministers of state are servants of the crown. oiкoуó $\mu$ оs, home-manager, steward, chamberlain. oikévŋร, domestic servant. Soûخos, a slave, in the lowest grade. Sacovia, especially used of ministering to the poor, A. 6. 1; 12. 25 ; 2 C. 8.4 ; but means any kind of service; a word of wider meaning than $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon l a$.
غंगiт $\rho о \pi о$, overlooker, guardian, one entrusted with the charge of any thing: Aristoph. Eccl. 212, е́тьтоóтoıs кal таці́aıби: Xen. Gecum. xii. 2, ó èv toîs ảypoîs émíтpotros (villicus). In G. 4. 2, е̇тітротоь and oiкоуо́доь are the guardians and stewards (slaves perhaps) who superintended the education and provided for the support of the $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o ́ \mu o s ~(h e r u s) . ~ A C l i a n, ~$


סıסáбкалоs, master, as teacher of scholars, disciples: èmьorátŋs, master, as the head of a company, or as the employer of workmen : кúplos, master, with reference to wife, children, servants, or as Lord of subjects. The term $\delta i \delta i \sigma \kappa a \lambda$ os does not
describe any separate order in the Church，but denotes a special gift and quality distinguishing some persons in the Church． St．Puul calls himself $\delta \delta \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa а \lambda о \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega ̄ \nu, 1$ T．2．7： 2 T．1．17， where we find the words áróorohos and $\kappa \eta$ 白v乡 associated with $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa a \lambda o s$. He was sent to be a herald（ $\kappa \eta \quad \rho \nu \xi$ ）in the degree of an Apostle（ajíócrohos），with the endowment of supernatural

 different names of the same class，stationary rather than mis－

 $\kappa a \lambda o c$ had the gift of $\delta \iota \delta a \chi^{\eta}$ ，but were not invested as a body with any administrative powers and authority．
$\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega, \delta \iota a \lambda$ éyoual，are especially applied to the instruction of believers，A．5．42；20．\％．The latter is used of con－ versational teaching：к $\eta \rho v \sigma^{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ ，proclaim as a herald，reiterate a solemn message or startling fact，to excite the attention of unbelievers，M．3．1．evjayre入ļonal is a more general term， applied to private members of the Church，as well as public teachers，denoting ordinary conversation as well as public
 instruct orally， 1 C．14．19：G．6．6：Sıa 1 ． their testimony thoroughly and completely．
$\delta_{\iota} \delta a \chi{ }^{\prime}, \delta_{\iota} \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda l a$ ，the instruction of the young and ignorant， sometimes mission，ministry：тара́кдทбıs，the exhortation of more advanced Christians，used very much as $\lambda_{0}$ yos，but with especial reference to invitations，encouragements，entreaties， cheering on to Christian action：mapauvila，persuasive power， expressive of more tenderness than mapán $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ ．$\delta_{i} \delta a \chi \eta$ n（teach－ ing）may point more to the act，$\delta_{i} \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a$（doctrine），more to the substance or result of teaching．This sense of $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta$ is

 divine counsels，expositions of God＇s oracles immediately in－ spired．by and emanating from the Holy Spirit．
नoфl5 $\omega$ marks the true wisdom which the Holy Scriptures impart： 2 T．3．15：Ps．19．7，бофǐovaa ขท́тıa：105．22，roìs




Sıcalów，make Sicalov，＇make out to be just，＇applied to things，deem just，claim as one＇s right or due，desire to be done，like $\dot{a} \xi{ }^{\prime}$ ów．When spoken of persons，put in the position of Sicalos，＇account righteous，＇do a man justice，give him his due by acquitting him of the charge，or by inflicting the penalty，and thus cancelling the crime．It is in the latter sense that the Scotch used the word＇justify，＇as equivalent to， execute．Socaloov́v ${ }^{\text {，the }}$ ，state，habit，and quality of him who is Sicalos，the virtue which is opposed to adicia，avouia， R．6． $13: 2$ O．6．14，and to the corrupt bias of human nature， 2 C．11．15：right conduct conformable to the laws of God，
 joined with $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \in \varepsilon \varepsilon a$ ，of which the latter denotes practical piety， as the result of general conformity to God＇s law．ríctis and a＇yáti are mentioned as the fundamental principles of Chris－ tianity：$\dot{\sim} \pi о \mu о \nu \eta$ ，$\pi \rho a ̈ u \pi a ́ \theta e \iota a$ ，as the principles on which a Christian ought to act towards gainsayers and opponents． Sıcaıoovivn $\Theta$ eov，R．1．17，refers to the plan devised by God for man to be just before Him，where the addition of $\Theta \in o \hat{v}$ points to God Himself as the Author，the origin，the source． R．3．26，Sícalov каì Sıкаьoûvтa，righteous，and imparting righteousness．＂There is a broad distinction between the absolute and the relative use of $\delta<\kappa a \iota o v \sigma \theta a t$ ．It is used ab－ solutely in regard to God，L．7．29；Christ， 1 T．3． 16 ；men， R．4．2：Ja．2．21．In the relative use we naust distinguish between the purely judicial meaning，M．12．37，and the com－ prehensive dogmatical meaning，which includes the idea not only of forgiveness of past sins（R．6．7），but also of a spiritual change of heart through the inworking power of faith．＂ Ellicott．Sıcaíwha，what is ordained as just，statute，decree （Latin，＇jubeo，＇＇jussum，＇＇jus，＇＇justum＇）：ordinance，L．1． 6 ：H． 9．1． 10 ：requirement，R． $2.26 ; 8.4$ ：sentence of condemnation， 1． 32 ：of acquittal，5． 16 ：righteous act， 18 ；Rev．19．8： Sıcalwots，the action of the legislator or judge in promulgating a decree，in declaring a person righteous，in recognizing him as such，R．4． 25 ；5． 18.
$\delta_{0}^{\prime} \mathcal{G} a$ ，manifestation of excellence，J．2．11，the future state of acknowledged perfection which God designs for man，R． 8. 18． 21 ；9． 23 ，the sum of the true attributes or characteristics of God，J．1． 14.
$\delta_{0} \xi \mathfrak{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ ，recogmize in true choracter，R．1． $21:$ J．7． 39 ：
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, to ascribe to God His true character (J. 9. 24 : A. 12. 23) :

 perfections: obyoua, revelation of character and will, that which bringe before the mind all that a person is: M. 1. 23, eis öroua $\pi \rho o \phi{ }^{\prime} r o v$, to acknowledge one in the character of prophet, M. 10. 41; Пáтєр, סógaбóv бov тò ŏvo $\mu a$, manifest Thyself according to that which Thou art, J. 12. 28 ; a summary of the divine character or qualities, Ex. 33. 19; 34. 5-7. So 'hallowed be Thy name.'

סúvaucs, inherent power, natural capacity, moral as well as physical ability, miraculous energy, divine power of speech and persuasion: éjovola, delegated authority, social claim, right, privilege: i $\sigma \chi$ ús, physical strength, vires, power naturally resident in the subject: évépyєıa, power in action, energetic excrcise, effectual operation: кри́тos, power in effect, force,
 bining évépycia and ov̀épycia, natural works from an internal principle.

Súvaцaı denotes moral power, í $\sigma \chi{ }^{v} \omega$, physical ability, $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu a \iota$, from סúvos, equivalent to 'divinus,' 'bonus,' I make myself good, am strong enough, equal, able. The association of willingness with power, of power tempered by mercy, may be traced in R. 11. 23 ; 14. 4 ; 16. $25: 2$ C. 9. 8: E. 3. $20: 1$ T. 1. 12 : Jude 24: H. 7. 25 ; 11. 19 : l $\sigma \chi^{v} \omega$, strong in physical health and mental power, have efficacy, prevail; used of physical strength or mental validity. In the ascription, Rev. 5. 12, Síva $\mu$ is, ability to effect all the purposes of rectitude and wis-
 of all good: $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$, intrinsic excellence, supreme perfection: củdoyia, the utterance of gratitude from the universe of holy and happy beings. (See $\sigma \circ \phi\left(a, \delta^{\circ} \xi a\right.$.)
ésovaial, authorities, used for human magistrates: oí è $\tau \notin \lambda \varepsilon \iota$, L. 12. 11 : Tit. 3. 1: for angelic powers, both good and evil, E. 3. 10; 6. 12 : C. 1. 16; 2. 15: 1 P. 3. 22. The association of willingness with power in סúvafac may be traced in the German 'mögen,' the meanings of which, according to Flügel, are, 'to be able,' ' to be allowed,' 'like,' ' wish,' 'desire,' 'have a mind to.'
'EBpaios, a Hebrew in language, denoting superiority in
lineage and education over the Hellenists, Ph. 3. 5 : 'Iovסaios, a Jew in his nationality, as distinguished from the Gentiles: ${ }^{\prime}$ I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda l i \eta s$, the most honourable title, as a member of the theocracy and heir of the promises, R. 9. 4 ; 11.1 : A. 2. 22 : 2 C. 11. 22. In A. 14. 1 ; 18. 4, we have 'Iovoaioo immediately coupled with "E $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \nu \in \varsigma$, where the former denotes Jews by birth, as well as by faith; the latter is applied to Gentile proselytes, who had joined themselves to the Lord to serve Him, Isa. 56. 6. In A. 19. 10. 17, at a more advanced stage of the spread of the Gospel, "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta \in$ s seems to have been applied to all Gentile converts, whether they had been proselytes previously or not.
 9. 29, Jews residing at a distance from Palestine who usually did not speak Hebrew. In A. 11. 20, it is doubtful whether we should read "E $E \lambda \eta \nu a s$ or ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \tau \sigma \tau a ́ s$.
 performance of the conditions of the covenant (iv, ruiov, hollow of the hand), sponsor, surety, spokesman: Ecclus. 29. 15,
 $\sigma o v: ~ \mu \in \sigma i \tau \eta \zeta$, mediator ( $\mu$ écos, єi $\mu<$; go), one who intervenes between two parties, 'the daysman who lays hand upon both,' Job 9. 33 : intercessor, peace-maker, H. 7. 22; 8. 6: 1 T. 2. 5,

 qui causam nostram apud Deum agit. Hic Apostolatus et Pontificatus uno mediatoris vocabulo continentur." Bengel.
éүка入é $\omega$, bring a formal charge, arraign, indict, the forensic term : aitcióoua, allege as ground of inquiry : èer $\chi^{\omega} \omega_{\text {, convict, }}$ show to be wrong, prove guilty.
еукрáтeta, self-command, self-control, opposed to self-indulgence, the grace by which the Spirit controls the flesh, the restraining the passions which cause injury to one's neighbour ; A. 24. 25: 1 C. 7. 9, єi oủk éyкратєи́оитаи, if they have no
 exercises self-restraint in all indulgences: $\pi \rho a \dot{o} \tau \eta$ j, a natural mildness of disposition, an attribute of Christ, M. 11. 29: $\mathbf{2}^{-}$C. 10. 1. The philosophers applied it to that quality by which a man retained his own equanimity. трaótचs is opposed to a contentious spirit, Tit. 3. 2 ; to severity in dealing with culprits or opponents, G. 6. 1: 1 C. 4. 21: 2 T. 2. 24, 25 : траӥтdiӨcia, 1 T. 6. 11, meekness of heart and feelings: èmı-
clecia, a habit of mildness, from considering what is due to others, reasonableness, fairness. From érүрáreıa will proceed íroнov ${ }^{\prime}$, endurance, submissiveness, the patience of humility,

 allowance, forbearing, not insisting on just rights, in distinc-
 Ja. 3. 17, but more than äraxos, not aggressive. upaótทs is the outward expression of humility, having for its foundation the inward feeling, тaтє Theophylact (quoted by Trench, Synonyms, p. 207) compares $\pi \rho a \dot{o} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ with $\mu$ aкро日vula. The $\pi \rho \hat{g} o s$ remits the punishment due to the offender : the $\mu$ акрó $\theta \nu \mu o s$, after long deliberation, inflicts it. Compare L. 18: 7: Ecclus. 35. 22, 23. The Soriptural $\pi \rho a{ }^{\prime} \neq \eta$ s is an inwrought grace of the soul, under the influence of which we submit to the divine dispensations without resistance or dispute, acquiescing in the thought that the insults and injuries inflicted by men are permitted by God for the chastening and purifying of His people, 2 Sam. 16. 11.

 $\mu a \kappa \rho 0 \theta \nu \mu i a$, quia pœenas peccatis debitas differt propter gloriam suam, et ut detur peccatoribus resipiscendi locus." Suicer. tò

ciкஸ́v, actual likeness, designed representation, vivid resemblance, effigics, picture, statue. oxiá, shadowy resemblance, umbra; sketch, outline. The $\sigma \kappa c a ́$ is the shadow which may be cast by the statue, єiкш́v. xapaкт $\eta$, exact correspondence, as of an impression with the seal, or of a coin with the die. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$. as opposed to $\sigma \kappa \iota a ́$, substantial reality. írocún$\omega \sigma t s$, primary draught, or sketch, to be afterwards filled in, a cartoon or subtracery to be afterwards painted over. cí $\delta \omega \lambda$ ov, a mere $\epsilon i \delta o s:$ i $\delta \in ́ a$, an ideal phantom, simulacrum, a nonentity: 1 C. 8. 4,
 worship, it is a stone or block of wood and nothing more.
éкк $\lambda \eta \sigma i a, a$ body of men, called out of the rest of mankind to form a society, and knit together by the closest spiritual bonds, originally an assembly of the people lawfully convened at Athens. ovvaywy', any gathering or drawing together of persons, presenting solely the ideas of collection, association. The Christians dropped the use of avvararí, which was per-
manently associated with Jewish worship, and appropriated éкк入 $\eta \sigma$ la as a title of honourable significance, with implied reference to those who remained in the state, out of which the members of the $\dot{e} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ had been called. The $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ was congregative, bringing together the members of an existing socioty, but excluding all others. The è $\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ is aggregative, as it calls, invites, and summons men from the whole world to become its members. In Rev. 3. 9, $\sigma u v a y \omega \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ expresses those who were united only in opposition to the truth.
 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, the firm foundation of God, where $\theta \in \mu \in \lambda, o s$ marks the Church of Christ and His Apostles as a foundation placed in the world, on which the whole future oiкoסo $\mu$ ' rests (E. 2. 20), and conveys the idea of its firmness, strength, and solidity; E. 3. 17 : O. 1. 23 : H. 11. 10 : Rev. 21. 14. 19. $\theta \in \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda c o s ~ i s ~ p r o-~$ perly an adjective, but is used in later writers as a substantive. Aristoph. Aves 1137, $\theta \in \mu e \lambda i ́ o u s ~ \lambda i \theta o u s . ~$
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta a$, giving way altogether, from failure of power; е́ккакєìv, failure, from moral weakness, out and out fainthearted. é $\gamma \kappa a \kappa \in \hat{i} \nu$, cowardly in action, not so strong as ìкка$\kappa \in$ ยiv.
écotaбcs, surprise, astonishment, when the mind is carried out of or beyond itself, a trance, distraction of the mind from terror, Mk. 16. 8. In 2 C. 5. 13, é $\xi \in \sigma T \eta \mu \in \nu$, ' we are beside ourselves,' is opposed to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu o \tilde{\mu} \mu \mathrm{v}$, 'in sound mind.' The longcontinued and permanent state of èr écuaбts is $\mu a \nu i a$. In J. 10. 20 , the possession of a devil is associated with madness, most probably what we call fanaticism. Oáp $\beta$ os, awe, surprise, at a strange or unusual deed or expression, frequently the commencement of éкбтaб८s, the effect produced by a preternatural
 our Lord's majestic bearing, solemn manner, and awful aspect.
 the contrary, proof for the refutation of error, the mental state of being convinced.
énaiov, oil in its simple natural state, as generally used by wrestlers; $\mu \nu$ pouv, ointment, 'unguentum,' the base of which is oil, with the addition of aromatic ingredients, generally used by women. Hence tho .point of our Lord's rebuke, L. 7. 46,
 رov tov̀s módas. "Illa pretioso unguento non caput tantum,
sed et pedes perfundit；ille ne caput quidem mero oleo；quod perfunctorize amicitiæ fuerat．＂Grotius．à $\lambda \epsilon l \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used of all
 sacred heavenly word restricted to the anointing of the Son by the Father with the Holy Ghost，used in a mystical or spiritual sense．
ä $\lambda$ cos，love of pity to man，as a sufferer；$\chi$ á $\rho \iota s$ ，the freeness of divine love to man，as a sinner．In the divine mind ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \lambda$ eos precedes $\chi^{a} \rho \iota s$, but in the reception of the divine blessing $\chi^{\text {áp }} \iota \stackrel{s}{ }$ （pardon）must precede ë $\lambda$ zos（mercy）．The sense of unpardoned sin must be removed before the misery of sin can be mitigated．
 effect of $\chi$ ápıs，and cipìn the joint result from $\chi$ ápıs and è̉eos．
 repose and security，$\dot{c} \sigma \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \iota a$ ，a sureness and－safety that is not interfered with，or compromised by outward obstacles．The idea of compassion for misfortune and suffering is prominent in $\dot{e} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ ，and in the cry for mercy，è $\lambda_{\epsilon} \eta \sigma o \nu$ ．But where the sufferer is doeply impressed with a sense of his guilt，i入áбколah， ＂$\lambda$ cess are used in order to express the necessity of expiation，or divine interposition．Hence the prayer of the publican（L． 18. 13）was not $\dot{e} \lambda e ́ \eta \sigma o \nu$, but $i \lambda a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \tau i ́ \mu o \iota ~ \tau \hat{̣} \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ ．The idea
 applied to the Creator only（see Alford，H．8．12），eै $\lambda$ єos is ascribed to the creature as well．The root of eैl $\lambda$ eos and $\bar{\prime} \lambda$ aos is the same，but two words are used to express the essential difference between the feeling of pity in God and in man． olктıp ${ }^{\prime}$ s（connected with oi，olкcos）expresses subjective sym－ pathy and distress on witnessing misfortune and calamity． 0 ． 3． $12, \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ oiктєр $\mu \omega ิ \nu$.
év $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \xi \iota$ ，showing forth，process of discovering，method of demonstrating，indication；Ph．1． $28:$ R．3．25．évঠec substance of the matter demonstrated，palpable evidence，recog－ nized token， 2 Th．1． 5.
 thought．évoola，serious intent，though never executed．
̇́vтo入ท́，a single precept，vó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ，a code of precepts；R．13．9， 10 ；̇̇vто入al，moral injunctions，prohibitions；ঠєкаш́цата，posi－ tive ordinances，rites and ceremonies，L．1．6；$\delta \iota \kappa a i \omega \mu a$ ，judicial sentence of acquittal or condemnation；R．5．6；Rev．15．4．

derives its force not from any conformity to the foundation of words，but from the authority by which it is promulgated． Sóypata，positive edicts，accidental，circumstantial，local and temporary．
eтr $\sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ ，knowledge of facts，natural or acquired；$\sigma 0 \phi l a$, the higher faculty of making a right use of knowledge．（See on $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota$ ．）$\quad$ бофla，the general gift of illumination；àmoкá－ $\lambda u$ ufus，the more special gift of insight into the divine mysteries， E．1．17．фúves，that which is inherent，innate，fixed and implanted from the first，in opposition to that which is acces－ sional，superinduced，accidental．
éprá̧oцаи，work，labour，especially for livelihood．Applied to agriculture and general business，follow any pursuit．The exhortation of the Apostle， 1 Th．4．11，derives additional force from the consideration that the inhabitants of Thessalonica were engaged in mercantile and industrial callings，as handicrafts－ men and artificers．These too he exhorts $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \tau \chi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$, which marks a sedate and tranquil spirit（ 1 Tim .2 .2 ），in contrast to
 that attends ill－defined or mistaken religious expectations， 2 Th ．
 oneself as a combatant in the public games，strive，contend． áy $\omega \nu$ ia，contest，conflict of mind．
cíyєuク́s，well－born，noble－minded，ingenuus，implying good qualities of disposition，as well as nobility of birth．єvं $\chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ， one of good condition，of reputable position．єن่ $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \dot{\delta} \nu \omega \varsigma$ ，with propriety of outward conduct，with decent gravity and seemly deportment（ $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \beta \hat{\omega} \varsigma, \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \varsigma)$ ，associated with кavà $\tau a ́ \xi \iota \nu, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ． 14． 40 ；contrasted with áтáктшs， 2 Th．3． 6.

єủдorทтós，blessed，applied to God only；$\mu a \kappa a ́ p ı o s, ~ h a p p y, ~$ applied to men；$\mu а \kappa а р i \zeta \omega, ~ c a l l ~ h a p p y ; ~ \mu а к а р \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s, ~ t h e ~ p r o-~$ nouncing of blessing；єن̉̉orm $\mu$ évos is applied to man，and in LXX occasionally to God，but єủдoyฑrós never to man．In 1 T．1． 11 ；6． 15 ，$\mu a \kappa$ ápoos is applied to God，to exalt the glory of the Gospel，expressing not only His own immutable and essential perfections，but the riches of His mercy in this dispen－ sation to man．．
$\zeta \bar{\eta} \lambda o s$, in a good sense，ardour，zeal for the cause of another， emulation to imitate superior worth；in a bad sense，heart－ burning，envy，jealousy．$\pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \zeta \grave{\eta} \lambda 0 \varsigma, ~ a ̀ m o ̀ ~ \zeta \eta ́ \lambda o u ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \phi \theta o ́-~$ עos，Plato．\＄$\theta^{\prime}$ ónos is always used in a bad sense，jealousy of
another＇s success，depreciation of his worth，envy of his ex－ cellence；called ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ s ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ́ s, ~ M k . ~ 7 . ~ 22 . ~ I n ~ G . ~ 5 . ~ 21, ~$ $\phi$ Oóvol，фóvoc are associated by sound and sense，as envy led to the first murder．Aristotle uses $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ as equivalent to map－
 endeavours to repair his own deficiencies．＂Malitia（кakla） malo delectatur alieno；invidia（ $\phi \theta_{o}^{\prime}$ oos）bono cruciatur alieno；
 guam；detractio（кara入a入ıá）vulnerat famam．＂（Augustine ad 1 P．2．1．）
$\zeta \omega \eta$ ，vital principle，physical life，opposed to $\theta$ ávaros，węlfare， happiness，eternal life．Bios，the period of life，the means of living，the manner in which life is spent．ऊwn expresses the existence of plants and animals as well as men．Bios denotes properly the existence of men only，and the life they lead．But $\beta$ ios is restricted to the life of men on earth，and is conse－ quently inferior to $\zeta \omega \eta$ ，as descriptive of their highest blessed－ ness as heirs of salvation．B九штıcú is used in contrast to diryé－
 1 J .3 .17 ，ఢんウ̀ aicívios． 1 J．3．15．He who is not ready to
 brethren，has no reasonable hope of the $\zeta \omega \bar{\eta}$ aiouvos．$\psi \nu \chi \eta$ ， animal life in this world，is opposed to $\zeta \omega \eta$ ，life in the world to



 who held fast animal life died in body and soul．$\theta$ ávatos is used in threo general senses．Objectively，as a personal adver－ sary and enomy of Christ and his kingdom，1 C．15． 26 ；a spiritual state or condition，including the notions of evil and corruption，1．J．3．14；a power and principle pervading and overshadowing the world，H．2．14； 1 T．1．10．Aávaros，as a known and ruling power，has generally the article；$\zeta \omega \eta$ and $\dot{u} \phi \theta a \rho \sigma \dot{a}$ ，as recently revealed，are anarthrous：$\dot{a} \phi \theta a \rho \sigma i a$ ex－ plains and characterizes $\zeta \omega \eta$＇with reference to its imperishable and incorruptible nature， 1 P．1．4，and its complete exemption from death，Rev．21．4．Compare R．2．7．
$\dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \mu \dot{\omega}$, ，the title given to the proconsular governors of the Roman provinces，under whom the ì itipotios，or procurator， was appointed for separate districts．The émíт $\rho o \pi o s$ had charge
of the revenue，and a judicial power in matters relating to finance ；but in a portion of a large province，where the $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega^{\nu}$ could not reside，he had the power of inflicting capital punish－ ment．$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ ovia is properly any delegated authority，but is used to express the Roman imperial authority．io $\gamma \in \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ is the general word for all governors，whether proconsul，legate，or procurator．
$\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi{ }^{\circ} \circ$ ，meek and gentle，in a passive sense，who bears calmly the annoyances and vexations caused by others：$\pi \rho a{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ ， meek and gentle，in an active sense，who does nothing to try the patience of others．Bengel，ad 1 P．3．4．See on évкрátєia．

 quillity arising from without，＂qui ab aliis non pertinebatur ：＂
 4．11）marks the sedate and tranquil spirit which stands in
 often marks ill－defined or mistaken religious expectation．
$\theta \epsilon i o ́ t \eta s$, divinity，the property of $\theta$ eót $\eta$ s．$\theta$ eót $\eta \varsigma$ ，deity，the being in whom $\theta$ ciórvs of the highest order resides．Different ways of spelling the same word settle themselves into words of difforent meanings．Compare ảvá $\theta є \mu a, \dot{a} \nu a ́ \theta \eta \mu a$ ．Өfáбos， boldness：$\theta a ́ \rho \sigma o s$, foolhardiness：$\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \theta a ́ p \sigma o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{̀}$ тод $\mu \eta \tau \in ́ a$, Gregory Naz．Hospes and hostis were originally the same word，a stranger，one who might prove a friend or a foo．
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \in{ }^{\prime} \omega$ ，behold an object present，contemplate a thing as actually done，L．10． 1 s ：I regard you，A．17． 22 ：öттонаи，see an olject appearing，J．16． 16 ： $\boldsymbol{\omega} \phi \theta \eta \nu, \dot{o} \phi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$, show myself， A．26．16．Dr．Wordsworth remarks that örtoual is the more modest word．St．Paul uses ö $\psi \in \sigma \theta e$, A． 20.25 ；but the dis－


 oneself on，handle closely ：$\psi \eta \lambda a \phi a ́ \omega$, feel after，even without touching，touch the surface of any material object，capable of being felt．
$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \eta$ rós，mortal，subject to death，the universal condition of living creatures：veєpós，dead，either physically or spiritually．
$\theta u \mu o ́ s$ ，the mind as regards the passions：voûs，the mind as regurds the intelligence：$\theta \nu \mu o{ }^{\prime}$ ，the turbulent commotion of
the mind (Oúw, rage) : excandescentia; "Ira nascens et modo desistens," Cicero. Passion at its commencement: 'iracundia,' irritation, fretfulness, the mental excitement produced by тгкрia. ópyn, an abiding, settled habit of mind, with the purpose of revenge in man : ira inveterata, i. q. $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{c}$, , God's holy hatred of sin, which reveals itself in His punitive justice, R. 1. 18 : ' opy $\eta^{\prime}$, the heat of the fire: $\theta u \mu$ ós, the bursting forth


 sudden (L. 4. 28 : A. 19. 28), and its nature, as less lasting:
 it blazed forth. So rapopyi $\zeta \omega$, chafe, work into a passion: o $\lambda i \psi c s$, the act by which a man is cast down and dashed to the ground, pressure from affiction, tribulation, as of a heavy weight rolling over one: $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu 0 \chi \omega \rho / a$, the effect on the object, the straitness to which a man is reduced by continual pressure and restraint: R. 2. 8, 9: Ja. 1. 19: E. 4. 31, a stronger word
 The opposite of ev̉puđఉpla: Ps. 31. 8, ov̉ $\sigma v \nu e ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a ́ s ~ \mu e ~ \epsilon i s ~$
 $\theta \lambda i \not \psi \iota s$ is connected $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu o ́ s, 2$ Th. 1. 4. $\theta \lambda i ̂ \psi u s$ is the more general and comprehensive torm; $\delta \omega \omega \gamma \mu$ ós, the more special. " $\theta \lambda i \psi \nmid c s$ injurias complectitur quas Judæi et ethnici Christianis propter doctrine Christiane professionem imposuerunt, ut verbera delationes vincula relegationem. Notione suî ó $\delta \omega \omega \gamma \mu o ́ s$ a $\tau \hat{\eta} \theta \lambda\langle\psi \in \epsilon$ differt, ita ut hoo vocabulum latius quam illud pateat A. 8. 1: M. 13. 21." Fritz.
$\theta u p \epsilon$ 'v, the large oblong or oval shield, 'scutum,' properly like a $\theta \dot{v} \rho a$, door: $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i s$, a lighter shield, 'clypeus.'
$\theta v \sigma i a$, a sacrifice which requires the intervention of a priest: т $\rho о \sigma ф о \rho a ́$, an offering which can be presented without a priest. Hence R. 15. $16, \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \phi o \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, the offering presented by the nations. With reference to our Lord, $\theta$ voia marks His atoning death: т $\rho o \sigma \phi o \rho a$ marks the life of obedience, which was an antecedent qualification for the $\theta v \sigma i a$, E. 5. 2. Believers are exhorted to present their bodies, Evaià $\zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma a \nu$,
 adjective marks the figurative -character of the sacrifice in contrast to the dead victims offered under the law, which required the intervention of human priests. In H. 5. 1; 9.9,
$\delta \omega \hat{p} a$ is joined with $\theta v \sigma l a c$, where the latter denotes trospuss offerings, all those in which an animal was slain in sacrifice: $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$, all other offerings. The notion conveyed by $\delta \omega \hat{\omega} a$ is that of appeasing: by $\theta$ valau, that of making expiation. inaनtyjpıo , the mercy-seat in the tabernacle (compare inaoros, 1 J .2 .2 ; 4. 10), a propifiation, that which propitiates by expiation of sin, that which makes it consistent for God to pardon. (Com-
 12. 1, a sacrifice not of expiation (in which sense it is applied only to Christ, as E. 5. 2: H. 9. 26; 10. 12, \&c.), but of thankfulness, used with reference to almsgiving, $\mathrm{Ph} .4 .18: \mathrm{II}$ 13. 16 : to thanksgiving, H. 13. 15 : and to a Christian life generally, here, and 1 P. 2. 5. The service of the living body implies that of the soul also; and the choice of the word $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ indicates the importance attached in the Gospel to the body, and precludes the notion of a merely imaginative or sentimental religion, as distinguished from one of self-denying and rigorous obedience.
iठи́т $\eta$ s, a private person, as opposed to a public magistrate, or a professor of art or science: áypá $\mu \mu a \tau o s$, one who has received no regular education in a recognized school of learning.
iefóv, the whole edifice, with all the land attached ( $\tau \in \in \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ), and the dwellings of the priests, ' templum:' vaós, the sanctuary, 'ædes,' i.e., the holy place and the Holy of holies: $\theta$ vacaotipıov, altar of the true God: $\beta \omega \mu$ ós, heathen altar. In the Epistles and Apocalypse vaós designates the Church of God, not the literal Temple at Jerusalem. ieparcia denotes the service of the priest: iep $\omega \sigma \dot{v} \eta$, the office and pover: Aristoph.


 applied to persons, but only to things, and does not express moral qualities.
i $\mu$ átcoy, the outer garment, 'pallium:' $\chi^{\iota \tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$, the inner vest, 'tunica.'
кaıpós, appointed season, occasion, time of occurrence, time characterized by events : xpóvos, duration, time in general, the time for which any thing lasts: xpóvo七, years: кaıpós is àk $\mu \eta े$ xpóyov, 'punctum temporis,' point of time: 1 P. 4. 17, ó каıро̀̀

not $x$ póvos，suggesting the comfortable reflection that tho tyranny of the enemy will soon be overpast，Ps．57．1．кalpós differs from time in the two points of（1），limited duration；and （2），a definite object，R．13．11．Used by LXX to express seasons of the festivals：Lev．23．4： 2 Chron．8．13，tov
 èviautov．So G．4．10．In a few passages kaupós is nearly synonymous with $x$ póvos．In 1 T．4．1，vorépols kalpoîs points
 in the apostasy of the present the Apostle sees the commence－ ment of the fuller apostasy of the future．Eб才átaus गे $\mu$ épats， 2 T．3．1： 2 P．3．3：Ja．5．3，points more specifically to the period immediately preceding the completion of the kingdom of Christ．＂The exact meaning of the term xpóvol aíivlo in 2 T．1． 9 is，＇from all eternity，＇stronger perhaps than $\pi \rho$ ò катаßо入йs кó $\sigma \mu$ ，E．1．4，before times marked by the lapse of unnumbered ages，times which reached from eternity（á $\pi^{\circ}$ aî̀vos）to the coming of Christ，in and during which the $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho o \nu$ lay $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \mu$ évov，R．16．25．＂（Ellicott．）
$\kappa а т а \gamma เ \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa є \nu$ is a middle term，lying between катпүорєĩ， to accuse，and кąaкcpiveıv，to pronounce a formal，judicial con－ demnation．катауьขш்бкєь is to be explained from $\gamma \iota \nu \omega ் \sigma к \epsilon \iota$, to know and take cognizance of，and from its opposite，$\sigma u \gamma \gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma$－ $\kappa \epsilon \tau \nu$ ，to pardon．In G．2． 11 ：Deut．25．1，it is opposed to Sıкaıô̂̀，to pronounce just，acquit：＇Ecclus．14．2，paкápıos oủ


катарт $15 \omega$ involves the notion of positive defect，which re－ quires to be repaired，as the mending a net，refitting a ship， setting a limb．L．6．40，катŋpтєб $\mu$ évos，one who is thoroughly taught，＇eruditus，＇removed from his state of ignorance：W． 4．12，трòs тòv кatapтí $\mu o ́ v$, looking to the thorough instruction of the saints： 1 C．1．10，кarचpтьбرévol，fitted in one to another， well adjusted，so that there be no $\sigma \chi i \sigma \mu a \tau a:$ G．6．1，help to amend： 1 Th．3．10，to repair the defects of your faith： 1 P．5．10，will rectify your defects．тe入etóa，té入o؟，тé入etos， involve the negative imperfection of those who have still an object in view，a purpose not fully realized．R．10．4，téhos $\nu_{0} \mu o v$ ，the designed termination to which vó $\mu$ os points，and in which it is fulfilled．Thus the Gospel is ré $\lambda \in \omega \circ$ ，Ja．1．25，as it is the consummation of Judaism，the end proposed by the rites and ceremonics of the Levitical dispensation，$R, 10.4$.

The law wrought no completion，i．e．could not accomplish its own rénos，H．7．19：gifts and sacrifices could not effect the worshippers＇object as regards the conscience，H．9．9：out of works faith attained maturity，Ja．2． 22 ：on the third day I finish my course，I accomplish my end，L．13．32．So H．2．10， to make the Author of their salvation accomplish His end， consummate His design by means of suffering：H．5．9，having accomplished the proposed end．（See ò $\lambda$ óк $\lambda \eta \rho \frac{5}{\text { ．）}) ~ T h e ~ t e c h n i c a l ~}$ meaning of катартi乡心 is，＇reponere in artu luxata membra，＇ －e．g．to reduce a dislocated shoulder．In the simple ethical sense，we have，Hdt．v．28，катарті广єiv Miлचтор：Stobæus，


223 кap $\delta i a$ ，the seat of the desires，feelings，affections，R．1．21； the mental，perceptive faculty， 2 C．3． 15 ；the conscience or mind，exercised as matters of moral obligation，M．13． 15 ： J．12．40．＂In Hebrew there is no appropriate word for con－ science．ררוּ，are both used，Prov．4． 23 ；18． 15 ：Eccl． 7．22，conscience acts between God and man；as a servant，to obey God；as His minister，to issue His commands to man： Scávooa，the thinking，sentient faculty，the inward disposition， the spiritual man，as distinguished from the mere sensorium， which receives impressions from without．＂（Alford，H．8．10．） $\sigma$ óvects，putting together in the mind，comprehension，discern－ ment；the faculty by which we mentally apprehend，and are enabled to pass judgment upon what is presented to us：ovvei－ $\delta \eta \sigma \iota$ ，consciousness，conscience，A．$\overline{2} 3.1$ ；24． $16: 1$ P．2．19； 3． 16.21.
＂кєipet simpliciter notat partes capillorum nummorum
 $\kappa \in i \rho \in \theta \theta a l$ ，to poll the hair，to cut it short by scissors or shears： $\xi \nu \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ ，to shave the hair off with a $\xi \nu \rho \dot{\rho}$, or razor，so that the skull appears．

кєขós refers to contents，＇das Gehaltlose，＇＇inanis．＇$\mu a ́ t a s o s$ refers to results，＇das Erfolglose，＇＇vanus．＇
к $\eta_{\rho} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \mu a$ ，the matter preached，the thing proclaimed：áко ${ }^{\prime}$ ， the spiritual faculty and function of hearing：áкоэ̀ $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega$ ， the hearing ear of faith．The Gospel preached（ $\tau \grave{\prime} \kappa \bar{\eta} \rho u \gamma \mu a)$ is called the word of hearing，$\dot{\dot{d}} \lambda$ óyos $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \times o \hat{\xi} s$ ，in order to bring out more clearly the duty of all men to hearken to it；the word which was uttered in order to be heard．
$\kappa \lambda a i \omega$ ，wail，not only with the expression of tears（ $\delta a \kappa \kappa v^{\prime} \omega$ ， J．11．35），but also with every external expression of grief．

 shriek generally，of women：$\theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o s$, dirge，like the Gaelic ＇coronach，＇or the Irish＇wake，＇used of hired mourners wail－ ing for the dead：ко́ттоца，strike the breast in loud ex－ pressions of grief：котєтós，wailing，attended with beating the breast．
$\kappa \lambda / \nu \eta$ ，couch，sofa，for the rich：крá $\beta \beta a \tau o s$, litter，mattress， for the poor ；Latin，＇grabatus．＇
$\kappa \lambda e^{\pi} \pi \eta \varsigma$ ，the thief who steals by fraud and in secret；Latin， ＇fur：＇$\lambda$ plot $\eta_{s}$ ，the robber who plunders by violence and open force：$\lambda_{\eta} t_{s}, \lambda_{\text {eia }}$ ，booty，＇latro．＇This meaning of $\lambda_{\eta} \sigma \sigma \gamma^{\prime}$, should be preserved in M．21．13；26． $55:$ L．10． 30 ； 23. 39－43．
cólagıs，＇castigatio，＇has naturally a milder use than tı $\mu c \omega \rho / a$,

 $\rho \omega \theta \hat{\tilde{n}}$, Aristotle，Met．i．10．Thus tumepla is aid in satisfying vengeance，the guardianship and protectorate of honour（ $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \eta^{\prime}$ ， alp $\rho \omega)$ ：кó $\lambda a \sigma \iota s$ has reference to the correction and improvement of the offender；but as кó入aбts aícivos is no temporary disci－ pline，it is clear that cóخaaıs in Hellenistic Greek had acquired the severer sense of punishment，without implying the idea of effecting a reformation．But Aristotle＇s definition still holds good，as in кó $\lambda a \sigma$ ıs there is predominant the relation of the punishment to the offender ：in $\tau \mu \mu \omega \rho / a$ ，its relation to the party offended．
＂крína of itself is never any thing elso than judicium，yet it still will admit of some modification in meaning from the con－ text．＂Fritz．，Rom．i．94．＂крîцa סıaßó入ov may be cither gen．subjecti，＇the accusing judgment of the devil，＇or gen．oljecti， ＇the judgment passed upon the devil．＇In the former case крîua has more the meaning of＇criminatio：＇in the latter，of ＇condemnatio．＇But there is no satisfactory instance in which крípa has the former moaning in the New Testament，and as $\kappa \rho i \hat{\mu} a$ is elsewhere found only with a gen．objecti，R．3． 8 ： Rev．17．1，we decide in favour of the latter interpretation． The force of the allusion must be looked for，not in the extent of the fall，but in the similarity of the circumstances；the devil
was once a ministering spirit of God，but by insensate pride fell from his hierarchy．＂（Ellicott， 1 T．3．6．）
aúroкатúкритоs，＇self－condemned，＇the reason why he is left to himself；he has been warned twice，and now sins against
 Chrysost．The aggravating circumstance is not that the man condemns himself directly and explicitly，as this might be a step to recovery，but that he condemns himself indirectly and implicitly，as acting against the law of his mind，and doing in his own particular case what in the general he condemns，Tit． 3． 11.

ко́тоs is joined together with $\mu$ ó $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\theta}$ os， 2 C．11． $27: 1$ Th．2． 9 ： 2 Th．3．8．＂cómros represents the act of hewing wood ：$\mu$ ó $\chi$ Oos is the act of carrying logs after they have been hewn（á $\chi$ 青os）． кótos expresses energy of action：$\mu$ ó $\chi$ Oos indicates patience in bearing＂（Wordsworth， 1 Th．2．9）．кónтos marks the toil on the part of the suffering it involves：$\mu \rho^{\prime} \chi$ Oos，on the side of the magnitude of the obstacles it has to overcome．
кóфıvos，wicker basket，the Jewish travelling basket，＇pan－ nier：＇बTvpis，one of a larger kind，for storing grain，pro－ visions，capacious enough to contain a man，A．9．－25．
крúnte is applied to that which is already out of sight，hide passively，keep concealed ：ка入útrt $\omega$ ，cover over，as with a veil， hide，actively and intentionally，applied to the hiding of sin， the putting it out of sight by Him who has power（ádiéval）to

 thou keepest hidden；áтєкá ${ }^{\prime} \cup \psi$ as，thou removest the veil．
$\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o \nu$ ，a truth formerly hidden，but now revealed，or a secret capable of being told，the very reverse of what we now
 initiated，one who is acquainted with things which are unknown to others；truths which cannot be known till they are revealed， not truths which must always be unintelligible．Dr．Vaughan， on R．11．25，thus classifies its references：（1）to the Gospel itself，Mk．4． 11 ：R．16． 25 ： 1 C．2．1．7：E．1． 9 ；6． 19 ：C． 1. 26,$27 ; 2.2$ ；4．3： 1 T．3．9． 16 ：Rev．10．7；（2）to the various parts and truths of the Gospel，M．13． $11:$ L．8． $10: 1$ C．4． 1 ； 13． 2 ；（3）to the admission of the Gentiles，E． 3.3 ：the con－ nexion between Christ and His Church，E．5． 32 ：the change （without death）of the living at the time of the resurrection，

1 C. 15. 31 : the future conversion of Israel, R. 11. 25 : the predicted embodiment and revelation of evil, 2 Th .2 .7 ; certain symbols in the Apocalypse, Rev. 1. 20; 17. 5. 7. Bishop Ellicott remarks on 1 T. 3. 9 (тò $\left.\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho o \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s\right), ~ t h a t ~ \pi l a \tau \epsilon \omega s ~$ is apparently a pure possessive genitive, that to which the $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{p}$ ov appertained; the truth hitherto not comprehensible, but now revealed to man, was the property, object, of faith, that on which faith exercised itself. So very similarly, tò $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ o \nu$ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \in i a s$, the mystery which belonged to, was the object contemplated by, godliness, the hidden truth which was the basis of all practical piety. thotus is faith considered subjectively, not objective faith, a very doubtful meaning in the New Testament.
$\lambda a \lambda c a ́$, utterance, talk, present discourse: $\lambda$ óyos, subjectmatter of discourse, the thing taught, J. 8. 43. $\lambda a \lambda$ eiv (Певychius, $\phi \theta$ é $\gamma \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) points merely to sound and utterance ; $\lambda$ ér $\bar{\prime} \iota \nu$, to purport. $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is sometimes used where $\lambda$ éf $\epsilon \nu$ would appear more natural, but $\lambda$ éy $\epsilon \nu$ is never used for $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ expresses the general idea of talking, whether reasonably or otherwise, loose, indefinite, unconnected utterance, and may be snid either of a sane or insane person, the prattling of a child, or the speech of an adult. $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ implies speaking in a rational intelligent manner. In R. 3. 19, 入éré denotes the language or statement of the Scriptures: $\lambda a \lambda \in \hat{i}$, the utterance of that language to any particular age, body of men, or individual: $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma i a$, openness or boldness of speech, Mk. 8. 32: A. 4. 13 , that confidence and boldness of spirit with which the believer is pormitted to approach his heavenly Father, II. 4. 16: 1 J. 2. $28 ; 3.21$ (ǎ $\delta \in i a)$ : assured expectation of final reward, 1 J. 4. 17.
$\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon$ v́cı, serve for hire : $\lambda a ́ \tau \rho \iota \varsigma$, hired servant, transferred, in classical Greek, from the service of men to the service of their gods. In LXX $\lambda a \tau \rho \in$ écul expresses the service of the true God, as of heathen divinities. " $\lambda a \tau \rho \in l a$ ea dicitur servitus qua pertinet ad colendum Deum," August. $\lambda_{\text {etrovpy } \epsilon \text { î, to }}$ serve the state in a public office or function: $\lambda$ eitos ( $\lambda$ aós) epyov, transferred also to the ministry of the gods. The Christian Church preferred $\lambda_{\text {ectovpyeì }}$ and its derivatives to $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ a$, as the words connected with $\lambda \in \tau \tau 0 \cup \rho \gamma \in \hat{L} \nu$ were less haunted with the clinging associations of heathenism. $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon \iota, \lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i a$, express the duty of all men, and are de-

denote the special offices and ministries of those who are solemnly set apart as the priests and Levites, as the Apostles, prophets, and pastors in the Christian Church, as well as the great High
 are also applied to official ministries (H. 9. 1. 6), for every $\lambda_{\text {etrovpyia is a } \lambda a t \rho \epsilon i a, ~ t h o u g h ~ e v e r y ~ \lambda a t \rho e i a ~ i s ~ n o t ~ a ~}^{\text {ieiroup- }}$
 of a community as a public character. R. 1.9, $\dot{\Psi} \lambda a \tau \rho \in u ́ a ~ e ̀ v$
 the sacrificial worship which I offer is inward and spiritual, namely, the devotion of myself to the preachinis of the Gospel of His Son. Vaughan.

入ovic, bathe, wash the whole body: עitveciv, wash a part of the body, as the extremities, hands, or feet: $\pi \lambda u u^{\nu} \epsilon \nu$, wash inanimate things, as garments, Lev. 14.9: Num. 19. 7. 10: nets, J. 5. 2. These distinctions may be observed in Lev. 15. 11,


 laver, bath: ע८mт $\dot{\rho}$, , basin.
$\lambda u ́ \chi \nu 0 s$, lamp:` $\phi \omega ิ s$, the light proceeding therefrom. So prophecy is compared to $\lambda u^{\prime} \chi^{\nu o s}, 2$ P. 1. 19: $\phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \lambda u ́ \chi^{\nu o v, ~ R e v . ~}$ 18. 23. $\phi \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho$, a means of giving light, as a window or door ( $\theta u p i s$ ), the heavenly luminaries, applied to Christians, Ph. 2. 15. $\phi \omega \sigma \phi$ ópos, light-bringing, lucifer, the star that precedes the rising of the sun, emblematic of the dawn of spiritual light and happiness: $\phi \omega \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu o ́ s$, full of light: $\phi \omega \tau i \zeta \omega$, give light to, enlighten, bring to light, impart moral and spiritual light. John the Baptist was the lamp that was lit, and giving light,

 'torch.'
$\mu a \lambda a \kappa i a$, incipient complaint, lit. softness, opposed to картерía, endurance: $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \dot{e} \nu \in \epsilon a$, want of strength or energy, infirmity, feebleness: עócos, confirmed disease.
$\mu \in \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{s}$, arbitrator, umpire, like the $\delta$ dalt $\quad$ ral at Athens, a kind of jury selected by the disputants to try petty causes: סıкабтท's, juryman, like Lat. 'judex:' крıтท's, presiding judge, Lat. 'prætor.'
$\mu є \tau а \mu$ éخоцац, alter one's purpose, denoting change of feeling, the anxiety consequent on a past transaction, remorse, some-
times implying a return to a right state of mind, 'ponitet,' 'piget: ${ }^{2}$ дetavo $\hat{\omega} \omega$, change one's views for the better, implying the sorrow by which sin is forsaken; Lat: ' resipisco,' ' recover one's senses,' come to a right understanding: $\mu e \tau \alpha{ }^{2} \nu o l a$, conversion ('transmentation,' Coleridge), the sanctified effect of
 growing wise. Dr. Wordsworth thus expresses the difference: " $\mu$ eтávoia, change of mind, belongs only to the good; $\mu \in \tau a ́ \mu e-$ $\lambda e c a$, pain of mind, belongs to evil men, as well as good. Peter

 $\lambda \epsilon \iota a$ without $\mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{\nu}$ $\mu e ́ \lambda \eta \tau a$ тà $\chi$ aplo $\mu a \tau a$, incapable of being rovoked or changed:
 followed by $\epsilon \in$, Rev. 2. 21, 22; 9. 20, 21 ; 16. 11, showing a complete change of mind, displaying itself in turning from previous acts, and out of a former mode of life to a new and different practice and habit of existence:
$\mu 0 \lambda u ́ v \omega$, besmear as with mud and filth.' Aristotle speaks of
 care.' ucaiveıv, stain with colour, as the staining of glass or
 Lat. 'maculare.' maivecv is not necessarily taken in a dishonourable signification, though it is frequently used to express the profane or unhallowed use of any thing. There is the same difference between $\mu a \operatorname{lvec\nu }$ and $\mu 0 \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon i \nu$ as between 'macula,' ' labes,' 'spot,' 'blot.' But in the figurative sense the
 to $\mu о \lambda \nu \sigma \mu$ oे барко́s, 2 O. 7. 1.
$\mu o \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$, form, abstractedly, without reference to any other object, applied to $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, as well as $\delta o u ́ \lambda o v, ~ P h . ~ 2 . ~ 6, ~ 7: ~ \dot{o} \mu o i ́ u \mu a, ~$ shape, implying resemblance to other objects of the same kind: $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, outward figure, shape, mien: $\mu$ ó $\phi \omega_{\omega} \sigma s$, embodiment, form without substance : $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \phi \omega \sigma \iota \nu є \dot{\jmath} \sigma є \beta \in i a \varsigma, 2$ T. 3. 5.
$\nu$ éos is a person or thing in a new or youthful condition, as contrasted with the same person or thing in a state of old age or decay. cauvós is a person or thing in a new state, as distinguished from another person or thing in an old condition. cauvós refers to the operation of an external agent; véos describes rather the inner growth or change of a natural object. Thus the olvos is עéos, but the ár coí are calvol, Mk. 2. 22. The
work of àakalveras is perfornfed by the external operation of the Holy Ghost on the inner life. The кaıvòs ăv $\nu$ O $\omega \omega \pi$ os is said to be $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \in l$, and the $\nu \in ́ o s, ~ a ̆ \nu \theta \rho \omega t o s ~ i s ~ s a i d ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ́ \nu a \kappa a \iota \nu o u ́-~-~$
 But ávaveovéaal is a duty which we owe to our own moral and spiritual being, E. 4. 23. The heavens which will be made new are calyol, and Christ by His mediatorial power and grace makes all things кalvá. (From Wordswòrth, on E. 4. 23.) In cases, however, where the old is better than the new, kalyós may express the novel and strange, as contrasted with the known and familiar. In Mk. 1. 27, кatעŋ̀ §ı $\delta a \chi \eta \eta^{\prime}$ meant any thing but praise. Socrates was charged with introducing into Athens caıvà $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota a$, elsewhere called ëтєрa $\delta$ : : in A. 17. 18, $\xi \in \dot{e} v a$ Saluóvia. "The covenant of which Christ is the Mediator is a $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \eta_{k} \eta \nu \dot{\nu} a$, as compared with the Mosaic covenant given
 pared with the same effete with age, from which all vigour, energy, and strength had departed. There is the same distinction between $\nu$ עeos and кacvós as between 'recens' and 'novus.' עéos, like 'recens,' refers to time; кäıעós, like 'novus,' to state or condition. The same distinction is claimed for 'nouveau' ( $\nu$ éos) and 'neuf' (кaıvós). 'Ce qui est nouveau vient de paraître pour la première fois; ce qui est neuf vient d'être fait et n'a pas encore servi. Une invention est nouvelle, une expression neuve.' "(Archbishop Trench, Synonyms, Second Series.)
$\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota o s$, a babe, without the power of speech, an infant, a minor. Bó́申os, a child, while yet in the womb (é $\mu \dot{\beta} \dot{\beta} v o \nu)$, the new-born babe. átò $\beta \rho \dot{\text { éqous, }} 2$ T. 3. 15, from the cradle, where $\nu \eta^{\prime} \pi \tau$ os would have been inappropriate, as $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \tau o s$ means 'one not yet of full age,' G. 4. 1. Both words express the young convert, the disciple in an undeveloped immature state.
 кaì $\tau \grave{a} \beta \rho \in ́ \phi \eta$, their very babes. In H. 5. 13, v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \tau$ os is especially opposed to oi $\tau$ édetot, i. e. mature Christians.
vóos ( $\nu 0$ ôs) embraces the Understanding, the Reasori, the Will, and the Affections. The voûs takos cognizance of external objects, and denotes the reasoning faculty exercised on the works or word of Ggd. The conscience, in ovveionous, is a] -2 spiritual instinct, which operates without any active energy of
 itself by sound reason, and adopts for its own regulation the
rule of God＇s will，especially as revealed in His word．This is the conscience which produces $\kappa a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．Wordsworth on 1 P．3．16．St．Paul even while persecuting the Church acted $\grave{e} \nu \kappa a \theta a \rho \underset{a}{c} \sigma v v \epsilon \iota \delta j \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ，with a view to no personal advan－ tage，but in mistaken zeal for the law of God．See кapoia．Dr． Vaughan remarks that the understanding（ vois）；no less than the heart，requires God＇s teaching．R．12．2：E．4． $23:$ L． 24. 45：1 C．2． 14.
$\nu o u \theta \in \tau \in \epsilon$ ，put in mind，admonish．vou日ecla，verbal admoni－ tion，but admitting the idea of correction，Christian discipline and teaching．maidev́c，bring up as son，instruct，chastise． maiסeia，discipline，implying the idea of correction．maıסeia primarily applies to the body：$\nu o v \theta \in \sigma i a$ ，to the mind．$\dot{\delta} \mu \eta$
 videtur institutionem per paenas；vou日coia autem est ea insti－ tutio quæ fit verbis．＂Grotius，E．6．4．In Tit．2．12，тaiסeviouva $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \mathrm{~s}$ ，the proper force of the word，＇per molestias erudire，＇ disciplining us，is to be retained．＂Grace exercises its discipline on us（1 C．11．32：H．12．6），before its benefits can be fully felt or thankfully acknowledged；the heart must be rectified， and the affections chastened before sanctifying grace can have its full issues．＂
ópác applies to bodily sight；$\beta \lambda$ é $\pi \omega$ ，to mental vision or considoration，1 O．1．26： 2 C．4．18：R．7．23．Mk．8．24，$\beta \lambda$ étro
 are mon，inasmuch as $I$ see them as trees，but I see them walk－ ing．$\beta \lambda$ ém $\omega$ ，consider，take heart，employed to express a more intent，earnest，spiritual contemplation than ópác．II．2．8，9，




 1 C．10．18，$\beta \lambda$ е́тете то̀̀＇Iбраウ̀入 катд̀ бúpка，consider the

 thus akin to $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ，though $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ，when it is used of bodily vision，assumes that the object is actually present：L． 24.39 ，




 tòv इa Tavây r．т．i．，q．d．，this I was contemplating．In L． 8.
 $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ ．Thuc．（iv．125）has тò Bpar（ $\delta a \nu$ i ifeiv，which the Scholiast explains as an Atticism，for cum Brasida colloqui． Lucian has íjeî̀ tòv $\Delta i$ ia for évrvxeî̀ rệ $\Delta t$ t．But all these passages may be explained by considering that the antecedent

oлók $\lambda \eta \rho o s$, that which retains all originally allotted to it， whole and entire in all its parts，wanting oin nothing which
 rénclos，one who has reached the full limit of stature，strength， and mental powor allotted to him，used like the English word ＇perfect，＇sometimes in a relative sense，at other times in an absolute，M．5．48；19．21．Compare the expression used by ignorant people in speaking of one who has finished his educa－ tion，when they mean that he has completed a certain course of instruction．The $\dot{\lambda} \lambda_{0} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s$ is one who has preserved or who has regained his completeness，the rénecos has attained his moral end，that for which he was intended．In the ódó－ $\kappa \lambda$ npos no grace which ought to be in a Christian is wanting； in the ré $\lambda \epsilon c o s ~ n o ~ g r a c e ~ i s ~ i n ~ i t s ~ w e a k, ~ i m p e r f e c t ~ c o m m e n c e m e n t, ~$ but all have reached a certain ripeness and maturity．ìote入 $\eta_{s}$ ， 1 Th．5．23，is a connecting link between the two，＂in your collective powers and parts．＂ò ofoce入eîs marks more emphati－ cally than ö̃ous the thoroughness and pervasive nature of true holiness．äptıos，in 2 T．3．17，is explained by é $\ddagger \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ évos （see on катартi弓ん），complete in all parts and proportions；＂in quo nihil mutilum＂（Calvin），perfect as a square．Cf．Arist．
 Simon．ap．Plato，Protag．344，h，té $\lambda \in \iota o s$ and ă átos very much interchange their meanings，but äptıos points to the adaptation of parts and special aptitude for any given uses．

тapáßačs，the outward act of transgressing the law，the overstepping the line which divides right from wrong，sin of commission：тарако $\eta$ ，hearing amiss，when we fulfil not and have no mind to fulfil the precepts of the law，carelessness in necortaining or in regarding the rule of duty，the sin of omis－ siou．But every тарáßaoss implios a таракои́，and every таракоп includes or induces mapáßagts．Hence the use of

таракоŋ́ for тарáßaбıs in R．5．19，though таракоฑ́ may be more appropriate as referring to an oral precept．iлано＇，sub－ ordination to the word，joyful acceptance of the Gospel message， hearing and doing．тарáттшнa，falling aside from right，truth， and duty．
maidaycrós，the slave or attendant who conducted the pupil to his toacher，ärov cis $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o \nu ~(o i \kappa o \nu)$ ，used to denoto persons ministering in all kinds of spiritual offices；opposed to maтท́, i．e．to St．Paul as their epiritual father，1 C．4．15．In G．3． 24 the law became our slave－tutor to lead us to the true teacher，Christ．

тapa $\beta$ o $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$, placing side by side for the purpose of comparison， ropresentation，similitude：mapounia，used by St．John，means ＇way－side illustrations；＇lessons drawn from actions of ordinary lifo，from objects and processes in nature：ả à $\lambda \eta \gamma o \rho \in ́ \omega$ ，speak in an allegory；in symbolical language：＂aliud verbis，aliud sensu ostondo．＂Quintilian：év mapoı $\mu \dot{a} \iota s$ ，in figurative or obscure languago，opposed to $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{p} \eta \sigma i a$, J．16．25． 29.
mapa入a $\mu \beta$ ávळ has the idea of receiving from another：$\delta \in \in \chi \chi^{-}$ $\mu a \iota$ ，of taking to oneself： 1 Th．2．13，тарадaßóvтes 入óyov
 of hearing，from our hands ye accepted it：A．3．21，$\hat{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \in \hat{\imath}$ oủpavò̀ $\delta$ éga ${ }^{\prime}$ Oat，take to itself，contain，A．7．38． 59 ：Ja．1．21，
 objective reception，G．1．12：$\delta \in ́ \chi o \mu a \iota$ ，to a subjective，2 C．8． 17. The old grammarians appliod $\delta$ é $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ to tò $\delta \in \delta o \mu e ́ \nu o \nu$ éк $\chi \in \iota \rho o ́ s$, while $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in \iota \nu$ denotes тò кel $\mu \in \nu o \nu$ áve入é $\sigma \theta a c$ ．With these we may contrast áprrá̧etv．＂Non tantum significat alienum vi auferre，sed etiam quod nobis offertur gratumque accidit，id expetendum atque optabile existimare，cupidoque et ambabus quod aiunt manibus amplecti，eoque cum gaudio uti．＂Raphel ad Phil．2．6．So＇rapio＇is used for＇avido ct festinanter sumo．＇
 especial privilege of freomen，coupled with $\pi \rho o \sigma a r \omega \gamma \eta$ ，frcedom of access；frequently used for oponness of action，publicity．
$\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \omega$ ，reccive，experience good，as well as ovil ：Mk．5．26， $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \theta o v ̂ \sigma a, ~ s u b j e c t ~ t o ~ m u c h ~ m e d i c a l ~ t r e a t m e n t, ~ n o t ~ n e c e s-~$ sarily an acute sufferer．Applied in an cuphemistic sense to tho death of our Lord，comprehending his agony，his bloody

donotes suffering，R．8． 18 ；but is sometimes used for sensual
 states of mind in which it is the thing acted on，rather than the agent，R．7． $5:$ G．5．24，ó $\delta v v \omega \mu \mu a l$ ．ódóv, pain，distross of body or mind ：á $\delta \eta \mu \iota \nu$ é $\omega$ ，have more than enough，experience loathing more than we can bear：ámooô̂ $\mu a l$ ，am without resource，know not what to do，am perplexed：тapá $\sigma \sigma \omega$ ，agitate，put in trepida－ tion，disquiet．
marpla，paternal desoent，tribe，which contained several oiko， households：olcos refers to the members，inmates，servants： oikla，to the building，and the property therein contained．
 and the Latin＇penuria，＇is one who earns his bread by daily toil．The word，like＇pauper，＇＇paupertas，＇does not indicate extreme want，but simply＇res angusta domi．＇See Virg．LEn．ii．

 тєע＇́бrat in Thessaly retained partial rights as cultivators of the soil．$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós is＇mendicus，＇the beggar，who lived on the alms of other men；i．q．$\pi \rho o \sigma a i \neq \eta \varsigma$, or émalins．A far lowor depth
 has nothing superfluous，but the $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós has nothing at all．The distinction is preserved in Plato，who describes tyrannies as running their course，cis $\pi \epsilon \nu l a s ~ т e ~ к a l ~ ф u y a ̀ s ~ \kappa a l ~ \epsilon i s ~ \pi \tau \omega \chi є i a s, ~$ and in Aristophanes，Plutus 549－554，where Chremylus says，
to which $\pi \in \nu$ la replies，



This distinction between $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós and $\pi \epsilon \ell \eta$ s gives groater vivid－

 èкєivou $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ кía，$\pi \lambda$ оит $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau$ ．
$\pi \epsilon i \theta_{0} \mu a \iota$ ，obedire，obey from a conviction that the thing required is lawful and right，or from a sense of the just autho－ rity of the person to whom obedienco is rendered．íteic $\omega_{\text {，}}$ olfennperare，yiold to，comply with，accommodate yourself to the feelings and wishes of another；$\pi \in i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，direct personal
obedience ；iteixetv，such compliance as forbids opposition to the plans of another；$\pi e i \theta a p \chi \in i \nu, \cdot$ coactus obsequi ；＇$\dot{\text { unotác－}}$ $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ ' l u b e n s$ et sponte submittere，＇Tit．3．1；L．2．51．Com－




riotis has reference to things past，present，or future， receives the declaration of blessing，or the denunciation of wrath，and may be applied to angels as woll as men；ei $\lambda \pi i$ is applies to blessings only，to be enjoyed in future，by the person who entertains the hope．Faith and hope alike are the evidence
 of èmis， 2 Th．1． $4: 1$ T．6．11：Tit．2．2：it marks the manly valour（aj $\delta \rho \in i a$ ）with which the Christian contends，against the various hindrances，persecutions，and temptations that befall him in－his conflict with the inward and outward world：Rev．

 sufferings；＂animum in perferendo sustinet，＂in contrast with $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\ell} \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，a more tame and passive sufferance of them， 2 T． 2． 12 ：R．12． 12 ：Ja．1． 12 ： 1 C．4． 12.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau 0 \mu \eta$ ，circumcision．The Jews derived a distinctive title from the observance of the outward rite，but the thing signified， or substance，is attributed to believers in Christ，as the seed of Abraham ：кavaro $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，mangling，mutilation，a term of contempt for those who relied on their outward circumcision，or adopted a spurious Christianity ；Ph．3．2， 3.
m $\lambda$ aváa，make to wander，cause to err，lead astray，used for doctrinal error，or for religious deceit ：$\pi \lambda$ ávos，teacher of error， religious impostor：$\dot{a} \pi a \tau a ́ \omega$ ，deceive，delude with false state－ ments，self－deception ：$\pi \lambda a ́ v \eta$ ，seduction from the truth ：$\delta o ́ \lambda o s$, the adulteration of the truth with false admixtures：$\delta 0 \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$

 a deception which had something objective to rest upon：$\phi \rho \in \nu$－
 a purely self－originated and subjective deception．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \epsilon \xi i a$ ，covetousness，the grasping after more，hankering after what one has not，＇amor sceleratus habendi，＇the active sin：$\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma y \rho i a$ ，the passive sin，avarice，the accumulating
what one already has．The $\pi \lambda \in о \nu$ éкт $\eta$ s may be free in squan－ dering，as he is unscrupulous in getting．＇Rapti largitor，＇like
 Eiat is joined with $\kappa \lambda o \pi a i$, Mk．7．22，and with sins of impurity， the insatiable longing of the creature which has turned from God to fill itself with objects of sense．The monsters of lust among the Roman emperors were monsters of covetousness． The same is the case with eastern rajahs．In contrast with this the фi入ápyupos is cautious and timid，retaining the garb of righteousness．IIence the Pharisecs are called фı入ápyupol． They could foster avaricious desires，and yet justify themselves
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta$ s is the bold unscrupulous trader，whilo he is making a fortune；the $\phi$ 人 ${ }^{\prime}$ ápyopos is the retired trader who lives quietly that he may increase his property．＂The $\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma v p l a$ of the Pharisees did not disqualify them for exercising a commanding influence，and for being in the popularmind patterns of sanctity and objects of general admiration．Building on the temporal promises of the ancient law they made it an article of faith that riches are a proof of divine approbation．Wealth was another name of piety．Love of wealth was a love of God＇s favour．Thus they sanctified avarice．＂（Wordsworth， 1 T． 6．10．）
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi о \rho^{\prime} \omega$ ，bring in full measure，complete an act，applied to סсакоуía， 2 T．4．5；to ки́риуна， 2 T．4．17；hence passive of persons who have fully attained the proposed end：C．4．12， тé $\lambda \in \iota o \iota$ каі̀ $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o l$ ，fully satisfied or convinced in mind，R．4． 21 ；14． 5 ：of things received on the fullest evi－ dence，L．1．1．Hesychius explains $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ф о р i a ~ b y ~ \beta є \beta a i o ́ t \eta \varsigma, ~$ steadiness．The word gives the idea of a ship laden with freight，фopá，pursuing a steady course：$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ ф о \rho i a \operatorname{\sigma v\nu é\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ,~}$ perfect certainty，residing in the intellect，clearness and sta－ bility of comprehension ：$\pi \lambda \eta p o \phi o \rho i a ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ ，faith fully grounded；a realizing view of the great Object of Faith．$\pi \lambda \eta$－ poфopia èntioos，hope fully established，the sense of a personal interest in the blessings of redemption，so as to impart uniform steadiness and consistency ：$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho i a$ is not an effect of the logical fuculty，but is produced by the inner working（èvépyeta） of the Holy Ghost．

торєv́oual has prominent the idea of romoving to another place，and is often prefixed in the participlo to verbs which
convey the idea of going, in order to render the expression more complete. In ináyw the prominent idea is departure, withdrawing from others so as to be out of sight: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \pi^{\epsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ has the simple idea of absence.
m $\rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ denotes what we do naturally, easily ; practice, customary action: $\pi o t \epsilon i \nu, ~ ' m a k e, ' ~ ' p r o d u c e, ' ~ d e s c r i b e s ~ w h a t ~ w e ~ d o ~$ with difficulty and effort, action with an object in view: J. 5.
 cates habitual design and actual habit of life, frequently applied to good, while $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is applied to ovil. Good made and done has permanence for ever. Evil is practical, but produces no good fruit for otornity. $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ is connected with $\pi e \rho a ́ \omega$, mepalva, involving the idea of continuance and habitual prosecution, used in the senso of intrigue, contrivance, scheming, as
 exacting the payment rigidly. Such is the sense of $\pi \rho a ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$, L. 12.58.
$\pi \rho о к о \pi \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$, originally, to cut forward, to forward by cutting (as by felling trees, \&c., before an advancing army), to forward; but in the New Testament always, and in classical Greek generally, it is used intransitively, to advance or make progress:
 enclose or intercept by cutting (from an enemy impeding the progress of an army, by cutting trenches in its way), impede, obstruct; G. 5. 7; 1 Th. 2. 18. Vaughan.
$\pi \rho a \sigma \epsilon \chi \chi$ ! , supplication addressed to God only, significant of the power of Him whom we invoke: $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau \rho o \pi t$, turning onesolf to any quarter for help, the turning of a suppliant (iкє́rךs) to God or man, to implore protection or purification. Hence $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} \dot{e} \nu \in \dot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta a b$, the guilt or pollution of the manslayer. Sén $\sigma_{\iota}$ expresses our need (ĕv $\delta \varepsilon \iota a \nu$ ), entreatics for deliverance
 requests concerning others, and in their behalf, urgent personal address, interpellatio, said of appeals to man as well as to God. So èvtvز ád $^{\nu} \omega$. iкєтทpia, application to another person for the supply of $\notin \nu \delta \epsilon \iota a$ (iкetєla, ikvéo $\mu a \iota$ ). Sévots seoms a special form (rogatio) of the more goneral $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \in \chi_{\chi \prime \prime}^{\prime}$ (precatio). Ess, prayer in its most individual and urgent form, prayor in which God is, as it were, sought in audience, and personally
 é $\chi$ оиtos, Origen. סé $\eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ marks the idoa of our insufficiency:
 dence.
$\pi \rho о ф \eta{ }^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{s}$, "Sacrorum interpres; qui alius cujusdam sensus profort;" prophet or seer, the interpreter of the inspired $\mu$ áy 1 cs. The $\pi \rho o \phi \eta^{\prime} r \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is rapt out of himself, lifted above, but not set beside his every-day self. The $\mu$ ávtıs is one whose reason is suspended, his declarations are receivod only after they have had the approbation of the $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$. The word $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota s$ ( $\mu a i \nu o \mu a$, rave) does not occur in the New Testament: $\mu a \nu \tau \in \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ occurs A. 16. 16, only when the lying art of heathen divination is referred to. Such too is its use in Dout. 18. 10: 1 Sam. 28. 8.
 generally a public tracher ( $\pi$ pó embracing time, place, persons), one endowed with the faculty of interpreting ( $\epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon i a)$. So трофптеia is the exposition or interpretation of Scripture. In
 which we ought carefully to distinguish ; the one, a divinely inspired seer (הֶ่า, 1 Sam. ${ }^{\circ} 9.9$ ); the other, an interpreter of the Divine will. The Greeks, and after them, the Romans, had two different words to express these ideas; the first was
 The distinction between the two may be clearly seen in the description given of the Chureh at Corinth. The Corinthians, r $\lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a i ̂ i s ~ \lambda a \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau e s$, were in the state of a $\mu$ ávtıs, but they were not all $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a i$ : they had not the $\dot{\epsilon} p \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon i a$ frequently they did not comprehend the sense, and, consequently, could not unfold the meaning of their own inspiration, 1 C. 12. 10. 30 ; 14. 5. (Gr. Test., Vol. i., Introd., p. 32.) The distinction betwoen נָּ רָׁיא is preserved by Greg. Naz.,
 $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta p l \omega \nu$. The $\delta$ anoovla was instituted that others might have leisure to give themselves to prayer, and the ministry of tho word. The sacred writers were led by Divine superintendence to avoid words, the employment of which tended to effuce the distinction betwoen heathenism and Christianity. Archbishop Trench remarks, that " the Christian Church assumed the $\pi \rho 0$ $\phi \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$ to itself, but ascribed the $\mu a \nu \tau \in e^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \theta a c$ to the heathenism which it was about to displace and overthrow." We may trace this caution in the neglect of $\beta \omega \mu$ os, which occurs only in A. 17. 23; in the sparing use of the word deeti, Phil. 4. 8,
and 2 P. 1. 3. 6 , though this was in heathen ethics the standing word for 'virtue;' in the single use of $\eta \theta \eta, 1$ C. 15. 33. To preserve the spirit of Christianity distinct from Judaism, the sacred writers never employ iepeis to express any of the different orders in the ministry of the Christian Church. In $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta s$ the $\pi \rho o$ is especially local. The Latin 'vates' (from 'fari') has a similar breadth of meaning. трофŋтєia was a gift of 'prodicandi' rather than of 'prodicendi.' The contrast between the soothsayer, $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota s$, and the forth-teller, $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta ; \tau \varphi$, is






$\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a$ is more than $\lambda o{ }^{\prime} \gamma o s$, the matter, the whole transaction, " non vorbum, sed rem quæ qccidit." Valckner.
คо $\mu \phi a l a$, barbarian scimitar, broad falchion: $\mu a ́ \chi a \iota \rho a$, the symbol of civil power, the right of punishment.
$\dot{\rho} u \tau i s$, wrinkle, contraction of the skin from old age: $\dot{\rho} u{ }^{\prime} \omega$, épúw, draw together: $\sigma \pi i ̂ \lambda o s$, stain, mark, freckle, mole: ä $\sigma \pi \iota \lambda о \iota$ joined with á $\mu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \tau о \iota, 1$ P. 1. $19: 2$ P. 3. 14 (sce $\dot{a} \kappa \in ́ p a c o s): \sigma \pi i \lambda o \iota$, spots, in a moral sense: $\mu \hat{\omega} \mu o \iota$, used of bodily defects.
$\dot{\sigma} \dot{\rho} \rho \kappa \iota \nu o s$, made of the material substance, $\sigma a ́ \rho \xi$, as $\dot{\partial} \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \kappa \iota \nu o s, ~$
 and appetites. Our Lord was oápкıvos, of human flesh subsisting; but though oápкıдos, He was not like all other men,

 $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \mu a$, includes the $\psi \sim \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ as well as the $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$. The desires of the mind, as well as the lusts of the flesh, are enumerated
 ment of man in the things of the phenomenal world. oà $\bar{\xi}$ cai $a I_{\mu}$, a Hebrew circumlocution for man, generally with the accessory idea of weakness and frailty. It has the following modifications of meaning: man in his mere corporeal nature, 1C. 15. 50 : II. 2. 14: man in his weak, intellectual nature, contrasted with God, M. 16. 17: G. 1. 16: man in his feeble human powers, contrasted with spiritual natures and agencies, 1. 6. 12. (Ellicott, G. 1. 16.) $\sigma \dot{i} \rho \xi$ means the regulur courso
of nature, the worldly tendency of human life, when there is an expressed or latent opposition to $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$, as the governing and directing principle in the spiritual man, G. 3. 3; 4. 23. In G. 4. 29, oápкa, the natural laws, according to which Ishmael was born: $\pi \nu \in \hat{\jmath} \mu a$, the supernatural laws, according to which Isaac was conceived and born. In Tit. 2. 12, we have коб $\mu \kappa$ кая ériOvpias, all the inordinate desires of the things of the world. $\kappa о \sigma \mu \kappa a ́ s ~ i s-u s e d ~ i n ~ p r o f e r e n c e ~ t o ~ \sigma a p к и к a ́ s, ~ a s ~ m o r e ~ g e n e r a l ~$ and inclusive, and as enhancing the extent of the abnegation.
oréфavos, the wreath of victory in the games, 'corona,' the mark of distinction and joy, the reward of those who fight the good fight of faith, but not thie emblem or characteristic of royalty. The Stádina was the 'insigne regium,' originally a linen band or fillet, encircling the brow, 'trenia,' 'fascia,' applied to the Captain of our salvation, $\delta \iota a \delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau a \pi o \lambda \lambda a$, Rev. 19. 12. The aréфavos was a garland formed of leaves and flowers, or an imitation of a garland, worked in gold : oréфanos ácávधlvos, the crown of thorns, where the word is appropriate to the materials of which the wreath was composed. To such a substance $\delta \iota a ́ \delta \eta \mu a$ could not be applied.
$\sigma \pi a \tau a \lambda a ̂ \nu$ might properly be laid to the charge of the prodigal, scattering his substance in riotous living (ఢふ̂ע á $\sigma \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \varsigma$, L. 15. 13) : т $\rho v \phi \hat{a} \nu$, to the rich man faring sumptuously every
 to Jeshurun, when waxing fat, he kicked, Deut. 32. 15. From Archbishop Trench, who quotes Hottinger: " т $\rho \cup \neq \bar{\nu}$ deliciarum est, et exquisitæ voluptatis, $\sigma \pi a \tau a \lambda a ̂ \nu$, Iuxuriæ atque prodigalitatis." Tittmann, "т $\rho \cup \phi \hat{a} \nu$ potius mollitiam vitæo luxuriosæ, бтaràầ petulantiam et prodigalitatem denotat."
$\sigma \pi \epsilon v ่ \delta \omega$, make haste, festino, 'de tempore:' $\sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ \zeta \omega$, do the utmost, 'festinanter et sedulo aliquid facio.'

тєкцク́pıa, as distinguished from $\sigma \eta \mu \in i ̂ a$, are evidences derived from logical induction. "Differt tépas a $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \varphi$. Hoc enim sumitur etiam pro quolibet signo extra miraculum; at т́́pas semper sumitur pro portento vel prodigio." Mintert. "A miracle is a dúvapıs, as wrought by divine power: a tépas, as a supernatural prodigy : a $\sigma \eta \mu \in \hat{\imath} o \nu$, as a sign or credential of a mission from God." (Wordsworth.) "The fathers apply тépata to the signs at the Crucifixion, the supernatural darkness, the rending tho rocks; the earthquake at the Resurrection; to the phenomena before the siege and fall of Jerusalem. aŋpeîa and
répata are both applied to Ohristian miracles：répara，with especial reference to their supernatural character：and $\sigma \eta \mu \in i a$ ， to their object，as signals of Christ．＂（Vaughan．）$\sigma \eta \mu \in \hat{i} o \nu$ ，a sign，with reforence to its demonstration：tépas，a wonder， with reference to the excitement of surprise：$\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu c \rho$ ，a work， with reference to the power required for its performance．
tútos，（1）mark or impress made by a hard substance on one of softer material ；（2）model，pattern，exemplar，in the widest sense；a material object of worship，or idol，A．7．43：an external framework for divine service，A．7． 44 ：H．8． 5 ：the form of an epistle，A．23． 25 ：system of doctrinal instruction， R．6．17：representative character，normal example，R．5． 14 ： 1 C．10． 11 ：Ph．3．17： 1 Th．1．7： 1 P．5．3．＂rútos est ros
 lineation，outline， 2 T．1． 13.

фaû̀os，in its primary meaning，＇light，＇＇blown about with every wind；＇with a moral reference，opposed to áyaOós，i．q． какós or íгоррós．＂Fritzsche，Rom．ii．p． 297.
 Rev．21．8），used，however，vaguely，as a traitor is called by
 oıcápıos，A．21．38，is the species，an assassin，formed from the ＇sica，＇poniard．Thus＇sicarii＇mingled with the multitude at the chief feasts；and secretly stabbed their adversaries．（Jose－ phus，B．J．ii．3． 3 ：＇Ant．xx．8．6．）＇Av ${ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \pi$ октóvos，man－ slayer，J．8． $44: 1$ J．3．15，appropriately applied to Satan，as he would have fain murdered the whole race of mankind．

фópos is especially the tribute paid to a foreign power， L ． 20． $22 ; 23.2$ ，levied by direct taxation on property and persons，
 contained an enumeration of the people and valuation of property．кฑ̂voos，poll－tax，i．q．éтıкєффá入aıov：тé̀os，tolls， customs，duties，levied on travellers and merchandise，received
 $\tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，put，settle：$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ，complete，perfect，connected with our verb＇to tell，＇and with the German＇stellen，＇＇zahlen，＇ ＇Ziel．＇$\tau \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ os，＇the settlement，＇or perfecting of a thing．Hence the expressions，yá $\mu o \iota o ~ t e ́ \lambda o s, ~ a n d ~ O a v a ́ t o ı o ~ t e ́ \lambda o s, ~ t h e ~ s e t t l e-~ . ~$ mont and crown of life．Arnold，Thuc．i． 78.
$\chi$ ápıs signifies froe gift，favour，mercy，indulgence，bounty， more especially a spiritual gift，and in a sense yet more re－
strained，the gift of sanctification，or of such spiritual aids as may enable a man both to will and to do according to what God has commanded；grace generally，the result of the divine favour，imparted for personal edification：$\chi$ ápı $\sigma \mu a$ ，special gift for the edification of others．$\chi$ ápıs is grace given，that $\chi a \rho l \sigma$－ ＂ara may be rightly exercised．$\chi$ ápıs is also applied to human benevolence，i．e．the collection made for the Christians at Jeru－ salem， 2 O．8．19；joined with koıvevia，to denote Christian beneficence， 2 C． 8.4 ；where couvavia implies the communica－ tion of what is given for the service of many，and not for the exclusive benefit of the possessor．$\chi$ ápıs，what is freely be－ atowed by God in order to be freely and thankfully dispensed．
 munion，is used rather than $\mu \epsilon \tau \sigma \chi \eta^{\prime}$ ，participation，to mark more strongly the fact of our being united to Christ（ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ évov̂नOat）．$\chi$ ápıs is favour，as opposed to obpyń：gratuitous favour，as opposed to ó $\phi \varepsilon / \lambda \eta \mu a$ ．кoוע $\omega v i a$ has the two senses； （1）of participation or communion，Ph．2．1：1 J．1．3；and （2）of imparting or communication，R．15．26：H．13．16．So
 Ph．4．15．In the salutation，G．1．3，$\chi$ ápıs ípì кai eip $\eta$ й $\eta$ ，we have the full spiritual significance of the Hebrew ${ }^{7}$ לְ the Greek $\chi a l \rho \epsilon \omega \nu . \chi$ á $\rho \iota s$ ，the divine love manifesting itself to man，and $\varepsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta$ ，the state that results from a reception of it． ＂The oriental and occidental forms of salutation are thus blended and spiritualized in the Christian greeting．＂Ellicott． ＂$\chi$ ápıs，quæ est principium omnis boni ；єip $\eta \nu \eta$ ，quæ est finale bonorum omnium．＂Thom．Aquinas．

千a入رós，properly，a touching，then a touching of the harp or other stringed instrument with the finger，or＇plectrum＇（ $\psi \hat{a} \omega$ ， sweep the strings）；next the instrument；then the song sung with this musical accompaniment．The $\psi a \lambda \mu 0 l$ of E．5． 19 ： C．3．16，are probably the inspired Psalms of the Hebrew Canon． The $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu o s$ was a song in praise of a god，or hero after death； in the Christian use of the word，this original application of the word was still retained，as the $\tilde{u}^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{os}$ was a direct address of praise and glory to God，while the $\psi$ fa $\mu$ ós might be a com－ memoration of mercies received．The word was not freely adopted till the fourth century．Archbishop Trench says，＂It is a plausible explanation of this，that the word was so steeped in heathenism，so linked with profane associations，there were
so many hymns to Zeus, Hermes, Aphrodite, that the early Christians would not willingly employ it." The word $\varphi \delta \dot{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ (i. q. $\left.\dot{a} o \delta \delta{ }^{\prime}\right)$ occurs in the Apocalypse, 5. $9 ; 14.3 ; 15.3$. St. Paul uses it twice with the adjective $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \eta^{\prime}$, implying that they were songs composed by spiritual men, and had to do with spiritual things. ¢ion', by itself, might mean any kind of song, of battle, of harvest, festal, or hymeneal.
$\psi u \chi \eta^{\prime}$, animal life, the lower faculty, which man has in common with all living creatures: $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, the higher principle or attribute, the spirit which evinces man's original state, as made in the image of God. "Animâ ( $\psi v \chi \hat{n})$ vivimus, spiritu ( $\pi \nu е u ́ \mu a \tau \iota) ~ i n t e l l i g i m u s, ~ v i t a ~ n o b i s ~ c a r n a l i s ~ c u m ~ b e s t i i s ~ c o m-~$ munis est; ratio spiritalis cum Angelis." Primasius. $\psi v \chi \eta{ }^{\eta}$,

- the living principle which animates the $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, or corporeal frame: $\pi \nu \in \hat{\jmath} \mu a$, the highest faculty, the proper recipient of the Holy Spirit: $\psi \cup \chi \iota \kappa o ́ s, ~ a n i m a l, ~ d i s t i n g u i s h e d ~ f r o m ~ \pi \nu є v \mu a \tau є к o ́ s, ~$

 16. 3; 18. 13. "It is not to be supposed that $\psi u \chi$ n and $\pi \nu \varepsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ are different parts of the human constitution, for the sentient faculty is indiscerptible, and cannot be anatomized like the body; but they are different faculties of the invisible part of man, so that $\psi v \chi \eta$ refers to that lower faculty of life which man has in common with other animals; and $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$ represents the higher attribute, which they do not possess, and which makes him nearest to God." (Wordsworth on 1 Th. 5. 23.) In R. 8. 4, $\sigma a ́ p \xi$ includes both $\sigma \omega ิ \mu a$ and $\psi u \chi \eta$, the natural mind, and $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$ is the renewed soul of the Christian, made so by the presence and agency of a Divine $\Pi \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \mu a$. In other passages, 1 O. 2. 14: Rev. 8. 9, $\psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ includes $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$. The threefold division, $\pi \nu \in \hat{\jmath} \mu a, \psi \psi \chi \eta^{\prime}, \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, occurs 1 Th. 5. 23, where $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ is the soul as quickened and inhabited by the Moly Spirit. "We have here," says Bishop Ellicott, "a distinct enunciation of the three component parts of the nature of man; the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{j} \mu a$, the higher of the two united, immaterial parts, being the 'vis superior agens, imperans in homine;' the $\psi u \chi \eta$, ' quee agitur, movetur, in imperio tenetur;' the sphere of the will and affections, and the true centre of the personality. We frequently find instances of an apparent dichotomy, ' body and soul,' M. 6. 25 ; 10. 28, or 'body and spirit' (1 C. 5. 3 ; 7. 34) ; but such passages will only be found accommoda-
tions to the popular division into a material and immaterial part; the $\psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ in some cases including the $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$; the $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ in other cases comprehending the $\psi u \chi \eta$. To refer these distinctions to Platonism is calculated to throw doubt on the truth of the teaching. If St. Paul's words imply this trichotomy, then such a trichotomy is infallibly real and true. If Plato or Philo have maintained substantially the same views, then God has permitted a heathen and a Jewish philosopher to advance conjectural opinions, which have been since confirmed by the independent teaching of an inspired Apostle."


## CHAPTER XI.

## HINTS ON THE AUTHORIZED VERSION.

The idea of this chapter is taken from the Hints for an Improved Translation of the New Testament, by the late Professor Scholefield. The design, however, is different, as my object is not so much to suggest hints for a revision, as to point out the most effectual way of using the Authorized Version.

Occasionally I have presented a paraphrastic rendering, where a close and literal translation would be an inadequate expression of the force of the original. In the selection of passages I have called attention to those not already adduced in the Syntax, in which some amendment would probably be attempted, whenever the revision of the Authorized Version is deemed advisable. These may generally be arranged under four classes, in which our Version is incorrect, inexact, insufficient, or obscure.

Matthew 7. 15, Beware, accordingly ( $\delta$ é), of false prophets.
12. 43, But whenever the unclean spirit goes out of the man (öтav $\delta_{\text {é) }}$. [The conjunction marks the connexion with the preceding, and explains the process by which the Jews had become so hardened in sin as to reject our Lord.]
15. 3, Why do ye also transgress the precept of God owing to ( $\delta c a ́$ ) your tradition? 27, Yea, Lord, help me; for even the dogs (Nal, Kúpıe, кaì yd̀ $\frac{\tau d}{c}$ кuvápıa).
20. 23, is not mine to give, otherwise than to thoso for whom it has been prepared by my Father (dं $\left.\lambda \lambda a^{\prime}\right)$. 31, charged them that they should be silent (iva $\sigma \omega \pi \pi \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ).
23. 6, They love the highest couch at feasts ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ траток $\lambda_{l}$ $\sigma$ (ay).
24. 32, When already its branch has become tender, and its.

26. 56, But this altogether has taken place that tho Scrip-
tures of the prophets may be fulfilled (roûto סè ö̉ov rérovev ìa).
Mark 2. 18, And the disciples of John and the disciples of the Pharisees were keeping a fast ( $\bar{\jmath} \sigma a \nu . .$. 设orévovtes).
10. 14, for to such belongeth the kingdom of heaven ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoเoút $\omega$ ย èvтl).
14. 49, but this takes place that the Scriptures may be fulfilled ( ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ l $/ \nu a$ ).
16. 14, to them, the eleven, at table (àvaкєццévors).

Luke 2. 2, This was the first census that took place, while Cyrenius was governor of Syria. [Publius Sulpicius Quirinus was twice governor of Syria. A second census was made after the banishment of Archelaus.]
9. 55 , Ye know not to what spirit ye belong; or, know ye

 [This is brought forward by our Lord as an exemplification of

18. 11, 12, The Pharisee standing by himself, was offering this prayer ( $\sigma$ ra $\theta$ ès $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ éautóv) : I am in the habit of giving the tenth of whatever I am from time to time acquiring.
22. 29, And accordingly as my Father covenanted with Me a kingdom, I covenant with you, that ye eat, \&c. (סcari $\theta \in \mu a \iota$ ij $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ . . . . . iva érointc). 36, And let him who hath no sword, sell his garment, and buy one.
23. 15, Nothing deserving of death has been committed by $\operatorname{him}$ ( $\pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu$ е́vov aủtẹ).
John 1.9, That was the true light which enlighteneth every man, by coming into the world. 52, Henceforward (áááprı) ye shall see the heaven set open. [The Gospel dispensation was now commenced; from this time they should witness in the Saviour the fulfilment of the blessings which had been represented in Jacob's vision.]
3. 25, There arose accordingly a discussion on the part of


4. 29, What! is this the Christ? (urirt parenthesis in v. 24.]
[The $\mu \eta$ with an indicative implies a mixture of belief and wonder.] $\mu \dot{j} \tau \iota$, What! is it possible? 33, What ! hes


John 7. 41, Others however kept saying, The Christ does not


8. 56, Abraham, your father, exulted in the thought that he should see My day; and he saw, and was glad (クे $\boldsymbol{\gamma} a \lambda \lambda c a ́ \sigma a \tau o$ iva).
9. 40, And those from among the Pharisees who were with Him heard this, and said to Him, Is it possible that we also


11. 6 , When then he heard. [oiv, continuative only.]
13. 7, But thou shalt know after these things ( $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тav̂тa), [when I have finished what I am now doing.]
15. 5, Separate from me, ye are not able to produce any

18. 17, What! art thou also belonging to the disciples of this man P (Mウ̀ кaì $\sigma \grave{v}$ èк т $\hat{\nu} \nu \mu a \theta \eta \tau \omega ̄ \nu \epsilon l . . . ;$ ) So 18. 25.

Acts 2. 40, Save yourselves, separating from this crooked generation (ámó).


8. 11, owing to the fact ( $\delta u u^{\prime}$ ), that for a long time by his sorceries they had been bewitched (ė $\xi \in \sigma \tau a \kappa \in ́ v a ، ~ i n t r a n s.) . ~ 33, ~$
 Tls $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$;)
9. 31, The churches however (oviv) had rest, building themselves up, and walking in the fear of the Lord, and by the instruction of the Holy Spirit were continually replenished.
10. 39, whom they slew by hanging on the tree ( $\delta \nu \dot{a} \nu \in \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \nu$

11. 17, Seeing then God gave the equal gift to them, as to us also, upon the metre fact of their believing on the Lord Jesus,
 Suvatòs $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ тò̀ $\Theta \epsilon o ́ \nu$; 'ego vero.')
13. 27 , Not understanding this word of salvation, even the statements of the prophets, which are read every sabbath-day, these they fulfilled by condemning Him ( $\kappa a l$ tàs $\phi \omega v a ́ s$ ).
14. 6, when they had considered the matter they fled (ovy«סóvтes катéфuүov).
15. 22, to choose men out of their own body and send


Acts 17. 7, saying that there is a king of a different cha-

19. 13, Some from the Jewish exorcists who went about (à $\pi$,


 when they stretched him forward with the thongs ( $\pi \rho \circ$ étecival aủrò̀ тoîs i $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu)$.
23. 5, I did not consider that he is High Priest (oùc गֵठ $\delta \iota \nu$ ö $\tau \iota$ - '̇oriv dex ${ }^{\iota e \rho \epsilon u ́ s) . ~} 27$, This man apprehended by the Jews, and on the point of being killed by them, being present with my force I rescued, on learning that he is a Roman.
26. 28,29 , In short compass, i. e. in a brief narrative of facts, thou art trying to persuade me to become a Christian. I would pray to God, whether in short compass or long, i.e. in brief narrative or elaborate argument, that not only thou, but that all who hear me to-day, became such as even I am ( $\dot{\nu} \nu$ ỏ $\lambda$ íyọ,
 well rendered, 'in few words.']
Rom. 1. 3, concerning His Son Jesus Christ, who was made to arise from the seed of David according to the flesh ( $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ revopévov éк). 32, such as (oĭтves)' being well aware of the ordinance of God, that they who practise ( $\pi \rho a a_{\sigma} \sigma \sigma v \sigma l$ ) such things are worthy of death, not only commit them ( $\pi 010 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ), but actually delight in those who practise them ( $\kappa a i$ ovvevठокой $\sigma \iota$ тоîs $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma о v \sigma \iota)$.
2. 1, for in the fact that thou judgest thy neighbour (tò érepov), thou condemnest thyself; for thou the judge practisest the same things ( $\pi \rho a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ).
3. 3, 4, Shall unfaithfulness on their part nullify the faithfulness of God? Far be the thought. Let God be accounted true (let our conviction be that God is true), though, on the other hand, it should follow ( $\delta$ ' ) that every man must be accounted a liar; as it is written, In order that thou mayest be proved righteous in thy words, and prevail judicially in thy cause (and mayest gain thy cause when thou standest in judgment). 8, 9, And why do we not rather say, As we are slanderously reported, and as some affirm that we say, Let us do the things which are evil, in order that the things which

then? Do we (Jews) occupy a higher position than they (the Gentiles)? (Tl ouv ; троєХó $\mu \in \theta a$;) 19, That all the world may become convicted of guilt in relation to God (iло́סıкоs
 mode of justifying has been manifested ( $\chi \omega \rho i \varsigma \nu^{\prime} \mu o v$ ) [independently of the requirements and conditions of any law].

Rom. 5. 6, 7, For while we were still powerless (to obey or please God), at the appointed season Christ died for ungodly characters. For scarcely in bohalf of a righteous man will one die; scarcely, I say, for it is a fact, that in behalf of the preeminently good man, some one really has the heart to die. 12, For this cause, as through one man sin came into the world, and death through sin, and thus death came abroad unto all men, on the ground that all sinned. 16, But not as was the transgression, so the gift of grace; for if in the transgression of the one man, mankind died, much more the grace of God, and the free gift, contering in the grace of the one man, Christ Jesus, abounded to mankind. 20, Now law came in by the way, that there might be more strongly displayed the transgression; yet where sin was thus aggravated, gratuitous morcy had a more signal victory (Vaughan).
6. 6 , the body, the seat and instrument of $\sin (\tau \dot{c} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ r $\hat{s}$ $\dot{\text { a }} \mu$ aptias). $7, \mathrm{He}^{\text {who }}$ has died stands acquitted from his sin. [In the Levitical sin and trespass offorings, the offerer suffered a symbolical death in respect of his fault. We have suffered a symbolical death in Christ, and are therefore formally rcleased from our slavery to sin, and have properly nothing more to do with it (Wratislaw).] 11, Thus do yo also regard yourselves as dead mon (insensible, immoveable) in relation to sin, as living men (full of energy and vigour) in relation to God. 19, I use a human illustration, owing to the infirmity of your flesh. 20 , When ye were slaves of sin, ye were freemen in relation to righteousness.
7. 8, The fact being, that apart from law, sin is dormant
 I do not sanction; for I do not practise this which I wish; but what I hate, this I do ; but if I do this which I loathe, I assent to the law, that it is good. [Compare Eurip. Med. 1076, 7, каì $\mu a ̀ \nu \theta a ́ v \omega ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o l a ~ \delta \rho a ̣ ̀ \nu ~ \mu e ̂ \lambda \lambda \omega ~ \kappa а к a ́, ~ \Theta \nu \mu o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa \rho є i ́ \sigma-~$

i. e. an opposing principle in my members warring against the principle of my mind, and leading me captive by the principle of sin, which is in my members.
Rom. 8. 3, For the point which law could not effect, the point in which it was weak by means of the flesh, God effected by sending His own Son, in the likeness of the flesh, liable to sin, and as a sin-offering. He passed sentence of death upon the dominion of sin in the flesh, in order that the law's requirement might be satisficd in us, who live, not by the rule of the flesh, but by the rule of the spirit. 6, For the bent of the flesh is death, but the bent of the spirit is life and peace, because the bent of the flesh is enmity towards God, for it does not submit itself to the law of God; the fact is, this submission is not even possible; accordingly, they which are after the flesh have not the ability to please God. 10, 11, But if Christ is in you while the body is dead (inevitably subject to death), owing to sin, the spirit is life (a living principle of action), owing to righteousness; if, however, the Spirit of Him who raised up Jesus from the dead, dwell in you, He who raised up Christ from the dead, shall make alive, shall reanimate your mortal bodies, owing to Mis Spirit which dwelleth in you. 23, waiting to receive as realization of sonship the redemption of our body

9. 2, that my sorrow is great, yea, unceasing distress in my heart. 11, For when they were not yet born, much less practised any good or evil, in order that God's purpose, according to election, may abide not from and after works, but from and by Him that calleth. 21, Or hath not the potter power over the clay, out of the same lump to make one portion a vessel for honour, and another portion a vessel for dishonour? ["The position of $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o s$ shows that it must be a predicate of ô $\mu$ '́v" (Wratislaw).] 22, If, however, God, willing to manifest His anger. 27, 28, The remnant shall be saved, for God will be consummating and cutting- short His account in righteousness.
10. 19, But, I say, is it possible Israel did not know?
 $\vec{M} \dot{\omega} \sigma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ 入érєє ). [So early as the days of Moses, they were distinctly warned of this purpose. Vaughan.]
11. 2, How he expostulates with God against Israel.
12. 1 , the rational service of yourselves [not ópyavıcy, R 2
mechanical］．3，not to be minded above what he ought to be minded，but to be minded so as to be sober－minded．

Rom．13．1，Let every one submit himself to authorities over him，for no authority exists except from God；but the existing authorities have been commissioned by God（and are subor－ dinate to Him）．9，For the commandment，Thou shalt not commit adultery，thou shalt not steal ．．．，and whatever pre－ cept there is besides is brought under one head in this saying， in the expression． 11 ，And this do ye，as knowing the season．

14．4，In relation to his own master he stands or falls，i．e． is right or wrong．13，No longer then let us pass judgment on each other，but adopt this judgment rather．17，For the kingdom of God is not eating and drinking（ $\beta$ pâqıs cal móats）．

15．20，21，yet so aspiring to preach the Gospel，not where Christ is received，that I may not build on a foundation laid by others，but in conformity with what is written，Men shall see to whom no tidings have been told concerning Him，and those who have not heard shall understand．31，in order that I may be delivered from those who continue disobedient in Judæa（ $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ảтєє $\theta$ Oúvт $\omega \nu$ ）．See p． 195.

1 Cor．4．9，Seeing that we are rendered a spectacle to the


5． 6 ，The matter of your boasting is dishonourable（ov̉ кa入òv тò $\kappa a u ̛ \chi \eta \mu a \quad i \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ．

6．3，Know ye not that we shall judge angels，to say nothing

－hold courts of common life，those who are least esteemed in －the Church，these set ye up to judge（ $\beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa d$ крıtท́pıa，secular courts）．

7． 10,11 ，that the wife separate not herself from her husband， but if ever she be actually（cai）separated，let her remain un－ married，or let her get reconciled to her husband，and that the husband dismiss not the wife．15，The brother or the sister is released from bondage in such circumstances（ov̉ $\delta \in \delta o u ́-$ $\lambda \omega \tau a l$ ）．23，Become not servants of men（ $\mu \bar{\eta}$ yive $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ ）．34， The wife has her condition assigned her，and the virgin has


8．7，But some with the consciousness of the idol remain－ ing till now，eat it as an idol－sacrifice．10，For if ever any one see thee，the man who hast knowledge，at table in the idol＇s tomple，will not his conscience，as he is weak，be im－
paired，so as actually to eat the things offered in sacrifice to idols？12，But when by this practice ye sin against the bre－ thren，offering violence to their conscience in a weakly atate， ye sin against Christ．
1 Cor．9．4，Is it so that we have not right to eat and to drink ？ Is it so that we have not right to consort with a Christian woman？6，Have I only and Barnabas not the right of de－ clining to labour for our support $P$ 9，Thou shalt not muzzle the ox while treading out the corn．Are oxen the special objects of God＇s care？15，than that any one make void my object of glorifying（ $\kappa a u ́ \chi \eta \mu a)$ ．

10．5，In these things，however，they became figures of us


11．14，Doth not even nature of her own accord teach you？ （oúdè aưrỳ ฑ̀ фv́бıs）．26，ye declare the death of the Lord until
 $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta\right)$ ．

12．15，It is not for this reason no part of the body（ov่ mapà
 argument requires that this should be rendered affirmatively． 15,16 are an illustration of 14．When the Apostle appeals to the reader，as in 17．19，he introduces $\pi 0$ v．But besides this， only one passage has been adduced in which ou interrogatively has been followed by a negative．Soph．Trach．1013，oùk
 a privative sense，and asserts the direct contrary idea of the verb，＂Will not some one hand a spear to help？＂］

15．12，resurrection of dead bodies is a nonentity（oùk ë $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ）． 14，vain，then，is all that we preach；vain，too，is all that you believe．19，if in this life only we have reposed our hope in Christ；have hoped and still hope（ク̉入тtィкótes éq $\sigma \hat{\mu} \nu$ ）．31，by my glorifying in you（ $\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu \kappa a \cup ̌ \chi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ）．

2 Cor．1．19，did not become yea and nay，but has become yea，and remains yea in Him（rérovev）．

3． 5 ，Not that we are qualified of ourselves to form any such estimate as from ourselves，but our qualification is from God
 have turned to the Lord（Christ－ward），the veil is gradually removed，is taken quite off（ $\pi \in \rho \cdot a \iota \rho \in i ̄ \tau a l)$ ．

4．11，For continually we who live are being handed over to death on account of Jesus（ $\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta o ́ \mu e \theta a$ ）．16，even though
our outward man is in process of decay ( $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \tau a \iota$ ), yet tho inward man is in process of renewal (ávaкаıvoūtal).
2 Cor. 5. 10, looking to what He practised ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̂ ~ e ̂ ~ \epsilon r ~ \rho a \xi \epsilon v) . ~$ [The whole of life is summed up into one act. Life on earth is but a moment compared with eternity.] 14, The love which Christ showed constraineth us, having formed this judgment; seeing that One died in the stead of all mankind, our inforence is (ápa) all mankind died in Him. 17, The original state is passed away ; the whole state is rendered new ( $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$, fézove).
8. 2, their deop-sunk poverty abounded to the wealth of their open-heartedness. 4, requesting of us to allow them the favour of participating in the ministry to the saints ( $\delta \in o ́ \mu \in \nu o l$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ is considered to bo a gloss.] 10, being such as spontancously took the lead long ago, not only in the act, but

 ings (from himself), shall reap with blessings (from God)-é $\pi^{\prime}$ єủ入oyias.
10. 12, For we do not venture to reckon ourselves among, or to compare ourselvos with, any of those who commend them-
 not (ov̀) stretching beyond our line, as if wo did not ( $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ) reach to you; for as far as to you also we anticipated others ( $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta \dot{\text { árauev }}$ ) in preaching the Gospel of Christ.
11. 3, Thus your understandings should bo corrupted from the singleness of devotion due to Christ. 9, And when I was present with you and reduced to want, I did not prey upon
 the means of attack from those who wish to make an attack ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \phi o \rho \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ). 16, But if it be otherwise, receive me even though ye receive me as a fool. 20, If a man takes wages ( $e l \boldsymbol{u}$ тıs $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon t)$.
11. 30, if I must boast, I will boast the circumstances of my infirmity.
G. 1. 4, That he might deliver us from the midst of (éc) the present cvil world. 20, behold, in the presence of God, I declare that I lie not.
2. 5, To whom not even for an hour we yielded in the subjection demanded. 10, Only they wished us to be mindful of the poor; which I was anxious also when among you, for
this very reason to do [i. e. because of the request of James, Peter, and John], aủzò тоиิтo, 2 P. 1. 5. [The aor. '்̇ $\sigma \pi o v ́ \delta a \sigma a$ shows that the Apostle speaks of his conduct at that time. If he referred to his habitual action, the word would have been द̇ $\sigma \pi 0$ óda ̧ov (Wratislaw).] 11, because he was convicted of

G. 3. 1, in front of whose eyes Jesus Christ was inscribed in the midst of you as crucified. 17, This then is what I mean, -the covenant previously confirmed by God to Christ-ward, the law which was made three hundrod and thirty years afterwards does not disannul, so as to invalidate the promise.
4. 4, born of woman, born under law ( $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ). 12, Becomo (free from Judaism) as I am, for even I (though a native Jew) have become a Gentile, as ye are. [Now, roîs ávó$\mu o \iota s \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ă $\nu 0 \mu o s, 1$ C. 9. 21. Then, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \varsigma ~ \zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \pi-$ áp $\chi \omega \nu \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \pi a \tau \rho \kappa \kappa \omega ̂ \nu ~ \mu о \nu ~ \pi a \rho a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu, ~ G . ~ 1 . ~ 14.] ~ 16, ~ 17, ~ A n d ~ s o ~$ I have become your enemy by being true to you. They pay court to you with no honourable intentions, but they wish to shut you out (from the Christian covenant), in order that you may pay court to thom (as Jews). 25, For Hagar represents Mount Sinai in Arabia, and corresponds to the Jerusalem that now is, for she is in bondage with her children mad סoulev́et ráp]. 27 , for many are the children of the desolate, rather than of her who hath the husband.
5. 5, Ye have been made void, i. e. ye have disfranchised yourselves from Christ, as many of you as are getting justified,

 you would really cut themselves off from your body.
6. 4, he shall have his ground of rejoicing only in regard to himself, and not in regard to the other.
E. 1. 13, In whom ye also obtained a heritage upon hearing the word of truth, the good news of our salvation; in whom when ye really believed, ye were sealed with the IIoly Spirit, the substance of promise.
2. 12, that ye were at that period separate from Christ. 14, who made the interests of both to be one, and broke down the intervening wall of separation, having in his flesh abolished the antipathy, resulting from the law of positive commandments consisting in ritual ordinances.
3. 9 , the nature of the secret dispensation, kept hidden ages
long ago in the God who created the universe（ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha}$ тávтa ктloavtc）．［It was God＇s dispensation，though it was hidden in the Godhead，and was not revealed to the world in former ages．The conception of this secret plan，the partial and gradual mode of its revelation，were all ordered by Him．］
E．4．14，in imposture devised for the systematic plan of deceit
 Jesus；embodied in a personal Saviour．26，Let not the sun go down on your irritation（ $\pi$ apopye $\sigma \mu \oplus)$ ）．28，Let the stealer no longer steal（ $\dot{\delta} \kappa \lambda \in ́ \in \pi \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \kappa \in ́ \tau \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \in ́ \tau \omega)$ ．

5．5，This point however ye know，since ye are aware（ $\tau 0$ ûto
 accuracy ye walk．26，that he may consecrate the Church by purging it with the washing of the water in（the ministry of） the Word．
Ph．1．24，But to abido in the flesh is more necessary on your account．
$2.6,7, \mathrm{He}$ did not consider the being on an equality with God a matter to be deprived of，but He emptied Himself． ［This rendering brings out the antithesis between the two clauses more strongly．（oủ $\dot{a} \rho \pi a \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ท่ $\gamma \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o$ tò $\epsilon i \nu a \iota ~ i \sigma a$
 that civat is naturally the subject of the proposition．Our Lord regarded IIis divino nature to be entirely and absolutely in IIis own power．No one could deprive Him either of His Godhead or His Manhood．］12，that in the name of Jesus－［as the groundwork and element of the action described，＇To bow the knee＇is a synonym of prayer．L．22． $41:$ A．7． $60 ; 9.40 ; 21$. $5:$ R．14．11：E．3．14．］－Ph．2．16，Molding fast the word of life， to serve for my ground of glorying against the day of Christ， that I did not run for nothing，or labour for nothing．

3．4，Yet I myself have ground of confidence，as much as you please，even in the flesh；if any one else deems to confide in the flesh，I have more ground than they－at circumcision eight days old，sprung from（éc）the stock of Israel．15，in
 фро⿱亠䒑ite）．21，the body，the seat and sphere，of our humiliation．

Col．1．12，giving thanks to the Father，who qualified us for the portion of the inheritance of the saints in light．15，born before all the creation（ $\pi \rho \omega$ то́токоs $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \eta \varsigma \kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ）．18，raised before all from the deud（ $\pi \rho \omega т$ о́токоs $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ）．

Col．2．8，Take heed that there shall be no one to capture you as spoil by means of his philosophy；or，rather（cai），empty deceit．9，because in Him permanently abideth all the fulness of the Deity in bodily substance．
3．25，For the wrong doer shall receive to himself the wrong he has done．
1 Th .2 .7 ，as we may conceive a nurse cherishes（ $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{A} \hat{a} \nu \tau \rho o \phi o ̀ s$ $\theta \dot{a} \lambda \pi \eta)$ ．16，Forbidding us to speak to the Gentiles，so as to fill up their sins continually；but the wrath of God came suddenly upon them to the uttermost．
5．23，and may your spirit and soul and body bo preserved entire，in every part without blame（ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu$ ）［a secondary predicate］．

2 Th．1．10，When he shall have come to be glorified in his saints，and to be admired in that very day in all believers， because the testimony we delivered to you was believed．
2．4，He who opposeth and exalteth himself exceedingly， against every one that is called God，or is an object of reverence．

3． 2 ，for faith is not the property of all men．10，If any one refuses to earn his living，in that case，let him not eat（ $\epsilon l$ t $\tau S$ ov


1 Tim．1．9，Law is not enacted for a rightes man．15， 16，chief of whom am I，but on this account I had mercy shown me，that in my case as chief，Christ Jesus might show forth the extent of His long－suffering．
5．4，But if any widow have children or grandchildren．［The term＇nephews＇was adopted from the Vulgate，＇nepotes，＇which really means distant relatives．］11，For whenever they are cold in their feelings towards Christ，they desire to marry（örav rà
 they recover their natural spirits），being liable to judgment as they make void their original pledge［i．e．the pledge or promise not to marry，which they gave when they were placed on the list of widows］．

6． 2 ，because the masters who mutually receive their good offices are believing and beloved．5，supposing that godliness is traffic for gain．
2 T．2．5，unless he have striven lawfully．21，Whosoever shall have cleansed himself from these，will be a vessel to honour．

3．5，having a make－up（ $\mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \phi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ）of godliness．
Heb．1．1－4，In many portions and in many modes，of old to
the fathers spake God in tho prophets, in these last days to us He speaks in a Son, whom He constituted possessor of all things, through whom He made indeed the worlds, who, seeing that He is the radiation of Ilis glory, and the impress of His essenco, directing too the universe by His word, the expression of Ilis power, having by IIimself effected the purging of our sins, took IIis seat on the right hand of tho Majesty in the highest; having been proved to be so much nobler than the angels, as the real character He inherits is so much more distinguished than theirs.

ILeb. 2. 3, which having received the beginning of its uttorance through the Lord, was convoyed stedfastly to us by those who hoard him. 7, For if the word spoken by angels proved stedfast. 10, For it was fitting in his sight. . . that in bringing many sons to glory, he should make the author of salvation accomplish his end by means of sufferings. 16, For not, I ween, is it angels he succours.
3. 13, So long as the 'to-day' is named. 16, For who upon hearing provoked $P$ Yta, verily, was it not even all who came out of Egypt with Moses?
9. 22, Apart from shedding of blood remission is not effected.
11. 1, Now fuith is confidence in blessings hoped for; convincing testimony of transactions unseen. 5, By faith Enoch was translated in order that he might not see death ( $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta$ ì $i \delta \in i \nu$ expressing purpose). 6, that ILe exists, and becomes a rewarder to those who diligently seek $\operatorname{Him}$ (ö́ть $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell$, каі . . . $\mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi o \delta o ́ т \eta s ~$ rivetal).

Ja. 2. 4, have ye not then divisions among yourselves, and are bccome judges, deciding from evil surmises ? 6, Ye, for your part, dishonour the poor ( $\dot{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ), degrade him to a state of $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$, disfranchise him of his legitimate privileges of Christian citizenship. 20 , Art thou willing, however, to know, O vain man, that faith apart from its works is dead?
3. 6, Thus the tongue has a settled character in our members, as that which pollutes the whole body.
4. 4, Whosoever, therefore, is minded to be the friend of the world, takes the character of enemy to God. [In M. 1. 19 $\dot{\epsilon} \beta o y \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta$ is translated 'was minded,' a rendering which might with great advantage be introduced into many passages.] 12, Who art thou that art judging thy neighbour? (Ëтepov.) Sco p. 192 .

Ja. 5. 4, The hire, which is kept back by violence on your part. 16, Very powerful is the supplication of a rightcous man in its inward working.

1 P. 1. 5, Who in virtue of God's power, are being guarded through faith unto salvation. 8, whom though ye saw Him not (oủk iסóvtєs), ye love, in whom believing, though now ye sco Him not ( ${ }^{\prime} \rho \tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \hat{\rho} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ).
2 P. 1. 8, For these things, being your essential qualitics and increasing in you, render you neither inactive nor unfruitful in attaining the mature knowledge of our Lord Jesus Christ; whereas he who has not these graces is blind, closing his eyelids, since he forgets his purification from his sins of old.
2. 1, But there arose false prophets also among the people. 12, These, however, as irrational animals following their natural lust, brought into being for the purpose of capture and slaughter. 13, considering as pleasure noon-day riot. [ $\mu \in \theta \eta \mu \in \rho \iota \nu a l$ т $\rho v \phi a i$, a sign of great voluptuousness. "Partem solido demere de dic."]
3. b, For as they wish this, it escapes their notice that the
heavens were of old, and the earth was composed out of water, and by means of water: 11, Since then all these things tend to dissolution, in what state ought we to be subsisting? What ought to be our essential principle? (ímáp $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.)

1 J.2.28, in order that we may not by shame shring from Him.
3. 4, Every one who worketh sin, worketh also lawlessness, for sin is lawlessness.
4. 18, Fear doth not exist in love; love that is perfect casteth out fear, secing that fear hath punishment; but he who habitually fears, hath not been perfected in love.
5. 18, is not a sinner ; sins not habitually (oú $\chi \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau a ́ v \epsilon \iota)$. 19, The whole world lieth in the dominion of the wicked one.

## CHAPTER XII.

## GRAMMATICAL AND RHETORICAL TERMS.

In the interpretation of Scripture many grammatical and rhetorical terms are employed the meanings of which should be carefully borne in mind. A knowledge of the names is not essential, but a clear conception of the principles on which they are founded is peculiarly important. Many errors in theology have sprung from misinterpreting the figurative language of Scripture, by substituting the symbolical for the literal, or by secking for a spiritual meaning underlying the surface, whers the plain and obvious meaning is all that is meant. The uncertainties which abound in the whole range of prophctical interpretation may be traced entirely to this source; and in every branch of scriptural exegesis differences of opinion prevail, and controversics are hopelessly carried on, in which the disputants use the same words in very different sensos. The perplexity is tho greater, as writers on grammar and rhetoric are not uniformly consistent with one another, or even with themselves in the terms they adopt. Until there is a distinct understanding of the meaning of the words, and of the nature of the writing, whether it be history or prophecy, allegory or parable, symbol or type, and of the senses in which the terms are to be understood, we can never arrive at the satisfactory and sound interpretation of any disputed passage, and we shall do well to remember the wise and seasonable caution of the $\Lambda$ postle: 2 T. 2. 23, тàs $\mu \omega \rho a ̀ s ~ к a l ~ a ́ \pi a \iota \delta \varepsilon u ́ t o u s ~ \zeta \eta т \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi a \rho a \iota-~}$

The use of figurative languago in Scripture is the same as in
all other writings. Its object is to stimulate attention, to excite the imagination, to arouse the feelings, to impress strongly on the mind the arguments adduced. One striking characteristic of its style is the substitution of specific terms for general, a characteristic which is perfectly retained in translation, though every other excellence of expression is liable to be lost. Archbishop Whately remarks (Rhetoric, chap. iii. §2): "The prevalence of this kind of language in the sacred writers may be regarded as something providential. It may be said with truth, that the book which it is the most necessary to translate into every language, is chiefly characterized by that kind of excellence in diction which is least impaired by translation."

## TROPE, METAPHOR, SYNECDOCHE.

In considering the figures of speech the most general term is trope ( $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega$, turn), when a word, which usage has appropriated to one thing, is turned from its primary signification, and is transferred to another. The expression is then termed tropical or figurative. If, however, the word never or rarely occurs in its primary signification, the tropical sense becomes the proper
 as it occurs very rarely in this sense, the derivative meaping, ' to bless,' is said to be the proper, and not a figurative peaning. The original meaning of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v \nu$ éc may be 'to fawn,' like a dog, but as it nowhere is used in the New Testament in this sense, and as the nearest approach is $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa v \nu \epsilon s$, spaniellike flatterers, fawners, the derivative meaning, to do homage, show outward respect, worship, is the proper meaning. This use of troogкvvéc mày be ascribed to the Christian element, as


When there is some resemblance between the two things, to which the word is applied, the figure is called a metaphor; the context shows that something is attributed to the term in its transferred sense, which does not belong to it in the literal sense; or that there is subtracted from it, in its transferred sense, something which does belong to it in its literal sense. The character of our Blessed Lord, and the relations in which He stands to us, are thus made known by a combination of various metaphors. Thus He is called $\dot{o}$ mou $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{o}$ кало́s, $\dot{\eta}$



 ö $\phi \epsilon \iota$ ：10．16，$\lambda$ úкои：Ph．3．2，кúves．

When there is no resemblance between the two objects，but only a connexion between them，the figure is called synecdoche； $\sigma v v e \kappa \delta o \chi \eta^{\prime}$ ，literally，means the embracing or comprehension of one thing with another；an indirect mode of expression，where the whole is put for a part，the part for a whole，genus for species，species for genus，abstract for concrete．Thus＇my
 for the contents of the cup：$\psi v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ is put for person，as we speak of a thousand．souls：$\hat{\eta}$ oicoupév refers to the Roman empire in A．17．6，and Judæa，in A．11．28．In Mk．16． 15 the gencral term，ктiots，means all mankind；in 2 C．5．17， $\kappa a \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau i \sigma \iota s$ is rendered，a new creature，abstract for concrete， though we may render the passage，＇he is a new creation．＇In M．6． 11 the specific term ápros includes all the necessaries of life．

By the same figuro a round number is put for a larger or smaller number，as 1 C．14．19，тévte $\lambda$ óyous：a certain and definite number，for an uncertain and indefinito，as é $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \alpha \\ \text { á，M．}\end{gathered}$


METONYMY，IRONY，HYPERBOLE．
Metonymy（ $\mu \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \nu \mu l a$, traductio，immutatio）is the substitu－ tion of one name or appellation for another，as the cause for the effect，or the effect for the cause，the author for his work：
 21．21．Other instances are $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a, ~ M k . ~ 16 . ~ 17: ~ 1 ~ O . ~ 14 . ~ 39: ~$
 referring to the Church of God，R．9．33；11．26：fóvo，E．3．14：

 whatever you declare bound，or loosed．

To some instances of synecdoche and metonymy there is applied the term кatáxp $\begin{gathered}\text { ous，which strictly means，full uso }\end{gathered}$ （abuti），but more generally，misuse，misapplication（male uti）； when an idea is altached to an object with which it is not compatible，e．g．＇take arms against a sca of troubles：＇L．8．23，

$\dot{e} k \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} v \in v o v$ ，they were in process of being filled；where the persons are put for the vessels：M．3．12，סıакаӨaptєî тク̀ ${ }^{\text {ä }} \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{a}$ aưrovi，i．e．the corn on the floor．Under this we may reckon


 transferred to the sense of hearing：रonбтo入oria，pl sight，is trinserred to the sense of hearing：xpךбтолоуía，plausibility： and elegance enlisted in the service of sin，E．5．4．Sometimes this figure has a touch of pleasantry：2 C．12．13，$\chi^{\text {apioafóe }}$

Several expressions have a touch of irony（eipшueia，dissimula－ tion，an ignorance purposely affected，any assumed appearance， pretext，disguise），as the answer of the man，blind from his
 $\mu a \theta \eta \pi a i$ үєvé天 $\theta a \iota$ ；In the language of our Lord，M．26．45，


 $\dot{i} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ т $\eta \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ ．The use of $\delta \iota \kappa a i o \iota s$, L．15．7，if it refers to the




 $\dot{\eta}$ ínaкoy．The $\Lambda$ postle＇s most obvious meaning is，that he is quite ready to chastise every disobedience existing in the Church of Christ，but that he will wait until the Chureh has become

 young man，in thy youth，and let thy heart checr thee in the days of thy youth．＂
 cumstance beyond its real magnitude，in order to fix the atten－ tion more closely on its real import：J．21．25：$\Lambda$ ．2．5，ă $\nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma$
 may compare Gen．41．57，All countries came into Egypt to Joseph for to buy corn；because that the famine was so sore in all lands：G．4．14， $15:$ II．11．12，ка $\begin{gathered}\text { ès } \tau \grave{a} \text { ă } \sigma \tau \rho a \text { тov̂ }\end{gathered}$

 кєкра́そоутає．This last instance illustrates Whately＇s romark （Rhetoric）：＂The highest degree of energy is produced by such metaphors as attribute life and action to things inani－ mate．＂

## LITOTES，HENDIADYS，ELLIPSIS．

In contrast with hyperbole is litotes，$\mu \epsilon i \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ，extenuatio； where less is expressed than is really meant，e．g．The Lord will not hold him guiltless：G．5．21，oí тà тoıaûta т $\rho a ́ \sigma \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \beta a \sigma \iota-~$ $\lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \Theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ o u ̉ ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \eta ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu: ~ М . ~ 18 . ~ 14: ~ I I . ~ 13 . ~ 17, ~ a ́ \lambda \nu \sigma \iota-~$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda e ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ i ́ \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o, ~ i . ~ q . ~ \grave{a} \sigma u ́ \mu \phi o \rho o \nu, ~ a ́ \nu \omega \phi \in \lambda e ́ s, ~ d i s a s t r o u s, ~$ ruinous．To these we may add the examples of the privative power of ov，p． 139 ；and the use of $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ，to denoto importance， p． 49 ．

IIendiadys（ề $\delta \iota a ̀$ $\delta v o i ̂ \nu$ ）is a mode of expression，where two substantives are connected by a copula，of which one denotes some quality or accessory of the other，as Virg．AEn．ii．192， ＂Pateris libamus et auro．＂There aro several expressions analogous to this figure，but it may be doubted whether any clear and decided instance occurs in the New Testament．In A．14．13，тav́pous каì бтé $\mu \mu а т а$ may mean тav́povs є̇бтє $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v \varsigma$, but this is forced and unnatural compared with the idea of garlands as well as bulls．L．21．15，oтó $\mu a$ каi ooфíà may mean＇wise utterance，＇but is more forcibly translated＇utter－ ance，yea，wisdom．＇This rendering is confirmed by considering the relative（ $\hat{\eta})$ which follows．A．1． $25, \lambda a \beta \varepsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu ~ т \eta ̂ s ~$ סıакоעias таи́тทs каì áтобто入ท̂s，this service of the apostleship： or taking kai as exegetical，this service，to wit，the apostleship． 1 Th．4．1，тผ̂s $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \ell \nu$ каi ápéбкєє $\Theta \in \hat{\sim}$ ，how to please
 тробкартєрฑंбєє каi $\delta \epsilon \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ ，watching for this very purpose，in every kind of persevering supplication．

Some of the falsely assumed instances of hendiadys must be rejected as contrary to the principles of sound interpretation ：

 hope and manifestation of the glory．（See p．36．）So 1 Th．
 Here $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a$ marks the kingdom of His Son，of which the true Christian is a subject while on earth，though the full
privileges and blessings are to be enjoyed hereafter；$\delta o ́ \xi a$ ，His own eternal glory，of which all the true members of the Messianic kingdom shall be partakers． 2 ＇Tim．4．1，סıa $\mu a \rho-$
 I solemnly charge thee，by His manifestation and by ITis king－ dom；the kingdom which is to commence at His é $\pi \iota \phi$ úvє $\quad$ ， to continue without end，or modification；the kingdom of glory， which succecds the modified eternity of Ilis mediatorial kingdom of grace．

## ELLIPSIS．

Several instances have already been given of the omission of words and sentences，especially of the use of the Article without the Noun，pp．38，39，and of Adjectives without Substantives， p．57．In addition to these，of which no further examples need be given，there are various concise modes of expression closely allied to the Ellipsis，to which the terms Brachylogy（ $\beta \rho a \chi^{v}$－入oría），Zeugma，Aposiopesis，have been applied．

Instances of Brachylogy，brevity of expression，may be seen




 This form of brachylogy occurs most commonly in the case of an antithesis introduced by an adversative conjunction（Jelf， $\S 895$, h）．Compare Il．5．819：Soph．OEd．R． 236 ：El．71，－


where after $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda i ́$ we may understand moוeîte．Plato，Apol．，
 N．D．i．7．17，＂tu autem nolo existimes me adjutorem huic yenisse，sed auditorem；＂where after＇sed＇we must understand ＇volo existimes me venisse．＇ 1 Tim．4．3，к $\omega \lambda v o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma a \mu \in i ̂ \nu, ~$ $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \beta \rho \omega \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ ．This is generally considered a Zeugma， but it may bo classed under the head of Brachylogy ；as к $\omega \lambda v o ́ \nu$－ $\tau \omega \nu$ may be resolved into maparүє $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ ，and after $\gamma a \mu \in i \nu$ we may understand ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma є \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．

The Zeugma（ $\zeta \in \hat{v} \gamma \mu a)$ is one of the most important kinds of

Brachylogy, when a particular verb which is properly applicable only to one part of the sentence, is made generally applicable to
 $\nu o \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \dot{v} v \in v \nu o \nu$, he conquered the mighty CEnomaus, and obtained the virgin as his bride; where $\epsilon \neq \chi \epsilon \nu$ must be supplied for the


 priate only to $\beta o v \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}: 1$ C. 3. 2, qá $\lambda a$ í $\mu a ̂ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi o ́ t ı \sigma a ~ o u ̉ ~ \beta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$, where émót兀oa is strictly applicable only to rá̀a. Thus Hom.,

 $\pi a \theta \eta \mu$ cít $\omega \nu$ aủtoû. Here rvôıat is strictly applicable only to aù̇ó̀: before $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \mu \iota \nu$, кo८v $\omega \nu \dot{a} a \nu$, we must render $\gamma \nu \omega ̂ \nu a l ~ b y ~$ - experience.'

Aposiopesis is a kind of ellipse. Thus we omit the imperative in Soph. Antig. 577, $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \iota ß a ̀ \varrho \stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$, and in the corresponding English, 'no more loitering' (Donaldson). Instances of this have already been adduced, L. 19. 42; 22. 42; 13. 9: Mk. 7. 11: J. 6. 62 : A. 23. 9, where a sentence or part of a sentence is suppressed through emotion; and the suppressed language is-intimated by the action or tone of the speaker. There


 Kupiov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. We may compare öpa $\mu \dot{\eta}$, Rev. 19. 10; 22. 9 , with the forms of dehortation or deprecation frequent in the tragedians, $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\tau} \alpha \bar{u} \tau a, \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

## PLEONASM, ANTANACLASIS.

Pleonasm ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon o v a \sigma \mu o ́ s)$, or redundance of phraseology, which is the converse of brachylogy, can hardly be considered as a grammatical irregularity. Omissions may produce an ungrammatical structure, but superfluitics leave the syntax as it was.
 secondary predications of the main predicate, such as é $\phi \eta \lambda^{\prime} \notin \omega \omega \nu$, and repetitions in a negative form, such as où $\eta_{\eta \prime \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~}^{a}$
 $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau o \mu o \nu \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, all belong to this class. (Donaldson.)


 there are very few expressions in which the words said to be pleonastic do not add circumstantiality, vividness, and force to the narrative. From the bad scholarship, and worse theology of an earlier day, some of the most instructive and powerful combinations, like $\chi$ á $\rho \iota \varsigma$, ề $\lambda \epsilon 0 \varsigma$, єip $\eta \dot{\eta} \eta$, have been pronounced very insipid.
. Among ordinary pleonasms we may reckon M. 26. 42, tádıд

 repeated a second time.' Sometimes $\lambda a \beta \in i \nu$ is redundant: A. 3. 3, ทрஸ́тa è $\lambda \epsilon \eta \mu \sigma \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu ~ \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu . ~ C o m p a r e ~ A r i s t o p h . ~ P l u t . ~ 240, ~$


 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \mu \hat{e} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ê $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda \lambda o u ̂ \nu$. Here ö́ $\iota \iota$ is pleonastic. There
 and $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \hat{e} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò $\pi \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \nu$. This is here occasioned by the words which intervene between 'ö́ $\tau \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$. The redundant use of aùzós is noticed in p. 55 ; to which may be added Rev.


 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ סov入єv́elv $\theta \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon ;$ Here $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ằ $\omega \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ is not a pleonasm. Cf. 'rursum denuo.' Two ideas are conveyed,relapse to bondage and recommencement of its principles. The Galatians had been slaves to the oročeia, in the form of heathenism; now they were on the point of enslaving themselves again to the $\sigma$ тooxeia, and of commencing them anew in the form of Judaism. (Ellicott.)
The term Antanaclasis (ávtavácдaбıs, originally the reflexion of light or sound) is applied to the use of a word in two different senses or modifications of its sense in the same sentence: M. 8. 22, ăфes toùs veкpoùs $\theta a ́ q u a l$ toùs éautề עeкрoús: 1 C. 3. 17,




## PARONOMASTA, PROLEPSIS.

тароуонабia, a slight change in a name or word, so as to give it a new shade of meaning. "Parva verbi immutatio in literis
s 2
posita，＂also a play upon words which have a similar sound，but different significations（annominatio）．The combination of words of similar sound was a favourite usage of Oriental writers，and is peculiarly frequent in the Epistles of St．Paul， partly from accident and partly with the view of imparting genial kindness to the expression，or greater emphasis to the thought：M．24．7：L．21．11，$\lambda \iota \mu о і$ каі $\lambda о \iota \mu$ l：II．5．е，ё $\mu а \theta \in \nu$

 кауóvos，к．т．$\lambda$ ．，where there seems to be a play on ${ }^{\prime} \mu \kappa \tau \rho a$ and $\mu$ étpov，similar to the use of＇unlimited，＇in the two＇senses of ＇immoderate＇and＇without due limitations：＇ 2 C．1．13，oú yà $\rho$




 Apostle employs the word кататон ${ }^{\prime}$ to express more clearly the antithesis to $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \dot{\prime}$ ．The LXX use кататє́ $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ to express the idolatrous mangling of the flesh，practised by the heathen， Lev．21．5： 1 Kings 18．28．G．5．7，8，тís íuâs à $\nu \in ́ \kappa o \psi \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{̣}$
 Here the $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ indicates a negative persuasion，disobe－ dience to the truth，which is now represented as a positive persuasion；$\dot{\eta} \pi \in \epsilon \sigma \mu o \nu \eta$ ，such a persuasion，or rather such a conviction（see p．18）．Thero is a similar trunsference of thought from obedience to disobedience in 2 C．10．6．Compare 2 Th．3．2， 3.

From the term тоó $\eta \psi \downarrow \iota$（＇occupatio＇），an anticipating，and in respect of time，anachronism，there arises the proleptic sense， a previous assumption，where what will be the result is attri－ buted to the object as already the existing state or condition． Donaldson adduces Pind．Ol．v．4，đà̀ $\sigma a ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda ı \nu ~ a u ̈ \xi ̄ \omega \nu \lambda a o \tau \rho o ́-~$ $\phi \quad \nu$, i．c．$\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$ дaotoóфov єival，＂increasing thy city so as to make it a nurser of population：＂Thucyd．iv．17，toùs 入óyous
 pous eival，＂we will not spin out our specech so as to make it more prolix，contrary to our usual practice．＂This idiom is found in Latin，Juv．i．83，＂paullatimque anima caluerunt mollia saxa，＂i．e．，＇ita ut mollia fierent．＇

Of this proleptic use we have instances in 1 C．1．8，ôs кal



 unbelieving．Compare Soph．Antig．856，tò̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ пóт $\mu o \nu$
 so that it continues unwept：R．1．21，白 $\sigma \kappa o \tau i \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \tau o s$
 aย่тoû．
The placing of words or sentences out of their usual order is termed trajection．Frequently this occurs from a regard to simplicity of expression，from the arrangement of the words being suggested by the nature of the ideas，or from a conven－ tional grouping and order．This is termed intep $\beta a$ tóv，inver－ sion．Some see a transposition of words in 2 T．2．6，tò̀
 husbandman must first labour before he be partaker of the fruits．Others，however，consider that котьิิขтa is emphatic from position．The labouring husbandman has the first right


 natural position of the last clause would be after $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ àjt $\hat{\eta}$, －secing leaves IIe expected to find fruit，for the time of gathering figs



 rذ̀ $\bar{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．This explains the reason of $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta e$ （8）．

## solecisms．

The origin of the term इo入oıкıo $o$ ós is not clearly known，but it is said to have been applied to the corruption of the Attic dialect among the $\Lambda$ thenian colonists of Sólos in Cilicia．The word is generally applied to provincialisms，or incorrectness in the use of language．The occurrence of solecisms has been very freely anid unreasonably imputed to the whole of the writers of the New Testament，but the charge cannot be sustained：in the Apocalypse there are indeed many expressions for which we
cannot account by ordinary rules. But the remark of Dr Wordsworth is very just: "Wherever the reader meets in the Apocalypse with a phrase which seems a solecism, let him take it for granted that it contains some great and solemn truths, and that the singularity of the phrase is designed to call his

## INDEX I.

## AUTIIORS QUOTED, AND PRINCIPAL SUBJECTS.

Absolute cases, 116 ; genitive, 97.
Accent, influence of, 24, 25. 122. 136.
Accusative, remote object, 64; cognate signification, 65; in apposition, 66 ; rubject of infinitive, 66 .
Adjectives derived from verbs, 20 ; comparative, 58 ; superlative, 59 ; numerals, 61; in rational concord, 53 ; without substuntive, 58 .
Adverbs, separable, forming compounds, 24 ; inseparable, 24 ; used as prepositions, 185. Sce Particles.
Alexandrine element, 14.
Alford, Dean, 117. 124. 138. 195. 210. 215. 217.

Anacoluthon, 116.
Anacoluthon, Dr. 67.197
Angus, Dr., 67.197
Antanaclusis, 258.
Antecedent (relative), 55
Lorist, 89 ; epistolary, 90 ; combined with perfect, $00-92$; imperfect, 93 ; infinitive, 94 ; differenco between imperfect und aorist, 89; denoting single acts, 90.92.
Aposiopesis, 258
Apposition, 56 ; genitive of, 56 ; accusative, 66.
Arnold, Dr., 79. 125. 129. 132, 133. 167. 170. 188. 234.

Arrangement (trajection), 261.
Article, 26; peculiar omissions, 27; Homeric use, 28 ; demonstrative, 30 ; possessive, prepositive, 31 ; unticipative, retrospective, 32 ; rhetorical, 33 ; generic, 34; with attributives, 35 ; in regiment, 37 ; with the defining clausc, 37 ; without the noun, 38 ; in forming the sulject, 40 ; with verbs of existence und culling, 40 ; with various uljectives and pronouns, $4.2-15$.
Attriction, 5.1.

Basis of New Testament Greek, 1, 2 four distinctive elements, 5.
Blackwall, 9. 16
Brachylogy, 257.
Cases, nominative, 50; oblique, 62, 63. Cause, dative of, 78; coincidence, 76; circumstance, 77.
Christian element in New Testament Greek, 6.
Cilicisms, 14.
Classes of substantives, 18 ; of adjectives,
19 ; of verbs, $21,22$.
Comparative, tacit, 58
Compounds, parathetic, 22 ; synthetic 23 ; influence of accent, 24,25 .
Conjunctions, copulative, 131,132 ; anl
versative, 118 . 133, 134; disjunctive 143.

Copula, 26.
Dative, 76 ; coincidence, 76 ; qualif
circumstance, 77; proximnte catm
78 ; cthical relation, 79; absolute 116; with prepositions, $156-160$. 173-185.
Demonstratives, 30. 47
Deponents, 99.
Derivatives, 17-22.
Distributives, 61 .
Distributives, 61.
Donaldson, Dr., 23. 38, 99. 63. 66. 72. 75. 87. 93. 95. 99. 118. 257

Dynamic middle, 98.
Flements of New Testament Greek, 5 ; of a sentence, 26 .
Ellicott, Bishop, 5. 19. 34-36. 72. 77. 83. 93. 109. 129. 134. 138. 143. 155. 158. 163. 166. 176. 185. 189. 192 194. 197. 205. 216. 219. 236. 258.

Ellipsis, 257.

Epexegetical use of copulatives, 123. Expressions for eteruity, 13.

Puirhnirn, Dr., 130.
Foreign words, 15, 16.
Forms of conditional propositions, 104 $-106$.
Frit/sehe, 81. 214. 234
Future, 83; for the imperative, 84; denoting possibility, 84.

Genitive, primary meaning, 63; ablative origin, 67 ; fulness, deficiency, 68; perception, partition, 69; relation, 70; illiomatic unnges, 72, 73; predominating quality, 73; contact, 75 ; tentative use, 75 ; absolute, 76.
Greek in Pulestine compared with Colonial English, 2. 4.
Green, Rev. 'T. S., 86.
Hebraisms, spurious, 11 ; genuino, 13.
ILelrew element, 8.
Mellenistic, 1.
Hendiadys, 256.
Iermann, 10. 87. 101. 107. 131.
Hutchinson, 151.
Hyperbole, 254.
Hypothetical propositions, 104-106.
Imperative mood, use of, 106 ; in prohibitions, 107; omitted, 52.
Imperfect, $87-89$; incompletenems repetition, 88 ; with norist, 89 .
Indefinite, interrogative pronoun, 48, 49.

Indicative mood, 81 ; present, 82 ; future, 83 ; perfect, 85 ; imperfect, 87 ; aorist, 81 ; pluperfect, 94 ; conjoined witl the optutive, 103.
Infinitive mond, 108; as a verbal noun, 109 ; participle, 108.111.
Intrausitivo verbs, 95, 96.
Jacob, Dr., 63. 108. 118.
Klotz, 144. 148.
Latinisms, 15.
Litotes, 256.
Masson, Professor, 5.
Metuphor, 252 ; hunger and thirst, 12. Metoluymy, 293.
Middle voice, four usages, 97 ; causative, dynamic, 98 ; supplied by the active, 99 ; deponents, 99
Mools, 100 ; subjunctive, 101 ; optative 102; indicative conjoined with optative, 103 ; inperative, 106, 107 ; infinitive, $108-110$
Multitude, nouns of, 51.

Negative particles, 138-144.
Neuter plural, 50.
Nouns, classes of, 18, 19 ; derived from verbs, 19.
Numerals, 61.
Object, inmediate, 63 ; remote, 64.
Objective genitive, 72, 73.
Oblique cases, 62.
Optative mood, 100. 105; with the in. dicative, 103.
Oral element, 5.
Ordinals, peculiar use of, 61.
Parathetic compounds, 22.
Purononusia, 259.
Irurticiples. $110-117$; supplementary iden, 111 ; independent propositions, 112; temporal, causal, 113; conditional, final sentence, 114 ; in periphrustic senses, 115; absolute, 76. 116; equivalent to imperatives, 116.
Particles, 118-148; adversative, 118. 133, 134; illative, 121. 144. 148 ; causal, 133. 137; final, 128-131; copulative, 131, 132; temjoral, 136 . copulative, 131,132 ; temporal, 136 . 145;
Passive voice, 96,97 ; deponents, 99.
l'aulo-post future, 95.
Perfect, 85-87; rendered by English present, 85; an inmediate consequence, 86 ; distinguished from aorist, 87; combined with aorist, 90.
Pleonasm, 258.
Pluperfect, 94.
Predicate, 26. 50.
Prepositions, general view of, 149 ; geni tive, $150-156$; dative, 156 - 160 ; accusative, $161-165$; genitive and accusative, 165.173 ; genitive, dative accusative, 173-185.
Present tense, 82, 83 ; peculiar uses of, 81.

Prohibitions, modes of expressing, 93.
Prolepsis, 260.
Pronouns, personal, 45-48; interrogative, 48 ; inclefinite, 49.
Pusey, Dr., 10. 52.
Quality, genitive of, 73 .
Quarterly Reviewer, 29. 76. 80. 100. 129. 149.

Reciprocity, moles of expressing, 60.
Redundancy, 45. 110 .
Relative and antecedent, 55
Remote consequences, 14.2 .
licversive power of negatives, 139.
Solecisms, 261.
Sulject, the, formed by the article, 27 40 ; plural neuter verb singular, 50
in what cases omitted, 52 ; omission of simple copula with predicate, 52 ; before the intinitive, 66 .
Subjective genitive, 72.
Sulstantives, classes of, 17 ; derived from adjectives, 18.
Superlatives, unusual forms, 59 ; He braistic, 13.
Synecdoche, 253.
Tenses, 80, 81 ; present, 82 ; imperfect, 87; future, 83; aorist, 89; perfect and aorist, 90 ; perfect, 85 ; pluperfect, 94 ; paulo-post future, 95 ; principal used for subordinate, 83 ; precipal for perfect, 83 .
Trajection, transposition, 261.
Trench, Archbishop, 187. 191. 223. 231. 235.

Valckner, 5. 38. 44. 171. 232.

Vaughan, Dr. (on the Romans), 28. 42. 83. 89. 92. 127. 155. 161. 189. 193. 200. 215. 219. 221. 224. 230. 234 242, 243.
Verb, tenses of, 81 ; voices of, 95 ; com pounded, 151. 153. 156.161 .164. 168. 170. 173. 177. 179. 181, 182. 185.

Verbal nouns, 17. 20.
Voices, 95 ; passivo, 96 ; middle, 97.
Winer, 33. 47. 128. 156.179.
Wordsworth, Dr., 27. 33. 73. 87. 91. 155. 213. 219. 221, 222, 223. 229. 236.

Wratislaw, Rev. A. H. (Notes and Iissertations), $20.65,75.115 .125 .242$, 243. 246.

Zeugma, 257.

## INDEX II．

## GREEK WORDS EXPLAINED AND ILLUSTRATED．

a $\quad$ ya0ds， 186.


áyios，$\dot{a}^{\prime} \gamma \nu \delta s, 188$ ．
à $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu /(\omega)$






адббксиоя， 189.

alpeats， 100.

ai $\sigma \chi 6 \nu \eta, 189$.
airt $\omega, 190$.
aitia， 190
aìtıdomaı， 207.
ai ic $\nu, 191$.
аке́paios， 192.
à $\lambda \alpha \varsigma_{\omega ́ v}, 192$.
a $\lambda \in!(\phi \omega, 210$.

ad入d，118－120．
д̀ $\lambda \lambda \eta \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon \in \omega, 226$.



${ }_{a}^{2} \lambda \omega \nu, 255$ ．
a入cu， $25 \bar{\circ}$
a $\mu \mathrm{a}, 21.121$.
адарт1а， 193.
a $\mu$ ахоs， 194.
$\chi \mu \in \mu \pi \tau o s, 192$.
$d \mu \pi \in \lambda o v \rho \gamma \delta s, 200$.

dıá，16．4．
ג̀ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \boldsymbol{\eta}, 12$.


ауабтрофф， 195
aváx vars， 196.
àvé $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{1}$ дŋтоs， 192.


dขd́ŋтas， 196.
दू⿱亠䒑⿱日十月， 196 ．
àvd $\begin{aligned} & \text { asos，} 194 \text { ．}\end{aligned}$
àoxウ́， 195.
$\frac{d}{2} \nu \tau i, 150,151$.
ג $\nu \tau 1 \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \in \sigma \theta a t, 195$.
ддขитбкрєтоя， 195.

àmatd $\omega, 228$.


àmb，152， 153.
атоб $\eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\prime} \omega, 196$.



ãúvтo入os， 204.
ӓттоцаı， 213.
\％pa，121， 122.
apTad $\epsilon,{ }^{2}, 226$.
גртios， 225.


दの $\sigma \in ́ \lambda \gamma \in 1 a, 196$.
a $\sigma \theta \in \dot{\nu} \mathrm{v} t \alpha, 221$.
人aтı入os， 192.


à $\sigma \omega \tau$ Ia， 196.
à̇ $\theta d \delta \eta s, 196$.
айтокати́крıтоs， 219
aùtós，44，45． 65.

aфөартоs，dфөароік，196． 212.

Вdлт ${ }^{2}, \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \delta s, 197$.
Báp $\rho$ Bapos， 193.

Bápos， 197.

Baбi入cia， 256.
$\beta_{\epsilon} \beta a i \delta \tau \eta s, 229$.
$\beta \notin \beta_{\eta \lambda \text { ло }}, 188.194$.
$\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda \delta \omega, 255$.
Blos， 212.
$\beta \lambda \omega \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \omega, \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu\{\alpha, 198$.
$\beta_{\beta \lambda \xi \pi \omega, 224 \text { ．}}$
$\beta_{\text {B }}$

| Boŋ日这， |
| :--- |
| Boи́ломаи， 195. |

Boúло $\mu a l, 197$.
Bрахилoyla， 257.
קрє́申оs， 223.
вぃцфяs，8．215．231．•
rdp， 123.
$\gamma \epsilon, 122$.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \nu \in \dot{d}, \gamma^{\prime}$ vas， 199.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ds， 200.

－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\downarrow \nu \in \sigma \theta a!,} 199$.
ј $\nu \omega \mu \eta, 200$.
$\gamma_{\nu \omega \bar{\sigma} I s,} 200$.
yvaris，
yoryof $\mu d s, 202$.
$\delta \in \eta \sigma \iota s, 230$.
detila，
ठєiva， 49.

$\delta \in \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta{ }^{\circ}, 202$

ofn， 8 innou， 124.
סad，165－168
סiáßoخos， 203.
סı $1 \delta \eta \mu a, 233$.
Bıakavia，סidrovos，203． 231
סıалє́үоман， 204.
סьацарти́рєтөat， 204.
סiduoosa， 217.
бı $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa а \lambda о г, 203$.
бьбабкш，бібабкаліа， 204

8iкatos，196， 187


סıкабтis， 221
$\delta_{i \omega \gamma \mu \delta S,} 214$.

8ठ入os， 228.
$\delta \delta \xi a, \delta o \xi \alpha{ }^{\circ}(\omega, 205$.
бїгадат， 206.
סívaцis，206． 234.
ठ $\omega \rho \rho \not{ }^{2}, 215$.
cáv，ci， 125.
＇Eßpaios，206．＂
＂ryuos， 207.
еүкакєі̃， 209.
\＆$\gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\omega}, 207$.
б $\gamma к \lambda \eta \mu a, 190$.
${ }^{\ell} \gamma \kappa \delta \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 230$.
${ }^{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \rho d r \in \iota \alpha, 207$
tevos， 199
Ei，with ou， 139.
єโठw入av，єiкćv， 208.
єі入ıкри グs， 195.
$\epsilon 7 \pi \in \rho, 125$.
єір切，210． 235
єipwvela，254．
fis，161－164．
fis for $t v, 161$
cis，$\epsilon \pi l$ ， 176.
єis，$\pi p$ ós，кatd， 169.
cIs， 60 ．
$d \kappa, 153-156$.
encivos， 47.
еккакєî̀，еккли́єбөаı， 209.
єккえทбla，208．
єкбтабтs， 209.
گौגа।

4入є่ $\gamma \chi \omega$ ，207．
$\quad \lambda \in \gamma \chi \omega, 20$
EXeos， 210.


e入तls，220． 228.
$\frac{2}{2}, 156-160$.
$\epsilon \nu$ for $\epsilon i s, 162$.
$2 \nu$ for $\epsilon i s, 162$.
en $\delta$ id $\delta v o i v, 255$.

छ゙עєка， 185.
èvépyєia， 206.


\＆$\nu$ то入 $n, 210$ ．

Etovala， 206
Ė $\pi$ du $\omega, 61$ ．

ent，173－177．

ยTルセルท́s，186．194．208．
ยлiбтapal，201．
ยтибтクィท， 211.
етเтаү力， 200.
єтiтротог，203． 212.
ETıффdveia， 36.
етiүopทria，7． 71.
enixop 1810
$\epsilon \rho a \omega, 187$.


є $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in 1 a, 224$.
$\epsilon \rho \omega \tau d \omega, 190$.



єivin $\theta \mathrm{s}, 196$.

єùへo
єü入oरia， 206.
єป்̀ $\chi^{\dagger} \mu \omega \nu_{1} 211$ ．
ย่̇трапє $\lambda$ ía， 101.


Sє $\mathrm{T} \gamma \mu a, 257$.
\｛解os， 211.
S $\omega$ h， 212.
\％，部 $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}, 127$.
$\eta_{\eta}^{\gamma} \notin \mu \dot{\omega}, 212$.
万ुб $\eta, 127$.
$\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{u} \chi \cos , \dot{\eta} \sigma u x d \oint_{\epsilon 1 \nu, 211 . ~}^{213}$.

Өávatos， 212.
－$\theta$ єцеіліоя， 209.

$\theta \in \mu \in \lambda t o s, 209$.
$\theta \in о \sigma \in \beta$ 万̆s，$\theta \in 0 \sigma \in \beta \in ⿺ \alpha, 202$.
$\theta \in \rho$ व́кшу， 203.
$\theta \in \omega \rho \in(\omega, 213.224$.
Otryáve，$^{2} 213$
$\theta \lambda$ íws， 214.
${ }^{0} \nu \eta \tau \delta{ }^{2}, 213$.
өpdoos，өápoos， 213.
－$\rho$ aqứtทs， 202.

－өрйбкоs，өрŋбкєía， 202.
Өuцds， 213.
日upebiv， 214.
Өuala， 214.

－iठein， 225.
－idićtns， 215.

ifpov， 215.
＇Iepoưa入in $\mu, 40$.

іл $\alpha \sigma \mu \delta \varsigma, 188$.

l $\mu$ dтiov， 215 ．
－＇Louбаios，＇I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda$ írns， 207.
Yעa，128－131．
ioxús， 206.
ка日ćs， 147.
kal，131－133．
каıрдs， 223.
каıрбs， 215.
как\｛а，194．
какођөךs，194． 196.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta s_{,} 187$.
кали́ттт， 219
карঠ́a， 217.
кати́，168－171．
катауьレผ́бкш， 216.
катаүıv
ката́vés， 74.
катарті $\langle\omega, 216$
катартіц́，228． 259.
－катクXé $\omega$ ， 201.

кеірєь， 217.
ке́yos， 217.
$\kappa \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ оs， 234.

клрична， 217.

«入alєเv， 218.
к入є́สтทร， 218.
$\kappa \lambda ı \neq 218$.
коцй̃ $\sigma$ өa， 12
коเขбоцац， 254.
коぃขшиla， 235.
$\kappa \delta \lambda a \sigma!s, 218$
$\kappa$ котог， 219.
кфттодаи，котет ${ }^{2}, 218$.
$\kappa \delta \sigma \mu о$ ，191， 192.
$\kappa \delta \phi 1 \nu 0 s, 219$.
кра́тоs， 206.
краurt， 199.
краиу力， 199.
кри́тт， 219.
ктl⿱亠⿴囗口⿱日一
Kúpios， 203.
入a入ıd，$\lambda$ б́yos， 220.
$\lambda a \mu \pi d s, \lambda \dot{\chi} \chi \nu 05,221$.
$\lambda a \delta s, 199$.
גатрєи̇єเข， 220.
入ettoup $\gamma \in \hat{1}, 220$ ．
$\lambda p \sigma \tau t s, 218$.
$\lambda o i \delta o p e ́ \omega, 198$
$\lambda o v ́ \omega, 221$.
入и́троу’ $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \delta \omega, 188$.
накд́рıоs，макарı $\sigma \mu d s, 210$.
налакl $\alpha, 221$
mavia， 209.
$\mu a \nu \tau \in \cup ์ \in \sigma \theta a 1,231$.
$\mu d \chi$ aıpa， 232.
$\mu$ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ icotis， 255.
$\mu \in \nu, 133$.

$\mu \in \tau$ d，177－179．

$\mu \in т \omega \nu \cup \mu(a, 253$.
$\mu$ भ，114．138－141
$\mu \eta \delta \hat{E}_{,} \mu \eta \tau \epsilon, 143$.
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon_{1} \mu \eta \tau \epsilon$ ，
$\mu \eta \delta \in / s, 60$.
мضпотє， 141.
нıalvш，$\mu 0 \lambda \dot{́ v \nu \omega, ~} 222$.
$\mu о р ф и ̆, \mu \delta \rho \phi \omega \sigma \iota, 222$.
мірор， 209.
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## INDEX III．

PASSAGES CITED FROM THE NEW TESTAMENT．


1
2
4
5
8
16
16
18
21
23
24
27
28
29
31
34
35
41


| $\begin{array}{rll} \text { CHAP. VER. } & & \text { PAGE } \\ 9 . & .160 \end{array}$ | ChAP. VER. PAGE <br> $48 . \quad . \quad 51$  | CHAP. VER. 44 . | PAGE .75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11. . . . 59 | 50 . . . . 44 | 46. | - . 110 |
| 12. . . . 54 | 52 . . . . 77 | 48. | - 30 |
| 16 . . . . 55 |  | 52 | 63 |
| 24. . 48. 102 | III. 5. . . 39.43 |  |  |
| 25. . . . 132 | 13. . . . 181 | IX. 3 . | . 107. 164 |
| $27 . . . .160$ | $14 . . .99$ | 5. | . . . 176 |
| 37 . . . . 113 | $15 . . .141$ | 7 . | . . . 181 |
| 43 . . 113.115 | 20 . . 132.174 | 12. | . . . 96 |
| 44 . . . . 125 | 23 . . . . 67 | 16 | - . 32 |
| XVI. 1 . . . . 38 | IV. 6, 7 . . 85.105 | 26 | . . . 1776 |
| 2 . . . 60 | 14. . . . 168 | 33 | . . . 114 |
| $3 . . . .154$ | 15. . . . 88 | 36 | -. 54. 110 |
| 4. . . . 261 | $20 . . .32$ | 46 | . . . 40 |
| $5 . . .97$ | 23 . . . . 110 | 49 | . . . 178 |
| $14 . . . .239$ | $25 . . .176$ | 55 | . . 239 |
| 15. . . . 254 | $26 . . . .126$ |  |  |
| 16 . . . . 36 | $28 . . . .68$ | X. 11 | - . 97 |
|  | $41 . . .41 .51$ | 20 | . . . 160 |
|  |  | 24 | . . 45 |
| LUKE. | V. 4 . . . 11 | 28 | . . . 107 |
|  | 5. . 165.174 | 31 | - . 170 |
| I. $2 . .$. . 32 | 6 . . . . 88 | 33 | - . 169 |
| 6... . 205 | 7 . . . . 109 | 35 | . . . 176 |
| 8 . . . . 119 | 9 . . . . 54 | 37 | -. 43.178 |
| 9. . . . 70 | 16 . . . . 156 | 39 | - ${ }^{-18}$ |
| 10 . . . . 68 | 17. . . . 45 | 40 | - 102. 171 |
| $20 . . .151$ | 32. . . 86 |  |  |
| 29 . . . 103 | 34 . . . . 153 | XI. 1 | . . . 156 |
| 30 . . . . 180 | 38 . . . 21.52 | 4 | - . 44 |
| 34. . . . 83 |  | 7 | - . 162 |
| 37 . . . 60. 180 | VI. 9 . . . . 144 | 8 | 113122 |
| $39 . . . .178$ | 11. . . 48.103 | 11 | - . 151 |
| 42 . . . 59 | 19 . . . . 179 | 19 | - . 46 |
| 43 . . . . 131 | $35 . . . .186$ | 39 | - . 68 |
| $45 . . . .92$ | 37. . . . 132 | 46 | 64 |
| 47. . . . 174 | $38 . .$. |  |  |
| 51 . . . . 77 | $39 . .$. | XII. 1 | - . 148 |
| 53 . . . . 68 | 42.47. . . 14 | 3 | - . 151 |
| 54. . . . 195 |  | 5 | . . . 102 |
| 56 . . . . 160 | VII. 12 . . . 132 | 7 | . . . 119 |
| $59 . . . .88$ | 24. . . 181 | 11 | . . 206 |
| $60 . . .119$ | $25 . . . .159$ | 15 | - . 97 |
| 62 . . . 40.103 | 29 . . . . 205 | 18 | - . 45 |
| 64. . . . 258 | 32 . . . . 79 | 20 | - 52.76 |
| 72 . . . 69. 178 | 38 . . . . 180 | 37 | - . 96 |
|  | 40 . . . . 30 | 39 | . . . 106 |
| II. 1. 39. 42.179 | 42 . . . . 49 | 42 | - . 23 |
| 2. . . . 239 | $44 . . . .183$ | 47 | 39.97. 184 |
| 5. . . . 97 | $45 . . . .111$ | 48 | - - 52 |
| 13 . . 52.160 |  | 49 | . . . 125 |
| $14 . . .34$ | VIII. 1 . . . . 169 | 51 | . . . 120 |
| 15. . . . 124 | 8. . . . 161 | 57 | . . 123 |
| 20. . . 54 | 9. . . . 103 | 58 | . . 239 |
| 22. . . . 169 | 14. . . . 181 |  |  |
| $25 . . . .42$ | 19 . . . . 51 | XIII. 2 | . . . 181 |
| 26 . . . 146 | 23 . . .89.254 | 8 | . . . 171 |
| 41. . . 76 | 31 . . . . 130 | 10 | . . 115 |
| 43 . . . . 51 | 39 . . . . 169 | 19 | . . 163 |
| 44. . . . 68 | 43 . . . . 179 | 28 | . .35. 125 |





| $\begin{array}{cr}\text { CHAP. VER. } & \text { PAGE } \\ 13 & .35 .180\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { CHAP. VER. } & \text { PAGE } \\ 5 . & . & 120\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr} \text { CHAP. VER. } & & \text { PAGE } \\ 21 . & 243 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. . 35.180 | 6 . . . 3.242 | 22. . 73. 243 |
| $14 . . .35$ | 7.11. 205. 242 | 23, 24. .56. 205 |
| $16 . . .83$ | 13. 89. 93. 205 | 26 - . ${ }^{\text {2 }}$, 139 |
| 17. . . . 207 | 14. . . . 182 | $27 \cdot 73.172 .243$ |
| 18. . . . 189 | $15.0 \cdot 103$ | $28 \cdot \cdots \cdot{ }^{\text {a }}$ - 73 |
| 21 . . . . 109 | 16.127.146. 163 | 33 - i74. 253 |
| 23. . .68. 159 | 17.65. 134. 234 | $33 . \cdot 174.250$ |
| 25 . . . 28.105 | 19, 20. 168. 242 | X. 1 . . . . 162 |
| 26 . 162. 205. 53 | 21. . 115. 174 | X. 3. . . . 114 |
| 27. . . 166 |  | 4. . .52. 216 |
| 21. . . . 155 | VII. 2 . . i67 ${ }^{827}$ | 5. . . 155 |
|  | $5 . .167 .227$ | 9.11. 174. 177 |
| 1II. 2.8 - 89 | 7 - 40.84. 103 | 14. . . 84 |
| 3,4.124. 241 | 7. 40.84. 103. | 16.18. 02.119. |
| 5 . - 83 | - 2901 | 141 |
| 6. . .84. 127 | 8. . . 43.242 | 19.139. 193. 243 |
| 7. . .83. 156 | 12. . . . 148 |  |
| 8 . . 218.241 | 13. . . 41 | XI. 2 . 78. 156. 243 |
| 9. . 182. 241 | 14. . . 182 | 4. . . 31 |
| 11. . . . 40 | 15. . . 242 | 5. . . 72 |
| 12. 24. 121.161 | $18 \cdot$. | 6. . . 41.143 |
| 19.21. 220. 241 | 20 . . . . 143 | 8 . . . 40.74 |
| 22 . . . . 176 | 21. . . . 46 | 13. . . . 2045 |
| 23 . . . . 02 | 22. - ${ }^{8}$ | $20 . . . .78$ |
| $24 . . .186$ | 23. 224. 242. 255 | 21. . . . 170 |
| 25. . 164. 205 | 24, 25. .72. 258 | 23 . . 134.206 |
| 26. . . 210 |  | 25. . 153. 210 |
| 28. . . . 256 | VIII. 1 . . . 157 | 26 . . . 253 |
| 31. . . . 119 | $3 . . .66 .242$ | $27 . . .102$ |
|  | 4. - 205.236 | 28 . . . . 179 |
| IV. $2 . . . . .205$ | 6. . 163. 242 | 30 . . . . 73 |
| 11. . . . 56 | 8 . . . . 113 | 31. |
| 12. . . . 155 | $9 . \cdot{ }^{-135}$ | 32. . . 43. 163 |
| 13. . . . 68 | 10, 11.168 .242 | $36 . . .155$ |

XII. 1.66. 167. 214. 215.213 . 181.243 .260 i52. 200
105
.$\quad 52$ .78 .228
.$\quad .107$ 178
23
XIII.
.244
105.203
.168
.256
.250
84.126.
210
139.210
54.216.
243
.$\quad 12$

| Chap. ver. Page | chap. Ver. Pagr | chap. ver. . page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XIV. 4. . 206. 244 | III. 2 64. 119.258 | 19. . 114.124 |
| 5 . . . . 229 | 3 . . . . 136 | 2] . . . . 139 |
| 8. . . . 70 | 5. . . . 120 | 25.65 .98 .207 |
| 10. . . . 97 | 6 . . .89. 132 | 26. . . . 146 |
| 11. - 137.248 | 7. . . . 49 |  |
| 13. . .30. 244 | 11. . . . 180 | X. 2 . . . 161 |
| 14. . 157.167 | 14. . . . 120 | ¢. 4.40 .88 |
| 15.18.143. 168 | 15. . 147. 165 | $5 . . .20 .85$ |
| 17. . . . 243 | 17 . . .52. 259 | 6. . .65. 244 |
| 20 . . . . 166 | 19.31. 116. 180 | 11. . . 234 |
| XV. 2 . . . . 60 | IV. 1 . . 219 | 13 . . 86.177 |
| \V. $5 . .74 .103$ | IV. 6 . 60. i73. 192 | 16.54 .70 .234 17.5 |
| 7. . . 105 | 8. 88. 122. 255 | 18. 38.70. 224 |
| 8. . .66. 172 | 9. . . . 244 | $19 . . .49$ |
| 12. . 174.177 | 10. . . 255 | 21. . . 70 |
| 13. . . . 68 | 12,13. 198. 228 | $26 .$. |
| 14. . . . 187 | 15. - 120. 226 | 31. |
| 15. . . . 147 | 17. . . 55 | 31. . . 14 |
| 16. . . . 214 | 21. . 157. 207 |  |
| 19. . . . 185 |  | XI. $4 . . .144$ |
| 20,21. . . 244 | V. 3 . . 236. 244 | $5 . .30 .44 .77$ |
| 22 . . . 88 | 4. . . . 160 | 6 . . . . 97 |
| 23 . . . . 152 | G . . . 244 | 9. . . 165 |
| 24. . .69. 109 | 9... . 30 | 10 . . . 255 |
| 25. . . . 114 | 10. . . . 229 | 11. . . 145 |
| 26 . . .08. 235 |  | $12 . . .165$ |
| 27. . . . 123 | VI. 1. 250. 170 | 14. $26 . . .245$ |
| 30 . . . 167 | V1. ${ }^{\text {3. . }}$. 212.244 | 15. . . 86 |
| 31. . 162. 243 | 5. . 184.164 | 17. . $130 \cdot 135$ |
| 32 . . . . 166 | 6. . $\quad 184.104$ | 12. $32 . \quad 130.141$ |
|  | 7. . . . 97 |  |
|  | 8. . <br> 19. . <br> 8  | XII. 1 . . . . 171 |
| 20.18 .182 | 20. . .71. 124 | $8 . . . .169$ |
| 25.77.206. 216. | 20. - .71. 124 | 10. . . . 231 |
| 219 |  | 13.8 .161 |
| 27 . . . . 34 | VII. 5 . - 167 | 15.155. 180. 245 |
| 27. . - 34 | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { B, } 9 . & 130.207 \\ 10,11 . & 244\end{array}$ | 30 . . . . 231 |
|  | 15. . 104. 244 | XIII. 2 . . . . 219 |
| 1 CORINTHIANS. | 16. . . . 30 | XIM. 2. . . 21 |
|  | $18 . . .48$ | 13. . 51.58 |
| I. 8 . . 158. 260 | $23 \cdot$ - . 24 |  |
| 1. 10. | 24. - . 180 | XIV. 1-5 . . 200 |
| 12. . . . 70 | $26 \cdot$ - 12.66 | 9. . 204. 253 |
| 15. . . . 128 | 34. . 236. 244 | 18. . . . 110 |
| 17. . . 100 |  | 19. . . . 204 |
| 18. . . 35.67 | VIII. 3 . . . . 202 | 27. . . . 164 |
| 19. . . . 40 | 4. . . 208 | 29. - . 42 |
| $23 . . .85$ | 5 . 139. 148 | 30-32 . . 200 |
| 25.0 .0 .39 | 7. 10. . 244 | 34 . . . . 257 |
| 26. . . . 294 | 12. . 132. 144 | 38 . . . . 105 |
| 27 . . . 57 | 18. . . . 184 | $39 . . . .253$ |
|  |  | 40. . . . 211 |
| II. 1 . . . 219 | IX. 2. . 120. 139 |  |
| 2. . . . 100 | 4.6.141. 245 | XV. 3 . 123. 172 |
| 4. . . . 157 | 5.5. | $6 . . .61$ |
| $7 .$. . 219 | 9. 15 . . 245 | 6 . . . 23. 148 |
| 1: . . . 190 | 10. . 123. 175 | 9 . . . . 70 |
| 14.139. 224.236 | 13. . . . 34 | 10 . . . . 160 |

PASSAGES CITED FROM 2 CORINTHIANS, GAIATLANS.

| CHAP. VER PARE | Chap. Ver. PAgE | Char. VER. Pagk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 - . .77. 233 | 6. \$2. 204. 235 | 16. . . 71.98 |
| 9. . 155. 160 | 7. . . . 97 | 17. . . 53. 158 |
| 15. . . . 136 | 9. . . . 187 | 18. 67. 68. 131 |
| 15. . .62. 173 | 10. . 121.183 | 19 . . . . 158 |
| 17.129.143. 247 | 11. . . . 90 | 20 . . . . 206 |
| 16.143 .154 .166 | 12. . . . 78 | 21. 20. 28. . 218 |
| 19. 65. 101. 185 | 13. . . . 44 | 23.73 .223 .224 |
| 20. . . . 35 | 14. . .79. 192 | 24. . . . 12 |
| 21.37 .106 .154 | 15.18. 123. 222 | 27. . . 143 |
| 22. 43. 92. 154 | 16. . .77.176 | 29. . . 71.191 |
| 23. 20. . . 233 |  | 30 . . 162. 189 |
| 24. - 148. 163. |  | 31.43 .177 .214 |
| 2215. 154 | EPHESIANS. | 32 . . . 158 |
| 27. . 161.157 |  |  |
| 28.52 .143 .157 | 1. $1 . . . .72$ | V. 2.73 .214 .216 |
|  | 4. . . . 216 | 4. . . . 255 |
| IV. 1 . 35. 65. 223 | 7. . .56. 189 | $5 . . .248$ |
| 2 . . . 203 | 9,10. . 72.219 | 6,7 . . 155 |
| 3 . . . . 191 | 12. . . 114 | 9. . . . 187 |
| 4. 18. 154. 247 | 13. 68.72.74. | 12. . . 45 |
| 5 . . . 32. 73 | 247 | 14. . . . 107 |
| 7 . . . . 148 | 14. . .71.189 | 15. . 248.258 |
| 8 . . . . 140 | 15. . 158. 170 | 16. . .97. 137 |
| 9 . . 202.259 | 16. . . . 174 | 17. . 196.200 |
| 10. . . . 216 | 17 74.157.211 | 19. . . . 235 |
| 11. . . . 141 | 18. . . 68. 117 | 20,21 . . 158 |
| 12.16. . 247 | $20 . .157 .164$ | 23.0 .44 |
| 14. . . . 255 | $21 . . . .132$ | 26 . . . . 248 |
| 15.4113 .255 | 22, 23 . . . 55 | 31. . . . 151 |
| 17.119.129. 247 |  | 32 . . . . 219 |
| 18 . 40. 110.184 | II. 2.72. 157. 169. | 33. . 131.145 |
| 20.29. . . 88 | - 195 |  |
| 23 . . . . 233 | 4. . . . 114 | VI. 1 . . . . 158 |
| 24. 26. . . 55 | 8. . . 48.78 | 2. . . . 157 |
| 25.30 .40 .247 | 10. . 156.175 | $3 . . .142$ |
| 27. | 11. . . . 38 | 4. . . 68. 224 |
| 21. . . . 233 | 12.71. 157. 247 | $5 . . .38$ |
|  | 14. . .67. 247 | 6,7. 23. 155. |
| V. 1. . . . 73 | 21. . . . 43 | 256 |
| 2. . . . 105 |  | 8 . . . . 201 |
| $3 . . . .44$ | III. I . . . . 65 | 11. . . 32.67 |
| $5 . . .247$ | 3. . 160.219 | 12. 32.57. 73. |
| 7. . 230. 260 | 4. . . . 38 | 206. 233 |
| 8 . . . 18. 260 | 3 . . . . 247 | 14, 15. . 92 |
| 10. . . . 33 | 11. . . 74. 204 | 16, 17. 56. 67. 92 |
| 11. . . . 121 | 12. . . . 157 | 18. . . . 2055 |
| 13. . . . 175 | 13. 38. 55.160 | 19. . .72.21! |
| 14. 43.84. 247 | 14.65 .183 .247. | 21. . . . 157 |
| 17. . . . 128 | 253 | 22. . . 170 |
| 19. . . 232 | 16. . . . 206 | 23 . . 177.179 |
| $21.212 .232 .251 ;$ | 18. . . . 157 |  |
| 22. . . 187 | 21. . . 34 |  |
| 24. 91. 93. 227 |  | PHILIPPIANS. |
| $25 . . . .78$ | IV. 1 . . . . 157 |  |
|  | 3. . . . 56 | I. 3 . . . . 43 |
| VI. 1 . 74. 132. 193. | 4. . . . 68 | 9. 65. 130.200 |
| 207. 216 | 10. . . . 206 | 10. . . . 189 |
| 2. . - 197 | 11. 30. 44. 184 | 11. . . . 56 |
| 3. . . 40. 2213 | 12.164 .169 .184. | 13. . . 43 |
| 4. . 247. 250 | 216 | 16, 17. . . 30 |
| 5 . . . 84 | 14. . . . 248 | 21. . . . 100 |

char.
11.

| yER. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22. | . |
| 23. | . |
| 24. | . |
| 25. | . |
| 26. | $\cdot$ |
| 27. | . |
| 28. | . |
| 29. | . |



$$
\text { III. } 16
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& .164 \\
& .170
\end{aligned}
$$


$\square$
234
.$\quad 30$
1.

$$
\text { 1. } 5 .
$$

.82 .14
.88 .19
.72 .18
120. 183
$\begin{array}{r}.108 \\ \hline\end{array}$
IV.
II.

.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 . \\
4 . \\
5 \\
6 . \\
7 . \\
9 \\
10 . \\
11 . \\
19 . \\
13 . \\
14 . \\
15 . \\
16 \circ^{\circ} . \\
18 .
\end{array}
$$ 158

147
109 72. 183 187
-183 .83. 135 163.250
.137
163.249
. 52. 132. 230
III.

1
3
4
3.
. .
52
.
.110
$.18 t$
chap. ver. PAGE
6.
7.
7. $\cdot$
23.64 .174
109.158 .177.
IV. 1. 158. 190. 256


2 THESSALONIANS.

1. 3 . 138
$\qquad$10. 191 135.140$\begin{array}{ll}10.101 . & 135 . \\ 11,1249 \\ 13 . & 129,130\end{array}$

I1. 1. 172. 176. 190 $\left.\begin{array}{c}2.30 . \\ 3 .\end{array}\right) .144$ $\begin{array}{rr}4 . & 176.249 \\ 5 . & .88 .184 \\ 9 . & 73.82 .219 \\ 10 . & .\end{array}$ $10 . \cdot .7151$ 11.17.
. 68.137. 20... . 123
III. $1.2 . \quad .206$ $2,3 \cdot 249.260$
6.104 .211



HEBREWS.

1. $1,2,3.173 .249$



| ciap．ver．fage | chap．Ver．Page | chap．ver．page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IV．4．．108． 250 | V． $1 . .$. ． 50 | III．3．．．．188 |
| 7．．．． 1117 | 2．．．． 91 | 4．31．104． 261 |
| 8．．．． 188 | 3 ．．． 234 | ）．．．． 187 |
| 12． 102.250 | 9．．．． 44 | 10．．．．11！$\}$ |
| 14．．．． 123 | 10．．．74． 216 | 12．．．． 154 |
|  | 11．．．27．34 | 14．．．46． 212 |
| V．1 ．．136． 218 | 12．．．． 90 | 15．．212． $2: 34$ |
| 3．．．． 216 | 14．．． 73 | 17．．．． 212 |
| 4．．．． 251 |  | 18．．．． 77 |
| 10．．．56． 64 |  | 21. ．．． 220 |
| 13 ．．． 48 | 2 PETER． |  |
| 16．．193． 251 |  | IV． $2 . . . .86$ |
|  | 1．3．117．165． 185 | $8 . .1531$ |
| 1 PETER． | 月．．．． 251 | 15．．． 31.41 |
|  | 10．．．． 114 | 16 ．．．． 201 |
| 1．2－．．19． 103 | 12．．．． 135 | 17.148 .178 .220 |
| 4．－196．212 | 17．．．． 181 | 18．．．． 251 |
| 5.153 .162 .251 | 21.0 .079 | V．5 ．．．． 41 |
| 13．．．91． 176 | IL．］．．．73．251 | 6．．．． 120 |
| 14．．． 73 | $3 . . .882$ | 16， 17. ．8． 138. |
| 15．． 43.188 | $5 . . .61 .247$ | 18．4．190．194 |
| 15.0 .43 .188 $19 . . .232$ | 9．．－ 27 | 18，19．．． 251 |
| 19．．． 232 | 10. ． 13.197 |  |
| 22．．．． 188 | 11.13 136． 180 |  |
| II． $2 . . . .223$ | $12,13 . \quad .251$ | 2 JOIIN． |
|  | 21．．． 80.109 |  |
| $3 . .91 .133$ | $22 . . .1116$ | 2．．．． 178 |
| 4．．．． 180 |  | 6，7．．． 48 |
| $5 . .6 .214$ | III．3．．．． 216 |  |
| $6 . . .00 .174$ | 5．．．．251 |  |
| 9 ．．． 189 | 10．12．．． 191 | REVELATION． |
| 10．． 87.139 | $11 . . .251$ |  |
| 11.5 | 14．．．79． $2: 52$ | I．6．．．． 34 |
| 13．．－0！ | $16 . . .184$ | 7．．．． 220 |
| 15．．． 215 | 18 ．．． 34 | 12．．．． 255 |
| $\begin{array}{rrr}19 . & . & 217 \\ 23,24 & . & 89\end{array}$ |  |  |
| III 110 | JUDE． | II．1 ．．．． 47 |
|  |  | $3 .$. ．． 228 |
| III． $\left.\begin{array}{l}1 .\end{array}\right)$. | $\text { 5. . . . } 203$ | 7．．． 12 |
|  |  | 21，22．．． 222 |
| 5．．．． 177 | 6．．．． 71 | $26 . . .173$ |
| 9．．．． 198 | 19．．． 233 |  |
| 11 ．．． 185 | $24 . . .206$ | III．9 ．．．． 209 |
| $14 \cdot \begin{array}{r} .45 .53 .64, \\ \text { (65. 105. } 202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}24 . \\ 25 . \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15. 18. $\cdot .88$ |
| 16． 21.217 .224 | 1 JOIIN． | 20.5105 |
| 18．．． 172 |  |  |
| 20.37 .175 |  | IV． $7 . . .80$ |
| 22 ．． 206 | I． $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2\end{aligned} \cdot \cdots \quad . \quad 91$ | 11．．．．167 |
|  |  |  |
| IV．1，2 ．．65． 67 | 3.$9 .$. | V． $7 . .$. ． 92 |
| 4 ．．． 190 |  | O ．．．． 236 |
| 8 ．．． 150 | 9．．．． 128 | 12．．．． 206 |
| 11．． 27.84 | II． 2 ．170．188． 215 | 13 ．．． 34 |
| $13.2 . .235$ | 9．．． 131 |  |
| 17．．．23． 234 | 111.106 .120 .125 | VI．1．－C0 |
| 1\％．． 215 | 32 － 3 こ0 シ31 | 13．．．．162 |
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[^0]:    I See notes on I. 11. s. 13. (Webster and Wilkinson.)

