

PRINCETON, N. J. PRINCETON, N. J.

Presented by Frederick, B. Jubbell

Division SC. C.
Section 10-1165

nith Fite Dheeps Osq



### ELEMENTS

OF




## CRITICISM.

WITH THE

AUTHOR'S LAST CORRECTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

First American from the Seventh London Edition.



Boston:

From the Preis

Of SAMUEL ETHERIDGE, For J. WHITE, THOMAS G. ANDREWS, W. SPOTSWOOD, D. WEST, W. P. BLAKE, E. LARKIN, & J. WEST.

M DCC XCVI.



## PREFACE.

PRINTING, by multiplying copies at will, affords to writers great opportunity of receiving instruction from every quarter. The author of this treatife, having always been of opinion that the general tafte is feldom wrong, was refolved from the beginning to submit to it with entire refignation: its feverest disapprobation might have incited him to do better, but never to complain. Finding now the judgment of the public to be favourable, ought he not to draw fatisfaction from it? He would be devoid of fenfibility were he not greatly fatisfied. Many criticisms have indeed reached his car; but they are candid and benevolent, if not always just. Gratitude, therefore, had there been no other motive, must have roused his utmost industry to clear this edition from all the defects of the former, so far as suggested by others, or discovered

ered by himfelf. In a work containing many particulars, both new and abstruse, it was difficult to express every article with fufficient perspicuity; and, after all the pains bestowed, there remained certain passages which are generally thought obscure. The author, giving an attentive ear to every censure of that kind, has, in the present edition, renewed his efforts to correct every defect; and he would gladly hope that he has not been altogether unsuccessful. The truth is, that a writer, who must be possessed of the thought before he can put it into words, is but ill qualified to judge whether the expression be sufficiently clear to others: in that particular, he cannot avoid the taking on him to judge for the reader, who can much better judge for himself.

June 1763.

# CONTENTS.

## VOLUME I.

Introduction,	_	_		Pag.
Chap.		-	-	9
1. Perceptions				25
2. Emotions an	d Paffions	, -	-	36
Part				_
1. Caufes 1		f the $Emot$	ions	
and Paff	Tions:			
Sect.		-		
		en Emotion		
		that are		
most co	mmon and	the most s	zen-	
eral.—	-Passion	considered	as	
	tive of Act		-	37
2. Power	of Sounds	to raife E	1110-	3)
tions ar	nd Passion.	ſ <b>,</b>	-	49
3. Caufes	s of the $E$	motions of	700	77
and Se	orrow,	<b>.</b> .	<i>-</i>	53
4. Sympa	rthetic En	notion of	Vir-	23
tue, an	nd its caufe	·, -	-	55
		ices one E	mo-	22
tion is	productive	e of another		
	me of Paff		_	50
		Passions of F	Tear	59
and A		-		70
		by Fiction,	_	•
2. Emotion.	and Pa	Thous as Al	eas-	75
		agreeable		
	Tungu,		tifagreei	able.
		·	いりにたんり ししん	1010

Chap. 2. continued.	
Part. Sect.	Pag
disagreeable.—Modification of	
these Qualities,	88
3. Interrupted Existence of Emo-	
tions and Passions.—Their	
Growth and Decay,	95
4. Coexistent Emotions and Pas-	
fions,	102
5. Influence of Passion with re-	
spect to our Perceptions, Opin-	
ions, and Belief,	124
Appendix. Methods that Nature	
hath afforded for computing	
Time and Space, -	134
6. Refemblance of Emotions to	
their Causes,	144
7. Final Causes of the more fre-	
quent Emotions and Paffrons,	147
3. Beauty,	157
4. Grandeur and Sublimity,	169
5. Motion and Force, -	201
6. Novelty, and the unexpected ap-	
pearance of Objects, -	207
7. Rifible Objects,	218
8. Resemblance and Dissimilitude,	222
9. Uniformity and Variety, -	243
Appendix. Concerning the Works	
of Nature, chiefly with respect to	
Uniformity and Variety,	259
10. Congruity and Propriety,	265
11. Dignity and Grace,	$^{279}$
12. Ridicule,	290
13. Will,	301
14. Castom and Hubit,	316
x5. External Signs of Emotions and	
Puffions,	336
16. Sentin	1611ts

Chap.	Pag.
16. Sentiments,	356
17. Language of Passion,	390
VOLUME II.	
18. Beauty of Language,	3
Sect.	G.
1. Beauty of Language with re-	
spect to Sound,	5
2. Beauty of Language with re-	
spect to Signification,	14
3. Beauty of Language from a	
resemblance between Sound	
and Signification, -	67
4. Versification, -	79
19. Comparisons,	- 145
20. Figures,	180
Sect.	0
1. Personification,	180
2. Apostrophe,	202
3. Hyperbole,	204.
4. The Means or Instrument con- ceived to be the agent, -	CII
5. A figure which, among related	211
Objects, extends the Properties	
of one to another,	212
6. Metaphor and Allegory,	217
7. Figure of Speech,	236
Table	J.
1. Subjects expressed figuratively,	241
2. Attributes expressed figura-	
tively,	246
21. Narration and Description,	257
	Tinic.

Chap.				Pag.
22. Epic and I	Oramatic	Compositio	on, -	292
23. The Thre	e Unities	r, -	, m	318
24. Gardening	and Ar	chitecture	, -	338
25. Standard				381
Appendix.	Terms de	fined or	ex=	J
plained,	ĸ	5	75.	394

#### INTRODUCTION.

### INTRODUCTION.

THAT nothing external is perceived till first it makes an impression upon the organ of fense, is an observation that holds equally in every one of the external fenses. But there is a difference as to our knowledge of that impreffion: in touching, tasting, and fmelling, we are fensible of the impression; that, for example, which is made upon the hand by a stone, upon the palate by an apricot, and upon the nostrils by a rose: it is otherwise in seeing and hearing; for I am not fensible of the imprefsion made upon my eye, when I behold a tree; nor of the impression made upon my ear, when I listen to a fong.\* That difference in the manner of perceiving external objects, diftinguisheth remarkably hearing and seeing from the other fenfes; and I am ready to show, that it distinguisheth still more remarkably the feelings of the former from that of the latter; every feeling, pleafant or painful, must be in the mind; and yet, because in tasting, touching, and fmelling, we are fensible of the impression made

# See the Appendix, § 12.

made upon the organ, we are led to place there also the pleasant or painful sceling caused by that impression; but, with respect to seeing, and hearing, being insensible of the organic impression, we are not missed to assign a wrong place to the pleasant or painful seelings caused by that impression; and therefore we naturally place them in the mind, where they really are: upon that account, they are conceived to be more refined and spiritual, than what are derived from tasting, touching, and smelling; for the latter feelings, seeming to exist externally at the organ of sense, are conceived to be merely corporeal.

The pleasures of the eye and the ear, being thus elevated above those of the other external senses, acquire so much dignity as to become a laudable entertainment. They are not, however, set on a level with the purely intellectual; being no less inferior in dignity to intellectual pleasures,

<sup>\*</sup> After the utmost efforts, we find it beyond our power to conceive the flavour of a rose to exist in the mind: we are necessarily ed to conceive that pleasure as existing in the nostrils along with he impression made by the rose upon that organ. And the same till be the result of experiments with respect to every seeling of afte, touch, and smeil. Touch affords the most satisfactory experiments. Were it not that the delusion is detected by philosophy, no person would hesitate to pronounce, that the pleasure arising from touching a smooth, soft, and velvet surface, has its existence at the ends of the singers, without once dreaming of its existing any where edse.

a proper.

pleafures, than fuperior to the organic or corporeal: they indeed refemble the latter, being, like them, produced by external objects; but they also resemble the former, being, like them, produced without any fenfible organic impresfion. Their mixt nature and middle place between organic and intellectual pleafures, qualify them to affociate with both; beauty heightens all the organic feelings, as well as the intellectual: harmony, though it aspires to inflame devotion, disdains not to improve the relish of a banquet.

The pleasures of the eye and the car have other valuable properties beside those of dignity and elevation: being fweet and moderately exhilarating, they are in their tone equally distant : from the turbulence of passion, and the langour of indolence; and by that tone are perfectly well qualified, not only to revive the spirits when funk by fenfual gratification, but also to relax them when overstrained in any violent pursuit. Here is a remedy provided for many distresses; and, to be convinced of its falutary effects, it will be fufficient to run over the following particulars. Organic pleafures have naturally a short duration; when prolonged, they lofe their relish; when indulged to excess, they beget satiety and disgust: and, to restore B 2

a proper tone of mind, nothing can be more happily contrived than the exhilarating pleafures of the eye and ear. On the other hand, any intense exercise of intellectual powers, becomes painful by overstraining the mind: cessation from such exercise gives not instant relief; it is necessary that the void be filled with some amusement, gently relaxing the spirits:\* organic pleasure, which hath no relish but while we are in vigour, is ill qualified for that office; but the siner pleasures of sense, which occupy without exhausting the mind, are sinely qualified to restore its usual tone after severe application to study or business, as well as after satiety from sensual gratification.

Our first perceptions are of external objects, and our first attachments are to them. Organic pleasures take the lead; but the mind, gradually ripening, relisheth more and more the pleasures of the eye and ear; which approach the purely mental, without exhausting the spirits; and exceed the purely fensual, without danger of satiety. The pleasures of the eye and ear have accordingly a natural aptitude to draw us from the immoderate gratification of fensual appetite; and the mind, once accustomed to enjoy a variety of external objects without being fensible

<sup>\*</sup> Du Bos judiciously observes, that silence doth not tend to calm an agitated mind; but that soft and slow music hath a sine effect,

of the organic impression, is prepared for enjoying internal objects where there cannot be an organic impression. Thus the author of nature, by qualifying the human mind for a succession of enjoyments from low to high, leads it by gentle steps from the most grovelling corporeal pleasures, for which only it is sitted in the beginning of life, to those refined and sub-lime pleasures that are suited to its maturity.

But we are not bound down to this fucceffion by any law of necessity: the God of Nature offers it to us in order to advance our happiness; and it is sufficient, that he hath enabled
us to carry it on in a natural course. Nor has
he made our task either disagreeable or difficult:
on the contrary, the transition is sweet and easy,
from corporeal pleasures to the more refined
pleasures of sense; and no less so, from these to
the exalted pleasures of morality and religion.
We stand therefore engaged in honour, as well
as interest to second the purposes of nature, by
cultivating the pleasures of the eye and ear, those
especially that require extraordinary culture;\*

<sup>\*</sup> A taste for natural objects is born with usin perfection; for relishing a fine countenance, a rich landscape, or a vivid colour, culture is unnecessifity. The observation holds equally in natural founds, such as the singing of birds, or the murmuring of a brook. Nature here, the artificer of the object as well as of the percipient, hath accurately suited them to each other. But of a poem, a cantata, a picture, or other artificial production, a true relish is not commonly attained, without some study and much practice.

fuch as arise from poetry, painting, sculpture, music, gardening, and architecture. This especially is the duty of the opulent, who have leifure to improve their minds and their feelings. The fine arts are contrived to give pleafure to the eye and the ear, difregarding the inferior fenses. A taste for these arts is a plant that grows naturally in many foils; but, without culture, scarce to perfection in any soil: it is sufceptible of much refinement; and is, by proper care, greatly improved. In this respect, a taste in the fine arts goes hand in hand with the moral fense, to which indeed it is nearly allied: both of them discover what is right and what is wrong: fashion, temper, and education, have an influence to vitiate both, or to preferve them pure and untainted: neither of them are arbitrary nor local; being rooted in human nature, and governed by principles common to all men. The defign of the prefent undertaking, which aspires not to moralitv, is, to examine the fenfitive branch of human nature, to trace the objects that are naturally agreeable as well as those that are naturally difagreeable; and by these means to discover, if we can, what are the genuine principles of the fine arts. The man who aspires to be a critic in these arts must pierce still deeper: he must acquire

acquire a clear perception of what objects are Jofty, what low, what proper or improper, what manly, and what mean or trivial. Hence a foundation for reasoning upon the taste of any individual, and for passing sentence upon it; where it is conformable to principles, we can pronounce with certainty that it is correct; otherwise, that it is incorrect, and perhaps whimsical. Thus the fine arts, like morals, become a rational science; and, like morals, may be cultivated to a high degree of refinement.

Manifold are the advantages of criticism, when thus studied as a rational science. In the first place, a thorough acquaintance with the principles of the fine arts, redoubles the pleafure we derive from them. To the man who refigns himfelf to feeling without interpofing any judgment, poetry, music, painting, are mere pastime. In the prime of life, indeed, they are delightful, being supported by the force of novelty; and the heat of imagination: but in time they lose their relish; and are generally neglected in the maturity of life, which disposes to more serious and more important occupations. To those who deal in criticism as a regular scieene, governed by just principles, and giving scope to judgment as well as to fancy, the fine arts are a favourite entertainment;

and in old age maintain that relish which they produce in the morning of life.\*

In the next place, a philosophic inquiry into the principles of the fine arts, inures the reflecting mind to the most enticing fort of logic: the practice of reasoning upon subjects so agreeable, tends to a habit, and a habit strengthening the reasoning faculties, prepares the mind for entering into subjects more intricate and abstract. To have in that respect, a just conception of the importance of criticism, we need but reflect upon the ordinary method of education; which, after fome years fpent in acquiring languages, hurries us, without the least preparatory discipline, into the most profound philosophy. A more effectual method to alienate the tender mind from abstract science, is beyond the reach of invention: and accordingly, with respect to fuch speculations, our youth generally contract a fort of hobgoblin terror, feldom if ever fubdued. Those who apply to the arts are trained in a very different manner: they are led, step by step, from the casier parts of the operation, to what are more difficult rand are not permitted to make a new motion, till they

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Though logic may subsist without rhetoric or poetry, yet so necessary to these last is a found and correct logic, that without it they are: better than warbling trisles." Hermes, p. 6.

they are perfected in those which go before. Thus the science of criticism may be considered as a middle link, connecting the different parts of education into a regular chain. This science furnisheth an inviting opportunity to exercise the judgment: we delight to reason upon subjects that are equally pleasant and familiar: we proceed gradually from the simpler to the more involved cases; and in a due course of discipline, custom, which improves all our faculties, bestows acuteness on that of reason, sufficient to unravel all the intricacies of philosophy.

Nor ought it to be overlooked, that the reafonings employed on the fine arts are of the fame kind with those which regulate our conduct. Mathematical and metaphysical reasonings have no tendency to improve our knowledge of man; nor are they applicable to the common affairs of life: but a just taste of the fine arts, derived from rational principles, furnishes elegant subjects for conversation, and prepares us for acting in the social state with dignity and propriety.

The science of rational criticism tends to improve the heart no less than the understanding. It tends, in the first place, to moderate the self-ish affections: by sweetening and harmonizing the temper, it is a strong antidote to the tur-

bulence

bulence of passion, and violence of pursuit : it procures to a man fo much mental enjoyment, that, in order to be occupied, he is not tempted to deliver up his youth to hunting, gaming, drinking; \* nor his middle age to ambition; nor his old age to avarice. Pride and envy, two difgustful passions, find in the constitution no enemy more formidable than a delicate and difcerning tafte: the man upon whom nature and culture have bestowed this blessing, delights in the virtuous dispositions and actions of others: he loves to cherifh them, and to publish them to the world: faults and failings, it is true, are to him no less obvious; but these he avoids, or removes out of fight, because they give him pain. On the other hand, a man void of taste, upon whom even striking beauties make but a faint impression, indulges pride or envy without control, and loves to brood over errors and blemishes. In a word, there are other passions, that upon occasion, may disturb the peace of fociety more than those mentioned; but not another passion is so unwearied an antagonist to the sweets of social intercourse: pride

<sup>\*</sup>If any youth of a plendid fortune and English education stumble perchance upon this book and this passage, he will pronounce the latter to be empty declaration. But if he can be prevailed upon to make the experiment, he will find much to his satisfaction every article well founded.

pride and envy put a man perpetually in oppofition to others; and difpose him to relish bad more than good qualities, even in a companion. How different that disposition of mind, where every virtue in a companion or neighbour is, by refinement of taste, set in its strongest light; and defects or blemishes, natural to all, are suppressed, or kept out of view!

In the next place, delicacy of taste tends no less to invigorate the social affections, than to moderate those that are selfish. To be convinced of that tendency, we need only reslect, that delicacy of taste necessarily heightens our feeling of pain and pleasure; and of course our sympathy, which is the capital branch of every social passion. Sympathy invites a communication of joys and forrows, hopes and sears: such exercise, soothing and satisfactory in itself, is necessarily productive of mutual goodwill and affection.

One other advantage of rational criticism is reserved to the last place, being of all the most important; which is, that it is a great support to morality. I insist on it with entire satisfaction, that no occupation attaches a man more to his duty, than that of cultivating a taste in the sine arts: a just relish of what is beautiful, proper, elegant, and ornamental,

in writing or painting, in architecture or gardening, is a fine preparation for the fame just relish of these qualities in character and behaviour. To the man who has acquired a taste so acute and accomplished, every action wrong or improper must be highly disgustful: if, in any instance, the overbearing power of passion sway him from his duty, he returns to it with redoubled resolution never to be swayed a second time: he has now an additional motive to virtue, a conviction derived from experience, that happiness depends on regularity and order, and that disregard to justice or propriety never sails to be punished with shame and remorfe.\*

Rude ages exhibit the triumph of authority over reason: Philosophers anciently were divided into sects, being Epicureans, Platonists, Stoics, Pythagoreans, or Sceptics: the speculative relied no farther on their own judgment but to choose a leader, whom they implicitly sollowed. In later times, happily, reason hath obtained the ascendant: men now affert their native privilege of thinking for themselves: and disdain to be ranked in any sect, whatever be

<sup>\*</sup> Genius is allied to a warm and inflammable conflitution, delicacy of taile to calmness and fedateness. Hence it is common to and genius in one who is a prey to every passion; but seldom delicacy of taste. Upon a man possified of that blessing, the moral duties, no less than the sine arts, make a deep impression, and counterbalance every irregular desire: at the same time, a temper calm and sedate is not easily moved, even by a throng temptation.

the science. I am forced to except criticism, which, by what fatality I know not, continues to be no less flavish in its principles, nor less submissive to authority, than it was originally. Boffu, a celebrated French critic, gives many rules; but can discover no better foundation for any of them, than the practice merely of Homer and Virgil, supported by the authority of Aristotle; Strange! that in so long a work, he should never once have stumbled upon the question, Whether, and how far, do these rules agree with human nature. It could not furely be his opinion, that these poets, however eminent for genius, were entitled to give law to mankind; and that nothing now remains, but blind obedience to their arbitrary will: if in writing they followed no rule, why should they be imitated? If they studied nature, and were obsequious to rational principles, why should these be concealed from us?

With respect to the present undertaking, it is not the author's intention to compose a regular treatise upon each of the fine arts; but only, in general, to exhibit their fundamental principles, drawn from human nature, the true source of criticism. The sine arts are intended to entertain us, by making pleasant impressions; and, by that circumstance, are distinguished

from the useful arts: but, in order to make pleafant impressions, we ought, as above hinted, to know what objects are naturally agreeable, and what naturally difagreeable. That fubject is here attempted, as far as necessary for unfolding the genuine principles of the fine arts; and the author affumes no merit from his performance, but that of evincing, perhaps more diffinctly than hitherto has been done, that these principles, as well as every just rule of criticism, are founded upon the sensitive part of our nature. What the author hath difcovered or collected upon that fubject, he chooses to impart in the gay and agreeable form of criticism; imagining that this form will be more relished, and perhaps be no less instructive, than a regular and laboured disquisition. His plan is, to afcend gradually to principles, from facts and experiments; instead of beginning with the former, handled abstractedly, and descending to the latter. But, though criticism is thus his only declared aim, he will not difown, that all along it has been his view, to explain the nature of man, confidered as a fenfitive being capable of pleafure and pain: and, though he flatters himfelf with having made fome progrefs in that important science, he is, however, too fenfible of its extent and difficulty, to undertake

take it professedly, or to avow it as the chief purpose of the present work.

To censure works, not men, is the just prerogative of criticism; and accordingly all perfonal cenfure is here avoided, unless where neceffary to illustrate fome general proposition. No praise is claimed on that account; because censuring with a view merely to find fault, cannot be entertaining to any person of humanity. Writers, one should imagine, ought. above all others, to be referved on that article. when they lie so open to retaliation. The author of this treatife, far from being confident of meriting no cenfure, entertains not even the flightest hope of such perfection. Amusement was at first the fole aim of his inquiries: proceeding from one particular to another, the fubject grew under his hand; and he was far advanced before the thought struck him, that his private meditations might be publicly useful. In public, however, he would not appear in a flovenly drefs; and therefore he pretends not otherwife to apologife for his errors, than by observing, that in a new subject no less nice than extensive, errors are in some measure unavoidable. Neither pretends he to justify his taste in every particular: that point must be extremely clear, which admits not variety of opinion; and in fome

fome matters susceptible of great refinement, time is perhaps the only infallible touchstone of taste: to that he appeals, and to that he cheerfully submits.

N. B. THE ELEMENTS OF CRITICISM, meaning the whole, is a title too affuming for this work. A number of these elements or principles are here unfolded: but, as the author is far from imagining that he has completed the list, a more humble title is proper, such as may express any number of parts less than the whole. This he thinks is signified by the title he has chosen, viz. Elements of Critericism.

ELEMENTS



#### ELEMENTS

0 F

### CRITICISM.



#### CHAPTER I.

Perceptions and Ideas in a Train.

A MAN, while awake, is confcious of a continued train of perceptions and ideas passing in his mind. It requires no activity on his part to carry on the train: nor can he at will add any idea to the train\*. At the same time, we learn from daily experience, that the train of our thoughts is not regulated by chance: and if it depend not upon will, nor upon chance, by what law is it governed? The question is of importance in the science of human nature; and I promise beforehand, that it will be found of great importance in the sine arts.

It appears, that the relations by which things are linked together, have a great influence in directing the train of thought. Taking a view of external objects, their in-

herent

Vol. I.

<sup>\*</sup> For how should this be done? what idea is it that we are to add? If we can specify the idea, that idea is already in the mind, and there is no occasion for any aft of the will. If we cannot specify any idea. I next demand, how can a person will, or to what purpose, if there be nothing in view? We cannot form a conception of such a thing. If this argument need confirmation, I urge experience: whoever makes a trial will find, that ideas are linked together in the mind, forming a connected chain; and that we have not the command of any idea independent of the chain.

herent properties are not more remarkable than the various relations that connect them together: Cause and effect, contiguity in time or in place, high and low, prior and posterior, resemblance, contrast, and a thousand other relations, connect things together without end. Not a single thing appears solitary and altogether devoid of connection; the only difference is, that some are intimately connected, some more slightly; some near, some at a distance.

Experience will fatisfy us of what reason makes probable, that the train of our thoughts is in a great measure regulated by the foregoing relations: an external object is no sooner presented to us in idea, than it suggests to the mind other objects to which it is related; and in that manner is a train of thoughts composed. Such is the law of succession; which must be natural, because it governs all human beings. The law, however, seems not to be inviolable: it sometimes happens that an idea arises in the mind without any perceived connection; as, for example, after a prosound sleep.

But, though we cannot add to the train an unconnected idea, yet in a measure we can attend to some ideas, and difmifs others. There are few things but what are connected with many others; and, when a thing thus connected becomes a fubject of thought, it commonly fuggests many of its connections: among these a choice is afforded; we can infift upon one, rejecting others; and fometimes we infift on what is commonly held the flighter connection. Where ideas are left to their natural course, they are continued through the strictest connections: the mind extends its view to a fon more readily than to a fervant; and more readily to a neighbour than to one living at a diffance. This order, as obferved, may be varied by will, but flill within the limits of related objects; for though we can vary the order of a natural train, we cannot diffolve the train altogether, by carrying on our thoughts in a loofe manner without

any connection. So far doth our power extend; and that power is fufficient for all useful purposes: to have more power, would probably be hurtful instead of be-

ing falutary.

Will is not the only cause that prevents a train of thought from being continued through the strictest connections: much depends on the present tone of mind: for a subject that accords with that tone is always welcome. Thus, in good spirits, a cheerful subject will be introduced by the slightest connection; and one that is melancholy, no less readily in low spirits: an interesting subject is recalled, from time to time, by any connection indifferently, strong or weak; which is sinely touched by Shakespear, with relation to a rich cargo at sea.

My wind, cooling my broth,
Would blow me to an ague, when I thought
What harm a wind too great might do at fea.
I should not fee the fan ty hour-glafs ron,
But I should think of shallows and of flats;
And fee my wealthy Andrew dock'd in fand,
Vailing her high top lower than her ribs,
To kifs her burial. Should I go to church,
And fee the holy edifice of stone,
And not bethink me strait of dangerous rocks?
Which touching but my gentle vessel's side,
Would scatter all the spices on the stream,
Enrobe the roaring waters with my silks;
And, in a word, but now worth this,
And now worth nothing.

Merchant of Venice, all 1. sc. 1.

Another cause clearly distinguishable from that now mentioned, hath also a considerable influence to vary the natural train of ideas; which is, that, in the minds of some persons, thoughts and circumstances crowd upon each other by the slightest connections. I ascribe this to a bluntness in the discerning faculty; for a person who cannot accurately distinguish between a slight connection and one that is more intimate, is equally affected

by each: fuch a person must necessarily have a great flow of ideas, because they are introduced by any relation indifferently; and the slighter relations, being without number, furnish ideas without end. This doctrine is, in a lively manner, illustrated by Shakespear.

Falftaff. What is the gross sum that I owe thee?

Hostes. Marry, if thou wert an honest man, thyself and thy money too. Thou didst swear to me on a parcel-gilt-goblet, sitting in my Dolphin-chamber, at the round table, by a sea-coal fire, on Wednesday in Whitsun-week, when the Prince broke thy head for likening him to a singing man of Windsor, thou didst swear to me then, as I was washing thy wound, to marry me, and make me my Lady thy wise. Canst thou deny it? Did not Goodwise Keech, the butcher's wise, come in then, and call me Gossip Quickly? coming in to borrow a mess of vinegar; telling us she had a good dish of prawns; whereby thou didst defire to eat some; whereby I told thee they were ill for a green wound. And didst not thou, when she was gone down staits, desire me to be no more so familiar with such poor people, saying, that ere long they should call me Madam? And didst thou not kiss me, and bid me fetch thee thirty shillings? I put thee now to thy book-oath, deny it if thou canst?

Second Part, Henry IV. act 2. sc. 2.

On the other hand, a man of accurate judgment cannot have a great flow of ideas; because the slighter relations, making no figure in his mind, have no power to introduce ideas. And hence it is, that accurate judgment is not friendly to declamation or copious eloquence. This reasoning is confirmed by experience; for it is a noted observation, That a great or comprehensive memory is feldom connected with a good judgment.

As an additional confirmation, I appeal to another

As an additional confirmation, I appeal to another noted observation, That wit and judgment are seldom united. Wit confists chiefly in joining things by distant and fanciful relations, which surprise because they are unexpected: such relations, being of the slightest kind, readily occur to those only who make every relation equally welcome. Wit, upon that account, is in a good measure incompatible with solid judgment; which, neglecting trivial relations, adheres to what are substantial and

permanent.

permanent. Thus memory and wit are often conjoined:

folid judgment feldom with either.

Every man who attends to his own ideas, will difcover order as well as connection in their fuccession. There is implanted in the breast of every man a principle of order, which governs the arrangement of his perceptions, of his ideas, and of his actions. With regard to perceptions, I observe that, in things of equal rank, fuch as sheep in a fold, or trees in a wood, it must be indifferent in what order they be surveyed. But, in things of unequal rank, our tendency is, to view the principal subject before we descend to its accessories or ornaments, and the superior before the inferior or dependent; we are equally averse to enter into a minute confideration of constituent parts, till the thing be first furveyed as a whole. It need fcarce be added, that our ideas are governed by the fame principle; and that, in thinking or reflecting upon a number of objects, we naturally follow the fame order as when we actually furvey them.

The principle of order is confpicuous with respect to natural operations; for it always directs our ideas in the order of nature: thinking upon a body in motion, we follow its natural course; the mind falls with a heavy body, descends with a river, and ascends with slame and simoke: in tracing out a family, we incline to begin at the founder, and to descend gradually to his latest posterity; on the contrary, musing on a losty oak, we begin at the trunk, and mount from it to the branches: as to historical sacts, we love to proceed in the order of time; or, which comes to the same, to proceed along the chain of causes and essents.

But though, in following out an historical chain, our bent is to proceed orderly from causes to their effects, we find not the same bent in matters of science: there we seem rather disposed to proceed from effects to their causes, and from particular propositions to those which

 $C_3$ 

are more general. Why this difference in matters that appear to nearly related? I answer, The cases are similar in appearance only, not in reality. In an historical chain every event is particular, the effect of some former event, and the cause of others that follow: in such a chain there is nothing to bias the mind from the order of nature. Widely different is science, when we endeavour to trace out causes and their effects: many experiments are commonly reduced under one cause; and again, many of these causes under one still more general and comprehensive: in our progress from particular effects to general causes, and from particular propositions to the more comprehensive, we feel a gradual dilatation or expansion of mind, like what is felt in an ascending series, which is extremely pleafing: the pleafure here exceeds what arises from following the course of nature; and it is that pleafure which regulates our train of thought in the cafe now mentioned, and in others that are fimilar. These observations, by the way, furnish materials for inflituting a comparison between the syrthetic and analytic methods of reasoning: the synthetic method, descending regularly from principles to their consequences, is more agreeable to the strictness of order; but in following the opposite course in the analytic method, we have a fenfible pleafure like mounting upward, which is not felt in the other: the analytic method is more agreeable to the imagination; the other method will be preferred by those only who with rigidity adhere to order, and give no indulgence to natural emotions\*.

It now appears that we are framed by nature to relish order and connection. When an object is introduced by a proper connection, we are conscious of a certain pleasure arising from that circumstance. Among objects of equal rank, the pleasure is proportioned to the degree of connection: but among unequal objects, where

<sup>\*</sup> A train of percentions or ideas, with respect to its uniformity and varies

fome

we require a certain order, the pleasure arises chiefly from an orderly arrangement; of which one is fenfible, in tracing objects contrary to the course of nature, or contrary to our fense of order: the mind proceeds with alacrity down a flowing river, and with the fame alacrity from a whole to its parts, or from a principal to its acceffories; but in the contrary direction, it is fensible of a fort of retrogade motion, which is unpleafant. And here may be remarked the great influence of order upon the mind of man: grandeur, which makes a deep impression, inclines us, in running over any feries, to proceed from fmall to great, rather than from great to finall; but order prevails over that tendency, and affords pleafure as well as facility in passing from a whole to its parts, and from a fubject to its ornaments, which are not felt in the opposite course. Elevation touches the mind no less than grandeur doth; and in raifing the mind to elevated objects, there is a fensible pleasure: the course of nature, however, hath still a greater influence than elevation: and therefore, the pleafure of falling with rain, and defcending gradually with a river, prevails over that of mounting upward. But where the course of nature is joined with elevation, the effect must be delightful: and hence the fingular beauty of fmoke afcending in a calm morning.

I am extremely fenfible of the difgust men generally have to abstract speculation; and I would avoid it altogether, if it could be done in a work that professes to draw the rules of criticism from human nature, their true fource. We have but a fingle choice, which is, to continue a little longer in the fame train, or to abandon the undertaking altogether. Candour obliges me to notify this to my readers, that fuch of them as have an invincible aversion to abstract speculation, may stop short here; for till principles be unfolded, I can promise no entertainment to those who shun thinking. But I slatter myfelf with a different bent in the generality of readers: C 4

fome few, I imagine, will relish the abstract part for its own sake; and many for the useful purposes to which it may be applied. For encouraging the latter to proceed with alacrity, I assure them beforehand, that the foregoing speculation leads to many important rules of criticism, which shall be unfolded in the course of this work. In the mean time, for instant satisfaction in part, they will be pleased to accept the following specimen.

Every work of art, that is conformable to the natural course of our ideas, is so far agreeable; and every work of art that reverles that course, is so far disagreeable. Hence it is required in every fuch work, that, like an organic fystem, its parts be orderly arranged and mutually connected, bearing each of them a relation to the whole, fome more intimate, fome lefs, according to their deftination: when due regard is had to these particulars, we have a fense of just composition, and so far are pleased with the performance. Homer is defective in order and connection; and Pindar more remarkably. Regularity, order, and connection, are painful restraints on a bold and fertile imagination; and are not patiently fubmitted to, but after much culture and discipline. In Horace there is no fault more eminent than want of connection: instances are without number. In the first fourteen lines of ode 7. lib. 1. he mentions feveral towns and districts, more to the taste of some than of others: in the remainder of the ode, Plancus is exhorted to drown his cares in wine. Having narrowly escaped death by the fall of a tree, this poet\* takes occasion to observe justly, that while we guard against some dangers, we are exposed to others we cannot foresee: he ends with displaying the power of music. The parts of ode 16. lib. 2. are fo loosely connected as to disfigure a poem otherwife extremely beautiful. The 1st, 2d, 3d, 4th, 11th, 24th, 27th odes of the 3d book, lie open all of them to the same censure. The first satire, book 1. is fo deformed by want of connection, as upon the whole to be fcarce agreeable: it commences with an important question, How it happens, that people, though much fatisfied with themselves, are seldom so with their rank or condition. After illustrating the observation in a sprightly manner by several examples, the author, forgetting his subject, enters upon a declamation against avarice, which he pursues till the line 108. there he makes an apology for wandering, and promises to return to his subject; but avarice having got possession of his mind, he follows out that theme to the end, and never returns to the question proposed in the beginning.

Of Virgil's Georgics, though esteemed the most complete work of that author, the parts are ill connected, and the transitions far from being sweet and easy. In the first book \* he deviates from his subject to give a description of the five zones: the want of connection here, as well as in the description of the prodigies that accompanied the death of Cæfar, are scarce pardonable. A digression on the praises of Italy in the second book, is not more happily introduced: and in the midst of a declamation upon the pleasures of husbandry, which makes part of the fame book, the author introduces himself into the poem without the slightest connection. In the Lutrin the Goddess of Discord is introduced without any connection: the is of no confequence in the poem; and acts no part except that of lavishing praise upon Lewis the Fourteenth. The two prefaces of Sallust look as if by some blunder they had been prefixed to his two histories; they will suit any other history as well, or any fubject as well as history. Even the members of these prefaces are but loosely connected: they look more like a number of maxims or observations than a connected discourse.

An episode in a narrative poem, being in effect an accessory, demands not that strict union with the principal

cipal subject, which is requisite between a whole and its constituent parts: it demands, however, a degree of union, fuch as ought to fubfift between a principal and accessory; and therefore will not be graceful if it be loofely connected with the principal fubject. I give for an example the descent of Æneas into hell, which employs the fixth book of the Æneid: the reader is not prepared for that important event: no cause is assigned that can make it appear necessary, or even natural, to fuspend for so long a time the principal action in its most interesting period: the poet can find no pretext for an adventure fo extraordinary, but the hero's longing to visit the ghost of his father recently dead; in the mean time the flory is interrupted, and the reader loses his ardour. Pity it is that an episode so extremely beautiful were not more happily introduced. I must obferve at the fame time, that full justice is done to this incident, by confidering it to be an epifode; for if it be a constituent part of the principal action, the connection ought to be still more intimate. The same objection lies against that elaborate description of Fame in the Æneid :\* any other book of that heroic poem, or of any heroic poem, has as good a title to that description as the book where it is placed.

In a natural landscape we every day perceive a multitude of objects connected by contiguity solely; which is not unpleasant, because objects of sight make an impression so lively, as that a relation even of the slightest kind is relished. This however ought not to be imitated in description: words are so far short of the eye in liveliness of impression, that in a description, connection ought to be carefully studied; for new objects introduced in description, are made more or less welcome in proportion to the degree of their connection with the principal subject. In the following passage different things are brought together without the slightest connection.

nection, if it be not what may be called verbal, i. e. taking the fame word in different meanings.

Surgamus: folet esse gravis cantantibus umbra.
Juniperi gravis umbra: nocent et frugibus umbræ.
Ite domuin saturæ, venit Hesperus, ite capellæ.
Virg. Buc. x. 75.

The introduction of an object metaphorically or figuratively, will not justify the introduction of it in its natural appearance: a relation so slight can never be relished.

Distrust in lovers is too warm a sun;
But yet 'tis night in love when that is gone.
And in those climes which most his scorching know,
He makes the noblest fruits and metals grow.

Part 2. Conquest of Granada, as 3.

The relations among objects have a confiderable influence in the gratification of our passions, and even in their production. But that subject is reserved to be treated in the chapter of emotions and passions\*.

There is not perhaps another instance of a building so great erected upon a foundation fo flight in appearance, as the relations of objects and their arrangement. Relations make no capital figure in the mind, the bulk of them being transitory, and some extremely trivial: they are, however, the links that, by uniting our perceptions into one connected chain, produce connection of action, because perception and action have an intimate correspondence. But it is not fufficient for the conduct of life, that our actions be linked together, however intimately: it is beside necessary that they proceed in a certain order; and this also is provided for by an original propensity. Thus order and connection, while they admit fufficient variety, introduce a method in the management of affairs: without them our conduct would be fluctuating and defultory; and we should be hurried from thought to thought, and from action to action, entirely at the mercy of chance.

#### CHAP. II.

# Emotions and Passions.

OF all the feelings raifed in us by external objects, those only of the eye and the ear are honoured with the name of passion or emotion: the most pleasing feelings of taste, or touch, or smell, aspire not to that honour. From this observation appears the connection of emotions and passions with the fine arts, which, as observed in the introduction, are all of them calculated to give pleafure to the eye or the ear; never once condescending to gratify any of the inferior senses. defign accordingly of this chapter is to delineate that connection, with the view chiefly to afcertain what power the fine arts have to raise emotions and passions. To those who would excel in the fine arts, that branch of knowledge is indispensible; for without it the critic, as well as the undertaker, ignorant of any rule, have nothing left but to abandon themselves to chance. Destitute of that branch of knowledge, in vain will either pretend to foretel what effect his work will have upon the heart.

The principles of the fine arts, appear in this view to open a direct avenue to the heart of man. The inquifitive mind beginning with criticism, the most agreeable of all amusements, and finding no obstruction in its progress, advances far into the sensitive part of our nature; and gains imperceptibly a thorough knowledge of the human heart, of its desires, and of every motive to action; a science, which of all that can be reached by man, is to him of the greatest importance.

Upon a subject so comprehensive, all that can be expected in this chapter, is a general or slight survey: and to shorten that survey, I propose to handle separately some emotions more peculiarly connected with the sine

Even after that circumfcription, fo much matter comes under the present chapter, that, to avoid confufion, I find it necessary to divide it into many parts: and though the first of these is confined to such causes of emotion or passion as are the most common and the most general; yet upon examination I find this fingle part fo extensive, as to require a subdivision into several sections. Human nature is a complicate machine, and is unavoidably fo in order to answer its various purposes. public indeed have been entertained with many fystems of human nature that flatter the mind by their fimplicity: according to fome writers, man is entirely a felfish being; according to others, universal benevolence is his duty: one founds morality upon sympathy folely, and one upon utility. If any of these systems were copied from nature, the present subject might be soon discussed. But the variety of nature is not fo eafily reached: and for confuting fuch Utopian fystems without the fatigue of reasoning, it appears the best method to take a survey of human nature, and to fet before the eye, plainly and candidly, facts as they really exist.

#### PART I.

Causes unfolded of the Emotions and Passions.

# SECT. I.

Difference between Emotion and Passion,—Causes that are the most common and the most general.—Passion considered as productive of Action.

THESE branches are so interwoven, that they cannot be handled separately. It is a fact universally admitted, that no emotion or passion ever starts up in the mind without a cause: if I love a person, it is for good qualities

qualities or good offices: if I have refentment against a man, it must be for some injury he has done me: and I cannot pity any one who is under no distress of body nor of mind.

The circumstances now mentioned, if they raise an emotion or passion, cannot be entirely indifferent; for if so, they could not make any impression. And we find upon examination, that they are not indifferent: looking back upon the foregoing examples, the good qualities or good offices that attract my love, are antecedently agreeable; if an injury did not give uneasiness, it would not occasion resentment against the author: nor would the passion of pity be raised by an object in distress, if that object did not give pain.

What is now faid about the production of emotion or passion, resolves into a very simple proposition, That we love what is agreeable, and hate what is disagreeable. And indeed it is evident, that a thing must be agreeable or disagreeable, before it can be the object either of love

or of hatred.

This short hint about the causes of passion and emotion, leads to a more extensive view of the subject. Such is our nature, that upon perceiving certain external objects, we are instantaneously conscious of pleasure or pain: a gently-slowing river, a smooth extended plain, a spreading oak, a towering hill, are objects of sight that raise pleasant emotions: a barren heath, a dirty marsh, a rotten carcase, raise painful emotions. Of the emotions thus produced we inquire for no other cause but merely the presence of the object.

The things now mentioned raife emotions by means of their properties and qualities: to the emotion raifed by a large river, its fize, its force, and its fluency, contributes each a fhare: the regularity, propriety, and convenience, of a fine building, contribute each to the emo-

tion raifed by the building.

If

If external properties be agreeable, we have reason to expect the same from those which are internal; and accordingly power, discernment, wit, mildness, sympathy, courage, benevolence, are agreeable in a high degree: upon perceiving these qualities in others, we instantaneously feel pleasant emotions, without the slightest act of reslection, or of attention to consequences. It is almost unnecessary to add, that certain qualities opposite to the former, such as dulness, peevishness, inhumanity, coward-

ice, occasion in the same manner painful emotions.

Sensible beings affect us remarkably by their actions. Some actions raise pleasant emotions in the spectator, without the least reflection; such as graceful motion, and genteel behaviour. But as intention, a capital circumstance in human actions, is not visible, it requires reflection to discover their true character: I see one delivering a purse of money to another, but I can make nothing of that action, till I learn with what intention the money is given; if it be given to discharge a debt, the action pleafes me in a flight degree; if it be a grateful return, I feel a stronger emotion; and the pleasant emotion rises to a greater height, when it is the intention of the giver to relieve a virtuous family from want. Thus actions are qualified by intention: but they are not qualified by the event; for an action well intended gives pleafure, whatever the event be. Further, human actions are perceived to be right or wrong; and that perception quali-fies the pleasure or pain that results from them.\*

Emotions

<sup>\*</sup> In tracing our emotions and passions to their origin, my first thought was, that qualities and actions are the primary causes of emotions; and that these emotions are afterward expanded upon the being to which these qualities and actions belong. But I am now convinced that this opinion is erroneous. An attribute is not, even in imagination, separable from the being to which it belongs; and, for that reason, cannot of itself be the cause of any emotion. We have, it is true, no knowledge of any being or substance but by means of its attributes; and therefore no being can be agreeable to us otherwise than by their means. But still, when an emotion is raised, it is the being itself, as we apprehend the matter, that raises the emotion; and it raises it by means of one or other of its attributes. If it be urged, That we can in idea abstract a quality

Emotions are raised in us, not only by the qualities and actions of others, but also by their feelings: I cannot behold a man in distress, without partaking of his pain; nor in joy, without partaking of his pleasure.

The beings or things above described occasion emotions in us, not only in the original furvey, but also when recalled to the memory in idea: a field laid out with taste, is pleasant in the recollection, as well as when under our eye: a generous action described in words or colours, occasions a fensible emotion, as well as when we fee it perfomed; and when we reflect upon the diftress of any person, our pain is of the same kind with what we felt when eye-witnesses. In a word, an agreeable or disagreeable object recalled to the mind in idea, is the occasion of a pleasant or painful emotion, of the fame kind with that produced when the object was prefent: the only difference is, that an idea being fainter than an original perception, the pleafure or pain produced by the former, is proportionably fainter than that produced by the latter.

Having explained the nature of an emotion, and mentioned feveral causes by which it is produced, we proceed to an observation of considerable importance in the science of human nature; which is, That desire follows some emotions, and not others. The emotion raised by a beautiful garden, a magnificent building, or a number of sine saces in a crowded assembly, is seldom

accompanied

a quality from the thing to which it belongs; it might be answered, That such abstraction may serve the purposes of reasoning, but it too faint to produce any fort of emotion. But it is sufficient for the present purpose to answer, That the eye never abstracts: by that organ we perceive things as they really exist, and never perceive a quality as separated from the subject. Hence it must be evident, that emotions are raised, not by qualities abstractly considered, but by the substance or body so and so qualified. Thus, a spreading cak raises a pleasant emotion, by means of its colour, figure, umbrage, &c. it is not the colour, strictly speaking, that produces the emotion, but the tree of a certain figure. And hence, by the way, it appears, that the beauty of such an object is complex, resolvable into several beauties more simple.

accompanied with defire. Other emotions are accompanied with defire; emotions, for example, raifed by human actions and qualities: a virtuous action raifeth in every spectator a pleasant emotion, which is commonly attended with defire to reward the author of the action: a vicious action, on the contrary, produceth a painful emotion, attended with defire to punish the delinquent. Even things inanimate often raise emotions accompanied with defire: witness the goods of fortune, which are objects of defire almost universally; and the defire, when immoderate, obtains the name of avarice. The pleasant emotion produced in a spectator by a capital picture in the possession of a prince, is seldom accompanied with defire; but if such a picture be exposed to sale, desire of having or possessing is the natural conse-

quence of a strong emotion.

It is a truth verified by induction, that every passion is accompanied with defire; and if an emotion be sometimes accompanied with defire, fometimes not, it comes to be a material inquiry, in what respect a passion differs from an emotion. Is passion in its nature or feeling diftinguishable from emotion? I have been apt to think that there must be such a distinction; but, after the strictest examination, I cannot perceive any: what is love, for example, but a pleafant emotion raifed by a fight or idea of the beloved female, joined with defire of enjoyment? in what elfe confifts the passion of resentment, but in a painful emotion occasioned by the injury, accompanied with defire to chastise the guilty person? In general, as to passion of every kind, we find no more in its composition, but the particulars now mentioned, an emotion pleafant or painful, accompanied with defire. What then shall we fay? are passion and emotion fynonymous terms? That cannot be averred; because no feeling nor agitation of the mind void of defire, is termed a passion; and we have discovered, that there are many emotions which pass away without raising defire of any kind. How is Vol. I.

the difficulty to be folved? There appears to me but one folution, which I relish the more, as it renders the doctrine of the passions and emotions simple and perspicuous. The folution follows. An internal motion or agitation of the mind, when it passeth away without defire, is denominated an emotion: when defire follows, the motion or agitation is denominated a passion. A fine face, for example, raifeth in me a pleasant feeling: if that feeling vanish without producing any effect, it is in proper language an emotion; but if the feeling, by reiterated views of the object, become fufficiently strong to occasion desire, it loses its name of emotion, and acquires that of passion. The same holds in all the other passions: the painful feeling raised in a spectator by a flight injury done to a stranger, being accompanied with no defire of revenge, is termed an emotion; but that injury raiseth in the stranger a stronger emotion, which being accompanied with defire of revenge, is a passion: external expressions of distress produce in the spectator a painful feeling, which being fometimes fo slight as to pass away without any effect, is an emotion; but if the feeling be so strong as to prompt defire of affording relief, it is a passion, and is termed pity: envy is emulation in excess; if the exaltation of a competitor be barely difagreeable, the painful feeling is an emotion; if it produce defire to depress him, it is a passion.

To prevent mistakes, it must be observed, that desire here is taken in its proper sense, namely, that internal act, which, by influencing the will, makes us proceed to action. Desire in a lax sense respects also actions and events that depend not on us, as when I desire that my friend may have a son to represent him, or that my country may flourish in arts and sciences: but such internal act

is more properly termed a wish than a desire.

Having distinguished passion from emotion, we proceed to consider passion more at large, with respect especially to its power of producing action.

We

We have daily and constant experience for our authority, that no man ever proceeds to action but by means of an antecedent desire or impulse. So well established is this observation, and so deeply rooted in the mind, that we can scarce imagine a different system of action: even a child will say familiarly, What should make me do this or that, when I have no desire to do it? Taking it then for granted, that the existence of action depends on antecedent desire; it follows, that where there is no desire, there can be no action. This opens another shining distinction between emotions and passions. The former, being without desire, are in their nature quiescent: the desire included in the latter, prompts one to act in order to suffil that desire, or, in other words, to gratify the passion.

The cause of a passion is sufficiently explained above: it is that being or thing, which, by raising desire, converts an emotion into a passion. When we consider a passion with respect to its power of prompting action, that same being or thing is termed its object: a sine woman, for example, raises the passion of love, which is directed to her as its object: a man, by injuring me, raises my resentment, and becomes thereby the object of my resentment. Thus the cause of a passion, and its object, are the same in different respects. An emotion, on the other hand, being in its nature quiescent, and merely a passive feeling, must have a cause; but cannot be said, properly speaking, to have an object.

The objects of our passions may be distinguished into two kinds, general and particular. A man, a house, a garden, is a particular object: fame, esteem, opulence, honour, are general objects, because each of them comprehends many particulars. The passions directed to general objects are commonly termed appetites, in contradistinction to passions directed to particular objects, which retain their proper name: thus we say an appetite for same, for glory, for conquest, for

D 2

riches; but we fay the passion of friendship, of love, of gratitude, of envy, of resentment. And there is a material difference between appetites and passions, which makes it proper to distinguish them by different names: the latter have no existence till a proper object be presented; whereas the former exist first, and then are directed to an object: a passion comes after its object; an appetite goes before it, which is obvious in the appetites of hunger, thirst, and animal love, and is the same in the other appetites above mentioned.

By an object so powerful as to make a deep impression, the mind is inflamed, and hurried to action with a strong impulse. Where the object is less powerful, so as not to inflame the mind, nothing is felt but defire without any sensible perturbation. The principle of duty affords one instance: the desire generated by an object of duty, being commonly moderate, moves us to act calmly, without any violent impulse; but if the mind happen to be inflamed with the importance of the object, in that case desire of doing our duty becomes a

warm passion.

The actions of brute creatures are generally directed by instinct, meaning blind impulse or defire, without any view to consequences. Man is framed to be governed by reason: he commonly acts with deliberation, in order to bring about some defirable end; and in that case his actions are means employed to bring about the end defired: thus I give charity in order to relieve a person from want: I persorm a grateful action as a duty incumbent on me : and I fight for my country in order to repel its enemies. At the fame time, there are human actions that are not governed by reason, nor are done with any view to confequences. Infants, like brutes, are mostly governed by instinct, without the least view to any end, good or ill. And even adult perfons act fometimes instinctively: thus one in extreme hunger fnatches at food, without the slightest consideration whether

whether it be falutary: avarice prompts to accumulate wealth, without the least view of use; and thereby absurdly converts means into an end: and animal love often hurries to fruition, without a thought even of gratification.

A passion when it slames so high as to impel us to act blindly without any view to consequences, good or ill, may in that state be termed *instinctive*; and when it is so moderate as to admit reason, and to prompt actions with a view to an end, it may in that state be termed *deliberative*.

With respect to actions exerted as means to an end, desire to bring about the end is what determines one to exert the action; and desire considered in that view is termed a motive: thus the same mental act that is termed desire with respect to an end in view, is termed a motive with respect to its power of determining one to act. Instinctive actions have a cause, namely, the impulse of the passion; but they cannot be said to have a motive, because they are not done with any view to consequences.

We learn from experience that the gratification of defire is pleafant; and the forefight of that pleafure becomes often an additional motive for acting. Thus a a child eats by the mere impulse of hunger: a young man thinks of the pleafure of gratification, which being a motive for him to eat, fortifies the original impulse: and a man farther advanced in life, hath the additional motive, that it will contribute to his health.\*

From these premises, it is easy to determine with accuracy, what passions and actions are selfish, what social. It is the end in view that ascertains the class to which they belong: where the end in view is my own good, they are selfish; where the end in view is the good of another, they are social. Hence it follows, that instinc-

tive

<sup>\*</sup> One exception there is, and that is remorfe, when it is so violent as to make a man desire to punish himself. The gratification here is far from being pleasant. See p. 188. of this volume. But a single exception, instead of overturning a general rule, is rather a confirmation of it.

tive actions, where we act blindly and merely by impulse, cannot be reckoned either focial or selfish: thus eating, when prompted by an impulse merely of nature, is neither focial nor felfish; but add a motive, that it will contribute to my pleasure or my health, and it becomes in a measure selfish. On the other hand, when affection moves me to exert an action to the end folely of advancing my friend's happiness, without regard to my own gratification, the action is justly denominated focial, and so is also the affection that is its cause; if another motive be added, that gratifying the affection will also contribute to my own happineis, the If charity be given action becomes partly felfish. with the fingle view of relieving a person from distress, the action is purely focial; but if it be partly in view to enjoy the pleasure of a virtuous act, the action is fo far felfish.\* Animal love when carried into action by natural impulse fingly, is neither social nor selfish: when exerted with a view to gratification, it is felfish: when the motive of giving pleasure to its object is superadded, it is partly social, partly selfish. A just action, when prompted by the principle of duty folely, is neither focial nor felfish. When I perform an act of justice with a view to the pleasure of gratification, the action is felfish: I pay a debt for my own sake, not with a view to benefit my creditor. But suppose the money has been advanced by a friend without interest, purely to oblige me: in that cafe, together with the motive of gratification, there arises a motive of gratitude, which respects the creditor solely, and prompts me to act in order to do him good; and the action is partly focial, partly felfish. Suppose again I meet with a surprising

<sup>\*</sup> A selsish motive proceeding from a social principle, such as that mentioned, is the most respectable of all selsish motives. To enjoy the pleasure of a virtuous action, one must be virtuous; and to enjoy the pleasure of a charitable action, one must think charity laudable at least, if not a duty. It is otherwise where a man gives charity merely for the sake of oftentation; for this he may do without having any pity or benevolence in his temper.

Of

and unexpected act of generofity, that inspires me with love to my benefactor, and the utmost gratitude: I burn to do him good: he is the fole object of my defire; and my own pleasure in gratifying the desire vanisheth out of fight: in this case, the action I perform is purely social. Thus it happens, that when a focial motive becomes firong, the action is exerted with a view fingly to the object of the passion, and self never comes in view. fame effect of stifling felfish motives, is equally remarkable in other passions that are in no view focial. An action for example, done to gratify my ambitious views, is felfish: but if my ambition become headstrong, and blindly impel me to action, the action is neither felfish nor focial. A flight degree of refentment, where my chief view in acting is the pleafure arifing to myself from gratifying the passion, is justly denominated selfish: where revenge slames so high as to have no other aim but the destruction of its object, it is no longer felfish; but, in opposition to a focial passion, may be termed dissocial.\*

When this analysis of human nature is considered, not one article of which can with truth be controverted, there is reason to be surprised at the blindness of some philosophers, who, by dark and consused notions, are led to deny all motives to action but what arise from self-love. Man, for aught appears, might possibly have been so framed, as to be susceptible of no passions but what have self for their object: but man thus framed, would be ill sitted for society: his constitution, partly selfish, partly social, sits him much better for his present situation.

<sup>\*</sup> This word, hitherto not in use, seems to sulfil all that is required by Demetrius Phalereus (Of elocution, fel. 96.) in coining a new word: shift, that it be perspicuous; and next, that it be in the tone of the language; that we may not says our author, introduce among the Grecian vocables, words that sound like those of Phrygia or Scythia.

<sup>†</sup> As the benevolence of many human actions is beyond the possibility of doubt, the argument commonly insisted on for reconciling such actions to the testion

Of felf, every one hath a direct perception; of other things we have no knowledge but by means of their attributes: and hence it is, that of felf the perception is more lively than of any other thing. Self is an agreeable object; and, for the reason now given, must be more agreeable than any other object. Is this sufficient to ac-

count for the prevalence of felf-love?

In the foregoing part of this chapter it is suggested, that some circumstances make beings or things fit objects for defire, others not. This hint ought to be purfued. It is a truth ascertained by universal experience, that a thing which in our apprehension is beyond reach, never is the object of defire; no man, in his right fenses, desires to walk on the clouds, or to descend to the centre of the earth: we may amuse ourselves in. a reverie, with building castles in the air, and wishing for what can never happen; but fuch things never move defire. And indeed a defire to do what we are fenfible is beyond our power, would be altogether abfurd. In the next place, though the difficulty of attainment with respect to things within reach, often inflames desire; yet, where the prospect of attainment is faint, and the event extremely uncertain, the object, however agreeable, feldom raifeth any strong defire; thus beauty, or any other good quality, in a woman of rank, feldom raifes love in a man greatly her inferior. In the third place, different objects, equally within reach, raife emotions in different degrees; and when defire accompanies any of these emotions, its strength, as is natural, is proportioned to that of its cause. Hence the remarkable difference among defires directed to beings inani-

felfish system, is, that the only motive I can have to perform a berevolent action, or an action of any kind, is the pleasure that it affords me. So much then is vielded, that we are pleased when we do good to others: which is a fair admission of the principle of benevolence; for without that principle, what pleasure could one have in doing good to others? And admitting a principle of benevolence, why may it not be a motive to action, as well as felfishness is, or any other principle?

mate, animate, and rational: the emotion caused by a rational being, is out of measure stronger than any caused by an animal without reason; and an emotion raised by such an animal, is stronger than what is caused by any thing inanimate. There is a separate reason why desire, of which a rational being is the object, should be the strongest: our desires swell by partial gratification; and the means we have of gratifying desire, by benefiting or harming a rational being, are without end: desire directed to an inanimate being, sustended the neither of pleasure nor pain, is not capable of a higher gratification than that of acquiring the property. Hence it is, that though every emotion accompanied with desire, is strictly speaking a passion; yet commonly none of these are denominated passions, but where a sensible being, capable of pleasure and pain, is the object.

#### SECT. II.

Power of Sounds to raise Emotions and Passions.

PON a review, I find the foregoing fection almost wholly employed upon emotions and passions raised by objects of fight, though they are also raised by objects of hearing. As this happened without intention, merely because such objects are familiar above others, I find it proper to add a short section upon the power of sounds to raise emotions and passions.

I begin with comparing founds and visible objects with respect to their influence upon the mind. It has already been observed, that of all external objects, rational beings, especially of our own species, have the most powerful influence in raising emotions and passions; and, as speech is the most powerful of all the means by which one human being can display itself to another, the objects of the eye must so far yield preference to those of the ear. With

With respect to inanimate objects of fight, founds may be so contrived as to raise both terror and mirth beyond what can be done by any such object. Music has a commanding influence over the mind, especially in conjunction with words. Objects of fight may indeed contribute to the same end, but more faintly; as where a love-poem is rehearsed in a shady grove, or on the bank of a purling stream. But sounds which are vastly more ductile and various, readily accompany all the social affections expressed in a poem, especially emotions

of love and pity.

Music having at command a great variety of emotions, may, like many objects of fight, be made to promote luxury and esseminacy; of which we have instances without number, especially in vocal music. But, with respect to its pure and refined pleasures, music goes hand in hand with gardening and architecture, her fifter-arts, in humanizing and polifhing the mind; \* of which none can doubt who have felt the charms of music. But, if authority be required, the following pasfage from a grave historian, eminent for folidity of judgment, must have the greatest weight. Polybius, speaking of the people of Cynætha, an Arcadian tribe, has the following train of reflections, "As the Arcadians have always been celebrated for their piety, humanity, and hospitality, we are naturally led to inquire, how it has happened that the Cynætheans are distinguished from the other Arcadians, by favage manners, wickedness and cruelty. I can attribute this difference to no other cause, but a total neglect among the people of Cynætha, of an institution established among the ancient Arcadians with a nice regard to their manners and their climate: I mean the discipline and exercise of that genuine and perfect music, which is useful in every state, but necesfary to the Arcadians; whose manners, originally rigid

and austere, made it of the greatest importance to incorporate this art into the very effence of their govern-. ment. All men know that, in Arcadia, the children are early taught to perform hymns and fongs composed in honour of their gods and heroes; and that, when they have learned the music of Timotheus and Philoxenus, they affemble yearly in the public theatres, dancing with emulation to the found of flutes, and acting in games adapted to their tender years. The Arcadians, even in their private feafts, never employ hirelings, but each man fings in his turn. They are also taught all the military steps and motions to the found of instruments, which they perform yearly in the theatres, at the public charge. To me it is evident, that these solemnities were introduced, not for idle pleasure, but to soften the rough and stubborn temper of the Arcadians, occasioned by the coldness of a high country. But the Cynætheans, neglecting these arts, have become so fierce and savage, that there is not another city in Greece fo remarkable for frequent and great enormities. This confideration ought to engage the Arcadians never to relax in any degree, their mufical discipline; and it ought to open the eyes of the Cynætheans, and make them fenfible of what importance it would be to restore music to their city, and every discipline that may soften their manners; for otherwife they can never hope to fubdue their brutal ferocity.\*"

No one will be furprifed to hear fuch influence attributed to music, when, with respect to another of the fine arts, he finds a living instance of an influence no less powerful. It is unhappily indeed the reverse of the former; for it has done more mischief by corrupting British manners, than music ever did good by purifying those of Arcadia.

The licentious court of Charles II. among its many diforders, engendered a pest, the virulence of which subsists

to this day. The English comedy, copying the manners of the court, became abominably licentious; and continues fo with very little foftening. It is there an established rule, to deck out the chief characters with every vice in fashion, however gross. But, as such characters viewed in a true light would be difgustful, care is taken to difguife their deformity under the embellishments of wit, sprightliness, and good humour, which in mixed company makes a capital figure. It requires not much thought to discover the poisonous influence of such plays. A young man of figure, emancipated at last from the severity and restraint of a college education, repairs to the capital disposed to every fort of excess. The playhouse becomes his favourite amusement; and he is enchanted with the gaiety and fplendour of the chief perfonages. The difgust which vice gives him at first, soon wears off, to make way for new notions, more liberal in his opinion; by which a fovereign contempt of religion, and a declared war upon the chaftity of wives, maids, and widows, are converted from being infamous vices to be fashionable virtues. The infection spreads gradually through all ranks, and becomes univerfal. How gladly would I liften to any one who should undertake to prove, that what I have been describing is chimerical! but the diffoluteness of our young men of birth will not suffer me to doubt of its reality. Sir Harry Wildair has completed many a rake; and in the Suspicious Husband, Ranger, the humble imitator of Sir Harry, has had no flight influence in spreading that character. What woman tinctured with the playhouse-morals, would not be the fprightly, the witty, though diffolute Lady Townly, rather than the cold, the fober, though virtuous Lady Grace? How odious ought writers to be who thus employ the talents they have from their Maker most traitoroufly against himself, by endeavouring to corrupt and disfigure his creatures! If the comedies of Congreve did not rack him with remorfe in his last moments, he must

have been loft to all fense of virtue. Nor will it afford any excuse to such writers, that their comedies are entertaining; unless it could be maintained, that wit and fprightliness are better suited to a vicious than a virtuous character. It would grieve me to think fo; and the direct contrary is exemplified in the Merry Wives of Windfor, where we are highly entertained with the conduct of two ladies, not more remarkable for mirth and spirit than for the ftrictest purity of manners.

#### SECT. III.

Causes of the Emotions of Joy and Sorrow.

THIS fubject was purposely reserved for a separate section, because it could not, with perspicuity, be handled under the general head. An emotion activities and when companied with defire is termed a passion; and when the defire is fulfilled, the passion is faid to be gratified. Now, the gratification of every passion must be pleasant; for nothing can be more natural than that the accomplishment of any wish or defire should affect us with joy: I know of no exception but when a man stung with remorfe defires to chaftife and punish himfelf. The joy of gratification is properly called an emotion; because it makes us happy in our present situation, and is ultimate in its nature, not having a tendency to any thing beyond. On the other hand, forrow must be the result of an event contrary to what we defire; for if the accomplishment of defire produce joy, it is equally natural that disappointment should produce forrow.

An event, fortunate or unfortunate, that falls out by accident, without being foreseen or thought of, and which therefore could not be the object of defire, raifeth an emotion of the fame kind with that now mentioned; but the cause must be different; for there can be no gratisi-

cation where there is no defire. We have not however far to feek for a cause: it is involved in the nature of man, that he cannot be indifferent to an event that concerns him or any of his connections; if it be fortunate, it gives him joy; if unfortunate, it gives him forrow.

In no fituation doth joy rife to a greater height than upon the removal of any violent distress of mind or body; and in no fituation doth forrow rife to a greater height, than upon the removal of what makes us happy. The fenfibility of our nature ferves in part to account for thefe effects. Other causes concur. One is, that violent diftress always raises an anxious desire to be free from it: and therefore its removal is a high gratification: nor can we be possessed of any thing that makes us happy, without wishing its continuance; and therefore its removal, by crofling our wifnes, must create forrow. The principle of contrast is another cause: an emotion of joy arising upon the removal of pain, is increased by contrast when we reflect upon our former diffress: an emotion of forrow, upon being deprived of any good, is increased by contrast when we reflect upon our former happiness:

Jaffer. There's not a wretch that lives on common charity, But's happier than me. For I have known The luscious sweets of plenty: every night Have slept with fost content about my head, And never wak'd but to a joyful morning. Yet now must fall like a full ear of corn, Whose blossom 'scap'd, yet's withered in the ripening.

Venice Preserv'd, ast 1. sc. 1.

It hath always been reckoned difficult to account for the extreme pleafure that follows a ceffation of bodily pain; as when one is relieved from the rack, or from a violent fit of the stone. What is said explains this difficulty, in the easiest and simplest manner: cessation of bodily pain is not of itself a pleasure, for a non ens or a negative can neither give pleasure nor pain; but a man is so framed by nature as to rejoice when he is eased of pain,

as well as to be forrowful when deprived of any enjoyment. This branch of our constitution is chiefly the cause of the pleasure. The gratification of desire comes in as an acceffory cause: and contrast joins its force, by increasing the sense of our present happiness. In the case of an acute pain, a peculiar circumstance contributes its part: the brifk circulation of the animal spirits occasioned by acute pain, continues after the pain is gone, and produceth a very pleasant emotion. Sickness hath not that effect, because it is always attended with a depression of fpirits.

Hence it is, that the gradual diminution of acute pain, occasions a mixt emotion, partly pleasant, partly pain, occasions a sinkt emotion, party pleatant, party painful: the partial diminution produceth joy in proportion: but the remaining pain balanceth the joy. This mixt emotion, however, hath no long endurance; for the joy that arifeth upon the diminution of pain, foon vanisheth, and leaveth in the undisturbed possession,

that degree of pain which remains.

What is above observed about bodily pain, is equally applicable to the distresses of the mind; and accordingly it is a common artifice to prepare us for the reception of good news by alarming our fears.

## SECT. IV.

Sympathetic Emotion of Virtue, and its cause.

ONE feeling there is that merits a deliberate view, for its fingularity as well as utility. Whether to call it an emotion or a passion, seems uncertain: the former it can scarce be because it involves desire; the latter it can scarce be because it has no object. But this doubtff feeling, and its nature, will be best understood from examples. A signal act of gratitude produceth in the spectator or reader not only large. tor or reader, not only love or esteem for the author,

but also a separate feeling, being a vague feeling of gratitude without an object; a feeling, however, that difposes the spectator or reader to acts of gratitude, more than upon an ordinary occasion. This feeling is overlooked by writers upon ethics; but a man may be convinced of its reality, by attentively watching his own heart when he thinks warmly of any fignal act of gratitude; he will be conscious of the feeling, as distinct from the efteem or admiration he has for the grateful person. The feeling is fingular in the following respect, that it is accompanied with a defire to perform acts of gratitude, without having any object; though in that ftate, the mind, wonderfully bent on an object, neglects no opportunity to vent itself: any act of kindness or good-will that would pass unregarded upon another occafion, is greedily feized; and the vague feeling is converted into a real passion of gratitude: in such a state, favours are returned double.

In like manner, a courageous action produceth in a fpectator the passion of admiration directed to the author: and beside this well known passion, a separate feeling is raised in the spectator; which may be called an emotion of courage; because, while under its influence, he is conscious of a boldness and intrepidity beyond what is usual, and longs for proper objects upon which to exert this emotion:

Spumantemque dari, pecora interinertia, votis Optat aprum, aut fulvum descendere monte leonem.

Eneid. iv. 158.

Non altramente il tauro, one l'irriti Gelofo amor con stimoli pungenti, Horribilmente mugge, e co'muggiti Gli spirti in se risueglia, e l'ire ardenti : E'l corno aguzza a i tronchi, e par ch'inuiti Con vani colpi a'la battaglia i venti.

Taffo, canto 7. ft. 55.

So full of valour that they smote the air For breathing in their faces.

Tempest, act 4. sc. 4.

The emotions raifed by mufic independent of words, must be all of this nature: courage roused by martial music performed upon instruments without a voice, cannot be directed to any object; nor can grief or pity raifed by melancholy music of the same kind have

an object.

For another example, let us figure fome grand and heroic action, highly agreeable to the spectator: befide veneration for the author, the spectator feels in himself an unusual dignity of character, which disposeth him to great and noble actions: and herein chiefly confifts the extreme delight every one hath in the histories of conquerors and heroes.

This fingular feeling, which may be termed the sympathetic emotion of virtue, refembles, in one respect, the well known appetites that lead to the propagation and preservation of the species. The appetites of hunger, thirst, and animal love, arise in the mind before they are directed to any object; and in no case whatever is the mind more folicitous for a proper object, than when

under the influence of any of these appetites.

The feeling I have endeavoured to unfold, may well be termed the sympathetic emotion of virtue; for it is raised in a spectator, or in a reader, by virtuous actions of every kind, and by no other fort. When we contemplate a virtuous action, which fails not to prompt our love for the author, our propentity at the fame time to fuch actions is fo much enlivened, as to become for a time an actual emotion. But no man hath a propenfity to vice as fuch: on the contrary, a wicked deed difgusts him, and makes him abhor the author; and this abhorrence is a strong antidote against vice, as long as any impression remains of the wicked action.

Vol. I. In

In a rough road, a halt to view a fine country is refreshing; and here a delightful prospect opens upon us. It is indeed wonderful to observe what incitements there are to virtue in the human frame: justice is perceived to be our duty; and it is guarded by natural punishments, from which the guilty never escape: to perform noble and generous actions, a warm fense of their dignity and superior excellence is a most efficacious incitement.\* And to leave virtue in no quarter unfupported, here is unfolded an admirable contrivance, by which good example commands the heart, and adds to virtue the force of habit. We approve every virtuous action, and bestow our affection on the author; but if virtuous actions produced no other effect upon us, good example would not have great influence: the sympathetic emotion under confideration bestows upon good example the utmost influence, by prompting us to imitate what we admire. This fingular emotion will readily find an object to exert itself upon: and at any rate, it never exists without producing some effect; because virtuous emotions of that fort, are in some degree an exercise of virtue; they are a mental exercise at least, if they appear not externally. And every exercife of virtue, internal and external, leads to habit; for a disposition or propensity of the mind, like a limb of the body, becomes stronger by exercise. Proper means at the same time, being ever at hand to raise this sympathetic emotion, its frequent reiteration may, in a good measure, supply the want of a more complete exercise. Thus, by proper discipline, every person may acquire a fettled habit of virtue: intercourse with men of worth, histories of generous and difinterested actions, and frequent meditation upon them, keep the fympathetic emotion in constant exercise, which by degrees intro-

<sup>#</sup> See Essays on morality and natural religion, part 1. ess. 2. ch. 4.

duceth a habit, and confirms the authority of virtue: with respect to education in particular, what a spacious and commodious avenue to the heart of a young perfon is here opened!

#### SECT. V.

In many instances one Emotion is productive of another.

The same of Passions.

In the first chapter it is observed, that the relations by which things are connected, have a remarkable influence on the train of our ideas. I here add, that they have an influence, no less remarkable, in the production of emotions and passions. Beginning with the former, an agreeable object makes every thing connected with it appear agreeable; for the mind gliding sweetly and easily through related objects, carries along the agreeable properties it meets with in its passage, and bestows them on the present object, which thereby appears more agreeable than when considered apart.\* This reason may appear obscure and metaphysical, but the fact is beyond all dispute. No relation

<sup>\*</sup> Such proneness has the mind to this communication of properties, that we often find a property ascribed to a related object, of which naturally it is not susceptible. Sir Richard Grenville in a single ship, being surprised by the Spanish sleet, was advised to reire. He utterly resused to turn from the enemy; declaring, "he would rather die, than dishonour himself, his country, and her Majesty's ship." Hakluyt. vol. 2. part 2. p. 169. To aid the communication of properties in instances like the present, there always must be a momentary personification: a ship must be imagined a sensible being, to make it susceptible of honour or dishonour. In the battle of Mantinea, Epaminondas being mortally wounded, was carried to his tent in a manner dead: recovering his senses, the first thing he inquired about was his shield; which being brought, he kissed it as the companion of his valour and glory. It must be remarked, that among the Greeks and Romans it was deemed infamous for a soldier to return from battle without his shield.

tion is more intimate than that between a being and its qualities: and accordingly, every quality in a hero, even the flightest, makes a greater figure than more substantial qualities in others. The propensity of carrying along agreeable properties from one object to another, is sometimes so vigorous, as to convert defects into properties: the wry neck of Alexander was imitated by his courtiers as a real beauty, without intention to flatter: Lady Piercy, speaking of her husband Hotspur,

By his light
Did all the chivalry of England move,
To do brave acts. He was indeed the glass,
Wherein the noble youths did dress themselves.
He had no legs that practis'd not his gait:
And speaking thick, which Nature made his blemish,
Became the accents of the valiant:
For those who could speak flow and tardily,
Would turn their own persection to abuse,
To seem like him.

Second part, Henry IV. act 2. se. 6.

The fame communication of passion obtains in the relation of principal and accessory. Pride, of which self is the object, expands itself upon a house, a garden, fervants, equipage, and every accessory. A lover addressesh his mistress' glove in the following terms:

### Sweet ornament that decks a thing divine.

Veneration for relics has the fame natural foundation; and that foundation with the superstructure of superstition, has occasioned much blind devotion to the most ridiculous objects, to the supposed milk, for example, of the Virgin Mary, or the supposed blood of St. Januarius.\* A temple is in a proper sense an accessory

<sup>\*</sup> But why worship the cross which is supposed to be that upon which our Saviour soffered? That cross ought to be the object of hatred, not of veneration. If it be urged, that as an infirument of Chriss suffering it was falutary to mankind, I answer, Why is not also Pontius Pilate reverenced, Caiaphas the high priest, and Judas Iscariot?

ceffory of the deity to which it is dedicated: Diana is chaste, and not only her temple, but the very iscle which hangs on it, must partake of that property:

The noble fifter of Poplicola, The moon of Rome; chafte as the ificle That's curdled by the frost from purest snow, And hangs on Dian's temple.

Coriolanus, act 5. fc. 3.

Thus it is, that the respect and esteem, which the great, the powerful, the opulent, naturally command, are in some measure communicated to their dress, to their manners, and to all their connections: and it is this communication of properties, which, prevailing even over the natural taste of beauty, helps to give cur-

rency to what is called the fashion.

By means of the fame eafiness of communication, every bad quality in an enemy is spread upon all his connections. The sentence pronounced against Ravaillac for the affassination of Henry IV. of France, ordains, that the house in which he was born should be razed to the ground, and that no other building should ever be erected on that spot. Enmity will extend passion to objects still less connected. The Swiss suffer no peacocks to live, because the Duke of Austria, their ancient enemy, wears a peacock's tail in his crest. A relation more slight and transitory than that of enmity, may have the same effect: thus the bearer of bad tidings becomes an object of aversion:

Fellow, begone; I cannot brook thy fight; This news hath made thee a most ugly man.

King John, act 3. sc. 1.

Yet the first bringer of unwelcome news Hath but a losing office: and his tongue Soundsever after as a sullen bell Remember'd, tolling a departed friend.

E 3 Second part, Henry IV. act 1. fc. 3.

In borrowing thus properties from one object to beflow them on another, it is not any object indifferently that will answer. The object from which properties are borrowed, must be such as to warm the mind and enliven the imagination. Thus the beauty of a mistress, which inflames the imagination, is readily communicated to a glove, as above mentioned; but the greatest beauty a glove is susceptible of, touches the mind so little, as to be entirely dropped in passing from it to the owner. In general, it may be observed, that any dress upon a fine woman is becoming: but that ornaments upon one who is homely, must be elegant indeed to have any remarkable effect in mending her

appearance.\*

The emotions produced as above may properly be termed fecondary, being occasioned either by antecedent emotions or antecedent passions, which in that respect may be termed primary. And to complete the present theory, I must add, that a secondary emotion may readily fwell into a paffion for the accessory object, provided the accessory be a proper object for defire. Thus it happens that one passion is often productive of another: examples are without number; the fole difficulty is a proper choice. \* I begin with felf-love, and the power it hath to generate love to children. Every man, beside making part of a greater fystem, like a comet, a planet, or fatellite only, hath a lefs fystem of his own, in the centre of which he represents the fun darting his fire and heat all around; especially upon his nearest connections: the connection between a man and his chil-

<sup>\*</sup> A house and gardens surrounded with pleasant fields, all in good order, bestow greater lustre upon the owner than at first will be imagined. The beauties of the sormer are, by intimacy of connection, readily communicated to the latter; and if it have been done at the expense of the owner himself, we naturally transfer to him whatever of design, art, or task appears in the performance. Should not this be a strong motive with proprietors to embellish and improve their fields?

dren, fundamentally that of cause and effect, becomes, by the addition of other circumstances, the completest that can be among individuals; and therefore felf-love, the most vigorous of all passions, is readily expanded upon children. The secondary emotion they produce by means of their connection, is sufficiently strong to move defire even from the beginning; and the new passion swells by degrees, till it rivals in some measure felf-love, the primary passion. To demonstrate the truth of this theory, I urge the following argument. morfe for betraying a friend, or murdering an enemy in cold blood, makes a man even hate himself: in that state, he is not conscious of affection to his children, but rather of difgust or ill-will. What cause can be affigned for that change, other than the hatred he has to himself, which is expanded upon his children? And if so, may we not with equal reason derive from selflove, some part at least of the affection a man generally has to them?

The affection a man bears to his blood relations, depends partly on the fame principle: felf-love is also expanded upon them; and the communicated passion is more or less vigorous in proportion to the degree of connection. Nor doth felf-love rest here: it is, by the force of connection, communicated even to things inanimate: and hence the affection a man bears to his property, and to every thing he calls his own.

Friendship, less vigorous than self-love, is, for that reason, less apt to communicate itself to the friend's children, or other relations. Instances however are not wanting of such communicated passion, arising from friendship when it is strong. Friendship may go higher in the matrimonial state than in any other condition: and Otway, in Venice preserved, takes advantage of that circumstance: in the scene where Belvidera suces to her father for pardon, she is represented as

£ 4

pleading

pleading her mother's merit, and the resemblance she bore to her mother:

> Priuli. My daughter! Belvidera. Yes, your daughter, by a mother Virtuous and noble, faithful to your honour, Obedient to your will, kind to your wishes, Dear to your arms. By all the joys she gave you When in her blooming years she was your treasure, Look kindly on me; in my face behold The lineaments of her's y'have kis'd so often, Pleading the cause of your poor cast-off child.

And again,

Belvidera. Lay me, I beg you, lay me By the dear ashes of my tender mother: She would have pitied me, had fate yet spar'd her. AA 5. fc. 1.

This explains why any meritorious action, or any illustrious qualification, in my fon or my friend, is apt to make me over-value myself: if I value my friend's wife or fon upon account of their connection with him, it is still more natural that I should value myself upon ac-

count of my connection with him.

Friendship, or any other focial affection, may, by changing the object, produce opposite effects. Pity, by interesting us strongly for the person in distress, must of consequence inflame our resentment against the author of the distress: for, in general, the affection we have for any man, generates in us good-will to his friends, and ill-will to his enemies. Shakespear shows great art in the funeral oration pronounced by Antony over the body of Casfar. He first endeavours to excite grief in the hearers, by dwelling upon the deplorable loss of fo great a man: this passion, interesting them strongly in Cæsar's fate, could not fail to produce a lively fense of the treachery and cruelty of the conspirators:

conspirators; an infallible method to inflame the refentment of the people beyond all bounds:

> Antony. If you have tears, prepare to shed them now, You all do know this mantle. I remember The first time ever Cæsar put it on; 'Twas on a fummer's evening, in his tent, That day he overcame the Nervii-Look! in this place ran Cassius' dagger through; See what a rent the envious Cafca made .-Through this the well-beloved Brutus stabb'd; And, as he pluck'd his curfed seel away, Mark how the blood of Cæsar follow'd it! As rushing out of doors, to be refolv'd, If Brutus fo unkindly knock'd or no: For Brutus, as you know, was Cæsar's angel. Judge, oh you God's! how dearly Cæsar lov'd him! This, this, was the unkindest cut of all; For when the noble Cæsar saw him stab, Ingratitude, more strong than traitor's arms, Quite vanquish'd him; then burst his mighty heart; And, in his mantle muffling up his face, Which all the while ran blood, great Cæsar fell, Even at the base of Pompey's statue. O what a fall was there, my countrymen! Then I and you, and all of us, fell down, Whilft bloody treason flourish'd over us. O, now you weep; and I perceive you feel The dint of pity; thefe are gracious drops. Kind fouls! what! weep you when you but behold Our Cæfar's vesture wounded? look you here! Here is himself, marr'd, as you see, by traitors. Julius Cafar, at 3. fc. 6.

Had Antony endeavoured to excite his audience to vengeance, without paving the way by raifing their grief, his fpeech would not have made the fame im-

preflion.

Hatred, and other diffocial passions, produce effects directly opposite to those above mentioned. If I hate a man, his children, his relations, nay his property, become to me objects of aversion: his enemies on the other hand, I am disposed to esteem.

The

The more flight and transitory relations are not favourable to the communication of passion. Anger, when fudden and violent, is one exception; for, if the person, who did the injury be removed out of reach, that passion will vent itself against any related object, however flight the relation be. Another exception makes a greater figure: a group of beings or things, becomes often the object of a communicated passion, even where the relation of the individuals to the percipient is but flight. Thus, though I put no value upon a fingle man for living in the fame town with myfelf; my townsmen, however, considered in a body. are preferred before others. This is still more remarkable with respect to my countrymen in general: the grandeur of the complex objects swells the passion of felf-love by the relation I have to my native country; and every passion, when it swells beyond its ordinary bounds, hath a peculiar tendency to expand itself along related objects. In fact, instances are not rare, of perfons, who upon all occasions are willing to facrifice their lives and fortunes for their country. Such influence upon the mind of man hath a complex object, or, more properly fpeaking, a general term\*.

The fense of order hath influence in the communication of passion. It is a common observation, that a man's affection to his parents is less vigorous than to his children; the order of nature in descending to children, aids the transition of the affection: the ascent to a parent, contrary to that order, makes the transition more difficult. Gratitude to a benefactor is readily extended to his children; but not so readily to his parents. The difference, however, between the natural and inverted order, is not so considerable, but that it may be balanced by other circumstances. Pliny gives

an

<sup>\*</sup> See Essays on morality and natural religion, part 1. ess. 2. ch. 5.

<sup>+</sup> Lib. 7. cap. 26.

an account of a woman of rank condemned to die for a crime; and, to avoid public shame, detained in prifon to die of hunger: her life being prolonged beyond expectation, it was discovered, that she was nourished by fucking milk from the breafts of her daughter. This instance of filial piety, which aided the transition, and made afcent no less easy than descent is commonly, procured a pardon to the mother, and a penfion to both. The story of Androcles and the lion\* may be accounted for in the fame manner: the admiration, of which the lion was the object for his kindness and gratitude to Androcles, produced good will to Androcles, and a pardon of his crime.

And this leads to other observations upon communicated passions. I love my daughter less after she is married, and my mother less after a second marriage: the marriage of my fon or of my father diminishes not my affection fo remarkably. The fame observation holds with respect to friendship, gratitude, and other paffions: the love I bear my friend is but faintly extended to his married daughter: the refentment I have against a man is readily extended against children who make part of his family; not fo readily against children who are foris-familiated, especially by marriage. This difference is also more remarkable in daughters than in fons. These are curious facts; and, in order to discover the cause, we must examine minutely that operation of the mind by which a passion is extended to a related object. In confidering two things as related, the mind is not stationary, but passeth and repasseth from the one to the other, viewing the relation from each of them perhaps oftener than once; which holds more especially in considering a relation between things of unequal rank, as between the cause and

<sup>\*</sup> Aulus Gellius, lib. 5. cap. 14.

and the effect, or between a principal and an accessory, in contemplating, for example, the relation between a building and its ornaments, the mind is not fatisfied with a fingle transition from the former to the latter; it must also view the relation, beginning at the latter, and passing from it to the former. This vibration of the mind in passing and repassing between things related, explains the facts above mentioned: the mind passeth eafily from the father to the daughter; but where the daughter is married, this new relation attracts the mind, and obstructs, in some measure, the return from the daughter to the father; and any circumstance that obstructs the mind in passing and repassing between its objects, occasions a like obstruction in the communication of passion. The marriage of a male obstructs less the easiness of transition: because a male is less funk by the relation of marriage than a female.

The foregoing instances are of passion communicated from one object to another But one passion may be generated by another, without change of object, It in general is observable, that a passion paves the way to others fimilar in their tone, whether directed to the fame or to a different object; for the mind, heated by any passion, is, in that state, more susceptible of a new impression in a similar tone, than when cool and quiescent. It is a common observation, that pity generally produceth friendship for a person in distress. One reason is, that pity interests us in its object, and recommends all its virtuous qualities: female beauty accordingly shows best in distress; being more apt to infpire love, than upon an ordinary occasion. But the chief reason is, that pity, warming and melting the spectator, prepares him for the reception of other tender affections; and pity is readily improved into love or friendship, by a certain tenderness and concern for the object, which is the tone of both passions. The aptitude

aptitude of pity to produce love, is beautifully illustrated by Shakespear:

Othello. Her father lov'd me ; oft invited me ; Still question'd me the story of my life, From year to year ; the battles, fieges, fortunes, That I have past. I ran it through e'en from my boyish days, To th' very moment that he bade me tell it : Wherein I spoke of most disastrous chances, Of moving accidents by flood and field; Of hair-breadth 'scapes in th' imminent deadly breach; Of being taken by the infolent foe, And fold to flavery; of my redemption thence, And with it all my travel's history.

\_\_\_ All thefe to hear Would Desidemona seriously incline; But still the house-affairs would draw her thence Which, ever as the could with hafte dispatch. She'd come again, and with a greedy ear Devour up my discourse : Which I observing, Took once a pliant hour, and found good means To draw from her a prayer of earnest heart, That I would all my pilgrimage dilate, Whereof by parcels the had fomething heard, But not distinctively. I did confent, And often did beguile her of her tears, When I did fpeak of fome diftrefsful ftroke That my youth fuffer'd. My flory being done, She gave me for my pains a world of fighs: She fwore, in faith, 'twas strange, 'twas passing strange-'Twas pitiful, 'twas wondrous pitifulthe wish'd she had not heard it :-vet she wish'd That Heaven had made her fuch a man : fhe thank'd me, And bade me, if I had a friend that lov'd her, I should but teach him how to tell my story, And that would woo her. On this hint I spake ; She lov'd me for the dangers I had past, And I lov'd her, that fhe did pity them: This only is the witchcraft I have us'd.

Othello, all 1. fc. 8.

In this instance it will be observed that admiration concurred with pity to produce love. SECT.

## SECT. VI.

Causes of the Passions of Fear and Anger.

FEAR and anger, to answer the purposes of nature, are happily so contrived as to operate sometimes inflinctively, fometimes deliberately, according to circumstances. As far as deliberate, they fall in with the general fystem, and require no particular explanation: if any object have a threatening appearance, reason suggests means to avoid the danger: if a man be injured, the first thing he thinks of, is what revenge he shall take, and what means he shall employ. These particulars are no less obvious than natural. But, as the passions of fear and anger, in their instinctive state, are less familiar to us, it may be acceptable to the reader to have them accurately delineated. He may also possibly be glad of an opportunity to have the nature of instinctive pasfions more fully explained, than there was formerly opportunity to do. I begin with fear.

Self-preservation is a matter of too great importance to be left entirely to the conduct of reason. Nature hath acted here with her usual foreshight. Fear and anger are passions that move us to act, sometimes deliberately, sometimes instinctively, according to circumstances; and by operating in the latter manner, they frequently afford security when the slower operations of deliberate reason would be too late: we take nourishment commonly, not by the direction of reason, but by the impulse of hunger and thirst; and in the same manner, we avoid danger by the impulse of fear, which often, before there is time for reslection, placeth us in safety. Here we have an illustrious instance of wisdom in the formation of

man; for it is not within the reach of fancy, to conceive any thing more artfully contrived to answer its purpose, than the instinctive passion of fear, which, upon the first surmise of danger, operates instantaneously. So little doth the passion, in such instances, depend on reason, that it frequently operates in contradiction to it: a man who is not upon his guard cannot avoid shrinking at a blow though he knows it to be aimed in sport; nor avoid closing his eyes at the approach of what may hurt them, though conscious that he is in no danger. And it also operates by impelling us to act even where we are conscious that our interpolition can be of no fervice: if a passage-boat, in a brisk gale, bear much to one fide, I cannot avoid applying the whole force of my shoulders to set it upright; and, if my horse stumble, my hands and knees are instantly at work to prevent him from falling.

Fear provides for felf-preservation by slying from harm; anger, by repelling it. Nothing, indeed, can be better contrived to repel or prevent injury, than anger or resentment: destitute of that passion, men, like desenceless lambs, would lie constantly open to mischies.\* Deliberate anger caused by a voluntary injury, is too well known to require any explanation: if my desire be to resent an affront I must use means; and these means must be discovered by resection: deliberation is here requisite; and in that case the passion feldom exceeds just bounds. But, where anger impels one suddenly to return a blow, even without thinking of doing mischief, the passion is instinctive; and it is chiefly in such a case that it is rash and ungovernable, because it operates blindly, without affording time for deliberation or foresight.

Instinctive

<sup>\*</sup> Brasidas being bit by a mouse he had catched, let it slip out of his singers: "No creature (says he) is so contemptible, but what may provide for its own safety, if it have courage." Plutarch, Apothegmata.

Instinctive anger is frequently raised by bodily pain, by a stroke, for example, on a tender part, which, ruffling the temper, and unhinging the mind, is in its tone fimilar to anger: and when a man is thus beforehand disposed to anger, he is not nice nor scrupulous about an object; the person who gave the stroke, however accidentally, is by an inflammable temper held a proper object, merely for having occasioned the pain. It is still more remarkable, that a stock or a stone by which I am hurt, becomes an object for my refentment: I am violently excited to crush it to atoms. The passion, indeed, in that case, can be but a single flash; for being entirely irrational, it must vanish with the first re-Nor is that irrational effect confined to bodily pain: internal diffres, when excessive, may be the occasion of effects equally irrational: perturbation of mind occasioned by the apprehension of having lost a dear friend, will, in a fiery temper, produce momentary sparks of anger against that very friend, however innocent: Thus Shakespear, in the Tempost,

A& 3. fc. 3.

The final words, Well, let him go, are an expression of impatience and anger at Ferdinand, whose absence greatly distressed his father, dreading that he was lost in the storm. This nice operation of the human mind, is by Shakespear exhibited upon another occasion, and sinely painted in the tragedy of Othello: Iago, by dark hints and suspicious circumstances, had roused Othello's jealousy; which, however, appeared too slightly founded to be vented upon Desdemona, its proper object. The perturbation and distress of mind thereby occasioned, produced

produced a momentary refentment against Iago, confidered as occasioning the jealousy, though innocent:

Othello. Villain, be fure thou prove my love a whore; Be fure of it: give me the ocular proof, Or by the wrath of man's eternal foul Thou hadft better have been born a dog, Than answer my wak'd wrath.

Iago. Is't come to this?

Othello. Make me fee't; or, at the least, so prove it, That the probation bear no hinge or loop

To hang a doubt on: or wo upon thy life!

Iage. My noble Lord——

Othello. If thou dost stander her and torture me,

Never pray more; abandon all remorfe; On horror's head horrors accumulate;

Do deeds to make heav'n weep, all earth amaz'd:

For nothing canst thou to damnation add

Greater than that. Othello, all 2. sc. 8.

This blind and abfurd effect of anger is more gaily illustrated by Addison, in a story, the dramatis personae of which are, a cardinal, and a spy retained in pay for intelligence. The cardinal is represented as minuting down the particulars. The spy begins with a low voice, "Such an one the advocate whispered to one of his friends within my hearing, that your Eminence was a very great poltroon;" and after having given his patron time to take it down, adds, "That another called him a mercenary rascal in a public conversation." The cardinal replies, "Very well," and bids him go on. The spy proceeds, and loads him with reports of the same nature, till the cardinal rises in a sury, calls him an impudent scoundrel, and kicks him out of the room.\*

We meet with inflances every day of refentment raifed by lofs at play, and wreaked on the cards or dice. But anger, a furious passion, is satisfied with a connection still slighter than that of cause and essect:

of

<sup>\*</sup> Spectator, No. 439.

of which Congreve, in the Mourning Bride, gives one beautiful example:

Gonfalez. Have comfort.

Almeria. Curs'd be that tongue that bids me be of comfort,

Curs'd my own tongue that could not move his pity, Curs'd thefe weak hands that could not hold him here, For he is gone to doom Alphonfo's death.

AET 4. Sc. 8.

I have chosen to exhibit anger in its more rare appearances, for in these we can best trace its nature and extent. In the examples above given, it appears to be an abfurd passion, and altogether irrational. But we ought to confider, that it is not the intention of nature to fubject this passion, in every instance, to reason and reflection: it was given us to prevent or to repel injuries; and, like fear, it often operates blindly and instinctively, without the least view to consequences: the very first apprehension of harm sets it in motion to repel injury by punishment. Were it more cool and deliberate, it would lofe its threatening appearance, and be infufficient to guard us against violence. When such is and ought to be the nature of the passion, it is not wonderful to find it exerted irregularly and capriciously, as it fometimes is where the mischief is sudden and unforeseen. All the harm that can be done by the passion in that state is instantaneous; for the shortest delay fets all to rights; and circumstances are seldom fo unlucky as to put it in the power of a paffionate man to do much harm in an instant.

Social passions, like the felsish, sometimes drop their character, and become instinctive. It is not unusual to find anger and fear respecting others so excessive, as to operate blindly and impetuously, precisely as where they are selsish.

SECT.

PART I. Emotions and Passions. 75

of this sichian

The whole, involved absurdities

SECT. VII.

Emotions caused by Fiction.

HE attentive reader will observe, that hitherto no fiction hath been affigned as the cause of any passion or emotion: whether it be a being, action, or quality, that moveth us, it is supposed to be really exifting. This observation shows that we have not yet completed our task; because passions, as all the world know, are moved by fiction as well as by truth. In judging beforehand of man, fo remarkably addicted to truth and reality, one should little dream that fiction can have any effect upon him; but man's intellectual faculties are not fufficiently perfect to dive far even into his own nature. I shall take occasion afterward to show, that the power of fiction to generate passion is an admirable contrivance, subservient to excellent purposes: in the mean time, we must try to unfold the means that give fiction fuch influence over the mind.

That the objects of our external fenses really exist in the way and manner we perceive, is a branch of intuitive knowledge: when I see a man walking, a tree growing, or cattle grazing, I cannot doubt but that these objects are really what they appear to be: if I be a spectator of any transaction or event, I have a conviction of the real existence of the persons engaged, of their words and of their actions. Nature determines us to rely on the veracity of our senses; for otherwise they could not in any degree answer their end, that of laying open things existing and passing around us.

F 2

By the power of memory, a thing formerly feem may be recalled to the mind with different degrees of accuracy. We commonly are fatisfied with a flight recollection of the capital circumstances; and, in such recollection, the thing is not figured as in our view, nor any image formed: we retain the consciousness of our present situation, and barely remember that formerly we faw that thing. \* But with respect to an interesting object or event that made a strong impression, I am not fatisfied with a curfory review, but must dwell upon every circumstance. I am imperceptibly converted into a spectator, and perceive every particular passing in my presence, as when I was in reality a spectator. For example, I saw yesterday a beautiful woman in tears for the loss of an only child, and was greatly moved with her diffress: not fatisfied with a flight recollection or bare remembrance, I ponder upon the melancholy scene: conceiving myself to be in the place where I was an eye-witness, every circumstance appears to me as at first: I think I see the woman in tears, and hear her moans. Hence it may be justly faid, that in a complete idea of memory there is no past nor future: a thing recalled to the mind with the accuracy I have been describing, is perceived as in our view, and confequently as existing at prefent. time makes part of an incomplete idea only: I remember or reflect, that some years ago I was at Oxford, and faw the first stone laid of the Ratcliff library; and I remember that, at a still greater distance of time, I heard a debate in the House of Commons about a ftanding army.

Lamentable is the imperfection of language, almost in every particular that falls not under external sense. I am talking of a matter exceedingly clear in the perception: and yet I find no small difficulty to express it clearly in words; for it is not accurate to talk of in-

cidents

cidents long past as passing in our fight, nor of hearing at present what we really heard yesterday or at a more distant time. And yet the want of proper words to describe ideal presence, and to distinguish it from real presence, makes this inaccuracy unavoidable.—When I recal any thing to my mind in a manner so distinct as to form an idea or image of it as present, I have not words to describe that act, but that I perceive the thing as a spectator, and as existing in my presence; which means not that I am really a spectator, but only that I conceive myself to be a spectator, and have a perception of the object similar to what a

real spectator hath.

As many rules of criticism depend on ideal presence, the reader, it is hoped, will take some pains to form an exact notion of it, as diftinguished on the one hand from real presence, and on the other from a superficial or reflective remembrance. In contradiftinction to real prefence, ideal prefence may properly be termed awaking dream; because, like a dream, it vanisheth the moment we reflect upon our prefent fituation: real prefence, on the contrary, vouched by eye-fight, commands our belief, not only during the direct perception, but in reflecting afterward on the object. To distinguish ideal presence from reflective remembrance, I give the following illustration: when I think of an event as past, without forming any image, it is barely reflecting or remembering that I was an eye-witness: but when I recal the event fo distinctly as to form a complete image of it, I perceive it as passing in my presence; and this perception is an act of intuition, into which reflection enters not, more than into an act of fight.

Though ideal prefence is thus diffinguished from real presence on the one side, and from reslective remembrance on the other, it is however variable without

any

any precife limits; rifing fometimes toward the former, and often finking toward the latter. In a vigorous exertion of memory, ideal prefence is extremely diftinct; thus, when a man, entirely occupied with fome event that made a deep impression, forgets himself, he perceives every thing as passing before him, and hath a consciousness of presence similar to that of a spectator; with no difference but that in the former the perception of presence is less firm and clear than in the latter. But such vigorous exertion of memory is rare: ideal presence is oftener faint, and the image so obscure as not to differ widely from reslective remembrance.

Hitherto of an idea of memory, I proceed to confider the idea of a thing I never faw, raifed in me by fpeech, by writing or by painting. That idea with respect to the present subject, is of the same nature with an idea of memory, being either complete or incomplete. A lively and accurate description of an important event, raises in me ideas no less distinct than if I had been originally an eye-witness: I am infensibly transformed into a spectator; and have an impression that every incident is passing in my presence. On the other hand, a flight or fuperficial narrative produceth but a faint and incomplete idea, of which ideal prefence makes no part. Past time is a circumstance that enters into this idea, as it doth into an incomplete idea of memory; I believe that Scipio existed about 2000 years ago, and that he overcame Hannibal in the famous battle of Zama. When I reflect fo flightly upon that memorable event, consider it as long past; but let it be spread out in a lively and beautiful description, I am insensibly transformed into a spectator: I perceive these two heroes in act to engage: I perceive them brandishing their fwords, and cheering their troops; and in that manner I attend them through the

the battle, every incident of which appears to be paffing

in my fight."

I have had occasion to observe,\* that ideas both of memory and of speech, produce emotions of the same kind with what are produced by an immediate view of the object; only fainter, in proportion as an idea is fainter than an original perception. The infight we now have, unfolds that mystery: ideal presence supplies the want of real presence; and in idea we perceive perfons acting and fuffering, precifely as in an original furvey: if our fympathy be engaged by the latter, it must also in some degree be engaged by the former, especially if the distinctness of ideal presence approach to that of real presence. Hence the pleasure of a reverie, where a man, forgetting himself, is totally occupied with the ideas paffing in his mind, the objects of which he conceives to be really existing in his presence. The power of language to raise emotions, depends entirely on the raifing fuch lively and distinct images as are here described: the reader's pasfions are never fenfibly moved, till he be thrown into a kind of reverie: in which state, forgetting that he is reading, he conceives every incident as passing in his presence precisely as if he were an eye-witness. A general or reflective remembrance cannot warm us into any emotion: it may be agreeable in some slight degree; but its ideas are too faint and obscure to raise any thing like an emotion; and were they ever so lively, they pass with too much precipitation to have that effect: our emotions are never instantaneous; even fuch as come the foonest to their height, have different periods of birth and increment; and to give opportunity for these different periods, it is necessary that the cause of every emotion be present to the mind a due time;

<sup>\*</sup> Part 1. feet. 1. of the present chapter.

time; for an emotion is not carried to its height but by reiterated impressions. We know that to be the case with emotions arising from objects of fight: a quick succession, even of the most beautiful objects, scarce making any impression; and if this hold in the succession of original perceptions, how much more in the succession of ideas?

Though all this while I have been only describing what paffeth in the mind of every one, and what every one must be conscious of, it was necessary to enlarge upon the fubject; because, however clear in the internal conception, it is far from being fo when described in words. Ideal presence, though of general importance, hath fcarce ever been touched by any writer; and however difficult the explication, it could not be avoided in accounting for the effects produced by fiction. that point, the reader, I guess, has prevented me: it already must have occurred to him, that if, in reading, ideal presence be the means by which our passions are moved, it makes no difference whether the subject be a fable or a true history: when ideal presence is complete, we perceive every object as in our fight; and the mind totally occupied with an interesting event, finds no leifure for reflection. This reasoning is confirmed by conftant and universal experience. Let us take under confideration the meeting of Hector and Andromache, in the fixth book of the Iliad, or fome of the passionate scenes in King Lear: these pictures of human life, when we are fufficiently engaged, give an impression of reality not less distinct than that given by Tacitus describing the death of Otho: we never once reflect whether the story be true or feigned; reflection comes afterward, when we have the fcene no longer before our eyes. This reasoning will appear in a still clearer light, by oppofing ideal presence to ideas raised by a curfory narrative; which ideas being faint, ob-

fcure.

fcure, and imperfect, leave a vacuity in the mind, which folicits reflection. And accordingly a curt narrative of feigned incidents is never relished: any slight pleasure it affords, is more than counterbalanced by the disgust

it inspires for want of truth.

To support the foregoing theory, I add what I reckon a decifive argument; which is, that even genuine history has no command over our passions but by ideal presence only; and consequently, that in this respect it stands upon the fame footing with fable. To me it appears clear, that in neither can our fympathy hold firm against reflection: for if the reflection that a story is a pure fiction prevent our fympathy, fo will equally the reflection that the persons described are no longer existing. What effect, for example, can the belief of the rape of Lucretia have to raise our spmpathy, when she died above 2000 years ago, and hath at present no painful feeling of the injury done her? The effect of history, in point of instruction, depends in some measure upon its veracity. But history cannot reach the heart, while we indulge any reflection upon the facts: fuch reflection, if it engage our belief, never fails at the same time to poison our pleasure, by convincing us that our fympathy for those who are dead and gone is abfurd. And if reflection be laid afide, history stands upon the same footing with fable: what effect either may have to raife our fympathy, depends on the vivacity of the ideas they raife; and, with respect to that circumstance, fable is generally more fuccessful than history.

Of all the means for making an impression of ideal presence, theatrical representation is the most powerful. That words, independent of action, have the same power in a less degree, every one of sensibility must have felt: a good tragedy will extort tears in private, though not so forcibly as upon the stage. That power

belongs

belongs also to painting: a good historical picture makes a deeper impression than words can, though not equal to that of theatrical action. Painting feems to possess a middle place between reading and acting: in making an impression of ideal presence, it is not less superior to the former, than inferior to the latter.

It must not however be thought, that our passions can be raifed by painting to fuch a height as by words: a picture is confined to a fingle inftant of time, and cannot take in a fuccession of incidents: its impression indeed is the deepest that can be made instantaneously; but feldom is a passion raised to any height in an instant, or by a single impression: it was observed above, that our passions, those especially of the sympathetic kind, require a fuccession of impressions: and for that reason, reading and acting have greatly the advantage, by reiterating impressions without end.

Upon the whole, it is by means of ideal prefence that our passions are excited; and till words produce that charm, they avail nothing: even real events entitled to our belief, must be conceived present and passing in our fight, before they can move us. And this theory ferves to explain feveral phenomena otherwife unaccountable. A misfortune happening to a stranger, makes a lefs impression than happening to a man we know, even where we are no way interested in him: our acquaintance with this man, however flight, aids the conception of his fuffering in our prefence. For the fame reason, we are little moved by any distant event; because we have more difficulty to conceive it present, than an event that happened in our neighbourhood.

Every one is fenfible, that defcribing a past event as prefent, has a fine effect in language: for what other reason than that it aids the conception of ideal pres-

ence? Take the following example.

And now with shouts the shocking armies clos'd, To lances lances, shields to shields oppos'd; Host against host their shadowy legions drew, The sounding darts, in iron tempets slew; Victors and vanquish'd join promiscuous cries, Triumphant shouts and dying groans arise, With streaming blood the slippery field is dy'd, And slaughter'd heroes swell the dreadful tide.

In this passage we may observe how the writer, inflamed with the subject, insensibly advances from the past time to the present; led to that form of narration by conceiving every circumstance as passing in his own fight: which at the same time has a sine effect upon the reader, by presenting things to him as a spectator.

But change from the past to the present requires fome preparation; and is not sweet where there is no stop in the sense: witness the following passage.

Thy fate was next, O Phæstus! doom'd to feel The great Idomeneus' protended steel; Whom Borus sent (his son and only joy) From fruitful Tarne to the fields of Troy. The Cretan jav'lin reach'd him from asar, And pierc'd his shoulder as he mounts his car.

Iliad, v. 57.

It is still worse to fall back to the past in the same period; for that is an anticlimax in description:

Through breaking ranks his furious course he bends, And at the goddess his broad lance extends; Through her bright veil the daring weapon drove, Th' ambrosial veil, which all the graces wove: Her snowy hand the razing steel profun'd, And the transparent skin with crimson stain'd.

Hiad, v. 415.

Again, describing the shield of Jupiter,

Here

Here all the terrors of grim War appear, Here rages Force, here tremble Flight and Fear, Here storm'd Contention, and here Fury frown'd, And the dire orb portentous Gorgon crown'd.

Iliad, v. 914.

Nor is it pleafant to be carried backward and forward alternately in a rapid fuccession:

Then dy'd Scamandrius, expert in the chace, In woods and wilds to wound the favage race; Diana taught him all her fylvan arts, To bend the bow and aim unerring darts: But vainly here Diana's arts he tries, The fatal lance arrefts him as he flies; From Menelaus' arm the weapon fent, Through his broad back and heaving bosom went: Down finks the warrior with a thund'ring found, His brazen armour rings against the ground.

Iliad, v. 65.

It is wonderful to observe, upon what flight foundations nature erects fome of her most folid and magnificent works. In appearance at least, what can be more flight than ideal prefence; and yet, from it is derived that extensive influence which language hath over the heart; an influence, which, more than any other means, strengthens the bond of fociety, and attracts individuals from their private fystem to perform acts of generofity and benevolence. Matters of fact, it is true, and truth in general, may be inculcated without taking advantage of ideal prefence; but without it, the finest fpeaker or writer would in vain attempt to move any passion: our sympathy would be confined to objects that are really prefent; and language would lofe entirely its fignal power of making us fympathize with beings removed at the greatest distance of time as well as of place. Nor is the influence of language by means of ideal presence, confined to the heart: it reacheth

alfo

also the understanding, and contributes to belief. For when events are related in a lively manner, and every circumstance appears as passing before us, we suffer not patiently the truth of the facts to be questioned. An historian, accordingly, who hath a genius for narration, feldom fails to engage our belief. The fame facts related in a manner cold and indiffinct, are not fuffered to pass without examination: a thing ill described is like an object feen at a distance, or through a mist; we doubt whether it be a reality or a fiction. Cicero fays, that to relate the manner in which an event passed, not only enlivens the flory, but makes it appear more credible.\* For that reason, a poet who can warm and animate his reader, may employ bolder fictions than ought to be ventured by an inferior genius: the reader, once thoroughly engaged, is susceptible of the strongest impressions:

Veraque constituunt, quae belle tangere possunt Aureis, et lepido quae sunt sucata sonore. Lucretius, lib. 1. 1. 644.

A masterly painting has the same effect: Le Brun is no small support to Quintus Curtius: and among the vulgar in Italy, the belief of scripture-history is perhaps sounded as much upon the authority of Raphael, Michael Angelo, and other celebrated painters, as upon that of the sacred writers.

The foregoing theory must have fatigued the reader with much dry reasoning; but his labour will not be fruitless;

<sup>\*</sup> De Oratore, lib. 2. sect. 81.

<sup>†</sup> At quæ Polyeleto desuerunt, Phidiæ atque Alcameni dantur. Phidias tamen diis quam hominibus efficiendis melior artifex traditur: in ebore vero longe citra æmulum, vel si nihil nisi Mineryam Athenis, aut Olympium in Elide Jovem secisset, cujus pulchritudo adjecisse aliquid etiam receptæ religioni videtur; adeo majestas operis Deum æquavit. Quintilian, lib. 12. cap. 10. § 1.

fuch

fruitless; because from that theory are derived many useful rules in criticism, which shall be mentioned in their proper places. One specimen shall be our present entertainment. Events that furprise by being unexpected, and yet are natural, enliven greatly an epic poem: but in fuch a poem, if it pretend to copy human manners and actions, no improbable incident ought to be admitted: that is, no incident contrary to the order and courle of nature. A chain of imagined incidents linked together according to the order of nature, finds easy admittance into the mind; and a lively narrative of fuch incidents occasions complete images, or, in other words, ideal prefence: but our judgment revolts against an improbable incident; and, if we once begin to doubt of its reality, farewell relish and concern—an unhappy effect; for it will require more than ordinary effort, to restore the waking dream, and to make the reader conceive even the more probable incidents as passing in his presence.

I never was an admirer of machinery in an epic poem, and I now find my taste justified by reason; the foregoing argument concluding still more strongly against imaginary beings, than against improbable facts: fictions of that nature may amuse by their novelty and fingularity; but they never move the fympathetic passions, because they cannot impose on the mind any perception of reality. I appeal to the discerning reader, whether that observation be not applicable to the machinery of Tasso and of Voltaire: such machinery is not only in itself cold and uninteresting, but gives an air of fiction to the whole composition. A burlesque poem, such as the Lutrin or the Dispensary, may employ machinery with fuccess; for these poems, though they assume the air of history, give entertainment chiefly by their pleafant and ludicrous pictures, to which machinery contributes: it is not the aim of

fuch a poem, to raise our sympathy: and for that reafon a strict imitation of nature is not required. A poem professedly ludicrous, may employ machinery to great advantage; and the more extravagant the better.

Having affigned the means by which fiction commands our passions; what only remains for accomplishing our present task, is to assign the final cause. I have already mentioned, that fiction, by means of language, has the command of our sympathy for the good of others. By the same means, our sympathy may also be raised for our own good. In the fourth section of the prefent chapter, it is observed, that examples both of virtue and of vice raise virtuous emotions; which becoming stronger by exercise, tend to make us virtuous by habit, as well as by principle. I now further observe, that examples confined to real events are not fo frequent as without other means to produce a habit of virtue: if they be, they are not recorded by historians. It therefore shows great wisdom to form us in fuch a manner, as to be fusceptible of the same improvement from fable that we receive from genuine history. By that contrivance, examples to improve us in virtue may be multiplied without end: no other fort of discipline contributes more to make virtue habitual, and no other fort is fo agreeable in the application. I add another final cause with thorough satisfaction: because it shows, that the author of our nature is not less kindly provident for the happiness of his creatures, than for the regularity of their conduct: the power that fiction hath over the mind affords an endless variety of refined amusements, always at hand to employ a vacant hour: fuch amusements are a fine resource in solitude; and, by cheering and fweetening the mind, contribute mightily to focial happiness.

## PART II.

Emotions and Passions as pleasant and painful, agreeable and disagreeable. Modifications of these Qualities.

T will naturally occur at first, that a discourse upon the passions ought to commence with explaining the qualities now mentioned: but upon trial, I found that this explanation could not be made distinctly, till the difference should first be ascertained between an emotion and a passion, and their causes unfolded.

Great obscurity may be observed among writers with regard to the prefent point; particularly no care is taken to distinguish agreeable from pleafant, disagreeable from painful; or rather these terms are deemed fynonymous. This is an error not at all venial in the science of ethics; as instances can and shall be given, of painful passions that are agreeable, and of pleafant passions that are disagreeable. These terms, it is true, are used indifferently in familiar conversation, and in compositions for amusement; but more accuracy is required from those who profess to explain the passions. In writing upon the critical art, I would avoid every refinement that may feem more curious than useful: but the proper meaning of the terms under consideration must be ascertained, in order to understand the passions, and some of their essects that are intimately connected with criticism.

I shall endeavour to explain these terms by familiar examples. Viewing a fine garden, I perceive it to be beautiful or agreeable; and I consider the beauty or agreeableness as belonging to the object, or as one of its qualities. When I turn my attention from the gar-

den

Vol. I.

den to what passes in my mind, I am conscious of a pleasant emotion, of which the garden is the cause: the pleasure here is felt, as a quality, not of the garden, but of the emotion produced by it. I give an opposite example. A rotten carcass is disagreeable, and raises in the spectator a painful emotion: the disagreeableness is a quality of the object; the pain is a quality of the emotion produced by it. In a word, agreeable and disagreeable are qualities of the objects we perceive; pleasant and painful are qualities of the emotions we feel: the former qualities are perceived as adhering to objects; the latter are selt as existing within us.

But a passion or emotion, beside being felt, is frequently made an object of thought or reslection: we examine it; we inquire into its nature, its cause, and its essents. In that view, like other objects, it is either agreeable or disagreeable. Hence clearly appear the different significations of the terms under consideration, as applied to passion: when a passion is termed pleasant or painful, we refer to the actual feeling; when termed agreeable or disagreeable, we refer to it as an object of thought or reslection; a passion is pleasant or painful to the person in whom it exists; it is agreeable or disagreeable to the person who makes it a subject of contemplation.

In the description of emotions and passions, these terms do not always coincide: to make which evident, we must endeavour to ascertain, first, what passions and emotions are pleasant, what painful; and next, what are agreeable, what disagreeable. With respect to both, there are general rules, which, if I can trust to induction, admit not a single exception. The nature of an emotion or passion as pleasant or painful, depends entirely on its cause: the emotion produced by an agreeable object is invariably pleasant; and the emo-

tion produced by a difagreeable object is invariably painful.\* Thus a lofty oak, a generous action, a valuable difcovery in art or science, are agreeable objects that invariably produce pleasant emotions. A stinking puddle, a treacherous action, an irregular, ill-contrived edifice, being disagreeable objects, produce painful emotions. Selfish passions are pleasant; for they arise from self, an agreeable object or cause. A social passion directed upon an agreeable object, is always pleasant; directed upon an object in distress, is painful. Lastly, all dissocial passions, such as envy, resentment, malice, being caused by disagreeable objects, cannot sail to

be painful.

A general rule for the agreeableness or disagreeableness of emotions and passions is a more difficult enterprife: it must be attempted however. We have a fense of a common nature in every species of animals, particularly in our own; and we have a conviction that this common nature is right, or perfect, and that individuals ought to be made conformable to it. † To every faculty, to every passion, and to every bodily member, is affigned a proper office and a due proportion: if one limb be longer than the other, or be difproportioned to the whole, it is wrong and difagreeable: if a passion deviate from the common nature, by being too ftrong or too weak, it is also wrong and disagreeable: but as far as conformable to common nature, every emotion and every passion is perceived by us to be right, and as it ought to be; and upon that account it must appear agreeable. That this holds true in pleafant emotions and passions, will readily be admitted: but the painful are no less natural than the other; and therefore ought not to be an exception.

Thus

<sup>\*</sup> See part 7. of this chapter.

<sup>+</sup> See this doctrine fully explained, chap. 25. Standard of Tafe.

Thus the painful emotion raised by a monstrous birth or brutal action, is no less agreeable upon reflection, than the pleasant emotion raised by a flowing river or a losty dome: and the painful passions of grief and pity are agreeable, and applauded by all the world.

Another rule more fimple and direct for ascertaining the agreeableness or disagreeableness of a passion as opposed to an emotion, is derived from the desire that accompanies it. If the desire be to perform a right action in order to produce a good effect, the passion is agreeable: if the desire be to do a wrong action in order to produce an ill effect, the passion is disagreeable. Thus, passions as well as actions are governed by the moral sense. These rules by the wisdom of Providence coincide: a passion that is conformable to our common nature must tend to good; and a passion that deviates from our common nature must tend to ill.

This deduction may be carried a great way farther: but to avoid intricacy and obscurity, I make but one other step. A passion which, as aforesaid, becomes an object of thought to a spectator, may have the effect to produce a passion or emotion in him; for it is natural, that a focial being should be affected with the passions of others. Passions or emotions thus generated, fubmit, in common with others, to the general law above mentioned, namely, that an agreeable object produces a pleafant emotion, and a difagreeable object a painful emotion. Thus the passion of gratitude, being to a spectator an agreeable object, produceth in him the pleafant passion of love to the grateful person: and malice, being to a spectator a disagreeable object, produceth in him the painful passion of hatred to the malicious person.

We are now prepared for examples of pleafant paffions that are difagreeable, and of painful passions that are agreeable. Self-love, as long as confined within just bounds, is a passion both pleasant and agreeable: in excess it is disagreeable, though it continues to be still pleasant. Our appetites are precisely in the same condition. Resentment, on the other hand, is, in every stage of the passion, painful; but is not disagreeable unless in excess. Pity is always painful, yet always agreeable. Vanity, on the contrary, is always pleasant, yet always disagreeable. But however distinct these qualities are, they coincide, I acknowledge, in one class of passions: all vicious passions tending to the hurt of others, are equally painful and disagreeable.

The foregoing qualities of pleasant and painful, may be fufficient for ordinary subjects: but with respect to the science of criticism, it is necessary, that we also be made acquainted with the feveral modifications of these qualities, with the modifications at least that make the greatest figure. Even at first view one is sensible, that the pleasure or pain of one passion differs from that of another: how distant the pleasure of revenge gratified from that of love? fo distant, as that we cannot without reluctance admit them to be any way related. That the fame quality of pleasure should be so differently modified in different passions, will not be surprising, when we reflect on the boundless variety of agreeable founds, tastes, and smells, daily perceived. Our difcernment reaches differences still more minute, in obiects even of the same sense: we have no difficulty to diffinguish different sweets, different sours, and different bitters; honey is fweet, fo is fugar, and yet the one never is miltaken for the other: our fense of fmelling is fufficiently acute, to diftinguish varieties in fweet-finelling flowers without end. With respect to patlions and emotions, their differences as to pleafant and painful have no limits; though we want acuteness of feeling for the more delicate modifications.

is here an analogy between our internal and external fenses: the latter are sufficiently acute for all the useful purposes of life, and so are the former. Some persons indeed, Nature's savourites, have a wonderful acuteness of sense, which to them unfolds many a delightful scene totally hid from vulgar eyes. But if such refined pleasure be consined to a small number, it is however wisely ordered that others are not sensible of the defect; nor detracts it from their happiness that others secretly are more happy. With relation to the sine arts only, that qualification seems essential; and there it is termed delicacy of taste.

Should an author of fuch a taste attempt to describe all those varieties in pleasant and painful emotions which he himself feels, he would soon meet an invincible obstacle in the poverty of language: a people must be thoroughly refined, before they invent words for expressing the more delicate feelings; and for that reason, no known tongue hitherto has reached that perfection. We must therefore rest satisfied with an explanation of the more obvious modifications.

In forming a comparison between pleasant passions of different kinds, we conceive some of them to be gross, some refined. Those pleasures of external sense that are felt as at the organ of sense, are conceived to be corporeal, or gross:\* the pleasures of the eye and the ear are felt to be internal; and for that reason are conceived to be more pure and refined.

The focial affections are conceived by all to be more refined than the felfish. Sympathy and humanity are universally esteemed the finest temper of mind; and for that reason, the prevalence of the social affections in the progress of society, is held to be a refinement in our nature. A savage knows little of social affection,

and

<sup>\*</sup> See the Introduction.

and therefore is not qualified to compare felfish and social pleasure; but a man after acquiring a high relish for the latter, loses not thereby a taste for the former: he is qualified to judge, and he will give preference to social pleasures as more sweet and refined. In fact they maintain that character, not only in the direct feeling, but also when we make them the subject of reslection: the social passions are far more agreeable than the selfish, and rise much higher in our esteem.

There are differences not less remarkable among the painful passions. Some are voluntary, some involuntary: the pain of the gout is an example of the latter; grief, of the former, which in some cases is so voluntary as to reject all consolation. One pain softens the temper; pity is an instance: one tends to render us favage and cruel, which is the case of revenge. I value myself upon sympathy: I hate and despite myself for

envy.

Social affections have an advantage over the felfish, not only with respect to pleasure, as above explained, but also with respect to pain. The pain of an affront, the pain of want, the pain of disappointment, and a thousand other selfish pains, are cruciating and tormenting, and tend to a habit of peevishness and discontent. Social pains have a very different tendency: the pain of sympathy, for example, is not only voluntary, but softens my temper, and raises me in my own esteem.

Refined manners, and polite behaviour, must not be deemed altogether artificial: men who, inured to the sweets of society, cultivate humanity, find an elegant pleasure in preferring others, and making them happy, of which the proud, the selfish, scarce have a conception.

Ridicule, which chiefly arises from pride, a selfish passion, is at best but a gross pleasure: a people, it is

true, must have emerged out of barbarity before they can have a taste for ridicule; but it is too rough an entertainment for the polished and refined. Cicero discovers in Plautus a happy talent for ridicule, and a peculiar delicacy of wit: but Horace, who made a figure in the court of Augustus, where taste was considerably purified, declares against the lowness roughness of that author's raillery. Ridicule is banished France, and is losing ground in England.

Other modifications of pleasant passions will be occasionally mentioned hereafter. Particularly, the modifications of high and low are to be handled in the chapter of grandeur and fublimity; and the modifications of dignified and mean, in the chapter of dignity and

grace.

## PART III.

Interrupted Existence of Emotions and Passions.—Their Growth and Decay.

WERE it the nature of an emotion to continue, like colour and figure, in its prefent state till varied by fome operating cause, the condition of man would be deplorable: it is ordered wifely, that emotions should more resemble another attribute of matter, namely motion, which requires the constant exertion of an operating cause, and ceases when the cause is withdrawn. An emotion may subfift while its cause is present; and when its cause is removed, may fubfift by means of an idea, though in a fainter manner: but the moment another thought breaks in and engroffes the mind, the emotion is gone, and is no longer felt: if it return with its cause, or an idea of its

 $G_4$ 

its cause, it again vanisheth with them when other thoughts crowd in. The reason is, that an emotion or passion is connected with the perception or idea of its cause, so intimately as not to have any independent existence: a strong passion, it is true, hath a mighty influence to detain its cause in the mind; but not so as to detain it for ever, because a succession of perceptions or ideas is unavoidable.\* Further, even while a paffion subfifts, it feldom continues long in the same tone, but is fuccessively vigorous and faint; the vigour of a passion depends on the impression made by its cause; and a cause makes its deepest impression, when, happening to be the fingle interesting object, it attracts our whole attention: † its impression is slighter when our attention is divided between it and other objects: and at that time the passion is fainter in proportion.

When emotions and passions are felt thus by intervals, and have not a continued existence, it may be thought a nice problem to determine when they are the same, when different. In a strict philosophic view, every fingle impression made even by the same object is diffinguishable from what have gone before, and from what fucceed: neither is an emotion raifed by an idea the fame with what is raifed by a fight of the object. But fuch accuracy not being found in common apprehension, is not necessary in common language: the emotions raifed by a fine landscape in its successive appearances are not distinguishable from each other, nor even from those raised by successive ideas of the object; all of them being held to be the fame: a passion also is always reckoned the same as long as it is fixed upon the same object; and thus love

<sup>\*</sup> See this point explained afterwards, chap. 9.

<sup>+</sup> See the Appendix, containing definitions, and explanation of terms, fest. 33.

love and hatred are faid to continue the fame for life. Nay, fo loofe are we in that way of thinking, that many passions are reckoned the same even after a change of object; which is the case of all passions that proceed from some peculiar propensity: envy, for example, is considered to be the same passion, not only while it is directed to the same person, but even where it comprehends many persons at once: pride and malice are examples of the same. So much was necessary to be said upon the identity of a passion and emotion, in order to prepare for examining their growth and

decay.

The growth and decay of passions and emotions, traced through all their mazes, is a fubject too extensive for an undertaking like the present: I pretend only to give a curfory view of it, fuch as may be necessary for the purposes of criticism. Some emotions are produced in their utmost perfection, and have a very short endurance; which is the case of surprise, of wonder, and fometimes, of terror. Emotions raifed by inanimate objects, trees, rivers, buildings, pictures arrive at perfection almost instantaneously; and they have a long endurance, a fecond view producing nearly the fame pleasure with the first. Love, hatred, and some other passions, swell gradually to a certain pitch; after which they decay gradually. Envy, malice, pride, fcarce ever decay. Some passions, such as gratitude and revenge, are often exhausted by a fingle act of gratification: other passions, such as pride, malice, envy, love, hatred, are not fo exhausted; but having a long continuance, demand frequent gratification.

To handle every fingle passion and emotion with a view to these differences, would be an endless work: we must be satisfied at present with some general views. And with respect to emotions, which are quiescent because not productive of desire, their growth and decay

are easily explained: an emotion caused by an inanimate object, cannot naturally take longer time to arrive at maturity, than is necessary for a leifurely survey: fuch emotion also must continue long stationary, without any fenfible decay; a fecond or third view of the object being nearly as agreeable as the first: this is the case of an emotion produced by a fine prospect, an impetuous river, or a towering hill: while a man remains the same, such objects ought to have the fame effect upon him. Familiarity, however, hath an influence here, as it hath every where: frequency of view, after short intervals especially, weans the mind gradually from the object, which at last loses all relish: the noblest object in the material world, a clear and ferene sky, is quite disregarded, unless perhaps after a course of bad weather. An emotion raised by human virtues, qualities, or actions, may, by reiterated views of the object, swell imperceptibly till it become so vigorous as to generate defire: in that condition it must be handled as a passion.

As to passion, I observe, first, that when nature requires a passion to be sudden, it is commonly produced in perfection; which is the case of fear and of anger. Wonder and surprise are always produced in perfection: reiterated impressions made by their cause, exhaust these passions instead of inflaming them. This

will be explained afterward.\*

In the next place, when a passion hath for its foundation an original propensity peculiar to some men, it generally comes soon to maturity: the propensity, upon presenting a proper object, is immediately enlivened into a passion; which is the case of pride, of envy, and of malice.

In the third place, the growth of love and of hatred is flow or quick according to circumftances: the good qualities

qualities of a person raise in me a pleasant emotion: which, by reiterated views, is fwelled into a passion involving defire of that person's happiness: this defire, being freely indulged, works gradually a change internally, and at last produceth in me a settled habit of affection for that person now my friend. Affection thus produced operates precifely like an original propenfity; for to enliven it into a passion, no more is required but the real or ideal presence of the object. The habit of aversion or of hatred is brought on in the same manner. And here I must observe by the way, that love and hatred fignify commonly affection and averfion, not passion. The bulk of our passions are indeed affection or aversion inflamed into a passion by different circumstances: the affection I bear to my fon, is inflamed into the paffion of fear when he is in danger; becomes hope when he hath a prospect of good fortune; becomes admiration when he performs a laudable action; and shame when he commits any wrong; aversion becomes fear when there is a prospect of good fortune to my enemy; becomes hope when he is in danger; becomes joy when he is in diffres; and forrow when a laudable action is performed by him.

Fourthly, passions generally have a tendency to excess, occasioned by the following means. The mind affected by any passion, is not in a proper state for distinct perception, nor for cool resection: it hath always a strong bias to the object of an agreeable passion, and a bias no less strong against the object of a disagreeable passion. The object of love, for example, however indifferent to others, is to the lover's conviction a paragon; and of hatred, is vice itself without alloy. What less can such delusion operate, than to swell the passion beyond what it was at first? for if the seeing or conversing with a sine woman, have had the effect to carry me from indifference to

love; how much stronger must her influence be, when now to my conviction she is an angel? and hatred as well as other passions must run the same course. Thus between a passion and its object there is a natural operation, resembling action and reaction in physics: a passion acting upon its object, magnifies it greatly in appearance; and this magnified object reacting upon the passion, swells and inslames it mightily.

Fifthly, the growth of some passion depends often on occasional circumstances: obstacles to gratification, for example, never sail to augment and inslame a passion; because a constant endeavour to remove an obstacle, preserves the object of the passion ever in view, which swells the passion by impressions frequently reiterated: thus the restraint of conscience, when an obstacle to love, agitates the mind and inslames the passion:

Quod licet, ingratum est: quod non licet, acrius urit. Si nunquam Danaën habuisset ahenea turris, Non esset Danaë de Jove facta parens.

Ovid, Amor. l. 2.

At the same time, the mind, distressed with the obftacles, becomes impatient for gratification, and consequently more desirous of it. Shakespear expresses this observation finely:

All impediments in fancy's course, Are motives of more fancy.

We need no better example than a lover who hath many rivals. Even the caprices of a mistress have the effect to inflame love; these occasioning uncertainty of success, tend naturally to make the anxious lover overvalue the happiness of fruition.

So much upon the growth of passions: their continuance and decay come next under consideration. And, first, it is a general law of nature, That things sudden in their growth, are equally sudden in their decay. This is commonly the case of anger. And, with respect to wonder and surprise, which also suddenly decay, another reason concurs, that their causes are of short duration: novelty soon degenerates into samiliarity; and the unexpectedness of an object is soon sunk in the pleasure that the object assords. Fear, which is a passion of greater importance as tending to self preservation, is often instantaneous: and yet is of equal duration with its cause: nay, it frequently subsists after the cause is removed.

In the next place, a passion founded on a peculiar propensity, subsists generally for ever; which is the case of pride, envy, and malice: objects are never wanting to inflame the propensity into a passion.

Thirdly, it may be laid down as a general law of nature, That every passion ceases upon attaining its ultimate end. To explain that law, we must distinguish between a particular and a general end. I call a particular end what may be accomplished by a single act: a general end, on the contrary, admits acts without number: because it cannot be said, that a general end is ever fully accomplished, while the object of the pas-Gratitude and revenge are examples of the first kind; the ends they aim at may be accomplished by a single act; and, when that act is performed, the passions are necessarily at an end. Love and hatred are examples of the other kind; defire of doing good or of doing mischief to an individual is a general end, which admits acts without number, and which feldom is fully accomplished: therefore these passions have frequently the same duration with their objects. Laftly.

Lastly, it will afford us another general view, to confider the difference between an original propenfity, and an affection or aversion produced by custom. former adheres too close to the constitution ever to be eradicated; and for that reason, the passions to which it gives birth, continue during life with no remarkable diminution. The latter, which owe their birth and increment to time, owe their decay to the fame cause: affection and aversion decay gradually as they grow; and accordingly hatred as well as love are extinguished by long absence. Affection decays more gradually between persons, who, living together, have daily occafion to testify mutually their good-will and kindness: and, when affection is decayed, habit fupplies its place; for it makes these persons necessary to each other, by the pain of feparation.\* Affection to children hath a long endurance, longer perhaps than any other affection: its growth keeps pace with that of its objects: they display new beauties and qualifications daily, to feed and augment the affection. But whenever the affection becomes stationary, it must begin to decay; with a flow pace indeed, in proportion to its increment. In short, man with respect to this life is a temporary being: he grows, becomes stationary, decays; and fo must all his powers and passions.

## PART IV.

Coexistent Emotions and Passions.

FOR a thorough knowledge of the human passions and emotions, it is not sufficient that they be examined singly and separately: as a plurality of them

are

are fometimes felt at the fame instant, the manner of their coexistence, and the effects thereby produced, ought also to be examined. This subject is extensive; and it will be difficult to trace all the laws that govern its endless variety of cases: if such an undertaking can be brought to perfection, it must be by de-The following hints may fuffice for a first at-

tempt.

We begin with emotions raised by different sounds, as the simplest case. Two sounds that mix, and, as it were, incorporate before they reach the ear, are faid to be concordant. That each of the two founds, even after their union, produceth an emotion of its own, must be admitted: but these emotions, like the sounds that produce them, mix fo intimately, as to be rather one complex emotion than two emotions in conjunc-Two founds that refuse incorporation or mixture, are faid to be discordant: and when heard at the fame inftant, the emotions produced by them are unpleafant in conjunction, however pleafant feparately.

Similar to the emotion raifed by mixed founds is the emotion raifed by an object of fight with its feveral qualities: a tree, for example, with its qualities of colour, figure, fize, &c. is perceived to be one object; and the emotion it produceth is rather one complex emo-

tion than different emotions combined.

With respect to coexistent emotions produced by different objects of fight, it must be observed, that however intimately connected fuch objects may be, there cannot be a concordance among them like what is perceived in fome founds. Different objects of fight, meaning objects that can exist each of them independent of the others, never mix nor incorporate in the act of vifion: each object is perceived as it exists, separately from others; and each raifeth an emotion different from that raised by the other. And the same holds in

all the causes of emotion or passion that can exist inde-

pendent of each other, founds only excepted.

To explain the manner in which fuch emotions exist, fimilar emotions must be distinguished from those that are dissimilar. Two emotions are faid to be similar, when they tend each of them to produce the same tone of mind: cheerful emotions, however different their causes may be, are similar: and so are those which are melancholy. Dissimilar emotions are easily explained by their opposition to what are similar: pride and humility, gaiety and gloominess, are dissimilar emotions.

Emotions perfectly fimilar, readily combine and unite,\* so as in a manner to become one complex emotion; witness the emotions produced by a number of flowers in a parterre, or of trees in a wood. Emotions that are opposite, or extremely dissimilar, never combine or unite: the mind cannot simultaneously take on opposite tones: it cannot at the same instant be both joyful and sad, angry and satisfied, proud and humble: dissimilar emotions may succeed each other with rapidity, but they cannot exist simultaneously.

Between these two extremes, emotions unite more or less in proportion to the degree of their resemblance, and the degree in which their causes are considered. Thus the emotions produced by a fine landscape and the singing of birds, being similar in a considerable degree, readily unite, though their causes are little connected. And the same happens where the causes are intimately connected, though the emotions themselves have little resemblance to each other: an example of

<sup>\*</sup> It is easier to conceive the manner of coexistence of similar emotions, than to describe it. They cannot be faid to mix or incorporate, like concordant founds: their union is rather of agreement or concord; and therefore I have chosen the words in the text, not as sufficient to express clearly the manner of their coexistence, but only as less liable to exception than any other I can find.

which is a mistress in distress, whose beauty gives pleasure, and her distress pain: these two emotions, proceeding from different views of the object, have very little resemblance to each other; and yet so intimately connected are their causes, as to force them into a sort of complex emotion, partly pleasant, partly painful. This clearly explains some expressions common in po-

etry, a sweet distress, a pleasant pain.

It was necessary to describe, with some accuracy, in what manner fimilar and diffimilar emotions coexist in the mind, in order to explain their different effects, both internal and external. This fubject, though obfcure, is capable to be fet in a clear light; and it merits attention, not only for its extensive use in criticifm, but for the nobler purpose of deciphering many intricacies in the actions of men. Beginning with internal effects, I discover two, clearly distinguishable from each other, both of them produced by pleafant emotions that are fimilar; of which, the one may be represented by addition in numbers, the other by harmony in founds. Two pleafant emotions that are fimilar, readily unite when they are coexistent; and the pleasure felt in the union, is the sum of the two pleasures: the same emotions in succession, are far from making the same figure; because the mind, at no instant of the succession, is conscious of more than a fingle emotion. This doctrine may aptly be illustrated by a landscape comprehending hills, vallies, plains, rivers, trees, &c. the emotions produced by these several objects, being similar in a high degree, as falling in eafily and fweetly with the fame tone of mind, are in conjunction extremely pleafant. This multiplied effect is felt from objects even of different ienses, as where a landscape is conjoined with the music of birds and odour of flowers; and refults partly from the refemblance of the emotions and partly from the

Vol. I. H connection

connection of their causes: whence it follows, that the effect must be the greatest, where the causes are intimately connected and the emotions perfectly similar. The same rule is obviously applicable to painful emotions that are similar and coexistent.

The other pleasure arising from pleasant emotions fimilar and coexistent, cannot be better explained than by the foregoing example of a landscape, where the fight, hearing, and fmelling, are employed: befide the accumulated pleafure above mentioned, of fo many different fimilar emotions, a pleasure of a different kind is felt from the concord of these emotions. As that pleafure refembles greatly the pleafure of concordant founds, it may be termed the Harmony of Emotions. This harmony is felt in the different emotions occasioned by the visible objects; but it is felt still more fensibly in the emotions occasioned by the objects of different fenses, as where the emotions of the eye are combined with those of the ear. former pleafure comes under the rule of addition: this comes under a different rule. It is directly in proportion to the degree of refemblance between the emotions, and inversely in proportion to the degree of connection between the causes: to feel this pleasure in perfection, the refemblance between the emotions cannot be too ftrong, nor the connection between their causes too slight. The former condition is felf-evident: and the reason of the latter is, that the pleasure of harmony is felt from various fimilar emotions, distinct from each other, and yet fweetly combining in the mind; which excludes causes intimately connected, for the emotions produced by them are forced into one complex emotion. This pleafure of concord or harmony, which is the refult of pleafant emotions, and cannot have place with respect to those that are painful, will be further illustrated, when the emotions pro-

duced

duced by the found of words and their meaning are taken under confideration.\*

The pleasure of concord from conjoined emotions. is felt even where the emotions are not perfectly fimilar. Though love be a pleafant paffion, yet by its foftness and tenderness it resembles in a considerable degree the painful passion of pity or of grief; and for that reason love accords better with these passions than with what are gay and sprightly. I give the following example from Catullus, where the concord between love and grief has a fine effect even in so slight a subject as the death of a sparrow.

> Lugete, ô Veneres, Cupidinesque, Et quantum est hominum venustiorum! Passer mortuus est meæ puellæ, Quem plus illa oculis fuis amabat. Nam mellitus erat, suamque norat Ipsam tam bene, quam puella matrem: Nec sese a gremio illius movebat; Sed circumfiliens modo huc, modo illuc, Ad folam dominam ufque pipilabat. Qui nunc it per iter tenebrosum, Illuc, unde negant redire quemquam. At vobis male sit, malæ tenebræ Orci, quæ omnia bella devoratis; Tam bellum mihi passerem abstulistis. O factum male, ô miselle passer. Tua nunc opera, meæ puellæ Flendo turgiduli rubent ocelli.

Next as to the effects of diffimilar emotions, which we may guess will be opposite to what are above described. Diffimilar coexistent emotions, as said above, never fail to distress the mind by the difference of their tones; from which fituation a feeling of harmony never can proceed:

<sup>\*</sup> Chap. 18, fect. 3.

proceed; and this holds whether the causes be connected or not. But it holds more remarkably where the causes are connected; for in that case the dissimilar emotions being forced into an unnatural union, produce an actual feeling of discord. In the next place, if we would estimate the force of dislimilar emotions coexistent, we must distinguish between their causes as connected or unconnected: and in order to compute their force in the former case, subtraction must be used instead of addition; which will be evident from Diffimilar emotions forced into union what follows. by the connection of their causes, are felt obscurely and imperfectly; for each tends to vary the tone of mind that is fuited to the other; and the mind thus distracted between two objects, is at no inflant in a condition to receive a deep impression from either. Dissimilar emotions proceeding from unconnected causes, are in a very different condition: for as there is nothing to force them into union, they are never felt but in fuccession; by which means, each hath an opportunity to make a complete impression.

This curious theory requires to be illustrated by examples. In reading the description of the dismal waste, book 1. of *Paradise Lost*, we are sensible of a confused feeling, arising from dissimilar emotions forced into union, to wit, the beauty of the description, and the

horror of the object described:

Sceft thou you dreary plain, forlorn and wild, The feat of defolation, void of light, Save what the glimmering of these livid flames Casts pale and dreadful?

And with respect to this and many similar passages in *Paradise Lost*, we are sensible, that the emotions being obscured by each other, make neither of them that figure

figure they would make feparately. For the fame reafon, ascending smoke in a calm morning, which inspires stillness and tranquillity, is improper in a picture full of violent action. A parterre, partly ornamented, partly in disorder, produces a mixt feeling of the same fort. Two great armies in act to engage, mix the diffimilar emotions of grandeur and of terror.

> Sembra d'alberi densi alta foresta L'um campo, e l'altro; di tant' aste abbonda. Son tesi gli archi, e son le lance in resta: Vibransi i dardi, e rotasi ogni sionda. Ogni cavallo in guerra anco s'appresta, Gli odii, e'l furor del fuo fignor feconda: Raspa, batte, nitrisce, e si raggira, Gonfia le nari; e fumo, e fueco spira. Bello in sì bella vista anco è l' orrore : E di mezzo la tema esce il diletto. Ne men le trombe orribili e canore, Sono a gli orecchi, lieto e fero oggetto. Pur il campo fedel, banchè minore, Par di fuon più mirabile, e d' afpeto. E canta in più guerriero e chiaro carme Ogni fua tromba, e maggior luce han l'arme. Gerusalemme liberata, cant. 20. ft. 29. & 30.

Suppose a virtuous man has drawn on himself a great misfortune, by a fault incident to human nature, and therefore venial: the remorfe he feels aggravates his diftress, and consequently raises our pity to a high pitch we at the same time blame the man; and the indignation raifed by the fault he has committed, is diffimilar to pity: these two passions, however, proceeding from the same object, are forced into a fort of union; but the indignation is fo slight, as scarce to be felt in the mixture with pity. Subjects of this kind are of all the fittest for tragedy; but of that afterward.\*

Opposite emotions are so diffimilar as not to admit any fort of union, even where they proceed from causes the most intimately connected. Love to a mistress, and refentment for her infidelity, are of that nature: they cannot exist otherwise than in succession, which by the connection of their causes is commonly rapid; and these emotions will govern alternately, till one of them obtain the ascendant, or both be spent. A succession opens to me by the death of a worthy man, who was my friend as well as my kinfman: when I think of my friend I am grieved; but the fuccession gives me joy. These two causes are intimately connected; for the fuccession is the direct consequence of my friend's death: the emotions however being opposite, do not mix; they prevail alternately, perhaps for a course of time, till grief for my friend's death be banished by the pleafures of opulence. A virtuous man fuffering unjustly, is an example of the fame kind: I pity him, and have great indignation at the author of the wrong. These emotions proceed from causes nearly connected; but being directed to different objects, they are not forced into union: their opposition preserves them distinct: and accordingly they are found to prevail alternately.

I proceed to examples of diffimilar emotions arifing from unconnected causes. Good and bad news of equal importance arriving at the same instant from different quarters, produce opposite emotions, the difcordance of which is not felt, because they are not forced into union: they govern alternately, commonly in

a quick fuccession, till their force be spent:

Shylock. How now, Tubal, what news from Genoa? haft thou found my daughter?

Tubal. I often came were I did hear of her, but cannot

find her.

Shy. Why there, there, there! a diamond gone, cost me two thousand ducats in Francfort! the curse never fell upon our nation till now; I never felt it till now: two thousand ducats in that and other precious, precious jewels! I

would

would my daughter were dead at my foot, and the jewels in her ear; O would she were hers'd at my foot, and the ducats in her cossin. No news of them; why, so! and I know not what's spent in the search: why, thou loss upon loss! the thief gone with so much, and so much to find the thief; and no satisfaction, no revenge, nor no ill luck stirring but what lights o' my shoulders; no sighs but o' my breathing, no tears but o' my shedding.

Tub. Yes, other men have ill luck too; Anthonio, as I

heard in Genoa-

Shy. What, what, what? ill luck, ill luck?

Tub. Hath an Argosie cast away, coming from Tripolis.

Shy. I thank God, I thank God; is it true? is it true? Tub. I spoke with some of the failors that escaped the wreck.

Shy. I thank thee, good Tubal; good news, good news,

ha, ha: where, in Genoa?

Tub. Your daughter spent in Genoa, as I heard, one

night, fourfcore ducats.

Shy. Thou stick'st a dagger in me; I shall never see my gold again; fourscore ducats at a sitting, fourscore ducats!

Tub. There came divers of Anthonio's creditors in my company to Venice, that swear he cannot chuse but break.

Shy. I am glad of it, I'll plague him, I'll torture him;

I am glad of it.

Tub. One of them shew'd me a ring, that he had of your

daughter for a monkey.

Shy. Out upon her! thou torturest me, Tubal; it was my Turquoise; I had it of Leah when I was a bachelor; I would not have given it for a wilderness of monkies.

Tub. But Anthonio is certainly undone.

Shy. Nay, that's true, that's very true; go fee me an officer, befpeak him a fortnight before. I will have the heart of him, if he forfeit; for were he out of Venice, I can make what merchandise I will. Go, go, Tubal, and meet me at our fynagogue; go, good Tubal, at our fynagogue, Tubal.

Merchant of Venice, act 3. sc. 1.

In the fame manner, good news arriving to a man labouring under diffrefs, occasions a vibration in his mind from the one to the other:

Ofmyn. By Heav'n thou'st rous'd me from my lethargy. The spirit which was deaf to my own wrongs, And the loud cries of my dead father's blood, Deaf to revenge-nay, which refus'd to hear The piercing fighs and murmurs of my love Yet unenjoy'd; what not Almeria could Revive, or raife, my people's voice has waken'd. O my Antonio, I am all on fire, My foul is up in arms, ready to charge And bear amidst the foe with cong'ring troops. I hear 'em call to lead 'em on to liberty, To victory; their shouts and clamours rend My ears, and reach the heav'ns: where is the king? Where is Alphonfo? ha! where! where indeed? O I could tear and burst the strings of life, To break these chains. Off, off, ye stains of royalty! Off, flavery! O curfe, that I alone Can best and flutter in my cage, when I Would loar, and stoop at victory beneath! Mourning Bride, alt 3. sc. 2.

If the emotions be unequal in force, the stronger after a conflict will extinguish the weaker. Thus the loss of a house by fire, or of a sum of money by bank-rupicy, will make no figure in opposition to the birth of a long-expected son, who is to inherit an opulent fortune: after some slight vibrations, the mind settles in joy, and the loss is forgot.

The foregoing observations will be found of great use in the fine arts. Many practical rules are derived from them, which shall afterward be mentioned; but for instant gratification in part, the reader will accept the following specimen, being an application of these observations to music. It must be premised, that no disagreeable combination of sounds is entitled to the name of music: for all music is resolvable into melody and harmony, which imply agreeable.

neis

ness in their very conception.\* Secondly, the agreeableness of vocal music differs from that of instrumental: the former, being intended to accompany words, ought to be expressive of the sentiment that they convey: but the latter having no connection with words, may be agreeable without relation to any fentiment: harmony, properly fo called, though delightful when in perfection, hath no relation to fentiment; and we often find melody without the least tincture of it.† Thirdly, in vocal music, the intimate connection of fense and found rejects diffimilar emotions, those especially that are opposite. Similar emotions produced by the fense and the found, go naturally into union; and at the fame time are concordant or harmonious: but diffimilar emotions, forced into union by these causes intimately connected, obscure each other, and are also unpleasant by discordance.

These premises make it easy to determine what fort of poetical compositions are fitted for music. In general, as mufic in all its various tones ought to be agreeable, it never can be concordant with any composition in language expressing a disagreeable passion, or defcribing a difagreeable object: for here the emotions raifed by the fense and by the found, are not only disfimilar but opposite; and such emotions forced into union produce always an unpleafant mixture. Music accordingly is a very improper companion for fentiments of malice, cruelty, envy, peevishness, or of any

<sup>\*</sup> Sounds may be fo contrived as to produce horror, and feveral other painful feelings, which in a tragedy, or in an opera, may be introduced with advantage to accompany the representation of a dissocial or disagreeable passion. But such sounds must in themselves be disagreeable; and upon that account cannot be dignified with the name of mutic.

<sup>\*</sup> It is beyond the power of music to raise a passion or a sentiment: but it is in the power of mufic to raise emotions similar to what are raised by fentiment expressed in words pronounced with propriety and grace; and fuch music may justly be termed fentimental.

other diffocial paffion; witness among a thousand King John's speech in Shakespear, soliciting Hubert to murder Prince Arthur, which even in the most cursory view will appear incompatible with any fort of music. Music is a companion no less improper for the description of any disagreeable object, such as that of Polyphemus in the third book of the Æneid, or that of Sin in the second book of Paradise Lost: the horror of the object described and the pleasure of the music, would be highly discordant.

With regard to vocal music, there is an additional reason against affociating it with disagreeable passions. The external signs of such passions are painful; the looks and gestures to the eye, and the tone of pronunciation to the ear: such tones therefore can never be expressed musically, for music must be pleasant, or it

is not music.

On the other hand, music associates finely with poems that tend to inspire pleasant emotions: music for example in a cheerful tone, is perfectly concordant with every motion in the fame tone; and hence our tafte for airs expressive of mirth and jollity. Sympathetic joy affociates finely with cheerful music; and sympathetic pain no less finely with music that is tender and melancholy. All the different emotions of love, namely, tenderness, concern, anxiety, pain of absence, hope, fear, accord delightfully with music: and accordingly, a person in love, even when unkindly treated, is foothed by music; for the tenderness of love still prevailing, accords with a melancholy strain. This is finely exemplified by Shakespear in the fourth act of Othello, where Defdemona calls for a fong expressive of her diffrefs. Wonderful is the delicacy of that writer's taste, which fails him not even in the most refined emotions of human nature. Melancholy music is suited to flight

flight grief, which requires or admits confolation: but deep grief, which refuses all confolation, rejects for

that reason even melancholy music.

Where the fame person is both the actor and the singer, as in an opera, there is a separate reason why music should not be associated with the sentiments of any disagreeable passion, nor the description of any disagreeable object; which is, that such association is altogether unnatural: the pain, for example, that a man feels who is agitated with malice or unjust revenge, disqualisies him for relishing music, or any thing that is pleasing: and therefore to represent such a man, contrary to nature, expressing his sentiments in a song, cannot be agreeable to any audience of taste.

For a different reason, music is improper for accompanying pleasant emotions of the more important kind, because these totally ingross the mind, and leave no place for music, nor for any fort of amusement: in a perilous enterprise to dethrone a tyrant, music would be impertinent, even where hope prevails, and the prospect of success is great: Alexander attacking the Indian town, and mounting the wall, had certainly no

impulse to exert his prowess in a song.

It is true, that not the least regard is paid to these rules either in the French or Italian opera: and the attachment we have to operas, may at first be considered as an argument against the foregoing doctrine. But the general taste for operas is no argument: in these compositions the passions are so imperfectly expressed, as to leave the mind free for relishing music of any sort indifferently; and it cannot be disguised, that the pleasure of an opera is derived chiefly from the music, and scarce at all from the sentiments: a happy concordance of the emotions raised by the song and by the music, is extremely rare: and I venture to assume that there is no example of it, unless where the

emotion

emotion raifed by the former is agreeable as well as

that raifed by the latter.\*

The subject we have run through appears not a little entertaining. It is extremely curious to observe, in many instances, a plurality of causes producing in conjunction a great pleasure: in other instances, no less frequent, no conjunction, but each cause acting in opposition. To enter bluntly upon a subject of such intricacy, might gravel an acute philosopher; but taking matters in a train, the intricacy vanisheth.

Next in order, according to the method proposed, come external effects; which lead us to passions as the causes of external effects. Two coexistent passions that have the same tendency, must be similar: they accordingly readily unite, and in conjunction have double force. This is verified by experience; from which we learn, that the mind receives not impulses alternately from such passions, but one strong impulse from the whole in conjunction; and indeed it is not easy to conceive what should bar the union of passions that have all of them the same tendency.

Two passions having opposite tendencies, may proceed from the same cause considered in different views. Thus a mistress may at once be the cause both of love and of resentment: her beauty inslames the passion of love; her cruelty or inconstancy causes resentment. When two such passions coexist in the same breast, the opposition of their aim prevents any fort of union; and accordingly, they are not felt otherwise than in succession: the consequence of which must be, either

that

<sup>\*</sup> A censure of the same kind is pleasantly applied to the French ballettes by a celebrated writer; "Si le Prince est joyeux, on prend part à si joye, et l'in danse: s'il est triste, on veut l'égayer, et l'on danse. Mais il y a bien d'autres sujers de danses; les plus graves actions de la vie se sont en dansant. Les prêtes dansent, les foldats dansent, les diux dansent, les diables dansent, on danse jusques dans les enterremens, et tout danse à proprios de tout."

that the passions will balance each other and prevent external action, or that one of them will prevail and accomplish its end. Guarini, in his Pastor Field, describes beautifully the struggle between love and resentment directed to the same object:

Corifca. Chi vide mai, chi mai udi più strana E più folle, e più fera, e più importuna Passione amorosa? amore, ed odio Con sì mirabil tempre in un cor misti, Che l'un par l'altro (e non fo ben dir come) E fi strugge, e s'avanza, e nasce, e more. S' i' miro alle bellezze di Mirtillo Dal piè leggiadro al graziofo volto, Il vago portamento, il bel fembiante, Gli atti, i costumi, e le parole, e 'l guardo; M'affale Amore con sì poffente foco Ch' i' ardo tutta, e par, ch'ogn' altro affetto Da questo sol sia superato, e vinto: Ma se poi penso all' ostinato amore, Ch' ei porta ad altra donna, e che per lei Di me non cura, e spiezza (il vo' pur dire) La mia fâmofa, e da mill'alme, e mille, Inchinata beltà, bramata grazia; L' odio così, così l'aborro, e schivo, Che impossibil mi par, ch'unqua per lui Mi s'accendesse al cor fiamma amorosa. Tallor meco ragiono: o s'io potessi Gioir del mio dol dolcissimo Mirtillo, Sicche fosse mio tutto, e ch'altra mai Posseder no 'l potesse, o più d'ogn' altra Beata, e felicissima Corisca! Ed in quel punto in me forge un talento Verfo di lui sì dolce, e sì gentile, Che di feguirlo, e di pregarlo ancora, E di scoprirgli il cor prendo configlio. Che più? così mi stimola il desio. Che se potessi allor l'adorerei. Dall' altra parte i' mi risento, e dico, Un ritrofo è uno schifo è un che non degna? Un, che può d'altra donna esser amante ?

Un,

Un, ch'ardisce mirarmi, e non m'adora? E dal mio volto si disende in guisa, Che per amor non more? ed io, che lui Dovrei veder, come molti altri i' veggio Supplice, e lagrimofo a' piedi miei, Supplice, e lagrimofo a piedi fuoi Softerro di cadere ? ah non fia mai. Ed in questo pensier tant' ira accoglio Contra di lui, contra di me, che volsi A feguirlo il pensier, gli occhi a mirarlo, Che 'l nome di Mirtillo, e l' amor mio Odio più che la morte; e lui vorrei Veder il più dolente, il più infelice Pastor, che viva; e se potessi allora, Con le mie proprie man l'anciderei. Così sdegno, desire, odio, ed amore Mi fanno guerra, ed io, che stata sono Sempre fin qui di mille cor la fiamma, Di mill' alme il tormento, ardo, e languisco: E provo nel mio mal le pene altrui.

A&, 1. fc. 3.

Ovid paints in lively colours the vibration of mind between two opposite passions directed to the same object. Althea had two brothers much beloved, who were unjustly put to death by her son Meleager in a sit of passion: she was strongly impelled to revenge; but the criminal was her own son. This ought to have with-held her hand; but the story is more interesting, by the violence of the struggle between resentment and maternal love:

Dona Deûm templis nato victore ferebat; Cum videt extinctos fratres Althæa referri. Quæ plangore dato, mæstis ululatibus urbem Implet; et auratis mutavit vestibus atras. At simul est auctor necis editus; excidit omnis Luctus: et a lacrymis in pænæ versus amorem est. Stipes erat, quem, cum partus enixa jaceret Thestias, in slammam triplices posuere forores; Staminaque

Staminaque impresso fatalia pollici nentes, Tempora, dixerunt, eadem lignoque, tibique, O modo nate, damus. Quo poltquam carmine dicto Excessere deæ; flagrantem mater ab igne Eripuit torrem: sparsitque liquentibus undis. Ille diu fuerat penetralibus abditus imis; Servatusque, tuos, juvenis, servaverat annos. Protulit hunc genitrix, tædasque in fragmina poni Imperat; et positis inimicos admovet ignes. Tum conata quater flammis imponere ramum, Cœpta quater tenuit. Pugnat materque, sororque, Et diversa trahunt unum duo nomina pecus. Sæpe metu sceleris pallebant ora futuri: Sæpe fuum fervens oculis dabat ira ruborem, Et modo nescio quid similis crudele minanti Vultus erat; modo quem misereri credere posses: Cumque ferus lacrymas animi ficcaverat ardor; Inveniebantur lacrymæ tamen. Utque carina, Quam ventus, ventoque rapit contrarius æstus, Vim geminam fentit, paretque incerta duobus: Thestius haud aliter dubiis affectibus errat, Inque vices ponit, positamque resuscitat iram. Incipit esse tamen melior germana parente; Et, confanguineas ut sanguine leniat umbras, Impietate pia est. Nam postquam pestifer ignis Convaluit; Rogus itte cremet mea viscera, dixit. Utque manu dirâ lignum fatale tenebat; Ante sepulchrales infelix adstitit aras. Pœnarumque deæ triplices, furialibus, inquit, Eumenides, facris, vultus advertite vestros. Ulcifcor, facioque nefas. Mors morte pianda est; In scelus addendum scelus est, in funera funus: Per coacervatos pereat domus impia luctus. An felix Oeneus nato victore fruetur, Thestius orbus erit? melius lugebitis ambo. Vos modo, fraterni manes, animæque recentes, Officium fentite meum; magnoque paratas Accipite inferias, uteri mala pignora nostri. Hei mihi! quo rapior? fratres ignoscite matri. Deficiunt ad coepta manus. Meruisse fatemur Illum, cur pereat: mortis mihi displicet auctor. Ergo impune feret; vivusque, et victor, et ipso Successu

Successu tumidus regnum Calydonis habebit?
Vos cinis exiguus, geiidæque jacebitis umbræ?
Haud equidem patiar. Pereat sceleratus; et ille
Spemque patris, regnique trahat, patriæque ruinam,
Mens ubi materna est; ubi sunt pia jura parentum?
Et, quos sustinui, bis mensûm quinque labores?
O utinam primis arsistes ignibus infans;
Idque ego passa forem! vixisti munere nostro:
Nunc merito moriere tuo. Cape præmia facti;
Bisque datam, primum partu, mox stipite rapto,
Redde animam; vel me fraternis adde sepulchris.
Et cupio, et nequeo. Quid agam? modo vulnera

Ante oculos mihi funt, et tantæ cædis imago;
Nunc animum pietas, maternaque nomina frangunt.
Me miseram! male vincetis, sed vincite, fratres;
Dummodo, quæ dedero vobis solatia, vosque
Ipsa sequar, dixit: dextraque aversa trementi
Funereum torrem medios conjecit in ignes.
Aut dedit, aut visus gemitus est ill ededisse,
Stipes; et invitis correptus ab ignibus arsit.

Met amorph. lib. 8. l. 445.

In cases of this kind, one circumstance always augments the fluctuation: after balancing between two actions, a resolution to prefer one of them is an inchoated gratification of the prevailing passion, which moderates it in some degree; and that circumstance tends to give a superiority to the opposite passion: another circumstance also concurs, that this opposite passion has, by restraint, acquired in the interim some additional force.

Love and jealoufy connected by a common object, occupy the mind alternately: when the object is confidered as beautiful, love prevails; when confidered as possibly unfaithful, jealousy prevails. A situation of that kind affords great scope for sluctuation of passion; which is painted to the life by several masterly hands in words as well as in colours. Shakespear handles the same subject, but in a manner uncommon, and pecu-

liar

liar to himself: in Othello's soliloquy before putting his wife to death, love and jealousy are represented, each exerting its whole force, but without any struggle: Othello was naturally inflexible; and the tenderest love could not divert him from a purpose he approved as right, not even for a moment: but every thing consistent with such a character is done to reconcile the two opposite passions; he is resolved to put her to death, but he will not shed her blood, nor so much as russle her skin:

Othello. It is the cause, it is the cause, my soul;— Let me not name it to you, you chaste stars! It is the cause——Yet I'll not shed her blood, Nor scar that whiter skin of her's than snow, And smooth as monumental alabaster.

[Lays down the sword.

Yet she must die; else she'll betray more men.
Put out the light, and then—Put out the light!—
If I quench thee, thou staming minister,
I can again thy former light restore,
Should I repent: but once put out thy light.
Thou cunning'st pattern of excelling nature,
I know not where is that Promethean heat
That can thy light relumine.—(Sets down the taper.
When I have pluck'd thy rose,
I cannot give it vital growth again;
It needs must wither.—I'll smell thee on the tree:
[Kissing her.

Oh balmy breath, that dost almost persuade Justice to break her sword!—One more; one more; Be thus when thou art dead, and I will kill thee, And love thee after.—One more, that's the last; So sweet was near so fatal! I must weep, But they are cruel tears! this forrow's heavenly; It strikes where it doth love.—

Act 5. sc. 6.

In Otway's Orphan, we have an illustrious example of the address employed to gratify opposite passions di-Vol. I. I rected

rected to the same object. Castalio and Polydore, brothers and rivals, had fworn mutual confidence: Castalio broke his faith by a private marriage; which unwarily betrayed Polydore into a difmal deed, that of polluting his brother's bed. Thus he had injured his brother, and was injured by him: justice prompted him to make full atonement by his own death; refentment against his brother, required a full atonement to be made to himself. In coexistent passions fo contradictory, one of them commonly prevails after a struggle: but here happily an expedient occurred to Polydore for gratifying both; which was, that he should provoke his brother to put him to death. Polydore's crime in his own opinion, merited that punishment; and justice was satisfied when he fell by the hand of the man he had injured: he wanted at the fame time to punish his brother for breach of faith: and he could not punish more effectually than by betraying his brother to be his executioner.

If difference of aim prevent the union of two paffions, though having the fame object; much more will it prevent their union, when their objects are alfo different: in both cases there is a fluctuation; but in the latter the fluctuation is flower than in the A beautiful fituation of that kind is exhibited in the Cid of Corneille. Don Diegue, an old foldier worn out with age, having received a mortal affront from the Count, father to Chimene, employs his fon Don Rodrigue, Chimene's lover, to demand fatisfaction. This fituation occasions in the breast of Don Rodrigue a cruel struggle between love and honour, one of which must be facrificed. The fcene is finely conducted, chiefly by making love in fome degree take part with honour, Don Rodrigue reflecting, that if he loft his honour he could not deferve his mistress: honour triumphs; and the Count provoked

provoked to a fingle combat, falls by the hand of Don

Rodrigue.

This produceth another beautiful fituation respecting Chimene, which making part of the same story is placed here, though it properly belongs to the foregoing head. It became the duty of that lady to demand justice against her lover, for whose preservation, in other circumstances, she cheerfully would have facrificed her own life. The struggle between these opposite passions directed to the same object is sinely expressed in the third scene of the third act:

Elvire. Il vous prive d'un pére, et vous l'aimez encore!

Chimene. C'st peu de dire aimer, Elvire, je l'adore; Ma passion s'oppose à mon resentiment, Dedans mon ennemi je trouve mon amant, Et je sens qu'en depit de toute ma colere, Rodrigue dans mon cœur combat encore mon pére. Il l'attaque, il le presse, il céde, il se défend, Tantôt tort, tantôt soible, et tantôt triomphant; Mais en ce dur combat de colére et de slame, Il déchire mon cœur sans partager mon ame, Et quoique mon amour ait sur moi de pouvoir, Je ne consulte point pour suivre mon devoir. Je cours sans balancer où mon honneur m'oblige; Rodrigue m'est bien cher, son interêt m'asslige, Mon cœur prend son parti; mais malgré son effort, Je sai que je suis, et que mon pére est mort.

Not less when the objects are different than when the same, are means sometimes afforded to gratify both passions; and such means are greedily embraced. In Tasso's Gerusalemme, Edward and Gildippe, husband and wife, are introduced fighting gallantly against the Saracens: Gildippe receives a mortal wound by the hand of Soliman: Edward inslamed with revenge, as well as concern for Gildippe, is agitated between the

12

two different objects. The poet\* describes him endeavouring to gratify both at once, applying his right hand against Soliman, the object of his resentment, and his left hand to support his wife, the object of his love.

## PART V.

Influence of Passion with respect to our Perceptions, Opinions, and Belief.

CONSIDERING how intimately our perceptions, passions, and actions, are mutually connected, it would be wonderful if they should have no mutual influence. That our actions are too much influenced by passion, is a known truth: but it is not less certain, though not so well known, that passion hath also an influence upon our perceptions, opinions, and belief. For example, the opinions we form of men and things, are generally directed by assection: an advice given by a man of figure, hath great weight; the same advice from one in a low condition, is despised or neglected: a man of courage under-rates danger; and to the indolent, the slightest obstacle appears infurmountable.

This doctrine is of great use in logic; and of still greater use in criticism, by serving to explain several principles of the sine arts that will be unfolded in the course of this work. A few general observations shall at present suffice, leaving the subject to be prosecuted more particularly afterward when occasion offers.

There is no truth more univerfally known, than that tranquillity and fedateness are the proper state

of mind for accurate perception and cool deliberation; and, for that reason, we never regard the opinion even of the wisest man, when we discover prejudice or passion behind the curtain. Passion, as obferved above,\* hath fuch influence over us, as to give a false light to all its objects. Agreeable passions . preposses the mind in favour of their objects, and disagreeable passions, no less against their objects: a woman is all perfection in her lover's opinion, while, in the eye of a rival beauty, the is awkward and difagreeable; when the passion of love is gone, beauty vanishes with it, -nothing left of that genteel motion, that fprightly conversation, those numberless graces, which formerly, in the lover's opinion, charmed all hearts. To a zealot every one of his own fect is a faint, while the most upright of a different sect are to him children of perdition: the talent of speaking in a friend, is more regarded than prudent conduct in any other. Nor will this furprise one acquainted with the world; our opinions, the refult frequently of various and complicated views, are commonly fo flight and wavering, as readily to be fufceptible of a bias from passion.

With that natural bias another circumstance concurs, to give passion an undue influence on our opinions and belief; and that is a strong tendency in our nature to justify our passions as well as our actions, not to others only, but even to ourselves. That tendency is peculiarly remarkable with respect to disagreeable passions: by their influence, objects are magnified or lessened, circumstances supplied or suppressed, every thing coloured and disguised, to answer the end of justification. Hence the soundation of self-deceit, where a man impose upon himself innocently, and even without suspicion of a bias. There

are fubordinate means that contribute to pervert the judgment, and to make us form opinions contrary to truth; of which I shall mention two. First, it was formerly observed,\* that though ideas feldom fart up in the mind without connection, yet that ideas fuited to the present tone of mind are readily fuggested by any slight connection: the arguments for a favourite opinion are always at hand, while we often fearch in vain for those that cross our inclination. Second, The mind taking delight in agreeable circumstances or arguments, is deeply impressed with them; while those that are disagreeable are hurried over fo as fcarce to make any impression: the fame argument, by being relished or not relished, weighs fo differently, as in truth to make conviction depend more on passion than on reasoning. observation is fully justified by experience: to confine myself to a single instance, the numberless absurd religious tenets that at different times have pestered the world, would be altogether unaccountable but for that irregular bias of passion.

We proceed to a more pleasant task, which is to illustrate the foregoing observations by proper examples. Gratitude, when warm, is often exerted upon the children of the benefactor; especially where he is removed out of reach by death or absence.† The passion in this case being exerted for the sake of the benefactor, requires no peculiar excellence in his children: but the practice of doing good to these children produces affection for them, which never fails to advance them in our esteem. By such means, strong connections of affection are often formed

among

<sup>\*</sup> Chap. 1.

T See part 1. feet. 1. of the present chapter.

among individuals, upon the flight foundation now mentioned.

Envy is a passion, which, being altogether unjustifiable, cannot be excused but by disguising it under some plausible name. At the same time, no passion is more eager than envy, to give its object a disagreeable appearance: it magnifies every bad quality, and sixes on the most humbling circumstances.

Cassius. I cannot tell what you and other men Think of this life; but for my fingle felf, I had as lief not be, as live to be In awe of fuch a thing as I myfelf. I was born free as Cæfar, so were you; We both have fed as well; and we can both Endure the winter's cold as well as he. For once, upon a raw and gusty day, The troubled Tyber chafing with his shores, Cæfar fays to me, Dar'st thou, Cassius, now Leap in with me into this angry flood, And fwim to yonder point?—Upon the word, Accoutred as I was, I plunged in, And bid him follow: so indeed he did. The torrent roar'd, and we did buffet it, With lusty finews; throwing it aside, And stemming it with hearts of controversy. But ere we could arrive the point propos'd, Cæfar cry'd, help me, Caffius, or I fink. I, as Æneas, our great ancestor, Did from the flames of Troy upon his shoulder The old Anchifes bear; fo from the waves of Tyber Did I the tired Cæfar: and this man Is now become a god, and Cassius is A wretched creature; and must bend his body, If Cæsar carelessly but nod on him. He had a fever when he was in Spain, And when the fit was on him, I did mark How he did shake. 'Tis true, this god did shake; His coward lips did from their colour fly, And that same eye whose bend doth awe the world, I 4 Did Did lose its lustre; I did hear him groan;
Ay, and that tongue of his, that bade the Romans
Mark him, and write his speeches in their books,
Alas! it cry'd—Give me some drink, Titinius,
As a sick girl. Ye gods, it doth amaze me,
A man of such a feeble temper should
So get a start of the majestic world,
And bear the palm alone.

Julius Cafar, act 1. sc. 3.

Glo'ster inflamed with resentment against his son Edgar, could even force himself into a momentary conviction that they were not related:

O strange fasten'd villain! Would he deny his letter? I never got him. King Lear, act 2. sc. 3.

When by great fensibility of heart, or other means, grief becomes immoderate, the mind, in order to justify itself, is prone to magnify the cause: and if the real cause admit not of being magnified, the mind seeks a cause for its grief in imagined future events:

Bushy. Madam, your Majesty is much too sad: You promis'd, when you parted with the King, To lay aside felf-harming heaviness, And entertal according disposition.

Queen. To please the King, I did; to please myself, I cannot do it. Yet I know no cause
Why I should welcome such a guest as grief;
Save bidding farewell to so sweet a guest
As my sweet Richard: yet again, methinks,
Some unborn forrow, ripe in Fortune's womb,
Is coming tow'rd me; and my inward soul
With something trembles, yet at nothing grieves,
More than with parting from my lord the King.

Richard II. ast 2. sc. 5.

Refentment

Refentment at first is vented on the relations of the offender, in order to punish him: but as resentment, when so outrageous, is contrary to conscience, the mind, to justify its passion, is disposed to paint these relations in the blackest colours; and it comes at last to be convinced, that they ought to be punished for their own demerits.

Anger raised by an accidental stroke upon a tender part of the body, is sometimes vented upon the undefigning cause. But as the passion in that case is abfurd, and as there can be no folid gratification in punishing the innocent; the mind, prone to justify as well as to gratify its passion, deludes itself into a conviction of the action's being voluntary. conviction, however, is but momentary: the first reflection flows it to be erroneous; and the passion vanisheth almost instantaneously with the conviction. But anger, the most violent of all passions, has still greater influence; it fometimes forces the mind to personify a stock or a stone, if it happen to occasion bodily pain, and even to believe it a voluntary agent, in order to be a proper object of refentment. And that we have really a momentary conviction of its being a voluntary agent, must be evident from confidering, that, without fuch conviction, the passion can neither be justified nor gratified; the imagination can give no aid; for a stock or a stone imagined fensible, cannot be an object of punishment, if the mind be conscious that it is an imagination merely without any reality. Of fuch personification, involving a conviction of reality, there is one illustrious instance: when the first bridge of boats over the Hellespont was destroyed by a storm, Xerxes fell into a transport of rage, so excessive, that he commanded the sea to be punished with 300 stripes; and a pair of fetters to be thrown into it, enjoining the follow-

ing words to be pronounced: "O thou falt and bitter water! thy master hath condemned thee to this punishment for offending him without cause; and is resolved to pass over thee in despite of thy infolence: with reason all men neglect to facrifice to thee, because thou art both disagreeable and treacherous.\*"

Shakespear exhibits beautiful examples of the irregular influence of paffion in making us believe things to be otherwise than they are. King Lear, in his distress, personifies the rain, wind, and thunder; and, in order to justify his refentment, believes them

to be taking part with his daughters:

Lear. Rumble thy belly-full, spit fire, spout rain! Nor rain, wind, thunder, fire, are my daughters. I tax not you, you elements, with unkindness; I never gave you kingdoms, call'd you children; You owe me no subscription. Then let fall Your horrible pleasure.—Here I stand, your brave; A poor, infirm, weak, and despis'd old man! But yet I call you fervile ministers, That have with two pernicious daughters join'd Your high-engender'd battles, 'gainst a head So old and white as this. Oh! oh! 'tis foul! AET 3. Sc. 2.

King Richard, full of indignation against his favourite horse for carrying Bolingbroke, is led into the conviction of his being rational:

Groom. O, how it yearn'd my heart, when I beheld In London streets, that coronation-day, When Bolingbroke rode on Roan Barbary, I hat horse that thou so often hast bestrid, That horse that I so carefully have dreffed. K. Rich. Rode he on Barbary? tell me, gentle, friend, How went he under him. So proudly as he had disdain'd the ground. K. Rich.

<sup>\*</sup> Herodotus, book 7.

K. Rich. So proud that Bolingbroke was on his back! That jade had eat bread from my royal hand. This hand hath made him proud with clapping him. Would he not flumble? would he not fall down, (Since pride must have a fall,) and break the neck Of that proud man that did usurp his back?

Richard II. ast 5. sc. 11.

Hamlet, fwelled with indignation at his mother's fecond marriage, was ftrongly inclined to leffen the time of her widowhood, the shortness of the time being a violent circumstance against her; and he deludes himself by degrees into the opinion of an interval shorter than the real one:

Hamlet.——That it should come to this! But two months dead! nay, not fo much; not two; So excellent a king, that was, to this, Hyperion to a fatyr: following to my mother, That he permitted not the winds of heav'n Visit her face too roughly. Heav'n and earth! Must I remember—why, she would hang on him, As if increase of appetite had grown By what it fed on; yet, within a month-Let me not think—Frailty, thy name is Woman! A little month! or ere thefe thoes were old, With which the follow'd my poor father's body. Like Niobe, all tears——Why she, ev'n she—— (O heav'n! a beast that wants discourse of reason, Would have mourn'd longer—) married with mine My father's brother; but no more like my father, Than I to Hercules. Within a month!— Ere yet the falt of most unrighteous tears Had left the flushing in her gauled eyes, She married—Oh, most wicked speed, to post With fuch dexterity to incestuous sheets! It is not, nor it cannot come to good. But break, my heart, for I must hold my tongue.

Att 1. fc. 3. The The power of passion to falsify the computation of time is remarkable in this instance; because time, which hath an accurate measure, is less obsequious to our defires and wishes, than objects which have no precise standard of less or more.

Good news are greedily fwallowed upon very flender evidence: our wishes magnify the probability of the event, as well as the veracity of the relater; and

we believe as certain, what at best is doubtful:

Ouel, che l'huom vede, amor li sa invisible E l'invisibil fa veder amore Questo creduto su, che I miser suole Dar facile credenza a' quel, che vuole. Orland. Furiof. cant. 1. ft. 56.

For the fame reason, bad news gain also credit upon the flightest evidence: fear, if once alarmed, has the same effect with hope, to magnify every circumstance that tends to conviction. Shakespear, who shows more knowledge of human nature than any of our philosophers, hath in his Cymbeline\* represented this bias of the mind; for he makes the person who alone was affected with the bad news, yield to evidence that did not convince any of his companions. And Othellot is convinced of his wife's infidelity from circumstances too slight to move any person less interested.

If the news interest us in so low a degree as to give place to reason, the effect will not be altogether the fame: judging of the probability or improbability of the story, the mind settles in a rational conviction cither that it is true or not. But, even in that case, the mind is not allowed to rest in that degree of con-

viction

viction which is produced by rational evidence: if the news be in any degree favourable, our belief is raifed by hope to an improper height; and if unfavourable, by fear.

This observation holds equally with respect to suture events: if a future event be either much wished or dreaded, the mind never fails to augment the

probability beyond truth.

That eafiness of belief with respect to wonders and prodigies, even the most absurd and ridiculous, is a strange phenomenon; because nothing can be more evident than the following proposition, that the more fingular any event is, the more evidence is required to produce belief: a familiar event daily occurring, being in itself extremely probable, finds ready credit, and therefore is vouched by the flightest evidence; but to overcome the improbability of a strange and rare event, contrary to the course of nature, the very strongest evidence is required. It is certain, however, that wonders and prodigies are fwallowed by the vulgar, upon evidence that would not be fufficient to ascertain the most familiar occurrence. It has been reckoned difficult to explain that irregular bias of mind; but we are now made acquainted with the influence of passion upon opinion and belief: a story of ghosts or fairies, told with an air of gravity and truth, raifeth an emotion of wonder, and perhaps of dread; and these emotions imposing upon a weak mind, impress upon it a thorough conviction coatrary to reason.

Opinion and belief are influenced by propenfity as well as by passion. An innate propensity is all we have to convince us, that the operations of nature are uniform: influenced by that propensity, we often rashly think, that good or bad weather will never have an end; and in natural philosophy, writers, influ-

enced by the fame propenfity, stretch commonly their analogical reasonings beyond just bounds.

Opinion and belief are influenced by affection as well as by propenfity. The noted story of a fine lady and a curate viewing the moon through a telescope, is a pleafant illustration: I perceive, fays the lady, two shadows inclining to each other; they are certainly two happy lovers: Not at all, replies the curate, they are two steeples of a cathedral.

## APPENDIX TO PART V.

Methods that Nature hath afforded for computing Time and Space.

HIS subject is introduced, because it affords feveral curious examples of the influence of passion to bias the mind in its conceptions and opinions; a lesson that cannot be too frequently inculcated, as there is not perhaps another bias in human nature that hath an influence fo universal to make us

wander from truth as well as from justice.

I begin with time; and the question is, What was the measure of time before artificial measures were invented; and what is the meafure at present when these are not 'at hand? I speak not of months and days, which are computed by the moon and fun; but of hours, or in general of the time that passes between any two occurrences when there is not access to the fun. The only natural measure is the succession of our thoughts; for we always judge the time to be long or fliort, in proportion to the number of perceptions and ideas that have paffed during that inter-

val.

val. This measure is indeed far from being accurate; because in a quick and in a flow succession, it must evidently produce different computations of the same time: but, however inaccurate, it is the only measure by which we naturally calculate time; and that measure is applied on all occasions, without regard to

any casual variation in the rate of succession.

That measure would however be tolerable, did it labour under no other imperfection beside that mentioned: but in many instances it is much more fallacious; in order to explain which diffinctly, an analysis will be necessary. Time is computed at two different periods; one while it is passing, another after it is past: these computations shall be considered feparately, with the errors to which each of them is liable. Beginning with computation of time while it is paffing, it is a common and trite observation, That to lovers absence appears immeasurably long, every minute an hour, and every day a year: the fame computation is made in every case where we long for a distant event; as where one is in expectation of good news, or where a profligate heir watches for the death of an old rich miser. Opposite to these are inflances not fewer in number: to a criminal the interval between fentence and execution appears wofully short: and the same holds in every case where one dreads an approaching event; of which even a fchool-boy can bear witness: the hour allowed him for play, moves in his apprehension, with a very fwift pace; before he is thoroughly engaged, the hour is gone. A computation founded on the number of ideas, will never produce estimates so regularly opposite to each other; for our wishes do not produce a flow fuccession of ideas, nor our fears a quick fuccession. What then moves nature, in the cases mentioned, to defert her ordinary measure for one

very different? I know not that this question ever has been resolved; the false estimates I have suggested being fo common and familiar, that no writer has thought of their cause. And, indeed, to enter upon this matter without preparation, might occasion some difficulty; to encounter which, we luckily are prepared, by what is faid upon the power of passion to bias the mind in its perceptions and opinions. Among the circumstances that terrify a condemned criminal, the short time he has to live is one: which time, by the influence of terror, is made to appear still shorter than it is in reality. In the fame manner, among the distresses of an absent lover, the time of separation is a capital circumstance, which for that reason is greatly magnified by his anxiety and impatience: he imagines that the time of meeting comes on very flow, or rather that it will never come: every minute is thought of an intolerable length. Here is a fair, and, I hope, fatisfactory reason, why time is thought to be tedious when we long for a future event, and not less fleet when we dread the event. The reason is confirmed by other inflances. Bodily pain, fixt to one part, produceth a flow train of perceptions, which, according to the common measure of time, ought to make it appear short: yet we know, that, in fuch a flate, time has the opposite appearance; and the reason is, that bodily pain is always attended with a degree of impatience, which makes us think every minute to be an hour. The fame holds where the pain shifts from place to place; but not so remarkably, because such a pain is not attended with the fame degree of impatience. The impatience a man hath in travelling through a barren country, or in a bad road, makes him think, during the journey, that time goes on with a very flow pace. We shall fee

fee afterward, that a very different computation is

made when the journey is over.

How ought it to stand with a person who apprehends bad news? It will probably be thought, that the case of this person resembles that of a criminal, who, terrified at his approaching execution, believes every hour to be but a minute: yet the computation is directly opposite. Reslecting upon the difficulty, there appears one capital distinguishing circumstance: the fate of the criminal is determined; in the case under consideration, the person is still in suspense. Every one has selt the distress that accompanies suspense: we wish to get rid of it at any rate, even at the expense of bad news. This case, therefore, upon a more narrow inspection, resembles that of bodily pain: the present distress, in both cases, makes the time appear extremely tedious.

The reader probably will not be displeased, to have this branch of the subject illustrated, by an author who is acquainted with every maze of the human heart, and who bestows inestable grace and ornament

upon every fubject he handles:

Rofalinda. I pray you, what is't clock?

Orlando. You should ask me, what time o'day; there's

no clock in the forest.

Ros. Then there is no true lover in the forch; else, fighing every minute, and groaning every hour, would detect the lazy foot of Time, as well as a clock.

Orla. Why not the swift foot of Time? Had not that

been as proper?

Ry. By no means, Sir. Time travels in diverse paces with diverse persons. I'll tell you who Time ambles withal, who Time trots withal, who Time gallops withal, and who he stands still withal.

Orla. I pr'ythee whom doth he trot withal?

Rof. Marry, he trots hard with a young maid between the contract of her marriage and the day it is folemnized:

if the interim be but a se'enight, Time's pace is so hard, that it seems the length of seven years.

Orla. Whom ambles Time withal?

Rof. With a Priest that lacks Latin, and a rich man that hath not the gout: for the one sleeps easily, because he cannot study; and the other lives merrily, because he feels no pain: the one lacking the burden of lean and wasteful learning: the other knowing no burthen of heavy tedious penury. These time ambles withal.

Orle. Whom doth he gallop withal!

Reso. With a thief to the gallows: for, though he go as fostly as foot can fall, he thinks himself too foon there.

Orla. Whom flays it fill withal?

Rof. With lawyers in the vacation: for they sleep between term and term, and then they perceive not how Time moves.

As you like it, act 3. sc. 8.

The natural method of computing prefent time, shows how far from truth we may be led by the irregular influence of paffion: nor are our eyes immediately opened when the scene is past; for the deception continues while there remain any traces of the passion. But looking back upon past time when the joy or diffress is no longer remembered, the computation is very different: in that condition, we coolly and deliberately make use of the ordinary measure, namely, the course of our perceptions. And I shall now proceed to the errors that this measure is fubjected to. Here we must distinguish between a train of perceptions, and a train of ideas: real objects make a strong impression, and are faithfully remembered: ideas, on the contrary, however entertaining at the time, are apt to escape a subsequent recollection. Hence it is, that in retrospection, the time that was employed upon real objects, appears longer than that employed upon ideas: the former are more accurately recollected than the latter; and we meaf-

cafe

are the time by the number that is recollected. This doctrine shall be illustrated by examples. After finishing a journey through a populous country, the frequency of agreeable objects distinctly recollected by the traveller, makes the time spent in the journey appear to him longer than it was in reality; which is chiefly remarkable in the first journey, when every object is new, and makes a strong impression. the other hand, after finishing a journey through a barren country thinly peopled, the time appears flort, being measured by the number of objects, which were few, and far from interesting. Here in both instances a computation is made, directly opposite to that made during the journey. And this, by the way, ferves to account for what may appear fingular, that, in a barren country, a computed mile is always longer, than near the capital, where the country is rich and populous: the traveller has no natural measure of the miles he has travelled, other than the time bestowed upon the journey; nor any natural measure of the time, other than the number of his perceptions: now these, being few from the paucity of objects in a waste country, lead him to compute that the time has been fhort, and confequently that the miles have been few: by the fame method of computation, the great number of perceptions, from the quantity of objects in a populous country, make the traveller conjecture that the time has been long, and the miles many. The last step of the computation is obvious: in estimating the distance of one place from another, if the miles be reckoned few in number, each mile must of course be long; if many in number, each must be short.

Again, the travelling with an agreeable companion, produceth a fhort computation both of the road and of time; especially if there be few objects that demand attention, or if the objects be familiar: and the

K 2

case is the same of young people at a ball, or of a joyous company over a bottle: the ideas with which they have been entertained, being transitory, escape the memory: after the journey and the entertainment are over, they reslect that they have been much di-

verted, but scarce can say about what.

When one is totally occupied with any agreeable work that admits not many objects, time runs on without observation: and upon a subsequent recollection, must appear short, in proportion to the paucity of objects. This is still more remarkable in close contemplation and in deep thinking, where the train, composed wholly of ideas, proceeds with an extreme flow pace: not only are the ideas few in number, but are apt to escape an after reckoning. The like false reckoning of time may proceed from an opposite state of mind: in a reverie, where ideas float at random without making any impression, time goes on unheeded, and the reckoning is loft. A reverie may be fo profound as to prevent the recollection of any one idea: that the mind was busied in a train of thinking, may in general be remembered: but what was the subject, has quite escaped the memory. In such a case, we are altogether at a lofs about the time, having no data for making a computation. No cause produceth so false a reckoning of time, as immoderate grief: the mind, in that state, is violently attached to a fingle object, and admits not a different thought: any other object breaking in, is inflantly banished, so as scarce to give an appearance of fuccession. In a reverie, we are uncertain of the time that is past; but, in the example now given, there is an appearance of certainty, that the time must have been short, when the perceptions are fo few in number.

The natural measure of space, appears more obscure than that of time. I venture, however, to mention it, leaving it to be further profecuted, if it be

thought of any importance.

The space marked out for a house appears considerably larger after it is divided into its proper parts. A piece of ground appears larger after it is surrounded with a fence: and still larger when it is made a garden and divided into different compartments.

On the contrary, a large plain looks less after it is divided into parts. The fea must be excepted, which looks less from that very circumstance of not being

divided into parts.

A room of a moderate fize appears larger when properly furnished. But, when a very large room is furnished, I doubt whether it be not lessened in appearance.

A room of a moderate fize looks lefs by having a ceiling lower than in proportion. The fame low ceiling makes a very large room look larger than it

is in reality.

These experiments are by far too small a stock for a general theory: but they are all that occur at prefent; and, instead of a regular system, I have nothing for the reader's instruction but a few conjectures.

The largest angle of vision seems to be the natural measure of space: the eye is the only judge; and in examining with it the fize of any plain, or the length of any line, the most accurate method that can be taken is, to run over the object in parts: the largest part that can be feen with one stedfast look, determines the largest angle of vision; and, when that angle is given, one may institute a calculation, by trying with the eye how many of these parts are in the whole.

Whether this angle be the same in all men, I know not: the finallest angle of vision is afcertained; and to ascertain the largest would not be less curious.

But  $K_3$ 

But supposing it known, it would be a very imperfect measure; perhaps more so than the natural measure of time: for it requires great steadiness of eye to measure a line with any accuracy, by applying to it the largest angle of distinct vision. And supposing that steadiness to be acquired by practice, the measure will be imperfect from other circumstances. The space comprehended under this angle will be different according to the distance, and also according to the situation of the object: of a perpendicular this angle will comprehend the smalless space; the space will be larger in looking upon an inclined plain; and will be larger or less in propor-

tion to the degree of inclination.

This measure of space, like the measure of time, is liable to feveral errors, from certain operations of the mind, which will account for fome of the erroneous judgments above mentioned. The space marked out for a dwelling-house, where the eye is at any reasonable distance, is feldom greater than can be seen at once, without moving the head: divide that space into two or three equal parts, and none of these parts will appear much less than what can be comprehended at one diffinct look; confequently each of them will appear equal, or nearly equal, to what the whole did before the division. If, on the other hand, the whole be very small, so as scarce to fill the eye at one look, its division into parts will, I conjecture, make it appear still less: the minuteness of the parts is, by an eafy transition of ideas, transferred to the whole; and we pass the same judgment on the latter that we do on the former.

The space marked out for a small garden is surveyed almost at one view; and requires a motion of the eye so slight, as to pass for an object that can be comprehended under the largest angle of distinct vision: if

not divided into too many parts, we are apt to form the fame judgment of each part, and confequently to magnify the garden in proportion to the number of

its parts.

A very large plain without protuberances is an object no less rare than beautiful; and in those who see it for the first time, it must produce an emotion of wonder. That emotion, however slight, imposes on the mind, and makes it judge that the plain is larger than it is in reality. Divide the plain into parts, and our wonder ceases: it is no longer considered as one great plain, but as so many different fields or inclosures.

The first time one beholds the sea, it appears to be large beyond all bounds. When it becomes familiar, and ceases to raise our wonder, it appears less than it is in reality. In a storm it appears large, being distinguishable by the rolling waves into a number of great parts. Islands scattered at considerable distances, add in appearance to its size: each intercepted part looks extremely large, and we insensibly apply arithmetic to increase the appearance of the whole. Many islands scattered at hand, give a diminutive appearance to the sea, by its connection with its diminutive parts: the Lomond lake would undoubtedly look larger without its islands.

Furniture increaseth in appearance the fize of a small room, for the same reason that divisions increase in appearance the fize of a garden. The emotion of wonder, which is raised by a very large room without furniture makes it look larger than it is in reality: if completely furnished, we view it in parts, and our wonder is not raised.

A low ceiling hath a diminutive appearance, which by an eafy transition of ideas, is communicated to the length and breadth, provided they bear any proportion

portion to the height. If they be out of all proportion, the opposition feizes the mind and raises some degree of wonder, which makes the difference appear greater than it really is.

### PART VI.

The Resemblance of Emotions to their Causes.

HAT many emotions have fome refemblance to their causes, is a truth that can be made clear by induction; though, as far as I know, the observation has not been made by any writer. Motion, in its different circumstances, is productive of feelings that resemble it: sluggish motion, for example, causeth a languid unpleasant feeling; slow uniform motion, a feeling calm and pleasant; and brisk motion, a lively feeling that rouses the spirits, and promotes activity. A fall of water through rocks, raises in the mind a tumultuous confused agitation, extremely similar to its cause. When force is exerted with any effort, the spectator feels a similar effort, as of force exerted within his mind. A large object swells in the heart. An elevated object makes the spectator stand erect.

Sounds also produce emotions or feelings that refemble them. A found in a low key brings down the mind: fuch a found in a full tone hath a certain folemnity, which it communicates to the feeling produced by it. A found in a high key cheers the mind by raising it: fuch a found in a full tone both elevates

and fwells the mind.

Again, a wall or a pillar that declines from the perpendicular, produceth a painful feeling as of a tottering tering and falling within the mind: and a feeling fomewhat fimilar is produced by a tall pillar that flands fo ticklish as to look like falling.\* A column with a base looks more firm and stable than upon the naked ground; and for that reason is more agreeable; and though the cylinder is a more beautiful figure, yet the cube for a base is preferred; its angles being extended to a greater distance from the centre than the circumference of a cylinder. This excludes not a different reason, that the base, the shaft, and the capital, of a pillar, ought, for the sake of variety, to differ from each other: if the shaft be round, the base and capital ought to be square.

A constrained posture, uneasy to the man himself, is disagreeable to the spectator; whence a rule in painting, that the drapery ought not to adhere to the body, but hang loose, that the sigures may appear easy and free in their movements. The constrained posture of a French dancing-master in one of Hogarth's pieces, is for that reason disagreeable; and it is also ridiculous, because the constraint is assumed as

a grace.

The foregoing observation is not confined to emotions or feelings raised by still life: it holds also in what are raised by the qualities, actions, and passions, of a sensible being. Love inspired by a sine woman, assumes her qualities: it is sublime, soft, tender, severe, or gay, according to its cause. This is still more remarkable in emotions raised by human actions: it hath already been remarked,† that any signal instance of gratitude, beside procuring esteem for the author, raiseth

<sup>\*</sup> Sunt enim Tempe saltus transitu dissiciles: nam præteranguslias per quinque millia, qua exiguum jumento onusto iter est, rupes utrinque ita abscissa sunt, ut despici vix sine vertigine quadam simul oculorum animique possit.

Titus Livius, lib. 44. sect. 6.

raifeth in the spectator a vague emotion of gratitude, which disposeth him to be grateful; and I now surther remark, that this vague emotion hath a strong resemblance to its cause, namely, the passion that produced the grateful action: courage exerted inspires the reader as well as the spectator with a like emotion of courage, a just action fortisses our love of justice, and a generous action rouses our generosity. In short, with respect to all virtuous actions, it will be found by induction, that they lead us to imitation by inspiring emotions resembling the passions that produce these actions. And hence the advantage of

choice books and choice company.

Grief as well as joy are infectious: the emotions they raife in a spectator, resemble them perfectly. Fear is equally infectious: and hence in an army, a few taking fright, even without cause, spread the infection till it becomes an universal panic. Pity is fimilar to its cause: a parting scene between lovers or friends produceth in the spectator a fort of pity, which is tender like the diffress: the anguish of remorfe, produceth pity of a harsh kind; and if the remorfe be extreme, the pity hath a mixture of horror. Anger I think is fingular: for even where it is moderate, and caufeth no difgust, it disposes not the fpectator to anger in any degree.\* Covetousness, cruelty, treachery, and other vicious passions, are so far from raifing any emotion fimilar to themselves, to incite a spectator to imitation, that they have an opposite effect: they raise abhorrence, and fortify the spectator in his aversion to such actions. When anger is immoderate, it cannot fail to produce the fame effect.

PART

<sup>\*</sup> Ariflotle, Poet. cap. 18. fect. 3. fays, that anger raifeth in the spectator a similar emotion of anger.

#### PART VII.

Final Causes of the more frequent Emotions and Passions.

IT is a law in our nature, that we never act but by the impulse of defire; which in other words is faying, that passion, by the desire included in it, is what determines the will. Hence in the conduct of life, it is of the utmost importance, that our passions be directed to proper objects, tend to just and rational ends, and with relation to each other, be duly balanced. The beauty of contrivance, fo conspicuous, in the human frame, is not confined to the rational part of our nature, but is visible over the whole. Concerning the passions in particular, however irregular, headstrong, and perverse, in a slight view, they may appear, I hope to demonstrate, that they are by nature modelled and tempered with perfect wifdom, for the good of fociety as well as for private good. The fubject, treated at large, would be too extensive for the prefent work: all there is room for are a few general observations upon the fensitive part of our nature, without regarding that strange irregularity of passion discovered in some individuals. Such topical irregularities, if I may use the term, cannot fairly be held an objection to the present theory: we are frequently, it is true, misled by inordinate passion; but we are also, and perhaps no less frequently, misled by wrong judgment.

In order to fulfil my engagement, it must be premifed, that an agreeable cause produceth always a

pleafant

pleasant emotion; and a disagreeable cause, a painful emotion. This is a general law of nature, which admits not a single exception: agreeableness in the cause is indeed so effentially connected with pleasure in the emotion, its effect, that an agreeable cause cannot be better defined, than by its power of producing a pleasant emotion: and disagreeableness in the cause has the same necessary connection with pain

in the emotion produced by it.

From this preliminary it appears, that in order to know for what end an emotion is made pleafant or painful, we must begin with inquiring for what end its cause is made agreeable or disagreeable. with respect to inanimate objects, considered as the causes of emotions, many of them are made agreeable in order to promote our happiness; and it proves invincibly the benignity of the Deity, that we are placed in the midst of objects for the most part agreeable. But that is not all: the bulk of fuch objects, being of real use in life, are made agreeable in order to excite our industry; witness a large tree, a well dreffed fallow, a rich field of grain, and others that may be named without end. On the other hand, it is not early to specify a disagreeable object that is not at the fame time hurtful: fome things are made difagreeable, fuch as a rotten carcafs, because they are noxious: others, a dirty marsh, for example, or a barren heath, are made disagreeable, in order, as above, to excite our industry. And, with respect to the few things that are neither agreeable nor difagreeable, it will be made evident, that their being left indifferent is not a work of chance, but of wisdom: of fuch I shall have occasion to give several instances.

Because inanimate objects that are agreeable six our attention, and draw us to them, they in that respect are termed attractive: such objects inspire pleas-

ant emotions, which are gratified by adhering to the objects, and enjoying them. Because disagreeable objects of the same kind repel us from them, they in that respect are termed repulsive: and the painful emotions raised by such objects are gratified by slying from them. Thus, in general, with respect to things inanimate, the tendency of every pleasant emotion is to prolong the pleasure; and the tendency of every

painful emotion is to end the pain.

Sensible beings considered as objects of passion, lead into a more complex theory. A fenfible being that is agreeable by its attributes, infpires us with a pleafant emotion accompanied with defire; and the queftion is, What is naturally the gratification of that defire? Were man altogether felfish, his nature would lead him to indulge the pleafant emotion, without making any acknowledgment to the perfon who gives him pleasure, more than to a pure air or temperate clime: but as man is endued with a principle of benevolence as well as of felfishness, he is prompted by his nature to defire the good of every fenfible being that gives him pleafure; and the happiness of that being is the gratification of his defire. The final cause of desire so directed is illustrious: it contributes to a man's own happiness, by affording him means of gratification beyond what felfifhness can afford; and, at the fame time, it tends eminently to advance the happiness of others. This lays open a beautiful theory in the nature of man: a felfish action can only benefit myfelf: a benevolent action benefits myfelf as much as it benefits others. In a word, benevolence may not improperly be faid to be the most refined felfishness; which, by the way, ought to filence certain shallow philosophers, who ignorant of human nature, teach a difgustful doctrine, that to serve others, unless with a view to our own happiness, is weakness and folly;

folly; as if felf-love only, and not benevolence, contributed to our happiness. The hand of God is too visible in the human frame, to permit us to think seriously, that there ever can be any jarring or inconsistency among natural principles, those especially of felf-love and benevolence, which govern the bulk of our actions\*.

Next in order come fensible beings that are in distress. A person in distress, being so far a disagreeable object, must raise in a spectator a painful passion; and, were man purely a selfish being, he would desire to be relieved from that pain, by turning from the object. But the principle of benevolence gives an opposite direction to his desire: it makes him desire to assord relief; and by relieving the person from distress, his passion is gratified. The painful passion thus directed, is termed sympathy; which, though painful, is yet in its nature attractive. And, with respect to its final cause, we can be at no less: it not only tends to relieve a fellow creature from distress, but in its gratification is greatly more pleasant than if it were repulsive.

We, in the last place, bring under confideration persons hateful by vice or wickedness. Imagine a

wretch

<sup>\*</sup> With shallow thinkers the selfish system naturally prevails in theory, I do not say in practice. During infancy, our desires centre mostly in ourselves: every one perceives intuitively the comfort of food and raiment, of a fining dwelling, and of every convenience. But that the doing good to otlers will make us happy, is not so evident; feeding the hungry, for example, or clothing the naked. This truth is seen but obscurely by the gross of mankind, if at all seen: the superior pleasure that accompanies the exercise of benevolence, of friendship, and of every social principle, is not clearly understood till it be frequently selt. To perceive the focial principle in its triumphant state, a man must forget himself, and turn his thoughts upon the charaster and condust of his fellow creatures, he will feel a secret charm in every passion that tends to the good of others, and a secret aversion against every unseeling heart that is indifferent to the happiness and distress of others. In a word, it is but too common for men to indulge selfishness in themselves; but all men abhor it in others.

wretch who has lately perpetrated fome horrid crime: he is difagreeable to every spectator; and consequently raiseth in every spectator a painful passion. is the natural gratification of that passion? I must here again observe, that supposing man to be entirely a selfish being, he would be prompted by his nature to relieve himfelf from the pain, by averting his eye, and banishing the criminal from his thoughts. But man is not fo constituted: he is composed of many principles, which, though feemingly contradictory, are perfeetly concordant. His actions are influenced by the principle of benevolence, as well as by that of felfishness: and in order to answer the foregoing question, I must introduce a third principle, no less remarkable in its influence than either of these mentioned; it is that principle common to all, which prompts us to punish those who do wrong. An envious, a malicious, or a cruel action, being difagreeable, raifeth in the spectator the painful emotion of resentment, which frequently fwells into a paffion; and the natural gratification of the defire included in that passion, is to punish the guilty person: I must chastise the wretch by indignation at least and hatred, if not more severely. Here the final cause is self-evident.

An injury done to myfelf, touching me more than when done to others, raifes my refentment to a higher degree. The defire, accordingly, included in this passion, is not fatisfied with so flight a punishment as indignation or hatred: it is not fully gratified without retaliation; and the author must by my hand suffer mischief, as great at least as he has done to me. Neither can we be at any loss about the final cause of that higher degree of resentment: the whole vigour of the passion is required to secure individuals from the injustice and oppression of others.\*

A wicked

<sup>\*</sup> See Historical Law Tracts, Tract 1.

A wicked or difgraceful action is difagreeable not only to others, but even to the delinquent himself; and raises in both a painful emotion including a defire of punishment. The painful emotion felt by the delinquent, is distinguished by the name of remorfe; which naturally excites him to punish himself. There cannot be imagined a better contrivance to deter us from vice; for remorfe itself is a severe punishment. That passion, and the desire of self-punishment derived from it, are touched delicately by Terence:

Menedemus. Ubi comperi ex iis, qui ei fuere conscii, Domum revorter mæstus, atque animo fere Perturbato, atque incerto præ ægritudine: Adfido, adcurrunt fervi, foccos detrahunt: Video alios festinare, lectos sternere, Cœi am adparare: pro fe quisque sedulo Faciebat, quo illam mihi lenirent miseriam. Ubi video hæc, cœpi cogitare: Hem! tot mea Solius folliciti fint caufa, ut me unum expleant ? Ancillæ tot me vestiant? fumptus domi Tantos ego folus faciam? sed gnatum unicum, Quem pariter uti his decuit, aut etiam amplius, Quod illa ætas magis ad hæc utenda idonea est, Eum ego hinc ejici miserum injustitia mea. Malo quidem me dignum quovis deputem, Si id faciam: nam usque dum ille vitam illam colet Inopem, carens patria ob meas injurias, Interea usque illi de me supplicium dabo: Laborans, quærens, parcens, illi ferviens. Ita facio prorfus: nihil relinquo in ædibus, Nec vas, nec vestimentum : conrasi omnia, Ancillas, fervos, nisi eos, qui opere rustico Faciundo facile fumptum exercerent fuum: Omnes produxi ac vendidi: inferipfi illico Ædes mercede: quasi talenta ad quindecim Coëgi: agrum hunc mercatus sum: hic me exerceo, Decrevi tantisper me minus injuriæ, Chreme, meo gnato tacere, dum fiam miser: Nec fas esse ulla me voluptate hic trui. Nisi ubi ille hue falvos redierit meus particeps. Heautontimorumenos, act. 1. sc. 1. Otway

# Otway reaches the fame fentiment:

Menimia. Let mischiefs multiply! let ev'ry hour Of my loath'd life yield me increase of horror? Oh let the fun to these unhappy eyes Ne'er shine again, but be eclips'd for ever! May every thing I look on feem a prodigy, To fill my foul with terror, till I quite Forget I ever had humanity, And grow a curfer of the works of nature! Orphan, at 4.

In the cases mentioned, benevolence alone, or dea fire of punishment alone, governs without a rival; and it was necessary to handle these cases separately, in order to elucidate a fubject which by writers is left in great obscurity. But neither of these principles operates always without rivalship: cases may be figured, and cases actually exist, where the same person is an object both of fympathy and of punishment. Thus the fight of a profligate in the venereal difease, overrun with blotches and fores, puts both principles in motion: while his diffres fixes my attention, fympathy prevails; but as foon as I think of his profligacy, hatred prevails, accompanied fometimes with a defire to punish. This, in general, is the case of diftrefs occasioned by immoral actions that are not highly criminal: and if the diffress and the immoral action make impressions equal or nearly so, sympathy and hatred counterbalancing each other, will not fuffer me either to afford relief, or to inflict punishment. What then will be the refult? The principle of felf-love folves the question: abhorring an object fo loathfome, I naturally avert my eye, and walk off as falt as I can, in order to be relieved from the pain. The

The prefent subject gives birth to several other obfervations, for which I could not find room above, without relaxing more from the strictness of order and connection, than with safety could be indulged in discoursing upon an intricate subject. These observa-

tions I shall throw out loofely as they occur.

No action, right nor wrong, is indifferent even to a mere spectator: if right, it inspires esteem; disgust, if wrong. But it is remarkable, that these emotions seldom are accompanied with desire: the abilities of man are limited, and he finds sufficient employment, in relieving the distressed, in requiting his benefactors, and in punishing those who wrong him, without moving out of his sphere for the benefit or chastisement of those with whom he has no connection.

If the good qualities of others raife my esteem, the same qualities in myself must produce a similar effect in a superior degree, upon account of the natural partiality every man hath for himself: and this increases self-love. If these qualities be of a high rank, they produce a conviction of fuperiority, which excites me to assume some fort of government over oth-Mean qualities, on the other hand, produce in me a conviction of inferiority, which makes me fub-These convictions, distributed among mit to others. individuals by meafure and proportion, may justly be efteemed the folid basis of government; because upon them depend the natural submission of the many to the few, without which even the mildest government would be in a violent state, and have a constant tendency to diffolution.

No other branch of the human constitution shows more visibly our destination for society, nor tends more to our improvement, than appetite for same or esteem: for as the whole conveniencies of life are derived from mutual aid and support in society, it ought

Our

to be a capital aim to fecure these conveniencies, by gaining the esteem and affection of others. indeed, dictates that lesson: but reason alone is not fufficient in a matter of fuch importance; and the appetite mentioned is a motive more powerful than reason, to be active in gaining esteem and affection. That appetite, at the same time, is finely adjusted to the moral branch of our constitution, by promoting all the moral virtues: for what means are there to attract love and esteem so effectual as a virtuous course of life? If a man be just and beneficent, if he be temperate, modest, and prudent, he will infallibly gain the efteem and love of all who know him.

Communication of passion to related objects, is an illustrious instance of the care of Providence to extend focial connections as far as the limited nature of man can admit. That communication is fo far hurtful, as to spread the malevolent passions beyond their natural bounds: but let it be remarked, that this unhappy effect regards favages only, who give way to malevolent passions; for under the discipline of society, these passions being subdued, are in a good measure eradicated; and in their place succeed the kindly affections, which, meeting with all encouragement, take possession of the mind, and govern all our actions. In that condition, the progress of pasfion along related objects, by spreading the kindly affections through a multitude of individuals, hath a glorious effect.

Nothing can be more entertaining to a rational mind, than the economy of the human passions, of which I have attempted to give some faint notion. It must however be acknowledged, that our passions, when they happen to fwell beyond proper limits, take on a less regular appearance: reason may proclaim L 2

our duty, but the will, influenced by passion, makes gratification always welcome. Hence the power of passion, which, when in excess, cannot be resisted but by the utmost fortitude of mind; it is bent upon gratification; and where proper objects are wanting, it clings to any object at hand without distinction. Thus joy inspired by a fortunate event, is diffused upon every person around by acts of benevolence; and refentment for an atrocious injury done by one out of reach, feizes the first object that occurs to vent itself upon. Those who believe in prophecies, even wish the accomplishment; and a weak mind is disposed voluntarily to fulfil a prophecy, in order to gratify its wish. Shakespear, whom no particle of human nature hath escaped, however remote from common observation, describes that weakness:

K. Henry. Doth any name particular belong
Unto that lodging where I first did swoon?

Warwick. 'Tis call'd Ferusalem, my Noble Lord.

K. Henry. Laud be to God! ev'n there my life must end,
It hath been prophesy'd to me many years,
I should not die but in Jerusalem,
Which vainly I suppos'd the holy land.
But bear me to that chamber, there I'll lie:
In that Jerusalem shall Henry die.

Second part, Henry IV. act 4. sc. last.

I could not deny myself the amusement of the fore-going observation, though it doth not properly come under my plan. The irregularities of passion proceeding from peculiar weaknesses and biasses, I do not undertake to justify; and of these we have had many examples.\* It is sufficient that passions common to all, are made subservient to beneficent purposes. I shall only observe, that, in a polished society, instances of irregular passions are rare, and that their mischief doth not extend far.

CHAP.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Part 5. of the prefent chapter.

### CHAP. III.

## Beauty.

AVING discoursed in general of emotions and passions, I proceed to a more narrow inspection of fuch of them as ferve to unfold the principles of the fine arts. It is the province of a writer upon ethics, to give a full enumeration of all the passions: and of each feparately to affign the nature, the cause, the gratification, and the effects. But a treatife of ethics is not my province; I carry my view no farther than to the elements of criticism, in order to show, that the fine arts are a fubject of reasoning as well as of tafte. An extensive work would ill suit a design fo limited; and to confine this work within mode. rate bounds, the following plan may contribute. The observation made above, that things are the causes of emotions, by means of their properties and attributes,\* furnisheth a hint for distribution. Instead of a painful and tedious examination of the feveral paffions and emotions, I purpose to confine my inquiries to fuch attributes, relations, and circumstances, as in the fine arts are chiefly employed to raife agreeable emotions. Attributes of fingle objects, as the most simple, shall take the lead; to be followed with particulars, which, depending on relations, are not found in fingle objects. Dispatching next some coincident matters, I proceed to my chief aim; which is, to establish practical rules for the fine arts, derived from principles previously established. This is a gensral view of the intended method: referving however Lз a privilege

a privilege to vary it in particular instances, where a deviation may be more commodious. I begin with beauty, the most noted of all the qualities that be-

long to fingle objects.

The term beauty, in its native fignification, is appropriated to objects of fight: objects of the other Tenses may be agreeable, such as the sounds of musical instruments, the smoothness and softness of some furfaces: but the agreeableness denominated beauty

belongs to objects of fight.

Of all the objects of external fense, an object of fight is the most complex: in the very simplest, colour is perceived, figure, and length, breadth, and thickness. A tree is composed of a trunk, branches, and leaves; it has colour, figure, fize, and fometimes motion: by means of each of these particulars, separately confidered, it appears beautiful; how much more fo, when they are all united together? The beauty of the human figure is extraordinary, being a composition of numberless beauties arising from the parts and qualities of the object, various colours, various motions, figures, fize, &c. all united in one complex object, and striking the eye with combined force. Hence it is, that beauty, a quality fo remarkable in visible objects, lends its name to express every thing that is eminently agreeable: thus, by a figure of speech, we say a beautiful found, a beautiful thought or expression, a beautiful theorem, a beautiful event, a beautiful discovery in art or science. But, as figurative expression is the subject of a following chapter, this chapter is confined to beauty in its proper fignification.

It is natural to suppose, that a perception so various as that of beauty, comprehending fometimes many particulars, fometimes few, flould occasion emotions equally various: and yet all the various emotions

of beauty maintain one common character, that of

fweetness and gaiety.

Confidering attentively the beauty of vifible obiects, we discover two kinds. The first may be termed intrinsic beauty, because it is discovered in a single object viewed apart without relation to any other: the examples above given are of that kind. The other may be termed relative beauty, being founded on the relation of objects. The purposed distribution would lead me to handle these beauties separately; but they are frequently fo intimately connected, that, for the fake of connection, I am forced, in this instance, to vary from the plan, and to bring them both into the same chapter. Intrinsic beauty is an object of fense merely: to perceive the beauty of a fpreading oak, or of a flowing river, no more is required but fingly an act of vision. The perception of relative beauty is accompanied with an act of understanding and reflection: for of a fine instrument or engine, we perceive not the relative beauty, until we be made acquainted with its use and destination. In a word, intrinsic beauty is ultimate: relative beauty is that of means relating to some good end or purpose. These different beauties agree in one capital circumstance, that both are equally perceived as belonging to the object. This is evident with respect to intrinfic beauty; but will not be fo readily admitted with respect to the other: the utility of the plough, for example, may make it an object of admiration or of defire; but why should utility make it appear beautiful? A natural propenfity mentioned above\* will explain that doubt: the beauty of the effect, by an easy transition of ideas, is transferred to the cause; and is perceived as one of the qualities of

the cause. Thus a subject void of intrinsic beauty appears beautiful from its utility; an old Gothic tower, that has no beauty in itself, appears beautiful, considered as proper to defend against an enemy; a dwelling-house void of all regularity, is however beautiful in the view of convenience; and the want of form or symmetry in a tree, will not prevent its appearing beautiful, if it be known to produce good fruit.

When these two beauties coincide in any object, it appears delightful: every member of the human body possesses both in a high degree: the fine proportions and slender make of a horse destined for running, please every eye; partly from symmetry, and partly from utility.

The beauty of utility, being proportioned accurately to the degree of utility, requires no illustration: but intrinsic beauty, so complex as I have said, cannot be handled distinctly without being analysed into its constituent parts. If a tree be beautiful by means of its colour, its figure, its fize, its motion, it is in reality possessed of so many different beauties, which ought to be examined feparately, in order to have a clear notion of them when combined. beauty of colour is too familiar to need explanation. Do not the bright and cheerful colours of gold and filver contribute to preferve these metals in high estimation? The beauty of figure, arising from various circumstances and different views, is more complex: for example, viewing any body as a whole, the beauty of its figure arises from regularity and simplicity; viewing the parts with relation to each other, uniformity, proportion, and order, contribute to its The beauty of motion deferves a chapter by itself; and another chapter is destined for grandeur, being distinguishable from beauty in its proper sense,

For a description of regularity, uniformity, proportion, and order, if thought necessary, I remit my reader to the Appendix at the end of the book. Upon simplicity I must make a few cursory observations, such as may be of use in examining the beauty of

fingle objects.

A multitude of objects crowding into the mind at once, disturb the attention, and pass without making any impression, or any distinct impression; in a group, no fingle object makes the figure it would do apart, when it occupies the whole attention.\* For the same reason, the impression made by an object that divides the attention by the multiplicity of its parts, equals not that of a more fimple object comprehended in a fingle view: parts extremely complex must be confidered in portions successively; and a number of impressions in succession, which cannot unite because not simultaneous, never touch the mind like one entire impression made as it were at one stroke. This justifies simplicity in works of art, as opposed to complicated circumstances and crowded ornaments. There is an additional reason for simplicity, in works of dignity or elevation; which is, that the mind attached to beauties of a high rank, cannot descend to inferior beauties. The best artists accordingly have in all ages been governed by a tafte for fimplicity. How comes it then that we find profuse decoration prevailing in works of art? The reafon plainly is, that authors and architects who cannot reach the higher beauties, endeavour to supply

want of genius by multiplying those that are inferior. These things premised, I proceed to examine the beauty of figure as arising from the above-mentioned particulars,

<sup>\*</sup> See the Appendix, containing definitions, and explanation of terms; fedt, 32.

particulars, namely, regularity, uniformity, proportion, order, and simplicity. To exhaust this subject would require a volume; and I have not even a whole chapter to spare. To inquire why an object, by means of the particulars mentioned, appears beautiful, would, I am afraid, be a vain attempt: it feems the most probable opinion, that the nature of man was originally framed with a relish for them, in order to answer wife and good purposes. To explain these purposes or final causes, though a subject of great importance, has fearce been attempted by any writer. One thing is evident, that our relish for the particulars mentioned adds much beauty to the objects that furround us; which of course tends to our happiness: and the Author of our nature has given many fignal proofs that this final cause is not below his care. We may be confirmed in this thought upon reflecting, that our tafte for these particulars is not accidental, but uniform and universal, making a branch of our nature. At the same time, it ought not to be overlooked, that regularity, uniformity, order, and fimplicity, contribute each of them to readiness of apprehension; enabling us to form more distinct images of objects, than can be done with the utmost attention where these particulars are not found. With respect to proportion, it is in some instances connected with a ufeful end, as in animals, where the best proportioned are the strongest and most active; but instances are still more numerous, where the proportions we relish have no connection with utility. Writers on architecture infift much on the proportions of a column, and assign different proportions to the Doric, Ionic, and Corinthian: but no architect will maintain, that the most accurate proportions contribute more to use, than several that are less accurate and less agreeable; neither will it be maintained.

maintained, that the length, breadth, and height of rooms affigned as the most beautiful proportions, tend also to make them the more commodious. With respect then to the final cause of proportion, I see not more to be made of it but to rest upon the final cause first mentioned, namely, its contributing to our happiness, by increasing the beauty of visible objects.

And now with respect to the beauty of figure as far as it depends on the other circumstances mentioned; as to which, having room only for a flight fpecimen, I confine myself to the simplest figures. A circle and a fquare are each of them perfectly regular, being equally confined to a precise form, which admits not the flightest variation: a square, however, is less beautiful than a circle. And the reason seems to be, that the attention is divided among the fides and angles of a fquare: whereas the circumference of a circle, being a fingle object, makes one entire impression. And thus simplicity contributes to beauty: which may be illustrated by another example: a fquare, though not more regular than a hexagon or octagon, is more beautiful than either; for what other reason, but that a square is more simple, and the attention less divided? This reasoning will appear still more conclusive, when we consider any regular polygon of very many fides; for of this figure the mind can never have any distinct perception.

A fquare is more regular than a parallelogram, and its parts more uniform; and for these reasons it is more beautiful. But that holds with respect to intrinsic beauty only; for in many instances utility turns the scale on the side of the parallelogram: this sigure for the doors and windows of a dwelling-house is preferred, because of utility; and here we find the beauty of utility prevailing over that of regularity and uniformity.

A parallelogram

A parallelogram again depends, for its beauty, on the proportion of its fides: a great inequality of fides annihilates its beauty: approximation towards equality hath the same effect; for proportion there degenerates into imperfect uniformity, and the figure appears an unsuccessful attempt toward a square. And

thus proportion contributes to beauty.

An equilateral triangle yields not to a square in regularity, nor in uniformity of parts, and it is more simple. But an equilateral triangle is less beautiful than a square; which must be owing to inferiority of order in the position of its parts: the sides of an equilateral triangle incline to each other in the same angle, being the most perfect order they are susceptible of; but this order is obscure, and far from being so perfect as the parallelism of the sides of a square. Thus order contributes to the beauty of visible objects, no less than simplicity, regularity, or proportion.

A parallelogram exceeds an equilateral triangle in the orderly disposition of its parts; but being inferior in uniformity and simplicity, it is less beautiful.

Uniformity is fingular in one capital circumstance, that it is apt to disgust by excess; a number of things destined for the same use, such as windows, chairs, spoons, buttons, cannot be too uniform; for supposing their sigure to be good, utility requires uniformity: but a scrupulous uniformity of parts in a large garden or field, is far from being agreeable. Uniformity among connected objects belongs not to the present subject: it is handled in the chapter of uniformity and variety.

In all the works of nature, fimplicity makes an illustrious figure. It also makes a figure in works of art: profuse ornament in painting, gardening, or ar-

chitecture,

chitecture, as well as in dress or in language, shows a mean or corrupted taste:

Poets, like painters, thus unskill'd to trace
The naked nature and the living grace,
With gold and jewels cover ev'ry part,
And hide with ornaments their want of art.

Pope's Essay on Criticisms

No fingle property recommends a machine more than its simplicity; not folely for better answering its purpose, but by appearing in itself more beautiful. Simplicity in behaviour and manners has an enchanting effect, and never fails to gain our assection: very different are the artificial manners of modern times. General theorems, abstracting from their importance, are delightful by their simplicity, and by the easiness of their application to variety of cases. We take equal delight in the laws of motion, which, with the greatest fimplicity, are boundless in their operations.

A gradual progrefs from simplicity to complex forms and profuse ornament, seems to be the fate of all the sine arts: in that progrefs these arts resemble behaviour, which, from original candour and simplicity, has degenerated into artificial resinements. At present, literary productions are crowded with words, epithets, sigures: in music, sentiment is neglected for the luxury of harmony, and for difficult movement: in taste properly so called, poignant sauces, with complicated mixtures of different savours, prevail among people of condition: the French, accustomed to artificial red on a semale cheek, think the modest colouring of nature altogether insipid.

The fame tendency is discovered in the progress of the fine arts among the ancients. Some vestiges of the old Grecian buildings prove them to be of the Doric order: the Ionic succeeded, and seems to have been the favourite order, while architecture was in the height of glory: the Corinthian came next in vogue; and in Greece the buildings of that order appear mostly to have been erected after the Romans got footing there. At last came the Composite, with all its extravagancies, where simplicity is facrificed to sinery and crowded ornament.

But what taste is to prevail next? for fashion is a continual flux, and taste must vary with it. After rich and profuse ornaments become familiar, simplicity apears lifeless and insipid; which would be an insurmountable obstruction, should any person of genius and taste endeavour to restore ancient sim-

plicity.\*

The diffinction between primary and fecondary qualities in matter, feems now fully established. Heat and cold, smell and taste, though seeming to exist in bodies, are discovered to be effects caused by these bodies in a fenfitive being: colour, which appears to the eye as fpread upon a substance, has no existence but in the mind of the spectator. Qualities of that kind, which owe their existence to the percipient as much as to the object, are termed fecondary qualities, and are diffinguished from figure, extension, folidity, which, in contradiffinction to the former, are termed primary qualities, because they inhere in subjects whether perceived or not. This distinction suggests a curious inquiry, Whether beauty be a primary or only a secondary quality of objects? The question is eafily determined with respect to the beauty of colour; for, if colour be a fecondary quality, existing

no

<sup>\*</sup> A sprightly writer observes, "that the m ble simplicity of the Augustan age was driven out by false taste; that the gigantic, the puerile, the quaint, and at last the barbarous and the monkish, had each their successive admirers: that music has become a science of tricks and slight of hand," &cc.

no where but in the mind of the spectator, its beauty must exist there also. This conclusion equally holds with respect to the beauty of utility, which is plainly a conception of the mind, arising not from fight, but from reflecting that the thing is fitted for some good end or purpose. The question is more intricate with respect to the beauty of regularity; for, if regularity be a primary quality, why not also its beauty? That this is not a good inference, will appear from confidering, that beauty, in its very conception, refers to a percipient; for an object is faid to be beautiful, for no other reason but that it appears so to a spectator: the same piece of matter that to a man appears beautiful, may possibly appear ugly to a being of a different species. Beauty, therefore, which for its existence depends on the percipient as much as on the object perceived, cannot be an inherent property in either. And hence it is wittily observed by the poet, that beauty is not in the person beloved, but in the lover's eye. This reasoning is solid; and the only cause of doubt or hesitation is, that we are taught a different lesson by fense: a singular determination of nature makes us perceive both beauty and colour as belonging to the object, and, like figure or extension, as inherent properties. This mechanism is uncommon; and, when nature, to fulfil her intention, prefers any fingular method of operation, we may be certain of fome final cause that cannot be reached by ordinary means. For the beauty of some objects we are indebted entirely to nature: but, with respect to the endless variety of objects that owe their beauty to art and culture, the perception of beauty greatly promotes industry; being to us a strong additional incitement to enrich our fields, and improve our manufactures. These, however, are but slight effects, compared with the connections that are formed among individuals

individuals in fociety by means of this fingular mechanism: the qualifications of the head and heart form undoubtedly the most folid and most permanent connections; but external beauty, which lies more in view, has a more extensive influence in forming these connections: at any rate, it concurs in an eminent degree with mental qualifications to produce focial intercourse, mutual good-will, and consequently mutual aid and support, which are the life of society.

It must not, however, be overlooked, that the perception of beauty doth not, when immoderate, tend to advance the interests of society. Love, in particular, arising from a perception of beauty, loses, when excessive, its sociable character: the appetite for gratification prevailing over affection for the beloved obiect, is ungovernable; and tends violently to its end, regardless of the misery that must follow. Love, in that flate, is no longer a fweet agreeable passion: it becomes painful, like hunger or thirst; and produceth no happiness but in the instant of fruition. This discovery fuggests a most important lesson, That moderation in our defires and appetites, which fits us for doing our duty, contributes at the same time the most to happiness: even focial passions, when moderate, are more pleafant than when they fwell beyond proper bounds.

#### CHAP. IV.

## Grandeur and Sublimity.

NATURE hath not more remarkably distinguished us from other animals by an erect posture, than by a capacious and aspiring mind, attaching us to things great and elevated. The ocean, the sky, seize the attention, and make a deep impression:\* robes of state are made large and full, to draw respect; we admire an elephant for its magnitude, notwithstanding its unwieldiness.

The elevation of an object affects us no less than its magnitude: a high place is chosen for the statue of a deity or hero: a tree growing on the brink of a precipice looks charming when viewed from the plain below: a throne is erected for the chief magistrate; and a chair with a high seat for the president of a court. Among all nations, heaven is placed far above

us, hell far below us.

In some objects, greatness and elevation concur to make a complicated impression: the Alps and the Peak of Teneriss are proper examples; with the following difference, that in the former greatness seems to prevail, elevation in the latter.

The emotions raised by great and by elevated objects, are clearly distinguishable, not only in internal feeling, but even in their external expressions. A great

object

M

Longinus observes, that nature inclines us to admire, not a small rivalet, however clear and transparent, but the Nile, the Ister, the Rhine, or still more the ocean. The fight of a small fire produceth no emotion; but we are struck with the boiling surnaces of Ætna, pouring out whole, tivers of liquid slaves.

Transife of the Sublime, chap. 29.

object makes the spectator endeavour to enlarge his bulk; which is remarkable in plain people who give way to nature without reserve; in describing a great object, they naturally expand themselves by drawing in air with all their force. An elevated object produces a different expression: it makes the spectator stretch upward, and stand a-tiptoe.

Great and elevated objects confidered with relation to the emotions produced by them, are termed grand and fublime. Grandeur and fublimity have a double fignification: they commonly fignify the quality or circumftance in objects by which the emotions of grandeur and fublimity are produced; fometimes the emotions themselves.

In handling the prefent fubject, it is necessary that the impression made on the mind by the magnitude of an object, abstracting from its other qualities, should be afcertained. And because abstraction is a mental operation of fome difficulty, the fafeft method for judging is, to choose a plain object that is neither beautiful nor deformed, if fuch a one can be found. The plainest that occurs, is a huge mass of rubbish. the ruins, perhaps, of some extensive building, or a large heap of stones, such as are collected together for keeping in memory a battle or other remarkable event. Such an object, which in miniature would be perfectly indifferent, makes an impression by its magnitude, and appears agrecable. And supposing it so large, as to fill the eye, and to prevent the attention from wandering upon other objects, the impression it makes will be fo much the deeper.\*

But though a plain object of that kind be agreeable, it is not termed *grand*: it is not entitled to that character, unless, together with its fize, it be possessed

of

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix, Terms defined, feel. 33.

of other qualities that contribute to beauty, fuch as regularity, proportion, order, or colour: and according to the number of fuch qualities combined with magnitude, it is more or lefs grand. Thus, St Peter's church at Rome, the great pyramid of Egypt, the Alps towering above the clouds, a great arm of the fea, and above all, a clear and ferene sky, are grand, because, beside their size, they are beautiful in an eminent degree. On the other hand, an overgrown whale, having a difagreeable appearance, is not grand. A large building, agreeable by its regularity and proportions, is grand, and yet a much larger building destitute of regularity, has not the least tincture of grandeur. A fingle regiment in battle-array, makes a grand appearance; which the furrounding croud does not, though perhaps ten for one in number. And a regiment where the men are all in one livery, and the horses of one colour, makes a grander appearance, and confequently strikes more terror, than where there is confusion of colours and of dress. Thus greatness or magnitude is the circumstance that distinguishes grandeur from beauty: agreeableness is the genus, of which beauty and grandeur are species.

The emotion of grandeur, duly examined, will be found an additional proof of the foregoing doctrine. That this emotion is pleafant in a high degree, requires no other evidence but once to have feen a grand object; and if an emotion of grandeur be pleafant, its cause or object, as observed above, must infallibly

be agreeable in proportion.

The qualities of grandeur and beauty are not more distinct, than the emotions are which these qualities produce in a spectator. It is observed in the chapter immediately foregoing, that all the various emo-

tions of beauty have one common character, that of sweetness and gaiety. The emotion of grandeur has a different character: a large object that is agreeable, occupies the whole attention, and swells the heart into a vivid emotion, which, though extremely pleasant, is rather serious than gay. And this affords a good reason for distinguishing in language these different emotions. The emotions raised by colour, by regularity, by proportion, and by order, have such a resemblance to each other, as readily to come under one general term, viz. the emotion of beauty: but the emotion of grandeur is so different from these men-

tioned, as to merit a peculiar name.

Though regularity, proportion, order, and colour, contribute to grandeur, as well as to beauty, yet thefe qualities are not by far fo effential to the former as to To make out that proposition, some preliminaries are requifite. In the first place, the mind, not being totally occupied with a fmall object, can give its attention at the fame time to every minute part; but in a great or extensive object, the mind being totally occupied with the capital and striking parts, has no attention left for those that are little or indifferent. In the next place, two fimilar objects appear not fimilar when viewed at different distances; the fimilar parts of a very large object cannot be feen but at different distances; and for that reason, its regularity, and the proportion of its parts, are in some measure lost to the eye; neither are the irregularities of a very large object fo conspicuous as of one that is fmall. Hence it is, that a large object is not so agreeable by its regularity, as a small object; nor fo difagreeable by its irregularities.

These considerations make it evident, that grandeur is satisfied with a less degree of regularity and of the other qualities mentioned, than is requisite for

beauty; which may be illustrated by the following experiment. Approaching to a finall conical hill, we take an accurate furvey of every part, and are fenfible of the flightest deviation from regularity and proportion. Supposing the hill to be confiderably enlarged, fo as to make us less sensible of its regularity, it will, upon that account, appear less beautiful. It will not, however, appear less agreeable, because some slight emotion of grandeur, come in place of what is loft in beauty. And at last, when the hill is enlarged to a great mountain, the finall degree of beauty that is left, is funk in its grandeur. Hence it is, that a towering hill is delightful, if it have but the flightest resemblance of a cone; and a chain of mountains no less so, though deficient in the accuracy of order and proportion. We require a small furface to be smooth; but in an extensive plain, confiderable inequalities are overlooked. In a word, regularity, proportion, order, and colour, contribute to grandeur as well as to beauty; but with a remarkable difference, that in passing from small to great, they are not required in the same degree of perfection. This remark ferves to explain the extreme delight we have in viewing the face of nature, when fufficiently enriched and divertified with objects. The bulk of the objects in a natural landscape are beautiful, and some of them grand: a slowing river, a fpreading oak, a round hill, an extended plain, are delightful; and even a rugged rock or barren heath, though in themselves disagreeable, contribute by contrast to the beauty of the whole: joining to these, the verdure of the fields, the mixture of light and shade, and the fublime canopy spread over all; it will not appear wonderful, that so extensive a group of splendid objects should swell the heart to its utmost bounds, and raise the strongest emotion of grandeur. The spectator is conscious of an enthusiasm, which cannot bear confinement, nor the strictness of regularity and order: he loves to range at large; and is fo enchanted with magnificent objects, as to overlook flight beauties or deformities.

The fame observation is applicable in some meafure to works of art: in a fmall building, the flightest irregularity is disagreeable: but, in a magnificent palace, or a large Gothic church, irregularities are less regarded: in an epic poem we pardon many negligences that would not be permitted in a fonnet or epigram. Notwithstanding such exceptions, it may be justly laid down for a rule, That in works of art, order and regularity ought to be governing principles: and hence the observation of Longinus,\* "In works of art we have regard to exact proportion; in those of nature, to grandeur and magnificence."

The fame reflections are in a good measure applicable to fublimity; particularly, that, like grandeur, it is a species of agreeableness; that a beautiful object placed high, appearing more agreeable than formerly, produces in the spectator a new emotion, termed the emotion of fublimity; and that the perfection of order, regularity, and proportion, is less required in objects placed high, or at a distance, than at hand.

The pleafant emotion raifed by large objects, has

not escaped the poets;

—He doth bestride the narrow world Like a Colossius: and we petty men Walk under his huge legs.

Julius Cafar, all 1. fc. 3.

Cleopatra. I dreamt there was an Emp'ror Antony; Oh fuch another fleep, that I might fee But fuch another man! His

His face was as the heavens: and therein stuck A sun and moon, which kept their course, and lighted The little O o' th' earth.

His legs bestrid the ocean, his rear'd arm Crested the world.

Antony and Cleopatra, act 5. sc. 3.

Dies not alone, but, like a gulph, doth draw What's near it with it. It's a maffy wheel Fix'd on the fummit of the highest mount; To whose huge spokes, ten thousand lesser things Are mortis'd and adjoin'd, which when it falls, Each small annexment, petty consequence, Attends the boisstrous ruin.

Hamlet, act 3. fc. 3.

The poets have also made good use of the emotion produced by the elevated situation of an object:

Quod si me lyricis vatibus inferes, Sublimi feriam sidera vertice.

Horat. Carm. l. 1. ode 1.

Oh thou! the earthly author of my blood, Whose youthful spirit, in me regenerate, Doth with a twosold vigour list me up, To reach at victory above my head.

Richard II. act 1. fc. 4.

Northumberland, thou ladder wherewithal The mounting Bolingbroke afcends my throne. Kichard II. act 5. fc. 2.

Anthony. Why was I rais'd the meteor of the world, Hung in the skies, and blazing as I travell'd, Till all my fires were spent; and then cast downward To be trod out by Cæsar?

Dryden, All for love, act 1.

The

The description of Paradise in the fourth book of Paradise Lost, is a fine illustration of the impression made by elevated objects:

So on he fares, and to the border comes Of Eden, where delicious Paradife, Now nearer, crowns with her inclosure green, As with a rural mound, the champain head Of a steep wilderness; whose hairy sides With thicket overgrown, grotesque and wild, Access deny'd: and over head up grew Insuperable height of loftiest shade, Cedar, and pine, and fir, and branching palm, A fylvan scene; and as the ranks ascend, Shade above shade, a woody theatre Of stateliest view. Yet higher than their tops The verd'rous wall of Paradife up fprung; Which to our general fire gave prospect large Into his nether empire neighb'ring round. And higher than that wall a circling row Of goodliest trees, loaden with fairest fruit, Bloffoms and fruits at once of golden hue, Appear'd with gay enamell'd colours mix'd.

B. 4. l. 131.

Though a grand object is agreeable, we must not infer that a little object is disagreeable; which would be unhappy for man, confidering that he is furrounded with fo many objects of that kind. The fame holds with respect to place: a body placed high is agreeable; but the same body placed low, is not by that circumstance rendered disagreeable. Littleness and lowness of place are precifely similar in the following particular, that they neither give pleafure nor pain. And in this may visibly be discovered peculiar attention in fitting the internal constitution of man to his external circumstances: were littleness and lowness of place agreeable, greatness and elevation could not be fo: were littleness and lowness of

place

place disagreeable, they would occasion perpetual uneafiness.

The difference between great and little with respect to agreeableness, is remarkably felt in a series, when we pass gradually from the one extreme to the other. A mental progress from the capital to the kingdom, from that to Europe—to the whole earth to the planetary fystem—to the universe, is extremely pleafant: the heart fwells, and the mind is dilated, at every step. The returning in an opposite direction is not positively painful, though our pleasure lessens at every step, till it vanish into indisterence: fuch a progress may sometimes produce pleasure of a different fort, which arifes from taking a narrower and narrower infpection. The fame observation holds in a progress upward and downward. Afcent is pleafant because it elevates us: but descent is never painful; it is for the most part pleasant from a different cause, that it is according to the order of nature. The fall of a stone from any height is extremely agreeable by its accelerated motion. I feel it pleafant to descend from a mountain, because the descent is natural and easy. Neither is looking downward painful; on the contrary, to look down upon objects makes part of the pleasure of elevation: looking down becomes then only painful when the object is fo far below as to create dizzines; and even when that is the case, we feel a fort of pleasure mixed with the pain, witness Shakespear's description of Dover cliffs:

How fearful
And dizzy 'tis, to cast one's eye so low!
The crows and choughs, that wing the mid-way air,
Shew scarce so gross as beetles. Half-way down
Hangs one that gathers samphire; dreadful trade!
Methinks he seems no bigger than his head.
The

fined

The fishermen that walk upon the beach,
Appear like mice; and you tall anchoring bark
Diminish'd to her cock; her cock, a buoy
Almost too small for sight. The murmuring surge,
That on th' unnumber'd idle pebbles chases,
Cannot be heard so high. I'll look no more,
Lest my brain turn, and the deficient sight
Topple down headlong.

King Lear, alt 4. sc. 6.

A remark is made above, that the emotions of grandeur and fublimity are nearly allied. And hence it is, that the one term is frequently put for the other: an increasing series of numbers, for example, producing an emotion fimilar to that of mounting upward, is commonly termed an ascending series: a feries of numbers gradually decreafing, producing an emotion similar to that of going downward, is commonly termed a descending series: we talk famil-Tarly of going up to the capital, and of going down to the country: from a leffer kingdom we talk of going up to a greater; whence the anabasis in the Greek language, when one travels from Greece to Persia. We discover the same way of speaking in the language even of Japan;\* and its univerfality proves it the offspring of a natural feeling.

The foregoing observation leads us to consider grandeur and sublimity in a figurative sense, and as applicable to the sine arts. Hitherto these terms have been taken in their proper sense, as applicable to objects of sight only: and it was of importance to bestow some pains upon that article; because, generally speaking, the sigurative sense of a word is derived from its proper sense, which holds remarkably at present. Beauty in its original signification is con-

<sup>\*</sup> Kempfer's history of Japan, b. 5. ch. 2.

fined to objects of fight; but, as many other objects, intellectual as well as moral, raife emotions refembling that of beauty, the refemblance of the effects prompts us to extend the term beauty to these objects. This equally accounts for the terms grandeur and fublimity taken in a figurative fense. Every emotion, from whatever cause proceeding, that resembles an emotion of grandeur or elevation, is called by the fame name: thus generofity is faid to be an elevated emotion, as well as great courage; and that firmness of foul which is fuperior to misfortunes, obtains the peculiar name of magnanimity. On the other hand, every emotion that contracts the mind, and fixeth it upon things trivial or of no importance, is termed low, by its refemblance to an emotion produced by a little or low object of fight: thus an appetite for trifling amusements is called a low taste. The same terms are applied to characters and actions: we talk familiarly of an elevated genius, of a great man, and equally fo of littleness of mind: some actions are great and elevated, and others are little and groveling. Sentiments, and even expressions, are characterised in the fame manner: an expression or sentiment that raifes the mind is denominated great or elevated; and hence the SUBLIME\* in poetry. In fuch figurative terms, we lose the distinction between great and elevated.

<sup>\*</sup> Longinus gives a description of the sublime that is notamis, though far from being just in every circumstance, "That the mind is elevated by it, and so sensitive affected, as to swell in transport and inward pride, as for what is only heard or read, were its own invention." But he adheres not to this description; in his 6th chapter, he justly observes, that many passions have nothing of the grand, such as grief, sear, pity, which depress the mind instead of raising it; and vet in chap. 8. he mentions Sappho's ode upon love as sublime: beautiful it is undoubtedly, but it cannot be sublime, because it really depresses the mind instead of raising it. His translator Boileaux is not more successful in his instances: in his 10th reslection, he cites a passage from Demossheres and another from Herodotus as sublime, which have not the least tinesure of that quality.

elevated in their proper sense; for the resemblance is not fo entire as to preferve these terms distinct in their figurative application. We carry this figure still farther. Elevation in its proper fense, imports superiority of place; and lowners, inferiority of place: and hence a man of superior talents, of superior rank, of inferior parts, of inferior tafte, and fuch like. The veneration we have for our ancestors, and for the ancients in general, being fimilar to the emotion produced by an elevated object of fight, justifies the figurative expression, of the ancients being raised above us, or possessing a superior place. And we may remark in passing, that as words are intimately connected with ideas, many, by this form of expression, are led to conceive their ancestors as really above them in place, and their posterity below them:

A grandam's name is little less in love, Than is the doting title of a mother: They are as children but one step below. Richard III. act 4. fc. 5.

The notes of the gamut, proceeding regularly from the blunter or groffer founds to the more acute and piercing, produce in the hearer a feeling fomewhat fimilar to what is produced by mounting upward; and this gives occasion to the figurative expressions, a high note, a low note.

Such is the refemblance in feeling between real and figurative grandeur, that among the nations on the east coast of Afric, who are directed purely by nature, the officers of state are, with respect to rank, diffinguished by the length of the batoon each carries in his hand: and in Japan, princes and great lords flew their rank by the length and fize of their fedan-poles.

fedan-poles.\* Again, it is a rule in painting, that figures of a fmall fize are proper for grotefque pieces; but that an historical subject, grand and important, requires figures as great as the life. The resemblance of these feelings is in reality so strong, that elevation, in a figurative sense, is observed to have the same effect, even externally, with real elevation:

K. Henry. This day is call'd the feast of Crispian. He that outlives this day, and comes safe home, Will stand a-tiptoe when this day is nam'd, And rouse him at the name of Crispian.

Henry V. act 4. sc. 8.

The refemblance in feeling between real and figurative grandeur, is humoroufly illustrated by Addifon in criticifing upon English tragedy: "The ordinary method of making an hero, is to clap a huge plume of feathers upon his head, which rifes fo high, that there is often a greater length from his chin to the top of his head, than to the fole of his foot. One would believe, that we thought a great man and a tall man the fame thing. As these supersuous ornaments upon the head make a great man, a princefs generally receives her grandeur from those additional incumbrances that fall into her tail: I mean the broad fweeping train, that follows her in all her motions; and finds constant employment for a boy, who stands behind her to open and spread it to advantage. "The Scythians, impressed with the fame of Alexander, were aftonished when they found him a little man.

A gradual progress from small to great is no less remarkable in figurative, than in real grandeur or elevation,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Kempfer's history of Japan.

<sup>4</sup> Spedator, No. 43.

elevation. Every one must have observed the delightful effect of a number of thoughts or sentiments, artfully disposed like an ascending series, and making impressions deeper and deeper: such disposition of members in a period is termed a *climax*.

Within certain limits, grandeur and fublimity produce their strongest effects, which lessen by excess as well as by defect. This is remarkable in grandeur and fublimity taken in their proper fense: the grandest emotion that can be raised by a visible object, is where the object can be taken in at one view; if fo immense as not to be comprehended but in parts, it tends rather to distract than fatisfy the mind: \* in like manner, the strongest emotion produced by elevation, is where the object is feen distinctly; a greater elevation leslens in appearance the object, till it vanishes out of fight with its pleasant emotion. The fame is equally remarkable in figurative grandeur and elevation, which shall be handled together, because, as observed above, they are scarce distinguishable. Sentiments may be fo strained, as to become obscure, or to exceed the capacity of the human mind: against fuch licence of imagination, every good writer will be upon his guard. And therefore it is of greater importance to observe, that even the true sublime may be carried beyond that pitch which produces the highest entertainment: we are undoubtedly fufceptible of a greater elevation, than can be inspired by human actions, the most heroic and magnanimous; witness what we feel from Milton's description

<sup>\*</sup> It is justly observed by Addison, that perhaps a man would have been more assonished with the majestic air that appeared in one of Lysippus' statues of Alexander, though no bigger than the life, than he might have been with Mount Athes, had it been cut into the figure of the hero, according to the proposal of Phidias, with a river in one hand, and a city in the other.

Spectager, No. 415.

tion of fuperior beings: yet every man must be fenfible of a more constant and sweet elevation, when the history of his own species is the subject; he enjoys an elevation equal to that of the greatest hero, of an Alexander or a Cæfer, of a Brutus or an Epaminondas; he accompanies these heroes in their sublimest fentiments and most hazardous exploits, with a magnanimity equal to theirs; and finds it no stretch, to preferve the fame tone of mind, for hours together, without finking. The cafe is not the fame in describing the actions or qualities of superior beings: the reader's imagination cannot keep pace with that of the poet; the mind, unable to support itself in a strained elevation, falls as from a height; and the fall is immoderate, like the elevation: where that effect is not felt, it must be prevented by some obfcurity in the conception, which frequently attends the description of unknown objects. Hence the St. Francises, St. Dominics, and other tutelary faints, among the Roman Catholics. A mind unable to raife itself to the Supreme Being self-existent and eternal, or to support itself in a strained elevation, finds itself more at ease in using the intercession of fome faint whose piety and penances while on carth are supposed to have made him a favourite in heaven.

A strained elevation is attended with another inconvenience, that the author is apt to fall fuddenly as well as the reader; because it is not a little difficult, to defcend fweetly and eafily from fuch elevation, to the ordinary tone of the fubject. The following passage is a good illustration of that ob-

fervation:

Sæpe etiam immensum cœlo venit agmen aquarum, Et fædam glomerant tempestatem imbribus atris Conlecta ex alto nubes. Ruit arduus æther, Et pluvia ingenti fata læta boumque labores

Diluit.

Diluit. Inplentur fosse, et cava slumina crescunt Cum sonitu, servetque fretis spirantibus æquor. Ipse Pater, media nimborum in nocte, corusca Fulmina molitur dextra. Quo maxima motu Tera tremit: sugere feræ! et mortalia corda Per gentes humilis stravit pavor. Ille slagranti Aut Atho, aut Rhodopen, aut alta Ceraunia telo Dejicit: ingeminant austri, et denssssmus imber.

Virg. Georg. l. 1.

In the description of a storm, to figure Jupiter throwing down huge mountains with his thunder-bolts, is hyperbollically sublime, if I may use the expression: the tone of mind produced by that image is so distant from the tone produced by a thick shower of rain, that the sudden transition must be unpleasant.

Objects of fight that are not remarkably great nor high, scarce raise any emotion of grandeur or of sublimity: and the fame holds in other objects; for we often find the mind roufed and animated, without being carried to that height. This difference may be differend in many forts of music, as well as in some musical inftruments: a kettle drum rouses, and a hautboy is animating; but neither of them inspires an emotion of fublimity: revenge animates the mind in a confiderable degree; but I think it never produceth an emotion that can be termed grand or fublime; and I shall have occasion afterward to observe, that no difagreeable passion ever has that effect. am willing to put this to the test, by placing before my reader a most spirited picture of revenge: it is a speech of Antony wailing over the body of Cæsar:

Wo to the hand that fhed this coftly blood!

Over thy wounds now do I prophefy,
(Which, like dumb mouths, do ope their ruby lips,
To beg the voice and utterance of my tongue,)
A curfe shall light upon the kind of men;

Domestic.

Domestic fury, and sierce civil strife, Shall cumber all the parts of Italy; Blood and destruction shall be so in use; And dreadful objects so familiar, That mothers shall but smile when they behold Their infants quarter'd by the hand of war. All pity chok'd with custom of fell deeds, And Cæsar's spirit, ranging for revenge, With Atê by his side come hot from heil, Shall in these consines, with a monarch's voice, Cry, Havock! and let slip the dogs of war.

Julius Gæsar, act 3. sc. 4.

No defire is more universal than to be exalted and honoured; and upon that account chiefly are we ambitious of power, riches, titles, fame, which would fuddenly lose their relish, did they not raise us above others, and command submission and deference;\* and it may be thought that our attachment to thing; grand and lofty proceeds from their connection with our favourite passion. This connection has undoubtedly an effect; but that the preference given to things grand and lofty must have a deeper root in human nature, will appear from confidering, that many beflow their time upon low and trifling amusements, without having the least tincture of this favourite passion: yet these very persons talk the same language with the rest of mankind, and prefer the more elevated pleafures: they acknowledge a more refined taste, and are assamed of their own as low and groveling. This fentiment, confrant and universal, must be the work of nature; and it plainly indicates an criginal

<sup>\*</sup>Honestem per se esse expetendum indicant pueri, in quibus, ut in speculis, natura cernitur. Quanta studia decertant um sunt! Quanta ipsa certamina! Ut illi efferuntur lettita. cum vicerunt! Ut pudet victos! Ut se accusari nolunt! Ut cupiunt lauderi! Quos illi labores non perserunt, ut æqualium principes sint! Cicere de sinibas.

Vol., I.

original attachment in human nature to every object that elevates the mind: fome men may have a greater relish for an object not of the highest rank; but they are conscious of the preference given by mankind in general to things grand and sublime; and they are sensible that their peculiar taste ought to

yield to the general tafte.

What is faid above fuggests a capital rule for reaching the fublime in fuch works of art as are fufceptible of it; and that is, to prefent those parts or circumstances only which make the greatest figure, keeping out of view every thing low or trivial; for the mind, elevated by an important object, cannot, without reluctance, be forced down to bestow any share of its attention upon trifles. Such judicious felection of capital circumstances, is by an eminent critic styled grandeur of manner.\* In none of the fine arts is there fo great a fcope for that rule as in poetry; which, by that means, enjoys a remarkable power of bestowing upon objects and events an air of grandeur: when we are spectators, every minute object prefents itself in its order; but, in describing at fecond hand, these are laid aside, and the capital objects are brought close together. A judicious taste in thus felecting the most interesting incidents, to give them an united force, accounts for a fact that may appear furprifing; which is, that we are more moved by a spirited narrative at second hand, than by being spectators of the event itself, in all its circumstances.

Longinus exemplifies the foregoing rule by a comparison of two passages.† The first, from Aristæus, is thus translated:

Ye

<sup>\*</sup> Spectator, No. 415.

<sup>+</sup> Chap. 8. of the Sublime.

Ye pow'rs, what madness! how on ships so frail (Tremendous thought!) can thoughtless mortals sail? For stormy seas they quit the pleasing plain, Plant woods in waves, and dwell amidst the main. Far o'er the deep (a trackless path) they go, And wander oceans in pursuit of wo. No ease their hearts, no rest their eyes can find, On heaven their looks, and on the waves their mind, Sunk are their spirits, while their arms they rear, And gods are wearied with their fruitless prayer.

The other, from Homer, I shall give in Pope's translation:

Burst as a wave that from the cloud impends, And swell'd with tempests on the ship descends, White are the decks with foam: the winds aloud Howl o'er the masts, and sing through every shroud. Pale, trembling, tir'd, the sailors freeze with sears, And instant death on every wave appears.

In the latter passage, the most striking circumstances are selected to fill the mind with terror and astonishment. The former is a collection of minute and low circumstances which scatter the thought, and make no impression: it is at the same time full of verbal antitheses and low conceit, extremely improper in a scene of distress. But this last observation belongs to another head.

The following description of a battle is remarkably sublime, by collecting together, in the fewest words, those circumstances which make the greatest

figure.

Like Autumn's dark storms pouring from two echoing hills, toward each other approached the heroes: as two dark streams from high rocks meet and roar on the plain, loud, rough, and dark in battle, meet Lochlin and Inisfail.

Chief mixes his strokes with chief, and man with man: steel founds on steel, and helmets are cleft on high; blood bursts and fmekes around: strings murmur on the polish'd yew: darts rush along the sky: spears fall like sparks of

flame that gild the flormy face of night.

As the noise of the troubled ocean when roll the waves on high, as the last peal of thundering heaven, such is the noise of battle. Though Cormac's hundred bards were there, feeble were the voice of a hundred bards to fend the deaths to future times; for many were the deaths of the heroes, and wide poured the blood of the valiant.

Fingal.

The following paffage in the 4th book of the Iliad is a description of a battle wonderfully ardent. "When now gathered on either fide, the hofts plunged together in fight; shield is harshly laid to shield; spears crash on the brazen corssets; bosfy buckler with buckler meets; loud tumult rages over all; groans are mixed with boafts of men; the flain and flayer join in noise; the earth is floating round with blood. As when two rushing streams from two mountains come roaring down, and throw together their rapid waters below, they roar along the gulphy vale. The startled shepherd hears the found. as he stalks o'er the distant hills; so, as they mixed in fight, from both armies clamour with loud terror arose." But such general descriptions are not frequent in Homer. Even his fingle combats are rare. The fifth book is the longest account of a battle that is in the Iliad; and yet contains nothing but a long catalogue of chiefs killing chiefs, not in fingle combat neither, but at a diffance, with an arrow or javelin; and these chiefs named for the first time and the last. The same scene is continued through a great part of the fixth book. There is at the fame time a minute description of every wound, which for accuracy may do honour to an anatomist, but in an epic poem

poem is tirefome and fatiguing. There is no relief from horrid languor, but the beautiful Greek lan-

guage, and melody of Homer's verification.

In the twenty-first book of the Odysley, there is a passage which deviates widely from the rule above laid down: it concerns that part of the history of Penelope and her suitors, in which she is made to declare in favour of him who should prove the most dextrous in shooting with the bow of Ulysses:

Now gently winding up the fair ascent, By many an eafy step, the matron went: Then o'er the pavement glides with grace divine, (With polith'd oak the level pavements shine;) The folding gates a dazzling light display d, With pomp of various architrave o'erlay'd. The bolt, obedient to the filken ftring, Forfakes the staple as she pulls the ring; The wards respondent to the key turn'd round; The bars fall back; the flying valves refound. Loud as a bull makes hill and valley ring; So roard the lock when it releas'd the spring. She moves majestic through the wealthy room Where treasur'd garments cast a rich persume; There from the column where aloft it hung, Reach'd in its splendid case, the bow unstrung.

Virgil fometimes errs against this rule: in the following passages minute circumstances are brought into full view; and, what is still worse, they are described with all the pomp of poetical diction; *Eneid*, L. 1. 1. 214. to 219. L. 6. 1. 176. to 182. L. 6. 1. 212. to 231. and the last, which describes a funeral, is the less excusable, as the man whose funeral it is makes no figure in the poem.

The

The speech of Clytemnestra, descending from her chariot in the Iphigenia of Euripidies,\* is stuffed with a number of common and trivial circumstances.

But of all writers, Lucan, as to this article, is the most injudicious: the fea-fight between the Romans and Massillians,† is described so much in detail, without exhibiting any grand or total view, that the reader is fatigued with endless circumstances, without ever feeling any degree of elevation; and yet there are some sine incidents, those for example of the two brothers, and of the old man and his son, which, taken separately, would affect us greatly. But Lucan, once engaged in a description, knows no end. See other passages of the same kind, L. 4. l. 292. to 337. L. 4. l. 750. to 765. The episode of the forceress Erictho, end of book 6. is intolerably minute and prolix.

To these I venture to oppose a passage from an old

historical ballad:

Go. little page, tell Hardiknute
That lives on hill fo high,‡
To draw his fword, the dread of faes,
And hafte to follow me.

The little page flew fwift as dart
Flung by his mafter's arm.
"Come down, come down, Lord Hardiknute,
"And rid your king from harm."

This rule also is applicable to other fine arts. In painting it is established, that the principal figure must be put

<sup>\*</sup> Beginning of act 3.

<sup>+</sup> Lib. 3. beginning at line 567.

<sup>\$</sup> High, in the old Scotch language, is prenounced hee.

put in the strongest light; that the beauty of attitude consists in placing the nobler parts most in view, and in suppressing the smaller parts as much as possible; that the folds of the drapery must be few and large; that foreshortenings are bad, because they make the parts appear little; and that the muscles ought to be kept as entire as possible, without being divided into small sections. Every one at present subscribes to that rule as applied to gardening, in opposition to parterres split into a thousand small parts in the stiffest regularity of sigure. The most eminent architects have governed themselves by the same rule in all their works.

Another rule chiefly regards the fublime, though it is applicable to every fort of literary performance intended for amusement; and that is, to avoid as much as possible abstract and general terms. Such terms, similar to mathematical signs, are contrived to express our thoughts in a concise manner; but images, which are the life of poetry, cannot be raised in any perfection but by introducing particular objects. General terms that comprehend a number of individuals, must be excepted from that rule: our kindred, our clan, our country, and words of the like import, though they scarce raise any image, have however a wonderful power over our passions: the greatness of the complex object overbalances the obscurity of the image.

Grandeur, being an extreme vivid emotion, is not readily produced in perfection but by reiterated impressions. The effect of a single impression can be but momentary; and if one feel suddenly somewhat like a swelling or exaltation of mind, the emotion vanisheth as soon as felt. Single thoughts or sentiments, I know, are often cited as examples of the sublime; but their effect is far inferior to that of a

N 4 grand

grand subject displayed in its capital parts. I shall give a few examples, that the reader may judge for himself. In the famous action of Thermopylæ, where Leonidas the Spartan king, with his chosen band, sighting for their country, were cut off to the last man, a saying is reported of Dieneces, one of the band, which, expressing cheerful and undisturbed bravery, is well entitled to the first place in examples of that kind. Respecting the number of their enemies, it was observed, that the arrows shot by such a multitude would intercept the light of the sun. So much the better, says he, for we shall then sight in the shade.\*

Somerfet. Ah! Warwick, Warwick, wert thou as we are,

We might recover all our lofs again.

The Queen from France bath brought a puissant power, Ev'n now we heard the news. Ah! coulds thou fly! Warwick. Why, then I would not fly.

Third part, Henry VI. act 5. fc. 3.

Such a fentiment from a man expiring of his wounds, is truly heroic, and must elevate the mind to the greatest height that can be done by a fingle expression: it will not suffer in a comparison with the famous sentiment Qu'il mourut of Corneille: the latter is a sentiment of indignation merely, the former of firm and cheerful courage.

To cite in opposition many a sublime passage, enriched with the finest images, and dressed in the most nervous expressions, would scarce be fair: I shall produce but one instance from Shakespear, which sets a few objects before the eye, without much pomp of language: it operates its essential by representing these objects

<sup>\*</sup> Heradotus, book 7.

objects in a climax, raifing the mind higher and higher till it feel the emotion of grandeur in perfection:

> ·The cloud-capt fowr's, the gorgeous palaces, The folemn temples, the great globe itself, Yea all which it inherit, shall distolve, &c.

The cloud-capt tower's produce an elevating emotion, heightened by the gorgeous palaces; and the mind is carried still higher and higher by the images that follow. Successive images, making thus deeper and deeper impressions, must elevate more than any single

image can do.

As, on the one hand, no means directly applied have more influence to raife the mind than grandeur and fublimity; fo, on the other, no means indirectly applied have more influence to fink and deprefs it: for in a state of elevation, the artful introduction of an humbling object, makes the fall great in proportion to the elevation. Of this observation Shakefpear gives a beautiful example, in the passage last quoted:

> The cloud-capt tow'rs, the gorgeous palaces, The folemn temples, the great globe itself. Yea all which it inherit, fhall dissolve, And, like the baseless fabric of a vision, Leave not a wreck behind.—

> > Tempest, act 4. sc. 4.

The elevation of the mind in the former part of this beautiful paffage, makes the fall great in proportion, when the most humbling of all images is introduced, that of an utter diffolution of the earth and its inhabitants. The mind, when warmed, is more fufseptible of impressions than in a cool state; and a depressing

depressing or melancholy object listened to, makes the strongest impression when it reaches the mind in its

highest state of elevation or cheerfulness.

But a humbling image is not always necessary to produce that effect: a remark is made above, that, in describing superior beings, the reader's imagination, unable to support itself in a strained elevation, falls often as from a height, and finks even below its ordinary tone. The following instance comes luckily in view; for a better cannot be given: "God faid, Let there be light, and there was light." Longinus quotes this passage from Moses as a shining example of the fublime; and it is fcarce possible, in fewer words, to convey fo clear an image of the infinite power of the Deity: but then it belongs to the prefent fubject to remark, that the emotion of fublimity raised by this image is but momentary; and that the mind, unable to support itself in an elevation fo much above nature, immediately finks down into humility and veneration for a being so far exalted above groveling mortals. Every one is acquainted with a difpute, about that passage between two French critics,\* the one positively affirming it to be sublime, the other as positively denying. What I have remarked shows that both of them have reached the truth, but neither of them the whole truth: the primary effect of the paffage is undoubtedly an emotion of grandeur; which fo far justifies Boileau: but then every one must be sensible, that the emotion is merely a flash, which, vanishing instantaneously, gives way to humility and veneration. That indirect effect of fublimity justifies Huet, who, being a man of true piety, and probably not much carried by imagination, felt the humbling passion more sensibly than his antagonist did. And, laying

laying afide difference of character, Huets opinion may, I think, be defended as the more folid; because in such images, the depressing emotions are the more sensibly felt, and have the longer endurance.

The straining an elevated subject beyond due bounds, is a vice not fo frequent as to require the correction of criticism. But false sublime is a rock that writers of more fire than judgment commonly fplit on; and therefore a collection of examples may be of use as a beacon to future adventurers. fpecies of false sublime, known by the name of bombast, is common among writers of a mean genius: it is a ferious endeavour, by strained description, to raise a low or familiar fubject above its rank; which, inflead of being fublime, becomes ridiculous. I am extremely fenfible how prone the mind is, in fome animating passions, to magnify its objects beyond natural bounds: but fuch hyperbolical description has its limits; and, when carried beyond the impulse of the propenfity, it degenerates into burlefque. Take the following examples.

Sejanus. Great and high The world knows only two, that's Rome and I. My roof receives me not; 'tis air I tread, And at each step I feel my advanc'd head Knock out a star in heav'n.

Sejanus, Ben Johnson, act 5.

A writer who has no natural elevation of mind, deviates readily into bombast: he strains above his natural powers; and the violent effort carries him beyond the bounds of propriety. Boileau expresses this happily:

L'autre à peur de ramper, il se perd dans la nue.\*

The fame author, Ben Johnson, abounds in the bombast:

----The mother. Th' expulsed Apicata, finds them there; Whom when the faw lie spread on the degrees, After a world of fury on herself. Tearing her hair, defacing of her face, Beating her breasts and womb, kneeling amaz'd. Crying to heav'n, then to them; at last Her drowned voice got up above her woes: And with fuch black and bitter execrations, (As might affright the gods, and force the fun Run backward to the east; nay, make the old Deformed chaos rife again t' o'erwhelm Them, us, and all the world,) fhe fills the air, Upbraids the heavens with their partial dooms, Defies their tyrannous powers, and demands What the and those poor innocents have transgress'd, That they must suffer such a share in vengeance. Sejanus, act 5. fc. las.

Lentulus, the man,
If all our fire were out, would fetch down new
Out of the hand of Jove; and rivet him
To Caucafus, should he but frown; and let
His own gaunt eagle fly at him to tire.

Catiline, act 3.

Can these, or such, be any aid to us?
Look they as they were built to shake the world,
Or be a moment to our enterprise?
A thousand, such as they are, could not make
One atom of our souls. They should be men
Worth heaven's fear, that looking up but thus,
Would make Jove stand upon his guard, and draw
Himself within his thunder; which, amaz'd,
He should discharge in vain, and they unhurt.
Or, if they were, like Capaneus at Thebes,
They should hang dead upon the highest spires
And ask the second bolt to be thrown down.

Why Lentulus talk you fo long? This time Had been enough t'have scatter'd all the stars, T'have quench'd the fun and moon, and made the world Despair of day, or any light but ours.

Catiline, ast 4.

This is the language of a madman:

Guildford. Give way, and let the gushing torrent come, Behold the tears we bring to fwell the deluge, Till the flood rife upon the guilty world And make the ruin common.

Lady Jane Gray, alt 4. near the end.

I am forry to observe that the following bombast stuff dropt from the pen of Dryden.

> To fee this fleet upon the ocean move, Angels drew wide the curtains of the skies; And heaven, as if there wanted lights above, For tapers made two glaring comets rife.

Another species of false sublime is still more faulty than bombast; and that is, to force elevation by introducing imaginary beings without preferving any propriety in their actions; as if it were lawful to alcribe every extravagance and inconfiftence to beings of the poet's creation. No writer's are more licentious in that article than Johnson and Dryden:

Methinks I sce Death and the furies waiting What we will do, and all the heaven at leifure For the great spectacle. Draw then your swords: And if our destiny envy our virtue The honour of the day, yet let us care To fell ourfelves at fuch a price, as may Undo the world to buy us, and make Fate, While she tempts ours, to fear her own estate.

Catiline, all 5.

The furies flood on hill
Circling the place, and trembled to fee men
Do more than they; whilft Piety left the field,
Griev'd for that fide, that in fo bad a cause
They knew not what a crime their valour was.
The fun flood still, and was, behind the cloud
The battle made, seen sweating to drive up
His frighted horse, whom still the noise drove backward.

Ibid. act 5.

Ofmyn. While we indulge our common happiness, He is forgot by whom we all possess, The brave Almanzor, to whose arms we owe All that we did, and all that we shall do; Who like a tempest that outrides the wind, Made a just battle ere the bodies join'd.

Abdalla. His victories we scarce could keep in view, Or polish 'em so fast as he rough drew.

Abdemelech. Fate after him below with pain did move, And victory could scarce keep pace above.

Death did at length so many slain forget, And lost the tale, and took 'em by the great.

Conquest of Grenada, act 2. at beginning.

The gods of Rome fight for ye; loud Fame calls ye, Pitch'd on the toples Apenine, and blows
To all the under world, all nations
The feas, and unfrequented defarts, where the fnow dwells, Wakens the ruin'd monuments, and there,
Where nothing but eternal death and fleep is,
Informs again the dead bones.

Beaument and Fletcher, Bonduca, act 3. sc. 3.

An actor on the stage may be guilty of bombast as well as an author in his closet; a certain manner of acting, which is grand when supported by dignity in the sentiment and force in the expression, is ridiculous where the sentiment is mean, and the expression.

fion flat.

This chapter shall be closed with some observa-When the fublime is carried to its due height, and circumfcribed within proper bounds, it enchants the mind, and raises the most delightful of all emotions: the reader engrossed by a sublime object, feels himself raised as it were to a higher rank. Considering that effect, it is not wonderful that the history of conquerors and heroes, should be universally the favourite entertainment. And this fairly accounts for what I once erroneously suspected to be a wrong bias originally in human nature; which is, that the groffest acts of oppression and injustice scarce blemish the character of a great conqueror: we, neverthelefs, warmly espouse his interest, accompany him in his exploits, and are anxious for his fuccess: the fplendour and enthusiasin of the hero transfused into the readers, elevate their minds far above the rules of justice, and render them in a great measure infensible of the wrongs that are committed:

For in those days might only shall be admir'd, And valour and heroic virtue call'd;
To overcome in battle, and subdue
Nations, and bring home spoils with infinite
Manslaughter, shall be held the highest pitch
Of human glory, and for glory done
Of triumph, to be styl'd great conquerors,
Patrons of mankind, gods, and sons or gods;
Destroyers rightlier call'd, and plagues of men.
Thus fame shall be achiev'd, renown on earth,
And what most merits fame in silence hid.

Milton, b. 11.

The irregular influence of grandeur reaches also to other matters: however good, honest, or useful, a man may be, he is not so much respected as is one of a more elevated character, though of less integri-

ty; nor do the misfortunes of the former affect us fo much as those of the latter. And I add, because it cannot be disguised, that the remorse which attends breach of engagement, is in a great measure proportioned to the sigure that the injured person makes: the vows and protestations of lovers are an illustrious example; for these commonly are little regarded when made to women of inferior rank.

CHAP.

## CHAP. V.

## Motion and Force.

THAT motion is agreeable to the eye without relation to purpose or design, may appear from the amusement it gives to infants: juvenile exercises are relished chiefly on that account.

If a body in motion be agreeable, one will be apt to conclude that at rest it must be disagreeable: but we learn from experience, that this would be a rash conclusion. Rest is one of those circumstances that are neither agreeable nor difagreeable, being viewed with perfect indifferency. And happy is it for mankind to have the matter so ordered: if rest were agreeable, it would difincline us to motion, by which all things are performed: if it were disagreeable, it would be a fource of perpetual uneafiness; for the bulk of the things we see appear to be at rest. A similar instance of defigning wildom I have had occasion to explain, in opposing grandeur to littleness, and elevation to lowness of place.\* Even in the simplest matters, the finger of God is conspicuous: the happy adjustment of the internal nature of man to his external circumstances, displayed in the instances here given, is indeed admirable.

Motion is agreeable in all its varieties of quickness and slowness; but motion long continued admits some exceptions. That degree of continued motion which corresponds to the natural course of our personal courses,

ceptions, is the most agreeable. The quickest motion is for an instant delightful; but soon appears to be too rapid: it becomes painful by forcibly accelerating the course of our perceptions. Slow continued motion becomes disagreeable from an opposite cause, that it retards the natural course of our perceptions.\*

There are other varieties in motion, beside quickness and slowness, that make it more or less agreeable: regular motion is preferred before what is irregular; witness the motion of the planets in orbits nearly circular: the motion of the comets in orbits less regular,

is less agreeable.

Motion uniformly accelerated, refembling an afcending feries of numbers, is more agreeable than when uniformly retarded: motion upward is agreeable, by tendency to elevation. What then shall we say of downward motion regularly accelerated by the force of gravity, compared with upward motion regularly retarded by the same force? Which of these is the most agreeable? This question is not easily solved.

Motion in a straight line is agreeable: but we prefer undulating motion, as of waves, of a slame, of a ship under sail; such motion is more free, and also more natural. Hence the beauty of a serpentine river.

The eafy and fliding motion of a fluid, from the lubricity of its parts, is agreeable upon that account: but the agreeableness chiefly depends on the following circumstance, that the motion is perceived, not as of one body, but as of an endless number moving together with order and regularity. Poets struck with that beauty, draw more images from sluids in motion than from solids.

Force is of two kinds; one quiescent, and one exerted in motion. The former, dead weight for example, must be laid aside; for a body at rest is not,

by

<sup>#</sup> This will be explained more fully afterward, ch. 9.

by that circumstance, either agreeable or disagreeable. Moving force only is my province; and, though it is not separable from motion, yet by the power of abstraction, either of them may be considered independent of the other. Both of them are agreeable, because both of them include activity. It is agreeable to see a thing move: to see it moved, as when it is dragged or pushed along, is neither agreeable nor disagreeable, more than when at rest. It is agreeable to see a thing exert force; but it makes not the thing either agreeable or disagreeable to see force exerted upon it.

Though motion and force are each of them agreeable, the impressions they make are different. This difference, clearly felt, is not easily described. All we can say is, that the emotion raised by a moving body, resembling its cause, is felt as if the mind were carried along: the emotion raised by force exerted, resembling also its cause, is felt as if force were exert-

ed within the mind.

To illustrate that difference, I give the following examples. It has been explained why smoke ascending in a calm day, suppose from a cottage in a wood, is an agreeable object;\* so remarkably agreeable, that landscape painters introduce it upon all occasions. The ascent being natural, and without effort, is pleasant in a calm state of mind: it resembles a gently-slowing river, but is more agreeable, because ascent is more to our taste than descent. A fire-work or a jet d'eau rouses the mind more; because the beauty of force visibly exerted, is superadded to that of upward motion. To a man reclining indolently upon a bank of slowers, ascending smoke in a still morning is charming; but a fire-work or a jet d'eau rouses him from that supine posture, and puts him in motion.

A jet d'eau makes an impression distinguishable from that of a water fall. Downward motion being natural and without effort, tends rather to quiet the mind than to rouse it: upward motion, on the contrary, overcoming the resistance of gravity, makes an impression of a great effort, and thereby rouses and enlivens the mind.

The public games of the Greeks and Romans, which gave so much entertainment to the spectators, consisted chiefly in exerting force, wrestling, leaping, throwing great stones, and such-like trials of strength. When great force is exerted, the effort felt internally is animating. The effort may be such, as in some measure to overpower the mind: thus the explosion of gun-powder, the violence of a torrent, the weight of a mountain, and the crush of an earthquake, create astonishment rather than pleasure.

No quality nor circumstance contributes more to grandeur than force, especially where exerted by sensible beings. I cannot make the observation more

evident than by the following quotations.

Him the Almighty power
Hurl'd headlong flaming from th' ethereal sky,
With hideous ruin and combustion, down
To bottomless perdition, there to dwell
In adamantine chains and penal fire,
Who durst defy th' Omnipotent to arms.

Paradife Loft, book 1.

Now storming fury rose,
And clamour such as heard in heaven till now
Was never; arms on armour clashing bray'd
Horrible discord, and the madding wheels
Of brazen chariots rag'd; dire was the noise
Of conslict; over head the dismal his
Of fiery darts in flaming vollics slew,
And slying vaulted either host with fire.
So under fiery cope together rush'd
Both battles main, with ruinous assault

And inextinguishable rage; all heaven Resounded; and had earth been then, all earth Had to her center shook.

Ibid. book 6.

They ended parle, and both address'd for fight Unspeakable; for who, though with the tongue Of angels, can relate, or to what things Liken on earth conspicuous, that may lift Human imagination to fuch height Of god-like pow'r? for likest gods they seem'd, Stood they or mov'd, in stature, motion, arms, Fit to decide the empire of great Heav'n. Now wav'd their fiery fwords, and in the air Made horrid circles: two broad funs their shields Blaz'd opposite, while expectation stood In horror: from each hand with speed retir'd, Where erst was thickest fight, th' angelic throng, And left large field, unfafe within the wind Of fuch commotion; fuch as, to fet forth Great things by fmall, if Nature's concord broke, Among the constellations war were sprung, Two planets, rushing from aspect malign Of fiercest opposition, in mid sky Should combat, and their jarring spheres confound. Ibid. book 6.

We shall next consider the effect of motion and force in conjunction. In contemplating the planetary system, what strikes us the most, is the spherical figures of the planets, and their regular motions; the conception we have of their activity and enormous bulk being more obscure: the beauty accordingly of that system, raises a more lively emotion than its grandeur. But if we could comprehend the whole system at one view, the activity and irresistible force of these immense bodies would fill us with amazement: nature cannot furnish another scene so grand.

Motion

Motion and force, agreeable in themselves, are alfo agreeable by their utility when employed as means to accomplish some beneficial end. Hence the superior beauty of some machines, where force and motion concur to perform the work of numberless hands. Hence the beautiful motions, firm and regular, of a horse trained for war: every single step is the fittest that can be, for obtaining the purposed But the grace of motion is visible chiefly in man, not only for the reasons mentioned, but because every gesture is fignificant. The power however of agreeable motion is not a common talent: every limb of the human body has an agreeable and difagreeable motion; fome motions being extremely graceful, others plain and vulgar; fome expressing dignity, others meannefs. But the pleasure here, arifing, not fingly from the beauty of motion, but from indicating character and fentiment, belongs to different chapters.\*

I should conclude with the final cause of the relish we have for motion and force, were it not so evident as to require no explanation. We are placed here in such circumstances as to make industry essential to our well-being; for without industry the plainest necessaries of life are not obtained. When our situation, therefore, in this world requires activity and a constant exertion of motion and force, Providence indulgently provides for our welfare by making these agreeable to us: it would be a gross impersection in our nature, to make any thing disagreeable that we depend on for existence: and even indifference would slacken greatly that degree of activity which is

indifpenfable.

## C H A P. VI.

## Novelty, and the unexpected appearance of objects.

OF all the circumstances that raise emotions, not excepting beauty, nor even greatness, novelty hath the most powerful influence. A new object produceth instantaneously an emotion termed wonder, which totally occupies the mind, and for a time excludes all other objects. Converfation among the vulgar never is more interesting than when it turns upon strange objects and extraordinary events. Men tear themselves from their native country in search of things rare and new; and novelty converts into a pleafure, the fatigues and even perils of travelling. To what cause shall we ascribe these singular appearances? To curiofity undoubtedly, a principle implanted in human nature for a purpose extremely beneficial, that of acquiring knowledge; and the emotion of wonder, raifed by new and strange objects, inflames our curiofity to know more of them. This emotion is different from admiration: novelty wherever found, whether in a quality or action, is the cause of wonder; admiration is directed to the perfon who performs any thing wonderful.

During infancy, every new object is probably the occasion of wonder, in some degree; because, during infancy, every object at first fight is strange as well as new: but as objects are rendered familiar by custom, we cease by degrees to wonder at new appearances, if they have any resemblance to what we are acquainted with; for a thing must be singular as

well

well as new, to raife our wonder. To fave multiplying words, I would be understood to comprehend both circumstances when I hereafter talk of novelty.

In an ordinary train of perceptions where one thing introduces another, not a fingle object makes its appearance unexpectedly:\* the mind thus prepared for the reception of its objects, admits them one after another without perturbation. But when a thing breaks in unexpectedly, and without the preparation of any connection, it raises an emotion, known by the name of furprise. That emotion may be produced by the most familiar object, as when one unexpectedly meets a friend who was reported to be dead; or a man in high life lately a beggar. On the other hand, a new object, however strange, will not produce the emotion, if the spectator be prepared for the fight: an elephant in India will not furprise a traveller who goes to see one; and yet its novelty will raife his wonder: an Indian in Britain would be much furprifed to stumble upon an elephant feeding at large in the open fields: but the creature itself, to which he was accustomed, would not raise his wonder.

Surprise thus in several respects differs from wonder: unexpectedness is the cause of the former emotion; novelty is the cause of the latter. Nor differ they less in their nature and circumstances, as will be explained by and by. With relation to one circumstance they perfectly agree; which is, the shortness of their duration: the instantaneous production of these emotions in perfection, may contribute to that effect, in conformity to a general law, That things soon decay which soon come to perfection: the violence of the emotions may also contribute;

for an ardent emotion, which is not fusceptible of increase, cannot have a long course. But their short duration is occasioned chiefly by that of their causes: we are soon reconciled to an object, however unexpected; and novelty soon degenerates into samili-

arity.

Whether these emotions be pleasant or painful, is not a clear point. It may appear strange, that our own feelings and their capital qualities, should afford any matter for a doubt: but when we are engroffed by any emotion, there is no place for speculation; and when fufficiently calm for speculation, it is not eafy to recal the emotion with accuracy. New objects are fometimes terrible, fometimes delightful: The terror which a tyger inspires is greatest at first, and wears off gradually by familiarity: on the other hand, even women will acknowledge that it is novelty which pleases the most in a new fashion. It would be rash however to conclude, that wonder is in itself neither pleasant nor painful, but that it assumes either quality according to circumstances. An object it is true, that hath a threatening appearance, adds to our terror by its novelty: but from that experiment it doth not follow, that novelty is in itself disagreeable; for it is perfectly consistent, that we be delighted with an object in one view, and terrified with it in another: a river in flood swelling over its banks, is a grand and delightful object; and yet it may produce no small degree of fear when we attempt to cross it : courage and magnanimity are agreeable; and yet, when we view these qualities in an enemy, they serve to increase our terror. In the fame manner, novelty may produce two effects clearly distinguishable from each other: it may, directly and in itself, be agreeable; and it may have an opposite effect indirectly, which is, to inspire terror; for when a new object appears in any degree dangerous, our ignorance of its powers and qualities, affords ample scope for the imagination to dress it in the most frightful colours.\* The first fight of a lion, for example, may at the same instant produce two opposite feelings, the pleasant emotion of wonder, and the painful passion of terror: the novelty of the object produces the former directly, and contributes to the latter indirectly. Thus, when the fubject is analysed, we find, that the power which novelty hath indirectly to inflame terror, is perfectly confiftent with its being in every circumstance agreeable. The matter may be put in the clearest light, by adding the following circumstances. If a lion be first seen from a place of fafety, the spectacle is altogether agreeable without the least mixture of terror. If, again, the first fight puts us within reach of that dangerous animal, our terror may be fo great as quite to exclude any fense of novelty. But this fact proves not that wonder is painful: it proves only, that wonder may be excluded by a more powerful passion. Every man may be made certain from his own experience, that wonder raifed by a new object which is inoffenfive, is always pleafant; and with respect to offensive objects, it appears from the foregoing deduction, that the fame must hold as long as the spectator can attend to the novelty.

Whether furprife be in itself pleasant or painful, is a question no less intricate than the former. It is certain that surprise inslames our joy, when unexpectedly we meet with an old friend, and our terror when we stumble upon any thing noxious. To clear that question, the first thing to be remarked is, that in some

<sup>\*</sup> Essays on the Principles of Morality and Natural Religion, part 2. eff. 6.

fome inflances an unexpected object overpowers the mind, fo as to produce a momentary stupesaction: where the object is dangerous, or appears so, the sudden alarm it gives, without preparation, is apt totally to unhinge the mind, and for a moment to suspend all its faculties, even thought itself;\* in which state a man is quite helpless; and if he move at all, is as like to run upon the danger as from it. Surprise carried to such a height, cannot be either pleasant or painful; because the mind, during such momentary stupesaction, is in a good measure, if not totally, insensible.

If we then inquire for the character of this emotion, it must be where the unexpected object or event produceth less violent effects. And while the mind remains fensible of pleasure and pain, is it not natural to suppose, that surprise, like wonder, should have an invariable character? I am inclined however to think, that furprise has no invariable character, but asfumes that of the object which raifes it. Wonder being an emotion invariably raifed by novelty, and being distinguishable from all other emotions, ought naturally to possess one constant character. The unexpected appearance of an object, feems not equally entitled to produce an emotion distinguishable from that which is produced by the object in its ordinary appearance: the effect it ought naturally to have, is only to fwell that emotion, by making it more pleafant or more painful than it commonly is. And that conjecture is confirmed by experience, as well as by language, which is built upon experience: when a man meets a friend unexpectedly, he is faid to be agreeably furprifed; and when he meets an enemy unexpectedly, he is faid to be difagreeably furprifed.

It

It appears, then, that the fole effect of surprise is to fwell the emotion raised by the object. And that effect can be clearly explained: a tide of connected perceptions glide gently into the mind, and produce no perturbation; but an object breaking in unexpectedly, founds an alarm, rouses the mind out of its calm state, and directs its whole attention to the object, which, if agreeable becomes doubly fo. Several circumstances concur to produce that effect: on the one hand, the agitation of the mind, and its keen attention, prepare it in the most effectual manner for receiving a deep impression: on the other hand, the object, by its sudden and unforeseen appearance, makes an impression, not gradually as expected objects do, but as at one stroke with its whole force. The circumstances are precisely similar where the object is in itself disagreeable.\*

The

A third may be added, no less memorable. In the year 846, an obflinate battle was fought between Xamire King of Leon, and Abdeulrahman the Moorish King of Spain. After a very long conslict, the night only prevented the Arabians from obtaining a complete victory. The King of Leon, taking advantage of the darkness, retreated to a neighbouring hill, leaving the Arabians masters of the field of battle.

 $_{
m Next}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> What the Mareschal Saxe terms le cœur humain is no other than fear occasioned by surprise. It is owing to that cause that an ambush is generally so destructive: intelligence of it beforehand renders it harmlefs. The Mareschal gives from Cæsar's Commentaries two examples of what he calls le caur humain. At the fiege of Amiens by the Gauls, Cæsar came up with his army, which did not exceed 7000 men, and began to intrench himself in such hurry, that the barbarians, judging him to be afraid, attacked his intrenchments with great spirit. During the time they were filling up the disch, he issued out with his cohorts; and, by attacking them unexpectedly, struck a panic that made them sly with precipitation, not a fingle man offering to make a fland. At the siege of Alesia, the Gauls, infinitely superior in number, attacked the Roman lines of circumvallation, in order to raise the siege. Cæsar ordered a body of his men to march out filently, and to attack them on the one flank, while he with another body did the fame on the other The furprise of being attacked when they expected a defence only, put the Gauls into diforder, and gave an cafy victory to Cæfar.

The pleasure of novelty is easily distinguished from that of variety: to produce the latter, a plurality of objects is necessary; the former arises from a circumstance found in a fingle object. Again, where objects, whether coexistent or in succession, are sufficiently diversified, the pleasure of variety is complete, though every single object of the train be familiar: but the pleasure of novelty, directly opposite to familiarity, requires no diversification.

There are different degrees of novelty, and its effects are in proportion. The lowest degree is found in objects surveyed a second time after a long interval; and that in this case an object takes on some appearance of novelty, is certain from experience: a large building of many parts variously adorned, or an extensive field embellished with trees, lakes, temples, statues, and other ornaments, will appear new oftener than once: the memory of an object fo complex is foon loft, of its parts at least, or of their arrangement. But experience teaches, that even without any decay of remembrance, absence alone will give an air of novelty to a once familiar object; which is not furprifing, because familiarity wears off gradually by absence: thus a person with whom we have been intimate, returning after a long interval, appears like a new acquaintance: and distance of place contributes to this appearance, no less than distance of time: a friend, for example, after a short absence in a remote country, has the same air of Novelty

Next morning, perceiving that he could not maintain his place for want of provisions, nor be able to draw off his men in the face of a victorious army, he ranged his men in order of battle, and, without lofing a moment, marched to attack the enemy, refolving to conquer or die. The Arabians, affenifhed to be attacked by those who were conquered the night before, lost all heart: fear succeeded to assonishment, the panic was univerfal, and they all turned their backs almost without drawing a fword.

novelty as if he had returned after a longer interval from a place near home: the mind forms a connection between him and the remote country, and beflows upon him the fingularity of the objects he has feen. For the fame reason, when two things equally new and fingular are presented, the spectator balances between them; but when told that one of them is the product of a distant quarter of the world, he no longer hesitates, but clings to it as the more singular. Hence the preference given to foreign luxuries, and to foreign curiosities, which appear rare in proportion to their original distance.

The next degree of novelty, mounting upward, is found in objects of which we have fome information at fecond hand; for description, though it contribute to familiarity, cannot altogether remove the appearance of novelty when the object itself is presented: the first fight of a lion occasions some wonder, after a thorough acquaintance with the correctest

pictures and statues of that animal.

A new object that bears fome distant resemblance to a known species, is an instance of a third degree of novelty: a strong resemblance among individuals of the same species, prevents almost entirely the effect of novelty, unless distance of place or some other circumstance concur; but where the resemblance is faint, some degree of wonder is felt, and the emotion rises in proportion to the faintness of the resemblance.

The highest degree of wonder ariseth from unknown objects that have no analogy to any species we are acquainted with. Shakespear in a simile introduces that species of novelty:

> As glorious to the fight As is a winged messenger from heaven

Unto the white up-turning wond'ring eye Of mortals, that fall back to gaze on him When he bestrides the lazy-pacing clouds, And fails upon the bosom of the air.

Romeo and Juliet.

One example of that species of novelty deserves peculiar attention; and that is, when an object altogether new is seen by one person only, and but once. These circumstances heighten remarkably the emotion: the singularity of the spectator concurs with the singularity of the object, to inslame wonder to its highest pitch.

In explaining the effects of novelty, the place a being occupies in the scale of existence, is a circumstance that must not be omitted. Novelty in the individuals of a low class is perceived with indifference, or with a very slight emotion: thus a pebble, however singular in its appearance, scarce moves our wonder. The emotion rises with the rank of the object; and, other circumstances being equal, is strongest in the highest order of existence: a strange insect affects us more than a strange vegetable: and a strange quadruped more than a strange insect.

However natural novelty may be, it is a matter of experience, that those who relish it the most are careful to conceal its influence. Love of novelty, it is true, prevails in children, in idlers, and in men of shallow understanding: and yet, after all, why should one be ashamed of indulging a natural propensity? A distinction will afford a satisfactory answer. No man is ashamed of curiosity when it is indulged in order to acquire knowledge. But to prefer any thing merely because it is new, shows a mean taste, which one ought to be ashamed of: vanity is commonly at the bottom,

bottom, which leads those who are deficient in taste to prefer things odd, rare, or singular, in order to distinguish themselves from others. And in fact, that appetite, as above mentioned, reigns chiefly among persons of a mean taste, who are ignorant of refined

and elegant pleafures.

One final cause of wonder, hinted above, is, that this emotion is intended to stimulate our curiosity. Another, fomewhat different, is, to prepare the mind for receiving deep impressions of new objects. acquaintance with the various things that may affect us and with their properties, is effential to our wellbeing: nor will a flight or superficial acquaintance be fufficient; they ought to be so deeply engraved on the mind, as to be ready for use upon every occasion. Now, in order to make a deep impression, it is wisely contrived, that things fhould be introduced to our acquaintance with a certain pomp and folemnity productive of a vivid emotion. When the impreffion is once fairly made, the emotion of novelty, being no longer necessary, vanisheth almost instantaneously; never to return, unless where the impression happens to be obliterated by length of time or other means; in which case, the second introduction hath nearly the fame folemnity with the first.

Defigning wisdom is no where more legible than in this part of the human frame. If new objects did not affect us in a very peculiar manner, their impressions would be so slight as scarce to be of any use in life: on the other hand, did objects continue to affect us as deeply as at first, the mind would be totally engrossed with them, and have no room left either for action or reslection.

The final cause of surprise is still more evident than of novelty. Self-love makes us vigilantly attentive to self-preservation; but self-love, which operates by

means of reason and reflection, and impels not the mind to any particular object or from it, is a principle too cool for a sudden emergency: an object breaking in unexpectedly, affords no time for deliberation; and, in that case, the agitation of surprise comes in seasonably to rouse self-love into action: surprise gives the alarm; and if there be any appearance of danger, our whole force is instantly summoned up to shun or to prevent it.

CHAP.

#### CHAP. VII.

### Risible Objects.

SUCH is the nature of man, that his powers and faculties are foon blunted by exercife. The returns of fleep, fuspending all activity, are not alone fufficient to preserve him in vigor: during his walking hours, amusement by intervals is requisite to unbend his mind from serious occupation. To that end, nature hath kindly made a provision of many objects, which may be distinguished by the epithet of risible, because they raise in us a peculiar emotion expressed externally by laughter: that emotion is pleasant; and being also mirthful, it most successfully unbends the mind, and recruits the spirits. Imagination contributes a part by multiplying such objects without end.

Ludicrous is a general term, fignifying, as may appear from its derivation, what is playfome, fportive, or jocular. Ludicrous, therefore, feems the genus, of which rifible is a fpecies, limited as above to what

makes us laugh.

However eafy it may be, concerning any particular object, to fay whether it be rifible or not, it feems difficult, if at all practicable, to establish any general character, by which objects of that kind may be distinguished from others. Nor is that a singular case; for, upon a review, we find the same difficulty in most of the articles already handled. There is nothing more easy, viewing a particular object, than to pronounce that it is beautiful or ugly, grand

or little: but were we to attempt general rules for ranging objects under different claffes, according to these qualities, we should be much gravelled. A feparate cause increases the difficulty of distinguishing rifible objects by a general character: all men are not equally affected by rifible objects; nor the fame man at all times; for in high fpirits a thing will make him laugh outright, which fcarce provokes a fmile in a grave mood. Rifible objects, however, are circumscribed within certain limits; which I shall suggest, without pretending to accuracy. And, in the first place, I observe, that no object is risible but what appears flight, little, or trivial; for we laugh at nothing that is of importance to our own interest, or to that of others. A real diffres raises pity, and therefore cannot be rifible; but a flight or imaginary distress, which moves not pity, is risible. The adventure of the fulling-mills in Don Quixote, is extremely rifible; fo is the scene where Sancho, in a dark night, tumbling into a pit, and attaching him-felf to the fide by hand and foot, hangs there in terrible difmay till the morning, when he discovers himself to be within a foot of the bottom. A nose remarkably long or fhort, is rifible: but to want it altogether, far from provoking laughter, raifes horror in the spectator. Secondly, With respect to works both of nature and of art, none of them are rifible but what are out of rule, some remarkable defect or excess; a very long visage, for example, or a very short one. Hence nothing just, proper, decent, beautiful, proportioned, or grand, is rifible.

Even from this flight sketch it will readily be conjectured, that the emotion raised by a risible object is of a nature fo fingular, as fcarce to find place while the mind is occupied with any other passion or emo-

tion:

tion: and the conjecture is verified by experience; for we scarce ever find that emotion blended with any other. One emotion I must except; and that is, contempt raifed by certain improprieties: every improper act inspires us with some degree of contempt for the author; and if an improper act be at the fame time rifible to provoke laughter, of which blunders and absurdities are noted instances, the two emotions of contempt and of laughter unite intimately in the mind, and produce externally what is termed a laugh of derision or of scorn. Hence objects that cause laughter may be distinguished into two kinds: they are either risible or ridiculous. A risible object is mirthful only: a ridiculous object is both mirthful and contemptible. The first raises an emotion of laughter that is altogether pleafant: the pleafant emotion of laughter raised by the other, is blended with the painful emotion of contempt; and the mixed emotion is termed the emotion of ridicule. The pain a ridiculous object gives me is resented and punished by a laugh of derifion. A rifible object, on the other hand, gives me no pain: it is altogether pleafant by a certain fort of titillation, which is expressed externally by mirthful laughter. Ridicule will be more fully explained afterward: the prefent chapter is appropriated to the other emotion.

Rifible objects are fo common, and fo well underflood, that it is unnecessary to consume paper or time upon them. Take the few following examples.

Falfiaff. I do remember him at Clement's inn, like a man in ide after supper of a cheese-paring. When he was naked, he was for all the world like a forked radish, with a head fantastically carved upon it with a knise.

Second Part, Henry IV. act. 3. sc. 5.

The foregoing is of disproportion. The following examples are of slight or imaginary misfortunes.

Tolftaff.

Falfloff. Go fetch me a quart of fack; put a toast in't. Have I liv'd to be carried in a basket, like a barrow of butcher's offal, and to be thrown into the Thames! Well, if I be served such another trick, I'll have my brains ta'en out and butter'd, and give them to a dog for a new year's gift. The rogues slighted me into the river with as little remorfe as they would have drown'd a bitch's blind puppies, sifteen i'th'litter; and you may know by my fize, that I have a kind of alacrity in finking: if the bottom were as deep as hell, I should down. I had been drown'd, but that the shore was shelvy and shallow; a death that I abhor; for the water swells a man: and what a thing should I have been when I had been swell'd? I should have been a mountain of mummy.

Merry Wives of Windsor, act 3. sc. 15.

Falstaff. Nay, you shall hear, Master Brook, what I have fuffer'd to bring this woman to evil for your good. Being thus cramm'd in the basket, a couple of Ford's knaves, his hinds, were call'd forth by their mistress, to carry me in the name of foul cloaths to Datchet-lane. They took me on their shoulders, met the jealous knave their master in the door, who ask'd them once or twice what they had in their basket. I quak'd for fear, lest the lunatick knaves would have fearch'd it; but Fate, ordaining he should be a cuckold, held his hand. Well, on went he for a fearch, and away went I for foul cloaths. But mark the fequel, Master Brook. I suffer'd the pangs of three egregious deaths; first, an intolerable fright, to be detected by a jealous rotten bell-weather; next, to be compass'd like a good bilbo, in the circumference of a peck, hilt to point, heel to head; and then to be stopt in, like a strong distillation, with stinking cloaths that fretted in their own grease. Think of that, a man of my kidney; think of that, that am as subject to heat as butter; a man of continual dissolution and thaw; it was a miracle to 'scape suffocation. And in the height of this bath, when I was more than half stew'd in greafe, like a Dutch dish, to be thrown into the Thames, and cool'd glowing hot, in that furge, like a horse shoe; think of that; hiffing hot; think of that, Master Brook.

Merry Wives of Windfor, act 3. fc. 17.

#### CHAP. VIII.

## Resemblance and Dissimilitude.

AVING discussed those qualities and circumstances of single objects that seem peculiarly connected with criticism, we proceed, according to the method proposed in the chapter of beauty, to the relations of objects, beginning with the relations of resemblance and dissimilative.

The connection that man hath with the beings around him, requires fome acquaintance with their nature, their powers, and their qualities, for regulating his conduct. For acquiring a branch of knowledge fo effential to our well-being, motives alone of reason and interest are not sufficient: nature hath providently superadded curiosity, a vigorous propensity, which never is at rest. This propensity attaches us to every new object; \* and incites us to compare objects, in order to discover their differences and resemblances.

Resemblance among objects of the same kind, and dissimilitude among objects of disserent kinds, are too obvious and familiar to gratify our curiosity in any degree: its gratistication lies in discovering disferences among things where resemblance prevails, and resemblances where difference prevails. Thus a difference in individuals of the same kind of plants or animals is deemed a discovery; while the many particulars in which they agree are neglected: and in different kinds, any resemblance is greedily remarked,

without attending to the many particulars in which

they differ.

A comparison, however, may be too far stretched. When differences or refemblances are carried beyond certain bounds, they appear flight and trivial; and for that reason will not be relished by a man of taste: yet fuch propenfity is there to gratify passion, curiofity in particular, that even among good writers we find many comparisons too slight to afford fatisfaction. Hence the frequent inflances among logicians of distinctions without any solid difference: hence the frequent instances among poets and orators, of fimilies without any just refemblance. With regard to the latter, I shall confine myself to one instance, which will probably amuse the reader, being a quotation, not from a poet nor orator, but from a grave author, writing an institute of law. "Our student shall observe, that the knowledge of the law is like a deep well, out of which each man draweth according to the strength of his understanding. He that reacheth deepest, seeth the amiable and admirable fecrets of the law, wherein I affure you the fages of the law in former times have had the deepest reach. And, as the bucket in the depth is eafily drawn to the uppermost part of the water, (for nullum elementum in suo proprio loco est grave ) but take it from the water, it cannot be drawn up but with a great difficulty; fo, albeit beginnings of this study seem difficult, yet, when the professor of the law can dive into the depth, it is delightful, easy, and without any heavy burden, fo long as he keep himself in his own proper element.\*" Shakespear, with uncommon humour, ridicules fuch disposition to simile-making,

by

<sup>\*</sup> Coke upon Lyttleton, p. 71.

by putting in the mouth of a weak man a refemblance much of a piece with that now mentioned.

I think it is in Macedon, where Alexander is porn: I tell you, Captain, if you look in the maps of the orld, I warrant that you fall find, in the comparisons between Macedon and Monmouth, that the fituations, look you, is both alike. There is a river in Macedon, there is also moreover a river in Monmouth: it is called Wye at Monmouth, but it is out of my prains what is the name of the other river; but it is all one, 'tis as like as my fingers to my fingers, and there is falmons in both. If you mark Alexander's life well, Harry of Monmouth's life is come after it indifferent well; for there is figures in all things. Alexander, God knows, and you know, in his rages, and his furies, and his wraths, and his cholers, and his moods, and his displeasures, and his indignations; and also being a little intoxicates in his prains, did, in his ales and his angers, look you, kill his pest friend Clytus.

Gower. Our King is not like him in that; he never

kill'd any of his friends.

Fluellen. It is not well done, mark you now, to take the tales out of my mouth, ere it is made and finished. I speak but in figures, and comparisons of it: As Alexander kill'd his friend Clytus, being in his ales and his cups; so also Harry Monmouth, being in his right wits and his good judgments, turn'd away the fat knight with the great belly doublet; he was full of jests, and gypes, and knaveries, and mocks: I have forgot his name.

Gower. Sir John Falstaff.

Fluetlen. That is he: I tell you there is good men porn at Monmouth.

K. Henry V. act 4. sc. 13.

Instruction, no doubt, is the chief end of comparison; but that it is not the only end will be evident from considering, that a comparison may be employed with success to put a subject in a strong point of view. A lively idea is formed of a man's courage,

by likening it to that of a lion; and eloquence is exalted in our imagination, by comparing it to a river overflowing its banks, and involving all in its impetuous course. The same effect is produced by contrast: a man in prosperity becomes more sensible of his happiness by opposing his condition to that of a person in want of bread. Thus, comparison is subfervient to poetry as well as to philosophy: and, with respect to both, the foregoing observation holds equally, that refemblance among objects of the fame kind, and diffimilitude among objects of different kinds, have no effect: fuch a comparifon neither tends to gratify our curiofity, nor to fet the objects compared in a stronger light: two apartments in a palace, fimilar in shape, fize, and furniture, make separately as good a figure as when compared; and the fame observation is applicable to two fimilar compartments in a garden: on the other hand, oppose a regular building to a fall of water, or a good picture to a towering hill, or even a little dog to a large horse, and the contrast will produce no effect. But a refemblance between objects of different kinds, and a difference between objects of the fame kind, have remarkably an enlivening effect. The poets, fuch of them as have a just taste, draw all their similies from things that in the main differ widely from the principal fubject; and they never attempt a contrast but where the things have a common genus and a refemblance in the capital circumstances: place together a large and a small fized animal of the same species, the one will appear greater, the other less, than when viewed feparately: when we oppose beauty to deformity, each makes a greater figure by the comparison. We compare the dress of different nations with curiofity, but without furprise; because they have no fuch refemblance in the capital parts as to pleafe

please us by contrasting the smaller parts. But a new cut of a sleeve or of a pocket enchants by its novelty, and in opposition to the former fashion raises some

degree of furprife.

That refemblance and diffimilitude have an enlivening effect upon objects of fight, is made fufficiently evident: and that they have the fame effect upon objects of the other fenses, is also certain. Nor is that law confined to the external senses; for characters contrasted make a greater figure by the opposition: Iago, in the tragedy of Othello, says,

He hath a daily beauty in his life That makes me ugly.

The character of a fop, and of a rough warrior, are no were more fuccessfully contrasted than in Shakespear:

Hotspur. My liege, I did deny no prisoners: But I remember, when the fight was done, When I was dry with rage, and extreme toil, Breathless and faint, leaning upon my fword; Came there a certain Lord, neat, trimly drefs'd, Fresh as a bridegroom; and his chin, new-reap'd, Shew'd like a stubble-land at harvest home. He was perfumed like a milliner; And 'twixt his finger and his thumb he held A pouncet-box, which ever and anon He gave his nofe; -and still he smil'd and talk'd; And as the foldiers bear dead bodies by. He call'd them untaught knaves, unmannerly, To bring a flovenly unhandsome corfe! Betwixt the wind and his nobility. With many holiday and lady terms He question'd me : among the rest, demanded My pris'ners, in your Majesty's behalf. I then all fmarting with my wounds; being gall'd To be fo pefter'd with a popinjay, Out

Out of my grief, and my impatience, Answer'd, neglectingly, I know not what: He should, or should not; for he made me mad, To fee him shine so brisk, and smell so sweet, And talk so like a waiting gentlewoman, Of guns, and drums, and wounds; (God fave the mark!) And telling me, the fov'reignest thing on earth Was parmacity, for an inward bruile; And that it was great pity, fo it was, This villainous faltpetre should be digg'd Out of the bowels of the harmless earth, Which many a good, tall fellow had destroy'd So cowardly: and but for these vile guns He would himself have been a soldier.—

First part, Henry IV. act 1. sc. 4.

Passions and emotions are also inflamed by comparifon. A man of high rank humbles the by-standers, even to annihilate them in their own opinion: Cæfar, beholding the statue of Alexander, was greatly mortified, that now at the age of thirty-two when Alexander died, he had not performed one memorable action.

Our opinions also are much influenced by compar-A man whose opulence exceeds the ordinary standard, is reputed richer than he is in reality; and wisdom or weakness, if at all remarkable in an individual, is generally carried beyond the truth.

The opinion a man forms of his present distress is heightened by contrasting it with his former happi-

ness:

Could 1 forget What I have been, I might the better bear What I am destin'd to. I'm not the first That have been wretched: but to think how much I have been happier.

Southern's Innocent Adultery, all 2.

The

The distress of a long journey makes even an indifferent inn agreeable: and in travelling, when the road is good, and the horseman well covered, a bad day may be agreeable by making him sensible how snug he is.

The fame effect is equally remarkable, when a man opposes his condition to that of others. A ship tossed about in a storm, makes the spectator reslect upon his own ease and security, and puts these in the

strongest light:

Suave, mari magno turbantibus æquora ventis, E terra magnum alterius spectare laborem; Non quia vexari quemquam est jucunda voluptas, Sed quibus ipse malis careas, quia cernere suave est.

Lucret. l. 2. principio.

A man in grief cannot bear mirth: it gives him a more lively notion of his unhappines, and of course makes him more unhappy. Satan contemplating the beauties of the terrestrial paradise, has the following exclamation:

With what delight could I have walk'd thee round, If I could joy in ought, fweet interchange Of hill and valley, rivers, woods, and plains, Now land, now fea, and shores with forest crown'd, Rocks, dens, and caves! but I in none of these Find place or refuge; and the more I see Pleasures about me, so much more I feel Torment within me, as from the hateful siege Of contraries: all good to me becomes Bane, and in heav'n much worse would be my state.

Paradise Lost, book 9. l. 114.

Gaunt. All places that the eye of heaven visits, Are to a wife man ports and happy havens. Teach thy necessity to reason thus: There is no virtue like necessity.

Think

Think not the King did banish thee;
But thou the King. Wo doth the heavier sit,
Where it perceives it is but faintly borne.
Go say, I sent thee forth to purchase honour:
And not, the King exil'd thee. Or suppose,
Devouring pestilence hangs in our air,
And thou art flying to a fresher clime,
Look what thy soul holds dear, imagine it
To lie that way thou go'st, not whence thou com'st.
Suppose the singing birds musicians;
The grass whereon thou tread'st, the presence-shoor;
The flow'rs, sair ladies; and thy steps, no more
Than a delightful measure or a dance.
For gnarling Sorrow hath less power to bite
The man that mocks at it, and sets it light.

Bolingbroke. Oh, who can hold a fire in his hand, By thinking on the frofty Caucasus? Or cloy the hungry edge of Appetite, By bare imagination of a feast? Or wallow naked in December snow, By thinking on fantastic summer's heat? Oh, no! the apprehension of the good Gives but the greater feeling to the worse.

King Richard II. act 1. sc. 6.

The appearance of danger gives fometimes pleafure, fometimes pain. A timorous perfou upon the battlements of a high tower, is feized with fear, which even the confciousness of security cannot dissipate. But upon one of a firm head, this situation has a contrary effect: the appearance of danger heightens, by opposition, the consciousness of security, and consequently, the satisfaction that arises from security: here the feeling resembles that above mentioned, occasioned by a ship labouring in a storm.

The effect of magnifying or leffcning objects by means of comparison, is so familiar, that no philosopher

opher has thought of fearthing for a cause.\* The obscurity of the subject may possibly have contributed to their silence; but luckily, we discover the cause to be a principle unfolded above, which is, the influence of passion over our opinionst. We have had occasion to see many illustrious effects of that fingular power of passion; and that the magnifying or diminishing objects by means of comparison, proceeds from the fame cause, will evidently appear, by reflecting in what manner a spectator is affected, when a very large animal is for the first time placed beside a very small one of the same species. The first thing that strikes the mind, is the difference between the two animals, which is fo great as to occasion furprife; and this, like other emotions, magnifying its objects, makes us conceive the difference to be the greatest that can be: we see, or seem to fee, the one animal extremely little, and the other extremely large. The emotion of furprise arising from any unufual refemblance, ferves equally to explain, why at first view we are apt to think such resemblance more entire than it is in reality. And it must not escape observation, that the circumstances of more and lefs, which are the proper fubjects of comparifon, raife a perception fo indiffinct and vague as to facilitate the effect described: we have no mental flandard of great and little, nor of the feveral degrees of any attribute; and the mind thus unrefrained, is naturally disposed to indulge its surprise to the utmost extent.

In

<sup>\*</sup> Practical writers upon the fine arts will attempt any thing, being blind both to the difficulty and danger. De Piles, accounting why contrast is agreeable, fays, "That it is a fort of war, which puts the opposite parties in motion." Thus, to account for an effect of which there is no doubt, any cause, however foolish, is made welcome.

In exploring the operations of the mind, some of which are extremely nice and flippery, it is necessary to proceed with the utmost caution: and after all, feldom it happens that speculations of that kind afford any fatisfaction. Luckily, in the present case, our speculations are supported by facts and folid argument. First, a small object of one species opposed to a great object of another, produces not, in any degree, that deception which is fo remarkable when both objects are of the same species. The greatest disparity between objects of different kinds, is for common as to be observed with perfect indifference; but fuch disparity between objects of the same kind, being uncommon, never fails to produce surprise: and may we not fairly conclude, that furprise, in the latter case, is what occasions the deception, when we find no deception in the former? In the next place, if furprise be the sole cause of the deception, it follows necessarily, that the deception will vanish as foon as the objects compared become familiar. This holds fo unerringly, as to leave no reasonable doubt that furprise is the prime mover: our surprise is great the first time a small lap-dog is seen with a large mastiff; but when two such animals are constantly together, there is no furprise, and it makes no difference whether they be viewed feparately or in company: we fet no bounds to the riches of a man who has recently made his fortune, the furprising disproportion between his present and his past situation being carried to an extreme; but with regard to a family that for many generations hath enjoyed great wealth, the fame false reckoning is not made: it is equally remarkable, that a trite fimile has no effect; a lover compared to a moth fcorching itself at the flame of a candle,

candle, originally a fprightly fimile, has by frequent use lost all force; love cannot now be compared to fire, without some degree of disgust: it has been justly objected against Homer, that the lion is too often introduced into his similies; all the variety he is able to throw into them, not being sufficient to keep alive

the reader's furprise.

To explain the influence of comparison upon the mind, I have chosen the simplest case, to wit, the first fight of two animals of the fame kind, differing in fize only; but to complete the theory, other circumstances must be taken in. And the next supposition I make, is where both animals, feparately familiar to the spectator, are brought together for the first time. In that case, the effect of magnifying and diminishing, is found remarkably greater than in that first mentioned; and the reason will appear upon analysing the operation: the first feeling we have is of surprise at the uncommon difference of two creatures of the same species; we are next sensible, that the one appears less, the other larger than they did formerly; and that new circumstance, increasing our furprife, makes us imagine a still greater opposition between the animals than if we had formed no notion of them beforehand.

I shall confine myself to one other supposition; That the spectator was acquainted beforehand with one of the animals only, the lap-dog for example. This new circumstance will vary the effect: for instead of widening the natural difference, by enlarging in appearance the one animal, and diminishing the other in proportion, the whole apparent alteration will rest upon the lap-dog: the surprise to find it less than it appeared formerly, directs to it our whole attention, and makes us conceive it to be a most diminutive creature: the mastiss in the mean time is quite overlooked

overlooked. I am able to illustrate this effect by a familiar example. Take a piece of paper, or of linen tolerably white, and compare it with a pure white of the same kind: the judgment we formed of the first object is instantly varied; and the surprise occasioned by finding it less white than was thought, produceth a hasty conviction that it is much less white than it is in reality: withdrawing now the pure white. and putting in its place a deep black, the furprise occasioned by that new circumstance carries us to the other extreme, and makes us conceive the object first mentioned to be a pure white: and thus experience compels us to acknowledge, that our emotions have an influence even upon our eye-fight. This experiment leads to a general observation. That whatever is found more strange or beautiful than was expected. is judged to be more strange or beautiful than it is in reality. Hence a common artifice to depreciate beforehand what we wish to make a figure in the opinion of others.

The comparisons employed by poets and orators, are of the kind last mentioned; for it is always a known object that is to be magnified or lessened. The former is effected by likening it to fome grand object, or by contrasting it with one of an opposite character. To effectuate the latter, the method must be reversed: the object must be contrasted with something fuperior to it, or likened to fomething inferior. whole effect is produced upon the principal object, which by that means is elevated above its rank, or

depressed below it.

In accounting for the effect that any unufual refemblance or diffimilitude hath upon the mind, no cause has been mentioned but surprise; and to prevent confusion, it was proper to discuss that cause

first. But surprise is not the only cause of the effect described: another concurs, which operates perhaps not less powerfully, namely, a principle in human nature that lies still in obscurity, not having been unfolded by any writer, though its effects are extensive; and as it is not distinguished by a proper name, the reader must be satisfied with the following description. Every man who studies himself or others, must be sensible of a tendency or propensity in the mind, to complete every work that is begun, and to carry things to their full perfection. There is little opportunity to display that propensity upon natural operations, which are feldom left imperfect: but in the operations of art, it hath great scope: it impels us to persevere in our own work, and to wish for the completion of what another is doing: we feel a fenfible pleafure when the work is brought to perfection; and our pain is no less sensible when we are disappointed. Hence our uneafiness, when an interesting story is broke off in the middle, when a piece of music ends without a close, or when a building or garden is left unfinished. The same propensity operates in making collections, fuch as the whole works good and bad of any author. A certain person attempted to collect prints of all the capital paintings, and fucceeded except as to a few. La Bruyere remarks, that an anxious fearch was made for these; not for their value, but to complete the fet.\*

The

An unbounded prospect doth not long continue agreeable: we soon

The examples above given, are of things that can be carried to an end or conclusion. But the same uneasiness is perceptible with respect to things that admit not any conclusion; witness a series that has no end, commonly called an infinite series. The mind moving along such a series, begins soon to feel an uneasiness, which becomes more and more sensible, in continuing its progress without hope of an end.

The final cause of the propensity is an additional proof of its existence: human works are of no fignificancy till they be completed; and reason is not always a fufficient counterbalance to indolence: fome principle over and above is necessary, to excite our industry, and to prevent our stopping short in the middle of the course.

We need not lose time to describe the co-operation of the foregoing propenfity with furprise, in producing the effect that follows any unufual refemblance or dissimilitude. Surprise first operates, and

carries

feel a flight uneafiness, which increases with the time we bestow upon the prospect. An avenue without a terminating object, is one instance of an unbounded prospect; and we might hope to find the cause of its difagreeableness, if it resembled an infinite series. The eye indeed promifes no refemblance; for the sharpest eye commands but a certain length of space, and there it is bounded, however obscurely. But the mind perceives things as they exist; and the line is carried on in idea without end; in which respect an unbounded prospect is similar to an infinite feries. In fact, the uncaliness of an unbounded prospect, differs very little in its feeling from that of an infinite feries; and therefore we may reasonably presume, that both proceed from the same cause.

We next confider a prospect unbounded every way, as, for example, a great plain or the ocean, viewed from an eminence. We feel here an uneafinefs occasioned by the want of an end or termination, precisely as in the other cases. A prospect unbounded every way, is indeed so far fingular, as at first to be more pleasant than a prospect that is unbounded in one direction only, and afterward to be more painful. But thefe circumstances are easily explained, without wounding the general theory: the pleasure we feel at first, is a vivid emotion of grandeur, arising from the immense extent of the object: and to increase the pain we feel afterward for the want of a termination, there concurs a pain of a different kind, occasioned by stretching the eye to comprehend so wide a prospect; a pain that gradually increases with the repeated efforts we make to grain the whole.

It is the same principle, if I missake not, which operates imperceptibly with respect to quantity and number. Another's property indented into my field, gives me uneaffuess; and I am eager to make the purchase, not for profit, but in order to square my field. Xerxes and his army, in their passage to Greece, were sumptuously entertained by Pythius the Lydian: Xerxes recompensed him with 7000 Daries, which

he wanted to complete the fum of four millions.

carries our opinion of the refemblance or diffimilitude beyond truth. The propenfity we have been describing carries us still farther; for it forces upon the minda conviction, that the refemblance or diffimilitude is complete. We need no better illustration, than the refemblance that is fancied in fome pebbles to a tree or an infect; which refemblance, however faint in reality, is conceived to be wonderfully perfect. The tendency to complete a refemblance acting jointly with furprife, carries the mind fometimes fo far, as even to prefume upon future events. In the Greek tragedy entitled Phineides, those unhappy women, feeing the place where it was intended they should be flain, cried out with anguish, "They now faw their cruel destiny had condemned them to die in that place, being the fame where they had been exposed in their infancy.\*"

The propenfity to advance every thing to its perfection, not only co-operates with furprife to deceive the mind, but of itself is able to produce that effect. Of this we fee many instances where there is no place for furprise; and the first I shall give is of refemblance. Unumquodque eodem modo diffolvitur quo colligatum eft, is a maxim in the Roman law that has no foundation in truth; for tying and loofing, building and demolishing, are acts opposite to each other, and are performed by opposite means: but when these acts are connected by their relation to the fame fubject, their connection leads us to imagine a fort of resemblance between them, which by the foregoing propenfity is conceived to be as complete as possible. The next instance shall be of contrast. Addison obferves,

Aristotle, poet. cap. 17.

observes,\* "That the palest features look the most agreeable in white; that a face which is overslushed appears to advantage in the deepest scarlet; and that a dark complexion is not a little alleviated by a black hood." The foregoing propensity serves to account for these appearances; to make which evident, one of the cases shall suffice. A complexion, however dark, never approaches to black: when these colours appear together, their opposition strikes us; and the propensity we have to complete the opposition makes the darkness of complexion vanish out of sight.

The operation of this propenfity, even where there is no ground for furprife, is not confined to opinion or conviction: fo powerful it is, as to make us fometimes proceed to action, in order to complete a refemblance or diffimilitude. If this appear obfcure, it will be made clear by the following inflances. on what principle is the lex talionis founded, other than to make the punishment resemble the mischief? Reason dictates, that there ought to be a conformity or refemblance between a crime and its punishment; and the foregoing propenfity impels us to make the refemblance as complete as possible. Titus Livius, under the influence of that propenfity, accounts for a certain punishment by a resemblance between it and the crime, too fubtile for common apprehenfion. Treating of Mettus Fuffetius, the Alban general, who, for treachery to the Romans his allies, was fentenced to be torn to pieces by horses, he puts the following speech in the mouth of Tullus Hostilius, who decreed the punishment. "Mette Fuffeti, inquit, si ipse discere posses sidem ac sædera, servare, vivo tibi ea disciplina a me adhibita esset. Nunc, quoniam tuur infanabile

<sup>\*</sup> Spectator, No. 265.

infanabile ingenium est, at tu tuo supplicio doce humanum genus, ea sancta credere, quae a te violata sunt. Ut igitur paulo ante animum inter Fidenatem Romanamque rem ancipitem gessisti, ita jam corpus passim distrahendum dabis.\*" By the same influence, the sentence is often executed upon the very spot where the crime was committed. In the Electra of Sophocles, Egistheus is dragged from the theatre into an inner room of the supposed palace, to suffer death where he murdered Agamemnon. Shakespear, whose knowledge of nature is no less profound than extensive, has not overlooked this propensity:

Othells. Get me some poison, Iago, this night; I'll not expostulate with her, lest her body and her beauty unprovide my mind again; this night, Iago.

lago. Do it not with poison; strangle her in bed, even

in the bed she hath contaminated.

Othello. Good, good: The justice of it pleases; very good.

Othello, alt 4. fc. 5.

Warwick. From off the gates of York fetch down the head,

Your father's head, which Clifford placed there. Instead whereof let his supply the room. Measure for measure must be answered.

Third Part of Henry VI. act 2. fc. 9.

Perfons in their last moments are generally seized with an anxiety to be buried with their relations. In the Amynta of Tasso, the lover, hearing that his mistress was torn to pieces by a wolf, expresses a desire to die the same death.†

Upon the fubject in general I have two remarks to add. The first concerns resemblance, which, when

too entire, hath no effect, however different in kind the things compared may be. The remark is applicable to works of art only; for natural objects of different kinds have scarce ever an entire resemblance. To give an example in a work of art, marble is a fort of matter very different from what composes an animal; and marble cut into a human figure produces great pleasure by the resemblance: but, if a marble statue be coloured like a picture, the refemblance is fo entire, as at a distance to make the statue appear a person: we discover the mistake when we approach; and no other emotion is raifed, but furprise occasioned by the deception: The figure still appears a real person, rather than an imitation; and we must use restection to correct the mistake. This cannot happen in a picture; for the refemblance can never be fo entire as to difguife the imitation.

The other remark relates to contrast. Emotions make the greatest figure when contrasted in succession; but the succession ought neither to be rapid, nor immoderately slow: if too slow, the effect of contrast becomes faint by the distance of the emotions; and if rapid, no single emotion has room to expand itself to its sull size, but is stifled, as it were, in the birth, by a succeeding emotion. The funeral oration of the Bishop of Meaux upon the Duchess of Orleans is a perfect hodge-podge of cheerful and melancholy representations following each other in the quuckest succession: opposite emotions are best felt in succession; but each emotion separately should be raised to its due pitch, before another be introduced.

What is above laid down, will enable us to determine a very important question concerning emotions raised by the fine arts, namely, Whether ought sim-

ilar emotions to fucceed each other or diffimilar? The emotions raifed by the fine arts are for the most part too nearly related to make a figure by refemblance; and for that reason their succession ought to be regulated as much as possible by contrast. This holds confessedly in epic and dramatic compositions; and the best writers, led perhaps by taste more than by reasoning, have generally aimed at that beauty. holds equally in music; in the same cantata, all the variety of emotions that are within the power of mufic may not only be indulged, but, to make the greatest figure, ought to be contrasted. In gardening, there is an additional reason for the rule: the emotionsraifed by that art are at best so faint, that every artifice should be employed to give them their utmost vigor: a field may be laid out in grand, fweet, gay, neat, wild, melancholy scenes; and when these are viewed in fuccession, grandeur ought to be contrasted with neatness, regularity with wildness, and gaiety with melancholy, fo as that each emotion fucceed its opposite: nay it is an improvement to intermix in the fuccession rude uncultivated spots as well as unbounded views, which in themselves are difagreeable, but in fuccession heighten the feeling of the agreeable objects; and we have nature for our guide, which in her most beautiful landscapes often intermixes rugged rocks, dirty marshes, and barren stony heaths. The greatest masters of music have the same view in their compositions: the second part of an Italian fong feldom conveys any fentiment; and, by its harshness, seems purposely contrived to give a greater relish for the interesting parts of the composition.

A fmall garden comprehended under a fingle view, affords little opportunity for that embellishment. Dissimilar emotions require different tones of mind;

and therefore in conjunction can never be pleafant:\* gaiety and sweetness may be combined, or wildness and gloominess; but a composition of gaiety and gloominess is distasteful. The rude uncultivated compartment of furze and broom in Richmond garden hath a good effect in the fuccession of objects; but a fpot of that nature would be infufferable in the midst of a polished parterre or flower-plot. A garden, therefore, if not of great extent, admits not diffimilar emotions; and in ornamenting a fmall garden, the the fafest course is to confine it to a single expression. For the fame reason, a landscape ought also to be confined to a fingle expression; and accordingly it is a rule in painting, That if the subject be gay, every figure ought to contribute to that emotion.

It follows from the foregoing train of reasoning, that a garden near a great city ought to have an air of folitude. The folitariness again of a waste country ought to be contrasted in forming a garden; no temples, no obscure walks: but jets d'eau, cascades, objects active, gay and splendid. Nay, such a garden should in some measure avoid imitating nature, by taking on an extraordinary appearance of regularity and art, to show the busy hand of man, which in a waste country has a fine effect by contrast.

It may be gathered from what is faid above, t that wit and ridicule make not an agreeable mixture with grandeur. Diffimilar emotions have a fine effect in a flow fuccession; but in a rapid succession, which appproaches to coexistence, they will not be relished: in the midst of a laboured and elevated description of a battle, Virgil introduces a ludicrous image, which is certainly out of its place:

Obvius

<sup>\*</sup> See chap. 2. part 4.

Obvius ambustum torrem Chorinæus ab ara Corripit, et venienti Ebuso plagamque serenti Occupat os stammis: illi ingens barba reluxit, Nidoremque ambusta dedit.

Æn. xii. 293.

The following image is no less ludicrous, nor less improperly placed.

Mentre fan questi i bellici stromenti Perche debbiano tosto in uso porse, Il gran nemico de l'humane genti Contra i Christiani i lividi occhi torse: E lor veggendo à le bell' opre intenti, Ambo le labra per furor si morse: E qual tauro ferito, il suo dolore Verso mugghiando e sospirando suore.

Gerusal. cant. 4. st. 1.

It would, however, be too auftere to banish altogether ludicrous images from an epic poem. This peem doth not always foar above the clouds: it admits great variety; and upon occasion can descend even to the ground without sinking. In its more familiar tones, a ludicrous scene may be introduced without impropriety. This is done by Virgil\* in a foot-race; the circumstances of which, not excepting the ludicrous part, are copied from Homer.† After a sit of merriment, we are, it is true, the less disposed to the serious and sublime: but then, a ludicrous scene, by unbending the mind from severe application to more interesting subjects, may prevent fatigue, and preserve our relish entire.

<sup>\*</sup> Æn. lib. 5.

<sup>†</sup> Iliad, book 23. 1. 879.

### CHAP. IX.

# Uniformity and Variety.

In attempting to explain uniformity and variety, in order to show how we are affected by these circumstances, a doubt occurs, what method ought to be followed. In adhering close to the subject, I foresee difficulties; and yet by indulging such a circuit as may be necessary for a satisfactory view, I probably shall incur the censure of wandering.—Yet the dread of censure ought not to prevail over what is proper: beside that the intended circuit will lead to some collateral matters, that are not only curious, but of considerable importance in the science of human nature.

The necessary succession of perceptions may be examined in two different views; one with respect to order and connection, and one with respect to uniformity and variety. In the first view it is handled above:\* and I now proceed to the second. The world we inhabit is replete with things no less remarkable for their variety than for their number: these, unfolded by the wonderful mechanism of external sense, furnish the mind with many perceptions; which, joined with ideas of memory, of imagination, and of reslection, form a complete train that has not a gap or interval. This train of perceptions and ideas depends very little on will. The mind, as has been observed,† is so constituted, "That it can by

no effort break off the fuccession of its ideas, nor keep its attention long fixed upon the same object;" we can arrest a perception in its course; we can shorten its natural duration, to make room for another; we can vary the succession, by change of place or of amusement; and we can in some measure prevent variety, by frequently recalling the same object after short intervals: but still there must be a succession and a change from one perception to another. By artificial means, the succession may be retarded or accelerated, may be rendered more various or more uniform, but in one shape or another is unavoidable.

The train, even when left to its ordinary course, is not always uniform in its motion; there are natural causes that accelerate or retard it considerably. The first I shall mention, is a peculiar constitution of mind. One man is distinguished from another, by no circumstance more remarkably, than his train of perceptions: to a cold languid temper belongs a flow course of perceptions, which occasions dulness of apprehension and sluggishness in action: to a warm temper, on the contrary, belongs a quick course of perceptions, which occasions quickness of apprehension and activity in business. The Asiatic nations, the Chinese especially, are observed to be more cool and deliberate than the Europeans: may not the reafon be, that heat enervates by exhausting the spirits? and that a certain degree of cold, as in the middle regions of Europe, bracing the fibres, roufeth the mind, and produceth a brisk circulation of thought, accompanied with vigor in action? In youth is observable a quicker succession of perceptions than in old age: and hence, in youth, a remarkable avidity for variety of amusements, which in riper years give place to more uniform and more fedate occupation.

tion. This qualifies men of middle age for business, where activity is required, but with a greater proportion of uniformity than variety. In old age, a flow and languid succession makes variety unnecessary; and for that reason, the aged in all their motions, are generally governed by an habitual uniformity. Whatever be the cause, we may venture to pronounce, that heat in the imagination and temper, is always connected

with a brisk flow of perceptions.

The natural rate of fuccession, depends also, in fome degree, upon the particular perceptions that compose the train. An agreeable object, taking a strong hold of the mind, occasions a slower successions fion than when the objects are indifferent: grandeur and novelty fix the attention for a confiderable time, excluding all other ideas: and the mind thus occupied is fensible of no vacuity. Some emotions, by hurrying the mind from object to object, accelerate the fuccession. Where the train is composed of connected perceptions or ideas, the fuccession is quick; for if it is fo ordered by nature, that the mind goes eafily and fweetly along connected objects.\* On the other hand, the fuccession must be slow, where the train is composed of unconnected perceptions or ideas, which find not ready access to the mind; and that an unconnected object is not admitted without a struggle, appears from the unfettled state of the mind for some moments after such an object is presented, wavering between it and the former train: during that short period, one or other of the former objects will intrude, perhaps oftener than once, till the attention be fixt entirely upon the new object. The fame observations are applicable to ideas suggested by language; the mind can bear a quick fuccession of

related ideas; but an unrelated idea, for which the mind is not prepared, takes time to make an impression; and therefore a train composed of such ideas, ought to proceed with a slow pace. Hence an epic poem, a play, or any story connected in all its parts, may be perused in a shorter time, than a book of maxims or apothegms, of which a quick succes-

fion creates both confusion and fatigue.

Such latitude hath nature indulged in the rate of fuccession: what latitude it indulges with respect to uniformity, we proceed to examine. The uniformity or variety of a train, fo far as composed of perceptions, depends on the particular objects that furround the percipient at the time. The prefent occupation must also have an influence; for one is sometimes engaged in a multiplicity of affairs, fometimes altogether vacant. A natural train of ideas of memory is more circumfcribed, each object being, by fome connection, linked to what precedes and to what follows it: these connections, which are many, and of different kinds, afford scope for a sufficient degree of variety; and at the fame time prevent that degree which is unpleafant by excefs. Temper and conftitution also have an influence here, as well as upon the rate of fuccession: a man of a calm and sedate temper, admits not willingly any idea but what is regularly introduced by a proper connection: one of a roving disposition embraces with avidity every new idea, however flender its relation be to those that preceded it. Neither must we overlook the nature of the perceptions that compose the train; for their influence is no less with respect to uniformity and variety, than with respect to the rate of succession. The mind engroffed by any passion, love or hatred, hope or fear, broods over its object, and can bear no interruption; and in fuch a flate, the train of perceptions

tions must not only be slow, but extremely uniform. Anger newly inflamed eagerly grasps its object, and leaves not a cranny in the mind for another thought but of revenge. In the character of Hotspur, that state of mind is represented to the life; a picture remarkable for likeness as well as for high colouring.

Worcester. Peace, Cousin, say no more. And now I will unclass a secret book, And to your quick-conceiving discontents I'll read you matter deep and dangerous; As full of peril and and advent'rous spirit As to o'erwalk a current roaring loud, On the unsteddast sooting of a spear.

Hotspur. If he fall in, good night. Or fink or swim, Send danger from the east into the west, So honour cross it from the north to south; And let them grapple. Oh! the blood more stirs

To rouse a lion than to start a hare.

Worcester. Those same Noble Scots,

Worcester. You start away, And lend no ear unto my purposes:

Those prise res you shall keep.

Hotspur. I will, that's flat:

He faid he would not ranfom Mortimer; Forbade my tongue to fpeak of Mortimer: But I will find him when he lies afleep, And in his ear I'll holla Mortimer! Nay, I will have a starling taught to speak Nothing but Mortimer, and give it him, To keep his anger still in motion.

Worcester. Hear you, cousin, a word.
Hotspur. All studies here I solemnly defy,
Save how to gall and pinch this Bolingbroke:
And that same sword-and-buckler Prince of Wales,
(But

(But that I think his father loves him not, And would be glad he met with fome mischance,) I'd have him poison'd with a pot of ale. Worcester. Farewell, my kinsman, I will talk to you When you are better temper'd to attend.

First part, Henry IV. act 1. sc. 4.

Having viewed a train of perceptions as directed by nature, and the variations it is susceptible of from different necessary causes, we proceed to examine how far it is subjected to will; for that this faculty hath some influence, is observed above. And first, the rate of fuccession may be retarded by infisting upon one object, and propelled by difmiffing another before its time. But fuch voluntary mutations in the natural course of succession, have limits that cannot be extended by the most painful efforts: which will appear from confidering, that the mind circumfcribed in its capacity, cannot, at the same instant, admit many perceptions; and when replete, that it hath not place for new perceptions, till others are removed; consequently, that a voluntary change of perceptions cannot be inftantaneous, as the time it requires fets bounds to the velocity of fuccession. On the other hand, the power we have to arrest a flying perception is equally limited: and the reason is, that the longer we detain any perception, the more difficulty we find in the operation; till, the difficulty becoming infurmountable, we are forced to quit our hold, and to permit the train to take its usual course.

The power we have over this train as to uniformity and variety, is in some cases very great, in others very little. A train composed of perceptions of external objects, depends entirely on the place we occupy, and admits not more nor less variety but by change of place. A train composed of ideas of memory, is still less under our power; because we

cannot

cannot at will call up any idea that is not connected with the train.\* But a train of ideas fuggested by read. ing, may be varied at will, provided we have books at hand. The power that nature hath given us over our train of perceptions may be greatly strengthened by proper discipline, and by an early application to business; witness some mathematicians, who go far beyond common nature in flowness and uniformity; and still more persons devoted to religious exercises, who pass whole days in contemplation, and impose upon themselves long and severe penances. With respect to celerity and variety, it is not easily conceived what length a habit of activity in affairs will. carry fome men. Let a stranger, or let any person to whom the fight is not familiar, attend the chancellor of Great Britain through the labours but of one day, during a fession of parliament: how great will be his aftonishment! what multiplicity of lawbusiness, what deep thinking, and what élaborate application to matters of government! The train of perceptions must in that great man be accelerated far beyond the ordinary course of nature; yet no confusion or hurry; but in every article the greatest order and accuracy. Such is the force of habit. How happy is man, to have the command of a principle of action that can elevate him fo far above the ordinary condition of humanity!†

We are now ripe for confidering a train of perceptions, with respect to pleasure and pain: and to that speculation peculiar attention must be given, because it serves to explain the effects that uniformity

and

### \* See chap. 1.

<sup>†</sup> This chapter was composed in the year 1752;

and variety have upon the mind. A man, when his perceptions flow in their natural course, feels himself free, light, and easy, especially after any forcible acceleration or retardation. On the other hand, the accelerating or retarding the natural course, excites a pain, which, though scarcely felt in small removes, becomes confiderable toward the extremes. Averfion to fix on a fingle object for a long time, or to take in a multiplicity of objects in a fhort time, is remarkable in children; and equally fo in men unaccustomed to business: a man languishes when the fuccession is very slow; and, if he grow not impatient, is apt to fall afleep: during a rapid fuccession, he hath a feeling as if his head were turning round; he is fatigued, and his pain refembles that of weari-

ness after bodily labour.

But a moderate course will not fatisfy the mind, unless the perceptions be also diversified: number without variety is not fufficient to constitute an agreeable train. In comparing a few objects, uniformity is pleafant; but the frequent reiteration of uniform objects becomes unpleasant: one tires of a scene that is not diversified; and soon feels a fort of unnatural restraint when confined within a narrow range, whether occasioned by a retarded fuccession or by too great uniformity. An excess in variety is, on the other hand, fatiguing: which is felt even in a train of related perceptions; much more of unrelated perceptions, which gain not admittance without effort: the effort, it is true, is fcarce perceptible in a fingle instance; but by frequent reiteration it becomes exceedingly painful. Whatever be the cause. the fact is certain, that a man never finds himfelf more at eafe, than when his perceptions fucceed each other with a certain degree, not only of velocity, but also of variety. The pleasure that arises from a train of connected ideas, is remarkable in a reverie; efpecially where the imagination interpoleth, and is active in coining new ideas, which is done with wonderful facility: one must be sensible, that the serenity and ease of the mind in that state, makes a great part of the enjoyment. The case is different where external objects enter into the train; for these, making their appearance without order, and without connection fave that of contiguity, form a train of perceptions that may be extremely uniform or extremely diversified; which, for opposite reasons, are

both of them painful.

To alter, by an act of will, that degree of variety which nature requires, is not less painful, than to alter that degree of velocity which it requires. Contemplation, when the mind is long attached to one fubject, becomes painful by restraining the free range of perception: curiofity, and the prospect of useful discoveries, may fortify one to bear that pain: but it is deeply felt by the bulk of mankind, and produceth in them aversion to all abstract sciences. In any profession or calling, a train of operation that is simple and reiterated without intermission, makes the operator languish, and lose vigor: he complains neither of too great labour, nor of too little action; but regrets the want of variety, and the being obliged to do the fame thing over and over: where the operation is fufficiently varied, the mind retains its vigor, and is pleased with its condition. Actions again create uneafiness when excessive in number or variety, though in every other respect pleasant: thus a throng of business in law, in physic, or in traffic, distresses and distracts the mind, unless where a habit of application is acquired by long and constant exercise: the excesfive variety is the distressing circumstance; and the mind fuffers grievously by being kept constantly upon the stretch.

With relation to involuntary causes disturbing that degree of variety which nature requires, a flight pain affecting one part of the body without variation, becomes, by its constancy and long duration, almost insupportable: the patient, fensible that the pain is not increased in degree, complains of its constancy more than of its feverity, of its engroffing his whole thoughts, and admitting no other object. A shifting pain is more tolerable, because change of place contributes to variety: and an intermitting pain, fuffering other objects to intervene, still more so. Again, any single colour or found often returning becomes unpleafant; as may be observed in viewing a train of similar apartments in a great house painted with the same colour, and in hearing the prolonged tollings of a bell. Colour and found varied within certain limits, though without any order, are pleafant; witness the various colours of plants and flowers in a field, and the various notes of birds in a thicket: increase the number or variety, and the feeling becomes unpleafant; thus a great variety of colours, crowded upon a finall canvas or in quick fuccession, create an uneasy feeling, which is prevented by putting the colours at a greater distance from each other either of place or of time. A number of voices in a crowded affembly, a number of animals collected in a market, produce an unpleasant seeling; though a few of them together, or all of them in a moderate fuccession, would . be pleafant. And because of the same excess in variety, a number of pains felt in different parts of the body, at the same instant or in a rapid succession, are an exquisite torture.

The pleasure or pain resulting from a train of perceptions in different circumstances, are a beautiful contrivance of nature for valuable purposes. being fenfible, that the mind, inflamed with specula-

tions fo highly interesting, is beyond measure disposed to conviction; I shall be watchful to admit no argument nor remark, but what appears folidly founded: and with that caution I proceed to unfold these purposes. It is occasionally observed above, that persons of a phlegmatic temperament, having a fluggish train of perceptions are indisposed to action; and that activity constantly accompanies a brisk flow of perceptions. To afcertain that fact, a man need not go abroad for experiments: reflecting on things passing in his own mind, he will find, that a brifk circulation of thought constantly prompts him to action; and that he is averse to action when his perceptions languish in their course. But as man by nature is formed for action, and must be active in order to be happy, nature hath kindly provided against indolence, by annexing pleafure to a moderate course of perceptions, and by making any remarkable retardation painful. A flow course of perceptions is attended with another bad effect: man, in a few capital cases, is governed by propenfity or instinct; but in matters that admit deliberation and choice, reason is assigned him for a guide: now as reasoning requires often a great compais of ideas, their fuccession ought to be fo quick as readily to furnish every motive that may be necessary for mature deliberation; in a languid fuccession, motives will often occur after action is commenced when it is too late to retreat.

Nature hath guarded man, her favourite, against a succession too rapid, no less carefully than against one too slow: both are equally painful, though the pain is not the same in both. Many are the good effects of that contrivance. In the sire place, as the exertion of bodily faculties is by certain painful sensations confined within proper limits, Nature is equally provident with respect to the nobler faculties of

the mind: the pain of an accelerated course of perceptions, is Nature's admonition to relax our pace, and to admit a more gentle exertion of thought. Another valuable purpose is discovered upon reflecting in what manner objects are imprinted on the mind: to give the memory firm hold of an external object, time is required, even where attention is the greatest; and a moderate degree of attention, which is the common case, must be continued still longer, to produce the fame effect: a rapid fuccession, accordingly, must prevent objects from making an impression so deep as to be of real service in life; and Nature, for the fake of memory, has, by a painful feeling, guarded against a rapid succession. But a still more valuable purpose is answered by the contrivance; as, on the one hand, a fluggish course of perceptions indisposeth to action; so, on the other, a course too rapid impels to rash and precipitant action: prudent conduct is the child of deliberation and clear conception, for which there is no place in a rapid course of thought. Nature therefore, taking measures for prudent conduct, has guarded us effectually from precipitancy of thought, by making it painful.

Nature not only provides against a succession too flow or too quick, but makes the middle course extremely pleafant. Nor is that course confined within narrow bounds: every man can naturally, without pain, accelerate or retard in some degree the rate of his perceptions. And he can do it in a still greater degree by the force of habit: a habit of contemplation annihilates the pain of a retarded course of perceptions; and a bufy life, after long practice, makes ac-

celeration pleafant.

Concerning the final cause of our taste for variety, it will be confidered, that human affairs, complex by variety

variety as well as number, require the distributing our attention and activity in measure and proportion. Nature therefore, to fecure a just distribution corresponding to the variety of human affairs, has made too great uniformity or too great variety in the course of perceptions, equally unpleasant: and indeed, were we addicted to either extreme, our internal constitution would be ill fuited to our external circumstances. At the same time, where great uniformity of operation is required, as in feveral manufactures, or great variety, as in law or physic, Nature, attentive to all our wants, hath also provided for these cases, by implanting in the breast of every person, an efficacious principle that leads to habit: an obstinate perseverance in the same occupation, relieves from the pain of excessive uniformity; and the like perseverance in a quick circulation of different occupations, relieves from the pain of excessive variety. And thus we come to take delight in feveral occupations, that by nature, without habit, are not a little difgustful.

A middle rate also in the train of perceptions between uniformity and variety, is no less pleasant than between quickness and slowness. The mind of man, so framed, is wonderfully adapted to the course of human affairs, which are continually changing, but not without connection: it is equally adapted to the acquisition of knowledge, which results chiefly from discovering resemblances among different objects, and differences among resembling objects: such occupation, even abstracting from the knowledge we acquire, is in itself delightful, by preserving a middle rate between too great uniformity and too great variety.

We are now arrived at the chief purpose of the present chapter; which is to consider uniformity and variety with relation to the fine arts, in order to discover if we can, when it is that the one ought to

R 4

prevail,

prevail, and when the other. And the knowledge we have obtained, will even at first view suggest a general observation, That in every work of art, it must be agreeable, to find that degree of variety which corresponds to the natural course of our perceptions; and that an excess in variety or in uniformity must be difagreeable, by varying that natural course. For that reason, works of art admit more or less variety according to the nature of the fubject: in a picture of an interesting event that strongly attaches the spectator to a fingle object, the mind relisheth not a multiplicity of figures nor of ornaments: a picture representing a gay subject, admits great variety of figures and ornaments; because these are agreeable to the mind in a cheerful tone. The fame observation is applicable to poetry and to music.

It must at the same time be remarked, that one can bear a greater variety of natural objects, than of objects in a picture; and a greater variety in a picture than in a description. A real object presented to view, makes an impression more readily than when represented in colours, and much more readily than when reprefented in words. Hence it is, that the profuse variety of objects in some natural landscapes, neither breed confution nor fatigue: and for the fame reason, there is place for greater variety of ornament in a picture than in a poem. A picture, however, like a building, ought to be fo simple as to be comprehended in one view. Whether every one of Le Brun's pictures of Alexander's history will stand this test, is submitted to judges.

From these general observations, I proceed to particulars. In works exposed continually to public view, variety ought to be studied. It is a rule accordingly in sculpture, to contrast the different limbs of a statue, in order to give it all the variety possible. Though

the cone, in a fingle view, be more beautiful than the pyramid; yet a pyramidal steeple, because of its variety, is justly preferred. For the same reason, the oval is preferred before the circle; and painters, in copying buildings or any regular work, give an air of variety, by representing the subject in an angular view: we are pleased with the variety, without losing sight of the regularity. In a landscape representing animals, those especially of the same kind, contrast ought to prevail: to draw one sleeping, another awake; one sitting, another in motion; one moving toward the spectator, another from him, is the life of

fuch a performance.

In every fort of writing intended for amusement, variety is necessary in proportion to the length of the work. Want of variety is fensibly felt in Davila's history of the civil wars of France: the events are indeed important and various; but the reader languishes by a tiresome monotony of character, every person engaged being figured a consummate politician, governed by interest only. It is hard to fay, whether Ovid difgusts more by too great variety, or too great uniformity: his stories are all of the same kind, concluding invariably with the transformation of one being into another; and fo far he is tirefome by excess in uniformity: he is not less fatiguing by excess in variety, hurrying his reader incessantly from story to story. Ariosto is still more fatiguing than Ovid, by exceeding the just bounds of variety: not fatisfied, like Ovid, with a fuccession in his stories, he distracts the reader, by jumbling together a multitude of them without any connection. Nor is the Orlando Furioso less tiresome by its uniformity than the Metamorphofes, though in a different manner: after a story is brought to a criss, the reader, intent on the catastrophe, is fuddenly snatched away to a new flory, which makes no impression so long as the mind

mind is occupied with the former. This tantalizing method, from which the author never once fwerves during the course of a long work, beside its uniformity, hath another bad effect: it prevents that sympathy, which is raised by an interesting event when the

reader meets with no interruption.

The emotions produced by our perceptions in a train, have been little confidered, and less understood; the fubject therefore required an elaborate discussion. It may furprise some readers to find variety treated as only contributing to make a train of perceptions pleafant, when it is commonly held to be a necessary ingredient in beauty of whatever kind; according to the definition, "That beauty confifts in uniformity amid variety." But, after the subject is explained and illustrated as above, I prefume it will be evident, that this definition, however applicable to one or other species, is far from being just with respect to beauty in general: variety contributes no share to the beauty of a moral action, nor of a mathematical theorem: and numberless are the beautiful objects of fight that have little or no variety in them; a globe, the most uniform of all figures, is of all the most beautiful; and a square, though more beautiful than a trapezium, hath less variety in its constituent parts. The foregoing definition, which at best is but obscurely expressed, is only applicable to a number of objects in a group or in succession, among which indeed a due mixture of uniformity and variety is always agreeable; provided the particular object, feparately confidered, be in any degree beautiful, for uniformity amid variety among ugly objects, affords no pleafure. This circumstance is totally omitted in the definition; and indeed to have mentioned it, would at the first glance have shown the definition to be imperfect: for to define beauty as arising from beautiful objects blended together

together in a due proportion of uniformity and variety, would be too gross to pass current: as nothing can be more gross, than to employ in a definition the very term that is to be explained.

#### APPENDIX TO CHAP. IX.

Concerning the Works of Nature, chiefly with respect to Uniformity and Variety.

IN things of Nature's workmanship, whether we regard their internal or external structure, beauty and defign are equally confpicuous. We shall begin with the outfide of nature, as what first presents itself.

The figure of an organic body is generally regular. The trunk of a tree, its branches, and their ramifications, are nearly round, and form a feries regularly decreasing from the trunk to the smallest fibre: uniformity is no where more remarkable than in the leaves, which, in the same species, have all the fame colour, fize, and shape: the feeds and fruits are all regular figures, approaching for the most part to the globular form. Hence a plant, especially of the larger kind, with its trunk, branches, foliage, and fruit, is a charming object.

In an animal, the trunk, which is much larger than the other parts, occupies a chief place: its shape, like that of the stem of plants, is nearly round: a figure which of all is the most agreeable: its two sides are precifely fimilar: feveral of the under parts go off in pairs; and the two individuals of each pair are accurately uniform: the fingle parts are placed in the middle: the limbs, bearing a certain proportion to

the trunk, serve to support it, and to give it a proper elevation: upon one extremity are disposed the neck and head, in the direction of the trunk: the head being the chief part, possesses with great propriety the chief place. Hence, the beauty of the whole figure, is the result of many equal and proportional parts orderly disposed; and the smallest variation in number, equality, proportion, or order, never fails to

produce a perception of deformity.

Nature in no particular feems more profuse of ornament, than in the beautiful colouring of her works. The flowers of plants, the furs of beasts, and the feathers of birds, vie with each other in the beauty of their colours, which in lustre as well as in harmony are beyond the power of imitation. Of all natural appearances, the colouring of the human face is the most exquisite: it is the strongest instance of the inestable art of nature, in adapting and proportioning its colours to the magnitude, figure and position, of the parts. In a word, colour seems to live in nature only, and to languish under the finest touches of art.

When we examine the internal structure of a plant or animal; a wonderful subtility of mechanism is displayed. Man, in his mechanical operations, is confined to the surface of bodies; but the operations of nature are exerted through the whole substance, so as to reach even the elementary parts. Thus the body of an animal, and of a plant, are composed of certain great vessels; these of smaller; and these again of still smaller, without end, as far as we can discover. This power of disfusing mechanism through the most intimate parts, is peculiar to nature, and distinguishes her operations, most remarkably, from every work of art. Such texture, continued from the grosser parts to the most minute, preserves all

along the strictest regularity: the fibres of plants are a bundle of cylindric canals, lying in the fame direction, and parallel or nearly parallel to each other: in fome instances, a most accurate arrangement of parts is discovered, as in onions, formed of concentric coats, one within another, to the very centre. An animal body is still more admirable, in the disposition of its internal parts, and in their order and fymmetry: there is not a bone, a muscle, a blood veffel, a nerve, that hath not one corresponding to it on the opposite side; and the same order is carried through the most minute parts; the lungs are composed of two parts, which are disposed upon the fides of the thorax; and the kidneys, in a lower fituation, have a position no less orderly: as to the parts that are fingle, the heart is advantageously situated near the middle: the liver, stomach, and spleen, are disposed in the upper region of the abdomen, about the fame height: the bladder is placed in the middle of the body, as well as the intestinal canal, which fills the whole cavity with its convolutions.

The mechanical power of nature, not confined to fmall bodies, reacheth equally those of the greatestize; witness the bodies that compose the solar system, which, however large, are weighed, measured, and subjected to certain laws, with the utmost accuracy. Their places round the sun, with their distances, are determined by a precise rule, corresponding to their quantity of matter. The superior dignity of the central body, in respect to its bulk and sucid appearance, is suited to the place it occupies. The globular sigure of these bodies, is not only in itself beautiful, but is above all others sitted for regular motion. Each planet revolves about its own axis in a given time; and each moves round the sun, in an orbit nearly circular, and in a time proportioned to

its distance. Their velocities, directed by an established law, are perpetually changing by regular accelerations and retardations. In fine, the great variety of regular appearances, joined with the beauty of the system itself, cannot fail to produce the highest delight in every one who is sensible of design, power,

or beauty.

Nature hath a wonderful power of connecting fyftems with each other, and of propagating that connection through all her works. Thus the constituent parts of a plant, the roots, the stem, the branches, the leaves, the fruit, are really different systems, united by a mutual dependence on each other: in an animal, the lymphatic and lacteal ducts, the bloodveflels and nerves, the muscles and glands, the bones and cartilages, the membranes and bowels, with the other organs, form distinct fystems, which are united into one whole. There are, at the same time, other connections less intimate: every plant is joined to the earth by its roots; it requires rain and dews to furnish it with juices; and it requires heat to preferve these juices in fluidity and motion: every animal, by its gravity, is connected with the earth, with the element in which it breathes, and with the fun, by deriving from it cherishing and enlivening heat: the earth furnisheth aliment to plants, these to animals, and these again to other animals, in a long train of dependence: that the earth is part of a greater fyftem, comprehending many bodies mutually attracting each other, and gravitating all toward one common centre, is now thoroughly explored. Such a regular and uniform feries of connections, propagated through fo great a number of beings, and through fuch wide spaces is wonderful: and our wonder must increase when we observe these connections propagated from the minutest atoms to bodies of the most enormous fize, and so widely disfused as that we can neither perceive their beginning nor their end. That these connections are not confined within our own planetary system, is certain: they are disfussed over spaces still more remote, where new bodies and systems rife without end. All space is filled with the works of God, which are conducted by one plan, to

answer unerringly one great end.

But the most wonderful connection of all, though not the most conspicuous, is that of our internal frame with the works of nature: man is obviously fitted for contemplating these works, because in this contemplation he has great delight. The works of nature are remarkable in their uniformity no less than in their variety: and the mind of man is fitted to receive pleafure equally from both. Uniformity and variety are interwoven in the works of nature with furprifing art: variety, however great, is never without some degree of uniformity; nor the greatest uniformity without fome degree of variety: there is great variety in the same plant, by the different appearances of its stem, branches, leaves, blossoms, fruit, fize, and colour; and vet, when we trace that variety through different plants, especially of the fame kind, there is discovered a surprising uniformity: again, where nature feems to have intended the most exact uniformity, as among individuals of the fame kind, there still appears a diversity, which serves readily to diffinguish one individual from another. It is indeed admirable, that the human vifage, in which uniformity is fo prevalent, should yet be fo marked, as to leave no room, among millions, for mistaking one person for another: these marks, though clearly perceived, are generally fo delicate, that words cannot be found to describe them. A correspondence so perfect

perfect between the human mind and the works of nature, is extremely remarkable. The opposition between variety and uniformity is fo great, that one would not readily imagine they could both be relished by the fame palate; at least not in the fame object, nor at the same time: it is however true, that the pleasures they afford, being happily adjusted to each other, and readily mixing in intimate union, are frequently produced by the same individual object. Nay, further, in the objects that touch us the most, uniformity and variety are constantly combined; witness natural objects, where this combination is always found in perfection. Hence it is, that natural objects readily form themfelves into groups, and are agreeable in whatever manner combined: a wood with its trees, shrubs and herbs, is agreeable: the music of birds, the lowing of cattle, and the murmuring of a brook, are in conjunction delightful; though they strike the ear without modulation or harmony. In short, nothing can be more happily accommodated to the inward constitution of man, than that mixture of uniformity with variety, which the eye discovers in natural objects; and, accordingly, the mind is never more highly gratified than in contemplating a natural landscape.

#### CHAP. X.

# Congruity and Propriety.

MAN is superior to the brute, not more by his rational faculties, than by his senses. With respect to external senses, brutes probably yield not to men; and they may also have some obscure perception of beauty: but the more delicate senses of regularity, order, uniformity, and congruity, being connected with morality and religion, are reserved to dignify the chief of the terrestrial creation. Upon that account, no discipline is more suitable to man, nor more congruous to the dignity of his nature, than that which refines his taste, and leads him to distinguish, in every subject, what is regular, what is orderly, what is suitable, and what is fit and proper.\*

It is clear from the very conception of the terms congruity and propriety, that they are not applicable to any fingle object: they imply a plurality, and obviously fignify a particular relation between different objects. Thus we fay currently, that a decent garb is suitable or proper for a judge, modest behaviour for a young woman, and a lofty style for an epic poem:

and,

<sup>\*</sup> Nec vero illa parva vis naturæ est rationisque, quod unum hoc animal sentit quid sit ordo, quid sit quod deceat in sactis dictisque, qui modus. Itaque eorum ipsorum, quæ aspectu sentiuntur, nullum diud animal, pulchritudinem, venustatem, convenientiam partium sentit. Quam similitudinem natura ratioque ab oculis ad animum transferens, multo etiam magis pulchritudinem, constantiam, ordinem, in concilis sactisque consservandum putat, cavetque ne quid indecore esseminateve faciat; tum in omnibus et opinionibus et sactis ne quid libidinose aut saciat aut cogitet. Quibus ex rebus constatur et efficitur id, quod quærimus, honese tum. Cicero de Officiis, l. 1.

and, on the other hand, that it is unfuitable or incongruous to fee a little woman funk in an overgrown farthingale, a coatrichly embroidered covering coarfe and dirty linen, a mean fubject in an elevated flyle, an elevated flubject in a mean flyle, a first minister darning his wife's stocking, or a reverend prelate in lawn sleeves dancing a hornpipe.

The perception we have of this relation, which feems peculiar to man, cannot proceed from any other cause, but from a sense of congruity or propriety; for, supposing us destitute of that sense, the

terms would be to us unintelligible.\*

It is matter of experience, that congruity or propriety, wherever perceived, is agreeable; and that incongruity or impropriety, wherever perceived, is difagreeable. The only difficulty is, to afcertain what are the particular objects that in conjunction fuggest these relations; for there are many objects that do not: the sea, for example, viewed in conjunction with a picture, or a man viewed in conjunction with a mountain, suggest not either congruity or incongruity. It seems natural to infer, what will be found true by induction, that we never perceive congruity

<sup>\*</sup> From many things that pass current in the world without being general. ly condemned, one at first view would imagine, that the sense of congruity or propriety hath scarce any foundation in nature; and that it is rather an artificial refinement of those who affect to distinguish themselves from others. The fulfome panegvrics beltowed upon the great and opulent, in epiffles dedicatory and other fuch compositions, would incline us to think fo. Did there prevail in the world, it will be faid, or did natime fuggest, a taste of what is suitable, decent, or proper, would any good writer deal in fuch compositions, or any man of feine receive them without disgust? Can it be supposed that Lewis XIV. of France was endued by nature with any fense of propriety, when, in a dramatic performance purposely composed for his entertainment, he suffered himself, publicly and in his prefence, to be flyled the greatest king ever the earth produced? These, it is true, are strong facts; but luckily they do not prove the fenfe of propriety to be artificial: they only prove, that the fenfe of propriety is at times overpowered by pride and vanity; which is no fingular case, for that sometimes is the fate even of the sense of justice.

gruity nor incongruity but among things that are connected by fome relation; fuch as a man and his actions, a principal and its acceffories, a fubject and its ornaments. We are indeed to framed by nature, as, among things to connected, to require a certain fuitableness or correspondence, termed congruity or propriety; and to be displeased when we find the oppo-

fite relation of incongruity or impropriety.\*

If things connected be the subject of congruity, it is reasonable beforehand to expect a degree of congruity proportioned to the degree of the connection. And, upon examination we find our expectation to be well founded: where the relation is intimate, as between a cause and its effect, a whole and its parts, we require the strictest congruity; but where the relation is slight, or accidental, as among things jumbled together, we require little or no congruity: the strictest propriety is required in behaviour and manner of living; because a man is connected with these by the relation of cause and effect: the relation between an edifice and the ground it stands upon is of the most intimate kind, and therefore the situation of a great house ought to be lofty: its relation to neighbouring.

<sup>\*</sup> In the chapter of beauty, qualities are diffinguished into primary and fecondary: and to clear some obscurity that may appear in the text, it is proper to be observed, that the same diffination is applicable to relations. Resemblance, equality, uniformity, proximity, are relations that depend not on us, but exist equally whether perceived or not; and upon that account may justly be termed primary relations. But there are other relations, that only appear such to us, and that have not any external existence like primary relations; which is the case of congenity, incongenity, propriety, impropriety: these may be properly termed secondary relations. Thus it appears from what is said in the text, that the secondary relation. Property is an example of a secondary relation, as it exists no where but in the mind. I purchase a field or a hosse: the covenant makes the primary relation; and the secondary relation built on it is property.

bouring hills, rivers, plains, being that of propinquity only, demands but a fmall share of congruity: among members of the same club, the congruity ought to be considerable, as well as among things placed for show in the same niche: among passengers in a stage-coach we require very little congruity; and less still at a public spectacle.

Congruity is fo nearly allied to beauty, as commonly to be held a species of it; and yet they differ so essentially, as never to coincide: beauty, like colour, is placed upon a single subject: congruity upon a plurality: further, a thing beautiful in itself, may, with relation to other things, produce the

strongest sense of incongruity.

Congruity and propriety are commonly reckoned fynonimous terms; and hitherto in opening the subject they have been used indifferently; but they are distinguishable; and the precise meaning of each must be ascertained. Congruity is the genus, of which propriety is a species; for we call nothing propriety, but that congruity or suitableness, which ought to substitute the series and their thoughts, words, and actions.

In order to give a full view of these secondary relations, I shall trace them through some of the most considerable primary relations. The relation of a part to the whole, being extremely intimate, demands the utmost degree of congruity: even the slightest deviation is disgussful; witness the *Lutrin*, a burlesque poem, which is closed with a ferious and warm panegyric on Lamoignon, one of the King's judges:

Examples of congruity and incongruity are furnished in plenty by the relation between a subject and

its ornaments. A literary performance intended merely for amufement is susceptible of much ornament, as well as a music-room or a playhouse; for in gaiety the mind hath a peculiar relish for show and decoration. The most gorgeous apparel, however improper in tragedy, is not unsuitable to opera-actors: the truth is, an opera, in its present form, is a mighty fine thing; but, as it deviates from nature in its capital circumstances, we look not for nature nor propriety in those which are accessory. On the other hand, a serious and important subject admits not much ornament;\* nor a subject that of itself is extremely beautiful: and a subject that fills the mind with its lostiness and grandeur, appears best in a dress altogether plain.

To a person of a mean appearance, gorgeous apparel is unsuitable; which, beside the incongruity, shows by contrast the meanness of appearance in the strongest light. Sweetness of look and manner requires simplicity of dress joined with the greatest elegance. A stately and majestic air requires sumptuous apparel, which ought not to be gaudy, nor crowded with little ornaments. A woman of confumnate beauty can bear to be highly adorned, and

yet shows best in a plain dress,

Needs not the foreign aid of ornament,
But is, when unadorn'd, adorn'd the most.

Thompson's Autumn, 208.

Congruity

<sup>\*</sup> Contrary to this rule, the introduction to the third volume of the Characterifics. is a continued chara of metaphors: these in such profusion are too slorid for the subject; and have beside the had effect of removing our attention from the principal subject, to six it upon splendid trifles.

Congruity regulates not only the quantity of ornament, but also the kind. The decorations of a dancing-room ought all of them to be gay. No picture is proper for a church but what has religion for its subject. Every ornament upon a shield should relate to war; and Virgil, with great judgment, confines the carvings upon the shield of Æneas to the military history of the Romans: that beauty is overlooked by Homer: for the bulk of the sculpture upon the shield of Achilles is of the arts of peace in general, and of joy and festivity in particular: the author of Telemachus betrays the same inattention, in describing the shield of that young hero.

In judging of propriety with regard to ornaments, we must attend, not only to the nature of the subject that is to be adorned, but also to the circumstances in which it is placed: the ornaments that are proper for a ball will appear not altogether so decent at public worship: and the same person ought to dress dif-

ferently for a marriage-feast and for a funeral.

Nothing is more intimately related to a man than his fentiments, words, and actions; and therefore we require here the strictest conformity. When we find what we thus require, we have a lively fense of propriety: when we find the contrary, our fense of impropriety is no less lively. Hence the universal distaste of affectation, which consists in making a shew of greater delicacy and refinement, than is fuited either to the character or circumstances of the per-Nothing in epic or dramatic compositions is more difgustful than impropriety of manners. Corneille's tragedy of Cinna, Æmilia, a favourite of Augustus, receives daily marks of his affection, and is loaded with benefits: yet all the while is laying plots to affaffinate her benefactor, directed by no other motive

tive but to avenge her father's death: \* revenge against a benefactor, founded folely upon filial piety, cannot be directed by any principle but that of justice, and therefore never can fuggest unlawful means; yet the crime here attempted, a treacherous murder, is what even a miscreant will scarce attempt against his bitterest enemy. What is said might be thought fufficient to explain the relations of congruity and propriety. And yet the subject is not exhausted: on the contrary, the prospect enlarges upon us, when we take under view the effects these relations produce in the mind. Congruity and propriety, wherever perceived, appear agreeable; and every agreeable object produceth in the mind a pleafant emotion: incongruity and impropriety, on the other hand, are difagreeable: and of course produce painful emotions. These emotions, whether pleasant or painful, fometimes vanish without any confequence; but more frequently occasion other emotions, to which I proceed.

When any flight incongruity is perceived in an accidental combination of perfons or things, as of paffengers in a stage-coach, or of individuals dining at an ordinary; the painful emotion of incongruity, after a momentary existence, vanisheth without producing any effect. But this is not the case of propriety and impropriety: voluntary acts, whether words or deeds, are imputed to the author; when proper, we reward him with our esteem; when improper, we punish him with our contempt. Let us suppose, for example, a generous action suited to the character of the author, which raises in him and every spectator the pleasant emotion of propriety: this emotion generates in the author both self-esteem and joy; the former when he considers his relation to

the

the action, and the latter when he confiders the good opinion that others will entertain of him: the fame emotion of propriety produceth in the spectators esteem for the author of the action: and when they think of themselves, it also produceth by contrast an emotion of humility. To discover the effects of an unfuitable action, we must invert each of these circumstances: the painful emotion of impropriety generates in the author of the action both humility and shame; the former when he considers his relation to the action, and the latter when he confiders what others will think of him: the same emotion of impropriety produceth in the spectators contempt for the author of the action: and it also produceth, by contrast when they think of themselves, an emotion of felf-esteem. Here then are many different emotions, derived from the fame action confidered in different views by different perfons; a machine provided with many fprings, and not a little complicated. Propriety of action, it would feem, is a favourite of nature, or of the author of nature, when such care and folicitude is bestowed on it. It is not left to our own choice; but, like justice, is required at our hands; and, like justice, is enforced by natural rewards and punishments: a man cannot, with impunity, do any thing unbecoming or improper; he suffers the chastisement of contempt inslicted by others, and of shame inflicted by himself. An apparatus fo complicated, and fo fingular, ought to roufe our attention: for nature doth nothing in vain; and we may conclude with certainty, that this curious branch of the human constitution is intended for fome valuable purpose. To the discovery of that purpose or final cause I shall with ardour apply my thoughts, after discoursing a little more at large upon the punishment, as it may now be called, that nature

hath provided for indecent and unbecoming behaviour. This, at any rate, is necessary, in order to give a full view of the subject: and who knows whether it may not, over and above, open some tract that will lead us to the final cause we are in

quest of?

A gross impropriety is punished with contempt and indignation, which are vented against the offender by external expressions; nor is even the slightest impropriety fuffered to pass without some degree of contempt. But there are improprieties of the flighter kind, that provoke laughter; of which we have examples without end in the blunders and abfurdities of our own species: fuch improprieties receive a different punishment, as will appear by what follows. The emotions of contempt and of laughter occasioned by an impropriety of that kind, uniting intimately in the mind of the spectator, are expressed externally by a peculiar fort of laugh, termed a laugh of derision or fcorn.\* An impropriety that thus moves not only contempt but laughter, is distinguished by the epi-thet of ridiculous; and a laugh of derision or scorn is the punishment provided for it by nature. Nor ought it to escape observation, that we are so fond of inflicting that punishment, as fometimes to exert it even against creatures of an inferior species: witness a turkeycock fwelling with pride, and ftrutting with displayed feathers, which in a gay mood is apt to provoke a laugh of derision.

We must not expect, that these different improprieties are separated by distinct boundaries: for of improprieties, from the slightest to the most gross, from the most risible to the most serious, there are degrees without end. Hence it is, that in viewing some

unbecoming

unbecoming actions, too rifible for anger, and too ferious for derifion, the spectator feels a fort of mixt emotion, partaking both of derifion and of anger: which accounts for an expression, common with respect to the impropriety of some actions. That we

know not whether to laugh or be angry.

It cannot fail to be observed, that in the case of a rifible impropriety, which is always flight, the contempt we have for the offender is extremely faint, though derision, its gratification, is extremely pleaf-This disproportion between a passion and its gratification, may feem not conformable to the analogy of nature. In looking about for a folution, I reflect upon what is laid down above, that an improper action, not only moves our contempt for the author, but also, by means of contrast, swells the good opinion we have of ourselves. This contributes, more than any other particular, to the pleasure we have in ridiculing follies and abfurdities: and accordingly, it is well known, that those who have the greatest share of vanity; are the most prone to laugh at others. Vanity, which is a vivid passion, pleasant in itself, and not less so in its gratification, would fingly be fufficient to account for the pleafure of ridicule, without borrowing any aid from contempt. Hence appears the reason of a noted observation, That we are the most disposed to ridicule the blunders and abfurdities of others, when we are in high spirits; for in high spirits, self-conceit displays itself with more than ordinary vigour.

Having with wary fleps traced an intricate road, not without danger of wandering; what remains to complete our journey, is to account for the final cause of congruity and propriety, which make so great a figure in the human constitution. One final cause, regarding congruity, is pretty obvious, that the sense

of congruity, as one principle of the fine arts, contributes in a remarkable degree to our entertainment: which is the final cause affigned above for our sense of proportion,\* and need not be enlarged upon here. Congruity, indeed, with respect to quantity, coincides with proportion: when the parts of a building are nicely adjusted to each other, it may be faid indifferently, that it is agreeable, by the congruity of its parts, or by the proportion of its parts. But propriety, which regards voluntary agents only, can never be the fame with proportion: a very long nofe is difproportioned, but cannot be termed improper. In fome instances, it is true, impropriety coincides with disproportion in the same subject, but never in the fame respect. I give for an example a very little man buckled to a long toledo: confidering the man and the fword with respect to fize, we perceive a disproportion: confidering the fword as the choice of the man, we perceive an impropriety.

The fense of impropriety with respect to mistakes, blunders, and absurdaties, is evidently calculated for the good of mankind. In the spectators it is productive of mirth and laughter, excellent recreation in an interval from business. But this is a trifle compared to what follows. It is painful to be the subject of ridicule; and to punish with ridicule the man who is guilty of an absurdaty, tends to put him more on his guard in time coming. It is well ordered, that even the most innocent blunder is not committed with impunity: because, were errors licensed where they do no hurt, inattention would grow into habit, and be

the occasion of much hurt.

The final cause of propriety, as to moral duties, is of all the most illustrious. To have a just notion of it, the moral

moral duties that respect others must be distinguished from those that respect ourselves. Fidelity, gratitude, and abstinence from injury, are examples of the first fort; temperance, modelty, firmness of mind, are examples of the other: the former are made duties by the fense of justice; the latter, by the sense of propriety. Here is a final cause of the sense of propriety that will rouse our attention. It is undoubtedly the interest of every man to fuit his behaviour to the dignity of his nature, and to the station alloted him by Providence; for fuch rational conduct contributes in every respect to happiness, by preserving health, by procuring plenty, by gaining the esteem of others, and, which of all is the greatest blessing, by gaining a justly founded felf-esteem. But in a matter so essential to our well-being, even felf-interest is not relied on: the powerful authority of duty is superadded to the motive of interest. The God of nature, in all things effential to our happiness, hath observed one uniform method: to keep us steady in our conduct, he hath fortified us with natural laws and principles, preventive of many aberrations, which would daily happen were we totally furrendered to fo fallible a guide as is human reason. Propriety cannot rightly be confidered in another light, than as the natural law that regulates our conduct with respect to ourfelves; as justice is the natural law that regulates our conduct with respect to others. I call propriety a law, no less than justice; because both are equally rules of conduct that ought to be obeyed: propriety includes that obligation; for to fay an action is proper, is in other words to fav, that it ought to be performed; and to fay it is improper, is in other words to fay, that it ought to be forborne. It is that very character of ought and should which makes justice a law to us; and the same character is applicable to propriety,

priety, though perhaps more faintly than to justice: but the difference is in degree only, not in kind; and we ought, without hesitation or reluctance, to

fubmit equally to the government of both.

But I have more to urge upon that head. To the fense of propriety as well as of justice, are annexed the fanctions of rewards and punishments; which evidently prove the one to be a law as well as the other. The fatisfaction a man hath in doing his duty, joined to the esteem and good-will of others, is the reward that belongs to both equally. The punishments also, though not the same, are nearly allied; and differ in degree more than in quality. Disobedience to the law of justice is punished with remorfe; disobedience to the law of propriety, with shame, which is remorfe in a lower degree. Every transgression of the law of justice raises indignation in the beholder; and fo doth every flagrant transgreffion of the law of propriety. Slighter improprieties receive a milder punishment: they are always rebuked with fome degree of contempt, and frequently with derision. In general, it is true, that the rewards and punishments annexed to the fense of propriety are flighter in degree than those annexed to the fense of justice: which is wisely ordered, because duty to others is still more essential to society than duty to ourselves: fociety, indeed, could not subsist a moment, were individuals not protected from the headstrong and turbulent passions of their neighbours.

The final cause now unfolded of the sense of propriety, must, to every discerning eye, appear delightful: and yet this is but a partial view; for that sense reaches another illustrious end, which is, in conjunction with the sense of justice, to ensorce the performance of social duties. In fact, the fanctions visibly contrived to compel a man to be just to himself,

are equally ferviceable to compel him to be just to others; which will be evident from a fingle reflection, That an action, by being unjust, ceases not to be improper: an action never appears more eminently improper, than when it is unjust: it is obvioully becoming, and fuitable to human nature, that each man do his duty to others; and, accordingly, every transgression of duty to others, is at the same time a transgression of duty to one's felf. This is a plain truth without exaggeration; and it opens a new and enchanting view in the moral landscape, the prospect being greatly enriched by the multiplication of agreeable objects. It appears now, that nothing is overlooked, nothing left undone, that can possibly contribute to the enforcing focial duty; for to all the fanctions that belong to it fingly, are superadded the fanctions of felf-duty. A familiar example shall fuffice for illustration. An act of ingratitude, confidered in itself, is to the author disagreeable, as well as to every spectator: considered by the author with relation to himself, it raises self-contempt: considered by him with relation to the world, it makes him ashamed: considered by others, it raises their contempt and indignation against the author. These feelings are all of them occasioned by the impropriety of the action. When the action is confidered as unjust, it occasions another set of feelings: in the author it produces remorfe, and a dread of merited punishment; and in others, the benefactor chiefly, indignation and hatred directed to the ungrateful person. Thus shame and remorfe united in the ungrateful person, and indignation united with hatred in the hearts of others, are the punishments provided by nature for injustice. Stupid and infensible must he be, who, in a contrivance fo exquisite, perceives not the benevolent hand of our Creator.

CHAP.

## C H A P. XI.

# Dignity and Grace.

THE terms dignity and meanness are applied to man in point of character, fentiment, and behaviour: we fay, for example, of one man, that he hath natural dignity in his air and manner; of another, that he makes a mean figure: we perceive dignity in every action and fentiment of some perfons; meannefs and vulgarity in the actions and fentiments of others. With respect to the fine arts, fome performances are faid to be manly, and fuitable to the dignity of human nature; others are termed low, mean, trivial. Such expressions are common, though they have not always a precife meaning. With respect to the art of criticism, it must be a real acquisition to ascertain what these terms truly import; which possibly may enable us to rank every performance in the fine arts according to its dignity.

Inquiring first to what subjects the terms dignity and meanness are appropriated, we soon discover, that they are not applicable to any thing inanimate: the most magnificent palace that ever was built, may be losty, may be grand, but it has no relation to dignity: the most diminutive shrub may be little, but it is not mean. These terms must belong to sensitive beings, probably to man only; which will be evident when

we advance in the inquiry.

Human actions appear in many different lights: in themselves they appear grand or little; with respect to the author, they appear proper or improper; with respect to those affected by them, just or unjust:

and I now add, that they are also distinguished by dignity and meanness. If any one incline to think, that, with respect to human actions, dignity coincides with grandeur, and meanness with littleness, the difference will be evident upon reflecting, that an action may be grand without being virtuous, and little without being faulty; but that we never attribute dignity to any action but what is virtuous, nor meanness to any but what is faulty. Every action of dignity creates respect and esteem for the author; and a mean action draws upon him contempt. is admired for a grand action, but frequently is neither loved nor esteemed for it: neither is a man always contemned for a low or little action. action of Cæfar passing the Rubicon was grand; but there was no dignity in it, confidering that his purpose was to enslave his country: Cæsar, in a march, taking opportunity of a rivulet to quench his thirst, did a low action, but the action was not mean.

As it appears to me, dignity and meanness are founded on a natural principle not hitherto mentioned. Man is endowed with a SENSE of the worth and excellence of his nature: he deems it more perfect than that of the other beings around him; and he perceives, that the perfection of his nature consists in virtue, particularly in virtues of the highest rank. To express that sense, the term dignity is appropriated. Further, to behave with dignity, and to refrain from all mean actions, is sell to be, not a virtue only, but a duty: it is a duty every man owes to himself. By acting in that manner, he attracts love and esteem: by acting meanly, or below himself, he is disapproved and contemned.

According to the description here given of dignity and meanness, they appear to be a species of propriety and impropriety. Many actions may be proper or improper, to which dignity or meanness cannot be

applied:

applied: to eat when one is hungry, is proper, but there is no dignity in thataction; revenge fairly taken, if against law, is improper, but not mean. But every action of dignity is also proper, and every mean action is also improper.

This fense of the dignity of human nature, reaches even our pleasures and amusements: if they enlarge the mind by raising grand or elevated emotions, or if they humanize the mind by exercising our sympathy, they are approved as suited to the dignity of our nature: if they contract the mind by fixing it on trivial objects, they are contemned as not suited to the dignity of our nature. Hence, in general, every occupation, whether of use or amusement, that corresponds to the dignity of man, is termed manly; and every occupation below his nature, is termed childish.

To those who study human nature, there is a point which has always appeared intricate: How comes it that generofity and courage are more esteemed, and bestow more dignity, than good-nature, or even justice; though the latter contribute more than the former to private as well as to public happiness? This question, bluntly proposed, might puzzle a cunning philosopher: but, by means of the following observations, will easily be folved. Human virtues, like other objects, obtain a rank in our estimation, not from their utility, which is a fubject of reflection, but from the direct impression they make on us. Justice and good-nature are a fort of negative virtues, that scarce make any impression but when they are trangressed: courage and generosity, on the contrary, producing elevated emotions, enliven greatly the fense of a man's dignity, both in himself and in others; and for that reason, courage and generosity are in higher regard than the other virtues mentioned: we describe them as grand and elevated, as of

greater dignity, and more praife-worthy.

This leads us to examine more directly emotions and passions with respect to the present subject; and it will not be difficult to form a scale of them, beginning with the meanest, and ascending gradually to those of the highest rank and dignity. Pleasure felt as at the organ of fense, named corporeal pleasure, is perceived to be low; and when indulged to excefs, is perceived also to be mean: for that reason, persons of any delicacy diffemble the pleasure they take in eating and drinking. The pleasures of the eye and ear, having no organic feeling,\* and being free from any fense of meanness, are indulged without any shame : they even rife to a certain degree of dignity when their objects are grand or elevated. The fame is the cafe of the sympathetic passions: a virtuous person behaving with fortitude and dignity under cruel misfortunes, makes a capital figure; and the fympathifing spectator feels in himself the same dignity. Sympathetic distress at the same time never is mean: on the contrary, it is agreeable to the nature of a focial being, and has general approbation. The rank that love possessin the scale, depends in a great measure on its object: it possesses a low place when founded on external properties merely; and is mean when bestowed on a person of inferior rank without any extraordinary qualification: but when founded on the more elevated internal properties, it assumes a considerable degree of dignity. The same is the case of friendfbip. When gratitude is warm, it animates the mind; but it scarce rises to dignity. Joy bestows dignity when it proceeds from an elevated cause.

If I can depend upon induction, dignity is not a property of any difagreeable passion: one is slight,

another

another fevere; one depresses the mind, another animates it; but there is no elevation, far less dignity, in any of them. Revenge, in particular, though it inflame and swell the mind, is not accompanied with dignity, nor even with elevation: it is not, however, felt as mean or groveling, unless when it takes indirect measures for gratification. Shame and remorfe, though they sink the spirits, are not mean. Pride, a disagreeable passion, bestows no dignity in the eye of a spectator. Vanity always appears mean; and extremely so where sounded, as commonly happens, on trivial qualifications.

I proceed to the pleasures of the understanding, which possess a high rank in point of dignity. Of this every one will be sensible, when he considers the important truths that have been laid open by science; such as general theorems, and the general laws that govern the material and moral worlds. The pleasures of the understanding are suited to man as a rational and contemplative being; and they tend not a little to ennoble his nature; even to the Deity he stretcheth his contemplations, which, in the discovery of infinite power, wisdom, and benevolence, afford delight of the most exalted kind. Hence it appears, that the sine arts studied as a rational science, afford entertainment of great dignity; superior far to what they afford as a subject of taste merely.

But contemplation, however in itself valuable, is chiefly respected as subservient to action; for man is intended to be more an active than a contemplative being. He accordingly shows more dignity in action than in contemplation: generosity, magnanimity, heroism, raise his character to the highest pitch: these best express the dignity of his nature, and advance him nearer to divinity than any other of his attributes.

By every production that shows art and contrivance, our curiofity is excited upon two points; first, how it was made; and next, to what end. Of the two, the latter is the more important inquiry, because the means are ever subordinate to the end; and, in fact, our curiofity is always more inflamed by the final than by the efficient cause. This preference is no where more visible, than in contemplating the works of nature: if in the efficient cause wisdom and power be displayed, wisdom is no less conspicuous in the final cause; and from it only can we infer benevolence, which of all the divine attributes is to man

the most important.

Having endeavoured to affign the efficient cause of dignity and meannefs, by unfolding the principle on which they are founded, we proceed to explain the final cause of the dignity or meanness bestowed upon the feveral particulars above mentioned, beginning with corporeal pleasures. These, as far as useful, are, like justice, fenced with fusficient fanctions to prevent their being neglected: hunger and thirst are painful fenfations; and we are incited to animal love by a vigorous propenfity: were coporeal pleafures dignified over and above with a place in a high class, they would infallibly diffurb the balance of the mind, by outweighing the focial affections. This is a fatisfactory final cause for refusing to these pleasures any degree of dignity; and the final cause is no less evident of their meannefs, when they are indulged to excefs. The more refined pleasures of external sense, conveved by the eye and the ear from natural objects and from the fine arts, deferve a high place in our efteem, because of their singular and extensive utility: in fome cases they rise to a considerable dignity; and the very lowest pleasures of the kind are never esteemed mean or groveling. The pleafure arifing from wit, humour,

humour, ridicule, or from what is fimply ludicrous, is useful, by relaxing the mind after the fatigue of more manly occupation: but the mind, when it furrenders itself to pleasure of that kind, loses its vigour, and finks gradually into sloth.\* The place this pleasure occupies in point of dignity, is adjusted to these views: to make it useful as a relaxation, it is not branded with meanness; to prevent its usurpation, it is removed from that place but a single degree: no man values himself for that pleasure, even during gratification; and if it have engrossed more of his time than is requisite for relaxation, he looks back with some degree of shame.

In point of dignity, the focial emotions rife above the felfish, and much above those of the eye and ear: man is by his nature a social being; and to qualify him for society, it is wifely contrived, that he should value himself more for being social than felfish.†

The excellency of man is chiefly difcernible in the great improvements he is susceptible of in society: these, by perseverance, may be carried on progressively above any assignable limits; and, even abstracting from revelation, there is great probability, that the progress begun here will be completed in some future state. Now, as all valuable improvements proceed from the exercise of our rational faculties, the author of our nature, in order to excite us

to

<sup>\*</sup> Neque enim ita generati à natura sumus, ut ad ludum et jocum sacti esse videamur, sed ad severitatem potius et ad quaedam studia graviora atque majora. Ludo autem et joco, uti illis quidem licet, sed sicut somno et quietibus caeteris, tum cum gravibus seriisque rebus satissecerimus. Cicero de offic. lib. 1.

<sup>+</sup> For the same reason, the selfish emotions that are sounded upon a social principle, rise higher in our esteem than those that are sounded upon a selfish principle. As to which see above, p. 46. note.

to a due use of these faculties, hath assigned a high rank to the pleasures of the understanding: their utility, with respect to this life as well as a future, entitles them to that rank.

But as action is the aim of all our improvements, actions justly possess the highest of all the ranks. These, we find, are by nature distributed into different classes, and the first in point of dignity affigned to actions that appear not the first in point of use; generosity for example, in the sense of mankind is more respected than justice, though the latter is undoubtedly more effential to fociety; and magnanimity, heroifm, undaunted courage, rife still higher in our esteem. One would readily think, that the moral virtues should be esteemed according to their importance. Nature has here deviated from her ordinary path, and great wisdom is shown in the deviation: the efficient cause is explained above, and the final cause is explained in the Essays of morality and natural religion.\*

We proceed to analyse grace, which being in a good measure an uncultivated field, requires more than ordinary labour:

Graceful is an attribute: grace and gracefulness express that attribute in the form of a noun.

That this attribute is agreeable, no one doubts.

As grace is displayed externally, it must be an object of one or other of our five senses. That it is an object of sight, every person of taste can bear witness; and that it is confined to that sense, appears from induction; for it is not an object of smell, nor of taste, nor of touch. Is it an object of hearing? Some music indeed is termed graceful; but that expression is metaphorical, as when we say of other music that it is beautiful: the latter metaphor, at the same time is

more fweet and eafy; which shows how little applicable to music or to found the former is, when taken in its proper fense.

That it is an attribute of man, is beyond dispute. But of what other beings is it also an attribute? We perceive at first fight that nothing inanimate is entitled to that epithet. What animal then, beside man, is entitled? Surely, not an elephant, nor even a lion. A horse may have a delicate shape with a lofty mein, and all his motions may be exquisite; but he is never faid to be graceful. Beauty and grandeur are common to man with some other beings: but dignity is not applied to any being inferior to man; and upon the strictest examination, the fame appears to hold in grace.

Confining then grace to man, the next inquiry is, whether, like beauty, it makes a constant appearance, or in some circumstances only. Does a person display this attribute at rest as well as in motion, asleep as when awake? It is undoubtedly connected with motion; for when the most graceful person is at rest, neither moving nor speaking, we lose fight of that quality as much as of colour in the dark. then is an agreeable attribute, inseparable from motion as opposed to rest, and as comprehending speech,

looks, gestures, and loco-motion.

As fome motions are homely, the opposite to graceful, the next inquiry is, with what motions is this attribute connected? No man appears graceful in a mask; and, therefore, laying aside the expresfions of the countenance, the other motions may be genteel, may be elegant, but of themselves never are graceful. A motion adjusted in the most perfect manner to answer its end, is elegant; but still somewhat

287

more is required to complete our idea of grace, or

gracefulness.

What this unknown more may be, is the nice point. One thing is clear from what is faid, that this more must arise from the expression of the countenance: and from what expressions so naturally as from those which indicate mental qualities, such as sweetness, benevolence, elevation, dignity? This promises to be a fair analysis; because of all objects mental qualties affect us the most; and the impression made by graceful appearance upon every spectator of taste, is too deep for any cause purely corporeal.

The next step is, to examine what are the mental qualities, that, in conjunction with elegance of motion, produce a graceful appearance. Sweetness, cheerfulness, affability, are not separately sufficient, nor even in conjunction. As it appears to me, dignity alone with elegant motion may produce a graceful appearance; but still more graceful, with the aid of other qualities, those especially that are the most exalted.

But this is not all. The most exalted virtues may be the lot of a person whose countenance has little expression: such a person cannot be graceful. Therefore, to produce this appearance, we must add another circumstance, namely, an expressive countenance, displaying to every spectator of taste, with life and

energy, every thing that passes in the mind.

Collecting these circumstances together, grace may be defined, that agreeable appearance which arises from elegance of motion, and from a countenance expressive of dignity. Expressions of other mental qualities are not effential to that appearance, but they heighten it greatly.

Of all external objects, a graceful person is the

most agreeable.

Dancing affords great opportunity for displaying

grace, and haranguing still more.

I conclude with the following reflection, That in vain will a person attempt to be graceful, who is deficient in amiable qualities. A man, it is true, may form an idea of qualities he is destitute of; and, by means of that idea, may endeavour to express these qualities by looks and gestures: but such studied expression will be too faint and obscure to be graceful.

CHAP.

#### C H A P. XII.

#### Ridicule.

To define ridicule has puzzled and vexed every critic. The definition given by Aristotle is obscure and imperfect.\* Cicero handles it at great length† but without giving any satisfaction: he wanders in the dark, and misses the distinction between risible and ridiculous. Quintilian is sensible of the distinction‡ but has not attempted to explain it. Luckily this subject lies no longer in obscurity: a risible object produceth an emotion of laughter merely: || a ridiculous object is improper as well as risible; and produceth a mixt emotion, which is vented by a laugh of derision or scorn. || ||

Having therefore happily unravelled the knotty

part, I proceed to other particulars.

Burlesque, though a great engine of ridicule, is not confined to that subject; for it is clearly distinguishable into burlesque that excites laughter merely, and burlesque that provokes derision or ridicule. A grave subject in which there is no impropriety, may be brought down by a certain colouring so as to be risible; which is the case of Virgil Travestic; |||||| and

<sup>\*</sup> Poet. cap. 3.

<sup>†</sup> L. 2. De Oratore.

<sup>#</sup>Ideoque anceps ejus rei ratio est, quod a derifu non procul abest rifus

also the case of the Secchia Rapita:\* the authors laugh first, in order to make their readers laugh. The Lutrin is a burlesque poem of the other fort, laying hold of a low and trisling incident, to expose the luxury, indolence, and contentious spirit of a set of monks. Boileau, the author, gives a ridiculous air to the subject, by dressing it in the heroic style, and affecting to consider it as of the utmost dignity and importance. In a composition of this kind, no image professedly ludicrous ought to find quarter, because such images destroy the contrast; and, accordingly, the author shows always the grave face, and never once betrays a smile.

Though the burlefque that aims at ridicule, produces its effect by elevating the style far above the subject, yet it has limits beyond which the elevation ought not to be carried: the poet, confulting the imagination of his readers, ought to confine himself to such images as are lively, and readily apprehended: a ftrained elevation, foaring above an ordinary reach of fancy makes not a pleafant impression: the reader, fatigued with being always upon the stretch, is foon difgusted; and if he persevere, becomes thoughtless and indifferent. Further, a fiction gives no pleafure unless it be painted in colours so lively as to produce fome perception of reality; which never can be done effectually where the images are formed with labour or difficulty. For these reasons, I cannot avoid condemning the Batrachomuomachia, faid to be the composition of Homer: It is beyond the power of imagination to form a clear and lively image of frogs and mice, acting with the dignity of the highest of our species; nor can we form a conception of the reality of fuch an action, in any manner fo diffinct as to interest our affections even in the slightest degree.

The Rape of the Lock is of a character clearly diftinguishable from those now mentioned: it is not properly a burlesque performance, but what may rather be termed an heroi-comical poem: it treats a gay and familiar subject with pleasantry, and with a moderate degree of dignity: the author puts not on a mask like Boileau, nor professes to make us laugh like Tassoni. The Rape of the Lock is a genteel species of writing, less strained than those mentioned; and is pleasant or ludicrous without having ridicule for its chief aim: giving way however to ridicule where it arises naturally from a particular character, such as that of Sir Plume. Addison's Spectator upon the exercise of the fan\* is extremely gay and ludicrous, resembling in its subject the Rape of the Lock.

Humour belongs to the present chapter, because it is connected with ridicule. Congreve defines humour to be "a fingular and unavoidable manner of doing or faying any thing, peculiar and natural to one man only, by which his speech and actions are distinguished from those of other men." Were this definition just, a majestic and commanding air, which is a fingular property, is humour; as also a natural flow of correct and commanding eloquence, which is no less fingular. Nothing just or proper is denominated humour; nor any fingularity of character, words, or actions, that is valued or respected. When we attend to the character of an humourist, we find that it arises from circumstances both risible and improper, and therefore that it lessens the man in our esteem, and makes him in some measure ridiculous.

Humour in writing is very different from humour in character. When an author infifts upon ludicrous fubject with a professed purpose to make his readers readers laugh, he may be flyled a ludicrous writer; but is fcarce entitled to be flyled a writer of humour.

This quality belongs to an author, who, affecting to be grave and ferious, paints his objects in fuch colours as to provoke mirth and laughter. A writer that is really an humourist in character, does this without design: if not, he must affect the character in order to succeed. Swift and Fontaine were humourists in character, and their writings are full of humour. Addison was not an humourist in character; and yet in his prose writings a most delicate and refined humour prevails. Arbuthnot exceeds them all in drollery and humourous painting; which shows a great genius, because, if I am not misinformed, he had nothing of that peculiarity in his character.

There remains to show by examples the manner of treating subjects, so as to give them a ridiculous

appearance.

Il ne dit jamais, je vous donne, mais, je vous prete le bon jour.

Moliere.

Orleans. I know him to be valiant.

Constable. I was told that by one that knows him better than you.

Orleans. What's he?

Constable. Marry, he told me so himself; and he said, he car'd not who knew it.

Henry V. Shakespear.

He never broke any man's head but his own, and that was against a post when he was drunk.

Ibid.

Millament. Sententious Mirabell! pr'ythee don't look with that violent and inflexible wife face, like Solomon at the dividing of the child in an old tapeftry hanging.

Way of the World.
A true

A true critic in the perusal of a book, is like a dog at a feast, whose thoughts and stomach are wholly set upon what the guests sling away, and consequently is apt to snarl most when there are the sewest bones.

Tale of a Tub.

In the following inflances, the ridicule arises from abfurd conceptions in the persons introduced.

Mascarille. Te souvient-il, vicomte de cette demi-lune, que nous emportâmes sur les ennemis au siege d'arras?

Jodelet. Que veux tu dire avec ta demi-lune? c'étoit

bien une lune tout entiere.

Moliere les Precieuses Ridicules, sc. 11.

Slender. I came yonder at Eaton to Marry Mrs. Anne Page; and she's a great lubberly boy.

Page. Upon my life then you took the wrong.

Slender. What need you tell me that? I think so when I took a boy for a girl; if I had been marry'd to him, for all he was in woman's apparel, I would not have had him.

Merry Wives of Windsor.

Valentine. Your bleffing, Sir.

Sir Sampson. You've had it already, Sir; I think I sent it you to day in a bill for four thousand pound; a great deal of money, Brother Foresight.

Forefight. Ay indeed, Sir Sampson, a great deal of money for a young man; I wonder what he can do with it.

Love for Love, act 2. sc. 7.

Millament. I nauseate walking; 'tis a country-diverfion; I loathe the country, and every thing that relates to it.

Sir Wilful. Indeed! hah! look ye, look ye, you do? nay, 'tis like you may—here are choice of pastimes here in town, as plays and the like; that must be confess'd indeed.

Millament. Ah l'etourdie! I hate the town too.

Sir Wilful. Dear heart, that's much—hah! that you should hate 'em both! hah! 'tis like you may; there are some can't relish the town, and others can't away with the country—'tis like you may be one of these, Cousine.

Way of the World, act 4. sc. 4.

Lord Froth.

Lord Froth. I affure you, Sir Paul, I laugh at nobody's jests but my own, or a lady's: I affure, you, Sir Paul.

Brisk. How? how, my Lord? what, affront my wit! Let me perish, do I never say any thing worthy to be

laugh'd at?

Lord Froth. O foy, don't misapprehend me, I don't say so, for I often smile at your conceptions. But there is nothing more unbecoming a man of quality than to laugh; 'tis such a vulgar expression of the passion! every body can laugh. Then especially to laugh at the jest of an inferior person, or when any body else of the same quality does not laugh with one; ridiculous! To be pleas'd with what pleases the crow'd! Now, when I laugh I always laugh alone.

Double Dealer, act 1. fc. 4.

So sharp-sighted is pride in blemishes, and so willing to be gratisted, that it takes up with the very slightest improprieties; such as a blunder by a foreigner in speaking our language, especially if the blunder can bear a sense that reslects on the speaker.

Quickly. The young man is an honest man.
Caius. What shall de honest man do in my closet?
dere is no honest man dat shall come in my closet.

Merry Wives of Windfors

Love-speeches are finely ridiculed in the following passage.

Quoth he, My faith as adamantine; As chains of deftiny, I'll maintain; True as Apollo ever spoke, Or oracle from heart of oak; And if you'll give my flame but vent, Now in close hugger mugger pent, And shine upon me but benignly, With that one, and that other pigsneye, The sun and day shall sooner part, Than love, or you, shake off my heart;

The fun that shall no more dispense His own but your bright influence: I'll carve your name on barks of trees. With true love-knots, and flourishes; That shall infuse eternal spring, And everlasting flourishing: Drink ev'ry letter on't in stum, And make it brisk champaign become. Where-e'er you tread, your foot shall set The primrose and the violet; All spices, perfumes, and sweet powders, Shall borrow from your breath their odours! Nature her charter shall renew, And take all lives of things from you; The world depend upon your eye, And when you frown upon it, die. Only our loves shall still furvive, New worlds and natures to outlive a And, like to herald's moons, remain All crescents, without change or wane.

Hudibras, part 2. canto 1.

Irony turns things into ridicule in a peculiar manner; it confifts in laughing at a man under difguife of appearing to praife or speak well of him. Swift affords us many illustrious examples of that species of ridicule. Take the following.

By these methods, in a few weeks, there starts up many a writer, capable of managing the profoundest and most univerfal subjects. For what though his head be empty, provided his common-place book be full! And if you will bate him but the circumstances of method, and style, and grammar, and invention; allow him but the common privileges of transcribing from others, and digressing from himfelf, as often as he shall see occasion; he will defire no more ingredients towards fitting up a treatife that shall make a very comely figure on a bookfeller's shelf, there to be preferved neat and clean, for a long eternity, adorned with the heraldry of its title, fairly inscribed on a label; never to be thumbed or greafed by students, nor bound to everlasting chains

chains of darkness in a library; but when the fulness of time is come, shall happily undergo the trial of purgatory, in order to ascend the sky.\*

I cannot but congratulate our age on this peculiar felicity, that though we have indeed made great progress in all other branches of luxury, we are not yet debauch'd with any high relish in poetry, but are in this one taste less nice than our ancestors.

If the Reverend clergy shewed more concern than others, I charitably impute it to their great charge of souls; and what confirmed me in this opinion was, that the degrees of apprehension and terror could be distinguished to be greater or less, according to their ranks and degrees in the church.

A parody must be distinguished from every species of ridicule: it enlivens a gay subject by imitating some important incident that is serious; it is ludicrous, and may be risible; but ridicule is not a necessary ingredient. Take the following examples, the first of which refers to an expression of Moses.

The skilful nymph reviews her force with care: Let spades be trumps! she said, and trumps they were. Rape of the Lock, Canto iii. 45.

The next is in imitation of Achilles's oath in Hexmer:

But by this lock, this facred lock, I swear, (Which never more shall join its parted hair, Which never more its honours shall renew, Clip'd from the lovely head where late it grew,) That while my nostrils draw the vital air, This hand, which won it, shall for ever wear.

\* Tale of a Tub, fect. 7.

Vol. I

<sup>†</sup> A true and faithful narrative of what paffed in London during the general conflernation of all ranks and degrees of mankind.

He spoke, and speaking, in proud triumph spread The long-contended honours of her head. *Ibid. canto* iv. 123.

The following imitates the history of Agamemnon's sceptre in Homer.

Now meet thy fate, incens'd Belinda cry'd,
And drew a deadly bodkin from her fide,
(The fame, his ancient perfonage to deck,
Her great-great-grandfire wore about his neck,
In three feal-rings; which after, melted down,
Form'd a vaft buckle for his widow's gown:
Her infant grandame's whiftle next it grew,
The bells fhe jingled, and the whiftle blew;
Then in a bodkin grac'd her mother's hairs,
Which long she wore, and now Belinda wears.)

Ibid. canto 5. 87.

Though ridicule, as observed above, is no necessary ingredient in a parody, yet there is no opposition between them: ridicule may be successfully employed in a parody: and a parody may be employed to promote ridicule; witness the following example with respect to the latter, in which the goddess of Dullness is addressed upon the subject of modern education:

Thou gav'll that ripeness, which so soon began, And ceas'd so soon, he ne'er was boy nor man; Through school and college, thy kind cloud o'ercast, Sase and unseen the young Æneas past;\*
Thence bursting glorious, all at once let down, Stunn'd with his giddy larum half the town.

Dunciad, b. iv. 287.

The interpolition of the gods, in the manner of Homer and Virgil, ought to be confined to ludicrous subjects,

fubjects, which are much enlivened by fuch interpofition handled in the form of a parody; witness the cave of Spleen, Rape of the Lock, canto 4. the goddess of Discord, Lutrin, canto 1. and the goddess of Indolence, canto 2.

Those who have a talent for ridicule, which is seldom united with a taste for delicate and refined beauties, are quick-sighted in improprieties; and these they eagerly grasp, in order to gratify their favourite propensity. Persons galled are provoked to maintain that ridicule is improper for grave subjects. Subjects really grave are by no means sit for ridicule: but then it is urged against them, that when it is called in question whether a certain subject be really grave, ridicule is the only means of determining the controversy. Hence a celebrated question, Whether ridicule be or be not a test of truth? I give this question a place here, because it tends to illustrate the nature of ridicule.

The question stated in accurate terms is, Whether the sense of ridicule be the proper test for distinguishing ridiculous objects, from what are not so. Taking it for granted, that ridicule is not a subject of reasoning, but of sense or taste,\* I proceed thus. No person doubts but that our sense of beauty is the true test of what is beautiful; and our sense of grandeur, of what is great or sublime. Is it more doubtful whether our sense of ridicule be the true test of what is ridiculous? It is not only the true test, but indeed the only test; for this subject comes not, more than beauty or grandeur, under the province of reason. If any subject, by the influence of fashion or custom, have acquired a degree of veneration to which naturally it is not entitled, what

<sup>\*</sup> See chap. 10. compared with chap. 7.

what are the proper means for wiping off the artificial colouring, and displaying the subject in its true light? A man of true taste sees the subject without disguise: but if he hesitate, let him apply the test of ridicule, which separates it from its artificial connections, and exposes it naked with all its native improprieties.

But it is urged, that the gravest and most serious matters may be fet in a ridiculous light. Hardly fo; for where an object is neither rifible nor improper, it lies not open in any quarter to an attack from ridicule. But supposing the fact, I foresee not any harmful consequence. By the same fort of reasoning, a talent for wit ought to be condemned, because it may be employed to burlesque a great or lofty subject. Such irregular use made of a talent for wit or ridicule, cannot long impose upon mankind: it cannot stand the test of correct and delicate taste; and truth will at last prevail even with the vulgar. To condemn a talent for ridicule because it may be perverted to wrong purpofes, is not a little ridiculous: could one forbear to fmile, if a talent for reasoning were condemned because it also may be perverted? and yet the conclusion in the latter case, would be not less just than in the former: perhaps more just; for no talent is more frequently perverted than that of reason.

We had best leave nature to her own operations: the most valuable talents may be abused, and so may that of ridicule: let us bring it under proper culture if we can, without endeavouring to pluck it up by the root. Were we destitute of this test of truth, I know not what might be the consequences: I see not what rule would be lest us to prevent splendid trisles passing for matters of importance, show and form for substance, and superstition or enthusiasm for pure religion.

CHAP.

#### C H A P. XIII.

#### Wit.

WIT is a quality of certain thoughts and expressions: the term is never applied to an action nor to a passion, and as little to an external object.

However difficult it may be, in many instances, to distinguish a witty thought or expression from one that is not so, yet, in general, it may be laid down, that the term wit is appropriated to such thoughts and expressions as are ludicrous, and also occasion some degree of surprise by their singularity. Wit, also, in a sigurative sense, expresses a talent for inventing ludicrous thoughts or expressions: we say commonly, a witty man, or a man of wit.

Wit in its proper fense, as explained above, is diftinguishable into two kinds; wit in the thought, and wit in the words or expression. Again, wit in the thought is of two kinds; ludicrous images, and ludicrous combinations of things that have little or no

natural relation.

Ludicrous images that occasion surprise by their fingularity, as having little or no foundation in nature, are fabricated by the imagination: and the imagination is well qualified for the office; being of all our faculties the most active, and the least under restraint. Take the following example.

Shylock. You knew (none fo well, none fo well as you) of my daughter's flight.

Salino. That's certain; I for my part knew the tailor

that made the wings she flew withal.

Merchant of Venice, at 3. sc. 1. The

The image here is undoubtedly witty. It is ludicrous: and it must occasion surprise; for having no natural foundation, it is altogether unexpected.

The other branch of wit in the thought, is that only which is taken notice of by Addison, following Locke, who defines it "to lie in the affemblage of ideas; and putting those together, with quickness and variety, wherein can be found any resemblance or congruity, thereby to make up pleasant pictures and agreeable visions in the fancy.\*" It may be defined more concisely, and perhaps more accurately, "A junction of things by distant and fanciful relations, which surprise because they are unexpected.†" The following is a proper example.

We grant although he had much wit, He was very shie of using it, As being both to wear it out; And therefore bore it not about, Unless on holidays, or so, As men their best apparel do.

Hudibras, canto 1.

Wit is of all the most elegant recreation; the image enters the mind with gaiety, and gives a sudden flash, which is extremely pleasant. Wit thereby gently elevates without straining, raises mirth without dissoluteness, and relaxes while it entertains.

Wit in the expression, commonly called a play of words, being a bastard fort of wit, is reserved for the last place. I proceed to examples of wit in the thought; and first of ludicrous images.

Falstaff, speaking of his taking Sir John Colevile

of the Dale.

Here he is, and here I yield him; and I befeech your Grace, let it be book'd with the rest of this day's deeds; or, by the Lord, I will have it in a particular ballad else, with

with mine own picture on the top of it, Colevile kiffing my foot: to the which course if I be enforced, if you do not all shew like gilt twopences to me; and I, in the clear sky of fame, o'ershine you as much as the full moon doth the cinders of the element, which shew like pins' heads to her; believe not the word of the noble. Therefore let me have right, and let desert mount.

Second part, Henry IV. act 4. fc. 6.

I knew, when feven justices could not take up a quarrel, but when the parties were met themselves, one of them thought but of an *if*; as, if you said so, then I said so; and they shook hands, and swore brothers; Your *if* is the only peacemaker; much virtue is in *if*.

Shakespear.

For there is not through all nature, another so callous, and insensible a member, as the world's posteriors, whether you apply to it the toe or the birch.

Preface to the tale of a Tub.

The war hath introduced abundance of polyfyllables, which will never be able to live many more campaigns. Speculations, operations, preliminaries, ambaffadors, palifadoes, communication, circumvallation, battalions, as numerous as they are, if they attack us too frequently in our coffee-houses, we shall certainly put them to slight, and cut off the rear.

Tatler, No. 230.

# Speaking of Discord.

She never went abroad, but she brought home such a bundle of monstrous lies, as would have amazed any mortal, but such as knew her; of a whale that had swallowed a fleet of ships; of the lions being let out of the tower to destroy the Protestant religion; of the Pope's being seen in a brandy-shop at Wapping, &c.

History of John Bul, part 1. ch. 16.

The other branch of wit in the thought, namely, ludicrous combinations and oppositions, may be traced through various ramifications. And, first, fanciful causes assigned that have no natural relation to the effects produced:

Lancaster. Fare you well, Falstaff; I, in my condition, Shall better speak of you than you deserve. [Exit. Falstaff. I would you had but the wit; 'twere better

than your dukedom. Good faith, this fame young foberblooded boy doth not love me; nor a man cannot make him laugh; but that's no marvel, he drinks no wine. There's never any of these demure boys come to any proof; for thin drink doth fo overcool their blood, and making many fish-meals, that they fall into a kind of male green-sickness; and then, when they marry, they get wenches. They are generally fools and cowards; which fome of us thould be too, but for inflammation. A good sherris-sack hath a two-fold operation in it: it ascends me into the brain; dries me there all the foolish, dull, and crudy vapours which environ it, makes it apprehensive, quick, forgetive, full of nimble, fiery, and delectable shapes; which deliver'd o'er to the voice, the tongue, which is the birth, becomes excellent wit. The fecond property of your excellent sherris is, the warming of the blood; which before cold and fettled, left the liver white and pale; which is the badge of pufillanimity and cowardice: but the sherris warms it, and makes it courfe from the inwards to the parts extreme; it illuminateth the face, which, as a beacon, gives warning to all the rest of this little kingdom, man, to arm; and then the vital commoners and inland petty spirits muster me all to their captain, the heart, who, great, and puff'd up with this retinue, doth any deed of courage: and thus valour comes of sherris. So that skill in the weapon is nothing without fack, for that fets it a-work; and learning a mere hoard of gold kept by a devil, till fack commences it, and fets it in act and use. Hereof comes it, that Prince Harry is valiant; for the cold blood he did naturally inherit of his father, he hath, like lean, steril, and bare land, manured, husbanded, and till'd with excellent endeavour of drinking good and good store of fertile sherris, that he is become very hot and valiant. thousand

thousand fons, the first human principle I would teach them, should be to forswear thin potations, and to addict themselves to fack.

Second part of Henry IV. act 4. sc. 7.

The trenchant blade, toledo trusty, For want of fighting was grown rufty, And ate into itself, for lack Of fome body to hew and hack. The peaceful fcabbard where it dwelt. The rancor of its edge had felt; For of the lower end two handful, It had devoured, 'twas fo manful; And fo much fcorn'd to lurk in cafe, As if it durst not shew its face.

Hudibras, canto 1.

# Speaking of physicians,

Le bon de cette profession est, qu'il y a parmi les morts une honnêteté, une discrétion la plus grande du monde; jamais on n'en voit se plaindre du médicin qui l'a tué. Le medicin malgré lui.

> Admirez les bontez, admirez les tendresses, De ces vieux esclaves du sort. Ils ne sont jamais las d'aquérir des richesses, Pour ceux qui souhaitent leur mort.

Belinda. Lard, he has so pestered me with flames and stuff-I think I shant endure the fight of a fire this twelvemonth.

Old Backelor, act 2. fc. 4.

To account for effects by fuch fantastical causes, being highly ludicrous, is quite improper in any ferious composition. Therefore the following passage from Cowley, in his poem on the death of Sir Henry Wooton, is in a bad tafte.

He did the utmost bounds of knowledge find, He found them not so large as was his mind. But, like the brave pellæan youth, did moan, Because that Art had no more worlds than one. And when he saw that he through all had past, He dy'd, lest he should idle grow at last.

### Fanciful reasoning:

Falstaff. Imbowell'd!——if thou imbowel me to-day, I'll give you leave to powder me, and eat me to-morrow! 'Sblood, 'twas time to counterfeit, or that hot termagant Scot had paid me fcot and lot too. Counterfeit! I lie, I am no counterfeit; to die is to be a counterfeit; for he is but the counterfeit of a man, who hath not the life of a man; but to counterfeit dying, when a man thereby liveth, is to be no counterfeit, but the true and perfect image of life, indeed.

First part, Henry IV. act 1. sc. 10.

Cl.wn. And the more pity that great folk should have countenance in this world to drown or hang themselves, more than their even Christian.

Hamlet, alt 5. sc. 1.

Pedro. Will you have me, Lady?

Beatrice. No, my Lord, unless I might have another for working days. Your Grace is too colly to wear every day.

Much ado about nothing, all, 2. sc. 5.

Jessiea. I shall be faved by my husband; he hath made rate a Christian.

Launcelot. Truly the more to blame he; we were Christians enough before e'en as many as could well live by one another: this making of Christians will raise the price of hogs; if we grow all to be pork-eaters, we shall not have a rasher on the coals for money.

Merchant of Venice, act 3. fc. 6.

In western clime there is a town, To those that dwell therein well known: Therefore there needs no more be faid here, We unto them refer our reader: For brevity is very good When w'are, or are not understood.

Hudibras, canto 1.

But Hudibras gave him a twitch, As quick as lightning, in the breech, Just in the place where honour's lodg'd, As wife philosophers have judg'd; Because a kick in that part, more Hurts honour, than deep wounds before. Ibid. canto 2.

Ludicrous junction of fmall things with great, as of equal importance:

This day black omens threat the brightest fair. That e'er deserv'd a watchful spirit's care, Some dire difaster or by force or slight; But what, or where, the fates have wrapt in night: Whether the nymph shall break Diana's law; Or some frail china jar receive a flaw; Or stain her honour, or her new brocade; Forget her pray'rs or miss a masquerade; Or lose her heart, or necklace, at a ball; Or whether heaven has doom'd that shock must fall. Rape of the Lock, canto ii. 101.

One speaks the glory of the British Queen, And one describes a charming Indian screen. Ibid. canto iii. 13.

Then flash'd the living lightning from her eyes, And screams of horror rend th' affrighted skies. Not louder shrieks to pitying heav'n are cast, When hufbands, or when lapdogs, breathe their laft; Or when rich china vessels fall'n from high, In glitt ring dust and painted fragments lie! Ibid. canto iii. 155.

Not

Not youthful kings in battle seiz'd alive, Not scornful virgins who their charms survive, Not ardent lovers robb'd of all their bliss, Not ancient ladies when refus'd a kiss, Not tyrants sierce that unrepenting die, Not Cynthia when her manteau's pinn'd awry, E'er felt such rage, resemment, and despair, As thou sad virgin for thy ravith'd hair.

Ibid. canto iv. 3.

Joining things that in appearance are opposite. As for example, where Sir Roger de Coverley, in the Spectator, speaking of his widow,

That he would have given her a coal-pit to have kept her in clean linen; and that her finger should have sparkled with one hundred of his richest acres.

Premifes that promife much and perform nothing. Cicero upon that article fays,

Sed scitis esse notissimum ridiculi genus, cum aliud expectamus, aliud dicitur: hic nobismetipsis noster error risum movet.\*

Beatrice. With a good leg and a good foot, uncle, and money enough in his purse, such a man would win any woman in the world if he could get her good-will.

Much ado about nothing, act 2. sc. 1.

Beatrice. I have a good eye, uncle, I can fee a church by day-light.

Ibid.

Le medicin que l'on m'indique Sait le Latin, le Grec, l'Hebreu, Les belles lettres, la physique, La chimie et la botanique. Chacun lui donne son aveu: Il auroit aussi ma pratique; Mais je veux vivre encore un peu.

# Again,

Vingt sois le jour le bon Grégoire A foin de fermer son armoire. De quoi pensez vous qu'il a peur? Belle demande! Qu'un voleur Trouvante une facile proie, Ne lui ravisse tout son bien. Non; Grégoire a peur qu'on ne voie Que dans son armoire il n'a rien.

# Again,

L'athsmatique Damon a cru que l'air des champs Repareroit en lui le ravage des ans, Il s'est fuit, a grands fraix, transporter en Bretagne. Or voiez ce qu'a fait l'air natal qu'il a pris! Damon seroit mort à Paris: Damon est mort à la campagne.

Having discussed wit in the thought, we proceed to what is verbal only, commonly called a play of words. This fort of wit depends, for the most part, upon choosing a word that hath different significations: by that artifice hocus-pocus tricks are played in language, and thoughts plain and simple take on a very different appearance. Play is necessary for man, in order to refresh him after labour; and accordingly man loves play, even so much as to relish a play of words: and it is happy for us, that words can be employed not only for useful purposes, but also for our amusement. This amusement, though humble and low, unbends the mind; and is relished by some at all times, and by all at some times.

It is remarkable, that this low species of wit, has among all nations been a favourite entertainment, in a certain stage of their progress toward refinement of taste and manners, and has gradually gone into disrepute.

difrepute. As foon as a language is formed into a fystem, and the meaning of words is ascertained with tolerable accuracy, opportunity is afforded for expressions that, by the double meaning of some words, give a familiar thought the appearance of being new; and the penetration of the reader or hearer is gratified in detecting the true fense disguised under the double meaning. That this fort of wit was in England deemed a reputable amusement, during the reigns of Elisabeth and James I. is vouched by the works of Shakespear, and even by the writings of grave divines. But it cannot have any long endurance; for as language ripens, and the meaning of words is more and more afcertained, words held to be fynonymous diminish daily; and when those that remain have been more than once employed, the pleafure vanisheth with the novelty.

I proceed to examples, which, as in the former

case, shall be distributed into different classes.

A feeming refemblance from the double meaning of a word:

Beneath this stone my wife doth lie; She's now at rest and so am I.

A feeming contrast from the same cause, termed, a verbal antithests, which hath no despicable effect in ludicrous subjects:

Whilst Iris his cosmetic wash would try
To make her bloom revive, and lovers die,
Some ask for charms and others philters chuse,
To gain Corinna, and their quartans lose.

Dispensary, canto 2.

And how frail nymphs, oft by abortion, aim
To lofe a fubfiance, to preferve a name.

Ibid. canto 3.

While

While nymphs take treats, or affignations give.

Rape of the Lock.

# Other feeming connections from the same cause:

Will you employ your conquiring fword,
To break a fiddle, and your word?

Hudibras, canto 2.

To whom the knight with comely grace Put off his hat to put his case.

Ibid. part 3. canto 3.

Here Britain's statesmen oft the fall foredoom Of foreign tyrants, and of nymphs at home; Here thou, great Anna! whom three realms obey, Dost sometimes counsel take—and sometimes tea. Rape of the Leck, canto 3. l. 5.

O'er their quietus where fat judges dose, And lull their cough and conscience to repose Dispensary, canto 1.

### Speaking of Prince Eugene:

This general is a great taker of fnuff as well as of towns.

Pope, Key to the Lock.

Exul mentifque domufque.

Metamorphofes, l. ix. 409.

# A feeming opposition from the same cause:

Hic quiescit qui nunquam quievit.

### Again,

Quel âge a cette Iris, dont on fait tant de bruit? Me demandoit Cliton n'aguere. Il faut, dis-je, vous fatisfaire, Elle a vingt ans le jeur, et cinquante ans la nuit.

Again,

# Again,

So like the chances are of love and war, That they alone in this distinguish'd are; In love the victors from the vanquish'd fly, They fly that wound, and they pursue that die.

What new found witchcraft was in thee, With thine own cold to kindle me? Strange art; like him that should devise To make a burning glass of ice.

Corvley.

Wit of this kind is unfuitable in a ferious poem ; witness the following line in Pope's Elegy to the memory of an unfortunate lady:

Cold is that breast which warm'd the world before.

This fort of writing is finely burlefqued by Swift:

Her hands the softest ever felt. Though cold would burn, though dry would melt. Strephon and Chloe.

Taking a word in a different fense from what is meant, comes under wit, because it occasions some flight degree of furprise:

Beatrice. I may fit in a corner and cry Heigh ho! for a hufband.

Pedro. Lady Beatrice, I will get you one.

Beatrice. I would rather have one of your father's getting. Hath your grace ne'er a brother like you? Your father got excellent husbands, if a maid could come by them.

Much ado about nothing; act 2. fc. 5.

Falstaff: My honest lads, I will tell you what I am about.

Piftol.

Pistol. Two yards and more.

Falftaff. No quips now, Pistol: indeed I am in the waste two yards about: but I am now about no waste; I am about thrift.

Merry Wives of Windsor, all 1. sc. 7.

Lo. Sands.——By your leave sweet ladies, If I chance to talk a little wild, forgive me: I had it from my father.

Anne Bullen. Was he mad, Sir!

Sands. O, very mad, exceeding mad, in love too; But he would bite none——

K. Henry VIII.

An affertion that bears a double meaning, one right, one wrong, but so introduced as to direct us to the wrong meaning, is a species of bastard wit, which is distinguished from all others by the name pun. For example,

Paris.——Sweet Helen, I must woo you, To help unarm our Hector: his stubborn buckles, With these your white enchanting singers touch'd, Shall more obey, than to the edge of steel, Or force of Greekish sinews; you shall do more Than all the island Kings, disarm great Hector.

Troilus and Cressida, act 3. sc. 2.

The pun is in the close. The word difarm has a double meaning: it fignifies to take off a man's armour, and also to subdue him in fight. We are directed to the latter sense by the context; but, with regard to Helen, the word holds only true in the former sense. I go on with other examples:

Esse nihil dicis quicquid petis, improbe Cinna: Si nil, Cinna, petis, nil tibi, Cinna, nego.

Martial, l. 3. epigr. 61.

Jocondus

W

Jocondus geminum imposuit tibi, Sequana, pontem; Hunc tu jure potes dicere pontificem.

Sanzaarius.

#### N. B. Jocondus was a monk.

Chief Justice. Well! the truth is, Sir John you live in great infamy.

Falstaff. He that buckles him in my belt cannot live in

less.

Chief Juftice. Your means are very flender, and your waste is great.

Falstaff. I would it were otherwise: I would my means

were greater and my waste slenderer.

Second Part, Henry IV. act 1. fc. 5.

Celia. I pray you bear with me I can go no further.

Clown. For my part, I had rather bear with you than bear you: yet I should bear no cross if I did bear you; for I think you have no money in your purse.

As you like it, act 2. sc. 4.

He that imposes an oath makes it,
Not he that for convenience takes it;
Then how can any man be faid
To break an oath he never made?

Hudibras, part 2. canto 2.

The feventh fatire of the first book of Horace is purposely contrived to introduce at the close a most execrable pun. Talking of some infamous wretch whose name was Rex Rupilius,

Perfius exclamat, Per magnos, Brute, deos te Oro, qui reges confueris tollere, cur non Hunc regem jugulas? Operum hoc, mihi crede, tuorum est.

Though playing with words is a mark of a mind at eafe, and disposed to any fort of amusement, we must

must not thence conclude that playing with words is always ludicrous. Words are so intimately connected with thought, that if the subject be really grave, it will not appear ludicrous even in that fantastic dress. I am, however, far from recommending it in any serious performance: on the contrary, the discordance between the thought and expression must be disagreeable; witness the following specimen.

He hath abandoned his physicians, Madam, under whose practifes he hath perfecuted time with hope: and finds no other advantage in the process, but only the losing of hope by time.

All's well that ends well, act 1. sc. 1.

K. Henry. O my poor kingdom fick with civil blows! When that my care could not with-hold thy riots, What wilt thou do when riot is thy care?

Second part, K. Henry IV.

If any one shall observe, that there is a third species of wit, different from those mentioned, consisting in sounds merely, I am willing to give it place. And indeed it must be admitted, that many of Hudibras's double rhymes come under the definition of wit given in the beginning of this chapter: they are ludicrous and their singularity occasions some degree of surprise. Swift is no less successful than Butler in this fort of wit; witness the following instances: Goddess—Boddice. Pliny—Nicolini. Isca-

riots—Chariots. Mitre—Nitre. Dragon—Suffragan. A repartee may happen to be witty: but it cannot be confidered as a species of wit; because there are many repartees extremely sinart and yet extremely serious. I give the following example. A certain petulant Greek, objecting to Anacharsis that he was a Scythian: True, says Anacharsis, my country difgraces me, but you disgrace your country. This sine turn gives surprise; but it is far from being ludicrous.

#### C H A P. XIV.

# Custom and Habit.

VIEWING man as under the influence of novelty, would one suspect that custom also should influence him? and yet our nature is equally susceptible of each; not only in different objects, but frequently in the same. When an object is new, it is enchanting: familiarity renders it indifferent; and custom, after a longer familiarity, makes it again disagreeable. Human nature diversified with many and various springs of action, is wonderfully, and, indulging the expression, intricately constructed.

Custom hath such influence upon many of our feelings, by warping and varying them, that we must attend to its operations if we would be acquainted with human nature. This subject, in itself obscure, has been much neglected; and a complete analysis of it would be no easy task. I pretend only to touch it cursorily; hoping, however, that what is here laid down, will dispose diligent inquirers to attempt

further discoveries.

Custom respects the action, babit the agent. By custom we mean a frequent reiteration of the same act; and by habit, the effect that custom has on the agent. This effectimal be either active, witness the dexterity produced by custom in performing certain exercises; or passive, as when a thing makes an impression on us different from what it did originally. The latter only as relative to the sensitive part of our nature, comes under the present undertaking.

This fubject is intricate: fome pleasures are fortified by custom; and yet custom begets familiarity,

and confequently indifference:\* in many instances, satiety and disgust are the confequences of reiteration: again, though custom blunts the edge of distress and of pain, yet the want of any thing to which we have been long accustomed, is a fort of torture. A clue to guide us through all the intricacies of this labyrinth, would be an acceptable present.

Whatever be the cause, it is certain that we are much influenced by custom: it hath an effect upon our pleasures, upon our actions, and even upon our thoughts and sentiments. Habit makes no figure during the vivacity of youth: in middle age it gains ground; and in old age governs without control. In that period of life, generally speaking, we eat at a certain hour, take exercise at a certain hour, go to rest at a certain hour, all by the direction of habit: nay, a particular seat, table, bed, comes to be essential; and a habit in any of these cannot be controlled without uneasiness.

Any flight or moderate pleasure frequently reiterated for a long time, forms a peculiar connection between us and the thing that causes the pleasure. This connection termed babit, has the effect to awaken our desire or appetite for that thing when it returns not as usual. During the course of enjoyment, the pleasure rises insensibly higher and higher till a habit be established; at which time the pleasure is at its height. It continues not however stationary: the same customary reiteration which carried it to be height, brings it down again by insensible degrees.

\* If all the year were playing holidays,
To fport would be as a dious as to work:
But when they feldom come, they wish'd for come,
And nothing pleaseth but rare accidents.

even lower than it was at first: but of that circumstance afterward. What at present we have in view, is to prove by experiments, that those things which at first are but moderately agreeable, are the aptest to become habitual. Spirituous liquors, at first scarce agreeable, readily produce an habitual appetite: and custom prevails so far, as even to make us fond of things originally disagreeable, such as cossee, assa-foetida, and tobacco; which is pleasantly illustrated by Congreve:

Fainall. For a passionate lover, methinks you are a man somewhat too discerning in the failings of your mistress.

Mirabell. And for a differning man, fomewhat too passionate a lover; for I like her with all her faults; nay like her for her faults. Her follies are so natural, or so ariful, that they become her; and those affectations which in another woman would be odious, ferve but to make her more agreeable. I'll tell thee, Fainall, she once us'd me with that infolence, that in revenge I took her to pieces, fifted her, and separated her failings; I study'd 'em, and got 'em by rote. The catalogue was fo large, that I was not without hopes, one day or other, to hate her heartily: to which end I fo us'd myfelf to think of 'em, that at length, contrary to my defign and expectation, they gave me every hour less and less disturbance; till in a few days, it became habitual to me to remember 'em without being displeased. They are now grown as familiar to me as my own frailties; and in all probability, in a little time longer, I shall like em as well.

The way of the world, act 1. sc. 3.

A walk upon the quarter-deck, though intolerably confined, becomes however fo agreeable by custom, that a failor in his walk on shore, confines himself commonly within the same bounds. I knew a man who had relinquished the sea for a country-life: in the corner of his garden he reared an artificial mount with a level summit, resembling most accurately a quarter.

quarter-

quarter-deck, not only in shape but in size; and here he generally walked. In Minorca Governor Kane made an excellent road the whole length of the island; and yet the inhabitants adhere to the old road, though not only longer but extremely bad.\* Play or gaming, at first barely amusing by the occupation it affords, becomes in time extremely agreeable; and is frequently profecuted with avidity, as if it were the chief business of life. The same observation is applicable to the pleafures of the internal fenses, those of knowledge and virtue in particular: children have scarce any fense of these pleasures; and men very little who are in the state of nature without culture: our taste for virtue and knowledge improves flowly; but is capable of growing stronger than any other appetite in human nature.

To introduce an active habit, frequency of acts is not fufficient without length of time: the quickest succession of acts in a short time, is not sufficient; nor a slow succession in the longest time. The effect must be produced by a moderate soft action, and a long series of easy touches, removed from each other by short intervals. Nor are these sufficient without regularity in the time, place, and other circumstances of the action: the more uniform any operation is, the sooner it becomes habitual. And this holds equally in a passive habit; variety in any remarkable degree, prevents the effect; thus any particular food will scarce ever become habitual, where the manner of dressing is varied. The circumstances

then

<sup>\*</sup> Custom is a second nature. Formerly, the merchants of Bristol had no place for meeting but the street, open to every variety of weather. An exchange was credted for them with convenient plazzas. But so rivetted were they to their accustomed place, that in order to dislocate them, the magistrates were forced to break up the pavement, and to render the place a heap of rough stones.

then requisite to augment a moderate pleasure, and at the long run to form a habit, are weak uniform acts, reiterated during a long course of time without any confiderable interruption: every agreeable cause that operates in this manner, will grow habitual.

Affection and aversion, as distinguished from pasfion on the one hand, and on the other from original disposition, are in reality habits respecting particular objects, acquired in the manner above fet forth. The pleasure of social intercourse with any person, must originally be faint, and frequently reiterated, in order to establish the habit of affection. Affection thus generated, whether it be friendship or love, feldom iwells into any tumultuous or vigorous passion; but is however the strongest cement that can bind together two individuals of the human species. like manner, a flight degree of difgust often reiterated with regularity, grows into the habit of aversion, which commonly fubfifts for life.

Objects of talte that are delicious, far from tending to become Kabitual, are apt by indulgence to produce fatiety and difgust: no man contracts a habit of fugar, honey, or fweet-meats, as he doth of

tobacco:

Dulcia non ferimus; fucco renovamur amaro. Ovid. art. amand. 1. 3.

Infipido è quel dolce, che condito Non è di qualche amaro, e tosto fatia.

Aminta di Taffo.

These violent delights have violent ends, And in their triumph die. The sweetest honey Is loathfome in its own deliciousness, And in the tafte confounds the appetite;

Therefore

Therefore love mod'rately, long love doth so; Too swift arrives as tardy as too slow.

Romso and Juliet, all 2. sc. 6.

The fame observation holds with respect to all objects that being extremely agreeable raise violent passions: such passions are incompatible with a habit of any fort; and in particular they never produce affection nor aversion: a man who at first sight falls violently in love, has a strong desire of enjoyment, but no affection for the woman:\* a man who is surprised with an unexpected favour burns for an opportunity to exert his gratitude, without having any affection for his benefactor:

\* Violent love without affection is finely exemplified in the following flory. When Conflantinople was taken by the Turks, Irene, a young Greek of an illustrious family, fell into the hands of Mahomet II, who was at that time in the prime of youth and glory. His favage heart being subdued by her charms, he flut himself up with her, denying accept even to his ministers. Love obtained such ascendant as to make him seen tory was no longer the monarch's favourite passion. The soldiers, accustomed to booty, began to murmur: and the infection spread even among the commanders. The Basha Mustapha, consulting the sidelity he owed his master, was the first who durst acquaint him of the discourses

held publicly to the prejudice of his glory.

The Sultan, after a gloomy filence, formed his resolution. He ordered Mustapha to assemble the troops next morning; and then with precipitation retired to Irene's apartment. Never before did that princes appear so charming; never before did the prince bestow so many warm caresses. To give a new lustre to her beauty, he exhorted her women next morning, to bestow their utmost art and care on her dress. He took her by the hand, led her into the middle of the army, and pulling off her vail, demanded of the Bashas with a sierce look, whether they had ever beheld such a beauty? After an awful pause. Mahomet with one hand laying hold of the young Greek by her beautiful locks, and with the other pulling out his scimitar, severed the head from the body at one stroke. Then turning to his grandees, with eyes wild and surious, "This sword," said he, "when it is my will, knows to cut the bands of love." However strange it may appear, we learn from experience, that desire of enjoyment may consist with the most brutal average in the first book of Sully's Memoirs; to which I choose to refer the reader, for it is too gross to be transcribed.

benefactor: neither does desire of vengeance for an

atrocious injury, involve aversion.

It is perhaps not eafy to fay why moderate pleasures gather strength by custom: but two causes concur to prevent that effect in the more intense pleasures. These by an original law in our nature, increase quickly to their full growth, and decay with no less precipitation;\* and custom is too slow in its operation to overcome that law. The other cause is no less powerful: exquisite pleasure is extremely fatiguing; occasioning, as a naturalist would say, great expense of animal spirits;† and of such the mind cannot bear so frequent gratification, as to superinduce a habit: if the thing that raises the pleasure return before the mind have recovered its tone and relish, disgust ensues instead of pleasure.

A habit never fails to admonish us of the wonted time of gratification, by raifing a pain for want of the object, and a defire to have it. The pain of want is always first felt: the defire naturally follows; and upon prefenting the object both vanish instantaneously. Thus a man accustomed to tobacco, feels, at the end of the usual interval, a confused pain of want; which at first points at nothing in particular, though it foon fettles upon its accustomed object; and the fame may be observed in persons addicted to drinking, who are often in an uneafy reftless state before they think of the bottle. In pleasures indulged regularly, and at equal intervals, the appetite, remarkably obsequious to custom, returns regularly with the usual time of gratification; not fooner, even though the object be prefented. This pain of want arifing

\* See chap. 2. part 3.

T Lady Easy, upon her husband's reformation, expresses to her friend the following tentiment: "Be satisfied; Sir Charles has made me happy, even to a pain of joy."

arifing from habit, feems directly opposite to that of fatiety; and it must appear fingular, that frequency of gratification should produce effects so opposite, as are the pains of excess and of want.

The appetites that refpect the prefervation and propagation of our species, are attended with a pain of want similar to that occasioned by habit: hunger and thirst are uneasy sensations of want, which always precede the desire of eating or drinking; and a pain for want of carnal enjoyment precedes the desire of an object. The pain being thus felt independent of an object, cannot be cured but by gratification. Very different is an ordinary passion, in which desire precedes the pain of want: such a passion connot exist but while the object is in view; and therefore, by removing the object out of thought, it vanisheth, with its desire, and pain of want.\*

The natural appetites above mentioned differ from habit in the following particular: they have an undetermined direction toward all objects of gratification in general; whereas an habitual appetite is directed to a particular object: the attachment we have by habit to a particular woman, differs widely from the natural passion which comprehends the whole fex; and the habitual relish for a particular dish is far from being the same with a vague appetite for food. That difference notwithstanding, it is still remarkable, that nature hath enforced the gratification of certain natural appetites effential to the species, by a pain of the same fort with that which habit produceth.

The pain of habit is less under our power than any other pain that arises from want of gratification: kunger and thirst are more easily endured, especially

at first, than an unusual intermission of any habitual pleasure: persons are often heard declaring, they would forego sleep or food, rather than tobacco. We must not, however, conclude, that the gratification of an habitual appetite assorbs the same delight with the gratification of one that is natural: far from

it; the pain of want only is greater.

The flow and reiterated acts that produce a habit, strengthen the mind to enjoy the habitual pleasure in greater quantity and more frequency than originally; and by that means a habit of intemperate gratification is often formed: after unbounded acts of intemperance, the habitual relish is foon restored, and the pain for want of enjoyment returns with fresh

vigour.

The causes of the present emotions hitherto in view, are either an individual, fuch as a companion, a certain dwelling-place, a certain amusement; or a particular species, such as coffee, mutton, or any other food. But habit is not confined to fuch. constant train of trisling diversions, may form such a habit in the mind, that it cannot be eafy a moment without amusement: a variety in the objects prevents a habit as to any one in particular; but as the train is uniform with respect to amusement, the habit is formed accordingly; and that fort of habit may be denominated a generic habit, in opposition to the former, which is a specific habit. A habit of a town-life, of country sports, of solitude, of reading, or of businefs, where fufficiently varied, are inflances of generic habits. Every specific habit hath a mixture of the generic; for the habit of any one fort of food makes the taste agreeable, and we are fond of that tafte wherever found. Thus a man deprived of an habitual object, takes up with what most refembles it; deprived of tobacco, any bitter herb will do, rather

than

than want: a habit of punch, makes wine a good refource: accustomed to the sweet society and comforts of matrimony, the man, unhappily deprived of his beloved object, inclines the sooner to a second. In general, when we are deprived of an habitual object, we are fond of its qualities in any other object.

The reasons are assigned above, why the causes of intense pleasure become not readily habitual: but now we discover, that these reasons conclude only against specific habits. In the case of a weak pleafure, a habit is formed by frequency and uniformity of reiteration, which, in the case of an intense pleasure, produceth fatiety and difgust. But it is remarkable, that fatiety and difgust have no effect, except as to that thing fingly which occasions them: a furfeit of honey produceth not a loathing of fugar; and intemperance with one woman produceth no diffelish of the same pleasure with others. Hence it is easy to account for a generic habit in any intense pleasure: the delight we had in the gratification of the appetite inflames the imagination, and makes us with avidity, fearch for the same gratification in whatever other subject it can be found. And thus uniform frequency in gratifying the fame passion upon different objects, produceth at length a generic habit. In this manner one acquires an habitual delight, in high and poignant fauces, rich drefs, fine equipages, crowds of company, and in whatever is commonly termed pleafure. There concurs, at the fame time, to introduce this habit, a peculiarity observed above, that reiteration of acts enlarges the capacity of the mind, to admit a more plentiful gratification than originally, with regard to frequency as well as quantity.

Hence it appears, that though a specific habit, cannot be formed but upon a moderate pleasure, a generic habit may be formed upon any fort of pleasure.

ure, moderate or immoderate, that hath variety of objects. The only difference is that a weak pleasure runs naturally into a specific habit; whereas an intense pleasure is altogether averse to such a habit. In a word, it is only in singular cases that a moderate pleasure produces a generic habit; but an intense

pleasure cannot produce any other habit.

The appetites that respect the preservation and propagation of the species are formed into habit in a peculiar manner: the time as well as measure of their gratification are much under the power of custom; which, by introducing a change upon the body, occasions a proportional change in the appetites. Thus, if the body be gradually formed to a certain quantity of food at stated times, the appetite is regulated accordingly; and the appetite is again changed, when a different habit of body is introduced by a different practice. Here it would seem, that the change is not made upon the mind, which is commonly the case in passive habits, but upon the body

When rich food is brought down by ingredients of a plainer taste, the composition is susceptible of a a specific habit. Thus the sweet taste of sugar, rendered less poignant in a mixture may, in course of time, produce a specific habit for such mixture. As moderate pleasures, by becoming more intense, tend to generic habits; so intense pleasures, by becoming

more moderate, tend to specific habits.

The beauty of the human figure, by a special recommendation of nature, appears to us supreme, amid the great variety of beauteous forms bestowed upon animals. The various degrees in which individuals enjoy that property, render it an object sometimes of a moderate, sometimes of an intense passion. The moderate passion, admitting frequent reiteration with-

out diminution, and occupying the mind without exhausting it, turns gradually stronger till it becomes a habit. Nay, instances are not wanting, of a face, at first disagreeable, afterward rendered indifferent by familiarity, and at length agreeable by custom. On the other hand, confummate beauty, at the very first glance, fills the mind so as to admit no increase. Enjoyment lessens the pleasure; \* and if often repeated ends commonly in fatiety and difgust. The impressions made by consummate beauty, in a gradual fuccession from lively to faint, constitute a series opposite to that of faint impressions, waxing gradually more lively, till they produce a specific habit. But the mind, when accustomed to beauty, contracts a relish for it in general, though often repelled from particular objects by the pain of fatiety: and thus a generic habit is formed, of which inconstancy in love is the necessary consequence; for a generic habit, comprehending every beautiful object, is an invincible obstruction to a specific habit, which is confined to one.

But a matter which is of great importance to the youth of both fexes deserves more than a cursory view. Though the pleasant emotion of beauty differs widely from the corporeal appetite, yet when both are directed to the same object, they produce a very strong complex passion:† enjoyment in that case must be exquisite; and therefore more apt to produce satiety, than in any other case whatever. This is a never-failing effect, where consummate beauty in the one party, meets with a warm imagination and great sensibility in the other. What I am here explaining, is true without exaggeration; and they must be insensible upon whom it makes no impression: it deserves well to be pondered by the young and

and the amorous, who, in forming the matrimonial fociety, are too often blindly impelled by the animal pleafure merely, inflamed by beauty. It may indeed happen, after the pleafure is gone, and go it must with a swift pace, that a new connection is formed upon more dignified and more lasting principles: but this is a dangerous experiment; for, even supposing good fense, good temper, and internal merit of every fort, yet a new connection upon such qualifications is rarely formed: it commonly, or rather always happens, that such qualifications, the only solid foundation of an indissoluble connection, are rendered altogether invisible by satiety of enjoyment creating disgust.

One effect of custom, different from any that have been explained, must not be omitted, because it makes a great figure in human nature: Though custom augments moderate pleasures, and lessens those that are intense, it has a different effect with respect to pain; for it blunts the edge of every fort of pain and distress, faint or acute. Uninterrupted misery, therefore, is attended with one good effect: if its torments be incessant, custom hardens us to bear

them.

The changes made in forming habits, are curious. Moderate pleafures are augmented gradually by reiteration, till they become habitual; and then are at their height: but they are not long stationary; for from that point they gradually decay, till they vanish altogether. The pain occasioned by want of gratification, runs a different course: it increases uniformly; and at last becomes extreme, when the pleasure of gratification is reduced to nothing:

That what we have we prize not to the worth,
While we enjoy it; but being lack'd and loft,

Why then we rack the value: then we find The virtue that possession would not shew us Whilst it was ours.

Much ado about nothing, act 4. fc. 2.

The effect of custom with relation to a specific habit, is displayed through all its varieties in the use of tobacco. The taste of that plant is at first extremely unpleasant; our disgust lessens gradually, till it vanish altogether; at which period the taste is neither agreeable nor difagreeable: continuing the use of the plant, we begin to relish it; and our relish improves by use, till it arrive at perfection: from that period it gradually decays, while the habit is in a state of increment, and consequently the pain of want. The refult is, that when the habit has acquired its greatest vigour, the relish is gone; and accordingly we often finoke and take fnuff habitually, without fo much as being conscious of the operation. We must except gratification after the pain of want; the pleasure of which gratification is the greatest when the habit is the most vigorous: it is of the same kind with the pleafure one feels upon being delivered from the rack, the cause of which is explained above.\* pleafure, however, is but occasionally the effect of habit; and however exquisite, is avoided as much as possible because of the pain that precedes it.

With regard to the pain of want, I can discover no difference between a generic and a specific habit. But these habits differ widely with respect to the positive pleasure: I have had occasion to observe, that the pleasure of a specific habit decays gradually till it turn imperceptible: the pleasure of a generic habit, on the contrary, being supported by variety of gratification, suffers little or no decay after it comes to its

height.

height. However it may be with other generic habits, the observation, I am certain, holds with respect to the pleasures of virtue and of knowledge: the pleasure of doing good has an unbounded scope, and may be so variously gratified that it can never decay: science is equally unbounded; our appetite for knowledge having an ample range of gratification, where discoveries are recommended by novelty, by variety,

by utility, or by all of them.

In this intricate inquiry, I have endeavoured, but without fuccess, to discover by what particular means it is that custom hath influence upon us: and now nothing feems left, but to hold our nature to be fo framed as to be susceptible of such influence. And fuppofing it purpofely fo framed, it will not be difficult to find out several important final causes. That the power of custom is a happy contrivance for our good, cannot have escaped any one who reflects, that bufiness is our province, and pleasure our relaxation only. Now fatiety is necessary to check exquisite pleafures, which otherwife would engrofs the mind, and unqualify us for business. On the other hand, as bufiness is sometimes painful, and is never pleasant beyond moderation, the habitual increase of moderate pleafure, and the conversion of pain into pleafure, are admirably contrived for disappointing the malice of Fortune, and for reconciling us to whatever course of life may be our lot:

How use doth breed a habit in a man!
This shadowy defart, unfrequented woods,
I better brook than flourithing peopled towns.
Here I can sit alone, unseen of any,
And to the nightingale's complaining notes
Tune my distresses, and record my woes.

Two Gentlemen of Verona, ast 5. sc. 4.

As the foregoing distinction between intense and moderate holds in pleasure only, every degree of pain being softened by time, custom is a catholicon for pain and distress of every fort; and of that regulation the final cause requires no illustration.

Another final cause of custom will be highly relished by every person of humanity, and yet has in a great measure been overlooked; which is, that custom hath a greater influence than any other known cause, to put the rich and the poor upon a level: weak pleasures, the share of the latter, become fortunately stronger by custom; while voluptuous pleasures, the share of the former, are continually losing ground by satiety. Men of fortune, who posses palaces, sumptuous gardens, rich fields, enjoy them less than passengers do. The goods of Fortune are not unequally distributed: the opulent posses what others

enjoy.

And indeed, if it be the effect of habit, to produce the pain of want in a high degree while there is little pleasure in enjoyment, a voluptuous life is of all the least to be envied. Those who are habituated to high feeding, eafy vehicles, rich furniture, a crowd of valets, much deference and flattery, enjoy but a fmall share of happiness, while they are exposed to manifold diffresses. To such a man, enflaved by ease and luxury, even the petty inconveniencies in travelling, of a rough road, bad weather, or homely fare, are ferious evils: he loses his tone of mind, turns peevish, and would wreak his refentment even upon the common accidents of life. Better far to use the goods of Fortune with moderation: a man who by temperance and activity hath acquired a hardy constitution, is, on the one hand, guarded against external accidents; and, on the other, is provided with great variety of enjoyment ever at command.

I shall close this chapter with an article more delicate than abstruse, namely, what authority custom ought to have over our taste in the fine arts. One particular is certain, that we cheerfully abandon to the authority of custom things that nature hath left indifferent. It is custom not nature that hath established a difference between the right hand and the left, fo as to make it awkward and difagreeable to use the left where the right is commonly used. The various colours, though they affect us differently, are all of them agreeable in their purity: but custom has regulated that matter in another manner; a black skin upon a human being, is to us disagreeable; and a white skin probably no less so to a negro. Thus things, originally indifferent, become agreeable or difagreeable, by the force of custom. Nor will this be furprifing after the discovery made above, that the original agreeableness or disagreeableness of an object, is, by the influence of custom, often converted into the opposite quality.

Proceeding to matters of taste, where there is naturally a preference of one thing before another; it is certain, in the first place, that our faint and more delicate feelings are readily susceptible of a bias from custom; and therefore that it is no proof of a defective talte to find these in some measure influenced by custom: dress and the modes of external behaviour are regulated by custom in every country: the deep red or vermilion with which the ladies in France cover their cheeks, appears to them beautiful in spite of nature; and strangers cannot altogether be justified in condemning that practice, confidering the lawful authority of custom, or of the fashion, as it is called: It is told of the people who inhabit the skirts of the Alps facing the north, that the fwelling they have univerfally in the neck is to them agreeable.

So far has custom power to change the nature of things, and to make an object originally disagreeable

take on an opposite appearance.

But, as to every particular that can be denominated proper or improper, right or wrong, custom has little authority, and ought to have none. The principle of duty takes naturally place of every other; and it argues a shameful weakness or degeneracy of mind, to find it in any case so far subdued as to submit to custom.

These few hints may enable us to judge in some measure of foreign manners, whether exhibited by foreign writers or our own. A comparison between the ancients and the moderns was fome time ago a favourite fubject: those who declared for ancient manners thought it fufficient that these manners were fupported by custom: their antagonists, on the other hand, refusing submission to custom as a standard of taste, condemned ancient manners as in several instances irrational. In that controversy, an appeal being made to different principles, without the flightest attempt to establish a common standard, the dispute could have no end. The hints above given tend to establish a standard for judging how far the authority of custom ought to be held lawful; and, for the fake of illustration, we shall apply that standard in a few instances.

Human facrifices, the most dismal effect of blind and groveling superstition, wore gradually out of use by the prevalence of reason and humanity. In the days of Sophocles and Euripides, traces of that practice were still recent; and the Athenians, through the prevalence of custom, could without disgust suffer human facrifices to be represented in their theatre, of which the *Iphigenia* of Euripides is a proof.

But a human facrifice, being altogether inconfistent with modern manners as producing horror instead of pity, cannot with any propriety be introduced upon a modern stage. I must therefore condemn the Iphigenia of Racine, which, instead of the tender and fympathetic passions, substitutes disgust and horror. Another objection occurs against every fable that deviates fo remarkably from improved notions and fentiments; which is, that if it should even command our belief by the authority of history, it appears too fictitious and unnatural to produce a perception of reality: \* a human facrifice is so unnatural, and to us so improbable, that few will be affected with the reprefentation of it more than with a fairy tale. The objection first mentioned strikes also against the Phedra of that author: the Queen's passion for her stepson, transgressing the bounds of nature, creates aversion and horror rather than compassion. The author in his preface observes, that the Queen's passion, howeyer unnatural, was the effect of destiny and the wrath of the gods; and he puts the same excuse in her own mouth. But what is the wrath of a heathen God to us Christians? we acknowledge no destiny in passion: and if love be unnatural, it never can be relished. A supposition like what our author lays hold of, may possibly cover slight improprieties; but it will never engage our sympathy for what appears to us frantic or extravagant.

Neither can I relish the catastrophe of that tragedy. A man of taste may peruse, without disgust, a Grecian performance describing a sea-monster fent by Neptune to destroy Hippolytus: he considers, that fuch a flory might agree with the religious creed of Greece, and may be pleafed with the story, as what probably had a strong effect upon a Grecian audience.

But he cannot have the same indulgence for such a representation upon a modern stage; because no story that carries a violent air of siction can ever moves us

in any confiderable degree.

In the Coephores of Eschylus,\* Orestes is made to fay, that he was commanded by Apollo to avenge his father's murder; and yet if he obeyed, that he was to be delivered to the furies, or be flruck with fome horrid malady: the tragedy accordingly concludes with a chorus, deploring the fate of Crefles, obliged to take vengeance against a mother, and havolved thereby in a crime against his will. It is inpossible for any modern to bend his mind to opinions so irrational and abfurd, which must disgust him in perusing even a Grecian story. Again, among the Greeks, grossly superstitious, it was a common opinion that the report of a man's death was a prefage of his death; and Orestes, in the first act of Electra, fpreading a report of his own death in order to blind his mother and her adulterer, is even in that case affected with the prefage. Such imbecility can never find grace with a modern audience; it may indeed produce some compassion for a people afflicted with abfurd terrors, fimilar to what is felt in perufing a description of the Hottentots; but such manners will not interest our affections, nor attach us to the perfonages reprefented.

\* A& 2.

CHAP.

### C H A P. XV.

# External Signs of Emotions and Passions.

So intimately connected are the foul and body, that every agitation in the former produceth a visible effect upon the latter. There is, at the same time, a wonderful uniformity in that operation; each class of emotions and passions being invariably attended with an external appearance peculiar to itfelf.\* These external appearances or figns may not improperly be confidered as a natural language, expressing to all beholders emotions and paffions as they arise in the heart. Hope, fear, joy, grief, are displayed externally: the character of a man can be read in his face; and beauty, which makes fo deep an impression, is known to refult, not so much from regular features and a fine complexion, as from good nature, good fense, sprightliness, sweetness, or other mental quality, expressed upon the countenance. Though perfect skill in that language be rare, yet what is generally known is fufficient for the ordinary purposes of life. But by what means we come to understand the language, is a point of some intricacy: it cannot be by fight merely; for, upon the most attentive inspection of the human face, all that can be differend, are figure, colour, and motion, which, fingly or conbined, never can reprefent a passion, nor a fentiment: the external fign is indeed visible; but to understand its meaning, we must be able to connect it with the passion that causes it, an operation far beyond

<sup>\*</sup> Cmais caim motus animi, soum quemdam a natura habet vultum et souum et golium. Cicero, I. 3. De Oratore.

yond the reach of eye-fight. Where then is the instructor to be found that can unveil this secret connection? If we apply to experience, it is yielded, that from long and diligent observation, we may gather, in some measure, in what manner those we are acquainted with express their passions externally: but with respect to strangers, we are left in the dark: and yet we are not puzzled about the meaning of these external expressions in a stranger, more than in a bosom companion. Further, had we no other means but experience for understanding the external figns of passion, we could not expect any degree of skill in the bulk of individuals: yet matters are so much better ordered, that the external expressions of passion form a language understood by all, by the young as well as the old, by the ignorant as well as the learned: I talk of the plain and legible characters of that language: for undoubtedly we are much indebted to experience in deciphering the dark and more delicate expressions. Where then shall we apply for a folution of this intricate problem, which feems to penetrate deep into human nature? In my mind it will be convenient to fuspend the inquiry, till we are better acquainted with the nature of external figns, and with their operations. These articles, therefore, shall be premised.

The external figns of passion are of two kinds, voluntary and involuntary. The voluntary figns are also of two kinds: some are arbitrary, some natural. Words are obviously voluntary figns: and they are also arbitrary; excepting a few simple sounds expressive of certain internal emotions, which sounds being the same in all languages, must be the work of nature; thus the unpremeditated tones of admiration are the same in all men; as also of compassion, resentment, and despair. Dramatic writers ought to be

well acquainted with this natural language of passion: the chief talent of such a writer is a ready command of the expressions that nature dictates to every person, when any vivid emotion struggles for utterance; and the chief talent of a fine reader is a ready command

of tones fuited to these expressions.

The other kind of voluntary figns comprehends certain attitudes or gestures that naturally accompany certain emotions with a furprifing uniformity; exceffive joy is expressed by leaping, dancing, or some elevation of the body: excessive grief, by finking or depressing it: and prostration and kneeling have been employed by all nations, and in all ages, to fignify profound veneration. Another circumstance, still more than uniformity, demonstrates these gestures to be natural, viz. their remarkable conformity or refemblance to the passions that produce them.\* Joy, which is a cheerful elevation of mind, is exprefied by an elevation of body: pride, magnanimity, courage, and the whole tribe of elevating passions, are expressed by external gestures that are the same as to the circumstance of elevation, however distinguishable in other respects; and hence an erect posture is a fign or expression of dignity:

> Two of far nobler shape, erect and tall, Godlike erect, with native honour clad, In naked majesty, feem'd lords of all. Paradise Loss, book 4.

Grief, on the other hand, as well as respect, which depress the mind, cannot, for that reason, be expressed more significantly than by a similar depression of the body; and hence, to be cast down, is a common phrase, signifying to be grieved or dispirited.†

<sup>\*</sup> See chap. 2. part 6.

<sup>†</sup> Instead of a complimental speech in addressing a superior the Chinese deliver the compliment in writing, the smallness of the letters being proportioned

One would not imagine who has not given peculiar attention, that the body should be susceptible of fuch variety of attitude and motion, as readily to accompany every different emotion with a correfponding expression. Humility, for example, is expreffed naturally by hanging the head; arrogance, by its elevation; and languor or despondence by reclining it to one fide. The expressions of the hands are manifold: by different attitudes and motions. they express defire, hope, fear; they affift us in promifing, in inviting, in keeping one at a diffance; they are made instruments of threatening, of supplication, of praife, and of horror; they are employed in approving, in refufing, in questioning; in showing our joy, our forrow, our doubts, our regret, our admiration. These expressions, so obedient to passion, are extremely difficult to be imitated in a calin state: the ancients, fenfible of the advantage as well as difficulty of having these expressions at command, bestowed much time and care in collecting them from observation, and in digefting them into practical art, which was taught in their schools as an important branch of education. Certain founds are by nature allotted to each passion for expressing it externally. The actor who has these founds at command to captivate the ear, is mighty: if he have also proper gestures at command to captivate the eye, he is irrefiftible.

The foregoing figns, though in a ftrict fense voluntary, cannot however be restrained but with the utmost difficulty when prompted by passion. We scarce need a stronger proof than the gestures of a

keen

proportioned to the degree of respect; and the highest compliment is, to make the letters so small as not to be legible. Here is a clear evidence of a mental connection between respect and littleness; a man humbles himself before his superior; and endeavours to contract himself and his hand-writing within the smalless bounds.

keen player at bowls: observe only how he writhes his body, in order to restore a stray bowl to the right track. It is one article of good breeding, to supprefs, as much as poslible, these external figns of passion, that we may not in company appear too warm, or too interested. The same observation holds in speech: a passion, it is true, when in extreme, is filent;\* but when less violent it must be vented in words, which have a peculiar force not to be equalled in a fedate composition. The ease and fecurity we have in a confident, may encourage us to talk of ourfelves and of our feelings: but the cause is more general; for it operates when we are alone as well as in company. Paffion is the cause; for in many instances it is no slight gratification, to vent a passion externally by words as well as by geftures. Some passions, when at a certain height, impel us fo strongly to vent them in words, that we fpeak with an audible voice even when there is none to listen. It is that circumstance in passion which justifies foliloquies; and it is that circumstance which proves them to be natural.† The mind fometimes favours this impulse of passion, by bestowing a temporary fenfibility upon any object at hand, in order

·

# " See chap. 17.

<sup>†</sup> Though a foliloguy in the perturbation of passion is undoubtedly natural, and indeed not unfrequent in real life; yet Congreve, who himfels has penned several good soliloquies, yields, with more candour than knowledge, that they are unnatural; and he only pretends to justify them from necessity. This he does in his dedication of the Double Dealer, in the following words: "When a man in a soliloquy reasons with himfels, and pro's and con's, and weighs all his defigns; we ought not to imagine, that this man either talks to us, or to himsels: we ought not to imagine, that this man either talks to us, or to himsels: he is only thinking, and thinking (frequently) such matter as it were inexcusable folly in him to speak. But because we are concealed spectators of the plot in agitation, and the poet finds it necessary to let us know the whole mystery of his contrivance, he is willing to inform us of this person's thoughts; and to that end is forced to make use of the expedient of speech, no other better way being yet invented for the communication of thought."

to make it a confident. Thus in the Winter's Tale,\* Antigonus addresses himself to an infant whom he was ordered to expose;

Come, poor babe, I have heard, but not believ'd, the spirits of the dead, May walk again; if such things be, thy mother Appear'd to me last night; for ne'er was dream So like a waking.

The involuntary figns, which are all of them natural, are either peculiar to one passion, or common to many. Every vivid passion hath an external expression peculiar to itself; not excepting pleasant passions; witness admiration and mirth. The pleasant emotions that are less vivid have one common expression; from which we may gather the strength of the emotion, but scarce the kind: we perceive a cheerful or contented look; and we can make no more of it. Painful passions, being all of them violent, are diffinguishable from each other by their external expressions: thus fear, shame, anger, anxiety, dejection, despair, have each of them peculiar expressions; which are apprehended without the least confusion: some painful passions produce violent effects upon the body, trembling, for example, starting, and fwooning; but these effects, depending in a good measure upon fingularity of constitution, are not uniform in all men.

The involuntary figns, fuch of them as are displayed upon the countenance, are of two kinds: some are temporary, making their appearance with the emotions that produce them, and vanishing with these emotions; others, being formed gradually by some violent passion often recurring, become perma-

nent

nent figns of that passion, and serve to denote the disposition or temper. The face of an infant indicates no particular disposition, because it cannot be marked with any character, to which time is necesfary: even the temporary figns are extremely awkward, being the first rude essays of Nature to discover internal feelings; thus the shrieking of a new born infant, without tears or fobbings, is plainly an attempt to weep; and some of these temporary signs, as smiling and frowning, cannot be observed for some months after birth. Permanent figns, formed in youth while the body is foft and flexible, are preferved entire by the firmness and folidity that the body acquires, and are never obliterated even by a change of temper. Such figns are not produced after the fibres become rigid: fome violent cases excepted, fuch as reiterated fits of the gout or stone through a course of time: but these signs are not so obstinate as what are produced in youth; for when the cause is removed, they gradually wear away, and at last vanish.

The natural figns of emotions, voluntary and involuntary, being nearly the fame in all men, form an universal language, which no distance of place, no disference of tribe, no diversity of tongue, can darken or render doubtful: even education, though of nighty influence, hath not power to vary nor sophisticate, far less to destroy, their signification. This is a wife appointment of Providence: for if these tigns were, like words, arbitrary and variable, the thoughts and volitions of strangers would be entirely hid from us: which would prove a great, or rather invincible, obstruction to the formation of societies: but as matters are ordered, the external appearances of joy, grief, anger, fear, shame, and of the other passions, forming an universal language, open a di-

rect

rect avenue to the heart. As the arbitrary figns vary in every country, there could be no communication of thoughts among different nations, were it not for the natural figns, in which all agree: and as the difcovering passions instantly at their birth, is essential to our well being, and often necessary for self-preservation, the author of our nature, attentive to our wants, hath provided a passage to the heart, which never can be obstructed while eye-sight remains.

In an inquiry concerning the external figns of passion, actions must not be overlooked: for though fingly they afford no clear light, they are, upon the whole, the best interpreters of the heart.\* By obferving a man's conduct for a course of time, we discover unerringly the various passions that move him to action, what he loves, and what he hates. our younger years, every fingle action is a mark, not at all ambiguous, of the temper; for in childhood there is little or no difguise: the subject becomes more intricate in advanced age; but even there, diffimulation is feldom carried on for any length of time. And thus the conduct of life is the most perfect expression of the internal disposition. It merits not indeed the title of an universal language; because it is not thoroughly understood but by those of a penetrating genius or extensive observation: it is a language

<sup>\*</sup> The actions here chiefly in view, are what a passion suggests in order to its gratification. Beside these, actions are occasionally exerted to give some vent to a passion, without any view to an ultimate gratification. Such occasional action is characteristical of the passion in a high degree; and for that reason, when happily invented, has a wonderfully good essential.

Hamlet. Oh most pernicious woman!
Oh villain, villain, finiling damned villain!
My tables—meet it is I fet it down,
That one may finile, and finile, and be a villain;
At least I'm fure it may be so in Denmark. [Wrifing. No, uncle, there you are.

language, however, which every one can decipher in fome measure; and which, joined with the other external figns, affords sufficient means for the direction of our conduct with regard to others: if we commit any mistake when such light is afforded, it never can be the effect of unavoidable ignorance, but of rashness or inadvertence.

Reflecting on the various expressions of our emotions, we recognise the anxious care of Nature, to discover men to each other. Strong emotions, as above hinted, beget an impatience to express them externally by speech and other voluntary signs, which cannot be suppressed without a painful effort: thus a sudden sit of passion, is a common excuse for indecent behaviour or opprobrious language. As to involuntary signs, these are altogether unavoidable: no volition nor effort can prevent the shaking of the limbs nor a pale visage, in a fit of terror: the blood slies to the face upon a sudden emotion of shame, in spite of all opposition:

Vergogna, che'n altrui stampo natura, Non si puo' rinegar: che se tu' tenti Di cacciarla dal cor, sugge nel volto. Pastor Fido, ast 2. se. 5.

Emotions indeed, properly fo called, which are quiefcent, produce no remarkable figns externally. Nor is it necessary that the more deliberate passions should, because the operation of such passions is neither sudden nor violent: these, however, remain not altogether in obscurity; for being more frequent than violent passion, the bulk of our actions are directed by them. Actions therefore display, with sufficient evidence, the more deliberate passions; and complete the admirable system of external signs, by which we become skilful in human nature.

What

What comes next in order is, to examine the effects produced upon a spectator by external signs, of passion. None of these signs are beheld with indifference; they are productive of various emotions, tending all of them to ends wise and good. This curious subject makes a capital branch of human nature: it is peculiarly useful to writers who deal in the pathetic; and to history painters it is indispensable.

It is mentioned above, that each passion, or class of passions, hath its peculiar signs; and, with respect to the present subject, it must be added, that these invariably make certain impressions on a spectator: the external signs of joy, for example, produce a cheerful emotion; the external signs of grief produce pity; and the external signs of rage produce a fort of terror even in those who are not aimed at.

Secondly, It is natural to think, that pleasant passions should express themselves externally by signs that to a spectator appear agreeable, and painful passions by signs that to him appear disagreeable. This conjecture, which Nature suggests, is consirmed by experience. Pride possibly may be thought an exception, the external signs of which are disagreeable, though it be commonly reckoned a pleasant passion: but pride is not an exception, being in reality a mixed passion, partly pleasant, partly painful; for when a proud man confines his thoughts to himself, and to his own dignity or importance, the passion is pleasant, and its external signs agreeable; but as pride chiefly consists in undervaluing or contemning others, it is so far painful, and its external signs disagreeable.

Thirdly, It is laid down above, that an agreeable object produceth always a pleafant emotion, and a difagreeable object one that is painful.\* According

to this law, the external figns of a pleafant paffion. being agreeable, must produce in the spectator a pleasi ant emotion: and the external figns of a painful passion, being disagreeable, must produce in him a

painful emotion.

Fourthly, in the present chapter it is observed, that pleafant passions are, for the most part, expressed externally in one uniform manner; but that all the painful passions are distinguishable from each other by their external expressions. The emotions accordingly raifed in a spectator by external signs of pleafant passions, have little variety: these emotions are pleafant or cheerful, and we have not words to reach a more particular description. But the external figns of painful passions produce in the spectator emotions of different kinds: the emotions, for example, raifed by external figns of grief, of remorfe, of anger, of envy, of malice, are clearly distinguishable from each other.

Fifthly, External figns of painful paffions are fome of them attractive some repulsive. Of every painful passion that is also disagreeable,\* the external signs are repulfive, repelling the spectator from the object: and the passion raised by such external signs may be also confidered as repulsive. Painful passions that are agreeable produce an opposite effect: their external figns are attractive, drawing the spectator to them, and producing in him benevolence to the perfon upon whom thefe figns appear; witness distress painted on the countenance, which inftantaneously inspires the spectator with pity, and impels him to afford relief. And the passion raised by such external figns may also be considered as attractive. The cause

of

<sup>&</sup>quot; See passions explained as agreeable or disagreeable, chap. 2. part 2.

of this difference among the painful passions raised by their external signs may be readily gathered from what is laid down, chap. 2. part 7.

It is now time to look back to the question proposed in the beginning, How we come to understand external figns, fo as to refer each fign to its proper passion? We have seen that this branch of knowledge cannot be derived originally from fight, nor from experience. Is it then implanted in us by nature? The following confiderations will incline us to anfwer the question in the affirmative. In the first place, the external figns of passion must be natural; for they are invariably the same in every country, and among the different tribes of men: pride, for example, is always expressed by an erect posture, reverence by prostration, and forrow by a dejected look. Secondly, we are not even indebted to experience for the knowledge that these expressions are natural and universal: for we are so framed as to have an innate conviction of the fact: let a man change his habitation to the other fide of the globe, he will, from the accustomed signs, infer the pasfion of fear among his new neighbours, with as little hesitation as he did at home. But why, after all, involve ourselves in preliminary observations, when the doubt may be directly folved as follows? That, if the meaning of external figns be not derived to us from fight, nor from experience, there is no remaining fource whence it can be derived but from nature.

We may then venture to pronounce, with fome degree of affurance, that man is provided by nature with a fense or faculty that lays open to him every passion by means of its external expressions. And we cannot entertain any reasonable doubt of this,

when we reflect, that the meaning of external figns is not hid even from infants: an infant is remarkably affected with the passions of its nurse expressed in her countenance; a smile cheers it, a frown makes it afraid: but fear cannot be without apprehending danger; and what danger can the infant apprehend, unless it be sensible that its nurse is angry? We must therefore admit, that a child can read anger in its nurse's face: of which it must be sensible intuitively, for it has no other mean of knowledge. I do not affirm, that these particulars are clearly apprehended by the child; for to produce clear and distinct perceptions, reflection and experience are requisite: but that even an infant, when afraid, must have some notion of its being in danger is evident.

That we should be conscious intuitively of a passion from its external expressions, is conformable to the analogy of nature: the knowledge of that language is of too great importance to be left upon experience; because a foundation so uncertain and precarious, would prove a great obstacle to the formation of societies. Wifely therefore is it ordered, and agreeably to the fystem of Providence, that we should have

nature for our instructor.

Manifold and admirable are the purposes to which the external figns of passion are made subservient by the author of our nature: those occasionally mentioned above, make but a part. Several final causes remain to be unfolded; and to that task I proceed with alacrity. In the first place, the signs of internal agitation displayed externally to every spectator, tend to fix the fignification of many words. The only effectual means to afcertain the meaning of any doubtful word, is an appeal to the thing it represents: and hence the ambiguity of words expressive of things that are not objects of external fense; for in that

case an appeal is denied. Passion, strictly speaking, is not an object of external fense: but its external figns are; and by means of these figns, passions may be appealed to with tolerable accuracy: thus the words that denote our passions, next to those that denote external objects, have the most distinct meaning. Words fignifying internal action and the more delicate feelings, are less distinct. This defect with regard to internal action, is what chiefly occasions the intricacy of logic: the terms of that science are far from being fufficiently afcertained, even after much care and labour bestowed by an eminent writer;\* to whom, however, the world is greatly indebted, for removing a mountain of rubbish, and moulding the subject into a rational and correct form. fame defect is remarkable in criticism, which has for its object the more delicate feelings; the terms that denote these feelings being not more distinct than those of logic. To reduce the science of criticism, to any regular form, has never once been attempted: however rich the ore may be, no critical chemist has been found, to analyse its constituent parts, and to distinguish each by its own name,

In the fecond place, Society among individuals is greatly promoted by that univerfal language. Looks and gestures give direct access to the heart, and lead us to felect, with tolerable accuracy, the persons who are worthy of our confidence. It is furprifing how quickly, and for the most part how correctly, we judge of character from external appearance.

Thirdly, After focial intercourse is commenced, these external figns, which diffuse through a whole affembly the feelings of each individual, centrib-

ute

ute above all other means to improve the focial affections. Language, no doubt, is the most comprehensive vehicle for communicating emotions: but in expedition, as well as in power of conviction, it falls fhort of the figns under confideration; the involuntary figns especially, which are incapable of deceit. Where the countenance, the tones, the geftures, the actions, join with the words in communicating emotions, these united have a force irresistible: thus all the pleafant emotions of the human heart, with all the focial and virtuous affections, are, by means of these external figns, not only perceived, but felt. By this admirable contrivance, conversation becomes that lively and animating amusement, without which life would at best be insipid: one joyful countenance spreads cheerfulness instantaneously through a multitude of spectators.

Fourthly, Diffocial paffions, being hurtful by prompting violence and mischief, are noted by the most conspicuous external signs, in order to put us upon our guard: thus anger and revenge, especially when fudden, display themselves on the countenance in legible characters.\* The external figns again of every passion that threatens danger raise in us the passion of fear: which frequently operating without

reafon

<sup>\*</sup> Rough and blunt manners are allied to anger by an internal feeling. as well as by external expressions resembling in a faint degree those of anger: therefore such manners are easily heightened into anger; and favages for that reason are prone to anger. Thus rough and blunt manners are unhappy in two respects: first, they are readily converted into anger; and next the change being imperceptible because of the similitude of their external figns, the person against whom the anger is directed is not put upon his guard. It is for these reasons a great object in society, to correct such manners, and to bring on a habit of sweetness and calmness. This temper has two opposite good effects. First, it is not easily provoked to wrath. Next, the interval being great between it and real anger, a person of that temper who receives an affront, has many changes to go through before his anger be inflamed : these changes have each of them their external fign; and the offending party is put upon his guard, to retire, or to endeavour a reconciliation,

reason or reflection, moves us by a sudden impulse to

avoid the impending danger.\*

In the fifth place, There external figns are remarkably fubfervient to morality. A painful passion, being accompanied with disagreeable external figns, must produce in every spectator a painful emotion: but then, if the passion be social, the emotion it produces is attractive, and connects the spectator with the person who suffers. Dissocial passions only are productive of repulsive emotions, involving the spectator's aversion, and frequently his indignation. This beautiful contrivance makes us cling to the virtuous, and abhor the wicked.

Sixthly, Of all the external figns of paffion, those of affliction or diffress are the most illustrious with respect to a final cause. They are illustrious by the fingularity of their contrivance, and also by inspiring fympathy, a passion to which human society is indebted for its greatest blessing, that of providing relief for the distressed. A subject so interesting deserves a leifurely and attentive examination. The conformity of the nature of man to his external circumstances is in every particular wonderful: his nature makes him prone to fociety; and fociety is necessary to his wellbeing, because in a solitary state he is a helples being, destitute of support, and in his manifold distresses destitute of relief: but mutual support, the shining attribute of fociety, is of too great moment to be left dependent upon cool reason; it is ordered more wifely, and with greater conformity to the analogy of nature that it should be enforced even instinctively by the passion of sympathy. Here sympathy makes a capital figure, and contributes, more than any other

<sup>\*</sup> See chap. 2. part 1. fect. 6.

other means, to make life easy and comfortable. But, however effential the sympathy of others may beto our well-being, one beforehand would not readily conceive how it could be raifed by external figns of distress: for considering the analogy of nature, if these signs be agreeable, they must give birth to a pleasant emotion leading every beholder to be pleased with human woes: if difagreeable, as they undoubtedly are, ought they not naturally to repel the spectator from them, in order to be relieved from pain? Such would be the reasoning beforehand; and such would be the effect were man purely a felfish being. But the benevolence of our nature gives a very different direction to the painful passion of sympathy, and to the defire involved in it: instead of avoiding diftress, we fly to it in order to afford relief: and our fympathy cannot be otherwife gratified but by giving all the fuccour in our power.\* Thus external figns of distress, though disagreeable, are attractive; and the sympathy they inspire is a powerful cause, impelling us to afford relief even to a stranger as if he were our friend or relation.

The

## \* See chap. 2. part 7.

+ It is a noted observation, that the deepest tragedies are the most crowded; which in a flight view will be thought an unaccountable bias in human nature. Love of novelty, delire of occupation, beauty of action, make us fond of theatrical representations; and, when once engaged we must follow the story to the conclusion, whatever distress it may create. But we generally become wife by experience; and when we foresee what pain we shall suffer during the course of the representation, is it not surprising that persons of reflection do not avoid such spectacles altogether? And yet one who has scarce recovered from the diffress of a deep tragedy, resolves coolly and deliberately to go to the very next, without the slightest obstruction from self-love. The whole mystery is explained by a fingle observation, That sympathy, though painful, is attractive, and attaches us to an object in diffress, the opposition of felflove notwithstanding, which should prompt us to fly from it. And by this curious mechanism it is, that persons of any degree of sensibility are attracted by affliction still more than by joy.

The effects produced in all beholders by external figns of passion, tend so visibly to advance the social state, that I must indulge my heart with a more narrow inspection of this admirable branch of the human constitution. These external figns, being all of them refolvable into colour, figure, and motion, should not naturally make any deep impression on a spectator: and supposing them qualified for making deep impressions, we have seen above, that the effects they produce are not fuch as might be expected. We cannot therefore account otherwife for the operation of these external figns, but by ascribing it to the original constitution of human nature: to improve the focial state, by making us instinctively rejoice with the glad of heart, weep with the mourner, and shun those who threaten danger, is a contrivance no less illustrious for its wisdom than for its benevolence. With respect to the external figns of distress in particular, to judge of the excellency of their contrivance, we need only reflect upon feveral other means feemingly more natural, that would not have anfwered the end purposed. What if the external figns of joy were disagreeable, and the external figns of distress agreeable? This is no whimsical supposition, because there appears not any necessary connection between these figns and the emotions produced by them in a spectator. Admitting then the supposition, the question is, How would our sympathy operate? There is no occasion to deliberate for an answer: fympathy would be destructive, and not beneficial: for, supposing the external signs of joy disagreeable, the happiness of others would be our aversion; and supposing the external figns of grief agreeable, the distresses of others would be our entertainment. I make a fecond fupposition, That the external figns of distress were indifferent to us, and productive neither

neither of pleasure nor of pain. This would annihilate the strongest branch of sympathy, that which is raised by means of sight: and it is evident, that restlective sympathy, selt by those only who have great fensibility, would not have any extensive effect. I shall draw nearer to truth in a third supposition, That the external signs of distress being disagreeable, were productive of a painful repulsive emotion. Sympathy upon that supposition would not be annihilated: but it would be rendered useless; for it would be gratisted by slying from or avoiding the object, instead of clinging to it and affording relief: the condition of man would in reality be worse than if sympathy would only serve to plague those who feel it, without

producing any good to the afflicted.

Loath to quit fo interesting a subject, I add a reflection, with which I shall conclude. The external figns of passion are a strong indication, that man, by his very constitution, is framed to be open and fincere. A child in all things obedient to the impulses of nature, hides none of its emotions: the favage and clown, who have no guide but pure nature, expose their hearts to view, by giving way to all the natural figns. And even when men learn to diffemble their fentiments, and when behaviour degenerates into art, there still remain checks, that keep dissimulation within bounds, and prevent a great part of its mifchievous effects: the total suppression of the voluntary figns during any vivid passion, begets the utmost uneafiness, which cannot be endured for any confiderable time: this operation becomes indeed less painful by habit; but, luckily, the involuntary figns cannot, by any effort, be suppressed, nor even diffembled. An absolute hypocrify, by which the character

character is concealed, and a fictitious one assumed, is made impracticable; and nature has thereby prevented much harm to society. We may pronounce, therefore, that Nature, herself sincere and candid, intends that mankind should preserve the same character, by cultivating simplicity and truth, and banishing every fort of dissimulation that tends to mischief.

CHAP.

### C H A P. XVI.

# Sentiments.

EVERY thought prompted by passion, is termed a fentiment.\* To have a general notion of the different passions, will not alone enable an artist to make a just representation of any passion: he ought, over and above, to know the various appearances of the fame passion in different persons. Passions receive a tincture from every peculiarity of character; and for that reason it rarely happens, that a passion, in the different circumstances of feeling, of sentiment, and of expression, is precisely the same in any two persons. Hence the following rule concerning dramatic and epic compositions, That a passion be adjusted to the character, the fentiments to the passion, and the language to the fentiments. If nature be not faithfully copied in each of these, a defect in execution is perceived: there may appear fome refemblance; but the picture, upon the whole, will be infipid, through want of grace and delicacy. A painter, in order to represent the various attitudes of the body, ought to be intimately acquainted with muscular motion: no less intimately acquainted with emotions and characters ought a writer to be, in order to represent the various attitudes of the mind. A general notion of the passions, in their grosser differences of strong and weak, elevated and humble, severe and gay, is far from being sufficient: pictures formed fo fuperficially have little refemblance, and no expression; yet it will appear by and by, that in many

many instances our artists are deficient even in that

superficial knowledge.

In handling the present subject, it would be endless to trace even the ordinary passions through their nice and minute differences. Mine shall be an humbler task; which is, to select from the best writers instances of faulty sentiments, after paving the way

by fome general observations.

To talk in the language of music, each passion hath a certain tone, to which every fentiment proceeding from it ought to be tuned with the greatest accuracy: which is no eafy work, especially where fuch harmony ought to be supported during the course of a long theatrical representation. In order to reach fuch delicacy of execution, it is necessary that a writer assume the precise character and passion of the personage represented; which requires an uncommon genius. But it is the only difficulty; for the writer, who, annihilating himself, can thus become another person, need be in no pain about the fentiments that belong to the assumed character: thefe will flow without the least study, or even preconception; and will frequently be as delightfully new to himself as to his reader. But if a lively picture even of a fingle emotion require an effort of genius, how much greater the effort to compose a passionate dialogue with as many different tones of passion as there are speakers? With what ductility of feeling must that writer be endowed, who approaches perfection in fuch a work; when it is necessary to asfume different and even opposite characters and pasfions, in the quickest succession? Yet this work, difficult as it is, yields to that of composing a dialogue in genteel comedy, exhibiting characters without passion. The reason is, that the different tones of character are more delicate and less in fight, than those of passion;

and, accordingly, many writers, who have no genius for drawing characters, make a shift to represent tolerably well, an ordinary passion in its simple movements. But of all works of this kind, what is truly the most difficult, is a characteristical dialogue upon any philosophical subject: to interweave characters with reasoning, by suiting to the character of each speaker, a peculiarity not only of thought, but of expression, requires the perfection of genius, taste, and judgment.

How nice dialogue-writing is, will be evident, even without reasoning, from the miserable compositions of that kind found without number in all languages. The art of mimicking any fingularity in gesture or in voice, is a rare talent, though directed by fight and hearing, the acutest and most lively of our external fenses: how much more rare must the talent be, of imitating characters and internal emotions, tracing all their different tints, and representing them in a lively manner by natural fentiments properly expressed? The truth is, fuch execution is too delicate for an ordinary genius; and for that reason, the bulk of writers, instead of expressing a passion as one does who feels it, content themselves with describing it in the language of a spectator. To awake passion by an internal effort merely, without any external cause, requires great fenfibility: and yet that operation is necessary, no less to the writer than to the actor; because none but those who actually feel a passion, can represent it to the life. The writer's part is the more complicated: he must add composition to passion; and must, in the quickest succession, adopt every different character. But a very humble flight of imagination, may ferve to convert a writer into a spectator; fo as to figure, in some obscure manner, an action as passing in his fight and hearing. In that figured fituation being led naturally to write like 2 spectator,

fpectator, he entertains his readers with his own reflections, with cool description, and florid declamation; instead of making them eye-witnesses, as it were, to a real event, and to every movement of genuine passion.\* Thus most of our plays appear to be cast in the same mould; personages without character, the mere outlines of passion, a tiresome monotony, and a pompous declamatory style.†

This descriptive manner of representing passion, is a very cold entertainment: our sympathy is not raised by description; we must first be lulled into a dream of reality, and every thing must appear as passing in our sight. Unhappy is the player of genius who acts a capital part in what may be termed a descriptive tragedy; after assuming the very passion that is to be represented, how is he cramped in action, when he must utter, not the sentiments of the passion he feels, but a cold description in the language of a bystander? It is that impersection, I am persuaded, in the bulk of our plays, which confines our stage almost entirely to Shakespear, notwithstanding his many irregularities. In our late English tragedies,

<sup>\*</sup> In the \*Eneid\*, the hero is made to describe himself in the following words: Sum pius \*Eneas, fama fuper athera notus. Virgil could never have been guilty of an impropriety so gross, had he affumed the personage of his hero, instead of uttering the sentiments of a speciator. Nor would Xenophon have made the following speech for Cyrus the younger, to his Grecian auxiliaries, whom he was leading against his brother Attaxerxes: "I have chosen you, O Grecks! my auxiliaries, not to enlarge my army, for I have \*Barbarians\* without number; but because you surpass all the \*Barbarians\* in valour and military discipline." This fentiment is Xenophon's; for surely Cyrus did not reekon his countrymen Barbarians.

<sup>+</sup> Chez Racine tout est sentiment; il a su faire parler chacun pour soi, et c'est en cela qu'il est vraiment unique parmi les auteurs dramatiques de sa nation.

\*\*Rousseau.\*\*

tragedies, we fometimes find fentiments tolerably well adapted to a plain passion: but we must not, in any of them, expect a sentiment expressive of character; and upon that very account, our late performances of the dramatic kind are for the most part

intolerably infipid.

Looking back upon what is faid, I am in some apprehension of not being perfectly understood; for it is not easy to avoid obscurity in handling a matter so complicated: but I promise to set it in the clearest light, by adding example to precept. The first examples shall be of sentiments that appear the legitimate offspring of passion; to which shall be opposed what are descriptive only, and illegitimate: and in making this comparison, I borrow my instances from Shakespear and Corneille, who for genius in dramatic composition stand uppermost in the rolls of same.

Shakespear shall furnish the first example, being of sentiments dictated by a violent and perturbed passion:

Lear.—Filial ingratitude!
Is it not, as if this mouth, should tear this hand
For lifting food to't ?—But I'll punish home;
No, I will weep no more.—In such a night,
To shut me out!—Pour on, I will endure.
In such a night as this! O Regan, Gonerill,
Your old kind father, whose frank heart gave all—
O! that way madness lies; let me shun that;
No more of that.———

Kent. Good, my Lord, enter here.

Lear. Pr'ythee, go in thyfelf, feck thine own eafe,
This tempest will not give me leave to ponder
On things would hurt me more:—but I'll go in;
In, boy, go first. You houseless poverty—
Nay, get thee in; I'll pray, and then I'll sleep—
Poor naked wretches, wheresoe'er you are,
That bide the pelting of this pitiless storm!
How shall your houseless heads, and unfed sides,

Your loop'd and window'd raggedness defend you From feasons such as these?—O I have ta'en Too little care of this! take physic, Pomp; Expose thyself to feel what wretches feel, That thou may'st shake the superflux to them, And show the heavens more just.

King Lear, act 3. sc. 5.

I give another example of the same kind, expressing fentiments arising from remorfe and despair:

Othello. Lo! I have a weapon: A better never did itself sustain Upon a foldier's thigh. I've feen the day, That with this little arm, and this good fword, I've made my way through more impediments Than twenty times your stop. But, oh vain boast! Who can controul his fate? 'tis not so now. Be not afraid, though you do fee me weapon'd; Here is my journey's end, here is my butt, The very fea-mark of my outmost fail. Do you go back difmay'd? 'tis a loft fear. Man but a rush against Othello's breast, And he retires. Where should Othello go? Now-how dost thou look now? Oh ill-starr'd wench ! Pale as thy fmock! when we shall meet at compt, This look of thine will hurl my foul from heav'n And fiends will fnatch at it. Cold, cold, my girl; Ev'n like thy chastity. O curfed slave! Whip me, ye devils, From the possession of this heav'nly fight; Blow me about in winds, roast me in sulphur, Wash me in steep-down gulphs of liquid fire-Oh, Desdemona! Desdemona! dead! dead! oh! oh! Othello, alt 5. fc. 9.

The fentiments here displayed flow so naturally from the passions represented, that we cannot conceive any imitation more perfect.

With With regard to the French author, truth obliges me to acknowledge, that he describes in the style of a spectator, instead of expressing passion like one who seels it; which naturally betrays him into a tiresome monotony, and a pompous declamatory style.\* It is scarce necessary to give examples, for

\* This criticism reaches the French dramatic writers in general, with very few exceptions: their tragedies, excepting those of Racine, are mostly, if not totally, descriptive. Corneille led the way; and later writers, imitating his manner, have accustomed the French ear to a slyle, formal, pompous, declamatory, which fuits not with any passion. Hence, to burlesque a French tragedy, is not more difficult than to burlesque a fliff solemn fop. The facility of the operation has in Paris introduced a fingular amusement, which is, to burle que the more successful tragedies in a fort of farce called a parody. La Motte, who himself appears to have been forely galled by fome of these productions, acknowledges. that no more is necessary to give them currency but barely to vary the dramatis persona, and instead of kings and heroes, queens and princesses, to substitute tinkers and taylors, milkmaids and seamstresses. The declamatory flyle, so different from the genuine expression of passion, passes in some measure unobserved, when great personages are the speakers; but in the mouths of the vulgar, the impropriety with regard to the speaker as well as to the passion represented, is so remarkable as to become ridiculous. A tragedy, where every passion is made to speak in its natural tone, is not liable to be thus burk-squed: the same passon is by all mon express d nearly in the same manner; and, therefore, the genuine expressions of a passion cannot be ridiculous in the mouth of any man who is susceptible of the passion.

It is a well known fact, that to an English ear, the French actors appear to prenounce with too great racidity: a complaint much infifted on by Cibb r in particular, who had frequently heard the famous Baron upon the French slage. This may in some measure be attributed to our want of facility in the French tongue; as foreigners generally imagine that every language is pronounced too quick by natives. But that it is not the fole cause, will be probable from a fast directly opposite, that the French are not a little disgusted with the langu dness, as they term it, of the English pronunciation. May not this difference of taste be derived from what is observed above? The pronunciation of the genuine language of a passion is necessarily directed by the nature of the passfion, particularly by the flowness or celerity of its progress: plaintive paffions, which are the most frequent in tragedy, having a flow motion, dictate a flow pronunciation: in declamation, on the contrary, the speaker warms gradually; and, as he warms, he naturally accelerates his pronunciation. But, as the French have formed their tone of pronunciation upon Corneille's declamatory tragedies, and the English upon the more natural language of Shakespear, it is not surprising that custom should produce such difference of taste in the two nations.

he never varies from that tone. I shall, however, take two passages at a venture, in order to be confronted with those transcribed above. In the tragedy of Cinna, Æmilia, after the conspiracy was discovered, having nothing in view but racks and death to herself and her lover, receives a pardon from Augustus, attended with the brightest circumstances of magnanimity and tenderness. This is a lucky situation for representing the passions of surprise and gratitude in their different stages, which seem naturally to be what follow. These passions, raised at once to the utmost pitch, and being at first too big for utterance, must, for some moments be expressed by violent gestures only: as soon as there is vent for words, the first expressions are broken and interrupted: at last we ought to expect a tide of intermingled fentiments, occasioned by the fluctuation of the mind between the two passions. Æmilia is made to behave in a very different manner: with extreme coolness she describes her own situation, as if she were merely a spectator, or rather the poet takes the task off her hands:

Et je me rens, Seigneur, à ces hautes bontés:
Je recouvre la vûe auprès de leurs clartés.
Je connois mon forfait qui me fembloit justice;
Et ce que n'avoit pû la terreur du supplice,
Je sens naitre en mon ame un repentir puissant,
Et mon cœur en secret me dit, qu'il y consent.
Le ciel a résolu votre grandeur suprême;
Et pour preuve, Seigneur, je n'en veux que moi-même.
J'ose avec vanité me donner cet éclat,
Puisqu'il change mon cœur, qu'il veut changer l'état,
Ma haine vamourir, que j'ai crue immortelle;
Elle est morte, et ce cœur devient sujet sidele;
Et prenant désormais cette haine en horreur,
L'ardeur de vous servir succède à sa sureur.

Ast 5. sc. 3.
Lin

In the tragedy of Sertorius, the Queen, surprised with the news that her lover was affassinated, instead of venting any passion, degenerates into a cool spectator, and undertakes to instruct the by-standers how a queen ought to behave on such an occasion:

Viriate. Il m'en fait voir ensemble, et l'auteur, et la

Par cet affaffinat c'est de moi qu'on dispose, C'est mon trône, c'est moi qu'on pretend conquerir; Et c'est mon juste choix qui seul l'a fait perir. Madame, après sa perte, et parmi ces alarmes, N'attendez point de moi de soupirs, ni de larmes; Ce sont amusemens que dédaigne aisement Le prompt et noble orgueil d'un vis ressentiment. Qui pleure, l'affoiblit; qui soupire, l'exhale: Il faut plus de sierté dans une ame royale; Et ma douleur soumise aux soins de le venger, &c.

So much in general upon the genuine fentiments of passion. I proceed to particular observations. And, first, passions feldom continue uniform any considerable time: they generally sluctuate, swelling and subsiding by turns, often in a quick succession; and the sentiments cannot be just unless they correspond to such sluctuation. Accordingly, a climax never shows better than in expressing a swelling passion: the following passages may suffice for an illustration.

Oroonoko.——Can you raife the dead?
Pursue and overtake the wings of time?
And bring about again, the hours, the days,
The years, that made me happy?

Oroonoko, act 2. sc. 2.

Almeria.——How hast thou charm'd The wildness of the waves and rocks to this?

That

That thus relenting they have giv'n thee back. To earth, to light and life, to love and me?

Mourning Bride, act 1. sc. 7.

I would not be the villain that thou think'st For the whole space that's in the tyrant's grasp, And the rich earth to boot.

Macbeth act 4. Jc. 4.

The following passage expresses sinely the progress of conviction.

Let me not stir, nor breathe, lest I dissolve
That tender, lovely form, of painted air,
So like Almeria. Ha! it finks, it falls;
I'll catch it ere it goes, and grasp her shade.
'Tis life! 'tis warm! 'tis she! 'tis she herself!
It is Almeria, 'tis, it is my wise!

Mourning Bride, ast 2. fc. 6.

In the progress of thought our resolutions become more vigorous as well as our passions:

If ever I do yield or give consent, By any action, word, or thought, to wed Another Lord; may then just heav'n show'r down, &c. Mourning Bride, act 1. sc. 1.

And this leads to a fecond observation, That the different stages of a passion, and its different directions, from birth to extinction, must be carefully represented in their order: because other wise the fentiments, by being misplaced, will appear forced and unnatural. Resentment, for example, when provoked by an atrocious injury, discharges itself first upon the author: sentiments therefore of revenge come always first, and must in some measure be exhausted before the person injured think of grieving

grieving for himself. In the Cid of Corneille, Don Diegue having been affronted in a cruel manner, expresses scarce any sentiment of revenge, but is totally occupied in contemplating the low fituation to which he is reduced by the affront:

O rage! ô desespoir! ô vieillesse ennemie! N'ai je donc tant vecu que pour cette infamie? Et ne suis-je blanchi dans les travaux guerriers, Que pour voir en un jour fletrir tant de lauriers? Mon bras, 'qu'avec respect toute l'Espagne admire, Mon bras, qui tant de fois a fauvé cet empire, Tant de fois affermi le trône de son Roi, Trahit donc ma querelle, et ne fait rien pour moi! O cruel fouvenir de ma gloire passée! Oeuvre de tant de jours en un jour effacée! Nouvelle dignité fatale à mon bonheur! Precipice elevé d'où tombe mon honneur! Faut il de votre éclat voir triompher le Comte, Et mourir fans vengeance, ou vivre dans la honte? Comte, sois de mon Prince à present governeur, Ce haut rang n'admet point un homme fans honneur; Et ton jaloux orgueil par cet affront insigne, Malgré le choix du Roi, m'en a sû rendre indigne. Et toi, de mes exploits glorieux instrument, Mais d'un corps tout de glace inutile ornement, Fer jadis tant à craindre, et qui dans cette offense, M'as servi de parade, et non pas de defense, Va, quitte desormais le dernier des humains, Passe pour me venger en de meilleures mains, Le Cid, alt 1. fc. 7.

These sentiments are certainly not the first that are fuggested by the passion of resentment. As the first movements of refentment are always directed to its object, the very same is the case of grief. Yet with relation to the fudden and fevere diftemper that feized Alexander bathing in the river Cydnus, Quintus Curtius describes the first emotions of the army as directed to themselves, lamenting that they were left

without a leader, far from home, and had scarce any hopes of returning in safety: their King's distress, which must naturally have been their first concern, occupies them but in the second place, according to that author. In the Aminta of Tasso, Sylvia, upon a report of her lover's death, which she believed certain, instead of bemoaning the loss of her beloved, turns her thoughts upon herself, and wonders her heart does not break:

Ohime, ben fon di fasso, Poi che queita novella non m'uccide.

A& 4. fc. 2.

In the tragedy of Jane Shore, Alicia, in the full purpose of destroying her rival, has the the following reslection:

Oh Jealousy! thou bane of pleasing friendship,
Thou worst invader of our tender bosoms;
How does thy rancour poison all our softness,
And turn our gentle natures into bitterness?
See where she comes! once my hearts dearest blessing,
Now my chang'd eyes are blasted with her beauty,
Loathe that known face, and sicken to behold her.

Ast 3. sc. 1.

These are the reslections of a cool spectator. A passion while it has the ascendant, and is freely indulged, suggests not to the person who seels it any sentiment to its own prejudice: reslections like the foregoing occur not readily till the passion has spent its vigour.

A person sometimes is agitated at once by different passions; and the mind, in that case, vibrating like a pendulum, vents itself in sentiments that partake of the same vibration. This I give as a third observation?

Queen. 'Would I had never trod this English earth, Or felt the flatteries that grow upon it! Ye've angels faces, but Heaven knows your hearts. What shall become of me now! wretched lady! I am the most unhappy woman living. Alas! poor wenches, where are now your fortunes? (To her women.

Shipwreck'd upon a kingdom, where no pity, No friends, no hope! no kindred weep for me! Almost no grave allow'd me.

Henry, VIII. act 3. fe. 1.

Othello. Oh devil, devil! If that the earth could teem with woman's tears, Each drop she falls would prove a crocodile. Out of my fight!

Desdemona. I will not stay toffend you. (going. Lodovico. Truly, an obedient lady:

I do befeech your Lordinip call her back.

Oth. Mistress-

Def. My Lord.
Oth. What would you with her, Sir?

Who, I, my Lord? Lod.

Oth. Ay; you did wish that I would make her turn: Sir, the can turn and turn, and yet go on; And turn again. And the can weep, Sir, weep: And the's obedient: as you fay, obedient; Very obedient-proceed you in your tears-Concerning this, Sir,—oh well painted passion!— I am commanded home, get you away, I'll fend for you anon-Sir, I obey the mandate, And will return to Venice. Hence, avaunt ! Exit Desdemona.

Othello, act 4. fc. 6.

Æmilia. Oh! my good Lord, I would speak a word with you.

Othello. Yes, 'tis Æmilia-By and by-She's dead. "Tis like the come's to speak of Cassio's death; The noise was high—Ha! no more moving? Still as the grave. Shall she come in? were't good? I think she itirs again-No-What's the best? It the come in, the'll fure speak to my wife;

My

My wife! my wife! What wife! I have no wife; Oh insupportable! O heavy hour!

Othello, act 5. fc. 7.

A fourth observation is, That nature, which gave us passions, and made them extremely beneficial when moderate, intended undoubtedly that they should be subjected to the government of reason and conscience.\* It is therefore against the order of nature, that passion in any case should take the lead in contradiction to reason and conscience: such a state of mind is a fort of anarchy, which every one is ashamed of, and endeavours to hide or dissemble. Even love, however laudable, is attended with a conscious shame when it becomes immoderate: it is covered from the world, and disclosed only to the beloved object:

Et que l'amour souvent de remors combattu Paroisse une soiblesse, et non une vertu. Boileau, L'art poet. chant. 3. l. 101.

O, they love least that let men know their love.

Two gentlemen of Verona, act 1. s. 3.

Hence a capital rule in the representation of immoderate passions, that they ought to be hid or dissembled as much as possible. And this holds in an especial manner with respect to criminal passions: one never counsels the commission of a crime in plain terms: guilt must not appear in its native colours, even in thought: the proposal must be made by hints, and by representing the action in some favourable light. Of the propriety of sentiment upon such an occasion, Shakespear, in the Tempost, has given us a beautiful example, in a speech by the usuring

usurping Duke of Milan, advising Sebastian to murder his brother the King of Naples:

Antonio.———What might,
Worthy Sebastian,—O, what might—no more.
And yet methinks, I see it in thy face,
What thou shouldst be: th' occasion speaks thee, and
My strong imagination sees a crown
Dropping upon thy head.

A& 2. fc. 1.

There never was drawn a more complete picture of this kind, than that of King John foliciting Hubert to murder the young Prince Arthur:

But thou shalt have—and creep time ne'er so slow, Yet it shall come for me to do thee good. I had a thing to say—but let it go; The sun is in the heav'n; and the proud day, Attended with the pleasures of the world, Is all too wanton, and too sull of gawds, To give me audience. If the midnight-bell Did with his iron-tongue and brazen mouth Sound one into the drowsy race of night; If this same were a church-yard where we stand, And thou possesses with a thousand wrongs; Or if that surly spirit Melancholy Had bak'd thy blood, and made it heavy-thick, Which

Which esserting up and down the veine, Making that idiot Laughter keep men's eyes, And strain their cheeks to idle merriment, (A passion hateful to my purposes;)
Or if that thou couldst see me without eyes, Hear me without thine ears, and make reply Without a tongue, using conceit alone, Without eyes, ears, and harmful sound of words; Then, in despite of broad-ey'd watchful day, I would into thy bosom pour my thoughts. But ah, I will not—Yet I love thee well; And, by my troth, I think thou lov'st me well.

Hubert. So well, that what you bid me undertake, Though that my death were adjunct to my act, By Heav'n, I'd do't.

K. John. Do not I know thou wouldst? Good Hubert, Hubert, Hubert, throw thine eye On you young boy. I'll tell thee what, my friend; He is a very serpent in my way.

And, wherefoe'er this foot of mine doth tread, He lies before me. Dost thou understand me?

Thou art his keeper. King John, act 3. sc. 5.

As things are best illustrated by their contraries, I proceed to faulty fentiments, disdaining to be indebted for examples to any but the most approved authors. The first class shall consist of sentiments that accord not with the passion; or, in other words, sentiments that the passion does not naturally suggest. In the fecond class, shall be ranged fentiments that may belong to an ordinary passion, but unsuitable to it as tinctured by a fingular character. Thoughts that properly are not fentiments, but rather descriptions, make a third. Sentiments that belong to the passion represented, but are faulty as being introduced too early or too late, make a fourth. Vicious fentiments exposed in their native drefs, instead of being concealed or difguifed, make a fifth. And in the last class, shall be collected sentiments suited to no character nor passion, and therefore unnatural.

The first class contains faulty sentiments of various kinds, which I shall endeavour to distinguish from each other; beginning with sentiments that are faulty by being above the tone of the passion:

Othello. ———— O my foul's joy!

If after every tempest come such calms,
May the winds blow till they have waken'd death!

And let the labouring bark climb hills of seas
Olympus high, and duck again as low
As hell's from heaven!

Othello, alt 2. fc. 6.

This fentiment may be fuggested by violent and inflamed passion, but is not suited to the calm satisfaction that one feels upon escaping danger.

Philaster. Place me fome god, upon a pyramid Higher than hills of earth, and lend a voice Loud as your thunder to me, that from thence I may discourse to all the under-world The worth that dwells in him.

Philaster of Beaumont and Fletcher, ast 4.

Second. Sentiments below the tone of the passion. Ptolemy, by putting Pompey to death, having incurred the displeasure of Cæsar was in the utmost dread of being dethroned: in that agitating situation, Corneille makes him utter a speech full of cool restlection, that is in no degree expressive of the passion.

Ah! si je t'avois crû, je n'aurois pas de maitre, Je serois dans le trône où le Ciel m'a fait naître; Mais c'est une imprudence assez commune aux rois, D'écouter trop d'avis, et se tromper aux choix. Le Destin les aveugle au bord du précipice, Où si quelque lumière en leur ame se glisse,

Cette

Cette fausse clarté dont il les eblouit, Le plonge dans une gouffre, et puis s'evanouit. La morte de Pompée, act 4. sc. 1.

In Les Freres ennemies of Racine, the fecond act is opened with a love scene: Hemon talks to his mistress of the torments of absence, of the lustre of her eyes, that he ought to die no where but at her feet, and that one moment of absence is a thousand years. Antigone on her part acts the coquette; pretends the must be gone to wait on her mother and brother, and cannot stay to listen to his courtship. This is odious French gallantry, below the dignity of the passion of love: it would scarce be excusable in painting modern French manners; and is insufferable where the ancients are brought upon the stage. The manners painted in the Alexandre of the same author are not more just: French gallantry prevails there throughout.

Third. Sentiments that agree not with the tone of the passion; as where a pleasant sentiment is grafted upon a painful passion, or the contrary. In the following instances the sentiments are too gay for a serious passion:

No happier task these faded eyes pursue; To read and weep is all they now can do.

Eloifa to Abelard, 1. 47.

## Again,

Heav'n first taught letters for some wretch's aid,
Some banish'd lover, or some captive maid;
They live, they speak, they breathe what love inspires,
Warm from the soul, and faithful to its fires;
The virgin's with without her sears impart,
Excuse the blush, and pour out all the heart;
Speed the soft intercourse from soul to soul,
And wast a sigh from Indus to the pole.

Eloisa to Abelard, 1. 51.
These

These thoughts are pretty: they suit Pope, but not Eloisa.

Satan, enraged by a threatening of the angel Gabriel, answer thus:

Then when I am thy captive talk of chains,
Proud limitary cherub; but ere then
Far heavier load thyfelf expect to feel
From my prevailing arm, though Heaven's King
Ride on thy wings, and thou with thy compeers,
Us'd to the yoke, draw'ft his triumphant wheels
In progress through the road of heav'n ftar-pav'd.

Paradife lost, book 4.

The concluding epithet forms a grand and delightful image, which cannot be the genuine offspring of rage.

Fourth. Sentiments too artificial for a ferious passion. I give for the first example a speech of Piercy expiring:

O, Harry, thou hast robb'd me of my growth:

I better brook the loss of brittle life,
Than those proud titles thou hast won of me;
They wound my thoughts, worse than thy sword my flesh.

But thought's the flave of life, and life time's fool; And time, that takes furvey of all the world, Must have a stop.

First part, Henry IV. act 5. sc. 9.

Livy inferts the following paffage in a plaintive oration of the Locrenses, accusing Pleminius the Roman legate of oppression.

In hoc legato vestro, nec hominis quicquam est, Patres Conscripti, præter figuram et speciem; neque Romani mani civis, præter habitum vestitumque, et sonum linguæ Latinæ. Pestis et bellua immanis, quales fretum, quondam, quo ab Sicilia dividimur, ad perniciem navigantium circumsedisse, fabulæ serunt.\*\*

The fentiments of the Mourning Bride are for the most part no less delicate than just copies of nature: in the following exception the picture is beautiful, but too artful to be suggested by severe grief.

Almeria. O no! Time gives increase to my afflictions. The circling hours, that gather all the woes Which are diffus'd through the revolving year, Come heavy laden with th' oppressive weight To me; with me, successively they leave The sighs, the tears, the groans, the restless cares, And all the damps of grief, that did retard their slight: They shake their downy wings, and scatter all The dire collected dews on my poor head; Then sly with joy and swiftness from me.

A& 1. fc. 1.

In the same play, Almeria seeing a dead body, which she took to be Alphonso's, expresses sentiments strained and artificial, which nature suggests not to any person upon such an occasion:

Had they, or hearts, or eyes, that did this deed? Could eyes endure to guide fuch cruel hands? Are not my eyes guilty alike with theirs, That thus can gaze, and yet not turn to stone?—I do not weep! The springs of tears are dry'd? And of a sudden I am calm, as if All things were well; and yet my husband's murder'd! Yes, yes, I know to mourn: I'll sluice this heart, The source of wo, and let the torrent loofe.

A& 5. fc. 11. Lady

<sup>\*</sup> Titus Livius, 1. 29. § 17.

Lady Trueman. How could you be so cruel to defer giving me that joy which you knew I must receive from your presence? You have robb'd my life of some hours of happiness that ought to have been in it.

Drummer, act 5.

Pope's Elegy to the memory of an unfortunate lady, expresses delicately the most tender concern and forrow that one can feel for the deplorable sate of a person of worth. Such a poem, deeply serious and pathetic, rejects with disdain all siction. Upon that account, the following passage deserves no quarter; for it is not the language of the heart, but of the imagination, indulging its slights at case; and by that means is eminently discordant with the subject. It would be a still more severe censure, if it should be afcribed to imitation, copying indiscreetly what has been said by others:

What though no weeping loves thy afnes grace, Nor polith'd marble emulate thy face? What though no facred earth allow thee room, Nor hallow'd dirge be mutter'd o'er thy tomb? Yet shall thy grave with rising flowr's be drest, And the green turf lie lightly on thy breast: There shall the morn her earliest tears bestow, There the first roses of the year shall blow; While angels with their silver wings o'ershade The ground, now facred by thy reliques made.

Fifth. Fanciful or finical fentiments. Sentiments that degenerate into point or couceit, however they may amufe in an idle hour, can never be the offspring of any ferious or important passion. In the Jerusalem of Tasso, Tancred, after a single combat, spent with satigue and loss of blood, salls into a swoon; in which situation, understood to be dead, he is discovered

covered by Erminia, who was in love with him to diftraction. A more happy fituation cannot be imagined, to raise grief in an instant to its height; and yet, in venting her forrow, the descends most aboutinably into antithesis and conceit, even of the loweft kind:

> E in lui versò d'inefficabil vena Lacrime, e voce, di fospiri mista. In che misero punto hor qui me mena Fortuna? a che veduta amara e trista? Dopo gran tempo i' ti ritrovo à pena Tancredi, e ti riveggio, e non son vista, Vista non son da te, benche presente E trovando ti perdo eternamente.

Canto 19. ft. 105.

Armida's lamentation respecting her lover Rinaldo,\* is in the fame vicious tafte.

Queen. Give me no help in lamentation, I am not barren to bring forth complaints: All springs reduce their currents to mine eyes That I being govern'd by the wat'ry moon, May fend forth plenteous tears to drown the world, Ah, for my husband, for my dear Lord Edward. King Richard, III. ast 2. fc. 2.

Jane Shore. Let me be branded for the public fcorn, Turn'd forth, and driven to wander like a vagabond, Be friendless and forsaken, seek my bread Upon the barren wild, and defolate waste, Feed on my fighs, and drink my falling tears; Ere I consent to teach my lips injustice, Or wrong the Orphan who has none to fave him. Jane Shore, all 4.

Give me your drops, ye foft-descending rains, Give me your streams, ye never-ceasing springs,

\* Canto 20. flan. 124. 125. & 126.

That my fad eyes may still supply my duty, And feed an everlasting slood of sorrow. Fane Shore, act 5.

Jane Shore utters her last breath in a witty conceit.

Then all is well, and I shall sleep in peace—
'Tis very dark, and I have lost you now—
Was there not something I would have bequeath'd you?
But I have nothing lest me to bestow,
Nothing but one sad sigh. Oh mercy, Heav'n! \[Dies. AF 5.\]

Guilford to Lady Jane Gray, when both were con-

Thou stand'st unmov'd;
Calm temper sits upon thy beauteous brow;
Thy eyes that slow'd so fast for Edward's loss,
Gaze unconcern'd upon the ruin round thee,
As if thou hadst resolv'd to brave thy sate,
And triumph in the midst of desolation.
Ha! see, it swells, the liquid crystal rises,
It starts in spite of shee—but I will catch it,
Nor let the earth be wet with dew so rich.

Lady Jane Gray, ast 4. near the end.

The concluding fentiment is altogether finical, unfuitable to the importance of the occasion, and even to the dignity of the passion of love.

Corneille in his Examen of the Cid,\* answering an objection, That his sentiments are sometimes too much refined for persons in deep distress, observes, that if poets did not include sentiments more ingenious or refined than are prompted by passion, their personmances would often be low, and extreme grief would never suggest but exclamations merely. This is in plain language to assert, that forced thoughts are more agreeable than those that are natural, and ought to be preferred.

The fecond class is of sentiments that may belong to an ordinary passion, but are not perfectly concordant with it, as tinctured by a singular character.

In the last act of that excellent comedy, The Careless Hulband, Lady Easy, upon Sir Charless' reformation, is made to express more violent and turbulent sentiments of joy, than are consistent with the mildness of her character:

Lady Eafy. O the foft treasure! O the dear reward of long desiring love.—Thus! thus to have you mine, is fomething more than happiness; 'tis double life, and madness of abounding joy.

If the fentiments of a passion ought to be suited to a peculiar character, it is still more necessary that actions be suited to the character. In the 5th act of the *Drummer*, Addison makes his gardner act even below the character of an ignorant credulous russic: he gives him the behaviour of a gaping idiot.

The following instances are descriptions rather than sentiments, which compose a third class.

Of this descriptive manner of painting the passions, there is in the Hyppolitus of Euripides, act 5, an illustrious instance, namely, the speech of Theseus, upon hearing of his son's dismal exit. In Racine's tragedy of Esther, the Queen hearing of the decree issued against her people, instead of expressing sentiments suitable to the occasion, turns her attention upon herself, and describes with accuracy her own situation:

Juste Ciel! tout mon sang dans mes veines se glace.

Act 1. sc. 3.

Again,

Aman. C'en est fait. Mon orgueil est forcé de plier. L'incxorable Aman est reduit à prier.

Efther, act 3. Sc. 5.

Athalie. Quel prodige nouveau me trouble et m'embar-

La douceur de sa voix, son ensance, sa grace, Font insensiblement à mon inimitié Succeder—Je serois sensible à la pitié? Athalie, act 2. sc. 7.

Titus. O de ma passion sureur desesperée!

Brutus of Voltaire, act 3. sc. 6.

What other are the foregoing inflances but describing the passion another feels?

A man stabbed to the heart in a combat with his

enemy expresses himself thus:

Dryden.

Captain Flash, in a farce composed by Garrick, endeavours to hide his fear by faying, "What a damn'd

passion I am in."

An example is given above of remorfe and defpair expressed by genuine and natural sentiments. In the fourth book of *Paradise Lost*, Satan is made to express his remorfe and despair in sentiments, which, though beautiful, are not altogether natural: they are rather the sentiments of a spectator, than of a person who actually is tormented with these passions.

The fourth class is of fentiments introduced too early or too late.

Some

Some examples mentioned above belong to this class. Add the following from Venice Preserv'd, act 5, at the close of the scene between Belvidera and her father Priuli. The account given by Belvidera of the danger she was in, and of her husband's threatening to murder her, ought naturally to have alarmed her relenting father, and to have made him express the most perturbed sentiments. Instead of which he dissolves into tenderness and love for his daughter, as if he had already delivered her from danger, and as if there were a perfect tranquillity:

Canst thou forgive me all my soliies past?
I'll henceforth be indeed a father; never,
Never more thus expose but cherish thee,
Dear as the vital warmth that feeds my life,
Dear as those eyes that weep in sondness o'er thee:
Peace to thy heart.

Immoral fentiments exposed in their native colours, instead of being concealed or disguised, compose the fifth class.

The Lady Macbeth, projecting the death of the

King, has the following foliloquy:

The raven himself's not hoarse
That croaks the satal entrance of Duncan
Under my battlements. Come all you spirits
That tend on mortal thoughts, unsex me here,
And fill me from the crown to the toe, top-full
Of direct cruelty; make thick my blood,
Stop up th' access and passage to remorse,
That no compunctious visitings of nature
Shake my fell purpose.

Macheth, act 1. sc. 7.

This fpeech is not natural. A treacherous murder was never perpetrated even by the most hardened miscreant, without compunction: and that the lady here must have been in horrible agitation, ap-

pears from her invoking the infernal spirits to fill her with cruelty, and to stop up all avenues to remorfe. But in that state of mind, it is a never-failing artifice of felf-deceit, to draw the thickest veil over the wicked action, and to extenuate it by all the circumstances that imagination can suggest: and if the crime cannot bear difguife, the next attempt is to thrust it out of mind altogether, and to rush on to action without thought. This last was the hufband's method:

Strange things I have in head, that will to hand; Which must be acted ere they must be scann'd. Act 3. fc. 5.

The lady follows neither of these courses, but in a dehoerate manner endeavours to fortify her heart in the commission of an execrable crime, without even attempting to colour it. This I think is not natural, I hope there is no fuch wretch to be found as is here represented. In the Pompey of Corneille,\* Photine counsels a wicked action in the plainest terms with. out disguise:

Seigneur, n'attirez point le tonnerre en ces lieux, Rangez vous du parti des destins et des dicux, Et sans les accuser d'injustice, ou d'outrage; Pais qu'ils font les heureux, adorez leur ouvrage; Quels que soient leurs decrets, déclarez-vous pour eux, Et pour leur obéir, perdez le mal hereux. Press de touts parts des coléres celestes. Il en vient dessus vous faire fondre les restes; Et sa tête qu' à peine il a pû dérober, Tout prête dechoir, cherche avec qui tomber. Sa retraite chez vous en effet n'est qu'un crime; Elle marque sa haine, et non pas son estime ; Il ne vient que vous perdre en venant prendre port, Et vous pouvez douter s'il est digne de mort! Il devoit mieux remplir nos vœux et notre attente, Faire voir sur ses ners la victoire flotante;

Il n'eût ici trouvé que joye et que festins; Mais puisqu'il est vaincu qu'il s'en prenne aux destins, J'en veux à sa disgrace et non à sa personne, l'exécute à regret ce que le ciel ordonne, Et du même poignard, pour Céfar destiné, Je perce en soupirant son cœur infortuné. Vous ne pouvez enfin qu' aux dépens de sa tête Mettre à l'abri la vôtre, et parer la tempête. Laissez nommer sa mort un unjuste attentat, La justice n'est pas une vertu d'état. Le choix des actions, ou mauvaifes, ou bonnes, Ne fait qu' anéantir la force des couronnes; Le droit des rois consiste à ne rien épargner; La timide équité détruit l'art de regner; Quand on craint d'être injuste on a toûjours à craindre; Et qui veut tout pouvoir doit ofer tout enfraindre, Fuir comme un dethonneur la vertu qui le pert, Et voler fans scrupule au crime qui lui sert.

In the tragedy of Esther,\* Haman acknowledges without difguise, his cruelty, insolence, and pride. And there is another example of the same kind in the Agamemnon of Seneca.† In the tragedy of Athalic,† Mathan, in cool blood, relates to his friend many black crimes he had been guilty of, to satisfy his ambition.

In Congreve's *Double-dealer*, Maskwell, instead of disguising or colouring his crimes, values himself upon them in a foliloquy:

Cynthia, let thy beauty gild my crimes; and whatfoever I commit of treachery or deceit, shall be imputed to me as a merit——Treachery! what treachery? Love cancels all the bonds of friendship, and sets mon right upon their first foundations.

At 2. Je. 8.

In French plays, love, instead of being hid or difguised, is treated as a serious concern, and of greater

<sup>\*</sup> Ad 2. sc. 1. + Beginning of act 2. + Ad 3. sc. 3. at the 2' sc. A a 4

greater importance than fortune, family, or dignity. I suspect the reason to be, that, in the capital of France, love, by the easiness of intercourse, has dwindled down from a real passion to be a connection that is regulated entirely by the mode or fashion.\* may in some measure excuse their writers, but will never make their plays be relilhed among foreigners.

Maxime. Quoi, trahir mon ami? Euphorbe. \_\_\_\_L'amour rend tout permis, Un véritable amant ne connoît point d'amis. Cinna, act z. sc. 1.

Cesar. Reine, tout est plaisible, et la ville calmée, Ou'un trouble affez leger avoit trop allarmée, N'a plus à redouter le divorce intestin Da foldat infolent, et du peuple mutin. Mais, ô Dieux! ce moment que je vous ai quittée, D'un trouble bien plus grand à mon ame agnée, Et ces soins importuns qui m'arrachoient de vous Contre ma grandeur même allumoient mon courroux, Te lui voulois du mal de m'être si contraire, De rendre ma presence ailleurs si necessaire. Mais je lui pardonnois au fimple fouvenir Du bonheur qu'à ma flâme elle fait obtenir. C'est elle dont je tiens cette haute espérance, Oci flate mes desirs d'une illustre apparence, Et fair croire à César qu'il peut sormer de vœux, Qu'il n'est pas tout-à-sait indigne de vos feux, Et qu'il peut en pretendre une juste conquête, N'ayant plus que les Dieux au dessus de sa tête. Oui, Reine, si quelqu' un dans ce vaste univers Pouvoit porter plus haut la gloire de vos fers ; S'il étoit quelque trône où vous pouissiez paroître Plus dignement assse en captivant son maître, l'irois

<sup>\*</sup> A certain author fays humourously, "Les mots mêmes d'amour et d'amant sont bannis de l'intime société des deux sexes, et relegués avec ceux de chaine et de flame dans les Romans qu'on ne lit plus." And where nature is once banished, a fair field is open to every fantastic imitation, even the most extravagant.

l'irois, j'irois à lui, moins pour le lui ravir. Que pour lui disputer le droit de vous servir ; Et je n'aspirerois au bonheur de vous plaire, Qu'après avoir mis bas un si grand adversaire. C'étoit pour acquerir un droit si précieux, Que combattoit par tout mon bras ambiticux. Et dans Pharfale même il a tiré l'epéc Plus pour le conservir, que pour vaincre Pompée. Je l'ai vaincu, Princesse, et le Dieu de combats M'y favorisoit moins que vos divins appas. Ils conduisoient ma main, ils enfloient mon courage, Cette pleine victoire est leur dernier ouvrage, C'est l'effet des ardeurs qu'ils daignoient m'inspirer; Et vos beaux yeaux enfin m'ayant fait foûpirer, Pour faire que votre ame avec gloire y réponde. M'ont rendu le premier, et de Rome, et du monde ; C'est ce glorieux titre, à présent effectif, Que je viens ennoblir par celui de captif; Heureux, si mon esprit gagne tant sur le vôtre, Qu'il en estime l'un, et me permette l'autre. Pompée, act 4. fc. 3.

The last class comprehends fentiments that are un-

natural, as being fuited to no character nor passion. These may be subdivided into three branches: first, sentiments unsuitable to the constitution of man, and to the laws of his nature; second, inconsistent sentiments; third, sentiments that are pure rant and extravagance.

When the fable is of human affairs, every event, every incident, and every circumstance, ought to be natural, otherwise the imitation is imperfect. But an imperfect imitation is a venial fault, compared with that of running cross to nature. In the Hippolytus of Euripides,\* Hippolytus, wishing for another felf in his own situation, How much (says he) should I be touched with his misfortune! as if it were natural to grieve more for the missortunes of another than for one's own.

Osmyn. Yet I behold her—yet—and now no more. Turn your lights inward, Eyes, and view my thought. So shall you still behold her—'twill not be. O impotence of fight! mechanic sense Which to exterior objects ow'st thy faculty, Not seeing of election, but necessity. Thus do our eyes, as do all common mirrors, Successively reslect succeeding images. Nor what they would, but must; a star or toad; Just as the hand of chance administers!

Mourning Bride, act 2. sc. 8.

No man, in his fenses, ever thought of applying his eyes to discover what passes in his mind; far less of blaming his eyes for not seeing a thought or idea. In Moliere's L'Avare,\* Harpagon being robbed of his money, seizes himself by the arm, mistaking it for that of the robber. And again he expresses himself as follows:

Je veux aller querir la justice, et faire donner la question à toute ma maison; à servantes, à valets, à sils, a sille, et a moi aussi.

This is so absurd as scarce to provoke a smile, if it be not at the author.

Of the fecond branch the following are examples.

And I will strive with things impossible,
Yea get the better of them.

Julius Cafar, all 2. sc. 3.

junus Casar, aci 2. je. 3.

Vos mains seules ont droit de vaincre un invincible. Le Cid, act 5. sc. last.

Que son nom soit beni. Que son nom soit chanté, Que l'on celebre ses ouvrages Au de la de l'eternité.

Estler, act 5. sc. last. Me miferable! which way thall I fly Infinite wrath and infinite despair?
Which way I fly is hell: myself am hell;
And in the lowest deep, a lower deep
Still threatening to devour me, opens wide;
To which the hell I suffer seems a heav'n.

Paradise lost, book 4.

Of the third branch, take the following famples.

Lucan, talking of Pompey's fepulcre,

Romanum nomen, et omne Imperium Magno est tumuli modus. Obrue faxa Crimine plena deum. Si tota est Herculis Oete, Et juga tota vacant Bromio Nyseia; quare Unus in Egypto Magno lapis? Omnia Lagi Rura tenere potest, si nullo cespite nomen Hæserit. Erremus populi, cinerumque tuorum, Magne, metu nullas Nili calcemus arenas.

L. 8. l. 798.

## Thus in Row's translation:

Where there are feas, or air, or earth, or skies, Where-e'er Rome's empire stretches, Pompey lies. Far be the vile memorial then convey'd!
Nor let this stone the partial gods upbraid.
Shall Hercules all Oeta's heights demand,
And Nysa's hill for Bacchus only stand;
While one poor pebble is the warrior's doom
That fought the cause of liberty and Rome?
If Fate decrees he must in Egypt lie,
Let the whole fertile realm his grave supply,
Yield the wide country to his awful shade
Nor let us dare on any part to tread,
Fearful we violate the mighty dead.

The following passages are pure rant. Coriolanus, speaking to his mother,

What is this?
Your knees to me? to your corrected fon?
Then let the pebbles on the hungry beach
Fillop the stars: then let the mutinous winds
Strike the proud cedars 'gainst the siery sun:
Murd'ring impossibility to make
What cannot be, slight work.

Coriolanus, act 5. fc. 3.

Caser.—Danger knows full well, That Cæsar is more dangerous than he. We were two lions litter'd in one day, And I the elder and more terrible.

Julius Cæfar, act 2. sc. 4.

But to tear out the journal of this day.

Or if the order of the world below,

Will not the gap of one whole day allow,

Give me that minute when she made that vow.

That minute even the happy from their blife.

That minute ev'n the happy from their bliss might give,
And those who live in grief a shorter time would live,

So fmall a link if broke, th' eternal chain Would like divided waters join again.

Conquest of Granada, act 3.

Lyndiraxa. A crown is come, and will not fate allow, And yet I feel fomething like death is near.

My guards, my guards——

Let not that ugly skeleton appear.

Sure Destiny mistakes; this death's not mine;

She doats, and meant to cut another line.

Tell her I am a queen—but 'tis too late;

Dying,

Dying, I charge rebellion on my fate;
Bow down, ye flaves——
Bow quickly down and your fubmission show;
I'm pleas'd to taste an empire ere I go.

Conquest of Granada, part 2. act 5.

Ventidius. But you, ere love missed your wand'ring eyes,

Were, fure, the chief and best of human race, Fram'd in the very pride and boast of nature, So perfect, that the gods who form'd you wonder'd At their own skill, and cry'd, A lucky hit Has mended our design.

Dryden, All for Love, act 1.

Not to talk of the impiety of this fentiment, it is ludicrous instead of being loftv.

The famous epitaph on Raphael is no less absurd

than any of the foregoing passages:

Raphael, timuit, quo fospite, vinci Rerum magna parens, et moriente mori.

Imitated by Pope in his Epitaph on Sir Godfrey Kneller:

Living, great Nature fear'd he might outvie Her works; and dying, fears herfelf might die.

Such is the force of imitation; for Pope of himfelf would never have been guilty of a thought so extravagant.

So much upon fentiments; the language proper for expressing them, comes next in order.

## C H A P. XVII.

## Language of Passion.

AMONG the particulars that compose the social part of our nature, a propensity to communicate our opinions, our emotions, and every thing that affects us, is remarkable. Bad fortune and injustice affect us greatly; and of these we are so prone to complain, that if we have no friend nor acquaintunce to take part in our sufferings, we sometimes utter our complaints aloud, even where there are none to listen.

But this propenfity operates not in every state of mind. A man immoderately grieved, seeks to afflict himself, rejecting all confolation: immoderate grief accordingly is mute: complaining is struggling for confolation.

It is the wretch's comfort still to have
Some small reserve of near and inward wo,
Some unsuspected hoard of inward grief,
Which they unseen may wail, and weep, and mourn,
And glutton-like alone devour.

Mourning Bride, act 1. sc. 1.

When grief fubfides, it then and no fooner finds a tongue: we complain, because complaining is an effort to disburden the mind of its distress.\*

Surprise

\* This observation is finely illustrated by a flory which Herodotus records, b. g. Cambyses, when he conquered Egypt, made Plammenitus the King prisener; and for trying his conflancy, ordered his daughter to be dressed in the habit of a slave, and to be employed in bringing water from the river; his son also was led to execution with a halter about his needs. The Egyptians vented their forrow in tears and lamentations: Plantmenitus only, with a downcast eye, remained filent. Afterward raceting one of his companions, a man advanced in years, who, being plundered

Surprise and terror are filent passions for a different reason: they agitate the mind so violently as for a time to suspend the exercise of its faculties, and among others the faculty of speech.

Love and revenge, when immoderate, are not more loquacious than immoderate grief. But when these passions become moderate, they set the tongue free, and, like moderate grief, become loquacious: moderate love, when unsuccessful, is vented in complaints; when successful, is full of joy expressed by words and gestures.

As no passion hath any long uninterrupted existence,\* nor beats always with an equal pulse, the language suggested by passion is not only unequal, but frequently interrupted: and even during an uninterrupted sit of passion, we only express in words the more capital sentiments. In familiar conversation, one who vents every single thought is justly branded with the character of loquacity; because sensible people express no thoughts but what make some figure: in the same manner, we are only disposed to express the strongest pulses of passion, especially when it returns with impetuosity after interruption.

I formerly had occasion to observe,† that the sentiments ought to be tuned to the passion, and the language to both. Elevated sentiments require elevated language: tender sentiments ought to be clothed in words that are soft and slowing: when the

I-lundered of all, was begging alms, he wept bitterly, calling him by his name. Cambyles, firtick with wonder, demanded an answer to the following question: "Pfammenitus, thy master, Cambyles, is defi out to know, why, after thou hadst feen thy daughter for ignominion by treated, and thy fon led to execution, without exclaiming or weeping thou shoulds be so highly concerned for a poor man, no way related to there?" Pfammenitus returned the following answer: "Son of Cyrus, the calamities of my family are too great to leave me the power of weeping; but the missortunes of a companion, reduced in his old age to want of bread, is a fit subject for lamentation."

mind is depressed with any passion, the sentiments must be expressed in words that are humble, not low. Words being intimately connected with the ideas they represent, the greatest harmony is required between them: to express, for example, an humble fentiment in high-founding words, is difagreeable by a discordant mixture of feelings; and the discord is not less when elevated fentiments are dressed in low words :

Verfibus exponi tragicis res comica non vult. Indignatur item privatis ac prope focco Dignis carminibus narrari coena Thyestæ. Horace, Ars poet. 1. 89.

This however excludes not figurative expression, which within moderate bounds, communicates to the fentiment an agreeable elevation. We are fenfible of an effect directly opposite, where figurative expression is indulged beyond a just measure: the opposition between the expression and the sentiment, makes the difcord appear greater than it is in reality.\*

At the fame time, figures are not equally the language of every passion: pleasant emotions, which elevate or fwell the mind, vent themselves in strong epithets and figurative expression; but humbling and dispiriting passions affect to speak plain:

Et tragicus plerumque dolet sermone pedestri Telephus et Peleus: cum pauper et exul uterque; Projicit ampullas et sesquipedalia verba, Si curat cor spectantis tetigisse querela. Horace, Ars poet 1. 95.

Figurative expression, being the work of an enlivened irragination, cannot be the language of anguish or diffress. Otway, sensible of this, has painted a scene of diffress in colours finely adapted to the sub-

<sup>\*</sup> See this explained more particularly in chap. 8.

ject: there is fearce a figure in it, except a short and natural simile with which the speech is introduced. Belvidera taiking to her father of her husband:

Think you saw what past at our last parting;
Think you beheld him like a raging lion,
Pacing the earth, and tearing up his steps,
Fate in his eyes, and roaring with the pain
Of burning fury; think you saw his one hand
Fix'd on my throat, while the extended other
Grasp'd a keen threat'ning dagger; oh, 'twas thus
We last embrac'd, when, trembling with revenge,
He dragg'd me to the ground, and at my bosom
Presented horrid death; cry'd out, My friends!
Where are my friends? swore, wept, rag'd, threaten'd, lov'd;

For he yet lov'd, and that deer love preserv'd me To this last trial of a father's pity.

I fear not death, but cannot bear a thought That that dear hand should do th' unfriendly office: If I was ever then your care, now hear me; Fly to the senate, save the promis'd lives

Of his dear friends, ere mine be made the facrifice. Venice preserv'd, ast 5.

To preserve the foresaid resemblance between words and their meaning, the sentiments of active and hurrying passions ought to be dressed in words where syllables prevail that are pronounced short or fast; for these make an impression of hurry and precipitation. Emotions, on the other hand, that rest upon their objects, are best expressed by words where syllables prevail that are pronounced long or slow.

A person affected with melancholy has a languid and slow train of perceptions: the expression best suited to that state of mind, is where words, not only of long but of many syllables, abound in the composition; and, for that reason, nothing can be siner

than the following passage.

In those deep solitudes, and awful cells,
Where heavenly-pensive Contemplation dwells,
And ever-musing Melancholy reigns.

Pope, Eloiza to Abelard.

To preferve the fame resemblance, another circumflance is requisite, that the language, like the emotion, be rough or smooth, broken or uniform. Calm and sweet emotions are best expressed by words that glide softly: surprise, fear, and other turbulent passions, require an expression both rough and broken.

It cannot have escaped any diligent inquirer into nature, that, in the hurry of passion, one generally expresses that thing first which is most at heart: \* which is beautifully done in the following passage.

Me, me; adsum qui feci: in me convertite ferrum O Rutuli, mea fraus omnis.

Eneid; ix. 427.

Passion has often the effect of redoubling words, the better to make them express the strong conception of the mind. This is finely imitated in the following examples.

Thou fun, faid I, fair light!

And thou enlighten'd earth, fo tresh and gay!
Ye hills and dales, ye rivers, woods, and plains!

And ye that live, and move, fair creatures! tell,

Tell if ye saw, how came I thus, how here

Paradise lost, book viii. 273.

Against God only; I, 'gainst God and thee: And to the place of judgment will return.

There

<sup>\*</sup> Demetrius Phalereus (of Elocution, fect. 28.) justly observes, that an accurate adjustiment of the words to the thrught, so as to make them correspond in every particular, is only proper for sedate subjects; for that passion speaks plain, and rejects all refinements.

There with cries importune Heaven, that all The fentence, from thy head remov'd, may light On me, sole cause to thee of all this wo; Me! Me! only just object of his ire.

Paradise lost, book x. 930.

Shakespear is superior to all other writers in delineating passion. It is difficult to say in what part he most excels, whether in moulding every passion to peculiarity of character, in discovering the sentiments that proceed from various tones of passion, or in expressing properly every different sentiment: he difgusts not his reader with general declamation and unmeaning words, too common in other writers: his fentiments are adjusted to the peculiar character and circumstances of the speaker: and the propriety is no less perfect between his fentiments and his diction. That this is no exaggeration, will be evident to every one of tafte, upon comparing Shakespear with other writers in fimilar paffages. If upon any occasion he fall below himself, it is in those scenes where passion enters not: by endeavouring in that case to raife his dialogue above the style of ordinary conversation, he sometimes deviates into intricate thought and obscure expression: \* fometimes, to throw his language

## \* Of this take the following specimen.

They clepe us drunkards, and with fwinish phrase Soil our addition; and, indeed it takes From our achievements, though perform'd at height, The pith and marrow of our attribute. So, oft it chances in particular men, That for some vicious mole of nature in them, As in their birth, (wherein they are not guilty, Since Nature cannot chuse his origin,) By the o'ergrowth of some complexion Oft breaking down the pales and forts of reason; Or by some habit, that too much o'er leavens

language out of the familiar, he employs rhyme. But may it not in some measure excuse Shakespear, I shall not fav his works, that he had no pattern, in his own or any living language, of dialogue fitted for the theatre? At the same time, it ought not to escape observation, that the stream clears in its progress, and that in his later plays he has attained the purity and perfection of dialogue; an observation that, with greater certainty than tradition, will direct us to arrange his plays in the order of time. ought to be confidered by those who rigidly exaggerate every blemish of the finest genius for the drama ever the world enjoyed: they ought also for their own fake to confider, that it is easier to discover his blemishes, which lie generally at the surface, than his beauties, which cannot be truly relished but by those who dive deep into human nature. One thing must be evident to the meanest capacity, that whereever passion is to be displayed, Nature shows itself mighty in him, and is conspicuous by the most delicate propriety of fentiment and exptession.\*

I return to my subject from a digression I cannot repent of. That perfect harmony which ought to

fubfift.

The form of plaufive manners; that thefe men Carrying, I fay, the flamp of one defect, (Being Nature's livery, or Fortune's scar,) Their virtues elfe, be they as pure as grace, As infinite as man can undergo. Shall in the general censure take corruption Hamlet, alt 1. fc. 7. From that particular fault.

<sup>\*</sup> The critics feem not perfectly to comprehend the genius of Shakefpeur. His plays are defective in the mechanical part; which is lefs the work of genius than of experience, and is not otherwise brought to perfection but by diligently observing the errors of former compesitions. Shakespear excels all the ancients and moderns in knowledge of human nature, and in unfolding even the most obscure and refined emotions. This is a rare faculty, and of the greater importance in a dramatic author, and it is that faculty which makes him furpass all other writers in the comic as well as tragic vein,

fubfift among all the conftituent parts of a dialogue, is a beauty, no less rare than conspicuous: as to expression in particular, were I to give instances, where, in one or other of the respects above mentioned, it corresponds not precisely to the characters, passions, and sentiments, I might from disserent authors collect volumes. Following therefore the method laid down in the chapter of sentiments, I shall confine my quotations to the grosser errors, which every writer ought to avoid.

And, first, of passion expressed in words slowing

in an equal course without interruption.

In the chapter above cited, Corneille is cenfured for the impropriety of his fentiments; and here, for the fake of truth, I am obliged to attack him a fecond time. Were I to give instances from that author of the fault under confideration, I might transcribe whole tragedies: for he is no less faulty in this particular, than in paffing upon us his own thoughts as a spectator, instead of the genuine sentiments of passion. Nor would a comparison between him and Shakespear, upon the present article, redound more to his honour, than the former upon the fentiments. Racine is here less incorrect than Corneille; and from him therefore I shall gather a The first shall be the description of few instances. the fea-monster in his Phadra, given by Theramene, the companion of Hippolytus. Theramene is reprefented in terrible agitation, which appears from the following paffage, fo boldly figurative as not to be excused but by violent perturbation of mind:

Le ciel avec horreur voit ce monstre sauvage, Le terre s'en émeut, l'air en est insecté, Le flot, qui l'apporta, recule epouvanté. Yet Theramene gives a long pompous connected defcription of that event, dwelling upon every minute circumstance, as if he had been only a cool spectator:

A peine nous fortions des portes de Trézéne, Il étoit sur son char. Ses gardes affligés Imitoient son silence, autour de lui rangés. Il suivoit tout pensis le chemin de Mycènes. Sa main sur les chevaux laissoit flotter les rênes. Ses superbs coursiers qu'on voyoit autresois Pleins d'une ardeur si noble obéir à sa voix, L'œil morne maintenant et la tête baissée, Sembloient se conformer à sa triste pensée, &c.

Act 5. sc. 6.

The last speech of Atalide, in the tragedy of Bajazet, of the same author, is a continued discourse; and but a faint representation of the violent passion which forced her to put an end to her own life:

Ensin, c'en est donc fait. Et par mes artifices, Mes injustes soupçons, mes funestes caprices, Je suis donc arrivée au doloureux moment, Où je vois, par mon crime, expirer mon amant. N'étoit-ce pas assez, cruelle destinée, Qu'à lui survivre, hélas! je susse condamnée? Lt falloit-il encore que, pour comble d'horreurs, Je ne pusse imputer sa mort qu'à mes sureurs! Oui, c'est moi, cher amant, qui t'arrache la vie; Roxane, ou le Sultan, ne te l'ont ravie. Moi feule, j'ai tissu le lien malheureux Dont tu viens d'éprouver les detestables nœuds. Et je puis, sans mourir, en souffrir la pensée? Moi, qui n'ai pû tantôt, de ta mort menacée, Retentir mes esp. its, prompts à m'abandonner! Ah! n'ai-je eu de l'amour que pour t'aisassiner? Mais c'en est trop. Il faut par un prompt sacrifice, Que ma fidelle main te venge, et me punisse. Vous, de qui j'ai troublé la gloire et le repos, Héros, qui deviez tous revivre en ce heros,

Toi, mere malheureuse, et qui des notre enfance. Me confias fon cœur dans une autre esperance, Infortuné Visir, amis désespérés, Roxane, venez tous contre moi conjurez, Tourmenter à la fois une amante eperdue; [Elle se tue. Et prenez la vengeance enfin qui vois est dûe. Act 5. Sc. last.

Though works, not authors, are the professed subject of this critical undertaking, I am tempted by the prefent speculation to transgress once again the limits prescribed, and to venture a cursory reflection upon that justify celebrated author, That he is always fenfible, generally correct, never falls low, maintains a moderate degree of dignity without reaching the fublime, paints delicately the tender affections, but is a stranger to the genuine language of enthusiastic or

fervid passion.

If, in general, the language of violent passion ought to be broken and interrupted, foliloquies ought to be fo in a peculiar manner: language is intended by nature for fociety; and a man when alone, though he always clothes his thoughts in words, feldom gives his words utterance, unless when prompted by some strong emotion; and even then by starts and intervals only.\* Shakespear's foliloquies may be justly established as a model; for it is not easy to conceive any model more perfect: of his many incomparable foliloquies, I confine myself to the two following, being different in their manner.

Hamlet. Oh, that this too too folid flesh would melt, Thaw, and resolve itself into a dew! Or that the Everlasting had not fix'd His canon 'gainst self-slaughter! O God! O God! How weary, stale, flat, and unprofitable Secin

<sup>\*</sup> Soliloquies accounted for, chap. 15. Bb4

Seem to me all the uses of this world! Fie on't! O fie! 'tis an unweeded garden, That grows to feed: things rank and gross in nature Possess it merely.—That it should come to this! But two months dead! nay, not so much; not two; So excellent a king, that was, to this, Hyperion to a fatyr: fo loving to my mother, That he permitted not the winds of heav'n Visit her face too roughly. Heav'n and earth! Must I remember—why, she would haug on him, As if increase of appetite had grown By what it fed on; yet, within a month-Let me not think—Frailty, thy name is Woman ' A little month! or ere those shoes were old, With which she followed my poor father's body, Like Niobe, all tears—Why the, ev'n the-(O heav'n! a beast that wants discourse of reason, Would have mourn'd longer-) married with mine uncle, My father's brother; but no more like my father, Than I to Hercules. Within a month! Ere yet the falt of most unrighteous tears Had left the flushing in her gauled eyes, She married—On, most wicked speed, to post With fuch dexterity to incestuous sheets! It is not, nor it cannot come to good. But break, my heart, for I must hold my tongue, Hamlet, act 1. fc. 2.

Ford. Hum! ha! is this a vision? is this a dream? do I sleep? Mr. Ford, awake: awake Mr. Ford; there's a hole made in your best coat, Mr. Ford! this 'tis to be married! this 'tis to have linen and buck baskets! Well, I will proclaim myself what I am; I will now take the leacher; he is at my house; he cannot 'scape me; 'tis impossible he should; he cannot creep into a half-penny-purse, nor into a pepper-box. But lest the devil that guides him should aid him, I will search impossible places, though what I am I cannot avoid, yet to be what I would not, shall not make me tame.

Merry Wives of Windsor, act 3. sc. last.

These soliloquies are accurate and bold copies of nature:

ture: in a paffionate foliloquy one begins with thinking aloud; and the strongest feelings only, are expressed; as the speaker warms, he begins to imagine one listening, and gradually slides into a connected discourse.

How far distant are foliloquies generally from these models? So far, indeed, as to give difguilt instead of pleafure. The first scene of Iphigenia in Tauris discovers that Princess, in a foliloduy, gravely reporting to herfelf her own history. There is the same impropriety in the first scene of Alcestes, and in the other introductions of Euripides, almost without exception. Nothing can be more ridiculous: it puts one in mind of a most curious device in Gothic paintings, that of making every figure explain itself by a written label iffuing from its mouth. The description which a paralite, in the Eunuch of Terence,\* gives of himfelf, makes a sprightly soliloguy: but it is not confiftent with the rules of propriety; for no man, in his ordinary state of mind, and upon a familiar subject, ever thinks of talking aloud to himself. The fame objection lies against a foliloquy in the Adelphi of the fame author. † The foliloguy which makes the third scene, act third, of his Heicyra, is infufferable; for there Pamphilus, foberly and circumstantially, relates to himself an adventure which had happened to him a moment before.

Corneille is not more happy in his foliloquies than in his dialogue. Take for a specimen the first scene

of Cinna.

Racine also is extremely faulty in the same respect. His soliloquies are regular harrangues, a chain completed in every link, without interruption or interval: that of Antiochus in Berenicet resembles a regular pleading, where the parties pro and condisplay their arguments at sull length. The follow-

ing foliloquies are equally faulty: Bajazet, act 3. sc. 7. Mithridate, act 3. sc. 4. & act 4. sc. 5; Iphigenia, act 4. sc. 8.

Soliloquies upon lively or interesting subjects, but without any turbulence of passion, may be carried on in a continued chain of thought. If, for example, the nature and sprightliness of the subject prompt a man to speak his thoughts in the form of a dialogue, the expression must be carried on without break or interruption, as in a dialogue between two persons; which justifies Falstaff's soliloquy upon honour:

What need I be so forward with Death, that calls not on me? Well, 'tis no matter, Honour pricks me on. But how if honour prick me off, when I come on? how then? Can Honour set a leg? No: or an arm? No: or take away the grief of a wound? No. Honour hath no skill in surgery then? No. What is honour? A word.—What is that word honour? Air, a trim reckoning.—Who hath it? He that dy'd a Wednesday. Doth he feel it? No. Doth he hear it? No. Is it insensible then? Yea, to the dead. But will it not live with the living? No? Why? Detraction will not suffer it. Therefore I'll none of it; honour is a mere scutcheon; and so ends my catechism.

First part, Henry IV. act 5. sc. 2.

And even without dialogue, a continued discourse may be justified, where a man reasons in a soliloquy upon an important subject; for if in such a case it be at all excusable to think aloud, it is necessary that the reasoning be carried on in a chain; which justifies that admirable soliloquy in *Hamlet* upon life and immortality, being a serene meditation upon the most interesting of all subjects. And the same consideration will justify the soliloquy that introduces the 5th act of Addison's *Cato*.

The next class of the groffer errors which all writers ought to avoid, shall be of language elevated above

above the tone of the fentiment; of which take the following inftances.

Zara. Swift as occasion, I
Myself will fly; and earlier than the morn
Wake thee to freedom. Now 'tis late; and yet
Some news few minutes past arriv'd, which seem'd
To shake the temper of the King.—Who knows
What racking cares disease a monarch's bed?
Or love, that late at night still lights his lamp,
And strikes his rays through dusk, and solded lids,
Forbidding rest, may stretch his eyes awake,
And force their balls abroad at this dead hour.
I'll try.

Mourning Bride, act 3. fc. 4.

The language here is undoubtedly too pompous and laboured for describing so simple a circumstance as absence of sleep. In the following passage, the tone of the language, warm and plaintive, is well suited to the passion, which is recent grief: but every one will be sensible, that in the last couplet save one, the tone is changed, and the mind suddenly elevated to be let fall as suddenly in the last couplet:

Il détest à jamais sa coupable victoire,
Il renonce à la cour, aux humains, à la gloire;
Et se surant lui même, au milieu des deserts,
Il va cacher sa peine aut bout de l'univers;
La, soit que le soleil rendit le jour au monde,
Soit qu'il sinît sa course au vaste seine de l'onde,
Sa voix saisoit redire aux echos attendris,
Le nom, le triste nom, de son malheureux sils.

Henriade, chant. viii. 229.

Language too artificial or too figurative for the gravity, dignity, or importance, of the occasion, may be put in a third class.

Chimene demanding justice against Rodrigue who killed her father, instead of a plain and pathetic expostulation,

postulation, makes a speech stuffed with the most artificial flowers of rhetoric:

Sire, mon pere est mort, mes yeux ont vû son sang Couler à gros bouillons de son généreux slane; Ce sang qui tant de sois garantit vos murailles, Ce sang qui tant de sois vous gagna des battailes, Ce sang qui, tout sorti, sume encore de courroux. De se voir répandu pour d'autres que pour vous, Qu'au milieu des hazards n'osoit verser la guerre, Rodrigue en votre cour vient d'en couvrir la terre. J'ai couru sur le lieu sans sorce, et sans couleur: Je l'ai trouvé sans vie. Excusez ma douleur, Sire; la voix me manque àce recit suneste. Mes pleurs et mes soupirs vous diront mieux le reste.

## And again,

Son flanc étoit ouvert, et, pour mieux m'emouvoir, Son fang sur la poussière écrivoit mon devoir; Ou plûtôt sa valeur en cet état réduite Me parloit par sa plaie, et hâtoit ma pursuite, Et pour se saire entendre au plus juste des Rois, Par cette triste bouche elle empruntoit ma voix.

Al 2. sc. 9.

Nothing can be contrived in language more averse to the tone of the passion than this storid speech: I should imagine it apt more to provoke laughter than to inspire concern or pity.

In a fourth class shall be given specimens of lan-

guage too light or airy for a fevere passion.

Imagery and figurative expression are discordant, in the highest degree, with the agony of a mother, who is deprived of two hopeful sons by a brutal murder. Therefore the following passage is undoubtedly in a bad taste.

Queen. Ah, my poor princes! ah, my tender babes! My unblown flow'rs, new appearing sweets!

Ιf

If yet your gentle fouls fly in the air,
And be not fixt in doom perpetual,
Hover about me with your airy wings,
And hear your mother's lamentation.

Richard III. act 4. fc. 4.

## Again,

K. Philip. You are as fond of grief as of your child.
Conflance. Grief fills the room up of my abfent child,
Lies in his bed, walks up and down with me,
Puts on his pretty looks, repeats his words,
Remembers me of all his gracious parts,
Stuffs out his vacant garment with his form;
Then have I reason to be fond of grief.

King John, act 3. sc. 6.

A thought that turns upon the expression instead of the subject, commonly called a play of words, being low and childish, is unworthy of any composition, whether gay or serious, that pretends to any degree of elevation: thoughts of this kind make 2 fifth class.

In the Amyria of Tasso.\* the lover falls into a mere play of words, demanding how he who had lost himself, could find a mistress. And for the same reason, the following passage in Corneille has been generally condemned:

Chimene. Mon pere est mort, Elvire, et la premiere

Dont s'est armée Rodrigue a sa trame coupée. Pleurez, mes yeux, et sondez-vous en cau, La moitié de ma vie a mis l'autre au tombeau, Et m'oblige à venger, après ce coup sunesse, Celle que je n'ai plus, sur celle que me reste.

To die is to be banish'd from myself:
And sylvia is myself; banish'd from her,
Is felf from self; a deadly banishment!

Two Gentlemen of Verona, ass 3. sc. 3.

Countest.

Countefs. I pray thee, Lady, have a better cheer: If thou ingroffeit all the griefs as thine, Thou robb'st me of a moiety.

All's well that ends well, act 3. sc. 3.

K. Henry. O my poor kingdom, fick with civil

When that my care could not with-hold thy riots,
What wilt thou do when riot is thy care?
O, thou wilt be a wilderness again.
Peopled with wolves, thy old inhabitants.

Second part Henry IV. act 4. sc. 11.

Cruda Amarilli, che col nome ancora D'amar, ahi, lasso, amaramente insegni. Pastor Fido, act 1. sc. 2.

## Antony speaking of Julius Cefar:

O world! thou wast the forest of this hart: And this, indeed, O world, the heart of thee. How like a deer, striken by many princes, Dost thou liere lie!

Julius Cafar, act 3. sc. 3.

Playing thus with the found of words, which is still worse than a pun, is the meanest of all conceits. But Shakespear when he descends to a play of words, is not always in the wrong; for it is done sometimes to denote a peculiar character, as in the following passes:

K. Philip. What fay'ft thou, boy ? look in the lady's face.

Lewis. I do, my Lord, and in her eye I find A wonder, or a wond'rous miracle; The shadow of myself form'd in her eye; Which being but the shadow of your son, Becomes a sun, and makes your son a shadow. I do protest, I never lov'd myself 'Til now infixed I beheld myself Drawn in the slatt'ring table of her eye.

Faulconbridge.

Faulconbridge. Drawn in the flatt'ring table of hereve! Hang'd in the frowning wrinkle of her brow! And quarter'd in her heart! he doth espy Himself Love's traitor: this is pity now, That hang'd, and drawn, and quarter'd, there should be, In fuch a love fo vile a lout as he.

King John, alt 2. sc. 5.

A jingle of words is the lowest species of that low wit; which is fcarce fufferable in any case, and least of all in an heroic poem: and yet Milton, in some instances has descended to that puerility:

And brought into the world a world of wo. ----begirt th' Almighty throne Befeeching or befieging— Which tempted our attempt-At one flight bound high overleap'd all bound. -With a shout Loud as from numbers without number.

One should think it unnecessary to enter a caveat against an expression that has no meaning, or no distinct meaning; and yet fomewhat of that kind may be found even among good writers. Such make a fixth class.

Sebastian. I beg no pity for this mould'ring clay, For if you give it burial, there it takes Possession of your earth: If burnt and scatter'd in the air; the winds That strow my dust, diffuse my royalty. And spread me o'er your clime; for where one atom Of mine shall light, know there Sebastian reigns. Dryden, Don Sebastian King of Portugal, act 1:

Cleepatra. Now, what news, my Charmion? Will he be kind? and will he not forfake me? Am I to live or die? nay, do I live? Or am I dead? for when he gave his answer, Fate took the word, and then I liv'd or dy'd.

Dryden, All for Love, all 2.

If the be coy, and fcorn my noble fire, If her chill heart I cannot move; Why, I'll enjoy the very love, And make a miltress of my own defire. Cowley, poem inscribed, The Request.

His whole poem, inscribed, My picture, is a jargon of the fame kind.

> - 'Tis he, they cry, by whom Not men, but war itself is overcome, Indian Queen.

Such empty expressions are finely ridiculed in the  $R\epsilon$ bearfal:

> Was't not unjust to ravish hence her breath, And in life's flead to leave us nought but death. Att 4. Sc. 1.

> > END OF THE FIRST VOLUMES

· Marying

