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## PREFACE.

In this edition of Demosthenes on the Crown I have attempted to supply students with what I deem most essential to a thorough understanding of this masterpiece of oratory. No mere commentary, however learned and lucid, can make a speech like this intelligible to those who have not a full and accurate knowledge of the events which are discussed, and of their relation to other events. No adequate treatment of historical points is possible in scattered notes, and references to a general history (even to Grote or Curtius) are not sufficient. The student of Demosthenes needs a connected narrative, in which he will find a detailed account of the events which especially concern him, with copious references to the authorities, without being distracted by other details in which he has no immediate interest. To meet this want, I have given a large space to an "Historical Sketch" of the period from the accession of Philip to the battle of Chaeronea, in which I have enlarged disproportionately on the events and questions discussed in the orations of Demosthenes and Aeschines on the Crown and on the Embassy, and have alluded slightly (or perhaps not at all) to many important matters which are not essential to the study of these speeches. This would be unpardonable in a history : but this sketch assumes a general knowledge of the history of the period which it covers, and makes no pretence to being such a history in itself. With this view, I have given what may seem undue prominence to the negotiations which led to the Peace of Philocrates; for a minute knowledge of these is absolutely necessary to a correct understanding of the brief
but cogent argument of Demosthenes in Cor. §§ 17-52, and to a fair judgment of the whole political course of both Demosthenes and Aeschines at this decisive crisis in the history of Athens. Much new light has been thrown upon the whole period which I have treated from inscriptions recently discovered by the French explorers at Delphi and from the Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarum. In preparing this sketch I have made constant use of Grote and of Schaefer's Demosthenes und Seine Zeit, as my references will show.

In revising the text I have in most cases followed the authority of the Codex $\Sigma$, especially when it is supported by its companion Li. See Essay vil. In preparing the commentary I have been constantly aided by the long line of editors, whose names are too familiar to need mention. I must, however, express my great obligation to Westermann and Blass, especially for references to parallel passages and to other illustrations. I have found it impossible to give credit for every remark and reference which may be borrowed from these or other recent editors: many of these are found in the notes of Dissen and the older editors, and many have long been in my own collection of notes. Nothing is harder to trace than old references, and most of those relating to Demosthenes on the Crown may now be assumed to be common property.

I take great pleasure in expressing (not for the first time) my deep indebtedness to Dr Henry Jackson of Trinity College, Cambridge. He has done me the inestimable service of reading and revising my proofs and giving me the benefit of his wide experience. There are few pages in this book which have not had the benefit of his criticism.

Notwithstanding the size of this volume, I have omitted the discussion of many interesting questions, especially some which belong to the whole subject of Attic oratory rather than to the study of a single oration. One of these relates to the rhythmical character of the language of Demosthenes, which could not be treated briefly or incidentally. I must refer those who are interested in this to Blass, Attische Beredsamkeit, III. I, pp. 105 -141, with the Anhang.

I have avoided many discussions of grammatical points in
the notes by references to my Syntax of the Greek Moods and Tenses (M. T.), and I have occasionally referred to my Greek Grammar (G.). The references to Grote IX.-XII. are made to the first edition ; those to earlier volumes to the second edition. Those to Schaefer's Demosthenes are to the second edition; and those to Boeckh's Staatshaushaltung der Athener to the third edition by Fränkel (1886).

I have made no attempt to be neutral on the question of the patriotism and the statesmanship of Demosthenes in his policy of uncompromising resistance to Philip. It seems to me that the time for such neutrality is past. I cannot conceive how any one who knows and respects the traditions of Athens, and all that she represents in the long contest of free institutions against tyranny, can read the final attack of Aeschines and the reply of Demosthenes without feeling that Demosthenes always stands forth as a true patriot and statesman, who has the best interests of his country at heart and upholds her noblest traditions, while Aeschines appears first as a trimmer and later as an intentional (if not a corrupt) ally of Philip in his contest with Athens. That the policy of resistance to Philip's aggressions failed at last is no discredit to the patriotism or the statesmanship of Demosthenes. Can any one, even at this day, read the pathetic and eloquent appeal of Demosthenes to posterity in Cor. $\$ 199-$ 208, and not feel that Athens would have been unworthy of her glorious past if she had submitted to Philip without a struggle for liberty, even if Chaeronea and all its consequences had been seen by her in advance? Her course was plain: that of Demosthenes was even plainer.

W. W. GOODWIN.
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 $\psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma \eta \tau a \iota$.
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Critical Notes. Title: $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \in v o u s$ ujxè $\rho$ tô $\Sigma$ repajou $\Sigma$; but at the end of


Text. §̊ 1. Line 2. ठıate入ิ om. V6. $\quad \tau \epsilon$ above line L .

Prooemium : 88 1-8. The solemn earnestness with which Demosthenes undertook this vindication of his whole political life is shown by the unusual and impressive prayer with which he begins, and still more by its repetition. He shows the same spirit in the appeal to the Gods in § 141, with which he introduces his account of the fatal events which led to Chaeronea, and in his peroration (\$324). His earnest appeal to the judges to grant him an impartial hearing, which struck Cicero by its humility (summissius a primo, Orat. 26) and Quintilian by its timidity (timido summissoque principio, xi. 3), was no mere rhetorical device or captatio benevolentiar, but chiefly an honest recognition of his position as an advocate, who was no party to the suit, and so in many respects at the mercy of the court. This prooemium was frequently quoted with laudation by the ancient rhetoricians. Dionysius dwells on the rhythm of the periods; and he thus divides the first clause, $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\pi} 0 \nu \mu \grave{v} . . . \pi d-$



 This is $-\ldots-1-1--1--1$ $--|-\sim-|--|--$; and he compares the last four feet with the verse

 all the Gods and Goddesses. Өefos is Goddess as well as God, $\theta$ ed being poetic; thus i $\theta \epsilon 6$ s is the common title of Athena. A slight extension of the solemn formula $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ kal $\pi$ dáaus becomes absurdly comic

 Thesm. 331-334. The scholiast on Ar. Eq. 765 thinks that Demosthenes was helped here by the mock invocation of Cleon in Eq. 763-768!
2. (Xuv Svarehô: dvel tof del txw, 'Atrıkûs. Schol. (See M.T. 879.) The
 occurred in Ctesiphon's decree: in the spurious document in § 118 we have is



 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \omega ̂ s ~ a ̉ \kappa o v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~(~ \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \tau \lambda \iota o \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̈ \eta ~$

 a’кроа́бабӨal. тои̂то $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu o ́ \nu о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho о к а т є \gamma \nu \omega-~$







e $\chi \omega \nu$ סıare $\lambda \epsilon i$. Aeschines (iII. 49) quotes
 $\pi \rho d \tau \tau \omega v$ : see the spurious indictment (below) $854^{9}$, and $857^{1,2}$. For efrota see S8 $110,321,322$.
3. ímápfal Mol, be granted mee (be made available to me). The fundamental idea of $\dot{u} \pi \dot{d} \dot{p} X \omega$ in this sense is best seen in rd indipxouta, the resources or the existing conditions, i.e. what is available, what one


4. dyiva: see note on dywil(\%) $83^{3}$ - - Ine $\theta^{\circ}$, secondly: simple ETeira (without $\delta \epsilon$ ) is the regular rhetorical for-
 235, 248; cf. 267). Thucydides generally
 ebxouac, ס\#入ovbrı (Schol.), referring to the whole sentence 8 \%ep...dкpodoactal. The relation of $8 \pi \in \rho$ to roûto here is clearly that of $8 \mathrm{Tc}\left(\mathbf{\delta}^{8}\right)$ to the following roûro; otherwise we might be inclined to take $\delta_{\pi} \in \rho$ here as $=i d$ quod, explained by
 upiov, concerns you especially (more than myself).
5. ciospelas: referring to the oath (§ 2). Greek ejotßeca reached a lowẹr level than our piety, including negative
abstinence from impiety, so that one who does not break his oath is so far evje $\begin{aligned} & \beta \eta \text { s. }\end{aligned}$ -rooto тараनтīनat iniv, may put this into your hearts: roûto refers back emphatically to the omitted antecedent of
 explained by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ rò $\begin{aligned} & \text { dut } i \delta<x o \nu \\ & \text { к. } . \lambda . \lambda . ~\end{aligned}$

 бetal, Hdt. viil. 79, and repl toû bytwa тротоу хрі̀ కі̀, Plat. Rep. $35^{2}$ D.
82. 1. Tdv ©pkov: the Heliastic oath, which each judge had sworn. The document in Xxiv. $149-151$ purporting to be this famous oath (hardly authentic) has

 the connection of the laws with the oath, see note on $\S^{65}$.
2. Suxaloss, just provisions, perhaps provisions of law. West. cites for the
 סckalwn ; but two lines above סixaua has clearly its ordinary force of just, applied to provisions of law.
3. áxpodoactar: this or dxpoâotar is far preferable to the emendation dxpod $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a l$. The infin. with to here denotes simply the provision for hearing both sides impartially. This infin. is









commonly a verbal noun without temporal force, and is generally present or aorist (M.T. 96). The perfect is sometimes needed to express completion (as $\pi$ токатеүעшкeval, below) and the future may emphasize futurity, as without the article. The infin. with $\tau \delta$ is occasionally found in or. obl., with its tense fully preserved, or with dy. (See Birklein, Substant. Infin., p. 94 ; and M.T. I09,
 not having decided against (kard) either party in advance: то $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ трокатаүниิva would be timeless, like to dxpodoaotat (above) and rò dxoঠoival and rò tâбal (below).
4. ovid (sc. $\mu$ bvop), nor only (cf. § $93^{1,9}$ ).
5. кal ти̂ тáfan...Xpijoactal, i.e. to adopt not only (kal) that order of argunnent but also (xal) that general plan of defence which etc.- is...tкaotos: for the rhetorical amplification see note on $84^{6}$. exaocos is made subject of the relative clause, as this precedes; we reverse the order, and translate it with $\chi \rho \eta \neq a \sigma \theta a \alpha .-$ dmodoyla refers strictly to the defence, which alone remained.
6. Tîv dyovisomivan lxaoros (not ekdecpos), acc. to Weil, is "tout homme qui plaide sa cause," a general expression. He remarks that drwil(\%) $\mu \mathrm{a}$ applies especially to the defendant, citing xix. 214 (end), xxi. 7, 90, xxiII. 100 , xxiv. 28, 131, [xxvi.] 20.

This is a dignified appeal against the offensive demand of Aeschines (III. 202), that the court should either refuse to hear Demosthenes or (at least) compel him to
follow his adversary's order of argument. Spengel (see Dindorf's note) calls this argument "sophistical," since granting freedom of arrangement is not fairly included in ro $\delta \mu o l w s$ d $\mu$ poìv dxpod $\sigma a \sigma \theta a t$. But both parties could not be heard impartially if one were compelled by the court itself to present his case in the most damaging order at his opponent's dictation.

3. dywrļopat, like dyẃv, used of contests of all kinds, here of a lawsuit. See the pun on the two meanings of dyuvioaroau $\pi$ epl $\theta$ androu in IV. 47.
4. SLapaprotv, to forfeit: cf. адтобтеpeíणac, § $5^{4}$, and the following words.$\mu \eta$ dneiv rivv Ypaфniv, not to gain his case:


 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho a \phi \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$ (or $\delta(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\nu})$ may also have a direct
 Isae. vil. 10: these expressions are used only of the plaintiff; a victorious defend-
 defeated defendant $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \nmid \nu(\delta L \kappa \eta \nu) \delta \phi \lambda \epsilon i v$.
 $\pi$ nocs, often quoted by the rhetoricians. What is plainly meant would sound unpleasant ( $\delta v \sigma \chi$ efets) and suggest disaster in the opening of his speech. Aquila Rom. (de fig. 5) translates: sed mihi qui-dem-nolo quicquam initio dicendi ominosius proloqui. See Quint. 1x. 2, 54, who quotes "quos ego-sed motos praestat componere fluctus," Aen. I. 135.

6. $\quad$ кx тepюovilas, at an adtuantage, lit.










8．Tûm（before кат．）om．O．dкoverv above line $\Sigma, L^{2}$ ，om．$L^{\mathbf{1}}$ ． $\Sigma$ ；$\delta^{\prime}$ aúrovs L ，vulg．；roîs $\delta^{\prime}$ émauv．dautovs O （corr．from $\delta^{\prime}$ aúrovs）．<br>

from an abundance，like a rich man who stakes little compared with his wealth． In Luke xxi．4，the rich cast into the treasury＂of their abundance＂or＂super－ fluity，＂èk rô̂ тepuocevoyros aúroîs．See Dem．xlv．67，where of ix repiovilas rovipol is equivalent to al нet＇eviroplas moynpol and opposed to of $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ tvoselas （ $\pi$ ovnpol）；Plat．Theaet． 154 D ，èк $\pi \in \rho \mathrm{p}$－
 another（with arguments）zoantonly or for mere pastime（see Campbell＇s note）；
 vous aùrī（è $\lambda \pi i \delta t)$ ，those who indulge hope when they have abundant resources，and
 катєкра́тクбє．Harpocration（under éк repıovolas）thus explains our passage：$\ell \gamma \dot{\omega}$



7．＇teqov 8＇（sc．èáтtuma）corresponds to $e^{2} \mu \dot{e} \nu$ in 2，and keeps up the construc－ tion of ro八入d èatroümal in 1 ．West．
 Xe，which is a natural disposition of the
 gests the subject of dxovécı and áx $\begin{gathered}\text { eco } \theta a r \text { ，}\end{gathered}$ which are in apposition to elrepon（M．T． 745）．

84．1．Loth пpods risoviv，makes for pleasure（ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v i \not \eta \dot{\delta} \dot{,}$ ，Schol．）：cf．Aeschyl．


2．Ls fros diteiv（M．T．777）modifies $\pi \bar{a} \sigma c r$ ．Aeschines（III．241）had warned the court against the self－glorification of Demosthenes．

6．каі тепо（ $\eta$ ка каі пето入（течран：а familiar form of rhetorical amplification （opposed to modern ideas of style），for which ordinary speech would use $\pi$ eпо入t－ tevmat alone．Other instances are $\beta \in \beta$ ot－




 סcaфf $\rho \in \sigma \theta a<\left(831^{4}\right)$ ．In these cases one verb is generic and the other specific； but sometimes two verbs of nearly or quite the same meaning are used together for a similar rhetorical effect，as $\pi$ pirrety
 －－

 Schol．The Scholia to Aesch．111．I censure＂metaphor in the prooemium，＂ calling таратақıy＇траүıкштєраи＇，but бтovot кal тapayyenia in Dem．xIX．I ＇тодетькс́тероу＇．Blass says of $\beta a \delta l 5 \omega$ ： ＂doch ist $\beta a d i \xi \omega$ nicht gleich $t \omega$ ，sondern bedeutet＇geradeswegs（frisch，ohne Be － denken）eingehen auf，＇＂and he refers to











 8. тоט̂to eixeề A2.



 Ai, V6, Y.

 263. See other examples in the Index Demosth. of Preuss.
8. If $\mu$ етриїтата: cf. the full form is
 dvayral\$, whatever the case itself may require of me (lit. compel me): with dray$\kappa 6500$ without an infin. cf. Quint. XI. I, 22, qui hoc se coegisset.
9. 8 Kxalos Exctv $^{2}$ the common personal construction (M. T. 762). The apodosis is future in sense, after the future

10. T0coitov dyôva, a suit of this kind, i.e. in which Ctesiphon is indicted and Demosthenes accused: cf. 88 12-16.

 after a comma, as $\Sigma$ and $L$ give it. But this position, though unusual, is not objectionable when words belonging to the
 cede the inserted clause. (M. T. 222.)



out commas). On the contrary, ri oiv ay tis eltrot, Dem. I. 19, and a few similar expressions, in which probably little or no pause was felt, are irregular. In 1. 14 we must read $\tau$ ts 2 el elto with $\Sigma$.
3. oufty thárrovos, quite as great.muvtuv droorepeiflat, to be deprived of anything: cf. $\pi$ aytaxou, anywhere, $881^{5}$.
6. $\sigma \quad \varphi \pi \varphi \rho$, (by so much) as: the implied тобоútч is felt as limiting $\mu$ àльттa (sc. $\lambda u \pi \eta \rho \partial>$ кal $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi 6 \nu$ ).一кal before rd ruxeiv expresses the parallelism (so to speak) between losing and gaining the privileges: see a кal $\delta \iota e \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$, \& $60^{4}$, and note. Such a kal can seldom be expressed in English, except by emphasis.
 $84^{6}$.
3. Suxalos belongs to dxoîjac, from which it is separated partly for emphasis, and partly to bring it directly before
 rountyou, as the laws referred to have no reference to drodoyia, but require the judges to bear both sides impartially $\left(\begin{array}{ll}(8) \\ \mathbf{2}^{2}\end{array}\right.$.








 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{a ̀ ~} \pi \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.



 A.. 2, V6; $\pi \alpha^{2} v \tau \omega v$ vulg.
4. © tubals \& $\mathrm{dpX}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{\Sigma}$, i.e. the original maker: $\delta$ yónov $\tau t \theta e l s$ is used like vouo$\theta \epsilon i \eta s$, for the lawuiver, whose title is perpetual. In $\delta$ vópoy vels the participial force appears with its designation of time. In xxili. 25 we have $\delta$ oels $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \mathrm{y}$, and in $27 \delta \tau \partial \nu \nu 6 \mu \nu v i \theta \epsilon \epsilon$, both referring to the same lawgiver and the same law (from different points of view).- $\delta$ пинortkds, a friend of the people or of popular government: see Ar. Nub. 1187, o E6入 $\omega \boldsymbol{y}$
 nes (ili. 168-170) gives five marks of a $\mathbf{8} \eta \mu \mathrm{oruk} \delta$, which Demosthenes ridicules in § 122. Aesch. opposes the $\delta \lambda \wedge$ rapxuós to the $\delta \eta \mu 0 \tau u k$ s.
 thought that these provisions for an impartial hearing should have not merely the ordinary sanction which all laws have by enactment ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi(u)$ ), but the further security which they gained by the judges swearing to uphold them. This double sanction was secured by enacting that these provisions should be a part of the Heliastic oath. We do not know whether they were also enacted in a distinct law, apart from the oath. $\gamma \rho d \phi \omega$, besides meaning to propose a law or decree, often refers to the enactinent as a whole, as here.
§7. 2. Tds altias kal тds 8raßo入ds, here used like dowopla re kal alria in $\times x 11$. 21, 22. There alria is thus defined, as opposed to Eлerxos: altia $\mu \dot{\ell}$ y yáp éotuv


 Commonly, airla refers to an accusation, whether true or false: cf. $\delta_{12^{6}}$ (elinep $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ dं $\lambda \theta \in \epsilon(\hat{s})$.
3. тоO протероя $\lambda$ (үav: in public suits (rpaфai) in the Heliastic courts, each side spoke once (though the time might be divided among several speakers), the plaintiff first; in private suits, and in the Areopagus, each side was allowed a second argument.
4. mapelotiv, to escape (gct by): ís tinl dpoutwr. Schol.
5. Tol $\lambda$ (yovros iortepor, the second (later) speaker, i.e. the defendant (roo фé́rovтos): see Ar. Vesp. 15, oi $\lambda \in \xi=y$

 tous...elndytas. (West.)
6. 8(kai', pleadings, the statement of his rights: cf. $\S 9^{7}$ (see West.).——por8tstah, shall receive kindly, take under his protection.
7. idea of тарабхढ̈v...dxpoartp.





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu ~ \in ́ \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \varphi, ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$

 $\kappa a ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau o \hat{v} \tau o v ̂ \pi \rho o \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \theta ̀ ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \lambda o \gamma o v ́ \mu \eta \nu$ •




 $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon t$ vulg. 5. $\mu 0 t \Sigma^{1}, L^{1}, A_{2} ; \mu 0 t \pi a \rho^{4} \dot{\Delta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ vulg. 6. $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o c \mathrm{~V} 6, \mathrm{O}$
 vulg.; om. $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}^{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$.
 tov $\Sigma^{1}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$; $\pi$ ри̂tov eixeìv $\Sigma$ (corr.), vulg.
§ 8. I. $\lambda$ forov Sisbral, to render an account, used often of the formal accounts which all officers of state rendered at the evovvar: see Aesch. III. 11, 12, and cf. § $62^{4}$ (below), $\lambda$ буov... $\lambda a \beta \in i ̂ v$.
6. 8 тt...dкdotч: see note on öтер... $86 \xi \eta 5,8 \mathrm{I}^{4}$.
7. тарабтїбal: sc. тov̀s $\theta$ eoús (subj.), as in $81^{5}$.-roûto ruaval, to give that judgment.

In $889-52$ the orator replies to charges which are foreign to the indict-
 introduction in 89 ; then (2) he speaks of his private life in $\S s 10,11$; then (3) of his public policy in $8 \mathbb{8} \mathbf{1 2 - 5 2}$.

Under (3) we have an introduction ( $8812-16$ ), and the defence of his policy concerning the Peace of Philocrates (\$8 17 -52). The last contains an introduction ( 817 ), the narration ( $8818-49$ ), and the conclusion ( 88 50-52).
89. 1. d...катךүóp ${ }^{8} \sigma$ ev, i.e. if he haul confined his accusation (in his speech) to the charges in his indictment ( $\gamma \rho a \phi \nmid$ ) : see the same distinction between kaTnropei and $\kappa \rho / \nu \in i$ in $\AA_{1} 15^{4}$.
2. троßоu入cúparos: the strict name of a bill which had passed only the Senate, though the less exact $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \sigma \mu a$ was often applied to it : see § $56^{1}$.-eioùs âv dredoyouj $\eta v$, I should at once proceed (lit. be now proceeding) to my defence, etc. Cf. $834^{4}$.
3. oùk $1 \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} r \boldsymbol{\omega}$, quite as much (as in
 belongs to both $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \lambda \omega \kappa \epsilon$ and катe $\psi \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau 0$. $\rightarrow d \pi \lambda_{\text {eiota }}$ : the antithesis to the comp. ovं eौà̇ro seems to show that the superl. is to be taken literally. The statements repudiated by Demosthenes about his private life and the Peace of Philocrates can well be said to outnumber all the others.













6. Tois $t \xi \omega \mathrm{Y}$.


 $\gamma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ AI (mg.). 2 (mg.), B, vulg.
6. adNorpuérspoy, less kindly (with .greater alienation).
7. Tầ...8ıkalov: like $\delta$ ixaca, § $7^{6}$. Two genitives with dxode are rare, though either alone is common.-intp: in the same sense as $\pi \in \rho l$, as often in the orators, who, however, often observe the common distinction. Cf. $8 I^{4}$ and $81 I^{2 \pm 5}$, and XxIII.


The reply in $8 \mathbf{8}$ 10, 11 to the charges against his private life and character amounts merely to a scornful refusal to discuss them, and an appeal to the judges to decide the case at once against him if they believe them.
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon$ (not with $\lambda \in \gamma \omega$ ), the omitted antec. of the cognate ofa being understood as limiting $\theta \in d \quad a \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \lambda(\gamma \omega$, as regards all the calumnies which he has abusively uttered about my private life. The whole sentence $\pi \in \rho l \mu \neq \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ is
 (West., Bl.)--גoLסopotpevos $\beta \in \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi$ ๆ$\mu \eta$ кe: for the relation of $\lambda o \delta \delta o \rho l a$ and $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a$ to кarך $\quad$ opla see $\S 123^{2}$. Cf. Cic. Cael. 3, 6: accusatio crimen de-
siderat, rem ut definiat, hominem ut notet, argumento probet, teste confirmet ; maledictio autem nihil habet propositi praeter contumeliam. $\beta \lambda a \phi \eta \mu l a$ is slander, a special form of $\lambda o t \delta o \rho i a$, abuse in general. Our word blasphemy (like many others) never goes beyond the special meaning which it derives from the ecclesiastical Greek: cf. angel, apostle, hypocrite, liturgy, etc.
3. тою0̂тov: sc. ठутa (M. T. 9ir). So $x$ elpora (1.7).
 $\phi \theta$ err $\delta \mu e v b \nu \quad \mu \varepsilon d \nu d \sigma \chi \eta \sigma \theta e$, i.e. stop my specch at once. - пर्dvтa тdi кotvd: i.e. they may settle the case without reference to his public acts.
6. $\beta e \lambda t c_{0} \mathrm{kal}$ dx $\beta e \lambda t \operatorname{co}^{2} v a v$, better and better born, a common expression: cf.
 (below). See Terent. Ph. i. 2, 65, bonam bonis prognatam.
7. $\mu \eta$ రevds tâv Metplove Xelpova, i.e. quite as good as any of our respectable cilizens : this moderate expression is made more effective by lıa... $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$ : see $\$ 126^{\circ}$.


入óyovs ảфévia $\mu \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \lambda o i \delta o \rho i ́ a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \tau \rho e ́ \psi \epsilon-~$





811．2．rovs（corr．fr．тou）$\Sigma$ ．3．$\tau p \notin \psi a \sigma \theta a u$ AI．5．$\delta \iota \in \beta a \lambda e s$ Y，V6．


 ßou入．тoútous dкoúely vulg．；dxoúely om．B，F，Y，Bl．toutoul $\Sigma$ ，L（ $\gamma \rho$ ），AI ，B， F，Ф，Y，V6；тои́тoss $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{F}$（mg．），vulg．；tautךбl L ．

250，where he speaks of being brought to trial＂daily＂after the battle of Chaeronea．
 an untranslateable mapovo $\mu a \sigma l a$ ，the sar－ castic effect of which，as pronounced by Demosthenes，can easily be imagined． wacontins，ill－natured，malicious，is in antithesis to eolnecs，good－natured（in the double sense of our simple）．The idea （imperfectly expressed）is ：malicious（ill－ natured）fellow though you are，you con－ ceived this perfectly simple（silly）notion． Demosthenes seldom uses this figure； but in XXI． 207 we have a play on the
乃ои́入et тoceiv，Eóßou入e．
 see note on $84^{6}$ ．These words are re－ peated in sense in $\pi \in \pi 0 \lambda เ \tau \epsilon \cup \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$（5），but the same figure immediately follows in $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \psi \in \dot{\varepsilon} \delta о \cup$ каl $\delta \iota \in \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon s$ ．

4．тєти́фшцаь：cf．тєтифи̂бөal，IX． 20. See Harpocr．：dvrl тои̂ è $\mu \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \beta \rho \delta_{\nu} \tau \eta \mu a \ell$ ，





 $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta$ ．$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \pi \dot{\rho} \rho \mathrm{K} \tau \eta \sigma$ ．If $\tau \cup \phi \dot{\omega} \omega$ is thus connected with Tuфûv or Tuфஸ́s，retú－ фwhal must mean $I$ am distracted or crased，like $\epsilon \mu \beta \rho \delta \quad r \eta t o s\left(8243^{7}\right)$ ．If it is
derived from $\tau \hat{v} \phi o s$, mist or smoke（see Lidd．\＆Sc．），тerúфwиar means $I$ am stupe－ fied，befogyred or wrapt in smoke．

6．тоцжrelas，ribuldry（procession－talk）． See Harpocr．：$\pi \circ \mu \pi \in l a s k a l \pi \circ \mu \pi \in v \in \epsilon t$



 d $\mu \mathbf{0} \xi \hat{\omega} \nu$ elбt $\pi о \mu \pi e i a l ~ т t \nu \in s ~ \sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a ~ \lambda o \ell-~$ סоро．．＂The Scholia have ：по $\mu \pi \in l a s$ ， $\lambda o t \delta o \rho i a s, ~ o ß p e \omega s^{\circ}$ èv rais тонтаís троб－ wreîd tives форо̂̂vtes dixtokwrtov tò̀s

 Suidas quoted in note；and $\pi о \mu \pi \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon เ \nu$ ， § $124^{2}$ ．The chorus of mystae in the Frogs（416－430）gives a vile specimen， which probably exaggerates the genuine то $\pi$ тia．－$\alpha v e \delta \eta v$ ，loosely，without check： cf．$\alpha v i n \mu c$ and dveots．The Scholia recog－ nize the false reading $\dot{\alpha}$ ail $\delta \eta \nu$（ $\delta i d$ т ${ }^{\prime} s$


7．dv．．．tourourl：if these（judges） shall wish to hear it．See Thuc．VI．46，
 examples in M．T．900．Whiston com－ pares Liv．XXI．50，quibusdam volentibus novas res fore．

8s 12－16．After thus dismissing the private charges as unworthy of a reply， he comes to the charges against his con－ duct with regard to the Peace of Philo－ crates in $34^{6}$ B．c．In this introduction





 13 ảझíaע $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \imath \nu, ~ o v ̉ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \gamma v ́ s . ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ́ \phi a \iota \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda-~$





 vulg．
he dwells on the outrage of bringing such grave charges against a statesman in a way which neither allows the accused a fair opportunity to defend himself，nor gives the state any adequate remedy against him if he is guilty，while it may entail grave consequences on an innocent person．

8 12．1．mepl \＆v lvcuv，about which in some cases：teviav qualifies $\dot{\Delta}$（West．）． Cf．iII．II，roves $\pi \in \rho l$ tû̀ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau$ ．trious， and Xxvir．23，кal $\delta \sigma a$ tvia；also Thuc．1． 6，èv roîs $\beta$ apßapoors toruv ots．
 is much more expressive than aúrh（with no stop），pointing vividly to the follow－ ing statement of the true purpose of Aeschines．It also gives tû̀ $\mu$ éroos катпүopiêv к．т．入．（5）its proper relation to $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \ell \\ & \theta \\ & \rho\end{aligned}$ passage with dodpeca $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta$ ．The thought is as follows：－The charges include some of the gravest known to the law， which provides the severest penalties for the offences；but this suit was never brought to punish anybody for these．I will tell you what its object is $(a \hat{l} \tau \eta)$ ：it is to give a personal enemy an oppor－ tunity to vent his spite and malice，while it gives the state no means of properly punishing my crimes if I am guilty． The first clause，$\tau \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{e} \boldsymbol{y} . . . \tau \mu \omega$ plas $(\mathrm{I}, 2)$ ， states the gravity of the actual charges， and is opposed to the following roû $\delta \varepsilon$ ．．．
$a 0 \tau \eta$ ．The latter introduces the double

 motive of Aeschines and the inadequacy of this suit to deal with the alleged crimes are declared．The last two clauses are confirmed，（a）by ou rdp．．．

 onnov．．．＇そpdyato（ $813^{10-12}$ ）shows that Aeschines，by his present action，virtually admits that the course just pointed out （ ${ }^{\phi} \phi^{\prime}$ ots．．．$\gamma \rho a \phi 6 \mu e v o y$ ）is the only consistent one．－dnripetar，malice（cf．§ $13^{2}$ ）：see
 $320^{6}$ ．
4．Ixet，involves，contains．－${ }^{\text {juove }}$ ：this （not $\ell \mu(\hat{v})$ is the only reading of $\Sigma$ ．
 （not essent），a simple supposition，with nothing implied as to its truth ：there is no need of reading oúk évîp in the apo－ dosis．－ovik lve，it is not possible，i．e．by this suit．oùk exet（ $\Sigma, L^{2}$ ）would be in strong antithesis to $\quad$ Xet（4）with the same subject，ذ тарїv diみஸ́v：West． translates this bielet sie nicht die Möglich－

 this sense ？

7．osf＇＇ryus（sc．áslav），nor anything like it．
§ 18．Here the orator gives the most striking proof of his adversary＇s malicious







purpose（è $\chi \theta \rho \circ \hat{v}$ é $\pi t \rho \epsilon \iota a \nu)$ ，viz．his bring－ ing a form of suit by which he hoped to deprive Demosth．of the power to defend himself（ $\lambda$ byov $\tau v \chi e i v$ ）．It must be re－ membered that Aesch．had not merely prosecuted Ctesiphon instead of Demosth．， but had also（200－202）besought the judges most earnestly to refuse Demosth． permission to speak as Ctesiphon＇s advo－ cate．
 omit $\delta e \hat{~ a f t e r ~} \dot{\alpha} \phi a<\rho \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$（see crit．note）， áфaıpeiodac and roûto roceîy with their adjuncts are subjects of oöre．．．t ${ }^{\circ}$ ov oöre
 of ov and $00 \delta \delta^{\prime}$ being thrice repeated in odte．As we naturally omit ov in transla－ tion（that we may translate oưre），we can give the emphatic ous $\delta^{\prime}(2)$ the force of still more（dazu，Bl．），and translate，for to try to take away my right to come before the people and be heard－still more to do this by zway of malice and spite－is neither right nor patriotic（see note on 4）nor juest．áфaupeîбөar is conative（cf． $8 \mathbf{3 0 7}$ ）． For dंфaupeío日at as subject（where we might expect $\tau \dot{d} \dot{\alpha} \phi u p e i ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，were it not for the following $\tau \delta$ т $\rho \circ \sigma e \lambda \theta \in i v)$ ，see

 rìv тiرcoplav dva入a $\beta$ ávei，and 11．87，
 ．．．TUX€iv here is the right of every accused citizen to be heard before the popular court，which is here called $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu 0$ ，as when


2．iv drचpolas тafet，by way of（vent－ ing）malice：cf．\＆ $63^{2}$ ，ty $\tau \hat{\eta} . . . \tau d \xi \varepsilon \iota$ ，and


 Eur．frag． 322 （N．），oủx हैбтเ้ oứт тeîरos
 $\dot{\text { ws }}$ juvit．－bpens lxov：stronger than SpObv．

4．Trodırucdy，properly belonging to the state（see $824^{\circ}$ ），here due to the state from a citizen：cf．X．74，oủx tows oube mo入ıтıкผิs．Such conduct，it is meant，is not fair to the state．In IX．48，то入ırıкผิs refers to the simple old－fashioned Spartan style of warfare．－ $\mathbf{d \phi}^{\mathbf{s}}$ ots．．．dipa：the


 кaûra），supposing them to have been so great．

6．＇траү甲́8at кal 8uftifa（see note on $84^{6}$ ），set forth in his tragic style（i．e． pompously），referring to the theatrical days of Aeschines，like viтокрiverau，§ $15^{4}$ ．
 the time of．

7．Xpฑ̂ण्णar（sc．סikalov $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\nu}$ ，supplied from sixaibv etotiv in 1．4），he ought to have employed．
 （10）express the manner of $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，and with it make the apodoses to the condi－ tions el．．．éwpa and el．．．тарадона（sc．
 to indict by eloarye入ia，as rpdфонal is （properly）to indict by ordinary $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ ． Notice the distinction between $\gamma$ páфоvia rapavoua，proposing illegal measures，and $\pi а р а \nu \delta \mu \omega v$ үрафб $\mu \in v o v$, indicting for illegal proposals．For the double meaning of the passive of $\gamma \rho d \phi \omega$ see note on $\S 56^{4}$ ．














 $\mu \mathrm{E} \mathbf{\Sigma}$ ； $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \delta \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \ell \mathrm{L}$ ，vulg．

 belongs to both clauses $K_{\tau \eta \sigma}$ ．$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ and è $\mu \overline{\text { è }} \boldsymbol{\delta}$＇к．т． ．：for it surely cannot be that he is prosecuting Clesiphon on my account， and yet would not have indicted me if etc．Without words like $\mu t y$ and $8 \in$ to mark the two antithetical clauses，which are negatived jointly，but not severally， this common rhetorical figure would be impossible．The Latin uses quidem and sed in such expressions for $\mu \epsilon \nu$ and $\delta \ell$ ， but with less effect ：see note on $\S 179^{2}$ ．

II．8i＇$\left\langle\mu \boldsymbol{\prime},\left\langle\mu \lambda \delta^{\prime}:\right.\right.$ emphatic repeti－ tion．

814．1．di Th．．．dapa：if he cever saze me etc．，a simple supposition，to which $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \delta \mu 0$ and $\varepsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \nu$ are a natural apodosis ： $\begin{aligned} & \xi \\ & \tilde{\eta} p, \text { he } m i g h t, \text { implies no un－}\end{aligned}$ real condition．Cf．＇$\phi^{\prime}$ ots ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \rho \mathrm{\rho a}$ ，§ $13^{4}$ ．— Sv．．．Sukalle kal Soeftie，i．e．which he slanderously related：cf．\＆ $13^{6}$ ．
 tautology here．He first mentions lazus and their prescribed penalties（ $\tau \mu \omega \omega \mathrm{p}(a)$ ）， which would be used in dy仑̂ves $\dot{\alpha}$（ $\mu \eta \tau 0$ ； then processes and（special）suits，in which heavy penalties could be inflicted by vote
 like $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ ，are especially penalties
which the judges assess（rımî̃t）．（See Meier and Schömann，Att．Proc．，pp． 208－211，956．）

5．dжпv（к＇ל中alvero is so nearly equivalent to el потє е́фаиүето（M．T．528）， that if he had ever been seen best translates it．It is often impossible to express an unreal condition in English by a relative sentence：here whenever he had bren seen would not be clear．

6．кехр $\eta \mu$ ivos тоis $\pi p \delta_{s} \mu$ ，to have dealt with me（managed his relations to $m e)$ ：den Streit gegen mich so gefihrt （BI．）．West．strangely renders roîs $\pi$ pobs $\mu e$ die auf mich anwendbaren Rechts－ mittel，referring to $\quad \delta \mu 0$ ，divwies，etc．（so Weil）．－※цо入oyeir＇äv，would have been consistent，the impf．referring to the various occasions of кexpquévos．If he had brought the proper suits（ayiones kal кp／fets）against me personally at the time of each offence，his style of accusation （кarmopia）before the court would have been consistent with his conduct ；where－
 rovl（ $\$ 15^{4}$ ），the latter being his present eprov．
 the Peace of Philocrates（of which he is
v̋ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ रрóvoıs aitias каi $\sigma \kappa \omega ́ \mu \mu a \tau a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda o ı \delta o \rho i ́ a s ~ \sigma v \mu-~$















 over єเт）L；тара入ıтєív A2，$\Phi$ ．

817． $1 . \quad$ Td $\mathrm{om} . \mathrm{L}^{1}$ ．
especially speaking）was ten years old when Aesch．first brought his suit（336 B．C．）．

4．$\dot{\text { virokplveral，he plays his part：cf．}}$ etrpaýfot in $813^{6}$ ．The word implies not only pomposity but dissimulation， though far less of this than our hypocrisy and hypocritc．（See note on $10^{1}$ ．）－кart－ ropai．．．kphrat：see note on $814^{6}$ ．

5．тоิ dyஸvos 8ㄱov тpotorarah，he puts foremost in（at the head of）his whole suit．

6．oubapoi，nowhere，i．e．never：cf． of in $8125^{1}$ with following evrav̂da．－－iut Taúrŋv，upon this ground（that of our enmity），keeping the figure of aंतचขrचкiss enol，－or with a view to this，i．e．to fight it out（West．，Weil，Bl．）：cf．ėviav̂日＇

 árcula，which Ctesiphon would incur as a public debtor if he were unable to pay his fine if convicted．The spurious indict－ ment in $\S \mathbf{5 5}$ sets this at fifty talents（see note on Hist．§8）．
§ 16．3．8okei，personal，sc．Tis（from

2）：we translate it seens that one might say，because we must use a finite verb to express dv $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{j} \in L v$（M．T．754）．

5．8（кaw गV，we ought（M．T． 416 ）： here of present time．—iv E\＆raoudv тонiolau，to settle up．＂t $\epsilon \xi \in \tau a \sigma \mu \delta s$ in der klass．Literatur nur hier ：sonst $\mathbf{d \xi}\}$－ raots．＂Bl．Bekk．Anecd．93，20，says of



6．irtpe 8т甲．．．！！Teiv，to seek what other man we can harm，dт $\hat{\rho} \rho \mathrm{\psi}$ standing emphatically before the indirect interrog． $\delta \tau \psi$ ：the direct question would be $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \psi$ $\tau$ lvi．．．$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma o \mu e \nu$ ；Weil，who makes $\delta \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ a common relative，with $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho \varphi$ assimilated， quotes Aen．1．573，urbem quam statuo vestra est．But we hardly expect this ＂inverted assimilation＂（G．1035）in the language of this speech．

For the argument of 8817 － 82 on the Peace of Philocrates，with its three divisions，see note before 89 ．

8 17．1．ठ $\quad$ مolas with тdyta，all alike．





 iva $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ v i \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi о \nu \tau а ~ к а \iota \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ к а \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta ̂ \tau \epsilon . ~$




3. kal (bef. kaA') om. V6.
 4. ठба $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{O}$.


 oûv éà V6.
 gard to truth. -elpquiva: or. obl. with t8oc av. Bl. puts a comma after 180 .
3. каА' \&v, singly: oappoîvtós évтıv
 тd трdүната. Schol.-tкабтov: obj. of



 $\chi$ wpis ťкабта бкотойәтея, xxili. 21.
4. $\mathbf{v \pi k \rho}$ (like $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mathrm{l}$ ) : see note on $89^{7}$.
5. avarukls d $^{2} \mathrm{ol}$, putting upon me. Originally Aeschines prided himself on his close connection with Philocrates in making the peace: see I. 174, خो力 elphimv
 (See Hist. 83 r.)
6. kal троनinkov tows, and becoming as zuell (as necessary): $t \sigma \omega\}$, $\delta \mu o t \omega s$ (Schol.).
7. dvaцvท̄ซar: sc. $\dot{v} \mu$ âs, which is added in most mss. Cf. $\mathbf{x x} .76$, rave ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

8. $\pi p d s . . . \kappa a u p d v$, with reference to its special occasion (that which belonged to it).
§ 18. I. Фankov̀ moरínov: the Sacred or Phocian War began in 356355 and ended in $3+6$ B.c. Demosthenes
made his first speech in the Assembly (on the Symmories) in 354 b.c. (See Hist. 888 4, 1 I.)
2. oütw 8ukcaote: when we compare this judicious account of the feelings of the Athenians towards the Phocians and Thebans in 346 b.c. and earlier with the impassioned language of the speech on the Embassy and of the Second and Third Philippics, we see the sobering effect of time and of recent events. When the Thebans were exulting in the devastation of Phocis by Philip, and the political interests of Athens demanded that the Phocians should be protected as allies, Demosthenes seemed to overlook their sacrilegious plundering of Delphi, which he now acknowledges. Again, the intimate alliance of Thebes and Athens in 339 B. C., and still more the destruction of Thebes by Alexander in 335, had changed the Athenians' bitter hatred to the deepest sympathy. Still the orator cannot deny the old hostility against Thebes, nor the chief ground for it.
 0000 v : see M. T. 592 and 211 . It is often hard to express in English the fundamental distinction between the infin.









 （ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ）， 0 ．
and the finite moods with $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ，and often impossible when the infin．has $d y$ and must therefore be translated by a finite verb．We should generally translate here，you were so disposed that you wished．．．and would have been pleased etc．，
 dv，whereas the thought is，you were（so） disposed（as）to wish．．．and to feel that gou would be pleased etc．，which is not the same（M．T．584）．See Gildersleeve in Amer．Jour．of Philol．vil．161－175．
 in its general sense，represents $\dot{\delta} \phi_{\eta \sigma} \theta \epsilon \bar{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ av el mádoter．The position of $\Phi$ wxtas $\mu غ \nu$ and $\Theta_{\eta}$ palots $\delta$＇shows their strong antithesis．

3，6．ots eviruxineoav，their successes：

 ©eforpocs：for the battle of Leuctra in 371 b．c．see Grote x．Ch．78．Bl．quotes Isoc．Phil． 53 on the effect of Leuctra upon the arrogance of Thebes．See $\mathbf{x x}$ ． 109，showing the bitter feeling of De－ mosth．himself in 355 b．c．：$\mu$ eî̧on $\Theta_{\eta}$－

乃oúdeotal．Cf．Diod．xvi．58，tad $\Lambda$ euk－


 on $8_{8}^{14}$ ．

7．Susorifer，was in dissension（dis－ tracted）．－ol $\mu$ roodvees：these were espe－ cially the Messenians and Arcadians，with
their new cities Messene and Megalopo－ lis，established by Epaminondas，and the
 кal Megनinviol кal Meरa入oтo八íral kal


 oxtrovat，к．т．入．；and Xen．Hellen．ini．
 （Aax．）è̉nevìs；oüx＇Apyeiol mèv del тоте

8．of $\pi p \delta$ тepov dpxovers are not the $^{2}$ dpmostal and dexapxial of Lysander（s $96^{2}$ ），but oligarchies which were main－ tained by Sparta in Peloponnesus before Leuctra and were overthrown by the later revolutions．For example，Phlius was captured by Agesilaus in 380 B．c．， and a council of One Hundred was esta－ blished there in the Spartan interest ：in 366 Phlius and Corinth made a treaty with Thebes which recognized their inde－ pendence．（See Xen．Hellen．v．3， 25 ； vil．4，10．）Mantinea was captured by Agesipolis in 385，and divided into five villages；in 371 the city was reestablished and was independent of Sparta（ibid．$v$ ． 2，1－7；vi．5，3－5）．For the revolt of Tegea from Sparta see ibid．vir．5，6－9．

10．axpitios lpus nal тарахฑ门，hopeless strife and confuision．dixpetos is not ad－ mitting of settlement（ $\kappa$ piots）．See Hellen．

 $\pi \rho b \sigma \theta e v$ d̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}^{\prime}$ E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \delta$. （BI．）

8 19．2．mposbrals：for the names
















 Tแ̂v Rocvî тẫc vulg．
of some of these see $8 \mathbf{4 8}$ ；a long black list is given in 8295 ：cf．xIX．259，
 к．т．入．

3．ouvtrpous，brought into collision （knocked together）：cf．नuvéxpouov， $163^{3}$ ， and $\xi v \gamma \kappa \rho o v e c v$, Thuc．I．44－－lv ois भndpravov duloc，in others＇blunders， cf．ofs eưtuxhneeav， $818^{5}$ ．iv ots here is often taken as＝év ots xporots，while； but cf．ev ots èrioredovie in 8 roos，iv ots elompenlo $\mu \mu \nu$ in $\& 250^{1}$ ，iv ois $\sigma \in \mu \nu v v^{-}$．

 xaplfortas in $1 \mathrm{x} .6_{3}$ ．

5．кard паivтwv dpdero，he was grow－ ing above all their heads，i．e．so as to
 reyonús，Aesch．III． 148 ．

6．$\beta$ apois，overbearing，offensive．－vov $8^{\prime}$ druxeís：after 333 b．c．See Schol．， and notes on $88_{8} 18^{3}$ and $35^{\circ}$ ．

7．dvayкaotךनбнаvos：in or．obl．with the personal фavepol ग्रुav（M．T．907）．－ катафко́yar $4 申$ ímás：no such possi－ bility is suggested by the language of

Demosthenes at the time of the peace； but times had changed．
820．1．S $\delta$（you $\delta$ div，full form of ठ入（you（M．T．779），qualifies exóvras
 $8151^{1}$ ．

2．भ ．．．＇B B $\lambda$ 亿rav：the actual subject appears in the alternative elte．．．elte．See

 $8 \mathrm{rc} \delta \phi$ тore．In Isocr．xv． 50 the original case is retained with elte．．．elte：repl $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$
 фiлогофlas，к．т．入．

3．кakiav，baseness，here in the sense of worthlessness．Bl．cites for this milder sense $\$ 8^{3}, 297^{3}$ ；and for that of posi－ tive wickedness（rompla）$\$ 3$ 93 ${ }^{6}, 2797$ ， $303^{8}$ ．But in $\$_{297}{ }^{2}$ кaкta is applied to the whole list of traitors，though rovipla is added as a stronger and more correct term．

4．Todarov rakpor：the so－called Amphipolitan War with Philip（357－ 346 в．c．），which ended with the Sacred War．See Hist．\＆ 3.













$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ а́уоуто $\mathbf{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho)$, $\Phi$.
кal (after ots) om. O. 8. Tî om. Ar, V6.
12. aľıa L, vulg.; aıтıa $\Sigma^{1}$; аıтьа $\Sigma^{2}$.
6. न世्यूaоtv, lives: cf. \& $66^{8}$.
9. ovyx@pךणeiora, conceded, acquiesced in: Athens showed no alacrity in making the peace, though she was deceived as to the main point.-sifpalinv, slarderously declared: see Aesch. 57 (end), 60.
18. Tôv vevi...cipplora (sc. ris): the firm foothold in Greece which Philip secured by the peace, especially his influence in the Amphictyonic Council, it is implied, made him at last the victor of Chaeronea.
8 21. 1. ช่พip Tîs dintelas, from regard for (in the interest of) truth.dxperpodoyofuat кal 8uefípxopat: see note on $84^{6}$.
2. тdे $\mu$ àшr', most clearly, with סoкol $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ : cf. $95^{\circ}$.
3. otitv...тpds ${ }^{2} \mu$, it is no concern of mine: cf. $8844^{7}, 60^{2}$. This may be an emphatic present apodosis, referring to the present condition implied in el..80solv, if it should appear that there is
(etval) any fasult; or it may be an emphatic future expression, as in Pind. Isth. IV. (V.) I4, пáy ' txecs, el $\sigma \in$ roútwy $\mu \hat{i} \rho$ ' eфiкotro кa入an, you have the whole, should a share of these glories fall to you: so Pyth. I. 8r.
4. 'Aprotd8inues: a tragic actor of good repute, one of the company in which Aeschines once served (XIX. 246). For his informal mission to Philip in 348347 B.C. see Grote XI. 517 , 518 , Schaefer 11. 192. See Hist. 8 19. Aeschines (11. 15 , 16) calls this mission a $\pi$ реб $\beta$ eia.
5. \& indajduavos, his successor (he who rook the business from him). -ypapas: sc. Thv elphyvv: the peace was named from this motion of Philocrates.
 you split: cf. the common imprecation סtappareins (Ar. Av. 2). Aeschines is now as eager to repudiate Philocrates as he was in 345 B.c. to claim him as an associate: see note on $8 \mathbf{1 7} 7^{\mathbf{5}}$.
















 above) $\Sigma$; кal L, A1, V6; ì vulg.

8. ठтTou 8rfiort lvaca, for whatever reason (it may have been): ঠŋ̆потe, like ofv, makes oftis indefinite. This is as strong language as Demosthenes wishes to use of Eubulus, the conservative statesman, universally respected, and perfectly honest, but a strong advocate of "peace at any price." For Eubulus see Grote xi. 386, 387 ; Schaefer 1. 186-188. Of Cephisophon's connection with the peace nothing further is known : he is probably the Paeanian mentioned in 875 , in xix. 293, and in Aesch. 11. 73. Droysen, Vömel, Westermann, and others think Krทol¢山̂v should be read here: cf. xix. 12, 18, 97,315 .
 $82^{6}$. Demosth. is fully justified in this strong denial.
 versative (M. T. 842).
 the perfects see M.T. 103, 109. The
 $\sigma \theta a c$ refers to the elaborate charge of Aeschines (58-64), that Demosthenes
pressed the negotiations for peace with indecent haste and thereby excluded other Greek states from the benefits of the treaty. The answer in $\S 23$ is perfectly satisfactory. (See Hist. \&\& 21, 32.)
5. ouve\&plov: a special meeting of delegates summoned by Athens from various Greek states, which never met; not the regular synod of the allies of Athens, which was in session when the peace was made (Aesch. 111. 69, 70).- ${ }^{(1)}$ dy...
 combined (B1.): for the regular position of $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ before $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i n c} \boldsymbol{v}$, see M. T. 224 . Cf. $\dot{\omega} \pi i \sigma^{\prime}$ eltw ; Ar. Nub. 1378.
 in $\xi_{s} 15^{6}$ and $21^{10}$.- $\pi$ apaly belongs to
 whole): the meaning is, were you ever present when you saw me, etc.?
7. Tpaftrv кal $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a x$ lav: the general before the particular. In \& $191^{8}$ the order is reversed.

8 28. 2. trempalkuv: even the best mss. of Demosth. give this form of the plupf., while those of Plato generally












  <br> 

have the older Attic form in - $\eta$ (for -ea), as d $\omega$ pd $k \eta$ in Rep. 336 D.
3. тठे $\mu \dot{\eta}$ бเүท̂ซau: West. says that this argument recurs in various forms $7 \pm$ times, citing $\$ 813,117,124,188 \mathrm{ff}$. 196, 222, 239, 243, 273.- $o l$ Nolmbv fiv, it remained for you, after el í $\pi \in \pi \rho d x \in \omega$, supposing that I had sold (a simple supposition). If $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ extexp. were made an unreal condition (on the ground of ob... roûro in 4,5 ), $\lambda_{0<\pi} \delta \nu \eta \nu$ would be classed with Eठet, 8lкatov $\dot{\eta} \nu$, etc. (M. T. 416), and imply you ought to have kept silence. But see note on $863^{1}$.- $\beta$ oâv might refer to the loud voice of Aesch., like $\pi \in \phi \omega-$ vaok $\eta \kappa$ ćs, $8308^{9}$; but Demosth. uses it also of himself $\left({ }^{8} \mathrm{I}^{5} 3^{5}\right)$, and it is probably no more than our cry out.
 Holmes calls this an "audacious assertion." It must be remembered that $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime \prime}$ dжeoraג $\mu t \nu \eta$ is not an ordinary plupf. like dréra入to (M. T. 45), which would have meant that no embassy had ever been sent: the compound form means that there was no embassy then out on its mission. The embassies were probably informal in most cases, and no definite report was
expected from them in case of failure. (See Hist. 8 32.) The next sentence tells the whole truth, $\pi d \lambda a t . . .\langle\xi \in \lambda \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu k-$ vol, i.e. all had long before this been thoroughly carvassed (and found wanting). Cf. 20 ${ }^{\text {b-7 }}$, ớre...̀ $\mu$ uiv. Even Aeschines (II. 79) took the same view fourteen years earlier: oú $\delta=\nu d s \delta^{\prime}$ d $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \quad$ ent-

 बтратеvбитну.

88 24. 2. lv ot $\psi$ tev́Seral: cf. $819^{3}$. The argument of $2-6$ is that the negotiations for peace show that Athens could not have been expecting such envoys at this time.
5. Fípußarov тра̄үца: Eurybatus was a proverbial scoundrel, said to have been an Ephesian who was hired by Croesus to raise an army and gave the money to Cyrus. See Harpocr. under Eúpúpatao ; Aesch. III. 137 ; and Paroem. Gr., Diogen. Iv. 76 , under $\epsilon \dot{\rho} \nu \beta a \tau e \dot{e} e \sigma \theta a l$, with note.-Tdicews lpyov, an act fit for a state.
6. oúk Iott...Iort: see the same repetition before the oath in § $208^{1}$.

## $\triangle H M O \Sigma O E N O Y \Sigma$





 סєíкитта．












#### Abstract

 12．фаіेetal V6．     

896．2．è8брато A2．

8．où $\delta \dot{e}$ vulg．；$\delta v \Sigma^{1}$（ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ above）． $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ om．B，$\Phi$, O，V6．3．т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{F}, \Phi, \mathbf{Y}$ ．


 been sending？

8．ข่สกิpXev ब゙ァactv，i．e．peace was open to them all：see note on $81^{2}$ ．

10．Tทิs \＆dpX讠̂s dprivns，i．e．the earlier stages of the peace．But rìv про－ repar elphypy in Aesch．111． 58 is the Peace of Philocrates，opposed to that of Demades（338 B．c．）．

8 28．I．dreisij：see note on $\$ 42^{5}$ ， －dvraîda，here（temporal）：cf．oú $\delta a \mu 0 \hat{\text { ，}}$ $815^{\circ}$ ．
 his т pooipeors（purpose or policy）？

5．Poudefav：Demosth．was one of the Senate of 500 in $347-346$ B．C．，and he
 the Assembly of the $\mathbf{2 5}$ th of Elaphebolion （Aesch．III．62，73－74）．See Hist．§38．
－dтon入aîv，with Eypaya，proposed．The bill was passed on the third of Munychi－ on（April 29）：see Aesch．II．92，and Hist． 8 39．No concurrent vote of the As－ sembly was needed here，civ $\beta$ oulh


6．lv ots av avved́vevial（M．T． $694^{1}$ ）： cf． $8826^{8}, 37^{8}, 29^{\circ}$ ；XIX．${ }^{154 .}$

7．Toves 8pkove drodappaivetv，to ad－ minister the oaths（i．e．to receive them）： סpous aंrodesbval is to take the oaths（i．e． to give them）．See $\$^{2} 26^{\circ}$ ，and XIX． 318.

8．ou＇Bd ypadparros，not even after $I$ had proposed the bill（its passage is implied）．

828．1．Th．．．मீơvare；what did this （5－8）sigmify？Cf．vili．57，XXI． 31. 3．Tòv Merast Xpóvov тivy 8 prewv，the intervening time（after making the peace）



















before he（Philip）should take the oath． opkwy refers to Philip＇s oath，not to the oaths of the two parties．See Shilleto＇s note on xix． 164 （p． 393 R．），тd $\dot{\omega} s \pi \lambda \in i-$


 carth（and heaven）；Ach．433，кeîrau
 Tث̂p＇Ivoîs，i．e．between these rags and those of Ino；Thuc．III．5I ds to metakv Tins whoov，into the passage between the island（and the mainland）．

6．\＆je入írare，you broke off（stopped）： the active，though somewhat less expres－ sive than the middle，conveys the whole idea，and has the best ms．authority．

7．roor＇，his own plan，to prolong the time when Athens must be quiet while he could act，referring to $3,4,-\mathrm{dx}$ ravids rot xporvov，i．e．from Philip＇s first sug－ gestions of peace（see $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{21} 1^{4}$ ）．

8．Soa mpodáßol，all that he might secure from the city：we might have $\delta \sigma^{\prime}$ do rpond $\beta \eta$ in the same sense（cf．§ $25^{\circ}$ ）．

9．ovifiva．．．入ioratv continues the or． obl．from ${ }^{2}$ हecu．Even an optative is sometimes thus continued，as in I．22， 8єoc סсохкeĩ（M．T．675）．
 cf．Eypaча dтотлеiv（\＄ $25^{5}$ ）．—тоото，i．e． the decree just mentioned．

5．Sudrupt，ridiculed（tore in pieces）， refers to Aesch．III．82，where he charges Demosth．with making trouble，after the peace was concluded，by mentioning all the insignificant places captured by Philip：

 кal Гavos кal Гavidóa，xwpla ふy oưbè
 mentions Doriscus seven times；Demosth． （vili．64，IX．15）mentions Doriscus and Serrion as captured by Philip in time of peace．Mupriokn（or Mupyiokn）is pro－ bably Muprnvos jocosely assimilated to ＇Epyionv．See Hist．§39．

6．จüтw，under these circumstances （hardly translatable），sums up the pre－









7. $\gamma$ ifrow $\theta^{\prime}$ (and $v$, end of line, later ?) $\Sigma$.
9. єи่торїas V6.

8 28. 3. $\dot{\Psi} \mu \eta \nu \pi \rho o \sigma d \gamma e c y ~ V 6 . ~$
7. truxalpovs, seasonable, here advantagrous for attacking the Athenian possessions, especially the Chersonese.
8. катабтal $\eta$ and $4 \pi \times x$ epol $\eta$ ( 10 ) continue the final clause with tya (4).rodlaiv xp cian gold mines. Dissen refers to Diod. xvi. 8, where it is said that Philip had a revenue of a thousand talents ( $£ 200,000$ ) from his mines at Crenides (Philippi).
10. Tofis $\lambda^{2}$ otrois (cf. \& $95^{10}$ ), what remained to be donc.
§ 28. 2. 入íya-aivayryóosce, re-cites-has it read (by the clerk). $\lambda$ tre, properly recite, repeat, is the term most commonly used for read in addressing the clerk. In 8305 we have $\lambda$ tre kal dyd. $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \theta_{l} \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, probably in the same sense as the same verbs here. We find iefe
 $\lambda e \gamma e, \phi \in \rho \in$ кal $\lambda t \gamma e$, and $86 s$ used in the same way.

 dors sent by Philip to negotiate the peace. Foreign embassies first presented themselves to the Senate, which by a decree provided for their introduction to the Assembly: see Aesch. 11. 58, rais סz

 Att. II. No. 51, II. 12-15: $\pi$ poogarareîr
 $T \eta v e \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a v$, of an embassy from the tyrant Dionysius (369-368 в.c.). Such a т $\rho о \beta$ об́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu a$ was proposed by Demosth.
in the Senate before the arrival of the ambassadors, appointing a special meeting of the Assembly to receive them on the eighth of Elaphebolion: afterwards the discussion of the peace was postponed to the eighteenth and nineteenth. (See Hermann, Staatsalt. $885^{5}$; Headlam, Election by Lot, 66-68.)-ro0T6 $\mu$ ve: $\mu \mathrm{Ov}$ is possessive. West. quotes av ov
 кaol $\mu \mathrm{ov}$, LVII. 30; and Bl. roh ${ }^{2}$ ' $\mathrm{O} \mu$ tpov etrauvoivtes, Plat. Rep. 383 A.
5. Nav...kelevoat; (sc. EXpivv) ought I not to have ordered the architect (of the theatre) to assign them seats (as I did)? otav, place to sec; cf. e日ewour (7): this would be the $\pi p o t \delta \rho / a$ (Aesch. 111. 76). The stone Dionysiac theatre was at this time building under the direction of Lycurgus; and the lessee was called d $\rho$ Xtrekt $\omega v$, as an important part of his duties was the superintendence of the work of building. This name still remained in use in much later times. See C. I. Att. II. No. 164 (probably about 325 B.C.), in which the dpxitektay is directed to provide seats for some public guests. A much later inscription, No. 335, in honour of certain oitûva, provides eival aúroîs xpoedplav $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$ пâot rois

 Other names of the lessee of the theatre
 Boeckh, Staatsh. d. Athener I. 278. See Dörpfeld and Reisch, Griech. Theater,


















 $\pi a \rho \neq \beta \eta$ ．）vulg．

36－40，where the building of the theatre is assigned to about $350-325$ B．c．It appears that a part of the stone seats were in place in 340．Aeschines（ 61,76 ） makes this official politeness of Demo－ sthenes one ground of his grotesque charge of flattering Philip！To this Demosth．
 к．r．ג．Aesch．，however，mentions only the introduction to the theatre．

6．Iv roiv $\delta$ votv ${ }^{\circ} \beta 0 \lambda 0 i v$, in the two－ obol seats，the three－penny seats of the ordinary citizens．The $\delta$ iwpella，which was then given from the theoric fund as festival money to every citizen who asked for it，paid the entrance fee to the theatre． It is implied that the distinguished strangers could have been admitted，like other people，to the common seats by merely paying their two obols．With $\mathrm{dv}^{2}$ roîp duoîv ḑo入oî̀ cf．èv roîs lxAúau，Ar．

Vesp． 789 （see Ran．1068），in the fish－ market，iv $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \nu \dot{\rho} \varphi \psi$, Eq． 1375.
 cosely assumed that Aesch．objected to the higher price which the state probably paid to the lessee for the front seats，or perhaps to the state paying at all for the seats of the ambassadors．
 and 226．－филdттur，тетракivau：the change of tense may perhaps be seen in a paraphrase；was it my duty to watch the petty interests of the state，after I had sold her highest interests like these men？ With $8 \lambda a$ ，whole，entire，cf．тิ̂̀ $\delta \lambda \omega \nu \tau 1$ ， \＆ $27^{7}$ ．

8．29．This decree is a good specimen of ignorant forgery．The Archon＇s name and the date are both wrong ；it is called a decree of the Senate and the People， when it was passed by the Senate alone；

 $15 \mathrm{Ko} \theta \omega \kappa i \delta{ }^{\delta} \eta \mathrm{s}$.]
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 s кaì $\tau a ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ a . ~$



 tкeî каталтр. $\Sigma^{2}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{A 1}, 2$.
 corr. from o) $\Sigma$.
 ex $\chi$ pầ vulg. ; om. Hermog.
it provides for the appointment of five envoys when there were ten, and these had been appointed long before; it provides for the oaths to be taken by Athens and her allies, when these had already been taken; and most of the five names of the envoys are wrong.
 where $\tau \dot{d} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \not{ }^{\prime} p o r \tau a$ is a pure substantive.
3. тpeis §Xovs $\mu$ ๆ̂ras: "sat still in Macedonia three whole months" is of course a rhetorical exaggeration, which is corrected by Demosth. himself. In xIX.
 odous (cf. 158), somewhat less incorrectly; but in 58-60 he gives the exact dates, by which we see that the embassy was absent from Athens only about ten weeks. See Hist. $\mathbb{\$}^{8} 40,43$.
4. тávтa катабтрпүі́цеvos: see $\$ 27$.
 $\epsilon \xi \hat{p}$, and $d \phi \hat{i} \chi \theta a$ is a proper perfect (M. T. 109) ; lit. it was in our power to have (aiready) arrived and to save the towns, i.e. we might have done both of these.
5. opolus, quite as well (as in ten days): the common reading $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ would mean rather.
 been there. For the various past tenses with ax, all of which are in 7-9, see
 is he would have failed to secure the peace (which he had already secured by our
 would not have had both (as he did have).
 v64, II. 1. 132. The position of miv shows that the seven words before $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha$ belong to both $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \mu$ and $\delta \omega \rho 0 \delta 6 \kappa \eta \mu a$.












 before $\mu$ eîjon L .

 3. toúrous toùs A1. 4. dirıev Bk. Anec. p. ${ }^{1294}$, Cob., Vöm., West., Lips., Bl. ;







 represent (in or. obl.) the past, the present, and the emphatic future indicated by $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$, viv, and del (M. T. 32, II9).
 (without rois) is, because of their disobedience, like $\mu$ erd $\Sigma$ vupaxoúбas olxı $\sigma \theta$ eloas, Thuc. vi. 3, and post urbem conditam. This is rare in Greek, where we should expect $\delta<\dot{d} \tau \delta \mu \eta े ~ \pi e \iota \sigma \theta \eta ̂ \nu a \iota ~(M . ~ T . ~ 829 b) . ~ . ~$ See § $42^{6}$, with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu . . \mu \mu \sigma \theta \omega \sigma d \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
4. iveitab... örws $^{\mu \eta}$ drtuev, he bribes them (to effect) that we shall not depart
 is more regular after ©́veîral than dri$\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, and has commended itself to nearly all recent editors, though it rests only on a grammarian's authority. It is difficult to decide between the two readings. We
might have had driourv, corresponding to тotifalito (5).
5. Wen...тоเท'rauro, after the historic present áveĩal. The clause with écos has a final force (M. T. 614), the idea being that he bribed them to wait long enough for him to get his army ready.
6. Iva $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} . .$. тоוๆ̄नat (Io): the purpose of $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v e i t c a l . ~}$

 refers to the famous expedition in 352 B.C., when Athens stopped Philip at Thermopylae. See IV. 17; XIX. 84, 319; Grote XI. 403-405; and Hist. 87.
 Thermopylae impassable.
9. dंтаүүе入入óvтcov : present to dкovorte, as $d \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda d^{2} \tau \omega \nu$ in 6 is past to $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta o c r e$.

入є́ $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \phi u ́ \gamma o \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau ' ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu, ~$





 $5 \pi a ́ \sigma a \iota s \delta^{\prime}$ aitiaıs каi $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a \iota s a ̊ \mu a$ тov́тov кє $\chi \rho \eta \mu$ évov



§̧ 88. 1. кal $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{p}$ druviq $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}$ (mg.), $\Phi$ (mg.), vulg.; om. A1, B, F,





 3. arwya ( $\gamma$ chg'd from t, late $\infty$ after $a \gamma$, and $\omega v a$ in next line) $\Sigma$. 4. éroov́ $\mu \eta \nu$





§85. 2. кal om. Lips.
 (4).- ¿yoviq, conflict (of mind): Vömel
 to this passage.
2. dl $\pi$ pod rov: the older editions with nearly all mss. omit el and read кal éxфúroc in 3, making $\psi \eta \phi l \sigma a c \sigma \theta \epsilon$ de-
 before he could have time to lay Phocis waste: cf. xix. 123.
 Ш̈नre requiring the indicative (M. T. 582, 583).-ovixetr кoเทีิ: Aeschines alone was indicted for $\pi$ арат $\rho \in \sigma \beta \in i a$. See $\S 41^{2}$.
6. $\delta c^{\prime}$ むv here and $\delta l^{\prime}$ obs in $\S 35^{2}$ approach each other very closely, both referring to the same thing: "beides
 see vi. 35 (end).
§ิ 84. 1, 2. d $\mathrm{\xi}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{\omega}, I$ ask of you (as
 $\S 6^{1}$, and note on $\$ 4^{6}$.
4. Ifo tis ypaфฑिs: he has already ( $\$ 9$ ) justified the discussion of the peace; and he repeats his apology now, as West. remarks, merely to call special attention to what follows. - $\boldsymbol{k m o w i n}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{d}$ refers to his present argument (cf. § $9^{2}$ ). - Erepov,

 account of this speech in XIX. 20-22. Aeschines said that the Thebans had set a price on his head for his anti-Theban advice to Philip. See Hist. §844.














§86. 2. T $6 \theta^{\prime}$ ímdpXovauv Ai.
3. Meтà toût' Y.
3. T $\hat{\varphi}$ таре $\lambda \eta \lambda v f(v a t:$ he begged the people not to be disturhed by news that Ph. had already parsed Thermopylae.
 20, 74 .

5, 6. ots $\mu \mathrm{i} v$, the Phocians; ots 88 , the Thebans.
6. Pjipara: e.g. the Thebans' title of allies of Philip (cf. $8213^{2}$ ).
 solemn expressions. He often jokes about
 133, 258, and xix. 23, кат $\ell \beta \eta{ }^{\mu} \mathrm{d}_{\lambda} a$ $\sigma \in \mu \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$.
 बуабтроф币.
9. dvaiynolas, want of feeling, explained by the Schol. as duacoonolas. There can be little doubt that this word, like dvaiöทro in $843^{1}$, refers to the dulness and lack of keen perception for which the Thebans were proverbial. See West. on Xx. 109, and his references: Nep. Epam. 5, 2, namque illi genti plus virium quam ingenii, and Alcib. 11, 3, omnes enim Boeotii magis firmitati corporis quam ingenii acumini inserviunt; Cic. de Fato Iv. 7 , Athenis tenue caelum, ex quo acutiores putantur Attici; crassum Thebis, itaque pingues Thebani et valentes; Hor. Epist. II. I, 244, Boeotum in crasso aere natum. This dulness, and the consequent illiteracy of Thebes
compared with Athens, gave rise to the proverb Boiwtlav iv, Pind. Ol. vi. 90 : see the Schol., to d $\rho \rho \chi a i o v$ öveioos, тout-

 of the Thebans were said to make them also unfeeling towards enemies, and this appears in the terms $\dot{\omega} \mu \delta \sigma \eta s$ and $\pi$ ovipla which Demosth. applied to them in
 Aj. 1333. Now he prefers the milder terms $\beta$ apúrns, overbearingness (see $819^{6}$ )
 says of a man lacking in $\phi$ bßos, el7 8' à

 and in III. II, 7 , of those insensible to pleasure, ei $\lambda \lambda$ elimovtes $8 \dot{e}$ тd $\pi e \rho l$ tds


 pidity and slowness, not moral obliquity,

 ectc, $\S 315^{2}$ ): a mild way of speaking of the enmity against Thebes in 346 в.c. See notes on $\S \S 18,19$.
3. oùk els paxpáv (sc. dosiv), not much later, not a long way off, i.e. from Scirophorion 16 to 27 : els of looking forward to an end, as in § $15 \mathrm{I}^{7}$, els muגalay. So Ar. Vesp. 454.



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ Ө \eta \beta a i ́ o v s ~ к a i ̀ ~ Ө \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~$
 $\tau a v ̂ \tau^{\prime}$ è $\chi \epsilon \iota, ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon ~ \mu o \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ K a \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \theta e ́ v o v s ~ \psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \mu a ~ к a i ̀ ~$
 $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu$ є̈ $\sigma \tau a \iota ~ \phi а \nu \epsilon \rho a ́ . ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon . ~$
['E $\pi i$ M $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \phi i \lambda o v a ̆ \rho \chi o \nu \tau o s, ~ \sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau o v ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a s ~ i ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-~$














[^3][^4] ... $\Phi \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ (rซष世: i.e. Athens by her vacillating course got nothing but the ill will of Philip's Greek friends, who believed that she would have protected the Phocians if she had dared to; while Philip had all the credit for ending the Sacred War and punishing the sacrilegious Phocians.




## EПİTOAH.










 áठıкойขтєs.]

 $\pi о i \not \eta \kappa a ~ \tau a \hat{v} \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ каì $\lambda v \pi о \nu \mu e ́ \nu \omega \nu$,


[^5][^6]with what Philip had done for the Thebans and Thessalians, to justify what is said of it in 8 40. Grote remarks that Demosth. would have spoken much more severely of a letter so insolent as this one. Still Westermann says: "es ist möglich dass es echt ist." It is safest to class it with the other documents as a forgery.
 $\lambda_{0 i} \mathrm{kal}$ סooplserau. The letter, though addressed to the Athenians, was really written for Philip's allies.-8ts before the direct quotation (M.T. 711).


 òtเov̂̀ $\pi \rho \circ o \rho a ̂ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \mu \eta \delta ' ~ a i \sigma \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ' ~$









 ข่тò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \nu \tau o s$.

 Oxyrh．

 ех $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ L，vulg．8．$\epsilon \xi \eta \tau \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \Sigma$ ．

7．\＃Xer＇inefvovs $\lambda a \beta$ aiv，he carried them（his allies）away（M．T．895）；the figure is continued in els $\tau 6$ with the infinitives．

10．of тa入almapot：Onßaiot is added in all mss．except $\Sigma$ ．Of course the destruction of Thebes by Alexander is chiefly meant，and this suggests the di－ gression in $\S 4 \mathrm{I}$ ；but the condition of Thessaly after the peace，which had been in Philip＇s power since 352 b．c．，may well be included．See ix． 26 ：Өetra入ia mês


 кal кат＇$\ell \theta \nu \eta$ סou入ej́vovv；See also vir． 32 ；XIX． 260 ．

841．1．\＆84．．．ouvepys，i．e．he who helped him thus to persuade his allies： with riorews cf．riбтeÜбete，\＆ $40^{5}$ ．

2．बжаyrehas тd \＄evfiे：see § 35 ． In xix．4，Demosth．puts iv detryeine，
his report，first among the things for which an ambassador should render an account．

3．$\delta 8$ vpómavos：see the solemn and eloquent invocation of Aesch．in III．I33，
 with 156,157 ．

7．кテท̂p ${ }^{\prime} X \omega v$ ：Aesch．is charged with holding a confiscated Theban estate （ $\kappa$ rinua，so $\Sigma$ alone）by the gift of Alex－ ander；as in xIX．It5 Philocrates and Aeschines are charged with having kTh － $\mu a \tau a$ кal yewprlat $\pi a \mu \pi \lambda \eta \theta \in i$ is in Phocis by gift of Philip．We have no inde－ pendent evidence on either of these charges．

8．«́ the eight or ten Attic orators who were demanded by Alexander after his destruc－ tion of Thebes in 335 b．c．；Aeschines was not．See Grote XII．59－62．


 र'́̌ovè aïra.















 Oxyrh. Perhaps $\tau \hat{\psi} \Phi_{i} \lambda i \pi \pi \psi$ here, omitting $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\partial}$ тov̀ $\Phi i \lambda$. in 5 , is correct.




888 42-40. After the digression in 8 4I, the orator here speaks of the disastrous consequences which have come from the peace and from the corruption by which it was made, and of the miserable fate of most of the traitors in Greece who aided Philip in his schemes.
842. 5. |reidy here has three pluperfects, while commonly it has the less precise aorist, as in $8_{8}{ }^{2} 5^{1}, 32^{1}$ (M.T. 59). So in Latin postquam venit is more common than postquam venerat. Both eretort and postquam contain the idea of after that, which the plpf. only emphasizes.
6. 8ud tobrav tîv........moneorávtav (i.e. at $\left.\ell^{2} \mu \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a y\right):$ contrast $\delta i d$ roúrous

§ 48. I. dualoontor: see note on § $35^{9}$.
 'A入ধ\}avopos, Xxill. 120 ; Eobßoca aüroîs тdera ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta} p}$, Thuc. vill. 95 ; Demetrius iis unus omnia est, Liv. xl. II. (See West.)
 is strongly frequentative, like tryoûvo (2), and $\Omega \lambda_{0} \tau_{t}$ is anything opposed to $\phi \lambda_{0} \nu$,

4. vфороipevol, viaving with suspicion (ìт $\dot{b}$ like sub in suspicio).
5. oú...trovîte: most mss. add $\mu$ byoo. This passage represents the state of mind in which Demosthenes delivered his speech on the Peace (v.) in 346 b.c. See Hist. § 50.









 Oxyrh.




 these words, since the authority of the Oxyrhynchus papyrus is now (Nov. 1899) added to that of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ for omitting them.-aưrol...тоденоบ่ themsetves in a certain way had been warred against for a long time: толeмоб$\mu e v o l$ (impf.) is past to in rov, which covers the whole time of the peace to 340 в.c. See íтолєноіито, \& $44^{6}$.
 Diodorus (xvi. 69) mentions a victorious inroad of Philip into Illyria in 344 B.c., and Porphyrius Tyr. (Muller, Hist. Gr. ini. p. 691) says of Philip, ojutos tous



 See Schaefer 11. 346.
2. 'Bגतrivav: see Grote XI. 612614, and Hist. 888 51, 58-61. - Suvdpacs, like our forces, but including money as well as troops: see $8233^{2}$ with Bl.'s note.
 He counts Aesch. as one of those who took advantage of the peace to visit Macedonia, implying that the process of corruption was still going on. In xix. 13 he says he first discovered the corrup.
tion of Aesch. on the return of the first embassy in the spring of 346 b.c.
6. Frepos $\lambda$ óyos outos, this is another matter: cf. dג入os à elm $\lambda$ bjos oíros, IX. 16; ád os an $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \lambda$ doyos, [xIII.] 7. In
 In Plat. Leg. 634 D, d $\lambda$ doros dv èrepos ell $\eta$, the construction is different.
§ 4.5. 1. Sимартир $\delta \mu \eta \nu$, protested (called Gods and men to witness): cf. oblestor. See $8199^{6}$ and vi. 29.
2. map' í $\mu$ iv probably refers to ora-
 whithersoever I was sent, referring to the various embassies mentioned in vi. 19, 1x. 72, in \& 244 (below), and probably to others. In $\S 244^{4}$ we have $\delta \pi o c \in \pi \in \mu \phi \theta \eta p$, referring to some of the same embassies as $\delta \pi \sigma \pi \pi \mu \phi \theta e i \eta y$ here. But there the negative form of the leading clause, ouja$\mu 0 \hat{0} . . \dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{j} \lambda \theta 0$ v, makes it particular, not general ; and its verb is aorist, not imperfect (as here); the relative clause is therefore particular and has the indicative regularly (M.T. 536). If he had said $I$ always came off superior in $\S 244^{4}$, we should have $\delta \pi=\frac{\pi}{} \pi \mu \phi \theta \in i \eta \nu$ there:
 $8{ }^{244}{ }^{\text { }}$. West. says of 8244 : " $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \eta \nu$, objectiv gefasst, dagegen 845 ठтoc $\pi \in \mu$ $\phi \theta \in i \eta \nu$." (?)
évó $\sigma o v \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ẻ $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta \omega \rho о-~$

















 $\sigma \theta a c \Sigma$（ $\eta$ over 1st $a c$ ），L（ $\eta$ over Ist $a c$ and $\epsilon$ ）；al $\sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l \mathrm{~A}_{2} ; \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a l$ vulg．，Oxyrh．
 vulg．；elкótws om．$\Sigma, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{O}^{1}$ ．

 tal＂（Kenyon）．

3．Uv6oovv ：Demosth．is especially fond of this figure of a diseased state： see 11．21；IX．12，39， 50 ；xix． 259 （West．）．$\rightarrow$ Tiv．．．．тpdтrctv（one substan－ tive）：cf．$\& I^{2}$ and note on $\$ t^{8}$ ．
4．Iml хрпщá⿱宀，for（with a view to）
 Vömel explains，＂corrumpi sub pecunia promissa，non data nisi post perpetratam proditionem．＂－i8touTûv：here opposed to т $ิ \downarrow . . . \pi \rho a r \tau \epsilon \downarrow$（3），private citisens；gene－ rally，any men who are not of a given class，as not senators，xix． 18 ；cf．latpòs кal lठıف́rचs，Thuc．II． 48.
6．Sedeafoptrov，caught，as by a bait
 plained by èкd $\sigma \tau \omega v$ olo $\mu$ ev $\omega v$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．
 themselves．

8．Tî̀＇̇ripav kıwEvivตv，others＇（not other）dangers．
8 46．3．тois $\mu \grave{\nu} \pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \theta_{0} \sigma เ v$ ，the
 in various states：cf．T $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu . . . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta e$ in $845^{2,4}$ ．
 the result has been that they have lost their liberty；the idea of the perfect in the next clause appears more naturally in $\pi \in \pi \rho a \kappa \delta \sigma \iota y$ than in alo日ecoac，to find out that they have sold themselves first（M．T． 904）．For the case of $\pi \in \pi \rho a \kappa \delta \sigma \iota v$ see $G$ ． $928^{1}$ ．

7．dxovovotv，audiunt，they hear them－ selves called：cf．Hor．Ep．1．16，17，si curas esse quod audis．
§ิ 47．3．\reu8dv．．．ฟivntah，after he has become master of what he has bought：
















 ＾apioб．L＇，vulg．
the rel．past time comes entirely from the force of Érecody，postquam（M．T．90）． For the assimilation of $\dot{\alpha y}$ av $\pi \rho i \eta r a u$ ， which really conditions кúpıos $\gamma$ tıŋrau， see M．T．563：in such a dependent general condition the indic．also is al－ lowed．

4．ov゙8ไv．．．тpo8ઠ́Tov，for（otherwise） nothing would be happier than a traitor． To omit $a_{\nu}$ here（with $\Sigma$ and a few other mss．）would be against all usage：in XXI． 120，ov́ $\gamma \dot{d} \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \beta_{\iota} \omega r \delta \nu$ ，cited by Vömel， there is a potential force in $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{L}} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta \boldsymbol{y}$ ， I could not have lived．

5．mblev；．．．8et：cf． $885^{21}, 140^{8}$ ，and rûs $\gamma$ d $\rho$ ；\＆ $312^{6}$ ．

7．Kal，also，with râv drodo
 twice repeated．West．refers to a similar dyaфорá of то入入á in $88 \mathrm{i}^{1-3}$ ，of oú $\chi \delta$ in $8250^{\circ, 10}$ ，and of ovic in $\S 322^{1-4}$ ．Ex－ pressions like this show the relative character of ${ }^{t} \omega s$ and other particles mean－ ing until．（M．T．6ir，612．）

4．Saofivis：Lasthenes and Euthy－ crates are often mentioned as traitors who betrayed Olynthus to Philip：see

VIII． 40 ； 1 X .66 ；XIX． 265,342 ；Diod． xvi．53．Cf．Plut．Mor．p． 178 B：т



 кal dypolxous elvat Maxeסbvas ral тip
 a spaile a spade．

5．Tцнف́las：Timolaus was a Theban， who was probably active in causing the surrender of Thebes to Philip after Chae－ ronea．Dinarchus（Dem．74）calls him a friend of Demosthenes ！Theopompus （Athen．X． 436 B）calls him the greatest voluptuary who was ever engaged in state affairs．See note on $8 \mathbf{2 9 5}$ ，with the quotation from Polybius．

6．Ninos：Simus（acc．to Harpocr．） belonged to the Thessalian house of the Aleuadae at Larissa，who called in Philip against the tyrants of Pherae in 352 B．C． with the usual result（Diod．Xvi． 14 and 35）．See Hist．8 6．－Daprofios（so $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}$ ）belongs only to $\mathrm{Ei} \mu \mathrm{os}$, who is called a Larissaean in［LIX．］108，and $\delta$ Өertalbs in 34．Aristotle（Pol．VIII．（v．）6，13），








 aủrov̀s $\pi a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \omega \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, кaì тav̂za $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v ̂ \mu a \iota ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ i к a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$.


9. Iépelidos Phot., Harp., Suid.; חepliaos $\Sigma$, L, vulg. (see Vöm. and 8 295 ${ }^{12}$ ).
§ 4. tuvi $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{A}_{2}$; toútuv vulg. tocs duviotauévots $\Sigma^{1}$ (each oc changed to ou),
 Bekk. An. p. 126, 33.
§ 50. 3. ои่тобl B.
after speaking of two factions calling in mercenaries, and an arbiter who sometimes gets the mastery of both, adds:
 $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu d \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \in \rho \lambda \Sigma^{\hat{\imath}} \mu \mathrm{o} \nu$. Eudicus is not otherwise known.

 $\pi \rho o \delta o \tau \hat{\omega} v$ follows $\gamma \in \gamma o v e$ in all mss. but $\Sigma$ : it is easily understood.
8. тヘ̂ora if olsou $u$ ivn is properly the whole habitable zoorld, i.e. the Greek world; as in Ev. Luc. ii. I it is the whole Roman world. But here it is merely a loose expression with no special limit. We should say, "all the world is full of these wretches."-Aplorparos, a tyrant of Sicyon : see the account of his portrait by Melanthus and Apelles, destroyed by order of Aratus, in Plut. Arat. 13.
9. Ileplàos, of Megara: see XIX. 295. Perillus and Aristratus are in the " black-list" of Cor. § 295. For Philip's intrigues in Megara see Grote XI. 613, 621. See Hist. § 52 (end).

8 40. 4. то IXetv...терเтоие, secures for you your opportunities for being bribed (the wherewithal to be bribed).
 survive to be venal.-6ud...aitrovs, if you were left to yourselves (M.T. 472). The orator surprises his audience by this original reason why the Athenian traitors have been saved from the fate of traitors in other states, i.e. the honest citizens thwart their schemes and thus save them from the ruin of success. This brilliant attack is followed up sharply in what follows.
\$8 80-52: the peroration to the argument on the Peace of Philocrates.
 the transactions concerning the peace. The suggestion in the first sentence that he will drop this subject makes this sudden recurrence to the charge of venality all the more effective.
3. altios, i.e. of my speaking $\pi \lambda e l \omega$









 $\nu о \mu a \iota, ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ кai roùs $\theta \in \rho \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ k a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ ̉ \lambda \lambda o ~ \tau \iota ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta o v ̂ ~$





 ovecifisur V 6.
§ 52. I. oúk ह̛otı repeated after taûta AI (see § $47^{5}$ ).
-dwhoxpartav, a mixture of stale dregs, lit. a mixture of the refuse (esp. heel-taps) of last night's feast (\$wha, hesterna). The


 So Didymus, quoted by Harpocr. See



 This burst of indignation refers especially to the audacious conduct of Aeschines (57) in charging Demosthenes with the same coöperation with Philocrates in making the peace which he had once claimed for himself as a merit (I. 174). See $817^{5}$ (above). Demosthenes calls this treatment "deluging me with the stale refuse of his own villainy." In xxi. 112 old offences are spoken of as
 крagla, see Plut. Mor. p. i4 8 A, éviots els





4. West. brackets кal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ d $\delta_{ı} \kappa \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ : see critical note.
5. veartpove: the youngest judges present might have been only fourteen
 clear myself of: there is no need of the emendation $d$ roioú $\sigma a \sigma \theta a c$ or $d \pi o r \lambda u ́-$
 $\pi \rho d s$ aúroùs tads diaßohás.
6. map $\eta$ vix $\lambda \eta{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{e}$ : addressed to the older judges (cf. evox $\overline{\text { cit }}, 84^{3}$ ).
851. I. \$rilav, fovlav, properly friendship and guest-friendship. here seem to be used with little thought of the distinction. Cf. $\xi \in \nu / a \nu$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \nu \delta \rho o v(3)$ and
 See Vömel's notes.
 Ag. 205, "spake, saying."
3. bverogegv: Aesch. had said (66), d
 d上ס $\rho 0$ v.
4. T60av...difuelturt; with dramatic
 cf. $8^{1} 188^{8}$.
6. Oeplords, reapers, properly extra farm-hands, called in at the harvest (BI.).









 aủ̇ク̀̀ $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$.




 (changed from - $\mathrm{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { d }}$ ).
 5. Sikacov O . 6. aürì̀ $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}^{1}$, vulg.; таúr $\eta^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2}$, AI.
852. 3. obrot wdyres probably included both court and audience.
5. $\mu$ rownds: most mss. ( $\Sigma$ only by correction) read $\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \tau o s$, following the absurd story of Ulpian (see Schol.), that Demosth. pronounced this word $\mu \mathrm{l} \sigma \mathrm{\theta} \mathrm{\omega}$ tos to make the judges correct his accent by shouting out the very word $\mu \sigma \theta \omega \tau 6 s$ which he wanted to hear. It is much more likely-indeed, it is certain-that he saw by the faces of his hearers that it was
safe for him to put this question boldly, and he was probably greeted by an overwhelming shout of $\mu \tau \sigma \theta \omega \tau \delta s, \mu \sigma \theta \omega \tau \delta s$, from both court and audience. The judges, more than four-fifths of whom voted in a few hours to acquit Ctesiphon and to condemn Aeschines to a fine and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mu i a$, were by this time ready to respond to such a sudden appeal, after listening to this most conclusive argument with its brilliant close.

8s 58-185. Having finished his reply to the charges foreign to the indictment, he now proceeds to the indictment itself. We have ( I ) an introduction ( $8853-59$ ), (2) a discussion of his public life ( $8860-109$ ), (3) a reply to the charge that the orator was ined $\theta u v o s$ when it was proposed to crown him ( $88 \times 10-119$ ), (4) a defence of the proposal to crown him in the theatre ( $8 \mathrm{~g} 130,121$ ), and (5) a conclusion (88 122-125).
\$s 58-50. Introduction, including
the reading of the indictment.
858. 4. Tîv тро乃еßovinvuivav (pass.), strictly accurate for the provisions of the т $\rho \circ$ ofoólevea of Ctesiphon, which had passed only the Senate. The corresponding phrase for the items of a $\psi \eta \phi \quad \sigma \mu a$
 уеүраицвгшу, § 564.
5. 8(xaios alval, that I deserve: personal use of 8ikacos (M. T. 762).

888 84, 65 . This spurious document once passed for the "single undoubtedly




 $10^{\circ}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s$ ä́tavtas кai тò̀ $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu, \kappa a i ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a y a \theta i ́ a s, ~$














genuine Athenian indictment." Chaerondas was archon in $33^{8-337}$ B.C.; but the indictment was brought in the spring of 336. The ypaф力 парауониע came before the $\theta \in \sigma \mu \sigma \theta \in \tau a l$, not before the Chief Archon.

The expression $\tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta o i s$ кaıvoîs, $\S 54^{7}$, on the day of the new tragedians, i.e. when new tragedies were performed, is confirmed by tois $\tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta o i ̂ s$, Aesch. ili.
 $\tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta o i ̂ s ~ t y ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\theta$ edt $\tau \varphi$, 36. In § $55^{6}$ $\tau \rho a \gamma \psi \delta \hat{\omega} \hat{v} \tau \hat{v} \kappa a ı \nu \hat{\eta}$ is doubtful and perhaps corrupt : there is another reading,
 with $\tau \hat{\eta}$ кauv $\hat{\eta}$ we might perhaps understand elv $\delta \delta \psi$ with Wolf, or arwrif with others. Boeckh, Corp. Ins. Gr. 1I. p. 459, gives a decree of Calymna with $\kappa v \kappa \lambda(\omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ (sc. $\pi a \rho b \delta \psi$ or $\epsilon l \sigma \delta \delta \psi)$. In C. I. Att. II. No. 33 I is $\tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta \hat{\omega} \hat{y} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\psi}$


See note on the spurious $\pi \rho о \beta$ ovinevua of Ctesiphon in $\delta 118$.
 the decree quoted in the indictment are all that are accused of illegality.
 this is a sarcastic allusion to the demand of Aesch. (202) that the court compel Demosth., if he is allowed to speak at all, to follow his opponent's order of


 happens that Aesch. has stated the charges in the indictment in the order in which Demosth. wishes to reply to them, just the order which Aesch. is anxious to prevent him from following: in his speech he has followed an entirely different order. See Essay 1. 84.






 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta_{\kappa} о \nu \tau \alpha$ єїтє каì $\psi \in v \delta \hat{\eta} \cdot$ тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \nu \tau a ~ 58 ~$




   <br> 4．el $\mu \mathrm{d} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{i o s} \mathrm{Y}$ ．tồ om．V6．

 items of the indictment：cf．$\S 53^{4}$ ．réroa $\mu$－ $\mu a c$ and ${ }^{2} \gamma \rho d \phi \eta y$ may be used as passives of both rpdфw，propose（a bill），and ypa－
 xxill．101，$\dot{\Psi}$ रोүраттal，ibid．18；тd ypaфèvia，the proposed measures，Cor． § $86^{4}$ ；oúde rpaptviva，not cven indicted， $8222^{7}$ ．But reqpaunal is generally middle（seldom passive）of $\gamma \rho$ d $_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ，in－ dict；as below，§̊ $59^{4}$ ，yerpa $\mu \mu t v o s ~ \tau a \hat{v} \tau a:$

 each point in the order of the indictment， he will ensure completeness in his de－ fence．The same sarcasm is kept up．
§ 37．I．Tô Ypáqat．．．．kal \＄waweiv（sc．

 quoted from the decree：cf．$\$ 89^{3}, 86^{2}$ ， 886．Aesch．（III．49）professes to quote

 references in Aesch．iot， 237.

3．trauriv：see $8113^{3}$ and note．
 are predicates to raûra．

lelism with $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ ：cf．elvє $\kappa$ al $\mu \eta, \S 58^{5}$ ． See note on каi before $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \theta \eta \$ 60^{4}$ ．
§ 88. I．To．．．keleorat（3），the bidding $m e$（in his decree）to be crowned．．．and the crown to be proclaimed in the theatre （ote申avoûv and averreiv in the usual active form）：this clause is repeated in
 ypaiqavтa．．．8థ甲：Aesch．makes it a special act of shamelessness in Ctesiphon （see II，12）to omit this saving clause． It was frequently added in such decrees： see C．I．Att．II．Nos． 114 （343 b．c．），

 This proviso，according to Aesch．（12）， did not make the decree legal，though it showed a sense of shame in the mover．
 $\operatorname{kal} \mu \mathrm{V}(5)$ ，lit．I think this too is concerned with my public acts（namely with the question）whether I deserve the crown etc． or not．The loose relation of $\epsilon^{\prime}(\tau)$ a $\xi 6 \sigma_{s}$ eim к．т．ג．to тоîs пeтo入ırevuevors，which it explains，is permissible after the full form in $\S 57^{\mathbf{3 - 6}}$ ；without this it would be obscure．

## $\triangle H M O \Sigma O E N O Y \Sigma$






 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \cdot ~ o ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ к \omega \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon t \nu ~$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ 入óyovs oiкєiovs кaì ả̀aүкаíovs $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$.




 8．тоєєֹ̃oध $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ．
 $\pi \epsilon \pi о \lambda . \kappa a l \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \ell \nu \omega \nu$ AI ，B，vulg．7．кal om．V6．

5．Iv rovitocs：i．e．before the people （in the theatre）．
6．Tovs vorovs：the arguments are given in $\$ 8110-121$ ．
 discussion of our forcign policy，i．e．our relations to other Greek states．Athens could not be said to have a＂policy＂ with barbarians，though her relations to them could be expressed by $\xi$ evich：see
 \＆ $311^{4}$ ．Demosthenes selected foreign affairs as his special department：see $862^{5}$ ．

3．то仑 $\psi \eta$ Пlo～actos，depending on $\tau \delta$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \iota y . . . \mu \epsilon$ ，i．e．the clause declaring etc．

4．үeүpa $\mu \mu$ ivos（middle）：see note on $\S 56^{4}$ ．
 ments of the government（open to choice）．
\＄8 00－109．In this general defence of his public policy，（ I ）he defends his fixed principle of opposition to Philip＇s aggres－
sions（ $8860-72$ ）；（2）he speaks of the events which immediately preceded the outbreak of war with Philip in 340 b．c． （ 8873 －101），avoiding all mention of the later Amphissian war and the other events which led to the battle of Chaero－ nea；（3）he defends his trierarchic law （ $\mathbf{B}_{5}^{102}$ 102－109）．
See Fox＇s elaborate analysis of this argument，Kranzrede，pp．86－108．
§ 60. I．тpò 900 mo入ıтеvectar：the public life of Demosth．properly began with his speech on the Symmories in 354 b．c．（see Hist．§ II）；but his re－ sponsibility for the foreign policy of Athens began after the peace of 346 ． Still，his fixed policy of opposing Philip， though unsuccessful at first，goes back at least to the First Philippic in 351；and he is here（ $8860-72$ ）defending generally his public life as a whole，seldom men－ tioning his special acts．He reserves these for a later part of his argument （ 8879 －94，and after $\AA^{1}$ 159）．






 ő $\sigma \eta \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i ́ s ~ \pi \omega ~ \pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \tau а \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu v i ̂ a \nu \cdot ~ o v ̂ s ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega-~$
 ＂Eג入ך





 Ar．2，B，vulg．$\quad 5$ ．$\dot{\omega}$ ä $\delta \rho$ es vulg．；$\dot{\omega}$ om．$\Sigma$ ．
 ठ $\Phi$ ІІıттos Ai，B，F，$\Phi, \mathrm{O}$（mg．）．


2．троinaße and кatioxe combined have the idea of securing by being before－ hand：see note on $\$^{6}$ ．
4．a kal סuekwiion：see note on $857^{6}$ ．kal expresses parallelism with $\pi \rho о \nabla \lambda a \beta e$ каl кate $\sigma \chi \epsilon$ ，and strengthens the antithesis between what Philip did before Dem．appeared and what he was prevented from doing afterwards．ai scexw－ $\lambda u \theta \eta$ represents an active form ai aúrdr ठ८єк $\omega \lambda \nu \sigma a$ ：no infinitive is understood．
 the following．Demosth．has no prefer－ ence for the forms in－$\delta e$（e．g．roobvoe）in referring to what is to follow．
 $8 \mathrm{I}^{8}$ ．

881．2．фopdv，a crop：see the list of this crop of traitors in $\S 295$ ．

5．кal трбтероу ．．．${ }^{\text {Xoveras }=\text { ot }}$ кal

vơoûvtą Ėy au̇rô̂s，IX．50，and kakஸ̂s סıeкelue日a，IX．28．See 88 45－49．Blass notices the coincidence in rhythm in kal


8．8u

 סes， $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{e}$ is．（Bl．）
9．kw入úav：in apposition with évods то仑ิ $\sigma \nu \mu \phi$ ¢́povtos．An appositive infinitive generally has the article in the fully developed language；but not necessarily， for the construction is even Homeric，as
 II．XII． 243 ．

 Vömel：quum adhuc ignorarent etc．－ бuviotapívov：cf．vi．35，ťws．．．бvviota－ тal $\tau \mathrm{d} \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$.








3. $\dot{\omega}$ äv $\delta$ pes vulg. $; \dot{\omega} \mathrm{om} . \Sigma, \mathrm{L} . \quad \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{1}$.

3. $\pi p o \sigma \hat{\eta} k o v$ गV: see note on $\S 63^{1}$.
4. трórtetv kal тoleiv: see $84^{6}$. When these words do not have their proper distinction of do and make, they sometimes have no apparent distinction: see $\S 24^{6410}$, and 1 V .5 , ov́ $\delta \dot{v}$ dv $\dot{\omega} v \nu v v i$

 Cf. $859^{7}$.
 peiv; should she...have helped Philip to gain his dominion over the Greeks, and (so) have set at naught the glorious and just deeds of our ancestors? Here, and in $\mu \eta$ тоє ing on é $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu)$, in $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ roceiv and
 тoceîv in $\S 69^{5}$, and фavฑ̂val exp $871^{10}$, we have (I think) simply the ordinary use of the infinitive depending on a past verb expressing duty or propriety, with none of the idiomatic force by which (for example) $\boldsymbol{\ell} \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ often means you ought to have gone (but did not go). These expressions are all repetitions or enlargements of $\tau i \pi \rho o \sigma$ $\hat{\eta} \kappa o \nu \quad \tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$ in $\S 62^{8}$, which obviously asks only what was it right for Athens to do? with no implied idea that she did or did not do the right thing. So in $\S \mathbf{6 3}^{\mathbf{1}}$ the question is simply was it right for her to help Philip etc.? See M.T. 417, and pp. 403, 404. In such cases the idiomatic use is often forced upon the expressions, and $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa a r a \kappa \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a l$ is
thought to mean ought she to have helped him to acquire etc. (which she did not do) ? But here $\mu$ ทे тoteîv in $\$ 63^{4}$ and фарîpal in § $7 \mathrm{I}^{10}$ refer to what actually happened. The consideration of these examples has convinced me that we are often wrong in assuming the idiomatic use where it does not exist. See notes on $\S \S 190^{\circ}, 239^{2}$. It is sometimes uncertain in which sense we are to take such expressions. But when (with the present infinitive) they refer to present time, as roúd $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ 分 EJet, these ought not to be alive, Soph. Phil. 418 , the use is always idiomatic.

The reiteration of the question, noticed above, was called eximoví. See Hermogenes (iII. pp. 266, 267 W.): raîs
 $\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\dot{\omega} \delta \dot{d} \rho \nmid \tau \omega \rho$ to $\tau \hat{\psi} \pi \in \rho \hat{l} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi d v o v$,







 vŋua кal riv d\&lav, her spirit and her dignity.
2. ${ }^{2}$....Tdfer implies $a$ descent to their level. The Thessalians helped Philip in the Amphissian war; the Dolopians are probably mentioned only to disparage the Thessalians further.
















 epakulas $\Sigma$; repuewpakulas L, vulg., Bk.; тepreopakvias Dind., Vöm., West., Lips., Weil, Bl. 7. $\quad 2 y$ ( $\nu$ by corr.) $\Sigma$.
885. 2. rdp om. A1. 3. civetcs $\Sigma, L, A_{2} ;$ ejoùs vulg. avtov $\Sigma$;
 (the common older reading) Y (mg.), O (mg.); other MSs. om. oik.

 have the future optative.
 these acts to go on; тepuס̄ề $\gamma$ रevoueva would be to allow thent to hatpen (M.T. 148 and $903^{6}$, with the discussion of
 in Thuc. II. 18, 20 ).
\$84. I. vov, now, when the fight for liberty is ended: roîs тeтpayuérors refers to the fight itself.-тdr mànor' tritчêvra, i.e. the severest critic.
3. roviotan, to join (not to belong to).
5. सepcoopaxulas: I have adopted this form on the almost unanimous authority of modern scholars, even against the MSS. See Blass-Kiihner, $8_{8} 198^{6}, 343$.
6. yryoburva : cf. note on $8 \mathbf{8 3}^{6}$.
7. 'Apká8as к.t.ג.: see Polyb. xviI. 14 (quoted in note on $8 \mathbf{8 9 5}$ ) for a defence of these neutrals.
 ronea. Philip treated Athens with great consideration after the battle, restoring her 2000 prisoners without ransom; but wreaked his vengeance on Thebes (as a former ally) and invaded Peloponnesus. (Grote xi. 699-705.)-4Xer' $\mathrm{dmudv}^{\text {: }}$ for this and similar expressions see M.T. 895.
5. Iv dv Tis...кainyopla, there might perhaps be some ground for blame and accusation etc.: the older editions have
 $\theta \in v r \omega v$, with an entirely different meaning. (See critical note.)
6. dॄlapaa ... गYquovlav ... Aecteplav: see xIX. 260, то仑̂тo тò тра̂үца (the corruption of leading men by Philip) Өerta-

 einevéplay rapaupeîral tis ydp dкрo-
 For Euboea see 8 il (below).
 غ̇ßov入єú $\sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ é $\mu$ oì $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta$ évтєs；











8．$\delta \sigma о \operatorname{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}^{1} ; \delta \sigma \omega \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B}^{2}, \mathrm{~F}(\gamma \rho$ ．）．<br>        г）$\Sigma$ ．

8．rodırelas，free governments．See Arist．Pol．vi．（Iv．）8，3，Eott rd $\rho$ in



 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v ~ d \rho i \sigma t o к p a t i a s ~ \delta i d ~ r o ̀ ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ d к o-~$


 кpatlas dö̀d入入aктov ékeîvov，and VI．2I，
 toùs $\tau$ vodevoous aûrai 入lav dulila．．Aris－ totle uses rodurela in a special sense （Pol．1II．7，3）for his third form of good government，opposed to $\delta \eta \mu o к p a r l a$, its




 turn to my question，i．e．after the digres－
sion in $\S_{5} 6$ ．
2．тробэiкe тоиiv：see note on $863^{1}$ ．
 ovickoviov，refers to the speaker，and
 dx．．．xpobvov：see $\$ 203^{3}$ ．
 beginning with which，counting from which（as a date）．
 $\delta \epsilon \iota v$ ，like d $\nu \eta \lambda \omega \pi v i ̃ a y$（8）；cf．four parti－ ciples after ${ }^{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\omega} \rho \omega \nu, \xi^{8} 7^{1}$ ．

8．Xpípaта каl б凶́pата，money and lives．With the lordly boast of this pas－ sage compare the allusion to Salamis in § 238.

9．\＄Uoruplas，her honour；properly love of honour，but oiten used like $\tau \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ： cf． $11.3,16$.
§ ©7．I．dapow continues the con－



 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \dot{\eta} \tau \cup ́ \chi \eta$ тоv̂ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi a \rho \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l, ~ \tau о \hat{\tau o ~ \pi \rho о і ̈ ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, ~} 5$







 L, Ai.


2. vimip...Svveotelas, contrasted with
 is properly a government of force, not based on the popular will; see $\$ 270^{4}$. Arist. Pol. vi. (iv.) 5, 2, speaking of the extreme oligarchy, otav dpxp $\mu \gg$ d

 $\pi \in \rho$ in rupavyls ty raîs povapxlats kal $\pi \in \rho l$
 8 \% $\mu$ onparlaus (unbridled unconstitutional

 uses ivpactelas in $8322^{7}$ of the power of Athens. It is generally, however, an odious term.
 his eye knocked out, passive of the active
 taining the accus. of the thing. The following kareayboa is passive in sense, and has the same construction. Cf. dтoт $\mu \eta \theta$ èves тd́s кeqa入ás, Xen. An. II. 6, i,
 For other examples see Thuc. I. $126^{4}$, $140^{27}$; Ar. Nub. 72 ; Plato, Men. 87 C; es-


 as is obscurely suggested by Krüger. Of Philip's wounds the Scholiast says, $8 \delta \eta$


 Methone, captured by Philip in 353 в.c., see Hist. 83 (end). For the Illyrians see Cor. 8 44, and for the Scythian campaign of Philip in 339, see Hist. \$89.
5. тpoḯpevov, i.e. always ready to sacrifice, followed by $\delta$ ть $\beta$ ßou入 $\eta \theta e l \eta$.
§ ©8. 2. тодрлбаи: : I have retained this form, with most recent editors, on the authority of $\Sigma$, though the form in -ele is far more common in Demosthenes and in other Attic prose. See BlassKühner II. p. 74; on the other side Rutherford's New Phrynichus, pp. 433438. Aristotle has the form -al quite as often as -ece.-dv $\Pi$ ( $\lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{\eta}$ трафivir: cf.

 Pella was a small place until Philip enlarged and adorned it. See Strab. vil.

 aúrô.
4. meyadoquxlav, lofty aspirations. Aristotle (Eth. Iv. 3, 3) says of the mera$\lambda 6 \psi$ uxos, the great-souled or high-minded


 phrase take it into his head.

















 4．kal（before＇̀ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$ ）om．A 1.
§70．1．тìv om．V6．2．$\delta \sigma^{\prime} ~ đ \lambda \lambda a ~ \Sigma, ~ L ', ~ A 2 ; ~ \delta \sigma a ~ đ \lambda \lambda a ~ r o c a u ̂ t a ~ v u l g . ~$


[^7]turing Amphipolis，Pydna，and Potidaea， Athens was supinely inactive；but De－ mosthenes was not yet a responsible adviser．In 88 and 60 he expressly disclaims all responsibility for these earlier times．

5．if dxp甲̂v $\mu \mathrm{m}$ woviv；see note on $863^{1}$ ．

6．H8ท $\sigma^{2}$（pwrin ：the third time of asking．See note on $863^{1}$ and the quo－ tation from Hermogenes．－d中els，leaving out of account：for Amphipolis，Pydna， and Potidaea，see Hist． 83 ；for Halon－ nesus，Hist． $8855,56,57$.
§70．1．For Serrhium and Doriscus see note on $\S 27^{6}$ ．For the sacking of Peparethus（in 341－340 8．c．）see Hist．
 то̂́ $\Phi_{1} \lambda$ nтwov，Schol．The people of Pe － parethus，an ally of Athens，had taken Halonnesus from Philip and captured his garrison．

3． $088^{\prime}$ al Yiyova ot8a：cf．XXI．78， тoûtoy ous＇el péyovey eibís，nol being







 <br>§̊71．2．е̇пเтехібцата 0 ．

azware cuen of his existence．－oiv＇lqnoda： see Aesch．iul 82，dpxds aüroûs ivebliou то入 $\epsilon \mu \mathrm{O}$ каl tapaxìs．
3．TaOta ifyovta（not eixbura），i．e．by everlastingly talking about these．

4．Evpoìnov кal＇Aploto申àvtos：in replying to Aeschines（as quoted above） he is glad to be able to refer to decrees of his political opponents while there were none of his own．Eubulus，though he was the leader of the peace party and always friendly to Philip，might have proposed decrees directing negotiations with Philip about the towns captured by Philip or the later affair of Peparethus；and he might have proposed one remonstrat－ ing against the seizure of Athenian ships （ $\$ 73$ ），like the spurious one in 8873,74 ． The decrees of Eubulus and Aristophon read to the court（ $8873-75$ ）may have referred to any of these subjects．As Aristophon lived to near the age of a hundred，he may have proposed bills from 346 to 340 B．c．，though he was born before the Peloponnesian War．See Schaefer I．138，183．－Diopithes is prob－ ably not the general，but the Sphettian， of whom Hyperides（Eux．xxxix．29）
 s 6 入e．

6．－4E之．．．lpî：the third mapdiectıs （cf． $889^{8} 9^{7}, 70^{2}$ ），in which a fact is im－ pressively stated by declaring that it shall not be mentioned．

87．2．Exefvos：this position is allowed the demonstrative when another qualifying word follows the article：cf．力 बтevì aüry dobs，Xen．An．Iv．2， 6.

But even then，the regular order may be kept（Madvig，Synt． 8 II）．－бфетepıİb． $\mu \mathrm{evos}$（from oфtitepos），appropriating， making his own，of unlawful or unjust appropriation：cf．xxxil．2，बфereploa－ $\sigma \theta a u$ ，and Aeschyl．Suppl．39，$\lambda \in \kappa \pi \rho \omega \nu$
 éбфetipioav see Plat．Leg． 715 A．I am indebted to Dr Murray of Oxford for an example of the English verb spheterize， in a letter of Sir Wm Jones in S．Parr＇s Works（1828），1．109，＂Remember to reserve for me a copy of your book．I am resolved to spheterize some passages of it．＂The dictionaries often refer to Burke for this word．－dricelxiona tul Tiv＇＇Atrıкìv，as a fortress commanding Attica．An trirelxiona is properly a fortress in an enemy＇s country，used as a military basis，like the Spartan fort at Decelea in the Peloponnesian War．Here Euboea in Philip＇s hands is figuratively described as such a fortress commanding Attica；and the sight of its high moun－ tains across the narrow strait made the figure especially vivid to dwellers in the east of Attica：see $8 \mathbf{8 7} 7^{4}$ and note．See vili．36，of the tyrants in Eretria and Oreus， $8 \delta_{0}$ év $\mathrm{E} \delta \beta_{0} \mathrm{la}$ кatéornge tupdrvous，
 бas，toy $\delta$ tal Exiäov．Cf．Thuc．I． 1． $14^{211}$, vi． $9^{26}{ }^{21}$ ，vil． $18^{66}$ ．This pas－ sage relates to Philip＇s operations in Euboea in 343－342 B．c．See \＆ $79^{7}$ with note，and Hist． 858.

3．Mequpons incxerpiviv：in 344－343 b．c．Philip attempted to get possession of Megara，with the help of his friends in








 o้ $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \grave{\gamma} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$,



 raûra F; đdera om. L. 9. тìv eip. © $\lambda v e \mathrm{~L}$.
 $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{Y}, \Phi$; жd̀va raüra vulg.
the city. See § $48^{9}$ and Hist. § 52. Megara is mentioned here with Euboea because its close proximity to Athens would have made it, in Philip's hands,

6. Tov 'Elय operations in the Hellespont and at Byzantium, see $8 \leqslant 87-89$, and 244 .
7. dis mev...ds ds 8t: very rare for rds $\mu \dot{c}$...els tàs $\delta \dot{e}:$ in xli. if we have
 See Philem. frag. 99 (Kock) \&̀ miv sid

8. Tovis фuyábas cardywv: i.e. restoring his own exiled partizans.
 भf $\mu$ 斤: sc. фavîvau.
10. Tòv тaOta кшлírovta $=$ os $\tau$. $\kappa \omega$ $\lambda$ úret (final); in $872^{6}$ is the simple $\kappa \omega \lambda u \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$; both predicates with фavîval.
II. Xxp $\hat{v}$ ท̂̉ $\mu$ र्: the question is here put for the fourth time: see note on $863^{1}$.
872. I. al $\mu \mathrm{l} v$ yàp $\mu \dot{\eta}$ dxpŷv: the
 delav, Mysian booty, i.e. like the Mysians,
a prey to everybody. тароццla тátretas




 Harpocr. This refers to the wanderings of Telephus, disguised as a beggar, in quest of Achilles, who had wounded him and alone could cure his wound. This was the plot of the much-ridiculed Telephus of Euripides: see Plat. Gorg. 521 B ; Arist. Rhet. 1. 12, 20.
 sévrov nal bytwv: see note on $84^{6}$. See Plat. Rep. 369 d, toû elval $\tau \in$ nal sin.
3. терйруабран, I have done a useless (superfluous) work: ォefutrwis kal oúx desa-


 мaтa $\ell \mu$ á is predicate to $\quad$ totw. See diвiкпиа, сrime, and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mu a$, blunder, distinguished in \$874.







 є่ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \phi а \nu \epsilon \rho o ́ v . ~$

## $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ İMA.









 om. F, Y.

 (at end) vulg. ; om. $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Y}$.
9. Min mpotarta, not to make surrenders (not to give up your own). mpotcotac is here absolute, as in Arist. Eth.

 has sacrificed his health.
873. 1. кal $\mu$ クiv....dapiv: this seizure of merchant ships by Philip's cruisers, of which we have no other knowledge, was the overt act which Athens made the occasion of her declaration of war. It perhaps hastened this declaration by a few weeks; but after the letter of Philip (876), which was practically a declaration of war on his part, only one course was open to Athens. For the formalities with which Athens declared war and removed the column on which the peace of Philocrates was inscribed, see Hist. 868, with the notes. This probably took place in
the autumn of 340 b.c.
 नrodivv: this was a detailed statement of Philip's grievances, with a defence of his own conduct towards Athens, ending with a formal declaration of war. The document numbered xiI. among the orations of Demosthenes purports to be this letter; and it is accepted as genuine (at least in substance) by most modern scholars, including Grote (xI. 630 ). See Hist. 8 68. The letter contained in 8877,78 is of course spurious.
4. Tls $\boldsymbol{T}$ (vos: such double interrogatives are common in Greek, but colloquial or comic in English, as who's who? An increase of the number becomes comic in
 кal mapd rồ кal rl 入aßbvra rl beî пoteî.




 тò̀ vav́apXov кaì đà $\pi \lambda o i ̂ a ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ т a s . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \delta i ' ~$











## $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{Н} \boldsymbol{I}$ ILMA.











 $\psi$ خффгла. L, vulg.

875. 4. tyù 8' oublv mepl toúruv: this with $876^{2}$ is a positive denial of the statement of Aeschines (III. 53) that the decree declaring war was proposed by Demosthenes. The authority of Philochorus, claimed for this statement, is based on an unnecessary emendation ( $\psi \eta \phi \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sigma \mu a$
 Hist. 8 68, note. Though Demosthenes was constantly proposing decrees at this time, he cannot have proposed the one which formally declared war or any on the matters mentioned in $\S 70$ or about the seizure of ships (i.e. тepl toúrup).





## EIIIETOAH.

























 $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$; tivom. L, vulg.
 Droysen (1839); dјeүpdфet Devarius, Dind.

[^8][^9]






 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu o \iota, ~ \delta o ́ \xi a \iota, ~ \tau \iota \mu a i, ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o \iota, ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~$





8. 'D $\rho e \hat{\varphi}$ At.
 Ar.

8. éautoîs $\Sigma$ (line through t'), L, Ai. 2; aưroîs B, vulg.
certain but long neglected correction of Droysen (1839), hardly an emendation, is now generally adopted for the impossible $\gamma \in \gamma \rho a \phi e y$ or $\gamma \in \gamma \rho a \phi e$ of the mss. Others read éreypdqet: see G. H. Schaefer's note (Appar. Crit. et Exeg.).
5. elx $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mu \eta} \eta$, clung to, followed up closely.
6. ds IIdoubwnoov: probably the embassy of 344, on which Demosth. made the speech to the Messenians and Argives which he quotes in the Second Philippic, 30-25. This agrees better with ठ'Tє триิтоу тареס́̈eto than the later embassy mentioned in the Third Philippic 72. See Isoc. v. 74, and Hist. 885 51, 52.
7. mapebiero, was zoorking his way, stcaling in: cf. $\pi a \rho t \delta v$, xxII. 48. - $\boldsymbol{T i v}$ els Evjotar (sc. $\pi p \in \sigma \beta \in l a v$ ) : this was sent in 343-342 B.c., when Philip was establishing the tyrannies at Eretria and Oreus (871).
8. Tiv $\ell \pi$ ' ' $\Omega$ pedv...'Eperplav: these are the two military expeditions to Eu-
boea in 341 r.c., by which the two tyrannies in Oreus and Eretria were suppressed, the tyrants Philistides and Clitarchus were killed, and the whole island was left free from Philip's influence. See Hist. $86_{4}$.
§80. 1. amorydovs: the orators use dxbarodos, properly a messenger (N. Test. apostle), for a naval armament: cf. of Te vaval кратijas $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ àv тотe $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda \psi$, оठтє rest̂ к.т.ג., vi. 36.
2. Amforula: properly used with dтоotb入ous, $I$ sent out (by my decrees):
 ...би́ниахот: see $\$ 887-89,240,24$ I.
4. ITaurot...Xdpites: the decrees conferring these grateful rewards on Athens were read after 889 .
 to the Peloponnesians who neglected the advice of Demosthenes in $34+$ B.C. $\left(879^{6}\right)$ and later (IX. 27, 34), and to the early refusal of Oreus and Eretria to listen to Athens ( $1 \times .57,66,68$ ).




 ov̉סєis ảyvoєî，кaì $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \eta ̈ \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \sigma v ́ \cdot ~ o i ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ 82 ~$
 $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~ \kappa a \tau e ́ \lambda \nu o \nu, ~ A i \sigma \chi i ́ \nu \eta$ ，кaì $\sigma v ̀ \pi \rho o v ̉ \xi \in ́ \nu \epsilon \iota s ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．






10．троеinate $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}^{2}$ ；троelinare aüroîs $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ ，vulg．
 इ；aưT⿳⺈ L，vulg．；aírê Bk．



3．Aloxiv $\begin{gathered}\text { om．Y．}\end{gathered}$
5．dxinacev $\Sigma$ ，
8．raúvet $\Sigma, L$ ；


88 81．3．ÜTt тa00＇inddpXatv，that he might have these（the two towns under the two tyrants）to defend on，i．e．as $\begin{gathered}\text { ent－}\end{gathered}$


4．$\mu \eta \delta i v$ \＆jedirycoolat（sc．subj．aj̀． T 60 ）：cf．the active constr．in Plat．Ap．


5．mavtax 00 ，anywhere：cf．mdytarl， $85^{3}$ ．
 burst of personality．
 the tenses imply that such envoys of the tyrants were regular guests of Aeschines． These visits were probably connected with the embassy sent by Callias of Chalcis to Athens in 343－342 B．c．to negotiate a treaty（Aesch．III．91），which alarmed the tyrants．See Hist．今s 58，and Schaefer II．420， 42 I．

3．кart $\lambda v o r$ ，lodgrd（as we say put up）， lit．let down，originally unharnessed；cf．


this might be metaphorical；but there is good reason for thinking that Aeschines was the official representative at Athens of Oreus，if not of Eretria．See Hist． § 39，note on Aesch．II．89，rpogevias катабкеvajбме⿱亠䒑𧰨．

8．darinacav，rejectent（i．e．their pro－ posals）．Cf．II．6，ix．66．$\rightarrow$ o่ то（vvv．．． osistv：i．e．nothing of the kind was ever suicessful with me，referring to mo入入d $\mu$ èv

 from memory from the speech of Aesch．



7．Boạs IXwv，you keep on shouting： cf．Ar．Nub．509，tl кutrdjets EXWv； （M．T．837）．
8．паúra．．．таи́бwotr，you will not stop unless these judges stop you．－dтumes－ oavres，i．e．by not giving you a fift of their votes，the result of which would be the partial drimia of losing the right to bring a similar suit hereafter，with a fine





 $\psi \eta^{\prime} \phi \iota \mu a \quad \lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$.

## $\Psi Н \Phi I \Sigma M A$.














 corr. for toútou $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.
of 1000 drachmas. This was actually the result of this trial.
8 88. 2. ypdqavros...ytpaper : i.e. the two decrees were essentially identical in form. In $\S 223$ he says of a later decree, tàs aürds $\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta d s$ кal taúrd pøцата t'Xel. Even this does not include such details as dates, names, etc.
4. $d v \tau \varphi ิ$ Өcdrpq: this anticipates the argument on the place of proclamation ( 88 120, 121 ), and gives a precedent for Ctesiphon's proposal.-8evripov...robrov yryoulvov: tobtov is here ambiguous, and West. and BI. think it is corrupt. If we refer the words to Ctesiphon's decree (with Blass, who omits rofrov), assuming that the crown proposed by Demomeles and Hyperides in 338 was never proclaimed on account of the battle of Chaeronea, we cannot explain $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ -
 must therefore refer roútou to the proposal of Aristonicus, and understand the clause deutipov... $\boldsymbol{y}$ croomtrov to mean that one crown had been given to Demosth. in the theatre before that of Aristonicus. yorvoutrou is imperfect, and we might
 triveero, the imperf. implying that he was then receiving the distinction for the second time. In the Lives of the Ten Orators (Demosth., end) Aristonicus is said to have been the first to propose to crown the orator: but the writer may have interpreted roúrou in our passage wrongly. No solution of the difficulty is perfectly satisfactory: Spengel proposes

5. rapdr, though present.



 ó Фреа́ppıos.]





 ov̉ $\delta$ è $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s$.



 $\mathrm{O}^{1}$


 $\Sigma(\gamma \rho), \Phi(\gamma \rho)$.
 cf. фаivomar тeтvхךкїs (6).
3. $1 \$ \eta$ नopprionalat: see Aesch. 231,

 oupltreatal;
5. is dripues, otherwise, in the other way (opposed to $\kappa a \lambda \omega_{s}$ ), used to avoid какйs. This is the adverb of to trepor,
 $d \lambda \eta \theta \omega \hat{s}$ of $\tau \dot{d} d \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$. We find also $\dot{\omega}$ èrúpus, Aeschyl. Eum. 534, ìs érvróm Soph. El. 1452; and $\dot{\omega}$ таратлךбlus, Hdt. VII. II ${ }^{2}$. This is the explanation of Fox, Kranzrede, pp. 298, 299, in which West. and Bl. concur. See Xxil.
 $\phi \lambda a 0_{p o y}$, which shows the euphemistic character of is eirepos here.
888. 2. máv'… Tpdrratr, that $I$ did everything that was best. It is difficult to choose even the most probable reading here. Both mdruas ( $\Sigma$ ) and mderas rois xpobous are objectionable,
and we seem compelled to decide be-

 to Preuss (Index) the only case of rdercos in Demosth. This would connect $\tau \hat{\varphi}$

 most natural object to $\pi \rho d \tau \tau e v .-\pi p d r-$ rav is imperfect (for tupartov). On the contrary, vıк̂̀, кататрахөîvau, and revtotac are distinguished only like ordinary present and aorist infinitives (M.T. 87, 96). This is always the case with these tenses of the infinitive with the article, except in occasional examples of oratio obliqua (M.T. 794). Madvig's rule (Synt. $\delta 172$ b), that the aor. infin. with both the article and a subject is always past except in purpose clauses, cannot be maintained. It fails in $833^{2}$, $\pi \rho \delta \mathbf{~} \mathbf{r o v}$

 жexoincoal (6) is the regular perfect (M.T. 102, 109).








 Bu乌̆avtious, $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ o ̈ \nu \tau a s ~ a u ̀ \tau \omega, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ т o \nu ~ \eta ̉ \xi i o v ~$
 dous Ar. 6. m . um. L.

 $\mu$. $\delta \pi \lambda o c s \mathrm{~L}$, same w. $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~A}_{2}$, in both $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} y$ added after $\delta \pi \lambda o c s ; \dot{\nu} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$

 vulg.; autcc $\Sigma$, au̇т $\hat{\psi}$ Bk.

 idea of $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$.
5. троб反6ovs, processions: cf. $\$ 216^{\circ}$.

8 87. 2. тоis $\mu \grave{2} 8$ 8тגous, I mean, by armb, added, as if by afterthought, to
 limit $\dot{i} \pi^{\dot{\prime}} \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{0} \hat{0}$. The interruption is colloquial and designedly spontaneous. See note on $\& 121^{6}, \tau \omega ̂ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ dфaupûv $\mu \dot{f} p \eta$.

4. imiretxb $\mu \delta v$, i.e. Byzantium, as 2 point from which to threaten Athens:
 same words are found in Xx. 31 , where it is said that the grain from the Euxine was about half of the whole amount imported by Athens. See Sandys's notes on XX. 31-33. The thin soil of Attica ( $r \delta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \delta \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu$, Thuc. 1. 2) could not supply grain enough for the population, even in the best seasons, and the fruitful shores of the Euxine were the most important sources of supply. Hence it would have been fatal to Athens to have the Hellespont and the Bosporus in hostile hands (cf. $\$ 8241,301$ ). Boeckh estimates the grain annually consumed
in Attica at about $3,4 \infty, 000 \mu(\delta \iota \boldsymbol{\mu})$ ( $5,100,000$ bushels), of which only $2,400,000 \mu \hat{\delta} \delta \iota \mu \nu a r$ could be raised at home. See Staatsh. d. Ath. Book 1. Ch. 15. Strabo (p. 31I) says that in the Tauric Chersonese (the Crimea) the seed produced thirty-fold. See Hdt. ViI. 147 for the characteristic story of Xerxes complacently viewing the ships loaded with grain sailing by Abydos to Aegina and Peloponnesus to supply kis army.
6. Tapeledv twl Opdxทt: this probably refers to the advance of Philip to the siege of Perinthus in 340, when he protected his fleet in its passage through the Hellespont by marching an army through the Chersonese. The appeal to Byzantium, as an ally, to help him in his coming war with Athens was perhaps sent from Perinthus, which he besieged unsuccessfully before he attacked Byzantium. See Hist. 8866,67 . Threats of hostilities against Byzantium by Philip are mentioned a year earlier (see ViII. 66, IX. 35) ; but the present passage must refer to the time immediately before the war with Athens.
7. Butavrlovs: with both HElov and














[^10]е̇жо入ı6ркеє（11）．－бицца́Xovs：after By－ zantium left the Athenian alliance in the Social war，she became an ally of Philip （XV．3，IX．35）．But now she had been brought into friendship and alliance with Athens by the skilful diplomacy of De－ mosthenes before Philip＇s appeal to her for help（Hist． 863 ）．

8．oùr pochov out＇k\＆arav，refused and denied．

10．Xd́paka，here a palisade，generally a pale or pole：see Harpocr．$\chi$ d $\rho a \times a$ ． $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta s$ то $\chi а \rho \alpha \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \quad$ т $\quad$ т $\rho \in \beta d \lambda$ ．
 V1．23，харакы́цата каl теl $\chi \eta$ каl тáфрои．
 50．The siege of Byzantium marks an epoch in engines of war：see Schaefer II． 500.
888.1 ． 8 тा тробทิк：the question already asked in $8863,66,69,71$ ．

2．oüx iтreperifow，I wili not repeat
the question ：the common reading ouxdr＇ if $\omega$ rtho $\omega$ gives nearly the same sense．

3．Tis गiv \＆קontifas；like who was the ome who did it？（M．T．41）．

7．入үшшv．．．8เ80v́s；these participles are imperfect，and so contrasted with the preceding 乃on日tjas etc．Few editors venture to accept סov́s for סiסovis，though it is supported by $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and $L$ ．Vömel says： ＂Nec puto Demosthenis aures tolerasse continuatas syllabas－$\delta \hat{\omega}$ s $\delta 0$ ov́s．Sed in talibus nihil affirmarim．＂The aorist סoús after the preceding imperfects would doubtless add force，like os tiowne for $\delta$ s zoidov．But how about the sound？

888．2．ix roo $\lambda$ óyov，in the familiar antithesis to $\mathrm{E} \rho \gamma \Psi$ ．

3．\＆lvorais，which broke out（bs tye－ $\sigma T \eta)$ ：cf．èvei $\sigma T \eta k \in \iota$ ，was upon us，\＆ $139^{6}$ ． —dvev，besides（without reckoning）：cf． ［XIII．］7，dעєv тồ $\sigma u \mu \phi \in \rho \in t \nu$ ，and XXIII． 112 ，àev toútov．

 $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \tau \eta \rho o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ oí $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o i ̀$ érì $\pi a i ̂ s ~ \mu \in \lambda \lambda o v ́ \sigma a \iota s$



 Tク̀̀ $\pi o ̛ \lambda \iota \nu$.



 tû̀（in both）om．vulg．

4．dv mẫt．．．\＆in̂yev ùmàs，sazv you supplied（carried you through）with all the necessaries of life in greater abundance and cheaper．
 8pou（Schol．），the peace of Demades， under which Athens had been living since Chaeronea．－iv．．．тppofotv：the Mace－ donian party had been strong enough to prevent Athens from openly helping Thebes in her revolt in 335 B．c．，or the Peloponnesians under Agis in 330．See Grote XII．44，59；380－383．

6．XpПनтol：cf．the sarcastic xpךote，
 to）their hopes of future gain：Axrl（Jovas

 86raus（Schol．）．

7．8．wal Merdoxacev．．．$\mu$ il merabotev： this reading of $\Sigma$ gives an entirely different sense from that of the common text，kal
 meaning is，May they fail in these their hopes；and may they rather be allowed to share with you patriots in the blessings for which you pray，that they may not invotve you in the calamities which would result from their policy．It is impossible， I think，to take $\mu$ خे $\mu$ eradoitey as a mere continuation of the wish of $\mu$ erdoxorev： the asyndeton would be too harsh．M力 meradoiey must be a final clause，assimi－ lated to the optative $\mu$ erdoxoter（M．T．


Aeschyl．Eum．297，and rerouro．．．z＇ai Muxîpat rroîe，Soph．Phil．324．For 12 final optatives and 10 subjunctives after wishing optatives（all poetic）see M．T．18I．I know no other case in prose；but I know no other final clause （of any kind）depending on a wishing optative in prose，which is hardly strange． But an optative in a condition is as good for our purpose as one in a wish；and we have in Plato Rep． 370 D，el Bourbicus
 Cyr．1．6，22，el тeloaus traveî̀ of mod－
 in M．T． $180^{\circ}$ ．M力 introducing a pure final clause is a gradually disappearing construction．In epic and lyric poetry the proportion of this to that of the final particles with $\mu 力$ is 131： 50 ；in tragedy it is $76: 59$ ；and in Attic prose it is almost wholly confined to Plato（24）and Xenophon（12）．In the Attic orators there are only four cases of simple $\mu \boldsymbol{y}$ ， two of which（not counting the present one）are in Demosthenes：see Xix．225，
 See Weber，Absichtssätze，pp．184，221， 245－247．Those who are not satisfied with $\mu$ خो $\mu$ era $\delta \hat{\chi}$ ey in this sense must re－

9．\＆v aürol mpodp pqran，i．e．their
 －rove．．．IIepivAlov，i．e．the crowns voted by these towns and sent to Athens as marks of honour．

## $\Psi Н Ф I \Sigma M A$ BTZANTI $\Omega$.





















 pıбtiav.]
$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ėv $\mathrm{X} \epsilon \rho \rho o \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu o v s$. 92

## ЧHФIミMA XEPPONHEIT $\Omega$ N.








 $\lambda_{\epsilon \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho i ́ \varphi .] ~}$

G. D.

















 Ar. 7. кal (for $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ) $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~V} 6$.


 over line $\Sigma$. 8. $\lambda \in \neq \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ om. $\mathrm{Y}^{1}$.
898. 1. oúkoiv introduces the conclusion to which the decrees point.
2. oúbi (sc. $\mu 6 \nu 0 \nu$ ): cf. oúde, $82^{4}$.
 $88292^{4}, 317^{2}$. In § $192^{5}$ we have $\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{r}$
 same sense.

 $\psi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$ à. $-\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ кal 8lкаu' dxelvoss:
 $\mu \notin \mu ф \mu a \iota$ таúт $\quad \nu$.
 ots étuxinkecay, \& $18^{5}$. This refers to the conduct of Byzantium in the Social war: see note on $\$ 87^{7}$, and Hist. $\$ 82$, 63.
3. $\mu v \eta \sigma$ uxakoovtes: remembering old grudges (maliciously) : cf. $899^{4}$. See $\mu \eta$
$\mu$ məккакhбety in the oath of oblivion after the restoration in 403 b.c., Xen. Hell. II. 4, 43 .
5. Esjav, euvolav: the asyndeton is more emphatic than $86 \xi \mathbb{\xi}$ val efroun: see $8896^{45}, 334^{6}$, and XIX. 190 and 220 . (See West.)
6. Tâv то入เтevopavev, your public men: the other reading tûv тemolereu$\mu$ ubow might be neut. pass. (as in $\mathbb{8 s}_{8} 8^{2}$, $1^{2,5}$ ) and causal.
 general was probably one of the exceptions here implied (West.); see xxil. 72, for the inscription on a crown at Athens,
 $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$, which Blass refers to the famous expedition to Euboea under Timotheus in 357 b.c. See $\S 9^{6}$.

 $\pi \rho а к т о ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \pi о \mu \iota \mu \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ ，$\sigma v к о \phi а \nu \tau i a s ~ o v ̈ \sigma a s ~$









 $\lambda o r \pi{ }^{2} \pi e l \rho$ ．vulg．


88 95－101．Historical parallels are cited to show that the considerate treat－ ment of Euboea and Byzantium was in accordance with the traditional policy of Athens．
 the long tirade of Aeschines（III．85－93） against the proceedings in Euboea in 341－340．There is nothing in the speech of Aesch．，as it now stands，relating to the help sent to Byzantium．

2． $8 v \sigma x$ epls，unpleasant，is a euphem－ ism adapted to the changed state of feeling towards Euboea and Byzantium since 343 ．

4．imapXetv ipâs dióras，that you may be presumed to know：cf．\＆ $228^{2}$ ． This is not a mere expanded eloteval（as if eival were used），but we have the fundamental idea of $\dot{i} \pi d \rho \times \omega$ added：see note on $\S 1^{8}$ ．In line $9, \tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ 宝apxbvtav applies to the glories of our ancestors as material stored up for us to emulate．
 eirat，expresses means．－d．．．गुrav，si erant（not essent）：cf．§ $12^{66}$ ．For $\boldsymbol{T} \mathrm{d}$ $\mu \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma \tau \alpha$ see § $21^{2}$ ．

7．Xprforadat，deal with，manage．－ Tजिv кaO＇＇िpas，of the events of your time， beginning with the Corinthian war of

395 b．c．This war was now 65 years old；but there were probably old men in the immense audience who distinctly re－ membered it and who would be pleased to have it spoken of as in their day．Still， he feels that these earlier events hardly fall within his limit of $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{\jmath} \mu \hat{a} s$ ，for he
 after $\varepsilon \xi \neq \lambda \lambda \theta$ ere els＇A入laptoy，and ol $\dot{u} \mu \hat{k}$－ тepoc $\pi \rho b$ yovoc，followed by ùeis ol $\pi \rho e \sigma$－ $\beta$ úrepot，in $\S 98^{2}$ ．
 （acc．to Bl．）to the class of rowhas discuss－ ed by Aristotle，Rhet．11．21，I5：Exouor

 dкроатйv．रalpovat ydд éáv tis кa0b入ov
 $\mu$ epos txougiv．－aposs，with reference（or regard＇）to：cf．Td Tp $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{th}$ ，Aristotle＇s category of relation．

10．Td $\lambda_{0} / \pi d$（ $c f . ~ § 87^{10}$ ），opposed to

 after the Peloponnesian War，Lysander established in most of the conquered towns，and even in some which were previously friendly to Sparta，a Spartan governor（d $\rho \mu 0 \sigma \tau f_{s}$ ）with a military force （ $\phi \rho o v \rho d)$ ，and a board of ten citizens of
 ф $\rho o v \rho a i ̂ s, ~ E v ̉ ß o \iota a \nu, ~ T a ́ v a \gamma \rho a \nu, ~ \tau \grave{̀} \nu ~ B o \iota \omega \tau i ́ a \nu ~ a ̈ \pi a \sigma a \nu, ~ M \epsilon ́-~$



3. кal (before $\tau \grave{a}$ ) om. A2. 5. K $\epsilon \omega \nu, \tau d s$


the subject state ( $\delta$ ena $\delta a \rho \chi(\alpha)$, who were partizans of Sparta. See Plutarch, Ly-






 See Grote IX. 255.

 $\kappa \forall \kappa \lambda \varphi$ having the adverbial sense of around. See IV. 45, el'xомev тdута тду
 péouto кúк $\lambda \varphi$, they travelled round.
4. Eűouav...Alyurav: Euboea and Megara had been in the hands of the Spartans before the end of the Peloponnesian war. Tanagra was held by friends of Sparta in 377 B.c. (Xen. Hell. v. 4, 49), and we see here that it was Spartan in 395 . Aegina, which Athens had settled with her own people in 431, after expelling the native population, was restored to its former owners (so far as this was possible) by Lysander in 405, as he was on his way to attack Athens (Thuc. 11. 27; Xen. Hell. II. 2, 9). Boeotia as a whole was nominally allied with Sparta; but Thebes and other towns became disgusted with Sparta's tyrannical conduct soon after the end of the war, and though Thebes had been the greatest enemy of Athens when the peace was made, she harboured Thrasybulus and his fellow exiles before they attacked the Thirty in 403. This disaffection ended in the Boeotian war in 395, in which Athens aided Thebes (see below); in the battle of Haliartus the
allies gained a doubtful victory over Sparta, which was made decisive by the death of Lysander on the field. (See Grote IX. 409.) The invasion of Boeotia by Lysander and his Spartan army justifies $\tau \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{p}$ Bocwtiay aina ${ }^{2}$ ay from the Athenian point of view. It must not be thought that old Spartan allies like Megara were subjected to Lysander's harmosts and garrisons, notwithstanding Plutarch's remark quoted above.
5. K Kov, rds dilas vifoovs, i.e. Ceos and the adjacent islands, Tenos, Andros, Cythnus, Melos, etc. Melos is mentioned as restored to its old inhabitants by Lysander (Plut. Lys. 14). The emendation
 ailas vifoous ( $\Sigma$ ) removes the difficulty caused by the mention (for no apparent reason) of Cleonae, a town between Corinth and Argos, under rd кúк $\lambda \psi$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta} s$ 'Atruxฑ̂s. If Cleonae were named, it would naturally precede Aegina and follow Megara. Cf. Alyıvav кal Kéw кal "Avdpov, Xen. Hell. v. 4, 61.-ov่ va0es ov่
 quired by Sparta to demolish her Long Wallsand the walls of the Piraeus, not those of the $\dot{a} \sigma T v$; and she was allowed to keep twelve war-ships: see Xen. Hell. II. 2, 20.
 means that she had not yet acquired any ships or walls beyond what were left her at the end of the war. West. thinks that dvakr $\quad \sigma a \mu$ ivins (the strictly correct word) was avoided as suggestive of previous loss.
6. els' A入laptov : see note on 1. 4.
 the accepted chronology, the battle of Haliartus was in the autumn of 395 B.c.,

















and that of Corinth in the summer of 394， in the year of Eubulides（see the inscrip－ tion below）．The Corinthian war was the result of a combination of Athenians， Corinthians，Boeotians，Euboeans，Ar－ gives，and others against Sparta．In the battle of Corinth，called $力 \mu e \gamma d \lambda \eta \mu d x \eta$ in XX．52，the Spartans were victorious． See Grote IX．426－429．The beautiful monument，representing a young warrior on horseback，now standing near the Dipylon gate of Athens，was erected in honour of Dexileos，one of the Athenian horsemen slain in this battle．The inscrip－
 veтo èтi Tei E See C．I．Att．II．3，Nos． 2084 and 1673 ； also in Hicks，Gr．Inscr．，Nos． 69 and 58．Nos．65， 66 and 67 in Hicks refer to the relations of Athens to the Boeo－ tian and Corinthian wars．
 i．e．they might have done so，potuissent． M．T． 214 ．
 given to the last years of the Pelopon－ nesian war（413－404 B．c．）when the

Spartans held the fortress of Decelea in Attica．

10．oứ＇＇Yyứs：cf．§̧ $12^{7}$ ．
8 97．5．Tipas $\mu$ iv．．．．тทpit ：this was celebrated as a gnomic saying in various forms：see Dindorf＇s note．In Lucian，Dem．Encom．5，it is compared with II．XII．322－328；and the following words，סeî．．è̀तi $i \delta a$ ，with xiI．243，ets duyds dpuctos．Dissen quotes Propert． iv．（III．）18，25．The meaning is not the flat truism，＂death is the end of all men＇s lives，＂but all men＇s lives have a fixed limit in death，and this is made a ground for devoting our lives to noble ends，for which it is worthy to die．

6．tv olklokq，in a chamber：durl то仑̂ $\mu к \rho \hat{\text { û ruvt olкخןatı，Harpocration，}}$ who refers to an erroneous attempt of Didymus to explain olkloke here by a comic use of the word for dpuitorpoфeiop， bird－cage，or dovecote．The same error appears in the Scholia to Demosthenes．

8．троßa入入o $\mu$ ivovs $\lambda_{\lambda \pi(\delta a, ~ p r o t e c t i n g ~}$ themselves by hope（holding it before them， like a shield）．Dissen quotes Menander，














[^11]

§98. 2. $\pi \rho$ бyovol: see note on $\$ 95^{7}$.
 XX. 52.
3. पakeSaunoviovs, obj. of dve入eîv,

 haps simply $\begin{gathered}\text { ò } \\ \pi \\ \rho a ̂ \gamma \\ \mu\end{gathered}$ a, understood as its object. From the position of $\Lambda$ ak. we should expect it to belong to the leading verb.
5. kparyíavies dv $\Delta$ eúkтpors: the "Leuctricinsolence" of Thebes (Diod.xvi. 58), which made her rather than Sparta the natural enemy of Athens from 371 to 339 B.c., was notorious. See $88.18^{6}$ and 362. In 370, a year after Leuctra, Epaminondas with a Theban army invaded Laconia and marched up to the city of Sparta itself; but he did not venture to enter the unwalled city and withdrew into Arcadia. At this time he established the new cities of Messene and Megalopolis, to hold Sparta in check. In this trying emergency, Sparta humiliated herself so far as to ask help from her old enemy, Athens. Her request was granted, and Iphicrates was sent into Peloponnesus to the aid of Sparta with 12,000 Athenians in the spring of 369 B.c. This
saved Sparts from another invasion at this time. See Xen. Hell. vi. 5, 33-52, and Grote x. 320-326. The alliance then formed remained unbroken, though sometimes strained, until after the battle of Mantinea in 362 b.c., in which Athens fought on the side of Sparta. Nations seldom go to war from the pure sense of justice which Demosthenes here attributes to Athens; of course fear of the growing power of Thebes under Epaminondas, as well as political sagacity, had great influence on her policy towards Sparta.
§90. 3. toútwv, for this, referring to drtoîv, as botis can always have a plural antecedent.
 oukakè̀, though usuaHly intransitive (cf. $\S$ ror $^{5}$ ), may have an accusative, as $\mu \nu \eta$ -
 Thus both verbs may here have the same object, suggested by órcoív.

 тoúrous $\mu$ bvov. In these cases $\mu$ oivov modifies the whole sentence as an adverb, where we should expect the adjective $\mu b y \omega y$ or $\mu 6 \psi \%$ with the noun. We are often careless about the position of only; as "he only went to London once."












 orre $\Sigma(\gamma \rho), L^{2}$, Dind. and later edd.; om. $\Sigma, L_{1}, A_{2}$. 6. trepa om. AI.
 $7 \mathrm{r}^{2}$. Euboea had been under the control of Thebes since the battle of Leuctra, but in 357 b.c. a Theban army was sent to quiet some disturbances in the island. The Eretrians called on Athens for help against her local enemies, who were supported by the Thebans; and the Athenians with great energy sent an army to Euboea, which drove the whole Theban force from the island in thirty days. This is the famous expedition to which the orators always referred with pride. See Dem. vili. 74, 75, Iv. 17; Aesch. 111. 85, II. 164 ; Diod. xvi. 7 ; Grote xi. Ch. 86, pp. 306-309.
 $898^{5}$.-Oaplonvos: a tyrant of Eretria, who in 366 b.c. took from Athens the frontier town of Oropus and gave it to Thebes. Theodorus, another Euboean, was concerned in this seizure. (Grote, x. Ch. 79, p. 392.) Oropus had long been a bone of contention between Athens and Thebes. It was stipulated that Thebes should now hold the town only until the right to it could be settled by arbitration ( $\mu$ expe $\delta$ ikns, Xen. Hell. viI. 4, 1). The "case of Oropus" was a protracted one; and it is said that Demosthenes as a boy was first inspired with a passion for oratory by hearing an elo-
quent plea of Callistratus in defence of the rights of Athens (Plut. Dem. 5).
9. Toúrols: the Euboeans.一Tîv 10c$\lambda_{0}$.. $\hat{\omega}$ the first time obtained the services of volunteer trierarchs ( $\tau \hat{\mathrm{w}}$, because these became an institution: see Boeckh, Staatsh. d. Ath. I. 638, 657, 686. Most



 ouvtpxipapxos inv $\mu$ оt Фалivos. See XXII. 14. Demosthenes therefore was joint trierarch with Phalinus for the expedition to Euboea.
 look forward to the orator's account of his public services in 8267 , or possibly to the discussion of his trierarchic reform in xIX. 200, $\mu \nmid \pi \omega \omega$ rav̂ra: sc. elmwhev.

8 100. 2. кal rò नஸ̂नat गोे viेनov, even saving the island, i.e. this by itself,
 бате.
 shows that the participial clause is closely connected with ro dxoסoivan, not with emothoare (understood). The meaning is without taking into account, rather than not taking into account. This use of ut













 XIX. 76).






shows the distinction between $\boldsymbol{T}$....droסoivat and $\delta$ otc...dretore, the giving up and (the fact) that you gave up, though we often have to translate both by the same or equivalent expressions: cf. the distinction between $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ oט́к dxe $\delta \delta \sigma \epsilon$ and ш̈नTє $\mu \grave{\eta}$ droঠoûval $\dot{u} \mu$ âs, which is often very hard to express (see M.T. 582, 583), and has often been overlooked.-tv ots imbтeínte (for iv ixclvoss ä), representing the active тioteviel raûta $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{v}$, as $\dot{\omega} \nu$
 cf. $\$ 88^{5}$ and $19^{3}$.
 (after vavuaxlas) ; orpartlas, campaigns.
8. тท̂s... б由тทplas, rare genitive of purpose or motive, generally found with tyena, which is added here in most mss.

 similar variety of reading. (See G. 1127 .) The infinitive with rov̂ is common in this construction, especially in Thucydides (M.T. 798): an example occurs in § $107^{2}$, тồ $\mu$ خ̀ пoeeî.
 the question in a manner concerned herself.
5. mid $\Delta l a$, in bitter irony: cf. $x x$. 161.
6. St ds троךобмр2a (excuses) for sacrificing (final).
8. viжapXóviwv (cf. $895^{4}$ ): the glories (кa入d) are viewed as a public possession.
 of the best mss. with little hesitation, chiefly because I cannot see how such a change could creep into the best mss. by corruption, if the genuine reading were simply el inexelpman, if I had undertaken, which would be perfectly clear.
 as to either grammar or sense. It is amply justified by xix. 172, where there are no various readings and nobody doubts the text: el $\mu$ ทे ठ८d ro toúrous


 There $\epsilon l$ expto $\sigma$ evoa an is if I would have











 dxo八入úrtas vulg．
gone on the embassy，as el ex exelpŋaa an here is if I would have undertaken（for any consideration）．See M．T．so6．Is there not a justification of exrexelpna＇dy in the following $\tau 6 \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ Epyov oúx aty érortral＇inets，you would not have dowe the thing in reality（EpY4），opposed to the preceding supposition，if I had been cupable of undertaking it even in voord （ $\lambda \delta \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\gamma} 4$ ）？
 these men here ready to tell you this？ таиิтa refers to $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota к а к е \hat{v . . . т р о \eta \sigma б \mu е \theta a ~}$ （5－7）．

88 102－100．The orator defends his Trierarchic Law（340 B．c．）against the attacks of Aeschines．

8 102．I．dravalbciv：after the di－ gression in 895 －ror，he now returns to his own political acts．Next in order to his rescue of Byzantium and the Helles－ pont（roúruv é乡ฑ̂s）he speaks of his reform of the trierarchy at Athens．This im－ portant measure was carried in 340 B．C．， at about the time of the outbreak of the war with Philip（see $8107^{5}$ ）．See note on $8103^{8}$ ．For an account of the law of Demosthenes and of the various systems of trierarchy which preceded it，see Boeckh＇s Staatsh．d．Ath．I．Bk 4，Ch．11－16．

4．кaraluónevov，breaking up ：notice the following descriptive present parti－ ciples．－bredefs．．．yıyropivove，becoming exempt（from all＇liturgies＇）by small pay－
ments．As all the members of a ouvrèneta （under the former system）were assessed equally for the support of their ship，the richer $\sigma u v \tau e \lambda$ eis might satisfy the law（as in the case supposed in $\AA_{104}$ ）by paying $\frac{1}{18}$ of the expense of one ship；and as no one could be required to take more than one ＇liturgy＇in the same year，they would thus be exempt from all other services．But the richest of all，the leaders of the symmo－ ries（ $8103^{3}$ ），sometimes ingeniously used their legal duty of advancing the money for the trierarchy in case of special neces－ sity as a means of avoiding even their own legal share of the expense．They could bargain with a contractor to do all the work for a fixed sum（e．g．a talent）， which they advanced，afterwards assess－ ing this whole sum，or an unfair part of it，on their poorer colleagues．See Dem．XXI．155：ӧтє тр̂̂tov $\mu$ ̀v ס̀เакобious
 тap＇$\dot{\omega} v \in l \sigma \pi \rho a \tau \tau \delta \mu \in \nu 0<~ т \alpha \lambda a \nu T o \nu ~ т a-~$入dyrov $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta$ oû̃t tis tpcnpapxias oûto



 єбтเท．
 expression of the injustice to which the poorer бuvte入eîs were liable．－iotepl－ tovcav．．．тஸ̂v кaipî̀，as we say，behind time．










  $\Phi$ (mg.), Reiske.<br>    

8. [rovts $\pi$ dovolovs]: I bracket these words (which West. omits), as an explanation of rois $\mu t y$, which needs no such note, not venturing to read $\mathrm{ka} \theta^{\prime} \mathrm{dy}$ $\mu \dot{s} \nu$ (without rois) with $\Sigma$. The reading is very doubtful, though the sense is clear.
\& 10s. 1. үpadris: sc. $\pi$ арал $\delta \mu \omega \nu$. -ròv dyâva тôtov...dनîheov, i.e. / stood (entered on) mey trial on this issue before you, els upâs implying coming into court. roûroy refers to rpapels, meaning the trial which followed his being indicted. Cf.

 See note on $882^{8}$.
 of the symmories, here probably the symmories of the trierarchy, though the term commonly refers to the 300 richest
 leaders of the symmories of the propertytax (ei $\sigma \phi o \rho d$ ). Under the system which prevailed from 357 to 340 B.c., the 1200 richest citizens, who alone were liable to the duty of the trierarchy, were divided into 20 symmories, regularly of 60 men each. To each of these symmories was assigned a number of triremes to be fitted out in each year, regulated by the needs of the state. The symmory divided itself
into smaller bodies (avvetictau), each of which equipped a single ship. The expense was borne equally by all the members, without regard to their wealth. Each symmory probably had a single leader, and the 20 leaders, with the two classes called beúrepor and $\tau$ pitoc (who are not mentioned elsewhere), evidently belonged to the $\boldsymbol{\text { peacosboto, perhaps including }}$ all of that class in the symmories ( 15 in each). The new law of Demosthenes imposed the burden of the trierarchy on the members of each symmory according to their property, thus greatly increasing the assessment of the richer and diminishing that of the poorer members. Of this a striking case is given in $8104^{5,6}$. This is all the certain knowledge that we have of this important law. The details often quoted from $\$ 106$ are untrustworthy.
9. Si86val, offered, representing 881 -
 $\mu \mathrm{d} v$, above all things, opposed to el $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ $\mu \eta$ (5), otherwise, if not (M.T. 478).- $\mu$ 끼 0rivat, not to enact, i.e. not to bring the new law before the vomo0trau.
10. кarapadiovs' dâv dv troppooiq, to drop it and let it lie under notice of indictment (lit. wnder the prosecutor's oath to bring an indictment). Whenever anyone

















8105．2．toís $\lambda$ byous $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ ．
formally declared his intention of bringing a ypaфो тараубныv against a law or decree，he was required to bind himself by an oath，called $\dot{\dot{\sigma}} \pi \omega \mu 0 \sigma i a$ ，to prosecute the case．This had the effect of suspend－ ing the law or decree if it was already finally passed，or of stopping a decree which had passed only the Senate（i．e．a $\pi \rho o \beta o v i \lambda e v \mu a)$ from being voted on by the Assembly，until the rpaфì $\pi а р а \nu b \mu \omega \nu$ could be tried．（For an account of this process see Essay ii．）The meaning here is that Demosthenes was offered large sums if he would either decline to bring his new law before the vo $00 \theta$ itac（ $\mu$ خ $\theta$ eival）or else let it quietly drop（dàp） when a $\gamma \rho a \phi\rangle$ п $\pi \rho a \nu b \mu \omega \nu$ was brought against it after it was passed．This pas－ sage shows that dropping a law under indictment was not illegal．
8104．I．ग̀v．．．$\lambda_{\text {qroupyeiv，i．e．they }}$ might perform the service（of the trierarchy） in bodies of sixteen ：this is probably stated as an extreme case under the old law，in contrast with an equally extreme case of a man with two whole triremes to support under the new law．

2．adrois $\mu$ iv，themselves（ipsis），op－ posed to rovs $8^{\prime}$ drobous（3）．

3．$\mu$ ukpd kal oviStv：see note on 8 1024．
4． $\mathbf{1 m} เ \tau \rho$ ß ing）．—od yipw quota（what fell to cack）：cf．riteval rds

5．кardi चiv owolav，according to his property：кatd $\tau \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\tau} / \mu \eta \mu a$ ，according to his valuation，would be more strictly accu－ rate，as the $\tau l \mu \eta \mu a$ ，or taxable property， in different classes bore a differing pro－ portion to the ovela．－－8voiv．．．ouvthifs： it was a possible case that 2 man who had been assessed（as supposed above） for only one－sixteenth part of the expense of one ship might be compelled to pay for two whole ships under the new law． tpetipapxos suggests $\tau \rho t i f \rho o t y$ and $\tau \rho \iota t$ pous for $\delta v o ̂ ̃ v$ and $\mu$ âs．

7．covrdeits，as members of a ouvtt－入eta（see note on $\$ 1 \mathrm{ra}^{3}$ ）：sixteen trier－ archs of a single ship，of whom perhaps no one even saw the ship，were absurd！

9．S8C（8orav，offered：cf．סı\％bval as imperfect in ${ }^{8} 103^{4}$ ．

8 105．2．廿ท́фкра ：this cannot be the trierarchic law itself，which was no $\psi \dagger \phi$ гоиа；but a decree passed after the $\dot{\text { ürw}} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ orla，which（as West．explains it）
 є́ ${ }^{\prime}$ о́v. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

## $\Psi Н \Phi$ İMA.





 Haт tàs тeуtaкобias $\delta \rho a \chi \mu$ а́s.]

## 

## KATAMOTOE.






## KATAMOFOE.





 бvขayouévoıs eis tà סéккa тáخavta.]

$$
\text { 3. } T \delta \nu \text { (after } \mathrm{kal} \text { ) om. Y. }
$$

§106. 1. kal om. Ai. 2. KATA'AOLO玉 $\Sigma$. 7. KATAAOTOT $\Sigma, \Phi$.
ordered the suspension of the law, or (as Blass suggests) provided for the trial of the case.-ка0' $8=$ securdum quod, ex quo, not propter quod (West.).
roves кaradópous: the stupidity of the interpolator of the false documents never shows to greater advantage than in the two fragments of a pretended decree given as кardidoyot in 8 ro6. The real
documents were two lists of citizens of various degrees of wealth, with statements of their assessments for the trierarchy under the old law and under the law of Demosthenes. The contrast between the two called forth the question with which \& 107 legins. The document in 8105 is not a decree, but a memorandum.











 V6．7． $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{2} \mathrm{om} . \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1}$ ．8．Mouvvxif MSS．；Mouvixla Kirchhoff，Attic in－ scriptions．

81 107．I．$\mu$ kpp dvalẫac âv．．．tef－ $\lambda_{\text {cty，does it seem likely that the rich }}$ would have been willing to spend（only） a little to escape doing justice？With ol
 pends on e日encur du，which represents
 purpose．Many editors omit deौतeuv，
 $\sigma_{\text {av }}$ dr），depending directly on ठoкoû̃uy understood．But deliety is in the best mss．，though it must be confessed that the sentence would be simpler without it．

3．кaludrivar，dropping：cf．ката－ $\beta d \lambda \lambda$ ov $\alpha$, ， $103^{5}$ ．－ouiSi ：sc．$\mu$ brov．


5．Tî reipav Ex6molvat，either on my having given a test of it（sc．\＆$\mu$ k）or on the law having given a test of itself （sc．$\tau \delta \nu \nu \delta \mu o v)$ ．It is much more natural to continue the subject $\delta \mu k$ from $\kappa \alpha \theta v-$ фeivac，ḋroфuyeiv，and $\theta$ eîvac，but usage favours the ellipsis of the reflexive．See
 did not even give us a lest of themselves； xxiv．24，тeîpay aúrû̀ mo入入áкเs $\delta \in \delta \dot{\omega}-$
 elot（with aition expressed）；Thuc．I．
 oudous छveetds qalveatau，i．e．on trial（sc． éauroî）．Demosthenes，however，is eager to make his own agency prominent．

Compare the perfect $\delta=\delta \omega x$ vival with the timeless aorists which precede（M．T． 109，96）．
6．dirrorrbגav：see $880^{1}$ ；and cf．Iv．


7．ikeтnplav（sc．papioy），suppliant＇s bough，generally of olive，bound with wool，which a suppliant laid on the altar of a divinity whose succour he invoked． See Schol．on Ar．Plut．383，Iкerppia
 Hermann，Gottesdienstl．Alt．§ 24， 14. Here rap＇$\dot{\mu} \overline{i v}$ shows that it was the altar in the Pnyx where the helpless trierarch sought the protection of the Assembly．Aristotle（Pol．Ath．43），in describing the regular meetings of the Assembly，says：dTépay $\delta \hat{\text { è }}$ тaîs ikernplass，


 VIII． 96.
 vuxlas＇Aprépuдos＇кȧкeì tфeuyov oltıves


 $\beta \omega \mu$ у Mouvilaotr．The form Mourcxla is found almost exclusively in inscriptions of the best period．See Meisterhans， Gr．d．Gr．Inschr． 8 13， 8.












 V6 and some others. ( $\nu$ over $\pi$ ) B.

8 108. 2. $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \tau \dot{\Psi}$ A 2. 10. $d \pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \phi \theta \eta \Sigma^{1}$; $d \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l \phi \theta \eta \Sigma^{2}, L$, vulg. $d \pi d \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$
 vulg. ; $\lambda$ provprễ̀ Bl., Att. inscriptions: "גetr. only after 300 b.c.," Meisterhans, Gr.


9. drootodiav: see Bekk. Aneed.



 for each occasion, and had charge of supplying the trierarchs with rigging and other material for the triremes from the public stores, and of seeing that these were properly restored at the end of the voyage. Boeckh's Att. Seewesen, Urk. No. x., shows how many and serious were the complaints against trierarchs in regard to these supplies: cf. No. xiv. p. 466, 20-25, where the drootodeis are mentioned. These documents and the present passage show that the symmories contained many men of very narrow means.
 at sea; aúrod $d \pi e d_{d} l_{\phi} \eta$, left behind in port. We have to decide between these forms and ката $\eta \phi \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau a$ and $d \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \eta$. But ката入 $\eta \phi \theta \in i ̄ \sigma a$ (which has iittle ms. authority) would rather denote that the ship
was caught or detained by an enemy, whereas the meaning obviously is that she was unseaworthy. See Plat. Rep.
 character detained and held fast for philosophy by exile. And dxe $\lambda \eta \phi \phi \theta \eta$ is still less suited to the case of a ship tos badly fitted out to leave the harbour.-au่rov, on the spot, i.e. in port, where she was
 See Plat. Rep. 371 c, aúrov̂ $\mu$ evortas $\pi \in \rho l$ tiv dyopav.
§ 108. 2. Tf $8^{\prime}$ altiov, without $\delta^{7}$ c,
 viIt. 32.
3. d8ívara, cases of impossibility.
6. трюирои́ $\eta \eta$ : cf. троаl $\rho \in \sigma t s$, \& $93^{3}$, and often.
7. Svvápas, pozver (of various kinds): cf. $88_{8} 44^{2}, 233^{2}, 237^{5}$.
8. ßárkavov, malkicious: see Harpocr., dvTi tov̂ фi入altion kal бuкофаутuкbv.-


8 109. 1. F0os, primciples (of action), political character: see note on $8114^{2}$.



 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.





 $\nu o ́ \mu o v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ a ̀ \pi o \delta o v ̀ v a i ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̄ \tau a, ~ \kappa a ̆ \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ \pi \omega ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$

8 100．2．＇̇̀ toîs om．Y．4．Th om．A I．





5．divtl，rather than，like $\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\eta}$（3）．
\＄8 110－121 contain the reply to the first two arguments of Aeschines，that on the responsibility of Demosthenes as an apxwy at the time when Ctesiphon pro－ posed his decree（ 88 III－119），and that on the place of proclamation（ 88120,121 ）． 8110 is introductory． 88 122－125 are a peroration to the division of the argument beginning with 853 ．
8110．1．тєрі то0 кпри́y the place of proclamation，this being the only point in dispute under this head．
2．Tîv ciduvôv：this concerns only the question whether Demosthenes was a ＂responsible magistrate＂when Ctesiphon proposed to crown him．－rd ydp．．．inues， i．e．the statement in Ctesiphon＇s decree that I did etc．，subj．of $\delta \in \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \theta a u$ ：with this reference to the words of the decree cf． $\mathbf{5 7}^{1}$ ．
4．Td $\mu$ frowa refers especially to his important public services in the year before Chaeromea（339－338），the ac－ count of which is reserved to the later
division of his argument，where it comes in with far greater effect．

5．тарале（ $\pi \omega$ ，I leave aside（not ne－ cessarily I omit）．This whole passage， with the implied doubt about any future mention of these＂greatest acts，＂is full of rhetorical art．He has no intention whatever of omitting these acts or abridg－ ing his account of them；but he skilfully implies that his earlier acts，already related，are ample for the legal justifi－ cation of Ctesiphon，so that he could afford to leave his greatest achievements unmentioned．He also diverts attention from one of his main objects，that of concealing the weakness of his argument on the evevval by placing it between two most effective political harangues．

 with no reference to this passage，but it simply states his general purpose of giving a full account of his public life．－aưroo то仑 maparbpov，the strict question of illegality，with which alone the rpapit $\pi а р а р 6 \mu \omega \nu$ is properly concerned．
7．dxo6oîval：see note on §今 $114^{10}$ ．
















 last $\iota)$ ；$\delta$ сакєХе（ $\rho \eta к \alpha \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{V} 6$.
 indipxat Mot，that I may rely on a con－ sciousness of them in each of your minds： cf．$\$ 95^{4}$ and note．
$\delta 111$ ．1．tûv $\lambda$ byuv，depending on
 mixing them in utter confusion．See IX．
 каî 11．16，бтратеlaıs тaîs d̀ш кárш，and
 down．

2．тараүсүраццкvev：the laws which the indicted decree（ $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta}$ фeôyov $\psi \eta \phi и \sigma \mu a)$ was charged with violating were written on a tablet（favidiov）by its side，and this was posted in the court－room．See


 тарауєүрациєуос $\nu б \mu о$ ．

4．Tìv ópoiv（sc．d8bv），as we say， straightforward：see Ar．Av．1，botiv
 case，opposed to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda$ रoyw（1）．

5．тосоút甲 Sfe $\lambda \in$ yetv，I ant so far from saying：тобоótч with $\delta \in \omega$ as with comparatives：so in 1x．17．Most mss． have tocobrou in both passages，and all have it in vili． 70.

6．Sußa入入e кal סreptlero ：see $84^{8}$ ．
7．©v．．．тето入（roupat，i．e．either for money that I have handled or for public acts that I have done．
§ 112．The sophistical character of the argument of $\$ \mathbb{\$ 1 1 2 - 1 1 9}$ explains the anxiety of the orator to cover its weak－ ness by its position in the oration（see note on \＆ $110^{5}$ ）．The reply of Aeschines （iII． 17 ff ．）to this dфuктор $\lambda 6$ yov， $8 \nu \quad \phi \eta \sigma_{t}$ $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta$ evers，probably written or greatly modified after hearing this passage，is conclusive．The law quoted by Aesch．
 made no exception for those who gave money to the state while in office．In－ deed，this very claim is one which needed to be established by the efovval，in which it might be disputed：see Aesch．23，tacov

 Demosthenes at least amounts to this， that any officer who asserts that he has expended more in the service of the state than he received should be exempt from
 The specious argument that a man cannot fairly be called to account for the ex－ penditure of his own money on public



 $\mu \iota \sigma a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a s ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \omega ̄ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \delta o ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ i ठ i ́ \omega \nu ~ к а i ~{ }_{5}$









works could not release Demosthenes from edevvau when he had obviously had public money in his bands; and the responsibility for this was the real obstacle to his receiving a crown before his ofOuva.

1. iv $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ iviot $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ : $\boldsymbol{\gamma e}$ emphasizes the whole relative clause. We should generally have wr $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$, but $\mu$ evroc has naturally the second place (see B1.).-treryandMevos 8d6wka, have offered and given, i.e. kave given by my free act, openly declared. See C. I. Att. II. No. 334, a $\psi \eta \phi$ гола calling for voluntary contributions els $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a y ~ \tau \hat{j} s \pi \delta \lambda e \omega s$ and ordering a publication of the donors' names (which follow).
2. Tîv dvvl' dpxovtwv: the Archons, as the chief magistrates and as candidates for the Areopagus, would naturally be subject to special scrutiny at their ebovvat.
3. $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ avepomilas, misanthropy, op-

4. els rov̀s ouko中ávtas: ironical allusion to els rovs doycotds, as if the sycophants were a board of officers (hence rovs). -roúrovs... L中tordivat, to set thent to audit the accounts etc.
 тerồros).
 Theoric Fund: for the importance of this office see Aesch. III. 25, 26, ending with



5. Indsonka, properly gave in addition (to the public fund in his charge). Gifts



 verev. The true subject appears in 1. Io,
 complinient by a vote of thanks, and oreфavoù are both used of the vote conferring the crown, which included also a vote of thanks: see $8557^{3}, 58^{2}, 85^{3}, 117^{2,4}$. See Maximus (in Walz, Rhet. Gr. Iv.





 this passage.













 AI. 2, Y. $\quad$ 10. 082 ( $\omega$ over 0 ) B; $\delta$ V6.

 3. $\delta \in l \xi \omega$ тol $\lambda a x$. A2. $\quad \gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{om}$. V6.


#### Abstract

4. of тepl тобтwy...tadfonka: this argument assumes that an ordinary ùred$\theta$ owos could be crowned, before passing his edouval, for 2 gift to the state which was not connected with his office. It is conceivable, and even probable, that a crown might be voted for such a gift to an officer of state, even during his term of office, by general consent, without being thought illegal, though the letter of the law made no exception for such a case. And the cases cited as precedents in § 114, so far as we know, may have been of this nature (see $\& 117^{1,2}$ ). But this was not the case with the gifts of Demosthenes. These were both closely connected with the funds which he held as an officer of state, and the argument of Aeschines (23) applies to them in its full force. Demosthenes says nothing which shows that Ctesiphon did not violate the letter and even the spirit of the law rois ixe evotrous $\mu$ 力 orequroúr. And yet it is more than likely that the friends of Demosthenes, in their eagerness to crown him for his noble services, overlooked the technical obstacle to their action; and the court appears to have decided to overlook their oversight.


6. rexxeroids, one of a board of commissioners appointed to superintend the repairs of the city walls. The argument seems to have been the same about both of the offices which Demosthenes held in 337-336 8.c. The orator attempts no such distinction as Aesch. predicts (2830), by excluding the office of rexorads from the dpxal which require edouva.
 men to investigute: the present would be simply investigators, with no temporal or final force.

8 114. 2. Hewty, your moral feelings, which impel you to act thus. Some read $\ell \theta e \sigma \omega$ with some rhetoricians here, and by conjecture in $8275^{3}$. Aristotie (Eth. II. I, I) thus explains tolnt, moral:

 Cf. tituxd, mores, morals. See note on $8173^{3}$.
 ticipates or rather answers this argument


 $k \lambda \hat{\eta}_{s}$ : the general who commanded the well-known expedition which stopped







 тоúтoıs $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ aủrà $\lambda a \beta \omega ́ \nu$. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

## $\Psi Н \Phi$ İMA.









[^12]Philip at Thermopylae in 352 b.c. Diod. XVI. 37; Grote XI. 414; Schaefer I. 509. See note on $\mathbf{\xi}^{33^{7}}$. Nausicles is mentioned by Aeschines ( 159 ) as the one in whose name Demosthenes proposed his decrees after the battle of Chaeronea.
5. AĹтчpos: mentioned in xxI. 208 as a rich trierarch, included by Arrian (1. 10, 4) among the generals whom Alexander demanded after the destruction of Thebes.
6. XaplSpuos: of Oreus, an adopted Athenian, the object of severe invective in the oration against Aristocrates ( 352 B.c.). He was first a guerilla leader in the service of Athens, later one of the patriotic party, and was demanded by Alexander in 335.-oiroot implies that Neoptolemus was well known in Athens.
 bably one of those called $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \nu$ tpywr entordrai by Aesch. (III. 29), specially appointed to direct special works. In an inscription (partly relating to 338 B.c.), C. I. Att. 11. 2, Add. No. 74I, crowns are recorded as given by the people to Neoptolemus, Charidemus, and Nausicles and as afterwards dedicated by them to Athena (see Aesch. III. 46).
8. oxiticov âv cil ...uplfet: for the peculiar form of conditional sentence see M. T. $503,40 \%$.
10. корforafal implies that the receiver has a claim on the giver: cf. גंтo-

 баг $0 a$.
 Аıоขvбioıs траучбоîs каıขоîs.]

## ETEPON $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{I} \Sigma \mathrm{MA}$.




















§ 117. 2. "1申" ols drтeqavooto: we do not know whether there was any distinction between these decrees and that of Ctesiphon like that mentioned in ${ }_{8} 1 I^{3} 3^{4}$. As Demosthenes identifies his own case absolutely with these, the question is of little moment.
4. Itratvoipal: cf. exingecer, 8 I13 $3^{3}$.
6. vil $\mathbf{\Delta l}{ }^{\prime}, ~ d \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ : a more emphatic form in stating an objection than the common dג入d, vi $\Delta l a:$ cf. XIX. 272, XX. 58.-rapiv: i.e. being present (as you were).

 pıotas deka кal ouvyrbpous tobtocs déka, $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ oùs äтаутas dvdyкท rous rds dpxds

 ejoúvas els tò oxxactinpiov elodyovtes. Before this board of auditors every magistrate had to appear for his eoverval at the end of his term of office; and they (generally as a matter of form) brought him before a Heliastic court of 501 judges, in which anyone might appear and accuse him of any offence connected with his office. His accounts of money expended were audited at the same time. See Aesch. III. 17-23. The question $\boldsymbol{T} / \mathrm{s}$ Boúnetal kartropeiv; (Aesch. 23) was probably asked in presence of the court at the eoduvai of Demosthenes; and to this Aeschines did not respond. But these efovval must have come several months after Ctesiphon's bill had passed the



 $\lambda$ дé $\epsilon$ ．

## $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{I} \mathbf{\Sigma M A}$ ．













 üré̛大．$\Sigma$ ． 4．фалһбетац бuкоф．Y．

Senate and had been indicted by Aeschi－ nes，so that accusation at the ejovval was superseded．

For another board of ten，chosen by the Senate by lot from their own number， also called 入oytoral，and for the ten $\in \delta$－ ouvor with their twenty $\pi$ d $\rho \in \delta \rho \rho 0$, see Aristot．Pol．Ath． 48.
 i．e．that the proposal to crown me has passed the Senate：of． $\begin{aligned} & \text { rijuecev } \\ & \text { in }\end{aligned}$ $8113^{3}$ ．

3．rpaptv нot，proposed in my honour： see note on $856^{4}$ ．－T00 тpoßou入ео́～атоs： partitive after ols．The meaning is，that he will use the omissions from the decree in the indictment to show the malice of Aeschines in prosecuting the clauses which he includes．

4．A 8uinel नuxефаvтїv：see XXIII． 61，бuкофаштооि $\mu$ у тоे трйүиа．

The orator now calls for the reading of
the bill of Ctesiphon，ostensibly to prove the point just made，but perhaps chiefly to recall to the minds of the judges Ctesi－ phon＇s enumeration of his public services which the Senate has approved．In the following spurious decree the Archon＇s name is wrong and different from that in the indictment（which is also wrong）； and the references to the words of the decree made by the two orators do not agree with this document．

8110 ．Here the proof of the malice of Aeschines，promised in 8118 ，is given on the authority of the decree just read． It is argued that Aeschines admits the gifts and their legality by his silence concerning them，while he brands as illegal the proposal to return public thanks for these gifts．As if the thanks for a legal gift might not be given in an illegal manner．


 ढ้̈ขo




 $\boldsymbol{\gamma \rho \alpha} \phi \boldsymbol{\eta}$ vulg．，Bk．，Bl．； $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho d \phi$ et Dind．，Vöm．，West．，Lips．See $\delta 121^{5}$ ，and note below．


4．тapavounev ypdфen：cf．note on $813^{8}$ ．See critical note．Here，and in nine other places in this oration，all mss． have the ending $\cdot \eta$（or $-\eta$ ）in the second person singular of the present or future middle．See $88^{3} 121^{5}, 131^{8}, 140^{8}, 198^{8}$ ， 198复， $238^{4}, 239^{1}, 283^{1}, 313^{5}$（three of these having rodirelfo）．In eight places $\Sigma$ has eet，while most or all other mss． have $-\eta$（or $-\eta$ ）．See $8882^{8}, 162^{5}, 245^{2}$ ， $256^{2}, 283^{2}, 284^{9}, 290^{4}, 30^{4}$ ．In both classes I have，not without hesitation， given the form－et in the text．In the whole of Demosthenes，according to Vömel，there are 38 cases of $e \ell$ and 30 of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．The Greek grammarians are strong in their statements，that＂the Attic＂or ＂the ancient Attic＂used the form in－et， except in tragedy，which had $-\eta$ ；and that in $\beta$ oúlec，dea，and $\delta \psi$ et there were no forms in $\cdot \eta$ ．See the quotations and the statistics in Vömel，Demosth．Contiones， pp．84－87．The writers of the fifth century wrote EI for both $\eta$ and et of the Ionic alphabet．The confusion in Athens in the fourth century between $\cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}$ and－$\epsilon$ ，to which Blass calls attention， probably prevented the establishment of fixed usage in spelling the syllable in question in the Ionic alphabet，and both $-\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $-\epsilon$ were perhaps used indifferently． Blass，after calling the introduction of－el into the tragedians，Aristophanes，or Thu－ cydides＂widersinnig，＂thus proceeds ： ＂Bei Demosthenes ist es gleichgültig， ob man so oder so schreibt，da der

Schriftsteller selbst beliebig bald $\eta$ ，bald et geschrieben haben wird．＂The mss． of Demosthenes certainly show great confusion in the spelling，which may be traditional．Thus in Cor． $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{2} 3^{3}$ all mss． have sca入erv，while in xxxiv． $33 \Sigma$ has $\delta a \lambda \lambda$ fet and others dialtyn．See Blass－ Kühner，88 43，5，and 2II，3；Meister－ hans，Gramm．d．Gr．Inschr． 88 10，14， and 15,2 and 3．We can hardly believe that Demosthenes himself wrote $\lambda$ erop and $\lambda$ erec indifferently ；but it is perhaps impossible now to decide which he did write．
8 120．2．$\mu$ upudkts $\mu v p l o v s: ~ t h i s$ means that 10,000 men had been crowned on 10，000 occasions（not 10，000 times $10,000 \mathrm{men}$ ）．This was justified rhetori－ cally by the great frequency of decrees conferring crowns to be proclaimed in the theatre：the number of these on record shows that any law which may have forbidden the proclamation of crowns in the theatre was a dead letter． Blass（Einl．p．13）cites the following decrees from the C．I．Att．：1．No． 59 （410 B．C．）；11． $10^{\text {b }}$（ 393 B．C．）， 251 （ 307 － 300 в．C．）， 300 （ 295 в．C．），311， 312 （ 286 в．c．）， 33 I，341，383，402，444， 445 ． In all these we find essentially the same language；e．g．in No．300，［kal dveire］iv

 spóтеро⿱ ：in the notes on $883^{4}$（סevtépov ．．．$\gamma$ ryvoutivou）I have given reasons for thinking that the crown voted on the










## NOMOE．





＇Aкov́єıs，Aíxíıך，тov̂ עớuov 入é



 V6．II．$\mu \mathrm{ol} \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{Ar}^{2}$ ．
motion of Aristonicus in 340 B．c．，and proclaimed in the theatre，had been preceded by another，also proclaimed in the theatre，of which we have no other account than the allusion in 883．These two，with the one voted on the motion of Demomeles and Hyperides in 338 b．c． （ 88222,223 ），if the latter was actually proclaimed，justify the use of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d x$ cs， especially after $\mu v p d x i s$ uvpious．
 and 584．The meaning is are you so stupid that you are not able？while with由̈бre $\mu \grave{\eta}$ סivaotat it would be are you stupid enough not to be able？

5．Tovy aưrdy lxat tr̂dov，i．e．the receiver of the crown feets the same pride： sindos is emulation，pride in excelling， hence glorying（see $885217^{3}, 273^{5}$ ）．

7．dwexa：this Ionic and poetic form is often found in the best miss．of Demo－
sthenes．I have admitted it here and in $\delta^{8} 175^{6}$ on the authority of $\Sigma$ and L ，and in $\$ 144^{2}$ on that of $\Sigma$ and B．West． and Bl．adopt elveka or elvek＇often with－ out ms．authority．See Sandys＇s note on Lept． $1^{\text {a }}$ ．

8．de to montr ef：this motive is strongly urged in many decrees conferring crowns．See C．I．Att．II．No．251： $8 \pi$ mes


 d $\ddagger$ tics tûr ejepreatêr．So C．I．Alt．II． No．114，A， 13 ．
\＆121．This short but impassioned outburst cannot be a reply to the long and confused argument of Aeschines （32－48）．For an attempt to explain the real state of the case，see Essay 1，Remarks on $\mathbb{S B}_{8}$ 120， 121 ．







 F (mg.), $\Phi$ (mg.), vulg. 5. awxwn $\Sigma$; aloxivy (or $-p \eta$ ) all other mss. See

 фरeofe (eq ch. to eî) $\Sigma$.



2. Tov́rove $8^{\prime}$ avayopevtro (sc. $\delta \times \hat{\rho} \rho u \xi$ ): the quoted passage $\pi \lambda t\rangle$ ddr...dvaropeutio appears to be an addition to the law quoted by Aeschines in 32, Edy $\mu$ ev rava
 dvaknpúrteotau, idy ot o $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$, tv गी
 mean that Aeschines read a mutilated law to the court, which in full would have told against him, and that Demosthenes simply supplied the omitted words and so ended the argument. This is more than we can believe either of Aeschines or of the court. Our trouble is, that we do not know what law the clerk read to the court at the end of 8 120, and therefore do not know in what connection the words now quoted by Demosthenes stood.
4. Adefoplfas: see Ar. Vesp. 1489, $\pi i \theta^{\prime} \quad \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \beta$ opoy, i.e. you are nad; Hor. Sat. 11. 3, 166, naviget Anticyram; A. Poet. 300, tribus Anticyris caput insanabile.
5. ovi8' aloxbvat...dodyatv: for al-
 For the difference between aloxivouac elodyely and aloxúvoual elodywn, which in the negative form is not very important, see M.T. *81, $903^{1}$. This appears clearly in Xen. Cyr. v. 1, 21 : тоüro mèy oúk


入erew.-\$06vou 8Cxyv, a suit based merely on $\phi \theta \delta \nu 0$, opposed to douxjmatos $81 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{\nu}$, a suit (to get redress) for an offence (cf. ${ }^{27} 9^{2}$ ).
 метaroot̂y had preceded, which is the reading of all mss. except $\Sigma$. The use of rovs se alone gives the clause the appearance of a sudden after-thought; and, so far from showing carelessness, it may be a rhetorical device to give emphasis. The same occurs in xix. 180:
 хрø $\mu a \tau a \pi \dot{\pi} \mu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \phi \lambda \not \lambda \kappa a \sigma \omega$, and xxviI.


 West., and Kruger's Gr. Spr. $\mathbf{8}_{50}$ 1, 12.




 $\nu 6 \mu 0$ иs. See Dem. xix. 179, $\delta \mu \omega \mu$ ккате

 тevtaкool $\omega v$, which agrees essentially with the first sentence of the document purporting to be the Heliastic oath in xxiv. 149, which is probably not genaine as a whole (see Meier and Schömann, pp. 152-155).














8128. 1. кaltot кal L, vulg.; кal om. $\Sigma^{1}, \mathrm{Ar}_{1}, \mathrm{O}^{1}$. каіто тобтч $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ (ом. tớry in 2). ínom. Ai. 4. al om. Y, V6. 5. as om. Ai.


58 129-125 are a peroration to the division \%85 53-125.
8 192. I. $\pi \delta \sigma a$ : so Blass for $\pi \rho o \sigma a ̃$ ( ${ }^{2}$ ).
2. Tरि 8quorikథ̂: referring to Aesch. 168-170.-कठтep...סurppadiv: we find it convenient to translate, as if you had prat out a statue to be made by contract; but the participle with warep (without dr or $a v e l$ ) is not conditional, as appears by its having os (not $\mu$ t) for its negative (M.T. 867). wanep is simply as, or as it were, but we can seldom translate it with a participle without an if.
 cus. abs. (M.T. 833): cf. ©́s...tхoura, $\$ 276^{46}$.
 (sc. bvo
 and Suid. under rd $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{d} \mu a \xi \hat{\omega} \nu$






 86pour d $\lambda \lambda t \lambda \lambda a s$ iv $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \delta \varphi^{*}$ то toos aủraîs.
§ 198. I. кaltot каl то0то: cf. iv. 12.
2. $\lambda$ ousoplay karyroplas: see note on $810^{1}$.
5. кard Tiv avrôv \$6ovv, opposed to dv roîs vouocs (4) : the accident of personal nature is expressed also in $\sigma \nu \mu$ Balvec (6). See Bl.
7. tavti Td 8ukactipla: most of these were in the dyopd, as is implied by Lysias, XIX. 55.
8. and tîv ishov, i.e. out of (our stock of) private enmity. For the use of dxd, cf. Thuc. 1. 141, and tûv aùrûv
 one another with lawless epithets: cf.
 and Dem. xix. 220, moldd kal $\phi<\lambda \alpha$.
 epithets which it was unlawful to apply to a citizen : cf. Lys. x. 6, épeî ws oúk











 $\dot{\alpha} \theta \hat{\varphi}$ оs $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \sigma \iota, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o ı s, ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho o ́ v \varphi, ~ \tau \hat{n} ~ \pi \rho o \theta \in \sigma \mu i ́ a, ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$




oưk éầ $\lambda$ érect. This speech shows that
 тралоias were dтбןpqra, but the number must have been much larger. See Meier and Schömann, 628-632. The penalty for using drbppyra was a fine of 500 drachmas, which could be recovered by 2 8ıкๆ какทroplas (Lys. X. 12; Isocr. XX. 3).
9. div...turxdun. if it shall happent that anyone has wronged: the perfect participle is the common form for ex-
 dóxtoras nuxp would mean if he shall perchance wrong (M.T. 144, 147 ${ }^{1}$ ).
§ 124. I. $4 \mu 00$ : with oúdev jirtov.
2. $\pi о \mu \pi$ vieu (cf. толтelas, § $\mathrm{II}^{6}$ ): referring to $\left\langle\xi<\alpha \mu \alpha \xi_{\eta}, 8122^{6}\right.$, and $\lambda o t-$ Soplav, $8123^{2}$.
3. Dartov IX ${ }^{\text {av }}$ dweleciv, to get off with any less (than he has given): this fatal principle of paying off vituperation in the same base coin is the weak justification of the scurrility which follows ( 88 128-131) and elsewhere. Such passages remind us that we are dealing with the customs of 2200 years ago. The vituperation of Demosthenes has at least one advantage over that of Aeschines, in being free from much of the lowest vulgarity and indecency of his opponent.
4. สórepov...фñ; here $\phi \hat{\eta} \tau t s$; hardly differs from $\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{\nu}$; the third person
without ris in these questions is rare (M. T. 289).
6. oi, where, explained by $\quad 2 \mathrm{y} . . . \mathrm{kpl}$ -
 present, as representing the whole.
7. \&f $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ evmes (impf. only $\Sigma$ ) expresses habitual neglect.-siofvas: : i.e. by bringing a suit in connection with my evovar (see note on $8117^{7}$ ), like the $\gamma \rho a \phi 力$ таратребßelas against Aeschines (XIX.).
8. ypa申ais: here ordinary public suils, not including eloarrèta, ejoveas, etc., which come under rpapal in its wider sense. See note on $8249^{2}$.
 amt scot.free, opposed to of $\mu \mathrm{\mu} v \bar{\eta} v, \sum_{124^{6}}$.
2. тоis vómors...тро́тepov: these four grounds of immunity (explaining ãaras) do not all exclude each other, vopoes in fact including all the rest, and $x \rho 6$ being in great part identical with $\pi$ ro$\theta \in \sigma \mu l a$. See Weil's note; and Arist. Rhet. III. 12, 3 and 4, where he discusses dofivera, which "make one thing many" ( $\tau \delta$ d $\varepsilon$ r $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d$ ), whereas a conjunction ${ }^{2} y$
 tations of time set by law to bringing certain actions. Debts were outlawed in five years, and this limitation applied to many other cases. The mover of a law was personally liable to the $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ rapa$\nu 6 \mu \omega y$ only one year. See Meier and









§ 126．1．et $\mu \grave{\nu} \Sigma^{\mathbf{1}}$（ $\dot{\eta}$ above line）．

Schömann，838－840．Of course in this suit nothing could make Demosthenes personally amenable to any law，as he was only Ctesiphon＇s advocate；but the meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \hat{\varphi} o s$ is that no suit could now legally be brought against him per－ sonally for any of the offences with which he is charged before the court．He bitterly complains of the power given to Aeschines by the form of this suit to accuse him of crimes for which he could not indict him ：see 8 89—16．—T¢ kekpl－ otas mo入入áкіs тротероу（sc．$\langle\mu \hat{k}$ ）：pro－ bably referring to the cases mentioned in 85 83，222－224，which covered import－ ant parts of the present case．He may also refer toactual indictments against himself： for the time since Chaeronea we have his

 $3224^{4}$ ．For the law forbidding new trials of cases already decided，see xxiv．
 pov тádes xpquatlser．

4．úpâs dSuxivv：ópas shows that the orator could address the audience in the midst of a question addressed to Aeschines personally．
6．trra00a，there，referring back em－ phatically to of（ I ）－drinvinkas；cf．
 that you do not prove to be their enemy：$\mu 力$ with the subjunctive always implies the
 that it is true（M．T．369）．
7．duol：the Mss．are divided between $i \mu o l$ and $\left\langle\mu b s\right.$ ：we might have $d_{\mu} 0 \hat{v}$ ，cor－ responding to тoúruv．

85 120－226．The next main divi－ sion of the argument is devoted chiefly to the account of the means by which Aes－ chines gained for Philip an entrance into Greece with his army，by getting up the Amphissian war（88 139－159），and of the measures by which Demosthenes opposed this joint plot of Aeschines and Philip（as he represents it），espe－ cially his negotiations with Thebes in $339-338$ в．c．，which led to the alliance of that city with Athens（ $\$ 8.160-226$ ）． The orator introduces these accounts by a general sketch of Aeschines＇life and that of his parents，full of offensive scur－ rility（ 88 126－131），followed by a brief account of some of the lesser political offences of Aeschines（ $88 \mathrm{~s}^{132-138 \text { ）．}}$

The orator＇s account of his own politi－ cal acts in the eventful year before the battle of Chaeronea，connected with his vigorous defence of the policy of Athens under his guidance in her last resistance to the power of Philip，is the most elo－ quent passage in the oration．This is a direct continuation of the story of his political life which was interrupted by skilful design in \＆iro．
§ 12e．1．tueioin rolvuv к．т．入．This is one of the few undoubted cases of ana－ coluthon in Demosthenes．The causal sentence introduced by $\mathbf{\epsilon \pi \epsilon t} \delta\rangle$ goes on regularly through \＆ 126 ，when the sudden turn given by the question tis oik $d \nu \ldots$ $\phi \theta \in \xi \xi a \sigma \theta a$, ；causes the orator to burst forth into the fierce invective which fol－




 $127 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\rho} \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu \quad \phi \theta \in ́ \gamma \xi a \sigma \theta a l ;-\epsilon i \quad \gamma$ à $\rho$ Aiaкòs $\dot{\eta}$



3. bria фúget L, AI, vulg.; фúfet om. $\Sigma^{1}$ (added above line), B, $\mathrm{F}^{\mathbf{1}}, \Phi^{1}, \mathrm{Y}^{\text {. }}$. 6. twas $\Sigma$; tuvds L, B, vulg., West., Bl.; twas Ai ( $\Phi$, V6, see Vömel), Dind., Bk. <br>

lows, forgetting his leading sentence, the apodosis to $\boldsymbol{\text { ITeitj}} . . . \phi \theta \in \gamma \xi a \sigma \theta a u$. This exclamatory diversion carries him to the end of 8128 , where we find in 2 changed form (in 88 129) what would be a natural apodosis to § 126. Hermogenes, repl $\tau \hat{\omega} y$ iठeज̂y (iII. p. 342, W.), thus explains the structure of the passage: \|ori $\delta \ell . . . \dot{\text { et }}$ epa










 $\lambda$ doos elval doxet. This shows the futility of attempts to restore graminatical sequence to the passage. The power and passion of the invective in 88127,128 is certainly augmented by the sudden break in the rather formal construction of $\boldsymbol{\S} \mathbf{1 2 6}$, and we may well doubt whether the orator ever thought of the beginning of 8129 as a resumption of this broken
 vote which your oath and juestice both require of you.
4. aúrd тivapwabórara, what is bare$l y$ necessary (to satisfy the promise in § $124^{2,8}$ ). Cf. dvаүкаи́тата § $168^{7}$. See Thuc. 1. 90 ш̈ate dromáxecoal èk rồ
drayxacodrou ïqous, i.e. to have the wall just high enough to be defensible.
5. Thwo : sc. yevbucvos.
6. $\lambda$ byovs tivals 8 cuoripa, ridicules certain sayings of mine. It is hard to decide between tuds and tivas. With rlwas it is what sayings of mine he ridicules, i.e. how he ridicules my sayings. The refer-

 72 and 209.-i ris... \& 7 frfactan; this interrog. rel. sentence breaks the construction. For metplan see \& $10^{7}$.
8127. 1. Alakds...M(wos: the three judges of the dead in Plat. Gorg. 523 E .
2. ठ катэyopì is subject: Vömel says. "Non dicit si Acacus accusaret, sed
 originally a little bird which picked up seed from newly sown fields (Ar. Av. 232, 579); then a man who lives by picking $u p$ what he can in the marker and other places of trade, a vagabond, and generally a worthless fellow; sometimes one who picks up and retails small scraps of gossip, a babbler or prater, as applied to St Paul in Acts xvii. 18. Either of the last two meanings, or perhaps a combination of both, suits the present passage. See Harpocr. s.v., and Eustath. in Odyss. p. 1547.
3. тчрlтрчца बуорая, a hack of the market place: see Arist. Nub. 447, replт $\rho \mu \mu \mu \Omega \quad \delta<x \hat{v}$, with the explanation in Bekk. Anecd. p. 59, oloe tetppuérov ixa-

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̀ \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o \iota a v ̂ \tau a, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \lambda ı \nu ~ \sigma u ́ v \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ı \delta \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~$








 oúroıs єโขaı $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.



4. olopar L. тaît' $\Sigma, L, B, F, \Phi$; тоaû́' A i, vulg. <br>  L.<br>8. tocoútous $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}$; тоútocs V6.

 curse of a scribe: see 1x. 31, $6 \lambda 60$ pov Maxe 86 vos (of Philip), and XXIII. 202, ivopórrovs oùb' ètev0tpous, $\delta \lambda \in \theta$ pous.odx dy...elmeiv (repr. elrev iv) : for the common position of dy before words like oTMas, see M. T. $\mathbf{2 2 0}^{1}$.
4. Irax 0 ifs, ponderous, offensively pompous: cf. iтax0ts, offensive, $810^{7}$.

 of A eschylus.
5. Toplowalat, provide one's self with, bring out: cf. XIX. 186, XXXv. 41.Erormep iv тpaypilif: see note on $813^{6}$.\& $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\eta}$...dperi: thus Aesch. begins his peroration (260), adding kal obveors kal
 aloxph.

8 128. 1. नol dperฑ̂s...tis perovola;

2. mdoappa, properly filth, offscourings.
3. Todev...djwalvit ; see note on $831^{4}$.
 $\phi \theta$ eíc, and тробтоноинevoss: it has a partitive force with apornocountyots (7), as in

6. кäv...4pvpiárev: M. T. 224.-

7. dvawotpolas: see note on ávaloӨךroc, $843^{1}$.
9. тeploortv, it remains for them: cf. тереіिаи хрюиara, of a balance of money
 épljew.
 indirect question (M.T.677).-ठ татір... *Sov́deus: it is a hard problem for historical criticism to evolve the real father of Aeschines from this slave of a schoolmaster, seen with his feet in the stocks or wearing a wooden collar for punishment, and the patriotic citizen described by his son (Aesch. II. 147, III. 191), who





8129．3．＇Enrif vulg．；e入rifau（ $\delta$ dotted w．$\theta$ above）$\Sigma$ ；＇Enrl $\delta \alpha$（ $\delta$ erased），



 Vit．Aesch． 2.
dvঠpedvtas L；divopecávia A2．
had died about twelve years before at the age of ninety－five，who lived through the Peloponnesian war，in which he lost his property，was banished by the Thirty Tyrants，served his country bravely in Asia，was one of the restorers of the democracy under Thrasybulus，and in his old age discoursed learnedly and wisely to his son on the early history of the rpaфो тарауоныv！Fortunately De－ mosth．speaks of the same man thirteen years before this，when he was still living at the age of ninety－four，in XIX．28r， where he calls Aeschines tòv＇$\Delta$ тpoutrov тоט रрациатьтго仑，sun of Alrometus the schoolmaster．From this respectable sta－ tion he has now descended to be the son of Tromes，a schoolmaster＇s slave（see $8130^{5}$ ）．

3．Tpos Tథ̂ Onorsh：：in XIX．249， Atrometus is said to have kept school
 shrime of the Hero Physician．We have no means of knowing whether these refer to the same locality．Archaeologists are generally agreed that the temple now called the Theseum is not the famous building under which the bones of Theseus were buried；and the position of the real temple is unknown．The place of the shrine of the Hero Physician is likewise unknown．For this hero，the Scythian Toxaris，a friend of Anacharsis and Solon，see Essay vi．Cf．note on rada－ $\mu i \tau \eta$（line 5）．－8i8doкоvтt үpáнцата：the үраццатьотt／s was a teacher of $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a \tau a$, reading and zoriting，the earlier $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a$－ тик力．

4．Xolvisas maxdas，crassas compedis
（Plaut．Capt．III．5，64），stacks or shackles for the feet：see Ar．Plut．275，ai кv $\boldsymbol{\text { finac }}$

 worn on the neck for punishment：see
 $\xi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \psi \tau \dot{\delta} \nu$ aúxtva，and Lys．681．It meant also stocks for the feet，and the rerreat－ pryoy $\xi$ bjion was an instrument with five holes，for neck，arms，and legs．See Lexicon，$\xi 0 \boldsymbol{\lambda} 0$ ．
 phemism for daylight prostitution：the stories of the mother of Aeschines are as trustworthy as those of his father（see 88 258，259）．－k入evㄴp，a hut，opposed to a house，as in Lys．XII．18，три̂̀ inuà
 Od．XXIV． $208 \times \lambda l \sigma L o v(\breve{\iota} \sigma)$ refers to slaves＇ dwellings built around the master＇s house：
 on which see Eustathius．Here кגciole may be euphemistic，like $\gamma$ duors．－דpods
 statue）of the hero кa入apirns．The mean－ ing of this name is very uncertain．Many identify this hero with the n̈pws larpos of XIX．249，notwithstanding strong objec－ tions；among others，Westermann does this＂ohne Zweifel．＂If they are iden－ tical，we may explain кa入auirns as archer （bowman，or rather arrow－man），deriving it from $\kappa d \lambda a \mu o s$, arrow，like $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda_{\text {（rys }}$ from 8тגov．The Hero Physician，Toxaris， was represented as a Scythian bowman （Lucian，Sicyth．1）．

6．Tdv кuldv dwopdivra，the pretty doll：see Bekk．Anecd．394， 29 （quoted







 $\pi о т \epsilon —$ ，ỏ $\psi e ̀ ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega ; ~ \chi \theta e ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \eta \nu ~ a ̆ \mu ' ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o s ~$




 11．т $\rho \frac{\eta}{} \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$（et over $\eta$ ）B．







нтrépes тepl tûv vî̂v，＂$\delta$ ка入дs dndpeds
 third－part－actor：see 88262,265 ，and XIX．246，247， 337.

7．$d \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ is：supply $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}$ from line 2， as a direct interrogative．－трıทраûג $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ ， galley－piper，who gave the stroke to the rowers on a trireme．

8．$\Delta$ lenos：we find $\Delta l a y ~ \Delta i a l t o v$ Фped́ppos（？）as trierarch in C．I．Att．II． No． 804 a，$a$ 84：see also Index to Vol． II．s．v．$\Delta f(\omega v$ ．－dvéripav：＂memineris prostare in hupanari Graece dici ka0召－ $\sigma \theta a u$＂（Dissen）；there is also the idea of raising her from a low occupation．Cf． Aesch．1． 41.

8．180．2．iv airds $\beta$ Bellowev，the
 $\mu$ हैvar：cf．\＆ $265^{1}$ ，xxII．23，тd toft $\Psi$ Beproopetva，and xix．199，200．－046t \＆v troxer inv，he was not even of ondinary parents，i．e．not of any of whom he mere－ ly chanced to be．\＆v truxer is nearly equivalent to the common têy ruxbrtay， ordinary people（ot ITvXov），such as might chance to fall in one＇s way ：cf．Isocr．$x$ ．
 тоגi dieveqkbvtuv．See West．for various interpretations of this much disputed passage．He quotes Rutilius Lupus，de Fig．I．16：parentes appellat quos scitis non ignotos fuisse，sed huiusmodi ut omnes hos exsecrarentur．After such a statement we should naturally expect to hear that he was of higher than ordinary parentage；but here（rapd $\pi$ poodoriav） we have dג入’ ots $\delta \delta \bar{\eta} \mu$ оs кatapâtal added． In the religious ceremony before each meeting of the Senate and Assembly， a curse（d $\rho \alpha$ ）was invoked against certain classes of offensive people：see xxili．97，

 in Tiv $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ calay，with xix．70．Aeschines himself is elsewhere included among these ＂deceivers＂：see $8 \mathbf{2 8 2}{ }^{\text {dT7}}$ ，кaltot tis．．． катара̂тан סıкаlus；

5．8ivo oullaßds тpootels：on the contrary，Demosth．probably made T $\rho 6$－ $\mu \eta s$（trembler）by cutting off two syllables from＇Arpomitos（dauntless）．

7．＂تцнтоэбаv，hobgoblin．
$\kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \in ́ v \eta \nu$, éк тоv̂ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \sigma \chi є \iota \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~$






 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu, \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.

8. sal ylpreotau $\Sigma, L^{1}$; om. vulg.




8. kal Y(ywootar: almost all editors omit these words, which have the best MS. authority and are especially appropriate to the description of Empusa. See Ar. Ran. 289-293: Xan. deuby.


 torl.
§ 1e1. 4. тourourl: i.e. the Athenians, as represented by the court.-0dx
 originally from oú $\lambda \in \xi \omega \delta^{\delta \pi \omega s}$ (or $\delta \tau \tau$ ), I will not speak of, I will not say that, etc., while the nearly equivalent $\mu\rangle \boldsymbol{\phi} \pi \omega s$ (rare)
 do not mention that, etc. Usually not to speak of is a good English equivalent; but what is not to be spoken of may be either affirmed or denied. Thus here $0 \cdot 1 x$ 8itws $\chi$ dpur Exess, not to mention your being' grateful, means not only are you not grateful; but in Lys. xix. 3I, odx öтws rd oxeln drodorie, not to speak of your selling the furniture, means not only did you sell the fiurniture. These examples show the absurdity of connecting this construction with that of non modo for non modo non, with which of course it is not related in form. (See M.T. 707, 708.) Like most elliptical idioms, this is very
often used where the ellipsis could not be supplied grammatically, and even where (as here) no definite ellipsis was in the speaker's mind. For the occasional use of óters like is in oratio obliqua, see M.T. 706.
5. molurwion (mss. modıróof): see note on $8119^{4}$.
 $\beta \eta r \eta \sigma t s$, like $d \mu \phi \quad \sigma \beta \eta r \omega$ and Latin dis. puto, refers to maintaining in a dispute.

 15 , in eo disputant contaminari non decere fabulas.
7. dów: "Hier ist die momrela aus, und der Redner wird ernst." (Bl.)

888 182-188. Here the orator alludes briefly to some lesser offences of Aeschines, which preceded the outbreak of the war with Philip. In 8139 these are called slight matters compared with his conduct after the war began.

8 182. t. otsiv, know of.-droqn-中wofiv', rejected from the list of citizens. In 346-5 b.c. (ex' 'Apxiov, Harpocr.
 the lists of citizens was ordered at Athens; and the members of each deme went through its own list (the rpapuareion







V6．
5．oẗros om．A2．6．i $\beta$ pļuv om．V6．
$\lambda \eta \xi a p x(x 6 y)$ voting on each name which was questioned．This process was called
 tion of any person on the list was called
 wrote his oration against Eubulides（LVII．） for a client who had been thus rejected and had appealed（as every such person might）to a Heliastic court．（See Wester－ mann＇s introduction to that oration．） Antiphon was undoubtedly rejected at the same deaүtфiots（see Dem．LviI．2，
 $\tau \hat{\omega}$（ $\delta \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ），and afterwards offered his services to Philip（iँaryeind $\mu$ evos $\Phi_{1}$ $\lambda(\pi \pi \varphi)$ ．
 it is hardly probable that Demosthenes brought Antiphon before the Assembly without some official authority．At the time of the passage of his trierarchic law （ 340 B．c．）be held the office of $\langle\pi \cdot \sigma T d \tau \eta s$ то̂̀ vautixồ（Aesch．III．222）．Schaefer （II．P．370）thinks that he was raulas els rd vecopla，an officer mentioned in C．I． Att．II．Nos． $803 d_{1} 5$ and 14 ； $811 d$ d， 34 － See Boeckh，Urkunden üb．d．Att． Seewesen，pp．59，62，and $535^{127}$ ．It is doubtful by what process Antiphon was thus summarily arrested：it was probably by $\mu$ ipvocs，denunciation to the people， the process by which those charged with mutilating the Hermae in 415 B．c．were dealt with．（See Meier and Schömann， pp．330－332．）Except in the rare cases in which the Assembly itself undertook
 Plut．Pericl．31），the people either sent the accused to a Heliastic court for trial G．D．
or discharged him．In the case of Anti－ phon，the appeals of men like Aeschines moved the Assembly to discharge him； but the Areopagus interposed，and ordered （through the Assembly）that Antiphon be tried before a court，which condemned him to the rack and to death．See Hist． 8 53．Dinarchus（1．63）says：torpt－
 （the Heliasts）rî тîs $\beta$ ou入îs dropdret тeiodértes．See note on $\mathrm{g}_{1} 133^{*}$ ．Aeschines naturally does not mention this affair．

6．ท่rux ${ }^{2} \delta$ なas：referring to Anti－ phon＇s＂bad luck＂（as Aesch．called it） in losing his citizenship．

7．dvou 廿ұ中loparos，i．e．without a vote of the Assembly or Senate．An Athenian citizen，like an Englishman， looked upon his house as his castle．See xxil．52，tbere coinuy（under the Thirty



 This is not strictly true of the Thirty， according to Lys．XII．8，סcaגapbytes $\delta \delta$

 cases officers of the state with proper authority could search private houses and arrest persons concealed therein．See ［xLVII．］38，53，for houses entered by the authority of the Senate．Pollux（vili．50） implies that an officer called in to effect e $\phi$ thnots could enter a house to make the arrest．（See Meier and Schömann， pp．784，785，with note 99．）－dфеAŋpral： Antiphon was at first discharged by the Assembly without a trial．








 $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau^{\prime}$ ar Cobet（conj．），Dind．，Vöm．，West．，Lips．，Bl．（om．av）．6．$\sigma \in \mu v o-$入oyovuevou Y，F（rp）．toútov Air． $2, \mathrm{Y}$ ．



#### Abstract

8188. 3．Iv of 8tovrt（neut．），un－ seasonably，just when it should not：cf．  Beßpxuiar 18000 a ，seeing that it had occurred（or．obl．M．T．904）．－Are§tryoa， i：e．ordered a new（im－）investigation of the man＇s case．The Areopagus in these later times seems occasionally to have revived a part of its ancient power of directing the general welfare of the state． It could act through a rescript（iंकbфa⿱宀八s） addressed to the Assembly，either on its own initiative（aúrinv проe入outinv）or by special authority of the Assembly：see     $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \mathfrak{d} \xi a y \tau 0 s a i ̉ \tau \hat{\eta}$ ．Meier and Schömann suggest that in this case the Areopagus acted under its regular jurisdiction in cases of incendiarism（xupkaid）．

4．नudגaposian shows that the Areo－ pagus itself ordered Antiphon＇s arrest： Plutarch（Dem．14）says that Demosth． arrested him and brought him before the Areopagus．－©s sumas，i．e．before the court，which passed the sentence of death （6）．But eixantrayev implies that the Areopagus brought him back to some place，and this must be the Assembly． See the Scholia：кuplus elre to dianम   xpbrepov．He was probably sent back


 viding that he should be brought before the court for trial．This is the view of Meier and Schömann（p．424，note）and Westermann．
5． $86 \times \eta v$ 8oovat 8ua the intentional alliteration．－djemburarr： this slight change from $\langle\xi \in \pi \ell \mu \pi e r '$ gives
 would generally be omitted here（M．T． 226）．

6．नquvad́bov：see note on $\$ 35^{7}$ ．－ wov，as it was．－Orpefh ívavres：torture （ $\beta$ dáavos）could not legally be inflicted on an Athenian citizen；but Antiphon was now disfranchised．On the liability of others to the $\beta$ dadayos，see Meier and Schömann，pp．896－898．In Ar．Ran． 628，Dionysus，disguised as a slave， claims exemption from examination under torture as an immortal God：áropeín $\tau u l$


7．由s lise Ye xal rootoy（sc．а่то－ ктeivau），as you ought to have dealt woith this man（Aesch．）．

8 184．3．$\sigma$（v8ukov．．．$\Delta$ i $\lambda_{\varphi}$ ：about 343 B．C．the Delians contested the ancient right of Athens to administer the temple of Apollo on their island．The case came before the Amphictyonic Council， probably in the spring of 343 ，when Demosth．was one of the Athenian dele－ gates to Delphi（xix．65）．The As－ sembly chose Aeschines as their counsel； but the Areopagus，to which the people





 то⿱̀s $\mu$ á $\rho \tau v \rho a s$.

## MAPTTPEE.





 à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \lambda \eta$ ' $\mathrm{T} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \delta \eta \mathrm{\eta}$.]

## 


 (ec over $\ddot{\imath}$ ), $\Phi_{\text {. }}$ 5. $\pi \rho o \epsilon(\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta e$ MSS., West. ; $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma e(\lambda \in \sigma \theta e$ H.Wolf, Dind., Bk., Lips., Bl.





had given authority to revise the election, rejected him and sent Hyperides in his place. This showed that the tide had turned against Macedon. Hyperides then delivered his eloquent $\lambda$ byos $\Delta \eta \lambda$ caxbs at Delphi, and gained the case for Athens. See Hist. 854.

 ìv (for $\left.\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} v\right)$ dì̀ vîv, and xxil. 30,


5. is $\pi p o s D_{\text {eove }} \mathrm{xdx}(\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta v$, i.e. when you had previously associated it (the Areopagus) with yourselves in the case, i.e. giving it the right to revise your choice (lit. when you had previously chosen it also, and given it power, etc.). kal in edxelonp, which seems awkward, must refer to the association of the two bodies
in power: in H. Wolf's emendation, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma$ e $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \pi \rho \sigma \sigma$. would have the same force as кal. The $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \phi a \sigma t s$ of the Areopagus here was of the second kind mentioned by Dinarchus (quoted in note on § $133^{3}$ ),


7. $\lambda$ iysty тpoofrake: i.e. as the $\sigma$ frodkos of Athens.- $\dot{d} \pi \delta$ то0 $\beta$ enpos : the most solemn form of voting, here on a religious question. See xliII. 14, $\lambda$ apbures $\tau$ tiv

 Hdt. vili. 123; Plut. Them. 17; Cic. pro Balbo v. 12.
8. $\eta \boldsymbol{v} x \hat{\theta} \eta$ : like $\phi$ ( $\rho o v \sigma a$ (above).
 Isae. xi. 18.

8 1e5. 10. тoútov madovtos $\lambda$ íyav, when he was to be the speaker, i.e. after












 $\tau а \hat{\tau} \alpha \psi \epsilon u \delta \hat{\eta}$.

## 

i1. etiva om. $\Phi$.

 5. aloxwn $\Sigma^{1}$ (c ch. to v), $\mathrm{O}^{1}$.


§1e7. 1. d $\lambda \lambda d \mathrm{\Sigma}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~A} .2, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$; d $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ кal vulg.
his election: roútou $\lambda$ froytos would be when he was the speaker (elect), but this use of $\lambda \in \gamma \omega$ may well be questioned.
12. dim(фףvev, declared him to be so by its $\alpha^{2 \pi} \delta \phi a \sigma t s$.
\& 1ec. I. veaviov: this sometimes (as here) expresses wantonness or insolence, like $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ єavixbs. See Eur. Alc. 679, ayav íppljects, kal veavias $\lambda$ doyous pintwy ès خ̀mâs, к.т. ג.
2. ov $\gamma{ }^{d} p$; this sarcastic question (after $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ) implies a self-evident absurdity, which is heightened by calling this affair with Antiphon a roAltevpa of Aesch. and so comparing it with the $\boldsymbol{\pi} 0-$入ıré́para of Demosth. (see next note). West. quotes xxi. 209, Xxil. 73, xxili. 162, 186.—is \& 400 катүүopet: probably

3. IIf0ava: this eloquent orator was sent to Athens by Philip 343 B.c., to
quiet apprehension and to repeat assurances of the king's friendly spirit. Python was a scholar of Isocrates and an accomplished writer: see Anon. Life of Isocrates, p. $257^{3}$ (West.) and Aesch. II.
 Hist. §§ $^{5}$ 55-57.
6. Opaovvouive, with his insolent manner.
 upon you with a flood (of eloquence).
 $\mu \ll$ ras, and Ar. Eq. 526 (of Cratinus),
 dфeो $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \in \delta / \omega \nu$ lppec. All quote Hor. Sat. 1. 7, 28, salso multoque fluenti, with the preceding ruebat flumen at hibernum.
 pnaa, did not retreat (before the flood).
 $\chi \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in!s$ of 1.5 .






## MAPTTPEE.
















 4. नuvpec $\Sigma$. 6. d $\lambda \eta \theta \in \mathrm{s} \mathrm{L}$.<br> 

[^13]§ 188. 2. ovte mes, somewhat as follows, where earlier writers would use கీ8.
3. $\mathcal{S v}$ : assimilated to $\tau 0 \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$ from $a ̈$,
 5uy : for the latter see $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ тipecav, \& $12^{3}$.
5. भiv mpoovicev Spyiv (with eis): rlectat els dopty naturally follows the familiar rlӨetal els $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$.
7. ข่тобxe入ttav, trip up (cf. $\sigma \kappa \in \lambda \eta$ ).
 language ( $\lambda$ oropla) not only pleased the populace, but also gratified their whims and low tastes. A good example of both Hoort and $x$ dpes is the scene in the Assembly when the second embassy re-




Kai тò $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega ̂ s ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu i ́-$
 $\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s . ~ \delta o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta ', ~ \epsilon i ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, ~ \delta o ́ \tau ’ ~ a u ̉ r \hat{̣} ~ \tau о и ิ \tau o . ~ 274 ~$






 тот' A I. oítos $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}$; oútool vulg.
ported in July $3 \not 46$ в.c., described in xix. 44-46. Demosthenes was insulted and jeered at by Aeschines and Philocrates, to the delight of the people: notice the single sarcastic remark of Demosthenes (46), kal ìueîs èrèàte.

It. тìv....то入ıreiegtal is to serve the state as a patriot, opposed to roîs ex@poîs


88 189-189. Next follows the account of the conduct of Aeschines in stirring up the Amphissian war in 339 B.c. (See note on sis i26-326.) 88 I $39-$ 144 are introductory, and $\$ 8158,159$ are a peroration.
§ 180. The first sentence depreciates the acts already mentioned, done in time of nominal peace, to heighten the enormity of helping Philip in time of war:


1. «po trot mo八quair фavepôs: this implies that the preceding peace was really a state of war. See IX. 19, ${ }^{\prime} \phi$ ' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ s

 1. 4.
2. кara т in construction with jecrdy, but an independent exclamation, justifying the assertion in $\delta \in \operatorname{cod} y$ miv.
3. imecin....troporito, after your ships
had been openly seized (873) and the ravaging of the Chersonese was going on:
 ravaging of the Chersonese was the outrage of marching an army through the Athenian territory there to enable his fleet to pass the Hellespont for the siege of Perinthus without molestation from the Athenians on the shore. See Schaefer 11. 499, 500, and Hist. \& 66 (end). The passage may refer also to the attack on the Chersonese after the siege of Byzantium : Hist. §87 (end).
 action at the Hellespont, if it had not been checked, would have opened the way for him into Attica and the whole of Greece. Demosth. had repeatedly warned the people of this peril: even in the First Philippic (35r b.c.) he had said (50), кdr

 eiv. See especially vi. 35 ( 344 в.c.),

 oov кupios revove, and further rov ropds



 $\pi \delta \lambda_{e} \mu \circ, 889^{2}$. These words end the clause with extedt.






#### Abstract

  laußoфóyos see Hermog．（III．pp．24I，242， 344 W．），Etym．Magn．p．463，Bekk．   


8．Lapßewoppoidos，writer of lampoons （lam $\beta$ eía），probably refers to verses written by Aeschines in his youth，to which he perhaps alludes in 1．136，repl סो Tûy
 This reading was restored by Vömel（see his elaborate note），on the best ms．au－ thority，in place of laußecopdyos，eater（or mouther）of iambics，which was and is the common reading．If we read la $\mu$ Becooфdyos， we must refer it to the career of Aeschi－ nes as an actor，not to his $\lambda_{0} \delta_{o p l a, ~ t o ~}^{0}$ which the ancient interpreters generally referred it．See Etym．Magn．${ }^{\prime}$ I $\alpha \mu \beta$ o－

 d Exwy toùs lamBous，тoutiotiv o txwy did
 pataljuv els rdy $\Delta l \sigma x{ }^{\prime \prime} \eta \eta$ ，oftı тd la laßeia
 Bekk．Anecd．p． $265^{\text {² }}$ ．Weil quotes the Patmos Schol．：$\lambda e$ rovoc tous díaфйs
 （swallow their words）．Bekk．Anecd．
 rouov，probably refers to bad delivery： cf．$\& 267^{3}$ ，prioess as enupairou．West． denies that any of these interpretations of laußetoфdyos suits the present passage， and finds support for la $\mu \beta$ etoypdøos in the
入et（8）．Much may be said for both read－ ings．The forms with laußelo－and those with laußo－are equally good．
9．Aloxivn，dat．of possession：he has none to show．
 general formula and emi rồ èov̂ ǘaros are often used when a speaker offers part
of his own time to his opponent to prove something which he believes cannot be proved．It is a mere challenge，made with no idea of its being accepted．For the genitive with $\boldsymbol{e x l}$ see lvil．6i（end）．
 which Vümel adopts．Shilleto（note on xix．57，p． $359^{\circ}$ ）says of this passage， ＂read $2 v . "$＂$\$ \pi l$ genitivum postularet，＂ says Dindorf．The time allotted to each speaker in most cases was measured by the clepsydra or water－clock（Dict．Antiq． under Horologium），a fixed number of d $\mu$ фopeis of water being poured in accord－ ing to the importance of the case．Thus Aeschines（II．126）says，$\pi \rho \dot{d s}$ Evoexa $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$
 voдau，eleven $\alpha \mu \phi о р е$ îs（about 100 gallons）， allowed each speaker in cases of rapa－ $\pi \rho e \sigma \beta e l a$ ，being the largest amount men－ tioned．In some cases，as the ypaфì or
 no limit was set（see Harpocr．under
 ${ }_{\eta} \mu \hat{k} \rho \alpha$ is explained in Aesch．III． 197. In important public suits，like the $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{p a \phi 力}$ $\pi \alpha \rho a v 6 \mu \omega \nu$, the day was divided into three parts，and the clepsydra was filled three times，the first measure of water being given to the accuser，the second（of equal amount）to the accused，and the third（in dywives $\tau(\mu \eta \pi o l$ ，if the accused was con－ victed），a smaller measure，to the $\tau(\mu \eta \sigma \iota s$, or consideration of the amount of the


II．Svoiv．．．月⿱宀八repov：there is no infini－ tive or other verb to be supplied．See Gerth－Kühner，Ausf．Gram． 8 406，Anm．










14．Td $\mu \mathrm{k} \sigma 0 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}$.<br>    

or $\dot{\alpha} \mu ф \delta \delta \tau \rho a$ ，oúठévepov，and similar expres－ sions，may stand emphatically，as ad－ verbial phrases，before ทं．．．ที，кal．．．kal， re．．．te，and in other cases where we simply say either．．．or，both．．．and，etc． See Plat．Theaet． 187 B ， $\mathbb{d} \nu \nu$ oür $\omega$ $\delta \rho \bar{\omega}-$

 Ібнеу．So Il．III．179，d $\mu \phi$ бтероу，$\beta a-$ бı入éss $\tau^{\prime}$ àya0ds кратерbs $\tau^{\prime}$ al $\chi \mu \eta \tau t \beta$ ．Cf． II．IV．145，Od．XV．78；Aesch．III． 234 ；and below $8171^{6}$ ．In English these expressions are usually included in our either or both．In such cases we must not ascribe to the untemporal Greek infinitives（here rpdфetv and $\phi(\rho \in t v)$ the definite time which we are obliged to give them when we translate them by finite verbs．With avajon supply $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$ ，he was obliged．
 то攵та are causal．－rapd тav0＇expresses opposition，not mere addition．Fox（p． 149）thus states the dilemma：＂Aeschines konnte oder wollte mit keinem Eintrag einkommen．＂
§140．¿p’ otv．．．t＇ypadev；oúठ＇．．．oúठ＇ correspond to kal．．．kal in positive expres－ sions of this kind（West．）．We cannot express such negatives：the meaning is， as he proposed no measures，so did he also abstain from talking（so neither did he
talk）？The sins of omission just described set these of commission in a stronger light．

2．of piv．．．inipep，why，nobody else could get a chance to talk I

4．inrasupyiouro：the idea of addi－ tion，which $\begin{aligned} & \text { tit } \\ & \text {（like } \pi \rho \delta s) ~ e x p r e s s e s, ~ i s ~\end{aligned}$
 the climax．

5．Tols modlous גóyovs，his many words，referring to the long and brilliant passage（III．107－129）in which Aeschines describes his doings at Delphi when he stirred up the fatal Amphissian war．Cf． Aeschyl．Ag．1456，$\mu$ ia ràs тoldds，tas тd̄vu тo入入ds $\psi v x d s$ diéroag＇．

6．Td тwv＇Apquoviev 86ypartu，the decrees（of the Amphictyons）about the Amphissians，like ro Meraptuv $\psi$ tोф $\sigma \mu a$, the Megarias decree，Thuc．1．140，called

 the forms of Aoxpol ol＇$\Delta \mu \phi$ ecoeis（Aesch．
 ＇O̧biar oürox Loкpol，Thuc．III．95），
 tôy＇O广0入 $\omega \mathrm{y}$ ，ibid．）are all justified（see Vömel＇s note）．V．retains the ms．text here，but explains it as the genitive of ol ＇$\Delta \mu \phi \sigma \sigma$ eîs al $\Lambda$ oxp $̂$ p．Two MSs．omit т ิ̂v $\Lambda$ oxp $\hat{v}$ ，which West．brackets．














7. Td 8', but in fact: this rd 86 , with no correlative $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \delta \boldsymbol{y}$, is common in Plato, introducing an adversative statement. See Apol. 23 A, olovtal $\mu$...elvat
 (end), 357 A.-0t rowûtbv dort, i.e. this cannot be done (the case is not of suck a nature, that etc.), referring to is ס̀aotpe$\psi$ ave rdin $\theta$ \&s.
8. Tróबav ; cf. $847^{3}$.-invipat: cf. Act.
 oov, wash away thy sins. For the form of envituel, see note on $8119^{4}$.

8 141. The solemn invocation in this chapter, resembling those which begin and end the exordium ( 881,8 ), calls attention again to the gravity of the charge about to be made, and to the supreme importance of the events which led to the fatal issue on the field of Chaeronea. He defends his invocation and his general earnestness in 88142 144.
3. таяppes: Apollo was the paternal God of Athens, not only as the great Ionic divinity, but as the father of Ion
(according to Athenian belief). See Harpocr. under ' $\Delta \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, and Schol. on Ar. Av. 1537, тatp $\hat{\varphi}$


 Euripides.
 case I should speak the truth to you now and did speak it then on the spot: a double condition combining a future and a past supposition (M.T. 509). We should rather invert the order and say, if I then spoke the truth and (shall) speak it again now.
7. mpds IXCpav, with a view to enmity:

8. \$hlowsuclas, contentiousness (against an enemy).
9. dvóvprov: cf. XIX. 315 , w̄नTe dyb-


8142 . I. lntipapas: referring to the whole invocation of 8141 , but especially to the imprecation in the last clause. $\boldsymbol{T l}$
















[^14]$\sigma \phi b \delta \rho \omega \hat{s}$; (aor.) is why did I express myself with all this vehement earnestness? (relating to the whole passage from $\$ 140$ ).
 office: this was in the M $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} 0$ (see Aesch. III. 187, Paus. 1. 3. 5).
4. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} . . \mathrm{d} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\alpha ́ттov}, \mathrm{i.e} .\mathrm{lest} \mathrm{Aesch}$. be thought too small a man to work so great mischief.
5. $8 \pi є \rho$ трбтєроv $\sigma u v / \beta \eta$ : this allusion to a former time when Aesch. caused the ruin of the Phocians by bringing home false reports, can refer only to the return of the second embassy in 346 b.c. (see $8532-36$ ). This distinct statement that Aesch. was then thought "too insignificant to do so much harm," with the apprehension that the court may make the same mistake again in the present case, is one of the strongest confirmations of the opinion that the case against Aeschines really came to trial, that the speeches de Falsa Legatione were actually spoken, and that Aeschines was acquitted
by a small majority. (See Essay iv.)
8 148. I. Tov iv 'Apploon mbic$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ : for this and the seizure of Elatea, see $8{ }^{1} 52^{7}$ and note. The words rom... 'Endrecay form a dactylic hexameter, followed by part of another; but see Blass's note.
 leader, who etc. (i.e. Philip) : so West. Bl. brackets кal $8 i{ }^{\circ} \delta \nu \dot{j} \rho \notin \eta \eta$.
 in which Aesch. made his report of his doings in the Amphictyonic Council (Hist. 874)-ds ग्मेv'Aтtuкivv: Demosth. saw at once the full meaning of the Amphictyonic war, and knew that it must end in bringing Philip into Greece as the Amphictyonic general (see note on $\left.8139^{6}\right)$.
7. ol... ovyкabipevol, those who sat together by his summons, i.e. his mapd$\kappa \lambda_{\eta t a}$, with whom he had packed the meeting.










9. кaupiv L. $\quad \mu^{\prime}$ om. $\Sigma^{1}$.
 $175^{6}$ ). 3. ن́жакои́бare $\Sigma$, L, B, $\Phi$; dкои́батe Ai. 2, vulg. 4. үdp om. Y. 6. $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ (for $\dot{\eta}^{\nu}$ ) L1. $\quad \theta e d \sigma e \sigma \theta e \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{O}$; $\theta \in d \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \Sigma$, vulg. Vöm.
§ 146. I. ì $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$ A 1.
let me go on speaking (after my warning). -of 8' d0ápagov: the ordinary citizens were amazed at anyone who dared to object to the pious and (apparently) patriotic speech of Aeschines. The decree of Demosthenes forbidding Athens to take any part in the future action of the Amphictyonic Council against Amphissa (Aesch. 125-127) was passed at a later meeting, after the people had opened their eyes.

8 144. 2. dreka : see note on $8120^{7}$.
3. ข่такоข์ซате: most edd. reject this reading of the best mss. for the vulg. iкои́бате or Rauchenstein's етакои́бате, on the ground that ímaкоб́w means listen, not hear attentively. But see Plat. Theaet.


 The general meaning is, now take your opportunity to listen to the story, since you zvere kept from hearing it at the right time.
4. © трভ́yua ouvre0tv, that the plan was well concocted.
5. тpois Loroplav, for gaining a knmeledge. The real history of these events must be disentangled from the long story of Aeschines (106-131), supplemented
and often corrected by the briefer account of Demosthenes (145-159). See Hist. $8870-75$. Fox analyzes the argument of Demosthenes skilfully in pp. 151-156, pointing out that it has all the merits which the ancient rules demand of a good narration ( $\delta \iota \boldsymbol{\prime} \gamma \eta \sigma_{1}$ ) : it is brief ( $\sigma$ úvтоцоs), perspicuous (бaфضpis), vivid (evapphs), ethical ( $\eta \theta \subset \kappa \gamma)$, i.e. showing the moral purpose (xpoalpeots) of the actors (Aristot. Rhet. III. 16,8 ), and credible ( $\pi$ ( $\theta a v y$ ).
 see M.T. 696 and the examples. The protasis depends on an apodosis implied in oúk $\dot{\eta} \nu \ldots \Phi_{l} \lambda(\pi \pi \psi$, the real meaning being Philip felt that he could not end or escape the war unless he should make the Th. hostile to our city. This involves indirect discourse; and we might therefore have had edy $\mu$ गे rocho $\eta$ here for $e l$

 тарєбкєvdjovio, where the condition really depends on the idea to be ready implied in
 have been used. Compare Thuc. Vi. 100,
 they marched towards the city, in case they (the citizens) should rush out, i.e. to meet them in that case; the thought being $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$











 om. Y. 3. $\quad \tau \in($ for $\delta t)$ A..
 Phocion were the Athenian commanders at the beginning of the war, while Philip was besieging Byzantium. Chares was much censured for inefficiency: for the conflicting opinions concerning his military operations, see Hist. $\$ 67$, note 6. For Phocion's generalship there is only praise. But the operations here mentioned are probably those of the later part of $340-339$, when Philip was in Scythia (Hist. \& 70 ), of which we have little information.
4. $6 \pi^{\prime}$ audrot 500 rodipov, i.e. by the mere state of war, as explained in lines 5 -7.
5. $\lambda_{\text {norwiv : }}$ a state of war naturally encouraged pirates and plunderers.
 common $\pi \rho \sigma \lambda \eta \psi t s$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dy $\tau \hat{\eta} \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \rho \underline{q}$
 $213^{10}$.
7. aír甲े, with elotुyero.

 Philip depended on Thessalian troops to fill his army, but he would have been satisfied with Thebes (under the circumstances) if she had merely made no objection to his marching through Boeotia to attack Athens. There was probably a coolness already between Thebes and Philip, which appears later when Thebes refused to attend the Amphictyonic meeting in
the autumn of 339 B.C. (See Aesch. 111. 128.) See Hist. \& 70, for the relations of Philip to Thessaly and Thebes.
 generally relative forms with ouvy and $\delta \dot{y}$ тоте are indefinite. See rois dxotovgtwajoûv in VIII. 20, and brov stirote $\boldsymbol{E}_{\text {veka }}$ in $\S 21^{8}$ (above). See Krüger, $850,8,16$, for the article prefixed to "relative clauses used adjectively," as here ; cf. XIX. 254, toùs olos oüros du0píтоия.
6. Tî̀ virapXovtav ikatipols, of the relative resources of each, i.e. of his own inferiority in resources, especially in naval power. For a similar use of this vague expression in a definite sense, see Thuc. I. $141^{8}$, where Pericles speaks of the comparative resources of Athens and her



§ 147. This is closely connected in thought with the beginning of 8145 . How, thought Philip, can I induce the Thessalians and Thebans to join me? He remembered their zeal in the Phocian war: see XIX. 50, тốs ' $А \mu$ михти́orı'...
 sal Өerraגol. A new Sacred war, or any war for the rights of the Amphictyonic Council, would be sure to rouse their interest again.











 V6．8．aن̇тoùs om．AI．aùroû Bk．；autoû $\Sigma$ ；aùroû L，vulg．

1．d mev．．．$\sigma$ orswelion，i．e．if he were to join in an attempt to persuade them etc．： $\sigma \nu \mu$－implies that he would depend greatly on the influence of his friends in Thebes and Thessaly．
 before irreito，with L，AI，and most recent editors，because its insertion is accounted for by the v．1．sportरeuv， with which it would be required， while apocksen an would be a rare ex－ pression．（See M．T．197，208．）The simple $\pi p o \sigma t \xi \in i y$ is also supported by the following rapakpoúgecoal and reloecy and by the infinitives in §148．For the con－ ditional forms in this section and the following，see note on $\delta{ }^{1484}$ ．

4．Lav．．．alpet̂，i．e．if he should adopt （as his own）some grounds common to both Thebans and Thessalians，on which he might be chosen general．See tads tolas трофdбets，opposed to tas＇$\Delta \mu \phi \kappa \pi=0 v i x d s$ （the real kouds），in 81581 ．The actual result of the scheme is seen in $\$ 8151,152$.

5．Td $\mu$ iv．．．reloenv，i．e．to succeed sometimes by deception，sometimes by per－ suasion．For the tense of the infinitive with $\lambda \lambda \pi t \zeta \omega$ ，see M．T．$x_{3} 6$ ．

6．Adoaot＇is eṽ，see how craftily：
 бaodau），to get up a war，i．e．to get the Amphictyons into a war．

7．गiv חuhalav：the meeting of the Amphictyonic Council was so called，
because twice in each year（in the spring and the autumn）the Council met first at Thermopylae in the sanctuary of Demeter Amphictyonis at Anthela，and afterwards proceeded to Delphi，where the regular sessions were held．See Hyper．Epitaph．
 els тìv Mu入alay，Өewpol revtrovtal tûy Epywy k．r．ג．，with Hdt．vir．200，and Harpocr．under Húגau：Aesch．III．136， торev́ectar els Hú入as kal els $\Delta \in \lambda \phi$ oùs èv toîs тetaruêvols xporas，and Strab．p． 429 （of Thermopylae），$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho o s i e p \delta \partial, d v \dot{\psi}$ кard тấav Mu入alay өu⿱iar érèovy ol ＇A $\mu \phi$ scrioves．Records of meetings at Delphi in the spring as well as the autumn are found in inscriptions：see C．I．Att．II．No．551，ty $\Delta \in \lambda \phi 0 i ̂$ ，muגalas éapızâs，and Dittenberger，Syll．Inscr．Gr．，



8．cis raut＇．．．Serfowdar，would need him for these，especially for the war，as the only available commander．

8 148．Having made up his mind （1）that he must have the support of Thebes and Thessaly（ $88.145,146$ ），and （2）that he can secure this only by an Amphictyonic war（8 147），he now（3） determines to find some Athenian to in－ stigate the war，to disarm all suspicion in advance．For this important work he hires Aeschines（ $\mathbf{\delta}_{148}$ ）．















1．lepouヶๆuovav：these were the regular members of the Amphictyonic Council，two from each of the twelve tribes．Other delegates，called $\pi u \lambda d$ yopoc， who had the right to speak in the Council but had no votes，were chosen by the several states belonging to these tribes． Thus Athens in the spring of 339 в．c． sent her one Hieromnemon and three Pylagori．See Hist． $7^{7}$ ，and Essay v．

2．dkedvov，his，from the orator＇s point of view，just after éavtồ，his own，from Philip＇s：cf．Xen．Mem．Iv．7，1，דì
入oîvtas aúrê．

3．Toùs．．．Өertalois：subj．of ixtb－廿eroar．
4．div 8＇＇A0quatos if：we have the same antithesis here between $a v \ldots j$ and the preceding el．．．elonroîto which we had in 8147 between tày．．．alpe $\hat{p}$（4）and $e l$ $\sigma v \mu \pi e l \theta_{0}$（ $\mathbf{x}$ ）．It is commonly assumed that edv with the subjunctive expresses greater probability or likelihood that the supposition may prove true than el with the optative；and this double antithesis is often cited as a strong confirmation of this view．It seems to be overlooked that all four suppositions are in oratio obliqua after past tenses，and（if we read $\pi \rho o \sigma \xi \xi \in \Delta v$ without $\alpha_{\nu}$ in $\S 147^{8}$ ）would all be expressed in the oratio recta（i．e．．as Philip conceived them）by subjunctives，

$\dot{y}$ ，which would all be retained if the leading verb were present or future．If then these forms now show any inherent distinction between subj．and opt．as regards probability，this has been intro－ duced by the oratio obliqua after a past tense．I have long maintained that in such antitheses the subjunctive is a more distinct and vivid form than the optative， and is therefore chosen to express the supposition which was uppermost in the mind of the one who made it．Here the two subjunctives express the plans which Philip had most at heart，and the two optatives express the opposite alter－ natives．If his plans had failed，we cannot suppose that the moods would have been interchanged．We have a somewhat similar case below in $\S 176^{1,7}$ ， where the more vivid el проаирचбb $\mu \in \theta^{\circ}$ expresses the supposition against which the speaker is especially eager to warn his hearers，but which proved to be false， while the weaker edr eicoint emod is made less emphatic，though it refers to what is desired and what actually oc－ curred．See M．T．447，690；and note on $\$ 176^{1}$（below）．I have nothing to change in the views of these passages expressed in the Trans．of the Am． Philol．Assoc．for 1873，pp．71，72，and the Engl．Journ．of Philol．vol．v．No．Io， p． 198.


रo
 єis tov̀s＇A $\mu \phi \iota \kappa \tau v ́ o \nu a s, ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau a ̈ \lambda \lambda ' ~ a ̉ \phi \epsilon i s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a \rho ı \delta \grave{\nu}$

 $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \tau o v s$ ả $\pi \epsilon i ́ \rho o v s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ o v ̉ ~$





9．dтelpous $\lambda$ dyous V6．
8 180．2．aürŵy V6．4．نे $\mu \hat{\nu}$ ．A2．
the muldyopot were chosen by hand vote （хесроторทбdvтur），while the lepourinuw， the higher officer，was chosen annually by lot（ $\lambda a \chi$ ヘ̈v，Ar．Nub．623）．

4．тpuî मi tertapenv：this small vote shows how little the Assembly understood the importance of the election．

5．d $\ddagger$ lon $\mu$ a，prestige，dignity（of a dele－ gate of Athens）．

6．des rovs＇Apфuktionas：this was the meeting in the spring of 339 B．c．， described by Aeschines（III．115－124）．

7．eנ்тporúmovs，plausible（fairfaced； cf．barefaced）．

8．$\mu$ 600vs，tales，referring to the elo－ quent account of the first Sacred war in the time of Solon（Aesch．III．107－112）． － $80 \mathrm{av} . . . \mathrm{kabup} \mathrm{m}^{2} \eta$ ，from the time when the plain of Cirrha was consecrated：cf．
 кодouөingere．We see by this passage that Aeschines repeated to the Amphic－ tyons his story of the consecration of the plain of Cirrha，with all the terrible curses which were imprecated against those who should cultivate the devoted land． The consecration was made at the end of the first Sacred war，about 586 r．c．
9．drelpovs $\lambda$ 人 $\mathbf{y \omega v}$ ：＂to the com－ paratively rude men at Delphi，the speech of a first－rate Athenian orator was a rarity．＂（Grote．）The Amphic－ tyonic Council was composed chiefly
of representatives of obscure and un－ cultivated states．It was，in fact， 2 mere relic of antiquity，which had outlived its right to exist ；and in the time of Philip it was merely galvanized into an un－ natural vitality，which proved fatal to Greece and helpful only to the invader． See Grote＇s remarks at the beginning of Chap． 87.

For the account of this Amphictyonic meeting see Hist． 88 72， 73.

8 150．1．терс入өесу тìv Xópav：to make an inspection（ $\pi$ eplosos）of the land． An inscription of 380 B．c．records an order of the Amphictyons for official reptosor of the consecrated land，and a fine was to be imposed on any who should be found encroaching on it； failure to pay the fine was to be punished by exclusion from the temple and even by war．See Blass，and C．I．Att．II． No．545， $15-18$ ．
3．írrâr＇，alleged（in his accusation）．
4．oíGqulav．．．trayovtav：Aesch．（116） says the Amphissians intended to propose a decree in the Council（elotqepov $\delta \delta \gamma \mu a$ ） fining Athens fifty talents for hanging up on the temple walls some old shields， relics of Plataea，with the restored inscrip－

 newing this taunting inscription（which was natural and proper in 479 B．c．）after














§8 161. 2. evф
 V6. èrdx $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \text { A. } \\ \text {. }\end{aligned}$
the lapse of 140 years was, to say the least, not a friendly act, and it shows the bitter enmity against Thebes which was still felt by Athens. Demosthenes does not seem to understand by \$ixøy Eiaayoutay what Aeschines means by elotфepov Bbypa. An intention to introduce $^{\text {a }}$ a decree would not need a previous summons, which $\delta\langle\kappa \eta \nu \quad e \pi d \gamma e c \nu$, and still more $\delta$ ixpv tentefaroau, to make a suit ready for trial, would require. It is most likely that the cautious language of Aeschines which now stands in his speech (116) is not what he actually used in court. And the further remark of Demosthenes, oưd' ì vîv oûtos профaol. yera, seems to imply that Aeschines had told a different story about the intentions of the Amphissians when he made his report of the meeting at Delphi (125) from that which he told in court. It is therefore difficult to judge the argument of Demosthenes about the want of a legal summons. Certainly no summons was thought necessary when the Council a few hours later voted to make a raid upon the new buildings of the Amphissians at Cirrha; but here there was no pretence of any judicial proceeding, but
only a $\pi \in \rho\left(0 \delta o s\right.$ of the sacred land ( $88 \times 50^{1}$, $151^{1}$ ), which became a mob.
8. and molas dpXŶs; from what authority did the summons come? West. quotes with approval Weil's interpretation of $t \pi l$ rolas d $p \chi$ गิs; "devant quelle autorité athénienne la citation fut-elle notifíe ?" Witnesses to a summons were required at Athens when the defendant was in Attica. These were called $\kappa \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$ Tinpes, which same name was given to the officers of the law who served a summons on persons outside of Attica: see Ar. Av. 147, 1432. iкגдtevaev (7) refers to the act of such an Amphictyonic к入эrthp.-
 must follow ei8bra.
9. $d \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oúk $d v$ txous: so ${ }^{8} 76^{3}$.
8151. 1. терио́vтшv: cf. тєре $\lambda \theta \epsilon і$, § $150^{1}$. See Aesch. 122, 123.
3. $\mu u \kappa p o s$ (M.T. 779 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ), almost, belongs to катทкbytiбav: cf. Aesch. 123,
 $\lambda \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$.
 $\pi \delta \lambda е \mu о р ~ т а р d \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$, like proelia miscere or confundere, Plat. Rep. 567 A , and $\mathrm{t}^{2} \times \lambda$ 万. $\mu \Omega \tau a$ тарықеш, Plut. Them. 5 (Bl.).













 Kıppatots vulg．；kal om．E，L，Ai． 2. $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { T i n }} \mathrm{O}^{1}$ ．

6．K6тrudos ：the president of the Council，a Thessalian of Pharsalus （Aesch． 128 ）．

7．oúk $\eta \lambda \lambda 0$ ov：e．g．Thebans and Athenians，and doubtless others．－ou8tv 4molouv：see Aesch．129．－dis riv dri－
 measures at once，against the coming meeting（autumn of 339），to put things （i．e．the war）into the hands of Philip as commander．See IX．57，ol $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$＇$\phi$＇ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ âs

9．of катеоксvaopivot（pass．），those with whom arrangements had been made．
 kal погทpêr．

Demosthenes distinctly implies that Cottyphus was made general at the spring meeting，but that，after a mere pretence of war，intrigues at once began for superseding him by Philip at the autumnal meeting（els $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ èrıovaav $\Pi$ U－入alay）．Aeschines，on the contrary， whose whole object is to show that a real Amphictyonic war was intended， with no help or thought of help from Philip，and to represent Philip＇s final appointment as commander as a remote afterthought，states that no action was taken against the Amphissians in the spring，but that a special meeting was
called before the regular autumnal $\Pi \boldsymbol{u}$－ dala，to take such action（124）．At this special meeting，which Athens and Thebes refused to attend（Aesch． 126 －r28），Cottyphus was chosen general （according to Aesch．），while Philip was ＂away off in Scythia＂；and after a successful campaign the Amphissians were fined and their offending citizens were banished．But they refused to submit ；and finally，＂a long time after－
 expedition became necessary＂after Philip＇s return from his Scythian expe－ dition＂：－he does not even then say that Philip was actually made general！ See Hist．§88 74－76．
8 152．2．aưrois doptpetv．．．Seiv， they must themselves（ipsos）pay taxes， etc．

3．भि＇keivov alpeifoat：this alter－ native was one of the трофdбets ev入orou （ $8151^{10}$ ）for choosing Philip．
6．тape入 $0 \omega v$（sc．elow $\Pi \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} v$ ）：cf． § $35^{8}$－－tppiofolat фpolous roilda，bidding many farewells（a long adieu）：so xix． 248．Cf．$\ell \rho \rho \omega \sigma 0$ ，vale．
7．＇Bגáreav：when Philip had passed Thermopylae，he hardly made a pretence of entering into the war with Amphissa， for which he was chosen commander；







 нoı тà סór $\mu a \tau a$.
 ( $\gamma \rho$ ) ; om. B, vulg. 3. кal (before $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ) om. Ai.

4. $\tau 6 \gamma^{\prime} \Sigma, L^{1}, \Phi, F$ (corr.
5. aür $\delta \boldsymbol{\nu}$ om. $\mathbf{A I}, \mathrm{B}^{1}, \Phi^{1}$.


8 164. 1. кal $\mu$ مi $\lambda \in \gamma \in$ A I.
and soon appeared at the Phocian town of Elatea, which commanded the pass into Boeotia and "the road to Athens." This move left no further doubt as to his real intentions. In 344 b.c. there had been a report that Philip was abuut to seize and fortify Elatea, and thus threaten Thebes: see VI. 14. Aeschines says (140) of Philip's sudden movement, $\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \nu$
 Botwtôy (i.e. the Phocian war), roûrov $\pi d \lambda_{\omega \nu}^{\tau} \tau \nu$ aúrdy $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ (i.e. a similar
 aútàs tads Otrpas. As the spurious decree of Demosthenes ( $\$ 818 \mathrm{~s}-18_{7}$ ) no longer disturbs the chronology, we see that Philip must have been made general in the early autumn of 339 B.C., and probably seized Elatea in the late autumn or early winter; so that the campaign lasted about eight or nine months until the battle of Chaeronea in August or September 338. A "winter battle" is naturally mentioned in $\& 216^{6}$. The startling effect of the news from Elatea at Athens is described in $8 \mathbb{8} 169 \mathrm{ff}$.
§ 158. 3. $\mu$ еر' ìpêv tytvovto, joined
 torrent : most of the rivers of Greece are nearly or quite dry the greater part of the year, and in the winter and spring are often filled by rushing torrents. Many of these, when dry, still serve as
paths over the mountain passes. Similar simple comparisons are $\omega^{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho \quad \nu(\phi)$



 say this whole thing, but with far less dignity.
4. vôv, as it was, in fact, opposed to
 1faldvis, for the moment.
6. lita... $8 \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{k}$, lit. but besides, and so far as depended on any one man, also through me: the former kal connects öवoy...avסpa to elta. Dindorf, Vömel, and Westermann understand $\mu$ evtoc кai, öбov к.т. ., making the first kal=also, which the second kal merely repeats.
7. Sois: see note on § $\mathbf{2 8}^{2}$.-86 үиara tâ̂ra are Amphictyonic decrees about the Amphissian affair.-Tovs xpóvous: we see from ${ }^{8} 155^{18}$ that this was an official statement from the records, showing that these decrees were passed when Aeschines was ruddropos.
9. If $\mu$ ара кефа入ㄱ: :cf. XXI. 117, кal
 $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \eta$ $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \dot{\omega} s$ к.т. $\lambda$., and xIX. 313 . -rapáfar': we should naturally express rapd $\xi a \sigma a$ by the leading verb, and $\delta<k \eta \nu$ oúk towxey by without being punished. With $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ тарd\{aбa cf. $8151^{4}$ and note.

## $\triangle$ ОГМА АМФIKTTON $\Omega$.




 $\pi \nu \lambda a y o ́ \rho o u s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu e ́ \delta \rho o v s, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \eta ̃ \lambda a \iota s ~ \delta ı a \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ o ̋ \rho o v s, ~$


## ETEPON $\triangle$ OГMA.











 $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \delta i o v \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ 'A $\mu \phi \iota \kappa \tau v o ́ \nu \omega \nu$.]



## XPONOI.




 oi om. O. 2. $\delta$ om. B.
 refer to a refusal of the Thebans, before the seizure of Elatea, to join Philip in an expedition against the Amphissians. When he entered Greece, he professed to be marching against them : see $\AA_{1} 5^{2}{ }^{6}$,

2. नopuáxovs: i.e. the Arcadians, Eleans, and Argives. See Isocr. v. 74


 27. See Hist. $\mathbf{8 s}_{8} 51,52$.

$$
8-2
$$


 5 каì $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau о, ~ к о \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ' A \mu-~$



## EПIETOAH.
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158
'Opâ0' örı фeúyє tàs ioías $\pi \rho o \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ t a ̀ s ~$







8188. 1. $\mu d y$ after фefyet vuig.; om. $2, \mathrm{~L}^{1}$, A $_{2}$. катaбкеvdбas A2. 5. 入є'үєтє om. $\Sigma^{1}$ (add. mg.).
2. тaparkevdбas $\mathrm{AI}_{1}$; теребутеs $\mathrm{O}^{1}$.

[^15]3. трофа́rets dv8obs: cf. Thuc. II.


 about and tell.-iф' dvde dvepeinov, i.e. by Philip: cf. ets detp (of Philip), xix. 64. Philip (he says) could never have accomplished his purpose, had he not had such accomplices as Aeschines. Notice the effective collocation in $\eta^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda d s$ dv0púrov. (BI.)




 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \cdot$ ó $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тò $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \omega \nu$, ov̂тos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фv́vт $\omega \nu$

 $\dot{v} \mu i \hat{\nu} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i a s$.



 kal $\theta$ ed $\Sigma$, vulg.


 B, Y, O, Bk., Dind., Lips., Bl.
6. itaiv before is Y .
 seexa vulg.
 out reserve.
2. кourdv ditriplov, a common curse and destroyer. An àdertipuos is a man who has sinned against the Gods and is thereby under a curse, which curse he transmits to others with whom he has to do; also an avenging divinity: cf. Aen. 1I. 573, Troiae et patriae communis Erinnys (of Helen). See Andocides 1.


 dictipion aitû Uтpeqey, ds drarétpoфev
 allov piov ä́avita. Demosthenes has the word also in xix. 226, roîs di $\lambda$ ctnplous toúross (of Aeschines and his party), and
 'Oגuvelcov. ' $\Delta \lambda d \sigma \tau \omega \rho$ is similarly used in both senses : see below § $296^{4}$, xIX. 305 ;
 теєeveevers d $\lambda$ ddoтopa cone who has already been purified); Pers. 354, фavels d $\lambda$ d $\sigma$ rwp $\ddot{\eta}$ кaxds $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$ rodev. Aeschines twice
 d $\lambda \iota \tau$ riplos (see Blass).
4. Tồ фéviouv кaxion, of the harvest of woes: without kaxûy, which many omit, we should have the common saying about the harvest. Cic. Phil. 11. 22. 55 perhaps supports какйv: ut igitur in seminibus est causa arborum et stirpium, sic huius luctuosissimi belli semen tu fuisti.
5. $8 v$ : object of both $186 v \pi \epsilon s$ and $d \pi$ єбт $\rho d \phi \eta \tau e$ : the latter becomes transitive in the passive, like $\phi \circ \beta \epsilon \omega, \lambda \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, etc.
 conceal the truth from you.

88 160-226. The orator now passes to his own agency in opposing the joint plot of Aeschines and Philip. See introductory note on 88 126-226. After speaking of the enmity between Athens and Thebes, which men like Aeschines had encouraged ( $\$ 8 \times 160-163$ ), he gives a graphic account of the panic excited at Athens by Philip's seizure of Elatea, and









 （See note below．）5．$\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}} 0$ ．<br>8 161．2．ìmâs O．тd rồ Ф．V6<br>3．фроуoípray $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{L}^{1}, \mathrm{AI}$（corr．）， $\mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{O}$（mg．），$\Phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma \rho})$ ；тратrbvicv $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ ，vulg． 4．то入入．филак．V6．5．орӑ． （for éâv）V6．

of the manner in which he took advantage of this emergency to bring Athens and Thebes to a better understanding and even to an alliance against the common enemy（ 88 168－236）．Into this account he introduces（ $88189-210$ ） 2 most elo－ quent and earnest defence of the whole line of policy in opposition to Philip which Athens had followed chiefly by his advice．He pleads that Athens，with her glorious traditions，could have taken no other course，even if she had seen the fatal defeat at Chaeronea in advance． This is the most eloquent and impassioned passage in the oration；and it is addressed not merely to the court，but to the whole people and to future ages．
8 160．4．dкovíalte：this reading， though it has slight ms．authority，is necessary here，with tvex＇av in $\Sigma$ and L， unless we admit dxoivere av．$\Sigma$ often has $e$ for at or at for e，from their identity in later pronunciation ：see $8858^{8}, 69^{2}$ ， $136^{2}, 150^{8}, 15^{6}$ ．

5，6．Td Lpya．．．．rovs $\lambda$ dopovs：the actual Labours，contrasted with merely listening to the account of them．Cf．$\lambda$ obre and rd Epra，Thuc．I． 32.
The orator introduces this continuation of his political history in an apologetic way，as in § ito he had left it doubtful whether he should speak at all of these


This is a part of the skilful device by which he divides the long account of his public life，while at the same time he reminds the court that the brilliant pas－ sage which follows is over and above what is needed to defend Ctesiphon（see $\delta_{1} 136^{2}$ ），and asks their attention to it as a personal favour to himself．
\＆101．The orator recurs to the criti－ cal moment in the relations of Athens and Thebes，when both were astounded by the sudden seizure of Elatea，and the great question was whether Thebes should join Philip against Athens or Athens against the invader．
r．১ри̂v：with тapopûvтas（5），фv－入ertomevous，and Exovtas（M．T．904）．
 ing the agency by which the condition described in rapopûrzas etc．was effected， as if the participles were passive．
3．$\pi a p$＇\＆xartposs，i．e．in both Thebes and Athens．For Athens the great danger was that her old enmity against Thebes might prevent her from taking the only safe course，union with Thebes．For Philip＇s way of working，in such cases， see § 61．Dissen contrasts map＇ekarepos， apud utrosque seorsim，in each city，with d $\mu \phi$ отероts（4），utrisque simul，hoth．
4．Tò．．．aúfáveodar：appositive to the omitted antecedent of $8(3)$ ，which is the object of парорâvras etc．



 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ a ̈ ̀ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau a s ~ \epsilon ̇ a v \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ̂ \theta ْ ~$









8102.3 . кal (before $\pi \in \rho i)$ om. A2. тodतd́кıs om. V6. éautô̂s om. $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1}$;
cautoìs els Ai. ${ }^{2}$. 4. дцо入оyoûrtas A2.

\& 188. 2. toútous (corr. to тoútov) $\Sigma$. $4 . \quad$ ínâs V6.
 y mon revtretal (M. T. 339, 340).-rapaтŋpồ 8 setalovv, I kept continual watch.
8. raita: the policy of friendship with Thebes ( (Taútךv Thp $\phi \lambda \lambda / a v$, § $162^{2}$ ),


8 102. 1. 'Aploтoфйvта (see 88704), a leading statesman of the earlier period and a strong friend of Thebes. Aesch. says of him (iII. 139), плеїбтоу xpbyoy Thy toû ßotwriḑerv imouelvas altiav.Ebßoudov ( $870^{4}$ ): see Hist. § 12 ; Grote xi. 387 ; Schaefer I. 186.
2. Boviouivoves and duoүvapovoovias (4) are imperfect, past to elסiss and $\delta 6 \epsilon-$ тelouv; but dvtineyoutas (3), though they opposed one another, is present to duorv., to which it is subordinate. - Tabriv Tilv фiNav: the friendship for Thebes during the oppressive Spartan supremacy, which appeared in the aid privately sent by Athens to Thebes when she expelled the Spartan garrison from the Cadmea in

379 B.c. This friendship was broken after Leuctra in 37 I . See $898^{8}$ and note.
4. ofs: object of ко入aкejur.
5. тap were one of their followers; it means you followed them round or hung on to them in a servile way. Eubulus was one of the ouvtropor who supported Aesch. at his trial for таратребßela (see Aesch. II. 184). The anonymous Life of Aeschines makes him a clerk to both Eubulus and Aristophon.
 ing Thebes in the terms of the alliance in 339-338 в.c. (Aesch. 141-143).
§ 168. 1. dkeio', i.e. to the main point.
 implies that, while Aesch. got up the Amphissian war by himself, he had active helpers in stirring up enmity at Athens against Thebes. When all was ready,





 $\epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．каí $\mu$ оו $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．

$\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{I} \mathbf{\Sigma M A}$ ．










 $\kappa a i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu o \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi o \iota \eta ं \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta a \rho \gamma \eta \lambda \iota \omega ̂ \nu o s ~ \mu \eta \nu o ́ s . ~ i n \rho \epsilon ́ \theta \eta-~$
 Bou入arópas＇A $\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \kappa \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．］





 $\lambda a \beta \omega \omega^{\prime}$ om． $\mathrm{A}_{1} ; \lambda \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$（alone）V6．

For titles here and before $\AA_{165} \mathbf{1 6}, \Sigma$ has $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ILMATA and $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{H \Phi I \Sigma M A}$ ；and before 88166 and 167 AחOKPILEIZ twice（for AMOKPIEIZ）．

5．al $\mu \mathrm{H} . . . \mu \mathrm{u} p \mathrm{~d} v$ ，if we had not roused ourselves a litlle too soon（for the success of the plot）：$\mu x \rho \delta_{y}$ chiefly affects $\pi \rho 0$－．

6．dvalaßeiv，to recover（intrans．）：cf． Plat．Rep． $4^{67}$ в，тон $\pi \delta \lambda_{\text {ev }}$ dớrvarov ava入aßeî．—ovtw with $\mu \dot{\chi} \chi \rho \in \pi \delta \rho \rho \omega$ ，so far．

7．тро门＇yayov，carried it，i．e．the quarrel with Thebes．I follow $\Sigma(\gamma \rho)$ in omitting $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\in} \chi \theta \rho a y$ ，though for a different reason（see critical note）：тठ $\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ would give the right sense，but no object is needed．

8．\＆ทфкора́тшу，dтокрібешv：as
these documents were quoted to show the enmity between Thebes and Athens at the time of Philip＇s invasion，the $\psi \boldsymbol{\eta}$－ $\phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ were probably Athenian decrees enacting measures hostile to Thebes，and the replies were remonstrances or retali－ atory measures on the part of Thebes． Nothing could be more absurd than the two decrees against Philip and the two letters of Philip which appear in the text． See $\S 168^{2}$ ，where Philip is said to have been elated（ $\mathbf{e} \pi a \rho \theta e t s$ ）by the decrees and the replies，i．e．by the evidence of hostility which they showed．

## ETEPON $\Psi H \Phi I \Sigma M A$.
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## AIOKPIEI亡 ©HBAIOIZ.












 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$.

## 





 [aủtà т̀̀] ávaүкаเóтата.


 5. ìv om. AI. 7. aürd̀ $\tau \dot{d}$ dvark. vulg. ; aürd $\tau d$ om. $\Sigma^{1}, L^{1} ; \tau d$ om. $L^{2}$.

§ 168. I. obro: i.e. as the documents showed.
4. is ous $\delta^{\prime} d v$... $\sigma u$ unvoordivtov $d v$, i.e. feeling ( $\dot{s}$ ) that under no possible circumstances would the Thebans and ourselves become harmonious: $\sigma v \mu \pi v e v \sigma d \nu T \omega \nu$ à represents $\sigma \cup \mu \pi \nu \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \mu c y$ d. The MSS. all have ounureurbutur $d y$, which Bekker retains. There would be no more objection to the future participle with dv, representing the fut. indic. with $d v$, than to the latter, or to the fut. infin. with av. It is generally allowed to stand in Plat. Apol. 30 B ; Dem. Ix. 70, and xix. 342. But here it would represent the future optative with $a v$, for which there is no recognized authority. Moreover, the future of $\pi \nu \in \omega$ is not ryev́rw, but rveúvonac or тvevoov̂mat, and this should be decisive (see Veitch). See M. T. 216; and for the repetition of $\alpha$, 223.
6. $\mu$ ккрd dvaүкаибтата: see § $126^{4}$ and note. Most mss. give aürd $\operatorname{Td}$ dvarкatotara here, perhaps correctly.
§8 160-180. Here follows the famous description of the panic in Athens when the news of the seizure of Elatea
arrived, and of the meeting of the Assembly which was suddenly called to consider the alarming situation. This is a celebrated example of $\delta$ carúxwots, vivid delineation.
8 169. 1. The succession of tenses,
 direct form for the indirect), makes the narrative lively and picturesque at the outset. Much would have been lost if he had said $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta e \delta{ }^{\prime} d \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega y$ ris
 vas: the message came to the Prytanes, the fifty senators of one of the ten triles, who for their term of one-tenth of the year represented the authority of the State. Their office was the 0dios or oktds, a round building with a cupola in the dyopd, adjoining the Senate house and the $\mu \eta \tau \rho \hat{\psi}$ ov with its record-office. There the $\begin{aligned} & \text { etordat } \\ & \text { s } \\ & \text { of the Prytanes was ex- }\end{aligned}$ pected to spend his whole day and night of office, with a third of the Prytanes whom he had selected (Arist. Pol. Ath. 44), so as to be accessible in emergencies like the present; and there the State provided meals for all the Prytanes. The 06dos is distinct from the ancient Prytaneum or



 є̇кá ${ }^{\prime}$


5. Toùs om. O. $\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \tau \not \eta \nu \Sigma \mathrm{~L}^{1}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{Y}, \Phi ; \sigma a \lambda \pi เ \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \eta \nu$ vulg.

City Hall, where certain privileged persons (delfırot) had their meals at a public table, to which ambassaiors and other guests of the State were sometimes invited.
3. Tods...бкๆviv: cf. $844^{3}$.
4. Td Y\&ppa, probably the wicker-work with which the booths ( $\sigma \times \hat{p} p a t$ ) in the market-place were covered. The word can mean also anything made of twigs, and is used of a wicker fence which enclosed the $\delta \times \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l a$ (see Harpocr. under $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \notin \rho a$, and Lix. 90 ). But the close connection of the two clauses, drove out those in the booths and burnt the refpa, shows that the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t} \rho \rho a$ which were burnt were taken from the booths. Otherwise there is no reason for driving the poor hucksters out at all. If it is said that this was done to prepare for the "monster meeting" the next morning, we must remember, first, that the Assembly was held in the Pnyx, not in the dropa; and, secondly, that there was to be a meeting of the Senate before that of the Assembly, which would give time enough to make all necessary preparations after daybreak. To suppose, further, that the booths were torn to pieces and burnt on the spot after dark, merely to clear the dyopd, when there was no pressure of time, even if the place needed clearing at all, is to impute to the Prytanes conduct little short of madmen. Such a panic as this senseless proceeding would have caused was surely the last object which these guardians of the State could have had, when they left their supper unfinished and hastened into the market-place. Their
first object certainly was to secure a full meeting of the Assembly the next morning. It will be noticed that while some (ol $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu$ ) of the Prytanes were engaged in clearing the booths, others (oi $\delta \xi$ ) were summoning the ten Generals. The Generals and the Prytanes had the duty of calling special meetings of the Assembly

 tryous kal toùs aputadvets, and II. $59^{11}$ (of
 rifec). There can, therefore, be hardly a doubt that the two acts were connected with summoning the Assembly. To do this effectually it was necessary to alarm the whole of Attica immediately; and the natural method for this was to light bonfires on some of the hills near Athens, which would be a signal to distant demes to light fires on their own hills. A fire on Lycabettus could thus give signals directly and indirectly to the whole of Attica, and probably this was understood as a call of the citizens to a special Assembly. As material for lighting signal fires might not always be on hand, it is likely that the dry covering of the booths struck the eyes of the Prytanes as they came out of their office, and that they took them in their haste for this purpose. Their high authority was needed to prevent resistance on the part of the owners of the booths.
5. वa入пukriv: to give signals with his trumpet.













 $\epsilon i ̉ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda o \mu e ́ v o v s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ै ं ́ \epsilon \iota, ~$



[^16] proceed to business and pass a vote（xpo－ $\beta$ облео $\mu$ ）．

10．dve кalĵto，i．e．the people in their impatience were already seated in the Pnyx ：divw shows that the Assembly sat on a hill，probably in the place now known as the Pnyx．See xxv． 9
 Balveiv．For the identity of this famous place，see Crow in Papers of the Ameri－ can School at Athens，Iv．pp．205－260．
 after the adjournment of the Senate， the senators entered the Assembly．The common reading elojintey wants the best ms．authority．

2．dwírueliav of mpuraivess：the fifty Prytanes were still the chief men in both Senate and Assembly，though at this time （certainly since 377 B．C）the duty of presiding in both bodies was given to nine
$\pi \rho 6 \delta \delta \rho o$, who were chosen by lot each day from the senators of the other nine tribes by the $e^{\pi} / \sigma \pi d \tau \eta s$ of the Prytanes （Arist．Pol．Ath． $44^{7-9}$ ）．The $\pi \rho \delta \varepsilon \delta \rho o 6$ had an exiordens of their own，called
 This is the office held by Demosthenes in the last meeting of the Assembly hefore the departure of the second embassy in 346：see Aesch．III．74；Hist．§ 38．— Tठv ${ }^{7}$ кочта，the messenger who had brought the news：cf．\＆ $\mathbf{2 8}^{8}$ ．

3．Tis dyopeserv $\beta$ oviderat；the regu－ lar formula for opening a debate：cf．$\S$ 1912．Aeschines（III．2 and 4）laments the omission of the additional words，tion

 form．

7．Tòv tpoûve＝bs tpeti，the man to speak（M．T． $\mathbf{5 6 5}_{5}$ ）：cf．${ }^{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{2 8 5}{ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ．
 ả $\mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ тav̂тa，кai єüvovs $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ \pi \lambda o v \sigma i ́ o v s, ~ o i ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$













 in $L$ ． 5．$\mu \eta{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\Sigma}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{AI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ；$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{T}$ vulg． el（before eūvous）om．B．7．elбeote（al above）＇$\Sigma$ ；tiveodac 0 ．

 Vöm．，W＇est．；mporé $\chi$ ovtcs vulg．
8171. 5．of тplaxdorion，the Three Hundred：see note on $8103^{2}$ ．

6．дмффтєра таота：see note on $8139^{11}$ ．

7．Tis meүdias drw86ons，the large contributions，made after the battle of Chaeronea（Hist． 88 80）：川erd таüтa refers to the events which ended in that battle．

8 172．3．тарүка入оvөрк6та，one who had followed the track of events． See xix． 257 （end），and Ev．Luc．i． 3
 （with dive日ev here cf．Tbppovet in 1．6， below）．

7．owitv．．．dreodat，i．e．was nome the more likely to know．I retain $\eta \mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon v$ here and in $8192^{4}$ ，and ${ }^{4} \mu \in \lambda \lambda o v$ in $\$ 101^{4}$ ， with the best mss．and most editors．
 （so the best MSS．），lit．they were not going to join him（in that case）：so hoc facturi erant，nisi venisset（M．T． $\mathbf{4 2 8}^{28}$ ）．
§ 178．1．ofros，that man，whom
 tos évpét $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．

2．\＆．．．dkoírare：relative as obj．of imperative，as we say which do at your peril．For this in $\boldsymbol{\jmath} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \delta \delta \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma o v$ ；and similar expressions，see M．T．253，and Postgate in Trans．of Cambr．Philol．Soc． III．I，pp．50－55．

3．тробनхо́vтеs тdv voiv，attentively， cf．animum advertere．

4．Tiv．．．$\lambda_{\text {urrov，}} I$ did not desert my post of devotion to the state，i．e．I was never guilty of $\lambda$ וтотаگla here．This military figure was a favourite of De－ mosthenes．See III．36，$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ тарахшреî̀

 32， 33 （with the figure often repeated）；
 $\delta$ oxalov $\tau d \xi<v$ ．The same figure is seen in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \eta \pi a \zeta \delta \mu \eta \nu$（1．6），in $\bar{\epsilon} \xi t r a \sigma a l\left(8197^{\circ}\right)$ ，
 （ $8277^{6}$ ），and in $\epsilon \xi \in \tau a \sigma t s$, a mustering（as





Etтov тoívv̀ öть








 $\pi 0 \lambda . \Phi$; $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$ то入. V6.





of troops), a call for $\left(88310^{2}, 320^{\circ}\right)$. Here there is always an idea of being counted in on one side or the other of some contest.

See Jackson's note on efooca in Trans. of Cambr. Philol. Soc. II. P. II5, where he explains the word in Arist. Pol. i. 6 $\left(1255^{2}, 17\right)$ as "loyalty, i.e. the willing obedience which an inferior renders to a kind and considerate superior." He refers to Xen. Oec. vil. 37, Ix. 5, 12, XII. 5-8, xv. 5, Hdt. v. 24, Polus Pythag. in Stob. Flor. IX. 54 (Mein.), olxerầ סè norl
 тovtas кajemovia, and other passages, especially Arist. Eth. IX. $5, \$ 83,4, \delta \lambda \omega s$

 \# ti roooùrov. These examples show that edroca may mean devotion based on any superiority or merit, including loyalty of a subject to a prince or of a servant to his master (even of a dog to his mistress), devotion to a benefactor, and even enthusiasm for the success of a contestant
in the games (felt even by a stranger). Above it means a good citizen's loyal devotion to the state.
5. $\lambda\langle$ үшv... \& $\ddagger$ тaf $6 \mu \eta v$ (see last note), $I$ was found ready (at my post), when the test came, speaking and proposing measures. See West. and BI. Fox (p. 162) thinks that the military figure may refer to the charge of $\lambda$ irotakia at Chaeronea, which Aeschines repeatedly makes against Demosthenes: see Aesch. 152, 159, 175, 176, 244, 253.
7. талА甲 ... фмтеро́терои, far more experienced for the future in the whole administration of the state (xoderelas).
 direct quotation (M.T. 711).
2. ©s... $\Phi \lambda \lambda(\pi \pi \varphi$, in the belief (is) that Philip can depend on the Thebans: cf. $\S \$ 95^{4}, 228^{2}$.- $0_{0}$ opufounfivovs, disturbed: cf. Oopúpov, § $169^{6}$.
 Thebes for his appearance there as a
 $\pi \in \pi \alpha(\eta \pi a l)$, \& $175^{3}$.
















 $\pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \xi a t \mathrm{om} . \Sigma^{1}$（added below the line）．





8175．5．siveka：see note on $\$ 120^{7}$ ． － $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \sigma$ lov $\delta$ ovapur 8 elfas，by making a display of force in their neighbourhood， Elatea being near enough to Thebes to make Philip＇s presence there alarming．
7．（mapal（cf． $\mathbf{~ t \pi a p \theta e l s , ~ \& ~} 168^{2}$ ），with $\pi \kappa \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ and катат $\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi a l$ ，depends on Bovineral understood，this answering $\boldsymbol{T l}$
 answers thyos t̀vera；
 this most vivid form of future supposition here expresses what the orator wishes to make especially prominent by way of warning and admonition，though it happens that this is not what he wishes or what actually occurs．It is an ex－ cellent case of Gildersleeve＇s＂minatory and monitory conditions＂（see Trans．of Amer．Philol．Assoc．for 1876，p．13，and

M．T．447，with footnote）．On the other hand，as $\mu$ evroc тecôĵr＇éco（7）happens to express what he most desires and what actually occurs．This example shows the mistake of supposing that the indicative in protasis expresses more＂reality＂than the subjunctive．Compare the antithesis of subjunctive and optative in $\$ \$_{8} 147,148$ ， with notes．
2．8írwaiov，unpleasant，euphemistic： cf． $8189^{6}$ ．
4．is tv．．．$\mu \mathrm{ep}$（8ヶ，looking at then（wis） in the light of enemies（M．T．864）：cf．


7．dبрф́тероь，Thebans and Philip．
8．«poss т甲̣ бкотeiv．．．yivqoec，devole yourselves to considering：cf．vili． $11, \pi$ pos






 кaì rov̀s imтéas $\delta \in i ̂ \xi a l ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma เ \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ a u ̉ r o v ̀ s ~ e ̇ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ o ̈ ~ o ̈ \lambda o ı s ~$
 $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \tau a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta ı к a i ́ \omega \nu, ~ i ́ \delta o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ̈ ́ \tau \iota, ~$ $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тoîs $\pi \omega \lambda o v ̂ \sigma i ~ \Phi \iota \lambda i ́ \pi \pi \varphi ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \pi a ́ \rho \in \sigma \theta ’ ~ \dot{\eta} \beta o \eta-$





10. Tn̂ $\pi$ b $\lambda$ ec kivouvov R.<br>   (corr. from $\dot{j} \mu$ - ?). 8. rapp $\quad$.  <br>

10. Tiv...Tī דoden : for this order of words see $88190^{2}, 197^{8}, 220^{2}$; vill. 21 , xxi. 63, xxv. 40 ; and for the common order $\xi_{8}^{1} 19^{6}, 188^{6}$. See West., who notices "die so passend gewählten Com-

§ 177. 3. Merafiodat, to turn about,

11. ท̀ $\mu \hat{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{v}$ and dottv are omitted by West. and Bl., though they are found in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. They are not needed.
12. 'Elewoivd 8 , to the plain of Eleusis, " but no further, lest a friendly demonstration should pass for a menace at Thebes" (Simcox). See note on \& $178^{3}$. This was a convenient place for the army to encamp, and they would be within an easy march of Thebes. The mountain road to Thebes by Phyle was more direct, but rougher and with no good camping place.-rovs tv $\eta \lambda_{i k} l_{\text {a }}$ : this term properly included all citizens between 18 and 60 : see Arist. Pol. Ath.

42, 4-6 and 34-37. But those between 18 and 20 always remained at home as фpoupol ; while those between 50 and 60 were not regularly called into service and served as ocauryral, or public arbiters (Arist. Pol. Ath. 53, 20-37). Here the 1000 in $\pi$ eits are excluded from ol to indinip.


 news of the defeat at Chaeronea came, showing that those above fifty were not in the battle.
7. \&\& trov, on an equality with Philip's friends.
9. Tois $\pi m \lambda_{0006}$ to those who would sell (conative) : M.T. 25.
11. ívápXe日' Irounoh, you are ready at hand.
 to give the envoys (by decree) concurrent authority with the board of generals.










[^17]3. тбтe...ixeioce; this question is made a genitive with rov. The subject of Baठljev is iuâs, the Athenian army (West. makes it $\pi \rho \hat{t} \sigma \beta_{e}(s)$. The embassy probably departed for Thebes at once, so as to lose no time in securing the confidence of the Thebans; but the army could not march further than Eleusis until it was invited by Thebes to cross her frontier. This was done in due time ( $\$ 215^{1}$ ), after negotiations at Thebes ( $\$ 82 \mathrm{II}-214$ ). To facilitate this movement when the summons should come, the people were asked to empower the embassy at Thebes, in concurrence with the generals at Eleusis, to order a march to Thebes at any moment, and to decide all questions about the march itself ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \in \xi \delta \delta o u)$.
 the (diplomatic) business.
5. то́vт甲...voôv : this special call for close attention was made to excite the audience with the expectation of hearing just what the embassy was to ask of the Thebans, and to impress them the more by the unexpected answer, $\mu$ ोे $\delta \in i \sigma \theta a$, Oppalwy $\mu \eta \delta \dot{t} \boldsymbol{v}$. It was indeed an unheard of thing for an embassy to be sent to a semi-hostile state in such an emergency, with no demands or even
requests, but with an unconditional offer of military help whenever it might be asked for. Aeschines does not fail to misrepresent this noble act of Demosthenes, and to criticise the course of the embassy:


 Kaঠ $\mu \mathrm{lav}$.
 on the ground that we foresee (the course of events) better than they ( $\delta \delta \mu$ endor is omitted with $\Sigma$ ): cf. Td $\mu$ ो סúvactas троopây, Plat. Theaet. 166 A.
 (in that case) have accomplished what we wish: the perfect subjunctive here and in 1. 13 ( $\bar{\eta} \pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu(v o v)$ expresses futureperfect time, in contrast to the simple future time of $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \omega \mu e V$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma к а \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \nu$ (M.T. 103).
10. mpooximaros, ground of action: $\pi \rho b \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ is what appears on the outside, which may be either mere show or (as here) an honest exhibition of the truth. Cf . the double meaning of $\pi \rho b \phi a \sigma t s$, ground of action or pretext; and see $\tau \rho 6 \theta v \rho a$ and $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ in Plat. Rep. 365 c.
 Hesych.), acc. to Bl., is not elsewhere













 om. Ar.
8180. 1. $\sigma \in \frac{L}{}$; $\sigma e$ vulg. $\dot{\omega} \Delta l \sigma \chi$. Ar.
found in classic writers; but kararvordven occurs in Arist. Pol. Iv. (vii.) 11, I, in a similar sense.
12. airois dyca入öotv, may have themselves to blame.

8179. 1. kal тарапплíгиa: we have here only a single passage of what must have been one of the most eloquent speeches of Demosthenes.
 famous example of climax ( $\boldsymbol{x \lambda i} \mu \mathrm{a} \xi$, ladder), in which the antitheses of $\mu \delta \nu$ and $\delta \delta$ give a wonderful effect. Each of the three leading negatives ( oúk, oú $\delta^{\prime}$, oú $\delta^{\prime}$ ) introduces a pair of clauses of which the second is negative, and which as a whole it negatives. Thus the first ouk negatives the compound idea, I spoke, but proposed no measures; then the positive conclusion thus attained, I did propose measures, is taken as an assumption in the next step. Without the help of $\mu \in \nu$ and $\delta e$ the mixture of negatives would have made hopeless confusion. Quintilian (Ix. 3, 55) thus translates the passage, skilfully using quidem for $\mu t \nu$ and sed for $\delta t:$ non enim dixi quidem sed non scripsi, nee scripsi quidem sed non obii legationem, nec obii quidem sed non persuasi Thebanis.
6. $\mathbf{d \pi \lambda A s}$, without reserve, absolutely. $\rightarrow$ rove...kiwfowovs: for the order see note on $817^{10}$.



§ 180. While the clerk is preparing to read the decree, the orator interrupts his argument and (as frequently happens in such cases) amuses the audience by a few jokes at his opponent's expense.

1. тiva ßoưdac... $0 \hat{\omega}$; (M.T. 287), whom will you that I shall suppose you, and whom myself, to have been on that day? $\epsilon$ trau is imperfect infinitive ( $=\dot{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ) with $\theta \hat{\omega}$, which in this sense takes the infinitive of indirect discourse: cf. Aesch. III. 163,
 Plat. Rep. 372 E , el $\beta$ оú入e $\sigma \theta$ к кal $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a l-$
 $\beta$ óde $\sigma \theta e$ was the principal verb in this construction, and not parenthetical (like
 Dem. IX. 46), though it may have been the reverse when such expressions were first used. We have, in fact, a paralaxis of two independent sentences, not yet quite developed into a leading and a dependent sentence, like cave facias, visne hoc videamus? etc. So soon as the











language allowed a conjunction to connect the subjunctive to $\beta$ oú入et（or $\theta \in \lambda e t s$ ），we find，for example，oencre almw ；developed into oenere tra eltw；as in the New Testament：from this comes the modern өeגere vd eltw；and perhaps the common future 0d（＝0＾तетe vd？）eltwn，I shall say．

2．ßoǜat ipavtiv：sc．$\theta \hat{\omega}$ elvat；－bv ．．．kadionels，i．e．as you would call me， etc．

3．Bártadov：this nickname of De－ mosthenes，which the orator said was given him by his nurse（Aesch．1．126）， probably referred to his lean and sickly look in childhood and youth；and the attempts of Aeschines to give it an opprobrious or even obscene meaning （as in 1．131）are probably mere jibes． See Plut．Dem．4，which gives the most explicit account．－$\mu \eta^{\delta}{ }^{\prime}$ ท̄pee tov Tuxbvta， not even a hero of the common kind：see


4． $\mathrm{d}^{2} \lambda \mathrm{~d} . . \mathrm{\sigma k} \mathrm{\eta v} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ，but one of those （great）heroes of the stage．－Kperdorinv， in the Cresphontes of Euripides，in which Merope has the chief part ：cf．Arist．Eth． 1II．I， 17.

5．Kplorta：Aeschines played Creon in the Antigone of Sophocles as $\tau \rho \tau \tau a \mathrm{w}$－

 rô̂s tputaywviotaîs tò tous tupavyous kal

maov：i．e．this part in the Oenomaus of Sophocles，which represented the chariot－ race of Pelops and Oenomaus，by which Pelops won the hand of Hippodameia． This was the subject of one of the pedi－ ment－groups of the temple of Zeus at Olympia．－кaxف̂s tntrpuqas，you wretch－ edly murdered（as we say of a bad actor）： the object $\delta \nu$ may be understood of either Oenomaus himself or the part．The anonymous life of Aeschines（ 7 ）gives a story，told by Demochares，a nephew of Demosthenes，that Aeschines fell on the stage in acting this part：ixoкpavbuevoy
 As Oenomaus was finally killed，there is probably a double meaning in какஸ̂s
 turgidus Alpinus iugulat dum Memnona， with Dissen＇s note，＂cuius caedem ille miseris versiculis narravit．＂In the deme of Collytus dramas were performed at the Lesser（or country）Dionysia ：èк Ko入入utê is an additional slur on the tragic perform－ ance of Aeschines．See Aesch．1．157，

 Olvbuaos，\＆ $242^{\circ}$ ．

6．Tбтe refers generally to time；кar＇ ukeivov rodv kalpolv to a critical moment．

7．Olvomáv roo Ko0wkCSov：Aeschi－ nes was of the deme Kotwrl $\delta a u$ ．The order is chiastic with Пatauıès Bdrta入os．



## ЧНФIミMA $\triangle$ HMOE®ENOTE.





 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau d े ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s " ~ E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ \nu о \mu \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \delta i ́ \kappa a \iota a, ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~$

















 om. vulg.
$88181-187$ contain the spurious "decree of Demosthenes." Its date, the 16th of Scirophorion (June or July), brought hopeless confusion into the chronology of the campaign before Chaeronea. See Clinton, Fast. Hellen. II. under 338 b.C., and his attempt to reconcile impossible dates in Appendix xvi. The real decree was passed in the autumn or early winter of 339-338 B.C., the year of the Archon Lysimachides. The style of the document is a ridiculous parody of that of

Demosthenes (see § 182), and its length was perhaps suggested by the remark of Aeschines (111. 100) on another decree of
 'Incdoos. Lord Brougham's remarks on this document, written of course in full faith in its genuineness, are now interesting. He says (p. 181) : "The style of this piece is full of dignity, and the diction perfectly simple as well as chaste, with the solemnity of a State paper, but without the wordiness or technicality."


 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \nu a v a ́ a \rho \chi o \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \Pi \nu \lambda \omega ิ \nu, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ t o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~$



 $\pi \lambda a \gamma \in ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Phi i ́ \lambda \iota \pi \pi o \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \tau e ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \dot{e ́ a u t \omega ̂ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~}$

























[^18]the business in coming to a settlement. See Weil's note: "rardoraoss est ici le contraire de тapaxø." Cf. xx. 11, बтetion
 кartorn (after the rule of the Thirty),









 (before tockbres) vulg., om. O ; oudevt or ow
 тoís кaupoîs vulg.
 $\lambda \epsilon \hat{o p}$ кal кa $\theta$ eqт $\quad$ кјдs $\lambda d \beta \eta$. Hermogenes, тepl $1 \delta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ 1. 9 (iII. p. 247 W.), quotes this passage and \& 3994, oo 入(0ous érelíva
 $\rho \in \sigma t s$, with the remark, $\delta \lambda \omega s \delta \varepsilon \tau d$ douv $\delta \epsilon$ -

 $\mu$ aĩos $\bar{\eta}$.
4. таре入letiv somep whor, to pass by like a cloud, or to vanish like a passing cloud. The simplicity of this simile was much admired by the Greek rhetoricians, who quote it nine times (see Spengel's index). See Longinus on the Sublime, 39,



 then discourses on the fatal effect which would result from a change in the order of the words, or from the omission or addition of a single syllable (as ís vépos or $\omega \sigma \pi \in \rho$ el $\nu \in \phi o s)$, though the sense would not be changed: $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aùт $\sigma \eta \mu a i v e$,
 $\pi \in \rho l$ l $\delta \in \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu}$ (iII. p. 367 W.) censures the introduction of $\tau \dot{d} \pi \rho \delta$ тоúт $\omega v$...vंखd тоút $\omega$ between this clause and the preceding

 עан $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho b$.
6. rodrov, i.e. than my measures.

In the last sentence of $\S \mathbf{1 8 8}$, the orator
suddenly breaks of his narrative of the negotiation with Thebes, and digresses into a most eloquent defence of the policy of Athens in resisting Philip, and of his own conduct as her responsible leader. See note on 88 160-226.
8\% 189. 1. नópßovios, statesman.oukoфáveps: no modern word, least of all the English sycophant, gives the full meaning of this expressive term, though the same combination of malicious informer, dirty pettifogger, common slanderer and backbiter, is unhappily still to be seen. Plutarch (Dem. 14) quotes a reply of Demosthenes to the people when they urged him to undertake a certain

 oúd' dàv $\theta \in \lambda \eta r e$. The word must have referred originally to the petty form of prosecution for violation of the revenue laws known as фdots, in which half of the penalty went to the informer. See Ar. Eq. 300: кal $\sigma \in \phi$ alvw roîs $\pi$ purd-
 kotilas. The relation of the word to ovkov is very doubtful. Perhaps the insignificance of a fig as the basis of a process at law may have suggested ouro-
 Eepıl/ $\omega$, Ar. Ach. 542.
4. נrreifuvov, responsible in the full Attic sense, e.g. liable to the efouval and to the $\boldsymbol{\gamma \rho a \phi ो ~ \pi а р а г о ́ \mu \omega y . ~}$


















 $86_{4}{ }^{\circ}$ ）．8．（xoc tis A2．

 tred AI．

6．86oxalov：cf．§ $176^{7}$ ．－及aocalves：

 बıфйvtos．
8 100．1．मiv $\mu$ iv ofv resumes the thought of the last sentence of $\frac{8}{8} 188$.

2．T00．．．drdpos：cf．Lvil．49．For the order see note on $\$ 176^{10}$ ．
3．Têv 8uc．तóywv：with kaupòs（West．， Bl ．），or with фporr（50rros．－rooaúrnv trappodìv mowopat，i．e．I go so far beyond what could be asked of me．

5． $\operatorname{k\eta ิv}$ ：used personally with $\tau c a \lambda \lambda_{0}$ ： cf．$\delta \sigma a$ ivip，\＆ $193^{4}$ ，and XXI．41．So evoviay（io）：such participles are very often

 rodırelas．－d8ıxiv，in its so－called per－ fect sense（M．T．27）．


7．T00т＇．．．8stv 4ul $\mu \boldsymbol{j}$ 入abiv，I say this ought not to have escaped me（at the
 $\mu$ 方 入a日eî．

7，8．al $81 . .$. rimepov：for this compound protasis with a present，a past，and a potential optative united in one suppo－ sition，see M．T．s09：notice the three negatives and the emphatic кal in $\mu$ tr＇
 Timepor，not yet，coen at this day．
9．tôv фauvopevov nall tvorrav，of the plans which offered themselves to us and were feasible．
 question to be addressed to a бukopdutins， not to a $\sigma \sigma \mu \beta$ ounos（8 189）．
6．ot tote：sc．Efelfas．－dada vov （M．T．${ }^{13}$ ）．



192 ' $A \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ тò $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta o ̀ s ~ a ̉ ध i ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ́ \phi \epsilon i ́ \tau a l, ~$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon i s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ r o v ~ \pi \rho o \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ \delta a \mu o v ̂ ~ \beta o v \lambda \eta ́ \nu \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~$


 $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v к о ф a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ i ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~$











3. oủk dmot $\Sigma$, L; oún évérol vulg.
 pur (see Bl.); better with mape入el $\phi \theta \eta$, as

9. pädov, rather than to my own.

8 192. I. dфrital (gnomic), is dismissed from consideration.
3. Tiv...cdatw, i.e. the statesman at his post: $\tau \mathbb{d} \xi \omega v$ keeps up the military
 cation of the general principle to the case
 Chaeronea and its results, Td $\delta$ ' $3 \delta \eta$ Tap $\bar{y}$ to Philip's presence at Elatea. Though these are now past, they were then future and present.
5. Tiv.... $\quad$ ohicalas : see note on $8190^{5}$. $\pi$ poalpeots implies the deliberate choice of a policy which a statesman should make: here and in rd $\sigma u \mu \beta d y \tau a$ oukopdiytel we have again the obußounos and the oukoфávins contrasted. For the precise mean-
ing of trpoalpeats, see Arist. Eth. III. 2 (especially 8 17): $d \lambda \lambda$ ' apd re $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ тpope.
 троalpects metd $\lambda$ byou kal ठcavoias. ívo-
 drefou alperby. Dissen quotes Diod. XI. in on the heroes of Thermopylae:





8 193. 2. Tn̂ $\mu \mathrm{O}$ ㅈy: Chaeronea. -
 in 8 192 ${ }^{6,7}$. See II. vII. 101, aúrdap ถ̈rep-
 $\theta$ eỗu.

5. фट入отóves vimip $\delta$ vivapıv, i.e. with greater labour than my strength warranted: cf. $88160^{6}, 218^{8}$.










6．is om． 0 ．




6．Wvectךनáp $\eta \nu, u n d e r t o o k$（instituted）： cf．$\S 4^{10}$ ．
7．kal dvaymata，and necessary 100 ， added after the verb for emphasis．Blass remarks that the orator has not yet at－ tained the height from which he speaks in 199 ff ．
 recent editors omit in xecmuy on the ground that the orator，after comparing the sud－ den raid of Philip to a thunderbolt，would not weaken his figure by adding a com－ mon storm．This holds good even when we admit that $\chi \in \mu \omega \dot{y}$ and $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi r o s$ are not the same thing；and this is plain from Voemel＇s note．Aristotle（de Mundo，4， 19），after describing кepauvbs，$\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ ， and тифüy，adds ťкaбтov de тоútwy кata－
 $\sigma K \eta \pi \tau \delta s$ ，therefore，is not only a stroke of lightning，but also a furious thunder－ storm；while xecuผv is winter，a winter－ storm，or a storm in general．Perhaps 7 xecmiv here was originally a marginal

2．T（ $\mathrm{Xp} \dot{\eta}$ mouiv（ $\mathrm{sc} . \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}$ ），what ought we to do？Blass and Westermann under－ stand，as the suppressed reply，＂Nothing at all：least of all blame our leaders．＂ But I think a much more precise answer is given in the two following sentences． The sense is：＂What are we to do？ We are to do just what a vaúk $\lambda \eta \rho o s$ would do if any one were to blame him，
etc．He would say＇I was not kußepurb－ tns，＇just as I can say＇No more was I arpar⿻丷木⿰夕㐄巜s．＇＂The apodosis to el ris．．． altıبิтo being suppressed（except $\alpha \mathrm{b}$ ）， its subject vaóк入ŋpos appears in the pro－ tasis as vaúк $\lambda_{\eta \rho o v,}$ and the implied $\omega \sigma \pi \in \rho$
 dv（7）with its quotation，$d \lambda \lambda$＇oúr＇$\langle\kappa v \beta \leqslant \rho$－
 show that the orator identifies the people with himself in the comparison with yaur $\kappa \lambda$ проя．

3．vaík $\lambda \eta p o v$, properly a shipowner， who sails in his own ship（as ${ }^{\prime \mu \pi r o p o s),}$ but generally employs a кußepudrvs or sailing－master to navigate the ship．In Plato＇s famous figure of the ship of State （Rep．vi．p．488），the raúkippos is the honest old man $\Delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ חuvpitys，who knows little of navigation，and is not skilful enough to keep a professional sailing－master in authority，and soon lets the command of the ship fall into the hands of the most artful and unscrupulous landsmen on board．
 pos is said to have met with a storm．－ movnodurav oxeviv，when his tackling laboured（as we speak of a ship as labour－ ing in a heavy sea）．But Blass quotes
 inscription（Dittenberger，Syll．No．367， 207），in support of the meaning was broken．









8. Oठ8E (for ob゙Te) Y.





 apodosis (like the similar one in lines 7 , 8) has two protases, one simply past, the other past with the condition unfulfilled. The apodosis in each case conforms to the latter condition. But we have in line 2
 7 and $8 \pi l$ dy...т $\quad$ оoбок $\hat{\gamma} \sigma a \ll \rho \eta \hat{\eta}$, the two sentences being in other respects similar. We certainly should not notice the difference in sense if the same form (either with or without $4 y$ ) were used in both. And yet the distinction between the two is one of principle, and is generally obvious and important. In the form without ay the infinitive is the word on which the chief force falls, while in the form with \& the chief
 which the ay belongs. Thus $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \eta_{\eta}$ oot èveîy (in this sense) is you might have gone (but did not go), while $\begin{aligned} & \xi \\ & \xi \text { ìv } \\ & \text { ab } \\ & \text { got }\end{aligned}$ enteiv is it would have been possible for you to go in a certain case (but in fact it was not possible). In many cases (as here) it makes little difference to the general sense whether the chief emphasis falls on the infinitive or on the leading verb; and in these the effect of adding or omitting $d y$ is slight. In the present case
 what ought we to have expected (which we did not find ourselves expecting)? and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{l}$ むे «робסoкฑิoal र९भ̂v; what should we then have had to expect (which in fact we did not have to expect)? I have discussed this construction at some length in M. T. App. V., and these two examples in p. 409. La Roche denies the exist-

 looking the present case.
4. máनus dфضेкe фmods, i.e. used all his eloquence: cf. Eur. Hec. 337, rdoas $\phi \theta$ orrds leî $\sigma a$ a and Plat. Rep. 475 A , $\pi$ d $\sigma$ as фwids dфlete. See $8218{ }^{2}$.
5. тprồv पूलepồv óddv, three days' journey, i.e. from Chaeronea (via Thebes) to the Attic frontier at Eleutherae, about 450 stadia. It was about $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ stadia from Eleutherae to Athens; and the whole distance from Chaeronea to Athens is given ( $8230^{2}$ ) as 700 stadia, about 80 miles. (See Bl.)
8. wov here and тóte in 1 . 10 refer only to opposite alternatives (as it was, and in that case), but to the same time.
 is far more eloquent than any description.













 <br> 


9. divanveloat: cf. Il. XI. 80I, $\delta \lambda$ i $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta}$

 never gave us even a trial (of their horrors): dautûy is omitted, leaving reîpay towne absolute. See note on $8107^{5}$. The negative is $\mu \eta \delta \dot{d}$ because the antecedent of $\dot{\alpha}$ is indefinite (M. T. 518 ).
 by the state having this alliance to shield her (lit. holding it before herself). The present infin. emphasizes the continued protection; $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta a \lambda e ́ \sigma \theta a l$ would mean putting it before herself: cf. \& $300^{2}$,

§ 190. I. "Ебтt $\mu$ ot mpds ímàs, i.e. $I$ intend it for you.-raurl mávia rd molld, all this long argument (so West.): Td $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ d may, however, be adverbial, for the most part, chiefly, the sense being all this I intend chiefly for you.
2. тоis териоттикотas, the spectators, of whom great crowds were present: see






3. Bpaxis kal oupris $\lambda$ doys: this he now puts into a dilemma, of which Hermogenes, de Invent. iv. 6 (p. 168 W.),


 dтavtầ $\tau l$ oîn oủ mpoé heves; edz te






4. Kifipke, was enough for him; i.e. this would be a sufficient reply for him. ejthprec sometimes has a force somewhat like that of $\delta$ tкatov $\dot{\eta} \nu$, loov $\dot{\eta} \nu, \kappa a \lambda \partial \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \nu$, etc. when they are classed with $\ell \delta e t, \chi \rho \cap \hat{\nu}$, etc. (M. T. 416). So satis erat in Latin : see Cic. Lael. Xxvi. 96, satis erat respondere Magnas: Ingentes inquit. See Iane's Latin Grammar, 1496, 1497. Cf.

8. taita: the charge of ignorance which you bring against me.















2．taûta（without à）$\Sigma^{1}$（corr．to taùt＇à）；taûta A2．5．of（corr．to oúd＇）$\Sigma$ ．   ＇Apiotbianos vulg．

8 197．2．тa00 d 入／yw，i．e．the events which preceded Chaeronea．

3．Tติ้ $\mathbf{~} \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ，doubtless later matters．
4．Tdे $\pi \hat{i}$ with reference to votes of the people： cf． $274^{5}$ ．

5．Brov，personal，e．g．the danger of a ү $\quad$ афो тараv $\delta \mu \omega \nu$ ：cf． $88235^{9}, 249$.

6．\＆．．． X ррйvтo：sc．el trep＇elmes $\beta e \lambda t l \omega$ ．ds тâra，i．e．in support of my measures．

7．8тبр 8＇div：sc．тotifectey or émol－ noer．

8．Tî $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ：for the order see $8176^{10}$ ． －meroเทк心bs．．．dfíracah，you are shown to have done after the events：cf．Hdt．I．I70，


9．＇Aplotparos，＇ApLotó $\lambda$ ews：these men and the condition of Naxos and Thasos at this time are known to us only from this passage．It appears that these islands were in the power of Alexander， and that the great success of his arms in Asia was having the same effect in them as in Athens，encouraging the Mace－
donian party to vex their opponents by prosecutions．

10．кabdras dX $^{0}$ pol，outright enemies．
 brings out clearly the meaning of roûro $\pi \in \pi 0$ ппк $\dot{s}$（8）．

8108．1．8т甲．．．dmixato，who found matter for glorification in the calamities of the Greeks：dxéketro，were laid up （as material）．

2．dvev8oktreiv occurs only here in classic Greek，acc．to Blass，who remarks on the ease with which such compounds with $i v$ are made，to be used thus in the infinitive：see Thuc．11．44，évevóacho－ $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ and évтe入evtîбal；II．20，غ̀vтра－
 102，évitтeû̃al；Plat．Phaedr． 228 E，
 dactylic hexameter．

3．of autol．．．dx0pois，i．e．the same occasions in which also the enemics of the state have found their advantage．

4．êtvovv，loyal：see note on § $173^{4}$ ．





 $\tau \iota$ каì $\pi a \rho a ́ \delta o \xi o \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ v . ~ к a i ́ ~ \mu o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~$

88 108．5． $8 \ell$ кal $\Sigma$ ，L，Ai．2，B； $8 \ell$ vulg．5，6．то入ıtévy（bis）mss．，Bk．，Bl．


5．\＆ $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{v}$ ไûs，by the iife you live：cf．
 the regular present to $\beta \in \beta \iota \omega x t y a \mu, \beta \iota \hat{\text { not }}$ being in common use．（See Bl．）－$\pi 0 \lambda_{l}$－ rever（mss．－ev́p）：see note on §8 $119^{4}$ ．

6．трárтeral．．．Aloxivps and dert－
 conditional expressions，－suppose some－ thing is dome，etc．See \＆274．Dissen quotes Cicero＇s imitation（Phil．11．22，55）： Doletis tres exercitus populi Romani interfectos：interfecit Antonius．Deside－ ratis clarissimos cives：eos quoque nobis eripuit Antonius．Auctoritas huius ordinis afflicta est ：afflixit Antonius．

8．戶戶斤үата каі бтаболата，тиртитеs and strains：$\hat{\beta} \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ is a rupture，either of the flesh or of a vein；$\sigma \pi d \sigma \mu a$ is properly the state of tension which may lead to a rupture，though the two terms seem sometimes to be used in nearly or quite the same sense．Hippocrates，de Flat． 11 （Littré vi．p．109），says of ruptures of the flesh：tà ot potruara

 $\tau\rangle \nu \quad$ ठсdбтаби tobyoy raptée．And de Morb．I． 20 （Litt．vi．p．176），of the veins：ікбтар 76


 of $\sigma \pi d \omega$ ，apparently what Demosth．calls $\sigma \pi d \sigma \mu a)$ ：further，tylowol $\delta \epsilon$ ，óкbтav yeviral

 a кal ка入éoúr 户ウ่ $\gamma \mu a \tau a$ ．Again in 822


 тal，Td ot botepor xpovч draфаiverat．

Galen，de Meth．Medendi iII．I（x．p． 160，Kühn），distinguishes кdтarرa，frac－ ture of a bone，jîrua，rupture of the fesh， and $\sigma \pi d \sigma \mu a$ ，rupture of sinews．See also de Morb．Differ．II（vi．p．872，Kühn）：
 pevous dotl．ounlotatal ot to $\mu$ ty tr

 $\theta \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ ．
§ 199．1．modis fyxestan，is severe （presses hard）upon：cf．Thuc．Iv．22， Hdt．vil．158，and note on todiç peavtı on \＆ $136^{7}$（above）．
2．Tt kal mapd8ofov：the orator now rises to a new height．Heretofore he has maintained vigorously（as in 8 194）that the policy of Athens in opposing Philip under his lead was sound and hopeful， and that he cannot justly be censured now，even if events have shown the ＂mistake＂of waging war against the Macedonian power．He now suddenly changes his ground，and declares that there has been no＂mistake，＂that no other policy was possible for Athens with her glorious antecedents，even if the whole future，with Chaeronea and its baneful consequences，had been foreseen from the beginning．This is the final answer to the petty criticisms of Aeschines ＂after the events＂（ė̃i тoîs $\sigma v \mu \beta$ âouv， \＆ $197^{8}$ ）．Fox（Kranzrede，p．172）says： ＂Niemand soll ihm irgend welche Ver－ legenheit anmerken，deshalb gesteht er





 $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \tau \nu \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu$ - ठокє̂̂ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, ò $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к о \iota \nu o ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~$







 kal $\mathrm{O}^{1}$.
 O (mg.), $\Phi$, Dion. 6. dкovectc $\Sigma$; dкovirel Bl . obx tra AI.


nicht nur das Paradoxe seiner Behauptung selbst zu , sondern macht auch die in der
 liegende Concession durch Häufung der Ausdrücke so grossmüthig und riickhaltslos, dass jedermann die Zuversicht und Siegesgewissheit des Sprechers von vornherein mitempfindet."-kal $\mu$ 上v...Aav.

 к. т. ., both quoted as examples by Ti berius repl $\sigma \chi \eta \mu d \tau \omega \nu \quad$ (viil. p. 535, W.).
 Aeschines himself joining in the general warning adds greatly to the picture.
6. 8s oûd' dq0 $1 \times 5 \mathrm{~s}$, you who did not even open your mouth.-ovi8' ouras, not even then: oftws sums up in one word the whole of the preceding condition (4-6).
 dтобтท̂va.


8 200. I. vov $\mu$ 2v....tóre $8^{\prime}(3):$ see note on § $195^{8}$.
2. drorvxity, to have failed (in secur-
 objects, opposed to the high principles which would have been sacrificed in the other case ( $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ ).
3. $\mathbf{~} \mathfrak{F}$ மofara (imperf.), while she had claimed, followed by the aorist dжогтâбa, and then withdrew, both past to $\begin{gathered}\text { oxev } \\ d v .\end{gathered}$ We might have had $\# \xi l o v$ and drt $d \sigma \pi$ :

6. dkovirl, without a strucgle, sine pulvere; cf. xix. 77.-ou'S'va 8vтiv' oúX, emphatic equivalent of $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{v a}$ : the natural nominative oúठeis $\delta \sigma r$ rs oú ( $=\boldsymbol{\pi a ̂} s$ ) is illogically declined.
7. $\sigma 00$ (accented), with special em-phasis.- $\mu \eta$ Ydp (sc. $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon$ ), don't say the state, nor me: $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ and $\ell \mu 0 \hat{v}$ continue the case of $\sigma 0 \hat{0}$.
 how should we now (dare to) look in the face, etc.?






 $\Theta_{\eta} \beta a i ́ \omega \nu$ каì $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ध̈ $\epsilon \iota \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ i \sigma \chi \nu \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \gamma \in \nu 0-$





 ( $\rho$ merns alone legible).



2-7. d rd $^{\mu}$ v....ip pquivps: this elaborate protasis has three divisions ; ( 1 ) el rd
 (3) кal тav̂ra...j̀pqutyns. The clause
 the preceding el $\mu i \nu$ шeptéct $\eta$, and $\tau \partial \nu \delta \prime$ (not inemisy $\delta^{2}$ ) corresponds to rd $\mu \dot{d}$. The first division, el...d dadvrav, contains no unreal condition, except in combination with the second; but the protasis as a whole does express an unreal condition: see M.T. 511 .
3. els 8 \%ep ruvi, to the present state, explained by the following clause.
4. Tov...dYûva, the fight to prevent this.
5. Hrepor Xupls funv: this pathetic picture of Athens sitting still and seeing others fight the battle for Grecian liberty becomes more effective when we remember (what Demosthenes never forgot) that Greece at this crisis had no state except Athens able or willing to take the lead, or any important part, in such a struggle. See $\$ 8304,305$, where the orator speaks freely and openly on this point.
5. mal ra0̂ra, and this too, introducing the participial clause which completes the supposition.
 312.-mapd Oqßalov: in the time of Epaminondas.
3. тapd... Aaxesaupoviav: after the Peloponnesian war, and before Leuctra.
 the order given to Mardonius before the battle of Plataea, reported to Athens by Alexander, king of Macedonia (Hdt. viII.

 тwa de è $\theta \in \lambda \omega \sigma$, еोvтes aùtbvo Hdt. IX. 4, 5 ; Dem. vi. 1 I.
4. 8 тt ßойдетаи...троеттdivas: i.e. to keep her own and receive anything she wanted, on condition of being subject to Persia. Logically the participles and infinitives would be interchanged, as toûto, the subject of $\varepsilon 86 \theta \eta$, is not moetiv and $\epsilon \hat{\text { ann }}$, but $\lambda a \beta o u ́ \sigma \eta$ and $e^{\chi} \chi o v \sigma \eta$. But the present form gives greater emphasis to the disgraceful part of the proposition, which is in the infinitives.














[^19]sิ 203. 2. is louke, spoken with sarcasm: cf. § $212^{5}$ (Bl.).-TdTpia, i.e. inherited from their ancestors.-ous' dvekrd implies that they revolted morally
 against their nature as Athenians.
3. in mavods rot xporov, from the beginning of time, a rhetorical $\dot{i \pi e \rho} \rho \beta=\lambda t$, as in $\S 66^{5}$; in $\S 36^{7}$ it means from the beginning of the transaction in question.


5. mpooteniv $\eta v$, taking the side of, attaching herself to: cf. $8227^{3}$.-doфa入ios Soudnever: the same idea of security in slavery is found in the speech of Pericles, Thuc. in. $6_{3}$ (end).-dyworifopivn, as partic. of manner, modifies kurouvéóvóa дсатетелеке.

§ 204. 2. ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{c} \sigma$ v, moral feelings: see note on $8114^{2}$.
4. dydनauro: Blass accounts for this epic aorist by the rhythms of $d \lambda \lambda^{\prime} d y^{\prime} w 150-$

 Cobet emends it to $d \gamma a \sigma \theta e l \eta$.
 before the battle of Salamis when, by the advice of Themistocles, Athens was abandoned to Xerxes, and all was staked on a sea-fight: so vi. ir. See Cicero, Offic. III. 11, 48: Cyrsilum quendam, suadentem ut in urbe manerent Xerxemque reciperent, lapidibus obruerunt. Herodotus, Ix. 5, tells a similar story of the stoning of a senator named Lycidas, with his wife and children, before the battle of Plataea, when Mardonius sent his second message to Athens (for the earlier message see note on $\S \mathbf{2 0 2}$ ).
 the gen. of the infin. for a final clause, as in $\S 205^{8}$, and in Aesch. III. I, йтغ $\rho$ tov.... $\mu$ 市 $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$.
8. Tòv vixaxovietv drodpváqevov, who declared himself for obedience: generally

 ả $\lambda \lambda$ à каì ai $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon S$ ai ì $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a i ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa ’ ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ . ~ o v ̉ ~ 205 ~$











9．Kvpai入ov L，vulg．；Kúpoci入oy $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ．
 Dind．，West．，Bl．；om．$\Sigma$ ，L¹，Bk．，Vöm．，Lips．
 Өavd́rov 5，L，F（ $\gamma \rho$ ），$\Phi(\gamma \rho)$ ，vulg．；om． O ．


$$
5
$$

9．катадıBójavtes：acc．to Bl ．，the only Attic example of кaraגt $\theta 6 \omega$ for каталеи́ш．

10．al pratikes．．．avirot ：the vividness of the picture in the easy flowing narrative is heightened by the irregular insertion of a new subject，al guvaîkes，as if without premeditation．Aristides（46，p．287） tells the story more grammatically，but far less forcibly：$\sigma v \lambda \lambda e \gamma(v \tau \epsilon s$ пdutes кate－
 үvvaîka aúrov̂．

With this and § 205 compare the speech of the Athenian envoy at Sparta more than a century earlier，Thuc．1．73－75．
§ 20s．3．St＇8тоu Souneúrovatv ： final relative．With $\delta$ ounećซovauv ètvxûs
 $\rightarrow \mathrm{dl} \mu \mathrm{j}$ forat，if they could not（were not to be able）：$\epsilon i \mu \eta$ ） $\mathbf{\xi \xi \in \sigma o c t o ~ m i g h t ~ b e ~}$ used（M．T．694，695）．
5．ouxl．．．yरүvท̄otat：cf．Plat．Crit． 50 D－51 B；and Arist．Eth．1．7，6， ro $^{\prime \prime}$


 $\mu b \nu \varphi$ and roveviol both depend on jûvit （living for himself alone，and living also for parents etc．），as $\pi a \tau \rho l$ ，$\mu \eta \tau \rho l$ ，and rovê̂́at in Demosthenes depend on re－

G．D．
rev $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \sigma \theta a c\end{aligned}$ ．The passage of Aristotle is sometimes called ungrammatical！
 death of Fate，i．e．death at an appointed time，opposed to voluntary death，as when one gives his life for his country
 Marov div．is natural（opposed to violent） death．The two are really the same， from different points of view（see West．）． Aulus Gellius（xili．i）discusses the say－ ing of Cicero（Phil．1．4，10），multa autem impendere videntur practer naturam etiam praeterque fatum，and decides that Cicero means the same by naturam and fatum， both being opposed to violentam et inopi－ natam mortem．After quoting the present passage of Demosthenes，Gellius thus concludes：Quod Cicero fatum atque naturam videtur dixisse，id multo ante Demosthenes $\tau \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \rho \omega \mu t \nu \eta \nu$ et $\tau \delta \nu$ aút $\delta$－
 $\theta$ dyaros，quasi naturalis et fatalis，nulla extrinsecus vi coactus venit．（See Dissen＇s note．）


9．Sovdeiourav：see M．T．885．With the pres．partic．cf．$\mu \phi \mu^{\prime}$ lठeív $\theta a>\delta y \theta^{\prime}$ ， not to see me killed，Eur．Orest． 746.














 $\chi$ роушy (0 over each $\omega$ ) B.
$88208-210$ conclude the digression which begins in $\S 188$. The orator here appeals to the judges not to convict Ctesiphon, as this will be a condemnation of the people of Athens for maintaining the ancient glories of the state, the glories of Marathon and Salamis.

 Fovi $\mu \mathrm{ot}$ : this combination of a present unreal condition, if I were undertaking, with a future conclusion, everybody would justly consure me, is rare, and perhaps strictly illogical. Several good mss. and Dionysius (p. 1054) have |  |
| :--- |
| $\pi$ |
| $\epsilon$ |$/ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$, which Blass adopts. But this past apodosis would compel us to make el èrexeipouv past also, if $I$ had been undertaking, which would greatly weaken the whole sentence. We should expect an imperfect with $a \nu$ in the apodosis; and this is implied, though not expressed, in the somewhat condensed form which we have. The real meaning is, if I were (now) undertaking to tell you this, the result would be that all would justly censure me. This could have been rather pedantically expressed by oúk àv inv ơoris, but oúx $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ botes is much smoother and more natural.

Our ambiguous would only conceals the difficulty. (M.T. 504.)
5. Scakovias, i.e. what he terms the menial service is all that he claims for himself. This is in striking contrast with his claim for full recognition of his public services elsewhere : cf. $\$ 8297-300$. But in this grand glorification of Athens and her noble services to freedom, the more he depreciates himself and exalts the state, the stronger does he make his argument that the condemnation of Ctesiphon now would be a condemnation of Athens herself and of all her glorious history.

Notice the antitheses in this passage:first, the main one, el $\mu \hat{v} \nu$ and $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \varepsilon$ : then, within the latter, t $^{2} \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\mu} y$ and oiros
 $\pi p o u p t \sigma t s$ and diakovias.
§ 207. I. Tஸ̂v $8 \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega v$ : opposed to $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} s$

2. Tท̂s als тd тaporv тนท̂s: i.e. the crown.
3. Td...ไүк®́pl': i.e. your glories of the past will be lost for all future time if they are condemned by your vote today.
4. dфalpeftral is conative: cf. $\S \mathrm{I}^{\mathbf{1}}$.



 каì $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ~ к i ́ \nu \delta v \nu o \nu ~ a ́ \rho a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, ~ \mu a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ M a \rho a \theta \hat{\omega ̂ \nu ı ~ \pi \rho о к ı \nu-~}$


5．oú om． $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ ．катаұ$\eta \phi l \zeta e \sigma \theta e \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ ．6．т $\hat{\eta}$ om． O ．Two letters erased before dyvouootvp（ $-\nu \eta$ for $-\nu \eta c) \Sigma$ ．

 4．Платєtaîs（aus corr．，and at over $\epsilon$ ）$\Sigma$ ；Платauâ A r．

5．towil，Ctesiphon，like rourovl in $815^{5}$.

6．dyvapooívn，harshness（want of feeling）：cf．§ $252^{1}$ ．$d \gamma \nu \omega \mu o v \omega$ may mean to be thoughtless or inconsiderate：cf． $8894^{2}$ ， $248^{6}$ ．

7．td oumßávra，what befell you， including Chaeronea．
§ 208．The famous oath by the heroes of Marathon，Plataea，Salamis， and Artemisium here follows．The grandeur of this solemn invocation of the shades of the mighty dead，to support the orator in his last and noblest assertion of the true spirit of Athenian liberty，will strike the most indifferent reader．We do not envy one who is strong enough to read this passage without emotion．Lord Brougham says：＂The whole passage， which ends here，and begins $\epsilon l$ yde $\quad$ raûta троеіто dкоутт（ $\$ 200$ ），is deserving of close study，being one of the greatest pieces of declamation on record in any tongue．＂See Longinus on the Sublime




 oúd＇of tv इa入a












 （111．pp．246， 247 W．）：tri $\mu e \theta 6 \delta o v ~ \lambda a \mu-$
 （gloriosa etiam gloriosius extulit，Dissen），
 Mapat̂̀rt к．т．入．Among the noted expressions of admiration in ancient writers cited by Reiske and other older editors are Aristid．Art．Rhet．I．1， 7 （IX．pp．344， 345 W．），Clem．Alex．Strom． vi．2，20．Quint．XI．3， 168.

1．ou่k toтty．．．ทㅆ́pтетs，it cannot be
 See critical notes on $8547^{5}$ and $52^{1}$ ．
 v．5．－ $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{d}}$ rovs：most mss．prefix ov่， which $\Sigma$ omits，$\mu d$ generally implying a negation．－rovis．．．mpoybver（thase of）our ancestors who bore the brunt of battle at Marathon：$\pi$ poncvouveíw is here stand forvard（as mpomaxos）to face the foe； from its idea of contending it may take a dative like $\mu \mathrm{d}$ хо $\mu \mathrm{a}$ ，as in Thuc．1．73，
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \beta a \rho \beta \alpha \rho \psi$, a passage which may have suggested т $\quad$ orivovedíavras to Demo－ sthenes here．Further，mpoкıvovevíw，like
 incur danger（or contend＇）for（ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho 0-$ ）any－ one，as Xen．Hier．x．8，троуоoṽ九 kal















 12. KEXpๆtal V6.
 tढ̄̃ om. Ai.
 Vesp. $987, \sigma$ ov $\pi \rho \circ \mu d \chi \in \tau a l$. But the frequent use of $\dot{v} \pi \in \rho$ with such genitives makes plain the other force of $\pi \rho 0-$; as Isoc.
 $\pi \rho o \kappa w \delta \nu v e \dot{\sigma} \sigma a y t a s$, and Lys. xviil. 27 ,
 $\tau \omega y$, where the meaning is the same as in the present passage. See also Il. XI.
 to fight far in the front of all, and Xvir.
 (cf. vss. 357-359), with the same force
 used absolutely.-Mapa0ஸ̂vt: as the name of an Attic deme, this is usually a locative dative; but here all mss. except $\Sigma$, and most quotations, prefix $\mathrm{Ev}^{2}$.
5. Iv इanapivn : this battle was fought at Salamis; the other sea-fight was off ( $6 \pi^{\prime}$ ) Artemisium. The two land-battles are mentioned first, and then the two seafights in the order of importance.
 tombs were in the outer Ceramicus, on the road leading to the Academy : see Paus. I. 29, Thuc. II. 34- Those who
fell at Marathon were buried on the battlefield, as a special honour.
7. dya0ovs avopas, in apposition with the preceding accusatives: this was by no means a weak term of praise with Demosthenes: cf. 1. ro.-ópolems and Tîs aưTทีs mutually strengthen each other.
9. aưTشิv : I adopt this partitive gen. rather than aúroús (found in $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}^{1}$ ), as I am not convinced that aúroús can have the force of especially (distinguished from others), ipsos solos (Rauchenstein): see Vömel's note. In defence of English, we may note that this renowned passage, perhaps the most effective ever spoken by an orator, has no less than fifty sigmas in sixty-seven words.
\& 209. The descent from the impassioned patriotic eloquence of the preceding passage to the personal vituperation of this is depressing.

1. үраццатоки́фшv: dขті той үрал-
 rpdooucuy (Etym. Magn.). Cf. $826 \mathrm{r}^{3}$.
2. трбтана...D_1eys: see Aesch. 181.
3. тpırayoviord: effectively chosen


 סıavoías $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \tau a ́ s ~ t ' ~ i \delta i ́ a s ~ \delta i ́ k a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ t a ̀ s ~ \delta \eta \mu o \sigma i a s ~ к р i ̀ v e \nu, ~$






  5. Epr. кal »b $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ O. 8. ঠeî om. O .

with reference to $\pi \rho \omega t e l \omega t$, which refers to Athens as competitor for the first prize in the political drív, in which Demosthenes is her adviser.
 spired by whose spirit? Our language generally refuses to translate an interrogative or relative with a participle or infinitive: we may say with whose spirit should I have been inspired when etc.?
§ 210. I. \&exales $\mu$ fvTd̂v drtfavov, but (in that case) I should have deserved to die. $\mu$ evtà by crasis for $\mu$ evtoc an: tot $a$ becomes $\tau d y$, but whether $\mu^{\prime} v$ - should retain its accent is doubtful.
3. 8ıavolas, spirit (way of thinking). -islas, $8 \eta \mu$ oolas: this has no reference to the ordinary distinction of rpapal and 8ika, public and private suits, which correspond generally to our criminal and civil processes. Here $\delta l k \eta$ has its widest legal sense of lawosuit in general, including both rpaøy and $\delta(k \eta$ (in its narrower sense). ticat $8 i \mathrm{kal}$ are those which concern individuals and their ordinary business relations ( $\sigma v \mu \beta \delta \lambda a u a)$, which of course must be judged with reference to special statutes ( $\epsilon \pi i$ lot $\omega \nu \nu 6 \mu \omega \nu$, cf. $\ell \pi$ ' d $\lambda \eta$ \#eias, $\delta^{2} 22^{1}$ ), which may change from year to year, and to sfecial facts ( $181 \omega y$ $\left.{ }^{8} \rho \gamma \omega v\right)$, without regard to the general policy or the traditions of the state: even criminal suits (rpapal) which involve nothing more than the rights or acts of
individuals would be included here. But $\delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma$ cul 8 ixac are suits like the present one, which involve a judgment on the general policy of statesmen (kouds mpooupefets), whose acts are not prescribed by special statutes, but must be governed to a great extent by general principles and traditions of state: these, the orator says, must be judged by reference to the glorious deeds of the past. Demosthenes insists here, as elsewhere, that the only real question involved in this case is that of his own statesmanship and his fidelity to the best traditions of Athens, while Aeschines constantly urges the court to treat it as a common 186 la 8 ky and settle it by reference to ordinary facts and petty details. (See Aesch. 199, 200.) Aeschines saw that here lay his only chance of success in his suit.
 his staff and his ticket : each judge, who was appointed to sit in any court for the day, received in the morning a staff painted with the same colour as the lintel ( $\sigma \phi \eta$ viokos) of the court house in which he was to sit; after entering the court, he gave up his staff to an officer, who gave him a ticket ( $\sigma$ ( $\mu \beta 0 \lambda o v$ ), which entitled him to receive his fee of three obols ( $\delta c$ кaбтukby) after his day's service. See Arist. Pol. Ath. $63^{7}$ and col. $3^{3-15}$, with Sandys's notes; Meier and Schömann, pp. 160-162. - ppovipa : see $_{8} \mathbf{2 0 9}{ }^{6}$.
 10 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ．
















9．eloıท̂re Ai．dectaus．

 om．V6．іиет．V6．7．éкeivav A3．өapбeîs O．
 6．ßou入ev́ecӨat Y．oúठ̇̀v $\Sigma$ ；oúঠevds L，vulg．7．кal（before кard）om．L．

8 211．He now returns to the ac－ count of the embassy to Thebes，from which he digressed in $\$ 188$.

4．dфuxб⿲et＇：i．e．the ambassadors．－


 K入éapXov Maxeঠ́bras，$\Delta$ d́oxov dè Өerra入d̀ каі Өрабvסaîov，àvtepoûvtas（sc．$\Delta \eta \mu$－ $\sigma \theta \in v e c)$ ．

5．ovpudXev ：see Philocth．frag． 135,

 Өertanầ，Alviavî̀，Alt $\omega \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}, \Delta 0 \lambda 6 \pi \omega \nu$ ， $\Phi \theta t \omega \tau \hat{\omega} y$ ．＇A $\theta \eta v a l u y$ סè кatd tòv aútòv





8 212．These words were spoken while the clerk was preparing to read the letter：cf．§ 180.

2．Tdv кalpodv：see Aesch．137－14I and 237－239；esp．\＆8＇elfaywv iv ímâs
 $\sigma u \mu \mu a \chi i a s, d \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oó $\Delta \eta \mu 0 \sigma \theta t \nu \eta s(141)$ ．

3．is dripers：see note on $\$ 85^{5}$ ．
4．TúXワV：see Aesch． 157.
6．नuvalitios，partner，opposed to $\mu$ bros altcos（8）．
 $\mu \in \nu$ ．



## EПIETONH．

 éкєívovs $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu ~ \tau a ́ \xi \iota \nu ~ e ́ k \epsilon i ́ v o v s ~$









[^20]§ 218．I．Tiv tecingolav：i．e．at Thebes．The narrative is continued from sini．

2．ті̂v $\sigma \sim \mu \mu \alpha^{x} \mathrm{av}$ ：i．e．of Thebes．
5．Toे кeqdiacov，adverbial，in short．
 benefits they had recieived，evi wdoxely being the passive of ei mocir：this cor－

7．aúrovs：the Thebans，while aùroùs in 8 refers to the Macedonians．
8．dwortpws $\beta$ oundovtal，in whichever zeay they pleased，in the mood and tense of the direct form，the exhortation being take vengeance in whichever way you
 been used：but this might stand for dro－ Teppus av $\beta$ oú入ท⿱亠䒑日e（future）．－Suvvias avi－ rovis，i．e．by letting them pass through Boeotia into Attica．The aorists סoteras and ouveцßa入brtas have the better au－ thority here：when an aor．partic．denotes
that in which the action of a verb（usually aorist）consists，so that they really de－ signate one act，the two may coincide in time，as in Plat．Phaed． 60 c ，e $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ érolnoas dyaurtioas $\mu \in$ ，you did well to remind me．（See M．T．150，with the examples．）One of the arguments used to persuade the Thebans is given by Aristotle（Rhet．11．23 ${ }^{6}$ ）：кal $\pi d \lambda_{\mathrm{e}}$



 i．e．if Philip had asked for a passage through Boeotia before he helped the Thebans against the Phocians（in 346 B．c．），they would have granted it；it would be absurd now for them to refuse it because he had thrown away that opportunity，trusting in their good faith （for the future）．（See Cope＇s note．）

10．ix $\mu$ ev．．．．oveßoúdevov，as a con－











 5．iŋүớцevoc before reyevifotau A2．
sequence of following their advice，opposed
 ments here given are of the gross material kind which were generally supposed to have weight at Thebes．Demosthenes （\＄214）seems to imply that his own argu－ ments were of a higher character．
 （see crit．note）．－rd $\mu \mathrm{lv}$ ка0＇Iкадта，
 and $\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{a} s \delta \delta$ ，is in antithesis to $\delta \pi t \delta^{\prime}$ ouv treloaper（i．e．the sum of what we ac－ complished）in 1． 6.

2．dvrl．．． $\mathbf{T o 0} \beta$ lov，as we might say，$I$ would give my life：cf．тч $\mu a ̂$ and $\tau \tau \mu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ used of estimating the penalty in a law－
 e $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ．It is not hard to see why Demos－ thenes should be unwilling to repeat any part of this brilliant speech．The hope of brilliant successes of the allies against Philip，which he probably held out，had been disappointed by the crushing defeat at Chaeronea；and the destruction of Thebes three years later must have made the whole tone of this speech now sadly untimely．Plutarch（Dem．18）gives a graphic account of the Theban assembly and of the address，which was probably one of the orator＇s greatest efforts：rò $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$







 xdpev ékßa入eîv aùroùs èvoovocîvtas únd


 ectac $\delta \epsilon 6 \mu \mathrm{evov}$ elp力ipys．（The last sentence refers to the proposals for peace of which Aeschines speaks in III．148－151．）
 would think，eivoul（乡ere drv）if you believed （el thyeíन $\theta$ ），etc．（M．T．227，868）．Strictly we should have either $\omega \sigma \pi \in \rho$ à $e l$ tryeío $\theta \in$ （impf．）or $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\circ} \pi \pi \in \rho$ àp thoúpevoc（ $=e l$ ì $\gamma$－ eí $\theta \epsilon$ ），since a conditional participle is not regularly preceded by el（M．T．＋72）． But it would seem that the colloquial use of $\omega$ б̈rep à ci（or $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho a \nu e l), ~ q u a s i, ~ s o m e-~$ times caused the true ellipsis to be over－ looked and the $\epsilon l$ to be irregularly added． Somewhat analogous is the use of oüvera （ovi tvexa）as a preposition for tvexa．－кal катаклvouov；i．e．also a deluge，as well as the lapse of opportunity（ $\pi$ ape $\lambda \eta \lambda u \theta b$ orwn
 objective genitive after катак $\lambda \omega \sigma \mu \nu$ ．
 $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a v \tau i ̀ \lambda a \beta \omega \in \nu$.

## AIOKPIEEİ OHBAISN.






 7. tauti $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{L}, \Phi, \mathrm{V} 6$; raûra AI ; toutl vulg.


6. $8 \pi$...drekplvarro (omitting a with
 are the same thing.
8. 215. I. Indiouv imas: this is what Demosthenes provided for in \& $_{1} 7^{2,0}$ (see notes), when he proposed to give the embassy concurrent power with the generals over the movements of the army. This march to Thebes, after the answer of the Thebans had been sent to Athens ( $\mu$ erd taôra), is commonly thought to be directly opposed to the account of Aeschines in III. 140 : Dissen exclaims indignantly, "Haeccine manifesta mendacia potuisse coram judicibus dici!" But Aeschines says only that the march to Thebes took place $\pi \rho l v \pi \in \rho l \sigma v \mu \mu a \chi$ ias $\mu i a \nu \mu b \nu \eta \nu \quad \sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta \eta \eta \quad \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi$ al $\Delta \eta \mu 0 \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta \nu$. Now that the decree of Demosthenes ( $181-187$ ), which provided for $\sigma v \mu \mu a x l a y$
 we have no reason for thinking that any formal treaty of alliance preceded the invitation of the Athenian army to Thebes.
 tioned implied no such treaty, which Demosthenes could have proposed only after his return to Athens. It appears from the criticisms of Aeschines on the terms of the treaty ( $141-144$ ) that it was an elaborate document; and it is probable that it was not made and ratified until some time after the march to Thebes, which required no further legislation than
the decree appointing the ambassadors ( 8 188). It must be remembered that Demosthenes ( 8178 ) proposed that the embassy should simply offer the Athenian army to Thebes without insisting on any formal terms, eта

 ferred to the Athenian army, who are supposed to have first encamped outside the city and afterwards to have been invited to enter Thebes and occupy the houses. It is surely far more natural and agrees better with the context to understand that, while the Theban infantry and cavalry (i.e. the whole army) were encamped outside the walls, ready for a march, the Athenian army was quartered in the town. The lack of a pronoun to designate which army is meant is felt in both interpretations; but as the subject is the Thebans, it is more natural to refer the absolute clause to them. Again, the emphasis given twice to raîoas kal juvaîras (4 and if) implies that the men were absent ; and ' ' $\phi$ ' $\dot{\mathrm{v}} \mu \mathrm{î}$ тoctofavers (12), as a testimony to the $\sigma \omega \phi p o \sigma i v \eta$ of the Athenians, implies this still more strongly. And yet the words in dispute are the only possible reference to this absence in the whole passage. Indeed, rather than refer $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi} \omega . . . \delta \nu \tau \omega \boldsymbol{y}$ to the Athenians, we should almost feel justified in supplying some word like éautūy or O$_{\eta} \beta a i \omega v$ (in 3).
















§ 216. I. *ẫu $\Sigma$, L, Aı. 2; ӓтаouv vulg. 3. tồ orpar. L, vulg., Bk. Anecd. 160, Bk., Dind., West., Lips.; tồ om. $\Sigma$, Vöm., Bl. +. ekd入e $\sigma \in \nu$ O.


6. kal' úpîv, upon you, as in vi. 9 , $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \quad$ ধ $\gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ov}$, not in its common hostile sense. See Arist. Pol. III. 13, 14,
 elo، yomos, in respect to (?) such men there is no law, for they are a law unto themselves. In the parallel passage of St Paul, Gal. v. 23, кard têv rocoúrwy is translated against such, adversus (Vulgate), wider solche (Luther), perhaps wrongly. See Rom. ii. 14, éautoiss elot vouos, where we have the rest of the passage of Aristotle.
10. Suxarotep' dfioov, that you made juster claims on them.
II. kal mapd mâou 8', and indeed (kal) with all mankind, parenthetically after тap' aüroîs.
13. "Xovers (representing t'xouev) : or. obl. with Eסeckav.
 кóres, it appeared (later) that they had
 -6̄Tc....oviถis ousiv ovis' : a remarkable
accumulation of emphatic negatives : of $\tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ corresponds to $\tau \in(5)$.
3. ovi ${ }^{\prime}$ d8lxws (not) cven unjustly.
4. Sis тe...трáras, when you twice stood in line with them in the earliest encounters: some cognate object is im-
 $287^{4}$. All mss. except $\Sigma$ add $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ as, as if $\mu$ ахeoduevor had preceded. The natural accus. would be $\pi$ apard $\xi=$ es, following the meaning of $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho a r a \xi d \mu \in \nu 0$ and so signifying battle array or battles. See Aesch.
 West. and Bl. follow Rehdantz, and take rapardjecs (implied) in the sense of military manauvres or arrangements of troops, by which Philip's advance into Boeotia was checked without pitched battles. But it is unlikely that thanksgivings would follow such manœeuvres, unless some victory resulted. (See $\mathbf{\$ 8}_{8} 217$, 218.)
5. Tivv t' trl то0 moтароо, the river battle, probably fought on the upper
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o v ̀ s ~ e ́ \delta \epsilon i \xi a \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \kappa ́ \sigma \mu \varphi$, тaîs тарабкєvaîs, $\tau \hat{\eta}$
 є゙̈̃aı










 Todes vulg. 4. kal бuvevфpaivero om. A2. "Laur S folium hoc (incipiens per kal $\sigma \tau(v \omega y) 156^{\text {b }}$ alio atramento et fortasse alia manu scriptum est." Vö̀mel.

7. ús om. $\mathrm{O}^{1}$.


Cephisus, which flows through Phocis before it enters Boeotia near Chaeronea.
6. Tilv Xeqepiviv, the "winter battle," probably fought on some wintry day in the hilly parts of Phocis. Many editors still find chronological difficulties in this winter campaign, forgetting that the only trouble arose from the spurious decree in 88 181-187, dated in midsummer. See Hist. §78. This reference to two definite encounters seems to make the common

8. rapd $\mu$ iv tôv danav ipiv is in strong (double) antithesis to $\pi$ rad $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$ toîs $\theta$ eoîs.

82 217. 3. Sr $\lambda_{\text {ov, pride, glory : see }}$ note on $8120^{\circ}$.
6. Merd...4ferdjero, waas counted in with the rest, the same military figure which is common in this speech : see note on $8173^{4}$.
7. จvi'' '̈rua, even impious.

7, 8. is dplotwv... ís obk dplota: with reference to the words of Ctesi-



If Aeschines joined in the thanksgivings, he declared before the Gods that the policy of Demosthenes was good : but he now asks the court to declare this not good by condemning Ctesiphon.
9. ठ бмпооко́таs: of the Heliastic oath.


See Lord Brougham's note on this argument (p. 153). After speaking of "the beauty of the passage," and "the exquisite diction-the majesty of the rhythm-the skilful collocation-the picturesque description of Aeschines' dismay and skulking from the public rejoicings," he says of the argument: "It is not a complete dilemma : a retort is obvious. Aeschines has only to embrace the second alternative-the second horn-and it could never have transfixed him. 'I did remain at home, not mourning over the success of your measures, but their wickedness, etc.' Nevertheless, there are but very few complete dilemmas, and the one under consideration is quite good enough to pass with an audience in a speech.



## $\Psi Н \Phi I \Sigma M A T A$ © $\boldsymbol{\Theta} \Sigma I \Omega N$.








 $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma \mu a \tau a, ~ a ̀ ~ \nu v ิ \nu ~ o v i \tau o s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \sigma v p \epsilon, ~ \tau i ́ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \tau o . ~$



12. $\mu 0$ om. A2.<br>     $\pi \lambda$ àn vulg. $\quad 9$. dтetpydoaro (et corr. from $\eta$ ?) $\Sigma$.<br>

The whole passage would be of certain success in our Parliament." (This quotation is much abridged.)

8 218. I. dv Tip...voultarv, in the belief, corresponding to ty $\theta$ valats, both denoting what occupied their minds.
2. Tofs...8oxo0 tr (impf.), to those who had seemed likely to need help, i.e. ourselves.
3. $\operatorname{d\phi }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \mathrm{IV}$ Impartov, in antithesis to
 aürove, ipsos, i.e. ourselves : for the accus. see Xen. Oec. 11, 23, oupфṭet aưroîs $\phi($ ious eival, where $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ ous would be more common (G. 928 ${ }^{1}$ ).
4. アoŋpeî̀ itipors: subj. of $\pi \in p t e 1-$ orijket, it had come about.-Olas ทंфlet фovads: cf. 8 1954.
 Philip to Peloponnesus asking for help,
see §s 156.
8. $\pi$ ddivou refers especially to his frequent journeys to Thebes while the negotiations were going on, and also to his other embassies (cf. \$844).
9. Sufoupe: see the general ridicule of his decrees in Aesch. III. $100^{1-3}$. This remark may perhaps refer to the fierce criticism of the terms of the alliance with Thebes (iII. 14I-143).-Tl dretpydoaro: the position of $\tau l$ is emphatic: cf. $\sigma x \notin \psi a \sigma \theta \varepsilon \pi \omega ̂ s, \S 235^{4}$. We should
 by the usual attraction; but they are far more expressive as they stand.

8 210-221 were spoken while the clerk was preparing to read the letters of Philip.
§210. 2. Kal入lorparos: the famous orator whose eloquence is said to have













§ 220．2．tovs di入lous after ro $\lambda \mu \hat{\eta} L^{2}$ ，vulg．，before $\hat{\sigma}^{\prime} \mu \eta$ AI；om．$\Sigma, L^{1}$ ．

入exùv A1，Y．
§221．1，2．גтетеі $\sigma \mu \eta \nu . . . \delta \mu \omega s \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{O}^{1}, \boldsymbol{u}$.
first inspired Demosthenes（as a boy）to devote himself to oratory ：see note on $899^{7}$ ．

3．＇Aplotodî̀：see note on ${ }^{8} 704$ ．－ Kффados：see § 251 ．－Opacißovios，of Collytus，who served under his distin－ guished namesake in the Restoration of 403 B．C．（xxiv．13t）．He was afterwards 2 warm friend of Thebes：see Aesch． iil．138，àvip d̀y Otpais tiotevels ds oúdels trepos．Cf．also Lys．xxvi． 21 － 24 ；Xen．Hell．v．1，26．（West．）
 $8_{8}^{88} 88^{7}, 179^{6}$ ．
5．oúk Av Inplofewore ．．．Kypaquev： both iterative（M．T．162）：we often use would in such iterative expressions，with no potential force；as he would often tell me stories（see M．T．249）．

7．Sqordiviv，enjoyment of ease．－d Ti Yefor＇avadopay，i．e．some relreat in case of accident：et tit revouto depends on an apodosis implied in dvaфopáv， something to which he could retreat； cf．Aeschyl．Sept． 1015 ，iss $8 v \tau^{\prime}$ dva－
 סopl（M．T．480）．The direct form，éde $\tau 6$ revprat，might have been used：see Aesch．II．104，aúroûs кате入ıкоу тіे̀ els
ro dqaves dvaфорàv dv $\mu \eta \pi \pi(\theta \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．The meaning comes from the middle duapt－ peodac，to carry oneself back．But see Harpocr．$\alpha v a \phi o \rho d y$ ，with reference to


8220．1．نтeppipas；did you excel？ absolutely，or possibly sc．тoúrous．

2．$\alpha \dot{\omega}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ：i．e．so as to need no dva－ фopd（8 $219^{8}$ ）．

3．of̈rces trenelo $\mu \eta \eta$ ，I had so thorough－ ly convinced myself．If oftos is taken
 out of place．

4．doboce is first personal（sc．o kiv－ duvos）；then（without ouk）understood as impersonal with dyañrdy elva．

5．dyanךтdv．．．жpdfeuv：in the direct
 we must be content（impers．）if we（shall） do our duty，omilting nothing．édy tis $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \eta$ might have been retained（see note on \＄ $219^{7}$ ）．

6．à 8at＝tà $\delta$ tovra，our duty：$\dot{a}$ is here felt as a definite relative；but with a slight change in the view it might have been $\alpha$ av $\delta \ell 力$ or a $\delta t o c$（Dobree＇s conjecture），with conditional force．A present indicative is seldom changed to



 é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda a ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Phi \iota \lambda i ́ m \pi o v . ~$

## EIIIETOAAI.


 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{n} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a \iota \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \lambda o ́ j o u s . ~ a ̉ \nu \theta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa a i ́ \omega s$





[^21]the optative in such definite relative clauses, as $\dot{a} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\theta}$ would naturally suggest $\dot{a}$ av $\delta \in \eta$ here as the direct form; but when no ambiguity can arise, the optative is sometimes found, as in Xen. Hell. v.
 where the antecedent of $\delta \gamma$ is definite.

8 221. 1, 2. trerri $\sigma \mu \eta v$ (repeated): see note on $\S 199^{2}$ (end).
2. Tuxdv, perhaps, accus. absol. (M.T. 85I).- גvasơŋpîv: I follow Vömel, Bekk., and West. in this reading, though
 - \$pows, nevertheless, with reference to

 $\beta e \lambda t c o n$ ovidels: for $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ thus used with the infin. in or. obl., see M.T. 685. See Plat. Ap. 37 A, and Liddell and Scott, art. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, B. 5, c. $\alpha$ belongs to $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi a ц, \pi \rho a \xi a \iota$, and трєб $\beta \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma a t$, and $\beta \in \lambda \tau t o y$ to both


8 222. 3. traupónevos: Harpocr. :


 (of threats of Philip); and Eur. Iph. T.
 छॄyous (of a spear uplifted to strike). (Bl.) exaupoucvos is imperfect, as is shown by тd т $\quad$ d тоútuv.
4. rapoiv, though present: see $\$ 83^{3}$ and $117^{6}$.
5. $\Delta$ Lóvas : mentioned with contempt in $8249^{7}$. He is said (Vit. $x$. Orat., Dem. 72) to have indicted also the decree of Aristonicus ( 8883,223 ).—rò $\mu$ pos: see notes on $8_{8} 103^{2}, 266{ }^{6}$.
6. 中ضфСनцата : for the plural see note on \$ $223^{5}$.-dтотефеоүбта, acquilted (on
 XXIII. 58, is the decree on trial.
7. ypa申Кvта, indicted: cf. rpaфtyra, proposed, $886^{4}$. See note on $\$^{8} 5^{4}$.

## $\Psi Н Ф І \Sigma M A T A$.

Tavtì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau^{\prime},{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \in s^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, \tau a ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma v \lambda-223$







 ä $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oṽтos $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, кaì $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ่ a ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$


 $\Delta \eta \mu о \mu \in \lambda \eta \nu$ L, vulg. 6. ' $\Upsilon \pi e \rho 1 \delta \eta \nu \mathrm{~L}$. vîv om. L.




8 228. 1-3. For the questions concerning the decree of Aristonicus and סeutepov кпр́́ quatos in $\S 83^{4}$, see notes on that passage and on $\$ 120^{2}$.
4. ouүкатךүрךनev, aided in the accusation (as ouvtropos).
 names probably indicate a decree moved by Demomeles (cousin of Demosthenes) and amended or enlarged by Hyperides. Such double or treble bills were common (see C. I. Att. II. Nos. 469 and 1 b); whence $\tau d \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ in $\$ 222^{6}$.
6. elrep...vov кarpyopet: the simple present condition is correct here, and more effective than G. H. Schaefer's кaтचुbpet. The following $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\alpha$ edtwrev implies its own unreal condition, el edincev, within itself. The meaning is, if he is now accusing me honestly, he would have had more reason for prosecuting $D$. and $H$. then than he has for prosecuting Ctes. now. The distinction of кarnyop $\omega$ and $\delta<\omega \kappa \omega$ here and in 1.4 is the same as in $89^{1}$ : cf. notes on $88.14^{6}, 15^{4}$.

is Ctesiphon, who is called obros in 4 ; while Aeschines is toûtov aúrdy in 3 .
4. $\mu \eta \kappa \in \tau^{\prime}$ dàv...катךyopeiv: the principle that "no man can be twice put in jeopardy for the same offence" is distinctly stated in the Attic law: see XX. 147, of


 and also xxiv. 55. This could here be urged by Ctesiphon as a moral, not as a legal, argument. Aeschines is prosecuting him now on the ground of charges against Demosthenes which were declared false by the acquittal of Hyperides eight years before,-charges for which he did not similarly prosecute $H$. then and for which he could not legally prosecute Dem. now. This is all an answer to $\delta$ d $\tau l$; (which refers to $\$_{223}$ (end)). 一- iñ ofire mpax ${ }^{06 v}$ twv, i.e. matters so settled (as these charges against Dem.) : see xxxvi. 6o, bxdjeodas

6. ' $\phi$ ' aùroo, on its own merits, i.e. before any judgment of the court had been passed upon the case.
















``` om. A2. 5. Bokeì \(\tau t(\nu\) over \(\tau\) ) \(\Sigma\).
```



``` ofons L , vulg. 2. movopoux \(\mathrm{L}^{2}\). 3. तdaves om. V6. 4. vîv \(\mathrm{\Sigma}, \mathrm{~L}^{1}, \mathrm{AII}^{2}\);
```



``` \(\lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \omega \nu\) after imás vulg.; om. \(\Sigma, \mathrm{L}^{1}\), At. 7. oíx \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}\); où vulg.
```

§ 225. I. 8 ruvi mouiv: all mss. except $\Sigma$ have moteî for roteîv. Either can well be understood; but here the appositives סıaßaidety and סoкeiv favour тоєєiv.
2. палanôv xporvav: i.e. the time of the peace of Philocrates, in regard to which Aeschines introduced many decrees which had no real bearing on the argument (see III. 58-78).

 $d \nu)$ : see M.T. $220^{2}$. The negatives $\mu$ itre etc. show that the antecedent of $\dot{a}$ is indefinite.-Suaßaldav, to misrepresent (cast reproach upon) the case.
4. mpoфáass, grounds for action, whether true or false. See note on $8178^{10}$.

Demosthenes still clings to his plea that the story of the peace is ancient history. See Essay I. 84.
 $817^{2}$.
2. Iv tafs Xepolv : for the figure West. compares mani-festus.
3. madres of $\lambda$ byou, i.e. the whole discussion.
4. Tods... фuyav: :cf. $815^{2}$.






 $\nu \eta \nu$ els tous altious d $\pi \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a u$.
 is a trial of eloquence. Cf. the verbal forms $\lambda$ byoy крivery and ro $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon$ бuलфе́роу кріреги.

With 8226 the orator ends his grand comparison (begun in § 139) between the part played by Aeschines in rousing the Amphissian war and his own part in uniting Athens and Thebes against Philip.








  

8§ 227-206. At 8226 the proper defence ends, with the account of the alliance with Thebes. The remainder of the speech, before the epilogue, is devoted to replies to three arguments of Aeschines, one comparing the trial of the case to an investigation of an account ( 8 227-251), a second charging Demosthenes with being ill-starred ( 88252 275), and a third charging him with being a crafty rhetorician ( 88 276-296).

In 88227 -25I the orator refers to the exhortation of Aeschines to the judges (59-61) to cast aside any prejudices in favour of Demosthenes which they may have, and to proceed as they would if they were examining a long account, prepared to accept any result which the reckoning may bring out. Aeschines refers here only to the facts concerning the peace of Philocrates; but Demosthenes chooses to apply the remarks to his whole political life. While Aeschines referred only to the debit side of the account, Demosthenes speaks of both sides, and especially of what stands on the credit side of his own account with the state, including credit for preventing calamities by his judicious policy. He ends (\$251) by turning against Aeschines the case of Cephalus, which had been brought up against himself.

8 297. 1. itra roфЦ̧erat, then he puts on airs of wisdom, or becomes very subtle, with the same sarcasm as in $\sigma 0 \phi 0 \hat{0}$

2. Ape入jo大al : Aeschines (III. 60) says,
 גкой́v.
3. тepuival Xpiffard $\mathbf{T \varphi}$, that one has


 counters are decisive and there is no balance remaining. With most recent editors, I follow $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1}$ and read кa0ap $\hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \nu$, the common text having raөapal $\dot{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{y}$, which was referred to the counters being cleared off from the abacus ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{\beta a} \mathrm{\xi}} \mathrm{~F}$ or dBakcoy): cf. $8 \mathbf{2 3 1} 1^{2}$. This was a reckon-ing-board, on which counters (originally $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi 0<$, pebbles) represented units, tens, etc. according to their position. See the article Abacus in Smith's Dict. of Ant.
 $\delta \pi t$ à aúrds $\delta$ doywrods alp $\hat{v}$, whatever the account proves (cf. alpeiv twa кגל$\pi$ rovia), and there is a strong presumption that Demosthenes uses a similar expression in his reply. Blass adopts кaөaupu$\sigma \omega$ in the sense of alp $\hat{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{v}$ (erveisen) but knows no other example. Köchly quotes Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. vil. 36, 8 rt $\delta^{\prime}$ ay
 (and again, slightly changed, in 39): here the meaning determine is beyond question.
5. проо0trolu, acquiesce in : cf. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma$ $\theta \in \mu \epsilon \nu \eta, \$ 203^{5}$.
6. if тeтpayuivov: see $8178^{18}$, and note on $817^{8}$.















[^22] vovs, that it is assumed that we (Aesch. and myself) have been thus judged (have this reputation): in the direct form $\dot{j \pi d} \rho-$ xomev 'rrow $\mu$ kivo. See note on $\delta 95^{4}$. It appears that ${ }^{2} \gamma{ }^{2}$ wouce is always passive (see Veitch): cf. Eur. H. F. 1287, їno-
 $3^{8^{12}}$, wis ook $t^{2}$ vworau. For the active see Dem. IV. 29, oúx dpê̂s trvwke. Baiter (see Dissen) translates thus: confitetur nunc nos esse cognitos (h. e. de nobis constare) me quidem verba facere pro patria, ipsum vero pro Philippo. The personal construction is like that of Ar.
 ota oidáakees toves dvotrous, you shall be shown (for it shall be shown).
 $\dot{\eta}$. The unique reading of the Oxyrh. papyrus, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ тotaürns $\dot{\text { ìmapxoür } \eta s \text {, is sug- }}$ gestive.
8 220. 3. ot trbels 中ridous (continuing the figure of $\$ 227$ ), i.e. not by
mere arithmetic or book-keeping.-od ydap....入oyound, for that is not the way to reckon affairs of state.
 his account, not by setting his services against his sins, but by setting the positive gain from his public policy against the calamities which woonld have resulled from the opposite policy.
5. $\lambda$ oyotrais: in the double sense of computers and comptrollers of accounts: see note on § 1 177. - rofs dxobovat: addressed equally to the court and the spectators.
7. Merd and ovv- emphasize one another.
9. кcelvéctv: present, of the whole business of checking Philip; the aor. $\sigma \cup v \in \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂(7)$ of an incursion.
§ 280. 2. intaxórıa otaibra, about 80 miles : see note on $8195^{5}$.
3. Yaviotau: sc. Eroince. By dplots he means the further confines of Boeotia. - $\lambda$ yorde: see note on $\S 145^{5}$, and for


 کavtiovs $\mu \epsilon \theta^{0}$ ท̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ пт






 raûra．



[^23]pirates in general［ViI．］3，4，14， 15 ． The rescue of Oreus and Eretria from Philip（88 79，87）prevented Euboea from being a nest for plunderers．－\＄ppetv kal dyav：the common term for general plundering．
 with reference to $\langle x \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{E}$ E $\dot{v} \beta$ oias．

5．Tdv＇$B \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}$ тovtov：for the Helles－ pont and Byzantium in 340 b．c．see $8880,87,88,93,94$ ，and Hist．88 66－68．
 Xарітебби dноía，Il．xvil． 5 r．
2．Gvraveleav raota，to strike this off （the services of $\$ 230$ ）in balancing the account，as $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi$ oc would be removed from the $d \beta d x c o v$ ．

4．oúniť тробті従，I do not go on （Etc）to add，i．e．to the credit side of the account．

5．Iv ots．．．кariorn：as in the cases of Olynthus，Thessaly，and Phocis．

6．фи入av0pwifas ：especially Philip＇s easy terms with Athens after Chaeronea， which were the indirect result of the firm
and dignified attitude of Demosthenes and his friends．See Hist．§8I．

7．терเ $\beta a \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu$ еvos：the common figure of investing oneself with anything（like a garment），hence acquiring．

8．кa入由s mowortes，by the blessing of Heaven：cf．1．28，ஷ้̀ ка入ิ̂s тotoîvres Exovat，and ка入ิิs ro九ốбt，xxi． 212. This phrase sometimes means fortunately （as here），approaching in sense the more common ev $\pi \rho d \sigma \sigma e \Delta v$ ，to be prosperous： sometimes doing as one should，as in
 obtcos $\dot{\omega} p \gamma i \sigma \theta \eta$ ，and lvil．6，калढ̂s mo－

 and $\varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \pi \rho d \sigma \sigma \omega v$, Dissen quotes xx ．iro，
 èкelvay трdтrete．The active expressions ev̉ roteî and кaкûs roteîy are entirely distinct from кa入 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ тotêv．

8s 282－241．We have here an account of the power of Athens under the leadership of Demosthenes，compared with her earlier resources．


















7．тойтo $\sigma \dot{\text { A }} \mathrm{A}_{2}$ ．
8284.1 ．in $\pi \delta_{\text {des }}$ cixer $Y$ ．

8 982．3．тоса0̈ra ：cognate（sc． катпүордиата）．－тарабе（уцата，like the illustration just discussed ：cf．mapadelr－ matos in § $228{ }^{1}$ ．
 expressions（ $\dot{\text { मпmata）repeated by Aeschines }}$ （probably with no little exaggeration）in iII．166，of which he asks（167），taûra
 we have in 209，$\pi 0 \hat{\alpha}$ фúrw，divjpes＇$A \theta \eta$－
 dуахт $\quad$ гомаи，quoted from Demosthenes． See other quotations in 71 and 72，
 maxlav．Imitations of gestures（ $\sigma \times \dot{\eta} \mu a r a$ ） are，of course，harder to detect ；but there is a plain one in 167 ，$\kappa \hat{\delta} \kappa \lambda \psi \pi \in \rho\llcorner\delta \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$
 pend on this．Dissen quotes Cic．Orat． 8， 27 ：itaque se purgans iocatur Demo－ sthenes ：negat in eo positas esse fortunas Graeciae，hoc an illo verbo usus sit，et huc an illuc manum porrexerit．

5．oúX ópâs ；cf．\＆ $266^{6}$ ．
6．$\mu \mathrm{j}$ rovrl：in the second member
of an alternative indirect question，$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ can be used as well as ov．


 is properly a starting－point，or something


 aфopu general sense as $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \nu$ in $8234^{1}$（see
 of the war in 340 B．c．Cf．$\S 60^{8}$ ．

8．d．．．入óy甲 ：cf．§ $252^{8}$ ，and xxiII．
 $\lambda$ 人бч．
§ 284．I．Sivaptr here refers to sources of military power，like allies，even when no actual troops are included：see $\delta \pi \lambda l \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}, l \pi \pi t a$ oú $\delta \hat{v} \downarrow a(5)$ ．Both $\delta v v d-$ $\mu e t s$ and dívalus，however，may denote
 $\mu \in \omega \nu$ ，and $247^{4}$ ；so Xen．An．1．3， 12 ，
 vautixty．Cf．סuvduets § $233^{2}$ ．





 фı入ías éryvтépo，Meүapéas，Oŋßaiovs，Ev̇ßóéas．tà $\mu$ è̀ 235


  7．тарєбкєvaкєбау A1；－dкєєбаע $\Sigma$, L，vulg．，Bk．，Dind．；тарєбкє́́aбау A2，V6．  evoroas $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ ．<br>8288．1．кal тd $\mu \hat{y}$ AI． 2.

2．ov゙тє．．．ท๋v：this refers to the early part of 340 B．C．，when Chios and Rhodes were independent of Athens as the result of the Social War（357－355 B．c．），but Byzantium，which then followed Chios and Rhodes，had already renewed her friendship（ $8230^{6}$ ）：see Hist． 882,63 ． Corcyra，the old friend and ally of Athens，had become hostile to her be－ fore 353 B．C．（see xxiv． 202 ；Diod．xv． 95）．



 баутоs，is фทбt $\Theta \in \delta \pi т о \mu \pi о s$ ．（See Thuc． 1．96；Arist．Pol．Ath． $23^{20}$ ；Aesch．III． 258．）The payment of the original assess－ ment made on the Delian confederacy by Aristides in $478-477$ B．c．was first called $\phi b \rho o s$ from $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ ，as Thucydides explains
 фopd．The First Athenian Empire made the name odious，so that，when the new federation was formed in 378 ，the term ouvtakıs，agreememt，was adopted for the annual payment．

4．Tivte кal тertapdкоvтa тá入avta： this sorry amount of 45 talents shows the decline of the power of Athens after the Social War．The tribute of 460 talents of the time of Aristides was raised to 600 under Pericles（Thuc．II． $13^{23}$ ），and（if we
may trust Aesch．II． 175 and Plut．Arist． 24）to 1200 or 1300 after the Peace of Nicias，in large part by the allies com－ muting personal service for payments of money（Thuc．1．99）．The 45 talents mentioned here must be the minimum． We have uncertain accounts of the later increase．In［Dem．］x．37，38，the in－ come of Athens is stated at 130 talents， which was afterwards increased to 400 ： Boeckh thinks that this may have referred to the annual tribute．Demosthenes is said（Vit．x．Orat． 851 b，decree）to have persuaded the allies to give a ov́vraku xpquditwy of more than 500 talents．（See Boeckh，Staatsh．d．Ath．I．Bk 3，$\$ 817$ ， 19．）For the Second Athenian Con－ federacy see Grote X．ch．77．－тpodjet入eypiva，collected in advance，probably by generals to pay their mercenaries． Aeschines（11．71）speaks of rovs $\pi \in \rho($ ro


 Tov $\sigma u ́ v \tau a \xi u$ ．See Isoc．IV． 132.

5．$\delta \pi \lambda\left(\tau \eta v \delta^{\prime}\right.$, Iтォia ：for the asynde－ ton cf．$\$ 94^{5}$ ：most mss．have $\bar{\eta}$ ITrita．

7．of̊rot：Aeschines and his party．－ тареокеиdкесау ．．．іуүитipee：cf．то⿱亠
 Leg． 803 E．
 i．e．this is what ue had to depend on．


















<br> <br> t $\omega \boldsymbol{\prime}$ AI.<br>  <br>§ 287. 2. $\mu \grave{y} \eta$ ĥiv $\sigma u \mu \mu d \chi o v s ~ O . ~ 3 . ~ M e \gamma a p e ́ a s ~ M S s . ~$

7. of mpodeyav... $\beta$ oudeub $\mu$ evos: two important advantages of a despotism in war. Athens is not the last free state which has suffered from the opposite evils. See Isoc. III. 18, 19.
8. os $8^{\circ} \ldots \mathrm{kp}$ кvofevos was wanting in the original text of $\Sigma$, and possibly is a reading which Demosthenes himself replaced by the following oúde.... $\pi \alpha \rho a v b \mu \omega \nu$. With the whole passage compare § 249 and 1.4.
§ 236. 3. триิтov, to begin with:

9. $\mu e r-$ eixov: $\mu e r$ - implies the sharing of the right which the preceding clause states. $-\pi \rho_{0}$ $\theta$ ето 入еүес.
 as they got the better of me. The omitted antecedent of $\sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}$ is seen in $\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}(7)$.

10. таî̀ ${ }^{\circ}$... $\beta$ ßßovicupivot, i.e. just so often had you taken counsel in the enemy's interest when you left the Assembly: tavio' (cognate with $\left.\beta_{\varepsilon} \beta_{0} u \lambda \epsilon v \mu \epsilon v o t\right)$ are the $\beta$ ou-
 these counsels you always took in the enemy's interest. Cf. Andoc. 1II. 29,
 Thuc. II. $44^{15}$, toov ri ${ }^{\text {in }}$ סikulov (sc. $\beta$ oú-

11. 2. in rowútav Dlatrmpdrav, i.e. with such disadvantages at the out-




 Síkala, Ai $\sigma \chi i \nu \eta, \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ B v \zeta a \nu \tau i ́ o v s ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ E u ́ ß o \epsilon ́ a s, ~$

 $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho^{\rho} \omega \nu, \tau \rho \iota a \kappa о \sigma i \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu$, тàs $\delta \iota a \kappa o \sigma i ́ a s ~ \dot{\eta} 5$
 $\nu 0 v \sigma \alpha$ тov̀s тaûta $\sigma v \mu \beta o u \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a s ~ o u ̉ \delta ' ~ a ̉ \gamma a \nu a \kappa \tau o v ̂ \sigma ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~$
 $\chi$ ápıv, єí кoเvô̂ кıvסúvov тoîs "E




[^24]to the grand league against Philip, formed early in $34^{\circ}$ b.c. by Demosthenes and Callias of Chalcis. See Hist. § 63 (end), with notes. For the Euboeans see § 79 (above): for the Euboeans, Peloponnesians, and Acarnanians see Aesch. 111. 95-97.
4. $\mu$ vipeot каl тегтаксох ${ }^{\text {(ALot: }}$ this includes the Theban forces, which were added a year after the league was formed.
7. ouvreictav: this term was applied to the contributions of the new league, rather than oivzakss (\$ $23 t^{3}$ ) : Aesch. (97) calls them $\sigma$ óvray ${ }^{2}$.
§ 288. The orator here exposes with great effect one of the most unlucky blunders of Aeschines (143), that of charging him with imposing two-thirds of the expense of the war on Athens, and only one-third on Thebes. Aeschines had forgotten the fleet at Salamis, of which Athens furnished two-thirds!
3. kal про́тepov, once also in former days.
5. тprakoolav...8iakorlas: the numbers of the ships at Salamis are variously given; but nearly all agree in making the Athenian fleet about two-thirds of the whole. Aeschylus, who was in the battle, is our best authority when (Pers. 339) he gives the total as 310, and Demosthenes nearly agrees with him. Herodotus (vili. $1,44,48,61$ ) gives the total as 378 (the items giving 366), the Athenians having 200, of which they lent 20 to the Chalcidians. The Athenian orator in Thucydides ( $\mathrm{I} .74^{6}$ ) gives the total as 400 and the Athenian ships as nearly twothirds. The text of xiv. 29, which makes the total 200 and the Athenian ships 100 , must be corrupt.
 with é $\omega \rho$ âto like the preceding voul§ovad, крlyováa, and dүavaктoúa'.


















тepl toútov $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{F}^{1}$ ；тєрl тоút $\omega \nu$ vulg．，edd．4．Bujavtiov V6．5．入érelv


 $\mathbf{B}(\gamma \rho)$ ；ка $\theta \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \varepsilon \Sigma, L$ ，vulg．；$\Phi\left(\lambda เ \pi \pi \circ\right.$ add．$L, \Phi(\gamma \rho), B(\gamma \rho)$ ，om．$\Sigma^{1}$（added at end of
 om．$\Sigma, L^{\text {I }}$（added by ist hand）．

8 289．3．mapdy，i．e．in the As－ sembly，as Aesch．regularly was：see
 －rapd．．．кeupovis，in the crises through which we were then living．

4．ofx 8＊＇．．．траүүат＇，not all that we wanted（continuously），but all that circumstances（on each occasion）allowed
 have meant not all that we wanted in each case．

5．ávrevobpevos（conative），bidding against us（trying to buy）．
 to receive them and to pay them 100 （ $\pi \rho 0 \sigma^{-}$） for coming．
§ 240．1．vv̂v ：opposed to el T6r＇．．．
 for what I actually did，opposed to the
following supposition（2）that $I$ did nothing．—it av oleofe：roceiv would naturally follow here，dy having its com－ mon place before olec日e（M．T．2201）：cf． $\S 225^{3}$ ．But the long protasis el $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta r}^{\prime}$ ．．． raréory causes $t l$ and $\dot{a}$ iv to be repeated with roceîy（4）；cf．IX．35，Ti oleoge，


2．iкpı $\beta$ odoyoupivov，quibbling，splif－ ting hairs，part of the unreal condition．

4．Th mouiv dy भf ril $\lambda$ fyetv represents


8241 ．1．oix：sc．Enerov div．
3－7．то乇̂ $\mu$ iv．．．$\lambda_{\text {nocrêv Y＇yovev ；this }}$ seems to be a continuation of the indirect quotation，with ove dis Eleyoy is under－ stood．But there may be a change to a direct quotation after eita，without $\omega$ s，as Vöm．and West．take it．










7. E入erev $\mathrm{A}_{2}$; oúr...èeyon O (mg.).

 Y (mg.), vulg. ; om $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1}$.


4. èтeiddr At.
§ 249. 2. tavraxóov, in every way (frome every side).-фualtiov: cf. LVII. 34 (end).
3. kal \$6नat xlvaEon, a beast by his very nature: klvados nascitur, oukoфdyTis fit.-Tdelposmiov, homunculus, refers to mental not to bodily stature.
4. Anverpor, i.e. worthy of a free-born

 a natural tragic ape: Schol. oknoeey kal
 seems to have the same force as фíget in 3 (West.). Harpocr. under rpayikds




 These describe both the imitative and the

5. dpoupaios Olw and note. Aeschines is called rustic, probably because he "murdered Oenomaus" at the country Dionysia (roîs kar' dappoús), which were sometimes celebrated by performances in the theatre of Collytus (Aesch. 1. 137), though this was a city deme. (See Blass.) See Hesych. under dpoupaîos Olodmaos: $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta t v \eta s$ Al-

 но́ $u$ àv. Westermann sees in ápovpaĩos an allusion to Aeschines as $\sigma 0 \times a$.. $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{y}\left(\mathbf{8 ~ 2 6 2}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$, as the mother of Euripides was called dpoupala $\theta \in$ bs (Ar. Ran. 840) as a vender of vegetables. But the meaning of $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{2 6 2}$ is too doubtful to build upon. -rapdonnos, counterfeit : Harpocr. has
 к.r. . See XXIV. 213 , and Ar. Ach. 518.

8 248. 1. vov ทi $\mu$ โv $\lambda$ fyus: vôv has great emphasis, and is repeated in 7 : is this the time you take to talk to us of the past?
2. Ебтер âv (sc. тooity) d: i.e. in talking to us of the past now you act as a physician (zoould act) if he etc. If roool $\eta$ had been expressed with av, larpos would be its subject.
3. Tois кápvowotv: the general term for patients, not merely while they are ill ( $\dot{\sigma} \theta \theta=0 \hat{\sigma} \tau)$ but also after they are dead
 visits.-8t' \&v droфféfovran: final.
4. tracti...фiporro, but when one of them had died and his relatives were carrying offerings to his tomb (all part of the supposition), depending on el...de $\xi_{100}$ (M.T. 177, 558, 560): cf. Plat. Phaed.







 oùt $\omega \sigma l \mathrm{O}^{1}$. à om. Y.

8 244. 1-3. тolyuv...oútwol: Oxyrh. pap. (as in $\Sigma$ ). I. ïrtav aüthp


 §oueva are the customary offerings to the dead (evarlonara), brought on the third and ninth days after death: rd kard $\nu \delta \mu$ оus фер $\delta \mu e v a$ тoîs vexpoîs (Schol.). For views of such offerings see Smith's Dict. Antiq. I. p. 888, and Gardner and Jevons's Greek Antiq. p. 367. Aeschines (225) predicts that Demosthenes will use this illustration, and (189) that he will allude to Philammon the boxer (which he does in 8319 ) ; both predictions were of course inserted after the trial. Aeschines says,
 $\delta_{1} \epsilon \xi i o c$, and Demosthenes probably refers to these ninth-day offerings. Td voulSoueva феростo is often referred to the funeral itself; but it is difficult to explain $\phi \notin$ porto in this sense, even if we suppose an allusion to the exxoopd.
5. To $\mu \nu \hat{\nu}_{\mu}$, the tomb, built above ground, which may at the same time be a monument: cf. $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota, ~ § 208^{7}$. In the same double sense we must take tádos in the famous passage, Thuc. 11 .
 Td́фos.
6. To nal Td, this and that, one of the few colloquial relics of the pronominal article: see Ix. 68, toea yda $\tau$ d кal tò
 outorl: so all the mss., while recent editors adopt Bekker's ävopwios. But the article may be omitted with demonstratives when the pronoun emphatically
points out a present person or thing; as
 $\phi \lambda v a \rho \hat{v}$, and 505 C , outros duท̀p oủx u̇zo-
 èкeival è $\pi เ \pi \lambda$ foval, yonder are ships sailing up. See Gerth's Kühner, II. I, p. 629 d .
7. $\mathbf{~ \mu \beta p o ́ v т \eta т e , ~ t h u n d e r s l r u c k , ~ s t u p e f i e d ~}$ by $\beta$ роитt!: cf. $\epsilon \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta$ рогт $\hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \ell$, xix. 23 I. For the relation of these words to retuфwhal see note on § $1 I^{4}$.-dtra vov $\lambda$ deas ; see note on 1 . Many editors take $\boldsymbol{\ell} \mu \beta \rho b y$ -
 by one of the relatives. It. seems to me that it is addressed directly to Aeschines, as a question which would apply also to the physician with whom he is compared: cf. vôv ìmiv $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ts; ( I ).
§ิ 244. 1. Tiेv ๆंtrav: still having in mind the figure of the reckoning ( 8227 ), he now argues that the chief item which his enemies place on the debit side, the defeat of Chaeronea, cannot justly be charged to him (cf. גoy$\zeta \in \sigma \theta \in$ in 3).
2. Tแ̂̀ тap' \&pol, of what $I$ was responsible for.
 in construction between this and $\boldsymbol{\sigma \pi o t}$ $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$ in $\S 45$ (referring to the same thing), and for $\bar{z} \nu$ ots кparneciev (8), see note on $\S 45^{2}$. Little is known of any of these embassies of Demosthenes except those to Byzantium ( $8887-89$ ) and Thebes ( $\AA_{2}$ II ff.). In IX. $7_{2}$ there is a
















[^25]reference to his recent embassies into Peloponnesus，which kept Philip from conquering Ambracia（cf．Ix．27，34）； and in［xir．］8－ro（Philip＇s letter）to one to the＂kings of Thrace，＂Teres and Cersobleptes，which was probably con－ temporary with that to Byzantium．See Hist．ss 59， 63.

9． $8 \pi \lambda$ ovs кaтсотр！фето，i．e．he de－ cided these cases by throwing his sword into the scale．Of course this has no reference to the embassies to Byzantium， Thebes，and Peloponnesus above men－ tioned．
§ 246．1．тa0t＇dสacreis，you call me to account for these（ $8 \mathbf{2 4 4}$ ）．

2．els madaklav：West．cites Aesch． III．148，152，155，and 175．In these Demosthenes is ridiculed for having run away at Chaeronea，when the whole allied army was put to flight．Aeschines
is never charged with this；but he was probably not in the battle at all，being over fifty years old．Probably Demo－ sthenes refers also to the nickname Bd́raגos：see note on $\$ 180^{2}$ ．

5．Tins \＄ux ${ }^{2} s$ ，the life．
6．Tஸ̂v тaparafapiveov，the combatants： §8 $208{ }^{4}, 216^{6}$ ．
7．ejovras：used metaphorically．－ oxalos，awkzoard（mentally）：cf．\＆ $120^{4}$ ．
§ 246．2．入apßávere：plural，as he turns suddenly from Aeschines to the whole assembly．
 read the earlier orations of Demosthenes in the light of later events without feeling the justice of this claim to sagacity which he puts forward．He，indeed，of all the statesmen of Athens，saw things in their beginnings，and steadily warned the people of the coming danger．




















 (frec) governments: a striking case of a favourite Greek form of emphasis, which repeats the idea of a noun in an adjective. Here the whole idea could have been expressed either by ro入ıtuká or by olxeía raîs $\pi \delta \lambda \in \sigma \iota$; but it is made doubly strong by mo入ırıkd taîs modeбu. The Greek constantly emphasizes by what we should call tautology, as in the repetition of negatives. In Aeschyl. Ag. 56, olwrbOpoov ybov d§ußbav, we have a remarkable case of emphatic repetition, where the whole idea could have been expressed by duvêv rbov dEciv, shrill cry of birds, but the idea of cry is added in both adjectives. $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma t$ here has the same reference to free governments which is usually implied in rodırela (see note on $865^{8}$ ) : cf. Soph.

 $88235,236$.
7. ins belongs to els exdxuata, into the smallest possible compass: see § $288{ }^{4}$.
8. ovareilial, to contract: ovort $\lambda \lambda \omega$
sometimes means to shorten sail, as in Ar. Ran. 999 ; cf. Eq. 432, бuarelidas toùs d̀lâytas.
10. тепо구al: in the same sense as $\pi \in \pi \rho a k t a i(4)$ : see note on $\$ 4^{6}$.-0ibels $\mu \boldsymbol{j} \pi 00^{\prime} . . .0$ dibiv: it may be noticed that oúdev (not $\mu \eta \delta \partial v$ ) is the object of ov $\mu$ गे
 $\mu \dagger$ үèntai tûv $\delta \in \delta y \tau \omega v$. This seems to show that ou was felt as the leading negative in these expressions. - $\kappa a r^{\circ}\langle\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}:$


8 247. 3. Tஸ̂ SiS6val, by making gifts.
4. Suvdpeev, referring to $\sigma \tau \rho a t o-$ $\pi \in \delta \varphi(2)$ : see note on $\delta 234^{1}$. кal $\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 т $̂ v ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \delta \quad \delta \nu \alpha \mu e \omega \nu$, in place of a clause with $8 \varepsilon$.


 of being corrupted or not, far more expres-







 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \omega$ ．$\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ रà $\rho$ тウ̀v $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ єv̉Өv̀s ó $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s, ~ \epsilon i ́ \delta \omega ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~$






8248．2．toutovi AI，F．3．oi om． $\mathrm{B}^{1}, \mathrm{AI}$ ．
lote vulg．
dewoîs Y．

5．éwpaк心́s mss．；éop．Dind．，later edd．（cf．\＆64）${ }^{\text {b }}$ ．
8．及ou入єubuevos（after $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ）$\Sigma^{2}$（above line）．

6．$\phi$ о $\beta$ ¢ 0 îs кal


7．© ©voúpevos：conative，he who would buy．

 סLaфөapels，as it more closely unites the corruption with taking the bribe，he who refused to take the bribe and be corrupted．
§ 248．1．ds $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．．．．toṽtov，i．e．to justify Ctesiphon＇s language in his decree： see $857^{1}$ ．

6．$i_{\mu} \beta \in \beta \eta_{\chi} \times d s$ ，standing amid，sur－ rounded by：$\beta \notin \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ ，stand，is related to
 ＇$\chi \omega .-\eta v\left(x^{\prime}\right.$ ovi ${ }^{\prime} . . . \pi \rho \delta_{s}$ d $\mu$ ，when most men might have shown some want of feeling towards me without surprising anyone：this rather awkward translation shows the force of the construction of $\theta a v \mu a \sigma+\delta \nu \bar{\eta} \nu$（without $\alpha \nu$ ）and the infini－ tive，where the chief potential force falls on the infinitive．（See M．T．415，416， and Appendix v．p．406．）We naturally （but incorrectly）translate when it would have been no wonder，throwing the chief force on $\theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \tau \delta \nu \quad i v$ ，so that $\ddot{d y}$ seems necessary ：Blass reads oúd＇ $8 . y$ ．The principle is the same as in the more common elxds īv $\sigma$ e toûto môñoal，you
would properly have done this，which by a slight change of emphasis might be
 have been proper for you to do this．The same is seen in Eur．Med．490，el $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ $\dot{\eta} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ axas，$\sigma \cup \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ ì $\nu$ бot rô̂＇ eparotppat $\lambda$ exous，i．e．in that case yous might pardonably have been enamoured： see M．T． $\mathbf{+ 2 2}^{1{ }^{1}}$（last example），while with av it would mean it would have been pardonable in you to be enamoured（with a slight change in the emphasis）．

8．Tds d $\mu \mathrm{d}$ s $\gamma$ woipas，my proposals of public measures：this and the following
 general measures for the public safety as the famous decree of Hyperides for the enfranchisement of slaves，the recall of exiles，and similar extreme provisions （see Hist．§80）．An earlier decree passed after Chaeronea，which may have been proposed by Demosthenes，provided for the removal of women and children from the country into fortified places，and directed the generals to garrison all the forts on the frontier with Athenians or metics：see Lycurg．Leocr． 16.

9．ク่ 8udrafıs тồv фu入áкcuv：see Thuc．












<br>    (for roúrois) $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$.



10. тáфpor...Tel $X \eta$ : this sudden repairing of the fortifications in the panic after the battle has nothing to do with the more elaborate work on the walls undertaken in the following year, when Demosthenes was teixomocós ( $8113^{6}$ ). Lycurgus (44) thus describes the general



 oi $\delta \dot{e} \nu \in \dot{\psi} \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \sigma^{\prime} \pi \lambda a$. The same excitement prevailed when the walls of Athens were hastily rebuilt after the battle of Plataea, while Themistocles kept the Spartans quiet by diplomacy : see Thuc. 1. 90-93. On both occasions tombstones were used in building the walls, and some of these may now be seen in a piece of the wall of Themistocles near the Dipylon gate. Demosthenes gave a talent to the state after the battle of Chaeronea (Vit. x. Orat. p. 851 A).
11. नוтíviŋv, an extraordinary official appointed in special times of distress to regulate the trade in grain and to guard against scarcity. The grain trade was ordinarily in the charge of 35 бוтофи́ $\lambda a k e s$ ( 20 in the city, 15 in the Piraeus) : see

Arist. Pol. Ath. 51 ${ }^{8}$. See Dinarch. I. 78-82.

8 240. I. $\mu$. first excitement, when Philip's party gained courage at Athens.-ovoráviev: gen. absol. with the implied antecedent of ots.
2. Ypapais: here in the most restricted sense of ordinary public suits, excluding elfarye入ia, e $\delta \theta$ uval, etc. The chief form of $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ here would be the $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a \phi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ тарa$\nu$ о $\mu \omega \nu\left(\S 250^{4}\right.$ ).
3. Tdivтa тaOr': emphatic apposition, all these, I say.-ov $8 i^{\circ}$ dauriêv, not in their own names: at first the leading philippizers kept in the background, and put forward such obscure men as those mentioned below.

6-8. dxóvoLa, pavia: " the first is the deliberate desperation of a man with nothing to lose, the last the desperation of blind passion" (Simcox).- इeerux ${ }^{\text {foug }}$ ...Medarrov: Sosicles and Melantus are otherwise unknown; for Diondas see $\$ 223^{5}$; Philocrates is not the notorious Hagnusian who gave his name to the peace of 346 B.C. (he disappears after he was condemned on the eloarүe $\lambda$ (a brought by Hyperides, xix. if6), but an Eleu$\operatorname{sinian}$ (XXV. 44). The imitation of this passage by Cicero (Cat. III. 7) is familiar:

тoívvข тoútols mâбı $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s, ~ \delta \epsilon u ́ t \epsilon \rho o \nu ~$

 रขóvт $\omega \nu$ тà єv̈o $\lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, ö $\tau$ ’ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \psi \eta \phi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \mu о v$ каì тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \phi \omega \nu$ тоîs







[^26]hoc providebam animo,... nee mihi $P$. Lentuli somnum, nec L. Cassii adipes, nec Cethegi furiosam temeritatem pertimescendam.
10. $8 c^{\prime}$ ษ́pês, i.e. through the courts.
II. d $\lambda_{\eta} \hat{\theta}_{3}$, in accordance with truth. -initp... $\delta \mathrm{uxa}$ (ivr, to the credit of judges, etc.
12. jwortwe rde esopka, who (not only had sworn, but) gave judgment in accordance with their oaths.
 Ez ofs in $\mu$ dptavov, $819^{8}$.
 266 . Here, as in \& 103 , nearly all mss. (except $\Sigma$ ) add $\boldsymbol{\pi} \leqslant \mu \pi r o v$. The mention of this here is interesting, as it implies that at this time some penalty, either partial drupla or the fine of 1000 drachmas, was inflicted on the prosecutor who failed to get one-fifth of the votes in an elfarrèla. As this was partly a state prosecution, it was right that the individual prosecutor should be better protected against personal risk than the ordinary rpaфopevos. A comparison of Hyperides (Lycoph. 8), ǒà rò dxivouvor aútoîs eivat tò dyâva,
 vé̛ovta, and Pollux (vili. 52, 53), shows that in earlier times no penalty was inflicted on the eloaryendaw who failed to get one-fifth of the votes, but that afterwards he was subject to the fine without the dंrıula. See Essay Iv. ${ }^{4}$
3. тdрот $\mu$ трdттav: i.e. the judgment of the court justified this clause of Ctesiphon's decree ( $857^{1}$ ).
4. Ivropa ypóфatr: opposed to $\pi$ apdуона $\gamma \rho d \phi$ ew: see notes on rpapds, $8249^{2}$.
5. Tds cüObvas ixionualvoote, put your seal on my accounts: this probably refers to the official seal of the diкaбтtipooy before which Demosth. appeared to render his accounts (evovval) at the end of each term of office. We now know from Aristotle (Pol. Ath. $4^{818}, 54^{6}$ ) that this reference to the court as taking an important part in the efovjal was not a mere form of words. See Dem. xix. 211,




 $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \quad \beta \in \beta a \iota o v \sigma a \nu$;






9. дугода тоу Кт. Y, A2. 10. тард om. A2.<br> vulg. 3. фuyìv $\Sigma$, L, vulg.; фeúywy A.

9. Tov $8 \hat{j} \mu$ v reffacvov: this repeated approval of the people refers to the votes mentioned in § 248 .
10. Sukartàs: sc. tetemetvous. The present judges are addressed above as if they had themselves judged the previous cases.-Tiv dirfociav: with special emphasis, after $\tau \delta \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o v$ and rovs $\delta$ ixactass.
This passage is a dignified and fitting conclusion to the line of argument beginning with $8 \mathbf{8 2 7}$ concerning the orator's account ( $\lambda$ oyi $\sigma \mu b s$ ) with the state. His eloquent reply to the appeal of Aeschines to the judges to act as accountants naturally led to a statement of the items which stood to his credit, giving him a new opportunity to enlarge on his services to Athens; and the allusion to eü $\theta$ vacu at the close gives a unity to the whole. Now, after a brief allusion ( $8 \mathbf{2 5 1}$ ) to the case of Cephalus, to which Aeschines had appealed, he passes to another matter.
 may be exclamatory, there is the glory of Cephalus ; cf. 1. 5. But кa入ov is generally taken here as predicate to tò roû Keqdiov (sc. Eotl). (See Aesch. III. 194.) This Cephalus is mentioned above, $\$ 219^{3}$, with Callistratus, Aristophon, and Thrasybulus of Collytus, as if he were their contemporary. He therefore cannot be the father of Lysias, Polemarchus, and Euthydemus, who opens the dialogue of Plato's Republic with Socrates, and was
$\epsilon \pi \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta} \rho a=s$ oú $\delta \hat{\Psi}$ in the lifetime of Socrates; but a laterstatesman, who with Thrasybulus of Collytus was a leader of the Theban party in Athens, and highly respected. Dinarchus ( 1.76 ) speaks of the people of

 'ApXivov кal Kє́фa入ov tòv Koддutéa. The generals mentioned were Conon, Iphicrates, Chabrias, and Timotheus; and Archinos was one of the restorers of the democracy with the great Thrasybulus in 403 (Aesch. II. 176, III. 187, 195). For Cephalus see Schaefer I. 143, 144.тd...фeforav, the (glory of) mever being under indictment : фeórecty has the best ms. authority, and the continuity of a legal process justifies the tense ; rd...фuyeî would mean simply never being brought to trial (equally good). Aeschines (194), after mentioning the boast of Aristophon that he had been acquitted ( $\dot{d} \pi t$ -
 тарау $\delta \mu \omega \nu$, compares this with the higher boast of Cephalus, that he had proposed more decrees than any other man, and yet had never once been indicted by the रןафो тараг $6 \mu \omega \overline{\text { m }}$. Demosthenes does not mention this special suit, but he evidently has it in mind here, as in $\$ 249^{2}$.
11. тpos $\gamma \in$ тootov, so far as this man is concerned; i.e. Aeschines has done nothing to prevent me from making the boast of Cephalus.

 Кєфá̀ov хєípш $\pi$ по久ír刀s．












6．Uбтレ émol Y．


 $\beta \in \lambda \tau$ ．L，vulg．；$\tau$ d om．$\Sigma^{1}, \Phi$ ．EXecvom．V6．6．$\mu$ evet $\Sigma$（accent by corr．）；




§ 258．I．T $\grave{\prime}$

6．28Cofe ypadiv，prosecuted an in－ dictment，cognate accusative，as in érpa－廿aro rрафїv．Our translation obscures the construction．

7．$\mu$ クikiv tival：see M．T． 685 ．
88 252－275．Here Demosthenes re－ plies at great length to scattered remarks of Aeschines about his＂bad fortune，＂ which involved in calamity every person， state，or thing which he touched．Though Aeschines refers only to his general for－ tune，Demosthenes chooses to speak chiefly of his fortunes in life，which he compares with those of his opponent． He concludes（ $88270-275$ ）with some forcible remarks on his fortune in the other sense．

8．252．1．dүvఱนoбúvqv（cf． $8894^{2}$ ， 207\％），want of feeling．

G．D．
 $114,157,158$ ，with 135,136 ；cf．$\$ 212$ （above）．
3． $8 \lambda \omega s \mu_{i v}$ is opposed to the special exception，ė̃eiò $\delta^{\prime}$ oütos（7）．

4．iv，after suggesting the object of Execv，is the object of otठev．

5．$\beta$ 人лтота траттен：superlative of




8．ن́тep $\eta \phi$ ávess ：opposed to $d \nu \theta \rho \omega-$ $\pi=$ ö́tepov，more humanly，i．e．more as one man should speak of another：cf．$\delta \sigma \tau$ cs．．．


§ 258．1．Tiv．．．$\tau 6 \times \eta v$ ：the general good fortune of Athens，as it is here understood，is not mere chance or luck （as in $\$ \mathbb{\delta} 207^{6}$ and $306^{\circ}$ ），but the result of












ş 264. 3. трооито $\Sigma$; $\pi$ ро́ouro $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{AI}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}^{1}$, vulg.; проеivto $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}^{2} . \quad$ imâs F .



divine protection and the care of the Gods. See the poem of Solon, quoted in XIX. 255, which begins
 ठोеîtal


 with the orator's comment ( 256 ), dyi $\delta$ '

 Thv $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$. (See notes of Dissen and


2. Tòv... $\Delta$ ubwvaiov: cf. Il. xvi. 233,
 valun, in the prayer of Achilles. Oracles sent from Dodona to Athens are quoted by Demosthenes, xxi. 53 ; cf. xix. 299, ס Zeis, in $\Delta_{\text {twry }}$ (the Queen of Zeus at Dodona), xdevtes ol $\theta$ eol. At this time Dodona was probably more revered at Athens because of the Macedonian influence at Delphi : cf. Aesch. ini. 130,


3. Tஸ̂v ซdvtwv dvopómwv, mankind in general, as opposed to Athens alone.
5. maluör kaкî̀: witness the destruction of Thebes by Alexander; and the overthrow of the Persian Empire, which was then going on. See Aesch.
III. 132, 133; in 134 he includes Athens in the general bad fortune which she owes to the baneful influence of Demosthenes.
 our choice of the most glorious course: the whole sentence through ä $\mu \varepsilon$ нvov хра́ттetv is the subject of eivai (4), i.e. he includes all this in the special good fortune of Athens.
3. olm@ivtov introduces el $\pi \rho b a v \theta^{\prime}$... סuaselv in or. obl.: $\pi$ gbourto has the best mS. authority here, and in v. 15 and xxi. 212 ; but $\Sigma^{1}$ has $\pi p$ becate in vi. 8.
3. av่тйv: intensive with $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \lambda_{\eta}^{\prime}$ ${ }^{n} \omega \mathrm{y}$, than those viry Greeks; almost reiterative.-duavov прdrratv: of. $\beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda$ -
 fate of Athens under the Macedonian supremacy with that of the Peloponnesians who remained neutral in the late war and the Thessalians who sided with Philip : see 88 64, 65 .
4. Tท̂s túx ${ }^{2}$ s with eivau $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu$ : see
 خ $\mu$ ert pas due入elas à tis $\theta \in l \eta$ dexalws (with the following rd $\delta \varepsilon \ldots, . . \theta_{\epsilon}(\eta \nu)$, where elvau is omitted. $\quad \boldsymbol{r} \theta_{\eta \mu} \mu$ in this sense takes the infinitive regularly in or obl.: see Aesch.


 disaster (euphemistically called collision)


















6．ijuâs V6．7．$\mu$ epos om．Ar．


 at beginning of next line），added in mg．


 7．тои́тои V6．9．$\mu$ етрайтaтa（not－ $\boldsymbol{\eta r a}$ ） $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ．
and our not having everything done as we wished：this is the object of $\mu$ erei入ŋфtvan， with rd．．．$\mu$＇pos as appositive，this I believe that our city has received as the share of the general（bad）fortune of the rest of mankised which falls to our lot．

6．то̀ impailitov $\mu$ ípos：cf．тd $\gamma$ เүvb－ Mevov，the quota， $8104^{4}$ ．West．quotes




8268.2 2．iv rois L8lous：Aesch．had sought for the fortune of Demosth．Ev тois $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma$ loss，as in III． $114, \sigma \nu \mu \beta \in \beta \eta \kappa \in \nu$



Aesch．quotes Hesiod（Works and Days 240 ff．）against Demosthenes．

3．d\＆$\omega \hat{\omega}$ ，judge：＂eine seltene Bedeut－

Bl．But here $\dot{\alpha} \xi t \hat{\omega}$ is not equivalent to
 voulई $\omega$ ．

8256．4．\＄uxpótทra，coldness，want
 187，with Shilleto＇s note．

7．Xa入eroo，harsh，unfceling，stronger than $\psi v \chi p o i v$.

9．Iк тஸ̂v．．．метри́ката，as moderately as the state of the case（ $T \mathrm{~d}$ evbvia）will permit．The $\delta \delta r v a \mu a$ which is commonly omitted with is and the superlative is














oftener expressed in the subjunctive（as here）or the optative than in the indica－ tive．Its frequent insertion shows that it was always felt．See especially such complicated expressions as Plat．Rep．
 re，to the greatest extent possible for man， which without $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\pi} \varphi$ would be about
 added，limiting otbv $\tau \in(=\delta u v a \tau \delta \nu)$ ，as ék tû̀ èvbutuv here limits dóvouac．We have again an apology，perhaps an honest one， for the personal vituperation which fol－ lows， $\mathbf{S}_{8} 257$－ 262 ．
§257．1．ن่ォทิpझev：the subjects are
 $\pi \rho d \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$, with è $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$（7）．Most mss． insert $\mu$ è̀ övтı фoctầ els after raıठl．－ $\pi p o \sigma$ ykovra，i．e．such as children of the better classes attended：one of the charges against his guardian Aphobus （xxvif．46）is roùs $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma x a ́ \lambda o u s ~ r o u s ~ \mu-~ . ~$


2．Tìv．．．тounfovta $=$ ofs mangeet，he who is to do etc．（M．T．527，530）．－ aloxpoiv，i．e．dve入evi $\theta \in \rho o v:$ this idea of the ignobility of toil is a commonplace with the Greeks，as a slave－holding people． Cf．Ar．Av．1432， $\boldsymbol{\tau l}$ रd $\rho$ тd $\theta \omega$ ；бка́ттєty


3．dxó入ovea $\pi p$ ditar is explained by the rest of the clause，Xopŋreîr．．．xp申бинау etval．

4．Xop $\eta$ yiv，тpıทpapXeiv：testimony
about all his $\lambda_{\text {groupylat }}$ is given in $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{2 6 7}$ ． He was रopy ${ }^{6}$ ss in 350 b．c．，when he was assaulted by Midias（xxi．13 ff．）；for his numerous trierarchies see $\times x$ ．78， 154，Aesch．III．51，52，and cf．\＆ $99^{\circ}$ （above）．－बiodipar，to pay the eloфopd， or property－tax：this was assessed＂pro－ gressively，＂the richer being taxed on a larger proportion（ $\tau i \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ ）of their actual property than the poorer．（See Eisphora in Smith＇s Dict．Antiq．）The guardians of Demosthenes，to conceal their pecu－ lations，continued to enroll their ward in the highest class，so that he paid taxes on a $\tau$ lu $\eta \mu a$ of one－fifth of his property（oúvia）， whereas he should have been placed in a much lower class after the inroads upon the estate．See xxviI．7，els rdp



 had me．so enrolled that I should be assessed on a $\tau / \mu \eta \mu a$ of 500 drachmas （i．e． 5 minae）for every 25 minae of my estate ：in Xxvili． 4 this is said to have made him a leader of the symmory
 and Boeckh，Staatsh．I．p．599．See note on $8103^{3}$ ．

7．※ore，with perfect and present in－ finitive：M．T．590， 109.
9．doruфarīflar：see 8883,120 ， 222， 223.










    т $\hat{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ ivdelas L：see Vömel．

8389．1．кal ヶท̂̀ A2．

[^27]
#  $\mu e ̀ \nu \nu v ́ \kappa т а ~ \nu \in \beta \rho i \zeta \zeta \omega \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ к р а т \eta \rho i \zeta \omega \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а Ө а i ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \tau о \grave{s ~}$    





2．Tllta ouveorerumpot，you helped to conduct the rest of the cercmony：oxevw－ poivat is properly look after oxevin（of any kind），and generally marage，direct， devise，concoct（often in a bad sense）：
 Mevov（of Philip）．See axevwpla and aкevapos．

3．veppition and кparnplteve are pro－ bably transitive and govern rovs reiou－
 avoras，i．e．dressing them in fawnskins and drenching them with wine．See Eur． Bacch．24，veßpi（ঠ＇ékáqus xpobs，and Sandys＇note．They are sometimes taken as neuter，meaning dressing yourself in a fawnskin and pouring out wine．Har－ pocration has，ol mèv des tov̂ reגoûrtos


 symbolic of the sufferings of Dionysus）． Photius explains крarचpl$\zeta \omega \nu$ by oivov．．．
 Dissen quotes the passive éxparnplo日ŋ－ $\mu \epsilon \nu=e^{\prime} \mu \theta \theta \dot{v} \sigma \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$ from Hesychius．

4．dropáттшv：Harpocration says：


 тd titvopa roîs tèovutyots，ís 入érouev




 रevéotal．Dissen quotes Wyttenbach＇s note on Plut．Mor．p． 166 A：＂Lustra－ tionis pars erat ut corpus lustrandum circumlineretur et quasi circumpinseretur
imprimis luto，$\pi \eta \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ ，tum abstergeretur， quorum illud est repud́тteıv，hoc dro－ $\mu d r t e t y$, sed utrumque promiscue de tota lustratione dicitur．＂The whole expres－ sion then seems to mean plastering them over with the clay and then rubbing them clean with the brant．

5．dviords：the victim is supposed to be sitting during the operation，like Strepsiades（Nub．256）．－каварр 00 ：for the full force of this word see the passages above quoted under 1． 4 ；the process was a purification and also a charm．－кe入eíev，subordinate to dnords： i．e．making him get up as he bids him say，etc．－W中yov кaxdv，supov duavov： this formula was borrowed from initia－ tions and other ceremonies of a higher character，meaning that a new life had opened as the result of the ceremony just ended．Suidas gives（under t申vyou．．．






 какdy Eneroy．See Eustath．p．1726，and ［Plut．］Prov．Alex．xvi．The saying （Eustathius calls it a maporpia）originally referred to the change from the acorns and thistles of primitive life to the more civilized bread，but was used at weddings and in other ceremonies．The words form a paroemiac，and probably belonged to some metrical formula．

6．d $\lambda_{0 \lambda}$ úfan，used especially of cries or shouts in religious worship or prayers ： see Od．Iv．767，wis elmov̂a＇ $\boldsymbol{\delta \lambda} \boldsymbol{6} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \nu \boldsymbol{\xi} \in$（after








§ 200. 2. $\mu a \rho d \theta \psi \Sigma$, L, vulg.; $\mu a \rho d \theta \rho \psi$ V6. $\quad$ 3. $\pi a \rho i a s ~ A I, ~ B{ }^{1}$.    (See Vömel.)<br>$\lambda u к \nu 0 ф 6 \rho \circ$ A2.

a prayer); Aeschyl. Eum. 1043, $\delta \lambda 0 \lambda \sigma$. छare vûv exil $\mu 0 \lambda \pi a i ̂ s: ~ E u r . ~ B a c c h . ~ 689, ~$

8. \$etryeoflat $\mu$ dya: the strong voice of Aeschines is often mentioned by Demosthenes; see below, $88280,285^{5}$, $291^{6}, 33^{7}$, and especially XIX. 206-208, $216,337-340$; in xix. 216 he says, $\mu \eta \delta$ 't
 el фaîגor dүш, alluding to his own weakness of voice. See Dissen's notes on the whole of this section.

82e0. 1. \v 8t тais गúpans implies that the ceremonies just described were performed by night. - Qdorovs, used especially of Bacchanals; see Eur. Bacch. 680,

2. тழ̂ mapdiḷ̂ kal тî $\lambda$ rókn: see




 after quoting this passage, says, ol rd





 गौ dxepwls' (II. xiII. 389). (For Dionysus, Persephone's son, the Orphic Zagreus, see Gerhard, Mythol. 884 19, 429,438 .) From $\mu d \rho a \theta$ ov, fennel, Marathon is said to have been named (cf. Strab. p. 160): for the fondness of serpents for it, see

Ael. Hist. Animal. IX. 16. For serpents in the Bacchic worship, see Eur. Bacch. 102, 697. The white poplar, $\lambda e \dot{\prime} к \eta$, populus alba, is mentioned in Ar. Nub. 100\%. See Bekk. Anecd. p. 279: 方 $\delta \hat{1}$

 Tov̂ $\theta$ avd́rov.
3. Tois тapelas: see Harpocr., тареía ovoudjontal twes ठфесs rapd ro mapelas mel\}ovs ${ }^{\prime}$ Xety, and Ael. Hist. An. viII. 12, $\delta$ тapelas in rapobas тuppos тìv xpbay,




 harmless snakes were thus sacred to Aesculapius, and were named тapeîar from their fat cheeks.
4. cúo $\quad$ rapot: as củô̂, cvoc, was the cry used in the regular Bacchic worship, so $\sigma a \beta o \hat{\imath}$ was used in invoking इapdjos, the Phrygian Bacchus. All points to some Asiatic worship, more or
 these mystic words stand as a cognate accusative with exropXourevos; this is what he danced. See Lobeck, Aglaophamus, pp. 652, $1041-46$, who quotes Bekk.

 Ồv тду $\Delta$ เбәvбov.
 Aeschines as leader of the song or dance or both : cf. Eur. Bacch. 141, $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ EfapXos







  nunc dyroì＂（Vömel）．avrô（w．＇and＇）$\Sigma$ ；aúrồ L，vulg．<br>  $L^{3}$ ，vulg． dpxalots A2．

 ivy being sacred to Bacchus．For the reading кıбтофбpos（against all mss．）see Vömel＇s note．See Harpocr．under кıт－
 кเбтофброs＇тds $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ 入eroptvas kiбтas lepds elval ©herev tồ $\Delta$ tovícov кal tâ̂ $\theta$ eaiv．－$\lambda u r v o d$ opos，bearer of the win－ nowing fan，$\lambda l a v o v$, the mystica vansus Iacchi．See Verg．Georg．1．166，and Varro quoted by Servius on the passage ： ideo ait quod Liberi patris sacra ad purgationem animae pertinebant；et sic homines eius mysteriis purgabantur sicut vannis frumenta purgantur．－mal rovet． та，i．e．these（EsapXos k．т．入．）and similar names．

7．Iиярхкта，बтрептоis，sops，twists： for $\ell^{2} \theta \rho u \pi \tau a$ see the Schol．，$\psi \omega \mu \mathrm{ol}$ divu
 （Harpocr．），evidently from orpiфф．－


 é $\rho \in \beta l \nu \theta$ ous eve $\mu \beta d \lambda \lambda$ ortes，toîs td lepd те入ovarv tverov（Harpocr．），i．e．barley buns，made of newly－ground（roasted） barley，soaked in honey and covered with plums and chick－peas．But Blass is right in connecting $\nu \in-\phi \lambda a r a$ not with d $\lambda \in \omega$ but with enabvo：cf．eגarthp，a flat cake（Ar．Ach．246，Eq．1182）；acc．to Suidas，rapd rod raîs $\chi \in \rho \sigma i v$ è $\lambda a u ́ v \in \sigma \theta a r$ els $\pi \lambda d$ ros．See Bl．and West．on this section．

each deme was responsible for the correct－ ness of its $\lambda_{\eta} \xi$ เapXıxठу rpapнатeion，or list of citizens．Aristotle＇s Constitution of Athens now gives us clear information on the whole subject of the enrolment

 ขбтеs dotâ．＇rypdqovtas f＇els tous


 ко0̃al reyovevas тiv induxiay тin ix то0


 фpoupoû́t ote rd $\delta$ bot try（previously de－ scribed），x入aرứas EXoyres，nal dтє入eîs

 the whole chapter．）－dwwoivivort，some－ how，with dreion $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$＇berpdфms，refers to the story that his father was a slave，in which case it would have been impossible for the son to be legally enrolled as a citizen without an affirmative vote of 6000 in the Assembly；while the safe－ guards against illegal enrolment（see Aristotle，above）would have made this almost impossible．

3．урарраткínv：see $88162^{5}, 209^{1}$ ． The occupation of a paid private clerk （not that of a clerk of the Senate or Assembly）was despised at Athens：see
 petty officers：dpxidiov is here diminutive

















of $d \rho \chi \eta$ in the sense of ${ }_{d \rho \chi}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$. See Aesch. III. 21, d $\rho \chi \dagger \eta \nu \dot{u} \pi \in u ̛ \theta u v o \nu ~ \mu \eta े ~ d \pi o-~$ ठ $\eta \mu \varepsilon$ 亿̂̀.
6. ти̂̀ троӥтทрүमivev, of your antecedents.

8 262. 2. тоts $\beta$ apuatovous, the heavy groaners.- इ̌uinkq (so $\Sigma$ ): Theophrastus (Athen. ViII. 348 A ) mentions
 man), to whom Stratonicus the harper applied the proverb $\mu$ éras odjeis $\sigma a \pi p d s$ i $\chi$ Oús, dividing the words $\mu$ 'ras, ovideis,

3. Iтpıraywolorens: a company of strolling actors, such as performed at the country festivals, was probably composed of two men, who played the first and second parts and hired another to play the third parts. The description which follows (forca к.т. $\lambda$.) can hardly apply to the uuxpd $\Delta$ covóvia, td èv dypoîs, which came in winter (see Bl.).-Oкк... Xaploov: the meaning of these much disputed words seems to be, that the band of players subsisted chiefly on the fruit which Aeschines, as their hired servant, collected from the neighbouring farms by begging, stealing, or buying, as he found most convenient. He is compared to a small fruiterer (dт由р'ivns), who each morning collects
his load of fruit from farms which he has hired, or wherever else he can get it cheapest. Pollux (vi. 128) includes



5. Theles...dyóvev, getting more (profit) from these than from your plays (contests). -oits (cogn. acc.)...tywvtcote, which you played at the risk of jour lives (or in which you fought for your lives), with a pun on the two meanings of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \mathfrak{v}$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v i\} o \mu a t$,



 ronû̂, where there is a similar pun on being tried for their lives in court and in battle.
6. downov8os kal dкทंpuxтos, without truce or herald, i.e. implacable, without even the common decencies of civilized warfare. See Thuc. I. 146, $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ d $\lambda \lambda$ tinous
 (before the actual war), and II. 1, ofte '̇тецi $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ) began) : here heralds are a sign of actual warfare.



















 drootท̂val. This account of the $\pi \delta \lambda \in \mu$ s makes траúرаат' here perfectly intelligible; but the reading meiw...tpavimara in 5 (which all mss. except $\Sigma$ have) makes endless difficulty and confusion. If tpad$\mu a r a$ in 5 is referred to wounds received in stealing fruit, compared with those received on the stage or after the play, there is a strange repetition of the latter; if there is a reference (as Westermann suggests) to fruit used in pelting the actors, it is hard to see how figs, grapes, and olives could endanger the lives of the "heavy groaners."
8. ©\& 8endois $\sigma$ ко́ntets: see \& $345^{2}$ and note.

Demosthenes (xix. 246, 247) says that Aeschines was a tpetaywutorts also to actors of high repute, as Theodorus and Aristodemus; and he reminds him of the time when he used to play the part of Creon in the Antigone with these actors. He adds the following: èv äraat roîs
 $\gamma^{\text {tpas }}$ toîs tpraywilataîs $\tau \mathrm{d}$ tous tupadvous кal tovs $\tau \dot{d} \sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho$ ' txoytas elotevau. This is mentioned to explain why so important a part was given to Aeschines.

8 288. 3. kal emphasizes the rest of the clause, rout'...тоtîбau, i.e. when at last you took it into your head to try this.
5. 入ayd flov thrs: cf. Dion. Chrys. Lxvi. p. 357 R. Weil quotes Trag. frag.
 arpopos $\lambda$ etwr. "Dicuntur leporis vitam vivere qui semper anxii trepidique vivunt ; nam ut est apud Herod. III. 108, $\delta \lambda$ dros

 nisi oculis apertis" (Dissen).
7. Өpaove iv...ipah (M. T. 884): personal passive construction. Cf. $\$ 8$ 282, 284, 286.
81264. I. XLiCov drolavóvtwv: see





 xvi. 88 quotes an eloquent passage of the speech of Lycurgus at the trial of Lysicles, one of the Athenian commanders at Chaeronea, who was condemned to death :

















## 4．тарале





Sou入evoúns，кal rouitwy dxdurwy revevך．



5．тробóvt＇aloxpà roúr甲 ：cf． $8276^{5}$ ． －uxxepios $\lambda$ tyar，to be rendy to tell：cf． $870^{3}$ ．

8 265．In 88326,266 the orator sums up vigorously the substance of $\$ \mathbf{8} 357$ 264．Westerinann points out that each of the five stages of the life of Aeschines is mentioned in order，when he was （1）a schoolmaster＇s assistant（\＄258）， （2）initiator（ 88259,260 ），（3）scribe（8261）， （4）actor（ $\$ 262$ ），（5）politician（ 88263,264 ）． The words commonly read in 1．4，exbpeves， èj ${ }^{1}$＇exoptrour，correspond to nothing that precedes，and are rightly omitted on ws．authority．Many ancient rhetoricians quote these famous antitheses with ap－ proval and admiration；but Demetrius （ $\pi \in \rho \ell\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \mu \eta \eta .250\right.$, p． 105 W ．）disapproves of them on rhetorical grounds，saying кaкore－

 We are again shocked by the open avowal of the disgrace of earning an honest living； the ancients were certainly more honest than many of our generation in expressing this．
 $\beta$ twканеу（cf．\＆ $130^{2}$ ）．

2．xpdos：Spengel quotes Rhet．ad



 סcaßa入ovotv，as referring to this passage， and urges on this ground the omission of
 Preface to Rhet．Gr．II．p．xviii．）Blass， however，doubts the reference，and ex－ plains rpdus as a sarcastic allusion to the bitterness of Aeschines．We could wish for some sufficient reason for discrediting the words in question，chiefly out of regard for Demosthenes．

4．Upoltov，went to school：cf．Ar． Nub．916，ठid नè $8 \epsilon$ фotrầ oúסels detict
 the Eleusinian mysteries．
 as a passive to $\langle\kappa \beta d \lambda \lambda e t v$ ；cf．xIX．337，
 $\theta e d r \rho \omega y$ ．See Arist．Poet． $17^{4}, 18^{15}$ ．
 кıpaola is any investigation to test the fitness or competency of a person for any－ thing，as for office（its ordinary meaning）









5 каi










or for citizenship；and $\delta o x \iota \mu$ j $50 \mu a c$ here implies that this trial is to test his fitness for the crown．

 The articular infinitive in or．obl．is rare （M．T．794，743）．－ool inaipXeh，it is in store for you．

4．kivSuvelacs corresponds to סokı $\mu$ djo－ mat（2）：the meaning is，the guestion with you is．

5．тоиิт० mouiv，i．e． 10 go on being a бuкофаутทs．－тетaviolas，to be stoppled （once for all），i．e．by drıula（cf． $882^{8}$ ）．－
 because it is omitted in $88103,222,250$ ， whereas it appears in other speeches fre－ quently（e．g．xxir．3）．What modern orator or writer would submit to such rules of consistency as critics impose on the ancients？

6．ov่X doq̂s；cf． $23^{2}{ }^{5}, 281^{3}$ ．
8 2e7．1．фட́pe．．．dvaywî（M．T． 257）：the orator does not read the tes－ timony himself；cf．$\lambda \in \gamma \in$（9）．So $\phi \in \rho \in . .$.
cโTw，xix．169，followed by $\lambda \in \gamma \varepsilon .-\lambda y$－ roupyiov：this includes the public services
 $8257^{4}$ ，but not eloф $\rho_{\rho \in \omega}$ ，as the property tax was not a $\lambda$ yrovoria．
 тр $\psi$ 位， $8180^{6}$ ．
4．ฑ゙кc．．．жvidas ：the Hecuba of Euri－ pides begins，

All mss．except $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ have $\lambda_{\iota \pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} y$ for vexp $\omega_{v}$ ， making the sense of the quotation com－ plete．But such a change is unlikely in so familiar a verse．

6．makaypletv．．．$\mu$ ：this verse is other－ wise unknown ：какаүүє入eî̀ must be pres． infin．of кaкarye入t $\omega$（otherwise unknown）， depending on $\theta$ enorra．The readings of the best MSS．，кaкay $\boldsymbol{\ell} \lambda \lambda$ ecv or $\kappa d x^{\prime} d \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda$－ גew（ $\Sigma$ ），are plainly impossible．Weil refers to Eur．Tro．705，ov̀X excivy $\gamma d \rho$ d $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．

 $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta_{\nu}$. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau$ тàs $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i a s$.

## MAPTYPIAI.









 $\pi 0 \lambda i$ rin $\Sigma^{1}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~F}, \Phi$; кal om. vulg. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \boldsymbol{\Phi} . \mathrm{B}^{1}, \mathbf{A r}$.

8 2e8. 3. тарабхо/ $\mu \eta$ àv vulg.; av om. $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F}, \Phi$. 4. tyas $\mathrm{om} \mathrm{O}^{1}$.



7. The words кaxòv как由ิs नc...dто$\lambda$ dreav are probably an adaptation of a verse quoted from Lynceus by Athenaeus,
 ol $\theta$ ed, or both may go back to the source of Ar. Eq. 2. 3, каки̂s Пафגdyova...dжо$\lambda e ́ \sigma e c a y ~ o i ~ \theta e o l$. See Blass.
8. rovnpov: with both moditnv and трктаншусттो,
88 208. 2. kowds, in public relations, public spirited, in private matters (as here), devoted, at the service of all: cf. Isoc. I. 10, $\tau 0$ ôs $\Phi$ ( $\lambda$ ous xavebs.
3. outkv dv droun, I had rather not mention anything.
4. al tivas dлvodupv: these were Athenians captured by Philip at Olynthus in 348 b.c., whom Demosthenes ransomed in 346, when he was in Pella on the second embassy (Hist. §40). See xix. 166-170. Dem. lent various sums to these prisoners, which they paid for their ransoms; when afterwards Philip set all the other prisoners free without ransom, he forgave the first their debts to him ( $\begin{aligned} & \delta \omega \kappa \alpha a\end{aligned}$
$\delta \omega \rho \in \dot{d} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda u ́ t \rho a)$, which otherwise they would have been strictly required by law to pay (xix. 170). See [LIII.] II, ol id

 but this is hardly sufficient authority for the severity of the penalty, personal slavery.
5. ouveffema, i.e. helped poor citizens to endow their daughters: giving a dowry was an important part of giving a daughter in marriage: see Meier and Schömann, pp. 513 ff .
6. ofirc...oisty, nor anything else of the kitud. These words are rather loosely connected with the preceding clauses with ofore : in all three obfe repeats the negative of odody av elmotur к.r.A., so that the con-
 Twn oúdev.
 (7).






 тои́т $\omega \nu$, à $\rho \kappa \in \hat{i} \mu$ ои.






4. $\mu \boldsymbol{x} \rho \circ \psi$ óx $\rho \circ v$ ( $\rho$ erased) $\Sigma$.
8970. 2. $\epsilon \rho \in i=12$.
vulg. ; $\sigma 0$ om. $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}^{1}$, AI.


$\pi \operatorname{dod}^{2} \omega v$ airiav L, vulg.; altiav om. $\Sigma^{1}$, Vöm., Bl.
4. $\mu \mu x p o \nsim$ íx 0 : see note on $8279^{5}$.
5. ข́тощниforketv, i.e. to be always calling to mind.-ucpoo Sxiv, the full form of $\mu \kappa \rho \circ \hat{v}$, almost (M. T. 779) : cf. $815 I^{3}$. West. quotes Cic. Lael. XX. 7I, odiosum sane genus hominum officia exprobrantium; quae meminisse debet is in quem collata sunt, non commemorare qui contulit; and Sen. Benef. II. 10, haec enim bencticii inter duos lex est: alter statim oblivisci debet dati, alter accepti nunquam; lacerat animum et premit frequens meritorum commemoratio. Pericles (Thuc. II. 40) looks at the matter from a different point of view : ov่ үà $\rho$ тáбхovres
 к.т. $\lambda$. See the opposite view of Aristotle's strange $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda b \psi v x o s$ (Eth. IV. 3,

 is a New England saying, "If a man does you a favour, he follows you with a tomahawk all your lifetime."

 have been understood, i.e. the general opinion which has been formed of me.

8s 270-978. We have here a sort of peroration to the discourse on Fortune
( $88252-275$ ), in which the orator comes at last to the precise point of his opponent's remark, that Demosthenes has brought ill-luck upon every person or state with which he had to do (Aesch. 111. 114). Hitherto Demosthenes has spoken far more of his "fortunes" than of his "fortune." See remarks before notes on $\$ 252$.

8 970. 2. vind routov Toेv ฑ̈ $\lambda 10 v$, as we say, under the Sus: "klingt fast poetisch" (B1.). See Il. v. 267, dббot
 5wovoly in' aíyds te入loco. In prose Úmó with the accus. generally implies $e x$ tersion tonvards something, an idea which we miss here.
3. d0¢ิоs, unharmed: cf. § $125^{\circ}$, where we have the original meaning, free from 0wry, penalty, as in XXIII. 78, тaúrys $\mu$ ̀v ( $\delta i \times \eta s$ ) d $\theta \hat{\psi}$ os dфleтas, he is acquitted.
4. Suvaotelas : see $8867^{3}, 322^{7}$.
6. тávтenv үरyeviodat, has fallen to the lot of us all: the subject is $\boldsymbol{T h v} \boldsymbol{t} \mu \mathrm{inv}$ ...סvaruxlay, and $\pi d v t \omega y$ refers to all the Athenians (cf. \& $272^{4}$ ) opposed to Tŵy
 would admit (he implies) that his own fortune had extended to Athens, were it not that foreign states had suffered the same ill fortune.























8271. 3. кат' ${ }^{2} v \delta p a$, i.e. individuals, as opposed to $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon t s$ and $\epsilon \theta \nu \eta$.
5. форáv tıva траүuátev, a rush of events: фopd in this sense (impetus) belongs to $\phi t \rho o \mu a r$, used as in $\beta l a \quad \phi \in \rho e-$ тal, Plat. Phaedr. 254 A, and фєрb $\mu \in \mathrm{vos}$, with a rush (M.T. 837) : фopdy, crop, in $\S 61^{2}$, belongs to $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$, bear, produce.
6. oux olav E6st, not what it should be (present in time, M.T. 417); $\delta \delta \epsilon t$ here is ought to be (but is not), whereas $\delta \in \hat{i}$ would be simply ought to be (implying nothing).
8 272. 3. $2 \pi \sim \beta d \lambda_{\text {et }}$ : see note on


 is past, while $\dot{\eta}_{\nu} d \nu$, its apodosis, is present.
 solute autocrat: cf. aúròs aüroxpdrwp, \& $235^{5}$.
 $\pi \kappa i v$, put forward for public consideration:



 a debate: cf. Thuc. 1. 139 $9^{18}$, and 111. $38^{2}$,
 is like $\sigma$ котeì here.
4. 1m' eivola, out of devotion, cor-
 dative of advantage with $\pi$ rapex wets, but is also felt with $\epsilon \pi$ ' evyola.
.5. Trinov, pride: see $85120^{51}$ (with note), $217^{3}$.









## 


 катd тоútov $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{Y}, \Phi, \mathrm{A}_{1}$ : катá тou V6; кат'


 $\Sigma^{1}$ ( $\tau \hat{4}$ in mg.).


## 

Westermann thinks the argument of this section not quite fair (" nicht ganz ehrlich "), as it is not to be assumed that Aeschines assented to all which he did not oppose. But, apart from the obvious irony of parts of the argument (as in od
 too much to expect of the acknowledged "leader of the opposition" in such a desperate crisis, that he should at least protest strongly against measures of such vital importance as those which he censures afterwards, even if he could not propose any positive measures himself. Now it is an important part of the argument of Demosthenes, that Aeschines said nothing whatever on such occasions as the sudden seizure of Elatea by Philip.
 see the whole passage, 88188 -191. The only ground on which such neglect can be excused is the one here assumed, that the opposition had no better plan to propose. Even this inability is not made a direct charge against Aeschines; it is merely used as a defence against his unqualified condemnation of the course taken by the state. The plain truth is, of course, that Aeschines really wished to let Philip have his own way at this time.
8 274. 1. тapd...divopérrois: see two
similar cases of rapd in $8297^{46}$. -rots dh入ots $\pi \hat{a} \sigma t v$, i.e. all except Aesch. : cf. ăтаyтas dv $\rho \rho \hat{6} \pi$ ous, $8275^{4}$.
2. Td rouaira, i.e. such (principles) as the following, explained by the statements in 2-7.-diuxei tis dixiv, a man (let us suppose) is guilty of voluntary injustice. We have three such suppositions in independent sentences, with paratactic replies or apodoses. For a similar arrangement see \& $117, k \pi t \delta \omega \kappa a$, $\dot{\eta} p \chi o v, d 8 L x \omega s{ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{j} \xi a$, with the replies. See also 8198.
3. Spyiv кal тцрерlav: sc. 86тє, or

 i.e. one who neither is guilty of injustice nor errs (sc. dxwiv).
6. $\mu \theta^{\prime}$ dridvtav, i.e. in common with everybody.

On the distinction of dóктرаата, d $\mu a p-$ Thuata, and drvx $\quad$ mara here recognized, Dissen quotes Arist. Rhet. 1. 13, 16 : ' $\phi^{\prime}$
 таûта, каl тঠ тd dцарттиата каl тd dठь-






 vəplas.









§276. 1. aútòs on. Air.
§275. 2. Tois vópors (without (iv), by the laws: cf. § $118^{4}$, and XX. 57 , тaúta

3. Tois dंypádols vopl $\mu$ ols, by the principles of mmuritten law, further explained by rois $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ lvocs $\eta \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{l}: \mathrm{cf}$. § $114^{2}$. The unwritten law is known as the law of Nature, the moral law, the divine law, or the higher law, the law which is not alia lex Romae, alia Athenis. See Plat.






 distinguishes two kinds of unwritten law, one the кouvds vbuos, $\delta$ кara $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma t \nu$, the universal law of Nature, the other a branch of the special law of particular States, by which the defects of the written
 equity. See Rhet. 1. 13, $8 \S 1,2: \lambda \in \gamma \omega$





 $\pi \rho \delta s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ous $\hat{\eta} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\sigma v v \theta \eta \kappa \eta$, otov кal


 quotes Antig. 456, 457, oú रáp Tt...é $\xi$ ö $T o v$ 'фáv$\eta$, and the verses of Empedocles:
 є́vjpu $\mu$ édovtos
G. D.
 aĩ $\gamma$ ท̂s.
In I. 13, §§ II, 12 Aristotle more distinctly states the distinction of this "universal











§§ 278-200. Here Demosthenes begins by alluding to the attempt of Aeschines to represent him as a skilful sophist and rhetorician, who will impose on the judges by his wily arts. He retorts by showing that his own oratorical power has always been exerted in behalf of Athens, while that of Aeschines has been used to help her enemies or to gratify personal malice. He refers to the testimony of the citizens in choosing him to deliver the eulogy on those who fell at Chaeronea, as a proof of his patriotism. Finally, he declares that the present calamities of Greece have been caused by men of the stamp of Aeschines in various Greek States; and he gives a black list of these traitors who have betrayed their countries to the common enemy.
 as one who had always spoken his own thoughts honestly and loyally: we generally translate (for convenience) as if he
















 Tis）V6．9．тocaûta V6．
 $\mu \not ́ p o s ~ o m . ~ \Sigma, ~ L^{1}$ ．кupious $\Sigma, \mathrm{L} ;$ кuplous byras vulg．4．Exorr＇O．6．emi （for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ ）$Y$ ．

7．del Úxèp O．lofiav AI．
had spoken（quasi vero dixisset，West．）， though there is nothing conditional in the participle with $\omega \sigma \pi \in \rho$（without $\Delta \nu$ ）， which merely expresses comparison（M．T． 867）：having，as it were，spoken，would be more correct，though less clear．See $\omega \sigma$－ mep ovix，§ $323^{6}$ ，and note on is（4）．
 кроúrouat：an object clause after фu入dr－ recv and тпpeiv，though its subject appears by attraction（ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$ ）in the leading clause （M．T． $30_{4}{ }^{2}$ ）．This is a reply to Aesch． 16，174，206，207，and other passages．

4－6．is．．．0ข้тตs EXovta（accus．abs．）， i．e．assuming that this must needs be so． $\dot{\omega} s$ has no more conditional force than $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho(1)$ ，though we find it convenient to use as if in translation（M．T．864）： notice oúкért with $\sigma \kappa \in \psi o \mu \notin \nu o u s$, showing that there is nothing conditional in the expression．－ov่к！́t бкeభo further consider：cf．кal $\delta\rangle$（5），implying without further thought，alsbald（Bl．）；so xx．65，кai $\delta 力 \begin{aligned} & \lambda \epsilon \lambda v \mu e ́ v a s . ~\end{aligned}$

8 277．2．Vorw ráp，well！grant that $I$ have it．Having broken his sen－ tence，he proceeds to say that the hearers have it in their power to neutralize the highest gifts of eloquence by refusing to listen．See xix．340，al $\mu \notin \nu$ тоlvuy $d \lambda \lambda a b$

 גขтเбтй，ठเaкбттєтаו．
 i．e．according to your good－will towards eack，cúvolas being partitive with iss，as in els roûto evivoias．

4．○ण̈tws фpoveî，i．e．ยv๋ or кaкŵs $\phi$ poveiv．

5．d $\mu \pi a p l a$, substituted modestly for
 construction being resumed by тaúrqu（6）．
 on your side，the familiar military figure： see note on $8173^{4}$ ，and $\epsilon \xi \eta r a j b \mu \eta \nu$ in $8173^{6}$ ．

8．точ̇vavilov（adv．）：sc．é $\xi \in \tau a \zeta о \mu t \nu \eta \nu$ єйрグбєтє．














10. aưrخ 0 .

 vulg.; $\tau \iota$ om. $\Sigma$, L, AI. $2 . \quad$ 9. $\quad$ dip d $\sigma \tau \iota$ Ai.



 posed to $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\ell} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$. тои́т $\omega \nu$ refers to $\tau$ ts, by a carelessness or indifference not uncommon: see $899^{3}$ and 11. 18, $\epsilon!$ tis... roúrous. We are all familiar with anybody becoming them in conversation. The


 West. thinks that there is an allusion to Timarchus here and in $8307^{6}$.
§ 278. 3. ช่ாโेp Tผิv Kotvఱิv, with eife入 $\eta \lambda v \theta \delta$ ras, i.e. to give judgment for the good of the State, opposed to bpriv...ße$\beta a c o v v .-d \xi c o i v$ aúr $\hat{\varphi}$ קeßacoov, to ask (them) to confirm for him, i.e. by condemning his opponent.
4. vimip roúrev, for these ends, i.e. to
 best of all.
5. d 8' ap' dváүкฑ, i.e. but if after all he must have these feelings.
6. Iv Tfotv...8a; i.e. when should an orator use all his powers?
7. Tinv 8inov 7 , any of the supreme (entire) interests of the State: cf. $88 \mathbf{8 8}^{8}$, $303^{9}$.
 etc.
9. dy rov́rols: with strongest emphasis, in reply to ty Tíciv; (6).
\& 379. Still answering the question $\epsilon^{\prime} v$ тlбเv...ठeí; ( $8278^{6}$ ), he describes the present suit as one which does not justify vehemence in an orator.
 the construction of $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma l o v: ~ c f . ~ V I I I . ~ 39, ~$ 40, $\epsilon \chi \theta \rho \delta \delta_{s} \delta \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \pi 6 \lambda \epsilon \iota \ldots \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \omega \delta \epsilon$ каl

3. oreфávov...кarŋyoplav, an accusation against a crovon and a vote of thanks (i.e. against a proposition to confer these) : nearly all decrees conferring a crown had

















4．ovveokevaouivov，having trumped $u p$.



6．oû6evos XpクoToi ：neuter，cf．$\pi$ dy－

 idea of $\$ 16$ ．

7．mal strengthens mâ$\sigma a v$, the very depth of baseness：тâбay EXet кaxlay，
$\qquad$
\＆280．3．фwvaorklas，declamation （practice of voice）：cf．$\S 308^{9}$ ，and фwva－ $\sigma \kappa \eta \sigma a s$ and reфwvaбкךкẃs in XIX．255， 336.

6．таب̂rd троаиреiodau roîs mo入入ois： cf． $88281^{5}, 292^{4}$ ．
§ 281．3．roúrous renews emphati－ cally the antecedent implied in $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v$. － oúr．．．ठрик（sc．dүкúpas），does not ride at the same anchor，an oft－quoted saying．

and Apostolius XIII． 55 （Paroem．Gr．II． p．591）：both note the ellipsis of dyкúpas． Another expression was érl ovoîv dpuê̂
 （Apostol．vil．6r），to which Solon refers in his comparison of Athens with her two senates to a ship with two anchors：Plut． Sol．19，olb $\mu \in \operatorname{vos} \dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ ठuनl $\beta$ ou入aîs $\omega \sigma \pi \in p$
 E $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a u$ ．See the singular turn given to the proverb in LVI．44．Cf．Soph．Ant． 188－190，quoted in Xix． 247.

4．oürovy ovi8k：the two negatives unite their force，and that of ouv，there－ fore，remains：oủkoûv aúठé would give es－ sentially the same sense．
 and oú $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho ; \$ 136^{2}$ ．－${ }^{2} \mathrm{y}^{\circ}$ ：the ellipsis
 $\psi \cup \chi \eta \nu \nu(\mathrm{I})$ ，with the preceding $\tau \delta$ тaúrd．．．． $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v$.


 ท๋ $\nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ éкєívoıs тoîs $\chi$ рóvoıs $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ aïтıos $\tau \hat{n} \pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta \iota$,






6. toutoual L.

8 282. 2. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$ ejotcus V6. требß. om. A2. 3. Ev éxelvors L, vulg.;



 ros vulg.

8 283. 1. фөtry Mss.
 (8 $280^{\circ}$ ).
8 232. 1. dp' ouv ourit oú ; can the same be said also of you ? i.e. oústy... тетоlそбаи.
 schines (III. 227) says of this, tips $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi$ ns
 $\ell \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} e v$. Aeschines, Demades (from whom the peace was named, $\S 8285^{5}$ ), and probably Phocion, went to Philip to negotiate a peace after Chaeronea. As Blass remarks, it was very important that personae gratae should be sent on this critical mission; and Aeschines was well qualified. See Hist. 88 r .
4. Tabinv Tiv Xpolav: this, taken with тòv $\ell \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \in \chi \rho b \nu 0 \nu$, refers to earlier personal intercourse with Philip. Aeschines is now less anxious to repudiate this charge, in the day of Alexander's great success in Asia: see III. 66, $\delta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{d} \rho \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha-$


 52 (above).
7. катара̂тar: a most comprehensive curse ( $\mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{d}$ ) was a part of the religious
ceremony at the opening of each meeting of the Senate and Assembly. See xxiiI.





 exelvy $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ dìv. (It is added that Aeschines,
 ßou $\lambda \hat{y}$, had the duty of dictating this curse to the herald.) Blass quotes Dinarch. I. 47





 $\phi \rho o v e i ̂ ~(6) ~ w a s ~ i n c l u d e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ c u r s e . ~$. See also Dinarch. II. 16, deds поооддеро

 $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu d \tau \omega v$, é $\xi \omega \bar{\lambda} \eta$ тоûrov eival. See note on $\$ 130^{2}$.

 see note on § $119^{4}$, and ef. t̀rrei (2).







 бo九 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ r o ̀ v, ~ \tau \hat{n} ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta a \rho \nu i ́ a ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \tau 兀 \theta ́ ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau \grave{~}$





 5．то入є $\mu \psi \Sigma(\Delta \eta$ over $\pi о \lambda), L(\delta \nleftarrow \mu \psi$ over $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \psi)$ ，$A_{I} ; \delta \eta \mu \psi$ vulg．



3．8orts et，who you are：＂nicht quis sis，sondern qui sis＂（Westermann）．
 not remember，not（so）as not to remember： this is a regular case of $\omega \sigma \tau$ of with the infinitive in indirect discourse，where the direct form would have been rocoûtov
 594）．See Shilleto，Append．B．to Dem． de Falsa Leg．，pp．279－284，who dis－ cusses this passage；Madvig，Synt．8205， Anm．3；Gildersleeve，Am．Jour．of Philol．vir．p． 174 （whose whole article deserves careful study）．A few exceptional cases of $\dot{\omega} \sigma r e ~ o v i$ with the infinitive，no－ ticed by Shilleto，p．283，have never been satisfactorily explained（M．T．598）．
 $\mu{ }^{\alpha} \chi \chi^{\eta \nu}$（ $\mathbf{S ~ 2 8 2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ）when Aeschines went on his embassy to Philip．－катар＇йреvos кal 8copvvipaves，cursing（i．e．protesting，with curses on himself if he was false）and swearing；like Matth．Evang．xxvi．74，
 duveety，then began he to curse and to swear．

6．Tìv alrlav raúrฑv：i．e．the charge of intimate relations with Philip．

8 28．5．2．凶นo入óyels：i．e．your friend－ ship with Philip．－фи入lav kal gavlav ：see $8851,52$.
 ing by exchange）．

5．тицтаvботplas，timbrel－beater：the ти́лтауov，kettle－drum，was a favourite instrument in the Asiatic ceremonies described in 88 259，260．See Eur． Bacch． 58 （Dionysus speaks），alpeove

 125 ；Hel． 1346 ff．，$\chi$ a入ко仑 8＇$^{\prime}$ aú $\delta d y$

 тикvol $\sigma a \beta$ d́sıo．（See Bl．）－ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ үvípupes （after $\xi \in{ }^{\prime}$ ance．

8．кard бayтô．．．бuppâनt，an in－ former against yourself after the facts， whereas rapd тd $\sigma u \mu \beta d \nu \tau a\left(c f .8285^{4}\right)$ he had denied everything which told against him（ $8283^{5}$ ）．See $8197^{8}$ and note．

тoîs $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \hat{a} \sigma \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \omega ̀ s, ~ \epsilon ่ \mu o i ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \epsilon i ̂ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o ̉ \nu \epsilon \iota \delta i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a, ~$










 O．$\sigma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ vulg．，Bk．，Dind．，West．，Lips．；$\sigma^{\prime}$ éxetрот App．）．6．＇H $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \leqslant \mu b \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ L，Ai．

10．тdivras $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{2}$ ，i．e．any rather than myself：most mss．add the implied $\dot{\eta}{ }^{e} \mu k$ ．
 these accusatives are direct objects of $\pi$ moe $\lambda$ ero，but probably cognate with катஸ́p日нбe．Demosth．invariably uses кaтор $\hat{\omega}$ in its neuter sense of succeed，
 and Cor．$\$ 274^{6}$ ，od катஸ́p $\theta \omega \sigma$ ．If an object is added，as in Xxi．io6，el $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ etv $\dot{\omega} \nu \mathbf{~ i \pi e \beta o \delta \lambda e v g e ~ к a \tau \omega ́ p \theta \omega \sigma e v , ~ i t ~ i s ~ c o g n a t e : ~}$ see xxiv．7，Xxxvir．2．So in Cor． $8290^{3}$ ， rồ кatop日oî̀ toùs dywnjoontvous is not causing the combatants to succeed（as L． and S．give it），but the success of the combatants，as in saivta кaropoôv，to succeed in all things，just preceding．The active use of кarop $\theta \hat{\omega}$ elsewhere is well known，as in Soph．El．416，кат $\rho$ рөшбav Bpotoús．

3．Tov dpoovr＇，i．e．the orator for the public funeral．The funeral eulogy on those who fell in battle was first intro－ duced（acc．to Diod．xI．33）in the Persian wars．We have one genuine emirddoos $\lambda$ byos，that of Hyperides in honour of those who fell in the Lamian war（ 322 b．c．）；the famous eulogy of Pericles in 430 b．c．，given in the words of Thucydides（11．35－46），with one in Plat．Menex．（236－249），sportively ascribed to Aspasia by Socrates．The
one ascribed to Lysias（ir．）is of doubtful authenticity，and that found among the speeches of Demosthenes（LX．）is certainly spurious．
4．тар’ aútd тà $\sigma u \mu$ ßárтa ：i．e．when there might have been a strong public prejudice against him，as a leader who had failed（cf．§ 248 ${ }^{6}$ ）．
 Demosth．here agrees with Thuc．11． $34^{17}$ ，
 people elect the urator；but Plat．Menex． 234 B represients the Senate as the elect－ ing body，which perhaps refers only to a nomination by the Senate of several candidates from whom the Assembly chose one．$-\Delta \eta \mu \alpha \delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ ：see note on $\boldsymbol{\S} \mathbf{2 8 2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and Hist．88 8 ．

6．＇ $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu$ оva．mentioned by Aeschines （III．25）：he belonged to the Macedonian party at Athens with Demades and Py－ thocles．Phocion，Hegemon，Pythocles， and others were put to death by vote of the Athenian Assembly in 317 B．c．（Plut． Phoc．33－35）．See Grote xil．Ch．96， p．479．For the partizanship of Pythocles with Philip in 343 b．c．see XIX．225， 314
 312.

7．таре入0бrтоs before $\sigma о \hat{\text { каl }}$ пиvo－ клéous，but кatyropoívtwy after these words．













8. $\delta \mu \omega \hat{s}$ V6. 9. raûra $\Sigma$, L, vulg. ; raưrd $B^{2}$ (see Schaef. App.), Bk., Dind., Lips.; rade' West., B1. кal (before $\sigma$ ) om. V6. 10. $\mu e \Sigma$, L; ${ }^{2} \mu \ell$ vulg.
 7. autas $\Sigma$; aüroîs L, vulg.

 Ar. $\quad \delta \mu \omega \rho \delta \rho o \nu L^{1}$. 4. тарата $\xi a \mu$ (ovs above) $\mathrm{L}^{2}$.
9. \& kal $\sigma 0$ vovl, i.e. whish you again (kal) now charge me with. - IT' ductvov, all the more eagerly: acc. to BI. not elsewhere found in this sense.
8386. 2. aürol, of themselves (without being told).
 the whole Macedonian party what was said of Aeschines in $\boldsymbol{\$ s}_{3} \mathbf{2 8 2}, 283$. For

5. тois... גaßorvtas d8ecav, i.e. those who gained license to speak their minds with impunity, etc. See 83 198, $263^{7}$. adeca is now used in Athens for an ordinary permit, e.g. to visit the Acropolis by moonlight.
§ 287. 1. elta kal тpoofíkatv: sc. inrioavio (from 8 2867). I bracket íxo$\lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \quad$ veres with Blass: a mere carelessness in style, aiming at no rhetorical effect, seems inadmissible in this oration : see note on § $317^{6}$. See critical note (above).
3. $\delta \mu o p \delta \phi ю v:$ to be under the same
roof with anyone had a peculiar signifcance to the Greeks. Trials for homicide were held in the open air that neither the judges nor the prosecutor (usually a relative) might be under the same roof with the accused. See Ant. v. in; and cf. Nem. xx. 158, and Plat. Rep. 417 A, where the ruling class are forbidden to go under the same roof with gold or
 pleonasm for revevjo $\theta$ al, but expressing more forcibly the combination of past and future which is often seen in reरev $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta a$ (M. T. 102, 109), i.e. they thought he should not be one who had been under the same roof, etc.
4. таратаfapívoเs: see $\S 308^{4}$, and
 koundtctv: the revelling in Philip's camp after the victory at Chaeronea was notorious. See Plut. Dem. 20, where the story is told of the drunken Philip rushing out among the slain and chanting the introductury words of the decrees of
$\kappa \omega \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ каì $\pi \alpha \iota \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ė $\pi i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \eta ’ \nu \omega \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho a i ̂ s ~ s ~$



 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s . ~ к a i ̀ ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ o ́ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \delta \tilde{\eta} \mu o s ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega s, ~ o i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 288$





 Vöm. (cf. 6).
8. каl тар' éautoîs 0.




Demosthenes, which make an iambic




 yous Hacavievs tád' eitey. Theopompus, frag. 262, relates that Philip invited the Athenian envoys to supper, and after they had withdrawn spent the night in a drunken revel with companions of both sexes until daybreak, when he dismissed these and rushed in upon the Athenians in their lodgings
 III. 25, quotes an anonymous address to Demades in Herodian. $\sigma_{\chi \eta \mu}$. (vili. 602




 (Sauppe $\sigma \in \mu \nu v \nu \delta \mu e v o s)$, éyì ode oú $\sigma v v \eta$ $\nu \in \chi \theta \eta \nu$. See xix. 128, where Aeschines is charged with joining familiarly in the festivities held by Philip after the destruction of the Phocians (see Hist. 8 48). It is fair to give Plutarch's addition to his account in Dem. 30 (quoted above):




 סuvov dvaykaбөels is' aúroì.
6. Tఱิv au่тoxcipav: aütoxet $\rho$ is properly one who commits any deed by his owen hands or by his own act, as in XxI.
 Soph. Ant. 306, тঠy aüroxetpa roûde тoû rdoov. It also, when фbov is easily understood, means a murderer, as in xxi. 116, тду aútbxetpa 'xovtes, like


7. Tĩ ф $\begin{gathered}\text { vin } \\ \text { Saxplenv : a strong meta- }\end{gathered}$ phor, opposed to $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi \nu x \hat{\eta}$ ouva入 $\gamma \in \hat{v}$ (8).
 ímoxpivetal, he plays his part, \& $15^{4}$.riv тuxगेv: object of סakpúeiv. Bl. takes it with ímoкрибнevov, as in xIX. 246,

10. ن̀pas, i.e. any one of you: cf.

§ 238. 1. oux, negativing the two clauses with $\mu \dot{y}$ and $\delta \dot{f}: \mathrm{cf}$. $\$ 13^{10}$, and the grand climax in \& 179, with notes.
2. rartpes xal d8eldol: the public funeral was in charge of a committee of relatives of those who had fallen, chosen by the people.
4. Td тepl8ensvov, the funeral banguet : see Hermann (Blumner), Gr. Priv. Ant. f 39 (p. 37 ) ; Smith, Dict. Ant. under




 10 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \bar{\epsilon} \nu$ ．


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$ ．
 § 2s9．I．aürd（for aưrư）A 2 ．toutl $\Sigma$, L，B，Ai．2；rô̂ro vulg．$\quad$ 1．iो
 каl бикоф．дута Y．буга щарбу O ．
（ $\delta \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i q \ldots \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$ ，lines I－4，omitted in V6，added in mg．）

The Epigram is omitted in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ，AI，V6；also in text of L，added in margin．

Funus；Cic．Leg．II．25．－is map＇oikev－ Tdre，at the house of him who stood in the closest possible relation to the deceased，as at private funerals the nearest relative． is belongs to okecordru，in the usual in－


5．Uनтер．．．Y（rveotan，i．e．as is the custom at private funerals，referring to is
 roceì in 3.

7．\＄．．．Su\＆tepev，i．e．who had most at stake，i．e．in their success．

8．kal（end），likewise，with maOóviwy ．．．wゅe入ov．
 which would they had never suffered：this rather poetic form of an unattained wish is used here for animation，and again in § $320^{\circ}$ ．See M．T．734， 736.

一трotices in $\pi 6 \lambda_{1 s}$ ，more formal than the usual | $\delta o \xi \in \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon$, ，perhaps implying |
| :--- | （as H．Jackson suggests）a choice from a number of epigrams sent in by competing poets．

 8290.

Epigram．This cannot be the genuine epitaph inscribed on the public monu－ ment of the heroes of Chaeronea．This
monument was standing on the road to the Academy in the time of Pausanias （1．29，13），and it is to be hoped that excavations may bring the real inscription to light．The present epigram，as most scholars have seen，has too little poetic merit and 100 slovenly a style to be ac－ cepted as genuine．The spurious decrees and other documents in this oration，more－ over，establish a presumption against any document which professes to have been read by the clerk and not by the orator． This epigram is not in the older MSS．， and it appears in the Anthol．Graeca，III． p． 314 （de Bosch），IV．p． 249 （Jacobs）． We can be sure of one genuine verse（9）， which is quoted by Demosthenes in $8290^{\circ}$ （see note on this verse）．A small frag－ ment of an inscription has been found near the Olympieum at Athens，cut（acc． to Köhler）between 350 and 300 B．C．， which contains parts of six words of an epigram in the Anthol．Pal．vil． 245 ：this epigram was evidently inscribed to the heroes of Chaeronea．See C．I．Att．II． 3，No．1680．The full epigram is as follows，the letters found in the inscription being printed in heavy type ：－

[^28]
## ЕПІГРАММА.

öтла, каі̀ à $\nu \tau \iota \pi a ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tilde{v} \beta \rho \iota \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \delta a \sigma a \nu$.
$\mu \eta \delta \grave{e ̀ \nu} \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i ̃ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a \kappa a \tau o \rho \theta o \hat{v} \nu$

Epigram. v. 4. $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \eta \nu$ mss., Bk.; $\beta \rho a \beta \hat{\eta}$ Schneider. 9. $\theta e \hat{\mu}$ msS. (see



#### Abstract

   This, though genuine, cannot, of course, be the inscription quoted by Demosthenes, as it does not have the verse $\mu \eta \delta \dot{d} \nu .$. karop日oûv: but there were undoubtedly many epigrams commemorating the men of Chaeronea (cf. note on $\delta \mathbf{8 8 9} 9^{1}$ ).


v. 1. Mevro 8\%גa, arrayed themselves (lit. placed their arms): cf. Plat. Kep.
 $\sigma \tau t \times o \hat{u}$ (of the $\theta u \mu b_{s}$ ), arrays itself on the side of the reason; and Arist. Pol. Ath.
 Td $\delta \pi \lambda a \mu \eta \delta{ }^{2} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ett $\rho \omega \nu$, i.e. who takes sides with neither party. These examples are enough to show, if proof were still needed, that the old interpretation of $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\theta e \sigma \theta a r \quad \delta \pi \lambda a$ (as in Thuc. II. 2, twice), to pile and stack arms (see Arnold's note), is untenable, though it still lingers (see Lidd. and Scott).
v. 2. dтerki8acav, scattered, brought to nought: a patriotic exaggeration as applied to Chaeronea, perhaps referring to some special exploits of the Athenians. Diod. (xvi. 86) says, $\mu \notin \chi p e \mu \in \nu$ tivos $\delta$
 $\nu$ lkns. Cf. Lycurgus (Leoc. 49), el סe
 Écîvol piкйvtes dxetyavoy.
v. 3. dретमिs кal סelparos must depend on $\beta \rho a \beta \hat{\eta}$, by an hyperbaton which would
be incredible in the genuine epitaph; ouk $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{d} \omega \sigma a \nu \psi \cup X d s$ à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ being introduced in place of a participial clause like oú $\sigma$ ज́vartes $\psi v x$ ds. The meaning evidently is, in the battle, while they sacrificed their lives, they left to the God of Death to judge whether they showed courage or fear. There is a similar hyperhaton in Xen. Hell. vil. 3,



ข. 5. ofvekev ' EdAtravy belongs to vv. 3, 4.-โuydv aủxtivn Otvies, a strange expression for classical times, but common in later poetry, as in the Anthology (Blass).
 about thent, like a yoke: cf. Od. III. 486, бeion suydy dupls txovees.

ข. 7. Tûv пतліота кацóviwv, of men who most grievously lahoured, referring to the defeat; to these words entel (v. 8) refers back.
 gift of the Geds (for men) never to fail and always to succeed in life, i.e. this is a miraculous exception in mortal life; opposed to which is the fixed rule that death is appointed for all, moîpay...tropev (sc. Zeis $\beta$ porois). The two verses contain the $\tilde{e ́ c}_{x} \Delta i d s$ кplats; but the change of construction in uoipav...knopev is awkward, and $\delta \nu \beta \iota o \tau \hat{\eta}$ is always felt to be an unnatural addition to $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \mathbf{9}$. It is now known









8 200. I. ís to (after roúrч) L, vulg.; om. $\Sigma$ (erasure above the line), Ar.







 of the epigram of Simonides on the heroes of Marathon, of which two other lines are preserved:
 $\theta$ जैv:
 $\mu$ lv.
See Kirchhoff (Hermes vi. 487-489) who quotes a ms. scholium on Gregory Nanzianz. Or. in Julian. 11. p. 169 D: rd







 Bergk, Poet. Lyr., Simon. fr. 82, with the note. See Themist. Or. xxil. p. 276 f,




 two quotations refer beyond doubt to a verse in which " never to fail and always to succeed" is called a divine preroga-
tive; while it is also certain that in the same words in the inscription quoted by Demosthenes these are called a privilege sometimes granted by the Gods to favoured mortals (see § 290). The original verse of Simonides, $\mu \eta \delta \in \nu . . . \kappa a r o \rho \theta$ oûv (without $\delta \nu \beta\llcorner o \tau \hat{\eta})$, was probably used 152 years after the battle of Marathon, as a wellknown verse, in the genuine epigram on those who fell at Chaeronea. still without $\dot{\varepsilon} v \beta$ oo $\hat{p}$, but with a different meaning; and in this new sense it was quoted by Demosthenes in § 290. The writer of the spurious epigram in $\$ 289$ borrowed the genuine line (perhaps from the text of Demosthenes), and added the whole of $v$. 10. In $\boldsymbol{v}$. 9 , as in $\$ 290^{2}$, $\theta e \hat{\omega} \nu$ has the best authority (see critical note). In the scholium on Greg. Nanz. we have $\theta \in 0 \hat{0}$, which Bergk thinks may be a Christian substitution for $\theta e \omega ̂$. See notes of West. and BI.
§ 290. I. $\mu \eta \delta i v . . . \kappa a r o p l o i v: ~ s e e ~$ note on $\$ 2 \times 9, v i, 9,10$.
3. dvelךкe: the epigram or its composer, or perhaps $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda^{6}$ ss, is the subject.


s, 201. 3. is dv: sc. EFXe or $\sigma x+\eta$ : cf. $8197^{7}$.














 ovidty om．A2．6．kal（before ref．）om．O．7．סeçua（ï over et）$\Sigma$ ．
§ 292．3． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ om．AI．to taưtd L，vulg．；ro om．इ，AI．3，4．taûta．．．
 6．фavepûs $\mathrm{L}^{1}, \mathrm{O}$ ．
 A1．2，Y．і̀ $\mu \omega ̂ ้ ~ e ́ v a v t i \omega \sigma t a l ~ A 2 . ~$

4．düvous：see note on \＄ $173^{4}$ ．－Hoxe Tiv $\gamma^{\mu} \dot{\mu} \mu \eta v$ ，was disposed．
6．入apurylfov：see Harpocr．，to



 will screech down the orators．

7．Seipua ${ }_{5}(\phi \mid \phi p \mathrm{C}$ ，he was making an exhibition，giving a specimen：cf．XIX． 12. － 6 tri．．．rots $d u \lambda$ ous：depending on the verbal force of סeîrua．A bazaar in the Piraeus，where samples of goods（סely－ $\mu a r a)$ were exhibited，was called the $\Delta e i ̂ \gamma \mu a:$ see Harpocr．－rois yevev．duna－ pois ：causal dative with |  |
| :--- |
|  |
| $\chi$ | ，was affected：



8．toîs dג入ols：with j $\mu \mathrm{ol}$（us．
8 292．I．Tஸิv whav：Aeschines began his speech（ $\mathrm{I}-8$ ）with a grand glorification of the laws，and of the ypaph $\pi \quad \pi \rho a \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ as the great bulwark of the
constitution．
 tò raürd $\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho$ eí $\theta a \iota ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$
 $8192^{5}$ and 1.8 （beluw）；see $8893^{3}$ ， $317^{1}$ ．

5．terax ©at，to be found（posted）．
7．траүната，troubles：cf．Ar．Ach．


 －ouk．．．$\beta$ opeciv：this suggests forcibly that the policy of Demosthenes of helping friendly states against Philip has followed the traditional policy of Athens：see 8895 -100 ．Demosth．here only denies that he began this policy（oúk $d \rho \xi a \mu \hat{\nu} \omega \omega \mathrm{y}$ ）．
§ 298．2．Tiी．．．тратroutva，the do－ minion which was growing up：cf．$\$ 62^{1,2}$ ，
 $\tau \eta \nu \dot{d} \rho \chi \neq \eta$, the active form of $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho a \tau \tau 0-$ $\mu \in \eta \eta d \rho X \eta$ ．














 $\tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi а р а к а \lambda о v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta a \sigma к o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \beta e ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau a$,


 A2. tà 8 iкaia Ai. 2. 6. $\pi \rho b s \mu_{\varepsilon}$ Ai.




§998. 4. tveкev L. aloxpoкepolas $\Sigma$, L; -elas vulg.
5. co ot8' ötı, as usual, parenthetic: otr' ott can be thus used even with a participle, as in IX. I, xix. 9.
 conative.

In $\$$ 204-206 Demosthenes gives a "black list" of the traitors who have helped Philip or Alexander in subjugating Greek states, and declares that Aeschines is the representative of this pestilent class in Athens. Saving his own country from the disgrace of joining or abetting this foul plot against liberty is the great service for which he claims the name of patriot.

8 994. 2. $4 \mu 00 \phi \lambda เ \pi \pi+\sigma \mu \dot{\sigma}$ : the pronoun is emphatic, me, of all men. The word Philippic in all languages is a stand-
ing answer to the charge of Aeschines.
6. avalóvias lx Miorov, discarding: cf. xLV. 84.
8. drabiciv: cf. $8290^{\circ}$.
9. EEport' (ellpoirc) dv, you would find, appealing suddenly to the court or the audience: we must understand $\dot{\nu} \mu$ âs with dive入ovacas (6). The other reading, eठ̈poo Tts $d y$, would involve a change from the plural dve入óvtas (sc. twás) to the more explicit singular with tis.
 state described in II. 14-21.—Td $\Phi$. тра́үдата, i.e. his condition.
 the Olynthiacs and the First Philippic.












     tapxos om. A2.<br>

5. Tov̀s v์тव́pXovias mo入(tas, their own fellow-citivens, those with whom each was concerned or had to deal : see note on $8 \mathrm{t}^{3}$. Most of the traitors in the following list have been rewarded by deserved obscurity; those who would rescue them from this may consult Disien's, Westermann's, and Blass's collections of the scanty knowledge of them found elsewhere. I give a few references. Daochus and Thrasydaus were the Thessalian ambassadors sent by Philip to Thebes in 339 B.C. (see note on $\S 211^{5}$ ). See Plut. Dem. 18. Theopompus (Athen. vi. p. 249 C) calls Thrasydaus $\mu \kappa \rho \delta \nu \nu \dot{\mu} \nu$
 nymus is mentioned in XIX. II; and in the Scholia as a pupil of Isocrates. The sons of Philiades are mentioned in [xVII.] 4-7, as restored to power in Messene by Alexander after they had been expelled by a popular revolution. Perillus and Ptoeodorus are mentioned in XIX. 295 ; and Perillus, Timolaus, and Aristratus in § 48 (above). Hipparchus and Clitarchus were set up as tyrants in Eretria by Philip about 343 B.C.: see IX. 57,58 , and $\$ 7$ 7,

80 , and 8 r (above). Many of the names are found in Harpocration and Suidas. With this whole passage compare 8845 49, and Polyb. XVII. 14. Polybius censures Demosthenes for calling some of these men traitors, especially the Arcadians and Messenians, maintaining that they did what they believed to be for the best interest of their own states. He says:




 the whole essay on traitors, Polyb. XVir. 13-15. Demosthenes, looking back on his long struggle with Philip, felt that this selfish regard for the temporary interests of special cities, which always proved fatal to Hellenic unity, and this utter disregard of the good of Greece as a whole, really amounted to treachery.
§ 206. 1. imilelqet... $6 v$ брата: emphatic asyndeton. Cf. the Epistle to the
 and Cic. Nat. Deor. III. 32 (8i), dies deficiat si velim numerare.









 and - $\tau \rho 0-\mathrm{L}$.
 the same purposes : this genitive of quality is as rare in Greek as it is common in Latin. See Aesch. III. 168, $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \neq \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}$
 tépou toû piov éctly, and Thuc. 111. $45^{20}$,
 Kruiger (Spr. 47, 6, 10) and West. call these possessive genitives; and Weil
 ote toû $\beta e \lambda t i \sigma t o v$, which, however, is not the same thing.
4. didotopes, accursed wretches (applied to Philip in xIX. 305); properly victims of divine vengeance, as in Soph. Aj. 374, $\mu e \theta \hat{\eta} \times a$ roivs d入d $\sigma \tau 0 \rho a s$, the primary meaning (probably) being a divine avenger, as in Aeschyl. Pers. 354, фaveis d $\lambda d \sigma \tau \omega \rho$ i) кахдs $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$.
5. गiкрштрptacuivol, who have outraged (lit. mutilated): see Harpocr., avvl то̂̀ $\lambda e \lambda v \mu a \sigma \mu t r o c \cdot$ ol rà $\lambda \nu \mu a u b \mu e v o l$
 In Aeschyl. Cho. 439 and Soph. El. 445 there is the same idea in $\epsilon \mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda / \sigma \theta \eta$, $\mu a \sigma x a \lambda$ ( $\} \omega$ being to mutilate a dead body by cutting off the extremities ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \times \rho a$ ) and putting them under the armpits ( $\mu a \sigma \chi d \lambda a t$ ) : see Kittredge on Armpitting among the Greeks, Am. Journ. of Philol. vi. pp. 151-169. Perhaps such strong metaphors as this suggested to Aeschines the absurd expressions which he pretends to quote from Demosthenes in III. 166,

 and others. See Dem. III. 31, úueis

 the successive steps by which mporive comes to mean recklessly sacrifice, see Lidd. and Scott: cf. iII. 22. An intermediate meaning, present a cup (or other gift) after drinking onc's health, is seen



 aüroîs, i.e. in drinking their health, he gave them these various gifis. See also Pind. Ol. vil. 1-6, фdíגan ís el tis


 and the Schol. on v. 5, xporivety terl



7. Tî yaotpl мetpoivres: see note on
 I. 40 ( 113 ), quod dubitet omnia quae ad beatam vitam pertineant ventre metiri.
9. 8pot кal kavoves, bounds and rules, i.e. they applied these as tests to whatever was presented to them as a public good.-foav : plural, agreeing with ofot and kaybues.
10. גvarerpoфóres, having overturned (i.e. reversed) these tests.

Longinus on the Sublime, 32, refers to





 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho e ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ á $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \dot{a} \rho \xi a \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$







 6. $\delta \epsilon \mathrm{\Sigma}, \mathrm{~L}$; $\delta \delta$ vulg.<br>§ 208. 2. oưte фбßos oưre $\chi$ dpıs L , vulg.; oöre $\chi$ dpıs om. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1}$ (added above), O .   ẅorep èv tputavy Ai. 2.

this passage (4-ro) as a proper exception to the rule (of which Demosthenes was a opos) allowing only two or at most three metaphors on one point (eril tavitoû). He


 ouveфелхетal. Then, after a quotation of this passage, he adds, évrâ̂̀a $T \hat{\psi}$



The Epilogue, sis 297-323. Here we have the four characteristics of the erinoyos, as Aristotle gives them (Rhet. III. 19, 1): arguments which will dispose the hearers favourably to the speaker and unfavourably to his opponent, amplification and depreciation, excitement of emotions, and recapitulation. He begins by claiming for himself the credit of keeping Athens free from the notorious conspiracy against Grecian liberty which he has just mentioned; and he charges Aeschines with failing in all the characteristics of a patriotic citizen which his own course exemplifies ( 88 297-300). He recapitulates some of his chief services in providing Athens with meaus of defence, and asks what similar claims Aeschines has to
G. D.
the public gratitude ( $88301-313$ ). He objects to being compared with the great men of former times, though he declares that he can bear such a comparison far better than his opponent ( $\$ 314-323$ ).
§ 297. I. тeplßoq́rov, notorious.
3. el Ef $\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta p \in i v$, i.e. to call things by their right names, referring to $\pi$ poosoolas.
4. тapd $\pi$ aiotv dropirioss, i.e. in the minds of all men ; but mapd roîs "E入入ทot (7), among the Greeks; in $\$ 274^{1}$ both ideas are combined.
5. dpoorq̣s; see Aesch. 236.
7. drodvtov: exaggeration; but see
 and foremost.










[^29]Beßobleuka (5), nor have I given my advice, like you, inclining towards gain like a balance, i.e. as a balance would incline if a weight were put into one of the scales: $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi e \rho$ av (sc. $\rho \in \pi \alpha t$ ). This is illustrated by a striking passage in V. 12: .тро̂ка тd трдүната крlvш каl $\lambda о \gamma(\$ о \mu a r$,







 тortioas $\pi \in \rho$ oúdevds $\lambda$ doyloalto. (See notes of Westermann and Dindorf on this pas-




7. $\mu$ еуiotav ... aveposmev, lit. the weightiest concervis of (all) the men of my time (partitive).

8 299. I. Telocoroiv, the repairing of the walls of Athens in 337-336 B.C., for which Demosthenes was reixotoobs. For the decree providing for the appointment of recxomosol by the tribes in 337 B.C. and its exact date, see Aesch. 111. 27. Demosthenes was then appointed retxoToobs by his tribe, the Ilavoiavis, and received from the treasury (according to

Aesch. 31) nearly ten talents for the expenses (see $\S 113^{n}$ and note).


3. пборре, i.e. far below.
 famous passage, often quoted by the rhetoricians. See the beginning of the $\dot{v} \pi b \theta e \sigma t s$ of Libanius. Plutarch (Lycurg. 19 ; Lac. Apophth., Lyc. 28) quotes a saying of Lycurgus the law-giver, núk à
 $\pi \lambda i v \theta o s s$ éoreфàvotas. L.ord Brougham is eloquent on this passage (see p. 200). Whiston refers to Sir Wm Jones's ode, " What constitutes a State?" However familiar the idea may have been, the passage is a most effective answer to the taunts of Aeschines (236) about the walls and ditches.
5. $\pi \lambda^{2}$ (voous: not "tiled-roofs" (as Lord Brougham strangely translates), but sun-dried bricks, of which no small part of the walls of Athens and of the Long Walls to the Piraeus were built. The brick wall was built on a solid foundation of stone, the height and thickness of which differed according to the importance of the position. Dörpfeld (in Schuchhardt, Schliemann's Excavations, p. 342, Engl. Tr.), in describing the walls of the Second City on the hill of Troy, says: "Such walls of defence, built of brick
 öтла каì тólєıs каi тóтоvs каì $\lambda_{\ell \mu e ́ v a s ~ к а i ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~}^{\text {к }}$ [ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s] ~ i ̈ \pi \pi o v s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ i ́ \pi c ̀ ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \nu \nu o \mu e ́ v o v s . ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ 300 ~$



 $\pi а \rho а \sigma к є v a i ̂ s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ~ к a i ~ a i ~$

## 6. $\beta$ oó $\lambda \eta$ ( $\epsilon$ over $\eta$ ) Y. $\delta$ ккaliws om. V6. 7. кai $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon t s$ om. Ai.

 8. тod入ous mss., Vöm., Bl.; in [ ] Reiske, Bk.; om. West., Lips. duvvo-
§ิ 800. 2. $\pi \rho 0 \dot{\beta} \beta a \lambda \lambda 6 \mu \eta \nu$ Y, V6. 'Atıкìs ( $\tau$ above) $\mathbf{\Sigma} . \quad \delta \sigma a \mathbf{Y}$.

入oy. V6.
with a low substructure of stone, were in use at every period of antiquity, as we see in the brick walls of Eleusis, which are still well preserved, and in the town walls of Athens, of which some fragments are still to be seen." See Vitruvius, II. 8, 9 : nonnullis civitatibus et publica opera et privata, domos etiam regias e latere structas licet videre, et primum Athenis murum qui spectat Hymettum montem et Pentelensem : cf. Plin. N. H. xxxv. 14, 172. See C. I. Att. II., No. 167 (334-326 в.c.), lines 55, 58, 75. See Thuc. 1. 93, of $\theta \in \mu \epsilon \lambda$ ioc тaproiwn $\lambda i \theta \omega \overline{ }$ $\dot{u \pi b}$ кetrou (of the walls of Athens). The stone walls of Mantinea, which are still standing almost complete, have at most only four courses of stone, which were once surmounted by a wall of brick : Pausanias
 Tท̂s $\pi \lambda(v \theta o v$, built of raw (i.e. unbaked) bricks (vini. 8, 7). See Curtius, Peloponnesos, i. p. 136. The common use of unbaked bricks explains the mystery of the disappearance of so many miles of wall between Athens and the Piraeus, and around these towns themselves.
7. Tठ $\pi$ ovs, countries, Euboea, Boeotia, the Chersonese, as opposed to cities.
8. I have bracketed modlovs, to avoid the difficulty of taking it with both isxous and $\tau 00$ s $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu v o \mu e r o u s ~ o r ~ c h a n g i n g ~ i t s ~ p o s i-~ . ~$
tion to another unsatisfactory one. Vömel, who retains it, refers to $\$ 337^{5}, \delta 6 \sigma x$ ( $\lambda \iota \infty$
 the defenders of these (our fellow-citizens); тобtwy for routavi, "wegen des Hiatus" (BI.). The present $\dot{\mu} \mu \nu{ }^{2} \mu$ tivous is amply justified by Isoc. vili. 139, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ovs $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi} 0-$
 $\mu \hat{v}$ ous $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v$, and Lycurg. Leocr. 54,
 kıvouvéovenas. (West.)

 $8193^{4}$.
 circuit of the Piracus was assigned to the tribe Pandionis, to which Demosthenes belonged. See the decree in Plut. Mor.
 taфpeívas (of Demosthenes).
5. $\lambda_{\text {oyron }}$ is may refer to the encounter with Python (\$136) and also to the embassies mentioned in 8244 . -

 only generals of the allies of whom we hear are the two Thebans, Proxenus, who commanded the mercenary force which was beaten and destroyed by Philip at Amphissa (see Hist. § 78), and Theagenes, who led a phalanx at Chaeronea: of these Dinarchus (1.74) says, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi l} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ roîs

## $\triangle H M O \Sigma \theta E N O Y \Sigma$

 каі фарєраі. бкотєі̀тє סє́.












 Пecpíws); Пeчpatecos L . repudé $\theta$ ai Y .



द̧̧voss roîs cis "A


 sthenes). Plutarch (Mor. 259 D) describes Theagenes as having the same public spirit as Epaminondas and Pelopidas. See notes on $\$ 264$ and $303^{7}$.

In 88801 - 818 the orator recapitulates his own chief services, with which he compares the public career of Aeschines.
8801 . I. T' Xpîv к.т. ., what was his duty ? - Touiv, of a course of action, to be explained by several aorists, each of a special act. In the following series of questions, all introduced by $x \rho \hat{q} \nu$, the orator states the various problems which faced the Athenian statesman of that day and the obvious solutions of them.

 this figure of throwing up Euboea as a teall of defence to Attica, compare that in $87 \mathrm{I}^{2}$ (see note). See Aesch. 1II. 84, val,



haps added later, as a sarcastic allusion to this passage.
5. tove dubpove ravita, our neighbours on this side, as Megare and Corinth (cf. 8 237).
 that the corn-trade should pass along an entirely friendly coast (cf. $88^{\circ}$ ). For the subject of 88301,302 , see $8871,79-$ 82, 87-89, 240, 24I, and Hist. 88 58, 63, 64, 67, 68.

8 802. I. The measures mentioned
 were designed to secure a friendly coast for the corn-trade (8 301\%. -Tûv virapXbvemv belongs strictly only to $\tau d \mu+v$. potentially also to $\tau d \delta$, i.e. places which
 $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \in()$.
2. Ypádovia rova0ta, by propasing measures accordingly.
5. "ApuSov: see Hist. § 63.-Eyßocav: Weil proposes $\Sigma_{\eta \lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \beta$ play, as Euboea has been just mentioned; but Euboea, with its long coasts, was always essential to the safety of the corn trade.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu a l ; ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \tau о i ́ \nu v \nu ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a ~ \pi є ́ \pi \rho a \kappa \tau a \iota$
























6．Tds merloras ：especially Thebes in 339 B．c．iv Ivancte tî mbinc，what the city lacked：endeiret is sometimes im－ personal，like $4 \boldsymbol{d}$ eî，as here；so Plat．


 नet（or．obl．）refers chiefly to то入ıтeúpata．
 nitatem cuiusque rei non per negligentiam praetermissam nec ignoratam nec prodi－ tam（Dissen）．rape日tera implies careless－ ness（cf．vill．34），тpoe日èvia wilfulness （cf．vili．56）．
5．＇$\sigma$＇implies rocourav，depending on oúdev．

 strength（lox ${ }^{\prime}$ ）of the superhuman powers
is opposed to the weakness and incapacity （ $\phi u \cup \lambda \delta \tau \eta s$ ）or the treachery of men．One of the Athenian generals at Chaeronea， Lysicles，was accused of treachery by Ly－ curgus and condemned to death（Diod． XVI．88）：see note on $88_{8}^{1864}{ }^{1}$ and $300^{6}$ ．

9．Tois $8 \lambda_{018}$ ：see note on $8_{8} 278^{7}$ ．－ dwtepeqev，overset，the familiar figure of the ship of state：the better mss．have dvetpequa，which West．defends on the ground that of $\pi$ pooidbives is the logical subject；but this should affect | $\boldsymbol{e} v \mu a l v e r o$ |
| :--- | also．－d8uset，not is doing wrong，but is to blame for a past wrong（M．T．27）．

8804．3．Өrттalla．．．＇Apкa8la：see $8_{8} 63,64$ ．＂Philip＇s party in the one opened Northern Greece to him，and in the other neutralized the Peloponnesus＂ （Simcox）．







 $\kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ द́ $\mu \grave{\alpha} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$.

## APIOMOE BOHOEISN.




[^30][^31]8808. I. таÔтa...шрdттar...Set sums up the reply to the question $\boldsymbol{\tau l}$ रpî̀ ... кoetiv; in $830 \mathrm{I}^{2}$, but with a change in tense. He asked what was the duty etc., with special reference to the case in hand; and he replies in general terms this is the duty. roceî and rodrrecu have here the same sense, as have $\chi \rho 力$ (in $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ) and $\delta$ cei. Spengel and West. changed $\delta e i ̂$ here to toet to complete the correspondence with $8301^{1}$. But if we read toet here, we must supply $\delta \in i$ with the infinitives in

3. каторloupévev =el катwpoov̀to, if they had been successful (as they were not),
 belonged to us to be, i.e. zue should properly have been: imîpxer may be used with

 i.e. indisputably, and (/ might add) iustly, greatest : dicalus stands as a mere
 $\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тò $\gamma о \hat{\nu} \nu$ єv̉סокıцєî̀ $\pi \in \rho i ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ каì тò $\mu \eta \delta \in ́ v a$












 anged to ob̄t $\Sigma$ ．крivougay Ai． 2.


 £，L，Y，V6； $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ om．vulg．8．$\delta \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{AI}$ ；iss vulg．

word with the article；and $\boldsymbol{x p o \sigma} \hat{\eta} \nu$ is $b e$－ longed there，i．e．might properly be added．
3．is itipus，othervise：see note on $885^{5}$ ．

4．$\sigma v \mu \beta d v \tau \omega v$, not conditional（like каторөоименшv），but simply temporal，now， when they（have）resulted otherwise．－ repleoth，there is left to us：the subject is

6．кaкţatr：the subject is $\pi d y \tau a s$, to be supplied from the preceding subject $\mu \eta \delta \ell v a$ ．The same carelessness of ex－ pression is still common；a famous case is the clause of the United States Con－ stitution concerning fugitive slaves：＂No person held to service or labor in one state，under the laws thereof，escaping into another，shall．．．be discharged from said service or labor，but shall be de－ livered up etc．＂
88 807．1．ovi $\mu d{ }^{2} \Delta C^{\prime}$ ouk ：emphatic
repetition，not a double negative：$\delta e \hat{i}$ is understood here from $8306^{2}$ ，and on it depend the infnitives $\theta$ efareverv etc． through ${ }^{2} \gamma \in$（ 7 ）．

3．drootdiva：strongly opposed to

 instead of the fuller form with úxt $\rho$（as in 3）．－ndv vixooravra，the man who has bound himself（undertaken），object of及aбкаlуeı．

7．Wmoudov，lit．festering zwithin，of the quiet of Aesch．．false，hollow：see
 valwy シ̈тоv入ov aüтovoبlar（BI）．
8 808．2．of mollol，here simply the majority．

3．$d$ mins，in honest simplicity，with－ out pretence，opposed to oroounos houxda $\left(307^{7}\right.$ ）．—ov raúrqv：cf．Aesch．III． 215 ， 216.










 каì кало̀̀s каì $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda i ́ \mu o v s ~ \epsilon i v a l, ~ \sigma v \mu \mu а \chi i a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$,


    <br> valous $\Phi$, Ai.<br>5. тарабкєшओ A2.

 watches (to see) when you will be sated, an indirect question where we might expect a temporal clause: $\delta \pi \eta y i x a$ is the common reading.
6. Tô ouvaxis $\lambda$ fyovros, with your regular speaker, i.e. the one who is continually advising you: see Plut. Cim. 5,


8. prrmp, as an orator, predicate to ' $\phi \phi d^{2} \eta$ (gnomic).
9. Eन्तयp बva $\mu^{\prime}$ ', with ékaiфvns.-
 the only proper perf. act. of $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, though here $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ has $\sigma v e^{2} \lambda e \chi$ w's. $\Sigma$ has ouve $\lambda_{0}$ 人a in XXI. 23. Cf. oumфорtioas, $815^{3}$.
10. pfиати: cf. \& $33^{4}$.-cuvelpel, reels off (strings logether).
11. drrveverel, all in one breath (with. out taking breath).
12. Tథ̂ TuXóver, cuivis, to any one zuho happens to hear them: see note on \& $130^{2,2}$.
—кotvìv, public, opposed to $\tau \hat{\psi}$ тvxbyTt.
13. aloxivभv: Bl. refers this to the speech described in 835 .
 tice, study, referring to $8308^{9-11}$.
2. тi...тро日pทulvŋs, one which had made the interests of the fatherland its choice ( $\pi \rho \circ a l \rho \in \sigma v)$, connected by kal to duxalas.
3. TSan tvan, ought to have been, implying that in the case of Aeschines they were not so. - yavvalous: often used literally of fruits, as in Plat. Leg. 844 E,

 also Plat. Rep. $37^{2 B}$, $\mu \dot{j}{ }^{\text {jos }}$ revvalas xal dptous.
5. \&uтoplov катаनкeviv: i.e. securing new commercial rights for Athens in some foreign seaport : see XX . 33, ката-
 note. Weil quotes Dinarch. 1. 96, rl


















 vulg.; sid ot om. E, L'. 7. rtrovas (for et) As. 2.
 tacts is again a military term, as in $\$ 320^{\circ}$, where it means a mustering or review of hirelings etc., in which they were called forth to show themselves. Here, with a genitive denoting public services, it means likewise calling out and arraying such services to a man's credit. (See note on $8173^{4}$.)
2. 8swкev...dxobe (fers, i.e. the past gave many opportunities for showing such services, as it were, arraying them for a review.
4. iv ois, in which class (the ka入ol re
 of8apoo: cf. $8320^{\circ}$.
 in any rank whatsorver. Dissen thinks this alludes to a Delphic oracle given to the Megarians, quoted in the Scholia to Theoc. xiv. 48, 49, of which the last two verses are:
úmeîs $\delta$ ' іे Meyapeîs oüte tpirok oüte teтарто
 $d \rho \theta \theta \mu \bar{\varphi}$.
For the whole oracle ( 8 vss.) see the

Scholia in Ahrens's Bucol. Gr. 11., p. 381 : see also Menander, frag. 154 (Kock).
6. oűkouv tent $\gamma^{\prime}$ ots, at all coents, not in matters in which, ac.
8811 . These questions are arguments for the judgment just pronounced upon Aeschines. After the third question, the conjunctions are omitted in the speaker's vehemence. With the whole passage compare xix. 282.
 oixelwy, is the so-called forcign policy of Athens, i.e. her policy with other Greek states: see note on 8 $59^{2}$. Here $\boldsymbol{r} \hat{\omega}^{2}$ $\xi \in v i x u \hat{y}$ is added to include her relations to other than Greek states, both being opposed to tû̀ odelwr, her domestic policy.
5. тогаи тptripens; sc. yeqovact ти̂ $\pi$ т $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ e.
7. Th ... Xprowos at; what in the world (Tज̂y dтdurcul) ARE you good for?ris म....хр甲иárov: what public financial aid has ever come from you to either rich or poor? This is commonly referred to an equalization of the public burdens, by which both rich and poor would be bene-



 каì тò $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i o \nu ~ ' A \rho \iota \sigma т o ́ v ı к o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu e ́ v o \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{̀ ~} \nu$

 mg．）．oúঠeula $\pi \in \rho l$ бoû V6．




fitted．But Demosth．has always prided himself on transferring such burdens from the poor to the rich（see $\xi_{8}$ ro2，103）． It must be that＂to either rich or poor＂ means to anybody at all．——odotuxì кal кovil is a rhetorical amplification，like the cases in the note to $84^{\circ}$ ：see $\times x v$ ．

 й $\mu \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ посеट．

Dinarchus seems to have learnt a lesson from this passage，when in his speech against Demosthenes（ $¢ 6$ ）he says，




 the decree in Plut．Mor．，p． 852 c，it is said of the financier Lycurgus，$\chi \in \rho \rho 0-$





 тара入aßùv toús te vewookous kal Thり




 enumeration shows the standard of com－ parison which Demosthenes had in mind， though he never professed to come up to
it himself in his public improvements．
88 812．1．む tâv，a familiar form of address，found in three other passages of Demosthenes，I．26，III．29，xxv． 78 ；in all introducing an imaginary retort of an opponent．
3．dфOifavrt＇：cf．\＆ $199^{6}$ ，os ous＇

 the safety of the state．Such were made after Chaeronea，and again before the destruction of Thebes by Alexander ：for

 rdi入antor dpyuplou．

4．Tò $\sigma u v a i d e y \mu t i v o v ~(s c . ~ d \rho p o ̛ p r o v), ~ i . e . ~$ money contributed to pay some debt to the state which made him drunos，and thus to make him again $\mathbf{E \pi i r} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{s}$ ．Every defaulting public debtor was ipso facto arcuos．From this allusion to Aristonicus （who is probably the one mentioned in 88 83，223），Schaefer（iII．p．136）argues that Demosthenes refers only to the contributions of 335 B．C．，since after Chaeronea the decree of Hyperides re－
 suggestion of Blass，that Aristonicus gave the money contributed for his $t \pi t \tau \pi \mu i a$ to the state after his drumia had been legally removed，instead of returning it to the donors，does not make his generosity so extraordinary as to deserve such public notice．












 om. AI (add. mg.).

 om. $\Sigma^{1}$. $\quad 7 . \quad$ © $\mathrm{B}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}$.
 estate of your brother-in-law Philo, which was (sc. obruv) more than five talents.
7. Sıraidartor lpavov, a contribution of troo talents. There is probably a sarcastic reference to the common meaning of Epavos.

9. ' $\phi$ ois $\lambda^{2} u \mu{ }^{2} v e$, for the damage you did: ofs for a cognate $\alpha$, as in $818^{8}$. This attack of Aeschines on the trierarchic law was not made when the law was enacted in 340 B.c., but probably after Chaeronea. Demosthenes says (8 $107^{\circ}$ ) that through the whole war (i.e. $34^{\circ}-$ $33^{8}$ B.c.) the naval armaments were fitted out under his law ; and the statement of

 tpevpdpxous $\dot{v} \phi \eta \rho \eta \mu$ evos, shows that evidence as to the working of the new law in details was derived from actual experience. See Boeckh, Staatsh. I. p. 668 , note b: Schaefer 11. 527.
ss 818. 2. $\lambda_{\text {ofov ic }} \lambda_{6}$ you $\lambda_{\text {fyov, }}$ by saying one thing after another. -ros
 cut meyself off from (discussing properly) the subject immediately before us.
 8wkas, that it was not through poverty that you did not contribute; each negative having its own force, as the second is not a compound (G. 1618).
 tydecav, both being causal.-\$u入árray ro ... Yeviolar: see M. T. 374 ; and note on $85^{5} 8^{8}$.
5. rovirols, ots: not simply to those for whom (which would hardly be rovtors), hut to these persons ( $8312^{3}$ ), for whom (in whose interest) etc.
6. vearlas, often used in the sense of vigorous, lively, like the adjective veavik6s: it occurs only twice in Demosthenes,
 supply elreî, which most mss. insert either before or after kark roórub.


 трау८кду Өeокр/гทข. Theocrines is the one accused in Or. lviII. (Bl.). Cf. $8242^{45}$.

In 88 814-828 the orator complains of the unfairness of judging him, as Aeschines has done (178-190), by comparison with the great men of ancient

 $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau a s ~ \epsilon v ̋ \nu o \iota a \nu ~ v i \pi a ́ \rho \chi o v \sigma a \nu$




 $5 \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \mu a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ e ́ \gamma \grave{\omega} ~ к \rho i ́ \nu \omega \mu a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \omega ̂ \mu a \iota ; ~ \mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega ̂ s . ~$










times. But he shrinks from no comparison with his contemporaries. In 88821 - 323 he states two points, which he claims for himself, in the character of the

 vav: in III. 181 Aeschines calls on the court directly to compare Demosthenes with Themistocles, Miltiades, the hernes of Phyle, and Aristides; and he does this very effectively.
3. Tivv... imápXovaav, the devotion which it is to be assumed you feel towards the dead.
4. mpolaßbrra, securing for himself in advance, taking advantage of. Bl. refers to XIX. 277, тो жıбтevөîval xpo入a-
 кaxovpyeiv катахрฑ̂бөa.

Dissen quotes [Cic.] in Salust. 11. 5 : Quare mihi noli antiquos viros obiectare. ...Neque me cum iis conferri decet qui iam decesserunt omnique odio carent et invidia, sed cum iis qui mecum una in re publica versati sunt. See Hor. Od. III.

24, 31, Virtutem incolumem odimus, Sublatam ex oculis quaerimus invidi.
 к.т.. : ef. Thuc. 11. 45, ф06vos $\gamma$ do roîs

 implying more or less concealment: cf. $836^{2}$. West. quotes Tac. Orat. 18; Vell. iI. 92.
5. kplvapat; am I to be judged? With the answer, $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \hat{s}$, we must understand крlveruat in the sense, let me not be judged (M.T. 257): cf. Plat. Rep. 527 c ,
 deliberative subjunctive is the interrogative of the hortatory subjunctive, so that Eतөwuev; shall we go? is the interrogative of $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \theta \omega \mu \mu \mathrm{V}, \text { let us } \mathrm{go} \text {, the common }\end{aligned}$ connection of the two (as here) is most natural (M.T. 291).

6-8. Here mpos of and yóvrov were pronounced with special emphasis. Supply ésid крivectac. With xpoppqutyow cf. $8309^{3}$.


 Bío $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \in ́ v a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̉ x a \rho ı \sigma \tau i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \pi \eta \lambda а к ı \sigma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ ้ \gamma є \iota \nu, ~$












 om． $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{V}$ ．





 7．irauvồres $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ．кal raüròv V 6 ；катаuто $\Phi, \mathrm{F}(\gamma \rho), \mathrm{B}^{1}$ ；каi таürd vulg．

8818．2． $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ áSe入申òs $\Sigma$ ．
 tell how great：os $\mu$ iv otv，as usual，is emphatic and corrective．－imi rov nap． бvia ßlov yryouivas（sc．eúepreolas）， shown to the present generation．

4．ds dxapuotlav äperv：cf． $81112^{7}$ ．

88 817．I．C．．．elweiv：he makes this slight apology for asserting even the fol－ lowing claim to be compared with the great men of old，after disclaiming all comparison with them．
2．то入ırela каl троаlретея：cf．$\S \S 93^{3}$ ， $192^{5}$ ．
3．traurounfivav：imperfect，like ou－ кофаутoivray（5），as is shown by tbre．
6．Snaनupovies．．．trivyouv：I keep the reading of $\Sigma$ ，but omit $\delta \ell$ after rovs．The
reading dacoiportes with rovs $\delta e$ is too ungrammatical and needlessly awkward for this oration：סutfoupoy $\mu \dot{y} y$ seems an obvious attempt to correct this corrupt combination．סıavípo，ridicule，is a favourite word with Demosthenes：it occurs elsewhere in this speech in $\$ 827^{\text {s }}$ ， $126^{6}, 180^{3}, 218^{9}, 299^{3}, 323^{6}$ ，always in the same sense．

8 818．2． $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime} 8^{\prime}$ dSenpdes $\delta$ नós： Aeschines had two brothers，Philochares， older than himself，and Aphobetus，the youngest of the family．He describes Philochares（11．149）as a distinguished military man，who was chosen general in three successive years；and Aphobetus as holding a high position in the revenue department，and going as ambassador to





 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єi $\sigma \in \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ т



 AI． 2.

8810．2．étépuy om．A1．4．autdy $\Sigma$ ；aúrdy L，vulg．；om．A2．

the king of Persia．Demosthenes（xix． 237，249）has no praise for them，but casts no reproach upon either．It is generally thought that Aphobetus is here meant：see Schaefer 1．231．
4．Xp $\mathrm{X} \sigma \mathrm{t}$, ，my good man，ironical： cf． $8830^{2}, 89^{6}$ ．－lva．．．eltw ：this is gene－ rally understood to refer to the gentle style of address in xpnare，to call you nothing more：see West．and BI．But it may refer to $\pi \rho d$ soòs 弓âvras（3），and imply that he will not press the slight claim to a comparison with the men of old which he makes in 8317 ：it will then inean，to claim no more than this．
5．Toùs кa日＇aưtòv：with $\pi \rho \rho_{s}$（3）．－

 roıprds．．．dywnotás，i．e．as in dramatic and other contests of that nature，and in the public games．See $\S 319$ ．

819．I．Фגdцнои is chosen as an Athenian who had recently returned as an Olympic victor．See the verse in

 contrary，was one of the most famous boxers of the time of the Persian wars， who，besides gaining a victory at Olympia， gained two Pythian，eight Nemean，and eight Isthmian prizes．Pausanias（vi．

10，1－3）saw his statue at Olympia．See the fragment of the ode of Simonides in his honour（fr．8，Bergk）：obst Ho入v－ deíkeos $\beta$ la Xeipas durelvatr＇à dvavrion
 chines（III．189）refers to this compari－ son as one which he＂heard that Demosthenes would make．＂This is evi－ dently a bold addition which Aeschines made to his speech after it was spoken． If Demosthenes had heard this antici－ pation of his effective allusion，with the weak answer of Aeschines，he would certainly have replied to both in his own speech．The point of the comparison is slightly changed by Aeschines，perhaps to conceal its origin．
4．elfelӨiovtwv：cf．Soph．El．700； Xen．An．vi．I， 9.


 one：this reading of the best mss．agrees with Lobeck＇s rule（note on Soph．Aj． 82），that $\xi \xi / \sigma \tau a \mu a l$ ，declinare，takes the accusative，but in the sense of cedere，the dative．But here Lobeck would read ovidevl；and Shilleto agrees with him（note on xIX．225），remarking＂obviously the sense is $I$ yield to no ore，as Aj．672．＂ Recent editors are undoubtedly right in











 toúrous $\Phi$ ．6．※̈́pèev $\Sigma$ ．8．$\mu \sigma \theta$ aveîv（ $\rho$ above the line）$\Sigma$ ．dтol $\mu \omega \nu$
 11．тоútots $\mathrm{B}, \Phi$ ．
preferring oustera（as above）．For the dative see Soph．Phil．roj3，vồ oè $\sigma 0 / \boldsymbol{\gamma}$

§ s20．1．$\& v$ ，partitive with $\kappa p \alpha-$


3．1фара入оv．．．кацниүp：the figure of a public contest is kept up，the privi－ lege of showing devotion to the state being a prize open to general competi－ tion（ $(\phi \alpha \mu \mu \lambda \lambda o v)$ ．There is an active use

 entering into competition．See also Plat．


5．भ゙v oubapot ：cf．§ $310^{4}$. －dl．．．Tt 8for：the optative implies frequent oc－ casions for insulting the people．
 the defeat ：see $288^{9}$ ，and note oúketc，op－ posed to $\begin{aligned} & \tau \epsilon \epsilon \ldots \pi a p \hat{y} \\ & (\mathrm{r})\end{aligned}$
9．Irepov：this is the vague term by which Demosthenes often alludes to Alexander：see 8 323 $3^{1,8}$ ．－${ }^{4}$ tracrs：the familiar military figure recurs，i．e．a call for these，as for a review；and this is


10．Іштотpódos：the keeping of horses was a sign of wealth，and the word im－
plies that Aeschines had become a richer and more powerful man at Athens since the complete establishment of Alex－ ander＇s supremacy．Cf．Ar．Nub． 15. There is also an allusion to the military
 in which Aeschines appears in splendour as one of the inxeits．

11．dofovis：Aeschines（159）speaks of Dem．at this time as $\dot{u} \pi \delta \tau \rho о \mu о s, \pi а р \dot{\omega} v$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \theta \theta \eta \eta_{s} \leqslant \pi l \tau \delta \beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ．Westermann thinks this passage alludes to the time when Philip was made a citizen of Athens and his statue was erected in the city（Plut． Dem． 22 ；Paus．1．9，4）．It more pro－ bably refers to the recent honours paid to Alexander：see C．I．Att．II．no．74I， dated by Köhler in 331 в．c．，fragm．f，g， oteфdy
 two crowns were voted to Alexander，to elude a law forbidding the value of any crown voted by the people to exceed 1000 drachmas．These two gold crowns weighed 97 staters and one drachma （1f lbs．avoir．）and were worth about 1950 Attic drachmas（silver）．See Hist． \＆8，note 2.

## 










 om． $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ．3．revalov（ $v$ above the line）$\Sigma$ ．kal Thy tov $\pi \rho . \mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ，vulg．；
 vulg．；ét $\hat{\rho} \rho \alpha$ V6：＂sc．ì Tú $\chi \eta$＂（H．Wolf）．

 （added above the line）．3．irajre入outvov L．ovxl $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}^{1}$ ，vulg．；ov $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ；



8 821．$\mu$ érpiov：see $810^{7}$.
2．ofrw（with eireîv）：he uses $\mu$ trpios here modestly，as he is speaking of him－ self；but he means the man called кa入ds кdya0ds то入ínys in $\S 278^{2}$ and $306^{1}$（see Bl．）．

3．\v тais \＆ rapîv，3201，in time of power．－Tilv．．． тpoalperty，the policy which aims at nobility and pre－eminence；and Tiी $\pi$ бोa 8capuddrтety， 10 guart this always for the state．For rov $\pi \rho \omega t \in i o v ~ s e e ~ § ~ 66^{7}$ ．

5．тpáfat（sc．ì тd́ $\sigma \eta$ ）may mean in every act（of the statesman）．But Blass is probably right in taking it in the sense of fortune，like se $\delta$ and какиิs $\pi \rho \alpha \alpha_{-}$ retv：see Aeschyl．Prom．695，$\pi \rho \hat{\xi} \xi$
 rìv écuvoô rpâ̧ur and Soph．Tr．294，
 loyal devotion to the state：so in $8322^{5}$ ． See note on $8173^{4}$－－т0útov，i．e．тìv


6．Irepa，other things，as chance or Fortune，which he cannot control．H． Wolf read trtpa，another power（i．e． Fortune），which he thus explained：in


So Blass：＂verdeckter Ausdruck fuir \＃ Túxŋ．＂－тaúтŋv：i．e．тìv cüvotay．

7．dజ्ञ入ஸ̂s，absolutely，without excep－ tion．

8 822．1．\＆fasroúpevos，i．e．by Alex－ ander；see the next note and note on $84 I^{8}$ ．

2．ds＇A $\mu$ 中ucriovas，before the $A$ m－
 XIX．18I（also without the article）．When Alexander demanded the orators of Athens in 335 B．c．，he doubtless intended to have them tried by the Amphictyonic Council ：see Aesch．III．161，kal тd xàrwy

 $\sigma \omega \in \delta \rho / 4$ ．Notice the spirit of this sentence． What a trial this would have been for Demosthenes，Hyperides，and Lycurgus ！ －8kas trayóvтゅv，bringing suits（against me）：see \＆ $249^{3}$ ．

 rovs，the whole pack of sycophants men－ tioned in 8 249，Sosicles，Diondas，Me－ lantus，etc．

4．троopallóvтwv，setting them on （as $\theta$ ipla）；cf．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta d \lambda \lambda e \sigma \theta a t$ ，to allaik．







 7. Tds (before $\tau \hat{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) om. Y, F.

 троalperiv.
7. $\delta$ vvaoralas: cf. $88^{3} 67^{\geq 1}, 270^{4}$. סuvaoreia means lordly power; and when it refers to a ruler, it often means absolute power or desfotism. But it can also mean (as here), in a good sense, the lordly power which Athens once exercised over her dependent states, and which she always aspired to exercise.-Buparevetv, alffar, alvat explain $\delta \rho \theta \neq \eta \delta \delta \delta v$.
8. Merd roútav aival, to be faithful to
 be on their side: see Ar. Ach. 661, $\tau \mathbf{~ d ~} \gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$

$\$ 828$. I. iripav, i.e. the Macedonians; as Etepos (8) and trepoy (8 $320^{\circ}$ ) refer to Alexander.-siruximart: the victories of Alexander at the Granicus ( 334 в.c.), at Issus ( 333 b.c.), and at Arbela (331 B.c.), were still fresh in recollection, the last not yet a year old.
3. chayydutgpevos, properly announcing good tidings (cf. ejuryeliov, Gospel, but here congratulating on good news, e.g. saying "This is a great victory." It cannot mean actually informing.-Tobsovs of di...otmpas: the apparently definite antecedent is peculiar before the conditional relative clause. He means any of those men (a well-known class) who (on any occasion) I think are likely to report thither (to Macedonia) such an event as my congratulating them on a Macedonian victory. Cf. $\& 313^{6}$, toútots, ots, where, however, the relative is not conditional. It has, I believe, never been G. D.
asked who these men were. There were, of course, many Macedonians in Athens at this time, and there were many Athenians who would welcome news of Macedonian victories. But we must remember that the greatest Macedonian who ever lived, the philosopher Aristotle, was then a resident in Athens at the head of the Lyceum. His relations with the Court of Pella and with Alexander were most intimate. Who would be more likely to report to Pella, or even to Alexander himself, that Demosthenes had congratulated him on the victory at Arbela, if he had any such pleasant fact to report ? It would be interesting, though not quite pleasant, to find an allusion to the great philosopher in this striking passage.
4. Tติv...dya@iv: these advantages gained by Athens may refer to the early successes of the Spartan king Agis in his revolt against Macedonia in the spring of. 330 b.c. (Diod. xvil. 63). Though Diodorus says that Athens did not join in this insurrection, yet Aeschines (167) quotes Demosthenes as saying, wis dyrt-

 Mepparßois dфiordvat," which shows that Demosthenes at least claimed some share in this Spartan movement, as well as in the Thracian rebellion which occurred at the same time (Diod. xvir. 62). See Grote XII., Ch. 95. The words $\tau$ ©̂v... dratâv might also refer to the interest of Athens in the reverses of Alexander, which were occasionally reported from




 10 $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ тŋpeî̀.











Asia: Aeschines ( $16_{4}$ ) describes Demosthenes on one such occasion as etri-



 was when it was reported that Alexander was shut up in Cilicia, and aürica $\mu d \lambda a$
 Itrov. But it seems less likely that Demosthenes would refer to such rumours in the present passage. The story shows, however, that the mere report of a disaster to Alexander roused the spirit of liberty at Athens, even in her deep humiliation.
5. Kर́สтwท cis Tìv ทท̂v: cf. Caes. B. G. 1. 32,2 , tristes capite demisso terram intueri.
6. Sxaनípouvtr : cf. \& $317^{6}$.oux with the participle shows that there is nothing conditional in the expression : see note on $827^{121}$.


8. iv ots (cf. $819^{3}$ ) belongs equally to

9. rait', this state of things (ev ots... Etepos), understood also as subject of $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { v e x }}$.

8 824. The Peroration is confined to this single impressive sentence. As he began his oration by beseeching the Gods to put it into the hearts of the judges to hear him impartially, so now he implores them to change the hearts of the traitors within the State, or, if it is too late for this, to annihilate them utterly as the only hope of safety to honest men. See Lord Brougham's remarks on the peroration.
2. $\mu$ incora $\mu \mathrm{lv}$, if possible, best of all.
3. dvolinte, may you inspire in them: this combines the wish with an exhortation, which the optative sometimes expresses in poetry (M. T. 725). In the clause with $\delta \varepsilon$ we have the imperatives тothoare and $\delta 6$ бe : see critical note.-d $\delta$ dp', but if, as may be,-but if after all.aírous kaO ' \&avtous: the strongest expression for by themselves.
4. 世fintas kal mpoindes moufoare, cause them to be destroyed utterly and before their time: see Shilleto's note on xix.


 aúrdy фөapels. Westermann quotes an inscription of Halicamassus from Keil,

##  $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ фóß $\beta \nu$ סóтє каì $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu ~ a ̉ \sigma \phi a \lambda \eta$.


See Essay vili. § I.


 Oaddrти, i.e. everywhere, in all their ways.
5. Innponulvev, impending: for the
 tos $\overline{\text { entipryrac } \phi 6 \beta \text { os. Cf. Aesch. I. 175, }}$
 caused terrors to hang over them (impendere). (See Blass.)
6. नwinplav dodalin, safety which cannot be shaken.

With these solemn but hopeful words of good cheer, Demosthenes leaves his case and his reputation with perfect confidence in the hands of the judges. Since the success of his burst of eloquence in 8851,52 , he has felt no anxiety about the judgment, and his courage has increased steadily in every stage of his argument.

## HISTORICAL SKETCH <br> FROM THE ACCESSION OF PHILIP OF MACEDON TO THE BATTLE OF CHAERONEA.

## I. From the Accession of Philip in 359 to 352 b.c.

1. The battle of Mantinea and the death of Epaminondas in 362 b.c. mark the beginning of a new era in Greek history. The brilliant statesmanship and military genius of Epaminondas had raised Thebes to the highest position as a military power, and had reduced Sparta from her leadership of Greece to a condition of extreme danger. Sparta was held in check by the new hostile towns of Megalopolis and Messene, and she had suffered for the first time the humiliation of seeing an invading army within her streets. Athens, alarmed by the aggressive power of Thebes, thought it expedient to forget ber ancient enmity and even ber recent wrongs, and to make common cause with her old rival : at Mantinea Athens and Sparta fought side by side against Thebes. The death of Epaminondas at the moment of victory broke the spirit and the power of Thebes; Athens was suddenly relieved of her great alarm, and now no longer feared the removal of her Propylaea to the Cadmea of Thebes. Greece was left without a head, and Athens was encouraged to hope for a recovery of the leadership which she had lost by the Peloponnesian War.
2. During the five succeeding years Athens devoted herself to establishing her power in the North, especially in her old dominion, the Thracian Chersonese, which, after a long struggle and many reverses, came anew into her possession in 357 b.c. Earlier in the same year she had made her famous expedition for the liberation of Euboea, of which Demosthenes often speaks with pride ${ }^{1}$, when she cleared the whole island of Thebans in thirty days and wrested it permanently from
[^32]Thebes, which had held it since the battle of Leuctra in 371 b.c. In 357 b.c. the new Athenian confederacy reached its greatest power and extent. It included a large part of the islands of the Aegean, Byzantium, the Chersonese and the south of Thrace, Potidaea, Methone, and Pydna, with much of the coast of the Thermaic Gulf ${ }^{2}$. But in the autumn of that year the hopes of Athens were violently shattered by the outbreak of the Social War, in which Chios, Cos, Rhodes, and Byzantium, encouraged by Mausolus of Caria, suddenly revolted and weakened her power at its most vital points. This disastrous war ended in the spring of 355 , when Athens was compelled to acknowledge the independence of the four seceding states ${ }^{2}$. Thus crippled she found herself in the face of a new and more dangerous enemy.
3. In 359 b.c. Philip II. succeeded to the throne of Macedonia at the age of twenty-three. Macedonia had hitherto filled only a small place in Greek politics : there was no quarter which threatened less danger to Grecian liberty ${ }^{2}$. Under Philip this was suddenly changed. This crafty king lost no time in laying his plans for his great object, the extension of his power and influence over the states of Greece. His regular policy, which he never deserted and which seldom deserted him, was to interfere in a friendly way in the quarrels of Greek states in the hope of getting one or both of the parties into his own power. He began in the year of his accession by offering help to Athens in her dispute about the possession of her old colony Amphipolis. He proposed a treaty of peace with Athens, with the understanding that he would secure Amphipolis for her and receive Pydna (on his own coast) in exchange. These negotiations, though known to the Senate, were kept secret from the people of Athens ${ }^{4}$; but great hopes were based on Philip's friendship, and Athens not only neglected to take Amphipolis when it was left ungarrisoned by Philip, but refused to help the town afterwards when Philip was besieging it and her aid was asked ${ }^{5}$. But

[^33]when Philip captured the place in 357 he refused to give it to her, though he had again promised to do so during the siege ${ }^{1}$. This soon led to a war between Philip and Athens, called the Amphipolitan War, which continued about eleven years, until it was ended in 346 by the Peace of Philocrates. One of Philip's first acts in this war was the seizure of Pydna, which was to have been the price of Amphipolis. He soon afterwards captured Potidaea, a colony of Corinth, then subject to Athens, and gave it to Olynthus, with which he was then forming an alliance. Soon after the capture of Potidaea (356) three messages came to Philip at the same time, one announcing a victory of Parmenio over the Illyrians, another a victory of his horse in the Olympic races, and a third the birth of his son Alexander ${ }^{2}$. In the same year he founded Philippi, near Mt Pangaeus in Thrace, on the site of the Thracian town Crenides, to enable him to work the gold-mines of that region, from which he soon derived a revenue of over a thousand talents yearly ${ }^{2}$. In 353 he besieged and captured the Athenian possession Methone ${ }^{4}$.
4. He now entered upon a grander scheme of intervention, of which perhaps he hardly suspected the issue. This was to end, after many years of unremitting exertion, in the bitter humiliation of Athens, the annihilation of an ancient Greek race, and his own instalment as a member (and the leading member) of the venerable Amphictyonic Council. About 356 b.c. the disastrous Phocian War between the Amphictyonic Council and Phocis had begun. It resulted from a quarrel between Phocis and Thebes about military service, in the course of which the Thebans and Thessalians induced the Council to fine the Phocians for some act of real or constructive sacrilege ${ }^{\text {A }}$. They refused to pay the fine, and the Council voted to treat them as it had treated the sacrilegious Cirrhaeans in the time of Solon ${ }^{\text {d }}$, by seizing their land and consecrating it to the Delphian Apollo, and putting the whole Phocian race under a terrible curse. The Phocians, under their

[^34]leader Philomelus, decided to resist ; and they revived an old claim to the management of the temple of Delphi, which had caused a short Sacred War in 448 b.c. At that time the Phocians, under the protection of Athens, had seized the temple and expelled the Delphians; the Spartans sent an army which restored the temple to the Delphians, soon after which the Athenians sent another army which placed the Phocians again in possession ${ }^{1}$. Athens was thus committed by her action ninety years before to the Phocian side of the question; Sparta was herself already under the Delphic ban by her refusal to pay a fine imposed on her for seizing the Cadmea of Thebes in 382 b.c.
5. Under these circumstances Philomelus with a body of Phocians seized the temple. The loyal Amphictyons, now chiefly Thebans, Thessalians, and Locrians, raised a large army to attack them, and they in turn raised a large mercenary force to defend the temple. After many promises to respect the sacred treasures, Philomelus was soon reduced to the necessity of using these to pay his soldiers; and in a few years the costly offerings of gold and silver, with which the religious pride of Greece and the munificence of strangers like Croesus had stored this venerable temple, had been melted down to supply the needs of the Phocian mercenaries. Philomelus was killed in a skirmish in 354 b.c., and was succeeded by Onomarchus, who continued the spoliation of the temple with still greater energy. He even used the bronze and iron relics to make arms for his troops. He and his successors gave the most precious relics, as the necklaces of Helen and of Harmonia (daughter of Ares and Aphrodite, and wife of Cadmus), to their wives or mistresses to wear ; and Diodorus piously relates the sad fates which befel these unfortunate women ${ }^{3}$. This state of things caused a scandal throughout Greece, which was easily magnified by the enemies of the Phocians, and obliged even their traditional friends, like the Athenians, to be cautious in expressing their sympathies by word or deed ${ }^{3}$. The religious excitement also made it easy and attractive for an unscrupulous outsider like Philip to intervene on the side of piety, and thus to pose as the champion of the God of Delphi. This Philip did at the earliest opportunity.

[^35]6. He had already interfered in the affairs of Thessaly by aiding the Aleuadae of Larissa in their contest against Lycophron, despot of Pherae. In 353-352 b.c., soon after his capture of Methone, he attacked Lycophron with such vigour that the despot invoked the aid of Onomarchus and his Phocian army. The Phocians had now become so powerful with their ill-gotten wealth that they had marched forth from Delphi and were practically masters of Boeotia and of the whole region south of Thermopylae. A force of Phocians under Phayllus, the brother and afterwards the successor of Onomarchus, who marched to the aid of Lycophron, was defeated by Philip, and compelled to retreat beyond Thermopylae. Onomarchus then entered Thessaly with his whole army, and defeated Philip in two battles. But Philip soon returned with a new army, and defeated the Phocians completely. Onomarchus, it was said, was slain in the retreat by some of his own men. Lycophron was obliged to abandon Pherae, which was taken by Philip, who also captured the important seaport of Pagasae, which gave him control of the whole Pagasaean Gulf. The Phocian army was annihilated; but Phayllus took his brother's command, and easily raised another mercenary force by offering double pay, which the sacred treasures still provided ${ }^{1}$.
7. While this new force was collecting, the road through Thermopylae lay open to Philip; but he delayed his march southward until he could settle the affairs of southern Thessaly. Since his defeat of the Phocians he was hailed as a protector by their enemies, and he was already recognized as the avenger of Apollo, who was to restore the holy temple to its rightful lord; and it was confidently expected that he would pass Thermopylae with his army and become a power in Central Greece. But at this momentous crisis Athens became fully alive to the danger which threatened Greece and especially herself. With an energy which was unusual at this period and recalled the most glorious of her older days, she sent a force by sea to Thermopylae, which was sufficient to prevent Philip from even attempting to force the pass, and which (strange to say) arrived in time. Demosthenes often alludes with pride to this exploit of Athens, and compares it with her many expeditions which were sent too late ${ }^{2}$. This took place shortly before midsummer, $35^{2}$ b.c. ${ }^{3}$ Though Philip received a temporary check at this time, he was now recognized as a power to be reckoned with in the

[^36]settlement of the Sacred War; and he used this position with great skill, until six years later he was enabled to end the war on his own terms, to humiliate Athens, and by a single blow to make himself a recognized partner in Greek affairs.

## II. Early Life of Demosthenes.-Events from 352 to

 348 в.с.8. In 354 B.c., two years before Philip was repulsed at Thermopylae by Athens, a statesman appeared in the Athenian Assembly who was to be his most able and persistent opponent, and to whom it was chiefly due that his plans for the subjugation of Greece were delayed more than fifteen years. Demosthenes, son of Demosthenes, was born at Athens, according to the date now generally accepted, in $384-383$ B.C., the year in which probably Aristotle was born at Stageiros ${ }^{1}$. The father of Demosthenes died in 376-375, leaving his son in his eighth year and a daughter in her fifth. He left an estate of about fifteen talents ( $£ 3000$ or $\$ 15000)^{2}$, to be managed during the
${ }^{1}$ We have the most conflicting statements of the year in which the orator was born. The date 384-383 agrees with what Demosthenes says in xxx. 15, that Aphobus was married in the last month of the archonship of Polyzelus (i.e. midsummer 366 b.c.), and that immediately afterwards he himself became of age ( I 8 ) and passed his סoкcuaola. It also agrees generally with his statements in xxvil. 4, $\mathbf{1 7}$, and 29, that he was seven years old ( $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\pi} r^{\prime} \dot{k} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad b v \tau a$ ), i.e. in his eighth year, at his father's death, and that he was under guardianship ten years (before 366). It is confirmed by Hyperides (in Dem., Col. XxII. 5), who refers to Demosthenes (in 324 -323 B.c.) as "over sixty years old." It is directly opposed to Dem. xxi. 154, where the orator says that he is thirty-two years old (in 349-348) : there is probably an error in the text here: and this is repeated by Dion. Hal. (Amm. p. 724), who gives 38 i - 380 for the birth of Demosthenes. See Schaefer 1. 269, with Beilage II. (ist ed.) ; Blass, Chron. Dem. (in Teubner ed.), p. 5 .

The lives of Demosthenes and Aristotle coincide almost exactly, as Aristotle died at Chalcis in the autumn of 322 b.c., a few weeks before the death of Demosthenes at Calauria.

For another opinion on these dates, by which Demosthenes was born in 383 and the Midiana is dated in 350, when be was 32 years and 11 months old, see Unger in the Berichte of the Munich Academy, 1879, II. p. 173.
${ }^{2}$ I give the modern value of the weight of pure silver which made the Solonic talent ( $57{ }^{8}$ lbs. avoir.) at $£ 200$ or $\$ 1000$, this being the average value for many years before the recent decline in the value of silver (see Lidd. and Scott under tdגapror). This assumes a value of 57 pence per ounce Troy of pure silver, and $52 \frac{1}{18}$ pence per ounce of English standard silver ( $\cdot 925$ fine). If standard silver were to fall to 26\% \% pence per ounce (Oct. 6, 1899, it was 264), the actual value of a talent weight of silver would be $£$ roo.
son's minority by three guardians, Aphobus, Demophon, and Therippides. These faithless trustees mismanaged the property ten years in the most dishonest manner, so that the estate had nearly vanished when their ward attained his majority in 366 at the age of eighteen. Demosthenes immediately began legal proceedings against his guardians, from each of whom he claimed ten talents; but he brought only one suit to trial, that against Aphobus, the chief guardian and the chief offender. During two years he attempted to bring his guardians to terms by private negotiations; and the young man hesitated long and anxiously before appearing in the courts against men of wealth and influence, with whom he must contend at a great disadvantage with his inexperience and his broken fortunes. All this time and even earlier he was preparing for the great contest. He secured the services of Isaeus, a jurist of great experience in the courts, who was deeply learned in the Attic law, especially in that relating to inheritance and the management of estates. According to one account Isaeus lived in the house of Demosthenes four years as his adviser'.
9. At length, in 364 B.C., the suit against Aphobus was ready for trial in the Archon's court. But four or five days before the day of trial Aphobus tried a last desperate trick to compel Demosthenes to abandon his suit. Thrasylochus, a friend of Aphobus, on whom the duty of the trierarchy had regularly been imposed, came with his brother, the rich and powerful Midias ${ }^{2}$, to Demosthenes, and demanded that he should either take the trierarchy or accept $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i \delta o \sigma t s$. This meant that Demosthenes must either assume the trierarchy without further question, as if it were legally imposed on him, or else submit to a סcadxagia before the board of Generals to decide whether he was bound to bear the expense rather than Thrasylochus, regard being had to their respective wealth and to the time since either had borne the burden. If this decision went against him, he must either assume the trierarchy or exchange property with Thrasylochus. The first step in the process called áví́oorts was an official sealing of both estates to prevent diminution, and the suspension of all lawsuits the issue of which might impair the value of either property. This last was the real object of the whole trick, as it was assumed that Demosthenes in his poverty could not take the trierarchy, and that the time was too short for a deaduxacia. Demosthenes at first accepted the ávríoorts, i.e. he refused to take the trierarchy thus fraudulently tendered, and decided to submit his case to

[^37]the regular סadıкaoia, in which he felt sure of obtaining justice. But the time proved to be too short for this; and he therefore was compelled to take the trierarchy, as the only means of bringing his suit to trial ${ }^{1}$. He paid twenty minae (one-third of a talent), the sum for which Thrasylochus had already hired a contractor to perform the duties of the trierarchy, which was a ovvтpınpapxía ${ }^{2}$.

Though the estate of Demosthenes had been so grossly squandered, the crafty guardians had allowed their ward to be assessed for the property tax in the highest class, as one of the "leaders of Symmories.". This obliged him to bear all the special burdens of the richest citizens, including the trierarchy?
10. As was the rule in private suits ${ }^{4}$, the case came first before $\mathbf{a}$
 court, to which he appealed, the result was the same, and Demosthenes was awarded his full damages, ten talents. In this trial he delivered his two orations against Aphobus (xxvii. and xxvin.). But he found it impossible to obtain either his estate or his damages from his wily opponent. In attempting to seize a piece of land belonging to Aphobus he was met by Onetor, brother-in-law of Aphobus, who asserted that the land was his own, having been taken by him as security for the dowry of his sister, whom Aphobus had married and divorced. Demosthenes now brought a $\delta i \kappa \eta ~<\xi=v i \lambda \eta$ s, or suit of ejectment, against Onetor, charging him with "ejecting" him illegally from land to which he had a legal claims. In this case he delivered his two orations against Onetor (xxx.

[^38]and $\times \times x$.), probably in $362-361$. The issue of this second suit is not known. It is certain that Isaeus advised and supported the young orator in all these suits, and he probably composed many passages in the speeches themselves ${ }^{1}$.
11. The training in law and rhetoric which Demosthenes gained in preparing for this early contest, and his long experience in the various processes of the courts, were by no means lost. He found himself, at the age of twenty-three, mainly dependent on himself for support; and he adopted the profession of $\lambda$ oyoypádos or legal adviser, the duties of which included writing speeches for clients to deliver in court (whence the name). In the period from 360 to 356 b.c. he composed for clients the private orations numbered xli., Li., and lv.' It is very plain, however, that Demosthenes soon aimed at something much higher than writing speeches and giving advice in private lawsuits. Before he was thirty years old he had distinguished himself as an advocate in cases of important public interest, in which the constitutionality of laws or decrees was judicially tested ${ }^{8}$. His arguments in such cases of $\gamma p a \phi \dot{\eta}$ rapavó $\mu \omega v$ (of which more will be said elsewhere) are those against Androtion (xxII., 355-354 B.C.), against Leptines (xx., same year), against Timocrates (xxiv., 353-352), and against Aristocrates (xxini, 352-351). But he had already twice appeared as a speaker in the Athenian Assembly, once in 354-353, when he delivered his speech on the Symmories (xiv.), proposing a reform in the system of assessing taxes and equipping the navy, and once again in 353-352, when he defended the rights of Megalopolis (xvi.) against Spartan aggression. In neither of these public speeches is there anything which shows that the orator was seriously anxious about the dangers which already threatened Athens from the north. It is impossible that less than a year before the First Philippic none of the forebodings which there appear should have been felt ; but probably Demosthenes thought that the moment for open and energetic speech and action on his part against Philip had not yet come.

 Smith, Dict. Ant. Excowles Dike; Meier and Schömann 665-668.
${ }^{1}$ For example, a long passage in $\mathbf{x x x}$. 37, which approves the examination of slaves under torture and has often been quoted as a reproach against Demosthenes, is found almost verbatim in Isaeus Vili. 12.
${ }^{2}$ For the dates of these and other early speeches see Blass, Chron. Dem. pp. 18 ff.
${ }^{8}$ For the $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho а \phi \dagger$ тараシ $\delta \mu \omega y$ see Essay II.
12. Probably the sudden panic about midsummer 352, which roused Athens to her energetic movement to Thermopylae (§ 7), gave the question of checking Philip's aggressions a new and serious importance ${ }^{1}$. A few months later (Nov. 352) the alarning news came that Philip was besieging Heraion Teichos, a fortified post near the Thracian Chersonese? ${ }^{2}$. Again Athens acted with energy, and voted to equip forty triremes, to be manned by Athenians, and to levy a tax of sixty talents. But a report that Philip was ill, followed by another that he was dead ${ }^{3}$, stopped these preparations, and nothing was done. Philip's cruisers committed some daring aggressions on the coasts of Euboea and even of Attica. In the spring of 35 r the Athenian Assembly met to consider his hostile behaviour, which was now a familiar subject. Demosthenes was the first to speak, and he spoke with no uncertain sound. This earliest of his speeches against Philip, the First Philippic', is an earnest and solemn appeal to the people to take decisive steps against an enemy who is every day becoming more dangerous. Demosthenes is now thoroughly aroused, and henceforth the single object of his political life is to excite the Athenians to effective action against Philip. He now proposes a new plan for a permanent military and naval force, to supersede the spasmodic efforts of the past, which had generally failed of their purpose. In this speech he established his claim to statesmanship, on the ground of "seeing things in their beginning and proclaiming them to others"; and in his final review of his political life twenty-one years later he appeals to this with honest pride ${ }^{6}$. So far as we know, this great speech produced no effect ${ }^{6}$. The dull honest conservatism of Eubulus, who held the attention and controlled the votes of the Assembly, lulled the people into a dream of false security and prevented immediate action on each emergency. The policy of Eubulus was that of "peace at any price," at this critical time a most disastrous one, of which he failed to see the danger.
13. A few months after the First Philippic, probably in the autumn of 351, Demosthenes made his speech in the Assembly for the Freedom

[^39]of the Rhodians (xv.) ${ }^{1}$. The now penitent Rhodian democracy, four years after the Social War, sought help from Athens against the oligarchy which had been supported by Mausolus, who had recently died. The Athenians, however, could not so soon forget their grievances, and refused their help.
14. Philip's intrigues in Euboea soon made new troubles. Since the victorious expedition in 357 (§ 2) Euboea had been nominally in friendship with Athens. But after Philip gained control of southern Thessaly in 353-352 (§ 6), he constantly used his influence to alienate the island from Athens. In the First Philippic letters were read from Philip to Euboeans, showing hostility to Athens; and we hear of his cruisers off Geraestus:. Early in 350 the Athenians were asked for help by Plutarchus, a sort of despot in Eretria, who was hard pressed by his enemies and professed to be a friend of Athens. Against the strong opposition of Demosthenes, it was voted to send an army to Euboea to help him, under the command of Phocion. This expedition had various fortunes in a few weeks. Plutarchus proved treacherous, and the Athenians were for a time in great danger; but Phocion gained a decisive victory at Tamynae, the news of which was brought to Athens by Aeschines just before the Great Dionysia (end of March) ${ }^{2}$. Later Phocion returned to Athens with most of his army, leaving a garrison in Euboea to be captured by the enemy and ransomed. Affairs remained in this position two years, until a peace was made in 348 , in which the independence of Euboea was recognized. Athens and Euboea remained unfriendly, until the intrigues of Philip in 343-342 (§ 58, below) again brought them into amicable relations ${ }^{4}$.
15. The Great Dionysiac festival of 350 was important for the fortunes of Demosthenes. His tribe, the Pandionis, chose no choregus for this year, and he volunteered to take the duties and bear the expense of the xoppría. While he was sitting in the orchestra of the theatre at the festival, amid all the pomp and state of the ceremony, being a sacred as well as a public official, wearing his crown of office, his old enemy, the wealthy Midias (§9), came forward and struck him several

[^40]times in the face with his clenched fist ${ }^{1}$. This was not merely a personal outrage, but an insult to the state and to a great religious festival ; and it could be dealt with only by the most public legal process. This was the $\pi \rho \circ \beta o \lambda \eta^{\prime}$, in which the case first came before the Assembly for its preliminary judgment, and afterward, if the decision was adverse to the accused, could be tried before an ordinary popular court. The Assembly, at a special meeting in the Dionysiac Theatre, unanimously condemned Midias. This adverse vote (катахєpotovia) of the people was not a judicial condemnation; it merely sent the case to the court, if the accuser saw fit to bring it there, with a praejudicium against the defendant, which would stand for what it was worth with the judges. A man of influence and wealth, like Midias, might easily, after the lapse of many months, put obstacles in the way of a judgment by the Heliastic Court, which would not be available in the public Assembly, held immediately after the outrage. It is not surprising, therefore, that the young orator, after his decisive victory over Midias in the unanimous popular vote, yielded to the advice of judicious friends and avoided a further contest with a powerful man, who could always give him trouble in his public career. He compromised the case, and received a sum of money as damages? The existing oration against Midias (xxi.), which appears to have been carefully composed for delivery in court, was of course never spoken: its professed date (according to the chronology here followed) is $349-348$ в.c. ${ }^{3}$
16. A year later (in 349) Philip took a most important step in his grand plan by attacking the Olynthiac confederacy of thirty-two free Greek towns in the Chalcidic peninsula. In less than a year he had captured and destroyed all these, including Stageiros, the birth-place of Aristotle, and sold the inhabitants into slavery ${ }^{4}$. Olynthus, the head of this confederacy, had long been an important and flourishing city, generally hostile to Athens, and before 352 friendly to Philip. He

[^41]encouraged her in her enmity to Athens by giving her Potidaea, which he took from Athens in 356, having already given her the Macedonian Anthemus. But the rapid advance of Philip's power in 353-352, which brought him to Thermopylae and alnost carried him further, alarmed the enterprising city, and in the autumn of 352 she was in friendship, if not in alliance, with Athens ${ }^{1}$. In the autumn of 349 an embassy from Olynthus came to Athens, asking help against an attack from Philip, and proposing a formal alliance ${ }^{2}$. Athens accepted the alliance; but nothing was done with sufficient energy to save Olynthus or any of her confederate towns. Three embassies came from Olynthus to Athens, and three fleets were sent by Athens to Olynthus ; the last fleet was still at sea when Olynthus fell. The city was captured, after a brave defence, by the help of traitors within the walls, probably in the early autumn of $34^{3}$. Many Athenian citizens were captured with the city ${ }^{4}$. With or before Olynthus fell the other Chalcidic towns, and the destruction was complete and terrible. Seldom had anything shocked the feelings of the Grecian world like this. Travellers in Peloponnesus (Aeschines among others) saw on the roads troops of Olynthian captives driven off to slavery ${ }^{6}$.
17. During the Olynthian war Demosthenes delivered his three Olynthiacs, masterpieces of eloquence, full of earnest appeals to the patriotism and public spirit of the Athenians and to their sense of duty and honour". The wise prediction of the First Philippic, "if we do not now fight Philip there (in the north), we shall perhaps be compelled to fight him here ${ }^{7}$," is now repeated in fresh words and with redoubled force. No more powerful arguments were ever addressed to any people;

[^42]and yet the quieting influence of Eubulus and his party prevented all efficient and timely action. The Third Olynthiac has a forcible appeal to the Athenians to use the Theoric (or festival) fund for military purposes ${ }^{1}$, a measure which was never passed until shortly before the battle of Chaeronea. At the end of the Olynthiac war (348) Demosthenes was probably in his thirty-sixth year. All the public speeches made by him before the events of 346 have already been mentioned.

## III. The Peace of Philocrates. 347-346 в.C.

18. When Philip had destroyed Olynthus and the thircy-two Greek towns of Chalcidice, he naturally turned his eyes southward and bethought himself of the land of his hopes beyond Thermopylae. Experience had shown him that while he was at open war with Athens he could hardly hope to pass Thermopylae without a desperate struggle ; and for this he hardly felt prepared. Whether he had already planned the artful scheme by which two years later he entered Greece, hailed with acclamation as the champion of Apollo and the protector of Delphi, or whether he had some less pretentious plan in view, he now saw that at least a temporary peace with Athens was absolutely necessary. Fven before the capture of Olynthus, envoys from Euboea had brought to Athens a pleasant message from Philip that he wished for peace. Soon after this, Phrynon of Rhamnus was captured by one of Philip's cruisers, as he claimed, during the Olympic truce (i.e. about midsummer 348). He was released on payment of a ransom; and he persuaded the Athenians to send a public envoy with him to ask Philip to restore his ransom money. Ctesiphon (not the defendant in the suit on the Crown) was sent on this mission ${ }^{2}$. Philip received both Ctesiphon and Phrynon with great kindness and granted their request. Ctesiphon reported that Philip wished to make peace as soon as possible ${ }^{2}$. The Athenians were delighted; and it was unanimously voted, on the motion of Philocrates, that Philip might send a herald and envoys to Athens to treat for peace. A certain Lycinus brought a $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta ̀$ mapavó $\mu \omega \nu$ against this decree, with a penalty of a hundred talents, on what ground we are not directly informed. Demosthenes

[^43]appeared as the advocate of Philocrates, and Lycinus failed to get a fifth of the votes of the court ${ }^{1}$.
19. At about this time Olynthus was captured ${ }^{2}$. The consternation caused by this event did much to cause the almost universal desire for peace at Athens. Among the Athenians captured at Olynthus were Iatrocles and Eueratus, whose relatives appeared in the Assembly with suppliant olive branches and besought the people to rescue their kinsmen. Their entreaty was supported by Demosthenes and Philocrates, but not by Aeschines ${ }^{3}$. The people were deeply moved by this solemn supplication, and voted to send the actor Aristodemus, who was professionally intimate at the Macedonian court, to intercede with Philip for the two prisoners ${ }^{4}$. This mission also was perfectly successful. Iatrocles soon returned to Athens, released by Philip without ransom. Afterwards Aristodemus, who was probably detained by professional engagements, appeared after a summons from the Senate, and reported that Philip was full of kindness and wished both peace and alliance with Athens. Aristodemus was complimented by a crown, on the motion of Demosthenes ${ }^{5}$. The return of Aristodemus to Athens took place after the beginning of the year $347-346$, the archonship of Themistocles, in which Demosthenes was for the second time a senator, the year of the peace of Philocrates ${ }^{6}$.

[^44]20. In the previous year, after the fall of Olynthus, a significant movement against Philip was made by Eubulus, with the active aid of Aeschines, of whom we then hear for the first time in political life. The famous rival of Demosthenes was the son of respectable parents, who had been reduced to poverty in the Peloponnesian War. We cannot accept as historical either of the two accounts of his parentage and his youth which are given by Demosthenes ${ }^{1}$. Neither orator is authority for the life or personal character of the other. Like Demosthenes, he was left to his own resources to earn his living; but he was less favoured by genius and by fortune than his rival. As a young man he was a play-actor and took many important parts, as that of Creon in the Antigone and that of Oenomaus in the tragedy of Sophocles of that name ${ }^{2}$. He also did service as a clerk, publicly in the Senate and Assembly, and privately in the employ of Aristophon and Eubulus ${ }^{3}$. His friendly relations with Eubulus were often of great service to him in his public life. He was strong and vigorous, had a powerful voice, and was a ready speaker. In all these respects Nature had given him a great advantage over Demosthenes; but he lacked the steady rhetorical training by which his rival, even as a young man, made himself an accomplished orator ${ }^{4}$. Though he was about six years older than Demosthenes, he appeared in public life much later. He served in various campaigns, in Euboea in 357 and 350, and at Mantinea in 362.
21. On the occasion referred to (§20), probably in the winter or spring of 348-347, Eubulus addressed the Assembly against Philip, calling him the common enemy of the Greeks and swearing by his children that he wished that Philip were dead ${ }^{6}$. He proposed a decree for sending embassies to the Peloponnesus and all other parts of Greece -Demosthenes says, "all but to the Red Sea"-to summon an Hellenic synod at Athens and inaugurate a general Greek war against

Attic year, 347 - 346 (11. 16, 17). Aristodemus must have gone to Macedonia early in 347 ; and $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\eta} \leqslant t$ रpbros (Aesch. ini. 62) covers nearly a year after the acquittal of Philocrates. The new movement of Eubulus and Aeschines ( $\$ 21$, below) probably diverted the minds of the people from peace at this time (see Dem. xix. i2).
${ }^{1}$ Cf. xix. 249, 250; Cor. 129, 130.
${ }^{2}$ Dem. xix. 246, 247 ; Cor. 180.


${ }^{4}$ See Cic. de Orat. nir. 28: suavitatem Isocrates, subtilitatem Lysias, acumen Hyperides, sonitum Aeschines, vim Demosthenes habuit.
${ }^{5}$ Dem. XIX. 292.

Philip. This measure was eloquently supported by Aeschines and was adopted with enthusiasm. Aeschines brought before the Senate and Assembly an actor, Ischander, with whom he had once played, and who professed to bring reports from friends of Athens in Arcadia. Demosthenes says that Aeschines then professed to be the first Athenian who had discovered that Philip was plotting against the Greeks and corrupting leading men in Arcadia ${ }^{1}$. Aeschines was one of the envoys sent out; and on his return from Arcadia he repeated the many fine speeches which he had made in behalf of Athens before the great Arcadian assembly called the Ten Thousand (oi $\mu \nu \rho \rho o t$ ) at Megalopolis', where he attacked Hieronymus, a partizan of Philip, a reputed scholar of Isocrates, who opposed him ${ }^{2}$. Demosthenes appears to have taken no interest in these embassies, of which he speaks in a disparaging tone. He probably distrusted any movement in which men like Eubulus were the leaders, and experience had shown him that the grand plan of uniting all Greece in a war against Philip would end in failure and give Philip fresh encouragement for conquest. The event proved Demosthenes right. No Hellenic synod met in Athens, and within a year Eubulus and Aeschines were both playing into Philip's hands. It must be remembered that the "still absent envoys," who play so important a part in the story of the peace (as told by Aeschines in $33 \circ$ b.c.), for whose return Demosthenes is said to have refused to delay the negotiations for peace, are these very messengers of war ${ }^{4}$.
22. But whatever the Athenians may have thought of the jingoism of Aeschines and Eubulus at this time, there can be no doubt that a year later (347-346) the prospect of an honourable peace with Philip was extremely welcome to all sober-minded men at Athens. Her recent losses and disasters secured a favourable hearing for the friendly messages from Pella. There can be no doubt that Demosthenes then felt strongly inclined to peace, as a matter of policy; and it is hardly

[^45]possible that he had yet begun to suspect the crafty scheme by which peace with Philip would be turned to the disgrace of Athens and the triumph of her bitterest foes ${ }^{1}$. And yet it seems hardly possible that the terrible spectre of the Sacred War, just beyond their borders, should not have filled all sober Athenians with alarm, especially when they remembered Philip's march to Thermopylae five years before. Philip, himself, we may be sure, never lost sight of the prize which had once seemed within his grasp.
23. Since Philip's repulse from Thermopylae in 352, the Sacred War had been waged with increasing bitterness, but with no prospect of a conclusion. In 351 the death of Phayllus left the leadership to Phalaecus, son of Onomarchus (\$ 6), a mere boy, who at first had a guardian and military adviser, appointed by his uncle Phayllus. The Thebans were now the chief opponents of the Phocians, and Boeotia became the chief seat of war. Neither side gained any decisive advantage. At one time the Phocians held three fortresses in Boeotia, Orchomenus (the ancient Minyan stronghold), Coronea, and Corsiae. But the resources of both parties were now exhausted. The Thebans called on Philip for help; but he sent only a few soldiers, wishing to check their " Leuctric pride?" The Great King sent them 300 talents of silver. The Phocians had come to the end of the Delphic treasures, after robbing the temple of gold and silver of the value of about 10,000 talents. They received help frons various Greek states, including 1000 men from Sparta and 2000 from Achaea. It is probable that their army never fell below ro,000.
24. The Phocians were now anxious lest a new invasion from Thessaly with help from Philip might suddenly end their power. Their army was mutinous from lack of pay, and the authority over it which remained was divided. Envoys were sent to Athens asking help, and offering the Athenians the towns commanding the pass of Thermopylae,-Alponus, Thronium, and Nicaea. This offer pleased the Athenians greatly; and they ordered Proxenus to take possession of the three towns, and voted

[^46]to call out the citizen soldiers up to the age of thirty and to man fifty triremes. But Proxenus now found men in authority at Thermopylae who repudiated the message sent to Athens, and the envoys themselves were in prison for making the offer. Proxenus was dismissed with insult, and the fleet and army were never sent. The Phocians remained in possession of Thermopylae, confident of their ability to hold it. A friendly offer of Sparta to garrison the pass was also rejected with insult ${ }^{1}$. In spite of her discouraging repulse, Athens felt that the fate of Greece depended on having Thermopylae held secure against any invasion from the North. Notwithstanding the sacrilegious plundering of Delphi, which no one ventured to approve openly, Athens had the strongest political reasons, which were easily reinforced by moral motives, for protecting the Phocians, especially against Philip?. A formal alliance had existed for many years between Athens and Phocis ${ }^{3}$, and it was naturally assumed at Athens (except by Philip's friends) that peace with Philip would protect the Phocians against all danger from him. Proxenus was all this time with his fleet north of Euboea. It was probably in this spirit that Athens received the friendly propositions which Aristodemus brought from Philip4.
25. Soon after the cordial reception of Aristodemus (§ 19), Philocrates, supported by Eubulus and.Cephisophon, proposed a decree for sending ten ambassadors to Philip, to discuss terms of peace and to ask him to send ambassadors to Athens with full powers to negotiate ${ }^{5}$. The following were sent : Philocrates (the mover), Demosthenes, Aeschines, Ctesiphon (the former envoy to Philip), Phrynon, Iatrocles, Aristodemus, Nausicles, Cimon, Dercylus ${ }^{6}$. To these Aglaocreon of Tenedos was afterwards added by the Assembly as a representative of the allies. The embassy was appointed and sent in February, 346 b.c. ${ }^{7}$ It is difficult and often impossible to give a trustworthy account of the events from the sending of the first embassy to the return of the second in
${ }^{1}$ See Aesch. 11. 132-134.
${ }^{2}$ The mixed feelings of Athens are well described by Demosthenes, Cor. 18 ${ }^{2-4}$.
${ }^{2}$ Dem. xix. 61, 62: cf. Aesch. III. 118.

- See 8 ig (end).
${ }^{5}$ Dem. Cor. XIX. 95 -
${ }^{6}$ The ten names are given in the second $\dot{u} \pi \delta \theta e \sigma t s$ to Dem. xix. p. $33^{1}$. All except Nausicles are mentioned in Aesch. 11. 8, 19, 20, 21, 42, 47 ; for Nausicles see 11. 18, for Aglaocreon II. 20.
${ }^{7}$ This date is fixed by the return of the embassy about the first of Elaphebolion (March 28): we may allow from 30 to 50 days for the time of absence. The second embassy, which Demosthenes charges with criminal waste of time, was absent less than 70 days. See Schaefer II. 194, n. 3 .

July. We generally have to depend on the testimony of either Demosthenes or Aeschines, or on the contradictory statements of both ; and these are given in the arguments of the lawsuits of 343 and 330 b.c., in which the two witnesses are the opposing speakers. Demosthenes is, however, fairly entitled to greater credence; for there is no fact stated by him which can be proved to be positively and intentionally false by other evidence, while several of the strongest statements of Aeschines are proved to be absolutely false by his own previous or later accounts of the same transactions.
26. We depend chiefly on Aeschines for the account of the first embassy ${ }^{1}$; and there is little doubt that, due allowance being made for exaggerations and prejudiced views of the behaviour of Demosthenes, this is in general substantially correct. According to this, on the journey to Pella Demosthenes made himself disagreeable to his colleagues, and boasted loudly of the way in which he meant to stop Philip's mouth? The envoys went by land to Oreus, in the north of Euboea, and thence by sea to Halus, on the south side of the Gulf of Pagasae, a town claimed by Athens as an ally ${ }^{2}$. Parmenio, Philip's general, was then besieging Halus, which Philip wanted to give to his friends the Pharsalians. The embassy passed through the Macedonian camp to Pagasae, Larissa, and Pella ${ }^{4}$. On arriving at Pella, the envoys were courteously received by Philip at a formal interview, in which they addressed the king in the order of their ages, Demosthenes speaking last, directly after Aeschines. Aeschines says nothing of the speeches which preceded his; but he devotes the greater part of his story to his own eloquent argument, in which (as he says) he made a powerful appeal to Philip in defence of the right of Athens to Amphipolis, reminding him of the early history of the town, and going back to the children of Theseus. He spoke of the appointment of Iphicrates as the Athenian commander there, and reminded Philip of the occasion

[^47]when his mother, Eurydice, placed him with his brother Perdiccas (both children) on the knees of Iphicrates, and begged the general to treat her two boys with brotherly affection, as their father Amyntas had adopted him as a son. This harangue about a matter which had been settled more than ten years shows how Aeschines failed to see the real questions at issue, or possibly how he carefully avoided all questions which it would be unpleasant to Philip to discuss, i.e. all real questions. He could hardly have imagined that Philip would allow his title to Amphipolis to be called in question at this time.
27. Aeschines then describes the appearance of Demosthenes before Philip. He was (we are told) so embarrassed that he could hardly utter a word; and after a few vain attempts to speak, he became silent. Philip encouraged him and tried to relieve his embarrassment, but all in vain. He remained speechless, and the herald conducted the embassy from the royal presence. This account is probably much exaggerated; but it is hardly possible that the whole story is an invention. Grote is probably right in thinking that Demosthenes was taken with a kind of "stage fright" when he suddenly found himself formally addressing the king whom he had so often denounced, and when he was probably insulted by the officers of Philip who were in attendance at the palace on this ceremonious occasion, so that he may well have been physically unable to speak'. It is significant that Demosthenes does not mention his own speech or that of Aeschines. Philip soon recalled the embassy, and replied to their arguments, especially those of Aeschines, but made no allusion to Demosthenes? He ended his address with the usual assurances of friendship. Most of the envoys were struck by the dignity, wit, and gracious manners of Philip, and by his skill in replying to what had just been said to him ${ }^{8}$.
28. The returning envoys arrived in Athens about the first of Elaphebolion (March 28) 346 b.c. ${ }^{4}$ They made their regular reports

[^48]to the Senate and the Assembly; and they received the regular complimentary votes and the invitation to dinner in the Prytaneum, on the motion of Demosthenes as senator. They brought home a letter from Philip, expressing great friendship and his hope of both peace and alliance ${ }^{1}$. There can be no doubt that Demosthenes returned fully persuaded that some peace should be made as soon as possible, to settle the important questions which the war kept open ${ }^{2}$. Down to this time -in fact, until the nineteenth of Elaphebolion-he had no suspicion of the loyalty and political honesty of Aeschines". There can be little doubt that Philocrates was already secured for Philip's interest; and it was not long before Aeschines (perhaps honestly at first) was acting with him to gain Philip's ends.
29. Immediately after the return of the embassy, Demosthenes proposed two decrees in the Senate to secure peace at the earliest moment. The Great Dionysiac festival was approaching, during which all public business would be suspended. These decrees enacted that safe-conduct should be granted to Philip's envoys and herald, who were now on their way to Athens, and that the Prytanes should call a special meeting of the Assembly, to be held on the eighth of Elaphebolion (April 5) if Philip's embassy should then have arrived, to discuss terms of peace. The envoys came too late for this day; but after their arrival Demosthenes proposed another decree appointing the eighteenth and nineteenth of Elaphebolion (April 15 and 16) for two meetings, in which both peace and alliance with Philip should be considered. It was further voted that the first meeting, should be given to debate, and that in the second the votes should be taken without discussion ${ }^{4}$. The usual result followed, and speeches were made in both meetings.
30. The two meetings were held on the appointed days, after the Dionysia. The Macedonian envoys, Antipater, Parmenio, and probably Eurylochus, were present during a part of the sessions ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Demosthenes,

[^49]as senator, showed the distinguished envoys all proper courtesies, inviting them to a grand private entertainment and proposing decrees to admit them to the Assembly and to make them guests of honour at the Dionysia. He personally escorted them to the theatre, where curtains had been provided to shield them from the early morning air and cushions to cover the stone seats. And when they departed for home, he hired three yokes of mules for them and escorted them on horseback to Thebes ${ }^{1}$.
31. One of the strangest charges made by Aeschines against Demosthenes is that of corrupt collusion with Philocrates in making the peace. Philocrates went into exile as a convicted criminal early in 343 B.c., fleeing from Athens to escape the sentence of death which was soon passed upon him for treachery and bribery in making the peace which is a reproach to his name'. Aeschines can henceforth think of no graver charge than this, with which he introduces his accusation of Demosthenes with regard to the peace: "Now I return to the peace which you and Philocrates proposed ${ }^{3}$." Can it be believed that this is the same Aeschines who fifteen years before had described this same peace as "the peace made by me and Philocrates"! His chief argument for the collusion is that Demosthenes caused the peace to be made in such unseemly haste that the Greek states which had been invited by Athens to an Hellenic council for mutual defence could not be represented in the negotiations. He constantly alludes to "the still absent embassies, which you sent to the Greeks."
32. These are the "roving envoys," which were sent out on the motion of Eubulus, more than a year before, to unite the Greeks in a common cause against Philip. Aeschines himself says that, when Philip's envoys came to Athens, the Athenian envoys were still absent, "summoning the Greeks against Philip ${ }^{6}$." All these Greeks, it must be remembered, were already at peace with him ${ }^{6}$. On what possible
${ }^{1}$ Dem. xIX. 235 ; Aesch. II. 55, 110, 1 11, III. 76. See the reply of Dem. (Cor. 28) about the invitation to the theatre.
${ }^{2}$ See Essay IV. 84.
 érpàqare. Cf. 11. 56. See the reply to this in Dem. Cor. 21.





- Dem. Cor. $\mathbf{2 4}^{8}$.
ground now could Aeschines, who had been one of the embassy which invited Philip's envoys to Athens to negotiate a peace, demand after their arrival that all negotiations should be suspended until the return of envoys who had been absent more than a year stirring up hostility against Philip, and had shown no signs of returning or reporting? 'These "absent envoys" were pure inventions. Aeschines declares positively that not one of them had returned when the peace was made, and Demosthenes that there was no embassy then out ${ }^{\text {r }}$. This contradiction can be reconciled only by the explanation given by Demosthenes, that all the Greeks had long ago been tried and found wanting,-in fact, that Athens could find no states ready to join her in resisting Philip ${ }^{2}$. Aeschines expressed the same opinion in 343 B.c. ${ }^{3}$ It is evident that Aeschines uses the word $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta$ es in a very wide sense : his envoys were probably in great part not ambassadors with regular commissions, who were expected to report formally to the Senate and Assembly, but informal messengers, who were asked to sound public opinion in various states, to which many of them may have been going on business of their own, with the understanding that no reports were expected unless they had some message of importance to give. It is most probable that no reports had been made simply because there were no favourable responses to report, and that no delay of the peace would have changed this result. At the same time, it is not surprising that the assembled allies, who knew little of the facts, were made to believe (as their vote shows) that delay might bring some new states to join in the peace ${ }^{4}$.

33. We have the most contradictory accounts from the two orators

[^50]of the proceedings in the two meetings of the Assembly. In the first, on the eighteenth of Elaphebolion (April 15 ), the Macedonian envoys appeared before the people and stated plainly and firmly the terms on which Philip would make peace. These were, in general, éxarépous $\hat{a}$
 Philip's right to any of the places which he had taken from Athens and still held, of course including Amphipolis ${ }^{1}$. It was also stated that Philip would not recognize as allies of Athens either the Halians (whom he was besieging) or the Phocians ${ }^{2}$. In conformity with these announcements, probably after Philip's ambassadors had withdrawn, Philocrates, who was now acting in harmony with them, proposed a formal decree, establishing peace and alliance between Philip and his allies and Athens and her allies, excepting the Halians and Phocians ${ }^{3}$. It is evident that the clause excluding the Halians and Phocians was heard by most of the Athenians with surprise and alarm. It signified plainly that Philip would do, in spite of the peace, the very thing which it was supposed the peace would prevent, that is, pass Thermopylae and overwhelm the Phocians with the help of the Thebans, while Athens would have her hands tied by the peace. Demosthenes now had his eyes thoroughly opened. Though he had favoured and even urged peace, as preferable to disastrous war, he was no advocate of " peace at any price," and he now saw that the price was to be too high". He strongly opposed the motion of Philocrates, and advocated "the resolution of the allies," which was, according to Aeschines, favoured by himself and all the other speakers in the first assembly ${ }^{s}$. From Aeschines, who appears to be not yet in the complete confidence of Philocrates and the Macedonian envoys, we have a final burst of exalted patriotism. As Demosthenes reports him, he declared that, though he thought a peace should be made, he would never advise Athens to make the peace proposed by Philocrates so long as a single Athenian

[^51]was left alive ${ }^{1}$. Finally, on the motion of Demosthenes, the Assembly rejected the proposition of Philocrates and adopted what was called the resolution of the allies, whose regular synod ( $\sigma v v^{\prime} \delta \rho / o v$ ) was then in session at Athens. The Macedonian envoys were then recalled and informed of this action ${ }^{2}$.
34. It is somewhat uncertain what is here meant by "the resolution of the allies" ( $\left.\tau \grave{o ̀} \tau \omega \hat{v} \sigma \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega v \delta^{\gamma} \gamma \mu a\right)$. We have two accounts of this from Aeschines ${ }^{3}$. In one he mentions only a clause recommending a postponement of the discussion about peace until the return of the "absent envoys"; but the fact that the discussion was going on by general consent makes it impossible that this clause was advocated by "all the speakers in the former Assembly." In the other he mentions a recommendation that only peace, and not alliance, should be discussed; but this he deduces from the entire omission of the word "alliance" in the resolution, and it is obvious that neither Demosthenes nor all the other speakers could have opposed alliance ${ }^{4}$. He there mentions also the proposed provision that three months should be allowed, after the making of the peace, in which any Greek state might claim the advantages of the peace and be recorded on the same column with Athens and her allies ${ }^{\text {b }}$. This is the only part of the resolution which had any significance whatever on that day; and it must be this, and this alone, which was adopted by the Assembly. This provision, if it were granted by Philip, would ensure the safety of the Phocians; for they could then have claimed the protection of the peace as Greeks, without being recognized by Philip as allies of Athens. This important provision, supported, as it appears, by the authority of the synod of allies, was advocated by Demosthenes, as the only substitute for the fatal proposition of Philocrates which was at all likely to be accepted by the Assembly ${ }^{6}$. Aeschines says that the general opinion, when the first

[^52]Assembly adjourned, was that there would be peace, but that alliance would be made (if at all) later, in conjunction with all the Greeks.
35. The following night brought about a great and sudden change in the whole situation. Philocrates had been too bold in pressing on the Assembly the plan of the Macedonian envoys. The sudden disclosure of Philip's designs against the Phocians and of his determination to use the peace for their destruction had caused so great excitement and roused so much opposition, that it was hopeless to attempt to pass the original excluding clause. At the same time it was seen to be fatal to all Philip's plans to allow the proposition of the allies to be finally adopted. Philocrates was therefore compelled to amend his decree during the night, probably in consultation with Antipater and Parmenio. He brought it before the Assembly the next day without the excluding clause, reading simply "the Athenians and their allies ${ }^{1}$." This change, which after the statements of the previous day meant nothing, appears to have allayed the excitement in great measure, and the decree in this form was finally passed without much opposition. This could not have been effected until the public apprehensions about the Phocians had been quieted by diplomatic promises, like those which were so effectual after the return of the second embassy a few months later. Antipater and Parmenio simply maintained their ground, that Philip could not admit the Phocians as parties to the peace; but their friends in the Assembly (Philocrates and perhaps Aeschines) assured the people "on authority" that, though Philip could not offend the Thebans and
appreciated by Grote, who condemns Demosthenes for not opposing Philocrates with greater energy. He was doubtless taken by surprise by the excluding clause, and it was a triumph to cause its rejection and the adoption of an effective substitute. That Philip's envoys were able to cajole the Assembly the next day by plausible promises into adopting the amended form of the decree of Philocrates, which then seemed innocent to the majority, is not surprising, nor a reproach to Demosthenes.








 Demosthenes says (xix. 15, 16) that he still opposed Philocrates, and advocated the resolution of the allies, adopted the day before, while Aeschines made the abominable speech which he quotes (see below, $8 s^{36}, 37$ ). It would be interesting to know how Aeschines spent the night before the second meeting.

Thessalians by publicly recognizing the Phocians, he would still, when the peace gave him greater freedom of action, do all that Athens could ask of him ${ }^{1}$.
36. It is impossible to determine precisely what was said or done by Aeschines and Demosthenes in the second meeting of the Assembly, in which the peace was actually voted. Nowhere are our two witnesses more hopelessly at odds. Demosthenes says that Aeschines, after his eloquent speech the day before, protesting vehemently against the motion of Philocrates, now told the people not to remember their ancestors nor to listen to stories of ancient sea-fights and trophies, but to enact that they would not help any one who had not previously helped Athens (meaning the Phocians) ${ }^{2}$. Instead of simply denying that he made such a speech and proving his denial by witnesses, Aeschines undertakes to show that he could not have spoken at all on the second day because by the decree of Demosthenes no speeches were to be made on that day! ${ }^{8}$ But this argument (in 343 B.c.) is answered by his own account thirteen years later of a speech made by Demosthenes in that very meeting. He repeats what he calls a "disagreeable metaphor" then used by Demosthenes, that we must not zurench off ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \rho \hat{\eta} \xi a r$ ) alliance from peace. Demosthenes (he says) then called on Antipater formally to answer a question, doubtless concerning Philip's unwillingness to make peace without alliance, which Antipater answered, probably reaffirming Philip's refusal ${ }^{4}$. Aeschines calls this "collusion with Philocrates."
37. Though Aeschines denies so stoutly that no one could have spoken in the second meeting, he further recounts a speech of his own, which must have been the one to which Demosthenes alludes, in which he says he advised the people to remember the glorious deeds of their ancestors, but to forget their mistakes, like the Sicilian expedition and the delay in ending the Peloponnesian war ${ }^{6}$. But he maintains that this speech was made in the first meeting, and that he made but one speech in the discussion, which Demosthenes has divided. When we consider that our testimony comes from the two opposing orators at the trial of Aeschines, and make all possible allowance for exaggeration and

[^53]misrepresentation, we must admit that Aeschines reports his speech more fairly than Demosthenes. But when we weigh the testimony as to the date of the speech which Aeschines reports, we must decide that it was delivered on the second day, as Demosthenes declares. Eubulus finally threw the weight of his dignity and influence into the scale, and told the people plainly that they must either accept the terms proposed by Philocrates and advocated by Aeschines or man their fleet, levy a war tax, and use their festival fund to pay soldiers ${ }^{1}$. We have no statement of the final position of Demosthenes except his assurance that at the second meeting he opposed Philocrates (whom the people at first refused to hear) and tried to amend his proposition for the peaces, still advocating the resolution of the allies adopted the day before. He put no trust in the flattering assurances of Athenians like Philocrates, who professed to speak for the absent Philip while his own ambassadors were silent. But he was probably made more hopeful by the refusal of the people to exclude the Phocians by name, which left Athens free to act ; and he perhaps trusted in the power of Athens to stop Philip again at Thermopylae if he should attempt to force the pass after the ratification of the peace ${ }^{3}$. There is no reason to doubt that he did his best, fighting almost single-handed in a desperate strait.
38. The peace of Philocrates, thus voted by the Athenian Assembly on the nineteenth of Elaphebolion (April 16), 346 b.c., ended the Amphipolitan War, which was begun in 357 . A few weeks later, the aged Isocrates sent to Philip his address called Фìırtros, in which he expressed his joy at the peace and his hopes of much good to result from Philip's leadership.

A few days after the peace was voted, the same ten ambassadors, with Aglaocreon as representative of the allies', were appointed to return to Macedonia and receive the oaths of Philip and his allies to the peace and alliance. In an Assembly held on the twenty-fifth of Elaphebolion, in which Demosthenes presided ${ }^{6}$, it was voted that the
${ }^{1}$ Dem. xIX. 291.

 elptron.
${ }^{3}$ The rather mixed feelings of Demosthenes at this time appear in xix. 150:




- Dem. xix. 163-165; Aesch. 11. 97, 126. See Schaefer 11. 240.
- Demosthenes was still senator; and he was the one of the nine $\pi \rho b=8 \rho o l$ (chosen each morning by the $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \tau \sigma \pi \dot{a} \neq \eta$ s of the Prytanes from the senators of the nine other G. D.
representatives of the allies of Athens then present in the synod should take the oath on that day before the Macedonian envoys in the name of their respective states'. The Phocians were probably not represented in the synod: otherwise the whole question of their admission to the oaths would have been raised and finally decided at this time. Whether Cersobleptes, the Thracian king, whose friendship Athens valued, was represented in the oath-taking or not, cannot be determined. In either case, he was excluded from the treaty by Philip, and his country in Thrace had been occupied by Philip's troops on the day before the oaths were taken at Athens ${ }^{2}$.

39. As Aeschines gives us our chief account of the first embassy, so Demosthenes tells the story of the second ${ }^{3}$. When the oaths had been taken, Demosthenes urged his colleagues on the embassy to set out with all speed to administer the oaths to Philip, knowing well that every day might be of the greatest importance to Athens. Philip was all this time vigorously pressing his conquests in Thrace, after Athens had tied her hands by making the peace. As his entreaties availed nothing, he procured (3rd of Munychion, April 29) a decree of the Senate (which the people had empowered to act until the next Assembly), directing the embassy to depart at once, and ordering Proxenus, who still kept his fleet north of Euboea, to convey them to Philip, wherever he might be ${ }^{4}$. In defiance of this vote, the embassy first waited a long time at Oreus in Euboea ${ }^{\text {b }}$; and then, instead of sailing with Proxenus, travelled by a
tribes) to whom it came by lot to preside in the Senate or the Assembly, as ériotárvs

${ }^{1}$ Aesch. 11. 82-85.
${ }^{2}$ See Dem. XIX. 174; Aesch. 11. 90. Aeschines tells us (in 111. 73, 74 and 11. 83, 84) two directly opposite stories of the exclusion of Cersobleptes from the oaths; one, that he had no delegate in the synod and therefore was excluded; the other that a person claiming to be his representative was admitted on a motion put to vote by the other $\pi \rho \delta \in \delta \rho o s$ after Demosthenes had refused (as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s)$ to take the vote. The spelling Kepबє $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \boldsymbol{\eta}$ occurs in a newly found Delphic inscription of about 350 B.c. See Bull. de Corresp. Hellén. 1896, pp. 466-496. See also C. I. Att. Iv. 2, no. 65, b.
${ }^{8}$ We have in Dem. XIX. a clear and full account of the second embassy and its disastrous results, generally in the following order: 150-173, 17-66; and in Cor. 25-27, $30-36$, a brief but graphic résumé of the same events, somewhat modified by the changes of the past thirteen years. Though Aeschines denies some of the details, he says nothing which breaks the force of the clear and straightforward statements oi Demosthenes.

[^54]circuitous land route to Pella, where they arrived twenty-three days after leaving Athens. There they waited twenty-seven days for Philip's return from his conquests in Thrace ${ }^{1}$. In the time thus gained he had captured several Thracian towns, (among others) Doriscus, Serrhium, and 'Iepòv öpos, in which Cersobleptes was taken prisoner. Demosthenes constantly protested against this delay in the most vigorous terms ${ }^{2}$.
40. The Athenians found at Pella envoys from Thebes, Thessaly, Sparta, and other Greek states, awaiting Philip's return ${ }^{2}$. There were also envoys from Phocis, anxiously waiting to learn their fate ${ }^{4}$. Philip received the Athenians in the presence of the other envoys, and surrounded by his army, which was ready for his march to Thermopylae ${ }^{5}$. Demosthenes says nothing of the speeches at this interview; but Aeschines says that Demosthenes abused his colleagues and flattered Philip, recounting his services in supporting Philocrates and hastening the peace. Aeschines then made his own speech, in which he exhorted Philip to enter Greece as the friend of the Phocians and the enemy of the Thebans, intimating to him quite plainly that, though the Phocians by the fortunes of war succeeded in seizing the temple of Delphi, the Thebans intended to seize it and were therefore no less guilty than the Phocians ${ }^{6}$. The result of this speech, when it was repeated in much plainer language at Athens after the return of the embassy (see $\$ \$ 44$, 45), in preventing the Athenians from doing anything to protect the Phocians, shows that Philip had as yet given no public indication of his real intentions to either side.

While the envoys were at Pella, Philip sent them large presents of gold, of which Demosthenes refused to accept his share ${ }^{7}$. He devoted

Cor. $8 \mathbf{8 2}^{\mathbf{3}}$ (see note), where Aeschines is said to have entertained the envoys of the tyrants of Oreus and Eretria in 343-342 as their $\pi$ pbbevos. See Schaefer 11. 249, n. 2.
${ }^{1}$ Dem. xix. 154, 155; Cor. 25-27. In Cor. 30 Demosthenes says that the embassy "sat three whole months in Macedonia" before Philip returned. Of course there is no attempt to deceive in this rhetorical exaggeration, as it is from Demosthenes himself (xix. 155) that we know the exact time ( 50 days), including the journey from Athens. In xix. 158, just after giving this exact time, he says the embassy was absent " three whole months."
${ }^{2}$ Dem. vili. 64, IX. 15, Cor. 27, xix. 156 : cf. Aesch. iII. 82.
${ }^{3}$ Aesch. II. 108, 112,136 ; Dem. xix. 139.
4 Justin vili. 4; Dem. IX. If.
${ }^{5}$ Aesch. II. 103, 132.
${ }^{6}$ For the two speeches see Aesch. I1. 108-112, 113-117; and Dem. xix. 20, 21, for the report made by Aeschines in Athens of his address to Philip.
${ }^{7}$ Dem. xix. 166-168.
much of his time to procuring the release of the Athenian captives who were still in Philip's hands. He lent several of these the money needed for their ransom, which he later refused to receive back when Philip released the other prisoners without ransom ${ }^{1}$.
41. When the time came for Philip to swear to the peace, the majority of the embassy supported Philocrates and Aeschines in allowing him formally to exclude the Phocians, the Halians, and Cersobleptes from the recognized allies of Athens. In the same way the Cardians were later accepted as allies of Philip? In fact, Demosthenes was generally outvoted in the deliberations of the embassy ${ }^{2}$. "The embassy refused by vote to send to Athens a letter written by Demosthenes, and sent one of their own with a different account of their doings*. Demosthenes hired a vessel to take him home alone; but Philip forbade him to depart ${ }^{5}$. In this state of things we can easily believe what Aeschines says, that no one would willingly mess with Demosthenes or lodge at the same inn with him ${ }^{\text {e }}$.
42. After Philip had sworn to the peace, the embassy had no further pretext for wasting time at Pella. They had been instructed also to administer the oaths to Philip's allies in their respective cities; but nothing like this had yet been done ${ }^{7}$. Here Demosthenes makes a downight charge of corruption against Philip, that of bribing the embassy to wait until his army was ready to march to Thermopylae ${ }^{8}$. All was now ready. Then followed a most disgraceful and humiliating spectacle. Philip marched forth from his capital with his army for the invasion of Greece, the result of which-whether he favoured the Thebans or the Phocians-must be the humiliation of a proud people; and in his train followed meekly (with one exception) an Athenian embassy which had basely betrayed the interests of Athens. There followed also a band of Phocian suppliants, who must now have known that the downfall of their race was impending. When they arrived at Pherae, the

[^55]long-neglected duty of administering the oath to Philip's allies-or rather to those whom Philip saw fit to summon as their representativeswas performed in a tavern, "in a manner which was disgraceful and unworthy of Athens," as Demosthenes adds ${ }^{1}$.
43. After this ceremony the embassy returned to Athens without more delay, arriving on the thirteenth of Scirophorion (July 7), after an absence of about ten weeks. When they arrived, Philip was already at Thermopylae, negotiating with the Phocians for a peaceable surrender of the pass ${ }^{2}$. This was just what Philip had planned: the Athenians had now little time to consider whether they should send a fleet to defend Thermopylae, and he trusted to the quieting reports of his friends on the embassy to prevent any hostile action. The scheme worked perfectly. A temporary obstruction was caused by the report of Demosthenes to the Senate. There he told the plain truth, that Philip was at the gates of Hellas, ready to attack the Phocians; and he urged that an expedition should even then be sent to Thermopylae with the fifty triremes which were kept ready for such an emergency. The Senate believed Demosthenes, and passed a vote expressing their approval of his conduct. 'They insulted the embassy in an unprecedented manner, by omitting the customary vote of thanks and the invitation to dine in the Prytaneum ${ }^{\text {: }}$.
44. But Philocrates and Aeschines had planned their scheme too artfully to be thus thwarted; and in the Assembly of the sixteenth of Scirophorion, probably held the day after the meeting of the Senate, all was changed. Here Demosthenes found a body of his enemies, who would not permit him to be heard or the vote of the Senate to be read ${ }^{4}$. Aeschines at once took the platform, and easily carried the meeting with him by disclosing the private information about Philip's real plans which (he said) Philip had confided to him at Pella. He admitted that Philip was at. Thermopylae with his army; but he assured the people that, if they would stay at home quietly two or three days, they would hear that Philip was besieging 'Ihebes, restoring the smaller Boeotian towns to independence, and compelling the Thebans (not the Phocians) to pay for the treasure stolen from Delphi. He repeated the advice

[^56]${ }^{4}$ Dem. XIX. 23, 35.
which (he said) he had given to Philip, for which a price had been set on his head at Thebes. He also implied that Euboea was to be given to Athens as a recompense for Amphipolis, and hinted obscurely at a restitution of Oropus to Athens ${ }^{1}$. Then Philip's letter was read, full of general friendliness, but containing absolutely nothing about the Phocians and no promises of any kind. Demosthenes charges Aeschines with being the writer of this letter? ${ }^{2}$. After the astounding disclosures made by Aeschines, it is not strange that Demosthenes could gain no hearing, and that the people felt hopeful and happy, proud of the diplomatic triumph of Aeschines and convinced that Demosthenes was a hopeless grumbler.
45. In this temper the Assembly was ready to vote almost anything which would make it easy for Philip to carry out his beneficent plan. A decree was passed, on the motion of Philocrates, publicly thanking Philip for his friendly promises, extending the peace and alliance to posterity, and (what was more important) enacting that, if the Phocians still refused to surrender the temple "to the Amphictyons," the Athenians would compel them to do so by force ${ }^{4}$. They then appointed ten ambassadors, chiefly members of the previous embassies, to report these proceedings to Philip at Thermopylae. Demosthenes at once refused to go on this embassy. Aeschines made no objection at the time; but afterwards, when it was thought that his presence in Athens would be important at the coming crisis, he excused himself on the ground of illness, and his brother, probably Aphobetus, went in his place ${ }^{5}$.

Soon afterwards came two letters from Philip, inviting the Athenians to send a force to join him at Thermopylae ${ }^{6}$. As Demosthenes shows,
${ }^{1}$ Dem. xIX. 19-22, 35, 74, 220, 324-327; Cor. 35; v. 9, 10; vi. 30; cf. Aesch.
 exivet is rightly explained by Schaefer (1I. 269 n .) as meaning that it was not by a mere decree (as after the first embassy) that Demosthenes expressed his approval of us.

 Aeschines's address to Philip far outdid (in enormity) his account of it to the Assembly.
${ }^{2}$ Dem. xix. 36-41.
${ }^{3}$ Ibid. 23, 24.
${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 48-50: here it is said of the so-called Amphictyons, rolocs; ov่ $\gamma \mathbf{d} \rho \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \nu$


- Ibid. 121-124 (see 847, below).

 סexaloıs; to help the cause of justice!
these were really sent to prevent them from marching out, as Philip thought this cordial invitation would quiet their alarm, and so be the surest means of keeping them at home. We hear of no appeals from Aeschines or his friends urging the acceptance of the invitation. Indeed, public opinion at Athens was changing, so that perhaps there was danger of the invitation being accepted in a different spirit ${ }^{1}$. Aeschines even says that some of the party of Demosthenes prevented its acceptance, professing to fear that the Athenian force might be held as hostages by Philip?

46. There were Phocian envoys at Athens on the return of the embassy from Pella, and they remained until after the assembly of the sixteenth of Scirophorion. The action then taken showed them that they had nothing to hope from Athens, and they returned home with this unwelcome news. With the help of Athens by land and sea, Phalaecus and his army of 10,000 infantry and 1000 cavalry might still have held Thermopylae against Philip. But without help this was impossible ${ }^{3}$. The Lacedaemonians had already deserted them ${ }^{4}$, and now nothing was left but to surrender on the best terms which could be made. Demosthenes declares that the action of the Assembly on the 16 th was the direct cause of the surrender of the Phocians on the $23 \mathrm{rd}^{8}$.
47. The third Athenian embassy set out for Thermopylae about the 21st of Scirophorion (July 15). When they came to Chalcis, they heard that the Phocians had surrendered, while Philip had openly declared himself for the Thebans, and all the hopes in which Athens had indulged were at an end. As the envoys had no instructions to meet this emergency, they returned to Athens at once. One of them, Dercylus, who was in advance of the rest, came directly into a meeting of the Assembly in the Piraeus (on the 27 thi) and reported his alarming news from Thermopylae ${ }^{6}$. The people were struck with panic at the

[^57]tidings, and voted, on the motion of Callisthenes, to remove the women and children from the country into protected towns or fortresses, to put the Piraeus and the forts in a state of defence, and to hold the coming festival of the Heraclea, usually held in the country, within the city walls ${ }^{1}$. Such a panic had not been known in Athens since the last days of the Peloponnesian War. They also voted to send to Philip the same embassy which had returned from Chalcis, with instructions to watch the proceedings of the Amphictyonic Council, which Philip was expected to summon at once ${ }^{?}$. The Athenians were not only in great alarm, but in absolute uncertainty about Philip's next step. He might even join the Thebans in a march upon Athens; and the road was open. Even Aeschines admits the bitter disappointment at Athens and the bitter feeling against the ambassadors ${ }^{3}$.

Soon after the surrender of the Phocians, Philip addressed a diplomatic letter to the Athenians, evidently in an apologetic tone, deprecating their indignation at his unexpected course, and trying to conciliate them by assurances of his continued friendiship. As Demosthenes says, it was written really to inform the Thebans and Thessalians that he was acting directly against the wishes and the hopes of Athens ${ }^{4}$.
48. The embassy soon departed on its new mission by way of Thebes. Aeschines had now no fear of the Thebans or of the price they had set upon his head ${ }^{5}$. They arrived at Philip's camp just in time to be present at the festivities with which he and the Thebans were celebrating the joyous conclusion of the war and their triumph over the sacrilegious Phocians; and they appear to have had no scruples against

[^58]joining in the celebration ${ }^{1}$. Philip had himself accepted the surrender of the Phocians on condition that Phalaecus with his 8000 mercenaries should be allowed to depart whithersoever they pleased; and they withdrew to Peloponnesus? But the Phocian people were handed over to a far less merciful power. Philip had entered Phocis as the champion of Apollo, whose violated temple he was to restore to its rightful guardians, the Amphictyonic Council. He therefore lost no time in calling a meeting of this venerable body, or rather what he chose to call by this distinguished name ${ }^{3}$. The Council voted to expel the Phocians, and to give their two votes to Philip, thus putting a foreign king in the place of one of the original Amphictyonic tribes. The Phocian towns, except Abae with its ancient temple of Apollo, twenty in number, were to be destroyed, and the people to be divided into villages of not more than fifty houses; their horses were to be sold for the benefit of the temple, and their arms thrown down precipices; and they were to pay sixty talents yearly to the temple until the stolen treasure should be made good!. We have records of payments made by the Phocians on this account from 344 to 337 в.c. ${ }^{3}$ Any Phocian who was personally guilty of plundering the temple was declared accursed and outlawed ${ }^{6}$. This
${ }^{1}$ Dem. xIx. 128, 130, Cor. 287. See the lame defence of Aeschines, who does not
 $\sigma v \mu \pi \rho \ell \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega y$, к.т.ג. He seems to think that the number of guests, about 200 , and the fact that he only joined in the chorus as a common singer, excused him. See Essay IV. 86.
${ }^{2}$ See § 52, below.
${ }^{3}$ Demosthenes (v. 14) calls this assembly roùs $\sigma v \nu e \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta b$ tas toúrous кal фárкovtas
 $\Theta_{\text {erra }}$ ầ кal $\Theta_{\eta} \beta a l \omega y$. Cf. xix. 327. Athens had no part in the Ionian representation, nor Sparta in the Dorian; the Phocians were gone; Boeotia was only Thebes; the Locrians were present ; six of the other Amphictyonic tribes (Aesch. II. II6) were Thessalian.
${ }^{4}$ Diod. xvi. 60 ; Paus. x. 3, 3 ; Dem. xix. 81, 14r, Cor. 36, 42, ix. 19, 26. Cf. Aesch. II. 9, iII. 80.
${ }^{5}$ The French explorers at Delphi have found an interesting inscription recording several payments made by the Phocians, published by Emile Bourguet in the Bull. de Corresp. Hellén. 1897, pp. $\mathbf{3}^{2 r}$-344. By comparison of this with another inscription containing temple records (ibid pp. 477-496), Bourguet shows with great probability that the Phocians made eight semi-annual payments of thirty talents each in 344340 b.c., two annual payments of thirty talents in 339 and 338, one of ten talents in 337, and an "eighteenth" of ten talents in a later year, which assumes six intermediate payments. The reduction to ten talents followed the battle of Chaeronea. These talents were probably of the Aeginetan standard, about $\frac{35}{10}$ heavier than the Attic (see ahove, 88, note 2). See American Journal of Archaeology, 1899, p. 306.
${ }^{6}$ Diod. xvi. 60.
terrible sentence was executed with more than strict exactness, with the Thebans for executioners ${ }^{1}$. When Demosthenes went to Delphi more than two years later, he witnessed the pitiable condition of Phocis and its wretched people, with walls and houses destroyed, and nobody to be seen except old women and little children and miserable old men ${ }^{2}$. A harder fate still befell Orchomenus, Coronea, and probably Corsiae in Boeotia, for their adherence to the Phocians. Their walls were razed and the inhabitants sold into slavery. Boeotia, with a substantial piece of Phocis ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$, was then brought under the dominion of Thebes. Sparta, for assisting the Phocians, was excluded from the Delphic temple. The троцагтвia, precedence in consulting the oracle, which the Phocians had granted to Athens in the time of Pericles for her help in the short Sacred War of 448 b.c., was taken from her and given to Philip4. Still, it was the decided policy of Philip to have no open breach with Athens at this time ${ }^{5}$.

[^59]
## в.c.] PYTHIAN GAMES.-SPEECH ON THE PEACE. 267

49. The Pythian games were celebrated at Delphi at their regular time, in September 346 B.c. ${ }^{1}$ Philip was empowered by the Amphictyonic Council to hold the festival with the Boeotians (i.e. the Thebans) and the Thessalians ${ }^{2}$. The games were celebrated by Philip with unusual splendour, but with no delegates present from either Athens or Sparta. For 240 years Athens had sent her deputation to these games with great pomp and ceremony over the Sacred Way, which Apollo had once trodden on his progress from Delos to Delphi; and her absence now was an historic event ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Thus was Philip formally installed in his long-coveted position as a power in Greece, representing in his own person one of the original Greek peoples which had in immemorial antiquity established the Amphictyonic union.

Thus ended the disastrous Sacred War, after a duration of more than ten years, with the exaltation of Philip and the humiliation of Athens, though neither was a party to the war or was even interested in it when it began.
50. Before returning home after the Pythian games, Philip determined to secure from Athens at least a formal recognition of his new position as an Amphictyonic power. He therefore sent thither a deputation of his own with Thessalian envoys (probably Amphictyons), to ask for a confirmation of his election to the Council ${ }^{4}$. The conspicuous absence of Athens from both Council and games embarrassed and annoyed Philip greatly. Athens also was in a delicate position. Philip still had his powerful army with him, and he could summon Thebans, Locrians, and Thessalians to support him in an Amphictyonic war, if Athens should refuse his request. It would have been simple madness for Athens, in her isolation and humiliation, to defy him by a downright refusal. But the people were in no mood to assent to what they deemed a disgrace to Greece and an insult to themselves. When

[^60]Aeschines came forward alone to urge compliance, he was hooted and could get no hearing. Demosthenes was perhaps the only man in Athens who could persuade the Assembly to take the humiliating course which prudence now made necessary. This he did in his speech On the Peace (v.), in which, while he makes no attempt to conceal the false position in which Athens had ignorantly allowed herself to be placed, he yet advises her not to court further calamity by a vain resistance to an accomplished fact ${ }^{1}$. We do not know what reply he proposed to the Amphictyonic message; but we may be sure that it conceded nothing in principle, while it formally declined to oppose the will of the Amphictyons in electing Philip to their Council.

## IV. Six Years of nominal Peace. <br> 346-340 в.с.

51. The peace of Philocrates lasted, at least in name, until the formal renewal of the war with Philip in 340 b.c. But all this time Philip was busy in extending his power, especially to the detriment of Athens. In 344 we find him subjugating Illyrians and Triballi ${ }^{2}$, and soon afterwards breaking up free governments in Thessaly, putting garrisons into the citadels, seizing the revenues of the ports, and establishing a decadarchy ${ }^{3}$. He interfered in the disputes of Sparta with Argos, Messene, and Megalopolis, sending help to the latter. Athens, on the motion of Demosthenes, voted to send envoys to Peloponnesus to counteract this dangerous influence, and of these Demosthenes was chief. In the Second Philippic he repeats parts of his speech to the Messenians, in which he warned them of the fate of Olynthus and exhorted them to repel Philip's friendly advances ${ }^{4}$. But Philip's promises were more powerful than the eloquence of Demosthenes, and we soon find Argos and Messene (instigated by Philip) sending envoys to Athens, complaining that she supported Sparta in preventing

[^61]them from gaining their freedom. With these came envoys from Philip, complaining that Athens had charged their master with breaking his promises'.
52. In the assembly which discussed the reply to be given to these embassies (late in 344 b.c.), Demosthenes delivered his Second Philippic ${ }^{2}$. This gives a statesmanlike review of Philip's conduct towards Athens since the peace, showing that he had been constantly aggressive and deceitful, while Athens had been kept quiet by his partisans in the Assembly, who assured her of his goodwill and friendly intentions. He proposed a definite answer to the embassies, of which we can judge only by the firm character of the speech itself. We hear of no positive results of this mission, but we hear no more of the disputes in Peloponnesus which caused it. Still, Philip continued to acquire influence there, and the governments leaned on him for support and became more and more subservient to his wishes. Many Arcadian towns erected statues to him, and offered to open their gates to him if he would visit them : the Argives were of the same mind ${ }^{3}$. The Eleans were also under his spell; and the party in power, supported by Philip, murdered in cold blood the last remnant of the Phocian mercenaries, who were captured in the service of the opposite party ${ }^{4}$. At about the same time (344-343) Philip made an unsuccessful attempt to get possession of Megara by the help of his friends Perillus and Ptoeodorus; but the scheme failed, and Megara remained independent, probably by the help of Athens ${ }^{5}$.
53. In the same year there occurred the summary arrest and condemnation of Antiphon, a disfranchised citizen, who offered his services to Philip to burn the dockyards at the Piraeus. He was arrested by the authority of Demosthenes, who was probably ėmuorát

[^62]vautuoô or invested with some other magisterial power, and brought before the Assembly; but was released on the protest of Aeschines. He was again arrested by the intervention of the Areopagus, brought to trial and condemned to the rack and to death ${ }^{1}$.
54. Not much later ${ }^{2}$ occurred an important trial before the Amphictyonic Council, in which the ancient right of Athens to control the temple of Delos was contested by the Delians. The Athenians chose Aeschines as their counsel in this case; but the Areopagus, to which the people had by special vote given the right to revise the election, rejected him and chose Hyperides in his place. The election was made in the most formal and solemn manner, each senator taking his ballot from the altar ${ }^{2}$. At the trial Hyperides delivered his famous Delian oration, in which he defended the cause of Athens so eloquently that her rights in the Delian temple remained undisturbed ${ }^{4}$. The cause of Delos was argued by Euthycrates, the traitor who betrayed Olynthus to Philip ${ }^{6}$. Demosthenes attributes the rejection of Aeschines as counsel to the effect on the Areopagus of the recent affair of Antiphon: but this probably had only intensified the increasing indignation against the partisans of Philip, which had recently expressed itself in the condemnation of Philocrates ${ }^{\text {® }}$.
55. A little later in 343 b.c. (probably before midsummer) Philip sent Python of Byzantium to Athens, to tell the old story of his unalterable friendship and of his grief on hearing the calumnies which his enemies reported in the Assembly and the Athenians believed. He assured the people that he was ready to revise the peace if there was anything amiss in it, and begged them not to believe the orators who misrepresented him and his intentions ${ }^{7}$. Python was an eloquent orator,

[^63]a pupil of Isocrates, and his statement of Philip's grievances moved the Assembly greatly ${ }^{1}$. He was accompanied by envoys from all Philip's allies, and he was supported by Aeschines". But his "tide of eloquence" was stemmed by Demosthenes, who replied to Philip's complaints so effectively that the feeling of the Assembly was soon turned against Python. He was followed by Hegesippus, another patriotic Athenian, who professed to accept Philip's offer to revise the peace and made two propositions to this end ${ }^{3}$. He proposed ( I ) that the clause which provided that each should keep what they had, ikarépous ${ }^{\text {ex }} \mathrm{Xav}$ à ä́xovarv, uti possidetis, should be changed to each should have their
 not included in the treaty should be recognized by both parties to the peace, who should agree to defend them if they were attacked. A decree was passed with these two provisions; and Hegesippus was sent with other envoys to Philip to ask his approval of these terms, and further to ask for the return to Athens of the island Halonnesus, which Philip then held, and for the surrender of the towns in Thrace (Serrhium, Doriscus, etc.) which he had taken after the peace was made ${ }^{4}$. This embassy was rudely received by Philip, who ignored all his promises about a revision of the peace, and it returned to Athens with nothing accomplished. Philip even banished an Athenian poet, Xenoclides, for the offence of entertaining the embassy in Macedonia ${ }^{\text {b }}$.
56. Eight or nine months later (early in 342 b.c.) Philip sent a letter to the Athenians, in which he once more deplored the odium into which the misrepresentations of hostile orators had brought him at Athens, and gave a tardy reply to some of the demands of Athens ${ }^{6}$. We have the speech of Hegesippus (as we may now safely call it) in the

[^64]Assembly, in which this letter is discussed ${ }^{1}$. Philip made the following answers :-
(r) As to Halonnesus he repeated his former answer to the embassy, that he had taken the island from a nest of pirates, not from Athens. Still, he would give it to Athens if she would take it as a gift from him. He further offered to submit the whole question to arbitration ${ }^{2}$.
(2) He proposed a treaty with Athens ( $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta o \lambda a$ ) providing for the trial of lawsuits between Macedonians and Athenians, claiming, however, that the final ratification of such a treaty should be left to himself ${ }^{3}$.
(3) He claimed the right to cruise about the Aegean at pleasure, and to aid Athens in suppressing piracy-a claim which might embarrass Athens in many ways ${ }^{*}$.
(4) He denied that he had ever agreed to modify the peace so as to allow each party "to hold what belonged to them." He held Amphipolis, for example, by the terms of the peace ; and he could not allow his right to be questioned ${ }^{5}$.
(5) He agreed that the freedom and independence of the Greeks who were not parties to the peace should be recognized and defended, as Athens proposed ${ }^{6}$.
(6) He denied absolutely that he had ever broken any of his promises to Athens : indeed, he declared that he had never made any. He maintained that he had released all Athenian prisoners of war?.
(7) He offered to submit to arbitration all questions about places alleged to have been captured by him after the peace was made, including the dispute about Halonnesus and the quarrel with Cardia : indeed, he offered to compel the Cardians to submit to arbitration if they refused ${ }^{8}$.
57. Hegesippus in his replies ${ }^{9}$ objects to receiving Halonnesus as a gift from Philip while the right of Athens to the island is denied. He sees in the offer of $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta o \lambda a$ to settle lawsuits only a device of Philip to secure himself (by some provision of the treaty) against suits for recom-

[^65]pense for confiscated property brought by Athenians who were settled in Potidaea at the time of its capture; these settlers having had a special treaty of alliance with Philip, so that they could not legally be treated as enemies ${ }^{1}$. He also repudiates with indignation Philip's claim to the right to ratify (i.e. to revise or reject) the treaty after it had been properly made and had been ratified by the Heliastic Court at Athens'. He ridicules the idea that Athens needs Philip's help in suppressing piracy. He calls on the people to remember the offers to revise the peace which Python made to them in Philip's name. He repeats the old charge of breaking promises, and denies that Philip has liberated all his Athenian captives. He spurns the proposal of arbitration concerning the towns captured by Philip after the peace was made, saying that this is a question of time to be settled by the calendar, not one for arbitration.

Demosthenes also discussed Philip's letter, objecting to receiving Halonnesus as a gift from Philip, and to allowing arbitration as to certain claims of Athens. It is probably this speech to which Aeschines alludes when he ridicules Demosthenes for "quarrelling about syllables ${ }^{3}$." So far as we know, no result followed these negotiations with Philip, except a stronger conviction at Athens of the insincerity of Philip's

[^66]professions of friendship and of the necessity of ultimately meeting his aggressions by force of arms.
58. The account of the transactions which followed the mission of Python has brought us down to the time before midsummer $34^{2}$ b.c., when Hegesippus delivered his oration on Halonnesus ${ }^{1}$. We must now recur to events in Euboea which began in the previous year.

The formal peace which Athens made with the towns of Euboea in 348 в.c. recognized the independence of the island ${ }^{3}$. Philip saw more and more plainly the importance of Euboea as a basis of operations against Athens ${ }^{3}$, and he never lost an opportunity of establishing his influence there. In 343-342 he supported Clitarchus, who had made himself tyrant of Eretria, and he sent troops to expel the popular party. An embassy sent by Athens on the motion of Demosthenes to counteract the intrigues of Philip was refused a hearing at Eretria, and the town fell into Philip's power ${ }^{4}$. The banished democracy took possession of Porthmus, a harbour of Eretria, and Philip sent against them 1000 soldiers and destroyed the walls of Porthmus ${ }^{5}$. He also sent troops to Oreus, to establish there the tyrant Philistides; and under the Macedonian influence the popular leader, Euphraeus, was sent to prison, where he slew himself to escape the vengeance of his enemies ${ }^{6}$. Athens, by the help of Demosthenes, was more fortunate in establishing her influence at Chalcis, where two brothers, Callias and Taurosthenes, who had once acted in Philip's interest, were now firm friends of the Athenians. Callias sent an embassy to Athens, and a treaty of alliance was made, providing for mutual defence ${ }^{7}$. The brothers were intimate with Demosthenes, who caused them to be made citizens of Athens. Aeschines

[^67]violently attacks Callias as a friend of Demosthenes and an enemy of Athens ${ }^{1}$ ．

59．In the winter of 343－342 Philip with a motley force marched over the mountains into Epirus，to place Alexander，brother of his queen Olympias，on the throne．Neoptolemus，Alexander＇s father， had reigned there jointly with his brother Arybbas，in whose house Alexander and Olympias had been brought up．After his brother＇s death Arybbas reigned alone．Philip soon expelled his uncle－in－law from his throne，and made Alexander king？He thus made the settle－ ment of a family quarrel the means of extending his own influence to the Ionian Sea．He captured three Elean towns in Cassopia，in the south of Epirus，and gave them to Alexander ${ }^{3}$ ．He was now on the borders of Ambracia，and he also threatened to attack Leucadia and to cross into Peloponnesus．He made a treaty with the Aetolians，in which he agreed to restore to them Naupactus，which the Achaeans then held． In these later schemes he was foiled by Athens，which sent Demosthenes and other envoys to urge Corinth and Achaea to defend their rights＊ She also sent troops to Acarnania ${ }^{5}$ ．Athens received the dethroned Arybbas with great honour，but nothing appears to have been done to restore him to his dominions ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ．

60．On his return from Epirus，Philip entered Thessaly，where he had previously established a decadarchy（see § 5 I ）．He now appointed tetrarchs，one for each of the original districts of Thessaly，－Thessaliotis， Phthiotis，Pelasgiotis，Hestiaeotis ${ }^{7}$ ．This completed the subjugation of Thessaly，which had been one of his main objects since his attack on the despots of Pherae in $353-352^{8}$ ．At about this time（342）Philip sent for Aristotle and made him the tutor of his son Alexander，who was

[^68]now fourteen years old ${ }^{\prime}$. In this year he gave great offence to Greece by sending a deputy to hold the Pythian games in his name ${ }^{2}$.
61. Early in $34^{2}$ b.c. Philip undertook to complete his conquest of Thrace, and especially to wrest from Athens her control of the Thracian Chersonese. This ancient possession of Athens was equally important to her as a protection to her trade with the Euxine, and to Philip as a point of departure for invading Asia. Soon after the peace, Athens had sent a body of settlers to the Chersonese under Diopithes ${ }^{3}$, an able and enterprising general, who was determined to defend the rights of Athens to the last extremity and to brook no interference from Philip. The Cardians, who had been admitted to the peace in 346 as Philip's allies by the consent of the Athenian embassy, annoyed the Athenian settlers in every possible way. Philip sent troops to aid the Cardians, and Diopithes raised an army in Thrace to attack them. With this force he invaded Philip's territory beyond Cardia ${ }^{4}$. Against this Philip protested vehemently in a letter to the Athenians ${ }^{3}$, and a meeting of the Assembly was held to consider the question. In this Demosthenes delivered his eloquent oration on the Affairs of the Chersonese. He admits that the action of Diopithes has not been precisely peaceful, but maintains that Philip has broken all the terms of the peace and that Athens is really at war with him by his own act. He stoutly objects to making any concessions to Philip at this crisis, and above all he protests against recalling Diopithes or passing any vote which might discredit him or his conduct in Thrace.
62. Soon after this speech, certainly before midsummer 341, Demosthenes delivered his Third Philippic. This powerful argument deals with the whole history of Philip's aggressions since the peace was made, and enforces the argument of the speech on the Chersonese. He declares that Athens has been actually at war with Philip for a long time, indeed ever since the destruction of the Phocians ${ }^{\text {d }}$. He earnestly

[^69]beseeches the people to recognize this fact and to prepare for active warfare ${ }^{1}$. He makes no attempt to justify the recent proceedings of Athens in the Chersonese, except as measures of defensive war, to which Philip's offensive acts of war have driven her. It would be madness, he urges, for the Athenians to allow Philip to wage war on them and not to defend themselves by arms.

The whole tone of the Third Philippic shows that Demosthenes had no longer the least expectation of maintaining even a nominal peace; while the increasing boldness of Philip's aggressions shows that he merely aimed at securing all possible advantages before the inevitable declaration of war?.
63. We have only meagre and scattered accounts of the events of the year $34 \mathrm{I}-340$, before the outbreak of the war. One important result of the discussions in the Assembly and the powerful arguments of Demosthenes was that Athens now universally recognized his leadership and gave him almost complete control of her foreign affairs. For this department, from this time until the battle of Chaeronea, he declares himself responsible in the fullest sense ${ }^{3}$. One of his wisest strokes of policy was his forestalling of Philip's designs on Byzantium by his enibassy thither, probably in the early summer of 34 I . He thus secured for Athens the friendship and alliance of that important city, the control of the Hellespont, and the protection of her trade with the Euxine. Athens and Byzantium had had so many grounds of enmity, especially since the Social War, that it now required no ordinary diplomatic skill to bring them into friendship ${ }^{4}$. About the same time he negotiated an alliance with Abydos, an old enemy of Athens, and visited the "kings

[^70]of Thrace," probably Cersobleptes and Teres, who were soon afterwards dethroned by Philip ${ }^{1}$. Later in 341 - 340 an embassy was sent to the King of Persia, perhaps on the suggestion of Demosthenes, asking for help against Philip; but this was not well received by the King, who sent back to Athens a very insulting letter, refusing his assistance ${ }^{2}$. Embassies were sent also to Rhodes, under Hyperides, and probably to Chios, the effects of which were seen in the help sent to Byzantium when she was besieged by Philip ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

Even more important were the embassies to Peloponnesus which were undertaken by Demosthenes with Callias of Chalcis. These resulted in the formation of a powerful league against Philip, which, according to Aeschines, proposed to raise 100 talents, and to equip 100 ships of war, 10,000 foot soldiers, and 1000 horsemen, besides 2000 militia from Peloponnesus and 2000 from Acarnania. The leadership of the league was given to Athens, and a formal meeting of the allies at Athens was appointed for the r6th of Anthesterion (March 9) 340 B.c. ${ }^{4}$ We have no further mention of this synod, and we may fairly assume that it was never held. But the proposed forces appear to have been actually raised, as Demosthenes gives the number of the allies in the field as 15,000 mercenaries and 2000 cavalry, besides the militia ${ }^{3}$.

[^71]64．These vigorous preparations，which preceded the open outbreak of the war，amply justify the boasts of Demosthenes about the allies and the revenues which were raised for Athens by his influence ${ }^{1}$ ．One of the most important results of the close union between Demosthenes and Callias was the formal alliance of Athens and the cities of Euboea， which grew out of the treaty for mutual defence made two years before ${ }^{\text {？}}$ ． This alliance was made on a new basis．Instead of bringing back the Euboeans to the Athenian confederacy as tributaries，the wise policy of Demosthenes established a new Euboean confederacy，with Chalcis at its head，as an independent ally of Athens．Aeschines represents this as a corrupt bargain，by which Demosthenes，for a bribe of three talents， cheated Athens out of ten talents of revenue which she ought to have received from Eretria and Oreus ${ }^{3}$ ．This alliance was closely connected with the expulsion of the two tyrants whom Philip had supported at Oreus and Eretria．In the summer of 341 ，on the motion of Demo－ sthenes，an expedition was sent to Euboea，which with help from Chalcis and Megara freed Oreus from the tyrant Philistides，who was put to
 forces raised directly or indirectly by Demosthenes．See Cor．301， 302 ；and Vit．x． Orat．pp．845 A， 851 A（decree）．
${ }^{1}$ Dem．Cor．${ }^{234-237 .}$
${ }^{2}$ See 858.
${ }^{3}$ Aesch．1II．94，100．The nature of the alliance is shown by the criticisms of Aeschines．He sarcastically speaks of the embassy to Eretria，proposed by Demosthenes in his decree＂longer than the Iliad，＂as sent to beg the Eretrians to pay their assessment（ $\sigma \dot{v} v \tau a \xi \nu)$ not to Athens，but to Callias．This signifies that Clitarchus was making a last effort to maintain himself by contributing to the new Euboean confederation．Aeschines offers，as proof of a bribe of a talent promised（but not paid）by Oreus to Demosthenes，a decree of that city pledging him the public revenues for the payment of that sum with twelve per cent．interest（104）．That the payment of a bribe should be secured in this public manner is too absurd a story to be seriously discussed．Schaefer（II．491，492）finds a most probable explanation of the decree of Oreus in two Attic inscriptions．In C．I．Att．II．no． 804 Ba（334－ 333 в．c．），twenty－three Athenians，among them Demosthenes，are named as drrupral． Köhler says of the mutilated introduction，$\theta \in 0 . . . \gamma \gamma v \eta r a \iota ~ r$ ，＂suspiceris scriptum fuisse
 no． $809 c, 42$（ $325-324$ B．c．），where payments are recorded from 15 of the same men，
 drèdBonev．These men evidently had given security for money advanced by Athens to Chalcis，in $340-339$ b．c．，to enable her to supply her quota of ships to the new confederacy；and it is probable that Demosthenes was likewise security for a talent lent to Oreus for the same purpose，and that the town gave him security for the principal and interest．We may well say，with Aeschines（III．75），кa入ob，кa入д̀v $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\nu} v$ $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma l \omega y$ र $\rho a \mu \mu d \tau \omega y$ фu入aкخ．
death '. Several months later a more decisive expedition was sent under Phocion. On a report that Philip was about to invade Euboea with his fleet, Hyperides raised a fleet of forty ships for Athens by voluntary contributions. He gave two triremes, one for himself and one for his son ${ }^{\text {? }}$. Though Philip made no attack on Euboea, this fleet was sent under Phocion, on the motion of Demosthenes, to liberate Eretria from Philip's tyrant Clitarchus. This was soon effected, and Clitarchus was put to death ${ }^{3}$. This completed the liberation of Euboea from despotism and from Philip's influence, and made the island a firm friend and supporter of Athens. The Athenians expressed their gratitude to Demosthenes for these successful labours by the gift of a crown of gold, which was conferred in the theatre, at the Great Dionysia of 340 , in the very terms which were subsequently used by Ctesiphon in his own decree*.
65. About this time, a man from Oreus, Anaxinus, who came to Athens ostensibly to make purchases for Queen Olympias, was arrested as a spy and examined under torture through the action of Demosthenes, who also moved his condemnation to death. Aeschines mentions this proceeding as an outrage upon an innocent visitor, whose hospitality Demosthenes had once enjoyed at Oreus; and he implies that the affair

[^72]interfered in some way with an civaryedia which he was about to bring against Demosthenes. Demosthenes alludes to the case chiefly to mention that Aeschines was detected in a private interview with Anaxinus in the house of one Thrason: and the suspicion thus cast on the patriotism of Aeschines may have caused him prudently to abandon his prosecution of Demosthenes. Schaefer is probably right in connecting this affair with the efforts of Philip to maintain his ascendency in Euboea ${ }^{1}$.
66. The dispute between Athens and Philip about Halonnesus in 343-342 left the island in Philip's hands, as Athens refused to take it as a gift from him, while he refused to "restore" it. At last, probably in 341-340, the people of Peparethus seized Halonnesus and made the Macedonian garrison prisoners. Philip soon avenged this act by sending a fleet to ravage Peparethus. Athens then directed her commanders to make reprisals upon Philip. This shortly preceded the outbreak of the war ${ }^{2}$.

Before midsummer 340 it was generally recognized throughout Greece that war was inevitable. At the Olympic games of this year, it is said, the name of Philip was received with hisses and other insults ${ }^{3}$. Philip was then engaged in the conquest of Thrace, and had come to the point where the possession of Byzantium was indispensable to him if he was to invade Persia and secure a safe passage for his army into Asia Minor and a safe return. It was also of the utmost importance for him to become master of the grain traffic of the Euxine. He now called on the Byzantines, as his friends and former allies, to promise him their aid in his pending war with Athens. But here his way was blocked by the alliance already made by Demosthenes with Byzantium, and she refused to join him ${ }^{4}$. Upon this he resolved to secure her by force; and he began by attacking the neighbouring city of Perinthus on the Propontis. To this end he sent his fleet through the Hellespont, and he guarded it against attack during its passage by marching an

[^73]army through the Chersonese to keep the Athenians well employed on shore ${ }^{1}$.
67. Perinthus was attacked vigorously (probably late in the summer of 340 ) by land and by sea, but it was also vigorously defended. Though Philip brought to the siege an army of 30,000 men, besides his large fleet, and employed the most improved engines of war and towers two hundred feet high, the defenders were finally successful. They were constantly aided by their neighbours of Byzantium, and at last by a force sent by the King of Persia ${ }^{9}$; though no help came from Athens or any other Greek city. Philip at length decided to abandon the siege. But he still hoped to surprise Byzantium, which was his real object, by a sudden attack. The better and larger part of the Byzantine army was at Perinthus, and the people who were left at home were little to be feared. He therefore left about half his army at Perinthus, under his best commander, to make a show of continuing the siege, while he hastened with the rest to Byzantium and began to besiege it (in the autumn of 340 ) with all his skill. The Byzantines were at first greatly alarmed; but timely help came to them from a powerful friend. Athens was now openly at war with Philip, and her naval power soon came to the help of her new ally. A fleet under Chares, which was previously cruising in the northern Aegean, was sent to Byzantium, and was followed by another under Phocion, which was more powerful and more efficient. Chios, Cos, and Rhodes also sent their help. Byzantium was rescued, and Philip wisely abandoned this second siege ${ }^{2}$. By some

[^74]skilful device his fleet eluded the Athenian ships in the Bosporus and escaped into the Aegean ${ }^{1}$. He left the greater part of his army for a time before Byzantium, and went with the rest to the Chersonese, partly to harass the Athenian settlers there and partly to protect his fleet in its passage through the Hellespont ${ }^{\text {? }}$.
68. The peril of Perinthus and Byzantium had probably hastened the formal acknowledgment by Athens of the actual state of war between herself and Philip. In the late summer or early autumn of $34^{\circ}$, probably after the siege of Perinthus was begun, Philip sent to the Athenians a long letter, full of complaints of their aggressions and justifications of his own ${ }^{3}$. To this communication, which ended in a declaration of war4, Athens replied only by her own declaration of war and a vote to remove the column on which the treaty of 346 b.c. was inscribed ${ }^{8}$. The special
 frag. 28 (Müller IV. p. 15 1). Plutarch (Phoc. $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ) speaks of Chares as inefficient and as despised by the enemy; but other (later) authorities take a different view. Hesych. Miles. (above cited), of the sixth century, represents Chares as holding the headland between Chrysopolis and Chalcedon (now Scutari), opposite the Golden Horn, and thus commanding the entrance to Byzantiun. On this headland Damalis, the wife of Chares, was buried; and her monument, with a heifer ( $\delta d \mu a \lambda<s$ ) on an altar, was seen by Hesychius. Chares is said to have driven the Macedonian fleet into the Euxine. For the siege of Byzantium, and the help brought by Phocion, see Plut. Phoc. 14. Demosthenes always speaks with great pride of this relief of Byzantium, which he had effected: Cor. 80, 87, 88, 93, 302. He himself gave a trireme to the fleet sent to Byzantium : see Vit. x. Orat. 851 a (decree).
${ }^{1}$ See Schnefer II. 514, with explanation of Polyaenus (Iv. 2, 21).
${ }^{2}$ See Porph. Tyr., quoted in n. 3, p. 282, and Justin IX. I: profectus cum fortissimis multas Chersonensi urbes expugnat.
${ }^{8}$ A document purporting to be this letter appears as no. xil. among the orations of Demosthenes. This is accepted as genuine, at least in substance, by Grote, Weil, and Blass, though not by Schaefer, who thinks it is the work of a rhetorician, though based on good materials. Of course the document found in Cor. 77,78 is spurious.
 is without qualification).
${ }^{5}$ See Philochorus in Dion. Hal. ad Amm. 1. pp. 740, 741 (frag. 135, Muller 1.





 èvepreiv rd rov rohérov. In this valuable fragment it is obvious that there is some corruption or omission in the words $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu o v s . . . \dot{\chi} \in \epsilon \rho о \tau 6 \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon$. $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ is commonly changed to $\psi \eta \phi \downarrow \sigma \mu a$, thus making the passage confirm the statement
occasion alleged by Demosthenes for the declaration of war was the capture of some Athenian merchant ships by Philip's cruisers in the Hellespont ${ }^{1}$; but war had been an avowed fact on both sides many weeks before it was declared.

When the Byzantine war was ended by the help of Athens and the wise counsels of Demosthenes, the gratitude of Perinthus, Byzantium, and the towns in the Chersonese was expressed to Athens as their deliverer by votes of thanks and crowns? ${ }^{2}$.
69. When Philip returned from his expedition to the Chersonese to his camp before Byzantium, he withdrew his army from that neighbourhood. We have very scanty accounts of his movements from this time (probably early in 339 в.c.) until we find him the next summer fighting with the Scythians and the Triballi. We can only conjecture why, just at the beginning of a war with Athens on the success of which everything was staked, and after suffering two mortifying repulses, Philip
of Aeschines (III. 55) that Demosthenes proposed the declaration of war (erpaqe $\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{d}$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \nu)$. But Demosthenes (Cor. 76) most emphatically denies this, though he claims the authorship of the chief measures which really led to the war. This is consistent with $\psi$ mфiomara rpdqayтos, referring generally to war measures; but it is incredible that war was actually declared on his motion, as this would be a notorious matter of record which he could not deny and had no motive for denying. Further, execporbu $\eta \sigma \epsilon$ (sc. $\dot{\delta} \delta \bar{\eta} \mu 0 s$ ) may be the beginning of a new quotation from Philochorus, so that no emendations are needed, though the preceding sentence is incomplete. The $\sigma \operatorname{Tij} \lambda \eta$ on which the treaty of 346 was inscribed is mentioned in Dem. vill. 5 (end) and Epist. Phil. 8.


 thus puts the declaration of war while the siege of Byzantium was going on. This agrees with the facts that Athens sent no help to Perinthus, but when Byzantium was attacked she immediately sent her fleet under Chares to defend it. It is true that Philip's letter does not mention the siege of Perinthus; but it does mention (i6) the passage of Philip's army through the Chersonese "to escort his fleet," which was on its way to attack Perinthus. This shows (so far as the document is authority) that the letter was probably written during the siege of Perinthus, so that the response of Athens, the most important part of which was the immodiate sending (Diod.) of her fleet to Byzantium, was probably made when the news of its siege first came to Athens (in the autumn of 340). Again, the allusion in the letter $(6,7)$ to the appeal of Athens to the King of Persia for help, without mentioning the efficient aid sent by him to Perinthus (see 67), shows that the letter was written before the siege was raised. We can thus reduce the date of the letter and of the declaration of war which followed it to very narrow limits. Although the quotations from Philochorus (in note 5, p. 283) mention the letter and the declaration of war after both sieges, there is nothing to show that he placed the events themselves in this order.
" Dem. Cor. 89-93. The votes were read to the court.
should have undertaken an expedition against these outside barbarians, leaving Athens and Demosthenes to enjoy the fruits of their diplomatic successes. He may have felt the necessity of protecting his possessions in Thrace, or even Macedonia itself, against a possible invasion from the north; or he may have merely wished to give his defeated troops a taste of easy victory and rich booty. An unimportant quarrel with Ateas, a Scythian king, gave him a ground for invading his dominions; and the king himself-according to one account, nearly ninety years oldwas defeated on the Danube and killed. Philip carried off as booty 20,000 boys and women, much cattle, and 20,000 breeding mares. On his return from Scythia, he passed through the country of the Triballi, with whom he had previously been in conflict ${ }^{1}$. These warlike mountaineers attacked him furiously; and in the battle he was severely wounded, his horse was killed under him, and he was thought to be dead. In the panic which followed, the Triballi took possession of the precious booty from Scythia. Thus again humiliated, Philip returned to Macedonia in the course of the summer of $339^{\circ}$.

About the time of the renewal of war with Philip, Demosthenes proposed and carried his important trierarchic reform, by which the navy of Athens was put on a new footing and many old abuses were corrected. It was under this new system of trierarchy that all the fleets were fitted out during the war, and its success in removing grievances is described by Demosthenes with glowing pride and satisfaction ${ }^{3}$.

## V. The War with Philip, from 340 b.c. to the Battle of Chaeronea in 338.

70. When Philip returned from Scythia in the summer of 339 в.c., he found that the war had been waged on both sides for nearly a year without decisive results. Though the Athenians had generally been

[^75]defeated in such land battles as had occurred, yet the Macedonians felt severely their naval weakness, by which they suffered a constant blockade of their coast without being able to retaliate by attacking Athens by sea ${ }^{1}$. It was obviously impossible for Philip to invade Attica by land without the coöperation of both Thessaly and Thebes, and his relations with them did not warrant even a proposal to this end. Thessaly had been alienated by the abolition of her free governments and the establishment of a decadarchy and tetrarchies ${ }^{2}$; and Thebes, though she had gained the lion's share of the spoils at the end of the Sacred War, was deeply offended by the loss of Nicaea in the pass of Thermopylae, which Philip gave to Thessaly, and of her own colony Echinus, which Philip had taken for himself? Without the consent of Thessaly he could not command the pass of Thermopylae ; and without Thebes he could not use the fertile plain of Boeotia for military operations and for the support of his army on his way to or from Attica. He needed therefore some device for securing the active aid of both. Some undertaking which would unite the two in a common interest with himself seemed indispensable ${ }^{4}$. Such was Philip's perplexity when he found himself again at war with Athens after six years of nominal peace. When he departed for Scythia (§69) this problem was still unsolved, though possibly he may already have confided to Aeschines directly or indirectly some practical hints for its solution. However this may have been, it so happened that before Philip's return Aeschines had suddenly stirred up an Amphictyonic war, which delivered him from all his difficulties and opened the way for himself and his army into the very heart of Greece ${ }^{5}$. He had passed Thermopylae in triumph in 346 as the champion of the God of Delphi ; he was now to enter Greece a second time clothed with the same sacred authority, to aid the Amphictyonic Council in punishing new offenders who were openly defying their commands.
71. We are here reduced to the alternative of believing either that Aeschines deliberately devised this Amphictyonic war in order to give Philip a free passage into Greece, or at least took advantage of a slight incident at Delphi to excite a general conflict, or else that he ignorantly and recklessly roused a war which could have no other end than bringing Philip into Greece at the head of an army. The latter alternative is generally rejected ; and indeed it attributes to Aeschines

[^76]a reckless ignorance of Greek politics with which we have no right to charge him. We are almost wholly dependent on his own graphic narrative for the facts as to the origin of this baneful war, and he must be condemned, if at all, on his own testimony'. And this evidence, in my opinion, strongly confirms the view of Demosthenes, that Philip saw that his appointment as commander in an Amphictyonic war was the surest way in which he could march an army into Greece without the opposition of Thessaly or Thebes; that such a war would be useless to him if it were stirred up by any of his own delegates or friends; and that he must employ an Athenian to devise a scheme which should secure this end without exciting suspicion in the Amphictyonic Council. At all events, Aeschines was ready at Delphi to do him this very service.
72. In the archonship of Theophrastus (340-339), the Athenian delegation to the spring meeting of the Amphictyonic Council consisted of Diognetus, the Hieromnemon of the year, and three Pylagori, Midias, the old enemy of Demosthenes, Thrasycles, and Aeschines ${ }^{2}$. These four were present at the meeting in Delphi, when Diognetus and Midias were attacked by fever and Aeschines suddenly found himself in a position of great importance. The Athenian delegates had been privately informed that the Locrians of Amphissa intended to propose a vote in the Council to fine Athens fifty talents because she had re-gilded and affixed to the newly-built temple of Delphi ${ }^{8}$ some shields, probably
${ }^{1}$ Aeschines tells how he stirred up the Amphictyons to war in III. 107-124; and he slurs over the highly important matter of the appointment of Philip as commander in 128, 129, without expressly mentioning the appointment. Demosthenes, Cor. 149-152, alludes briefly to the Amphictyonic meeting at Delphi, being in essential agreement with Aeschines as to the main facts, and to Philip's appointment; in 163179 and 211-218 he gives the subsequent events which led to the alliance of Athens and Thebes and those which followed that alliance.
${ }^{2}$ For the constitution of the Amphictyonic Council and the distinction of the two classes of delegates, Hieromnemons and Pylagori, see Essay V. Athens was represented as the most important member of the Ionic race. Among the inscriptions recently found at Delphi is a fragment, assigned to $341-340$ B.c., containing the
 be the same Diognetus who was the Hieromnemon of Athens at Delphi in the spring of 339 в.c.? Bourguet, the editor, hesitates about the Delphic date. See Bull. de Corresp. Hellén. 1896, p. 238.
 $\xi \xi a \rho \in \sigma a \sigma \theta a$. . This "new temple" was not the temple built by the Alcmaeonidae two centuries before, nor any addition to that building made after the Phocian War. The temple built by the Alcmaeonidae was destroyed early in the fourth century b.c. In 371 b.c., just before the battle of Leuctra, the Spartans were advised to ask for

relics of the battle of Plataea, and had renewed the old inscription,
 This renewal of the ancient disgrace of Thebes in fighting on the side of the Persians at Plataea was, it must be confessed, neither a friendly nor a politic act of Athens; it shows the exasperation between Thebes and Athens which followed the victory of Leuctra. But this was of little consequence now. The Hieromnemon sent for Aeschines, and asked him to attend the Amphictyonic meeting on that day in his place, as if he were a delegate with full powers, and defend Athens against the Locrian accusation. Aeschines was therefore present at the meeting by special authority. As he began to speak, apparently referring in some excitement to the threatened charge against Athens, he was rudely interrupted by an Amphissian, who protested against the very mention of the Athenians, declaring that they should be shut out of the temple as accursed because of their alliance with the Phocians. Aeschines replied in great anger; and among other retorts "it occurred to him" to mention the impiety of the Amphissians in encroaching on the sacred
 In an Attic decree of $369-368$ (C. I. Att. 11, no. 51 ), relating to the tyrant Dionysius,

 xxvi. p. 45 (note), who refers to a Delphic inscription in the Mittheil. d. deutsch. Instit. (Athen), 1880, p. 203, relating to the restoration of the temple : Köhler thinks this inscription cannot be much later than the beginning of the 4 th cent. B.c. In the Bulletin de Corresp. Hellén. for 1896, Homolle gives a history of the various temples of Delphi, based on the latest discoveries of the French : see pp. 677-70r, Le кauds $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ '́s (built in the fourth century в.c.). He publishes the inscription above mentioned and discusses it at length. His conclusions are generally confirmatory of what was already known: (I) the old temple was destroyed about 373-372 B.c. by an earthquake (not by fire, as had been assumed); (2) a general subscription was opened in 371 for rebuilding the temple ; (3) in $351-347$ the building was erected as far as the epistyles (see below); (4) in 339 the new temple, not yet dedicated, was in a condition to receive the shields which the Athenians affixed to its architraves; (5) the temple was finished in 330-329. Two inscriptions are published in the same volume of the Bulletin: see 1. 28, 29, тpry (p. 217) remarks, On sait que l'édifice auquel étaient destinées ces pièces d'architecture est le temple lui-même. Onze de ces triglyphes et cinq de ces épistyles étaient ceux de fronton Ouest; le douzième triglyphe et le sixième épistyle, ceux du retour d'angle $\mathbf{S}$. $\mathbf{O}$.
 $\epsilon \xi a \rho t \sigma a \sigma \theta a t$ (the reading now generally adopted), is referred by Köhler to some religious ceremony of dedication: see $\theta \hat{v} \sigma a t \delta e ̀ \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ d $\rho \in \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \iota o y$ in C. I. Att. II.
 eixaroviny (Dem. Cor. $150^{4}$ ) see note on the latter passage.
and accursed plains of Cirrha, which had been solemnly devoted to everlasting sterility and desolation by the Amphictyonic Council about 250 years before, on the motion of Solon ${ }^{1}$, at the end of the first Sacred War.
73. Cirrha was the ancient seaport of Delphi on the Gulf of Corinth, while Crissa (often confounded with it) was a town on the height above the river Pleistus, on the road to Delphi (near the modern Xpuao) ${ }^{2}$. The broad plain of Cirrha, one of the most fertile in Greece, lay between the foot of Parnassus and the coast, and was called by both names Cirrhaean and Crissaean. In obedience to the Amphictyonic curse, Cirrha with its harbour was destroyed, and the plain had remained uncultivated until recently, when the Amphissians had re-established the ancient port as a convenient landing-place for visitors to Delphi, and levied tolls on those who used it. They had also cultivated a part of the accursed plain and erected buildings upon it. The Amphictyons seem to have quietly acquiesced in this violation of the sacred edict, doubtless seeing the advantage of the newly opened port to themselves and others, and thinking little of the almost forgotten curse. But they were not proof against the arts and eloquence of an accomplished Athenian orator, who ingeniously presented the case in impassioned language and with powerful appeals to the prejudices and the bigotry of an antiquated religious assembly, with which a venerable curse had greater weight than the strongest political motives or the abstract idea of Hellenic unity. From the hill near Delphi where the Amphictyonic Council sat under the open sky, there is a magnificent view of the sacred plain, extending to the gulf of Corinth. Here Aeschines stood in the excited assembly, and showed them the plantations and buildings of the Amphissians on the forbidden land; and he caused the terrific imprecations of the ancient curse to be repeated, which declared any man, city, or state, which should cultivate or occupy the plain of Cirrha, accursed of Apollo, Artemis, Leto, and Athena, and devoted to utter destruction with their houses and their race. He reminded them that

[^77]the same curse was invoked on all who should permit others to violate the sacred edict. We cannot wonder that the whole assemblage was fired with fierce enthusiasm to avenge the wrongs of Apollo upon the sacrilegious Amphissians. When Aeschines had finished his speech, as he tells the court, the question of the Athenian shields was wholly forgotten, and the only thought was of the punishment of the Amphissians. The flame had now been kindled, which was to end in the conflagration that Philip was eager to see. An Amphictyonic war was begun, which could be ended only by the intervention of Philip and his army. Thebes and Thessaly could now be united in a common cause with Philip ${ }^{1}$.
74. Late in the day the meeting adjourned; and a herald was ordered to proclaim that all Delphians, freemen and slaves, above the age of eighteen, should meet the next morning at daybreak with spades and picks, ready for serious work; that all the Amphictyonic delegates (of both classes) should convene at the same place, "to aid the God and the sacred land"; and that any state which failed to obey should be accursed and excluded from the temple. This Amphictyonic mob assembled and descended to the plain, where they burned the houses and destroyed the moles which enclosed the harbour. On their way back to Delphi, they were attacked by a crowd from Amphissa, which lay about seven miles west of Delphi, and barely escaped with their lives: some of the Council were captured. The next day an Amphictyonic Assembly (iкк入ךбia) was summoned, consisting of the delegates and all other citizens of Amphictyonic states who happened to be at Delphi. This body voted that the Hieromnemons, after consulting their respective states, should meet at Thermopylae at some time before the regular autumnal meeting of the Council, prepared to take some definite action concerning the Amphissians: When this vote was first reported at Athens by her delegates, the people "took the pious side" (as Aeschines calls it); but a few days later, after a little consideration and when the influence of Demosthenes had prevailed, it was voted that the Athenian delegates "should proceed to Thermopylae and Delphi at the times appointed by our ancestors," and further that no Athenian delegates should take any part in the irregular meeting at Thermopylae, "either in speech or in action." This wise step precluded Athens in the most public manner from taking any part in the mad Sacred War which

[^78]Aeschines had stirred up : in his own words, "it forbids you to remember the oaths which your ancestors swore, or the curse, or the oracle of the God ${ }^{1 . "}$
75. The appointed meeting was held at Thermopylae, with no representatives from Athens, and (what was more ominous for Philip's designs) with none from Thebes. It was voted to make war upon the Amphissians, and Cottyphus, the president of the Council, was made commander. The Amphissians at first yielded, and were fined and ordered to banish the leading rebels. But they paid no fine, and soon restored their exiles, and banished again "the pious" whom the Amphictyons had restored. The regular autumnal meeting of the Council found things in this condition; and it is hard to believe that the leaders in this miserable business expected any other issue. As Grote says of Cottyphus, he "could not do anything-probably did not wish to do anything-without the intervention of Philip." The Council was told plainly and with truth, that they must either raise a mercenary army and levy a tax on their states to pay for it, fining all who refused to do their part, or else make Philip the Amphictyonic general. It is not surprising that Philip was at once elected ${ }^{\text {s }}$. We are now just beyond the point at which Aeschines thought it wise to stop in his exciting narrative. When he told of the first expedition against Amphissa under the command of Cottyphus, he added that Philip was then "away off in Scythia," so that of course he was in nobody's mind. After this, he could not talk of Philip's election a few weeks later without an absurd anti-climax, which would be all the more ridiculous when he was compelled to add that the first act of the new Amphictyonic general in this pious war was one of open hostility to Athens and Thebes. Accordingly he does not mention in this narrative either the appointment of Philip or the seizure of Elatea which immediately followed his appointment. Instead of stating these important facts, the direct results of his own deliberate action, he bursts forth with a new flood of eloquence and dilates on the terrible omens and the more terrible calamities which followed the refusal of Athens to take the leadership in the holy war against Amphissa, to which she was divinely called by the voice of Heaven; and he once alludes to Elatea in the vaguest manner, without hinting that its seizure by Philip was an event for which he was himself even in the slightest degree responsible ${ }^{3}$.

[^79]76. Demosthenes, as we have seen, describes the action of Aeschines in stirring up the new Sacred War very briefly, representing it as a deliberate plot, devised by Philip and executed by Aeschines, for securing Philip and his army free admission into Greece to attack Athens. He mentions the choice of Philip as general after the failure of the first campaign against Amphissa, and adds that Philip immediately collected an army and entered Greece, professedly bound for the plain of Cirrha; but that he suddenly bade the Cirrhaeans and Locrians a long farewell, and seized and fortified Elatea. This old Phocian town, which had been dismantled in 346 b.c., held a military position of the greatest importance for Philip's plans. It stood at the outlet of one of the chief passes leading from Thermopylae, and it commanded the broad plain through which the Cephisus flows on its way to Boeotia. It was also the key to the rough roads leading westward to Doris and Amphissa. From this point Philip threatened both Athens and Thebes so directly as to leave no doubt of his purpose in entering Greece. He hoped that the traditional feud between Athens and Thebes would bring Thebes into his alliance; but he trusted to his commanding position on the frontier of Boeotia to convince her that her only hope of safety lay in his friendship. The prospect of Boeotia being the seat of war was an alarming one, from which a united invasion of Attica by Thebes and Philip was the only sure escape ${ }^{1}$. Demosthenes states that the Macedonian party in both Athens and 'Thebes had long been fomenting discord between the two cities, which were now so estranged that Philip felt that there was no possibility of their uniting against him. The public documents quoted as proof of this enmity are unfortunately lost ${ }^{2}$.

At the same time with his seizure of Elatea (in the late autumn of 339) Philip took possession of Cytinium, one of the towns of the ancient Dorian Tetrapolis near Parnassus ${ }^{3}$.
77. We are almost wholly dependent on Demosthenes for what we know of the skilful diplomacy by which Thebes was secured as an ally of Athens against Philip ${ }^{4}$. This was the crowning achievement of the political life of Demosthenes, and he always alludes to it with honest pride. We have his own graphic story of the wild excitement at Athens

[^80]when a messenger at evening brought the news from Elatea, and of the solemn meeting of the people the next morning when he made his speech, full of dignified eloquence, by which he laid the foundation for a right understanding with Thebes and secured the appointment of a friendly embassy, of which he was himself the leader. He then describes briefly but clearly the critical negotiations with Thebes, which ended in a treaty of alliance. We are not informed of the details of this treaty; but the carping criticisms of Aeschines indicate that the liberal spirit towards Thebes which inspired Demosthenes in his first proposals was felt in all the negotiations. Aeschines gives one important item, designed to protect the alliance against the defection of any Boeotian cities to Philip. This provided that in case of any such defection "Athens would stand by the Boeotians at 'Thebes ${ }^{1}$." Demosthenes brings forward a letter addressed by Philip to his former friends in Peloponnesus when the Thebans deserted him, in which he solicits their help on the ground that he is waging an Amphictyonic war in a holy cause ${ }^{2}$. During the campaign which followed, Demosthenes appears to have had equal influence at Athens and at Thebes. Theopompus says that the generals at Athens and the Boeotarchs at Thebes were equally obedient to his commands, and that the public assembly of Thebes was ruled by him as absolutely as that of Athens ${ }^{3}$.
78. Of the campaign itself very little is known. We hear of one "winter battle" and one "battle by the river," in which the allies were victorious!. These victories were celebrated by festivals and thanksgivings ; and they caused Philip to renew his solicitations for help in letters to the Peloponnesians ${ }^{8}$. The alliance with Thebes was so popular in Athens, that Demosthenes, as its author, was publicly crowned at the Great Dionysia in the spring of $33^{6}$. The allies suffered one serious defeat near Amphissa, which Philip-perhaps for the sake of

[^81]appearances-finally attacked. By a cunning stratagem, Philip caused the Greeks to withdraw from the passes leading to Amphissa, while he marched through them and destroyed the allied army which met him on the other side. This consisted of a Theban force under Proxenus, and 10,000 mercenaries under Chares whom Athens had sent to protect Amphissa. Philip attacked these two forces separately and destroyed them easily ${ }^{1}$. He then took Amphissa and destroyed $\mathrm{it}^{2}$. He also captured Naupactus, put to death the Achaean garrison with its commander Pausanias, and gave the town to the Aetolians, thus fulfilling a promise which he had made four years before ${ }^{3}$. At some time during this campaign, perhaps after his victory at Amphissa, he sent a herald with proposals of peace to Thebes and Athens, which, it appears, the Boeotarchs were at first inclined to entertain. Even at Athens a peace-party appeared, with Phocion as its advocate4. Aeschines relates that Demosthenes was so disturbed by the peace-movement at Thebes, that he threatened to propose a bill to send an embassy to Thebes to ask for the Athenian army a free passage through Boeotia to attack Philip ${ }^{3}$. We hear no more of this movement, and a visit of Demosthenes to Thebes probably brought it to an end.
79. Our accounts of the battle of Chaeronea are as meagre as those of the preceding campaign. We depend chiefly on Diodorus, who devotes the greater part of his short account to the exploits of the young Alexander, then eighteen years old, to whom his father gave the command of one wing, "supported by his most distinguished generals.". This decisive battle was fought on the seventh of Metageitnion, the

[^82]second month of the Attic year ${ }^{1}$. By a stratagem Philip had drawn the Greek army from its advantageous position in the hills into the plain of Chaeronea, where he could use his cavalry with the best effect. At first the battle was rather favourable to the allies; but soon the superior discipline of the Macedonians prevailed, and the Greeks were driven back on both wings. A general flight ensued, after which the Greeks were scattered, so that there was no longer any military force between Philip's camp and Thebes or Athens. These cities lay at his mercy; their armies were disbanded, and neither could help the other. A thousand Athenians were killed, and about two thousand were taken prisoners. The Boeotian loss was also great, and the famous Sacred Band of three hundred Thebans perished to a man'. Diodorus states that Philip's army consisted of 30,000 foot and not less than 2,000 horse, adding that Philip had the advantage in numbers and strategy, but that the two armies were equally matched in courage and spirit. Justin, on the contrary, states that the Greeks far exceeded the enemy in numbers ${ }^{3}$. The general results, the utter annihilation of the Greek army, the breaking-up of the Hellenic confederation which Demosthenes had brought together against Philip, and the decisive establishment of Macedonian supremacy over the whole of Greece, are beyond question.
80. The panic and despair in Athens when the first tidings of the defeat arrived were most pitiable. No one knew how soon the victorious army might follow in the steps of the messengers who brought the terrible news ${ }^{4}$. But the leaders of the people who were at home, especially Lycurgus and Hyperides, and Demosthenes after his return from the battlefield, did all that was possible to restore courage, and the panic soon gave way to a resolute determination to save the city from destruction or capture. Hyperides, who was one of the Senate of Five Hundred (regularly exempt from military service), immediately proposed a bill ordering the Senate to go to the Piraeus under arms and there to hold a meeting to provide for the safety of the port ; and further pro-

[^83]viding that all slaves in the mines and the country districts who would enlist should be free, and that exiles should be recalled, public debtors and other äruoc should be restored to their rights, and metics should be made citizens, on the same condition. It was hoped that these last measures might furnish a force of 150,000 men for immediate defence ${ }^{1}$. It was also voted to bring the women and children and such sacred property as was movable from unprotected places into the Piraeus ${ }^{2}$. Lycurgus, who had charge of the finances, did wonders in replenishing the empty treasury, and in providing arms and ships for the emergency ${ }^{3}$. Large sums of money were raised by private contributions, the $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \lambda a \iota$ è $\pi \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ of Cor. § 171 , Demosthenes giving one talent. Demosthenes devoted himself especially to preparing the city for immediate defence, especially by repairing the dilapidated walls and other defences and by raising money for this object ${ }^{4}$. In adopting all these energetic measures the people showed that the spirit of Marathon and Salamis was not wholly extinct at Athens ${ }^{\text {s }}$.
81. When Philip heard of these preparations for receiving him, he naturally thought seriously of his next steps. He seems to have felt no doubt about the treatment of Thebes. As a former ally, who had deliberately turned against him at a critical moment, she could expect only severe punishment. Accordingly, he compelled her to ransom her prisoners and even to pay for the right to bury her dead at Chaeronea ${ }^{6}$; he broke up the Boeotian confederacy and made all the other towns independent of Thebes; he placed a Macedonian garrison in the Cadmea; and he recalled the exiles who were opposed to the Athenian alliance, and established from these a judicial council of three hundred. Some of the old leaders were exiled, and others put to death; and their estates were confiscated ${ }^{7}$. Philip's knowledge of the position

[^84]of Athens in Greece probably convinced him that it would be the worst possible policy for him to treat her in this way. After the active measures taken by the Athenians their city could not be taken without a siege, which might be protracted into the winter; and such treatment would unite Athens against him in hopeless enmity. He fortunately had a good, though unprincipled, adviser at hand, the Athenian Demades. He was taken prisoner at Chaeronea, but had ingratiated himself with Philip by his manners and his good advice, so that he was released and remained as a friend in the king's camp. He had doubtless confirmed Philip's opinion about the best policy to be pursued with Athens, by reminding him of the large and influential Macedonian party there, which was then out of favour but might be restored to influence by gentle treatment and friendly words at the present crisis. Philip accordingly sent him as a messenger to Athens ${ }^{1}$. He must have sent assurances of his friendly disposition and of his willingness to grant her any reasonable requests; and the Athenians replied by sending Demades, Aeschines, and probably Phocion as envoys to Philip, to ask for a release of the Athenian captives ${ }^{2}$. Philip received this embassy with great cordiality and immediately invited them to his table ${ }^{3}$. He released all the prisoners without ransom, and promised to return the ashes of those who had fallen. He sent these remains to Athens in charge of no less a person than Antipater, with whom Alexander himself went as a special messenger with offers of peace and friendship ${ }^{4}$. The result was the treaty of peace, known as the Peace of Demades, by which both peace and alliance were again established between Philip and Athens. The Athenians were to remain free and independent, and Philip probably agreed never to send ships of war into the Piraeus ${ }^{\text {s }}$.

[^85]Oropus, which had been taken from Thebes, was now at length restored to Athens ${ }^{1}$. This settlement of an ancient dispute, though it was in favour of Athens, must have been an unwelcome concession at this moment, especially to those who had recently welcomed Thebes as a friend and ally. Athens was to hold certain islands, among which were Salamis, Samos, and Delos ${ }^{2}$; but all trace of her recent alliance and all thought of maritime empire had disappeared for ever ${ }^{3}$. Philip left it open to her to join the general Greek League which he proposed to form, and of which he was to be the head. This step would sacrifice the independence of Athens in many points, and we do not know what arguments were used to induce her to become a member. But in the absence of Demosthenes, and in spite of scruples of Phocion, who asked for more time to consider the question, the Assembly adopted the proposals of Demades in full, and these made Athens a member of the League'. By this step, which was probably a necessary one under the circumstances, Athens ceased to have any independent political existence; and the peace of Demades ends her history as a free state and as a power in the Hellenic world.
82. The feeling of Demosthenes about this peace after eight years' experience is seen in Cor. §89. While he doubtless acquiesced quietly in it at the beginning ${ }^{5}$, he never forgot the bitter humiliation. Under the influence of this quiet submission to Philip's authority, cloaked under the name of independence, the Macedonian party, with Aeschines

[^86]at its head, again became powerful at Athens ${ }^{1}$. It was then that it was safe for the whole herd of the enemies of Demosthenes to persecute him with every form of process which was known to the Attic law, when (as he says) he was "brought to trial every day." But he mentions this only to testify to the affection of his fellow citizens, who always acquitted him in the popular courts, and thus justified his conduct in the most effective manner?. Indeed, though the party of Aeschines then had the courage to speak its sentiments more freely than ever before ${ }^{3}$, and in so doing gained the favour of Philip and his partizans, the sober sense of the people always recognized the services of men like Demosthenes in better times and expressed itself whenever an occasion offered. There was no testimony of the public esteem and affection which Demosthenes valued more highly than the choice of the people in making him their orator to deliver the eulogy on the heroes of Chaeronea ${ }^{4}$. Here the genuine feeling of patriotic gratitude to the man who had fought the battle of Grecian liberty almost single-handed impelled the citizens to reject all candidates who were in sympathy with Philip or his cause, including Aeschines and even Demades, and to choose the man who was most heartily identified with the lost cause for which these heroes had died. And the same public respect for Demosthenes and for his honest and unswerving devotion to what was now seen more clearly than ever to have been the cause of Grecian liberty, the cause which had made their ancestors glorious, was shown in the overwhelming vote by which the popular court acquitted Ctesiphon and condemned Aeschines, at the very moment when such a judgment might have been deemed a public defiance of Alexander's authority, when the whole Greek world was ringing with the news of the victory of Arbela.

[^87]
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356-355-Birth of Alexander the Great, July 21, 356 (§ 3).
Beginning of Sacred (Phocian) War: seizure of temple of Delphi by Philomelus ( $\$ 84,5$ ).
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Speeches of Demosthenes against Timocrates and for the Megalopolitans (§ II).
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Philip attacks the Olynthian confederation and besieges Olynthus. Alliance of Olynthus with Athens (§ 16). Demosthenes delivers his three Olynthiacs (§ 17). Philip sends peaceful messages to Athens and releases Phrynon (§ 18 ).
348-347. Philocrates proposes negotiations for peace with Philip, is indicted therefor and acquitted (§ 18).
Olynthus captured by Philip, with all its confederate towns (early autumn of 348): consternation throughout Greece (\$ 16, 19).
Mission of Aristodemus to Philip (§ 19).
Movement of Eubulus and Aeschines against Philip, and embassies to Greek states (\$ 20, 21).
347-346 ${ }^{1}$. Themistocles Archon at Athens. Demosthenes again Senator (\$ $\mathbf{5} 19,38$ ).
${ }^{1}$ For the division of months in 347-346 B.c., and the dates according to our Calendar, see pp. 306, 307.

347-346. Aristodemus returns with friendly messages from Philip, and is crowned on motion of Demosthenes ( $\$ 19$ ).
Thebans and Phocians both exhausted by Sacred War. Phocians ask aid from Athens (early in 346), but reject it when sent. ( $\$ \mathbf{2} 3,24$.)
On motion of Philocrates (Fel. 346), ten envoys are sent to Philip to propose negotiations for peace (First Embassy). Envoys return end of March. (\$ 25-28.)
Two meetings of Assembly, to discuss terms of peace with Philip's envoys, 18th and 19th of Elaphebolion (April 15, 16), 346 : peace formally voted on second day. (59-37.)
Same envoys sent again to Philip, to ratify the peace (Second Embassy) (§ 38).
Meeting of Assembly on 25th of Elaphebolion (April 22), Demosthenes presiding ( $\$ 38$ ).
Address of Isocrates to Philip (Фìentos).
Decree of Senate ordering the departure of the Embassy, 3rd of Munychion (April 29) (\$39).
Return of Embassy to Athens, 13 th of Scirophorion (July 7). Reports to Senate and Assembly. Philip already at Thermopylae. Assembly votes (16th of Scir., July 10 ) to compel the Phocians to deliver the temple of Delphi to "the Amphictyons." (\$43-45.)
Ten envoys (Third Embassy) sent by Athens to Thermopylae, to report the action of the Assembly to Philip: they depart about the 21 st of Scirophorion (July 15). ( $\$ 45,47$.)
Phalaecus surrenders Thermopylae to Philip 2 3rd of Sciroph. (July 17). The Athenian envoys hear this news at Chalcis and return. Meeting of Assembly in Piraeus (27th of Scir., July 21). Embassy ordered to proceed to Thermopylae, and departs at once. (s 46-48.)
End of Sacred War.
Demosthenes and Timarchus begin proceedings against Aeschines for таратреб $\beta$ eía
346-345. Archias Archon. Philip summons Amphictyonic Council, which expels the Phocians and gives their two votes to Philip. Terrible punishment of the Phocians. (§ 48.)
Philip celebrates the Pythian games (Sept. 346). Am-
phictyonic deputation sent to Athens to demand recognition of Philip's position in the Council. Speech of Demosthenes on the Peace. ( $\$ \mathbf{\$ 9}, 50$.)
 Aeschines (winter). See Essay IV. \& 2.
345-344. Philip establishes a decadarchy in Thessaly. He interferes in disputes in Peloponnesus: Demosthenes sent as envoy to counteract his influence. (§51.)
344-343. Second Philippic of Demosthenes (late in 344). Continued influence of Philip in Peloponnesus : attack on Megara. (§52.)
Trial and condemnation of Antiphon (\$53).
Prosecution of Philocrates on eloarredía by Hyperides and his exile (before midsummer 343). See Essay IV. § 4.
Case of temple of Delos before Amphictyonic Council: Hyperides advocate of Athens ( $\$ 54$ ).
Mission of Python to Athens (before midsummer 343). Discussion of the peace and of the claim of Athens to Halonnesus. (§ 55.)
343-342. Philip's intrigues in Euboea: he supports tyrants at Eretria and Oreus. Chalcis, under lead of Callias and Taurosthenes, friendly to Athens. ( $\$ 58$.)
Trial and acquittal of Aeschines on charge of паралрєб $\beta$ cia (late summer of 343). See Essay IV.
Philip invades Epirus (winter), and threatens Ambracia and Acarnania. On his return he establishes tetrarchs in Thessaly. ( $\$ 59,60$.)
Philip's letter to Athens about Halonnesus and modifications of the peace. Speech of Hegesippus on Halonnesus (Dem. vii.). ( $\$ 565,57$.)
Aristotle made tutor of Alexander ( $\$ 60$ ).
342-341. Philip extends his power in the Thracian Chersonese, and comes into conflict with the Athenian general, Diopithes. Speech on the Chersonese and Third Philippic of Demosthenes (before midsummer 341). ( $\$ \mathbf{8 1} \mathbf{1}, 62$.)
341-340. Mission of Demosthenes to Byzantium (summer): alliance of Athens and Byzantium. Embassies to Persia, Rhodes, and Peloponnesus. (§63.)
Expeditions of Athens to Euboea, which overthrow tyrants in Oreus and (later) in Eretria (§64).

341-340. Anaxinus of Oreus executed as a spy at Athens (§ 65).
League against Philip formed by Demosthenes and Callias of Chalcis ( $\$ \$_{3}, 64$ ).
Demosthenes crowned at the Great Dionysia for his success in liberating Euboea (§ 64).
The people of Peparethus seize Halonnesus and make the Macedonian garrison prisoners. Philip in return ravages Peparethus. (§ 66.) (Date ?)
340-339. Theophrastus Archon. Philip besieges Perinthus by land and sea (late summer of $34^{\circ}$ ): in the autumn he raises this siege and attacks Byzantium. ( $\$ 6 \%$.) He writes to the Athenians (before the attack on Byzantium), and makes an open declaration of war, which Athens at once accepts (§68). Two fleets sent by Athens to relieve Byzantium : siege raised by Philip (§67). Athenian merchant ships captured by Philip (§68): nominal ground for declaring war.
Philip attacks the Thracian Chersonese, and then (winter) invades Scythia. Returning with large booty, he is attacked by the Triballi and wounded. ( $\$ 67,69$.)
Speech of Aeschines at Delphi (spring of 339), which stirs up the Amphissian War (§72).
339-338. Amphictyonic Council (early autumn of 339) chooses Philip general for the Amphissian War (§75). Shortly afterwards Philip passes Thermopylae and seizes Elatea (§ 76).
Negotiations between Athens and Thebes, ending in alliance against Philip (§77).
Campaign (winter and spring): allies victorious in "winter battle" and "river battle." Capture of mercenaries and destruction of Amphissa by Philip. ( $\$ 78$.)
338-337. Battle of Chaeronea, 7th Metageitnion 338 (August 2 or September I): utter defeat of the allies ( $\mathbf{( \$ 8 9 , 8 0 \text { ). }}$
Peace of Demades (§ 8r).
Demosthenes delivers the eulogy on those who fell in the battle (§82).
337-336. Demosthenes director of the Theoric Fund and rexo тotós.
Ctesiphon proposes to crown Demosthenes at the Great Dionysia (spring of 336). Aeschines brings a rpaфฑ̀

тарауо́ $\mu \omega \nu$ against Ctesiphon. (The case came to trial six years later.)
337-336. Philip assassinated, summer of 336. Alexander succeeds him.
335-334. Rebellion of Thebes. Alexander captures and destroys the city (autumn of 335).
Alexander demands the delivery of Demosthenes, Lycurgus, Hyperides, and other Athenian orators.
Aristotle returns to Athens and teaches in the Lyceum.
331-330. Alexander's victory at Arbela (Oct. 1, 331).
Rebellion of Spartan King Agis (early in 330), crushed by Antipater.
330-329. Aristophon Archon. Trial of suit of Aeschines against Ctesiphon (August 330). Ctesiphon acquitted by more than four-fifths of the votes.
324-323. Demosthenes condemned to a fine of 50 talents for complicity in the affair of Harpalus. Unable to pay the fine, he went to prison, and afterwards into exile.
Death of Alexander the Great (May, 323) at Babylon.
323-322. Triumphant recall of Demosthenes from exile.
322. Death of Aristotle at Chalcis, autumn of 322.

Death of Hyperides October 5, and of Demosthenes October 12, 322.

## The Attic Year.

During the period with which we are here concerned, the Athenians generally had a lunar year of 354 days, consisting of twelve months, alternately of 30 and 29 days, equivalent to 12 lunar months of $29 \frac{1}{2}$ days each. The longer months were called $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\rho} \rho$ es $\mu \hat{\eta} v e s$, the shorter кoî̀ot $\mu \hat{\eta} v e s$. This fell short of the solar year by $11 \frac{1}{2}$ days, the difference in eight years amounting to 90 days. This was regulated by the cumbrous device of making the third, fifth, and eighth year in each cycle of eight years (öкraetrpís) a leap year with 384 days, thus making the number of days in each cycle correct. (Thus $(354 \times 5)+(384 \times 3)$ $=2922=3651 \times 8$.) The slight errors which remained were equated in various ways. The natural beginning of the Attic year was the summer solstice; but the great difference in the length of the years allowed the beginning to vary from about June 16 to August 7.

The twelve months in the ordinary year were as follows: i Hecatombaeon, 2 Metageitnion, 3 Boedromion, 4 Pyanepsion, 5 Maemacterion,

> G. D.

6 Posideon, 7 Gamelion, 8 Anthesterion, 9 Elaphebolion, ro Munychion, II Thargelion, 12 Scirophorion. In the leap years a month of thirty days, Posideon II., was intercalated after Posideon. The same months appear to have been $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon t s$ and coîdot in different years. The first day of every month was generally called vovapria, and the last day ivv кai véa, old and new; the latter name, which probably was first applied to the full months, showing that the thirtieth day in these months belonged equally to the old and the new month. The days from the and to the 9 th were called $\delta$ ourípa, rpitn, etc., sometimes with iotapivov or dapxopívov (sc. $\mu$ prós) added; the roth was the dexás; those from the irth to the 19th were called $\pi$ puín, סevripa, etc., with imi סéra or $\mu$ ecoûrros added, though this could be omitted when it was obvious that the middle of the month was meant. The 20th was the cixás; and the days from the 21 ist to the 29 th in the full months were generally counted backwards, סexátך $\phi \theta$ ivovios (2 Ist), deárך, byסón, etc. to $\delta$ ©utípa $\phi \theta$ ivouros (22nd, 23 rd, etc. to 29 th). It is generally thought that the סevtípa ф $\theta$ ivovros was omitted in the "hollow" months; but Usener thinks that the ivátr $\phi \theta_{i}$ ivovios dropped out ${ }^{\text { }}$.

The following is a possible statement of the arrangement of the thirteen months in $347-346$ в.c., in which the peace of Philocrates was made. This was a leap year of 384 days, beginning July 6 and ending July 24. Other arrangements are possible and perhaps equally probable; but these would not affect any of the dates by more than a single day ${ }^{2}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 347-346 в.c. } \\
(384 \text { days.) }
\end{gathered}
$$

I. Hecatombaeon ( 30 days) begins July 6, 347 в.c.
2. Metageitnion (29 „) " August 5 "
3. Boedromion ( 30 , ) " Sept. 3 "
${ }^{1}$ See Rhein. Mus. xxxiv. 429 : see Hist. 8 46, note 5. The above outline is based on Boeckh's elaborate investigation, Zur Geschichte der Mondcyclen der Hellenen, in the Jahrbuicher für Class. Philol. (N. F.), Suppl. Bd I., Heft I (1855). Though many of the details of this system, as Boeckh stated it, have been disputed or corrected, its general principle still remains the basis of our knowledge of this difficult and complicated subject.
${ }^{2}$ In this arrangement the system of equivalent days adopted by Schaefer has been regarded, except in the dates after the 20th of Scirophorion, where he assumes that this month has only 29 days, and follows Usener in omitting the èndry $\phi \theta$ lrovros. But Schaefer, who rightly makes the 26th of Sciroph. = July 20, should by his system make the 29th of Sciroph. (which would be the last day of 347-346) =July 23, so that the new year would begin July 24 ; whereas it began July 25, according to Boeckh, p. 28, and also according to Schaefer, 11. p. 295, note 2.
stages: ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) after its acceptance by the Senate, (2) after passing the Assembly, (3) after the lapse of a year from its proposal'.
2. The distinction between a vó $\mu$ os and a $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$ at Athens was most important ${ }^{\text {: }}$. A $\psi \psi^{\prime} \phi \omega \sigma \mu a$ was an enactment of the Senate and Assembly (or of the Assembly alone when the Senate had given it authority to act by itself), which, if it was not in conflict with any higher authority, had the full force of a law. A vónos could be changed only by an elaborate process, which was chiefly under the control of a body of Heliastic judges, who acted as a court rather than as a legislative body. In the first meeting of the Assembly in each year a general question was put to the people, whether they would permit propositions to be made for changes in the laws, those who had such propositions to make having doubtless informed the Assembly what changes were to be proposed. The people might refuse to allow such propositions to be made, which ended the matter for that year. If they voted to permit them, all who had such proposals to make were required to post written notices of them before the statues of the Eponymi (the heroes from whom the ten tribes were named) in the market-place, and also to give copies of these to the clerk of the Assembly, who read the proposals to the people in each of the two following meetings of the Assembly. In the last of these meetings (the third one of the year), the people, if after consideration they saw fit, voted to refer the proposed changes in the laws to a special commission, called vopo日'́ra, chosen like an ordinary court (סuxaoripiov) from those who were qualified to sit as judges for that year and had taken the Heliastic oath. The whole proceeding before this board was conducted according to the forms of law. The proposer of the new law appeared as plaintiff and argued his case against the old law and for his own proposal, while advocates appointed by the state defended the existing law. The question of enacting the new law or retaining the existing one was decided by a vote of the vomotíra, which, if favourable to the new law, made that one of the fixed code of vómo. It was strictly commanded by the Solonic law, that no new law should be enacted unless all laws opposed to it were expressly repealed ; and, further, that no law should be repealed unless a new law were proposed, and accepted by the vo 00 ©́tat as suitable and fitting ( $\boldsymbol{k}^{2}$ rribecos) to take its place ${ }^{3}$.
${ }^{1}$ For further details of the $\gamma \rho a ф \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho a \nu 6 \mu \omega \nu$ see Meier and Schömann, Att. Proc. pp. 428-437.
${ }^{2}$ See Tarbell in Am. Journal of Philol. x. pp. 79-83.
${ }^{3}$ See Schömann, Griech. Alterth. 1; Pp. 411-414, English transl. 387-390; Thumser-Hermann, Staatsalt. § 91, pp. 525-530. See § 10 (below).
3. It was only natural, as the democracy increased in power, that the distinction between decrees and laws should be neglected, and that the sovereign people should pass decrees which usurped the functions of laws and violated the spirit, if not the letter, of existing laws. We find in the orators many intimations that this was a growing evil. Against this dangerous tendency the $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ rapavó $\mu \omega \nu$ was the only legal security. We cannot wonder, therefore, that this is extolled as the great stronghold of constitutional liberty, the chief protection of free government against lawless demagogues. Even Aeschines, who had done as much as any man to degrade the process, speaks of it as we speak of the habeas corpus'. It is a most significant fact that one of the first steps taken by the oligarchs who were establishing the government of Four Hundred in 411 b.c. was the suspension of the $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta े ~ \pi а р а \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ '.
4. The principle upon which the $\gamma \rho a \neq \eta े ~ \pi a p a v o ́ \mu \omega v ~ i s ~ b a s e d ~ m u s: ~$ always be recognized wherever the legislative power is limited by a superior code of laws or a written constitution to which all its enactments must conform. In such a case the allegiance of every citizen is due, first and foremost, to the superior law, as the supreme law of the land, and he cannot legally be compelled to obey the lower enactment. But as each citizen cannot be allowed to decide for himself whether an act of the legislature is or is not in harmony with the superior law, the decision must be entrusted to some tribunal which has authority to prevent a citizen from suffering unjustly if he disobeys an illegal enactment, and also to prevent the law from being disobeyed at the caprice of individuals.
5. This principle was first recognized, so far as we know, in the Athenian $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta े$ тapavó $\mu \omega$. Precisely the same principle is at the basis of what is now known as "the American doctrine of Constitutional Law," under which the Supreme Court of the United States has the power to declare acts of Congress or of the state legislatures unconstitutional and to treat them as without authority ${ }^{3}$. The Constitution of the

[^89]United States, the solemn compact by which thirteen originally independent states were united in a single nation, is declared in one of its own articles to be "the supreme law of the land," to which all legislation of Congress or of the several states must conform'. An amendment, ratified in 1791, provides that "the powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people." In the working of this dual system of legislation and responsibility, questions soon arose which called for the exercise of judicial authority to determine whether an act of Congress or of a state legislature was in conflict with the Federal Constitution, or whether an act of Congress usurped powers which the Constitution reserved to the states. This authority was plainly vested in the Federal courts, especially in the Supreme Court as the highest court of appeal in the land. The power came by direct descent from the colonial period, when royal charters, to which the colonial legislation must conform, stood in the position of written constitutions. The colonial courts could declare laws null which were opposed to the superior authority, and in certain cases the King in Council by decree exercised the same right ${ }^{2}$. After the revolution, before the Constitution was ratified, several states adopted the old charters as temporary constitutions, and the state courts sometimes declared laws null which did not conform to these; this, however, was not allowed without grave opposition ${ }^{3}$.
6. It is a mistake to suppose that the Supreme Court can declare an act of Congress unconstitutional and void on its own motion. Not only can it not do this, but it cannot declare an act unconstitutional simply because it is asked to do so by petition. To enable it to act on a constitutional question, a case must come before it in the ordinary course of litigation, generally when a person who feels aggrieved by the operation of a law which he believes to be unconstitutional appeals from the decision of a lower court on this point and thus brings the constitu-

[^90]tional question directly before the Supreme Court in such a way that it must be decided. The decision, though nominally affecting only the legality of the appellant's action in disobeying the law, really settles the whole question of the validity of the law itself; and it stands as a valid precedent, which all courts must recognize, unless it is reversed by a different decision on another case ${ }^{1}$. It is, moreover, a recognized principle in such cases, that a law is not to be declared unconstitutional unless the judges are convinced that it is so beyond all reasonable doubt. A Federal judge might with perfect consistency refuse to set aside a law as unconstitutional when as a legislator he had voted against it on this very ground ${ }^{2}$.
7. In the comparison which we are making, the decrees of the Athenian Senate and Assembly correspond to the laws of the U.S. Congress, and the Solonic laws of Athens to the U.S. Constitution. The dangers of a democracy which is not kept in balance by the constant pressure of a higher law, keeping the ordinary legislation in check, were never stated more clearly than by Aristotle in his discussion of constitutional and unconstitutional democracy ${ }^{8}$. His third and fourth forms of democracy are those in which all citizens, or all who are
 fifth and lowest form is that in which, other conditions being the same, "the multitude and not the law is supreme; and this is when decrees and not the law are supreme." "There," he says, "the people has become a monarch, one composed of many; and it seeks to exercise monarchical power because it is not ruled by law, and so becomes despotic." "Such a democracy," he adds, "is related to other democracies as tyranny to other monarchies, both having the same character, and both wielding a despotic power over the better part of the state; its decrees are like the tyrant's edicts ${ }^{4}$." The former is a constitu-

[^91]tional democracy, with the power of the people to pass decrees limited by a fixed code of laws; the latter is an unconstitutional democracy, which gives the people full power to enact whatever they please, subject to no restraint from any superior law which can enforce its authority through the courts. The supremacy of constitutional law, as Aristotle clearly saw, is the one great security which distinguishes a safe democracy from a dangerous one; and the United States have constant reason to bless the foresight which provided them with this protection in their original compact ${ }^{1}$.
8. Though France, Germany, Switzerland, and other countries have written constitutions, they make no use of the principle which we are considering, except that in Germany and (under some limitations) in Switzerland the Federal courts may declare a state or cantonal law invalid if it conflicts with the Federal constitution. In England no such constitutional questions can arise for the courts to consider, because Parliament, the only legislative power, is absolute, and recognizes no law superior to its own ${ }^{2}$. As Bryce says, "what are called in England constitutional statutes, such as Magna Charta, the Bill of Rights, the Act of Settlement,...are merely ordinary laws which could be repealed by Parliament at any moment in exactly the same way as it can repeal a highway act or lower the duty on tobacco." Parliament, he adds, "can abolish when it pleases any institution of the country, the Crown, the House of Lords, the Established Church, the House of Commons, Parliament itself." 'The yoaфŋ̀ $\pi$ apavó $\mu \omega v$, therefore, has no analogy in the English Constitution. It is obvious that England, with her more conservative form of government, yet lacks one check upon possible radical legislation, which has proved so effective, and yet so simple, under a pure democracy in the United States. Congress could not, except by an act of revolution, deprive the President of any of his


${ }^{1}$ There is no reason for thinking that the example of the $\left.\gamma \rho a \phi\right\rangle$ тарау $\delta \mu \omega \nu$ even remotely suggested the U.S. system; and the analogy between the two is not mentioned, so far as I am aware, by any writer on the U.S. Constitution. The earliest reference to the subject which I have seen in print is in an excellent article in the Yale Review for May, 1893, on "An Athenian Parallel to a Function of our Supreme Court," by Professor T. D. Goodell of New Haven. The striking parallel can, however, hardly have escaped the notice of American classical scholars ; and I cannot have been alone in using it, as I have done for the past twenty years or more, in explaining the $\gamma \rho a \phi 力$ тapavduav to college classes.
${ }^{2}$ See Bryce, Am. Commonwealth I. 237, 238, 254, 272, 430; and Thayer, Am. Doctr. of Const. Law, 4.
prerogatives, or impair in the least the rights of its two houses, or interfere with the power of the Supreme Court to annul unconstitutional legislation when a case comes before it in the course of litigation.
9. The $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{̀} \pi а р а v o ́ \mu \omega v$ legally turned on the simple question of the agreement or disagreement of a given law or decree with the existing laws, and the court had strictly no legal right to consider the general question of the expediency or even the justice of the enactment which was on trial. Nevertheless, the arguments in such cases abound in appeals to the court to reject a law because it is inexpedient or unjust ; and there can be no doubt that such questions were an important part of the case which the judges considered. But such a natural extension of a counsel's privilege cannot weigh against definite statements on the other side made by the orators ${ }^{1}$. It could not be expected that a litigant or advocate in Athens, addressing a large body of judges, of whom few could even understand a strictly legal argument, should not try to impress them with a conviction that he had justice and expediency, as well as law, on his side. We can easily pardon an Athenian orator for availing himself of this aid, when such arguments are frequently addressed to the U.S. Supreme Court by eager counsel on questions of pure constitutional law, and when even the judges in giving their decisions sometimes enforce their legal judgments by considerations of expediency.
10. It has sometimes been thought that a decree or a law could be indicted by the roaфì rapavópar as inexpedient (avertrjódecov). But we now know from Aristotle's Constitution of Athens that the
 $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta े \pi \alpha \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$, and it is probably the one to which the doubtful law quoted in Demosth. xxiv. 33 refers, by which any one who procured the repeal of a law and neglected to substitute for it a new law which was fitting (èmırícetov) could be indicted by a special process ${ }^{2}$.

[^92]11. It may seem strange to compare the solemn action of the U.S. Supreme Court in deciding a question of constitutional law with the trial of a citizen at Athens, before a court consisting of 501,1001 , or 1501 ordinary men, chosen by lot from the great body of citizens, for proposing an unconstitutional decree or law. Both courts, however, have the same solemn duty to perform, that of deciding whether a given enactment is or is not in conflict with a superior code. Athens, like the United States, assigned this duty to the highest court in her judicial system (to which the Areopagus hardly belonged). When we leave the fundamental principle and come to the details, the differences are more striking. The most serious fault in the Athenian process was its personal character as a criminal suit, which any citizen could bring directly before the court, and the liability of the defendant to be punished at the discretion of the court by a fine (sometimes set as high as 100 talents) or even by death. This of course embittered the whole process, which sometimes degenerated into a vituperative quarrel of rival litigants. This evil was to a great extent removed after the expiration of a year, when the process became a sober and dignified trial of a legal question, the nominal defendant being now exposed to no personal risk. We may fairly compare the arguments addressed to the judges in such cases (as in that of Leptines), after making due allowance for the composition of the court, with those addressed to modern judges in similar cases.
12. Another important distinction came from the great number and variety of the matters dealt with in the Solonic law, compared with the few general principles laid down in the U.S. Constitution. This multiplied the cases of conflict (real or supposed) of decrees with laws, and made it more difficult to avoid conflicts in proposing decrees. And many of these conflicts related far less to serious questions of law than to petty details of legislation. The wide range of questions with which the $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta े \pi а р а{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ might be concerned, and the facility thus afforded for finding legal flaws in almost any decree, tempted unprincipled men to use the process to vent their spite against personal enemies, and to stop or retard legislation which they could not otherwise check. We see, indeed, a decided degeneration in the conduct of this process from the earlier to the later cases. A brief comparison of the argument in these cases will illustrate this. In the years 355, 353, and

[^93]352 b.C. Demosthenes, as counsel, composed four elaborate arguments against the constitutionality of two laws and two decrees.
(1) In 356-355 B.c. Leptines carried a law providing that hereafter no exemption (áréлєıa) from any of the ordinary public burdens (é $\gamma \kappa$ úx $\lambda_{\iota}$ $\lambda$ yroupyiai) should be allowed, except to the descendants of Harmodius and Aristogiton. This law was indicted by the $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta ̀$ mapavó $\mu \omega v$ as soon as it was enacted, and its operation was suspended. The chief accuser Bathippus died, and the case went over into the following year (355-354), when Leptines was free from personal responsibility ${ }^{1}$. There were now two prosecutors, Apsephion, son of Bathippus, and Ctesippus, son of the general Chabrias. Demosthenes made his argument against the law as the representative ( $\sigma v v^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} o \rho o s$ ) of Ctesippus. His speech is a סevrepoloyia, Phormio, the advocate of Apsephion, as the elder man (or the advocate of the elder prosecutor) having spoken first: this accounts for the brevity with which Demosthenes speaks on some legal points which Phormio had probably dwelt upon. Demosthenes urges the following legal points ${ }^{3}$ :-
(a) The formalities for enacting a law required by the Solonic law ( $\$ 2$ above) were not observed by Leptines.
(b) The Solonic law requires that all gifts made by the people shall

(c) The decree of Diophantus (passed in 411 ), which was solemnly ratified by the oath of the people and inscribed on a column, provided that all who should fall in defending the democratic government against tyrants should receive, for themselves and their descendants, the same honours which were given to Harmodius and Aristogiton.
(d) Many foreign benefactors of the state will be defrauded of their promised rewards.
(c) While the law allows only one penalty to be imposed by a court for a single offence, Leptines imposes two, and even three ${ }^{4}$.
(2) In 355 b.c., before the case of Leptines was tried, Demosthenes composed his speech against Androtion for a client, Diodorus, to

[^94]deliver. Euctemon and Diodorus indicted as illegal a decree of the people proposed by Androtion, by which the usual complimentary crown was given to the Senate of the previous year. This speech also is a סevrepoloyía. The legal arguments are these :-
(a) The law allows the people to give the crown to the Senate only when the Senate has voted to build a certain number of triremes during the year ; this has not been done by the Senate of the previous year.
(b) The decree of Androtion is $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \beta=v i \lambda c u t o v$, i.e. it has not passed the Senate. To the natural reply, that the law permits the crown to be given directly by the people without an express vote of the Senate, it is rejoined, that the law in question permits the people to confer the crown only on one condition, which has not been complied with; therefore the decree of the people is doubly illegal.
(c) Androtion is declared to be one of the class known to the law as oi aioxpês $\beta \in \beta$ сшкóтes, who are forbidden to speak in the Assembly; therefore his decree is illegal.
(d) The father of Androtion is said to have died in debt to the state, and therefore to have been ärıos. This dंrцía descends to his son, who, as the debt is not yet paid, has no right to speak in the Assembly.
(3) In the first Assembly of $353-35^{2}$ b.C., when the regular ใтгхєцротоvia $\tau \omega ิ \nu \nu$ vó $\mu \nu$ took place, it was voted that a special board of $\nu о \mu о$ ér $\alpha$ should meet the next day to devise means for celebrating the coming Panathenaic festival. Timocrates appeared before this board and proposed a new law, enacting that if any public debtor has been or shall hereafter be condemned to imprisonment as an additional punishment ( $\left.\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau_{i}^{\prime} \eta \mu a\right)$, he shall be released on giving security satisfactory to the people for the payment of his debt. (The object of this was to release Androtion and other friends from arrest.) The vo $\quad$ ốcal approved this law, which was soon indicted by Diodorus, the former opponent of Androtion, who delivered the speech written for him by Demosthenes (xxiv., against Timocrates). The law was charged with illegality, chiefly on the following grounds :-
(a) It was passed in defiance of all the prescribed forms.
(b) It was an ex post facto law, including persons already condemned by the courts.
(c) It violated a law which forbade any one even to propose to relieve a public debtor or other ärıцos from his disabilities unless he had permission granted him by at least 6000 affirmative votes in the Assembly.
(d) The law forbids any one to petition the Senate or the Assembly to take action on any case which a court has decided; but Timocrates proposes to require the Assembly to act in such cases even without a petition.
(c) The law of Timocrates creates a privilegium, as it grants privileges to some but excludes others, which the Solonic law forbids.
(4) In $35^{2}$ b.c. Demosthenes wrote a speech for Euthycles, who indicted a decree of Aristocrates, providing that any one who killed the general of mercenaries and freebooter, Charidemus, should be outlawed
 (18-94) is especially important. The orator quotes the greater part of the Draconic law of homicide, expounding it carefully, and showing how the bill of Aristocrates violates it in almost every particular. We learn from this argument that the Draconic law dealt chiefly with provisions for protecting the homicide from the earlier outlawry, which Aristocrates now proposed to re-establish legally, and for bringing him under the jurisdiction of courts and the protection of the law.

When we come from these legal arguments to the speech of Aeschines against Ctesiphon, we are struck at once, in the greater part of it, by the almost total absence of all that makes the $\gamma \rho a \neq \eta े ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ worthy of its name. Aeschines devotes less than a tenth of his speech to a strictly legal argument, that on the responsibility of Demosthenes as a magistrate; this is the strongest (though also the smallest) point in his argument, and he elaborates it with great skill and cogent reasoning. He also speaks more briefly of another legal point, the question of the place of proclamation; but this concerns a law of which we have little knowledge. The greater part of the speech is taken up with a most absurd attempt to connect his general account of the public life and the character of Demosthenes with his legal argument. He charges the references to Demosthenes in Ctesiphon's decree, in which he is said to seek the best interests of Athens in all that he says and does, with violating the law forbidding the falsification of the public records! This is his most elaborate argument, the one on which he most depends. It is absurd to suppose that the law in question had any reference to a case like this: this would have exposed every personal compliment in a laudatory decree to public prosecution at any one's will. It clearly related to malicious and fraudulent falsification of the public records in the Metroum by adding, erasing, or changing. And yet this is brought forward soberly and earnestly by Aeschines as a legal argument in support of his indictment. Of course Demosthenes, as the defendant's
advocate, was bound to reply to the plaintiff's argument, so that we cannot fairly compare his later with his earlier treatment of the $\gamma \rho a \neq \eta$ mapavó $\mu \Delta v$. But the case against Ctesiphon, as Aeschines presents it, is in striking contrast to the cases against Leptines and others as Demosthenes presents them.
13. Finally, there was a law providing that any one who was thrice condemned in the ypapm жapavópuv should forfeit the right to propose measures in the Senate or Assembly.

## III.

## The Suit against Ctesiphon.

1. Late in the month Thargelion of the year of Chaerondas (June, 337 B.c.) Demosthenes proposed and carried a measure for permanent repairs of the walls of Athens. The hasty work done under the excitement of the defeat at Chaeronea earlier in the year had been only temporary ${ }^{1}$. A commission of ten $\tau$ cixorowi, one to be appointed by each tribe, was now established, to hold office during the following year, that of Phrynichus, 337-336 в.c. Demosthenes was chosen by his own tribe, the Pandionis, to be one of this commission. The fortifications of the Piraeus were assigned him as his special charge, and he is said to have received ten talents from the state to be used in the work. He added to this sum a substantial amount on his own account, usually stated as a hundred minas ( $\frac{2}{\frac{2}{3}}$ talents) $)^{2}$. He also held the important office of superintendent of the Theoric Fund, which Aeschines says at that time included "nearly the whole administration of the state ${ }^{3}$."
[^95]It was gratitude for his great public services in these offices and for his generous gift, together with the increasing confidence in his statesmanship and patriotism, which had recently been expressed in his appointment to deliver the funeral oration on those who fell at Chaeronea ${ }^{1}$, that caused his political friends to propose to crown him in the theatre at the Great Dionysia in the spring of 336 , as a mark of the public approbation of his whole political life?
2. Ctesiphon accordingly proposed a bill in the Senate to crown Demosthenes with a golden crown for his services and generosity as commissioner on the walls and for his life devoted to the interests of Athens in speech and action. The bill passed the Senate at once, and there can be little doubt that it would have passed the Assembly with equal alacrity if it could have been brought to a vote there. Before it could be presented to the people, Aeschines brought a ypaфŋ̀ тарашó $\omega \nu$ against Ctesiphon, charging his bill with illegality. This made it impossible to carry the measure further until the lawsuit was settled ${ }^{3}$. For reasons of which we are not directly informed, but in which both Aeschines and Ctesiphon as well as Demosthenes must have acquiesced the trial was postponed more than six years, until August 330. We can easily conjecture reasons for this long delay. Soon after the suit was brought, Philip was assassinated, and Alexander came to the throne. Uncertainty as to the effect of this sudden change, and unwillingness to discuss publicly the relations between Philip and Athens, probably made both parties not averse to remaining quiet. The destruction of Thebes in the following year and the subsequent harsh action of Alexander, especially his demand for the Athenian orators, while they emboldened the Macedonian party at Athens, yet made Demosthenes safer against an adverse judgment of his fellow citizens than ever before. Aeschines doubtless felt that he had gained a great point in preventing Demosthenes from being publicly crowned before the assembled Greeks, and was willing to wait.
3. A year later Alexander began his invasion of the Persian Empire. The absence from Greece of the man whom one party feared and the other was eager to conciliate might seem favourable to a

[^96]renewal of the contest ; but a case already postponed two years needed some special occasion to revive it. Such an occasion came, as Aeschines probably thought, with the destruction of the Persian Empire after the battle of Arbela (Oct. i, 33 I b.c.)', when Darius was a fugitive and Alexander was at the summit of his glory. He must have felt that no time could be more favourable for a judgment against Demosthenes; while Demosthenes naturally felt that shrinking from the trial would imply want of confidence in the good-will of his fellow citizens, of which he was constantly receiving most flattering tokens. For these or other reasons, this famous case came before the Heliastic court, under the presidency of the six Thesmothetae, in the late summer, probably in August, 330 b.c.' We do not know the number of the judges. A Sckaotinfor commonly consisted of 501 ; but we hear of rooi, 1501, and 2001, and in so important a case one of the larger courts would be likely to be impanelled.
4. The $\boldsymbol{\pi \rho o}$ ßoúdevнa of the Senate concerning the crown had legally expired at the end of the year $337-336^{6}$. This was probably not renewed until after the trial. The offence for which Ctesiphon was indicted was committed when he proposed his bill in 336, and this offence was in no way mitigated by the subsequent expiration of the act of the Senate. A renewal of the same decree would probably have been illegal while it was suspended under indictment ; the proposal of a new decree in a different form would have required a new indictment

[^97]to prevent it from being carried to the Assembly and passed like any other $\pi \rho o \beta=0$ icupa. The long-delayed trial brought to Athens great numbers of visitors from all parts of Greece, who were eager to witness this final contest between the rival orators ${ }^{1}$. The audience of citizens and strangers which surrounded the court probably differed little from that which would have greeted Demosthenes in the Dionysiac theatre if his crown had then been proclaimed. It can hardly be doubted that the crowd of listeners were as deeply moved by the earnest eloquence of Demosthenes as the judges, and that they would gladly have followed the court in giving him more than four-fifths of their votes.
5. The day was divided into three parts, as was usual on the trial of a $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho a v o ́ \mu \omega \nu$, an equal amount of water being poured into the clepsydra for the plaintiff and the defendant, and a third (a smaller amount) in case of the conviction of the defendant, for the assessment of the penalty ( $\tau i \mu \eta \sigma t s)^{2}$. The largest amount of water which is mentioned is that assigned to each plea in the $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ таратрє $\sigma \beta$ cias ( 1 I
 speech of Demosthenes against Aeschines in this suit (xix.) is the longest that we have. That on the Crown is much shorter, but longer than any of the others delivered in a ypaфŋ̀ $\pi$ парavó $\mu \omega v$ : we may presume that the orator here used all of his time. Aeschines, as plaintiff, spoke first; after his argument, the court called on Ctesiphon, as defendant, to reply. He probably repeated a short speech composed for him by Demosthenes, and then asked leave of the court to call on Demosthenes, as his advocate, to finish his defence'. Strictly, each party to the suit was required to plead his own cause; or, if he called in advocates, as Aeschines summoned Eubulus, Phocion, and others to support him in the suit for false legation, to do this at the end of an elaborate argument of his own ${ }^{6}$. But here, as Demosthenes was the real defendant, it would have been absurd to object to his arguing the case in full. That the procedure was unusual is shown by the audacious attempt of Aeschines to induce the court to refuse Demosthenes a hearing ${ }^{6}$; and his argument

[^98]on this point shows that the court had a legal right to refuse to hear any except the parties to the suit. But the great audience had not come to hear Ctesiphon, and we hear of no further attempt to interfere with the argument of Demosthenes. The orator probably delivered his famous speech substantially in the form in which it has come down to us ${ }^{1}$.
6. When the arguments were finished, the judges voted on the question of convicting Ctesiphon; and the result was a triumphant acquittal by more than four-fifths of the votes ${ }^{2}$. This subjected Aeschines to the two penalties of malicious prosecution, a fine of a thousand drachmas, and partial árıía, which deprived him of the right to bring a similar suit hereafter ${ }^{2}$. This result mortified him so deeply that he withdrew from Athens and spent the rest of his life chiefly in

[^99]Rhodes, where he is said to have been a teacher of rhetoric in his later years ${ }^{1}$. After such a decisive vindication of Demosthenes, there can be no doubt that his friends renewed in the Senate the bill for crowning him, and that this was promptly passed in both Senate and Assembly in time for the orator to receive his golden crown with enthusiastic applause at the Great Dionysia of 329.

## IV.

## The trials of Aeschines and Philocrates for misconduct in making the Peace of 346 B.C.

1. The trial of Aeschines in 343 b.c. ${ }^{2}$ for his conduct on the Second Embassy, which negotiated the peace with Philip in 346 , and the speech of Demosthenes as his accuser, have an important bearing on the discussions of the peace in the orations of Aeschines and Demosthenes thirteen years later. The suit against Aeschines was technically called sutvac, i.e. a process arising from the sifuval or scrutiny which Aeschines, like every other officer of state, was required to pass before he could be relieved of his responsibility as an ambassador ${ }^{2}$. Within

 катабтทoduevos edidaokev. While teaching at Rhodes, Aeschines is said to have read his speech against Ctesiphon to a Rhodian audience; and when all were astonished that he was defeated after so eloquent a plea, he replied, oúx à etaupadjere, 'Pbdiox, el

 See Phot. Bibl. No. 61. Roman writers, as Cicero (de Orat. III. 56), relate that the Rhodians, after hearing the speech of Aeschines, asked to hear the reply of Demosthenes : quam cum suavissima et maxima voce legisset, admirantibus omnibus, " Quanto," inquit, "magis miraremini si audissetis ipsum !"
${ }^{2}$ Dionys. ad Amm. 1. io (p. 737), under the archonship of Pythodotus (343-342):


 Schaefer II. 383. It has often been doubted whether the case ever came to trial,

 $\phi$ ureîv. For Plutarch's objection, that neither orator mentions the trial in the speeches on the Crown, see note on Cor. $\mathbf{1 4 2}^{5}$. See also note 6, 8 7, p. 337.
${ }^{3}$ For eঠ日uval, as a form of legal process, see Meier and Schömann, pp. 257-269.

## iv．］TRIALS OF PHILOCRATES AND AESCHINES．

thirty days after the return of the second embassy to Athens（ 13 Scirophorion， 7 July，346），Aeschines must have presented himself for his civvvar ${ }^{1}$ ．Before this，when Demosthenes offered himself for his evorva，Aeschines had objected to the process，on the ground that the second embassy was merely a continuation of the first，for which all the envoys had already passed the scrutiny．Of course this was a mere trick to escape passing his own evturau for the second embassy，which he had good reason to dread．This objection was overruled by the presiding Logistae；and as Demosthenes was admitted to his «üvva，Aeschines also was compelled to appear for his own？

2．Demosthenes and Timarchus，with perhaps others，appeared against Aeschines at his ev̈Uuval with a $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ таратреб $\beta$ cias，an indict－ ment for misconduct on an embassy ${ }^{3}$ ．This was received by the presiding Logistae，who had the presidency also in this suit；and the case would naturally have been brought by them before a Heliastic court．But before this could be done，Aeschines met the accusation by a most effective àvriypaф $\boldsymbol{\eta}$＇，in which he challenged the right of＇Timarchus to appear as an accuser in the courts，on the ground that he had once led a shameless life（aioxpês $\beta$ кciuckivau）．When next he saw Timarchus in the Assembly，he served upon him publicly an imaryedia סoкıда⿱ias，i．e． a summons to appear at a סoкщнaбia $\dot{\rho} \eta r o ́ \rho \omega v$, an investigation of his
 with squandering his paternal estate，both of which disqualified a man from appearing as a speaker in either the Assembly or the courts of law． This case came to trial early in 345 b．c．${ }^{3}$ ，and the evidence against

Any suit which arose from charges made at the e $\delta \theta$ vval was called e $\delta \theta$ vval：see Dem．
 note on Cor． $249^{2}$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Harpocr．under 入oyıotal．
${ }^{2}$ Dem．xIX．211， 212.
 roćrov．For the rpaф力 таратребßelas，which was regularly brought only at the cotuval，see Meier and Schömann，pp．459－461．



 There were two kinds of doxcuarla which might lead to a judicial process，which was itself called ðoxcuaola（cf．the parallel case of e $0 \theta$ vvau in note 3, p．332）：these were
 Timarchus was subjected．
${ }^{5}$ See Schaefer II．336，n． 5.

Timarchus was ample for his conviction. Aeschines then delivered the first of his three orations, and it is doubtful whether any serious defence was made. This had the result desired by him. It suspended the case against himself for a time ; and by disgracefully disqualifying one of his accusers, discredited the case in the eyes of the people, who would finally decide it in the popular court. It is hard to see why such a man as Timarchus was allowed to be associated with Demosthenes in so important a political case, and it soon appeared that this was a most fatal mistake ${ }^{1}$.
3. This mortifying rebuff put off the trial more than two years. It is easy to see why Demosthenes hesitated to renew the prosecution, and Aeschines probably felt that time would be on his side. In the meantime Demosthenes lost no opportunity of discrediting the peace in the Assembly and of declaring that Philip had deceived Athens by bribing certain men who were well known in the city. The etiquette of the Assembly forbade the mention of names; but no names could have designated more clearly both Aeschines and Philocrates ${ }^{2}$. Such constant reminders, confirmed by the later acts of Philip, must have gradually brought the Athenians to a correct understanding of the conduct of Aeschines. The friends of Demosthenes prepared the way for a renewal of his suit against Aeschines, by a state prosecution of Philocrates for treasonable conduct in negotiating the peace which bore his name.
4. Early in 343 b.c. Hyperides brought before the Senate of Five

[^100]Hundred an eifaryelía against Philocrates, charging him with serving Philip for bribes to the detriment of Athens. The Senate accepted the cioaryedia, thus making the suit a public one. It went for trial to a Heliastic court, and the state appointed advocates, among them Demosthenes, to assist Hyperides in managing the case ${ }^{1}$. In his indictment (called ciocarjelía) Hyperides quoted verbatim five or six decrees of Philocrates in support of his charge ${ }^{2}$. There was no lack of decisive evidence. Philocrates had made an open show of his newly acquired wealth after the peace, by building houses, selling wheat, transporting timber, changing foreign gold openly at the bankers' counters in Athens; and (according to Demosthenes) he had even confessed that he received money from Philip ${ }^{3}$. He gave up his defence, and left the court and Athens before the judgment was declared; and in his absence he was condemned to death, the penalty which Hyperides proposed in his civaryedía. He passed the rest of his life in exile'. This result shows how public opinion about the peace had changed in three years, so that Philocrates, whose word was law when the peace was made, was now left to his fate, friendless and helpless. No man of influence, like Eubulus, attempted to save him; and we hear of no

[^101]anxiety lest his condemnation should cause enmity with Philip. Demosthenes, as prosecuting attorney for the state, complained that Philocrates alone was selected for prosecution while others equally guilty were left untouched. He then formally called on "any of the other ambassadors," who would declare before the court that he was not implicated in the acts of Philocrates, to come forward and do so; and he promised to absolve him from accusation. No one responded ${ }^{1}$. This was of course an offer to Aeschines to abandon the suit against him if he would make this declaration. Such challenges were very frequent in the courts of Athens, chiefly because they were never meant to be accepted.
5. This triumphant success inspired Demosthenes with new hopes for his suit against Aeschines. This came to trial after midsummer in 343 B.c. when Demosthenes and Aeschines delivered their speeches $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ т $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{s}$ таратреб $\beta$ cias. The court probably consisted of 1501 judges; and the Logistae presided, as the case still belonged to the evovval of the second embassy, for which Aeschines was still üméfuvos. Demosthenes brings his accusation under five heads, covering the five points on which an ambassador should be called to account at his devva.. These are

 he strives to prove that Aeschines ( 1 ) made a false report, (2) advocated pernicious measures on the ground of his report, (3) disobeyed his instructions, (4) wasted his time, (5) acted corruptly, being bribed by Philip: The argument on these five heads occupies $\mathbf{S}^{17-178 \text {, the }}$ remainder of the oration being chietly given to general arguments tending to show the corruption of Aeschines and his collusion with Philip. One of the strongest general arguments is this. Events have proved that the account given by Aeschines of Philip's intentions, especially his report that Philip would save the Phocians and attack the Thebans, was absolutely false, and Athens has been disgraced by following his bad advice. Now, if he thus reported and thus advised honestly, he must feel that he was grossly betrayed by Philip. No words could express his indignation at such base treatment. On the contrary, he still remains a firm friend of Philip. His report and advice were therefore dishonest and corrupt ${ }^{3}$. Aeschines makes no attempt to answer this argument and many others equally cogent.
6. The reply of Aeschines, though eloquent and effective in certain

[^102]passages, is weak and trifing as an answer to the powerful argument of Demosthenes. Though he denies some of the special statements of his opponent, perhaps successfully, he says nothing which breaks the force of the main argument against himself. His long account of the first embassy has nothing to do with the question before the court ; many of his strongest arguments relate to matters on which we have no other knowledge; while, in cases in which we have other evidence, we sometimes find his most solemn assertions false or misleading ${ }^{1}$. His replies to the gravest charges are sometimes mere trifing. Thus he answers the grave charge of falsely reporting Philip's intentions by saying that he "only made a report and promised nothing?" He replies to the charge of joining Philip in the paeans and other rejoicings over the destruction of the Phocians by saying that, though he was present, he was only one of two hundred, and that Demosthenes (who was not present) has no evidence whether he sang or not! He then says that the paean was sung in honour of Apollo, not to the dishonour of Athens; and seems to imply that, if he only sang with the rest of the company, he did merely an act of piety ${ }^{8}$ !
7. He brought before the court his aged father, his two little children, and his two brothers, to excite pity ${ }^{4}$; and he finally called on Eubulus, Phocion, and other influential men to come forward as his supporters ${ }^{\text {s }}$. Eubulus addressed the court in his behalf, and probably urged prudential reasons for acquitting Aeschines. It might easily be thought by cautious men that the recent sacrifice of Philocrates was as much as it was safe to demand under the circumstances; and this, added to the presence of men like Eubulus and Phocion on the defendant's platform, probably saved Aeschines from conviction. We are told only that he was acquitted by thirty votes ${ }^{\text {e }}$; and this was no triumphindeed, no justification-for a man in his position.

[^103]
## V.

## The Constitution of the Amphictyonic Council.

1. Aeschines (II. I16) gives eleven of the twelve tribes which formed the Amphictyonic Council, as follows: Thessalians, Boeotians ("not merely Thebans"), Dorians, Ionians, Perrhaebians, Magnesians, Locrians, Oetaeans, Phthiotians (i.e. the Achaeans of Phthiotis), Malians, Phocians. He professes to give twelve names: катпр $\theta \not \theta \eta \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \mu \eta v i d v \eta$ $\delta \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \kappa a$ тà $\mu$ eríxovia rov̀ iepov̂. It is generally assumed that the Dolopians are accidentally omitted in the text, and many editions insert these. An important inscription recently discovered at Delphi by the French explorers seems to me to show clearly that the Delphians are the omitted people. See Bourguet, in the Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique, 1896, p. 24I, who gives from this inscription a list of the members of the Council at the time of Alexander the Great. This contains the Thessalians, "King Alexander," Delphians, Dorians, Ionians, Perrhaebians (with Dolopians), Boeotians, Locrians, Achaeans (i.e. of Phthiotis), Magnesians, Aenianians, and Malians, each with two delegates. Comparing this with the list of Aeschines, we find King Alexander holding the two Phocian votes; the Aenianians represent the Oetaeans, of whom they were an important tribe; the Dolopians are included with the Perrhaebians ; and the Delphians, who are constantly mentioned in the Delphic inscriptions relating to the Council, are added. If we add the Delphians to the list of Aeschines, the two lists substantially agree ${ }^{\text {'. }}$
2. Each of the twelve tribes had two votes in the Council, given by delegates called iepouvíuoves, two of whom were sent by each Amphictyonic tribe. But the Dorians, Ionians, and Locrians were geographically divided, so that each of two divisions had a single Hieromnemon with a single vote. Thus the two Dorian votes might be divided between the Spartans (with other Dorians of Peloponnesus) and the ancient Dorian Tetrapolis, near Parnassus; the Ionian votes between

[^104]the Athenians and the other Ionians (in Euboea and Asia Minor); the Locrian votes between the Eastern and Western Locrians. Aeschines explains that each people had the same representation with two equal

 ròv 'Epetpıâ кai Прırvéa roís 'Aөpvaioıs. This means that the whole Dorian Tetrapolis might have one of the two Dorian votes (which could be in the hands of a delegate from any one of the four towns), and this was as good as the Spartan vote. We do not, however, find that the Tetrapolis had one of the votes every year, but sometimes both delegates came from Peloponnesus. So likewise one of the Ionian votes, which might be given by a delegate from Euboea or one from Priene (in different years), was as good as the other, which was always given by Athens. The Hieromnemon of Athens was chosen each year
 this officer was chosen when he represented several disconnected towns is not known.
3. Besides the twenty-four Hieromnemons, certain towns sent another class of delegates, called $\pi v \lambda a ́ \gamma o p o \iota ~(l a t e r ~ \pi v \lambda a \gamma o ́ p a c) ~ o r ~ a j o-~$ parpoi, who appear to have had the right to speak, but not to vote, in certain meetings of the Council. Photius defines $\pi v \lambda a ́ \gamma o p o s ~ a s ~ \delta ~ \pi ~ \pi ~ \mu \pi о '-~$
 They represented the towns which sent them, not the tribe as a whole. Athens sent three, chosen by the people apparently for each Amphictyonic meeting, while the Hieromnemon held his membership for a year. We do not know the number or the manner of appointment or the distribution of those sent by other towns. See Hermann's Staatsalt. § 14. The meeting at which Aeschines made his inflammatory harangue, which stirred up the Amphissian War, appears to have been one of the iepouvq́भoves exclusively, which Aeschines, as a mudáropos, attended only by special invitation of the Hieromnemon and as his representative, but with all his rights. See Hist. § 72.

## VI.

## The Hero Physician and the Hero Kanapítns.

1. In Demosthenes xix. 249 the father of Aeschines is said to have kept a school near the shrine of the Hero Physician ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{̣} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\imath}{ }^{`} H \rho \omega$ тоv̂ iarpồ); and in Cor. 129 his mother is said to have lived a shameful life
 his father is said to have been the slave of a schoolmaster near the
 about all these localities: the position of the real Theseum is not exactly known; that of the shrine of the Hero Physician is unknown, except that it was $\dot{\varepsilon} v \ddot{v} a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$; and the hero Ka入a elsewhere. Many scholars identify the two school-houses; others identify the two shrines, making кa入apírŋs equivalent to iarpós.
2. Reiske ${ }^{1}$ recognized in the Hero Physician the Scythian Toxaris,
 Toxaris, according to Lucian, came to Athens in the time of Solon, by whom he was kindly received. He was a physician and a man of general cultivation, though not of high rank at home. When his countryman, Anacharsis, came to Athens, he was recognized and welcomed by Toxaris, who introduced him to Solon. Toxaris died and was buried in Athens. When the plague was raging in the Peloponnesian War, the wife of an Areopagite reported that Toxaris came forth from his tomb and told her that the plague would cease if the narrow streets of the city were freely sprinkled with wine. This was done, and the plague disappeared. The lady pointed out the tomb from which the Scythian came forth. This was examined, and the remains of Toxaris were found within, which were identified by a mutilated inscription, and also by the figure of a Scythian sculptured on the gravestone, having in his left hand a strung bow and in his right what appeared to be a book ( $\beta_{2} \beta \lambda i o v$, wis $\dot{\text { doúncei }}$ ). Lucian says that more than half of the figure was to be seen in his time, with the bow and the book entire. The upper part of the stone with the face was gone. The monument, he says, was not far from the gate Dipylum, on the left of the road leading to the Academy: the stone was lying flat on the ground. On account of his wonderful skill in stopping the horrors of the plague, Toxaris was made a hero and worshipped as the "Hero Physician." He had a shrine within the city walls; and his tomb was always decked with wreaths, and miraculous cures were wrought there ${ }^{2}$.
3. It happens that in the excavations outside the Dipylum gate

[^105]at Athens a figure was found which in many respects agrees wonderfully with Lucian's description. It represents a headless crouching Scythian, in his native dress, who had once held a bow in his left hand (the opening through which the bow passed still remaining), while under the left arm and held by the right hand is what, when viewed in front, appears to be a writing tablet, but from the side is seen to be a pointed quiver. The



From the Revue Archéologique for 1864 .
chief point in which this figure fails to agree with Lucian's description is that Lucian calls the monument a $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \eta$, while this is a statue, entirely free on all sides. This might be explained by the figure lying flat on the ground, as Lucian describes it ; and it must have been flat on its back, or the pointed quiver could never have been mistaken for a book. If it was so covered by earth that only the front and the two hands, with the bow and the apparent book, were visible, it would have been a natural mistake to call it a $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta$. Indeed, any further exposure of the figure would at once have made the quiver visible.
4. I therefore think there is sufficient evidence to identify this figure with the one seen by Lucian or his informant. Beyond a suggestion of Salinas, in the Revue Archéol. for 1864, that the figure is a late
substitute for Lucian＇s $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ，I have not seen any notice of their
 to be nearly decisive．The little figure stood for many years outside of the Dipylum，near the spot where it was found；and it may be seen there in Curtius and Kaupert＇s Atlas von Athen，Map IV．，No．7， called＂Torso eines kauernden Skythen，der nach seinem Köcher fasst．＂ It now stands in the great Museum of Athens；but the catalogues have no suggestion of its connection with Toxaris．

5．We have no means of judging whether Toxaris is an historic character，or whether Lucian＇s account of his life is as fabulous as his story of the plague．It is equally hard to decide whether Demosthenes referred to the same places in his different stories of the parents of Aeschines；and this is of slight consequence，as probably the only historic fact in them all is that Atrometus kept a school in Athens near the Theseum．Apollonius，in his life of Aeschines（§2），says of his


 borrowed from the tales of Demosthenes；but Apollonius seems to identify the two school－houses，and to make the shrine of Kalauitys a distinct place．Photius seems to identify the two heroes（under $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ ），
 carelessly refers to the wrong oration．In the note on Cor．§ $129{ }^{5}$ I have given my own suggestion as to the meaning of ka入apitrs（arroze－ man，equivalent to rоझóт刀s，bowman），on the supposition that the two names refer to one hero．But there is little evidence of this identity， though Westermann thinks it is＂ohne Zweifel．＂It would have been a strange coincidence（to say the least）if Glaucothea had led a disreput－ able life near the school－house of Atrometus before her marriage；and still stranger or rather impossible for her to do this after her marriage． G．H．Schaefer（on Cor．p．270，10）explains ка入aرírns as the man of the splints（or surgeon）．Westermann explains it as $\dot{\delta}$ iv ка入árots，sup－ posing that the hero＇s statue or shrine stood in a moist place surrounded with reeds；others suppose a malicious reference to a statue of＇Aфpooín iv калá $\mu$ ors，erected at Samos in 440 b．c．by Athenian courtezans who had followed Pericles thither．See the quotation from Alexis the Samian


 Thuc．II．15，tò ìv Mípvals Dıovv́gov．See Dissen＇s note on Cor． 129.

## VII.

## The Manuscripts of the Oration on the Crown.

The critical notes of this edition are, with a very few exceptions, based on ten manuscripts, which represent different classes and show different conditions of the text. Perhaps the chief use of giving the readings of some even of these selected mss. is to show how little is to be gained from the inferior mss. now that $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is supported by its comrade $\mathbf{L}$. Vömel bases his critical edition of the oration on fifty mSS., from most of which he derives little or nothing of real value. I have made no new collation of any manuscripts, except that I have constantly used the facsimile of $\Sigma$, from which some useful gleanings were still to be made. I have also some notes of my own, taken from the manuscript itself in Paris before Vömel's collation was made. For the readings of the other mss. I am indebted chiefly to Vömel's notes, supplemented by those of Lipsius and Blass.

1. $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ or S , of the tenth century, written on parchment, the chief of all the mss. of Demosthenes, is No. 2934 of the Greek mss. of the National Library of Paris. On its last leaf is written, in a hand of
 belonged to a society of monks named after Sosander, who is not otherwise known. Dindorf states decidedly that it belonged to a monastery on Mount Athos; but he gives no authority for this, and no trace of a Sosandrian monastery has yet been found on the Holy Mountain or elsewhere. The manuscript first appears in Europe in the possession of Janos Lascaris, a learned Greek, who left Constantinople after the Turkish capture and was in high favour with Lorenzo de' Medici at Florence. Lascaris was twice sent by Lorenzo to Greece and the neighbouring lands in search of manuscripts for the Medicean library. On his second journey, begun in 1490 or 1491, he visited Constantinople, Thessalonica, Corfu, Arta, Crete, several monasteries on Mount Athos, and many other places. How rich a store he brought back to Florence may be seen from the curious manuscript (or rather collection of manuscripts) now in the Vatican library, which was published by K. K. Müller in the Centralblatt für Bibliothekswesen for 1884. This contains a catalogue of the manuscripts in the library of Lorenzo; and a wonderful list of 300 or 400 books which were "bought" for Lorenzo

 many are here included which Lascaris saw on his Greek journey but did not or could not buy. We doubtless have the truth in the preface of Lascaris to the editio princeps of the Anthology (Florence 1494), where he says of Lorenzo, "ducenta nuperrime antiquorum volumina e Graecia et finitimis regionibus collecta in hanc praeclarissimam civitatem magna diligentia et sumptibus transferenda curaverat." In the same

 The same volume probably appears in a list of the books of Lascaris (lista de' libri che furon del $\mathrm{Sr}^{r}$ Lascheri), made by another learned Greek, Devaris, after the death of Lascaris at Rome in 1535 , and now in the Vatican library. Here we find $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \theta$ év $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}, \pi$ a $\lambda$ acós, No. 34 (corrected to 35). Devaris was then employed by Cardinal Ridolf, nephew of Leo X., in collecting and arranging his library, and Ridolf is said to have acquired the books of Lascaris after the latter's death. In Ridolf's catalogue we find " 35 . $\Delta \eta \mu \cdot \sigma \theta$ ívous $\lambda$ óyor $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, ," evidently the same book which was in the list of Lascaris.

The Greek table of contents still prefixed to $\Sigma$ is said to be in the writing of Lascaris. Over the Latin table of contents on the next leaf of $\Sigma \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is written, " Hic videtur esse codex indicatus in catalogo codicum Graecorum Nicolai Rodulphi Cardinalis, classis oratoriae Nro. 35, $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta$ ívous $\lambda$ óroc $\xi \beta^{\prime}$, quamquam hic continet lviii. orationes, epistolas, et prooemia." The Cardinal's manuscripts after his death came into the possession of Queen Catherine de' Medici. The title "Demosthenis Orationes" etc. appears in a catalogue of the Queen's library, in the inventory of her goods after her death in 1589, and again in 1597 in the list of her books which had passed into the Royal library. The Codex $\Sigma$ still has a splendid binding of red leather, bearing the united arms of France and Navarre and monograms of Henry IV. with the date 1602 . From this time it appears in the various inventories and catalogues of the Royal library, until it was entered in the catalogue of 1740 with its present number $2934^{1}$. We are therefore safe in assuming that $\Sigma$ is one of the manuscripts which Lascaris, as the envoy of the Medici, brought to Florence from Greek lands at about the time of

[^106]Lorenzo's death in r492 $^{1}$; and it may have come from Mount Athos, as Dindorf asserted.

The manuscript is written with great care, in large square upright minuscules, which mark the transition from the uncial to the cursive text'. Occasionally a page or a passage is written in a similar but smaller hand: compare fol. $\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {a }}$ ( $\kappa a^{\prime}$ ) with the preceding and following pages. It is unquestionably by far the best manuscript of Demosthenes, and with its recently discovered companion L (or Laur. S) it forms a distinct class, which preserves a purer and older text than any others. It is generally believed, and with good reason, to represent to a great extent the celebrated manuscripts known as "Atticiana," copied and revised under the direction of Atticus, whom Usener ${ }^{3}$ identifies with T. Pomponius Atticus, the friend of Cicero, though he is generally thought to have been a professional maker and vender of books of a later date. It must be confessed, the positive testimony connecting $\Sigma$ with the text of Atticus is not wholly consistent. Harpocration quotes four readings of
 in 1.7 and iII. 7 , found only in $\Sigma^{1}$; (2) under $\dot{\alpha} v e \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma a$, two readings in xxiI. 20, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} v$ and $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta}$ (where we have only aưvív or aúvin), with (3) another variant, $\lambda a \beta$ ov̂नa dкeivov before avjrĝ (which is not in any ms.) ; and (4), under vavkpapıḱ (which he gives as the common reading), Navkpartrıкá in xxiv. II, which is the only reading in our mss.
 סoots) as having icpá for iepàv é $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \mathrm{a}$, while the scholia on xxi. 133
 better reading ajprupâs tins ìk Eủßoías. We find iepá (corrected to iepàv $\mathbf{d} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} r a)$ in $\Sigma^{1}$ alone ; and dippupas only in $\Sigma$ and $k(\gamma \rho)$. It is hardly to
 essentially the same purer text, which was believed by scholars to have the higher ancient authority, while the $\delta \eta \mu \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ (vulgata) represented the more common text, which was less carefully guarded against corruptions and interpolations. The latter is supposed to be represented by such mss. as A I, F, and B; while the position of higher and more ancient authority is conceded to $\Sigma$ by the almost unanimous judgment of scholars. The passages are few in which $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, supported by $\mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$, is not decisive against all other mss. : of such there are perhaps twenty in

[^107]this edition of De Corona. Of course there are errors in $\Sigma$, as there are in most printed books; and occasionally a careless mistake in copying

 corrected).

The publication of a photographic facsimile ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ of $\Sigma$ has brought this precious document within the reach of scholars in all parts of the world. This, with the facsimile reproductions of the Medicean Aeschylus, the Laurentian Sophocles, and the Bodleian Plato, is a special boon to American scholars. I have been constantly indebted to the facsimile of $\Sigma$ in the library of Harvard University : it has supplied what no apparatus criticus could have given.

This manuscript was first carefully collated by Bekker for his Oratores Attici, 1823 ; but it needed the study of the results of this collation to convince even the editor of the great importance of his work. This appears in Bekker's stereotype edition of Demosthenes in 1855 (Berlin), which is based chiefly on the text of $\Sigma$. Vömel devoted three months to the study of the ms.; and the result of his labours and those of other scholars was a most accurate collation, which has appeared in his three volumes, Demosthenis Contiones (1857)2, De Corona et De Falsa Legatione (1862) ${ }^{\mathbf{s}}$, and Oratio adversus Leptinem ( 1866 ).

Besides the original text, the manuscript contains various corrections and additions within the columns, some made by the original hand or by one of the same period, others by later correctors. Some changes are merely corrections of slips of the pen, not "various readings." Other alterations and additions are made in the margin by the same

[^108]variety of hands. One of the latest of these correctors (probably of the fifteenth century) used ink which has turned green, and his suggestions are generally of little value. Besides these there are many various readings marked $\gamma \rho$ (for $\gamma$ pá́фetal or $\gamma \rho a \pi r i o v$ ), which were evidently copied from other manuscripts by revisers of different periods. I have designated these last, in $\Sigma$ as well as in other mss., by $\gamma \rho$ in the critical notes, and other marginal readings by mg . Other corrections or additions are generally cited as $\Sigma 8$. I have not given the reputed age of the corrections, unless they are of real importance. It is generally believed that all the accents and breathings in $\Sigma$ are later additions. I have often noted these, especially when there is any doubt concerning them, but with the understanding that they are no authority for the original text. The absence of a breathing in $\Sigma$ is often of some negative value.
2. L (Vömel's Laur. S), the new companion of $\Sigma$, is in the Laurentian Library at Florence (Lvi. 9, No. 136), and was first examined by F. Schultz, who published a careful account of it in the Jahresbericht of the Friedrichs-Gymnasium of Berlin in $1860^{1}$. The manuscript is written by various hands. It contains orations vi., vir., viil., ix., X., xi., xxil., xxiv., all written in the 13 th century (with some parts of ix. and $\mathbf{x}$. wanting), followed by $\mathbf{x x}$., xvili., xix., in another hand of the same century, and further by xxili. in another of the same age, and by xir. in a later hand. Orations i., i., and m., and the missing parts of Ix . and x ., are added by a much later hand. The older parts, as originally written, generally have the same purer form of the text which is in $\Sigma$; but, though the two mss. have a common archetype, $L$ was not copied from $\Sigma$ or descended from it. Another hand $\left(L^{2}\right)$, apparently of the same period, wrote various readings, chiefly of the vulgar text, in the margin or above the lines, "ut uno conspectu et textum illum breviorem atque correctiorem et vulgatum intueri liceat, cum secunda manus primam non deleverit ${ }^{2}$." The second hand of L generally agrees with the class represented by F and B . One interesting bond of union between the first hands of $\Sigma$ and $L$ is that both omit the same disputed passages in the Third Philippic (e.g. §§ 6, 7).

L was carefully collated by Rehdanz for Vömel's edition of xvini. and xix. ( 1862 ), and I have used Vömel's citations in this edition.
3. A i, Augustanus primus, formerly at Augsburg (whence its name), now No. 485 in the Royal Library at Munich, on parchment, of

[^109]the rith century, is generally reckoned as next in rank to the two leading MSS., $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and L . It is the chief basis of the text current before Bekker's study of $\Sigma$, the text as established by Reiske. It represents a text far below that of $\Sigma$ and L in purity, and much corrected by grammarians'.
4. A 2, Augustanus secundus, formerly at Augsburg, now No. 441 in the Munich Library, is a paper manuscript of the 15 th century. It has little distinctive character of its own; in the earlier part of the oration on the Crown it agrees with $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, and it very often agrees with A $\mathbf{I}$. Reiske says of it : "Est notae neque optimae neque pessimae, me certe non poenituit eum contulisse."
5. V 6 (Vömel's V i) is one of the three parts (Vind. i, Vind. 2, Vind. 6) which are bound together and make No. 70 of the Greek mss. in the library at Vienna. All three are on paper, and of the 15 th century. Each part is written by a different hand. V 6, which contains the oration on the Crown, is chiefly remarkable for its constant agreement with $A_{I}$ in the earlier part of this oration, though in the latter part it often has peculiar readings of its own'.

6, 7. F (or M) and $\Phi$ (or Q) are parchment mss. of the inth century, Nos. 416 and 418 in St Mark's library in Venice. They form, with B , a class of mss. which originally represented the vulgate text but were emended by the use of mss. of the better class. See under B (8).
8. B (or Bav.), Bavaricus, is a paper ms. of the 13 th century, No. 85 in the Munich library. It has often been thought to be a direct copy of $F$, and its readings are often omitted by editors as being identical with those of $F$. It is now known to be from the same source as F , though not a copy or a descendant, the two mss. being related as $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and L . This manuscript has been brought into notice recently by Christ's stichometric studies, of which it is the chief foundation ${ }^{3}$. $B$ and $F$ are also remarkable for a memorandum which is found in each at the end of Oration xI., which appears plainly in F ,

 notes show that the archetype of F and B was revised and corrected by the help of two ass. called 'Atтгкavá, which professed to represent the

[^110]purer and older text. These little notes are the most important result of this revision: as Usener says, "die Berichtigung ist nicht ernst zu nehmen." The use of two 'Atrıкıavá indicates what we know from the two readings ascribed to 'Atтucavá in Harpocration, under àvelovora (see p. 345, l. 18), that these mss. had a variety of various readings, and did not represent an absolutely fixed form of the text.

9, 10. Y and 0 , according to Vömel, are the leaders (duces) of a "familia media et mixta," and cannot be classified with any of the mss. already mentioned. Usener makes Y the best representative of a class which has the purer vulgar text, not yet revised and emended by grammarians into the ordinary $\delta \eta \mu \omega^{\delta} \delta^{\prime} s^{1}$. It therefore stands nearer than mss. like $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}$ to the text of $\mathrm{\Sigma}$ and L .
$\mathbf{Y}$ is a parchment ms. of about the eleventh century (Dobree), No. 2935 in the National Library of Paris.

O, a paper ms. of the fourteenth century, was formerly in Antwerp, afterwards in Paris (where Bekker collated it), and was later discovered by Vömel in Brussels. It has much in common with Y; but in the oration on the Crown it is noted chiefly for strange or careless readings,
 те入evtпко́тos (§ $314^{3}$ ).

The readings of these ten mss. (except those of V 6 mentioned in note 2, p. 348) are given whenever they can be ascertained. Besides these, the readings of six other MSS. are cited, each in a single case in which it has some special interest.

At the last moment the Oxyrhynchus papyri give us three fragments of the oration on the Crown: (1) $\S \xi^{2}-47^{3}$, of the 2nd cent. A.D.; (2) $\$ 227^{\circ}-229^{7}$, of the ist or 2nd cent.; (3) $\S 244^{1-4}$, probably of the 3rd cent. The last has no variations from $\Sigma$ worth noting; the variations of ( 1 ) and ( 2 ) are given in the critical notes. It is worth noting that the papyrus agrees with $\Sigma$ alone in omitting ärpevoc каì in § $43^{\circ}$, on which authority I have bracketed these words; it agrees with $\Sigma$ and $L^{1}$ alone in omitting кai $\delta \omega \rho o \delta o к \eta \mu a r a$ in $§ 43^{3}$, and with $\Sigma$ and L alone in $\dot{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}$ (vulg. $\dot{\sim} \mu \hat{s} s$ ) in $\S 228^{9}$, and with $\Sigma$ and $\mathrm{A} I$ in omitting $\mu$ óvol after èmoceite in $\S 43^{6}$. It has also several unique read-

[^111]ings : ixeiv for the troublesome $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi} \Phi_{\iota \lambda i \pi \pi} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ ( $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}$, vulg.) after iavtov̀s in


 These last are all worth considering.

## VIII.

## Stichometry in the Manuscripts of Demosthenes.

1. It has long been known that several manuscripts of Demosthenes have numerical statements in Greek appended to many of the speeches, which have naturally been supposed to give the number of the lines according to some accepted standard. For example, at the end of the oration on the Crown in $\Sigma$ we have this statement,

| $\Delta H$ | YПЕР | MEPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MO | KTH乏I | TOY |
| $\Sigma \theta E$ | $\phi \Omega N$ | 乏TE |
| NOY | TOE | ФAN |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | XX패H® $\triangle$ ПII | ) |
|  |  |  |

A similar one follows almost all the speeches in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, the greater part in Bav. and $F$, and some in A r . The same notices have been found in manuscripts of other authors; and we have the well-known statement of Diogenes Laertius (v. $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 7}$ ) that the writings of Aristotle, of which he gives a catalogue, contain 445,270 otixo. None of these numbers agree with the number of lines in the manuscripts in which they stand; for example, the oration on the Crown fills 4963 lines of $\Sigma$.
2. The true explanation of these numbers was first given by W. Christ ${ }^{1}$, who discovered in Codex Bav. in Munich, in the left margin of various columns, a series of letters running from $A$ to $\Omega$, and some-

[^112]times beginning the alphabet again. Similar letters had been found in the margin of the Cratylus and the Symposium of Plato in the Bodleian ms. and in Ven. II by Schanz, who had come to the conclusion that they marked intervals of roo lines according to some standard of measurement, though no total number of lines was given at the end of the dialogue ${ }^{1}$. Christ found that these letters of Cod. Bav. of Demosthenes, on the assumption that they marked intervals of 100 lines, explained the total numbers at the end of the various orations. Thus the letters in the margin of the oration on the Crown, which (with several omissions) run through the alphabet ( $\mathbf{A}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ ) with the addition of A, B, F , mark $\mathbf{2 7 0 0}$ lines, ending at the line beginning $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \bar{a} \sigma \iota v \dot{\circ} \sigma o t$ in § $316^{6}$. This agrees in general with the total of $\mathbf{2 7 6 8}$ given in $\Sigma$ (slightly corrupted in Bav. and F by a mistake of $\Delta \mathrm{HIII}$ at the end for $\Delta I I I I)$. Further investigation soon showed that there were similar numbers at similar intervals in the margin of several orations in $\Sigma$, among them the oration on the Crown. But while in Bav. we have for this oration all the letters of the Ionic alphabet from $\mathbf{A}$ to $\Omega$, except $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{I}$, with $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ added, in $\Sigma$ we find only $\Gamma, \Delta, E, \oplus, I, \Lambda, M, P, B, \Gamma$. It is evident that the letters of the alphabet designate the numbers $\mathrm{x}-\mathbf{2 4}$, as in numbering the books of Homer; and it is made perfectly certain by Christ that they mark 100 lines of text according to some generally accepted standard, which can hardly have been any other than a standard text of the Alexandrian Library. But his careful investigations show conclusively that the standard copies of different orations of Demosthenes to which the numbers refer had lines of different length ${ }^{\text {' }}$, as will be seen below in comparing the standard lines of the Third Philippic with those of the orations on the Crown and against Aristocrates.
3. These investigations have supplied a new and most unexpected argument against the authenticity of the public documents which are found in our texts of the oration on the Crown and of some other orations of Demosthenes. It is now universally admitted, on internal evidence, that the documents in the speech on the Crown are most transparent forgeries. As early as 1843, Ritschl announced, on Sauppe's authority, that the numbers subscribed to the orations in $\Sigma$ (i.e. the totals) show that the documents were wanting in the manuscript which was the authority for these numbers ${ }^{3}$. This general conclusion has been most

[^113]completely confirmed by the calculations of Christ, Blass, and others, who have made a comparison based on the proportion of the lines in the Teubner text of Demosthenes to the $\sigma$ rixo of the "standard" manuscripts, both with and without the documents. This proportion in the oration on the Crown is 103 to 100 with the documents included, and $89 \cdot 1$ to 100 without the documents ${ }^{1}$. The comparison with Teubner pages cannot be perfectly exact, as the documents are printed in smaller type than the text of the orations. I have now made a comparison between the actual lines of the Codex $\Sigma$ and the standard divisions (as marked by the letters in the ms.), both with and without the documents, these being written in $\Sigma$ in the same hand as the text itself. I give only the intervals actually marked in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$; for example, A- $\mathbf{F}$ contains 300 standard lines, $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B} 900$. The words added to the numbers of the ordinary sections in column 2 are those with which (or within which) the lines of $\Sigma$ marked by the letters begin.

| Standard <br> Divisions | Modern Sections | Lines of $\Sigma$ | Lines of Doc. | Lines of text of $\Sigma$ | Av. lines of text of $\Sigma$ in Div. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. $\mathbf{A}-\Gamma$ |  | 494 | 28 | 466 | 155 |
| 1. $\Gamma$ - $\Delta$ | $32^{6}-45^{6}, \delta q \mid \sigma \tau \omega \bar{v} \eta$ | 210 | 52 | 158 | $15^{8}$ |
| 1. $\Delta-\mathbf{E}$ | 45 ${ }^{6}$ - $59^{7}$, тท̂s то入ıтelas | 190 | 39 | 151 | 151 |
| 3. $\mathbf{E}-\boldsymbol{\theta}$ | 597-996, Eठ $\beta$ oc\|ay | 646 | 180 | 466 | 155 |
| 1. O-I ${ }^{2}$ | 99-110 ${ }^{\text {d }}$, rd $\mu$ chiora | 181 | 32 | 149 | 149 |
| 2. $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{\Lambda}$ |  | $3^{87}$ | 72 | 315 | 158 |
| 1. $\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{M}$ |  | 174 | 24 | 150 | 150 |
| 5. M-P | $143^{\text {b }}-208^{8}$, d $\xi เ \omega \cdot \sigma \alpha \sigma a$ | 1027 | 272 | 755 | 151 |
| 9. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}$ |  | 1374 |  | 1374 | 153 |
| 1. B-F | $304^{5}-316^{5}$, \#\% тâoty | 166 |  | 166 | 166 |
| 7\% 7 \% $\Gamma$-end |  | 114 |  | 114 |  |
| ¢ $\begin{gathered}2774 \\ \text { standard lines }\end{gathered}$ |  | 4963 | 699 | 4264 | 153.7 |

[^114]4. It thus appears that the standard 100 lines correspond to a great variety of lines in $\Sigma\left(2 I_{5}-165\right)$ with the documents included, while they correspond to numbers varying little from the average of 153.7 if we exclude the documents. The large number 166 in the last division ( $B-\Gamma$ ) is strange, and it depends solely on $\Sigma$, this $\Gamma$ being omitted ${ }^{1}$ in Bav. ; but a reduction of it would increase the number of 74 standard lines which now represent the balance of $I_{4}$ lines of $\Sigma$, and this would increase the total of standard lines. Possibly there may be an unsuspected interpolation in $\$ 304-316$ of the oration. The total of 2774 standard lines $\left(\frac{4264}{153.7} \times 100\right)$, which we obtain by combining the partial items and allowing the average proportion for the balance of 114 lines of $\Sigma$ which follow $\S 316^{6}$, exceeds the subscribed total of 2768 by only six lines; and this is easily accounted for by supposing that the titles of the documents (NOMOE etc.) sometimes occupied a separate line in the standard text and sometimes were added to the previous line or above a full column, all of which varieties are found in $\Sigma$.
5. A similar study of the oration against Aristocrates leads to quite different results. As the laws cited in this speech, chiefly Draconic, are repeated in great part in the text in the orator's comments, their genuineness, so far as substance goes, is well assured. It might, however, be doubted whether the documents which we now find were a constituent part of the speech as it was originally published, or were made up from the orator's remarks or taken from some authentic copies at a later date. The total number of lines in this speech is not given either in Bav. or in $\Sigma \mathbf{\Sigma}$; but Bav. has 16 marginal letters, $\mathbf{B}-\Delta$, (1)—छ, П— $\Phi$, which carry us to $\S 208^{b}$, within $3 \frac{1}{2}$ pages of the end. $\Sigma$ has $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{\Gamma}, \Delta$, including $\oint \S \mathrm{I}-45^{1}$. The whole passage $\$ \S \mathrm{I}-208^{5}$ includes 2100 lines ( $\mathbf{A}-\Phi$ ) of the standard text, and 3242 lines of $\Sigma$. This would give an average of $154 \frac{8}{2 I}$ lines of $\Sigma$ to 100 standard lines. As the documents fill about 55 lines of $\Sigma \mathbb{\Sigma}$, the omission of these would reduce the average to $151 \frac{1}{2} \frac{6}{1}$ lines. The following table will show that this is not the correct method, and also that it is equally impossible to suppose all the documents to have been included in the standard text. I have given the standard pages marked $A, B, \Gamma$, and $\Delta$ as they stand in $\Sigma$; for the later divisions I follow Christ's account ${ }^{3}$ of Bav.

[^115]
6. It thus appears that the two passages (A and I-Ф), which have no documents, agree essentially in the number of lines of $\Sigma$ which make the standard 100 lines, and this agrees also with $B$ and $\Gamma$ if the documents are left out. This also agrees essentially with the average number of lines ( 153.7 ) of $\Sigma$ in the oration on the Crown which correspond to the standard 100 lines. But $B$ and $\Gamma$ with the documents exceed these numbers. On the other hand, $\Delta$ is reduced from 159 to 147 by omitting the two laws in $\oint \oint 37$ and 44 ; and $\Delta-1$, which with the 28 lines of documents in $\Sigma$ give an average of $152 \frac{3}{8}$, by the omission of these are reduced also to 147 . Can it be that one of the laws in $\Delta$ and all of those in $\Delta-I$ were in the standard text ?

The law in § 37 is believed by Köhler on strong grounds to have formed part of the decree of 412 B.c. in C. I. Att. I. No. 61, which contains another law of Draco. It is true, only twelve letters are legible in the three lines occupied by the law in question; but these letters stand on the stone in precisely the places to which they would belong if the law were inscribed there. Thus we have OPI. $\Sigma$ where iфopías would stand, and ETA. at the end of a line for íф́тas. If we add the seven lines occupied by this law in $\Sigma$, we raise 147 to 154 in division $\Delta$, which agrees with the two divisions which have no documents. If we may further assume that all the laws in division $\Delta$-I (which are known to be essentially genuine) were included in the standard text, we raise 147 here to $152 \frac{8}{8}$. We should thus have for the six divisions, $154,153,152,154,152 \frac{3}{8}, 153 \frac{5}{6}$, in substantial agreement, considering the slight uncertainty as to the beginning of the divisions.
7. The stichometry of the Third Philippic, to which we naturally turn with interest, is strange and inconsistent. The total number of standard lines is 580 , and $\Sigma$ has 842 , giving an average of $145 \frac{10}{8}$ to the standard 100. Five divisions are marked, but only in Bav. (so far as is known); and these are as follows :


If $A$ and $B$ alone were noted, we should have an irresistible argument against the genuineness of the doubtful passages, which are
omitted in $\Sigma^{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ alone. About twenty-five $\Sigma$-lines of these are in A, and only four or five in B; and yet both divisions were of the same length in the standard text, and both now have 141 in $\Sigma$. Codex Bav., which includes these passages, must have about twenty more lines in $\mathbf{A}$ than in B. About 12 lines of $\Gamma$ and about 25 of $\Delta$ are omitted in $\Sigma$, which nevertheless has 147 and 145 lines in these divisions. In E there are 150 lines in $\Sigma$, with only 4 or 5 omitted. It is obvious that the standard lines were shorter in the Third Philippic than in the Crown ${ }^{1}$; but it is also obvious that stichometry does little to settle the question of interpolations, unless we assume either that there are interpolated passages, amounting to about 19 lines, in divisions $\Gamma, \Delta$, and $E$, which are not omitted in $\mathbb{\Sigma}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{L}^{1}$. On any other supposition, especially on that of retaining all the suspected passages as they stand in the vulgate, the stichometry of the speech on the basis of Codex Bav. is impossible ${ }^{\text {* }}$.
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## GREEK INDEX．

The references are made to pages，and relate especially to the notes．

| àyaxyrov elvat 157 |  of indic． 30 |
| :---: | :---: |
| dүббаuto 144 | வขатveర̂бau 139 |
| drvola（ äv dv $^{\text {d }} 47$ | dขaфор 157 |
| dyvarooúrท 66，147， 177 | dvedov 15 |
| dүрафа гбкциа 193 | avev，besides， 63 |
| dyáv，lawsuit，11，14，18．ḑâva elo． e入 $\theta$ ễ 74 | dขtave入eî̀ 163 dyrl，rather than， 79 |
| dyupla 32 | dvtidoots 235， 236 |
| dушvi¢омак 9，19，72，128，144， 185 |  |
| döelav $\lambda$ 人a̧eiv 200 |  |
|  |  |
| 192 |  |
| dठıxفิ as perfect 213 | d $\xi 600 \sigma \alpha$ as impf． 142 |
| de¢os 90， 190 | d¢twra III |
| altia 12 | árwornku＇s 19 |
|  |  |
| dxoviti 142 |  |
| dxoLovour，audiunt， 39 |  |
| dxpwinpadjw 208 | ג̇то入ひ́auctau 42 |
| d入d $\sigma$ T $\omega \rho 208$ |  |
| distipuos 117 | dтоцditu\％ 182 |
| d入入丸 ข ขûv 135 | dxtoota and Mavla 174 |
| 4رetvov прdтreev 178 | dтотефеuybra 158 |
|  छаита 116 | dтбן $\quad$ ๆra 89,90 <br>  |
|  | dтобто入еîs 78 |
|  |  |
| dнфбтера таט̂та 103，104， 125 | $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{0}$ ¢a／ts（of Areopagus）98，99， 100 |
|  |  |
|  | ápovpaîos OLıбmaos 169 |
| dva入aßeîv 120 | äpppra 89，90 |
|  | dipX $\times$ кal кaтdotaots 133 |

apxurekruv（of theatre） 29
ds $\mu$ èv．．．as $8 \ell 54$
dंबфа入ஸ̂s סou入evietr 144
аітсмі́баитеs 59
attys üすs 183
а่тvхضөtvтa 150， 151

aútomatos $\theta$ divatos 145
айтотраүкхдs $\pi$ t0ทкоs 169
aitrov，on the spot， 78
aútoxecp 201
dфaupeĩöau（conative） 17,146


## B

ßadisw 10，46， 186
Baxtnpla 149
Bapeîs 22
Báavos 98
Bárta入os 131

ВАлтьта тра́ттelv 177
Bedtic ral èx $\beta$ eגtobywy $1_{4}$
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu l a$ and $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{\omega}$ 14，67， 89
$\beta$ oí $\lambda \in \iota \quad \theta \hat{\omega}$ ；130， 131
Bounopive ravi dotiv 15
r
revernuivon elvac 200

réppa（rd） 123
r（rveotau，genuine in § $130^{8}, 96$
roroнегоу（ $\boldsymbol{\text { д ），quota，}} 75$
урдмиата 94
ураниатеіоу $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta \xi < \alpha \rho \chi < к 6 » ~ 9 6 , ~} 97$
урацдатєย̇ยเข 184
урадцатоки́фау 148
 rpaф币 in narrower sense 174
rрdфш，propose，enact，12，45，212．rpa－ фонаı（mid．），indict，18，60，86， 177.

 86，158．үрdфеเv тардроца and $\gamma \rho \alpha$－ фебӨас тараубныу＇18， 86
$\Delta$
סєîүца 205
סeגea\}omerver 39

סeutépou кпри́үнатоs 6o；cf． 86
$\delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ used for Heliastic court 17
ठпиотикбs 12， 89
Bed ù uâs aúroús（cond．） 41
Sed tobitous oúxl metötytas 31 （cf．37）
$8 i^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$ and $8 i^{\prime}$ obis 32
סьadıxacla 235
סcautpral 128
Stakovia 146
дсанарті́ронае 38

Scurípw 27，92，156，221， 326
סءare入へ̂ w．participle 7， 119
סıatútwoes 122

ס九ठdбкєш үрдмцата 94
Beдbvan，e8iסooay，offered，74， 75
סstryev imâs 64
8ixalov j̄p，we ought， 19
Sixauos eivat（personal），11，43．Sixaca 12， 14
8ıxacórepa dॄtoûy 154
8ixas ETdyew 111，112，174， 224
סıw阝e入la 29
סокснабіа 187， 188
8bFay，єbrocay 66
סívaцаı，ellipsis of w．wis and superl．，179， 180

Juvacteia 51，190， 225
סvoî̀ 0drepor（without verb）103， 104
סuoûv osohoîy（Ev toîv） 29
סั́̈кoגov 127

## F



едкм́ма 146
ervoracu always passive 162
edenourth 52，it
st（or $\eta$ ）in and pers．sing．mid． 86 （w．refer．）
el w．Eotc，jpy，and dy tex 135
$e l \mathbf{w}$ ．fut．ind．and $d \boldsymbol{d}$ w．subj．compared 127．el w．opt．and tdy w．subj．com－
pared 109， 110
el $\gamma^{\prime}$ trpader（for el $\gamma$ trpader） 57
el Bokolm．．．oúdev éatl 23
el еlтоиц каl etroy ros


eiرap $\mu$＇vins $\theta$ divatos 145
elveкa 87，107， 127
cโтє $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \overline{ } 42$
els тin etrıốaay Mu入alay 113
eis rdy voûv $\langle\mu \beta$ d入入erөat 51
cis rovs $\sigma$ uxoфduras äyeiv 81

cloфopd 180
e＇tc．．．e＇te（after article） 22
éк тavtds тov̀ xpôyov 27，50， 144
exvi廿et 105
еккіттеเข 187
＇E入evoivdde 128

 $\nu$ vкds $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \in t s, 46$
e $\mu \beta \in \beta \eta \kappa$ ćs 173
енвроитทте 170
＂Ецжоиба 95
＜tv $\mu \in \rho / \delta t 127$
غ̀v oú séovtl 98
iv $\chi \in \rho \sigma$ lv Exect 160

évecothnet 102
Ėvทิข（personal） 135
еуөритта 184
évocouv（figurative） 39
èvards 63

$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu d \xi \eta s 89$


égalфvฑs（rb $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\top}$ ） 114

references），194，217， 223
е $\ddagger \in \tau a \sigma \mu b s$（rare） 19
e＇झtpкet，satis erat， 139
© $\xi$ I $\sigma$ тацаи w．acc．and dat． 222


$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi \omega$ \％
е́брака（not éwp．）49， 135


érax $\theta$ eîs 93

ย̇є̇ठшка 8！

éretodn w．aor．subj．39， 40
émetot w．plupf．and aor．26， 37
єтеєга（without $\delta \epsilon$ ）after три̂rov $\mu \notin \nu 8$ ， 21


exúvéey 8i
éripauar 105



غ́x＇èxiocy 64

ṫ̃l тоі̂s $\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ âбu 140，198， 199


dxı




éppễ日at фpdioas 113
ยสTเท ถัтov（temporal） 24

EбTw $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho 194$
trepos of Alexander－trepoc of the Mace－ donians 223，225．Ітєроу $=d \lambda \lambda$ б́троу
 Tos 38
 $\mu$ аivé $\theta \in 175$
cövoua 7，13， 126 （see note），191，220， 224,225
cilvous 140， 205
єv่ô̂ $\sigma a \beta o$ 亿̂ 183

єüбÉßeルa 8
＇$\phi$＇aưtồ 159
є́фd $\mu \mu \lambda \lambda$ os 223
є́фе $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \delta т a$（ $\kappa\left(\nu \delta \jmath_{v \nu o v) ~}^{128}\right.$（w．references）

Є̇ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ，Eठec，etc．，not implying unreal condition 48 （w．refer．）

t＇whoкрабla 42
Éws（final） 31

## Z

కท̂入os $87,155,191$


## H

$\eta$（or ec）in and pers．sing．mid． 86
jo 0 os，$\dagger$ \＃n 78，82，144， 193
ท̀ $\lambda c k l_{q}(\mathrm{ol}$ Ev） 128
\＄$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \in \nu$ 125， 136



## ©

$\theta$ êav кaтaveîual 28， 29

$\theta \in \delta s$ masc．and fem．（ì $\theta \in \delta s$ ，for Athena） 7
$\theta$ epurtas 42
Oidoous 183
өєஸ́pпиа 52
O8入os 122
$\theta$ 0aovyoutvч 100

## I

la $\mu \beta \in c o \gamma \rho d \phi$ os and lapßecoфdyos 103
toial кal $\delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma с а н ~ \delta i x a l ~ 149$

i8tctûy 39
iepountuогеs $110,287,338$
Ikerचpla（sc．pdp8os） 77
tva w．perf．subj．129， 130
irтотрофоs 223

## K

кa日＇ن̇ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu 154$

кdөapнa 93
каӨvфеへ̂va 77
kal expr．parallelism 11，45， 47
какауүе入eî̀ 188
kaxla 22 （w．refer．），52， 309
како才才力s 15
Ka入ajíns（ทีpws）94，Essay VI．
$\kappa а \lambda$ ติs токeív 163
$\kappa d \mu \nu o r t e s$, patients， 169


катa入úw 59
kararvxềv 129
катахесроторia 240

каттуopla and катпүори̂ 13，18，19， 89
каторөî w．accus． 199

Kéwv，Tds（for Kגewivas） 68
rivados 169
кเттофброs 183,184
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \mu \quad 30$
kowbs 189
коиібабөаи Хब́pev 83
кратทpl§uv 182
$\kappa \check{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \varphi$（ $\mathrm{T} \dot{\mathrm{d}}) 68$

## A

入aỳ̀ $\beta$ los 186
גapurrisur 205

$\lambda$ גúky 183
$\lambda_{\text {qotal 162，}} 163$
$\lambda_{\text {proupria and } \lambda_{\text {proupy }} \text { 73，75，} 188}$
入oyuctal 84，85， 162
$\lambda$ буov diơbua（or $\lambda a \beta \in ⿺ ิ y$ ）13， 48
入oyoy Ex $\lambda$ byou $\lambda \in$＇ywy 219
$\lambda$ буou кplats 160
入ot $\delta$ opla and $\lambda o t \delta o p e i \sigma \theta a t ~ 12, ~ 14, ~ 15, ~ 19, ~$ 89， 101
入oump ที 25

## $\mathbf{M}$

maxpay（els） 33
mavia and dxbroca 174

Mapa日ज̂vı，locative， 147
Maбха入15w 208
$\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o \psi v x l a$ and $\mu$ еүал $\sigma \psi v \chi o s 51$

$\mu e ́ \lambda \lambda$ ortos $\lambda$ érelv 99， 100
$\mu t \rho \in t$ or $\mu \in \rho i \delta_{6}(t v)$ 127， 205
 $158,175,188$

нета日Єб日at 128

${ }^{\prime}$＇tpios 14,92

$\mu E ́ \chi p e$ тoúrov tws 40


Møvarúprys 18I
$\mu$ trovacs 97

Mirpaүúpris $18 \mathbf{I}$
M⿰rrpч̂ov 106

мекрочvхla 190， 196
$\mu \sigma \theta \omega r b s$
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma ı x a k e i ̂ v ~ 66 ; ~ a s ~ a c t i v e ~ 70 ~$
Muẫv 入ela 54

## $\mathbf{N}$

vav́k ${ }^{2} \eta \rho o s$, figure of， 137
veavias 100,219
$\nu \in \theta \rho(\zeta \omega v \quad 182$

$\nu$ viv，as it was， 114
viv and rbre，not temporal， $138,142,168$
0
Olnopaos 131， 169
oľouac w．partic．36， 49
$\delta \lambda \alpha$（ T d ）29，195， 213
ö $\lambda \in \theta \rho o s$ 92， 93
סגlyou $\delta \in$ โ̂̀ 22
duolws，quite as zuell， 30
о $\mu \omega \rho$ бфсоу 200
$\delta \pi \eta \eta i k a$ w．unreal cond． 18

8̈त入ocs（тoîs $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ） 62
ठ̈л入ots катебтре́фето 171
$\delta \pi о t \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \in i \eta \nu$ and $\delta \pi 0 c \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \eta \nu 38,170$
фтоноибঠ力тотє（rel．） 108
oтобтобоû̀ 217
$\delta_{\rho \mu} \mu$ خे $\mathfrak{\eta} s 91$

ठotज̂s EXOV 17


8s $\sigma u v$ pidetv 50
סtc before direct quotation 35， 126

бтч dxéкetro 140
ov่ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ dן； 100

oư＇ày els 52
où8＇Ėgrús 16， 69
oủ8＇ภбia 155
oủ8＇ob゙Tiws 142
oú $\delta a \mu 0 \hat{1}$（temporal）19， 24
oúbè（sc．$\mu$ brov）8，66， 77
oủठèv dy iv（ $\alpha \nu$ required） 40


oünouv éxl $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ots 217
oúkour oủסé 196
oüria and rimŋца 75， 180



ở $\chi$ ojpâs；and dpăs； $164,188,196$


## II

тa८ठaywyeîov 18I
Tdvra $\eta_{v}(r t v c) 37$
тdvтa тd то入入d 139
таעтaxov̂，anyruhere， 59
тdvtwr，anything，II
тард тои̂то $\gamma$＇Єүорє $16_{+}$

тара́к入चтоє 106
таратреб $\boldsymbol{\beta e l a}_{1}$ 103， 333
тарdбचرos 169
тарабтท̂бa＜8， 13
таратаझdرєнок 147，154， 200
тареdúeto 58
таре $\theta \in \nu \tau a$ and трос $\theta$ tvia 213
тареîal 183


тарв́̀ $24,60,84,158,168$
$\pi a ̂ \sigma a \dot{\eta}$ olкоuرévך 41
$\pi a \tau \rho \varphi \hat{\varphi}$
$\pi \in i ̂ \rho a \nu$ סıס̄bvá 77， 139

$\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota 188$
тє $p 1 \beta a \lambda \lambda$ b $\mu \in \nu$ оs 163
$\pi \epsilon \rho(\delta e เ \pi v o v 201$
тєреєlруаблаи 54
$\pi \in \rho \iota \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ท 111,112

$\pi \in \rho u \delta \in i v$ w．pres．or aor．partic．or
infin． 49
терiovalas（éк） 9
тєрiтрениа dүopâs 92
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lov $\delta$（ľas 127
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ Ooc 210


wote and $\pi \rho d r t \omega$ 10，48，172
To入ıteíau（Aristotle） 50
то入ıтеv́ध $\sigma$ Oal 102
то入itevца 100

ro入ıтикो кal коwओ 218
то入ıтu6b 17

томтеіa and тортеи́ely 15，89，90．Сf． $\xi \xi \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{d} \xi \eta \mathrm{n}$

 muxlay 24
$\pi \rho d i t \omega$ and $\pi 0 \hat{\omega}$ 10，48， 172

 66，78，196，197，202，216，221， 224. троalpeots modıtelas 136
 139， 211,212
тро阝入пөeis 110,199
$\pi$ roßo入h 240

$\pi \rho о \beta$ ou入ev́ш 43， 124
$\pi \rho$ ¢ед $\rho o{ }^{2} 124$
$\pi \rho o e \theta e v t a$ and mape日évia 213
$\pi \rho о е(\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \in 99$
$\pi р о е \xi \in \lambda \in \gamma \mu \ell \nu a \quad 165$
$\pi \rho о \theta \in \sigma \mu l a \quad 90,91$

$\pi \rho 0 \kappa 九 \nu$ סuvévo 147
$\pi \rho 0 \pi / \nu \omega 208$
$\pi p d s$ latoplay 107
Tpos tivi $\gamma$ i $\gamma$ vecoal 127



yoûv 125

$\pi \rho b \sigma \chi \eta \mu a 129$
tportitral 191
$\pi \rho о б \lambda а \beta є$ каі кат $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \in 47$
xpbфабіs 160
$\pi$ poú入ets 226
тu入dropot iro，III， 339
Muגala 109

## $\mathbf{P}$




рŋтd кal appqra 89
рทropew àف́v 160
$\Sigma$

бенио入буои 98
$\sigma e \mu \nu b \tau \eta s$（of Aesch．） 33
बenū̂s 33 （w．refer．）， 130
бїтоs еітеібактоs 62
otróvns 174
oxactss 171
oxevarwyeĩ̀ 34
oknatbs 137
бoфljerat 161
бтdбলaтa 141
бтериодо́yos 92
бтре $\beta \lambda$ б́баитеs 98
बтреттои́s 184
ourкatinuevoc 106
ouyкpoúm 22， 120
oukoфderns 134， 135
テúußo入ov 149

$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu e v \sigma d i v \omega y$ ar（not－$\sigma$ bvtwr） 122
oúvoluos 98
ouvet入ox＇̆́s 216
ouve $\xi \delta \delta \omega \kappa \alpha 189$
auvre入ts 75
бибкєvшройда 182
ovateî̉ar 172
бфетері5бцеуоs 53， 71
$\sigma$ wrnpias（gen．of purpose） 72

## T


т $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \rho$ bs $\mu \mathrm{e} 18$
Tầ，ఉे 218


tapdofelv（active）112， 114
recxijw and reixionós 210
recxomool，board of，82， 327
тeти́фwиак 15
Tl Ėóvato 26
tl какò̀ oúxl； 41

ті白ر 178；w．infin．in or．obl． 178.
$\nu 6 \mu \mathrm{ov}$ тi $\theta \mathrm{els}$（or $\theta e \mathrm{ct}$ ） 12
тiцүица and ovioia 75， 180
$\tau \mu \eta \sigma a l \mu \geqslant \nu$ dy（w．gen．） 152
тlиŋбкs 103

Tis inv（w．aor．partic．） 63
tis thos； 55
To 8＇altiov（without 8 Tr） 78
т $\delta \delta$（without $\tau \delta \mu \hat{\nu}$ ） 105
To nal T6 170


тобойч（or тобoúrou）8tew 80

тou＇s mo入入ous $\lambda$ obous 104
траүчठิใа 93
траүчठоis каиขoîs（temporal） 44 （үрафф）
траүчठิ 17
треакбоіоя，ol 74， 125
трøךраü入ฑs 95
трıтаушviotins 148,185
трита́yך 209
тvクスaym w．pf．partic． 90
тицта⿱亠䒑⿱二小欠тріа 198
тuxbv，perhaps， 158
т仑̂ ठıaфөapฑ̂ral $\dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} 172$

т ิ̂v кal＇ن่ $\mu$ âs 67


## $\mathbf{Y}$

volatı，tv T仑̂ $\langle\mu \hat{\psi} 103$

ітакои́батє 107
 80，101，126，128，165，188， 207.

úx $\mathfrak{\eta} p x \in \nu$ w．infin．like Efet etc． 214 ， 215

$\dot{v} \in \dot{f} \rho$ and repl 14 （w．refer．）， 20



úxev́Ouvos 134
vंтохрiveotar 19， 201


ט̇тобке入l乌૯เข 101
OTrounos jovxla 215
ن்т $\omega \mu$ обiq，èv 74， 75
ن́фор＇́неуо 37

领 TIS； 90
ф0byov 8íx $\quad 88$

філоvecíia 105
фогтầ 187

фpoupd（Spartan） 67
фpoupol（Athenian） 128
фúeб日at кагd $\pi d \nu \tau \omega v 22$
фи入arrbuevos rò $\lambda v$ ท̂̃бal $18 \mathrm{r}, 219$
фwpds，тd́бas àф
ф $\omega v \hat{y}$ ठaxpocty 201

## X．

$\chi$ драка 63
$\chi \in!\mu a p \rho o u ̂ s ~ 114$
Хolvıкаs кal そú入ov 94

$\chi \rho \eta ̂ \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о к a ̂ \nu$ w．two protases 138 ．
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ and $\chi \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ đ 138
хрŋのTé（ironical） 222


## $\Psi$


$\psi$（xрбтฑs 179

## $\Omega$


由y $\beta \in \beta l \omega \kappa \in \nu 95$
ジy ETvxev 95

divoruevos（conative） 173
$\dot{\omega}$ w．partic．（not cond．） 194

ìs els é入áxıбтa 172
ís értpos 61，150， 215
由̈नाep（not conditional）193，194；由̈नाep

由नTe w．pres．and aor．infin．51，59； $w$ ．perf．and pres．infin． $180 ;$ w．infin． and $\alpha v 20,21 ; \mathrm{w}$ ．indic． 32,87 ．${ }^{2} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ov̉ w．infin． 198

## ENGLISH INDEX.

## The references are made to pages.

Abydos 212
Achaeans 166
Aeacus, Rhadamanthus, and Minos 92
Aegina 68
Aeschines: parentage and youth 93, 94, 244 ; as clerk 184, 244; as actor 131, 185, 244; opposes Philip w. Eubulus 244, 245; at Megalopolis 245 ; envoy to Philip 247, 257, 264; suit ag. Timarchus 333 ; rejected as counsel in case of Delos 98, 99, 270 ; supports Python 271 ; tried for maparреб $\beta$ ela and acquitted 336, 337 ; speech at Delphi (339 B.C.) 287-290; envoy (w. Demades) to Philip after Chaeronea 297 ; indicts Ctesiphon 328, trial of case 329, acquittal of Ctesiphon 331; voluntary exile 331; at Rhodes 332 ; five periods of life (Demosth.) 187 ; his two brothers 221
Agesilaus 21
Aleuadae of Larissa, aided by Philip 40, 233
Alexander I. of Macedonia 143
Alexander the Great, born 231 ; at Chaeronea 294; destroys Thebes 36,328 ; demands Attic orators 36, 328; receives crowns from Athens 223; invades Persia 328; dies at Babylon 305
Ambracia 171
Amphictyonic Council 109, 111 , Essay V.; summoned by Philip in 346 B.C.

265 ; addressed by Aeschines 287290
Amphipolis 52, 230, 231, 248
Amphipolitan War 22, 231
Amphissa destroyed by Philip 294
Amphissian War stirred up by Aesch. 107-117, 119, 287-290
Anacharsis 94, 340
Anacoluthon 91, 92
Anaxinus 101, 280
Antiphon condemned 96-98, 269,270
Aphobetus, brother of Aesch. 221, 262
Aphobus 235, 236
Apollo, тarp乡̂os of Athens 105
Arbela, battle of $\mathbf{2 2 5}$
Arbiters, public 128
Arcadians 21, 49
Areopagus 97, 98, 99, 270
Aristides 165
Aristodemus 23, 243
Aristoleos of Thasos 140
Aristonicus 60, 87, 159
Aristophon 53, 119,157
Aristotle quoted 50, 51, 145, 151,193 ; birth 234; tutor of Alexander 275; in Athens in 330 B.C. 225 ; death 305
Aristratus, of Sicyon 41, 207; of Naxos 140
Armpitting, Kittredge on 208
Artemisium 148
Assembly (Athenian), two meetings to discuss peace in 346 B.C. $250-257$
Athenian Confederacy (New) 230

Athens and Philip at war ( 340 b.c.) 282-284
Atrometus, father of Aesch. 93, 94, 95
Attic year 305-307

## B

 33
Byzantium 58, 62, 63, 64, 163, 230, 277, 382

## C

Callias of Chalcis 59, 167, 274, 275, 278; embassies to Pelopon. w. Demosth. 278
Callisthenes, decree of 34, 264
Callistratus, heard by Demosth. 71, 156
Cephalus 157, 176
Cephisophon 24, 56
Cersobleptes 171, 259, 278
Chaeronea, battle of 49, 170, 186, 294, 295; panic in Athens following 295 ; measures of Hyperides, Lycurgus, and Demosthenes after 295, 296; eulogy of Dem. upon heroes of 199, 300, 299
Chares 108, 282, 283
Charidemus 83
Chersonese 58, 229; ravaging of 102 ; Demosth. speech on 276
Chios 165, 230
Cirrha, plain of 111, 289
Clepsydra, 103
Climax, example of 130
Clitarchus of Eretria 274; killed 280
Collytus 131
Corcyra 165, 167
Corinthian War 67, 68, 69; battle of Corinth 69
Corinthians 166
$\operatorname{Cos} 230$
Cottyphus 113, 291
Cresphontes 13 r
Ctesiphon (envoy to Philip) 242, 247
Ctesiphon (defendant in case of the Crown) 18, 45, 328
Curses in Senate and Assembly 95, 197
Cybele 181
Cyrsilus 144, 145

## D

Decelean War 69
Delian contest at Delphi 90, 91, 98100
Delphi, temple of, pillaged by Phocians 232, 246; destroyed about 373 в.c., rebuilt before 330 в.c. 287, 288. Inscriptions recently found $265,266,287$, 288. See Phocians

Demades, envoy to Philip 197, 199; peace of 297, 298
Demomeles 159
Demosthenes : birth 234 ; father's death 234 ; under guardians 235 ; consults Isaeus 235 ; suit against Aphobus 235, 236 ; compelled to assume trierarchy 235, 236; suit ag. Onetor 236, 237 ; voluntary trierarch 71; speeches ag. Androtion, Leptines, Timocrates, and Aristocrates 237, 324-326; on Symmories and for Megalopolis 237; First Philippic 46, 102, 206, 238, 241; speech for Rhodians 238, 239 ; assaulted by Midias 239, 240, suit and speech ag. Midias 240; Olynthiacs 241, 242; twice Senator 26, 243, 257 ; envoy to Philip 247 ; speech before Philip 249; 2nd embassy to Philip 257-26r; ransoms prisoners 259, 260; Second Philippic 58, 102, 268, 269; arrests Antiphon 269, 270; speech on the Peace 267, 268; deputy to Amphict. Council 270; opposes Python 270, 271 ; discusses Philip's letter 273; indicts Aeschines for tapanpeoßela Essay IV.; opposes Philip in Euboea 274 ; mission to Corinth and Achaea 275 ; speech on Chersonese 276; Third Philippic 58, 276, 277; embassy to Byzantium 277; embassies to Pelopon. (w. Callias) and formation of league ag. Philip 278, 279; frees Euboea from tyrants 279, 280; arrests Anaxinus 280, 281; receives thanks and crowns from Byzantium and Perinthus 284; trierarchic reform 73-78, 285 ; speech after seizure of Elatea 126 -

130, 292, 293 ; negotiations with Thebes 293 ; energy after Chaeronea 295, 296, delivers eulogy on the fallen 199, 299 ; speech on the Crown 299, Essays I. and III. Later events ( $33^{\circ}-322$ B.C.) 305. Death at Calauria 305

Dercylus 263
Dexileos, monument of, w. inscription 69
Diondas 158, 174
Dionysiac Theatre 28, 29
Diopithes 53
Diotimus 83
Documents in text of Dem. 351-355
Dudona, oracle of 178
Dörpfeld on brick walls of Troy, Athens, etc. 2IO, 211
Dörpfeld and Reisch on Dionys. Theatre 28, 29
Doriscus 27, 52

## E

Elatea, seizure of 106, 113, 114, 119, 122, 292
Eleusis, brick walls of 211
Embassies of Athens to Philip ( 346 B.C.) : First 248-250; Second 257-261; Third (to Thermop.) 262, 263, returns to Athens 263 , sent again to Philip 264
Empusa 95, 96
Epaminondas 21, 70, 229
Epigram on heroes of Chaeronea (not genuine) 202-204
Epilogue, Aristotle on 209, 313
Euboea 53, 54, 58, 68, 165, 166, 212 , 229, 239, 274, 279, 280
Eubulides, speech against 97
Eubulus 24, 53, 119, 238 ; w. Aeschines
against Philip 244, 245
Eudicus $4^{\circ}$
Eueratus 243
Euphraeus 274
Euripides: Hec. 1-3 quoted 188; Telephus 54
Eurybatus 25
Eurydice (Philip's mother) 249
Euthycrates 40, 270

## $F$

Foreign policy of Athens 46, 217
Fortune 147,215 ; of Demosth. 190192; of Athens 177-179

## G

Gildersleeve cited 21,127
Glaucothea, mother of Aesch. 95, 181
Glaucus 222
Grain imported by Athens 62
Greek League formed by Philip 298

## H

Haliartus, battle of 68
Halonnesus 52, 271-273, 28!
Halus and Halians 248, 253, 260
Harmosts and Decarchies of Lysander 67, 68
Hegemon 199
Hegesippus 271-273
Heliastic oath 8, 12, 88
Hellespont 54, $163,168,282-284$
Hero Kadaulrys and Hero Physician 94, Essay VI.

## Hieronymus 245

Hyperides 60, 87, 99, 159, 173, 199, 278, 280, 295

## I

Iatrocles $2+3$
Illyrians 38 , 171
Infin. w. T6 8, 9, 6I; in or. obl. 9
Ionic and Attic alphabets 86
Iphicrates 70, 248
Isaeus 235, 237
Ischander 245

## K

Kings of Thrace $171,277,278$

## L

Lasthenes 40
Leucadians 167
Leuctra, battle of 21, 70. "Leuctric insolence" of Thebes 21,70
Long walls of Athens destroyed 68
Lycinus indicts Philocrates 242
Lycophron of Pherae 233
Lycurgus (Athens) 28, 295, 296

Lynceus, verse of 189
Lysander's governments 67, 68
Lysicles condemned 186,213

## M

Mantinea 21 ; battle of 70,229 ; walls of 211
Manuscripts of oration on the Crown Essay VII. ; stichometry in MSS. of Demosth. Essay VIII.
Marathon, heroes of 147
Mausolus of Caria 230
Megalopolis 21, 70, 229, 237, 245, 268
Megara 53, 54, 165, 166, 217,269
Melantus 174
Messene 21, 70, 229, 268
Methone 51, 231
Midias 180, 235, 239, 240, 287
Munychia 77
Mysians 54

## $\mathbf{N}$

Nausicles 82, 83
Neoptolemus 83 ; the actor 243

## 0

Oath by the heroes of Marathon 147 Oenomaus 131. Oen. dpoupaios 169
Olympias (Philip's queen) 101, 275, 280
Olynthiacs of Demosth. 241, 242
Olynthus and Olynthiac confederacy $231,2+0,2+1$. Olynthus captured by Philip 243
Onetor 236, 237
Onomarchus 232, 233
Orators demanded by Alexander 36, 328
Oreus and Eretria freed 58, 279, 280
Oropus 71

## P

Peace of Demades 64, 297, 298
Pella 5I, 248, 259
Peparethus ravaged 52, 281
Perf. subj., opt., and infin. 24, 29, 30, 33, 39, I29, 130
Perillus (of Megara) 41, 269
Perinthus besieged by Philip 62, 64, 281, 282

Peroration 226, 3 13
Phalaecus 246
Phalinus 71
Phayllus 233, 246
Philammon 222
Philip Il. of Macedon: succeeds to the throne 230; takes Amphipolis 231; Amphipolitan War w. Athens 23I; founds Philippi, captures Pydna, Potidaea, and Methone 231 ; interferes in Thessaly 233 ; aggressions upon Athens $23^{8}$; intrigues in Euboea 239; attacks Olynthiac confederation 240 ; takes Olynthus 2+1, 243; proposes peace w. Athens 242,243 ; receives ist embassy 248, 249; sends embassy to Athens 250 ; receives 2 nd embassy 259, 260; march to Thermopylae 260, 261 ; surrender of Phocians to 263,264 ; celebrates victory in Sacred War 264, 265 ; summons Amphictyonic Council, and is made a member 265 ; celebrates Pythian games 267 ; asks recognition of Athens as an Amphictyon 267 ; at peace w. Athens (346-340 B.C.) 268 ; intrigues in Peloponnesus 268, 269; sends Python to Athens 270 ; sends letter to Athens 271; supports tyrants in Euboea 274 ; enters Epirus 275 ; subjugates Thessaly 275; makes Aristotle Alexander's tutor 275 ; attacks Chersonese 276; dispute about Halonnesus 271, 272, 273, 281; ravages Peparethus 281; besieges Perinthus and Byzantium 281, 282; letter to Athens, declaring war 283; Scythian expedition 284,285 ; made general of Amphictyons in Amphissian War 291, 292; seizes Elatea 292; destroys Amphissa 293, 294 ; proposes peace w. Athens 294 ; victory at Chaeronea 295; drunken revels after battle 200, 201, 297 ; sends Demades to Athens 297 ; peace of Demades 297 ; assassinated 305, 328
Philistides at Oreus 274; killed 279
Philochares, brother of Aesch. 221
Philocrates, peace of 242-257

Philomelus 232
Phlius 21
Phocian (Sacred) War 20, 22, 33, 23I, 232, 267
Phocians plunder temple of Delphi 232, 246 ; send envoys to Philip 259 ; surrender Thermopylae to Philip 34, 263 ; punishment of 265,266 ; records of payments of fine 265 ; remnant of, murdered at Elis 269
Phocion 108, 197, 199, 239, 282, 294,' 297, 298
Phrynon of Rhamnus 242
Pindar quoted 208
Pluperfect in ecty and - $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ 24, 25
Plutarchus of Eretria 239
Pnyx 124
Polybius 49, quoted 207
Porthmus destroyed 274
Potidaea 52, 231
Prisoners ransomed by Demosth. 189
Property tax 180
Proxenus 246, 247, 258
Prytanes, Proedri, etc. 123, 124
Pydna 52, 230, 231
Pythian games in 346 B.c. 267
Pythocles 199
Python at Athens 100, 270, 271

## R

Rhythm 7
River battle 154, 293

## S

Salamis 148 . Ships in battle of 167
Scythian exped. of Philip 284, 285
Senate and Assembly summoned by Prytanes 123,124
Serrhium etc. 27, 52, 259
Simonides, epigram on heroes of Marathbn 204
Simus of Larissa 40
Solon 12, 178
Sosicles 174
Sparta invaded by Epaminondas 229
Statesman and бuxoфdyrys compared 134,

Subj. and fut. indic. contrasted 127 ; subj. and opt. 110
Symmories, leaders of $74,125,180,236$
Symmories, speech on $20,46,237$
Synod of allies of Athens 24 ; resolution of $253,254,257$

## T

Talent (Attic), modern value of 234
Taurosthenes of Chaleis 274
Telephus 54
Theagenes 211,212
Thebes after Leuctra 70 ; feeling of Demosth. towards 20; coolness of Thebes and Thessaly towards Philip in 339 в.C. 108, 286. Thebes in 340 B.C. 165 ; allied w. Athens in 339 B.C. 292, 293 ; Athenian army in 153 , 154 ; destroyed by Alexander 20, 36, 328
Themison of Eretria 71
Theoric fund 8I
Thermopylae, Philip checked at 31, 82, $83,214,233,238,241$; surrender of, by Phocians 34, 263
Theseum 9+
Thrace, kings of $171,277,278$
Thracian gold mines 28
Thrasybulus of Collytus 157
Thrasylochus 235
Timarchus, trial of 333, 334
Timolaus 40
Toxaris 94, Essay VI.
Triballi 38, 284, 285
Tribute of Athens 165
Trierarchs 71, 73, 75. Trierarchic reform of Dem. 73-79
Tromes (Atrometus) 95
Troy, brick walls of 210,211

## W

War between Philip and Athens 22, 231; renewed in 340 B.c. 35, 56, $283,284,285$
Winter battle (339-338 B.C.) 114,155 , 293 135
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[^0]:    Harvard University, Çambridge, Mass., November 15, 1900.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ msS. каl éxaplбaro. "Malim катехарlбaro." G. H. Schaefer.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ II. Iv. 299. See G. H. Schaefer's note.
    

[^3]:    
     Tw A2.
    
    

[^4]:     days after the report of the second embassy to the Assembly, the alarming news of the surrender of the Phocians at Thermopylae arrived. See Hist. §47.
    6. Gкevaywyeiv: as ordered by the decree of Callisthenes ( $\$ 37$ ).
    7. Xpurlov $\lambda a \beta$ evy : in malicious con-

[^5]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^6]:     how does the decree just read to you agree with the report of Aeschines (8 35) ?
    88. This letter has few of the marks by which its genuineness can be absolutely denied or established. It must be remembered that there is (since Bentley) a general presumption against the genuineness of ancient epistles; and this is in very bad company. The genuine letter, it would seem, should have more definite allusions to the dissatisfaction of Athens

[^7]:     that you hear and see：$\theta$ eb́pqua is very rare for $\theta$ éapa．
     morials；dpŵat by a slight zeugma in－ cluding $\lambda$ byors：cf．Aeschyl．Prom． 21
    

    8．Kaxlav：see note on $\$ 20^{\circ}$ ．－úrde－ gat and er erevéolab（4）depend on rpor－ ท̂кey．

    9．av่т世maypltove Melovrds，as self－ offered volunteers ：cf． $899^{\circ}$ ．－owis＇iv its： see M．T．219：oúd＇els（separated）$=$ ne unsus quidem，not a man．
    § ©0．I．dvaykaiov dца：cf．dуa－
    

    2．HTpartev d8uxciv，in strong anti－ thesis to evaytiovorgac sikaiws．

    3．$<\mathbb{\xi}$ dpXp̂s：this refers strictly only to the time of his own leadership（ $\mathrm{xa} \mathrm{\theta} \theta^{\prime}$ ods éтодcтeubuฑv хporous）．But he modest－ ly and speciously appears to represent his own vigorous policy as a continuation of earlier energy．When Philip was cap－

[^8]:    f 76. 6. Lurwohtiv: see note on $873^{3}$.

    8ิ 79. 3. 8ัt...тพ้̂ aưroo: this implies that Philip could not speak of any

[^9]:    recent case in which Demosth. had opposed him, without alluding to some disgraceful act of his own.
    4. d....... $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{~ l y p}}$ ypav: this absolutely

[^10]:    
     （cai for cac）V6．
    
    
     V6．8．סoús $\Sigma, L$ ，vulg．，Bk．；diסoús $A_{1}$ ，most edd．
    
    

[^11]:     тa0 $\theta^{\prime}$ ) A2.
    
    
     vulg.; $\mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$ L, Ai. 2, Dind., Bl.

[^12]:     (corr.), L, A1. 2; oftos B, vulg. 8. el t̂ V6; el T4 Markland, Cobet.
    
    
     vulg.

[^13]:    8 187. 2. 'Avaktivp : Aeschines (III. 223, 224) charges Demosthenes with causing the arrest and death of Anaxinus, and even with twice torturing him with his own hand, though he had once been the man's guest at Oreus. Aesch. reports the oft-quoted reply of Demosth. to the charge of violation of hospitality: $\boldsymbol{\ell} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{a}$
    
     nus is said to have come to Athens (probably in 341-340) to make purchases for Olympias, Philip's queen.
    4. aưrds ivnทpXe...кaráricowos, he was to be assumed to have the nature of a spy himself. See note on $895^{\text {º }}$.

[^14]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^15]:    
     phictyonic decrees, \& таis ' $\Delta \mu \phi$. हסokev.
     $86 \xi \alpha y \tau a$. The older Athenian decrees
    
    6. ठ...тарабXdV : cf. \& $158^{3}$.
    8188. 2. 'Ар中иктvovurais: see 88 147, 156.-катафеќyeh, takes refuge, opposed to фedyer ( I ), shums: " spielende Paronomasie." (Bl.)

[^16]:    
     4．To入入dxt Y．6．dォdyt
     vulg．；$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho(\delta o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa 0 \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \Sigma(\gamma \rho), \Phi(\gamma \rho)$, Bk．，Bl．with $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa$ ．$\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ in［ ］；$\tau \hat{\eta}$
     F， 0 ．
    

[^17]:    
    
     L, F, $\Phi, \mathrm{O}$; ठeícoe vulg. erarreinao $\theta e$ vulg.
    
    
    

[^18]:    8 188. 1. Айтท...трírๆ, this was the first step taken and the first settlement effected in our relations with Thebes: dylyvero, if we take this rather than the Vulg. èvtvero, refers to the progress of

[^19]:    
    
    
    
    
     тоîs $\mathbf{~ \ell \pi t r . ~ o m . ~ H a r p o c r . ~ ( u n d e r ~ K u \rho \sigma i \lambda o v ) , ~ B l . ~}$

[^20]:     vulg．；om．$\Sigma$ ，L，Ai．
    
    
    
     8．$\beta$ ớ入erat AI．סitevas $\Sigma, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{V} 6, \mathrm{~F}, \Phi, \mathrm{~B}^{1}$ ；סuturas vulg．aíroùs L ，
    
    

[^21]:    
    
    
     6. tds tov̂ $\Sigma, L, \Phi$, AI. $^{2}$; om. B, vulg.; tds $\mathrm{O}_{\text {. }}$
    
    
    
    

[^22]:    
    
     (after d $\xi(\omega \hat{\nu})$ vulg.; om. $\Sigma, L^{1}, A_{1}$. 5. roîs dxoúovocv om. Ai. 7. ouveiv-
    
    
    

[^23]:    
    
    
    § 8ะ．I．таû́＇$\Sigma, L, \Phi$ ；тoû＇vulg．

[^24]:    
     10. тарабхосто V6.
     mss., Bk., Bl. 2. otas (for ot') O.

[^25]:     Ar；for last oùdé，vulg．où）．6．mapd om．$\Sigma^{1}$（added in mg．）．7．Td te入eutaîa
    
     4．reyevîäai Ai．rà over $\delta \bar{\eta} \mathrm{V} 6$ ．5．ou
    § 246．I． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇om．Y．${ }^{2}$ ．$\lambda a \mu \beta d \nu e r e ~ \Sigma, ~ L ; ~ \lambda d \mu \beta a v e ~ v u l g . ~ 4 . ~ \pi \rho o-~$
    

[^26]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^27]:     peatr：cf． $8190^{5}$ ．
    8 258．2．ovaßeßlonka．．．didetv：an accidental dactylic hexameter．$-\pi b \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} d \nu$
     etc．：cf． $813^{8^{1,2}}$ ．

    3．фu入аттбраvos тd $\lambda$ твท̂नat（M．T． 374）：the object infinitive takes the place of $\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda v \pi h \sigma \omega$ ，which in use had become an object clause（M．T． 303 C ）．
     $8129^{2-4}$ ．

    7．©poraSpeicuv，attending（as a servant）． －rd $\mu$ 人av $\tau \rho$（ $\beta_{\omega v}$ ：the ink was probably rubbed from a cake（like India ink）and mixed with water．

    8．randaywriov，probably a room in which the maudayoyol，sla ves who brought the boys to and from school，waited for these to be ready to go home：later it was used like סidarka入eion for a school－ room．－olxtrov．．．lywe ：the mention of these menial duties implies the same condition of father and son as appears in 8 129：but see Blass．

    8 259．In this section and 8260 we have a lively comic description，highly caricatured，of some Asiatic ceremonies of initiation，in which the mother of Aeschines is said to have taken part． This was some form of Bacchic worship．
    with perhaps a mixture of Orphic mys－ teries．It seems there was a written service（Tàs $\beta$（ $\beta$ 入ous）which Aeschines read like a clerk while his mother officiated as priestess．The initiation of Strepsiades into the Socratic mysteries （Ar．Nub．255－262）probably carica－ tures some similar worship．Plato，Kep． 364 E ，says of books of Musaeus and
     Mougalou кal＇Opфécs，．．．ка日＇as өumpo－
    
    
    
    
    

    See J．H．Wright in Harvard Studies in Class．Philol．vi．pp．67，68．He makes Glaucothea represent a female дทrpayupins，or priestess of Cybele，the Great Mother（ $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \theta \in \hat{\omega})$ ），and Aeschines
     Strabo，p．471，says that the scene in De－ mosthenes contains इaßáSta kal M $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \rho \hat{\mu} a$ ．

    I．Tî $\mu \eta$ тpl tedovan：see xix． 28 I ，
     ＇$\phi$＇ots érépa retrøкev lepeta，and cf． 249. In xix． 199 we have tds $\beta$ ifious diva－
    
     калıгоочицеуоу．

[^28]:    ＇$\Omega$ xpbuc，travtolav evqroîs savenlorote daîuov，

[^29]:     tûv (before кar') om. $\Sigma^{1}$ (added above, now nearly erased). 8. $\quad$ т $\rho o \sigma t$ with ds
    
     $\Sigma, L^{1}, F, \Phi, A r ;$ oí $\gamma d \rho \lambda \theta$. B, vulg.

[^30]:     ay vulg. ; exexpqvr' av $\Sigma, L$, Coh., Vöm.
    
    $\Sigma$ and $L$ end the text with dydyvot $\lambda a \beta \dot{\sigma} \cdot$ followed (in $\Sigma$ ) by API日MȮ $\Sigma$ boheeion | kata ta emd $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ hфficmata (in two lines). (See Vömel's note.)
    8808. 1. тaûra кal тd тoû̂ta vulg.; тd om. $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{F}, \Phi, \mathrm{V}$; avrd кal тd
     vulg. ; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ om. $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Ai} .2$.

[^31]:     by conjecture oúdetres (as $\Sigma$ has $\mathbf{\text { INEXpmpr}}$ $\dot{\alpha})$, referring to V .5 and xix. 66 . See $833^{6}$, rpds ou' $\delta t v^{\prime}$, where Cobet and Dindorf read ouderas with several mss.
    88 808. 2. dv is repeated with $\psi \mathrm{kovv}$, contrary to general usage, because of the change of time from would have fallen into (exexpmr' dv) to wowld now be dwelling in. This mention of Thessaly and Arcadia has special reference to the final struggle with Philip (Bl.).
    7. $\lambda_{\text {fe kal dudyreol: cf. xix. 70, }}$ and note on \&8 $28^{\circ}$.- $\beta$ oppeiôv: forces sent out for special purposes, like those mentioned in $80 \mathbf{2 0}^{2}$ : see IV. $32, \mu \dot{\eta} \beta_{0} \eta$ -
    
     cf. Iv. 41. The famous expedition which checked Philip at Thermopylae in 352 s.c. (iv. 17) is called a $\beta$ orteca in xix. 84. Often $\beta$ oni $\theta$ cia means a mere raid.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. Cor. 99.

[^33]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ See Grote x1. Ch. 86, pp. 310, 325 ; Schaefer, Demosth. u. seine Zeit, I. pp. 166 $-172$.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Grote XI. p. 279: "Among the hopes and fears of most Grecian cities, Macedonia then passed wholly unnoticed : in Athens, Olynthus, Thasus, Thessaly, and a few others, it formed an item not without moment, yet by no means of firstrate magnitude."
    ${ }^{4}$ See Theopompus, frag. 189 (Müller); Schaefer 11. p. 20. This state secret
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Dem. I. 8.

[^34]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Alexander was born (Plut. Alex. 3) on the 6th of Hecatombaeon (July 2t), 356 в.c.
    ${ }^{2}$ Diod. xvi. 8 : see below 88, n. 2.

    - For Philip's successive aggressions on Athens from 357 to 353 b.c. see Grote XI. 331-336; Schaefer 11. 21-31; and Dem. 1. 12, Cor. 69.
    
    
     трајаитеs. Schaefer I. 488-490.
    - See below, 82 (end).

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thuc. 1. 112. After the decline of the Athenian power the Phocians lost their control of the temple, and the Peace of Nicias (421 b.c.) recognized the Delphians as managers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Athen. vi. p. 232 E; Diod. xvi. 64.
    ${ }^{3}$ See the cautious words of Demosthenes (Cor. 18) on the feeling and the policy of Athens concerning the Phocians. For the earlier account of the Phocian War see Grote XI. Ch. 87, Schaefer 1. 488-50\%.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Grote XI. 408-418; Schaefer I. 505-510, II. 31-32.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Dem. Cor. 32, iv. 17, 35, xix. 84 (cf. 322).
    ${ }^{3}$ See Grote XI. 415 ; Schaefer 1. 510.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Plut. Dem. 5 ; Vit. x. Orat. p. 844 C.
    ${ }^{2}$ See 8 I5, below.

[^38]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     Thrasylochus had skilfully left no time for this. See also xxı. 78. For duriöocts, as applicable to all forms of $\lambda_{\text {grovpyla, see Boeckh, Staatsh. d. Ath. I. pp. } 673 \text { ff. (esp. }}^{\text {den }}$ 677), with Fränkel's note 883 (11. p. $130^{*}$ ).
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. xxi. 80, 154. The whole trierarchy, of which Thrasylochus had one half imposed on him, cost forty minae. See Boeckh, Staatsh. d. Ath. I. 642, 67 r.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. Cor. $103^{3}$ and note, xxvir. 7, 9; Boeckh, ibid. pp. 599-601, 613 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Arist. Pol. Ath. 53, a passage which finally settles a disputed question.
     on which see Encyclop. Britann. under Ejectment. See hypothesis to Dem. xxx.:
    
    

[^39]:    1 The opening of the First Philippic shows that, though Philip's encroachments had been often discussed, no serious action had ever been proposed.
    
     in Nov. 352, more than three years before the Third Olynthiac (349-348).
    
    ${ }^{4}$ See Schaefer 11. 73; Grote XI. 431.
    

    - But see Schaefer II. 76.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schaefer 1. 473-487.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. IV. 34. 37.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aesch. II. 169-171; Dem. xxi. 163. The chronology of this period is very uncertain: I follow Dion. Hal., and Schaefer 11. 79.

    4 In xIX. 75 (earlier in 343 B.c.) Demosthenes speaks of roùs кarapdrous Eobßokas: cf. Cor. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\mathbf{2} 34^{8}}$. For the judgment of Demosthenes on the Euboean War of 350-348 see v. 5. For the campaign see Grote XI. 473-48i; Schaefer II. 78-86.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the affair of Midias and its consequences, see Dem. xxi., the speech against Midias; Schaefer II. 94-101; Grote XI. 478, 479.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aeschines (iII. 52) speaks of this compromise as a disgraceful proceeding:
     кaraxecpororiay. He is of course no authority for the price.
    ${ }^{3}$ See xxi. 13, where he mentions midsummer 35I as tplito tros tourt, as if he were speaking in 349-348.
    
    
    
    

[^42]:    
    
     to these negotiations with Athens; also to C. I. Att. 11. no. 105, of 351 B.C., which is too mutilated to count as historical authority for an alliance.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. I. 2, 7.
     viII. 40, IX. 56,66 , xIX. 265 . For the details of the Olynthian war, see Schaefer II. 124 ff., for the dates $156-159$; Grote XI. 454 ff.

    - See 8 L .
    ${ }^{5}$ See the account given by Aeschines of his meeting the Arcadian Atrestidas returning home with thirty Olynthian, women and children, Dem. xIX. 305, 306. See Grote xi. 505, 510.

    6 The traditional order of the Olynthiacs is defended by Schaefer II. 159-165; for other opinions see Grote xI. 499-504.
    ${ }^{7}$ Iv. 50.
    G. D.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ III. 18-20. See Grote XI. 491-499.
    ${ }^{2}$ For this and the following events of 8818 and 19, see Aesch. 11. 12-19.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aesch. 11. 12, 13.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. 11. 14. In III. 62 Aeschines uses this support of Philocrates by Demosthenes as evidence of an early collusion between the two. But Demosthenes might consistently help to remove a mere technical obstruction to this preliminary step towards peace. Even a vote forbidding negotiations for peace with Philip, such as Aeschines obscurely hints at (11. 13), could not have been a $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \mathrm{os}$, which alone could justify the үрафท̀ тарау $\delta \mu \omega \nu$. The whole process of Lycinus looks like a mere political trick. Moreover, Philocrates was not yet discredited as a minion of Philip.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aesch. II. 15.
     after the condemnation of Philocrates.

    + See Grote XI. 516, 517: he compares this with the memorable scene in the Assembly in 406 b.c., when the relatives of the men who had been left on the wrecks to perish after the victory at Arginusae came before the people, dressed in black and with shaven heads to excite sympathy.
    ${ }^{5}$ Aesch. 11. 15-17. Demosthenes twice (XIX. 12, 315) speaks of the actor Neoptolemus, in connection with Aristodemus and Ctesiphon, as bringing deceitful messages from Philip. Grote (XI. 517) thinks that he was one of the envoys to Philip. But his may have been private messages, sent informally at about the same time with the others.

    6 Though Aeschines (II. 14, 15) puts the first proposal of Philocrates for peace and his indictment ( $\S 18$, above) at about the time of the capture of Olynthus (autumn of 348 ), he distinctly puts the return of Aristodemus from Macedonia in the next

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. xix. 10, 303, 304. For Ischander see Harpocr., and Schaefer 1. 246-248.
    ${ }^{2}$ Doubtless in the Thersilion, the great hall in which the Arcadian Assembly met, adjoining the theatre of Megalopolis, excavated by the British School at Athens in 1890-91. See Supplem. Papers of the Hellenic Society I., with plates.
    ${ }^{3}$ IJem. XIX. 11, with Schol. (p. 344, 8) ; Aesch. II. 157. See Schaefer 11. 169172 ; Grote x1. 508-511. It was on this mission to Arcadia that Aeschines met Atrestidas with his Olympian captives ( 816 , above).
    
     58, 64,68 : though he now always includes eventual peace as one of the objects, yet
    

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ A few years later Demosth. admits that the Athenians (doubtless including himself) were deceived by Philip's friendly messages: cf. xix. 12, tûy êreîén
     after the facts and his proposing a crown for Aristodemus when he brought back one of these very messages (Aesch. II. 17).
    ${ }^{2}$ Diod. xvi. 58.
    3 The Phocian force which surrendered to Philip in 346 numbered over 10,000: see Dem. xix. 230. For the events of the Phocian war above briefly mentioned, see Schaefer II. 180-192; Grote XI. 519-52I, with the authorities cited.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Aesch. 11. 30-43. The account of the two embassies to Philip and of the negotiations for peace is given in Grote xi. Ch. 89, and Schaefer II. Buch 3, Ch. 5, Buch 4, Ch. I; and no further general references to these will be necessary. As the first embassy had no power to negotiate, the details of its conduct are less important.
     sew up his mouth with an unsoaked rush, i.e. with no.great trouble.
    ${ }^{3}$ Philip thought it necessary to specify in his proposed terms of peace that Halus should be excluded from the allies of Athens: see Dem. xix. 159, 174, and $\$ 33$ (below).
     siege of Halus, after negotiations for peace were begun, illustrates Dem. Cor. 26.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grote XI. 530. Schaefer (II. 202-205) has little faith in the whole tale of Aeschines about the interview with Philip. Strangely enough Demosthenes (X1X. 253) reports Aeschines as telling the Assembly (apparently on his return from the first embassy) that he said nothing to Philip about Amphipolis, but left the subject to Demosthenes. It seems incredible that Aeschines could have repudiated a speech just made, which a few years later he reports at length, partly verbatim; and equally incredible that Demosthenes could forget or overlook such an occasion as his first interview with Philip. The evidence here is conflicting, but unimportant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plutarch (Dem. 16) says that Philip replied to Demosthenes Merd $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma r \eta s$ èтıцл入eias!

    3 Aesch. II. 41 -43: cf. 51, 52.
    ${ }^{4}$ See 825 (above), n. 7, and 829 (below).

[^49]:    1 Aesch. 11. 45, 46, 50; Dem. XIX. 40, 41.
    
    
     opinion after his eyes were opened.
    ${ }^{4}$ Aesch. III. 63, 66-68: cf. II. 54, 65, 109. See 836, below.
    b Dem. XIX. 69 gives Antipater and Parmenio: the 2nd Argument to xix. (p. $33^{6{ }^{10}}$ ) adds Eurylochus. It is hardly possible that the foreign envoys were present during the discussion of the terms of peace: this is shown by кa入toal rous $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta e t s$, XIX. 144.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. 1I. 58, 59; Dem. Cor. 236,7. See note on the last passage, and the whole of Cor. 20 and 24. It is said in Dem. XIX. 16, to which Aesch. II. 58, 59 is a reply, that Aeschines spoke on the 19th of Elaphebolion in the presence of
     seems to show that some states had sent envoys in response to the invitations of the previous year, who were actually present when the peace was made. But it is hardly credible that any state could have been so far influenced by the Athenian embassies, which Demosthenes (Cor. 23) says were all failures, as actually to send envoys to the proposed Hellenic synod at Athens, which never had even a prospect of meeting. Schaefer (11. 215) suggests with great probability that these "envoys" were $\theta$ ewpol sent by certain states to the Dionysiac festival, who remained in Athens to watch the negotiations for peace. Such visitors might have brought informal messages from home in response to the Athenian proposals of the previous year. In this case Demosthenes uses $\pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \beta$ ets in as misleading a sense as Aeschines.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Cor. 23.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aesch. II. 79.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 11.60.

[^51]:    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Schaefer 11. 225. Cf. Just. Vili. 4-
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. XIX. 159 and 321 (quoted 8 35, note 1), with 278. The motion of Philocrates in the Assembly presupposes some previous authority granted by the Senate : see Schaefer II. 225, n. 2.
     भdp
    ${ }^{8}$ Aesch. III. 71.

[^52]:    1 Dem. XIX. 13-16.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Aesch. 11. 60 and III. 69, 70.

    - Aesch. 111. 68, 71.
    
     decree of $378-377$ B.C. in C. I. Att. II. no. 17 provides for a similar inscription upon
    
    

    6 See Dem. XIX. 144 (quoted above, note 2). The skill of Demosthenes in persuading the Assembly to adopt this proposition, which completely nullified the proposition of Philocrates, even if this passed with the excluding clause, is hardly

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ See quotations in the preceding note.
    2 Dem. xix. 16.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aesch. 11. 63-66: see end of 829 (above).
    ${ }^{4}$ Aesch. 111. 71, 72.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Aesch. 11. 74-77, where the substance of the speech is given. An historical mistake is made in 76, where he says that the Sicilian expedition was sent after the fortification of Decelea by the Spartans !

[^54]:    ${ }^{4}$ Dem. XIX. 154 .
    ${ }^{5}$ Demosthenes is said by Aeschines (11. 89) to have charged him with waiting in Oreus to secure appointments as $\pi \rho b \xi \epsilon \nu \circ \frac{1}{}$ for himself and some of his colleagues,
    

[^55]:    1 Dem. XIX. 169, 170.
    
    
    
     see \& 35 (above), and Dem. xIx. I59.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. XIX. 173.
    4 Ibid. 174.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 51, 323 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Aesch. 11. 97.
    
    
    ${ }^{6}$ Dem. Cor. 32 : बंveîtaı тap' aút

[^56]:    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Xix. 158.
    2 Ibid. 58. From the 3rd of Munychion, when the Senate directed the embassy to depart (see 839 ), to the $13^{\text {th }}$ of Scirophorion is 69 days. We do not know how soon the order of the Senate was obeyed.
    
    

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. xix. 122 (end).
    ${ }^{2}$ Aesch. II. 137.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. xix. 58, 123.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 73, 76, 77.
    ${ }^{5}$ See the calculation in Dem. xix. ${ }^{88}$, 59. Allowing four days for the news of the 16 th to reach the Phocians and three days more for making terms, he puts the surrender on the 23rd (July 17). Four days later (on the refpas $\phi \theta$ Lvovios, the 27th) the news came to the Assembly in the Piraeus. Usener (Rhein. Mus. xxxiv. 440), who omits the èváry $\phi \theta$ ipoytos (though it is expressly mentioned in the text of Demosthenes), places the surrender a day earlier. See Schaefer II. 282, note i. If we assume that Scirophorion this year had 30 days, there is no day to be omitted.
    ${ }^{6}$ Dem. xix. 60, 125 : cf. Aesch. 11. 94, 95.

[^58]:    
     n. 3) infers that the decree was not passed until after the next departure of the embassy (8 48). But Dem. XIX. 125 implies clearly that the decree was passed either at the meeting in the Piraeus or immediately afterwards; and the words of Aesch. state only that the execution (not the passage) of the decree followed his departure.
     seems to imply a reappointment of the embassy, and this agrees with Dem. XIX. 172,
     Demosthenes charges Aeschines with going on this embassy without any authority at all.
     III. 80.

    4 See Dem. Cor. 39, 40, with notes.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dem. XIX. 21, 127 . We have only the repeated authority of Demosthenes (see 8 44, above) for the reported statement of Aeschines on this point.

[^59]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 64-66. Demosthenes saw good reason for exclaiming roútwy $\delta$ eusbтepa ovं $\gamma \in \mathfrak{y}$ $\chi$ хpov $\varphi$. For a graphic account of the state of Phocis at this time, see Justin, vili. 5.
    
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Plut. Per. 21; Dem. 1x. $3^{2}$ (one of the doubtful passages), xix. 327 (end). For Sparta see Paus. x. 8, 2.
    ${ }^{5}$ Among the most interesting inscriptions recently found at Delphi are two of the fourth century b.c. containing business accounts of the Amphictyonic Council and especially of the board of yaomot, Temple-builders, who probably had charge of building the still unfinished temple (see $\mathbf{8 7}_{72}, \mathrm{p} .287$, note 3). See Bull. de Corresp. Hellén. 1896, pp. 197-241, 1898, pp. 303-328. During the time from 353 to 346 B.c. only two of the semi-annual meetings of this board are mentioned, one with four members present, and one in the spring of 348 with ten (a Delphian, an Athenian, two Locrians, a Megarian, an Epidaurian, a Lacedaemonian, two Corinthians, and a Phocian). Four times the omission of the meeting is noted, ov $\sigma v v_{j} \lambda \theta o v$. This was during the hardest stress of the Phocian War. But in the archonship of Damoxenus, which Bourguet identifies with great certainty as $3+6-345$ B.c., we find this entry: 'Exl
     Now there were present 36 members, including nine Thessalians and three Thebans (long strangers to Delphi), two Athenians, three Spartans, and one Delphian. No Phocians are present; but in their place is the ominous entry, $\Phi\left(\lambda \iota \pi \pi o s\right.$ Maxe $\delta \omega{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, Tuavopl8as Maxe $\delta \dot{\omega} v$ (Philip's name standing thus, the tenth in the list). This was at the meeting of the Council called by Philip in the autumn of 346, after the surrender of the Phocians (see above, 848). The vaorooo', being a permanent board, had not yet been reconstituted, except that Philip and another Macedonian had quietly stepped into the places of the absent Phocians.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Pythian games were celebrated in the third year of each Olympiad, near the end of the Delphic month Bourdrtos, which corfesponds generally to the second Attic month, Metageitnion. The year 346-345 b.c. began July 25. See Essay III. § 3, p. 329, n. 2. Pausanias $\times .7,8$ refers to this Pythian festival as $\pi \rho \dot{u} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta}$ חuvaíd éxl
    
    ${ }^{9}$ Diod. xvi. 60.
     Múvia $\pi \notin \mu \psi a t . ~ S e e ~ A e s c h y l . ~ E u m e n . ~ 9-16 . ~$

    - Dem. xIX. 111-113: this describes the exciting scene in the Assembly, ending with the sarcastic remark of Aeschines before- Philip's envoys (ii3), roddous rovs
     allusion to his own speech.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the whole speech On the Peace. For remarks on this speech, the genuineness of which has often been doubted, see Schaefer II. 295-303. The striking contrast between this and the Second and Third Philippics is to be explained by the difference in circumstances, which made the former a political necessity.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Cor. $44^{1}$ (see note).
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. v1. 22, 1x. 12, [vii.] 32 ; cf. Cor. 64, 65. For the later tetrarchies in Thessaly, see Ix. 26.

    - See vi. 9, 13, 15, 20-25.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Libanius, Hypoth. to Dem. Vi.
     Dion. Hal. (ad Amm. p. 737) speaks only of those from Peloponnesus. Schaefer (iI. 355) points out that the statement of Libanius is supported by the tone of vi. 28 -37 , which seems to be a reply to some complaints on the part of Philip.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. xix. 26 r.
    ${ }^{4}$ Diod. xvi. 63 ; Dem. xix. 260. For this relic of the Phocian army see 848 (above). It is probable that the three Elean traitors named in Dem. Cor. 29; belong to this time. For Aristratus, tyrant of Sicyon in Philip's time (Cor. 48, 295), see Plut. Arat. 13.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dem. Cor. $7^{3}$ ², xix. 294, 395 : cf. 87, 204, 326, 334, 1x. 17, 27, [x.] 9. Schaefer (iI. 366) refers the expedition of Phocion to the aid of Megara (Plut. Phoc. 15) to this time. Megara appears to be in friendly relation with Athens in 341-340: see Dem. Ix. 74 .

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Dem. Cor. 132, 133, with notes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Schaefer (11. 372-374) with great probability places the Delian contest in the spring of 343 B.c., when Demosthenes went to the Amphictyonic Council as avidropos
     and Aesch. III. $113,114$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. Cor. 134, 135.
    ${ }^{4}$ Some passages of this oration are to be found in the fragments of Hyperides, 67-75 (Bl.).
     appears from Apsines (ix. p. 547 W.) that this refers to Euthycrates.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Essay IV. 84.
    ${ }^{7}$ Dem. Cor. 136. For the date of Python's visit, see Schaefer II. 377, 378. He identifies this Python with great probability with one of the brothers, Python and Heraclides, of Aenos, who murdered Cotys and were afterwards received with honour at Athens: see Dem. xxili. 118, 119.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. 11. 125, with the Schol. (p. 65, 25).
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Cor. ${ }^{1} 6^{10}$; Epist. Phil. [Dem. xir.] 18.
    ${ }^{3}$ Heges. (Dem. vii.) 18, 25, 30, 31. For the authorship of this speech see p. 272,
     of the peace.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ Dem. xix. 33r. If we can trust a story told by Seneca (de Ira, III. 23, 2), which is referred to this occasion by Schaefer, of the insolence of Demochares, one of the embassy, we can easily pardon Philip for his rude treatment of the whole party. According to this, when Philip politely asked the embassy what he could do for them, Demochares replied, "Hang yourself."

    6 This letter, which is now lost, was read to both Senate and Assembly. It must not be confused with the later letter of Philip (written in 340 B.c.) of which document No. x11. among the speeches of Demosthenes purports to be a copy. (See § 68.)

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ This (No. viI. in editions of Demosthenes) is now universally recognized as a speech of Hegesippus: see Schaefer II. 440, 44 I with n. I. It professes to be made by the mover of the two proposals sent to Philip, who was also one of the embassy (2).
    ${ }^{2}$ Heges. 2-8: see $\S 66$ (below).
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 9-13: see p. 273, n. 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 14-16.

    - Ibid. 18-29.

    6 Ibid. 30-32.
    ${ }^{7}$ Ibid. 33-35, 38.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid. 36, 37, 39-44.
    ${ }^{9}$ He gives the replies in connection with the statements of Philip's demands.

[^66]:    
     generally established that restitution should be made for property confiscated in time of peace, Philip naturally desired some special security on this point. It was generally provided in $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta 0 \lambda a$ that suits should be brought in the defendant's court (forumt rei), so that suits of this nature would be tried in Macedonia, where Philip would have ample opportunity to take advantage of any ambiguous provisions in the treaty, such as he might easily smuggle in at a final revision.
    ${ }^{2}$ By the Attic law, such $\sigma \dot{\operatorname{j} \mu} \beta \mathrm{o} \lambda a$, after they were made by negotiation, like other treaties, must be ratified by the Heliastic Court under the presidency of the $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \theta \in \tau a u$.
    
    
    
     (as Meier and Schömann explain it, Att. Proc. p. 999) mean the $\theta \in \sigma \mu 0 \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ presiding over a court. Pollux (vili. 88) repeats Aristotle. The passage of Aristotle, interpreted in either way, with its distinction of $\sigma \delta \mu \beta 0 \lambda a$ and $\delta<\kappa \alpha a d \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \beta b \lambda \omega \nu$, now makes untenable the view of Reiske, which I once followed (Am. Journ. of Philol. 1. 10-12), that rav̂za in the speech on Halonnesus (above quoted) refers to the סixau and not to the $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta_{0} \lambda a$. See Att. Proc. roor. It seems that Philip and Athens both claimed the right of final ratification, of course with the option of rejecting the treaty altogether.
    
    
    G. D.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the late summer or autumn of 343 Aeschines was brought to trial on the charge of $\pi$ арат $\rho \in \sigma \beta e i a$, and acquitted by a small vote. See Essay IV. 87 .
    ${ }^{2}$ See 88 14, above.
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Dem. 1X. 57, 58, 66, Cor. $71^{5}, 79^{7}$; cf. Cor. $295^{18}$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dem. IX. 12, 58; cf. vili. 59.
    ${ }^{6}$ Dem. 1x. 59-62, 66, Cor. 8r. The somewhat earlier attempt of Philip to secure Megara ( $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{5} 2}$ ) is sometimes connected with his intrigues in Euboea. Both had the same object, to weaken Athens. The two are often mentioned together, as in Dem. Cor. 71, xix. 87, 334. For the final overthrow of the despotisms in Euboea by the help of Athens in 341-340, see 8 64, and note on Dem. Cor. 79 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$.
    ${ }^{7}$ Aesch. III. 91-93. We do not know whether the Athenian embassy which was rejected at Eretria about this time (see note 5, above) was sent also to negotiate with Chalcis; but this is highly probable. This embassy is the one mentioned in
    

[^68]:    
     makes no formal reply to these charges．
    ${ }^{2}$ See Paus．1． $11^{3-8}$ ，giving many details of the family history ；Just．VII．6， viII．6．I．
    ${ }^{3}$ Heges．32：see Schaefer 11． 426 （notes）．
    ${ }^{4}$ Dem．1x．27，34， 72 ：both Leucadia and Ambracia were Corinthian colonies． For Naupactus see 878 （below），p．294，with n． 3 ．
    
    ${ }^{6}$ See decree in his honour in C．I．Att．II．no． 115 ．
    7 Dem．IX．26：Өetra入ia тŵs EXeı；oưXl rds то入ırelas кai tds тb入ets aủtûv
     סou入єú̃のбเy ；cf．Cor．48， 295.
    ${ }^{5}$ See 86 （above）．

[^69]:     born July 21, 356 (see 83).
    ${ }^{2}$ To this refers the indignant remark in Dem. ix. 32, rois סoúlous àwroberthoortas $\pi \in \mu \pi е$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. vili. 6, IX. 15 : see Schaefer 1I. 451, notes.
    4 For a full discussion of these important events, which led directly to the renewal of the war with Philip, see the two orations of Demosthenes On the Chersonese (vili.) and the Third Philippic (1x.). See Grote XI. 623-625; Schaefer II. 450-455.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dem. vili. 16, $1 \mathrm{X} .16,27$; Hypoth. to vili. p. 89 ${ }^{8}$.
     ópljoнar. See also 1x. 9, 15-18, and many similar passages in this speech.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Ix. 70 - end.
    ${ }^{2}$ There is an interesting decree of 341-340 B.C. concerning Elaeus, a town on the southern point of the Chersonese, in C. I. Att. II. no. 116: eivau kal toîs 'Enauovolocs
    
    
     סeînvor eifs rd apu\}raveîoy els aüploy. In no. 7or Elaeus and other towns in the Chersonese are recorded as offering crowns to the people of Athens in 347-346. See C. Curtius in Hermes iv. 407. Cf. Dem. xxili. 158. Schaefer (iI. 482) refers C. I. Att. nos. 136 and 137 to this time.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. Cor. 59, 88, 118, 298 ( $\mu \varepsilon \gamma l \sigma t \omega \nu . . . \pi \rho o \sigma t d s$ ) : in Cor. 320 he compares his power at this period with his humble position after Chaeronea, when Aeschines and his party aguin became powerful and insolent. Aeschines (iII. 130) alludes to
     ţovalas.

    4 Dem. Cor. 88, 94, 244; Aesch. III. 256.

[^71]:     the Kings of Thrace see Epist. Phil. 8-10.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Epist. Phil. 6: this shows that the result of the Persian mission was not yet known. See below, \& 67, n. 2. Aeschines (iII. 238) probably refers to the
     contrary, in Vit. X. Orat. 847 F, 848 E , the King is said to have sent 3000 darics to Demosthenes, and also a gift to Hyperides. (A daric, or gold stater, by weight of gold, would be about (II. 2s. Iod.) Aristotle (Rhet. II. 8, if) mentions money sent by the King to Diopithes, which came after his death. See Schaefer 11. 483. It is hard to see why, on the eve of a war with Philip, there was any crime in receiving money or other help from Persia, to be used against a common enemy. "Persian gold" was still a phrase for demagogues to conjure by, a century and a half after the term had any real meaning, as "British gold " still is in certain quarters in the United States.
    
     'Podlous. A $\lambda$ doys 'Podiakbs and probably a Xcaxbs of Hyperides are mentioned : see frag. 161 and 194 (Bl.), and Böhnecke, Forschungen I. p. 461 (with note, p. 657). Diod. xvi. 77 mentions help sent to Byzantium by Chios, Cos, and Rhodes, the three islands which had joined her in the Social War. See Schaefer II. 484, n. 2.

    4 Aesch. III. 94-98; Schaefer II. 486-489.
    ${ }^{5}$ Dem. Cor. 237, where he includes the later Theban allies. He also includes the Leucadians and Corcyraeans, and omits the Ambraciots. For the Acamanians see

[^72]:    
    
     scholia on Aesch. III. 85 (Jahrb. fut Philol. 1866, p. 28), assigning June 341 as the date of this event. In Dem. IX. 66 (before midsummer 341) we find Philistides in full power at Oreus.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Byzantium one of the triremes which he had given for Euboea the year before.
    
    
    
    
     крariay кartornoav. (See Schaefer II. 495, n. 3.) Eretria was probably freed in the spring of 340 B.C.

    4 See Dem. Cor. $83^{9-4}$, with note.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. 111. 223, 224 ; Dem. Cor. 137. Demosthenes must have acted here in some official capacity, as in the case of Antiphon in 344 (see 853 , above). Demosthenes was probably a guest of Anaxinus on some official visit to Oreus, perhaps on one of the embassies of 346 (see Dem. XIX. 155, 163), when Anaxinus may have been the $\pi \rho 6 \xi \in \operatorname{pos}$ of Athens. The reply of Demosthenes to Aeschines with regard to the
    
    

    2 Dem. Cor. $70^{1}$ : see the Schol. (p. $248^{5}$ ) ; Epist. Phil. [Dem. XII.] 12, 13 .
    3 Plut. Moral. p. 457 F, Phil. Apophth. (26), p. 179 A.

    + See § 63 (above); Dem. Cor. 87.

[^74]:     $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \underline{̣}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Whether this efficient help to Perinthus was the result of the Athenian embassy which Ochus repulsed a year earlier (see \& 63) is not known. The King now seems to take great personal interest in checking Philip. See Diod. xvi. 75: $\dot{j}$ קacthevs...
     29, 10. In Alexander's letter, Arrian II. 14, 5; Ochus himself is said to have sent a
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ For the details of the sieges of Perinthus and Byzantium, of which only the latter is mentioned by Demosthenes (Cor. 71, 87), and for Philip's improved engines of war, see Schaefer II. 502, 503, 507-513, with the authorities cited. The inscriptions in C. I. Att. II. nos. $808 c, 82$, and $809 d, 220$ and $236-238$ (also in Boeckh, Seewesen, pp. 442, 498) show that Chares was in command of a fleet in $341-340$, and Phocion in $340-339$. As we know that Chares was present at the siege of Byzantium, which began in 340-339, it appears that his comnand extended into this year. See Porphyr. Tyr. (Müller iII. p. 692): $\sigma v \mu \mu a \chi o i ́ v t \omega y$
    

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Dem. Cor. $44^{1}$ with note, and 851 (above).
    ${ }^{2}$ Our only account of this Scythian expedition, except a few incidental allusions, is found in Justin IX. 2 and prologue to Ix. See also Lucian, Macrob. II: 'Atéas $\delta \varepsilon$
    
    
    
     at the time of the regular meeting of the Amphictyonic Council (Aug. or Sept.), he had already returned, and he was then made general of the Amphictyons (Dem. Cor. 152; Aesch. III. 129).
    ${ }^{3}$ Cor. 102-108: see note on $103^{3}$.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Cor. 145, 146.
    ${ }^{2}$ See above 8851,60 .
    ${ }^{2}$ See IX. 34 (w. Schol.) ; Aesch. III. 140; Schaefer II. 538, 539.
    ${ }^{+}$Cor. 147.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cor. 149.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. 111. II5-118. The destruction of Cirrha and the consecration of its plain took place in 586 B.C., at the end of the ten years' Sacred War. (See Clinton, Fasti Hellen.)
    ${ }^{2}$ The walls of Crissa, enclosing a large space on the brink of the cliff, are still to be seen, though buried and overgrown so as often to escape observation. They are an excellent example of the wall-building with which Thucydides (1.93) contrasts the walls of Themistocles, consisting of two thin.shells of stone, with rubble and clay between them. Apparent remains of the moles of the accursed harbour of Cirrha are also to be seen on the shore of the gulf.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. III. $119-122$.
    ${ }^{2}$ This seems to be the meaning of the obscure words (Aesch. 124), Exouras
    

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. III. 122-127.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Cor. 152: see the whole description 149-153.
    ${ }^{3}$ See the end of 129 , with its mysterious and obscure language, and the preceding narrative. For the allusion to Elatea see 140.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. Cor. 213.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. $163-168$.
    
     the Dorian Tetrapolis see Grote II. 387, 388.

    - See Dem. Cor. 169-188, 211 -216.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aesch. III. 142.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dem. Cor. ${ }^{156,158 .}$
    
    
     Theopompus adds dolxws and rap' dklav, which Plutarch corrects to кal $\pi d v v \pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\eta \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega s$. This is a continuation of the passage quoted in $\S 78$, n. 4, p. 294
    ${ }^{4}$ Dem. Cor. 216, 217. See inscriptions in which Athenians are honoured for bravery in battles in this year, C. I. Att. II. no. 562, with Köhler's remarks. See Schaefer II. 556.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dem. Cor. 218, 222.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. 222, 223.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Polyaen. IV. 2, 8.
     ili. 147.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Schaefer 11. 559, with n. 2. He thus restores (from Suid., фpovpriбets iv
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Plut. Phoc. 16 ; Schaefer II. 559, 560. Phocion is probably the general against
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Aesch. III. 148-151.
    ${ }^{6}$ Diod. xvi. 86.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Boeckh, Mondcyclen, p. 29, the Attic year 338-337 (O1. 110, 3) began July 27 , the preceding year being a leap year of 384 days. This would make the seventh of Metageitnion our first of September. Boeckh afterwards expressed doubts as to the beginning of $338-337$, thinking it possible that $339-338$ had only 354 days: this would make the battle fall on our second of August. See Schaefer II. 561, 562 (note); and Curtius, Griech. Gesch., Book viI. note 96.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the iepds $\lambda \delta 0$ os and their fate see Plut. Pelop. 18.
    ${ }^{3}$ Justin IX. 3 : cum Athenienses longe maiore militum numero praestarent, assiduis bellis indurata virtute Macedonum vincuntur.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Lycurg. Leoc. 39, 40.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lycurg. Leoc. 37, 41 ; Hyper. fr. 29 (Bl.). When Hyperides was indicted by
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ See Vit. X. Orat. 849 a for this, and for the quotation in the preceding note.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. 852 C ; Paus. I. 29, 16.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Cor. 248 $^{10}$ and note; Lycurg. Leoc. 44. Aeschines, 111. 236, casts a slur
    
     alreî.
    ${ }^{5}$ On the behaviour of Athens after Chaeronea see, in general, Schaefer 111. 4-16, with the references.

    6 Justin IX. $4^{6}$ : Thebanorum porro non modo captivos verum etiam interfectorum sepulturam vendidit.
    ${ }^{7}$ Diod. xvi. 87 ; Paus. Ix. 1, 8 ; Justin IX. 4 .

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diod. xvi. 87, where the reproach of Demades to the drunken Philip im-
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Suid. under $\Delta \eta \mu d \delta \eta$ (3); Aesch. 111. 227 ; Dem. Cor. 282, 284. For Phocion see Schaefer 1II. 25, n. 1 .
    ${ }^{3}$ See note on Cor. $287^{4}$, with the references.
    
    
     cum amico Antipatro, qui pacem cum his amicitiamque iungeret. Diod. xvi. 87.
     Фi入imaov кaтjrioo. That Philip must have bound himself neither to enter Attica with an army nor the Piraeus with warships, Schaefer, III. 27, 28, argues from [Dem.] xviI.
    
    

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Schol. to Dem. Cor. 99 (p. 259, 10). Demades frag. 1. 9 (Didot): Eypaqa
    
     Irpaya. This seems to imply that Philip included the transfer of Oropus in his original message sent by Demades (see Schaefer ini. 27).
    ${ }^{2}$ For the islands left to Athens see Schaefer III. 28, n. 1.
    
    
     of the Hellespont, with the Chersonese and Byzantium.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Demosthenes, Cor. 231, refers to the good fortune of Athens in escaping the fate of Thebes.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. Cor. 320.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. $286{ }^{6}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 248-250.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. $\mathbf{3 8 5}$.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ The references in ( ) are made to sections of the Historical Sketch.

[^89]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{8}$ The Supreme Courts of the several states have the same right of declaring unconstitutional and null acts of their own state legislatures, as conflicting with either the state constitution or the U.S. constitution. There is an appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court in the latter case, but only when the state court upholds the state law.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Const. of U.S. Art. 6: "This constitution, and the laws of the United States made in pursuance thereof,...shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the constitution or laws of any state to the contrary notwithstanding."
    ${ }^{2}$ A decree of the King in Council, annulling a provincial act of nearly thirty years' standing, issued Feb. 15, 1727-28, is given in the Massachusetts Hist. Collections, Series vi. vol. 5, pp. 496-509.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the whole subject of American Constitutional Law, see Bryce, Am. Commonwealth 1. Chap. 23; and J. B. Thayer, Am. Doctrine of Constitutional Law, Boston, 1893.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ A lower Federal Court can declare a law unconstitutional, and the decision naturally stands as a precedent in the court which made it, and for other courts of the same grade, as regards the case in question, unless it is reversed on appeal to the Supreme Court.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Thayer, ibid. pp. 13-36.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aristot. Pol. vi. (Iv.) 4, 88 22-28.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^92]:    
    
    
     100, 10I; and see Meier and Schömann 43 I and notes; Gilbert, Gr. Staatsalt. I. p. 284, n. 1 ; Thumser-Hermann, Staatsalt. 今8 92, n. 2.

    2 This view has been defended by such passages as Poll. vini. 56, ivrounola $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$
     and Lycurg. Leoc. 7. Meier and Schömann refer all these to the custom of introducing extraneous matter into arguments on the rpaфो тарал $6 \mu \omega \nu$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aristot. Pol. Ath. $59^{6}$ (see Sandys's note); Dem. XXIV. 33 (law), édy $\delta<$ tis 入úras
    

[^93]:     $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi / \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon \operatorname{co\nu } \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \mathbf{\nu} \mu \mathrm{v}$. This law, like others in the Timocratea, is often quoted as authentic, and is probably so in substance : see Thumser-Hermann, Staatsalt. \& 91 ${ }^{6}$.

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ This appears in the title of the speech of Demosthenes, $\pi \rho \delta s$ Aєrtivyl, not kard Lertivov. See Meier and Schömann, p. 203.
    ${ }^{2}$ For a discussion of this point see Sandys's Leptines, pp. xxiv., xxviii. Cf. Dion.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ I confine myself to the chief legal arguments.
    4 On the last argument see Sandys's note on 8156 , with the quotations from Westermann and Dareste. Arguments (c) and (d) probably relate to the same law with (b).

[^95]:    1 Aesch. III. 27 : this shows that the ten reixorood were to be chosen in the last month of Chaerondas (338-337), to serve during the following year. As Ctesiphon's bill proposed to crown Demosthenes during his year of office, and as the bill was indicted shortly after it passed the Senate, the bill and the indictment belong to the year of Phrynichus (337-336). This agrees with the statement of Aeschines (219) that he brought the indictment before Philip's death (summer of 336), and with other data. See note 2, p. 329. The spurious indictment and decree (Dem. Cor. 54, 118) give two wrong names for the archon.
     Orat. 845 F; and 851 A (decree), \&o táфpovs repl rdy IIeıpaî taфpévoas, but stating the amount given as three talents. See a decree for repairing the walls, passed a few years later, in C. I. Att. 11. no. 167.
    ${ }^{3}$ Aesch. III. 25, 26.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. Cor. 285.
    ${ }^{2}$ As the bill of Ctesiphon was proposed in $337-336$, we may assume that Demosthenes was to be crowned at the Great Dionysia of that year.
    
     more strongly to a $\pi \rho \circ \beta \frac{j}{\lambda} \lambda \in \cup \mu$.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plutarch (Alex. 31) says that the battle of Arbela was fought eleven days after an eclipse of the moon: this occurred Sept. 20, 331 b.c. See Boeckh, Mondcyclen, pp. 41, +2.
    ${ }^{2}$ We have several independent data which fix this time. (I) See Dion. Hal. ad Amm. I. 12 (p. 746): oitos (the speech on the Crown) rap $\mu$ bvos els $\delta i x a \sigma$ тtipuov
    
    
     This places the date after midsummer 330 b.c. (See Schaefer 11I. p. 224, note.) (2) The year $330-329$ began June 28 (Boeckh, Mondcyclen, p. 42). The death of Darius occurred in Hecatombaeon (i.e. July) of this year: Arrian III. 222. The news of this had not come to Athens before the trial, as Aeschines (132) speaks of him as a fugitive. This would not allow the trial to be later than August. (3) Again,
     games came in the third year of each Olympiad near the end of the Delphic month Boukdrtos, which corresponds to the second month of the Attic year (Metageitnion). This would place the trial near the middle of August. See Unger, Sitzungsberichte of the Munich Academy, 1879, 11. p. 177; Köhler's remarks on C. I. Att. II. nos. 545, 551.
    

[^98]:    
     тарауevoutrous.
    ${ }^{2}$ Id. 197; Harpocration under $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \dot{\nu} \eta \eta$ خ $\mu \dot{f} \rho a$.
    
     ouvterayutvor aúrஸ̂ тpooluov.
    ${ }^{5}$ Id. II. 184
    ${ }^{6}$ Id. III. 202-205.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ The speech of Demosthenes is universally praised as a consummate work of art. When we think of the tremendous stake which he had at risk in the case, and remember that he had six years' warning of the crisis which was sure to come sooner or later, it seems incredible that he should have left the elaboration of his speech to any extent to future revision. In the speech of Aeschines there are such definite allusions to passages in the reply of Demosthenes, that we cannot escape the conclusion that they are later additions. There is nothing in the speech of Demosthenes which is impossible or even strange in a reply. I have tried to show that what has sometimes been mistaken for confusion in the narrative part of his speech is really the result of the highest art in the arrangement of his argument (see Essay i. 8 4, p. 310).
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     of the partial arcula here mentioned is uncertain. The above quotation from Theophrastus would seem to imply that it consisted in the loss of the right to bring
    
     which are classed with rpapal (see Poll. vill. 40, 41). But see Andoc. 1. 76, ètepors
    
    
    
     is more exact in his expression rpduaodal mapav $\delta \mu \omega \nu$, and that a similar qualification is implied in the other passages, so that the drupos would forfeit his right to bring the same form of $\begin{aligned} \text { paph } \\ \text { in which he was defeated. Otherwise a plaintiff who failed to }\end{aligned}$ receive a fifth of the votes in the smallest kind of $\gamma \rho a \phi$ 分 would lose the right to bring
     to bring this unusual form of public suit.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ The insignificance of Timarchus will hardly account for his appearance as prosecutor in this case; for Demosthenes would represent the suit publicly, whoever were his associates. Timarchus had been a strong and active opponent of Philip. As Senator in 347-346, he proposed a decree that any one who should be convicted of carrying arms or naval implements to Philip should be punished by death (Dem. xix. 286). It must also be remembered that the charges against Timarchus related to his youth and were probably forgotten by most people. He was a Senator in 36r, and therefore at least thirty years old then, so that in 345 he was at least forty-six. It is to be noticed that Aeschines makes the venality of the offence his sole ground for his accusation of Timarchus: he even confesses that apart from this he has no objection to the relation in question. See 1. 137, ro $\mu$ èv dסcaфөbposs éparoal $\phi \eta \mu$
     1. 132-165 gives a striking view of what it was safe for an orator to say in public, even in attacking a man like Timarchus. See Schaefer 11. 338-340, and Dem. xIx. 286.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Dem. VI. 28-37, Ix. 36-40; even in his speech on the Peace, v. 9, 10 , he shows plainly who are responsible for the present necessity of submitting to Philip's demands. See also xix. I34--136, 207 .

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the state process called cifarre入ia, see Meier and Schömann, pp. 312-332,
     trial of (1) those charged with conspiracy against the democracy of Athens, (2) those charged with betraying towns or military or naval forces to public enemies, or with holding treasonable communication with these, (3) orators (p)tropas) charged with being bribed by public enemies to give evil advice to the people. See Hyper. Eux. $\$_{8} \mathbf{7 , 8}$ (coll. 22, 23). It will be seen that cifarreNa, so far from being applicable chiefly (or only) to crimes which were not provided for in the laws (as was once believed), is definitely restricted to certain high offences, all of which, moreover, might be dealt with by other processes, as is seen in the similar cases of Philocrates and Aeschines.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     reqрa $\mu \mu \dot{\nu}$ ov. This will give some idea of the formalities observed in the eloarrena.
    
    
    

    + Aesch. 11. 6, 1II. 79, 8I; Dinarch. I. 28.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dem. xix. 116 -ir 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 4-8, 177-179.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. 106 - 110.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Hist. $\$ 3$ 36, 37.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aesch. II. 119. The best that Aeschines could say on this subject thirteen years later is seen in 111. 79-83.
    
    4 Ibid. 179, 180.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid. 184.
    
    
    

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the Delphians see Foucart's note in Bull. de Corresp. Hellén., 1883, p. 437. Theopompus (frag. 80) gives the Dolopians and Achaeans, as independent of Perrhaebians and Phthiotians (who are also given); and he omits the Thessalians and Locrians. Pausanias ( $\mathrm{x} .8,2$ ) gives only ten names, omitting the Delphians and Boeotians: he gives the Phocians (and no Macedonians), otherwise agreeing essentially with the Delphic inscription.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note on Demosth. F. Legat. p. 419, 22, with references to Lucian and to Corsini, Fasti Att. (Florence 1742), II. p. 372, under Tokaplסeıa. Corsini refers to Lucian's $\Sigma x u ́ \theta \eta s$, and to the residence and death of Toxaris in Athens and his deification; but he makes no allusion to Demosthenes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lucian, Scyth. 2. See C. I. Att. II. nos. 403, 404, two inscriptions, probably of the third and second centuries B.C., which show an active interest in the worship of the Hero Physician, whose shrine is said to be éváaret.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ After all the entries of this famous ms., from its first appearance as $\Delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ oo $\theta$ tvns, $\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a \mu \eta \nu 6 v$, it is described as "chartaceus" in the catalogue of 1740 , which was recently still in use. This remained uncorrected until 1854, when I was permitted to change " chartaceus" to " membranaceus."

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the authorities for this pedigree see the Centralblatt fir Bibliothekswesen, 1884, pp. 333-412, and Omont's valuable preface to the facsimile of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ (Paris, 1892), pp. 4, 5 .
    ${ }^{2}$ See Vömel, Contiones, p. 219 ; Sandys, Introd. to Lept., p. xxxix.
    ${ }^{2}$ Unser Platontext, in Götting. Nachrichten, 1892, Pp. 197-199.

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ Euvres complèles de Démosthène. Fac-simile du manuscrit grec 2934 de la Bibliothèque Nationale, publié par Henri Omont. 2 vols. Paris, 1892.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the elaborate account of $\Sigma$, with a discussion of its virtues and its faults, in Vömel's Introduction to the Contiones, pp. 219-243. This is reprinted in full in Omont's preface to the facsimile edition.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the following places I have noted errors or omissions in Vömel's citations of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ for the oration on the Crown. None of these, so far as $I$ know, have been corrected by later editors. I give only the readings of $\Sigma$.
    
    
    
    
    
     above the line. Further examination would probably disclose other cases.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ De codicibus quibusdam Demosthenicis ad orationem Phil. iII. nondum adhibitis.
    ${ }^{2}$ Schultz, P. 16.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Vömel, Contiones, p. 194; Usener, Unser Platontext, p. 189.
    ${ }^{2}$ I have cited V 6, when it agrees with A 1 , only in $88 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}-25$; after this only when it differs from $\mathbf{A}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Essay vini.
    ${ }^{4}$ See table L at the end of Vömel's Contiones, and the table at the end of Christ's Atticusausgabe ; Usener's Platontext, p. 196, with n. 31 .

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ This appears in xxi. 133, where the scholia give the reading of the $\delta \eta \mu \omega \delta \delta_{\eta s}$,
     E $\dot{\beta}$ oias ( $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ). Here $\mathbf{Y}$ has the unintelligible reading 'Aproúpas $\tau \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{E} \dot{\boldsymbol{u} \beta o i a s, \text { with }}$ dpprpâs corrupted to 'Aproúpas, but not yet emended by grammarians. Again, in
    
     $\delta \eta \mu \omega \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ s of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ etc.). See Usener, Unser Platontext, pp. 188, 189.

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ Christ, Die Atticusausgabe des Demosthenes, Munich, 1882; also in Abhandl. d. k. bayer. Akad. xvi. 3, p. 155.

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schanz in Hermes, 1881, pp. 309 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Christ, Atticusausgabe, etc., and Usener, Unser Platontext, in the Götting. Nachrichten, 1892, pp. 191, 192.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Ritschl in the New Rhein. Mus. II. p. 453, n. 8; and Sauppe in the Abhandl. d. xxv. Philologenversammlung, 1867, pp. 81, 82.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ Christ, Atticusausgabe, p. 4I.
    ${ }^{2}$ I add the interval marked by $I$, which I find in $\Sigma$, to the nine given by Christ from Bav.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Christ, Atticusausgabe d. Demosthenes, p. 14.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 15.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 351, note 2. The Second Philippic has about 148 lines of $\Sigma$ to the standard 100, the First Philippic about 154, and the oration on the Chersonese about 152 (all without documents).
    ${ }^{2}$ For a full discussion of the documents in the text of Demosthenes, see E. Drerup, Jahrbilcher für class. Philologie, 24th Suppl. Band, 1898, pp. 221-366.

