

0LIN PA 851 W43 1964a t

## $\frac{C O R N E L L}{U N I V E R S I T Y} L I B R A R Y$

The Robert M. and Laura Lee Lintz Book Endowment for the Humanities

Class of 1924





# The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

https://archive.org/details/cu31924090851753

.

•

· ·

## SYNTAX AND SYNONYMS

р.

9

OF THE

## GREEK TESTAMENT.

BY

#### WILLIAM WEBSTER, M.A.

LATE FELLOW OF QUEENS' COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, BECENTLY OF KING'S COLLEGE, LONDON.

"Omissis auctoritatibus, ipså re et ratione exquirere possumus veritatem." (CICEBO, pro Lege Manil.)

.

~

LONDON: RIVINGTONS, WATERLOO PLACE: AND HIGH STREET, OXFORD.

1864.

#### PREFACE.

In commencing the present Work, I proposed to myself to compile a Syntax, which should ombrace all that was valuable in Winer, and all that was applicable in Donaldson. From the large and copious use which I have made of Dr. Donaldson's "Complete Greek Grammar," I may be considered to have fulfilled one part of my original design. The references I have made to the Syntax of this eminent philologist by no means adequately represent the full amount of my obligations to him. In analyzing the varied uses of the Prepositions and of the Oblique Cases, I have followed Donaldson paragraph by paragraph, adopting his language, with such modifications as seemed advisable, quoting some of his instances, and appending appropriate examples from the New Testament. In the arrangement of the Syntax, I have frequently followed Rost, borrowing occasionally the language of the "Bromsgrove Greek Grammar," compiled by Dr. Jacob, of Christ's Hospital, wherever the philosophical principles and logical method of Donaldson appeared to rise above the level of a work designed for popular comprehension.

Of Winer I have made very little use; chiefly because I have found more reliable matter in the grammatical comments of Bishop Ellicott, Dean Alford, Dr. Wordsworth, Dr. Vaughan, and some others, among whom, I am indebted to the writer of an article in the Quarterly Review for January, 1863. I would hope that the annotations which I have selected from recent editions of the New Testament will be of service to those students, who, from the deficiency of means or leisure, are unable, according to the advice of the "Quarterly Review," to use Dean

LUNDON : GILUKAT AND RIVINGTON, PRINTERS, ST. JOHN'B SQUARE.

Alford's work in combination with Dr. Wordsworth and Bishop Ellicott. If, too, these gleanings from their pages prove acceptable to the laity generally, and to the large class of readers who feel the force of the saying,  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a$  $\kappa a \kappa \dot{o} \nu$ , I shall not have written in vain.

Another reason for having so sparingly availed myself of the criticisms of Winer is, I must honestly confess, my want of sympathy in the commendations so generally, and, I cannot but think, extravagantly bestowed upon him. The use I have made of his work has been out of deference to public opinion, rather than from a personal sense of its merits. The Grammar by Dr. S. Ch. Schirlitz (Giessen), although it is but little known, is a decidedly better work. There may be valuable matter in Winer which I have not collected from other sources, but his pages present to my mind an accumulation of unnecessary authorities and unnecessary polemics. By unnecessary authorities, I mean repeated references to authors whose works by no means deserve that degree of credit which can entitle them to be made standards of appeal. By unnecessary polemics, I mean the refutation of absurd and extravagant errors in all branches of Biblical Literature, of which one would think no carnest and sensible student would take any notice, except to dismiss them with contempt.

I do not expect that those who find or affect to find it necessary to resort to Germany for their scholarship, will allow that there are sufficient grounds for the opinion I have formed of German critics, annotators, grammarians. But as far as my own reading has extended, I see good reason to withhold from German scholars that measure of confidence which I cheerfully extend to many who have written on Biblical literature in our own country during the last thirty years, and this for the following considerations: (1) Germany is the land of speculation; but it is not the land of action or of common sense; few of their writers remember the salutary maxim,  $\dot{\eta}$ τέχνη μακρά, βραχὺς ὁ βίος. (2) We may cheerfully assign to German students the palm of laborious industry; but can we say that this industry is sanctified by the highest motives, and is directed to the noblest end? Of what advantage is yrŵois, provided oopía is excluded? (3) Whatever be the defects of our Authorized Version, there can be no doubt of its great superiority to the Vulgate, or to Luther's translation.

I have read many a tedious note of German writers, particularly of Fritzsche, in which much ponderous erudition is directed to the correction of a blunder in the Vulgate, or some Continental Version, which does not exist in our own. (4) We ought not to be unmindful of the advantage which most of us possess by being trained in a form of sound words, by acknowledging a definite, but yet, Catholic system of faith and practice. Of the Germans, it is difficult to say who of them receive, and who reject the facts of Christianity embodied in the three Creeds; while none of them enjoy the benefit of that practical Commentary on Holy Writ which is provided in our Liturgy and Articles. To the absence of this salutary check on the vagaries of 'free handling' we may attribute that monstrous combination of errors which is happily confined to German and Germanized theology.

Dr. Wordsworth (in the Preface to his edition of the Greek Testament, p. xvi) has brought forward Lord Bacon's remark, that one of the best commentaries on Scripture might be extracted from the writings of English divines. This remark may be amplified so as to include the grammatical and critical exegesis of the New Testament. Our Anglo-Germans, like Continental Tourists in search of scenery, need to be reminded of the beautiful spots which they have never visited at home. We may apply to them the admonition of the Roman Satirist, Hor. Ep. i. 12. 30,

> "Quod petis, hic est; .... Animus si te non deficit æquus."

No one English annotator has availed himself of half the materials extant in our own tongue. Among recent editors Bishop Ellicott is the only one who seems to have consulted the sound and valuable suggestions of the late Professor Scholcfield, in his Hints for an Improved Translation. It is much to be regretted that many of our learned men, who have edited the Tragedians, have not commented on the Greek Testament; yet there will be found in their notes much valuable matter directly and indirectly bearing on the grammatical interpretation of the Sacred Oracles. Bishop Blomfield's Æschylus contains many criticisms of this nature; in the preparation of the First Volume of the Greek Testament, in conjunction with my friend Mr. Wilkinson, I derived more real assistance from this source,

which promised little, than from the laborious pretentiousness of any German annotator.

In Chapter X. I have made but slight use of the Second Series of Synonyms by His Grace the Archbishop of Dublin. I have adopted freely the matter contained in the First Series, which has become rapidly incorporated in the general stock of Theological literature. As I have attempted to desynonymize some words which have not been treated by previous writers, I will only hope that all my readers will extend to me that favourable indulgence, with which His Grace welcomed my earlier efforts in this interesting and instructive pursuit.

In conclusion, I would express a hope that this Work will be of some service in promoting the intelligent study of that Book which is the basis of sound learning and religious education. Great benefit has resulted and is likely to result from the institution of Competitive Examinations. Why should not a portion of the Greek Testament be required from all candidates for appointments in the Military and Civil Service? The eulogium which Dr. Wordsworth has pronounced (Pref. p. xvii) on the study of Holy Scripture in the Original, as the best Instrument of Education, is a happy combination of rhetorical power with sober truth :—

"THE BIBLE ALONE, OF ALL BOOKS IN THE WORLD, ADDRESSES ITSELF TO THE WHOLE MAN. IT EXERCISES HIS MEMORY, STRENGTHENS HIS REASON, CONTROLS HIS PASSIONS, INFORMS HIS JUDGMENT, REGULATES HIS CONSCIENCE, SANCTIFIES HIS WILL, ENLIVENS HIS FANCY, WARMS HIS IMAGINATION, CHERISHES HIS AFFECTIONS, STIMULATES HIS PRACTICE, QUICKENS HIS HOPE, AND ANIMATES HIS FAITH."

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS.

I. On the Peculiarities of Hellenistic Greek       1         II. On the Formation of Words       17         III. On the Formation of Words       17         III. On Nouns and Nominal Forms       26         IV. On the Subject with the Predicate and Copula       50         V. On the Oblique Cases       62         VI. Verbs, Voices, Tenses       80         VII. The Moods of Verbs       100         VIII. Particles       118         IX. Prepositions       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       263         INDEX I.       263         INDEX III.       271	СНА	P.											
II. On the Formation of Words       17         III. On Nouns and Nominal Forms       26         IV. On the Subject with the Predicate and Copula       50         V. On the Oblique Cases       62         VI. Verbs, Voices, Tenses       62         VII. The Moods of Verbs       100         VIII. Particles       100         VIII. Particles       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX III.       263         INDEX III.       266	1	. On the Peculi	iaritie	s of F	Fellen	istic (	Greek	:.					
III. On Nouns and Nominal Forms       26         IV. On the Subject with the Predicate and Copula       50         V. On the Oblique Cases       62         VI. Verbs, Voices, Tenses       62         VII. The Moods of Verbs       80         VIII. The Moods of Verbs       100         VIII. Particles       100         VIII. Particles       118         IX. Prepositions       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX III.       263         INDEX III.       266	I	. On the Form	ation	of We	orda								
V. On the Oblique Cases       62         VI. Verbs, Voices, Tenses       80         VII. The Moods of Verbs       100         VIII. Particles       100         VIII. Particles       118         IX. Prepositions       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX III.       266	Ш	. On Nouns and	l Non	ainal	Form	8.							26
VI. Verbs, Voices, Tenses       80         VII. The Moods of Verbs       100         VIII. Particles       100         VIII. Particles       118         IX. Prepositions       149         X. Synonyms       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX III.       266         INDEX III.       266													
VII. The Moods of Verbs       100         VIII. Particles       100         VIII. Particles       118         IX. Prepositions       149         X. Synonyms       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX III.       266	v	. On the Obliqu	ie Cas	es						•			62
VIII. Particles       100         IX. Prepositions       118         IX. Prepositions       149         X. Synonyms       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX II.       266         INDEX III.       266	VI	. Verbs, Voices,	Tens	es	•		•	•			•		80
IX. Prepositions       118         X. Synonyms       149         X. Synonyms       186         XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       238         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       252         INDEX I.       263         INDEX II.       266         INDEX III.       266	VII.	The Moods of	Verbs	• -	•	•					•		100
X. Synonyms	VIII.	Particles .		•		•							118
XI. Hints on the Authorized Version       186         XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms       238         INDEX I.       252         INDEX II.       263         INDEX II.       266         INDEX III.       266	IX	Prepositions	•	•		•	•						149
XII. On Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms		•	•	•									186
INDEX I							-						238
INDEX II	XII.	On Grammatic	al and	l Rhe	etorica	l Ter	ms		•			•	252
INDEX II		INDEX I							_				969
INDEX III.		INDEX II.		•		•							
		INDEX III.		•		•							200

vi

<sup>•</sup> The passages quoted are taken from the text of R. Stephens, 1550. The readings which the Rev. F. H. Scrivener has inserted in his recent edition are considered of sufficient authority for the purposes of illustration and comment.

#### THE

### SYNTAX AND SYNONYMS

#### OF THE

#### NEW TESTAMENT.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### INTRODUCTORY.

#### ON THE PECULIARITIES OF HELLENISTIC GREEK.

THE term "Hellenistic" is the especial designation which is usually employed to denote the Greek which is found in the writings of the New Testament.

The word  $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\iota\sigma\tau\eta$ 's was generally applied by the inhabitants of Attica to all foreigners who learnt to speak their language by the ear for political purposes, commercial designs, or social intercourse, without giving accurate attention to the usages and expressions of the Attic dialects. These foreigners were said to Hellenize,  $\epsilon\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\iota\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$ , to imitate Greeks from their combining vernacular expressions and provincial peculiarities with Greek phrases and idioms.

When we consider the language of any single biography, treatise, or letter, and still more when our attention is directed to a collection of such writings, we must carefully bear in mind all the historical circumstances of the several writers, such as the time and the place of their respective compositions, the previous education and the present position of the writers, the objects they proposed to themselves in the work under consideration.

Our own language as it is now spoken in different parts of the globe furnishes a striking instance of the influence produced by

1

2

time and place in moulding the distinguishing characteristics of every tongue. The origin of Modern English is generally ascribed to the days of Elizabeth; grammarians enumerate various phases of Middle English, Old English, Semi-Saxon, all exhibiting peculiar features of divergence from the language, either written or oral, which provailed in the days of Alfred. The familiar term Anglo-Saxon brings up before our minds two distinct peoples, Angles and Saxons, who contributed their different dialects to form a single tongue. Hellenistic Greek was a composite language derived from different sources like our own; it was also an *imported* language, not the vernacular speech of those who used it; it was acquired by the Apostles and Evangelists, and was adopted, under the guidance of the Holy Spirit, as the most fitting medium for the communications they were inspired to make, and it was adapted by them for the imparting of truths and sentiments which tried to the utmost the capacity of language and the power of thought.

In Palestine, Greek was an exotic. The sources from which it was transplanted were of the widest description. When we speak of Classical Greek, we refer to the language which was spoken in Græcia Antiqua or Greece Proper, but when we speak of Hellenistic Greek we must include in our conception the speech of Magna Græcia, the south-east of Italy with Sicily, of the colonies included in the discontinuous or sporadic Greece, of the settlements in Asia Minor, and those which were founded by the success which attended the Macedonian arms. In this respect Hellenistic Greek resembles the English which is spoken in America, Australia, and Hindostan far more closely than its ordinary use in our native land. But even at home every distinct region of the United Kingdom, and every quarter of the globe, contributes its peculiar phrases and idioms to increase the treasures of the Anglo-Saxon tongue. Some of these additions and excrescences obtain only a partial reception, as they are confined to the district which gave them birth, or are limited to the local exigencies which called them into life; but many obtain from time to time universal acceptance, are gradually incorporated into an ordinary speech, and maintain a position in the temple of literature. In every branch of writing, especially in our periodical organs of public informa-tion, words will be found which have been imported from the Hebrew, Arabic, Persian, from Turkoy and China, from the East and West Indies, from North and South America, from Holland, Italy, Portugal, Spain. But in our foreign marts, in cities of commercial enterprise, beyond the limits of British civilization, in the extreme East, West, and South, this confusion of tongues  $(\gamma\lambda\omega\tau\tau\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}\gamma\chi\upsilon\sigma\iotas)$  will be especially found to prevail. We ought not then to be surprised at the occurrence of similar characteristics in the records of the religious dispensation which has spread through the world from Galilee,—that populous agglomeration of inhabitants of all classes and countries, which Hebrew-speaking inhabitants of Jerusalem regarded with contempt and disdain, as beyond Jordan, Galilee of the Gentiles.

But influences more powerful than the commixture of these varieties of speech combined to cast the language of the Greek Testament into its present mould. The inspired writings of the New Covenant, are not conveyed in the language which our Lord and His Apostles, as well as the earliest disciples, very generally used in conversational intercourse. The vernacular tongue in Judæa, after the return from the Babylonish captivity, was the Syro-Chaldaic or Aramaic. In reading the Gospels, it is highly important to bear in mind the caution given by Michaelis, "Syriace locutum Jesum, non Græce." Thus Greek was a language which the writers acquired after attaining a mature age, for it is probable that St. Luke was the only one who knew it from his birth.

The writers of the Epistles had to contend with difficulties from which the Evangelists were, in a measure, exempt. The subjects which they had to discuss taxed to the utmost the varied and copious resources of the richest language on earth. They were required to expound and elucidate truths which affect the temporal and eternal welfare of the universal family of man, truths which in length and breadth and depth and height far exceeded the loftiest conceptions of philosophers, orators, and poets; these they had to present in a style and manner which was capable of being comprehended by the whole human race, intelligible to the wise and unwise, level to the apprehension of barbarian, Scythian, bond and free.

If then we attach the weight which is unquestionably due to these peculiarities, if we consider the influence which each of them would have singly, and the predominating sway which all united would exercise by acting in combination one with another, we shall not hesitate to draw the inference that,

в 2

۰.

4

without a miracle, the Greek of the New Testament could not have been different from that which we find it to be. A regard to the circumstances of the writers, to the age in which they lived, to the locality in which they wrote, to the associations of birth, of education, and position, a consideration of their end and aim in writing what was to be a stinua is dei. unconscious though they were of the fact, all these justify the conclusion that the sweeping charges of solocisms, of grammatical improprieties, of forced constructions, may be disregarded by us as vague declamation. To expect that Palestinian Jews should write Greek according to the refinements and elegancies of the language which was current at Athens in the days of Pericles, is as unreasonable as it would be to require that modern English should retain the idiomatic usages, expressions, and orthography, which prevailed under the Plantagenets, or to conceive that a collection of writings from different authors, designed not only for the instruction of the intelligent and thoughtful, but for the use of the Boors at the Cape, the Pariahs of Hindostan, the Aborigines of Australia, the Red Indians of North America, ought to bear upon its front the characteristics of language, expression, and style, which we reasonably require in a critical essay, a philosophical treatise, or an academical address. In such a collection of writings, whether we regard the component parts singly, or as a whole, we might with good reason require that no unwarrantable liberties should be taken with the language, that there should be no offences against good taste, no violations of decorum, nothing to debase the mind, vitiate the feeling, or corrupt the judgment. But all refinements of language, all elegant turns of expression might well be sacrificed in order to secure more thoroughly and effectually the writers' end and aim, the instruction and edification of universal humanity.

As it is quite unreasonable to judge of New Testament Greek by the conventional standard adopted 300 B.C. in a particular city and district, so by a reference to what is now going on in many parts of the world, we need not be surprised at the mixture of various elements contained therein. For if we consider the extent to which our own tongue is adulterated in both hemispheres, by the use of extraneous words and incongruous expressions, we shall deem it truly marvellous, and a result which surpasses human wisdom, that writers of Galilee,  $d\gamma\rho\dot{a}\mu\mu\alpha\tau\sigma\sigma\kappa a$  idiara, have employed with such slight divergences the language of Thucydides, Plato, and Xenophon, in a connected series of works, which in subject-matter, complexion, and object, throw into the shade the choicest specimens of classical literature.

One striking characteristic of the Greek of the New Testament is the Christian element arising from the subject-matter, which the writers had to unfold.

A second arises from the position of the writers, as Hebrewspeaking Jews, who had to complete a revelation which had already been partially revealed in Hebrew. This we may call the Hebrew element.

There is a third element, which we may call the Alexandrine, consisting of Latinisms, Cilicisms, Syriacisms, and extraneous terms.

There is a fourth element, which had an important influence on the employment of the preceding, viz., the oral. The style is colloquial rather than literary. The diction is the Greek of conversation rather than of composition. We have very imperfect memorials of the ordinary language used by the Greeks in the mart, the forum, and domestic life. The expressions employed by philosophers and poets, by orators and tragedians, afford no clue to the conversational Greek which regulated their social intercourse. The principal source from which we can form an opinion of their vernacular speech is the comedies of Aristophanes: "Illa Comœdia vetus, speculum quotidianæ vitæ, plebeias quasdam offert loquutiones."---Valckner<sup>1</sup>. The speeches in the Acts of the Apostles are faithful recitals of words actually uttered; and many of the epistles were written from dictation. "To the oral element," save Bishop Ellicott, "we may ascribe the combined simplicity and force of the narrative portions; the suspended structures and relapses to the nominative case observable in the writings of St. Paul." Its style is free from all tinge of vulgarity, and from every trace of artistic diction. In fact it exhibits, as Professor Masson has well remarked, the only genuine facsimile of the colloquial diction employed by unsophisticated Grecian gentlemen of the first century, who spoke without pedantry, as ίδιῶται, and not as σοφισταί.

<sup>1</sup> See notes on L. 11. 3. 13. (Webster and Wilkinson.)

6

#### THE CHRISTIAN ELEMENT.

In every branch of science or department of study we find peculiar words and technical terms which are formed by enlarging the vocabulary of the language, or are old words with a new and appropriate meaning. The doctrine of the kingdom of heaven could not possibly be made known to man, without the introduction of new words, or an accession of meaning to words which were already in use. The writers of heathen antiquity had no occasion to speak of  $\dot{\eta} \, \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \, \Theta \epsilon \sigma \hat{\nu} - \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \iota \nu$ ,  $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ ,  $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ ,  $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \delta \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ , in the sense in which they so frequently recur in the Books of the New Covenant.

The historical facts upon which Christianity rests could be recorded in the language of Thucydides and Xenophon; but the revolation of God manifest in the flesh, the dignity of the Redeemer's person, the sufficiency of His atoning work, the operation of the Holy Ghost, the condition of man as fallen in the first Adam, the characteristics of his state as restored in the second Adam, the constitution of the Christian Church, — these and similar topics of discourse rendered it necessary to find thought-breathing words, which no writer of an earlier age had any occasion to use. With this agrees the fact which we might naturally anticipate, that the Christian element is more strongly marked in the writings of John, Paul, and Peter, than in the synoptical Gospels, or the Acts of the Apostles.

The writers of the New Testament, if for a moment we leave out of sight the divine superintendence, suggestion, and control which attended them in all their labours, must have felt the same difficulties which beset modern translators of the Bible in finding suitable words and expressions to be the vehicle of superhuman thoughts. The Chinese, for instance, with all their literary, scientific, and philosophical attainments, have no appropriate word for expressing "Deity," and perhaps no language which has not been amplified and enriched by the leaven of Christianity, contains tolerable equivalents for the words grace, humility, faith, hope, charity.

A test of the character and habits of the people is furnished by the copiousness or scantiness of their vocabulary on moral subjects. The languages of the South Sea Islanders are particularly copious in words which convey the crime of murder;

there are several distinct terms to express different ways in which infanticide may be committed. The universal prevalence of this abominable atrocity has given birth to a deplorable richness of vocabulary in expressing the practice. We must ascribe it to the happy influence of the oracles of God committed to our trust, that our language has so many words which denote sympathy, condelence, fellow-feeling, compassion, pity, affection, tenderness, but has no term nearer than the words "maliciousness," " spitefulness," to express inixaiperarla. Exultation at the ills which befall other men is happily so rare a feeling, that no distinguishing word has been adopted or compounded to convey the idea. The spirit of genuine benevolence, of disinterested kindness in secking the welfare of other men, was so little recognized among the Greeks, that the Apostles had no suitable word made ready to their hands, but were compelled to borrow one from the xoonyos, who defrayed the expenses incident on providing a chorus, suitably trained and equipped, to carry out the scenic representation of the Grecian drama. Although the Septuagint translation had done much to render Greek an appropriate vehicle for imparting Christian truth, and became "a viaduct between the two covenants," yet the Apostles found the language too narrow and shallow for the truths they had to declare. Of some words they enlarged and deepened the signification; other words they boldly coined, but always in true analogy with the genius of the language which they served to enrich.

We may trace both in the Old and New Testaments the gradual growth of human language till it became fitted to communicate the things which were revealed,  $\pi o \lambda v \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}_s \kappa a \hat{\pi} \sigma \lambda v \tau \rho \acute{\sigma} \pi \omega_s$ , in manifold portions and manifold methods. The Greek, notwithstanding its abundant copiousness in comparison with the Hebrew, required many subsidiary rills to form the broad channel of language, through which the final revelation of the Divine mind could be imparted to man and diffused through the world.

As an illustration of the Christian element, we may remark that the classical terms for virtue,  $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$ ,—for morality,  $\ddot{\eta}\theta\eta$ (mores), seldom occur; the latter but once in a quotation, while in their place we have a rich cluster of qualities and graces enumerated under the term  $\kappa a\rho\pi\dot{o}s$   $\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu a\tau os$ ,—an idea which never entered into the conception of Grecian sages.

Lest the Christian ministry should be confounded with the

Jewish priesthood, the writers of the New Testament altogether avoid the word *iepevs* as a designation of those who labour in the Word and doctrine. To mark the contrast between Christianity and heathenism they use  $\theta \upsilon \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \iota v$ , in preference to  $\beta \omega \mu \delta s$ ,  $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ . It is also remarkable that  $\sigma \kappa \dot{a} \nu \delta a \lambda o \nu$ , which occurs fifteen times in the New Testament, and twenty times in LXX and Apocrypha, is scarcely ever found in profane writers; though Aristophanes, *Acharn*. 687, uses  $\sigma \kappa a \nu \delta \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \rho o \nu$  in a metaphorical sense. The idea of putting a stumbling-block, or an occasion to fall in a brother's way, never entered into the mind of the heathen.

To the Christian element we attribute the use of the following expressions: ό ποιμήν ό καλός, J. 10. 11. ή άμπελος ή άληθινή, πάν κλήμα έν Χριστώ, μένειν έν Χριστώ, J. 15. 1, 2.-7. άνωθεν γεννασθαι, J. 3. 3. παλιγγενεσία, T. 3. 5. ανακαίνωσις νοός, R. 12. 2. μετάνοια, A. 11. 18. καινή κτίσις, 20. 5. 17. δ έσω ανθρωπος, R. 7. 22. δ ξξω, 2 C. 4. 16. αμαρτία προς θάνατον, 1 J. 5. 16, 17. θάνατος είς του αίωνα, J. 8. 51. πνευματικός in opposition to  $\psi v \chi i \kappa \delta \varsigma$ ,  $\sigma a \rho \kappa i \kappa \delta \varsigma$ ,—the combination of  $\kappa a \tau d$ σάρκα with είναι, περιπατείν, ζην-καινότης πνεύματος, παλαιότης γράμματος, R. 7. 6. έργάζεσθαι in opposition to πιστεύειν, ό κόσμος ούτος, J. 8. 23, Πίτα Γίτα νου Θεού, της xápitos tou Ocou. To these we may add the technical senses acquired by  $\sigma \omega \zeta \epsilon w$ ,  $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ , and their derivatives,  $\delta \delta \xi a$ ,  $\zeta \omega \eta$ αιώνιος, λύτρον, χάρις, πειρασμός, όδός, μυστήριον, βαπτίζεσθαι. έπιστρέφειν, έπιστροφή, et similia, many of which are explained in our list of synonyms.

In this class we may enumerate the words which mark the contrast in which Christianity stands to heathenism and Judalsm, σκηνοπηγία, προσήλυτος, εἰδωλόθυτον, εἰδωλολατρεία, φυλακτήριον.

#### THE HEBREW ELEMENT.

It is unnecessary to give in detail an account of the unprofitable controversy which raged for a long period between the Purists and the Hebraists. If the illustration which we have adduced from the local incidents which affect our own tongue in all parts of the world in our own day be deemed apposite and analogous, no reasonable man will expect to find Attic purity in the pages of the New Testament, though he will resist as altogether unnecessary and unfounded the inference that Hellenistic Greek is a heterogeneous confusion of several languages and dialects. He will not be surprised to meet with a few strange and anomalous forms of declension and conjugation; he will not stumble at the omission of the augment in some verbs, or at its irregular use in other verbs; he will not expect to find consistency or uniformity in orthography or orthoepy.

The position laid down by Blackwall (Sacred Classics, i. 153) will not be easily assailed. "The main substance and groundwork of the language of the Gospels and Epistles is incontestably the same with that of the older Grecians, excepting when the rites of the Jewish and new revelations of the Christian religion required new terms, or where the usage of Hebrew modes of speech, and allusions to oriental customs expressed the thing with more vigour, and were more intelligible to the people. Even in the Hebraisms and peculiarities of the New Testament, as good a regard has been paid to the general analogy and true propriety of grammar as in the writings which make up the standard of the Greek language."

With Michaelis we may assign the causes of these disputes to a want of sufficient knowledge of the Greek, the prejudices of pedantry and school orthodoxy, the injudicious custom of choosing the Greek Testament as the first book to be read by learners. This last cause has not perhaps exerted much influence during the last thirty years; but to these a further reason may be assigned which has had very unhappy influence in England, viz. that our most eminent scholars have deemed the study of the Greek Testament beneath their notice; so that the seven plays of Æschylus alone have received more attention from learned divines than the whole of the New Testament. Others again have simply noticed the oracles of truth in order to contrast the Christian element with the philosophy of Aristotle and Plato. Until a very recent period the peculiarities of Hellenistic Greek had been treated of by English scholars, who were certainly not well qualified for the task. These have suffered themselves to be led away by implicit deference to the authority of German grammarians and lexicographers, one of whom gives the following view of their labours: "Studio quærendorum Hebraismorum nimis indulsisse, in interpretandis singulis verbis, imprimis præpositionibus, conjunctionibus, particulis leges Græcæ linguæ migrasse, significationes temere effinxisse, et subtilitatem grammaticam mire neglexisse," In ~

fact, whether we look to English or foreign theologians, we may say with Hermann, "Tristissima profecto sors obtigit scriptoribus sacris . . . Diligenter caveant tirones, ne putent, viros Spiritu Sancto adflatos, sprevisse sermonem mortalium, sed meminerint potius, illam interpretandi rationem qua nonnulli theologorum utuntur, nihil esse nisi blasphemiam." Or we may apply to the interpretation of the New Testament the language which Dr. Pusey has employed of the Old: "The comparison of the cognate dialects opened for a time an unlimited licence of innovation. Every principle of interpretation, every rule of language was violated. The Bible was misinterpreted with a wild recklessness to which no other book was ever subjected. A subordinate meaning of mere half-understood Arabic words was always at hand to remove whatever any one misliked. Now the manifoldness of this reign of misrule has subsided. But interpretations as arbitrary as any which had perished still hold their sway." (Introduction to the Minor Prophets, p. vii.)

One of the terms which is frequently considered Hebraistic is the occurrence of the phrase, 'to be called,' 'to be found,' instead of the verb substantive 'to be.' The first continually occurs in Greek, as may be seen by looking at  $\kappa a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ , in any Lexicon, or at our notes on L. 1. 32. The second phrase might be called a Gallicism or an Anglicism with as much propriety as a Hebraism. Meyer renders A. 8. 30,  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \eta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} A \zeta \omega \tau o \nu$ , 'il se trouva.' We might well render M. 1. 18,  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \eta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  $\gamma a \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \nu \sigma a$ , 'found herself with child.' We may even say, without great impropriety, 'How do you find yourself?' for 'How are you?'

The use of the word 'son' to signify relation in general, such as cause and effect, dependence of one thing upon another, likeness, is frequently considered a Hebraism. But many similar expressions are found in Classical Greek, as well as in all other languages. The Red Indians employ perpetually the word 'son.' This genitive may well be referred to the genitive of quality denoting a permanent and abiding possession. (See numerous examples in Chapter IV.)

So too, the use of the word 'name,' denoting substance, personality, J. 1. 12; M. 28. 19. The word  $\delta\nu\rho\mu a$  expresses the means of identification, and implies the knowledge of one in his real person and character.

It seems very doubtful whether the uses of the word 'know,'

in the sense of 'approve,' or 'hear,' in the sense of 'heed,' can be called Hebraistic.

The prefixes  $\supseteq$  and  $\checkmark$  undoubtedly exercised a considerable influence on the diction of Hellenists; but these have been made responsible for every kind of construction which the annotator could not explain. The insertion or omission of the Article was doubtless very much affected by the use of the Hebrew J. There is, however, great justice in the remarks of Meyer, who confirms the view already quoted from Blackwall: "Ut autem Hebraismos permiscerent, non modo hæc causa fuit quia Hebræi erant, sed quia cum de iis rebus dissererent que Hebraicis literis erant tradite, necesse fuit multa retinere, ne doctrinam quampiam novam adferre viderentur. Et certe tam multos Hebraismos ab illis servatos fuisse minime miror, cum plerique sint ejusmodi ut nullo alio idiomate tam feliciter exprimi possint; imo interdum ne exprimi quidem; ut nisi illas formulas retinuissent, nova illis interdum vocabula et nova dicendi genera comminiscenda fuerint, que nemo plane intellexisset."

#### SPURIOUS HEBRAISMS.

Expressions like ίδων είδον, Α. 7. 34; ἀκοῦ ἀκούσετε, Μ. 13. 14; ἀπειλῦ ἀπειλησώμεθα, Α. 4. 17; παραγγελία παρηγγείλαμεν, Α. 5. 28, have so many counterparts in classical authors, that they ought not to be considered Hebraistic because they frequently occur in the Old Testament. Hdt. vii. 10. 1, τὴν ἀμείνω γνώμην αἰρεόμενον ἐλέσθαι. Hom. II. i. 233, καὶ ἐπὶ μέγαν ὅρκον ὀμοῦμαι. Xen. Cyrop. viii. 4. 9, ὑπακούων σχολῦ ὑπήκουσα. Soph. Œd. T. 65, ὡστ' οὐχ ὕπνῷ γ' εὕδοντά μ' ἐξηγείρετε. O. C. 1625, φόβῷ δείσαντας. Ælian, νίκην ἐνίκησε. Plato, τί δὴ λέγοντες διέβαλλον οἱ διαβάλλοντες; φεύγει φυγῦ. διανόημα διανοεῖσθαι. Æsch., δεήσομαι ὑμῶν μετρίαν δέησιν. Xen. Anab. συμβουλευόμενος συνεβούλευσεν αὐτοῖς τάδε.

M. 2. 10, χαρὰν μεγάλην σφόδρα ἐχάρησαν has been consured as a double Hebraism. But in correspondence with μεγάλην σφόδρα we find in Herodotus, έθνος μέγα ἰσχυρῶς, λίην ἰσχυραὶ τιμωρίαι.

With ἄνδρες ἀδελφοὶ καὶ πατέρες, brethren and fathers, A. 7. 2, compare ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ἄνδρες δικασταί (Demosth.); βασιλῆι ἀνδρί (Homer); ἄνδρα στρατηγόν (Thucydides); ἀνὴρ Πέρσης (Herodotus); homo gladiator (Cicero).

#### 12 THE PECULIARITIES OF HELLENISTIC GREEK.

The use of the word  $\xi i \lambda ov$ , for 'tree,' L. 23. 31, Rev. 2. 7; 22. 2. 14, has been commented upon. But we have in Xen. Anab. vi. 4. 3, dasi  $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i s$  kai  $\pi a \nu \tau o d a \pi o i s$  kai  $\mu e \gamma a \lambda o i s$  $\xi i \lambda o i s$ .

M. 5. 6,  $\pi \epsilon i \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon_s$  and  $\delta_i \psi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon_s \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \delta_i \kappa a_i o \sigma' \dot{\nu} \eta \nu$ . The peculiarity of this passage consists in the union of the two metaphors, hunger and thirst, directed at the same time to one object. Each metaphor singly occurs in many, perhaps in all languages. Shakspore, Henry IV., Part II., 'Dost thou so hunger for my empty chair ?' Oicero, 'sitire honores; sitire sanguinem.' Xen. Cyrop. v. 6. 1, otrus e'  $\psi \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \delta_i \psi \hat{\omega} \chi a \rho \xi e \sigma \theta a i.$ 

H. 10. 27, πυρός ζηλος έσθιειν μέλλοντος τους υπεναντίους. It is the same as Zeph. 1. 18; 3. 8, באָש קנאָרִי הַאָכָל. Isa. 26. 11, LXX, νῦν πῦρ τοὺς ὑπεναντίους ἔδεται.— But the devouring flame, 'ignis edax,' is an expression which occurs in many languages. Hom. Il. xxiii. 182, τοὺς ἅμα σοὶ πάντας πῦρ ἐσθίει. Virg. Æn. iv. 66, 'est mollis flamma medullas.'

M. 13. 11, ὑμῖν δέδοται γνώναι, may be compared with Xen. Anab. vi. 6. 20, ὑμῶν δέδοται ἐκκομίσαι τοὺς ἄνδρας. Hist. Gr. vi. 1, ἀπὸ τῶν θεῶν δέδοται ὑμῖν εὐτυχεῖν.

M. 20. 22, ποτήριον πίνειν. Compare Æsch. Ag. 1397, υπερδίκως μεν ουν τοσωνδε κρατήρ' εν δόμοις κακών όδε πλήσας αραίων αυτός εκπίνει μολών. Forcellini explains Plautus, 'ut senex hoc eodem poculo, quo ego bibi, biberet,' by 'ut eamdem sentiret calamitatem.'

κοιμάσθαι in reference to the sleep of death, M. 27. 52; J. 11. 11. 13; A. 7. 60, may be compared with Hom. II. xi. 241, ώς δ μέν αὐθι πεσών κοιμήσατο χάλκεον ὕπνον.

ἀνάγκη in the sense of distress, calamity, L. 21. 23; 1 C. 7. 26, corresponds to pixp, Ps. 119. 143; ΥΥ, Job 27. 9. But compare Æsch. Prom. V. 107, ἀνάγκαις ταῖσδ' ὑπεζεῦγμαι τάλας. Xen. Mem. iii. 12. 3, eἰς τὰς ἀνάγκας ἀλγεινοτάτας ἐμπεσόντες. Tao. Annal. xi. 37, 'Supremis ejus necessitatibus ad miserationem evicta erat.'

The expressions, ἐνδύσασθαι Χριστόν, R. 13. 14; ἐνδύσασθαι τὸν καινὸν ἄνθρωπου, E. 4. 24, which in sentiment belong to the Christian element, are similar to Job 29. 14, μτζ. Hom. II, ix. 231, εἰ μὴ σύγε δόσεαι ἀλκήν. Od. ix. 214, μεγάλην ἐπιειμένον ἀλκήν. Plato, Legg. 642 B, εὕνοια ἐνδύεταί τινα.

#### GENUINE HEBRAISMS.

After we have deducted the instances in which the Hebraistic tone and colouring is at least doubtful, there will remain many phrases and idioms in which the Hebrew element must unquestionably be acknowledged. It is difficult to conceive how it could be otherwise. A work which is written by a foreigner who has learnt English will have a peculiar tinge derived from his native tongue, and from the literature with which he was conversant from his youth. This tinge will be more marked if his work is designed for the use of his own countrymen.

The frequent occurrence of the phrase kal ėvėvero is doubtless owing to 122. The use of  $a\ddot{v}\tau\eta$  in M. 21. 42, where we might have expected  $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o - \pi a \rho \dot{a} \kappa v \rho (ov ėvėvero a <math>\tilde{v}\tau \eta$  — is owing to the feminine JNN in Ps. 118. 23.

Some peculiar forms of superlative, H. 9. 3, ἀγία ἀγίων, τη ψτρ. L. 1. 42, εὐλογημένη σὺ ἐν γυναιξί. Rev. 19. 16, Βασιλεὺς βασιλέων καὶ Κύριος κυρίων.

M. 25. 1. 6, εἰς ἀπάντησίν τινος, οr τινί, אָקָרָאָת 1 S. 9. 14; Jer. 41. 6. M. 12. 42, πέρατα τῆς γῆς, אָרָץ Ps. 2. 8. L. 21. 8, πορεύεσθαι ἀπίσω τινός, דֻלָך אַחַרָי Judg. 2. 12; 1 S. 6. 12; M. 11. 25. ἐξομολογείσθαι, דֹרָה 2 S. 22. 50; Ps. 30. 13.

Expressions for eternity and continuity, L. 1. 50, εἰς γενεἀς γενεῶν. R. 16. 27, εἰς τοὺς aἰῶνaς aἰῶνων, עוֹלָם וְעוֹלָם, 2 C. 4. 16, ἀνακαινοῦται ἡμέρα καὶ ἡμέρα, מוֹם מִיּוֹם מִיּ. R. 2. 14, ἐδίδασκεν τῷ Βαλάκ, the dative corresponds to b. A. 6. 5, ἤρεσεν ὁ λόγος ἐνώπιον παντὸς τοῦ πλήθους, צֵעֵ LXX, Gen. 34. 18; 41. 37. Mk. 8. 12, ἀμὴν λέγω ὑμῦν εἰ δοθήσεται. This is explained under εἰ among the Particles, Chapter VIII.

Among Hebraisms there is reckoned the accumulation of synonyms which give force and variety to the sentiment, Mk. 12. 30; R. 2. 4; E. 1. 21.

It will be observed from this summary that the pure Hebraisms are more of a lexical than of a grammatical character, the effect of early association rather than ignorance of more ordinary modes of construction. The manner in which different writers have followed one another in ascribing to this element every term and expression which they did not understand,

justifies the remark of Lücke that Hebraism has been their hidden helper in all need.

#### THE ALEXANDRINE ELEMENT.

The diction of the New Testament has been called the Alexandrine dialect from its affinity to the Septuagint version, which was executed at Alexandria. This appellation is far from correct, as the inspired writers were not citizens of Alexandria, and it is wrong to assume that they adopted the Alexandrine dialect because they made some use of the Alexandrine version.

Attic Greek bears the same relation to the language spoken at Alexandria which the English spoken by the educated classes in Great Britain has to the speech of many foreign commercial cities where all the provincial varieties in tone, accent, and expression which England, Scotland, and Ireland can furnish, astonish and confuse the ear. While many of these provincialisms are confined to commercial intercourse, not a few will find their way into local literature as more intelligible and expressive to the parties immediately addressed.

After the subjugation of the Greeks by the Macedonians, and the extension of their conquests into Asia and Africa, the various dialects of ancient Greece were fused into  $\dot{\eta}$  kouv $\dot{\eta}$  $\delta u\dot{\alpha}\lambda\epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma_{S}$ , with an admixture of foreign words from Syrians, Persians, and Jews. Of this Macedonian tongue the dialect of Alexandria was a corrupt progeny engendered by the confluence of Greeks, Macedonians, Africans, Carthaginians, Sicilians, and of strangers from the remoter regions of the East. We need not then be surprised that writers of Galilee should employ terms which were commonly received by their countrymen, without any nice discrimination of the source from which the words arose.

#### CILICISMS.

Under the Alexandrine element we may arrange the Cilicisms of St. Paul.

It is fecorded of Æschylus, himself a native of Attica, that the effects of his visit to the court of Hiero at Syracuse were seen in the Sicilian tinge which is discernible in his later plays. Though this visit occurred after he had reached maturity, and was in duration less than a year, his writings contain some  $\phi \omega val \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}, k \in \mathcal{K}} as$  the result. One of these,  $\beta o v v \delta_{s}$ , is adopted by St. Paul's associate and companion in travel, L. 3. 5; 23. 30. As this was the case with Æschylus, we need not be surprised that some Cilicisms are found in the Epistles of a native of Tarsus, and of a student in its flourishing school of philosophy.

1 C. 4. 3, ἀνακρίνεσθαι ὑπὸ ἀνθρωπίνης ἡμέρας. We have in Euripides and Sophocles ἡμέρα λυπρά, ἡμέραι ἐπίποναι, " days of toil and sorrow;" but not ἀνθρωπίνη ἡμέρα, " the day in which man bears sway."

R. 6. 19,  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\iota\nu\sigma\nu$   $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega$ , "I speak in a manner adapted to human weakness." Plato uses the word in a nearly similar manner,  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\iota\nu\eta$   $\delta\delta\xi a$ , "fallible human understanding." Thucydides, iii. 40, has  $\delta\mu a\rho\tau\epsilon\iota\nu$   $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\iota\nu\omega$ s, "human infirmity."

2 C. 11. 9, καταναρκậν τινος, to be slothful towards, press heavily upon, hang as a dead weight. ναρκάω, be torpid, torpere.

C. 2. 18, καταβραβεύειν τινά, give the prize against; deprive of the palm; pass an unjust decision. Conc. Laod., τὸ μὴ τὸν νικήσαντα ἀξιοῦν τοῦ βραβείου, ἀλλ ἐτέρῷ διδόναι αὐτὸ, ἀδικουμένου τοῦ νικήσαντος. This however is hardly a Cilicism. Dem. Mid. 544, Στράτωνα ὑπὸ Μειδίου καταβραβευθέντα, "had an unfair decision against him."

#### LATINISMS.

The departure of the sceptre from Judah by the reduction of Palestine into a Roman province, was followed by the adoption of Roman laws and customs, and by the use of Latin words and phrases, such especially as had reference to the imposition of tribute, commercial transactions, and military rule.

Such are ἀσσάριον, κῆνσος, κεντουρίων, κολωνία, κουστωδία, κοδράντης, δηνάριον, Ἱοῦστος, Λιβέρτινος, λέντιον, μάκελλον, μέμβρανα, μίλιον, πραιτώριον, ξέστης, σιμικίνθιον, σικάριος, σουδάριον, σπεκουλάτωρ, τάβερνα, τίτλος, φραγέλλιον.

The following words are indifferently styled Aramæisms, Syraisms, and Chaldaisms: <sup>\*</sup>Αββα, <sup>'</sup>Ακελδαμά, <sup>'</sup>Αρμαγεδδών, Βηθσαϊδά, Κηφᾶς, Κόρβαν, <sup>\*</sup>Ελωι <sup>\*</sup>Ελωι λάμα σαβάχθανι, <sup>'</sup>Εφφαθά, Μαμμῶνα, Μαραναθά, <sup>\*</sup>Ρακά, Τάλιθα κοῦμι, Ταβιθά, Χερουβίμ.

Some terms may be styled Rabbinical; for although the Talmud was of much later date, the Rabbis in the time of

our Lord, used language which was subsequently known by the designation Talmudical.

There are also a few Persian words : ἀγγαρεύειν, γάζα, μάγος, μαργαρίτης, μεγιστâνες.

If then the object of the writers of the New Testament be kept in mind, we shall see that the fusion of the Greek styles effected in the KOLVY SIGNERTOS, the language of the masses, was admirably adapted to be the vehicle of communicating divine truth to the world. Dr. Blackwall well argues (Sacred Classics, i. 38), "The old Greek writers have many foreign words, as well as the sacred classics. In the times when the most eminent Greek writers flourished, the Persian empire was of vast extent, and had a mighty influence upon all Greece; by their wars, commerce, and travels, many of their words became familiar in the Grecian language. So in the time of the Apostles and Evangelists, the Roman empire had extended its conquests over the greatest part of the world where.Greek was spoken, which led them to introduce some of the Roman words and phrases. These terms, put into Greek characters, were very well understood by the persons to whom they were addressed, and, upon several considerations, might be more pleasing and emphatical than the original words of the language. Shall it be allowed to Xenophon, Herodotus, and Thucydides, freely to use Persian, Ægyptian, and other oriental words? and can it be an unpardonable fault for St. Matthew, St. Mark, St. Luke, St. Paul, upon occasion, to use Roman ?"

If it be said that by acknowledging this fusion we surrender the whole question as to the classical propriety of Hellenistic Greek, we would point to the accuracy with which the inspired writers have used nouns, verbs, and tenses, according to their distinctive power (Chapters II., VI.), to the correctness with which they have employed the several particles (Chapter VIII.), and to the precision with which they have preserved the characteristic differences between words apparently synonymous (Chapter X.), as proofs of their acquaintance with the more delicate usages of the language, at a time when Greek was subject to many influences of deterioration and decay.

#### CHAPTER II.

#### ON THE FORMATION OF WORDS.

#### CLASSES OF SUBSTANTIVES.

SUBSTANTIVES are to a considerable extent derived from, or connected with verbs, and may be classed according to their several affixes. To each affix a particular meaning is attached.

The male agent or doer is represented by the affixes  $-\tau \dot{\eta}_{\varsigma}$ ,  $-\tau \dot{\eta}_{\rho}$ ,  $-\tau \omega \rho$ ,  $-\epsilon \dot{v}_{\varsigma}$ ,—as κριτής, judge;  $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta}_{\rho}$ , saviour;  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ , orator;  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}_{\varsigma}$ , king;  $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}_{\varsigma}$ ,  $\beta \iota a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}_{\varsigma}$ . The corresponding terminations for the female agent are  $-\tau \epsilon \iota \rho a$ ,  $-\tau \rho \dot{\iota} a$ ,  $-\tau \iota \varsigma$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota a$ ,  $-\iota \sigma \sigma a$ ,—as  $\sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a$ ,  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} a$ ,  $\beta a \sigma \dot{\iota} \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma a$ ,  $\mu a \kappa a \rho \tilde{\iota} \tau \iota \varsigma$ .

Other terminations for the agent are  $-\delta s$ ,  $-\delta \hat{v}$ :  $\tau \rho \phi \phi \delta s$ , nurturer ( $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$ );  $\tau a \gamma \delta s$ , marshaller ( $\tau \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$ );  $\pi o \mu \pi \delta s$ , conductor ( $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ );  $\dot{a} o \iota \delta \delta s$ , singer ( $\dot{a} \epsilon \iota \delta \omega$ );  $-\mu \eta \nu$ ,  $-\mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ;  $\pi o \iota \mu \eta \nu$ , shepherd;  $-\dot{\omega} \nu$ ,  $-\delta \nu o s$ ,  $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ , leader.

Nouns ending in  $-\sigma ia$ ,  $-\sigma a$ ,  $-\sigma is$ , generally denote the action as incomplete, or in progress:  $\theta v \sigma l a$ , sacrifice;  $\delta \sigma \kappa v \mu a \sigma i a$ , scrutiny;  $\pi \rho \tilde{a} \xi is$ , doing;  $\tau \dot{a} \xi is$ , arranging;  $a \tilde{v} \xi \eta \sigma i s$ , increasing;  $\delta \delta \xi a$ ,  $\delta \delta \kappa \eta \sigma i s$ , seeming.

Nouns in  $-\mu \delta \varsigma$  express the action of the verb proceeding from the subject; the action and its result:  $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \delta \varsigma$ , pursuing, or being pursued;  $\delta \delta \nu \rho \mu \delta \varsigma$ , act of lamenting, or lament;  $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \delta \varsigma$ , shaking, earthquake;  $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \delta \varsigma$ , standing, station, halting-place;  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \delta \varsigma$ , binding, bond;  $\delta \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \sigma \mu \delta \varsigma$ , reproaching and reproach;  $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \rho \nu \iota \sigma \mu \delta \varsigma$ , self-control, soundness of mind.

Nouns in  $-\mu a$ ,  $-\mu a \tau o \varsigma$ , denote the thing done, or the passive object; the result or product of an act:  $\pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a$ , thing done;  $\sigma \pi \acute{e} \rho \mu a$ , thing sown;  $\pi o \acute{e} \eta \mu a$ , product of composition;  $\mu v \eta \mu a$ , memorial;  $v \acute{o} \eta \mu a$ , that which is perceived;  $\sigma \acute{e} \beta a \sigma \mu a$ , object of worship.

: Some nouns in -σις denote an action and the result: δόσις,

С

giving, gift, as in English 'dose;'  $\pi e_{\rho i} \pi e_{\rho i$ act of Redemption was an act of  $\pi e_{\rho_i \pi_{\rho_i} \sigma_i \sigma_i}$ , by which the Redeemer acquired the redeemed as a possession to Himself. We may observe, *kplais*, the act or time of pronouncing sentence: *soing*, the sentence pronounced; *should*, preaching; κήρυγμα, the matter preached; βρώσις, the act of eating, to be distinguished from  $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$ , the substance eaten, R. 14. 15. 17. 20; καύχησις, act of glorying; καύχημα, subject-matter, theme of glorying, 2 C. 1. 12. 14. amóκριμa is the substance of the aπόκρισις, 2 C. 1. 9. πλήρωμα has two meanings: Active. To Those Torselv, implendi actio. Passive, id quod impletum est, or, id quo res impletur, 1 C. 10. 26; Mk. 8. 20. Thus G. 4. 4, rd mh house rou xpowou may be taken actively, i. e. a temporal space filled up as it were by the flowing in of time; or passively, id quo temporis spatium expletur. Hdt. iii. 22, όγδώκοντα δ έτεα ζόης πλήρωμα ανδρί μακρότατον. So stigis may mean the act of creation, R. 1. 20, or the thing · created, 2 O. 5. 17; R. 8. 19. Either meaning may be adopted in G. 6. 15; R. 4. 19. The vérowaw, the deadness: 2 C. 4. 10, πάντοτε την νέκρωσιν του Κυρίου Ιησού έν τω σώματι περιφέporres, exhibiting in my person the putting to death of the Lord Jesus : Ja. 1. 25, ev Tŷ ποιήσει αὐτοῦ, in his doing, in his practical observance of the law.

Nouns in -os, -eos, denote the result or product of an action :  $\kappa \eta \delta \sigma \sigma$ , sorrow,  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \sigma \sigma$ , grief,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma$ , birth,  $\phi \delta \sigma \sigma$  ( $\phi \delta \sigma$ ), light,  $\psi \epsilon \nu \delta \sigma \sigma$ , falsehood.

Nouns of the first declension in  $-\mu\eta'$ ,  $\eta'$ , denote the action of the root, actively or passively:  $\mu\nu\eta\mu\eta$ , memory, that which remembers,  $\gamma\rho\mu\mu\mu\eta'$ , line which has been drawn,  $\delta\epsilon\sigma\mu\eta'$ , bundle,  $\tau_{4}\mu\eta'$ , honour, price,  $\delta\kappa\sigma\eta'$ , hearing, report,  $\chi\alpha\rho\delta'$ , delight,  $\delta\iota\delta\alpha\chi\eta'$ , process of teaching, or the thing taught.  $\eta' \pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\mu\sigma\eta'$  may mean conviction, the state of being persuaded, or the art of persuading, persuadendi sollertia; G. 5. 8;  $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\mu\sigma\eta'$ , fulness, satietas, the state, and expletio, the act of filling, C. 2. 23.

Nouns in -os, ou, express the action of the verb, and sometimes the result:  $\lambda \dot{0}\gamma os$ , speaking, discourse,  $\sigma \pi \dot{0}\rho os$ , sowing, seed,  $\phi \dot{0}\rho os$ , bringing in, revenue.

#### SUBSTANTIVES DERIVED FROM ADJECTIVES.

These are abstract words, such as those which correspond to our terminations in -ness, -dom, -hood, and end in -ia,  $-i\tau\eta$ s (-ότητος). -σύνη, -ος (-εος): σοφία, wisdom,  $d\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \iota a$ , truthfulness, εύνοια, kindness, δικαιοσύνη, righteousness, σωφροσύνη, sound-mindedness, τάχος, temporary swiftness, ταχυτής, habitual speed. άγιωσύνη differs but little from άγιότης (2 C. 1. 12; H. 12. 10), except perhaps that it represents more the condition than the abstract quality; while άγιασμός points primarily to the process (1 Th. 4. 3, 4; 1 P. 1. 2), and thence, with the gradual approach of the termination in -μος, to that in -σύνη, which is so characteristic of the New Testament, the state, frame of mind, or holy disposition, in which the action of the verb is evinced and exemplified. (Ellicott.)

From nouns of agency we have other substantives in -τήριον, -τρον, -εΐον, -ρα, which signify the place of action, the means or instrument with which the agent acts: ποτήριον, cup, τελωνεΐον, toll-house, εἰδωλεΐον, seat of an idol, δεσμωτήριον, prison, ἄροτρον, plough, λόγιον, oracle, γυμνάσιον, school for exercise, έδρα, seat, καλύπτρα, veil, βλέφαρον, eyelid, λύτρον, ransom, iλαστήριον, the place or means of propitiation, διδασκάλιον, δικαστήριον, φυλακτήριον, ταμιεΐον, ἀκροατήριον.

Personal designations signifying locality end in -ευς, -ιτης (-είτις, fem.), -ιος, -νος, or parentage, in -ιδης, -αδης, -ιων, and the feminine -ις, -ιας, -ιωνη, as Ταρσεύς, Μεγαρεύς, 'Αλεξανδρεύς, 'Αβδηρίτης, Χανανίτης, Σαμαρείτης, Σαμαρείτις, Δερβαΐος, Ναζαρηνός, 'Ασιανός, Χριστιανός, Γαδαρηνός, 'Ηρωδιανός.

Diminutives end in  $-i o \nu$ ,  $-i \delta i o \nu$ ,  $-i \sigma \kappa o \varsigma$ , and belong to the class of gentile nouns, or patronymics. From  $\pi a i \varsigma$  we have  $\pi a i \delta i o \nu$ ,  $\pi a i \delta i \sigma \kappa o \varsigma$ ,  $\pi a i \delta i \sigma \kappa \sigma \gamma$ ; from  $\mu \epsilon i \rho a \xi$ ,  $\mu \epsilon i \rho a \kappa i \nu \lambda$ ,  $\mu \epsilon i \rho a \kappa i \lambda \lambda i o \nu$ ; from  $i \chi \theta v \varsigma$ ,  $i \chi \theta v \delta i o \nu$ . But many of these forms are used without any diminutive meaning:  $\theta \eta \rho i o \nu$ , a wild beast,  $\beta i \beta \lambda i o \nu$ , a book,  $\phi o \rho \tau i o \nu$ , a burden,  $\pi \epsilon \delta i o \nu$ , a plain. So in Latin 'puella' is the only word for girl.

Appropriated places end in  $-\omega\nu$ ,  $-\omega\nu ia$ . Thus Elaiw, oliveyard,  $\partial \gamma \omega \nu$ , place of assembly,  $\partial \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ , vineyard,  $\mu \nu \lambda \omega \nu$ , mill.

#### CLASSES OF ADJECTIVES.

Adjectives derived from substantives end in - $\iota os$ ,  $-\iota \kappa \delta s$ , denoting possession of, belonging to, as  $\delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma \iota os$ ,  $\pi \sigma \tau \delta \mu \iota os$ ,  $\phi \iota \lambda \iota os$  and  $\phi \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \delta s$ ,  $\epsilon \ell \rho \eta \nu a \delta c$  and  $\epsilon \ell \rho \eta \nu \iota \kappa \delta s$ ;  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \nu \sigma \sigma \iota os$ , special, peculiar, from  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \nu \sigma \epsilon a$ , supplies;  $\epsilon \pi \iota o \nu \sigma \iota os$ , of the passing day. So  $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota os$ ,  $\mu \delta \tau a \iota os$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon \rho a \iota \delta s$ ,  $\pi a \lambda a \iota \delta s$ ,  $\delta \rho a \iota \delta s$ :  $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \delta s$ , apt to teach, skilled in teaching.

Adjectives denoting the material are formed in - $\epsilon o_{s}$  and  $-i \nu o_{s}$ :  $\chi \rho \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon o_{s}$ , golden,  $\chi \dot{a} \lambda \kappa \epsilon o_{s}$ , made of bronze or copper,  $\dot{a} \rho \gamma \dot{v} \rho \epsilon o_{s}$ , of silver,  $\xi \dot{v} \lambda \iota \nu o_{s}$ , of wood,  $\lambda / \theta \iota \nu o_{s}$ , of stone,  $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \dot{o}_{s}$ , of genuine or true materials,  $\sigma \dot{a} \rho \kappa \iota \nu o_{s}$ , of flesh, partaking of human nature, but  $\sigma a \rho \kappa \iota \kappa \dot{o}_{s}$ , swayed by fleshly lusts.  $\sigma \dot{a} \rho \kappa \iota \nu o_{s}$  indicates the nature of the person,  $\sigma a \rho \kappa \iota \kappa \dot{o}_{s}$  the bent of his mind.  $\dot{a} \kappa \rho o - \gamma \omega \nu \iota a \dot{c} o_{s}$ , the stone of the principal corner, as in Him the - two walls from the Gentile and Jewish world meet and are united. 'Lapis angularis; omnia sustinens et in unam fidem Abrahæ colligens eos qui ex utroque Testamento apti sunt ædificio Dei.'  $\dot{e} \pi \iota o \dot{v} \sigma \iota o_{s}$  is equivalent to  $\dot{e} \pi i \tau \eta \nu lo \hat{v} \sigma a \nu \eta \mu \dot{e} \rho a \nu$ , sufficient for the current day. Like  $\dot{e} \phi \dot{o} \delta \iota o_{s}$ , lasting for the journey,  $\dot{e} \phi \eta \mu \dot{e} \rho \iota o_{s}$ , lasting for a day,  $\kappa \dot{a} \rho \pi o s \dot{e} \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o_{s}$ , lasting the whole year. Hom. Od. vii. 118. (See Wratislaw's Notes and Dissertations.)

Formations in -vós, -wós, denote time, place :  $\kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho w \delta s$ , daily,  $\delta \rho \theta \rho w \delta s$ , at the dawn,  $\pi \rho \omega w \delta s$ , early,  $\delta \rho \epsilon w \delta s$ , in the mountain,  $\tau a \chi w \delta s$ , with speed,  $\theta \epsilon \rho w \delta s$ , in the summer.

Adjectives which denote the full expression of the quality expressed in English by the affixes -ful, -able, are formed in - $\rho \delta s$ , - $\delta \lambda \iota \sigma s$ , - $\epsilon \iota s$ :  $\delta \iota \kappa \tau \rho \delta s$ , full of  $\delta \iota \kappa \tau \sigma s$ , pitiful, pitiable,  $\lambda \upsilon \pi \eta \rho \delta s$ , sorrowful,  $\theta a \rho \sigma \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma s$ , full of confidence,  $\phi \theta \sigma \nu \epsilon \rho \delta s$ , full of envy,  $\chi a \rho i \epsilon \iota s$ , graceful.

#### ADJECTIVES DERIVED FROM VERBS.

Adjectives in  $-\sigma\mu\sigma$ s or  $-\mu\sigma$ s express suitableness or capability for the action of the verb:  $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\mu\sigma$ s, useful,  $\delta\delta\delta\mu\sigma$ s, eatable,  $\pi\delta\tau\mu\sigma$ s, drinkable,  $\theta\alpha\nu\sigma\mu\sigma$ s, deadly,  $\tau\rho\delta\phi\mu\sigma$ s, nutritious.

Adjectives in -vós, - $\lambda$ ós, - $\rho$ ós, and -ás, express the meaning of the verb (transitively or intransitively):  $\phi av$ ós,  $\phi a\epsilon uv$ ós, shining,  $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho$ ós, bright,  $\lambda o u \pi$ ós, remaining,  $\sigma \tau u \gamma v$ ós, odious,  $\pi o \theta \epsilon uv$ ós, longed for,  $\delta \epsilon uv$ ós, fearful,  $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda$ ós, cowardly,  $\dot{a} \pi a \tau \eta \lambda$ ós, deceitful, deceiving. The terminations in - $\eta \lambda$ ós, - $\omega \lambda$ ós, denote habit, custom:  $\dot{o} \rho \gamma i \lambda o s$ , soon angry, irascible. Aristotle (Ethics vi. 11) oi  $\mu \dot{e} v$  oùv  $\dot{o} \rho \gamma i \lambda o s$   $\tau a \chi \acute{e} \omega s$ ,  $\mu \ddot{e} \lambda \dot{o} v \delta \dot{e} \ddot{s}$  $\kappa a \dot{e} \dot{\phi}$  ols où  $\delta \epsilon \tilde{s}$   $\kappa a \dot{\mu} \mu \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda o v \ddot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tilde{s}$ ,  $\pi a \dot{v} o \tau a \chi \acute{e} \omega s$ .

Adjectives in  $-\mu\omega\nu$  make the action of the verb the prominent attribute of the person :  $ai\partial\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$ , bashful,  $i\lambda\epsilon\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$ , compassionate,  $\mu\nu\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$ , mindful,  $\epsilon\dot{\pi}i\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\mu\omega\nu$ , forgetful.

Gerundial adjectives are formed from the 2 aor. in -ros,

-réos. These, like the Latin gerundials in -ndus, and the supines in -tum, -tu, have the same meaning as the active infinitive, conveying the idea of capability or adaptation. Sometimes verbals in -ros express the result of the capability or adaptation, both actively and passively : aiperós, an eligible man, a man adapted for choice, and one actually chosen; μεμπτός, capable of blaming and deserving blame;  $\dot{a}$ πειραστός, incapable of tempting and of being tempted, Ja. 1. 13; παρείσακτος, insidiously present, G. 2. 4, either introducing themselves, or introduced by others; yrwortos, one who is capable of being known; adiakpiros, without making distinction; avenóxperos, without false assumption, unhypocritical; άλάλητος, inexpressible. With these we may compare Latin adjectives in -bilis, and for the use of the same word in an active and passive sense, we may compare 'unfeignedly' for 'unfeigningly.'

The termination - $\tau \acute{e}\sigma$  expresses the infinitive with the idea of necessity or duty:  $\dot{a}\sigma\kappa\eta\tau\acute{e}a\sigma\sigma ol$   $\dot{e}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$ , virtue is for you to cultivate, or  $\dot{a}\sigma\kappa\eta\tau\acute{e}\sigma\nu$   $\dot{e}\sigma\tau\iota$   $\sigma\sigma\iota$   $\tau\eta\nu$   $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\eta\nu$ , it is for you to cultivate virtue; Mk. 2. 22, L. 5, 38, olvov véov els  $\dot{a}\sigma\kappa\sigma\nu$ 's  $\kappa\alpha\iota\nu\sigma\nu$ 's  $\beta\lambda\eta\tau\acute{e}\sigma\nu$ .

#### VERBS DERIVED FROM NOUNS.

Verbs which imply to be or to have that which the noun signifies, are formed in  $-\dot{\alpha}\omega$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\omega$ ,  $-\dot{\alpha}\dot{\zeta}\omega$ ,  $-\dot{\zeta}\omega$ :  $\tau \delta\lambda\mu\dot{\alpha}\omega$ , I have daring  $(\tau\delta\lambda\mu\eta)$ ,  $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , I am a friend  $(\phi\iota\lambda\sigma)$ ,  $\kappa \delta\iota\rho a\nu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , I am a ruler  $(\kappa\delta\rho a\nu\sigma)$ ,  $\phi\delta\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ , I am a murderer  $(\phi\delta\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega)$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ , I am truthful  $(\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\gamma}s)$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\sigma\iota\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $a\dot{\kappa}\mu a\lambda\omega\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\pi a\gamma\iota\delta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\sigma\tau\rho a\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\mu a\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\dot{\zeta}\omega$ , I speak Greek or play the Greek ("E $\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$ ), 'Ioudal $\zeta\omega$ . In Ph. 2. 30,  $\pi a\rho a\beta \delta\lambda\epsilon v\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon v\sigma s$ (Laohmann), from  $\pi a\rho\dot{\alpha}\beta\delta\lambda\sigma$ , making venturesome; 1 C. 13. 4,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\tau a\iota$ , becomes not ostentatious;  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\pi\epsilon\rho\sigma s$ , i. q.  $\pi\rho\sigma\pi\epsilon\tau\dot{\gamma}s$ , rash, heedless. In later Greek  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\kappa\sigma\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu$  meant  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}\sigma\kappa\sigma\pi\circ\nu$  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu a\iota$ .

Words in  $-\epsilon \dot{\nu}\omega$  are joined to the dative when they express the being in some state, or in the possession of some quality, as  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu a\theta \dot{\eta}\tau\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon \tau \hat{\omega}$  'Inground', M. 27. 57; but to the accusative when they express some action implied in, or consequent upon, that state or quality, as  $\mu a\theta \eta \tau\epsilon \dot{\nu}\sigma a\tau\epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \, \dot{\epsilon}\theta \nu \eta$ , M. 28. 19.

Causative verbs, expressing that we carry out the act which

is proper to the noun, are formed in -όω, -ίζω, -άζω, -ύνω, alvω: δουλόω, make a slave (δοῦλος), ταπεινόω, μισθόω; πιστόω, make πιστός, firm, sure, to be relied on, LXX, 1 Ki. 1. 36, πιστώσαι ο Θεός: πολεμόω, make hostile, πολεμίζω, make war, but πολεμέω, I am at war (πόλεμος), πλουτίζω, I make rich, but πλουτέω, I am rich (πλοῦτος), aἰχμαλωτίζω, δειγματίζω, ἀναθεματίζω; λευκαίνω, make white (λευκός), ξηραίνω, make dry (ξηρός), σημαίνω, signify (σῆμα), ποιμαίνω, tend as shepherd (ποιμήν).

Frequentatives, Inchoatives, and Desideratives, are formed from other verbs:  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ , groan frequently ( $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega$ ),  $\phi o \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ , wear, gestare ( $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ , gerere),  $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ , whirl over, voluto ( $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ , volvo),  $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ , grow young ( $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ),  $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \kappa \omega$ , grow tipsy ( $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{\upsilon} \omega$ ),  $\gamma \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \epsilon \iota \omega$ , desire to laugh ( $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ),  $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \omega$ , desire to be at war ( $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ),  $\delta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \iota \omega$ , desire to do ( $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ).

# PARATHETIC COMPOUNDS.

Compound words are divided into two classes, parathetic and synthetic. In the parathetic class the several parts of the word which are found side by side in the compound word may exist distinct from each other:  $\pi a \rho a \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ , juxta-position. In the synthetic class the inflexions of the earlier part of the combination are modified so as to appear in a dependent, inseparable, and constant state.

In parathetic compounds we have separable juxta-positions in which one or both members are adverbs, as οὐκέτι, οὐδείς, οὐδεμία, οὐδέν, οὕτις, as a proper name for Mr. Nobody; εὐάγγελος, δυστυχής, δυστυχία, ὑπηρετέω, ὑπηρετής.

The most common of the uninflected words which stand at the beginning of uninflected and separable compounds are the eighteen ordinary prepositions. This facility of combination distinguishes the ordinary prepositions from other words, which are set before the cases of nouns, such as adverbs and quasi-prepositions. In many of these combinations a new and single meaning has superseded those of the preposition and verb taken by themselves. Thus from  $\gamma \nu \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$  we have  $\dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ , I read;  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \nu \gamma \nu \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ , I discover, decide;  $\mu \epsilon \tau a - \gamma \nu \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ , I change my mind, I repent;  $\sigma \nu \gamma \nu \nu \omega \omega \kappa \omega$ , I pardon. From  $\dot{a} \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$  is formed  $\pi a \rho a \kappa \omega \dot{\omega}$ , hear aside, hear amiss, refuse to hear.

In some cases the construction follows the adopted meaning:

έξίσταμαι, I stand out of, takes an accusative in the sense of I avoid; iντρ iπεσθ aι, turn in upon oneself, give heed to, reverence.

Two or more prepositions may be found in the same compound:  $i\pi\epsilon\xi\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\nu$   $\pi\sigma\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\mu\sigma\sigma$ , bore away secretly from the war;  $\delta\iotaa\pi a\rho a\tau\rho\iota\beta\dot{\eta}$ , obstinate contest, 1 T. 6. 5,  $\delta\iota\dot{a}$  has its usual primary force of thoroughness, completeness, intensifying;  $\pi a\rho a\tau\rho\iota\beta al$ , collisions, hence hostilities, enmities. In  $d\nu\tau a\pi o-\delta\iota\delta\dot{\sigma}\sigma\iota$ , render back a due (1 Th. 3. 9; 2 Th. 1. 6; R. 12. 19), the  $d\nu\tau\dot{\iota}$  marks the idea of return, the  $d\pi\dot{\sigma}$  hints at the debt incurred. 2 Tim. 4. 16,  $\sigma \nu\mu\pi a\rho e\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\sigma$ , stood forward with me as a 'patronus' to plead in my defence, or as an 'advocatus,' to support by his counsel. Demosth.  $\sigma\nu\mu\pi a\rho a\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\sigma}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ ,  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\varphi}$  $\delta\sigma\kappa\iota\mu a\dot{\zeta}\rho\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\varphi$ .

#### SYNTHETIC COMPOUNDS.

In the New Testament there are many compounds which are properly synthetic, or, as they are frequently termed, organic, though the parts which form the combination are so obvious that they may be reckoned as juxta-positions. Such are νουνεχώς, ταπεινόφρων, ταπεινοφροσύνη, σκληροκαρδία, σκληροτράγηλος, άκρογωνιαΐος, καρδιογνώστης, δεσμοφύλαξ, γρεωφειλετής. Here we may mention αλλοτριοεπίσκοπος, 1 P. 4. 15, analogous to αλλοτριόγνωμος, αλλοτριοπαγία: ανθρωπάρεσκος, Ε. 6. 6; С. 3. 22, ανθρωποδαίμων, ανθρωποειδής: αίματεκχυσία, Η. 9. 22, αίματοχαρής, αίματοπώτης: δικαιοκρισία, R. 2. 5, δικαιολογία, δικαιοπραγία, δικαιονομία: σιτομέτριον, L. 12. 42, σιτονόμος, σιτοποιέω: έκτρωμα, 1 C. 15. 8, perhaps for έξάμβλωμα: πρωτότοκος, C. 1. 15, born before all the creation; for the Word was the instrument of creation; in C. 1. 18. raised before all from the dead. This use is analogous to πρωτόπλους, spoken of the Argo (Eur. Andr. 865) going to sea before all other ships;  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \pi \delta \rho \sigma s$ , going before the rest of the army;  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \rho \delta \nu \tau \sigma s$ , flowing first. (Donaldson.)

In synthetic compounds the former part is an ordinary noun (substantive or adjective), a verb or verbal noun, an uninflected word or particle. Instances of ordinary nouns in addition to many already given are  $\partial\phi\theta a\lambda\mu o\delta ou\lambda\epsilon ia$ ,  $i\sigma i\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda os$ ; verbs or verbal nouns,  $\epsilon\theta\epsilon\lambda o\theta\rho\eta\sigma\kappa\epsilon la$ .

Separable adverbs which form compounds are άγαν, άμα, άρτι, εΰ, πάλαι, πάλιν, πâν, πλήν, τῆλε. ἅμα usually means con-

nexion in point of time: 1 Th. 4. 17,  $\tilde{a}\mu a \sigma v \nu a v \tau o s \delta \rho \pi a \gamma \eta \sigma \delta - \mu \epsilon \theta a$ , at the same time, together with them. Sometimes  $\tilde{a}\mu a$  has the further idea of aggregation: R. 3. 12,  $\pi \dot{a}\nu \tau \epsilon_s \epsilon \xi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \nu a \nu$ ,  $\tilde{a}\mu a \dot{\eta}\chi \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ . (See Chapter VIII.)

In the New Testament compounds with εὐ frequently occur : εὐδοκέω, εὐεργετής, εὐκαιρία, εὐλαβής, εὐλογέω, εὐοδοῦμαι.

With άγαν we have ἀγανακτέω, ἀγαλλιάομαι: ἄρτι, ἀρτυγέννητος; with πλήν, πλημμελής.

The numeral adjectives appear in διπλοῦς, double; διπλότερον, twofold, more than; δίστομος, double-mouthed, two-edged; τρίβολος, three-pointed; τρίμηνος, of three months; τετράγωνος, four-cornered; τετράδιον, company of four men; τετράμηνος, four months; τετράπους, τετράρχης.

Inseparable prefixes are  $\eta\mu\iota$ , half:  $\eta\mu\iota\theta a\nu\eta$ s, half dead;  $\eta\mu\iota$ -  $\omega\rho\iota o\nu$ , half an hour;  $\eta\mu\iota\sigma\nu$ s, half.  $\delta\nu\sigma$ -, implying difficulty, adversity, like the English prefixes, dis-, mis-, un-, ill-:  $\delta\nu\sigma\beta\dot{a}\sigma$ -  $\tau a\kappa\tau\sigma$ s, unbearable;  $\delta\dot{\nu}\sigma\kappa\sigma\lambda\sigma$ s, hard to please;  $\delta\dot{\nu}\sigma\mu\rho\rho\phi\sigma$ s, misshapen;  $\delta\nu\sigma\tau\nu\chi\eta$ s, unlucky;  $\delta\dot{\nu}\sigma\rho\gamma\sigma$ s, ill-natured.

ά is used in three senses, collective, intensive, negative. As a collective or copulative prefix, à is part of  $\ddot{a}\mu a$ :  $\dot{a}\kappa \delta \lambda o \upsilon \theta \sigma$ s, sharer of the same way;  $\dot{a}\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta \sigma$ , sprung from the same womb. As an intensive à is part of  $\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}$  in the sense of remoteness, distance, extent:  $\dot{a}\tau \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma$ s, intense, exceedingly stretched;  $\dot{a}\tau \epsilon \nu i \zeta \omega$ , gaze earnestly;  $\dot{a}\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \dot{\epsilon}$ s, very eagerly. As a negative it has the forms of  $\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}$ ,  $\dot{a}\nu$ , in the sense of privation:  $\ddot{a}\phi \rho \omega \nu$ , without mind;  $\ddot{a}\nu \sigma \mu \sigma$ s, without law, lawless;  $\dot{a}\nu i \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma$ s, merciless;  $\dot{a}\nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \sigma \sigma$ s, unimpeachable;  $\dot{a}\nu \epsilon \xi \iota \chi \nu i a \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma$ , inscrutable;  $\dot{a}\nu \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma$ , fierce;  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{a}\tau \omega \rho$ ,  $\dot{a}\mu \eta \tau \omega \rho$ ,  $\ddot{a}\beta \upsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$ s.

The latter part of the compound is frequently of verbal origin, ... as  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\omega\pi\sigma\lambda\dot{\eta}\pi\tau\eta\varsigma$ ,  $\delta\epsilon\xi\iota\sigma\lambda\dot{a}\beta\sigma\varsigma$ . The use of the word in a transitive or intransitive sense is sometimes determined by the accent:  $\lambda\iota\theta\sigma\beta\dot{o}\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ , throwing stones (actively);  $\lambda\iota\theta\dot{o}\beta\sigma\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ , pelted with stones (passively);  $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\sigma\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\sigma\varsigma$ , writing with the hand;  $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{o}\gamma\rhoa\phi\sigma\varsigma$ , written with the hand.

Compounds with  $\epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{a} \zeta \rho \mu a \iota$  are accented on the ultimate when they signify a bodily or material action:  $\lambda \iota \theta o \upsilon \rho \gamma \phi \varsigma$ , worker in stone;  $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \phi \varsigma$ , husbandman;  $\dot{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o \upsilon \rho \gamma \phi \varsigma$ , vinedresser; but receive the accent on the antepenultimate or have the penultimate circumflexed when they denote a moral action, or an operation and habit of the mind:  $\pi a \nu o \tilde{\upsilon} \rho \gamma o \varsigma$ , unscrupulous rogue;  $\kappa a \kappa o \tilde{\upsilon} \rho \gamma o \varsigma$ , criminal;  $\pi \epsilon \rho (\epsilon \rho \gamma o \varsigma$ , busybody;  $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \delta \epsilon \rho \gamma o \varsigma$ , worker of good in a moral sense, but  $\dot{a}\gamma a\theta o\epsilon\rho\gamma \delta s$  might be used of an active worker.

Attention to the affix will frequently decide the meaning of the passage. It has been remarked (p. 17) that nouns in  $-\mu \partial s$ , - $\mu o \hat{v}$ , express the action and its result. Apply this to Ph. 2. 6, ούχ άρπαγμον ήγήσατο το είναι ίσα Θεώ, deemed it nothing to be grasped at, no appropriation of what was not his own, to be on an equality with God. Here  $\delta \rho \pi a \gamma \mu \delta \gamma$  is virtually equivalent to  $a_{\sigma\pi\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha}$ , as  $a_{\sigma\pi\alpha\sigma\mu\delta\gamma}$  to  $a_{\sigma\pi\alpha\sigma\mu\alpha}$ ,  $\delta_{\delta\sigma\eta\gamma}$  to  $\delta_{\delta\mu\alpha}$ . The transition is very easy from the actus rapiendi to the res rapienda, from 'the act of seizing' to 'the object worth seizing.' 1 C. 1. 21, διà τῆς μωρίας τοῦ κηρύγματος, through the (assumed) foolishness of the subject-matter of the Gospel message. H. 10. 1, σκιάν γάρ έχων ό νόμος των μελλόντων άγαθων, ούκ αὐτὴν την εἰκόνα τῶν πραγμάτων, having a shadowing forth of evangelical blessing, but no designed representation of the facts, the historical transactions on which Christianity rests. Ja. 1. 17,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$  δόσις ἀγαθὴ καὶ  $\pi \hat{a} \nu$  δώρημα τέλειον: the distinction between  $\delta \delta \sigma_{is}$  and  $\delta \omega \rho \eta \mu a$  may well be preserved, "Every faculty of giving which is in its nature good, and every gift imparted which is in its nature complete."

There is no marked difference between the use of these affixes and compounds in the New Testament from their general usage in classical Greek. This alone ought to have exempted the inspired writers from the slurs which have been cast upon them. There is the tendency of the Hellenists to give graphic expression by strengthening the verb with prepositions, so as to describe the mode of action with greater clearness. Compound adverbs and prepositions frequently occur, but not so freely as in Byzantine authors.

# CHAPTER III.

# SYNTAX, OR CONSTRUCTION.

# NOUNS BY THEMSELVES, AND IN COMBINATION WITH OTHER NOMINAL FORMS.

Construction, or Syntax, gives the rules for expressing and connecting sentences. Every perfect sentence contains one or more propositions. A proposition consists of three parts, subject, predicate, copula: thus if we say,  $\Sigma \delta \lambda \omega \nu \, \epsilon \sigma \tau l \, \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$ , Solon is judge,  $\Sigma \delta \lambda \omega \nu$  is the subject, the person or thing spoken of,  $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \varsigma$  is the predicate, or that which is said of the subject,  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$  is the copula or substantive verb which connects the subject with the predicate.

### THE ARTICLE.

The article is not an essential part of speech. There is no trace of it in Latin; in Syriac and Chaldee it can hardly be said to exist; with this exception, the parts of speech are essentially the same in all languages.

The use of a part of speech not absolutely essential cannot be expected to be fixed by the certain laws which govern the use of other parts of speech. With this agrees the fact that no two languages agree in their mode of employing the article. Though it is a kind of indispensable constituent in Hebrew, Greek, English, French, German, Italian, no two languages are bound by the same rules.

We may look upon the article as a kind of universal pronoun, a pronoun of reference. Though it occurs occasionally in the New Testament as a demonstrative, yet its power became softened down, so as simply to express specification or emphasis. Hence  $\delta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\tau \delta$  was used as a prepositive article corresponding more nearly to the German der, die, das, than to the English the, or the French le, la.

The insertion or omission of the article would be directed by the taste and judgment of the writer or speaker, as well as by the genius of the language he used. That which the writer supposes, imagines, or intends to be definite and distinct, he speaks of as if it were really so. His subjective views are to him objective. The views of the writer, however, are not equally clear to the reader, or can, at the best, be imperfectly apprehended. The reason, then, for the insertion or omission of the article will not be evident, unless we can look at the matter from the same point of view as that in which the writer regarded it. Moreover the writers of the New Testament were affected to some extent by the vernacular use of the Hebrew article  $\Box$ , which could hardly fail to impart a shade of colouring to their employment of the article in Greek: e. g., H. 11. 31, 'Padß  $\eta \pi \delta \rho \pi \gamma$ : M. 26. 6,  $\Sigma l \mu \omega v \circ \tau \delta \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o \hat{\nu}$ .

The leading use of the article to express definiteness or distinctness will be evident from the fact that it is omitted with words like  $\eta \lambda \omega_s$ ,  $\gamma \eta$ ,  $o \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu \dot{s}_s$ ,  $\theta \dot{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ , in the expressions  $\dot{a} \pi \dot{a}$  $\kappa a \tau a \beta o \lambda \eta \dot{s}$ ,  $\kappa \dot{o} \sigma \mu o \nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$  Kuple,  $\dot{a} \pi'$   $\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \dot{s}$ ,  $\kappa \tau (\sigma \epsilon \omega s, \pi \rho \dot{o}$  $\kappa a \iota \rho o \hat{v}$ . 1 P. 4. 11,  $\epsilon \ell \tau \iota s \lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota}$   $\dot{\omega} s \lambda \dot{o} \gamma \iota a \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ : the words  $\lambda \dot{o} \gamma \iota a$  $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$  are used without any definite article to designate the Holy Scriptures of God, as being sufficiently definite in themselves, and having the distinctness of a proper name. Wordsworth.

## PECULIAR OMISSIONS OF THE ARTICLE.

The article is omitted where no specification is designed, though we naturally insert the definite article in English: M. 5. 45, τον ήλιον αυτοῦ ἀνατέλλει ἐπὶ πονηροὺς καὶ ἀγαθούς, καὶ βρέχει ἐπὶ δικαιοὺς καὶ ἀδικούς, i. e. upon the class evil, and class good, without specifying individuals of the same class, or opposing one to the other: M. 9. 13, οὐ γὰρ ήλθον καλέσαι δικαίους ἀλλ ἁμαρτωλούς: M. 10. 21, παραδώσει ἀδελφὸς ἀδελφὸν εἰς θάνατον καὶ πατὴρ τέκνον, i. e., one holding the relationship of brother, father, without specifying the individual : 1 T. 2. 6, εἶς γὰρ Θεός, εἶς καὶ μεσίτης Θεοῦ καὶ ἀνθρώπων : 1 T. 1. 9, δικαίω νόμος οὐ κεῖται, ἀνόμοις δὲ καὶ ἀνυποτάκτοις, ἀσεβέσι καὶ ἁμαρτωλοῖς, ἀνοσίοις καὶ βεβήλοις: 2 P. 2. 9, οἶδε Κύριος εὐσεβεῖς ἐκ πειρασμοῦ ῥύεσθαι, ἀδίκους δὲ εἰς ἡμέραν κρίσεως κολαζομένους τηρεῖν : M. 17. 9, ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστῆναι : A. 17. 32, ἀκού-

σαντες ἀνάστασιν νεκρῶν: R. 2. 25, περιτομὴ μὲν γὰρ ὡφελεῖ ἐἀν νόμον πράσσης, ἐἀν δὲ παραβάτης νόμου ἦς κ.τ.λ., if thou be a law doer, but if thou be a law transgressor, &c. The absence of the article in νόμον and νόμου here makes that general and indicative of the character of the person which would else have been restricted to the observance of the Jewish law in particular. It is as if νόμον πράσσειν and νόμου παραβάτης were severally, like νομοθετεῖν, νομοφυλακεῖν, νομοθέτης, νομοδιδάσκαλος, one compound word. So in the use of περιτομή, ἀκροβυστία, the absence of the article indicates that the meaning is not the whole class of Jews and Gentiles severally, but persons having the characteristic of the one and of the other. (Vaughan.)

Hence the article is often omitted with reference to our Lord: M. 4. 6, el viòs el  $\tau o\hat{v} \Theta eo\hat{v}$ , if thou hast the relation of Son to God; H. 1. 2,  $i\lambda\dot{a}\lambda\eta\sigma ev \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{v}v \dot{e}v vi\hat{\omega}$ , spoke to us by one in the relation of son. It is often inserted in Greek where we omit it in English: M. 9. 11,  $\mu e\tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega}v \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega v \hat{\omega}v \kappa a\hat{a} \dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega}v$ . By  $\tau \hat{\omega}v$  the speakers specified those who were present on the particular occasion. Moreover, by the single insertion we shall see that the  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega v a\hat{i}$  and  $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda o\hat{i}$  were virtually regarded by the speaker as one body. 1 T. 3. 2,  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i} o \dot{v}v \tau \partial v \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma v$ , every bishop, a bishop; this is called the generic use.

# HOMERIC USE OF THE ARTICLE.

Before we bring forward at length the Hellenistic use of the article, we will briefly illustrate the Homeric: *Il.* i. 11, 12, ouver a to X puon intlunce don't don't particles treated disrespectfully the ouver the true of the doal of the true of the second of the Achwans. Here to X puon is often rendered, that famous, that venerable man, Chryses; but the true reason is that the writer spoke of him according to his subjective view; he knew no other Chryses, and assumed that the mind of his readers would go with him. In the next instance (is  $\gamma d\rho \ i \lambda \theta e$ ) the article is clearly used as a personal pronoun to obviate the necessity of again mentioning Chryses, as in 43, we expand the the true reason is that in prayer; but him Phoebus Apollo heard.

The article expressed also the relative and the demonstrative : 125,  $d\lambda\lambda\lambda$   $\tau\lambda$   $\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\pi o\lambda\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\xi\epsilon\pi\rho\delta\theta o\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\tau\lambda$   $\delta\epsilon\delta a\sigma\tau a\iota$ , but the spoil which we took from the sacked cities, that has been divided. With this use of  $\tau \dot{a}$ , compare the A.V., 'Take that thine is,' commanded him to be sold and all that he had.'

Again we have its use as a relative in 71, 72:  $\kappa a \lambda \nu \eta \epsilon \sigma \sigma'$  $\eta \gamma \eta \sigma \sigma \tau' A \chi a \iota \omega \nu' T \lambda \iota o \nu \epsilon \delta \sigma \omega' H \nu \delta \iota \lambda \mu a \nu \tau o \sigma' \nu \eta \nu, \tau \eta \nu o \delta \tau \delta \rho \epsilon$  $\Phi o \iota \beta o \varsigma A \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ , and acted as guide to the ships of the Acheans bound to Ilium, owing to his skill in divination, which Phœbus Apollo gave him.

In other passages the demonstrative force of the article is almost lost:  $\dot{a}\tau\dot{a}\rho$   $\eta\nu$  more  $\delta a\sigma\mu\dot{o}s$   $l\kappa\eta\tau as$   $\sigma ol$   $\tau\dot{o}$   $\gamma\epsilon\rho as$   $\pi o\lambda\dot{\nu}$  $\mu\epsilon lov$ , but if any time distribution comes, you have the gift far more distinguished, 165.

# USE WITH Θεός, Πνεῦμα, Κύριος, Χριστός.

There is an apparent vagueness and uncertainty in the use of the article with words like Oeós, πνεῦμα, κύριος, Χριστός, but the following hints are worthy of attention (Q. R. No. 225, p. 115).  $\Theta \epsilon \delta s$  occurs without the article (1), where the Deity is contrasted with what is human, or with the universe as distinct from its Creator, or with the nature and acts of evil spirits; (2), when the essential attributes of Deity are spoken of; (3), when operations proceeding from God are appropriated to one of the three Divine Persons; (4), when the Deity is spoken of as heathens would speak, or a Jew who denied the existence of the Son and of the Holy Spirit. But the article seems to be used (1), when the Deity is spoken of in the Christian point of view, as the one true God, opposed to the gods of Heathenism; (2), when the First Person of the blessed Trinity is specially designated, unless its insertion is unnecessary by the addition of  $\pi a \tau \eta \rho$ , or some distinctive epithet.  $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ , without the article, denotes the Holy Spirit as inspiring human nature, and blended with it; with the article, it denotes the Holy Spirit as distinct from the nature of man. The article is found with Kúpios, when our Lord is spoken of under attributes and relations peculiar to the Second Person of the Trinity; but the article is omitted when these attributes or relations are those of the one Godhead. Xpiorós, 'anointed,' gradually took the meaning of the Anointed One, and then became a personal appellative. When our blessed Lord is spoken of in His more divine and imperial relations, the article is employed; when in His human personal relations to man, it is omitted. (Quarterly Review, Jan., 1863.)

#### THE ARTICLE AS A DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUN.

The article in the singular is used in the words cited from Aratus, A. 17. 28,  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \gamma d \rho \kappa a \hat{\nu} \gamma e \nu o \hat{\nu}$ , G. 4. 25,  $\tau \partial \gamma d \rho$  "Ayap, for the word Hagar.

In distinctions and distributions, M. 13. 23, ό μεν εκατόν, ό δε εξήκοντα: in M. 28. 17, οι δε εδίστασαν, some doubted, in antithesis to all implied in προσεκύνησαν. A. 14. 4, οι μεν ήσαν συν τοις 'Ιουδαίοις, οι δε συν τοις αποστόλοις. Ph. 1. 16, 17, οι μεν εξ εριθείας τον Χριστον καταγγελλουσιν οι δε εξ αγάπης. Ε. 4. 11, εδωκεν τους μεν αποστόλους, τους δε προφήτας, τους δε ευαγγελιστάς.

In the narrative style,  $\delta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  marks transition to a person already mentioned, though  $\delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  does not precede: L. 7. 40,  $\delta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \sigma \iota$ : L. 8. 46; Mk. 8. 28,  $o i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho l \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ .

#### THE ARTICLE AS A POSSESSIVE.

The article often becomes equivalent to a possessive pronoun. This use forms an intermediate step between its strict use as a demonstrative and its general prepositive use. Thus nouns which are in themselves indefinite become definite, as standing in some certain relation to the definite person or thing there spoken of: Thuc. i. 69, ου τη δυνάμει άλλα τη μελλήσει auuvóuevos, defending yourselves, not by your power, but by your threatening aspect:  $\tau \partial \pi a \imath \delta \imath o \nu \beta o \hat{a}$ , the baby is crying. 2 0. 10. 10, αί μέν έπιστολαί βαρείαι και ίσχυραι ή δε παρουσία τοῦ σώματος ἀσθενής, καὶ ὁ λόγρς ἐξουθενημένος: 1 C. 5. 9; 2 C. 7. 8, έν τŷ ἐπιστολŷ, in my letter: M. 11. 29, ταπεινός τη καρδία, in my heart: Mk. 6. 55, ήρξαντο  $\epsilon \pi i$  τοις κραββάτοις τούς κακώς έχοντας περιφέρειν, upon their beds : A. 14. 10, elπe μεγάλη τη φωνή, said at the pitch of his voice : A. 28. 8, ἐπιθεὶς τὰς χείρας αὐτῷ: 80 Mk. 9. 43. 45; 1 C. 7. 16, τί γὰρ οίδας, γύναι, εί τον άνδρα σώσεις; κ.τ.λ.: 1 C. 11. 5, άκατακαλύπτω τη κεφαλή, with her head uncovered : R. 14. 13, τδ μή τιθέναι πρόσκομμα τῷ άδελφῷ, in the way of your brother. Rev. 4. 7, τὸ τρίτον ζώον έχον τὸ πρόσωπου ὡς ἄνθρωπος, the third living creature had its face as a human being: L. 18. 15, προσέφερον δε αὐτῷ καὶ τὰ βρέφη, even their infants : Phil. 3. 19, we o  $\theta \epsilon \delta s \dot{\eta} \kappa o i \lambda la$ , the god they acknowledge is their belly. Η. 7. 24, απαράβατον έχει την ίερωσύνην, has his priesthood not transferable, his priesthood which he holds passes on to no other.  $\dot{a}\pi a\rho \dot{a}\beta a \tau o\nu$  is the tertiary predicate, as, Eurip. Iph. A. 305,  $\kappa \dot{a}\lambda o\nu \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \mu o\iota \tau o \ddot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \delta os \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \nu \epsilon \iota \delta (\sigma as, right$  $honourable to me is the reproach you cast. J. 5. 36, <math>\dot{\epsilon}\gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi \omega \tau \eta \nu \mu a \rho \tau \upsilon \rho (a\nu \mu \epsilon l \zeta \omega \tau o \hat{\upsilon} 'I \omega \dot{a} \nu \nu o \upsilon$ , the testimony which I have had borne to me is greater than the testimony given by John. Isocr.  $\tau \dot{\delta} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \theta \nu \eta \tau \dot{\delta} \nu \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon s \xi \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu$ .

With this we may compare the use of the definite article in English: "who have not bowed the knee to Baal," R. 11. 4: "the heart was affected in his case," De Quincy.

#### THE ARTICLE AS A PREPOSITIVE.

The prepositive article is used to distinguish the subject from the predicate. This use may be traced back to an apposition of the name of the person or thing, with the article as the pronoun of reference: J. 1. 1, Θεός ην ό λόγος: J. 4. 24, Πνεῦμα ὁ Θεός: J. 17. 10, καὶ τὰ ἐμὰ πάντα σά ἐστι, καὶ τὰ σὰ ἐμά: J. 6. 63, τὰ ῥήματα ὰ ἐγὰ λαλῶ ὑμῖν πνεῦμά ἐστι, καὶ τὰ ζωή ἐστιν: M. 7. 13, 14, πλατεῖα ἡ πύλη, εὐρύχωρος ἡ ὀδός: M. 9. 37, ὁ μὲν θερισμὸς πολύς, οἱ δὲ ἐργάται ὀλίγοι: 1 C. 3. 19, ἡ γάρ σοφία τοῦ κόσμου τούτου μωρία παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ ἐστί: 1 T. 6. 5, νομιζόντων πορισμὸν εἶναι τὴν εὐσέβειαν: 1 J. 4. 8, ἱ Θεὸς ἀγάπη ἐστίν.

In convertible or reciprocating propositions the predicate has the article as well as the subject: M. 6. 22, δ λύχνος τοῦ σώματός ἐστιν ὁ ὀφθαλμός: M. 13. 38, ὁ δὲ ἀγρός ἐστιν ὁ κόσμος: 2 C. 3. 17, ὁ δὲ Κύριος τὸ πνεῦμά ἐστιν: Ph. 2. 13, ὁ Θεός ἐστιν ἱ ἐνεργῶν: 1 C. 15. 56, τὸ δὲ κέντρον τοῦ θανάτου ἡ ἁμαρτία ἡ δὲ δύναμις τῆς ἁμαρτίας ὁ νόμος: 1 J. 3. 4, ἡ ἀμαρτία ἐστὶν ἡ ἀνομία: Rev. 19. 13, καλεῖται τὸ ὄνομα αὐτοῦ ὁ λόγος τοῦ Θεοῦ.

The predicate has the article, but the subject is anarthrous when the subject is a proper name, a personal or demonstrative pronoun: J. 6. 51,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\mu\iota$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\ddot{a}\rho\tau\sigma\varsigma$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\zeta\ddot{\omega}\nu$ : 1 J. 4. 15,  $\dot{I}\eta\sigma\sigma\dot{\iota}\varsigma$  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\nu\dot{\iota}\delta\varsigma$   $\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\Theta\epsilon\sigma\dot{\nu}$ : A. 4. 11,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}\varsigma$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\lambda\ell\theta\sigma\varsigma$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\sigma\nu\theta\epsilon\nu\eta\theta\epsilon l\varsigma$ : 2 C. 3. 2,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\lambda\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\dot{\iota}\varsigma$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ : J. 8. 18,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\mu\iota$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\mu a\rho <math>\tau\nu\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$ : Mk. 7. 15,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\kappa\sigma\iota\nu\sigma\dot{\rho}\nu\tau\sigma$ , the man, i. e. mankind, the genus man as opposed to an individual. When there was no reason to mark specification or emphasis the article was omitted: M. 20. 16,  $\pi\sigma\lambda\lambda\epsilon\dot{\iota}$   $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\rho$   $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$   $\kappa\lambda\eta\tau\sigma\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda/\iota\gamma\iota$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$ : 1 T. 2. 8,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi a l \rho \sigma \nu \tau as$   $\dot{\sigma}\sigma l \sigma \iota s$ ; 2 P. 2. 14,  $\dot{\delta}\phi\theta a\lambda$ - $\mu \sigma\dot{\iota}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi \rho \nu \tau es$   $\mu e \sigma \tau \sigma\dot{\iota}s$   $\mu \sigma \chi a \lambda/\delta \sigma$ s: Æl. H. An. iii. 23,  $a\dot{\iota}\tau a$ 

τούτων φύσις ἀγαθή: Isocr. καλὸς θησαυρὸς παρ' ἀνδρὶ σπουδαίω χάρις ὀφειλομένη.

#### ANTICIPATIVE OB HYPOTHETIC USE.

The article is inserted where the existence of the person or object expressed by the noun is fairly assumed : M. 5. 25,  $l\sigma\theta_i$ ευνοών τω αντιδίκω σου ταχύ, μήποτέ σε παραδώ ο αντίδικος τώ κριτή, και ό κριτής σε παραδώ τώ ύπηρέτη, και είς φυλακήν  $\beta \lambda_{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \eta$ . The individual addressed in  $i \sigma \theta \iota$  is assumed to have an avtloinos who brings the cause before a particular noirrys, while the *kpiths* employs his *impétns*. The article is omitted before  $\phi v \lambda a \kappa \eta v$ , as no single or definite mode of punishment entered into the mind of the speaker. E. 6. 12, our  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\mu i\nu$ ή πάλη: the contest assumed by the exhortation, (11)  $i v \delta v \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ την πανοπλίαν του Θεου: Ph. 4. 17, ούχ ότι επιζητώ το δόμα, άλλά έπιζητώ τον καρπόν τον πλεονάζοντα είς λόγον ύμων. Here the  $\delta \delta \mu a$  has the retrospective article,  $\kappa a \rho \pi \delta \nu$  the anticipative. G. 4. 5, "iva the violegian arola βωμεν: in this adoption there is a threefold gradation (1) as existing but not appropriated; (2) as appropriated through faith in Christ; (3) as perfected by full communion in His blessedness and glory.

#### RETROSPECTIVE USE.

The article is inserted in the renewed mention of a person or thing, or when it recalls to the mind some familiar object: M. 1. 24, διεγερθείς από τοῦ ὕπνου. τοῦ refers to the ὄναρ (20), ώς προσέταξεν αὐτῷ ὁ ἄγγελος Κυρίου, the article refers to ἄγγελος (20). M. 2. 11, ελθόντες eis την oiklav, the house referred to in (9), ού ήν το παιδίον. Μκ. 4. 1, ώστε αὐτον ἐμβάντα εἰς το πλοίον. the boat of which our Lord had ordered (Mk. 3. 9), iva mhoiáριον προσκαρτερή αὐτῷ: L. 4. 20, πτύξας τὸ βιβλίον, ἀποδοὺς τῷ  $i\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\tau\eta$ , the parchment or roll mentioned in (17), the attendant who was in readiness to receive it: L. 9. 16, Tous mévre aprovs καὶ τοὺς δύο ἰχθύας, those spoken of in (13): G. 6. 6, ο κατηχούμενος τον λόγον. This is the same as in A. 15. 7, τον λόγον τοῦ εὐαγγελίου: Tit. 1. 9, τοῦ κατά τὴν διδαχὴν πιστοῦ λόγου: L. 1. 2, υπηρέται γενόμενοι τοῦ λόγου: G. 4. 6, 'Aββâ ὁ πατὴρ was a customary formula of Christian prayer. At a very early period the Aramaic title, Mk. 14. 36; R. 8. 15, was united to the Greek synonym in reverent and affectionate remembrance of Him who had taught us and enabled us truly to call God our

Father. Among the Jews a freedman by addressing any one by the title Abba, might prepare the way for being adopted by him.

#### RHETORICAL USE.

The article is inserted when the object is so well known that the mere mention may be regarded as a repeated reference: M. 1. 23,  $\eta \pi a \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma$ , the virgin foretold by Isaiah 7. 14: M. 11. 3,  $\delta$ έρχόμενος, the common expression for the Messiah, Heb. 10. 37: M. 8. 12, έκει έσται ο κλαυθμός και ο βρυγμός των δδόντων, the weeping naturally associated with the outward darkness: M. 21. 12,  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \omega \lambda o' \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \lambda \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \varsigma$ , 'the doves offered by the poor :' J. 1. 21,  $\delta \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \eta \varsigma \epsilon i \sigma v$ , the prophet spoken of in Deut. 18. 15 : J. 6. 70, 71, ούκ έγω υμας τους δώδεκα έξελεξάμην : .. els ών ἐκ τῶν δώδεκα: G. 5. 10, τὸ κρίμα, ' the judgment he deserves:' Ja. 2. 25, inode Eauling rows anythous, 'the spies who came to Jericho.' Sometimes the rhetorical use serves to mark contempt and scorn: M. 18. 17, ώσπερ ο έθνικος και ο τελώνης. "Not a heathen man, who may be a good man in his way, but as the heathen in his heathenism." Wordsworth. With this compare 2 Chr. 28. 22, 'this is that king Ahaz.'

Under the rhetorical use may be placed monadic nouns, indicating objects of which only one exists, or can be spoken of: M. 4. 5,  $\tau \partial \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu} \gamma \iota o \nu$ , 'the apex of Solomon's porch:' M. 5. 15,  $\tau \partial \nu \mu \dot{\partial} \delta \iota o \nu$ ,  $\tau \eta \nu \lambda \nu \chi \nu \dot{a} \nu$ : J. 13. 5,  $\tau \partial \nu \nu \iota \pi \tau \eta \rho a$ : M. 26. 27,  $\tau \partial \pi \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota o \nu$ , 'the cup used at the Paschal feast.' So of the period known as the period of the day, week, year: M. 20. 2,  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \eta \nu a - \rho (o \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ : L. 18. 12,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{\nu} \sigma a \beta \beta \dot{a} \tau o \nu$ : H. 9. 7,  $\ddot{a} \pi a \xi$  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \iota a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$ . Here the article is used in a distributive sense, where we employ an indefinite article, as 'so much a month.'

In many of these cases where the article is not required in English, we can account for its insertion in Greek by putting ourselves in the position of the writer or speaker. His subjective views are to him objective. The article limits what might have been a general predicate to some particular object or period present or presumed to be present to the thoughts of the speaker and hearer. 'Multa quee nos indefinite cogitata pronuntiamus, definite proferre soliti sunt Græci, ejus de quo sermo esset notitiam animo informatam præsumentes.' (Sintenis, quoted by Winer, § 18.) Ph. 3. 2,  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$  rois  $\kappa\epsilon\nuas$ ,  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ rois  $\kappa\kappa\kappaois$   $\epsilon\rho\gammaaras$ , 'the dogs, the evil workers,' whom the

Apostle had in his mind; 2 T. 4. 4, Int rous withous eurpannygovras: we may account for the insertion of the article by considering that in the mind of the Apostle the errors of the future would be only exaggerations and expansions of those which then existed. Rev. 5. 13,  $\eta$  evloyla kal  $\eta$  right kal  $\eta$  doka kal TO ROUTOS: the article limits the blessing, the honour, the glory, the might, to those to whom it is here ascribed, as belonging to them exclusively. In doxologies, with the exception of L. 2. 14; 19. 38, Sóža regularly takes the article when used alone; R. 2. 36; 16. 27. E. 3. 21. Ph. 4. 20. 2 T. 4. 18. H. 13. 21. 2 P. S. 18. When it is joined with one or more substantives it appears sometimes with the article, 1 P. 4. 11. Rev. 1. 6; 7. 12; sometimes without it, R. 2. 10. 1 T. 1. 17. Jude 25. In some cases dofa may take the article as an abstract noun, but it is preferable to consider it as used rhetorically. (Ellicott on G. 1. 5.)

#### GENERIC USE.

The article from its hypothetic use is applied to distinguish all the individuals, members, or objects, belonging to a particular class, species, 'or genus. This is the case in English: "The poet's eye in a fine frenzy rolling."  $\delta \dot{a}\gamma a \theta \delta s \pi \sigma \lambda i \pi \eta s$ , any one answering to this description;  $\tau \dot{a} \dot{\omega} \phi \hat{e} \lambda \iota \mu a a i \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ . 1 T. 3. 2,  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i} \ o \bar{v} v \ \tau \dot{\omega} \ \dot{e} \pi i \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi o v \ \dot{e} \pi i \lambda \eta \pi \tau o v \ e \bar{i} v a i$ . J. 2, 25,  $\dot{e} \gamma i v \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau i$  $\eta v \dot{e} v \tau \dot{\omega} \ \dot{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \varphi$ , 'in human nature;' mankind generally: M. 12. 35,  $\delta \ \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \delta \delta \ d v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ , 'every man of whom we assume that he is good:' Ja. 2. 5,  $\tau o \dot{v} s \pi \tau \omega \chi o \dot{v}$ , 'those assumed to be poor:' M. 12. 29,  $\tau o \hat{v} \ i \sigma \chi v \rho o \hat{v}$ , 'any strong man:' I. 18. 27,  $\tau \dot{a} \ \dot{a} \delta \dot{u} v a \tau a$ , 'the things assumed to be impossible with men:' J. 4. 23, o i  $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta v o i \pi \rho \sigma \kappa v v \pi a \dot{v} \delta v \sigma \tau a$ .

It is important to bear in mind that the noun substantive is annexed to the article by means of the participle of existence understood.  $\delta dv \eta \rho$  signifies the male being assumed to be a man;  $\delta \pi \lambda o \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma$ , the person assumed to be rich. Sometimes the participle of existence is inserted, Arist. Eth. Nic. iv. 2, of  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \sigma \sigma a \ \delta \nu \tau e_S \ \eta \kappa \sigma \tau a \ \pi \lambda o \nu \tau o \ddot{\sigma} \sigma$ . Hence the predicate, when it is expressed by a participle, contains an assumption within itself: M. 4. 3,  $\delta \pi e \iota \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ : 13. 3,  $\delta \sigma \pi e \ell \rho \omega v$ , the agent assumed to be the tempter, the sower: 1 Th. 5. 7, of  $\gamma d\rho \kappa a \theta e \dot{\nu} \cdot \delta \sigma \tau rescales$ .

From the generic use the article may be omitted in English

where it is inserted in Greek: J. 3. 10,  $\sigma \dot{v}$  el  $\dot{o}$   $\delta_i \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \kappa a \lambda os, `a teacher;' one who is teacher: 2 C. 12. 12, <math>\tau \dot{a} \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a \tau o \dot{v} \dot{a} \pi o \sigma \tau \delta \lambda ov, `the credentials of (one who is) an Apostle:' G. 3. 20, <math>\dot{o} \mu e \sigma i \tau \eta s$ , `any one who acts as mediator;' G. 4. 1,  $\dot{o} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu \dot{o} \mu o s$ , `any one who is heir:' Ja. 2. 6,  $\dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s \delta \dot{\delta} \eta \tau \mu \dot{\mu} \sigma \sigma \pi \tau \tau \sigma \chi \delta v$ , `ye however count without honour any one who is poor,' `the poor man,' or `a poor man:' R. 2, 13, oi  $\dot{a} \kappa \rho o \sigma \tau a \lambda v \dot{o} \mu o v$ , oi  $\pi o \iota \eta \tau a \lambda v \dot{o} \mu o v$ , the article denotes a class;  $\dot{a} \kappa \rho o \sigma \tau a \lambda v \dot{o} \mu o v$ ,  $\pi \sigma \iota \eta \tau a \lambda v \dot{o} \mu o v$ , form virtually one word, and the translation 'hearers of the law,' `doers of the law,' is correct.

As the predicate when it is expressed by a participle always contains an assumption, oi σωζόμενοι, oi ἀπολλύμενοι mean respectively the class assumed to be saved, lost, and may be rendered 'the saved, the perishing:' L. 13. 23, Κύριε, ei δλίγοι οι σωζόμενοι; A. 2. 47, ὁ δὲ κύριος προσετίθει τοὺς σωζομένους καθ ἡμέραν τῷ ἐκκλησία: Rev. 21. 24, τὰ ἔθνη τῶν σωζομένων: 1 C. 1. 18, ὁ λόγος γὰρ ὁ τοῦ σταυροῦ τοῖς μὲν ἀπολλυμένοις μωρία ἐστὶ, τοῖς δὲ σωζομένοις ἡμῖν δύναμις Θεοῦ ἐστίν: 2 C. 2. 15, Χριστοῦ εὐωδία ἐσμὲν τῷ Θεῷ ἐν τοῖς σωζομένοις καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἀπολλυμένοις.

Bishop Ellicott remarks on these present participles, "How simply yet how instructively they place before us the two classes, each under its aspects of progress and development, each capable of reversed attitudes and directions, but each at the time of consideration wending its way, the one silently moving onward to light and life, the other turning its sad steps to darkness and to death ! The mere tense is in itself a sermon and a protest, a sermon of blended warning, consolation, and hope to those who will pause to meditate on its significance; a protest against those who tell us that the existence of two classes of men animated by two opposing principles is contrary to the teaching of experience." (Aids to Faith.)

## THE ARTICLE WITH ATTRIBUTIVES.

When two or more attributives are assumed of the same person or thing, or where several subjects are viewed as belonging to the same class, the article is inserted before the first attributive, and omitted before the attributives which follow.

In English, the Secretary and Treasurer means one person, the Secretary and the Treasurer mean two persons. In speak-

D 2

.

ing of horses, the black and white means the piebald, but the black and the white mean two different horses.

M. 21. 9, oi δè δχλοι oi προάγοντες καὶ oi ἀκολουθοῦντες ἕκραζον. The insertion of the article before ἀκολουθοῦντες indicates that the party which followed was distinct from that which preceded. A. 13. 16, "Ανδρες Ισραηλῖται καὶ οἱ φοβούμενοι τὸν Θεόν. The insertion of the article before φοβούμενοι indicates that the proselytes formed a distinct party from the Israelites. M. 21. 12, ἐξέβαλε πάντας τοὺς πωλοῦντας καὶ ἀγοράζοντας ἐν τῷ ἰερῷ. The buyers and sellers are regarded as one class of traders, hence the article is prefixed only to the first attributive.

In the following instances one person or object only is meant: M. 13. 23, δ ακούων και συνιών: A. 3. 14, τον άγιον και δίκαιον: Mk. 16. 16, δ πιστεύσας καλ βαπτισθείς: Mk. 9. 25, τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ άλαλον και κωφόν: Α. 17. 1, διοδεύσαντες την 'Αμφίπολιν και 'Aπολλωνίαν, Amphipolis and Apollonia were regarded by the writer as one district : Mk. 15. 1, of apprepris pera two mpeopuτέρων καl γραμματέων, the elders and scribes are regarded as forming a class by themselves, distinct from the chief priests : Α. 3. 11, κρατούντος του ίαθέντος χωλού τον Πέτρον και 'Ιωάννην, as much one as the other, regarding them as the joint performers of the miracle: A. 17. 15,  $\lambda \alpha \beta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \lambda \eta \nu \pi \rho \delta \varsigma \tau \delta \nu$ Σίλαν καὶ Τιμόθεον, Paul at Athens regarded Silas and Timothy as one, and sent a message to one as much as the other, but Silas and Timothy acted independently in staying at Thessalonica. This is marked in 14 by the double insertion of the article, υπέμενον δ τε Σίλας και ό Τιμόθεος έκει. 2 Th. 2. 4, ό άντικείμενος και ύπεραιρόμενος: here the article really performs a kind of double duty; it serves to turn artikelyevos into a substantive, and also indicates that the two participles refer to the same individual. (Ellicott.) 1 Tim. 4. 3, rois miorois kal έπεγνωκόσι την άλήθειαν, these constitute a single class, the latter term being explanatory of the former. Tit. 2. 13,  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma$ δεχόμενοι την μακαρίαν ελπίδα και επιφάνειαν της δόξης του μεγάλου Θεού και σωτήρος ήμων Ίησου Χριστού, waiting to receive the blessed hope and manifestation of the glory: the δόξης depends on  $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta a$  as well as on  $\epsilon \pi i \phi a \nu \epsilon i a \nu$ . The two substantives are closely united, and under the vinculum of a common article. There is a twofold  $\epsilon \pi i \phi a \nu \epsilon i a$ , the first  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$  $\chi \dot{a} \rho_i \tau \sigma s$  (11) introductory to the second, which is  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\delta \delta f \eta s$ .

The latter clause must be translated, 'of our great God and Saviour,' but more from exceptical considerations than from the position of the single article, as  $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \iota a$  is a term specially and peculiarly applied to the Son, but never to the Father.

#### NOUNS IN REGIMEN.

When the noun has a genitive case depending upon it, the general practice is, that the article is inserted with the noun that is governed, as well as with the noun that governs: M. 3. 2,  $\dot{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu o \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ : 1 P. 3. 20,  $\dot{\eta} \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \Theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \sigma \theta \nu \mu i a$ . Sometimes the article is repeated after the governing noun for the sake of significancy and emphasis: M. 26. 28,  $\tau \hat{o} a I \mu \hat{a} \mu \sigma \nu \tau \hat{\sigma} \tau \eta \hat{\varsigma} \kappa a \iota \nu \eta \hat{\varsigma} \delta \iota a \theta \eta \kappa \eta \varsigma$ : 1 C. 1. 18,  $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma \varsigma \delta \tau \sigma \hat{\upsilon} \sigma \tau a \nu \rho o \hat{\upsilon}$ .

There are, however, so many deviations, that we cannot lay down a positive rule, or point out any deoided principle on which the usage rests, except by referring it to the subjective views of the writer. In H. 9. 13 we have  $\tau \partial a l \mu a \tau a \acute{\nu} \rho \omega \nu$  $\kappa a i \tau \rho \acute{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu$ , where  $a l \mu a$  has the distinctive article referring to a well-known fact, but  $\tau a \acute{\nu} \rho \omega \nu$  and  $\tau \rho \acute{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu$  denote these animals generally. In the mind of the writer the phrase may have formed but one idea; or it may have been his object to lay a stress on the  $a l \mu a$ , not on the animals.

# THE ARTICLE WITH THE DEFINING CLAUSE,

• The article is generally omitted in the defining clause, as the words form but one idea, and is only inserted when the object of the writer is to give that clause prominence and emphasis.

In Attic Greek the article is rarely omitted in the defining clause, except after verbal substantives, or where the structural connexion of the clause is palpably close with what precedes.

Where contrast is intended the article is inserted; 1 T. 3. 13,  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma (a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi (\sigma \tau \epsilon_i \tau \eta \dot{\epsilon} \nu X \rho_i \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} ' I \eta \sigma o \hat{\nu}$ . By the insertion of the article, two shades of thought are expressed; the latter of which explains and expands the former. "In fide eaque in Christo Jesu collocatâ."  $\pi (\sigma \tau \iota_s, \text{ the foundation, the}$ substratum of the  $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma (a; \text{ so } 1 \text{ T. } 1. 14; 2 \text{ T. } 1. 13; 3. 15.$ A. 20. 21,  $\delta_{ia\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu s}$  ...  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\iota}_s \tau \dot{\rho} \nu \Theta \epsilon \dot{\rho} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \nu \sigma i \omega \kappa a \lambda$   $\pi (\sigma \tau \iota_s \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \dot{\iota}_s \tau \dot{\rho} \nu K \dot{\nu} \rho_{io} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu ' I \eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu X \rho_{i} \sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \lambda$  3. 16,  $\dot{\eta} \pi (\sigma \tau \iota_s \eta \delta \dot{\iota}' a \dot{\nu} \tau o \hat{\upsilon}: G. 3. 21, \epsilon \dot{\iota} \gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \theta \eta \nu \phi \mu \sigma s \dot{\delta} \delta \nu \nu \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$  $\zeta \omega \sigma \pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \iota : R. 2. 14, \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \phi \mu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \sigma \nu \tau a \cdot T \dot{\tau} t. 2. 10,$ 

την διδασκαλίαν την του σωτήρος ήμων: Α. 26. 18, (του λαβείν) κλήρον έν τοις ήγμασμένοις, πίστει τη είς έμέ.

Where no contrast is intended the article is omitted : 2 Th. 3. 14, el δέ τις ούχ ύπακούει τῷ λόγῷ ἡμῶν διὰ τῆς ἐπιστολῆς : 2 O. 7. 7, ἀναγγέλλων ἡμῖν . . . τὸν ὑμῶν ζῆλον ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ: 1 T. 6. 17, τοῖς πλουσίοις ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι παράγγελλε.

There is no contrast between σάρκα and πνεῦμα (or ἀναστροφήν), in R. 9. 3, τῶν συγγενῶν μου κατὰ σάρκα: Ε. 6. 5, τοῖς κυρίοις κατὰ σάρκα: 1 Ο. 10. 18, βλέπετε τὸν Ἱσραήλ κατὰ σάρκα: Ε. 2. 11, ὑμεῖς ποτε τὰ ἔθνη ἐν σαρκί.

The use or the omission of the article before a participle will frequently depend on the subjective impression of the writer. Some indeed have attributed to it a derisive import, which is virtually contained in its rhetorical use: "Articulus irrisioni servit." Valckner. R. 2. 1,  $\tau \dot{a} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$  aut  $\dot{a} \pi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon_{15} \dot{o} \kappa \rho (\nu \omega \nu :$ M. 27. 40,  $\dot{o} \kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda \dot{\omega} w \tau \dot{o} v \nu a \dot{o} v . . . \kappa a \tau \dot{a} \beta \eta \theta t \dot{a} \pi \dot{o} \tau \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \tau a \nu \rho \hat{v}$ .

The article is omitted when the primitive verb has already been construed with a particular preposition, or when the adjunct clause is implied in the particular noun: E. 3. 4, δύνασθε νοῆσαι τὴν σύνεσίν μου ἐν τῷ μυστηρίφ: Ph. 1. 26, διὰ τῆς ἐμῆς παρουσίας πρὸς ὑμᾶς: E. 3. 13, ἐν ταῖς θλίψεσί μου ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν. So Hdt. 5. 108, ἡ ἀγγελία περὶ τῶν Σαρδίων: Thuc. 5. 20, ἡ ἐσβολὴ ἐς τὴν ᾿Αττικήν: 2. 52, ἡ συγκομιδὴ ἐκ τῶν ἀγρῶν εἰς τὸ ἄστυ: Plutarch, Pomp. 58, ai παρακλήσεις ὑπὲρ Καίσαρος. In all these the attributive, together with the substantive, denotes but one leading idea.

### THE ARTICLE WITHOUT THE NOUN.

The article is the pronoun of reference. Where the article by itself is sufficient to denote the reference the noun is omitted. "These omissions fall into two classes: (1) when a substantive just named would be repeated in the same sentence; (2) when the substantive is some general term which is implied in the words accompanying the article." Donaldson, § 399.

The following words are very generally taken for granted :

 Names of relationship, υίός, θυγατήρ, γυνή, άδελφός, μήτηρ:
 M. 1. 6, Δαβίδ ό βασιλεύς έγέννησε τον Σολομῶντα ἐκ τῆς τοῦ Οὐρίου (γυναῖκος):
 M. 4. 21, Ἱάκωβον τον τοῦ Ζεβεδαίου (υίόν):
 Mk. 16. 1, Μαρία ἡ τοῦ Ἰακώβου (μήτηρ):
 J. 19. 25, Μαρία ἡ τοῦ Κλωπᾶ (γυνή).

(2) General terms referring to location, possessions, employ-

ments: L. 2. 1, ἀπογράφεσθαι πᾶσαν τὴν οἰκουμένην (γῆν), θνετy part of the inhabited world, or of the world subject to the Roman sway: Ph. 4. 18, δεξάμενος παρὰ Ἐπαφροδίτου τὰ παρ' ὑμῶν (χρήματα): A. 28. 10, ἀναγομένοις ἐπέθεντο τὰ πρὸς τὴν χρείαν: L. 19. 42, εἰ ἔγνως καὶ σừ τὰ πρὸς εἰρήνην σου (πράγματα).

Dr. Donaldson remarks, the omission of  $\pi\rho\hat{a}\gamma\mu a$  or  $\pi\rho\dot{a}\gamma\mu a\tau a$ is regular whenever we wish to express as generally as possible all that belongs to or proceeds from the person or thing signified by the accompanying word:  $\tau a \tau \hat{\alpha} v \theta e \hat{\omega} v$ , all that proceeds from, or belongs to the gods:  $\tau a \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda e \omega s$ ; the state and all belonging thereto:  $\tau a \tau \hat{\omega} v \theta e \hat{\omega} v$ , war and its consequences:  $\tau a \kappa a\tau' e \mu e \pi a \nu \tau a$ , all that belongs to me:  $\tau a \kappa a\theta' i \mu e \rho a \nu$ , every-day affairs:  $\tau i \tau \eta s e \lambda e u \theta e \rho i a s$ , what relates to liberty:  $\tau a \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \eta \pi i o \nu$ , childish things:  $\tau a \tau \eta s e i \rho \eta \nu \eta s$ , the things which make for peace:  $\tau a \tau \eta s o i \kappa \sigma \delta \omega \mu \eta s$ , things wherein we may edify one another:  $\tau i \pi e \rho i \tau \iota$ ,  $\tau i \kappa a \tau i$ , the particular circumstance:  $\tau i \pi \rho s \tau \iota$ , relation:  $\tau a \pi a \rho a \tau \iota \nu s$ , whatever proceeds from a person, information, commands, presents, and the like.

(3) The word  $\ddot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\varsigma$  is constantly omitted in expressing association, connexion with others in time and place: Mk. 3. 21, of  $\pi a\rho'$   $a\dot{v}\tau\sigma\dot{v}$ , his kindred, the members of his household: M. 21. 11,  $\delta \pi\rho\sigma\phi\eta\tau\eta\varsigma$   $\dot{o}$   $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$   $Na\zeta a\rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau$ : A. 13. 13; 21. 8, of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{o}\nu$   $\Pi a\dot{v}\lambda\sigma\nu$ , Paul and his companions: of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{o}\nu$  $\Delta\eta\mu\sigma\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta$ , Demosthenes with his party: of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{o}\nu$   $\Phi(\lambda,i\pi\pi\sigma\nu,$ Philip and his supporters.

(4) Obvious nouns, i.e., such as express the words or works, the sentiments or condition of a person, are inferred from the structure of the sentence or the gender of the article: M. 6. 34, μη ούν μεριμνήσητε είς την αύριον (ήμέραν): A. 16. 11, τῆ ἐπιούσῃ εἰς Νεάπολιν: A. 19. 38, ἀγοραῖοι ἄγονται: Mk. 9. 23, ό δὲ Ἰησοῦς εἰπεν αὐτῷ τὸ (ῥῆμα) εἰ δύνασαι πιστεῦσαι κ.τ.λ.:
L. 14. 18, ἤρξαντο ἀπὸ μιῶς (γνώμης, βουλῆς) παραιτεῖσθαι πάντες: J. 5. 2, ἐπὶ τῆ προβατικῆ (πύλη): J. 20. 12, λευκοῖς καθιζόμενος (ἰματίοις): A. 2. 25, ἐκ δεξιῶν μου ἐστίν (μερῶν):
33, τῆ δεξιῷ οὖν τοῦ Θεοῦ ὑψωθείς (χειρί): L. 3. 5, ἔσται τὰ σκολιὰ εἰς εὐθεῖαν (ὀδόν): Ja. 3. 11, μήτι ἡ πηγὴ ἐκ τῆς αὐτῆς ὀπῆς βρύει τὸ γλυκὺ καὶ τὸ πικρόν (ὕδωρ); L. 12. 47, δαρήσεται πολλάς (πληγάς): 2 C. 11. 24, ὑπὸ Ἰουδαίων πεντάκις τεσσαράκοντα παρὰ μίαν ἕλαβον.

# THE ARTICLE IN FORMATION OF THE SUBJECT.

Predicable words or sentences may be turned into subjects by prefixing the article.

Adjectives, participles, M. 25. 46, οί δίκαιοι εἰς ζωὴν αἰώνιον: 1 C. 1. 19, τὴν σοφίαν τῶν σοφῶν καὶ τὴν σύνεσιν τῶν συνετῶν: J. 3. 21, ὁ ποιῶν τὴν ἀλήθειαν: 2 C. 11. 4, εἰ μὲν ὁ ἐρχόμενος ἄλλον Ἰησοῦν κηρύσσει: G. 1. 23, ὁ διώκων ἡμῶς ποτε εὐαγγελίζεται: R. 3. 11, οὐκ ἔστιν ὁ ἐκζητῶν τὸν Θεόν: Ε. 6. 17, τοῦ σωτηρίου for τῆς σωτηρίας: the converse to which is ἡ ἐπιτιμία, 2 C. 2. 6, for τὸ ἐπιτίμιον.

It is desirable in a literal translation to preserve the distinction between a participle with, and a participle without the article. Hence we should render 2 T. 1. 10, καταργήσαντος μèν τὸν θάνατον, having made of none effect: M. 5. 22, πâς ὁ ὀργιζόμενος, every one who is angry: L. 6. 47, πâς ὁ ἐρχόμενος: 1 C. 11. 4, πâς ἀνὴρ προσευχόμενος, every man while praying, at the time of prayer: Xen. Anab. i. 2. 25, οἰ μèν ἔφασαν ἀρπάζοντάς τι κατακοπῆναι . . οἰ δέ, ὑπολειφθέντας καὶ οὐ δυναμένους εὐρεῖν τὸ ἄλλο στράτευμα . . ἀπολέσθαι: G. 6. 13, οἱ περιτεμνόμενοι, those who are getting themselves circumcised: G. 5. 3, παντὶ ἀνθρώπῷ περιτεμνομένῷ, to every man submitting to be circumcised; not τῷ περιτμηθέντι, or τῷ περιτετμημένῷ. It was not the oircumcised as such who became in a strict sense ὀφειλέται ὅλον τὸν κόσμον πληρῶσαι, but they who submitted to the rite with this object,

Infinitives, R. 7. 18, τὸ θέλειν παράκειταί μοι: G. 4. 18, καλὸν τὸ ζηλοῦσθαι ἐν καλῷ πάντοτε: R. 11. 8, ὀφθαλμοὺς τοῦ μὴ βλέπειν καὶ ѽτα τοῦ μὴ ἀκούειν, eyes of blindness and ears of deafness.

Adverbs, L. 16. 26, oi éxcider  $\pi\rho\delta s$   $\eta\mu\tilde{a}s$   $\delta\iotaa\pi\epsilon\rho\tilde{a}\sigma\iotav: J. 8. 23$ ,  $i\mu\epsilon\tilde{s}$  éx  $\tau\tilde{w}\nu$  xárw é orté: M. 24. 21, L. 22. 69,  $\tau\delta$   $\nu\tilde{v}\nu:$  G, 4. 25, 26,  $\eta$   $\nu\tilde{v}\nu$  'I  $\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\lambda\eta\mu$ , the centre of Judaism, and the ancient theocratic kingdom;  $\eta$  ärw 'I  $\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\lambda\eta\mu$ , the typical representation of Christianity and the Messianic kingdom. Cf. 'the outpatient,' 'the then mayor,' 'my sometime daughter' (K. Lear).

Cases of nouns, L. 20. 25, τὰ Καίσαρος, τὰ τοῦ Θεοῦ: Μ. 21. 21, τὸ τῆς συκῆς: 2 P. 2. 22, τὸ τῆς ἀληθοῦς παροιμίας.

A conditional clause, Mk. 9. 23, τὸ εἰ δύνασαι πιστεῦσαι: L. 1. 62, ἐνένευον τῷ πατρὶ αὐτοῦ τό, τί ἂν θέλοι καλεῖσθαι αὐτόν: L. 9. 46, εἰσῆλθε δὲ διαλογισμὸς ἐν αὐτοῖς τό, τίς ἀν εἴη μείζων αὐτῶν;

#### THE ARTICLE AFTER VERBS OF EXISTENCE.

When the article is inserted after a simple verb of existence, the real predicate of the sentence is the identity of the subject with another object; but if the word or combination of words after the verb of existence is without the article, the attribute or circumstances signified by that word are predicated of the subject.

Identity is expressed in convertible or reciprocating propositions: 1 C. 10. 4, ή δè πέτρα ήν δ Χριστός, see p. 31: R. 7. 7, o vóµos aµapría; is the law sinful? has it a tendency to generate sin? If the article had been inserted, the question would have been, are law and sin abstractedly the same? R. 7. 13, to our ayabor eucl regove baratos; did then that which is good prove to me death? R. 11. 6, ή χάρις οὐκέτι γίνεται  $\chi \dot{a} \rho i$ ; grace loses its property of grace; grace ceases to be grace: M. 13. 39, ό δε θερισμός συντέλεια τοῦ αἰῶνός έστιν oi de bepistal arrendi cisiv. The article is omitted before συντέλεια, as more than one event is signified, of each of which the harvest may be symbolical; 'the reapers are angels,' not the entire angelic order. J. 3. 6, to verennuévou ék the sapues σάρξ έστι και το γεγεννημένον έκ του πνεύματος πνευμά έστι, is of a fleshly, is of a spiritual character. The insertion of the article has a tendency to divert the attention from the inherent meaning of the word. If in J. 1. 1,  $\Theta \epsilon \delta s \eta \nu \delta \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$ . the article had been prefixed to  $\Theta \epsilon \delta s$ , the sense would have been that the Word was identical with the entire essence of the sole Deity; but by the omission of the article, all that is involved in the notion of Ocós is predicated of the Word, viz., the proper nature and attributes of Deity. M. 16. 16, σὺ εἰ ὁ Χριστὸς ὁ υἰὸς τοῦ Θεοῦ τοῦ ζῶντος. The words ὁ υίος τοῦ Θεοῦ occur in Mk. 3. 11; L. 4. 41; 22. 70; J. 1. 34, 50; 1 J. 4. 15; 5. 5, and the point involved is the identity of the person spoken of with the Christ, i. e., the Messiah. In other passages, where vios is without the article, the point involved is the intrinsic meaning of the expression vios rov Ocov. In M. 4. 3. 6, the challenge is not, 'if thou art the Messiah,' but 'if thou claimest relationship of Son to God,' 'if thou hast extraordinary power in virtue of that divine gene-

43

ration.' The enemies of our Lord charged Him with blasphemy, and taunted Him on the cross with the use of the expression  $vio_5 \tau o \hat{v} \Theta e a \hat{v} e i \mu l$ . The charge brought against Him was not that He assumed to be the Messiah, but that He professed to be of the same nature with God, J. 10. 33,  $\delta \tau \iota \sigma \hat{v} \, \delta \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$  $\delta \nu$ , wole  $\hat{c}_5 \sigma \epsilon a \nu \tau \partial \nu \Theta e \delta \nu$ . A. 19. 26,  $o \dot{\nu} \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \hat{\iota} \, \theta e o \hat{\iota}$  of  $\delta \iota \dot{a} \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$  $\gamma \nu \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \nu \omega$ , the divinities made by hands have not the character of gods. The predicate is generally without the article, LXX, Job 28. 28,  $i \delta o \dot{\nu} \dot{\eta} \, \theta e o \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \beta \epsilon \iota \dot{\epsilon} \, \sigma \tau \iota \, \sigma o \phi l a$ .

# WITH VERBS OF CALLING, APPOINTING.

The article is omitted after verbs of calling, appointing, in order to fix attention on the peculiar inherent meaning of the word: H. 1. 2, or édyne  $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu \delta \mu o \nu$  : Rev. 12. 9, o  $\kappa a \lambda o \dot{\mu} e \nu o \kappa a \lambda o \dot{\mu} e \nu o \nu$  : I. 23. 33, tèr tómor tòr  $\kappa a \lambda o \dot{\mu} e \nu o \nu$  $K \rho a \nu (o \nu$ .

It is found, however, after such verbs in the sense of affixing the name: Xon. Cyrop. iii. 3. 4, άνακαλοῦντες τὸν εὐεργέτην, τὸν ἄνδρα τὸν ἀγαθόν: Anab. vi. 4. 7, ἐπιχειροῦσι βάλλων τὸν Δέξιππον ἀνακαλοῦντες τὸν προδότην.

The idiom of the Greek language requires the article with many words where it is not required or admitted in English. Thus the article is used with indicative pronouns,  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\delta v \tau \sigma s$ , έκεινος, and even with correlatives,  $\tau \sigma \sigma v \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma s$ : L. 2. 35,  $\delta ~ a v \theta \rho \omega m \sigma s$  o  $\delta \tau \sigma s$ : 14. 13,  $\delta v \tau \sigma s$   $\delta ~ a v \theta \rho \omega m \sigma s$ : M. 7. 22,  $\epsilon v ~ \epsilon \kappa \epsilon l v \eta$  $\tau \eta ~ \eta \mu \epsilon \rho q$ : 18. 14,  $\tau \delta v ~ d \sigma \gamma \rho \delta v ~ \epsilon \kappa \epsilon v \sigma \sigma s$ : M. 7. 22,  $\epsilon v ~ \epsilon \kappa \epsilon l v \eta$  $\tau \eta ~ \eta \mu \epsilon \rho q$ : 18. 14,  $\tau \delta v ~ d \sigma \gamma \rho \delta v ~ \epsilon \kappa \epsilon v \sigma s$ : M. 21. 63,  $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \epsilon v \sigma s ~ \delta \pi \lambda d v \sigma s$ : 24. 48,  $\delta ~ \kappa \alpha \kappa \delta s ~ \delta \delta v \delta \sigma s$   $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \epsilon v \sigma s$ : Mk.  $\theta$ . 37,  $\epsilon v ~ \tau \delta v ~ \tau \sigma \omega \sigma s$  $\pi a \kappa \delta (\omega v, one of children who are such : 2 C. 12. 23; J. 4. 3, <math>\tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon ~ \tau \eta v ~ m \delta \lambda \omega$ , this city here, pointing to one in view.

So with possessive adjectives, especially when they are used for the objective genitive: L. 22. 19, eis the duple duple duplet for the purpose of calling me to mind: R. 11. 31, the duplet ellis, by the mercy shown to you: 1 C. 15. 31, the duplet maix more placed on account of you: 2 T. 4. 6, the duple duplet duplet.

The article with  $\pi \sigma \lambda \dot{\nu}_s$ ,  $\pi a_s$ ,  $a \lambda \lambda \sigma_s$ ,  $\delta \lambda \sigma_s$ , introduces some modifications of meaning: R. 5. 15, oi  $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \sigma d$ , the many, the mass of mankind: 1 C. 10. 17, oi  $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \sigma d$  is opposed to  $\epsilon \delta s$ , and means though many: 2 C. 2. 17,  $\dot{\omega}_s$  oi  $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \sigma d$ , 'as the majority' of the teachers at that time. R. 12. 5, oi  $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \sigma d$   $\epsilon \nu \sigma \omega \mu d$   $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$  $\epsilon \nu X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \phi$ ,  $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa a \theta' \epsilon \delta s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ , collectively we form one body, individually we are related to each other as the members of one body. Vaughan. M. 5. 39; 10. 23; 12. 13,  $\tau \eta \nu$   $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ , the other: 1 C. 14. 29, oi  $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o_i$ , the rest, cæteri, J. 20. 25; 21. 8: Rev. 17. 10,  $\dot{o}$   $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o_s$ , the remaining one of seven: M. 4. 21,  $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o_{\nu}$  solve  $\dot{a} \delta c \lambda \phi \nu \dot{s}$ .

The radical signification of  $\pi \hat{a}_s$  is all: when it is used of one object its meaning is the whole, entire, all the, in an intensive sense; of several objects its meaning is every, in an extensive sense, like  $\xi_{\kappa a \sigma \tau \sigma s}$ .

Intensive sense, the whole, M. 21. 10,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \iota s$ : Mk. 4. 1,  $\pi \hat{a} s \hat{o} \delta \chi \lambda o s$ : L. 2. 1,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \tau \eta \nu o i \kappa o \nu \mu \acute{\nu} \eta \nu$ : 1 T. 1. 16,  $\tau \eta \nu$   $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \mu a \kappa \rho o \theta \nu \mu i a \nu$ , the fulness of his long-suffering. Sometimes the intensive and extensive senses are found in different clauses of the same sentence: Phil. 1. 3,  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$   $\mu o \nu \epsilon \pi i \pi a \sigma \eta \tau \eta \mu \nu \epsilon i q \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \prime \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \sigma \eta \delta \epsilon \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu o \nu$ , I give thanks to my God on the ground of my whole remembrance of you, at all times, in every request.

When the article is inserted  $\pi \hat{\alpha}_s$  stands before the article and noun, but when  $\pi \hat{\alpha}_s$  is emphatic it stands between the article and substantive: A. 20. 18,  $\tau \hat{\nu} \tau \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \chi \rho \hat{\rho} \nu \rho \nu$ : G. 5. 14; 1 T. 1. 16. In the plural, A. 19. 7; 27. 37. The adjective without the article expresses not an intrinsic quality belonging to the noun, but a circumstance or condition predicated of it. The adjective is thus a kind of indirect predicate: E. 2. 21,  $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \ o \hat{\nu} \kappa \delta \delta \rho \mu \hat{\eta}$ , the building in every part. So in Latin, "non omnis moriar." This may be remarked more closely in the use of  $\delta \lambda \sigma_s$ : M. 4. 23,  $\delta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \Gamma \alpha \lambda \iota \lambda a \ell \alpha \nu$ : 16. 26,  $\tau \hat{\partial} \nu \kappa \delta \sigma \mu o \nu \delta \lambda \sigma \nu$ : L. 10. 27,  $\epsilon \xi$  $\delta \lambda \eta s \tau \eta s \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \ell \alpha s \sigma o \nu$ : Ph. 1. 13,  $\epsilon \nu \delta \lambda \phi \tau \hat{\phi} \pi \rho \alpha \iota \tau \omega \rho \ell \phi$ . Fre-

quently  $\delta\lambda os$  without the article may be rendered adverbially: J. 7. 23,  $\delta\lambda ov \, \delta v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ , a man in every part, or entirely: J. 9. 34,  $\delta v \, \delta \mu a \rho \tau las \, \sigma v \, \delta \gamma e v v \eta \theta \eta s \, \delta \lambda o s$ .

In G. 3. 22, συνέκλεισεν ή γραφή τὰ πάντα ὑπὸ ἀμαρτίαν, some interpret τὰ πάντα of oreation generally. But in R. 11. 32, we have συνέκλεισεν ὁ Θεὸς τοὺς πάντας εἰς ἀπείθειαν. The difference between τοὺς πάντας and τὰ πάντα is about the same as between ' all men' and ' all mankind.' The use of the neuter is natural and suitable when the object is to express a sentiment in general terms: C. 1. 16, τὰ πάντα, the universe.

άπαντες is stronger than πάντες. "άπαντες universos nemine excepto designat; πάντες sæpe tantum plerosque." Valckner. πάντες άντι τοῦ πλεῖοτοι, Hesychius.

The use of airós may be compared with the Latin 'is,' and its derivatives 'ipse,' 'idem.' & vids airoû is equivalent to 'filius ejus :' & airós, 'vir ipse,' the man himself : & airòs airóp, 'vir idem,' the same man.

ό αὐτός, the same, is followed by a dative of the person: 1 C.
 11. 5, ἐν γάρ ἐστι καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ τῆ ἐξυρημένη: 1 P. 5. 9, εἰδότες τὰ αὐτὰ τῶν παθημάτων τῆ ἐν κόσμω ὑμῶν ἀδελφότητι ἐπιτελεῖσθαι. See the Dative of Coincidence.

αὐτός is never used as a pronoun in the nominative case, but merely in concord with the subject of the verb, meaning 'alone,' 'of one's own accord,' 'he, and no other :' M. 1 21, αὐτὸς γὰρ σώσει τὸν λαὸν αὐτοῦ κ.τ.λ.: M. 8, 17, αὐτὸς τὰς ἀσθενείας ἡμῶν ἕλαβε: Η. 13. 5, αὐτὸς γὰρ εἴρηκεν, for he himself has said. Cf. the Pythagorean term αὐτὸς ἔφα. L. 6. 42, αὐτὸς ἐν τῷ ὀφθαλμῷ σου δοκὸν οὐ βλέπων: L. 11. 4, καὶ γὰρ αὐτὸὶ ἀφίεμεν παντὶ ὀφείλοντι ἡμῖν: Ε. 5. 23, αὐτὸς σωτὴρ τοῦ σώματος: Ε. 4. 11, καὶ αὐτὸς ἔδωκε, 'ipse, nemo alius:' M. 27. 57, ὡς καὶ αὐτὸς ἐμαθήτευσε τῷ Ἰησοῦ: J. 4. 2, καίτοιγε Ἰησοῦς αὐτὸς οὐκ ἐβάπτιζεν: L. 24. 39, αὐτὸς ἐγώ εἰμι.

avitos is used to give emphasis to the action or state signified by the verb, especially where a series of actions or circumstances is recorded respecting a certain subject: L. 16. 23, 24,  $\delta\rho\tilde{a} \tau \delta\nu$  $A\beta\rho a\tilde{a}\mu \dots \kappa ai$  avitos  $\phi\omega\nu\eta\sigma as$  elne. So  $\kappa ai$  avitos: L. 15. 14; 17. 16; 24. 31,  $\kappa ai$  avito: L. 2. 50; 17. 13; 18. 34; 24. 35. With this we may compare the use of  $\kappa ai$  ovitos in L. 20. 28,  $\epsilon d\nu$  $\tau \mu os$   $d\delta\epsilon \lambda \phi ds$   $d\pi o\theta d\nu \eta$   $\xi \chi \omega \nu \gamma \nu u a i \kappa a$   $\kappa ai$  ovitos  $d\pi\epsilon \kappa \nu v s$   $d\pi\sigma \theta d\nu \eta$ . Here no greater stress can be laid on the person in the second clause than in the first; the introduction of ovitos calls attention to the circumstance as expressing the condition on the occurrence of which the injunction rested. Where a succession of facts is stated, rising one above the other in importance, kal airos is followed by kal oiros: L. 19. 2, idoù àràp oropart kaloúpevos Zakyaîos kal airòs  $\frac{1}{2}$  àpyurelárys kal oiros  $\frac{1}{2}$  m mloúros.

In other cases aυτός occurs more frequently than perspicuity requires: M. 5. 1, καθίσαντος αυτοῦ προσήλθον αὐτῷ οἰ μαθηταὶ αὐτοῦ: L. 23. 53, καὶ καθελών αὐτὸ ἐνετύλιξεν αὐτὸ σινδόνι καὶ ἔθηκεν αὐτὸ ἐν μνήματι λαξευτῷ. See Mk. 10. 16. 34.

In some instances where airòs has preceded, a further description of the person meant is given to add vivacity to the narrative: J. 9. 13, ărour airòv mpòs rois  $\Phi$ apıraíous, róv more  $\tau u \phi \lambda \acute{o} v$ : J. 9. 18,  $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \acute{\omega} v \eta \sigma av$  rois rove  $\hat{s}$  airov rov  $\hat{s}$  devents.

#### PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

The older writers used the personal pronouns,  $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ ,  $\sigma i$ , without any particular emphasis. But these expressions for the subject do not occur in the New Testament, except as in Attic

44

Greek, for the purpose of emphasis, antithesis, or contrast. Thus M. 5. 26. 32. 34, έγὼ λέγω: M. 10. 31, μὴ οὖν φοβηθῆτε πολλῶν στρουθίων διαφέρετε ὑμεῖς: L. 10. 24, πολλοὶ προφῆται καὶ βασιλεῖς ἡθέλησαν ἰδεῖν ἂ ὑμεῖς βλέπετε: L. 11. 19, εἰ δὲ ἐγὼ ἐν Βεελξεβοὺλ ἐκβάλλω τὰ δαιμόνια, οἱ υἰοὶ ὑμῶν ἐν τίνι ἐκβάλλουσι; J. 12. 34, ἡμεῖς ἡκούσαμεν κ.τ.λ. καὶ πῶς σὺ λέγεις . . ; 1 J. 3. 14, ἡμεῖς οἴδαμεν ὅτι μεταβεβήκαμεν ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου εἰς τὴν ζωήν: J. 10. 36, ὑμεῖς λέγετε ὅτι βλασφημεῖς. Here ὑμεῖς is opposed to ἐν τῷ νόμῷ ὑμῶν (34): J. 10. 25, τὰ ἔργα ἂ ἐγὼ ποιῶ ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ Πατρός μου ταῦτα μαρτυρεῖ περὶ ἐμοῦ: 2 C. 11. 29, τίς ἀσθενεῖ καὶ οὐκ ἀσθενῶ; τίς σκανδαλίζεται καὶ οὐκ ἐγὼ πυροῦμαι; In the second clause the excitement of feeling is marked by the insortion of ἐγώ.

Sometimes the personal pronoun is repeated: R. 7. 21, εύρίσκω άρα τον νόμον τῷ θέλοντι ἐμοί ποιεῖν το καλόν, ὅτι ἐμοί το κακὸν παράκειται.

The pronoun of the Second Person is expressed when there is a pointed manner in the address: J. 9. 35, σd πιστεύεις εἰς τὰν υίὰν τοῦ Θεοῦ: 1 C. 15. 36, ἄφρον σύ, δ σπείρεις οὐ ζωοποιεῖται ἐἀν μὴ ἀποθάνη: J. 9. 34, ἐν ἁμαρτίαις σὐ ἐγεννήθης ὅλος· καὶ σừ διδάσκεις ἡμᾶς; J. 8. 48, Σαμαρείτης εἶ σύ; J. 13. 6, Κύριε, σύ μου νίπτεις τοὺς πόδας; J. 5. 44, πῶς δύνασθε ὑμεῖς πιστεῦσαι δόξαν παρὰ ἀλλήλων λαμβανόντες; R. 2. 3, σὐ ἐκφεύξῃ τὸ κρίμα τοῦ Θεοῦ; 2 Tim. 4. 5, 6, Σừ δὲ νῆφε ἐν πᾶσι... Ἐγὼ γὰρ ἦδη σπένδομαι.

The reflexive pronoun έαυτοῦ is used in a reciprocal sense with nouns of the First and Second Person: R. 8. 23, ἡμεῖς αὐτοὶ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς στενάζομεν: R. 13. 9, ἀγαπήσεις τὸν πλησίον σου ὡς ἑαυτοῦς : 1 C. 6. 19, οὐκ ἐστὲ ἑαυτῶν: 2 C. 1. 9, αὐτοὶ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς τὸ ἀπόκριμα τοῦ θανάτου ἐσχήκαμεν: Mk. 10. 26, λέγοντες πρὸς ἑαυτούς (i. e. ἀλλήλους). So in provincial German, 'wir wollen sich waschen, statt, wir wollen uns waschen.'

The indicative or demonstrative pronouns,  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\delta\delta\tau\sigma\varsigma$ ,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu\sigma\varsigma$ , are equivalent to the Latin 'hic,' 'iste,' 'ille,' as distinguishing the three positions, where I am, where you are, where he is. Thus  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ is used for the first, and  $\delta\delta\tau\sigma\varsigma$  for the second personal pronoun : Eurip. Alc. 690,  $\mu\eta \theta\nu\eta\sigma\chi' \delta\pi\epsilon\rho \tau\sigma\delta\delta' \delta\nu\delta\rho\delta\varsigma$ , do not die for me;  $\delta\delta\tau\sigma\sigma \sigma\delta'$ , you there;  $\tau i \tau \sigma\delta\tau\sigma \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\varsigma$ ; what is that which you say? The most emphatic pronoun for the third person is  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu\sigma\varsigma$ : Thuoyd. iv. 28,  $\delta\iota\kappa \epsilon\phi\eta a \delta\tau\delta\varsigma \delta\lambda\lambda' \epsilon\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu\sigma\nu \sigma\tau\rho a \tau\eta\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ , Cleon said that not he himself, but the other (Nicias) was general. excivos denotes special distinction either of credit or discredit: Περικλής ἐκείνος, that famous Pericles : A. 3. 13, κατὰ πρόσωπου Πιλάτου κρίναντος έκείνου απολύειν, when that unrighteous judge; where excluse is emphatic as opposed to ineis (14). In 2 Tim. 2. 26, airos and exervos are by some referred to the same person, i. e., διάβολος έζωγρημένοι ύπ' αὐτοῦ εἰς τὸ ἐκείνου θέλημα, being taken captive by him to do his will; where airoù is inserted, as exeîvos is unfit for mere reflective use, and conveys the idea of the subject with emphasis; but exelvov brings out emphatically the danger and degradation of those persons who had just been taken captive at the pleasure of excivos, their mortal foe. Some explain the passage, 'being rescued by the servant of the Lord to do the will of God.' Others render the passage, 'having been taken captive by the devil, they may recover themselves out of his snare to do the will of God.'

In the distinction of different persons, oùros generally means the latter, i. e., the nearer, and  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu\sigma$ s, the former, i. e., the more remote: L. 18. 14, oùros  $\delta\epsilon\delta\iota\kappa a\iota\omega\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s  $\epsilon\dot{s}$  rov  $o\dot{l}\kappa\sigma\nu$   $\kappa ar\epsilon\beta\eta$   $\hat{\eta}$  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu\sigma$ s, the latter, namely, the publican, rather than the former, the Pharisee.

Oùros does not always refer to the substantive last mentioned, as A. 4. 11, oùrós ẻστιν ὁ λίθος ὁ ἐξουθενηθεὶς ὑφ᾽ ὑμῶν τῶν οἰκοδομούντων. Here oùros is appropriate because of ὑμῶν which follows, 'This we do you to wit.' A. 8. 26, ἐπὶ τὴν ὁδὸν τὴν καταβαίνουσαν ἀπὸ 'Ιερουσαλὴμ εἰς Γάζαν αῦτη ἐστὶν ἔρημος. Here αῦτη must refer to ὁδός, as Gaza was at that time a flourishing city.

we may explain R. 13. 11, καὶ τοῦτο, and this do ye, i. e. practise this obedience founded on love εἰδότες τὸν καιρόν, recognizing the proper season for action: 2 P. 1. 5, αὐτὸ τοῦτο δέ, but for this very reason. δὲ has an adversative force; the false teachers may abuse God's grace as a plea and occasion for sin, but (δέ) do you regard it as a reason and encouragement for holiness. Such is the use of καὶ τοῦτο, καὶ ταῦτα, in 1 C. 6. 6, ἀλλὰ ἀδελφὸς μετὰ ἀδελφοῦ κρίνεται, καὶ τοῦτο ἐπὶ ἀπίστων: 1 C. 6. 8, ἀλλὰ ὑμεῖς ἀδικεῖτε καὶ ἀποστερεῖτε καὶ τοῦτο ἀδελφούς: Ε. 2. 8, τῦ γὰρ χάριτί ἐστε σεσωσμένοι διὰ τῆς πίστεως, καὶ τοῦτο οὐκ ἐξ ὑμῶν, Θεοῦ τὸ δῶρον: Η. 11. 12, διὸ καὶ ἀφ' ἑνὸς ἐγεννήθησαν, καὶ ταῦτα νενεκρωμένου.

This distinction between obros and ode is not marked in the New Testament. In the following instances obros refers to the subsequent noun: 2 J. 6, 7, abry éordu ή àyánη, obrós éoru ó πλάνος: M. 10. 2, των δώδεκα ἀποστόλων τὰ ἀνόματά ἐστι ταῦτα: J. 1. 19, καὶ αῦτη ἐστὶν ἡ μαρτυρία τοῦ Ἰωάννου: 1 Th. 4. 3, τοῦτο γάρ ἐστιν θέλημα τοῦ Θεοῦ ὁ ἁγιασμὸς ὑμῶν, where τοῦτο is prospective. ὅδε has a stronger demonstrative power than οὖτος, as if pointing to the object in sight: Ja. 4. 13, πορευσώμεθα εἰς τήνδε τὴν πόλιν, to this city here, which we see before us: L. 16. 25, νῦν δὲ ὅδε παρακαλεῖται, this one as you see. Sometimes ὅδε refers to one previously mentioned: L. 10. 39, καὶ τῇδε ἡν ἀδελφὴ καλουμένη Μαρία.

#### INTERROGATIVE.

The interrogative  $\tau/s$  is used in direct and indirect questions: Mk. 5. 9,  $T/\sigma \sigma i \delta vo\mu a$ ; 30,  $T/s \mu ov \eta \psi a \tau o \tau \delta v \iota \mu a \tau (\omega v; A. 13. 25, <math>T$  iva  $\mu e \upsilon \pi o voei \tau e \ell v a i;$  Sometimes it is used as equivalent to  $\epsilon \ell \tau \iota_s$ : 1 C. 7. 18,  $\pi e \rho \iota \tau \tau \mu \eta \mu \ell v os \tau \iota_s \ell \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta; \mu \eta \ell \pi \iota \sigma \pi \delta \sigma \theta \omega$ . Ja. 5. 13,  $\kappa a \kappa o \pi a \theta \epsilon \tilde{\ell} \tau \iota_s \ell v \dot{\mu} \tilde{\mu} v; \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon v \chi \ell \sigma \theta \omega$ . In these instances some render  $\tau \iota_s$  by 'aliquis,' without any interrogation. In L. 19. 15, we have a double question,  $\tilde{\iota} va \gamma v \tilde{\mu} \tau \ell_s \tau \ell \delta \iota \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \upsilon \sigma a \tau o, 'who had gained and what he had gained.' So Mk.$  $15. 24, <math>\beta d \lambda \lambda o v \tau \epsilon_s \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v \ell \pi' a \upsilon \tau d \tau \ell_s \tau \ell \delta \rho \eta$ : Eurip. Troad. 248,  $\tau \ell v' \delta \rho a \tau \ell_s \ell \lambda a \chi \epsilon v$ , who has gained the first choice by lot, and whom he has chosen. Demosth. de Corona, 73,  $d \pi \delta \tau \sigma \upsilon \tau \omega v \ell \ell \xi \epsilon \tau a \zeta \rho \mu \ell v \sigma v \ell v$ .

With  $\hat{a}\nu$  followed by the optative,  $\tau l$  increases the idea of uncertainty, whatever: L. 6. 11,  $\delta l \epsilon \lambda \delta l \nu \pi \rho \delta s \delta \lambda \eta \delta \rho v \tau l \delta \nu \pi \rho \delta s \delta \lambda \eta \delta \rho v \tau l \delta \nu$ 

In some cases τις is used for δστις: M. 15. 32, ούκ έχουσι τί φάγωσι: L. 17. 8, ετοίμασον τί δειπνήσω.

Occasionally τίς and ὄς are interchanged: M. 26. 50, ἐταῖρε, ἐφ' ῷ πάρει; But this may be a short and hasty inquiry, 'Comrade, the business for which you are come:' Mk. 4. 24, βλέπετε τί ἀκούετε: 14. 36, οὐ τί ἐγὼ θέλω ἀλλὰ τί σύ: 1 T. 1. 7, μὴ νοοῦντες μήτε ἁ λέγουσι μήτε περὶ τίνων διαβεβαιοῦνται.

τις is sometimes used for πότερος, which of the two, as quis for uter: L. 7. 42, τις ουν αυτών πλείον αυτόν ἀγαπήσει; L. 22. 27, τις γὰρ μείζων ὁ ἀνακείμενος ἡ ὁ διακονῶν; Ph. 1. 22, καὶ τι αἰρήσομαι οὐ γνωρίζω: M. 21. 31, τις ἐκ τῶν δύο ἐποίησε τὸ θέλημα τοῦ πατρός;

Sometimes  $\tau i_s$  is used in conjunction with *iva*, ut quid: M. 9. 4, *iva*  $\tau i \, \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon i_s \, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i_\sigma \theta \epsilon \, \pi \sigma \nu \eta \rho \dot{a}$ ; This is explained by the insertion of  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a\iota$ , Soph. Aj. 77,  $\tau i \, \mu \dot{\eta} \, \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a\iota$ ; what is it you fear lest it occur?

The indefinite  $\tau_{i5}$  is used to denote importance, as aliquis, quidam: A. 5. 36; 8. 9,  $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma \omega \nu \epsilon \acute{l}\nu \alpha \iota \tau_{i\nu} \dot{a} \acute{e}\alpha \nu \tau \acute{o}\nu$ , aliquem esse: G. 2. 6,  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o} \tau \ddot{\omega} \nu \delta \delta \kappa \delta \acute{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \acute{l}\nu \alpha \iota \tau i$ : 6. 3,  $\epsilon \acute{l} \gamma \dot{a}\rho \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon \acute{\iota} \tau i \epsilon \epsilon \acute{l}\nu \alpha \iota$  $\tau \acute{l}$ . So 1 C. 3. 7; 10. 19; H. 2. 6,  $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu a \rho \tau \acute{\nu} \rho a \tau \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \nu \tau \prime s$  $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma \omega \nu$ , some one—of great dignity and authority: J. 11. 49,  $\epsilon \acute{l}s$  $\delta \acute{e} \tau \iota s \acute{e} \acute{E} a \dot{\nu} \tau \ddot{\omega} \nu Ka i \acute{a} \phi a \varsigma$ , a distinguished member of their body.

τίς may also be attached to any words which we wish to use in a vague or general sense: Ja. 1. 18, ἀπαρχή τις, a kind of first-fruits: H. 10. 27, φοβερά τις ἐκδοχή, terribilis quædam expectatio. So we have φοβερόν τι θέαμα: ἐπίπονός τις βίος. Thus τις is used after abstract nouns to soften their import: Xen. Cyr. iii. 1, τούτους ἡγεῖτο ἀκρατεία τινὶ ἡ ἀδικία ἡ ἀμελεία ἀπεῖναι, he considered that these were absent by some want of self-restraint, by injustice, or negligence.

ό δείνα is used when we refer to some person whose name we do not know, or do not wish to mention: M. 26. 18, ὑπάγετε εἰς τὴν πόλιν πρὸς τὸν δείνα.

# FIRST CONCORD. ζώα τρέχει, as to the animals there is running. Another reason

for this usage seems to have been a notion that life or mind gives to objects an individual existence, whereas a number of inanimate things may be regarded as a single mass. Hence a plural verb is used when living persons are meant.  $\tau \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$  $i\pi\epsilon\sigma_{\chi}$ ovro, the magistrates promised; and when the plurality of inanimate things is particularly marked, pavepà yoar iyrn πολλά, many footmarks were visible: M. 12. 21. έθνη έλπιοῦσι: L. 24. 11, εφάνησαν τα βήματα.

Sometimes both usages are combined : J. 10. 27, tà πρόβατα τα έμα της φωνής μου ακούει, και ακολουθοῦσί μοι, where the collective unity of  $\tau \dot{a} \pi \rho \delta \beta a \tau a$  is denoted by the singular verb anover, but the individuality of the several members is expressed by the plural ακολουθοῦσι: L. 4. 41, ἐξήρχετο δαιμόνια, gives a general account of the whole transaction :  $\epsilon \pi i \tau i \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$  oùr  $\epsilon \hat{l} a a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{a}$ λαλείν ὅτι ήδεισαν τὸν Χριστὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι, here the evil spirits are viewed in detail, one by one. 1 T. 5. 25, tà kalà έργα πρόδηλά έστι· και τὰ άλλως έχοντα κρυβήναι οὐ δύνανται, good deeds are manifest before all, and those which are not openly manifest cannot be kept concealed, i. e. they come to light one by one. Xen. Cyr. v. 1. 14, τὰ μοχθηρὰ ἀνθρώπια τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν ἀκρατή έστιν κάπειτα έρωτα αίτιῶνται, unhappy man (mankind) has no mastery over his desires; and then they (individuals among them) lay the blame on love.

Two or more subjects require the verb to be in the plural: A. 15. 35, Παύλος και Βαρνάβας διέτριβον έν 'Αντιοχεία: L. 8. 19, παρεγένοντο είς αὐτὸν ή μήτηρ καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ αὐτοῦ: L. 2. 48, ὁ πατήρ σου κάγω όδυνώμενοι έζητουμέν σε.

Frequently the verb agrees with the nearest subject: L. 2. 43, ούκ έγνω Ίωσήφ και ή μήτηρ αυτού: 1 C. 13. 13. νυνί δε μένει πίστις, έλπις, αγάπη.

When the subject is a noun of multitude the verb may be in the plural: M. 21. 8, ό πλείστος ὄχλος ἔστρωσαν τὰ ἰμάτια: Μκ. 3. 7, πολύ πλήθος ἀπὸ τῆς Γαλιλαίας ἠκολούθησαν αὐτῷ.

The noun in its collective sense has a singular verb, but when its component parts are separately regarded the verb will be in the plural : Mk. 5. 24, ηκολούθει αὐτῷ ὄχλος πολὺς, καὶ συνέθλιβου αὐτόν: 1 Tim. 2. 15, σωθήσεται (ή γυνή) διὰ τῆς τεκνογονίας έαν μείνωσιν έν πίστει. The same usage occurs in English and in Hebrew, 'my people are destroyed for lack of knowledge,' are not is. The word 'people' speaks of them as a whole; are

# CHAPTER IV.

# CONNEXION OF THE SUBJECT WITH THE PRE-DICATE AND COPULA. --

## THE THREE CONCORDS.

WE have seen that a proposition consists of three parts: (1) an object of which something is declared—subject; (2) a property attributed to the object-predicate; (3) a word which connects the subject and predicate-copula.

The subject is designated by a noun or nominal equivalent, and stands in the nominative, as it is named directly and independently. The predicate is designated by an adjective or adjectival equivalent as the indication of a property. The simple copula is designated by some verb of existence (elvai, υπάρχειν, γίγνεσθαι, φυναι).

As the subject is the most important part of a proposition, the two other parts must agree with it in external form; hence the copula stands in the same number with the subject; the predicate in the same number and case, and where an adjective is employed, in the same gender.

There are three kinds of grammatical concord: (1) between the subject and its verb; (2) between the substantive and its adjective; (3) between the antecedent and its relative.

## FIRST CONCORD.

A verb agrees with its subject in number and person: eyw φεύγω, I flee: σù διώκεις, thou pursuest: οἱ ἄνδρες ἔρχονται, the men come.

When the subject is a neuter plural the verb is generally singular: as  $\tau d \zeta \tilde{\omega} a \tau \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon i$ , the animals are running:  $\tau d \pi \rho \delta \gamma$ ματά έστι χαλεπά, the things are difficult.

The neuter plural is, strictly speaking, an objective case: 7à

relates to the individuals of whom that whole is composed. Together the words express the destruction of the whole, one and all. (Dr. Pusey on Hosea 4. 6.)

The substantive is used in the singular in a collective or general sense: G. 3. 28, οὐκ ἔνι Ἰουδαῖος οὐδὲ Ἔλλην κ.τ.λ.: C. 3. 11, οὐκ ἔνι βάρβαρος, Σκύθης, δοῦλος, ἐλεύθερος. In collective ideas the copula and predicate frequently stand in the plural: τὸ στρατόπεδον ἀνεχώρουν: τὸ πλῆθος ἀεὶ ἐθέλουσι στασιάζειν: Il. 2. 278, Ως φάσαν ἡ πληθύς. Both numbers are used in L. 2. 13, ἐξαίφνης ἐγένετο σὺν τῷ ἀγγέλῷ πλῆθος στρατιᾶς οὐρανίου, αἰνούντων τὸν Θεὸν καὶ λεγόντων.

The plural is frequently used though one only is meant: J. 3. 11, δ οίδαμεν λαλοῦμεν καὶ δ ἐωράκαμεν μαρτυροῦμεν: M. 2. 20, τεθνήκασι γὰρ οἱ ζητοῦντες, i. e., Herod: Mk. 9. 1, εἰσὶ τινὲς τῶν ὥδε ἐστηκότων, i. e., John: 1 Th. 2. 18, ἠθελήσαμεν ἐλθεῖν πρὸς ὑμᾶς, ἐγὼ μὲν Παῦλος: 1 Th. 3. 1, μηκέτι στέγοντες εὐδοκήσαμεν καταλειφθῆναι ἐν Ἀθήναις μόνοι.

The subject of a verb is often omitted when some customary or familiar action is expressed, also when it is some unknown or imaginary agent, and the action alone is regarded: 1 C. 15. 52,  $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \epsilon i$  (sc.  $\delta \sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma \kappa \tau \eta s$ ). Thus  $\delta \Theta \epsilon \delta s$  may be supplied in 2 C. 6. 2; H. 8. 5:  $\eta \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$  in G. 3. 16:  $\tau \delta \pi \nu \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \mu a'$  in H. 7. 17:  $\tilde{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o i$  may be supplied as the subject in M. 1. 23,  $\kappa a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o v \sigma i$   $\tau \delta \dot{\sigma} \rho a a \dot{\sigma} \tau \delta' \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu a \nu o v \eta \lambda$ : M. 5. 11,  $\mu a \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho i o \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$  $\delta \tau a \nu \dot{\sigma} \nu \epsilon i \delta \sigma \sigma v \dot{\nu} a \dot{a} \tau \sigma \delta' \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \dot{\alpha} v \cdot i$ . L. 6. 38,  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho o \nu \kappa a \lambda \dot{\rho} \nu$  $\delta \omega \sigma o v \sigma i \nu \epsilon i s \tau \dot{\sigma} \nu \kappa \dot{\sigma} \lambda \pi o \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ : L. 12. 20, "A  $\phi \rho \omega \nu$ ,  $\tau a \dot{\tau} \tau \eta$  $\nu \kappa \tau i \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \eta \nu \sigma o v \dot{a} \pi a i \tau \sigma \delta' \sigma \sigma \delta' (\ddot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o)$ : L. 12. 48,  $\phi \pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma \pi o \lambda \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \rho o \nu a \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma o v \dot{\sigma} v \cdot i J$ . 15. 6,  $\sigma v \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma o v \sigma v \dot{\alpha} \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} s \pi \tilde{v} \rho \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma i$ . See L. 16. 4. 9; J. 20. 2; Rev. 11. 9.

The simple copula is omitted when the connexion between the subject and predicate is obvious: L. 1. 45, μακαρία ή πιστεύσασα: H. 5. 13, πῶς ὁ μετέχων γάλακτος ἄπειρος λόγου δικαιοσύνης: R. 10. 4, τέλος νόμου Χριστὸς εἰς δικαιοσύνην. Especially with verbals in -τέος: L. 5. 38, οἶνον νέον εἰς ἀσκοὺς καινοὺς βλητέον.

Sometimes the imperative is omitted : R. 12. 9, ή ἀγάπη ἀνυπόκριτος : Η. 13. 4, τίμιος ὁ γάμος ἐν πᾶσιν καὶ ἡ κοίτη ἀμίαντος . . . ἀφιλάργυρος ὁ τρόπος· ἀρκούμενοι τοῖς παροῦσιν.

#### SECOND CONCORD.

Adjectives, pronouns, and participles, agree with their substantives in gender, number, and case:  $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$   $d\nu\eta\rho$   $\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$ κοιν $\delta\nu$   $d\eta a\theta\delta\nu$ , a good man is a public benefit.

To this general rule there are many exceptions, which fall under the head of rational concord, κατὰ σύνεσιν, the concord being regulated by the sense rather than by the grammatical gender: E. 4. 17, τὰ λοιπὰ ἔθνη περιπατεῖ ἐν ματαιότητι τοῦ νοὸς αὐτῶν, ἐσκοτισμένοι τῆ διανοία: Rev. 19. 14, τὰ στρατεύματα ἡκολούθει ἐνδεδυμένοι βύσσινον λευκόν: M. 28. 19, μαθητεύσατε πάντα τὰ ἔθνη βαπτίζοντες αὐτούς: A. 15. 17, πάντα τὰ ἔθνη ἐψ' οῦς ἐπικέκληται τὸ ὄνομά μου.

Hence a collective noun in the singular is joined to an adjectival attributive in the plural, and sometimes in a different gender: L. 19. 37, ήρξαντο άπαν το πλήθος τῶν μαθητῶν χαίροντες aἰνεῖν τον Θεόν: A. 3. 11, συνέδραμε πᾶς ὁ λαὸς ἕκθαμβοι: Mk. 8. 1, παμπόλλου ὄχλου ὄντος καὶ μὴ ἐχόντων τί φάγωσι: A. 15. 36, ἐπισκεψώμεθα τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς ἡμῶν κατὰ πᾶσαν πόλιν, ἐν αἶς κατηγηείλαμεν τὸν λόγον τοῦ Κυρίου.

Sometimes the word to which the adjectival attributive refers is suggested by the nature of the context: A. 8. 5.  $\Phi i \lambda i \pi \pi \sigma s$ κατελθών είς πόλιν της Σαμαρείας εκήρυσσον αύτοις τον Χριστόν, i. e., τοις Σαμαρείταις: M. 10. 18, επι ήγεμόνας δε και βασιλείς άγθήσεσθε ένεκεν έμοῦ εἰς μαρτύριον αὐτοῖς καὶ τοῖς ἔθνεσιν. Here airois refers to iv rais ouvaywyais airwv, v. 17, and is opposed to tois  $\ell\theta \nu \epsilon \sigma i \nu$ , meaning tois Ioudalois or the  $\lambda a \hat{\omega}$ . Μ. 19. 13, τότε προσηνέχθη αὐτῷ παιδία ίνα τὰς γειρας ἐπιθή αύτοις και προσεύξηται. οι δε μαθηται επετίμησαν αυτοις. Here the second autois refers to tois mpospépousiv, as in Mk. 10. 13. 1 P. 3. 14, τον δε φόβου αὐτῶν μη φοβηθητε, i. e., the fear which of randovers would inspire, v. 13: L. 23. 51, ovros our ην συγκατατεθειμένος τη βουλή και τη πράξει αυτών, i. e., τών βουλευτών: R. 2. 26, έαν ούν ή ακροβυστία τα δικαιώματα τοῦ νόμου φυλάσση, οὐχὶ ἡ ἀκροβυστία αὐτοῦ εἰς περιτομὴν λογισθήσεται; where αὐτοῦ refers to any one who is uncircumcised, implied in  $\dot{a}\kappa\rho\sigma\beta\nu\sigma\tau la$ : J. 8. 44,  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau a\nu \lambda a\lambda\hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\sigma} \psi\epsilon\hat{\nu}\delta\sigma\varsigma$ έκ των ίδίων λαλεί· ὅτι ψεύστης ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ πατὴρ αὐτοῦ, whenever he speaks falsehood, he speaks out of his own nature. inasmuch as he is a liar, and the father of lying, i. e., TOU λαλείν το ψεύδος. L

When the substantives are of different genders, and inanimate objects are signified, the neuter plural is generally used, but with animated beings the masculine gender is preferred : A. 2. 45,  $\tau \lambda \kappa r \eta \mu a \tau a \kappa a \tau \lambda s i \pi \delta \rho \xi e s \xi \pi (\pi \rho a \sigma \kappa o \kappa \kappa a) \delta (e \mu \xi \rho) (s o \kappa a) \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta \kappa a) \delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta \kappa a \delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta \kappa a \delta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho \xi \sigma \tau (v.$ 

We may remark here, that in 2 Tim. 2. 19, the adjective is an attributive, not a predicate :  $\delta \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o\iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota o \delta \tau \sigma v \theta$  $\Theta \epsilon o v \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ , nevertheless the firm foundation of God is placed.

# THIRD CONCORD.

The relative agrees with its antecedent in gender, number, and person: J. 4. 29, lotre  $\ddot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\nu$  is  $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon$   $\mu\sigma\iota$   $\pi\dot{a}\nu\tau a$   $\ddot{\sigma}\sigma a$  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\ell\eta\sigma a$ .

The case of the relative, which is naturally determined by the words in its own clause, generally takes the case of the antecedent. This is called the genitive or dative of attraction: M. 18. 19,  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$   $\pi a \nu \tau \partial s$   $\pi \rho \alpha \nu \mu a \tau \sigma s$  où  $\epsilon d\nu$   $a i \tau \eta \sigma \omega \nu \tau a$ : L. 2. 20,  $\epsilon \pi i$   $\pi a \sigma \iota \nu$  ois  $\eta \kappa o \upsilon \sigma a \nu$   $\kappa a i$   $\epsilon l \delta o \nu$ : J. 2. 22,  $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \sigma \nu \tau \sigma a \nu \tau \phi$   $\lambda \delta \gamma \phi$   $\phi$   $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ : Ja. 2. 5,  $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu \delta \mu \sigma \upsilon s$   $\eta s \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu$ :  $\epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \tau \tau \eta$   $\lambda \sigma \gamma \eta$   $\tau \eta$   $\lambda \sigma \rho \eta$   $\tau \eta$   $\gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu$ : 1 Th. 3. 9,  $\epsilon \pi i \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \eta$   $\lambda \alpha \rho \eta \epsilon \eta$ .

The antecedent is generally omitted when it is a demonstrative pronoun, and the relative takes its case: Mk. 15. 12,  $\tau l$ οὐν θέλετε ποιήσω δν λέγετε βασιλέα τῶν Ἰουδαίων; L. 9. 36, οὐδενὶ ἀπήγγειλαν οὐδὲν ῶν ἑωράκασιν: J. 6. 29, ἶνα πιστεύσητε εἰς δν ἀπέστειλεν ἐκεῖνος: L. 23. 14, οὐδὲν εὖρον αἴτιον ῶν κατηγορεῖτε κατ' αὐτοῦ: L. 23. 41, ἄξια γὰρ ῶν ἐπράξαμεν ἀπολαμβάνομεν: A. 26. 23, οὐδὲν ἐκτὸς λέγων ῶν τε οἱ προφῆται ἐλάλησαν.

ds is often used with an explanatory or slightly causal force: 1 Tim. 2. 4, ds márras årθρώπους θέλει σωθήναι, seeing His will is that all men should be saved. σωθήναι is the ultimate, the  $\epsilon$ is  $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$  åληθείας  $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ , an immediate end leading naturally and directly to the former, i. e.  $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \nu a \iota$ .

#### CONCORD BETWEEN THE RELATIVE AND ANTECEDENT.

The substantive is often put in the same clause and case as the relative: M. 21. 42,  $\lambda i \theta o \nu \delta \nu \delta \pi \epsilon \delta \kappa i \mu a \sigma a \nu o i o i \kappa o \delta o \mu o \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ούτος έγενήθη είς κεφαλήν γωνίας: 1 C. 10. 16, τον άρτον δν κλώμεν οὐχὶ κοινωνία τοῦ σώματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ ἐστιν ; Α. 21. 16, ἄγοντες παρ' ῷ ξενισθώμεν Μνάσωνί τινι Κυπρίω : R. 6. '17, ὑπηκούσατε δὲ ἐκ καρδίας εἰς δν παρεδόθητε τύπον διδαχῆς.

When another noun is added by way of explanation the relative may agree either with the antecedent or the subsequent noun, especially with verbs of existence, calling, and the like: 1 C. 4. 17, ἕπεμψα ὑμῖν Τιμόθεον ὅς ἐστι τέκνον μου ἀγαπητόν: E. 1. 22, τῆ ἐκκλησία ἤτις ἐστὶ τὸ σῶμα αὐτοῦ: C. 1. 24, τοῦ σώματος αὐτοῦ ὅ ἐστιν ἡ ἐκκλησία: Mk. 15. 16, τῆς αὐλῆς ὅ ἐστι πραιτώριον: E. 3. 13, ἐν ταῖς θλίψεσί μου ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν ἤτις ἐστὶ δόξα ὑμῶν: Ph. 1. 28, μὴ πτυρόμενοι ἐν μηδενὶ ὑπὸ τῶν ἀντικειμένων, ἤτις αὐτοῖς μέν ἐστιν ἕνδειξις ἀπωλείας, ὑμῖν δὲ σωτηρίας.

borns is often used to express the reason, quippe qui, and is thus more expressive than őς: 1 P. 2. 11, ἀπέχεσθε τῶν σαρκικών έπιθυμιών, αίτινες στρατεύονται κατά της ψυχής, because they are warring: R. 1. 25, oltives mernillakar the αλήθειαν τοῦ Θεοῦ έν τῷ ψεύδει, seeing that they parted with the true idea of God, resting in falsehood: R. 6. 2, our resting in falsehood: R. 6. 2, our resting in falsehood is the second s άπεθάνομεν τη άμαρτία, πως έτι ζήσομεν έν αύτη; 2 T. 2. 2, ταῦτα παράθου πιστοῖς ἀνθρώποις οίτινες ἰκανοὶ ἔσονται καὶ έτέρους διδάξαι, to faithful men of such a stamp as shall be able, &c. See R. 1. 32; 2. 15; 1 T. 1. 4; 6. 9. ootis in fact is often applied to an object as coming under some class to denote its genus or essence. Jelf, § 816. 4. Hence ooris is used indefinitely, where the antecedent is indefinite from the way the subject is presented, C. 2. 23; Ph. 1. 28; G. 4. 24 (ariva). or in its own nature as involving some general notion; classifically, where the subject is represented as one of a class or category, 1 C. 3. 17; G. 2. 4; explicatively, E. 1. 23; G. 4. 26; differentially, where it denotes an attribute which essentially belongs to the nature of the antecedent, G. 4. 24 ( $\eta\tau\iota$ s).

As the particle of relation  $\bigstar$  is indeclinable, the Hebrews introduced a pronominal affix in the relative clause, which the LXX represent by the oblique cases of  $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}s$  to mark the gender, case, and number. Of this redundancy we have the following instances: Mk. 7. 25,  $\eta s \epsilon l\chi \epsilon \tau \delta \theta v \gamma \dot{a} \tau \rho i v a \dot{v}\tau \dot{\eta}s$  $\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \dot{a} \kappa \dot{a} \theta a \rho \tau o v$ : Rev. 7. 2,  $\dot{a} \gamma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o s$  ols  $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{o} \theta \eta$  a  $\dot{v}\tau o \hat{s} s$ : 9,  $\delta \chi \lambda o s$   $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} s \dot{\delta} v \dot{a} \rho i \theta \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a i a \dot{v} \tau \dot{v} v o \dot{v} \delta \dot{e} \dot{s} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} v a \tau o$ .

Occasionally the relative combines this usage with rational

concord: R. 9. 23, 24, σκεύη έλέους ούς και ἐκάλεσεν ήμῶς. Analogous to this usage is Mk. 13. 19, θλίψις, οία οὐ γέγονε τοιαύτη: Rev. 12. 6, δπου ἔχει τόπον, ἶνα ἐκεῖ τρέφωσιν αὐτήν: 12. 14, δπου τρέφεται ἐκεῖ καιρόν.

# APPOSITION.

Nouns which belong to the same regimen, and are used to explain or describe another, are placed side by side in the same case: 1 C. 9. 5, άδελφην γυναϊκα περιάγειν: Ja. 5. 10, υπόδευγμα λάβετε τους προφήτας: M. 3. 1, Ιωάννης δ βαπτιστής: 14. 1, 'Ηρώδης δ τετράρχης: 1 P. 5. 1, πρεσβυτέρους τους ἐν ὑμιν παρακαλῶ δ συμπρεσβύτερος καὶ μάρτυς τῶν τοῦ Χριστοῦ παθημάτων, δ καὶ τῆς μελλούσης ἀποκαλύπτεσθαι δόξης κοινωνός: E. 1. 17, ἐν ῷ ἔχομεν την ἀπολύτρωσιν διὰ τοῦ αίματος την ἄφεσιν τῶν παραπτωμάτων: 1 P. 2. 5, ὡς λίθοι ζῶντες οἰκοδομεῖσθε οἶκος πνευματικός.

Apposition is sometimes expressed by means of ώσπερ, τουτέστι: R. 7. 18, έν έμοί, τουτέστιν έν τη σαρκί μου: A. 19. 4, είς τον έρχόμενον μετ' αὐτον ίνα πιστεύσωσι, τουτέστιν εἰς τον Χριστον Ἱησοῦν.

Sometimes the noun which in ordinary apposition would stand first is put in the genitive, i. e., the genitive is identical with the governing noun; this is called the genitive of apposition : A. 4. 22, το σημείον της ιάσεως : 2 C. 5. 5, τον αρραβώνα τοῦ πνεύματος: Ja. 3. 18, καρπὸς δικαιοσύνης: Ph. 1. 11; H. 12. 11; M. 13. 31; J. 12. 24; 1 C. 15. 37, κόκκος σινάπεως, σίτου: Ε. 6. 14, ενδυσάμενοι τον θώρακα της δικαιοσύνης, i. e., righteousness as a breastplate: R. 4. 11, σημείον έλαβε περιτομής : some read σημείον περιτομήν : 0. 1. 5, έν τῷ λόγφ τής άληθείας τοῦ εὐαγγελίου, the second genitive is appositive, or of 'identical idea :' Ε. 4. 3, την ενότητα τοῦ Πνεύματος εν τφ συνδέσμω της εἰρήνης, the unity wrought by the Spirit (causa efficiens) in the bond which is peace, genitive of identical idea: E. 6. 16, 17, mlorews, owrnplow, are appositional genitives with θυρεόν, περικεφαλαίαν. Compare σημείον πυρός (Thuoydides), λέκτρον εύνης (Soph. Antig.).

The subject of a verb is in the nominative case:  $\pi a i \delta \epsilon_s$  $\delta i \delta d \pi \kappa o \nu \tau a i$ , boys are taught.

A noun in the predicate is in the same case as the subject when the verb requires a noun to complete its meaning: 'Αγαμέμνων ην 'Αργείων βασιλεύς, Agamemnon was king of the Argives.

Verbs which require a noun to complete their meaning are verbs of 'existence,' eiμl, ὑπάρχω, γίγνομαι: 'to be named' or 'called,' καλοῦμαι, ἀκούω: 'to be chosen' or 'elected,' aἰροῦμαι: 'to seem' or 'be thought,' φαίνομαι, ἔοικα.

# ADJECTIVES USED AS SUBSTANTIVES.

In addition to the cases in which the article is inserted without a noun, there are several adjectives which are used regularly as substantives, such as  $\phi(\lambda o_s, \epsilon \chi \theta \rho \delta_s, \mu \omega \rho o_s, \delta \gamma a \theta \delta_s, \kappa a \kappa \delta_s$ .

In many languages the article with an adjective is used for a substantive, 'the sublime and beautiful.' The Greeks have τὸ κοινόν, the common weal: τὸ δίκαιον, justice, right: τὸ ἄδικον, injustice: τὸ τῶν θεῶν εὐμενές. In Thucyd. i. 68, τὸ πιστὸν τῆς πολιτείας: ii. 71, τὸ ἀσθενὲς τῆς γνώμης: Demosth. de Cor. 110, κῶν μηδὲν εἴπω περὶ τῶν λοιπῶν πολιτευμάτων, ὁμοίως παρ ὑμῶν ἑκάστῷ τὸ συνειδὸς ὑπάρχειν μοι.

This usage is largely employed in the New Testament: R. 1. 15, τὸ πρόθυμον for ἡ προθυμία: 20, τὰ ἀόρατα τοῦ Θεοῦ, q. d. ἤ τε ἀἰδιος δύναμις καὶ θειότης: 19, τὸ γνωστόν: 2. 4, τὸ χρηστόν: 1 C. 1. 27, τὰ μωρά, τὰ ἀσθενῆ, τὰ ἰσχυρά, τὰ ἀγενῆ τοῦ κόσμου, in opposition to τοὺς σοφούς: 2 Th. 2. 6, τὸ κατέχον: H. 7. 18, διὰ τὸ αὐτῆς ἀσθενές, καὶ ἀνωφελές: H. 6. 17, τὸ ἀμετάθετον τῆς βουλῆς: Ph. 3. 8, τὸ ὑπερέχον τῆς γνώσεως: E. 6. 12, τὰ πνευματικὰ τῆς πουηρίας, the spirituality of wickedness, spiritual powers, bands, hosts, confraternities whose essence is to work wickedness (compare τὰ ληστρικά, robber hordes): τὰ αὐτοῦ, one's own affairs: τὰ τῶν θεῶν, the dispensations of providence. τό with a neuter adjective is sometimes adverbial, as τὸ λοιπόν, τοὐναντίον. With a neuter in -ικόν it is a personal collective, τὸ Ἑλληνικόν, τὸ βαρβαρικόν, τὸ ναυτικόν.

## COMPARATIVE, SUPERLATIVE ADJECTIVES.

The standard of comparison is represented by the genitive in the sense 'in relation to,' 'in regard to,' or by the conjunction  $\eta'$ , signifying 'as,' 'in the manner or degree in which.'

Sometimes the comparison is tacit rather than express; a single subject is mentioned, but reference is tacitly made to objects and circumstances passing through the mind. Thus

we have the comparative in form though not in sense in *II.* i. 382, oi  $\delta \epsilon$  vv  $\lambda aol \theta v \eta \sigma \kappa ov \epsilon \pi a \sigma \sigma \circ \tau \epsilon \rho oi$ , the soldiers then kept dying one after another.

We may thus explain M. 18. 1, where the comparative is said to be used for the superlative,  $\tau$  is  $\check{a}\rho a \mu e l \zeta \omega \nu \check{e}\sigma \tau i \nu$ ; 'who then is greater than others?' Also  $\check{o} \mu \iota \kappa \rho \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o_s$ , M. 11. 11, he who is less than many; he who holds a subordinate office.

Under this tacit comparison we may quote J. 13. 27, 8 moreis moinson taxion, what thou art doing, get done more quickly than is your present purpose: A. 17. 21,  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau \kappa a \lambda \delta \kappa o \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ καινότερον, news more fresh than the latest: 18. 26,  $\delta \kappa \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \sigma$ τερον aυτώ έξέθεντο την τοῦ Θεοῦ όδόν, more accurately than he knew before: 25. 10, ώς καὶ σừ κάλλιον ἐπιγινώσκεις, as thou fully knowest better than thou choosest to admit, or better than that I need instruct thee: 2 C. 2. 4,  $\delta \lambda \lambda \lambda \tau \tau \eta \nu \delta \gamma a \eta \nu \tilde{\kappa} re$  $<math>\eta \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega$  περισσοτέρως eis ὑμῶς, but that ye may know that the love which I have towards you is far greater than you imagine it to be: 2 C. 7. 7, ὥστε με μᾶλλον χαρήναι, so that I rejoiced more than at the simple coming of Titus: 1 C. 13. 13, μείζων τούτων ή ἀγάπη, greater among these is love, i. e. of higher spiritual rank, as it presupposes and comprehends faith and love.

## COMPARATIVE ADJECTIVES.

Frequently the comparison refers to the suppressed feelings of the speaker, or the subject: A. 24. 22,  $\partial \kappa \rho_i \beta \acute{e} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma_i \epsilon i \delta \dot{\omega}_i$ , "although he had more accurate knowledge of Christianity than to require the information." This use of the comparative is very convenient as suggestive of something understood which it might be uncourteous to express, as in A. 25. 10. Other instances are 2 Tim. 1. 17,  $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta a_i \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma v \dot{\epsilon}'_i \delta \tau \eta \sigma \dot{\epsilon} v \mu \epsilon$ , Onesimus sought out Paul with the greater diligence when he knew he was in captivity: 2 T. 1. 18,  $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \tau i \sigma v \sigma v \gamma i v \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon i s,$ better than I can tell thee: 1 T. 3. 14,  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i' \delta \omega v \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\epsilon} v \pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon$  $\tau \dot{a} \chi_{iov}$ , sooner than I anticipate, sooner than these instructions imply: H. 13. 23,  $\mu \epsilon \theta' \circ v$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda v \tau \dot{a} \chi_{iov} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \eta \tau a i \delta \psi \sigma \rho a i \dot{v} \mu \hat{a}$ , if he return more quickly than I expect.

The comparative is frequently expressed by  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o\nu$ : 2 T. 3. 4, φιλήδονοι  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o\nu$  ή φιλόθεοι: Mk. 9. 42, καλόν έστιν αὐτῷ  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o\nu$ .

When the comparative contrasts two subjects, the one which

marks the contrast or serves as the standard of comparison is subjoined in the genitive, or is put in the same case as the other subject after  $\eta$ . (See the Genitive of Relation.) J. 4. 12,  $\mu\eta$   $\sigma \vartheta$   $\mu\epsilon l \zeta \omega \nu \epsilon l \tau \sigma \vartheta$   $\pi a \tau \rho \vartheta \varsigma \eta \mu \omega \nu$  'Iak $\omega \beta$ ; M. 6. 25,  $o \vartheta \chi l \eta$   $\psi \nu \chi \eta$  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \delta \nu$   $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$   $\tau \eta \varsigma$   $\tau \rho o \phi \eta \varsigma$  kal  $\tau \vartheta$   $\sigma \omega \mu a$   $\tau o \vartheta$   $\epsilon \nu \delta \vartheta \mu a \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ; J. 4. 1,  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o \nu a \beta \eta \tau \delta \varsigma$   $\pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \ell \eta$  'Iw  $\delta \nu \nu \eta \varsigma$ : R. 13. 11,  $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \vartheta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu \eta$  $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho (a, \eta)$   $\delta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \vartheta \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ .

## COMPARATIVE ADJECTIVES.

When the substantive is the same on both sides of the comparison, its repetition in the genitive is frequently omitted: H. 3. 3,  $\pi\lambda elova \tau \iota\mu \eta \nu$  exce  $\tau o \hat{\nu} o \kappa o \nu$ , has honour exceeding the honour of the house: 1 C. 1. 25,  $\tau \hat{\nu} \mu \omega \rho \hat{\nu} \tau o \hat{\nu} \Theta e o \hat{\nu} \sigma \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \tau e \rho \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  $\pi \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\sigma} \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \hat{\iota}$ ,  $\kappa a \hat{\iota} \tau \hat{\sigma} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \Theta e o \hat{\nu} \hat{\iota} \sigma \chi \nu \rho \hat{\sigma} \tau e \rho \nu \tau \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$  $\hat{d} \nu \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \hat{\iota}$ , wiser than the wisdom of men, stronger than the strength of men; so obviously in M. 5. 20; J. 5. 36.

For other modes of expressing comparison, see on παρά, ὑπέρ. Sometimes the comparative adjective is exaggerated by the addition of μαλλον, ἔτι: Mk. 7. 36, ὅσον αὐτος αὐτος διεστέλλετο μαλλον περισσότερον ἐκήρυσσον: 2 C. 7. 13, περισσοτέρως μαλλον έχάρημεν: Ph. 1. 23, πολλῷ μαλλον κρεῖσσον: H. 7. 15, καὶ περισσότερον ἔτι κατάδηλόν ἐστι.

The adverb in the comparative is similarly used: 1 T. 5. 9, χήρα καταλεγέσθω μη έλαττον έτῶν ἑξήκοντα γεγονυΐα: Mk. 15. 11, ΐνα μᾶλλον τὸν Βαβῥαβῶν ἀπολύσῃ αὐτοῖς (ἡ τὸν Ἰησοῦν).

## SUPERLATIVES.

We have some unusual forms of the superlative: L. 1. 42, εὐλογημένη σὺ ἐν γυναιξίν. With this we may compare ἐν τοῖς πρῶτοι. This is considered a Hebraism, LXX, Cant. 1. 8, καλὴ ἐν γυναιξί. But compare Pind. Nem. 3. 138, αἰετὸς ἀκὺς ἐν ποτανοῖς. Liv. 23. 44, "Memorabilis inter paucas." H. 9. 3, σκηνὴ ἡ λεγομένη ἀγία ἀγίων: Rev. 19. 16; 1 T. 6. 15, βασιλεὺς βασιλέων, κύριος κυρίων. With these we may compare Soph. Electr. 849, δειλαία δειλαίων: O. R. 466, ἄρῥητ' ἀρῥήτων, ῶ φίλ' ἀνδρῶν.

The force of the superlative is sometimes increased by the addition of  $\pi \dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ : Mk. 12. 28,  $\Pi o(a \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau) \ \pi\rho\dot{\omega}\tau\eta \ \pi a\sigma\dot{\omega}\nu$  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tauo\lambda\eta$ ; Some read  $\pi \dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ , which means more than  $\pi a\sigma\dot{\omega}\nu$ .

viz. What is the first commandment and principal of all things? Lucian,  $\mu la \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tilde{\eta} \gamma e \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \varsigma \phi \iota \lambda \sigma \sigma \phi la$ .

## NUMERALS.

It is remarkable that the first four numerals in Greek and Sanscrit, and the first three in Latin, are declined, while the others remain without inflexion. This is accounted for by the fact that in the division of the oldest Greek year into three seasons of four months each, the first four numerals would be more frequently used as adjectives than the others. A similar remark applies to the numerals among the Romans, whose fundamental number was three. The inflexions were omitted in the other numerals without inconvenience as their use was more adverbial.

els is very often used instead of the indefinite pronoun  $\tau i_s$ : M. 8. 19, els  $\gamma pa\mu\mu arevis el \pi ev avr \hat{\varphi}$ : J. 6. 9,  $e\sigma \tau i \pi a \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho i v \dot{\omega} \delta e$ . The substantive in the singular is often used without els, as in English the indefinite article 'a' is preferred to the adjective 'one:' A. 18. 11,  $\epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \partial i \sigma \epsilon v \dot{\epsilon} v \iota a v \tau \dot{\omega} v \kappa \dot{\alpha} i \mu \eta v \alpha s \ddot{\epsilon} \xi$ : Rev. 12. 14,  $\tau p \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \tau a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \kappa a \iota p \dot{o} v$ . But in Ja. 4. 13 some copies read  $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon v \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \dot{\epsilon} v \iota a v \tau \dot{o} v \dot{\epsilon} v a$ .

Some think that  $\epsilon i_{s}$  is used to mark a person of distinction: M. 19. 16, *kal idov*  $\epsilon i_{s} \pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ . In the parallel passage L. 18. 18,  $\tilde{a} \rho \chi \omega \nu \tau \nu s$ . See. J. 11. 49.

In enumerations  $\epsilon l_s$  may be rendered as an ordinal or a cardinal: Rev. 6. 1,  $\tilde{\eta}$ νοιξε τὸ ἀρνίον μίαν ἐκ τῶν σφραγίδων.

είς is used for the ordinal πρώτος: Μ. 28. 1, είς μίαν σαββάτων: Mk. 16. 2, πρωί τῆς μιᾶς σαββάτων: Tit. 3. 10, αἰρετικὸν ἄνθρωπον μετὰ μίαν καὶ δευτέραν νουθεσίαν παραιτοῦ.

Instead of the compounds οὐδείς, μηδείς, the adjective πâs is frequently used with a negative particle closely connected with the verb : M. 12. 25, πασα πόλις μερισθεῖσα καθ' ἑαυτῆς οὐ σταθήσεται : Mk. 13. 20, οὐκ ἀν ἐσώθη πασα σάρξ: L. 1. 37, οὐκ ἀδυνατήσει παρὰ Θεῷ παν ῥῆμα : J. 3. 15, ἵνα πα̈ς ὁ πιστεύων εἰς αὐτὸν μὴ ἀπόληται : Rov. 22. 3, παν κατανάθεμα οὐκ ἔσται ἔτι : M. 10. 29, ἐν ἐξ αὐτῶν οὐ πεσεῖται ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν.

Reciprocity is sometimes expressed by the repetition of  $\epsilon l_s$ in a different case: 1 C. 4. 6,  $l\nu a \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon l_s \nu \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau o \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\delta} s \phi \nu \sigma \iota o \hat{\nu} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : 1 Th. 5. 11, olkodometre  $\epsilon l_s \tau \dot{\delta} \nu \ddot{\epsilon} \nu a$ . Similar forms are A. 2. 12,  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda \delta s \pi \rho \delta s \ddot{a}\lambda\lambda \delta \nu$ : R. 15. 2,  $\ddot{\epsilon}\kappa a \sigma \tau \delta s \tau \dot{\phi} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma (\delta \nu$ . The following expressions are peculiar: Rev. 21. 21, άνα εἶς ἕκαστος: J. 8. 9, εἶς καθεῖς: R. 12. 5, ὁ δὲ καθεῖς ἀλλήλων μέλη. In 1 O. 14. 31, δύνασθε γὰρ καθ ἕνα πάντες προφητεύειν: Ε. 5. 33, πλην καὶ ὑμεῖς οἱ καθ ἕνα, ἕκαστος κ.τ.λ.

Distributives are expressed by doubling cardinal numbers: Mk. 6. 7, δύο δύο ήρξατο ἀποστέλλειν. The Greeks also use δύο with ἀνά or κατά. Compare Mk. 6. 39, 40, ἐπέταξεν αὐτοῖς ἀνακλῖναι πάντας συμπόσια συμπόσια . . ἀνέπεσον πρασιαὶ πρασιαί: Æsch. Persæ, μυρία μυρία, i. e. κατὰ μυριάδας.

An ordinal may be employed to denote the companions of the person so designated:  $\tau\rho/\tau\sigma\varsigma$  air $\delta\varsigma$ , myself and two others: 2 Pet. 2. 5,  $\delta\gamma\delta\sigma\sigma\nu$  Nie  $\epsilon\phi\lambdaa\xi\epsilon$ , preserved Noah and seven with him.

έπάνω is used adverbially with cardinals: Mk. 14. 5, πραθηναι έπάνω τριακοσίων δηναρίων, to be sold for three hundred pence and more: 1 C. 15. 6, ὥφθη ἐπάνω πεντακοσίοις ἀδελφοῖς, appeared to five hundred brethren and more. Similar usages are, Plato, μη ἕλαττον δέκα ἕτη γεγονότας: Cæsar, B. G., "occisis ad hominum millibus quatuor."

## CHAPTER V.

## ON THE OBLIQUE CASES.

EVERY object may be considered, (1) as an object by itself or individual whole without combination or contact with other things; (2) as a member of a greater whole in combination with and relation to other objects.

An object considered by itself is in the nominative case, but when it is considered in combination with and relation to other objects, one only can be adduced as independent, while the others must be represented as dependent and standing in relation to the one which is independent. This dependence is designated by a change of the definite appellation, which we call a *dependent* or oblique case. (Rost, pp. 371, 372.)

The oblique cases denote the relations of things to each other, and are especially used to express the object of an action or feeling.

The object is either immediate or remote. The immediate object is the thing produced or acted upon,  $\pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \tau o \hat{\upsilon} \tau o$ , I do this:  $\tau \dot{\upsilon} \pi \tau \omega a \dot{\upsilon} \tau \dot{\upsilon} v$ , I strike him.

The remote object is the thing or person for which an action is done, or towards which it is directed.

The accusative, in its primary meaning, appears to denote the *immediate object* of an action, whether it be a thing produced by the action, or a previously existing object immediately affected by it.

12

The dative in its primary meaning appears to denote the *remote object* of an action as the thing or person to whom something is given. Hence it signifies the receiver; the object toward which any thing is directed; the object to which any thing is near or united; and (from the notion of union) the instrument with which an action is performed. Hence the dative in Greek answers to the Latin dative and ablative.

## IMMEDIATE OBJECT.

The genitive in its primary meaning appears to denote an object from which something proceeds, and then the possessor, to whom something belongs. Hence it signifies the author or cause of an action or thing; the quality which marks the class to which any thing belongs; the whole from which a part is taken; the object of an action or feeling; and the object to which some relation is expressed. Thus the genitive in Greek answers to the Latin genitive and ablative. (Jacob, § 123.)

A. 9. 4,  $\eta \kappa o \nu \sigma \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \sigma a \nu$ , he heard and understood its articulate utterance (so 26. 14): 9. 7,  $\dot{a} \kappa o \dot{\nu} o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \varsigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \varsigma$ , hearing the sound but not the words of the speaker.

## IMMEDIATE OBJECT.

The accusative is the case of transition, and expresses the immediate object of verbs, in which the action passes on from the subject to an object.

All verbs take an accusative of the immediate object when its expression is necessary to complete the sense which the verb in that particular instance is intended to convey. Cf. Lat. 'adire aliquem,' 'convenire aliquem.' L. 24. 52, προσκυνήσαντες αὐτόν : Mk. 1. 40, γονυπετῶν αὐτόν : M. 9. 27, ἐλέησον ἡμῶς, υἰὲ Δαβίδ : M. 21. 37, ἐντραπήσονται τὸν υἰόν μου : L. 8. 52, ἔκλαιον πάντες καὶ ἐκόπτοντο αὐτήν : A. 17. 23, ὃν οὖν ἀγνοοῦντες εὐσεβεῖτε : Rev. 9. 20, ἵνα μὴ προσκυνήσωσι τὰ δαιμόνια : L. 21. 36, ἐκφυγεῖν ταῦτα πάντα τὰ μέλλοντα γίνεσθαι : H. 5. 2, αὐτὸς περίκειται ἀσθένειαν.

The accusative signifies that the object referred to is considered as the point toward which something is proceeding; that it is the end of the action or motion described, or the space traversed in such motion or direction. Hence it denotes (a) motion to an object; (b) distance in space; (c) duration in time; (d) the immediate object of a transitive verb; (e) the more remote object of any verb, whether it has another accusative or not; (f) the accusative of cognate signification, i. e., the secondary predication by way of emphasis of that which is already predicated by the verb itself; (g) an apposition to the object of the whole sentence; (h) the subject of the objective sentence when this is expressed in the infinitive mood. (Donaldson, § 460.)

The object regarded is supposed to rest and dwell upon the

## 64 THE ACCUSATIVE OF COGNATE SIGNIFICATION.

mind for a certain time; is exhibited in length, extension, or, at least, continuous repetition and duration. (Q. R. No. 223.) Extension, L. 22. 41, ἀπεσπάσθη ἀπ' αὐτῶν ὡσεὶ λίθου βολήν: J. 6. 19, ἐληλακότες ὡς σταδίους εἰκοσι πέντε. Duration, J. 2. 12, ἐκεῖ ἔμειναν οὐ πολλὰς ἡμέρας: L. 15. 29, τοσαῦτα ἔτη δουλεύω σοι: 21. 9, ἀπεδήμησε χρόνους ἰκανούς.

## MOTION TO AN OBJECT.

E. E.

The use of the simple accusative to denote motion to a place is confined to the poets. Some, however, refer to this head, A. 27. 2,  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \rho \tau \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \tau \sigma \delta \kappa a \tau d \tau \eta \nu 'A \sigma (a \nu \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma)$ . The older writers used the affix  $-\delta \epsilon$ :  $O \ell \lambda \iota \mu \pi \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ , to Olympus:  $\delta \ell \kappa a \delta \epsilon$ , i. e.,  $\delta \ell \kappa \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon$ , homewards: 'A  $\theta \eta \nu a \delta \epsilon$ , i. e., 'A  $\theta \eta \nu a \sigma \delta \epsilon$ , to Athens. Sometimes  $-\sigma \epsilon$  was used as  $\delta \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \delta \sigma \epsilon$ , to heaven.

## ACCUSATIVE OF THE REMOTE OBJECT.

J. 19. 2, ἰμάτιον πορφυροῦν περιέβαλον αὐτόν: Μ. 27. 31, ἐξέδυσαν αὐτὸν τὴν χλαμύδα καὶ ἐνέδυσαν αὐτὸν τὰ ἰμάτια αὐτοῦ: Mk. 9. 41, δς ἀν ποτίσῃ ὑμῶς ποτήριον ὕδατος: 1 C. 3. 2, γάλα ὑμῶς ἐπότισα. LXX, Num. 11. 18, τίς ἡμῶς ψωμιεῖ κρέα; 80 Is. 58. 14: Rov. 3. 18, κολλούριον ἔγχρισον τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς σου: L. 11. 46, φορτίζετε τοὺς ἀνθρώπους φορτία δυσβάστακτα: Α. 19. 13, ὁρκίζομεν ὑμῶς τὸν Ἱησοῦν, Mk. 5. 7; 1 Th. 5. 27: J. 14. 26, ἐκεῖνος ὑμῶς διδάξει πάντα: J. 16. 23, ὅσα ἀν αἰτήσητε τὸν πατέρα ἐν τῷ ὀνόματί μου: M. 21. 24, ἐρωτήσω ὑμῶς κἀγὼ λόγον ἕνα. To this probably belongs H. 2. 17, ἰλάσκεσθαι (εc. τὸν Θεὸν) τὰς ἁμαρτίας.

The second accusative often appears as a tertiary predicate or an apposition: J. 6. 5, ίνα ποιήσωσιν αὐτὸν βασιλέα: L. 19. 46, ὑμεῖς αὐτὸν ἐποιήσατε σπήλαιον ληστῶν: Ja. 5. 10, ὑπόδειγμα λάβετε τῆς κακοπαθείας . . . τοὺς προφήτας: Ph. 3. 7, ταῦτα ἥγημαι ζημίαν.

## THE ACCUSATIVE OF COGNATE SIGNIFICATION.

This is found with verbs active, passive, and neuter, by the figura elymologica: 1 P. 3. 14, τον φόβον αὐτῶν μὴ φοβηθῆτε: M. 2. 10, ἐχάρησαν χαρὰν μεγάλην: J. 7. 24, τὴν δικαίαν κρίσιν κρίνατε: 1 T. 6. 12, ὡμολογήσας τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν. With 1 P. 4. 1, τὴν αὐτὴν ἔννοιαν ὅπλίσασθε, compare Xen. Anab. vi. 3. 1, χρὴ παρασκευασαμένους τὴν γνώμην πορεύεσθαι.

The adverbial use of the accusative expressing a secondary predicate is very frequent: A. 20. 35, πάντα ὑπέδειξα ὑμῦν, in all things (so 1 C. 9. 25; Ph. 3. 8): M. 23. 37, δν τρόπον: G. 4. 1, οὐδὲν διαφέρει δούλου: J. 6. 10, τὸν ἀριθμὸν ὡσεὶ πεντακισχίλιοι: J. 8. 25, τὴν ἀρχὴν ὅ, τι καὶ λαλῶ ὑμῦν: M. 10. 8, δωρεὰν ἐλάβετε δωρεὰν δότε: E. 3. 1. 14, τούτου χάριν: G. 3. 19, τῶν παραβάσεων χάριν: M. 13. 16, ἀκμὴν καὶ ὑμεῖς ἀσύνετοί ἐστε: M. 10. 23, οὐ μὴ τελέσητε τὰς πόλεις, q. d., τὴν εἰς τὰς πόλεις ὁδόν: 2 C. 3. 18, τὴν αὐτὴν εἰκόνα μεταμορφούμεθα, after the same model we are in process of transformation: 2 O. 6. 13, τὴν δὲ αὐτὴν ἀντιμισθίαν πλατύνθητε καὶ ὑμεῖς, "upon the same principle of returning like for like be ye also widened." Wratislaw.

To this we may refer the accusative of time and space: 1 P. 4. 2, τον έπίλοιπον έν σαρκὶ βιῶσαι χρόνον: J. 4. 52, χθὲς ὅραν ἑβδόμην ἀφῆκεν αὐτον ὁ πυρετός.

The neuters of οὐτος, αὐτός, τίς, are often thus employed adverbially to denote 'why,' 'for this reason:' Latin, 'quid cunctaris?' German, 'was mögest du?' Cicero, Ad Div. vii. 1, 'utrumque lætor,' I feel both delights, I am delighted on both accounts: Xen. Anab. i. 9. 21, καὶ γὰρ αὐτὸ τοῦτο: Plato, Protag. 310 B, αὐτὰ ταῦτα νῦν ἥκω παρά σε: Demosth. Fals. Leg., δ καὶ θαυμάζω, wherefore I wonder: Aristoph. Ranæ 703, εἰ δὲ τοῦτ' ὀγκωσόμεσθα κἀποσεμνυνούμεθα τὴν πόλιν (so 1368).

This adverbial accusative is used in G. 2. 10; 2 P. 1. 5, airò roîro, for this very reason: Ph. 2. 18, rò 8' airò καὶ ὑμεῖς  $\chi alpere$ , and for the same reason do ye also rejoice: Ph. 1. 9, καὶ τοῦτο προσεύχομαι ἴνα κ.τ.λ., and therefore I pray that . . .: Ph. 1. 25, καὶ τοῦτο πεποιθὼς οἶδα, and therefore I know with confidence: 2 C. 2. 3, καὶ ἔγραψα ὑμῦν τοῦτο αἰτό, and I write for this very reason: 1 C. 10. 6, ταῦτα δὲ τύποι ἡμῶν ἐγενήθησαν, in these things, however, they became models to warn us; where Mr. Wratislaw quotes Aristoph. Pax 414,

F

67

ταῦτ' ἄρα πάλαι τῶν ἡμερῶν παρεκλεπτέτην, these then were the reasons why they had long been stealing off a portion of the days: Æsch. Prom. v. 275, ταῦτά τοι πλανωμένη πρὸς ἄλλοτ' ἄλλον πημονὴ προσιζάνει, in this way calamity wanders about, and approaches sometimes one person, sometimes another. (Notes and Dissertations, pp. 94. 100. 117).

## THE ACCUSATIVE IN APPOSITION TO THE WHOLE SENTENCE.

Sometimes an accusative is put in apposition to the object of a sentence: R. 8. 3, τὸ ἀδύνατον τοῦ νόμου . . . ὁ Θεὸς τὸν ἐαυτοῦ υἰὸν πέμψας . . . κατέκρινε τὴν ἀμαρτίαν: 12. 1, παρακαλῶ ὑμᾶς παραστῆσαι τὰ σώματα ὑμῶν θυσίαν ζῶσαν, ἀγίαν εὐάρεστον τῷ Θεῷ τὴν λογικὴν λατρείαν. So A. 26. 3.

# THE ACCUSATIVE AS SUBJECT OF THE INFINITIVE.

The subject of the verb in the infinitive mood is put in the accusative case; but after verbs of commanding, entreating, iva with the conditional mood is used: M. 16. 13, τίνα με λέγουσιν οἱ ἄνθρωποι είναι; A. 16. 15, εἰ κεκρίκατέ με πιστὴν τῷ Κυρίφ είναι: R. 15. 8, λέγω δὲ Ίησοῦν Χριστὸν διάκονον γεγενῆσθαι τῆς περιτομῆς: 1 C. 7. 26, νομίζω οὖν τοῦτο καλὸν ὑπάρχειν: L. 24. 23, οἱ λέγουσιν αὐτὸν ζῆν: A. 26. 26, λανθάνειν γὰρ αὐτόν τι τούτων οὐ πείθομαι οὐδέν: M. 4. 3, εἰπὲ ἶνα οἱ λίθοι οὖτοι ἄρτοι γένωνται. Both are used with θέλω Mk. 10. 35, 36, θέλομεν Για δ ἐἀν αἰτήσωμεν ποιήσῃς ἡμῖν. 'Ο δὲ εἶπεν αὐτοῖς· Τί θέλετε ποιῆσαί με ὑμῖν;

「「「「「「「」」」

のないのである

5

## THE GENITIVE.

The genitive denotes every kind of relationship. The primary idea is the 'whence-case,' and invariably expresses the antecedent notion. Its regular uses may be divided into the three heads of ablative, partitive, relative. Under ablative and partitive may be arranged all those usages which are expressed by the prepositions "of," 'from.' Thus Donaldson remarks, § 448, "Whenever we wish to express that an object is the starting-point *from* which we set out, the cause of some action, the substance *from* which we derive a sensation, or the source *from* which something else proceeds, the material of which it is made, or of which it is full; that it is something from which we desist, *from* which we are separated or set free, or of which we are deprived, in all these instances we have the Greek genitive as an *ablative* case. And when we wish to express that an object is a whole, *from* or *out of* which we take or give a part, we employ the Greek genitive as a partitive case."

With this we may compare the account of the genitive in English, given in Angus's Handbook of the English Tongue: "The genitive has a double force, *attributive* and *objective*. The *attributive* genitive indicates some quality of the noun on which it is dependent, as origin, or agency, possession, mutual relation of persons, quality, material or substance of which something is made, or the class to which it belongs as part of a whole; the genitive of definition, or partitive genitive, as this last is sometimes called. The *objective* genitive expresses the object of some feeling or action."

### THE GENITIVE OF ABLATION.

With verbs of removal: L. 16. 4, όταν μετασταθώ τῆς οἰκονομίας: Mk. 2. 21, αἰρει τὸ πλήρωμα αὐτοῦ τὸ καινὸν τοῦ παλαιοῦ: A. 15. 29, ἀπέχεσθαι εἰδωλοθύτων: 1 T. 6. 5, ἀπεστερημένων τῆς ἀληθείας: Xen. Anab. v. 1. 2, παυσάμενος τούτων τῶν πόνων: 1 P. 4. 1, ὁ παθῶν ἐν σαρκὶ πέπαυται ἁμαρτίας: E. 4. 18, ἀπηλλοτριωμένοι τῆς ζωῆς τοῦ Θεοῦ: 2 P. 2. 14, ἀκαταπαύστους ἁμαρτίας. Sometimes a preposition is inserted: Rev. 14. 13, ἵνα ἀναπαύσωνται ἐκ τῶν κόπων αὐτῶν.

With verbs denoting production or its result: Hdt. v. 82, χαλκοῦ ποιέονται τὰ ἀγάλματα: ii. 138, ἐστρωμένη ἐστὶ ὁδὸς λίθον. This use of the genitive is sometimes accompanied by ἀπό, or ἐκ: J. 2. 15, ποιήσας φραγέλλιον ἐκ σχοινίων : M. 27. 29, πλέξαντες στέφανον ἐξ ἀκανθῶν.

#### THE GENITIVE OF ORIGIN.

To this head of ablation we may refer the genitive of derivation, source, origin: L. 3. 23, vios 'Iwond, rov 'HA/: R. 1. 6,  $\kappa\lambda\eta\tauol$  'Insov Xριστού: A. 1. 4, the emarytellar he heads  $\mu ov: J. 6. 45$ , έσονται πάντες διδακτοι του Θεού: E. 6. 11, the πανοπλίαν του Θεού, source, origin, whence the armour comes: E. 6. 17, the maximum for the force the armour comes: E. 6. 17, the more the word of God, the δύναμις Θεού, R. 1. 16; I C. 1. 18; H. 4. 12; E. 2. 14, the maximum for parymou, the wall which resulted from the fonce between Jew and Gentile: 1 T. 4. 1, διδασκαλίαις δαιμονίων, doctrines sug-

F 2

gested by devils (gen. subjects): E. 6. 4, ev massela kal vouteala Kupiou, Him from whom they proceed, and by whose Spirit they must be regulated.

Thus the genitive is used with substantives to denote the cause or origin of a thing: 2 T. 1. 8, δέσμιον αὐτοῦ, gen. auctoris, whom He has made a prisoner: 2 C. 11. 26, κινδύνοις ποταμῶν καὶ ληστῶν: Ε. 4. 18, τῆς ζωῆς τοῦ Θεοῦ: R. 4. 13, διὰ δικαιοσύνης πίστεως: Ε. 1. 13, τὸν λόγον τῆς ἀληθείας, gen. substantiæ, truth was its very essence and substance.

Also definite agency: 2 Th. 2. 13,  $\ell \nu$   $\delta \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \tilde{\varphi}$   $\Pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau o \varsigma$ : E. 1. 18,  $\eta \ell \lambda \pi i \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma a \dot{\nu} \tau o \hat{\nu}$ , the hope which the calling works in the heart (gen. of the causa efficiens): 1 Th. 1. 6,  $\chi a \rho \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \Pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau o \varsigma$ , joy inspired by and emanating from the Spirit: E. 4. 4,  $\ell \nu \mu u \tilde{q} \ell \lambda \pi \ell \delta \iota \tau \eta \varsigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$ .

## THE GENITIVE OF FULNESS AND DEFICIENCY.

To this we may refer verbs and nouns of fulness, as these denote the matter or substance; also of want, as these imply separation or removal from the object: M. 23. 28, µeorol ύποκρίσεως και ανομίας: R. 15. 13, ο Θεός πληρώσαι ύμας πάσης χαρας και εἰρήνης: L. 1. 53, πεινώντας ένέπλησεν ἀγαθών: Α. 5. 28, πεπληρώκατε την Ιερουσαλήμ της διδαχής υμών: J. 2. 7, γεμίσατε τὰς ὑδρίας ὕδατος: Μ. 22. 10, ἐπλήσθη ὁ γάμος ἀνακειμένων: L. 11. 39, τὸ ἔσωθεν ὑμῶν γέμει ἀρπαγῆς και πονηρίας: L. 15. 17, πόσοι μίσθιοι τοῦ πατρός μου περισσεύουσιν άρτων: L. 4. 28, επλήσθησαν πάντες θυμοῦ: Α. 2. 28. πληρώσεις με εὐφροσύνης: 27. 38, κορεσθέντες τροφής: Mk. 14. 13, κεράμιον ύδατος: 3, αλάβαστρον μύρου νάρδου: J. 21. 8, το δίκτυον των ιχθύων: Ja. 1. 5, εί τις υμων λείπεται σοφίας: R. 3. 23, πάντες ύστεροῦνται τῆς δόξης τοῦ Θεοῦ: L. 22. 35, ότε ἀπέστειλα ὑμῶς ἄτερ βαλαντίου καὶ πήρας καὶ ὑποδημάτων μή τινός ύστερήσατε; Οί δὲ είπον Οὐδενός: Α. 17. 25, οὐδὲ θεραπεύεται προσδεόμενος τινός.

To this class belong the collective words which are followed by a genitive: A. 6. 7, ἐπληθύνετο ὁ ἀριθμὸς τῶν μαθητῶν —πολὺς ὅχλος τῶν ἰερέων ὑπήκουον τῆ πίστει: 1. 15, ὅχλος ὀνομάτων: L. 1. 10, πῶν τὸ πλῆθος τοῦ λαοῦ: J. 5. 3, πλῆθος πολὺ τῶν ἀσθενούντων: A. 28. 3, φρυγάνων πλῆθος: M. 8. 30, ἀγέλη χοίρων: L. 16. 6, ἐκατὸν βάτους ἐλαίου.

Quantitative nouns estimated by measurement: A. 1. 12,  $\sigma a \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau o v \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\nu}$ : L. 2. 44,  $\eta \lambda \theta o v \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \varsigma \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\nu}$ . This is frequently used with  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}$ , marking its use as the genitive of ablation: J. 11. 18,  $\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\eta}$  Βηθανία έγγψε τών Γεροσολύμων ώς  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}$  σταδίων δεκαπέντε: Rev. 14. 20,  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}$  σταδίων χιλίων έξακοσίων.

THE GENITIVE OF PARTITION.

#### THE GENITIVE OF PERCEPTION.

The perceptions of the senses, hearing, smelling, taste, and mental emotions, are expressed by the genitive of ablation. The object itself is regarded as the source or material from which the perception emanates, and the percipient is supposed to draw his perception from that object, which is therefore placed in the genitive. In a secondary sense the object may be said to be the generic origin of the sensation : L. 14. 24, ovders των ανδρών εκείνων των κεκλημένων γεύσεται μου του δείπνου: A. 23. 14, μηδενός γεύσασθαι. In the New Testament the verbs  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}\iota\nu$ ,  $\phi a\gamma\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu$  are repeatedly followed by  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ , see M. 15. 27; 1 C. 11. 28; H. 13. 10. Of this there is no exact instance in classic Greek, though anolavew and revos is akin to it: A. 9. 1, έμπνέων ἀπειλής καὶ φόνου, inwardly breathing (redolent of) threatening and murder: Arist. Equit. 457, ouros non κακίας και συκοφαντίας πνει: L. 15. 25, ήκουσε συμφωνίας και χορών: Mk. 14. 64, ήκούσατε της βλασφημίας: L. 17. 32, μνημονεύετε της γυναικός Λώτ: L. 1. 72, μνησθήναι διαθήκης. When verbs of remembrance are followed by a genitive the meaning is simply 'to remember,' the object being regarded as that from which the memory emanates; by an accusative, 'to keep in remembrance,' ' to bear in mind :' A. 20. 33, apyuplou & xpugiou ή ίματισμού ούδενος έπεθύμησα: 1 Τ. 3. 1, εί τις έπισκοπής ορέγεται, καλοῦ ἔργου ἐπιθυμεῖ: Philemon 20, ναὶ ἐγώ σου ἀναίμην: R. 15. 24, έαν ύμων πρώτον από μέρους έμπλησθω.

## THE GENITIVE OF PARTITION.

In some of the preceding instances which denote the measurement of time, space, or which express mental perceptions, the genitive of ablation passes insensibly into the genitive of partition.

The genitive of time expresses within the space of a certain amount of time, or within the limits of the year or day: of  $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega i$  an  $\epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \nu \nu \kappa \tau \delta s$ . In the latter usage we may supply  $\mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$  or  $\omega \rho q$ , which renders it partitive or possessive: Mk. 13. 35, oùx oldare more  $\delta \kappa \nu \rho \rho s$   $\tau \eta s$  olkias  $\epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a$   $\delta \psi \epsilon$ 

and the second

「「「「「「「」」」

ή μεσονυκτίου, ή άλεκτοροφωνίας, where a portion of the night is evidently intended. So M. 25. 6, μέσης νυκτός κραυγή γέγονεν:
Α. 26. 13, ήμέρας μέσης κατά την όδον είδον.

The partitive use of the genitive is clear in 1 C. 10. 21, ου δύνασθε τραπέζης Κυρίου μετέχειν και τραπέζης δαιμονίων: 2 T. 2. 6, τον κοπιώντα γεωργον δει πρώτον τών καρπών μεταλαμβάνειν: Η. 7, 13, φυλής έτέρας μετέσχηκεν: 1 C. 10. 16. 18, κοινωνία τοῦ αίματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ, κοινωνοι τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου: Η. 3. 1, κλήσεως ἐπουρανίου μέτοχοι.

So also with adjectives of an indefinite number, with pronouns or superlatives, where the substantive is considered as a whole, and the adjective as a part: M. 3, 7, πολλούς των Φαρισαίων και Σαδδουκαίων: M. 15. 87, τὰ περισσεῦον τῶν κλασμάτων: L. 19. 8, τὰ ἡμίση τῶν ὑπαρχόντων μου: M. 27. 47, τίνες τῶν ἐκεἶ ἐστώτων: L. 14. 15, τἰς τῶν συνανακειμένων: 1 C. 15. 9, ὁ ἐλάχιστος τῶν ἀποστόλων.

είναι and γ/γνεσθαι are repeatedly used in this sense: M. 6. 13, σοῦ ἐστὶν ἡ βασιλεία: L. 20. 33, τίνος αὐτῶν γίνεται γυνή: R. 14. 8, ἐάν τε οῦν ζῶμεν, ἐάν τε ἀποθνήσκωμεν, τοῦ Κυρίου ἐσμέν: 2 T. 2. 19, ἔγνω Κύριος τοὺς ὄντας αὐτοῦ: H. 10. 39, ἡμεῖς οὐκ ἐσμὲν ὑποστολῆς: 12. 11, πᾶσα παιδεία οὐ δοκεῖ χαρᾶς είναι: 1 O. 1. 12, ἐγὼ μέν εἰμι Παύλου: Mk. 10. 14, τῶν γὰρ τοιούτων ἐστὶν ἡ βασιλεία τοῦ Θεοῦ, for to such belongs the kingdom of God: Xen. Anab. ii. 1. 4, τῶν γὰρ μάχην νικώντων καὶ τὸ ἄρχειν ἐστί, for to those who win the battle belongs the sovereignty as well: 9, οὐ τῶν νικώντων εἴη τὰ ὅπλα παραδιδόναι.

Verbs which do not in themselves denote participation, but which imply acquisition of part of the object, are followed regularly by a genitive of partition: L. 16. 24, *ίνα* βάψη τὸ ἄκρον τοῦ δακτύλου αὐτοῦ ὕδατος: 1. 9, ἔλαχε τοῦ θυμιάσαι: 20. 35, οἱ καταξιωθέντες τοῦ αἰῶνος ἐκείνου τυχεῖν: A. 27. 36, προσελάβοντο τροφής. With this compare L. 24. 42, ἐπέδωκαν αὐτῷ ἰχθύος ὀπτοῦ μέρος καὶ ἀπὸ μελισσίου κηρίου: 2 T. 2. 16, ἐπὶ πλεῖον προκόψουσιν ἀσεβείας, they will advance to greater measures of ungodliness,

## THE GENITIVE OF RELATION.

Under this head come all the uses where we may introduce the words 'in regard or respect to,' 'pertaining to :' Mk. 14. 64, ενοχον θανάτου: Η. 6. 9, τὰ κρείττονα καὶ ἐχόμενα σωτηρίας, que ad salutem faciunt; que ad salutem proxime adducunt: Xen. Anab. vi. 3. 1, κοινή τής σωτηρίας έχεσθαι. τοῦ πάθους οἰκτείρω σε. αἰτιῶνται ἀλλήλους τοῦ γεγενημένου. τής μὲν τόλμης οὐ θαυμάζω. εὐχωλής ἐπιμέμφεται.

The gen, marks the standard of comparison with comparatives, superlatives, and all words which denote comparison, value, buying, selling, exchanging: Mk. 4. 32, πάντων τῶν λαχάνων μείζων: Μ. 10. 29, ούχι δύο στρουθία ασσαρίου πωλείται; Μ. 16. 26, τί δώσει άνθρωπος αντάλλαγμα της ψυγης αὐτοῦ; Μ. 26. 9, ἡδύνατο τοῦτο τὸ μύρον πραθῆναι πολλοῦ: 1 Ο. 6. 20, ήγοράσθητε τιμής: Μ. 10. 31, πολλών στρουθίων διαφέρετε ύμεις: J. 8. 55, έσομαι δμοιος ύμων ψεύστης, denoting comparison, or resemblance in internal character. E. 4. 16, Sid πάσης άφης της επιχορηγίας, gen. definitivus, by which the predominant use, purpose, or destination of the  $d\phi\eta$  is specified and characterized 'through every joint for the supply,' ris denotes the specific envyop., which Christ supplies : E. 2. 12, ξένοι τῶν διαθηκῶν, strangers in regard to the covenants; gen. of 'the point of view.' So 1 Tim. 1. 16, προς υποτύπωσιν των μελλόντων: G. 2. 17, δμαρτίας διάκονος, a furtherer, a promoter of sin: 2 Th. 2. 11, everyean mranys, a working which tends to enhance and develope delusion : Η. 9. 21, σκεύη τής λειτουργίας, vessels for the service : E. 1. 14, eis anolútrowsin this merimoin- $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ , to effect redemption in respect to purchasing: Rev. 18. 14, ή οπώρα της επιθυμίας της ψυχής σου (1. 0. ή οπώρα ής επιθυμει ή ψυχή σου, the fruits which thy soul desires): E. 4. 29. πρός οἰκοδομὴν τῆς χρείας, for edification in respect of the need, the genitive of remote reference or of the point of view; edifying which satisfies the need: avaykaîov ov tŷ mpokeiµévŋ ypela, Theophylact.

Hence varied relations of time and place: M. 1. 1), τῆς μετοικεσίας Βαβυλώνος, the transmigration in regard to Babylon: C. 1. 20, διὰ τοῦ αἴματος τοῦ σταυροῦ αὐτοῦ: Jude 6, εἰς κρίσιν μεγάλης ἡμέρας.

From the genitive of price there is an immediate transition to that of cause or motive: A. 21. 20, πάντες ζηλωταl τοῦ νόμου ὑπάρχουσι: 26. 3, γνώστην ὄντα σε πάντων τῶν κατὰ Ἰουδαίους ἐθῶν τε καl ζητημάτων: Ja. 1. 13, ὁ γὰρ Θεὸς ἀπείραστός ἐστι κακῶν, is unassailed as far as regards evil thoughts.

#### IDIOMATIC USAGES OF THE GENITIVE.

Besides these there are certain idiomatic usages which are

stamped with a special impress, such as the possessive genitive, the genitive of contact, the tentative use, and the genitive absolute. (Donaldson,  $\S$  454.)

The possessive genitive is nearly allied to the genitive of partition, and may be rendered by the English 'of or belonging to:' E. 1. 1,  $d\pi \delta \sigma \tau o \lambda \sigma S X \rho$ . 'In  $\sigma o \hat{v}$ , the Master whose minister and servant he was: A. 27. 23; R. 1. 1; 1 Th. 2. 6. This must be distinguished from the gen. of ablation, which would mark the source of his commission. The principle adopted by the poets of using this genitive as a substitute for an epithet is greatly extended by the writers of the New Testament from the influence of corresponding expressions in Hebrew. Thus we have Soph. Antig. 114,  $\lambda \varepsilon v \kappa \eta s \chi (ovos \pi \tau \epsilon \rho v \xi)$ , a snow-white wing: Electra 19,  $d\sigma \tau \rho \omega v \varepsilon v \delta \rho \delta \eta$ , a starry night: Eurip. Phan. 1616,  $\tau \rho a \omega \mu a \tau a \delta \mu a \tau o s$ , bloody wounds. These genitives express much greater intensity than any mere qualifying adjective, as the quality is considered to be an essential and component part of the subject to which it is attributed.

L. 16. 8, τον οἰκονόμον τῆς ἀδικίας: R. 1. 26, πάθη ἀτιμίας: 2 Th. 2. 11, ένέργειαν πλάνης, an efficacy of delusion: 1 T. 6. 17, επί πλούτου άδηλότητι: R. 7. 24, σώματος τοῦ θανάτου τούτου: Η. 1. 3, τῷ ῥήματι τῆς δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ: Rev. 13. 3, ή πληγή τοῦ θανάτου αὐτοῦ: Ja. 1. 25, ἀκροατής ἐπιλησμονής: E. 2. 2, rois viois rys  $d\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\epsilon las$ , a disobedience to which they belong as children to a parent. "This marks more vividly than the adjectival construction the essential and innate disobedience of the subjects." Ellicott. E. 1: 13, 70 everyeliov Tis  $\sigma$ wrnplas  $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ , the good news which turns upon and reveals your salvation: 1. 10, εἰς οἰκονομίαν τοῦ πληρώματος τών  $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ , the dispensation characterized by, and so to be set forth in, the fulness of time. "Propria plenitudini temporum." R. 11. 5, kar' extorning to a selection of favour, i. e. on the principle of a selection made by gratuitous favour.

The genitive of possession may denote either the subject or the object: E. 6. 19,  $\tau \partial \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \nu \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \epsilon \dot{\nu} a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \ell o \nu subjecti,$  the inystery which the Gospel involves: E. 1. 9,  $\tau \partial \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \nu \tau \sigma \hat{\nu}$  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu a \tau \sigma s$  objecti, in the matter of, concerning the will. In Rev. 19. 10 both senses may be involved:  $\eta \mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \iota a \tau \sigma \hat{\nu}$  $i\eta \sigma \sigma \hat{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \tau i \tau \partial \pi \nu \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \mu a \tau \eta s \pi \rho \sigma \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \ell a s,$  the testimony borne to Jesus, or, the testimony proceeding from Jesus.

So with the possessive pronoun: L. 22. 19,  $\epsilon i s \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \nu a \nu a - \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ , for remembrance of me. The genitive (subjecti) is also used in apposition with the personal pronoun implied in the possessive adjective: C. 4. 18,  $\delta \delta \sigma \pi a \sigma \mu \delta s \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \Pi a \nu \lambda o \nu$ .

## THE GENITIVE OF PREDOMINATING QUALITY.

The genitive is used to define the characteristic quality and design of the preceding substantive: M. 22. 11, Evoupa yapov: 24. 15, το βδέλυγμα της έρημώσεως: 2 Th. 2. 9, τέρατα ψεύδους: H. 1. 8, ράβδος εὐθύτητος: Ε. 5. 2, εἰς ὀσμὴν εὐωδίας: 2 P. 2. 1, aipéoeis àmwhelas, perdition was their mark and character: 2. 14, κατάρας τέκνα, they had not the character of children at all except in relation to the curse : 1 P. 5. 14,  $d\sigma\pi d\sigma a\sigma\theta \epsilon d\lambda \eta \lambda ous$ έν φιλήματι αγάπης: G. 5. 1, ζυγώ δουλείας: E. 6. 12, τα πνευματικά της πονηρίας, the spiritual communities of wickedness, characterized by essential movypla: 1 P. 1. 14, ténua imanons, children of obedience, to whom obedience is as a mother communicating her nature to yours (Wordsworth): Mk. 1. 4,  $\beta \dot{a}\pi \tau i \sigma \mu a \mu \epsilon \tau a volas,$  baptism which binds to repentance, to change of heart and life: H. 4. 2,  $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma \tau \eta s \delta \kappa \sigma \eta s$ , the word of hearing, the word uttered in order to be heard: Ja. 2. 4, κριταί διαλογισμών πονηρών, judges (under the bias) of evil musings: R. 9. 31, vóµos δικαιοσύνης, a law or system for gaining righteousness: G. 5. 5, έκ πίστεως ελπίδα δικαιοσύνης άπεκδεχόμεθα. The Jew regarded δικαιοσύνη as something

outward, present, realizable; the Christian as something inward, future, and, save through faith in Christ, unattainable. Accasoring is one of the divine results which stretch into eternity, and involves the idea of future blessedness and glorification. H. 13. 20; 1 Th. 5. 23, & Oeds The elonyons, God the author of peace, the God of whom peace is a characterizing attribute; the gen. marks the deep inward peace and tranquillity which as God's especial gift stands in close alliance with holiness. In cognate expressions, as A. 7. 2,  $\delta \Theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ δόξης: Ε. 1. 17, δ πατήρ της δόξης: Ja. 2. 1, τοῦ Κυρίου ήμῶν 'Ιησού Χριστού τής δόξης, the gen. is said to be a Hebraism, having merely the qualifying power of an adjective. But no one would render Ja. 1. 17, τοῦ πατρός τῶν φώτων, 'the enlightened father,' rather than the source of all enlightening qualities. Hence we may consider  $\tau \hat{\eta}s$  do  $\xi \eta s$  to mean the Author of Glory to whom all glory belongs, in whom all glory consists. Ε. 1. 13, τῷ πνεύματι τῆς ἐπαγγελlas, is more than the promised spirit, as it means the spirit, the main subject and theme of promise: E. 3. 11, κατα πρόθεσιν των αιώνων, the purpose determined on in the ages : R. 15. 5, Ocos the interport. "Deus qui largitur ὑπομονήν." Tittmann. God who imparts brave patience. 1 P. 5. 10, Oeòs xápiros, God who is the author of grace.

Many substantives are joined with  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$  to denote the specific  $\chi \acute{a}\rho_{i\sigma\mu a}$ , or characteristic quality. Thus J. 14. 17,  $\tau \acute{o} \pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a \tau\hat{\eta}s \acute{a}\lambda\eta\theta elas$ , the Spirit, who is the author and teacher of truth: H. 10. 29,  $\tau \acute{o} \pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a \tau\hat{\eta}s \chi\acute{a}\rho_{i\tau\sigma s}$ , the giver of grace: R. 1. 4,  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a \acute{a}\gamma_{i\omega\sigma}\acute{\nu}\nu\eta s$ , essentially holy, and the efficient agent of holiness. "Non quidem id ipsum quod  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$  $\ddot{a}\gamma_{i\sigma\nu}$ , sed gravius et  $\acute{e}\mu\phi a\tau_{i\kappa}\hat{\omega}s$  sermone venerandum." (Bretschneider.) Then we have a number of other passages where  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$  ultimately refers to the Holy Spirit as the inworking power, but immediately to the state of the inward spirit, as wrought upon by the Holy Spirit: G. 6. 1,  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a\tau_{i}\pi_{j\sigma}\hat{\sigma}\tau_{i}\pi_{js}$  $\pi'a\tau\epsilon\omega_s: E. 1. 17, <math>\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a \sigma\sigma\phi las \kappa al a\pi\sigma\kappa a\lambda\hat{\nu}\phi\kappa\omega_s$ .

In R. 11. s, we have  $\pi \nu e \hat{\nu} \mu a \kappa a \tau a \nu \dot{\nu} \xi \epsilon \omega s$ , spirit of slumber. The word is used for deep sleep by LXX, in Is. 29. 10.  $\kappa a \tau a \nu \nu \xi \sigma \sigma \omega$ , prick sharply, A. 2. 37. The connexion between  $\kappa a \tau a \nu \nu \sigma \sigma \omega$ , prick sharply, apathy, numbross, insensibility, is not apparent. Mr. Wratislaw traces it by reminding us of the effect produced by the habitual use of a needle, which causes insensibility by constant pricking. "A person who habitually neglects the prickings of conscience becomes dead and insensible to them, just like the needle-woman's fingers. And the metaphor would naturally come to a tent-maker, which was the ordinary trade of St. Paul." (Notes and Dissertations, p. 87.)

## THE GENITIVE OF CONTACT.

The genitive is used after verbs of contact and adhesion, on the principle that the thing touched becomes part of the object with which it is in contact: Eurip. Hec. 398,  $\delta\pi\sigma\delta a$  $\kappa(\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\delta)\delta\rho\nu\delta\delta\sigma\omega\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\delta$ <sup>\*</sup>  $\xi\delta\rho\mu a\iota$ , as ivy to oak, thus will I cling to her: J. 20, 17,  $\mu\eta'\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma\nu$ , do not fasten on me: 2 C. 6. 17,  $\delta\kappa a\theta\delta\rho\tau\sigma\nu\mu\eta$ <sup>\*</sup>  $\delta\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ : L. 8. 44,  $\eta'\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma\nu$   $\kappa\rho\sigma\sigma\pi\ell\delta\sigma\nu$  $\tau\sigma\tilde{\nu}$   $i\mu\sigma\tau\ell\sigma\nu\mu\eta$ <sup>\*</sup>  $\delta$   $\delta\lambda\sigma\theta\rho\epsilon\ell\omega\nu\theta'\ell\eta\eta$   $a\dot{\tau}\sigma\dot{\tau}\nu$ : H. 6. 9,  $\tau\dot{a}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\phi\mu\epsilon\nu a$  $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho/as$ , things conjoined with salvation: Mk. 5. 41,  $\kappa\rho\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\sigmas$  $\tau\eta s$   $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\deltas$   $\tau\sigma\tilde{\nu}\pi a\iota\delta\ell\sigma\nu$ : A. 3. 7,  $\pi\iota\dot{a}\sigma\sigma s$   $a\dot{\tau}\tau\partial\nu\tau\eta$ s  $\delta\epsilon\xi\iota\hat{a}s$   $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\deltas$ . "In all such adhesions and attachments the object attached is regarded as really separable; the idea of conjunction is conveyed by the verb, and the genitive according to its proper ablative meaning, implies that there is at least a partial disjunction." Donaldson.

## THE TENTATIVE USE OF THE GENITIVE.

The genitive is joined to a number of verbs implying an attempt to attain an object. This is in strict accordance with the primary idea of the genitive as expressing the antecedent. notion; the source from which the act of body or mind takes its rise: 1 T. 3. 1, el tus  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \eta s$   $\delta \rho \epsilon \gamma \sigma \upsilon e \pi \iota \sigma \upsilon e \pi u e$ 

Here we may place A. 3. 12, πεποιηκόσι τοῦ περιπατεῖν αὐτόν: LXX, Josh. 22. 26, ποιῆσαι τοῦ οἰκοδομῆσαι: A. 7. 19, ἐκάκωσε τοῦ ποιεῖν: A. 15. 20, ἐπιστεῖλαι αὐτοὺς τοῦ ἀπέχεσθαι: A. 20. 30, ἐξ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἀναστήσονται ἄνδρες λαλοῦντες διεστραμμένα, τοῦ ἀποσπậν τοὺς μαθητὰς ὀπίσω αὐτῶν.

This usage is explained in two ways. The verbs mean to set one's mind towards the attainment of an object, and may thus be connected with verbs which imply fulness or want:

or they indicate motion in a presumed direction, so that until the object is reached, the genitive is used as the case of separation. If, however, the motion is supposed to be completed, and the object is reached, then the accusative is used as the case of terminated action.

## THE GENITIVE ABSOLUTE.

The genitive absolute expresses the time when, or the cause why—the source, the fact, the event, from which something else proceeds: Mk. 10. 17, ἐκπορευομένου αὐτοῦ εἰς ὀ᠔ν προσδραμὼν εἰς ἐπηρώτα αὐτόν: Mk. 11. 27, ἐν τῷ ἰερῷ περιπατοῦντος αὐτοῦ ἔρχονται πρὸς αὐτὸν οἱ ἀρχιερεῖς: L. 17. 12, εἰσερχομένου αὐτοῦ εἰς τινα κώμην ἀπήντησαν αὐτῷ δέκα λεπροὶ ἄνδρες: L. 18. 40, ἐγγίσαντος αὐτοῦ ἐπηρώτησεν αὐτόν: A. 24-10, ἀπεκρίθη ὁ Παῦλος νεύσαντος αὐτῷ τοῦ ἡγεμόνος λέγειν. In all these instances the participle asserts a secondary predicate, which was the occasion of the action stated by the primary predicate.

## THE DATIVE.

The dative is the where-case, the case of rest, generally with the aid of a preposition.

The object referred to is considered as the point of juxtaposition, or immediate proximity; as receptive of accession or gain, or as having additions made to it.

The usages of the genitive and dative may be thus contrasted: the genitive denotes separation, subtraction, comparison of different things; the dative denotes proximity, addition, equality, or sameness.

The usages of the dative are four: coincidence or contingency; instruments, or proximate causes of the action; recipients, or persons immediately interested in the action; special limitations.

The dative expresses the second term of two nouns standing in relation to each other, but with the additional notion of an interval lying between the two objects. (Q. R. No. 225.)

## THE DATIVE OF COINCIDENCE.

The point of time is regularly expressed by the dative: L. 2. 41, ἐπορεύοντο οἱ γονεῖς αὐτοῦ κατ' ἔτος εἰς Ἱερουσαλὴμ τῦ ἐορτῦ τοῦ πάσχα: Μ. 16. 21, τῦ τρίτῃ ἡμέρα ἐγερθῆναι: Mk. 6. 21, Ηρώδης τοις γενεσίοις αυτοῦ δειπνον ἐποίει: L. 12. 20, ταύτη τη νυκτί: A. 21. 26, τη έχομένη ήμέρα.

Sometimes also the duration: R. 16. 25, κατὰ ἀποκάλυψιν μυστηρίου χρόνοις αἰωνίοις σεσυγημένου, in accordance with the unveiling of a secret hidden in silence through eternal times, i. e., throughout the whole period from the commencement of time: 1 Tim. 2. 6, τὸ μαρτύριον καιροῖς ἰδίοις, the import of the testimony to be set forth in its proper seasons; the dative of the time wherein the action takes place. This form of the temporal dative approximates to the ordinary use of the temporal genitive, which rarely occurs in the New Testament: A. 8. 11, διὰ τὸ ἰκανῷ χρόνφ ταῖς μαγείαις ἐξεστακέναι αὐτούς.

Thus it indicates a coincident or contingent circumstance of manner, accompaniment, so that it is really equivalent to an adverb: 1 J. 3. 18, μη αναπώμεν λόγω μηδέ γλώσση, άλλ' ἕργω καὶ ἀληθεία: Α. 16. 37, δείραντες ἡμῶς δημοσία· λάθρα ἡμῶς ἐκβάλλουσιν: Rev. 14. 18, ἐφώνησε κραυγῆ μεγάλη: J. 21. 8, οἱ δέ ἄλλοι μαθηταὶ τῷ πλοιαρίω ἦλθον: G. 3. 3, ἐναρξάμενοι πνεύματι νῦν σαρκὶ ἐπιτελεῖσθε: J. 21. 19, σημαίνων ποίω θανάτω δοξάσει τὸν Θεόν: G. 2. 5, οἶς οὐδὲ πρὸς ὥραυ εἴξαμεν τῆ ὑποταγῆ, by yielding the subjection they claimed (Rhetorical Use of the Article).

## THE DATIVE OF QUALIFYING CIRCUMSTANCE.

Hence the dative is used to indicate the definitive or qualifying circumstance, 'by,' 'in respect to :' G. 2. 15,  $\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}$ ς φύσει 'Ιουδαίοι : G. 1. 22,  $\eta\mu\eta\nu$  ἀγνοούμενος τῷ προσώπω.

The general limiting nature of the dative may here be fully recognized. St. Paul was not unknown to the churches in every sense, but only in regard to his outward appearance. This particular dative, commonly called the dative 'of reference to,' must be clearly distinguished both from the instrumental and the modal dative (1 C. 11. 5), though allied to them: It must rather be considered a local dative ethically used. (Ellicott.) 1 T. 4. 3, &  $\delta \Theta \epsilon \delta s \ \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s \ \mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \delta \eta \theta \epsilon \iota a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \sigma i s$ : the dative marks the objects for whom the food was created ( $\beta \rho \omega \mu a \tau a$ ). This was indeed created for all, but it was only in the case of the  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o l$ , after a receiving  $\mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota s$ , which is the condition attached, that the true end of creation was fully satisfied.

. The dative of norm or rule: A. 15. 1, έλν μη περιτέμνησθε τῷ ἔθει Μωυσέως οὐ δύνασθε σωθήναι: G. 6. 16, ὅσοι τῷ κανόνι τούτῷ στοιχοῦσιν: Ph. 3. 16.

The specific part in which one is affected. The local dative ethically used: A. 18. 5, συνείχετο τῷ πνεύματι: R. 4. 19, μη ἀσθενήσας τῆ πίστει: M. 11. 29, ταπεινὸς τῆ καρδία: L. 1. 51, ὑπερηφάνους διανοία καρδίας αὐτῶν: A. 7. 51, ἀπερίτμητοι τῆ καρδία καὶ τοῖς ὡσίν: L. 2. 52, προέκοπτε σοφία καὶ ἡλικία: 1 Th. 3. 12, περισσεύσαι τῆ ἀγάπη: Tit. 2. 2, ὑγιαίνοντας τῆ πίστει, τῆ ἀγάπη, τῆ ὑπομονῆ.

External accompaniments are regularly expressed by the dative, even without a preposition: Rev. 8. 4,  $d\nu\ell\beta\eta$  ó  $\kappa a\pi\nu\delta\kappa$  $\tau\delta\nu$   $\theta\nu\mu\iotaa\mu\dot{a}\tau\omega\nu$   $\tauais$   $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\nu\chi ais$   $\tau\omega\nu$   $\dot{a}\gamma/\omega\nu$ , together with the prayers of the saints: O. 2. 14,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xia\lambda\epsilon/\psi as$   $\tau\delta$   $\kappa a\theta'$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$  $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\delta\gamma\rho a\phi\sigma\nu$   $\tauois$   $\delta\delta\gamma\mu a\sigma\iota\nu$ , having cancelled the hostile bond, together with its ordinances.

From this use we may explain its connexion with verbs denoting companionship, contact, close intercourse: M. 8. 1, ήκολούθησαν αὐτῷ ὅχλοι πολλοί: M. 12. 46, ζητοῦντες αὐτῷ λαλῆσαι: A. 18. 19, διελέχθη τοῖς 'Ιουδαίοις: 2 C. 12. 19, πάλιν δοκεῖτε ὅτι ὑμῶν ἀπολογούμεθα; A. 24. 26, ὡμίλει αὐτῷ: Μ. 5. 40, τῷ θέλοντί σοι κριθῆναι: A. 25. 24, περὶ οῦ πῶν τὸ πλῆθος τῶν 'Ιουδαίων ἐνέτυχόν μοι: R. 11. 2, ἐντυγχάνει τῷ Θεῷ κατὰ τοῦ 'Ισραήλ: M. 9. 10, συνανεκεῖντο τῷ 'Ιησοῦ: L. 24. 15, συνεπορεύετο αὐτοῖς: R. 8. 16, αὐτὸ τὸ πνεῦμα συμμαρτυρεῖ τῷ πνεύματι ἡμῶν: 2 C. 5. 20, καταλλάγητε τῷ Θεῷ.

Verbs and nouns denoting juxta-position, similarity, peculiar appropriation, and the reverse, take the dative of proximity or accompaniment: M. 7. 24, όμοιώσω αὐτὸν ἀνδρὶ φρονίμω: M. 20. 12, ἴσους ἡμῶν αὐτοὺς ἐποίησας: Ph. 2. 27, ἠσθένησε παραπλήσιον θανάτω: H. 6. 7, (γῆ) τίκτουσα βοτάνην εὕθετον ἐκείνοις δι' οὖς καὶ γεωργεῖται.

# THE DATIVE OF PROXIMATE CAUSE.

The dative is used to express the instrument, the proximate cause, occasion, or ground of an act: Mk. 15. 19, έτυπτον αὐτοῦ τὴν κεφαλὴν καλάμφ: R. 11. 20, τῆ ἀπιστία ἐξεκλάσθησαν, σὐ δὲ τῆ πίστει ἔστηκας, they were broken off by reason of their unbelief, but thou standest by reason of thy faith; so 2 C. 1. 24: R. 11. 30, ἡλεήθητε τῆ τούτων ἀπειθεία: 2 C. 1. 15, ταύτῃ τῆ πεποιθήσει ἐβουλόμην, by reason of this confidence I was

intending: G. 6. 12, μόνον ΐνα μὴ τῷ σταυρῷ τοῦ Χριστοῦ διώκωνται, only to avoid persecution by reason of the cross of Christ: M. 5. 22, ὀργιζόμενος τῷ ἀδελφῷ: R. 12. 12, τῇ ἐλπίδι χαίροντες: Ph. 2. 3, τῇ ταπεινοφροσύνῃ ἀλλήλους ἡγούμενοι ὑπερέχοντας ἑαυτῶν: E. 2. 8, τῇ χάριτί ἐστε σεσωσμένοι διὰ τῆς χάριτος: G. 5. 25, εἰ ζῶμεν Πνεύματι, Πνεύματι καὶ στοιχῶμεν. The first Πνεύματι is a species of instrumental dative, if we live by (the gift and efficacy of) the Spirit; the second Πνεύματι is the dative of norm, or rule, to which we are to be conformed: 2 P. 1. 21, οὐ γὰρ θελήματι ἀνθρώπου ἠνέχθη ποτὲ προφητεία.

Hence the dative is used to express the agent even with passive verbs, where we regularly find the genitive with *iπi*: M. 5. 21, *έββέθη τοῖς ἀρχαίοις*: L. 23. 15, οὐδὲν ἄξιον θανάτου ἐστὶ πεπραγμένον αὐτῷ. Compare Xen. Hell. ii. 2. 17, ἀναμνήσω ὑμῶν τὰ τούτῷ πεπραγμένα: Aristoph. Eccl. 73, τάγ' ἄλλ' ὑμῶν ὀρῶ πεπραγμένα: L. 24. 35, ἐγνώσθη αὐτοῖς: A. 7. 12, ἀνεγνωρίσθη 'Ιωσὴφ τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς αὐτοῦ, was recognized by his brethren: A. 16. 9, ὅραμα διὰ τῆς νυκτὸς ὥφθη τῷ Παύλῷ: 1 T. 3. 16, ὥφθη ἀγγέλοις: 2 P. 3. 14, σπουδάσατε ἄσπιλοι καὶ ἀμώμητοι αὐτῷ εὐρεθῆναι: Ja. 3. 7, δεδάμασται τῆ φύσει τῆ ἀνθρωπίνη: 8. 18, σπείρεται τοῖς ποιοῦσιν εἰρήνην.

## THE DATIVE OF ETHICAL RELATION.

Hence the dative is used to denote the final cause, the ethical relation, the party or object 'on account of' whom the thing is done; dativus commodi, the party interested: L. 7. 32. πὐλήσαμεν ύμιν και ούκ ώργήσασθε έθρηνήσαμεν ύμιν και ούκ έκλαύσατε: Μ. 3. 16, ανεώχθησαν αὐτῷ οἱ οὐρανοί: Μk. 9. 5, ποιήσωμεν σκηκάς τρεΐς, σοι μίαν, και Μωσεί μίαν, και 'Ηλία μίαν: 2 C. 5. 13, είτε γαρ εξέστημεν, Θεώ είτε σωφρονουμεν, υμιν: G. 6. 14, δι' ου έμοι κόσμος έσταύρωται, κάγω τω κόσμω. "Alter pro mortuo habet alterum." Compare Thuc. ii. 7, Aakedauuvlois μέν . . . ναῦς ἐπετάχθησαν, on which Dr. Arnold remarks : "It would not be easy to parallel the obscurity and grammatical solecisms of this sentence. - Aakedai may be either the dative of the agent (proximate cause), and depend on  $enerá\chi\theta\eta\sigma a\nu$ , or it may be that dative which is called 'dativus commodi,' extending the term 'commodi' in a very wide sense. so as to make it hardly more than mere relation. Compare v. iii. πολλοίς γάρ τὸ αἰσχρὸν ἐπεσπάσατο."

78

## CHAPTER VI.

## VERBS, VOICES, TENSES.

As every verb has reference to action, and all action must take place in time, whatever is predicated by a verb is a predication of time.

7

The time and state of an action are represented by tenses. The *time* of an action is present, past, future. The *state* of an action is imperfect, perfect, or indefinite. In the imperfect state the action is described as going on; in the perfect as finished; in the indefinite or aorist as simply acted, without any distinct statement of progress or completion.

As there are three times, and three states of an action, nine tenses would be required to express all the different modifications; viz., three imperfects, three indefinites, three perfects; but neither the Greek nor the English verb has all these nine tenses. The English too has no imperfect without a circumlocution, and except in the indicative mood has no aorist or past indefinite, so that the Greek aorist in all the other moods must be rendered by the present or perfect. A writer in the Quarterly Review (No. 255) remarks, the Greek tenses do not primarily imply time. They are not primarily distinguished as past, present, future. If aorists and præterperfects necessarily implied past time, these tenses could not occur in the imperative mood. The infinitives and participles could not be applied alike to present and past times. Their real meaning relates to four stages of progress in the action: (1) preparatory to the commencement, ypáyew, to be about to write; (2) the being engaged in writing while the act is going on, ypápew; (3) the completion of the act,  $\gamma \rho \dot{a} \psi a \iota$ ; (4) the completion, with the additional notion of subsequent continuance, yeypadévai, to have written a letter and still retain it in possession. These

four stages of an act represent the four primary Greek tenses; the several forms of the future and aorist do not differ in meaning. The future is wanting in the imperative, as the impatience of the Greek mind never contemplated commanding a person to be about to do a thing.

#### THE TENSES OF VERBS.

The tenses in the indicative mood describe both the time and the state of an action; in other moods the tenses denote only the state as continuing, completed, simply acted, or intended.

The declaration of time may be *definite* as regards the time of speaking, or *indefinite* as regards some other point of time which must be defined.

The definite tenses are the present, expressing simultaneity,  $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\omega$ , I write or am writing now at the moment of speaking. The future, expressing *posteriority*,  $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\psi\omega$ , I shall write at some time after the moment of speaking. The perfect, expressing *anteriority*,  $\gamma\dot{e}\gamma\rho\alpha\phi a$ , I have written at some time before the moment of speaking.

The indefinite tenses are the imperfect, expressing simultaneity,  $\xi\gamma\rho a\phi\sigma\nu$ , I was writing at some specified time. The aorist, expressing posteriority,  $\xi\gamma\rho a\psi a$ , I wrote after some specified time. The pluperfect, expressing anteriority,  $\xi\gamma e\gamma\rho a\phi e \iota \nu$ , I had written, before some specified time.

THE TENSES IN THE INDICATIVE MOOD.

We proceed to illustrate these tenses as they are used in the indicative mood.

The present expresses a general sentiment, or assigns properties permanently connected with an object, though they are not particularly evinced at the present time:  $\pi \dot{a}\nu\tau a \ \tau \dot{a} \ \dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{a} \ \delta (\delta \omega - \sigma \iota \nu \ \dot{o} \ \Theta \epsilon \dot{o} \varsigma$ , God gives all things that are good:  $\pi o\lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \ \kappa a \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$  $\dot{a}\nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \iota \varsigma \ \dot{e} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \ \dot{o} \ \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu o \varsigma$ , war is the cause of many ills to men.

The present denotes the beginning, attempting, or desiring to do an act, though it may not be done; also something usually or habitually done: την Ευβοίαν διδόασι, they are for giving Eubœa: είπερ βάλλει τοὺς ἐπιόρκους, if he is wont to strike the perjured: Ja. 1. 15, ή ἐπιθυμία συλλαβοῦσα τίκτει την ἀμαρτίαν: J. 14. 6, οὐδεἰς ἔρχεται πρὸς τὸν πατέρα εἰ μὴ δι' ἐμοῦ: R. 2. 4, ἀγνοῶν ὅτι τὸ χρηστὸν τοῦ Θεοῦ εἰς μετάνοιάν σε ἄγει, is leading;

# Missing Page

# Missing Page

85

cation of the time after which it will happen; the periphrastic future requires or implies a definition of time: Plato, Gorg. is  $\partial\lambda\eta\theta\eta$  byta  $\lambda\xi\omega$  oo:  $\hat{a}$   $\mu\ell\lambda\lambda\omega$   $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu$ , know that I will tell you actual truth in what I am now going to say: M. 2. 13,  $\mu\ell\lambda\lambda\epsilon\iota$  $\gamma\lambda\rho$  'Hpidons interv to maidlov.

The future often conveys the meaning of obligation: Eur. Med. 1320,  $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma' \acute{e}$   $\tau_i \beta_{o}\acute{i}\lambda \acute{e}\iota \chi_{ei}\rho_i \delta' \acute{o}\iota \psi_{a}\acute{i}\sigma_{e}\iota; \pi_{o}\tau\acute{e}$ , speak whatever you like, but you shall never touch me with your hand. Hence it becomes equivalent to an imperative: Æsch. Sept. 252,  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{e}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu\delta' \acute{e}\rho\hat{e}\hat{i}s$ , 'you will not say,' i. e., do not say.

The usage of the future for the imperative occurs repeatedly in the New Testament, and has been unnecessarily accounted a Hebraism: M. 5. 21, où poreúveus: 48, ëverte oùr úµeîs téleus: A. 23. 5, ăpxorra toù laoù vou oùr épeîs rarûs. The use of the imperatival future appears in three cases in the New Testament: (1) as a mild imperative, e. g., in prohibition: M. 6. 5, oùr évy is oi invertal: (2) as a strong imperative, prohibition and reproof: A. 13. 10, où maioy diastréque tàs dôoùs Kupiou; (3) as a legislative imperative, negatively, M. 5. 21; R. 7. 7, and positively, G. 5. 14; R. 13. 9. The latter usage is Hebraistic. The uses of the future in the LXX are more varied, and almost purely Hebraistic. They express "quod non convenit, Gen. 20. 9: quod non potest, 32. 12: quod licet, Numb. 32. 24: quod solet, Deut. 2. 11." (Ellicott.)

The future expresses a resolution, general sentiment, or confirmed habit:  $dv\eta\rho$  σοφος τὰς συμφορὰς ῥậον οἴσει τῶν άλλων, a wise man will bear misfortunes more easily than others: 1 T. 6. 8, ἔχοντες διατροφὰς καὶ σκεπάσματα τούτοις ἀρκεσθησόμεθα: G. 6. 5, ἕκαστος τὸ ἴδιον φορτίον βαστάσει.

"Futurum in sententiâ generali recte ponitur, quandoquidem rei quzo in nullum tempus non convenire videatur, etiam futuro tempore locum futurum esse jure sumitur." (Fritsche, Rom. ii. p. 9.)

The future denotes a supposed or possible case: L. 18. 22, διάδος πτωχοίς και έξεις θησαυρον έν ουρανώ: R. 3. 6, πώς κρινεί ο Θεός τον κόσμον; R. 10. 14, πώς ουν έπικαλέσονται είς δν ούκ έπίστευσαν;

The future indicates not mere futurity, but the ethical possibility of an action, and with où, something that neither can nor will happen: G. 2. 16,  $d\xi$  *epywv vóµov où δικαιωθήσεται* πâσa σάρξ. With πῶς it involves the notion of 'ability,' 'possibility:' 1 T. 3. 5, πῶς ἐκκλησίας Θεοῦ ἐπιμελήσεται ;

#### THE INDICATIVE PERFECT.

The perfect marks the abiding effect of a terminated action: Xen. Anab. i. 4. 8,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\lambda\epsilon/\sigma\pi\sigma\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{a}_{5}$   $\Xi\epsilon\nu/a_{5}$  kal  $\Pi\sigma\sigma/\omega\nu$ , have deserted from us, are deserters: *Il.* i. 37,  $\hat{o}_{5}$   $X\rho\dot{v}\sigma\eta\nu$   $\dot{a}\mu\phi\iota$ - $\beta\epsilon\beta\eta\kappa a_{5}$ , who continuest to protect Chrysa: 113, kal  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$   $\dot{\rho}a$  $K\lambda\nu\tau a_{4}\mu\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\tau\rho\eta_{5}$   $\pi\rho\sigma\beta\epsilon\beta\sigma\nu\lambda a$ , just because I continue to prefer her even to Clytemnestra (I have preferred, and do prefer).

In the second perfect, erroneously called the perfect middle, the intransitive signification predominates. Very few verbs have both forms:  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  rowrow row  $\chi\rho\delta\nu\sigma\nu$  del  $\kappa a\lambda\hat{\omega}s$   $\pi\epsilon\pi\rho a\gamma a$ , from this time I have always fared well:  $\dot{a}\nu$   $\epsilon\tau\iota$   $\mu\epsilon\mu\nu$   $\mu\delta\chi\eta\nu$ 'P $\omega\mu a\ell\sigma\nu s$   $\nu\kappa\eta\sigma\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\lambda\omega\lambda a\mu\epsilon\nu$ , if we gain one more victory over the Romans we are ruined.

Several perfects denote only finished action, of which the effect is permanent, and must therefore be rendered by a present in English:  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu a\iota$ , I possess, I have acquired for myself ( $\kappa \tau \delta \alpha \mu a\iota$ ):  $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu a\iota$ , I remember, I have called to mind ( $\mu \nu \delta \alpha \mu a\iota$ ):  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a\iota$ , I am called, I have been named ( $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ):  $ol\delta a$ , I know, I have perceived ( $\epsilon l\delta \omega$ ):  $\pi \epsilon \phi \delta \beta \eta \mu a\iota$ , I fear, I have been frightened ( $\phi o \beta \epsilon \omega$ ).

The perfect often denotes the completion of an act, especially the fixed result of a thought or determination : Dem. Phil. i. 19, ταῦτα μέν ἐστιν à πασι δεδόχθαι φημί δεῖν, these are the sentiments which I maintain ought to be the fixed convictions of all: Η. 11. 17, πίστει προσενήνοχεν 'Αβραάμ τον Ισαάκ πειραζόμενος, και τον μονογενή προσέφερεν ό τας επαγγελίας avaδεξάμενος. Here προσενήνοχεν expresses Abraham's settled resignation of his son to the demand of God, his mental, though not actual offering of him; but  $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$  expresses 'was in the act of sacrificing him,' when stopped by Divine interposition (Green, p. 21): R. 8. 38, πέπεισμαι γάρ, I am persuaded : C. 4. 3, &' & kal Sédepai, for which I am actually in bonds: L. 4. 6, έμοι παραδέδοται και & έαν θέλω δίδωμι αὐτήν: 1 C. 1. 23, ήμεις δε κηρύσσομεν Χριστον εσταυρωμένον: G. 3. 1. οίς κατ' όφθαλμούς Ίησοῦς Χριστός προεγράφη ἐν ὑμῖν ἐσταυρωuévos. The perfect here calls attention to the permanent character acquired by the crucifixion, that of a Saviour; in the Creeds, where the mere fact is recorded, the participle

à I.

is σταυρωθείς: similarly 1 J. 4. 2, πῶν πνεῦμα δ δμολογεῖ Ίησοῦν Χριστὸν ἐν σαρκὶ ἐληλυθότα ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐστι: 2 Tim. 2. 8, μνημόνευε Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν ἐγηγερμένον ἐκ νεκρῶν: Η. 2. 9, τὸν βραχύ τι παρ' ἀγγέλους ἡλαττωμένον: Η. 4. 15, πεπειρασμένον δὲ κατὰ πάντα: G. 2. 11, κατεγνωσμένος ἦν, he stood selfcondemned: R. 9. 19, τῷ γὰρ βουλήματι αὐτοῦ τίς ἀνθέστηκεν; for who resisteth His will? who has placed himself in opposition? 1 O. 11. 15, ἡ κόμη ἀντὶ περιβολαίου δέδοται αὐτῆ: R. 7. 2, ἡ γὰρ ὕπανδρος γυνὴ τῷ ζῶντι ἀνδρὶ δέδεται νόμῳ, for the married woman remains bound by the law to her husband for his lifetime.

It is to be regretted that our language does not furnish an( adequate equivalent for this passive perfect. Luther uniformly renders ykypamras, es stehet geschrieben : L. 16. 26, xáoua μέγα ἐστήρικται, stands fixt: 2 T. 1. 12, & πεπίστευκα, to whom I have given my  $\pi lors$ , in whom I have put my trust and still do put it : 2 T. 4. 8, τοις ήγαπηκόσιν την επιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ, who have loved and still love his appearing ;- in a present sense only as it points to the persistence of the feeling : A. 22. 29, ors ην αὐτὸν δεδεκώς. The tense implies the situation of legal guilt in which the officer had placed himself. A. 25. 11,  $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma a \rho$ άδικῶ καὶ ἄξιον θανάτου πέπραχά τι : the tense πέπραγα marks the permanent result of the supposed ablimuta. A. 27. 25, πιστεύω γαρ τῷ Θεῶ ὅτι οῦτως ἐσται καθ ὃν τρόπου λελάληταί μοι: 1 0. 10. 13, πειρασμός ύμας ούκ είληφεν εί μή άνθρώπινος, has not formerly, and does not now: L. 5. 32, ούκ ελήλυθα καλέσαι δικαίους.

The perfect often denotes an immediate consequence: Xen. Cyr. iv. 2. 26, ό γλρ κρατῶν ἄμα πάντα συνήρπακεν, he who conquers, at once carries off every thing: A. 21. 28, Έλληνας εἰσήγαγεν εἰς τὸ ἰερὸν καὶ κεκοίνωκε τὸν ἄγιον τόπον τοῦτον: 2 P. 2. 6, πόλεις Σοδόμων καὶ Γομόρἑας τεφρώσας καταστροφậ κατέκρινεν ὑπόδευγμα μελλόντων ἀσεβεῖν τεθεικώς. Here κεκοίνωκε is the consequence of εἰσήγαγεν, and τεθεικώς the result of κατέκρινεν.

Mr. Green points out a delicate propriety of expression in the parable of the talents, M. 25. 14-30. At the time of receiving the money, the aorist is used to describe the unprofitable servant,  $\delta \tau \delta \delta \nu \lambda a \beta \omega \nu$ : at the time of reckoning he is described as  $\delta \tau \delta \delta \nu \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \omega s$ , where the perfect describes his unaltered condition, as far as the money was concerned. With the other servants, the norist is used both at the time of receiving and the time of reckoning. The money which they had received was modified by their own subsequent exertions, and the aorist could then alone be used.

1 P. 2. 10, oi oùn  $\eta hen \mu i voi viv \delta i i hen bives. The perfect$ describes a state in which they continued, a state of remotenessfrom God's mercy. The aorist describes an act, Ye were made $objects of mercy. 1 J. 3. 9, <math>\pi \hat{a}_S \delta \gamma \underline{e\gamma e v v n \mu i vos}$  in  $\pi o \hat{v}$   $\theta e o \hat{v}$  $d\mu a \rho \tau l av$  où  $\pi o i e \hat{i}$ , every one who hath been born of God, and continues in that state, doth not work sin habitually: où  $\delta i v a \tau a \hat{i} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{a} v e \hat{v}$   $\tilde{v} \tau \hat{e} \kappa \tau o \hat{v} \theta e o \hat{v}$  $\delta i v a \tau a \hat{i} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{a} v e v$   $\delta \tau i \hat{e} \kappa \tau o \hat{v} \theta e o \hat{v} \gamma e \gamma e v v \eta \tau a i$ , he is not able to be a sinner, because he hath been born of God, and the life given him at his spiritual birth abides in him. "The Apostle does not say, où  $\delta i v a \tau a \hat{i} \mu a \rho \tau e \hat{i} v$ , he cannot fall into sin, by ignorance, error, and infirmity. Such an assertion would be inconsistent with the whole tenor of Scripture." Wordsworth.

#### INDEFINITE TENSES.

## THE IMPERFECT.

Hermann thus explains the imperfect: "In eo, quod quis voluit facere, nec tamen perfecit, quod aptius adhiberi tempus potest, quam quod ab ea ipsa ratione nomen habet imperfectum?"

Thus too, Donaldson, § 426: "The imperfect denotes an incomplete action, one that is in its course, and is not yet brought to its intended accomplishment. It implies that a certain thing was going on at a specified time, but excludes the assertion that the end of the action was attained." It may often be expressed by the paraphrase, 'began to,' 'proceeded to,' 'attempted to,' especially by the side of the aorist, which indicates the single or completed action: Thuc. ii. 92. 2, ws n vavs διεφθείρετο, έσφαξεν έαυτον και έξέπεσεν είς τον λιμένα, as the ship was (in process of) sinking, he slew himself, and fell overboard into the habour. The suicide and its result being single and momentary acts, are expressed by the aorist. Xen.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ ύπηντίαζεν ή φάλαγξ και άμα ή σάλπυγξ έφθέγξατο, when the phalanx proceeded to meet them, and at the same time the trumpet sounded ( $\epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi$ . aor., single and completed act): ουκέτι έδέξαντο οι πολέμιοι άλλ' έφευγον, the enemies no longer awaited their attack, but proceeded to flee (coef. aor., completed

#### THE IMPERFECT.

result): Anab. v. 4. 24, τούς μέν οὖν πελταστάς ἐδέξαντο οἱ βάρβαροι καὶ ἐμάχοντο. ὁ κύων ἐξέδραμε, καὶ καθυλάκτει αὐτούς, the dog ran out and kept barking at them.

The imperfect has the idea of incompleteness: M. 3. 14,  $\delta \delta \delta i$ 'Iwárrys diekúlver airór, John attempted to hinder him: L. 1. 59,  $\delta \kappa a \lambda our airó,$  were on the point of naming it: 5. 6,  $\delta \iota e \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\gamma} r v r \sigma$   $\sigma \delta \delta \kappa r v \sigma r$ , the net was beginning to break: A. 26. 11,  $\dot{\eta} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa a \zeta \sigma v$   $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \tilde{v}$ , was doing my utmost to make them blaspheme: A. 13. 11,  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega r \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \sigma v \dot{\epsilon} s$ ,  $\delta \iota \ell \sigma \delta \delta \delta \sigma v r$ , kept stoning him: G. 1. 13,  $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \omega r \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma (a \nu \tau \sigma \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon \omega \hat{v} \kappa a l)$   $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \delta \rho \theta \sigma v \nu a \dot{\tau} \eta \dot{\nu} \kappa \kappa a l$   $\pi \rho \delta \kappa \sigma \tau \sigma \nu \kappa \tau \Lambda$ . In G. 4. 29,  $\delta \kappa a \tau d \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa a$   $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \partial \nu \kappa a \tau d$   $\Pi \nu \epsilon \tilde{v} \mu a \sigma \tilde{v} \tau \omega s$ , we have the strict use of the imperfect to designate an action which still spiritually continues.

. This idea of incompleteness frequently passes into that of repetition, especially in contrast with the aorist: 1 C. 10. 4, πάντες το αύτο πόμα πνευματικόν έπιον έπινον γαρ έκ πνευματικής ἀκολουθούσης πέτρας. Here the aorist, έπιον, expresses the action, without conveying any idea of duration; the imperfect,  $\epsilon \pi i \nu o \nu$ , implies the repetition of the act, 'they kept continually drinking :' A. 28. 9, of row of exercises doveres έν τη νήσω προσήργοντο και έθεραπεύοντο, kept coming to us, and were getting healed: L. 24. 21, nueis de name, 'we for our part were hoping,' implying that this had been their habitual expectation for some period of time: M. 13. 34,  $\chi \omega \rho \lambda s$ παραβολής ούκ ελάλει αὐτοῖς: Μk. 14. 12, ὅτε τὸ πάσχα έθυον (an annual offering): 15. 6, κατά δε έορτην απέλυεν αυτοις ένα δέσμιον, i. q., M. 27. 15, είώθει ἀπολύειν: R. 15. 22, ἐνεκοπτόμην τά πολλά τοῦ έλθειν πρός ύμας: L. 4. 15, έδίδασκεν έν ταις συναγωγαίς αὐτῶν: 2 Th. 2. 5, οὐ μνημονεύετε ὅτι ἔτι ῶν πρὸς ύμας ταῦτα ἔλεγον ὑμῖν;

The imperfect is used (in verbs and phrases like έδει, έχρην, είκὸς ην, ὥφελον) to signify a dissatisfaction with the present state of things, and a wish that the result was different: A. 13. 46, ὑμῦν ην ἀναγκαῖον πρῶτον λαληθήναι τὸν λόγον τοῦ Θεοῦ: 1 C. 4. 8, καὶ ὄφελόν γε ἐβασιλεύσατε: 2 C. 11. 1, ὄφελον ἀνείχεσθέ μου: Rev. 3. 15, ὄφελον ψυχρὸς εἰης ἡ ξεστός: H. 9. 26, ἐπεὶ ἕδει αὐτὸν πολλάκις παθεῖν ἀπὸ καταβολής κόσμου: G. 4. 20, ἤθελον δὲ παρεῖναι πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἄρτι καὶ ἀλλάξαι τὴν φωνήν μου: R. 9. 3, ηὐχόμην γὰρ ἀνάθεμα εἶναι αὐτὸς ἐγὼ ἀπὸ τοῦ Χριστοῦ: 2 C. 12. 11, ἐγὼ ὥφειλον ὑφ΄ ὑμῶν συνίστασθαι; M. 26. 9, ηδύνατο τοῦτο τὸ μύρον πραθηναι πολλοῦ: 24, καλὸν ην αὐτῷ εἰ οὐκ ἐγεννήθη ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἐκεῖνος: 2 P. 2. 21, κρεῖττον ην αὐτοῖς μη ἐπεγνωκέναι την όδὸν τῆς δικαιοσύνης: A. 22. 22, οὐ γὰρ καθηκεν αὐτὸν ζην.

άν is often omitted colloquially, especially when the conditional protasis is omitted. The want of absoluteness in such statements is sufficiently expressed by the general indefinite character of the imperfect: A. 25. 22, έβουλόμην καὶ αὐτὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ἀκοῦσαι: R. 9. 3, ηὐχόμην γὰρ αὐτὸς ἐγὼ ἀνάθεμα εἶναι.

The following passages illustrate the difference between the imperfect and aorist: L. 8. 23, πλεόντων δὲ αὐτῶν ἀφύπνωσε καὶ κατέβη λαίλαψ εἰς τὴν λίμνην καὶ συνεπληροῦντο καὶ ἐκινδύνευον: Ja. 2. 22, ἡ πίστις συνήργει τοῖς ἔργοις αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἔργων ἡ πίστις ἐτελειώθη: G. 1. 14, ἠκούσατε γὰρ τὴν ἐμὴν ἀναστροφὴν ποτὲ ἐν τῷ Ἰουδαισμῷ ὅτι καθ ὑπερβολὴν ἐδίωκον τὴν ἐκκλησίαν τοῦ Θεοῦ καὶ ἐπόρθουν αὐτήν: A. 16. 22, οἰ στρατηγοὶ περιβῥήξαντες τὰ ἰμάτια ἐκέλευον ῥαβδίζειν: G. 2. 12, πρὸ τοῦ γὰρ ἐλθεῖν τινὰς ἀπὸ Ἰακώβου μετὰ τῶν ἐθνῶν συνήσθιεν, ὅτε δὲ ἦλθον ὑπέστελλε καὶ ἀφώριζεν ἑαυτὸν φοβούμενος τοὺς ἐκ περιτομῆς.

In 1 C. 3. 6, έγω έφύτευσα, 'Απολλώς ἐπότισεν, ἀλλ' ὁ Θεὸς ηῦξανεν, the transitory acts of human teachers are expressed by aorists; the continual bestowal of Divine grace by the imperfect: 1 P. 2. 23, 24, ôς λοιδορούμενος οὐκ ἀντελοιδόρει, πάσχων οὐκ ἡπειλει, παρεδίδου δὲ τῷ κρίνοντι δικαίως· ôς τὰς ἁμαρτίας ἡμῶν aὐτὸς ἀνήνεγκεν ἐν τῷ σώματι aὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τὸ ξύλον. Here we have three imperfects to denote continual and repeated acts; but an aorist to denote an act done once for all. R. 6. 13, μηδὲ παριστάνετε τὰ μέλη ὑμῶν ὅπλα ἀδικίας τỹ ἀμαρτία, ἀλλὰ παραστήσατε ἑαυτοὺς τῷ Θεῷ. The present expresses repetition, habit, continuance; the aorist, a single irrevocable act of surrender. The verb παριστάναι occurs five times in this passage in the sense of 'presenting for use or service.' Vaughan.

# THE AORIST.

The aorist is connected with the future by the adjunct  $\sigma$ , and with the past by the augment  $\dot{\epsilon}$ . Hence it confines the action predicated within certain limits of previous and subsequent time. From this arises its epistolary use, anticipatory

retrospective: 1 P. 5. 19,  $\delta i' \delta \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu \, \breve{e} \gamma \rho a \psi a$ , I write briefly: G. 6. 11,  $i\delta \delta \tau e \pi \eta \lambda i \kappa o i j \psi i \nu \gamma \rho \dot{a} \mu \mu a \sigma i \, \breve{e} \gamma \rho a \psi a \, \tau \eta \, \breve{e} \mu \eta \, \chi \epsilon i \rho h$ behold in what large characters I write to you with my own hand: Ph. 2. 28,  $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i \sigma \tau \dot{e} \mu \psi a \, a \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} \nu$ , I send him therefore the sconer; so C. 4. 8; A. 28. 30; 2 C. 8. 18,  $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \dot{e} \mu \psi a \mu \epsilon \nu \, \mu \epsilon \tau' \, a \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v} \, a \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{o} \nu$ : 2 C. 9. 3,  $\breve{e} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \, \tau o \dot{v} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi o \dot{v}$ : This is often called the epistolary aorist; a graceful mode of expression, by which the writer puts himself in the place of the reader, and looks at the thing written from the reader's point of view. Thus we may explain G. 2. 10,  $\delta \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \dot{v} \delta a \sigma a \, a \, v \sigma \dot{v} \sigma \pi o i \eta \sigma a ,$  which also, when among you, I was for this very reason eager to do. If St. Paul had been speaking of his habitual action, we should have expected  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \dot{v} \delta a \dot{\zeta} o \nu$ , or if he described one which continued to that time, we should have looked for  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \dot{v} \delta a \kappa a$ .

## PERFECT AND AORIST COMBINED.

In some cases the action is really momentary, or of short duration: Mk. 3. 5, περιβλεψάμενος αὐτοὺς μετ' ὀργῆς, συλλυπούμενος ἐπὶ τῆ πωρώσει τῆς καρδίας αὐτῶν, λέγει τῷ ἀνθρώπῷ Ἐκτεῖνον τὴν χεῖρά σου. Καὶ ἐξέτεινε καὶ ἀπεκατεστάθη ἡ χεἰρ aὐτοῦ: J. 15. 6, ἐὰν μή τις μείνῃ ἐν ἐμοί, ἐβλήθη ἔξω καὶ ἐξηράνθη: 7. 26, μή ποτε ἀληθῶς ἔγνωσαν οἱ ἄρχοντες ὅτι οὐτός ἐστιν ἀληθῶς ὁ Χριστός; is it possible the rulers really deoided that this is really the Christ? M. 17. 7, ἐγέρθητε καὶ μὴ φοβεῖσθε.

 θεν, ίδου γέγονε καινά τὰ πάντα. So the perfect is introduced between two aorists to show that the absence is continual in Ja. 1. 24, κατενόησεν γαρ έαυτον και απελήλυθε, και ευθέως επελάθετο όποιος ήν. In Col. 1. 16, έν αὐτῷ ἐκτίσθη τὰ πάντα, the mere action of creation is regarded, but in  $\tau a$   $\pi a \nu \tau a$   $\delta i'$   $a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$   $\kappa a \hat{i}$  eis airòv čariorai, the permanent result of creation is introduced, and the aorist is changed into the perfect. In other cases, though the action is one of prolonged duration, there is no occasion for bringing this circumstance into notice : Xen. Cyrop., mepi oikwy έν ols ετράφητε-εκέλευσε διαφυλάξαι αυτώ την τε γυναικαταύτην ουν ἐκέλευσεν ὁ Κῦρος διαφυλάττειν τὸν Αράσπην ἕως αν avros  $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta y$ , desired him to undertake and retain the custody, G. 5. 24, οί δὲ τοῦ Χριστοῦ τὴν σάρκα ἐσταύρωσαν: J. 13. 31, νῦν ἐδοξάσθη ὁ υίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου: Μ. 3. 17, ὁ υίός μου ὁ ἀγαπητός έν ώ ευδόκησα: Ja. 2. 13, ή γαρ κρίσις ανίλεως τώ μή ποιήσαντι έλεος: 1 Ρ. 2. 3, είπερ έγεύσασθε ότι χρηστός ό Κύριος. In 1 P. 5. 2, ποιμάνατε το εν υμιν ποιμνιον, tend ye the flock that is among you, the aorist gathers together the whole work of teaching, feeding, watching, leading into one act, occupying the entire life; 1 P. 1. 13, redelws edmloare, direct fully your hope. Their whole life is to be one act of hope. (Wordsworth.) Rev. 10. 7, ετελέσθη το μυστήριου. ετελέσθη is the prophetic past tense signifying that although the event is still future it is certain, and in the divine foreknowledge and decree it is already done: Rev. 15. 1. Cf. έβλήθη, J. 15. 6. (Wordsworth.) Rev. 14. 8, έπεσεν έπεσε Βαβυλών ή πόλις ή  $\mu e \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ , the prophetic aorist expresses the certainty and suddenness of the fall as if by a single blow.

When a specific time of duration is expressed by other words in the sentence the aorist is used, as this tense does not repeat the idea already introduced: J. 2, 20, τεσσαράκοντα καὶ ἐξ ἔτεσιν ῷκοδομήθη ὁ ναὸς οὐτος: Η. 11. 23, Μωσῆς γεννηθεἰς ἐκρύβη τρίμηνον: 1 J. 1. 1, δ ἀκηκόαμεν, δ ἐωράκαμεν τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς ἡμῶν, δ ἐθεασάμεθα, καὶ ai χεῖρες ἐψηλάφησαν περὶ τοῦ Λόγου τῆς ζωῆς. Here the aorists point to the action of the Apostles in gasing at our Lord as He ascended into heaven, and to their handling His person after the resurrection, L. 24. 39. 1 J. 4. 9. 14, τὸν Τίὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ ἀπέσταλκεν ὁ Θεὸς εἰς τὸν κόσμον. The perfect is used, as the effect of that mission is permanent and operative. 1 J. 4. 10, ὅτι αὐτὸς ἡγάπησεν ἡμᾶς, καὶ ἀπέστειλε τὸν Τἰὸν αὐτοῦ ἰλασμὸν περὶ τῶν ἁμαρτιῶν

ήμῶν. Here ἀπέστειλε refers to the remarkable proof of divine love, and denotes that the propitiation was effected by one act. Rev. 5. 7, kal hale kal elange to BiBliov. The perfect marks not only an act but a state, he has taken a book and holds it. Α. 2. 43, εγένετο δε πάση ψυχη φόβος, πολλά τε τέρατα και σημεία δια των αποστόλων εγίνετο, there arose fear once for all, a single occurrence, signs were frequently, habitually performed. A. 7. 59, ελιθοβόλουν τον Στέφανον επικαλούμενον καί λέγοντα, Κύριε Ίησοῦ, δέξαι τὸ πνεῦμά μου, they kept on stoning, Receive once for all. R. 3. 23, πάντες γαρ ήμαρτον και ύστεροῦνται της δόξης τοῦ Θεοῦ. The aorist gathers up as it were the sins of the world into one act regarded as prior to the manifestation of the Sinalogúry, and of which the result is expressed in ύστερούνται. R. 10. 16, τίς επίστευσεν τη ακοή ήμων; who believed the message he heard from us? The aorist expresses the reflection of the prophet on his ministry as one act, he returns into his Master's presence and says, Lord, when I went forth in Thy name who believed? (Vaughan.)

Sometimes the aorist draws attention to the completion of a prolonged action : Demosth. *Phil.* i., ἐπειδὰν ἅπαντα ἀκούσητε : J. 17. 15, ἐρωτῶ—ΐνα τηρήσης αὐτοὺς ἐκ τοῦ πονηροῦ: G. 3. 22, ἀλλὰ συνέκλεισεν ἡ γραφὴ τὰ πάντα ὑπὸ ἁμαρτίαν.

The force of the aorist as referring to single acts is borne out by the use of the aorist in the other moods and participles: M. 26. 23,  $\delta \epsilon \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \psi a_S \mu \epsilon \tau' \epsilon \mu o \hat{v} \epsilon \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \rho \nu \beta \lambda \ell \omega \tau \eta \nu \chi \epsilon \hat{v} \rho a, o \dot{\nu} \tau \delta \circ \mu \epsilon$ mapad $\dot{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ : 26. 26,  $\epsilon \sigma \theta \iota \dot{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} a \dot{\nu} \tau \delta \nu, \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \delta' I \eta \sigma o \hat{v} s \tau \dot{o} \nu$  $\check{a} \rho \tau \sigma \nu, \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \lambda \sigma \eta \sigma s, \check{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda a \sigma \epsilon, \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \delta \upsilon \tau \sigma \hat{v}, \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \delta' I \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v} s \tau \dot{o} \nu$  $\check{a} \rho \tau \sigma \nu, \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \lambda \sigma \eta \sigma s, \check{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda a \sigma \epsilon, \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \delta \upsilon \tau \sigma \hat{v}, \mu a \theta \eta \tau a \hat{v}, \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \ell \pi \epsilon, \Lambda a \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \phi a \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon.$  In E. 6. 14, 15, 16, there are four a orist participles specifying different acts which were completed before the soldier took up his position. The imperative presents,  $\gamma \rho \dot{a} \phi \epsilon, \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon, \sigma \kappa a \pi \tau \epsilon,$  mean 'go on writing, stealing, digging.' The imperative aorists,  $\gamma \rho a \psi \sigma \nu, \kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi \sigma \nu, \sigma \kappa a \psi \sigma \nu$ , mean, write, steal, dig, some particular object and have done with it.

Dr. Donaldson translates M. 3. 8,  $\pi o_{i} \eta' \sigma \sigma \tau e \ o v' \kappa \delta \rho \pi o v s \delta \xi / o v \tau \eta s \mu \epsilon \tau a v o l a s, bring forth at once and completely fruits worthy of repentance. So Bishop Andrews remarks, the word is not bring forth at this time now, then it should be <math>\pi o_{i} e \tilde{\tau} \tau e$ , but it is  $\pi o_{i} \eta' \sigma \sigma \tau e$ , have done bringing forth. So M. 19. 17,  $\epsilon i \ \delta e \ \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota s \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} v \epsilon i s \tau \eta \nu \zeta \omega \eta \nu$ ,  $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma o \nu \tau \delta s \ \epsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \delta s$ , keep at once and completely;  $\tau \eta \rho \epsilon \iota$  would imply, continue to keep: J. 13. 27,  $\delta \pi o_{i} e \tilde{\iota} s \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \delta \chi \iota v \nu$ , what thou art doing, get done with

more speed: 1 C. 15. 34, exvivere diralws ral un augustivere, the 1 aor., exvivere, implies a momentary act; the present, augustivere, one which requires continuous efforts.

In exhortations we frequently have the aorist imperative following the present imperative: 1 T. 6. 12,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nui\zeta_{00}$  tor  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta\nu$  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu\alpha$  the present imperative: 1 T. 6. 12,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nui\zeta_{00}$  tor  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta\nu$  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu\alpha$  the present imperative: 1 T. 6. 12,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nui\zeta_{00}$  tor  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta\nu$ marks a distinct act in the  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu$ . R. 6. 13,  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$  maplotávere ...  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\lambda$  mapastisticate ...: Mk. 2. 9, except, aport sou tor  $\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\beta\beta\alpha\tau\sigma\nu$ . "Quid mirum qui modo lenius jusserat skoweite eumdem statim cum majore quadam vi et quasi intentius flagitantem addere  $\lambda o\gamma i \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ?" Schaefer, Demosthenes.

In prohibitions Attic usage compels us to use the subjunctive when we forbid a single act,  $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon$ , do not steal in general;  $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi \eta s$ , do not steal this particular thing.

Dr. Donaldson gives as instances,  $\pi a \hat{v} \sigma a i v \hat{v} v \eta \delta \eta \mu \eta \delta' \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega$   $\tau \eta \sigma \eta \varsigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$ , be silent at once, and do not question further, where both verbs refer to the completion of the single act; but  $\mu \eta$   $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \kappa \dot{a} \mu \eta \varsigma$ ,  $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \pi \epsilon i$ , do not be wearied, but go on considering, where the former verb indicates the completeness of the consideration by the weariness and consequent cessation of the inquirer. So again we have C. 2. 21,  $\mu \eta \ddot{a} \psi \eta$ ,  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \eta$ ,  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\theta i \gamma \eta \varsigma$ , of single acts prohibited; but in an important passage which has often been misunderstood, J. 20. 17,  $\mu \eta \mu \sigma v \ddot{a} \pi \tau \sigma v$ ,  $\sigma \dot{v} \pi \omega \gamma \dot{a} \rho \kappa. \tau. \lambda$ .  $\pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma v \dot{\delta} \epsilon \pi \rho \dot{\delta} \varsigma \tau \sigma \dot{v} \dot{s} \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \sigma \dot{v} \rho \nu \kappa \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon$   $a \dot{v} \tau \sigma \dot{i} \varsigma$ ,  $\kappa. \tau. \lambda$ ., do not continue to cling to me, for I have not yet ascended, but proceed to my brethren, and tell them that I am about to ascend.

The Greek aorist has been compared to the force of the common Hibernicism, 'to be after,' joined with a verb. Thus we may render *Il.* i. 26. 28,  $\mu\eta' \sigma\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\nu$ ,  $\kappa o\lambda\eta\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\pi a\rho\dot{a}$  $\nu\eta\nu\sigma\lambda$   $\kappa\iota\chi\epsilon\iota\omega$ , let me not be after finding thee:  $\mu\eta'$   $\nu\dot{\nu}$   $\tau oi$  où  $\chi\rho al\sigma\mu\eta \sigma\kappa\eta\pi\tau\rho\sigma\nu$   $\kappa a\lambda$   $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\mu\mu a$   $\theta\epsilono\hat{\iota}o$ , not in that case, I assure thee, will the sceptre and fillet of the god be after availing thee. This will explain G. 5. 24, oi  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau o\hat{\nu}$   $X\rho\iota\sigma\tauo\hat{\nu}$   $\tau\eta\nu$   $\sigma\dot{a}\rho\kappa a$  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\dot{\nu}\rho\omega\sigma a\nu$   $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\tau o\hat{\epsilon}\gamma$   $\pi a\theta\dot{\eta}\mu a\sigma\iota\nu$   $\kappa a\lambda$   $\tau a\hat{\epsilon}\gamma$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\theta\nu\mu laus$ , 'are after crucifying,' i. e., crucify. The following is the substance of Bishop Ellicott's note on G. 5. 24: "The ethical crucifixion is here designated as an act past (R. 6. 6), though it really is, and must be, a continuing act as well (R. 8. 13). St. Paul here presents us with the idea of the true Christian, the character in all its highest perfection and completeness. The aorist,

*éσταύρωσαν*, is neither for the perfect, nor for the present, but enunciates a general truth, correcting, marking an action which was in operation at indefinite moments of the past." Compare Soph. Antig. 1318, where Wex remarks on  $\delta\delta(\delta a \xi a \nu,$ "unum exemplum quod aliquando evenerit tanquam norma proponitur." Hence the aorist has been said to express what is wont to happen.

The aorist infinitive ( $dva\gamma vac \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} vat$ , 1 Th. 5. 27), especially after verbs of 'hoping,' commanding,' is often used in reference, not merely to single acts, but to what is either timeless ('ab omni temporis definiti conditione libera et immunis'), or simply eventual and dependent on the action expressed by the finite verb. (Ellicott.)

πιστεῦσαι, to make a profession of faith, or an act of faith at a particular time: πιστεύειν, to believe, to be a believer: δουλεῦσαι, to do an act of service: δουλεύειν, to be a slave:  $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau e \hat{i} v$ , to commit a sin:  $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \dot{a} v e i v$ , to be a sinner. (Wordsworth on 1 J. 3. 9.) H. 11. 6, χωρίς δè πίστεως ἀδύνατον εὐαρεστῆσαι, to perform a single act well pleasing to God: R. 8. 8, οἱ δè ἐν σαρκὶ ὄντες Θεῷ ἀρέσαι οὐ δύνανται.

## THE PLUPERFECT.

The pluperfect expresses the completion of some act before a specified time: Xen. Anab. ii. 2. 14,  $\epsilon \tau i \gamma \chi a \nu \epsilon \gamma a \rho \epsilon \dot{\phi} \dot{a} \mu \dot{a} \xi \eta \varsigma$   $\pi o \rho \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \varsigma$   $\delta i \delta \tau \iota \epsilon \dot{\tau} \epsilon \dot{\tau} \rho \omega \tau \sigma$ , for he happened to be travelling in a carriage, because he was suffering the effects of a wound: L. 16. 20,  $\delta \varsigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tau \sigma \pi \rho \delta \varsigma \tau \partial \nu \pi \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu a a \dot{\nu} \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \eta \lambda \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \varsigma$ .

In some passages we find the pluperfect when we should

expect the aorist: II. i. 221, ή δ' Οὐλυμπόνδε βεβήκει, the goddess was already gone to Olympus: v. 65, τὸν μὲν Μηριόνης ὅτε δὴ κατέμαρπτε διώκων βεβλήκει, as soon as he overtook him, he smote him at once. In the New Testament this usage is found in verbs where the perfect has the force of the present, and the pluperfect of the imperfect : M. 12. 46, ή μήτηρ και οἱ ἀδελφοὶ αὐτοῦ εἰστήκεισαν ἕξω: J. 2. 9, ὡς δὲ ἐγεύσατο ὁ ἀρχιτρίχλινος τὸ ὕδωρ οἶνον γεγενημένον, καὶ οὐκ ἤδει πόθεν ἐστὶν κ.τ.λ.: 20. 9, οὐδέπω γὰρ ἦδεισαν τὴν γραφήν, ὅτι δεῖ αὐτὸν ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστῆναι.

The paulo-post future expresses the permanent effect of a future action.

From the perfects of intransitive verbs are formed present tenses, which may have their own futures:  $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ , I am dying,  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa a$ , I am dead; from this is formed a present,  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \omega$ , future  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \xi \omega$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \xi \omega \mu a$ , I shall be dead:  $\delta \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ , I am placing,  $\delta \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$ , I have placed myself, or I stand,  $\delta \sigma \tau \eta \xi \omega$ ,  $\delta \sigma \tau \eta \xi \omega \mu a$ , I shall stand.

This rule is particularly applicable to perfects of a passive form: μιμνήσκω, I call to mind, μέμνημαι, I remember, μεμνήσομαι, I shall remember: γράφω, I write, γέγραμμαι, I remain written, γεγράψομαι, I shall remain written: Aristoph. οὐδεὶς κατὰ σπουδὰς μετεγγραφήσεται, ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἡν τὸ πρῶτον ἐγγεγράψεται, no one shall be transferred by private interest to another catalogue, but shall remain enrolled as he was at first: κράζω, κέκραγα, κεκράξομαι: L. 19. 40, ἐὰν οὖτοι σιωπήσωσιν οἱ λίθοι κεκράξονται: Il. i. 139, ὁ δἑ κεν κεχολώσεται, but he, I ween, will long continue angry.

#### VOICES.'

Donaldson remarks, § 430, "The intransitive usage of verbs is anterior to the transitive. The transitive is merely a causative or secondary signification, and requires an objective case, as a secondary predication, to complete the meaning. Even after the transitive use had become the common and established signification, there was a tendency to fall back on the neuter or independent construction."

Verbs which are habitually spoken of as transitive are used also as intransitive:  $\epsilon \pi \lambda \Sigma \acute{a}\rho \delta \epsilon \iota_s \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta K \hat{\nu} \rho o s$ , Cyrus was proceeding to Sardes:  $\delta \Xi \acute{e}\rho \xi \eta s \epsilon i \sigma \acute{e}\beta a \lambda \epsilon \acute{e}s \tau \eta \nu `E \lambda \lambda \acute{a} \delta a$ , Xerxes made an irruption into Hellas: Thuc. i. 79, τών μèν πλειόνων

•

 $i\pi i$   $\tau \delta$  aird ai  $\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \mu ai$   $i\delta \phi e \rho o \nu$ , the sentiments of the majority were tending to the same point:  $\kappa a \kappa \tilde{\omega} s i \kappa \omega$ , I am ill:  $\delta \pi a \tau \eta \rho$ ,  $\tau i \pi \rho \Delta \tau \tau \epsilon i$ ;  $\kappa a \lambda \tilde{\omega} s \pi \rho \Delta \tau \tau \epsilon s$ , how fares your father ? he fares well:  $\eta \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon s i \tau \delta \chi \epsilon \tilde{\rho} \rho \sigma i \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$ , the city fell off for the worse. If this view is correct, it is altogether gratuitous to assort that the transitive and intransitive significations of verbs are continually interchanged, or to speak of multiplied deviations of the voices from their proper meanings. The usage of Greek writers, Homeric, Attic, Hellenistic, is in many respects analogous to our own. There are many expressions we occasionally use for the sake of convenience, though we are not prepared to maintain their grammatical propriety, e. g., the land grows wheat; he walks his horse; they horsed the coach.

These considerations will be sufficient to show the shallowness of the grounds on which many expressions in the New Testament have been pronounced anomalous; such as M. 5. 45, τον ήλιον ἀνατέλλει: L. 12. 37, ἀνακλινεί αὐτούς: 2 C. 2. 14, τῷ θριαμβεύοντι ἡμᾶς: Ph. 4. 10, ἀνεθάλετε τὸ ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ φρονεῖν: Tit. 1. 5, ἴνα τὰ λείποντα ἐπιδιορθώση: J. 14. 31, ἄγωμεν ἐντεῦθεν: 1 T. 5. 8, τὴν πίστιν ἤρνηται: 2 T. 1. 15, ἀπεστράψησάν με πάντες οἰ ἐν τῷ ᾿Ασία: A. 12. 10, τὴν πύλην τὴν σιδηρᾶν, τὴν φέρουσαν εἰς τὴν πόλιν: Xen. Anab. v. 2. 16, τῆς εἰς τὴν ἄκραν φερούσης ἰδοῦ . . . κατὰ τὰς πύλας τὰς εἰς τὴν ἄκραν φερούσας: 2 Tim. 2. 16, τὰς βεβήλους κενοφωνίας περιίστασο, withdraw from, `make a circuit so as to avoid.'

No difficulty ought to be felt with the following expressions, where the reflective pronoun is sometimes supplied: Mk. 4. 29, otav mapado o kapmós: 37, tà kúµata ἐπέβαλλεν εἰς τὸ πλοῖον: A. 27. 14, ἕβαλε κατ' αὐτῆς ἄνεµος: 7. 42, ἔστρεψε δὲ ὁ Θεός: L. 9. 12, ἡ ἡµέρα ἤρξατο κλίνειν.

## PASSIVE VOICE.

The active verb expresses an action of the subject which is directed to a certain object. The passive represents the object as receiving the action thus directed.

In Greek, the object, whether it is immediate or remote, becomes the subject of the passive verb: τύπτει με, he strikes me,—έγὼ τύπτομαι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ: πιστεύει μοι, he trusts me, —έγὼ πιστεύομαι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ: κρατεῖ μου, he masters me,—έγὼ κρατοῦμαι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ. Other prepositions besides  $\sqrt[6]{\pi}$  are used to express the agent, as  $\frac{i}{i\pi}$ ,  $\frac{i}{\pi}$ ,  $\frac{i$ 

Those verbs which are followed by two accusatives in the active retain in the passive the accusative of the thing, and many others which in the active have generally the remote object: Mk. 16. 5, είδον νεανίσκον ... περιβεβλημένον στολήν λευκήν: A. 18. 25, ούτος ήν κατηχημένος την όδον τοῦ Κυρίου: 2 Th. 2. 15, κρατεῖτε τὰς παραδόσεις ὡς ἐδιδάχθητε: L. 12. 47, δαρήσεται πολλάς: G. 2. 7, πεπίστευμαι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς ἀκρο-βυστίας: A. 28. 20, τὴν ἅλυσιν ταύτην περίκειμαι: 21. 3, ἀναφα-νέντες τὴν Κύπρον: C. 1. 9, ἕνα πληρωθῆτε τὴν ἐπύγνωσιν τοῦ θελήματος αὐτοῦ.

#### USAGES OF THE MIDDLE VERB.

#### MIDDLE VOICE.

The middle is only an idiomatic application of the intransitive passive; sometimes it is almost impossible to say whether the verb is middle or passive.

There are four ordinary usages of the Greek middle verb: (1) the reflexive, where it denotes action on the agent with or without an accusative of the part: M. 27. 5, anny Earo: Mk. 7. 4, βαπτίσωνται: L. 22. 30, καθίσησθε: Α. 18. 18, κειράμενος την κεφαλήν: 2 Th. 3. 6, στέλλεσθαι ύμας: L. 10. 11, του κουιορτου ἀπομασσόμεθα: 12. 15, φυλάσσεσθε ἀπὸ τῆς πλεονεξίας: R. 14. 10, πάντες γαρ παραστησόμεθα τῷ βήματι τοῦ Χριστοῦ, for we shall all present ourselves before: G. 6. 7,  $\mu\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda a \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : Mk. 7. 3, έαν μη πυγμη νίψωνται τας χείρας. (2) The appropriative sense, where the reflexive pronoun is sometimes added by way of emphasis and perspicuity : A. 20. 28, ποιμαίνειν την ἐκκλησίαν τοῦ Θεοῦ ἡν περιεποιήσατο διὰ τοῦ ἰδίου αίματος: 1 Τ. 3. 13, βαθμόν έαυτοῖς καλόν περιποιοῦνται: Ε. 5. 16, έξαγοραζόμενοι τόν καιρόν : L. 21. 19, έν τη ύπομονη ύμων κτήσασθε τας ψυχάς ύμών: Tit. 2. 7, σεαυτόν παρεγόμενος τύπον. (3) The causative sense, to get a thing done by intermediate agency: L. 2. 5, άπογράψασθαι, to get himself enrolled : Il. i. 13, λυσόμενος θύγατρα, to get his daughter set free: 1 C. 6. 7, διατί οὐχὶ μάλλον ἀδικείσθε; why do ye not rather submit to injury? 11. 6, κειράσθω, let her get herself shaven : A. 15. 1, έ $d\nu \mu \dot{\eta}$  $\pi\epsilon_{\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\epsilon}$ , except ye get yourselves circumcised. (4) The

н

reciprocal sense, where the parties mutually act with each other: L. 22. 5,  $\ell\chi\dot{\alpha}\rho\eta\sigma\sigma\nu$  kad  $\sigma\nu\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma$  adt  $\dot{\omega}\dot{\alpha}\rho\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\nu$   $\delta\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\iota$ : 2 C. 13. 11, kataptizerole, mapakaleiste, amend each other's defects, afford mutual help: 1 C. 9. 25, mâs à drywuljouros: A. 7. 26,  $\dot{\omega}\phi\theta\eta$  adtois  $\mu\alpha\chi\rho\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota$ : 23. 9, oi  $\gamma\rho\mu\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsilon$ 's  $\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\nu$   $\tau\omega\nu$   $\Phi$ apisalow  $\delta\iota\epsilon\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\sigma\nu\tau\sigma$ . Thus we may explain 1 T. 1. 18,  $\tau\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\eta\nu$   $\tau\eta\nu$  mapary $\epsilon\lambda la\nu$  mapatile $\mu\alpha i$   $\sigma\sigma\iota$ , where the object is represented as emanating from, or belonging to, the subject of the verb; sometimes called the appropriative middle. In some verbs the reflexive is joined with the reciprocal: J. 12. 10,  $\ell\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\sigma$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  oi  $d\rho\chi\iota\epsilon\rho\epsilon$ 's, consulted among themselves: 9. 22,  $\eta\delta\eta$   $\gammad\rho$   $\sigma\nu\nu\epsilon\tau\ell\theta\epsilon$ .

In many verbs the causative middle is the correlative of the active :  $\phi \circ \beta \epsilon i \nu$ , to frighten,  $\phi \circ \beta \epsilon i \sigma \partial a_i$ , to fear;  $\lambda \hat{\nu} \sigma a_i$ , to release,  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \sigma \partial a_i$ , to ransom : A. 21. 24, 25,  $\kappa a \hat{\iota} a \dot{\nu} \tau \delta \hat{\rho} \psi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \delta \nu \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \rho \nu$ . . .  $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \partial a_i$  airoùs  $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \partial \nu \sigma \kappa \tau . \lambda$ . 2 T. 4. 15,  $\delta \nu \kappa a \hat{\iota} \sigma \dot{\nu} \psi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \upsilon$ : M. 5. 42,  $\delta a \nu \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \sigma \partial a_i$ , to borrow, to cause money to be lent to oneself : 20. 1. 7,  $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \hat{\nu} \sigma \partial a_i$ , to hire, to cause to let to oneself. This relation is frequently expressed in Latin by curare, and in German by the auxiliary verb (sich) lassen.

The term dynamic, or intensive middle, has lately been introduced to denote the appropriate and causative usages when the subject of the verb has a peculiar personal interest and anxiety in the action which he does or causes to be done. Thus Mk. 14. 47, σπασάμενος την μάχαιραν, expresses more vividly than Mt. 26. 51, ἀπέσπασε τὴν μάχαιραν αὐτοῦ. Many of the uses of  $\pi oisi \sigma \theta ai$  may be referred to this head: A. 1. 1. τόν πρώτον λόγον έποιησάμην: 8. 2, έποιήσαντο κοπετόν: 27. 18. έκβολήν έποιούντο: R. 15. 26, κοινωνίαν τινά ποιήσασθαι: E. 4. 16, παν το σώμα . . . την αύξησιν του σώματος ποιείται, all parts of the body reciprocally and mutually acting on one another: Η. 1. 3, δι' έαυτοῦ καθαρισμὸν ποιησάμενος τῶν άμαρτιών. Contrast Xon. Anab. i. 2. 9, έξέτασιν και άριθμον έποίησεν, with i. 2. 1, έποιεῖτο την πρόφασιν. This use of the . middle is the application of the simple meaning of the active to mental and moral forces : 1 Tim. 4. 6, ταῦτα ὑποτιθέμενος τοῖς άδελφοίς . . . έντρεφόμενος τοις λόγοις της πίστεως: C. 2. 15, άπεκδυσάμενος τὰς ἀρχὰς καὶ τὰς ἐξουσίας: Μk. 10. 20, ταῦτα πάντα έφυλαξάμην έκ νεότητός μου: Tit. 1. 5, ίνα τα λείποντα

έπιδιορθώση . . . ώς έγὼ σοὶ διεταξάμην: 2 Tim. 4. 14, 'Αλέξανδρος ὁ χαλκεὺς πολλά μοι κακὰ ἐνεδείξατο, where the middle voice points to the animus displayed in the outward acts of injury and wrong.

The middle form of a neuter verb is often used to denote the appropriation to an individual of a state or condition. This is particularly common with verbs in -εύω. Some, like ἀριστεύειν, πρωτεύειν, βασιλεύειν, are used only in the active form, because they denote a condition, belonging actually or naturally to the subject. Others employ both active and middle forms, because they admit of the idea of an appropriation of the condition: στρατεύειν, to march on an expedition : στρατεύεσθαι, to be a soldier : 2 T. 2. 4, oudeis στρατευόμενος, no one while serving as a soldier: L. 3. 14, στρατευόμενοι, men on actual service: πολιτεύειν, to be a citizen, live in a free state : πολιτεύεσθαι, to appropriate the condition of a citizen to oneself individually, to take a part in politics: Phil. 1. 27, μόνον ἀξίως τοῦ εὐαγγελίου τοῦ Χριστοῦ πολιτεύεσθε: Α. 23. 1, ἐγὼ πάση συνειδήσει ἀγαθŷ πεπολίτευμαι τῷ Θεῷ. Similarly, πρεσβεύειν, to be an ambassador:  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ , to act by means of an embassy : Boulever, to give advice : Boulever das, to act as a counsellor: Thucyd. ii. 15, αὐτολ ἕκαστοι ἐπολιτεύοντο καλ έβουλεύοντο. (Donaldson, § 432. 2.)

Some verbs, though active in form, are used in a middle sense: 1 P. 2. 6,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\chi\epsilon\iota \epsilon\nu \tau \eta \gamma\rho a\phi\eta$ , it is extant in Scripture (Joseph. Ant. xi. 4. 7,  $\kappa a\theta\omegas \epsilon\nu a\upsilon\tau \eta \tau \eta \epsilon i i o \tau o \lambda \eta \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\chi\epsilon\iota$ ): 1 P. 2. 13,  $\epsilon tre \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega s \upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi o v \tau i$ : L. 15. 12,  $\delta o s \mu o \iota \tau o \epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu \mu \epsilon \rho o s \tau \eta s o \upsilon \sigma i a s: A. 27. 14, <math>\epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \kappa a \tau^* a \upsilon \tau \eta s a \kappa \epsilon \mu o s$ : Mk. 4. 29. 37: so  $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon$ , arise, Mk. 2. 9. 11; 3. 3; 5. 41; 10. 49: A. 27. 43,  $a \pi o \rho \rho i \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ .

## PASSIVE AND MIDDLE DEPONENTS.

Some middle deponents, in addition to an aorist middle, have an aorist in the passive form, which is completely passive in sense:  $\theta\epsilon\dot{a}\phi\mu a\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon a\sigma\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\dot{a}\theta\eta\nu$ — $\dot{i}\dot{a}\phi\mu a\iota$ ,  $\dot{i}a\sigma\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{i}\dot{a}\theta\eta\nu$ —  $\chi a\rho(\zeta o\mu a\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi a\rho\iota\sigma\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi a\rho\iota\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ — $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ — $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\mu a\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\nu\sigma\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ . Other deponents have an aorist, which is passive in form but active in signification: as  $\dot{\eta}\beta o\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\delta\nu\nu\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\mu\epsilon\lambda\dot{\eta}$ - $\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\eta\dot{\nu}\lambda a\beta\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\lambda a\gamma\chi\nu\iota\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ . These are called passive deponents.

#### SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD.

CHAPTER VII.

## THE MOODS OF VERBS.

## HYPOTHETICAL PROPOSITIONS. INFINITIVE AND PARTICIPLES.

THE subjunctive and optative in Greek form one mood, corresponding to the subjunctive mood in Latin. The Greek subjunctive supplies the tenses which answer to the subjunctive present and perfect in Latin, while the Greek optative fills up the place of the subjunctive imperfect and pluperfect.

The indicative mood expresses certainty; the conditional mood of present and past tenses formed by the subjunctive and optative expresses uncertainty. "When the result is regarded as certain, the verb is in the indicative; when uncertain, in the subjunctive; when doubly uncertain, depending on some contingency not likely to occur, the optative is used. In English there are three degrees of expectation or likelihood, e. g., Will you come? Can you come? Could you come? You will recover; You may recover; You might recover. These degrees have their exact parallels in Greek, and are expressed respectively by the indicative, the subjunctive, the optative" (Q. R. No. 225).

This conditional mood frequently appears to be independent; but generally its dependence upon other words is plainly marked by the employment of hypothetical particles and final conjunctions. Some verb may be supplied to show the dependence of the subjunctive and optative, even when they appear to be independent. The subjunctive had originally the idea of futurity, next of duty, corresponding to the English 'ought.' Hence it is frequently hortative, resembling the imperative, or expresses a doubt, especially in questions. The optative seems, as the word implies, to have originally expressed the idea of wishing, 'could you come?' then of possibility, resembling the English 'may,' 'might.' In process of time the latter meaning was distinguished by the insertion of  $a\nu$ .

As the subjunctive involved the idea of duty, it was naturally used to denote a purpose: 'I give you this,—you are to use it,' is much the same as, 'I give you this that you may use it.'

As the optative involved the idea of wishing, this mood was also used to denote a purpose : 'I gave you this—may you use it,' is much the same as, 'I gave you this, that you might use it.'

Thus both moods merged into a common idea of conditionality, and were used to denote a purpose, and other relations which involve doubt, uncertainty, or indefiniteness in their statement. From what has been already mentioned, it must be remembered that the subjunctive mood refers to present or future time, like the subjunctive present in Latin; and that the optative refers to past time, like the subjunctive imperfect. But when the past is represented as present, the subjunctive is used after other tenses besides the present and future: G. 3. 19,  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \beta \hat{\alpha} \epsilon \omega \nu \chi \hat{\alpha} \rho \nu \pi \rho \sigma \varepsilon \tau \hat{\epsilon} \eta$ ,  $\check{\alpha} \chi \rho \rho_{S} \circ \check{\delta} \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \tau \hat{\delta} \sigma \pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \mu a$ . The omission of  $\check{\alpha} \nu$  in this sentence evinces the idea in the mind of St. Paul that all obstacles and difficulties were removed.

#### SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD.

A qualified future is expressed by the subjunctive, especially when the aorist is used: "Ubique in conjunctivo inest futuri notatio." Hermann: II. i. 262, où yáp  $\pi\omega$  tolous toov avépas oùdé towpau, 'for never yet have I seen such herces, nor is it probable I shall behold their like.' Here oùdé dyopau would mean 'I shall certainly not see them.'

The exact translation of J. 4. 25, or 2 Th. 1. 10,  $\delta \tau av \ \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ , would be, 'whensoever the Lord shall have come.' This, however, is not in accordance with our usual mode of expression, and had better be avoided: 1 T. 5. 11,  $\delta \tau av \ \kappa a\tau a \sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu i \dot{a} \sigma \omega \sigma i$  $\tau o \hat{v} \ \lambda \rho i \sigma \tau o \hat{v}, \ \gamma a \mu \epsilon \hat{v} \ \theta \epsilon \lambda o \nu \sigma i \nu$ , "whensoever they regain their spirits with reference to Christ, as will probably be the case, they wish to marry." When the reference to the actual futurity of the subsequent event is less specially contemplated, we may translate it by the present: M. 21. 40,  $\delta \tau av \ o \hat{v} \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta \ o$ 

κύριος τοῦ ἀμπελῶνος, whenever therefore the owner of the vineyard cometh: Mk. 4. 29, ὅταν δὲ παραδῷ ὁ καρπός, but whenever the fruit presents itself. If this reference to actual futurity is more distinct and prominent, we must translate it by the future: Mk. 8. 38, δς γὰρ ἀν ἐπαισχυνθῆ με, for whoever shall be ashamed of me: A. 23. 35, διακούσομαί σου ὅταν καὶ οἱ κατηγοροί σου παραγένωνται. So R. 11. 27; 1 C. 16. 12, ελεύσεται ὅταν εὐκαιρήση, whenever he shall have leisure.

### IDEAS EXPRESSED BY THE SUBJUNCTIVE.

From the idea of futurity, the subjunctive is used in hortatory and deliberative sentences: τι γένωμαι; What will become of me? νῦν ποι τράπωμαι; To what quarter shall I now turn myself? Thus in the hortative sense: M. 17.4, ποιήσωμεν ῶδε τρεῖς σκηνάς: J. 14. 31, ἐγείρεσθε· ἄγωμεν ἐντεῦθεν: 1 C. 15. 32, φάγωμεν καl πίωμεν· αὕριον γὰρ ἀποθνήσκομεν: 1 Th. 5. 6, μὴ καθεύδωμεν, ὡς καὶ οἱ λοιποί· ἀλλὰ γρηγορῶμεν καὶ νήφωμεν: H. 4. 1, φοβηθῶμεν οὖν κ.τ.λ.

In some passages the hortative and deliberative ideas are blended together: M. 6. 31, λέγοντες Tl φάγωμεν; Mk. 4. 30, Tίνι ὁμοιώσωμεν την βασιλείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ; 6. 37, ἀπελθόντες ἀγοράσωμεν διακοσίων δηναρίων ἄρτους, καὶ δῶμεν αὐτοῖς φαγεῖν;

The idea of deliberation prevails in M. 6. 25, μη μεριμνατε τη ψυχη υμων, τι φάγητε και τι πίητε: 23. 33, πως φύγητε από της κρίσεως της γεέννης; 26. 54, πως ουν πληρωθωσιν αι γραφαί; L. 22. 2, εζήταυν οι άρχιερεις και οι γραμματεις τό, πως άνέλωσιν αυτόν: 23. 31, εν τω ξηρω τι γένηται;

In the following there is a double act of deliberation as to the person and the part : Mk. 15. 24, βάλλοντες κλήρον έπ<sup>\*</sup> αὐτὰ τίς τί ἄρη. So in Demosth. de Cor. 73, ἀπὸ γὰρ τούτων ἐξεταζομένων τίς τίνος αἴτιός ἐστιν γενήσεται φανερόν.

The idea of duty is prominent in L. 12. 5,  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon \xi\omega$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\upsilon}\mu\hat{\upsilon}'$  $\tau i\nu a \phi o\beta \eta \theta \eta \tau e.$ 

After verbs implying command, exhortation, the subjunctive with  $i\nu a$  marks the purpose contemplated by the command as well as the immediate subject: L. 10. 40,  $\epsilon i\pi \dot{\epsilon}$  oùv  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\eta}$ ,  $i\nu a$   $\mu o i$   $\sigma \nu \nu a \nu \tau i\lambda \dot{\alpha}\beta\eta\tau a i$ .

## THE OPTATIVE.

The optative expresses a matter subjectively, as conceived of in the mind: Mk. 11. 14,  $\mu\eta\kappa\epsilon\tau\iota$  is  $\sigma\sigma\vartheta$  els tor al $\omega\nu$ a  $\mu\eta\delta$ els καρπόν φάγοι: A. 8. 20, τὸ ἀργύριών σου σὺν σοὶ εἶη εἰς ἀπώλειαν: L. 20. 16; R. 6. 2. 15; 7. 7, μὴ γένοιτο, far be the thought: R. 15. 5, ὁ δὲ Θεὸς δώῃ ὑμῖν τὸ αὐτὸ φρονεῖν: Philem. 20, ἐγώ σου ὀναίμην ἐν Κυρίω: 2 Tim. 4. 16, μὴ αὐτοῖς λογισθείη: 1 P. 1. 2, χάρις ὑμῖν καὶ εἰρήνη πληθυνθείη.

The optative is employed in the Oratio Obliqua, when the sentiments of a speaker are recorded, but not given in his own person: L. 1. 29, διελογίζετο ποταπός είη δ ἀσπασμός οὐτος: 8. 9, τίς είη ή παραβολή αὕτη; 22. 23, τό, τίς ἅρα εἰη ἐξ αὐτῶν δ τοῦτο μέλλων πράσσειν: A. 17. 11, ἀνακρίνοντες τὰς γραφὰς εἰ ἔχοι ταῦτα οῦτως.

When an inquirer anticipates uncertainty or indecision in a reply, the presumed contingency or conjectural circumstance passing through his mind is marked by the insertion of  $\check{a}v$ : L. 1. 62, ένένευον τῷ πατρὶ τὸ τί ἀν θέλοι καλεῖσθαι αὐτόν: 6. 11, διελάλουν πρὸς ἀλλήλους τί ἀν ποιήσειαν τῷ Ἰησοῦ: Α. 2. 12, διηπόρουν, ἄλλος πρὸς ἄλλον λέγοντες, τί ἀν θέλοι τοῦτο εἶναι: Α. 17. 18, Τί ἀν θέλοι ὁ σπερμολόγος οὖτος λέγειν: J. 13. 24, πυθέσθαι τίς ἁν εἴη περὶ οῦ λέγει.

THE INDICATIVE CONJOINED WITH THE OPTATIVE.

In some sentences the indicative is used in conjunction with the optative. Here two questions are asked, one of which can be answered without great difficulty, while respecting the other the inquirer assumes some uncertainty: A. 21. 33, επυνθάνετο τίς αν είη, και τί έστι πεποιηκώς. Here the inquirer anticipates little difficulty in ascertaining what Paul had recently done, but implies some doubt as to learning who he was. A converse change of mood occurs in Xenophon, ετεθαυμάκει τίνες τε ησαν καl τί βούλοιντο. In like manner a greater degree of uncertainty or contingency is marked by the optative than by the subjunctive: Xen. Anab. v. 3. 7, ἐπέστειλεν ην μέν αὐτὸς σωθη έαυτφ ἀποδοῦναι· εἰ δέ τι πάθοι ἀναθεῖναι, 'he charged him, in case he himself is preserved, to give him back the votive offering; but if he were to suffer any mischance to devote the offering.' The greater amount of indefiniteness implied in the mischance over the fact of preservation is marked by the optative.

#### CONDITIONAL PROPOSITIONS.

In the conditional hypothetical the conditional or relative

ALL ALL

5

sentence is called the *protasis* ( $\pi\rho\delta\tau a\sigma s$ ), while the sentence which follows is called the apodosis ( $\dot{a}\pi\delta\delta\sigma\sigma s$ ). Donaldson, § 500-502.

The protasis is regularly expressed by the particle ei, and when it is thought necessary to express an antecedent to this relative, the particle  $a\nu$  appears in the apodosis.

There are four classes of conditional propositions, which imply respectively:

I. Possibility, without the expression of uncertainty:  $\epsilon i \tau i$  $\xi \chi \epsilon i \delta (\delta \omega \sigma i, \cdot si quid habet dat,' if he has any thing he gives it.$ 

II. Uncertainty, with some small amount of probability:  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  $\tau\iota$   $\ddot{e}\chi\eta$   $\delta\dot{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ , 'si quid habeat dabit,' if he shall have any thing (which is not improbable), he will give it. Uncertainty with the prospect of decision. Objective uncertainty.

III. Mere assumption, without any subordinate idea:  $\epsilon t \tau_i \epsilon_{\chi oi} \delta_i \delta_o l\eta \, \tilde{a}_{\nu}$ , 'si quid habeat det,' if he were to have any thing he would give it; or, as often as he had any thing he would give it. Subjective uncertainty.

IV. Impossibility, i.e. when we wish to indicate that the thing is not so.

(a) et  $\tau \iota$  el  $\chi \epsilon \nu$  édidou  $d\nu$ , 'si quid haberet daret,' if he had any thing, which is not the case, he would give it.

(b) et  $\tau_i$  é $\sigma\chi_{e\nu}$  é $\delta\omega\kappa_{e\nu}$   $\check{a}\nu$ , 'si quid habuisset dedisset,' if he had had any thing, which was not the case, he would have given it. The logical form is, he gives it, therefore he has it. Hence what is *logically* consequent is grammatically antecedent.

Repeated instances of these forms occur in the New Testament, with the exception of the third, which is merely assumptive or conjectural.

The first class includes all conditional propositions in which the apodosis is expressed by the indicative without  $\breve{a}\nu$  or by the imperative. In these cases there is simple supposition, a mere expression of possibility. Any tense of the indicative mood may be used in the protasis with the relative particle ei. Thus the present, future, and aorist occur in the same passage: 2 Tim. 2. 11, 12, ei yàp συναπεθάνομεν και συνζήσομεν, ei ὑπομένομεν και συμβασιλεύσομεν εἰ ἀρνησόμεθα κἀκεῖνος ἀρνήσεται ἡμậs: M. 12. 27, ei ἐγὼ ἐν Βεελζεβουλ ἐκβάλλω τὰ δαιμόνια, οἱ υἰοἰ ὑμῶν ἔν τινι ἐκβάλλουσι; Mk. 3. 26, ei ὁ Σατανῶς ἀνέστη ἐφ᾽ ἑαυτὸν και μεμέρισται, οὐ δύναται σταθῆναι: G. 1. 9, eἴ τις ὑμᾶς εὐαγγελίζεται παρ᾽ δ παρελάβετε, ἀνάθεμα ἔστω: 1 C. 7. 15, ei δὲ ό απιστος χωρίζεται, χωριζέσθω: 15. 13, εἰ δὲ ἀνάστασις νεκρῶν οὐκ ἔστι, οὐδὲ Χριστὸς ἐγήγερται: 14. 38, εἰ δέ τις ἀγνοεῖ, ἀγνοείτω: 2 0. 5. 15, εἰ εἶς ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀπέθανεν, ἄρα οἱ πάντες ἀπέθανον: Η. 7. 11, εἰ μὲν οὖν τελείωσις διὰ τῆς Λευιτικῆς ἱερωσύνης ἦν τίς ἔτι χρεία κ.τ.λ.

### SECOND FORM.

This expresses uncertainty, with some small amount of probability or contingency, with the idea of realization :  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive in the protasis, the indicative future in the apodosis: M. 5. 19, δς έλν ουν λύση ... και διδάξη ούτω τους άνθρώπους, ελάχιστος κληθήσεται ...: 17. 20, εαν εχητε πίστιν ώς κόκκον σινάπεως, έρειτε τῷ ὄρει τούτω: 28. 14, έαν ακουσθη τοῦτο ἐπὶ τοῦ ἡγεμόνος, ἡμεῖς πείσομεν αὐτόν, καὶ ὑμῶς ἀμερίμνους ποιήσομεν: L. 4. 6, 7, & έαν θέλω δίδωμι αυτήν συ ουν έαν προσκυνήσης ενώπιόν μου, έσται σου πάντα. Here δίδωμι is a vivid present, assigning a permanent property. So in R. 2. 25: J. 9. 31. L. 19. 40, έαν ούτοι σιωπήσωσιν, οι λίθοι κεκράξονται: J. 7. 37, εάν τις διψậ, ερχέσθω πρός με και πινέτω, imperative for future. Compare G. 1. 8: R. 12. 20; 13. 4. J. 12. 32, έ ν ψωθω έκ της γής, πάντας ελκύσω προς έμαυτόν: G. 5. 2, έαν περιτέμνησθε, Χριστός υμας ουδεν ωφελήσει: Rev. 3. 20, εάν τις ακούση τής φωνής μου, και ανοίξη την θύραν, είσελεύσομαι πρός αὐτόν.

The first and second forms occur in A. 5. 38, 39, έλν η έξ ανθρώπων ή βουλή αύτη, καταλυθήσεται εί δὲ ἐκ Θεοῦ ἐστιν, οὐ δύνασθε καταλῦσαι.

## THIRD FORM.

Mere assumption or conjecture: optative with  $\epsilon i$  in the protasis, followed by optative with  $d\nu$  in the apodosis. Of this form no decided instance occurs in the New Testament. But we may refer to 1 P. 3. 14,  $\epsilon i$  kal  $\pi i\sigma \chi_{0ire}$   $\delta i\lambda$   $\delta i kalo \sigma i \nu \eta \nu$   $\mu a \kappa i \rho_{10} (d\nu e i \eta \tau \epsilon)$ , if ye were to suffer for righteousness' sake happy would ye be.

The protasis is often expressed by a participle: Xen. Anab. iii. 1. 2, νικώντες μέν οὐδ' ἀν ἕνα φεύγοντα κατακαίνοιεν, ήττηθέντων δὲ αὐτῶν οὐδεὶς ἀν λειφθείη.

# FOURTH FORM.

The hypothetical condition is expressed as impossible or as contrary to fact :  $\epsilon i$  with a past tense of the indicative mood in

ういがないである

the protasis, followed by äv in the apodosis: M. 24. 43, el joer o οἰκοδεσπότης . . . εγρηγόρησεν αν. So L. 12. 39: 17. 6, εἰ είχετε πίστιν ώς κόκκον σινάπεως, ελέγετε αν τη συκαμίνω ταύτη: 19. 42, el éyvas kal  $\sigma \dot{v}$ —where the apodosis is omitted : J. 4. 10, el ήδεις την δωρεάν του Θεου . . . συ αν ήτησας αυτόν: 5. 46, εί γαρ έπιστεύετε Μωσή, έπιστεύετε αν έμοι: 8. 39, el τέκνα τοῦ 'Αβραάμ ήτε, τὰ έργα τοῦ 'Αβραάμ ἐποιεῖτε άν: 9. 41, εἰ τυφλοὶ ήτε, ούκ αν είχετε άμαρτίαν: 18. 36, εί έκ τοῦ κόσμου τούτου ήν ή βασιλεία ή έμή, οι υπηρέται αν οι έμοι ήγωνίζοντο: G. 1. 10, εί γαρ έτι ανθρώποις ήρεσκου, Χριστοῦ δοῦλος οἰκ αν ήμην: 3. 21, el γαρ εδόθη νόμος ό δυνάμενος ζωοποιήσαι, δντως αν έκ νόμου ήν ή δικαιοσύνη: Η. 4. 8, εἰ γὰρ αὐτοὺς Ἰησοῦς κατέπαυσεν, οὐκ αν περί αλλης ελάλει μετά ταῦτα ἡμέρας, for if Joshua gave them rest he would not continue speaking of another day after these events: 8. 4, el  $\mu e \nu \gamma a \rho \eta \nu e \pi i \gamma \eta \varsigma$  oùo  $a \nu \eta \nu i e \rho e \nu \varsigma$ : 1 J. 2. 19, εί γαρ ησαν έξ ήμων μεμενήκεισαν αν μεθ ήμων.

There was a tendency in the later Greek to omit  $\breve{a}\nu$  in the apodosis; but some think that  $\breve{a}\nu$  was omitted designedly, to express the utmost certainty of an event having taken place, if the restriction implied or expressed in the protasis had not existed: J. 15. 22, ei  $\mu\eta$   $\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$  kal  $\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma a$   $a\upsilon\tau\sigma$ îs,  $\grave{a}\mu a\rho\tau(a\nu \ o\upsilon\kappa$  $\epsilon i\chi\sigma\nu$ : 19. 11, oùk el $\chi$ es elfous(av oùde $\mu(a\nu \ \kappa a\tau)$  el $\mu\eta$ , ei  $\mu\eta$   $\eta\nu$  $\sigma oi$  dedo $\mu$ evov  $\breve{a}\nu\theta e\nu$ : 21. 25, oùde  $a\upsilon\tau$ ov olmai tor kos mov  $\chi w\rho\eta$ - $\sigma ai$  tà  $\gamma pa\phi \phi \mu eva \beta i\beta\lambda(a$ : G. 4. 15, ei  $\delta u\nu a \tau o\nu$ , toùs d $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu u vs$  $\mathring{u}\mu w$  elfopulfarres elouxaté  $\mu oi$ . In this passage some editors admit  $\breve{a}\nu$ .

#### THE IMPERATIVE.

The imperative differs very little in any of its usages from the subjunctive. The subjunctive was originally a determinate tense, like the future, and signified the probable occurrence of something after the time of speaking. By bearing this in mind we may account for the interchange between the indicative future, the subjunctive aorist, and the imperative.

The following are virtually imperative: A. 13. 10, οὐ παίση διαστρέφων τὰς όδοὺς Κυρίου; M. 6. 5, οὐκ ἔσῃ ὡς ὑποκριταί: 5. 48, ἔσεσθε οὖν ὑμεῖς τέλειοι.

## USES OF THE IMPERATIVE MOOD.

The imperative is often used as a mere exclamation, as in  $ei\pi\epsilon$ ,  $\check{a}\gamma\epsilon$ ,  $\dot{\phi}\epsilon\rho\epsilon$ . This may account for its use in M. 8. 32,  $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon\nu$ 

αὐτοῖς ὑπάγετε: 23. 32, καὶ ὑμεῖς πληρώσατε τὸ μέτρον τῶν πατέρων ὑμῶν, go on till you have equalled the iniquities of your fathers: 26. 45, καθεύδετε τὸ λοιπόν.

The imperative present and the subjunctive aorist with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  are used to express prohibitions: Mk. 9. 39,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  κωλύετε αὐτόν: J. 5. 28,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  θαυμάζετε τοῦτο: Mk. 10. 19,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  μοιχεύσης·  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  φονεύσης: A. 18. 9,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  φοβοῦ, ἀλλὰ λαλεῖ καὶ  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  σιωπήσης.

The distinction of tenses is generally preserved, the present denoting continued or frequently repeated action, and the aorist that which is single: M. 6. 19,  $\mu\eta$   $\theta\eta\sigma$ auplicere  $i\mu i\nu$   $\theta\eta$ - $\sigma$ aupous  $e\pi i$   $\tau\eta$ s  $\gamma\eta$ s: H. 12. 14, elp $\eta\nu\eta\nu$   $\delta\iota\omega\kappa ere$ .

In the two following instances the aorist implies, 'do it at once, do it quickly, do it for this once, not constantly:' J. 2. 7, yeuloare rds idolas idaros: A. 23. 23, érouµáoare στρατιώras diakoo lous. "Præsens et aoristus in cæteris præter indicativum modis eo maximo differunt, quod præsens rem durantem vel sæpius repetitam, aoristus rem absolutam aut semel factam indicat. Inepte dicas  $\gamma \rho \acute{a} \psi o \nu \beta l \beta \lambda o \nu$  si non scriptum esse, sed scribi vis, quia hoc longi temporis opus est; recte vero dos riv  $\chi e i \rho a$ , quia hoc brevi temporis momento fit." Hermann.

Two imperatives are sometimes united, either with or without καί, where the second denotes a consequence of the first: J. 1. 40, ἔρχεσθε καὶ ἴδετε: 1 C. 15. 34, ἐκνήψατε δικαίως καὶ μὴ ἀμαρτάνετε: 1 T. 6. 12, ἀγωνίζου τὸν καλὸν ἀγῶνα τῆς πίστεως, ἐπιλαβοῦ τῆς aἰωνίου ζωῆς.

The second imperative is here equivalent to a future, as in the phrase, 'divide et impera.' This usage may be arranged under the second form of hypothetical propositions, where the protasis with  $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu$  is omitted: L. 10. 28, τοῦτο ποιεῖ· καὶ ζήση: J. 2. 19, λύσατε τὸν ναὸν τοῦτον καὶ ἐν τρίσιν ἡμεραῖς ἐγερῶ aὐτόν: E. 5. 14, ἔγειραι ὁ καθεύδων καὶ ἀνάστα ἐκ τῶν νεκρῶν· καὶ ἐπιφαύσει σοι ὁ Χριστός: Ja. 4. 7, ἀντίστητε τῷ διαβόλῷ· καὶ φεύξεται ἀφ' ὑμῶν.

The infinitive is used in a preceptive sense as a substitute for the imperative, to express what must or ought to take place. This is especially the case in aphorisms and the language of legislation, where a tone of importance, authority, or solemnity is adopted: L. 9. 3, μήτε ἀνὰ δύο χιτῶνας ἔχειν: R. 12. 15, χαίρειν μετὰ χαιρόντων καὶ κλαίειν μετὰ κλαιόντων: Ph. 3. 16, τῷ αὐτῷ στοιχεῖν κανόνι, τὸ αὐτὸ φρονεῖν: Hesiod, Op. Di. 391, γυμνὸν σπείρειν, γυμνὸν δὲ βοωτεῖν.

#### THE INFINITIVE MOOD AND PARTICIPLE.

"The use of the infinitive in dependent clauses may be thus distinguished from the use of the participle. The infinitive is used when the real object of the governing verb is an act or state,  $\gamma\nu\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau$   $\eta\sigma\gamma\chi\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\sigma$ . The participle is used when the real object of the governing verb is a person or thing whose act or state is described by the participle,  $\dot{\rho}\rho\dot{\alpha}$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\theta\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\kappa\sigma\tau\sigma$ . The infinitive is a substantive expressing an act or state; the participle is an adjective expressing an act or state; if, then, the object of the verb is an act or state, the verb is followed by an infinitive used like a common noun. But when the object of a verb is a person or thing the participle agrees with the object, and expresses its act or state." (Jacob, § 135.)

(The infinitive is most frequently used as a supplement of other ideas, and especially of verbal ideas. If the idea expressed by a verb is complete, the verb denotes an independent event, or an action finished in itself. If the idea is incomplete, the verb requires a more accurate definition by way of supplement to convey the idea with perfect clearness.) Verbs which express an incomplete idea are such as denote some internal faculty and power, the operation of the will, of thought, or of sensation.

(Verbs which denote the operation of sensation require only the supplement of the object to which the feeling is directed, and by which it is excited. This is expressed by the participle.) Other verbs which express an incomplete idea are supplemented by the epexegetical infinitive, expressive of object, design, purpose. In this way the infinitive becomes one form of the final sentence, though after verbs of 'command,' 'entreaty,' *lva* with a conditional mood is often used instead.

## USES OF THE INFINITIVE MOOD.

The infinitive is used for one form of the final sentence, marking object, purpose: J. 13. 24, νεύει οὖν τούτω Σίμων Πέτρος πυθέσθαι: 1 Th. 1. 9, ἐπεστρέψατε προς τον Θεον ἀπο τῶν εἰδώλων δουλεύειν Θεῷ ζῶντι καὶ ἀληθινῷ: Rev. 16. 9, οὐ μετενόησαν δοῦναι αὐτῷ δόξαν: 22. 8, ἔπεσα προσκυνῆσαι: Α. 15. 24, λέγοντες περιτέμνεσθαι καὶ τηρεῖν τον νόμον.

Frequently the infinitive is used as the Latin supine, which is merely a verbal substantive presenting a supplementary idea: Μ. 2. 2, ήλθομεν προσκυνήσαι αὐτῷ: 11. 8, Τί ἐξήλθετε ίδεῖν; 1 C. 1. 17, οὐ γὰρ ἀπέστειλέ με Χριστὸς βαπτίζειν.

Sometimes the infinitive is merely explanatory, as in 1 Th. 4. 3, 4. 6,  $\dot{a}\pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{a}_S \dot{a}\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \hat{\eta}_S \pi o \rho \nu \epsilon l a_S$  defines  $\dot{o} \dot{a} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \dot{o}_S$  on its negative side; the positive side is set forth by  $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \sigma \tau o \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ., of which there follows a specific exemplification,  $\tau \dot{o} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \dot{\iota} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a \dot{\ell} \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\ell} \nu$ . (Ellicott.)

# THE INFINITIVE AS A VERBAL NOUN.

The infinitive is also used to denote the subject of a proposition, as in English:

# "To meet, to know, to love, and then to part, Is the sad tale of many a human heart."

Ph. 1. 21, έμοι γαρ το ζην Χριστός και το αποθανείν κέρδος: M. 12. 12, έξεστι τοις σάββασι καλώς ποιείν: 19. 10, ου συμφέρει γαμήσαι: Α. 20. 16, όπως μη γένηται αυτώ χρονοτριβήσαι έν τη 'Ασία: Ja. 1. 27, θρησκεία καθαρα επισκέπτεσθαι όρφανούς: 2 P. 2. 21, κρείττον γαρ ην αυτοίς μη επεγνωκέναι την όδον της δικαιοσύνης.

The infinitive is also employed to express the object: *Il.* ii. 290, δδύρονται οἰκόνδε νέεσθαι, they lament the voyage homeward: Xen. Cyr. vii. 1, τῷ δὲ Κύρῷ καὶ τοῖς ἀμῷ αὐτὸν προσήνεγκαν οἱ θεράποντες ἐμφαγεῖν ταὶ ἐμπιεῖν: J. 4. 7, δός μοι πιεῖν: 33, μή τις ἤνεγκεν αὐτῷ φαγεῖν: R. 2. 21, ὁ κηρύσσων μὴ κλέπτειν κλέπτεις; 15. 24, ἐλπίζω διαπορευόμενος θεάσασθαι ὑμᾶς: 2 T. 1. 18, δῷη αὐτῷ ὁ Κύριος εὐρεῖν ἔλεος: 1 Th. 3. 10, δεόμενοι εἰς τὸ ἰδεῖν ὑμῶν τὸ πρόσωπον, the purport and object of the prayer: 1 Th. 3. 13, εἰς τὸ στηρίξαι ὑμῶν τὰς καρδίας, the end and aim of the πλεονάσαι καὶ περισσεύσαι: 2 Th. 3. 6, παραγγέλλομεν ὑμῖν . . . στέλλεσθαι ὑμᾶς.

The infinitive is used as a verbal substantive in the genitive to explain the purpose, design, or object, from which the action of the principal verb arose: M. 2. 13, μέλλει γαρ Ήρώδης ζητεῖν τὸ παιδίον τοῦ ἀπολέσαι αὐτό: 21. 32, ὑμεῖς ἰδόντες οὐ μετεμελήθητε ὕστερον τοῦ πιστεῦσαι αὐτῶ: L. 5. 7, κατένευσαν ... τοῦ ἐλθόντας συλλαβέσθαι αὐτοῖς: A. 7. 19, ἐκάκωσε τοὺς πατέρας ἡμῶν τοῦ ποιεῖν ἔκθετα τὰ βρέφη αὐτῶν: 1 C. 2. 2, οὐ γὰρ ἕκρινα τοῦ εἰδέναι τι ἐν ὑμῖν: H. 11. 5, Πίστει Ἐνὼχ μετετέθη τοῦ μὴ ἰδεῖν θάνατον.

Thus the infinitive is used according to the usual rules

-

affecting the genitive, 'in relation to,' 'in respect to:' L. 24. 25, ἀνόητοι καὶ βραδεῖς τῆ καρδία τοῦ πιστεύειν: Α. 14. 9, ἰδῶν ὅτι πίστιν ἔχει τοῦ σωθῆναι: 20. 3, ἐγένετο γνώμη τοῦ ὑποστρέφειν διὰ Μακεδονίας.

pour a dive

and the second s

The infinitive is also used in the dative: M. 13. 25,  $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa a \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon \nu \tau a \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \tau a \hat{\nu} \eta \hat{\nu} \delta \tau a \nu \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \pi a \nu s$  (this usage with  $\epsilon \nu$  and an accusative as the subject of the infinitive is very common: L. 1. 8; 9. 36; A. 3. 26; 8. 6; G. 4. 18): 2 C. 2. 13,  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{\eta} \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon T t \tau o \nu$ : 1 Th. 3. 3,  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \sigma a t \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \epsilon$ .

## · PARTICIPLES.

The participle describes an act or state, and agrees with the subject or object of another verb:  $\delta \lambda a \theta \epsilon \ \tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o \ \pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ , he was unobserved in doing this:  $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \ a \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{o} \nu \ \epsilon \rho \chi \acute{o} \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ , I see him coming.

The use of the participle in Greek is much more frequent and diversified than in other languages. We may arrange its employment under two general heads.

I. In a dependent proposition as a supplement to verbs which express an incomplete idea.

II. In intermediate propositions to assign closer definitions of the principal verb, or of a noun in the principal proposition.

I. THE PARTICIPLE IN DEPENDENT PROPOSITIONS.

Verbs which denote a result of sensation and express a perception by the organs of sense or by internal comprehension, as well as those which describe a state of feeling, take their supplement in the participle: οίδα θωητός ών: μέμνησο ἄνθρωπος ών: Μ. 1. 18, εύρέθη έν γαστρί ἔχουσα: L. 4. 23, ὅσα ἡκούσαμεν γενόμενα: 8. 46, ἐγὼ γὰρ ἔγνων δύναμιν ἐξελθοῦσαν: Α. 16. 34, ἠγαλλιάσατο πανοικὶ πεπιστευκὼς τῷ Θεῷ: 1 C. 14. 18, εὐχαριστῶ τῷ Θεῷ μου γλώσσαις λαλῶν: L. 19. 17, ἴσθι ἔχων, be assured that you have.

THE PARTICIPLE TO EXPRESS THE SUPPLEMENTARY IDEA.

The participle imparts the supplementary idea to verbs of ceasing, beginning, continuing, because the action of the principal verb can only be realized by the occurrence of the act expressed by the dependent verb. As the ideas of commencement, cessation, &c., can only be predicated of a real action, this reality is inconsistent with the abstract nature of the infinitive: M. 11. 1, ἐτέλεσεν ὁ Ἰησοῦς διατάσσων: L. 5. 4, ὡς ἐπαύσατο λαλῶν: 7. 45, οὐ διέλιπε καταφιλοῦσά μου τοὺς πόδας: A. 12. 16, ἐπέμενε κρούων: Col. 1. 9, οὐ παυόμεθα ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν προσευχόμενοι. These may be considered temporal sentences where the participle appears as a secondary predicate.

If the subject which belongs to the participle stands with the principal verb as the remote object in the genitive or dative, the participle agrees with it in case:  $\eta \sigma \theta \delta \mu \eta \nu$  and  $\omega \nu \omega \nu$ elval σοφωτάτων, I perceived that they fancied themselves very wise: ουδέποτε μετεμέλησε μοι συγήσαντι, φθεγξαμένω δε πολ- $\lambda \acute{a}\kappa s$ , I at no time repented of being silent, but many times of speaking. With these verbs a participle is used, because in the dependent proposition a state is assigned in which the subject or object of the chief proposition exists. If however the state is one which either has yet to take place, or merely might take place, the reason for the use of the participle disappears and the verbs are followed by the infinitive. Hence many verbs differ in meaning as they are followed by the infinitive or participle. Aigyúvoµaı is followed by the infinitive when the action is not performed through shame, but by the participle when an action has been performed of which the doer is ashamed:  $d\lambda\lambda'$  ious alory vy Leven raira, but perhaps you are ashamed to say these things, and therefore do not say them : aigy úvoµai moieiv, I am ashamed to do it; I refrain from doing it through shame: eyo δε ύμιν παραινών αισχυνοίμην αν, I should be ashamed were I to admonish you: aioxivopai moinjoas, I am ashamed that I did it. "Apyopat is followed by the participle when the assigned state has already taken place, but by the infinitive when it is just about to take place : o xeiper no yevouevos, the winter was come on: & xeiper hoxero ylyveolai, the winter was beginning to come on. But in the New Testament apyouar is used with the infinitive: Mk. 4. 1, npEaro Sibágkeiv; 6. 7. ήρξατο αύτούς άποστέλλειν: Α. Ι. Ι, ών ήρξατο Ίησοῦς ποιείν τε και διδάσκειν.

'Ακούω, μανθάνω take the participle when a fact is adduced which we know or perceive with our own senses; the infinitive when we rely on the authority of others:  $\eta$ κούσθην τοῦ Δημοσθένους λέγοντος, I heard Demosthenes speak; I heard his voice: ἀκούω τὸν Δημοσθένη λέγειν, I am told that Demosthenes says.

 $\Phi a$  ivopat is followed by the participle when the object really

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

4

is as it appears to be; by the infinitive when the likeness is not real, but only apparent:  $\phi a / \nu \epsilon \tau a$ .  $\delta \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \delta \varsigma$ , he is evidently and really good:  $\phi a / \nu \epsilon \tau a$ .  $\epsilon \cdot \nu a$ .  $\delta \gamma a \theta \delta \varsigma$ , he appears to be good:  $\tilde{a} \mu a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \tau a \tilde{\nu} \tau a \sigma a \phi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \lambda a / \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \dot{\phi} a / \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$ , on speaking these things, he was just as though he wept; but  $\kappa \lambda a / \omega \nu \epsilon \dot{\phi} a / \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$ , he evidently wept: M. 6. 16,  $\delta \pi \omega \varsigma \phi a \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \tau \sigma \tilde{\iota} \varsigma \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \iota \varsigma$  $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \circ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ , that in the sight of men they may really fast:  $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$  would imply that they were satisfied with the appearance, even though it was considered to be an outward show.

## THE PARTICIPLE IN DEPENDENT PROPOSITIONS.

Verbs of declaring, announcing, take the participle when an event is stated as a fact; the infinitive when an event is stated as a matter of intelligence :  $\dot{a}\pi\eta\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta$  Horibaia  $\pi o\lambda iop \kappa ov\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta$ , when it is certain that Potidæa is besieged :  $\dot{a}\pi\eta\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta$ Horibaia  $\pi o\lambda iop\kappa\hat{\epsilon}i\sigma\theta a_i$ , when the intelligence comes in the form of a report.

Verbs which express subordinate definitions of an action take frequently the participle of the verb which expresses the principal action. Such verbs are  $\tau \nu \gamma \gamma \dot{a} \nu \omega$ ,  $\lambda a \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega$ ,  $\phi \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega$ , διατελέω, χαίρω, οίχομαι, and sometimes ποιέω: οι όπλιται οι έτυχον παρώντες έβοήθουν, who happened to be present, or who were present: eruge barwy, he was dead: ruggávour egovres, they have:  $\chi a i \rho o \nu \sigma i \nu \epsilon \pi a i \nu o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ , they praise gladly:  $\epsilon \phi \theta \eta \nu$ άφικόμενος, I arrived first : οίχεται φεύγων, he is fled and gone. In these cases the participle expresses the principal idea, to which the idea of the verb is merely accessary (see 1 Tim. 1. 12, 13, quoted in Chapter VIII., under ὅτι): M. 17. 25, προέφθασεν aυτον δ Ίησοῦς λέγων, Jesus said to him in anticipation : H. 13. 2, έλαθόν τινες ξενίσαντες τούς άγγέλους: Xon. Anab. i. 1. 9, τοῦτο δ' αῦ οὕτω τρεφόμενον ελάνθανεν αὐτῶ τὸ στράτευμα, was secretly maintained for him. Thus we may explain A. 10. 33, σύ τε καλώς εποίησας παραγενόμενος, and you have come most seasonably: Ph. 1. 25, και τοῦτο πεποιθώς olda, and on this account I know confidently: LXX. Jer. 23. 6. Iopan' kataσκηνώσει πεποιθώς.

## II. THE PARTICIPLE IN INTERMEDIATE PROPOSITIONS.

#### TERTIARY PREDICATE.

The participle in intermediate propositions may be arranged under seven heads: (1) explanatory, giving collateral definitions of single words, expressed by the relative who, which; (2) temporal, marking relations of time, expressed by particles, while, after, when; (3) causal, adducing reasons, expressed by the particles because, since, as; (4) conditional, marking relations of condition, concession, expressed by the particles *if*, although; (5) the final sentence; (6) periphrastic tenses; (7) absolute use. The correct translation of participles will always be modified by the context; as from this alone we can determine to which of these uses it ought to be assigned.

(1) As an instance of collateral definitions we may refer to M. 10. 4, 'Ιούδας 'Ισκαριώτης ὁ καὶ παραδοὺς αὐτόν: A. 4. 36, 'Ιωσῆς ἱ ἐπικληθεὶς Βαρνάβας.

THE TEMPORAL AND CAUSAL RELATIONS OF PARTICIPLES.

(2) Temporal relations of participles may denote coincident or antecedent acts: A. 10. 38, δς διηλθεν εὐεργετῶν καὶ ἰώμενος κ.τ,λ.: A. 5. 4, οὐχὶ μένον σοὶ ἔμενε, καὶ πραθὲν ἐν τῇ σῇ ἐξουσία ὑπῆρχε; 1 Th. 3. 1, μηκέτι στέγοντες εὐδοκήσαμεν καταλειφθῆναι ἐν Ἀθήναις μόνοι.

When a participle and verb are combined together, both in the past tense, we can only determine by the sense whether the action described by the participle is antecedent to that of the verb or is coincident with it. In the following it seems to be coincident: R. 7. 8,  $\dot{a}\phi o\rho\mu\dot{\eta}\nu \lambda a\beta o \sigma a \dot{\eta} \dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau (a \delta i \dot{a} \tau \eta \hat{s} \dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau o\lambda \eta \hat{s}$ κατειργάσατο  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\mu ol \pi a \sigma a \nu \dot{\epsilon}\pi i \theta v \mu (a\nu)$ : Mk. 15. 37,  $\dot{o} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  Inσo $\hat{v}$  $\dot{a}\phi e \hat{s} \phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}\nu \mu e \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \nu e v \sigma e$ : A. 7. 36,  $o \dot{v} \tau o \hat{s} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \eta \gamma a \gamma e \nu a \dot{v} \tau o \dot{v} \hat{s}$ ποιήσας τέρατα καl σημε $\hat{a}$ .

In the following, the action denoted by the participle is antecedent to the action described by the verb: as in Il. i. 6,  $\epsilon\xi$  οῦ δὴ τὰ πρῶτα διαστήτην ἐρίσαντε, just from the time when at first they quarrelled and separated: Mk. 15. 43, τολμήσας εἰσῆλθε, had the courage to go in: L. 11. 8, εἰ καὶ οὐ δώσει ἀναστὰς διὰ τὸ εἶναι αὐτοῦ φίλον, διά γε τὴν ἀναίδειαν αὐτοῦ ἐγερθεὶς δώσει αὐτῷ ὅσων χρήζει: A. 5. 30, ὃν ὑμεῖς διεχειρίσασθε κρεμάσαντες ἐπὶ ξύλου (so A. 10. 39; 5. 5; 16. 34. 37): A. 15. 22, ἐκλεξαμένους ἄνδρας ἐξ αὐτῶν πέμψαι: G. 4. 15, εἰ δυνατόν, τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς ὑμῶν ἐξορύξαντες ἂν ἐδώκατέ μοι.

(3) Causal relations, 'because,' 'since:' A. 4. 21, οί δè προσαπειλησάμενοι ἀπέλυσαν αὐτοὺς μηδèν εὐρίσκοντες τὸ πῶς κολάσωνται αὐτούς, since they found no matter on which to punish them: R. 6. 6, τοῦτο γινώσκοντες, since we know this

1 .

112

(2 P. 1. 10; 1 T. 1. 9): Ο. 1. 3, εὐχαριστοῦμεν τῷ Θεῷ ἀκούσαντες τὴν πίστιν ὑμῶν, because we heard: Ε. 1. 12, εἰς τὸ εἶναι ἡμᾶς εἰς ἔπαινον δόξης αὐτοῦ τοὺς προηλπικότας ἐν τῷ Χριστῷ, seeing that we previously hoped in Christ: 1 T. 1. 12, πιστόν με ἡγήσατο θέμενος εἰς διακονίαν, judged me faithful in that he put me into the ministry: Ε. 2. 4, ὁ δὲ Θεὸς πλούσιος ῶν ἐν ἐλέει: 2 Tim. 4. 10, Δημᾶς γάρ με ἐγκατέλιπεν ἀγαπήσας τὸν νῦν alῶνa: R. 10. 3, ἀγνοοῦντες γὰρ τὴν τοῦ Θεοῦ δικαιοσύνην, καl τὴν ἰδίαν δικαιοσύνην ζητοῦντες στῆσαι, τῆ δικαιοσύνη τοῦ Θεοῦ οἰχ ὑπετάγησαν: 1 Tim. 4. 8, ἐπαγγελίαν ἔχουσα ζωῆς τῆς νῦν καὶ τῆς μελλούσης, 'since it has;' the participle confirms the previous assertion: R. 3. 23, πάντες ἥμαρτον, καὶ ὑστεροῦνται τῆς δόξης τοῦ Θεοῦ, δικαιούμενοι δωρεὰν τῆ αὐτοῦ χάριτι.

## CONDITIONAL RELATIONS.

(4) Conditional relations, 'although,' 'if:' J. 12. 37, τοσαῦτα δὲ αὐτοῦ σημεῖα πεποιηκότος ἕμπροσθεν αὐτῶν οὐκ ἐπίστευον εἰς αὐτόν: J. 21. 11, τοσούτων ὄντων οὐκ ἐσχίσθη τὸ δίκτυον: 1 C. 9. 19, ἐλεύθερος ῶν ἐκ πάντων πᾶσιν ἐμαυτὸν ἐδούλωσα: 1 Tim. 3. 10, διακονείτωσαν ἀνέγκλητοι ὄντες: 4. 4, οὐδὲν ἀπόβλητον, μετὰ εὐχαριστίας λαμβανόμενον: 1. 7, θέλοντες εἶναι νομοδιδάσκαλοι μὴ νοοῦντες κ.τ.λ.

The participle in a concessive sense is often used with καl, καίπερ: L. 18. 7, καὶ μακροθυμῶν ἐπ' αὐτοῖς: Ph. 3. 4, καίπερ ἐγῶ ἔχων πεποίθησιν καὶ ἐν σαρκί: H. 5. 8, καίπερ ῶν υἰὸς ἔμαθεν ἀφ' ῶν ἔπαθε τὴν ὑπακοήν.

The Hellenistic use of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the participle embraces the ideas 'if not,' 'because not,' 'though not:' L. 9. 33,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  eldos  $\delta$  léyei: here  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  introduces an apology. 1 T. 6. 4,  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\tau\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s, yet knowing nothing; oùdèv  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\tau\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s would have been a somewhat more emphatic declaration of absolute ignorance. Frequently  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  introduces a reason: M. 1. 19, kal  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\nu$  mapadeuy $\mu$ atisai: 22. 29,  $\pi\lambda$ av $\hat{a}\sigma\theta e$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  eldotes tas  $\gamma$ pad $\mu\dot{s}$ : R. 4. 19, kal  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{a}\sigma\theta$ eu $\dot{\eta}\sigma$ as  $\tau\hat{\eta}$  mister: 9. 11,  $\mu\dot{\eta}\pi\omega$  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$   $\gamma$ evv $\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ . See other instances under où,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , Chapter VIII.

#### THE FINAL SENTENCE.

(5) The future participle is employed in one form of the final sentence,  $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \ a \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ : A. 8. 27,  $\delta s \ \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \iota \theta \epsilon \iota \ \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \eta \cdot \sigma \omega \nu \ \epsilon is 'I \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \eta \mu$ . When the actions of the participle and the verb are coincident the present is used: R. 15. 25,  $\nu \nu \nu \lambda$ 

πορεύομαι εἰς Ἱερουσαλημ διακονῶν τοῖς ἀγίοις, 'now I am on my way to Jerusalem, ministering to the saints.' His whole journey was an act of ministration.

#### PERIPHRASTIC TENSES.

(6) Periphrastic tenses are often formed with the participle and exw. Thus arimáras rov avopa exer, having dishonoured the man he keeps him so; i.e. he keeps dishonouring, he continues to dishonour : L. 14. 19, έρωτῶ σε ἔχε με παρητημένον. But the expression is probably a Latinism: "Excusatum me habeas, rogo." This periphrastic usage is very common in the New Testament, with eiui, yivopar, denoting habit, or the uninterrupted continuance of an action : M. 7. 29, ην γàρ διδάσκων αὐτούς (L. 13. 10): Mk. 15. 43, ην προσδεχόμενος: M. 19. 22, ην γαρ έχων κτήματα πολλά: Mk. 13. 25, οι αστέρες τοῦ ούρανοῦ ἐσονται ἐκπίπτοντες: L. 21. 17, ἔσεσθε μισούμενοι: 24, 'Ιερουσαλήμ έσται πατουμένη: Η. 5. 12, γεγόνατε χρείαν έχοντες γάλακτος, ye have become such as have need : Mk. 1. 4, έγένετο 'Ιωάννης βαπτίζων ('extitit;' there arose, there appeared): L. 15. 1, ήσαν εγγίζοντες αὐτῷ πάντες οἱ τελῶναι: 24. 32, οὐχὶ ή καρδία ήμων καιομένη ήν έν ήμιν; Α. 12. 5, προσευχή δε ήν έκτενής γενομένη, now prayer was continually offered in full strain.

Mr. Wratislaw remarks: "Almost any verb may be periphrased by the corresponding substantive with  $\epsilon_{\chi\omega}$ , either in an active or passive sense :" Hdt. viii. 143, öniv exciv bewv, to reverence the gods: Soph. El. 400, πατήρ τούτων συγγνώμην έχει, i. e., forgives, excuses: Œd. Col. 557, τίνα πόλεως ἐπέστης προστροπήν έμοῦ γ' έχων; what do you desire? Plato, Menex. 243 A, wv enaivov exousi, whom they commend: Mk. 11. 22, έχετε πίστιν Θεού, believe in God : A. 24. 23, έχειν τε άνεσιν, allow him liberty: 2 T. 1. 13,  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\upsilon}\pi\omega\sigma\iota\nu$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon$   $\dot{\upsilon}\gamma\iota a\iota\nu\dot{\upsilon}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ λόγων, draw up an outline of the wholesome words. ἔχειν καρπόν is used in the sense of συνάγειν, reap, or φέρειν, produce. It may be doubtful which sense is to be preferred in R. 1. 13, ίνα τινά καρπόν σχώ: R. 6. 21, τίνα ούν καρπόν είχετε τότε; what fruit did ye then reap? 22, ёхете то̀ν καρπὸν ύμων εἰς άγιασμόν, ye bear your fruit resulting in holiness. So  $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a}$  $v \epsilon v$  with a substantive is frequently used as a periphrasis for a verb: H. 11. 29, ής πείραν λαβόντες οι Αιγύπτιοι κατεπόθησαν. (Notes and Dissertations, pp. 47. 126.)

116

#### ABSOLUTE CASES.

(7) The participle is not only attached to one of the nouns in the principal proposition, but may receive a new subject of its own. Thus the participle forms with its subject a distinct member in the proposition, and as it is put in a case which is independent of the principal verb, the participle is said to be used absolutely. As the general use of the participle absolute is to designate a relation of time or to assign a cause, we find that the genitive is used absolutely more frequently than any other case, though all the cases are thus used occasionally. Instances of the genitive absolute have been already given in Chapter V.

1

The nominative is used absolutely in expressions of time: L. 9. 28, έγένετο δε μετά τους λόγους τούτους ώσει ήμέραι οκτώ καί παραλαβών κ.τ.λ. Here we may supply διάστημα, χρόνος: M. 15. 32, ὅτι ήδη ήμέραι τρεῖς προσμένουσί μοι. Some other instances may be classed under the head of anacoluthon or change of construction: M. 12. 36,  $\pi \hat{a} \nu \dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a \dot{a} \rho \gamma \dot{\rho} \nu \delta \dot{c} \dot{a} \nu$ λαλήσωσιν οι άνθρωποι άποδώσουσι περί αυτού: Μ. 7. 24, πας ούν δστις ακούει μου τούς λόγους τούτους... δμοιώσω αὐτὸν  $dv\delta\rho d\rho ov l\mu \omega$ . Participles in the nominative are put absolutely in proverbial expressions and quotations: 2 P. 2. 22, Κύων έπιστρέψας έπι το ίδιον έξέραμα και 'Τς λουσαμένη εις κύλισμα βορβόρου: 1 C. 3. 19, ο δρασσόμενος τούς σοφούς έν τη πανουργία autôv. By supplying the verb of existence, participles and adjectives become equivalent to imperatives. Repeated instances occur in R. 12. 9-13. 16-19: 1 P. 3. 1, oµolws, al γυναϊκες, ύποτασσόμεναι τοις ίδίοις ανδράσιν. Another instance occurs in the same passage by adopting the correct punctuation, (6) ώς Σάβρα υπήκουσεν τῷ Άβραάμ, κύριον αὐτὸν καλοῦσα, ής έγενήθητε τέκνα. 'Αγαθοποιοῦσαι καὶ μὴ φοβούμεναι μηδεμίαν πτόησιν. The agrist points to a definite, special act. Hence we must understand it to mean, "Whose daughters ye became on the profession of your faith in Christ. Go on doing good, and have no fear of any sudden alarm."

The dative may be put absolutely in M. 8. 1, καταβάντι αὐτῷ ἀπὸ τοῦ ὅρους ἡκολούθησαν αὐτῷ ὅχλοι πολλοί. (But here the second αὐτῷ may be regarded as redundant, Chapter III.) In classical Greek this dative defines the time and assigns the cause : περιζοντι τῷ ἐνιαυτῷ, as the year came to a close : εἰργομένοις αὐτοῖς τῆς θαλάσσης καὶ κατὰ γῆν πορθουμένοις ἐνεχείρησάν τινες πρὸς ᾿Αθηναίους ἀγαγεῖν τὴν πόλιν, because they were cut off from the sea, and ravaged by land, some attempted to bring the state to the Athenians.

The accusative is used absolutely when a narrator assigns by conjecture the motives which influenced the agent. Frequently we can only account for the accusative by considering that the construction is defective, or that the mode of expression is too compressed : A. 26. 2, ήγημαι έμαυτον μακάριον μέλλων ἀπολογεῖσθαι ἐπὶ σοῦ σήμερον μάλιστα γνώστην ὄντα σε πάντων κατά 'Ιουδαίους έθων τε καί ζητημάτων (here we might repeat  $\eta \gamma \eta \mu a \iota$  before  $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ): L. 24. 46, out  $\omega \varsigma$   $\delta \delta \epsilon \iota$ παθείν τον Χριστόν, και κηρυχθήναι έπι τω ονόματι αυτού μετάνοιαν και αφεσιν άμαρτιών είς πάντα τα έθνη, αρξάμενον από Ίερουσαλήμ (here ἀρξάμενον agrees with κήρυγμα, implied in κηρυχθήναι): Ε. 1. 18, πεφωτισμένους τούς όφθαλμούς τής διανοίας ύμῶν. This we may regard as a quasi-apposition with the preceding verse, or may consider that St. Paul intended to begin the verse with eis rò eidévai upas, as denoting the effect of έπιγνώσει. Dean Alford quotes Soph. Electr. 479, υπεστί μοι θράσος άδυπνόων κλύουσαν άρτίως όνειράτων: Æsch. Choeph. 396, πέπαλται δ' αὐτέ μοι φίλον κέαρ τόνδε κλύουσαν οἰκτον.

# PARTICLES $-\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ .

άλλα τον αποστείλαντά με, 'nicht so wol-als,' 'sondern:' J. 6. 27, έργάζεσθε μη την βρωσιν την απολλυμένην, αλλα την βρωσιν την μένουσαν είς ζωην αλώνιον: Μ. 5. 17, οὐκ ηλθον καταλῦσαι, αλλα πληρωσαι.

Sometimes ἀλλά is omitted : Tit. 2. 3, μη οἶνω πολλώ δεδουλωμένας, καλοδιδασκάλους: Tit. 3. 2, μηδένα βλασφημεῖν, ἀμάχους είναι, ἐπιεικεῖς.

ἀλλά introduces an emphatic antithesis after a full negative: L. 1. 60, οὐχί ἀλλὰ κληθήσεται Ἰωάννης: R. 3. 31, νόμον οὖν καταργοῦμεν διὰ τῆς πίστεως; μὴ γένοιτο ἀλλὰ νόμον ἰστῶμεν. After a negative clause followed by a parenthesis, where the translation may be, 'Nay rather—on the contrary:' H. 10. 3, ἀλλ' ἐν αὐταῖς ἀνάμνησις ἁμαρτιῶν κατ' ἐνιαυτόν.

άλλά is used in exhortations and entreaties where a negative clause is suppressed: Hom. II. i. 32,  $d\lambda\lambda'$   $i\theta\iota$ ,  $\mu\eta'$   $\mu'$   $\epsilon\rho\epsilon\theta\iota\zeta\epsilon$ , 'begone, I pray you;' 126,  $d\lambda\lambda a$  συ  $\mu\epsilon\nu$  νῦν τήνδε  $\theta\epsilon\bar{\omega}$  πρόες, 'but do you, I pray, at once give up:' Arrian, v. 26,  $d\lambda\lambda a$ παραμείνατε ανδρες: A, 10. 20,  $d\lambda\lambda a$  αναστὰς κατάβηθι: M. 9. 18,  $d\lambda\lambda a$   $\epsilon\lambda\theta\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\pii\theta\epsilon\varsigma$  την χεῦρά σου  $\epsilon\pi'$  aὐτην.

In a series of questions involving distinct or opposite ideas: M. xi. 8, αλλα τί έξήλθετε ίδεῖν; In introducing an objection, or series of objections: R. x. 16, αλλ' οὐ πάντες ὑπήκουσαν τῷ εὐαγγελίψ: 80 18, 19: 1 C. 15. 35, αλλ' ἐρεῖ τις, Πῶς ἐγείρονται οἱ νεκροί; In an exclusive sense, 'except:' G. 1. 12, οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐγὼ παρὰ ἀνθρώπου παρέλαβον αὐτό, οὕτε ἐδιδάχθην, ἀλλὰ δι' ἀποκαλύψεως Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ: Μ. 20. 23, τὸ δὲ καθίσαι ἐκ δεξιῶν μου καὶ ἐξ εὐωνύμων μου οὐκ ἔστιν ἐμὸν δοῦναι, ἀλλ' οἶς ἡτοίμασται ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρός μου.

 $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$  introduces a new and cumulative argument, 'sand,' 'imo:' J. 16. 2,  $\dot{a}\pi \sigma \sigma \nu \nu a \gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \nu \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \dot{a}$ ς,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' ἕρχεται ώρα κ.τ.λ.: Ja. 2. 18,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' ἐρεῖ τις Σừ πίστιν ἔχεις κ.τ.λ. 'Nay, a man will rightly say.'

άλλά augments and strengthens a previous idea: L. 12. 7, άλλά και αί τρίχες της κεφαλης ύμων πασαι ηρίθμηνται: Α. 19. 2, άλλ' οὐδὲ εἰ πνεῦμα ἅγιόν ἐστιν ἠκούσαμεν: Dem. 1455. 18, τῶν μὲν ὑμετέρων ψηφισμάτων, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ τὸ μικρότατον φροντίζουσιν: 2 T. 2. 9, ἐν ῷ κακοπαθῶ μέχρι δεσμῶν ὡς κακοῦργος, ἀλλὰ ὁ λόγος τοῦ Θεοῦ οὐ δέδεται, 'nevertheless, the Word of God has not been and is not bound.'

άλλά confirms a preceding statement, 'yea:' 1 C. 3. 2, οὔπω γὰρ ἐδύνασθε, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἔτι νῦν δύνασθε: G. 4. 17, ζηλοῦσιν

# CHAPTER VIII.

## PARTICLES.

UNDER the term particles are included all the parts of speech which are not declined or conjugated, as adverbs, conjunctions, prepositions.

In the present chapter the conjunctions and some of the adverbs are presented as nearly as possible in alphabetical order. Copulatives like  $\kappa a \ell$ ,  $\tau \acute{e}$ , negatives as o v,  $\mu \acute{\eta}$ , and final particles as  $i\nu a$ ,  $\delta \pi \omega s$ , will be considered together.

# (Jacob, § 102. Donaldson, § 547.)

"Conjunctions connect words or sentences, and mark the nature of their connexion. Connected sentences are either coordinate, or one of them is subordinate to the other.

"Co-ordinate sentences are connected (a) by copulatives connecting objects to be considered conjointly, as  $\kappa a'_i$ ,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} - \kappa a'_i$ , both—and; (b) by disjunctives connecting objects to be considered separately, as  $\eta$ ,  $\eta \tau o_i$ , either; (c) by adversatives expressing opposition or distinction,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ ,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ — $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ .

"Subordinate sentences are appended to the principal by temporal conjunctions when they are supplementary."

'Aλλά, pl. of  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda os,$  'other,' 'otherwise.' Generally rendered 'but,' 'but on the contrary,' 'but still;' an adversative conjunction used after negative sentences, seclusive and antithetical, to express something different from what was before said; limiting or opposing whole sentences or single clauses. The sentence introduced by  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$  corrects and explains the preceding clause. In many cases the denial is comparative rather than absolute; but the negative clause precedes to give emphasis to the positive assertion: A. 5. 4, οὐκ ἐψεύσω ἀνθρώποις, ἀλλὰ Θεῷ: M. 27. 24, ἰδῶν ὁ Πιλάτος ὅτι οὐδὲν ὡφελεῖ, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον θόρυβος γίνεται: Mk. 9. 37, οὐκ ἐμὲ δέχεται,

ύμᾶς οὐ καλῶς ἀλλὰ ἐκκλείσαι ὑμᾶς θέλουσιν, 'hoc semper tenendum est oppositione Græcis id efficere, quod nos affirmatione consequi studemus.' Klotz. This is especially the case after οὐ μόνον: J. 5. 18, οὐ μόνον ἔλυε τὸ σάββατον, ἀλλὰ καὶ πατέρα ἴδιον ἕλεγε τὸν Θεόν. So J. 13. 9: 1 J. 5. 6: M. 21. 21: 1 Th. 1. 8, οὐ μόνον ἐν τῆ Μακεδονία καὶ 'Αχαία, ἀλλ' ἐν παντὶ τόπω, κ.τ.λ., 'posterior notio, ut gravior, in locum prioris substituitur, priore non plane sublato.'

ἀλλά strengthens the inference after a hypothetical clause, and may be rendered 'assuredly:' Mk. 14. 29, και εἰ πάντες σκανδαλισθήσονται, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐγώ: R. 6. 5, εἰ γὰρ σύμφυτοι γεγόναμεν τῷ ὁμοιώματι τοῦ θανάτου αὐτοῦ, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῆς ἀναστάσεως ἐσόμεθα: 1 O. 4. 18, ἐὰν γὰρ μυρίους παιδαγωγοὺς ἔχητε ἐν Χριστῷ, ἀλλ' οὐ πολλοὺς πατέρας: 1 C. 9. 2, εἰ ἄλλοις οὐκ εἰμὶ ἀπόστολος, ἀλλά γε ὑμῖν εἰμί: 2 C. 13. 4, καὶ γὰρ ἐσταυρώθη ἐξ ἀσθενείας, ἀλλά ζῆ ἐκ δυνάμεως Θεοῦ. · Sometimes a verb or clause may be supplied: Mk. 14. 49, ἀλλὰ (τοῦτο γέγονεν) ἕνα πληρωθῶσιν aἰ γραφαί. So J. 15. 25; 1. 8, οὐκ ἦν ἐκεῖνος τὸ φῶς, ἀλλὰ (ἐξ ἡμῶν ἐξῆλθον) ἕνα φανερωθῶσιν, ὅτι οὐκ εἰσὶ πάντες ἐξ ἡμῶν. Some would quote Mk. 9. 8; 10. 40, but in these passages ἀλλά has the exclusive sense 'except.'

 $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$  after a negation, followed by η, may be rendered 'other than,' though here it is the neuter  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda o$ ,  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda a$ , and not  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$ : L. 12. 51, δοκείτε ὅτι εἰρήνην παρεγενόμην δοῦναι ἐν τῆ γῆ; οὐχὶ, λέγω ὑμῖν,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' η διαμερισμόν, no other than division: 2 C. 1. 13, οὐ γὰρ  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda a$  γράφομεν ὑμῖν,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' η à ἀναγινώσκετε. So in 1 C. 3. 5, though some editors omit  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' η. Xen. Anab. iv. 6. 8, ἄνδρες δὲ οὐδαμη φυλάττοντες ήμῶς φανεροί εἰσιν,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$  η κατὰ ταύτην τὴν ὀδόν: vii. 7. 31, ἀργύριον μὲν οὐκ ἔχω,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$  η μικρόν τι, καὶ τοῦτό σοι δίδωμι τάλαντον: Hdt. ix. 209, οὐδεἰς ἀλλ' η ἐκείνη, no other except she.

 $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$  is often joined with other particles, and in this combination has a special idiomatic value:  $d\lambda\lambda' \delta\mu\omega$ s, but still:  $d\lambda\lambda'$  $o\nu$ , but at any rate:  $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta}\nu$ ,  $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma\iota$ , but surely:  $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$  $\tau\sigma\iota$ , but yet:  $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a} \delta\dot{\eta}$ , but now:  $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a} \gamma \dot{a}\rho$ , but in point of fact:  $d\lambda\lambda' o\nu \mu \dot{\eta}\nu$ ,  $d\lambda\lambda' o\nu \mu \dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma\iota$ , followed by  $\gamma\epsilon$ , but at any rate not.

άλλως, otherwise than rightly, fruitlessly: 1 T. 5. 25, τὰ άλλως ἔχοντα κρυβήναι οὐ δύναται, the works which are not openly manifest, cannot remain concealed: Thucyd. i. 109, τὰ χρήματα ἄλλως ἀναλοῦτο, otherwise than for any good, i. e. in vain:  $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$ s  $\tau e \kappa al$ , both otherwise and so, i. e. especially above all.

äμa, 'at the same time,' strengthens the participle in temporal sentences, and often indicates emphatically an additional circumstance: A. 24. 26, äμa δè καὶ ἐλπίζων: 27. 40, äμa ἀνέντες τàς ζευκτηρίας τῶν πηδαλίων: R. 3. 12, πάντες ἐξέκλιναν, äμa ἠχρειώθησαν: Philem. 22: 1 Th. 4. 17, äμα σὺν αὐτοῖς ἀρπαγησόμεθα ἐν νεφέλαις. Also without σύν: M. 13. 29, μήποτε συλλέγοντες τὰ ζίζάνια ἐκριζώσητε ἅμα αὐτοῖς τὸν σῖτον. Here ἅμα serves to unite very different actions: Il. viii. 64, äμ' οἰμωγή τε καὶ εὐχωλή: Xen. Anab. iii. 1. 47, τῆς ἀγγελίας ἅμα ῥηθείσης ἐβοήθουν, as soon as the tidings came they rushed to give help. All instances of the adverb have the notion of time, though it sometimes involves that of place or quality.

apa, 'still farther,' 'beyond that,' an illative particle marking a transition, or drawing a conclusion, 'therefore;' sometimes as in Homer it merely fixes attention on a word : Il. i. 96, TOUVER' άρ' άλιγε' έδωκεν, 'just on this account:' 56, ὅτι ῥα θνήσκοντας όρατο, 'namely, because :' 93, οὐτ' ἄρ' ὅ γ' εὐχωλης ἐπιμέμφεται, 'neither to say the truth :' M. 18. 1,  $\tau$  is apa  $\mu\epsilon$  if  $\omega\nu$  is  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$  in  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\eta$  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon (a \tau \hat{\omega} v o \dot{v} \rho a v \hat{\omega} v);$  Here  $\tau i s$  conveys the interrogation,  $\tilde{a} \rho a$ refers to a previous discourse on the subject: Mk. 9. 34: H. 4. 9, αρα απολείπεται σαββατισμός τώ λαώ του Θεού. Marking oblique inference: A. 11. 18, άρα γε και τοις έθνεσιν ο Θεός την μετάνοιαν έδωκεν είς ζωήν-. Denoting slight surprise, sudden and unexpected inference: A. 21. 38, our apa où el o Alyúntios, thou art not then as I supposed. In Epic usage apa marks immediate transition and actual connexion; in Attic usage it has a regular illative force in direct conclusions and by way of oblique inference.

Logical conclusion in the apodosis of hypothetical propositions: M. 12. 28, εἰ δὲ ἐγὼ ἐν πνεύματι Θεοῦ ἐκβάλλω τὰ δαιμόνια, ἄρα ἔφθασεν ἐφ΄ ὑμᾶς ἡ βασιλεία τοῦ Θεοῦ: 1 C. 15. 14, εἰ δὲ Χριστὸς οὐκ ἐγήγερται, κενὸν ἄρα τὸ κήρυγμα ἡμῶν: Η. 12. 8, εἰ δὲ χωρὶς ἐστὲ παιδείας, ἄρα νόθοι ἐστέ: G. 5. 11, ἄρα κατήργηται τὸ σκάνδαλον τοῦ σταυροῦ, if it be so then. "ǎpa habet significationem levioris cujusdam ratiocinationis quæ indicat rebus ita comparatis aliquid ita aut esse aut fieri." Klotz.

The weaker ratiocinative force of  $\delta \rho a$  is sometimes supported by the collective power of  $\delta v v$ : G. 6. 10,  $\delta \rho a \ \delta v v \dots \delta \rho v a \zeta \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$  $\tau \delta \ \delta \gamma a \theta \delta v \ \pi \rho \delta s \ \pi \delta v \tau a s$ , accordingly then. -

εἰ with ἄρα marks a result about which some uncertainty is felt = 'si forte :' A. 7. 1, εἶπε δὲ ὁ ἀρχιερεύς· Εἰ ἄρα ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχει ; Mk. 11. 13, ἦλθεν εἰ ἄρα εὐρήσει τὶ ἐν αὐτậ : A. 17. 27, ζητεῖν τὸν Θεόν, εἰ ἄρα γε ψηλαφήσειαν αὐτόν : A. 8. 22, δεήθητι τοῦ Θεοῦ, εἰ ἄρα ἀφεθήσεταί σοι ἡ ἐπίνοια τῆς καρδίας σου.

ἄρα is also used as an interrogative, and is written ἀρα. When ἀρα stands first in the sentence the stress is laid on the verb, when it stands second the stress is laid on the interrogative: ἀρά τις ῥύσεται; will any one save? τ/ς ἄρα ῥύσεται; who is there to save? L. 18. 8, πλην ὁ υἰὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ἐλθὼν ǚρα εὐρήσει την π/στιν ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς; can we infer — ? A. 8. 30, ᾿Αρά γε γινώσκεις ǜ ἀναγινώσκεις; may we infer that thou understandest? expecting the answer in the negative: G. 2. 17, εἰ δὲ ζητοῦντες δικαιωθῆναι ἐν Χριστῷ, εὐρεθῆμεν καὶ αὐτοὶ ἁμαρτωλοί, ǚρα Χριστὸς ἑμαρτίας διάκονος; Μη γένοιτο.

.2

1.

このである ないないのである あいていてき

In some of these instances  $\check{a}\rho a$  occurs in combination with  $\gamma e$ , which is one of the particles employed to invigorate discourse, to give strength and prominence to single ideas. Other particles of the same class are  $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ,  $\delta \eta$ .  $\gamma \epsilon$  repeatedly occurs preceding  $\check{a}\rho a$ , and thus forms the compound  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ .

The force of  $\gamma \epsilon$  is to strengthen the idea of the word to which it is attached. It is found in combination with other particles, and is frequently joined to personal pronouns. Generally it is used in rejoinders and answers, either to confirm or restrict, and in exhortations to render them more impressive. In English ye can only be rendered by laying an emphasis on the word to which it is attached, but frequently it may be translated by certainly, at least, now, yes, quite, very, surely. L. 11. 8, Xéyw ύμιν, εί και ού δώσει αυτώ άναστας δια το είναι αυτού φίλον, διά γε άναίδειαν αὐτοῦ ἐγερθεὶς δώσει αὐτῷ ὅσων χρήζει. Ηστο γε heightens the contrast between the two grounds, friendship and emergency; between the two acts, rising up and raised from sleep: R. 8. 32, by ye toù idlou vioù oùk èdeloato, he surely seeing that he spared not-'quippe qui:' 1 C. 4. 8, καὶ ὄφελόν γε έβασιλεύσατε, ίνα καὶ ἡμεῖς ὑμῖν συμβασιλεύσωμεν, γε marks strong satire, and I quite wish ye were kings, that we too may be kings jointly with you.

So also it is used with adverbs and conjunctions:  $\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\bar{\nu}\nu$  γε, μήτι γε. Thus in L. 24. 21 it increases the tone of despondency: αλλά γε σὺν πᾶσι τούτοις, τρίτην ταύτην ἡμέραν ἄγει σήμερον, ἀφ' οῦ ταῦτα ἐγένετο.  $\Gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  is regularly used in causal sentences, expressing the force of  $\gamma e$ , verily, combined with  $\ddot{\alpha} \rho a$ , therefore, further, nearly the same in signification as  $\gamma o \ddot{v} v$  ( $\gamma e \ o \ddot{v} v$ ):  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  signifies 'the fact is,' in fact,' as the case stands,' having a more extensive meaning than the English for, since it expresses the cause, reason, motive, principle, occasion, inducement, of what has been previously affirmed or implied.

Explanatory of a preceding statement, 'namely,' 'to wit:' M. 1. 18,  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\theta\epsiloni\sigma\eta$ ,  $\gamma\lambda\rho$   $\tau\eta$ ,  $\mu\eta\tau\rho\delta$ ,  $a\nu\tau\sigma\vartheta$ , the  $\gamma\lambda\rho$  refers to the preceding  $o\nu\tau\omega$ , which implies that there was something extraordinary in the manner of Christ's birth: R. 2. 12,  $\delta\sigma\sigma\iota$  $\gamma\lambda\rho$   $\dot{a}\nu\delta\mu\omega$ ,  $\eta\mu\rho\tau\sigma\nu$   $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , in point of fact: Rev. 21. 25, kal oi  $\pi\nu\lambda\omega\nu\epsilon$ ,  $a\nu\tau\eta$ ,  $o\nu$   $\kappa,\tau\lambda$ . The point of fact: Rev. 21. 25, kal oi  $\pi\nu\lambda\omega\nu\epsilon$ ,  $a\nu\tau\eta$ ,  $o\nu$   $\kappa,\tau\lambda$ . The point of fact is not mentioned in the preceding clause.

Introductory of a reason for a statement or an inquiry: Mk. 5. 42, ην γαρ έτων δώδεκα: M. 15. 4, ο γαρ Θεος ένετείλατο λέγων: 24. 7: 1 C. 15. 3, παρέδωκα γαρ ύμῶν ἐν πρώτοις δ καὶ παρέλαβον: J. 4. 44: G. 6. 15: Ja. 4. 14, ποία γαρ ή ζωη ὑμῶν; ἀτμὶς γάρ ἐστιν, κ.τ.λ., a vapour in fact it is.

To introduce the discussion of a proposition: 2 C. 12. 1, καυχασθαι δη οὐ συμφέρει μοι· ἐλεύσομαι γὰρ εἰς ἀπτασίας καὶ ἀποκαλύψεις Κυρίου: L. 12. 57, 58, τί δὲ καὶ ἀφ' ἑαυτῶν οὐ κρίνετε τὸ δίκαιον; ὡς γὰρ ὑπάγεις μετὰ τοῦ ἀντιδίκου σου ἐπ' ἄρχοντα, κ.τ.λ.

To recall attention to a thought already expressed: 1 Th. 2. 20,  $i\mu\epsilon\hat{i}_{S} \gamma\hat{a}\rho \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon \ \dot{\eta} \ \dot{\delta}\delta\xia \ \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \ \kappa al \ \dot{\eta} \ \chi a\rho\dot{a}$ , yes—ye are the glory we desire, ye the joy: R. 5. 7,  $\mu\dot{\delta}li_{S} \gamma\dot{a}\rho \ \dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho \ \delta\iota\kappa alov \tau is$  $<math>\dot{u}\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\tau a\iota$   $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho \ \gamma\dot{a}\rho \ \tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon} \ \dot{a}\gamma a\theta\sigma\hat{\upsilon} \ \tau\dot{a}\chi a \tau is \ \kappa al \ \tau\sigma\lambda\mu\hat{a} \ \dot{a}\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ . The  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$  after  $\mu\dot{\delta}li_{S}$  refers to  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho \ \dot{a}\sigma\epsilon\beta\hat{\omega}\nu \ \dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\theta a\nu\epsilon$  (6). The second  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$  corrects the preceding thought in reference to  $\mu\dot{\delta}li_{S}$ : R. 15. 27,  $\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\dot{\delta}\kappa\eta\sigma a\nu \ \gamma\dot{a}\rho$ ,  $\kappa al \ \dot{\phi}\epsilon\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\tau a\iota \ a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \ \epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota\nu$ , yes they thought good, at the same time their debtors they are: 1 C. 9. 10,  $\dot{\eta} \ \delta\dot{\iota} \ \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{a}s \ \pi\dot{a}\nu\tau\omegas \ \lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota; \ \delta\dot{\iota} \ \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{a}s \ \gamma\dot{a}\rho \ \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\eta$ , or for our sakes in every sense does the law say it? for our sakes, in fact, it was written. Such is its force in answers; the  $\ddot{a}\rho a$ serves to sum up the premisses in which the assertion is made; the  $\gamma\epsilon$  strengthens the assertion : J. 9. 30,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \gamma\dot{a}\rho \ \tau \sigma\dot{\tau}\phi \ \theta a\upsilon\mu a\sigma$  $\tau \acute{o}\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota, \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ : A. 16. 37,  $\kappa al \ \upsilon \nu \nu \lambda \dot{a}\theta\rho a \ \eta\mu\hat{a}s \ \dot{\epsilon}\kappa\beta\dot{a}\lambda\lambda o\upsilon\sigma\iota\nu$ ;  $o\dot{\nu}$  $\gamma \dot{a}\rho$ , no indeed, as the case stands.

Introducing a parenthetical clause : 1 C. 9. 19, έλεύθερος γάρ ων έκ πάντων πασιν έμαυτον έδούλωσα, ίνα τους πλείονας κερδήσω.

With an interrogative  $\gamma \dot{a}\rho$  introduces a remonstrance: A. 19. 35, "Avdres' Expérier,  $\tau i_{S} \gamma \dot{a}\rho \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu \ \ddot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma;$  Ephesians (why this disturbance) for what man—? M. 27. 23,  $\tau i \gamma \dot{a}\rho \ \kappa a\kappa \dot{a}\nu$  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ; (why this demand) for what evil did he? 9. 5,  $\tau i \gamma \dot{a}\rho$  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i\nu \ \epsilon \dot{\nu}\kappa \sigma \pi \dot{\omega}\tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$ ; (why think ye evil) for in what respect is it easier? R. 3. 3,  $\tau i \gamma \dot{a}\rho$ ;  $\epsilon i \ \dot{\eta}\pi i \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \dot{a}\nu \ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$ ; what conclusion then do we draw, in case some disbelieved? J. 7. 41,  $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{a}\rho \ \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \eta s \ \Gamma a \lambda \iota \lambda a las \dot{o} \ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{o}s \ \ddot{\epsilon}\rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ; what! can we suppose the Christ comes out of the Galilee-country?

+

こうちょう いちょういい いちょうち あいのうちょう

1. A.

 $\delta \eta$  is probably shortened from  $\eta \delta \eta$ , and as the weaker form is put after one or more words in a sentence, it usually serves to strengthen or limit the word to which it is attached.  $\delta \eta$ denotes the definiteness and certainty of an expression. We find it with adverbs of place and time, to restrict their indefinite sense to some certain point, like the English just, even, now, only; with interrogative particles and hortatives for stronger emphasis, but, then, well, nay; for a sign of authenticity, of a truth, really, assuredly. Compare ye, περ: πειράσομαι δή καl έγώ σοι ούτως είπειν, well-I also will attempt to speak to thee thus: δεί δή πραότερόν πως ἀποκρίνεσθαι, one must indeed answer somewhat more mildly: τοῦτο ἄρα τῷ ἐλευθέρφ πρέπει καὶ τῷ δικαί $\varphi$  δή, this then befits the free man, and certainly the just: LXX Job 15. 17, & δη έώρακα ἀναγγελώ σοι: Μ. 13. 23,  $\delta_5 \delta \eta$  καρποφορεί: L. 2. 15, διέλθωμεν  $\delta \eta$  έως  $B\eta \theta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu$ : 2 C. 12. 1, καυχασθαι δη ου συμφέρει μοι, to boast assuredly is not for my advantage.

δή indicates an act to be executed without delay: 1 C. 6. 20, δοξάσατε δη τον Θεόν: Α. 15. 36, ἐπιστρέψαντες δη ἐπισκεψώμεθα τους ἀδελφους ήμῶν: 13. 2, ἀφορίσατε δή μοι τον Βαρνάβαν και τον Σαῦλον εἰς το ἕργον δ προσκέκλημαι αὐτούς.

δήπου is equivalent to 'opinor,' I wot and you allow. δή with an assertion gives decision and confidence. που universalizes this decision and confidence, implies the success of an universal appeal for the truth of what is said. (Alford.) δήπου does not occur in LXX. H. 2. 16, οὐ γàρ δήπου ἀγγέλων ἐπιλαμβάνεται. δῆθεν, 'as they said,' is used when the writer states the declarations of other persons, and wishes to remind the reader that he does not vouch for their sincerity, but merely repeats what they themselves professed to be true. (Arnold, Thucyd. i. 92.)

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\dot{i}$ , in case—. (See on  $\ddot{a}\rho a$ , and on Hypothetical Propositions, Chapter VII.) If and  $\epsilon\dot{i}$  have in reality no connexion.

ei is used after verbs expressing mental emotion: Mk. 15. 44, έθαύμασεν ei ήδη τέθνηκε: 1 J. 3. 13, μη θαυμάζετε ἀδελφοί μου ei μισεί ὑμᾶς ὁ κόσμος, in case the world hates you.

Thus we may explain the alleged use of  $\epsilon i$  for  $\epsilon i\theta\epsilon$ : L. 12. 49,  $\kappa a i \tau i \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ ;  $\epsilon i \eta \delta \eta a \nu \eta \phi \theta \eta$ , and what will I? in case it were already kindled I should have my desire.  $\epsilon i$  is used with the optative mood to express a wish, but with past tenses of the indicative to express an impossible wish.  $\epsilon i$  introduces a statement which is hardly credible: A. 26. s,  $\tau i \ a \pi i \sigma \tau o \nu \kappa \rho i \nu \epsilon \tau a \rho i$   $i \mu i \nu \epsilon i \ \delta \Theta \epsilon \delta \gamma \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota$ ; what! is it past belief in your judgment, in case we assert God raises the dead?

As an interrogative particle in a question implying some doubt or uncertainty, an, ne: L. 22. 49, Kúpie, ei πατάξομεν ἐν μαχαίρα; in case we shall strike with the sword will it please you? 13. 23, Κύριε, ei ολίγοι oi σωζόμενοι, tell us whether the saved are few: A. 26. 23, λέγων . . . ei παθητὸς ὁ Χριστός, ei πρῶτος, κ.τ.λ., discussing whether the Christ is to suffer, whether first after rising from the dead he is to announce light to the people and to the Gentiles.

Also where a negative reply is anticipated, num: Mk. 15. 44, επηρώτησαν αὐτὸν εἰ πάλαι ἀπέθανε.

In some cases, as in A. 26. 8. 23,  $\epsilon i$  may be considered as equivalent to  $\delta \tau i$ , especially in H. 7. 15, where  $\delta \tau i$  is in the preceding verse:  $\kappa a i \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o v$   $\epsilon \tau i$   $\kappa a \tau \Delta \delta \eta \lambda \delta v$   $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ ,  $\epsilon i$   $\kappa a \tau \Delta$  $\tau \eta \nu \delta \mu o i \delta \tau \eta \tau a$   $M \epsilon \lambda \chi i \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \kappa \delta \kappa \delta \tau \delta \tau \sigma \sigma a i$   $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu s$ ,  $\epsilon r \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ , and with more abundant evidence still it is manifest, that according to the similitude of Melchizedek there arises a priest of a different line. Mr. Wratislaw refers to Plato as using  $\epsilon i$  for  $\delta \tau i$  after  $\delta \gamma a \nu a \kappa \tau \delta \delta \epsilon i \chi \theta a i$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda o \nu$ : also after  $\delta \gamma a \pi a \kappa \rho$ ,  $a \delta \sigma \chi' \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ , and in Hdt. i. 24, after  $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu \eta \delta \delta \sigma \eta \nu$ .

In oaths and solemn assertions there is an ellipsis of a clause, 'non vivam,' giving to  $\epsilon i$  the force of a negative: I stake my existence upon the truth of what I say. The full form is found in LXX, Ezek. 14. 16,  $\zeta \hat{\omega} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\epsilon i viol \hat{\eta}$   $\theta v \gamma a \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon_s \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma v \tau a \iota$ : Mk. 8. 12,  $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\nu} \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu}$  (où  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta s \epsilon \dot{\iota} \mu \iota$ )  $\epsilon i \delta \delta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon \hat{\eta}$  $\tau a \dot{\tau} \eta \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} o \nu$  (in case): H. 3. 11,  $\dot{\omega} s \check{\omega} \mu \sigma \sigma a \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$  (où

έστιν ἀλήθεια ἐν ἐμοὶ), εἰ εἰσελεύσονται εἰς τὴν κατάπαυσίν μου.

ei with  $\tau$ /s loses its hypothetical force, like 'si quis,' in case any, whosoever, whatever: L. 14. 26, ei  $\tau_{15}$   $\theta$ é $\lambda ei$ : Mk. 4. 23, ei  $\tau_{15}$   $\xi_{\chi ei}$   $\omega \tau_a$   $\alpha \kappa o v ei \nu$ : Ph. 4. 8, ei  $\tau_{15}$   $\alpha \rho e \tau \eta$ : 1 C. 3. 14, ei  $\tau_{1\nu o 5}$   $\tau_{0}$   $\xi_{\rho\gamma o \nu}$   $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i$ : R. 13. 9, ei  $\tau_{15}$   $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a$   $\epsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \eta$ , whatever different precept there is.

el with  $\mu \eta$  introduces an incredible or untenable hypothesis : 2 O. 3. 1, εἰ μη χρήζομεν ὡς τινὲς συστατικῶν ἐπιστολῶν : 13. 5, εἰ μήτι ἀδόκιμοί ἐστε. 1.1

" - Margane

Pullinger Street Street

and the second

- State

CALL STATE

Hence we may explain the alleged use of  $\epsilon i \mu \eta$  for  $\delta \lambda \lambda \delta : L$ . 4. 26,  $\pi \rho \delta s$  où  $\delta \epsilon \mu lav$  aù  $\pi \delta v \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \eta' H \lambda las$ ,  $\epsilon i \mu \eta \epsilon s \Sigma d \rho \epsilon \pi \pi a \tau \eta s$  $\Sigma \iota \delta \delta v o s$   $\pi \rho \delta s$   $\gamma v v a \tilde{\iota} \kappa a \chi \eta \rho a v$ : where by  $\epsilon i \mu \eta$  our Lord rejects the hypothesis, that they would bring forward this case as an exception to his remark : G. 1. 7,  $\delta o \iota \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau v \delta \lambda \lambda o \cdot \epsilon i \mu \eta \tau v v \epsilon s$  $\epsilon i \sigma v o i \tau a \rho d \sigma \sigma \sigma v \tau e s \kappa \tau \cdot \lambda$ ., you cannot apply the name of Gospel to the teaching of such men: G. 2. 16, où  $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \tilde{\tau} \tau a \ell \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma s$  $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \delta \mu o v, \epsilon d u \mu \eta \delta \iota d \pi \ell \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s' I \eta \sigma o \tilde{v} X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \tilde{v}$ . Here we may translate  $\epsilon d u \mu \eta$  literally and simply, ' in case he is not justified.' 2 Th. 2. 3,  $\delta \tau \iota \epsilon d u \mu \eta$   $\delta \lambda \theta \eta$   $\eta$   $\delta \pi \sigma \sigma \tau a \sigma l a \pi \rho \omega \tau o v,$ seeing that (the day will not arrive at all) in case there come not the falling away first.

 $\epsilon i \tau a$  is connected with  $\epsilon i$ , as relative to antecedent, marking succession of time, then, next, Lat. deinde; succession of thought, accordingly, Lat. ita, itaque. Closely connected is  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ , the relative of  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ , marking the sequence of one thing from another, thereupon, immediately afterwards: L. 16. 7,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$  $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \varphi \epsilon l \pi \epsilon$ .

elta strengthens a concessive sentence, and introduces a further consideration: Η. 12. 9, είτα τοὺς μὲν τῆς σαρκὸς ἡμῶν είχομεν παιδευτάς.

έπει, ἐπειδή are causal particles, since, since if so, otherwise, since if otherwise: M. 21. 46, ἐπειδὴ ὡς προφήτην αὐτὸν εἶχον: 18. 32, πᾶσαν τὴν ὀφειλὴν ἐκείνην ἀφῆκά σοι, ἐπεὶ παρεκάλεσάς με: H. 4. 6, ἐπεὶ οῦν ἀπολείπεται . . . at the beginning of the protasis; the apodosis to which commences (9) with ἄρα: H. 9. 17, διαθήκη γὰρ ἐπὶ νεκροῖς βεβala, ἐπεὶ μή ποτε ἰσχύει ὅτε ζῆ ὁ διαθέμενος, an arrangement by will is valid when men ãre dead, otherwise we can never conceive of its having force when he who disposed of the property continues alive: H. 10. 2, ἐπεὶ οῦκ ἀν ἐπαύσαντο προσφερόμεναι; since if otherwise (if these sacrifices could have rendered complete the drawers-nigh), would they not have ceased in being offered?

R. 3. 6,  $\mu \eta$  yévoiro  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$   $\pi \dot{\omega}_{5}$   $\kappa \rho i \nu \epsilon \dot{i}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\tau} \dot{\omega}$   $\kappa \dot{\sigma} \mu \rho \nu$ ; far be the thought; for if so, if there be any force in such an objection, how shall God judge the world? Dr. Vaughan well remarks: "Thus intellectual difficulties in religion are best met by moral axioms. It may sound plausible to say, 'If man's sin contributes ultimately to God's justification, God cannot justly punish it.' But conscience, ever a safer guide than the intellect, echoes the language of revelation, which declares the coming judgment; and that judgment presupposes that sin can be, and will be, justly punished. Let this suffice us. So also in R. 9. 18. The method of Scripture is to state each of two apparently conflicting principles (e. g., God's grace, and man's responsibility) singly and separately, and leave conscience, rather than intellect, to reconcile and adjust them."

 $\eta$ , a disjunctive particle, 'either—or,' like 'vel,' and a comparative, like 'quam.'

In the disjunctive sense,  $\eta$  is sometimes united with τοι: R. 6. 16, δοῦλοί ἐστε ῷ ὑπακούετε, ήτοι ἁμαρτίας εἰς θάνατον, η̂ ὑπακοῆς εἰς δικαιοσύνην;

The positive adjective with ή (quam) is equivalent to the comparative: M. 18. 8, καλόν σοι έστιν είσελθεῖν εἰς τὴν ζωὴν χωλὸν ἡ κολλόν, ἡ δύο χεῖρας ἔχοντα βληθήναι εἰς τὸ πῦρ τὸ aiώνιον.

ή is used after άλλος, έτερος, ' alius—ac :' A. 17. 21, 'Αθηναίοι είς ούδεν έτερον εύκαίρουν, ή λέγειν τι και ακούειν καινότερον.

 $\eta$ , truly, verily, is used to strengthen or confirm an assertion in close combination with other vocables.

η μήν, the usual intensive form of oaths: H. 6. 14, η μην εὐλογῶν εὐλογήσω σε: Æsch. Theb. ὅμνυσιν η μην λαπάξειν ἄστυ.

ήδη, now, already, a temporal particle, marks an action as completed in time past and present : M. 3. 10, ήδη δè καὶ ἡ ἀξίνη πρὸς τὴν ῥίζαν τῶν δένδρων κεῖται : Mk. 8. 2, ἤδη ἡμέρας τρεῖς προσμένουσί μοι : J. 3. 18, ὁ μὴ πιστεύων ἤδη κέκριται.

Also of the immediate future, presently, soon: R. 1. 10,  $\epsilon i \pi \omega \varsigma$  $\eta \delta \eta \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \omega \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$ .  $\eta \delta \eta$  in its primary sense has a local relation, what is near to this place : Hdt. iii. 5,  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau a \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \varsigma \eta \delta \eta$  $A i \gamma \upsilon \pi \tau \sigma \varsigma$ , directly after this is Egypt. Hence what is near

to the present time, calling attention to what is taking place on the spot, and at the moment: Aristoph. Ranze 527, où  $\tau \alpha \chi'$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda' \eta \delta \eta \pi \sigma \iota \omega$ . Both place and time are combined in Mk. 8. 2,  $\eta \delta \eta \eta \dot{\mu} \epsilon \rho a \varsigma \tau \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \varsigma \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \upsilon \sigma \ell \mu \sigma \iota$ : 2 T. 4. 6,  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \delta \eta \sigma \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \sigma \mu a \iota$ .

 $i\nu a$  and  $\delta\pi\omega\varsigma$  are final particles, indicating purpose, 'to the end that,' 'in order that.' They also mark the event or result of an action, that in which the action terminates, so that. In these cases the final sentence approximates to the illative. The eventual conclusion is so prominently contemplated, as to obscure the notion of finality. These senses are termed respectively the *telic* and *ecbatic*. There is also a third sense, partially final, marking the purport of prayer, in which the telic and ecbatio are combined. The telic is the original sense, the other meanings arise from the context.

The object or end designed: J. 5. 34, ταῦτα λέγω Ĩνα ὑμεῖς σωθήτε: Mk. 3. 14, εποίησε δώδεκα ίνα ώσι μετ' αυτοῦ: Μ. 6. 16. άφανίζουσι τὰ πρόσωπα αὐτῶν ὅπως φανῶσι τοῖς ἀνθρώποις νηστεύοντες: Α. 20. 16, έκρινε γάρ ό Παῦλος παραπλεῦσαι την \*Εφεσον δπως μή γένηται αὐτῷ χρονοτριβήσαι ἐν τη 'Ασία: R. 5. 20, νόμος δε παρεισήλθεν ίνα πλεονάση το παράπτωμα, now law comes in by the way for a special purpose-that the transgression may be more clearly displayed. 2 C. 4. 7, Exouev Se τον θησαυρον τουτον έν οστρακίνοις σκεύεσιν, ίνα ή ύπερβολή της δυνάμεως ή του Θεού, 'in order that,' marking God's providential design. Cf. 1 C. 1. 15; Philem. (13): 1 J. 1. 9, πιστός έστι και δίκαιος ίνα άφη ήμιν τας άμαρτίας, He is faithful and just, in order to forgive us our sins. The divine attributes of faithfulness and righteousness are exercised in order to our pardon. God is able to treat sinners as righteous without any impeachment of His own righteousness. In fulfilling the promises of forgiveness through Christ, He establishes His own faithfulness. G. 5. 17, ταῦτα δὲ ἀλλήλοις ἀντίκειται ἴνα μὴ â ἀν  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a \pi a \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ : here the telic force of  $l \nu a$  is to be retained, 'tending to prevent you doing.' There are two opposing principles. The ultimate end of either principle is to prevent man executing what the other principle would lead him to. "To Πνεῦμα impedit vos quo minus perficiatis τὰ τῆς σαρκός, contra ή σάρξ adversatur vobis ubi τὰ τοῦ Πνεύματος peragere studetis." Winer.

There are three instances in which iva occurs in a telic sense

with verbs of the indicative mood: C. 4. 17, *iva airhv*  $\pi\lambda\eta\rho\sigma\hat{i}s$ : G. 4. 17, *iva airois*  $\zeta\eta\lambda\sigma\hat{i}\tau e$ : 1 C. 4. 6, *iva*  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  *els imèp évòs*  $\phi\nu\sigma\iota\sigma\hat{\sigma}\sigma\theta\epsilon$  karà  $\tau\sigma\hat{v}$   $\dot{\tau}\epsilon\dot{r}\rho\sigma\nu$ . But these forms may be considered subjunctive, as all these verbs end in  $-\dot{\sigma}\omega$ . "The subjunctive and optative were both formed by lengthening the vowel of the indicative. Where that lengthening had already taken place, the Greeks remained content with it, and employed the one inflexion for the double purpose. So  $\tau\iota\mu\hat{a}\sigma\theta\epsilon$  stands both for the indicative and the subjunctive." (Q. R. Jan. 1863.) This will explain 1 Th. 4. 13, where many read *iva*  $\mu\dot{\eta}\lambda\nu\pi\epsilon\hat{i}\sigma\theta\epsilon$ . In Tit. 2. 4, Scholz reads *iva*  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\nu\dot{i}\zeta\omega\sigma\iota$ .

In G. 2. 4, the indicative future is probably the correct reading,  $\kappa a \tau a \sigma \kappa o \pi \eta \sigma a \iota \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \rho l a \nu \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \iota \iota \nu a \eta \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$  $\kappa a \tau a \delta o \upsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma o \upsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ : the future conveys the idea of duration more distinctly than would have been done by the aorist. An ecbatic or eventual sense may here be given to  $\iota \nu a$ , 'in which case,' under these circumstances,' analogous to the use of  $\iota \nu a$  by classical writers as an adverb marking place, circumstance:  $\iota \nu a \gamma \eta \varsigma$ , ubi terrarum: Soph. Ajax 386,  $o \upsilon \chi o \rho \eta \varsigma \iota \nu' \epsilon l \kappa a \kappa o \upsilon$ ; seest thou not in what a depth of woe thou art? So  $\delta \pi o \upsilon$ , which is properly an adverb of place, is also used as an illative particle.

It is not easy to state the exact difference between  $\delta \pi \omega_s$  and *Tva* in this usage. It may be that the relatival compound  $\delta \pi \omega_S$ involves reference to manner, while lua retains some tinge of its primary reference to locality. "The real practical differences are that  $\delta \pi \omega_s$  has often more of an eventual aspect, and is used with the future, and occasionally associated with av-both which constructions are inadmissible with the final lva." (Ellicott, 2 Th. 1. 12.)  $\delta \pi \omega s$  is not only a final conjunction. denoting end or purpose, but is also a simple conjunction, correlative to  $\pi \hat{\omega}_s$ , denoting the way or manner. In Latin, ut is used relikôs and eksarikôs, both to express a design and result. The later Greek writers frequently violated the distinction between "va and wore from confusion of thought. Dr. Arnold well remarks (Thuc. i. 72): "opâre önws is 'videte quâ ratione,' and in this sense the indicative future is more usual and more reasonable than the subjunctive aorist. The alleged differences between the future and aorist are so fine that common language cannot be expected always to distinguish between them; nor can we say with confidence which of the two

ĸ

the context most requires, even if we could be sure that the author was aware of the distinction and meant to observe it."

The following are generally referred to the echatic or eventual sense, as marking the event, result, consequence: M. 1. 22, τοῦτο δὲ ὅλον γέγονεν Γνα πληρωθη τὸ ῥηθέν κ.τ.λ.: Mk. 11. 28, τίς σοι την έξουσίαν ταύτην έδωκεν ίνα ταῦτα ποιής; J. 5. 20, μείζονα τούτων δείξει αὐτῷ ἔργα, ἴνα ὑμεῖς θαυμάζητε : L. 16. 26, χάσμα μέγα έστήρικται όπως οι θέλοντες διαβήναι έντεῦθεν προς ύμας μη δύνωνται: Α. 3. 19, μετανοήσατε ουν και επιστρέψατε eis τὸ ἐξαλειφθήναι ὑμών τὰς ἁμαρτίας, ὅπως ἁν ἔλθωσι καιροί åraψύξεως. In L. 16. 28, ὅπως may have the telic sense, 'in order that.' In A. 3. 19,  $\delta\pi\omega_S$  in the eventual sense may be rendered, 'with the effect that,' 'so that this being the case.' But it will be better to connect peravonjoare with eis ro έξαλειφθήναι κ.τ.λ., and επιστρέψατε with ὅπως αν ἕλθωσι, 'in order that.' Thus the force of av will be to mark that the further spiritual blessings implied in kaipol avay úžews are contingent on the reality of the change denoted by peravociv and έπιστρέφειν. Μ. 2. 15, ίνα πληρωθή το ρηθέν . . . έξ Αιγύπτου έκάλεσα τον υίόν μου. The passage in Hosea (11. 1) refers historically to the deliverance of Israel from the land of bondage. But from the typical connexion between Christ and Israel, the record of the past was regarded as prophetically indicative of something under the Gospel. "The Scripture fulfilled was prophetical simply because the circumstance it --recorded was typical." (Fairbairn's Typology, i. 140.)--

*ľva* and δπως combine the telic and echatic sense when they describe the subject and object of prayer: 2 Th. 1. 11, προσευχόμεθα πάντοτε περί ὑμῶν *ľva* ὑμῶς ἀξιώσῃ τῆς κλήσεως ὁ Θεὸς ἡμῶν: Ε. 1. 16, 17, μνείαν ὑμῶν ποιούμενος ἐπὶ τῶν προσευχῶν μου, *Ĩva* ὁ Θεὸς . . . δώη ὑμῶν πνεῦμα σοφίας καὶ ἀποκαλύψεως: M. 9. 38, δεήθητε οὖν τοῦ κυρίου τοῦ θερισμοῦ ὅπως ἐκβάλῃ ἐργάτας εἰς τὸν θερισμὸν αὐτοῦ. *Ĩva* marks the subject of the prayer blended with the purpose of making it in Mk. 5. 18; 7. 26; 8. 22; 14. 35; L. 8. 31; 1 C. 1. 10; 16. 12; 2 C. 9. 5; Ph. 1. 9. So ὅπως, A. 25. 3, παρεκάλουν αὐτὸν . . . ὅπως μεταπέμψηται αὐτόν. But in 2 Th. 1. 12, ὅπως ἐνδοξάσθῃ τὸ ὄνομα κ.τ.λ., in order that the name may be glorified.

After verbs of telling, commanding, *ïva* is used in the New. Testament where one might expect στι, ώστε: M. 4. 3, εἰπὲ *ïva* οἱ λίθοι οὖτοι ἄρτοι γένωνται: 8. 8, οὐκ εἰμὶ ἰκανὸς *『να"* μου ὑπὸ την στέγην εἰσέλθης: L. 1. 43, πόθεν μοι τοῦτο, ἵνα ἕλθη ἡ μήτηρ τοῦ Κυρίου πρός με: J. 2. 25, οὐ χρείαν εἶχεν, ἕνα τις μαρτυρήση περὶ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου.

Sometimes the principal verb is omitted: E. 5. 33, ή δὲ γυνὴ ἕνα φοβῆται τὸν ἄνδρα, I command that, or, let the wife see that —. Sometimes ἕνα is simply exceptical: J. 17. 3, αὕτη δέ ἐστιν ἡ αἰώνιος ζωή, ἕνα γινώσκωσί σε.

The notion of finality seems lost in the eventual sense in 1 Th. 5. 4, οὐκ ἐστὲ ἐν σκότει, ἴνα ἡ ἡμέρα ὑμâς ὡς κλέπτης καταλάβη: J. 12. 23, ἐλήλυθεν ἡ ὥρα ἴνα δοξασθῆ ὁ υἰος τοῦ ἀνθρώπου: 16. 2, ἀλλ' ἔρχεται ὥρα ἵνα πâς ὁ ἀποκτείνας ὑμâς δόξη λατρείαν προσφέρειν τῷ Θεῷ.

Some, however, would retain the telic force of iva in the foregoing passages. Thus 1 Th. 5. 4, may be explained, 'Ye are not in the element or region of darkness—darkness, not only of the mind and understanding (E. 4. 18), but of the heart and will (1 J. 2. 9), in order that the day should surprise you as a thief.' Thus the passage is not merely a statement of result, but of the *purpose* contemplated by God in His merciful dispensation, implied in  $oix \, eore \, iv$  oxist.

#### THE EXTERNAL CONNEXION OF PROPOSITIONS.

Among the particles which are employed for the external connexion of propositions *kai* and  $\tau e$  unite, while  $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\delta \epsilon$  oppose the parts one to another.

In the union of the members of a proposition, either several subjects are assigned as belonging to certain predicates, or several predicates are enumerated as belonging to one subject.

The distinction between the copulatives  $\kappa a t$  and the enclitic  $\tau e$  is not clearly marked. But it may be said that  $\kappa a t$  unites ideas which follow directly and necessarily from what precedes, while  $\tau e$  annexes something which does not thus directly and necessarily follow. " $\kappa a t$  conjungit,  $\tau e$  adnectit.  $\tau e$  non copulat sed lenius affirmat quam  $\tau o t$ , unde natum est." (Herm.)

Practically there is no great difference between them,  $\kappa al$  and  $\tau \epsilon$  singly indicate mere addition;  $\tau \epsilon \kappa al$  join the two statements or objects so closely together that they may almost be considered as one. The first clause with  $\tau \epsilon$  is often less emphatic than that which has  $\kappa al$ . Thus we have  $\tau a \tau \epsilon \ a \lambda \lambda a \ \kappa a l \ a \ \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \ \tau \epsilon \ \kappa a l \ a \ \& s \ \delta c$ .

The usages of kai combine the usages of et, etiam in Latin.

к 2

Adjunctive: Mk. 1, 4, βαπτίζων ἐν τη ἐρήμφ καὶ κηρύσσων: Ε. 1. 21, ὑπεράνω πάσης ἀρχῆς, κ. ἐξουσίας, κ. δυνάμεως κ. κυριότητος, καὶ παντὸς ὀνόματος, 'and indeed,' 'and in a word,' where a general term is appended to foregoing details.

Consecutive: M. 15. 6, καὶ ἠκυρώσατε τὴν ἐντολὴν τοῦ Θεοῦ διὰ τὴν παράδοσιν ὑμῶν, 'and so,' 'and then :' M. 23. 32: L. 6. 37; 18. 26: 2 Th. 2. 3, καὶ ἀποκαλυφθῇ ὁ ἄνθρωπος τῆς ἁμαρτίας.

Epexegetic, explanatory of a previous word or clause: L. 3. 20, προσέθηκε καὶ τοῦτο ἐπὶ πᾶσι, καὶ κατέκλεισε τὸν Ἰωάννην ἐν τῆ ψυλακῆ: 1 T. 2. 4, δς πάντας ἀνθρώπους θέλει σωθῆναι καὶ εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας ἐλθεῖν: G. 2. 20, τοῦ ἀγαπήσαντός με καὶ παραδόντος ἑαυτὸν ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ, and as a proof of love: 1 C. 8. 12, οὕτω δὲ ἀμαρτάνοντες εἰς τοὺς ἀδελφούς, καὶ τύπτοντες αὐτῶν τὴν συνείδησιν ἀσθενοῦσαν. In 1 T. 3. 7, Γνα μὴ εἰς ὀνειδισμὸν ἐμπέσῃ, καὶ παγίδα τοῦ διαβόλου, καί is adjunctive rather than epexegetic, marking the temptations that will be sure to follow the loss of character, "quid spei restat ubi nullus est peccandi pudor ?" Calvin.

Adversative, marking comparison or contrast, used in expressions of similarity and identity, ac, atque: Thuc. ii. 60, ό γνοὺς καὶ μὴ σαφῶς διδάξας ἐν ἴσῷ καὶ εἰ μὴ ἐνεθυμήθη, he who knows, supposing he gives no clear information, is in the same position as if he never had the thought: M. 11. 19, ἰδοὺ τελωνῶν φίλος καὶ ἀμαρτωλῶν καὶ ἐδικαιώθη ἡ σοφία ἀπὸ τῶν τέκνων αὐτῆς: R. 1. 13, πολλάκις προεθέμην ἐλθεῖν πρὸς ὑμᾶς, καὶ ἐκωλύθην ἄχρι τοῦ δεῦρο. In 1 C. 12. 4—6 καί, δέ are used alternatively: 1 Th. 2. 18, διὸ ἠθελήσαμεν ἐλθεῖν πρὸς ὑμᾶς... καὶ ἐνέκοψεν ἡμᾶς ὁ Σατανᾶς: 2 Th. 3. 14, 15, μὴ συναναμίγνυσθε aὐτῷ ἵνα ἐντραπῇ καὶ μὴ ὡς ἐχθρὸν ἡγεῖσθε.

Denoting emphasis: Eurip. Hippol. 1171,  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$  kal  $\delta i \hat{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau$  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ ; say, how did he die ? Thucyd. ii. 87,  $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau i s$   $\check{a} \rho a$  kal  $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ , but if any one should choose to behave so; where Dr. Arnold remarks: "The force of the kai here, and in other similar passages, is given in English by an emphasis on the auxiliary verb." 2 C. 3. 6,  $\delta s$  kal  $i \kappa \dot{a} \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$   $\delta i a \kappa \dot{o} \nu \nu \nu s$ kai  $\nu \eta s$   $\delta i a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta s$ , who did qualify us to be ministers of the new covenant: G. 6. 1,  $\dot{\epsilon} a \nu \kappa a \lambda \pi \rho o \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \ddot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau i \nu \lambda$  $\pi a \rho a \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau i$ , if ever a man be surprised in any transgression.

Adverbial of time: Mk. 15. 25, ην δε ώρα τρίτη καί εσταύρωσαν αὐτόν: L. 7. 12, ὡς δε ήγγισε τῆ πύλη τῆς πόλεως, καὶ ἰδοὺ εξεκομίζετο τεθνηκώς: A. 22. 22, ἤκουον δε αὐτοῦ ἄχρι τούτου τοῦ λόγου καὶ ἐπῆραν τὴν φωνὴν αὐτῶν: 1 T. 4. 10, καὶ κοπιῶμεν καὶ ὀνειδιζόμεθα, we both labour and are the objects of reproach, 'as well the one as the other;' both parts are simultaneously presented in one predication;  $\tau \epsilon - \kappa a i$  would mean, 'where shame, there toil.'

καί is inserted in Greek after πολύς, which is considered as a substantival word: πολλά καὶ δεινά, many fearful events: Tit. 1. 10, πολλοὶ καὶ ἀνυπότακτοι ματαιόλογοι, many unruly vaintalkers: A. 25. 7, πολλὰ καὶ βαρέα αἰτιάματα φέροντες, bringing many heavy charges.

 $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ ,  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau\epsilon$ , are often mere notices that the speaker had something else to say, some additional fact or thought to communicate. On this principle  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau \epsilon$  are sometimes used in the apodosis of a sentence, and are equivalent to eira. But with reference to these subtle uses Dr. Arnold has well remarked (Thuc. i. 133): "The errors of etymology committed by very eminent men in past times from a want of sufficient knowledge should make us suspect that we too may fall into the same snare, if while we are really making progress we overrate that progress as compared with what remains to be accomplished, and think that the very sanctuary of the mysteries of language is already on the point of being opened to us. I cannot think that we are yet in a condition to understand the process by which language was formed, if indeed it ever was formed and not rather given, and to explain the nature of its very simplest elements. And I am quite certain that what has hitherto been attempted in this way, although as all such attempts do, it contains in it much that is valuable, and will aid our further researches, has yet failed of attaining its object." Though this was written twenty-four years ago with reference to some long dissertations on the particle  $\tau \epsilon$  in the New Cratylus, the above remarks supply a caution applicable to the labours of all / modern philologists.

Opposition between the different members of a proposition is marked by  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\nu$ , the first thing (the old neuter of  $\mu \epsilon \hat{\iota}s$ ,  $\mu la$ ,  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ), and  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  a short form of  $\delta \dot{\nu}o$ , the second thing.

μέν is generally followed by δέ when a mutual relation between two propositions is indicated: M. 3. 11, ἐγὼ μὲν βαπτίζω κ.τ.λ. ὁ δὲ ὀπίσω μου ἐρχόμενος. Frequently there is no corresponding δέ, as in A. 1. 1, or the adversative sentence may be mentally supplied: A. 19. 4; 26. 4: R. 1. 8. Instead of δέ

an equivalent particle is sometimes used after  $\mu \epsilon \nu$ . Thus *kal*, L. 8. 6:  $\tau \epsilon$ , A. 13. 4:  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ , Ja. 3. 17.

When  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  stands by itself without any corresponding  $\delta \epsilon$ , the latter or some equivalent is virtually implied, and  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  looks forward to the completion of the sentence, just as  $o \nu \nu$  looks back to what has been already said.

 $\delta \epsilon$  is adversative: 1 C. 11. 17: R. 6. 17, 18. In some cases it is simply transitional, marking the introduction of a fresh subject, 1 C. 8. 1: in other cases it is resumptive, 2 C. 6. 1: and in negative sentences has the conjunctive force which attaches to *kal* in affirmative sentences.

The formula  $\kappa a - \delta \epsilon$  is like the Latin 'et-vero,' 'et-autem :' 1 T. 3. 10, καὶ οὐτοι δὲ δοκιμαζέσθωσαν . . .: 2 T. 3. 12, και πάντες δε οι θέλοντες, κ.τ.λ.: Α. 3. 24, και πάντες δε οι προφήται: R. 11. 23, κάκεινοι δέ. While each particle retains its proper force, both together often have 'notionis quandam consociationem.' Thus while *kai* connects or enhances, and bé contrasts, the union of the two frequently causes dé to revert from its more marked to its primary and less marked oppositive force, 'in the second place,' so that the whole formula has more of an adjunctive character, and only retains enough of a retrospective opposition to define more sharply, expand, or strengthen, the tenor of the preceding words. ' kai conjungit,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  intendit.' The true rationale of the construction is best seen when  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  is found in the preceding clause, as in A. 3. 22, followed by *kal*  $\pi d\nu \tau \epsilon_{S} \delta \epsilon$  (24). The formula may be translated and-also, and-too. The form repeatedly occurs, especially in St. Luke and St. John. In L. 10. 8 the true reading is kal eis ην δ' αν πόλιν: J. 6. 51, και ό άρτος δέ. (Ellicott on 1 T. 3. 10.) 1 T. 6. 8, έχοντες δε διατροφάς και σκεπάσματα τούτοις άρκεσθη- $\sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ . In the preceding verse the Apostle said, 'we brought nothing into the world, &c.,' the Sé points to a suppressed

thought which is suggested by oùde exercise  $\tau \iota \delta uv \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ , viz., it is true that we must have something while we are in this world, but if we have, exortes  $\delta \ell \kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . Thus the adversative force of the particle is preserved, 'aliquid in mente habet ad quod respiciens oppositionem infert.' (Klotz.) Tit. 1. 1,  $\delta o \hat{u} \lambda o s$  $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{u} a \pi \delta \sigma \tau o \lambda o s$  information in fert.' (Klotz.) Tit. 1. 1,  $\delta o \hat{u} \lambda o s$  $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{u} a \pi \delta \sigma \tau o \lambda o s$  is inguishes and specifies the subject by the action of another relation in which it stood to another genitive: 2 Tim. 2. 5,  $\epsilon d u \delta \epsilon \kappa a \hat{u} d \theta \lambda \hat{y} \tau s$ ,  $\delta \epsilon$  introduces a new image in the second place,  $\kappa a \ell$  consecutive pointing to the previous image of the soldier.

 $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho$ , 'in case that,' 'if indeed,' 'if at all,' assuming the proposition as true, whether justly or not.  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  like  $\gamma \epsilon$  is an enclitic intimately allied to  $\gamma \epsilon$  in signification, denoting comprehension or inclusion.  $\pi\epsilon\rho$  frequently is combined with relative pronouns, with temporal, causal, and conditional particles, to confirm their signification. Like ye it imparts emphasis, and may be rendered by very, even; with a participle it may be rendered although: λέγει  $a\pi \epsilon \rho$  λέγει δίκαια πάντα, he says all whatever he does say justly : μήτε συ τόνδ' ἀγαθός περ ἐων ἀποαίρεο κούρην, neither do thou, however brave thou art, take away the damsel from this one: εύθύς πορεύεται πρός του Κύρου ήπερ είχεν, immediately he proceeds to Cyrus just as he was: R. 8. 9, einep πνεῦμα Θεοῦ οἰκεῖ ἐν ὑμῖν: 1 C. 8. 5, καὶ γὰρ εἴπερ εἰσὶ λεγόμενοι θεοί: 15. 15, είπερ άρα νεκροί οὐκ ἐγείρονται: 1 Ρ. 2. 3. είπερ εγεύσασθε ότι χρηστός ο Κύριος. είγε, 'si quidem,' must not be confounded with einep, 'si omnino.' "einep usurpatur de re quæ esse sumitur " (Hermann) : 2 Th. 1. 6, είπερ δίκαιον, regards as an assumption what is really felt to be a certain and recognized verity.  $\kappa a i \pi \epsilon \rho$ , concessive, is often followed by a participle, although : Η. 7. 5, τούς άδελφούς αυτών καίπερ έξεληλυθότας έκ τής οσφύος 'Αβραάμ: 5. 8: 12. 17, μετανοίας γαρ τύπον ούχ εύρε καίπερ μετά δακρύων ἐκζητήσας αὐτήν: 2 Ρ. 1. 12, καίπερ eidóras. See Ph. 3. 4. καθάπερ, 'even as,' just as,' where καθά marks the comparison,  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  the extent of the application : 1 Th. 2. 11, καθάπερ οίδατε: H. 4. 2; 5. 4.

ναί, ' yes,' is used in affirmations or affirmative answers : M. 9. 28, πιστεύετε ὅτι δύναμαι τοῦτο ποιῆσαι ; λέγουσιν αὐτῷ· Ναί, Κύριε : L. 7. 26, ναί, λέγω ὑμῖν καὶ περισσότερον προφήτου : Rev. 22. 20, ναί, ἕρχομαι ταχύ (ναί, ἕρχου, Κύριε).

With the article  $\tau \phi$ , val, the word 'yea:' 2 C. 1. 17, *iva*  $\eta$   $\pi a \rho$ '  $\ell \mu o \lambda$   $\tau \delta$  val, val'  $\kappa a \lambda$   $\tau \delta$   $o \nu$ ,  $o \nu$ .

νῦν, νῦν δή, νυνί, 'now,' a particle of time ; the enclitic form  $\nu v \nu$  is a particle of inference.

Spoken of the actual present: J. 19. 27, νῦν ἡ ψυχή μου τετάρακται. Of time just past: A. 7. 52, (τοῦ δικαίου) οῦ νῦν ὑμεῖς προδόται καὶ φονεῖς γεγένησθε. Of time future, 'just at hand,' 'even now,' 'presently:' J. 12. 31, νῦν ὁ ἄρχων τοῦ κόσμου τούτου ἐκβληθήσεται ἔξω.

As a particle of transition marking a conclusion or inference, like  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$  enclitic in earlier Greek, 'now then:' A. 12. 11,  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oida  $d\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}_{S}$ : 22. 16, sai  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau i \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon_{iS}$ ;

Enforcing an exhortation : Ja. 5. 1, άγε νῦν οί πλούσιοι : Α. 7. 34, καλ νῦν δεῦρο ἀποστελῶ σε εἰς Αἴγυπτον.

όμως, 'at the same time,' 'nevertheless,' strengthens a concessive sentence: G. S. 15, όμως άνθρώπου κεκυρωμένην διαθήκην ούδεις άθετε $\hat{a}$ .

όμῶς, 'equally,' 'in like manner,' is a synonym of όμοίως: 1 Ο. 14. 7, όμῶς τὰ ἄψυχα φωνην διδόντα: J. 12. 42, όμῶς μέν του καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἀρχόντων πολλοὶ ἐπίστευσαν εἰς αὐτόν.

öπου is properly an adverb of place, answering to ἐκεῖ as its antecedent, but is used as an illative particle referring to an existing fact: 1 C. 3. 3, ὅπου γὰρ ἐν ὑμῖν ζῆλος καὶ ἔρις καὶ διχοστασίαι, οὐχὶ σαρκικοί ἐστε; 2 P. 2. 11, ὅπου ἄγγελοι... οὐ φέρουσι κατ ἀὐτῶν παρὰ Κυρίου βλάσφημον κρίσιν. Cf. Thucyd. viii. 96, ὅπου τοσαύτη ἡ ξυμφορὰ ἐπεγεγένητο, πῶς οὐκ εἰκότως ἠθύμουν;

öπως is an adverb of manner answering to πῶς; used as a particle of comparison with οῦτως, ὡδε, as its antecedent, how, in what way: with superlatives ὅπως τάχιστα, 'quam celerrime,' 'as quickly as possible;' as the correlative of πῶς in oblique interrogations: L. 24. 20, ὅπως τε παρέδωκαν αὐτὸν οἰ ἀρχιερεῖς, where ὅπως continues the answer to ποῖα (19): Æsch. Prom., οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως ὑμῦν ἀπιστῆσαί με χρή.

For the use of  $\delta\pi\omega$ s as a final particle see  $l\nu a$ , p. 128.

The most common particles of time are ὅτε, ὅταν, ὁπότε, ὅπάναν, ὁπήνικα.

öτε is regularly used with the indicative as relating to an actual event, usually of time past, but sometimes of the future, used once with subjunctive aorist: L. 13. 35, έως  $Å\nu$   $\eta\xi\eta$ , ότε είπητε.

örav has the accessary idea of uncertainty, probability, whensoever, so often as; used regularly with the subjunctive; once with the imperfect in narrating events which occurred repeatedly: Mk. 3. 11, καὶ πνεύματα τὰ ἀκάθαρτα ὅταν αὐτὸν ἐθεώρει.

 $\ddot{o}\tau\iota$ , 'that,' is used in objective sentences as an equivalent for the accusative with the infinitive, and as a particle of explanation, 'because,' 'inasmuch as,' 'seeing that.'

'Formula loquentis' after λέγειν : Mk. 8. 16, διελογίζοντο πρὸς  $\dot{a}$ λλήλους λέγοντες Ότι ἄρτους οὐκ ἐχομεν. Compare in English, 'I affirm him to be,' and, 'I affirm that he is.'

Alleging a reason or proof: M. 16. 17, maxápios el, Zímon Βάρ Ιωνά, ὅτι σάρξ καὶ αίμα οὐκ ἀπεκάλυψέ σοι: L. 7. 47, ού χάριν, λέγω σοι, 'Αφέωνται αι άμαρτίαι αὐτῆς αι πολλαί, ὅτι ήγάπησε πολύ. ὅτι here introduces the proof: 'her sins, her many sins, have been, and are remitted; of this you have proof, seeing that she loves much :' E. 5. 16, ¿ξαγοραζόμενοι τὸν καιρὸν ότι al ήμέραι πονηραί είσι, seeing that : R. 8. 29, ότι ούς προέγνω καί προώρισε συμμόρφους της είκόνος του Υίου αυτου, inasmuch as; this may be called the sub-causal, or secondary causal use of ότι. 1 T. 1. 12, 13, καὶ χάριν ἔχω τῷ ἐνδυναμώσαντί με Χρ. Ί. τ. Κυρίω ήμων, ότι πιστόν με ήγήσατο θέμενος είς διακονίαν .... άλλ' ήλεήθην ότι άγνοων εποίησα εν άπιστία. This, perhaps, should be rendered, "And I thank Christ Jesus our Lord, who gives me strength to fulfil the ministry, seeing that He put me into it, esteeming me faithful . . . but I had meroy shown me, because I knew not what I did in my state of unbelief." The participles, θέμενος, ἀγνοῶν, present the principal ideas to which the verbs in the indicative mood are merely accessary.

In H. 8. 9, 10, the considerations which explain  $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu \kappa a \iota \nu \eta \nu$  are introduced by  $\delta \tau \iota$ :  $\delta \tau \iota$   $\delta \iota \kappa$   $\ell \nu \ell \mu \epsilon \iota \nu a \nu$   $\tau \eta$   $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \kappa \eta \mu \sigma \nu$ , seeing that they failed to abide in my covenant:  $\delta \tau \iota$   $a \tilde{\nu} \tau \eta$   $\eta$   $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \kappa \eta \kappa \tau . \lambda$ ., now, I say, for this is the covenant, &c. : 2 Th. 2. 13,  $\delta \tau \iota$   $\epsilon \ell \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma$   $\ell \mu a \delta \sigma$   $\delta \Theta \epsilon \delta \delta$ , expositive sentence stating the matter or grounds.

Referring to known facts as confirmatory of a preceding assertion: 1 Th. 1. 5, ὅτι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ἡμῶν οὐκ ἐγενήθη εἰς ὑμᾶς ἐν λόγῷ μόνον κ.τ.λ.: 2. 13, ἡμεῖς εὐχαριστοῦμεν τῷ Θεῷ ἀδιαλείπτως ὅτι παραλαβόντες λόγον ἀκοῆς κ.τ.λ.: objective sentence, defining the matter and grounds of the εὐχαριστία.

ότι is used with forms of solemn asseveration : R. 14. 11, ζω έγώ, λέγει Κύριος, ὅτι ἐμοὶ κάμψει πῶν γόνῦ : 2 C. 1. 18, πιστὸς δὲ ὁ Θεὸς ὅτι ὁ λόγος ἡμῶν ὁ πρὸς ὑμῶς οὐκ ἐγένετο ναὶ καὶ οὕ:

11. 10: G. 1. 20, ὅτι οὐ ψεύδομαι, I testify that I lie not: . compare A. 10. 42, διαμαρτύρασθαι ὅτι.

ούχ ὅτι conveys a limitation of a previous statement: J. 6. 46, ούχ ὅτι τὸν πατέρα τις ἑώρακεν: 7. 22: 2 C. 1. 23, 24, τὸν Θεὸν ἐπικαλοῦμαι, ὅτι φειδόμενος ὑμῶν οὐκἑτι ἦλθον εἰς Κόρινθον οὐχ ὅτι κυριεύομεν ὑμῶν τῆς πίστεως, not thereby implying that: 3. 5, οὐχ ὅτι ἰκανοί ἐσμεν λογίσασθαί τι ἀφ' ἑαυτῶν, not as if deeming ourselves competent to form any conclusion of ourselves: 2 Th. 3. 9, οὐχ ὅτι οὐκ ἔχομεν ἐξουσίαν.

Between the merely objective and the strictly causal force of  $\delta\tau\iota$ , it is not only very difficult to decide, but in several passages (e. g., R. 8. 21) exceptical considerations of some moment will be found to depend on the decision. (Ellicott on 2 Th. 1. 3.) See 1 Tim. 4. 4, 5, where  $\delta\tau\iota$ , the objective, is followed by  $\gamma \dot{\alpha}\rho$ , the subjective causal particle. Dean Alford remarks: " $\delta\tau\iota$  introduces that which rests on a patent fact, as here on a Scripture quotation;  $\gamma \dot{\alpha}\rho$  introduces that which is in the writer's mind, and forms part of his own reasoning."

### NEGATIVE PARTICLES.

The two simple negative particles are,  $o\dot{v}$ ,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ .  $o\dot{v}$  conveys a direct and absolute denial;  $\mu \eta$  conveys a subjective and conditional denial. In a conditional proposition,  $\mu \eta$  belongs to the protasis, où to the apodosis.  $\mu\eta$  negatives a supposition; it prohibits or forbids. où negatives an affirmation, affirming that it is not so. où is used when an object is regarded independently in itself;  $\mu\eta$ , when it is regarded as depending on some thought, wish, purpose. "où negat rem ipsam;  $\mu\eta$ , cogitationem rei." où implies non-existence simply; but  $\mu \eta$  implies non-existence, when existence was probable or possible. où is negative;  $\mu \eta$  is privative." We may render  $\mu \eta$  by 'except,' 'without,' 'omitting,' 'abstaining from,' 'avoiding;' in every instance including the idea, that what is said not to exist, might have existed. Tous  $\mu\eta$  πιστεύσαντας απώλεσεν means, those who, having the opportunity, refused to believe. A. 9. 9,  $\eta \nu$ ήμέρας τρείς μή βλέπων, και ούκ έφαγεν οὐδὲ ἔπιεν. οὐ βλέπων would simply mean that he was blind.  $\mu\dot{\eta} \beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega\nu$ , without sight, one in whom the faculty of sight was suspended for a season. "οὐκ ἐậν simpliciter est prohibere ; μη ἐậν autem dicitur quum quem credas siturum non sinit." 1 J. 5. 16. auaptávorta άμαρτίαν μὴ πρὸς θάνατον, which he conceives to be not unto death: 17, absolutely, έστιν άμαρτία οὐ πρὸς θάνατον.

When the negation is confined to a single word, the direct opposite is affirmed, as or  $\phi\eta\mu\mu$ , I deny:  $\dot{\eta}$  or  $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\lambda\nu\sigma\iota$ s, the non-dissolution:  $\partial\dot{\chi}$   $\ddot{\eta}\kappa\iota\sigma\tau a$ , especially:  $\partial\dot{\chi}$   $\dot{\nu}\pi\iota\sigma\chi\nu\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu a$ , I refuse. If the single word refers to something dependent on the thought or mental idea, then  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is used: Thuc. i. 22,  $\tau\dot{\partial}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  $\mu\nu\theta\hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon_{s}$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ , 'their want of fables,' in the reader's estimation.

From this privative power of où we may account for its occurrence after  $\epsilon i$ , as in 1 C. 7. 8. Here où coalesces with the verb so as to form a single and opposite idea, or imparts a direct and absolute negation to the entire period: M. 26. 24,  $\epsilon i$ où  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\eta\theta\eta$ , if he were unborn: 42,  $\epsilon i$  où  $\delta \dot{\nu}\nu a\tau a\iota$ , if it is impossible: J. 5. 47,  $\epsilon i$  où  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ , if ye disbelieve: 1 C. 9. 2,  $\epsilon i$  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda \iota \iota s$  où  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\mu \dot{a}\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\tau \lambda \delta \iota s$ , if as regards others I am no apostle: 15. 13,  $\epsilon i$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{a}\nu a\sigma\tau a\sigma\iota s$   $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$  où  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \iota \nu$ , but if the resurrection of the dead is a nullity.

The privative or reversive power of the negative prevails in Latin and English, 'nego (ne aio),' means, 'I say no.' The Lord will not hold him guiltless = the Lord will hold him guilty. So 'a thing of nought,' lit. a non-thing (no-whit, nought), not merely a thing valueless, but a *non-thing*, that has no existence at all, as nothing has any substantial existence out of God. (Dr. Pusey on Amos 6. 13.)

 $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is often used with the participle to introduce delicate modifications of meaning: 1 C. 9. 21,  $\dot{\mu}\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\ddot{a}\nu\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma$   $\Theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}$ , being not in my opinion without law as regards God: 2 C. 5. 21,  $\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu$ 

μη γνόντα ἀμαρτίαν, in God's judgment: G. 4. 8, τοῖς μη φύσει ουσιν θεοῖς, 'si qui haudquaquam naturâ, sed ex hominum opinione tantum dii sunt.' But if we read τοῖς φύσει οὐκ οὖσιν θεοῖς, then we have an unconditional denial of their being gods at all: 1 Th. 4. 5, τὰ ἔθνη τὰ μη εἰδότα τὸν Θεόν, being so regarded by the writer. Thus R. 2. 14, οὖτοι νόμον μη ἔχοντες: 2 Th. 1. 8: A. 9. 26, πάντες ἐφοβοῦντο αὐτὸν μη πιστεύοντες ὅτι ἐστὶν μαθητής, since they did not believe, though they might have learnt the reality of the matter: 1 T. 1. 7, θέλοντες είναι νομοδιδάσκαλοι, μη νοοῦντες κ.τ.λ. 'though they understand not;' the participle has a slight antithetical, or perhaps even concessive force. See other examples in Chapter VII.

In a question, où implies that an answer is expected in the affirmative : où  $\chi$  öb'  $\eta\nu$   $\delta$   $\delta\rho\omega\nu$   $\tau\dot{a}\delta\epsilon$ , was it not he who did this?  $\mu\eta$  forbids or negatives an assumption, anticipating a reply in the negative :  $\dot{a}\rho a \mu\eta$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$   $\dot{a}\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\eta$ ; he is not ill, I suppose — or, he is not ill, is he? M. 7. 9,  $\mu\eta$   $\lambda i\theta o\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\delta\omega\sigma\epsilon\iota$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}$ ; 22, où  $\tau\hat{\omega}$   $\sigma\hat{\omega}$   $\dot{o}\nu\dot{\mu}a\tau\iota$   $\pi\rhoo\epsilon\phi\eta\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigmaa\mu\epsilon\nu$ ; L. 6. 39,  $\mu\eta\tau\iota$   $\delta\dot{\nu}va\taua\iota$   $\tau\nu\phi\lambda\dot{\delta}s$   $\tau\nu\phi\lambda\dot{\nu}$   $\delta\delta\eta\gamma\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu$ ; où  $\dot{\iota}\mu\phi\dot{\delta}\tau\epsilon\rhoo\iota$  els  $\beta\dot{o}\theta\nu\nuo\nu$   $\pi\epsilon\sigmao\bar{v}\nu\taua\iota$ ;

The Greeks were fond of coupling the  $o\dot{v}$  and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , and of prefixing them to a single verb used interrogatively.  $o\dot{v} \mu\dot{\eta}$ , with the second person of the future, conveyed a prohibition; with the other persons of the future, and with the subjunctive, it expressed a categorical negation.

The difference between the indicative future and subjunctive aorist is, that the former implies duration and futurity, the latter denotes speedy occurrence. Of this rule there are some violations in the New Testament, as 1 Th. 4. 15; but in many passages where où  $\mu\eta$  occurs, the readings vary; and in later Greek there was a tendency to use the subjunctive mood rather than the indicative future: M. 16. 22, où  $\mu\eta$  éσται σοι τοῦτο: L. 18. 7, ὁ ἱ ἐ Θεἰς οù  $\mu\eta$  ποιήσει την ἐκδίκησιν τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν aὐτοῦ; J. 18. 11, τὸ ποτήριον δ δέδωκέ μοι ὁ Πατήρ, οὐ  $\mu\eta$  πίω aὐτό;

After verbs of denying, hindering,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is inserted where, according to the English idiom, it seems unnecessary: G. 5. 7,  $\tau i\varsigma \ \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\alpha}\varsigma \ \dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\sigma\psi\epsilon\nu \ \tau\hat{\eta} \ \dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon ia\ \mu\dot{\eta}\ \pi\epsilon i\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ ; The insertion of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is to be explained by the lax way in which the infinitive is used to denote result or effect. The negative particle must thus be considered closely bound up with the infinitive, and the result is stated as the non recurrence of the action represented by the infinitive: Aristoph. Pax 315, ἐμποδών ἡμῖν γένηται τὴν θεὸν μὴ Ἐελκύσαι.

141

 $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is followed by the indicative mood, after verbs of anxiety, fear, circumspection, when the contingency is regarded as already realized: " $\mu\dot{\eta}$  etiam indicativum adjunctum habet, ubi rem a nobis pro verà haberi indicare voluimus." Hermann. L. 11. 35,  $\sigma\kappa\dot{\sigma}\pi\epsilon\iota \ o\ddot{\nu}\nu \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \tau\dot{o} \ \phi\hat{\omega}s \ \tau\dot{o} \ \epsilon\nu \ \sigmaol \ \sigma\kappa\dot{\sigma}\tau_{\delta}s \ \epsilon\sigma\tau_{\ell}\nu$ : G. 4. 11,  $\phi\sigma\betao\ddot{\nu}\mu a\iota \ \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \pi\omega s \ \epsilon\dot{\kappa}\eta \ \kappa\epsilon\kappa\sigma\pi\ell a\kappa a \ \epsilon\dot{\epsilon}s \ \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$ , I am apprehensive of you, lest somehow I have extended my labour to you in vain.

μή, with the future after verbs of fearing, gives prominence to the idea of futurity : Η. 3. 12, βλέπετε, ἀδελφοί, μήποτε ἔσται ἕν τινι ὑμῶν καρδία πονηρὰ ἀπιστίας.

μή being generally used after verbs of apprehension, as φροντίζω, σκοπῶ, ὑποπτεύω, aἰσχύνομαι, its proper adversative power became forgotten; and it was used in later Greek in combination with other words, without any adversative force. So μήποτε became really equivalent to εἴ ποτε, or implied only a latent apprehension concerning the contemplated result: 2 T. 2. 25, ἐν πραῦτητι παιδεύοντα τοὺς ἀντιδιατιθεμένους μήποτε δώη αὐτοῖς ὁ Θεὸς μετάνοιαν εἰς ἐπύγνωσιν τῆς ἀληθείας.

à où  $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$  points to things which are *definitely* improper or forbidden; à  $\mu\eta$   $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ , to things which are so either in the mind of the writer, or which derive a seeming contingency only from the mode in which they are presented: Tit. 1. 11,  $\delta\iota\delta\dot{\alpha}\kappa\rho\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$ à  $\mu\eta$   $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ , the class is spoken of as only conceived to be in existence, though in reality that existence was not doubtful.

In questions where  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où is used,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  alone is interrogative, and où coalesces with the verb: R. 10. 18,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où  $\ddot{\kappa}$   $\ddot{\eta}\kappa ov \sigma a\nu$ ;  $\mu\epsilon\nu o\hat{\nu}\nu\gamma\epsilon \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , had they no means of hearing? nay, rather, so far from this, their sound, &c.: R. 10. 19,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où  $\kappa$   $\check{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega$  Isopa $\dot{\eta}\lambda$ ; was Israel not instructed? 1 C. 9. 4,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où  $\kappa$   $\check{\epsilon}\chi o\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi ov \sigma ia\nu$  $\phi a\gamma\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\kappa a\hat{\iota}\pi\iota\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ ; is it to be supposed we have no right to eat and drink? So 1 C. 11. 22.

#### THE INDICATIVE MOOD WITH $\mu\dot{\eta}$ .

The following passage illustrates the force of  $\mu \eta$  with the indicative when the occurrence of the result is anticipated; the change of mood expresses a second consequence, resulting from the fulfilment of the first: Eurip. Phan. 92,  $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \chi \epsilon s$  $\delta \nu \pi \rho o \delta \xi \epsilon \rho e \nu \nu \eta \sigma \omega \cdot \sigma \tau l \beta o \nu$ ,  $\mu \eta \tau \iota s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho l \beta \omega \phi a \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ,  $\kappa \dot{a} \mu o \dot{\iota} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \phi a \hat{\upsilon} \lambda o s$ ,  $\dot{\omega} s \delta o \dot{\upsilon} \lambda \omega$ ,  $\psi \dot{\sigma} \gamma o s$ ,  $\sigma o l \delta' \dot{\omega} s \dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \eta$ , 'wait, that I may previously examine the road, whether any of the citizens is in the path, lest an evil reproach come alike to me, as servant, and to thee, as mistress,' where the indicative  $\phi a \nu \tau \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota$  indicates the probability, that there would be some one in the street.

In the New Testament the indicative is used to mark the second or remote consequence, where in earlier Greek the subjunctive or optative would have been employed: L. 14. 8, μη κατακλιθη̂ς eis την πρωτοκλισίαν μήποτε ἐντιμότερός σου η̈ κεκλημένος ὑπ' αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐλθὼν ὁ σὲ καὶ αὐτὸν καλέσας ἐρεῖ σοι Δὸς τούτῷ τόπον. Here ŋ̈ κεκλημένος marks the immediate consequence, but ἐρεῖ the remote. J. 15. 8, ἐν τούτῷ ἐδοξάσθη ὁ πατήρ μου Γνα καρπὸν πολὺν φέρητε, καὶ γενήσεσθε ἐμοὶ μαθηταί. Here γενήσεσθε is the result of φέρητε. Ε. 6. 3, Γνα εὖ σοι γένηται, καὶ ἔση μακροχρόνιος ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς: LXX, Gen. 27. 12, μήποτε ψηλαφήση με ὁ πατὴρ καὶ ἔσομαι αὐτῷ ὡς καταφρονῶν.

In Greek, two or more negatives have the effect of strengthening the negation: Mk. 9. 8, οὐκέτι οὐδένα εἶδον: 11. 14, μηκέτι ἐκ σοῦ εἰς τὸν aiῶνa μηδεἰς καρπὸν φάγοι.

'Nor never,' in a negative sense, occurs in Shakspere: "I never was, nor never will be false." So Milton, on Ezek. 40-

48: "the description is typical and shadowy, but in such manner as never yet came to pass, nor never must literally, unless we mean to annihilate the Gospel."

οὐκέτι is often used in its simple logical sense, without any temporal reference: R. 11. 6, εἰ δὲ χάριτι οὐκέτι ἐξ ἔργων. So R. 7. 20; 14. 15, εἰ δὲ διὰ βρῶμα ὁ ἀδελφός σου λυπεῖται, οὐκέτι κατὰ ἀγάπην περιπατεῖς: G. 3. 18, εἰ γὰρ ἐκ νόμου ἡ κληρονομία οὐκέτι ἐξ ἐπαγγελίας, the latter supposition is excluded by the former: G. 2. 20.

οὐκοῦν introduces a consequence, which is expressed in the form of a question, anticipating an affirmative reply : J. 18. 37, οὐκοῦν βασιλεὺς εἶ σύ ;

In οὐκοῦν the meaning of οὐκ is dropped, "is it then?" In οὕκουν the meaning of οὖν is dropped, "is it not?" Soph. Aj., οὕκουν γέλως ἤδιστος εἰς ἐχθροὺς γελâν. οὐκοῦν ὅταν δὴ μὴ σθένω πεπαύσομαι;

ούτε, μήτε, may be considered as connecting negative particles employed in couplets, but οὐδέ, μηδέ, strengthen the negation, so that clause rises above clause or word above word at each successive repetition of the particle: M. 6. 20, ὅπου οὕτε σὴς οὕτε βρῶσις ἀφανίζει: M. 11. 18, ῆλθε γὰρ Ἰωάννης μήτε ἐσθίων μήτε πίνων: 1 Tim. 1. 7, μὴ νοοῦντες μήτε ἁ λέγουσιν μήτε περὶ τίνων διαβεβαιοῦνται, the objects to which the negation applies, and with respect to which the ignorance of the false teachers extends, are stated in two clauses. Their ignorance was thus complete; it extended alike to the assertions they made and the subjects on which they made them (Ellicott). M. 5. 34, the negation μὴ ὀμόσαι ὅλως is divided into four heads by the adjunctive negatives μήτε: compare Ja. 5. 12.

The ascending scale with οὐδέ, μηδέ, is very observable in M. 6. 26, οὐ σπείρουσιν, οὐδὲ θερίζουσιν, οὐδὲ συνάγουσιν εἰς ἀποθήκας: 10. 9, μὴ κτήσησθε χρυσόν, μηδὲ ἄργυρον, μηδὲ χαλκόν.

When οὐδέ, μηδέ are used singly they must be rendered, 'not even,' 'ne-quidem:' 2 Th. 3. 10, εἴ τις οὐ θέλει ἐργάζεσθαι μηδὲ ἐσθιέτω, in case any one refuses to work, let him not even eat: Mk. 2. 2, ὥστε μηκέτι χωρεῖν μηδὲ τὰ πρὸς τὴν θύραν: M. 6. 29, οὐδὲ Σολομών.

In G. 3. 28 the alterable social distinctions are contrasted by  $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , the unalterable natural one is expressed by  $\kappa a \dot{\ell}$ .

Sometimes  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$  connects a new clause with the preceding 'nor yet:' E. 4. 27,  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$  δίδοτε τόπον τῷ διαβόλω. In negative

sentences  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  has practically much of the conjunctive force which belongs to *kal* in affirmative sentences. " $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  sequentia adjungit prioribus, non apte connexa, sed potius fortuito concursa accedentia" (Klotz): 2 Th. 2. 2,  $\epsilon i_s \tau \delta \mu \eta \tau a \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega s \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \nu a i \dot{\mu} \dot{a} s$  $\dot{a} \pi \delta \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu o \dot{o} s$ ,  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho o \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a i$ , that ye should not be soon shaken from your ordinary state of mind, nor yet be terrified.

#### ILLATIVE PARTICLES.

 $c\bar{v}\nu$ . Its uses may be divided into two general heads, collective and reflexive, 'accordingly,' 'in accordance with what has been said;' a particle of retrospective reference, collecting into one sentence the preceding argument.  $c\bar{v}\nu$  does not imply a logical inference like  $\check{a}\rho a$ , but merely recalls attention to what has been said in the way of confirmation and correction.  $c\bar{v}\nu$  looks back to the line of reasoning, as  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  looks forward to the completion of the sentence, while  $\delta\acute{e}$  appends an explanatory statement. In composition with relatives and relative particles  $c\bar{v}\nu$  is equivalent to the Latin '-cunque;'  $\check{a}\lambda\lambda\alpha$ s  $\check{o}\sigma\tau\iotas$   $c\bar{v}\nu$ , another, whoever he may be;  $\check{o}\pi\omega s c\bar{v}\nu$ , in whatever way.

Mere external connexion, transition, or continuation, thereupon, now, then : L. 6. 9,  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$  oùv ò 'Invoûs  $\pi \rho \delta s$  autoús : J. 19. 29,  $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} o s$  oùv ë keito  $\delta \xi o v s$   $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \delta \nu$  —. Also with participles and temporal particles : J. 6. 14, oi oùv  $\check{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o i$  idovtes.

The internal connexion of two sentences, the relation of causo and effect: J. 9. 7, ἀπῆλθεν οὖν καὶ ἐνίψατο καὶ ἦλθε βλέπων: 9. 19: A. 17. 29, γένος οὖν ὑπάρχοντες τοῦ Θεοῦ οὐκ ὀφείλομεν νομίζειν, κ.τ.λ.: R. 5. 1, δικαιωθέντες οὖν ἐκ πίστεως εἰρήνην ἕχομεν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν: 1 T. 3. 2, δεῖ οὖν τὸν ἐπίσκοπον ἀνεπίληπτον εἶναι.

Illative, expressing an inference : L. 20. 44, Δαβίδ οὖν κύριον αὐτὸν καλεῖ, καὶ πῶς υἰὸς αὐτοῦ ἐστιν ;

Resumptive, where a sentence has been interrupted by a parenthesis or by intervening clauses: M. 7. 24, πῶς οὖν ὅστις ἀκούει μου τοὺς λόγους τούτους καὶ ποιεῖ αὐτούς, ὁμοιώσω αὐτὸν ἀνδρὶ ἀρονίμϕ: Π. 4. 11, σπουδάσωμεν οὖν εἰσελθεῖν εἰς ἐκείνην τὴν κατάπαυσιν.

In interrogative sentences referring to a previous assertion: M. 13. 28, θέλεις οῦν ἀπελθόντες συλλέξωμεν αὐτά; 17. 10, τί οῦν οἱ γραμματεῖς λέγουσιν ὅτι Ἡλίαν δεῖ ἐλθεῖν πρῶτον;

our frequently introduces the summing up of the whole, par-

ticularly after a digression: 1 O. 10. 31; 15. 11:  $\mu \epsilon \nu \ o \delta \nu$  sums up what is to be said on the topic in hand, and prepares the way for a transition to another subject: A. 5. 41; 8. 4; 9. 31; 11. 19; 15. 3; 16. 5: Ph. 3. s,  $\lambda \lambda \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu o \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$  kal  $\eta \gamma o \delta \mu a \iota \pi a \nu \tau a$  $\xi \eta \mu la \nu \epsilon l \nu a \iota$ . Here the main point is conceded, but some emphatic addition or correction is appended to the concession.

 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$  (derived from  $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ —fill up) introduces an additional idea, something that is necessary to express more fully the sentiment of the speaker, and is thus different from  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ , the disjunctive conjunction, which apart from the idea of filling up may introduce another circumstance.

πλήν may be rendered 'nevertheless,' 'moreover,' 'besides,' 'except;' and is used as an adverb, quasi-preposition, conjunction, also as a substitute for δέ in distributive sentences. πλήνis often united with εί or some other particle to introduce an exception: Xen. Anab. iv. 1. 11, οί δὲ ἐπείθοντο, πλήν εἴ τίς τι ἔκλεψεν.

As a proposition: Mk. 12. 32, οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλος πλην αὐτοῦ: Α. 8. 1, πάντες τε διεσπάρησαν πλην τῶν ἀποστόλων.

As an adverb πλήν is an adversative particle: 1 C. 11. 11, πλήν ούτε άνήρ χωρίς γυναικός ούτε γυνή χωρίς άνδρος έν Κυρίω: L. 19. 27, πλήν τούς έχθρούς έκείνους άγάγετε ώδε.

After a digression marking the return to a previous topic: E. 5. 33,  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$  kal  $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$  of kal  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu a$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa a\sigma\tau\sigma s$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}a\upsilon\tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$   $\eta\nu\nua\hat{\imath}\kappa a$  $\sigma\dot{\upsilon}\tau\omega s$   $\dot{a}\gamma a\pi\dot{a}\tau\omega$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}a\upsilon\tau\dot{\nu}\nu$ , but in addition to what has been said, waiving all further considerations.

 $\pi\rho\ell\nu$  is a temporal particle, 'before,' 'until,' a locative form of  $\pi\rho\delta$ . The full form is  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$   $\eta$  or  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$   $\eta$   $\delta\tau\epsilon$ , before that when. But the adverbial relative is generally omitted, so that  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$ , which is properly an antecedent, is used as a relative. In the adverbial sentence after  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$  we may use the indicative, subjunctive, optative, or infinitive. "Post  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$  perfecto status indicatur, qui factum sequitur ( $\pi\rho\ell\nu$   $\delta\epsilon\delta\epsilon\epsilon\pi\nu\eta\kappa\epsilon\nua\iota$ , before I have risen from supper); aoristo, perfectio rei ( $\pi\rho\ell\nu$   $\delta\epsilon\epsilon\pi\nu\eta\sigma$ aι, before I take my supper); præsente, initium ( $\pi\rho\ell\nu$   $\delta\epsilon\epsilon\pi\nu\epsilon\nu$ , before I go to supper)."

πρίν is used with the indicative to express past actions both in positive and negative sentences: ἡγόμην δ' ἀνὴρ ἀστῶν μέγιστος τῶν ἐκεῖ πρίν μοι τύχη τοιάδ' ἐπέστη (Soph. O. T. 775), and I was considered the most distinguished man of the citizens here until such a misfortune came on me: οὐκ ἡν ἀλέξημ' οὐδὲν

L

146

--  $\pi \rho i \nu$  éyà  $\sigma \phi | \sigma i \nu$  édeiţa κράσεις  $\eta \pi l \omega \nu$  åκεσμάτων, there was no means of defence, none at all-until I showed to them the way of compounding soothing remedies (Æsch. *Prom.*). There is no instance of  $\pi \rho l \nu$  with the indicative in the New Testament.

Πρίν is used with the subjunctive of an act, both probable and future, after a negative sentence: L. 2. 26, ην αυτώ κεχρηματισμένον μη ίδειν θάνατον πριν ή ίδη τον Χριστον Κυρίου: 22. 34, ου μη φωνήσει σήμερον άλέκτωρ, πριν ή τρις άπαρνήση μη είδέναι με. Here πριν ή may be rendered 'until;' thus Dr. Donaldson explains the ellipse in 2 Th 2. 3, ὅτι ἐὰν μη ἕλθη ή ἀποστασία πρώτον (οὐ δύναται ἐλθεῖν ὁ Κύριος).

Πρίν is used with an optative in oratione obliquá after an optative; also after a negative sentence if the oratio directa has passed into the obliqua: Xen. Anab. vii. 7. 57, έδέοντο μη άπελθεῖν πρὶν ἀν ἀπαγάγοι: Α. 25. 16, πρὸς οῦς ἀπεκρίθην, ὅτι οὐκ ἔστιν ἔθος Ῥωμαίοις χαρίζεσθαί τινα ἄνθρωπον εἰς ἀπώλειαν, πρὶν ἡ ὁ κατηγορούμενος κατὰ πρόσωπον ἔχοι τοὺς κατηγόρους, τόπον τε ἀπολογίας λάβοι περὶ τοῦ ἐγκλήματος. Here the optative indicates what was passing in the mind of the speaker.

Πρίν is used with the infinitive whenever the subsequent act is regarded as an object: J. 8. 58, πριν 'Αβραάμ γενέσθαι ἐγώ είμι: M. 26. 34, πριν ἀλέκτορα φωνήσαι τρις ἀπαρνήση με: A. 2. 20, ὁ ἥλιος μεταστραφήσεται εἰς σκότος . . . πριν ἡ ἐλθεῖν τὴν ἡμέραν Κυρίου τὴν μεγάλην καὶ ἐπιφανῆ.

τοί, 'assuredly,' is often an enclitic affixed to other particles; as  $\eta \tau o\iota$ , 'either,' or, καίτοιγε, 'and yet.' In certain cases it is prefixed, as τοίνυν, 'therefore:' τοίγαρ, τοιγαροῦν, τοιγάρτοι, and the like, signifying an inference:  $\eta \tau oι$ , R. 6. 16, see under  $\eta$ : J. 4. 2, καίτοιγε 'Ιησοῦς αὐτὸς οὐκ ἐβάπτιζεν, ἀλλ' οἱ μαθηταὶ αὐτοῦ: A. 14. 17, καίτοιγε οὐκ ἀμάρτυρον ἑαυτὸν ἀφῆκεν: 17. 27, καίτοιγε οὐ μακρὰν ἀπὸ ἑνὸς ἑκάστου ἡμῶν ὑπάρχοντα.

τοιγαροῦν, 'by certain consequence:' 1 Th. 4. 8, τοιγαροῦν ὁ ἀθετῶν οὐκ ἄνθρωπον ἀθετεῖ: Η. 12. 1, τοιγαροῦν ἡμεῖς τοσοῦτον ἔχοντες περικείμενον ἡμῖν νέφος μαρτύρων.

τοίνυν, drawing an inference: L. 20. 25, ἀπόδοτε τοίνυν τὰ Καίσαρος Καίσαρι: 1 Ο. 9. 26, ἐγὼ τοίνυν οὕτω τρέχω ὡς οἰκ ἀδήλως: Η. 13. 13, τοίνυν ἐξερχώμεθα πρός αὐτόν.

 $\dot{\omega}$ ς in comparative sentences means 'as;' in objective, 'that;' in final, 'in order to;' in causal, 'for on the ground that.' In the comparative sense it is strengthened by περ:  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ , 'just as.' In the old combination,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma \tau \epsilon$  is the regular attendant of the illative clause, signifying 'so as to;' in which sense, however, it is sometimes superseded by the simple ώς: 2 C. 10. 9.

In comparative sentences: 1 Č. 3. 15, αὐτὸς δὲ σωθήσεται· οὕτως δὲ ὡς διὰ πυρός: Mk. 1. 22, ἦν γὰρ διδάσκων αὐτοὺς ὡς ἐξουσίαν ἔχων καὶ οὐχ ὡς οἱ γραμματεῖς: 1 Th. 2. 4, ἀλλὰ καθὡς δεδοκιμάσμεθα ὑπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ πιστευθῆναι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, οὕτως λαλοῦμεν.

 $\kappa a \theta \omega_s$  stands in correlation to  $o \ddot{v} \tau \omega_s$ , marking the measure or proportion existing between their approval by God to preach the Gospel, and their actual performance of the commission.

With ώς, ώστε, είναι is sometimes omitted: 2 C. 11. 15, οί διάκονοι αὐτοῦ μετασχηματίζονται, ὡς διάκονοι δικαιοσύνης.

In objective sentences, equivalent to  $\delta \tau \iota$ , 'to wit that,' 'how that :' A. 10. 28,  $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}s\,\dot{\epsilon}\pi l\sigma\tau a\sigma\theta\epsilon\,\dot{\omega}s\,\dot{a}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\mu\iota\tau\dot{\omega}\nu\,\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ .

ώς is used with ὅτι in 2 C. 5. 18, 19, δόντος ἡμῖν τὴν διακονίαν τῆς καταλλαγῆς, ὡς ὅτι Θεὸς ἦν ἐν Χριστῷ κόσμου καταλλάσσων ἑαυτῷ.

In final sentences, 'in order to:' H. 7. 9,  $\delta s \in \pi \sigma s e^{i}\pi \varepsilon i \nu$ , 'ut ita dicam:' A. 20. 24,  $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{e} \notin \chi \omega \tau \eta \nu \psi \chi \eta \nu \mu ov \tau \iota \mu la \nu \dot{e} \mu a \nu \tau \dot{\varphi}$  $\delta s \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tilde{\omega} \sigma a \iota \tau \delta \nu \delta \rho \delta \mu o \nu \mu o \nu \mu \varepsilon \tau \lambda \chi a \rho \tilde{a} s$ . Some take this in the comparative sense: 'I do not even regard my life precious in my own estimation, as I hold precious the  $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tilde{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ .'

In causal sentences, ώς assigns the reason: L. 16. 1, ούτος διεβλήθη αὐτῷ ὡς διασκορπίζων τὰ ὑπάρχοντα αὐτοῦ: A. 23. 20, ὡς μέλλοντές τι ἀκριβέστερον πυνθάνεσθαι περὶ αὐτοῦ, ' on the ground that they intend:' 28. 19, ἠναγκάσθην ἐπικαλέσασθαι Καίσαρα, οὐχ ὡς τοῦ ἔθνους μου ἔχων τι κατηγορῆσαι, not on the ground that I had to make any accusation against my nation.

ώς is also used with participles, 'considering that,' 'being convinced that,' and imparts to the verbal notion the impress of a persuasion or purpose: 2 P. 1. 3, ώς πάντα ήμῶν τῆς δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ τὰ πρὸς ζωὴν καὶ εὐσέβειαν δεδωρημένης: Xen. Cyr. iii. 3. 4, ὡς εἰρήνης οὕσης: 1. 9, ὡς τὰληθῆ ἐροῦντος: R. 15. 15, ὡς ἐπαναμιμνήσκων ὑμᾶς, as bringing to your recollection, and not teaching what you know not.

ώς characterizes the action and defines the aspect in which the whole was to be regarded: 1 Th. 2. 4, οὐχ ὡς ἀνθρώποις ἀρέσκοντες, ἀλλὰ Θεῷ, not as striving to please men, but as striving to please God: A. 3. 12, ἡ ἡμῖν τί ἀτενίζετε, ὡς ἰδίą δυνάμει ἡ εὐσεβείą πεποιηκόσι τοῦ περιπατεῖν αὐτόν;

In A. 17. 14 ws seems to be used for Ews, 'usque ad :' Tor

г 2

Παῦλον ἐξαπέστειλαν οἱ ἀδελφοὶ πορεύεσθαι ὡς ἐπὶ θάλασσαν, they sent him forth on his journey, even to the sea: Pausan. ii. 25, καταβάντων ὡς ἐπὶ θάλασσαν: Xen. Anab. vii. 6. 1, Λακεδαιμονίοις δοκεῖ στρατεύεσθαι ὡς ἐπὶ Γισσαφέρνην.

ώσει, 'as if,' 'as though:' M. 9. 36, ἐρριμμένοι ώσει πρόβατα μη έχοντα ποιμένα: 28. 3, 4, το ἐνδυμα αὐτοῦ λευκον ώσει χιών.... ἐγένοντο ώσει νεκροί: 3. 16, είδε το Πνεῦμα τοῦ Θεοῦ καταβαῖνον ώσει περιστεράν.

ώσπερ, 'just as,' 'as indeed :' M. 18. 17, έστω σοὶ ὥσπερ δ έθνικός: 1 C. 8. 5, καὶ γὰρ εἴ περ εἰσὶ λεγόμενοι θεοὶ . . . ὥσπερ εἰσὶ θεοὶ πολλοί. "πέρ vim eam comparativam quam habet ὡς usitato more auget atque effert." Klotz.

ώσπερεί softens the boldness of the figure ( $i\hat{a}\tau ai$  τολμηρά, Longinus, § 32): 1 C. 15. 8, ώσπερεί τῷ ἐκτρώματι ὥφθη κἀμοί, as to the untimely-born one, he appeared even to me.—

 $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$  is used with the infinitive when the result is represented as a necessary and logical consequence of what has been already stated; with the indicative, when the result is represented as a simple and unconditional fact.

The indicative describing a fact which actually takes place: M. 23. 31, ώστε μαρτυρείτε έαυτοις, ότι υίοι έστε των φονευσάντων τοὺς προφήτας: G. 2. 13, καὶ συνυπεκρίθησαν αὐτῷ καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ Ίουδαῖοι ὥστε καὶ Βαρνάβας συναπήχθη αὐτῶν τῇ ὑποκρίσει: 3. 24, ὥστε ὁ νόμος παιδαγωγὸς ἡμῶν γέγονεν εἰς Χριστόν: 4. 7, ὥστε οὐκ ἔτι εἶ δοῦλος, ἀλλ' υἰός.

The infinitive describing acts contemplated but not realized; acts capable of occurring or likely to occur: M. 27. 1, συμβούλιον ἕλαβον... ὅστε θανατῶσαι αὐτόν: L. 12. 1, ἐπισυναχθεισῶν τῶν μυριάδων ὥστε καταπατεῖν ἀλλήλους.

ώστε marks the result: 1 P. 1. 21, ώστε την πίστιν ύμῶν καλ ελπίδα είναι εἰς Θεόν: the degree, extent, amount, especially after οῦτω, J. 3. 16, οῦτω γὰρ ἡγάπησεν ὁ Θεὸς τὸν κόσμον ὥστε τὸν υἱὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενή ἔδωκεν.

Often illative : R. 7. 12, ωστε ο μεν νόμος άγιος.

Introducing a concluding exhortation: 1 C. 15. 58, ώστε άδελφοί μου άγαπητοὶ ἑδραῖοι γίνεσθε: 1 Th. 4. 18, ώστε παρακαλεῖτε ἀλλήλους ἐν τοῖς λόγοις τούτοις: 1 P. 4. 19, ώστε καὶ οἰ πάσχοντες κατὰ τὸ θέλημα τοῦ Θεοῦ ὡς πιστῷ κτίστῃ παρατιθέσθωσαν τὰς ψυχὰς ἑαυτῶν ἐν ἀγαθοποιἑą.

# CHAPTER IX.

## PREPOSITIONS.

"PREPOSITIONS represent primarily the local relation of one object to another; and this in the most precise manner by suggesting the geometrical parts of an object considered in a geometrical point of view; as a line, a superficies, a solid. By analyzing these three ideas we obtain an exact mathematical analysis and enumeration of the Greek prepositions. A solid, or cube, has six geometrical parts; the upper plane,  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$ , the under,  $i\pi i$ , the front,  $d\nu \tau i$ , the side,  $\pi a \rho a$ , both sides,  $d\mu\phi l$ : the rear, out of sight, may be expressed by  $\delta\pi\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$ . The plane of the superficies is  $\epsilon \pi l$ , the boundary line round it is  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ , the inside of the line  $\epsilon \nu$ , the outside  $\epsilon \kappa$ : the surface. divided into two by an intersecting line, is  $\delta_{\iota a}$ . Lines are either vertical or horizontal. Of vertical lines the top is ava, the bottom  $\kappa a \tau \dot{a}$ : of horizontal lines the front is  $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ , the hinder extremity may be indicated by  $\epsilon \pi i$ , or  $i \pi o$ . A line has three parts; the extremities, and the middle. But the middle may be of three kinds; if it is of the same substance as the extremities, as the middle of a beam,  $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$  is used; if a different substance, as when a rope connects two trees,  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$  is used; if it is empty space, as in drawing a line from one star to another, the relation between them is indicated by  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}$ . If the particle of motion,  $\sigma e$ , is added to  $\pi \rho \delta$ , it becomes  $\pi \rho \delta s$ , towards, to the point of an object; if it is added to ev, it becomes eis, into. Prepositions do not govern cases in the sense of determining them. That which determines the case is the idea which the case expresses. The preposition only adds a more precise geometrical view of the relation in which the two objects stand to each other." (Q. R., Jan., 1863.)

•

In ascertaining the respective powers of prepositions, we must keep in view (1) the extent to which later Greek, and the colloquial Hellenic of the Apostles, extended the use of prepositions, overlooked nice distinctions, or sanctioned irregular usages; (2) the influence of the Aramæan on the writers of the New Testament, which delights in the use of prepositions, and views numerous relations under aspects different from the Greek; (3) the effect of the Christian element on the use of particular prepositions. In different languages the same relation, being viewed under different aspects, is expressed by prepositions of opposite significations. There is apparently no connexion between the English prepositions in and under; but we may often use indifferently, in these circumstances, and under these circumstances. So also we say, under arms, where with, in, would be equally applicable.

Prepositions followed by a genitive only-*dvtl*, πρό, *dπ*ό, *ex*.

 $d\nu\tau i$  and  $\pi\rho o$  are nearly synonymous: 'in front of,' on behalf of,' instead of,' for the sake of.'  $\pi\rho o$  is the more general word, as it denotes whatever is before one, or in view;  $d\nu\tau l$  denotes what is in a definite place, or stands in a specific relation.

The primary meaning of πρό is '*in sight*,' in some place opposite, priority in place: A. 5. 23, εύρομεν φύλακας έστῶτας πρὸ τῶν θυρῶν: 12. 6. 14, πρὸ τοῦ πυλῶνος: 14. 13, πρὸ τῆς πόλεως αὐτῶν. Repeatedly πρὸ προσώπου.

From this meaning πρό passes on to denote priority in time: J. 17. 24, πρὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου: A. 5. 36, πρὸ τούτων τῶν ἡμερῶν: M. 5. 12, τοὺς προφήτας τοὺς πρὸ ὑμῶν.

So with a trajection in its use: J. 12. 1, προ εξ ήμερών τοῦ πάσχα: 2 C. 12. 2, προ ετών δεκατεσσάρων: Amos 1. 1, LXX, προ δύο ετών τοῦ σεισμοῦ.

Hence  $\pi\rho\delta$  has the idea of preference, superiority, importance: Ja. 5. 12; 1 P. 4. 8,  $\pi\rho\delta$   $\pi\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$ : 3 Macc. 2. 21,  $\Theta\epsilon\delta$ s  $\pi\rho\delta$   $\pi\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$   $\delta\gamma\iota$ os.

From this we have the phrase  $\pi\rho\delta$   $\pi\sigma\lambda\delta\vartheta$   $\pi\sigma\ell\delta\sigma\theta a$ , to estimate a thing more than much, to set a very high value upon it.

Sometimes πρό means in behalf of, as πρὸ τῆς Ἐλλάδος ἀποθνήσκειν, 'pro patriâ mori.' πρό may be used in all these senses in C. 1. 17, ἀὐτός ἐστι πρὸ πάντων.

πρό in composition has generally a temporal reference: Tit. 3. 14, καλών ἕργων προίστασθαι, to be prompt in attending to good works for supplying necessary wants, that they be not unfruitful, i. e., without showing practical proofs of their faith by acts of love.

Sometimes  $\pi \rho \delta$  has an intensive power:  $\pi \rho \delta \delta \eta \lambda \sigma$ ; H. 7. 14; 1 Tim. 5. 24, openly manifest.

The primary signification of  $d\nu\tau l$  is 'over-against.' The original form may be  $d\nu\tau a$ . Cf.  $d\nu\tau\eta\lambda \iota os$ , opposite to the sun. Akin to German 'ant-,' 'antworten,' 'Antlitz.'

'Instead of,' 'in the place of :' M. 2. 22, 'Αρχέλαος βασιλεύει αντι 'Ηρώδου: 5. 38, δφθαλμον αντι δφθαλμοῦ: L. 11. 11, μη αντι ίχθύος ὄφιν ἐπιδώσει αὐτῷ;

Hence it denotes an equivalent, 'set against;' Latin, pro, instar; that which may take the place of an object in value:  $d\nu\tau i \pi \delta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau_i$ , Il. ix. 116: Xen. Anab. vii. 4. 6,  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau_0$  $\delta \sum \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \theta \eta_5 \tau \delta \nu \pi a \hat{\iota} \delta a \epsilon i \pi a \dot{\iota} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu a \dot{\upsilon} \tau \delta \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau i \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu o \nu$ . On which' Hutchinson remarks: "Phrasi illa Noster vicariam plane mortem denotat." In the previous sentence  $\dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  is used in the same sense as  $d\nu\tau i$ :  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa a i \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  is used in the same sense as  $d\nu\tau i$ :  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa a i \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  is used in the same sense as  $d\nu\tau i$ :  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa a i \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  is used in the same sense as  $d\nu\tau i$ :  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa a i \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  is used in the same sense as  $d\nu\tau i$ :  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa a i \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta a \nu \epsilon$ , i. e., "vice nostrd, ut nos scilicet mortis pœna liberaremur."  $d\nu\tau l$ is the more definite 'instead of,' denoting equivalence and exchange.  $\dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  implies merely 'for the deliverance of men,' leaving undetermined the precise sense in which Christ died for them. M. 20. 28,  $\delta o \ddot{\upsilon} \nu \iota \tau \eta \nu \psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \nu a \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda \dot{\upsilon} \tau \rho \nu \dot{a} \tau \tau i \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ : H. 12. 16,  $\dot{a} \nu \tau i \beta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \kappa \mu u \dot{s} \dot{a} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \tau a \nu \rho \dot{\upsilon} \nu$ .

'In behalf of;' 'to be set to the account of:' M. 17. 27, έκεινον  $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$  δὸς αὐτοῖς ἀντὶ ἐμοῦ καὶ σοῦ.

Adducing a principle, cause, reason, motive : E. 5. 31, αντί τούτου καταλείψει ανθρωπος τον πατέρα αὐτοῦ.

This use occurs frequently with the relative:  $d\nu\theta' \, \omega\nu$ , because, wherefore :  $d\nu\tau$   $\tau$   $\sigma$   $\tau$   $\omega$   $\tau$   $\delta$   $\tau$ , L. 1. 20; 12. 3; 19. 44; A. 12. 23; 2 Th. 2. 10, 'in requital for this that.'

In J. 1. 16,  $\chi \acute{a}\rho \imath \nu \acute{a}\nu \imath i \chi \acute{a}\rho \imath \tau \circ$ , the preposition is used in all these senses, 'one grace or blessing in place of,' accumulating upon,' and 'multiplied after,' another. Compare Theognis 344,  $a\nu \tau' \dot{a}\nu \imath \omega \nu \dot{a}\nu \imath \imath a$ , grief upon grief.

In composition,  $d\nu\tau l$  means opposition, as  $d\nu\tau \iota\lambda \acute{e}\gamma\omega$ : in turn, as  $d\nu\tau\iota\kappa a\lambda \acute{e}\omega$ : correspondence, as  $d\nu\tau \ell\tau\nu\pi\sigma\sigma$ : in the place of, as  $d\nu\theta \acute{e}\pi a\tau\sigma\sigma$ ,  $d\nu\tau \ell\lambda\nu\tau\rho\sigma\nu$ . In  $d\nu\tau \acute{e}\chi e\sigma\theta a\iota$  the preposition involves a faint idea of holding out against something hostile, or opposing

which, however, passes into that of stedfast application, Tit. 1. 9.

 $\dot{\alpha}\pi \delta$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ , corresponding in origin and signification to the Latin 'ab,' ex,' are followed by a genitive of ablation.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi \delta$  denotes motion from the surface of an object (extrinsecus), as a line drawn from the circumference of a circle;  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  denotes motion from within an object (intrinsecus), as a line drawn from the centre of a circle.

Separation in space with the idea of motion : M. 3. 16,  $d\nu\beta\eta$  $d\pi\delta$   $\tau\sigma\vartheta$   $\vartheta\deltaa\tau\sigma\varsigma$ : 8. 1,  $\kappa a\tau a\beta d\nu\tau\iota \ d\pi\delta$   $\tau\sigma\vartheta$   $\delta\rho\sigma\upsilon\varsigma$ : A. 15. 38,  $d\pi\sigma\sigma\tau d\nu\tau a \ d\pi' \ a\vartheta\tau\vartheta\nu$   $d\pi\delta$   $\Pi a\mu\phi\upsilon\lambda/a\varsigma$ , separated from them, and departed from Pamphylia.

Marking the distance: J. 11. 18, ην δε η Βηθανία εγγύς των Ίεροσολύμων, ώς από σταδίων δεκαπέντε.

Subsequence in time: Mk. 7. 4, ἀπὸ ἀγορῶς: M. 19. 4, ἀπ' ἀρχῆς: R. 15. 23, ἀπὸ πολλῶν ἐτῶν. So ἀφ' οῦ (χρόνου), ἀφ' ῆς (ἡμέρας): Il. viii. 54, ἀπὸ δείπνου θωρήσσοντο.

Origin of all kinds; place of birth, descent, residence: M. 15. 1, οί ἀπὸ Ἱερουσαλήμ: 21. 11, ὁ ἀπὸ Ναζαρέθ: A. 10. 23, οἱ ἀπὸ Ἰόππης: 17. 13, οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς Θεσσαλονίκης Ἰουδαῖοι: M. 2. 1, μάγοι ἀπ' ἀνατολῶν: Soph., εἶς ἀπὸ Σπάρτης, a Spartan: Xen. Anab. vii. 2. 11, ὁ ᾿Αθηναῖος ὁ ἀπὸ στρατεύματος.

The occasion or the effect produced by a cause: A. 11. 19, διασπαρέντες ἀπὸ τῆς θλίψεως: H. 5. 7, εἰσακουσθεὶς ἀπὸ τῆς εὐλαβείας, graciously heard by reason of his piety; as in the margin of the Authorized Version, ' for his piety,' i. e., because he feared God: A. 12. 14, ἀπὸ τῆς χαρᾶς οὐκ ἤνοιξε τὸν πυλῶνα, by reason of her joy she opened not the door: M. 14. 26, ἀπὸ τοῦ φόβου ἔκραξαν: L. 24. 41, ἀπιστούντων αὐτῶν ἀπὸ τῆς χαρᾶς: 22. 45, εὖρεν αὐτοὺς κοιμωμένους ἀπὸ τῆς λύπης: A. 22. 11, ὡς δὲ οὐκ ἐνέβλεπον ἀπὸ τῆς δόξης τοῦ φωτὸς ἐκείνου: L. 21. 26, ἀποψυχόντων ἀνθρώπων ἀπὸ φόβου καὶ προσδοκίας τῶν ἐπερχομένων τῆ οἰκουμένῃ. So ἀπὸ δἰκαιοσύνης, on account of, by reason of: Æsch. Ag. 1302, τλήμων ἀπ' εὐτόλμου φρενός, stedfast in consequence of his brave soul.

Derivation from a source : A. 17. 2, διελέγετο αὐτοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν γραφῶν : 2 T, 1. 3, χάριν ἔχω τῷ Θεῷ ῷ λατρεύω ἀπὸ προγόνων.

The source of information: M. 7. 16, ἀπὸ τῶν καρπῶν αὐτῶν ἐπιγνώσεσθε αὐτούς: in A, 10. 17, ἀπεσταλμένοι ἀπὸ τοῦ Κορνηλίου. ἀπό may denote subordinate agency, 'on the part of,' as in the following: Ja. 1. 13, μηδεὶς πειραζόμενος λεγέτω ὅτι ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ πειράζομαι: M. 11. 19, καὶ ἐδικαιώθη ἡ σοφία ἀπὸ τῶν τέκνων αὐτῆς: Mk. 8. 31, ἀποδοκιμασθῆναι ἀπὸ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων καὶ ἀρχιερέων καὶ γραμματέων: 2 C. 7. 13, ἀναπέπαυται τὸ πνεῦμα αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ πάντων ὑμῶν, i. e., by what we saw and heard; direct efforts for that purpose would be marked by ὑπό: R. 9. 3, ἀνάθεμα εἶναι ἀπὸ τοῦ Χριστοῦ: Rev. 12. 6, τόπον ἡτοιμασμένον ἀπὸ τοῦ Θεοῦ. Compare Hdt. vii. 130, τὰ ἀπό τινος γενόμενα, the things done on any one's part: Thuc. i. 17, ἐπράχθη ἀπ' αὐτοῦ οὐδέν.

From this signification, 'on the part of,' it has been thought that  $d\pi \phi$  is used for  $i\pi \phi$ , but  $i\pi \phi$  implies a cause immediate and active;  $d\pi \phi$  intimates that the cause is less immediate, and virtually passive.

Of the state from which deliverance is effected : M. 1. 21, σώσει τον λαον αυτοῦ ἀπὸ τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν αὐτῶν : Mk. 5. 34, ἴσθι ὑγιὴς ἀπὸ τῆς μάστιγός σου : H. 11. 34, ἐνεδυναμῶθησαν ἀπὸ ἀσθενείας.

Adverbial use,  $d\pi \partial \mu \epsilon \rho ovs$ , 'partially :' R. 11. 25, 'with many exceptions already :' Thucyd. i. 76,  $d\pi \partial \tau o \hat{\nu} d\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon lov \tau \rho \delta \sigma ov$ , remote from the common practice of mankind.

In composition  $d\pi \phi$  means 'away from,' as  $d\pi d\gamma \omega$ ,  $d\pi \ell \rho \chi \rho \mu a i$ : 'cessation,' as  $d\pi a \lambda \gamma \ell \omega$ : 'completion,' as  $d\pi o \delta \ell \ell \kappa \nu \nu \mu i$ ,  $d\pi o \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ : 'back again,' as  $d\pi o \delta \ell \delta \omega \mu i$ : sometimes it merely strengthens the force of the simple verb, as  $d\pi \ell \chi \omega$ ,  $d\pi o \theta \lambda \ell \beta \omega$ ,  $d\pi o \delta \epsilon \kappa a \tau \delta \omega$ :  $d\pi o \chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta a i$ , 'use out, use thoroughly,'  $d\pi o \xi \eta \nu$  'live upon,' 'live off,'  $d\pi o \sigma \tau \nu \gamma \ell \omega$ ,  $d\pi o \tau o \lambda \mu d \omega$ : or has a privative force, as  $d\pi o - \kappa a \lambda \ell \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $d\pi o \kappa a \lambda \nu \psi \nu s$ .

In  $\dot{a}\pi o \theta \eta \sigma a u \rho l \zeta o v r a s, 1$  T. 6. 19, the  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\sigma}$  points to the source from which, and the process by which they are to make their  $\theta \eta \sigma a u \rho o \dot{v} s$ , 'reponendo thesaurum colligere.' The rich are exhorted to take from  $(\dot{a}\pi \dot{\sigma})$  their own plenty, and by devoting it to the service of God and the relief of the poor, to treasure it up as a good foundation for the future. So  $\dot{a}\pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ , 2 T. 4. s, alludes to the reward as having been laid up, and taken as out of some reserved treasures; cf. R. 2. 6.

 $\epsilon\kappa$  denotes removal or procession from the *interior* of an object, and is used of place, time, origin.

Motion from, deliverance out of: Mk. 9. 7, ηλθεν φωνη έκ της νεφέλης: M. 8. 28, έκ τῶν μνημείων ἐξερχόμενοι: A. 28. 3, ἔχιδνα ἐκ τῆς θέρμης ἐξελθοῦσα: 4, διασωθέντα ἐκ τῆς θαλάσσης: 2 C. 6. 17, ἐξέλθετε ἐκ μέσου αὐτῶν.

έκ, 'out of,' as distinguished from ἀπό, 'away from,' is marked by the expressions: Mk. 16. 3, T is ἀποκυλίσει ἡμῖν τὸν λίθον ἐκ τῆς θύρας τοῦ μνημείου; L. 24. 2, εὖρον τὸν λίθον ἀποκεκυλισμένον ἀπὸ τοῦ μνημείου: 1 Th. 2. 6, οὕτε ζητοῦντες ἐξ ἀνθρώπων δύξαν, οὕτε ἀφ' ὑμῶν, οὕτε ἀπ' ἄλλων δυνάμενοι ἐν βάρει εἶναι, 'neither seeking high estimation out of men (ἐξ),' this was the result of internal feeling; no money or temporal benefit from you (ἀφ' ὑμῶν), this was external assistance.

έκ marks position with verbs of rest: M. 20. 21, ἐκ δεξιῶν καθῆσθαι ἐξ εἰωνύμων: Soph. Ant. 411, καθῆσθαι ἐκ πάγων, to sit on the heights and look from them.

Of time : έξ ού (χρόνου), Lat. 'ex quo.'

Of particular points of time: M. 19. 20,  $d\kappa$  νεότητός μου: A. 9. 33,  $d\xi$  έτῶν ὀκτώ: J. 6. 64. 66,  $d\xi$  ἀρχῆς:  $d\kappa$  τούτου: J. 13. 4, εγείρεται έκ τοῦ δείπνου, he riseth from or after supper. Cf. Hdt. i. 50,  $d\kappa$  θυσίας γενέσθαι, to have just finished sacrifice: Thuc.,  $d\xi$  εἰρήνης πολεμεῖν, to go to war after or out of peace.

Origin from, material, means: M. 3. 9, ἐκ τῶν λίθων τούτων ἐγεῖραι τέκνα: J. 2. 15, ποιήσας φραγέλλιον ἐκ σχοινίων: 2 C. 8. 11, τὸ ἐπιτελέσαι ἐκ τοῦ ἔχειν: Xen. Anab. ii. 1. 6, τὸ στράτευμα ἐπορίζετο σῖτον, ὅπως ἐδύνατο, ἐκ τῶν ὑποζυγίων κόπτοντες τοὺς βοῦς καὶ ὄνους.

Of physical origin, birth, descent: J. 3. 6, τὸ γεγεννημένον ἐκ τῆς σαρκὸς σάρξ ἐστι: M. 1. 20, τὸ γὰρ ἐν αὐτῆ γεννηθὲν ἐκ πνεύματος ἁγίου ἐστί: M. 1. 16. In G. 4. 4, γενόμενον ἐκ γυναικός is added to τὸν υίὸν αὐτοῦ to attest the real manhood of Christ: I. v. 896, ἐξ ἐμοῦ γένος ἐσσί, thou comest of me by descent.

Appurtenance: 1 J. 3. 12, où καθώς Κάϊν ἐκ τοῦ πονηροῦ η̈ν: J. 18. 37, ὁ ῶν ἐκ τῆς ἀληθείας, he that is on the side of the Author of Truth.

Derivation from some source, occasion, cause, inducement: 2 O. 2. 4,  $\epsilon\kappa \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \eta_S \theta \lambda \psi \epsilon \omega S \epsilon \gamma \rho a \psi a$ : 1 T. 1. 5,  $\dot{a}\gamma \dot{a}\pi \eta \epsilon \kappa \kappa a \theta a \rho \hat{a}_S \kappa a \rho \delta i a_S$ : Rev. 15. 2,  $\nu i \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau a_S \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \tilde{\nu} \theta \eta \rho i \sigma \nu$ : J. 8. 44,  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \nu \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i$ , he speaks from the essential properties of his nature.

έκ denotes result, consequence of: G. 3. 18, εἰ γὰρ ἐκ νόμου ἡ κληρονομία, if the inheritance be the result of obedience to the law: G. 3. 21, ὄντως ἐκ νόμου ἀν ἦν ἡ δικαιοσύνη: so 22, 24: R. 1. 17, ὁ δίκαιος ἐκ πίστεως ζήσεται.

In its primary ethical sense  $d\kappa$  denotes more immediate origin;  $d\pi \delta$  more remote origin; it then passes through the intermediate ideas of result from, consequence of, to that of nearly direct causality. Immediate origin may be rendered from, direct causality by. We may employ of to express the intermediate meanings. Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 2,  $\tau o \dot{v}_{S} \mu \dot{e} \nu \dot{e} \kappa \, \delta \iota a \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}_{S}$ ,  $\tau o \dot{v}_{S} \delta \dot{e} \dot{\xi} \dot{v} \pi o \psi \dot{a}_{S}$ , some from calumny, others from suspicion: E. 6. 6, 7,  $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \nu \tau e \dot{v} \delta \dot{e} \lambda \eta \mu a \tau o \hat{v} \Theta e o \hat{v} \dot{e} \kappa \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}_{S}$ ,  $\mu e \tau' \dot{e} \dot{v} \nu o \dot{a}_{S}$  $\delta o v \lambda \dot{e} \dot{v} o \nu \tau e \dot{s} \kappa \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}_{S}$  marks the relation of a servant to his work;  $\mu e \tau' \dot{e} \dot{v} \nu o \dot{a}_{S}$  points to his relation to his master with a well-affected mind." (Ellicott.)

From its sense of derivation  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  is used with some verbs for the agent: J. 6. 65,  $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu$  μη η δεδομένον αυτώ  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  τοῦ πατρός μου: Xen. Anab. i. 1. 6, Ἰωνικαὶ πόλεις . . .  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  βασιλέως δεδομέναι: Cyrop. viii.  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  βασιλέως εἰσὶ καθεστηκότες.

To distinguish a part from the whole : M. 10. 29,  $\delta v \, \epsilon \xi \, a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ : 25. 2, πέντε έξ aὐτῶν : A. 10. 45, οἱ ἐκ περιτομῆς πιστοί : 15. 23, ἀδελφοῖς τοῖς ἐξ ἐθνῶν : M. 25. 8, δότε ἡμῖν ἐκ τοῦ ἐλαίσυ : 1 C. 12. 15, οὐκ ἔστιν ἐκ τοῦ σώματος.

έκ is used in a periphrasis for adjectives and adverbs: R. 2. s, oi έξ ἐριθείας, those who act from a principle of factious opposition: R. 10. 5, την δικαιοσύνην την ἐκ τοῦ νόμου, the righteousness which proceeds from the law: 1 J. 2. 19, ἐξ ἡμῶν ἐξῆλθον, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἦσαν ἐξ ἡμῶν: Tit. 2. 8, ὁ ἐξ ἐναντίας (γνώμης): Xen. Anab. iii. 4. 28, οὐκ ἐξ ἴσου ἐσμέν, we are not on equal terms: ἐξ ἀπροσδοκήτου, unexpectedly: ἐκ πολλοῦ, from a distance.

έκ is sometimes used in a combination of senses: R. 1. 4,  $\xi\xi$ ἀναστάσεως νεκρῶν, from, after, by his resurrection from the dead: 1. 17, ἐκ πίστεως εἰς πίστιν, out of faith as a root, to faith as a tree: 4. 14, οἱ ἐκ νόμου, those who are of the law, they who spring forth from it, and rest upon it, as a tree rises from and stands upon its root; opposed to οἱ ἐκ πίστεως, G. 3. 9: cf. R. 2. 8, οἱ ἐξ ἐριθείας: 4. 12, οἱ ἐκ περιτομῆς.

A contrast between  $i\kappa$  and  $\delta i a$  is marked in R. 3. 30,  $\delta s$  $\delta i \kappa a i \delta \sigma e i \pi e \rho i \tau o \mu \eta \nu e \kappa \pi i \sigma \tau e \omega s \kappa a i a \kappa \rho o \beta v \sigma \tau l a \nu \delta i a \tau \eta s \pi i \sigma \tau e \omega s,$ who shall account righteous the circumcision, out of or by faith, which they have as members of the covenant, and the uncircumcision passing through the door of faith. "The Gentiles of  $i \xi \omega$  must enter the door of the faith of Abraham, and pass through it in order to be justified" (Wordsworth): R. 11. 36,  $i \xi a i \tau \sigma v \kappa a i \delta i a v \tau o v \kappa a i \epsilon i s a v \tau v \tau a \pi a v \tau a, "God is the origin,$ the agent, and the end of all things." (Vaughan.)

 $\epsilon \kappa$  indicates the closest connexion;  $i\pi \delta$ , one less strict;  $\pi a\rho \dot{a}$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi \delta$ , connexions more remote;  $\dot{a}\pi \delta$  denotes simply the point from which action proceeds, if that point is a person  $\pi a\rho \dot{a}$  or  $i\pi \delta$  is employed. If the person is indicated merely in general terms as a spring of action  $\pi a\rho \dot{a}$  is used; but if it is represented as the special, efficient, and producing cause  $i\pi \delta$  is required.  $\dot{a}\pi \delta$  denotes distance and separation. The notions of disjoining and removal are implied in  $\dot{a}\pi \delta$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ , which are not conveyed by  $\pi a\rho \dot{a}$ ,  $i\pi \delta$ . (Winer.)

In composition the signification of removal prevails, out, away, off; as  $\epsilon\kappa\beta\delta\lambda\lambda\omega$ ,  $\epsilon\kappa\lambda\omega\omega$ , origin;  $\epsilon\kappa\gamma\sigma\nu\sigma$ s, carrying out, accomplishing;  $\epsilon\kappa\zeta\eta\tau\epsilon\omega$ , utterly;  $\epsilon\zeta\eta\tau\nu\sigma$ s,  $\epsilon\kappa\phi\sigma\beta\sigma$ s,  $\epsilon\kappa\tau\epsilon\nu\eta$ s: 1 T. 2. 14,  $\epsilon\zeta\eta\pi\alpha\tau\eta\theta\epsilon\sigma\sigma$ , being completely, thoroughly deceived: Phil. 3. 11,  $\tau\eta\nu$   $\epsilon\zeta\eta\nu\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$ , the thorough, complete resurrection.

PREPOSITIONS GOVERNING THE DATIVE ONLY.

'Ev and  $\sigma \dot{\nu} v$  agree in origin and signification with the Latin in and cum. But the Greeks employ the larger form  $\epsilon \dot{\ell}_{s}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon} v_{s}$ ) for the Latin in with the accusative.

 $\epsilon \nu$  denotes inclusion,  $\sigma \nu \nu$  conjunction :

Of place, of all situated within a given space: L. 11. 1,  $\ell \nu$  $\tau \circ \pi \varphi \tau \iota \nu l$ : M. 8. 6,  $\ell \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \circ i \kappa i q$ .

In the life of, the history of : R. 11. 2, οὐκ οἴδατε ἐν Ἡλία τί λέγει ἡ γραφή ; H. 4. 7, ἐν  $\Delta a\beta$ ίδ λέγων.

Continuance in space: M. 10. 16, ἀποστέλλω ὑμῶς ἐν μέσφ λύκων: L. 5. 16, ἦν ὑποχωρῶν ἐν ταῖς ἐρήμοις.

The sphere of action: R. 1. 5, ἐν πασι τοῖς ἔθνεσιν: 1. 8, ἐν δλφ τῷ κόσμφ: M. 17. 12, ἐποίησαν ἐν αὐτῷ ὅσα ἡθέλησαν: 14. 2, aἰ δυνάμεις ἐνεργοῦσιν ἐν αὐτῷ: 1 Th. 5. 12, τοὺς κοπιῶντας ἐν ὑμῖν: R. 1. 21, ἐματαιώθησαν ἐν τοῖς διαλογισμοῖς αὐτῶν, the sphere in which their emptiness revelled: R. 6. 4, ἐν καινότητι ζωῆς περιπατήσωμεν, walk in a new state, of which the characteristic is life: E. 2. 10, ἵνα ἐν αὐτοῖς περιπατήσωμεν, in good works as the field or area in which the motion or conduct is exercised: R. 3. 7, εἰ γὰρ ἡ ἀλήθεια τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐν τῷ ἐμῷ ψεύσματι ἐπερίσσευσεν εἰς τὴν δόξαν αὐτοῦ, in my lie, my unfaithfulness as the field of its operation: 2 C. 8. 1, τὴν χάριν τοῦ Θεοῦ τὴν δεδομένην ἐν ἐκκλησίαις, the gift of God's grace which operated among men: A. 4. 12, οὕτε γὰρ ὄνομά ἐστιν ἔτερον ὑπὸ τὸν οὐρανὸν τὸ δεδομένον ἐν ἀνθρώποις, which is set forth among men: R. 5. 21,  $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\epsilon\beta a\sigma\hbar\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau la$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\omega}$   $\theta a\nu\dot{a}\tau\varphi$ , as sin reigned in death, the arena of its triumph. (Vaughan.)

Element of existence: R. 8. 1, οὐδὲν ἄρα νῦν κατάκριμα τοῦς έν Χριστῷ Ίησοῦ, those who are included in Christ, having been inserted into Him, J. 15. 2; clothed with Him, G. 3. 27; abiding in Him, Ph. 3. 9: R. 9. 1, αλήθειαν λέγω έν Χρίστω: R. 14. 14, οίδα και πέπεισμαι έν Κυρίφ Ίησοῦ, (where έν expresses the opposite of xwpis Xp10700 E. 2. 12, J. 15. 5, extraneous to, or independent of Christ,) under the influence of Him who is the truth, included in Him, and exercising that union in the particular judgment formed and expressed: 1 T. 4. 15, ev τούτοις ίσθι: 1 C. 15. 18, οι κοιμηθέντες εν Χριστώ: G. 3. 28, πάντες ύμεις είς έστε έν Χριστώ: 3. 8, ένευλογηθήσονται έν σολ πάντα τὰ έθνη: Ph. 3. 9, καὶ εὐρεθῶ ἐν αὐτῷ, and may be abiding in Him : E. 1. 20, ην ενήργησεν εν τώ Χριστώ, as the sphere of action : 1. 17, ἐν ἐπυγνώσει αὐτοῦ, in mature acquaintance with Him. The knowledge of God was to be the sphere, the circumambient element in which they were to receive wisdom and revelation : 2. 2, ev als nore περιεπατήσατε, the sphere in which they usually moved : 4. 1, o déouios ev Kupia, the captivity is referred to union with Christ and devotion to His service; 80 6. 21, διάκονος έν Κυρίω: 3. 18, έν ἀγάπη έρριζωμένοι καλ τεθεμελιωμένοι, this was to be their basis and foundation if they would realize all the majestic proportions of Christ's love to man. Both these meanings are sometimes combined : L. 4. 32, λόγος έν έξουσία : 1 T. 2. 7, διδάσκαλος έν πίστει και άληθεία: 3. 4, τέκνα έν ύποταγή: Tit. 3. 5, οὐκ έξ έργων των έν δικαιοσύνη ων έποιήσαμεν ήμεις: 2 Ρ. 2. 7, ύπὸ τής των αθέσμων έν ασελγεία αναστροφής.

The efficient cause: M. 9. 34, έν τῷ ἄρχοντι τῶν δαιμονίων ἐκβάλλει τὰ δαιμόνια: H. 10. 10, ἐν ῷ θελήματι ἡγιασμένοι ἐσμέν, in the fulfilment of which will (9).

Instrumental adjunct, or adjunct of manner, the έν of investiture: L. 22. 49, εἰ πατάξομεν ἐν μαχαίρα, sword in hand: Ja. 3. 9, ἐν αὐτῷ (γλώσσῃ) εὐλογοῦμεν τὸν Θεόν: 1 C. 2. 4, τὸ κήρυγμά μου οὐκ ἐν πειθοῖς ἀνθρωπίνης σοφίας λόγοις, ἀλλ' ἐν ἀποδείξει πνεύματος: 4. 21, ἐν ῥάβδω ἕλθω πρὸς ὑμᾶς; Η. 9. 22, ἐν αίματι πάντα καθαρίζεται: Ε. 6. 2, ἐντολὴ πρώτη ἐν ἐπαγγελία, in point of promise; the first command we meet with which involves a promise.

Ε. 3. 12, την προσαγωγήν έν πεποιθήσει, an admission in

confidence;  $d\nu$ , the predication of manner, defining the tone of mind in which the admission is enjoyed and realized: E. 4. 17,  $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \rho \mu a \iota \epsilon \nu K \nu \rho \ell \omega$ , the element in which, the sphere in which the declaration is made; so R. 9. 1: 2 C. 2. 17: 1 Th. 4. 1.

 $\epsilon \nu \ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$ , a term of deep significance, implying union and fellowship with Christ.

E. 4. 19, ἑαυτοὺς παρέδωκαν τῆ ἀσελγεία εἰς ἐργασίαν ἀκαθαρσίας πάσης ἐν πλεονεξία, the condition, the prevailing state or frame of mind in which they wrought the ἀκαθαρσία.

E. 4. 32,  $\delta \Theta e \delta \delta v X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ , God in Christ; in giving Him to be a propitiation for our sins: C. 3. 17,  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a \acute{v} \acute{o}\nu \acute{a}\mu \tau \kappa \nu \rho lov$ 'Invoû: E. 5. 20, the name of Christ is that general holy element in which every thing is to be received, to be enjoined, to be done, to be suffered: E. 5. 21,  $\dot{v}\pi\sigma\tau a\sigma\sigma \acute{o}\mu svoi \dot{a}\lambda\lambda \dot{\eta}\lambda ais \dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\phi}\delta\beta\varphi$  $X\rho_i\sigma\tau \sigma\hat{v}$ , the prevailing feeling or sentiment in which  $\dot{v}\pi\sigma\tau a\gamma\dot{\eta}$ is to be exhibited. "Ex timore Christi, quia scilicet Christum veneremur, eumque timemus offendere." (Corn.-a-Lap.)

E. 6. 1,  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi a\kappa o \dot{\upsilon} \epsilon \tau c \hat{\iota}_{S}$  gove $\hat{\upsilon}\sigma \iota \upsilon \dot{\upsilon}\mu \hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\nu K \upsilon \rho \dot{\iota}_{S}$ , this defines and characterizes the nature of the obedience:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu o \hat{\iota}_{S} \dot{a}\nu \mu \dot{\eta}$  $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \rho o \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \eta_{S} K \upsilon \rho \dot{\iota}_{S}$ . (Chrysostom.)

E. 1. 15,  $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \phi K \nu \rho l \phi I \eta \sigma o \hat{\nu}$ , Christ-centered faith. When the defining prepositional clause is incorporated with, appended to, or structurally assimilated with the substantive as to form only a single conception, the article is correctly omitted. See p. 37.

G. 1. 16,  $d\pi o \kappa a \lambda i \sqrt{a}$  to viou avtoù év éµoi, within me. Xριστον είχεν έν έαυτῷ λαλοῦντα. (Chrysost.) The Apostle was prepared for the work of the ministry subjectively by deep inward revelations, as well as objectively by outward manifestations. (Ellicott.)

1 Th. 2. 3,  $\epsilon \nu \delta \delta \lambda \varphi$ , in any deliberate intention to deceive. "The use of  $\epsilon \nu$ , especially with abstract or non-personal substantives, is always somewhat debateable in the New Testament, and can only be fixed by the context: it sometimes librates towards  $\delta \iota \dot{a}$ , both with genitives (1 P. 1. 5), and accusatives (M. 6. 7), sometimes towards  $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$  (C. 4. 2), sometimes towards  $\kappa a \tau \dot{a}$  (H. 4. 11), but is commonly best referred to the imaginary sphere in which the action takes place." (Ellicott.)

1 Th. 3. 13, tàs kapõías  $d\mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \sigma \sigma s$   $e^{i\omega}$   $d\gamma \omega \sigma \delta \sigma \eta$ , their hearts were to be unblameable (proleptic use of the adjective, like

άνεγκλήτους, 1 C. 1. 8; σύμμορφον, Ph. 3. 21), and not simply blameless, but in a sphere and element of holiness.

1 Th. 4. 18, the  $\ell\nu$  is here used in that species of instrumental sense in which the action of the verb is conceived as existing in the means. The  $\pi a \rho \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$  may be conceived as contained in the divinely-inspired words themselves : "Solent Græci pro Latinorum ablativo instrumenti sæpe  $\ell\nu$  præpositionem ponere, significaturi in eå re cujus nomini præpositio adjuncta est, vim aut facultatem alicujus rei agendæ sitam esse." Wunder.

1 Th. 5. 18, τοῦτο γὰρ θέλημα Θεοῦ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ εἰς  $i\mu$ âς. Christ is represented as the sphere, in which the θέλημα is evinced, and has its manifestation.

1 Th. 5. 26,  $d\sigma\pi d\sigma a\sigma\theta \epsilon$  rows  $d\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi$ ows  $\pi d\nu ras \epsilon \nu \phi i\lambda\eta\mu a\tau i$   $d\gamma i\varphi$ .  $\epsilon \nu$ , simply instrumental, the  $\phi i\lambda\eta\mu a$  being that in which the  $d\sigma\pi a\sigma\mu$  is was involved, where 'the object may be considered as received into, contained, held, existing in the means.' Jelf, Gr. § 622. 3.

2 Th. 2. 13,  $\epsilon$  ( $\lambda$  ato  $i\mu \hat{a}_{S} \dots \hat{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{a}\gamma_{ia}\sigma_{\mu}\hat{\omega}$   $\Pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu$  atos.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  denotes the spiritual state in which the  $\epsilon$  ( $\lambda$  ato  $\epsilon$  is  $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho$  ( $a\nu$  was realized.

2 Th. 2. 16,  $\epsilon \lambda \pi l \delta a \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \chi \dot{a} \rho \iota \tau \iota$ , in the accompanying element of grace and love.

1 T. 2. 7, διδάσκαλος έθνῶν ἐν πίστει καὶ ἀληθεία, the spheres in which the Apostle performed his mission. We may refer πίστις to the subjective faith of the Apostle, ἀλήθεια to the objective truth of the doctrine he delivered.

1 T. 3. 4,  $\tau \not\in \kappa \nu a \not\in \kappa \nu \tau a \not\in \nu \not\to \pi \sigma \tau a \gamma \not p$ . If a participle or adjective had been used, though the meaning would have been nearly the same, the idea presented to the mind would have been different; in the one case, subjection would have been noticed as a kind of attribute; in the present case it is represented as the moral element with which they were surrounded. "The transition from *actual*, L. 7. 25, to *figurative* environment, M. 6. 29, and thence to moral deportment, 1 T. 2. 9, or as here, to moral conditions, seems easy and natural." (Ellicott, 1 T. 3. 4.)

Tit. 1. 13,  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \epsilon$  autous antoin antoin  $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta$  $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ , the object of the sharp reproof was to restore them to health; the sphere and element in which that doctrinal element was to be enjoyed was  $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ .

Ground, or occasion, of mental emotion : R. 2. 23, ôs èv vóµç

-

161

καυχάσαι: Α. 7. 41, εὐφραίνοντο ἐν τοῖς ἔργοις τῶν χειρῶν αὐτῶν: L. 10. 20, ἐν τούτφ μὴ χαίρετε: Ε. 3. 13, μὴ ἐκκακεῖν ἐν ταῖς θλίψεσί μου.

Continuance in time: M. 2. 1, ἐν ἡμέραις Ἡρώδου: J. 11. 10, ἐν τῆ νυκτί: M. 27. 40, ἐν τρισὶν ἡμέραις οἰκοδομῶν.

A point of time: 1 C. 15. 51, άλλαγησόμεθα έν άτόμω.

To result in, take effect in, time yet future: R. 2. 5, θησαυρίζεις σεαυτῷ ὀργὴν ἐν ἡμέρα ὀργῆς: Ja. 5. 5, ἐθρέψατε τὰς καρδίας ὑμῶν ὡς ἐν ἡμέρα σφαγῆς.

Adverbial uses are  $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}$ , openly : A. 26. 28 : E. 3. 3,  $\epsilon \nu \delta \lambda (\gamma \varphi)$ , in a short compass : A. 7. 13,  $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \varphi$ .

έν in composition retains its usual signification, near, at, in, as ένεργέω, ένθυμέσμαι, έμπαίζω: with adjectives it modifies the meaning, as έμφανής, ένδοξος.

σύν denotes close connexion, union, identification in time and circumstances. σύν implies coherence, a closer conjunction than μετά, coexistence; as, in English, with differs from amid, among.

In company with: L. 2. 13, ἐγένετο σừν τῷ ἀγγέλῷ πληθος στρατιᾶς οὐρανίου: A. 14. 28, διέτριβον ἐκεῖ σừν τοῖς μαθηταῖς: 4. 13, σừν τῷ Ἰησοῦ ἦσαν: 1 Th. 4. 17, πάντοτε σừν Κυρίῷ ἐσόμεθα: L. 1. 56, ἔμεινε Μαριὰμ σừν αὐτῆ: Mk. 8. 34, προσκαλεσάμενος τὰν ὅχλον σừν τοῖς μαθηταῖς αὐτοῦ: 15. 27, σừν αὐτῷ σταυροῦσι δύο ληστάς.

Identification : A. 14. 4, οί μεν ήσαν σύν τοις 'Ιουδαίοις, οί δε σύν τοις αποστόλοις : G. 3. 9, οί εκ πίστεως εύλογοῦνται σύν τῷ πιστῷ 'Αβραάμ. Compare οἱ σύν τινι, the friends of any one : σύν τινι είναι, or γύγνεσθαι, to be of his party.

Assistance, co-operation : 1 C. 5. 4, σύν τη δυνάμει τοῦ Κυρίου : 15. 10, ή χάρις τοῦ Θεοῦ σὺν ἐμοί : Α. 14. 5, ἐγένετο ὀρμη τῶν ἐθνῶν τε καὶ Ἰουδαίων σὺν τοῖς ἄρχουσιν αὐτῶν.

Addition, accession, over and above, besides: M. 25. 27, εκομισάμην αν το εμον συν τόκω: L. 24. 21, συν πασι τούτοις: Ja. 1. 11, ανέτειλε γαρ ο ήλιος συν τω καύσωνι.

Thus  $\sigma'\nu$  is used of necessary connexion, consequence: Xen. Cyr.  $\sigma'\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \hat{\varphi} d\gamma a \theta \hat{\varphi}$ , to your advantage, 'tuo cum commodo:' II. iv. 161,  $\sigma'\nu \mu e \gamma \hat{a} \lambda \omega d\pi \sigma \hat{r} \hat{\sigma} a \iota$ , to pay with a great loss.

 $\sigma i\nu$  is often used in combination with  $\ddot{a}\mu a$ , which generally means connexion in respect of time: as 1 Th. 4. 17,  $\ddot{a}\mu a \sigma \dot{\nu}\nu$  $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{i}s$   $\dot{a}\rho\pi a\gamma\eta\sigma \dot{o}\mu\epsilon\theta a$ , at the same time, together with them; but in some passages has the further idea of aggregation: R. 3. 12,  $\tilde{a}\mu a$   $\eta \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ . Hence the force of 1 Th. 5. 10,  $\tilde{a}\mu a$  $\sigma \nu a \vartheta \tau \hat{\omega} \zeta \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ , all together ( $\tilde{a}\mu a$ ) united with him ( $\sigma \nu$ ).

σύν in composition denotes fellowship, union, agreement, as συνεσθίω, συμφωνέω: the completion of an action, as  $\sigma v \mu$ πληρόω: intensity, as  $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$ .

 $\epsilon i_{S}$  is a lengthened form of  $\epsilon \nu$  (=  $\epsilon \nu_{S}$ ), and signifies 'to' or 'into,' with a decided expression of motion or tendency; *ad* or *in* c. accus.

Motion to an object: M. 2. 11,  $\epsilon\lambda\theta\delta\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$  eis  $\tau\eta\nu$  oikiav: 3. 10, eis  $\pi\nu\rho$   $\beta\delta\lambda\epsilon\tau a\iota$ : L. 8. 8,  $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\nu$  eis  $\tau\eta\nu$   $\gamma\eta\nu$ : A. 16. 40, eis  $\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$  eis  $\tau\eta\nu$   $\Lambda\nu\delta la\nu$ , i. e., her house; as Ter. Eun. 'Eamus ad me.' So perhaps A. 23. 11, eis 'Iepov $\sigma a\lambda\eta\mu$ , eis 'P $\omega\mu\eta\nu$ , as thou bearest witness by coming to Jerusalem, so thou shalt bear witness by going to Rome.

The object to which an action is directed: M. 18. 15, έλν  $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \eta \sigma \eta$  εἰς σὲ ὁ ἀδελφός σου: 26. 10, ἔργον καλὸν εἰργάσατο εἰς ἐμέ.

Thus we may explain G. 3. 27, eis  $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\nu$  έβαπτίσθητε, ye were admitted into Christ by baptism; "ut Christo addicti essetis" (Schott.): 1 C. 12. 13, έν ένὶ πνεύματι, ἡμεῖς πάντες εἰς ἐν σῶμα ἐβαπτίσθημεν : R. 6. 3, ὅσοι ἐβαπτίσθημεν εἰς Χριστὸν Ίησοῦν εἰς τὸν θάνατον αὐτοῦ ἐβαπτίσθημεν. "Our union is with Christ crucified; with Christ, not as a man living upon earth before death, but as one who has died, and with distinct reference to His death. See J. 12. 24. Before death He was a Teacher: death alone could make Him a Saviour." (Vaughan.) Compare R. 8. 34 : 1 P. 3. 22.

The meaning of  $\epsilon i_s$  with  $\beta a \pi \tau i_w a$  appears twofold: unto, object, purpose, M. 3. 11; A. 2. 38; into, union and communion with; the context showing whether it be of the most complete and mystical nature, as G. 3. 27; R. 6. 3; 1 C. 12. 13, or as in 1 C. 10. 2, necessarily less comprehensive and significant. The expression,  $\beta a \pi \tau$ .  $\epsilon i_s \tau \delta$   $\delta \nu o \mu a$ , M. 28. 19; A. 8. 16; 19. 5, is not identical in meaning with  $\beta a \pi \tau$ .  $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \nu \delta \mu a \tau \iota$ , but ever implies a spiritual and mystical union with Him in whose name the sacrament was administered. M. 10. 41,  $\delta \delta \epsilon \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \delta$  $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \eta \nu \epsilon i_s \delta \nu o \mu a \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \sigma \nu$ , i. e. to do him honour as a prophet.

In the cases where  $\epsilon$ 's is said to be used for  $\epsilon \nu$ , motion is implied. This is obviously the case in M. 2. 23,  $\epsilon \lambda \theta \partial \nu \kappa a \tau \phi \kappa \eta$ -σεν ε's πόλιν λεγομένην Ναζαρέτ.

М

We may observe, too, that  $\hbar \lambda \theta e\nu$  precedes in Mk. 1. 9,  $\ell\beta a\pi\tau i\sigma\theta\eta$  ind 'Iwávvou eis to' 'Iopóáv $\eta\nu$ : L. 11. 7, tà maióia  $\mu ov \mu \epsilon \tau$ '  $\ell \mu o \hat{v}$  eis the koltrage eis 'Iopóáv $\eta\nu$ : L. 11. 7, tà maióia  $\mu ov \mu \epsilon \tau$ '  $\ell \mu o \hat{v}$  eis the koltrage eis 'Iopóav $\eta\nu$ : L. 11. 7, tà maióia  $\mu ov \mu \epsilon \tau$ '  $\ell \mu o \hat{v}$  eis the koltrage eis 'Iopóav $\eta\nu$ : L. 11. 7, tà maióia  $\mu ov \mu \epsilon \tau$ '  $\ell \mu o \hat{v}$  eis the koltrage eis 'Iopóav $\eta\nu$ : L. 11. 7, tà maióia  $\mu ov \mu \epsilon \tau$ '  $\ell \mu o \hat{v}$  eis the koltrage eis 'Iopóav eis eis 'Iopóav  $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis to bed, and are there still: Rev. 6. 15,  $\ell \kappa \rho v \psi a \nu$   $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis to bed, and are there still: Rev. 6. 15,  $\ell \kappa \rho v \psi a \nu$   $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis to bed, and are there still: Rev. 6. 15,  $\ell \kappa \rho v \psi a \nu$   $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis to bed, and are there still: Rev. 6. 15,  $\ell \kappa \rho v \psi a \nu$   $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis the term still: Rev. 6. 15,  $\ell \kappa \rho v \psi a \nu$   $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis the term still: Rev. 6. 15,  $\ell \kappa \rho v \psi a \nu$  $\ell a v \tau o \hat{v} s$  eis the term still eight eig

In other cases where  $d\nu$  is said to be used for  $\epsilon is$ , continuance in the state is implied: L. 24. 38,  $\delta \iota a \tau i \delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o i d \nu a \beta a i \nu o \upsilon \sigma \nu$  $e \nu \tau a is \kappa a \rho \delta l a \iota s \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ; 1 T. 3. 16,  $d \nu e \lambda \eta \phi \theta \eta e \nu \delta \delta \xi \eta$ . With this we may compare Thucyd.,  $d \pi o \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda e \iota \nu \delta \eta \lambda (\tau a s e \nu \tau \eta)$  $\Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda l a$ : Ovid, Fast. iii. 664, 'in sacri vertice montis abit.'

The use of  $\epsilon i_{s}$  in the New Testament closely corresponds with the three idiomatic meanings, with respect to, with a view to, to the amount of. (Donaldson, 478.)

'With respect to:' A. 2. 25, Δαβίδ λέγει εἰς αὐτόν: A. 25. 20, ἀπορούμενος εἰς τὴν περὶ τούτου ζήτησιν: M. 12. 41, μετενόησαν εἰς τὸ κήρυγμα Ἰωνᾶ: R. 15. 31, ἡ διακονία μου ἡ εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

Mental direction towards : R. 16. 6, exomíaser eis úpâs.

'With a view to,' 'the end designed,' 'destination :' Mk. 1. 4, κηρύσσων βάπτισμα μετανοίας εἰς ἄφεσιν ἀμαρτιῶν: M. 27. 7, ἡγόρασαν τὸν ἀγρὸν εἰς ταφὴν τοῖς ξενοῖς : 1 Th. 3. 5, ἔπεμψα εἰς τὸ γνῶναι τὴν πίστιν ὑμῶν : 2 T. 1. 12, εἰς ἐκείνην τὴν ἡμέραν : E. 4. 30, ἐν ፩ ἐσφραγίσθητε εἰς ἡμέραν ἀπολυτρώσεως.

To this ethical sense of destination we may ascribe some passages in which the primary force seems to be lost: Ph.2. 16,  $\delta \tau i$  oùx eis kevdu  $\delta \delta \rho a \mu o v$  oùde eis kevdu  $\delta \kappa a \sigma a$ , for a fruitless object: G. 2. 2: 1 Th. 3. 5, eis kevdu  $\gamma \delta v \eta \tau a s$ , prove in vain.

'To the amount of,' 'the end attained:' A. 19. 27, κινδυνεύει ήμιν το μέρος εἰς ἀπελεγμον ἐλθειν... το τῆς μεγάλης θεῶς 'Αρτέμιδος ἱερον εἰς οὐδὲν λογισθῆνωι: R. 2. 26, οὐχὶ ἡ ἀκροβυστία αὐτοῦ εἰς περιτομὴν λογισθήσεται; 4. 22, ἐλογίσθη αὐτῷ εἰς δικαιοσύνην: 10. 1, ἡ δέησις ἡ προς τον Θεον ὑπὲρ τοῦ 'Ισραήλ ἐστιν εἰς σωτηρίαν: 1 P. 1. 5, φρουρουμένους διὰ πίστεως εἰς σωτηρίαν: R. 8. 18, προς τὴν μέλλουσαν δόξαν ἀποκαλυφθῆναι εἰς ἡμῶς, reaching to us: G. 2. 8, εἰς ἀποστολήν, for the successful performance of the Apostleship: πιστεύειν εἰς Χριστόν, εἰς rd övoµa airoù, is to be brought by belief into the body of Christ, to be made a member of His body. The spirit which He gave, eis  $\eta\mu\hat{a}$ s, not merely  $\eta\mu\hat{\nu}$ , is the spirit infused into us.

Though the above comprehend the principal uses of  $\epsilon i \varsigma$ , yet from the frequency of its occurrence in the New Testament we may make further subdivisions.

'Intention,' 'aim,' without the accessory idea of attainment: 1 Th. 2. 12,  $d\xi$  iws tou  $\Theta cou$  tou kalourtos upas els the éautou  $\beta a \sigma i leia x a do sau : 1$  Th. 5. 15, to  $dya \theta dv$  dukete kal els  $d \lambda l h lous kal els \pi dv tas: 1$  T. 6. 17, the map from the main the set of the s

Attainment is implied in 2 C. 4. 4, εἰς τὸ μὴ αὐγάσαι αὐτοῖς τὸν φωτισμῶν κ.τ.λ.: 7. 9, ἐλυπήθητε εἰς μετάνοιαν: L. 13. 19, ἐγένετο εἰς δένδρον μέγα: G. 3. 24, ὥστε ὁ νόμος παιδαγωγὸς ἡμῶν γέγονεν εἰς Χριστόν, so that the law became our slavetutor, handing us over to Christ.

Result, without any expression of intention or aim : R. 1. 20, εἰς τὸ εἰναι αὐτοὺς ἀναπολογήτους : 1 Th. 2. 16, εἰς τὸ ἀναπληρῶσαι τὰς ἀμαρτίας πάντοτε : H. 11. 3, εἰς τὸ μὴ ἐκ φαινομένων τὰ βλεπόμενα γεγονέναι : R. 11. 32, συνέκλεισε γὰρ ὁ Θεὸς τοὺς πάντας εἰς ἀπείθειαν : R. 6. 16, δοῦλοί ἐστε ῷ ὑπακούετε, ἤτοι ἀμαρτίας εἰς θάνατον ἡ ὑπακοῆς εἰς δικαιοσύνην, either of sin resulting in death, or of obedience resulting in righteousness : 2 C. 8. 6, εἰς τὸ παρακαλέσαι ἡμᾶς Τίτον : G. 3. 17, εἰς τὸ καταργῆσαι τὴν ἐπαγγελίαν : 1 Th. 2. 12, μαρτυρόμενοι εἰς τὸ περιπατεῖν ὑμᾶς ἀξίως.

The preposition indicates the purpose of the foregoing exhortation and appeal: perhaps in no case does it simply indicate result; yet there are several passages in which the purpose is so far blended with the subject of the prayer, entreaty, &c., or the issues of the action, that we may recognize a secondary and weakened force in reference to purpose, analogous to the ecbatic use of *lva*.

In some passages we have  $\pi\rho$  is marking one object, which is

the means of accomplishing an ulterior object denoted by eis: J. 6. 35, δ έρχόμενος πρός με ου μη πεινάση, και δ πιστεύων eis έμε ου μη διψήση πώποτε, where the coming to Christ is the means of being incorporated into Him: R. 4. 26, πρός ἕνδειξιν της δικαιοσύνης αυτοῦ eis τὸ eivaι αυτὸν δίκαιον κ.τ.λ.: Ε. 4. 12, πρὸς τὸν καταρτισμὸν τῶν ἀγίων eis ἕργον διακονίας eis οἰκοδομην τοῦ σώματος τοῦ Χριστοῦ.

The following adverbial usages occur in Xenophon: eis  $\kappa aip \acute{o}\nu$ , seasonably: eis  $\acute{a}\phi\theta o\nu(a\nu)$ , abundantly: eis  $\gamma \epsilon$   $\acute{o}\nu a\mu i\nu$ , to the utmost of our power: eis  $\acute{o}i\kappa aio\sigma \acute{u}\nu\eta\nu$ ,  $\phi i\lambda(a\nu)$ , as far as regards justice, friendship: eis  $\tau \dot{\eta}\nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau i \acute{a}\nu$ , for the use of the army: eis  $\tau \dot{o}$   $i\delta io\nu$ , for my own use: eis  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$ , in every respect: eis  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$   $\tau a \widetilde{u} \tau a$ , as to this; Latin, 'quod attinet:' eis  $\kappa o i \nu \acute{o}\nu$ .

In composition els retains its chief signification, into : elσδέχομαι, elσέρχομαι: motion or direction, to, towards, elσακούω.

είς has a peculiar force after σώζειν, in 2 T. 4. 18, σώσει είς τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ τὴν ἐπουράνιον, shall save and bring me to: τὴν ἐπουρ., emphatic from position. The present sovereignty and kingdom of Christ in heaven is implied in E. 1. 20; C. 3. 1, and expressed in 1 C. 15. 25.

 $\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}$  occurs in the New Testament only in the accusative, with the radical signification up, upon, formed from  $\ddot{a}\nu\omega$ , as *katá* from *kátw*. The poets use it with other cases, equivalent to  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  with the genitive,  $\epsilon\pi i$  with the dative.

With the accusative there is a constant antithesis between  $a\nu a$  and  $\kappa a\tau a$ . If  $a\nu a$  implies vertically, upward,  $\kappa a\tau a$  means perpendicularly, downward. If  $a\nu a$  expresses horizontal motion to the east,  $\kappa a\tau a$  would express motion to the west.

Up and down: M. 13. 25, έσπειρε ζιζάνια άνὰ μέσον τοῦ σίτου: Mk. 7. 31, ἀνὰ μέσον τῶν ὅρίων Δεκαπόλεως: 1 C. 6. 5, δς δυνήσεται διακρίναι ἀνὰ μέσον τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ αὐτοῦ, between brother and brother.

With numerals ἀνά has a distributive force: M. 20. 9, ἕλαβον ἀνὰ δηνάριον: L. 9. 3, ἀνὰ δύο χιτῶνας ἔχειν: J. 2. 6, ὑδρίαι χωροῦσαι ἀνὰ μετρητὰς δύο η τρεῖς.

Adverbial usages : 1 C. 14. 27, avà µépos, by turns : Rev. 21. 21, avà els ékaoros, each one severally.

In composition  $d\nu d$  has the force of upwards, as  $d\nu a\beta a l\nu \omega$ : increase or strengthening, as  $d\nu a \kappa \nu \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $d\nu a \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ : repetition, as  $d\nu a \beta \lambda \ell \pi \omega$ : improvement, as  $d\nu a \kappa a \iota \nu l \zeta \omega$ . Prepositions which govern a genitive and accusative are  $\delta_{i\dot{a}}$ ,  $\kappa a \tau \dot{a}$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ ,  $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ .

διά denotes separation and disjunction. With the accusative it indicates the final cause, the primary, remote invisible end; with the genitive it indicates the medium, the secondary, proximate visible means. διά with the genitive corresponds to per; with the accusative to propter. This distinction is well preserved in the following passages: Arist. Eth. iv. 13, § 16, a. γàρ δυναστείαι και ὁ πλοῦτος διὰ τὴν τιμῆν (propter honorem) ἐστιν αίρετά· οἱ γοῦν ἔχοντες αὐτὰ τιμᾶσθαι δι' αὐτῶν (per ea) βούλονται: H. 2. 10, δι' δν τὰ πάντα καὶ δι' οὖ τὰ πάντα: 1 C. 11. 9. 12, οὐκ ἐκτίσθη ἀνὴρ διὰ τὴν γυναῖκα, ἀλλὰ γυνὴ διὰ τὸν ἄνδρα . . . ὁ ἀνὴρ διὰ τῆς γυναικός: H. 6. 7, δι' οῦς γεωργεῖται, for the sake of whom: δι' ὧν, by the instrumentality of whom.

This distinction is not invariably maintained, as in answer to the question 'whither ?' (quo,)  $\delta\iota\dot{a}$  has the accusative, and is rendered by *per*, e. g.,  $\delta\iota\dot{a} \pi \acute{o}\nu\tau\iota o\nu \kappa \hat{v}\mu a$ , along the ocean wave. Yet here we may render  $\delta\iota\dot{a}$ , 'by favour of,' 'by the aid of,' 'owing to.'

## διά with the genitive.

διά, c. gen., has the local sense of passing through, which includes that of proceeding from, and passing out : Mk. 11. 16, οὐκ ἤφιεν ἕνα τὸς διενέγκη σκεῦος διὰ τοῦ ἰεροῦ: 1 T. 2. 15, σωθήσεται διὰ τῆς τεκνογονίας: Rev. 21. 24, περιπατήσουσι τὰ ἔθνη διὰ τοῦ φωτὸς αὐτῆς, as their element and atmosphere: 1 C. 3. 15, αὐτὸς δὲ σωθήσεται, οῦτως δὲ ὡς διὰ πυρός: 1 P. 3. 20, διεσώθησαν δι' ὕδατος, 'through and out of :' Cicero de Divin., "Non nasci longe optimum, nec in hos scopulos incidere vitæ; proximum autem, si natus sis, tanquam ex incendio effugere fortunæ."

Duration : H. 2. 15, διὰ παντὸς τοῦ ζῆν : L. 5. 5, δι' ὅλης τῆς νυκτὸς κοπιάσαντες.

From the ideas of space and time  $\delta \iota \dot{a}$  acquires the general idea of intervention, and denotes any cause, primary or secondary, material or instrumental, through the medium of which an action passes to its accomplishment: J. l. 3,  $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau a \delta \iota' a \dot{\nu} \tau o \ddot{\nu}$  $\dot{e}\gamma \dot{e}\nu \epsilon \tau o$ : M. l. 22,  $\tau \dot{o} \rho \eta \theta \dot{e} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{o} \tau o \hat{\nu}$  Kuplov  $\delta \iota \dot{a} \tau o \hat{\nu} \pi \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \tau o \upsilon$ : A. 3. 16,  $\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s \dot{\eta} \delta \iota' a \dot{\nu} \tau o \hat{\nu}$ : l C. 16. 3, oùs  $\dot{e} \dot{a} \nu \delta \sigma \kappa \iota \mu \dot{a} \sigma \eta \tau e$ 

δι' ἐπιστολῶν: 2 C. 9. 13, διὰ τῆς δοκιμῆς τῆς διακονίας ταύτης δοξάζοντες τὸν Θεόν: 2 P. 1. 3, τοῦ καλέσαντος ἡμῶς διὰ δόξης καὶ ἀρετῆς, by a display of glory and goodness: G. 2. 16, δικαιοῦται ἄνθρωπος... διὰ πίστεως Ἱησοῦ Χρ., 'faith is not the mean by which grace is wrought or conferred, but the mean whereby it is accepted or received' (Waterland): G. 3. 18, τῷ 'Aβραὰμ δι' ἐπαγγελίας κεχάρισται ὁ Θεός, God freely gave the inheritance to Abraham by means of promise. "The enjoyment of the inheritance depended on no conditions, came through no other medium save that of promise." (Ellicott.)

So Suá denotes any attending circumstance or quality, particularly in a state of transition, literally passing through a state, being in the state, way, or manner: 2 C. 3. 11, el yàp rò καταργούμενον δια δόξης πολλώ μαλλον το μένον έν δόξη, for if that which was in a vanishing condition was invested with glory, much more that which remaineth abideth in glory. The law passes, the prophets pass Sid Sogne, but the Gospel remains, ever remains έν δόξη. 2 C. 5. 7, δια πίστεως περιπατούμεν, ού διà είδους, by faith, by sight, as the means by which we are guided, the way we pass through: comp. Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 2, διὰ σκότους πῶς ποταμὸς δύσπορος, where one has no knowledge every river is difficult to pass: iii. 2. 4,  $\delta i a \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s a v \tau \sigma i s$ éavrois évereioisar, committed themselves to them with confidence: R. 8. 25, εἰ δὲ δ οὐ βλέπομεν ελπίζομεν δι' ὑπομονῆς άπεκδεχόμεθα: Η. 12. 1, δι' ύπομονής τρέχωμεν τον προκείμενον δρόμον: R. 15. 32, ίνα έλθω πρός ύμας δια θελήματος Θεού.

This usage may be traced to its local sense, and is generally found with verbs of motion marking the road or line of action: H. 9. 12,  $\delta i \partial \tau o \hat{v} \, i \delta i o v \, a \tilde{i} \mu a \tau o \varsigma \, e i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta e v$ : R. 2. 27,  $\tau \delta v \, \delta i \delta \, \gamma \rho \dot{a} \mu$ - $\mu a \tau o \varsigma \, \kappa a i \pi e \rho \iota \tau o \mu \hat{\eta} \varsigma \, \pi a \rho a \beta \dot{a} \tau \eta v$ , that hast broken through tho barrier of the written law, and hast violated the rite of circumcision.

R. 14. 20, κακὸν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ τῷ διὰ προσκόμματος ἐσθίοντι, it is evil to the man who eats breaking through the consideration of the scandal. Comp. Cæsar, B. G. i. 46, "eos a se per fidem in colloquio circumventos," under cover of plighted faith, with a breach of faith: 2 C. 5. 10, ΐνα κομίσηται ἕκαστος τὰ διὰ τοῦ σώματος, that each one may receive for himself his store, by the instrumentality of the body: 2 C. 10. 1, παρακαλῶ ὑμᾶς διὰ τῆς πραύτητος καὶ ἐπιεικείας τοῦ Χριστοῦ, as the instrument and means by which I would move you. So R. 12. 1; 15. 30, Siá expresses the instrument of exhortation, that consideration which will avail in giving effect to the charge. mpós would be the classical equivalent: 1 Th. 4. 2, otoare yàp τίνας παραγγελίας έδώκαμεν υμιν δια του Κυρίου Ίησου, the Lord as the 'causa medians' through whom the  $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a \iota$  were declared, by whose blessed influence the Apostle was moved to deliver them. The remembrance of this enhances the importance of the commands. 1 Th. 4. 14, rous  $\kappa oi \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau as \delta i a \tau o \hat{\nu}$ 'Invov, those who through his mediation are accounted as ·sleeping :' 2 T. 2. 2, & ήκουσας παρ' έμοῦ διὰ πολλών μαρτύρων, 'coram multis testibus,' the presbyters who were present and assisted at Timothy's ordination, by the mediation of the witnesses who were adjuncts to the solemnity. Thuc. i. 40, oùôé δι' άνακωχής πώποτ' έγένεσθε, 'ye were never so much as in truce;' where Dr. Arnold remarks, "Siá denotes the circumstances accompanying the action or situation spoken of, i.e. whatever is interposed between the beginning and end of an action, such as the instrument, the state or condition which must be gone through before the thing can be done, or simply the circumstances attending it." R. 7. 5, τὰ παθήματα τῶν ἁμαρτιῶν τὰ διὰ τοῦ νόμου, the passions of sins which were by occasion of the law: 2 C. 8. 8, οὐ κατ' ἐπιταγὴν λέγω, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῆς ἑτέρων σπουδής.

So in classical Greek,  $\delta\iota \dot{a} \sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \eta \dot{s}$ , 'with earnestness;'  $\delta\iota' o \dot{\iota} \kappa$ rou  $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , 'to pity;'  $\delta\iota' \dot{o} \rho \gamma \eta \dot{s} \xi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ , 'to be angry with;'  $\delta\iota' \delta \chi \lambda \sigma \nu \epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu a \iota$ , 'to be troublesome;'  $\delta\iota' \xi \chi \theta \rho a \dot{s}$ , 'with enmity.'

In an adverbial sense: R. 14. 14, οὐδὲν κοινὸν δι' αὐτοῦ, nothing is unclean in and of its own nature.

# διά with the Accusative.

The general signification of διά with an accusative is 'on account of,' 'because of:' M. 27. 18, διὰ φθόνον παρέδωκαν αὐτόν: Mk. 2. 27, τὸ σάββατον διὰ τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἐγένετο: Rev. 4. 11, διὰ τὸ θέλημά σου ἦσαν καὶ ἐκτίσθησαν.

Τ. Π. οτα το σεισμα στο τ. Π. 12. 9, ήλθον οὐ διὰ τὸν Ἰησοῦν μόνον,
The impulsive cause: J. 12. 9, ήλθον οὐ διὰ τὸν Ἰησοῦν μόνον,
ἀλλ' ἴνα καὶ τὸν Λάζαρον ἴδωσιν: R. 4. 23, οὐκ ἐγράφη δι' αὐτὸν
μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ δι' ἡμᾶς: 15. 15, διὰ τὴν χάριν τὴν δοθεῖσάν μοι:
Η. 2. 9, ἠλαττωμένον διὰ τὸ πάθημα τοῦ θανάτου.

1. 2. 9, ηλαι ιωμενου ότα το ποιημί άσθένειαν της σαρκός εύηγγε The exciting cause : G. 4. 13, δι' ἀσθένειαν της σαρκός εύηγγε λισώμην ὑμῦν, his bodily weakness gave him the opportunity :
 λισώμην ὑμῦν, his bodily weakness gave him the opportunity :
 1 C. 7. 5, ἵνα μη πειράζη ὑμᾶς ὁ Σατανᾶς διὰ την ἀκρασίαν ὑμῶν,

168

Satan takes advantage of the want of self-control to tempt: R. 4. 25, δς παρεδόθη δια τα παραπτώματα ήμων και ηγέρθη δια την Sinalwow huw, for the sake of our offences which rendered his death necessary, for the sake of securing our acceptance: R. 13. 5, ού μόνον δια την οργήν, αλλα και δια την συνείδησιν, not only for the sake of wrath to avoid it, but also for the sake of conscience to preserve it,  $\kappa a \theta a \rho \dot{a} \nu \mathbf{1} \mathbf{T}$ . 3. 9, and  $\dot{a} \pi \rho \dot{o} \sigma \kappa o \pi o \nu \mathbf{A}$ . 24. 16: J. 6. 57, Lû Sià ròv marépa, for the sake of, to carry out his intentions and purposes: R. 6. 19,  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\nu\nu\nu$   $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega$   $\delta\iota\dot{d}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ άσθένειαν της σαρκός ύμῶν, I use a human illustration, as the infirmity of your flesh demands such a mode of instruction : H. 5. 3, και δι' αυτήν όφείλει κ.τ.λ., by reason of this very human infirmity he is morally bound: R. 14. 15, el yap dia Brûna o άδελφός σου λυπείται, for if owing to meat thy brother is distressed in mind: R. 8. 11, ζωοποιήσει και τα θνητα σώματα ύμων διά τὸ ἐνοικοῦν αὐτοῦ πνεῦμα ἐν ὑμῖν.

We may regard as adverbial usages : Η. 5. 12, διὰ τὸν χρόνον, by reason of the length of time:  $\delta_i \lambda \tau i$ ; or  $\delta_i \alpha \tau i$ ; for what? wherefore: comp. Od.,  $\delta i' \dot{a} \tau a \sigma \theta a \lambda i a \varsigma \, \check{\epsilon} \pi a \theta o \nu$ , they suffered for their follies:  $\delta_{i\dot{a}} \pi_{0\lambda\lambda\dot{a}}$ , for many reasons.

In composition  $\delta_{i\dot{a}}$  has the meaning of all through, across, as διαβαίνω: thoroughly, as διακαθαρίζω: mutually, διαλλάσσω, διαλέγομαι : asunder, διαγιγνώσκω, διανέμω.

# ката́ WITH THE GENITIVE.

Katá with the genitive denotes vertical motion or direction, down upon; with the accusative it signifies horizontal motion or direction, along.

Descent from a higher place: M. 8. 32, *upprover masa* h άγέλη κατά τοῦ κρημνοῦ: Μk. 14. 3, κατέχεεν αὐτοῦ κατά τῆς κεφαλής.

Motion or direction upon, through: A. 27. 14, EBale Kar' αὐτῆς ἄνεμος τυφωνικός: L. 4. 14, φήμη ἐξηλθε καθ ὅλης τῆς περιχώρου, hence from the action of raising the hand in attestation of the oath : M. 26. 63, έξορκίζω σε κατά τοῦ Θεοῦ.

The object to which the action is directed : Jude 15,  $\pi oi \hat{\eta} \sigma a i$ κρίσιν κατά πάντων: J. 18. 29, τίνα κατηγορίαν φέρετε κατά τοῦ άνθρώπου τούτου; So we say, 'down upon him,' in a hostile sense. Mk. 9. 40, δς ούκ έστι καθ' ύμων, ύπερ ύμων έστιν: Μ. 10. 35, διχάσαι ἄνθρωπον κατά τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ: Α. 25. 27, μὴ καί τὰς κατ' αὐτοῦ αἰτίας σημâναι.

So we may explain 2 C. 8. 2, ή κατὰ βάθους πτωχεία αὐτῶν, their deep-sunk poverty, their penury which reached downward to the depth.

# katá WITH THE ACCUSATIVE.

With an accusative *katá* denotes the point to which an object tends, the course along which an action proceeds: L. 8. 39,  $\kappa a \theta$ όλην την πόλιν κηρύσσων: A. 5. 15, κατά τας πλατείας εκφέρειν τούς ασθενείς: L. 10. 33, όδεύων ηλθε κατ' αὐτόν, alongside him. From this arises the distributive sense : L. 8. 1, Simoleve kard

πόλιν και κατά κώμην.

Direction towards: A. 8. 26, πορεύου κατά μεσημβρίαν: Ph. 14, κατὰ σκοπὸν διώκω.

Sometimes purpose, object, intention: 2 T. 1. 1, amógrolos ... κατ' ἐπαγγελίαν ζωής, to make known the promise of life: Tit. 1. 1, κατὰ πίστιν ἐκλεκτῶν Θεοῦ, to promote the faith of God's elect: 1 T. 6. 3, τη κατ' ευσέβειαν διδασκαλία, the doctrine for the furtherance of godliness, or, "quæ pietati consentanea est." Some idea of purpose is implied in such expressions as kard θεάν, Thuc. vi. 31: καθ' ἀρπαγήν, Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 3. Object is expressed by eis,  $\pi\rho$ os,  $\kappa a \tau a$ . eis marks immediate purpose;  $\pi \rho \delta s$  ultimate purpose; katá destination. See Philem. 5 under πρός, and E. 4. 12 under eis: 2 Tim. 2. 16, ἀφέλιμος πρός διδασκαλίαν, πρὸς ἔλεγχον.

The temporal sense of the word is derived from its local usage, denoting the period through which the action passes: Η. 3. 8, κατά την ήμέραν τοῦ πειρασμοῦ: Μ. 27. 15, κατά δè έορτην είώθει ο ήγεμων απολύειν.

In a tropical sense kará expresses the relation in which one thing stands towards another.

Accordance, conformity, proportion: M. 23. 3, ката та ёруа αὐτῶν μὴ ποιεῖτε: L. 2. 22, κατὰ τὸν νόμον: Μ. 16. 27, ἀποδώσει έκάστω κατά την πράξιν αὐτοῦ: 25. 15, ἐκάστω κατά την ἰδίαν δύναμιν.

By the operation of: R. 1. 4, κατά πνεῦμα ἀγιωσύνης: 1 C. 12. 8, άλλφ δε λόγος γνώσεως κατά το αὐτο πνεῦμα: Ε. 2. 2, κατὰ τὸν ἄρχοντα τῆς ἐξουσίας τοῦ ἀέρος.

Hence it is used of any general reference or allusion: 2 C. 11. 21, κατ' ἀτιμίαν λέγω, I speak on the subject of disgrace: Ph. 2. 3, μηδέν κατ' έρίθειαν, nothing by way of factiousness:

R. 11. 28, κατὰ μèν τὸ εὐαγγέλιον έχθροι δι' ὑμâs, viewed with reference to the Gospel.

We may notice too some adverbial and adjectival uses.

Adverbial: L. 10. 31, κατὰ συγκυρίαν: M. 1. 19, κατ' ὄναρ: J. 10. 3, κατ' ὄνομα: A. 18. 14, κατὰ λόγον: G. 2. 2, κατὰ ἀποκάλυψιν, in accordance with revelation, not for my own purposes: κατ' ἰδίαν, privately.

Adjectival: 2 C. 7. 10, "ή κατὰ Θεὸν λύπη, dolor animi Deum spectantis et sequentis: dolor ob culpam: ή κατὰ κόσμον λύπη, dolor animi mundum spectantis et sequentis; dolor amissi; dolor ob pœnam:" R. 11. 21, τῶν κατὰ φύσιν κλάδων: C. 3. 22, τοῖς κατὰ σάρκα κυρίοις: A. 17. 28, τινες τῶν καθ ὑμᾶς ποιητῶν: 18. 15, νόμου τοῦ καθ ὑμᾶς: E. 1. 15, τὴν καθ ὑμᾶς πίστιν.

In composition kará denotes reference, frequently of an unfavourable kind: kareineñv rí rivos, to say any thing of another, to make him the subject of some assertion or statement: karayiváskeiv rí rivos, to think or impute in our judgment any thing to another. So karaápoveîv, karaðikáleiv, kara $\sqrt{\eta}\phi$ lleiv, karakpíveiv. Opposed to these are verbs compounded with  $\dot{a}\pi \delta$ , which deny and in a manner remove the thing spoken of from the subject, and make a separation between them. So  $\dot{a}\pi o\mu$ vívai,  $\dot{a}\pi o\lambda o\gamma e\hat{v}$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi o\delta ikáleiv$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi o\kappa píves \theta ai$ . From usage, however, kar $\eta\gamma ope\hat{v}$ , and other similar verbs, are generally taken in a bad sense: kar $\eta\gamma ope\hat{v}$ , to accuse; karaðikáleiv, to condemn; karaápove $\hat{v}$ , 'to think down upon,' to despise. (Arnold, Thucyd. i. 95.)

 $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  has nearly the same meaning as  $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$ , which does not occur in the New Testament.  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  means around, 'circum,' a completed circle.  $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$  means about, 'utrinque,' an imperfect circle.

The object about which the action is executed : M. 2. 8, ἀκριβῶς ἐξετάσατε περὶ τοῦ παιδίου: 20. 24, ἠγανἀκτησαν περὶ τῶν δύο ἀδελφῶν: L. 19. 37, αἰνεῖν τὸν Θεὸν περὶ πασῶν ῶν εἰδον δυνάμεων: E. 6. 22, τὰ περὶ ἡμῶν (πράγματα), the circumstances which surround one: 1 J. 2. 2, ἰλασμός ἐστι περὶ τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν ἡμῶν, propitiation on account of our sins: Xon. Anab. i. 2. 8, νικήσας ἐρίζοντα οἰ περὶ σοφίας.

 $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  marks the object round about which the action of the verb takes place. In the use of  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  with a genitive the derivative meanings, 'as concerns,' as regards,' greatly predominato; the primary idea however still remains :  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  with a

7

genitive serves to mark an object which is the central point of activity: 1 C. 12. 1,  $\pi\epsilon\rhoi \tau \delta\nu \pi\nu\epsilon\nu\mu \alpha\tau\iota\kappa\delta\nu \circ \delta\ell\lambda\omega \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s \dot{a}\gamma\nu\sigma-\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ , the  $\pi\nu\epsilon\nu\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\iota\kappa a \delta\hat{\omega}\rho a$  formed the centre of the  $\check{a}\gamma\nu\sigma\iota a$ , the further idea of any action or motion round it is supplied by  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  with the accusative: 1 T. 6. 4,  $\nu\sigma\sigma\hat{\omega}\nu \pi\epsilon\rho i \zeta\eta\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota s$ . (Ellicott.)

περί represents the notion of visible inferiority, one rising above the rest from a visible circle of objects, 'præ ceteris:' II. i. 287,  $d\lambda\lambda$ ' δδ'  $d\nu\eta\rho$  έθέλει περί πάντων ἕμμεναι ἄλλων.

 201, από το ανηρ του και περί motion or extension around: περί with the accusative signifies motion or extension around:
 L. 13. 8, ἕως ὅτου σκάψω περί αὐτήν: Mk. 9. 42, λίθος μυλικὸς περί τὸν τράχηλον αὐτοῦ: 3. 8, οἱ περί Τύρον καὶ Σιδῶνα: Α. 28. 7, ἐν δὲ τοῖς περὶ τόπον ἐκεῖνον.

The object about which an action is exerted: L. 10. 40, περιεσπάτο περί πολλήν διακονίαν: A. 19. 25, τούς περί τοιαῦτα ἐργάτας: 1 T. 1. 19, περὶ τὴν πίστιν ἐναυάγησαν: 2 T. 2. 18, οἴτινες περὶ τὴν ἀλήθειαν ἀστόχησαν, such as concerning the truth missed their aim: comp. διατρίβειν περὶ τὴν γεωμετρίαν, σπουδάζειν περί τι.

With vague indications of time and number: M. 20. 3, περì τὴν τρίτην ὥραν: A. 22. 6, περὶ μεσημβρίαν, περὶ τρισχιλίους, three thousand, more or less.

# υπέρ with the genitive.

 $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  with the genitive signifies 'super,' above, over an object, in a relative rather than absolute sense; with the accusative the meaning is 'ultra,' with motion implied beyond an object.

'In the place of :' Plato, Gorg. 515 c, èyè inter ooû ànokpivoû-  $\mu ai$ : Philem. 13, îva înter ooû  $\mu oi$  diakov $\hat{\eta}$ : J. 11. 50,  $\sigma v\mu\phi\acute{e}p\epsilon i$   $i\mu iv$  îva els åv $\theta p\omega mos ànodávy inter toû laoû kai <math>\mu\dot{\eta}$  ölov tò  $\check{e}\theta vos ànól\eta tai$ : 18. 14,  $\sigma v\mu\phi\acute{e}p\epsilon i$  eva åv $\theta p\omega mov ànoléodai inter$  $<math>\tauoû laoû$ : R. 5. 6, X piotos inter àoebav ànédave: 1 T. 2. 6, dois éautor àrtilutrov inter mártur. Comp. Eur. Alc.,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\theta v \eta \sigma \chi'$  inter to grotect, as a bird will receive a blow intended for her young ones, or as a shield receives a blow inplace of the person who raises it in defence.

In these passages  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  may mean 'in commodum alicujus,' or

171

'in loco alicujus.' In doctrinal passages  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  admits the second meaning united with the first, where the context and nature of the argument seem to require it. Where the second is exclusively meant the preposition would be  $a\nu\tau i$  (vice alicujus).

In behalf of: M. 5. 44, προσεύχεσθε ὑπέρ τῶν ἐπηρεαζόντων ὑμᾶς: A. 26. 1, ἐπιτρέπεταί σοι ὑπὲρ σεαυτοῦ λέγειν. Compare Il. i. 444, ἐκατόμβην ῥέξαι ὑπὲρ Δαναῶν: Xen., ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως θύειν.

For the purpose of; to carry out, to accomplish : Ph. 2. 13, υπèρ τῆς εὐδοκίας : 2 C. 12. 19, τὰ δὲ πάντα, ἀγαπητοί, ὑπὲρ τῆς ὑμῶν οἰκοδομῆς : J. 11. 4, ὑπὲρ δόξης : R. 15. 8, ὑπὲρ ἀληθείας Θεοῦ.

Concerning, as to talk over a matter: Hdt., τὰ λεγόμενα ὑπέρ τινος: Virg., "Multa super Priamo rogitans:" R. 9. 27, Ήσαίας κράζει ὑπέρ τοῦ Ἰσραήλ: 2 Th. 2. 1, ἐρωτῶμεν ὑμῶς ὑπέρ τῆς παρουσίας τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν: 2 C. 1. 8, οὐ θέλομεν ὑμῶς ἀγνοεῖν ὑπέρ τῆς θλίψεως ἡμῶν: 12. 8, ὑπέρ τούτου τρὶς τὸν Κύριον παρεκάλεσα.

In these instances  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  has the sense of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$ , with which it is connected.  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  designates the apex of the compass, whereas  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$  denotes the circle described.  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  expresses more feeling than  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$ , as it applies to an advocate pleading in the place of a client; maintaining a cause which has been misrepresented by others.  $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$   $i\pi\epsilon\rho$   $i\sigma\epsilon\rho$  $i\delta\nu$ , in their stead, but  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$   $i\mu a\rho\tau\iota\omega\nu$ , which rendered it necessary that He should die. Thus  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  is used with the person, 'sinners,' but  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$  with the thing, 'sins:' 1 P. 3. 18,  $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$   $i\pi\alpha\xi$   $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$   $i\mu a\rho\tau\iota\omega\nu$   $i\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon$ ,  $\deltai\kappa a\iotaos$   $i\pi\epsilon\rho$   $i\delta(\kappa\omega\nu$ . Perhaps the only exception is H. 5. 3, for we must not overlook the presence of  $i\mu\omega\nu$  in 1 C. 15. 3. In 2 Th. 2. 1  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  may mark the duty and interest of believers in furthering the  $\pia\rhoou\sigma(a$ . So  $i\pi\epsilon\rho$  1 T. 2. 1, 2.

# ύπέρ with the accusative.

With the accusative, ὑπέρ denotes 'beyond,' excess in honour, measure, number, time: M. 10. 24, οὐκ ἔστι μαθητὴς ὑπὲρ τὸν διδάσκαλον: Ph. 2. 9, ἐχαρίσατο αὐτῷ ὄνομα τὸ ὑπὲρ πῶν ὄνομα: Philem. 16, οὐκέτι ὡς δοῦλον, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ δοῦλον: 2 C. 12. 13, τί γάρ ἐστιν δ ἡττήθητε ὑπὲρ τὰς λοιπὰς ἐκκλησίας; Xen. Anab. i. 1. 9, ἐπολέμει τοῖς Θραξὶ τοῖς ὑπὲρ Ἐλλήσποντον οἰκοῦσι. From the idea of excess comes the notion of 'contrary to:' 1 C. 4. 6,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  inter d  $\gamma \acute{e}\gamma \rho a\pi\tau a\iota \phi \rho ov \acute{e}\iota v$ .

 C. L. O, μη σπερ Ο γεγρωπιώ φροταν.
 In composition ύπέρ expresses over, above, of place, as ὑπερβαίνω: in defence of, as ὑπερευτυγχάνω: of abundance, as ὑπερβάλλω, ὑπεραίρομαι: of excess, as ὑπεραυξάνω, ὑπερλίαν, ὑπερπλεονάζω.

PREPOSITIONS GOVERNING GENITIVE, DATIVE, ACCUSATIVE.

# $\epsilon \pi l$ with the genitive.

ἐπί denotes superposition, and with the genitive, answers to the question 'where?' M. 9. 2, παραλυτικών ἐπὶ κλίνης βεβλημένον: Mk. 8. 4, χορτάσαι ἄρτων ἐπ' ἐρημίας: M. 10. 27, κηρύξατε ἐπὶ τῶν δωμάτων: J. 6. 21, πλοῖον ἐγένετο ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, on the land: L. 22. 30, Γνα ἐσθίητε καὶ πίνητε ἐπὶ τῆς τραπέζης, of the things upon my table: A. 5. 30, κρεμάσαντες ἐπὶ ξύλου: Mk. 12. 26; L. 20. 37, ἐπὶ τῆς βάτου, in the section which treats upon the bush: A. 21. 23, ἄνδρες εὐχὴν ἔχοντες ἐφ' ἑαυτῶν: J. 6. 2, τὰ σημεῖα ἁ ἐποίει ἐπὶ τῶν ἀσθενούντων: Xen. Anab. iv. 3. 21, κελεύει αὐτοῦ μεῖναι ἐπὶ τοῦ ποταμοῦ.

Aen. Anao. IV. O. 21, κελεύει αυτού ματο ματού ματο Once after verbs of speaking: G. 3. 16, ώς ἐπὶ πολλῶν, ἀλλ' Once after verbs of speaking: G. 3. 16, ὡς ἐπὶ πολλῶν, ἀλλ' ὡς ἐφ' ἐνός: Plato, Charm. 155 D, ἐπὶ τοῦ καλοῦ λέγων

ώς ἐφ' ἐνός: ΡΙατο, Οπατπ. 100 Β, των αὐτῶν τεχνῶν λέγοπαιδός: Gorg. 453 Ε, πάλιν δ' εἰ ἐπὶ τῶν αὐτῶν τεχνῶν λέγομεν: Demosth. de Cor. 22, τούτων τοσούτων ὄντων καὶ ἐπ' αὐτῆς τῆς ἀληθείας οὕτω δεικνυμένων, to be based upon truth itself.

With the same local sense it conveys the idea, 'in the presence of:' M. 28. 14, έλν ἀκουσθη τοῦτο ἐπὶ τοῦ ἡγεμόνος: Mk. 13. 9, ἐπὶ ἡγεμόνων καὶ βασιλέων σταθήσεσθε: 1 C. 6. 1, κρίνεσθαι ἐπὶ τῶν ἀδίκων: A. 24. 19, οῦς ἔδει ἐπὶ σοῦ παρεῖναι.

In a temporal sense. Past time is considered up or above; going backwards and upwards: M. 1. 11,  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \eta \varsigma \mu \epsilon \tau o i \kappa \epsilon \sigma i a \varsigma$  $Ba\beta u \lambda \hat{w} v \varsigma$ : Mk. 2. 26,  $\epsilon \pi i A\beta i a \theta a \rho \tau o \hat{v} a \rho \chi i \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ : H. 1. 1,  $\epsilon \pi i \epsilon \sigma \chi a \tau \omega v \tau \hat{\omega} v \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} v \tau \sigma v \tau \omega v$ : Xen. Anab. i. 9. 7,  $\epsilon v l \gamma e a v \delta \rho l \tau \hat{\omega} v \epsilon \phi \eta \mu \hat{\omega} v$ , the man above all others of those in our day: Il. ii. 797,  $\epsilon \pi i \epsilon l \rho \eta \nu \eta \varsigma$ , in time of peace.

day: II. ii. 797, έπ ειρηνης, in time of pours. Authority, dignity, power, upon, over: R. 9. 5, ό ων έπι πάντων Θεός: Α. 12. 20, Βλάστον τον έπι τοῦ κοιτῶνος τοῦ βασιλέως: 8. 27, δς ην έπι πάσης τῆς γάζης αὐτῆς: 6. 3, οῦς καταστήσομεν ἐπι τῆς χρείας ταύτης: Μ. 2. 22, βασιλεύει ἐπι

της 'Ioudalas: Rev. 2. 26, δώσω έξουσίαν ἐπὶ τῶν ἐθνῶν. The concurrent circumstances and relations in which, and

under which, an event takes place: R. 1. 9, πάντοτε έπλ τῶν προσευχῶν μου δεόμενος: Ε. 1. 16, μνείαν ὑμῶν ποιούμενος ἐπλ τῶν προσευχῶν μου: Α. 10. 34, ἐπ' ἀληθείας καταλαμβάνομαι.

# έπί with the DATIVE.

With a dative ἐπί implies actual superposition, rest upon, close to: Mk. 6. 39, ἀνακλῦναι πάντας ἐπὶ τῷ χλωρῷ χόρτφ: M. 14. 8, δός μοι ῶδε ἐπὶ πίνακι τὴν κεφαλὴν Ἱωάννου: 24. 33, ἐγγύς ἐστιν ἐπὶ θύραις: J. 8. 7, ὁ ἀναμάρτητος ὑμῶν πρῶτος τὸν λίθον ἐπ' αὐτῷ βαλέτω: Xen. Anab. vii. 3. 17, ὡς δὲ ἦν ὅλιος ἐπὶ δυσμαῖς.

Accession or addition : M. 25. 20, άλλα τάλαντα ἐκέρδησα ἐπ' αὐτοῖς : L. 3. 20 ; 16. 26, ἐπὶ πᾶσι τούτοις.

Subsequence or succession : A. 11. 19, θλίψεως της γενομένης έπι Στεφάνω : Ph. 2. 27, ίνα μη λύπην έπι λύπη σχώ.

Co-existence in time: H. 9. 26, έπὶ συντελεία τῶν αἰώνων: 2 C. 3. 14, ἐπὶ τῆ ἀναγνώσει τῆς παλαιῶς διαθήκης: H. 9. 15, ἐπὶ τῆ πρώτῃ διαθήκῃ: Xen. Anab. vi. 1. 7, ἐπὶ τούτῷ ὀρῶν ὁ Μυσὸς ἐκπληττομένους αὐτούς.

Basis, foundation: M. 4. 4, οὐκ ἐπ' ἄρτφ μόνφ ζήσεται ἄνθρωπος: R, 15. 12, ἐπ' αὐτῷ ἔθνη ἐλπιοῦσιν: Mk. 10. 24, πεποιθότας ἐπὶ τοῖς χρήμασιν: A. 4. 18, διδάσκειν ἐπὶ τῷ ὀνόματι Ἰησοῦ: L. 5. 5, ἐπὶ τῷ ῥήματί σου χαλάσω τὸ δίκτυον: 1 T. 1. 16, πιστεύειν ἐπ' αὐτῷ: L. 24. 25: R. 9. 33; 10. 11: 1 P. 2. 6. Christ is represented as the basis, foundation, on which faith rests. With the primary meaning of ἐπί with the dative, 'absolute superposition,' is connected the accessory notion of 'dependence on:' Xen. Anab. i. 4, βουλεύεται ὅπως μήποτε ἔτι ἔσται ἐπὶ τῷ ἀδελφῷ, Cyrus devises measures by which he shall no longer be dependent on his brother.

The ethical basis; occasion or cause of an action or emotion: M. 18. 13, χαίρει ἐπ' αὐτῷ (cf. 1 Th. 3. 9): L. 1. 47, ἠγαλλίασε τὸ πνεῦμά μου ἐπὶ τῷ Θεῷ τῷ σωτῆρί μου: Mk. 3. 5, συλλυπούμενος ἐπὶ τῇ πωρώσει τῆς καρδίας: 2 C. 12. 21, μὴ μετανοησάντων ἐπὶ τῇ ἀκαθαρσίą: R. 6. 21, ἐφ' οἶς νῦν ἐπαισχύνεσθε: Xen. Anab. ii. 6. 13, ἀγάλλεται ἐπὶ θεοσεβεία καὶ ἀληθεία καὶ δικαιότητι: vi. 6. 19, ἐθύετο ἐπὶ τῇ πορεία.

Both these uses are found in 1 Th. 3. 7,  $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$   $\dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta \dot{c} \dot{c} \phi \dot{c} \dot{c} \mu \hat{c} \nu \dot{c} \pi \lambda \pi \dot{a} \sigma \eta \tau \eta \dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \kappa \eta \kappa a \lambda \theta \lambda \dot{c} \dot{c} \epsilon \dot{c} \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ , where the first  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell$  marks the objects which were the substratum of the Apostle's comforts; points to the basis on which the  $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$  rested: 'fundamentum cui veluti superstructa est:' as in 2 C. 7. 7,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon i \hat{\eta} \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \phi' \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu}$ . The second  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$  marks the occasion, with a semi-local force noticing that with which the  $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$  stands in immediate contact and connexion: as in 2 C. 7. 4,  $\dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \mu a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho \hat{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \lambda (\psi \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu.$ 

επι παση τη υλιψει ημαν. Moving principle; suggesting motive; accompanying condition: 1 C. 9. 10, έπ' ελπίδι όφείλει ὁ ἀροτριῶν ἀροτριῷν: H. 9. 17, διαθήκη ἐπὶ νεκροῖς βεβαία: Gt. 5. 13, ὑμεῖς ἐπ' ἐλευ-H. 9. 17, διαθήκη ἐπὶ νεκροῖς βεβαία: Gt. 5. 13, ὑμεῖς ἐπ' ἐλευθερία ἐκλήθητε: M. 19. 9, δς ἁν ἀπολύση τὴν γυναῖκα αὐτοῦ εἰ

μή ἐπὶ πορνεία.
Hence ἐπί marks the purpose, object: E. 2. 10, κτισθέντες ἐν
Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ ἐπὶ ἔργοις ἀγαθοῖς, being formed in Christ Jesus
With a view to good works. Here ἐπί denotes the object or
aim of an action considered as the motive or foundation thereof,
on the understanding of.'

Repeated instances of this occur in classical writers : Xen. Anab. v. 8. 8, και γαρ ιατροί τέμνουσιν και καίουσιν έπ' αγαθώ, to do him good : vii. 6. 3, καλεί αυτούς επί ξενία, to share his hospitality : ii. 4. 3, δόξομεν έπλ πολέμο απιέναι, for the purpose of war: Thucyd. i. 103, ξυνέβησαν προς τους Λακεδαιμονίους έφ' φ τε έξίασιν, on the condition of their emigrating: 113, σπουδάς ποιησάμενοι έφ' φ τους ανδρας κομιούνται, making truce on the condition that they shall carry off their men : Demosth. de Cor. 64, τῆς περιεωρακυίας (μερίδος) ταῦτα γυγνόμενα ἐπὶ τῆ της ίδίας πλεονεξίας έλπίδι, the party which overlooked these things as they occurred with a view to the hope of their own gain: 284, έμισθώθης έπι τῷ τὰ τουτωνί συμφέροντα διαφθείρειν: 95, ναύκληρον πάντ' έπι σωτηρία πράξαντα: Thucyd. i. 37, το δ' έπι κακουργία και ούκ αρετή έπετήδευσαν, this, however, they made their practice, with a view to villainy, not on the ground of bravery : 71, έπι τῷ μη λυπείν ἀλλήλους τὸ ἴσον vépere, ye assign what is fair and equal on the principle of not annoying others.

Sometimes  $\epsilon \pi i$  includes the result, together with the purpose and object of an action: 2 T. 2. 14,  $\mu \eta$   $\lambda o \gamma o \mu a \chi \epsilon i v$ ,  $\epsilon i \varsigma$  où de  $\chi p \eta \sigma \mu \rho v$ ,  $\epsilon \pi i \kappa a \tau a \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta$  two a kou ov  $\tau w v$ , not to contend about words, a cause useful for nothing, resulting in the subversion of the hearers: Xen.,  $\epsilon \pi i \beta \lambda a \beta \eta$ ,  $\epsilon \pi i \theta a v a \tau \varphi$ : Thucyd.,  $\tau a \epsilon \pi i$ τού τους, ' what was the next best thing to be done.'

# έπί with the accusative.

With accusatives  $\epsilon \pi i$  signifies motion, with a view to superposition : M. 9. 18,  $\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \epsilon \pi i \theta \epsilon_{\varsigma} \tau \eta \nu \chi \epsilon_{i} \rho a \sigma \sigma \nu \epsilon \pi' a \nu \tau \eta \nu : 4. 5,$ i στησιν αυτόν επί πτερύγιον τοῦ i εροῦ : J. 8. 59, ηραν οῦν λίθουςiva βάλωσιν επ' αὐτόν : A. 10. 9, ἀνέβη επὶ τὸ δῶμα.

Any extended motion: M. 27. 45, σκότος ἐγένετο ἐπὶ πῶσαν τὴν γῆν: 18. 12, πορευθεὶς ἐπὶ τὰ ὄρη: 13. 2, ὁ ὅχλος ἐπὶ τὸν aἰγιαλὸν εἰστήκει, had gone to the shore and stationed themselves there: 19. 28, καθίσεσθε ὑμεῖς ἐπὶ δώδεκα θρόνους, along upon the circle of thrones: 23. 35, ὅπως ἕλθη ἐφ᾽ ὑμῶς πῶν alμa δίκαιον: L. 21. 35, ὡς παγὶς ἐπελεύσεται ἐπὶ πάντας: M. 14. 19, ἀνακλιθῆναι ἐπὶ τοὺς χόρτους.

Motion from heaven to earth: Mk. 1. 10, τὸ Πνεῦμα ὡς περιστερὰν καταβαῖνον ἐπ' αὐτόν: Α. 11. 15, ἐπέπεσε τὸ Πνεῦμα τὸ ἅγιον ἐπ' αὐτούς: 2 C. 12. 9, ἵνα ἐπισκηνώσῃ ἐπ' ἐμὲ ἡ δύναμις τοῦ Χριστοῦ: G. 6. 16, εἰρήνη ἐπ' αὐτοὺς καὶ ἔλεος.

The centre of attraction: Mk. 5. 21,  $\sigma \nu \nu'_{1\chi} \partial \eta \, \delta \chi \lambda o_{5} \, \pi o \lambda \dot{v}_{5}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi' a\dot{v}\tau \dot{v}: 2$  Th. 2. 1,  $\dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\omega}\nu \, \dot{\epsilon}\pi i \sigma \nu v a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}_{5} \, \dot{\epsilon}\pi' \, a\dot{v}\tau \dot{v}$ .  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i$  marks the point to which the  $\sigma \nu v a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$  was directed, and loses its idea of superposition in that of approximation or juxtaposition. The difference between  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i$  and  $\pi \rho \dot{o}_{5}$  in this combination is, that while  $\pi \rho \dot{o}_{5}$  points more to the *direction* to be taken,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i$  marks more the point to be reached. (Ellicott, 2 Th. 2. 1.)

Temporal sense : L. 4. 25, ἐκλείσθη ὁ οὐρανὸς ἐπὶ ἔτη τρία : 10. 35, ἐπὶ τὴν αῦριον, 'bis Morgen :' A. 3. 1, ἐπὶ τὴν ὥραν τῆς προσευχῆς τὴν ἐννάτην, 'bis neun Uhr.'

The direction, bent of the mind or feeling: H. 6. 1, πίστεως ἐπὶ Θεόν: M. 27. 43, πέποιθεν ἐπὶ Θεόν: 1 P. 1. 13, τελείως ἐλπίσατε ἐπὶ τὴν φερομένην ὑμῶν χάριν: M. 25. 21, ἐπὶ ὀλίγα ἦς πιστός, ἐπὶ πολλῶν σε καταστήσω: L. 9. 5, τὸν κονιορτὸν ἀποτινάξατε εἰς μαρτύριον ἐπ' αὐτούς: 2 Th. 1. 10, ἐπιστεύθη τὸ μαρτύριον ἐφ' ὑμᾶς, a testimony directed to you, involving some idea of 'nearness or approximation:' M. 10. 21, ἐπαναστήσονται τέκνα ἐπὶ γονεῖς: 2 Th. 2. 4, ὑπεραιρόμενος ἐπὶ πάντα λεγόμενον Θεόν: LXX, Dan. 11. 36, ὑψωθήσεται καὶ μεγαλυνθήσεται ἐπὶ πάντα Θεόν. ἐπί with its general local meaning involves the more specific and ethical one of opposition.

The difference between είς and ἐπί may be marked in R. 3. 22, δικαιοσύνη δὲ Θεοῦ διὰ πίστεως Ίησοῦ Χριστοῦ εἰς πάντας καὶ ἐπὶ πάντας τοὺς πιστεύοντας, God's plan of justifying through faith in Christ Jesus extending to and resting upon; reaching to and efficacious for all who believe.

ἐπί in composition expresses rest; as ἐπιμένω, ἐπίκειμαι: direction, ἐπιχέω: motion towards, ἐπιχειρέω: increase, completeness, ἐπιγινώσκω, ἐπίγνωσις: addition, ἐπικαλέω: repetition, ἐπιβοάω.

In ἐπαισχύνομαι, ἐπί marks the imaginary point of application, that on which the feeling is based; used with persons Mk. 8. 38; L. 9. 26, and with things R. 1. 16; 1 T. 1. 16. πιστεύειν,  $\epsilon \lambda \pi l \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$  are followed by  $\epsilon \nu$ ,  $\epsilon i s$ ,  $\epsilon \pi i$ , but generally with a difference of meaning, as the exercise of faith is contemplated under different aspects. micreieu ev, R. 10. 9, involves the idea of being in Christ as the substratum of spiritual life;  $\pi_{i\sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu}$ eis, M. 18. 6; J. 3. 15; A. 22. 19; Ph. 1. 29, implies union of a fuller and more mystical nature, with probably some accessory ideas of mental direction towards the object of faith;  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu$  $\epsilon \pi l$ , with the dative, R. 10. 11; 1 T. 1. 16, involves repose, reliance on; πιστεύειν ἐπί, with the accusative, J. 14. 1, denotes mental direction with a view to that reliance. So  $\delta \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \, \epsilon \nu$ marks the basis or foundation of hope, 1 C. 15. 19; entitien eis, the direction of the hope with the further idea of union and communion with the object of hope, J. 5. 45; 2 C. 1. 10; 1 P. 3. 5. enalgen eni, with the dative, marks the foundation on which the hope rests, 1 T. 6. 17; R. 15. 12.  $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \pi i$ , with the accusative, the mental direction, 1 T. 5. 5.

 $Me\tau \dot{a}$ , in common Greek, is joined only with the gen. and accus. In poetry it is found with the dative.

μετά denotes companionship, or pursuit with a view to companionship, and thus differs from σύν, which expresses conjunction, union, coherence. Thus E. 6. 23,  $d\gamma d\pi\eta$  μετὰ πίστεως, love in company with faith, implying their coexistence.  $d\gamma d\pi\eta$ σὺν πίστει would denote their coherence, or confusion; the one quality being identified with the other, which would have no meaning; yet we have, E. 4. 31, πικρία... σὺν κακία, as bitterness is identical with some badness of disposition, and in 1 C. 10. 13, σὺν τῷ πειρασμῷ καὶ τὴν ἕκβασιν, as the one is inseparably united with the other: 1 Thess. 3. 13, μετὰ πάντων τῶν  $d\gamma lων$  αὐτοῦ, the saints are represented as attending our Lord at His coming and swelling the majesty of His train: σὺν τοῖς  $d\gamma lous$  would describe them as united with Him. Hence σύν is used C. 3. 3, ή ζωὴ ὑμῶν κέκρυπται σὺν τῷ Χριστῷ ἐν τῷ Θεῷ.

179

Amid, among: M. 26. 58,  $\epsilon\kappa\delta\theta\eta\tau\sigma$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\delta$   $\tau\omega\nu$   $i\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\tau\omega\nu$ : Rev. 21. 3,  $\eta$   $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\eta$   $\tau\omega\theta$   $\theta\epsilon\omega$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\delta$   $\tau\omega\nu$   $\delta\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu$   $\kappa\delta\delta$   $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\omega\sigma\epsilon\epsilon$   $\mu\epsilon\tau'$  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\omega\nu$ : Mk. 1. 13,  $\eta\nu$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\delta$   $\tau\omega\nu$   $\theta\eta\rho\omega\nu$ . The original signification of  $\mu\epsilon\tau\delta$  is connected with  $\mu\epsilon\sigma\sigma$ , 'medius,' with the German 'mit,' 'Mittel,' and the English 'mid,' 'middle.'

In company with: J. 11. 31, οἱ ὄντες μετ' αὐτῆς ἐν τῆ οἰκίą: Μ. 9. 15, ἐφ' ὅσον μετ' αὐτῶν ἐστιν ὁ νυμφίος: Rev. 14. 13, τὰ δὲ ἔργα αὐτῶν ἀκολουθεῖ μετ' αὐτῶν: 2 Th. 1. 7, ἄνεσιν μεθ' ἡμῶν...ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ μετ' ἀγγέλων δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ.

μετά refers especially to the mental feeling and disposition with which an action is performed: L. 1. 39, μετὰ σπουδής: 2 O. 7. 15, ὡς μετὰ φόβου καὶ τρόμου ἐδέξασθε αὐτόν: Mk. 3. 5, περιβλεψάμευος αὐτοὺς μετ' ὀργής. Hence it is expressive of sympathy, of one's side or party: M. 12. 30, ὁ μὴ ὡν μετ' ἐμοῦ κατ' ἐμοῦ ἐστί: L. 9. 49, οὐκ ἀκολουθεῖ μεθ' ἡμῶν.

Occasionally with the idea of aid or blessing: J. 8. 29, ό πέμψας με μετ' έμοῦ ἐστί: H. 13. 25, ή χάρις μετὰ πάντων ὑμῶν: A. 2. 28, πληρώσεις με εὐφροσύνης μετὰ τοῦ προσώπου σου.

Mutual action, interest, feeling: M. 12. 30, μη συνάγων μετ έμοῦ: 18. 23, ήθέλησε συνᾶραι λόγον μετὰ τῶν δούλων αὐτοῦ: 22. 16, ἀποστέλλουσιν αὐτῷ τοὺς μαθητὰς αὐτῶν μετὰ τῶν Ἡρωδιανῶν: L. 10. 37, ὁ ποιήσας ἔλεος μετ' αὐτοῦ, marking the mutual action of giver and receiver: 2 J. 2, διὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν τὴν μένουσαν ἐν ἡμῦν καὶ μεθ' ἡμῶν ἔσται εἰς aἰῶνa, the subjective doctrinal truth is expressed by ἐν. The personal truth Christ Himself who aids us, as sharing our nature, is expressed by μετά.

After words implying accord, discord: L. 23. 12, εγένοντο φίλοι μετ' άλλήλων: R. 12. 18, μετὰ πάντων ἀνθρώπων εἰρηνεύοντες: 1 J. 4. 17, τετελείωται ἡ ἀγάπη μεθ' ἡμῶν: Rev. 2. 16, πολεμήσω μετ' αὐτῶν.

Participation: 2 C. 6. 15, 16, τίς μερίς πιστῷ μετὰ ἀπίστου; J. 13. 8, οὐκ ἔχεις μέρος μετ' ἐμοῦ: L. 22. 37, μετὰ ἀνόμων ἐλογίσθη: 1. 72, ποιῆσαι ἔλεος μετὰ τῶν πατέρων: Mk. 6. 50, εὐθέως ἐλάλησεν μετ' αὐτῶν.

The concomitant of an action, marking the circumstance or condition with which another event is attended: M. 14. 7, μεθ ὅρκου ὡμολόγησεν αὐτῆ: 2 Th. 3. 12, μετὰ ἡσυχίας ἐργαζόμενοι: 1 T. 1. 14, ὑπερεπλεόνασεν ἡ χάρις τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν μετὰ πίστεως καὶ ἀγάπης τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ: Mk. 9. 24, καὶ εὐθέως κράξας ο πατήρ τοῦ παιδίου μετὰ δακρύων ἕλεγε: Ε. 6. 23, εἰρήνη τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς καὶ ἀγάπη μετὰ πίστεως, as if enhanced by faith.

μετά with the accusative implies succession in time: M. 17. 1, μεθ ήμέρας ἕξ: J. 13. 7, μετὰ ταῦτα: M. 26. 32, μετὰ τὸ ἐγερθηναί με: in place, H. 9. 3, μετὰ τὸ δεύτερον καταπέτασμα, i.e. behind.

In composition  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  expresses community, participation,  $\mu\epsilon\tau a\delta(\delta\omega\mu\iota, \mu\epsilon\tau\dot{e}\chi\omega:$  sequence or succession in time,  $\mu\epsilon\tau avo\epsilon\omega$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\tau a\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda o\mu a\iota:$  backwards, reversion,  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}\theta\epsilon\sigma\iota\varsigma:$  change of place or condition,  $\mu\epsilon\tau a\beta a(\nu\omega, \mu\epsilon\tau a\beta\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\omega.$  Thucyd.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}\chi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho a\varsigma$  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ , to have in hand.

The uniform meaning of  $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$  is, 'by the side of :'  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \sigma o \hat{v}$ , from, by thy side :  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \sigma o \dot{i}$ , at, by thy side :  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ , to, by thy side.

### παρά WITH THE GENITIVE.

Genitive of person after verbs of motion, coming, sending: J. 1. 6, ἄνθρωπος ἀπεσταλμένος παρὰ Θεοῦ: Mk. 14. 43, παραγίνεται 'Ιούδας . . . παρὰ τῶν ἀρχιερέων: J. 7. 29, παρ' αὐτοῦ εἰμι, κακεῖνός με ἀπέστειλεν.

The source whence any thing proceeds: L. 6. 19, δύναμις παρ' αὐτοῦ ἐξῆλθεν: M. 21, 42, παρὰ Κυρίου ἐγένετο αὕτη: L. 2. 1, ἐξῆλθε δόγμα παρὰ Καίσαρος: Phil. 4. 18, δεξάμενος παρ' Ἐπαφροδίτου τὰ παρ' ὑμῶν: M. 2. 4, ἐπυνθάνετο παρ' αὐτῶν: A. 26. 12, μετ' ἐξουσίας καὶ ἐπιτροπῆς τῆς παρὰ τῶν ἀρχιερέων.

Sometimes it appears to be used for the agent; but  $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$ marks the instigators: A. 22. 30,  $\tau \dot{o} \tau i \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma o \rho \epsilon \tilde{i} \tau a \iota \pi a \rho \dot{a} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov $\delta a | \omega \nu$ . Here  $i \pi \dot{o}$  could not have been used, as the Jews had laid no formal charge. "If the action proceeds from a person,  $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$  or  $i \pi \dot{o}$  is employed.  $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$  indicates merely in general terms the source of motion;  $i \pi \dot{o}$  indicates the special efficient and producing cause." Winer, § 47.

Hence it is used as a periphrasis for the genitive of possession or relation: Mk. 3. 21, oi  $\pi a \rho^{\circ}$  airoî, his kindred: 5. 26,  $\tau a$  $\pi a \rho^{\circ}$  éaurô;  $\pi a \nu \tau a$ , all her property: i. q., L. 8. 43,  $\delta \lambda o \nu \tau \partial \nu$  $\beta (o \nu$ .

παρά denotes emanation from a personal source; ἀπό, emanation simply: ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ, predication of place: Mk. 8. 11, ζητοῦντες παρ' αὐτοῦ σημεῖον ἀπὸ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ.

N 2

## παρά WITH THE DATIVE.

Dative of person or place, expressing rest, position: J. 19. 25, εἰστήκεισαν παρὰ τῷ σταυρῷ: Α. 9. 43, μεῖναι παρά τινι Σίμωνι.

Proximity: M. 22. 25, ήσαν παρ' ήμιν έπτὰ ἀδελφοί: 1 C. 16. 2, τιθέτω παρ' έαυτῷ, at home.

In the power of: L. 1. 37, οὐκ ἀδυνατήσει παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ πῶν ῥῆμα: Μ. 19. 26, παρὰ ἀνθρώποις τοῦτο ἀδύνατόν ἐστι, παρὰ δὲ Θεῷ πάντα δυνατά ἐστι.

In the judgment of : 1 P. 2. 4, παρά Θεῷ ἔκλεκτον ἔντιμον : 1 C. 3. 19, ἡ σοφία τοῦ κόσμου τούτου μωρία παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ ἐστι : Α. 26. 8, ἄπιστον κρίνεται παρ' ὑμῖν εἰ ὁ Θεὸς νεκροὺς ἐγείρει.

In the court of heaven: R. 2. 13, δίκαιοι παρά τῷ Θεῷ: R. 2. 11, οὐ γάρ ἐστι προσωποληψία παρά τῷ Θεῷ: 2 P. 2. 11, οὐ φέρουσι κατ' αὐτῶν παρὰ Κυρίω βλάσφημον κρίσιν.

In fellowship with God: 1 C. 7. 24, ἕκαστος ἐν ῷ ἐκλήθη ἐν τούτφ μενέτω παρὰ τῷ Θεῷ.

The following may be classed under the head of rest, position; laid up with; in store with: M. 6. 1,  $\mu\iota\sigma\theta\delta\nu$  oùn extern  $\pi a\rho\lambda \tau \hat{\omega} \pi a\tau \rho i \nu \mu \hat{\omega}\nu$ : L. 1. 30, evpes  $\chi \acute{a}\rho\iota\nu \pi a\rho\lambda \tau \hat{\omega} \Theta e \hat{\omega}$ .

Accusative. Motion to a place; alongside: M. 15. 29, καὶ μεταβàς . . . ἡλθε παρὰ τὴν θάλασσαν: 30, καὶ ἔβριψαν αὐτοὺς παρὰ τοὺς πόδας τοῦ Ἰησοῦ: Mk. 4. 15, οἱ παρὰ τὴν όδόν.

With verbs of rest where previous motion is implied: M. 13. 1, ἐκάθητο παρὰ τὴν θάλασσαν: L. 7. 38, στᾶσα παρὰ τοὺς πόδας αὐτοῦ ὀπίσω.

#### παρά WITH THE ACCUSATIVE.

Of the ground or reason, along with which a conclusion follows: 1 C. 12. 15, où mapd roiro où  $\kappa$  čoru è  $\kappa$  roû où  $\mu$ aros, not for this reason is it no part of the body.

Hence it has the force of 'beside ' in English, which means, 'by the side.' Compare 'beside the question,' 'inconsistent with,' 'different from,' 'beyond,' 'except :' G. 1. 8, ἐἀν ἄγγελος ἐξ οὐρανοῦ εὐαγγελίζηται ὑμῦν παρ' δ εὐηγγελισάμεθα : 1 C. 3. 11, θεμέλιον ἄλλον οὐδεἰς δύναται θεῖναι παρὰ τὸν κείμενον : Λ. 18. 13, παρὰ τὸν νόμον οὖτος ἀναπείθει τοὺς ἀνθρώπους σέβεσθαι τὸν Θεόν : R. 1. 25, ἐλάτρευσαν τῆ κτίσει παρὰ τὸν κτίσαντα, to the neglect of the Creator. Compare R. 1. 26, παρὰ φύσιν: 4. 18, παρ' <br/>  $\epsilon \lambda \pi l \delta a$ , 'præter naturam,' 'præter spem.'

Beside, less than: 2 C. 11. 24, τεσσαράκοντα παρὰ μίαν: more than, beyond a line real or imaginary: L. 13. 2, άμαρτωλοί παρὰ πάντας. This has been referred to the use of the Hebrew particle 10, but compare παρὰ πάντας 'Αχαιούς μέγας: Xen. Anab. vi. 6. 8, ἕνιοι μὲν αὐτῶν παρ' ὀλίγον ἐποιοῦντο τὸν Κλέανδρον: L. 3. 13, μηδὲν πλέον παρὰ τὸ διατεταγμένον ὑμῖν πράσσετε: R. 12. 3, παρ' δ δεῖ φρονεῖν.

So 'præter,' 'passing beyond,' is nearly synonymous with 'propter,' 'præter opinionem.'

In composition  $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$  has the meaning of 'alongside,' 'by the side of,'  $\pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \theta a \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \sigma o$ ,  $\pi a \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \mu i$ : 'to the side of,' 'to one's hand,'  $\pi a \rho a \delta \dot{\delta} \delta \mu \mu$ ,  $\pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \kappa a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ : 'to one side of,' 'past,'  $\pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \sigma \mu a \iota$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ : 'beyond,' 'amiss,' 'wrongly,'  $\pi a \rho a \kappa o \dot{\omega} \omega$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \beta a \dot{\iota} \omega \omega$ : 'with bad intent,'  $\pi a \rho a \tau \eta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\pi a \rho \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\iota} \nu \omega$ . Compare the German 'ver-,' in 'verschwören,' 'forswear.'  $\pi a \rho a \iota \tau \sigma \dot{\upsilon} \mu a \iota$ , decline, have nothing to do with: Thucyd. i. 132,  $\pi a \rho a \pi \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \hat{\iota} \delta a$ , having counterfeited the seal.

 $i\pi i$ , from which  $i\pi i\rho$  is formed, is used in the New Testament with genitive and accusative. The significations are, with the genitive, *motion from beneath*; with the dative, *position below*; with the accusative, *motion or extension underneath*.

 $i\pi \delta$  is used with verbs neuter and passive to mark the efficient or instrumental cause, and denotes the subject or agent from under whose hand, power, agency, causation, the action of the verb generally proceeds.

The local signification may be traced in 2 P. 1. 17, φωνής ένεχθείσης ύπο τής μεγαλοπρεποῦς δόξης.

The agent: M. 1. 22, τὸ ἡηθὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ Κυρίου: 2. 16, ἐνεπαίχθη ὑπὸ τῶν μάγων: L. 14. 8, ὅταν κληθῆς ὑπό τινος εἰς γάμους: 21. 20, κυκλουμένην ὑπὸ στρατοπέδων τὴν Ἱερουσαλήμ.

The cause: L. 8. 14, ύπὸ μεριμνῶν . . . πορευόμενοι συμπνίγονται: 7. 24, κάλαμον ὑπὸ ἀνέμου σαλευόμενον: 2 P. 2. 17, νεφέλαι ὑπὸ λαίλαπος ἐλαυνόμεναι.

Though  $i\pi \delta$  is generally used with verbs of the passive voice, it is joined also to neuter verbs having a passive force, and to transitive verbs where a passive sense is implied: L. 9. 7, τὰ γινόμενα  $i\pi$  αὐτοῦ: A. 23. 30, μηνυθείσης ἐπιβουλῆς μέλλειν ἔσεσθαι ὑπὸ τῶν Ἰουδαίων: M. 17. 12, μέλλει πάσχειν

ύπ' αὐτῶν: 2 C. 11. 24, ὑπὸ Ἰουδαίων πεντάκις τεσσαράκοντα παρὰ μίαν ἔλαβον.

ύπό with the accusative of place, whither, or extension underneath: M. 8. 8, ύπὸ τὴν στέγην εἰσέρχεσθαι: Mk. 4. 32, ὑπὸ τὴν σκιὰν αὐτοῦ τὰ πετεινὰ κατασκηνοῦν: Ja. 2. 3, κάθου ώδε ὑπὸ τὸ ὑποπόδιόν μου.

Of moral subjection: 1 T. 6. 1, ὑπὸ ζυγὸν δοῦλοι: R. 16. 20, συντρίψει τὸν Σατανῶν ὑπὸ τοὺς πόδας ὑμῶν ἐν τάχει: 7. 14, πεπραμένος ὑπὸ τὴν ἁμαρτίαν: 6. 14, οὐ γάρ ἐστε ὑπὸ νόμον, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ χάριν: 3. 9, πάντας ὑφ᾽ ἁμαρτίαν εἶναι: M. 8. 9, καὶ γὰρ ἐγῶ ἄνθρωπός εἰμι ὑπὸ ἐξουσίαν, ἔχων ὑπ᾽ ἐμαυτὸν στρατιώτας.

Of time, Latin, 'sub:' A. 5. 21, ὑπὸ τὸν ὄρθρον.

In composition ὑπό has the force of 'under,' ὑπηρέτης, ὑπήκοος: 'underhanded,' 'secretly,' ὑποβάλλω, ὑποδείκνυμι: 'under one's roof,' ὑποδέχομαι, ὑπόνοια, 'surmise,' 1 T. 6.4: Demosth. ὑπόνοιαι πλασταὶ καὶ προφάσεις ἄδικοι.

Πρός has a signification of motion onwards. The full form is προτί, a lengthened form of παρά, denoting adversus rather than apud. The general meaning with the several cases is πρὸς τούτων, in consideration of these things, as a motive: πρὸς τούτως, in addition to these things, as an act: πρὸς ταῦτα, with a view to these things, as an end. παρά denotes an actual motion or change of place in some object; πρός merely indicates a direction or tendency. Hence παρά and πρός nearly concur in their use with the dative, as the case of rest; but most plainly differ in their use with the genitive and accusative.

## πρός with the genitive and dative.

Genitive, in consideration of, in behalf of, for the benefit of: A. 27. 34, rowro  $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \eta s \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho as \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho (as \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon s.$  Such is the repeated use of  $\pi \rho \delta s$  in classical authors. Thucyd. iv. 92,  $\delta \theta \epsilon \delta s \pi \rho \delta s \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota$ , the god will be on our side: ii. 86,  $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \mu \alpha \chi (a \pi \rho \delta s \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o \nu (\omega \nu \cdot \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i)$ , the fighting in the narrow sea is in favour of the Lacedæmonians: Xen. Mem. ii. 3. 15,  $\check{a} \tau \sigma \pi a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota s \kappa a \iota o \dot{\iota} \delta a \mu \omega s \pi \rho \delta s \sigma \delta v$ , you ulter absurdities, and by no means to your credit: Xen. Anab. ii. 5. 20,  $\pi \rho \delta s \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{\epsilon} s$ ,  $\pi \rho \delta s \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu a \dot{\delta} \sigma \chi \rho \dot{\delta} \nu$ , in the estimation of gods, of men. Hence the use of  $\pi \rho \delta s$  with the genitive in adjurations:  $\pi \rho \delta s \theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ , as regarded by the gods. In this usage  $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}$  answers to the Latin 'per,' which is otherwise equivalent to  $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ , c. gen.

πρός, on the part of, refers to external agency, while  $\epsilon \kappa$  denotes internal action: Soph. Trach. 676, 7, διάβορον πρὸς οὐδενὸς τῶν ἔνδον, ἀλλ' ἐδεστὸν ἐξ αὐτοῦ φθίνει.

πρός often means, in accordance with: Xen. Anab. i. 2. 11, ου γαρ ην προς τοῦ Κύρου τρόπου ἔχοντα μη ἀποδιδόναι: Aristoph. Plut. 353-5, τό τε γαρ ἐξαίφνης ἅγαν οὕτως ὑπερπλουτεῖν τό τ' αὐ δεδοικέναι προς ἀνδρος οὐδὲν ὑγιές ἐστ' εἰργασμένου: Schol., ή ʿπρος' το καθηκον σημαίνει.

Dative; at, near: J. 18. 16, είστήκει πρὸς τῆ θύρα: 20. 12, θεωρεῖ δύο ἀγγέλους, ἕνα πρὸς τῆ κεφαλῆ, καὶ ἕνα πρὸς τοῖς ποσίν: L. 19. 37, ἐγγίζοντος δὲ ἀὐτοῦ ἤδη πρὸς τῆ καταβάσει τοῦ ὅρους, as he drew near Jerusalem, being already at the declivity of the mount.

#### $\pi \rho \dot{o}_{S}$ with the accusative.

 $\pi \rho \delta s$  with the accusative signifies the direction of motion, or the relation between two objects.

Actual motion : M. 2. 12, μη ἀνακάμψαι προς Ἡρώδην : 3. 5, ἐξεπορεύετο προς αὐτόν : 1 Th. 3. 6, ἐλθόντος προς ἡμᾶς ἀφ΄ ὑμῶν; M. 21. 34, ἀπέστειλε τοὺς δούλους αὐτοῦ προς τοὺς γεωργούς : 26. 57, ἀπήγαγον προς Καϊάφαν.

Also where antecedent motion is implied : Mk. 5. 22, πίπτει πρός τοὺς πόδας αὐτοῦ : M. 3. 10, ἡ ἀξίνη πρὸς τὴν ῥίζαν κεῖται : L. 16. 20, ὃς ἐβέβλητο πρὸς τὸν πυλῶνα αὐτοῦ : A. 5. 10, ἐξενέγκαντες ἔθαψαν πρὸς τὸν ἄνδρα αὐτῆς : M. 26. 18, πρός σε ποιῶ τὸ πάσχα : 55, πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐκαθεζόμην διδάσκων : Mk. 11. 4, εὖρον τὸν πῶλον δεδεμένον πρὸς τὴν θύραν.

Mere direction: L. 7. 44, στραφείς πρός την γυναϊκα: Ε. 3. 14, κάμπτω τὰ γόνατά μου προς τον πατέρα: Mk. 14. 54, θερμαινόμενος προς το φώς: G. 6. 10, έργαζώμεθα το ἀγαθον προς πάντας. From this arises its use with verbs of speaking.

The object of a disposition or feeling: 2 C. 3. 4, πεποίθησιν ξχομεν προς του Θεόν: 1 Th. 1. 8, ή πίστις ή προς του Θεόν: Α. 6. 1, γογγυσμος προς τους Έβραίους: L. 23. 12, προυπήρχον εν έχθρα ὄντες προς έαυτούς: Α. 28. 25, ἀσύμφωνοι ὄντες προς ἀλλήλους.

The relation which one object has towards another.

The remote object: Mk. 12. 12, έγνωσαν ὅτι πρὸς αὐτοὺς τὴν παραβολὴν εἶπε: Α. 24. 16, ἀπρόσκοπον συνείδησιν ἔχειν πρὸς

τον Θεόν: Μ. 27. 4, τί προς ήμας; what in reference to us? So H. 1. 7, προς μεν τους άγγέλους λέγει: Η. 9. 13, άγιάζει προς την τής σαρκός καθαρότητα: L. 18. 1, έλεγε παραβολην αυτος προς το δείν πάντοτε προσεύχεσθαι: Μ. 27. 14, ούκ ἀπεκρίθη αυτῷ προς οὐδὲ ἐν ῥήμα.

Conformity to a rule or standard: L. 12. 47, μηδὲ ποιήσας πρὸς τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ: 2 C. 5. 10, ἴνα κομίσηται ἕκαστος τὰ διὰ τοῦ σώματος πρὸς ἁ ἔπραξεν: G. 2. 14, οὐκ ὀρθοποδοῦσι πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου: Xen. Anab. vi. 1. 3, πρὸς αὐλὸν ἀρχήσαντο.

Hence it denotes comparison : R. 8. 18, οὐκ ἄξια τὰ παθήματα τοῦ νῦν καιροῦ πρὸς τὴν μέλλουσαν δόξαν : Plato, τὰ δὲ ἄλλα σμικρὰ ἀν εἴη πρὸς ταῦτά μοι βλάβη.

Looking to, the occasion of an act: Mk. 10. 5, προς την σκληροκαρδίαν ύμων έγραψεν ύμιν την έντολην ταύτην.

The subjective purpose of the agent: A. 3. 10, ούτος ην ό πρὸς τὴν ἐλεημοσύνην καθήμενος: 1 C. 6. 5, πρὸς ἐντροπὴν ὑμῶν λέγω: H. 6. 11, τὴν αὐτὴν ἐνδείκνυσθαι σπουδὴν πρὸς τὴν πληροφορίαν τῆς ἐλπίδος ἄχρι τέλους: 1 Th. 2. 9, νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας ἐργαζόμενοι πρὸς τὸ μὴ ἐπιβαρῆσαί τινα ὑμῶν: Ε. 4. 11, 12, ἔδωκε τοὺς μὲν ἀποστόλους . . πρὸς τὸν καταρτισμὸν τῶν ἀγίων: Philem. 5, ἀκούων σου τὴν ἀγάπην καὶ τὴν πίστιν ῆν ἔχεις πρὸς τὸν Κύριον Ἰησοῦν καὶ εἰς πάντας τοὺς ἀγίους, the Lord was the object towards which their feelings were directed (πρός); the operation of their feelings, as testified by their acts of benevolence among the saints, is set forth by εἰς.

Of a result or tendency: 2 P. 3. 16, à στρεβλοῦσιν πρὸς τὴν ίδίαν αὐτῶν ἀπώλειαν: J. 11. 4, ἀσθένεια πρὸς θάνατον: 1 J. 5. 16, ἀμαρτία πρὸς θάνατον.

In some expressions the primary idea of direction is lost, especially with persons: M. 26. 55,  $\pi\rho\delta$ s  $i\mu\hat{a}s \epsilon \kappa a\theta\epsilon \zeta \delta \mu\eta\nu$ : G. 1. 18,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu a \pi \rho \delta s a \nu \tau \delta \nu$ : 2. 5; 4. 18: 1 Th. 3. 4,  $\delta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \delta s \nu \mu \hat{a}s \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ : 2 Th. 2. 5.  $\pi\rho\delta s$  is followed by the accusative with verbs of rest, and is equivalent to  $\pi a\rho \dot{a}$  with the dative, 'apud aliquem.' In several of these instances previous motion is implied; so that with this use of  $\pi\rho\delta s$  we may compare the alleged interchange of  $\epsilon i s$  and  $\epsilon \nu$ .

In the expressions; J. 1. 1,  $\delta \Lambda \delta \gamma os \eta \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau \delta \nu \Theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ , and 1 J. 1. 2,  $\eta \tau \iota s \eta \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau \delta \nu \Pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a$ , there is no exact equivalent in English. The meaning is 'united to God;' ever abiding in and with Him. Adverbial uses are, πρός καιρόν, πρός ώραν, πρός το παρόν.

Adjectival: L. 14. 32, τὰ πρὸς εἰρήνην: A. 28. 10, τὰ πρὸς τὴν χρείαν: A. 23. 30: R. 15. 17, τὰ πρὸς τὸν Θεόν: 2 P. 1. 3, τὰ πρὸς ζωήν.

πρός in composition has the meaning of motion towards, προσάγω, προσέρχομαι, προσπίπτω: addition, besides, προσαιτέω, προσδαπανάω, προσαπειλέω: direction, προσεύχομαι, προσκλίνω: intensity, strengthening the force of the simple word: πρόσπεινος, προσφιλής.

# ADVERBS USED AS PREPOSITIONS.

The following adverbial prepositions are used with a genitive:  $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\nu$ , without, 1 P. 3. 1:  $\ddot{a}\chi\rho\iota$ ,  $\mu\dot{e}\chi\rho\iota$ , of place and time, as far as, until, M. 13. 30: A. 11. 5: R. 5. 13; 15. 19:  $\ddot{a}\chi\rho\iota$ s  $o\ddot{v}$ , until, whilst, as long as:  $\dot{e}\gamma\gamma\dot{v}$ s, near, J. 3. 23; 6. 19: H. 6. 8; 8. 13:  $\ddot{e}\mu\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$ , before, of place, M. 5. 24: in the presence of, 6. 1: precedence, J. 1. 15:  $\ddot{e}\nua\nu\tau\iota$ ,  $\dot{e}\nua\nu\tau\iota\sigma\nu$ ,  $\dot{e}\nu\dot{\omega}\pi\iota\sigma\nu$ , in the presence of:  $\ddot{e}\nu\epsilon\kappaa$ ,  $\chi\dot{a}\rho\iota\nu$ , on account of, for the sake of:  $\dot{e}\pi\dot{a}\nu\omega$ , above, of place, price, dignity, M. 21. 7: Mk. 14. 5: L. 19. 17, 18:  $\ddot{e}\omega\varsigma$ , as far as, of place, until, of time:  $\ddot{e}\omega\varsigma$  o $\ddot{v}$ , sc.  $\chi\rho\dot{o}\nu\sigma\nu$ , M. 1. 25:  $\ddot{o}\pi\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\dot{o}\pi\dot{c}\sigma\omega$ , behind, after:  $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\prime\sigma\nu$ , near.

 $\chi \dot{\alpha}\rho\nu$  does not always mean in gratiam, but is used especially by later writers to express all shades of meaning, from those of favour, furtherance, to those of mere causal relation. The meanings of  $\chi \dot{\alpha}\rho\nu$  range from in gratiam to causa and propter, just as those of  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa a$  range from causa to quod attinet ad. In G. 3. 19,  $\tau \dot{\alpha}\nu \pi a\rho a\beta \dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\omega\nu \chi \dot{\alpha}\rho\nu$ , some give a negative meaning to  $\chi \dot{\alpha}\rho\nu\nu$ : 'peccatorum coercendorum gratiâ,' but the correct meaning probably is, "Transgressionum causâ ut transgressiones palam faceret, ecque modo homines cogeret ad agnitionem sui reatus." Calvin. "The object of the law was to make transgressions palpable, to awaken a conviction of sin in the heart, and make man feel his need of a Saviour. It was thus also necessarily temporary ( $\ddot{\alpha}\chi\rho_{15}$  où  $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\partial\eta$   $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$   $\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu a$ ), for when the seed did come, higher influences began to work within," (Ellicott, G. 3. 19.)

another, joined with  $\phi i\lambda a\nu \theta\rho\omega \pi la$ , Tit. 3. 4.  $a\gamma a\theta\omega\sigma i\nu\eta$ , which occurs R. 15. 14; E. 5. 9; G. 5. 22; 2 Th. 1. 11, seems to mark that propension of mind which leads a man both to will and to do what is good, including necessarily the idea of bountifulness, Neh. 9. 25.  $a\gamma a\theta \delta \tau\eta\varsigma$  is a later word, and may be distinguished from  $a\gamma a\theta\omega\sigma \nu\eta$  as denoting rather 'goodness in its essence,' and is thus commonly used in reference to God." (Ellicott, G. 5. 22.)

Philo remarks  $\delta\sigma\iota\delta\eta\varsigma \ \mu\epsilon\nu \ \pi\rho\delta\varsigma \ \tau\delta\nu \ \Theta\epsilon\delta\nu$ ,  $\delta\iota\kappa a\iota\sigma\sigma\nu\eta \ \delta\epsilon \ \pi\rho\delta\varsigma \ a\nu\theta\rho\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma\varsigma \ \theta\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\tau a\iota$ . But  $\delta\sigma\iota\delta\tau\eta\varsigma$  involves the idea of holy purity,  $\tau\delta \ \kappa a\theta a\rho\delta\sigma$ : 1 T. 2. 8; H. 7. 26,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\delta \ \mu\epsilon\nu \ d\nu\theta\rho\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma\varsigma \ \tau a \ \pi\rho\sigma\sigma\eta\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma \ \pi\rho\delta\tau\tau\sigma\sigma \ \delta\epsilon \ \delta\epsilon\sigma\delta\sigma$ , Plato. " $\delta\sigma\ell\omega\varsigma$  and  $\delta\iota\kappa a\ell\omega\varsigma$  form on the positive side a compound idea of holy purity and righteousness, whether towards God or towards men, while  $d\mu\epsilon\mu\pi\tau\omega\varsigma$  states on the negative side the general blamelessness in both aspects and relations." (Ellicott, 1 Th. 2. 10.)

In the New Testament  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta s$  is equally co-extensive in meaning with  $\delta \gamma \alpha \theta \delta s$ , and frequently denotes what is simply and morally good: 1 Th. 5. 21; G. 6. 9; 1 T. 1. 8,  $\delta \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \tau \epsilon$  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta s$   $\delta \nu \delta \mu \sigma s$ , morally good, not merely useful but positively excellent. Archbishop Trench remarks that the usual distinction between  $\delta \sigma \iota \sigma s$  and  $\delta \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma s$ , which would refer  $\delta \sigma \iota \sigma s$  to the keeper of the first table of the law, and  $\delta \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma s$  to the keeper of the second, is not observed, and could hardly be maintained in the New Testament. The Scripture which recognizes all righteousness as one, as growing out of a single root, and obedient to a single law, gives no room for such an antithesis. He who loves his brother, and fulfils his duties towards him, loves him in God and for God. The second great commandment is not coordinated with the first greatest, but subordinated to, and in part included in it. (Mk. 12. 30, 31.)

 $\dot{\alpha}\gamma a\pi \dot{\alpha}\omega$  denotes the result of the deliberative exercise of the judgment; the giving a decided preference to one object or person out of many; love for the character; 'deligere;' frequently it implies regard and satisfaction rather than affection with especial reference to external acts.  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$  denotes greater strength of feeling, springing from passion or instinct, love for the person, 'amare,' 'delight in doing,' hence 'am wont to do.' Sexual love is expressed by  $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \ddot{\mu} \nu$ .

 $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta$  is more expressive and diffusive than  $\phi i\lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi l a$ ,

# CHAPTER X.

## SYNONYMS.

'Ayabós, good in its kind, morally good, virtuous, the opposite of rarós, bad in its kind. Contrasted with Síraios, ayabós describes a man of eminent kindness and philanthropy, a distinguished benefactor, 'qui commodum aliis præbet;' whereas  $\delta \ell \kappa a \iota o \varsigma$  describes one who does what is just and right according to law, 'qui recti et honesti legem sequitur.' The Sikalos may exemplify the maxim, 'Summum jus, summa injuria,' and thus forfeit his title to be regarded as ayabós. In contrast with δίκαιος, and approximating to ἀγαθός, is ἐπιεικής, one who tempers the rigour of strict justice, corrects its inaccuracies and supplies its defects with the gentleness and firmness of equity. επιείκειά έστιν ή δικαίων ελάττωσις. Some derive επιείκεια from είκω, cedo, others correctly from εἰκός (ἔοικα), 'quod decet.' Xpnortos, 'well disposed,' actively beneficent in spite of ingratitude: L. 6. 35, αὐτὸς χρηστός ἐστιν ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀχαρίστους καl πουηρούς, 'morally good :' Demosth. de Cor. 269, έγω νομίζω τόν μέν εύ παθόντα δείν μεμνήσθαι τον πάντα χρόνον, τον δέ ποιήσαντα εύθὺς ἐπιλελησθαι, εἰ δεῖ τὸν μὲν χρηστοῦ, τὸν δὲ μὴ μικροψύχου, ποιείν έργον ανθρώπου: χρηστότης, the goodness of the Divine attributes, showing itself by  $\phi i \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi l a$ , benevolence to man. In human agents χρηστότης is 'attractiveness,' "benignitas quæ in dandis beneficiis cernitur; sive suavitas invitans ad familiaritatem sui, dulcis alloquio, moribus temperata:" ayabwo úvy, sterling goodness apart from winning attractiveness. "Potest bonitas esse tristior, et fronte severis moribus irrugatâ bene quidem facere, et præstare quod poscitur."

" $X\rho\eta\sigma\tau\delta\tau\eta\varsigma$ ,  $d\eta a\theta\omega\sigma\delta\eta$ , are nearly synonymous.  $\chi\rho$ . may perhaps denote that benevolence and sweetness of disposition which finds its sphere and exercise in our intercourse with one

It extends not only to the brotherhood, but to all men, even enemies. Hence  $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta$  is the crown of Christian virtues.  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\ \mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\ \pi\dot{a}\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma,\ \tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\varsigma\varsigma\ \dot{\delta}\dot{e}\ \dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta$ . (Ignatius.)

äyos, any matter inspiring religious awe or reverence (äζομαι, stand in awe of), ayvos, pure, clean in a ceremonial sense, clear of reproach, honest, free from suspicion and above suspicion. ayvos implies properly an outward, and thence an inward purity, "in quo nihil est impuri." (Tittmann.) A simplicity of holy motive carried out in consistency of holy action. apr/La. ayvious, applied to the purifications which the Jews adopted previous to the celebration of the Jewish festivals; hence applied to the purification of the heart, 1 P. 1. 22; 1 J. 3. 3; Ja. 4. 8. aylos, consecrated to God, 'sanctus,' separated from a common to a holy use, I P. 1. 15; implying essential purity, subjective sanctity. Hence ayia (a, ayia o µos are connected with  $\kappa a \theta a \rho / \zeta \omega$ . ayios, the opposite of  $\kappa o i \nu \delta s$ ,  $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda o s$ , open to any one, combined with,  $\kappa\lambda\eta\tau\delta\varsigma$ : H. 3. 1,  $d\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi ol$  aylo,  $\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\epsilon\omega\varsigma$ έπουρανίου μέτοχοι. άγνός denotes freedom from inward impurity; *àµlavtos*, from stain outwardly contracted, or pollution; καθαρός, from alien admixture. "In ayios cogitatur potissimum verecundia qua ayva rei vel persona debetur."- ayos corresponds to the Latin word 'sacer,' and implies 'set apart' or 'devoted,' whether for good or for evil. In Thucyd. i. 126,  $\tau \delta$ άγος της θεοῦ, is the accursed thing devoted to the vengeance of the goddess, or that would draw down her vengeance. (Arnold.)

άγοράζω, buy, as in a market-place, for a certain price  $(\tau \iota \mu \eta)$ ;  $\lambda \upsilon \tau \rho \delta \omega$ , effect deliverance, by the payment of ransom and exertion of power.  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \tau \rho \sigma \nu$  is the price paid for releasing any one from captivity, punishment, or death ( $\lambda \dot{\nu} \omega$ , loose), the buying back by paying the price of what had been sold  $(a \pi o \nu a)$ , or the redeeming what had been devoted by substituting something in its place. So avr/hurpov, with the further idea 'in room of,' denoting exchange, the price paid for procuring the liberation of another by ransom or forfeit.  $\lambda \dot{\nu} r \rho \omega \sigma i s$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\lambda\dot{v}\tau\rho\omega\sigma\iota\varsigma$ , the process of deliverance;  $i\lambda a\sigma\mu\dot{o}\varsigma$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\iota\lambda a\sigma\mu\dot{o}\varsigma$ , are the same as *\u00edrepsympov*, with the leading idea of propitiation, expiation, the means of averting displeasure, and of providing for the exercise of mercy in harmony with justice; applied to our Lord as the propitiator, in 1 J. 2. 2; 4. 10. Thus the death of Christ has an effect on our salvation over and above its subjective power in subduing the heart and moulding the

will, for it is a ransoming and redemption from the penalties of avoμía, as well as its bondage, Tit. 2. 14. περιποιέομαι, make one's own, acquire for oneself, without reference to the manner. E. 1. 14, είς απολύτρωσιν της περιποιήσεως, with a view to that deliverance by purchase; the end and purpose of which was to acquire the inheritance in heaven, a deliverance from shame and woe, and an acquisition of an inheritance in glory and bliss. Hence the redeemed are called  $\lambda a \delta s$  els  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi o \delta \eta \sigma i v$ , 1 P. 2. 9; λαός περιούσιος, Tit. 2. 14. Christ has made them His own; their title to the kingdom of heaven consists in their being His. άπολύτρωσις includes three ideas: (1) a state of captivity; (2) the interposition of a λύτρον (M. 20. 28; Mk. 10. 45), or aντίλυτρον (1 T. 2. 6), a price or valuable consideration; (3) a consequent deliverance. Sometimes the  $\dot{a}\pi o\lambda \dot{v}\tau \rho \omega \sigma v$ ; is spoken of as completed, R. 3. 24; E. 1. 7; C. 1. 14: sometimes as future, R. 8. 23; E. 1. 14; 4. 30. It is the difference between the spiritual resurrection of J. 5. 25, and the bodily resurrection of J. 5. 28, 29. (Vaughan.) The avti in avtilutpov is not redundant, but expresses the idea of exchange; "permutationem quâ veluti capite caput et vitâ vitam redemit." (Waterland on Fundamentals, v. 72.) Bishop Ellicott has well remarked, "All the modern theories of atonement seem to overlook that God hates sin as sin, not as a personal offence against Himself."

άδόκιμος, in a passive sense, 'rejected on trial,' not standing the test, spurious; in an active sense, undiscerning, unable to distinguish truth from error, alien to; κατεφθαρμένοι τὸν νοῦν, vitiated in principle; μεμιασμένος, polluted in heart; ἄπιστος, unfaithful to profession; ἀποδοκιμάζω, reject as unsuitable or disqualified; βασανίζω, apply a touchstone, examine by words or torture, afflict; δόκιμος, approved on examination. δοκιμάζω is used in the two senses, prove by test, approve on trial: R. 2. 18, δοκιμάζεις τὰ διαφέροντα, art a discerner of things that differ. So Ph. 1. 10, to test right and wrong, true and false.

aidás, the inner grace of reverence, 'verecundia;' the turning in upon oneself ( $i\nu\tau\rho\sigma\pi\eta$ ), which recoils from any thing unseemly or impure, an innate moral repugnance to the doing of the dishonourable act; 'shamefastness.'  $ai\sigma\chi'\nu\eta$ , the sense of disgrace; the feeling of shame, 'pudor,' which attends the performance of a dishonourable deed, and the feeling which deters a man from bad conduct, through fear of being put to

shame.  $ai\delta\omega$ s will always restrain a good man from an unworthy act;  $ai\sigma\chi\nu\eta$  will sometimes restrain a bad man.  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta$  is to the intellectual faculty what  $ai\delta\omega$ s is to the heart and spirit:  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta$   $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\tau ai$   $a\pi\delta$   $\tau\sigma\nu$   $\sigma\omegaas$   $\tau as$   $\phi\rho\epsilon\nu as$  $\epsilon\chi\epsilon\nu$ , Chrysostom.  $ai\delta\omega$ s  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta$   $\pi\lambda\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\chi\epsilon\nu$ , Thucyd. i. 84. The soundness of mind or discretion which regulates and controls all inordinate desires, and exercises a dignified restraint on the actions and deportment. A well-balanced state of mind, resulting from habitual self-restraint: A. 26. 25,  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta$   $\epsilon\sigma\tau\nu$   $\epsilon\pi\kappa\rho$  $a\tau\epsilon\mu$   $\epsilon\nu\mu$  $\epsilon\nu\mu$  $\omega\nu$ , 4 Maco. 1. 31.

The importance of  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta$  is significantly shown by the connexion in which it stands in 1 T. 2. 15, έαν μείνωσιν έν πίστει και άγάπη και άγιασμῷ μετα σωφροσύνης.

airtéw, entreat, beg, supplicate, implies a distinction in position and oircumstances between the parties, and expresses a petition from an inferior to a superior.  $\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\hat{\rho}\nu$  is a word of wider meaning; to ask for information, question, as well as supplicate. Both words are used in A. 3. 2,  $ai\tau\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ , beg alms;  $\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\hat{\rho}\nu$ , make inquiry with that object. This distinction may be traced in 1 J. 5. 16. Many of the difficulties in J. 16. 23, 24; 17. 8, 9. 16, will be removed by bearing in mind this distinction. In J. 11. 22, Martha applies  $ai\tau\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$  to our Lord in addressing His Father; perhaps from overlooking His divine nature. Our Lord uses  $\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\omega\omega$ , not  $ai\tau\epsilon\omega$ , when He speaks of the Father.  $\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\omega\omega$ , in the sense of beseech, is a derivative and non-classical use suggested by the double use of  $\lambda\psi$ , Ps. 122. 6,  $\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\eta\sigma\alpha\tau\epsilon$   $\delta\eta$   $\tau d$  $\epsilonis \epsiloni\rho\eta\nu\eta\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$  Iepovoa $\lambda\eta\mu$ . Compare 1 Th. 4. 1; 5. 12: 2 Th. 2. 1: Ph. 4. 3.

airía, affair, matter; not necessarily fault or accusation; charge, whether true or false.  $\xi_{\gamma\kappa\lambda\eta\mu\alpha}$ , formal indictment;  $\xi\lambda\epsilon\gamma\chi\sigma$ s, charge, of which the offender is self-convinced;  $\dot{\rho}a\delta\iota\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\iota\alpha$ , wanton mischief, whatever is done carelessly or at random;  $\dot{\rho}a\delta\iota\sigma\dot{\rho}\gamma\eta\mu\alpha$ , deed of wanton villainy, against person, property, or religion;  $\pi a\nu\sigma\partial\rho\gamma\sigma$ s, one who is ready for any thing, 'facinorosus;' generally, but not necessarily, in a bad sense, like 'facinus;'  $\pi a\nu\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\iota\alpha$ , the character of such an one. L. 20. 23, n.

" alpeous schisma inveteratum;  $\sigma \chi l \sigma \mu a$  recens congregationis ex aliquâ sententiarum diversitate dissensio." Aug.

aloxpoloyla, foul-mouthed abusiveness of every kind; the

licence of the ungoverned tongue; but incorrectly limited to obscene discourse, 'turpiloquium;' such communication as ministers to wantonness:  $\delta\chi\eta\mu a \pi o\rho\nu\epsilon las$ , Chrysostom.  $al\sigma$ - $\chi\rho \delta\tau\eta s$ , filthiness, 'immunditia;' whatever is offensive to modesty and Christian purity; joined by Plato to  $\dot{a}\sigma\nu\mu\mu\epsilon\tau\rho la$ , impropriety of conduct.  $\mu\omega\rho \rho\lambda\sigma\gamma la$ , 'stultiloquium,' that talk of fools which is alike folly and sin; the  $\pi a\nu \dot{\rho}\eta\mu a \dot{a}\rho\gamma \delta\nu$  of our Lord, M. 12. 36; the  $\pi a\hat{s} \lambda \delta\gamma os \sigma a\pi\rho \delta s$  of St. Paul, E. 4. 29.  $\epsilon \dot{\nu}\tau\rho a\pi\epsilon\lambda i a$ , the power of giving a witty turn to the discourse which often showed itself in indelicacy of language; ( $\epsilon \dot{\nu}$  $\pi\rho \epsilon \pi c \sigma \theta a \iota$ ), wit and elegance enlisted in the service of sin. "In  $\mu\omega\rho \rho\lambda\sigma\gamma i a$  the foolishness, in  $al\sigma\chi\rho\rho\lambda\sigma\gamma / a$  the foulness, in  $\epsilon \dot{\nu}\tau\rho a\pi\epsilon\lambda / a$  the false refinement of discourse which is not seasoned with the salt of grace, are especially noted and denounced." Trench.

aiwr, 'a limited space of time,' hence 'that which is transitory, as opposed to that which is permanent;' the present world, as the seat of moral and physical evil, the universal course and tenor of human proceedings, the dispensation of fallen humanity. The term alwves, H. 1. 2; 11. 3, denotes 'the ages,' the temporal periods whose sum and aggregation adumbrate the conception of eternity. Bagileis Tŵr alwrwr, the sovereign dispenser and disposer of the ages of the world, 1 T. 1. 17. κόσμος, the present actual state, system, and constitution of things, frequently put for the inhabitants of the earth, 'toute le monde.' κόσμος, the world, or universe, from its perfect arrangement; mundus, opposed to the indigesta moles of Chaos. "κόσμος est quiddam exterius, alών subtilius; seculum, præsens mundus in sua indole cursu et censu." Bengel. In Homer aiw is 'short period of time,' lifetime; in Plato. 'long space,' 'eternity.'

κόσμος has practically three meanings: physical, M. 25. 34; collective, J. 3. 16: 1 T. 1. 15; ethical, 1 C. 2. 12. στοιχείον is used both in a physical, 2 P. 3. 10. 12, and in an ethical sense, H. 5. 12. Hence a great variety of interpretations has been given to G. 4. 3, τὰ στοιχεία τοῦ κόσμου, which are separable into two general classes: (1) the physical, elementa mundi, such as the festivals of Judaism, Zabianism, and abstractedly religion in sensible forms; (2) the ethical, rudimenta mundi, the first principles of religious knowledge among men, whether Jews or heathens. Grammatical considerations are in favour of the

physical sense, but exceptical may lead us to prefer the ethical. (Ellicott, G. 4. 3.)

κόσμος, G. 6. 14, τὰ βιωτικὰ πράγματα, Chrys. "Mundus procul dubio opponitur novæ creaturæ; quicquid ergo contrarium est spirituali Christi regno mundus est, quia ad veterem hominem pertinet. Mundus est quasi objectum et scopus veteris hominis." Calvin.

aκέραιος, unmixed, pure, guileless, 'integer;' ἄμεμπτος, unblamed, 'is in quo nihil desiderari potest;' ἄμωμος, with no stain on the conscience; ἀμώμητος, not open to censure; ἀνέγκλητος, not accused, with nothing laid to one's charge; ἄμωμος, without blemish of sin in himself; ἄσπιλος, without contracting any spot or stain of sin in the world; ἀλαζών, boastful in words, vaunting; ὑβριστής, outrageous in personal insults; ὑπερήφανος, proud in thoughts, overbearing, highminded: 1 J. 2. 16, ἡ ἀλαζώνεια τοῦ βίου, the braggadocio of life, wanton ostentation.

 $d\lambda\eta\theta\iota\nu\delta\varsigma$ , very, real, genuine; opposed to 'apparent' or 'fictitious;' that which has truth for its substance, and is all which it pretends to be.  $d\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\iotaa$ , that which is true;  $\psi\epsilon\vartheta\delta\sigma\varsigma$ , that which is false: R. 1. 25,  $\mu\epsilon\tau\eta\lambda\lambda a\xi a\nu \tau \eta\nu d\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\iotaa\nu \tau \sigma\vartheta \Theta\epsilon\sigma\vartheta$ .  $\epsilon\nu \tau\vartheta \psi\epsilon\vartheta\delta\epsilon\iota$ , parted with the reality of God, resting in that which is a lie: R. 1. 18,  $\tau\vartheta\nu \tau\eta\nu d\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\iotaa\nu \epsilon\nu d\delta\iota\kappala \kappaa\tau\epsilon\chi\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$ , those who might know God's true character, and yet live in unrighteousness.  $d\lambda\eta\theta\eta\varsigma$ , credible, truth-loving, upright, relates to the essential difference between right and wrong;  $\sigma\epsilon\mu\nu\delta\varsigma$  expresses the moral sense men have of this difference, honourable in action, grave in demeanour.

äλλoς, another in number; one besides that which has been mentioned, alius. ἕτερος, the other; one of two, alter, 1 C. 4. 6, implying therefore a stronger expression of difference than äλλoς, and equivalent to àλλοῖος, of other sort, diverse in kind. G. 1. 6, 7, εἰς ἕτερον εἰαγγέλιον ο οὐκ ἔστιν ǎλλo, to a gospel of different character, which is not another, i. e., no gospel at all; has no claim to be called a gospel. 2 C. 11. 4, ǎλλον Ἰησοῦν . . . πνεῦμα ἔτερον, where ἕτερος refers to distinction of kind; ǎλλoς, of individuality: M. 11. 3, Σὐ εἶ ὁ ἐρχόμενος, ἢ ἕτερον προσδοκῶμεν; another of different kind; Plato, ἕτερόν τε καὶ ἀνόμοιον: Ja. 4. 12, τὸν ἕτερον, the other who is brought into opposition with thee: R. 2. 1, the other to whom thou art united in the fellowship of the faith: A.

7. 18, aveorn Basileus erepos, a king of a different line; where äλλos would not exclude the meaning of a king of the same line: 17. 7. erepov Basiléa, a different kind of king: 27. 1, καί τινας έτέρους δεσμώτας, certain prisoners also of a different class: Η. 7. 11, κατά την τάξιν Μελχισεδέχ έτερου ανίστασθαι iepéa, that one of a different line, according to the order of Melchizedek, should arise up as priest : R. 7. 23, έτερον νόμον, another and an opposite rule. The charge against Socrates was, έτερα καινά δαιμόνια εἰσφέρων, Xen. Mem. i. 1. Το άλλα kaivà daimóvia, other deities of the same kind, there would perhaps have been no objection. This distinction between άλλος and έτερος is very generally observed; as Theodoret explains δίλογος, έτερα μέν τούτω, έτερα δε εκείνω λέγοντες. Sometimes Erepos means 'the second,' where more than two are meant: Demosth. de Coroná 215, (τρία ἐγκώμια) ἐν μέν άνδρίας, έτερον δε δικαιοσύνης, τρίτον δε σωφροσύνης.

άλλογενής, alien, of a different race: βάρβαρος, foreigner, speaking a different language: R. 1. 14, "Ελλησίν τε και βαρβάροις, σοφοῖς τε και ἀνοήτοις, civilized and uncivilized, intellectual and unintellectual men, (1) of all races, and (2) of all capacities; where ἀνοήτοις is used as a parallel expression for βαρβάροις. The same is the case with ἕθνει ἀσυνέτω, R. 10. 19, all other nations being as inferior to the Jews in religious knowledge, as all other nations were to the Greeks in human culture. (Vaughan.)

augría, aberration from prescribed law, or the voice of conscience, evil propensity. The general term for sin, J. 1. 29; 9. 34, all forms, phases, and movements of sin, whether entertained in thought or consummated in act:  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \pi \tau \omega \mu a$ , the particular special act of sin, falling aside from ignorance, inadvertence, negligence, Ja. 5. 16: G. 6. 1. aµaptla has more of sinfulness and presumption in it. Hence the continual expression, aperis apapriar. The difference is marked in Ps. 19. 12, 13, παραπτώματα τίς συνήσει; . . . καθαρισθήσομαι  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$   $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau las$   $\mu e \gamma \dot{a}\lambda \eta s$ . The law came in incidentally, in order that the transgression might abound, vóµos  $\pi a \rho \epsilon_i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$  (va πλεονάση τὸ παράπτωμα, not ή ἁμαρτία, R. 5. 20. The same act of sin became more clearly an act of transgression, as the standard of right was more clearly exhibited :  $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau\omega\lambda\delta\phi$ , the evident transgressor:  $\dot{a}\sigma\epsilon\beta\eta$ s, one who has no reverence for God : doéßeia, sin against God, ungodliness, practical im-

195

194

piety, the exact antithesis to  $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a$ :  $\delta \delta i \kappa i a$ , violation of right, sin against our neighbour, 'unrighteousness,' the opposite of  $\delta i \kappa a i o \sigma i \nu \eta$ , joined by Plato with  $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \sigma \nu \eta$ - $\rho i a$ . In its Christian usage and application it is similar in meaning to, but of wider reference than,  $\dot{a} \nu \rho \mu i a$ , of 1 J. 5. 17. " $\dot{a} \delta i \kappa i a$  de quâcumque improbitate dicitur, quâ tenus  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta i \kappa a l \varphi$ repugnat." (Tittmann.) As  $\delta i \kappa a i \sigma \sigma i \nu \sigma \gamma \sigma i \kappa a l \dot{\varphi} \sigma i \kappa \sigma i s \sigma i \kappa a l \dot{\varphi} \sigma i \kappa \sigma i s \sigma i \kappa a l \dot{\varphi} \sigma i \kappa \sigma$ 

In 1 T. 1. 9, *ăvoµoı*, *àvvπότακτοι*, imply overt opposition to law; *ăvoµos*, a passive disregard of its enactments; *àvvπότακτοs*, a more active violation arising from a refractory will. In Tit. 1. 10, *àvvπότακτοι* stands in near connexion with *àvτιλέγοντεs* (*iποτάσσεσθαι*, 'sponte submittere'). *àσεβεîs* and *àµaρτωλοί* denote want of reverence toward God; *àvóσιοι* and *βέβηλοι*, want of inner purity and holiness. In classical authors *àvóσιos* is frequently combined with *ăδικοs*, and marks the violation of *fas*, in contradistinction to *jus*.

äμαχος, the man who is not aggressive or pugnacious, who does not contend; the ἐπιεικής goes further, and is not only passively non-contentious, but actively considerate and forbearing, waiving even just, legal redress: ἐλαττωτικὸς καίπερ ἔχων τὸν νόμῶν βοηθόν. (Ellicott, 1 T. 3. 3.)

ἀνάθημα, votive offerings, as tripods, statues in honour of a deity (ἄγαλμα); ἀνάθεμα, curse, execration: ἀνάθημα expresses the 'sacrum' in a better sense; ἀνάθεμα, in a worse; separation from God is the central idea of ἀνάθεμα; separation to God is the central idea of ἀνάθημα. Clemens Alex. ἀνάθημα γεγόναμεν τῷ Θεῷ ὑπὲρ Χριστοῦ.

 $\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}\pi a\nu\sigma\iota\varsigma$ , pause, cessation from labour, the rest of the Sabbath, LXX  $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\sigma\iota\varsigma$ , loosing, relaxation of imprisonment, mitigation of trouble, anxiety, freedom from obligation:  $\pi\dot{a}\rho$ - $\epsilon\sigma\iota\varsigma$ , temporary pretermission, suspension of punishment, passing over, tolerating without special intervention:  $\ddot{a}\phi\epsilon\sigma\iota\varsigma$ , total remission, forgiveness, excluding the idea of punishment. God παρήκεν άμαρτίας before Christ's passion, but He ἀφίησιν άμαρτίας in, by, and after it. The former was a work of άνοχή, or forbearance; the latter, a work of χάρις, or grace.

άντιλαμβάνεσθαι, lay hold of with a view to help, L. 1. 54: A. 20. 35: claim, take part in, 1 T. 6. 2. So  $\epsilon \pi i \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ , 1 T. 6. 12. 19: H. 2. 16: συναντιλαμβάνεσθαι, lay hold of a thing, together with a person, and so to assist that person:  $\beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i \nu$ , run to help, 'opitulari.'

In classical Greek  $dri\lambda a\mu\beta$  is 'take a part in,' 'engage in :' Thuc. ii. 8,  $dri\lambda a\mu\beta dre\sigma\theta a$  to v  $\pi o\lambda e\mu ov$ , cling to, secure, get possession of: iii. 22,  $dri\lambda a\mu\beta$ . to  $d\sigma\phi a\lambda ov$ , with a subdued, intensive force, 'percipere,' 'frui:' Euseb. H. E. v. 15, evwolas to can'tys  $dre\lambda a\mu\beta av o\mu e\theta a$ .

ἀναστροφή, mode of life, behaviour, deportment, i. q., βίωσις. πολίτευμα, citizenship, commonwealth, life of common interest, duty, privilege.

 $\dot{\alpha}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\iotaa$ , unbelief, the general term applicable to persons of all conditions, without regard to their circumstances or opportunities of knowing the truth :  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\ell\theta\epsilon\iotaa$ , disobedience, restricted to those who know the path of duty.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\dot{\eta}s$  is uniformly, disobedient :  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\sigmas$ , faithless, disbelieving, incredible.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\epsiloni\nu$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\ell\theta\epsilon\iotaa$ , may be rendered 'disobey,' 'disobedience,' as denoting the overt and palpable manifestations of  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\iotaa$ .  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\ell\theta\epsilon\iotaa$  is well translated by Dr. Wordsworth, E. 2. 2, as unbelief in action;  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \dot{\alpha}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\iotaa$ , 1 T. 1. 13, in a state of unbelief, before I had been received into the Church by a profession of faith in Christ.

άπλοῦς, single, clear, as the eye, which presents a welldefined and single image to the brain; opposed to πονηρός, perverse, as the eye which dims and distorts the visual images. (Alford on M. 6. 23.) άπλότης, singleness of purpose, integrity, disinterestedness, generosity, impartiality. άπλότης marks "that openness and sincerity of heart which repudiates duplicity in thought (2 C. 11. 3) or action (R. 12. s). It is joined with ἀγαθότης (Wisd. 1. 1), ἀκακία (Philo), and is opposed to ποικιλία, πολυτροπία (Plato), κακουργία, κακοήθεια." Ellicott. εἰλικρίνεια, transparent sincerity, the opposite of κακία: πονηρία, craftiness, dishonesty: εἰλικρινής, tested by the sun: τῆ εἶλῃ κρινόμενος, tried by a full light, and shown to be perfect, clear, free from stain or mixture; ἀνυπόκριτος, not acting a part, genuine, in real character.

άποδημέω, go from home: ἀπόδημος, quitting home: ἐνδημέω, keep at home: ἐκδημέω, am away from home.

 $\dot{a}\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}a\beta\dot{\omega}\nu$ , earnest-money to ratify a contract:  $\pi\rho\dot{o}\delta\sigma\mu a$ , as present and part payment, and as a pledge for future and full payment:  $\sigma\phi\rho a\gamma/s$ , seal, authentication, proof of ownership.

άσέλγεια, excess in any thing, insolence, lewdness, unrestrained lust, amounting to μανία: ἀσωτία, self-abandonment, wretchlessness, the conduct of one 'qui nihil sibi servat,' 'qui servari non potest,' 'wastefulness.' ἀνάχυσις has the idea of letting the reins loose, giving oneself up: 1 P. 4. 4, εἰς τὴν αὐτὴν τῆς ἀσωτίας ἀνάχυσιν, to the same slough of profligacy. ἀσωτία is joined with κῶμοι, 2 Maco. 6. 4. Some derive ἀσέλγεια from Selge, a city of Pisidia, where the inhabitants were infamous for their vices; others derive it from θέλγειν, probably the same word as the German 'schwellen.' The fundamental notion of ἀσωτία is 'wastefulness' and 'riotous excess;' that of ἀσέλγεια, 'lawless insolence' and 'wanton caprice.'

 $\dot{a}\sigma\dot{v}\nu\theta\epsilon\tau\sigma\sigma$ , without regard to covenants or agreements in private life:  $\ddot{a}\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\sigma\sigma$ , without regard to public treaties:  $\ddot{a}\sigma\tau\sigma\rho\gamma\sigma\sigma$ , without the ties of natural affection:  $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\eta\mu\omega\nu$ , merciless in the treatment of enemies.

 $\check{a}\phi\theta a\rho\tau os$ , not liable to corruption, immaterial, as opposed to matter which decays. In 1 P. 1. 4  $\check{a}\phi\theta a\rho\tau os$  denotes the inner being of the inheritance:  $\dot{a}\mu (a\nu\tau os)$ , its unalloyed condition:  $\dot{a}\mu\dot{a}\rho a\nu\tau os$ , the continuance of its beauty:  $\dot{a}\phi\theta a\rho\sigma (a)$ , the maintenance of personal identity, from the imperishable and incorruptible nature of the life to come, and its complete exemption from death:  $\delta\iota a\phi\theta o\rho\dot{a}$ , corruption, turning to decay.

₹ 3

άφρων, without mind, senseless, destitute of any sound or intelligible principle. In E. 5. 17 άφρονες is opposed to συνιέντες τί τὸ θέλημα τοῦ Κυρίου. ἀσύνετος, irrational in conduct, stupid, silly: ἀνόητος, unreflecting, never applying their mind to moral and religious truth, opposed to σοφός, R. 1. 14; but it may mark the especial folly of those who own the right but do the wrong; hence ἀπειθεῖς, πλανώμενοι, Tit. 3. 3. ἀφροσύνη, senselessness, 'dementia,' stupidity, folly: ἄνοια, passion, rashness, 'amentia,' milder than μανία, madness: κακοήθης, maliciously cunning: εὐήθης, foolishly simple.

aidá $\delta\eta$ s, a self-loving spirit, which in the gratification of self is regardless of others, and is hence commonly  $i\pi\epsilon\rho\eta\phi$ avos, θυμώδης, παράνομος, rightly defined as "qui se non accommodat aliis, ideoque omnibus incommodus est, morosus." Tittmann. την αὐθάδειαν αὐταρέσκειαν λέγω, Greg. Naz. Tit. 1. 7: 2 P. 2. 10.

 $\beta \dot{a} \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $\beta a \pi \tau l \zeta \omega$ , differ chiefly in intensity, like 'to black,' and 'to blacken.'  $\beta \dot{a} \pi \tau \omega$ , dip or dye:  $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ , make a thing dipped or dyed : partizo, make a thing eppasyteror. Verbs in  $-i\zeta\omega$  are always factitive, as 'civilize,' or frequentative, as 'Hellenize,' 'philosophize,' until by the decay of language they lost their factitive or frequentative meaning. pavrijw and  $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$  are largely used as religious words, the former referring to the sprinkling of the atonement, and the latter to the dipping, and consequent washing of personal purification. Neither dyeing nor washing is strictly in  $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ , though dipping may be used for either purpose; and then dye or cleanse comes to be the secondary or even the common meaning. Compare our word 'joiner,' one who joins any thing, as equivalent to 'house-carpenter.' Hence the question started on the part of John's disciples, J. 3. 25, was, we may suppose, περί βαπτισμού, though the language is εγένετο ζήτησις έκ τών μαθητών Ιωάννου μετα Ιουδαίων περί καθαρισμού, and in A. 22. 16 Paul is commanded αναστάς βάπτισαι και απόλουσαι τές άμαρτίας σου, έπικαλεσάμενος το όνομα τοῦ Κυρίου. The difference between  $\dot{\rho}a\nu\tau i\zeta\omega$  and  $\beta a\pi\tau i\zeta\omega$  is best arrived at by treating both as theological terms, bringing up to the mind of the Jews temple-rites rather than common trades. (Angus.)

 $\beta \dot{a}\rho \sigma s$ , heavy weight, of trial or temptation, internal or external, some portion of which is transferable, and can be borne by others, 'onus:'  $\phi o \rho \tau \langle o \nu \rangle$ , a burden which we bring upon ourselves, and must bear ourselves, as the burden of sin; 'sarcina,' G. 6. 2. 5. Bishop Ellicott considers  $\beta \dot{a}\rho \eta$  to be used in a general way, with reference to the community at large;  $\phi o \rho \tau \langle o \nu \rangle$ , with reference to the burden of sins and infirmities, which each one, like a wayfarer, has to carry. Wisd. 21. 6. Xen. Mem. iii. 13. 6.

βούλομαι expresses a wish, intention, purpose, formed after deliberation and upon considering all the circumstances of the case.  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$  denotes a natural impulse or desire, the ground of which is generally obvious, or for which it is unnecessary to assign a reason: M. 1. 19, μη  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ , being reluctant, as was

naturally the case:  $\partial \beta o \nu \lambda \eta \partial \eta$ , 'was minded,' deliberately purposed, intended after careful consideration. Dr. Wordsworth says (1 Th. 2. 18) that  $\theta \in \lambda \omega$  expresses a stronger desire than βούλομαι. But natural impulses are generally more violent than reasonable resolves.  $\hat{\theta} \in \lambda e \nu$  has been explained of active volition and purpose;  $\beta o i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ , of mere inclination, passive desire, or propensity; but the idea of deliberate intent is implied in Ja. 4. 4, δς αν βουληθή φίλος είναι τοῦ κόσμου έχθρὸς τοῦ Θεοῦ καθίσταται: 1 Τ. 6. 9, οἱ βουλόμενοι πλουτεῖν ἐμπίπτουσιν είς πειρασμόν και παγίδα. In both these cases  $\theta$ έλειν would be altogether inappropriate. Compare M. 1. 19; 11. 27. So also  $\beta o i \lambda o \mu a i$  would be very unsuitable in 1 T. 5. 11,  $\theta \in \lambda o \nu \sigma i$  $\gamma a \mu \epsilon i \nu$ , where the Apostle remarks on the natural impulse of the desire, and not on the  $\beta_{ou\lambda\eta}$  formed in the fear of God. Both words occur Philem. 13, δν έγω έβουλόμην πρός έμαυτον κατέχειν κ.τ.λ., my intention was, considering the service he could render me, to keep him with myself, but apart from your expressed opinion, I repress, put aside my natural desire  $(\eta\theta\epsilon\lambda\eta\sigma a)$ , and will do nothing of this kind, i.e., I have no wish in the matter. While  $\beta o i \lambda o \mu a i$  implies the exercise of some deliberation, which is almost excluded from  $\theta \ell \lambda \omega$ , it seems to indicate a less formal resolve than Boulevoyas. Hence while βούλημα indicates deliberate intention, βούλευμα implies determined resolve;  $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma i$ , purpose, deliberate resolution, or plan.

 $\beta\lambda a\sigma\phi\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , to injure a person's character, to hurt his good name, speak to his prejudice: 1 C. 4. 13, βλασφημούμενοι παρακαλοῦμεν, i. e. being slandered we implore the slanderers; mildly and humbly deprecating their slander. *λοιδορέω*, abuse a man to his face, revile him personally; "maledicto tanquam aculeo vulnerare hominem," by the use of language which is likely to sting a man, and pierce him to the quick. The opposito to it is εὐλογεῖν: 1 C. 4. 12, λοιδορούμενοι εὐλογοῦμεν. So 1 P. 3. 9,  $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$ , defamation, the speaking to a man's prejudice, the invasion of his prerogative; in connexion with the name of God it naturally has the more special and terrible meaning of 'blasphemy,'  $\eta' \epsilon i \varsigma \Theta \epsilon \delta \nu' \delta \beta \rho i \varsigma$ . (See algopologia.)  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\mu$ , spitefulness, the satisfaction which is felt in injuring another, like the dog in the manger: oùy iva  $\tau i$  aù  $\tau \phi$ ,  $d\lambda \lambda$ ' iva  $\mu\eta$  excluse, Demosth. de Coroná.  $\pi$ inpla, bitterness of feeling and disposition, A. 8. 23; H. 12. 25; the prevailing temperament and frame of mind, opposed to  $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\sigma}\tau\eta\varsigma$ .  $\kappa\kappa\kappa la$ , evil habit, baseness, uncharitableness in all its forms; the genus of which  $\beta\lambda a\sigma\phi\eta\mu la$ ,  $\epsilon\pi\eta\rho\epsilon a$ ,  $\pi\iota\kappa\rho la$ ,  $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . are species.  $al\sigma\chi\rho\sigma\lambda\sigma\gamma la$  has nearly the same relation to  $\kappa\rho a\nu\gamma\eta$  that  $\dot{\sigma}\gamma\eta$ has to  $\theta\nu\mu\phi\varsigma$ .  $\pi\sigma\nu\eta\rho la$ , the active manifestation of  $\kappa\kappa\kappa la$ . So  $\pi\sigma\nu\eta\rho\phi\varsigma$ , one who is actively wicked.  $\pi a\rho\dot{a} \tau\sigma\hat{\nu} \pi \delta\nu\sigma\varsigma \gamma \iota\nu\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\varsigma$ , Suidas.

 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{a}$ , progeny, offspring, generation;  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma s$ , race, people having a common descent;  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu a$ , produce of the ground, of trees, of animals;  $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \sigma s$ , a people living under common institutions;  $\delta \eta \mu \sigma s$ , free citizens, enjoying a popular constitution;  $\lambda a \delta s$ , the people at large, as a ruling power.

 $\gamma l \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ , become, be made, come to pass, turn out:  $\nu \pi \dot{a} \rho \gamma \epsilon \nu$ , to be originally, by birth, by primary and essential condition. It may be doubted whether ylveo bai, intipyeiv are ever used for the simple elvas: for ylveobas implies change of state, character, or condition; whereas inápyeu calls attention to the original condition of the subject, that he is as he always was. H. 1. 4, n.; Ph. 2. 6, n. The distinction between the words will be apparent in the following: 2 C. 3. 7, 8, εγενήθη εν δόξη, was made to be in glory for a time; έσται έν δόξη, shall be in glory permanently: Η. 11. 6, ὅτι ἐστὶ καὶ τοῦς ἐκζητοῦσιν αὐτὸν μισθαποδότης γίνεται, that he exists, and to those who earnestly seek him, becomes a giver of reward : A. 7. 55, υπάρχων δε πλήρης Πνεύματος άγίου, not yevóµevos, and more than wv, as it shows his antecedent spiritual condition : A. 17. 24, ούτος ούρανοῦ καὶ γῆς Κύριος  $i\pi \dot{a} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ : here  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \nu \rho \varsigma$  would have been quite out of place (contrast Ph. 2. 6. 8, έν μορφή Θεού ύπάρχων . . . . γενόμενος ύπήκοος μέχρι θανάτου): Α. 22. 3, ζηλωτής ύπάρχων τοῦ Θεοῦ: Ja. 1. 22, γίνεσθε, ' become ye:' H. 6. 12, ίνα μη νωθροι γένησθε, that ye become not dull: 1 Th. 2. 5, our yap more in Noyw κολακείας έγενήθημεν, 'did we take part in,' 'came we to share in :' ybyvouat ev implies the entrance into, and existence in the given thing or condition: 1 Th. 2. 14, ev παραβάσει γέγονεν, became involved in transgression : L. 22. 44, ev aywula : A. 22. 17, έν ἐκστάσει: 2 T. 1. 17, γενόμενος έν 'Ρώμη, when he arrived in Rome and was there: Xen. Anab. iv. 3. 29, δς αν πρώτος έν τῷ πέραν γένηται: Cyrop. viii. 5. 13, ἀπιών ἐγένετο ἐν Μήδοις: Demosth. de Coroná 95, τοῦτο γὰρ ὑπάρχειν ὑμῶς εἰδότας ryoῦμαι, for I consider that you know this from the beginning.

γεωργός, tiller of the soil, husbandman:  $d\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda oupγ$ ός, vincdresser.

 $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ , the decision formed, mind made up, resolution, sententia;  $\nu o \hat{\nu} s$ , perception, apprehension, way of thinking, sensus.  $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$  is also personal opinion, uttered upon reflection and deliberation, in opposition to  $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta$ , express injunction. " $\nu o \hat{\nu} s$ intus in credendis,  $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$  sententia prolata in agendis."  $\nu o \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ , perceive, 'merken;'  $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ , understand, 'verstehen.' "Plus est  $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$  quam  $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$  est nosse.  $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ , attente expendere." E. 5. 17: L. 18. 34: M. 13. 13.

yrŵois, faculty of knowing, intelligence, comprehension, insight into divine truth : embywars, the act of coming to full knowledge, perfect knowledge.  $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma i s$  is the additional advanced instruction given subsequent to the rudimental catechetical teaching: R. 1. 28, και καθώς ούκ έδοκίμασαν τον Θεον έχειν ἐν ἐπιγνώσει, and in proportion as after trial and testing of the truth they had received, they rejected the retention of God in their mind by expanding and developing that fundamental knowledge. When λόγος and γνώσις are distinguished from each other, *loyos* means speech, utterance, power of expression : yvŵous, spiritual intelligence and insight, doctrinal knowledge: Xóyos yvώσεωs, the faculty of unfolding and expounding Gospel truth. In  $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a$  there is prominent the notion of inspired utterance, public declaration to all classes of hearers, oracular declaration of the Divine will, but not necessarily with regard to things to come. Dr. Vaughan remarks (R. 12. 6), " $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon la$  was the most desirable of all the spiritual gifts of the early Church, (1) because unlike the gift of tongues it conveyed οἰκοδομήν καὶ παράκλησιν καὶ παραμυθίαν, 1 C. 14. 1-5; (2) it was els σημείον ου τοις απίστοις (like ai γλώσσαι), άλλα τοις πιστεύουσιν: (3) it was the means of disclosing to a hearer tà κρυπτά της καρδίας αὐτοῦ, and thus of bringing him to worship God; (4) it was exercised under direct and special revelation ( $\dot{\epsilon} d\nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \ \dot{a} \pi \sigma \kappa a \lambda \nu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda., v.$ 30); but (5) it was capable of control by the possessor, for the avoidance of confusion and disorder, vv. 31, 32. It was a gift therefore (according to the proper meaning of the term  $\pi\rho\phi\dot{\eta}$ rys), not of prediction, but of inspired preaching; of forth-telling. not of foretelling; prædicandi, not prædicendi." In Ph. 1. 9, ἐπίγνωσις is accurate knowledge of moral and practical truth;

•

ato $\theta\eta\sigma$  is, the power of apprehension, moral tact, perceptivity, the contrary of that dulness and inactivity of the mental sense which induces moral want of judgment and indifference. σοφία implies a divine affection of the heart, which produces a right application of the yvŵois. (Compare Cowper, Task, on the contrast between Wisdom and Knowledge. See also the first poem in Tennyson's In Memoriam.) σοφία may be regarded as wisdom residing in the mind, while poornous is wisdom in action, the faculty which applies the principles of wisdom. Thus polynois is said to be a fruit of oopla: LXX, Prov. 8. 1, σὺ τὴν σοφίαν κηρύξεις, ίνα φρόνησίς σοι ὑπακούση: Prov. 8. 12, έγω ή σοφία κατεσκήνωσα την βουλήν και γνωσιν, και έννοιαν έγω έπεκαλεσάμην: Jer. 10. 12, κύριος δ άνορθώσας την οικουμένην έν τη σοφία αὐτοῦ, καὶ τη φρόνήσει αὐτοῦ ἐξέτεινε τὸν οὐρανόν. See Prov. 3. 19. Comp. the use of population, M. 10. 16; 25. 2: φρονίμως ἐποίησεν, L. 16. 8. The seven wise men of Greece were practical men,  $\sigma u \nu \epsilon \tau o i$ , rather than the  $\sigma o \phi o i$  of a later age. Dicæarchus remarks (Laert. i. 40), οὔτε σοφοὺς οὕτε φιλοσόφους αύτούς γεγονέναι, συνετούς δέ τινας και νομοθετικούς. In 1 T. 6. 20, αντιθέσεις της ψευδωνύμου γνώσεως, oppositions of the knowledge which falsely arrogates to itself that name: "non enim vera scientia esse potest, quæ veritati contraria est."

γινώσκω implies knowledge which produces some emotion and affection of the mind; it occurs repeatedly in St. John's first Epistle. Christian πράξις is the test of Christian γνώσις. We may infer our knowledge of Christ from our obedience to Him, J. 7. 17. To know the Lord in the language of Scripture is to believe in Him, to fear, to love, to obey.  $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau a \mu a \iota$ , rest upon, implies knowledge of a lower degree, apprehend as a fact, without reflection, and sometimes only as an instinct, Jude 10. olδa, know as a doctrine of the Christian faith; γινώσκω, know from personal experience: 1 J. 2. 29, έαν είδητε ότι δίκαιός έστι, γινώσκετε ότι πας ό ποιών την δικαιοσύνην έξ αυτού γεγέννηται: 1 J. 4. 16, και ήμεις εγνώκαμεν και πεπιστεύκαμεν την αγάπην ην έχει ο Θεος έν ήμιν, and we by our own personal experience know, reflect upon with acquiescence and satisfaction, approve: δ γλρ κατεργάζομαι ου γινώσκω: Ps. 1. 6, γινώσκει Κύριος όδον δικαίων. ήδειν, είδότες may often be rendered 'consider,' A. 7. 18; 23. 5: R. 7. 7: E. 6. 8: C. 3. 24. In 1 Th. 5. 12 cibévai means ' recognize fully,' " ut rationem et respectum habentis," analogous to YT', and approximating in meaning

to  $\epsilon \pi \nu \gamma i \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon i \nu$ , 1 C. 16. 18. This use of  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \nu a \iota$  does not occur in classical Greek. Cf. G. 4. 9: 1 C. 8. 3: J. 10. 14.

 $\gamma o \gamma \gamma v \sigma \mu \delta s$ , the outward expression of sullen discontent, illconcealed dissatisfaction:  $\delta i a \lambda \delta \gamma i \sigma \mu \delta s$ , the inward disposition to murmur and object, evil thoughts, unreasonable reasoning.

 $\delta\epsilon_i\lambda_i$ , moral cowardice, 'timor' (the contrary extreme to physical cowardice is  $\theta_{\rho a \sigma i \tau \eta s}$ , foolhardiness).  $\phi \delta \beta_{\sigma s}$  is a middle term, 'metus,' used both in a good and bad sense. In a bad sense it is the effect of the muciua doulelas, R. 8. 15, the being afraid of God; in 1 P. 3. 14, intimidation. In a good sense, 'the fear of God,' passim : eiláßeia, reverence, object of fear, cautious observance resulting from salutary fear:  $\epsilon i \lambda a \beta \eta s$ , cautious and careful in conduct, one who takes heed to the thing which is right, especially applied to pious Jews, 'devout' in A. V., which is also used as the English equivalent for evore  $\beta \epsilon i \varsigma$  and  $\sigma \epsilon \beta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ , proselytes to the Jewish faith :  $\epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \beta \eta \varsigma$ , eusé βεια, denote practical piety of every kind in the sense of the Latin 'pius,' 'pietas,' reverence ( $\sigma \epsilon \beta a_{S}$ ) well and rightly directed; Angl. 'worship,' i. e. worthship:  $\theta \epsilon o \sigma \epsilon \beta \eta \varsigma$ ,  $\theta \epsilon o \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota a$ , necessarily refer to piety toward God, which is not always the case with evocebis, evoébera, but in the New Testament evoébera is practically the same as  $\theta \epsilon o \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a$ , "vis pietatis in ipså vitå vel externa vel interna " (Tittmann): ή πρòς τὸν ἕνα καὶ μόνον ώς άληθως δμολογούμενόν τε καλ όντα Θεόν άνάνευσις, και ή κατά τοῦτον ζωή (Eusebius): θρησκεία, the ceremonial service of religion, the external form, of which  $\theta \epsilon o \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota a$  is the animating spirit:  $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \sigma s$ , 'religiosus,' the zealous and diligent performer of the outward service of God: δεισιδαίμων, δεισιδαιμονία were originally neutral terms, expressing respect for dead men, awe of invisible beings:  $\pi \tau \acute{o} \eta \sigma \iota_s$ , alarm, trepidation, from the apprehension of real or imaginary dangers.

•

δεσπότης is applied to one who has absolute and unlimited power, as a master over slaves: κύριος implies a power subject to limitation, as a husband over his wife, as a father over his children: κύριος γυναικός και υίῶν ἀνὴρ και πατήρ, δεσπότης δὲ ἀργυρωνήτων (Ammonius): κύριος is a title of honour. The application of δέσποτα implies greater submission: κύριε, greater respect. Hence the Greeks refused to apply the title of δεσπότης to any but the gods. As however the δεσπότης affected the character of εὐεργέτης (L. 22. 25), the slave-owner would often be gratified, if they acknowledged him as κύριος. St. Paul applies the terms  $\kappa i \rho \iota o \iota$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau a \iota$ , to masters without distinction. Both terms are applied to the Father and to the Son (2 P. 2. 1: Jude 5). But  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta \varsigma$  expresses more decidedly than  $\kappa i \rho \iota o \varsigma$  the absolute dominion of God over His creatures.

διάβολος, slanderer, traducer, spoken of men, 2 T. 3. 3; of women, 1 T. 3. 11; Tit. 2. 3: κατάλαλος, Theoph. Σατανα̂ς is applied to any tempter or adversary of the truth, M. 16. 23. The noun in Hebrew denotes an adversary or opposer. The verb means 'to lie in wait,' 'oppose,' 'resist.' Both words are applied to the prince of the fallen angels: Rev. 12. 9, δ ὄφις ὅ ἀρχαῖος, ὅ καλούμενος διάβολος και ὅ σατανα̂ς. The Hebrew σατανα̂ς is more generic than the Greek διάβολος. The former expresses his character as an opposer of all good; the latter denotes his relation to the saints as their accuser, calumniator, traducer, Job 1. 7—12; Zech. 3. 1, 2. The sacred writers adopt all the forms of personal agency in setting forth the conduct and character of Satan. (M. 12. 26, n.)

διάκονος, attendant, one in subordinate station, a word of very extensive signification, formed perhaps from διήκω, run to serve. Applied to our Lord, L. 22. 27; to St. Paul, 2 C. 6. 4; to magistrates, R. 13. 4. ὑπηρέτης, subordinate agent, implying a superior, attendant in the synagogue, or in the council. So ὑπηρετέω, 'act for,' serve under any one. θεράπων, one who holds a confidential position, as ministers of state are servants of the crown. οἰκονόμος, home-manager, steward, chamberlain. οἰκέτης, domestic servant. δοῦλος, a slave, in the lowest grade. διακονία, especially used of ministering to the poor, A. 6. 1; 12. 25; 2 C. 8. 4; but means any kind of service; a word of wider meaning than λατρεία.

ἐπίτροπος, overlooker, guardian, one entrusted with the charge of any thing: Aristoph. Eccl. 212, ἐπιτρόποις καὶ ταμίαισι: Xen. Œcum. xii. 2, ὁ ἐν τοῖς ἀγροῖς ἐπίτροπος (villicus). In G. 4. 2, ἐπίτροποι and οἰκονόμοι are the guardians and stewards (slaves perhaps) who superintended the education and provided for the support of the κληρονόμος (herus). Ælian, Var. Hist. iii. 26, ἐπίτροπος καὶ τοῦ παιδὸς καὶ τῶν χρημάτων.

διδάσκαλος, master, as teacher of scholars, disciples:  $\epsilon \pi i$ στάτης, master, as the head of a company, or as the employer of workmen: κύριος, master, with reference to wife, children, servants, or as Lord of subjects. The term διδάσκαλος does not

describe any separate order in the Church, but denotes a special gift and quality distinguishing some persons in the Church. St. Paul calls himself  $\delta\iota\delta \delta\sigma\kappa a\lambda o\varsigma \ \epsilon \theta\nu \omega\nu$ , 1 T. 2. 7: 2 T. 1. 17, where we find the words  $\dot{\sigma}\pi \delta\sigma\sigma \delta\lambda c\varsigma$  and  $\kappa \eta\rho\nu\xi$  associated with  $\delta\iota\delta \delta\sigma\kappa a\lambda c\varsigma$ . He was sent to be a herald  $(\kappa \eta\rho\nu\xi)$  in the degree of an Apostle  $(\dot{\sigma}\pi \delta\sigma\tau o\lambda c\varsigma)$ , with the endowment of supernatural gifts to be a  $\delta\iota\delta \delta\sigma\kappa a\lambda c\varsigma$ . In A. 13. 1,  $\delta\iota\delta \delta\sigma\kappa a\lambda c\iota$  are joined with  $\pi\rho o\phi \eta\tau a\iota$ . In E. 4. 11,  $\tau o\dot{\nu}\varsigma \ \delta\epsilon \ \pi o\iota\mu \epsilon \nu a\varsigma \ \kappa a\iota \ \delta\iota\delta a\sigma\kappa a\lambda o\nu\varsigma$ , different names of the same class, stationary rather than missionary.  $\pi o\iota\mu \epsilon \nu c\varsigma, \ \epsilon \pi \delta\sigma\kappa \sigma \tau o\iota, \ \pi\rho c\sigma \beta \delta \delta \tau \epsilon \rho c\iota, \ oi \ \eta\gamma o \delta \delta \delta \sigma \kappa a\lambda o\iota$  were  $\delta\iota\delta \delta\sigma\kappa a\lambda o\iota$  possessing the  $\chi \delta\rho s \mu a \ \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ . The  $\delta\iota\delta \delta \sigma - \kappa a\lambda o\iota$  had the gift of  $\delta\iota\delta a\chi \eta$ , but were not invested as a body with any administrative powers and authority.

διδάσκω, διαλέγομαι, are especially applied to the instruction of believers, A. 5. 42; 20. 7. The latter is used of conversational teaching: κηρύσσω, proclaim as a herald, reiterate a solemn message or startling fact, to excite the attention of unbelievers, M. 3. 1. εὐαγγελίζομαι is a more general term, applied to private members of the Church, as well as public teachers, denoting ordinary conversation as well as public addresses, i. q.,  $\lambda a \lambda o \hat{v} r \epsilon_s \tau \partial \nu \lambda \delta \gamma o \nu$ , A. 11. 19: κατηχέω, instruct orally, 1 C. 14. 19: G. 6. 6: διαμαρτύρεσθαι, to deliver their testimony thoroughly and completely.

διδαχή, διδασκαλία, the instruction of the young and ignorant, sometimes mission, ministry: παράκλησις, the exhortation of more advanced Christians, used very much as λόγος, but with especial reference to invitations, encouragements, entreaties, cheering on to Christian action: παραμυθία, persuasive power, expressive of more tenderness than παράκλησις. διδαχή (teaching) may point more to the act, διδασκαλία (doctrine), more to the substance or result of teaching. This sense of διδαχή is supported by Thucyd. iv. 126, ούκ αν όμοίως διδαχήν αμα τη παρακελεύσει ἐποιούμην. προφητείαι, varied declarations of the divine counsels, expositions of God's oracles immediately inspired by and emanating from the Holy Spirit.

σοφίζω marks the true wisdom which the Holy Scriptures impart: 2 T. 3. 15: Ps. 19. 7, σοφίζουσα νήπια: 105. 22, τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους σοφίσαι: 119. 98, ἐσόφισάς με τὴν ἐντολήν σου: Theoph. ἡ ἔζω γνῶσις σοφίζει τὸν ἄνθρωπον εἰς ἀπάτην καὶ σοφίσματα καὶ λογομαχίας . . . ἀλλὰ ἡ θεία γνῶσις σοφίζει εἰς σωτηρίαν.

## SYNONYMS - δικαιόω, δοξάζω.

δικαιόω, make δίκαιον, 'make out to be just,' applied to things, deem just, claim as one's right or due, desire to be done, like a Eiów. When spoken of persons, put in the position of Sikalos, 'account righteous,' do a man justice, give him his due by acquitting him of the charge, or by inflicting the penalty, and thus cancelling the crime. It is in the latter sense that the Scotch used the word 'justify,' as equivalent to execute. Sikalogúvy, the state, habit, and guality of him who is Síkalos, the virtue which is opposed to asikia, avopla, R. 6. 13: 2 C. 6. 14, and to the corrupt bias of human nature, 2 C. 11. 15: right conduct conformable to the laws of God, 2 T. 2. 22; 3. 16: Tit. 2. 12. In 1 T. 6. 11, Sikalogún is joined with  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \mu a$ , of which the latter denotes practical piety. as the result of general conformity to God's law.  $\pi i \sigma \tau i \varsigma$  and  $d\gamma d\pi \eta$  are mentioned as the fundamental principles of Christianity:  $i\pi o\mu ov\eta$ ,  $\pi \rho \ddot{a} v \pi \dot{a} \theta \epsilon_{ia}$ , as the principles on which a Christian ought to act towards gainsayers and opponents. δικαιοσύνη Θεού, R. 1. 17, refers to the plan devised by God for man to be just before Him, where the addition of  $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$ points to God Himself as the Author, the origin, the source. R. 3. 26, Sikalov kai Sikalovra, righteous, and imparting righteousness. "There is a broad distinction between the absolute and the relative use of  $\delta_{i\kappa a_iov\sigma\theta a_i}$ . It is used absolutely in regard to God, L. 7. 29; Christ, 1 T. 3. 16; men, R. 4. 2: Ja. 2. 21. In the relative use we must distinguish between the purely judicial meaning, M. 12. 37, and the comprehensive dogmatical meaning, which includes the idea not only of forgiveness of past sins (R. 6. 7), but also of a spiritual change of heart through the inworking power of faith." Ellicott. Sinaíwµa, what is ordained as just, statute, decree (Latin, 'jubeo,' 'jussum,' 'jus,' 'justum'): ordinance, L. 1. 6: H. 9. 1. 10: requirement, R. 2. 26; 8. 4: sentence of condemnation, 1. 32: of acquittal, 5. 16: righteous act, 18; Rev. 19. 8:  $\delta i \kappa a l \omega \sigma i s$ , the action of the legislator or judge in promulgating a decree, in declaring a person righteous, in recognizing him as such, R. 4. 25; 5. 18.

 $\delta\delta\xi a$ , manifestation of excellence, J. 2. 11, the future state of acknowledged perfection which God designs for man, R. 8. 18. 21; 9. 23, the sum of the true attributes or characteristics of God, J. 1. 14.

δοξάζω, recognize in true character, R. 1. 21: J. 7. 39:

2 Th. 3. 1: R. 11. 13. Thus L. 17. 18,  $\delta\iota\delta\delta\nu a\iota \ \delta\delta\xi a\nu \ \tau\hat{\varphi} \ \Theta\epsilon\hat{\varphi}$ , to ascribe to God His true character (J. 9. 24: A. 12. 23): J. 11. 40,  $\delta\psi\eta \ \tau\eta\nu \ \delta\delta\xi a\nu \ \tau\circ\hat{\nu} \ \Theta\epsilon\circ\hat{\nu}$ , His power manifested: R. 9. 23: E. 3. 16,  $\tau\partial\nu \ \pi\lambda\circ\hat{\nu}\tau\sigma\nu \ \tau\eta\varsigma \ \delta\delta\xi\eta\varsigma$ , the fulness of his perfections:  $\delta\nu\circ\mu a$ , revelation of character and will, that which brings before the mind all that a person is: M. 1. 23,  $\epsilon\iota\varsigma \ \delta\nu\circ\mu a$  $\pi\rho\circ\phi\eta\tau\sigma\nu$ , to acknowledge one in the character of prophet, M. 10. 41;  $\Pi \acute{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho$ ,  $\delta\delta\xi a\sigma\delta\nu \ \sigma\circ\nu \ \tau\delta \ \delta\nu\circ\mu a$ , manifest Thyself according to that which Thou art, J. 12. 28; a summary of the divine character or qualities, Ex. 33. 19; 34. 5-7. So 'hallowed be Thy name.'

δύναμις, inherent power, natural capacity, moral as well as physical ability, miraculous energy, divine power of speech and persuasion: ἐξουσία, delegated authority, social claim, right, privilege: ἰσχύς, physical strength, vires, power naturally resident in the subject: ἐνέργεια, power in action, energetic exercise, effectual operation: κρώτος, power in effect, force, superiority: ἐνέργημα, a work wrought by us, and in us, combining ἐνέργεια and συνέργεια, natural works from an internal principle.

δύναμαι denotes moral power,  $i\sigma\chi'\omega$ , physical ability, δύναμαι, from δύνος, equivalent to 'divinus,' 'bonus,' I make myself good, am strong enough, equal, able. The association of willingness with power, of power tempered by mercy, may be traced in R. 11. 23; 14. 4; 16. 25: 2 C. 9. 8: E. 3. 20: 1 T. 1. 12: Jude 24: H. 7. 25; 11. 19:  $i\sigma\chi'\omega$ , strong in physical health and mental power, have efficacy, prevail; used of physical strength or mental validity. In the ascription, Rev. 5. 12, δύναμις, ability to effect all the purposes of rectitude and wisdom:  $i\sigma\chi'\sigma_s$ , ability brought into action:  $\pi\lambda o \tilde{v} \tau \sigma_s$ , the fulness of all good:  $\tau \iota\mu'\eta$ , intrinsic excellence, supreme perfection:  $e \tilde{v} \lambda \sigma \gamma i a$ , the utterance of gratitude from the universe of holy and happy beings. (See  $\sigma o \phi i a$ ,  $\delta \delta \xi a$ .)

*èfovoiai*, authorities, used for human magistrates:  $oi \, ev \, \tau e \lambda ev$ , L. 12. 11: Tit. 3. 1: for angelic powers, both good and evil, E. 3. 10; 6. 12: C. 1. 16; 2. 15: 1 P. 3. 22. The association of willingness with power in  $\delta iva \mu av$  may be traced in the German 'mögen,' the meanings of which, according to Flügel, are, 'to be able,' 'to be allowed,' 'like,' 'wish,' 'desire,' 'have a mind to.'

'Eßpaios, a Hebrew in language, denoting superiority in

lineage and education over the Hellenists, Ph. 3. 5: 'Iovôaîos, a Jew in his nationality, as distinguished from the Gentiles: 'Iopanhitys, the most honourable title, as a member of the theocracy and heir of the promises, R. 9. 4; 11. 1: A. 2. 22: 2 C. 11. 22. In A. 14. 1; 18. 4, we have 'Iovôaîou immediately coupled with  $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\epsilon_s$ , where the former denotes Jews by birth, as well as by faith; the latter is applied to Gentile proselytes, who had joined themselves to the Lord to serve Him, Isa. 56. 6. In A. 19. 10. 17, at a more advanced stage of the spread of the Gospel,  $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\epsilon_s$  seems to have been applied to all Gentile converts, whether they had been proselytes previously or not. So in R. 2. 9, 10.  $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\iota\sigma\tau ai$  occurs properly only in A. 6. 1: 9. 29, Jews residing at a distance from Palestine who usually did not speak Hebrew. In A. 11. 20, it is doubtful whether we should read  $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\iota\sigma_s$  or  $E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\iota\sigma\tau as$ .

έγγνος, i. q. έγγυητής, one who gives security for the due performance of the conditions of the covenant (έν, γυΐον, hollow of the hand), sponsor, surety, spokesman: Ecclus. 29. 15, χάριτας ἐγγύου μὴ ἐπιλάθῃ ἔδωκεν γὰρ τὴν ψυχὴν αὐτοῦ ὑπὲρ σοῦ: μεσίτης, mediator (μέσος, ἐἰμι; go), one who intervenes between two parties, 'the daysman who lays hand upon both,' Job 9. 33: intercessor, peace-maker, H. 7. 22; 8. 6: 1 T. 2. 5, ròν ἀπόστολον καὶ ἀρχιερέα τῆς ὁμολογίας ἡμῶν: H. 3. 1, ròν ἀπόστολον, "eum qui Dei causam apud nos agit; τὸν ἀρχιερέα, qui causam nostram apud Deum agit. Hic Apostolatus et Pontificatus uno mediatoris vocabulo continentur." Bengel.

έγκαλέω, bring a formal charge, arraign, indict, the forensic term: aἰτιάομαι, allege as ground of inquiry: ἐλέγχω, convict, show to be wrong, prove guilty.

έγκράτεια, self-command, self-control, opposed to self-indulgence, the grace by which the Spirit controls the flesh, the restraining the passions which cause injury to one's neighbour; A. 24. 25: 1 C. 7. 9, εἰ οὐκ ἐγκρατεύονται, if they have no self-control: 1 C. 9. 25, ὁ ἀγωνιζόμενος πάντα ἐγκρατεύεται, exercises self-restraint in all indulgences: πραότης, a natural mildness of disposition, an attribute of Christ, M. 11. 29: 2 C. 10. 1. The philosophers applied it to that quality by which a man retained his own equanimity. πραότης is opposed to a contentious spirit, Tit. 3. 2; to severity in dealing with culprits or opponents, G. 6. 1: 1 C. 4. 21: 2 T. 2. 24, 25: πραϋπάθεια, 1 T. 6. 11, meekness of heart and feelings: ἐπι-

elkeia, a habit of mildness, from considering what is due to others, reasonableness, fairness. From eyepáreia will proceed ύπομονή, endurance, submissiveness, the patience of humility, 2 P. 1. 6. Opposed to πραότης we have δργιλότης, irascibility: άγριότης, rusticity: χαλεπότης, severity. επιεικής, making allowance, forbearing, not insisting on just rights, in distinction from δίκαιος. A little less than ευπειθής μεστή ελέους, Ja. 3. 17, but more than aµayos, not aggressive. πραότης is the outward expression of humility, having for its foundation the inward feeling, ranewoopoorven, modesty of mind. Theophylact (quoted by Trench, Synonyms, p. 207) compares πραότης with μακροθυμία. The πραος remits the punishment due to the offender: the  $\mu a \kappa \rho \delta \theta \nu \mu o s$ , after long deliberation. inflicts it. Compare L. 18. 7: Ecclus. 35. 22, 23. The Scriptural mpaorns is an inwrought grace of the soul, under the influence of which we submit to the divine dispensations without resistance or dispute, acquiescing in the thought that the insults and injuries inflicted by men are permitted by God for the chastening and purifying of His people, 2 Sam. 16, 11. μακροθυμία is joined, R. 2. 4, with χρηστότης, and ἀνοχή, forbearance : M. 17. 17, Ews note avé Eopai upŵv ; "Deo tribuitur μακροθυμία, quia pœnas peccatis debitas differt propter gloriam suam, et ut detur peccatoribus resipiscendi locus." Suicer. 7ò σχολή επιτιθέναι την προσήκουσαν δίκην, Theophylact.

εἰκών, actual likeness, designed representation, vivid resemblance, effigics, picture, statue. σκιά, shadowy resemblance, umbra, sketch, outline. The σκιά is the shadow which may be cast by the statue, εἰκών. χαρακτήρ, exact correspondence, as of an impression with the seal, or of a coin with the die. σŵμα as opposed to σκιά, substantial reality. ὑποτύπωσις, primary draught, or sketch, to be afterwards filled in, a cartoon or subtracery to be afterwards painted over. είδωλον, a mere εἰδος: ἰδέα, an ideal phantom, simulacrum, a nonentity: 1 C. 8. 4, οίδαμεν ὅτι οὐδὲν εἰδωλον ἐν κόσμφ, as far as it is an object of worship, it is a stone or block of wood and nothing more.

 $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma ia$ , a body of men, called out of the rest of mankind to form a society, and knit together by the closest spiritual bonds, originally an assembly of the people lawfully convened at Athens.  $\sigma\nu\nu\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$ , any gathering or drawing together of persons, presenting solely the ideas of collection, association. The Christians dropped the use of  $\sigma\nu\nu\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$ , which was permanently associated with Jewish worship, and appropriated έκκλησίa as a title of honourable significance, with implied reference to those who remained in the state, out of which the members of the  $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma ia$  had been called. The  $\sigma\nu\nu a\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$  was congregative, bringing together the members of an existing society, but excluding all others. The excluding is aggregative, as it calls, invites, and summons men from the whole world to become its members. In Rev. 3. 9, συναγωγή expresses those who were united only in opposition to the truth. In 2 Tim. 2. 19 the Church is called ο στερεός θεμέλιος τοῦ  $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ , the firm foundation of God, where  $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota o \varsigma$  marks the Church of Christ and His Apostles as a foundation placed in the world, on which the whole future oixodoµή rests (E. 2. 20), and conveys the idea of its firmness, strength, and solidity; E. 3. 17 : C. 1. 23 : H. 11. 10 : Rev. 21. 14. 19. θεμέλιος is properly an adjective, but is used in later writers as a substantive. Aristoph. Aves 1137, θεμελίους λίθους.

έκλνέσθαι, giving way altogether, from failure of power; έκκακεῖν, failure, from moral weakness, out and out fainthearted. ἐγκακεῖν, cowardly in action, not so strong as ἐκκακεῖν.

έκστασις, surprise, astonishment, when the mind is carried out of or beyond itself, a trance, distraction of the mind from terror, Mk. 16. s. In 2 C. 5. 13, έξέστημεν, 'we are beside ourselves,' is opposed to σωφρονοῦμεν, 'in sound mind.' The longcontinued and permanent state of ἕκστασις is μανία. In J. 10. 20, the possession of a devil is associated with madness, most probably what we call fanaticism. θάμβος, awe, surprise, at a strange or unusual deed or expression, frequently the commencement of ἕκστασις, the effect produced by a preternatural or singular occurrence. θaμβéομaι, Mk. 10. 32, amazement at our Lord's majestic bearing, solemn manner, and awful aspect.

ëley $\xi_{iS}$ , conviction, mode of proof. Eley $\chi_{oS}$ , reproof, proving the contrary, proof for the refutation of error, the mental state of being convinced.

čλaiov, oil in its simple natural state, as generally used by wrestlers; μύρου, ointment, 'unguentum,' the base of which is oil, with the addition of aromatic ingredients, generally used by women. Hence the point of our Lord's rebuke, L. 7. 46, ελαίω τὴν κεφαλήν μου οὐκ ἤλειψας, αῦτη δὲ μύρω ἤλειψέ μου τοὺς πόδας. "Illa pretioso unguento non caput tantum,

sed et pedes perfundit ; ille ne caput quidem mero oleo ; quod perfunctoriæ amicitiæ fuerat." Grotius.  $\dot{a}\lambda\epsilon l\phi\epsilon i\nu$  is used of all anointings, whether with  $\mu \dot{\nu} \rho \rho \nu$  or  $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda a i \rho \nu$ : but  $\chi \rho l\epsilon i\nu$  is the sacred heavenly word restricted to the anointing of the Son by the Father with the Holy Ghost, used in a mystical or spiritual sense.

 $\delta\lambda \cos$ , love of pity to man, as a sufferer;  $\chi \delta \rho s$ , the freeness of divine love to man, as a sinner. In the divine mind theos precedes  $\chi \dot{a} \rho s$ , but in the reception of the divine blessing  $\chi \dot{a} \rho s$ (pardon) must precede excos (mercy). The sense of unpardoned sin must be removed before the misery of sin can be mitigated. Hence the order in 1 T. 1. 2, xápis, Eleos, elphyn, as Eleos is the effect of yapıs, and elphyn the joint result from yapıs and excos. When elphyn is joined with aspáleia, elphyn denotes an inward repose and security, aspáleia, a sureness and -safety that is not interfered with, or compromised by outward obstacles. The idea of compassion for misfortune and suffering is prominent in έλεήμων, and in the cry for mercy, έλέησον. But where the sufferer is deeply impressed with a sense of his guilt, ilágraphia, news are used in order to express the necessity of expiation, or divine interposition. Hence the prayer of the publican (L. 18. 13) was not elénoor, but iláo $\theta\eta\tau i$  μοι τῷ ἑμαρτωλῷ. The idea of guilt is not necessarily connected with *eleos*. These is applied to the Creator only (see Alford, H. 8. 12), exces is ascribed to the creature as well. The root of *Eleos* and *Ilaos* is the same, but two words are used to express the essential difference between the feeling of pity in God and in man. olkripµós (connected with oi, olkros) expresses subjective sympathy and distress on witnessing misfortune and calamity. C. 3. 12, σπλάγχνα οἰκτιρμών.

ένδειξις, showing forth, process of discovering, method of demonstrating, indication; Ph. 1. 28: R. 3. 25. ένδειγμα, the substance of the matter demonstrated, palpable evidence, recognized token, 2 Th. 1. 5.

ένθύμησις, imagination, secret desire or motive, passing thought. *ἕννοια*, serious intent, though never executed.

έντολή, a single precept, νόμος, a code of precepts; R. 13. 9, 10; έντολαί, moral injunctions, prohibitions; δικαιώματα, positive ordinances, rites and ceremonies, L. 1. 6; δικαίωμα, judicial sentence of acquittal or condemnation; R. 5. 6; Rev. 15. 4. δόγμα, placitum, 'id quod placet,' δ δέδοκται: a decree which derives its force not from any conformity to the foundation of words, but from the authority by which it is promulgated.  $\delta \delta \gamma \mu a \tau a$ , positive edicts, accidental, circumstantial, local and temporary.

Ж

 $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ , knowledge of facts, natural or acquired;  $\sigma o \phi / a$ , the higher faculty of making a right use of knowledge. (See on  $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma v \hat{s}$ .)  $\sigma o \phi / a$ , the general gift of illumination;  $\dot{a} \pi o \kappa \dot{a} - \lambda v \psi v \hat{s}$ , the more special gift of insight into the divine mysteries, E. 1. 17.  $\phi \dot{v} \sigma v \hat{s}$ , that which is inherent, innate, fixed and implanted from the first, in opposition to that which is accessional, superinduced, accidental.

 $\epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{a} \zeta \rho \mu a \iota$ , work, labour, especially for livelihood. Applied to agriculture and general business, follow any pursuit. The exhortation of the Apostle, 1 Th. 4. 11, derives additional force from the consideration that the inhabitants of Thessalonica were engaged in mercantile and industrial callings, as handicraftsmen and artificers. These too he exhorts  $\eta \sigma \nu \chi \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ , which marks a sedate and tranquil spirit (1 Tim. 2. 2), in contrast to the excited and unquiet bustle:  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ , 2 Th. 3. 11, that attends ill-defined or mistaken religious expectations, 2 Th. 3. 11, 12.  $\epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma \iota a$ , effort, occupation, gain.  $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta \rho \mu a \iota$ , exert oneself as a combatant in the public games, strive, contend.  $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu \iota a$ , contest, conflict of mind.

εὐγενής, well-born, noble-minded, ingenuus, implying good qualities of disposition, as well as nobility of birth. εὐσχήμων, one of good condition, of reputable position. εὐσχημόνως, with propriety of outward conduct, with decent gravity and seemly deportment (εὐλαβώς, σεμνώς), associated with κατὰ τάξιν, 1 C. 14. 40; contrasted with ἀτάκτως, 2 Th. 3. 6.

εὐλογητός, blessed, applied to God only; μακάριος, happy, applied to men; μακαρίζω, call happy; μακαρισμός, the pronouncing of blessing; εὐλογημένος is applied to man, and in LXX occasionally to God, but εὐλογητός never to man. In 1 T. 1. 11; 6. 15, μακάριος is applied to God, to exalt the glory of the Gospel, expressing not only His own immutable and essential perfections, but the riches of His mercy in this dispensation to man.

 $\zeta \eta \lambda os$ , in a good sense, ardour, zeal for the cause of another, emulation to imitate superior worth; in a bad sense, heartburning, envy, jealousy.  $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau ov \ \mu \epsilon v \ \zeta \eta \lambda os$ ,  $\delta \pi \delta \ \zeta \eta \lambda ov \ \delta \epsilon \ \phi \theta \delta - vos$ , Plato.  $\phi \theta \delta v os$  is always used in a bad sense, jealousy of

Р2

another's success, depreciation of his worth, envy of his excellence; called  $\partial\phi\theta a\lambda\mu\partial\varsigma$   $\pi\sigma\sigma\eta\rho\delta\varsigma$ , Mk. 7. 22. In G. 5. 21,  $\phi\theta\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\phi\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$  are associated by sound and sense, as envy led to the first murder. Aristotle uses  $\zeta\eta\lambda\sigma\varsigma$  as equivalent to  $\pi a\rho$  $o\xi\sigma\sigma\mu\delta\varsigma$   $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta\varsigma$ , the emulation by which a man laments and endeavours to repair his own deficiencies. "Malitia ( $\kappa a\kappa la$ ) malo delectatur alieno; invidia ( $\phi\theta\delta\sigma\sigma\varsigma$ ) bono cruciatur alieno; dolus ( $\delta\delta\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ ) duplicat cor; adulatio ( $\dot{\nu}\pi\delta\kappa\rho\iota\sigma\iota\varsigma$ ) duplicat linguam; dotractio ( $\kappa a\tau a\lambda a\lambda\iota\dot{a}$ ) vulnerat famam." (Augustine ad 1 P. 2. 1.)

**\$**1

 $\zeta \omega \eta$ , vital principle, physical life, opposed to  $\theta \dot{a} \nu a \tau o s$ , welfare, happiness, eternal life. Blos, the period of life, the means of living, the mannor in which life is spent. Kwn expresses the existence of plants and animals as well as men. Bios denotes properly the existence of men only, and the life they lead. But  $\beta_{ios}$  is restricted to the life of men on earth, and is consequently inferior to  $\zeta \omega \eta$ , as descriptive of their highest blessedness as heirs of salvation. BIWTING is used in contrast to dryfeλους, 1 C. 6. 3; τον βίον τοῦ κόσμου, the world's good things: 1 J. 3. 17, (w) alwros. 1 J. 3. 15. He who is not ready to bestow some portion of the  $\beta$ ios τοῦ κόσμου in love to his brethren, has no reasonable hope of the  $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$  alwros.  $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ , animal life in this world, is opposed to  $\zeta \omega \eta$ , life in the world to come: L. 17. 33, δς έαν ζητήση την ψυχην αύτου σωσαι απολέσει αὐτήν καὶ δς ἐἀν ἀπολέσῃ αὐτήν, ζωογονήσει αὐτήν: J. 12. 25, ό μισών την ψυχην αύτου έν τω κόσμω τούτω είς ζωην αιώνιον φυλάξει αὐτήν: Rev. 8. 9, ἀπέθανε . . . τὰ ἔχοντα ψυχάς, those who held fast animal life died in body and soul.  $\theta \dot{a} \nu a \tau o \varsigma$  is used in three general senses. Objectively, as a personal adversary and enemy of Christ and his kingdom, 1 C. 15. 26; a spiritual state or condition, including the notions of evil and corruption, 1 J. 3. 14; a power and principle pervading and overshadowing the world, H. 2. 14; 1 T. 1. 10. Oávaros, as a known and ruling power, has generally the article;  $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{a}\phi\theta a\rho\sigma ia$ , as recently revealed, are anarthrous :  $\dot{a}\phi\theta a\rho\sigma ia$  explains and characterizes ζωή with reference to its imperishable and incorruptible nature, 1 P. 1. 4, and its complete exemption from death, Rev. 21. 4. Compare R. 2. 7.

 $i\gamma$ γεμών, the title given to the proconsular governors of the Roman provinces, under whom the  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \rho \sigma \pi \sigma s$ , or procurator, was appointed for separate districts. The  $\epsilon \pi i \tau \rho \sigma \pi \sigma s$  had charge of the revenue, and a judicial power in matters relating to finance; but in a portion of a large province, where the  $\eta\gamma\epsilon\mu\omega\nu$ could not reside, he had the power of inflicting capital punishment.  $\eta\gamma\epsilon\mu\nu\nu$  is properly any delegated authority, but is used to express the Roman imperial authority.  $\eta\gamma\epsilon\mu\omega\nu$  is the general word for all governors, whether proconsul, legate, or procurator.

 $\dot{\eta}\sigma\dot{\chi}\iota\sigma\varsigma,$  meek and gentle, in a passive sense, who bears calmly the annoyances and vexations caused by others :  $\pi\rho q\dot{v}s$ , meek and gentle, in an active sense, who does nothing to try the patience of others. Bengel, ad 1 P. 3. 4. See on  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\iotaa$ .  $\dot{\eta}\sigma\dot{\chi}\iota\sigma\varsigma$ , contrasted with  $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\mu\sigma\varsigma$ , is tranquillity arising from within : Plato,  $\dot{\eta}\sigma\dot{\chi}\iota\sigma\varsigma$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\sigma\dot{\omega}\phi\rho\omega\nu$   $\beta los$ .  $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\mu\sigma\varsigma$  denotes tranquillity arising from without, "qui ab aliis non pertinebatur :" Plato,  $\dot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\mu ia \psi v\chi\eta\varsigma$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau d \delta\epsilon\iota\nu\dot{a}$ : 1 T. 2. 2.  $\dot{\eta}\sigma v\chi\dot{a}\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$  (1 Th. 4. 11) marks the scdate and tranquil spirit which stands in contrast to the excited bustle ( $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\rho\gamma\dot{a}\zeta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ , 2 Th. 3. 11) that often marks ill-defined or mistaken religious expectation.

 $\theta\epsilon\iota \acute{o}\tau\eta$ s, divinity, the property of  $\theta\epsilon \acute{o}\tau\eta$ s.  $\theta\epsilon \acute{o}\tau\eta$ s, deity, the being in whom  $\theta\epsilon\iota \acute{o}\tau\eta$ s of the highest order resides. Different ways of spelling the same word settle themselves into words of different meanings. Compare  $\dot{d}\nu\dot{a}\theta\epsilon\mu a$ ,  $\dot{d}\nu\dot{a}\theta\eta\mu a$ .  $\theta\rho\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\sigma$ , boldness:  $\theta\dot{a}\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma$ , foolhardiness:  $\theta\rho\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\delta\epsilon$   $\theta\dot{a}\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$   $\tau\dot{a}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  $\tau\sigma\lambda\mu\eta\tau\dot{\epsilon}a$ , Gregory Naz. Hospes and hostis were originally the same word, a stranger, one who might prove a friend or a foe.

 $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\omega$ , behold an object present, contemplate a thing as actually done, L. 10. 18: I regard you, A. 17. 22:  $\delta\pi\tau\rho\mu ai$ , see an object appearing, J. 16. 16:  $\check{\omega}\phi\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\check{o}\phi\theta\eta\sigma\rho\mu ai$ , show myself, A. 26. 16. Dr. Wordsworth remarks that  $\check{o}\pi\tau\rho\mu ai$  is the more modest word. St. Paul uses  $\check{o}\psi\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ , A. 20. 25; but the disciples use  $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$ , A. 20. 38. St. Paul would not say that his own  $\pi\rho\dot{o}\sigma\omega\pi\rho\nu$  was  $\check{a}\xi_{i}\rho\nu$   $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\hat{i}\alpha\varsigma$ . Compare  $\check{o}\rho\dot{a}\omega$ .

 $\theta_{i\gamma\gamma\dot{a}\nu\omega}$ , touch slightly, finger:  $\ddot{a}\pi\tau\rho\mu a\iota$ , cling to, fasten oneself on, handle closely:  $\psi\eta\lambda a\phi\dot{a}\omega$ , feel after, even without touching, touch the surface of any material object, capable of being felt.

 $\theta \nu \eta \tau \delta s$ , mortal, subject to death, the universal condition of living creatures :  $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \delta s$ , dead, either physically or spiritually.

 $\theta v \mu \delta s$ , the mind as regards the passions: vovs, the mind as regards the intelligence:  $\theta v \mu \delta s$ , the turbulent commotion of

the mind ( $\theta \dot{\omega} \omega$ , rage): excandescentia; "Ira nascens et modo desistens," Cicero. Passion at its commencement : 'iracundia.' irritation, fretfulness, the mental excitement produced by  $\pi i \kappa \rho i a$ . doyn, an abiding, settled habit of mind, with the purpose of revenge in man: ira inveterata, i. q. univis, God's holy hatred of sin, which reveals itself in His punitive justice, R. 1. 18:  $\partial \rho \gamma \eta$ , the heat of the fire:  $\theta \nu \mu \phi s$ , the bursting forth of the flame : Rev. 16. 19; 19. 15, Oupos dorns, inco excandescentia. Ammonius, θυμός μέν έστι πρόσκαιρος όργη δε πολυχρόνιος μνησικακία. θυμός differs from δργή both in its rise, as more sudden (L. 4. 28: A. 19. 28), and its nature, as less lasting: Wisd. 48. 10, κοπάσαι ὀργήν προ θυμοῦ, to appease anger before it blazed forth. So  $\pi a \rho o \rho \gamma i \zeta \omega$ , chafe, work into a passion :  $\theta \lambda i \psi i$ s, the act by which a man is cast down and dashed to the ground, pressure from affliction, tribulation, as of a heavy weight rolling over one:  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu o \gamma \omega \rho l a$ , the effect on the object, the straitness to which a man is reduced by continual pressure and restraint: R. 2. s, 9: Ja. 1. 19: E. 4. 31, a stronger word than θλίψις: 2 C. 4. 8, θλιβόμενοι άλλ' ου στενοχωρούμενοι. The opposite of euprywola: Ps. 31. 8, où συνέκλεισάς με είς χείρας έχθροῦ ἕστησας έν εὐρογώρω τοὺς πόδας μου. With  $\theta \lambda i \psi_i$ s is connected  $\delta i \omega \gamma \mu \delta s$ , 2 Th. 1. 4.  $\theta \lambda i \psi_i$ s is the more general and comprehensive torm; διωγμός, the more special. " Oxives injurias complectitur quas Judæi et ethnici Christianis propter doctrinæ Christianæ professionem imposuerunt, ut verbera delationes vincula relegationem. Notione suà δ διωγμός a  $\tau \hat{y} \theta \lambda l \psi \epsilon l$  differt, ita ut hoo vocabulum latius quam illud pateat A. 8. 1: M. 13. 21." Fritz.

 $\theta v \rho \epsilon \delta v$ , the large oblong or oval shield, 'scutum,' properly like a  $\theta \dot{v} \rho a$ , door:  $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i \varsigma$ , a lighter shield, 'clypeus.'

 $\theta v \sigma i a$ , a sacrifice which requires the intervention of a priest:  $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi o \rho a$ , an offering which can be presented without a priest. Hence R. 15. 16,  $\eta \pi \rho o \sigma \phi o \rho a \tau a \nu i \theta \nu a \nu$ , the offering presented by the nations. With reference to our Lord,  $\theta v \sigma i a$  marks His atoning death:  $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi o \rho a$  marks the life of obedience, which was an antecedent qualification for the  $\theta v \sigma i a$ , E. 5. 2. Believers are exhorted to present their bodies,  $\theta v \sigma i a \nu \zeta a \sigma a \nu$ , R. 12. 1:  $a \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa a \iota \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa a \delta \eta \sigma \sigma i a \kappa$ , 1 P. 2. 5, where the adjective marks the figurative character of the sacrifice in contrast to the dead victims offered under the law, which required the intervention of human priests. In H. 5. 1; 9. 9,  $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho a$  is joined with  $\theta v\sigma lai$ , where the latter denotes trespass offerings, all those in which an animal was slain in sacrifice :  $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho a$ , all other offerings. The notion conveyed by  $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho a$  is that of appeasing : by  $\theta v \sigma lai$ , that of making explation.  $i \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o \nu$ , the mercy-seat in the tabernacle (compare  $i\lambda a\sigma\mu os, 1$  J. 2. 2; 4. 10), a propifiation, that which propitiates by explation of sin, that which makes it consistent for God to pardon. (Compare ἀγοράζω, ἕλεος.) Dr. Vaughan remarks on θυσίαν, R. 12. 1, a sacrifice not of expiation (in which sense it is applied only to Christ, as E. 5. 2 : H. 9. 26 ; 10. 12, &c.), but of thankfulness, used with reference to almsgiving, Ph. 4. 18: II. 13. 16: to thanksgiving, H. 13. 15: and to a Christian life generally, here, and 1 P. 2. 5. The service of the living body implies that of the soul also; and the choice of the word  $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$ indicates the importance attached in the Gospel to the body, and precludes the notion of a merely imaginative or sentimental religion, as distinguished from one of self-denying and rigorous obedience.

 $i\delta\iota\omega\tau\eta$ s, a private person, as opposed to a public magistrate, or a professor of art or science :  $\dot{a}\gamma\rho\dot{a}\mu\mu\alpha\tau\sigma$ s, one who has received no regular education in a recognized school of learning.

iερόν, the whole edifice, with all the land attached (τέμενος), and the dwellings of the priests, 'templum:' ναός, the sanctuary, 'ædes,' i.e., the holy place and the Holy of holies: θυσιαστήριον, altar of the true God:  $\beta \omega \mu \delta \varsigma$ , heathen altar. In the Epistles and Apocalypse ναός designates the Church of God, not the literal Temple at Jerusalem. iερατεία denotes the service of the priest: iερωσύνη, the office and power: Aristoph. Pol. vii. 8, τὴν περὶ τοὺς θεοὺς ἐπιμέλειαν ῆν καλοῦσιν iερατείαν: Hdt. iii. 142, iερωσύνην . . . aἰρεῦμαι αὐτῷ τε ἐμοὶ καὶ τοῖσι ἀπ' ἐμεῦ aἰεὶ γινομένοισι. (Alford, H. 7. 5.) iερός is never applied to persons, but only to things, and does not express moral qualities.

*iμάτιον*, the outer garment, 'pallium :' χιτών, the inner vest, 'tunica.'

καιρός, appointed season, occasion, time of occurrence, time characterized by events: χρόνος, duration, time in general, the time for which any thing lasts: χρόνοι, years: καιρός is ἀκμη χρόνου, 'punctum temporis,' point of time: 1 P. 4. 17, ὁ καιρὸς τοῦ ἄρξασθαι τὸ κρῦμα ἀπὸ τοῦ οἴκου τοῦ Θεοῦ: season, καιρός,

8YNONYMS – καταγινώσκειν, καταρτίζω.

216

not xpovos, suggesting the comfortable reflection that the tyranny of the enemy will soon be overpast, Ps. 57. 1. kaipós differs from time in the two points of (1), limited duration; and (2), a definite object, R. 13. 11. Used by LXX to express seasons of the festivals: Lev. 23. 4: 2 Chron. 8. 13, Tou άναφέρειν κατά τὰς έντολὰς Μωυσή . . . τρεῖς καιροὺς τοῦ eviautov. So G. 4. 10. In a few passages kaipós is nearly synonymous with poiros. In 1 T. 4. 1, istépois kaipois points only to a period future to the speaker : of another xpone, in the apostasy of the present the Apostle sees the commencement of the fuller apostasy of the future. έσχάταις ήμέραις, 2 T. 3. 1: 2 P. 3. 3: Ja. 5. 3, points more specifically to the period immediately preceding the completion of the kingdom of Christ. "The exact meaning of the term xpowou alwwou in 2 T. 1. 9 is, 'from all eternity,' stronger perhaps than  $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}$ καταβολής κόσμου, E. 1. 4, before times marked by the lapse of unnumbered ages, times which reached from eternity  $(a\pi)$ alŵvos) to the coming of Christ, in and during which the μυστήριον lay σεσιγημένον, R. 16. 25." (Ellicott.)

καταγινώσκειν is a middle term, lying between κατηγορεΐν, to accuse, and κατακρίνειν, to pronounce a formal, judicial condemnation. καταγινώσκειν is to be explained from γινώσκειν, to know and take cognizance of, and from its opposite, συγγινώσκειν, to pardon. In G. 2. 11: Deut. 25. 1, it is opposed to δικαιοῦν, to pronounce just, acquit: Ecclus. 14. 2, μακάριος οῦ οῦ κατέγνω ἡ ψυχὴ αὐτοῦ.

καταρτίζω involves the notion of positive defect, which requires to be repaired, as the mending a net, refitting a ship, setting a limb. L. 6. 40, κατηρτισμένος. one who is thoroughly taught, 'eruditus,' removed from his state of ignorance: E. 4. 12, πρός τὸν καταρτισμόν, looking to the thorough instruction of the saints: 1 C. 1. 10, κατηρτισμένοι, fitted in one to another, well adjusted, so that there be no  $\sigma\chi$ ίσματα: G. 6. 1, help to amend: 1 Th. 3. 10, to repair the defects of your faith: 1 P. 5. 10, will rectify your defects. τελειώω, τέλος, τέλειος, 25 involve the negative imperfection of those who have still an object in view, a purpose not fully realized. R. 10. 4, τέλος νόμου, the designed termination to which νόμος points, and in which it is fulfilled. Thus the Gospel is τέλειος, Ja. 1. 25, as it is the consummation of Judaism, the end proposed by the ritcs and ceremonics of the Levitical dispensation, R, 10. 4. The law wrought no completion, i.e. could not accomplish its own  $\tau \epsilon \lambda o_S$ , H. 7. 19: gifts and sacrifices could not effect the worshippers' object as regards the conscience, H. 9. 9: out of works faith attained maturity, Ja. 2. 22: on the third day I finish my course, I accomplish my end, L. 13. 32. So H. 2. 10, to make the Author of their salvation accomplish His end, consummate His design by means of suffering: H. 5. 9, having accomplished the proposed end. (See  $\delta \lambda \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho os.$ ) The technical meaning of  $\kappa \alpha \tau a \rho \tau i \zeta \omega$  is, 'reponere in artu luxata membra,' e. g. to reduce a dislocated shoulder. In the simple ethical sense, we have, Hdt. v. 28,  $\kappa \alpha \tau a \rho \tau i \zeta \epsilon \omega M i \lambda \eta \tau ov$ : Stobæus,  $\kappa \alpha \tau a \rho \tau i \zeta \epsilon \omega \delta i \alpha \delta \rho \rho \rho i \varepsilon$ 

" $\kappa\epsilon i\rho\epsilon v$  simpliciter notat partes capillorum nummorum demere;  $\xi v \rho\epsilon v$  vel  $\xi v \rho a v$  ad cutom usque novaculâ detondere."  $\kappa\epsilon i \rho\epsilon \sigma \theta a$ , to poll the hair, to cut it short by scissors or shears:  $\xi v \rho i \sigma \sigma \theta a$ , to shave the hair off with a  $\xi v \rho o v$ , or razor, so that the skull appears.

κενός refers to contents, 'das Gehaltlose,' 'inanis.' μάταιος refers to results, 'das Erfolglose,' 'vanus.'

κήρυγμα, the matter preached, the thing proclaimed : ἀκοή, the spiritual faculty and function of hearing : ἀκοὴ πίστεως, the hearing ear of faith. The Gospel preached (τὸ κήρυγμα) is called the word of hearing, ὁ λόγος τῆς ἀκοῆς, in order to bring out more clearly the duty of all men to hearken to it; tho word which was uttered in order to be heard.

synonyms - κόπος, κρύπτω, μυστήριον.

## synonyms—κλαίω, κρίμα.

κλαίω, wail, not only with the expression of tears (δακρύω, J. 11. 35), but also with every external expression of grief. Hence κλαίειν is joined with ἀλαλάζειν, Mk. 5. 38: ὀλολύζειν, Ja. 5. 1: θορυβεΐν, Mk. 5. 39: πενθεΐν, 16. 10. θρηνεΐν, θρέομαι, shriek generally, of women: θρηνος, dirge, like the Gaelio 'coronach,' or the Irish 'wake,' used of hired mourners wailing for the dead: κόπτομαι, strike the breast in loud expressions of grief: κοπετός, wailing, attended with beating the breast.

 $\kappa\lambda\ell\nu\eta$ , couch, sofa, for the rich:  $\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\beta\beta a\tau\sigma$ , litter, mattress, for the poor; Latin, 'grabatus.'

κλέπτης, the thief who steals by fraud and in secret; Latin, 'fur:' ληστής, the robber who plunders by violence and open force: ληές, λεία, booty, 'latro.' This meaning of ληστής should be preserved in M. 21. 13; 26. 55: L. 10. 30; 23. 39-43.

κόλασις, 'castigatio,' has naturally a milder use than τιμωρία, 'ultio:' διαφέρει δὲ τιμωρία καὶ κόλασις ἡ μὲν γὰρ κόλασις τοῦ πάσχοντος ἔνεκά ἐστιν ἡ δὲ τιμωρία, τοῦ ποιοῦντος ἵνα ἀποπληρωθη, Aristotle, Met. i. 10. Thus τιμωρία is aid in satisfying vengeance, the guardianship and protectorate of honour (τιμή, aĭρω): κόλασις has reference to the correction and improvement of the offender; but as κόλασις aἰώνιος is no temporary discipline, it is clear that κόλασις in Hellenistic Greek had acquired the severer sense of punishment, without implying the idea of effecting a reformation. But Aristotle's definition still holds good, as in κόλασις there is predominant the relation of the punishment to the offender: in τιμωρία, its relation to the party offended.

" $\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\mu a$  of itself is never any thing else than judicium, yet it still will admit of some modification in meaning from the context." Fritz., Rom. i. 94. " $\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\mu a \,\,\delta\iota a\beta \delta\lambda ov$  may be either gen. subjecti, 'the accusing judgment of the devil,' or gen. objecti, 'the judgment passed upon the devil.' In the former case  $\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\mu a$  has more the meaning of 'criminatio:' in the latter, of 'condemnatio.' But there is no satisfactory instance in which  $\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\mu a$  has the former meaning in the New Testament, and as  $\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\mu a$  is elsewhere found only with a gen. objecti, R. 3. 8: Rev. 17. 1, we decide in favour of the latter interpretation. The force of the allusion must be looked for, not in the extent of the fall, but in the similarity of the circumstances; the devil was once a ministering spirit of God, but by insensate pride fell from his hierarchy." (Ellicott, 1 T. 3. 6.)

aὐτοκατúκριτος, 'self-condemned,' the reason why he is left to himself; he has been warned twice, and now sins against light: οὐ γὰρ ἔχει εἰπεῖν ὅτι οὐδεἰς εἰπεν, οὐδεἰς ἐνουθέτησεν. Chrysost. The aggravating circumstance is not that the man condemns himself directly and explicitly, as this might be a step to recovery, but that he condemns himself indirectly and implicitly, as acting against the law of his mind, and doing in his own particular case what in the general he condemns, Tit. 3. 11.

κόπος is joined together with μόχθος, 2 C. 11. 27: 1 Th. 2. 9: 2 Th. 3. 8. "κόπος represents the act of hewing wood: μόχθος is the act of carrying logs after they have been hewn ( $\check{a}\chi\theta\sigma\varsigma$ ). κόπος expresses energy of action: μόχθος indicates patience in bearing" (Wordsworth, 1 Th. 2. 9). κόπος marks the toil on the part of the suffering it involves: μόχθος, on the side of the magnitude of the obstacles it has to overcome.

κόφινος, wicker basket, the Jewish travelling basket, 'pannier:' σπυρίς, one of a larger kind, for storing grain, provisions, capacious enough to contain a man, A. 9. 25.

κρύπτω is applied to that which is already out of sight, hide passively, keep concealed: καλύπτω, cover over, as with a veil, hide, actively and intentionally, applied to the hiding of sin, the putting it out of sight by Him who has power (ἀφιέναι) to dismiss or remit it: Ps. 85. 2, ἀφῆκας τὰς ἀνομίας τῷ λαῷ σου, ἐκάλυψας πάσας τὰς ἀμαρτίας αὐτῶν: M. 11. 25, ἀπέκρυψας, thou keepest hidden; ἀπεκάλυψας, thou removest the veil.

μυστήριον, a truth formerly hidden, but now revealed, or a secret capable of being told, the very reverse of what we now understand by mystery (κεκρυμμένον, M. 13. 35): μύστης, one initiated, one who is acquainted with things which are unknown to others; truths which cannot be known till they are revealed, not truths which must always be unintelligible. Dr. Vaughan, on R. 11. 25, thus classifies its references: (1) to the Gospel itself, Mk. 4. 11: R. 16. 25: 1 C. 2. 1. 7: E. 1. 9; 6. 19: C. 1. 26, 27; 2. 2; 4. 3: 1 T. 3. 9. 16: Rev. 10. 7; (2) to the various parts and truths of the Gospel, M. 13. 11: L. 8. 10: 1 C. 4. 1; 13. 2; (3) to the admission of the Gentiles, E. 3. 3: the connexion between Christ and His Church, E. 5. 32: the change (without death) of the living at the time of the resurrection,

1 C. 15. 51: the future conversion of Israel, R. 11. 25: the predicted embodiment and revelation of evil, 2 Th. 2. 7; certain symbols in the Apocalypse, Rev. 1. 20; 17. 5. 7. Bishop Ellicott remarks on 1 T. 3. 9 ( $\tau \dot{\rho} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ ), that  $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$  is apparently a pure possessive genitive, that to which the  $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma s$  appertained; the truth hitherto not comprehensible, but now revealed to man, was the property, object, of faith, that on which faith exercised itself. So very similarly,  $\tau \dot{\rho} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma s$  the mystery which belonged to, was the object contemplated by, godliness, the hidden truth which was the basis of all practical piety.  $\pi i \sigma \tau \nu s$  is faith considered subjectively, not objective faith, a very doubtful meaning in the New Testament.

λαλιά, utterance, talk, present discourse: λόγος, subjectmatter of discourse, the thing taught, J. 8. 43. Laleiv (Hesychius,  $\phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) points merely to sound and utterance;  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ , to purport. Laleiv is sometimes used where Léyeuv would appear more natural, but  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$  is never used for  $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu$ .  $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu$  expresses the general idea of talking, whether reasonably or otherwise, loose, indefinite, unconnected utterance, and may be said either of a sane or insane person, the prattling of a child, or the speech of an adult.  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon i \nu$  implies speaking in a rational intelligent manner. In R. 3. 19, Néyes denotes the language or statement of the Scriptures : *\alkellet*, the utterance of that language to any particular age, body of men, or individual:  $\pi a\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}\eta\sigma ia$ , openness or boldness of speech, Mk. 8. 32: A. 4. 13, that confidence and boldness of spirit with which the believer is permitted to approach his heavenly Father, H. 4. 16: 1 J. 2. 28; 3. 21 (addera): assured expectation of final reward, 1 J. 4. 17.

λατρεύειν, serve for hire: λάτρις, hired servant, transferred, in classical Greek, from the service of men to the service of their gods. In LXX λατρεύειν expresses the service of the true God, as of heathen divinities. "λατρεία ea dicitur servitus que pertinet ad colendum Deum," August. λειτουργεΐν, to serve the state in a public office or function: λείτος (λαός) έργον, transferred also to the ministry of the gods. The Christian Church preferred λειτουργεΐν and its derivatives to λατρεύειν, λατρεία, as the words connected with λειτουργεΐν were less haunted with the clinging associations of heathenism. λατρεύειν, λατρεία, express the duty of all men, and are demanded of the whole people. λειτουργεΐν, λειτουργός, λειτουργία, denote the special offices and ministries of those who are solemnly set apart as the priests and Levites, as the Apostles, prophets, and pastors in the Christian Church, as well as the great High Priest:  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma l \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \nu \rho \gamma \dot{\phi} \varsigma$ , H. 8. 2.  $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau$  and  $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\iota} \alpha$ are also applied to official ministries (H. 9. 1. 6), for every  $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \nu \rho \gamma \dot{\iota} \alpha$ , though every  $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\iota} \alpha$  is not a  $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \nu \rho - \gamma l \alpha$ .  $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \nu \rho \gamma \dot{\phi} \varsigma$ , a public functionary, one who acts in behalf of a community as a public character. R. 1. 9,  $\dot{\omega} \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\iota} \omega \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \tau \dot{\omega} \tau \tau \dot{\omega} \epsilon \dot{\iota} \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \dot{\iota} \omega \tau \dot{\iota} \sigma \dot{\iota} \nu \dot{\iota} \dot{\iota} \sigma \dot{\iota} \sigma \dot{\iota} \tau \dot{\iota}$ , to whom the sacrificial worship which I offer is inward and spiritual, namely, the devotion of myself to the preaching of the Gospel of His Son. Vaughan.

λούω, bathe, wash the whole body: νίπτειν, wash a part of the body, as the extremities, hands, or feet: πλύνειν, wash inanimate things, as garments, Lev. 14. 9: Num. 19. 7. 10: nets, I. 5. 2. These distinctions may be observed in Lev. 15. 11, öσων ἐἀν ἄψηται ὁ γουοβῥύης καὶ τὰς χεῖρας οὐ νένιπται ὕδατι, πλυνεῖ τὰ ἰμάτια καὶ λούσεται τὸ σῶμα ὕδατι: J. 13. 10, ὁ λελουμένος οὐ χρείαν ἔχει ἡ τοὺς πόδας νίψασθαι. So λουτρόν, laver, bath: νιπτήρ, basin.

 $\lambda \dot{\nu} \chi \nu \sigma s$ , lamp:  $\dot{\phi} \omega s$ , the light proceeding therefrom. So prophecy is compared to  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \chi \nu \sigma s$ , 2 P. 1. 19:  $\dot{\phi} \omega s \lambda \dot{\nu} \chi \nu \sigma \nu$ , Rev. 18. 23.  $\dot{\phi} \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ , a means of giving light, as a window or door  $(\theta \nu \rho i s)$ , the heavenly luminaries, applied to Christians, Ph. 2. 15.  $\phi \omega \sigma \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma s$ , light-bringing, lucifer, the star that precedes the rising of the sun, emblematic of the dawn of spiritual light and happiness:  $\phi \omega \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} s$ , full of light:  $\phi \omega \tau i \zeta \omega$ , give light to, enlighten, bring to light, impart moral and spiritual light. John the Baptist was the lamp that was lit, and giving light,  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \sigma s \tilde{\eta} \nu \delta \lambda \dot{\iota} \chi \nu \sigma s \delta \kappa a \iota \dot{\phi} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s \kappa a \lambda \phi a \dot{\iota} \nu \omega \nu$ : our Lord the  $\phi \hat{\omega} s$  $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \nu$ , J. 1. 9.  $\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{a} s$  ought uniformly to be translated 'torch.'

 $\mu a \lambda a \kappa i a$ , incipient complaint, *lit*. softness, opposed to  $\kappa a \rho \tau \epsilon \rho i a$ , endurance:  $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon i a$ , want of strength or energy, infirmity, feebleness:  $\nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma_{\gamma}$ , confirmed disease.

μεριστής, arbitrator, umpire, like the διαιτηταί at Athens, a kind of jury selected by the disputants to try petty causes: δικαστής, juryman, like Lat. 'judex:' κριτής, presiding judge, Lat. 'prætor.'

 $\mu\epsilon\tau a\mu\epsilon\lambda o\mu a\iota$ , alter one's purpose, denoting change of feeling, the anxiety consequent on a past transaction, remorse, some-

-

times implying a return to a right state of mind, 'ponitet,' · piget :' μετανοέω, change one's views for the better, implying the sorrow by which sin is forsaken; Lat: 'resipisco,' 'recover one's senses,' come to a right understanding : µετάνοια, conversion ('transmentation,' Coleridge), the sanctified effect of μεταμέλεια or λύπη κατά Θεόν, 2 Č. 7. 8, 9; 'resipiscentia,' the growing wise. Dr. Wordsworth thus expresses the difference : "μετάνοια, change of mind, belongs only to the good; μετάμελεια, pain of mind, belongs to evil men, as well as good. Peter μετανοεί as well as μεταμέλεται. Μετανοέω begins with μεταμέλεια, but at length delivers from μεταμέλεια, whoreas μεταμέ-Leca without perávoia continues to eternity :" R. 11. 29, aperaμέλητα τὰ χαρίσματα, incapable of being revoked or changed : 2 0. 7. 10, μετάνοιαν είς σωτηρίαν ἀμεταμέλητον. μετανοείν is followed by ex, Rev. 2. 21, 22; 9. 20, 21; 16. 11, showing a complete change of mind, displaying itself in turning from previous acts, and out of a former mode of life to a new and different practice and habit of existence.

μολύνω, besmear as with mud and filth.' Aristotle speaks of swine, τῷ πηλῷ μολύνοντες ἑαυτούς. Lat. 'inquinare,' 'spurcare.' μιαίνειν, stain with colour, as the staining of glass or ivory: Il. iv. 141, ὡς δ' ὅτε τίς τ' ἐλέφαντα γυνὴ φοίνικι μιαίνη. Lat. 'maculare.' μιαίνειν is not necessarily taken in a dishonourable signification, though it is frequently used to express the profane or unhallowed use of any thing. There is the same difference between μιαίνειν and μολύνειν as between 'macula,' 'labes,' 'spot,' 'blot.' But in the figurative sonse the expression μιάσματα τοῦ κόσμου, 2 P. 2. 20, becomes equivalent to μολυσμὸς σαρκός, 2 C. 7. 1.

μορφή, form, abstractedly, without reference to any other object, applied to Θεοῦ, as well as δούλου, Ph. 2. 6, 7: ὁμοίωμα, shape, implying resemblance to other objects of the same kind:  $\sigma\chi\eta\mu a$ , outward figure, shape, mien: μόρφωσις, embodiment, form without substance: μόρφωσιν εὐσεβείας, 2 T. 3. 5.

 $\nu \epsilon o_{0}$  is a person or thing in a new or youthful condition, as contrasted with the same person or thing in a state of old age or decay.  $\kappa a_{i}\nu \delta_{0}$  is a person or thing in a new state, as distinguished from another person or thing in an old condition.  $\kappa a_{i}\nu \delta_{0}$  refers to the operation of an external agent;  $\nu \delta_{0}$  describes rather the inner growth or change of a natural object. Thus the olvos is  $\nu \delta_{0}$ , but the  $\delta \sigma \kappa o'$  are  $\kappa a_{i}\nu o'_{i}$ , Mk. 2. 22. The work of *avanalvwous* is performed by the external operation of the Holy Ghost on the inner life. The kawo's  $\delta v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s$  is said to be  $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon l_s$ , and the véos  $\ddot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s$  is said to be  $\dot{a} \nu a \kappa a \iota \nu o \dot{\nu}$ μενος, O. 3. 10. The καινός άνθρωπος is καινή κτίσις, G. 6. 15. But avaveousdas is a duty which we owe to our own moral and spiritual being, E. 4. 23. The heavens which will be made new are *kawol*, and Christ by His mediatorial power and grace makes all things kawá. (From Wordsworth, on E. 4. 23.) In cases, however, where the old is better than the new, kaivos may express the novel and strange, as contrasted with the known and familiar. In Mk. 1. 27, καινή διδαχή meant any thing but praise. Socrates was charged with introducing into Athens kaivà daipóvia, elsewhere called erepa d.: in A. 17. 18, Eéva Saimóvia. "The covenant of which Christ is the Mediator is a  $\delta ia\theta \eta \kappa \eta$  véa, as compared with the Mosaic covenant given nearly two thousand years before; it is a  $\delta ia\theta \eta \kappa \eta \kappa a i \nu \eta$  as compared with the same effete with age, from which all vigour, energy, and strength had departed. There is the same distinction between véos and kaivós as between 'recens' and 'novus.' véos, like 'recens,' refers to time; kalvós, like 'novus,' to state or condition. The same distinction is claimed for 'nouveau' (véos) and 'neuf' (kauvós). 'Ce qui est nouveau vient de paraître pour la première fois; ce qui est neuf vient d'être fait et n'a pas encore servi. Une invention est nouvelle, une expression neuve." (Archbishop Trench, Synonyms, Second Series.)

νήπιος, a babe, without the power of speech, an infant, a minor. βρέφος, a child, while yet in the womb (ἕμβρυον), the new-born babe. ἀπὸ βρέφους, 2 T. 3. 15, from the cradle, where νήπιος would have been inappropriate, as νήπιος means 'one not yet of full age,' G. 4. 1. Both words express the young convert, the disciple in an undeveloped immature state. In 1 P. 2. 2, ὡς ἀρτυγέννητα βρέφη: L. 18. 15, προσέφερον αὐτῷ καὶ τὰ βρέφη, their very babes. In H. 5. 13, νήπιος is especially opposed to οἱ τέλειοι, i. e. mature Christians.

vóos (voûs) embraces the Understanding, the Reason, the Will, and the Affections. The voûs takes cognizance of external objects, and denotes the reasoning faculty, exercised on the works or word of God. The conscience,  $\dot{\eta}$  ouvelongers, is a spiritual instinct, which operates without any active energy of the intellectual faculty.  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\eta}$  ouvelongers is one which governs itself by sound reason, and adopts for its own regulation the

rule of God's will, especially as revealed in His word. This is the conscience which produces  $\kappa a \lambda \eta \nu \dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta \nu$ . Wordsworth on 1 P. 3. 16. St. Paul even while persecuting the Church acted  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \kappa a \theta a \rho \hat{a} \sigma u \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon i$ , with a view to no personal advantage, but in mistaken zeal for the law of God. See  $\kappa a \rho \delta i a$ . Dr. Vaughan remarks that the understanding ( $\nu o \hat{\nu}_{S}$ ); no less than the heart, requires God's teaching. R. 12. 2: E. 4. 23: L. 24. 45: 1 C. 2. 14.

vouteré $\omega$ , put in mind, admonish. voute $\sigma la$ , verbal admonition, but admitting the idea of correction, Christian discipline and teaching.  $\pi a\iota \delta e \iota \omega$ , bring up as son, instruct, chastise.  $\pi a\iota \delta e \iota a$ , discipline, implying the idea of correction.  $\pi a\iota \delta e \iota a$ primarily applies to the body: voute o the mind.  $\delta \mu \eta$  $\delta a\rho e ls \, \check{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s \, o \check{\iota} \pi a\iota \delta e \iota e \iota e \iota a insti$  $tutio que fit verbis." Grotius, E. 6. 4. In Tit. 2. 12, <math>\pi a\iota \delta e \iota v o v \sigma a i \hbar v e \tau b i s$ . "Grotius, E. 6. 4. In Tit. 2. 12,  $\pi a\iota \delta e \iota v o v \sigma a i \hbar v e \tau b i s$ ." Grotius, E. 6. 4. In Tit. 2. 12,  $\pi a\iota \delta e \iota v o v \sigma a i \hbar v e \tau b i s$ ." Grotius, E. 6. 4. In Tit. 2. 12,  $\pi a\iota \delta e \iota v o v \sigma a i \mu \hat{a} s$ , the proper force of the word, 'per molestias erudire,' disciplining us, is to be retained. "Grace exercises its discipline on us (1 C. 11. 32: H. 12. 6), before its benefits can be fully felt or thankfully acknowledged; the heart must be rectified, and the affections chastoned before sanctifying grace can have its full issues."

όράω applies to bodily sight; βλέπω, to mental vision or consideration, 1 C. 1. 26: 2 C. 4. 18: R. 7. 23. Mk. 8. 24, βλέπω τούς ανθρώπους, ότι ώς δένδρα όρω περιπατούντας, I perceive they are mon, inasmuch as I see them as trees, but I see them walking.  $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ , consider, take heart, employed to express a more intent, earnest, spiritual contemplation than opáw. H. 2. 8, 9, νῦν δὲ οὕπω ὁρῶμεν αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα ὑποτεταγμένα, we do not yet see this with our bodily eyes : τον δε βραχύ τι παρ' άγγέλους ήλαττωμένον βλέπομεν Ίησοῦν, but with the eye of faith wo contemplate Jesus: Η. 10. 25, τοσούτω μάλλον όσω βλέπετε έγγίζουσαν την ήμέραν, as ye contemplate the day drawing nigh: 1 C. 10. 18, βλέπετε τον Ισραήλ κατά σύρκα, consider the example of the carnal Israel: 2 C. 7. 8, βλέπω γαρ ὅτι ἐπιστολή έκείνη... έλύπησεν υμας, I perceive, I am aware. βλέπω is thus akin to  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \omega$ , though  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \omega$ , when it is used of bodily vision, assumes that the object is actually present: L. 24. 39, ίδετε τας χειράς μου . . . πνεύμα σάρκα και όστέα ουκ έχει καθώς έμε θεωρείτε έχοντα. Phavorinus remarks, "όρω μεν έπι σώματος, θεωρώ δε έπι ψυχής : cf. J. 4. 19, Κύριε, θεωρώ ότι προφήτης

εί σύ: 12. 19, θεωρεῖτε ὅτι οὐκ ὡφελεῖτε οὐδέν; Α. 17. 22, κατὰ πώντα ὡς δεισιδαιμονεστέρους ὑμᾶς θεωρῶ: L. 10. 18, ἐθεώρουν τὸν Σατανῶν κ.τ.λ., q. d., this I was contemplating. In L. 8. 20 we have ἰδεῖν σε θέλοντες: but in M. 12. 46, ζητοῦντες αὐτῷ λαλῆσαι. Thuc. (iv. 125) has τὸν Βρασίδαν ἰδεῖν, which the Scholiast explains as an Atticism, for cum Brasidd colloqui. Lucian has ἰδεῖν τὸν Δία for ἐντυχεῖν τῷ Διτ. But all these passages may be explained by considering that the antecedent idea ἰδεῖν is put for the consequent λαλῆσαι or ἐντυχεῖν.

όλόκληρος, that which retains all originally allotted to it, whole and entire in all its parts, wanting in nothing which is necessary for its completeness. εν μηδενί λειπόμενοι, Ja. 1. 4. réleios, one who has reached the full limit of stature, strength, and mental power allotted to him, used like the English word 'perfect,' sometimes in a relative sense, at other times in an absolute, M. 5. 48; 19. 21. Compare the expression used by ignorant people in speaking of one who has finished his education, when they mean that he has completed a certain course of instruction. The drowing is one who has preserved or who has regained his completeness, the  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota os$  has attained his moral end, that for which he was intended. In the ölóκληρος no grace which ought to be in a Christian is wanting; in the réleios no grace is in its weak, imperfect commencement, but all have reached a certain ripeness and maturity. όλοτελής, 1 Th. 5. 23, is a connecting link between the two, "in your collective powers and parts." όλοτελείς marks more emphatically than ölous the thoroughness and pervasive nature of true holiness. aprios, in 2 T. 3. 17, is explained by expression (see on καταρτίζω), complete in all parts and proportions; "in quo nihil mutilum" (Calvin), perfect as a square. Cf. Arist. Eth. N. i. 10, 11, χερσί και ποσί και νόφ τετράγωνος τετυγμένος, Simon. ap. Plato, Protag. 344, H, réleios and aprios very much interchange their meanings, but  $a\rho\tau \iota os$  points to the adaptation of parts and special aptitude for any given uses.

 $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \beta a \sigma \imath s$ , the outward act of transgressing the law, the overstepping the line which divides right from wrong, sin of commission:  $\pi a \rho a \kappa o \dot{\eta}$ , hearing amiss, when we fulfil not and have no mind to fulfil the precepts of the law, carelessness in ascortaining or in regarding the rule of duty, the sin of omission. But every  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \beta a \sigma \imath s$  implies a  $\pi a \rho a \kappa o \dot{\eta}$ , and every  $\pi a \rho a \kappa o \dot{\eta}$  includes or induces  $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \beta a \sigma \imath s$ . Hence the use of

παρακοή for παράβασις in R. 5. 19, though παρακοή may be more appropriate as referring to an oral precept. iπακοή, subordination to the word, joyful acceptance of the Gospel message, hearing and doing. παράπτωμα, falling aside from right, truth, and duty.

 $\pi a\iota \delta a\gamma \omega\gamma \delta s$ , the slave or attendant who conducted the pupil to his teacher,  $\ddot{a}\gamma \omega \nu \epsilon i s \delta \iota \delta a\sigma \kappa a \lambda o \nu$  (olkov), used to denote persons ministering in all kinds of spiritual offices; opposed to  $\pi a\tau \eta \rho$ , i. e. to St. Paul as their spiritual father, 1 C. 4. 15. In G. 3. 24 the law became our slave-tutor to lead us to the true teacher, Christ.

παραβολή, placing side by side for the purpose of comparison, representation, similitude: παροιμία, used by St. John, means 'way-side illustrations;' lossons drawn from actions of ordinary life, from objects and processes in nature:  $d\lambda\lambda\eta\gamma o\rho \epsilon\omega$ , speak in an allegory; in symbolical language: "aliud verbis, aliud sensu ostendo." Quintilian:  $\epsilon\nu$  παροιμίαις, in figurative or obscure language, opposed to παβρησία, J. 16. 25. 29.

παραλαμβάνω has the idea of receiving from another: δέχομαι, of taking to oneself: 1 Th. 2. 13, παραλαβόντες λόγον ἀκοῆς παρ' ἡμῶν τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐδέξασθε, having received God's word of hearing, from our hands ye accepted it: A. 3. 21, δν δεῖ οὐρανὸν δέξασθαι, take to itself, contain, A. 7. 38. 59: Ja. 1. 21, δέξασθε τὸν ἕμφυτον λόγον. Hence παραλαμβάνω points to an objective reception, G. 1. 12: δέχομαι, to a subjective, 2 C. 8. 17. The old grammarians applied δέχεσθαι to τὸ δεδομένον ἐκ χειρός, while  $\lambda a μβ άνειν$  denotes τὸ κείμενον ἀνελέσθαι. With these we may contrast ἀρπάζειν. "Non tantum significat alienum vi auferre, sed etiam quod nobis offertur gratumque accidit, id expetendum atque optabile existimare, cupideque et ambabus quod aiunt manibus amplecti, eoque cum gaudio uti." Raphel ad Phil. 2. 6. So 'rapio' is used for 'avide et festinanter sumo.'

 $\pi a\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\sigma ia$ , plainness of speech, freedom of uttorance, the especial privilege of freemen, coupled with  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma a\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$ , freedom of access; frequently used for openness of action, publicity.

 $\pi i \sigma \chi \omega$ , receive, experience good, as well as cvil: Mk. 5. 26,  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \pi a \theta o \bar{v} \sigma a$ , subject to much medical treatment, not necessarily an acute sufferer. Applied in an cuphemistic sense to the death of our Lord, comprehending his agony, his bloody sweat, and the contradiction of sinners. So  $\pi i \theta \eta \mu a$  generally denotes suffering, R. 8. 18; but is sometimes used for sensual desire, like  $\pi \dot{a}\theta o_{0}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \pi \dot{a}\theta \epsilon_{i} \dot{\epsilon}\pi_{i}\theta\nu\mu\dot{a}s$ , 1 Th. 4. 5), representing states of mind in which it is the thing acted on, rather than the agent, R. 7. 5: G. 5. 24,  $\dot{o}\delta\nu\nu\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ .  $\dot{o}\delta\nu\nu\eta$ , pain, distress of body or mind:  $\dot{a}\delta\eta\mu o\nu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , have more than enough, experience loathing more than we can bear:  $\dot{a}\pi o\rho o\hat{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$ , am without resource, know not what to do, am perplexed:  $\tau a\rho\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\omega$ , agitate, put in trepidation, disquiet.

 $\pi a \tau \rho la$ , paternal descent, tribe, which contained several olicot, households: olicos refers to the members, inmates, servants: olicla, to the building, and the property therein contained.

πένης, derived from πένομαι, connected with πόνος, πονέομαι, and the Latin 'penuria,' is one who earns his bread by daily toil. The word, like 'pauper,' 'paupertas,' does not indicate extreme want, but simply 'res angusta domi.' See Virg.  $\mathcal{I}_n$ . ii. 87. Xen. Mem. iv. 2. 37, τούς μèν οίμαι μὴ iκανà ἔχοντας εἰς à δεῖ τελεῖν πένητας· τοὺς δὲ πλείω τῶν iκανῶν πλουσίους. The πενέσται in Thessaly retained partial rights as cultivators of the soil. πτωχός is 'mendicus,' the beggar, who lived on the alms of other men; i. q. προσαίτης, or ἐπαίτης. A far lower depth of destitution is implied in πτωχεία than in πενία. The πένης has nothing superfluous, but the πτωχός has nothing at all. The distinction is preserved in Plato, who describes tyrannies as running their course, εἰς πενίας τε καὶ ψυγὰς καὶ εἰς πτωχείας, and in Aristophanes, Plutus 549-554, where Chremylus says,

οὐκοῦν δήπου τῆς πτωχείας πενίαν φαμεν είναι ἀδελφήν.

to which  $\pi \epsilon \nu la$  replies,

·.;

πτωχοῦ μὲν γὰρ βίος δν σὺ λέγεις ζῆν ἐστὶν μηδὲν ἔχοντα, τοῦ δὲ πένητος ζῆν φειδόμενον καὶ τοῖς ἔργοις προσέχοντα, περιγίγνεσθαι δ' αὐτῷ μηδέν, μὴ μέντοι μηδ' ἐπιλείπειν.

This distinction between πτωχός and πένης gives greater vividness to the contrast : 2 C. 6. 10, ώς πτωχοί πολλούς δὲ πλουτίζοντες : 2 C. 8. 9, δι ὑμᾶς ἐπτώχευσε πλούσιος ὥν ἕνα ὑμεῖς τῆ ἐκείνου πτωχεία πλουτήσητε.

 $\pi\epsilon(\theta o\mu a\iota, obedire, obey from a conviction that the thing required is lawful and right, or from a sense of the just authority of the person to whom obedience is rendered. <math>i\pi\epsilon(\kappa\omega, obtemperare, yield to, comply with, accommodate yourself to the feelings and wishes of another; <math>\pi\epsilon(\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota, direct personal$ 

obedience; ὑπείκειν, such compliance as forbids opposition to the plans of another; πειθαρχεῖν, 'coactus obsequi;' ὑποτάσσεσθαι, 'lubens et sponte submittere,' Tit. 3. 1; L. 2. 51. Compare Hom. Il. i. 293-6: 'Η γάρ κεν δειλός τε και οὐτιδανὸς καλεοίμην, Εἰ δή σοι πῶν ἔργον ὑπείξομαι ὅ ττι κεν εἶποις. "Αλλοισιν δὴ ταῦτ' ἐπιτέλλεο· μὴ γὰρ ἕμουγε Σήμαιν' οὐ γὰρ ἕγωγ' ἔτι σοὶ πείσεσθαι ὀΐω.

 $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$  has reference to things past, present, or future, receives the declaration of blessing, or the denunciation of wrath, and may be applied to angels as woll as men;  $i\lambda\pi i s$  applies to blessings only, to be enjoyed in future, by the person who entertains the hope. Faith and hope alike are the evidence of things not seen. In some cases  $i\pi \sigma \mu \sigma \tau i$  occupies the place of  $i\lambda\pi i s$ , 2 Th. 1. 4: 1 T. 6. 11: Tit. 2. 2: it marks the manly valour  $(i\nu\delta\rho\epsilon ia)$  with which the Christian contends, against the various hindrances, persecutions, and temptations that befall him in this conflict with the inward and outward world: Rev. 2. 3, kal  $i\pi\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma\gamma\nu$   $i\chi\epsilon\iota s$  kal  $i\beta i\sigma\tau a\sigma as$   $\delta\iota a$   $\tau \delta$   $\delta\nu\sigma\mu i$   $\mu\sigma\nu$  kal  $\sigma i s$  sufferings; "animum in perferendo sustinet," in contrast with  $i\nu\epsilon \chi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\theta a\iota$ , a more tame and passive sufferance of them, 2 T. 2. 12: R. 12. 12: Ja. 1. 12: 1 C. 4. 12.

 $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\rho\mu\dot{\eta}$ , circumcision. The Jews derived a distinctive title from the observance of the outward rite, but the thing signified, or substance, is attributed to believers in Christ, as the seed of Abraham:  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \mu \dot{\eta}$ , mangling, mutilation, a term of contempt for those who relied on their outward circumcision, or adopted a spurious Christianity; Ph. 3. 2, 3.

πλανάω, make to wander, cause to err, lead astray, used for doctrinal error, or for religious deceit : πλάνος, teacher of error, religious impostor : ἀπατάω, deceive, delude with false statements, self-deception : πλάνη, seduction from the truth : δόλος, the adulteration of the truth with false admixtures : δολοῦντες τὸν λόγον τοῦ Θεοῦ, 2 C. 4. 2. δόλος is connected with δέλω, δέλεαρ, 'esca,' a lure to hunt souls. ἀπατậ ἑαυτόν may imply a deception which had something objective to rest upon : φρεναπατậ ἑαυτόν, G. 6. 3 : ἀπατῶν καρδίαν αὐτοῦ, Ja. 1. 26, implies a purely self-originated and subjective deception.

 $\pi\lambda\epsilon_{ov}\epsilon\xi_{ia}$ , covetousness, the grasping after more, hankering after what one has not, 'amor sceleratus habendi,' the active sin:  $\phi_i\lambda_a\rho\gamma_{\nu}\rho_ia$ , the passive sin, avarice, the accumulating

what one already has. The  $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{ov} \epsilon_{\kappa \tau \eta s}$  may be free in squandering, as he is unscrupulous in getting. 'Rapti largitor,' like Catiline:  $\pi\lambda\epsilon_{ov\epsilon\kappa\tau\eta\varsigma}$  is joined with aprag, 1 C. 5. 10:  $\pi\lambda\epsilon_{ov\epsilon}$ -Elas is joined with  $\kappa \lambda 0\pi al$ , Mk. 7. 22, and with sins of impurity, the insatiable longing of the creature which has turned from God to fill itself with objects of sense. The monsters of lust among the Roman emperors were monsters of covetousness. The same is the case with eastern rajahs. In contrast with this the *pilápyupos* is cautious and timid, retaining the garb of righteousness. Hence the Pharisees are called  $\phi_i\lambda\dot{a}\rho\gamma\nu\rho_i$ . They could foster avaricious desires, and yet justify themselves before men. Jer. 8. 10,  $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$  φιλαργυρίαν ἀποδιώκουσι. The  $\pi\lambda$  covértys is the bold unscrupulous trader, while he is making a fortune; the  $\phi_i \lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu\rho\sigma\sigma}$  is the retired trader who lives quietly that he may increase his property. "The  $\phi_i \lambda_a \rho_j v \rho_i a$  of the Pharisees did not disqualify them for exercising a commanding influence, and for being in the popular mind patterns of sanctity and objects of general admiration. Building on the temporal promises of the ancient law they made it an article of faith that riches are a proof of divine approbation. Wealth was another name of piety. Love of wealth was a love of God's favour. Thus they sanctified avarice." (Wordsworth, 1 T. 6. 10.)

πληροφορέω, bring in full measure, complete an act, applied to διακονία, 2 T. 4. 5; to κήρυγμα, 2 T. 4. 17; hence passive of persons who have fully attained the proposed end: C. 4. 12, τέλειοι καὶ πεπληροφορημένοι, fully satisfied or convinced in mind, R. 4. 21; 14. 5: of things received on the fullest evidence, L. 1. 1. Hesychius explains  $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho i a$  by  $\beta \epsilon \beta a i \delta \tau \eta s$ . steadiness. The word gives the idea of a ship laden with freight,  $\phi op a$ , pursuing a steady course :  $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho l a \sigma u \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ . perfect certainty, residing in the intellect, clearness and stability of comprehension :  $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi o \rho (a \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s, faith fully)$ grounded; a realizing view of the great Object of Faith.  $\pi\lambda\eta$ ροφορία  $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta o_{5}$ , hope fully established, the sense of a personal interest in the blessings of redemption, so as to impart uniform steadiness and consistency:  $\pi\lambda\eta\rho\rho\phi\rho\rho/a$  is not an effect of the logical faculty, but is produced by the inner working (evépyeia) of the Holy Ghost.

 $\pi o \rho \epsilon' \omega \rho a \iota$  has prominent the idea of removing to another place, and is often prefixed in the participle to verbs which

convey the idea of going, in order to render the expression more complete. In  $i\pi i\gamma\omega$  the prominent idea is departure, withdrawing from others so as to be out of sight:  $i\pi \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$  has the simple idea of absence.

πράσσειν denotes what we do naturally, easily; practice, customary action: ποιεῖν, 'make,' 'produce,' describes what we do with difficulty and effort, action with an object in view: J. 5. 29, οἰ τὰ ἀγαθὰ ποιήσαντες, οἰ τὰ φαῦλα πράξαντες. ποιέω indicates habitual design and actual habit of life, frequently applied to good, while πράσσειν is applied to evil. Good made and done has permanence for ever. Evil is practical, but produces no good fruit for etornity. πράσσω is connected with περάω, περαίνω, involving the idea of continuance and habitual prosecution, used in the sense of intrigue, contrivance, scheming, as to practise upon a man: Thuc. i. 99, ἀκριβῶς ἔπρασσον, were exacting the payment rigidly. Such is the sense of πράκτωρ, L. 12. 58.

προκόπτειν, originally, to cut forward, to forward by cutting (as by felling trees, &c., before an advancing army), to forward; but in the New Testament always, and in classical Greek generally, it is used intransitively, to advance or make progress: L. 2. 52: G. 1. 14: 2 T. 2. 16; 3. 9. 13: ἐγκόπτειν, to cut in, enclose or intercept by cutting (from an enemy impeding the progress of an army, by cutting trenches in its way), impede, obstruct; G. 5. 7; 1 Th. 2. 18. Vaughan.

 $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \eta$ , supplication addressed to God only, significant of the power of Him whom we invoke:  $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o \pi \eta$ , turning onesolf to any quarter for help, the turning of a suppliant (ikérns) to God or man, to implore protection or purification. Hence προστροπή ένέχεσθαι, the guilt or pollution of the manslayer. δέησις expresses our need (ένδειαν), entreatics for deliverance from evil, for aid in special necessity. EvreuEis, intercession, requests concerning others, and in their behalf, urgent personal address, interpellatio, said of appeals to man as well as to God. So evrvy x avo. in ernpla, application to another person for the supply of Evdera (inerela, invéquar). Sénors seems a special form (rogatio) of the more general  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \eta$  (precatio).  $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \nu$ -Ess, prayer in its most individual and urgent form, prayer in which God is, as it were, sought in audience, and personally drawn nigh to. 'Εντεύξεις τας ύπο του παβόησίαν τινα πλείονα έγουτος, Origen. δέησις marks the idea of our insufficiency :

προσευχή, that of devotion: ϵντευξιs, that of childlike confidence.

προφήτης, "Sacrorum interpres; qui alius cujusdam sensus profert;" prophet or seer, the interpreter of the inspired  $\mu \dot{a} \nu \tau v s$ . The  $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \eta s$  is rapt out of himself, lifted above, but not set beside his every-day self. The  $\mu \dot{a}\nu \pi v$  is one whose reason is suspended, his declarations are received only after they have had the approbation of the  $\pi\rho o\phi \eta \tau \eta s$ . The word  $\mu \dot{a}\nu \tau \iota s$  ( $\mu a \dot{\iota} \nu o \mu a \iota$ , rave) does not occur in the New Testament: µavreveolar occurs A. 16. 16, only when the lying art of heathen divination is referred to. Such too is its use in Dout. 18. 10: 1 Sam. 28. 8. In other instances  $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega}$  is repeatedly used.  $\pi \rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$  is generally a public teacher ( $\pi \rho \delta$  embracing time, place, persons), one endowed with the faculty of interpreting (έρμηνεία). So  $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon la$  is the exposition or interpretation of Scripture. In the middle, Hebrew, נָבִיא, προφήτης, two ideas were combined, which we ought carefully to distinguish; the one, a divinely inspired seer (ראָה, 1 Sam. 9. 9); the other, an interpreter of the Divine will. The Greeks, and after them, the Romans, had two different words to express these ideas; the first was expressed by µάντις, vates, the second by έξηγητής, interpres. The distinction between the two may be clearly seen in the description given of the Church at Corinth. The Corinthians, γλωσσαΐς λαλοῦντες, were in the state of a μάντις, but they were not all  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\eta\gamma\eta\tau ai$ : they had not the  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu\eta\nu\epsilon ia\ \gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\hat{\omega}\nu$ , for frequently they did not comprehend the sense, and, consequently, could not unfold the meaning of their own inspiration, 1 C. 12. 10. 30; 14. 5. (Gr. Test., Vol. i., Introd., p. 32.) The distinction between נְבִיא and נָבִיא is preserved by Greg. Naz., when he calls Ezekiel ο των μεγάλων επόπτης και εξηγητής μυστηρίων. The διaκονίa was instituted that others might have leisure to give themselves to prayer, and the ministry of the word. The sacred writers were led by Divine superintendence to avoid words, the employment of which tended to efface the distinction between heathenism and Christianity. Archbishop Trench remarks, that "the Christian Church assumed the  $\pi\rho o$ - $\phi\eta\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu$  to itself, but ascribed the  $\mu\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  to the heathenism which it was about to displace and overthrow." We may trace this caution in the neglect of  $\beta \omega \mu \delta s$ , which occurs only in A. 17. 23; in the sparing use of the word apern, Phil. 4. 8,

and 2 P. 1. 3. 5, though this was in heathen ethics the standing word for 'virtue;' in the single use of  $\eta\theta\eta$ , 1 C. 15. 33. To preserve the spirit of Christianity distinct from Judaism, the sacred writers never employ *iepeis* to express any of the different orders in the ministry of the Christian Church. In  $\pi po\phi\eta' \eta\eta_s$ the  $\pi p \phi$  is especially local. The Latin 'vates' (from 'fari') has a similar breadth of meaning.  $\pi po\phi\eta \pi e ia$  was a gift of 'prædicandi' rather than of 'prædicendi.' The contrast between the soothsayer,  $\mu \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota_s$ , and the forth-teller,  $\pi po\phi\eta' \eta\eta_s$ , is thus drawn out by Chrysostom: Toûro yàp  $\mu \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \omega_s$  iduov,  $\tau \dot{\phi}$  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\sigma \tau\eta\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\iota$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$   $\dot{a} \nu\dot{\alpha}\gamma\kappa\eta\nu$   $\dot{\nu}\pi o\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon \iota\nu$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\omega}\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta a\iota$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$  $\sigma \dot{\nu}\rho\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$   $\omega\sigma \pi\epsilon\rho$   $\mu a\iota\nu\dot{\phi}\mu\epsilon\nu o\nu$ . 'O dè  $\pi po\phi\eta' \tau \eta_s$  où  $\tau \omega_s$ ,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$  $\mu\epsilon \tau \dot{\delta} \delta\iotaavolas \nu\eta\phi où \tau\eta_s$  kal  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho ovoù \tau\eta_s$  kara $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \omega_s$  ka $\nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\upsilon}$  $\dot{\delta} \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \tau a\iota$ ,  $\phi\eta \sigma i\nu$   $\ddot{\pi}\pi a \nu \tau a\cdot$   $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho \phi \eta' \tau \eta \nu$ .

 $\dot{\rho}\hat{\eta}\mu a$  is more than  $\lambda \dot{\rho}\gamma \rho s$ , the matter, the whole transaction, "non verbum, sed rem que accidit." Valckner.

 $\dot{\rho}_{0\mu}\phi_{ala}$ , barbarian scimitar, broad falchion:  $\mu\dot{a}\chi a_{l\rho}a$ , the symbol of civil power, the right of punishment.

ρυτίς, wrinkle, contraction of the skin from old age: ρύω, έρύω, draw together: σπίλος, stain, mark, freckle, mole: ἄσπιλοι joined with ἀμώμητοι, 1 P. 1. 19: 2 P. 3. 14 (see ἀκέραιος): σπίλοι, spots, in a moral sense: μῶμοι, used of bodily defects.

σάρκινος, made of the material substance, σάρξ, as δστράκινος, δερμάτινος, πήλινος : σαρκικός, 'fleshen,' subject to fleshly lusts and appetites. Our Lord was oápkivos, of human flesh subsisting; but though  $\sigma \dot{a} \rho \kappa \nu \sigma \sigma$ , He was not like all other men, σαρκικός: 2 C. 3. 3, έν πλαξί καρδίας σαρκίναις: 10. 4, τὰ γὰρ οπλα της στρατείας ήμων οι σαρκικά. σάρξ, the opposite of πνεῦμα, includes the  $ψ v \chi \eta$  as well as the σῶμα. The desires of the mind, as well as the lusts of the flesh, are enumerated amongst τὰ ἔργα τῆς σαρκός, in G. 5. 19-21, the life and movement of man in the things of the phenomenal world.  $\sigma \dot{a} \rho \xi \kappa a \dot{a}$ alµa, a Hebrew circumlocution for man, generally with the accessory idea of weakness and frailty. It has the following modifications of meaning: man in his mere corporeal nature, 1 C. 15. 50: II. 2. 14: man in his weak, intellectual nature. contrasted with God, M. 16. 17: G. 1. 16: man in his feeble human powers, contrasted with spiritual natures and agencies, E. 6. 12. (Ellicott, G. 1. 16.)  $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$  means the regular course

of nature, the worldly tendency of human life, when there is an expressed or latent opposition to  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$ , as the governing and directing principle in the spiritual man, G. 3. 3; 4. 23. In G. 4. 29,  $\sigma\dot{a}\rho\kappa a$ , the natural laws, according to which Ishmael was born:  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$ , the supernatural laws, according to which Isaac was conceived and born. In Tit. 2. 12, we have  $\kappa\sigma\sigma\mu\kappa\dot{a}\dot{s}\dot{\epsilon}\pi\imath\theta\nu\mu\dot{a}s$ , all the inordinate desires of the things of the world.  $\kappa\sigma\sigma\mu\kappa\dot{a}\dot{s}$  is used in preference to  $\sigma a\rho\kappa\kappa\dot{a}\dot{s}$ , as more general and inclusive, and as enhancing the extent of the abnegation.

στέφανος, the wreath of victory in the games, 'corona,' the mark of distinction and joy, the reward of those who fight the good fight of faith, but not the emblem or characteristic of royalty. The διάδημα was the 'insigne regium,' originally a linen band or fillet, encircling the brow, 'tænia,' 'fascia,' applied to the Captain of our salvation, διαδήματα πολλά, Rev. 19. 12. The στέφανος was a garland formed of leaves and flowers, or an imitation of a garland, worked in gold: στέφανος åκάνθινος, the crown of thorns, where the word is appropriate to the materials of which the wreath was composed. To such a substance διάδημα could not be applied.

σπαταλâν might properly be laid to the charge of the prodigal, scattering his substance in riotous living (ζῶν ἀσώτως, L. 15. 13): τρυφâν, to the rich man faring sumptuously every day (εὐφραινόμενος καθ ἡμέραν λαμπρῶς, L. 16. 19): στρηνιᾶν, to Jeshurun, when waxing fat, he kicked, Deut. 32. 15. From Archbishop Trench, who quotes Hottinger: "τρυφâν deliciarum est, et exquisitæ voluptatis, σπαταλᾶν, luxuriæ atque prodigalitatis." Tittmann, "τρυφῶν potius mollitiam vitæ luxuriosæ, σπαταλῶν petulantiam et prodigalitatem denotat."

 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \delta \omega$ , make haste, *festino*, 'de tempore :'  $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ , do the utmost, 'festinanter et sedulo aliquid facio.'

 $\tau\epsilon\kappa\mu\eta\rho\iota a$ , as distinguished from  $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}a$ , are evidences derived from logical induction. "Differt  $\tau\epsilon\rho as$  a  $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\varphi$ . Hoc enim sumitur etiam pro quolibet signo extra miraculum; at  $\tau\epsilon\rho as$ semper sumitur pro portento vel prodigio." Mintert. "A miracle is a  $\deltai\nu a\mu\iota s$ , as wrought by divine power: a  $\tau\epsilon\rho as$ , as a supernatural prodigy: a  $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\nu$ , as a sign or credential of a mission from God." (Wordsworth.) "The fathers apply  $\tau\epsilon\rho ara$ to the signs at the Crucifixion, the supernatural darkness, the rending the rocks; the earthquake at the Resurrection; to the phenomena before the siege and fall of Jerusalem.  $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}a$  and

 $\tau \acute{e}\rho a \tau a$  are both applied to Christian miracles:  $\tau \acute{e}\rho a \tau a$ , with especial reference to their supernatural character: and  $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ , to their object, as signals of Christ." (Vaughan.)  $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$ , a sign, with reference to its demonstration:  $\tau \acute{e}\rho a s$ , a wonder, with reference to the excitement of surprise:  $\delta \acute{\nu} \nu a \mu \iota s$ , a work, with reference to the power required for its performance.

 $\tau i \pi \sigma \sigma_{s}$ , (1) mark or impress made by a hard substance on one of softer material; (2) model, pattern, exemplar, in the widest sense; a material object of worship, or idol, A. 7. 43: an external framework for divine service, A. 7. 44: H. 8. 5: the form of an epistle, A. 23. 25: system of doctrinal instruction, R. 6. 17: representative character, normal example, R. 5. 14: 1 C. 10. 11: Ph. 3. 17: 1 Th. 1. 7: 1 P. 5. 3. " $\tau i \pi \sigma \sigma$  est res præfigurans.  $d \nu \tau i \tau \sigma \sigma \nu$  est res præfigurata."  $i \pi \sigma \tau i \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma$ , delineation, outline, 2 T. 1. 13.

φαῦλος, in its primary meaning, 'light,' 'blown about with every wind;' with a moral reference, opposed to ἀγαθός, i. q. κακός or πονηρός.'' Fritzsche, Rom. ii. p. 297.

φονεύς, a general term for murderer (M. 22. 7: 1 P. 4. 15: Rev. 21. 8), used, however, vaguely, as a traitor is called by Plutarch, φονεύς τῆς πατρίδος. φονεύς is the genus, of which σικάριος, A. 21. 38, is the species, an assassin, formed from the 'sica,' poniard. Thus 'sicarii' mingled with the multitude at the chief feasts, and secretly stabbed their adversaries. (Josephus, B. J. ii. 3. 3: Ant. xx. 8. 6.) 'Ανθρωποκτόνος, manslayer, J. 8. 44: 1 J. 3. 15, appropriately applied to Satan, as he would have fain murdered the whole race of mankind.

φόρος is especially the tribute paid to a foreign power, L. 20. 22; 23. 2, levied by direct taxation on property and persons, for which purpose the ἀπογραφή, or κῆνσος, was taken, which contained an enumeration of the people and valuation of property. κῆνσος, poll-tax, i. q. ἐπικεφάλαιον: τέλος, tolls, customs, duties, levied on travellers and merchandise, received by τελῶναι, Latin ' portitores,' or ἐκλέγοντες, collectors. From τέλλειν, put, settle: τελεῖν, complete, perfect, connected with our verb ' to tell,' and with the German 'stellen,' 'zahlen,' 'Ziel.' τέλος, 'the settlement,' or perfecting of a thing. Hence the expressions, γάμοιο τέλος, and θανάτοιο τέλος, the settlement and crown of life. Arnold, Thuc. i, 78.

 $\chi \acute{a}\rho\iota$ s signifies free gift, favour, mercy, indulgence, bounty, more especially a spiritual gift, and in a sense yet more re-

strained, the gift of sanctification, or of such spiritual aids as may enable a man both to will and to do according to what God has commanded; grace generally, the result of the divine favour, imparted for personal edification : yápioµa, special gift for the edification of others.  $\chi \acute{a} \rho \iota \varsigma$  is grace given, that  $\chi a \rho \iota \sigma$ ματα may be rightly exercised. χάρις is also applied to human benevolence, i. e. the collection made for the Christians at Jerusalem, 2 C. 8. 19; joined with κοινωνία, to denote Christian beneficence, 2 C. 8. 4; where Kolvavía implies the communication of what is given for the service of many, and not for the exclusive benefit of the possessor.  $\chi \acute{a} \rho \iota s$ , what is freely bestowed by God in order to be freely and thankfully dispensed. In 1 C. 10. 16 (κοινωνία τοῦ αίματος) the word κοινωνία, communion, is used rather than  $\mu \epsilon \tau o \chi \eta$ , participation, to mark more strongly the fact of our being united to Christ  $(\tau \hat{\omega})$ ένοῦσθαι). χάρις is favour, as opposed to  $\partial \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$ : gratuitous favour, as opposed to doellyma. Koivavia has the two senses; (1) of participation or communion, Ph. 2. 1: 1 J. 1. 3; and (2) of imparting or communication, R. 15. 26: H. 13. 16. So κοινωνείν, partake in, 1 T. 5. 22: 1 P. 4. 13: impart to, G. 6. 6: Ph. 4. 15. In the salutation, G. 1. 3, χάρις ύμιν και εἰρήνη, we have the full spiritual significance of the Hebrew שלום לך, and the Greek xalpew. xápıs, the divine love manifesting itself to man, and eightry, the state that results from a reception of it. "The oriental and occidental forms of salutation are thus blended and spiritualized in the Christian greeting." Ellicott. "γάρις, quæ est principium omnis boni; εἰρήνη, quæ est finale bonorum omnium." Thom. Aquinas.

 $\psi a \lambda \mu \delta s$ , properly, a touching, then a touching of the harp or other stringed instrument with the finger, or 'plectrum' ( $\psi \delta \omega$ , sweep the strings); next the instrument; then the song sung with this musical accompaniment. The  $\psi a \lambda \mu \delta t$  of E. 5. 19: C. 3. 16, are probably the inspired Psalms of the Hebrew Canon. The  $\tilde{\nu}\mu\nu\sigma s$  was a song in praise of a god, or hero after death; in the Christian use of the word, this original application of the word was still retained, as the  $\tilde{\nu}\mu\nu\sigma s$  was a direct address of praise and glory to God, while the  $\psi a \lambda \mu \delta s$  might be a commemoration of mercies received. The word was not freely adopted till the fourth century. Archbishop Trench says, "It is a plausible explanation of this, that the word was so steeped in heathenism, so linked with profane associations, there were

so many hymns to Zeus, Hermes, Aphrodite, that the early Christians would not willingly employ it." The word  $\dot{\omega}\delta\dot{\eta}$ (i. q.  $\dot{\alpha}\omega\delta\dot{\eta}$ ) occurs in the Apocalypse, 5. 9; 14. 3; 15. 3. St. Paul uses it twice with the adjective  $\pi\nu\epsilon\nu\mu\alpha\tau\kappa\dot{\eta}$ , implying that they were songs composed by spiritual men, and had to do with spiritual things.  $\dot{\omega}\delta\dot{\eta}$ , by itself, might mean any kind of song, of battle, of harvest, festal, or hymeneal.

 $\psi v \chi \eta$ , animal life, the lower faculty, which man has in common with all living creatures :  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$ , the higher principle or attribute, the spirit which evinces man's original state, as made in the image of God. "Anima  $(\psi v \chi \hat{y})$  vivinus, spiritu  $(\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu a\tau\iota)$  intelligimus, vita nobis carnalis cum bestiis communis est; ratio spiritalis cum Angelis." Primasius.  $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ , the living principle which animates the  $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ , or corporeal frame:  $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ , the highest faculty, the proper recipient of the Holy Spirit: ψυχικός, animal, distinguished from πνευματικός, spiritual : Jude (19), ψυχικοί πνεῦμα μη ἔχοντες : Rev. 8. 9, τὰ έχοντα ψυχάς, those which held fast animal existence: 12. 11; 16. 3; 18. 13. "It is not to be supposed that  $\psi v \chi \eta$  and  $\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ are different parts of the human constitution, for the sentient faculty is indiscerptible, and cannot be anatomized like the body; but they are different faculties of the invisible part of man, so that  $\psi v \chi \eta$  refers to that lower faculty of life which man has in common with other animals; and  $\pi \nu \epsilon \partial \mu a$  represents the higher attribute, which they do not possess, and which makes him nearest to God." (Wordsworth on 1 Th. 5. 23.) In R. 8. 4,  $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$  includes both  $\sigma \omega \mu a$  and  $\psi \nu \chi \eta$ , the natural mind, and  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a$  is the renewed soul of the Christian, made so by the presence and agency of a Divine Ilveûµa. In other passages, 1 C. 2. 14: Rev. 8. 9,  $\psi v \chi \eta$  includes  $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ . The threefold division,  $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ ,  $\psi \nu \chi \eta$ ,  $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ , occurs 1 Th. 5. 23, where  $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$  is the soul as quickened and inhabited by the Holy Spirit. "We have here," says Bishop Ellicott, "a distinct enunciation of the three component parts of the nature of man; the  $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ , the higher of the two united, immaterial parts, being the 'vis superior agens, imperans in homine;' the ψυχή, 'quæ agitur, movetur, in imperio tenetur;' the sphere of the will and affections, and the true centre of the personality. We frequently find instances of an apparent dichotomy. 'body and soul,' M. 6. 25; 10. 28, or 'body and spirit' (1 C. 5. 3; 7. 34); but such passages will only be found accommodations to the popular division into a material and immaterial part; the  $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$  in some cases including the  $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ; the  $\pi v \epsilon \hat{\upsilon} \mu a$ in other cases comprehending the  $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ . To refer these distinctions to Platonism is calculated to throw doubt on the truth of the teaching. If St. Paul's words imply this trichotomy, then such a trichotomy is infallibly real and true. If Plato or Philo have maintained substantially the same views, then God has permitted a heathen and a Jewish philosopher to advance conjectural opinions, which have been since confirmed by the independent teaching of an inspired Apostle."

CHAPTER XI.

#### HINTS ON THE AUTHORIZED VERSION.

THE idea of this chapter is taken from the Hints for an Improved Translation of the New Testament, by the late Professor Scholefield. The design, however, is different, as my object is not so much to suggest hints for a revision, as to point out the most effectual way of using the Authorized Version.

Occasionally I have presented a paraphrastic rendering, where a close and literal translation would be an inadequate expression of the force of the original. In the selection of passages I have called attention to those not already adduced in the Syntax, in which some amendment would probably be attempted, whenever the revision of the Authorized Version is deemed advisable. These may generally be arranged under four classes, in which our Version is incorrect, inexact, insufficient, or obscure.

Matthew 7. 15, Beware, accordingly ( $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ), of false prophets.

12. 43, But whenever the unclean spirit goes out of the man  $(\delta \tau a \nu \delta \epsilon)$ . [The conjunction marks the connexion with the preceding, and explains the process by which the Jews had become so hardened in sin as to reject our Lord.]

15. 3, Why do ye also transgress the precept of God owing to (Siá) your tradition? 27, Yea, Lord, help me; for even the dogs (Naí, Κύριε, καὶ γὰρ τὰ κυνάρια).

20. 23, is not mine to give, otherwise than to those for whom it has been prepared by my Father  $(\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a})$ . 31, charged them that they should be silent ("iva  $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ ).

23. 6. They love the highest couch at feasts  $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \kappa \lambda \iota$ σίαν).

24. 32, When already its branch has become tender, and its. leaves sprout forth (γένηται άπαλος και τα φύλλα ἐκφύη).

26. 56, But this altogether has taken place that the Scrip-

tures of the prophets may be fulfilled (τοῦτο δὲ ὅλον γέγονεν ίνa).

Mark 2. 18, And the disciples of John and the disciples of the Pharisees were keeping a fast (noav .... vnorevoures).

10. 14, for to such belongeth the kingdom of heaven  $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ τοιούτων έστί).

14. 49, but this takes place that the Scriptures may be fulfilled (àλλ' <sup>[</sup>νa).

16. 14, to them, the eleven, at table (avaker µ évois).

Luke 2. 2, This was the first census that took place, while Cyrenius was governor of Syria. [Publius Sulpicius Quirinus was twice governor of Syria. A second census was made after the banishment of Archelaus.]

9. 55, Ye know not to what spirit ye belong; or, know ye not? (οίου πνεύματός έστε ύμεις.)

12. 58, For instance, when thou art going (ώς γαρ υπάγεις). [This is brought forward by our Lord as an exemplification of his appeal.] (τί δὲ καὶ ἀφ' ἑαυτῶν οὐ κρίνετε τὸ δίκαιον;)

18. 11, 12, The Pharisee standing by himself, was offering this prayer ( $\sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon i s \pi \rho \delta s \epsilon a v \tau \delta v$ ): I am in the habit of giving the tenth of whatever I am from time to time acquiring.

22. 29, And accordingly as my Father covenanted with Me a kingdom, I covenant with you, that ye eat, &c. (διατίθεμαι ὑμῖν ...... "iva  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta i\eta\tau\epsilon$ ). 36, And let him who hath no sword, sell his garment, and buy one.

23. 15, Nothing deserving of death has been committed by him (πεπραγμένον αὐτῷ).

John 1. 9, That was the true light which enlighteneth every man, by coming into the world. 52, Henceforward  $(\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}\rho\tau\iota)$  ye shall see the heaven set open. [The Gospel dispensation was now commenced; from this time they should witness in the Saviour the fulfilment of the blessings which had been represented in Jacob's vision.]

3. 25, There arose accordingly a discussion on the part of John's disciples, with the Jews, concerning purifying (eyévero ούν ζήτησις έκ τῶν μαθητῶν Ἰωάννου). [The οὖν resumes the narrative of v. 23, which is interrupted by the parenthesis in v. 24.] 4. 29, What ! is this the Christ? (μήτι οὐτός ἐστιν ὁ Χριστός ;)

[The  $\mu \eta$  with an indicative implies a mixture of belief, doubt, and wonder.]  $\mu\eta\tau\iota$ , What! is it possible? 33, What! has any one brought him food? (Μή τις ήνεγκεν αὐτῷ φαγεῖν ;)

\*.

John 7. 41, Others however kept saying, The Christ does not come out of Galilee, does He? ( $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda o\iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \, \check{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\gamma o\nu$   $M\eta \, \gamma \dot{a}\rho \, \check{\epsilon}\kappa \, \tau \eta s$  $\Gamma a\lambda\iota\lambda a(as \, \delta \, X\rho\iota\sigma\tau \delta s \, \check{\epsilon}\rho\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota ;)$ 

8. 56, Abraham, your father, exulted in the thought that he should see My day; and he saw, and was glad  $(\dot{\gamma}\gamma a\lambda\lambda\iota\dot{a}\sigma a\tau o \tilde{l}\nu a)$ .

9. 40, And those from among the Pharisees who were with Him heard this, and said to Him, Is it possible that we also are blind? ( $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Phi a \rho_i \sigma a \ell \omega \nu \dots o \ell \delta \nu \tau \epsilon_s \mu \epsilon \tau' a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$ . M $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \dot{\ell} \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\ell} s$ ;)

11. 6, When then he heard. [ouv, continuative only.]

13. 7, But thou shalt know after these things ( $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a} \tau a\hat{v}\tau a$ ), [when I have finished what I am now doing.]

15. 5, Separate from me, ye are not able to produce any fruit  $(\chi \omega \rho)$ 's  $\ell \mu o \hat{\upsilon} \delta \hat{\upsilon} \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \sigma \hat{\upsilon} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ).

18. 17, What! art thou also belonging to the disciples of this man? (Mì  $\kappa \alpha i \sigma \dot{\nu} \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon l \dots;$ ) So 18. 25.

Acts 2. 40, Save yourselves, separating from this crooked generation  $(\dot{a}\pi \dot{o})$ .

7. 36, This one brought them out by working (outros  $\epsilon \xi \eta \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \nu$ autous  $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma a s$ ).

8. 11, owing to the fact  $(\delta\iota\dot{a})$ , that for a long time by his sorceries they had been bewitched ( $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\sigma\tau\alpha\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\iota$  intrans.). 33, His origin, however, who shall unfold?  $(\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\ \delta\dot{\epsilon}\ \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\dot{a}\nu\ a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}$  $\tau/s\ \delta\iota\eta\gamma\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ ;)

9. 31, The churches however  $(o\nu)$  had rest, building themselves up, and walking in the fear of the Lord, and by the instruction of the Holy Spirit were continually replenished.

10. 39, whom they slow by hanging on the tree  $(\partial \nu \, d\nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \lambda o \nu \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\iota} \, \xi \hat{\iota} \lambda o \nu)$ .

11. 17, Seeing then God gave the equal gift to them, as to us also, upon the mere fact of their believing on the Lord Jesus, —why, who was I, to be able to restrain God? ( $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau is$   $\ddot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$  $\delta\nu\nu\alpha\tau\dot{\delta}s$   $\kappa\omega\lambda\hat{\upsilon}\sigma\alpha\iota$   $\tau\dot{\delta\nu}$   $\Theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ ; 'ego vero.')

13. 27, Not understanding this word of salvation, even the statements of the prophets, which are read every sabbath-day, these they fulfilled by condemning Him ( $\kappa a \lambda \tau \dot{a} \varsigma \phi \omega \nu \dot{a} \varsigma$ ).

14. 6, when they had considered the matter they fied ( $\sigma \nu \nu - \iota \delta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon_5 \kappa a \tau \epsilon \phi \nu \gamma o \nu$ ).

15. 22, to choose men out of their own body and send ( $\epsilon\kappa\lambda\epsilon\xia\mu\epsilon\nuous$  and  $\delta\mu\delta\rhoas$   $\epsilon\xi$  autor  $\pi\epsilon\mu\psia\iota$ ).

Acts 17. 7, saying that there is a king of a different character. (*ërepos*, p. 192.)

19. 13, Some from the Jewish exorcists who went about  $(\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$ τών περιερχομένων Ιουδαίων έξορκιστών). 24, models of Diana's temple in silver (ναοὺς ἀργυροῦς ἀρτέμιδος).

22. 23, tossing up their garments ( $\dot{\rho}\iota\pi\tau o\dot{\nu}\tau\omega\nu \tau \dot{\alpha} i\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\iota a$ ). 25, when they stretched him forward with the thongs ( $\pi\rho o\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\iota\nu a\nu$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\sigma}$ ;  $i\mu\hat{\alpha}\sigma\iota\nu$ ).

23. 5, I did not consider that he is High Priest (oùr  $\eta\delta\epsilon\nu$   $\delta\tau\nu$  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\lambda\nu$   $d\rho\chi\iota\epsilon\rho\epsilon\nu$ 's). 27, This man apprehended by the Jews, and on the point of being killed by them, being present with my force I rescued, on learning that he is a Roman.

26. 28, 29, In short compass, i. e. in a brief narrative of facts, thou art trying to persuade me to become a Christian. I would pray to God, whether in short compass or long, i. e. in brief narrative or elaborate argument, that not only thou, but that all who hear me to-day, became such as even I am  $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \partial\lambda/\gamma\varphi,$  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \pi o\lambda\lambda\hat{\varphi}, \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota)$ . [ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \partial\lambda/\gamma\varphi$  is found in E. 3. 3, where it is well rendered, 'in few words.']

Rom. 1. 3, concerning His Son Jesus Christ, who was made to arise from the seed of David according to the flesh ( $\tau o \hat{v}$  $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o v$ ). 32, such as ( $o \tilde{\iota} \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$ ) being well aware of the ordinance of God, that they who practise ( $\pi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota$ ) such things are worthy of death, not only commit them ( $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ), but actually delight in those who practise them ( $\kappa a i \sigma v \nu \epsilon v \cdot \delta \sigma \kappa o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ).

2. 1, for in the fact that thou judgest thy neighbour  $(\tau \partial \nu \ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu)$ , thou condemnest thyself; for thou the judge practisest the same things  $(\pi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \kappa)$ .

3. 3, 4, Shall unfaithfulness on their part nullify the faithfulness of God? Far be the thought. Let God be accounted true (let our conviction be that God is true), though, on the other hand, it should follow ( $\delta \epsilon$ ) that every man must be accounted a liar; as it is written, In order that thou mayest be proved righteous in thy words, and prevail judicially in thy cause (and mayest gain thy cause when thou standest in judgment). 8, 9, And why do we not rather say, As we are slanderously reported, and as some affirm that we say, Let us do the things which are evil, in order that the things which are good may come? ( $\kappa a i \mu \eta \kappa a \theta \omega_S \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu o i \mu \epsilon \theta a$ .) What

then? Do we (Jews) occupy a higher position than they (the Gentiles)? ( $Tl ov; \pi\rho o \epsilon \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ;) 19, That all the world may become convicted of guilt in relation to God ( $i\pi \delta \delta i\kappa \sigma s$  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a \iota$ ...  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ ). 21, But now, apart from law, God's mode of justifying has been manifested ( $\chi \omega \rho i s \nu \delta \mu o v$ ) [independently of the requirements and conditions of any law].

Rom. 5. 6, 7, For while we were still powerless (to obey or please God), at the appointed season Christ died for ungodly characters. For scarcely in behalf of a righteous man will one die; scarcely, I say, for it is a fact, that in behalf of the preeminently good man, some one really has the heart to die. 12, For this cause, as through one man sin came into the world, and death through sin, and thus death came abroad unto all men, on the ground that all sinned. 16, But not as was the transgression, so the gift of grace; for if in the transgression of the one man, mankind died, much more the grace of God, and the free gift, centering in the grace of the one man, Christ Jesus, abounded to mankind. 20, Now law came in by the way, that there might be more strongly displayed the transgression; yet where sin was thus aggravated, gratuitous mercy had a more signal victory (Vaughan).

6. 6, the body, the seat and instrument of sin ( $\tau \delta \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} s$  $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau ias$ ). 7, He who has died stands acquitted from his sin. [In the Levitical sin and trespass offerings, the offerer suffered a symbolical death in respect of his fault. We have suffered a symbolical death in Christ, and are therefore formally released from our slavery to sin, and have properly nothing more to do with it (Wratislaw).] 11, Thus do ye also regard yourselves as dead men (insensible, immoveable) in relation to sin, as living men (full of energy and vigour) in relation to God. 19, I use a human illustration, owing to the infirmity of your flesh. 20, When ye were slaves of sin, ye were freemen in relation to righteousness.

7. s, The fact being, that apart from law, sin is dormant  $(\chi \omega \rho i \varsigma \gamma \lambda \rho \nu \delta \mu \omega \sigma \lambda \mu a \rho \tau (a \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \delta)$ . 15, For that which I perform, I do not sanction; for I do not practise this which I wish; but what I hate, this I do; but if I do this which I loathe, I assent to the law, that it is good. [Compare Eurip. Med. 1076, 7,  $\kappa a \lambda \mu a \nu \theta a \nu \omega \rho a \delta \rho \rho \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \kappa a \kappa a, \Theta \nu \mu \delta \varsigma \delta \epsilon \kappa \rho \epsilon (\sigma - \sigma \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \mu \omega \nu \beta \omega \lambda \omega \mu a \nu \delta \tau \omega \nu)$  23, But I see a different ( $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$ ),

i. e. an opposing principle in my members warring against the principle of my mind, and leading me captive by the principle of sin, which is in my members.

Rom. 8. 3, For the point which law could not effect, the point in which it was weak by means of the flesh, God effected by sending His own Son, in the likeness of the flesh, liable to sin, and as a sin-offering. He passed sentence of death upon the dominion of sin in the flesh, in order that the law's requirement might be satisfied in us, who live, not by the rule of the flesh, but by the rule of the spirit. 6, For the bent of the flesh is death, but the bent of the spirit is life and peace, because the bent of the flesh is enmity towards God, for it does not submit itself to the law of God; the fact is, this submission is not , even possible; accordingly, they which are after the flesh have not the ability to please God. 10, 11, But if Christ is in you while the body is dead (inevitably subject to death), owing to sin, the spirit is life (a living principle of action), owing to righteousness; if, however, the Spirit of Him who raised up Jesus from the dead, dwell in you, He who raised up Christ from the dead, shall make alive, shall reanimate your mortal bodies, owing to His Spirit which dwelleth in you. 23, waiting to receive as realization of sonship the redemption of our body (υίοθεσίαν απεκδεχόμενοι).

9. 2, that my sorrow is great, yea, unceasing distress in my heart. 11, For when they were not yet born, much less practised any good or evil, in order that God's purpose, according to election, may abide not from and after works, but from and by Him that calleth. 21, Or hath not the potter power over the clay, out of the same lump to make one portion a vessel for honour, and another portion a vessel for dishonour? ["The position of  $\sigma\kappa\epsilon\hat{v}os$  shows that it must be a predicate of  $\delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ " (Wratislaw).] 22, If, however, God, willing to manifest His anger. 27, 28, The remnant shall be saved, for God will be consummating and cutting short His account in righteousness.

10. 19, But, I say, is it possible Israel did not know? Moses is the first to say  $(M\dot{\eta} \ o\dot{\nu}\kappa \ \epsilon\gamma\nu\omega \ I\sigma\rho a\eta\lambda; \pi\rho\tilde{\omega}\tau\sigmas$  $\dot{M}\omega\sigma\eta s \lambda \epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota$ . [So early as the days of Moses, they were distinctly warned of this purpose. Vaughan.]

11. 2, How he expostulates with God against Israel.

12. 1, the rational service of yourselves [not opyaviký,

r 2

mechanical]. 3, not to be minded above what he ought to be minded, but to be minded so as to be sober-minded.

Rom. 13. 1, Let every one submit himself to authorities over him, for no authority exists except from God; but the existing authorities have been commissioned by God (and are subordinate to Him). 9, For the commandment, Thou shalt not commit adultery, thou shalt not steal . . ., and whatever precept there is besides is brought under one head in this saying, in the expression. 11, And this do ye, as knowing the season.

14. 4, In relation to his own master he stands or falls, i. e. is right or wrong. 13, No longer then let us pass judgment on each other, but adopt this judgment rather. 17, For the kingdom of God is not eating and drinking ( $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota_S \kappa a \lambda \pi \delta \sigma \iota_S$ ).

15. 20, 21, yet so aspiring to preach the Gospel, not where Christ is received, that I may not build on a foundation laid by others, but in conformity with what is written, Men shall see to whom no tidings have been told concerning Him, and those who have not heard shall understand. 31, in order that I may be delivered from those who continue disobedient in Judæa ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \, \hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \theta o \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). See p. 195.

1 Cor. 4. 9, Seeing that we are rendered a spectacle to the world, both to angels and men ( $\delta \tau \iota \ \theta \epsilon a \tau \rho o \nu \ \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ).

5. 6, The matter of your boasting is dishonourable (où  $\kappa a \lambda \partial \nu$  $\tau \partial \kappa a \dot{\nu} \chi \eta \mu a \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ).

6. 3, Know ye not that we shall judge angels, to say nothing of matters of common life  $(\mu \eta \tau \iota \gamma \epsilon \beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha})$ ? If, however, ye hold courts of common life, those who are least esteemed in , the Church, these set ye up to judge  $(\beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \rho \iota a, secular courts)$ .

7. 10, 11, that the wife separate not herself from her husband, but if ever she be actually (*kai*) separated, let her remain unmarried, or let her get reconciled to her husband, and that the husband dismiss not the wife. 15, The brother or the sister is released from bondage in such circumstances (où  $\delta\epsilon\deltao\dot{\nu}-\lambda\omega\tau a\iota$ ). 23, Become not servants of men ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\dot{\nu}\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ ). 34, The wife has her condition assigned her, and the virgin has her condition ( $\mu\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota\sigma\tau a\iota$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\nu\nu\dot{\eta}$   $\kappa a\dot{\iota}$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi a\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\varsigma$ ).

8. 7. But some with the consciousness of the idol remaining till now, cat it as an idol-sacrifice. 10, For if ever any one see thee, the man who hast knowledge, at table in the idol's temple, will not his conscience, as he is weak, be impaired, so as actually to eat the things offered in sacrifice to idols? 12, But when by this practice ye sin against the brethren, offering violence to their conscience in a weakly state, ye sin against Christ.

1 Cor. 9. 4, Is it so that we have not right to eat and to drink? Is it so that we have not right to consort with a Christian woman? 6, Have I only and Barnabas not the right of declining to labour for our support? 9, Thou shalt not muzzle the ox while treading out the corn. Are oxen the special objects of God's care? 15, than that any one make void my object of glorifying  $(\kappa a \acute{u} \chi \eta \mu a)$ .

10. 5, In these things, however, they became figures of us (ταῦτα δὲ τύποι ἡμῶν ἐγενήθησαν).

11. 14, Doth not even nature of her own accord teach you? (oùdà airi)  $\dot{\eta} \phi \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \iota s$ ). 26, ye declare the death of the Lord until such time as He shall have come (καταγγέλλετε, ἄχρις οῦ ἀν ἕλθη).

12. 15, It is not for this reason no part of the body (où mapà roùro où căru ch roù sáµaros). [The course of the Apostle's argument requires that this should be rendered affirmatively. 15, 16 are an illustration of 14. When the Apostle appeals to the reader, as in 17. 19, he introduces  $\pi o \hat{v}$ . But besides this, only one passage has been adduced in which où interrogatively has been followed by a negative. Soph. Trach. 1013, où  $\xi\gamma\chi os \tau is oùr j sin où k amorpé vei.$  But here où is used in a privative sense, and asserts the direct contrary idea of the verb, "Will not some one hand a spear to help?"]

15. 12, resurrection of dead bodies is a nonentity ( $o\dot{\nu}\kappa \,\,\check{e}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ ). 14, vain, then, is all that we preach; vain, too, is all that you believe. 19, if in this life only we have reposed our hope in Christ; have hoped and still hope ( $\dot{\eta}\lambda\pi\iota\kappa\dot{\sigma}\tau\epsilon\varsigma \,\,\check{e}\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ). 31, by my glorifying in you ( $\nu\dot{\eta} \,\,\tau\dot{\eta}\nu \,\,\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rhoa\nu \,\kappaa\dot{\nu}\chi\eta\sigma\iota\nu$ ).

2 Cor. 1. 19, did not become yea and nay, but has become yea, and remains yea in Him ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \epsilon \nu$ ).

3. 5, Not that we are qualified of ourselves to form any such estimate as from ourselves, but our qualification is from God (*ikavq*),  $\dot{a}\phi'$   $\dot{\epsilon}av\tau\hat{\omega}v$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$   $\dot{\epsilon}av\tau\hat{\omega}v$ ). 16, But whenever Israel shall have turned to the Lord (Christ-ward), the veil is gradually removed, is taken quite off ( $\pi\epsilon\rho\iotaa\iota\rho\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\tau a\iota$ ).

4. 11, For continually we who live are being handed over to death on account of Jesus ( $\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ). 16, even though

our outward man is in process of decay ( $\delta\iota a\phi\theta\epsilon\iota\rho\epsilon\tau a\iota$ ), yet the inward man is in process of renewal ( $d\nu a\kappa a\iota\nu o \hat{\nu}\tau a\iota$ ).

2 Cor. 5. 10, looking to what He practised  $(\pi\rho\delta s \ \tilde{a} \ \tilde{e}\pi\rho a\xi \epsilon \nu)$ . [The whole of life is summed up into one act. Life on earth is but a moment compared with eternity.] 14, The love which Christ showed constraineth us, having formed this judgment; seeing that One died in the stead of all mankind, our inference is  $(\tilde{a}\rho a)$  all mankind died in Him. 17, The original state is passed away; the whole state is rendered new  $(\pi a\rho \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon, \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \epsilon)$ .

10. 12, For we do not venture to reckon ourselves among, or to compare ourselves with, any of those who commend themselves ( $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\nu a\iota$ ,  $\sigma\nu\gamma\kappa\rho\hat{\imath}\nu a\iota$ ,  $\sigma\nu\nu\iota\sigma\tau a\nu\dot{\circ}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ). 14, For we are not ( $\dot{ov}$ ) stretching beyond our line, as if we did not ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ) reach to you; for as far as to you also we anticipated others ( $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\sigma\mu\mu\nu$ ) in preaching the Gospel of Christ.

11. 3, Thus your understandings should be corrupted from the singleness of devotion due to Christ. 9, And when I was present with you and reduced to want, I did not prey upon any one ( $i\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\eta\theta\epsilon$ 's où κατενάρκησα). 12, that I may cut off the means of attack from those who wish to make an attack ( $\tau\eta\nu$  åφορμήν). 16, But if it be otherwise, receive me even though ye receive me as a fool. 20, If a man takes wages ( $\epsilon$  $\tau_{15} \lambda a\mu\beta$ áνει).

11. 30, if I must boast, I will boast the circumstances of my infirmity.

G. 1. 4, That he might deliver us from the midst of  $(\epsilon \kappa)$  the present could world. 20, behold, in the presence of God, I declare that I lie not.

2. 5, To whom not even for an hour we yielded in the subjection demanded. 10, Only they wished us to be mindful of the poor; which I was anxious also when among you, for

this very reason to do [i. e. because of the request of James, Peter, and John], airò roîro, 2 P. 1. 5. [The aor.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi o \dot{\epsilon} \delta a\sigma a$ shows that the Apostle speaks of his conduct at that time. If he referred to his habitual action, the word would have been  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi o \dot{\epsilon} \delta a \zeta o \nu$  (Wratislaw).] 11, because he was convicted of orror ( $\delta \tau \iota \kappa a \tau \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma \ddot{\eta} \nu$ ).

G. 3. 1, in front of whose eyes Jesus Christ was inscribed in the midst of you as crucified. 17, This then is what I mean, —the covenant previously confirmed by God to Christ-ward, the law which was made three hundred and thirty years afterwards does not disannul, so as to invalidate the promise.

4. 4, born of woman, born under law  $(\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\rho}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu)$ . 12, Become (free from Judaism) as I am, for even I (though a native Jew) have become a Gentile, as ye are. [Now,  $\tau o\hat{c}_s \dot{a}\nu \dot{o}$ - $\mu ois$   $\dot{\omega}_s \ddot{a}\nu o\mu os$ , 1 C. 9. 21. Then,  $\pi\epsilon\rho i\sigma\sigma\sigma\dot{c}\rho\omega s$   $\langle\eta\lambda\omega\tau\dot{\eta}_s \dot{v}\pi$ - $\dot{a}\rho\chi\omega\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \pi a\tau\rho i\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu \mu ov \pi a\rho a\delta\dot{\sigma}\epsilon\omega\nu$ , G. 1. 14.] 16, 17, And so I have become your enemy by being true to you. They pay court to you with no honourable intentions, but they wish to shut you out (from the Christian covenant), in order that you may pay court to them (as Jews). 25, For Hagar represents Mount Sinai in Arabia, and corresponds to the Jerusalem that now is, for she is in bondage with her children ad  $\delta ou\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon i$  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$ ]. 27, for many are the children of the desolate, rather than of her who hath the husband.

5. 5, Ye have been made void, i. e. ye have disfranchised yourselves from Christ, as many of you as are getting justified, continuing in the element of law  $(\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \gamma \eta' \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \ \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \ \tau o \hat{\nu} \ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{\nu}$  o  $i \tau \iota \nu \epsilon_{S} \ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \omega \ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota o \hat{\nu} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ). 14, I would that they who subvert you would really cut themselves off from your body.

6. 4, he shall have his ground of rejoicing only in regard to himself, and not in regard to the other.

E. 1. 13, In whom ye also obtained a heritage upon hearing the word of truth, the good news of our salvation; in whom when ye really believed, ye were sealed with the Holy Spirit, the substance of promise.

2. 12, that ye were at that period separate from Christ. 14, who made the interests of both to be one, and broke down the intervening wall of separation, having in his flesh abolished the antipathy, resulting from the law of positive commandments consisting in ritual ordinances.

3. 9, the nature of the secret dispensation, kept hidden ages

long ago in the God who created the universe ( $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{a} \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau a \kappa \tau (\sigma a \nu \tau \iota)$ . [It was God's dispensation, though it was hidden in the Godhead, and was not revealed to the world in former ages. The conception of this secret plan, the partial and gradual mode of its revelation, were all ordered by Him.]

E. 4. 14, in imposture devised for the systematic plan of deceit ( $i\nu \pi a \nu o \nu \rho \gamma (a \pi \rho \delta_S \tau \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \theta o \delta \epsilon (a \nu \tau \eta S \pi \lambda \dot{a} \nu \eta S)$ ). 21, as is truth in Jesus; embodied in a personal Saviour. 26, Let not the sun go down on your irritation ( $\pi a \rho o \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \tilde{\omega}$ ). 28, Let the stealer no longer steal ( $\delta \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \omega$ ).

5. 5, This point however ye know, since ye are aware ( $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o \gamma a \rho \, i \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau \epsilon$ s). 15, Consider then with what degree of accuracy ye walk. 26, that he may consecrate the Church by purging it with the washing of the water in (the ministry of) the Word.

Ph. 1. 24, But to abide in the flesh is more necessary on your account.

2. 6, 7, He did not consider the being on an equality with God a matter to be deprived of, but He emptied Himself. [This rendering brings out the antithesis between the two clauses more strongly. ( $\partial i\chi \, \dot{a}\rho\pi a\gamma\mu \partial\nu \, \dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{\eta}\sigma a\tau\sigma \, \tau \partial \, \epsilon \bar{\iota}\nu a\iota \, \bar{\iota}\sigma a$  $\Theta\epsilon\dot{\omega}, \,\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'\,\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\partial\nu\,\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\sigma\epsilon$ .) The insertion of the article shows that  $\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu a\iota$  is naturally the subject of the proposition. Our Lord regarded His divine nature to be entirely and absolutely in His own power. No one could deprive Him either of His Godhead or His Manhood.] 12, that in the name of Jesus-[as the groundwork and element of the action described, 'To bow the knee' is a synonym of prayer. L. 22. 41: A. 7. 60; 9. 40; 21. 5: R. 14. 11: E. 3. 14.]—Ph. 2. 16, Holding fast the word of life, to serve for my ground of glorying against the day of Christ, that I did not run for nothing, or labour for nothing.

3. 4, Yet I myself have ground of confidence, as much as you please, even in the flesh; if any one else deems to confide in the flesh, I have more ground than they—at circumcision eight days old, sprung from  $(\epsilon\kappa)$  the stock of Israel. 15, in whatever matter ye are diversely minded  $(\kappa\alpha\lambda \ \epsilon \ell \ \tau \iota \ \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega_s \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \tau \epsilon)$ . 21, the body, the seat and sphere, of our humiliation.

Col. 1. 12, giving thanks to the Father, who qualified us for the portion of the inheritance of the saints in light. 15, born before all the creation ( $\pi\rho\omega\tau\acute{\sigma}\tau\kappa\kappa$ )  $\pi\acute{a}\sigma\eta$ ;  $\kappa\tau\acute{a}\sigma\omega$ ). 18, raised before all from the dead ( $\pi\rho\omega\tau\acute{\sigma}\tau\kappa\kappa$ )  $\acute{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\tau\acute{\omega}\nu$   $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\acute{\omega}\nu$ ). Col. 2. 8, Take heed that there shall be no one to capture you as spoil by means of his philosophy; or, rather ( $\kappa ai$ ), empty deceit. 9, because in Him permanently abideth all the fulness of the Deity in bodily substance.

3. 25, For the wrong doer shall receive to himself the wrong he has done.

1 Th. 2. 7, as we may conceive a nurse cherishes ( $\dot{\omega}_{S} \, \dot{a}\nu \, \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\phi}_{S} \, \theta \dot{a}\lambda \pi \eta$ ). 16, Forbidding us to speak to the Gentiles, so as to fill up their sins continually; but the wrath of God came suddenly upon them to the uttermost.

5. 23, and may your spirit and soul and body be preserved entire, in every part without blame  $(\delta\lambda\delta\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\sigma\nu)$  [a secondary predicate].

2 Th. 1. 10, When he shall have come to be glorified in his saints, and to be admired in that very day in all believers, because the testimony we delivered to you was believed.

2. 4, He who opposeth and exalteth himself exceedingly, against every one that is called God, or is an object of reverence.

3. 2, for faith is not the property of all men. 10, If any one refuses to earn his living, in that case, let him not eat ( $\epsilon i \tau i s o i \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \epsilon \rho \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a i, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta i \epsilon \tau \omega$ ).

1 Tim. 1. 9, Law is not enacted for a righterns man. 15, 16, chief of whom am I, but on this account I had mercy shown me, that in my case as chief, Christ Jesus might show forth the extent of His long-suffering.

5. 4, But if any widow have children or grandchildren. [The term 'nephews' was adopted from the Vulgate, 'nepotes,' which really means distant relatives.] 11, For whenever they are cold in their feelings towards Christ, they desire to marry ( $\ddot{\sigma}\tau a\nu \gamma \dot{a}\rho$  καταστρηνιάσωσι τοῦ Χριστοῦ γαμεῖν θέλουσιν) (or whenever they recover their natural spirits), being liable to judgment as they make void their original pledge [i. e. the pledge or promise not to marry, which they gave when they were placed on the list of widows].

6. 2, because the masters who mutually receive their good offices are believing and beloved. 5, supposing that godliness is traffic for gain.

2 T. 2. 5, unless he have striven lawfully. 21, Whosoever shall have cleansed himself from these, will be a vessel to honour.

3. 5, having a make-up (μόρφωσιν) of godliness.

Heb. 1. 1-4, In many portions and in many modes, of old to

### 250 HINTS ON THE AUTHORIZED VERSION.

the fathers spake God in the prophets, in these last days to us He speaks in a Son, whom He constituted possessor of all things, through whom He made indeed the worlds, who, seeing that He is the radiation of His glory, and the impress of His essence, directing too the universe by His word, the expression of His power, having by Himself effected the purging of our sins, took His seat on the right hand of the Majesty in the highest; having been proved to be so much nobler than the angels, as the real character He inherits is so much more distinguished than theirs.

Heb. 2. 3, which having received the beginning of its utterance through the Lord, was conveyed stedfastly to us by those who heard him. 7, For if the word spoken by angels proved stedfast. 10, For it was fitting in his sight... that in bringing many sons to glory, he should make the author of salvation accomplish his end by means of sufferings. 16, For not, I ween, is it angels he succours.

3. 13, So long as the 'to-day' is named. 16, For who upon hearing provoked? Yea, verily, was it not even all who came out of Egypt with Moses?

9. 22, Apart from shedding of blood remission is not effected.

11. 1, Now faith is confidence in blessings hoped for; convincing testimony of transactions unseen. 5, By faith Enoch was translated in order that he might not see death  $(\tau o \hat{\nu} \mu \eta) i \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  expressing purpose). 6, that He exists, and becomes a rewarder to those who diligently seek Him  $(\delta \tau \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \lambda, \kappa a \lambda \dots \mu \iota \sigma \theta a \pi \sigma \delta \delta \tau \eta \varsigma \gamma (\nu \epsilon \tau a \iota)$ .

Ja. 2. 4, have ye not then divisions among yourselves, and are become judges, deciding from evil surmises? 6, Ye, for your part, dishonour the poor  $(\eta \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma a \tau \epsilon)$ , degrade him to a state of  $d\tau \iota \mu \iota a$ , disfranchise him of his legitimate privileges of Christian citizenship. 20, Art thou willing, however, to know, O vain man, that faith apart from its works is dead?

3. 6, Thus the tongue has a settled character in our members, as that which pollutes the whole body.

4. 4, Whosoever, therefore, is minded to be the friend of the world, takes the character of enemy to God. [In M. 1. 19  $\partial \beta_{0\nu}\lambda \eta \partial \eta$  is translated 'was minded,' a rendering which might with great advantage be introduced into many passages.] 12, Who art thou that art judging thy neighbour? ( $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\nu$ .) See p. 192.

Ja. 5. 4, The hire, which is kept back by violence on your part. 16, Very powerful is the supplication of a rightcous man in its inward working.

1 P. 1. 5, Who in virtue of God's power, are being guarded through faith unto salvation. 8, whom though ye saw Him not ( $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$  idoures), ye love, in whom believing, though now ye see Him not ( $\ddot{a}\rho\tau\iota \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \dot{o}\rho\omega\nu\tau\epsilon$ s).

2 P. 1. s, For these things, being your essential qualities and increasing in you, render you neither inactive nor unfruitful in attaining the mature knowledge of our Lord Jesus Christ; whereas he who has not these graces is blind, closing his eyelids, since he forgets his purification from his sins of old. 2. 1, But there arose false prophets also among the people.

2. 1, But there arose false prophets also there is a transformed state of the set of th

3. 5, For as they wish this, it escapes there exclose out of water, heavens were of old, and the earth was composed out of water, and by means of water: 11, Since then all these things tend to dissolution, in what state ought we to be subsisting? What ought to be our essential principle? ( $i\pi a\rho\chi\epsilon\nu\nu$ .)

1 J. 2. 28, in order that we may not by shame shrink from Him.

3. 4, Every one who worketh sin, worketh also lawlessness, for sin is lawlessness.

4. 18, Fear doth not exist in love; love that is perfect castoth out fear, seeing that fear hath punishment; but he who habitually fears, hath not been perfected in love.

5. 18, is not a sinner; sins not habitually ( $\partial \dot{\chi} \dot{a}\mu a\rho \tau \dot{a}\nu\epsilon\iota$ ). 19,

The whole world lieth in the dominion of the wicked one.

253

all other writings. Its object is to stimulate attention, to excite the imagination, to arouse the feelings, to impress strongly on the mind the arguments adduced. One striking characteristic of its style is the substitution of specific terms for general, a characteristic which is perfectly retained in translation, though every other excellence of expression is liable to be lost. Archbishop Whately remarks (Rhetoric, chap. iii. § 2) : "The prevalence of this kind of language in the sacred writers may be regarded as something providential. It may be said with truth, that the book which it is the most necessary to translate into every language, is chiefly characterized by that kind of excellence in diction which is least impaired by translation."

#### TROPE, METAPHOR, SYNECDOCHE.

In considering the figures of speech the most general term is trope ( $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$ , turn), when a word, which usage has appropriated to one thing, is turned from its primary signification, and is transferred to another. The expression is then termed tropical or figurative. If, however, the word never or rarely occurs in its primary signification, the tropical sense becomes the proper one. The original meaning of II is 'to bend the knee,' but as it occurs very rarely in this sense, the derivative meaping, 'to bless,' is said to be the proper, and not a figurative meaning. The original meaning of προσκυνέω may be 'to fawn.' like a dog, but as it nowhere is used in the New Testament in this sense, and as the nearest approach is  $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\kappa\nu\nu\epsilon$ , spaniellike flatterers, fawners, the derivative meaning, to do homage, show outward respect, worship, is the proper meaning. This use of  $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \epsilon \omega$  may be ascribed to the Christian element, as  $\epsilon \pi i \chi o \rho \eta \gamma i a$ , p. 7.

When there is some resemblance between the two things, to which the word is applied, the figure is called a metaphor; the context shows that something is attributed to the term in its transferred sense, which does not belong to it in the literal sense; or that there is subtracted from it, in its transferred sense, something which *does* belong to it in its literal sense. The character of our Blessed Lord, and the relations in which He stands to us, are thus made known by a combination of various metaphors. Thus He is called  $\delta \pi \sigma \iota \mu \eta \nu \delta \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \varsigma$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$  $\ddot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \varsigma \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\dot{\delta} \ddot{\alpha} \rho \tau \sigma \tilde{\nu} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \tilde{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\delta} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ,  $\dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \delta \varsigma$ ,  $\tau \sigma \tilde{\nu}$ 

## CHAPTER XII.

# GRAMMATICAL AND RHETORICAL TERMS.

In the interpretation of Scripture many grammatical and rhetorical terms are employed, the meanings of which should be carefully borne in mind. A knowledge of the names is not essential, but a clear conception of the principles on which they are founded is peculiarly important. Many errors in theology have sprung from misinterpreting the figurative language of Scripture, by substituting the symbolical for the literal, or by seeking for a spiritual meaning underlying the surface, where the plain and obvious meaning is all that is meant. The uncertainties which abound in the whole range of prophetical interpretation may be traced entirely to this source; and in every branch of scriptural excgesis differences of opinion prevail, and controversics are hopelessly carried on, in which the disputants use the same words in very different senses. The perplexity is the greater, as writers on grammar and rhetoric are not uniformly consistent with one another, or even with themselves in the terms they adopt. Until there is a distinct understanding of the meaning of the words, and of the nature of the writing, whether it be history or prophecy, allegory or parable, symbol or type, and of the senses in which the terms are to be understood, we can never arrive at the satisfactory and sound interpretation of any disputed passage, and we shall do well to remember the wise and seasonable caution of the Apostle: 2 T. 2. 23, τὰς μωρὰς καὶ ἀπαιδεύτους ζητήσεις παραιτοῦ, εἰδὼς ὅτι γεννῶσι μάχας.

The use of figurative language in Scripture is the same as in

255

Θεοῦ, ἡ ῥίζα τοῦ Ἰεσσαί, ἡ ῥίζα Δαβίδ, λίθος προσκόμματος, ἀκρογωνιαῖος. We may here adduce L. 13. 32, πορευθέντες είπατε τῆ ἀλώπεκι ταύτη: Μ. 3. 7, γεννήματα ἐχιδνῶν: 23. 33, ὄφεις: 10. 16, λύκοι: Ph. 3. 2, κύνες.

When there is no resemblance between the two objects, but only a connexion between them, the figure is called synecdoche;  $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \delta \delta \chi \eta$ , literally, means the embracing or comprehension of one thing with another; an indirect mode of expression, where the whole is put for a part, the part for a whole, genus for species, species for genus, abstract for concrete. Thus 'my flesh' is put for 'my body,' Ps. 16. 9: A. 2. 26.  $\tau \delta \pi \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma \nu$ , for the contents of the cup:  $\psi \nu \chi \eta$  is put for person, as we speak of a thousand souls:  $\eta \ o i \kappa \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$  refers to the Roman empire in A. 17. 6, and Judæa, in A. 11. 28. In Mk. 16. 15 the general term,  $\kappa \tau i \sigma \iota s$ , means all mankind; in 2 C. 5. 17,  $\kappa \alpha \iota \nu \eta \kappa \tau i \sigma \iota s$  is rendered, a new creature, abstract for concrete, though we may render the passage, 'he is a new creation.' In M. 6. 11 the specific term  $\check{a}\rho \tau \sigma s$  includes all the necessaries of life.

By the same figure a round number is put for a larger or smaller number, as 1 C. 14. 19,  $\pi \acute{e}\nu\tau\epsilon$   $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o\nu s$ : a certain and definite number, for an uncertain and indefinite, as  $\acute{e}\pi\tau \acute{a}$ , M. 12. 14:  $\acute{e}\beta \delta o\mu\eta\kappa o\nu\tau \acute{a}\kappa\iota s$   $\acute{e}\pi\tau \acute{a}$ , M. 18. 22.

#### METONYMY, IRONY, HYPERBOLE.

Metonymy ( $\mu\epsilon\tau\omega\nu\nu\mu la$ , traductio, immutatio) is the substitution of one name or appellation for another, as the cause for the effect, or the effect for the cause, the author for his work: L. 16. 29,  $\xi\chi\sigma\nu\sigma\iota$  Mwoté kal τους προφήτας. So in A. 15. 21; 21. 21. Other instances are  $\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\alpha$ , Mk. 16. 17: 1 C. 14. 39:  $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\iota\rho\alpha$ , M. 10. 34: R. 8. 35:  $I\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\lambda\nu\mu\alpha$ , M. 3. 5:  $\Sigma\iota\omega\nu$ , referring to the Church of God, R. 9. 33; 11. 26:  $\gamma\delta\nu\nu$ , E. 3. 14:  $\delta\epsilon\xi\iota\iota$ , G. 2. 9. To this also we may refer A. 10. 15,  $\mu\eta$   $\sigma\nu$  $\kappao\ell\nu\sigma\nu$ , call not thou defiled: M. 16. 19,  $\delta$   $\epsilon\lambda\nu$   $\delta\eta\sigma\eta\eta$ s kal  $\lambda\nu\sigma\eta$ s, whatever you declare bound, or loosed.

To some instances of synecdoche and metonymy there is applied the term  $\kappa a \tau a \chi \rho \eta \sigma u_s$ , which strictly means, full use (abuti), but more generally, misuse, misapplication (male uti); when an idea is attached to an object with which it is not compatible, e. g. 'take arms against a sea of troubles:' L. 8. 23,  $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda a \lambda a \psi a \nu \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu \lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu$ ,  $\kappa a \lambda \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \sigma \nu \nu \tau \sigma \lambda \eta \rho$  έκινδύνευον, they were in process of being filled; where the persons are put for the vessels: M. 3. 12, διακαθαριεῖ τὴν ἅλωνα αὐτοῦ, i. e. thẹ corn on the floor. Under this we may reckon βεβηλόω, desecrate, M. 12. 5: ἕτερος νόμος, sinful bias, R. 7. 23: ἐξουσία, veil, 1 C. 11. 10: σῶμα τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν, C. 2. 11: μέλη, 3. 5: ὀφθαλμὸς πουηρός, Mk. 7. 22: βλέπειν τὴν φωνήν, Rev. 1. 12, where the term, appropriate to the sense of sight, is transferred to the sense of hearing: χρηστολογία, plausibility: εὐλογία, complimentary language, R. 16. 18; εὐτραπελία, wit and elegance enlisted in the service of sin, E. 5. 4. Sometimes this figure has a touch of pleasantry: 2 C. 12. 13, χαρίσασθέ μοι τὴν ἀδικίαν ταύτην.

Several expressions have a touch of irony (εἰρωνεία, dissimulation, an ignorance purposely affected, any assumed appearance, pretext, disguise), as the answer of the man, blind from his birth, to the Pharisees: J. 9. 27, μη και ύμεις θέλετε αὐτοῦ μαθηταί γενέσθαι; In the language of our Lord, M. 26. 45, καθεύδετε τὸ λοιπὸν καὶ ἀναπαύεσθε (Chrysostom, οὐ προστάττοντός έστιν, οὐδὲ συμβουλεύοντος, ἀλλ' ὀνειδίζοντος): Mk. 7. 9, καλώς άθετείτε την έντολην του Θεου, ίνα την παράδοσιν ύμῶν τηρήσητε. The use of δικαίοις, L. 15. 7, if it refers to the Pharisees. So in J. 13. 38; 16. 31. 1 C. 4. 8, ήδη κεκορεσμένοι  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ : 4. 10, with an additional force of antithesis  $f\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}s$ μωροί δια Χριστόν, ύμεις δε φρόνιμοι εν Χριστώ ήμεις ασθενεις, υμεῖς δὲ ἰσχυροί ὑμεῖς ἔνδοξοι, ἡμεῖς δὲ ἄτιμοι: 2 C. 10. 6, ἐν έτοίμω έχοντες έκδικήσαι πάσαν παρακοήν, όταν πληρωθή ύμων ή υπακοή. The Apostle's most obvious meaning is, that he is quite ready to chastise every disobedience existing in the Church of Christ, but that he will wait until the Church has become perfectly obedient (Wilkinson): 11. 19, ήδέως γαρ ἀνέχεσθε των άφρόνων, φρόνιμοι όντες. Compare Eccl. 11. 9, " Rejoice, O young man, in thy youth, and let thy heart cheer thee in the days of thy youth."

Hyperbole  $(i\pi\epsilon\rho\beta o\lambda\dot{\eta}, a\check{v}\xi\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma)$ , the exaggeration of a circumstance beyond its real magnitude, in order to fix the attention more closely on its real import: J. 21. 25: A. 2. 5,  $\ddot{a}\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\varsigma$  $\epsilon\dot{v}\lambda a\beta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\varsigma \dot{a}\pi\dot{\sigma}\pi a\nu\tau\dot{\varsigma}\varsigma$   $\ddot{\ell}\theta\nu\sigma\iota\varsigma$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\sigma}\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu \sigma\dot{\iota}\rho a\nu\dot{\sigma}\nu$ . With this we may compare Gen. 41. 57, All countries came into Egypt to Joseph for to buy corn; because that the famine was so sore in all lands: G. 4. 14, 15: H. 11. 12,  $\kappa a\theta\dot{\omega}\varsigma \tau\dot{a}$   $\ddot{a}\sigma\tau\rho a \tau\sigma\hat{v}$   $o\dot{\iota}\rho a\nuo\hat{v}$   $\tau\hat{\varphi} \pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\kappa a\dot{\iota}\dot{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\dot{\iota}\ddot{a}\mu\mu\sigma\varsigma\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{\varrho}\chi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\lambda\sigma\varsigma$   $\tau\hat{\eta}\varsigma$   $\theta a\lambda\dot{a}\sigma$ -

#### LITOTES, HENDIADYS, ELLIPSIS.

σης ή ἀναρίθμητος: L. 19. 40, ἐὰν οὐτοι σιωπήσωσιν οἰ λίθοι κεκράξονται. This last instance illustrates Whately's remark (Rhetoric): "The highest degree of energy is produced by such metaphors as attribute *life* and *action* to things inanimate."

#### LITOTES, HENDIADYS, ELLIPSIS.

In contrast with hyperbole is litotes,  $\mu\epsilon i\omega\sigma \iota s$ , extenuatio, where less is expressed than is really meant, e.g. The Lord will not hold him guiltless: G. 5. 21, oi tà tolaîta  $\pi \rho \acute{a}\sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \tau s$   $\beta a \sigma \iota - \lambda \epsilon i a \nu \Theta \epsilon o i \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \sigma \nu \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \iota$ : M. 18. 14: II. 13. 17,  $\dot{a}\lambda \nu \sigma \iota - \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} s$  yàp  $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$  to  $\dot{\tau} \sigma \dot{\iota}$ , i. q.  $\dot{a}\sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \rho \rho \sigma \nu$ ,  $\dot{a}\nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} s$ , disastrous, ruinous. To these we may add the examples of the privative power of  $o \dot{\nu}$ , p. 139; and the use of  $\tau \iota s$ , to denote importance, p. 49.

Hendiadys ( $\hat{\epsilon}\nu \ \delta i \hat{a} \ \delta \upsilon o \hat{i} \nu$ ) is a mode of expression, where two substantives are connected by a copula, of which one denotes some quality or accessory of the other, as Virg. Zen. ii. 192, "Pateris libamus et auro." There are several expressions analogous to this figure, but it may be doubted whether any clear and decided instance occurs in the New Testament. In A. 14. 13, ταύρους καὶ στέμματα may mean ταύρους ἐστεμμένους, but this is forced and unnatural compared with the idea of garlands as well as bulls. L. 21. 15, στόμα και σοφίαν may mean 'wise utterance,' but is more forcibly translated 'utterance, yea, wisdom.' This rendering is confirmed by considering the relative (i) which follows. A. 1. 25,  $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu \tau \delta \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu \tau \eta s$ διακονίας ταύτης καὶ ἀποστολής, this service of the apostleship : or taking *kai* as exceptical, this service, to wit, the apostleship. 1 Th. 4. 1,  $\pi \hat{\omega}_{S}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{i} \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau \hat{\epsilon} \hat{i} \nu \kappa a \hat{i} \hat{a} \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \hat{\epsilon} i \nu \Theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\omega}$ , how to please God in your walk : E. 6. 7, είς αὐτὸ τοῦτο ἀγρυπνοῦντες ἐν πάση προσκαρτερήσει καὶ δεήσει, watching for this very purpose, in every kind of persevering supplication.

Some of the falsely assumed instances of hendiadys must be rejected as contrary to the principles of sound interpretation: Tit. 2. 13,  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\epsilon\chi \delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota \tau \eta\nu \mu\alpha\kappa\alpha\rho\ell\alpha\nu \epsilon\lambda\pi\ell\delta\alpha \kappa\alpha\lambda \epsilon\pi\iota\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu \tau \eta\varsigma$  $\delta\delta\xi\eta\varsigma \tau\sigma\delta \mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}\lambda\sigma\nu \Theta\epsilon\sigma\delta, \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ , waiting to receive the blessed hope and manifestation of the glory. (See p. 36.) So 1 Th. 2. 12,  $\tau\sigma\delta\kappa\lambda\sigma\delta\nu\tau\sigma\varsigma \,\delta\mu\dot{\alpha}\varsigma \,\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\varsigma \,\tau\dot{\eta}\nu \,\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\sigma\tau\delta\delta \,\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\ell\alpha\nu \kappa\alpha\lambda \,\delta\delta\xi\alpha\nu$ . Here  $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\ell\alpha$  marks the kingdom of His Son, of which the true Christian is a subject while on earth, though the full

#### ELLIPSIS.

privileges and blessings are to be enjoyed hereafter;  $\delta \delta \xi a$ , His own eternal glory, of which all the true members of the Messianic kingdom shall be partakers. 2 Tim. 4. 1,  $\delta i a \mu a \rho - \tau i \rho \rho \mu a i \dots \kappa a i \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \pi i \phi a \nu \tau o \tilde{\nu} \kappa a i \tau \eta \nu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a \nu a \nu \tau o \tilde{\nu},$ I solemnly charge thee, by His manifestation and by His kingdom; the kingdom which is to commence at His  $\epsilon \pi i \phi a \nu \epsilon i a$ , to continue without end, or modification; the kingdom of glory, which succeeds the modified eternity of His mediatorial kingdom of grace.

#### ELLIPSIS.

Several instances have already been given of the omission of words and sentences, especially of the use of the Article without the Noun, pp. 38, 39, and of Adjectives without Substantives, p. 57. In addition to these, of which no further examples need be given, there are various concise modes of expression closely allied to the Ellipsis, to which the terms Brachylogy ( $\beta \rho a \chi v - \lambda o \gamma \langle a \rangle$ , Zeugma, Aposiopesis, have been applied.

Instances of Brachylogy, brevity of expression, may be seen in R. 2. 28; 5. 16. 18; 13. 7: 1 T. 2. 12, γυναικὶ δὲ διδάσκειν οὐκ ἐπιτρέπω... ἀλλ' εἶναι ἐν ἡσυχία, where εἶναι deponds on βούλομαι, or on παραγγέλλω, implied in οὐκ ἐπιτρέπω. 1 C. 14. 34, ai γυναῖκες ὑμῶν ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις συγάτωσαν, οὐ γὰρ ἐπιτέτραπται αὐταῖς λαλεῖν, ἀλλὰ (μανθανέτωσαν) ὑποτάσσεσθαι. This form of brachylogy occurs most commonly in the case of an antithesis introduced by an adversative conjunction (Jelf, § 895, h). Compare Il. 5. 819: Soph. Œd. R. 236 : El. 71,—

## καὶ μὴ μ' ἄτιμον τῆσδ' ἀποστείλητε γῆς, ἀλλ' ἀρχέπλουτον καὶ καταστάτην δόμων,

where after  $d\lambda\lambda \dot{a}$  we may understand  $\pi oieire$ . Plato, Apol., p. 36, B,  $\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta\sigma as$   $\dot{a}\nu$  oi  $\pi o\lambda\lambda oi$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\mu\epsilon\lambda oi\nu\tau a\iota$ ). Latin, Cic. N. D. i. 7. 17, "tu autem nolo existimes me adjutorem huic yenisse, sed auditorem;" where after 'sed' we must understand 'volo existimes me venisse.' 1 Tim. 4. 3,  $\kappa\omega\lambda\nu o\nu\tau\omega\nu$   $\gamma a\mu ei\nu$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$   $\beta\rho\omega\mu\dot{a}\tau\omega\nu$ . This is generally considered a Zeugma, but it may be classed under the head of Brachylogy; as  $\kappa\omega\lambda\nu o\nu-\tau\omega\nu$  may be resolved into  $\pi a\rho a\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\lambda o\nu\tau\omega\nu$   $\mu\eta$ , and after  $\gamma a\mu ei\nu$ we may understand  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\lambda$   $\pi a\rho a\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\lambda o\nu\tau\omega\nu$ .

The Zeugma (ζεῦγμα) is one of the most important kinds of

#### PLEONASM, ANTANACLASIS.

Brachylogy, when a particular verb which is properly applicable only to one part of the sentence, is made generally applicable to the whole context. Pind. Ol. i. 88,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\nu$  & Oivoµáov  $\betaia\nu \pi a\rho\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o\nu \tau\epsilon \sigma \dot{\nu} v \epsilon v o\nu$ , he conquered the mighty Enomaus, and obtained the virgin as his bride; where  $\epsilon\sigma\chi\epsilon\nu$  must be supplied for the second clause. L. 1. 64,  $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\dot{\omega}\chi\theta\eta$  dè  $\tau \dot{\sigma}$   $\sigma \tau \dot{\mu}a$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$   $\pi a\rho a\chi\rho \eta\mu a$  $\kappa a \dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma a$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$  ( $\epsilon\lambda\dot{\nu}\theta\eta$ ): A. 4. 28,  $\pi oi\eta\sigma ai$   $\delta\sigma a$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi\epsilon i\rho$   $\sigma ov$  $\kappa a \dot{\eta}$   $\beta ov\lambda \eta$   $\sigma ov$   $\pi\rho o \dot{\omega}\rho i\sigma \epsilon$   $\gamma\epsilon v \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ , where  $\pi\rho o \dot{\omega}\rho i\sigma \epsilon$  is appropriate only to  $\beta ov\lambda \eta$ : 1 C. 3. 2,  $\gamma\dot{a}\lambda a$   $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\sigma}\tau i\sigma a$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\beta\rho\hat{\omega}\mu a$ , where  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\sigma}\tau i\sigma a$  is strictly applicable only to  $\gamma\dot{a}\lambda a$ . Thus Hom.,  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta ov\sigma i$   $\tau\epsilon$   $\pi (ova \mu\eta\lambda a olv \dot{ov} \tau\epsilon$ : Ph. 3. 10,  $\tau o\hat{v}$   $\gamma\nu\hat{\omega}\nu a i$   $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu$  $\kappa a i$   $\tau \eta\nu$   $\delta \dot{\nu} a \mu i \nu$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\sigma i \sigma i \sigma i \sigma i \sigma i \rho i$  $\kappa a i \tau \eta v$   $\delta i \nu a \mu v i \sigma i$ . Here  $\gamma\nu\hat{\omega}\nu a i$  is strictly applicable only to  $a\dot{\nu}\tau \dot{o}\nu$ : before  $\delta \dot{\nu}\nu a \mu v$ ,  $\kappa o i \nu \omega \nu i a \nu$ , we must render  $\gamma\nu\hat{\omega}\nu a i$  by ' experience.'

Aposiopesis is a kind of ellipse. Thus we omit the imperative in Soph. Antig. 577,  $\mu\dot{\eta} \tau\rho\iota\beta\dot{\alpha}\varsigma\,\,\check{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ , and in the corresponding English, 'no more loitering' (Donaldson). Instances of this have already been adduced, L. 19. 42; 22. 42; 13. 9: Mk. 7. 11: J. 6. 62: A. 23. 9, where a sentence or part of a sentence is suppressed through emotion; and the suppressed language is intimated by the action or tone of the speaker. There is a species of  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\sigma\iota\dot{\omega}\pi\eta\sigma\iota\varsigma$  in R. 7. 25,  $\tau\iota\varsigma\,\mu\epsilon\,\dot{\rho}\iota\sigma\epsilon\tau\iota\iota\,\epsilon\kappa\,\tau\sigma\tilde{\upsilon}$  $\sigma\dot{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\circ\varsigma\,\tau\sigma\tilde{\upsilon}\,\theta\alpha\iota\dot{\alpha}\tau\sigma\upsilon\,\tau\sigma\dot{\tau}\tau\sigma\upsilon$ ; which is supplied by the outbreak of thankfulness,  $\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\chi\alpha\rho\iota\sigma\tau\tilde{\omega}\,\tau\hat{\omega}\,\Theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\,\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\,'I\eta\sigma\sigma\tilde{\upsilon}\,\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\tilde{\upsilon}\,\tau\sigma\tilde{\upsilon}$  $K\upsilon\rho\iota\circ\upsilon\,\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ . We may compare  $\check{\delta}\rho\alpha\,\mu\dot{\eta}$ , Rev. 19. 10; 22. 9, with the forms of dehortation or deprecation frequent in the tragedians,  $\mu\dot{\eta}\,\tau\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\alpha,\,\mu\dot{\eta}\,\sigma\dot{\upsilon}\,\gamma\epsilon$ .

## PLEONASM, ANTANACLASIS.

Pleonasm ( $\pi\lambda\epsilon\sigma\nu a\sigma\mu \delta\varsigma$ ), or redundance of phraseology, which is the converse of brachylogy, can hardly be considered as a grammatical irregularity. Omissions may produce an ungrammatical structure, but superfluitics leave the syntax as it was. Repetitions of synonyms, such as  $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \ a \vartheta \theta \iota \varsigma$ ,  $\tau \alpha \chi a \ i \sigma \omega \varsigma$ , secondary predications of the main predicate, such as  $\epsilon \phi \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ , and repetitions in a negative form, such as  $o \vartheta \chi \ \eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a \ \lambda \lambda \lambda a \ \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, \gamma \nu \omega \tau \lambda a \ \kappa o \vartheta \kappa \ \delta \gamma \nu \omega \tau a, \ \mu \eta \ \tau \iota \ \mu a \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho a \ \mu \vartheta \theta o \nu \ \lambda \lambda \lambda a \ \sigma \vartheta \nu \tau o \mu o \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ , all belong to this class. (Donaldson.)

J. 1. 20, καὶ ὡμολόγησε καὶ οὐκ ἠρνήσατο: Ε. 5. 15, μὴ ὡς ἄσοφοι ἀλλ' ὡς σοφοί: R. 8. 22, πᾶσα ἡ φύσις συστενάζει καὶ συνωδίνει: Mk. 1. 25, πρωΐ ἕννυχον λίαν ἀναστὰς ἐξῆλθε. But there are very few expressions in which the words said to be pleonastic do not add circumstantiality, vividness, and force to the narrative. From the bad scholarship, and worse theology of an earlier day, some of the most instructive and powerful combinations, like χάρις, ἕλεος, εἰρήνη, have been pronounced very insipid.

Αποηg ordinary pleonasms we may reckon M. 26. 42, πάλιν έκ δευτέρου: Mk. 1. 7, ἐκήρυσσε λέγων: J. 4. 54, τοῦτο πάλιν δεύτερον σημεῖον. Compare our English expression, 'This was repeated a second time.' Sometimes  $\lambda a \beta ε \hat{i} v$  is redundant: A. 3. 3, ἡρώτα ἐλεημοσύνην  $\lambda a \beta ε \hat{i} v$ . Compare Aristoph. Plut. 240, aἰτῶν  $\lambda a \beta ε \hat{i} v$  τι: Soph. Ag. 825, aἰτήσομαι δέ σ' οὐ μακρὸν γέρας  $\lambda a \chi ε \hat{i} v$ : A. 27. 10, "Ανδρες, θεωρῶ ὅτι μετὰ ὕβρεως καὶ πολλῆς ζημίας, οὐ μόνον τοῦ φόρτου καὶ τοῦ πλοίου, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν ψυχῶν ἡμῶν μέλλει ἔσεσθαι τὸν πλοῦν. Here ὅτι is pleonastic. There is a mixture of the two constructions, θεωρῶ ὅτι μέλλει ὁ πλοῦς and θεωρῶ μέλλειν τὸν πλοῦν. This is here occasioned by the words which intervene between ὅτι and μέλλειν. The redundant use of aὐτός is noticed in p. 55; to which may be added Rev. 17. 9, ὅπου ἡ γυνὴ κάθηται ἐπ' αὐτῶν.

G. 4. 9,  $\nu \bar{\nu} \nu$  δè  $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \Theta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$  δè  $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\omega} \Theta \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\pi \tilde{\omega}_{S} \dot{\epsilon} \pi_{i\sigma} \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{i\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\iota} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$  sal  $\pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \sigma \iota \chi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} a$ , ols  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{i\nu} \ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$  δουλεύειν  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ; Here  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{i\nu} \ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$  is not a pleonasm. Cf. 'rursum denuo.' Two ideas are conveyed, relapse to bondage and recommencement of its principles. The Galatians had been slaves to the  $\sigma \tau \sigma \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} a$ , in the form of heathenism; now they were on the point of enslaving themselves again to the  $\sigma \tau \sigma \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} a$ , and of commencing them anew in the form of Judaism. (Ellicott.)

The term Antanaclasis (ἀντανάκλασις, originally the reflexion of light or sound) is applied to the use of a word in two different senses or modifications of its sense in the same sentence: M. 8. 22, ἄφες τοὺς νεκροὺς θάψαι τοὺς ἑαυτῶν νεκρούς: 1 C. 3. 17, εἴ τις τὸν ναὸν τοῦ Θεοῦ φθείρει, φθερεῖ τοῦτον ὁ Θεός: Ja. 1. 9, 10, καυχάσθω δὲ ὁ ἀδελφὸς ὁ ταπεινὸς ἐν τῷ ὕψει αὐτοῦ, ὁ δὲ πλούσιος ἐν τῇ ταπεινώσει αὐτοῦ.

#### PARONOMASIA, PROLEPSIS.

 $\pi a \rho ovo \mu a \sigma la$ , a slight change in a name or word, so as to give it a new shade of meaning. "Parva verbi immutatio in literis

posita," also a play upon words which have a similar sound, but different significations (annominatio). The combination of words of similar sound was a favourite usage of Oriental writers, and is peculiarly frequent in the Epistles of St. Paul, partly from accident and partly with the view of imparting genial kindness to the expression, or greater emphasis to the thought: M. 24. 7: L. 21. 11, Lupoi kai Loupol: II. 5. 8, Epaber άφ' ών ἕπαθεν: Α. 17. 25, ζωήν και πνοήν: 2 C. 10. 13. 15, ήμεις δε ούχι είς τὰ αμετρα καυχησόμεθα, άλλὰ κατὰ τὸ μέτρον τοῦ κανόνος, κ.τ.λ., where there seems to be a play on  $\ddot{a}\mu\epsilon\tau\rho a$  and  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau \rho \sigma \nu$ , similar to the use of 'unlimited,' in the two 'senses of 'immoderate' and 'without due limitations :' 2 C. 1. 13, où yào άλλα γράφομεν ύμιν, άλλ' η α άναγινώσκετε, η και επιγινώσκετε έλπίζω δε ότι και έπι τέλους έπιγνώσεσθε: R. 1. 29. 31, μεστούς φθόνου, φόνου ... ασυνέτους ασυνθέτους: 12.3, μη ύπερφρονείν  $\pi a \rho$  δ δεί φρονείν,  $\dot{a}$ λλά φρονείν είς το σωφρονείν: Ph. 3. 2, 3, βλέπετε τὴν κατατομήν. Hμεῖς γάρ ἐσμεν ἡ περιτομή: where the Apostle employs the word  $\kappa a \tau a \tau o \mu \eta$  to express more clearly the antithesis to  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau o\mu\dot{\eta}$ . The LXX use  $\kappa a\tau a\tau \dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$  to express the idolatrous mangling of the flesh, practised by the heathen, Lev. 21. 5: 1 Kings 18. 28. G. 5. 7, 8, The upag average the άληθεία μή πείθεσθαι; ή πεισμονή ούκ έκ τοῦ καλοῦντος ὑμᾶς. Here the  $\mu\dot{\eta} \pi\epsilon i\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$  indicates a negative persuasion, disobedience to the truth, which is now represented as a positive persuasion;  $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o \nu \eta$ , such a persuasion, or rather such a conviction (see p. 18). There is a similar transference of thought from obedience to disobedience in 2 C. 10. 6. Compare 2 Th. 3. 2, 3.

From the term  $\pi\rho\delta\lambda\eta\psi\iota$ s ('occupatio'), an anticipating, and in respect of time, anachronism, there arises the proleptic sense, a previous assumption, where what will be the result is attributed to the object as already the existing state or condition. Donaldson adduces Pind. Ol. v. 4,  $\tau\lambda\nu$   $\sigma\lambda\nu$   $\pi\delta\lambda\iota\nu$   $a\delta\xi\omega\nu$   $\lambda ao\tau\rho\delta \phio\nu$ , i. e.  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\lambda ao\tau\rho\delta\phi\rho\nu$   $\epsilon\iota\nu a\iota$ , "increasing thy city so as to make it a nurser of population :" Thucyd. iv. 17,  $\tau o\dot{\nu}s$   $\lambda\dot{o}\gamma o\nu s$  $\mu a\kappa\rho o\tau \epsilon\rho o\nu s$   $\pi a\rho\lambda$   $\tau\dot{o}$   $\epsilon\iota\omega\theta\dot{o}s$   $o\dot{\nu}$   $\mu\eta\kappa a\nu o\hat{\nu}\mu\epsilon\nu$ , i. e.,  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\mu a\kappa\rho o\tau \epsilon \rho o\nu s$   $\epsilon\iota\nu a\iota$ , "we will not spin out our speech so as to make it more prolix, contrary to our usual practice." This idiom is found in Latin, Juv. i. 83, " paullatimque anima caluerunt mollia saxa," i. e., 'ita ut mollia fierent.'

Of this proleptic use we have instances in 1 C. 1. 8, ôs kal

βεβαιώσει ύμας έως τέλους ἀνεγκλήτους, ἐν τῆ ἡμέρα τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χμιστοῦ: 1 Th. 3. 13, εἰς τὸ σπηρίξαι ὑμῶν τὰς καρδίας ἀμέμπτους ἐν ἀγιωσύνη: 2 C. 4. 4, ὁ Θεὸς τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτου ἐτύφλωσε τὰ νοήματα τῶν ἀπίστων, so that they continue unbelieving. Compare Soph. Antig. 856, τὸν δ' ἐμὰν πότμον ἀδάκρυτον οὐδεἰς φίλων στενάζει, no friend bewails my fate, so that it continues unwept: R. 1. 21, ἐσκοτίσθη ἡ ἀσύνετος αὐτῶν καρδία: 8. 29, προώρισε συμμόρφους τῆς εἰκόνος τοῦ υἰοῦ αὐτοῦ.

The placing of words or sentences out of their usual order is termed trajection. Frequently this occurs from a regard to simplicity of expression, from the arrangement of the words being suggested by the nature of the ideas, or from a conventional grouping and order. This is termed  $i\pi\epsilon\rho\beta a\tau \delta\nu$ , inversion. Some see a transposition of words in 2 T. 2. 6,  $\tau \partial \nu$ κοπιώντα γεωργόν δεί πρώτον τών καρπών μεταλαμβάνειν, the husbandman must first labour before he be partaker of the fruits. Others, however, consider that κοπιώντα is emphatic from position. The labouring husbandman has the first right to partake of the fruits: Mk. 11. 13, ίδων συκήν μακρόθεν έχουσαν φύλλα, ήλθεν εί άρα ευρήσει τι έν αυτή· και ελθών επ' αυτήν, ούδεν εύρεν εί μη φύλλα· ου γαρ ην καιρός σύκων. Here the natural position of the last clause would be after  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\eta}$ ,—seeing leaves He expected to find fruit, for the time of gathering figs was not over : Mk. 16. 3, 4, Τίς ἀποκυλίσει ἡμῖν τὸν λίθον ἐκ τῆς θύρας τοῦ μνημείου; καὶ ἀναβλέψασαι θεωροῦσιν ὅτι ἀποκείύλισται ό λίθος ην γαρ μέγας σφόδρα. Here the last clause accounts for their inquiring, tis amonulises; J. 20. 9, oudémw γàρ ἤδεισαν τὴν γραφήν. This explains the reason of εἰσῆλθε (8).

#### SOLECISMS.

The origin of the term  $\sum o \lambda o \iota \kappa i \sigma \mu \delta \varsigma$  is not clearly known, but it is said to have been applied to the corruption of the Attic dialect among the Athenian colonists of  $\sum \delta \lambda o \iota$  in Cilicia. The word is generally applied to provincialisms, or incorrectness in the use of language. The occurrence of solecisms has been very freely and unreasonably imputed to the whole of the writers of the New Testament, but the charge cannot be sustained: in the Apocalypse there are indeed many expressions for which we

cannot account by ordinary rules. But the remark of Dr. Wordsworth is very just: "Wherever the reader meets in the Apocalypse with a phrase which seems a solecism, let him take it for granted that it contains some great and solemn truths, and that the singularity of the phrase is designed to call his attention to them."

## INDEX I.

#### AUTIIORS QUOTED, AND PRINCIPAL SUBJECTS.

Absolute cases, 116; genitive, 97. Basis of New Testament Greek, 1, 2; Accent, influence of, 24, 25. 122. 136. four distinctive elements, 5. Accusative, remote object, 64; cognate signification, 65; in apposition, 66; subject of infinitive, 66. Adjectives derived from verbs, 20; comparative, 58; superlative, 59; numerals, 61; in rational concord, 53; without substantive, 53. Adverbs, separable, forming compounds, 24; inseparable, 24; used as prepositions, 185. See Particles. Alexandrine element, 14. Alford, Dean, 117. 124. 138. 195. 210. 215. 217. Anacoluthon, 116. Angus, Dr., 67. 197. Antanaclasis, 258. Antecedent (relative), 55. Aorist, 89; epistolary, 90; combined with perfect, 90-92; imperfect, 93; infinitive, 94; difference between imperfect and aorist, 89; denoting single acts, 90. 92. Aposiopesis, 258. Apposition, 56; genitive of, 56; accusative. 66. Arnold, Dr., 79. 125. 129. 132, 133. 167. 170. 188. 234. Arrangement (trajection), 261. Article, 26; peculiar omissions, 27; Homeric use, 28; demonstrative, 30; possessive, prepositive, 31; anticipative, retrospective, 32; rhetorical, 33; generic, 34; with attributives, 35; in regimen, 37; with the defining clause, 37; without the noun, 38; in forming the subject, 40; with verbs of existence and calling, 40 ; with various adjectives and pronouns, 42-45. Attraction, 54.

1	Blackwall, 9. 16. Brachylogy, 257.
	Cases, nominative, 50; oblique, 62, 63. Cause, dative of, 78; coincidence, 76; circumstance, 77.
	Christian element in New Testament Greek, 6. Cilicisms, 14.
	Classes of substantives, 18; of adjectives, 19; of verbs, 21, 22. Comparative, tacit, 58.
	Compounds, parathetic, 22; synthetic, 23; influence of accent, 24, 25. Conjunctions, copulative, 131, 132; ad-
	versative, 118. 133, 134; disjunctive, 143. Copula, 26.
	Dative, 76; coincidence, 76; qualifying circumstance, 77; proximate carse,
	78; ethical relation, 79; absolute, 116; with prepositions, 156160. 173-185.
	Demonstratives, 30. 47. Deponents, 99. Derivatives, 17–22.
	Distributives, 61. Donaldson, Dr., 23. 38, 39. 63. 66. 72.
	75. 87. 93. 95. 99. 118. 257. Dynamic middle, 98.
	Elements of New Testament Greek, 5; of a sentence, 26. Ellicott, Bishop, 5. 19. 34-36. 72. 77.
	83. 93. 109. 129. 134. 138. 143. 155. 158. 163. 166. 176. 185. 189. 192. 194. 197. 205. 216. 219. 236. 258.
	Ellipsis, 257.

#### 264 AUTHORS QUOTED, AND PRINCIPAL SUBJECTS.

Epexegetical use of copulatives, 123. Expressions for eternity, 13.	Neg Neu Nou
Fairbairn, Dr., 130. Foreign words, 15, 16.	Nu
Forms of conditional propositions, 104 -106. Fritzsche, 84. 214. 234. Future, 83; for the imperative, 84; denoting possibility, 84.	Obj Obj Obl Opt
<ul> <li>Genitive, primary meaning, 63; ablative origin, 67; fulness, deficiency, 68; perception, partition, 69; relation, 70; idiomatic usages, 72, 73; predominating quality, 73; contact, 75; tentative use, 75; absolute, 76.</li> <li>Greek in Palestine compared with Colonial English, 2. 4.</li> <li>Green, Rev. T. S., 86.</li> </ul>	d Ora Ord Par Par Par I I t t
Hebraisms, spurious, 11; genuine, 13. Hebrew element, 8. Hellenistic, 1. Hendiadys, 256. Hermann, 10. 87. 101. 107. 131. Hutchinson, 151. Hyperbole, 254. Hypothetical propositions, 104-106.	Pan J C I Pas Pan Pan Pan
<ul> <li>Imperative mood, use of, 106; in prohibitions, 107; omitted, 52.</li> <li>Imperfect, 87 - 89; incompleteness, repetition, 88; with aorist, 89.</li> <li>Indefinite, interrogative pronoun, 48, 49.</li> <li>Indicative mood, 81; present, 82; future, 83; perfect, 85; imperfect, 87; aorist, 89; pluperfect, 94; conjoined with the optative, 103.</li> <li>Infinitive mood, 108; as a verbal noun, 109; participle, 108. 111.</li> <li>Intransitive verbs, 95, 96.</li> </ul>	Per H Phe Phe Pro t
Jacob, Dr., 63. 108. 118. Klotz, 144. 148.	Pr Pr Pr
Latinisms, 15. Litotes, 256.	Pu Qu
<ul> <li>Masson, Professor, 5.</li> <li>Metaphor, 252; hunger and thirst, 12.</li> <li>Metonymy, 293.</li> <li>Middle voice, four usages, 97; causative, dynamic, 98; supplied by the active, 99; deponents, 99.</li> <li>Moods, 100; subjunctive, 101; optative, 102; indicative conjoined with optative, 103; imperative, 106, 107; infinitive, 108—110.</li> <li>Multitude, nouns of, 51.</li> </ul>	Qu Re Re Re Re Sol

gative particles, 138—144. uter plural, 50. uns, classes of, 18, 19; derived from verbs, 19. merals, 61. ject, immediate, 63 ; remote, 64. jective genitive, 72, 73. lique cases, 62. tative mood, 100. 105; with the inlicative, 103. al element, 5. dinals, peculiar use of, 61. rathetic compounds, 22. rononnasia, 259. rticiples, 110-117; supplementary idea, 111; independent propositions, 112; temporal, causal, 113; conditional, final sentence, 114; in periphrastic senses, 115; absolute, 76. 116 ; equivalent to imperatives, 116. rticles, 118-148; adversative, 118. 133, 134; illative, 121. 144. 148; causal, 133. 137; final, 128-131; copulative, 131, 132; temporal, 136. 145; negative, 138-143; conditional, 125. ssive voice, 96, 97; deponents, 99. ulo-post future, 95. rfect, 85-87; rendered by English present, 85; an immediate consequence, 86; distinguished from aorist, 87; combined with aorist, 90. eonasin, 258. uperfect, 94. edicate, 26. 50. epositions, general view of, 149; geni; tive, 150-156; dative, 156-160; accusative, 161-165; genitive and accusative, 165. 173 ; genitive, dative, accusative, 173-185. resent tense, 82, 83; peculiar uses of, 81. ohibitions, modes of expressing, 93. olepsis, 260. onouns, personal, 45-48; interrogative, 48; indefinite, 49. asey, Dr., 10. 52. ality, genitive of, 73. narterly Reviewer, 29. 76. 80. 100. 129. 149. eciprocity, modes of expressing, 60. dundancy, 45. 110. elative and antecedent, 55. emote consequences, 142. eversive power of negatives, 139. lecisms, 261. bject, the, formed by the article, 27. 40; plural neuter verb singular, 50;

<ul> <li>in what cases omitted, 52; omission of simple copula with predicate, 52; before the infinitive, 66.</li> <li>Subjective genitive, 72.</li> <li>Substantives, classes of, 17; derived from adjectives, 18.</li> <li>Superlatives, unusual forms, 59; He- braistic, 13.</li> <li>Synecdoche, 253.</li> <li>Tenses, 80, 81; present, 82; imperfect, 87; future, 83; aorist, 89; perfect and aorist, 90; perfect, 85; pluper- fect, 94; paulo-post future, 95; prin- cipal used for subordinate, 83; pre- sent for perfect, 83.</li> <li>Trajection, transposition, 261.</li> <li>Trench, Archbishop, 187. 191. 223. 231. 235.</li> <li>Valckner, 5. 38. 44. 171. 232.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vaughan, Dr. (on the Romans), 28. 42.</li> <li>83. 89. 92. 127. 155. 161. 189. 193.</li> <li>200. 215. 219. 221. 224. 230. 234.</li> <li>242, 243.</li> <li>Verb, tenses of, 81; voices of, 95; compounded, 151. 153. 156. 161. 164.</li> <li>168. 170. 173. 177. 179. 181, 182.</li> <li>185.</li> <li>Verbal nouns, 17. 20.</li> <li>Voices, 95; passive, 96; middle, 97.</li> <li>Winer, 33. 47. 128. 156. 179.</li> <li>Wordsworth, Dr., 27. 33. 73. 87. 91.</li> <li>155. 213. 219. 221, 222, 223. 229.</li> <li>236.</li> <li>Wratislaw, Rev. A. H. (Notes and Dissertations), 20. 65. 75. 115. 125. 242, 243. 246.</li> <li>Zeugma, 257.</li> </ul>

## INDEX II.

### GREEK WORDS EXPLAINED AND ILLUSTRATED.

aya86s. 186. άγαθότης, άγαθωσύνη, 187. άγαπάω, άγάπη, 187. ayios, ayuds, 188. ayvi(w, 188. àγοράζω, 188. ayos, 188. λγράμματος, 215. άγριότης, 208. dywrla, dywrlfouai, 211. **δ**δικία, 194. **αδόκιμος**, 189. albús, araidela, 189. alpeous, 190. αίσχρολογία, αίσχρότης, 190, 191. aiox bry, 189. air éw, 190. airía, 190 airidonai, 207. aiwv, 191. anépaios, 192. àλa(ών, 192. άλείφω. 210. àληθινός, àληθής, 192. άλλά, 118-120. άλληγορέω, 226. **ά**λλογενής, 193. άλλος, 192. άλλως, 120. äλων, 255. Sua, 24. 121. άμαρτία, 193. άμαχος, 194. αμεμπτος, 192. αμπελουργός, 200. άμωμος, αμώμητος, 192. avá. 164. **ανάγκη**, 12. 🕳 ἀνάθεμα, ἀνάθημα, 194. άνάπαυσις, 194. arag + 000h, 195. avaxuois, 196. άνέγκλητος, 192.

areλehuwr, 196. avers. 194. άνθρωποκτόνος, 234. άνόητος, 196. avoia. 196. aróoios, 194. avox n. 195. artí. 150, 151. άντιλαμβάνεσθαι, 195. άνυπόκριτος, 195. άνυπότακτος, άνομος, 194. άπατάω, 228. άπειθής, άπείθεια, 195. άπλοῦς, ἁπλότης, 195. and, 152, 153. **ά**ποδημέω, 196. άπολλύμενοι, 35. άπολύτρωσις, 188. άπορούμαι, 227. **άποσιώπησιs**, 258. απύστολος, 204. άπτομαι, 213. apa, 121, 122. άρπάζειν, 226. àδδaβών, 196. aprios, 225. άργομαι. 111. άσεβής, άσέβεια, 193. ασέλγεια, 196. άσθένεια, 221. άσπιλος, 192. άσπονδος, άστοργος, 196. άσύνετος, άσύνθετος, 196. άσωτία, 196. aùθάδης, 196. αὐτοκατάκριτος, 219. airós, 44, 45. 65. άφεσις, 194. άφθαρτος, αφθαρσία, 196. 212. άφροσύνη, άφρων, 196. βάπτω, βαπτισμός, 197. βάρβαρος, 193.

## GREEK WORDS EXPLAINED AND ILLUSTRATED. 267

βάρος, 197. βασανίζω, 189. βασιλεία, 256. • βεβαιότης, 229. βέβηλος, 188. 194. βεβηλόω, 255. Blos, 212. βλασφημέω, βλασφημία, 198. βλέπω, 224. Bondeiv, 195. **βούλομαι**, 197. βραχυλογία, 257. βρέφος, 223. Bunds, 8. 215. 231. • ydp, 123. ye, 122. yeved, yévos, 199. Yewpyds, 200. γιγνώσκω, 32. 201. · γινέσθαι, 199. γνώμη, 200. yrŵois, 200. γογγυσμός, 202. δénσις, 230. δειλία, 202. δείνα, 49. Seigisainovla, 202. δεσπότης, 202. δέχομαι, 226. δή, δήπου, 124. δid, 165-168. διάβολος, 203. διάδημα, 233. διακονία, διάκονος, 203. 231. διαλέγομαι. 204. διαμαρτύρεσθαι, 204. διάνοια, 217. διδάσκαλος, 203. • διδάσκω, διδασκαλία, 204. διδαχή, 201. δίκαιος, 196, 187. δικαιόω, δικαιοσύνη, 205. δικαίωμα, δικαίωσις, 205. δικαστής, 221. διωγμός, 214. δοκιμάζω, 189. δόλος, 228. δόξα, δοξάζω, 205. δύναμαι, 206. δύναμις, 206. 234. δώρα, 215.

έάν, εί, 125. Έβραΐοs, 206. Έγγυοs, 207. Εγκακεΐν, 209. Εγκαλέω, 207. Έγκλημα, 190.

.

¿γκόπτειν, 230. εγκράτεια, 207. έθνος, 199. el, with ou, 139. είδωλον, εἰκών, 208. eilikowhs. 195. einep, 125. eiphry, 210. 235. elpwrela, 254. eis, 161-164. els for ev, 161. els, eni, 176. eis, πρός, κατά, 169. els. 60. *ϵκ*, 153-156. EKEIVOS, 47. έκκακείν, έκλύεσθαι, 209. **ἐκκλησία**, 208. υ EKOTAOIS, 209. έλαιον, 209. έλεγξις, έλεγχος, 190. 209. έλέγχω, 207. έλεος, 210. 'Ελληνίζειν, 'Ελληνιστής, 1. 207. • έλπίζειν έν, els, έπί, 177. *έλπίs*, 220. 228. *ℓν*, 156-160. ev for eis. 162. êr bià δυοίr, 255. ένδειξις, 210. ёнека, 185. ένέργεια, 206. ένθύμησις, έννοια, 210. Evreutis, 230. έντολή, 210. ¿ξηγητής, 231. ¿Lovola. 206. *ϵπάνω*, 61. **ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, 126.** ₹πί. 173-177. **επίγνωσις**, 200. enieinýs, 186. 194. 208. • *ϵπίσταμαι*, 201. • έπιστήμη, 211. έπιταγή, 200. *ϵπίτροπος*, 203. 212. **επιφάνεια**, 36. επιχορηγία, 7. 71. έράω, 187. έργάζομαι, έργασία, 211. έρμηνεία, 224. έρωτάω, 190. έτερος, 192. εὐαγγελίζομαι, 204. euryerns, 211. eunons, 196. εὐλάβεια, εὐλαβής, 202. 🜢 εύλογητός, 211. εύλογία, 206. ευσχήμων, 211. . εύτραπελία, 191. έχω, 115.

### 268 GREEK WORDS EXPLAINED AND ILLUSTRATED.

ζεῦγμα, 257. ζῆλος, 211. ζωή, 212.

ή, ή μήν, 127. ήγεμών, 212. ήδη, 127. ήσύγιος, ήσυγάζειν. 211. 213.

θαμβέομαι, θάμβος, 209. θάνατος, 212. · θεμέλιος, 209. θειότης. 213. θέλω, 197. θεμέλιος, 209. θεοσεβής, θεοσέβεια. 202. θεράπων, 203. θεωρέω, 213. 224. θιγγάνω, 213. θλίψις, 214. Orytós, 213. θράσος, θάρσος, 213. θρασύτης, 202. θρήνος, 218. θρησκος, θρησκεία, 202. Ounds. 213. θυρεόν. 214. θυσία. 214. ... θυσιαστήριον, 215.

· ibeiv, 225. · iδιώτης, 215. Ιερατεία, Ιερωσύνη, 215. lepór. 215. 'Ιερουσαλήμ, 40. ίλάσκομαι, 210. ίλασμός, 188. ίλαστήριον, 19. 215. ludriov, 215. 'Ιουδαΐος, 'Ισραηλίτης, 207. Iva, 128-131. loxús, 206. ĸaθώs. 147. Kal. 131-133. Kaivós. 223. Kaipós, 215. Kanla, 194. какорвуз, 194. 196. ĸalós, 187. καλύπτω, 219. карбіа, 217. ката, 168-171. καταγινώσκω, 216. κατάνυξις, 74. καταρτίζω, 216. кататория, 228. 259. • κατηχέω, 201. катахрути, 253. кеврен, 217. kévos, 217.

KAVO05, 234.

κήρυγμα, 217. κηρύσσω, κήρυξ. 204. κλαίειν, 218. κλέπτης, 218. KAINT. 218. κοιμασθαι. 12. колоборал, 254. кончыча, 235. κόλασις, 218. κόπος, 219. ко́ тоµаг, кожето́s, 218. ĸóguos. 191, 192. KÓØIVOS. 219. Red tos, 206. краиур, 199. KOIT 15. 221. κρύπτω, 219. KTIOIS, 253. Kúolos, 203. λαλιά, λόγος, 220. λαμπάς, λύχνος, 221. Aads. 199. λατρεύειν. 220. λειτουργείν, 220. • ληστής, 218. λοιδορέω. 198. λούω, 221. λύτρον, λυτρόω, 188. μακάριος, μακαρισμός, 210. μαλακία, 221. µavía, 209. μαντεύεσθαι, 231. μάχαιρα, 232. μείωσις, 255. µ {v, 133. μεριστής, 221. μεσίτης, 207. µerd, 177-179. μεταμέλομαι, μετάνοια, 221. μετωνυμία, 253. μή, 114. 138-141. μηδέ, μήτε, 143. µηδεls, 60. μήποτε, 141. μιαίνω, μολύνω, 222. μορφή, μόρφωσις, 222. μύρον, 209. μυστήριον, μύστης, 219. μωρολογία, 191. val, 135. vads. 215. • verpos, 213. véns, 222. vήπιος. 223. . Plareir, 221. voeiv, vois, 200. 223. vóros, 221. νουθετέω, νουθεσία, 224.

ξύλον, 12. ξυρεΐν, 217.

88c. obros, 47, 48. δδύνη, δδυνώμαι, 227. olda, 201. oikérns, oikovóµos, 203. oikla, olkos, 227. olKTIONOS. 210. δλόκληρος, δλοτελής, 225. δμοίωμα, 222. Suws. Suws. 136. Svoua, 206. ύπισθεν, 149. 185. Stroy. 13. бятонан. 213. STWS. 128-131. 136. δράω, 221. upyh, 214. δργιλότης, 208. ös, Boris, 44, 45. Sous, 187. 8τε, 8ταν, 136. 8TI. 137. ob, 138, 139. 141, 142. ούδέ, ούτε, 143. obbels, 60. ούκέτι, ούκοῦν, 143. olv. 144. ойтоз, 47, 48. 65. ούχ δτι, 138. παιδαγωγός, 226. ♥ παιδεία, παιδεύω, 224. \*avoupyla, 190. παρά, 179-181. παράβασις, 225. παραβολή, παροιμία, 226. παράκλησις, 204. παρακοή, παράπτωμα, 193. 225. παραλαμβάνω, 226. παραμυθία, 201. πάρεσις, 194. maporouarla, 259. παροργίζω, 214. παρρησία, 226. πα̂s, 42, 43. πάσχω, 226. жатрія, 227. πείθομαι, 227. πεισμονή, 18. 259. πένης, πτωχός, 227. περί, 170. 172. περιεργάζεσθαι, 211. περιποιέσμαι, περιποίησις, 189. περιτομή, 228. πικρία, 214. πιστεύειν, έν, els, έπί, 177. πίστις, 228. πλανάω, 228. πλευνασμός, 257. πλευνεξία, 228.

πλήν, 145. πληροφορέω, 229. πλήρωμα, 18. πλησμονή. 18. πλοῦτος. 206. πλύνειν, 221. πνεύμα, 236. πνευματικά, 57. ποιείν, πράσσειν, 230. πολίτευμα, 195. • πονηρία, 194. πορεύομαι, 229. πραος, πραφτης, 207, 208. πραϋπάθεια, 205. 207. πρίν, 145, 146. πρό, 150, 151. πρόδομα, 196. πρόθεσις, 198. προκόπτειν, 230. πρόληψις, 260. mpós, 182-185. προσαγωγή, 226. προσκυνέω. 252. προσφορά, 214. προφητεία, 200. 224. προφητεύειν, προφήτης, 8. 231. πρωτότοκος, 23. πτόησις, 202. δαδιούργημαι, δαδιουργία, 190. 🇯 δαντισμός, 197. Snua. 232. δομφαία, 232. Surls. 232. σάρκινος, σαρκικός, 232. garavâs. 203. onucior, 234. . σκανδάληθρον, 8. orid. 208. σολοικισμός, 261. σοφία, 201. σοφίζω, 204. σπαταλάω, 233. σπεύδω, σπουδάζω, 233. σπίλος, 232. σπυρίς, 219. στενοχωρία, 214. στέφανος, 233. στοιχείον, 191. συγγινώσκω, 216. σύν, 160. ouraywyh, 208. . συνείδησις, 217. 223. · συνεκδυχή, 253.

σύνεσις, 217.

συνιέναι, 200.

appayls, 196.

σχημα, 222.

σχίσμα, 196.

σωζόμενοι, 35.

,

# 270 GREEK WORDS EXPLAINED AND ILLUSTRATED.

σῶμα, 208. 236. σωφροσύνη, 190.

ταπεινοφροσύνη, 208. ταράσσω, 227. τε, 131-133. τεκμήριον, 233. τέλειος, τελειόω, τέλος, 216. 234. τέρας, 233. τιμή, 206. τιμωρία, 218. τίς, 48, 49. 65. τοί, τοίνυν, 146. τρυφậν, 233. τύπος, 234.

δβριστής, 192.
ὕμνος, 235.
ὑπάγω, 230.
ὑπάρχω, 199.
ὑπείκω, 227.
ὑπέρ, 171, 172.
ὑπερβατόν, 260.
ὑπερβφανος, 192.
ὑπηρέτης, 203.
ὑπό, 181.
ὑπό, παρά, 179.
ὑπομονή, 208. 220. 228.
ὑποτύπωσις, 208. 234.

φαύλος, 234. φθόνος, 212. φιλανθρωπία, 187. • φirdpyupos, 229. φιλέω, 187. \$6805, 202. φονεύς, 234. \$600s, 234. φορτίον, 197. φρόνησις, 201. φύσις, 211. φωστήρ, φωσφόρος, φωτίζω, 221. χαρακτήρ, 208. χάριν, 185. χάρις, χάρισμα, 195. 231. χιτών, 215. χρηστός, χρηστότης, 186. χρίω, 210. xpovos, 215. ψαλμός, 235. ψεῦδοs, 192. ψηλαφάω, 213. • ψυχή, ψυχικός, 236. *ψδή*, 236. ås, 146. ώσπερ, 148. ώστε, 148.

.

## INDEX III.

## PASSAGES CITED FROM THE NEW TESTAMENT.

MATTHEW.	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE
	628	VIII. I. 45.78.116
CHAP. VER. PAGE	2138.42	5
I. 6 33	23 43.45	6156
16 154		8, 9 182
1171.173	V. 6 12	12
18 110	11	19
19 114. 197	12 150	22
20 154.170	15 33	28 153
22 165.181	17 119	30 68
23. 33. 52. 206	19 105	32 . 106.168
24	20	02 7 7 100. 100
25 105	21 79. 84	
	22 43. 78	IX. 2 173
II. 1 152.160	24	4 49
II. 1 152.160 2 109	25	5124
$4 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 109$	28 . 46. 75. 225	10 78
	38151	11 28
8	39	13 27
	40	15 178
	42	18 119.176
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44	27 63
	45 27. 96	34 157
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48 . 84. 106. 225	36 148
	40 . 04. 100. 220	3731
23101	VI. 1 180. 185	
	584.106	X. 1 72
III. 1 56. 204	645	248
$2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 37$	7158	4113
5254	11	572
7 70. 254	13	865
892	16 112. 128	16.156.201.254
9 154	19	$18 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 53$
10 . 43. 127. 183	2231	2127.176
11 161	25 . 59. 102. 236	00
12 255	31 102	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
14 88	34	
16 . 79. 148. 152	01. 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
17 91	VII. 13, 14 31	
	15238	
IV. 3 34. 41	2242	
4174		
533.176		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29 115	41 161.206

## 272 PASSAGES CITED FROM THE NEW TESTAMENT.

### PASSAGES CITED FROM MARK.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
3.	CHAP. VER.	PAGE		PAGE	
3	XI. J.	11	16.	41	11 39, 152
3       . 109. 119       19	3.	33, 192	17.		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 64$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		198	26.	43.71	3149
XIII.       1.       .       169       XVII.       1.       179       37       .       63         12       .       109       7       .       102       40       .       101         21       .       51       12       .       161       42       13.54.179       46       .       101         21       .       51       17       .       206       .       106       XXII.       7       .       234.6.179       46       .       101         26       .       .       203       22       .       166       10       .       68       116       116       .       178         29       .       .       .       .       .       127       .       161       .       .       178         29       .	29.	30. 77. 207	27 .	169	32 109
X11.       1         109       37.        63         12.        109       4        109       4        101         12.        109       12.        101       40.        101         14.        256        101        46.        101         21.        51       17.        206        105       XXII.       7.        234         25.        103       22.        166       110        63        111        737        234       111        737        234       114        106        117       106        117       106        114       106        117       107       114       106        117       114       106        117       114       106        107       127       107       114       107       107       107       107       107 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-•-</td> <td></td> <td>34 102</td>			-•-		34 102
5	XII. I.	169	VVII I	170	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					3/ 03
14			4.		40 101
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			7.	90	42 . 13. 54. 179
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			12 .		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21.	51	17	908	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 .			105	VVII 7 004
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				100	AAII. 7 234
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1068
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			25.	112	1173
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			27.	151	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29 .	34			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 .		WWIII I	50 101	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	90				4047
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 .			127	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.	205	12.	176	XXIII. 3 169
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41.	162			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.65				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
XIII.11100141001421762225423102254311111621232123212323212323233334 <td></td> <td></td> <td>15.</td> <td> 161</td> <td></td>			15.	161	
XIII.118019 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $54$ $33$ $102.254$ 2 $\cdot$ $176$ $22254351763\cdot342225435176312.219321763765141112.219321263716217917637212143155101092330.12416109322382330.1241616643106291212015433174201852122648423021926176221663486261762017639174918027160317491604640211567962716032382129176261532212176261532212161665238241702223824170222382$	əU .	04	17.	33.148	$32 \dots 106$
X111.1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>33 102. 254</td>					33 102. 254
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
3 $34$ $23$ $-176$ $37$ $-163$ $11$ $-12219$ $32$ $-176$ $32$ $-176$ $14$ $-111$ $XIX$ $4$ $-152$ $XXIV$ $7$ $16$ $-665$ $9$ $-175$ $15$ $-73$ $21$ $-214$ $13$ $-653$ $33$ $-174$ $23$ $-30.124$ $16$ $-600$ $43$ $-106$ $25$ $-110.164$ $17$ $-299$ $48$ $-42$ $30$ $-185$ $21$ $-225$ $48$ $-42$ $30$ $-185$ $21$ $-225$ $48$ $-42$ $30$ $-185$ $21$ $-225$ $48$ $-42$ $30$ $-185$ $22$ $-115$ $6$ $69$ $34$ $-86$ $26$ $-176$ $8$ $-176$ $34$ $-299$ $-176$ $28$ $-176$ $8$ $-176$ $36$ $-176$ $2$ $-176$ $21$ $-176$ $2$ $-156$ $7$ $-96$ $21$ $-176$ $2$ $-156$ $7$ $-96$ $46$ $-40$ $3$ $-176$ $12$ $-176$ $23.26$ $92$ $26$ $-153$ $21$ $-161$ $23.26$ $92$ $3$ $-176$ $12$ $-176$ $23.26$ $92$ $26$ $-153$ $23$ $-119$ $23.26$ $92$ $16$ $-65$ $28$ $-119$ $23.26$ $92$ $16$ $-65$ $28$ $-119$ $23.26$	2.	176			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					3/63
14       11       XIX.       4       15       123.260       23.23.07         16			32.	126	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					XXIV. 7 123.260
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			XIX. 4.	152	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0		91 40
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16.	65			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21.	214			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			16.	60	43 106
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			17.	29	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29 .				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				995	XXV 9 001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31.	56			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34				0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				180	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			28.	176	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					20
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			XX I.		21 176
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44 .	42			
XIV.       1       . <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>4</i>/ · · · · 100</td>					<i>4</i> / · · · · 100
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XIV. 1.	56			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			7.	98	46 40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			9.	144	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					XXVI. 2 89
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					<i>c</i> or
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26.	153			U
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			21 .		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.	238	23.	. 119. 238	18 49, 183
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					97 99
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
32     .     .     .     .     .     .     126       37     .     .     .     .     .     .     126       37     .     .     .     .     .     .     126       9     .     .     .     .     .     126       42     .     .     .     .     .       9     .     .     .     .     .       107     .     .     .     .			əi.	238	28
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					32 179
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32.				34
9	37 .	70	8.	51	42 259
WWI 10 CC 10					
21.1.1.0.1.1.00 10.1.1.1.00 00.,	XVI. 13	66			
	46 V 60 10 1			- · · · · ·	00 40

CHAP. VER. PAGE [	CHAP. VER. FAGE	CHAP, VER. PAGE
51	21 39. 179	
54 102	26 104	34 121
55 . 183, 184. 218	20	37 42. 118
56	IV. 1 2. 42. 111	39 107
57	15	40 168
$61 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 165$	$23 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 126$	4164
		42
63 168		
		X. 5 184
XXVII. 1 148	30 102	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 184	32 71, 182	
5 - · · · 97	37 96	
7 162	V. 1.2 45	
14 184		
18 167	7 64	
23 124	948	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
24 118	14 83	$23 \ldots 42$
29 67	15 82	$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 174$
31 64	18 130	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
40	$21 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 176$	
43.45 176	22183	40 120
47 70	$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 51$	45 189
$52 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 12$	26 179	49 99
57 21.44	30 48	WT / 100
63 42. 82	41 75.99	XI. 4 183
	42 123	13 122.261
XXVIII.1 60		14 102.142
14 105.173	VI. 7 60	16 165
19. 22. 53. 161	21 76	22 115
31 64	<b>37</b> 102	27 76
34 148	39,4061.174	28 130
58178	50 198	33 122
	5530	
		XII. 12 184
MARK.	VII. 3, 4 . 97.152	$13 \ldots 42$
	9 255	26 173
I. 4. 73.115.162	15 31	2859
7 259	22 255	32 145
9 162	25 55	
10 176	26 130	XIII. 9 173
13 178	31164	1956
22 147	36	20 60
• 35 · · · 259		, 25115
40 63	VIII. 1 53	3569
	2 127, 128	WWW A
II. 1 165	4 173	XIV. 3 68. 168
2143	11 179	561
482	12 125	6 185
9 93.99	16 137	7 178
18 239	20 18	12
21 67	23 83	13 68
$22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 21$	28 31	29 120
26 173	31 152	35 69. 130
27 167	34 160	3649
	38 102. 177	43 179
<b>III. 3</b> 99		47
5.90.174.178	IX. 1 52	1
751	579	
932	7 153	
10 82	8 120. 142	
11 41. 137	23 39, 40	XV. 1
14 128	24 179	688
		т

PASSAGES CITED FROM LUKE.

274

## PASSAGES CITED FROM LUKE, JOHN.

ı.

		<b>A</b>			CHAP. VER. PAGE
CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	35 44. 79
9160	$48 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 51 \\ 50 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 44$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32,	37 . 53. 170. 183	38162
1159	$50 \dots 44 \\ 52 \dots 77$	48	35 136	3834	39 44. 91
$12 \dots 54$	02	$52 \cdot \cdot$	NTN 0 140 101	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41 152
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III. 5 39.43	02 03	XIV. 8 · . 142. 181		42 70
$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot 40.102$ $25 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 132$	13181	IX. 3 107. 164	$15 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 70$	$44 \dots 151$ $46 \dots 64$	46 116
27132		5176	$18 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 39$	40	52 63
37 113		7 181	$     19 \dots 115 \\     24 \dots 69 $	XX. 16 103	
43 113.115	20 132. 174	12	$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 09$ $26 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 126$	$22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 234$	
44 125	23	16 32	$32 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 120$	$22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 234$ $23 \cdot \cdot \cdot 190$	JOHN.
		26 177	52 100	25 40. 146	
XVI. 1	IV. 6, 7 85. 105	28 116	XV. 1 115	$28 \dots 44$	I. 1 . 31. 41. 184
260	14 168	33 114	7 255	37 173	3165
3 154	15	36 54.110 👔	12	44 144	6 179.199
4 261	$20 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 32$	46 40	13 233		8120
597	23 110	49 178	14 44	XXI. 9 64	9 221. 239
14 239	25 176	55 239	17 68		$14 \dots 205$
15254	26 126		2964	$15 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 256$	$15 \dots 185$ $19 \dots 48$
16 36	28 68	X.11 97		17115	$20 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 258$
	41 41.51	$20 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 160$	XVI. 1 147	19	29 82. 193
	/	$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 45$	4 52.67	20	$34 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 41$
LUKE.	V. 4 11	$28 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 107$	668	23 12	40 107
T O OO	5 165. 174	$31 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 170$	$7 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 126$	35 176	46
$\mathbf{I}, 2, \ldots, 32$	$6 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 88$		$8 \cdot \cdot \cdot 72 \cdot 201$	36 63	50 41
6	7109 954	$35 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 176$ $37 \cdot \cdot \cdot 43.178$	$9 \dots 52$		51 239
$8 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 119$	$16 \dots 156$	3948		XXII. 2 102	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	40 102. 171	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	II. 5 34
$20 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 50$	$32 \dots 86$		2548	19 42. 73	6164
$29 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 101$	34 153	XI. 1 156 🐒	26 . 40. 86. 130.	25 202	7 107
30 180	38 21. 52	4 44	174	27 49. 203	995
34 83		7 162	29 254	$29 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 239$	$10 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 43$
37 60. 180	VI. 9 144	8 113 122		30 97 173	11 · · · · 205
39 178	11 48.103	11 151 📲	XVII. 6 106	$34 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 146$	$12 \dots 64$
42	19179	19 46	849	35 68	$15 \cdot 67 \cdot 131 \cdot 154 \\ 17 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 72$
43 131	35 186	39 68	12 76	37 178	$17 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 12$ $19 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 107$
$45 \dots 92$	37 132	46 64 🌘	13.16 44	$44 \dots 199$	2091
47 174	$38 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 52$	WIT I LAD	18 206	$45 \dots 152$ $49 \dots 125.157$	22
51 77	39 140	XII. 1 148	3269		25131
$53 \ldots 68$	42.4714	$3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 151$ $5 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 102$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$54 \dots 195$	WH 10 199	7102	XVIII. 1	10	III. 3 8
56160	VII. 12 132 24 181	11 206	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	XXIII. 2	6 154
59	$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 101$ $25 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 159$	15	$11 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 239$	12 178.183	10 35
62 40. 103	$29 \dots 205$	18 45	$12 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 33$	14 54	$11 \dots 52$
64258	$32 \cdot \cdot \cdot 79$	20 52.76	14	15 79. 239	15 60. 177
72 69. 178	38 180	37 96	15 30	31 12. 102	16 148. 191
	40 30	39 106	18 59	$33 \ldots 42$	18 127
II. 1 . 39. 42. 179	42 49	42 23	22 84	4154	$21 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 40$
2 239	44 183	47. 39.97.184	26132	5153	$23 \cdot \cdot \cdot 185$ $25 \cdot \cdot 197 \cdot 239$
597	45 111	$48 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 52$	$27 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 34$	53 45	20 157. 205
13 52. 160		49 125	$34 \ldots 42$	XXIX 0 154	FV. 1 59. 83
14 34	VIII. 1 169	51120	40 76	XXIV. 2 154 11 51	244.146
15124	8161	57123	NIN O AE		$3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 42$
2054	9103	58239	XIX. $2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 45$ 3 $\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 83$	20 136	7 109
$22 \dots 169$		XIII. 2 181	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AT 00 100 100	10 106
$25 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 42$ $26 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 146$			$15 \ldots 48$		12 59
41			17 . 110. 185		13 48
4351		19			19
44 68		23 35. 125	27 145		
			1	т 2	
			8	1 ~	

276

<b>~~~~</b>	
•J'/'/	

•

CHAP. VER.	PAGE	CHAP. VER.	PAGE	CHAP. VER.	PAGE	
24	31	56	240	XIV. 1.	· · · 177	CHAP. VER.
25	· . 101	58	. 82. 146	4.		20
29	. 54. 239	59.	176			25
33	109. 239		170	6.	• • • 81	26
		IX. 7.	144	17.	74	
*0	123		144	26.	44	28
52	65	13.18.	45	31.	96. 102	33
54	259	19	144			37
		24	206	XV. 1.		38
V. 2	39	27	255	A. 1.	• • • 8	40
3.				2.		43
			123		. 157.240	
5	· · 160	31	. 46. 105	6.	. 52. 90. 91	45
12	143	34	. 43. 193	8.	142	47
18	120	35	46		106	
20	130	40.	240	25		/ III. 1
25.	189	41	106	20 .	120	2
28	107. 189		• • 100		•	3
		X. 3	170	XVI. 2.	. 119.131	
	189. 230		170	23.	• • • 64	7
	128	11	· · 8	25.	229	10
36	. 31. 59	14	202	31.	255	11
44	46	20	209		200	12
	177	25	46	WITT O	101	13
46	106	27	51		131	14
40		36		10.	31	16
47	139		46	15.	92	
		XI. 4.	170 104	24.	150	19
VI. 2	173, 174		172. 184	·		<b>1</b> 21
	. 64. 83	6	· · 240	XVIII. 14 .	· · · 171	22.24
	60	10	· . 160			26
10	65	11, 12.	· · 12		183	¥
		18	. 69. 152	17.	240	IV. 11
14	• • 144	21	· · 33	25 .	240	
15	· · 64			29.	168	$12 \cdot \cdot \cdot$
19	185		· · 190	36.	106	13
21	173	31	· . 178	37.	143	17
27	119	<b>3</b> 5 .	218	07.	140	18
29	54	40	206	VIV O		21
		49	49	XIX. 2.	• • • 64	22
35	164	50	171	и.	106	
	67	••••••	• • • • • •	25 .	38. 180	
	. 31. 134	XIJ. 1	150	29.	144	36
57	168	9	167	<b>30</b> .		
62	257					V. 4
	31			XX. 2.	E0 ]	5
	154, 155	19	215		52	10
70, 71.		23	131		95. 261	15
10, 11.	33	$24 \cdot \cdot$	. 56. 161	12.	39. 183	21
	1	25	212		75.93	22
	43	28	206	25.	42	24
	65	31	136			23
26	90	32.	105	XXI. 8.	77	24
	179					28
	. 105	34	46	10.	· · · 77	30
	. 179	37	114	23.	82	35
41		40	217	25.	. 106. 255	36
41	124. 240	42	136			38
						39
VIII. 7		XIII. 4	154	ACT	S.	
18	31	5	33			41
$23 \cdot \cdot$	. 8.40	6	46	T I	98.111 🕴	42
25	65	7	179. 240			
29 .	178	8.	178	94 • 10	67	VI. 1.183.
	106					3
43			· · 120	15 .	68	7
		10	221	25 .	256	11
44 . 53.		24	103. 108		1	
		27	. 58.92	II. 5.	255	
55.	71	38	255		60. 103	VII. 1
				•		2

	PAGE		PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE 19.145.152.174.
•	146	$12 \cdot \cdot \cdot$	79 201. 193	19 . 140. 152. 174.
•	. 39. 162 253	18 19	. 75. 109	20 207
•	. 68. 178	26		28253
•	00		98	101111100
•		34 36	113. 240	XII. 5 115
•	161	38	226	6 150
	240	41	160	10 96
•	92	42	96	11 136
	54	43, 44.	234	14 150. 152
÷	35	51.	77	16 111
•		52	. 136	20 173
	176	55	199	23 151. 206
	190	58	88	$25 \dots 203$
	259	59	. 92. 226	
	75	60	. 12. 247	XIII. l 204
	184		-	2124
	. 36.53	VIII. 1.	145. 214	4 134
	. 75. 147	2	98	10 84. 106
	47	4	145	11 88
	36	5	53	13 39
	. 37. 165	6	110	16 36
	130	9	49	$25 \ldots 38$
	226	11	. 77. 240	$27 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 240$
4	134	16	161	46 88
•	110	20	103	37737 3 007
		22.	122	XIV. 1 207 4
•	. 31. 47	23.	198	<b>4</b> 30. 160 <b>5</b> 160
•	156	26	. 47. 169	0 0 10
•	160. 220	27	114. 173	6240 7146
•	11	30	122	9
•	110	33	240	10
•	113			13 . 150. 255
•	258	IX. 1	69	22 163
•	. 113	4.7.	63	26 64
·	115	9	138	28 160
	113.118	15	73	
•	113	25	219	XV. I 77.97
	. 183	26	140	3145
	169	29	207	7 32
	182	31	145. 240	17 53
	99	33 40	· · 154 · · 247	20 75
	. 47. 150			21 253
	103	43	180	22 . 89. 113. 240
•	. 11.68	V O	170	23115
	113	X.9 15	176 254	$24 \dots 108$
•	51	15	1 - 0 -	$29 \dots 67$
•	49	20	119	$36 \dots 53.124$
	105	20	152	38 152
•	105		147	VVI 6 145
·	145	33.	112	XVI. 5 145 9 79
•	204	38	113	
1 0 2	002 00#	39	113. 240	1.5 0.0
103.	203.207	42	138	$15 \dots 56$ $16 \dots 231$
•	ào	45	115	$22 \cdot . \cdot \cdot \cdot 89$
•	68			2364
•	401	XI. 5	185	34 110.113
	122	17	240	37 . 77. 113. 123
ż	. 11. 74	18	. 8. 121	40 161
·				

.

١.

279
PAGE

				1				
CHAP. VER.	PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	. 1		CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE
XVII. 1.		21	XXVII. 1 193	5		13 35. 180	5 120	21
	152	23173		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		14	6 93. 242	22 73. 243
			$2 \cdot \cdot$				7. 11. 205. 242	23, 24 56, 205
	253	$24 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 98$	10 259	- 14 A		15	13 . 89. 93. 205	26139
	. 193.241	2598	14.96.99.168			16 83	13. 09. 03. 200	27 . 73. 172. 243
	103	26 76	1898		1	17 207		28
13.	153	2886	2372	1	1	18 189	15 103	
14	36. 147	33 103	25 86			21 109	16 . 127. 146. 163	3173
15 .	36	38.121.234.150	34			23 68. 159	17 . 55. 134. 234	33 174. 253
	. 103. 223	00 1 121. 204. 100	36	4		25 28. 105	19, 20. 168. 242	169
	58. 127	ļ					21 115. 174	X. 1 162
		XXII. 3 199	37 43			$26 \cdot 162 \cdot 205 \cdot 53$	21	3 114
	. 213. 225	6 136.171	3868	1		27166	NUL 0 1 06	4 52. 216
	232. 63	16 197	40 121			29 155	VII. 2	5 155
	199	17	43				5 167. 227	9, 11, 174, 177
25 .	68. 260					<b>III. 2.</b> 89	68	14 84
	. 122.146	19	XXVIII.3 68.153			3, 4 . 124. 241	7 . 40. 84. 103.	16. 18. 92. 119.
	30. 170	2289.132	7 171	. 3		5 83	201	141
	144	23. 25 241	830			6 84. 127	8 43. 242	
20 .		29 86		Ň		7 83. 156	12 148	19.139.193.243
NUTLI /	0.07	30179	988				13 41	
XVIII. 4.			- 10 39. 185	1		8 218. 241		XI. 2 . 78. 156. 243
	77		19 147	j		9 182. 241	14 182	4 31
9.	107	XX1II. 1 99.217	20	1		11 40	15 242	$5 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 72$
13 .	180	5 201.241	25			12 . 24. 121. 161	18 56	6 41. 143
14 .	170	7 240		1		19. 21. 220. 241	20 143	8 40.74
	97	998.257				22 176	21 46	13 206
	78	11 161	ROMANS.	1		23	22 8	20
		14	KOMANS.	1			23 . 224. 242. 255	
	97					24	24, 25 72. 258	21 170
26	58	20147	I. I 72	1		25 164. 205	24, 20 /2. 200	23 134. 206
		25 234	3241			26 210		25 153. 219
XIX. 2	64. 119	30 . 90. 181. 184	4 . 74. 155. 169	1		28256	VIII. 1 157	26253
	56. 133	35 102	5156	1		31 119	3 66. 242	27102
	161		6 67	- 1			4 205.236	28 179
	176	WWIN 10 TO	8 133. 156	· · ·		IV. 2 205	6 163. 242	3078
		XXIV. 10					8 113	
	43	16.168.217.184	9221				9 135	
10	207	2258	10 127. 174	:		12 155	10, 11. 168. 242	32 43. 163
	64. 241	23 115	13. 115.132			13		36 155
17.	207	25 207	14 193. 196			14 155	$13 \dots 93$	1
24 .	240	26 78. 121	1557			18 181	1574. 202	XII. 1 . 66. 167. 214.
25 .	171		1667.177			19 114. 77	16 78	215. 243
26 .	41		17.154,155.205	1		-21	17 163	28.224
	162	XXV. 3 130	18 192. 214			22	18.162.205.227	3 . 181. 243. 260
	214	4 78	1957			23 , 167	19 18	542
	124	10 58	20 . 18. 57. 163	. 1		25 . 168.205	21 205	6 152. 200
		11 83.86				20, , 100.200	22	8
<b>30</b> .	39	16 146	21 156. 205.			<b>TT 3</b> 144	23 46. 189	952
		20 162	217. 261			V. 1 144	25	
XX. 7			25 . 55. 180. 192			6 113. 171.		12 78. 228
	. 109.128		26 72. 180			210. 241	29 137. 261	15 107
18	43	27168	28 200			7 123. 241	30	18 178
21	37		29.31 260			8 151	$32 \ldots 122$	1923
	147	XXVI. 1 172	32 . 55. 205. 241			12 241	35253	20 105
		2 116	02 . 001 2001 all	1		13 185	36 82	
	97	371	TT 1 99 100 041	1		14 234	3885	XIII. 1 244
			II. 1.38.192.241.					4 105. 203
	75	8 . 83. 125. 180	250	1	1	15 42	IX. 1 158. 157	5168
33 .	69	11	346	1		16. 18 . 205. 242.		- OF(?
	65. 195	12 179	4. 57.81.208	5	1	256	$2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 243$	. 0r0
38 .	213	16 213	523.160	-	9	$19 \ldots 226$	3 38. 88, 89.	0 40 04 300
		22 54	6153		1	$20 \cdot \cdot \cdot 234.242$	152	
XXI. 5.	247	23 125	8 155. 214		4	21 157	4207	210
	39	25	9 207.214		1		9173	10 139. 210
	47				4	VI. 2 55. 103	11 114. 243	
	55		10 34. 207		Ĩ	VI. Z.,	18 127	
		$28 \cdot 160.241$	11 180	-	4	3161		
20 .	71	3183	12123			4 156	198	
				31	1			•

.

- 1, I

\*

280

280	PASSAGES	S CITED FROM 1 CORING	THIANS.	PASSAGES CITED		
					CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE
CHAP. VER.	PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. · PAGE		7 128	XI. 1
XIV. 4.		III. 2 64. 119. 258	19 114. 124	11 145	8	3 246. 195 4 40. 192
5.	229	3136	21 139	$12 \dots 245$	10 94	9. 12. 16 . 246
	70	5120	25 . 65. 98. 207	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	9.12.10 . 245 14
10 .	97	689.132	26 146		13 74	14
	. 137. 248	749		19. 177. 245	16 8. $245$	10255 19255
	30. 244	11 180	X. 2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18 224	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	. 157.167	14 120	4 40.88	26		
	8. 143. 168	15 147. 165	5245	31 . 42. 94. 245	V. 5	XII. 1 123, 124
	243	17 52. 259	6 65. 244		7	$\frac{111}{2}$
20 .	166	19.31.116.180	11 234	$33 \cdot \cdot \cdot 23^2$	10 . 166. 184. 246	8 172
XV. 2.	<b>CO</b>		13 86. 177	34 107	13 79. 209	9 176
	74. 103	IV. 1	16 . 54. 70. 234	35	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 89
	185	6 . 60. 173. 192 8 . 88. 122. 255	1742	36 46	17 . 8. 18. 90.	12
	66. 172	9244	18. 38. 70. 224	37	246. 253	13 172. 255
	. 174. 177	10		$50 \cdot \cdot \cdot 232$	18, 19 45. 147	$19 \dots 78.172$
	68	12, 13. 198. 228	21 70	51160	20	$21 \cdot \cdot \cdot 174$
	187	15 120. 226		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21 139	$23 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 42$
	147	17 55	31145			190
	214	21 157. 207		57219	VI. 2	XIII. 4 120
	185		XI. 4 44	58148	4	5 126
20, 21	244	V. 3. 236.244	5 30. 44. 77	XVI. 2 180	10	11
22.	88	4160	6	XVI. 2	13 65	
	152	6244		582	14 205	GALATIANS.
	69. 109	9 30		12 . 102.130	15.16 178	, GALAITANO.
	114	10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	1775, 153	I. 3 235
	98. 235					<b>4</b> 20 246
	123	VI. 1 250. 170			VII. 1 19. 222	6
	167	3 212. 244	17 135	2 CORINTHIANS.	4	7 126. 192
	. 162243	5 184.164	22 139. 141	4	7 . 38, 58, 175	8 105. 180
J2.	100	6	32	I. 8 172	8.30.222.224	$9 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{104}{100}$
XVI. 6.	149	7 97		9. 12. 14 18. 46	9, 10. 163. 222	10 106
	255	848	XII. 1 171	13 120. 259	1359.152	12 119. 226
	182	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8169	$15. 24. \cdot \cdot 78$	15 178	13
	7. 206. 216.	20	10	$     \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VIII. 1 156	$14 \cdot 89 \cdot 230 \cdot 240$ $16 \cdot 158 \cdot 233$
	219	107	13 161	$19 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 210$ $23, 21 \cdot \cdot \cdot 138$	v111. 1 2. 169. 246	18 184
27.	34	VII. 5 167	15 . 155. 180. 245	20, 21	4 235. 246	20 138
		8, 9 . 139. 207 10, 11 244	30 231	11. 3 65	8 167	22
		15. 104. 244		4	922/	23
		1630	XIII. 2 219	13 110	10240	
1 CORIN	THIANS.	1848	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 14	11 154	II. 1 165
то	180 000	02 94	1391.00	. is 35	1 17 226	2 162. 170
	. 158.260 . 130.216	0.1 180	XIV. 1-5 200	17 42. 158	1890	
	70	20 12.00	9. 204.253	24 39	19235	
	128	34 236. 244	18 110	1 190		6
	109		19 204	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7 90. 97
	35.67	VIII. 3 202	27 164	$3 \dots 23$		
	40	4208	29		820	10         65.90.246           11         86.216.246
	85	5139.148	30-32 200	5. 16 24		
25 .	59	7.10 244	34 257	7, 8 19	D 1	1 10 149
	224		38 105	11 16		1
27 .	57	18184	$39 \ldots 253$	14 17	1	
			40 211	1521	7	16 . 84. 126. 166
II. 1.	219		WIT 0 100 180	173		
	109		XV. 3 123. 172	1865.0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	157			- · · · · ·		16
1 .	219	9. 15 245 10 123. 175		IV. 2		50 III. 1 85. 247
13 -	139. 224. 236	$5 10 \cdot 123 \cdot 175$ $13 \cdot 13 \cdot 34$		4., 163.2	51 ( 13·10· · · 2)	
14 -	100-263-200		10 100	3		
				;		

### 282 PASSAGES CITED FROM EPHESIANS, PHILIPPIANS.

	,			1	CHAP. VER. PAGE
CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	CHAP. VER. PAGE	6 97
3 77. 233	6 . 32. 204. 235	16 71. 98	$22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 49$	18 23. 248	7 174
9 155. 160	7	17 53. 158	$23 \ldots 59$	2071	9 . 23. 54. 174
15 136	9 187	18 . 67.68.131	$24 \ldots 248$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12
16 52. 173	10 121. 183	19 158	25 65. 112	20, 27 210	13 109. 158. 177.
17 . 129. 163. 247	11 90	20 206	$26 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 38$	II. 2	261
18 . 143. 154. 166	12 78	21. 26. 28. 248	$27 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 99$	8,9249	
19.65.101.185	13 44	23 . 73. 223. 224	28 55. 210	11	IV. 1.158.190.256
2035	14 79. 192	$24 \dots 12$	29 177	14	2 167
21 . 37. 106. 154	15 . 18. 123. 222	27 143	TT 1 935	15	3, 4, 6 . 19. 48.
22 . 43. 92. 154	16 77. 176	29 71. 191	II.         1         .         .         .         235         . <th>21</th> <th>109</th>	21	109
23. 29 233		30 162.189	6.199.222.248	23 18. 55	5. 140.227
24 148. 163.	EDUDOLANG	31 . 43. 177. 214	7 222. 248		8146
226. 154	EPHESIANS.	32 158	8 199	III. 1 C 164	11 211.213
$27 \cdot . 161.157$ $28 \cdot 52.143.157$	T ) 70	V 0 72 014 015	9 172	2170	$12 \dots 256$
20 . 02. 143. 107	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	V. 2 . 73. 214. 215 4 255	12 248	3 177	$13 \dots 129$ 14 \dots 167
IV. 1. 35. 65. 223	7 56. 189	5 248	13 31. 178	5255	$14 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 107$ $15 \cdot \cdot \cdot 140$
2	9, 10 72. 219	6,7155	15 221	10	17 . 24. 121. 160
3 191	$12 \dots 114$	9187	16 162.248	$11 \dots 52$	18 148. 159
4 . 18. 154. 247	13. 68. 72. 74.	1245	18 65	$12 \dots 209$	26
5 32. 73	247	14 107	27 78. 174	$16 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 235$	20
7 148	14 71. 189	15 248. 258	30 21	$17 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 158 \\ 22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 23$	V. 4 131
8140	15 158.170	16 97.137	THE 0 00 000 052	24	6 102
9 202. 259	16 174	17 196.200	III.         2         33.         228.         253         3         .         228.         260         360	25249	734
10 216	17 74. 157. 211	19 235	<b>3</b> 220. 200 <b>4</b> . 114. 135. 248	20	10
11 141	18 68.117	20, 21 158	5/ 207	IV. 2 158	11 60
12.16 247	20 157.164		7 64	3 85. 219	12 156
14	$21 \ldots 132$	26248	8 . 57.65.145	890	
$15 \cdot \cdot 113.255$ $17 \cdot 119.129.247$	22, 23 55	$31 \dots 151$ $32 \dots 219$	9 157	$12 \ldots 229$	23 . 225. 236. 249
18. 40. 110. 184	II. 2.72.157.169.	33 131. 145	10 258	18 73	$27 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 94$
20. 29	11. 2 . 72. 107. 109.	33 131. 140	11 156		
23 233	4 114	VI. 1 158	$14 \dots 169$	A MUTTER AT ONLANS	2 THESSALONIANS.
24.26 55	8 48. 78	2 157		1 THESSALONIANS.	
25 . 30. 40. 247	10 156.175	3 142	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I. 5 137	I. 3 138
27247	11	4 68. 224	$17 \dots 1234$	668	4 . 214. 228. 249
29 233	12 . 71. 157. 247	538	20159	7 234	5 163.210
NT 1 40	14 67. 247	6, 7 . 23. 155.	21	8 120.183	6 23. 135
V. 1	21 · · · · 43	256	$23 \ldots 259$	9108	7 178
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$8 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 201$ 11 \cdot \cdot \cdot 32.67	(	1083	
5247	III. 1 65 3 160.219	12. 32. 57. 73.	IV. 3 190		10.101.135.249 11,12.129,130
7 230. 260	4	206. 233	4248	II. 3 158	13226
8 18. 260	9247	14, 15 92	8 126.232	4 82. 147 5	10
10 33	11 74. 204	16, 17. 56. 67. 92	10	672.183	II. 1.172.176.190
11 121	12 157	18 255	$15 \dots 235$ $17 \dots 32$	7249	2 144
$13 \dots 175$	13. 38. 55. 160	19 72. 219	18 . 39. 179. 215	9184	3 . 36. 126. 132.
14 . 43.84.247	14 . 65. 183. 247.	21 157	$20 \dots 34$	10 187	146
	253	22 170		11 83. 135	4 176. 249
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		23 177. 179		12 163.256	
$21 \cdot 212 \cdot 252 \cdot 250$ $22 \cdot \cdot \cdot 187$			COLOSSIANS.	13 137	
24 . 91. 93. 227	21 34	PHILIPPIANS.		14199	
25	IV. 1 157	* ****** * ****************************	I. 3 114		
	356	I. 3 43	556		1
VI. 1.74.132.193.	4 68	9.65.130.200	9 97. 111		20
207. 216	10 206	10 189	$12 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 248$		1
2 197	11 . 30. 44. 184	11 56	14 189 15 23. 248		
$3 \cdot \cdot \cdot 49.228$	12 . 164. 169. 184.	13 $43$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2, 3 . 249.260
$4 \cdot 247.250$	216	16, 17			
584	14 248	21 109		, – –	
			1		

# 284 PASSAGES CITED FROM 1 TIM., 2 TIM., TITUS, PHIL.

285

CHAP. VER. PAGE 9138	CHAP. VER. PAGE	СНАР. VER. РАСВ 9. 13 230	CHAP. VER. PAGE	СН
10 143. 249	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12 134	TEDDEMA	
11, 12. 211. 213 14, 15 38. 132	959 11.101.198.249	15 . 37. 83. 204.	HEBREWS. 1. 1, 2, 3 . 173. 249	
1,10	$22 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 235$	16 169.205	$\begin{array}{c} 1. & 1, 2, 3 \\ 2 & . & . \\ 42. & 191 \end{array}$	
1 TIMOTHY.	24 151	17 225	372.98	
i iimoini.	25 51. 120	IV. 1 257	4199 7184	1
I. 2	VI. 1 182.43	243	873	
$4 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 55.209$ $5 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 154$	$2 \cdot \cdot 195 \cdot 249$ $3 \cdot \cdot \cdot 169$	$4 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 34$ $5 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 46$	II. 2.7250	1
7. 49. 114. 143	4 . 114. 171. 182	6. 42. 46. 128		1
898 9.27.114.194.	5. 31. 67. 249 8 84. 134	886.153 10114	8 224 9 . 86. 167. 224	
249	9., . 55, 198		9 . 80. 107. 224 10 . 165. 217. 250	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 . 205. 207. 228 12 . 65. 93. 107.	$15 \dots 98$ $16 \dots 23, 103$	14 . 90. 212. 223. 232	
12.114.137.206	12 . 00. 05. 107.	17	15 165	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		18 34. 164	16 . 124. 195. 250	
15 . 43. 191. 248	17 . 38. 72. 163. 177		17 64	
16 . 42, 43. 71.	19 153.195	TITUS.	III. 1 . 70. 188. 207	
174. 177. 248 17 34. 191		11105.	359 8169	
18 98	2 TIMOTHY.	I. 1 135.169	11 125	
19 171	2 TIMOTHY.	$5 \cdot \cdot \cdot 96 \cdot 98$ $7 \cdot \cdot \cdot 197$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
II. 1 172	I. 1 169	9 32		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 152$ $8 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 68.73$	10 133.194 11 141		
5	9216	13 159	3 16	3
6 . 77. 171. 189 7 159. 204	$10 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 40 \\ 12 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 86.162$	II. 2 78	$6 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 120$ 7 · · · · 150	
832.187	13. 37. 115. 234	3 119.203	810	
9141.159 1143	$15 \dots 96$ 17 . 58. 199. 204	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$12 \dots 257$	18 58. 109	8155	$12 \ldots 6$	7
$14 \dots 156$ $15 \dots 51 \dots 165 \dots 190$	II. 2 55. 167	$10 \dots 38$ 12.205.224.233	$15 \dots 8$ $16 \dots 22$	
	499	1336.255	2	
111. 1 69. 75 2 . 27. 34. 144	5 135.249 6 70.261		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
4. 157.159	886		317	2
$5 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 85$ $7 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 132$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III. 1 206.228 2 .119.207.228	4 13 7 . 152, 153. 16	
9.168.219.220	12 104.228	3 196	8 . 114. 135. 26	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14 175 16 . 70.96.230	$4 \dots 187$ $5 \dots 8.157$	9 21 12 . 115. 168. 19	
13 37. 97	18 171	10 60	135	2
$14 \dots 58$ $16 \dots 79 \dots 162 \dots 205$ .	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		VI. 1 17	6
219	22 205	,	4	0
IV. 1 67.216	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PHILEMON.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
3. 36. 77. 257	25 141.207		81	35
4.8114 698	26 47	$3 \dots 184$ $5 \dots 169$	970.7	
10 133	III. 1 216	13 . 128. 171. 198	12 19	99
15 157	$     \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$16 \dots 172$ $20 \dots 69.103$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	57
V. 4 249	5 222. 249	$22 \dots 100.103$	192	

LYSSYOR	CILLID LIVE			
,		PAGE	CHAP. VER.	PAGE
PAGE	CHAP. VER.	187		121
1	VII. 7	. 147		19
EWS.	9 11	105. 193	11	. 56.70
	13.	80	14	107
3.173.249		1 2 1	16	151
42. 191	14	. 59. 125	17	. 135
72.98	18.	57	20	75
199 184	22.	207	25	198
	24.	31		
13	25.	106	XIII. 2	112
250	2011		4	52
	VIII. 2.	221	5	44
	4.	106	13	146
86. 167. 224	5	. 52. 234	15	215
165. 217. 250	6	207	16	215. 235
90. 212. 223.	9, 10	137	17	256
232	13		21	34
165			23	
124. 195. 250	IX. 1	205. 221	25	178
64	3.	13. 59. 179		
	6.	221		
70. 188. 207	7.	33	7.43.5700	
59	9.	214. 217	JAMES	•
169	10.	205	* • '	225
125	12.	166	I. 4	225
141		37. 184	· 5. 9, 10.	050
16 250	15.	174		
	17.	. 126. 175	$11 \cdot \cdot \\ 12 \cdot \cdot $	160
102		71	13.2	1. 71. 152
73. 135		23. 157. 250		81
168	26 . 1	38. 174. 215	16.	
126	X. 2.	126	17	74
156	X. 2. 3.	110	18.	
106	10.	119	19.	214
121	10.	215	21.	226
	25 .		22	199
67		12	24	91
		74	25	. 72. 216
	37 .	33		228
214		70	27	109
63				
172	XI. 1.	250	П. 1	74
135	3.	. 163.191	3	182
. 152, 153. 165	5.	. 109. 250	4 · ·	. 73. 250
. 114. 135. 260	6.	94. 199. 250	5	. 34. 54
	12.	48. 255	6	
. 115. 168. 191	13 .	83		68
52	16.	75	13	
	17.	85	16. 18.	
170		206	20.	0.40
90	$) \qquad 23.$	91		
90		72		
				33
		115	III. 6.	250
70.7	5 31. 4 <b>3</b> 4.			
18		153	9.	157
		. 146. 166		39
		. 146.166		208
		131		56.79
)21	/ · · ·	424	.,	

#### 286 PASSAGES CITED FROM 1 PETER TO REVELATION.

## PASSAGES CITED FROM REVELATION.

.

.

CHAP. VER.	PAGE		PAGE	CHAP. VER. III. 3.	PAGE
IV. 4	198. 250	V. I	56	III. 3.	188
7	107	2.	91	4	1, 194, 201
8	188	3.	234	9.	87
12	192.250	9	44		188
14	123	10	. 74. 216		154
		11	. 27. 34	14 .	
V. 1	136.218	12	90	15 .	
	216	14	73		212
	251		• -		77
10	56.64				220
	48	2 PETEI	ł.		
16				IV. 2.	86
•••••	1000-2001	I. 3.147.	165. 185		31
			. 48. 232	9, 10,	14 . 91.
		6	208		188. 215
1 PETE	R İ	8	251	15 .	. 31. 41
1 1010	10.	10	114	16	201
T o	10,100	12	. 135		8. 178. 220
I. 2		17	181		251
	196. 212	21.	79	10 1	· · · 2011
	. 162. 251		10	V. 5	41
	251	н. і	. 73. 251		120
	. 91. 176		82	16 17	. 8. 138.
	73	5	. 61. 247		I. 190. 194
15	. 43. 188	9.	. 27		251
	232	10.	107	10, 10,	• • • • • • • •
	148	ii	136. 180		
22	188		251		
		14.		2 JOH	N.
H. 2	223		. 89. 109		
3	. 91. 135		116	2	178
	180	<i></i>		6,7.	48
5	. 6. 214	III. 3	010		
	. 99. 174		251		
	189	10. 12.	201	REVELAT	MON
	. 87. 139	11.	251	KBY BLA.	non.
п.	. 55		79. 232	I. 6	34
13	99	16		1. 6	220
15				12	255
	217	10 .			200
23, 24.			i	II. I	47
-		JUDE.	1	3.	47
III. 1	116	JUDE.	1	7.	12
	213	5	203	21, 22.	222
	177		71	26.	173
9.	198	19		AU	• • 1/0
10	185	24.	236	III. 9	209
	5. 53. 64,	24 25		111. 9	
	105. 202	20	31		
	217. 224			18 20	
	172	1 JOHN		40.	. 105
20 .	. 37. 165	I JUHN	• [	IV. 7	30
22 .	206	I. 1		IV. 7 11	$\cdot \cdot 30$ $\cdot \cdot 167$
		$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & . & . \\ & 2 & . & . \end{array}$	• • 91 • • 185		• • 101
IV. 1,2.	65 67			V. 7	0.9
			235		
	196 150	9	128	9	
8 11	. 27.84	II. 2.170.	199 615	12 13	
$11 \cdot \cdot 13 \cdot \cdot 13 \cdot \cdot 13$	. 27. 04	G	188. 215	13 .	34
10	. 23. 234	19.106.	120 155	<b>XI 1</b>	60
	. 23. 2.54		129, 155 ( 220, 251 (	VL 1. 15.	60
	19		الافت معتد	19.	102

CHAP. VRR. PAGE VII. 2	СНАГ. VER. РАСЕ 1367.178 1877 2069	СНАР. VER. РАGE 1471 23221
VIII. 9 212. 236 IX. 20, 21 . 63. 222	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
X. 7	4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
XI. $7 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 12$ 9 $\cdot \cdot \cdot 52.83$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
XII. 6 56 9 42. 203 11 139. 236 14 56	XVII. 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
XIII. 3 72 XIV. 3 236	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
891	XVIII. 13 236	20135

THE END.

۰.

,

GILBERT AND RIVINGTON, PRINTERS, ST. JOHN'S SQUARE, LONDON.

ŧ

