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U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

| Multiply | by | To obtain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inches | 25.4 | millimeters |
|  | 2.54 | centimeters |
| square inches | 6.452 | square centimeters |
| cubic inches | 16.39 | cubic centimeters |
| feet | 30.48 | centimeters |
|  | 0.3048 | meters |
| square feet | 0.0929 | square meters |
| cubic feet | 0.0283 | cubic meters |
| yards | 0.9144 | meters |
| square yards | 0.836 | square meters |
| cubic yards | 0.7646 | cubic meters |
| ```miles square miles``` | 1.6093 | kilometers |
|  | 259.0 | hectares |
| knots | 1.852 | kilometers per hour |
| acres | 0.4047 | hectares |
| foot-pounds | 1.3558 | newton meters |
| millibars | $1.0197 \times 10^{-3}$ | kilograms per square centimeter |
| ounces | 28.35 | grams |
| pounds | 453.6 | grams |
|  | 0.4536 | kilograms |
| ton, long | 1.0160 | metric tons |
| ton, short | 0.9072 | metric tons |
| degrees (angle) | 0.01745 | radians |
| Fahrenheit degrees | 5/9 | Celsius degrees or Kelvins ${ }^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: $C=(5 / 9)(F-32)$.

To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: $K=(5 / 9)(F-32)+273.15$.

# EVALUATION OF FISH POPULATIONS ADJACENT TO BORROW AREAS OF BEACH NOURISHMENT PROJECT, HALLANDALE (BROWARD COUNTY), FLORIDA 

by<br>WaZter R. Courtenay, Jr. Ben C. Hartig<br>and<br>Gerard R. LoiseI

## I. INTRODUCTION

For several years, a significant number of beaches along the southeastern coast of Florida have undergone severe erosion (U.S. Army Engineer District, Jacksonville, 1965). A major beach nourishment and restoration program in this area, the Broward County Beach Erosion Control Project, was authorized by the Rivers and Harbors Act of 27 October 1965. One segment of the project, Hallandale in southeastern Broward County, was nourished with offshore sand between 21 July and 21 September 1971. Courtenay, et al. (1973, 1974) noted damage by dredging activities off Hallandale to an extensive area of offshore patch reefs extending out to and including the offshore edge of the second reef platform. Damage was reported as being most evident within a radius of 400 to 800 meters of the borrow area; however, a reevaluation of data indicates the area of damage was between 130 and 220 meters of the nearest borrow area, encompassing an area of approximately 2.5 square kilometers. The reef damage was attributed to rehanding of fill material, to the type of dredging equipment used, and to a piece of dredging equipment that overturned in a storm (Courtenay, et al., 1973, 1974). Algae and permanently attached bivalve mollusks were killed by burial. Soft corals were not affected but hard corals showed substantial damage. Motile species such as lobsters, crabs, shrimps, and fishes apparently left the area during dredging but began to reappear within 4 months following cessation of dredging activities.

This study assesses the status of fish populations within the borrow areas, in areas of reef damage, and adjacent areas within approximately 0.5 kilometer from the borrow areas off Hallandale, 7 years after dredging. The data were compared with those obtained during a previous study (Courtenay, et al., 1974).

Although the assessment techniques used in the 1971-72 study differ from those used during this project, valid comparisons were made. The 1971-72 study was conducted using the ichthyocide
rotenone as the primary sampling technique. While rotenone will kill most fishes and many of the more cryptic or secretive species, it is not a quantitative sampling method; several larger fishes, especially sharks, rays, and some pelagic and benthic bony fishes will actively avoid rotenone.

The present study utilized an observational and recording technique adapted from Jones and Thompson (1978). The need for replicate series sampling precluded the use of ichthyocides. Jones and Thompson compared results of their technique in reefs at John Pennekamp State Park off Key Largo (Monroe County), Florida with Starck's (1968) study using rotenone at Alligator Reef (Monroe County), Florida. Their study showed that the observational and recording technique of eight replicates per station accounted for 93.5 percent of the more commonly occurring suprabenthic (abovebottom) fishes on Florida reefs. However, the technique did not account for the majority of cryptic species.

## II. MATERIALS, METHODS, AND PROCEDURES

The assessment of fish populations adjacent to borrow areas off Hallandale began in September 1978 and was completed in March 1979. Fishes were observed and recorded by a technique adapted from Jones and Thompson (1978). The procedure required a pair of observers, equipped with scuba, a watch, and an underwater writing slate. The divers were allowed 50 minutes to locate and record as many fish species as possible within the confines of the study area. There were no specific transects.

The 50 -minute diving time was subdivided into five 10minute periods. Species were recorded only once and tallied in the specific 10 -minute interval in which they were first seen. Fishes occurring within the first 10 -minute interval were given a score of five, those within the second interval a four, etc., to the fifth interval for a score of one. The assumption is that the species occurring within the earliest time intervals are likely to be the most abundant.

Each diver's species tally at the end of the 50 -minute dive was considered as one run. There were 10 runs or replicates made in the surf zone, 12 runs on the first reef, and 12 runs on the second reef. The number of runs was considered sufficient in a particular area when no new species were observed in two consecutive dives.

The scores for each species from each run were summed and averaged. The values ranged from 0.08 to 5.0 . These figures were then plotted on a graph against their frequency of occurrence. Cutoff points were then assigned five abundance ratings: species with values ranging from 0.08 to 0.42 were considered rare (R); 0.50 to 1.58 , occasional ( 0 ); 1.67 to 2.75 , frequent ( $F$ ); and 2.83 to 3.92 , common (C). A species with a value ranging from 4.0 to 5.0 was given an abundant (A) rating. These ratings were then compared with those of Courtenay, et al. (1974).

Lists of fish species observed are given in the Appendix. They are cited by families in a sequence after Bailey, et al. (1970). Starck's (1968) method of denoting primary reef species (P) and secondary reef species (S) has been followed. Primary reef species are species characteristically associated with the reefs. Secondary reef species are species which, though normal residents of reef areas, are more ubiqitous in their selection and utilization of habitats.

Seine and hand nets, underwater photography, and the anesthetic quinaldine were used to aid in the collection and identification of some species.

## III. DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA

Hallandale, located in southeastern Broward County (Fig. 1), is bordered by a single rock groin to the north and a series of apartment or condominium buildings at the southern limit. Off Hallandale, three areas or zones of study were used to investigate the long-term effects of beach nourishment on the fishes of the area: the surf zone, the first reef, and the outer or second reef (Fig. 2).

The surf zone is an area of sandy bottom, extending from the beach to approximately 10 meters offshore. Beyond the surf zone is an area of sandy bottom that extends an additional 40 meters out to the inshore edge of the first reef. The substrate throughout this area appears to consist of dredged fill subsequently eroded from the beach by wave action. The water in this area is usually milk-colored due to wave action on fine silts. Fishes inhabiting the surf zone are generally small and characteristic of sandy areas. The water depth in the surf zone reaches 1 meter.

The first reef has a predominantly low profile, with its inshore margin located approximately 50 meters and its offshore edge approximately 100 meters from the beach. The water depth is 5 to 7 meters. The northern part is mostly flat and barren, of patchy rock with few live corals present. Few fishes are seen on this section of the reef. Southward along the reef edge, the height increases with a prominent ledge approximately 1 meter high originating near the southern boundary of the study area (Fig. 3). This higher relief part of the reef is characterized by much more cover and an increase in live corals and diversity of the fishes present. The water over the first reef is also usually turbid, but less so than in the surf zone (Marsh, et al., in preparation, 1980). Beyond the first reef is an expanse of sandy bottom that extends for approximately 60 meters to the inshore edge of the second reef. The second reef is approximately 500 meters wide with an 8- to 13 -meter water depth. The water is usually clearer than at the first reef. The second reef has a higher profile, with an extensive outside ledge that reaches 3 meters in some places (Fig. 4). This reef has a profusion of live, soft and hard corals and a large network of potholes and small ledges which afford more cover to the


Figure 1. Location of study area and plan of southeastern Florida shelf morphology (after Duane and Meisburger, 1969).
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Figure 2. Shelf morphology off Hallandale.

Figure 3. Higher profile area of first reef platform.


Figure 4. Outside ledge area of second reef platform.
fishes inhabiting this area (Fig. 5). The fish fauna of the second reef consists of larger and more numerous fishes than on the first reef. The "typical" reef fishes, such as angelfishes (Chaetodontidae), butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae) and damselfishes (Pomacentridae), are better represented in both species and numbers. Some of the larger and faster fishes, such as cero mackerel (Scomberomorus regalis) and blue runner (Caranx crysos), were observed on the second reef and not on the first reef.


Figure 5. Top of second reef platform.

## IV. RESULTS

Courtenay, et al. (1974) noted considerable turbidity nearshore off the nourished beach. This condition still exists with underwater visibility rarely exceeding 2 meters. The bottom is strewn with rocks and fine sand and silt. Much of this material covers the first reef. Underwater visibility over the first reef was generally between 3 to 4 meters but increased to 6 to 8 meters over the second reef.

A total of 114 species of fishes belonging to 36 families was observed in the study area (App.). Nine species belonging to seven families were found in the surf zone. The permit (Trachinotus fatcatus) was the most abundant species in this area. The spotfin mojarra (Eucinostomus argenteus) and the sand drum (Umbrina coroides) were also common. Lack of cover, wave action, and limited
food are among the factors limiting the number of fish species occupying the surf zone.

On the first reef 67 species representing 26 families of fishes (see App.) were observed. Courtenay, et al. (1974) suggested that the low profile of this reef area provides limited cover and, therefore, restricts the number of species of fishes found there. The most abundant species found on this reef in 1978-79 was the slippery dick (Halichoeres bivittatus). Tomtate (Haemulon aurolineation), high-hat (Equetus acrminatus), cocoa damselfish (Pomacentrus variabilis), bluehead (Thalassoma bifasciatum), redtail parrotfish (Sparisoma chrysoptemm), and doctorfish (Acanthurus chipurgus) were also abundant. Sizable aggregations of grunts (Pomadasyidae), angelfishes, and parrotfishes (Scaridae) occurred at specific locations on the first reef. Damselfishes were also well represented.

Courtenay, et al. (1974) recorded the dusky jawfish (Opistognathus whitehursti), a burrowing species, as common along the platform of the first reef. No specimens of this fish were observed there in 1978-79. The absence of this species is probably caused by the substrate having been altered by incursion of finer materials, possibly eroded beach fill materials.

Eighty-nine species belonging to 30 families of fishes were observed on the second reef (App.). The most abundant species was the bluehead. Bicolor damselfish (Pomacentrus partitus), slippery dicks, and doctorfish were also abundant. Gobies (Gobiidae), parrotfishes, angelfishes, grunts, and sea basses (Serranidae) were also well represented on this reef. Certain species such as the longspine squirrelfish (Holocentrus rufus), tobaccofish (Serranus tabacarius), harlequin bass (Serranus tigrinus), and blue chromis (Chromis cyaneus) were present on the seaward side of the second reef but absent on the inshore side.

The blue goby (Ioglossus calliurus), a burrowing fish, was seen frequently in the sandy area adjacent to the second reef. Another burrowing species, the yellowhead jawfish (Opistognathus aurifrons), however, was rare.

Other fishes observed over the sandy areas adjacent to the second reef include the sand perch (Diplectrum formosum), tobaccofish, yellowfin mojarra (Gerres cinereus), and bridled goby (Coryphoptems glaucofraenm).

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Courtenay, et al. (1974) list 42 species belonging to 24 families of fishes as having been collected in the area of the Hallandale beach restoration project; they emphasize, however, that sampling in the study area was incomplete. The present study revealed the presence of 114 species of fishes belonging to 36 families. In the 1971-72 survey, fish collections were made using the ichthyocide rotenone. The present study used underwater observations primarily and the anesthetic quinaldine as an incidental collecting method.

The results of the present study demonstrate that although the fish fauna in the Hallandale area is rich, the dusky jawfish may have been affected adversely by dredging activities and later by beach erosion. The first reef appears to have been affected directly by deposition of sediment that further reduced the bottom relief and grain size of the substrate.

The dusky jawfish, a shallow-water burrowing species, has been used as an indicator species in studies of the effects of beach nourishment projects (Courtenay, et al., 1974). Thompson (1974) showed that this species typically burrows in sand areas at the edge of a vertical surface, usually of rock or dead coral, and never on a level surface. There these fishes excavate permanent burrows on the reef platform which they abandon only under conditions of considerable stress. In the previous study (Courtenay, et al., 1974), dusky jawfish were common on the first reef. This species was not observed during this study. The absence of this species probably can be attributed to an alteration of the substrate and habitat possibly due to deposition of fill materials. The fine-grained sediment is unstable for burrowing. Deposition on the first reef also reduced the available vertical surfaces. During 1971-72, the first reef received only negligible damage from erosion of the filled beach. The present study indicates that the movement of fines covered the lower profile portions of the first reef.

Certain species such as the belted cardinalfish (Apogon townsendi) and the roughhead blenny (Acanthemblemaria aspera), collected at Hallandale in 1971-72 (Courtenay, et al., 1974), were not observed in this study. Courtenay, et al. (1974) predicted a decline of fishes and other marine animals on the first reef should further beach erosion occur.

The second reef, located farther offshore, showed no effects of the 1971 beach restoration project or erosion and incursion of sediment. Previous damage (scouring, siltation, etc.) which had occurred as direct effects of dredging was not evident. Corals were abundant and thriving and the reef structure supported a rich fish fauna. Certain fish species such as the bridled goby, the rock beauty (Holacanthus tricolor), and the barred hamlet (Hypoplectrus puella), absent or listed as rare by Courtenay, et al. (1974), were abundant in this survey. The presence of these fishes in numbers of individuals is attributed to improved conditions following cessation of dredging activities.

In summary, the fish fauna of the second reef off Hallandale shows no damage as a result of the dredging activities of 1971. The first reef, however, has been affected adversely by incursion of sediment.

## VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations of Courtenay, et al. (1974) are repeated. Before, during, and after beach restoration projects, "surveys should be conducted by both competent biologists and engineering surveyors..."

Duane and Meisburger (1969) recommend sand in the linear second flat for beach fill material based on accessibility, continuity of the deposits, and suitability. They cautioned, however, that these deposits contained a substantial amount of material that could become mechanically degraded in the turbulent littoral zone.

This study indicates that the potential effects of incursion of eroded beach-fill materials on nearshore reefs should be considered in environmental impact studies for future beach restoration and nourishment projects in southeastern Florida.
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APPENDIX

| Common rame | Family | Scientific name | Type of reef species ${ }^{1}$ | Abundance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $$ | one <br> Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & 1979 \\ & \text { Relative } \\ & \text { abundance } \end{aligned}$ | ref <br> Index | $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Second } \\ 1979 \\ \text { Relative } \\ \text { abundance }\end{array}$ | reef <br> Index | Relative sbundance ${ }^{3}$ 1974 |
| Carpet Sharks Nurse shark | Orectolobidae | Gingrymostoma cirratum | S | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0.58 | R |
| Stingrays <br> Yellow stingray | Dasyatidae | Urolophus jamaicensis | S | -- | -- | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 1.42 | 0 |
| Morays <br> Spotted moray Purplemouth moray | Muraenidae | Gymmothorax moringa Gymnothorax vicinus | P |  | -- | $\cdots$ | 1.08 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0.50 | -- |
| Snake eels Goldspotted eel | Ophichthidae | Myrichthys oculatue | S | -- | -- | -- | -* | R | 0.42 | -- |
| Herrings Scaled sardine | Clupeidae | Harengula pensacolae | -- | 0 | 0.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Batfishes Folka-dot batfish | Ogcocephalidae | Ogcocephalus radiatus | s |  |  | R | 0.08 | -- | -- | -- |
| Needlefishes Redfin needlefish | Belonidae | Strongytura notata | -- | R | 0.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Squirrelfishes Longspine squirrelfish | Holocentridae | Holocentrus rufus | P |  |  | -- | -- | R | 0.33 | 0 |
| Trumpetfishes Trumpet fish | Aulostomidae | Aulostomus maculatus | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | R | 0.17 | -- |
| Cornetfishes <br> Bluspoted cornetfish | Fistulariidae | Fistularia tabacaria | P | -- | -- | R | 0.33 | -- | -- | -- |
| Pipefishes Pipefish | Syngnathidae | Syngnathus sp. | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 |
| Snooks Snook | Centropomidae | Centropomus undecimatis | S | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | R |
| Sea Basses Sand perch Rock hind Red grouper | Serranidae | Diplectrwm formosum Epinephelus adecensionis Epinephelus morio | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | -- -- | -- -- | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & - \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.83 \\ -- \\ 1.08 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{0}{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | -- -- R |

See footnotes at end of table.
FISHES OF THE HALLANDALE AREA--Continued

See footnotes at end of table.
FISHES OF THE HALLANDALE AREA--Continued

| Conmon name | Fanily | Scientific name | Type of reef species ${ }^{1}$ | Abundance ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\quad$ Surf z 1979 Relative abundance | ne <br> Index | $\begin{array}{r} \text { First } \\ 1979 \\ \text { Relative } \\ \text { abundance } \end{array}$ | eef <br> Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Second } \mathrm{r} \\ 1979 \\ \begin{array}{l} \text { Relat ive } \\ \text { abundance } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | reef <br> Index | Relative abundance ${ }^{3}$ 1974 |
| White grunt Bluestriped grunt | Sparidaf | Haemuion plvmieri Haemulon sciurus | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | F | 1.92 2.58 |  | 3.33 1.83 | A |
| Porgies <br> Saucereye porgy <br> Pinfish |  | Calamus calamus Lagodon rhomboides | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 1.75 | $\bigcirc$ | 1.42 | -- |
| Drums <br> High-hat <br> Jackknife fish <br> Cubbyu <br> Reef croaker <br> Sand drum | Sciaenidae | Equetus actominatus Equetus lanceolatus Equetus unbrosus odontoscion dentex Umbrina coroides | p $p$ $p$ $p$ $p$ s | -- <br> -- <br> - | -- <br> -- <br> - <br> 3.00 | A <br> -- <br> - | 4.42 -- 1.33 -- | C F O - - | 3.08 1.83 1.17 -- | C -- -- -- |
| Goat fishes Spotted goatfish | Mullidae | Pseudupeneus maculatus | P | -- | -- | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.83 | -- |
| Sea chubs Bermuda chub | Kyphosidae | Kyphosus sectatrix | P | -- | -- | R | 0.08 | -- | -* | -- |
| Spadefishes Atlantic spadefish | Ephippidae | Chaetodipterus faber | S | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |
| Butterflyfishes Foureye butterflyfish | Chaetodontidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foureye butterflyfish |  | Chaetodon capistratue Chaetodon ocellatus | P | -- | -- | F | 1.83 0.33 | F | 1.92 | F- |
| Spotfin butterflyfish |  | Chaetodon ocellatus | P | -- | -- | R | 0.33 | -- | -- | F |
| Reef butterflyfish Banded butterflyfishes |  | Chaetodon sedentarius Chaetodon striatue | P | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | c | 3.00 | - |
| Blue angelfish |  | Holancanthus bermudensia | P | -- | -- | c- | 3.58 | $\bar{C}$ | 3.25 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Queen angelfish |  | Holacanthus ciliaris | P | -- | --. | 0 | 1.58 | F | 2.17 | F |
| Rock beauty |  | Holacanthus tricolor | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | A | 4.42 | R |
| Gray angelfish |  | Pomacanthus arcuatus | P | -- | -- | R | 0.17 | A | 4.08 | F |
| French angelfish |  | Pomacanthus pame | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | F | 2.17 | F |
| Danselfishes Sergeant major | Pomacentridae |  | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue chromis |  | Chromis cyaneus | P | -- | -- | -- | 1.83 | 0 | 0.58 0.50 | -- |
| Sunshinefish |  | Chromis insolatus | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | R | 0.33 | -- |
| Dusky damselfish |  | Pomacentrus fuscus | P | -- | -- | F | 2.67 | 0 | 1.58 | -- |
| Beaugregory |  | Pomacentrus leucostictus | P | -- | -- | 0 | 1.33 | C | 3.00 | -- |
| Bicolor damselfish |  | Pomacentrus partitus | P | -- | -- | F | 2.17 | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 4.83 | -- |
| Threespot damselfish Cocoa damselfish |  | Pomacentrus plamifrons | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | c | 3.92 | -- |
| Cocoa damselfish |  | Pomacentrus variabilis | P | -- | -- | A | 4.08 | -- | -- | -- |
| Wrasses Spanish hogfish | Labridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spanish hogfish Slippery dick |  | Bodianus rufus | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0.75 |  |
| Slippery dick Yellowhead wrasse |  | Halichoeres bivittatus | P | -- | -- | A | 4.92 | A | 4.58 | c |
| Clown wrasse |  | Halichoeres gamoti <br> Halichoeres maculipinna | P | -- | -- | $\square_{0}^{-}$ | $\stackrel{-7}{0.75}$ | C | 3.33 | -- |
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| Cormon name | Family | Scientific name | Type of reef species ${ }^{1}$ | Abundance ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ 197 \\ \text { Relative } \\ \text { abundance } \end{gathered}$ | Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Second } \\ 1979 \\ \text { Relative } \\ \text { abundance } \end{gathered}$ | reef <br> Index | Relative abundance ${ }^{3}$ 1974 |
| Hogfish Bluehead | Scaridae | Lachnolaimus maximus Thatassoma bifasciatuon | P | -- | -- | A- | $\stackrel{--}{4.25}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.92 \\ & 5.00 \end{aligned}$ | A |
| Parrotfishes Midnight narrotfish |  | Scarus coelestinus | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0.67 | -- |
| Blue parrotfish |  | Scarus coeruleus | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | R | 0.42 | -- |
| Striped parrot fish |  | Scarus croicensis | P | -- | -- | F | 1.83 | A | 4.42 | F |
| Princess parrotfish |  | Scarus taeniopterus | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | c | 3.33 | R |
| Queen parrotfish |  | Scarus vetula | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0.58 | -- |
| Redband parrotfish |  | Sparisoma aurofrenatum | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 1.50 | -- |
| Redtail parrotfish |  | Sparisoma chrysopterwon | P | -- | -- | A | 4.58 | $\bigcirc$ | 1.58 | F |
| Bucktooth parrotfish |  | Sparicoma radians Spamisoma rubripinne | P p | -- | $\stackrel{--}{0.50}$ |  | 1.42 1.17 | ${ }_{0}^{--}$ | $\stackrel{--5}{0.58}$ | F- |
| Redfin parrotfish Stoplight parrotfish |  | Sparisoma rubripinne Sparisoma viride | p | -- | 0.50 | F | 1.17 1.92 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0.58 3.17 | F- |
| Barracudas <br> Great barracuda | Sphyraenidae | Sphyraena barracuda | P | -- | -- | R | 0.08 | -- | -- | -- |
| Jawfishes | Opistognathidae | Opistognathus aurifrone Opistognathus whitehursti | PS | -- | --- | -- | -- | -- | 0.17 | -- |
| Yellowhead jawfish Dusky jawfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |
| Clinids Roughhead blenny | Clinidae | Acanthemblemaria | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |
| Sailfin blenny |  | Emblemaria pandionis | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | R | 0.08 | -- |
| Downy blenny |  | Labrisomus kalisherac 1talacoctenus macropus Nalacoctenus triangulatus | P | -- | -- | 0 | 0.75 | -- | -- | -- |
| Rosy blenny |  |  | P | -- | -- | -- | --3 | $\stackrel{-}{\text { R }}$ | 0.08 | c |
| Saddled blenny |  |  | P | -- | -- | R | 0.33 | R | 0.08 | -- |
| Combtooth blennies | Blennitae | Blennius marmoreus Ophioblennius atlanticue | P | -- | -- | R | $\stackrel{0.33}{--}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0.75 \\ 0 & 0.58\end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ |
| Redis ip blenny |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gobies | Gobiidae | Coryphopterus glaucofraenum Comphopterus personatus Cobiosoma macrodon Gobiosoma oceanops Ioglossus calliumus | s | -- | -- | C | 2.92 | A | 4.33 | -- |
| Bridled goby |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masked goby |  |  | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | C | 3.58 | -- |
| Tiger goby |  |  | P | -- | -- | 0 | 1.42 | -- | ${ }^{--}$ | -- |
| Neon goby |  |  | P | -- | --. | F | 2.33 | C | 3.83 | C |
| Blue goby |  |  | P | -- | -- | -- | -- | F | 2.50 | -- |
| Surgeonfishes Doctorfish | Acanthuridae | Acanthurus chirurgus Acanthurus coemiteus | ${ }_{\text {p }}$ | -- | -- | A | 4.171.42 | A | 4.832.50 | ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{F}}$ |
| Blue tang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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