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## Introduction.

In preparing a bulletin on Dairy Improvement Association work in Massachusetts, it was thought best to briefly describe the purpose and benefits of Dairy Improvement Associations in general and follow this with the presentation of data showing the work of Associations already formed. At present, there are three Associations in Massachusetts located as follows: Connecticut Valley Association, centering at Amherst, in operation since June 1, 1911; Norfolk County Association, centering at Walpole, in operation since July 1, 1911; Littleton Association at Littleton, in operation since May 1, 1913.

Compared with some other states, Massachusetts has been rather slow in organizing Dairy Improvement Associations. This may be due in part to the fact that Massachusetts is a milk producing state and the dairymen, as a rule, are not concerned with the Babcock test. However, New York, which is also a milk producing state, has eighteen (18) in operation, Vermont, nineteen (19), and so on throughout the country.

The saving in feed cost due to co-operative buying and more careful feeding methods is of great importance even in a market milk section.

It is doubtful if any one factor at present operative in Massachusetts is capable of greater benefit to the average dairyman than membership in a Dairy Improvement Association.

## What a Dairy Improvement Association Is.

## The Duties and Privileges of Members:

It shall be the duty of the members to keep as accurate an account of milk production and feed consumed as is possible under ordinary farm practice, in order that they may give reliable information to the tester.

One of the greatest benefits to be obtained, aside from the work of the tester, will be the weighing of the milk each day. Daily record blanks can be obtained, free of charge, from the Extension Service of the College.

Complaints often come from members who fail to give the tester accurate information regarding the cost of feed, etc., and, when the report is given as to what their herd really did, the figures are far from being accurate and cause dissatisfaction.

Members should strive, in every way, to co-operate with the tester and endeavor to get all information possible from him. The tester will not force suggestions or advocate changes unless he feels they will be received in the proper spirit by the various members, and, in order to get the greatest good from the work of the tester, it will be necessary to make your wants known.

## The Duties of a Tester:

It shall be the duty of the tester to spend one day each month with each herd, going to the home of each member in the afternoon, weighing the feed which each cow receives, weighing, mixing, and sampling milk from each cow. In the morning the same operations are repeated. The Babcock test is applied to the composite sample from each cow, the outfit washed, the necessary computations made and recorded on blanks for that purpose. After this, the official tester goes to the home of the nearest member.

It is also the duty of the tester to figure out rations which will be of more profit than the one he finds being fed; to offer suggestions along the line of better milk production, questions of breeding, feeding, etc., as deemed advisable by the attitude of the member.

## What the Extension Service Will Do:

The Extension Service of the Agricultural College stands ready to furnish all the necessary blanks, both for the regular work of the Association and the monthly record sheets for the individual members, free of charge. It also is ready and willing to send speakers for the monthly meeting whenever possible and to be of any legitimate service at any time desired by members of the Association.
Benefits of the Association, as told by members:
1 "Exactness replaces rule of guess."
2 "Better feeding methods prevail."
3 "Barns are improved."
4 "Better bulls are purchased."
5 "Community establishes a reputation for good dairying."
6 "Buyers are attracted by good stock."
7 "Records sell all good stock at high prices."
8 "Farmers begin to think and live."
9 "Saving in cost of feed, due to co-operative buying, often pays for membership in the Association."

10 "Greater interest in the herd given by the herdsman."
Any inquiries relative to Association work will be handled from the office of the Extension Service, Amherst, Massachusetts.

# Plan of Organization for Dairy Improvement Association. 

## Dairy Improvement Association By-Laws.

Articles of Association of the Mass.

## Article 1-Purpose.

The purpose for which it is formed is generally to promote the dairy interests of its members and particularly to provide means and methods for testing and determining the cost of producing the milk of cows of the members periodically.

Article 2-Name.
The name by which this Association shall be known is............................ Dairy Improvement Association.

Article 3-Location.
Its principal office and place of business shall be at..................... Mass.
Article 4-Membership.
Any person acceptable to the board of directors may become a member upon paying a membership fee of twenty-five cents.

Article 5-Dues.
Each member shall pay a fee of twenty-five cents annually on or before the first....
. The first annual dues shall be paid on call of the Treasurer. No member shall be allowed to participate in the election of the board of directors who shall not have paid his or her annual dues in advance.

Article 6-Annual and Special Meetings.
The annual meeting of the members of this Association shall be held at a place designated by the board of directors in the village of....................... on the........ ......... of each year at............ o'clock in the......................for the purpose of electing a board of directors and such other officers as may be provided by the by-laws and to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Regular meetings shall be he'd on the ................ of each month at such place and hour as the Association may by vote direct.

Special meetings may be called by the board of directors and notice thereof shall be given by the Secretary by mailing to each member a written or printed notice thereof, at least five days prior to such meeting. Such notice shall state the object of the meeting, and no other business shall be transacted thereat.

## Article 7-Officers.

Sec. 1. The officers of the Association shall consist of a President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer, and Board of five Directors, of which Board, the President and Secretary shall be members ex officio.

The office of Secretary and Treasurer. $\qquad$ be held by the same person.

Sec. 2. The officers shall be elected by a majority vote of the members of the Association present at the annual meeting.

Sec. 3. In case of death or resignation or removal of any officer his successor shall be elected at the first meeting of the Association, regular or special, held thereafter.

Sec. 4. The board of directors shall have the management and control of the business of the Association and shall employ such assistants as they may deem advisable and fix the rate of compensation of such assistants.

Sec. 5. The board of directors shall meet prior to each regular meeting and upon the call of the President of the Association or at such other times as they may by vote determine.

Sec. 6. A majority of the directors shall constitute a quorum at all meetings of the board.

## Article 8-Amendments.

These by-laws may be amended, added to or altered by a majority vote of all members present at any annual meeting or at a special meeting called for that purpose.

## Survey Blank.

Whereas, the Dairy Improvement Association has been organized for the principal purpose of providing means for the co-operation of its members in testing the milk of their cows periodically, and for the improvement of their dairy interests, and whereas, it is proposed by said Association to engage a suitable person for that purpose as soon as enough subscriptions are obtained to warrant said Association to engage such person, we the undersigned members of said Association, each for himself and not one for the other, severally agree to pay the sum of not to exceed dollars and
cents a year for each cow set opposite our respective names to said Association for that purpose. Said fees are to be paid in........... installments in advance; the first payment is to be made as soon as such person is engaged by the Association.

Each one of us also agrees to furnish board and lodging for said person for at least one day each month and convey him to his next place of work. Said person shall not work Sundays but shall have board and lodging every Sunday at the place where he worked Saturday.

| Name of Members. | Cows. | Name of Members. | Cows. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Dairy Improvement Association Member's Contract.

Whereas, the
Dairy Improvement Association is organized for the purpose of providing means for the co-operation of its members in ascertaining the relative merits of their cows, and in the economical production of dairy products; and

Whereas, the Association agrees, from monthly tests made by an employee of the Association, to furnish each member with a record of the individual performance of each animal subscribed, and to advise the members in regard to economical feeding and the improvement of their herds; and

Whereas, I,............... am desirous of becoming a member of said Association, for the objects above stated:

Now, therefore, in consideration of my admission to membership and the services above mentioned, I make this agreement with said Association and with the other persons who are now or shall hereafter become members of said Association.

I agree and promise to pay to the treasurer of the Association the sum of $\$ \ldots$. for one year's record of each of my cows entered, to wit, \$.......... for the periodical testing of .........cows; with the following provisos, namely, that the charge of one herd shall be not less than \$ ....... for ten cows; and that the tester shall not test more than thirty (30) cows in one day, but shall give two or more days' service each month, in proportion to the number of cows in the herd if larger than thirty; and I agree to pay for the yearly record of my herd on this basis.

It is agreed that the rate of payment for additional cows entered after the year is begun shall be fixed by the board of directors, but shall not exceed 15 cents a month per cow. If a cow which is being tested dies or is sold from the herd before the year is over, there shall be no reduction in the amount to be paid; but another cow may be substituted for the dead or sold cow. Aside from this, no cow shall be replaced by another in the number of cows undergoing test. The secretary must be notified before the second test of all new cows, whether substituted for old ones or entered as additional cows.

I agree to make payments for such testing semiannually, the first payment to be due on or before the last day of the month in which the tester begins actual work, and the other payment to be due on or before the last day of the sixth month thereafter. And I agree to take or send the money to the treasurer of the Association without being called on therefor.

I agree to furnish board and lodging for the expert employed as tester by said Association for at least one day each month, and over Sunday if his regular course brings him to my house on Saturday; and to convey him to his next place of work, or to stable and feed his horse while he is staying at my farm.

I agree to be subject to the by-laws of this Association.
This contract is not to be binding unless the said Association secures a sufficient number of cows to warrant starting operations.

The term of this agreement shall be one year from the time the testing begins.
(Signed)
(Date) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Witnesses:
For the Association:

## Source of Data.

The data contained in this bulletin were obtained from the records of two Dairy Improvement Associations. The first series of tables are the records of the Connecticut Valley Dairy Improvement Association and are expressed in Scandinavian units; the second series of tables are the records of the Norfolk County Dairy Improvement Association and are expressed in the regular way.

It is the opinion of the author that while the Danish system is excellent for comparing the productive capacity of cows, it does not give results in dollars and cents, a condition extremely desirable for the average dairyman.

It is to be hoped that an expression of opinion may be obtained from practical dairymen regarding the value of these records, in order that subsequent publications may be printed in the most helpful form.

## Connecticut Valley Association.

In presenting the past year's work of the Connecticut Valley Dairy Improvement Association, based upon the Scandinavian Feed Unit System, it is offered with the following misgivings:

1 st. The system has been little used in this country and the results as portrayed may be more or less confusing to the reader.

2d. The Scandinavian unit values differ slightly from the analyses of American feeds. (However, this error will be the same for all.)

3d. Definite values should have been placed on the feeds by the tester each month, instead of lumping them at the end. The failure to do this was not a fault of the tester, Mr. P. W. Fuller, as the original plan was to publish the records in the usual manner.

4 th. It does not show in dollars and cents the returns for each cow and herd. This, however, is in accordance with a vote cast by the Members of the Association.

Nevertheless, the system offers a means of studying the relative productive capacity of dairy cattle which is far superior to any other plan in common use at the present time, and it is hoped that this beginning will be considered in the light of an experiment, and that breeders and students will endeavor to profit by its lessons.

In order that all may understand exactly what the Feed Unit System is, the following quotation is introduced from Henry's "Feeds and Feeding," pages 124 to 126:

## The Scandinavian Feed Unit System.

A system of feed equivalents based mainly on the extensive experiments with milch cows and swine by Fjord and his successors at the Copenhagen Station, has been adopted in Denmark and other Scandinavian countries, especially by the cow-testing associations, for measuring the relative production economy of cows. This system is extensively used with cows, occasionally with pigs, and rarely with other animals. It has great merit, especially in co-operative efforts to improve dairy cattle and their feed-ing-lines in which the Scandinavian farmers are leaders.

The Feed Unit. The feed unit of the Danish association is one lb. of standard grain feed, such as corn and barley, or their equivalents in feeding value. In Sweden it is one kilo ( 2.2 lbs .) of mixed concentrates or their equivalent. All feeding stuffs are reduced to this standard in calculating the feed consumption of the animal. The Danish valuation table is as follows:

## Danish Valuation of Feeding Stuffs.

| FOR DAIRY COWS. | Feed required to equal one unit. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average lbs. | Range. lbs. |
|  |  |  |
| Indian corn, wheat, barley, palmnut meal, dry matter in roots-the standard of value |  |  |
| Cotton-seed meal, peanut meal. | 0.8 |  |
| Linseed meal, rape-seed meal, sunflower meal | 0.9 |  |
| Oats, wheat bran | 1.1 |  |
| Malt sprouts, molasses feed | 1.2 |  |
| Dried beet pulp and molasses. | 1.3 | 1.2-1.5 |
| Whole milk | 2.5 |  |
| Hay. | 2.5 | 2.0-3.0 |
| Wet brewers' grains, potatoes, straw and chaff | 5.0 | 4.0-6.0 |
| Skim milk and buttermilk | 6.0 |  |
| Silage, green clover, and mixed green grasses . | 8.0 | 6.0-10.0 |
| Mangels, rutabagas, carrots, beet pulp silage, and soilage crops other than clover and mixed grasses. |  |  |
| Beet leaves and tops. | 12.0 | 10.0-15.0 |
| Turnips and fresh beet pulp. | 12.5 | 10.0-15.0 |
| Beet leaves, fresh | 15.0 | 12.0-18.0 |

## FOR PIGS.

Indian corn, barley, wheat, oil cakes. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1.0
Rye, wheat bran . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1.4
Boiled potatoes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4.0

Whey . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12.0

## FOR HORSES

One lb . of Indian corn equals 1 lb . of oats or 1 lb . of dry matter in roots.
It is shown in the table that 1 lb . of Indian corn, wheat, barley, palmnut meal, or the dry matter of roots is taken as the unit standard. On this basis 0.8 lb . of cotton-seed meal or 1.1 lbs . of oats have the same feeding value as the unit standard, 1 lb . of corn. Of the roughage, 2.5 lbs . of good hay, or 8 lbs . of silage, green clover, or mixed fresh grasses count as 1 unit. The grass consumed by a cow at pasture during 1 day is valued at from 10 to 16 units according to its quality and the production of the cow.

Since working up the records from these figures, a revised list of values has been received from Mr. Helmar Rabild, Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., which seems to correspond more nearly to American conditions and should be used in later work of this kind.

The records of the twenty-one herds totaling 234 cows, which follow, represent all of the cows which had completed a full year's work up to June 1, 1912. According to the tester's reports, about 400 cows were tested during the year, but of these nearly $25 \%$, or 97, changed hands, and their records were lost to the Association.

The remainder for one reason or another had not completed a full year's record.
Tables showing record of each cow with herd averages follow:

HERD No. 1.

| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7605 | 298.2 |
| 4 | 11328 | 410.2 |
| 5 | 8053 | 322.5 |
| 6 | 5903 | 269.3 |
| 8 | $\underline{11339}$ | $\underline{397.6}$ |
| 5 | $\underline{44228}$ | $\underline{1697.8}$ |
|  | $\underline{8845.6}$ | 339.5 |


| Units in <br> roughage | Units in <br> grain |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3521 | 2814 |
| 3521 | 3853 |
| 3521 | 2898 |
| 3521 | 2473 |
| 3521 | 4086 |


| Total <br> units | Milk lbs. <br> per 100 units | Fat. lbs. <br> per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6335 | 120.4 | 4.7 |
| 7374 | 153.5 | 5.56 |
| 6419 | 125.4 | 5.02 |
| 5994 | 98.4 | 4.49 |
| $\overline{7607}$ | 149. | $\frac{5.22}{33729}$ |$\frac{646 .}{24.9}$.

HERD No. 2.

| No. of |
| :---: |
| Cow |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 7 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 15 |
| 17 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 12 |


| Milk |
| :---: |
| pounds |
| 5756 |
| 5016 |
| 5529 |
| 4442 |
| 5703 |
| 6604 |
| 5979 |
| 5024 |
| 4948 |
| 5162 |
| 3448 |
| 3268 |
| 60879 |
| 5073.2 |


| Units in |
| :---: |
| frughage |
| 2983 |
| 2995 |
| 3078 |
| 3061 |
| 3053 |
| 2983 |
| 3070 |
| 3083 |
| 3083 |
| 3083 |
| 3146 |
| 3146 |


| Units in <br> grain |
| :---: |
| 1904 |
| 1958 |
| 1888 |
| 1610 |
| 2037 |
| 1704 |
| 1907 |
| 1949 |
| 1559 |
| 1605 |
| 1526 |
| 1057 |


| Total <br> units | Milk lbs. <br> per 100 units | Fat lbs. <br> per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4887 | 118.5 | 3.96 |
| 4953 | 100.4 | 3.27 |
| 4966 | 111.2 | 5.47 |
| 4671 | 95. | 4.7 |
| 5090 | 112. | 4.97 |
| 4687 | 143. | 6.98 |
| 4977 | 120. | 5.85 |
| 5032 | 99.8 | 3.94 |
| 4642 | 106.5 | 5.4 |
| 4688 | 111. | 4.35 |
| 4672 | 74. | 3.54 |
| 4203 | 77.8 | 3.7 |
| 57468 | 1270. | 56.2 |
| 4789 | 105.8 | 4.68 |

HERD No. 3.

| No. of <br> Cow |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 5 |


| Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5427 | 272.4 |
| 5431 | 210. |
| 9223 | 287.8 |
| 6813 | 235.6 |
| 6814 | $\frac{240.4}{} \frac{1246 .}{33708}$ |
| 6741 | $\underline{249.2}$ |


| Units in <br> roughage | Units in <br> grain |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2980 | 1714 |
| 2980 | 1714 |
| 2980 | 1714 |
| 2980 | 1714 |
| 2980 | 1714 |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Total <br> units | Milk lbs. <br> per 100 units | Fat lbs. <br> per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4694 | 116. | 5.82 |
| 4694 | 116. | 4.48 |
| 4694 | 197. | 6.15 |
| 4694 | 145. | 5. |
| 4694 | $\frac{145 .}{}$ | $\frac{5.12}{26.6}$ |
|  | $\frac{719 .}{143.8}$ | $\frac{5.32}{4694}$ |

HERD No. 4.

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9332 | 295.5 | 3604 | 2687 | 6291 | 148.4 | 4.7 |
| 2 | 7859 | 263.6 | 3650 | 2530 | 6180 | 127.5 | 4.27 |
| 4 | 10272 | 349.7 | 3865 | 2629 | 6494 | 158.2 | 5.4 |
| 6 | 8572 | 279.5 | 3602 | 2216 | 5818 | 147.5 | 4.8 |
| 8 | 8616 | 281.4 | 3486 | 1440 | 4926 | 175. | 5.7 |
| 10 | 7320 | 256.6 | 3323 | 1492 | 4815 | 152. | 5.34 |
| 12 | 6163 | 206. | 3412 | 1382 | 4794 | 129. | 4.3 |
| 13 | 6498 | 211.4 | 3397 | 1306 | 4803 | 135. | 4.4 |
| 14 | 8976 | 362.1 | 3442 | 1679 | 5121 | 175. | 7.6 |
| 16 | 7671 | 242.7 | 3422 | 1637 | 5059 | 151.5 | 4.8 |
| 267 | 6827 | 216.8 | 3410 | 1276 | 4686 | 146. | 4.6 |
| 11 | 88106 | 2965. |  |  | 58987 | 1646. | 55.9 |
|  | 8009.6 | 269.5 |  |  | 5362 | 149.6 | 5.08 |

HERD No. 5.

| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 5446 | 276.5 |
| 3 | 4382 | 248.2 |
| 4 | 6126 | 288. |
| 6 | 4651 | 217.2 |
| 7 | 3531 | 175.2 |
| 8 | 6447 | 289.6 |
| 11 | 4873 | 233.6 |
| 12 | 5277 | 265.2 |
| 13 | 5788 | 259. |
| 14 | 5064 | 292.5 |
| 15 | 5029 | 247.7 |
| 16 | 702 | 46.8 |
| 17 | 5465 | 250.2 |
| 18 | 4488 | 262. |
| 19 | 3874 | 230. |
| 22 | 6101 | 297.8 |
| 27 | 6132 | 294.5 |
| 17 | 83376 | 4174. |
|  | -1904.4 | 245.5 |


| Units in |
| :---: |
| roughage |
| 3296 |
| 3425 |
| 3758 |
| 3213 |
| 2797 |
| 3213 |
| 3365 |
| 3480 |
| 3382 |
| 3130 |
| 3075 |
| 2812 |
| 3450 |
| 3450 |
| 3240 |
| 3410 |
| 3361 |


| Units in |
| :---: |
| grain |
| 1933 |
| 1547 |
| 1606 |
| 1474 |
| 896 |
| 1153 |
| 1972 |
| 1093 |
| 1565 |
| 1774 |
| 1802 |
| 362 |
| 1310 |
| 1417 |
| 1168 |
| 1575 |
| 1493 |


| Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5229 | 104. | 5.3 |
| 4962 | 88.5 | 5. |
| 5364 | 114. | 5:4 |
| 4687 | 99.5 | 4.6 |
| 3693 | 95.6 | 4.7 |
| 4366 | 147.5 | 6.6 |
| 5337 | 91.5 | 4.4 |
| 4583 | 115.5 | 5.8 |
| 4847 | 119.5 | 5.35 |
| 4904 | 103. | 5.95 |
| 4877 | 103. | 5.1 |
| 3174 | 22.1 | 1.4 |
| 4760 | 115. | 5.25 |
| 4867 | 92. | 5.4 |
| 4408 | 88. | 5.2 |
| 4985 | 120.5 | 6. |
| 4854 | 126. | 6.05 |
| 79887 | 1749 | 87.6 |
| 4699 | 102.8 | 5.15 |

HERD No. 6.

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 5644 | 180.5 | 3785 | 1037 | 4822 | 117. | 3.8 |
| 4 | 3991 | 162.5 | 2777 | 1018 | 3795 | 105. | 4.3 |
| 5 | 4683 | 163.5 | 3350 | 1015 | 4365 | 107. | 3.7 |
| 6 | 4068 | 140.9 | 2800 | 1055 | 3855 | 121.5 | 3.6 |
| 7 | 4598 | 151.5 | 3350 | 1087 | 4437 | 103.5 | 4.4 |
| 8 | 5364 | 227.5 | 2876 | 1092 | 3968 | 135. | 5.7 |
| 9 | 3996 | 129.5 | 2964 | 1072 | 4036 | 99. | 3.2 |
| 13 | 3614 | 110.7 | 3210 | 812 | 4022 | 90. | 2.7 |
| 16 | 4970 | 202.2 | 3220 | 1001 | 4221 | 118. | 4.8 |
| 17 | 4156 | 139.7 | 3225 | 930 | 4155 | 100. | 3.37 |
| 10 | 45084 | 1609. |  |  | 41676 | 1097. | 38.6 |
|  | 4508.4 | 160.9 |  |  | 4167 | 109.7 | 3.86 |

HERD No. 7

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fatlbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6760 | 255.4 | 3215 | 1777 | 4992 | 135.5 | 5.1 |
| 6 | 7980 | 292.7 | 3215 | 1975 | 5190 | 154. | 5.6 |
| 10 | 6834 | 235. | 3215 | 1810 | 5025 | 136. | 4.7 |
| 15 | 4388 | 196.6 | 3215 | 1436 | 4651 | 94.5 | 3. |
| 18 | 6842 | 219.9 | 3215 | 1987 | 5102 | 134. | 4.3 |
| 5 | 32804 | 1200. |  |  | 24960 | 655. | 22.7 |
|  | 6560.8 | 240. |  |  | 4992 | 131. | 4.54 |

HERD No. 8

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milklbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 6053 | 249. | 3113 | 1732 | 4845 | 125. | 5.15 |
| 6 | 3662 | 138. | 3143 | 1101 | 4243 | 86.8 | 3.26 |
| 8 | 5067 | 186.4 | 3016 | 1222 | 4258 | 120. | 4.4 |
| 10 | 5268 | 189.6 | 3195 | 965 | 4160 | 122. | 4.55 |
| 11 | 4533 | 242.3 | 2944 | 1232 | 4176 | 108. | 5.8 |
| 16 | 4769 | 205.1 | 3078 | 1739 | 4817 | 99. | 4.2 |
| 6 | 29352 | 1210. |  |  | 26479 | 661. | 27.5 |
|  | 4892 | 201.6 |  |  | 4413 | 110.1 | 4.58 |

HERD No. 9

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 7480 | 258.8 | 3082 | 2154 | 5236 | 143. | 4.9 |
| 4 | 7305 | 288.6 | 3082 | 2356 | 5438 | 134.5 | 5.3 |
| 5 | 4596 | 213.7 | 3082 | 1944 | 5026 | 91.5 | 4.25 |
| 3 | 19381 | 716. |  |  | 15700 | 369. | 14.5 |
|  | 6460.3 | 253.6 |  |  | 5233 | 123. | 4.83 |

HERD No. 10

| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 7299 | 231.4 |
| 22 | 8182 | 316.5 |
| 7 | 5007 | 204.4 |
| 19 | 7365 | 274. |
| 24 | 6508 | 228.3 |
| 20 | 4869 | 165.2 |
| 16 | 8410 | 291.1 |
| 15 | 8007 | 304.7 |
| 18 | 9036 | 311.6 |
| 23 | 7109 | 265.5 |
| 17 | 6888 | 246.4 |
| 42 | 5694 | 184.3 |
| 41 | 4764 | 175.7 |
| 13 | 4145 | 150.8 |
| 44 | 7225 | 210.8 |
| 12 | 6421 | 240.1 |
| 11 | 9009 | 318.1 |
| 43 | 7291 | 222.2 |
| 18 | 123229 | 4331. |
|  | 6846 | 240.6 |


| Units in <br> roughage | Units in <br> grain |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3073 | 3118 |
| 3007 | 3362 |
| 3127 | 2440 |
| 2932 | 3394 |
| 3160 | 2705 |
| 3122 | 2210 |
| 3197 | 3045 |
| 3224 | 3275 |
| 3269 | 3646 |
| 3230 | 3077 |
| 3230 | 2728 |
| 3145 | 2582 |
| 3230 | 2963 |
| 3230 | 2094 |
| 3140 | 3267 |
| 3115 | 2844 |
| 3110 | 3616 |
| 3230 | 2923 |


| Total <br> units | Milk lbs. <br> per 100 units | Fat lbs. <br> per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6191 | 118. | 3.7 |
| 6369 | 128. | 4.8 |
| 5567 | 90. | 3.66 |
| 6326 | 116.5 | 5.35 |
| 5865 | 111. | 3.9 |
| 5332 | 91.5 | 3.1 |
| 6242 | 135. | 4.7 |
| 6499 | 113. | 4.7 |
| 6715 | 134.5 | 4.6 |
| 6307 | 112.5 | 4.2 |
| 5958 | 115.5 | 4.15 |
| 5727 | 99.5 | 3.2 |
| 6193 | 77. | 2.8 |
| 5324 | 78. | 2.8 |
| 6407 | 113. | 3.3 |
| 5959 | 107.5 | 4. |
| 6526 | 134. | 4.7 |
| 6153 | 118. | 3.6 |
| 109860 | 2004. | 71.2 |
| 6103 | 111.3 | 3.96 |

HERD No. 11

| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds | Units in <br> roughage | Units in <br> grain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4716.6 | 228.3 | 2874 | 2280 |
| 3 | 5163 | 230. | 2862 | 1391 |
| 4 | 6353.5 | 325.8 | 2951 | 2088 |
| 6 | 5908 | 290.2 | 2787 | 3020 |
| 8 | 8133 | 419.6 | 2857 | 3227 |
| 9 | 7181 | 325.1 | 2900 | 2270 |
| 10 | 6010.5 | 361.6 | 2936 | 2225 |
| $\frac{11}{8}$ | $\frac{5120}{88587}$ | $\frac{237.1}{2418 .}$ | 2842 | 2168 |
|  | $\frac{6073.3}{}$ | $\frac{302.2}{}$ |  |  |


| Total <br> units | Milk lbs, <br> per 100 units | Fat lbs. <br> per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5154 | 91.5 | 4.45 |
| 4253 | 121. | 5.4 |
| 5039 | 126. | 6.5 |
| 5807 | 102. | 5. |
| 6084 | 134. | 6.9 |
| 5170 | 139. | 6.3 |
| 5161 | 116.5 | 7. |
| 5010 | 102. | 4.7 |
| 41678 | 932. | 46.3 |
| 5029 | 116.5 | 5.78 |

HERD No. 12

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Tota! units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 5517 | 244. | 3003 | 1497 | 4500 | 122. | 5.45 |
| 3 | 4948 | 222.7 | 2657 | 1709 | 4366 | 113. | 5.1 |
| 5 | 5315 | 240.2 | 3009 | 1528 | 4537 | 117. | 5.3 |
| 6 | 5541 | 237. | 2955 | 1853 | 4808 | 115. | 4.95 |
| 7 | 5296 | 227.8 | 2787 | 1741 | 4528 | 117. | 5. |
| 8 | 4425 | 193.4 | 2988 | 1568 | 4556 | 97. | 4.25 |
| 9 | 5125 | 224. | 2925 | 2054 | 4979 | 103. | 4.5 |
| 10 | 5357 | 203. | 2756 | 1613 | 4369 | 123. | 4.65 |
| 11 | 4868 | 228.3 | 2690 | 1654 | 4344 | 112. | 5.3 |
| 13 | 4480 | 207.5 | 2748 | 1613 | 4361 | 102.5 | 4.7 |
| 16 | 5698 | 215.2 | 3109 | 1979 | 5088 | 112. | 4.2 |
| 17 | 5507 | 192.7 | 2586 | 1892 | 4478 | 132. | 4.3 |
| 18 | 3727 | 179.3 | 2531 | 1524 | 4055 | 92. | 4.4 |
| 20 | 4302 | 188.2 | 2989 | 1395 | 4384 | 98.5 | 4.3 |
| 21 | 4503 | 211.8 | 2978 | 1512 | 4490 | 100.4 | 4.7 |
| 22 | 3966 | 201.3 | 2983 | 1482 | 4465 | 89. | 4.5 |
| 23 | 4581 | 192.5 | 2704 | 1613 | 4317 | 106. | 4.45 |
| 17 | 83156 | 3609. |  |  | 76625 | 1842. | 802. |
|  | 4891.5 | 212.3 |  |  | 4507 | 108.3 | 4.7 |

HERD No. 13

| No. of Cow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Milk } \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fat } \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 4999 | 218.8 | 3019 | 2296 | 5315 | 94. | 4.1 |
| 7 | 5592 | 174.7 | 2986 | 2380 | 5366 | 104. | 3.2 |
| 8 | 5200 | 198.9 | 2819 | 2446 | 5265 | 98.5 | 3.8 |
| 9 | 6441 | 252. | 2992 | 2702 | 5694 | 113. | 4.4 |
| 11 | 5769 | 244.6 | 3015 | 2326 | 5341 | 103. | 4.6 |
| 14 | 6834 | 294.8 | 3024 | 2666 | 5690 | 120. | 5.2 |
| 15 | 4741 | 208.9 | 2925 | 2197 | 5122 | 92.5 | 4.1 |
| 16 | 4522 | 206. | 3015 | 1992 | 5007 | 90.5 | 4.1 |
| 19 | 5167 | 177.8 | 2945 | 2131 | 5076 | 100. | 3.5 |
| 22 | 5636 | 230.9 | 2819 | 2331 | 5150 | 109. | 4.5 |
| 23 | 5205 | 193.3 | 3005 | 2197 | 5202 | 100. | 3.7 |
| 24 | 7233 | 251.5 | 3015 | 25.4 | 5569 | 130. | 4.5 |
| $\overline{12}$ | 67239 | 2616. |  |  | $\boxed{63797}$ | 1254. | 49.7 |
|  | 5603.2 | 218. |  |  |  | 104.5 | 4.14 |

HERD No. 14

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5991 | 245.7 | 3560 | 2313 | 5873 | 102. | 4.2 |
| 2 | 7707 | 344.9 | 3497 | 2991 | 6488 | 119. | 5.35 |
| 4 | 4949 | 260.1 | 3560 | 2076 | 5636 | 88. | 4.6 |
| 5 | 7065 | 329.7 | 3497 | 2442 | 5939 | 119. | 5.55 |
| 8 | 6402 | 265.3 | 3497 | 2472 | 5969 | 107. | 4.45 |
| 9 | 6987 | 265.6 | 3497 | 2752 | 6249 | 112. | 4.3 |
| 10 | 6028 | 300.4 | 3497 | 2437 | 5934 | 101.5 | 5.06 |
| 11 | 4297 | 208.6 | 3560 | 1571 | 5131 | 83.7 | 4.07 |
| 8 | 49426 | 2222. |  |  | 47219 | 832. | 37.8 |
|  | 6178.2 | 277.5 |  |  | 5902 | 104. | 4.72 |
| HERD No. 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| 1 | 7788 | 271.4 | 3241 | 3930 | 7171 | 108.5 | 3.8 |
| 2 | 6778 | 238.7 | 3316 | 2926 | 6242 | 109. | 3.8 |
| 3 | 7353 | 222. | 3339 | 2844 | 6183 | 119. | 3.6 |
| 4 | 7278 | 247.5 | 3086 | 2869 | 5955 | 122. | 4.15 |
| 7 | 11028 | 395.9 | 3281 | 3527 | 6808 | 162. | 5.8 |
| 10 | 5495 | 229.3 | 2881 | 1732 | 4613 | 119. | 5. |
| 11 | 7896 | 280 : | 2961 | 2672 | 5633 | 140. | 5. |
| 7 | 5.3616 | 1885. |  |  | 42605 | 880. | 31.2 |
|  | 7659.4 | 269.3 |  |  | 6086 | 125.7 | 4.45 |

HERD No. 16

| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4905 | 253.5 |
| 3 | 8277 | 361.7 |
| 4 | 3191 | 170.1 |
| 9 | 6059 | 316.2 |
| 10 | 4809 | 255.7 |
| 5 | -27241 | $\boxed{1357 .}$ |
|  | 5448.2 | 271.4 |

Units in
roughage
3302
3392
3377
3365
3330
-

HERD No. 17

| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 5312 | 203.2 |
| 34 | 6022 | 209.2 |
| 55 | 6633 | 277.1 |
| 41 | 4581 | 236.6 |
| 21 | 7647 | 267.4 |
| 30 | 3813 | 149.7 |
| $48-49$ | 7833 | 282.3 |
| 33 | 6312 | 251. |
| 27 E | 5489 | 192.9 |
| 56 | 5693 | 188.5 |
| 17 | 5626 | 210.3 |
| 7 | 7245 | 239. |
| 59 | 6927 | 270.2 |
| 58 | 6683 | 237.8 |
| 16 | 7307 | 220.8 |
| $10-3$ | 1861 | 122.2 |
| 25 | 5553 | 247.4 |
| 17 | $\underline{100537}$ | 3806. |
|  | $\underline{5914}$ | 223.8 |


| Units in <br> roughage | Units in <br> grain |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3400 | 2931 |
| 3393 | 2531 |
| 3344 | 2912 |
| 3344 | 1935 |
| 3318 | 2652 |
| 3344 | 2343 |
| 3422 | 4451 |
| 3234 | 2828 |
| 3457 | 2354 |
| 3384 | 2720 |
| 3703 | 2278 |
| 3372 | 4157 |
| 3177 | 3239 |
| 3374 | 2800 |
| 3474 | 2825 |
| 3433 | 2393 |
| 3762 | 2203 |


| Total <br> units | Milk lbs. <br> per 100 units | Fer 100 un |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6331 | 84. | 3.2 |
| 5924 | 102. | 3.5 |
| 6256 | 106. | 4.3 |
| 5279 | 87. | 4.5 |
| 5970 | 128. | 4.5 |
| 5677 | 67. | 2.6 |
| 7873 | 99.5 | 3.6 |
| 6062 | 104. | 4.15 |
| 5811 | 94.5 | 3.3 |
| 6104 | 93. | 3.1 |
| 5981 | 94. | 3.5 |
| 7529 | 96.4 | 3.2 |
| 6416 | 108. | 4.2 |
| 6174 | 108. | 3.85 |
| 6299 | 116.2 | 3.5 |
| 5826 | 32. | 2.1 |
| 5965 | 93. | 4.15 |
| 105487 | 1612. | 61.3 |
| 6205 | 94.8 | 3.6 |

## HERD No. 18

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4551 | 210. | 3343 | 1610 | 4953 | 92. | 4.2 |
| 2 | 2603 | 101.3 | 3086 | 849 | 3935 | 66. | 2.6 |
| 3 | 4356 | 202.8 | 3136 | 1542 | 4678 | 93.5 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 5320 | 233.7 | 3851 | 1772 | 5623 | 95. | 4.15 |
| 5 | 3442 | 198.3 | 3667 | 1314 | 4981 | 69. | 4. |
| 6 | 4695 | 183.8 | 3618 | 1492 | 5110 | 92. | 3.6 |
| 7 | 7014 | 223.6 | 3175 | 2342 | 5517 | 127. | 4.05 |
| 8 | 4215 | 167.5 | 3184 | 1575 | 4579 | 88.5 | 3.5 |
| 9 | 4908 | 219.2 | 3305 | 1823 | 5128 | 96. | 4.3 |
| 10 | 4538 | 241.3 | 3547 | 1757 | 5304 | 85.5 | 4.5 |
| 11 | 5073 | 200.5 | 3293 | 1591 | 4884 | 104. | 4.1 |
| 12 | 5230 | 270.2 | 3438 | 1892 | 5330 | 98. | 5.1 |
| 13 | 5432 | 261.1 | 3444 | 1924 | 5368 | 101. | 4.85 |
| 14 | 4168 | 212.5 | 3202 | 1594 | 4796 | 87. | 4.45 |
| 15 | 4429 | 218.1 | 3204 | 1726 | 4930 | 90. | 4.4 |
| 16 | 4455 | 231.9 | 3291 | 1863 | 5154 | 86.5 | 4.5 |
| 17 | 6057 | 269.3 | 3408 | 2139 | 5547 | 109. | 4.9 |
| 18 | 7140 | 341.9 | 3555 | 2263 | 5818 | 122.5 | 5.9 |
| 19 | 4902 | 2.32 .8 | 3355 | 1737 | 5092 | 96.5 | 4.6 |
| 20 | 4551 | 202.2 | 3355 | 1728 | 5083 | 90. | 4. |
| 21 | 4421 | 187.3 | 3392 | 1791 | 5183 | 85.5 | 3.6 |
| 21 | 101500 | 4609. |  |  | $\underline{107173}$ | 1975. | 89.6 |
|  | 4833.3 | 219.3 |  |  | 5103 | 94. | 4.2 |
| HERD No. 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pound | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milklbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| 28-19 | 5658 | 215.6 | 31.38 | 2090 | 5228 | 108. | 4.1 |
| 20 | 7280 | 295.7 | 3161 | 2281 | 5442 | 134. | 5.45 |
| 21 | 7369 | 306.6 | 3162 | 2307 | 5469 | 134.5 | 5.6 |
| 22 | 8331 | 387.1 | 3123 | 2286 | 5409 | 154. | 7.15 |
| 13 | 4972 | 197.7 | 3111 | 2169 | 5280 | 94.5 | 3.75 |
| 10 | 5539 | 251. | 3142 | 2122 | 5264 | 105. | 4.75 |
| 4 | 6937 | 271.7 | 3156 | 1951 | 5107 | 136. | 5.3 |
| 2 | 8039 | 369. | 3111 | 2429 | 5540 | 145. | 6.7 |
| 8 | 54125 | 2294. |  |  | 42739 | 1011. | 42.8 |
|  | 6765.6 | 286.7 |  |  | 5342 | 126.3 | 5.35 |

HERD No. 20

| No. of Cow | Milk pounds | Fat pounds | Units in roughage | Units in grain | Total units | Milk lbs. per 100 units | Fat lbs. per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 3540 | 136. | 3021 | 1790 | 4811 | 73.6 | 2.8 |
| 22 | 3085 | 165.7 | 3021 | 1677 | 4708 | 65.5 | 3.5 |
| 21 | 2565 | 130.2 | 2348 | 1129 | 3477 | 74. | 3.8 |
| 16 | 4666 | 258.9 | 3096 | 1832 | 4928 | 95. | 5.25 |
| 17 | 4056 | 157.4 | 3139 | 2027 | 5166 | 78.5 | 3.1 |
| 18 | 3836 | 153.5 | 3164 | 1658 | 4822 | 79.5 | 3.2 |
| 19 | 2484 | 200.5 | 2947 | 1642 | 4589 | 54.5 | 4.4 |
| 10 | 6543 | 293.6 | 3273 | 2381 | 5654 | 112. | 5.2 |
| 11 | 4165 | 176.5 | 2887 | 1787 | 4674 | 87. | 3.8 |
| 12 | 5049 | 247.7 | 3266 | 1929 | 5195 | 97.5 | 4.8 |
| 13 | 4002 | 172.1 | 2951 | 2140 | 5091 | 79. | 3.4 |
| 14 | 3438 | 152.7 | 2769 | 1661 | 4430 | 77.5 | 3.4 |
| 8 | 4200 | 184.6 | 3039 | 1987 | 5026 | 83.7 | 3.7 |
| 5 | 3725 | 188.7 | 3003 | 1820 | 4823 | 77.5 | 3.9 |
| 6 | 4981 | 197.7 | 3013 | 2107 | 5120 | 97.5 | 3.9 |
| 15 | 60235 | 2816. |  |  | 72514 | 1233. | 58.2 |
|  | 4015.6 | 187.7 |  |  | 4834 | 82.2 | 3.8 |


| No. of <br> Cow | Milk <br> pounds | Fat <br> pounds | Units in <br> roughage | Units in <br> grain | Total <br> units | Milk lbs. <br> per 100 units per 100 units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 179 | 6513 | 242.8 | 3332 | 1678 | 5010 | 130. |

Following are a few comparisons of different cows in the Association:
The herd range in milk was from 4015.6 lbs . in a herd of fifteen cows to 8845.6 lbs . in a herd of five cows. The herd range in butter fat was from 160.9 to 339.5 lbs . There were ten herds above the average in milk production and ten below. In butter fat production 8 herds were above the average and 13 below.

The range of individual cows was even greater than for herds. The lowest record in the Association was 702 lbs . milk and 46.8 lbs . fat. This cow, however, was not in a normal condition and the record is not an indication of her capacity. The next lowest record was 2565 lbs . milk and 130.6 butter fat. The highest record of the Association was $11,328 \mathrm{lbs}$. milk and 410.2 lbs . butter fat.

Attention is called to herd No. 1, cows Nos. 1 and 6, for an example of the variation in the ability of cows to make good use of feed. Cow No. 1 gave 7605 lbs . milk and 298.2 lbs. butter fat, and consumed 6335 units of feed, which was equivalent to 120 lbs . milk and 4.7 lbs . fat for each 100 units of feed consumed. Cow No. 6 gave 5903 lbs . milk and 269.3 lbs . fat and consumed 5994 units of feed, which was equivalent to 98 lbs . milk and 4.5 lbs . fat for each 100 units of feed consumed. Making allowance for extra feed and milk, cow No. 1 still produced 22 lbs . milk and .2 lbs . fat more than No. 6 for each 100 units of feed consumed.

In herd No. 5 we find that cow No. 3 gave 4382 lbs . milk and 248.2 lbs . fat on 4962 units of feed, this being 88.5 lbs . milk and 5 lbs . fat for each 100 units of feed. Cow No. 8 gave 6447 lbs . milk and 289.6 lbs . fat on 4366 units of feed, or 147.5 lbs . milk and 6.6 lbs . fat for each 100 units of feed. The low feed cost of No. 8 was due to the cow being dry during December, January, and February.

Herd No. 3 offers a good example of variation with cows getting similar rations.

| Cow No. | Milk | Fat | Units | Milk for 100 units Fat for 100 units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5427 | 272.4 | $469+$ | 116. | 5.82 |
| 2 | 5431 | 210. | $469+$ | 116. | 4.48 |
| 3 | 9223 | 287.8 | $469+$ | 197. | 6.15 |



HERD 4, COW 4


HERD 4, COW 8

In herd No. 4 we find that cow No. 4 gave $10,272 \mathrm{lbs}$. milk and 349.7 lbs . fat on 6494 units of feed, this being 158.2 lbs . milk and 5.4 lbs . fat for each 100 units of feed. Cow No. 8 in the same herd gave 8616 lbs . milk and $2,1.4 \mathrm{lbs}$. fat on 4926 units of feed. This was equivalent to 175 lbs . milk and 5.7 lbs . fat for each 100 units of feed, or 16.8 lbs . milk and . 2 lbs . fat more per 100 units of feed than did No. 4, which had a large yearly total.

Herd No. 15 contains two cows which gave practically the same amount of milk and fat, yet one gave a much larger profit than the other, due to difference in feed cost.

| Cow No. | Milk | Fat | Units | Milk for 100 units Fat for 100 units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7788 | 271.4 | 7171 | 108.5 | 3.8 |
| 11 | 7896 | 280 | 563. | 140. | 5. |

Further study of the records will show many other points of interest to the careful dairyman.


A PROMISING YOUNG BULL AT THE HEAD OF HERD No. 21

## Norfolk-Middlesex Dairy Improvement Records.

On the following pages will be found the records of the Norfolk-Middlesex Dairy Improvement Association. The figures are year totals for the different cows completing a full year's test and are taken from the report of the tester, Mr. R. F. Johnson, without verification. They represent a statement of the feed cost for the cows, but do not include charge for labor, taxes, interest, depreciation, etc., which properly come into a complete statement of the herd for the year. Apparent discrepancies in value of milk are due in many cases to cows freshening when price of milk was fluctuating.

In studying the data, close attention should be paid to the price of milk, as there is a wide variation in the amount received by the different men, and unless this is taken into account the relative value of cows or methods of handling cannot properly be judged.



| －Кен елeju －рәәд лоғ ұuәds $00^{\circ}$ โ\＄10І sumpəy |  |  | －рәән доң 7uәds $001 \%$ 10j sumpay | ざッニンに ベーズN－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －タा！L＂7б 7soう рәәд ${ }^{\wedge} \wedge \mathrm{V}$ |  <br>  |  | －भा！ 7soう рәән $\wedge$＾V | －xNur |
|  |  <br>  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOOU } \\ & \text { Enosion } \end{aligned}$ |
| ＇7soう prar lezol |  <br>  |  | ＇7SO）рәar［elol |  |
| －3seysinoy jo 7 \％oう |  <br>  |  | －2seysinoy jo 7soj |  |
| －u！̣en fo |  <br>  |  | －u！ed jo 7so） | Comet |
| －ววเ！上 นวә」 | 888888888888888 <br>  |  |  | Mņmm |
| ＊ssexj | $\stackrel{8}{g}$ OObminurninininooo |  | ＇แ๐ว บәวง | $\begin{aligned} & 8888 \\ & 8 .{ }_{2}^{2} 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| － $\mathrm{re}_{\mathrm{H}}$ |  <br>  |  | －288！！uoz |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {SeH }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ＊แ๐）иวəコ |  <br>  | 18 | －גррроя ¢ио | 888888 |
| ＇дәррон йоу |  －ロ | －1 | ＊SEH 7eo | 88888 |
| －кәрея иәәл |  m ल ल ごここここここここのコ | 込 | －sizsuein | 8888 |
| －Кен дәлоГ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |  | －кен мореәы |  |
| －Kulue\％ |  |  |  |  |
| －$\downarrow$ วャワ | ぶに びぶ |  | ＊steo punoip | mis |
|  |  |  | $\bullet$ •Su！${ }^{\text {cher }}$ |  |
| ＇şu！lpply | 二ロニ～が <br>  |  | －рәән рәх！¢ | 웅 |
| －Pars u0710 |  <br>  |  |  |  |
| ＇uexg |  <br>  |  | แวャワป | べOOU |
| ＇Ұ1！W эо әп！e」 |  |  | －タ1！̣⿺ jo ənie入 |  |
|  |  <br>  |  |  | ¢0．0．0． |
| ＇782 L ${ }^{\text {A }}$ ， V |  |  | ＇752L ${ }^{\text {A }}$ A V | のnimox |
| －solv zI＇YI！w＇sqT |  |  | ＇sold zl＇भItu＇sq7 |  |
| 28 V |  |  | －28Y |  |
| ＇pratg －On moj |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6} \\ & \dot{B} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{3} \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芯 } \\ & \ddot{3} \\ & \text { 亏 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 送 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Delta} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 空 } \\ & \text { 岂 } \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { y } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | "7soう рәәझ [ए10L |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5794 | 3.7 | ． 10 | 270.10 | 1.308 | 6.54 | 654 | 600 | 240 | 2700 | 675 | 2775 | 1200 | 2220 | 1995 | 1500 | 43.52 | 68.66 | 112.18 | 157．92 | ．042 | 1.97 |
| 6558 | 4.1 | ． 10 | 306.40 | 1120 | 560 | 560 |  | 240 | 2700 | 600 | 1775 | 600 | 2220 | 1995 | 1500 | 37.27 | 64.46 | 101.73 | 204.67 | ． 033 | 3.01 |
| 5568 | ＋． 4 | ． 10 | 260.50 | 1280 | 640 | 640 | 600 | 240 | 2700 | 675 | 2775 | 1200 | 2220 | 1.530 | 1500 | 42.27 | 67.73 | 110.00 | 150.50 | ． 042 | 2.37 |
| 5929 | 5.4 | ． 10 | 276.60 | 1320 | 660 | 660 | 700 | 240 | 2700 | 675 | 2775 | 1200 | 2220 | 1995 | 1500 | 40.52 | 71.60 | 112.18 | $164 .+3$ | （0） 41 | 2.46 |
| 6663 | 5.2 | ． 10 | 311.00 | 1.320 | 720 | 720 | 600 | 240 | 2700 | 675 | 2775 | 1200 | 2220 | 1995 | 1500 | 40，5 2 | 71.66 | 112.18 | 198.82 | ． 036 | 2.77 |
| 6199 | 5.4 | ． 10 | 289.20 | 1320 | 720 | 720 | 600 | 240 | 2700 | 675 | 2175 | 1200 | 2220 | 1995 | 1500 | 40.52 | 71.60 | 112.18 | 177.02 | ． 039 | 2.57 |
| 5008 | 4.8 | ． 10 | 275．40 | 1337 | 660 | 669 | 600 | 240 | 1544 | 675 | 2775 | 1200 | 2940 | 1095 | 857 | 40.39 | 63.70 | 104.29 | 171.11 | ． 038 | 2.64 |
| 7472 | 4.6 | ． 10 | ． 3.48 .70 | $1+40$ | 720 | 480 | 600 | 240 | 2700 | 675 | 2775 | 1200 | 2940 | 1995 | 1500 | 43.52 | 68.66 | 112.18 | 236.52 | ． 032 | 3.10 |
| 6161 |  |  | 292.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋1．0） | 68.52 | 109.61 | 182．62 | ． 0.38 | 2.61 |
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EXTREME INDIVIDUAL RECORDS.

|  | Highest <br> Record | Lowest <br> Record |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk yield | 12,495 | 3,048 |
| Value at 5 cent per quart | \$290.55 | \$70.85 |
| Cost of feed. | \$132.96 | \$94.86 |
| Balance over feed. | \$167.59 | \$24.01 |
| Cost of quart of milk. | \$ 0.023 | \$ 0.067 |
| Returns for $\$ 1.00$ spent for feed | \$ 2.19 | \$ 0.75 |



HERD No. 2

## Interesting facts brought out by the data:

In herd No. 1, cows 1 and 2, we note the wide variation that often exists between cows in the same herd.

| Cow No. | Lbs. milk | Value | Feed cost | Balance over <br> feed | Feed cost of <br> qt. milk | Ret. for <br> one dollar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5563 | $\$ 144.03$ | $\$ 96.81$ | $\$ 47.22$ | $\$ 0.037$ | $\$ 1.49$ |
| 2 | 9593 | $\$ 247.24$ | $\$ 106.23$ | $\$ 141.01$ | $\$ 0.024$ | $\$ 2.33$ |

The above statement cannot be taken as a true measure of the value of the two cows unless we know their age, health during the lactation period, etc. However, it does show that low producing cows are found even in herds with high average production and should be weeded out in order to bring the average well above the limit of profitable production.

In records of herd No. 3, cows 73 and 84 , we find material to contradict the statement
that "knowing how much milk a cow yields enables one to place a true value on her." As a matter of fact, the milk yield is of great value to the owner but is incomplete without a statement of cost of production. Cow 73 produced 5484 lbs . of milk costing $\$ 93.20$, leaving a balance of $\$ 60.34$; cow 84 produced 5442 lbs . of milk costing $\$ 98.08$, leaving a balance of $\$ 54.15$, or a difference of $\$ 6.19$ in favor of cow 73 . Deducting from this the value of 42 lbs . of extra milk at 6 c per quart, we find that we still have $\$ 5.02 \mathrm{left}$, which is $10 \%$ interest on $\$ 50$. In other words, cow 73 was really worth $\$ 50$ more than No. 84 , when considered in the light of an investment

In herd No. 7 we find that cow No. 16 produced 6069 lbs . milk, worth $\$ 140.37$, with a feed cost of $\$ 80.82$, leaving a balance of $\$ 59.55$. The feed cost of a quart of milk was $\$ 0.029$, and she returned $\$ 1.74$ for each dollar spent for feed.

Cow No. 15 in the same herd produced $11,672 \mathrm{lbs}$. milk, worth $\$ 271.44$, at a cost of $\$ 96.21$, leaving a balance of $\$ 175.23$. The feed cost of a quart of milk was $\$ 0.018$, and she returned $\$ 2.82$ for each dollar spent for feed.

Analysis of these figures shows that cow No. 15 received $19 \%$ more feed than No. 16, but produced $93 \%$ more milk and $194 \%$ more profit.

It can safely be said that there are few herds in the state that could not furnish similar data were records available, but, owing to lack of interest, scarcity of help, etc., records are kept in comparatively few herds, and we find that these herds are mainly responsible for the annual state production being at the very low figure of $\$ 59.60$.

Wherever records are kept, either privately or by a Dairy Improvement Agent, an interest is awakened in good dairying and a large number of unprofitable cows are thrown on the market to be purchased by the man who does not keep accounts with his cows.

Attention is called to herd No. 9, cows Nos. 16 and 21. No. 16 produced 8812 lbs . of milk costing $\$ 114.70$, while No. 21 produced 10.894 lbs . of milk at a cost of $\$ 94.75$. The ability of No. 21 to make better use of her feed resulted in her giving more milk at less cost and yielding a profit of $\$ 74.85$ greater than No. 16 . If this were capitalized at $10 \%$ it would give No. 21 a value of $\$ 748.50$ greater than No. 16.

On the other hand, had the product been sold on a butter fat basis, cow No. 16 would have produced 54.6 lbs . more butter fat than No. 21 (note test in second column of table), which at 40 c per pound would have been worth $\$ 21.84$. Subtracting from this the greater feed cost of No. 16 ( $\$ 19.95$ ) would still have given a balance of $\$ 1.89$ in tavor of No. 16. Therefore the market where product was sold would determine which of the two cows was the more profitable.

Facts like these should impress every dairyman with the necessity of keeping a complete record of his herd. If time does not permit, organize a Dairy Improvement Association among your neighbors and hire some one to do it for you. In many ways this is better, for it insures the work being done on time, and from the records you are able to learn how your neighbor is producing milk at a reasonable figure.

To illustrate this point, let us compare cow No. 8, herd No. 7, which received a wide range of home grown feeds and relatively small purchased grain ration, with cow No. 7, herd No. 10, where large amounts of low grade grains were fed. Cow 8 , herd 7 , produced 8657 lbs . of milk, which at 6 c per quart (price received in other herd) was worth $\$ 241.56$, and cost $\$ 94.40$, leaving a balance of $\$ 147.16$.

Cow 7 , herd No. 10, produced 8618 lbs . of milk worth $\$ 240.48$, costing $\$ 136.22$, leaving a balance of $\$ 104.26$. $\$ 147.16(\$ 104.26-\$ 1.08$ value of 39 lbs . extra milk) gives a balance of $\$ 41.80$ in favor of cow No. 8 , herd No. 7 . Without doubt the larger part of the difference was due to the character of the grain ration, as the cost of roughage was practically the same in each case.

Many instances could be cited where the tester has pointed out these facts to the advantage of the owner, but it is thought unnecessary to go further into detail to show the value of putting the dairy herd on a business basis.


